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## PREFACE.

THE text adopted for this edition is that of Bekker, as reprinted at the Oxford University Press. Here and there I have ventured on changes, the reasons for which I have given in each case. They do not profess to rest on the authority of manuscripts, but on my own view of their advisability, or on the authority of others, whether editors or commentators. In editing any Greek or Latin writer, the question of the authority of various manuscripts is one which many editors must leave unapproached. The sound judgment requisite in such matters must be the result of large experience and study of that particular branch of editorial work. It seems to me a complete specialty, and one who has not been led, either by inclination or pursuits, to give it special attention, could not hope that any weight should attach to his decisions, and had better therefore acquiesce in the conclusions of those who are recognised as authority on the point. Unfortunately, in the particular case of Aristotle, the silence preserved by the editors of the great Berlin edition,--the basis, it seems acknowledged, of any subsequent editions, -as to the value of the manuscripts whose various readings they insert, and as to the grounds of their preference of the readings they adopt, leaves us in ignorance, so far as their judgment is concerned, of what amount of assistance might be derived from manuscripts. But it would seem, from the concurrent testimony of those who have turned their attention to the Politics of Aristotle, that the amount is not large. Not to dwell on the dictum of Niebuhr on this point, the German critic who, more than any other of those I have met with, urges the expediency of a careful collation of MSS., does so with the avowal that, when all is done, the text of Bekker must remain the basis; and Spengel, whose labours seem to me in quality the most valuable, not only accepts this text, but remarks, that any manuscript assistance
is out of the question. "The only source left is conjectural criticism." The difficulties of the Politics, so far as they are difficulties of the text, must be remedied by attentive study, and by conjecture resting on such study. Such is all I have to offer, and I have resisted as much as possible all temptation to alteration, where not absolutely indispensable. The greatest opening for such alteration appears to be presented by the punctuation. A careful attention to this, in the Politics, as in other works of Aristotle, will often give the clue to a difficult passage ; and this is a point on which, I presume, all editors are equally free. I have therefore in many passages altered the stopping, but I have done so without increasing the number of stops, the sparing use of which is, I conceive, a great improvement in recent editions of the classics.

As a general rule, I have introduced no parenthesis where there did not exist one in the text adopted. In certain cases, I have enclosed paragraphs of various and at times considerable length in brackets. In doing so, I have not intended to intimate that such paragraphs are not Aristotle's, a point I feel quite incompetent to decide, but merely to show that in my view of the context they interfere, either as repetitions or as unseasonable digressions, with the connexion of the thought. And as it is in this connexion that the great difficulty often lies, I have, so far as I was able, endeavoured to clear away any obstacle to its perception.

There is one change of some importance which I have made in the edition from which I reprint. I have altered the order of the books, and adopted the arrangement already familiar to students of the Politics, as that used by M. St. Hilaire in his edition and translation. It seems useless to repeat in detail the various critical arguments in favour of this change. They may be found stated in the Preface to the edition just quoted, and elsewhere, as in the work of M. Nickes. The main argnment in favour of the change is, as far as I can see, the greater clearness of method, and the greater facility of tracing the order of thought which the new arrangement gives. But, though I feel very strongly that the change rests on solid ground and offers real advantages, I might have adhered to the old order had there been no
edition in which it was changed. The convenience of uniformity of reference is such an attraction that I might have been induced to sacrifice to it. But when once the change has been made, the case is altered. Such convenience no longer exists, and a subsequent editor need have little scruple in selecting that method which seems to his judgment absolutely the best.

And I cannot but think that an attentive study of the work will lead most readers to acquiesce in the order adopted as most in keeping with the real order of thought, however much they may wish that the old order had remained undisturbed on the ground of convenience. For the new arrangement brings into close juxtaposition two books, VI. and VII. (IV. VI.), whose separation is clearly the result of some accident, and whose re-arrangement is advocated, as I have elsewhere remarked, even by the staunchest opponents of the change in general. Again by placing IV. V. (VII. VIII.) immediately after III., the new order makes Aristotle's aristocracy or ideal state, the second of the two correct forms of government, follow directly on his treatment of monarchy or the first, and precede his treatment of Politeia or the third. Whereas, in the existing arrangement, this third form is interposed between the first and second. Lastly, after the analysis of the two first ideal forms has been gone through, he proceeds, by a separate treatment of the elements of the third form, to prepare the way for a treatment of those elements in combination,-in other words, for the treatment of that third form. Its discussion over, he goes through the problems connected with existing governments, their formation and their organisation, he elaborates, that is, the statics of Greek society. Then, in the absence of any theory or expectation of change, there is nothing left for him but to treat of the diseases to which that society was liable, its chronic state of dissension, its acute one of revolution. This, the more logical order, is entirely put out of sight by the actual arrangement, and I feel convinced that its restoration is a real gain in studying the work. I need not add more on this point, as I have touched on it occasionally in the body of the work.

For the purposes of convenient handling of this edition,

I have prefixed to each book a short summary. In this I have aimed at giving the simple outline of the connexion, and an easy means of finding what is the general purport of each chapter. I have added also marginal headings to enable the reader more easily to catch the main object of the page, or to refer to what he has previously read. For the purposes of reference I have placed the pages of the Berlin Quarto Edition by the side of the text. And in any quotations from other works of Aristotle, I have given a double reference, to that edition and to the Oxford reprint of it.

In the notes, when offering translations of my own, I have marked them by single, when borrowing the words of others, I have used double inverted commas.

For the matter of the notes, my object has been to make them as much of a help as possible to the understanding of the text, not as a vehicle for learned discussion. In a work like the Politics, more than in some others, it would seem the main duty of an editor to facilitate the study of the work itself, to place the student in a condition to master it with as little difficulty as possible, and so to penetrate himself with its spirit and its thought. He should therefore not have his attention called off at every turn by the suggestion of difficulties on questions of alien interest. I have endeavoured to carry out this my view of what an editor should do, and if at times I have wandered in my notes from the strict interpretation of Aristotle, or from the necessary elucidation of his statements, and obtruded opinions of my own, I trust I shall be thought to have been sparing in such deviations, and to have exercised a fair self-command in not interfering with the appreciation of the work itself. The greatness of its author and the importance of the subject alike acted as a check. And it is in furtherance of this view that I have ventured on a translation of most of the difficult passages.

I have given an Index of the proper names that occur in the work, as well as one of the words and expressions. This latter will, I trust, be found, if far from complete, yet sufficiently copious to make it a great advance on any existing index. Its incompleteness is due to my not having had it in my power, at the time I was engaged in it, to give it that
constant, unintermitting attention which it required. I was compelled by circumstances to complete my part of the work whilst engaged as tutor in Oxford, and to leave in great measure the arrangement of the materials I had collected to two of my friends who are in no way responsible for any defect in the materials themselves.

The works I have used in preparing this edition are,-
I. Editions of the whole or parts of it:

Petri Victorii Commentarii in Aristotelcm de Republicâ. Florence, 1576.
Aristotelis Politica. Schneider. Frankfort, 1809.
 1821.

Aristotelis de Politicâ. Göttling. Jena. 1824.
Aristoteles. Bekker. Berlin, 183 I.
Politique d'Aristotc. St. Hilaire. Paris, 1837.
Aristotelis Politica. Stahr. Leipsic, 1839. Greek and German.
Aristotcles de Politiâ Carthaginiensium. Kluge. Wratislavia, 1824.


## II. Commentaries :

Philosophie des Aristotcles. Biese. Berlin, 1842.
De Aristotelis Politicorum libris. Nickes. Bonn, 1851.

Ueber die Politik des Aristoteles, L. Spengel in the Abhandlungen der Akadcmie der Wissenschaften in Munchen, v Band I Abtl. Also, by the same writer, Ucber die unter dem Namen des Aristoteles crhaltenen Ethischen Schriften. 1841.
III. Works bearing on Aristotle's life and philosophy generally :

Aristotelia. Stahr. Halle, 1830.
Aristotcles und seine academischen Zeitgenossen und nächsten Nachfolgcr. C. A. Brandis. Berlin, 1853.
Other works, both German and French, I have consulted,
but with very little advantage; and I think it unnecessary to add their titles. Works on the subjects treated in the Politics I have quoted in the notes, and need not add any distinct reference to them here.

My greatest obligations are, as will be clear from the notes, to Mr. Grote, so far as the work is one of listorical philosophy. In editing a work, which is the résumé of Greek political experience, the natural source to which to look for assistance was the best history of Greece on a large scale. In that would of course be found, so far as historical documents warranted, the proper accompaniment to the isolated facts mentioned or alluded to by Aristotle. And the range of the historian of Greece should not be narrower than that of the philosopher who drew his lessons not merely from Greek political experience, but from the history and circumstances of the various nations which had come in contact with Greece. On all historical points I have carefully consulted Mr. Grote's great work, and have referred to it as the best source for others to consult. And I need hardly add that not on mere historical points, but on all questions of political philosophy, as viewed by the light of Greek history, the value of his work can hardly be overrated. This is not diminished in any degree by the difference of views which at times will be seen to exist. I can only add my regret that I have been obliged to forego the advantages which the next volume of Mr. Grote's work promises to the student of Aristotle and of Greek philosophy in general.

One other acknowledgment I have to make, that of the assistance I have received from friends. For no one of the opinions expressed in any part of the work is any one but myself responsible. I have no reason to think that they are shared by those who have helped me. For the care bestowed in revising and suggesting improvements in my notes, and in correcting the proofs, my best thanks are due to Messrs. Harrison and Thorley, Fellows of Wadham College, Oxford, and for the arrangement and correction of the Index a similar acknowledgment is due to Messrs. C. Griffith and Ellaby, of Wadham College. Many others have assisted me, both friends and pupils, but I must content myself with a general acknowledg-

ment. This I could not do in the former case, from the amount of trouble and attention which has been given.

My object throughout has been, as far as possible, to facilitate the study of the work itself in the original language. But in the present state of opinion in England on educational questions, I hope I shall not be considered out of place in making some additional remarks on this point. He who edits a work of classical antiquity may be thought to be working in support of the dominant theory, which looks on all really high education as having necessarily for its basis the study of the languages of Greece and Rome, and, if silent, such a construction of his purpose would be fair, and one which he could hardly object to. Therefore, though aware that my opinion is likely to meet with no sympathy, even in quarters where I should most wish it, and at the risk of giving great offence in others, I cannot consent to remain silent, and even in appearance to adopt the theory above given. After a fair acquaintance with all the arguments generally adduced in its favour in or out of Oxford, with all the weight in its support lent by many years passed in the study of the languages in question, and as many almost in teaching them, I have been led to form an opinion entirely hostile to the prevailing practice, and to look with more than distrust on the arguments on which it is based. I do not feel disposed here to do much more than protest against this theory, the great idol of the educated among the upper and middle classes. But I may, before passing to my own view of the subject, express my hope that, like many other of the fictions on which their existence has reposed, this part too and foundation of our institutions is crumbling beneath them, that the process may be speedy, and the substitution of a sounder system as rapid as is consistent with its intelligent adoption. At the general rate of our movement in such matters, this rapidity will have nothing alarming in it.

It is not from any intrinsic value in these languages over others that I would wish to see their rational study still generally prevail. But as essential links in the great chain of the historical tradition of the human race, for the information the works written in them contain, lastly for the poets
whom the just consent of mankind places so high-these grounds seem to me adequate without having recourse to any theory that it is necessary to train the mind by the study of language, or to cultivate the taste by models of more perfect beauty than the languages of modern Europe contain. I cannot see the truth of either the one or the other of these positions. In proportion as the social science, of which I conceive the Politics of Aristotle to be the foundation and ancient master-work, assumes more and more its due prominence, and exercises its legitimate control over all subordinate studies, its students, with the increasing value they attach to history, will not neglect those two languages, in which, for a period of more than a thousand years, most of the philosophy, history, and poetry of the race are deposited, nor will such a view of them lower them in comparison with the one which is now predominant. To read them for what they contain of knowledge and of beauty, and from an historical point of view, will be at the least as desirable as to read them as the majority have litherto done, -and I do but speak of the majority,-as supplying the means of primary intellectual discipline, as supplying the materials for Latin and Greek composition, or, as the most enduring result, as the sources from which to draw apt quotation, embodying, in vivid expressions, the experience of daily life, a purpose generally satisfied by the knowledge of Horace. From the different point of view here proposed they will be relieved from their present position, and from the load of odium which now attaches to them in the minds of most English gentlemen, save the cultivated and successful few, as the instruments of youthful torture, as the ungrateful study on which they were made to waste their early years and the fresh vigour of their faculties. They feel truly that the knowledge they gained of them was worth nothing to them at the time, and has since entirely disappeared, and there remains with them only the remembrance of the disagreeable process by which it was acquired.

If we could get rid of the half superstitious value we now attach to the classical languages, and look on them much in the same light as we do on the cultivated languages of modern Europe, Italian, French, Spanish, and German, they would
gain by the exchange. They would then be studied more as those languages are studied, and probably at a later period of life. It is wise to proceed from the easier to the harder, and the living languages are easier for many reasons than the dead. We should have less of what is called sound scholarship, considerably more in all probability of true philological attainment. And we should gain time in our education for many things now neglected. The languages themselves would present attractions for the reasons above indicated, which would be appreciable by the maturer mind of the student, and are wholly unappreciable by the majority of boys. And to these their legitimate attractions I should think it better to leave them. If less generally studied at first, this would be an evil compensated by the more intelligent and willing character of the study actually given. And as sounder educational views gradually prevail, that which M. Comte selects as the characteristic of the intellectual movement of this century, the prevalence of the historical point of view, will modify any tendency to the undue neglect of Greek and Latin, by asserting for them their just historical claims to attention and respect. Were it then possible, I should be the last to wish the knowledge of such works as the Politics limited to that gained from a translation. The great philosophers, the great historians, the great poets, should all be studied in their original language, though, as it has been well remarked, this is quite essential for the poets, not so for the two former classes.

[^0]
## CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE.

385. Birth of Aristotle.

37 I. Leuctra. Period of Theban ascendency.
367. Aristotle visits Athens, æt. 17. This same year Plato leaves Athens for Syracuse.
362. Mantinea.
359. Accession of Philip.
357. Beginning of Phocian war.
353. Death of Dion.
348. Visit of Aristotle to Hermias, tyrant of Atarneus and Assus.
346. End of Phocian war.
345. On the death of Hermias Aristotle takes refuge in Mitylene.
343. Accepts invitation from Philip of Macedon to take charge of Alexander, then thirteen years old. This charge cau hardly have lasted more than four years.
338. Chæronea.
336. Death of Philip.
334. Aristotle's second visit to Athens. Alexander's first campaign in Asia.
331. Arbela.
323. Death of Alexander.
322. Aristotle dies at Chalcis. Demosthenes and Hyperides died the same year.

## INTRODUCTION.

IT would be a waste of time to prefix a life of Aristotle to each separate treatise as it is published. For the purposes of this introduction nothing more is needed than a brief reference to the facts of his life, so far as they may serve to convey an idea of the range of his political experience. There is no occasion to do more than recal the facts of: his birth at Stagira, and the position of his father as plysician at the court of Macedon under Amyntas; his early visit to Athens at the age of seventeen ; his stay there of nearly twenty years; his residence with Hermias at Atarneus, on the coast of Asia Minor ; his second residence of uncertain length in Macedonia as the tutor of Alexander ; his return to Athens, and his second residence there of twelve years at the least; lastly, his retirement, a little before his death, to Chalcis in Eubœa. This is a sufficient notice of his life for an introduction to the Politics. For this will enable us to appreciate the opportunities he enjoyed, at a very eventful period in the history of Greece and of the world, of vivifying his rich knowledge of the past history of his country, and the actual condition of its outlying states, by his experience of their present circumstances, and his contact with the states nearer the centre.

We cannot doubt but that, with his keen observation, he would attend closely to the course of political events. And in one respect he was very favourably placed. He had all the advantages of a spectator; he could not mix directly in political life, even had he wished to do so. Stagira, even before its destruction, offered him no opportunities, and at Athens he was a stranger. He could then, with the greater composure, make the events he witnessed the subject of his philosophical contemplation. And it may be useful very shortly to run through these events, and remind ourselves of the changes he had seen.

His earliest recollections would be connected with Macedonian affairs. He could look back on the weakness of Macedon and its political insignificance in relation to the leading Greek states. For at the court of Amyntas no hopes could have been entertained of the subsequent rapid rise of that kingdom to greatness. Aristotle was old enough to remember the change introduced into Greek politics by the break up of the Spartan supremacy in consequence of the defeat at Leuctra. He was at Athens during the closing period of the short supremacy of Thebes, and from that centre he witnessed the sudden collapse of Theban power on the death of Epaminondas. In the period of his early manhood and ripening judgment he could watch from Athens the anarchy of Greece, when no state seemed able to make an effort after empire, or offer a rallying point to her dispersed energies. And during the same period he could also watch the commencement of Philip's reign, his victorious assertion of his position as king of Macedon against rivals at home and foreign enemies, his growth at the expense of the neighbouring countries, whether barbarian tribes or Greek colonies. And Aristotle, as Demosthenes, must have been well aware what the result of such progress must be. At the court of Hermias, whilst familiarising himself with the new aspect of Greek life which the coast of Asia Minor would present to him, and whilst gaining a more intimate acquaintance with the power of Persia in that western portion of her empire, he would hear of the stride made by Philip, which was marked by the destruction of his own birthplace, in common with the kindred towns in its neighbourhood, and by the subsequent interference of that monarch in the affairs of Thessaly and northern Greece. Driven from Asia by a revolution, which illustrated his theory of the short duration of tyrannies, even in good hands, Aristotle seems to have remained at Mitylene, till there reached him an invitation from Philip to undertake the education of Alexander. It is uncertain how long this connexion lasted, but probably about four years. It seems clear that it must have ended some considerable time before the war which was decided at Chæronea. Putting aside all reference to the effects of such a connexion on Alexander, it was one which gave Aristotle a most com-
manding view of the actual politics of the day. He was again at the very centre of political power, which had finally quitted Greece proper. During the war with Athens, Aristotle may have thought it unsafe to return there, and he may have resided at his native place, which had been rebuilt by Philip at his intercession, and which is said to have received a constitution at his hands. At any rate it is probable that he did not return to Athens till Alexander had established his supremacy over Greece, and so had removed any danger to which an intimacy such as his with the royal family of Macedon might have exposed him at Athens. During the expedition into Asia, and the lifetime of Alexander, Aristotle remained at Athens teaching his numerous disciples, maturing his philosophical views, and gradually working out the encyclopedic system which was the object of his comprehensive intellect. When the king's death made it unsafe for him to continue there, he removed to Chalcis, carrying with him, apparently, the seeds of the disease which shortly after proved fatal. He died at the age of sixtythree.

After this brief retrospect of Aristotle's life, and of the events he witnessed, I proceed to consider his political system from a more general point of view. And the first question that presents itself is-What was the starting point he chose ? On what basis did he rest his system? To this the answer is, I think, clear. He rested on no a priori ground, but on the experience of the past. And this answer naturally suggests the next question: What was the exact value he attached to that experience as the basis for future political action. The answer in this case also admits of little doubt. It may be difficult to say what were his views in the immediate or distant future, but for the past we can speak confidently. He offers no definite estimate of the length of time during which the race had existed and had been gathering experience. But he looks back on the period past as a long one ( $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \ddot{\varphi} \chi \rho o ́ v \varphi, \pi o \lambda-$入oïs ${ }_{\text {! }} \tau \varepsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ), during which constant discoveries had been made. To borrow his own language: Time had been a discoverer in these matters, or had rendered good help in the process of discovering. So that his construction, like that of Bacon, would be partus temporis, the birth of time. What then had time
accumulated in the shape of materials for the political philosopher to use in his work of modifying the existing or constructing the ideal state? Aristotle found ready to his hands the idea of property, both animate and inanimate, the idea of the family and the idea of the state. And this last, the state, was not the social organisation of Egyptian or barbarian experience, but the complex, refined idea, which had been elaborated in Greece, and found its most complete expression in Athens.

On these three ideas, the inheritance of the past, Aristotle takes his stand, and evidently thinks them adequate, when properly developed and modified. Without any blindness to existing evils, whether they arose from the misuse or bad arrangement of property; or, secondly, from the isolating tendencies which lurked in the institution of the family; or, lastly, from the constant dissensions which seemed almost inherent in the actual states, he still, with the master judgment which in him was never suffered to relax its vigilance, steadily refused to let such evils overpower him, or lead him, as they had led his master, Plato, to an insurrection against the experience of the past. Right education should form right habits, and the sway of those habits should be riveted by right social institutions. And the result of such education, habits, and institutions should be that the selfish use of property should be corrected, but that property should not be threatened; that the citizen should learn that he could not isolate himself or his family, that he was a member of a body, but that he should keep his full individuality. And if the inequalities of property were softened by this moral remedy, and the irritation consequent thereon assuaged; if the efforts of the citizens were all made, as the result of a right conviction, to converge towards the promotion of the common good, then the third class of evils, those of constant dissension, would disappear, as being essentially the effect of the other two classes.

The existing ideas, then, the actual materials, seemed adequate to Aristotle. There is no trace of his looking forward to any important change, to the introduction of any widely different elements into the political problem. The definite policy to be adopted in the immediate future cannot be gathered from his own words. Whilst full of allusions to
history, he is very silent on the present and the future. This silence was the natural result of his position. It would not be unrcasonable to conjecture that he looked to the peaceful organisation of the several Greek states in themselves, and in their mutual relations under the sheltering prosidency of Macedon. At a later period Polybius accepted this condition for his country, under the supremacy of Rome, and did all in his power to urge its acceptance by the Greeks. In his time, Polybius naturally would feel a keener preference for Rome, justified by her superiority in organisation over Macedon, and by the fuller sense, which the last two centuries had produced, of the hopelessness of any good effects arising from the prolongation of Greek independence. An analogous but more hopeful position under Macedon, Aristotle may have accepted for the Greece of his time. And in his denunciation of war as an end, in his condemnation of all states in which it held this place, in his decided preference of the life of repose and intellectual activity both for the individual and the state, I should read his indirect advice to his countrymen to acquiesce in their political inferiority, and to turn themselves from any thoughts of empire to the settled and dcfinitive organisation of a peaceful existence. It was right, it was wise in Demosthenes, his great contemporary, to take a different view. An Athenian citizen, the inhcritor of the traditions of Themistocles and Pericles, Demosthenes was justified in trying to rouse his countrymen to resist a semibarbarous power at Chæronea, as they had resisted that of the Persian empire at Marathon. He was justified in wishing to retain the leadership of the world in the hands of Greece, and in looking on her independence as the first object. And as he felt Athens answer to his call, he may have thought successful resistance not impossible, though his heroic spirit needed not success to guide his efforts. But Aristotle's position was such as to make a different view both natural and just.

It set him free from all the influences that might have warped his judgment, and enlightened by a wider experience, he cannot have shared any of the deceptive hopes excited by the exertions of Athens. He knew the power and resources
of Macedon, he knew the genius of her rulers better than Demosthenes, and he could, if not with greater clear-sightedness, at least with greater calmness, appreciate the relative woakness of Athens. And his position, as I have remarked already, enforced inaction. He was strictly without a country in the narrower sensc. He was ämoえıs ávíp. He was a citizen of Greece, but not of any Greck state. His sympathies were with the Greek world and with the Greek race, and so of course most with Athens, as the truest representative of that race. But at the same time he had no temptation to feel the more special, more local Athenian sympathies. It would not, for instance, shock him, as it would Demosthenes, that Athens, in common with the rest of Greece, should acknowledge Macedonian supremacy, whilst still preserving her separate city existence. And he would more readily than other Greek statesmen sympathize with the conquest of Asia by Alexander. Hopeless of Greek independence, and still more by experience distrustful of the possibility of any combination of the Greek states amongst themselves, it was to him a great advantage to renew, with the changes time had made requisite, the policy of earlier statesmen, and give a wise direction to the forces of Greece, by leading them against Persia. Such a policy diverted the attention of the different states from their own internal quarrels. It strengthened the power of him who was named their general-in-chief, the King of Macedon, and by strengthening his power, it not only made resistance on the part of the Greeks more hopeless, but it made also acquiescence less discreditable. Again, it was calculated to soothe the feelings of the Greek nation, in that it brought within the influence of the civilization peculiarly their own, so large a portion of the world. It was not by her own efforts, it was true, that Greece conquered Persia, and exacted a due atonement for the aggressions of Darius and of Xerxes. Yet the impulse which guided the young conqueror was of purely Greek origin, and he was politic enough to make it clear that he felt it to be so. Nor even at that late period of Greek history was it a slight gain to attain the conviction that henceforth all danger from Persia was at an cnd, that the throne of the great king was filled by a Greek.

Further than this, if Aristotle felt any alarm at the growing power of Carthage, the western rival of Grcece, he must have seen in the immense devclopment of Greek power, consequent on Alexander's conquests, a sufficient assurance against such danger. Till the king's death, too, it was natural to hope that the West, no less than the East, would see his victorious arms, and then if Persia and Carthage were once removed from the calculations of statesmen, there was no other power on the political horizon which could at that particular juncture be taken into account.

With this general view of the position of his country, as an aggregate of independent states under the hegemony of Macedon, which hegemony should concentrate and direct ber efforts to the gradual reduction and civilization of the world, the main problem for the political philosopher would be, the right internal organisation of each of the component parts of that aggregate. The solution of this problem required a most careful examination of their existing state, and this condition, we know, Aristotle amply fulfilled. " Probably," says M. Brandis, "Alexander's liberality enabled the Stagirite to acquire at a great expense a collection of books which for the times was very considerable, and to make inquiries into the constitutions of so many states, some of them very distant." Whatever the means, we are well aware from the testimony of others what the result was, though unfortunately it is almost entirely lost to us,-so far, that is, as the inquiries themselves are concerned. The philosophical and general view, based on these inquiries, lies before us in the Politics.

The most remarkable feature in Aristotle's political system is this: that he not only accepted the materials bequeathed to him by the past as the necessary basis of his construction, but that he considered them adequate. The problem he set before himself was a definitive organisation of society, and for this he thought he had all the data requisite. There is nothing to show that he looked on his solution as provisional. The Greek state, with its existing elements, was to him the ultimate form of society, only these elements must be well combined. It is essential not to lose sight of this, his peculiar point of view, when we are trying to estimate the value and
bearing of his work, and to learn its right use as the fundamental treatise in political science. It is strictly political, in the narrowest, most definite sense that can be attached to the word. It is calculated, that is, for a number of mo入ital, of free and equal citizens ; it is calculated, that is, primarily and essentially for Greek experience. It is the record of that experience which, for all historical purposes, ended with Aristotle. He had registered the phænomena of Greek society, and in this work he draws from that register the general inferences it warranted, and builds on this result a construction which should adequately embody all that he found of value.

But as Greece, as a nation, dating from that time, lost its position, and as the several Greek states became more and more decomposed, the construction of Aristotle, so far as his own country is concerned, throws light upon the past history, but did not serve as a guide or type for the re-organisation of any of those dying states. It is invaluable for the first object, it had no opportunity of becoming valuable for the second. For the philosopher, as for the historian, the real life of Greece was ended.

With this peculiarly Greek stamp, this stamp of the city life of a body of independent states indelibly impressed upon it, it is needless to add that for many of the subsequent periods of history the work presents no direct teaching. It allows not in any sense for the great revolutions that have occurred since the time when with Aristotle, Demosthenes, and Alexander, the history of Greece proper closes. Its interest since then has been historical rather than directly political. The organisation of a small commumity, complete in itself, has not, since then, been the great question of political science. It is a question that has arisen at intervals, but it has been, in the main, exceptional. Cicero might turn his attention to the internal organisation of Rome, and treat of Rome as though it were a Greek city. But the real problem, the great interest of Roman history, had escaped Cicero. He was blind as the blindest to that transformation of Rome's position which had influenced the popular leaders, more or less consciously for some time, and of which the dictator Cæsar
and his imperial successors, were the organs and the representatives. Rome, as a city governing the world for its own narrow interests, was to Cicero as much as to such men as Hortensius, the limit of his vision, and hence his failure as a statesman. The Roman empire, as an incorporation of the civilized world, was not within his ken, and naturally still less within that of Aristotle ; nor does it borrow any light directly from his speculations. He held views as to the inherent superiority of the Greek race, which were incompatible with such an incorporation; and these same views, foolishly cherished by his countrymen at a time when their ludicrous inappropriateness was conspicuous to all, rendered them, almost more than any other nation, incapable of sharing in the benefits of the imperial system.

Nor again are the Politics of Aristotle, if allowed to be inapplicable directly to the incorporation of the world by Rome, more applicable to the ensuing periods, whether of destruction by the barbarians, or reconstruction under the influence of feudalism and catholicism, or, in the last place, to the later state system of Europe. I have heard it remarked that the book has a parochial character about it, meaning by this that its interests and its questions are on so small a scale. And the remark is so far just that this is the impression produced at first by the comparatively dwarf scale of Greek history on the student of the following periods. For he has to deal habitually with vast empires, either in their organisation or decay, with the combinations of western Europe, united by many ties for common defence, or later with the mutual action of a system of great kingdoms. And from this point of view it may deserve notice that the work has, compared with Aristotle's other works, excited but little attention, speaking generally. I believe I am right in saying that, till recently, it was chiefly in Italy that attention was paid to it ; and this exception is easily explained. For in the middle ages there recurred in the Italian republics many of the phenomena of Greek society. The manner, consequently, in which, in the Politics, political problems are presented and treated, was likely to be appreciated there, as soon as ever the revival of the knowledge of Greek, or the translation of the work into Latin, had ren-
dered it accessible. It might have had, of course, a similar interest for the communes of France, or the commercial towns of Flanders and Germany, but, so far as we know, the literary movement was much less active there.

In our own day, however, after the lapse of more than twenty centuries, such is the state of society, that the political philosopher may turn his attention to the Politics of Aristotle, if not for a direct solution of some of the problems which arise, yet for much indirect guidance. Over and above their interest, that is, from the historical point of view-an interest which never has been lost, as we may see from the great works of historians and political philosophers in all times-they may now once again have a direct political interest. For if, as M. Auguste Comte thinks, the great kingdoms of modern Europe are destined ultimately, and that at no very distant period, to break up into smaller wholes, more analogous in size and requirements to the states of Greek experience, in such case the work that embodies that experience will present a new attraction, and will be resorted to for the light it sheds on the true principles of the strictly political organisation. And even leaving out of view this contingency, it is justly remarked by the same philosopher, that in the present prevalence of theories subversive of property and the family, and through them subversive of the whole social organisation, men may refresh their convictions in favour of these institutions, and gain strength against their opponents, from the careful study of Aristotle's remarks on the dangerous reveries of Plato, the philosophical originator of most of the social errors of our day.

So far for Aristotle's general treatment of the subject of politics, and for the consequent neglect of his work during a long period by all but the professed student; lastly, for the marked revival of attention to it in the last half century. Viewed philosophically, the object that he set before himself was synthetical and constructive. Accepting, that is, the elements offered him by the past as adequate, he aimed at such a combination and modification of them as should be satisfactory for the future guidance of the statesman. In this, as in other departments of human knowledge, it was a systematic construction that he wishod to leave behind him; but-I speak with
great hesitation on such a subject-in this, more than in the other departments, he thought his construction in its leading features final. That he was wrong in such an idea need scarcely be stated. His attempt at a synthesis was premature, but that does not detract from its philosophical value. It was an important gain, that in political science an account should be taken of the results attained, and that that science should be made to take its place in the co-ordination of the sciences-in other words, in the elaboration of the great system of philosophy. Aristotle was the first adequately to conceive this elaboration as the great problem proposed to the intellect of man, and it is this conception which constitutes one of his main claims to the philosophical pre-eminence which he holds by the very general consent of mankind.

I need not go through the historical argument to show that his attempt was premature. I need but point out that Macedon failed to offer to the world a sufficient organisation, and that though the conquests of Alexander contributed to the extension of Greek civilization, the break up of his empire after his death, and the terrible struggles consequent thereupon, contributed, together with internal decay, to a quite proportionate diminution of its internal vigour: We see that other powers, then out of the range of observation, had to appear on the stage, and that Greece in her exhaustion had to repose under the sway of Rome. We can trace in the two thousand years that have passed since the great attempt of Aristotle at a political construction, the growth of new elements which must powerfully modify such a construction in all but its fundamental ideas.

For instance, to take two capital points, - first, the position of the industrial classes; secondly, the question of religion and the spiritual power. I have no intention of entering at present on the question of slavery, but it is clear from our after-experience that slavery is not the permanent condition of the industrial classes, any more than it was their universal condition even in Aristotle's time. The recurrence from time to time in the Politics of the problem, What should be the place allotted in the state to the free artisan? is sufficient to show that the acceptance of slavery as an institution
did not, even for him, clear the subject of the labourer of all difficulties. And we can trace the germ of all subsequent discussions on the right social organisation of the proletariat, in the brief but repeated remarks of Aristotle on the $\beta$ ávavaot, or artisan population, which this recurring question drew from him. For the second point, that of religion and the spiritual power, a distinction must be drawn in limine. Whilst on the subject of religion, socially and politically considered, we are in possession of Aristotle's views, we have not, on the other hand, his treatment of the educational question. We see that he acquiesced in the polytheism of his day as the religion of the state, and that on various occasions he connects his regulations with it, by placing them under its sanction. He acquiesces, but we do not gather that he goes further. Any active influence of the gods in the affairs of man, whether viewed socially or individually, he would seem not to allow. Such is the natural inference from his statements in the Ethics and Politics. Intent on systematizing the conceptions of man relative to the world without, relative to his own nature, and to the arrangements of society, the relations of man to the gods assume with him a secondary importance, or rather are practically ignored.

But on the subject of the spiritual power the case is different. Intimately connected as it is with the question of education, it may be that, had we his full treatment of education, we should, either explicitly or implicitly, have at the same time a spiritual power constituted. As it is, his construction remained essentially temporal, and the constitution of an independent spiritual power, side by side with the temporal, was left for the catholicism of the middle ages. The work then done remains in principle true, though the altered conditions of society require its complete modification in all but its principle.

I have treated then of the primary intention and direct application of the Politics. I have also touched on their historical interest and the degree to which the lessons contained in the work are now applicable. But I would not rest my advocacy of their study on these grounds alone, but strictly, on the more definite one, that they are the first great
systematic work on Political Science, and that that science, as every other, requires for its proper study that it should be studied historically, and traced from its origin downwards. By so doing we see the various problems arise, and are led to no fanciful, à priori, reconstruction of society, but to watch its actual construction as the records of history reveal it to us. The easier problem precedes the harder, the simpler the more complex. Of course our first notions of politics will be derived from the state of things around us, in the midst of which we have grown up; but the scientific correction of these first notions must be looked for elsewhere. It must be looked for in the study of the history of man, so far as it presents a connected series of events, combined with the study of the great works which at different periods have been written with the object of eliciting from past history and registering for future guidance its lessons on man and on society. Such works are but rare. There is no one before our own day comparable to that of Aristotle. In fact, since his time the student of political science will find, with very few exceptions, more direct instruction in the works of the principal historians than in writers who have specifically treated of politics. This at least is the conclusion I have been led to form, so far as I have studied the works of later writers, and I have found nothing to modify it in the criticisms of others.

There is one point more on which I would touch in the most general manner, and with it conclude this introduction. In it my only object has been to throw light on Aristotle's general view, and the position he holds in the ranks of political philosophers. It is with the same object that I would draw attention to the fact that Aristotle is essentially relative in his judgments. All institutions are weighed by him with reference to the nature of man, and the circumstances in which he is placed; not with reference to any absolute standard. This is true of both parts of his political treatise-of the Ethics no less than of the Politics; and if true of the former, it seems to me a natural consequence that it should be true of the latter. The domain of morality is generally considered less relative than that of political science. It is, in fact, the stronghold of the opposite opinion. But this is a consideration for the editor
of the Ethics. I have drawn attention to the point in consequence of the very general neglect of this relative point of view in all historical questions,-a neglect which appears to me to make false historical judgments prevalent amongst us, and to vitiate, consequently, the reasonings on the science which can rest on no other basis than sound historical conclusions.

## BOOK I. SUMMARY.

THIS first book of the Politics forms the connecting link between the Ethics and the Politics, properly so called. It is in reality a treatise on CEconomics, in the sense Aristotle attached to the word. It is introductory and subordinate. It takes the lower and more elementary social union as the indispensable preliminary to the higher ; the family as opposed to the state. But it is a social union that it takes, and not the individual man. We are at once brought into contact with an association. As, at the opening of the Ethics, every exertion of the individual man was said to aim at some definite good, so here every association of man is said to have the same character, that largest association under which all others fall more distinctly than any other. But to inquire into the organization of these various associations of man would be a waste of time, were it true that there is but one real difference in governments, that of number, the view of some plilosophers, to whom a family is but a small state, a state but a large family, so that the principles of government are in both the same. This however is an error ; an error which will appear to be so in the sequel. Sufficient here to mention it; we may then pass on to shew how that largest and sovereign association had its origin, trace it from its earliest beginnings upwards, and examine its component parts.

The first association is that between male and female, the second that between master and servant. Both are based on the natural wants of man, and the two together form the family. This increases and forms the village. Multiply villages over the face of a district, and you have the tribe, an aggregate of equal units capable of indefinite extension. Draw the bond of union tighter, concentrate or enlarge the village, and you have the state or city, the highest social organization which the Greek mind conceived, an organic whole not capable of indefinite extension, but in itself satisfying all the wants of man in his highest capacity. The union is natural-for the nature of man is man in his highest perfection, and the natural association will be the highest form of association. It is prior to the individual in conception, for it is only in relation to it that the individual can be properly conceived. And yet, though such, it was $A$. $P$.
necessary that it should have an originator, and he who did originate it was the greatest of benefactors. For man may fall lower than the beasts by virtue of those very faculties which, if properly employed, enable him to rise far above them. And this proper employment is only found in the political society, with its restraints of law and justice.

Such in outline is the origin of society and its justification. Physically, the part may be treated prior to the whole, and the unit in the social fabric is the family. That, if viewed strictly with relation to the state, offers us first the free and equal citizen in the husband and father; secondly, the wife and mother for the continuation of the social union; thirdly, the children, the generation which is to take the place of the actual one. But for the man to discharge his duties as a citizen, for the woman to be a proper mother of citizens, for the children to be trained to be citizens; the strict family, which these coustitute, must base itself on certain conditions. It must have the means of subsistence, and these in quantity and kind such as to guarantee leisure. It must have the proper instruments for its work. These are found in the slave and in property, the living and the lifeless instrument. But the instruments so employed by the family only touch the state through the family, so they are treated of fully in this first book, which treats of the family, whilst the women and children, who much more closely and immediately concern the state, are treated of very slightly. Their relations to one another, or to the common head, are given, and the distinction is marked between the excellence required in them, and that required in the citizens. But the production of this excellence in either is the work of education, and that is a state question, and can only be satisfactorily treated at a later period, when we have sketched out the constitution of the state of which they are to be members-as all education must bear direct reference to the constitution.

To return to the point more fully treated, that of the instruments or necessary basis of the family life, with its twofold division into living and lifeless-slave and property. The first is more important than the second, and requires more attention.

Men are not equal in faculties any more than in outward form. Some are calculated for rule, others for subjection; the one guide, the other follow; and the relation between the ruler and the ruled, the master and servant is, if there be wisdom applied, a wholesome one for both. But a servant to Aristotle, as to the ancients, universally, whether oriental, Greek, or Roman, was a slave, and the
relation therefore between master and slave, if there were goodness and wisdom in the master, was a wholesome one for both. That at times men were slaves who should have been masters, whether from exceptional cases of superiority in the barbarian, or from the accidents of war, in the case of the Greek, would not in Aristotle's eyes vitiate the institution. In the instances supposed there was an evil, but on the whole the institution remained good. The slave, if rightly a slave, would be unfortunate if not a slave; so the free artizan was really less fortunate than the slave, he had the evil without the good, the mental and political inferiority without the care and guidance which the relation to a master secured the slave.

With regard to the second division, that of inanimate property, true wealth would consist in that amount of property, that command over the means of subsistence which should be strictly subservient to the purposes of the family. Once let the amount pass that limit, and property becomes an end in itself, it ceases to be good, its pursuit is to be blamed. In the former case it is natural and laudable, in the latter, contrary to nature, and the object of blame. So that the acquisition of property is in the one case natural, œconomical, subservient to the higher wants of the family, and limited by those wants; and the science which treats of it, directs its attention to the whole question of the sustenance of the family, whether that sustenance be derived from its independent, isolated exertions, or require an union with others and is derived from exchange. The various modes, therefore, by which man secures his subsistence, and the phenomena of exchange are equally in due limits a part of this true science.

In the other case, the acquisition of property, no longer a means but an end, is open to objections, and is contrary to nature, though not in all its branches equally blameable. It is the natural consequence of the former, for once extend the relations of man in respect of exchange beyond the family or village, and you want a medium. This medium is money, which once introduced leads to an immense development of commerce, becomes in men's minds not the representative of wealth, but wealth itself, ceases to be a mere means, and is pursued indefinitely as an end in itself. All this Aristotle objects to, but reserves his highest blame for that part of commerce where money itself is the material of the transactions; all interest is an object of his disapprobation.

From the theory he turns to the practice, but enters into no great detail.

So that the book falls into the following divisions under these
four heads: Civil Society, Slavery, Property, and the Family Relations.

Ch. 1 is a simple introduction of the subject.
2 contains the origin of all society, and the various degrees of the social union.
$3-7$ inclusive treat of Slavery-with his own view put forward first, and then the objections.
8-11 Property-treated similarly.
12 The relation between the members of the family in point of government.
13 The various excellencies of the governed, or subject elements.
A short connecting chapter closes the book.
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I. I Comp. Eth. I. i. 4. p. 1094, 26 , for the relation of political science to other sciences, and for the relation between the different associations of men, $E t h$. viII. ii. 4. p. 1ı $60,8: \sigma v \mu$ -


 ёуєка.
$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu \dot{\omega} s, \kappa . \pi . \lambda$.$] 'It is clear that$ whilst all aim at some good, yet in the highest degree and at the highest good does that aim which includes all the


2 " O oot $\mu$ èv oîv] The allusion is to Plato, Politicus, 258 E, and the opi-
nion if allowed would, in Aristotle's view, at once stop all further discussion. The body politic with its complex organization would disappear.
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ 'They are the same, they allege, for it is only in number that they differ.'
$\delta \lambda\langle\gamma \omega \nu]$ with Schneider make this depend on some such word as $\alpha^{\prime} \rho \chi \eta$.

калà $\lambda$ b́oovs, к.т.入.] 'in the terms of this pretended political science,' a sneering expression used by those in whose mouth he puts this attempt at the simplification of the science of politics.
$\tau a \hat{\tau} \alpha$ 解] By altering the stopping
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I wish to make it clear that this connects solely with what precedes.
$3 \tau \delta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu]$ What is this? I consider sect. 2 as a parenthetical remark, and carry back $\tau \delta \lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ to sect. I, to the statement that the political society comprehends all others, and by again changing the stops $I$ bring $\omega^{\prime} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho$ into more immediate connexion with this first clause.
$\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \gamma \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu \mu{ }^{\prime} \theta o \delta o \nu\right]$ 'Themethod which has hitherto guided us,' "notre méthode habituelle," St Hil. Eth. II. 7, 9. p. iro8, 3. Schneider also compares de Gen. Anim. III. 9. p. 758, 28.
$\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ i. e. $\epsilon \xi \xi \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, the component elements.
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \kappa \delta \nu]$ 'scientific.'
II. I "To Aristotle and Dicæarchus," says Mr Grote, "it was an interesting inquiry to trace back all political society into certain assumed
elementary atoms. But the historian must accept as an ultimate fact the earliest state of things which his witnesses make known to him." Grote, Vol. iII. p. 78, ist Edit. Compare also Niebuhr, Vol. I. p. 304.
$\tau$ à $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ фטó $\mu \in \nu a$ ] 'things growing.' So Plato, Rep. 369 a, speaks of $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \nu \pi 6 \lambda_{\iota \nu}$. Legg. vi. 757 c .
$\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \tau o u ́ \tau o c s\right]$ in political questions.
2 ává $\gamma \kappa \eta \delta \dot{\eta}]$ I should prefer $\delta \epsilon \in$, the simple connecting particle.
oủk $\hat{\epsilon}^{\kappa} \pi{ }^{\pi} \rho o \alpha u \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] "rien d'arbitraire," St Hil. It is in obedience to a natural instinct, not a question of deliberation or will.

фитoîs] Schneider infers that A. was aware of the sexes of plants.
 $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon$ is clear, for that which, \&c.' raû̃a is the will of the wiser and more farsighted.
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta \kappa a i \delta o v ́ \lambda \varphi]$ These are slipped


 Society.




 Sıó фабıv oi $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \alpha i$


 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ s

$\mu \hat{\ell} \nu \varphi$, but they are not really so, and it is in the proper judgment on this transition that, as it seems to me, lies the solution of the question of slavery. The last relation is one which may always and will always wholesomely exist. The former was a sound one in its time -has ceased to be so now.

3 'Nature has marked the distinctimon between male and female, slave and master ;' for on the productions of nature there is no stamp of poverty as there is on the Delphian knife, made to serve several purposes.
$\Delta e \lambda \phi \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha]$ There seems nothing but the actual context from which we can gain any light on the subject of this instrument.
oui $\omega$ dd $\rho$ ] 'ina anim,' 'for so only, under this condition that it should not serve many purposes but one,' \&c.

4 tiv סè $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \beta a p \beta d . p o c s]$ Though nature has marked these distinctions, yet amongst the barbarians you find them obliterated, the woman and the slave are there undistinguishable, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau \eta \eta_{\nu}$
 тoîs $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ б́taıs. Comp. Ed. Rev., Oct. 1853, p. 380. "The East may be said
to be the land of equality, for there the highest personages are separated from the lowest members of society by an outward barrier only, and one which an unforeseen event may at any moment overturn." And again: "The feeling of equality between masters and servants"-"the patriarchal household system also extends to the slaves, indeed the latter are often the favourite children, and their portion that of Benjamin." This view of the passage differs from the ordinary one, which makes the remark only apply to the woman and the slave, but then the next clause loses its significance, as does the quotation from Euripides, and the inference it is made to support. To the Greek all non-Hellenes were slaves, proper objects for government, and finding their true interest in being governe by them.

The quotation is from Eur. $I p h$. All. ${ }^{1}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}$. Ed. Lind.
5 Sects. 3, 4 are parenthetical; the $\kappa o \nu \nu \omega \nu i a c$ dove are given in 2.
$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \eta$ ] 'in its primary and simplest form.' Hesiod, Works and Days, 405. Göttl.
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ouss] 'It is the association of those whom,' \&c.
$\dot{\text { ó } \mu} 0 \sigma \iota \pi v ́ o v s]$ "having aseparate mealbin and fireplace," says Mr Grote (iII. ${ }_{78} 8$ ), reading $\dot{\dot{\rho}} \mu \boldsymbol{\kappa} \dot{\pi} \pi \nu 0 \nu s$, as does St Hil., and Vet. Tr. Bekker reads ó $\mu$ окámovs, 'eating together.'
$\chi \rho \eta \dot{\gamma} \sigma \omega s$ ё̀ $\nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu]$ opposed to $\epsilon i s \pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa v i a$, that association which is formed for meeting our daily wants is the family; that in which more than our every day wants are supplied is in its primary form the village.

6 ouss] 'the association of those who, in the language of some, are suckled by the same milk.' Comp. Nieb. Rom. Hist. 1. 303 , not. 79 c.

סid каi] refers to the oikias $\dot{a} \pi о \iota \kappa i a$, the preceding remark being parenthetical. For the general subject see Mr Grote's paraphrase (II. 88). "Aristotle, in his general theory of government, lays down the position, that the earliest sources of obedience and authority among mankind are personal, exhibiting themselves most perfectly in the
type of paternal supremacy ; and that therefore the kingly government, as most conformable to this stage of social sentiment, became probably the first established every where." Comp. Eth. vIII. xii. 4, 5. p. 1160, b 24 .
$\tau$ à $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \\ \nu\end{gathered} \eta$ ] the non-Hellenic nations, whether Persian, Scythian, Phœnician, or other. Comp. Thuc. II. 80, where some of the Illyrian tribes are mentioned as exceptions.
$\omega \ddot{\omega \tau \epsilon} \kappa \mathrm{Kal}]$ This reasoning holds good of the civil colonies of Greece, which originally were governed from the metropolis.
 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau a \dot{\tau} \sigma v$. The state described by Homer, Od. Ix. II4, involves the government of the eldest, and a time prior to the formation of an association of families, prior to the $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ zov̀s $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon v o \mu e ́ v o u s$.
$\tau 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s} \theta \epsilon$ oùs $\left.\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau 0 \hat{c} \tau o\right]$ explained by the \%itı кal. Comp. Grote, II. 80, also I. 5 , "as the gods have houses and wives like men, so the present dynasty of gods must have a past to repose upon."














8 Comp. Grote, II. 34I, for the Greek view generally of the village and the city: "the former social union was unsatisfactory;" and again, p. 344, "the village was nothing more than a fraction and subordinate, appertaining as a limb to the organized body called the city. But the city and the state are in his mind and in his language one and the same ; while no organizadion less than the city can satisfy the exigencies of an intelligent freeman, the city is itself a perfect and selfsufficient whole, admitting no incorproration into any higher political unity."
$\pi a ́ \sigma \eta s$ er $\chi o v \sigma \alpha \pi \notin \rho a s]$ 'having attained the full limit of complete satisfactimon of all our wants.' Comp. Eth. v.
 тò єīval aủтápкєєà to $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \delta i$ кацо⿱.
$\delta \iota \partial ̀ \pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \pi \delta\langle\iota s]$ After defining the state he proceeds to establish two propositions, that it is $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \ell$, natural, and that it is prior to the family and the individual. If the first associations, those of the family and village, are sanctioned by nature, using the word in its truest sense, that association in
which they find their completion will be so too ; it is their natural end; and this natural end of each thing, that state in which each thing finds its fullest development, this it is which he means by the word nature. The period of growth must have passed for the society as for the individual before either one or the other can be said to be perfect, to have attained nature.
 thing is when its growth is accomplished, that we say it is by nature in each case, whether it be man, horse, or family.'
 The object we aim at, the end, is higher than the exertions we make to attain it, the means. Complete satisfaction of our wants is an end we set before us, and it is an end secured by the state, and secured by the state through the instrumentality of those lower associations, the family and the village, which develope into the state.
$\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu]$ It is needless to multiply quotations to shew that this is Aristotle's view throughout. The st chapter of the Ethics is sufficient for the purpose.
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 Grote, II. II4, not. 2, for the full sense of these words. They denote one excluded from, I the phratry, or family; 2 the $\theta \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon s$ or áropá; 3 the hestia or hearth, the relations of guest and suppliant. The first two seem more legitimately within Aristothe's notion of ${ }^{2} \pi 0 \lambda$ cs.

10 'He who is an alien to the city is by virtue of that in a state of war; his hand is against every man, he stands alone like an unguarded piece in draughts.' I know of no support for this sense, but I have never seen any other.
$\delta t o ́ \tau \iota \quad \delta \epsilon$ ' 'but that.' This is a common use of the word $\delta$ oo $\tau \iota$ in A.; frequant instances occur in the Politics. Compare Bonitz, Metaph. ad i62, a 6 . Waitz, ad Organ. 58, b 7, gives a
number of instances.
$\lambda$ prov] 'rational speech.'
$\left.{ }^{11} \phi \omega \nu \nu^{\prime}\right]$ is the inarticulate cry of animals ; language, says de Tracy, but not developed.
$\mu \notin \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o v]$ 'so far, and so far only, has nature reached in their case.'
 apparently as the widest expression, including all others.

12 rout $\omega \nu$ ] either $\tau o \hat{v}$ ar $\gamma a \theta o \hat{v}$ к.т.入.: ormasculine, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau o \iota a u ̛ \tau \eta \nu$ ain $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ $\epsilon \chi \chi \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \nu$, 'the association of those who have the perception.' This I prefer.
$\left.\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{\text { on }}\right]$ Comp. Eth. viII. xiv. 7. 1162, 17 , for a sense in which the family precedes the state. For the general language comp. Categ. xiI. p. 14, 26. Spengel, p. 7, note 8, collects several parallel passages.















I3 $\dot{\delta} \mu \omega \nu \dot{v} \mu \omega s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'equivocally, as$ one might use the term 'hand' of a stone hand. For the hand when its natural purpose can no longer be served by it, when consequently it is destroyed, will be on a level with a hand of stone, and can only be called a hand improperly,' $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \mu \circ \iota \sigma \tau \eta \tau a$.
 Compare the definition of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \eta^{\prime}$ given Eth. II. v. 2. p. 1106, 16 ; also Eth. III. x. 6. p. III5, b22, and below, iv. 4, 5 (vir.).

14 This section seems parenthetical and superfluous. The clause $\epsilon l \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \eta-$ $\delta{ }^{\delta} \lambda o \nu$ justifies the $\pi \rho b \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$; 'for unless each one is self complete when separate from all others he is but a part, and must be judged as a part ; will stand, that is, in no different relation to the whole of which he is a part, from that in which other parts do to their wholes.' The next clause, $\dot{o} \mu \eta े ~ \delta \tau \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, supports the $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon$, and is to the same effect as § 9 , very vigorously stated: the $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta v \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu 0$ from his nature being inadequate, the $\delta i \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ of $\S 9$, being below the social union, as the
$\mu \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon_{0}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ is above it ; the former clause provided for the case of one who was competent to join in this union, but was cut off from it, $\delta$ ià тúx $\eta \nu$ ämo入es.

15 фúvec $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ oîv] 'True there is by nature in us the impulse to join in a society such as I have described, in a state; still he who was the first to combine men, to organize this state, was the greatest of benefactors.' The language implies a time in the conception of Aristotle when no state existed. Compare the passage quoted above from Niebuhr.

16 Comp. Eth. viI. vii. 7, 1150 , I.
o $\left.\delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi r o s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'Man is born with arms,' $\partial \pi \lambda \alpha$ ' ' $\chi \omega \nu$, equivalent to ö $\pi \lambda o t s$; 'these arms are his intellectual faculties, his moral instincts,' which A. here calls $\phi \rho о \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ кal d́ $\rho \in \tau \hat{\eta}$, which consistently he should have called
 vi. xiii. p. I144. Rhet. 1. i. 4, 20, 1355 , b 3 .
$\left.{ }_{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}\right]$ in the strictest sense is complete moral virtue ; $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ involves the existence of that virtue.




 Slavery. àvaүкаîov $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ oikovouias єiтєìv $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu . \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$















$\left.\delta c^{6}\right]$ 'So armed man is,' \&c.
 straints of law and justice, $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \mu$ оv каl $\delta i \kappa \eta$ s, in one word, $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota o \sigma \dot{\nu} \eta s$; this is emphatically the creature of society, of the political union; for the administration of justice ( $\delta i \kappa \eta$ ) is an arrangement depending on political society, and this administration is but the decision what is just between man and man, and the term just implies justice. Comp. Eth. v. x. 4. p. 1134, 26. Cic. de Nat. Deor. r. xli. ri6. 986 b. Ed. Nobbe. 'Est enim pietas justitia adversus Deos cum quibus quid potest nobis esse juris, quum homini nulla cum Deo sit communitas.'
III. I $\pi \epsilon \rho$ i oiкоро $\mu l a s]$ This suffi-
ciently indicates the purpose of the book, $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$.
$\left.\epsilon_{\xi}^{\xi} \hat{\omega} \nu a \hat{v} \theta c s\right]$ 'of which in its turn the house consists.'
2 This is simply in defence of his terminology: the term rauckós is wanted in Eth. v. x. 9. p. II34, b 15, where he speaks of $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \rho \partial{ }^{5}$ rovaîa siкalov, and the same want is felt in Eth. viII. xiii. 4. p. 1161, 22.
 part.' He says himself oiкоуоцккरิs $\tau$ t-入os $\pi \lambda o \hat{v} \tau o s$, Eth. I. i. 3. p. 1094, 9.
$\tau$ à $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{d} \nu \alpha \gamma к \alpha i a \nu \quad \chi \rho \epsilon i a \nu]$ 'The practical solution for the wants of ordinary life.' $\pi \rho o ̀ s s ~ \tau \grave{~ e ~}$ ei̇éval, 'with a view to the scientific theory of the subject.' $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{v} \pi 0 \lambda a \mu \beta a \nu 0 \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$, 'better than the present notions on the subject.'









 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \rho \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \psi v \chi \alpha \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \psi v \chi \alpha$, oio $\nu \quad \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{2}$





$\left.4 \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \psi \gamma \dot{\alpha}_{\rho}\right]$ This explains the $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$, and is by an alteration in the stopping connected more closely with it. So also $\delta$ ón $\pi \epsilon \rho$ oúóe $\delta$ olkacov is brought into close connexion with

IV. I There is something very awkward about this sentence as it stands. The simplest way seems to be to make кal mark the apodosis. 'Since then property is an element in the family, the art of acquiring property will enter into the management of the family. That property is such is clear from the fact that without food and clothing, the necessaries of life, it is impossible to live, much less to live well; and as in all the definite arts the proper instruments for the work must necessarily be ready to the hand of the workman, if the work is to be accomplished, so it is in the management of the family.' If with Victorius and the Vet. Tr. we adopt the more
symmetrical reading of $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ olкоvo $\mu t \kappa \hat{\varphi}$, 'so his proper instruments must be ready to the hand of the master of the family.' If the genitive is kept it must be construed with oikeîa, a construction of which we have an instance later, III. iii. 3, olкєios $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ àmoplas тaúr $\eta$ s.
 instrument,' 'does not differ in kind from the instrument used in the arts.' $\tau a i ̂ s \tau \in \chi \nu a \iota s, \tau a i ̂ s \dot{\omega} p \iota \sigma \mu$ évals of the last section.
oűr $\omega$ кal] as the pilot had instruments of two kinds, so it will be with the head of the family. The instrument in this case, whether animate or inanimate, is denoted by the word $\kappa \tau \tilde{\eta} \mu a$, and the aggregate of such instruments by $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$. Compare Eth. v. x. 8. p. 1134, b io.
 ment in the place of many,' not, as St Hil. says, "le premier de tous," agreeing with Vict. as quoted by Schneider




 $12544 \sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oüтє $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \delta o u ̀ \lambda \omega \nu$. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ổv






 тò $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ 白 $\gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ каі тò $\mu o ́ \rho \iota o \nu . ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$





in the passage. The translation given refers it more definitely to the superior adaptability of the slave.
3 Il. xVIII. 376.
4 đà $\mu \grave{v} \nu$ oîv $\left.\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{6} \mu \epsilon \nu a\right]$ 'Instruments, in the sense in which the word is generally applied, are for production, whereas $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ in this comprehensive sense is the unproductive part of a man's property.' As unproductive it is called $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$. Compare Eth. vi. ii. 5. p. ${ }^{1} 39$, b i. 5, 4. II40, b 6.
$\delta \iota a \phi \hat{\rho} \epsilon \mathrm{t} \dot{\eta} \pi 0$ in $\sigma \iota s]$ Eth. vi. iv. I. p. $1140,4$.

кail $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a]$ The instruments of the two respectively.
5 סьд кal ó $\delta o \hat{0} \lambda o s$ ] as being $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \psi v \chi 0 \nu \pi \rho \partial s \zeta \omega \eta \nu$.
$\tau \delta \partial \dot{\xi!} \kappa \tau \bar{\eta} \mu a]$ In the passage referred to above on $\S 2$, Eth. v. x. 8, he uses the same language, $\omega ँ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho o s$ avizov
$\tau \grave{\tau} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a$.
 the master is only a master in reference to his slave, and in no sense belongs to him, but is in the main considered quite in a different capacity, the slave, on the other hand, is not merely to be viewed from this point of view amongst others, that he is the slave of a master, but he is absolutely and entirely that master's, he has no other side of his existence distinct from his master's.'

6 It is the essential idea of slavery that in it the person becomes a thing, and loses all his rights as a person. He stands related to human society only in and through his master, he has no proper life and no proper happiness.











 Anpíov. тò $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ à $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota o ́ v \omega \nu \beta_{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \iota o \nu 3$




V. I But then comes the question: Is there such a being? As a fact it was not doubtful that there were such; society was based on the existence of such. But is there such a being naturally? Has nature given her sanction to the fact? Is it for the real interest of some, is it just for some, that they should be in this state, or is slavery in principle a violation of nature? These are the points at issue in the next two chapters, and Aristotle's answers are in favour of slavery.
$\tau \omega \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi]$ 'by theory.' $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \iota \nu 0$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, 'from actual experience.'
2 'The distinction of ruler and ruled appears even at birth, some take their stand on one side, some on the other.' Inequality and consequent subordination are facts which you cannot get rid of, and which may be shewn to be for the interest of mankind gene-
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Nor is this all.
'There are distinctions in the kind of rule depending on the rulers and the ruled, and in proportion as these are better the rule is in itself nobler.'
$3 \delta$ önou $\delta \epsilon]$ They come into relation.
 16. p. ri3o, 2. They form a system with parts working together for a common end. That end is their work, and the work will be better done in proportion as the parts are better.
$\left.\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \quad \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \rho\right]$ 'for wherever you have a combination of several parts and some one common result, whether those parts be continuous, as in the natural body, or distinct, as in the body politic, there you have evidently the distinction between ruler and ruled.' In Eth. II. v. 4. p. x106, 26, we have the terms $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \in \hat{i}$ кal $\delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \tau \widehat{̣}$.
 sammten Natur vorzugsweise." Stahr. Correctly as to the sense. 'This subordination is found in all nature, but is
















found more particularly, is more truly inherent in the things that have life.' кal $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho$. 'I say in all nature, for even in those things which have no life there is a certain rule exercised, such as the power of harmony.' Compare Wordsworth's Ode on the power of Sound, xII.
$\epsilon \xi \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha s]$ This word has been frequently discussed. The result of the discussion seems to be that it often means nothing more than 'another,' 'an inquiry foreign to the present inquiry.' In this actual passage this meaning is sufficient. The whole subject is treated by Ravaisson, Metaphysique d'Aristot. Part. III. Livre I. ch. i. Vol. I. pp. 224 and foll.

Stahr ends the period at $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \notin \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, and it is I think plainer so.
$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu]$ ' To begin with.'
$5 \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa o \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu]$ It might be urged that practically this is not always the case. But, says Aristotle, you must not take a bad instance, but a good.

To judge any thing truly, you must take it at its best, look at it such as it is by nature, using nature of course as above, I. 2. 8.
$\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \hat{\psi} \tau o \hat{v} \tau 0 \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu]$ 'and in him this is clear,' tov̂to, that the soul rules, the body obeys.
$6 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \zeta \dot{\varphi} \varphi]$ 'in any animal.'
$\grave{\eta} \mu \dot{e} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \psi v \chi \dot{\eta}]$ Compare Eth. v. ${ }^{15}, 9$. p. ${ }^{1} 3^{8}$, b 5 .
$\left.\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau<\kappa \eta_{\nu}\right]$ that is, for the good of the soul.
 21. p. 1161. b. Ed. Nobbe, takes a different view : 'Nam ut animus corpori dicitur imperare, dicitur etiam libidini ; sed corpori, ut Rex civibus suis, aut parens liberis ; libidini autem ut servis dominus, quod eam coercet et frangit.' ${ }^{\circ} \rho \in \xi \in \tau s$, in Aristotle, is larger, equivalent to the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \eta \pi \iota-$ кóv of the Ethics, I. xiii.
tev ois] 'and in these cases it is quite clear.'


 тои́тo七s $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \tau \iota o \nu{ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v \cdot \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$










 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \alpha i \sigma \theta \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \theta$ म́ $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon i$. каì $\dot{\eta} \chi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \alpha$
€ $\ddagger$ trou] 'To put the two on a level in point of government, or to reverse the order, is in all cases injurious.'
$7 \pi \dot{d} \lambda c v$, к.т.ג.] 'Again, the case is exactly the same as between man and the other animals,' and does not hold merely in nan's individual nature.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{\text {à }} \rho{ }^{\prime} \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a, \kappa$ к..$\lambda$. .] Compare Bacon on Atheism, Vol. I. p. 53. Ed. Montagu.
TvरXáve $\gamma$ dà $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ las] So above, II. 2. $\delta \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho \rho a \nu$, safety was the object of the union.
Tò aüróv, к.т..入.] From the individual man he passed to man in relation to the animals, then to man in relation to woman, now he has reached the last stage, the relations of men to each other.
 $\kappa \dot{\eta}$, , that of a master over slaves, the point he wants to come to.
 $\tau \kappa \kappa \hat{\varphi} \mu о \rho \iota \varphi, \tau \varphi \hat{\varphi} \theta \eta \rho \iota \omega, \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \eta \lambda \lambda \epsilon$.

not be pressed too far, but taken as an assertion of the general rule, that the slave was so by virtue of a natural inferiority. It does not exclude, as is clear from the next chapter, the possibility of an unjust slavery, of an inversion of the natural order. It does not exclude what Cicero speaks of, "genus injuste servitutis, quum hi sunt alterius, qui sui possunt esse." De Rep. III. xxv. 22. 116I, 6.

тoбov̂тoy] 'only so far.' Compare I.
 тоєєiv.
rà $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda a]$ The construction is irregular, but the sense is clear. This definition still leaves the slave, as man, a higher position than the animals ; the тoгov̂rov is exclusive both ways; the slave shares in reason, but only to a certain point.
кal $\dot{\eta}$ रpeía] 'The use to which the two are put,' the slave and the animal, 'varies but little.'


















$\left.\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \beta o{ }_{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a\right]$ ' The assistance given with the body,' the dative of the instrument, "mitihrem Körper." Stair.
io But an objector might urge: The animals differ from man in outward form, the slave and the freeman his master do not so differ. It is the tendency of nature, answers Aristotle, to do this, to mark a difference, but a tendency often defeated; as a practical fact we often see the very reverse the case, $\sigma v \mu \beta a i \nu \in \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ к ı s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̉ \nu a \nu-~$ cion.
'̇pyaбias] 'Such offices, or services.'
oûtos $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ kail, к.т.入.] One of the many places where a remark is introduce with so little need for it, so little à propose, that one suspects anothen hand.

Tov̀s vimo入єєто $\hat{e}^{\prime}$ nous] 'those who fall short.' Compare Herod. v. 47 for the effect in a Greek city of a striking
superiority in beauty. Compare also Grote, viII. pp. 217, 218, on the Athenian treatment of Dorieus.

II $\pi 0 \lambda v$ ठıкасóт $\epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ] 'with far more justice would the distinction hold good in the case of the soul.'
or $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\iota} \nu \quad o \hat{\nu} \nu]$ After weighing the objections he comes then decidedly to a conclusion in favour of slavery. 'There are some by nature free, others by nature slaves, and for these their state as slaves is both advantageous and just,' каi бขцфє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota$ каi бiкаєоע. The mental differences are sufficient, where nature has failed to mark the bodily.
VI. I oi i ảvavzia фáбкovтєs] 'those who put forward the exactly opposite view, they too in a certain sense are right.' There is an ambiguity in the language.









$\kappa a l$ кatà $\nu \delta \mu o \nu$ ] 'by law also' as well as $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$.
$\left.\dot{\delta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu{ }^{\prime} \mu o s\right]$ 'The law I mean, is a species of recognised agreement.' Compare Hermann (C. F.) § 9.4, and the references there given, especially

 $\mu \circ \not ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \hat{\varphi}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \in โ \nu a \iota$
 хри́лата.
2 тô̂тo $\delta \grave{\eta}$ to $\delta$ iккalov] 'It is this justice then that many writers on institutions indict as unconstitutional.'
 mere strength.'
oui $\omega$ ] the last opinion that it is monstrous.
$\epsilon_{\kappa \kappa}{ }^{\prime}\left[\nu \omega_{s}\right]$ the opposite one that this state is the right one, and the wise are not agreed on this point.
3 Before entering on the two next sections I would remark, that the course of the reasoning would be uninterrupted if we at once went on with
 The intervening part is a subsidiary explanation. 'The origin of this dispute, that which makes it capable of being a dispute, is that in a certain sense it is true that virtue, with adequate means at its disposal, is even more than any other power able to force its way; it is true further that he who is master is so always by vir-
tue of a real superiority in some point, so that his power of compulsion seems not to be separable from virtue, and the only discussion that can take place is on this point: Is it, or is it not, right that such power, partly simple power, partly moral superiority, should be accepted? Looking at the consequinces that follow if you adopt this principle, some have been led to seek the only sanction for rule in the mutual consent of the parties, the ruler and subject. Unable to concede this, as in fact impracticable, others have acquiesced in the simple test of superior might, without any considerations of the moral element, as an adequate definition of justice. And these are the only two admissible opinions, since if you distinguish them one from the other, and when distinguished put them both on one side, the third opinion, which with reference to the other two is called $\alpha^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o l \lambda 6 \gamma o l$, is seen to be devoid of force or plausibility, the opinon, namely, that the better ought not by virtue of his excellence to rule over the inferior, whether that rule be such as you may call government in a political sense, or the absolute governmont of a master over slaves, $\alpha \rho p \chi \epsilon \nu$ $\kappa a l ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.' Such is the best randering I can give of this difficult passage. To follow it in detail.















 aủтoùs oư $\beta$ oú $\lambda o \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \delta o u ́ \lambda o v s, ~ a ̀ ~ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau o u ̀ s ~ \beta a \rho \beta \alpha ́ \rho o u s . ~$


$\epsilon$ '̇ $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \epsilon]$ is 'to interchange, to alternate.' "Ut in utramque partem disputari et dici possit." Schneider. Stahr agrees : "was für beide Ansichten Gründe aufzustellen verstattet." The arguments run into one another, and the confusion that arises enables both sides plausibly to maintain their respective positions.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tồ $\delta \iota \kappa a i o v]$ 'about the right,' whether it is right or no.

4 סià $\tau 0 \hat{1} \tau 0$ ] 'because of this dispute.'
$\dot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon i} \delta \iota a \sigma \tau a ́ v \tau \omega \nu]$ Stahr differs as to this passage, and construes it: "Since now of these opposed views, the grounds alleged in support of the one, viz. that the superior in excellence ought not therefore to rule and govern, cannot hold, and have no power to convince in them, therefore \&c.," taking
a way the stop at $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta j \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and making ó $\lambda \omega s \delta \epsilon$ the apodosis. I refer $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau a ́ \nu-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ to the distinction between the two views, $\chi \omega \rho$ is to the distinction between the two together and the third.
$\left.\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu\right]$ 'By virtue of its excellence.'
 крaгô̂v and $\beta$ táje $\sigma \theta a l$, and this opposition must be kept in sight carefully.
$5{ }^{2} \mu a \delta^{\prime}$ oü $\left.\phi a \sigma \nu\right]$ ] 'But at the same time they virtually deny it.'
$\epsilon l \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$ ] 'if otherwise.'
6 aúroùs $\beta$ oúlov $10 \iota$ ] 'The Greeks do not intend to speak of themselves as slaves, they never think of themselves in that light, but only the barbarians.' d̀vá $\gamma \kappa \eta \gamma \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ фával] 'For they must allow that there are some who are slaves wherever they are, others just the contrary.'

















$\pi a \nu \tau a \chi 0 \hat{v}]=\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$. Comp. Eth. v. $\mathbf{1 0}$.
 $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \circ \hat{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu a \dot{v} \tau \eta ̀ \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \circ \nu \delta i v i \nu \alpha \mu \nu$; and again, a little below in the same chap-




7 Өєобєккто⿱] Theodectes of Phaselis, a pupil and friend of Aristotle, a rhetorician and dramatic writer.

8 öтal $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \sigma \omega \nu]$ 'The use of language of this sort does in fact make the distinctions between slave and free, well born and low born, depend on moral differences,' and assumes that those moral differences are hereditary and ineffaceable. There may be a tendency to the perpetuation of such distinctions in man, says Aristotle, but it is by no means always a tendency that becomes a law. "Fortes creantur fortibus et bonis," is anything
but universally true.
9 The result then is, that the objection to the conclusion of ch. 5 is allowed not to be without ground, and that it is true that some are not by nature slaves, others by nature free, if you interpret aright the some and the others, ol $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, ol $\delta \epsilon$.
$\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \tau \tau \sigma\right]$ 'in certain cases the distinction is drawn.'
$\left.\tilde{\eta}^{\nu} \pi \epsilon \notin \dot{\prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \nu a^{\prime} \rho \chi \eta \nu\right]$ 'with the power for which they are naturally qualified, consequently with that of a master over slaves, if they are qualified for it.' As the rest of the sentence stands, $\pi \epsilon ́ \phi u \kappa \epsilon \nu$ would have been more regular, or the omission of the last $d \rho \chi \in \iota \nu$ would be desirable; but in any case the sense is clear.

Io $\tau \mathbf{~ o ̀ ~} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \kappa \bar{\omega} s$ ] 'That the power should be badly exercised is against the interests of both equally, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o i v . '$












$\delta i o ̀ k a l]$ 'As there is this relation between the slave and master, there is also some common interest between the two, and good feeling towards one another in all cases where nature dictates this relation, $\tau 0 \hat{\prime} \tau \omega \nu \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \eta{ }_{\eta} \xi \iota \omega \mu \epsilon-$ pors; when this is not the case, but they stand related as master and slave only by law and force, then there is no common interest, no opening for affection.' Compare Eth. viII. xiii. 6, 7. p. ${ }_{11} 61,30$ sqq. on the existence of friendship between master and slave, where the relation in itself scarcely receives so favourable a judgment.
VII. He has stated what a slave is in his view, and that slavery is an institution natural and desirable, without denying that there are cases when it is not so. He returns in this chapter to a point which was touched on in ch. r, and again in ch. $3, \S_{4}$ : Is the government of slaves a science, is it further identical with the government of a household and a state, are all governments in short identical? The answer to this last is, he thinks, evident, from what has been said. The government
differs with the difference of the governed, ch. 5, § 2, єíd $\eta \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha$. So the government of the free will differ from that of the slave. And all governments are not identical, for whilst a family is a monarchy, political government is the rule over free and equal men. The former leaves no freedom to its subjects, but the will of the head is supreme law, the latter allows for the idea of freedom.
$2 \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \nu$ ] 'because of any knowledge that he has, but simply because he is such, a master ;' it is the statement of a fact, an actual relation, not involving any qualifications.
$\epsilon \pi \tau \iota \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \quad \delta$ ' äv $\epsilon i \neq]$ 'Still you may speak of a science with reference to masters and slaves.' The knowledge which slaves require to make them good servants may be called $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \eta$ $\delta o u \lambda \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$. The knowledge which a master requires for the right use of slaves would be $\grave{\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta} \mu \eta$ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о т \kappa \grave{\eta}$. But when possible this is transferred to subordinates. Distinct from both these branches of knowledge stands a third, the acquisition of slaves.





 $\pi \alpha \rho о \iota \mu i \alpha \nu$

## סoû入os $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \delta o u ́ \lambda o v, ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ r \eta s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ t o v . ~$










 рі́б $\theta \omega$ тòv тоóтод.



 dinary services, the common duties of servants.'
 elder. Suidas under $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$. Meineke, Com. Grace. Iv. p. 16, takes it as advi. I should construe it as, 'one slave is before another-better than.'
$\left.5 \tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu\right]$ 'this office.'
 political life, or cultivate speculative studies,' the two alternatives for the Greek freeman.
$\kappa \tau \eta \tau \kappa \dot{j}]$ Comp. below, ch. VIII. § 12.

oiov $\dot{\eta}$ סiкala] 'I mean that art of
acquiring slaves which is just and lawful.'
VIII. I The slave was singled out from other property as resting on other grounds, and requiring quite a separate discussion. He now turns from the ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \psi \psi \chi^{\prime} \nu \quad$ to the $\alpha^{\circ} \psi v \chi o \nu \quad o p \gamma a \nu o \nu$, from the living to the lifeless instrument, so to complete the subject of property, $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$, which is by I. IV. 3 , $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o}$ ó $\rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \omega \nu$.
${ }_{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon[\pi \epsilon \rho]$ the method he had adopted in the treatment of the slave he would naturally continue in the treatment of the rest of property.


 $\pi o u \not a ̣$. ov̀ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \omega \mathrm{~s} \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \in \tau о \hat{\sigma} \tau \nu$, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’ \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ on $\rho \gamma a \nu \alpha$






 тоv̂ хрпиатєбтєко仑̂ $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ к \tau \grave{\eta} \sigma \iota s$






$\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \dot{\eta} \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \kappa \dot{\jmath}]$ There are three questions : is the art of acquiring property identical with the art of managing a family ? or, 2ndly, is it a part of it? or, 3rdly, is it instrumental to it? Adopt this last, and there still remains the distinction as to the mode in which it is instrumental. The first is answered in the negative.
$\kappa є \rho \kappa \delta о \pi о \iota \kappa$ '] 'the art of making shuttles.'
$2 \pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ оiкого $\mu \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu]$ 'besides,' or ' if it be not.'

Ëтєроע єโठos] 'distinct in kind,' "pune science à part." St Mil.
$\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \delta \iota \alpha \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ 'involves a thorough discussion,' to get at the ifferent senses.

3 ti $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i]$ Grant that it is the province of him who has to provide wealth to consider from what sources he is to acquire money and property,
 does not clear up the point ; property and wealth are terms of wide extent. Many branches may come under them with which we are not concerned, and each branch may require consideration. So that some $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ may be within the province of the oikóvouos, whilst the larger part of it is not. And the first and most natural branch is that of agriculture, as concerned with the food of man. In fact it would be as well to generalise at once, and consider all such occupations as concern the food of men, cal каӨ'்خov $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$.
$\left.4 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu\right]$ ' Not however that this question of food is simple; the food of men differs widely as does that of animails, and according to the difference of food is the difference of life.'


















 $\tau \rho o \phi$ भिs. The plural pronoun seems to me quite in keeping with his usually rather lax use of the pronouns, influenced here perhaps by the plural

$6 \pi 0 \lambda \grave{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ Aristotle accounts for the different modes of life by reference to the difference of food ; for that the modes of life do differ widely is a simple fact.
Aristotle seems to put the nomad, pastoral life the lowest, on the ground that it requires the least exertion. To him also the hunter life would rise in proportion, as under it is placed the catching of slaves, whose importance he could not underrate. Later writers on the same subject put the hunter lowest. I need only refer to M. Dunoyer, Liberté du Travail, Vol. I. Compare Adam Smith's Wealth of Nations, In-
troduction, or Mill's Political Economy, Introd. Chapter. The ground is its uncertain character and its alternations of extreme fatigue and indulgence, tending to degrade the man.
$\tau 0 \hat{s} \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \sigma t]$ depends on ar $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha l o v$.
'The cattle require change for their pasture, the men are compelled to move with them, for the field they cultivate has, as it were, life and motion,' "un champ vivant."

7 di $\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ las] This quiet mention of privateering or piracy, the buccaneer life, is illustrated by Od. IX. 254 , for the earlier period of Greece, and by Thus. I. 5 for a later period than Homer's and for the continental tribes of his own day, of whom he says ot $\kappa o ́ \sigma \mu o s ~ к а \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ тоиิто $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$. Compare also II. $3^{2}$, for the Locrians III. 5 I.

тotaúr $\eta \nu$ ] 'Such as suits for fishing.'




















 'all, that is, that depend on their own unaided labour,' their native industry merely, and do not look to barter and trade. This is the primitive and lower stage of social development, and is represented by the Arab of the desert, the piratical tribes of the Malay Archipelago, the Esquimaux, and the Red Indian. For, aúróфutov, native, self-grown, see Liddell and Scott, Lex. where the word aujroupria is quoted as equivalent to the expression aúróфuzov ép $\quad$ aбià.
 general rule; rarely do we find an entire exclusion of barter. And the precariousness of the hunter's life renders the admixture of tillage almost indispensable.

9 ís ä̀ $\dot{\eta} \quad \chi \rho \in i a]$ 'just as their wants may compel them, so do they frame their life.'

тocaúr $\eta$ ] 'property in this sense is evidently given to all by Nature herself, not merely at once, at the very moment of their birth, but also when they are arrived at maturity.'
 no knowledge of natural history, but it would seem that the term vermiparous is obsolete, that in fact oviparous and viviparous are exhaustive.
इफотокєî] 'all viviparous creatures have in themselves a certain supply of food for their young in the shape of that which is called milk.'

II $\gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha s]=\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \omega$, § 9. Compare v. 5. 5, ${ }^{\text {a }} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota ~ \gamma \in \nu o \mu \hat{\nu} \nu o c s$ кal $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \omega$.




















12 áre入és] 'incomplete.' Compare below, XIII. II, on $\pi \alpha$ îs ai $\tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$ 's.
 so far as it is natural, or an institution of nature, will be a certain form of the art of acquiring; for war includes, as a branch of it, the hunter's art, which you are bound to employ against the animals, and also against all men who naturally calculated for subjects are incline to dispute this decree of nature ; and you are bound to do this on the ground that war for such an object is naturally just.' Compare IV. II. 15 . "La guerra est un moyen nature d'acquérir," says StHil., construing the $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ with $\kappa \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$.

13 'One form then of the art of acquiring property is a branch of Economics.' So far we have got.
$\delta \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'For there must either$
be already in existence, or it must take measures that there exist a supply of those things which are necessary for life, and useful for the association of men either in states or families, and which admit of accumulation.' ob, 'quod,' does not lose its relative sense.

14 kail 'eockev] 'and wealth, so far as it is true wealth, or wealth in its true sense, is composed of these objects.'
$\dot{\eta} \gamma a ́ \rho]$ 'I say $\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \delta s$, for there are two kinds, and the adequate supply of such property as this is not, in the language of Solon, without a limit.'

Solon : Relliquia. Fr. xis. ed. Bergk. It is also given with a slight variation in the fragments of Theognis, 227.

True wealth is a means to an end,

 $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$.

















but it is absurd to suppose a means without a limit.
${ }^{15}$ ठ̈ $\left.\tau \iota \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \tau o \neq \nu v \nu\right]$ This concluding clause, like the similar one at the end of Ch. V., gives Aristotle's positive conclusion. So far as wealth is looked on as the command of the necessary instruments for family and political life, so far the science that treats of it is one in accordance with nature, and properly within the province of the political writer.
$\delta \iota$ ' ${ }^{2} \nu$ aifiav] 'the grounds on which.'
 of VIII. 2, Ch. VIII. having given us the $\mu \epsilon$ 'िos.
$\left.\delta \iota^{\prime}{ }_{\eta} \nu\right]$ ' And it is this species that has given rise to the opinion that wealth and property have no limit.'
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \tau \nu \dot{a} a \sigma \nu]=\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \tau o \nu i a \nu, \quad$ neighbourhood,' 'near connexion of the two.'
ov $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon t$ ] It is not the necessary accompaniment of society in any shape; it is rather the result of experience, the result, in fact, of the sense of need and the wish to remedy that need. This remedying of a need felt is the object of art. Comapare Ethics, I. iv. 15. p. $1097,5, \pi a \hat{a} \sigma a l$ रà $\rho \tau \grave{\partial}$ tiv $\delta \epsilon$ ès $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau o v ̂ \sigma a \iota$.
$2 \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}]$ sc. $\chi \rho \eta \hat{\sigma} \iota s$. 'The use of it as a shoe, and its use in exchange.'
 True of its ultimate, but not of its primary, destination.

4 d́ $\rho \xi$ gaué $\nu \eta, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Aristotle allows$ the natural origin of commerce. It is

 $\dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \dot{\prime}$. öбо⿱




 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$ єै $\tau \iota \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha \rho \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$





a question of degree into which it resolves itself.
$\left.\hat{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \kappa \alpha \nu \omega ิ \nu\right]$ Here is the sense of want ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \in$ ), and that want is the result of a natural arrangement. So man naturally seeks a remedy, and art or skill steps in.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \tau \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\jmath} s]$ in its true sense, that of the last chapter, which in $\S \mathrm{I} 8$ he speaks of as $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa a l a s-o i к o \nu о \mu \kappa \bar{\jmath} s$ $\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota-\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \rho \sigma \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$.
$\dot{\eta} \kappa a \pi \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}]$ retail trade seems the common sense, but it may here well stand for trade in general.
of $\sigma$ vv $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] 'Had it been so, the exchange would have ceased when it had reached the point of supplying the deficiency felt.' But where is the limit to be fixed-what is $\tau$ dol iкavóv? Looking at the human race as a whole connetted, amongst other bonds, by this powerful one of mutual wants, of need of mutual service, any attempt to say where the limit of trade shall be seems arbitrary, and leads to endless difficulties. It will be always a question of practical sense.
 no opening for it.' $a u$ ưjs $=\tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \alpha \pi \eta-$
$\lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$.
$\eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \eta \pi \lambda \epsilon$ loos, $\left.\kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ ' only when the intercourse is on a more extended scale.'
oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] sc. \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ oikiq̣, 'had all things in common.'
oi $\delta \epsilon$, к. .т.入.] The others by virtue of their being apart would have, looked at as a whole, many things in common ( $̇ \kappa \kappa \iota \nu \omega \dot{\nu} \nu o v \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$, but different parts of the whole would have different parts of that common stock ( $\kappa$ al $\dot{\text { exr }} \tau \hat{\rho} \rho \nu$ ). These different objects they would want, and would necessarily be led to exchange one with the other, and would adopt the method yet in use among many of the barbarous nations, namely, actual barter. Such, paraphrased, seems the sense of the passage. But Aristotle does not seem to see with sufficient clearness that this is what all commerce ultimately is, and ever must be, an exchange of objects of use, 'un troc de products.' It is only to facilitate this that the complicated commercial system of his own or of our times has been introduced.

6 र $\rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\rho} s$ ] 'The art of making money.' Here used in its bad sense,





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi \hat{\alpha}{ }_{S}$ aútoùs $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma i \mu \omega \nu$




and as equivalent to $\kappa \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \kappa \kappa \eta$, § 4 , and X. 4, and to the $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \tau \kappa \hat{\text { भेs }}$ of the same section.
ais davan入íp $\omega \sigma \omega]$ So there was a previous want felt ; this appears from Eth. х. iii. 6. p. 1173 в 7 .
 needed to enable man to attain his full completeness, to gratify all his natural wants'-a state which though kara фúrty he is conceived not to have attanned. Compare the expression $\tau \hat{\eta} s$
 1153, 14.
7 тaúrns] is the тotaítๆ $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \lambda \eta$ $\tau \iota \kappa \eta$ - $\overline{\kappa \kappa \epsilon t \nu \eta ~ i s ~ t h e ~} \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{s} s-$ кaтd̀ 入óyov, by a natural sequence, 'as a logical consequence there arose nothen kind.' Compare Eth. I. xi. 4. p.

$\xi \in \nu / \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s]$ 'more widely extended.'
 absolutely indispensable.
8 oú $\gamma$ áp, к.т.т.].] 'Something more portable was required, the majority of commodities being very inconvenient in this respect.'
on $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \uparrow \mu \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'which it-$ self was something useful for the daily purposes of life, and was in use easily manageable.'
$\mu \in \gamma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \iota$ Kail $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$ ] 'by size and
weight.'
$\chi а \rho a \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda b \nu \tau \omega \nu]$ 'determined in value by men putting a stamp upon it, in order that it may save them from the trouble of weighing it.'
Compare Adam Smith, Bk. I. ch. iv. and for this actual definition Michel Chevalier, Vol. iII. ch. iii. p. 36. It is very good as a definition. It takes for money something which is an artiche of commerce, and capable at the same time of becoming a medium of exchange. "Aristote," says M. Chevaluer, "dons le nom était entouré d'un si grand respect pendant les siècles du moyen âge, n'avait cependent point admis la notion d'après laquelle la monnaie ne serait qu'un signe. Il avail au contraire, parfaitement exposé dans sa Politique, l'origine de la monnaie, et il en avail lien déterminé les caractères principaux. On en jugera par l'extrait suivant." He then quotes M. St Hilaire's translation of the passage, and adds: "En es termes la question est admirablement poses et résolue en même temps. Il ny a de signe dang la monnaie que l'empreinte qu'elle porte, et sous ce signe il ya inséparablement la substance."


















$9 \pi<\rho \iota \sigma \theta$ évtos ô̂v，к．$\tau . \lambda$.$] ＇When$ then money had been already intro－ duce on the demand of this neces－ sary species of exchange．＇This is one rendering of the $\epsilon \kappa$ ，or it may be，as a second step in the process，＇from this necessary exchange there arose the second species．＇
$\tau$ д̀ калпך入ıк $\delta \nu$ ］＇Trade．＇
 baps in its forms．＇
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \kappa \omega \tau \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$＇more systematic，＇or ＇scientific，＇I． 3 ．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu]$ sc．$\tau \grave{̀} \nu \dot{\mu} \mu เ \sigma \mu \alpha$ ．
 ter species absorbs the name，for in proportion as $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ becomes more reduced to a system it seems to pay more and more attention to money as the higher and more difficult part of

 $\beta \in \lambda \tau \iota o \nu$ tiv тoúrq．Eth．II．ii．Io．p．

## IIO5， 9.

 iv．4．p．1140，11．$\tau \epsilon \chi \chi \eta \pi \alpha a \sigma \alpha \pi \in \rho l$
 ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ al $\nu$ रév $\eta \tau \alpha \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．Compare also Rhet．т．ii．т．р． 1355 ，в 26.
$\pi \circ \circ \eta \tau \leftarrow \kappa \eta$＇］This again brings it under $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$ ，whose definition is Eth．vi．iv．


каi $\gamma$ aa $\rho$, к．т．入．］＇for indeed not un－ frequently men identify wealth with money；＇the thing signified with the sign ；the exchangeable property with the instrument of exchange．An old error of very great tenacity．

II $\nu \delta \mu \mathrm{\mu}$ ］＇merely conventional．＇ ova $\theta \in \nu]$＇nothing．＇The substantival sense．
ö $\tau \iota$ ，к． $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．入．］＇both because，if those who employ it choose to change it，it becomes of no value．＇
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau i a \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ］＇The insatiate desire of his prayer．＇The sentence




















$\left.12 \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \lambda_{0} \hat{\tau} \tau o \nu\right]$ 'the real wealth.' $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\nu}$, 'the true science of acquiring wealth.'.
auer $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \nu$ ] 'and whilst this ( $\dot{\eta} \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\phi \dot{\sigma}(\nu)$ the natural one is part of the management of the family.'
$\sigma \tau о \iota \chi \epsilon \hat{o} \nu$ каl $\pi \epsilon$ épas] 'The first element and the ultimate limit;' the beginning and the end of the process.

13 airttpos $\delta \dot{\eta}$, к.т.入.] Money, the means to the oik jo 0 os, becomes the end to the калŋ $\lambda^{\prime} \iota k$ os; finite therefore to the former, it is infinite to the latter. Compare Ch. VIII. § I4.
${ }^{1}+\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta^{\prime}$ olкороник $\hat{\jmath}$ ] This clause is difficult, from its abruptness. The thought meant to be conveyed seems to be as follows: In the former species, the false art of acquiring wealth, mo-
ney is the avowed object, and is sought for without any limit. In the second and true species, which is subordinate to the higher objects of the family, (olкovo䒑ıк $\hat{\eta}$ ) and is not merely concerned with making money ( $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma$ $\tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s)$ there is a limit to wealth and to the efforts made to secure it; that limit is fixed by those higher objects ; $\pi \epsilon \in \rho a s \gamma \grave{a} \rho \tau \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon$ 'ौọ̣, oủ $\gamma$ à $\rho \tau o u ̂ \tau o$, 'for the making of money is not the object of the family life.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \in \nu$ ] 'Though in one sense.'
$\tau \dot{\delta} \sigma \dot{v} v \in \gamma \gamma v s$ au̇tûv] 'The nearness of the senses of the words.' Compare Eth. v. ii. I. p. 1129,27 , ar $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ àà $\tau \grave{\partial}$

 $\delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \eta \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$.
 the two uses of the same article run









 $\nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \dot{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \iota \beta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тòv $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota \sigma \mu o ́ \nu$












into one another and become confused， and each of the two respectively comes under the science that deals with pro－ party．＇
$\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s]$ rather $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \chi \rho \hat{\eta}-$ $\sigma \iota s$ ，at least this seems much the easier form．＇It is the same piece of pro－ pert that we use，but the use to which we put it is not the same．＇ Beaker＇s text is defended by Klotz， （John and Klotz，Ann．Phil．et Pod． vI．xvii．I，p．20，）who translates it： ＇desselben Gebrauchs ist nämlich ain Eigenthum．＇But I do not see that this explains the Greek．Stair changes
it as I do，with the remark，＇vulgo ineptè．＇

тoûto］sc．$\dot{\eta} \alpha$ びگそ $\eta \sigma \iota$ ．
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \nu 0 \mu i \sigma \mu a \tau o s$ oui $\left.{ }^{[ } \alpha \nu\right]$＇Their property in money．＇
 at，make an effort after living well ：＇ the $\epsilon \hat{v} \zeta^{\zeta} \hat{\eta}^{\nu}$ is ambiguous．
${ }^{17} \delta_{i}$＇$a \lambda \lambda \eta s$ airlas］＇by the instru－ mentality of something else．＇
éкর́бтŋ $\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu o t]$ Compare Plat． Rep．I． 346 ，on the subject of $\mu \tau \sigma \theta a \rho-$ $\nu \eta \tau \iota \bar{\prime}$.

I8 ámavтầ］＇meet，combine in forwarding．＇
 ö $\rho o v$.


















$a \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta}]$ justified by the $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ in the preceding line.

The conclusion of the chapter distinguishes very clearly the two branches of the science, the one not necessary, the other indispensable, with its proper object, the maintenance of the family, and having its due limit set by that object.
X. I The distinction drawn in Ch. IX. carries with it a clear answer to the original question : is the science that treats of property the province of the father of a family and the statesman? It is not so, it is a subordinate science to theirs, but the necessary
 $\chi \epsilon \omega$. It and its results must be pre-
supposed. For this seems the full force of то仑̂то.
$\epsilon \kappa$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тoú $\omega \nu$ ] 'then, as the next step.'

2 каl $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{a} \pi$ ор $\eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' F o r$. if we answered the question differently then it might become a difficulty,' \&c.

3 $\tau 0 \hat{1} \tau o]$ sc. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta_{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$.
$\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Compare above$ Ch. VIII. $\S 9$, ro, for the reasoning out of what is here concisely stated. In itself the passage is obscure, but put side by side with the former one need present no difficulty. 'For it is the business of nature to provide food for that which is born ; for everything finds its sustenance in what remains of that from which it is born.'
 $\sigma \tau \iota \grave{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta_{\varphi}^{\prime} \omega \nu, \quad \delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}_{S} \delta_{4}{ }_{4}$










 9, 18, and $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \eta \tau \iota \hat{\eta} s$ just below.
$\psi \epsilon \gamma о \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s$ סєкаl $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ] For Aristotle's view of interest, compare Mr Grote III. I43, and foll.: "We hardly understand how it can ever have been pronounced unworthy of an honourable citizen to lend money on interest ; yet such is the declared opinion of Aristote and other superior men of antiquite."
oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu]$ " unnatural, as being made by one man at the expense of another," Grote, ibid. In this $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$ $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ lies the fallacy. Between the parties trading there is no opposition of interests, but community. Compare M. Bastiat, Harmonies Économiques, p. 147: "Que lon considère les relations d'homme al tome, de facile à famale, de province as province, de nation à nation, d'hémisphère à hémisphère, de capitaliste à ouvrier, de propriétaire à prolétaire,il est évident, ce me semble, qu'on ne put ni résoudre, ni même aborder le problème social à aucun de ses points de rue avant d'avoir choisi antre es deux maximes : Le profit de l'un est le dommage de l'autre. Le profit de l'un est le profit de l'autre." The problem so stated is discussed at great
length in what follows, and solved, differently from Aristotle, in favour of the last of the two maxims.
$\dot{\eta}$ ißoлootaгıк $\dot{\eta}]$ 'The trade of a petty usurer;' its use here is quite general. L. and S . sub voc. On this subject compare Boeckh, Publ. Econ. of Athens, pp. 170, 171 , dst edit.
 'has got its name.' Compare Grote, III. I43, n. 2, "the well-known dictum of Aristotle, that money being naturally barren, to extract offspring from it must necessarily be contrary to nature."

Bacon, (Herr yVII. Edit. Montagu. Vol. III. p. ${ }^{227}$, ) calls usury the bestad use of money. Compare also Gibbon, v. 415,416 , and note. On the whole subject compare Paley, Moral and Political Philosophy, iII. Ch. x. It has been much discussed of late, especially in France. There is a small tract containing a discussion of the whole subject between MM. Proudhon and Bastiat, Gratuité du Crédit, also another tract by the last-named author, Capital et Rente, both of which are admirably clear.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \tau \mu \omega \hat{\nu}]$ 'money-making, business, trade.'












 $\sigma \tau \sigma \nu \mu^{\prime} \nu \quad$ є́ $\mu \pi о \rho i ́ a ~(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s ~ \mu ' ́ \rho \eta ~ \tau \rho i ́ a, ~ v а v к \lambda \eta \rho i ́ a ~ ф о \rho-~$






XI. 1 т ${ }^{\nu} \nu \quad \mu \grave{̀} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i a \nu$, к.т. 入.] 'whilst in speculation they are free, in practice they are limited.'
$\left.\mu \epsilon \rho \eta \chi \rho \eta^{\prime} \sigma \iota \mu a\right]$ The construction is loose. His object is to divide the science into its branches. The first concerns $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\mu} a \tau a$, which word is here limited to animals, though it is of much larger application by Ch. IV. 4,
 тєкóv, 'one branch of the science concerns animals, and it is useful to have practical acquaintance with the subject.'
$\left.2 \psi_{i} \lambda \hat{\eta} s\right]$ tillage; $\pi \epsilon \phi v \tau \epsilon v \mu \notin \nu \eta s$, cultivation of trees. Compare Demosth. 49 r , for the same distinction. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau$ tovprias. This is of much less importance since the introduction of sugar. No writer on agriculture would now
give it the prominence that Virgil does.

3 оiкєเота́тทs] sc. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ оlкоуоцккरी, that which is most strictly within the province of the oiкоуj$\mu$ os: $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma-$

$\left.\tau \hat{\eta}_{s} \quad \mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s=\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \kappa \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s\right]$ This has three subdivisions. Its first, ( $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi$ op $(a)$ is trade, again open to a threefold division, commerce by sea, ( $\nu a v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho i a)$, by land (форт $\eta \gamma^{i}(a)$, and selling in shops ( $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau a \sigma t s)$. The first two are the divisions of that which has been called l'industrie voiturière.

є̇тıкартiav] 'return, profit.'
$\mu \tau \sigma \theta a \rho \nu i a]$ 'The wages of labour.'
4 тaútทs] The labour for which wages are paid is either skilled or unskilled.







 тú $\chi \eta s, \beta \alpha \nu \alpha v \sigma o ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta ’$ 'av $\alpha \hat{i} \tau_{\tau} \grave{\alpha} \quad \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \omega \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \mu \alpha ́-$

 є́ $\pi \epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\sigma \tau i \nu$ є̀vioıs $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тои́т $\omega \nu$, ô̂ov Xá $\rho \eta \tau \iota{ }_{7}$






$\dot{\alpha} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \omega \nu]$ 'such as do not bear fruit and multiply.'
 note detail, might be useful for business purposes, but it would be out of place to dwell on the subject here.'

The simplest and truest division of the different branches of industry that I am acquainted with is that given by M. Dunoyer, Liberté du Travail, Vol. II. p. II f. It is fourfold, so far as man's industry deals with things: Lindustrie extractive, voiturière, manufacturière, agricole. These last two are treated separately; as the first brings into play for the production of its results, powers without life, mechanical, physical, or chemical ; the latter calls to its aid the vital powers. By the first of the four man appropriates whatever there is in nature which is useful to him, by the second
he transports, by the third and fourth he transforms, only by a different agency in each case.

6 This section seems out of place. The remarks are true, but interrupt the connexion, and are in no way needed here.
 Eth. vi. iv. 5. p. 1140, 18.
$7 \pi \epsilon \rho l$ тov́r $\omega \nu$ ] This refers to the subject that precedes $\S 6$, and not to that section itself.

Of Chares and Apollodorus nothing seems known.
 notices and observations.'
$\left.\delta \iota^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'as to the means by which some have succeeded.'

8 Өá入є $\omega$ ] This is mentioned by Grote, II. I55, " the first commencemont of scientific prediction amongst the Greeks."


























[^1]＇arrhes．＇
$\left.{ }^{e} \lambda \lambda \alpha o v \rho \gamma i \omega \nu\right]=$ è $\lambda a \iota o v \rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ，Liddell and Scott，＇oil－presses．＇
${ }_{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\beta} \lambda \lambda$ गov $\left.\pi o s\right]$＇raising the price．＇
io On the subject of monopolies compare Boeckh，Vol．I．p．73，rst Ed．

II ov̉ $\pi$ o入入ì̀ $\nu \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.] ~ ' w i t h-$ out raising the price much．＇
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ тoîs $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \dot{\kappa o \nu \tau a] ~ F o r ~ h i s ~} 50$ talents he got 150： 200 per cent． profit．




















I3＇Some statesmen even limit their notion of statesmanship to this point．＇ The importance of correct financial arrangements made itself felt even in the ancient world．It has come with us to be so prominent that financial ability is almost the only one popu－ carly recognised，and has a very undue share of honour paid to it．

XII．I $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i{ }^{\delta} \epsilon$ ］The apodosis to this Schneider finds at the beginning of the next chapter，фа⿱㇒日¢ò $\tau$ rolvvv，and rightly．
$\left.\tau \rho i a \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \hat{\eta}^{\nu}\right]$ Ch．III．§ 1.
$\left.d^{\prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu\right]$ supply $\hat{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\rho} \rho o s$ ，as Klotz suggests．

$\tau$ ob $\tau \in \gamma \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho]$＇There must be some rule in both cases，for，\＆c．＇
$\epsilon l \mu \dot{\eta} \pi o v \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ＇put－$ ting aside exceptional cases which
exist．＇
$2 \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ oủv，к．$\tau$ ．入．］This is intro－ duce to qualify the $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}$ ．The strict idea of such rule would involve an interchange of the relation，the ruled would in turn take the place of ruler．For the citizens of a state are on a level，free and equal，and equally qualified therefore to exercise power． Still during the given period of his power the ruler is marked off from the ruled．But as between husband and wife，the distinction is not temporary but perpetual ：it is not attained by artificial methods，but marked by nature．
$\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i]$ Impersonal－on cherche．＇It is an object that there should be a difference．＇
$\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota]$＇the insignia of office．＇
$\left.{ }^{*} A \mu a \sigma t s\right]$ Herod．II． 172.
$3 \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i]$ каl оч̉к $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ．



 $\pi a \tau \grave{\rho} \mathrm{a} \nu \delta \delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon$,
тòv $\beta \alpha \sigma_{\iota} \lambda \epsilon \in \alpha$ тоúт $\omega \nu$ ai $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．фv́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ 耳à $\rho$ тòv $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \alpha$
 $\pi о \nu \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \nu \epsilon \omega ' \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ к а і ̈ ~ o ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta ́ \sigma \alpha s$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ к \nu о \nu . ~}$















$\beta$ aбti九кर́］Eth．viII，xii．4．p．ırбo．в． 24.
$\pi a \tau \eta ́ \rho, ~ к . \tau . \lambda.] ~ I l . ~$ I． 544 ．＇After

 laying stress on the preposition．
 be a natural distinction between the king and his subjects，he must still in kind be the same．＇This holds good between the elder and the younger， the father and child；they are of the same kind，they differ in age．

XIII．I $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau 0 \cup ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ sc．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega$.
2 The statement that more atten－ tion is to be paid to the excellence of the free man than to that of the slave suggests the question：what is this excellence of the slave？

тарà тàs д $\rho \gamma а \nu \iota \kappa \alpha ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \delta \iota а к о \nu \iota к а ́ s] ~] ~$ ＇Beside his excellence as an instru－ ment and a servant．＇
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \xi \epsilon \omega \nu]$ sup－ ply $\tau \iota s$ ，from ova $\epsilon \epsilon \mu$ la．Götthing．

3 入ó $\gamma o v$ ］＇reason．＇$\tau \grave{~ \zeta \eta \tau о u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu, ~}$ ＇the question．＇

каi áкb入абтоs］It must be allowed that the child is $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \dot{\prime} \lambda a \sigma \tau o s$ in one
 » $\quad$ nov














sense．Eth．III．xv．5．p．i rig．B．5．Is
 $\phi \rho \omega_{\nu}$ ？Are we，that is，to determine the sense which we attach to the former epithet by that which we generally attach to the latter，and say that he is capable of reaching the lowest and highest moral condition？
$4 \delta \dot{\eta}$ ］This reading is quite right． As we have had mentioned the three who are naturally under rule，the slave， the wife，and the child，it follows that the enquiry extends to the whole class which they form．The question is a parallel one to that discussed in III． 4：Have the citizen and the ruler the same excellence？Here it is not the

$\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \xi$ ］perpetuo，Victorious，＇once for all；＂＂à jamais，＂St Hill．
oùȯ $\gamma$ áp，к．т．$\lambda$ ．］The difference in degree implies sameness in kind．But here，as so often in the work，it must be remembered that the statement is aporematic，that is，forms part of a discussion，so that it will not warrant a direct inference as to Aristotle＇s
view on the subject．

 бьaфopal．
$6 \dot{v} \phi \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \tau a i]$＂＇Cess ce que nous avens déjà dit，＂is St Hilaire＇s translation． Schneider supplies фúoıs．Heinsius，as quoted by Schneider，agrees with St Hi－ laire．＂Und darauf wird man gleich vo vorn herein bee der Seele hingeführt．＂ Stair．No one of these is satisfactory． ＇And this at once suggests to us to look at the soul and its constitution，and see whether we cannot get some light there：Are there not in the soul parts with a relation to one another？and what is the excellence of these parts？－ is it the same or different？why there we allow it to be different？＇So I in－ terpret the passage．Compare Eec．I． iv．I．p．1344，io：$\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta$＇$\dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \epsilon \bar{\tau} \tau \alpha \iota$ ठ̀̀ Kail od кo九vòs vó $\mu$ os．
oîov］used here as Ch．VII．5，ôov $\dot{\eta}$ ठıкala，simply as explaining $\tau \grave{\partial}$ atp ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{2}$ $\kappa a l \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\sigma} \mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ ；and the genitive is explained by the $\omega \nu$ ．

ס分入ov roivvv，к．т．$\lambda$ ．］guided by this





















parallel we may consider clear the other case which we were discussing, and all similar cases.
$\omega \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon l \omega]$ So that we may consider nature to sanction, for the majority of instances, the distinction between ruler and ruled. The particular forms that distinction will assume are a further question. They differ in each case, ă $\lambda \lambda o \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \rho \delta \dot{\pi} \nu \nu$, $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$.
$7 \delta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ бои̂入os, к. $\tau$. 入.] The slave can have no will, as he is in no sense his own, so he needs no deliberative faculty to guide him. The woman has will and the faculty of deliberation, but its decisions wait for sanction, they are per se of no force. The child is, in this respect as in others, incom-
plete.
$8 \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \psi]$ supply $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$, or some such word, 'as much as each needs or has allotted to him for the discharge of his own proper work.'

The ruler must have фрóv $\sigma \iota \iota$. Compare below, III. 4. This is $\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon a$, the perfect combination of the moral and the intellectual elements of virtue. Eth. vi. xiii.

## $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s]$ 'strictly.'

$9 \Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta s]$ In the 5 th book of Plato's Republic.

Io катà $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \rho \sigma s$ ] 'in detail.'
ка.̈́̀ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] Compare Eth. II. vii. 1. p. 1107, 29 : $̇ \mathrm{e} \nu \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l} \tau \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}$
 $\epsilon i \sigma \nu \nu$, oi $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi i{ }^{\prime} \mu \notin \rho o u s \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o l$.



















II $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu]$ sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.
रvvaıкі ко́ $\sigma \mu \nu$ ] Soph. Aj. 293.
$\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \delta^{\prime}, \kappa . \tau$. त.] Since the child is incomplete, his excellence as well as himself will be incomplete ( $\kappa a l$ $\dot{\eta}$ $\left.\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta^{\prime}\right)$; both will have reference to the perfect, full-grown man, under whose guidance he is.

12 тoбaút $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ] 'only so much.'
di pa кai, к.т.入.] 'will it not be necessary for the artisans to have virtue?

In ${ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \iota a \phi \notin \rho \epsilon \iota \tau o u ̂ \tau o \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau o \nu$ \} ~ " I s s ~ her night twa in sehr bedeutender Unterschied." Stair. 'Is not this a case which differs most widely from the others.' The slave stands nearer to the family than the artisan does, and is therefore better off. The other has a share of virtue proportionate only to his participation in the slave's
condition. He is a slave, but a slave without the advantage of slavery, he is
 $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon$ ias, and as being so cannot secure his own real good. Compare Eth. vi. ix. p. 1142, 9. No passage marks more distinctly the low estimation in which Aristotle held the free artisan, the prolétaire of his days. No passage can give a livelier idea of the wide interval between his political ideas and our own-the political ideas of a society based on war and slavery, and those of one based on free industry.

14 rooaút ${ }^{5}$ s] Such as it was stated in $\$ 12$ before the question occurred as to the artisan.
$a \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ out $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] More fully this would stand $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma-$ $\kappa а \lambda \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\text {exp }} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$.

The Family
Relations.
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta ̆ \eta . ~ \delta ı o ̀ ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ к \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ s oi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o u ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta o u ́ \lambda o u s ~$ $\alpha \pi \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ каi фа́бкоутєs $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \alpha \mathfrak{\xi} \epsilon \iota \quad \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu o ́ \nu о \nu$. $\nu o u \theta \epsilon \tau \eta \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \nu$ रà $\rho \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ тoùs $\delta o u ́ \lambda o v s ~ \eta ̆ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta a s . ~$








 ф'́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \pi o u \delta a i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta a s ~$






 а $\rho i \sigma \tau \eta s$.
a Bekker $\tau a ́ s$.
$\left.\delta \iota^{\circ}\right]$ And if they need so much virtue they will need the right reason of their master to guide them to it, and in the application of it. The mere ordering will not suffice, there must be some reasoning with slaves, nay, more even than with children. His language here as elsewhere is very conciliatory in regard to slaves, and throws considerable light on the very great difference that exists between the slavery of the ancient world and that of the modern.
$x_{5}$ So far then for these points. The further treatment of them must be postponed. For beyond the point
at which we have now arrived the members of the family assume a poktical character, and must be viewed no longer simply with reference to the family, but to the state.
$\tau \ell \tau \grave{o} \kappa a \lambda \omega \bar{s}]$ sc. $\dot{\partial} \mu \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \dot{a} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s]$ Bekker retains the article. Nickes rejects it, and with good ground. Compare III, r. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ oiкодоиias, and III. i. у. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi 0 \lambda t-$ $\tau \epsilon$ las $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa 0 \pi о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \iota$.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon[\alpha \nu]$ If so you must first decide on this constitution.
$16 \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'we turn from$ this present book (the Economics), as complete.'

## BOOK II. SUMMARY.

THE second book of the Politics requires but little introduction. It is a simple review of the experience of the past; a review, on the one hand, of the various theories broached by political writers; on the other, of such eminent states as had, by their singularity or success, attracted the attention of the political student. So that a simple enumeration of its contents seems sufficient ; no analysis is required. Whatever difficulties it presents will find their more fitting treatment in the notes.

The largest portion and most detailed treatment is given to the theories of Plato, as set forth in, 1st, the Republic, which is examined in Cl. 1-5; 2ndly, the Laws in Ch. 6.

Ch. 7 The constitution of Phaleas of Chalcedon.
8 That of Hippodamus of Miletus.
9 The Spartan Constitution.
10 The Cretan.
11 The Carthaginian.
12 Miscellaneous notices of Athens, and of the various lawgivers of Greece, with their respective peculiarities.
The justification and ground of this elaborate review of the past, whether from the point of view of thcory or experience, may be



 бкоутєs.

And for the critical, negative tone in which he passes before him the various constitutions, whether theoretic or actual, the ground for that is given in Ch. I. § 1. Unless something more were shown to be wanting, Aristotle need not have entercd on the present enquiry.

The insertion of so fragmentary a notice of the Athenian constitution is as curious as the omission of a more detailed one. For from the remains existing of his work on the Polities, we know he had studied it in the greatest detail. What is actually given renders the chapter very suspicious. Had we that larger
work, it is possible the question might have admitted of solution, why he has not criticised Atheus, as he has Sparta, Crete, and Carthage? Is it that it was superfluous for him to do so, as his own ideal constitution, of which we have a large fragment in the 4th and 5th books (vir. viri. of the old arrangement), is in the main a modification of Athens? He was a true Athenian, says Niebuhr, "ein Athener von Herz, wenn auch nicht von Geburt" über alte Geschichte, mir. 54. He may have seen in Athens and its constitution great deficiencies, but he also may have seen in it the highest product of Greek political experience; and as such may have, in all his treatment of the subject, kept it in sight. If so, a detailed criticism would not be required. Adopting very largely its claborate forms and minute details, his own positive creation would be to any Athenian a sufficient criticism of the institutions of his country. The comparison would be one he could hardly avoid. It would be also clearly undesirable for one in Aristotle's position, a foreigner at Athens and in no sense mixing in the political affairs of his adopted country, to press on the notice of the Athenians any unfavourable criticisms. Abstinence from such criticism may fairly be required of strangers by the government of any country in which they are resident.

And it is clear, I think, from the whole of the book that Aristotle did not conceive himself under the necessity of handing down for future times and altered circumstances the political facts of his day. On the contrary, he looked on the Greek state, modifiable and modified in conformity with experience, as the ultimate form of human society. So that if he was exempt from the duty of criticising, he was under none of the obligations of the historian. It was not as an historian, but as a political philosopher, that he observed the Hellenic constitutions, and registered the results of his observations.

## IIOAITIK $\Omega \mathrm{N}$ B.
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I. I $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \rho \circ \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta a \alpha \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$, к.т.入.] This, as Spengel remarks, shows that Aristotle had the intention of himself constructing a constitution, as Plato and Phaleas had done. Spengel's remarks on the general object of the book are very good, and his short essay, Weber die Politik won Aristoteles, published in the Abhandlungen of the Munich Academy of Sciences, is throughout very valuable.
$\sigma 0 \phi l j \in \sigma \theta a l]$ 'to refine.'
 as Nicker rightly sees, justifies Arsistote in dwelling mainly on the points he objects to. And as the works criticised were then accessible, and the
constitutions treated of actually in existence, there was no need to guard statements which may at times appear one-sided.
$\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta o \delta o \nu \epsilon \in \pi \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \theta \theta \alpha \iota]$ 'to have taken upon us this branch of our inquiry.'
$2 \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ By I. $\mathbf{r}$, \&c. the city was a кocvavia. It must be a $\kappa o \nu \nu \omega \nu i a$ of something. What shall be the limit? What shall its members have in common?
 $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ] There can be no reasonable doubt, I should think, that Dekker is right in adopting this reading against the MSS. This unity of place is in Republic. $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тóт $\epsilon \rho о \nu$ ö $\sigma \omega \nu$ є่ $\nu \delta \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ коь $\nu \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
















keeping with the whole Greek view of a city as given in I. ii. 8 and foll.; and see the notes there.
$3 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ Granting then that there must be something in common, is it better that all things should be in common or not?
${ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \chi \chi \in \tau \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \rho$ ] 'For it is at any rate possible.'

ஸंs $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ov̈т $\beta \in \lambda \tau \tau o \nu]$ Is the actual practice really better for men, or shall we adopt Plato's view? This is the point.
II. I $\delta \iota^{\prime} \eta \ddot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ aitiap] 'The ground on which Socrates rests the necessity for adopting this legislation does not seem to be a legitimate consequence from his arguments.' He does not seem to attain the result which he aims at. This clause has reference to the means by which Socrates tries to
attain his end ; the next to the end itself, the unity of the state.
$2 \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \delta \epsilon$, к.т.入.] 'I mean the statement that it is best that the city should in all cases attain unity in the highest degree possible. For this is the fundamental position taken by Socrates.' It is too broad a statement in Aristotle's view, and must be limited. Mere unity is not the object.
$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \tau \iota$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'For by its$ nature the state involves a certain number.' If you try to get rid of this condition, you by so doing destroy the state. It is from the individual you get the clearest notion of unity; as you leave the individual you recede from unity, and vice versa, as you redescend in the scale, and reapproach the individual, you get more unity.
 ,













3 But it is not merely number that is implied in the idea of a state ( $\left.\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau, \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \nu\right)$, but a number formed of dissimilar units. An alli-ance-a tribe-both these may be formed of similar parts; they are but aggregates. A state is a whole.
 pare Eth. v. viii. 8-9. p. 1133, 16, out


$\left.\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \circ \sigma \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \circ \nu\right]$ mere number, for the strength which number gives, is the object of an alliance, although the elements that compose it are the same in kind.

Bon $\theta$ alas] 'support.' This remark is parenthetical, and should be marked as such by the stopping.

山̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ä̀ $\epsilon i]$ The question with regard to an alliance is the same as that with regard to a weight. 'Un alliance est come one balance out l'emporte toujours le plateau le plus chargé.' St Hel.



ठ̈т av $\mu \dot{\eta}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] The change which$ took place in Arcadia by the found-
timon of Megalopolis, and the consequent centralisation of the Arcadians, was in effect the change from a tribe into a state, an ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \nu o s$ into a $\pi o ́ \lambda c s$. It was the latest instance of such an event, of a $\sigma v p o$ ok $\sigma \tau$ s.
$\epsilon \xi \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon]$ This $\delta \epsilon$ answers to the $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ in $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \hat{c} \nu \gamma$ dad $\rho$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \sigma \sigma \hat{\varphi}$. The states of an alliance are not formed or blended into one, the members of a tribe or race are under no limitation. Both, as aggregates, may be indefinitely extended by the addition of any number of similar parts. This is not the case with a whole, which is not susceptible of indefinite extension, and must be composed of dissimilar parts all tending to one common end.

4 ס $\iota \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] And it is because$ the parts of a state are dissimilar, and act and react on one another in their common relations to the whole and their varied relations one to the other, that for the preservation of the social system you require reciprocity which shall keep them in harmonious action. Eth. v. viii. 6. p. 1132, B. 33, $\tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.














 doubtful? Is not the $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \boldsymbol{y}$ sufficient exactness for Aristotle?

кai èv rô̂s, к. $\tau . \lambda$.] 'Since even in the free and equal,' who are as much alike as possible, 'there must necessarily be this,' sc. $\tau \grave{o ̀ ~ a ̀ ~} \nu \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \pi o \nu \theta$ ós. With such there must be an interchange, and power must be held by them in turns on some definite principle.

5 каi $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a l \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}]$ This is abrupt. The meaning seems to be: 'True, the result is then that :' It must be allowed that. It meets an objection, and meets it by accepting it. It is desirable that the same people should continue shoemakers, and not be at one time shoemakers, at another carpenters. So it is desirable, if attainable, that the holders of power should be unchanged. But it is not always attainable. When all are in nature equal it would not be possible ; besides, it is but fair that power, whether it be a good or an evil, as it is in Plato's view, should be shared equally, \&c.

6 ovi $\omega \omega \mathrm{s}]$ sc. ḋ $\epsilon i$ toùs aútoús.
" $\chi$ © $\subset \nu]$ I put a comma after this, and make the apodosis begin with 'so too in regard to political society, it is clear that it is better,' \&c.
 seems to me hopeless as it stands. The best sense I can make of it is as follows ; ' $\hat{\nu} \nu$ roúrocs $\delta \epsilon$, in these cases then (the $\delta \epsilon$ marking the apodosis) it is better (supplying $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota o \nu$ ) to imitate, or come as near as possible to, the all holding power by those who are equal yielding in their turn fairly to those who originally yielded to them.' This rendering reads $\tau \hat{\omega}$ for $\tau \delta$, but the same sense may be extracted from the $\tau$ ó by making the whole clause the subject of $\mu \nu \mu \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$.

7 ot $\mu \grave{e} \nu \gamma \dot{a} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'For so the$ one rule, and the others are ruled in turn, and for the time they are considered to be different.'
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda o c \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota]$ For the expression compare Eth. Ix. iv. 4. p. 1166, 20.
 then they exercise their power on the same principles, the particular offices they hold will be different in each
 ＂才，，，







 $\tau o ̂ ̂ \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$.







 $\delta \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha \iota o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \omega s$ ．$\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\circ}$ out $\chi$ out $\tau \omega$ ф $\eta \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ oi коьขаîs $\chi \rho \omega ́ \mu є \nu о \iota ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \xi i ̀ ~ к \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau о i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ к \nu о \iota \varsigma, ~ \alpha ̀ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~$

case．＇But here again I do not feel that I understand the bearing of the remark，nor its connexion．

фауєрд̀ $\nu$ тoívvy］This resumes the main thread of the discussion，which has been interrupted by the passage
 Extreme unity is not to be aimed at： a state implies a limit to unity and diversity in its members，however near equality they may approach．So that if attained，unity would destroy the state，and cannot therefore be its excellence．

8 For this comp．I．II．5，and foll． $\kappa$ cal $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha l ~ \gamma ' \eta ゙ \delta \eta \tau \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ ］＇And then only in fact does a community claim to be a state，when it can be shown by the result that the association of the
given number is complete in itself．＇
III．I So far for the end aimed at． Unity，without due qualifications of the term，is not that end．But grant－ ing that it were，are the means adopted right ？
oúdè $\left.\tau 0 \hat{0} \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \sigma o \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \nu v \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\right]$ or as in II． 1．os фaiveral $\sigma v \mu \beta a i v o \nu]$＇It is avi－ dent that not even this is proved to be the result in theory of all saying， \＆c．＇

2 这 Ëкабтоs］distributively，＇all and each．＇
$\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon]$＇But in the case before us．＇
 lectively，＇all but not each．＇The body of the elder will stand in a given relation to the body of the younger，
 $\nu v \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha s, ~ ф а \nu \epsilon \rho o ́ v \cdot ~ \tau o ̀ ~$













but there will be no connexion between the individual members of the two.

3 тò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тávтєs каi dú $\mu \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon \rho a$, к.т.入.] The simplest way of taking this seems to be: 'For words like 'all,' 'both,' 'odd,' 'even,' from their ambiguity even in formal treatises give rise to fallacious reasoning.'
$\left.\dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o u ̀ s ~ \sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \circ{ }^{\prime} s\right]$ properly reasonings, where the object is not to establish truth but to gain a victory over your opponent, where the soundness or unsoundness of the reasoning is not the main point, but its immediate effect in silencing the opposite party.
$\delta \iota b^{\prime}$ I do not refer this to what immediately precedes, but rather to the whole subject. The result of this ambiguity of the word is, that you have carefully to distinguish the one sense from the other ; and if you do so clear up the matter it will be found that whilst in the first sense the language, if used, would imply a noble state of things, but one not attainable, in the second sense it does not bear a mean-
ing which would have any tendency to produce harmony.
4 From this criticism of the language used we pass to real difficulties. There will be an absence of the sense of property, and a consequent absence of interest. Nor merely so, but a positive neglect, on the ground that others are looking after the matter. This is verified by every-day experience in the case of servants.
$5 \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ ' $E a c h$ citizen has a thousand children, and no individual connexion to bind him to any one of the thousand. They are all equally the children of all, and all will be equally indifferent to them.'

E'T८ oũt $\omega \varsigma, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] This very hard.$ Is the ouvt $\omega$ s to be taken as referring to Plato's system, is it retrospective? or is it prospective, an anticipation in fact of the $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi o \nu$ ? Perhaps this last way is the true one. Not only will they all equally neglect the children, but there will be this further evil. The connexion, such as it is, will sit very lightly upon them.


Plato's Republic.




















' According as one is prosperous or the contrary, each of the citizens will accept him as his son or reject him, whatever may be the number of which he forms one. In the one case he will say he is mine, in the other he is so and so's ( $\left.\epsilon \mu \Delta{ }^{2} s \ddot{\eta} \tau 0 \hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu} 0 s\right)$; and this will be his mode of speaking of each of the thousand, and yet his language will rest on no footing of certainty, for no one knows who has had a son, or whose son if born has lived.'

6 каiто, к. т. 入.] 'And yet, allowing the practicability of the scheme, is it better for each one in this sense to use the term mine, applying it equally to,' \&c. ?
$7 \dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots \phi u \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \nu]$ is simply explanatory of the $\dot{\omega} s \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} s \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon$ -
$\sigma \iota \nu$. The $\kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau o \nu \gamma \alpha \rho$ carries on the reasoning.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a l \mu a \tau o s]$ ' by blood.'
$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu]$ ' in the first place av̇тô ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av่тô, of oneself, or those intimately connected with oneself.'
 would have it.'
 however but that, do what you will, it is impossible to escape this difficulty,' \&c.
$\tau \grave{s} \pi$ iof $\pi \epsilon s$ ] 'convictions.'
$\left.9 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha^{2} \nu \omega \Lambda \iota \beta \dot{\prime} \omega \nu\right]$ Herod. Iv. 180,
 tov $\pi a i ̂ s ~ \nu o \mu i j \in \tau a l$. Comp. for the general subject, IV. 104, the case of the Agathyrsi, and x. 216 of the Massagetæ.



 ô̂ov aiкías каi фóvous àкоибious ${ }^{\text {a }}$ каi $\mu a ́ \chi a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda o ı \delta o \rho i ́ a s . ~ . ~$









 $\delta_{i}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \quad \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ aiтiav $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i \alpha \nu$, wis $\lambda_{i ́ a \nu} \delta^{\prime} i \sigma \chi v \rho \hat{\alpha}_{S} \tau \hat{\eta} s$








 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ roùs $\delta \dot{\text { ò }}$ érovoious Bekker.

Dtkala in $\pi \pi o s$ ] Mentioned again in Hist. Anim. viI. vi. p. 586, 13.
IV. I roùs $\delta$ è ékovolous] This seems to me superfluous, a later addition. With one MS. I should omit it.
$\tau o v i s ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \delta ́ \rho \rho \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon$ las] 'not distant in relationship.'

ひ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \alpha ̈ \pi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu]$ sc. ä $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \eta$ öб $\sigma \nu$.
à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каі $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \circ \rho]$ 'But they must both happen more frequently.' Compare on this subject Grote, I. 34 , not.

In the text pp. 33, 34. He considers these $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ post-Homeric, and in their origin probably Lydian.

2 and 3 The reference is to Plato, Rep. III. 403.
4 roîs $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o i s]$ This depends on кotvás, not on $\chi \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \mu_{\mu \nu}$, as it would seem to do at first sight.
rocoúrous] sc. $\mathfrak{\eta} \tau \tau 0 \nu \phi i \lambda o u s$.
$5 \delta i{ }^{\prime} \eta \nu$ alria $]$ 'and the contrary of the ground alleged by Socrates for his regulation.'




















a $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi 0 \tau \epsilon \in \rho o u s$ Baker.

6 фi入iav] 'affection,' not 'friendship,' 'caritas,' not 'amicitia.'
on каi бокє̂] ' which is both generally thought to be.'
er $\rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa$ is $\lambda$ dross] The Symposium of Plato, 191, 2, and foll.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon \in \rho o v s]$ I prefer leaving this out, and reading $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ 完óo ơv $\nu \omega \nu$ eva. The $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi 0 \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho o u s$ seems to have crept in from the next line. $\stackrel{\omega}{\circ} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \cup$ öv $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \nu_{\text {eva }}$ is the language of Plato, Symp. 192. D. The attempt at exesside friendship is destructive.

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \partial \nu \circ \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ 'So the result is, that less than in any case need we take into account in a constitution constructed on these prin-
ciples the intimacy or kindness which these names imply.' By this rendering olкєtó $\tau \eta \tau \alpha$ is made the direct objet of $\delta \iota a \phi \rho o \nu \tau i \xi \in \iota$, , in the sense of 'attending to.'
$\hat{\eta} \pi a \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho a \dot{\omega} s$ oî̀ $\nu \tilde{\eta}$ viòv $\dot{\omega} s \pi a \tau \rho \partial s, \hat{\eta}$ $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o v{ }^{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ ] The construetion is hard. The simplest way is to repeat $\delta \iota a \phi \rho o \nu \tau i \xi \epsilon \nu \nu$, and construe it, 'either that a father should care for any as his sons, or a son care for any one as his father, or brothers care for each other as brothers.'
$\left.9 \tau \grave{\partial} \alpha{ }^{2} \gamma \pi \eta \tau \delta \nu\right]$ 'natural affection.' Stahr translates it, "dis mit Mühe erworbene, that which we have acquired with effort, and which we value accordingly;" but this does not seem required by the passage.

Plato's Republic.
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 $\tau \omega \nu$ àvaүкаîov $\sigma v \mu \beta a i v e l v$ ，oîov aikías ép $\rho \omega \tau a s$ фóvous．oủ

















${ }^{\text {a }}$ eis Bekker．
 mo入ías］So I read it，leaving out the preposition $\epsilon i s$ ．of $\pi a \rho \alpha \dot{a}$ roîs $\phi u \lambda a \xi(\nu$ is equivalent to oi cis rov̀s фú入aкas $\delta 0 \theta \in \nu-$ $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ，and the construction then is ov $\pi \rho о \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon$ v́ovaı $\tau$ ov̀s ä入入ous mo入íтas

$\left.\omega^{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a l\right]$＇So as to be on their guard on account of their rela－ tionship against doing anything of the kind．＇Did they so address them it would lead to caution．

V．I So far for the community of wives and children．The next point for consideration in Plato＇s system is
his view of property，and the question is：ought there to be private property or not ？
 ＇This might be treated of quite separate and apart from the regulations，＇\＆c．
＇̇кєiva］sc．$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu a$ ．
$\gamma \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \delta a]$ The actual plots of ground， the land．Three forms of community of property given．I The land sepa－ rate，the produce thrown into a com－ mon stock． 2 The land common and worked in common，the produce di－ vided to meet the wants of the citizens． 3 Both land and produce in common．


Plato's Republic.
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$$
\text { a } \ddot{\eta} \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \nu o \nu \tau a s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \text { Bekker. } \quad{ }^{\text {b }} \pi \hat{\omega} s \text { Bekker. }
$$

$3 \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu]$ not $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, a distinct body of cultivators.
 different case and easier to deal with.'
グ $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v o \nu \tau a s]$ I omit this as an unnecessary addition suggested by the $\bar{\lambda} \lambda a \tau \tau \omega \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \dot{\nu} 0 v \sigma \iota$ immediately following.
$4 \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \partial \partial \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] The sentence$ is not complete. In the place of the participle $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \rho o v o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ should stand the verb $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \kappa \rho o v o v \sigma t \nu . ~ ' T h e y ~ c l a s h . ' ~$
$5 \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \sigma$ ] "les mœurs." We have
in English no one word sufficiently comprehensive-'opinion and the habits of the people.'
oủ $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \partial ̀ \nu$ ă $\nu \delta \iota \in \nu \epsilon ́ \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota]$ ' would in no slight degree be superior.'
$5 \pi \omega s$ ] The indefinite form is the one here required by the sense.
 kept distinct will not give rise to complaints, and they will be pursued with larger results as each man concentrates his attention on what is his own,' and so feels the stimulus of property.























$\kappa o \nu \nu \grave{\alpha} \tau \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\lambda} \omega \nu]$ Compare the quotation of the same proverb in the Republic, v. 449. c.
 $\dot{i} \pi о \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}$, an outline, a first sketch.
$\chi \rho \eta$ ' $\sigma \iota \alpha$ тot $\hat{\imath}]$ 'places at the service of his friends.'

8 This is the exact conclusion of Art. XXXVIII. Compare Wilson, Bampton Lect. 185 1, Lect. viI. p. 23 r. тоюỗто!] 'men capable of this state, competent so to deal with their property.'

кal $\left.\pi \rho \bar{d} \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \delta 0 \nu \eta \eta^{\nu}\right]$ 'even for the pleasure of the thing.'
$\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ óv $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] For the$
form of the expression compare Eth. x. i. 3. p. $1172,33, \mu \dot{\eta} \pi 0 \tau \epsilon \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ oú $\kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{s}$. And so again, Eth. x. ii. 4. p. 1173, 22. For the substance, comp. Eth. Ix. iv. I. p. iı66, i, $\tau$ à $\phi \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha}$

 of self, of the personal, it is impossible to eradicate. It is a vain endeavour to seek to do so. To control and guide and subordinate self-love to benevolence, the personal to the relative, the individual to the society-this is the true object.
$\phi$ iरavoov] This subject is treated Eth. IX. viii. Butler's Sermons, XII.

 є่ $\lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma$ є’ $\rho \gamma о \nu$ є่ $\sigma \tau i \nu$.

























$12 \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'And yet of these.'
áкоı $\omega \omega \nu \eta \sigma$ la $]$ simply negative, 'on account of there being no such community whether of wives or property.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \circ \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'But we$ have but few instances of men who have this community to compare with many who hold their goods as private property.'
$\tau 0 \cup{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \nu \nu \omega \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] 'Those under the conditions of such community.'

13 aitтò $\delta$ é, к. т. 入.] 'The cause of Socrates' failure must be considered to lie in the idea which was his groundwork not being right.'

I5 $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os orv] 'under the condition of number.' Ch. II. 2.


















$\tau \hat{\eta} \phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi i q]$ used in a very general sense, 'intellectual cultivation.' $\phi<\lambda o-$ $\sigma о \phi о \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha^{2} \nu \epsilon v$ цалакias. Thuc. II. 40.
 $\kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] For we may say generally$ that all the requisites for true political conclusions have been discovered by this time, but in some cases they have not been brought together, and so the fair inferences have not been drawn from them. In these cases there is a want of knowledge on the subject, in other cases the knowledge is not wanting, but its application. The world's experience was in his view sufficient ; in political science a synthesis was the thing needed. It was early to arrive at this conviction.
$17 \mu \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] This carries us back to the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \alpha \nu$ àóvatos $\dot{o}$ Bios, § 13 . Practically (roîs ép $p o t s$ ) it would be found so. Form a state, and divisions and separations will be found
absolutely indispensable; so that the unity you aim at will disappear in the process.

18 Hitherto the whole of his remarks have been concerned with the governing body; but they by the very term are but a part of a whole. What is to be the system of that wholewhat the relations of its parts? On this Socrates is silent. Yet it is a question which concerns the mass, the majority of the population, and cannot well be set aside. There should be a definite answer given to two questions: In what relation is this mass of the governed to stand to its governors? and, zndly, within itself on what principles is it to act and be regulated?
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta s \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s]$ of the whole formed by the фúlaкes and the governed.














 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoเoúт $\omega \nu, \dot{a} \pi o \delta \delta_{\iota} \delta o u ̀ s ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \phi u ̀ \lambda \alpha \xi_{\iota} \nu$.










${ }^{\text {a }}$ ѐ $\chi о \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ Bekker.

19 $\tau i \mu$. 0 Oóvтєs, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'what in-$ ducements could they have to submit to the rule?'
$\left.\tau \iota \sigma o \phi i \zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha_{\iota}\right]$ 'invent some device.'
$20 \pi a \rho^{\prime}$ Eкelvots] with the mass of the citizens in the Platonic state, the $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$ of $\S_{\mathrm{I}} 8$.

21 кai toúrocs] 'To these as well as to actually existing states.'
ámodioovs $\mu \sigma^{\prime} \nu \nu$ ] 'and yet his education is only meant for his rulers.'

22 ä $\pi$ офор $\alpha$ р] 'a rent.'

23 However, be these results necessary, and all equally necessary or not, one thing is clear, no statement is made on the subject.

Looking at the whole context I have but little doubt that instead of $\dot{\epsilon} \chi o \mu \epsilon^{-}$ $\nu \omega \nu$ we should read $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, which lies hidden under the various reading $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \circ \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu \omega \nu$. In $\S 18$ we had $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\partial} \lambda \eta s$ monıteias, as previously we have had the фú入aкas; he comes now to the other distinct member of that whole,






















 є́тє́ $\rho a s$.
Plato's Laws.



[^2]the ${ }^{2} \rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$, and very briefly states the question about them.

24 This is a very fragmentary treatment of the subject. One of several possible forms is given, and the objection to which it is open stated. Were the others not given, or are they lost? olкоעо $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ ] 'shall manage the household.'

кä̀ $\epsilon$ l, к.т.入.] This may as well be
left out.
$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu]$ "To go to the animals for your illustration, for they have no share of family life.'

27 oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aúт $\hat{\nu} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' F o$. happiness does not come under the same class,' \&c.
VI. I $\pi \alpha \rho a \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \omega s$ ' $\chi \epsilon \epsilon]$ That is to say, it is open, as the republic is, to



Plato's Laws.





















many grave objections, and those in many cases similar ones. For the two coincide in a great degree, with this difference, that 'the Laws' enter more into detail.
 These prepositions are superfluous, but the sense is clear, and no MS. omits them, it seems ; otherwise I should be glad to get rid of them.

3 $\tau 0 \hat{\iota} s \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \in \nu \lambda 6 \gamma o c s]$ 'by discussions foreign to the subject of the constitution.'

4 коьротє́ $\rho \alpha \nu$ ] 'more generally attrainable.'
$\left.5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu\right]$ So below, Ch. IX. 2, we have $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma к a i \omega \nu \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \eta \dot{\nu}$. In both cases the meaning is the same. The great object for the Greek freeman was to have leisure. He must therefore be free from all the drudgery of life, free from the necessity of daily labour for daily bread.
$\sigma v \sigma \sigma i \tau \iota \alpha \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \hat{\omega} \nu]$ This institution seems but a fair and logical development of his general view as to the position of woman.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i \omega \nu]$ каl $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ should be added. Plato, Legg. p. 737. D.

Plato's
 Laws.


















6 This just and high compliment to his master's writings is not easy to translate. It bears witness, if such were needed, to Aristotle's careful study and correct appreciation of their beauties, as well as their more solid merits. I venture the following translatimon: ' All the dialogues of Plato alike are characterised by brilliancy, grace, originality, and profound enquiry.'
$\left.\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau^{\prime} \nu\right]$ seems to be the negative of 'commonplace,' dull.'
$\kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\sigma} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha]$ supply ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon l$ к $\alpha \ell, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] This is one of those$ passages which bear so distinctly the stamp of Greek thought and Greek experience. To appreciate it we are obliged to recall as well as we can the narrow limits of space and number within which the independent communites, the $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ of Greece, were confined. The large and populous Athens drew its supplies from all quarters;
but both Plato and Aristotle would wish their state to be more complete in itself.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ toútous] Comp. Herodt. Ix. 28, $\pi \epsilon \rho l \ddot{\epsilon}_{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o \nu \ddot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \pi$, for the number of attendants as well as for the expression.
$7 \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oîv] This is repeated IV. iv. 2. 'You are free, it is true, to form your hypothesis according to your wishes, on the condition, however, that you do not presuppose an impossibility.'
§ $\hat{\nu} \nu$ io $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v]$ The state as well as the individual may have a social existence. These are "interpolitical" relations.
8 àmoঠ́́ $\chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota]$ 'accept,' 'allow,' 'acquiesce in this social existence either for the individual or for the state.' A state may refuse, as Corcyra did, to mix itself up with other states, but it must be prepared for self-defence.
 Plato's









 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’ \dot{a} \phi \epsilon i \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu о-$







$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi o \tau \epsilon]$ Comp. note on Ch. V. 8. $\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ óv $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$. Plato, Legg.v. 737.D.
$\tau \hat{\omega}$ $\sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} s \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu]$ 'by defining it more clearly.'
$\tau 0 \hat{\tau o} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] sc. \sigma \omega \phi \rho b \nu \omega s$.
'For this term, soberly or moderately, is too general.'
$9 \chi \omega \rho l s \gamma$ 六 $\rho$ éк $\kappa \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' For$ when separate the two will be severally consequents of different kinds of life, the one of a life of luxury, the other of a life of hardship.'
$\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \sigma \nu \omega s]$ sc. $\zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon l \mu b \nu a l]$ Strictly speaking, $\sigma \omega$ $\phi \rho \delta \nu \omega s$ does not concern property. By Eth. III. xiii. 14. pp. 1117, 1118, it is limited to quite a different sphere; but it is capable of extension. If the only virtues or habits, $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \xi \\ \text { els, } \\ \text {, that }\end{gathered}$ are concerned with property are these two of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma o ̛ v \eta$ and $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \tau \eta s$, then they
will be the only two that can be put in practice with regard to it, $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$. Comp. Eth. 1. ix. 9. p. 1098, b. 31 :


 p. 1120, b. 30 : каı $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{l} \alpha$ $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$
 ' $\sigma \tau \tau \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. To make the reasoning clearer I have enclosed in brackets from otov ov่ซla to é $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$.

10 тò aúrd $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ 'The original number.'
$\dot{\delta} \sigma \omega \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ] 'however large the number of children born.' Comp. Iv. xvi. 4: $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.

II $\tau 6 \tau \epsilon$ ] 'In Plato's state' far greater exactness will be required than is required in existing states.
tou's mapásuyas] 'the supernumeraries.'

Plato's Laws.



 ${ }_{13} \tau \omega \nu$, каi $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ á $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu i \alpha \nu . \quad \tau \grave{o} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ є’v $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \pi o ̋ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota, \pi \epsilon \nu i \alpha s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̂ o \nu \alpha u ̈ \tau \iota o \nu$




















## 13 какоир $[\alpha \nu]$ 'crime.'

Pheidon. Comp. Grote, II. 396, 42 I, note. Date uncertain. His object is stated to be: " An unchangeable number both of citizens and of lots of land, without any attempt to alter the unequal ratio of the lots, one to the other." Mr Grote thinks that he is different from Pheidon of Argos.
$14 \sigma \tau \eta \mu o ́ \nu \iota \nu]$ 'the warp.' $\kappa \rho \delta \kappa \eta$, ' the woof.'
$\left.{ }_{15} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \pi \lambda a \sigma i \alpha s\right]$ FromPlato, Legg.
v. 744.. . this appears inaccurate ;
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \lambda a \sigma$ ias therefore has been suggested, but a careful consideration justifies Aristotle, for Plato allows for the case of a man's acquiring more than four times the minimum.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ оікот $\epsilon \delta \omega \nu$ ] Plat. Legg. 745. E.:
 $\epsilon ่ \gamma \gamma \hat{v} \tau \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \in \sigma o v \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$.

16 éк $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \pi \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \cup o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu]$ Comp.
 $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тò коьขò $\nu$
 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{v} \nu$.




























17 i $\eta \mu$ ккратє̂ิ $\sigma \alpha \iota]$ Comp. for this subject VI. ix. 7,8 , and Grote, II. 539.
$\left.18 \delta \epsilon \epsilon_{0}\right]$ Comp. for this use of the word, Eth. II. vii. 1.
$\beta \in \lambda \tau t o \nu$ oiv $]$ 'Better than Plato.' Or it may be quite general. In proportion as there is a greater admixture of elements, is the result likely to be a good one. Comp. for the general subject Guizot's Civilisation en Europe,

Leçon II ${ }^{\text {e }}$. pp. 34-44.
19 ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \phi$ oiv $]$ to oligarchy and democracy.
 create magistrates.'

20 On this passage compare Plato, Legg. vi. 756. B-E. All are compelled under penalty to elect out of the first and second classes. When it comes to the third class, the first three are com-


















${ }^{\text {a }}$ Bekker $\tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega \nu$.
pelled to elect, the fourth may decline. So again when it comes to the fourth class, all may elect, but the third and fourth may decline, the first two cannot with impunity.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \tau \omega \nu]$ Stahr changes this into $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$, as do others; and the change seems required.

21 é $\left.\sigma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta \eta^{\prime}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ This is to me difficult, and the commentators give but little help. As far as I can see, the only way is, with Stahr, to limit the meaning to the electors. The rest of his translation I cannot agree with. $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau l o u s$, which he makes part of the predicate, I think should be part of the subject, ot $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu о \tau \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'the democratical party.'
$22 \epsilon \pi \iota \beta \dot{x} \lambda \lambda \eta]$ 'comes on.'
 be more regular ; but such inaccuracies are not unfrequent in Aristotle.

At the close of this review of Plato's Laws, I may remark that I have confined myself to the throwing what light I could on Aristotle's text and meaning. More general questions, as to the relation between his views and those of Plato on political subjects, I have kept clear of. In a short Appendix I hope to add some remarks on these points.
VII. I $i \delta \omega \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ The sense of this word is always determined by the context. It means here 'men who have not scientifically studied the subject, and men who have not mixed in public affairs.'






















$\tau o u \tau \omega \nu$ ] sc. The two constitutions of Plato.

2 ठокє̂̂ $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$, к. т. 入.] Comp. Arnold's Lect. on Mod. Hist. p. 23, ist Ed. : "No man who thinks seriously about it can doubt the vast moral importance of institutions and laws relating to property," \&c. \&c.
Phaleas of Chalcedon, not known from other sources.
$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 s]$ Looking at the $\delta \iota o$ it seems that $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} \frac{\nu}{}$ would be the better reading, and so some read. 'Primus' however is given by Vet. Tr., and acquiesced in by the best authorities. Comp. Grote, II. 523: "Phaleas of Chalcedon is expressly mentioned as the first author."
ioas] Equality of possessions is the doctrine under discussion, a different dream from that of Plato, but one equally erroneous, and perhaps more calculated to excite and mislead the mass of a suffering population, if at the same time it be very ignorant. For it is easier to grasp than the complex arrangements community of wives and property involves.
 'That states already actually settled and organized should do it.'
$\left.5 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta_{\dot{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon}\right]$ 'it is incumbent on them.'
vinepalpy] 'rise above.'
$6 \delta$ ót $\tau \iota]=8 \tau \tau$. Comp. note on I.
II. 10.










 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \rho v \phi \hat{\alpha} \nu$, ${ }^{\eta}$ 入íav ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma \eta \nu, ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \lambda i ́ \sigma \chi \rho \omega s$. $\delta \tilde{\eta} \lambda o \nu$













इó̀ $\lambda \omega \overline{\text { ] This allusion to Solon does }}$ not seem to imply any thing more specific than that Solon recognised the important bearing on the political society of the arrangements with regard to property.
èv Мокроїs] The Epizephyrian Locrians for whom Zaleucus legislated. II. xii. 6.
$\left.\delta \iota a \sigma \omega \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu\right]$ 'To keep unaltered throughout.' The infinitive depends on $\nu \dot{\prime} \mu o s$ éval. This provision existed in the Jewish law. Comp. Lev. xxv.

7 \єvка́бa] Comp. Grote, III. 539, 543 , for a notice of the early history
of Leucas. The details of its constitutional history are very scanty.
oủ $\gamma$ àp ë $\tau \iota$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.] 'For the result was that the appointed qualification was no longer required before entrance into office.'

8 A due equality might be established, and yet there would be no guarantee for its existence. The arrangement would be open to immediate disturbance ; for a disturbing cause is ever at hand in the passions of men.
öть таиิта, к.т. 入.] 'That he will be found himself to allow this.'
 Phaleas.

 каì












Io roivavilov] 'But the case is reversed with regard to each of the two.' ধ̇à ï "out] Comp. Plato, Legg. vi.

 סaîol тoîs $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ àplools $\tau \dot{a}$ đ̈ $\sigma \alpha$ ävı $\sigma a$ $\gamma$ l $\gamma$ volt' áv. Il. xx .319.

II $\dot{\omega \nu}$ äкos] $\dot{\omega} v \dot{d} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu a \dot{\tau} \tau \nu$, 'when he thinks the remedy lies in equality of property.' Men commit injustice, violate their social duty, not merely to satisfy the cravings of hunger or to ward off cold, but also to gratify their passions and desires, and that on a far greater scale than can be called necessary, ov̉ סıà $\tau \dot{d} \nu a \gamma \kappa a i ̂ a ~ \alpha ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} ~ к a l ~$ $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda a ́ s ;$ their desires for wealth, honour, and other external goods.
$\tau a u ́ \tau \eta s]$ sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \in l$ ̌ovos.
12 'Nor, again, is thissecond enough; the statement is not yet complete. Men will even form desires for external goods in order to secure the enjoyment of the pleasures which are unaccompanied with pain ; those, namely, which do not involve any previous
sense of want. With these three evils to meet what are the remedies available? Against the first the remedy lies in a small property and labour. To meet the second, the virtue of selfcontrol is required. For the third, granting that there are men who would command the pleasures which depend on themselves alone, the pleasures which are free from pain, they should not look for a remedy to any quarter but intellectual cultivation. All other pleasures require the aid of others, are not complete in themselves.' Such is the meaning of this section, I believe. $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a ̈ v \in u \quad \lambda v \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu a i ̂ s$ is equivalent to $\delta i \dot{i}$ aivêv $\chi \alpha l \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \omega \nu]$ sc. ád $\kappa \kappa \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
Comp. for the distinction between

 $\lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$, Eth. viI. vi. 2. p. 1147, b. 24, and XIII. 2. bis. p. ${ }^{1154},{ }_{5} 5$.
ai $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ä $\lambda \lambda a \iota ~ \grave{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} o \nu \tau \alpha \iota]$
Comp. Eth. x. vii. 4. p. 1177, 27.
13 Comp. Eur. Phoen. 534, and Milton, Par. Lost, iv. 60.










 vous. $\delta \iota o ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ oüтє тобойтov $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\dot{\imath} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ̂̂v oi















ס七o kal] For his crimes are the greatest. Comp. Grote, III. 57, note. This passage is quoted with others in illustration of the view taken of the despot by philosophers.

I5 $\mu \eta \delta \bar{\delta}]$ 'not even.'
16 ठ̈ $\tau \iota \sigma \nu \mu \phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \circ \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ o v ̉ \sigma\{a s]$ Poverty then is not in itself a good. Wealth is desirable as enabling man to attain his full liberty, the complete exercise of all his faculties up to their natural limit.
 in cases in which they would do so had the party assailed not so much property in its possession.' The wealth should never be the temptation to an attack.
${ }_{7} 7$ For Autophradates, see Smith, Biog. Dict.
18 каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, к.т.入.] In fact, this very equality contains in it an element of discord.


## Phaleas.






 $\sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ où̀ $\tau о \iota o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}, \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o \hat{u} 20$
 тous $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}$ ßoù $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, тоùs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$















$19 \delta \iota \omega \beta \circ \lambda i a]$ The pay of dicasts and members of the assembly.
$20 \tau \omega ิ \nu$ ô̂̀ $\tau 0 \iota o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ '] 'In such matters the real principle is.'
 The respectable part - the upper classes. The word is used, that is, in a political sense, as is фav́dous just below.

21 From objections to the great principle of Phaleas' constitution, he comes now to one or two objections on points of detail.
$\epsilon \pi i \pi \lambda \omega \nu]$ 'moveables.'
22 oi ređน̂̂тaı, к.т.入.] The artisans and tradesmen considered in the light of slaves belonging to the public.

23 The cases which he quotes as different from the arrangements of Phaleas are, from our want of knowledge on the subject, useless as illustrations. Comp. Grote, III. 542. $\kappa а \tau \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \dot{a} a \zeta \epsilon \nu]$ ] wished to establish.'
VIII. I For Hippodamus, see Smith, Dict. Biog., and Grote, vi. 27.












 oi $\pi \rho о \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \beta_{\iota} \omega \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha l$, коıv$\eta \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$










 eccentric from ostentation.'
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'somewhat$ extravagantly, both from the quantity and expensive ornaments of his hair.'
$\lambda o ́ \gamma \iota o s ~ \delta \epsilon, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] and wishing to be$ well-informed on all subjects of natural science, "a man of considerable attainments in the physical philosophy of the age." The word $\lambda$ oriot occurs later, Iv. (vir.) x. 3. Comp. Herod. I. I. II. 3 .

I cannot but think this whole description of Hippodamus very suspi-
cious, not as to the truth of it in itself, but as to its being Aristotle's. It would be more consistent with Theophrastus. It seems to me one of the many places in which you may reasonably suspect a later hand.
$\left.3 \dot{d} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ used without any regard to the number, as the equivalent of $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu$.

4 бॉкабтйрьov,к.т. ${ }^{2}$.] a supreme court of appeal.
 simply gave sentence against the accused.'
à $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad \gamma \alpha ́ \rho]$ The subject is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\mu о \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu є \dot{\cup} \rho \iota \sigma \kappa о ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu$, on $\pi \omega \varsigma \tau v \gamma$






















$\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \dot{d} \xi(\nu$, or some similar expression. 'The present arrangement.'
$6 \dot{\omega} s ~ o \ddot{\pi} \pi \omega, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'as though this$ had not yet been enacted by law in other cases.'
$7 \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \ldots \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota a l \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu]$ 'would find a difficulty in his division of the whole body of his citizens.'
$9 \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ oî, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'with-$ out going so far as this, it is clear that for them to share in all the offices is impossible.'

то入ıтофи́лакаs] a magistracy mentoned viII. (v.) vi. 6 , as existing at

Larissa, but one on which there seems no information.
$\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \chi o \nu \tau a s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'If not ad-$ mitted to a share in the government, how can they feel friendly to that government?'And if not friendly they will want coercion. 'That must be allowed for, and the armed class must be stronger than both the others together. But it is not easy for them to be so unless they are numerous; and if numerous, and so the stronger, then why admit the others at all?'



















10 $\delta \iota a \gamma[\gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$ 'support themselves.'
$i \delta i ́ a ~ \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu]$ ' Not merely is the land they cultivate their own, but they cultivate it entirely for themselves; the produce is not any of it thrown into a common stock, or made available for the others.



12 äторov] 'will be a difficulty.'
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \eta \eta^{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$ dóo olkias] 'maintain by agriculture.'
civós] 'at once,' without any division taking placc. There need be no distinction between common and private land.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] This would$ seem to be nothing more than 'from the same lots of land.' So it is taken by Schneider and Stahr: "aus ein und denselben ihnen durchs Loos ertheilten Grundstuicken."

I3 ס८alpô̂v $\tau \alpha]$ 'distinguishing.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \omega s \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s]$ I understand this to be a concentrated expression. In full it would be : When the issue taken is simple, and therefore the verdict to be given should be simple. крiбıs, 'the decision,' properly is made to do double duty, to represent both the form of the indictment and the form of the decision.
кai $\pi \lambda \epsilon i=\sigma \omega \nu]$ In all cases of arbitration there is necessarily more than one party, and their respective claims admit of discussion and distinctions. The arbitrator therefore, or arbitrators, may discuss these claims with one another, and draw distinctions as to their amount. In a court of justice this is not so. The defendant as a single party stands before the court for a decision simply. Is he or is he not guilty on the point raised? There is no need of distinctions as to amount ;


Hippoda-
mus.

























there is no need for discussion among the judges. It were better that each gave his unbiassed opinion. I offer this view with hesitation on a subject which I am not familiar with.

${ }^{15} \tau \hat{\eta} s \delta c a \lambda o \gamma \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu$ ] 'The counting of the votes.'

סıкai'ws] 'on good grounds.' tò ${ }^{\varepsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$, 'the indictment.'

16 ev்ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu о \nu$ áкoûбаı] an odd use
of the word in which the specific sense is to be dropped as much as possible, 'fair to the ear.'
 a door to vexatious cavillings against the old law.' Again, in oukoфavtias the most general sense is all that can be retained, as far as I see.
 at length.' Comp. Plato, Rep. vir.
535 . в.










 ${ }^{21}$ aưтồ $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \omega ิ \nu$, èvo









$18{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \succ$ रá $\left.\rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ He first gives the reasons in favour of change to the middle of § 22 .

19 d $\rho \chi$ alovs $\nu \delta \mu 0 v s]$ rather 'customs' than laws, 'instituta.' Comparing $\gamma \epsilon$ roaupévous in $\S 21$, here we have ä $\gamma \rho a \phi o l \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \circ$.

$\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \omega \nu 0 \hat{v} \nu \tau o\right]$ Comp. Grote, II. II2, note.
${ }_{20} \epsilon \tau$ K $\left.v \mu \eta\right]$ Grote, II. 126, not. : "If the accuser produced in support of his charge a certain number of witnesses from his own kindred, the person was held peremptorily guilty."

ذ $\delta \iota \omega \in \kappa \omega \nu$ rò $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ фóvov] Comp. Eurip.


21 گŋrov̂oı $\delta \hat{\epsilon}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'In fact what$ men look for in all cases is not the old but the good.'
 a passàge in Plato, Legg. III. 677. a.: $\tau$ ò $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \quad \phi \theta o \rho a ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu e ́ v a l$
 $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s, ~ \grave{t} \nu$ ois $\beta \rho a \chi v^{\prime} \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ रévos. Compare also Politicus, 270 .
juolovs $\epsilon i \nu a \iota \kappa \alpha l]$ The construction is the same as ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha l^{\prime}$, 'on a level with quite ordinary men in intelligence, or even simply below the ordinary standard.'

22 ' $\kappa \kappa \mu$ è̀ ồv roút $\omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] 'On these grounds then it is evident that








 $\sigma \theta a \iota \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ้ \theta o s, ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o ~ \delta ~ o u ̉ ~ \gamma i v e \tau \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon i ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ dıà




 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \psi \iota \nu \cdot{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.





there must be a change in some laws, and at certain times ; but looking at it from a different point of view, it would seem that great caution is required.'
$23 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu 0 \mu 0 \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi{ }^{6} \nu-$ $\tau \omega \nu]$ 'both in the legislator and the executive magistrates.'
$\kappa \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma a s]$ is the citizen under the law.
$24 \delta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \dot{\nu} \mu o s]$ 'Whatever force the law has to secure obedience is entirely dependent on habit.' Comp. Arnold's Rome, Vol. II. p. 55 : "The ancient heathen world craved, what all men must crave, an authoritative rule of conduct; and not finding it elsewhere, they imagined it to exist in the fundamental and original laws of each particular race or people. To destroy this
sanction without having any thing to substitute in its place, was deeply perilous; and reason has been but too seldom possessed of power sufficient to recommend its truths to the mass of mankind by their own sole authority."
${ }^{25} \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau v \chi$ б́v $\left.\iota \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \tau \iota \sigma \ell \nu ;\right]$ 'Is it open to any given person to propose the change, or to some definite number?

1X. 1 $\delta \dot{o} o ~ \epsilon i \sigma i \nu$ ai $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \epsilon \epsilon s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. 'There are two points for consideration; the one, is any given part of their legislation right or wrong when viewed with reference to the best possible arrangement? the other, is it contrary to the idea and general system of the constitution actually established ?'

Sparta. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ o $\hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{̣} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v ́ \sigma \eta \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma-$




















$\left.2 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu \sigma \chi o \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu\right]$ ' leisure, freedom from attention to the first necessities of life.' This is allowed by all to be the basis of existence for the Greek freeman. To secure it slaves were necessary, and in the case of Sparta these were the Helots. In principle this body of men was necessary, and yet practically the relations between them and their masters were very unsatisfactory. Nor was this the case only in Lacedæmon. Thessaly was an instance of the same thing. Crete was free from the evils under which the others suffered, but this might be traced to peculiar causes.
$\dot{\eta} \ldots \Theta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon[a]$ Compare

Grote, II. 369 and foll.
$\dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \delta \rho \epsilon \dot{v} о \nu \tau \epsilon\}]$ 'watching for.'
3 It was the common interest of the cities of Crete to make common cause against the serf population.
'A $\chi \alpha \omega \hat{\iota}$, к. т. $\lambda$.] These then were not Penestre, but tribes more in the position of the Laconian Periœci.
4 à $\nu \iota \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu 0 \iota]$ 'If left unchecked.'

ois $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o \quad \sigma \nu \mu \beta a l \nu \in \iota]$ ' When this is the actual result they arrive at in regard to their Helots.'

5 т $\eta \nu$ т $\rho \circ \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s]=$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ of $\S \mathrm{I}$. and $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \delta a \iota \mu o-$ $\nu l a \nu=\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho l \sigma \tau \eta \nu \tau \dot{a} \xi \iota \nu$.
 $\qquad$





















$\delta \ell \chi a \quad \delta \iota \eta \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota]$ 'divided into two equal parts.'
 same language in I. xIII. $\mathbf{I}_{5}, 16$.

6 öт $\epsilon \rho \hat{e} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ] 'This actually was the result at Sparta.'

7 To gratify this unbridled luxury money will be wanted, especially if the men are inclined to submit to the government of the women. A high value therefore will be set on wealth. Comp. Grote, II. 513 .
8 torse $\gamma \dot{a} \rho]$ The $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ refers to the words $\tau \grave{a} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

катаки́хєнот] Eth. х. х. 3. p. 1179 , b. 9 , the same word occurs but spelt differently, катоки́хццоs, 'easily led,'
'inclined to.'
тои̂ $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right]$ sc. тò $\gamma \cup v a \iota к о к р а т \epsilon і ̂ \sigma \theta a t . ~$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ aust $\hat{\nu}]$ 'during the period of the Spartan Empire.'
$9 \epsilon_{\gamma \kappa v \kappa \lambda i \omega \nu] \text { I. viI. 2, the word }}^{\text {2 }}$ occurs with a substantive, ठьакодท́цата.

Io $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \alpha, \kappa . \tau . \lambda]$.Mr Grote, in his notice of this passage, II. 507, note 3, thinks that Aristotle is hard on the Spartan women, that "he probably had formed to himself exaggerated notions of what their courage under such circumstances ought to have been, as the result of their peculiar training. We may add that their violent demonstrations on that trying occasion may well have arisen quite A. $P$.




 $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \rho \gamma \epsilon i o v s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ к а і ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' A \rho к а ́ \delta а s ~$




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \nu, ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda о \nu$ öтt каi таútทs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ai $\mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha s$.



 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \iota \lambda o \chi \rho \eta-$
as much from the agony of wounded honour as from fear, when we consider what an event the appearance of a conquering army in Sparta was." Compare also, Vol. x. 304, the account of the Theban Invasion alluded to by Aristotle.
$\epsilon \dot{j} \lambda 6 \gamma \omega s]$ The lax discipline of the Spartan women can be accounted for without difficulty.

II $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \xi \in \nu 0 \hat{u} v \tau 0]$ 'They lived away from.'
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] On these early$ wars of Sparta with its neighbours, see Grote, Vol. II. 555, and foll. chapters viI, viII.
aútò̀s $\mu$ '̀ $\nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'So far as they$ themselves went they presented themselves to their legislator ready prepared for his operations.' Does not the whole passage seem to imply that Aristotle placed Lycurgus much later than he is usually placed, after these wars in fact ; whereas the general view
is that the Spartan successes in these wars were in a great degree attributable to his measures of reform.
$\left.\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\text {E }} \chi \chi \epsilon \iota \quad \mu \epsilon \epsilon \rho \eta \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s\right]$ Compare on this subject Arnold's Lectures on Modern History, Lect. I. pp. 10, 11.
$\dot{\omega} s \delta^{\prime}$ àv $\left.\epsilon \epsilon \kappa \rho o v o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right] ~ ' b u t ~ a s ~ t h e y ~$ resisted, he desisted.' Grote, II. 508. 12 aíral] The women.
13 ou' $\mu \dot{\delta} \nu \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'not only to$ introduce a certain disorder and indecorum into the social relations within its own natural sphere, but to contribute considerably to the tendency to avarice.'
 would seem more natural, connecting it with $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau$ elas ; or the neuter plural, if it is connected with $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ rovaîkas. As it stands it must be connected grammatically with $\dot{a} \pi \rho \epsilon$ -
 moגıтeias must be looked on as equi-




















 a тov̂тo Bekker.
valent to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \pi o \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{l} \alpha \nu$. My construction is meant to express what I consider to be the meaning of the passage, rather than to keep close to the Greek words.
$\left.\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha^{\alpha} \rho\right]$ This mention of avarice leads me to speak of property.
$14 \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu]$ why $\hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, not $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \epsilon t$ ?
roûto $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a\{\nu \in \iota \nu]$ If roôto is kept, then it must be referred to $\epsilon l_{s} \delta \lambda$ iqous $\eta$ グкєı ; but with Stahr I read $\tau$ aú $\tau$ b, as giving by far the best sense: 'You have the same result either way.'

15 кal $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ रvvaıкิ̂v] The кal seems superfluous: if kept it must be 'even.'
$\kappa \alpha ̈ \nu \nu a \pi o \theta d \dot{\nu} \eta, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'And if a man$ has died intestate, then his heir, whoever he may be, has the disposal of
the heiress.'
 -the actual course of events.
$\mu$ lav $\left.\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \dot{\eta}_{\nu}\right]$ Leuctra. Grote, x . 263 . It was fatal to Sparta, both on account of the large relative loss sustained, and also on account of her diminished prestige.
$\left.{ }^{17} \mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ It would appear (Grote, II. 549) that Aristotle is the only authority for this fact, which is said to imply the acquisition of additional lots of land. On the other hand, Herodotus, Ix. 35 , is very positive in his assertion that Tisamenus and Hegias $\mu 0 \hat{v} \nu 0, \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 тal.


 $18 \pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$. í $\pi \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau i o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i$ i $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 1270 в $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu о \pi о \iota \iota \alpha \nu \nu о ́ \mu о s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. ßov入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu о s$














a Bekker aúvท́.
kal $\mu$ vpiovs] In Herod. vir. 234. Demaratus estimates them at 8000 .

18 vimevavtios, к. $\tau$. 入.] 'Contrary to what is right when looked at in reference to this reform.'
a'ф $\phi \rho 0 \rho \rho \nu$ ] 'free from military service,' as, I think, Victorius and Schneider rightly interpret it ; not "free from garrison duty," as Liddell and Scott translate it.

19 aviví] rather aviz $\eta$.
$\eta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \nu]$ why this tense? Is it that in Aristotle's time it mattered little whether they were so or not, but that he is stating the result of historical experience during the period when the Spartan Ephors were the most important body in Greece.

20 dudplots] The Oxford text reads 'Avoplocs; but it is better to keep the
reading of the Berlin Edition, p. 1270. B. 12, and suppose that it refers to some misconduct of the Ephors in reference to the public mess, which, from X. 5 , bore anciently the name of a ${ }^{2} \nu$ $\delta \rho c a$. I cannot agree with Schneider, who thinks that misconduct relating to the syssitia could not be important. They were one of the most important features of the whole system, admission to them was the test of citizenship; and we can quite as easily conceive that their mismanagement threatened the safety of the state, as some misconduct that concerned the small island of Andros.
'b$\sigma o \nu$ É $\phi$ ' ' 'avtoîs] 'as far as depended on them.'
$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu}]$ 'to court them.'





















$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$ through the disturbance of the kingly functions over and above the flaws in the Ephoralty.
$22 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a \nu$, к.т.入.] $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu$ is the accusative before $\beta$ oí $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$, the subject of the verb, not its object. The only difficulty lies in $\tau a u ̉ \tau d$, , which I cannot but consider an inaccuracy, introduced by a species of attraction to $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \rho \eta$. Schneider agrees, as does Corai, but Stahr dissents, and construes the passage so as to keep raủrá ; but surely the context is against this ; the ovir $\omega \mathrm{s}$ éxovoıv oi $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i$ is is equivalent to
 єival кal $\delta \iota a \mu \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon \nu$.
oi кa入ol кad $\gamma a \theta 0 l]$ in the political
sense-the upper classes, not without some admixture of the moral sense.
 uncertain what the method was, Grote, II. 463 .
aívo $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu$ ovas] 'merely on their own judgment.'
24 oủ $\chi \dot{\delta} \mu 0 \lambda o \gamma o v \mu$ é $\eta$ ] 'not in accordance with, not consistent with,' Grote, II. 468.
aủrท́] better aü $\eta \eta$.
$\mu \grave{~}$ ठúvaбAau картєрєiv] Comp. Nieb. Pref. Vol. I. xxvii.: "Theirs was no state of unnatural constraint, such as under the laws of Sparta, where in the opinion of other Greeks the contempt of death was natural, because death burst an intolerable yoke."











 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha i ̈ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ク̀ $\nu \pi o \iota o u ̂ \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，ката́ $\tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$



 $\pi о \iota \omega \nu \cdot$ фıлотíuovs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$ тoùs $\pi о \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \tau o u ́ z o \iota s ~$ $\kappa є ́ \chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ aí $\rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ т $\frac{\omega}{\nu} \gamma \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \nu^{\bullet}$ oủdєis $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho{ }_{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha} \nu$





25 кalrot］＇and yet even then it would be questionable policy．＇Grote， II． 475 ．
 when so educated that even their law－ giver himself distrusts them．＇

26 Grote，v．483，quotes this judg－ ment as the basis for an inference as to the effect at Athens of the Elders sitting for life．
 accountability．＇
$\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$＇check，or control．＇Grote， 1I． 472 ．

27 aúròv alreîo $\theta a l]$＇to canvass per－ sonally．＇

28 бт $\pi \epsilon \rho$ каl，к．т．入．］Compare be－ low，§ 37，ג̇ $\pi о \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon$ roủvaעтíoע．So here，the legislator has completely failed in attaining a correct view of what is required．
$\phi ı \lambda o \tau i \mu o u s ~ \gamma a ́ \rho, ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' F o r ~ i t ~ i s$. from his wish to make his citizens am－ bitious that he adopts these means in the election of the Senate．＇тov́rors， not rov＇s mo入itas，but the sanction given to personal canvassing．
ov́ $\delta \epsilon i s \gamma \alpha \rho]$ refers to the катабкєvá－ $\zeta \omega \nu$ ．I attribute this object to him， ＇for evidently no one would ask for office unless he were ambitious．＇
$29 \epsilon i \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \beta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \tau t \delta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu]$ The




















order should rather be $\epsilon l \mu \dot{c} \nu \beta \in \lambda \tau c \delta \nu$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \mu \eta$.
$\alpha \lambda \lambda$ os $\lambda$ bros] Below, III. xiv. and foll.
$\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu ~ a \dot{u} \tau o v ̂ \beta i o \nu ~ к \rho i v \in \sigma \theta a t]$ 'be selected with reference to his own life and conduct.'
$30 \dot{a} \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ रoûv] Is not this a later stage of feeling, and scarcely to be supposed existing in the mind of Lycurgus?
${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \nu \nu$ ] 'They were in the habit of sending out.' Instances will occur to every one. Grote, II. 469.
$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \nu]$ On the perpetual dissensions of the Spartan kings, see Grote, II. $4^{6} 4$.
31 Gúvooov] This word seems here to mean not so much a 'meeting' as a 'contribution.' The passage quoted
from L. and S., Herodotus, 1. 64, $\chi \rho \eta$ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \sigma v \nu \delta \delta o \iota \sigma \iota$, gives the nearest approach to its meaning here.
$\delta a \pi a \nu a ̂ \nu \tau \dot{d} d \nu a ́ \lambda \omega \mu a]$ 'To meet this expense.'

32 \%oos $\delta \epsilon$, к.т.入.] 'The right of citizenship has this kmit fixed.' From this arose the body called oi ínouєioves, the Inferiors, Spartans disfranchised, but with the power of recovering their franchise. Grote, II. 482 , and $5^{2} 5$, not.

$\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ रiveral ailtcos] This is a statement of which we have hardly adequate justification. Mr Grote, Ix. 327 , thinks it founded on the case of Lysander. Comp. also p. 376, where the king and admiral are united in Agesilaus.

















 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ätôos Bekker.
àtôos] äïloors seems the true reading, and the weight of authority is in favour of it. Vet. Tr., Stahr, Schn., and others, adopt it. In fact, Bekker's reading gives a sense contrary to very plain statements. Xenophon, Hell. I. vi. 4. and II. i. 7 .
 idea.' Compare the language of Brasidas, Thuc. iv. 126. Plato, Legg. I. 628. e. foll.

35 тoúrov] This mistake of directing all their energies towards excellence in war.
$\tau$ д̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ \chi \eta \tau \alpha$ à $\gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\jmath}] E t h$. rx. viii. 4, 9. p. 1168. в. 19; 1169. 21 , the same expression occurs.

36 rà кotvà $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a r a]$ Compare the language of King Archidamus, Thuc. I. 80 ; also Grote, $1 x .322,323$, for the two periods at which the language was
true.
 II. 493, and his note. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon l \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, "the country eastward of Taygetus, since the foundation of Messene by Epaminondas had been consummated."

37 Tov̂ $\left.\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \nu \nu \tau o s\right]$ 'of what is really the interest of the state.'
$\phi \iota \lambda o \chi \rho \eta \mu a \dot{a} \tau o v s]$ For this tendency, with instances of it before Lysander, and the stimulus applied by Lysander, Grote, IX. 32I, 2.

It seemed needless in the case of Sparta to do more than refer to Mr Grote. Any one who wishes to go further will find all necessary references there given. Nor is it necessary to dwell on the unfavourable judgment of Aristotle on the Spartan institutions. They are not likely to be overvalued
 $\gamma \alpha^{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \ddot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \prime \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma$ є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$.


 $\mathrm{K}_{\rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu} \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Дак $\boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \omega \nu, \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \delta \delta_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, то́тє $\tau \grave{o} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \tau о \nu \delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \imath ̂ \psi \alpha \iota$
 oi $\Lambda u ́ \kappa \tau \iota o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \Lambda а к \omega ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu, ~ к \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \beta о \nu ~ \delta o ~ o i ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

now. Aristotle's long criticism was partly due to the prominent position Sparta had held in earlier Greek history, partly also to the fact that Plato, in his Laws, had criticised them. So that the chapter of Aristotle is a continuation of the criticism of that work given in ch. vi. That had touched the speculative or ideal part of Plato's work, this touches one point in the practical. For the Laws are a discussion between an Athenian, Lacedæmonian, and Cretan, of their respective constitutions, and on the principles on which a new state, if founded, should be based.
X. I Unlike Sparta, there is in the case of Crete no historical importance to justify much attention to it. A fragmentary sketch is all that is now possible. And it is to be remembered that Crete was not one state but an aggregate of states, so far as we know. Hoeck seems to think that Lyctos (which C. F. Hermann speaks of as "considered a daughter state of Lacedæmon") was the one most present to the mind of Aristotle. I pass to the consideration of the text of the chap-
ter, referring any who would inquire further, to the article on Crete in Smith's Geogr. Dict., where the sources of information are indicated.
$\left.\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \gamma \gamma v s \mu_{\ell}^{\prime} \nu, \kappa . \tau_{.} \lambda_{\text {.] }}\right]$ 'Though it borders very closely on the Lacedæmonian, and though it is in some few points quite as well arranged, yet for the most part it is less finished.'

каi үà $\rho$ ёоккє, к.т.入.] Scarcely any recent writers accept the view contained in this sentence. Comp. the article above quoted, p. 704, a.
$\delta \iota \eta \prime \rho \theta \rho \omega \tau a \iota]$ Eth. . . vii. $17 . \delta \iota a \rho \theta \rho \hat{\omega}-$ $\sigma \alpha \iota$, 'are less articulate, distinct.' Comp. Bonitz, ad Metaph. 986. в. 5 : " $\delta \iota a \rho \theta \rho o \hat{\nu}$ est rem aliquam quasi per membra et artus distinguere ct certum in ordinem redigere, ut unius corporis referant similitudinem."

2 On the various accounts of Lycurgus, comp. Grote, II. 452.
'̇ $\pi \imath \tau \rho \circ \pi \epsilon i a \nu]$ 'the guardianship.'
On Charillus, or Charilaus, more will be said later, VIII. (V.) xII. 12.

кате́ $\lambda a \beta$ оу $\mathbf{~} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi о v \sigma \alpha \nu$ ] 'found existing.' This surely is the fair and natural way of translating it, and, if allowed, points to the previous existence of Dorian institutions in Crete.






















3 oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i o u k o r]$ to be taken "in its simple natural sense." Grote, II. 484, note 2 . 'The neighbouring states.'

On Minos, compare Grote, I. 3 오, and foll. ; in p. 3 Io is pointed out the distinction between the Minos of the poets and logographers, and the Minos of Thucydides and Aristotle.
$\pi \rho \partial ̀ s ~ t \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa \in ́ v a l]$ 'To be naturally qualified for holding the emwire of Greece.'

Ėiโкє८гal] 'It commands.'
ar $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ refers to $\frac{\epsilon}{\pi i \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau a l . ~}$
4 它 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu]$ Herodotus, viI. Iso.
$\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \in \epsilon \delta$ ' ává $\bar{\alpha} o \gamma o \nu$ ] 'There is a correspondence between the Cretan order and that of Lacedæmon.'

5 oi $\pi \epsilon \rho$ io ккоl] This is quite a different sense from that given $\S 3$. The
sense here is the more technical one of the dependent population, lower in position than the Laconian perieci.
 piety in Crete as at Sparta rest on the basis of a large serf population, but also there is a correspondence between the two states distinctly traceable, when you come to consider the relations of the citizens, the civil society in each case.
ibo roîs $\gamma$ 'िpougıv] Does this necessarily imply that they were equal in number?
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \circ \nu i \alpha \nu]$ That the Cosmi should exercise this power would be the naturat course when the kingly power had ceased.























7 $\sigma v \nu \in \pi \iota \psi \eta \phi i \sigma \alpha l]$ ' to join in rathfying.' Compare xi. 6. A simple assent alone was allowed them.
$\left.\pi \rho \bar{\tau} \epsilon \rho \rho{ }^{2}\right]$ Ch. xx .32.
8 косоот $\ell \rho \omega s$ ] 'on fairer terms.'
ar $\pi \grave{\partial} \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu, \kappa$ к.т.ג.] If Bekker's reading is kept, what sense are we to attach to the words каl $\epsilon \kappa \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu 0-$ $\sigma l \omega \nu$ ? Are we with Hock to interpret it of "the Dorian common land, the state domains," or with Stair, "of the public revenues," "reditus publici," Schneider? We know so little of the facts that it is difficult to determine which is the right interpretation. I have felt inclined to change the text and read: $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma{ }^{\prime} \nu 0$ -
 $\sigma l \omega \nu \kappa a l$ éк $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ фóp $\omega \nu$ outs $\phi \in ́ \rho o v \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi \epsilon \rho$ lo cко. This would point to two sources from which the public tables were maintained, the produce of the public lands whether tillage or pasture, and the tribute or rents paid by the subject population. It seems to me the easiest and simplest way, but it is not necessary.
$9 \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ b $\lambda \iota \gamma \sigma \sigma \iota \tau$ ia $\nu]$ 'To secure a sparing diet the lawgiver has taken many wise measures.' $\delta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon v \xi \iota \nu$, 'separation.'
$10 \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon i o \nu]$ 'The board.'
$\left.\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \in i\right]$ at Lacedæmon.

Crete．$\sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \iota, \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \omega \nu$ ó $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \omega s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$







 $\mu \alpha \tau o ́ s ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu o t s ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ \phi o ́ \rho o t s, ~ \pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega ~ \gamma ’ a ̉ \pi o t-~$








${ }^{\text {a }} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \nu \mu \hat{e} \nu \omega \nu$ Bekker．

${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \delta \dot{\eta}$ Bekker．

Bov́ $\lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$ This supports the view given above of the construction of Ch．Ix．§ 22.
$\kappa \epsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \kappa \delta ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ］Their office then was not for life．

II $\pi \epsilon \rho l \hat{\omega} \nu]$ sc．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \rho \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．
$\gamma(\nu o \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \omega \nu]$ will make sense，but I am in favour of substituting $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon$－ $\rho \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ．
$\tau \grave{\partial} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu v \pi \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta v \nu o v, \kappa . \tau . \lambda] ~ T h i$. shews that the relative at the begin－ ning of the section refers to $\tau o \dot{s} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \rho o \gamma-$ ras of the preceding one．These are prerogatives of the Spartan Gerusia．
 than they have a fair claim to．＇
$12 \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi a ́ s \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ opposed to $\beta$ ßov̀ $\epsilon \tau \sigma \iota$ $\mu \epsilon \bar{\ell} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \iota \nu$ ．In Crete the people submits to，in Sparta it positively favours，the existing order．
oúò̀ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ 入 $\eta$ й $\mu a \tau o s]$ And as they
have no opportunity of getting money， their office is no temptation．
$\left.{ }_{13} \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau i a s ~ \tau a v i r \eta s\right]$ Their re－ medy for this error with reference to the powers and choice of the Cosmi．
oú $\pi$ o入ı兀८кí］＇not such as a proper тo入ıcela allows，but rather one that would suit a $\delta v p a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$ ，＇the closest and worst form of oligarchy．VI．（IV．）v． 2.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \nu \nu a \rho \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] depend of$ course on $\tau \nu t \in$＇s．
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \xi ́ c i]$＇in the midst of their office．＇
$\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu]$＇to renounce．＇
rav̂ $\alpha$ o $\dot{\partial}$ ］ I do not see the force of $\delta \eta$ ．I should prefer $\delta \epsilon$ ．
$14 \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \sigma \mu[a]$＇The absence of cosmi．＇ The interregnum brought about by the powerful，similar to the Roman interregnum，by which the Patricians sought to elude the necessity of con－ cessions．







 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$ є ${ }^{\prime} \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota, \sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta_{\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota} \delta_{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{o} \nu$ то́тоу. $\xi_{\epsilon \nu \eta \lambda \alpha \sigma i \alpha s}$




 $\tau o \sigma \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha s$.

Carthage.
$\hat{\eta} \mathrm{Kal} \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu]$ 'And this makes it clear if any thing were wanted, that though the Cretan order of things may have some points which seem to mark it as a legitimate constitution, it is not one in reality, but rather an oligarchy.'
$\left.\delta \iota a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha{ }^{\nu} \nu \nu \tau \epsilon s\right]$ So below, VII.(VI.) v. 10, where the word occurs in a somewhat different sense. Here it is 'dividing so as to form parties.'
$15 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta o v \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'grant-$ ing that those who wish to attack it have it also in their power to do so.'
$\xi \in \nu \eta \lambda a \sigma l a s]$ plural. Comp. Thus. II. 39, $\xi \in \nu \eta \lambda a \sigma i a l s$. For the effect produced at Sparta by their institution of Xenelasy,-the prohibiting the residance of foreigners-is produced at Crete by the isolation their insular position brings with it. 'Their distrance is equivalent to xenelasy.'

16 ס̀ò Kail] 'on this ground also.' Comp. Ch. Ix. 3 .
$\epsilon \xi \Leftarrow \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ di $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s]$ 'external dominon.' In the historical period Crete
stands perfectly isolated.
$\left.\pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ s \xi_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \kappa \delta s\right]$. The date is said to be в. c. 344 . Phalæcus, the Phocian leader, crossed into Crete. Thirlwall, v. 368. Grote, xI. 582, 599. Pausan. Phoc. II. 5. $\xi_{\text {ElK }}$ 's probably means 'a war conducted with mercenaries.' Such were the 8000 men with whom Ahalæcus retired. $\mu \circ \circ \rho \hat{q} \tau 0 \hat{\imath} \xi \in \nu \iota \kappa o \hat{v}$, says Pausanias. Died. Sic. xvi. 62, 63 , also speaks of $\mu \tau \sigma \theta 0 \phi b \rho o u s$. From the accounts the Cretans seem to have had no power to resist in themselves, but at once to have sought aid from Sparta. This justifies the language of Aristotle here.
XI. Before entering on the details of this chapter on Carthage, I quote Mr Grote's judgment on the historical value of the materials we possess : "These statements, though coming from valuable authors, convey so little information, and are withal so difficult to reconcile, that both the structure

Carthage. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \bar{\omega} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o u s, \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta^{尺}{ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma_{i}^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{s}$ тоî $\Lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \sigma \iota \nu . ~ \alpha \dot{\hat{\tau}} \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ai $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \iota ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂$














a єi't Bekker.
and working of the political machine at Carthage may be said to be unknown." He adds in a note: "Heeren and Kluge have discussed all these passages with ability. But their materials do not enable them to reach any certainty."

I $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \hat{\omega} s]$ 'remarkably,' deviating widely from the more usual type.

2 ovvтєтaץ $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu \eta s]$ Stress must be laid on the word 'ordered' in the sense of well ordered ; 'disciplined' with us has this force.
$\tau \partial \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu]$ The article seems not required; if kept the translation is: 'we find an argument in favour of the skilful arrangements of Carthage in the fact that whilst it keeps its democratical element it yet preserves unchanged the system of its constitution.'
b̈Tl кal di乡cov $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v]$ 'worth speaking of.'

3 T̀̀ $\sigma v \sigma \sigma i \tau \iota \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \rho \iota \hat{\nu} \nu]$ Mövers, Geschichte der Phönizcr, II. 492,
thinks these were $\gamma \dot{\text { ćv}} \eta$, houses of the aristocracy, political divisions, not mere clubs, but much more closely analogous to Spartan and Cretan syssitia. Grote, x. 55 I, speaks of "collective banquets of the curix, or the political associations." But he thinks the comparison not a happy one.
$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ oủ $\chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'with this$ advantage however on the part of Carthage,' \&c.

4 єïr $\left.\delta t a \phi \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \rho \nu\right]$ I prefer reading єira; 'then there is a difference, and a difference which is a superiority, in the having them elected from these families rather than hereditary.' Grote, Ix. 330, note, considers this Carthaginian system substantially the one wished by Lysander at Sparta; "not confined to members of the same family or Gens, but chosen out of the principal families or Gentes." The change of $\epsilon i \tau \alpha$ for $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ is advocated by Nickes, de Aristoteles Politicorum li-

 Ta $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồv $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu$ dıà $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa-5$















bris, p. 54. Ann. I. porro illud differ (et it quidem, item ut prostet) \&c. Stahr reads $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ with Dekker, but interprets it "und her iss es besser."

єن̈тє $\lambda \epsilon i s]$ 'ordinary.' Rhet. II. I5, 3 . p. 1390 . в. 24.

5 'The greatest part of the objections that would naturally be raised against Carthage on account of its deviations from the best form of government, are common to it with all the constitutions we have mentioned. Those, on the other hand, which would be urged on the ground of its not fulfilling its own idea of an aristocracy or a Politeia, fall under two heads. Some of them point to its leaning too much towards democracy, others to its leaning too much towards oligarchy.' After $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon े ~ I ~$ supply $\epsilon \pi \tau \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu d^{\prime} \nu$. I consider ápıotokparlas not as his ideal state,
but in the more practical sense of aristocracy, as in § 8 .
$\tau o \hat{u} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \dot{a} \rho$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] "The Kings$ and Gerontes, if agreed, need not bring a matter before the people, if not agreed they must. In this latter case, the matters so brought before it were entirely within the competence of the people to discuss as well as to deeide." Grote, x. 55 .
$\tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \gamma \circ \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$.
6 tais ètépacs] 'The two others,' Sparta and Crete.
7 raútas] sc. $\tau$ às $\pi \in \nu \tau a \rho \chi i a s$, 'that the pentarchies should choose the rupreme authority, that of the Hundred.'

кal $\left.\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }_{\xi} \xi \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ "inasmuch as they exercised an authority both before and after their regular term of magistracy." Arnold, Rom. Hist. Vol. II. 550.


 $\tau \alpha \dot{\zeta} \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{K} \alpha \rho \chi \eta \delta o \nu i ́ \omega \nu \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad o ̉ \lambda \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi^{i} \alpha \nu$





 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota a \nu \cdot \alpha i \rho o \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \iota \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ єis dv́o $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \epsilon$ '́-





 $\chi \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta} s, \phi \alpha \hat{v} \lambda o \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \alpha s ~ \omega ่ \nu \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} s \in i v a l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$


$\dot{v} \pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu]$ 'by the boards of magistrates.' This passage is discussed by Arnold, Rom. Hist. II. 553, note 10. But I do not see that his suggestion clears up the difficulty. The passage in the third book, Ch. I. 10, 11, only draws attention to the point the two governments have in common, the exclusion of the popular element from the administration of justice, leaving quite room for the difference indicated in the text. The $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$
 the $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda a s \dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. There remains the question, why one practice should be more aristocratical than the other.
$8 \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota$ ठє, к.т.入.] 'The most decided deviation in the constitution of Carthage from aristocracy towards oligarchy, is in the adoption of
a view, which gains the assent of most men.'

9 бvуте́тактає ка! ] It would seem better to read кai биутє̇тактаı: where it stands, the kal is not wanted.
$\tau$ oùs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i \hat{s}$ кai $\tau 0$ ovs $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o u ́ s]$ These then were distinct. The suffetes were not the commanders in war, the captains-general.

10 $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \eta \mu 0 \nu \hat{\varepsilon} i \hat{\nu}]$ 'not lower themselves in any way.'
$\dot{\omega} \nu \eta \tau \alpha \dot{s}]$ "whether this is to be understood of paying money to obtain votes, or, as is much more probable, that the fees or expenses of entering on an office were purposely made very heavy, to render it inaccessible to any but the rich." Arnold, Rom. Hist. II. 548, 9 .
 $\epsilon i ้ \nu \alpha \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa u ́ \rho \iota o \nu, ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta ~ к \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סó $\alpha \nu \nu$


















 є่кфє́ช

II Tò kúpıov] 'The government.' This view of Aristotle that the government can absolutely direct opinion, is a remarkable one. It does not seem to hold good of modern times, when, with rare exceptions, governments are behind opinion, if, fortunately, not directly adverse to it. It is a view, however, which was naturally held by those who, like the political philosophers of antiquity and even of later times, held that governments could be arbitrarily imposed on a people, not that they were the expressions, or should be, of the people.
$12 \hat{\epsilon} \theta i \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, к.r. $\lambda$.$] Compare in$ Michelet, Hist. de France, Vol. iv. 265 , a quotation from the pamphlet of Clémengis: "Que si, dit-il, on leur $A . P$.
rappelle le précepte de l'Evangile, Donnez gratuitement, ainsi que vous avez resu, ils répondent sans sourciller: ' Nous n'avons pas reçu gratis, nous avons acheté, nous pouvons revendre."' $\epsilon i \pi \rho 0 \in \hat{\epsilon} \tau \mathrm{o}, ~ к . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'If he gave up$ the question of the wealth or poverty of his governing classes.'

13 фav̂̀ov $\delta \epsilon]$ Arnold, Rom. Hist. II. 550 , I.

14 тo入८тєкஸ́tєрог] 'It is more in accordance with sound policy.'
$\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \dot{s} \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v]$ Compare Thue.

 d $\rho \chi{ }^{2} \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu \epsilon i \sigma l$.

I5 '̇кфє'ryovat] 'They escape the evils incident to an oligarchy.'
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 554, where the different views on the subject are given. Grote, Vol. x. 545, "This provision for poor citizens as emigrants (mainly analogous to the Roman colonies), was a standing feature in the Carthaginian political system, serving the double purpose of obviating discontent among their town population at home, and of keeping watch over their dependencies abroad."
 accident in their position.'
$16 \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi i a s]$ This is not easy. Is it 'The laws offer no remedy to secure quiet,' making the genitive depend on фа́риакод? "in den Gesetzen kein Mittel zur Herstellung der Ruhe gegeben ist"? Stahr.
XII. I оủк ̇̇коเข $\omega \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. 'never took any part in political af-
fairs, but were in a private station throughout life.'
$\left.\nu^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \quad \delta \eta \mu \mathrm{ov} \rho \gamma \mathrm{ol}\right]$ ' framers of laws.'
2 ò入ıvapरià tє $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] This$ is the language, not of Aristotle, but of those who support Solon.
áкратор] 'untempered.'
The grounds on which Solon was spoken of by some as having first constituted the Areopagus, are given, Grote, iII. 98. In p. 167 of the same volume, Mr Grote has a note on this whole passage about Solon. In it he considers that Aristotle's own judgment does not begin till $\S 5$, фaivetal $\delta \epsilon$, к. $\tau . \lambda$. I cannot but think that the passage should be more broken up. In § 2, we have the view favourable to Solon. In the first sentence of $\S 3$, we have a criticism of Aristotle on that view. Then from $\delta \iota \delta$ каl $\mu \epsilon \epsilon \mu \phi \nu \nu \tau a \iota$ down to $\delta \eta \mu$ ккат la the opposite view, unfavourable to Solon. And this again




 $\lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \bar{\omega} \nu \alpha i \not \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu, \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \delta \delta \bar{\eta} \mu о \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$,

 $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \rho i o \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{o} \nu$. є̇ $\pi \epsilon \grave{\imath} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ той $\tau^{\prime} \stackrel{\iota}{\iota} \sigma \chi \nu \epsilon \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma-4$



is followed by a criticism of Aristotle's, just as the other had been.
 If the arrangement of the passage just given is correct, it would follow that Aristotle allowed Solon's claim to the origination of the dikasteries. On this more below.
$\lambda \hat{v} \sigma \alpha l \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu]$ 'The other element in the state,' the $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \in \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha$ of the previous sentence.

4 тク̀̀ $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ô $\eta \mu о к \rho a \tau l a \nu]$ ] 'The democracy of our days,' in no favourable sense. The language of strong conservatives enumerating with disgust the various changes by which the present odious state of things had been brought about.
${ }^{\epsilon}$ Éódoveє] ' cut down the powers of.'
 $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma e \nu]$ The opinion I have expressed above, that Aristotle allowed Solon's claims to the origination of the dikasteries, I give with very great hesitation, for it differs from that of Mr Grote. But I cannot but think that Aristotle (if the chapter be really Aristotle's, of which I have strong doubts, in any case, that the writer of the chapter) thought the institution of the סıкaбти́pia older than Pericles, and
changed by him so far, tbat the members of them received pay thenceforward. That the writer was wrong in this supposition, I am quite ready to allow, for I accept fully Mr Grote's view of the series of constitutional changes at Athens. But from the whole arrangement of the passage, as given in the note on $\S 2$, I think it is clear that this was the writer's view. Minute accuracy does not seem to have been his object, if one is to judge by the language in $\S 6$; and I cannot but doubt Aristotle's using, as applied to Pericles, the language of $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma o v$ фaúdous. This I rest not merely on general grounds, but on a passage in the Ethics, vi. V. 5 . p. 1i40, b. 8, where Pericles is quoted as the best known instance of the $\phi \rho$ óv $\mu \mathrm{cos}$ or wise man. And over and above all points of detail, I find it difficult to see why Aristotle, intimately acquainted as he was with the Athenian constitution, master of it by the most careful study, (this is seen by his fragments), should have abstained from an elaborate criticism on it, and yet thought it worth while to throw in these few incomplete, and, in one point at least, inaccurate remarks. Still we can only









 $\pi о ́ \rho \omega \nu$ катє́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ，є́к т $\bar{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa о \sigma \iota о \mu є \delta i ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu$ каі


Various lawgivers．

 ри́vঠas ó Katavaîos тоîs aútồ то入ítaıs каi таîs ä入入aıs

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau o v ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu o v ̂ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon \sigma i a \nu, ~ \gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \delta \delta ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$



state doubts，and not solve them．The arguments in favour of the chapter are given，Nickes，55，Ann．2；Spengel， 11，note 13，who is very strong in his attack on Göttling for rejecting it． Mr Grote also does not hint the slight－ est doubt of its genuineness．Spen－ gel＇s argument drawn from $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau l a \nu$ seems to $m e$ to fail，if that part is allowed to be，as I think it should be，the language of an objector．

5 àm̀ $\sigma v \mu \pi \tau \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s]$＇from an ac－ cidental coincidence of circumstances．＇
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota o \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \eta$ ］＂as much power as was strictly needful，and no more．＂ Grote，III． 168.

6 кal трiтov тє $\lambda$ ous，к．$\tau$ ．$\lambda$ ．］Spengel proposes to read кal rov̂．Even with this change，the order of the classes is
incorrectly given，＂anderes，＂he says， ＂est bei dem Zustande unseres Textes unbedenklich als corrupt anzunehmen wie die Worte $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．wo wahrscheinlich kal $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ stand；sind doch in diesem Kapitel weit ärgere Fehler．＂
$\theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v]$ on the distinction between the Thetic census and the Thetes，comp． Grote，III． 158.
For Zaleucus and Charondas，comp． Grote，III． 505 ；IV．560－2．
$\left.7 \sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \nu\right]$＇To form a catena．＇
＇Ovoнакрitov］From Smith，Biogr． Dict．，this would seem the only men－ tion of this personage．
$\epsilon \pi \iota \partial \eta \mu \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ ка兀̀̀ $\left.\tau \epsilon \chi \chi \nu \eta \nu \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \eta \eta_{\nu}\right]$ ＇Staying there for the purpose of ac－ quiring the prophetic art．＇





 є́тє入єútท














 due regard to chronology．＇After $\lambda \epsilon$－ roves I put a full stop，so connecting the remark entirely with what pres－ cedes it．

Bакх८aঠ̂̀ $]$ The Bacchiad oligarchy was subverted by Cypselus about bic． 655．Grote，iII．53－55．On Philolaus and his history，comp．Grote，II． 394 foll．，who places him between B．c． 728 and 700 ．

9 єंテvvóntous］＇easily seen．＇
$\delta \iota \grave{~} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \chi \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ rov̂ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta o v s]$＇from his＂hatred and horror＂of the pas－ sion．＇
io $\nu$ ópous $\theta \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa o u ́ s]$＇laws respect－ ing the adoption of children．＇Comp．


$\dot{d} \dot{d} \rho \epsilon \mu \rho s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ＇That the ori－$ ginal number of lots of land might be preserved．＇Comp．Grote，II． 525 ， note 2 ，where the passage is quoted as shewing that Aristotle did not suppose Lycurgus to have intended this．

II $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu, \stackrel{\epsilon}{6} \pi[\sigma \kappa \eta \psi(\nu]$＂the solemn in－ dictment against perjured witnesses before justice．＂Grote，Iv．561．Plato， de Legg．xi．937，в．Demosthenes，Or． 47，p． 1139.
 actress of his laws he is more finished even than lawgivers of the present day．＇$\gamma \lambda \alpha \phi v \rho \omega \hat{s}$, II．x．I．
12 Фa入є́ov］Mr Grote acquiesces， II． 395 ，note，in the substitution of this name for that of Philolaus，on the condition of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \nu^{\prime} \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota s$ meaning＂ a

















 $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \alpha$ тò̀ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu \tau o u ̂ \tau o \nu$.
fresh equalization." The simple sense of 'equalization' seems the best, and is warranted by a passage in the Rhetoric, III. xi. 5. pp. 1412, 16, кal $\tau \grave{\partial}$ à $\nu \omega \mu a \lambda i \sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \grave{v} \partial \iota \epsilon-$
 тд̀ l'ov. $^{2}$

коно́т $\eta s$ ] occurs before, II. viI. x.
$\dot{\dot{o}} \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \theta \eta, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] This and the other point of detail are here mentioned for the first time. The first is given de Legg. 1. $6_{4}$ o, D. ойко̂̂̀ $\nu \eta^{-}$ фоутá $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀$ бофòv ä $\rho \chi$ оעта $\mu \epsilon \theta v \dot{\nu} \tau \tau \omega \nu$. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каөt $\quad \tau a ́ v a \iota$. The second, ibid. vir. 794, D. \&c.
${ }^{1} 3$ On Draco's legislation, Grote, III. roo fol., " not more rigorous than the sentiments of the age." He is the
first strictly $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o ́ s$.



Pittacus. Grote, III. 268. The particular law here quoted is mentioned again, though without its author's name, Eth. III. vii. 8. p. 1113 , b. 3 I , and Rhet. II. 25, 7. p. 1402, b. II, where Pittacus is mentioned. This last passage makes the suggestion of Muretus, that for $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \tau v \pi \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \sigma \iota$, we should read ä́ $\tau \iota \pi \tau \alpha t \omega \sigma \iota$, very plausible. Mur. Var. Lect. xiv. 2 I.

14 Androdamas of Rhegium seems quite unknown.
$\tau$ às кvplas] "existantes," St Hil. : " wirklich bestehenden," Stahr: 'actually in force.'

## BOOK III. SUMMARY.

WITH this third book begins a new division of the work. His predecessors in political science, whether theoretic enquirers, or statesmen who had put their ideas in practice, have been reviewed and criticised. The results of that criticism have been partly negative, that is, have proved that there is yet work left for the political philosopher-partly positive, for the rejection of erroneous theories on the extent of association required by union in a state, necessarily marks out the due limits of that association. Whilst vindicating the family and private property against the theories of Plato, whilst supporting inequality against the theory of Phaleas, Aristotle is strengthening the assumption of the 1st book, that the family and property are the necessary conditions of the state, and that there are and ever will be differences among men. Still the constructive part of his work las not yet been entered upon. He too, like some of his predecessors, must sketch out an ideal state, a type to which others may approach, and by their deviation from which others may be judged. This is done in the three following books. But unfortunately it either was never fully done, or has not survived to our times. The work, as it stands, is broken off in the midst of his theory of education ; and on many of the most important questions, some suggested in his own words, some suggested naturally by the subject, we are left without Aristotle's answers.

The opening chapters of this third book itself are devoted to the solution of some simple and fundamental questions.
lst. The question, What is the State? receives as its first answer: a given number of citizens. This answer raises the question, Who is the citizen? answered in Ch. I. Some more popular notions on the subject in Ch. II. lead him to the question, In what consists the identity of a State? This is made to depend on the identity of its constitution (Ch. III.).

2ndly. As constitutions differ, the requirements of the citizen will differ also. The good citizen will always be so called with reference to the constitution of which he is a member. If that be imperfect, he, if perfect as a citizen, will be faulty as a man. In Aristotle's language the question takes this shape: Is the excellence
of the man identical with that of the good citizen? The answer must be negative except in the ideal state, and even in that ideal state strict theory compels us to say that it is only in its magistrates that we find the two absolutely coincident. But as in that state the citizens are in turn citizens and magistrates, in all alike the two will coincide, but it will be a question of time, it will be only, that is, when in power, that there will be scope for the full exercise of perfect virtue. These alternations of perfect and imperfect excellence are the necessary consequences of the conditions of Aristotle's ideal state, which is formed of a number of citizens equally good, who must therefore be in turn rulers and ruled. (Ch. IV.).

3rdly. In such a state the qualifications of the citizens must be high, and for the attainment of these long training and high education are indispensable. But these require leisure. The class then to which leisure is denied by its circumstances must be excluded. This is the ground for his answer to the question, Are the artizans, Bávavoot, citizens? They cannot be in the ideal state, such as Aristotle conceived it. (Ch. V.).

So far by way of preamble. The general test of a good government, varieties being granted, is, that it is for the good of the governed, not that of the governing body. (Ch. VI.). That body may be one man or more than one. If more than one, it may be a small minority of the whole or a large majority. In other words, it may be a monarch-an aristocracy-or a politeia. Such are the divisions of governments, based on the principle of the number of the governing body, when the end aimed at by the government is the right one. But make the interest of the governing body its paramount consideration, and still adhere to the same principle of division, and your names change ; and the new names are tyranny-oligarchy-democracy. These three are called deviations from the right forms. The members of the two series are looked on as theoretically on a level, but practically in both there is a difference in Aristotle's view, a difference even more strongly marked in the last than in the first. (Ch. VII.). Oligarchy and denocracy are examined at somewhat greater length. The characteristic of the first is found in wealth-that of the second in poverty. Accidentally wealth resides in the few-poverty in the many. (Ch. VIII.).

All governments are based on some claim of right. The true ground of discussion, when examining the several claims, is this: You who claim more, do you contribute more, not as rich men, nor as artists, but as citizens? If so, your claim is just ; if not, your claim is unjust. (Ch. IX.).

Where shall the sovereign power reside? Shall it be in the many or the few? This is discussed with a leaning to the many. (Ch. X. XI.).

The state is based on justice, and justice is equality. The question is: Equality in what? A series of difficulties are started, and the most definite result is this: that legislation involves the idea that those legislated for are equal in race and in powers. If the fair limits of this equality are overstepped, those who overstep it are practically liable to ostracism, theoretically they are the natural governors of their state. (Ch. XII. XIII.).

The remainder of the book i on Monarchy, the various forms of the government of one ; and is mainly descriptive, partly speculative. (Compare Mr Cornewall Lewis, On Methods of Olservation and Reasoning on Politics, Vol. I. ch. iII.). Five forms are given : the Spartan-barbaric-æsymnete, or elective-heroic-absolute, either tyranny or ideal monarchy. (Chaps. XIV. XVI.). Hereditary monarchy is not favoured; nor, speaking generally, monarchy: but the judgment on it must depend on the state in which we find it existing. (Ch. XVII.). He adopts as his own ideal state evidently not monarcly, but the second form, aristocracy in its ideal sense, the government of a certain number, which number under the conditions of human nature can hardly be very large, of citizens well qualified by moral discipline and intellectual training for a wise exercise of the functions they are called on to discharge.

The opening of the book at once carries us back to the end of the



 Compare also I. in. l.

## ПOムITIK $\Omega \mathbf{N}$.



 $\sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \chi \epsilon \in \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\tau} \nu \quad \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota \nu$, oi $\delta^{\circ}$ out $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
















I. I $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \pi$ 人 $\iota \tau \epsilon l a s]$ genitive singular, as opposed to oiкороиias. See note on I. xiIi. $1_{5}$.
$\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] That the idea is$ not clear, is evident from the fact, that at present men are at issue, \&c.
$\dot{\eta} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon[\alpha]$ The constitution is an arrangement of a state; a state is a whole made up of parts, those parts are citizens. Who then is the citizen ? How shall he be defined?

2 Comp. I. I. 3.
kail $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, к.т. $\lambda$.] 'For the idea of the citizen, like that of the state, is by no means clear.'

3 Tav́t $\eta s$ र̂̀s $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \gamma o \rho l a s]$ 'This name of citizen.'
$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ oik $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \pi o v$ ] 'by the simple fact of residence in a given place.'
4 ovid $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ] 'only so far.'
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \kappa$ al $\delta \kappa \kappa \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'as to be$ parties in suits, defendants and plaintiffs.'
rov̂̃o $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ vi vt $\alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi \epsilon, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'for this$













would apply to those who are associated by commercial treaties.' "In lawsuits between citizens of different states there existed, by virtue of a particular agreement, an appeal from one state to the other." These appeals were the $\delta \ell \kappa \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\delta} \sigma v \mu \beta 6 \lambda \omega \nu$, covenants or treaties for mutual protection, as opposed to the system of simple reprisals. Böckh, Publ. Econ. of Athens, I. 69.
 der, with Vet. Int. and others, leave this out: Stahr retains it, but puts it in brackets as suspicious. St Hilaire retains it, but his translation scarcely meets the difficulty. I think it may be defended. In fact, though the passage is confused, I am inclined to look on it as hardly clear without these words. I should include in a parenthesis the words roûto $\gamma$ á $\rho$ - кot$\nu \omega \nu \circ \hat{v} \sigma \omega \nu$. The $\tau$ oúroos I should refer to $\mu \epsilon \tau o l k o \iota s$, the $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa a l \omega \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \tau$ '́ $\chi$ оутєs, к.т.入. 'Mere residence does not make a citizen; if it did, slaves and metics would be citizens. Nor again, does a certain community of rights, that, viz. of appearing in the courts of justice. Again the me-
tics would be citizens if it did. Though it is true they do not always possess the right in its full integrity, they can only appear by representation.'
$\nu \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu]$ Comp. Isocrater, Or. viIi. p. 170: $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ oi is $\mu \epsilon \tau 0 \ell-$ nous totoútous vouliouev, oious $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ä $\nu$ тov̀s $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \tau$ á vas $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, 'to provide themselves with a patron.'

5 '̇ $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \rho a \mu \mu$ évous] 'enrolled in the list of citizens,' $\epsilon i s \tau$ ò $\lambda \eta \xi \iota \iota a \rho \chi \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \rho \alpha \mu$ $\mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{c} \nu$, the book in which the members of the demus were enrolled. Grote, Iv. 178 , note.

oo' $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \hat{s} \delta \dot{\delta}$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'But yet not$ quite without a qualification; we must add to the statement in the one case that they are not full citizens, in the other that they are past the age.'
$\left.{ }^{\text {E }} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a\right]$ 'open to no objection of this kind requiring correction.'
$\dot{a} \tau \ell \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha l \phi v \gamma \dot{d} \omega \omega \nu]$ These two are $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \pi \lambda \lambda i ̂ \tau \alpha$, not $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$.
$6 \pi 0 \lambda \imath \tau \eta s \delta \epsilon \in, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'a citizen in$ the strict sense is defined by no one other thing so properly as by his sharing in the administration of justice and in the government.'



















$\dot{\delta} \delta^{\prime}$ do $\left.\rho \iota \sigma \tau o s\right]$ The change to the masculine is abrupt, but caused by what follows. This makes it clear that by di $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, he means legislative power, the power of the $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$.
$\left.7 \dot{\alpha} \nu{ }^{\nu} \nu v u \rho o \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho\right]$ 'for we have no name to express that which the two, the member of the judicial and the member of the legislative body, have in common. Let it be then, for distinction's sake, called "an indefinite magistracy." We consider then as citizens, those who in this sense are members of the association.' $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi$ Øоעтas, sc. $\tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{K} \kappa \iota \nu \omega \nu i a s$.
$8 \dot{\dot{o}} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv, к. т. त.] 'The sense of the word citizen then, which would best suit all that are called citizens, may be said to be this.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'in the$ case of general names which stand for
individuals differing in kind; or, wherever the things that correspond to the name differ in kind,' ("die einzeln mum Grunge liegenden Theile der Art nash verschieden sind," Stahr,) 'and one sense is the primary, the other secondary, \&c. : cither there is absolutely no common element, or one which is very difficult to trace.' $\gamma^{\lambda} \iota \sigma \chi \rho \hat{\omega} s$, 'scantily.' It is the case of analogous words, or equivocals. $\dot{\phi} \mu \dot{\omega}^{-}-$ $\nu \nu \mu a$, Categ. I. i. p. I. I.
9. $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon[a]$ is the generic term, the various species differ very widely.
$\dot{v} \sigma \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho a s]$ ambiguous, and if order of time is meant by the word, then the statement is not correct.
 fer, it cannot but be that the parts differ; the $\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s$ is but part of the
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 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma, \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau o \iota o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os iкalò̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s$
 a $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Bekker.

го $\Delta$ ©órє $\rho$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'looking at this$ distinction, we must remember that the above definition of the citizen will apply with most propriety in a democracy.' Stahr puts a full stop at $\pi 0$ $\lambda i \tau \eta s$, I prefer altering that after $\dot{\alpha} v a \gamma-$ каîov.
$\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s]$ 'democratical element.'
$\sigma_{v} \gamma \lambda \dot{\eta}$ тous] 'assemblies summoned as occasion required.' At Athens they would be extraordinary, as opposed to the regular assemblies. In the case supposed by Aristotle they are the only ones.
$\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s] ~ " v e r s c h e i d e n e ~ B e h o ̈ r-~$ den." Stahr. 'The administration of justice is conducted by different parts of the state.'

II Kapхךס́óva] II. II. 7. See note. The general object is the same, at

Sparta and at Carthage ; the administration of justice is entrusted to the few, the magistrates; not to the people, assembled in large numbers, as at
 d $\rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$.
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \epsilon \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'However the definition of the citizen admits of correction.'
$\tau \alpha i \bar{s} d \lambda \lambda a u s]$ as opposed to $\epsilon^{2} \nu \delta \eta 0^{-}$ крariq.
$\left.12 \oint \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \xi={ }^{\xi} \xi \sigma i a\right]$ 'He who is admissible,' to whom the right of access to office is not closed.
$\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'of the state in which he has this right.'
II. I ópi乡ovatal $\delta \dot{\eta}]$ ] $\delta$ should be read, 'Now for practical purposes the citizen is defined to be.' This is sup-












 $\sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \rho i ́ \alpha \nu$, ő $\sigma o \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \chi o \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\epsilon \nu}^{\prime} \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \eta s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha s$,


 a äy Bekker.
ported by Vet. Int., who translates it ' autem.'
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ] 'practically,' 'popularly.' Comp. Poetics, vi. 23, 1450, b.8. $\tau \alpha$ $\chi \epsilon \omega s$, 'hastily,' 'superficially.'
$2 \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu \epsilon v \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s]$ "sich lustig machte." Stahr. I prefer the sense of 'speaking cautiously,' 'not wishing to speak out.'
$\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma \omega \nu]$ The word stands both for 'artificers' and 'magistrates.'

Aapıб⿱alous] Liddell and Scott give the word $\dot{o}$ पaploaîos from this passage as a kind of kettle made at Larissa. We do not know enough of Gorgias at Larissa, where he is said to have spent a considerable time, to make the anecdote clear.

3 ध̈art $\left.\delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \lambda o \hat{v} \nu\right]$ 'But the question is really simple.'
$\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad a ้ \nu]$ 'erant,' Vet. Int. I omit the ${ }^{*} v$, ' If they came up to the definition, they were citizens.' '̇фар $\mu \dot{\delta} \tau-$ $\tau \epsilon \nu$, active.
$\epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{C}]$ 'another class involve really a greater difficulty.'
$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \sigma \neq \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'have been ad-$ mitted to citizenship after a revolution.'
 this passage BpThirlwall, II. 74, wishes to insert кal before $\mu \epsilon \tau 0 l$ кous, making the new citizens to be taken from these classes. Niebuhr, on the other hand, Rom. Hist. II. 305, note 702, wishes to change the order, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ois
 Mr Grote, Iv. I7O, note I, prefers to take it as it stands, and construes the $\mu \epsilon \tau o l k o u s$ with both $\xi \in \in v o u s$ and $\delta o u$ रous. A comparison of two other passages, IV. (VII.) Iv. 6, $\delta о \dot{\jmath} \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a l$ $\mu \epsilon \tau o l k \omega \nu$ кal $\xi \in \nu \omega \nu$, and again in the same chapter, § 14, छ'́vols каl $\mu \epsilon \tau$ тоiкoıs would lead, I think, to the insertion of $\kappa \alpha l$ as the simplest way.
$4 \tau \dot{\partial} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \mu a]$ The question is not de facto, but de jure.




















$\tilde{a}^{\rho} \rho$ ' $\left.\epsilon l, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'Must it not follow, if you allow that a man is a citizen on wrong grounds, that he is not a citizen at all?'

5 toútous] sc. тov̀s $\mu \in \tau a \sigma \chi$ бутas $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \circ \lambda \hat{\eta} s \gamma^{\epsilon \nu} \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \overline{ }$.
III. I $\sigma v \nu a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \delta s$ ] 'connects with,' $\pi \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, I. I.

2 ס $\langle a \lambda \hat{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ] 'meet,' 'discharge.'
${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi$ тo入入d̀ $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \omega o u ́ \tau \omega \nu\right]$ such for instance as the laws of the fallen government.
ís tevias, к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$.$] 'on the ground$ that there are some constitutions which exist simply by virtue of superior force, and not for the common good.'

The reasoning must hold good for the three forms of government equally, says Aristotle. 'If then in any case
you have a democracy resting on mere force, you must allow that its acts
 the acts of the state in which it exists ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s$ ), as much as ( $\dot{\mu} \mu o i$ ws kal, comp. II. vIII. 2I) the acts of an oligarchy or tyranny are the acts of their respective states.' They are all $\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, they must all be judged on the same principles.
 is what follows, $\pi \hat{\omega} s \pi o \tau \dot{\epsilon} \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu$. 'The difficulty we have been discussing seems to have an intimate connexion with the question, how can you ever say that the state is the same or not the same but different?'
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'The most ob-$ vious solution of the difficulty.' Such seems to be the meaning of $\zeta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma c s$,


















though it is forcing the word to make it stand for the result of inquiry, instead of inquiry. Compare his use of крiनe $\omega$ s in II. viII. 13. Stair takes the same view, "Die zunächst liegende Lösung dieser Schwierigkeit."

4 тav́т $\bar{\nu} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'The dif-$ ficulty when it takes this form, is by no means hard.' $\pi \rho a o \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu, ~ ' m i l d e r, '$ 'gentler.' For as the name of the city may apply to several, to the inhabitants of several places, the inquire as to the identity of the different places meant by the name presents no difficulty. So I paraphrase the $\pi 0 \lambda$ $\lambda a \chi \omega ิ s . . \zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\zeta} \sigma \epsilon \omega$.
$\dot{\text { o }} \mu \mathrm{o} / \omega \mathrm{s} \delta \epsilon$, к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$.] The first questimon arose when the place of residence was not the same. 'Similarly when the place is the same.' 'There is equally also a question,' \&c.

5 'It cannot surely be that the en-
closure within given walls constitutes identity.'

Ba jv $\omega \nu$ ] Compare Herod. I. 178 , 191, 'which includes within its circumference a space adapted rather for a tribe than for a city.'

6 elis $d \lambda \lambda o \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \delta \nu]$ IV. (VII.) 4 .
${ }^{*} \theta \theta$ pos $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu\right]$ Compare on this subject Arnold, Thucydides, Preface to Vol. iII. $x \mathrm{x}$.
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.] ~ ' B u t ~ t h r o w-~$ ing aside the question of size, and assuming that you have men of the same race inhabiting the same place, then, \&c.'
$\tau \delta \quad \gamma$ avos] Is the identity of the state dependent on the identity of the race, which is not impaired by the suecession of generations? or does it depend on the identity of constitution? On this last, says Aristotle.
























ס૮à $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ rocaír $\eta \nu$ airiav ] 'on these grounds.'

7 єi้ $\pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] \quad 'For if we$ allow that the state is an association, and an association of citizens is a constitution, then when the constitution becomes in kind other than it was, and different, it would seem to follow that the state is no longer the same.'
 Dekker's reading is kept, you have one of the two ' $\pi$ o入ıreias' superfluous. And the next chapter, $\S 3$, shews that the expression is legitimate, Kor $\nu \omega \nu i \alpha$

A. $P$.
$8 \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu]$ is the predicate.
9 Nob cos $\begin{gathered}\text { '̈ } \tau e \rho o s] ~ T h e ~ p o i n t, ~ a s ~ f a r ~\end{gathered}$ as I know, is not discussed in the books we have.
IV. I After settling who is the citizen of his state, he proceeds to discuss a question analogous to that discussed in I. xIII., and first mooted Eth. v. v. If. p. 1130, B. 29, l' $\sigma \omega$ s $\gamma$ àp out
 $\pi a \nu \tau i$, a statement which anticipates the conclusion of this chapter.
rìv roû $\pi 0 \wedge$ írov] That of the man has been given in the Ethics.

Are the $\lambda_{\eta \pi}$ good man $\quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ồv $\dot{o} \pi \lambda \omega \tau \bar{\eta} \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \varsigma \tau \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$, and the good citizen identical?






 $3 \dot{\delta} \mu о i \not \omega s$ тоívv каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, каíтєр $\dot{\alpha} \nu о \mu о i \omega \nu{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu, \dot{\eta}$
 $\tau \epsilon i \alpha \cdot$ סıò т̀̀̀ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̂ o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a l ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda i ́ \tau o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$







o $\left.\pi \lambda \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho\right]$ Compare Eth. vili. xi. 5. p. 1160,14 , on the subject of кoчvшvia, where $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s$ are cited as examples.
$2 \dot{\delta} \mu \dot{\jmath} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'That$ whilst the most exact definition of each will express properly the peculiar excellence of each, there will be none the less some common one which will be adapted to all.' Vict. wishes to read $\partial \mu \omega \mathrm{s}$, and is followed by Schneider, but it is not necessary.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \nu a v \tau i \lambda i a s]$ 'For safety in their navigation is the object they all have in common;' and if they have in common some one object ( $\epsilon \rho \gamma o \nu$ ), then they will have in common some excellence, $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ á $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ॅ \rho \gamma o \nu \tau o ̀ ~ o i k \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$, Eth. vi. ii. 7. p. II 39, 16.
 the association of citizens is their constitution.'
$\left.\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu\right]$ 'must necessarily be referred to the constitution of
which he is a member,' comp. I. xiII. 15. He is but a part, and like other parts, can only be viewed properly in relation to the whole. If so, and if there are several forms of constitution, it will follow that the citizens in the different forms will differ, so that it is impossible for all citizens to secure the perfect virtue, in other words, to be perfectly good men.
4 ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{d}]$ A second argument. 'We may from another point of view discuss the best constitution, and arrive at the same conclusion.' $\delta \iota a \pi o-$ pô̂vтas $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath}$ च̂̀s $\dot{\alpha} \rho l \sigma \tau \eta s$ mo入ıcєias, "indem man Zweifel und Bedenken
 $\tau \epsilon i a$ vorbringe." Spengel, p. 30.

5 This clause is rather loosely expressed; I consider it to mean: It is impossible that a state should have none but thoroughly good men for its citizens, yet each citizen must do his




 tical ？









 фаiov каi тарабтátov．Dıótı $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ тoivvv ám $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s ở $\chi \dot{\eta} 7$
 а́ $\rho \in \tau \grave{\eta} \pi$ то久íтоv тє $\sigma \pi о v \delta \alpha i o v ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ a ̀ v \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta a i o v ; ~ \phi а \mu \grave{\epsilon \nu}$



own proper work；this involves some excellence，that of the citizen，so that they will all be good citizens．But then，as they cannot be all quite alike， though excellent as citizens，they will not be all equally excellent as men．
dं $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \tau o \nu \dot{\delta} \mu o l o v s ~ \epsilon โ \nu a l]$ II．II． 3 ，ov

 A third argument．The mere fact of the citizens being dissimilar，involves dissimilar excellence．You would as little look for its being one and the same in all，as you would require one and the same excellence in the front and rear ranks of a chorus．Muller， Eumenides，63，64．
$7 \Delta$ ót 7 ］＇That then，＇I．II． 10.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇$\dot{d} \rho \alpha$ 首 $\sigma \tau \alpha l]$＇But will there not be some case in which we shall find
coincident the excellence of the good citizen and the good man？＇
$\phi \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ ］＇We say then that the good magistrate must combine moral goodness and intellectual excellence， whereas the citizen need not have this latter in its highest form．＇$\tau \delta \nu \delta \bar{\epsilon} \pi o^{-}$ $\lambda i \tau \eta \nu$ oű is the reading $I$ adopt on con－ jecture．We do not require $\phi \rho \delta \partial \eta \sigma \iota \iota$ for the simple citizen．See below \＆ 18 ．

8 каl $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a \nu]$＇So clear is it that we draw this distinction，that at the very outset，the education of the ruler，it is said，should be different from that of the ruled．As in fact is seen to be the case with the sons of kings，who are taught riding and the art of war．＇For $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \bar{\eta} \nu$ ，Göttling reads $\pi$ o入ı兀єк $\dot{\eta} \nu$ ，which I．should not have mentioned，but that Mr Lewis

Are the good man and the good citi－ zen iden－ tical？



$\mu \grave{\eta} \mu о \iota$ т̀̀ ко́ $\mu \psi{ }^{\prime}$ -
ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\omega} \nu \pi$ то́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \iota ̂$,










adopts it（On Authority，\＆c．，p．256， note．）It is surely not necessary．

Ev́pıriôns］Fr．Sol．viI．
9 In the good ruler then we must look for the perfect virtue of the per－ fect man．But those whom he rules are，not less than he，citizens of the state．There is a wide interval be－ tween their functions，there will be therefore a difference in their respec－ tive excellences．So in a given citizen the good man and the grod ruler coin－ cide，not in all citizens．It was a strong expression of Jason＇s sense of this difference between ruler and sub－ ject，when he said he felt hungry when not despot．Grote，III．36，note，＇in－ cessant hunger till he became despot．＇

10 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \dot{\lambda}$.$] ＇It must not$ be forgotten，however，allowing all this， that by the common voice of men， praise is attached to the capacity for filling both positions，that of ruler and ruled，and the general idea of the ex－ cellence of a citizen，is that he pos－ sesses this capacity，that he can both rile and submit to rule．＇

тo入ltov $\delta$ oкl $\mu 0 v$ ］we must supply $\delta о к \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$ ，or some similar word．
$\epsilon i$ oîv，к．$\tau$ ．入．，＇The good man must have the virtue of the ruler，the good citizen must have both；but both are not equally objects of praise．＇

II $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \ell$ oîv $\pi o \tau \epsilon$ ，к．$\tau$ ．入．］This is variously taken．Stahr supplies $\bar{\epsilon} \pi a \iota-$ $\nu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ єivat after d́ $\mu \phi o ́ r \epsilon \rho a$ ，as does Schneider．Victorius makes $\dot{\alpha} \mu, \phi \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho a$ depend on $\mu a \nu \theta \dot{d} \nu \epsilon c \nu$ ．Agreeing with him，I construe the passage as follows ： ＇Since then it seems that the ruler must some time or other learn both （ $\ddot{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ кal $\ddot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ），and yet that the ruler and the ruled are not bound to know the same things，whilst the citizen must know both and fully share in both，what follows from these posi－ tions may be seen．＇The simple an－ swer as to what is required relatively of the two，the ruler and the ruled，is that in the best state it is a question of time．And as far as I can under－ stand the passage，the line of argu－ ment is not continued in the next sentence，but in § $\mathrm{r}_{4}, \tau a u ́ r \eta \nu \gamma$ à $\rho \lambda \epsilon$－



 good citizen identical?






 oí $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi a \lambda \alpha \iota o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \rho \chi ~ \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \pi \rho i ̀ \nu ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \mu о \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$










боцеу, к.т. $\lambda$. All between these two points seems to me out of place. It interrupts the reasoning, and is in itself superfluous, as it is in fact a repetition of points already adequately treated in the First Book. Without presuming to say that it is not Aristotle's, I have therefore inclosed it in brackets simply to mark what I consider the sense of the passage. It has the air of being put in to explain the connexion of $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \lambda \in \gamma о \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$.
$\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \dot{\eta}\right]$ The doctrine is the same as that of I, vir.
$12 \Delta$ oútov $\delta$ ' $\epsilon i \delta \eta$ ] From this to the end of the section is to me even
more suspicious than the rest. It is nost unnecessary detail.

13 ov̉ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ éč] 'For the result is that the distinction is effaced.'

It $\tau \alpha u u^{\tau} \eta \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] 'For the very notion we attach to the power exercised in a free state is, that it is that which the ruler learns to exercise by himself obeying.' Compare Grote, on the character of Epaminondas, x. $4^{87}$, "An illustrious specimen of that capacity and goodwill, both to command and to be commanded, which Aristotle pronounces to form in their combination the characteristic feature of the worthy citizen."

Are the good man and the good citizen identical?
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Are ol $\beta \dot{\alpha} \nu a v \sigma o l$ citizens?

${ }^{15}$ Toútcu] sc. of the two positions.

е̇ $\pi$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ о́т $\tau \rho a]$ 'in both directions,' 'dans les deux sens.'

16 'It follows then that both are parts of the character of a good man, even though we allow that the virtues as they appear in the ruler, wear a different face from that which they do in the ruled ; I say virtues, for evidently in the good man, when though free he is yet bound by position to obey, there will not be merely one virtue, say justice, but there will be different kinds of virtue, one kind by which he shall be qualified to rule, the other qualified to obey.'
$1_{7}$ oikоуорia] 'Their function in the family management.'
$\dot{\eta}$ ठè $\phi \rho \dot{\partial} \eta \eta \sigma t s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] one virtue$ there is, and only one, peculiar to the ruler, $\phi \rho \dot{\sigma} \eta \sigma \iota s$, the highest union of moral virtue with the practical reason. This is in agreement with his language in the Ethics, vi. xi. 2. p. ${ }^{11} 43,8$,

$\left.18 \delta^{6} \xi=\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \eta_{\eta} s\right]$ This is equivalent to the $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma$ ors of the Ethics in the passage I have just quoted, which is said to be крєтєкŋ,
V. I $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi 0 \lambda\{\tau \eta \nu]$ 'Our citizen.' $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s \quad \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l \nu]$ 'Is he only a citizen?'
 , 0 , , , ,
 citizens?
















ßavaúoous] Compare on this subject, Herod. II. 167.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тouav́ $\left.\eta \eta{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu\right]$ Such as we have given him. oûtos, sc. ó $\beta$ ávavoos.
oúó̀ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ رе́rotkos] Here again, we have these two classes marked as distinct, III. II. 3 .

2 ท̈ $\left.\delta \iota \alpha \alpha^{\gamma \epsilon}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ So far as that point is concerned, we are not involved in any difficulty. There are other classes in the same state.
$\alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ<]$ 'freedmen.'
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \bar{s}]$ 'simply,' 'without any qualification.'
$\epsilon \xi \dot{\delta} \pi 0 \theta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ] 'on a given supposition,' the term must be qualified when applied to them.

3 тठ $\beta$ ávavoov $\hat{\eta} \nu \delta 0 \hat{\lambda} \lambda o \nu \eta$ グ $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa o ́ \nu]$ 'The industrial population consisted entirely either of slaves or aliens.'
$\epsilon l$ ठè кal ô̂̃os] Rejected from the ideal state, the artisan may yet prac-
tically be a member of the state such as it exists. If so, his admission necessitates a qualification with regard to the excellence we require in a citizen. Such a standard as we set becomes now one by which all citizens are not to be tried, ner all free men, but only such as are free from the necessity of working to support themselves.
$\left.4 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu\right]$ If this reading is kept, which perhaps is the best way, short as it is: 'With regard to such occupations, those who serve one man in such points are slaves, whilst those who serve the public are artisans and Thetes.' Looking at the whole expression I had thought that divaкai $\omega \nu$ was corrupt, and that the true reading was $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$. But it is as well to acquiesce in the present reading.
 citizens?



















aủrò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ фavèv $\tau o ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu]$ "Die nähere Beleuchtung des Gesagten." Stahr. 'What we have already said, of itself, if explained, clears up the point.'
5 oú $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oiov] Here we have definitely the reason why Aristotle excludes the industrial population. If by the arrangement of society the reason ceases to hold good, the exclusion would cease to be required. It is a problem which seems in a fair way of solution, in favour of the industrial population, owing to their numbers, their organization, and the ultimate influence on the question of leisure for education and self-cultivation which machinery will have.
$7 \delta \in ́ \kappa \alpha \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'for a space of ten years.' That interval must elapse between his mixing in business and his admission to office.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon \tau \alpha 1]$ Middle. 'The law draws in some of the aliens also,' "zieht sogar manche Freunde zum Buirgerrecht." Stahr. Eurip.Med. ${ }^{661}$.

8 oú $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'not how-$ ever but that, when from want of genuine citizens they introduce such as these, when they have numbers suffi-
 Thirlwall, iII. 61, for Pericles' clearing the Athenian register.
 the mother only was citizen.


Are of $\beta$ ávavoot citizens?




## 







 $\kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \imath \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \alpha \varsigma$.




${ }^{\text {a }} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ Dekker.
$\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ du $\sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$, adopted by Dekker and others in place of auer $\hat{\omega} \nu$, the reading of the MSS., is rejected by Stahr. Nicks agrees with him, Excur. vi. He refers to CBc. II. iv. 3, where Dekker has made the same change, and supports his view by the argument that $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is very rare in Aristotle. auer $\hat{\omega} \nu$ certainly seems to make good sense, referred to the subject of motovotv. They make citizens those only who have a father and mother both of themselves, of the body to which those who make them belong,' "aus ihrer Nite." Stair.

## 9 Il. Ix. 648.

'̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho \nu \mu \mu$ 'lvov] "Dies Verhältniss verdeckt iss." Stair. "Out lon a soin de dissimuler es différences." St Mil. Does it mean: where this exclusion, though not expressed openly in the laws, is yet tacitly acted.on, it is with a view to deceive those, whether set-
thess from abroad, or born in the coontry, who are merely living with them, not really admitted into the state? And the object of deceiving them would be of course to keep them quiet.

10 $\tau \iota \nu \grave{s} s \mu \grave{̀} \nu \quad \pi \dot{b} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'That in a given state they are identical, in others not so, and he in whom they are identical, is not any citizen of that state indifferently, but the statesman and the man who, either singly or with others, is or can be at the head of the administration. Spengel proposes to read кd́кєivךs (p. 29, note 30), but the change is not necessary.
VI. I After settling these preliminary points, we now come to the main object of the work.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'Both generally, as to magistracies, and especially the sovereign one.

The object
of the $\hat{\eta}_{S} \kappa \cup \rho i \alpha \alpha_{S} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. ки́pıov $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi o \hat{u} \tau \grave{o} \pi о \lambda \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon v \mu \alpha$
state.






 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s$, èv oîs $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ o i к о \nu о д i a s ~ \delta \iota \omega \rho i \sigma \theta \eta ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta є \sigma \pi о т є i ́ a s, ~ o ̈ т \iota ~}$







тò $\pi$ тo\iтєv $\mu$ ] 'the government,' and the constitution of the state, or more shortly, the state is its government ; L'état, c'est moi, is the language of all governments, monarchical or republican. Compare Eth. Ix. viii. 6.

 $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda 0$ бט́б $\tau \eta \mu \alpha$.

2 фаرѐv $\delta \hat{e}$ каl] The sovereign in each differs, therefore the constitution differs, and our common language allows that it does.
vं $\pi$ o $\theta$ ectoo ] 'We must first take as a basis for subsequent reasonings, answers to the two questions: What is the object of the formation of a state? andly, How many kinds of government are there?

3 ì oîs, к. $\tau$. 入.] marks very clearly the scope of the First Book.
$\kappa$ каi $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota]$ 'even if in no degree in need of mutual assistance.' Compare Eth. Ix. 9. p. 1169,6 , for the full discussion of this question.
ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] Still it is not possible to exclude from the bonds of
union the element of personal advantage which each member of the state derives from the union, in proportion to the degree in which each by it is enabled to live nobly.

4 тov̂тo] sc. $\tau \grave{~} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega}$. 'But still men do form the social union for life itself, and not for social life, and when formed men keep it together solely with reference to life and to secure that, unless the hardships which their life brings with it become past endurance.' Comp. Arnold, Rom. Hist. II. ${ }_{167}$, "Nations, like individuals, cheerfully acquiesce in their actual condition, when it appears to be in any degree natural or even endurable ; and their desire of change, whenever they do feel it, is less the wish of advancing from good to better, or a fond craving after novelty, than an irresistible instinct to escape from what is clearly and intolerably bad, even though they have no definite prospect of arriving at good." Also, p. 554 .
 There is a question as to the place
 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \zeta ̧ \eta \nu \nu \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v o v, ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \tau o i ̂ s ~ \chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi o i ̂ s ~ \kappa а \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ of govern-



















these words should hold. Some, as Schneider with Coray, resting on the Vet. Int., wish to place them after $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu i \alpha \nu$. If I understand them rightly, it is no matter where they stand. In either case I refer them to the social union express-ed-if their present place is kept, by the $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \rho \rho^{\prime}$ tered, by $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu$ lav. Rest society and the objects of society on the simple basis of mutual wants, a lower one than Aristotle would wish to take, still there results from it, there is implied in it inherently something noble, some higher element. Men cannot unite without eliciting, as
the fruits of their union, something good. There is honour among thieves, is an illustration of this statement.
$\left.5 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu\right]$ Here begins the answer to the 2nd question, $\pi \delta \sigma \alpha a$ ei $\delta \eta$ da $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, here called $\tau$ oùs $\tau \rho \sigma \pi$ ous.
$\epsilon \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa 0 i s]$ This term may here include, and probably does, the First Book. Compare I. v. 4, and note.

6 ov̉ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\delta} \epsilon \ell \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha l]$ It does not answer absolutely to neglect the slave, any more than any other part of property.
$\left.7 \eta \eta^{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta}\right]$ 'and it is this, not $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o-$ $\tau \kappa \dot{\eta}$, that we emphatically call oikoроцкки́ข.'
$\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \chi^{\delta} \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

The differ－$\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \alpha \varsigma^{*} \dot{o} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \lambda \omega \tau \eta{ }_{\rho} \rho$ ， ent kinds of govern－ ment．



 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota \nu} \tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{o}$ aívô̂ ả $\gamma \alpha \theta o ́ v, ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$




 $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu ~ \sigma к о \pi о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu, ~ a \hat{i} \tau \alpha \iota \mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ ò $\theta \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$ oû $\alpha \iota$


 $\rho \omega \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau i \nu$.

 ments．




 ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \chi^{\omega \sigma \iota, ~ \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha s ~} \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ ob $\rho \theta \grave{a} s$ à $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \hat{\iota} o \nu$ єîvat тàs $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s$,
$8 \dot{o} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \grave{a} \rho \pi \lambda \omega \tau \eta \rho^{\rho} \rho$ for complete－ ness there is required $\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$ 垙．
$9 \Delta \iota$ каí，к．т．入．］Because they look to the common good．
öтav $\eta \mathfrak{\eta}]$ supply as nominative $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta^{\prime}-$ $\lambda \iota s$ ，from $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa a ́ s$.

кат＇іботттга каі ка $\theta^{\prime}$ оцоьо́т $\quad$ та］ on these two principles．The expres－ sion is equivalent to a more common

$\dot{a} \xi \iota o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ，＇they think it but right．＇
$\left.{ }_{\eta} \pi \epsilon \in \phi v \kappa \nu\right]$＇as is the natural course．＇
$\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau o v \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\omega}]$ ］That all should
serve in turn，and that each，after holding his office，should again attend to his own interests．＇

Io $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon$ ］answering to the $\pi \rho 6$－ $\tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ ．

II öбaı $\pi$ o入ı兀є̂à］＇all govern－ ments that．＇Comp．Isoc．Panath． pp．259，260，where the same conclu－ sion is come to as to the principles of governments and their divisions．

VII． 2 a $\ddagger \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau a u ่ t b \nu]$ for our present purpose．

 Division

















$\ddot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov̉ $\pi$ o入ítas, к. $\tau . \lambda$.] For $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta^{\prime}-$ $\lambda_{l s}$ is кolv $\omega \nu i a \operatorname{\pi o\lambda } \lambda \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$
 $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$. Comp. I. I. and note.

3 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \mu o \nu \alpha \rho \chi \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'In the case of the monarchies we usually call the constitution which looks to the com-
 $\sigma \alpha \nu$, I understand $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu$.

4 бvußaivet $\delta$ " єủ入ór $\omega s$ ] "Ces différences de dénomination sont fort justes," says St Hilaire. I refer it strictly to the last form of government, and translate the passage: 'The result is such as you might reasonably expect ; for whilst it is possible to find one man or a few of eminent virtue, in proportion as you increase the number, it becomes difficult to find them trained and finished generally; if toany
excellence, it will be warlike excellcnce that will be developed in them. It is one of which large numbers are susceptible, and so we find that in this form of government the supreme power resides in the military class, and it is open to those who have got full armour.' Comp. III. xviI. 4. The passage is an avowal, that though in strict theory he places the three forms on a level, all equally right, practically there is an interval, and the third form is, from the nature of the case, not susceptible of such perfection as the first and second. It is the same conclusion as that of Eth. virI. xii. 2. p. 1160, $3^{6}, \chi \in \iota \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \mu о к \rho \alpha \tau i a$. This will come more prominently forward later, VI. (IV.) viII.
 mints. $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.

8

## 

 rupt forms.













VIII. I $\tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ ' these last.' For it seems clear from what follows, that it is not the whole number that he is intending to consider. His attention is for some time concentrated on oigarchy and democracy. These seem rather out of place, and ought to have their treatment in Books VI. VII. = (IV. VI.) Is it that their immense practical importance, for they were really the only free forms actually in work, induces him to deviate from the more strict logical sequence of his book?
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \ell, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'It is strictly$ the business of the philosophical inquires in each department, not to overlook.'
 'It is the rule of a master over slaves where there had been a free political society.' It is superinduce on such a society previously existing.
"The tyrant," to quote from a passage which fairly represents the character of the later tyrants of Greece (Arnold, Rom. Hist. I. p. 474), "had broken into the field of civilized society, he had seated himself on the necks of his countrymen, to gorge each prevailing passion of his nature at their cost, with no principle but the interest of his own power." The later tyrants and the earlier despots must be kept distinct.
$\left.3 \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta{ }^{\delta \epsilon}\right]$ 'at the outset there arises a difficulty, suggested by the definition given.' The many might be wealthy and sovereign ; or again, the few might be poor and sovereign : what shall we call such governments ? The answer Aristotle gives is, that it is in the wealth and the poverty that lies the real distinction, the number is an accident.
$\kappa a ̀ v \epsilon l ' \pi o v]$ 'even if anywhere.'






















4 oũ゙ $\omega$ ] 'under these conditions,' 'from this point of view.'
$5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'of their respective states.'
$6 \delta \lambda^{\prime}$ óos] 'The course of the argument.' In this and the following sections, I have altered Bekker's stopping. Both after ǒcaфopâs, $\S 6$, and опноксатіау, § 7 , I substitute a colon for a full stop.
$\left.\tau \delta \mu^{\prime} \nu\right] \operatorname{In} \S 7, \uparrow \hat{\Psi} \delta \epsilon$, we have the particle that answers to the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ here, 'whilst the being few or many in the governing body is an accident, \&c., that by which really the two differ,' \&c.
$\pi a \nu \tau \alpha \chi \circ \hat{]}]$ emphatic, 'everywhere, without exception.' So that he might
on his own principles, I. vi. 6, look on it as a fact of nature.
òò кal ov $\sigma v \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon t]$ 'So that as a fact we do not find that the cases supposed above occur, that the alleged causes of difference really exist.' The stress lies on $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a i v \epsilon \iota$ and $\gamma i \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, the genitive $\delta$ ca $\phi o p a \hat{s}$ depends on altias, тàs aitías dıaфopâs $\gamma i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$.

7 каl ávаүкаîov $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu . . \dot{\alpha}^{\lambda} \lambda \grave{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu-$ $\beta a i v e t]$ More regularly it would be $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \alpha i v \epsilon \iota$ ס́́, VII. (VI.) viII. 6. Compare Waitz, ad Org. IX. vi. 5, "Sæpius etiam ponitur $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a ́$ ubi $\delta \hat{\text { é magis }}$ convenire videtur." He gives many instances.
$8 \delta i$ äs aitias] 'and wealth in the one case, numbers in the other, make

Their respective notions of justice.



















each party equally claim the state as its own.'
IX. I tívas ò $\rho o u s \lambda^{\lambda}$ 'rovot] 'what are the limits and definitions usually adopted?' And in these governments it is peculiarly a question of limits, as their boundaries are ill-defined.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \quad \tau \iota \nu o ̀ s \pi \rho \circ \epsilon \in \rho \chi \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. 'They advance only a certain way, and they fall short of the full statement of strict justice.'

2 rò ois] 'the question of the persons to whom.' They omit the relation.
$3 \tau \iota \sigma \nu]$ This agrees with his language in the Eth. v. vi. 4. p. 1131, 18 : $\hat{j} \delta e ̀$ iikatov, rifiv. The whole of the chapter quoted, which investigates $\tau \grave{o}$ ठ८avє $\mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ סiкaьov, 'distributive justicc,' should be compared.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa a l$ oîs] oìs $\tau \epsilon$


 aúvò $\nu$ т $\rho \circ$ ómov) 'and the division will be made on the same principles in respect of the things divided, and of the persons to whom they are divided.' It must necessarily be a relative division.
èv roîs $\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa o i ̂ s] ~ I ~ d o u b t ~ t h i s ~ r e f e r-~$ ence. $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ I imagine was enough to Aristotle, who did not wish to separate these two works of his by any very marked distinction. They were to him integral parts of one whole, and they have been too little viewed in that light. They are in fact two books on political science.
$\delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota]$ Here again it is clearly for


4 тò кupt $\omega$ тatov] 'The capital point.'


Their respective notions of justice.

 бóvтı тò $\lambda o \iota \pi \grave{o} \nu \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$, oüтє $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є' $\xi$ а $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ oüтє $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu o-$



 $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \delta_{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \dot{\alpha}$ к к$\grave{\iota} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s$











5 ov̉ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ є $\uparrow \nu a c]$ 'For they say it is not fair.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \alpha \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ oüт $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu]$ 'either of the principal or of the profits accruing.' This is perhaps the simplest. It might, I think, be masculine: 'either of those who originally contributed, or of subsequent generations of shareholders.

6 Wealth or property is but the basis of the social life : ä $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \dot{u} \pi \dot{q} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota$. Hence the oligarchical claim becomes unsound as soon as you rise higher and state the real object of the social union. Compare Mr Cornewall Lewis, on Opinion, ch. viI. xvii. p. 232, \&c.
 Eth. x. vi. 8. p. 1177. 8 : éjoacuovias
 $\beta l o v$, the equivalent of $\zeta \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho o \alpha i-$
$\rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$.
$\sigma v \mu \mu a \chi l a s]$ Compare II. II. 3 .
ठià $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ à $\lambda \lambda a \gamma a ́ s] ~ C h . ~ I . ~ 3-5 . ~$
$\sigma \dot{u} \mu \beta o \lambda \alpha]$ For a particular instance of the union of these two nations compare Grote, IV. 275, 6.

The sentence terminates abruptly at the end of this section, and, grammatically, there is no apodosis. The real apodosis, in point of sense, begins with $\S 8: \pi \epsilon \rho i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$; and were it not for the $\hat{\eta}$ кal of the next clause, the whole might be ordered, even as it is,

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \alpha{ }_{s}-\pi \epsilon \rho i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s-\phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \dot{\prime} \nu$.
$7 \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ кoı $\alpha \ell]$ ' common to all the contracting parties for these objects.'
$\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau$ às $\sigma v \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha s]$ ' of those who come under the treaties.'
 constitutes the social union.



















 ßoŋ $\theta_{o v \nu}$

 in name.'
$\gamma^{\text {ì }}$ eral $\gamma$ di $\rho$ ] 'For otherwise.'
 $\theta \in \nu \sigma v \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega \nu$ is redundant really.
ovv $\theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$ ] 'a mere treaty ;' a merely negative thing.
$\Lambda v \kappa \delta \phi \rho \omega \nu]$ St Hilaire quotes other passages where he is mentioned by Aristotle, but it would seem that beyond this nothing is known.
öт८ ס̀è то仑̂тov, к.т..入.] 'But that this last is the true light in which to regard law, as being olos $\pi$ тotî̀ ára $\begin{aligned} & \text { ờs кal }\end{aligned}$ д̀каalous, is clear.'

9 каi $\sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma o c$ ] 'were even to bring them together so that.'
ėтı $\quad$ auias] 'Intermarriages;' 'and yet,' says Aristotle, 'this is one of the social acts which more particularly characterise the union of the citizens of the same state.' Grote, II. 340 .

Io ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ oú $\tau \omega \pi \omega \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ ] 'Not even so would it attain to the idea of a state.'

II $̇ \pi \iota \mu a \chi i a s]$ 'a defensive alliance.' The passage reads oddly, not in the way of contrast, but of illustration of the common language of our day.



















 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma$ Птойvтєs $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta \iota \kappa \alpha i ́ o v ~ \lambda є ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota, ~$



12 тav̂тa $\mu$ èv $\left.{ }^{2} \nu a \gamma \kappa a i ̂ o \nu\right]$ 'Security of rights and property must exist, if there is to be a state; but it may exist, and there need not by virtue of its existence ( $\eta \delta \eta)$ be a state, that will be, \&c.'
raîs olkiaus kal toîs $\gamma \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma l]$ 'Families and aggregates of families.' к $\dot{\mu} \mu a \iota s$ in the place of $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota$ would have been more consistent with his general language.

13 ס $\iota 6$ ] This refers to коıข $\omega \nu i a$ тov̂ $\epsilon \hat{\jmath}\} \hat{\eta} \nu$, к. $\tau . \lambda$. ., in § 12 : 'To secure this,' \&c. Comp. Eth. viII. xi. 5. p.

$\tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu^{2}$.
$\tau \grave{~ \tau o c o u ̂ t o \nu] ~ s c . ~ \tau o ̀ ~} \sigma v \zeta \hat{\zeta} \eta$, Eth. Ix. ix. p. $1 \pm 69$, b.
 $\kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \pi \rho \dot{\xi} \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$. It is to those who contribute most to forward the true objects of the society, that the larger share in the government of the society properly belongs.
$\left.\mu^{\prime} \rho o s \quad \tau \iota\right]$ Some part, and some part only.

X-XIV. It must be remembered that these chapters are quite aporematic.

Where is the su－ preme power to reside？
 тòv $\beta_{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ ढ́v $\frac{\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, ~ ク ゙ ~ \tau u ́ \rho \alpha \nu \nu o \nu \cdot ~}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$



 $\lambda \eta \phi^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} o v_{S} \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau o ́ \nu \omega \nu \grave{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ，


















X．I＇éXciv òvaкo入\｛av］＇to involve unpleasant consequences．＇

そ́ooge $\gamma$ d́ $\rho$ ］＇It can hardly be so，is the answer，for it was the will of that part of the state which is rightly sove－ reign．＇
$2 \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda \eta \phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu]$＇A－ gain，take all together，＇sink the cle－ ment of wealth and poverty，and look merely to number．
$\eta^{\eta} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ ］By its definition in Eth． II．v．a．p．iro6， 15 ，the very contrary
 ${ }^{\prime} \chi \chi 0 \nu \alpha \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$ ．
 iI． 16 ．
$3 \dot{d} \lambda \lambda$＇$\dot{\alpha} \rho a]$＇But does it then fol－ low？＇
$\theta$ átepop］＇The other case supposed above．＇
$4 \mu \grave{\eta} \tau(\mu \omega \mu \dot{\mu} \nu o v s]$ if not invested with political offices．т兀uás：compare the Latin＇honores．＇

5 тò ки́pıov є $\uparrow \nu a \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ＇That the$ sovereign power should reside in a man and not in the law is bad，liable as man is to the incidents of passion．＇

















 $\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha i ̂ o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \grave{i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoùs ка入oús фабı каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma_{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \alpha$ dıà $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta S$



$\sigma v \mu \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau a \iota \dot{\partial} \mu o i \omega s]$ Only it will now happen in virtue of the law.
XI. I $\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a L]$ difficult; can it be 'would seem to require a solution,' or 'to admit a solution'? I do not see what else to make of it, and of the two I prefer the first. St Hill. gives : " peat sembler une solution équitable et vraie de la question, quoiqu'elle ne tranche pas encore touter les diffcultés."
 $\partial_{\lambda i} \gamma \omega \nu \delta \epsilon$.
oiov] 'just as.'
ג $\rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\phi \rho о \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ Compare, for the use of these words, I. II. I6.

то入úmóa] The 'Bella multorum capitum' of Horace, Ep. i. i. 76 .

3 Plato, Rep. Iv. $4^{20}$, c.
4 тои́тษ] sc. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma v \nu \hat{\eta} \not \theta a \iota$.
5 'It is not clear that this language can be always applicable, and that in any people and any large nomber there will be this superiority of the many to the few. Nay, possibly it is clear that in the case of some it is not conceivable that it should be applicable. For if carried to its utmost length it might be applicable to the inferior animals.' In the last case he means that the combination of the several points in which the animals are suerior to man, might be considered to
 sovereign, $\tau \eta \nu$ єîvaı $\tau \grave{\eta \nu} \delta \iota \alpha \phi o \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ o ̉ \lambda i ́ \gamma o u s ~$ or the few best men?




















prove that a given number of animals collected would be superior to a given number of men. This is an absurdity ; but scarcely less absurd would it be to collect a given number of savages, and say they were superior to a given number of educated and civilised Greeks.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ Rejecting the extreme conclusion, Aristotle thinks that there may well be cases in which the position taken in $\S 2$ may hold good, in which the majority outweighs the minority. Of course the majority must be to a certain extent cultivated, and their political intelligence developed.

They must be above the animals considerably; and for this good laws are required. See below, $\S 19$.

6 тク̀̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu]$ sc. $\tau l$ Tò ки́́род.

roô̂tol] sc. $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os: 'The majority is composed of such as are not wealthy and have nothing beyond the average merit ;' 'no claim to any eminence or distinction.'

8 This conclusion is in perfect keeping with his definition of his citizen, as given in Ch. I.

इó $\lambda \omega \nu$ ] Compare II. xi. 5 .




















 $\left.\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} s\right]$ 'Incomplete.' I. xiII. II : ò $\pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ a ं \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$ ク̀s.

Io But then comes an objection. The election and control of magistrates implies the power of judging whether their office has been well performed. Can any be competent to judge but those who have had actual experience by the possession of office of the manner in which itsduties should be performed? A physician would claim to be judged by physicians. Why should a magistrate be judged by those who are themselves not thought competent to be magistrates?

II It is to be remembered that under the term physician we include three different classes. The man who merely practises ( $\dot{\delta} \delta \eta \mu$ tov $\rho$ oós) ; the man who combines with practice the true know-
ledge of the principles of the science (ó ápXıтєкто⿱וкós) ; and, thirdly, the man who has simply made himself master of the principles ( $\delta \pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v \mu \epsilon^{-}$ $\nu 0 s \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \nu \eta \nu)$, and who has not gone further. Comp. Eth. I. i. 5. 3. p. 1094, b. 27 , for this sense of $\pi \epsilon \pi a l^{-}$ $\delta \in \nu \mu \notin \nu o s$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta i \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \epsilon]$ 'and we are in the habit of trusting for judgment the educated in this sense, those who know the theory, as much as those who know both practice and theory.' toîs $\epsilon l \delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \nu$ : 'those who have complete knowledge,' who are á $\rho \chi \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau о \nu \iota \kappa o i$.
$12 \tau \dot{\jmath} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \quad$ o $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s]$ Compare his language, Eth. x. x. 20. p. 1181, 17 :
 кal тò крîval ò $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \mu^{\prime}$ '́totov.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\langle\delta \omega \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ The term is here equivalent to $\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \propto \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$.
 sovereign, or the few best men?





















$\mathrm{I}_{4} \pi$ á $\left.{ }^{\prime} \alpha \mathrm{l}\right]$ 'some time back.' XI. 2.
ä $\nu \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{d} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ This is the assumption necessary for his argument in XI. 5 .
 allow that there is force in the objection in many cases, it does not follow that it holds in all. There are cases in which the producer of a result and his peers are not the only judges nor even the best-the cases in which the results have to be used by others, and when consequently those others are the best judges. It is not the architect, but the occupier, who knows the good and bad points in the house he occupies.
${ }^{15}$ Passing then from this objection
he turns to another closely connected with it, in fact, almost another form of the same. The inferior part of your state has greater powers vested in it than the higher. You trust more to those from whom you exact no guarantee, than to those from whom you require strong ones, such as wealth and age.

16 râ̂̃a] 'the present state.' The answer is, that singly the many are inferior, say in property for instance, but then they are not trusted singly. It is the collective body on which the trust is reposed, and the collective body is wealthier than the few wealthy who are eligible to the offices singly.

 , or the few












19 $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ äropia] That started in Ch. X.
$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ 'on those points, and those only.'
 competent.' Comp. Eth. v. xiv. 4. p. 1137 , b. 13 .
 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota . ~ C h . ~ X . ~ 5 . ~$

I invert the order of the two next sentences. It is much clearer so to my mind. The passage then runs as follows: 'The question started above remains still unanswered. Only so far at least is clear, that the laws must have reference to the constitution. In that case it must needs be that the laws will be good or bad just as the constitution to which they are adapted is good or bad. The two will vary together ; and if so, it is clear that the laws answering to the right constitulions will be just ; those answering to the forms which are deviations from the true type, will be unjust.' The passage cannot, I think, be cleared of virtual tautology.

The whole chapter leans towards the conclusion, that the majority should be in possession of the supreme power.

It is in favour of the democratical rather than the oligarchical principle ; but of course it cannot be made to shew more than this, that of these two imperfect forms Aristotle preferred democracy, the conclusion to which he came, Eth. viIi. xii. p. Info. The chapter then does not interfere with his own theory of true government; and therefore I cannot agree with Spengel's language, p. 15, note 18 : " Disses ist gegen Platon's Ansicht, der überall den einzelnen Kundigen gegenüber dem ganzen unwissenden Volke hervorhebt; ähnlich bate Sieyès seinen Antrag, man solle das ausfiuhren was die Minorität, nicht was die Majorität wähle, motivirt : car la majorité est toujours bête. Gegen diesen Satz kämpft Aristoteles unmettelbar, wenn anders das Yolk mu inzigem Bewusstseyn gekommen ist." I suspect that Aristotle with Plato and with Sieyès would look, in strict political theory, to the few wise and not to the popular element. At the same time, in the corrupt governments of Greece, as in that of our own country or others of the present day, it might be necessary for a time to redress the

Ought the many to be sovereign， or the few best men？

12 Some equa－ lity neces－ sary． Equality in what？


















balance by calling in numbers to over－ power the opposition to wholesome changes on the part of small but strongly organized classes．
 where to make the apodosis of the sen－ tence begin．I think Stahr is right in placing it at $\pi$ roi $\omega \nu$ $\delta$＇loó $\eta \eta s$ ．The rea－ soning then shortly stated is：All look
 equality in what？for evidently it is not every superiority that constitutes a claim to a larger share of the benefits of the association．
$\delta v_{\nu}$ aus］His language here is very similar to that in Eth．I．ii．3，4，5．p．

 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ dं $\rho \chi \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau о \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ ．то兀аúт $\eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ то入ıт८кخे фаірєта．
$\dot{\partial} \mu 0 \lambda o \gamma o v o l]$＇men in general agree
with the conclusions of philosophical reasoners，＇or better perhaps，＇with our philosophical arguments in which we discussed the subject of the moral vir－ tue．＇＂In welchen über die Ethik Gehandelt worden ist．＂Stahr．
$\tau i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa a i \tau \iota \sigma i]$＇For they allow that justice concerns things and persons．＇

2 mol $\omega \nu$ 纸］Yet though they go so far with us，there is a point where the union is interrupted，and therefore the question must be investigated，＇in re－ gard to what things there is to be equality，in what inequality？＇
ढ̈ $\chi \in \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau o \hat{c} \tau o$ ］＇For this is a point not without difficulty，and one fairly requiring political science to throw light on it．＇
$\tau o i ̂ s ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \delta \iota \alpha \phi e ́ \rho o v \sigma \iota \nu]$ They press their language to its strict logical con－ clusion，and say，those who differ have different rights and different claims．


























$3 \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \xi i a]$ 'a larger share, an advantagein respect of, \&c.' Theterm does not imply any disposition of the mind.

4 тpoararov̂olv] 'having carried it still further.' Eth. r. vii. 17. p. 1o98, 21 : $\pi a \nu t o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ \pi \rho o a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon i ̂ v . ~ H e ~ d o e s ~$ not seem to have made his meaning clearer by the next section.
6 There must be some common measure of the various things we call good. This is not the case.
$\epsilon i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \tau i \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta o s]$ 'If a
given degree of greatness is better than a given degree of wealth, it would follow,' he argues, 'that greatness in itself might come into comparison with wealth in itself.' But many of these ideas are incommensurable, they do not come within the scope of the political philosopher. They are disparates to him.
7 єv̉入ó $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$ ] 'There is good ground for men's not claiming offices on the score of every inequality.'
 lity necessary. Equality in what?










 spective claims to power.









$\lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ 'finds its appreciation.'
 the elements that compose a state, it is only within the limits of these that there can be any discussion of the respective claims of the parties.'
$\delta \in \hat{\imath} \gamma$ á $\rho]$ 'There must be free men, and there must be a class paying taxes;' not merely capitecensi. "Censum ferentes," Victorius translates it. That the meaning is as I have given it, I feel sure, but I am not sure as to the expression.
$\left.9 \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu\right]$ 'These classes may be necessary, but it is clear that not less necessary are justice and bravery.'
XIII. I 'For the mere existence
of a state some of these at least, if not all, would seem justly to put in their claim to consideration.'

тocav́тas $\pi$ o入ıтelas] 'all states based on such equality and inequality.'
$2 \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu]$ at the end of $\mathrm{Ch} . \mathrm{X}$.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{o} \nu \nu \hat{\ell} \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \omega \hat{\rho} \rho a s]$ 'They have a larger share of the land of the country, and the land is emphatically a national interest.' As then, to use familiar words, they had a greater stake in the country, they claimed more power.

ধ' $\tau \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \grave{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \iota a]$ Their second claim is their greater trustworthiness, as a general rule, in the common dealings between man and man.
 $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu]$ The reasoning here is not













 $\lambda \omega \nu$, ôiov $\dot{\eta} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta_{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda o v \sigma_{i}^{\prime} \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \delta_{\iota} \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \pi o v-$

very easy. Is it that the free and the well-born claim on somewhat similar grounds, that their claim is similar, as they themselves stand at no great distance from one another? The free claim as genuine citizens-the wellborn claim as citizens also, and $\grave{\alpha}$ fortiori as compared with the simply free. For they urge that the nobler are truer citizens of the state than the meaner sort. They slip in the words $\gamma \in \nu \nu a l b^{-}$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$, with its moral sense, for $\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\epsilon \nu \dot{\prime}-}$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$, and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu$. Compare, for the difference of the two words, Rhet. II. xv. 3. p. 1390, b. 22 :


 $\nu \in \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon \hat{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \iota \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda$ ' $\epsilon$ loì ol $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o l$ єủт $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s$.
$\left.\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \epsilon a\right]$ ' Besides, practically in every nation high birth, according to the standard adopted, is honoured.' Comp. 1. vi. 7.

3 ह゙тı, к.т. 入.] 'Secondly, the wellborn urge that there is a reasonable probability of their being better from their fathers having been better ; for good birth implies distinction or merit in the family.'
$\dot{j} \mu \mathrm{o} / \omega \mathrm{s} \delta \dot{\delta}$ ] 'With not less justice surely then than we allow hereditary merit shall we allow personal merit to put in its claim; for justice in our view is the virtue essential to every association, and justice involves all other moral virtues ; it is, dं $\rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s-$ đं $\rho \epsilon \tau \eta े \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ं \lambda \lambda o v_{0}^{\prime}$ Eth. v. iii. p. 1129 , b. 25 , and foll.

4 і́s $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu o \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu]$ Compare X. 2, the expression, $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda \eta \phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ : 'If, that is, the many are taken in a body and compared with the few in a body.'
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ 'Simply citizens, and no more.'

5 roîs $\gamma$ à $\rho$ кuplocs] 'For it is by the difference in their sovereign power that they differ from one another.'

The respective claims to power.


















$\left.{ }_{d}^{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \partial \mu \omega s \sigma \kappa о \pi \sigma \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu\right]$ Still, whilst we allow that each constitution, such as oligarchy and democracy, carries with it its own answer to the question, who are to govern? there remains to consider, supposing all the elements given above to co-exist, and so the state not to come very decidedly under one or other, or to be as yet not decided, how are the claims of all to be settled? So I paraphrase the passage.

6 єi $\delta \dot{\eta}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] He takes one case,$ that of the virtuous: Suppose that they are but very few in number, then what is the arrangement we must adopt ? The mere fact of their being few is nothing. The question should be, are they few for the work required of them, could they manage the state? or are we to require them to be in number sufficient to constitute a state by themselves? If the good are rejected
because they are in a minority, then what becomes of the claim of the rich ? If against this it is urged that the rich clain by virtue of their great superiority in wealth, which compensates for their inferior numbers, this line of argument suggests a difficulty which in fact is general, and meets all the claimants alike. If the aggregate of rich men claims on the ground of superior wealth, it would follow that a single enormously wealthy individual would be justified in claiming against that aggregate, if his individual wealth were greater than that of the body. And a similar objection may be taken against all equally: $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \mu-~$ $\phi \iota \sigma \beta \tau \circ \hat{\imath} \nu \tau a s$.

7 rov̂Tov Tòv ${ }^{\text {Ë } \nu \alpha] \text { ] 'This one though }}$ but one.'

8 креіттous] 'stronger.'




















 $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \rho \omega \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \chi^{\prime} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ тó $\lambda \epsilon \omega s, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \mu \beta \lambda \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ єîval


9 тoútuv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\rho \omega \nu$ ] 'of the existing statements of the claims no one is completely correct.'
Io oủ '̀'s ка $\theta^{\prime}$ èкабтог] resumes the subject of, XI. I8.
II 〈ŋँrov̂cl] 'inquire into as a diffculty.'
т $\begin{gathered}\lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \in] \text { The case supposed above, }\end{gathered}$ viz. that in which the people was superior as a whole to the upper classes.
 must get at what is right in this case by striking an average and taking what is fair to both; and this will be
found by looking to the interest of the whole state, and the common element which runs through the whole mass of citizens.' $\quad$ $\sigma \omega s$ : " æqualiter," Vict. "gleichmässig," Stahr.
$\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s \quad \delta \dot{\text { è }} \kappa 0 \omega \nu \hat{\eta}]$ 'Now a citizen in the general.'
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \beta i o v]$ ] with a view to secure the life according to virtue.'

I3 $\pi \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \rho \omega \mu \alpha \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'The full complement of a state.' Compare $\S 6$.

ట̈otє] depends on $\tau \sigma \sigma o \hat{\tau} \tau 0 \nu$.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta\langle ̛ \nu \alpha \mu \nu \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu]$ in other


The respective claims to power.

The respective claims to power.





















$14 \delta \theta \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Such a man,$ as a god amongst men, will be alien to human legislation. The highest form of human wisdom, $\dot{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \phi \rho \delta \nu \eta$ $\sigma \iota s$ dip $\langle\iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau о \nu \kappa \kappa \dot{n}$, Eth. vi. viii. finds its expression in legislation for men, $\nu_{0} \mu 0 \theta \epsilon \sigma i a$, but does not attempt to rise above man. It assumes as the materials it has to deal with, a body of citizens within certain limits equal in their powers, moral and intellectual, as they are equal in their race.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тo九ô̂t $\omega \nu$ ] 'men so far superior as in the case supposed.' They cannot be bound by human law, they are themselves a law, a standard to others -the ideal, which others may aim at attaining.

Antisthenes, one of the school of

Socrates. Smith, Biogr. Dict. "Where are your claws?"
$\left.\Delta \Delta{ }_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{k} \alpha i\right]$ The case of great relative superiority of any kind, and the difficulty of providing for its due position, leads naturally to the means adopted by some states to meet the difficultythe celebrated ostracism. This is a democratical invention. But the principle is more general, of which he gives instances.

16 'Ap $\left.{ }^{\prime} \dot{\omega}\right]$ Comp. Grote, I. 320, note. He considers this legend very old, as "it ascribes to the ship sentient powers."

Пє $\rho\llcorner a ́ v \delta \rho o v$ Ө $\rho a \sigma v \beta o v i \backslash \psi]$ Herod. v. xcii. $\S 6$, reverses the parts. Compare Botta, Storia d'Italia, Vol. I. p. 43. ed. $\mathbf{1 8 2 5}$, on the method adopted at Lucca.

























 $\kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu o \nu a ́ \rho \chi o u s ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu \epsilon i v ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \epsilon i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$

19 тò $\delta^{\prime}$ aúró] The same method is adopted as between states, and not merely within the limits of a single state. It was on this principle Athens dealt with her subjects ( $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s$ ) ; Persia with hers ( $\left.{ }^{( } \theta \nu \nu \eta\right)$.
$\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon l$ रà $\rho \theta \hat{\alpha} \tau \tau o \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} s]$ 'For as soon as ever they held their empire with a firm grasp.'
'̇пєккоттє] 'used to cut them short, A. $P$.
keep them down.'
$20 \tau \grave{\partial} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho \delta \dot{\beta} \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta b \lambda o v]$ 'The question is one that concerns all the forms of government without exception, even the right ones.'

21 For Aristotle's view on this particular point of symmetry, comp. Grote, IV. 212 , note, and for the general subject of ostracism, the same volume, pp. 200, and foll.




















 without a ground of political right．＇
23 бтa⿱\zh7兀aб兀兀kิs］in VIII．（V．） vi． 15 ，occurs another form of this word $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ ，＇for factious pur－ poses．＇

24 isiạ］＇in particular cases．＇
$25 \mu \epsilon \rho l \xi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ tàs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha ́ s]$＂in the division of offices，＂Stahr．
 seems the natural course．＇
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in$ as däotoos］＇kings for their life，＇the hero－kings of Mr Carlyle，the great men whom all should obey and find their true glory in obeying．So that the whole discussion has in its singularly discursive form yet never lost sight of the one question that runs through it，beginning with Ch ． IX．，and ending here，the question of
the relative claims to a share in the government of different members of the state．From the absolute equality of a democracy，Aristotle has arrived at absolute inequality，necessitated in the ideal state by the existence of some oue man of supereminent virtue－the ouly conceivable justifi－ cation of monarchy in its strict sense－ the only case in which it was to him reconcileable with justice，with due regard，that is，for the relative claims of his citizens．And without this jus－ tice he thought the social union could never be secure．But，as he allows for the case of there being one man competent to fill the station of king by force of superior merit，oue who in the language of the Ethics，viI．xii． 2．p． 1160,64 ，should be aúт $\dot{\rho} \kappa \eta$ к каi



















$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \tau 0 i ̂ s \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta o i ̂ s ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\ell} \chi \omega \nu$, and in the language of the Politics, VIII. (V.) x. 38, should rule over willing sub-jects-as he allows for this case, he is not unnaturally led to treat of the whole subject of the rule of one, and enumerate the various forms of monarchical government.
XIV. I $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota]$ 'to pass to another point.' The word occurs, Eth. VI. xiii. $5^{2}$. p. 1144, b. 26, and in the participle $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a i \nu \omega \nu$, Eth. I. v. 2. p. 1097, 24.
$2 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in \in \in \epsilon]$ sc. $\dot{\eta} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon l a$. 'The word monarchy comprises several forms under it, and the system adopted in those forms is not one and the same in all.'

3 "For the royal power as it exists in the Lacedæmonian constitution, is
thought to answer better to the idea of monarchy, than any other of the constitutional forms, and yet it is not supreme." This is Stahr's view. For the powers of the Spartan kings, comp. Herod. vi. 56.
4 айтократор $\omega \nu$ ] Vet. Int. 'imperialis.' Hence Victorious wishes to read aúroкрд́т $\omega \rho$. Stahr condemns it as unnecessary. It will certainly construe as it stands, 'a generalship in the hands of men invested with full powers, and that for life.' 'And it is not more than this, for the power of life and death is not his, except in reference to part of his office.' Er $\tau \iota \nu \iota \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \epsilon \epsilon \dot{q}$. The meaning I have given to these words is favoured by the context, and is the one adopted by the best commentators.
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \chi \in \iota \rho \partial s \nu b \mu \omega]$ 'martial law.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha i \hat{s} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ lats] This is an odd
10—2
 тà $\gamma$ रà $\rho$ द́ $\mu o \grave{~}$ Oávatos.












 oi $\delta$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \breve{\alpha} \rho \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \theta$ ' oi $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi



word for the Homeric times, as applied to the $\beta$ ou $\dot{\eta}_{n}$ of the chiefs. But did Aristotle recognise, as clearly as modern writers do, the difference between the political system of his day and that of earlier times? Did he not suffer the language of his own times to colour that which he applied to earlier times?
5 Il. II. 39 I.
 Grote remarks, II. 86, is not in our present copies. "The Alexandrian critics," he adds, "effaced many traces of old manners."
катà $\gamma$ خ'̈vos] 'hereditary.'
$6 \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ 't $\nu$ 'ocs $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \rho \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu\right]$ is the order.
'Xoval $\delta^{\prime}$ aviral] 'In all of these the power is very similar to that in a
tyranny.' On this language, as applicable to the Oriental empires, whether ancient or modern, see Mr Cornewall Lewis' remarks, On Authority in Matters of Opinion, pp. 192, 3 .
 IV. (VII.) 7 , on this distinction of races.
ov̉ס̇̀v $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho a i \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ 'æquo animo,' ' cheerfully.'
$7 \dot{\eta} \phi v \lambda a \kappa \dot{\eta}]$ 'The guards they employ :' compare, for the prominent position given to this subject, the expression, Rhet. 1. viii. 5, Tupavviסos $\tau \epsilon \lambda$ los филакй.

8 aiбvupท่тas] On this officer, analogous to the Roman dictator, comp. Grote, III. 86; Thirlw. I. 40I, "At Cuma and in other cities, this was the title of an ordinary magistracy, pro-
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 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu a s ~ \ddot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu, \ddot{\eta} \delta_{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon i \nu \quad \ddot{\eta} \pi о \rho i ́ \sigma \alpha \iota$






bally of that which succeeded the hereditary monarchy ; but, when applied to an extraordinary office, it was equivalent to the title of protector or dictator."
$9 \mu \epsilon \chi \rho \imath \tau \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'for some$ definite time named, or for some specified objects.' "Pittacus of Mitylene is the prominent instance." Grote, III. ${ }^{27}$, and later, pp. 267, 8.

10 $\epsilon^{\prime}$ ' $\lambda_{0 \nu \tau 0}$ ] The stress lies on this word.
$\sigma \kappa о \lambda \iota \hat{\omega} \nu]$ бко入$\langle\omega \nu$, see L. and S.
Alcæus, Fragm. 37, Bergk, iss Ed. p. 579. The readings there are, $\pi \delta^{\prime}-$ $\lambda$ cos for $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \omega$; $\zeta a \chi \delta \lambda \omega$ for $\dot{\alpha} \chi^{\circ} \lambda \omega$;
 ing gall,' ja $\chi^{6 \lambda \omega}$ is the contrary.

II They were for the good of those who submitted to them, and consequently not tyrannical.
12 кãà $\tau \epsilon \notin \chi^{\nu a s}$ ] 'in teaching them arts.'
öбaı $\mu \eta$ i $i \epsilon \rho a \tau \iota \kappa \alpha l]$ "with the exceptimon of those that required a priest.'

${ }_{13}$ The first cai I should leave out with St Hilaire. If kept: 'They administered without exception the affairs of the state, whether in the city itself, or in the country, or abroad. If left out, then it is: 'They admi-

Monarchy. каі $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ є้ $\nu \delta \eta \mu \alpha$ каі̀ т̀̀ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho o ́ \rho \iota \alpha ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega}_{S} \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \nu^{\cdot}$ v̋ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$



















nistered the affairs of the state, both at home and abroad.'
$\theta v \sigma i a l ~ к a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \phi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu]$ as at Athens, Cyrene, Rome. Compare also the case of Mæandrius, at Samos. Herod. III. 142.
$\epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o i ̂ s ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho o \rho i o s s]$ 'in forcign affairs they had no more than the command of the army,' as distinct from the general foreign policy.

I4 From $\mu \dot{\prime} \alpha$ to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ in $\S 1_{5}$, I have enclosed in brackets, not meaning to say it is not genuine, not in fact attaching any importance to it, but simply to clear it out as unnecessary.
$\left.\epsilon \pi \pi \ell \tau \iota \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \iota s\right]$ This recalls the expression of Thucydides, I. I3,



enumeration Aristotle's, with the explanations added in later?
${ }^{15}$ öт $\left.\tau \nu \hat{\eta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'When the sovereignty, with all that it implies, resides in one, and he has at his disposal all that is national, just as each tribe or each state is supreme in regard to its national property.'
$\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \dot{e} \nu \eta$ ] to justify this feminine we must consider $\epsilon i \hat{\delta} o s \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a s$ as equivalent to $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda e i a$, 'standing over against, corresponding in the political world, to the rule of the master of a household in the family life.
XV. I $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta \partial \delta \dot{\gamma}]$ It may be said then that there are really but two species of kingly government. In putting the Laconian so low, Mr Grote, II. 104, note, thinks that Ari-






















stotle underrates the estimation in which the regal dignity was held at Sparta.
2 кađà $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho o s]$ This is confessedly difficult. Stahr does not change the reading, but construes it as if it were ai $\rho \in T \delta \nu$, which, looking at Ch. XIV. 5, I confess, appears to me the best reading, better than $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$. St Hilaire, 'électif,' but without changing the text.
$\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ è $\chi \in \iota \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \in \hat{i} \delta o s]$ 'is rather a question of institutions than of a constitution.'
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \nu \overline{\text { ] "fuirerst," Stahr ; 'at }}$ once,' 'at first,' 'in the beginning,' Matthiæ, Gr. Gr. § 425, 5. Xen. Mem.
iII. vi. 10.

4 ठоко仑̂б८ $\delta \dot{\eta}]$ 'It is the opinion then of those who,' \&c.
$\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o \sigma \pi i \pi \tau o \nu \tau \alpha]$ ' with reference to circumstances as they arise.' $\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu a \tau a \not a \not a \chi \epsilon \iota]$ ] 'to be bound by the strict letter of the rule.'
Aľónt $\omega$ ] Herod. II. 84.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ 'After the fourth day to change the treatment.'

5 à $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ ' But,' says Aristotle in reply, 'in any case the ruler must be supposed to have that universal principle, which finds its expression in law.' He cannot be governing, merely guided by circumstances as they change.





 vó $\mu$ ovs, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta}$ кирíous $\hat{i} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta \alpha i \nu о v \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \grave{\imath} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$












$\kappa \rho \in i ̂ \tau \tau o \nu \quad \delta \epsilon$ ] 'and there is an advantage in having that which is absolately exempt from passion, rather than that in which passion is ingerent.' Compare the language of the young patricians in Livy, II. 3, "Regem hominem esse...Leges rem surdam, inexorabilem," \&c.
тои̂̃o] sc. т̀̀ $\pi \alpha \not \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \check{\nu}$.
àvi roúrou] 'To compensate for this objection.'
6 vo $\mu$ o $\theta \epsilon \tau \eta \nu]$ equivalent to $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda \delta$ -

кvplous in $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta a l v o v a \iota \nu]$ "wo see om Rechten abirren," Stahr. "La out elles se taisent," St Mil. "Qua parte recta via migrant," Vict. 'In exceptional cases,' is the meaning I attach to the expression, but it is ifficult. So far forth as there naturally occur cases in which men's conduct
cannot be brought under the general statement. The undeviating language of the law cannot accommodate itself to the infinite variety of human actons.

7 kali $\boldsymbol{a} \rho$ vôv, к. т. 入.] "Experience is in favour of the latter, for, \&c." Stair.
8 te ce $\delta \epsilon]$ 'But with the many, it is difficult for all at once to be angry, and form an erroneous judgment.' On this passage, compare Mr Grote's remarks, IV. 505, on the effect of large assemblies, "which is, to inflame sentiment in every man's bosom by mere contact with a sympathising circle of neighbours." He adds in a note, "It is remarkable that Arsistote, in his Politica, takes little or no notice of this attribute belonging to every numerous assembly. He seems




















rather to reason as if the aggregate intelligence of the multitude was represented by the sum total of each man's separate intelligence in all the individuals composing it, just as the property of the multitude, taken collectively, would be greater than that of the few rich."
9 ё́ $\sigma \tau \omega$ ठé, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'But let us as-$ sume that our people is composed of the free citizens, and that they never act in violation of the law, and only act without it when it, from its nature, must fail them as a guide.'

10 ä $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau 0 \hat{u} \tau 0$ ] You state that the one man is not liable to the evil the many are liable to, that of dissension. True, but for the argument's sake, you must assume the many to be good, as good as the one.

II кal $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ дvvá $\mu \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ] 'whether it be provided or not with a force to compel obedience.'
$\left.\sigma \pi \alpha ́ v o \nu \eta^{\eta} \nu\right]$ "He suspects that in such small communities great merit was very rare, so that the chief had few competitors." Grote, II. 89.
$\ddot{\sigma} \pi \epsilon \rho]$ sc. єن̉є $\rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma\{a-\alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ is $\delta \dot{\prime} \nu \alpha-$
 $\phi v \lambda a \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$. Rhet. І. ix. 4. p. 1366, 36.
$\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \quad \delta \epsilon$ ] "i. e. after the early kings had had their day." Grote, III. 23, note.
 ject of the European towns in the 12th century.

I2 This passage valuable as to the order of succession of governments in the Greek states, oligarchy, tyranny, democracy. Comp. Grote, III. 22, 23.
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 This is the great question of hereditary monarchy. It is the difficulty in monarchical government, wherever the word is used properly, where the king governs, and does not merely reign. In a system of constitutional fictions like our own, an aristocratical republis, presenting, for certain undefined objects, a monarchical front to the world, the question is not so important. Its importance in this latter case varies with the varying ideas of society, which will increase or limit the influence of the sovereign.
But in the case of monarchy proper, the difficulty is so great as to render it necessary to get rid of the form altogether, as soon as the political experience of the nation rejecting it, is sufficient for the change. The vicious element in the system is incurable. For it is, as it were, bound up with the idea of monarchy, that it should be hereditary. Elective monarchies are practically an idea of the past, though the experience of that past is not so wholly unfavourable to them as is generally supposed. Compare Sismondi, Etudes Sociales sur les Constitutions des Peoples litres, p. 149, and foll. Ed. 1836 , Brussels.

In the Roman empire, in certain
cases, the danger with regard to the successor was met by adoption, as in the case of Trajan adopted by Nerva. But though not singular, it was a rare piece of good fortune, and the philosophic Marcus Antoninus himself left Commodus as his successor. But to us as to Aristotle the question is in the main, one of theoretic and past interest. It is not probable that the Russian type will spread over Westen Europe, or at any rate that it could be durable, if for argument's sake we allowed that its success for a time was not beyond reasonable probability. The more interesting quesdion is, how long the various forms in Western Europe that affect a monarchical exterior, an Empire in France, a constitutional monarchy in England, Holland, Belgium and Piedmont, with the other powers of Western Germany, Scandinavia and the Spanish peninsula, most of them, to say the least, in a very critical position, how long they will hold their present position, what elements of strength they have, what powers dormant to remedy the apparent weakness of their position. But to state the question, is all that I wish to do here.
${ }^{1} 4 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’ ox $\left.\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon\right]$ But, says the assertor of monarchy, though he





















might, he will not hand his power over to his children. That, says Aristothe, can hardly be entrusted to him. It assumes a virtue beyond man's. Domitian and Commodus are the suecessors of Vespasian and Marcus An-toninus.-'Les interêts dynastiques' are extremely strong, as was clear in Louis Philippe's case, and like true parasites, endanger the real interest of the monarch, which must he identical with that of his nation, or monarchy is absolutely indefensible.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ סvvá $\mu \epsilon \omega s]$ The power to he placed in his hands, 'la force matesrielle.'

15 каì катવ̀ $\nu o ́ \mu о \nu$ ] 'was perfectly constitutional.'

16 тòv $\tau 0 \iota o u ̂ \tau o \nu]$ sc. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \nu \delta \mu \nu \nu$. $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon 6 \nu \omega \nu]$ 'more than one tonethen.'

тov̀s фи́лакаs] 'his guards.' то⿱оúrove, only a sufficient number to protect him against any personal enemies, not against the people. Grote, x. 613, 614.
 discussion naturally follows now.'
$\left.\dot{o} \mu \grave{̀} \nu \gamma \alpha ́ \rho \ldots \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota^{\prime}\right]$ This passage, for clearness' sake, I inclose in hackts. By so doing I wish to shew that the sense is not worse without it ; the repetition is avoided, and the бокє $\hat{\imath}$ $\delta \epsilon$ of $\S 2$ becomes clearer.
$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \delta \omega o \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mathrm{~s}]$ ' of the executive.'

Absolute monarchy.
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$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau \iota s \pi \epsilon \rho l$ ' $\left.\mathrm{E} \pi i \delta \alpha \mu \nu \nu \nu\right]$ the ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \omega \nu$ $\dot{o}$ eis of VIII. (V.) I. if. At Opus the title was Cosmopolis. Smith, Geogr. Dict. 796, A.

2 ठокє $\hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon]$ From this to the end of the chapter is a discussion of the question aporematically.
 'It is just that if the government is taken in turns, it should be provided that all have their turn fairly, not one more than another'?
4 ронофи́лакаs] 'mere guardians and servants of the laws.'
oủ ${ }^{\text {ťva }}$ roûtov] 'not this one man that you speak of.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \ddot{\partial}_{\sigma \sigma \alpha} \gamma \epsilon$, к.т.入.] The law, it is urged, camot go into all possible
detail, but neither can a man grasp all possible details-an objection.

5 'True. This is allowed for-and the law expressly trains its rulers, and then sets them to judge to the best of their judgment.'
$\dot{o} \mu \grave{e} \nu$ oîv $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu, \kappa . r$. 入.] I feel little doubt that the true reading is $\nu o{ }^{\prime} \mu o \nu$; and for $\tau o v ̀ s \nu\langle\mu o v s, \tau \partial \nu \nu o \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \delta \nu o v s$. 'He who would have law rule, wishes for the rule of God and reason only; whereas he who would have a man supreme, brings in the element of the animal.' The correction is sanctioned by several editors, and by Spengel, p. 44, note 39 .
äpxovtas] 'when in power.'


Absolute monarchy.
























$$
\text { a } \nu 0 \text { ồ-roùs } \nu o ́ \mu o u s \text { Bekker. }
$$

b $\rho \notin \xi \in \epsilon \omega s]$ The term includes $\theta v \mu$ ós and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \mu^{\prime} \alpha-\dot{\sigma} \rho \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\partial} \nu \mu \notin \rho o s$.
$7 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \alpha \nu]$ 'for the annoyance of others.'
$8 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ 'Nor is it to be forgotten, that in point of fact physicians call in other physicians.'
$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ oikci $\omega \nu$ ] above, IX. II.
ẅ $\sigma \tau e \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda_{0 \nu}$ ] 'So that it is clear that when men are seeking for what is just they look for that which is in the mean.'

9 of кa兀d̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ è $\theta \eta$ ] The written laws
of a nation are never more than the imperfect transcript of the unwritten laws, its manners, its customs, its modes of social existence. And they are only powerful in proportion as they are the transcript of these. Compare Ch. Comte, Traité de Legislution, Liv. II. Tom. I. p. 289.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ Another objection. Practically the power cannot be in one man, it is as well to recognise this at once. Again, two good men are better than one.




















 on $\phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu o u ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ o i ~ \mu o ́ v a \rho \chi o \iota ~ \pi o \iota o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \alpha u ́ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$




io $\tau o \hat{u} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta s$ ] The $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ marks the apodosis, 'then than the one two are better.' Il. x. 224. 11. 372 .

II $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha l]$ 'can be embraced by the law.'
$\left.\pi \epsilon \rho i ̂ \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v_{0} \nu \tau \alpha\right]$ Eth. III. 5. p. 1112, 18 .
$12 \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{s}]$ to be taken with кpivet.
avirồ] The Scholiast on the expression in Aristophanes, Acharn. 92, $\tau \grave{\nu}$
$\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ob $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$, reads avi roîs when quoting this passage ; but looking at the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon(\nu)=$ in the next line but one, it seems unnecessary to make any change. au่วồ must mean the monarch himself.
ry $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi i \lambda o t]$ 'If not friends, they are not safe ; if friends, they are equal and like.' ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \epsilon \phi i \lambda o s$, 'The friend, it must be allowed, is equal and like.'
 jut'


















j $\mu \mathrm{ol} \omega \mathrm{s}]$ 'equally with himself.'
 discussions, which began in $\S 2$, and Aristotle speaks in his own person in the next chapter throughout.
XVII. I каl סíкаєод каi $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu]$ The simplest way of taking this passage is, with Stahr, to supply $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os with $\tau \iota$. 'There are men who propertly are to be governed as slaves, others who require kingly government, others a free constitution ; and in each of these cases the relation is just and for the interest of both parties. There are none who are properly the subjects of a tyrant, or members of the other constitutions-in these cases the relation is not just, nor for the interest of both.'
$\left.2 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'Allowing for the fact of this difference, it seems at any rate clearly proved from what we have said.'
aủrò̀ $\omega$ ต̀s ồta $\nu o ́ \mu o \nu]$ Compare III. XIII. 14 .
$\epsilon l \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \rho \circ \dot{\pi} o \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha ́]$ 'except in one certain case,' the case provided for XIII. 25, and below, $\$ 5$, the case in which the virtue of the individual is equal to the virtue of the collective body of citizens.
$4 \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ô̂v, к.т. $\lambda$.$] This$ passage, though apparently redundant and susceptible of improvement by pruning, may yet be construed, as it is, and though Stahr omits parts, I am inclined to retain it entire.
$\left.\phi \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu 0 s\right]$ 'To bear or produce a race.'



















a Dekker reads [ $k a i \quad$ ' $\nu$. . $]$

тoîs évóoocs] Stahr suggests that roîs àmóoors should be inserted. In sense it seems needed at first sight. And yet if we recollect the language of Eth. viiI. xii. 1. p. 1160, 33, where the third form of constitution is said to be $\dot{\eta} \dot{a} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau \iota \mu \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, $̈ \nu \quad \tau \iota \mu о к р а т \iota-$

 and compare the rest of the same chapter, we shall not be surprised at Aristotle, in this passage, putting very prominently forward the holders of property, the $\tau \grave{\partial} \epsilon \ddot{\pi} \pi o \rho o \nu:$ cf. IV. (VII.) 8, 9 .
$6 \pi \rho \circ \phi ' \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ 'to put forward, præ se fare.'
$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots$ oud $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu]$ This remark is parenthetical, and in $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ кã̀̀ $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu$, we have the
clause answering to кaтà $\tau$ do $\delta i \kappa a \iota o \nu$.
7 тои̂то $\sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$, sc. тò єîvą. $\dot{\text { is }}$ $\tau \grave{o} \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\rho} s \tau \grave{\partial} \mu \epsilon \rho_{\rho} \rho$. He stands in the relation of the part to the whole.

8 кaтà $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s]$ 'in turn.'
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ô̂v $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ias] Kings were for Aristotle an institution of the past, or a characteristic in the present of a lower stage of social development. So, I believe, they were for Plato too. His treating of the monarchical form then, is a complement of his theory; it was not to be dwelt on at length, but still necessarily to be dwelt on to make his ground quite clear. With chapter XVIII. he enters on the treatment of his own ideal form, his dj $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \kappa \rho a \tau i a$ or $\dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$. Compare Stengel, pp. 16, 17.














 каі каӨїбтаб $\theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi \hat{\omega} s$.
XVIII. I $\tau 0$ out $\omega \nu$ ] This, I suppose, is only clear by considering that in the next line he means to refer to only two constitutions, the monarchical and aristocratical, that, in fact, here as elsewhere, whilst he allows that in theory the three are equal ( $\tau \rho \epsilon$ is $\delta \rho \theta a \ell$ ), he practically puts the third lower than the other two.
 These two expressions are both meant for the monarchical form, and $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os is a certain number, $\pi \lambda$ elous $\mu$ èv $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \grave{o} s$ $\delta \lambda$ nous $\delta \epsilon$, see Ch. VII.
$\tau \omega ิ \nu \mu \grave{v} \nu a ̈ \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota]$ Stengel, p. 17 , note 19 , wishes to insert kail $\not \partial \rho \chi \epsilon \nu$, but I do not see that it is necessary. I should rather refer this whole clause simply to the $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi \chi \circ$, and not take into account the monarchical form at all.
 book.
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ A true statesman in the ideal form, the ruler, for the time, of free and equal citizens, among whom he is in turn to take his place. Compare Ch. V. io, $\dot{\text { o }} \pi$ о入ıтьк̀̀s каі кúpıos \#̈ ठuváuє os єîvaı кúplos. Spengel condemns $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \dot{b} \nu$, note 20 , and suggests ¿́ $\gamma a \theta \partial \partial \nu$ or $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i ̂ \nu$, but looking at the context, I do not feel inclined to change the reading. The man must be trained for his post of King. Pericles or Alexander both equally require the true education which shall make them good men and good rulers.
$\left.2 \dot{a} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}\right]$ With the altered arrangement of the books these words may be left out.

## BOOK IV. SUMMARY.

THE book opens with three introductory chapters, a prelude as he calls it. They are by no means very clear, and in great part might have been dispensed with, as they are the reassertions of principles already established in the tenth book of the Ethics.

Two questions are started, What is the best life? and is it the same for the state and for the individual ?

The happiness of the individual depends on moral and intellectual excellence. The happiness of the state will depend on the same. And with his master Plato he asserts that there is a correspondence between the moral virtues as they exist in the individual, and as they exist in the state. It would follow from this, naturally, that the state and the individual stand on the same ground; the qualifications for the best life in each are analogous, the best life of each will also be analogous.

Is the life of action, that of the citizen mixing with his fellowcitizens, and discharging his share of the public duties, the better life? or are we to prefer that of the man who stands aloof, who lives as a stranger and alien, so far as political life goes, and devotes himself to pure speculation? And if we solve this question for the individual, shall we adopt that solution for the state? The very name of the science we are studying assumes that the individual must live as a citizen, and not stand apart from the political society. It implies an affirmative answer to the first question. But it is not at the same time quite clear, that the state must equally with the individual, live a social life, and be brought into intimate connection with other states. For the citizen, whether he choose the life of action or of contemplation, lives yet on equal terms with his fellowcitizens, he may avoid actual office and power, the whirl of political life, as interfering with truer objects; but he may still take his share in the real duties of a citizen, and guide by the results of his intellectual exertion the more busy and practical mass around him. But it is not so with the states. Intercourse between states is even now mainly reducible to two heads, war and commerce. In the ancient world commerce was not a bond on the same scale as at present, in the theories of Aristotle and other philosophers it could
not constitute a bond at all. There was left war, or its result, empire. The connection of states was not that of equals, but of ruler and subject. Hence the immense importance attached to war by the legislations and customs of different states. But war for the state was the same obstacle to the real furtherance of the true interest of the social union, that political struggles were for the individual. And neither for the one nor the other are they essential to action. Standing alone, a state, as an organic whole, finds sufficient action in the healthy working of its different parts in their relations to one another. The single citizen, as we said above, may, with the quiet exercise of the philosophic intellect, combine a most real influence on the welfare of his country. He may be the architect of the political system, whilst his plans are carried out by others. The edifice is his building, though others reduce his plan into action and find the labour necessary for its completion (Ch. I-IlI.).

The prelude over, Aristotle passes to the consideration of the state that he intends to sketch. Certain points must be granted, the conditions under which it must be formed. First of all there must be citizens, next there must be a place for them to inhabit. What is to be the number of those citizens? Mere largeness of number does not make a state great. In fact, the limit is very easily reached in point of number, and is fixed by the difficulty of managing large numbers. Vividly embodying the Greek notion of a state, Aristotle says, its army must be under one general, its people capable of hearing one herald, its citizens must know one another to secure good elections to offices, for the only guarantee of such good elections lies in real personal knowledge, in short, the limit of number must be fixed at the point when, complete satisfaction of all the wants of man being attained, the body passes out of the range of the eye, as it were, and ceases to be manageable (Ch. IV.).

The country these citizens are to inhabit must be as complete in itself as possible. It must be favourable to all military operations, it must be convenient for the transport of commodities. Its capital, the city, must be well situated for intercourse, both with the sea and land (Ch. V.).

Some would exclude the former, and urge the dangers of maritime intercourse. Care may remedy what dangers exist, and the advantages are, in Aristotle's opinion, very considerable (Ch. VI.).

For the character of the citizens, its type must be the Greek
type in its best form, combining high spirit and energy with quick intellectual powers. Such are the most favourable material for the lawgiver to mould (Ch. VII.).

But in any city there will be a distinction between the inhabitants. They will not all be citizens in the true sense, even though necessary adjuncts to the state. It is necessary for every state to be supplied with food, with the conveniences of life and with labour. But the classes which respectively supply it with these are not, therefore, members of the state. They cannot be so. We find the true members of the state in those who defend it in arms, who deliberate on its policy, who administer justice. The first function naturally falls to the younger, the two others to the older, and the care of religious worship shall be cntrusted to the members of this elder body who have retired from more active duties on the ground of age (Ch. VIII. IX.).

Such is our body politic. It will need, as it has ever needed, some articulation and organization. This, from the earliest recorded times has been the characteristic of civilized man, witness the caste system in Egypt, the public mess of Crete and Italy. Such institutions so far as they are useful, must be adopted, and Aristotle formally adopts the syssitia. To these all citizens must be admitted, but the poor cannot be so without some assistance; the service of the gods, too, requires some property for its maintenance. For both these objects there must be public land set apart to be cultivated by public slaves, as the best course, if not, by a dependent population, as submissive and unconnected in itself as possible (Ch. X.).

For the actual city. It must occupy a position favourable to health, with good air and watcr. It must have good street arrangements, and walls well built and carefully maintaincd by proper officers. In these walls and in the towers the syssitia may be held for the majority. Those of the magistrates must be in a conspicuous place, and ncar the temple of the gods. Two large public plaecs will be necessary, the Agora for freemen, and the ordinary market-place (Ch. XI. XII.).

All such points are within the province of fortune. He then passes to the strict province of the legislator. What we have gone through are the basis for the social fabric, on it may be raised a good or a bad state. A state is good by its citizens being good, and men are good by a combination of three causes, nature, discipline, and instruction. The nature is given, it is beyond man's control. The other two are within his control. In the Ethics we have had the theory for the individual man claborately sketched out. Educa-
tion is what is wanted (Ch. XIII.). And here the first question is, shall the education given be one and uniform for the governed and for the governor, or, in the form the question takes in Aristotle, shall the two be distinct for life? If we accept our previous position, and make the distinction one of age merely, then, under its guidance, the cducation presents no difficulty. Man is a complex being, made up of body and soul, this last divisible again into affections and reason. Reason, again, is either practical or speculative, and according as we follow one or the other, we lean to action or to contemplation. All these distinctions must be carefully kept in view, in our discussion of education as a state question; and the one principle that must guide us is, that the lower end must always be in due subordination to the higher. It is open to question, of course, which is the lower and which is the higher; and in discussing this, Aristotle is led to a criticism on Sparta, and a statement of the legitimate objects of war: self-defence, power for the good of the subject, rule over those who naturally require it (Ch. XIV.).

But it is always war for the sake of peace-exertion for the sake of leisure, and all that leisure enables man to accomplish-the active virtues for the sake of the contemplative-the political life for the sake of the theoretic. Some of the virtues may be neglected, all are wanted to guarantee the possession of leisure and the right use of it.

Shall we train first by habits, by discipline, or by reason? The answer is, by discipline. And the true order in education is, first, the body, then the instincts, then the intellect (Ch. XV.).

The first step in the bodily training, is to make proper regulations as to the marriage of the parents. The age of the parties, the time of the marriage, the bodily conditions, the care during pregnancy: all that may be said to be prior to the birth must be attended to. No deformed children must be allowed to live, and there must be no children born after the parents have reached a certain age (Ch. XVI.).

When born there must be a careful attention paid to diet and health. Till two the children are merely cared for in this matter ; from two to five their amusements must be carefully studied. From five to seven they may look on, as spectators, at that which they are subsequently to learn. The subsequent period till twenty-one, with its natural division into the time before the age of puberty and that after it, requires all attention. And this forms the subject of the fragmentary book which follows (Ch. XVII.).

## П O $\Lambda$ IT MK $\Omega$ N $\Delta$. (H.)

What is the best life?
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I. I The alteration of the arrangement leaves it optional which of the two sentences shall be adopted, that at the end of Book III, or beginning of Book IV. I prefer the former, as more immediately connecting with the preceding remarks.
 words may be taken either with ar $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, or with $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s$. With Stair I take them with $\pi \rho \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \tau$ $\tau \epsilon \nu \nu$, "in Folge der ihnen daraus intspringenden Vortheile," ' as a consequince of the advantages they derive from it.' In this case the sense of the expression is not the same as that which it bears, Eth. I. xi. 13. pp. f101, $2: \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon l \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$. But the context here seems to require a different sense.

тapá入o $\quad$ op] here as Eth. v. x. 73. p. 1135 , b. 17, where its adverb $\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \delta \gamma \omega s$ means, 'contrary to what you have a fair right to expect.'
$2 \kappa 0 \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \chi \omega \rho i s]$ 'For the state and for the individual.'
$\left.\nu_{0} \mu i \sigma a \nu \tau a s\right]$ This accusative construction not uncommon. Compare

'̇ं $\omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa 0 \hat{s}]$ Comp. note on I. v. 4.
$3 \mu l a \nu \delta<a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu]$ This division is given, Eth. 1. viii. 2. p. 1098, b. 12, and is there spoken of as $\delta \delta \xi \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha a \dot{\alpha} \nu$
 $\sigma \circ \phi 0$ и́vт $\omega \nu$.
$\dot{\omega}$ our] With Stahr I place a comma after these words.

4 Avoiding all unnecessary detail he takes the four great cardinal irtues, the virtues of Plato in his Rc-

 the best



















public, Cicero in his Offices, and with the same names as Plato.

тєтapт $\eta \mu \mathrm{o}$ iov] The fourth of an obolus.
$\delta \iota \in \psi \in \cup \sigma \mu \notin \mathcal{V} 0 \nu]$ ' False in his judgments,' "verwirrt," Stahr.

5 Ёб $\pi \epsilon \rho$ áá $\tau \tau \epsilon$ ] 'But although this, when stated, is language which nearly all would allow, yet they differ as to degree and the relative superiority,' viz., of virtue and the other advantages. This is one way of taking the $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, and so taken, it qualifies the $\pi \dot{d} \dot{\mu} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$. In the other way, Stahr's, the passage runs, ' $\alpha s$ all agree, so they differ.' Compare, in support of this last, Ch. VII. 3, $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\mu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon-$ oüt $\omega s$ $\mu \in \tau \in \neq \epsilon$.

є̈ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ iка $\alpha \delta \nu, \kappa . \tau$. $\lambda$.] The order is, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{o} \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ iкадò̀ єivaı ро мísovaıv.
$6 \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu \quad \tau \eta \grave{\eta} \pi /[\sigma \tau \iota \nu]$ 'To attain complete conviction.'
$\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu]$ 'in enjoyment.'
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 畀 $\xi \omega \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'The outward acquisition of the goods of life,' or is the " $\xi \xi \omega$ displaced, and the meaning 'the acquisition of the external goods?'
ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'Not but that$ when we also consider the question on grounds of strict reason, it is an easy one to decide.'
$7 \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda .-\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon]$ Such is the connection, the sentence $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \delta \dot{\jmath}$ $\tau \grave{o} \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \mu 0 \nu-\epsilon ौ \chi \sigma \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ is parenthetical.
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'For whilst external goods have a limit -those which depend on the mind.'
 ä $\pi \epsilon t \rho o \nu$ Compare I. viII. I4, 15 .
 'all good things which are useful, as instrumental, are such that in excess they must either do harm, or there can be no advantage from them to their possessors.' Nickes, p. 14, note 4, dwells on this passage as marking the strong distinction that exists between $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \mu a$ and $\sigma v \mu \phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \nu \tau a$.
$\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \mu o \nu$ rival] depends on $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma-$ каîov.
è $\pi \iota \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ Eth. II. ix. 6. p. prog, b. II.
$8 \delta \lambda \omega s \quad \tau \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu]$ 'And generally it is clear that we shall allow that the difference between two things in their highest perfection will depend on, and be in exact proportion to, the difference that exists between them in their ordinary state.' The interval between the two superlatives will be the same as that between the two positives. Compare his language in
the Rhetoric, I. vii. 4. p. 1363, b. 21 ,
 $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \chi \eta$ Kail au $\tau \dot{a}$ auer $\hat{\nu} \nu$. . . olio $\epsilon i$ oj
 $\mu \epsilon i \bar{\zeta} \omega \nu$ каi $\delta \lambda \omega s$ 'oi ä $\partial \delta \rho \epsilon s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma v \nu a l-$ $\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon i \neq o v s$.

From this it follows that mental excellence, when compared with bodily excellence and all external advantages, such as wealth, will be in the same relation of superiority to them, as the mind is with regard to the body and property. If we allow the mind's superiority, we must allow the superiority of virtue and wisdom.
 is the order.
$\left.9 \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\ddot{\prime}} \nu \in \kappa \in \nu\right]$ ' It is only for the sake of the soul that these, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \xi \omega$ dj $\gamma \alpha \theta \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́, ~ \& c$.

10 d $\rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\phi \rho о \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ The respective excellencies of the $\hat{\eta} \theta o s$ and óápoca, 'moral and intellectual virtue.'
$\epsilon \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}]$ here evidently this word is equivalent to the simpler $\epsilon_{\xi}^{\prime} \omega$, 'outward.'
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \dot{j} \tau v \chi i a \nu]$ The state of the man who is in all points well endowed,




















 $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau ’ \grave{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̀ \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta-14$
so far as outward advantages are concerned.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s]$ depends on $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \delta \delta$.
qaưтó $\mu a \tau o \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ \dot{\eta}$ Tóx $\eta$ ] They come to a man without any efforts of his own, spontaneously and from fortune.

ảmò $\tau u ́ \chi \eta s]$ 'as a gift of fortune.' $\delta \iota \alpha \begin{aligned} & \tau \eta \nu \\ & \tau \\ & \\ & \chi \\ & \nu\end{aligned}$, 'on the ground of his fortune.' The former excludes $\tau \delta^{\prime} \chi \eta$ as the giving power, the latter excludes it as the constituent of happiness.

II $\epsilon \chi \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon]$ 'Closely connected with this, and requiring no other arguments, is the statement,' \&c.
$\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \tau 0 v \sigma a \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ] is a simple ambiguity.

state and justice and wisdom are, in their force and form, the same as in the individual, when, by virtue of his participation in them, he is called just, wise, and temperate.'

13 rov's oikelous] 'proper to the subject.'

غ̇ $\tau \epsilon \in \rho a s ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta} s]$ " un autre ouvrage," St. Hil.: "einen andern Vortrag," Stahr: "discussion:" but it may be simply 'leisure,' as he says $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \omega \nu$.
$\dot{o} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s]$ Compare Eth. x. ix. p. 1178 , b. 33 .
${ }^{1} 4 \pi \rho$ òs $\delta e ̀$ tov̀s $\left.\dot{a} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o \hat{v} \tau \tau \alpha s\right]$ Spengel, p. 46, says, "So redet Aristoteles sonst nicht." The expression
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What is the best life?
$\qquad$
2 Is happiness the same for the man and for the state?

 $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, ойтоє каі $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ á $\rho \chi o v \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon v \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu \epsilon-$








is quite singular in his works. Spengel, not doubting the genuineness of these introductory chapters, thinks that the different parts are probably not of the same date, pp. 47, $4^{8 .}$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \epsilon \theta o ́ \delta o v]$ ' in our present treatise.'
II. I It is difficult certainly to see how these two first sections are to be distinguished from the last chapter. If a distinction is drawn it must be in this, that in Ch. I. he has been directing attention mainly to virtue, and has decided that in the individual and the state it is analogous. Here, on the other hand, he more especially dwells on happiness, which, by general consent, he says, is clearly the same for both; or they may be treated simply as an introductory résumé.
 individual to be happy, on the ground of his being virtuous.'
$\left.3 \dot{\delta} \xi \epsilon \tau \kappa \delta \delta_{s}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'The life of an alien and of one who stands aloof from all interference in the political asso-
 $\gamma \hat{\eta}, H e b$. хі. г 3 .
$\epsilon і ̈ \tau \epsilon \pi \hat{a} \sigma \omega, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'in either sup-$ position, be it that for all equally it is desirable, or, though for some particular cases not, yet for the majority.'

4 Tô̂to] might be taken as equivalent to $\tau \grave{2} \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o u s ~ a i \rho \epsilon \tau \delta b$, but it seems better to take it as 'this sccond question,' viz.: what constitution is to be formed? The stress in the sentence lies on $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$. 'But since this second question is the proper object of political reflexion and political science, and it is this political inquiry ( ( av́ $\tau \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma_{\kappa} \epsilon \dot{\psi}(\nu)$ that I have now chosen, the first is superfluous,' \&c. This I believe to be the rendering of the passage, and yet it is quite true that Aristotle at once goes on to























[^3]consider the question of the relative value, both for the state and for the individual, of the two kinds of life, the philosophic or contemplative, and the political or practical, a question fully discussed and settled by him, Eth. x. vii. 8. pp. 1177, 8.
$5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma o u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda].-\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu$ ध̈ $\nu \alpha \quad \delta \iota^{\prime}$ á $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ from § 2.
olov $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ ss] 'I mean, a life of contemplation,' I. vii. 5 , ôov $\dot{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa a i ́ a$.

6 oi фıोотьцо́татоь трòs á $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu]$ "die am meisten nach Tugend strebenden," Stahr. "Those who are most keen in the pursuit of virtue.'
$\tau \delta \nu \tau \epsilon]$ This $\tau \epsilon$ seems meaningless. Stahr keeps it, but does not translate it.
$\sigma v \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha c]$ 'arrange himself for, discipline himself for.'
$7 \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \circ \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s} \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu]$ 'If it wear the character of a despotism or tyranny.'
$\left.\epsilon \mu \pi \delta \delta \iota o \nu \quad \delta \frac{1}{\epsilon} \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu\right]$ Compare II. VI. 22, $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu o \nu$, and note. Here $\epsilon \mu \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \iota o \nu \epsilon i \nu a t$ would be more natural.
aúróv] se ipsum, Vet. Tr. Several editors change the $\alpha u \tau \delta \nu$, though disagreeing as to its substitute. There is no necessity for the change, looking at the general use of the word.
 piness the same for





















${ }^{\text {a }}$ I have inserted кai.

ov̉k єîvą $\pi \rho d \dot{\xi} \xi \iota \iota$, к. $\tau$. $\lambda$.] 'The man who keeps aloof from political life has not so much scope for practising them as they have who mix in it.'

S oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv oütcs] The meaning must be carried back past the last sentence, and the ovitws must be the view taken in $\S 7$, the view adverse to the political life.
ô̂tos őpos $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu]$ Vet. Tr. reads кal before $\nu \dot{\delta} \mu \omega \nu$. Stahr approves of this change, and the context requires it. 'This is the sole end both of the constitution and the se-
parate laws.' I have therefore inserted каi.
9 रúס $\eta \nu$ ] 'promiscuously' 'without order,' the Latin 'temere.'
 the $\pi \delta\langle\lambda \epsilon \iota$. They had no $\pi$ o $\lambda \iota \tau \epsilon$ ia.
$\Sigma \kappa \dot{v} \theta$ ous, к. т. 入.] The great divisions of the non-Hellenic world to Aristotle.

II $\pi \epsilon \rho i \mathrm{Mak} \varepsilon \delta \nu\langle\alpha \nu]$ Compare Grote, IV. II; XI. 397.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi o \rho \beta \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu]$ a "mouthband of leather," L. and S.
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} \Sigma \Sigma^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \theta \alpha a s$ ] Herod. iv. 66.
$12 \kappa a \tau \epsilon i \lambda \eta \mu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu a$ ] 'established.'


 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v$ каì ßov
$\qquad$











 $\pi o \nu \tau o \hat{\tau} \tau o v$, où $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 the scope of the statesman or the law. giver.'
$\kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu]$ 'The mere assertion of superior strength involves no consideration of justice.'
oüтє $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] The patient submits to the physician, the crew to the pilot, the citizen must submit to the lawgiver. This must be taken for granted.

I4 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' єоі́каб $\nu \nu$ ] 'Still, strange though it be, it would seem that the many.'
ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ aúтoîs, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] Eth. v. iii. I5,$ p. 1129 , b. 32 ; compare also Thuc. v. $105, \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu \delta ́ \nu \iota \prec \iota ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \rho \partial ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s$ $\mu e ̀ \nu$ aủroús, к. $\tau . \lambda$.

15 ä $\left.\tau 0 \pi o \nu \delta_{6}\right]$ sc. $\tau \grave{\partial}$ 纸 $\delta \pi \pi o \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ $\ddot{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 Stahr reads $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ in both places, and refers to III. xviI. r. But there does not seem any necessity for the change, the required distinction may be elicited from the present reading, though it certainly would be simpler the other way.
roúrov] 'as the majority think.'
i6 Again there might be no opportunity for a state to exercise this power of conquering and ruling over others as a despot state, for it might stand alone. Such a state would be allowed to be happy.
$\hat{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \cup \in \tau \alpha \iota$ ] 'granting of course that it be well governed.'

same for the man and for the
























$\left.17 \gamma^{t} \nu 0 s \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu\right]$ seems an equivalent expression to $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta v o s$.

18 тоis каө $\dot{\eta} \kappa о v \sigma \iota$ ] St. Hil. and Stahr agree in translating this "duties," Vict. "que ad officia pertinent." I rather take it in the sense of what is 'fitting,' 'appropriate,' and not in a technical sense.
ovvreivet $]$ ] direct all its efforts.'
III. I oi $\mu \hat{e} \nu \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho$ ] I say both, for he one,' \& c :
$\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \theta \rho o v\right]$ equivalent to the $\xi_{\epsilon \nu \nu \kappa \delta}$
 $\nu 0$ of 2,3 . The words $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu \omega \nu$, $i \delta t \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \eta_{s}$ would also express the same notion.
dóvivazoy $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ 'For they urge that it is impossible.'
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau\llcorner\kappa 0 \hat{v}]$ This word is emphatic.
If the rule over slaves is all that is open to a man, to keep clear of all power is the wiser course.

2 oúgèv $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] Compare I. vir. 4, as also for what follows the earlier part of the same chapter.












 $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \pi \alpha i \delta \omega_{\nu} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha i \hat{\delta} \alpha{ }_{\varsigma} \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s \mu_{\eta}^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega \varsigma \phi_{i}{ }^{\prime} \lambda o \nu$














3 тє' 'os é $\chi o v \sigma \omega$ ] 'involve the accomplishment of.'

4 raptéval] 'to give way to.'
$\dot{v} \pi o \lambda o \gamma \epsilon i v]$ ' take account of, nor, as compared with this, bestow a thought upon them.' The kindred form $\dot{v} \pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda o \gamma i \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, with a similar sense, is not uncommon in Plato.
 lies the fallacy of their assumption.'
 are instances of great natural differences, and in them there is no opening
for that $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \pi{ }^{2} \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$, that rule over equal and free citizens, which, in the reasoning, the objector is supposed to aim at destroying.

凶゙ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\delta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta a i \nu \omega \nu]$ 'He then, who, by nature on a level with others, endeavours to place himself above them as their master, commits an error which no subsequent success can redeem. If men are equal, right and justice for them consist in their being governors and governed in turn.'

6 бьò кӑّ $\left.\partial \not a \lambda \lambda o s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda^{2}\right]$ Indeed,
 same for the man














so far is it from being true that each man should grasp at power, on any ground, even on this, that he will make a good use of it, that he ought to see that it is the right thing and the noble for him to retire before any one who is his superior, and yield him obedience.

7 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \quad \delta$ ' od $\mu \delta \dot{\nu} \nu \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' I use$ both terms, virtue and ability ( $\delta \dot{v} \nu a-$ $\mu(\nu)$, for both are necessary. Virtue alone does not guarantee the skill to use it rightly.'
$8 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\delta} \nu]$ But in taking up this position we must attend to the sense of the word $\pi \rho а \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$.
$\left.\tau \dot{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \beta \beta a \iota \nu \partial{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu\right]$ The order is,
 éк $\tau \circ \hat{0} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$.
av่rotє $\epsilon \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ s] 'sui juris,' 'independent,' 'self-complete,' the opposite of $\dot{v} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂$ s.
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ ] 'That there are such is clear, for,' \&c.
$\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \xi \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}\right]$ 'external actions.'

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ '̇кабто⿱ $\tau \iota \mu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \rho o v s$ каi $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu$
 боф $\omega \tau$ t́pous.
$9 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ 'Nor again, putting aside these considerations and taking action in the common sense, is it necessary to condemn to inaction the states which are placed alone, and which choose a life answering to this their isolation.'

каi тоиิто] This kali seems out of place. Its more natural position is before the кa兀d. 'For it is possible even within themselves and with reference to their several parts, that there should be action,' тoûto se. тঠ̀ $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$.

10 $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon$ ] 'is true of.'
$\sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha{ }^{\rho} \rho$ ] 'else hardly.'
ois oúк $\epsilon i \sigma[\nu]$ For this language, as far as it applies to the gods, compare Eth. x. viii. 7, 8, p. 1178 , b. 8.
$\tau 0 i s$ adv $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi o \iota s]$ seems equivalent to




 фа⿱єро́v є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ．






 oîov $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha s$ ．$\quad \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \grave{i} 3$ тoîs ä入入oıs $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o i ̂ s, ~ o i ̂ o v ~ i \phi \alpha ́ v \tau \eta ~ к \alpha i ~ \nu \alpha v \pi \eta \gamma \hat{\varphi}$ ，$\delta \in i ̂ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$




 тó $\tau \epsilon \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{\text {os }} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ，$\pi o ́ \sigma o u s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \pi o i ́ o v s ~ \tau \iota \nu a ̀ s ~$

the $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0 s \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ of II． 17 ，and I see no reason for omitting them，as Spengel wishes to do，XLVII．note 43.

IV．I So far by way of prelude or introduction．He proceeds more directly to his task of forming a con－ stitution，and to the conditions，first of all，which he requires．He must have a certain number of citizens，and a country in which to place them．
$\left.\kappa \alpha i l \pi \epsilon \rho i \grave{d}_{s} \not \partial \lambda \lambda \alpha s\right]$ This is one of the passages which is necessarily omit－ ted if the order of the books is changed， as I have changed it．
 A．P．
tions，＇the conditions under which we can form our state．

2 $\sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o v \quad \chi o \rho \eta \gamma i a s]$＇adequate appliances．＇
$\pi \rho о \ddot{\pi} \pi о т \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a l]$＇we must pre－ suppose many things．＇I take th verb in an active sense，looking at the accusative $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi o \mu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \nu o v s$. We have $\dot{v} \pi о \tau(\theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ in the parallel passage， II．6， 7 ．
$3 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon l a \nu$ ỗ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ ］＇in a right state for their work．＇
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oiкє $i a \nu \quad$ vi $\lambda \eta \nu$ ］＇Their peculia material．＇

$$
4 \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{s} \text { रop } \quad \text { रrias] 'The first }
$$ point in the statesman＇s arguments．＇

$\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon]$ depends on toious $\tau \iota \nu a ́ s$.
 number of




 $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu, \tau \alpha \dot{u} \tau \eta \nu$ oì $\tau \in ́ \sigma \nu$ єîvaı $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, oîov ' $\mathrm{I} \pi$ -



 таîs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ 亿̈ $\sigma \omega \mathrm{s}$ vó $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каì бои́ $\lambda \omega \nu$ à $\rho \iota \theta \mu o ̀ \nu \quad \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega \nu}$













$\epsilon l$ ठè $\tau o u ̂ \tau$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\epsilon} s]$ ] This may be true, but they do not know.'

5 é $\sigma \tau \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'For the state,$ the collective personality as well as the individual artificer, has its work.'

6 ở $\left.\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ ' or change the view and take number also into account, yet quality must be considered.'
$\delta o v ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ áp $t \theta \mu \delta \nu, \kappa . \tau$. $\lambda$.] This passage gives three classes distinctly, Compare III. 2, 3, note.
oiкei $\omega \nu \mu о \rho i \omega \nu]$ 'parts proper to it. This is dwelt on later, Ch. VIII. i.
$\tau$ oú $\tau \omega \nu$ ] depends on $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ ous.
$\gamma^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ] 'from practical experience.'
 out any check on the increase in point of number:'

8 These next two sections are not easy to arrange. The sense seems to require us to look on the words $\theta$ elas خ $\dot{\alpha} \rho$ —— $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma к а i ̂ o \nu ~ a s ~ a ~ p a r e n t h e s i s . ~ . ~$ 'Law is an arrangement, good law a


















good arrangement, but a very excesside number is not susceptible of arrangement, but there must be for states as for everything else some limit of size, so that they may be susceptible of arrangement.' This seems the course of the argument. But, granting this, there still remains the intermediate passage, of which, as it stands, I do not see the meaning clearly. I should put a colon at $\tau \grave{\text { oj }}$ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$. 'For this, the ordering of a very large number, is a task above the strength of man, it requires a divine power, a power like that which keeps together the whole universe of things.'
 $\epsilon i \omega \theta \epsilon \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, каl $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \nu$ iss $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\epsilon}-$
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ dдраүкаîov. 'Since beauty requires size as one of its conditions ( $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota$ кal $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \theta \epsilon$, not meant to convel more than simply $\mu \in \gamma \epsilon \theta \epsilon c$, so in
states also, that which combines with a certain size good order ( $\delta \lambda \in \chi \theta \epsilon i$ s oo $\rho o s=\epsilon v^{\prime} \tau a \xi(a)$, must necessarily be the most beautiful.' Compare Poet.

 5, p. 1123, b. 8: тò кá入 hos є้̇ $\mu \in \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varphi$ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$.

Io $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] nature, in the sense given, I. н. 8.
$\epsilon$ 's $\overline{\delta \epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \in \epsilon \theta o s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'It may$ attain a certain size, and yet, at one time, impair its sailing power by its smallness, at another by its excessive greatness.'
 in all mere necessaries it be complete, yet it is not a state: oo $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, wants, therefore, the $\kappa a \lambda \delta \nu$.
$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \nu \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in\lceil\nu a \iota]$ 'Then, first, is there a state, where you have arrived, in reference to number, exactly at the







 Baiveı $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \phi \alpha u ́ \lambda \omega s$ à $\nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta ~ \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha s$






 тò̀ т $\quad$ óтог тойто้.
5
 The





point where first completeness is secured.'

T2 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ ] It would be better if it were $a i \quad \mu \hat{e} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{b} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ai $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau a \xi \iota c]$ in their political and executive capacity. крiбts in their judicial ; otherwise $\kappa \rho i \sigma \iota s$ is more properly the function $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o \mu \epsilon \cdot \nu \omega \nu$.

I3 The magistrates, then, are very important, but how can they be rightly elected, if those who elect them cannot judge of them ; and the requisite knowledge is difficult when the numbers are very large. The
choice of the governor is always the great difficulty of government.
$\gamma \nu \omega \rho t \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda \eta$ خ̀ $\lambda o v s]$ ' mutual knowledge is requisite.'
av่ $\tau \sigma \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu]$ Thuc. I. 138 .
$\dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \in \iota \phi \alpha \nu \in \rho \omega \bar{s}]$ 'evidently cannot be escaped.'
$\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \hat{v} v o \pi \tau o s]$ 'easily seen as a whole,' ' not too large for the eye to comprehend.'
V. $1 \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma \chi o \lambda a ́ \zeta o \nu \tau a s$, к. $\tau . \quad \lambda$. Compare II. vi. 9. He gives shortly all the requirements of the Greek freeman.


The
country．

















 ко́мєбтоу．

 maritime？

2 т $\grave{\nu} \nu$ öpov тои̂то⿱］must be referred， not to $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ，but to what in－ mediately precedes．

シ̈б $\sigma \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ Spengel，p．Io，note，thinks this is done in this same Book，Chs． VII．（VIII．rather）and XV．It seems to me one of the portions that are lost．From the point of view of the family it has been treated in the first book，but it yet remains to be considered as a political question． aust $\dot{\nu} \nu]$ to be taken with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \epsilon \nu$ ．
ס८à тoùs č̃коитаs，к．т．入．］＇because of those who，in the conduct of life， pull different ways，the one towards the one extreme，the other towards the other．＇
$3 \pi \delta \partial$＇$\epsilon[\delta o s]$＇But as for the form．＇ The $\delta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon}$ answers to the $\mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu$ in $\pi \epsilon \rho l \mu \hat{e} \nu$ $\gamma a ́ \rho$ ．
$4 \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon[s]$ sc．that it should be $\epsilon \dot{v} \beta \circ \eta \dot{\theta} \theta \tau \sigma \nu$ or $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \dot{v} \nu o \pi \tau o \nu$ ．The second is，that it should be є $\boldsymbol{v} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha к б \mu \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$ ．

I place only a comma at $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi o \mu-$ $\pi a ́ s$ ，and consider the genitive $\hat{v} \lambda \eta s$ to depend on it，just as much as $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ does．＇The other requirement left is， that the country should be easy of access，for the conveyance of the pro－ duce generally，and also of its material in timber or any other similar object that it may possess．＇

[^4]
















point suggested by his statement in the last chapter, $\kappa \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega ิ s \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \hat{\eta} \nu$ $\theta \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \nu$.
$\epsilon \pi \tau \iota \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \theta a i \tau \iota \nu a s]$ "Die fortwährende Anwesenheit der Fremden," Stahr. "That there should be resident a body of foreigners brought up in other laws and customs.'
$\left.\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \quad a \lambda \lambda o \iota s \nu o \mu o \iota s\right]$ In III. III. 6, the same point was mooted, the
 $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \eta^{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota$.
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda v \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i ́ a \nu]$ sc. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{v} \mu-$ фороу єîvat.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta \rho \omega \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ depend on the participles $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi о \nu \tau \alpha s$ каi $\delta \epsilon \chi о \mu \in ́ \nu o u s$.
$\left.{ }_{2} \epsilon l \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha i \nu \epsilon l\right]$ 'If these results do not arise.'
$\epsilon \dot{J} \pi o p i a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$ ] 'a ready and large supply of all the necessaries of life.'
$4 \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \alpha ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu]$ 'Their surplus produce.'
avं $\eta \hat{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ द́ $\mu \pi o \rho \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu]$ A singular
statement. He was led into it by his dislike of commerce, which he would restrict, therefore, within as narrow limits as possible, and make as selfish as possible for each state. This is a conclusion quite alien to the genuine spirit of commerce, which is, in the largest and freest sense, the connection for mutual support and for the supply of mutual wants, of the whole human family. And any theory like this of Aristotle's - such as the mercantile and protective system of later times,which aims at exclusiveness, is to be condemned as a direct attack on the real interests of mankind,-a substitution of the provisional and fictitious virtue of patriotism or national selfishness, for the true idea of a common union between all the members of the great family which mankind forms.
$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'do so for the sake of revenue.'

 inter-



















a üтархор каl Bekker.
 of gain.' This would be true if one nation's gain were another's loss, but this has ahready been stated to be inadmissible.

5 ن́ $\left.\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi{ }^{\nu}{ }^{\nu}\right]$ If kept, must be made to agree with $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \rho / o \nu$, supplied. But the sentence seems faulty. Schneider, with Coray, wishes to read $\dot{\delta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. I should prefer $\dot{\delta} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \nu \nu \tau \alpha$, leaving out the кal.
 situated as regards the city.'
 бoús, Soph. Aj. гог.
$\delta \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu i a s$ av̉r $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ] 'by the in-
tercourse with them,' the $\epsilon \pi i \nu \epsilon \_a$ каl $\lambda$ д $\mu \in ́ \nu a s$.
$\phi \rho a ́ \zeta o \nu \tau a s, k . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'stating and de-$ fining.'

6 aúroîs] 'with regard to themselves only.'
$7 \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}$ каі $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa$ б $\nu$ ] 'an imperial and social life,' mixed up with other states that is. The opposite to $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ here would be $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\partial} \nu, \mathrm{Ch}$. II. 3 .
$\tau \eta ̀ \nu \gamma \imath \gamma \nu \mu \epsilon \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i]$ ' Involved by.' ov̇ $\theta \grave{e} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] 'For they ought not to be any part of the state.'

8 r̂̂s vauti入ias] "im Seewesen," Stahr; 'directs the navigation,' III. iv. 2.
 course.

 on $\hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ [каí] тои̃тo каì $\nu \bar{v} \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi o \nu ~ \tau \iota \sigma i v, ~ o \hat{i} \nu \nu \tau \hat{y} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota ~ \tau \hat{̣} \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \iota \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ є́ $\tau_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ є่ $\mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu$.










$\pi \epsilon \rho \omega i \kappa \omega \nu]$ The Laconian periœci manned the fleet, and we find instances of their holding the command, Thus. viIi. vi. 22.

каì тои̂то каì $\nu \hat{v}$ ] The first к ai seems superfluous.
$\left.{ }^{\prime}{ }^{H} \rho a \kappa \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ Heraclea on the Bontus. Compare Schneider's note on the passage. He quotes Xenoph. Anab. v. vi. io.
$\bar{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu]$ 'smaller,' 'of very moderate size as compared with others.' This last is Stahr's view ; "fort petite," St. Hil. In Plato, Leggy. vi. 760 a, $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau a \tau \alpha$ occurs in the sense of 'smallest:' $\tau \rho \epsilon i \bar{s} \epsilon i s \tau \grave{a}$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ ~ \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau a \tau a ~ e ̈ v a . ~ T h i s ~$ seems sufficient warrant for the sense given.
$\left.9 \pi{ }^{6} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu\right]$ Some editors doubt this word. I prefer $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \epsilon \omega \boldsymbol{c}$. His object has not been to treat of cities, but of one city.
$\tau \hat{v} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \circ \hat{v} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta 0 u s$ ] 'The citizens in point of mere number.'
VII. I $\Sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \partial ̀ \nu \delta \dot{\eta}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'It$ would scarcely then be difficult to form a clear judgment on this point at least.'
$\delta \iota \epsilon \backslash \lambda \eta \pi \tau a \iota$ тoîs $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \theta\end{array} \nu \in \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ " distincta gentibus," Vict. ; "vertheilt unter die verschiedenen Völkerschaften," Stahr, 'divided out into the different nations.'
$2 \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{E} \dot{v} \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \pi \eta \nu]$ What extent did Aristotle assign to Europe? Is it the narrow one given by Hermann (Smith, Geog. Dict.) of the country between Thrace and Peloponnesus? If so, then $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{E} \dot{v} \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \eta \nu$ would be the Thracians, Scythians, Illyrians, and apparently these are the nations meant; but the language is vague, and x .3 seems to show that Aristotle's sense of the word is larger.
$\theta v \mu \circ \hat{v}]$ 'spirit;' $\delta$ cavoias каl $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta s$, 'intelligence and skill.'










 $\phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \tau о i ́ v v \nu$ öтı $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ סıavoŋтıкоús $\tau \epsilon$ єîvaı каi $\theta \nu \mu о є \iota \delta \epsilon i ̂ s$





preserve their freedom, but they remain without social organization.'

ঠıavoŋтька́, к. т. 入.] 'Though intelligent and crafty, are yet without spirit.'
 Grote, II. $9^{8,}$ "The feeling of personal dignity, of which philosophic observers in Greece-Herodotus, Kenophon, Hippocrates, and Aristotleboasted, as distinguishing the free Greek citizen from the slavish Asiatic." Compare also the same volume, p. 305 , on the question of the Greek climate.
$\mu \in \sigma \epsilon v \in \epsilon]$ ' holds a middle position.'
$\mu$ tâs $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu o \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s]$ What is the force to be given to these words? Was the conception of Aristotle that of a federative union, guaranteeing internal peace and empire abroad, but allowing each separate state to be autonomous, only bound to the others by the strong ties of a common interest, a common nationality, and sinilar institutions, not torn, that is, by
the quarrels between oligarchical and democratical principles? This seems the probable case. The word $\dot{\text { if }} \boldsymbol{\sim} \boldsymbol{\epsilon \epsilon \nu}$ prevents our considering him to have aimed at a merely defensive organization, such as that suggested by Bp. Thirlwall, v. 154 ; and the general tendency of his views is against the other alternative, in the same passage, that of "the supremacy of some Grecian state, powerful enough to enforce peace, but not to crush liberty." However this may be, it is the want which is here indicated that caused the failure of Greece to secure empire and organize, as Rome did, the nations of the world.
$\left.4{ }^{*} \theta \nu \eta\right]$ 'The different Hellenic races.'
$\mu o \nu o ́ \kappa \omega \lambda o \nu]$ 'one sided.' Comp. Rhet, III. ix. 5, p. 1409, b. 17, where the word is applied to style.

5 rives] Plato, Rep. II. 243.
$\pi o t \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \dot{\delta} \phi \iota \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ 'produces the tendency to affection.' Comp. Topic II. vii. p. II 3 b, I. IV. 5, p. 126, 12.
 people.




$$
\text { où } \gamma \grave{a} \rho \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \phi i \lambda \omega \nu \text { à ád } \gamma \chi \epsilon .
$$











$\kappa а i$
$\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$.

9
Пєрì $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồ̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \pi o ́ \sigma o v s ~ \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$





a $\tau a \dot{\jmath} \tau \alpha ́$ Bekker.
$\alpha i \rho \in \tau \alpha l]$ 'rises.'
$\delta \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota]$ sc. $\dot{v} \phi$ ' बंv $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \in \iota$, Rhet. II. 2, I5, p. 1379, b. 2-4.

6 oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ò̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \phi i \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \epsilon o]$ Arch. Frag. 6r, Bergk, ist Ed. The reading Bergk gives is oú $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ò̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ $\phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi a \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi \in 0$. Stahr makes it interrogative.

8 катà 入ó $\gamma o \nu$ ] 'as might be expected.'
$\pi a \rho$ ' ois] 'Those with whom,' apud quos.
$\left.\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi o i \gamma{ }^{\prime} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ Eurip. Fr. Inc. 57, Ed. Dind.
oì $\tau \circ \iota \pi$ t́pa, к.т.入.] Eurip. Fr.
9 oú $\gamma \dot{a} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] . For we must not$ seek the same exactness when theory is concerned as we require when actual sensible results are in question.' So Stahr and St. Hilaire.
VIII. I $\tau a v ่ \tau a]$ This is Bekker's reading, and it is retained by Stahr. I should prefer either $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ or $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$.



















It is difficult to say what $\tau a \dot{u} \tau$ á means. The reading taûta seems to be supported by $\S 6, \pi \delta \sigma \alpha$ avi $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau c \nu$ ஸ̂v duvev $\pi b$ 人 cs oủk ä̀ $\epsilon$ tim. Retaining raúrá, I construe: 'Now, since in the case of all other things which are in their nature compound, we do not in the same degree consider as parts of the whole that is formed, all the parts which are necessary to its formation, so is it clear that neither in the case of a state must we treat as essential parts of a state all those which are indispensably necessary to its existence, nor in the case of any other association, which forms a unity in kind, a homogeneous whole. For there must be,' he continues, 'some one thing, and that common and the same, to all who share in the associatimon.'

3 Thesimplyministerialsubordinate functions must be distinguished from
the higher and political ones-the basis of the state from that which rests on it -the means from the end-the $\tau 0 \boldsymbol{v}^{\tau}$ ow ${ }_{\epsilon \ell \nu \in \kappa \epsilon \nu}$ from the ô̂ ${ }^{\ell \prime} \nu \in \kappa \epsilon \nu$. They have nothing in common; the one produces, the other accepts the result: oud $\delta \epsilon \mu i a$ $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \iota \varsigma \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \grave{\eta} s$ тoîs $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, Eth. vil. xii. 4 , p. ${ }^{15} 5_{2}$, b. $r_{4}$.

4 ठ̀̀ò $\kappa \tau \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Therefore,$ though property is absolutely essential to a state, yet it is in no sense a part of the state; it is $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\partial} \rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu o v \epsilon \bar{\partial} \bar{\delta} \epsilon$.
$\left.\pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}\right]$ I do not see the object of this remark.
 конро̀̀ каі таủтó.

5 au゙тך $\delta \epsilon$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'This consists$ in the practice of virtue, both personal and relative;' $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \grave{\eta}$ кai $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ ŋ̂s $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \epsilon o s$, which last is $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \eta$.
t' $\nu \delta \delta \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta a l]$ ' have it in their power to attain it.'

The parts of a state.
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 $\kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha i}{ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \lambda$ -









 tions of the citizens.


ToDro] This variety of position. өnpeíoves]] 'as they pursue it.'
$6 \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \eta]$ 'parts of the state in a strict sense.'
${ }^{\text {év }}$ yoúrooss] not identical with, but lying amongst them, and capable of being detached.
7 кal $\pi \rho \hat{\rho} \tau \mathbf{\tau} \nu]$ 'First in importance.' This is odd, as Aristotle does not elsewhere, either in theory or in his practical arrangements, lay
much stress on the worship of the state.
$\kappa \rho l \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu]$ 'decision on the policy to be adopted, and the administration of justice as between man and man.' Below, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu а \gamma к а i \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$.

8 ov $\tau \grave{\partial} \tau v \chi \delta \nu]$ ' not any chance number.'
IX. I $\dot{v} \pi o \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \circ \rho]$ ' we must presume.'
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 not an open question in every state.' That is, some states have decided it, and differ from others, and are what they are by that decision. But for the ideal state it is an inquiry that may be entered upon.
 premises, and it is clear.'
$\left.\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \delta \partial_{s} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \delta \theta \theta \sigma \sigma \nu\right]$ 'not with reference to the idea of the given state.' Comp. II. IX. r.
$\dot{a} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta}$, or $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ ] See L. and S., 'low.'
$4 \mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a s \notin \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota]$ sc. $\pi o \lambda l \tau a s$. $\left.\kappa a l \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ Both for education and for political life.
$\epsilon \nu v \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota]$ 'are not only found existing amongst the other parts ( $\epsilon \nu$ coútocs, VIII. 6), but are evidently most strictly members of the state.'

5 ठ $\iota \circ$ © $\tau \iota$ ] 'that.'
$\left.\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s \dot{a}^{\kappa} \mu \hat{\eta} s\right]$ ' of a different prime,' or 'perfection.' The same distinction is observed in Plato's ériкoupol and $\phi \dot{\chi} \lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon s$; it is simply one of age.
$\kappa a l$ кш入úєıv] This, as well as $\beta \iota \alpha$ $\zeta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, depends on $\delta v \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o u s$.

 citizens.



















a є́бтiv-єivaı Dekker.
$\tau a \cup ́ \tau \eta \eta \epsilon]$ 'this $\delta \in$ marks the apodosis. $\kappa$ кal $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon(\nu]$ 'are, by virtue of their possession of arms, the arbiters of the existence or non-existence of the constitution.'
 functions of government.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] The simplest way of remedying the difficulty in this sentence is to adopt the suggestion of Dekker, and transpose the two verbs $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau \nu$, with a change in the stops. 'As strength naturally is in the younger, wisdom in the elder, this surely is the true principle on which the division should be made, and it is the just one.'

7 тàs $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'The eld-$ mont of wealth ( $\tau \dot{o}$ 消 $\pi$ oo) ought to reside in these.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \dot{o} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'our state.'
$\delta \eta \mu \iota o v p \gamma{ }^{\delta} \nu$ ] 'which does not cultisate and produce virtue.'
$\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́]$ 'can only exist with virtue.'
8 סoúlous] See below, Ch. X. 13 .
9 vi no $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ ' By none but citizens.'
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon$, к. $\tau$. $\lambda$.] I incline to make the words $\tau o \check{s} \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \chi p b \nu o \nu ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta-~$ кó vas the subject of $\dot{a} \pi o \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a l$, as well as of e' $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ : 'and it is fitting that those who, from their time of life, are past the age for the more strictly poli-






 тò $\delta_{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s$.



 $\nu \hat{v} \nu, \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{~K} \rho \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ ồv $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \mathrm{A} \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \gamma v \pi \tau o \nu$






tical functions, whether of action or deliberation, should both perform the worship of the gods and have the rest which their service requires; this is the class which may be set apart for the priesthood.'

Io $\hat{\omega} \nu \mu \not ̀ \nu \tau o i v v \nu]$ This expression throws light on the more obscure statement of VIII. I.
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma 0 i]$ Spengel, p. 25 , note 27 , wishes to read $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o v ́ s$, but it is not necessary. 'For though,' \&c.
$\kappa а l ~ к є \chi \omega ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau а \iota ~ \delta \dot{\eta}]$ A very concise expression. He means, that the separation between the two divisions of the citizens and the non-citizen class is ineffaceable, whilst that between the two divisions of the citizens is simply a question of time. In the first case, he takes the two bodies, and contrasts them; in the second, the
divisions of one of them. 'And, consequently, there is a separation in each of these cases, -in the one case a total and perpetual separation, in the other a partial one.'
X. I He has got the citizens of his state, he turns to their arrangemont.

тô̂s $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda] ~ C o m p a r e$. III. I. I .
$\delta \iota \eta \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ кат̀े $\left.\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta\right]$ The caste system.
èv Alүúntu] Herod. II. 164 .
$2 \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{M} l \nu \omega \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i \alpha \nu]$ Grote, I. 312 .

3 oi $\lambda b \gamma \iota o \iota$ ] Herod. I. 2, $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi $\lambda$ bo $\gamma \iota o$. Niebuhr, Rom. Hist. Vol. I. 16, considers that it is Antiochus of Syracuse from whom Aristotle is here quoting.









 Aüбovєs, тò $\delta_{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \alpha \pi v \gamma i a \nu$ каі тòv 'Ióvıov $\mathrm{X} \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \varsigma$,













ảк $\kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$ raúv $\eta \nu]$ 'This promontory,' in the sense in which the word occurs, Herod. iv. 38.

ṫขvòs ô̂бa] running southwards into the Ionian Sea, 'on the hither side' of the boundary given. The distance between the two gulfs is twenty miles, and is so small as to justify Aristotle's looking on the two as forming the boundary. So I explain the $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ in $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \in \iota \gamma \alpha \dot{\rho}$.

4 Oiv $\left.\omega \tau \rho o v{ }^{s}\right]$ On this see Niebuhr, Vol. 1. 14, 16, and pp. 55, 56, note 165. On каl $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ぞ $\tau \iota$, Grote III. 497.

بّккov $]$ On this compare Grote III. 466 , and note 1 , and for the Chaonians, III. 463 .
$\Sigma \iota \rho i \tau \iota \nu]$ Heyne's conjecture $\Sigma i \rho \iota \nu$, for $\Sigma \dot{v} \rho \tau o \nu$, is better than $\Sigma \dot{v} \rho \tau \tau \nu$, and is adopted by Mr. Grote, III. 463 , note 3. $\Sigma \iota \rho i \tau \iota \nu$ is here adopted from Niebuhr. Rom. Hist. Vol. 1. 18. "The Siritis so renowned among the Greeks."
$6 \pi o \lambda \dot{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ ' I say Egypt, for, \&c.'
$\left.7 \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho \rho^{\nu} \nu \varphi\right]$ Compare II. v. 16.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i a \nu]$ as opposed to duvarкaîa $\tau$ रú $\tau \omega \nu \mathrm{sc}$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \alpha \gamma_{\kappa} \alpha i \omega \nu$.
$8 \nu 6 \mu \omega \nu \quad \delta \epsilon]$ "von jeher." Stahr inserts omni hominum memoriâ ; there is no record of the time when they






















${ }^{\text {a }} \gamma^{\iota \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ Bekker.
were without laws and political arrangement.
$\epsilon l \rho \eta \mu$ évoss] Several editors wish to read $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o u s$, nor is Stahr averse to the change, which seems favoured by II. v. 16. Still it is not necessary. The sense is very good with elp $\eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu o t s$. Eth. x. x. 23, p. 118 I , b. Ј6. $\epsilon l^{\prime} \tau \iota$ $\kappa a \tau \dot{a} \mu e ́ \rho o s \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \eta \tau a \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega ิ$.
 tinct from the citizens themselves.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \phi \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s{ }^{\prime} \nu_{0} \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ кow $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ ] I prefer the dative participle to the accusative. 'But common by the use made of it in a friendly spirit.' Compare II. v. 6.

Io $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ $\sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota \tau i \omega \nu]$ And not only must each citizen be adequately supplied both for his own wants and the fair demands of liberality, 'but also I,' says Aristotle, 'in common with the general view, allow that a public mess is required,' \&c.
v̈́ $\tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho о \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu]$ As Spengel says, p. Io, note II, it may be that Ch. XII. is the treatment of the subject here referred to, but that is hardly adequate.
ov $\dot{p} \dot{\phi} \delta i o \nu]$ And as the poor cannot meet this demand, as well as their other wants, there must be some common stock.

 tron.
















II $\pi \rho \partial \delta_{s} \tau$ às $\left.\epsilon \in \chi \alpha \tau i a ́ s\right]$ 'on the bordens.'
ova $\omega s$ é $\chi \in \ell$ ] 'For so the division has fairness in it and justice; and with reference to the wars with neighbouring tribes, it has a very considerable tendency to produce unity of feeling.' I supply, it will be seen, the nominative to ${ }^{\prime} \chi \in \iota$.

12 Compare Thus. II. 21, the case of the Acharnians; also Numbers xxxiI. that of the tribes beyond Jordan.
 known.

13 ov̈т $\omega \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \not \alpha \nu]$ For if neither of these.
$\beta a \rho \beta$ ápous $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o t k o u s]$ Schneider insets $\ddot{\eta}$, and with some reason, looking at ix. 8, where, as here, the slaves are marked off first, and then two other classes are given, either barbarian periœci, or periœci of the same
race as the ruling body. But, on the whole, it is as well to keep the reading in the text. In the former passage Aristotle was merely enumerating the kinds that might, in fact, constitute the dependent population; here he is speaking of what is desirable, кат' $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$, and if he could not have slaves, he would wish that the periœci should, as the next best thing, be of a stock alien to the Greeks, and not dependent Greeks; and both as a matter of Greek feeling and from the lessons of Greek experience, he was justified in this his view.
$\mathbf{I}_{4}$ tov̀s $\left.\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'And these should be divided into classes; the one employed on the private estates of those who have the property should be themselves the private property of the owners, the other employed on the public land should be public.' iठlous $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кєкт $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.

 ӥ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ є’рои̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu$.


 т̀̀v $\theta_{\epsilon ́ \sigma \iota \nu} \epsilon \cup ้ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \imath ̂ ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon ́-~$













 be held out to all of them as a reward to be attained by exertion.'
$\ddot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \circ \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu]$ This is certainly not given in what we have of Axistote's work. It is one of the clearest passages in favour of its being a fragmont.
XI. I $\kappa о \iota \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \in \nu \delta \epsilon \chi \rho \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu]$ 'so far as circumstances will permit, equally open to,' \&c.
 the position of the city itself, and without reference to anything but itself.'

катaтvүхávє८>] 'That it may be fortunate in its position in four points.' $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \dot{\partial} \nu \not \partial \rho \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \mu \beta \hat{n}$ кататvхєìv is the passage quoted by L. and S. from

Demosthenes, Or. xviIi. 288. The difficulty in Aristotle is to make out clearly the four points.
 'Those which slope towards the east.' Compare Arnold's note on Thus. iII. 23, for the difference of the east wind in Greece and England.

є'́ $\chi \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \iota]$ 'For they have a milder winter.'

3 olkєîo ] 'of their own,' "within the town itself," Stair.
vino óoरás] 'recevoirs,' 'tanks.'
$\dot{v} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \nu \nu]$ 'so that the supply may never fail them when shut in.' This must be the sense, but it seems an uncommon one for $\dot{v} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau \nu$.
 a place favourable to health.'


















$\mu \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega s$ ] 'as a principal, not as a subordinate point.' The simple common sense of this passage requires no comments, and in the social arrangements of the ancient world this important point was not neglected. In more recent times it has been strangely overlooked, and in England, even now that its importance is being reconnised by all, it is far from being practically attended to ; partly from the indisposition to meet everything but the most crying evils, which is characteristic of the national mind; partly from the deficiency not pressing so much on any classes as on the poor, and the poor in all such matters are sacrificed to the ratepayers ; partly from the opposition of vested interests, which prevent vestries as the local power, and parliament as the central, from acting with vigour in any great sanitary
questions when the lives and interests of the millions are at stake. This is the case to an extent singularly discreditable to our boasted municipal and parliamentary institutions, whose power to impede progress is as visible as their power to forward it, and it is the former that at present seems in the ascendant.
$5 \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \dot{\prime} \pi \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \rho \nu \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ On this remark, as illustrated by the history of Greek and Italian republics or feudal Europe, I need not dwell. All students of history or polities will find it easy to illustrate.
$6 \dot{\eta} \delta i \omega \nu \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu]$ 'Though it is thought pleasanter.'

єย̈тоцоs] 'straight and well cut.'
${ }^{\prime}$ I $\pi \pi \sigma o \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \nu$ ㅍ. 8.
roivavtion]' the contrary system, as
it was.'
є̇к $\epsilon \nu \eta]$ ' that older plan.'



























7 ovacáóas] 'vines planted closely and irregularly,' say L. and S. ; but this does not seem to be the meaning here. It is rather vines planted in the quincunx. So in the arrangement of the town, the streets would not, like those of Philadelphia, run parallel and at right angles, but the blocks of houses would be so arranged as to front the openings of the streets.

8 入ía d do $\rho \chi a l \omega s$ ] 'are extremely antiquated in their ideas.'

кal $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta$ '] 'and that too though they see that the cities which prided themselves on that, are, when tested, found wanting.' Mr. Grote, x. 304, note 2, commenting on this passage, thinks it difficult to admit of Sparta.

9 є́vp $\eta \mu$ évov єis àkpißєєà] Pbilip of Macedon gave an immense development to the artillery.

II oủ öT ö] 'not merely.'
 The city.





 trates. The











 inventions." "ceux de la tactique moderne." St. Hil.
$12 \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu]$ means of defence.
گ̄тєî̀ каl фıлобофєî] 'seek by scientific methods.'
$\dot{d} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ This is equivalent to the Latin maxim, Si bellum vitare vis, bellum para.
 walls of themselves invite us.'
$2 \tau \grave{\alpha}$ кирьબ́тата, к. $\tau . \lambda$.] 'and the syssitia of the most important boards of magistrates.'
$\pi \nu \theta \delta \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \nu]$ In this he agrees with Plato, Rep. Iv. 427 B.

3 émıфávecá̀ $\tau \epsilon$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota, \kappa$. $\tau$. $\lambda$.] A close translation would hardly give what I conceive to be the meaning of this passage :-' which is both conspicuous enough to qualify it for an appropriate site for the men of eminent
merit whom the state may possess, and is not without considerable strength as regards the neighbouring parts of the city.' $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu$ é $\chi \epsilon t=$
 $\tau \grave{\partial} \partial \lambda \lambda o \chi^{\omega} \rho \iota o \nu . . \mu \bar{\epsilon} \chi \chi \iota \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$
 Stahr's translation of $\pi \rho \partial{ }_{s} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu$ is, "der geistigen Erhabenheit seiner Bestimmung würdig entspräche." St. Hil. translates it more nearly as I do. "Tel que l'exige la dignité des personnes qu'il recevra."
$\left.\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}\right]$ Comp. Grote, II. 37 I.
"In the Thessalian cities, though inhabited in common by Thessalian proprietors and their Penestae, the quarters assigned to each were to a great degree separated: what was called the Free Agora could not be trodden by any Penest except when specially summoned."
òvouá̧ov

























$\left.\pi \alpha \rho a \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \ell \nu\right]$ This word occurs，Eth． viI．xiv．6，p．II54，in the sense of ＇passing into．＇Here it means＇to approach，＇＇come near to．＇
$5 \tau \partial \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \quad \phi \dot{\beta} \beta o \nu]$＇Such fear as freemen may feel．＇

6 єúбvvá $\gamma \omega \gamma o \nu$ ］＇where may easily meet．＇
$\tau 亠 丷 厂 彡 \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ This is an odd statement． There nust be some mistake，for it is inconsistent with Ch．IX．If he means $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ，
so limited，the statement will hold；but even then the disjointed way in which he throws out els iepeîs，els áp $\rho o \nu \tau a s$ ， is remarkable．
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu-\tau \dot{a} \xi(\nu]$ is supplied，as Schneider says，harshly ；but it is the simplest word．

7 тàs к入خ́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota s$ ］＇the summons．＇
$\sigma v \nu \delta \delta \omega \tau \tau l$ кotvरु］＇in some public place of meeting．＇
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \nu i \omega \nu \dot{a} \gamma o p a ́ \nu, \S 5$.

The double $\mu \grave{\epsilon \nu} \theta \epsilon \sigma \hat{\imath} \tau \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \grave{\eta} \eta \omega \sigma \sigma \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{o} \delta_{\iota} \alpha \tau \rho \prime \beta \epsilon \iota \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta o \lambda o \gamma o v-$ agora.



 $\nu u ̂ \nu$.

13
 The consti- $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \mu$ é $\lambda \lambda о v \sigma \alpha \nu$ ё $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \mu \alpha к \alpha \rho i ́ \alpha \nu ~ к а \grave{~ \pi о \lambda \iota-~}$ tution.


















$$
\text { a } \check{c} \nu \partial \text { ò̀ } \tau \text { ás Bekker. }
$$

9 є $\left.\dot{x} \chi \hat{\eta} s{ }^{t} p \gamma o \nu\right]$ 'is a question of wishing.' Compare IV. i. He turns now from the $\dot{v} \pi \circ \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$, the necessary conditions of his state, which he must have allowed him, to the constitution itself, to that which depends on man's exertion and skill and not on fortune.
XIII. 2 From this point down to the end of $\$ 8$, or very nearly, I have enclosed in brackets, as an unnecessary interruption of the reasoning.
civ $\delta \hat{c} \tau d \dot{d} s]$ To make the sentence complete there should be inserted $\epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\varphi}$.
 p. 1142-31.
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \kappa \kappa є \iota \tau a l\right]$ 'stands out well and distinctly.' $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \delta \hat{\delta}$. The apodosis.

кратєī $\theta a r$ ] 'both must be mastered.'
3 є'亏ovoia] 'have the opportunity.'
тoútov] would be more regularly raút $\eta$ s, agreeing as it does in sense with $\chi$ op $\eta$ rias.
 Y










 $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \iota o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{o} \nu \not ้ \nu \delta \rho \alpha \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$













4 cúvís] 'from the commencement.'
 IX. 3 .

6 à $\left.\pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s\right]$ This expression occurs in III. iv. 5. 'Though they are the results of a virtue, yet they are not more than is necessary; what noble element they have is not satisfactory; they are right, but they are not more than right, there is a meagreness about them. They are of the character of a necessary evil.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ tàs $\tau \tau \mu \mathrm{d} s$ ] sc. $\phi^{\prime}$ pooval.
 p. IIOI. I.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тou's $\left.\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa o u{ }^{\prime}\right]$ The reference is Eth. IV. vi., p. 1113.15.

8 ràs $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ] Comp. II. VI. 9. 'The use of the goods of fortune- $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́кто̀s á $\gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$,'
$\delta \iota o ̀ k a l]$ Men have not seen that it is in their use and not in themselves that happiness consists, and not seeing this, have thought them, by a confusion, the causes of happiness.








 $\epsilon \in \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha \iota o v s \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha \iota, \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o \nu \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$,


 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ф $\hat{v} \nu \alpha \iota \delta_{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \nu$, oîo $\nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \nu \theta \omega \pi \sigma \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$







 ${ }^{\text {a }} \tau \epsilon$ Bekker.
dขaүкаiov $\tau o l v \nu \nu]$ The sense would be quite clear if this were brought into immediate connexion with the first sentence of the chapter. 'From all that we have said, it follows as a necessary consequence that of the requisites for a state some must be assumed, they are the gift of fortune, others must be provided by the legislator; and, therefore, so far as the points are concerned which depend on fortune, the constitution of our state is a mere question of wishing.'
киріау $\gamma$ á $_{\rho}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda_{\text {. }}$ ]'for, that fortune is supreme, we assume.'
${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ каl $\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] Knowledge and will, the two conditions of
all right action ; the $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\omega} s$ кai $\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho o u ́-$ $\mu \in \nu 0$ of Eth. iI. iii. 3, p. 1105, 3 r. $\left.\eta \eta_{\mu \hat{\nu} \nu} \delta \epsilon\right]$ ' and in our view.'
ro $\epsilon l$ mávtas, к. $\tau . \lambda$. .] 'granting that it is possible.'
oüt $\omega$ ] 'this latter way.'
II $\tau \grave{a} ~ \tau \rho i a]$ Comp. Eth. x. x. 6, p. $1_{79}, 6,20$, where $\delta i \delta a \chi \dot{\eta}$ takes the place of $\lambda 6$ रos here.
$\epsilon \dot{\nu}$ dá $\tau \epsilon$ ] better $\delta \epsilon$.
$\delta \iota \grave{~} \tau \eta$ ŋ̂s $\phi \dot{\theta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'By nature$ uncertain, capable of either turn; fixed by habits for evil or for good.'
$12 \tau \hat{n}$ фט́ $\sigma \epsilon \ell]$ 'instinct,' 'natural impulse.'
 Comp. I. II. 10.






 ̀̀ $\dot{\alpha}$, ${ }^{2}$




















13 єủ $\chi є \rho \omega ́ \tau o u s$ ] ' manageable.' $\pi \rho \delta \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho \circ \nu] \mathrm{Ch}$. VII.
$\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a s]$ in the widest sense, taking the child at the earliest stage. 'For men learn partly by practice, partly by precept.'
XIV. 1 тоиิтo $\delta \grave{\eta}$ бкєாтє́oע] This point was virtually settled in III. IV. $2 \epsilon l \mu \hat{\lambda} \nu \tau o l \nu v \nu]$ Compare I. v. 8.
фavєןà̀ $\tau 0 i ̂ s$ ḋ $\rho \chi 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o t s]$ 'evident to the ruled.
$3 \Sigma \kappa v ́ \lambda \alpha \xi]$ of Caryanda, Smith, Biogr. Dict., where it is mentioned, 759 a, that Aristotle is the first writer who refers to him.
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a s]$ depends on $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
ó $\mu$ ot $\omega \mathrm{s}$ ] ' on equal terms,' III. xvi.
3, and note on $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ \tau \omega s$.
4 oi кат̀̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \omega \bar{\omega} \rho \alpha \nu]$ 'all who, resident in the country, are not citizens.' $\left.\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu\right]$ ' on the other hand.'

Is the du－$\mu \epsilon \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \xi^{\xi} o v \sigma \iota, \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \tau o ̀ \nu \nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu . \quad \epsilon \ell \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \delta_{\epsilon} \pi \rho o ́-$


















 The ob－ jets of education． f




[^5]5 ave $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota \tau a \dot{v} \tau \delta \nu]$＇that which is in kind the same．＇
$\left.\kappa а \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i \alpha \nu\right]$＇on the ground of age．＇
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \in \nu]$＇to have this privi－ loge in his turn，when he shall have reached the proper age．＇E＇pavov is used in a very general sense．
 is done，but in the object for which it is done．＇
$\kappa a i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ＇even to the$ young freeman．＇
 ध̈кабтоу，Eth．．III．10，6，p． $111_{5}, 6,22$.

8 er $\pi \epsilon l \delta \epsilon$ ，к．$\tau$ ．入．］This is discussed
at considerable length in III．Iv． $\pi о$ \lvov каi atp $\chi$ оутos must，in accord－ ane with that chapter，be taken very closely together．＇The virtue of the citizen，if that citizen be also a ruler， and that of the best man，are identi－ cal．＇то入itov каi ar $\rho \chi$ оутos answers to the $\tau \iota \nu$ os $\pi$ o入itov of III．Iv． 9 ．
ö $\left.\pi \omega s \gamma^{i} \gamma \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha l\right]$ I adopt this reading in preference to Dekker＇s＇how good citizens are formed，＇with an interro－ gative sense，that is，and not a final one．

9 ס $\grave{\prime} \rho \eta \tau a l]$ Eth．I．xiii．p． 1 102；vi． ii，p．Ј 39 A ．
 "









 тồ фúбєı $\beta \in \lambda \tau i ́ o v o s ~ \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ є i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta u v a \mu e ́ v o ı s ~ \tau u \gamma-~$












$\delta \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu]$ should be $\delta$ ívaтą. There is a similar inaccuracy in I. v. 9 .

Io $\delta \iota \grave{\rho \eta \tau a i] s c . ~} \dot{\delta} \lambda 6$ nos. 'Th ereason,'


II $\dot{\omega} \sigma a v ́ t \omega s$ ] 'To correspond with this division then must the part evidently be divided, and the actions of either division will admit of a corresponding division again.'
 ing: 'The actions of the higher part are more to be chosen by those who have it in their power to do so, than the actions of all the parts of our na-
tore, or of the two parts concerned in moral action,' the $\sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon \tau \delta \nu$ of Eth. x. 7, 8, p. ${ }_{117}$, b. 28? Does he mean that we ought, if we can, exclusively to cultivate the highest part, to the entire exclusion, that is, of the lower. It is very difficult; yet this would give a sense.

12 каl $\left.\pi \hat{a}_{s}\right]$ 'a further division is that of all life, \&c.'
$\kappa a i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ carelessly stated.
 $\sigma \iota \mu a, \tau a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \kappa \alpha \lambda a ́$.

In $\left.\alpha^{i} \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ equivalent to $\delta \iota a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$.
 of educa-







 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma i ́ \mu o v s ~ \epsilon i v a l ~ \delta о к о и ́ \sigma а s ~ к а \grave{i} \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s . ~$

















$$
{ }^{\text {a }} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \text { Bekker. } \quad \text { b } \notin \tau \iota \text { Bekker. }
$$

15 фортıкผ̂s] 'assez peu noblement,' St. Hil. 'in a low and vulgar spirit.'
$\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha s]$ 'the more grasping.'
$16 \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \kappa \tau \alpha l]$ 'have been thoroughly convicted now by experience.'
$17 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] I incline to the reading of one MS., $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta}$ रop $\eta \gamma i \alpha]$ 'a large supply of the goods of fortune.'
$\theta[\beta \rho \omega \nu]$ unknown.
18 ย̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \delta \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}]$ seems a better reading than ${ }^{\prime} \tau \iota$. There is no new argument advanced.
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'though they re-$ main constant to his laws, and though there is no hindrance to their obeying them.'

19 1, 5, 2. $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon l \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau l \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota 6 \nu \omega \nu$.























[^6]$\kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}]$ I read，instead of $\kappa \rho \alpha-$ $\tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ，which Reiske saw the diffi－ culty of，and wished to leave it out． картєрєîv agrees well with II．ix．6，
 whereas крatєì jars with ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota$ ． ＇He trained tbem to endurance，with a view to their getting sway over their neighbours．＇
$20 \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu \gamma \alpha^{\alpha} \rho$ ］＇For it is clear that if this end is a right one，it will hold good for the individual citizen．＇
 which the Lacedæmonians blame in Pausanias．＇He was not king，but regent；but，as Mr．Grote says，with
＂all the power of a Spartan king， and seemingly more，＂Vol．v． 362 ， note 2.

тo入ı兀८кós］＇consistent with a free constitution．＇

21 $\tau a \hat{v} \tau d]$ is superfluous，or кal should be inserted after коь $\nu \hat{\eta}$ ．

War，to be justifiable，must be either defensive，or with beneficial purposes，such as it answered in the ancient civilization ；or，thirdly，to carry out the intentions of nature，I． VIII．12．$\dot{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \bar{s}$ ย̈бтal，к．т．入．

22 катактๆба́ $\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota]$ Comp．II．IX． 34．Grote，II． 550.
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$\left.\beta a \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu\right]$ 'They lose their temper.' ova $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v ́ \sigma a s]$ 'because he never trained them.'
 characteristics.'
$\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi b \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$.
2 'None of the virtues are use-
less. Some may be more especially those of the active life; others, those of the contemplative, but all are
needed. For, to enjoy leisure, you must secure certain requisites.'
$3 \phi_{i} \lambda_{0 \sigma o \phi i a s]}$ 'intellectual cultivation. The $\sigma o \phi i a$ of Aristotle, $\phi \rho \delta$ $\nu \eta \sigma$ rs of Plato; both are included under the term.
$4 \pi \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \kappa a \rho i \zeta \circ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ] 'all things that in the judgment of men are the constituents of happiness.'
$5 \delta \dot{\sigma} \tau \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] This and the next$ section, as far as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$, are paren-



















thetical. $\delta \iota \delta \tau \iota$ may be either ' why' or 'that,' the latter more probably.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \jmath$ j sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \eta \theta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$.
a $2 \delta \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \epsilon s]$ In illustration of this compare Eth. I. 3. 3, p. 1095, b.
 фаіроутає $\beta о \sigma к \eta \mu а ́ т \omega \nu ~ \beta i o \nu ~ \pi \rho о \alpha \iota \rho о и ́-~$ $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$, 'like slaves brutish in their pleasures.'
$6 \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu 0 \mu i \zeta \epsilon(\nu]$ ' by their having a different standard from others.' $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ depends on $\nu o \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 virtue.' Their error is as to the virtue.
 $\nu \epsilon \rho \delta \nu$. By $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ are meant $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha-$ $\rho \iota \zeta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$, of § 4. $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \sigma \iota s \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ єủrvxlas, каl rò $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha ́ S \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon l \rho \eta^{-}$ $\nu \eta$ s.
A. $P$.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ again $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, or $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \partial \dot{s} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o \lambda\langle a \nu \kappa a l \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$.
$\delta i^{\prime}$ av́r $\left.\dot{\eta} \nu\right]$ better than aviríp, 'and that it is self-dependent.'
$\pi \hat{\omega} s \delta \epsilon$ the apodosis.
7 тovit $\omega \nu$ ] 'With regard to these.' $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ It is only a question of priority, not of the exclusion of either.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] 'for they must harmonise, \&c.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \in \tau \alpha a \iota \gamma{ }^{\rho} \rho$ ] 'for it is possible that even the reason may have gone wrong, and not formed a right conception of the true primary idea of life, and yet that the man may have been trained by habits as well as if the reason had not so erred.' This is one sense, but I am not sure that it is the right one. Stahr thinks it means, that man may be led astray by his reason as well as by his training.
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 $\psi \sim \chi \hat{\eta} s$.









the child is not the first step in the process.' $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l$, 'It is the end from a given beginning, and is itself the beginning of another end.' But the language is obscure.
$\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\delta}$ रó $\quad$ os] 'Now in the nature of man the ultimate end of all is his reason and his intellect. As these then are the ultimate end, all must be subservient to them, the mere natural birth itself and the moral discipline,' $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 I 139 . 8.
 ё $\chi$ ovtos $=\tau o \hat{v} \nu \frac{0}{}$.

Iо каl $\gamma \in \nu о \mu$ évoıs $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{\prime} s]$ 'immediately after their birth.'
XVI. I $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s\right]$ 'From the beginning,' as alluded to in xv. 8 . It is the marriage of the parents.

2 бvरкатаßаip $\omega \sigma \iota$ ] 'may come down together,' 'in their ages there may be no disproportion,' "dans un rapport convenable," St. Hil.
























$\left.\delta a \alpha \delta o \chi \eta \eta^{\prime}\right]$ 'the succession of the children.'
$3 \dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota s \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu]$ 'The natural pleasure which children give.'
$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ olкоуо $\mu[a \nu]$ ' In the management of the family very great nearness in point of age gives a great opening for disputes.'
4 iváp $\chi \eta$ ] 'may be ready for,' Ch. IV. iII.
$\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ ] 'It is quite possible then to secure all these objects by one and the same care.'

5 むрเбтal] 'is limited.'
$\kappa a \tau \alpha \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ] 'to be regulated upon, to be made to adapt itself to.'

6 'zroova] This is Bekker's reading, yet in $\S 16$ it is | $\kappa$ |
| :---: |
| $\kappa$ | fruit of the womb."

$\left.\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa a \hat{o} \nu \nu\right]$ He rests very confidently on the argument from other animals. The question is one which entirely concerns us as animals.
$\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \pi \tau \chi \omega \rho \iota \alpha ́ S \epsilon \tau a l\right]$ 'it is a prevailing custom.'
$7 \tau \delta \nu \nu \quad \chi \rho \sigma \mu \dot{\partial} \nu] \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \quad \tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \epsilon \quad \nu \epsilon \dot{a} \nu$ đлока. So St. Hilaire gives it in his note. Comp. Grote II. 5 ro.























8 тàs ék $\kappa \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ mot єî $\theta \theta a l]$ Plato de

$\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho \partial ̀ s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu a \ddot{\xi} \eta \sigma \omega \nu]$ 'injured for growth.'

тoútov] sc. $\tau o \hat{v} a \hat{z} \xi a ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$.
$8 \nu$ out,$\kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'beyond which the$ body does not continue to grow.'
${ }_{9}$ Plato de Rep. v. 460 , $\mathbf{~}$, names 20 as the woman's prime, 30 as the man's.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}]$ Spengel wishes to read $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon$, p. 9, note ir. The reason is that 35 is $7 \times 5$. But if Aristotle married himself at 37 (and it could not have been earlier), it might account for this slight deviation. In any case, the interval is greater than quite accords with the prevalent
notions in our own time. Even Plato's interval would be thought too great by many.

$\left.\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta s \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \hat{\eta}_{S}\right]$ ' at the beginming of their prime,' vt. 30 .
qoîs $\delta \hat{\epsilon}]$ roîs rovev̂ol, 'when their age is now already in its decline,' "stricken in years."
ouvavNiav] An odd use of the word, though the connection of this meaning with its commoner one of 'duet,' 'concert,' may be traced easily.
II These minute regulations point to the much greater attention paid to the sound bodily condition by the Greeks than by modern nations.
$\lambda o \nu . ~ \Pi o i \omega \nu ~ \delta ' \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \alpha \rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ Marriage.




















$\left.12 b^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \lambda o s \epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right] \quad \not \partial \nu$ is required.
є̇ $\left.\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha{ }_{\iota}\right]$ Eth. vi. 13. 8, p. 1144 ,
 $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ aust $\hat{\nu} \nu$, 'we must concentrate more attention on the subject.'
$\left.\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi i a \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta \eta^{\nu}\right]$ 'in good state of body, such as the citizen requires it.'
$\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \cup \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ '] 'requiring constant attention, and always suffering,' the invalid state.

13 $\pi \epsilon \pi \circ \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu]$ 'strong by exertimon.'
$\left.\pi \rho \partial े s{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \alpha\right]$ sc. $\pi \delta^{\prime} \nu \partial \nu$.
$\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i \omega \nu]$ We should have expetted $\epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$.

14 ápalâ] 'scanty food.'
$\kappa \alpha \theta$ ' huć $\rho a \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' d a i l y ~ t o ~ t a k e$.
a certain walk in discharge of the service due to the gods whose province it is to preside over birth.'
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon[\alpha \nu]$ "a regular service,"
L. \& S. I prefer the meaning given above. "Gur Vollziehung einer Gottesverehrung," Stahr.
àroخ av́ovta] 'affected by.'
$15 \delta \iota \alpha ̀ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\delta \hat{\text { en }} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ ] 'But not on account of the number of children, supposing that the arrangements of the social state forbid beyond a certain number, must it be allowed to abandon any child born.'

む$\left.\rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho\right]$ This is in explanation of $\kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \eta$. 'For in our own state there is fixed a limit to the production of children.' For the matter in ques-

Marriage. $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho \grave{a} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\sigma v \nu \delta \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$, $\pi \rho \grave{\nu}$ aı゙ $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$



















Early education.






 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\eta} \delta_{\iota} \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ $\delta i \quad \dot{a} \pi \alpha \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha a$

tion compare Plato, De Rep. v. 460, c. who agrees with Aristotle.
 Compare Rhet. II. 14, p. 1390, b. 11, where forty-nine is the age fixed on. 7 $\times 7$.

XVII. I oü $\epsilon \sigma \theta a l]$ sc. $\delta \in \hat{\epsilon}$.
ois $\dot{\epsilon} \pi[\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ ] 'to whom it is a great object.'
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu \circ \tau \epsilon \rho a]$ 'the less wine the better,' a precept far too much neglected at present.

2 т $\quad$ 入єкои́т $\omega \nu$ ] 'when quite young.'

тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ то七єî $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\iota}$

Early education.













 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha . \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha i \delta_{\imath}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$ каi $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota a ̂ s$.











${ }^{\text {s }}$ à $\rho \chi \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \varphi \varphi$ Bekker.
$\left.3 \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi{ }^{\mu} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu\right]$ Stahr adopts this reading, and it seems an improvement on Bekker's $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \varphi$.
cúфuńs] 'is naturally adapted for this training.'
$4 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu]$ sc. $\dot{\eta} \lambda_{\iota \kappa}\{\alpha \nu$.
dгаүкаious] 'compulsory.'
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ d̀ $\rho \gamma$ la $\nu$ T $\hat{\nu} \nu \sigma \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu]$ 'a lazy
habit of body.'
$\eta \nu]$ sc. $\kappa\{\nu \eta \sigma \omega \nu$.
5 d̀vє $\mu$ évas] 'uncontrolled.'
$\pi \rho \circ o \delta o \pi o t \epsilon i \nu]$ ' prepare the way for,'
II. IX. II.

6 סıađá $\sigma \epsilon t s]$ 'stretchings,' 'exertions.'
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} \nu$ тoîs $\nu$ b $\left.\mu \mathrm{ots}\right]$ de Legg. viI. 792.
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 $\epsilon i$ ц̀̀ $\pi a \rho a ́ ~ \tau \iota \sigma \iota ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ \tau o 九 o u ́ т o ı s ~ o i ̂ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau \omega \theta a \sigma \mu \grave{\nu}$


$7 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau 0 \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \delta c a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu]$ ' both the way in which they pass their time in general.'
$\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ 'They must be with slaves in some degree, for at this age they must be at home.'

каi т $\eta \lambda \iota \kappa o u ́ \tau o u s$ ] 'even at this tender age.'
$8 \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \not \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \quad \tau \iota]$ the same expression occurs, VIII. (V.) viII. 2.
$\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu\right]$ 'away from the young,' he had said $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, and repeats the same proposition.

9 катак入l $\sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ] 'a seat at the public mess.'
 Eur. Hip. 1004, 5, Did., quoted in illustration of this by Raoul Rochette, quoted in Stahr,
$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega \kappa \lambda \lambda \hat{v} \omega \nu$
$\gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$.
$10 \tau \omega \theta \alpha \sigma \mu{ }^{2} \mathrm{l}$ ] 'jesting.'
 some editors to change this dative for the accusative. Compare Stahr on the passage who retains the dative, and translates it with reference to the Gods, "an diesen erlaubt," u.s.w. This seems to me difficult with the dative. I think it is good sense if construed in its most natural sense, with an adverbial modification, 'in addition to this,' 'besides.' ' Be sides there is no reason for bringing the young into contact with such things at all, as the law permits those of a more suitable age to honour the


















 $\tau \grave{\eta \nu} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \quad \stackrel{\eta}{\beta} \beta \eta$ каі




> a кал⿳⺈⿴\zh11⿰一一s Bekker.

Gods on behalf of themselves，their wives，and children．＇
$\tau \mu \mu \lambda \phi \in \epsilon \nu]$ L．and S．on this word quote it from Pindar and Eschylus， but state that it is rare in prose．

II lá $\mu \beta \omega \nu$ ］an iambic poem，a lampoon，in this sense usually plural． L．and S．on this passage．Spengel， p．9，note II，remarks that somewhere or other in his work Aristotle must have expressed himself on the ques－ tion，whether Homer and the trage－ dians should，as Plato wished，be banished from the state．
$12 \dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau a s]$ above，Ch．XVI． XII．

I3 Theodorus，mentioned Demosth． Fals．Leg 418.
 ground that the spectators adapted themselves，＇\＆c．Compare Plato， Protagoras，326，в．
 ill will．＇
${ }^{15}$ oủ как̂̂s］Such I agree with Spengel，p．8，note II，and with Muretus，Vol．iII． 76 ，ed．Ruhn－ ken and Rhet．II．14，p．1390，b．10，




 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu), \tau \rho i ́ \tau o \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi o i ́ \alpha \nu \tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu$.
should be the reading, not $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega}$. 'For they who divide the ages of man's life by periods of seven years as a general rule are tolerably right, only it must not be pressed too strictly, but the division of nature herself must be followed,' and she draws no rigid line in this case. The age of puberty is variable within certain limits, Carpenter, Manual of Physiology, § 788. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha$ रà $\left.\rho \tau^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ Compare Eth. I. iv. 15, p. 1097, 5, To ṫvò $\epsilon$ ès $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \tau \varsigma \eta \tau o v a \sigma a \iota$. 'For it is the object of all man's art, and that particular
branch of it which is directed to the fashioning of men, education, to supply that which nature leaves wanting.' Compare Plato, I. 34I, II. E.

I6 Three questions started. Is there to be a system of education? Is it to be public or private? What is it to be? The first two are answered perfectly, as, in fact, they admit of a ready answer. The second is only partially answered, many of its most important elements either received no treatment or it has been lost.

## BOOK V. (VIII.) SUMMARY.

IT is not denied that education is one of the highest objects of the legislator's attention. It is not to be denied, secondly, that it should be one and uniform-public, and not left to individual caprice. What the education shall be, and the system adopted? this, the third question, is not so easily answered. It suggests many others. Is it intellect or the moral nature that you want to train more especially? Then, in the things taught, shall they be those which are practically useful, or those which conduce to excellence, or shall they be the higher subjects of speculation? Just touching on the evident limits, that all useful things cannot be discarded, and that no illiberal study is to be pursued, Aristotle proceeds to consider the actually existing system. There are in this system four branches. Reading, with its accompaniments; gymnastics, or bodily training; music and design. The first and fourth are taught as useful, the second as tending to form brave men. It is on the question of music that the issue may be taken. The discussion that immediately follows we need not dwell on, as it is only a statement of the various difficulties and the subject is resumed later. The only result definitely is, that he sees good reason for refusing to submit, in all cases, to the test of mere utility in the ordinary sense of the term. Remembering his order of education given in the last book, he turns to that which comes first, the bodily training, gymnastics (Ch. I-III.).

In regard to this, we must beware of two evils into which others have fallen, some have aimed too much at forming an athletic habit of body, others have aimed at too fierce a character. In both the type is too low, and in the last case, that of the Lacedæmonians, the education given has been proved a failure by experience. His regulations are-light and easy training till the age of puberty, so as to favour the growth. The three years after this age are to be devoted to acquiring the rudiments of knowledge. Then severe bodily training and hard diet may be introduced. In no case are body and mind to be worked hard at the same time (Ch. IV.).

Passing to the question of music: Is it taught as an amuse-
ment, a recreation ? or as a training, an educational accomplishment, a means to an end, which end secured, the means may be thrown aside? or is it taught as something additional, an ornament of life in its highest form, when the man has passed the restlessness of childhood, ever in want of amusement; has passed the struggles of youth and earlier manhood, the period of learning, of discipline, of formation of character; and has reached the settled state of life and mature manhood, to be spent not in business or in war, but as a period of rest and peaceful contemplation? Music is for all of these, is the answer. It amuses-it influences the character-it is a high intellectual pleasure. Has not the soul itself been said to be a harmony? (Ch. V.).

There remains for consideration the question, How is it to be taught? By actual practice, is the answer, stopping short of course of professional skill, and therefore discarding all that tends to form that, both as to the music learnt and the instruments on which it is learnt. No kind of music is to be rejected absolutely, it will find its place and audience somewhere, but different music is of course used in education from that which would be allowed on other oceasions. The Dorian music is especially favoured from the educational point of view (Ch. VI. VII.).

The question whether Aristotle left the book in the state in which we have it, whether he ever developed more fully his treatise on education, is one we have no means of answering. But it seems difficult to dispute the conclusion that the treatment of the subject is incomplete, and that this book contains but a fragment of it. If we turn to the conclusion of the first book (I. 13, 15, 16.) we shall, I think, be inclined to suspect that a very large portion of his work has been lost to us, as much as two or three books very probably. For even granting that the subject of music, in Aristotle's sense of the term, is complete, there remains the kindred subject of poetry, its influence and its proper place in education. In itself this must have attracted his attention, and its full treatment by Plato in the second and third books of his Republic, is enough, for any one who estimates duly the close connexion between Aristotle's moral and political theories and those of Plato, to convince him that, either in intention or in fact, Aristotle also treated it systematically. The same consideration also applies to the question of the family. In respect to that, we may be quite sure that Aristotle was not content with the ncgative view of the second book, but that the criticism there given was meant to be but the clearing of the ground for the erection of his own positive views,-a dogmatical con-
struction antagonistic to that of Plato. I might take other points and argue in the same way. But the two mentioned are sufficient. Other omissions will be most clearly appreciated by any reader of the Republic who will thoughtfully compare the points treated in it with those treated by Aristotle. My conclusion would be that not only is this fifth book a fragment, but that we have between it and the sixth a gap of considerable extent which nothing now can supply. And I should conjecture that it was the sense of this that led the earliest arrangers of the work to place the fifth book last. They argued that a work would be unfinished at the end, not in the middle, so when they met evident traces of incompleteness, there they concluded was the point where the author had broken off his labours. Be this conjecture erroneous or not, the common arrangement stands so much in the way of a right understanding of the work, that I have not hesitated to change it.

## IO

 question.











Of the three questions started at the end of the last book, the first, $\epsilon i \pi o \neq \eta-$ $\tau \epsilon \bar{\nu} \tau d \dot{\xi} \iota \nu \quad \tau \iota \nu a \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i$ tov̀s $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s$, is answered in the first two sections of this book, and answered affirmatively. Each constitution requires for its establishment and maintenance a certain character in its citizens. This must be formed in them. Besides this reason, in our ideal state, in which the aim is, to have all the citizens virtuous, certain previous instruction and training will be necessary, for virtue requires this for its practice as much as any other faculty or act in man. The necessity of this previous training for virtuous action was pointed out Eth. II. i..p. 1103, b. 21 ; and that this training is best given in the state is made clear in Eth. x. x. p. ri 79. So that a lengthened discussion of the point here would have been superfluous.
I. I $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau \alpha} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \in \nu$ ] 'must of absolute necessity direct his attenlion.'
 of this,' $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \quad \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi b \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, 'in existing states.'
$2 \tau \delta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ And this cannot be without education, for education alone can form the character which is require in its citizens by each constitution.
$\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu]$ Stengel proposes $\beta \epsilon \lambda$ $\tau \iota o v$, but the change is unnecessary; 'the best character in each case will have the effect in each case of ameliorating the constitution.'
 performance of each,' a $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \in \hat{\imath} \mu a \theta \delta \nu-$ mas $\pi$ ot eív tâ̂ta $\pi$ тotô̂vтєs $\mu a \nu \theta a ́ \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu$, Eth. II. i. 4. p. $\mathrm{IIO}_{3} 3^{2}$.

3 In sections 3 and 4, we have the answer to the second question, $\pi \delta \sigma \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$















 $\dot{v} \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta$ ávovaı $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \mu a \nu \theta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ тoùs véous oüтє $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ ~ \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ кal Bekker.
$\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \delta \nu, \kappa . \pi . \lambda$.$] 'The education$ must be one and the same, public, and not left, as it is now left, to individuals ;' $\delta \nu \tau \rho \delta \pi o \nu$ connects closely with кat' isiav. Compare Eth. x. x. p. II79. By changing the stopping at $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$, the next remark is brought into as close a connexion as possible with what precedes. Education is now private, but it is a national interest, and should not be treated as a private one.
$4 \ddot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \delta \epsilon \in, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] this is the true form of Socialism, or rather it is the truth which that word might express, had not all kinds of errors grouped themselves around it, so as to make it almost hopeless to use it, from the misconceptions on the one side of its advocates or disciples, from the passionate and unreasoning horror of many of its opponents on the other. I will content myself here with stating that I think there is a true Socialism,
and that even in its erroneous interpretations of that truth, what is called Socialism is nobler than that which greets it with such hatred, the dominant selfishness of the majority.

каì тô̂to] It is better to read кaтà тои̂то, Sylburg's correction. The кal makes no sense.
Дакє $\delta a \iota \mu о \nu i o u s]$ 'The Lacedæmonian system,' says Mr. Lewis (Authority, \&c. p. 320), 'was too extensive an interference with parental authority and natural affection for imitation, even by the most military republics of antiquity, such as the Roman; and in modern times no such entire substitution of the political for domestic control over children is likely to be seriously entertained.'
II. I $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ] the things to be taught.' So Stahr, "die Gegenstände."

 what should be taught and why.











$\pi \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta$ óávocav] 'ought education to aim at the cultivation of the intellect, or rather at the formatimon of the moral character?' The opposition between ofávola and $\eta \theta$ os is the same as that Eth. I. xiii. p. IIO3, 5, and the question was discussed IV. xv. 9, 10 .
$\left.{ }_{2} \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \delta \omega \nu\right]$ 'actual,' 'in the way.' There is an ambiguity in the word, which perhaps did not escape Aristotle, though it may be safest to take it as a simply neutral term, 'the education which meets us when we begin our inquiries on the subject.' In his day, as in ours, the question of education was not to be solved by an appeal to practical experience. The only answer returned was a confused one, and must be so, as the actual educatimon was not based on any well determined, well understood principles. In fact, the object to be aimed at was yet entirely a matter under discussion, and some would have nothing taught but merely that which in the most ordinary sense was useful for life.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \dot{\alpha}]$ Eth. vi. vii. 5, p. 174I, b. 6, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \theta a \nu \mu a \sigma \tau \grave{a}$ каl $\chi$ х $\lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{\alpha}$ кal $\delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu ı a$, 'res divinæ ac
naturales,' Vict. ; 'die höheren speculativenWissenschaften,' Stahr. This seems the meaning ultimately, but the translation is not easy, for 'the higher branches of science' seems to lose the proper sense of the word, which, in the mouths of those who use it with reference to education, has somewhat of a sneer in it, ' out-of-the-way knowledge,' the 'ideology' of the elder Napoleon, the 'theory' or 'philosophy' of men of ordinary education amongst ourselves.
$\kappa \rho \iota \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon]$ Metaph. i. viii. 89, a. 7. ed. Bonita. 'auctores,' 'judyments in their favour.'
$\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \epsilon, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'and if we adopt$ the second object, and say that our education should tend to virtue, still there is no agreement.'
ci $\dot{\theta}$ 'ss] 'for at the outset it is not the same virtue that all value.'

3 Compare I. viI. The clause $\delta$ 't $\iota$ $\delta e ̀ ~ o u ́ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau a$ is not quite regular, but there is no difficulty in the meaning. ' It is clear that we must not have all useful things taught; it is clear, in fact, that we must have them taught only so far as, \&c.' Compare, for the question of $\beta$ ávavool, III. v.
















效 branches


 tions à gages．＇Compare I．xi．3， 4，for $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \rho \nu i a$ ．The comparison of the two passages would seem to limit the expression here，as the French translation limits it，to the exclusion of the artizan class．

 some of the sciences which freemen may know．＇
$\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \quad \tau \iota \nu o s]$＇though up to a certain point．＇
$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \delta \rho \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 化］＇Yet to devote oneself too assiduously to them，with a view to attain perfection in them．＇

6 Compare V．（VII．）xiv．7．mod－ $\lambda \alpha ́ к \iota s ~ \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$＇might well be thought to be doing a menial and servile thing．＇
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \notin \nu \alpha \iota]$＇in vogue，in use；＇ Eth．1．iii．8，p．1096，9，каitoı $\pi$ о入入ol

 of more than one interpretation，＇IV． （VII．）XIII．If．

III．I The fourfold division of Greek education．In the Republic， Book II．，Plato gives only the twofold division with $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ and $\mu 0 v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$ ． In the first both agree．It is the second which Aristotle takes in a more restricted sense than Plato，and limits it to music in the modern sense； whereas in Plato it stands for the whole mental training，as distinct from the bodily．
$\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha]$＇reading and writing，＇ the elements of letters．
$\left.\gamma \rho a \phi \iota \kappa \eta^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}$＇the arts of design－draw－ ing．＇
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma$ lupus $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ òv $\beta l o \nu]$＇as practi－ cally useful for the ordinary purposes of daily life，and available at every turn．＇

The four branches branches of ordinary , , , Greek













$\dot{\omega} s$ $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon i v o v \sigma \alpha \nu]$ ' as an element in the formation of courage,' so necessary for the Greek citizen.
 the score of utility, mere usefulness, is least clear in the case of music. So music, in its limited sense, is taken as the battle-ground for this question of utility in the general, and it is his so taking it that sufficiently explains the limited sense he chooses to attach to the word.
$2 \nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \dot{c} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ 'For although at the present day most learn it as for the sake of the pleasure, yet originally, those who made it a branch of education did not adopt this defence.'
aür $\eta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}]$ This $a \cup ้ \tau \eta$ might be referred to $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota$, but it is better to take it as referring to $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}$, containe in $\sigma \chi o \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, or perhaps, without any definite reference to either of the two terms, it may be translated, 'for here, in the position just laid down, that the nature of man looks not merely to exertion, but also to the right management of leisure, we have
the principle of all things, the fundmental position from which we start.' Stair's translation is, 'den dies Müsse, um es notch einmal au sagen, iss Ground and Kiel aller Geschäftigreit.'
 if whilst both, activity and leisure, must be attended to, leisure is yet the preferable object of attention, it follows that we cannot possibly escape the inquiry in what we are to employ our leisure.'
$\tau \epsilon \dot{\lambda}$ os $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \hat{\imath} \nu \nu]$ ' For in that case amusement were the end of life.' In Eth. x. vi. p. 11ヶ6, we have the same point discussed.
$4 \dot{e} v \tau$ tais $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o \lambda l a c s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.] ~ a m u s e-$ ments, games, \&c., are but the recreations of one immersed in business, their object being to enable him to exert himself the more.
$\dot{o} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Herod. 11. 173$. кацрофилактои̂ขтаs т̀̀ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'watching the proper occasions for its use,' Dem. $6{ }_{7} 8.1$ г. кацрофидакє iv is

 music

 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тoîs $\sigma \chi o \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu . \quad \dot{\text { o }} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$










the form preferred by Lobeck ad Phryn. 575.
$\dot{\eta}$ rolaút $\begin{gathered}\text { Ki } \eta \sigma \iota s \text { ] 'The movement }\end{gathered}$ or excitement of the mind in games.'
$\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta \delta \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu]$ from the pleasure it brings with it we work easily, and so it acts as a relief.
av̉r'́] 'in itself.' It is opposed to the $\epsilon$ load $\gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi f \circ \sigma a \dot{\gamma} о \nu \tau a s$.

## 

$\dot{\dot{o}} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}]$ In his own langage, then, $\dot{d} \sigma \chi \chi^{0}\left(a\right.$ is a $\gamma \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau s$, aims at producing some result, and oú $\epsilon \mu$ la
 xii. 3, p. 1152, 13. The aiming at a result implies its non-attainment hitherto.
is out $\chi \dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi o \nu \tau o s$ ] 'Happiness is a result, an end, and all think it is accompanied, not by pain, but by pleasure.'
 agreement stops. The kind of pleasure is not agreed upon.' Comp. Eth. I.

 к. т. $\lambda$.
$6 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}=\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{p}$ $\left.\delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime} \nu\right]$ The question is, what is $\delta \iota a \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ? Compare Bonitz on the word, in a note, ad Metaph. 81, b. 18; and my remarks in the summary of this book. I may add, that the word seems to mark the time when life is lived for its own sake, and not for the sake of some object to be attained, be it the formation of our characters or the attainment of suecess in war or political power. It is the $\beta$ ios $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ os of Ethics x. vii. p. II77, ó кaтd̀ бoфiav, or катà עoûp $\beta$ los, its object the contemplation of truth. It is the life of the $\phi$ ט́入aкєs of Plato, after their education is completed, and also after they have discharged their duty to their country and their fellow-citizens by mixing for a time in political affairs.
$\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau \alpha]$ ' and whilst the branches of education which have reference to this period should be pursued for their own sakes.

 каі $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o і к о \nu о \mu і а \nu ~ к а і ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha ́ \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а \grave{~} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota к \grave{\varrho} \varsigma$






 є่ $\pi о і ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$

9 каї ойтн $\pi \rho о є \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{\varrho} \varsigma$

 $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$, ӧт $\alpha \nu$ єvं $\phi \rho \alpha \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$

$\eta \eta^{\eta} \mu \nu \circ \iota \epsilon \epsilon \in i \eta s$.





 ${ }^{\text {a }} \chi \rho \eta \sigma i \mu \eta \nu$ Dekker.

7 סокє $\hat{\imath} \delta \hat{\iota} \kappa$ каi $\gamma \rho a \phi \iota \kappa \eta$ ] Why should not music be useful in the same sense in which he here says the art of design is?

8 cis $\partial \pi \pi \epsilon \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'And this is$ evidently the object for which men do introduce music.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oiov $]$ not found in our existing Homer. Comp. III. xiv.

9 oi ка入є́ovaı้] Od. xvii. 385 .
$\delta a \iota \tau v \mu \dot{\nu} \epsilon s]$ Od. ix. 7.
Io $\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\sigma} \sigma \mu \circ \nu$ ] Stengel prefers this
to Beaker's reading, $\chi \rho \eta \sigma / \mu \eta \nu$. It is more in keeping with $\S 7$, as also with $\chi \rho \eta \sigma l \mu o u s$, in III. $\mathbf{I}$.
oud' $\dot{\omega}$ s àvaरкalap] 'much less on the ground of absolute necessity.'
$\ddot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu]$ This is lost.
II $\pi \rho \grave{o ̀} \dot{\delta} \delta o \dot{u}]$ 'we have got so far on our way.'
$\kappa а \tau \alpha \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu]$ above, II. 6, 'drawn from the branches of educedion actually taught.'

 , بо́vov di то $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \mu о \nu$, oiov т $\eta \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \omega \nu \mu \alpha \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$,










 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha$.





$\check{\epsilon} \tau \iota \delta \epsilon$ ] ${ }^{\text {] }} \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \delta \nu$ to be supplied, and for the subject we must go back to $\S 6$.

ठıà $\tau$ d $\left.\chi \rho \eta^{\prime} \sigma \mu_{0 \nu}\right]$ 'mere use in the lowest sense.'
$12 \notin \nu$ roîs igloos anxious] 'in their private purchases.'
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ must be connected as closely as possible with $\delta \iota a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. I have therefore taken away Beaker's comma. For all this language compare the Republic, with the positions in which on this point Aristotle argues, whilst he does not so absolutely as Plato reject the element of utility.
roîs $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o \psi o ́ \chi o s s]$ In Eth. iv. viii. 33, p. 1125,11 , the $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \dot{\sigma} \psi v \chi$ os is said to be oìos кєкт $\bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa а \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каl äкарта т $\frac{\omega}{\nu}$ карлi $\mu \omega \nu$ каl. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda i \mu \omega \nu$.

13 Compare IV. (VII.) xv. 10. $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \iota \delta о \tau \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}]$ Compare Galen, De Val. Tu. II. 9, ir, which, with this passage, is quoted by Smith (Dict. Ant.) as the basis of his distinc. ton between these two branches of bodily training, which with the ancents was partly medical, partly what we should mean by gymuastics.
$\tau$ ad $\epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha]$ 'its actions' in the various exercises and games.
IV. I $\lambda \omega \beta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \tau$ ] 'injuring.'
raútך̀ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oưk] 'Though they have not committed this error, yet they render their citizens savage,' Grote, II. 507, $5^{17}$, where the Lacedæmonian character is spoken of as being of a low type in comparison with that of Plato's фú入aкes.

Bodily training, $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a-$ $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$.
















 virtue should be chosen.'
oüтє $\pi \rho \partial ̀ s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda c \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu] ~ ' n o r, ~ i f ~$ one, should this virtue be the one selected as especially to be attended to.' $\epsilon i ̈ \tau \epsilon \kappa а i \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu]$ 'and if even this is the one to be cultivated, they do not hit the point right; they are wrong in their method of cultivation.'
$\lambda \epsilon o \nu \tau \omega \dot{\partial} \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu]$ This based on a missconception of the lion almost universally prevalent.

3'A $\chi$ allot . . . 'H $\nu$ ion oc ] I have not been at the pains to collect any scattered notices of these and other obscure tribes. They show the extent and minuteness of Aristotle's information, but politically they are of no general interest, and in the common books of reference sufficient will be found.
$\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu]$ Epirotic, not general, in the sense of continental, but limited to Epirus, properly so called; at least
this seems to me the most natural way to take it.
$\lambda \eta \sigma \tau<\kappa \alpha ́]$ not $\tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \lambda о \hat{v}$ èveка, 'Peratical,' Brave-that is, under the impulse of desire of gain.
dj $\nu \delta \rho l a s]$ 'courage, properly so called, 'deliberate valour,' Par. Lost, I. 554 .

4 av́rol] 'They alone.'
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \delta \rho \epsilon \in \circ \nu]$ for this word compare Ch. II. v.
tais $\phi i \lambda o \pi o v i a c s]$ Thus. II .39. $\phi i \lambda о \pi о \nu 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon$.
$\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon$ Grote, II. 60 I .
oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\varphi}$ тoùs $\nu$ 白ous, к. $\tau . \lambda$.] 'For it was not by their training their youth in this particular system that they surpassed others, but by their training them whilst others did not.'
$\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha s=\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa o \hat{\nu} \nu-$ mas] Compare the expression, $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s$

$5 \pi \rho \omega \tau a \gamma \omega \nu / \sigma \tau \epsilon i \nu]$ 'must take the first place,' 'play the first part.'















 $\grave{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma к \alpha i \omega \nu \quad \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i \omega \nu$.

6 $\epsilon$ is $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha]$ sc. $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ( $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \iota a$, 'bodily exercises.'
 they left them untaught in all the points essential to man, the most necessary rudiments of intellectual training.' They had no music-below V , 7-probably no drawing; and the passage seems in favour of Mr. Grote's view, which has been disputed by Col. Mure, that they had not $\gamma$ pad $\mu$ $\mu a \tau \alpha$ in the most elementary form.
$\beta$ avaúrous] Compare II. Iv. ä $\chi \rho \eta$ -
 pola.
 us.' So Stahr and St. Mil.

7 duvayตvıбтás] These 'rivals' the Spartans found in the Thebans. Compare Grote, X. $\mathbf{2 5}^{2}$.
ö́c $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ oîv] 'still whatever errors may have been committed on the subject, bodily training is not to be neglected.'
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta i a \iota o \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu]$ 'The compulsory sustenance of a regular athlete.'
 àvaүкaious $\pi$ bovovs of IV. (V1I.) XVII. 4.
 'For we are not without sufficient argument to show that it can produce this result.'
$\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau 0]$ sc. ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta \delta \iota \iota \nu \in[\nu \alpha L]$ and the $i t$ is, front the last sentence, the training, both as to food and exercises, of the athlete.
$\left.j^{\prime} \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota \nu i к \alpha \iota s\right]$ Aristotle is said to have made a collection of these victors in the Olympic games.
 'because by their training whilst young, they deprived themselves of their strength.'

9 ย̈т $\tau$ т ia, к.т. 入.] 'They have been engaged for three years in their other studies.'
 training， $\quad$ v $\mu \nu \alpha-$ $\sigma \tau \iota \grave{\prime}$ ．



 $\delta_{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \cup ́ \tau \eta \zeta$ тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ．
5
Music．
Its object．










 ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ xiv $\nu$ Dekker．
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa о \phi \alpha \gamma i \alpha \iota s]=\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \partial{ }_{s} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \nu$, or Bala rpoфरी．

ката入а $\mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \nu$ ］＇to seize firmly，＇ ＇establish，＇＇place in high condition．＇ doa $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ］＇I leave this period of three years，for the two trainings must not be simultaneous；the body and the mind ought not to be worked together．＇So I interpret the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．It is a remark，the truth of which is far too much lost sight of in our present education．

V．I So far for $\gamma{ }^{\nu} \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ，which must precede；now for the other divi－ sions of education，the $\mu$ ova $\iota \kappa \gamma$ of Plato＇s Republic，and its first branch， the more limited $\mu$ ova ıท⿱㇒冋 of Aristotle． $\kappa а і ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu]$ Ch．III．
$\left.{ }^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda a \beta b \nu \tau a s \quad \pi \rho o a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon i \nu\right]$＇To re－
turn on what we said，and carry our observations further，that they may be，as it were，a prelude，or overture， to the full discussion of the subject by others．＇For $\pi \rho o a \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, Eth．I．vii． 17，p．1098，22．He has no intention， therefore，of exhausting the subject， says Victorius．

2 ova $\tau \epsilon$ र $\dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．］＇It is desirable to say more，for the subject is diff－ cult．＇

Ev̉pıniסךs］Each．378－384．

 phrase not uncommon in Aristotle．
olive $\nu \varphi]$ This would seem to be a mos－ take for $v i \pi \nu \varphi$ ．Stahr changes it，and so do others．
 the moral character．＇




















éAijovoav] 'By training and accustowing men.'
$4 \phi \rho \delta \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'intellectual cultivatron,' not in the more ethical sense of the term, but rather using it as Plato uses it in the Republic, and its connexion in this sense with $\delta \iota a \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ supports my remarks on that word, III. vi.
 the contrary, it costs a painful effort.'
$\gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \in ́ v o \iota s ~ к a i l ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \nu]$ For these two words, see I. viii. 9, if, where they are used separately and as equivalent.
$\tau i v o s \quad \ddot{\partial} \nu \quad$ Er ec] The question asked here is similar to that which is asked with regard to $\phi \rho \dot{p} \eta \sigma$ cs, at the opening of Eth. VI. xiii. p. 1143 , b. 18.
aútoús] 'themselves.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a l \mathrm{M} \dot{\eta} \delta \omega \nu]$ is not this last a later addition? Is there any other instance in Aristotle of the two being used?

6 тoùs aủtò тoûto $\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \mu$ évous $\left.{ }^{\text {en}} \rho \gamma{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{D}\right]$ 'those who have made this very thing by itself their business and profession, rather than those who attend to it only so long as to enable them to learn it.'
aúroús] may be accusative after
 latter seems the better 'to make them qualified for.'
$7 \mathrm{kal} \mathrm{\epsilon i]} \mathrm{'even} \mathrm{if} \mathrm{it} \mathrm{makes} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{cha-}$ racter better, and this it can do.'
$\tau \alpha \hat{u} \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ sc. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \hat{\eta} \theta o s \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \nu$ пo七ồva.

Music. Its object

 $8^{\kappa \alpha \grave{i} \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. ó d’ av̉тòs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s \kappa \grave{\alpha} \nu \epsilon i$















oi $\Lambda a ́ \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon s]$ Music not taught the Spartan citizen. Grote, IV. II4.
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'words and music.' $\mu \epsilon$ रोos
 $\dot{\rho} \cup \theta \mu \hat{v}$.
$8 \pi \rho \grave{s}$ єن́ $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho[a \nu]$ as opposed to ouvтoviav, $\pi \dot{\delta} \nu 0 \nu, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o \lambda i a \nu$. 'For the calm, undisturbed enjoyment of the life of the citizen, and that citizen trained in all liberal cultivation, not $\beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu a v \sigma o \nu$ in any sense.'
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \delta \quad \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu]$ ' the conception which we have of the gods, for in the poets, Zeus is not introduced as himself singing and playing.' By a rather abrupt transition, which, however, Bekker's stopping makes more abrupt than it need be, he turns from the gods, and appeals to the common language of men on the point.
rov̀s rooov́rous] 'such as make it a profession.'

тд $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ Compare Herod. vi.

128-130. тò $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ as distinct from $\mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \sigma \iota s$.

9 Stahr puts a full stop at $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi$ $\tau$ éov, but it is not necessary to do so.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \pi o \rho \eta \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu}]$ 'of the three objects which, as the result of our discussion, we find that it may have.'
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \lambda b \gamma \omega s \delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon$ ' on good grounds it is ranged under them all.' The pleasure which it affords adapts it for two of the three, for amusement and for the enjoyment of life. Its adaptation to the purposes of education is discussed later, $\S 1_{5}$ and foll.
 VII. xv. p. 1154,27 ; and there is no other remedy for pain but pleasure.

 $4^{2}$, p. 1104, b. 17.
à $\phi о \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu]$ sc. $\tau о \hat{u} \kappa \alpha \lambda \circ \hat{v} \kappa a i$ то仑̂

 Movalios eivaı

## $\beta$ ротоîs $\ddot{\eta} \delta \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$ ad $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$.



 on $\sigma \alpha \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ ，out $\mu$ óvov $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu о ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o} 12$









 $\zeta \epsilon \omega, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．

II $\psi \iota \lambda \eta^{\eta}, \kappa$ ．.$\tau$ ．$\lambda_{\text {．］}}$＇both merely in－ strumental and vocal．＇Eur．Rhes． 923 ，


ठь̀ каl，к．т．入．］＇Hence，also，it is not without good reason that it is in－ troduced into social intercourse，where men wish to pass their time with en－ joyment＇－a lighter sense of the word $\delta \iota \alpha \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ．
cis tads ovvovoias kail $\delta a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\alpha} s]$ should be taken as closely as possible together，almost as equivalent to＇the enjoyment of social intercourse．＇
$\epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$ so III．9．єúфpaıvouév $\omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \omega \omega$ ．
$\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \in \nu\right]$＇from this simple consi－ deration，that it affords pleasure．＇
$12 \pi \rho \partial े s \tau \grave{\tau} \tau$＇ nos］＇The perfect and complete state，＇$\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma_{\epsilon} \epsilon \ell \in \epsilon \epsilon \omega s \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon-$ $\sigma \eta s$ ．

то入入дккьs The comparative fere－
quency of recreation is a reason for learning that which is so useful for it．
 far as some advantage may be got．＇ Compare Eth．x．vi．3，p．in 7 ，b．6， ai $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \hat{\alpha} \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \omega \hat{\omega}$ ，are one of the two things，$\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu$ Er $\pi \iota \zeta \eta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ èvép $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ ．

Sıavađav́є $\iota$ ］middle，＇for them to rest at times；＇with Stair，＇rich seine Erholung daraus au suchen．＂
r $3 \sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \delta \epsilon$ ，к．$\tau . \lambda$.$] ＇Rest is$ the point in common，the cause of the confusion in men＇s minds．＇
out $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau v \chi o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu]$＇It has its own appropriate pleasure，not any given one at random．＇

тaút $\eta \nu$ ］＇this appropriate pleasure．＇
$\left.\epsilon_{\kappa \kappa \epsilon} \epsilon^{\prime} \neq \nu\right]$ the pleasure derived from amusement and recreation．Compare Eth．x．vi．p．${ }^{17}$ ff．
$\left.\dot{\delta}^{\prime} \mu o \prime \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota\right]$＇a point of resemblance．＇


Music. Its object.







The effect of music on the character. 1340














The point of resemblance is in their being both sought for their own sakes, the one purely, the other as a refreshment after labour.

14 oủ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \mu o ́ \nu \eta \nu]$ sc. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ aǐià $\zeta \eta \tau o v ิ \sigma \iota$.

I5 ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'not but$ that we must enquire whether, whilst we allow this to be an incidental result of music, it is not in its nature higher than to be merely adapted to supply the want indicated,' i. e. $\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau a ̀ s ~ a ̀ v a-~$ $\pi a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon s$.
$\left.\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta ̀ \nu \quad \phi \quad \sigma \iota \kappa \eta \eta^{\nu}\right]$ 'Its pleasure given by nature.'
$\pi \rho o े s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ \kappa \alpha i ~ \pi \rho o े s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \nu=$ $\pi \rho o े s \tau o ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ II. I.

16 тồvo $\delta$ ' ar $\nu$ ci' $\eta \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ ] 'There would be no uncertainty on this point, if, as is the case, we are affected in our characters by it.'
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$, but $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \eta े \nu$ $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \ddot{\eta} \theta$ ous. It presupposes a character, and it is a state of that character. If we adopt this definition of enthusiasm, a difficult term, and as often, in the common language of men, a ground of blame as of praise, it would seem to follow that the praise or blame in each case would be propertly determined by the character placed in this emotional state; that the good man, with high objects, gains greatly by this intensifying of his energy; the weak man, with trivial objects, becomes ridiculous-an enthusiast; a word which, I believe, has always a bad sense attached to it.
$\left.{ }_{17} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \mu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu\right]$ 'nachalimenden Darstellungen;' 'imitations,' 'representations,' the sense in which Ari-



















stotle seems to use the term in the Poetics. In the Republic, Plato seems to attach to it too exclusively the sense of mere copying, imitation in a lower sense.
$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \ell \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \nu \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$, к. $\tau$. 入.] Moral virtue is intimately connected with pleasure and pain, and right education consists in training men to feel pleasure in right objects (a point dwelt on in Eth. II.), to love and hate aright, to judge rightly what is good and noble in characters and actions, and to take pleasure in it. It is well to feel this towards the reality, but it is well also to exercise these sentiments even when the reality is not present. This opportunity of exercising them is given by representations of them, and by music more than by any other representation that appeals to our senses.

And the pleasure accompanying it makes it peculiarly valuable.
 most vivid representations after the reality.'
$\tau 0 \iota o ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ sc. $\dot{\delta} \mu \circ \omega \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, or it may be $\mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ кal $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'such music as answer to those various feelings.' For instance, we change from depression to bravery by hearing warlike and inspiriting music.
 the actual sight of the object.'
$20 \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \mu a]$ 'slightly.'
тotav́ra] 'such as to be capable of representing character, but it is only to a small extent, and it is not all people that are competent to appreciate this influence.' This is the meaning, if, with Stahr and others, justified, it would appear by the con-
 of music on the character.


















text, we insert the negative ov. Above, § 15 , he remarked of music, that $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ aï $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ è $\chi o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta}^{\delta} \delta \nu \eta \hat{s .}$ Here, where he is distinguishing other appeals to our senses from that which music makes, it would seem natural to expect the contrary. It will, however, be sense without the negative. The extent to which objects of sight affect people is slight, and all equally experience it in that extent. The slightness and universality of the effect diminish its importance, and make it unnecessary to take it into account as an influence upon character.

21 ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́]$ 'Still in proportion as there is a difference,' \&c. Compare Pott. II. ii. p. 1448, 5 .

Pauson, of Ephesus, and Polygnotus, of Thasos.

$\left.\mu \mu \mu^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ 'we have the charactersimitated.' $\mu i \mu \eta \mu a$, "illudipsum quod imitando efficitur." Ritter ad Poet. I. iv. p. 78, 1447, 18. "Opera imitatione expressa." Ibid. p: 102. aủ oîs, as opposed to $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a, \S_{20}$.
$\left.\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s \gamma{ }^{\alpha} \rho\right]$ for the expression, compare I. v. 2.
 feeling of sadness and compression.'
$\mu a \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \omega s]$ the opposite of $\sigma v \nu \epsilon-$ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \delta \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$, "the soul-dissolving melody," Tennyson, Vision of Sin. 'We have a softer feeling.'
$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \omega s$ каi ка $\theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \delta \partial \tau \omega]$ $̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon-$ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \nu i q \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i q$, Thuc. II. "Firm and unmoved," Par. Lost, I. 554, 555. 'The Dorian mood.'

23 oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \tau_{\eta}^{\prime}, \kappa . \pi . \lambda_{\text {. ] ' who have }}$ treated this branch of education philosophically.' 'They get the evidence





















in support of their arguments from facts.'
$\dot{\rho} \cup \theta \mu \mathrm{ov} s]$ See Classical Museum, I . 555. $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu$ ods means time, and nothing else, when applied to music ; $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o$ i $^{\prime}$, in the plural, means musical bars played in time.
 b. $30, \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \mu \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta$, 'stable.'

фортıкштt́pas] ' coarser,' ' more vulgar.'

є $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho t \omega \tau \epsilon \rho a s$, ' more refined.'
 an appropriateness in teaching the young music from their age.'
a $\nu \dot{\eta} \delta \nu \nu \tau 0 \nu$ ] ' without some admixture of sweetness ;' 'unsweetened.'

каi $\tau \iota s$ ยоокє $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota a$ ] 'and there seems to be some intimate connexion
between the soul and harmonies and time-a connexion which has led some philosophers to say that the soul is a harmony ; others, that it has harmony in it.' These opinions are discussed in the Phadon of Plato, and Cicero, Tusc. Quest. I.
VI. 1 $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o v \rho \gamma o i ̂ v \tau a s] ~ ' t h e m s e l v e s ~$ both singing and playing.'

$$
\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu] \text { V. v. } 6 .
$$

$\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ er $\chi \in \iota \delta \iota a \phi \circ \rho \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ ] 'It is a very different thing,' and that in the sense of its being far better. Eth. vi. ix. 4, p. 1 I4 I, b. 34 .
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ кoıv $\omega \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha s$ ] 'without actual practice.'
$2 \pi \lambda a \tau a \gamma i p]$ the 'rattle' of Archytas.

Is actual practice necessary?











The question of practice depends on the music taught and the instrumentsused. 1341 6
 $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} s \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ тò $\lambda \epsilon \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu . \quad \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu$ тоívvע öть



$\dot{\eta} \delta \hat{\varepsilon} \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a]$ 'and their education should be to the elder children an amusement, a rattle.' Music is a healthy pastime for the young, and there should be no strain of the intellect early.
$3 \kappa \operatorname{kal} \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu}]$ as well as $\kappa \rho i \nu \epsilon \ell \nu$.
каl $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma a l]$ 'nor is it difficult to meet the objections of those who pretend that attention to music is not a proper part of a liberal education.'

4 If to judge rightly be the object, that is best attained by actual practice when young; there is a time when the power so acquired must be exercised; this time is later in life. So the right use of music depends on a
question of age. It is to be learnt when young; the knowledge so gained is to be used when older.
5 бкє $\psi a \mu \hat{\prime} \nu o u s]$ 'when we have considered the limit of practical acquaintance with it which is to be fixed for those who are being trained to excellence as citizens.'

кal $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тov̂ $\tau 0$ ] 'for it is reasonable to suppose that even this last makes a difference.'
6 èv roútocs] 'For here, in these points, lics the answer to the objection.'
$\tau \rho o ́ \pi o v s ~ \tau \iota \nu a ́ s]$ 'certain methods of teaching and learning music.'







 practice depends on the music taught and the instruments used.





 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad$ ò $\rho \gamma \iota a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v, ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau o o o v ́ \tau o v s ~ a ̀ ̀ \tau \hat{\omega}$






practice in the present, for the theory later.' тобô̂vтєs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ or $\chi \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu 0 \iota$ $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu о \mu \epsilon \nu$.
$7 \sigma \nu \mu \beta a\left(\nu 0 c \delta \delta^{\prime} \not{ }^{2} \nu\right]$ ' and the proper results would follow.' Compare the use of $\sigma v \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota$ in III. viI. $4, \sigma v \mu$ -

tov's à $\gamma \hat{\omega} \nu a s$ rov̀s $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \kappa o u ́ s$ ] 'The contests of professional players.'
$\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \theta a v \mu a ́ \sigma \iota a, ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' S t r i k i n g ~ a n d$. extraordinary pieces.'
$8 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i]$ 'But even such they may practise till such time as they shall be able.'
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \circ \omega \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s]$ Above, v. 15, $\tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa 0 \omega \eta$ ทे $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} s$.
$9 \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \kappa 6 \nu]$ as opposed to simple, 'requiring professional skill.'

ठ̈ $\sigma \alpha \alpha \dot{u} \tau \omega \hat{\nu}]$ 'all instruments.'
ópriaбтıкסข] 'exciting,' in an active
sense. Compare Herm. ad Soph.Trach.

 $\kappa \alpha ́ \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \nu]$ Compare Poet. vi. 2, p. 1449, b. 28, where this word occurs in the definition of tragedy: $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau o \iota o \cup ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha ́ \theta a \rho \sigma \iota \nu$. Ritter, on the word, p. 132, says, "ea conformatio affectuum ut omne nimium, omnis perturbatio removeatur." 'Has for its object, not so much instruction, as regulation of the feelings.'
 the use of the voice.'
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \delta о \kappa i \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu]$ 'disapproved of its use by the young on trial;' 'rejected or removed it from the young,' $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\nu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$.

II $\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ n o p i ́ a s] ~ ' f r o m ~ t h e ~ i n-~$ crease of wealth.'
 mints





















$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda 0 \psi v \chi$ ó $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota]$ 'having formed loftier conceptions,' 'become more confident.'
 rited by their achievements.'
oúdèv סlakplvovtes] 'making no distinctions, but constantly seeking new additions.' It is an interesting notice, by Aristotle, of the effect of the suecessful struggle with Persia in developing the life of Greece.
 pular.' Compare the expression in Aristophanes, $\tau 0 \dot{\pi} \pi \iota \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \iota o \nu$.
$\delta<\alpha ̀ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \pi \epsilon \rho a s a u ̉ \tau \hat{\eta} s]$ 'on experience
of it ;' a ${ }^{\prime} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} \bar{s} \alpha \dot{\jmath} \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ depends on $\pi \epsilon i \rho a s$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \pi \eta \kappa \tau i \delta \epsilon s\right]$ Plato, Rep. III. $39^{8}$ c.
$\tau 0 i ̂ s \dot{\alpha} \kappa o v o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \omega \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu]$ 'to those who heard players on them.'
 execution,' ' manual dexterity;' $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho$ up

I4 out $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$, , к. $\tau . \lambda$.] 'Still it is more reasonable.'
$\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \omega \delta \epsilon i a \tau \hat{\eta} s \alpha \dot{v} \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ ' the educetion derived from flute playing.'
$\pi \epsilon \rho / \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu]$ ' we attribute science and art'-the $\delta \iota a \nu о \eta \tau \iota \kappa a i ̀ ~ d \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha i$.
$\left.{ }^{15} \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \delta \epsilon, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ ' But since both as to instruments and execution.'


 used.


 $\gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{o} \sigma \kappa o \pi o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̂ v ~ \pi o \iota o u ̂ \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{o} \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s$.


 $\sigma \epsilon \iota$.









$\left.\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \kappa \kappa \eta{ }^{2} \nu \delta \epsilon\right]$ The $\delta \epsilon$ marks the apodoss, 'professional.'
out $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ aíтồ $\chi$ á $\rho \iota \nu$ dj $\rho \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} s$ ] ' It is not in subservience to his own excellance that he follows the pursuit.'
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \gamma a \sigma l a \nu]$ 'His skill in exccaution.'
 is, that they do indeed become $\beta$ ávavoou. $\pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ of $\sigma \kappa 0 \pi b s$ ] 'For the point of view to which they bring their end is bad.'
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \kappa \rho \circ a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, Rhet. II. 21, ad fin, p. r 395, b. r.
 who practise with a view to him,' 'to succeed with him.'
$\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ Tàs $\kappa \iota \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon s$ ] 'immutationes artis,' Vict.; the changes implied in the $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$. But it seems more natural to take it as referring to the
bodily exertions required of professional players.
VII. I In two points the limits have been traced-viz. the degree in which skill is desirable, and the instruments which may be used, where the object is educational and liberal. There remain the points of harmony and rhythm.
$\tau 0 i ̂ \mathrm{~s} \pi \rho \partial े s ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a \nu ~ \delta \iota a \pi o \nu o v \sigma \iota] ~ I ~ a m ~$ not sure that I know what class he means here. Is it 'those who are studying very deeply the subject ( $\delta c a$ $\pi$ movỗc with a view to education,' actively, to the education of others, not as a matter of self-cultivation merely ?
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ] 'melodious,' musical in that sense.
$\left.{ }^{\sigma} \delta \rho v \theta \mu \nu \nu\right]$ ' in good time.'
16-2

The music $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ 䛔 to be





















$2 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \quad \phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a s]$ 'Those who with philosophical cultivation combine practical acquaintance with musical education;' § $3, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ èv $\phi \iota \lambda o \sigma \circ \phi i ́ q$.
$\tau \grave{\nu \nu} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \quad$ ёкабтоу $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta$ о入оү(à] 'exact detail.'
ро $\mu \tau \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ ] 'tracing the general laws.' " au point de vue du legislateur," St. Hil.
$3 \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu] \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda$ os seems here used for 'the words of the song,' the $\lambda$ óros. V. v. 7 .
$\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \alpha ́]$ 'forming the character.'
$\pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ ] 'leading to action.'
$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho o s]$ 'part of our nature.'
$\nu \hat{\nu} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ ] 'Though now quite in the general.'
$\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 i ̂ s \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \pi o \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s\right]$ I have given the reference at V. vi. 9.
$\tau \hat{\jmath} s$ ovviovias] 'of the tension-the excitement.'

4 ö $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \epsilon \rho \imath$ évias] Compare on this subject one of the prefaces to Wordsworth's poems, where the sensibility of the poet is distinguished from that of other men. The distinction that is there drawn is one of degree, as here, not of kind. The poet is but more largely endowed with faculties which all have in a degree.
iv $\nu$ ovaca $\mu \mu$ s $]$ All men, then, are susceptible of enthusiasm; it is, as with other sensibility, a question of degree.
$\kappa а т а к \omega ́ \chi \not \mu о$ ] 'are under the sway





 $\dot{\eta} \delta о \nu \eta \eta_{S}$ on $\mu \circ \iota \omega \varrho \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota$ $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \hat{\eta}$ тoîs $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o \iota s . ~ \delta \iota o ̀ ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \tau o \iota \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \sigma ~$




 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \pi \alpha v \sigma \iota \nu . \quad \epsilon i \sigma i \quad \delta \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ аṽт $\omega \nu$ ai $\psi v \chi \alpha i \quad \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon-7$
 $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \in i \sigma \grave{\imath} \kappa \alpha \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma v ́ \nu \tau о \nu \alpha$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon-$





of．＇Compare Eth．x．x．3，p． 1179 ，
 db $\rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ ．

каө८бтацย́vous，к．т．入．］＇settling，as having found a remedy for their ex－ citement，and a clearing of their dis－ turbance．＇

5 тäךтıкои́s］＇impressionable．＇
коифiऽєбө al］＇a sense of lightening， not unaccompanied with pleasure．＇ Wordsworth，Tinter Abbey．
$6 \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \nu]$＂Weise man aus，＂Stair． The word is hard．Is the meaning， ＇Therefore it is with harmonies and songs that produce this effect，that we should induce those who practise music for the theatre to contend．＇？If
so，$\theta \epsilon \tau$ є́o governs both the accusa－ fives，and the datives depend on ar $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s$.
cal тoîs toouŕots］＇To suit this latter class of spectators as well as the former．＇
 Eth．VII．xiii．2，p．${ }^{1152}$ ，b．34，and ${ }^{1153}$, I $^{2}$ ；＇the true state in accordance with nature．＇$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{a ́ \rho \chi o v \sigma a \nu ~ ф v ́ \sigma \tau \nu, ~}$ Rhet．．．xi．ェ，p． 1369 ，b． 34 ．
oúv ova］＇strained，＇＇high．＇
$\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu a]$＇corrupted by in－ traducing the $\dot{a} \rho \mu о \nu i a \quad \chi \rho \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ．＇． Liddell and Scott．
т̀̀ катд̀ фо́б兀v oik єîov］＇That which is cognate to his nature．＇Compare above，III． 5 ．















 ${ }_{12} \sigma \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu о \nu i \alpha \nu \quad \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu . \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \delta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau i \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \tau \epsilon \dot{o} \mu_{0} \lambda o-$









 $\epsilon \epsilon \phi i \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a s$ of $\S 2$, and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \phi i \lambda o-$ $\sigma o \phi i ́ a$ of $\S 3$. 'Those who are accustomed at once to the philosophical treatment of the subject,' \&c.
$9 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{n} \pi \pi \lambda \lambda \tau \epsilon i q]$ In the republic of Plato.

Io $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \beta a \kappa \chi \epsilon i a]$ Grote, 1. 32, text and note; " violent ecstasies and manifestations of temporary frenzy,
and that clashing of noisy instruments."

II oi $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ] 'Those competent to judge in this point.'

каl $\delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota ~ \Phi \iota \lambda o ́ \xi \epsilon \in \nu \sigma$ ] 'and that Philoxenus,' of Cythera.
$\dot{v} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' found him-$ self compelled by the nature of the case to give up his attempt, and pass into the Phrygian mood.'
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta о к \iota \mu a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ є is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a \nu$, $\dot{\omega}$ s $\mu \epsilon \theta v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ̀ s ~ \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega \nu$









'Those who, from their time of life, have lost their powers.'
ovvtóvous] 'requiring a great strain,' 'high.'

14 iss $\mu \epsilon \theta v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{s} \lambda^{\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu}$ aủtás] 'looking on them as partaking of the character of intoxication, not intoxication in its strictest form as an active power (for drunkenness has a tendency to excite a man), but with reference to the exhaustion consequent on intoxication.'

Nicks thinks this discussion on music complete. I have no knowledge as to what would be a complete discussion of the subject, but the end seems so abrupt, that I can hardly accept his view. If we look on the book as a whole as fragmentary in its present shape, there would be no object in trying to make out the actual fragment preserved as complete in itself. That the book is to be so considered, I have argued in the Preface.

## SUMMARY. BOOK VI. (IV.)

THE three last books, III. IV. V. (VII. VIII.), have been devoted to the consideration of Aristotlc's ideal state, his д́рєбтократía. That they are fragmentary is, I think, clear. No connection can be traced between the end of V. (VIII.) and the beginning of the present book. It is a gap which no art can fill up, however much we may regret the loss. Aristotle's educational system is a hopeless blank from a certain point, and so is a detailed statement of his views on the great question of the fifth book of the Republic, the position of women in his state. That he either did treat it or meant to treat it, is certain from 1.13. 15, and that directly and dogmatically, not negatively, as in his review of Plato's system. To resume then, he has reviewed the past ; he has sifted the experience of the long time that has elapsed; and enlightened by that experience, with the results of that past and its errors before him, he set himself to build up a state which should avoid those errors, and combine harmoniously whatever had been proved in result to be good. His state so formed would have been to him a type to which others might gradually conform themselves, a Greek $\pi \sigma^{\prime}$ eç with all its complex organization, to which the statesmen of the actual $\pi \dot{o}$ derc might look as their model, either in re-constituting or reforming their own states, or in forming new ones, an idea which the Greek system of colonization made by no means an unpractical one. But such results of his political wisdom were distant and very contingent. A nearer question might be addressed to the political philosopher. The actual state of things is very imperfect. The body politic, as much as the body natural, requires constant attention when sound, careful remedies when unsound. Is political science capable of dcaling with thesc evils, this unsoundness, whether of recent origin or of long standing? Is the science of politics practical in the ordinary sense? Can there be drawn from it rules applicable to daily arising contingencics? In other words,-can there be based on it an art which may guide the actual statesman? The answer is, that the theory of the ideal state is by no means the whole of political philosophy. He who studies that philosophy must be like the true physician. Both
must know the normal state of their respective patients. Both must also be able to apply their knowledge to existing circumstances. Guided by the light of political theory, based on a wide experience, the political philosopher must be able to say what is the best state generally attainable; what, under certain conditions purely arbitrary, would be the result; what is the method by which actual evils may be remedied, and the members of a given state may be placed in possession of the best government now open to them. Such questions as these occupy the three remaining books of Aristotle's politics, VI. VII. VIII. (IV. VI. V.) In them he speaks less as the political philosopher, more as the philosophical statesman. He addresses himself to the actual political world of Greece, and to its governments, tyrannical, oligarchical, democratical. And as these two last were the commonest, the most susceptible of permanence, the most capable of being modified into tolerable constitutions, as they were, in fact, to the exclusion of tyranny, constitutions;-though in a degraded form, yet still in some sense constitutions allowing the free play of the political life of a Greek freeman;-it is on oligarchy and democracy that he chiefly dwells. And again of these two last he treats at greatest length of democracy. It was longer-lived, it was safer, it was freer from political dissensions, $\sigma$ ráoıs. It was more adapted to large and populous states; and with the growth of such, implying, as that growth did, the formation of a large class of free and equal citizens, it was juster. Corrupt and bad as judged by the ideal standard, it was practically of the corrupt forms the best one available.

The evils inherent in all the forms with which he has to deal in these books, might proceed to such a length as materially to interfere with the quiet working of the constitution. The state might find its various parts ranged in constant opposition to one another, it might be in a permanent state of faction or $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma t \varsigma$. Such was, in fact, the actual experience of Greece. Its political life in all historical times had been a conflict of discordant elements and interests. And in most cases this permanent state of opposition hadits periods of crisis, of $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda \dot{\eta}$. The hitherto dominant element became weakened by its own misconduct, or the growth of its antagonist, or pressure from without, and the constitution was changed by its fall and the triumph of its antagonist. An analysis which should throw light both on this permanent state and on these periods of revolution,--such is the olject of the closing book. V1II. (V.)

Without trenehing on the details of either of the three books now before us, I wished to give a succinct idea of their general bearing. I resume the more immediate object, the summary of Book VI. (IV.) Its opening chapter is quite general, its subject concerns the past as much as the future portion of his work. It is a statement of the provinee of political science, on which I have said enough above.

The second chapter is a sketch of the divisions of the work that lies before him; it is a chapter on his arrangement.

The next portion (Chaps. III.-X.) is an analysis of the existing governments of Greece, democracy, oligarchy, aristocracy, politeia, tyranny, apparently treated in the order of their political importance, and in the main this must be judged by the comparative frequency of their occurrenee. The difficulties are in the main dificulties of the text. There is an appearance of repetition, and a want of clearness resulting from this apparent repetition which makes one suspect that they are hardly in the state in which Aristotle left them. This is more especially the case with the ehapters that analyse democracy and oligarehy (III.-VI.) When clear of these, the book becomes, if not easier, at least much more straightforward, and so far, more satisfaetory to grapple with.

In Chap. III. we have the reason assigned for there being several varieties of democracy and oligarehy. It is found in the variety of elements of which each state may be eomposed. Still, various as they are, they may be brought under these two heads, and are so generally. Indeed, in the popular opinion, these are the only two forms recognised; they are eonsidered an exhaustive division of Greek states. In the earlier part of Chap. IV. the characteristics of these two forms are given, and then to the end of that chapter we have an analysis of the democratieal varieties, five in number.

Ch. V. A similar enumeration of oligarchies.
Ch. VI. Repeats in a shorter form for democracy, in more detail for oligarehy, the two preceding chapters. If both are to be considered integral portions of the work, it would seem that this second enumeration is meant to base on grounds of reasoning the neeessity of there being sueh a number of varieties of either form. Common as the two forms were, almost exelusively prevalent, so much so that Greek statesmen and parties had come to aim at nothing more than the peaeeable establishment of one or the other, still the elements of the state might be combined differently, and other
powers capable of modifying the constitution might be called into more active exertion. Wealth and numbers might be allowed for, without excluding the more eminent citizens from the first place. In such cases an aristocracy might be established, where all three, wealth, number, and merit, should have due consideration. So also therc would be an aristocraey, when, putting aside the element of wealth, those of merit and number were combined. These are the two forms given of this practical aristocracy (Ch. VII.).

Another combination still remained. In existing states, as an average, one of two opposing elements was dominant, wealth or numbers, and whichever was dominant, excluded its rival from all participation in political power. The government was a simple one ; in the first case an oligarchy, in the second a democracy. But there is no necessary, irreconcileable antagonism between the two politically, though there is a strong tendency to it. They may be combined; a government might be formed in which both should find their expression, a mixed government standing half-way between oligarchy and democracy. Such would be the so-called $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \varepsilon i ́ a$, a constitution requiring great moderation and care to preserve the nice adjustment of its balance, and very closely connected with the two forms of aristocracy just given, gliding by imperceptible shades into one of them, or passing by very gradual transitions, by a slight inclination of the scale one way or the other, into one of the two opposing deviations, between which it was the mean term. The characteristic of the Politeia as distinct from either of those aristocracies, is that it attempts only the combination of wealth and numbers, the elements of the two simpler forms. Introduce any question of personal merit, and you have one or other of those forms (Ch. VIII.).

To establish these mixed forms with their combination of elements, the great requisite is a very clear perception of the nature of the simpler forms. To combine oligarchy and democracy in harmonious proportions, must demand a thorough knowledge of them when out of composition. Hence another reason for their fuller treatment prior to these higher forms. The test of a right mixture will be the existence of both elements, side by side, in such vigour that from one point of view the goverument might be considered the expression of one of the two, from the opposite point it might be taken as the expression of the other (Ch. IX.).

Tyranny requires but a short notice. It is introduced for completeness' sake. In its striet and proper sense, it is an inversion of all right principles of government; the rule of the better by the
worse; government for the sake of the governor, not of the governed; a government by force, which no man endures longer than he is constraiued to do (Ch. X.).

Such is the analysis of Greek governments, the statics of Greek political society. It was an analysis required for the proper handling of the questions that are now to be discussed. The first of these is: What is the best average constitution, not one which should be an ideal standard, or require in its citizens high qualifications and fortunate circumstances, but one which should take the existing facts, the Greek character and opinions and political ideas, and on them work out a safe and practicable order in which, as much as possible, all the elements enumerated should find a place. Such a constitution is found in that which should be administered by the middle class, those removed from the extremes either of wealth or poverty ; who are as much as possible equal and alike; who by thcir numbers can impose silence and moderation on the two extremes; who can, in other words, keep down the great evil dreaded, $\sigma \boldsymbol{a} \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau c}$, and secure the great good aimed at, permanence and security. In the larger states of Greece, this form was quite practicable, from the numbers of the middle class. Hitherto Greek experience had been against it, for the middle class had been small, and the imperial states of Greece had exercised during the period of their domination a hostile influence. But one man had been found to wish it. So adverse had experience been, that there was a rooted feeling in favour not of a balance of parties, but of the triumph of one or the other principle (Ch. XI.).

If asked, what is the constitution to be adopted in any particular ease, the first answer must be one common to all cases equally ; the one adopted must be such as the majority approves, meaning by majority equally a numerical majority, and one which, though weaker in numbers, was superior in power. Then the question again throws us back on a careful analysis of the elements of the given state. And the constitution should be framed with reference to the predominant element. To secure its permaneut preponderance, it should conciliate the middle class, and it should aim at tempering so far as possible, by a judicious selection of means, the exclusiveness of the predominant element. It should be afraid of carrying out its principle too far. In all cases alike, the constitution must be administered by those who have the arms, and in point of numbers, there must be more within its pale than outside. Practically, and with some modifications, this has been the rule in Greece, and the historical development of Greek
governments has borne a constant relation to the changes in the system of military tactics adopted in the several states (Chaps. XII. XIII.).

From this point forwards to the end of the book, and through the whole of the next, VI. (IV.) 14-16, VII. (VI.), the subject treated of is the method to be adopted in forming a constitution, oligarchical or democratical. In the three remaining chapters of this book we have the appropriate basis laid for the work in the discussion of the three powers, which must exist in each of the two forms equally, democracy and oligarchy, and in all their varieties. Their forms will differ, but in principle they must all three be found; there must be an executive, deliberative, and judicial organ. In Ch. XIV. we have the deliberative both for democracy and oligarchy, in this order, with the modifications that Aristotle deemed advisable. In Ch. XV. we have the executive, with the various questions on its numbers and organization and mode of election, and the distinctions in it as required by the different forms of the government.

In Ch. XVI. we have the judicial, an enumeration of its several functions, and the mode of its election.

## II

The questons which political science has to answer.
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 $\dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ à $\nu \alpha \gamma к \alpha i ̂ o \nu ~ \dot{\rho} \rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu)$, каі тís тоî§ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ \mu i ́ \alpha$





 vats] "Quin ne restent pas trope partielles," St. Hill.; 'which are not confined entirely to some one branch of a subject, but which embrace completely some one whole subject.' For the subordination of the various arts and sciences, see Eth. 1. i. 3, 4, p. 1094, 9.
 of one and the same science to consider all that is appropriate to that subject in each case.'
$\ddot{a} \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \iota s] \gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$, in the widest sense, is the science that treats of this bodily discipline, and which is here chosen for illustration. It could not be separated from the medical science. Comp. note on V. (VIII.) III. 13; Plato, Rep. III. 406 ; Grote, Iv. 538 .
cis roes $\pi \lambda$ ci $\sigma \tau o l s ~ \mu i a ~ \pi \hat{a} \sigma \nu \nu]$ 'and what is the training that suits the
great majority of men, all of them'?what is the average training?
$\left.2 \tau \hat{\eta} s i \kappa \nu o v \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \eta \eta_{s}\right]$ 'That which suits him,' 'is appropriate to his case;' in other words, the best that he might have. Supposing a man not to be desirous of attaining the best state of body of which he is susceptible, or the highest degree of skill in the various exercises which he might attain, but to acquiesce in a lower state of body and lower degree of skill, not the less would it be the part of those in whose care he was, to see that he attained the particular state and degree of skill which he desired.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu i \alpha \nu]$ practically equivalent to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }_{\epsilon} \quad \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, V. III. $\boldsymbol{r}_{3}$ : 'knowledge of that which regards the active exertion, the struggle,' which knowledge it was the part of $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha-$ $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ proper to give, whilst $\pi \alpha \iota \delta o \tau \rho \iota-$ $\beta \iota \kappa \dot{n}$ dealt with the state of the body.



 political science has to answer.
















द $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a]$ Schneider proposes iatovpria $\nu$, but it is not necessary to make a change, though the word comes in very oddly when he is dealing with processes or acts.

3 ब̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu]$ The same reasoning will hold good for the political science. It, as well as other sciences, will have a fourfold application. It should be able to say what is the ideal constitution; 2ndly, what is the best average one ( $\$ 5$ ); 3rdly, what is the best under existing circumstances ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$
 da $(\sigma \tau \eta \nu)$ ? 4thly, how should be formed, and, when formed, preserved, one which is neither the best, nor the best under the circumstances, but still one which is wished and ac-


T'́pav $\tau(\nu a)$, a worse than need be acquiesced in, and the conditions of which are self-imposed.
$\left.4 \hat{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \ldots . . \chi \chi^{6} \nu_{\nu} \nu\right]$ Stengel, p. 21 , note 23 , would change the place of these words, and put them directly after $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$. In either position, it seems to me, they give a good sense, so that it does not seem worth while to make the change.

$$
\text { db } \chi \circ \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \tau \delta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \in \mathfrak{\tau} \nu \alpha a, \text {, к.т. } \lambda .] \text { 'and }
$$ to be unprovided even with those things that are essentially requisite for the best state;' so that not only is it actually not the best, but it is incapable of being made into the best. Supply $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ar $\rho i \sigma \tau \eta$ after $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma к а i \omega \nu$.

$5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \in \chi \rho \eta \sigma\left\{\mu \omega \nu \delta \delta \iota \mu a \rho \tau \alpha{ }^{\nu} \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ 'are yet thoroughly devoid of all usefut suggestions.'

$\underset{\substack{\text { tionswhich } \\ \text { political }}}{ }$ science has to answer.



















 is more easily established and more accessible, more generally attainable,' II. vi. 4.
$\left.\delta \epsilon o \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \eta \nu \quad \pi \rho \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} s \chi^{\rho} \rho \eta \gamma l a s\right]$ 'requiring large appliances.'
đàs ímap才oúбas àvalpô̂vтєs] 'putting aside, taking no account of, the existing forms.'

7 部 $\dot{\rho} a \delta i \omega s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'which they$ shall without difficulty be both persuaded and able to adopt as an exchange for the actual forms.' $\hat{\eta}^{\nu}$ ( $\kappa о \nu \nu \omega \nu \dot{L} \alpha \nu) \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
$\mu \epsilon \tau a \mu a \nu \theta a ́ v \in \iota \nu]$ 'to unlearn, and learn something instead.' Herod. i. 57.
raîs únap $\quad$ ov́rals $\beta$ oŋ $\theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ ] 'to aid the existing constitutions;' make the
best of the materials actually in hand. The work of reform.

кai $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ I am not sure of the exact reference meant.

8 $\sigma v \nu \tau \ell \theta \epsilon \nu \tau a \iota \pi$ тобaरิิs] 'The number of their combinations.'
$\left.9 \tau \hat{\eta} s \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \rho o \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \omega s\right]$ 'This same science.' The highest form of $\phi \rho \delta^{-}$ $\nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ is $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta$. Comp. Eth. VI. viii. 3, p. 1141 , b. $23, \dot{\eta} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa a l \dot{\eta}$
 that the generic term ф $\rho \dot{\nu} \eta \sigma \iota s$ is here used for the specific $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$, and the highest form of this latter is stated, in the same passage of the Ethics, to be $\nu 0 \mu о \theta \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \eta$.

$$
\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho \tau \text { às } \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \imath \tau \epsilon \text { las] Compare }
$$ III. XI, 20, 2 I.

 $\sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o l ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \lambda o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta$ ’ oüs $\delta \in i ̂ \tau o u ̀ s ~ t i o n s w h i c h ~$






 є่ $\sigma \tau i \nu$.










Io є́кá $\sigma \tau \eta s$ ] Schneider and Moray are for reading éка́бтоьs. Vet. Tr. has 'singulis.' There is, however, no absolute necessity for the change. The general sense is the same: 'What is the end of the association in each partitular case?'
$\nu 6 \mu \circ \delta \delta \epsilon$, к. т. 入.] 'Whilst laws, as distinct from those things that mark and determine the constitution, are those according to which the magistrates must rule and check those who would transgress them.' Laws then presuppose magistrates, and the distribution of power amongst those magistrates, and it is this distribution of power that makes a constitution.

II каl $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ às $\theta \ell \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota]$ 'even for the making of laws.'
II. I This chapter, on the arrangement of the work, is very valuable, and very strongly in favour of the A. $P$.
arrangement I have adopted. It presupposes the treatment of the two more perfect forms, $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a$ and d $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \kappa \rho \alpha \tau i \alpha$; it states that the third form yet remains, as do also the three imperfect ones or deviations. And after giving the order in which the various questions connected with them are to be treated, it warrants the change of order of Books V. VI. of the old, VIII. VII. respectively of the new arrangement.
$\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\eta} \eta \mu \in \theta \delta \delta \varphi]$ 'The first part of our treatise $\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi$ o入ıcelas.' JII. 7.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ bvouá $\tau \omega \nu$.] Compare III. xviiI. i, and notes, on the close connection between these two forms; the first not practical, the other, in Aristotle's views, susceptible of an approximate realisation.
$\beta$ oui $\epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota \gamma$ da $\rho \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho a]$ 'for the idea of either is that it be formed.'
 rangement of his



 סєvтє́ $\rho \alpha$ тís．$\dot{\alpha} \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ тク̀ $\nu \mu^{\mu} \nu$ тท̂s $\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta s ~ к \alpha \grave{~}$

 $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ тô̂ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v ́ o \nu \tau o \varsigma$ ，$̈ \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau v \rho \alpha \nu v i ́ \delta a$









 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu \cdot \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \delta_{\grave{\epsilon}} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ Sıaıрєтє́ov $\pi o ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \delta \iota \alpha ф о р \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





$\left.\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa_{\kappa o \iota \varphi \hat{\varphi}} \delta \nu \delta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \iota\right]$ III．VII．3，


2 So Eth．viII．xii．2，p．1160，b．9，

$\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ápıбтократia，к．т．入．］for this is the form to which aristocracy，in Aristotle＇s peculiar sense，the second of the perfect forms，is most opposed， and consequently it is the second worst．
$\mu \in \tau \rho \omega \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \nu]$ ク̈кєбта $\mu о \chi \theta \eta \rho \delta{ }^{\nu} \nu$ is his language，Eth．vili．xii．3，p．II60， b． 19 ．

3 $\tau \iota]$ Plato，in the Politicus．
oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i s$ тaútò $\beta \lambda \epsilon \psi$ as $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} \nu]$＇not， however，from the same point of view as ours．＇
$4 \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu]$ In Chapters III．－－X．of this Book．
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau\right] \mathrm{Ch}$ ．XI．
$\left.\kappa \ddot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \ell^{\prime} \tau \iota s d \lambda \lambda \eta, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$＇and after any other constitution there may be of an aristocratical character and well formed．＇

5 ènelva］Chaps．XII．XIII．







 $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \phi \cup \kappa є \nu$.



 govern-








$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ dè rav̂ra] Chaps. XIV.XVI. and VII. (VI.)
$6 \tau \epsilon$ خos $\delta \epsilon$ ] With Nickes, p. II2, note 2 , I put a comma after $\delta \epsilon$, and make $\tau \epsilon$ 'ios adverbial: 'and at last,' 'finally.'
$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ By this change in the stopping, these words are made to depend on $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu \quad \mu \nu \epsilon i a \nu$. ' When I shall have briefly made such mention, as was admissible, of all these points already mentioned, then I shall, \&c.'
$\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] The subject of Book VIII. (V.), which is here clearly stated to be later than Book VII. (VI.), and so even Woltmann allows, who is the stanchest supporter of the old order that I have met with.
III. I $\pi \lambda$ eiovs] This resumes the subject with which Ch. I. closed, $\epsilon i ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho$ ठ̀̀ $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lovs каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu i \alpha$ д $\eta \mu$ ократia
 why there is this larger number of forms is found in the number of elements of which every state, without exception, is composed.
тov́rou $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous] 'of the number of citizens so formed.'

2 àropaîov] 'commercial.'
$\kappa a i$ катà $\tau \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\pi \lambda о o ̂ \tau o \nu ] ~ W e a l t h ~ i s ~ n o t ~}$ merely a ground of distinction as between rich and poor, 'but amongst the higher classes also there are distinctions based on wealth and the amount of the property they possess.'
$3 \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ roîs in $\pi \pi o \iota s]$ this was a sign of great wealth, and the distinctive characteristic of oligarchy is wealth.
 der of the forms of governmint.




















${ }^{\text {a }} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ ions Dekker.
$\pi o \lambda \epsilon$ cos] This reading, adopted by Coral, and supported by Vet. Tr., seems best suited to the passage. Mr. Grote, III. 42 , speaks of cavalry " as the primitive oligarchical militia."
$\mathrm{X} \alpha \lambda \kappa \iota \bar{\delta} \hat{\iota}]$ in Euboea.
 Compare for the expression, I. xiIi.
 sense, the reference is to IV. (VII.) viII. 7, 8, 9 ; so that this passage again is in favour of the change in the order of the Books.
$5 \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi_{\zeta} \iota \overline{ } \quad=\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota s \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \grave{a} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi$ às. I. 10.
oîov $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu, \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] This explains $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta 仑 ́ v a \mu \nu \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \delta ́ \nu-$ $\tau \omega \nu$, as the next words, kor $\nu \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \omega \nu^{\prime}$
ar $\mu \phi o i ̂ \nu, ~ e x p l a i n ~ к a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \iota \nu ’ ~ l \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha ~$ коovív. Compare VIII. (V.) I. 14.

6 Katà $\tau \grave{a} s$ vi $\pi \varepsilon \rho o \chi d{ }^{2} s$ ] 'according to the superiority now of one part, now of another.'
 strictly speaking, be as many forms as there are distinct combinations of the various elements, 'still popularly there are conceived to be two forms,' for the numerous varieties are ultimately reducible to these two.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \nu \in \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu]$ Compare Soph. Track. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{1 3}$.

$$
\ddot{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial} \tau o v, \eta \ddot{\eta} \beta o \rho \in ́ a ~ \tau \iota s .
$$

7 oj $\lambda \tau \alpha \rho \chi$ ia $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$ '] 'in a certain sense an oligarchy.'










 $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \grave{\alpha}$ ঠ $\eta \mu о \tau \iota к \alpha ́ s$.
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 ,


$\sigma v \tau \alpha \dot{\gamma} \mu a \tau a]$ 'combinations.'
8 סvoî $\eta \geqslant \mu$ iâs] Ch. II. I. $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a s$ каl d́pıттократias. He mentions the first for the sake of strict accuracy; they are the two forms of the doigrך полıтєіа.
$\sigma v \nu \tau o \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \rho a s]$ 'The stricter, more rigid, and more arbitrary.'
à $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu a s]$ Compare Thuc. II. 39 . $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \omega s \delta^{\delta} \iota \iota \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \partial \iota$, ' easy.'
"The distinction between aristocracy and democracy, as commonly conceived, is not a logical distinction of kind, founded on a precise line of separation, but merely a distinction of degree, and so our propositions about them must be limited to tendencies." Lewis, on Opinion, 405, and note.

[^7]qualification so.' For the subject of this Chapter, compare III. 8.
$\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{u}]$ Compare iater, at VIII.
 $\pi$ á $\sigma a \iota s$ v́ $\pi$ á $\rho \chi \in \iota$.

3 In III. 8, poverty and wealth are made the characteristics respectively of the two forms, democracy and oligarchy. Nor does the statement here made, $\quad$ ठ̃ $\tau \alpha \nu$ of $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho o \iota$ $\kappa u ́ p \iota o \iota ~ \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, clash with that former one, as may be seen from a consideration of the words in the last section of
 ठè є̇ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota \pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$. Compare also the end of this Chapter, örav
 ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \in \rho \circ \mathrm{c}$ must be taken in an exclusive sense, the citizens who have nothing but their citizenship to ground

The distinction and


 tinction between




















any claim on, excluding especially of course, from the general context, any claim based on property.
$4 \sigma v \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon \epsilon]$ compare again III. viil. 8 ; number is but an accident.

Aiөıoтla] Herod. iII. 20.

$\delta \iota a \lambda \eta \pi \tau \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \nu$ ] 'we must draw further distinctions, and say.'
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ é $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ ] This negative is curious, and in no way requircd by the sense. We can hardly suppose that either at Apollonia or Thera, though we know but little of either, the mass, over whom the original colonists ruled, were not free; nor would Aristotle in any case have been
likely to touch on the question of a small dominant population ruling over a large slave one, in a part of his work where he has solely to treat of the relations of the citizens to one another. In fact, if the negative is kept, I see but one resource: that is, to make $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \hat{\epsilon}-$ $\rho \omega \nu$ virtually $\epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \hat{s}$. This is borne out by the context, oi $\delta<a \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ $\epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon a \nu$, and the affixing this sense to the word would make the passage a forcible illustration of an earlier one,
 $\nu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \dot{\omega} s ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma \gamma \dot{\prime} s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda \omega$. It does not seem, however, easy to adopt this, and yet it is better, perhaps, than to discard the $\mu$ ', which has no objection urged against it but its difficulty.
 §’ öт

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta \nu} \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ т \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \pi \rho o ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu . \quad \dot{o} \mu о \lambda о-$
 $\omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ồv $\epsilon i$ そ⿳⺈⿴囗十一⿱䒑土寸


















7 סıórc］＇That they are．＇＂Because these are，let us say what they are，＂ Stahr．
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ］the two generally spoken of，democracy and oligarchy． I should，I confess，wish to throw out the whole of what follows，to the end of $\S \mathrm{s} 9$ ，or rather to $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \in \rho \rho \nu, \S 20$ ，as a mere repetition，rendered entirely unnecessary by Aristotle＇s appealing， in Ch．III．4，to his enumeration of the various parts of a state．As it is， it is better to keep it，and，as in other cases，I inclose it in brackets to show
that I think it superfluous．It seems difficult to imagine that Aristotle would，so soon after declining the enumeration on the specific ground that it had been made，enter into it， and in such detail．It constitutes the difficulty of this part of the Book， Chaps．III．－VI．，that there is so much that looks like repetition；but I think any other of the seeming repe－ titions easier to defend than the one actually under consideration．

8 ＇́ $\left.\rho \gamma a \sigma \tau \kappa \delta \delta{ }^{2}\right]$＇That works up．＇
9 This is a repetition of II． 3 ．

 state.




















10 '́иторias каi катŋोєias] The former more wholesale, the latter more retail, the $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$ of I. II. 3, as $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \%$ ias represents the $\phi о \rho \tau \eta \gamma i a$ and $\nu a v \kappa \lambda \eta \rho i a$ of the same passage.
$\tau \partial \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta \delta \nu$ is the $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \alpha \rho \nu i \alpha$.
$\tau 0 \cup ́ \tau \omega \nu$ oú $\theta \in ̇ \nu$ $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau \delta \dot{\nu}]$ Compare III. XII. 9, á $\nu \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ả $\delta \delta ́ v a \tau o \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$.
$\delta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ Compare IV. (VII.) xv. 2, кат̀̀ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ т $̀ \nu$ ma $\rho o t \mu i a \nu$, oủ $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \grave{\eta}$ Soú $\lambda o c s$.
 pare I. Iv. 6, the definition of a slave,

$12 \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ ] as representing the primary wants of man-food, shelter, clothing.

є̇ $\pi i$ тoîs á $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha$ iots $\beta$ обкท́ $\mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'those who are to take care of the cattle necessary for the subsistence of the population.'
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu a]$ 'the complement,' III. XIII. 13 .
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta s \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ] not of the ideal state, but ' of the state in its simplest and most elementary form.' Compare IV. (VII.) IV. II, $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \nu \pi \sigma \lambda \iota \nu-\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є่к тобои́тои $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ ous $\delta$ о $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os aи้таркєs.
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu\right]$ 'and as though it stood in equal need of,'

I3 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \hat{s} \rho a s$ av̉zo $\kappa$ év $\eta s$ ] 'in consequence of the increase of territory and its contact with its neighbours.'
$\epsilon ̇ \nu \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \tau a \rho \sigma \iota]$ 'In his four primary ones.'
 , ค, , $\alpha \underset{\substack{\text { mints of a } \\ \text { state. }}}{\text {. }}$











 ar $\chi^{o ́ v \tau \omega \nu}$ àdúvaтov єivat $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu . \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa a i o \nu ~ o \hat{v} \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a i ́ ~$ $\tau \iota \nu \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta u \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ v o u s ~ a ̈ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma о и ̂ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \ddot{\eta} \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$






14 ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ] $\tau \delta$ ßov入єv́є $\sigma \theta a \iota$.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ ] 'the judgment applied to political matters,' Eth. vi. xi. p. 1 r43, 10 .

I5 ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ єíтєр каl таи̂та, к.т. 入.] 'So that if, as in fact we do, we make both the class of cultivators and that which judges and deliberates, essendial parts of our state, it is an evident consequence that the military class, at least, which is conjoined with both, or capable of being so, is necessarily a part of our state.' The military class is not conceived of as wholly distinct, but identified with one or the other of two classes ; if both are comprehended, evidently it must be so too, with whichever it may be combined. This
seems the reasoning of the passage. It is not, however, clear.
«̈ $\beta \delta о \mu о \nu]$ Nicks proposes ${ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \tau о \nu$, but I find the sixth class in $\S \mathrm{I}_{4}$, $\tau \grave{~} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \quad \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \circ \sigma v \nu \hat{\eta} s \delta_{\iota} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$.

тaîs oủalacs $\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o u p \gamma o \hat{\nu}$ ] 'serving the state with its property,' IV. (VII.) VIII. 7, 9 .

16 td $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma \iota \kappa o ́ v]$ ' The body of magistrates.' The word $\delta \eta \mu$ loup $\delta$ os in this sense is well known.
 крive iv.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu]$ depends on rivas.
There must be among the citizens some not without virtue, -moral, for the decision of causes; intellectual, for deliberation.

 state.












 of demo. cray.

ı 8 бò $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] as wealth and$ poverty cannot meet in the same people, and the wealthy and the poor consequently must always be distinct one from the other, whilst all other distinctions are less apprecable, this is the one fixed on, and the state is commonly divided into these two parts, and from the accident of their differing in number, these two stand in the most marked opposition to one another. In Greece and Rome, as in modern Europe, the problem of the opposition between the Have-alls and the Have-nothings, to borrow Mr. Carlyle's language (Sartor Resartus), was one which could not escape the philosophic statesman, modified as it was, and in some sense rendered easy by the existence of slavery.

20 If this section followed immediately after $\S 6$, no one would, I think, miss the intervening part. From the consideration of the variety of forms of government generally,

Aristotle passes to the varieties of the two forms that, with the exception of interludes of tyrants, monopolized the Greek political world, democracy and oligarchy. That there were such vareties was clear to him from the same premises as before. If the variety of elements of a state accounted for the variety of $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \hat{i} a \iota$, the variety in the democratical elements would account for a variety of democratical $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a u$. Here, again, from $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon \in$. . to $\delta \iota a \phi o \rho a \dot{v}$, I suspect an interpolation. It is an evident repetition of Ch. III., not without its value in point of information, but still needlessly burdening the book, and faulty in point of division, as it is not easy to say why $\pi о \rho \theta \mu \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ and the rest are not inclouded under $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$, and avidently тò $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$ er $\chi o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. , is applacable to most of the others, as is $\tau$ od $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. For these reasons I have inclosed it in brackts.



 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \theta$ á $\alpha \alpha \tau \tau \alpha$, , каi тои́тоv тò $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi о \lambda_{\epsilon} \mu \kappa \kappa$ on, тò $\delta є \chi \rho \eta$ -












${ }^{\text {a }} \dot{v} \pi d \dot{d} \rho \chi \epsilon L \nu$ Bekker.

21 Tápavtı] Grote, III. 516. "Shellfish."

Bvsavtic] Id. IV. 36. "Thunny fishery."

Alyivp] The commerce of Egina, in very early times, is quite historical.

X $\ell \varphi]$ Grote, viI. 53 r.
$\left.\tau o ̀ \mu \grave{\eta}{ }_{\xi} \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \circ \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ for this, compare III. v. 8.

22 тoúrois] depends on $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aust $\eta$ д. But what the exact meaning of the words is, it is not easy to say. "Sur d'autres avantages analogues," is St. Hilaire's translation, and I believe that is the sense,-a kind of et cætera without any very definite meaning in the writer's mind, as he has certainly enumerated the main distinctions: Wealth, Birth, Merit, Education, are nearly, if not quite, exhaustive.
 form of democracy is that which is, in
the strictest sense so called, based on equality,' in its simplest and purest form. Compare VII. (VI.) II. 9, $\dot{\eta}$
 $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os.
$u_{\rho \chi \chi \epsilon \nu]}$ This reading, in place of $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, is proposed by Stahr. I have adopted it, justified, I think, by words from the passage I have just quoted VII. (VI.) II. 9, ícov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$


 These last words are an useful comwent on $\mu \eta \delta$ ѐ киріоия єiva، òтотєроибoûv, da $\lambda$ ' $\dot{\text { onotovs }} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon \in \rho o u s$. In the first part it is true that $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ can be construed, though perhaps not easily, 'that the wealthy should not be anything more, of greater importance, than the poor.' Yet the change is simple, and appears to rest on alequale grounds.

ent forms of demo-
cracy.

23












$23 \epsilon \ell \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha^{\alpha} \rho$, к. $\left.\tau . \lambda.\right]$ Compare VII. (VI.) II. I.
 it would be most likely to exist, by all participating as much as possible in the government on equal terms.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \grave{l} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu]$ If all are equal, mere number will tell, and 'the people is the larger number. The will of the numerical majority will then be the will of the state, and such a state is of necessity a democracy.'
$\left.24 \dot{a} \nu v \pi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \theta v \nu o l\right]$ "quicunque maculæ alicui obnoxii non fuerunt," Vict.; "die kein Makel anhaftet," Stahr. This sense of 'men of unblemished character' is a good one, if the word will bear it-' whose claim is not open to question,' 'who are not liable at any moment to be called to give an account of themselves and justify their claim.' This is the translation I prefer. And the passage in VI. 3 would scem to point the ground of ob-
jection that might be taken to the question of birth, Toîs ápvTєvÓvols ka $\alpha \grave{d}$ tò $\gamma \dot{\text { évos }}$, and so to exclude the two meanings I have quoted above.
${ }_{2} 5$ द̇à $\left.\nu \mu \dot{\partial} \nu o \nu \eta \eta_{\eta} \pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s\right]$ 'admitting no question, but accepting the fact of his exercise of citizenship.'
$\left.26 \hat{\epsilon} \nu \mu_{\epsilon} \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ This seems an odd remark, with the history of Greece such as we have it. It seems to require the attaching a rather limited sense to the word $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \delta s$, not such as would have been attached to it in any Greek state by the party which would have claimed to be oi $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau 0 \iota$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. We require a more extended acquaintance with the internal workings of other Greek democracies to estimate the remark. Athens is, in fact, the only one which we can fairly judge of, and it is not true of Athens. The Athenian democracy was eminently legal and constitutional, and yet there were demagogues in the





















ordinary sense, and there, as everywhere else,-in fact, it is a necessity of government,- $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$ were Arequant, without superseding the real efficacy of law.
 Compare II. III. 2-4.
"O $\mu \eta \rho o s]$ Il. II. 204. The language of Homer cannot well bear any but the second sense, for, as Mr. Lewis remarks (Opinion, 243, note B), "Homer's poems contain no traces of a political body, nor do they mention voting."
$\mu o \nu a \rho \chi \epsilon i \nu]$ In the sense of túpapvos rival, the Latin 'dominari.'

28 ध̇ $\pi \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ ] It is difficult to give the distinction in English, the word 'decree' expresses both. In
the finely shaded political language of the present French Emperor, 'plébiscite' would express $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$, 'décret' Є̇пiтaүua. The celebrated 'ordonnances' of Charles $X$. would be a still better rendering of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\gamma} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.
$29 \delta \delta \xi \eta s]$ ' of the opinions of the people.'
$\left.30{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \quad \delta \epsilon \in\right]$ Not merely do the demagogues increase the power of the people, 'but also all those who have any fault to find with the existing magistrates.' Compare Grote, v. 493, where this language is considered inapplicable to the change made by Pericles. Thus the popular assembly grasps the administrative and judicial power, as it had before absorbed the legislative.
 ent forms of democracy.
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$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega s$ '่ $\pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu]$ ' to urge a reasonable objection.'

31 т $\grave{\nu} \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu \kappa \rho(\nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$ " die Verfassung die Entscheidung haben," Stahr. $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu$ would then mean 'the government.' That it may have this meaning is clear from III. vi. I, $\pi o \lambda i \tau \epsilon v \mu a \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \dot{\eta} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$, and III. VII. 2, то入ıтєіа каі то入itєvца бпраiрєь $\tau a \cup \dot{\tau} \delta \nu$. Nor do $I$ see any other meaning to prefer. 'The law is to be supreme, but in particular cases, if judicial or administrative, the magistrates are to decide on what is right; if deliberative, the government.' So I paraphrase it."

Aristotle's general preference of democracy to oligarchy makes this
long attack on one form of democracy rather remarkable. It is so also from its length, in contrast with the short treatment of the other forms, and the very small space allotted to the varieties of oligarchy, the last of which is open to precisely the same objections as the last form of democracy. See VI. XI.
V. I aip̂̂vtat av́roi] They form, in fact, a self-electing body. The principle is that of co-optation.

тoú $\omega \nu$ ] Is this 'all who have the required qualifications?'
$2 \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi o s \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \tau v \rho \alpha \nu \nu i s]=$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \circ s \tau \hat{\eta} \tau v \rho a \nu \nu i \delta l$ 'corresponds




 Mid

 єîvaı тодıтєíal $\delta \eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu, ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$ каi тоîs

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha i \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu, \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ цıкр⿳亠口$\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon-$

 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu$.

to，＇＇is the counterpart of．＇Rhet．i． i．I，p．1354．I．

ка入оิ̃८ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ］In fact，they give this last form of oligarchy a peculiar name， thus distinguishing it，as its counter－ parts are distinguished from their kindred forms．It is called $\delta \nu \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$ ． ＇The rule of a few powerful families．＇
$3 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha}$ nov＇s $\nu 6 \mu 0 v s$ ］＇such as it is expressed in the laws．＇
$\boldsymbol{\tau}$ on $\hat{\eta} \theta o s]$＇from the character of those who administer it．＇
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ］＇the spirit in which it is administered，＇＇the tendency in－ pressed upon it．＇
$\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu]=\tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ ．For $\hat{\eta} \theta o s$ is in fact the sum and expression of a man＇s e $\theta \eta$ ．

4 Tov̂тo］This contrast between the existing constitution and the spirit in which it is administered．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \tau$ ads $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda a ́ s]$ This expression is not quite easy．Is the case Axis－ tote is contemplating that of a counter－ revolution，such as was of frequent occurrence in Greek states，and has been not uncommon in modern history，
a case especially familiar to us of late years？The revolution has been sue－ cessful．The constitution sprung from it has been accepted，but the adminis－ tration of that constitution has been conducted in an entirely alien spirit． The constitution remains，but by small encroachments is rendered nugatory，till the time comes when it may be set aside in form，as well as in spirit－ol $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu \quad \nu o ́ \mu o c ~ \delta \iota a \mu \epsilon \nu o v \sigma c \nu$ ot
 $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{a} \alpha$.
a $\gamma a \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma l]$＇are content at first．＇
VI．I The connection of this chap－ ter with what precedes is difficult to trace．The statements point to its being a justification of the enumera－ tions given in Iv．and v．See especially $\S 7$ ，тобаи̂лa каi тoıav̂тa $\delta \iota \alpha$
 rather give an account of the working of the several forms there enumerated， explaining why in some law is，in others is not powerful．But whatever may be the connection or general

The reasons for there being so many forms of the two governments.























bearing of the chapter, its statements are such as to redeem it from the charge of mere repetition.
 can live if they work.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ 'They set the law over them as supreme, and only attend such assemblies as are indispensable.'
$3 \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \hat{\nu} \alpha a l]$ If this second $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \hat{\imath} v a c$ be kept, it must be translated: 'But it is impossible they should have it in their power to have leisure unless there are revenues sufficient to secure it them.'
 hard unless we consider aipeot as equivalent to $\delta \alpha a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, and translate 'dependent on the distinction which follows.' If so, the distinction must mean that between this form and the next. But I do not feel clear as to the passage.
advatevivors] see note on IV. 24 .
бvva $\mu$ 'vols] 'only if they are able.'
5 roîs $\chi$ póvocs] 'in point of time.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s]$ 'than they were when originally constituted.'
$\delta \iota \alpha ̀ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau 0 \hat{u} \pi \lambda \dot{i} \theta$ ous] 'be-




 the two governments.

















cause of the supremacy vested in the majority.'
6 каi $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ dè $\sigma \chi o \lambda a ́ s \epsilon \epsilon]$ Not only has it the opportunity 'but more than any other body does it avail itself of that opportunity of leisure.'
$\epsilon \mu \pi o \delta i \xi \in t$ av̇тoús] 'It is unfettered by the attention to their private interests which embarrasses the rich, tosuch an extent that often they abstain from the public assembly and much more from the administration of justice.'
 strong and compulsory grounds.'

आoเov̂бı $\gamma$ á $\rho$ ] From their number already, they are not averse to adA. $P$.
mitting more, they do nct feel a jealous, exclusive spirit.

8 eivai] If not superfluous, it must be translated: 'and because they are a large number between whom the government is shared.'
aủoois] may depend on dá $\nu$ á $\gamma \eta$. 'They are compelled,' or, 'it is necessary for them to wish the law to rule for them and not themselves,' ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ aủroîs.
$9 \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \gamma$ à $\rho$ l $\sigma \chi \dot{v} o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \kappa$. $\tau$. $\lambda_{\text {.] }}$ power begets in them the desire of increased power.
$\beta$ ajijovtas] Compare VIII. (V.) ${ }_{1}$ 11.

Totô̂tov] 'in accordance with their wishes.'

The ea－ sons for there being so many forms of the two govern－ mints．
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Arista－ tracy and the $\pi 0^{2} l_{-}$ $\tau \in i a$.












Io $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta o \sigma \iota s]$＇the third stage in the growth or increase．＇ai $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta \delta \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega ̂ \nu$ Eth．I．vii．I，p．1098， 24 ； Ibid．II．viii，p．I Io 9， 17 ．

II taîs mo入uфi入iaus］＇large num－ bers of friends，＇comp．Eth．ix．x．6，p．

 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \prime \dot{\omega} s \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \hat{\eta}$ ．

VII．I $\epsilon i \not \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \tau \tau a ́ \rho \omega \nu]$ Axis－ tote，in the Rhetoric，I．viii．，p． 1365 ， b． 29 ，gives the four here mentioned．
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \lambda o v \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$ ］＇the so－called anis－ tocracy，＇not his own ideal state，as sketched in the $4^{\text {th }}$ and 5 th books （VII．VIII．），but aristocracy in the popular sense，answering to the time－ racy of Plato＇s Republic．The divi－
sion in the Rhetoric，like the one here given，is popular，and lays no claim to the scientific accuracy of the divisions given in Eth．viII．xii．，and Politics， iII． 7.
$\left.\pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \tau \eta \delta^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}$＇there is a fifth．＇
 considered more attainable than the other two ideal forms，it yet is far above the average of governments，it remains practically an ideal and of rare occurrence．
$\epsilon \quad \tau \nu a i ̂ s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon[a \iota s]$＇in his treatises on Politics．＇
2 є́v $\tau$ ồs $\pi \rho$ 人́tocs 入órocs］Books III－V（III．VII．VIII．）．Here again the language is in favour of a change of arrangement，assuming his own state to have been described．
$\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \alpha \nu$, к $\alpha \dot{i} \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s$



Arista. tracy and the $\pi$ ont$\tau \in i \alpha$.















 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$.


$\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \not \epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \dot{l} \sigma \tau \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$
"The government of the best men absolutely, tried by the standard of moral virtue, and not by some arbitray standard of excellence," ' this is the only one which we are justified in calling aristocracy.' The first part of the translation I quote from Mr. Lewis on Opinion, p. ${ }^{25} 2$.
$\dot{\delta}$ aúros] 'The same person is at once a good man and a good citizen.'

3 Tl vets] sc. $\pi$ o入ıтєîal.
öтои $\gamma \epsilon$ ] 'Since in them.'
4 кowì̀ $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \epsilon \alpha \nu \pi o l o \nu \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \alpha l s\right]$ This is the characteristic of his true $\dot{\alpha} \rho t$ -бтократia-his ideal state.

Kaph $\eta \delta \delta \nu \iota]$ In the chapter on Car-
thage, II. II, he gives $\pi \lambda$ out $l \nu \delta \eta \nu$ sal d $\rho \iota \sigma \tau i \nu \delta \eta \nu$, but it is not easy to justify the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \nu$. He says, it is true, $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \nu$ Є' $\chi$ ova $\sigma \alpha$, but the tenor of his remarks is against any democratical admixture. See the notes on that chapter.
VIII. I vo $\mu \iota \dot{\delta} \circ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$ ] I should pro-
 safer to leave it as it stands. The $\kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu e ́ v \eta$ ns above is in favour of a change, as are one or two other passages later.

Er $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \neq \mu \mu \nu \quad \delta$ ' oü $\tau \omega s$ ] 'I have placed them here, not that either the $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha$ or the aristocracies just mentioned are really deviations, but for this reason, that in strict truth they all fall short

$$
18-2
$$

 $\tau \in i a$, what
it is. $\dot{a} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho \alpha \tau i a s$, öтı $\tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \grave{\epsilon} s \pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \delta \iota \eta \mu а \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$















of the ideal form, consequently, they are enumerated with these deviations from the ideal form. These, the true deviations, are themselves, as we said at first, deviations in the second degree, deviations, that is, from the $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a$ and these two kinds of aristocracy.' Such I conceive to be the meaning of this difficult passage, in which he justifies his arrangement. I cannot agree with Nickes in his view of the passage, pp. 111-112, note
 been proposed. The $\delta$ it $\tau$ must be brought into close connection with $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu . \quad \tau \sigma \dot{\partial} \tau \omega \nu=\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$. $\alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha \iota=\alpha i \quad \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s . \quad \alpha u ̈ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu=\tau \eta \hat{s}$ $\pi$ тлı兀єias каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\rho \tau \iota \dot{\rho} \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota-$ бтократєิิv.
$3 \mu i \xi \iota s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] It combines the$ two forms, is, as it were, a compromise between them.
$\dot{\omega} s$ ] is superfluous.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ i $\eta \mu$ ократiav] The two
elements are difficult to combine on exactly equal terms, and their varying relation will determine the name to be given.

## $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \alpha \nu$ каi $\epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon\{\alpha \nu]$ compare Rhet.

I. 8, p. 1366,5 . д́pıбтократі́as
 Wealth in the second generation does ordinarily involve education. It secures leisure, and the wealthy cannot without education either enjoy or turn to full account their wealth.

4 Education and birth then are given, what becomes of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ virtue? It is claimed or assumed. The wealthy have already that which others commit injustice to attain, they have no temptation to evil, hence it is inferred that they are good. The stress is on the word ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \omega$. Wealth, then, in the popular view, is the guarantee for all the other requisites, the wealthy


 $\tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{o} \mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu о \mu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho \alpha \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$







are in the judgment of men, ipso facto,

$\beta \circ \hat{\lambda} \lambda \tau a l]$ ' It is its aim and object.'
$\phi a \sigma \iota \nu]$ and so as far as they can identify them with aristocracy.

5 סокeî] In the popular notions, as we have seen, there exists a confusion on the subject of wealth. It is made to guarantee to its possessor much besides itself. Nor is this the only confusion traceable. The moral sense attached to the words калоi кára oi has gradually become inextricably entangled with the original sense of the same words, which was social or political, and there may be traced a whole series of errors dependent on the assumption that ápıбтoкpatia is the government of the best and most virtuous citizens, instead of a moderate oligarchy, its true political sense in common language, implying no moral qualifications on the part of those in whom it is vested. But the erroneous reasoning of the many, combined with the interested acceptance of their error by the few, has so rooted the error on this subject, that it is extremely difficult to keep clear of it. It colours much of the political language of our day, from which the particular form of error given in the text might almost
seem borrowed. 'It is thought commonly to be an impossibility that the state, which has an aristocratical government should not be wellordered, whilst that which is in the hands of the lowest should be well governed ; similarly it is urged that a state not well-ordered cannot possibly be under an aristocratical government.'
$\pi о \nu \eta \rho о к \rho \alpha \tau о \nu \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \eta \nu] \pi \delta \nu \eta \rho o s$, here again the simply political sense is confused with the moral, a fallacy which finds frequent illustration in Aristophanes. It expresses a democratical government from the point of view of its aristocratical opponents.
 remember,' says Aristotle, 'that good laws, unobeyed, do not constitute good order. In one sense it is good order to obey the law, be that law good or bad; but it is a different and far higher sense of the term, when there is not only obedience to law, but good law to which that obedience may be paid.'

6 тoûto] is a short expression for
 $\nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$, and in the next clause the word dj $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{i} \tau \sigma o \iota s$ is governed by $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ $\nu o v \sigma \iota \nu$, when for the sense it would be better to put it in the accusative, but















 го $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu$. öть $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ о $\hat{\nu} \nu$

it seems attracted by the datives каки̂s


7 ápıбтократі́a $\mu$ и́v, к.т. 入.] 'Aristocracy is thought especially to consist in having the offices distributed according to virtue.'
öpos] 'The peculiar characteristic,' "le caractère spécial," St. Hil.

тд̀ $\delta$ ' $\begin{gathered} \\ \tau \\ \text {, к. к. т. } \lambda .] ~ S e e ~ C h . ~ I V . ~ I . ~\end{gathered}$ As common to all it cannot be the distinction of any one.
 The sense of these words is not very certain. Do they mean 'The form of government is called $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$ in the great majority of the states where any mixture of the different elements is attempted,' thus limiting the $\pi \lambda \epsilon i^{-}$ बтass? 'For all that the combination aims at is to blend the two elements of rich and poor, wealth and freedom ; for the great majority hardly inquire
further, but accept the wealthy as competent representatives of the really good.' But still there is this third element of virtue, and where that is taken into account with the two others, there we may apply the term aristocracy, where only the two others, there we have $\pi$ о入ıтеia.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ¢́́pav] ' to fill the place of.' Comp. Grote, 1II. 62, on the sense of these words $\kappa \alpha \lambda о i \kappa a \dot{\alpha} \gamma \theta 0 i$, and similar ones.
$9 \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha]$ Compare the long discussion in Book III. xiI. 13, for $\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \in \nu \epsilon i a$ also, III. xiII. 3.
$\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu]$ ' more than any other form of government, with the exception of the true and ideal form.' $\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \nu$ in the sense of 'perfect.' Compare Rhet. 1. 2, 13, p. 1356, 17, $\dot{\delta} \pi \rho \hat{\omega}-$ тos $\sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma \omega \sigma \mu \delta{ }^{\prime}$.
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IX. I $\left.\gamma^{i \nu \in \tau \alpha l}\right]$ Having described the $\pi$ ohıctia in itself, he proceeds to the question of its formation.
ä $\mu \alpha \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'This will be$ clear by the simple statement of the characteristics by which men determine democracy and oligarchy.' All that is to be done is to state these, distinguish them, and then take what you want from either, and put the parts together as you would the two
halves of anything cut in two. For the $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu$ was something cut in two, the parts of which fitted exactly and served as a ticket for the holder. Compare Plato, Symp. 191, D, ar $\nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega}-$
 Súo.

2 on $\rho 0$ o $\tau \rho \epsilon \hat{i}$ ] ' three different modes.'
$3 \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ It suits the $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$.
$\tau \grave{o} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \circ \nu \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ 'to take the mean.'
 тєía. How
 constitoted.


 тоѝто $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi о v \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \tau \epsilon s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \mu i ̂ \chi \theta \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \lambda \omega ิ s . ~$

















$6 \not \partial \rho o s \tau o \hat{v} \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \mu \hat{\imath} \chi \theta \alpha \tau]$ 'The test or characteristic of the combination having been successfully performed.' тồтo $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi 0 \nu \sigma \omega \nu]$ 'feel this.'
7 iss $\delta \eta \mu о к р a \tau i a s$ ova $\eta s]$ 'to speak of it as a democracy,' as though $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ auer $\hat{s}$ had preceded.
 difference to mark.'
$\tau \iota s]$ is superfluous. There was then at Lacedæmon considerable social equality side by side with great political inequality, and it acted as a compensation for this last. Social equality is an idea which we in Eng-
land find it hard to grasp, from the deep-rooted hold which social differences and class distinctions have got, but it is one which it would be most desirable to introduce. It is in the alienation of the various classes and consequent soreness, that lies the sting of political inequalities.
olav ¿้̈ $\tau \iota s$ ] This $\tau \iota s$ with the oj $\sigma \tau \iota \sigma \circ \hat{v} \nu$ at the end of the sentence, is quite superfluous.

9 For the matter of this section, compare II. Ix. 25. $\begin{gathered}\text { ávãov, II. IX. }\end{gathered}$ ${ }_{25}$, III. I. 10.
 §, 'ध $\boldsymbol{\imath} \hat{n}$,





 є $\ell \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.








 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i \alpha \nu$, סià тò кат̀̀ vórov єîval à $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s$

10 $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi$ o入ıcєiç] It would be but a very slight change to read the accusative here, and it is a tempting one, but it is not necessary. Looking at section 6, the actual reading is safer, - $\epsilon \mu \phi \alpha i \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \nu$ au่ $\frac{\hat{\omega}}{}$-and the sense is the same either way. On the other hand, it would be simpler in point of the construction of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ with the accusative.
$\left.\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}{ }_{a} \nu \quad \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ For this expression compare II. IX. 22, which is not so straightforward as this and may derive light from it.
j $\mu \mathrm{o} \dot{\omega} \omega \mathrm{s}$ dè $k a i]$ These last are so closely connected with the $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a$, as appears from the last chapter, that it is needless to go into details as to the method of establishing them. They are in fact the $\pi$ onıreia with an oligarchical tendency impressed upon them.
X. I oủ $\dot{\omega}$ s $̇ \nu o u ́ \sigma \eta s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'not$ as though there were much to say upon it.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \theta \delta \delta o v \tau \delta \partial \dot{\epsilon} \rho o s$. 'Its share of our treatise.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha]$ 'in the strictest sense so called,' the $\pi \alpha \mu \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$, III. xIv. -xviI.
2 żv ois] Compare III. vi. 3 , and III. x. 2, 'where.'
$a v ่ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ depends on $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu \nu \nu$, the sub-
 $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a \nu$. 'The two forms are such that, though strictly tyrannies, yet in its real character the power they express is closely similar to that of the $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$, so that they and it run into one another, as it were, and can hardly be kept quite distinct.' The кal may express even more, 'that they are closely connected one with another, and with the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a .{ }^{\prime}$

The tyranny.













 aitias.


The best average constitution is that in which the middle class governs. $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$ каi $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho i \nu o v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$ тoùs $i \delta \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \varsigma, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \iota-$



$\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \beta a \rho \beta a \dot{\rho} \omega \nu \tau \tau \sigma[\nu]$ Niebuhr, Rom. Hist. Vol. I. 558, note 1257 , thinks this alludes to the Roman Dictators. If so, is it not the only allusion we have to their politica! system?
$3 \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ ] ' quite arbitrarily and absolutely.'
 'Such a tyranny as this we must necessarily recognise in the monarchy, which, free from all responsibility, rules over the equals and superiors of the monarch, in the interest of itself alone, and with no eye to that of its subjects.' Those subjects are in no sense $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \delta o \hat{\nu} \lambda o l$, and in this case, therefore, it cannot hold that äp $p o v \tau \iota$

XI. I It must be remembered that the question discussed in this chapter is the best average form, not the best form absolutely. It is quite clear that it is so in the text, but the chapter and its conclusions are sometimes quoted as though it were not so.
$\sigma \cup \gamma \kappa \rho \grave{\nu} 0 v \sigma \iota \quad \pi \rho \grave{s}$ à $\rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu, \quad$ к. $\tau$. 入.] 'not bringing it to the standard of a virtue above that of ordinary men, nor to that of an education which requires a nature and appliances eminently fortune's gift,' such as in ordinary circumstances cannot be calculated on.
$\beta l o \nu \tau \epsilon$ includes both $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a$ каi $\dot{a} \rho \bar{\epsilon} \tau \eta$, 'virtue and the training to virtue.'

 , $\gamma$ tion is that $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu, \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \nu \iota \omega \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \pi o \lambda \iota-\frac{\text { tion is that }}{\text { in which }}$
















 $\lambda i ́ \alpha \nu \cdot \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \delta i \quad{ }^{\prime} \beta \rho \iota \nu, \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}$
$2 \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \pi\{\pi \tau o v \sigma t]$ "sont en dehors des conditions," St. Hil., 'in some respects stand too far removed from the generality of states to be applicable.'
d $\mu \phi$ oiv $]$ means not the two forms of aristocracy, but them and the $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$.
$\left.3 \tau^{6}\right]$ The article should be noticed with a view to a subsequent passage, VIII. (V.) I. I3. 'If we were right in the Ethics in using the language.'
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \mu \pi \delta \delta \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu]$ the reference is to Ethics, vil. xiii. 2, p. 1153,15 , and is so far in support of the genuincness of the chapters in which it occurs.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ éкdंбтoוs, к.т. 入.] 'The mean open to each to get.' The constructiou is abrupt. iेs $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau o l s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \in \tau a \iota$
$\tau v \chi \epsilon i \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \sigma b \tau \eta \tau o s$ would have been more regular.
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \mathrm{o} \ell \iota \tau \in i a]$ 'For it is in the organisation and development of its constitution that a state finds its life.'
$4 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{̉} \tau v \chi \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu]$ ' of the gifts of fortune.'
$\left.5 \delta \iota^{\prime} v \beta \rho \nu \nu\right]$ 'From the sense of being above law,' 'from insolence.'

бıà какоирүiav] expresses quite an opposite feeling, viz.: the ill-feeling and wish to cause annoyance which is engendered by the conviction that society and its arrangements are unfavourable and depressing.
oûtol] Are the extremes on either side, whether of wealth, \&c., or porerty, \&c.
 average constituton is that in which the middle class governe.


















 least competent and willing to hold office, whether local or general,' yet not supreme, to discharge, in fact, the ordinary civil functions; the extremely prosperous despise them, the extremely depressed are not elected to them. So that both look on them with unfavourable eyes and sneer at them, though from opposite points, and the regular state organisation finds no support from either.
$\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a]$ Both the tendency to crime, indicated above, and the aversion to office.

6 oiкоөєц] 'at home.'
 Republ. villi. 560 , e, in the maiôtia длı $\gamma \alpha \rho \chi \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$.

$\phi \rho o \nu o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu]$ Compare the speech of Alcibiades, Thus. vi. 16. He is the Greek statesman who best illustrates the evils of excessive prosperity, such as Aristotle is here depicting.
ad $\pi \lambda \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'And this is a$ state of things that is as opposite as possible to friendship.'
$\phi\langle\lambda t \kappa o ́ \nu]$ is an element of friendship.
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\omega} \nu]$ There seems something missing, such a word as $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta-$ kuiay. 'The state formed of these elements which according to me are the natural elements from which the state is formed.'
 Hell. in. 3, § 35 sqq., the speech of Theramenes in defence of his change of tactics, which he justifies by the attack made by the Thirty on the middle class, oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\gamma \grave{a} \rho \alpha \dot{v} \tau o \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \tau \rho i \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi $\pi \in \in \nu \eta \tau \epsilon \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$, The best




class go-
verns.





















$\Phi \omega \kappa \nu \lambda \delta \delta \eta s]$ of Miletus. Fr. 12. Ed. Bergk.
ro $\pi$ o $\lambda \dot{v} \tau \dot{\partial} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \nu$ ] 'the middle class is large.'
$\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \in \nu \nu \nu]$ 'by its joining one or the other it sways the scale and prevents either of the two opposite extremes being dominant.'
 ' those who actually mix in affairs,' les citoyens actifs.
$\nu \in a \nu \kappa \kappa \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta s]$ 'the most unbridled,' 'luxuriant.'
$12 \dot{\text { à } \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i a \sigma \tau o s] ~ C o m p a r e ~ I I I . ~ x v . ~}$ 9, where this word occurs. Both passages show the great importance Aristotle attached to this point.
$\delta(a \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon t s]$ 'the dividing the state into two parts,' 'the setting the two sides one against the other.' $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ tis $\delta u ́ o$.
 average constitution is that in which the middle class governs.





















I4 какот $\rho а \gamma^{\prime}(a]$ " Ill success," "failure," Liddell and Scott. But I doubt whether this is the meaning. May it not be more active, " there is mutual ill treatment of the one party by the other."

I5 $\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{\imath} \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s]$ The general spirit of Solon's remains warrants this, but I do not find any particular passage.
oủ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ î̀ $\left.\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u^{\prime}\right]$ This seems an odd reason. Is it Aristotle's?
Xap $\omega \nu \delta a s]$ Grote, Iv. 560.
16 ä rovoı] Compare v. 3 , à $\gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$.
${ }_{17} 7 \tau \eta े \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon[a s]$ 'The supremacy in the government.' The rights or claims of the minority are not respected, to use the latest political language. And it is a question open to much discussion, whether this re-
finement in political arrangements can be introduced, and whether, if introduced, it would really be an improvement in our institutions. It is plainly liable to be the exact contrary, by giving greater power to that which may well be thought to have too much already, the retrograde element, the so-called conservative party. Lastly, there is the question whether, supposing the two former questions answered, as they might be, under certain circumstances, in the affirmative, any such improvement could effectually obviate the evils inherent in a parliamentary government, such as that of England is justly called, and stands self-condemned by being so called. Mr. Carlyle, Latter-day Pamphlets.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \sigma \nu \mu \phi \in ́ \rho o \nu \quad \sigma \kappa о \pi о \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o} \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ The best















 мâ入入ov єî̀al то入ıтєíav．
a $\Delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ Dekker．

18 Compare Thus．HII．82．on this
 $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \eta \delta \epsilon \ell \omega s \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is the historical expression of this．

19 e is $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \rho]$ It is curious that no certain nor even very probable answer can be given to the question who the person here alluded to is？I have been sometimes tempted to think that it is the Spartan King Pausanias （Xenophon，Hell．II．4．），whose position at home and policy led him not to sane－ tron the Athenian oligarchy，but to aim at the restoration of a more mode－ rate government，to revive the older
 which，doubtless，in the eyes of a later generation，looking on $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \eta \mu о к \rho a-$ $\tau i a \nu$ ，would be considered as a $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu$ ．
roîs et $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi \dot{b} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu]$ simply opposed to the $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\ell} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{q} \dot{q} \gamma \in \nu \circ \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ．

20 depict $]$ sc．$\tau$ iss $\pi \lambda$ elotocs．
 so on in due order．＇
21 A $\in l \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ This correction of Spengel＇s，xxv，note 27 ，scarcely needs the support of V．（VIII．）I．2， to make us accept it．
 and note．
＇ं $\tau \in \rho a \nu \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \in \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota]$＇that there be another constitution．＇This remark is the connecting link between the two chapters．All cannot have the best average form－their circum－ stances preclude it and make some other form desirable．What are the other forms that may be desirable under certain circumstances is natu－ rally the next question，and is an－ swered，though in a very general and vague way，in the two next chapters．

suitable in particular
cases．





















XII． $1 \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ крєît $\tau o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. ＇There must be a preponderance in favour of the existing government．＇ But this idea of preponderance in－ volves conflicting elements，and a comparison，a balancing of the powers of these elements．
$\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \lambda \iota s]$＇Every state is com－ posed of quality and number．＇It is， in other words，a given number of citizens，and in that number of citizens there are many different classes．
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta o v s \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho o \chi \dot{\eta} \nu]$ Such a term shows that Aristotle was rather thinking of the political element of the mass as opposed to that of those who are opposed to the mass，either by birth，education，or wealth，than simply of number．＇The superiority
of the large majority，＇is spoken of as balancing the power attaching to the other points above mentioned．
$2 \xi \xi \AA \begin{gathered}\omega \\ \\ \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon, \kappa . \tau . \lambda .] ~ ' g r a n t-~\end{gathered}$ ing，of course，that it be one of the parts of which a state really consists，＇ the essential elements of a state． Compare IV．（VII．）viII．i． 6.
$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho \iota \tau \in ⿱ 亠 䒑 𧰨 \nu]$＇we must compare．＇ On this subject see Arnold，Rom．Hist． Vol．I，Ch．xiii，the concluding para－ graph．
$\left.3 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \in{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu \dot{a} \nu a \lambda o \gamma i a \nu\right]$＇In the proportion stated，＇rather implied．
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi o \iota \hat{\varphi}$ ．Its superiority in number is not overbalanced by its opponent＇s superiority in other points．
$\epsilon_{\epsilon \nu \tau a \hat{v} \theta a} \delta \epsilon$ ］＇Here then．＇

















 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda o v \sigma i ́ \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\prime} o v \sigma \iota ~ \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu \grave{\eta} \alpha i \hat{\tau} \tau o \hat{v}$ $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v$.
$4{ }^{2} \nu \tau \eta \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon[a]$ ' in his constitutonal arrangement.'
 nov's $\mu \epsilon \sigma o u s$. 'To interest the middle class in favour of his laws,' or should it be the dative of the instrument, ' by his laws to interest the middle class in the support of his constitution.'
sal $\left.\theta a \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho o v \mu b \nu_{0} \nu\right]$ 'or even of one of the two only.'

5 év $\mu \notin \rho \in \iota$ रáp, к.т. 入.] Comp. XI. 19. $\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \quad \delta \epsilon े ~ к a l, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$

6 One of the two parties must be the stronger, and the constitution must, in its arrangements, express that fact. Allowing this, Aristotle urges upon the statesman the necessity of tempering the truth ; of, as far as possible, introducing the middle class, which stands in the position A. $P$.
of mediator or arbitrator. Secondly, of mixing, so far as is possible, the various elements, not giving to the party which must be essentially dominat, more uncontrolled, unchecked power, than is indispensable. So the constitution will be more permanent. And this second caution is often violated even by those who wish, not for an unmitigated oligarchy, but for an aristocratical government. $\delta \iota a \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́-$

 tial. The other ( $\grave{\tau} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ таракроט́є $\sigma \theta a \iota$ $\tau \partial \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \nu$ ) 'the deceiving the people' is not so.
$\chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi \pi o \tau \epsilon]$ ' that at some time or other, if not at once.'
$\dot{a} \pi o \lambda \lambda$ úovoı $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu]$ 'are of a more destructive tendency.'


 vances.


















 contrivances.







XIII. I $\delta \sigma \alpha$ $\sigma o \phi[\xi o v \tau a i]$ 'The devices or artifices they have recourse to' $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$, 'by way of pretext.'
$2 \epsilon \xi 6 \mu \nu v \sigma \theta a l]$ 'to decline an office or oath.'
X $\alpha \rho \omega \dot{\nu} \delta \delta \nu \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \circ<s]$ Grote, IV. 561.
$3 \dot{a} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho a \psi a \mu$ évoss] 'when they have registered.'
$6 \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \rho \nu \mu \hat{\delta} \nu \nu \nu]$ ' of one of the two parties only.'
$\left.7 \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \eta \eta^{\nu} \nu \pi \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu\right]$ 'The government must be in the hands of those who have the arms.'



 тoùs $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \tau a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i o u s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon-$











 $i \pi \pi \epsilon \prime \omega \nu$ ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ i $\sigma \chi \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho o \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau 0 \hat{\iota}, i \pi \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ experience

 тoîs ar $\rho \chi \alpha i o \iota s ~ o u ̉ \chi ~ \dot{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi o \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau$ ' $\epsilon \nu$ lois in $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ єìval $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


 absolutely fix its limits, and say it is to be so much and no more, but we must find out what is the highest amount that extends far enough,' takes in a sufficient number, 'for those who share in the government to outnumber those who do not share in it, and we must fix it at this amount.'

8 रapievtas] 'moderate,' 'decorous,' 'intelligent.'
$9 \beta$ oú ${ }^{\prime}$ Iv $\left.\tau \alpha \iota \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i \nu\right]$ This was the feeling of the Roman people at the commencement of the first Punic war.
$\dot{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon[a]$ 'The governing body in some cases is not only formed of those who bear arms at the time, but
takes in those who have borne arms and are now past the military age.'
$\left.\mathrm{M} \alpha \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma t}\right]$ Compare Grote, II. 378.
$\tau 0 u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ] who are meant? I conceive both of the classes just mentioned, whilst the executive was chosen out of the men of military age. The text, of course, will bear quite a different interpretation.

10 $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a]$ 'The first constitution of Greek freemen.'
$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon l a s]$ One of the many passages which exclude $\beta a \sigma \tau \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$ from the list of $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ̂ a c$.
$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega s]$ 'training,' 'discipline,' 'organisation.'

II $\delta \iota \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ] This is an interesting passage on the progress of political

 in Greece.







 тоioıs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \tau a \iota . ~$







a $\tau_{\iota}$ Bekker.
ideas, and the gradual enlargement of the social union.
 makes to depend on $\delta \lambda i \gamma o c$, " unbedeutend," and the $\delta \lambda i \gamma o \iota \tau \epsilon-\kappa \alpha i$ seems to justify him, 'few in number and unimportant in the military arrangement.'
$12 \pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \mu t ̌ v a s] ~ S o ~ a b o v e, ~$ iv. 7, $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ fous $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i p \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$. On this paragraph, as an instance of Aristotle's method, at any point where his subject changes compare Spengel, pp. 32, 33 .
XIV. I Aristotle enters now on the point stated Ch. II. 5, $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$

 tias $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ 'Єкабтор $\epsilon i ̂ \delta o s ~ к а l ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~$ b̀ırap才ias. Its discussion occupies the closing chapters of Book VI. and the whole of Book VII. (VI.). In
this book he treats of that which concerns them all alike ( $\kappa o w \hat{\eta}$ ). We have his theory of the three powers, the deliberative, executive, and judicial, which must, he says, exist in every constitution; as such they may be separated off from the detail of the various forms of oligarchy and democracy; at least all general statements about them may; and the various modifications necessary for these various forms may be introduced later. This explains his language here, каi $\kappa о \iota \hat{\eta} \kappa а l$ Х $\omega \rho$ is $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ éка́ $\sigma \tau \eta s$.
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \eta \eta_{\kappa o v \sigma a \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu] ~ ' T h e ~ a p-~}^{\text {- }}$ propriate basis of the discussion.'
$\left.{ }^{2} \tau \iota \tau o ̀ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v 6 \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu\right]$ There is an inconsistency in this sentence as it stands in Bekker's text. The most symmetrical arrangement would be to throw out the $\tau t$ in both places. But if it is kept in both places, it is
















 $\mu \omega \nu$ $\theta \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma$, ка̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ -








difficult to see why one should be indefinite, the other interrogative. I have made them both interrogative.

3 K $\dot{\rho} \rho \iota o \nu \quad \delta$ ' $\epsilon \sigma \tau l]$ 'The sovereign power resides in.'
$\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'dissolving an alliance.'
$\delta \eta \mu \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}]$ 'confiscation.'
$4 \delta \eta \mu 0 \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ 'democratical,' 'characteristic of a popular government.'
 $\mathrm{d} \theta \rho$ bovs] The sense would seem to re-
quire a repetition of the word $\pi$ ávias; 'that all should deliberate, but by parts, and not in one collective body.'

Telecles, the Milesian, not known.
ovvapxial] The boards of magistrates.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s]$ ' $q u e s t i o n s$ that concern the constitution.'
$\left.5 \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} a ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha\right]$ There are not many points left of the list given in $\S 3$, $\theta a \nu \alpha ́ \tau o v, \phi v \gamma \eta ̂ s, \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$,-judicial







 In oligarchies.





 $\kappa а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \nu о ́ \mu о \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̈ \rho \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ к а \grave{~ т \rho о ́ т \epsilon \rho о \nu, ~ o ̀ \iota \succ \alpha \rho \chi \iota \kappa o ́ v . ~}$








 ganisation.


a סьoккє̂̂ Bekker.
questions mainly, concerning of course political offenders.

6 ö $\sigma a s \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \chi \epsilon \tau a l]$ ' as many as it is possible to have elective.'
$7 \pi \rho o a \nu \alpha \kappa \rho[\nu \epsilon \iota]$ 'previously examine.' This was the function after Cleisthenes of the 及ount, or probouleutic council, and in the ordinary jurisdiction of the archons.
$8 \kappa \iota \nu \hat{\sigma} \omega \nu]$ 'They do not feel at liberty to intcrfere, but simply obey
the law.'
ò $\left.\iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi^{i} a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda_{0}\right]$ 'It is an oligarchy, it is true, but one that borders on the $\pi$ ohıтela, from its moderation.'

10 $\pi \rho \circ \kappa \rho i \tau \omega \nu]$ 'a body previously selected.'

If $\delta$ oloc $t]$ So I read, instead of Bekker's $\delta$ toккє $\hat{h}$, and the change is easier than $\delta$ tookeîरal, which several editors have adopted. Stahr preserves $\delta \iota o \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$; but $\delta \iota o l \sigma \epsilon \iota$ is quile in keeping


 tion.








 $\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu \omega \nu \quad \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta о \varsigma, \grave{\eta} \dot{a} \pi \pi о \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu$











with his general language. Compare
 Tr. read $\delta \iota o \kappa \kappa \hat{\imath}$; he gives "disponitur" as his rendering. It is stated that no MS. reads סьotкєîtac.

13 tows] 'equally from the different parts of the state.'
$\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \zeta \delta \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \bar{\alpha}]$ ' It is expedient also if.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}]$ " politiquement capables," says St. Hilaire rightly.
à $\pi о \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ ] 'exclude bylot the numbers in excess of the limit indicated.'
'4 троaıрєī $\theta a \iota$ ] 'choose beforehand,' not the common Aristotelian
sense of the word, but the strict literal meaning of it, which is the basis of the other.
$\pi \rho o \beta o v ́ \lambda o u s]$ Comp. Thuc. viri. i, for this magistracy, established in Athens after the defeat in Sicily, B.c. 412. See VII. (VI.) vili. 17, 24.
роцофи́лакаs] Later VII. (VI.) viII. 24.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тoút $\omega \nu$ ] 'decide on these points only.'
$15 \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \nu \mu \beta v \lambda \hat{\eta} s]$ 'Advice.'
$\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota]$ 'the effective deliberation.'

 ganisation of the deliberative power.



 $\rho і ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ тòv т то́тог.






















${ }^{\text {a }} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \tau \tau a i$ Bekker.

great majority is to be allowed, its assent not to be final.'

16 тov̂ кvplov $\delta \dot{\eta}]$ ' and that which consequently is sovereign.'
XV. I $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s]$ ' of the political system.'
áioious] 'for life,' as elsewhere.
$2 \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \mu \sigma \sigma \alpha]$ ] 'to adapt them.'
$\pi o l a s ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ da $\rho \chi \alpha \dot{s} s]$ 'What are the functions to which we may give this name $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime}$.'
$3 \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \tau \tau a i]$ If this reading is kept, aipoûvza九 must be passive. I should prefer using it in its usual sense, and reading $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \alpha$ s.
$\sigma \iota \tau о \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha s]$ The question submitted to these officials would, in Aristotle's


 $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \iota$, каì $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ той $\tau o^{*} \tau \grave{o} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu$















 $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\omega} \nu$ каì $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ai $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \alpha i \quad \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \varsigma^{\bullet} \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ai

view, more properly concern the interests of the citizens as fathers of families and possessed of property, than as citizens proper. Hence he considers their functions as economical in a sense quite in accordance with his use of the term in the first book.


 $\left.\epsilon_{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \nu\right]$ ] is more strictly the characteristic of a magistrate,' the highest form of the citizen, to whom the highest political virtue belongs properly, ä $\rho \chi$ ovtos tócos à $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \mu b \nu \eta$ ф $\rho b-$ $\nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ (III. iv. 17), and $\phi \rho b \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ is, by

Eth. vi. xi. 2, p. 1Y43, 8, $\epsilon_{\pi} \pi \iota \tau \alpha-$ $\kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$.
oú $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho \pi \omega$ крíts, к.т. $\lambda$. .] ' For there has as yet no question arisen for decision in consequence of a dispute about the name; still such points have their interest for thought.' Such I take to be the sense, and I believe Stahr agrees with this rendering.

6 ràs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \alpha a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon L \nu]$ 'so that in holding some, they leave long intervals;' or, in other words, 'they hold some only at long intervals.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' If the at-$ tention is engaged on one point only, than if it is distracted by being bent on many.'
 tive power.





















$8 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \pi t \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon l a s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'To$ assign many branches of administration at the same time to the same person.'
$\left.\partial \beta \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \kappa o \lambda v^{\prime} \chi^{\nu} l \alpha\right]$ 'spits for roasting, used as candlesticks.' The general meaning is the same as in the case of the $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \mu \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \alpha \iota \rho$ of I. II. 3 .
$\sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma o c] ~ ' m i g h t ~ i n f e r, ' ~ ' c o l l e c t . ' ~$
9 кат $\dot{\alpha} \tau$ тотор] "Bei örtlicher Beschränkung," Stahr; 'from considerations of space and distance,' or is it simply 'local,' as opposed to 'general'?

катவ̀ $\tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$ ] 'by the subject.'
 ference to the persons.'
$10 \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu]$ Rhet. 1. 8, p.
 $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ עонiца.
 If this is genuine it is a very concise
 $\delta \iota a \phi o \rho a i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$, or кат̀̀ $\tau a v ́ \tau a s$ $\tau$ às $\delta \iota a \phi o \rho a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota a \phi o \rho a l ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu:$ either of these forms would express what I conceive to be the meaning of the passage better than the one which Bekker has and Stahr keeps, though his translation is in favour of a change. 'Corresponding to the differences we observe in the constitutions are these differences in the magistracies required.'
$\delta \iota a \phi$ ध́ $\rho o v \sigma \iota \nu]$ This seems to mean, ' where different ones are required.'


























 p. 40 , wishes to read $\mu \omega \sigma \theta o \hat{v}$, relying on VII. (VI.) II. 6, $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \hat{v}$ єúmopia. But there is no absolute necessity for the change, for we may conceive the
$\eta^{\eta} \mu \omega \sigma \theta 6$ s introduced to make more precise the meaning of evjopia, and the second passage written at first with greater accuracy.
 (VI.) VIII. 23 , $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \delta o v \lambda i a \nu$. There is no possibility of subjecting them to regulations as the simplest necessity of their state of poverty would set any such aside.
$14 \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \rho \tau \sigma i \nu \quad$ opocs] 'under three heads,' ' in three points.'






























${ }^{5} 5 \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$ M $\epsilon \gamma$ áposs] Mr. Grote, ini. 60, in reference to this allusion speaks of its being of no historical value, for dates and details escape us.

this passage see Nickes, Excursus, viII. p. 145. He practically re-writes it. But, allowing that he does this successfully, and I think he does, the question occurs, is it worth while? I prefer leaving the text as it stands.
























ä $\mu a$ тaîs $\delta \nu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma l]$ in VII. (VI.) 8, this point is treated.
 another kind of power, say in the office of general and in that to which is entrusted the management of the common market transactions.'
XVI. I $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$ ] As if he had written $\tau$ à ócka $\tau \tau \dot{p} p \iota a$.

[^8]кal ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \tau \nu \nu \quad \mu$ ' $\left.\gamma \epsilon \theta o s\right]$ 'and on the condition that they are of some importance,' granting that they are not merely trivial.

3 форıкồ є $\delta \delta \eta$ ] On this question see Hermann, Pol. Antiquities (Eng. Tr.), $\S$ ro4, p. 203, and the appendices to Müller's Eumenides.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ к $\alpha \theta b \delta \omega]$ Hermann as quoted above. "The homicide was still obliged to quit the country for a season, until he obtained leave of the relations of the deceased to return."
$\Phi \rho \in a \tau \tau o \hat{\imath}]$ Mr. Grote, III. 103, note, speaks of this as "obscure."
 cial power.
 ${ }^{4} \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$, ö $\sigma \alpha$ б $\rho \alpha \chi \mu \iota \alpha \hat{\iota} \alpha$ каі $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \alpha$

 $\mu \grave{\epsilon \nu} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$
















 $\tau \iota \nu \omega \bar{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \prime \nu \tau \omega \nu$, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} є \quad \tau \rho і ́ \tau \alpha$ а́ $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о к р а т \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha}$ каі $\pi о \lambda \iota-$


4 тapà ravzà $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a]$ 'cases of summary jurisdiction, questions which do not require a number of judges.'
$5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \omega \nu]$ 'The points distinguished.'

The combinations here are not defective as they were in Ch. XV., and consequently the text does not want re-arranging, as Nickes has done in the case of that other passage. But
these minute details of arrangement, however necessary for the completeness of Aristotle's work, and for its practical utility as bearing on the nice complications of the Greek constitutions, are of no value for the modern student. It would be a mere useless burdening of the memory to attempt to master them.

## SUMMARY. BOOK VII. (VI.)

TIHERE is no break between this book and the last, and its contents have been stated generally in the summary given of the last. Its particular object is to investigate the method of constituting first democratical, then oligarchical, governments (Ch. I.).

What are the ideas at the root of democracy? what are the characteristic principles it admits? what the character it requires or tolerates in its citizens? (II.). How can the element of fairness and equality on which it prides itself be introduced, and so introduced, as that the constitution formed shall not, by its exclusiveness and adaptation to the democratical standard, entirely alienate and drive into violent opposition the other parts of the state ? (III.).

The various forms of democracy differ in point of excellence in proportion to the varying merit of the people which is predominant in each. A clear judgment must then be formed on the point, which democracy is best, and then the measures necessary for securing it must be adopted. If a democracy of free proprietors of land is the best, the state must see that its citizens, all of them, have land, and so on, as the scale descends, for the various intervening forms. The latest in order of time and most prevalent welcomes all alike, and aims only at strengthening its numbers, and breaking up the organisation of the party opposed to it. It tolerates no powerful classes, no union, no distinctions. It has much that is tyrannical in it (Ch. IV.).

To form a constitution is one thing, to keep it, when formed, in health, is another. And this is the harder task of the legislator. In his laws and constitutions he must aim at this. He must not seek the immediate and exclusive triumph of his principle at the expense of its permanence. On the contrary, he must, as far as is possible, guard against that exclusive triumph, above all things, guard against excessive irritation of his opponents, and so he must, if possible, preclude excessive poverty in the people, an end in which the party who are inferior should zealously co-operate with him. It is the common interest of all (Ch. V.).

So far for democracies. If the method of their formation is
rightly understood, it involves the right understanding of their opposites, the formation of oligarchies. And the cautions in the two cases are analogous. The safety of a democracy lies in large numbers, they seem to make opposition hopeless. The safety of an oligarchy lies in the moderate use of their power by the oligarchs (VI.). This is their real safety. To secure their power, whether moderately used or strained to excess, they must carefully consider their armed force. And if they aim at a moderate and just use of it, then there are certain ' temperamenta imperii,' modifieations which may make such wise exereise of it something in which all acquiesce (VI.).

There remains a point which was not fully treated in the last book, relating to the various magistracies which the state requires, whether democratical or oligarchical. These are enumerated at considerable length.

## ПO $\boldsymbol{H}$ ITIK $\Omega$ N H. (Z.)

П





 каі тòv оікєîov каі тò̀ $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \grave{\alpha} \pi о \delta о \hat{v} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s$



 $\delta_{\grave{\epsilon}} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$


 סıà tivas altias Bekker.
I. I In this first section I omit the clause relating to the revolutions of states, the subject of Book VIII.

2 $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l \delta \epsilon$ ', к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'Since we find$ that there is more than one kind of democracy, more than one kind also of the other constitutions, it will be as well to consider them, and at the same time if we have left anything unsaid on the former points, to consider that also, and to give the proper and suitable mode of establishing each constitution.' So I translate the passage, keeping Bekker's text strictly. Nickes wishes to substitute $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ for $\ddot{a} \mu \alpha$. By $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon l \nu \omega \nu$ I understand the $\beta o u \lambda \epsilon v$ $\tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$, $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$, a $\rho \chi \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ of the last Book.

3 dds $\left.\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime}\right] \quad \sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$ is a bringing together, uniting. Here 'the combinations.'
$\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\right]$ Here the word is very simple, 'to run into one another, to interchange.'
$4 \ell \sigma \kappa \epsilon \mu \mu \notin \nu=\iota$ l $\sigma[]$ The verb seems to be used in a passive sense.
$\tau \partial \mu \hat{e} \nu \beta o u \lambda \epsilon \cup \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu]$ Spengel would read $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \delta$ after $\mu \epsilon \nu$, as he would also substitute $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon$ for $\tau$ d̀ $\delta \epsilon$. No doubt both changes would be improvements, but they are not very important ones.
 arranged on oligarchical principles.'

Different combinations of the elements of democracy, oligarchy, \&c.





 $6 \tau i ́ \sigma \iota \nu, \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$. $\quad \circ \not \mu \omega s \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \delta \epsilon i ̂ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \prime \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$




 Democratical combinations.



 єīlv aiтial $\delta i{ }^{\prime}$ ä $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ai $\delta \eta \mu о к р а т i a l ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i o v s ~ \epsilon i \sigma i, ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$


 $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o v ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o ı s, ~ o v ̀ ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~ \delta ı a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega$
$5 \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \quad \sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'The$ combination be not in all points that of elements akin to the constitution.' "Sed sumpta è diversis et dissimilibus" is part of the comment of Victorius on the passage.
$6 \dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \tau \tau i \hat{s} \pi \dot{b} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu]$ Spengel would read aipet̀̀ moiaus (p. 34, note 31), but the present reading may be defended as virtually the equivalent of the one proposed. 'But for each of the states under their circumstances,' or 'for what kind of state each constitution is eligible.' It does not much matter which of these two is adopted.
 $\sigma v \nu \tau \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}]$ Does this last verb govern
the two accusatives, or do they depend on катабкєvá̧єıv? Perhaps the best way is to make them depend on катабкєváj $\epsilon \iota \nu$, and then let $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \omega \mu \nu$ $\sigma v \nu \tau \delta \mu \omega s$, and not $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$, precede the interrogative, 'but also let us briefly discuss the point how both these and the other forms are to be established.'
$7 \mu \iota \hat{\alpha} s]$ is not absolutely necessary. It seems put in to draw great attention to the statement, and to illustrate Aristotle's dislike to any attempt at reducing all the various forms too much under one head.
 (IV.) III. 2, the words are doopaiov каi $\beta$ ávavбov.














 $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ Dekker.
$9 \pi \rho \delta{ }_{s} \tau$ ads $\left.\delta \iota \rho \rho \theta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau s\right]$ ' For the reform of existing constitutions.'

10 $\pi \rho \grave{s} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \dot{b} \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \nu]$ depends on olxeia, 'all without exception of those points which their assumption implies,' which are akin, that is, to the democratical or oligarchical principle. I prefer this way to St. Hilaire's " grouper auteur de lear principe."

As to the words ка $\theta \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho$, к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$., which I have omitted from the text consistently with $\S$ I, Spengel would retain them, reading $\epsilon \rho \circ \hat{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \nu$ vt $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ for $\epsilon \ell \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$. It seems quite the easier plan to get rid of them at once, though this leaves, it must be confessed, the passage very abrupt.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \iota \omega \alpha \tau \alpha]$ ' The primary assumptins,' 'the fundamental principles,' in this sense, "les bases sur lesquelles," St. Hit.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \ddot{\partial} \theta \eta$ ] Compare V. (VIII.) I. 2, $\tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\eta} \theta o s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha s ~ \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta s$. The different character required by
each in its citizens to adapt them for being good members of the whole, depends on the character which is impressed on that whole. The plural $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ simply expresses the fact that as there are several constitutions, there will be several characters. The amplest discussion of the character of democracy in particular, is that given by M. De Tocqueville in his Démocratie en Amérique.
II. I vf $\pi \dot{\delta} \theta \in \sigma \iota s]$ I do not think any distinction need be drawn between this word and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ of the last chapter for any practical result. ' $\Upsilon \pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ is not the 'Idea' in Coleridge's sense of the word, but 'the primary assumption on which the given constitution is based.'

то仑िтo $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, к.т. $\lambda$.$] 'For this is the$ constant language of men, as though this were the only form in which liberty was to be enjoyed.'

Characteristics of democracy.






















Ev $\left.\mu \mu^{\prime} \nu\right]$ 'one characteristic,' 'one point.'
 in the democratical sense.'
rois $\pi \lambda \in l o \sigma l]$ 'To the greater number.'
 should hold good, and be final'? If the $\kappa a l$ is kept, I do not well see what else to make of it.
$3 \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon$ las $\left.{ }^{\circ} \rho o \nu\right]$ 'the proper limit or characteristic.'
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{l}\right]$ "le propre," 'the true ohject.'

4 סéŕtepos] I place a colon after $\delta \in u ́ t \epsilon \rho o s$, and take away the full stop after $\mu$ '́ $\rho o s$. 'From this last character-
istic of democracy comes the feeling of the citizens in such a state against every exercise of authority over them; if they cannot secure this, then they accept as the next hest theory, an authority which they exercise and suhmit to in turns, and herein this second characteristic conspires to forward the other, the liberty hased in equality.'

5 v่токєє $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ] Sc. these $\dot{v} \pi о \theta \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota$.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{d} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s]$ Is this 'the principle from which we start? or may the word not have quite a different meaning, 'such heing the character of the power exercised in a democratical government? I incline to this latter sense. ,
 moray.
















${ }^{\text {n }} \kappa$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ Dekker.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Dekker's order places $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ after $\partial \ddot{\tau} \tau \iota \partial \lambda \iota \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$.
${ }^{\text {c }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi i$ Dekker.
$\delta \iota \kappa a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha s$ каì $\notin \kappa \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu]$ It would seem that we ought to substitote $\begin{aligned} & \eta \\ & \text { for } \kappa \alpha l \text { before } \\ & \epsilon \\ & \kappa \\ & \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu\end{aligned}$.
kail $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu]$ This is superfluors.
$\tau \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ \{av, к. $\tau . \lambda$.] The order in the text seems to me better than that retained by Bekker, and given at the foot.
$6 \mu \iota \sigma \theta 0 \hat{\varepsilon} \epsilon \dot{\pi} \pi о \rho i a]$ Compare VI. (IV.) $x \mathrm{x}$. I3, note.
'av $\quad \alpha \hat{v} \theta a \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ] ' Where there is.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \in \theta \delta \delta \delta \varphi \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{o} \tau a v ́ \tau \eta s]$ The reference is to VI. (IV.) xv., but no inference could well be founded on it as to the order of Books VII. VIII. (VI. V.).
$7 \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon^{i}(\square]$ Is not, strictly speaking, a characteristic of $\dot{i \lambda c \gamma a \rho \chi i a \text {, but of }}$
dंp८ттократia, comp. VI. (IV.) viII. ix. 3. It is the last-quoted section that explains his language here, $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \delta$
 $\omega \tau$ épots.
$\beta \alpha \nu \alpha \nu \sigma[\alpha]$ The $\pi \epsilon \nu i \alpha$ in the one case, as the wealth in the other, determines the question of education. The wealthy have, the poor have not, leisure for cultivation, and though the former may neglect their opportunities, and not educate themselves, whilst the latter may secure this in spite of their disadvantages, still, on the average, it is assumed that the favourable or unfavourable outward circumstances will determine the result.
$8 \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota] \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ may stand, but $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ is far better, I think.
 mocracy.







 єं $\lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nu$.
3




 тои́тоия курíous єîvaı $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega \nu^{a} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu$.

a $\delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ Bekker.

$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a \iota \rho \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota]$ 'To strip it of its power.' The language almost seems an allusion to the case of the Areopagus and its treatment by Ephialtes and Pericles.
$9 \sigma v \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon i]$ ' there results.'
$\tau \partial \mu \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu]$ This is the passage quoted in the note on VI. (IV.) IV. 22 , to which it is sufficient to refer the reader.
 next point after this presents a difficulty.'
$\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \delta \epsilon i]$ Shall there be a property element? 'Ought the properties of 500 rich to be taken and set over against those of 1000 poor, and the thousand to have equal weight with the five hundred, or is this not the way in which you ought to establish equality in this respect, but rather, adopting the division given above, to take an equal number out of both bodies, the five hundred and the
thousand, and place in the hands of the body so formed the elections and the courts of justice.' Such seems to me the sense of the passage, but I do not feel clear as to the exact meaning of the expression $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ xi入ious $\tau \grave{a}$ $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о \sigma i \omega \nu$, "répartir de manière que," St. Hil.
$\delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu]$ This word again is difficult. Stahr makes no change, but, with Sepulveda, looking more to the sense than the word, he translates it "consultationem," and so he gets the two great functions of the citizen given in III. xi. 8, Victorius reads aip $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ in the sense of elections. I prefer $\dot{a} \rho \chi \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$, the reading adopted by St. Hilaire and Lambinus. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon$ ai $\rho \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ would make a very good reading. Of course, if $\delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ can mean 'decisions on points of policy,' then it would be the best reading of all, and I should think it might bear this meaning rather than that of 'deliberation.'





















2 ท̀ $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \delta \partial \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s]$ 'That which looks to number exclusively.'

крivєбӨal] 'Amount of property, they say, must be taken as the standard.'

3 ảסıкiav] 'unfairness.'
rupavvis] It is in principle a tyranny.
This point is discussed at greater length, III. xiII. 7.

ঠ$\eta \mu \epsilon \dot{v} o \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ Compare III. x. x.
4 j $\mu 0 \lambda 0 \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \sigma(\nu]$ ' which both alike will acquiesce in, and this must be gathered by looking at the definitions which both alike give of justice,' or ' the definition of justice in which both alike agree.'

ย̆ $\sigma \tau \omega$ ठ̀̀̀ roûтo, к.r. $\lambda$.$] ' \mathrm{Be}$ it so then, only let us limit the mode in which it is to be.'
 before, on dं $\mu \phi о \tau$ '́ $\rho o l s, ~ ' w h a t ~ b o t h ~$ together shall determine, or the majority of both.'
oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ tovs, к. $\tau . \lambda$.] 'The side on which you find number and larger property combined.' Compare Nieb. Rom. Hist. 1, 434, note 1017.

5 olov, к. r. 入.] I take the following explanation in substance from Sir G. Cornewall Lewis, On Opinion, 232. There are ten rich and twenty poor. Six rich vote on one side, fifteen poor on the other. Five poor vote with the six rich. Four rich with the fifteen poor. Then if the valuations of each are added on both sides, that side is to prevail whose aggregate valuation is highest.

Democratical equa-
lity.
$\qquad$
6






 The formtion of the best kind of democrack.










${ }^{a} \mu \eta ́$ Dekker.
$\sigma v v a \rho \iota \theta \mu o v \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.]^{r}$ Both rich and poor being reckoned in on either side respectively.'

6 i' $\sigma o l \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \iota]$ ' If they chance to come out equal.'
$\left.\dot{a} \pi{ }^{\pi} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \notin o \nu\right]$ must exclude by lot as in VI. (IV.) xiv. 13.
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho l$ Mèv $\tau \circ \hat{u}$ lvov каl $\tau о \hat{v}$ סıkaiov] 'It may be difficult, very diffcult, to find in theory what is strictly fair and just, but it is a much less difficulty than to induce those who are the stronger to acquiesce in it when found, and abstain from encroachments on their neighbours. It is ever the weaker who seek for justice, whilst the strong wholly neglect it.' Compare Thucy. v. 89-105.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IV. I } \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \epsilon] \text { 'in position.' } \\
& \pi \rho \grave{\partial} \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu] \text { See II. } 6, \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta \delta \delta \delta \varphi \\
& \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\delta} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s .
\end{aligned}
$$

'adopting the division of the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o t$, and making the constitutions in which they are supreme correspond in order with the order established among them.'
$\beta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o s]$ and as such $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \tau s$, and the $\delta \eta \mu о к р a \tau i a$ in which it is supreme will therefore be $\beta_{\epsilon} \lambda \tau l \sigma \tau \eta$ and so $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \eta$.
 without difficulty make a democracy,' or 'you can make a democracy which shall be worth something.'
$\nu 0 \mu \hat{\eta} s]$ below, § II.
$2 \tau \grave{\partial} \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon \ddot{\chi} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu]$ The editors and commentators suffer this $\mu \dot{\eta}$ to stand quietly, but it seems to me that it ought to be omitted, in fact that with it the passage is contradictory. In the first clause the people are supposed to have not much property, in the second they are supposed, with the existing reading, not to have the

 $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ à $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$. oi $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ó $\rho \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$













乌óv $\nu \nu$, $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \iota \mu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ \alpha \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta v \nu \alpha-$




necessaries of life. It makes very good sense if the $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is omitted. 'The people has not much property, and therefore it cannot command leisure, but it has the necessaries of life, as a basis to proceed on, and so it speuds its time on its business and does not covet its neighbour's property, but finds work pleasanter than mixing in politics and holding office.' It has, in fact, the two great remedies against covetousness and its causes and consequences, oú $\boldsymbol{l}$ a $\beta \rho a \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} a=\tau a \dot{v} a \gamma-$ каîa and $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{i a}$. Compare II. viI. 12.

3 ċáv $\tau$ ts aủtoús, к. $\boldsymbol{\tau} . \lambda$.] Compare for the same idea nearly VI. (IV.)
XIII. 8.

4 dं $\nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\imath}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'Satisfies their$ want if they feel some ambition.'
 Mantinea, "so moderate in its democratical tendencies as to receive a favourable judgment."

5 тou's $\delta u v a \mu \notin \nu o u s] ~ T h e ~ c a p a c i t y ~$ here indicated is supposed by some to be wealth. But it surely is better to take the sense of 'ability,' generally. "Fähigkeit," Stahr. סóvauıv $\tau \hat{\nu}$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$. VIII. (V.) Ix. I.
$6 \delta \iota \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \lambda \tau[\sigma \tau \omega \nu]$ 'in the hands of the best.'
 best kind of democracy.
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$7 \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \kappa \rho \epsilon \epsilon \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha]$ 'to be dependent,' 'relever de.' To be under control and to feel responsibility.
$\phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu]$ 'cannot check,' 'compress,' 'guard against,' for the middle sense appears. See Lobeck ad Phryn. 363.
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ è $\lambda a \tau \tau o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \prime \theta o v s]$ "geschmälert,' Stahr; "avili et comprimé", St. Hil. 'Without any loss to the greater number,' is the translation I prefer. Or is it more subjective, as the two translations I have quoted seem to make it? 'Without the majority feeling itself unfairly treated and shorn of its full rights,' ' nimis in ordinem cogi.'
$8 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu]$ the $\tau \epsilon$ of Bekker's text is wholly superfluous with the context. The каi in $\S 9$, ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀$ ồ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$, does not at all seem to require it, though it may have led to its insertion.
$\left.\mu^{\prime} \tau \rho \rho \frac{u}{} \tau \iota \nu o s\right]$ This is similar to the
enactments of the Licinian laws.
 very easy. The best way, perhaps, is to take it just as it stands. 'It was a law either that in no case at all should it be lawful to possess land beyond a certain quantity, or, if not so stringent as that, not beyond a certain quantity in a given district, that district determined by its position relatively to the city.' Why we have both $\tau \grave{\partial} a ̈ \sigma \tau v$ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ I do not see. I see no ground for any distinction being required by the sense.
$9 \mu \eta \delta \grave{e ̀} \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu]$ Comp. II. VII. 6. 7.
Oxylus. On this law I cannot make out more than what is gained from this passage.
$\tau \grave{̀} \mu \grave{̀} \delta \alpha \nu \epsilon[\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu]$ 'That it was not lawful to lend money on some specified portion of the original quantity of land owned by each.' The proprietor might borrow on the security, say, of

 , ,

















half his land, but the other half must be kept clear of all incumbrance.

Aphytæans. The inhabitants of Aphytis in Pallene.

Io $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \gamma$ do $\rho$ ] 'They do not rate the whole of the property each owns,' small though that whole be, ' but they divide it, and rate such a small part of it that even the poor can meet the demand and pay the amount of their rate.' They are consequently free from the temptation to encumber the rest of their property, and so not liable to the great danger of small proprietors, that of having to sell their land to set themselves clear from their obligations. Such seems the meaning of the passage and the bearing of the law in question. We have not knowledge enough to be very confident on such points.

It $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \epsilon i\right]$ sc. $\dot{\eta} \nu 0 \mu \dot{\eta}$.

Ovpav入єiv] 'to bivouac,' and so keep the field. The remarks would apply to the Samnites.

12 out $\dot{t} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ emp $\rho 0 \nu \mu \epsilon \tau$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s]$ "kine der Beschäftigungen," Stahr. 'No one of the occupations on which the mass is engaged involves any moral excellence.' Compare for this strong adverse feeling towards the artisan and commercial class, I. xiII. I3, III. $v$.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \rho \upharpoonleft \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota]$ Thus. I. 138, 'have in their hands,' 'handle.'
 ing,' 'lounging about,' 'circumforanei hominess.' Compare Acts, xviI. 5 , ad ropaîot.
 equally with a town population feel the want of this meeting.' This stronger social tendency of the latter is merely noticed as a fact, not dwelt on as an

 of demo-
cracy.



 The other kinds.











 $\rho \circ \nu \tau \alpha ̀ ̀ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta \nu$ Bekker.
advantage. In fact it was not so in Aristotle's eyes.

14 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu \pi o \lambda \dot{\prime}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'The$ country which is cultivated is very distant from, far removed from the city.' $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ occurs in this sense in Demosthenes.
 'to make settlements in the country, as it were." "Emigrer de la ville," St. Hil.
$\kappa \alpha ̈ \nu]$ ' even if there be large numbers of town population, not to allow it to meet without the numbers resident in the country.' The later Roman Republic furnishes illustrations of the distinction between the town and the country population, and its important bearing on several of the political decisions.
$15 \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \sigma \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \omega s]$ "Servato ordine." 'They must deviate from the first and
best form in a regular, logical order,' 'with a due regard to logical consequence.' $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ seems equivalent to $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon s$ रive $\sigma \theta \alpha$. Of course the subject changes in the case of $\chi \omega \rho l \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, which is strictly active.
roîs $\nu \dot{\partial} \mu$ oıs каl $\tau 0 \hat{\text { ôs }}$ e $\theta \theta \epsilon \sigma \nu]$ ' In the laws and habits of the people.'
The concluding clause of this section must be thrown out of the text, as similar ones have been before. There is a greater temptation here than at the end of Ch. I. to adopt Spengel's remedy and change the past into the future tense, keeping the main part of the remark.

16 каl $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \nu]$ каi is 'both.'
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu]$ Comp. Herod.
จ. 66, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \rho l \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$.
тotề mo入itas] Compare on this subject III. II. 3, V. viI. 8 .
äтà $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa, \tau, \lambda$.] 'for none of









 tutions.











such classes are quite alien to a democracy of this kind.'
 thing, however, is to associate such elements with the existing citizens only up to the point at which the people become stronger than the upper and middle classes combined, and not to advance beyond this point.'

K $\nu \rho \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu$ ] Herod. iv. ${ }^{5} 59$, 162, \&c.
$\pi o \nu \eta \rho \delta \nu$ ] 'in the political sense,' the 'canaille.' 'For in small doses the mob element is overlooked, if admitted largely it forces itself more on the eye.'

18 aú乡ท̄бal] So the Latin 'augere,' ' to increase the power of.'
$19 \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \delta i \omega \nu i \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu]$ Break up 'the family religious rites,' or 'the religious
rites peculiar to certain families, such, for instance, as the rites peculiar to the gentes of the Potitii and Pinarii at Rome. Or compare the case of Isagoras.
$\left.\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \phi \iota \sigma \epsilon \epsilon_{0} \nu\right]$ 'by every possible contrivance mingle all classes one with the other, and break up all the old associations.' It expresses admirably the policy of the great revolution effected at Athens by Cleisthenes, Grote, IV. 173-7. Such a change as that in France, in the early part of her revolution, when the provincial distinctions were abolished, and the departmental system introduced, is an instance of the same policy.

$$
20 \pi a \rho o \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu] \text { 'to connive at.' If }
$$ Book VIII. (V.) really preceded this

5 Means of preserving democracy.



















$$
\text { a } \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \tau a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \text { Bekker. }
$$

one, a reference might have been expected here to his remarks on the policy of the tyrant.
V. I $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \gamma o \nu\right]$ One of these two ' $\epsilon \rho \gamma o \nu$ ' is superfluous, but the general writing of the book is not sufficiently careful to warrant us in rejecting either. Spengel (p. 39) would reject one.
$2 \pi \epsilon \rho i \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Another of the$ passages in favour of the usual order of arrangement. It is one which it is not possible to get rid of, and, therefore, with Nickes and Spengel, I here read $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, assuming that a change took place to suit the order, which must be reversed when that order is reverscd. It is remarked that there is no mention in any one of the suspicious places of $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$.

каi тoùs à $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi o v s$ каi то̀̀s $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu$ -
$\mu \notin \nu o v s]$ I suspect this very strongly of being the addition of some one who wished to complete, as he thought, the passage. Can the language be properly used $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \dot{a} \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o u s$ $\nu$ buovs? Still I leave the words.

ồ $\left.\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \dot{\psi} \psi \frac{\nu \tau \tau \alpha}{} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha\right]$ 'which shall embrace as much as possible what tends to the safety of constitutions.'
$\mu \grave{\eta} \nu o \mu i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ The caution is to the same effect as that in Ch. I. x.

3 roîs $\left.\delta \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu o t s\right]$ ' their respective peoples.'
rovs $\kappa \eta \delta o \mu \epsilon$ 'vovs] 'The well-wishers to the constitution.'
 from easy. Is it best to construe it quite literally ' of the property of those who are condemned, and who concern

 тoùs $\delta \eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa o u_{s} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ тoùs $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i ́ \mu o u s ~ \epsilon i \omega \theta \theta \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon i \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ c r a c y . ~$






 $\psi \in \nu$ ), on $\pi o v \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \delta o \iota ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ oui $\sigma \alpha \iota$, $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$









a á $\epsilon i$ Dekker.

the state ?' The meaning, if so, would be, the property of those who are condemned for offences which are offences against the state, in whose case, therefore, it would be natural to bring their property by fine or confiscation into the public treasury. It should, says Aristotle, be looked on as sacred to the Gods.
$4 \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i]$ Have we not here the opposite error in the text to that pointed out, VI. (IV.) xI. 2 I. There $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ had crept in for $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon l$; here $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon l$ has been substituted for $\delta \epsilon \hat{L}$. I venture to restore $\delta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$.
$\epsilon i \kappa \hat{\gamma}]$ 'temere,' 'without good or sufficient grounds,' Rhet. 1. 1. 2, p. 1354, 6.
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ rot $\gamma \epsilon, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' at any rate, not$
to look on the government as hostile to them;' rove's кupious is the object of $\nu 0 \mu i \xi \epsilon \omega$.

5 rov̂тo] sc. $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \tau \sigma \theta \circ \phi \circ \rho \epsilon i ̂$, or $\tau \grave{\partial}$ $\delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a \iota \mu \iota \sigma \theta \delta \nu$. It does not seem to matter which of these two is adopted.
$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \iota o \nu]$ 'is adverse to,' or more strongly 'involves hostility to the upper classes.'
gl $\sigma \phi o \rho a \hat{s}$ ]'The property and incometax.' It was a direct tax, in no sense a voluntary contribution to meet the wants of the state. Compare Smith, Dict. Ant.
$6 \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \bar{s}$ ] 'tends to.'
7 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \nu \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \epsilon \mu 0 v \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'They divide the surplus.'

Means of $\beta$ preserving ${ }^{\prime}$ preserving
















[^9]$\delta \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \circ$ os $\pi[\theta o s]$ is like the sieve of the Danaidæ, see L. and S.
rò $\nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \omega \bar{s} \delta \eta \mu o \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu]$ 'The democratical statesman who is worthy of the name.'
$8 \tau \epsilon \chi^{\nu} \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon 0 \nu$ ] 'Systematic measures must be adopted to secure a permanent prosperity, as this is no less for the interest of the rich,' \&c. J. B. Say, Catéchisme d'Economie Politique, p. 295.
$\gamma \eta \delta$ lov $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'The acquisition of a small plot of land,' the seven jugera, for instance, of the Roman plebeian.
$\epsilon \mu \pi o p i a s]$ Some of the MSS. read єuroplas, and certainly $\epsilon \mu \pi o \rho i a s ~ i s$ scarcely in accordance with his theory in favour of agriculture, and so alien to all trade. Either in itself is verygood sense, but I rather lean to the reading єínoplas. On the other hand, Stahr
and St. Hilaire both translate the reading Bekker retains.

9 кaтd̀ $\phi v \lambda$ d́s, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'by tribes or$ some other division dealing it to them in turns.'
$\epsilon \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \varphi]$ ' meanwhile,' that is, till on this system you have gone through the whole of the poor, and all have been admitted to a share in the distribution.
$\tau \delta \nu \mu \iota \sigma \theta b \nu]$ 'The pay' required to enable the poor to attend these indispensable meetings.
$\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \nmid \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu o u s]$ So I read with Schneider and Coray for Bekker's á $\phi \iota \epsilon \mu \notin \nu o u s$. Compare III. v. 3 .
 pendent towns in the neighbourhood.' The expression throws light on the parallel passage, $\epsilon \pi i j$ ràs $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \iota s$, II. xi. 16.
ro $\chi$ apt $\epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu]$ For the word in this sense, compare VI. (IV.) xinl. 9.
 [ '兄] $\delta$ '










 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ єűкратоข $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad o ̉ \lambda \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi \iota \omega \bar{\nu} \kappa \alpha \grave{ } \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu$ — and pro-






kail] 'also.' The same conjunction seems required before $\dot{\alpha} \phi o \rho \mu \dot{a} s$ $\delta t-$ סovtas.
$\left.\delta \iota \lambda \lambda \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha}{ }_{0} \nu \tau a s\right]$ 'taking them amongst them as individuals.' It is opposed to $\sigma v v a \theta \rho o i \xi o \nu \tau a s ~ \grave{a} \theta \rho \delta a \quad \chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ $\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$, ib. § 8. Compare II. X. I4, and note.
Tapavtivwl] Grote v. 320. Müller, Dorians 11. 9, 185. I cannot see that on either point Muller's statements are justified, that either $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ means public property, or that $\delta \iota \tau \tau$ as involves the doubling of the magistracis.
 their use.' I consider the meaning to be that expressed II. v. 6-8, and again IV. (VII.) x. 9. The policy is that said to have been adopted by Cimon.

II $\delta i \tau \tau d \dot{s}]$ 'twofold,' 'constructed on two principles.'
$\epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau \iota \delta \epsilon$, к.т. 入.] 'The result may be secured by a division in each office itself,' see VIII. (V.) v. $i$, for $\mu \epsilon \rho t$ novas.
VI. I $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\ell} \nu a \nu \tau i \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa, \tau, \lambda.\right]$
' For you must draw your conclusions from the contrary premises, and consider each oligarchy with reference to the particular democracy to which it is opposed.'
$2 \hat{\eta}$ ] 'and in this.'
ס८a८peî $\tau$ à $\tau \iota \mu \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau a$ here is simply 'to distinguish,' ' make two classes of valuations.' Compare Ch. III. I., where $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \mu \eta \mu a \tau a$ is used apparently in quite a different sense.
 к.т.д.] 'The people being introduced,


Their formation and preservation.
















 military force. $\chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \iota \mu \alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu, ~ i \pi \pi \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ o ́ \pi \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ v ~ \psi \iota \lambda o ̀ v ~ \nu a v \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v, ~$


by means of the standard of qualification required, only in such number as that, with the number introduced, the whole body of active citizens may be stronger than the body of those excluded from the government.'

3 èmırєivovтas $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \sigma \nu]$ 'slightly tightening it.'
 This is the construction Victorius adopts, " bene instructe nautis," 'well-manned.' It might be $\epsilon \pi \tau \delta \epsilon-$ $\chi \in \tau a \iota \tau o i s ~ \pi \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \sigma \iota \nu$, 'admit in their crew,' 'allow their crew.' The first translation has in its favour the subsequent expression, $\pi \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \eta-$ ко́та фаи́入 $\omega \nu$.
$\phi u \lambda \alpha \kappa \hat{s} \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta s$ ] 'greatest precautions.'
$5 \dot{a} \nu \tau \kappa \kappa \epsilon i \tau \alpha l]$ 'meets and controls,' 'balances.' The large numbers constitute a claim to power which is seen to be in some degree a valid one, and tends to quiet the oligarchical objections.
eisaçias] 'Right conduct in the oligarchs.' Stahr is right, I think, in affixing this definite sense to the word.
 the action of cavalry,' compare Herod.
 $\chi \omega \hat{\rho} \rho \frac{\nu}{}$.
$\epsilon \dot{v} \phi v \omega \hat{s}$ é $\chi \epsilon t$ ] 'it is naturally easy.'



The four kinds of military force．
















$\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ o i k o v ̂ \sigma \iota] ~ s c . ~ \chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu . ~$
ai imтотрофial］Compare VI．（IV．） III．2， 3 ．
$\dot{j} \pi \lambda[\tau \eta \nu]$ I should make this an ad－ jective in sense quite as much as $i \pi \pi a ́ \sigma \iota \mu o \nu$ ，＇fit for regular infantry．＇ Compare the adjectival use of the word ＂E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ ．L．and S．

2 rooỗov］sc．$\psi i \lambda \grave{\nu}$ кal pau－ $\tau \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu$.

ठ̈та⿱亠乂 $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, к．т．$\lambda$.$] ＇When the$ two parties quarrel and range them－ selves one against the other，it not un－ frequently happens that the oligarchs get the worst in the struggle．＇The cavalry and heavy armed are not found a match for the lighter forces．In the street fighting of antiquity，the ad－ vantage lay with the less disciplined but more available forces．The expe－ rience of the last few years since $18{ }_{4} 8$ has shown that this is no longer so； the artillery makes the struggle of the
people with the soldiery a hopeless one，granting，of course，any propor－ tion between the two forces．The re－ duction of an insurgent population is as mere a question of calculation as that of an ordinary fortress．

3 $\tau$ ov́ $\tau \omega \nu]$ sc．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ ．
$\delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu e ́ v \eta s$ ］＇resting on the distinc－ tion of age that exists．＇

ÉT८ $\mu \in \nu, \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．］＇whilst their sons are yet young，to have them taught．＇
 sie aus dem Knabenalter getreten sind，＂Stahr．The $\delta \epsilon$ answering to
 determine that these words apply to the sons．But allowing this，it is not easy to fix their meaning．
$\left.4 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta^{\delta} \sigma \sigma \nu\right]$ The previous re－ marks have been directed to the means of securing in case of quarrels the power to the oligarchy．The re－

21－2

Precau－ tons tend－ Lions tend－
ing to the safety of oligar－ shies．















mainder of the chapter touches on the question of preserving the oligarchical constitution by milder means，without coming to any open rupture．The first of these is the admission of fresh members into the government．This may be done in three ways：either the acquisition of a certain property may secure it，or the abstinence during a given period from all disqualifying occupations；or，thirdly，it may be done by a selection made by the governing body from the rest．
$\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ Ch．VI．II．
Ө $\begin{aligned}\beta \beta a i o c s] ~ I I I . ~ v . ~ 7 . ~ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa a ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \\ \text { is the }\end{aligned}$ $\chi \rho \dot{\partial} \nu 0 \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha ́$.

Ma $\sigma \sigma a \lambda[\alpha]$ Grote III． 532.
$\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota\right]$ must here mean not the＇government，＇but those＇within the pale of citizenship，＇the monîqaı hitherto excluded from the govern－ ment．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon_{\xi} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ would mean pro－ bably any body of resident foreigners． Or in the absence of any details，can the words $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\varphi} \mu a \tau \iota$ keep their natural meaning，and the $\kappa \rho l \sigma \iota \nu \pi o o v-$ $\mu^{\prime}$ thous imply a revision of the whole
body，such as that of the Roman cen－ sors，admitting new members on the ground of their worthiness，excluding others hitherto members for the re－ verse ？
 ＇Which members of the governing body must hold．＇This seems the meaning of $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i q$ here，the body of really active citizens，the governing body in the largest sense，as distinct from the executive magistrates．

кат $\not \subset \epsilon \iota \nu$ ］＇obtinere，＇＇hold，＇perhaps even more，＇hold firmly．＇
$\lambda$ єıтovprias］The nunera ædilitia at Rome are an instance of this．
6 катабкєvá乡єtข $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \kappa \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu]$＂con－ struire quelques monuments publics，＂ St．Mil．катабкєvá̧єt has the sense of some permanent construction，not sacrifices or games，but temples or aqueducts，for instance．
$7 \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \mu a \tau a, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] On this com＿$ pare his language，où $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ 解 $\tau \iota \nu \not{ }^{\prime} \mu a$ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ коぃ $\nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі $\tau t-$ $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$, Eth．viII．xvi．3．p．1163，b． 8 ．













 $\sigma \alpha \iota s ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i \nu \nu \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$



бпиократlas $\mu к к \rho \alpha ́ s] ~ ' c l o s e ~ d e m o-~$ cracies.' They combine all the faults of both.

At the end of this chapter, some editors think that in the existing work there is a gap. Nickes quotes Conring, Schneider, Schlosser, Coray. There is no need of supposing anything lost at this particular place. Others, with more reason, think that there is a gap at the end of the next chapter, that the book, in fact, has been curtailed. Spengel quoted by Nickes 125, note 1. In pp. 126, 127 , Nickes seems uncertain as to a larger loss.
VIII. I áкó入ovӨov $\delta \epsilon \in$ Compare I. I. $\not \approx \mu a \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho l \quad \notin \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu \quad \epsilon l \quad \tau \iota$ $\lambda_{0} \iota \pi b \nu$, with which this passage connects.
$\tau[\nu \omega \nu]$ On what does this depend? It is simplest, looking at VI. (IV.) xv. I, to supply ки́pıaц. $\pi \dot{\delta} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \dot{\ell}$,

каì ки́рьaı тlע $\omega \nu$.
$2 \sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu]$ 'to combine.'
$\left.\chi \omega \rho i{ }^{2} \epsilon \epsilon \nu\right]$ 'to keep distinct.'
$3 \pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} 0 \nu] \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta$, as Coray sug. gests, would, I think, be an improvement.
$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu]$ ' whose province it is to watch over the transactions that take place, and also to see that order be kept.'
$\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \dot{\sigma} \nu]$ This reluctant admission of the necessity of exchange, in the shape of sales and purchases, which are exchanges through the medium of money, is very curious.
 Liddell and Scott, "das unmittelbar nächste," Stahr.
$\delta \iota$ ' $\eta \mathrm{\eta} \nu \delta о к о \hat{v} \sigma \omega]$ ' And it is this, this satisfying of the primary wants of our nature, that is thought to have been the origin of the union in a state.' This translation throws the relative back for its antecedent not upon

Magistracies.





















aúrápкєєa, which is the object of the social union, but on the more distant $\chi \rho \epsilon i a$, which, $\delta$ ок $\hat{\imath}$, 'is thought to be so by some.'
$4 \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu \kappa a i l i \hbar \omega \nu]$ need not be limited as Stahr's translation, "Gebäude," limits it, but generally 'public and private property.'
ö $\pi \omega s$ d̀ $\nu \in \gamma \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \omega s$ é $\chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ] 'That there may be no opening for mutual complaints.'

тои́тoss] depends on ї $\mu$ оtóтрота.
 amount of business renders desirable, and the number of citizens admits of, the application of the principle of division of labour.

6 àpoovónovs] The agrimensores of Rome.
$\dot{v} \lambda \omega \rho o$ ós $\left.^{\prime}\right]$ commissioners of woods and forests.
$\pi a \rho$ ' $\hat{\nu} \nu \phi \nu \lambda a \tau \tau$ '́v $\tau \omega \nu$ ] 'Who keep them, and from whose hands the distribution is made for the wants of each department.'
$\delta \iota i \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu]$ ' department,' 'branch of administration.'

7 àvarрáфєбӨaı] 'registered.'
$\tau$ às $\kappa \rho \hat{l} \sigma \epsilon \iota s \tau a ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu]$ 'The decisions of the courts of justice.'
tàs $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ás] "opening of the pleadings," L. and S.


 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau a \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \in ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \pi \rho о \tau \iota \theta \epsilon-$

 $\chi \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu, \omega^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ö ő $\pi o v \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ єै $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \alpha i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, oü ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$








¿єро $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\mu} \nu \nu \epsilon \varsigma]$ This use of the word is not its usual one. The name is most familiar as applied to the deputies to the Amphictyonic council. Nor does it here mean magistrates such as those mentioned by Smith, Dict. Ant. "Recorders," "notaries." Such seems the meaning here, as also of $\mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu \nu \nu \epsilon s$, L. and S.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \iota]$ Of the two common senses again of this word, neither suits this passage, comp. Smith, Dict. Ant. Its sense must be analogous to that assigned in the last note to $\mu \nu \eta^{\prime}-$ $\mu_{0} \nu \epsilon$.
$8 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \tau \theta \theta \epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha-$ $\phi a ́ s]$ " mit eintreibung der verhängten Strafgelder," says Stahr, "with the collection of the fines imposed." But this is not a close rendering of the words. I take $\pi \rho o \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ as passive and masculine, ' proscriptorum' in its primary sense, and with Stahr I make it depend on $\pi \rho a \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota$. 'With the levying of the penalties from those whose names are 'posted up in accordance with the registers' of the con-
demnations.' So I render the passage.'
$\left.9{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{d} \pi \epsilon \chi \theta \theta \epsilon a \nu\right]$ 'involves much odium,' or 'has great odium attached to it.'
$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon$ ' $\lambda o s$ ] ' be executed, put in force; similar expressions are $\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu ँ \psi \circ s, \tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$.
ro $\Delta t \quad$ $]$ Because of the unpopularity that attaches to the office.
$\tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ dava $\gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha \mu \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu]$ $\pi \rho \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ is 'the proscription,' 'the posting up' of those registered or recorded. So in substance the expression is equivalent to the one noticed,
 rpaф́ás. And so Stahr takes it.
 is very hard. What is the meaning of the kal before $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ da $\rho \chi$ ás? Again, what is the sense of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu, \tau \dot{\alpha} s \nu^{\prime} a s$, $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ ? I do not see that Stahr translates the $\kappa a l$, and the sense he attaches to the $\nu \notin \omega \nu, \nu \notin \alpha$, , "fresh cases, fresh magistracies," is, I should think, open to question. At the same time, I do not see what better solution

Magistracies.


















[^10]to offer. No satisfactory rendering presents itself.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu]$ 'the actually existing cases; "herkömmhehen," Stahr.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\gamma о \rho \alpha \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \omega \nu]$ 'Those which come from.'

II $̇ \nu \hat{\eta}$ रoîs $\pi \rho a \tau \tau o \mu e ́ v o c s] ~ ' a t-$ taches to those who execute the sentences.'
$\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu$ iovs $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \tau \nu]$ ' Makes them enemies to all,' places them that is, in a hostile relation to all. There is no regular construction of the accusative. Some verb must be supplied from è $\chi \in \iota$, 'involves their being.'
 $\dot{\eta}$ before this genitive, and Stahr also inserts the article, though in brackets. It is required by the sense, and I have followed Stahr in inserting it. On the functions of ' the Eleven,' compare Hermann, Pol. Ant. § 1 39. This pas-
sage seems to limit their functions. $\tau \alpha ט ́ \tau \eta \nu]$ sc. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ фu入ár $\tau \sigma v \sigma a \nu$.
$12 \tau \iota \sigma \delta \phi \iota \sigma \mu \alpha]$ The definite article, which is the general reading, I can make nothing of. I have by a slight change introduced the indefinite pronoun. Compare for the language in justification of the change, II. v. 29. $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \mu \dot{\gamma} \tau \iota \sigma o \phi i \zeta \omega \nu \tau a l$. What is the device, $\tau \grave{\partial} \sigma \delta \phi \iota \sigma \mu a$, which is alluded to, if the article is kept? "Des moyens adroits," St. Hil., and "Das passende auskunftsmittel," Stahr.
$13 \pi \rho \grave{s}$ aúroîs] 'for these objects,' "für diese Geschäfte," Stahr; but the expression is irregular altogether. Can it be 'Therefore there must not be one definite magistracy set apart in addition to them ( $\tau o \hat{\imath} s \mu 0 \chi \theta \eta \rho \circ \hat{\imath} s)$, sc. the bad whom alone you can expect to find ready to act as jailers, \&c.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda ̀ ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu]$ ' But,' he goes on


Magistracies.















 $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$. $\quad \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ô̂̀ $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \grave{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \quad \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{o} \nu \tau \rho o ́-16$


${ }^{n} \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ Bekker.
to say, 'both of the young there must be some, and the regular magistrates must take in turns this duty.'
$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau}$ as] ' in order of time.'
 'Speciosi magis ministerii,' 'holding a higher position,' ' of more importance in the scale.'
 I do not see why eljl should not at once be substituted for $\epsilon$ lev, which cannot be construed.
$\dot{\delta} \mu 0 t \omega s$ is to be taken with $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \in l \rho \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta$ кal $\epsilon \nu \quad \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu \varphi$.

$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'disciplining.'
${ }^{5} 5$ кãà $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho o s$ ] 'in detail.' VI. (IV.) I. І. ката̀ $\mu \delta \rho \iota o \nu$.

ن́nd $\tau \alpha \cup \mathfrak{v} \alpha \mathrm{s}]$ Eth. 1. i. 4, p. 1094. Io,
 $\kappa$. $\tau$. $\lambda$.
фu入a $\rho \chi$ iac] Compare Smith, Dict. Ant., art. Phylarchi.

 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ laıs ơ̈бaıs.

16 $\delta \iota a \chi \epsilon \iota \rho!$ 'Soval] ' have pass through their hands.'
$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \theta v \nu o \hat{v} \sigma a \nu]$ 'and besides bring to an account,' L. and S. Compare Smith, Dict. Ant., art. Euthyne, p. 479.

סıaxєipljovoap] I should give this verb here a slightly different sense from the one above, and its more usual sense of 'managing.'







 $18 \beta o v \lambda \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu . \mathrm{A}_{i} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồv $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \bar{\omega} \nu \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \grave{o} \nu$

 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \varrho \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha$ каì $\dot{\alpha} \nu о \rho \theta o v \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi i \pi \tau о \nu \tau \alpha$


 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa є \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ย́vas $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ iєp $\omega \sigma$ v́vŋs, oîov iєpoто七oùs каi vaoфv́-



ovv $\quad$ रópous] Not the common sense of the word. The common sense is 'advocates,' and I can only refer to the Dict. Ant. p. 1086, b, where the conjectures on the subject are given.
${ }^{17} 7$ т̀̀ $\left.\tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s \kappa a i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma \phi o \rho a ́ \nu\right]$ " La fixation et la rentrée des impôts," St. Hilaire's translation, is not in harmony with the passage, if conceivably justifiable on other grounds, which I should doubt. Stahr gives a better sense, " der Ausgang derDinge gleichwie der Vortrag derselben ruht," 'have in their hands the final ratification as well as the primary introduction of any measure.' Thus I give $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ єiбфopáv its literal signification, 'the bringing in.' E'форєia, the reading of one MS., has been adopted by several, but is not necessary, and
the context is in favour of the usual reading retained by Bekker.
$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ €ivaı $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma o \nu] ~ ' T h e r e ~$ must exist the body which can call together the sovereign body,' and this body, $\tau$ ò ovvdoov, will have in its hands the introduction of the measures to be discussed.

ठ̈rov $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ ós $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota]$ Where the government is a popular one. See below, $\$ 24$.

19 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ iep $\omega \sigma \sigma_{\nu} \eta s$ ] 'from the priestly function itself.'
$20 \dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa o \iota \nu \hat{\eta} s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] "deren$ Besorgung von dem gemeinschaftlichen heiligen Staatsheerde ausgeht." Stahr; 'all those sacrifices which derive their claim to attention from their connexion with the public or state hearth.'



















dip novas] At Athens we have the $a ̈ \rho \chi \omega \nu \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ uss combining the two terms. On the general question, comp. Hermann, Pol. Ant. $\S 56$, and note II, where the authorities are given: also ahove, III. xiv. 13 .
$21 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda o \gamma i \sigma \mu o u ́ s]$ not, I think, as L. and S. give it, a "reckoning over, calculation," but in a more technical sense, 'a review of the accounts by the $\lambda_{0 \gamma} \sigma \tau a l$, as $\epsilon \xi \in \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota s$ is a review by the officers called $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau a l, \S$ I 6.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa o \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu]$ Should $\pi \epsilon \rho!$ be supplied, or does the genitive depend on the relative? Stahr takes it in the first way, and perhaps that is the safer, though in any way it is awkward.
$22 \sigma \chi 0 \lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s]$ Compare V. (VIII.) VI. II, $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o 九 \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu b \mu \in \nu 0 c$ סià $\tau$ às єủnoplas.

עомофилак la] Compare Smith, Dict. Ant. The position of the word here prevents its heing applied to any very high magistracy, such as those mentoned in the article as existing in other states of Greece.

23 סià $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta o u \lambda i a \nu]$ ' from their not having any slaves.'
$24 \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ass aipoîvzal] This passage is not easy, and I do not feel sure of more than the general meaning. 'There are three forms of magistracy, which are adopted when the supreme magistrates are being chosen, these three are, \&c., and of them the first is, \&c.' So I translate it. The dis-
 $\beta$ oú $\lambda \eta$ is sufficiently familiar to all readers of Greek history.

Whether any other points relating

Magstracies.

 $\epsilon \iota \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$.
to the $\beta$ av $\lambda \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa \delta{ }^{\nu} \nu$ and $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \delta \partial$ were $\mid$ two subjects mentioned as much treated of here, we cannot say. At any rate, the book is short, and the wanted an additional discussion as the one he has discussed.

## BOOK VIII. (V.) SUMMARY.

IN the summary of Book VI. (IV.) I have given the position of this book in reference to the general subject. It was there stated, that the delicate problem of Greek practical statesmanship, was to balance two antagonist parties in each state; or if not to balance them, if that was given up as impossible, then, as neither the one party nor the other could be wholly got rid of, the problem was to assign their mutual relations, to temper the necessary superiority of the one, to relieve the necessary inferiority of the other ; to remove in the former ease whatever there was of insulting for the governed, to remove in the latter case, as far as possible, all causes of irritation against the governor. But a statement such as this implies constant difficulty and even danger. The governors were not easy to restrain. Their power was in most cases the result of a contest; there had been a victory and a triumph; and the sense of this acted upon both parties, humbling the inferior, raising an insolent temper in the superior. Or it might be that without any actual contest the power of the ruling party was the expression of a state of things which was past. Side by side with the party which had hitherto been dominant, had grown up another, and its strength had become such that it was no longer willing to acquiesce as before in its political inferiority. The inequality of an earlier stage, which had been just, had ceased to be so; and the desire for greater political equality was a just and a growing one. But a dominant political party is slow to recognise an alteration in the state of things fatal to its own exclusive supremacy. The



 the feeble, and the feeble can seldom persuade." The party in power yields only to pressure, and its concessions are valueless as conciliations. They are wrung from its weakness, and given with reluctance. They are stimulants to the growing strength of its
opponents. So it is a constant effort on the one side to repress, on the other to advance. This was the state of Greece in Aristotle's time, and had been so during the whole period of its history. It was the state of $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \varsigma$, permanent, and with the combinations then available, irremoveable. Of course such a state must, as I said before, lead to greater vicissitudes, to periods of crisis, to revolutions, to $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda a i$. It is these two subjects that form the subject of the analysis in this VIIIth book. It is the pathology, if I may use the expression, of Greek society. Aristotle begins with the most general expression of the one great permanent cause of the diseased state of society, the sense of inequality- $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \tilde{v} \delta i \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{o}$
 political privileges, it might be more social or more political. And it might be with a view to secure a greater equality on these points for themselves, to aid others in attaining it, or to prevent others from gaining a superiority, that the parties were formed.

This, the one great permanent cause, would not lead to violent outbreaks without certain minor conditions or occasions. These are enumerated by Aristotle (Ch. II.), and explained and illustrated (III. IV.). This enumeration, this analysis is quite general. In the Vth chapter he takes the case of democracy and applies his previous remarks to it. In the VIth he treats similarly of oligarchy. In the VIIth of aristocracy. In all three alike it is the causes of destruction that he deals with, why they do not maintain themselves, whether the cause be internal or external.

In the two next chapters VIII. IX. he addresses himself to the question how they may avoid the dangers that threaten them, how they may succeed in maintaining themselves. As a general rule this will be clear from our previous analysis of the dangers. In detail the precepts are to avoid all violation of the law ; to keep a watchful eye over slight innovations; to exercise a prudent forethought in reference to the gradually altering relations of the parties in the state, to the gradual changes in the value of property; to remove the temptations which great pecuniary advantages attached to office hold out ; to check all disorder of the finances. Such are some of the principal precautions. But this is the negative side of the question. More positive safeguards exist. These will be found to be two main ones: the securing governors qualified for their post, and, secondly, the all-important but generally neglected point, the educating the citizens in harmony with the institutions under which they live.

Passing from the free governments, the constitutions of Greece, he turns to monarchy, and of course in this case it will be the corrupter forms of monarchy that will necessarily attract his attention. For monarchy in its highest form is, we have seen, identified with the ideal government, and the elements of disturbance should be, by hypothesis, alien to such a government. It should be clear from permanent dissensions, as from violent changes, from oráous and $\mu \varepsilon \tau a \beta o \lambda i$. But the monarchical power of the semi-civilised monarchies existing in the neighbouring nations, Thracian, Macedonian, Epirotic, or Persian, and the monarchical power in the hands, either of Persian satraps or of Greek tyrants was liable to attacks, the latter form especially. All the citizens whom it had deprived of their political privileges were its sworn enemies. It was, by its definition, a monarchy exercised entirely in the interest of one man, against the will of all the others. Occasion only could be wanting for its overthrow. The earlier despots of Greece had been but shortlived, yet their power had rested on a much more solid basis, had more represented a real need of their respective states. The later ones were a much more violent shock to the feelings of their times, and were as being so of all governments the most shortlived. $\mathrm{Ch} . \mathrm{X}$. is a long enumeration of the dangers and changes in monarchical governments. Ch. XI. is an enumeration of the methods of preserving the monarchy, whether tyrannical or not. Ch. XII. is a short statement of the comparative duration of governments. Ch. XIII. contains a discussion of the sequence observed in the changes, together with a criticism on the order of succession as laid down in the Republic of Plato.

With this, somewhat abruptly, his book, as we have it at present, terminates. But the abruptness is in the form merely, for the subject of this concluding book has been adequately worked out. We cannot, that is, as in other parts of his work, lay our hands on any point in the question of the internal dissensions and revolutions of Greek states, which, on his own showing, he ought to have treated and has not. And more than this, we cannot, I think, find any point which in theory we might require to complete his statements. That many points are hastily touched, as, for instance, the matter discussed in the last chapter, will not surprise any one familiar with the method of Aristotle's writings. For with him the completeness of thought is always so much more an object than the completeness of expression. And we scarcely ever find evidences of labour bestowed on the mere dressing up of the subject. We are never suffered to forget his language in Eth.




 тò $\grave{\lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \pi o \gamma . ~}$

## ПO

IIEPI $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ồv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \dot{o} \nu \epsilon \notin \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \begin{gathered}\text { The object } \\ \text { of the }\end{gathered}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu^{\cdot} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \tau^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ̂ \alpha \iota \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { of the } \\ & \text { book．}\end{aligned}$








VIII．I．I．On the order of this book from the nature of the case see Spengel，pp．35，36．It is there re－ marked that Aristotle＇s treatment of the $\phi \theta$ opal first and then the $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a \iota$ ， of the causes of destruction before the means of conservation，points to the preceding construction in VI．VII． （IV．VI．）．
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Any difficulty$ which may be felt as to the change or rejection of certain passages in the preceding books，favourable to the existing order，could only be exchanged for a new one if they were retained un－ altered，when we come on such a passage as these opening words．In their obvious natural meaning they are the opening words of the conclu－ sion of a work．The design has been carried out in all points but one，that one shall now receive full attention．
$\epsilon_{\kappa} \kappa i \nu \omega \nu \delta \epsilon$ ］This is done in Chaps． I．－IV．We must remark that his language is $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha$, ，and that the earlier chapters are limited to these $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ̂ a \iota$ and do not touch on $\mu$ ovap－ $\chi^{i \alpha}$ ，which was not to him a $\pi$ o入ıтeia．
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta s$ то入ı七єías］V．－VII．
A．$P$ ．
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a \iota \tau[\nu \epsilon s]$ VIII．－IX．
 petition finds a defender in Stahr．I cannot see any such difference in meaning as to make it desirable to retain both．There is a difference easily seen between $\phi \theta o \rho a i$ and $\mu \epsilon \theta i$－ $\sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ，but none such here between $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i \alpha \iota$ and $\sigma \omega$ Socto．I have there－ fore，as in other passages，enclosed the words in brackets．
$2 \dot{u} \pi 0 \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \dot{\eta} \nu]$＂vondem frü－ her ausgesprochenem Satze ausgehen，＂ Stahr，＇assume as our starting point．＇
 note 34 ，wishes to read $\epsilon$ lval for кai，and I think the sense much better．Stahr retains kai．The change into $\epsilon \mathfrak{l v a}$ is，I believe，coun－ tenanced by $\S 13$ rightly viewed． What people agree in is the general statement that justice consists in what is equal in proportion to the claim of the parties．Democrat and oligarch go so far together，but then they differ immediately as to this claim．If the reading of Bekker is kept，Aristotle means to say that all allow justice to be the right thing 22
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and what is equal proportionately, but fail in attaining justice, in realising this their idea of what is equal and just. Their agreement goes no further than their language, as soon as they come to action, they are at issue as to the practical application of the language in which they agree. This leaves a definite and good sense for Bekker's text, which I have therefore not altered.

3 кar' oú $\dot{i a \nu]}$ ' in property.'
$4 \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ell \sigma \omega \nu]$ 'equality in all things.'
$\left.\pi \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \zeta_{\eta} \eta \sigma \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ So Eth. v. 2.

 єұєь каl коьььे, "denn wer mehr sei, müsse auch mehr haben," Stahr. The $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ I take to be inserted simply as a
justification of his use of $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$. $\left.5 \dot{\eta} \mu a \rho \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \mathcal{\nu} \alpha, \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega}_{s}\right]$ Spengel in the passage quoted above would read here $\dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \kappa v \hat{a} \alpha \iota ~ \delta \hat{~ e ̀ ~ r o ̂ ̀ ~} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$, but the reading in the text seems to be quite defensible.
$\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{u} \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu]$ 'according to the view which they respectively entertain.'
$6 \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu \nu 6]$ For all this language compare III. XII. 13 .

7 єंj$\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s]$ Compare VI. (IV.) viII.

$\left.\dot{\delta} \theta \in \nu \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha^{\alpha} \delta o v \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ not in the sense of $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \kappa i \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$, but ố $\begin{aligned} & \nu \\ & \\ & \kappa \kappa \alpha a, ~ E t h . ~\end{aligned}$ vi. 2. $4^{2}$, p. II 39, 3 r.
 каi ai $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ дגai, the revolutions, the changes of the government, as well as the dissensions whilst the















government remains unchanged; this last is $\sigma$ rá $\sigma$ Ls. The connexion which $\delta \iota 6$ is meant to indicate is not so clear. It seems to be this : inequality is the great cause of dissension, and dissension leads to revolution. The inequality to be remedied exists either with reference to the two parties which divide the state, the many and the wealthy, or with reference to the members of those parties within themselves, or more specifically of that one which is in actual possession of the government. If, then, the inequality may be of two kinds, the dissension may also be of two kinds, and if the dissension is of two kinds, the revolution to which it leads may also assume oneof two forms. I do not seeany other way, though not satisfied with this.
òrè $\mu \hat{c} \nu \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . r . \lambda$.$] 'At one time$ it assumes the form of an attack on the existing constitution.' It is a question of the form of government.
mo入ırelà кal apıбтократiav] Compare II. xI. 5, and VI. (IV.) Ch. vir. viII.
$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ a $\left.\dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ It is a personal question. They accept the constitution, they wish it to be placed in their own hands to administer.
$\left.9{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota\right]$ It is a question of degree. Victorius looks on the two cases indicated by ${ }^{2} \tau<$ in $\S \S 9$, 10 as varieties of the second form of revolution, $\partial \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ ov $\pi \rho \sigma$ s, к. $\tau . \lambda$. , and finds in this view the justification of the $\delta \iota \chi \hat{\omega} s \gamma i \gamma \nu 0 \nu \tau a \iota$ ai $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta o \lambda a l$. I rather look on them as proceeding from his careful attention to all distinctions, his wish to exhaust the cases. They are simply subsidiary.
$\left.10 \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s \tau_{l}\right]$ 'Some one part.'
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ̇̀ $\nu \quad \Delta a \kappa \epsilon \delta a l \mu o v \iota]$ Mr. Grote, rx. 330, considers that Lysander's object was to make the kingly office elective, not hereditary; to introduce something very similar to the method adopted at Carthage, II. II. 4.

Havoaviav] On this see Grote, II. $4^{6} 4,467$, v. 362 .
'E $\pi \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \psi]$ Of Epidamnus, we have had notices, II. vII. 23, III. xvi. i.









Mr. Grote, III. 542, remarks on them, that they are so brief as to convey little knowledge. There is a notice later Ch. IV. 7 .
$\kappa a r \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota o \nu]$ 'by a change in one part.'

II $\epsilon i s \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'H $\lambda \iota a i a \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda] ~ A$. in so many other passages, what we want here for the interpretation is a greater knowledge of facts. The mere Greek tells us nothing. Compare for the expression rov̀s $\epsilon i s \tau \partial{ }^{2} \pi o \lambda i \tau \epsilon v \mu a$ $\beta a \delta i$ ovtas, VI. (IV.) vi. 9. 'It is compulsory that, of those who are within the limits of the governing body, the magistrates should go to the Heliæa,' the "occasional public assembly" mentioned by Mr. Grote in the passage above quoted.
$\dot{\epsilon \pi} \iota \psi \eta \phi i \xi \eta \tau \alpha l]$ Is this active or passive in sense? "Appointed by vote." L. and S. quoting this passage.
$\ddot{a} \rho \chi \omega \nu \dot{o} \in i s]$ "still retaining the original single-headed archon." Compare III. xvi. i.
$\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.] There are few harder passages than this in the Politics. For the connection, I should consider all since $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota$ ̧́sov $\omega \nu$, § 7, as a parenthesis, and connect $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ immediately with that section. These are the sources of dissension, 'for in all cases alike it is from inequality that dissension proceeds.'

ở $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ́ v i \sigma o \iota s ~ \dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota ~ a ́ \nu a ́ \lambda o \gamma o \nu]$ Of course I do not mean to say that
there will be dissension if citizens unequal in position have their rights proportioned to that inequality. In one sense it is true this case presents an inequality, but it is an inequality which is really just. There is no violation of proportion. So I would paraphrase the passage, agreeing with Stahr in the sense I attach to it, but allowing that it is simply conjectural. The only other way I can suggest is: in all cases alike where there is dissension, inequality is the cause of that dissension, but it is not in all cases that inequality produces dissension ; people may be unequal and yet not quarrel, for though unequal, in the distribution of political power or privileges, a proportionate equality may be preserved. The cases in which inequality results in dissension are, then, those where over and above the inequality, there is in the distribution above mentioned, a violation of this proportionate equality. This second paraphrase supplies more, but does not change the sense of the words ou $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ so much as the other. And the general basis of the two is pretty much the same. I suspect the passage of being altogether disturbed. The ditoos $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a$ seems to refer especially to the cases of Sparta and Epidamnus. If it does it would seem more appropriate before the general remark $\pi \alpha \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v} \gamma \alpha ́ \rho$.

12 тò $\left.\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\varphi}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ Eth.


 ance.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau o ̀ ~ c ̌ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \nu o i ̂ \nu . ~ a ̈ \mu \phi \omega \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma \eta$. ó $\mu о-\mathrm{r} 3$









จ. х. 4, p. 1134, 27, è $\lambda \in v \theta \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ каi
 The two passages compared show, as does also $\S 13$ compared with $\S 2$ in this chapter, that кат' ava入oriad is equivalent to $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \xi l a \nu$. Numerical is opposed to proportionate equality.

13 ó $\mu_{0} \lambda$ oyoî̀tєs $\delta \epsilon$, к. $\tau$. 入.] The text as I have given it differs from Bekker's in its view of the article $\tau 6$ before $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$, and in its stoppingBekker places a comma after $\delta i \kappa a \omega o v$. 'Whilst agreeing in the statement,' such I make the force of $\tau \delta$, 'that abstractedly justice consists in the observance of the fair claim of all parties, they differ, as was said before, in this that the one, \&c.' See above in $\S 2$.
$I_{4}$ ס̀ò каil $\left.\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha\right]$ Again the connection, as in $\S 8$, is not quite clear. The claims of various parties are the cause of difference. These claims may be either that of superior numbers, or superior wealth, or superior birth, or superior goodness. But practically it is the first two that are important. Superiority of birth and goodness is found in so few as not to make their clain a cause of
disturbance. Add to this that superiority in goodness is generally accompanied by the indisposition to assert the claim which it gives. The two remaining claims, that of numbers and wealth, are the bases respectively of the two common forms of government, democracy, and oligarchy, and these two claims are really the only ones that in common political life are found to be the ground of political dissensions. Hence, also, to speak generally, there are two, and only two constitutions.
$\left.\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \pi \lambda e l o \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ The elements of these, $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ оs каi ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi i a$.
äторос] Some MSS. read єї $\pi$ opoc. Stahr conjecturesthat the text originally included both. It would be better if it
 $\pi o \lambda \lambda a \chi o \hat{v}$. But it may stand as it is; either involves the other. Compare VI. (IV.) iv. 18, 19. The existence of a large class of poor renders their claim inevitable, their objection to the rule of the rich inevitable, if they are subject; the objection of the rich inevitable if the poor are dominant.
$\kappa \alpha,{ }^{\prime}$ ' єкате́ $\rho a \nu$ lбóт $\left.\eta \tau \alpha\right]$ 'according to either of the two equalities.'



 $i \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau ’$ à $\xi^{\prime} \alpha \nu . \quad o ̋ \mu \omega \varsigma \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \epsilon-$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha \sigma \tau о s ~ \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \delta \eta \mu о к \rho \alpha \tau i \alpha \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \grave{ } \lambda \iota \gamma \alpha \rho-$





 $\tau \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$.



a $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Bekker.
${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa$ кồ $\left.\sigma v \mu \beta a i v o \nu \tau o s\right]$ 'from that which is habitually the result.'
${ }^{5}{ }_{5} \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{a} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ] 'There should not result in the end.'
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{e} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \tau \kappa \hat{n}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] The prin { }^{-}$ ciple of absolute or numerical equality ought to be mixed with the principle of proportionate equality, according, that is, to personal worth. Lewis on Opinion, 273.
$\left.{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \mathrm{s} \delta \epsilon\right]$ This refers to the ov $\delta \mu \mu \mathrm{ia}$ $\gamma$ à $\mu \delta \nu \mu \nu s$. Still, though no such form is permanent, there is more safety and less liability to quarrels in democracy than in oligarchy.
$\left.{ }_{0} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s} \delta \hat{\delta} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho\right]$ Instances are not difficult to find, if we wish to verify the truth of Aristotle's remark. It deserves attention in itself, and from the contrast it offers to the general estimate of these forms of government, which the influence of political sympathies has made popular
in England, not less in contempt of historical evidence, than of this, the deliberate judgment of the greatest political writer of his own or of any age. The simplest instance in Greek political experience would be that of the Thirty Tyrants at Athens, whose internal dissensions we have an opportunity of studying.
 sion occurred II. xI. 2.
$\ddot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ] 'and this,' $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \nu$, 'it is that is the most secure.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau o l o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon t \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'of such forms of government as we are now discussing,' the actual and imperfect forms.
II. I ка日b入ov] as opposed to Ch .
 So that Chaps. II., III., IV. form one division of the book.

סє] I prefer this reading of one MS. to Bekker's reading, $\delta \dot{\eta}$.


 $\tau \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu$. Toû $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oồ rally.
















$\left.\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha \alpha^{s}\right]^{~ ' ~ b y ~ t h e m s e l v e s, ' ~ w i t h o u t ~}$ any explanation attached, clear from any admixture of matter, the matter in this case being the instances from history.
 feeling in which men are led into political quarrels, the objects for which, and thirdly, what are the causes and beginnings, the whole antecedent circumstances and the particular one which may be the occasion.' Such seems in the fullest extent to be the meaning of d $\rho \chi a l$. Of course, when I say the whole antecedent circumstances, I except the two specified previously, the state of feeling and the objects aimed at, which might be thought to constitute two of them.
$2{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \nu \pi \omega s$ ] 'being affected in one manuer or another.'
roîs $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ ] simply 'to those who have advantages over them.'
3 è̀ártous] 'unfairly depressed.'
そбot $\partial \nu \tau \epsilon s$ öt $\omega \mathrm{s} \mu \epsilon[$ [Jovs] ' really equal, they strive to raise themselves above their fair position.' This interpretation seems required by the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$.
$4 \delta \theta \epsilon \nu]$ Compare Eth. vi. ii. 4, p. 1139. 3 I , $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \circ a l \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$, $\quad \ddot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta}$ $\kappa l \nu \eta \sigma \iota s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' ơ $\chi$ ô̂ êvєкa. So here it is not the object aimed at,- that is given just before, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \hat{\omega} \nu$, -that is meant by á $\rho \chi a l$, but the more immediate impulses that set people in motion.

5 ठicà кє́ $\rho o ̂ o s]$ Compare note on I. 7. It is not with any view to secure gain for themselves, but from a sense
 and occasigns of disturbnance gene. rally.







Explanatimon of the causes indicated.













of discontent with the existing arrangements. They wish to remedy an injustice which they see.
ṫTध́pous $\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ 'because they see others.'
$6 \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \theta \epsilon i a \nu]$ For the explanation of this term we must look to what Aristotle says below, III. 9, סià Tàs
 Compare De Wette, Handbuch zum neuen Testament, Rom. ii. 9, where he speaks of the senses of the word in the various passages in which it occurs in St. Paul's Epistles, and of the sense of 'party-spirit' which since Aristotle had been its usual one; and this is the sense in which Liddell and Scott take the word.
III. I $\pi \rho \partial ̀ s \tau \grave{s} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha s]$ ' against the constitutions which afford them scope.'
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad i \delta i \omega \nu\right]$ 'from private property,' 'at the expense of individuals.'
$2 \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda$ nous $\dot{\rho} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ Comp. Niebuhr, Rom. Hist. II. 602, on the character of M. Manlius, and the feelings with which he beheld the honours heaped on Camillus.
$3 \ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' More$ powerful than is consistent either with the state of which he is a member, or with the power vested in its governmont.'
$\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \nu$ " $\left.A \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon\right]$ For this see Grote iv. 216. For ostracism generally, above, III. xiII.














 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \lambda o \gamma o \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. $\quad \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$


$\left.4{ }^{\text {Póó }} \boldsymbol{6}\right]$ Compare Ch. V. 2. Nieb. über alte Gesch., Vol. iv. "There followed a time of internal dissension, with regard to which the accounts that remain are obscure." I translate from the German.
 count of the suits with which they were threatened.' The Corcyrean Sedition (Thuc. III. 70 ) is a case in point.
$5 \pi \lambda \epsilon$ iovs oi $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \neq \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon]$ Compare in Xenoph. Hell. in. 3 , § 38, et sqq., the argument of Theramenes as to the dangerous policy adopted by Critias and the extreme party.
 II 3 , we have the fact of the change in Bceotia given us as the result of the battle of Coronea. The internal causes are not given.
M $\epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ] Grote iII. 59,60 . No dates or details. Thuc. I. ro3, we
have the alliance of Megara with Athens, and in 114 , the withdrawal of Megara from that alliance. And from the context it is not improbable that it is to these facts that Aristotle's remarks apply.

- ミupaкои́гаıs] Grote, v. 286, note. It is there suggested that Aristotle's memory may have proved treacherous, and that Gelo's name has been substituted for that of Dionysius.
$\pi \rho \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{e}$ ėavactá $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'previous to the rising of the oligarchical party against them,' the fact given above in the words $\sigma v \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ of $\gamma \nu \dot{c} \rho \rho \mu,<$

$6 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, , к.т. ..] Not all increase, but 'that increase which is disproportionate,' the unequal growth of the parts of the state, or the growth of oue whilst the others remained stationary.
















7 orca $\tau$ ú mas] 'some sudden accidents.'
Tápavil] Herod. vi. 83, viI. 170; Grote, III. 519, v. 320, and note. Mr. Grote remarks justly, " that the expression gives reason to suppose that even before this event the constitution had been popular."
"A $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota]$ Herod. vi. 78-82, Hermann, Pol. Ant. 33.
$\dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta \delta \mu \eta]$ The origin and meaning of this name was, says Stahr, unknown even to the later Greeks.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \circ \frac{1 \kappa \omega \nu}{}$ ] The language of Herodotus is, "A $\rho \gamma 0 s \not \partial \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$ ' $\epsilon \chi \eta \rho \omega \theta \theta \eta$ oüт $\omega$
 $\pi \rho \eta ่ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ä $\rho \chi о \nu \tau$ 'es $\tau \epsilon$ каi $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon s$. The Argive Periœci, Hermann, Pol. Ant. § 19, conjectures, were called Ornate.
$\dot{\alpha} \tau \nu \chi o u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{y} \hat{\eta}]$ Does this refer to their losses at Tanagra, Coronea, and similar battles, taking, that is, a range of about thirty years, as expressed by the phrase $\dot{u} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \Lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \kappa \grave{\nu} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\delta} \Lambda \epsilon \mu \nu$.
$\epsilon \in \kappa \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda b \gamma o v]$ 'from the register of Athenian citizens.' Incidentally it illustrates our historical accounts and
the language of Demosthenes for the period of Aristotle's own experience. Compare on this the first Philippic. Mercenaries had entirely superseded the native Athenian forces.
$8 \dot{a} \pi \delta \rho \omega \nu]$ This word is doubtful. Several MSS. read $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \delta \rho \omega \nu$, and some editions. In a note on Ch. I. 14, I have stated why I think one of these words may do duty for both. But it is not for that reason that I would here keep $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\delta} \rho \omega \nu$. It is, I believe, the word which gives the best sense. An increase in the number of wealthy would have a tendency to mitigate any oligarchy, and transpose it into a $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$. At any rate, where the wealth is diffused, the oligarchy is in its mildest form. At worst, the tendency in a democracy of a large increase of the holders of wealth, would be to temper the evils to which a democracy is liable, and, by making it a good mixture, make it a mo久ıcєia. But an increase in the number of the poor would be a sound ground for infaring that wealth was becoming









 $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \nu \nu \mu i \mu \omega \nu$, ò $\tau \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho о \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota \tau \grave{o} \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ v, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \rho \stackrel{\epsilon}{\nu}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \mu \beta \rho \alpha-$





more concentrated in a few hands, that the distinction between rich and poor, as classes, was not becoming effaced, but growing sharper; that the middle class was disappearing, and the two extremes taking up a position of more marked opposition to one another. Such a condition of things would lead to an oligarchy, and gradually to its closest and narrowest form ( $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon(\alpha \nu)$.
 crease of the poor, or the increase of the standard of wealth in the case of the wealthy, are but two sides of the same condition of things. As the poor grow poorer, the rich grow richer. Their numbers do not increase, but the wealth gets enormous in the hands of the few who share it. Compare Arnold, Rom. Hist. Vol. i. p. 141 .

9 кal ävev $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \omega s$ ] 'even without any open rupture.'
${ }^{\prime}$ Hpaiq $\left.q\right]$ In Arcadia.
ท่ㅇôvro] 'They were in the habit of
choosing the members of the same party.'
tàs kvpias] 'the supreme,' those in which the government really lay.
' $\Omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\oplus}]$ Founded in the place of Histixa, in the time of Pericles. Grote, vi. 469 , Niebuhr, über alte Gesch. Vol. Iv. 179. The object was to keep Eubcea under control. Of Heracleodorus nothing is known.
ı0 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \quad \nu i \mu \omega \nu$ ] of the 'institutions.'
$\pi a \rho o \rho \omega \bar{\sigma} \tau]$ This word occurs III. viII. I.
'A $\left.\mu \beta \rho a \kappa l{ }_{l}\right]$ This town was a colony of Corinth, and later the capital of Pyrrhus, but is little known so far as its internal history is concerned.
' ' $\gamma \gamma$ ov] ' 'quite close.'
 alien element shall have become analgamated with the other,' 'coaluerit.'

v. I.
ouvoikous] expresses nothing more





 $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta s$ ，каi＇${ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha i ̂ o \iota ~ \tau o u ̀ S ~ X i ́ \omega \nu ~ \phi u \gamma a ́ \delta \alpha s ~ є i \sigma \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon v o \iota ~$






${ }_{14} \tau \omega \nu$ oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau o \iota ~ \alpha u ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad \Sigma_{\tau \alpha \sigma \iota a ́ h o v \sigma \iota} \delta^{\prime}$ є̀v $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ o ̉ \lambda \iota-$

than the fact that the new settlers join with the old．

Enoiкоиs］meanshere＇a fresh band of immigrants from the mother country．＇

Tpoisjulots］For this see Grote，III． 499．Niebuhr，uiber alte Geschichte， III．218．The foundation of Sybaris was probably a result of the Dorian conquest of Peloponnesus．

12 Өovpiocs］Thurii was founded on the site of Sybaris．The old inhabit－ ants looked on the land as their own； they could not forget their old posi－ tion，Grote VI． 17.

Busavzlocs］A second colony was sent from Megara in the year b．c． 628．For its internal changes，see Smith，Gcogr．Dict．659，b，Grote， Ix．508．Antissa，one of the Lesbian towns．

Zaүкגaîol］Compare Herod．vi．23， Mr．Grote，v．284，note，considers this brief notice in Aristotle not to be set against the perspicuous narrative of Herodotus．


Apollonia was so common a name， that it was necessary to add some dis－ tinctive epithet．This one was a Mi－ lesian colony，and of no historical im－ portance．

ミvрако́viol］Grote，v．314．＂The whole body of new citizens were de－ clared ineligible to magistracy or honour．＂Mr．Grote considers the instance badly chosen．See his note．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тà $\tau \nu \rho a \nu \nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́]$＂＇after the Ge－ lonian dynasty．＂The results of this quarrel and battle are not known．The new citizens were defeated，and＂pro－ bably＂expelled．
＇A $\mu \phi \iota \pi о$ 人ĩтal］Niebuhr üb．a．Gesch． Iv．234，＂To protect themselves against the Edonians，the Athenian colonists invited in as fellow－citizens， the Chalcidians．These became the majority，＂and hence Amphipolis joined Sparta．See Thuc．Iv．Io3， Grote，vi． 555 ．
avi $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ］Is this to be taken with $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta}$ roút $\omega \nu$ ，＇by these very men，＇＂selbst von diesen，＂or is it not better to take















it as dependent on $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \pi o t$, 'The greater number of them ?

15 kal olà roùs tótoos] ' even from mere local causes, from difference of place.'
 favourable.'
oi $̇ \in i \quad \mathrm{X} u ́ \tau \rho \varphi]$ Smith, Geogr. Dict. art. Clazomenæ, p. 632.

Ko入oфळ́vıol] Grote, III. 245. "So difficult was it in the Greek mind to keep up a permanent feeling of political amalgamation beyond the circle of the town walls." Add vi. 326.

Notieîs] Thuc. III. 34.
oú $\chi \dot{o} \mu o l \omega s, \kappa . \tau, \lambda$.] The statement is familiar to all readers of Athenian history. The opposition is prominent in the policy of Themistocles.

16 то七є̂̀̀ $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \nu$ ] 'Any difference whatever seems to have a tendency to produce opposition.'

Stácтacts] This is even more active. 'It is the greatest cause of division, of opposition.'
kaì oüt $\omega$ ס $\dot{\eta}$ ] and so on in order, one is more efficacious than another.
 " la cause toute physique," St. Hilaire.
IV. \& After enumerating the causes, and making clear by examples what he means by each, he remarks generally with regaid to political disturbances, that there is a wide distinction between their causes and occasions, or the real and the apparent causes. The occasions, the beginnings, to superficial observers the causes of a revolution, may often be extremely trivial, the real causes are not so. The immediate impulse may be something small or accidental, the real object aimed at of the highest importance. Aristotle's remark is one which should never be lost sight of in the study of history.

каi ai $\mu<\kappa \rho a l]$ ' even where the cause is really slight.'

the occasion and the object.










 Instances ${ }^{4}$ of political disturbances.
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{M}_{\eta} \delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́, \delta \dot{v} o \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho \omega^{\prime} \omega \nu \nu \nu \mu \hat{\eta} S$







 tion has no meaning, as far as I can see.
${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \tau$ oîs áp $\rho$ alots $\chi$ póvots] I can find nothing to throw light on this statement.

2 vi $\pi \epsilon \pi o เ \eta \sigma^{\prime} \sigma a \tau 0$ ] 'gained by underhand tricks,' Demosth. 365 .
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu o s]$ is the same as the $\dot{a} \pi o_{-}$ $\delta \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
z̈ $\theta \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \rho \rho \sigma \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ ' From this beginning they proceeded to associate with themselves the members of the government, until they ranged them entirely in two factions.'

3 t̀v dं $\left.\rho \chi \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha^{\rho} \rho\right]$ Eth. 1. 7. 2I. p. ro98. b. 7 .

тò द̀v aúr $\hat{\eta}, \kappa . \pi . \lambda$.$] 'is propor-$ tionally more important than a fault in any other.'

4 бvvato入av́ $\epsilon \nu$ ] Stahr quotes not inaptly from Hesiod, толлáкє каі

'E $\sigma$ rıaia] see note on III. 9 .
ov́к àmoфaivovtos] 'on the ground that he did not produce fully, set clearly forth.'

тov's $\delta \eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa o$ ós] 'The democratical party.'
$5 \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \eta \eta \bar{\epsilon} \dot{l} a s]$ 'on a marriage question.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \ddot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu]$ 'which followed at a later period.'
oi $\omega \nu \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \sigma u ́ \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu a]$ 'having taken some chance occurrence as an unfavourable omen.' The expression, neutral in itself, is by usage limited as in the translation.
$\left.\epsilon \nu^{\prime} \hat{\beta} \beta a \lambda o \nu, \quad \kappa . \tau . \lambda.\right]$ 'put in some

 ，＇vєто ’○’

















of the sacred property when he was sacrificing．＇
$\left.6 \mathrm{M} \iota \tau \cup \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \nu\right]$ For the Mitylenian revolt see Thuc．III．2，and foll．On this passage Mr．Grote（vi．299） remarks that the fact may be true， but the conception of it as a cause is incorrect．Yet I cannot see why Aristotle＇s account may not be con－ sistent with that of Thucydides，if we throw back the transaction Aristotle gives some few years earlier than the revolt．
$\hat{\eta} \rho \xi \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ ］＇began the dis－ sension，＇and when the quarrel had broken out，then，as Thucydides
 $\nu \epsilon \tau 0$ ．

7 Ф由кєv̂ $\sigma \iota]$ Bp．Thirlwall，vi． 263 ， 275 ，remarks that of the sacred or Phocian war，the main causes are
more clear than the immediate occa－ sion．
$\left.\tau \grave{\nu}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \nu \circ \mu \alpha ́ \rho \chi \circ v\right]$ sc．$\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$.
$\dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \circ s]$＇having be－ trothed underhand or beforehand．＇ This latter meaning suits the context best．
＇̇＇乡 $\left.\eta \mu \mu^{\prime} \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu\right]$＇fined him．＇

$\sigma v \nu \tau o \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu \pi o \imath \eta \sigma \alpha \iota]$＇to have strained tighter the constitution，＇ given greater vigour and intensity to its anti－democratical element．Comp． VI．（IV．）III． 8.
ò vavтєкд̀s ö $\chi$ 入os］Grote v． $369,483$.
＂Here，then，were two forces，not only distinct，but opposite and con－ flicting，both put into increased action at the same time．＂






 $\tau \grave{o} \nu \tau \dot{v} \rho \alpha \nu \nu o \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega \rho i ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ó $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} S$















$\delta \iota$ dav́r $\eta s$ ] 'and by this victory been the founder of the supremacy of Athens.' $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o \nu i a s$ depends on aitios.
$\left.9{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \nu^{\prime \prime} A \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota\right]$ Grote, VII. 124.
इvракои́баıs] Grote, x. 539.
$\mathrm{X} a \lambda \kappa i \delta \iota]$ For the early greatness of Chalcis, see Niebuhr ü. a. Gesch. rv. 177, Grote, III. 220.
$\epsilon$ є'Хєтo $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s]$ 'grasped the government.' The government of Chalcis was oligarchical very early; a tyranny was the natural result of this; but of Phoxus I can find nothing.
'A $\mu \beta \rho a \kappa l \chi]$ occurs again Ch. x. 16. Grote (III. 538) considers this Periander as probably related to the Corinthian tyrant.
 as a general rule from these particular instances.'
$\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu \quad \kappa \iota \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'are a cause ot disturbance,' either direct or indirect.
II loáSn] 'be just balanced.'
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega s} \kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau o \nu]$ ' on the side of an evident superiority of strength.'

12 каӨó入ou $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oûv] returns on II. 1.





 тทба⿱, фа́бкоутєs тòv $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu \alpha т \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$



 $\beta_{\eta \kappa є} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}{ }_{S} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta_{0} \lambda_{\alpha}{ }_{s}$.
$K \iota \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon]$ He has stated generally the causes and beginnings of disturbance and revolution. He adds a few remarks on the modes in which they are effected; they are the two simple and permanent ones of force and fraud. The first may be applied at once, or had recourse to later, when the other is seen through.

I3 $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{a} \tau \eta \delta i \tau \tau \dot{\eta}]$ The second form of $\dot{a} \pi a \dot{a} \tau \eta$ hardly comes under our ideas of deceit. It is 'persuasion' rather.
$\epsilon ̇ \pi i \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \kappa \circ \sigma t \omega \nu]$ Grote vili. 35 , Thuc. VIII. 53, 54, $\dot{\text { ó }} \delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$
 $\mu \in \nu 0 s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.

катє́ $\chi \epsilon \nu$ ] 'keep it firm in their hands, and that against the will of the people awake to the deceit practised on them.'
 really means this to be a form of deceit, it then is that worst form by which a people deceived at first is so trained and governed as to acquiesce in the result. For a nation, like an individual, may be blinded and demoralised, and ultimately accept, and even glory in a state which at another time it would reject as evil. The
rulers under whom such demoralisation takes place may point with complacency to the result, which, properly viewed, is their strongest condemnation.
That he degraded, rather than improved, the character of his countrymen, has been justly selected by Arnold as the crowning point in the infamy of the elder Dionysius,-that which in the highest degree justifies the brand of tyrant. But such a sentence is not to be reserved solely for the Greek ruler,-it has been justly deserved by many governments of more recent times. It is, if we rightly consider them, that which constitutes in our own country's history the peculiar disgrace of the miserable Stuart kings. It is the stigma which rests on the later Bourbons in France before the revolution; in Spain and Naples in the present time. It is a point for other governments to consider, our own not excepted, whether their acceptance by the nation they govern is the result of a sound judgment or of the acquiescence which is the offspring of a low state of public morality.

Revolulions in democracries.


















 'We must now take each form of government, and see what happens, availing ourselves of the principles laid down above, and dividing them so as to suit the particular case.'
$\mu \epsilon \rho i \xi o \nu \tau \alpha]$ Demosth. 1297. 21, катà $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\rho} \rho o s$, the exact opposite to $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{b} \lambda o v$, would be nearly equivalent to $\mu \epsilon \rho l$ novas.
$\tau \grave{a} \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha i \nu o \nu \tau a]$ "das in ihnen wirklicb vorkommende," Stahr.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu]$ 'intemperate conduct,' 'importunitas,' or 'libido.'
$i \delta i q]$ ' as individuals.'
$\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \phi o v \sigma \iota \nu]$ 'They force them to combine.'
коข $\nu \hat{\eta}]$ 'on them as a body,' "ihnen allen," Stahr.
$2 \mathrm{~K} \hat{\varphi}]$ The internal history does not seem to be known.
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P} \delta \delta \omega\right]$ See Ch. III. 4.
$\left.\mu \iota \sigma \theta o \phi o \rho \alpha{ }^{\nu}\right]$ pay for the people as members of the ecclesia or the courts of justice. Compare Thus. VIII. 67, $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \phi \circ \rho \alpha, \nu$, the proposal of the oligarchical conspirators, that no civil functions hereafter should be salaried. Grote, VIII. 41, and foll.
${ }^{\epsilon} \pi$ 'ópt广ov] ' wished to furnish.'
roîs $\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho a ́ \rho \chi o c s]$ These would be from the wealthier classes.
$3^{\text {'H }}$ рак $\left.\lambda \epsilon i \neq\right]$ Of the numerous towns of this name, the one on the Pontus Euxinus seems the one here meant. Nieb. ü. a. Gesch., Iv. 252. Smith, Geogr. Dict.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o \iota \kappa \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\rho}]$ 'soon after the foundtimon of the colony.' So Stair translates it. The result of these dissensions was a permanent tyranny; but this does not appear from Aristotle.
4 Me $\gamma$ áposs] Compare III. 5 and VI. (IV.) xv. $\mathrm{I}_{5}$. The three allusions seem to refer to the same events.
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 ; aúтòs $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma o ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau v \rho a \nu \nu i ́ \delta \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́-~$ $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu^{*} \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \dot{o} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i ́ \omega \nu \tau v \rho \alpha ́ \nu \nu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$







K $\dot{\prime} \mu \eta \nu]$ The Campanian city of that name, partly of Chalcidian origin, partly of Eolian, was early powerful. The decline of its power is attributed by Mr. Grote, III. 473, first to the growth of hostile powers in the interior, the Tuscans and Samnites, next to violent intestine dissensions and a destructive despotism. The particular fact in the text is obscure.

5 ad $u a \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o u s]$ Compare the expression, $\epsilon \pi i \gamma \hat{\gamma} \mathrm{~s} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{\omega}$.
$\tau \dot{s} \pi \rho \rho \sigma \sigma \delta o u s]$ 'Their incomes.'
tais $\lambda$ ectovprlacs] 'by the various public offices which they have to serve at their own expense.'

6 ötє र'̇vocto oo aủzós] 'Whenever the same man happened to be. Nor was this a rare case.' Such seems the force of the optative.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ad $\rho \chi a i \omega \nu \tau v \rho a ́ \nu \nu \omega \nu]$ For some considerable period of Greek experi-
ene, despots or tyrants i. were unknown in Greece proper. Prior to that period, they looked back on an age of despots or tyrants, and their actual experience of later, more Anistotelian times, had revived their dislike of this form of government, though in many essential features the spirit was changed, and changed for the worse. The word tyrant in its full sense is only applicable as a general rule to the later rulers who bore that name. On this distinction between the earlier and later tyrants, see Niebuhr, ü. a. Gesch., I. 328.
7 On this separation of civil and military powers, compare Heeren's Political History of Greece.
ov̉к $\epsilon$ 'тıгi $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau a \iota]$ 'They do not attempt to seize power,' as a general rule, that is ; there may be some few instances of their doing so.
 democra-
 cis.




 $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ 领 $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \chi \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \lambda o v \sigma i o u s, ~ o i ̂ o \nu ~ ' A \theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \sigma i ́$











8 ' $\gamma \chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho\lceil\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota]$ 'being placed in the hands of.'
$\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho v \tau a \nu \epsilon i a s]$ 'was the result of the Prytaneia.' This abuse of the executive power has been in all periods common. The latest and most notorious instance is that of Louis Napuleon.
$\left.{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \iota \delta \epsilon\right]$ The Greek of this passage is easy, but I do not feel clear as to the meaning. Was it that the absence of the people, their supporters, made it in the first place easier to seize a despotic power, as in consequence there was removed the check that the prosence of that people would have placed on them, and next, that the same absence made such a power more an object of their desire, as they were not safe without it. The force of this latter consideration may be seen from the case of the Gracchi, who fell by
the necessary absence of their supporters. Niebuhr, Lect. Rom. Hist., iI. p. 334, Lect. 27.

9 Пєєбiбтратоs] Grote, iII. 206.
Ө $\epsilon a \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta s]$ Grote, III. 59.
$\lambda a \beta \omega \nu$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'Having caught$ them turning them out to graze on the river side.' For $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \mu o \nu \tau a s$, compare Demosth. 1274.
10 $\Delta$ เovúc os] Grote, x. 539, 608.
mat $\quad$ las $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a s]$ I am inclined to read $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho l a s$. If marpias be kept, it must have somewhat of the same meaning, "from the old, constitutional, moderate form of democracy, such as our wiser fathers enjoyed." See note on II. xII. 4.
$\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha \rho \chi \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ] 'ambitious of office,' Aristoph. Ache. 595.

II $\tau$ às $\phi u \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} s$ ] 'The people,' that is, 'in divisions, and not collectively.'

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda \alpha i \quad \gamma i \gamma \nu o \nu \tau \alpha \iota \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \grave{o} \nu \quad \delta_{\iota} \dot{\alpha}$ таútas $\tau \grave{a} S$

Revolutons in democradies. аitías.









${ }^{\text {a }} d \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Bekker.
$\phi \notin \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ roùs ar $\rho \chi o \nu \tau a s]$ "wählen," Stahr, 'create the requisite maristrates,' ' provide them.' The smaller bodies are more amenable to local and traditional influences.
VI. I Ná $\xi \varphi]$ Niebuhr, ü. a. Gesch. Iv. 189. Grote, Iv. 143.

2 Et $\chi \in \iota \delta \epsilon]$ Is it here that we find the second form of revolution or destruction of an oligarchy? Does er er $\epsilon \iota$ $\delta \epsilon$ answer to $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ? One cause of revolution lies in their misconduct towards the people they govern. So far is clear. The other is internal, from within the body itself of the oligarchy. But this second form is not simple, it admits of different cases, which he proceeds to enumerate. If we do not adopt this interpretation, it is not easy to find the second form, the $\quad \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \mathrm{\lambda os}$ $\tau \rho o \pi o s ~ a n s w e r i n g ~ t o ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~ c l e a r l y ~$ marked one. Schneider finds the answer to $\not \subset \nu a \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta \notin$, and Schneider's view is very defensible. The one plain cause of the overthrow of the oligarchy is their injuring the people. Such injury rouses the parties injured, and leads to the overthrow in
any case. A second cause is in the existence of dissensions within the obigarchical body itself, whether there be or be not misgovernment of the many. But grant that these two coincide, and that simultaneously with the sense of injustice prevailing in the great body, there is some powerful leader ready to their hands amongst the oligarchs, then the overthrow is more certain and easy. This view runs the two causes into one another, and is not inconsistent with Axistote's very concise method of statemont.
$\alpha \dot{v} \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ ] I prefer this reading to the one Beaker retains, $\ddot{d \lambda \lambda \omega \nu}$. He mentions another, avi $\hat{\omega} v$, but I follow Nicks in substituting avi $\tilde{\omega} \nu$. 'When the origin of the disturbance is from within their own body,-viz., the oligarchs -and this is not a rare case-it takes different forms.' So I would translate the каl $\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \operatorname{avi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 two words are here evidently used indiscriminately.
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2 "I $\sigma \tau \rho \omega]$ Istros on the Borysthenes is, historically, extremely obscure.

3 éкíLov $]$ 'kept agitating.'
t' $\nu \theta \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu]$ ' At Marseilles.' Niebuhr ü. a. Gesch., IV., 639, speaks of its "reputation for good order." Grote, III. 532, and note. "The senate was originally a body completely close, which gave rise to discontent on the part of the wealthy men not included in it: a mitigation took place by admitting into it, occasionally, men selected from the latter."
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{u} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ] 'finally ended.'
4 K $\nu i \delta \omega]$ its internal history unknown.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\eta$ خ $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ oft $\alpha \tau o \nu]$ 'but only the eldest.'

5 'E $\rho v \theta \rho a \hat{i s}]$ but little known, Grote, III. 243 , note 2 .
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l \alpha]$ is the subject to $\epsilon \pi \pi \mu \epsilon \lambda o \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$, 'although those in the government exercised their power well.'
$\phi \iota \lambda o \nu \epsilon \iota \kappa i \alpha \nu]$ 'from personal rivalry.
6 oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \mathrm{X} a \rho \iota \kappa \lambda \epsilon \in a]$ This allusion to Charicles, for we may limit the expression to him, is endorsed in Biogr. Dict., art. Charicles. In the passage there quoted from Lysias, contr. Eratosth. p. 125, the expression
 є̇тaıpєía supports Aristotle's remark, and after the death of Critias, he is considered by Mr. Grote as the leader of the more violent party, vIII. 370.
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 $8_{5}$, takes this expression as singular 'Phrynichus.' As in the last case, we should from Xenophon have considered Critias as the more prominent member of the Thirty, so, from Thucydides, Antiphon would here have taken the place of Phrynichus, yet the assassination of this latter seems to show that, in the common opinion, the leadership assigned him by Aristotle was correctly assigned him.

ムapioбn] Herm. Pol. Ant. § 178. Compare also III. 2, 2, a passage which bears witness to internal disputes.

толıтофи́лакея] The word occurs again II. 8, 9 , as one of the forms of superior magistrates.
'A $\beta \dot{v} \delta \varphi]$ Little else known of $A$ bydos'
internal history. It was a colony of Miletus, Herm. Pol. Ant. $\S 78$.
 formed of membere of the government.'
$\pi \rho \delta{ }^{2} \tau$ às $\left.\kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s\right]$ 'with a view to influence decisions.'
8 à $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \gamma \hat{\omega} s]$ 'dissolutely,' Ch. V. r. This is the great point urged in Plato, Repub. viII., and almost the only one dwelt on.
${ }^{' I}$ I $\left.\pi \pi a \rho \hat{\nu} \nu_{o s}\right]$ Grote x. 599, xi. 69, 76. Arnold, Rome, Vol. I. Ch. 21. He was father of Dion. It appears that he completely re-established his fortune.
' $A \mu \phi \iota \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon]$ Ch. III. 4, where those whom he here calls $\dot{\epsilon \pi}$ тoikous, are called ámoikous. Cleotimus not known.
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9 Ai $\gamma^{i} \nu \eta \tau \eta \grave{\eta}^{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \hat{\xi} \xi(\nu]$ I can find no allusion to this apparently well known transaction.
$\tau \iota \kappa \iota \nu \epsilon i \nu]$ 'to effect some political change.'
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ̛ \tau o u ́ s] ~ " u n t e r ~ s i c h, " ~ S t a h r, ~$ referring it and ovitoc to the same people. Yet the Greek hardly warrants this, however much the sense may require it.
oĩ 0 ] "The thieves."
'A $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu(a]$ See above, III. I3.
 garchy if it do but avoid dissension, and act in concert, is not easily destroyed by any fault of its own.' Such is the view Stahr takes of the passage, " wird nicht leicht durch ihre eigene Schuld zu Grunde gerichtet." There is much in the context to favour this view. There is another admissible, I think, 'is not easily destroyed from without, by external causes.' The passage illustrates the chapter on
ò $\mu$ óvoıa, Eth. Ix. 6, p. i167, b. 2, where he calls it $\pi$ o久ıтєкخे $\phi \lambda \lambda l a$. In both views the great point for our oligarchical government is to secure internal union, where the two separate is in this. In Stahr's it will, if united, bear up against the evil effects of its own faults ; in the other view it will be proof against dangers from without.

I I $\left.{ }^{n} \mathrm{H} \lambda \iota \delta \subset\right]$ Thepoliticalhistory of Elis is not well known. Whilst connected with Sparta, its government would, of course, be oligarchical. Later we hear of factions as in the other Greek states.

ठ $\lambda i \gamma o \iota \pi a ́ \mu \pi a \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'Very few$ were admitted into the gerusia because the members were life members, and only ninety in number, and those few were chosen only from certain families, and in a manner similar to that of the Gerontes at Lacedæmon,' Müller, Dorians, II. 100.
















${ }^{2}{ }^{\text {o }} \lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ Bekker.
$\left.12 \delta_{\lambda} \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi \iota \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ I see no objection to adopting with Stahr this change for Bekker's $\delta \lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$. Not that in the other case there is any difficulty. It is so easy to supply $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$.

бт $\rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota s]$ 'Mercenaries,' 'professional soldiers,' such as those maintained by the Spartan government at Athens, under the Thirty.
 hand they place the command.' Compare Grote, XI. 194.
 leon, commanded the Corinthian troops in the war against Argos. He "stood forth as despot, taking the whole government into his own hands."
$13 \mu \in \sigma \delta \delta i \omega]$ 'An arbiter between the two factions.' "From experience, as we must presume, of the partiality which their domestic factions carried into the administration of justice, it became a general practice
to elect, by the name of podestà, a citizen of some neighbouring state as their general, their criminal judge and preserver of the peace." Hallam, Middle Ages, I. 395, 6.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l$ इíuo $\nu$ ] unknown really, as the doubts on the name seem to show.
'Iфıádov] Grote X. 521 , note, with the conjecture there given.
$14 \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota]$ passive, 'repulsam ferre.'
$\alpha \dot{\iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ Coray suggests $\delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, and the proposal is attractive, as auvi $\hat{\nu}$ seems not at all wanted. Stahr retains av̉r $\hat{\omega} \nu$. 'Themselves also of the oligarchy.'
катабтаб兀ásєбӨaı] 'being borne down by party.'
'Epє $\bar{\rho}(a]$ Like its neighbour and rival Chalcis, Eretria in the period of its prosperity was oligarchical. Compare Ch. IV. 9, and the references there given for Chalcis. Diagoras is unknown.
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a каi Beaker.

15 Ө $\quad$; $\beta$ cs] The name of Archias is prominent in Theban history, but Mr. Grote, x. II 8, does not seem able to connect the anecdote here given with the history of Thebes.
$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \omega \mathrm{s}]$ 'in a factious spirit.'
$\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \phi \iota \lambda o \nu \epsilon l \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha \nu\right]$ ' They carried so far their desire for personal or party vengeance on them.'
$\kappa \nu \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \iota]$ 'pillory.'
16 X $i \notin]$ To what period does this refer? for the oligarchy at Chios, before its revolt from Athens, b.c. $4^{12}$, is mentioned as singularly prodent.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi \tau \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau o s]$ 'from an ascident.'
$\tau \grave{a} s a ̈ \lambda \lambda a s \quad \dot{a} \rho \chi a ́ s]$ Compare the language in III. I, 6, 7.
 the time being,' 'to suit the then circumstances.'
$\pi о \lambda \lambda a \pi \lambda a \sigma l o v, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'That the$ property which was rated at a given sum so increases in value as to be capable of being rated at a sum many times as great.' The sentence is not quite regular in construction.
$\epsilon \epsilon \kappa \pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s]$ ' by degrees.'
18 I have omitted cal. Dekker




















$$
\text { a } \tau \text { ò Bekker. }
$$

mentions its omission by one MS. кvpíous] 'despotical,' 'absolute,' " willkührliche," forms in which their respective principles are developed without any check, when the law is set aside. Compare his division of democracies and oligarchies in VI. (VI.) Chaps. iv. and v.
 Of course, so far as they agree, they would be liable to the same influences. סокє $\hat{\imath} \gamma \epsilon$ ] 'seems,' and yet is not.'
$2 \pi \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$ ] This change I consider imperatively required by the sense, as in VII. (VI.) viII. 12. 'When there be a number of high-spirited men, men confident in themselves as being equal in merit to their governors.' I should greatly prefer $\dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{o} \boldsymbol{i} \omega \nu$ to
öpoov as far as sense goes, and it is adopted by Coray. But öpocov may be referred to $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$, and the change is not absolutely necessary.

Hap $\theta$ єviá] Müller, Dorians, ㅍ. 85 , Grote III. 512 .
$\left.\epsilon_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\partial} \rho \dot{\prime} \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu\right]$ If this is genuine, I am at a loss to understand it. It must, I think, be the addition of some ignorant commentator.
$\Lambda \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s]$ See Ch. I. IO, note.
$3 \dot{\epsilon} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\left.\gamma \eta \sigma \lambda \lambda \alpha, \omega\right]$ This must mean 'in the reign of Agesilaus,' but I should prefer to read 'A $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$, the genitive. This is the commoner construction of $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$, when it is used to mark time. Schneider reads 'A $\gamma \eta \sigma t-$ $\lambda$ áov. For Cinadon's conspiracy, see Xenophon, Hell. III. 3.
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4 Tvpraiov] For his life and history, with the necessary references, see Sinith, Biogr. Dict.
$\tau \grave{\nu} \mathbf{M} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \nu \iota \alpha \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma \nu]$ The second Messenian war.

Eúvouias] Grote, II. 573 .
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\partial} \alpha \sigma \tau \sigma \nu]$ see Ch. V. 5.
iva $\mu$ о $\nu \alpha \rho \chi \hat{n}]$ sc. $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha \oint \epsilon \iota$.
Пavaapias] see Ch. I. ıо.
"A $\nu \nu \omega \nu$ ] Grote, x. $55^{2}$, note. Heeren, African Nations, I. 112; also Justin, xxi. 4, "regnum invadere, interfecto senatu, conatus est Hanno."
$5 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \pi$ o $\lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i q]$ 'In the actual constitution of the government.'
$\grave{\epsilon} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{l}$,,$\kappa . \tau$. $\lambda$.] This is consistent with his language, VI. (IV.) viil. 8, 9 .
$\left.6 \delta \iota a \phi \not{ }^{\prime} \rho o v \sigma \iota\right]$ Is this 'differ and are superior to?
 1. This passage is in favour of the change there advocated of $\nu \circ \mu i \xi \circ \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta$. into $\dot{\delta} \nu \rho \mu \alpha \varsigma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$.
ai $\tau o \iota a \hat{v} \tau \alpha l]$ sc. ai $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{~} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os д̀ток入іроубац.
$\tau \grave{̀} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \nu$ ] sc. $\dot{\alpha} \rho i \theta \mu \varphi$ 'in number,' the numerical majority.
$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \pi \omega \hat{\omega} \iota \nu]$ ' And they are more inclined to acquiesce in the simple equality, whereas the wealthy, if the form of government recognise their superiority, do not rest content with this, but grow insolent, and grasp at more.'








 тò̀s $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i ́ \mu o v s ~ \sigma v \gamma \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{o} \nu ~ \nu o ́ \mu o \nu \cdot \dot{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ то-








7 '̇ $\pi i$ rav̂̃a] 'It is into this one of its two constituent elements that it changes.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu]$ ' As each of the two tries to increase its influence.'
$8 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu]$ sc. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota, \pi \epsilon-$ plárє८, 'They draw it round to.'
 In other words, $\mu \delta \nu o \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \delta \nu \iota \mu o \nu \tau o ̀$ sicalov. These are the two great divisions of political justice; the first
 jikalov, the second, that of $\tau \dot{\partial} \delta \iota o \rho \theta \omega$ тєк $\delta$, Eth. v., Chaps. vi. vii.

9 тò $\left.\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu\right]$ What does this refer to? Must it be carried back to $\S 5$,
 $\pi a \rho \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \beta a \sigma \iota \nu$, or should it be rather, ' We have an instance of this twofold change in Thurii'? The original constitution leant towards wealth, an oligarchy. This tendency was not checked, and the government be-
came more oligarchical. Hence came a re-action in a democratical sense.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ éovos] 'too high a qualification,' "Un cens trop élevé," St. Hilaire.

єis ề $\lambda a \tau \tau o \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' It was changed$ into a lower rate (sc. $\tau \ell \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ ), and the number of magistracies was increased.' This is one step of the re-action towards democracy. Then comes the second point, in which the oligarchy had gone too far.
 garchical tendency.'
$\tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ ф $\rho o v \rho \omega \hat{\nu}]$ ' The guards, mercenary, it would appear, maintained by the oligarchs.'
 ing more of the oligarchical than of the democratical character.'
каi $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \nu a \kappa \epsilon \delta a i \mu \nu \nu\right]$ So that Aristotle considers the proper character of the Lacedæmonian constitution to have been aristocratical.
 trons in aristocrapies.


















 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ ais $\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon i a \nu$ т $\hat{\omega \nu}$ є’ $\pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\Lambda о \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu]$ It ceased to be a free city, and ultimately was revolutionised. Its connection with Dionysius is characterised as an act of grave inprudence, see Grote, x. $66_{3}$, xi. 187. It was the younger Dionysius who caused its ruin. His mother was a Locrian woman.

II $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu]$ Ch. III. no.
$\tau \iota \pi \rho o \omega ิ \nu \tau \alpha \iota]$ ' have abandoned some one point.'

є̇̇ $\chi \epsilon \rho \tilde{́} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu]$ ' more easily.'
каi $\epsilon \pi i]$ Not only did the changes mentioned, $\S 9$, take place, but this also.
$12 \delta$ íà $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu]$ ' At an interval
of not less than five years.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ̀v $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota]$ 'Those in power.'

катабхŋ́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ] 'hold their own,' 'succeed,' or supplying $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma-$ $\mu a \tau a$, 'get the government into their hands.'

13 '̇ $\pi i \boldsymbol{i} \tau o v ́ \tau \psi]$ ' to prevent this.'
$\sigma \varepsilon ́ \mu \beta o v \lambda o l]$ We find this term in use at Athens, Pol. Ant. r 38, but in such a sense as the one here required, I cannot find it elsewhere.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \ell \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu]$ 'in the hands of the men who attempted the change.'





 $\epsilon \ell \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ́ \nu$.















It $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ av́ $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \overline{]}$ This would seem to determine the sense of VI .10.
$\pi \delta \rho \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'Though distant,$ yet powerful.'
 This is an odd expression. In the time when these two powers existed as rivals, and divided the Greek political world between them, were in fact the Greek political world, a time distant now for Aristotle. On the statement itself, see Grote, vi. 45. It needs no illustration here.
VIII. $\left.2 \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{0} \tau \iota\right]$ See IV. (VII.) xvil. 8, 'as much as any other point,' 'more than anything else.'

є̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta \dot{o v} \sigma \sigma a$ ] 'creeping in.'
$\delta a \pi a \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma t]$ 'spend,' 'run out,' 'exhaust.' Compare the expression in Thucydides̀ Iv. 3 , $\delta u \pi a \nu a ̂ \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$, with Arnold's note.
$3 \lambda a \nu \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \epsilon \iota$ ठè $\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \beta a \sigma \iota s]$ This clause seems to me superfluous. By a slight change in the stopping, putting a colon at $\gamma \iota \nu \dot{\rho} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \alpha \iota$, I have detached it as much as possible.
$\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda 0 \gamma l \xi \epsilon \tau a l]$ I take this in the passive sense. 'The mind is led to an erroneous conclusion.'

```
\dot{v}\pi
```

$\dot{o}$ бoфıбтıkòs $\lambda$ óros] The fallacy 'acervus' says Schneider.
 this commencement of the change.'

stability and conservation.


 кратíaı à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o ̋ \lambda \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi i ́ a \iota ~ o u ̉ ~ \delta ı a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̀ \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s$


















бофí $\mu a \tau o s \chi$ ápıv] Comp. VI. (IV.) xili. r, ö $\sigma a \quad \pi \rho о \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ \sigma o \phi l-$ soytal. In neither place is it very easy to translate the expression, 'in the way of devices,' 'to answer the purpose of.'

5 тoùs $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o \nu \iota \kappa o v^{\prime} \epsilon$ l $\sigma \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ] 'By introducing into the government those amongst them who show capacity for governing.' The rightintroduction of new elements would seem the great est of the wisdom of a governing oligarchy or aristocracy.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o \iota s \delta \eta \mu \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}$ ] 'By acting towards one another on democratical principles.' The true principle of an aristocracy is stated by

Arnold to be perfect equality within the limits of its own body, and the absolute superiority of that body over the rest. This agrees with Aristotle in this passage.
$6 \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\dot{o}} \mu \mathrm{o} \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu]$ ' in the case of the peers.' The use of oi $\delta \mu o \iota o$ as a political term at Sparta is well known.
$\delta \delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os $\ddot{\eta} \delta \bar{\eta}$, к.т. $\lambda$.$] 'By virtue of$ their equality, they are, within the limits of their own body, a democracy.'

єí $\rho \eta \tau a \iota \pi \rho o ́ t \epsilon \rho o \nu]$ See above Ch . VI. 6.

7 ' $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \theta$ ' $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu]$ ' Again by this arrangement as to the offices.'




 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta_{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \chi_{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\chi o v \sigma \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu$, $\tilde{\omega}^{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta_{\epsilon} \hat{\imath}$





 $\gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ какòv $\gamma \nu \bar{\omega} \nu \alpha \iota ~$ ov่ то̂ $\tau \cup \chi о ́ \nu \tau о s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa о \hat{v}$



 $\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o} \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{o} \nu[\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тồтov $\tau \grave{o} \nu \quad \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu]$,



oi $\delta v \nu$ á $\sigma \tau \alpha l]$ 'the members of the powerful oligarchical families.'

8 סıà $\tau$ ò $\pi \delta \rho \rho \rho \omega]$ As was the case in Crete, see II. x. I5.
ס亢à $\chi \in \iota \rho \hat{\nu}$ €̀ $\chi o v \sigma \iota]$ ' keep it in hand.' The presence of danger enforces moderation.
$\left.\nu \cup \kappa \tau \epsilon \rho เ \nu \eta ̀ \nu \quad \phi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \eta \eta^{\prime}\right]$ 'watch in the night.'
$9 \phi_{i \lambda}$ луєєкias] 'rivalries.'
$\pi \rho i \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \in ́ v a \imath$ каì aủroús] 'Before it has absorbed them too.' So I construe it, taking the subject of $\pi а \rho \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \notin \nu a_{l}$ from $\phi \iota \lambda о \nu \epsilon \epsilon \kappa i a s$.
 precautions, since it is not every one that can trace the evil at its very beginning, that is the characteristic of the statesman.'
A. $P$.
 When there has been, as we should say, a large influx of the precious metals, so that the standard, which was high, is now one which is attainable by a very much larger number, ' as the result of a large supply of money.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, к. $\tau . \lambda_{\text {.] ' ' }}$ to revise the amount of the general valuation.'
$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \kappa a \tau$ ' '̇vıavtbv] 'They take the census annually.'
$\pi о \lambda \lambda о \sigma \tau \eta \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \nu$ ] ' many times smaller.' "Vielfach kleiner," Stahr. Eth. X. v. 1r, p. 1176, 29. $\pi 0 \lambda-$ $\lambda_{0} \sigma \tau \omega$ s.
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\varphi}$ ai $\left.\tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota s\right]$ 'When the valuations were settled.'
 stability and conservation.























$\left.\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta{ }^{\nu} \nu\right]$ ' to meet,' ' to correspond with' the multiplication.

II oüt $\omega$ ] refers to di $\nu$ ยévтas kail
 foveas.

12 kail $\mu 0 \nu a \rho \chi[\alpha]$ which Dekker incloses in brackets, must be rejected. movapxia is not a mo入ıteia. Compare the end of Ch . IX. and the opening of Ch. X. It is, I believe, a clear case in which we can trace an addidion; there are so many other instances in which, unfortunately, we can only suspect one.
$\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i p o \nu \tau a \iota \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$ 'For men get corrupted.'
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau o l \gamma \epsilon]$ 'at any rate not.'
roîs $\nu \delta \mu o<s$ ovid $\omega$ s ar $\gamma \epsilon \nu]$ ] 'So to conduct matters by the laws and institutins of the state.' Compare the use of $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta$, VI. (IV.) v. 3 .
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime} s \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ] 'To remove their supporters from home.'
$\tau \dot{\alpha} s \pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon s]=\tau o u ̀ s \pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau a s$, 'their followings,' clientela.
$13 \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha]$ The institution of a censorship.



 stability and conservation.







 ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma^{*} \tau о ́ \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ а $\mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \lambda v \pi \epsilon i ̂$, тó $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$






 ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ i \delta i o u s ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \mu \alpha \hat{\alpha} \lambda-$




guard against a prosperous class, or the prosperity of a class.' Or is it a somewhat different meaning, one more consonant with the general sense of $\dot{a} \nu \grave{\alpha} \quad \mu \notin \rho o s$, 'To guard against the fluctuations of prosperity, which visits the different parts of the state in turns,' so that now one class is formidable, now another? These changes require precaution.
 to let one or other of the opposite classes monopolise the government.
rov̀s $\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mathcal{K} \hat{\imath} s]$ Here the political sense of the word is quite evident.
$15 \tau \hat{\eta}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta$ оікороміq] 'The general administration of the state,' or, as we might say, ' the economy.'
$16 \pi \rho$ òs $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ i \delta i o o s ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu]$ 'suis negotiis vacare.'
тótє] 'where this is not done,' 'to have leisure to attend to their own private concerns.'

17 тoûto] sc. $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \grave{\eta}$ кєр $\delta \alpha i \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} S$ à $\rho \chi$ ás.
$18 \pi \rho \dot{s}$ soîs loiocs] 'at their own business.'
$19 \tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ oỉv, к. т. 入.] With a view
 stability and conservation. ро́vтшv $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$, каi à $\nu \tau i \gamma \rho а ф а$ кат̀̀ фра-




















to,' ' in order that the public money may not be embezzled,' 'eo consilio ut.'
d̀viर $\rho a \phi a]$ 'copies,' 'duplicates.'
入ózovs] generally, at any rate, a military term. In Xenophon, Hiero Ix. 5, it has a civil sense, ס七йp $\eta \nu \tau a \iota$ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ä $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota$ ai $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$, ai $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha}$
 $\lambda$ oxous. The passages Schneider in his note quotes from the IIellenics, only support his view on the supposition that in Argos and Thebes, the civil and military divisions corresponded.
 $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa a i \gamma \epsilon \in \rho a s$, is his language in Eth. v. x. 7, p. 1134 b. 6; and
honours would not be needed if the offices were a source of gain. Compare note on VII. (VI.) viI. 7.
$20 \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s]$ See Ch. V. 5 , where we have $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ s oúcias, and for rov̀s картои́s here we have $\tau$ d̀s $\pi \rho o \sigma$ óסous.
$\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \delta \delta \sigma \omega]$ ' not by gift or bequest, but by inheritance on the principle of family; there should be, however, a limitation in the number,' and consequently on the amount, 'imposed by the society,' $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota \partial \nu \omega \nu$

$21 \tau o i s ~ \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s]$ equivalent to $\tau o i ̂ s ~ i v ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \cup \mu a \tau \iota$, 'The


















members of the governing body.' The mention of them, and the limitation of all the higher offices to them, leads him to speak of the qualifications require in them. Also, as remarked in the summary, in the possession of these qualifications is found one of the great positive guarantees for safety.
IX. I The three qualifications are given repeatedly. Compare the speech of Pericles, Thus. II. 60 , $\gamma^{\nu} \omega \bar{\nu}$ at $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a$ каl $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a l$ таи̂тa, $\phi \iota \lambda 6-$ $\pi \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\lambda ís} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \kappa \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$. In Aristotle, Rhet. II. Ch. I.
$\delta \dot{\nu} \alpha \mu \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu]$ 'a very great caparity for the duties of the office.'

 à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \iota \nu a$ ठiкаıa out т $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v}$,
 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi 0 \hat{0} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ фv́ $\sigma \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\partial} \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta$.

2 ova $\mu \beta a i \nu \eta]$ 'are not found comlined in the same person.'
$\delta c a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu]$ In this passage the two words $\delta \iota a l \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ and ail $\rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ seem used almost indifferently. This may help in the difficult passage, VI. (IV.) vi. 3 .

тivos $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'Which, as a$ general rule, men are likely to have a larger amount of.'

3 er $\lambda a \tau \tau o \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, к. $\left.\tau . \lambda_{.}\right]$'For more men share in goodness than in the qualifications required in a general;' or, 'there is a smaller portion of these falls to the lot of men in general, than there is of goodness.'
$\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta]$ 'The knowledge require.'
$4 \kappa a ̈ \nu \delta o ́ v a \mu c s]$ 'Supposing that there exist the capacity to administer the state.'
"H örı] 'Is it not that?' Compare for the thought contained in the

The qualifications for office.



 General precepts.
















passage, ひ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho$, к. $\tau . \lambda .$, Butler's Sermons, Sermon I., concluding paragraph, where the statement is just reversed.

5 "A $\pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \delta \epsilon]$ ' Passing from all particular points of the question as to how constitutions are preserved, we may say in general terms,' \&c.

ṫv roîs vóuocs] "Leges quibus civitates continentur," Nickes, p. 115. But it seems to me rather a reference to another work of Aristotle's answering in title, though not in spirit, to the $\nu \delta \mu o t$ of Plato.
rais $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \iota s]$ democracies and oligarchies, with their varieties and kindred forms, no thought of monarchy. Ch. II. I.
$\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ к \iota s ~ \epsilon і \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu]$ VI. (IV.) XII. х.

## VII. (VI.) vi. 2.

 portant elementary principle.'

7 Taút $\eta \nu$ єivaı $\mu i a \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\nu}\rangle$ ]'The strengthening of the constitution as it exists is the only right thing.' Such I conceive to be the meaning. Compare VII. (VI.) I. 10.
$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \stackrel{́}{\tau} \eta \tau \alpha \alpha o \hat{v} \mu \circ \rho i o v]$ 'Its due proportion as a part.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ėvavti $\omega \nu$ ]' of all the counterbalancing parts.' It needs the relief of the other features.
$8 \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \grave{a} s a \lambda \lambda a s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s]$ Göttling and Stahr defend the $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ as from the sequel. I conceive it to have been introduced to match $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ in $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ $\mu o \rho i \omega \nu$, but unlike that, to be entirely unneeded and out of place.





















$\kappa а\{\pi \epsilon \rho$ er $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa v\{a s, \kappa . \pi . \lambda$.$] Comp.$ Eth. vil. vii. 6, p. II 49, b. $34, \epsilon \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ фú $\sigma \epsilon \omega$. By $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \eta \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \iota s$ I conceive he means not his $\dot{\alpha} \rho l \sigma \tau \eta$ крatla, or ideal $\pi \rho \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \bar{i} a$, but the best arrangement of these corrupt forms, demoracy and oligarchy.

9 ö lav $\dot{\dot{\circ}} \mu \alpha \lambda \sigma \tau \eta s]$ If equality of propertly is introduced, it may be a good or an evil, but at any rate it changes the constitution.
$\phi \theta \epsilon \ell \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\tau 0 \hat{\iota} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ vi $\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \delta$ $\mu o t s]$ If this reading is the true one, I see no other way of interpreting it than this: 'so that whilst only wishing by their laws to destroy the antagonist principles or their opponents in whom that principle is embodied, they really are destroying the constitution.' But I suspect that the true reading is
$\chi$ aipovtєs, see $\S 13$, oils $\chi$ aipovaıv, 'so that, whilst taking delight in passing laws based on their superiority, whilst glad of every opportunity of carrying out their principle, they are in reality destroying the constitution,' тoîs $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ in $\epsilon \rho \circ \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \nu b \mu o l s$. This is open to two renderings, I think. By the one it would be equivalent to $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \circ \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$, 'laws carried to excess;' by the other, 'laws based on the superiority existing,' 'corresponding to it.'

II ómvóoval] Mr. Grote viii. 400, speaks of this "plainspoken oligarchical oath."

каi in $\pi о \lambda а \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'To view$ it in quite the opposite light, or, if not, to pretend they do.'




 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v о \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$, єi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ єै $\sigma о \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon i \theta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota ~ к а і ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta є \nu-~$


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \pi$ то入ıтєíav oủ тоûto, тò тoıєìv oîs Хaípovaıv oi




 таîs $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho \alpha т i \alpha \iota s ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ є i ̀ v a \iota ~ \delta о к о и ́ \sigma \alpha \iota s ~ \delta \eta \mu о к р а т \iota-~$








Є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu \alpha \iota \nu \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s]$ 'affichant,' 'putting forward ostentatiously.'
ô̂ $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ó $\lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \iota]$ Compare $V$. (VIII.) I., and I. XIII. 15.
$\tau \delta \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta a l]$ Compare V. (VIII.) I. I.
$12 \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon \delta 0 \xi \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ ] 'assented to and fully accepted by a joint act of all the citizens.'
$\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \notin \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha l]$ Unless the citizens are by habit and education in harmony with their constitution, so that their feelings and characters answer to it fully.
$\epsilon i \prime \pi \epsilon \rho$ रá $\dot{\text { é } \sigma \tau \iota \nu] \text { above § 4. Eth. VII. }}$ II. $3^{2}$, p. 1152.20 ,
$\left.{ }^{5} 3 \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon \in\right]$ We find the same language in Plato, Republic viII, 536, D. i $\sigma \chi \nu \grave{s}$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta ̀ \rho \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s, \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s, \pi \alpha \rho a \tau \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon i s$

 $\not a ̈ \sigma \theta \mu a \tau o ́ s \tau \epsilon \kappa a i \not a \pi o \rho l a s \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \delta \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.

14 Toủvaעt lov $\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o \nu \tau o s]$ 'The contrary of their true interest,' for the expression, see II. IX, 37 .

סv́o $\gamma$ á $\epsilon \in \tau \tau \iota \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] VII. (VI.) II,$ I-4, where the statements are practically equivalent, though differing in form and more full.
${ }_{15}$ єis ô $\left.\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \xi \omega \nu\right]$ Eur. Fr. 91, p. I8o, Ed. Dind. 8vo., 'as you will.'



 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ єimєîv to

 $\phi$ еіретаи каи db ,












${ }^{16}$ rov̂тo $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau l$ $\left.\phi a \hat{v} \lambda o \nu\right]$ It is the old question of the distinction between licence and liberty, the ignorance as to what really constitutes liberty. For the meaning of this word see M. Dunoyer's remarks, Liberte Du Travail, Vol. 1., p. 23 and foll.
X. r каl $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ dovap $i a s]$ He passes from the $\pi$ o入ıreial, the constitutions of Greek freemen, however far removed from the standard he would wish to see established, to the government of one, and of course mainly to the corrupt form of that government, as the really practical question; the other by his definition being strictly impossible, and even a tolerable approximation being very rare.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀$ ads $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s]$ This expression
excludes monarchy from the constitutins, as I have remarked before.

2 катд̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho \alpha \tau i a \nu]$ 'stands on the same level with,' 'answers to,' "schliesst stich an," Stahr. So that the reasoning which holds good for the apıттократiau, will hold good for the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in i a$.

3 vi $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \epsilon$ ', к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'And to begin$ with, at once, their origin is,' \&c.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta o \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'With a view$ to assistance against the people.'
$\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \dot{~} \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau]$ " der höheren Stände," says Stair rightly, 'the upper classes look to the king for protection.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s]$ 'The class of actions which naturally are the product of virtue in a man.'

тocoútou $\gamma$ 'évous] sc. кã’ de $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \tau$ os. Compare III. vil. I.


 5 єiтєiv, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ є̇к тô̂ $\delta \iota \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ тò̀s $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i ́ \mu o v s . ~ \alpha i$

 $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ т̀̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota a$ каi $\delta є \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha s$














$4 \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \delta \quad \tau \omega \nu$ ] 'from actual instances that have occurred.' Compare Grote III. 25 .

$\check{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu]$ The $\tau \epsilon$ is superfluous.
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta a \iota \nu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ] 'Wishing to overstep the hereditary limits of their power.'
 'the magistracies civil and religious.' See Grote II. 320 ; note on Theôrs.
$6 \tau \grave{\kappa} \kappa a \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\jmath} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\rho} q \delta i \omega s]$ 'Facility of accomplishing their object.' There is no so successful conspirator as he who wields the executive.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \mu \hat{\eta} s]$ 'Of their office.'
Pheidon, Grote II. 427 , Niebuhr $\ddot{u}$. a. Gesch. I. 313, where he is placed very early, quite in the pre-historic times.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 'I $\omega \nu i \alpha \nu]$ The putting down of these despots at the time of the Ionic revolt is a well authenticated historical fact. Their rise and government is obscure.

Phalaris, Grote v. 273 and foll.
Panætius, the earliest Sicilian tyrant. He had been general in a war with Megara. See Clinton, F. H. г.; в. с. 608.

Kú $\psi \in \lambda o s]$ Herod. v. 92.
 Compare III. xviII. i.


















$\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ є $\left.\dot{\prime} \epsilon \rho \gamma \in \sigma l a s\right]$ III. xIv. 12.
$\delta \dot{v} \alpha \mu \mu \nu]$ " auf Mach dazu," Stahr.
'The possession of power to enable them to seize the kingly dignity.'

8 änavets] sc. oi $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i \hat{s}$, to the exclusion of túpavvol.

Kódoos] This passage seems to itply in Aristotle a different view of Codrus from the common one. The general account is that he was hereditary king of Athens, and saved his country by his death. The language here used, implies that he earned his kingly station by his services. It places him on a level with Cyrus.

Make $\delta o ́ v \omega \nu]$ Compare a passage in Mr. Grote's Hist. iv. p. 20. After a résumé of the state of Macedonia, \&c., he adds, "Their position was first altered by the enterprise and ability of a family of exiled Greeks, who conducted a section of the Macedonian
people to those conquests which their descendants, Philip and Alexander the Great, afterwards so marvellously multiplied." For the Colossi, iv. 22 .
$9 \beta$ our $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha t ~ \delta \epsilon$ er, к. т. 入.] 'The idea of a king is that he is a guardian.' Compare Rhet. I. viii. 5, p. 1366, 6, тvpavvíoos $\tau \epsilon \in$ os $\phi v \lambda a \kappa \eta$.
$\epsilon l \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s i \delta i l a s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'Except so$ far as the tyrant's own personal interests are served thereby.'
 mont,' "to gorge each prevailing passion," Arn. Rome I. Ch. xxi. p. 474.

Io $\epsilon l s$ र $\chi$ jj $\mu a \tau \alpha$ ] The preposition is required before $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$, the sense is not complete without it.
$\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ ' 'Formed from the citizens.'

11 д̈т८ $\delta \epsilon \in$, к. $\tau$. 入.] Returns on § 2, $\tau \dot{s}$ ar $\mu a \rho \tau i a s$ er $\chi o v \sigma a$.







 $\lambda o \nu \sigma \nu \mu \beta o v i \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \nu, \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \chi^{v} \omega \nu$ кó-













$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu]$ "The common tyrannical measure of seizing the arms.'

סtowi乡єє ] Compare the treatment of Mantinea, for instance, by the Spartan oligarchy.
$I_{2}$ àvtıтє́ $\left.\chi \nu 0 v s\right]$ 'Rivals.'
${ }^{1} 3$ тò חє $\left.\epsilon \iota a ́ \nu \delta \rho o v\right]$ The statement here is consistent with III. XIII. I 6 in which see note.
$\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho$ оӫv] 'As was hinted then.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ áoıкias, к. $\tau . \lambda$.] dंбкia is $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon_{\xi} i \alpha$, and that as may be seen from $\S 10$ is partly $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \nu \nu$, partly $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \iota \hat{\eta} s$, or as it is here $\dot{\eta}$
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu l \delta i \omega \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \iota$, ü $\beta \rho \iota \nu$.

I4 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \eta$ ] 'The ends they aim at are the same ( $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath})$ as in oligarchies and democracies, the constitutions of freemen, so also,' \&c.
ai $\mu \epsilon \nu]$ ' Some attack the life, others the power.'

I5 $\alpha u \operatorname{\tau } \hat{\omega} \nu]$ 'Of the several kinds.' Compare his chapter on $\dot{\delta} \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$, Rhet. iI. ii, p. 1378, 3 r.
$\tau \mu \omega \rho l a s \quad \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \nu]$ "Therefore they attack the tyrant's person.' It is revenge they seek, not their own advantage.











 $\nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ иová $\rho \chi \omega \nu \tau \iota \nu a ́ s$, oiov каì $\dot{\eta}$ K $\rho \alpha \tau \alpha i o v ~ є i s ~ ' A \rho \chi \epsilon ́-$







$\pi \rho \circ \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \kappa i \sigma \alpha \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Quoted in the$ Scholia to Aristophanes, Ache. 990, Ed. Ding. Grote Iv. 152.

16 Periander, above v. 9.
Philip's death in $33^{6}$ в. c., is the latest event noticed in this work of Aristotle's, Grote xI. 7 II.
$\dot{v} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l " A \tau \tau \alpha \lambda o \nu]$ 'By Attalus.' Compare, for the expression, Ch. vi. 6.
'A $\left.\mu v v^{\prime} \tau o v\right]$ This allusion is obscure. The mention of Derdas seems to point to Amyntas II., the father of Philip, for Derdas, prince of Elymia was a kinsman of his, who acted in concert with him. It is a point on which Aristotle was likely to be correct ; but we have a want of historical data.

Eủarbpa] For his history, see Grote x. 18, and foll.; for this particular
incident, p. 33, with note. The eunuch's name was Thrasydæus.
$\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho \tau \delta, ~ к . \tau . \lambda] ~ ' F o r ~ b e c a u s e ~ h i s$. son, the son of Evagoras, had taken away from him his wife, he killed Evagoras, as feeling that he had been insulted by him.' So I render the passage with Stair.
${ }_{17} \mathrm{~K}$ paralou] This name varies. Grote, x. 63. Krateuas is the form there given.
'A $\rho \chi \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \alpha o \nu]$ See Thus. II. 100.
кal è入á $\tau \tau \omega \nu$ ] 'even a somewhat slight ground, viz., that.'
'A $\rho \rho \alpha \alpha^{\beta} \beta \boldsymbol{\sigma}{ }^{\circ}$ ] King of the Lyncestæ is mentioned Thus. Iv. 79.

סıaф́́ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota]$ ' quarrel.'
K $\left.\lambda_{\epsilon} \pi \operatorname{có}_{\tau} \rho a s\right]$ She, it appears, was his father's widow.


gers.






















I8 ov่ кarท̂$\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{v} \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \chi^{6} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ ] 'he did not restore him, though he had promised to do so.' Hellanocrates then was an exile.

Parrhon. Smith, Dict. Biog., reads Python. Mr. Grote, also, x. 516 .

Adamas. Grote, I. 2 I, note. I can find no historical notice of him.

Kótvp] For the history of this prince, see Grote, X. 408, and foll.
 A difficult passage. Stahr, in his translation, makes $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \ell$, к. т. 入., depend on the verbs $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \theta \theta \epsilon \rho a \nu$, ¿े $\nu$ $\chi \epsilon \rho \eta \eta \sigma a \nu$, "selbst ihre Obrigkeiten und Beherrscher getödtet oder zu tödten versucht." It would be quite as
natural to make it depend on $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$, oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, oi $\delta \hat{\delta}$. I do not see what is to be done with the passage.

II $\nu \theta a \lambda i \delta a s]$ Grote, I. 225, note, deriving their name from Penthilus, son of Orestes. Compare also II. 27 , III. $8_{4}, 265$.
$\left.\mathrm{M}_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\kappa}{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \hat{\eta}_{S}\right]$ Nothing more known, any more than of Smerdis.

20 Euripides. On this anecdote of Euripides, see Grote, x. 63 .
$\Delta \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \iota \chi o s]$ This revenge was not till six years after the death of Euripides.

21 кaì tàs $\mu$ ovap ${ }^{l a s}$ ] 'So also in the case of monarchies.'
[白 $\left.\rho \xi \xi_{\eta \nu}{ }^{\prime} A \rho \tau \alpha \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta s\right]$ Grote, VI. 493,







 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi_{i} \lambda \omega \nu \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s$ є̇ $\pi \iota \tau i \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \iota \delta_{i} \dot{\alpha}$ катаф $\rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \delta_{i} \dot{a}$



 ढ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ тoîs $\mu o \nu \alpha ́ \rho \chi o \iota s$, oîov K $\hat{v} \rho o s$







Ix. 3. If the account there given is correct, the only change required in the passage is to place the out before the verb. He had been ordered at a banquet to execute Darius, had not done so, and therefore, from fear of the consequences of his disobedience, assassinated Xerxes, B.c. 465 . Artabanus was an Hyrcanian, compare Smith, Biogr. Dict.

22 Sardanapalus. His legendary character seems recognised by Aristote, who mentions him again, Eth. I. iii. 3, p. ro95, b 2, as a type of luxury.
$23 \Delta i \omega \nu]$ Smith, Dict. Biog. Grote, XI. 121 .

$24 \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu}]$ "To hold when they have seized it.'

K $\hat{v}$ os] Was Cyrus then the general of Astyages? See Winer, Real Wörterbuch, art. Kyros. The early history of Cyrus is very obscure, and the subject of many conflicting statemints.
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \rho \gamma \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \nu \alpha \iota]$ 'was worn out.'
$\left.\Sigma \epsilon v^{\prime} \theta \eta_{s}\right]$ Xenophon, Hell. iII. ii. 2. Anab. VII. ii. 32.
$25 \mathrm{M} \iota \theta \rho \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta s$ ] Ariobarzanes was merely a satrap of the region near the Propontis and Hellespont. He revolted from the Persian king 367366 , and was joined by Datames, a Carian officer of Artaxerxes in Cappadocia. Mithridates, son of Ario-




















barzanes assassinated Datames, but apparently not Ariobarzanes. Grote, x. 496 .
$\theta \rho a ́ \sigma o s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu]$ " giebt Unternehmungsgeist," Stahr, 'inspires confidence.' I suspect this of being an addition later, and should prefer the passage without it. In that case, $\dot{a} \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ would refer to $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$ and $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$.
'̈т $\tau$ ¢os] ' There is another form.'
$26 \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha ̆ \nu \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \rho, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'just$ as they would do in the case of any any other remarkable action.' The ambition in this case aims not at gain or power, but its object is the renown or notoriety which the action will confer. It is the love of fame by itself.
$27 \dot{\delta} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ in a neuter sense.
ä $\nu \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta]$ 'supposing him not destined to succeed in the enterprise.' For калaбх $\eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \nu$, compare Ch. VII. 12, where it stands alone with the same force.

28 oî $\dot{\text { a } \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \epsilon i v, ~ к . ~} \tau$. $\lambda$.] 'On these ought to wait,' 'There ought ever to be present with them the view of Dion.' Grote speaks, xı. II8, of his " enthusiastic temperament."

д̈ $\pi о \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \not \partial \nu \nu \dot{\prime} \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho o \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu]$ 'at the point to which he should have been able to advance.'
iкavòv aủt $\hat{\text {, }, \kappa . \tau . ~ \lambda .] ~ ' H e ~ w a s ~ c o n-~}$ tent that he should have got so far, and so far only, towards his object.'









 $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, ${ }_{\omega}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ii $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ Гє́ $\lambda \omega \nu \alpha$ каi $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$






29 סıà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota 6 \tau \eta \tau a]$ 'on account of the opposition between them in principle.'

30 Макє $\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ by tot] Thus. I. 18, for the fact.

इupaкoúviol] This statement would seem to refer to the period of more than fifty years that elapsed between the expulsion of the Gelonian dynasty and the accession of Dionysius the elder, "the best and most prosperous portion of Sicilian history." The other despots were then expelled. Grote, v. 316.
aI $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi$ avirn̂s] See vi. Io, for the same expression.
oi $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ The tyrant's family or friends, the associates of his power.

$$
\nu \hat{v} \nu] \text { 'in our own day.' }
$$

$\grave{\eta} \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu \Gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu o s]$ supp. $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota s$ or $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta o \lambda \eta$ ', 'The revolution in the case of Gelon.' Grote, v. 3 Io.
$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau о s]$ ко入акє́́óv ios would be more strictly correct, but it makes A. $P$.
no practical difference which word is used in such a place. Compare VI.

 ing his worst propensities, and urging him on to gratification.'
$\dot{\delta} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s]$ is here active. "He conrived to put him indirectly aside, and thus to seize thegovernment forhinself,', oise $i \omega \nu]$ seem to mean the same as oi $\mu \epsilon \tau \in \chi$ Øovтєs.
oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \alpha v ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu]$ Schneider and Coray wish to understand $\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀$, but this is not satisfactory. The of $\sigma u$ $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon s$ seems to refer to the same persons as oiкєi $\omega \nu \sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, but ä $\pi a \nu \tau a s$ av́roús, on the other hand, seems to include these oik eton. The easiest change would be to make oi $\delta \ell$ refer to another party, the party who expelled them, and read $\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$. 'But the ex pelling party, availing themselves of the opportunity thus afforded them, as a result of their combination, expelled them one and all, Thrasybulus


Its dan. gers.













 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi_{\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \dot{\theta} \theta \eta$ тvpavvis каi $\pi о \lambda \lambda a i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

and his opponents, so far as those opponents were partisans of the dynasty., If the text, which Beaker keeps, is to be retained-and I do not feel confident of the propriety of any altera-tion-then I can only construe it: 'But the party amongst them that combined, when it had got thus far, saw that it had got an opportunity, and availed themselves of that opportunity to get rid of Thrasybulus, and with him of his nephew, the son of Gelo, and any other claimants of the tyranny there might be.' I am not sure whether Mr. Grote's expression (v. 4 II), "He (Thrasybulus) provoked amongst the Syracusans intense and universal hatred, shared even by many of the old Gelonian partizans," may be taken as favourable to this last rendering.

32 к $\eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta ; s]$ He had married Arete, the daughter of Dionysius by Aristomache.
$\delta \epsilon \hat{i}]$ ' must exist.' It is quite anconceivable that it should not, is Aristote's meaning. It is a necessity of his position, connoted, in fact, by the term tyrant. 'Though this must exist, it is often from contempt, \&c.'

33 кalpoús] 'opportunities.'
$\mu \delta \rho \iota o \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \tau \iota]$ for the relation between $\mu \hat{i} \sigma o s$ and $\delta \rho \gamma \dot{\eta}$, compare Rhet. iI. iv. 30,3 1, p. 1382 , .
$34 \pi \rho а к \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ] 'It is more efficlient.'

बvעтov(́t $\epsilon \in \rho \circ$ ] 'more impetuously,' 'vehemently.'
$\mu \grave{\eta} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega}]$ Eth. VII, vii. 9, p. 1149, 25. It does not calculate consequences, it does to a certain extent reason out its grounds, dंкодоу $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \sigma \gamma \omega \pi \omega s$.
$\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ 解 . . . $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu]$ Parenthetical. тoîs $\theta v \mu \hat{i} s$ áко $\lambda_{0 v \theta \hat{\imath} \nu, ~ ' T o ~}^{0}$ follow one's impulses blindly.'
$35 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \mu \hat{i} \sigma o s]$ " Mehr


















${ }^{2} \gamma^{i \gamma \nu \omega \nu \tau a \iota, ~ \mu о \nu a \rho \chi i a \iota ~ к а i ~ \tau v p a \nu \nu i ́ \epsilon \epsilon s ~ B e k k e r . ~}$
dagegen that dies der Hass," Stahr. 'Still hatred is a stronger impelling power than anger,' though the latter may be more vehement. Or $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota \quad \tau \hat{\varphi}$ no $\iota \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$, 'it acts more deliberately.'
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \rho \gamma \eta \dot{\eta}] R h \epsilon t$.I. ii. т. p. 1378 , 31, and for the contrast the passage I have quoted above.
av̂raı] ठो九үархia каi $\overline{\eta \mu о к р а \tau i a . ~}$
סıatperal rupavvíठєs] 'Tyrannies in more hands than one.' Compare VII. (VI.) viI. 7, $\delta \eta \mu$ ократias $\mu \iota \kappa \rho a ́ s$.
$36 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu]$ See § 3 I. St. Hilaire takes it of the agents it employs. I should rather take it of the royal family.
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu]$ The kings themselves.
37 I have little hesitation in adoptmg here Spengel's correction, p. I4,
note 17. Bekker's text is given at the foot. 'If you have instances of the rule of one, of monarchies, they are tyrannies.' Still, whilst adopting it, I think Bekker's reading may be supported. For the substance of the remark compare Grote II. 89, note.
rijvovial] 'do not come into existence.'
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o u ' s \delta^{\prime}$ rival] 'Whereas now there is a large body of equals.'
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'As to square$ with the greatness and high estimatimon of the office.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ 'With their will,' and if against their will, this of itself constitotes a tyranny.

38 кatà $\gamma$ ย́vos] 'hereditary monarshies.'

$$
25-2
$$

 Its dangers.
 $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu \in ́ \nu o v_{S} \tau \nu \rho \alpha \nu \nu \iota \kappa \grave{\eta \nu} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{v} \beta \rho_{!}^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$.


 є́тє́pas aitías.














 4 б亢̀ $\tau \alpha$ " фа́val. " $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta i ́ \delta \omega \mu \iota ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi o \lambda v \chi \rho o \nu t \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$." $\mathrm{A}_{i}$

 $\kappa о \hat{\sigma} \iota \nu$ oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau 0 \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau v \rho \alpha ́ \nu \nu \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu . \quad \tau 0 u ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha}$
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \theta o \rho \hat{a s}]$ 'Of the destruction which is an historical fact now.'
$\dot{v} \beta \rho[\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu]$ 'Insult without the power to support their conduct.'
$\left.\dot{\rho} \not a \delta l \alpha \gamma \dot{a} \rho \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma l \nu \epsilon \tau o\right]$ The tense supports the rendering I have given of $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \theta o \rho a ̂ s$.
XI. I $\left.\tau \dot{a} s{ }_{s} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \alpha_{s}\right]$ The $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ answering to this $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ is found in §4, ai $\delta \epsilon \hat{\tau} \tau \nu \alpha \nu \nu l \delta \epsilon s$.
$\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu]$ ' Their power as a whole.' But the $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu$ is far from easy.
$2 \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ Mo ${ }^{2}$ о $\left.\tau \tau o u ́ s\right]$ Grote III. 8, 9.
$\left.{ }_{\eta}{ }^{2} \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha \iota \mu \nu l \omega \nu\right]$ Grote I. 467.
є̇ $\pi \iota \alpha \alpha \tau \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \nu \tau o s]$ 'Having established it as a check.'

4 Tou's èvav $\tau \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} 0 v s$ ] 'The exact opposites of one another.'
${ }_{o}$ mapa $\left.\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \rho \epsilon \nu 0 s\right]$ 'The traditional one, and that according to which.'






 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\mu} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi o \lambda \grave{\alpha}_{S} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ ovs $\sigma v \lambda \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s \in \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$







 $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єìvaı катабко́тоия, о̂iov $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \Sigma_{v-}$




 $\mu о \nu$ тоîs $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu o \iota s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi \lambda o v \sigma i ́ o u s ~ e ́ a v \tau o i ̂ s, ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi e ́ v \eta-~$

$\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s]$ 'The Persian system of government.'
$5 \dot{\omega}$ s oîb $\nu \tau \epsilon$ ] 'as far as is possible.'
tovs фоov $\eta \mu a \tau i a s$ ] Those of high spirit. Grote, viII. 35 r.
$\phi \rho \dot{\nu \eta \eta a ́ ~} \tau \epsilon$ каl $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota s]$ ' confidence in oneself, and confidence in others,' 'spirit and mutual confidence.'
$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda a ́ s]$ 'literary assemblies or réunions.'
$\sigma u \lambda \lambda$ órous $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o u ́ s]$ Is this 'meetings for discussion'? The relations between the present French government and the Academy are a curious illustration of this passage.
$\left.\partial_{\partial \tau \iota} \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \dot{a} \gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \epsilon s\right]$ a still further application of the maxim 'divide et impera.'

6 кal $\tau \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda a, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] 'and all other$ measures of this kind, which are derived from the Persians or barbarians, are suitable to tyranny.'

7 ai $\pi \circ \tau a \gamma \omega \gamma(\delta \epsilon s]=\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma(\delta \hat{\epsilon} s$ ' tale-bearers.'
$\pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma a \dot{\zeta}\langle\nu \tau \alpha$,$] Grote, V. 304, xi.$ 69.
$8 \sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho o u ́ \epsilon(\nu]$ 'to produce clashing.'
ठ̈т由s $\left.\mu \eta \tau^{\tau} \epsilon \phi u \lambda a \kappa \grave{\eta} \tau \rho \epsilon \notin \eta \tau a \iota\right]$ "sa garde ne lui côte rien à entretenir,"


 'О $\lambda \nu \mu \pi i ́ o v ~ \dot{\eta}$ оікобо́ $\mu \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma \dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ т $\bar{\omega} \nu \Pi_{\epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu}$







 ${ }^{11} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ тои́т $\omega \nu$. каi тà $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau}$ тì $\nu \quad \delta \eta$ ократíav $\delta_{\epsilon}$





St. Hilaire, 'That he may not have to maintain a large force.'
$\left.\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \alpha \nu\right]$ See note on Ch . VIII. 18. See Plato, Rep. Ix. 567.8 . 9 'Oגv ${ }^{\prime} \pi i_{i o v]}$ Grote, IV. 146, 327. The other instances of great monuments erected by taskwork, and partly with political views, are so familiar as to need no references. We have similar facts in other history.

1o $\dot{\eta}$ tio $\phi o \rho \alpha ́]$ There seems something wanting here, as other editors have felt. If it stand as it is, it must mean, 'the bringing in' or 'payment of the taxes' is another part of the system. Compare on the taxation of Dionysius, Grote, x. 640 , xi. 69.
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \pi o t o s]$ This seems not to hold good of all tyrants. In fact, war with this object is a two-edged weapon. The speech attributed to Louis Philippe-La guerre c'est la révolution, la révolution c'est la guerre - and that of Louis Napoleon at

Bordeaux-L'Empire c'est la paixseem to show that war is by no means a necessary characteristic of governments which may fairly be classed as tyrannical. The elder Napoleon, on the other hand, found his interest, as he thought, in war, as did the government of the elder Bourbons.
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \delta \nu \rho s{ }^{\prime} \nu \quad \chi \rho \epsilon \in(q]$ This, of course, supposes in the tyrant the ability to take the command in war; otherwise, as men like Tiberius and Domitian felt, there is a great danger in this respect, - the successful commander so easily becomes an aspirant to the supreme power.

II Compare Plato, Republic, viII. 562, Е., катад́v́є $\sigma \theta a \iota \ldots$... .'s $\tau \epsilon \tau$ т̀̀s
 өŋрíw $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu a \rho \chi i a \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi v o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$, к.т. $\lambda$.

סoú $\lambda \omega \nu$ äv $\nu \sigma t s]$ Plato, as above, oi



тє $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \hat{\imath} о \nu$ єシ้̈
























$12{ }^{\text {t }} \rho \gamma$ on колакє las］The charac－ teristic of the flatterer．
$\pi о \nu \eta \rho \delta \phi i \lambda o \nu]$＇Is fond of low tasso－ ciates．Compare Eth．viII．vii．5，p． 1158,27 ，on the friends chosen by men in power．

13 グ $\lambda \varphi$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, к．$\tau . \lambda$.$] ＇For one nail$ drives out another．
$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\omega}]$＇of high bearing．＇
è $\left.\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \iota \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu\right]$＇and having a free carriage．＇
 this we find the compensation for his
apparently cold enumeration of the evil expedients of the tyrant．Their short but decisive condemnation places the rest in its true light，as the scion－ tific exposition of the question，and leaves no doubt，such as exists in the case of Machiavelli，of his moral judgment．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \alpha]$＇comprehendedunder three heads．＇
$\left.{ }^{15} \tau \circ \hat{v} \delta \iota a \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}\right]$＇their thoroughly distrusting one another．＇
 Its safety．











 $\phi \theta o \rho \hat{a}_{S} \tau \grave{o} \pi o t \epsilon i \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau v \rho \alpha \nu \nu \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ ，oü $\tau \omega \tau \hat{\eta} S \tau v$－








16 $\dot{\alpha} \delta \nu \nu a \mu l a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu]$＂Ohn－ macht zur Ausführung feindlicher Unternehmungen，＂Stahr．I think the meaning is different rather＇The sense of inability to carry on the govern－ ment if immediately successful；＇and yet the context must be allowed to favour Stahr＇s translation．In sup－ port of my translation I would quote
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ ，and again，§4，ס仑́vauเs $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ тo入ıтєias．
 ät $\tau \rho \circ$ о ，к．$\tau$ ．入．The first assumes the tyrant to be thoroughly and con－ sistently the tyrant；the second con－ ceives him as capable of ameliorating his government，as improving under
power，as the despot rather than the tyrant，the arbitrary governor still， but the governor for the good of his people and of himself．
 carefully one point only．＇This point was thoroughly well understood by the Roman emperors，especially by the founders of the system，Augustus and Tiberius．
$\pi \rho о \ddot{\epsilon} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{os}$ ］＇For if he once give up this also．＇

I9 $\dot{v} \pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu]$＇the primary requisite，＇ VII．（VI．）II．I，＇the fundamental condition．＇
$\tau$ à $\delta \grave{e} \delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu}]$ sc．$\pi o t \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ．
$\delta a \pi \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$ ठ $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon \bar{\alpha} s$ тouav́ras］＇lavish－ ing it in such gifts．＇Compare Hal－
 ' $\gamma \chi^{\prime}$,







 $\tau v \rho \alpha ́ \nu \nu \omega \nu$ тoîs $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \eta \eta \mu o \hat{\sigma} \iota \nu$ oi $\phi \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \omega \nu \nu$



 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \alpha \mu i \alpha \nu \dot{\omega} s \kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\omega}_{s} i \delta^{\delta} i \omega \nu . \quad \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \phi \alpha i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$


 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \dot{\alpha}^{\nu} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \pi o \iota \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S$
lam, Mid. Ag. I. 66, " The sting of taxation is wastefulness. What highspirited man could see without indignation the earnings of his labour, yielded ungrudgingly to the public defence, become the spoil of parasites and speculators."
$\gamma \lambda i \sigma \chi \rho \omega s$ ] 'with scanty earnings.'
$\delta 6 \xi \epsilon i]$ should surely be $\delta 6 \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.
20 тois è éтorǐ̌oval] "von ihrem Lande entfernt," Stahr. 'To tyrants who are absent from home.'
$\tau$ то̂̃то] sc. т̀̀ àторєî̀ $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. Compare Hume's remarks on Stephen, Vol. I. p. 295. "Stephen, by seizing this money, immediately turned against Henry's family the precaution, which that prince had employed for their grandeur and security, an event
which naturally attends the policy of amassing treasures."
$\epsilon l \sigma \grave{\delta} \overline{\text { è }} \phi о \beta \epsilon \rho \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] ' Tyrants$ who leave home are more afraid of those they leave in charge, than of the citizens.' Such, I believe, is the construction of these words.
$21 \tau$ às $\epsilon i \sigma \phi o \rho a ́ s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda]. ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\tau$ às elo $\phi$ opás is simple enough, but not so $\sigma v \nu \alpha \dot{\gamma} \epsilon \iota$.

Tàs $\lambda e \iota \tau o u p \gamma i a s]$ This word must have a very general sense, 'services.'
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon$ oiкovouias, к.т. 入.] 'for the administration of the state, both in peace and war.' The tyrant was to be oikov $\quad$ uos by $\S 19$.
$\kappa \circ \iota \omega \omega \nu$ ] To identify himself with the state.

тoùs Ėvтv $\begin{gathered}\text { ávovias] ' those whom he }\end{gathered}$ meets.'





















 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \nu о \mu i \zeta \zeta \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\alpha} \nu \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda t-$





$22 \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \mu \eta \delta \dot{\prime} \nu a]$ These words are of course the subject of $\phi a i \nu \in \sigma \theta a l \dot{v} \beta \rho l$ Sovia.

23 o $\mu$ oi $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \delta \epsilon$, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'So too must$ the women of his family bear themselves towards other women.' This danger had been especially felt in the history of Persia.
$24 \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \pi[\theta \epsilon \tau o s]$ ' open to attack.'

26 á $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \eta \rho l a s]$ 'silliness,' 'weakness,' ' niaiserie.'

тoús $\tau \epsilon$ ả $\gamma a \theta$ oús, к.r.入.] 'He must conciliate the distinguished in every department.'
$27 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$ ] 'If it is absolutely necessary to raise one, then the remedy must be in raising others with him, as a check.'

























$\pi a \rho a \lambda v$ ' $\epsilon \nu \nu$ ] 'to remove from.'
$28 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \bar{\nu} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \in l s \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta \prime \mu a \tau \alpha]$ See Ch. X. Io, where the preposition was omitted.
b入ı $\gamma \omega \rho$ ia $\nu$ ] 'carelessness,' 'neglect of their interests.' Such a neutral translation will make the word suit both $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ and $\dot{a} \tau \iota \mu i \alpha \nu$.
$29 \delta l^{\prime} \epsilon \xi$ ' $\%$ ovjlav] 'in the wantonness of power.'
$\epsilon \xi \xi \omega \nu \epsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \iota]$ 'redeem,' 'compensate.'

30 ö $\sigma 0 \iota \mu$ '̀, к. $\tau . \lambda$.$] 'who have no$ purpose of securing their life when they have destroyed their enemy.'

3 I 'Hрáк $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \tau 0 s] ~ E t h . ~ I I . ~ i i . ~ I o, ~ p . ~}^{\text {. }}$ IIO5, 8.
$\left.\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \gamma^{a} \rho \quad \dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a l\right]$ "denn sie setzen ihr Leben aufs Spiel," Stahr. 'Ils jouent leur vie,' 'They stake their life.'

32 iolous moteiogaci] 'attach them as much as possible to the government.'


 ${ }_{33} \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$, $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon$ крєíттоия єîval $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$. $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \epsilon \rho \gamma о \nu$







 $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \delta_{\iota} \alpha \tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \grave{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ є $\hat{\nu} \nu \alpha \iota \pi o \lambda v \chi \rho о \nu \iota \omega-$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu i ́ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau о \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \alpha$, каі $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \iota-$ тóvŋро⿱.






 cured this support of your power,' if you have the stronger on your side.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \hat{\eta} \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \ell]$ 'The military force he maintains,' § I8.
$\left.33 \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta^{\nu}\right]$ one looking to his own interest. 'Tout pour la France, rien pour moi-même,' was the expression of the intellectual perception of this truth by one who has shown that his appreciation of it is purely intellectual, wholly without influence on his practice.
$\kappa \alpha \theta 0 \mu i \lambda \epsilon i \nu]$ ' conciliate, bend to himself by intercourse.'
$\left.34 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \nu\right]$ Compare I. v. 2, $\dot{a} \epsilon \dot{i} \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \dot{b} \nu \omega \nu$

aย́тòv $\delta \iota a \kappa \epsilon і ิ \sigma \theta a l, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.$] Compare$ on the whole of this chapter, Machiavelli, Il Principe, especially Chs. xVIII. XIX.
XII. r кaitol] 'And yet after all.' 'O $\rho \theta a \gamma \delta \rho o v]$ Grote, III. 43, 49, 5 r. غं $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \rho!\omega s]$ 'They treated their subjects with mildness.'
$\tau a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \mu \lambda \epsilon$ laıs] 'By their careful attention to the interests of the people,' "durch sorgfältige Wahrnehmung seiner Interessen," Stahr.
$\left.\mathrm{K} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon} \nu_{\eta} \mathrm{s}\right]$ For Cleisthenes of Sicyon, see Herod. vi. 126, and foll.




Duration of oligarchies and tyrannies.


















 रохро́vıаı $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma о ́ \nu \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega$ s.

 ments.

3 There is something wrong in the figures. The total does not correspond with the details, and the solution must be conjectural. The simplest is to suppose that the copyist suffered тєттара́коута to influence him and put $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \tau \tau a \rho a$ for $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a s$.
4 кal катà $\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \not{ }_{\eta} \nu\right]$ 'And when in power, throughout its duration, he had no guards.' This marks the wide difference between him and the later
tyrants. His power was probably politically an advantage.

5 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \eta$ 多] 'Continuous,' and accepted as such by the governed.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \Sigma$ vpaкoúбals] Vet. Int. has circa Syracusas, and the accusative would surely be better.

7 He turns from the question of the relative duration of the different governments to that of their sequence. And the order of succession adopted by Plato is criticised and rejected.
 of governments.











 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$; каi $\delta \iota \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v, ~ \delta \iota ’ ~ o ̈ \nu ~ \lambda є ́ \gamma є \iota ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \mu є \tau \alpha-~$









$\left.{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \notin\right]$ The passage referred to begins, Republ. viII. p. 545, c.
$i \delta i \omega s]$ 'Specially,' 'in any way peculiar to that form," "keineswegs eigenthümlich," Stahr.

8 фvoú $\eta \eta$ s] Active.
крєlттous $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a i \delta \epsilon l a s]$ 'beyond the influence of his education,' exceptional cases with which his system cannot deal successfully. And such, remarks Aristotle, there may well be. On the rest of the section I offer no remarks in explanation, as the difficulty is well known and has, as yet, met with no solution. That Aristotle understood

Plato's meaning is, I should think, certain, but I believe no one now pretends to understand it.

9 ठ á $\tau \epsilon \tau 0 \hat{v} \chi \rho o ́ \nu o v]$ I do not see much hope for this passage, which in different parts both Schneider and Stahr agree in pronouncing incurable.
$\left.10 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \iota к \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu\right]$ The $\tau \iota \mu о к р \alpha \tau l a$ of Plato's system, Republ. viII.
 $\alpha \iota \nu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta, \dot{\eta} \mathrm{~K} \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}, \tau \epsilon$ каi Аакь$\nu \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ av̈т $\eta$.

II $\kappa \alpha i$ d่ $\left.\nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu\right]$ 'In the reverse order also.'











 $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \alpha i \omega \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ Meovtivoıs єis $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ Пavaıтion тvpav－

$\left.\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu{ }^{\eta}\right]$ In the great period of Greek history，the fifth and fourth centuries before the Christian era， tyrants were quite a rarity；at any rate，in Greece proper．The fluctua－ tions were between democracy and oli－ garchy．

ои́т’ єl ধ́ $\sigma \tau \alpha$, к．т．入．］The statement is confused．＇Whether there will or will not be a change from the tyranny， and if there be，what is the cause of the change，and what constitution is to succeed it？＇In fact，it would sim－ plify and clear up the passage to throw out the $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ．The first ovir $\epsilon l$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau a l$ would sufficiently state the alternative ＇whether there is to be oneat all；＇nor does he say，if there is to be one，\＆c． Schneider＇s transposition of $\epsilon$ is molay $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \nu$（he places it after $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ o $\eta^{\prime}$ ） would of course clear the passage．As it stands，it is defective．

ảópıotov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho]$＂denn es ist nicht bestimmbar，＂Stahr．＇It does not admit of a determination．＇This seems the sense if the word will bear it．
ovvex＇ts］＇There would be no dis－ ruption of continuity，＇and＂the wheel
would come full circle．＂
12 Múp $\omega \nu=s$ ］See Grote III． 43. Mr．Grote remarks that Aristotle seems to have conceived the tyranny as passing direct from Myrus to Cleisthenes，omitting Aristonymus． The statement that there was a change in the tyranny，here made by Aristotle， receives light from his remarks p．49， on the distinction between the early Orthagoridæ and Kleisthenes．
＇A $\left.\nu \tau i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\nu} \tau o s\right]$ Nothing apparently known．

Xapi入áov］On this version of the history of Charilaus，see Smith＇s Biogr．Dict．Grote II．461，note． $\kappa a \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mathrm{X} \alpha \rho \iota \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau v \rho a \nu \nu \kappa \kappa \omega \hat{s}$ äरovta，are the words of Heraclides Ponticus，II．4．Ed．Didot，Vol． xxix．，p． 210.
$\mathrm{K} a \rho \chi \eta \delta \delta \nu[$ ］On this statement， which it is difficult to reconcile with a previous one II．II．2，$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau u ́ p a \nu \nu 0 \nu$ ，see Grote x． 552 ，note．
${ }_{13} \sum_{\text {iкe }}(q]$ Grote v．${ }_{2}$ \％ 6.
＇Ava૬̧ináov］Grote III． 507.
 of governments. $\pi о \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ o \imath \epsilon \sigma \theta \theta \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon i s ~ o ̀ \lambda \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ dıà тойто $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda$ -























14 äroтov, к.т. $\lambda$.] The cause of the overthrow of an oligarchy is not to be sought in the covetousness or wealth of the oligarchy, but in the unsoundness of their relation to the people, in the inequality of the distribution of political power, that is, not in the positive amount of their wealth.
$\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau \sigma v \mu \notin \nu \eta]$ For this see on § 12.
$16 \pi \lambda$ thous $]$ Compare Ch. III. 8, $\pi \lambda \epsilon t \partial \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \rho \rho \omega \nu$. Here again it is in the disturbance of the relation between the bodies, that he places the
cause of revolution, not in the positive decrease of wealth in the case of one.
$17 \mathrm{Kal}]$ I have inserted this before кататокьక̌ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0$, in common with many editors, including Stahr. $\dot{a} \sigma \omega$ $\tau \epsilon v \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \ell$ occurs in its proper sense $\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ т $̀ \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \mathfrak{l a \nu}$, Eth. Iv. i, 5, p, iII 9, b, 34 .
кацขотоноиб $\iota \nu$ ] 'They are ripe for something fresh,' 'novæ tabulæ.' In II. vI. 6 , we have the adjective каıvoтb $\mu$ on in a rather different, though kindred sense.



 o $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta s$.

[^11]
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Dicæa II. III. 9.
Diocles II. xII. 8.
Dion VIII. x. 23, 28, 32.
Dionysiac VII. viII. 22.
Dionysius I. II. 12. III. xv. 16. VIII. v. io. vi, 8. vil. io. $x$. 6. XI, io.

Dionysius the Younger VIII. x. 23, 28, 31, 32.
Diophantus II. viI. 23.
Dorian III. III, 8. V. viI, i2. VI. III. 7.

Doxander VIII. iv. 6.
Draco II. xiI. I3.

## E.

Ecphantides V. vi. 12.
Egypt III. xv. 4. IV. x. 1, 6, 8. VIII. XI . 9.

Elimeia VIII. x. 17.
Elis VIII. vi. in.
Ephialtes II. xII. 4.
Epidamnus II. viI. 23. III. xvi. i. VIII. I. io. Iv. 7 .

Epimenides of Crete, I. II. 5.
Eretria VI. III. 3. VIII. VI. I4.
Erythræ VIII. VI. 5.

Eubulus II. viI. I 7.
Eunomia VIII. vII. 4.
Euripides III. Iv. 8. V. v. 2. VIII. IX. 15. X. 20.

Europe III. xIv. 6. IV. vil. 2. x. 3.
Eurytion VIII. vi. 15 .
Euthycrates VIII. IV. 7.
Euxine V. IV. 3. VIII. III. 13 .
Evagoras VIII. x. 16 .

> G.

Gela VIII. xiI. 13 .
Gelon VIII. III. 5. x. 3I. xII. 5, 6, 12.

Gordias VIII. xII. 3.
Gorgias I. xill. io (of Leontium), III. II. 2.

## H.

Hanno VIII. viI. 4.
Harmodius VIII. x. 15 .
Helen I. vi. 7.
Heliæa VIII. I. 2 (Epidamnus).
Hellanocrates VIII. x. 18.
Hellas VI. xi, 18.
Hellenes I. II. 4. II. viII. 19. x. 3. III. xiv. 6, 8. IV. viI. I, 3, 4 . VI. xili, io.

Helots II. Ix. 2.
Heniochi V. Iv. 3.
Hephæstus I. iv. 3 .
Heraclea IV. vi. 8. VIII. v. 3. vi. 2, 3, 15 .
Heraclea (Pontica) VIII. vi. 7.
Heracleides VIII. x. ı 8.
Heracleitus VIII. xi. 3r.
Heracleodorus VIII. III. 9 .
Heracles III. xIII. 16.
Heræa VIII. III. 9.
Hesiod I. II. 5. VIII. x. 30.
Hiero VIII. x. 3I. xi. 7. xil. 5, 6.*
Hipparinus VIII. vi. 8.
Hippocrates IV. Iv. 5 .
Hippodamus II. viII. 1, 7. VII. xi. 6.

Histiæa VIII. Iv. 4.
Homer I. il. 7, 9. xII. i3. III. v. 9. xiv. 4. V. III. 8.

## I.

Iapygia IV. x. 5 .
Iapygians VIII. III. 7.
Iberians IV. II. II.
India IV. xiv. 3.
Ionia IV. x. 5. VIII. x. 6.
Iphiades VIII. VI. I 3.
Istrus VIII. vi. 2, 3 .
Itali IV. x. 3 .
Italus IV. x. 3, 4.
Italy II. xiI. 6. IV. x. 2, 3 .
J.

Jason (of Pheræ) III. iv. 9.

## L.

Lacedæmon II. v. 7, 15. x. 7, in. III. I. 10. IV. II. 9. V. vi. 12. VIII. I. ro. IV. I3. vi. II. VII. 2, 3, 4, 10. XII. 12.
Lacedæmonian II. v. I7. vi. I7. Ix. I, 37. xi. 4, 16. xiI. 2. IV. xiv. 16. xv. 6. V. I. 4 . VI. vil. 4 . ix. 7. VIII. viI. I4. x. 8, 30. XI. 2.

Laconian II. vi. 16. Ix. 2, 3, 8, 9, io, 3I. x. 2, 4, 7, 9. xI. 1, 3. III. xiv. 3, 14. xV. 1. IV. xiv. 17 , 18, ${ }^{20}$. V. Iv. I, 4. v. 7. VI. I. 6. VIII. III. 7. VII. 14. xiI. Io, 15.

Lametic IV. x. 3 .
Larissa III. II. 2. VIII. vi. 6, 13 . x. 18.

Lemnos I. xi. 7 .
Leontium III. II. 2. VIII. x. 6. xII. 13.

Lesbos III. xim. 19.
Leucas II. VII. 7 .
Libyans II. III. 9 .
Locri Epizephyrii II. viI. 6. xII. 6, 7 . VIII. viI. io.
Lycophron III. IX. 8.
Lyctos II. x. 2.
Lycurgus II. IX. II. x. 2. XII. I, 7. VI, xi. 15 .
Lydia VI. IV. 5.
Lygdamis VIII. vi. i.
Lysander VIII. I. ro. viI. 2.

## M.

Macedon IV. ir. ir. VIII. x. 8.
Mæander VI. III. 3 .
Magnesia II. IX. 3. VI. III. 3.
Malians VI. XIII. 9 .
Mantineia VII. IV. 4, 5. VIII. IV. 9.

Marseilles VII. vir. 4. VIII. Vi. 2. Medes II. xiI. 5. III. xiII. 19. V. v. 5. VI. II. VIII. III. 7. Iv. 4, 8 . VII. 4 .

Megacles VIII. x. 19.
Megara III. Ix. 9. VI. xv. 15. VIII. III. 5. v. 4,9 .

Messenia II. Ix. 3, I I. VIII. viI. 3.
Midas I. Ix. ir.
Miletus I. xi. 8. xi. 9. II. viII. I. VI. xiv. 4. VIII. v. 8.

Minos II. x. 3, 4. IV. x. 1, 2, 6.
Mithridates VIII. x. 25 .
Mitylene III. xiv. 9. VIII. Iv. 6. x. 19.

Mnaseas VIII. iv. 7.
Mneson VIII. iv. 7.
Molossians VIII. x. 8. xi. 2.
Museus V.v. if.
Myron VIII. xII. 12.

## N.

Naxos VIII. vi. I.
Notians VIII. III. 15.

> o.

Odysseus V. III. 9.
Enophyta VIII. III. 5 .
Enotria IV. x. 3, 4, 5 .
Olympia II. xII. 8.' VIII. II. 9.
Olympus V. v. 16.
Onomacritus II. XII. 7.
Onomarchus VIII. rv. 7.
Opici IV. x. 5.
Opus III. xvi. i.
Oreum VIII. iII. 9.
Orthagoras VIII. xif.
Oxylus VII. iv. 9.

## P.

Paches VIII. IV. 6.
Panætius VIII. x. 6. xil. I3.
Paros I. II. 7.
Parrhon VIII. x. 18.
Partheniæ VIII. vII. 2.
Pausanias IV. xiv. 20. VIII. I. 10. viI. 4. x. 16.

Pauson V. v. 21.
Peiræus II. viII. I. VIII. III. 15 .
Peisistratids VIII. x. 15, 34. II. 9.
Peisistratus VIII. v. 9. x. 6. XII, 2, 5 .
Peloponnesus II. x. 3. III. III. 5 .
Penthalids VIII. x. 19.
Penthilos VIII. x. 19 .
Periander (of Ambracia) VIII. Iv. 9. x. 16 .

Periander III. ximi. 16. VIII. Iv. 9. X. I3. XI. 4. XII. 3, 4.

Pericles II. xII. 4.
Perrhæbians II. IX. 3.
Persians III. xiII. rg. IV. II. то. V. v. 5. VIII. II. $4,6$.

Phalaris VIII. x. 6.
Phaleas II. viI. 2, 13, 23 . XII. 12.
Pharsalus II. III. 9. VIII. VI. 10.
Pheidon (of Argos) VIII. x. 6.
Pheidon (of Corinth) II. vi. I3.
Philip VIII. x. 16.
Philolaus (of Corinth) II. xiI. 8, 9, 10.

Philoxenus V. viI. ir.
Phocians VIII. iv. 7.
Phocylides VI. II. 9.
Phoxus VIII. Iv. 9.
Phreatto VI. xvi. 3.
Phrygian III. III. 8. V. viI. 10. VI. III. 7.

Phrynichus VIII. vi. 6.
Pittacus II. xII. I3. III. xiv. 9, ro.
Plato II. I. 3. viI. 4. IX. 34. XII. I2. VI, vil. I.
Polycrates VIII. XI. 9.

Polygnotus V. v. 21 .
Pontus V. Iv. 3. VIII. vi. 7, 9.
Psammetichus VIII. xiI. 3.
Pyramids VIII, xI. 9.
R.

Rhegium VIII. xII. i3.
Rhodes II. x. 3. VIII. III. 4, 5 . v. 2.

## S.

Salamis VIII. rv. 8.
Samos III. xIII. 19. VIII. III. 12. XI. 9 .

Sardanapalus VIII. x. 22.
Scylax IV. xiv. 3 .
Scylletic IV. x. 3 .
Scythia IV. II. Io, if.
Sesostris IV. x. i, 6.
Seuthes VIII. x. 24.
Sicily I. XI. II. II. x. 4. xil. 6. VIII. xiI. 13 .

Sicyon VIII. xiI. I, 12.
Simus VIII. vi. 13 .
Sirrhas VIII. x. 17.
Smerdis VIII. x. Ig.
Socrates I. xIII. 9. II. I. 3. II. I, 2. III. I, 2. IV. 5, 6. v. 13, 18, 21 , 25, 28. VI. I, 3, 6. IV. IV. 12. V. viI. 9, 14. VIII. xII. 7, 18.

Solon I. VIII. I4. II. VII. 6. XII. I, 2, 3, 5. III. xi. 8. VI. XI. I5.
Spartans II. Ix. I7, 18, 36 . VIII. VII. 3 .

Stentor IV. rv. Ir.
Sybaris VIII. III. If, 12.
Syracuse I. vil. 2. xi. 12. III. xv. 16. VIII. III. 5, I3. IV. 1, 9 vi. 8. x. 6, 30. XI. 7, io. XII. 5, 12.

Syrtis IV. x. 5 .

## T.

Tarentum VI. IV. 21 . VII. v. 10. VIII. III. 7. viI. 2.

Telecles VI. xIV. 4 -
Tenedos VI. Iv. 2 I .
Thales I. xi. 8, 10, 12 . II. xif. 7.
Theagenes VIII. v. 9 -
Thebes II. Ix. ro. xiI. 8, io. III. v. 7. VII. vil. 4. VIII. III. 5 . vi. 15 .

Theodectes I. vi. 7.
Theodorus IV. xviI. I3.
Theopompus VIII. xi. 2.
Thera VI. iv. 5.
Thessaly II. IX. 2, 3. IV. xII. 3.
Thibron IV. xiv. 17.
Thrace II. xiI. 14. IV. II. so. VIII. X. 24 .

Thrasippus V. vi. 12.
Thrasybulus III. xiII. 16, 17. VIII. x. 13, 31. xif. 6.

Thrasymachus VIII. v. 4 .
Thurii VIII. iII. 12. viI. 9, 12.
Timophanes VIII. iv. 6. vi. i2.
Triopium II. x. 3 .
Trœezen IV. xvi. 7. VIII. III. if.
Tyrrhenia III. IX. 6. Iv. io. 5 .
Tyrtæus VIII. viI. 4.
X.

Xerxes VIII. x. 21 .

## Z.

Zaleucus II. xII. 6, 7.
Zancle VIII. III. 12.
Zeus I. xiI. 3. III. x. 1. xi. 5. xili. 25. V. v. 8.

## INDEX OF GREEK WORDS.

## ORDER OF THE BOOKS.

| Congreve. <br> I. | Bekker. I. | Congreve V. | Bekker. VIII. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| II. | II. | VI. | IV. |
| III. | III. | VII. | VI. |
| IV. | VII. | VIII. | V. |

The Verbs are classified according to the order of their Moods and Tenses, as given in Wordsworth's Greek Grammar-viz. :

| Active Voice. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Indicative Mood. | Participle. |
| Imperative. | Middle Voice. |
| Subjunctive. | Passive Voice. |
| Optative. |  |
| Infinitive. |  |

The Nouns and Participles are arranged according to the order of their cases.

## A.

$\dot{d} \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \eta \rho i a . d \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \eta \rho i a s$ VIII. xı. 26 $\dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\eta} s$. $\dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \hat{\eta}$ V. VII. 5. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ V. v. 12.



 III. XIII. 20. $\dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \partial \nu \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ III. xvii. 2. tajaatby II. viII. 21. á $\gamma a \theta 0 \hat{v} \pi a \nu \tau$ 's III. XII. 2. á $\gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$ III. xIII. I. a $\gamma a \theta$ ol III. xv. 9. VIII. I. 14. $\dot{\dot{\alpha}}$ otws VIII. xII. 15 . ol $\delta$ óo III. xvi. Io. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ áa $\alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}$ IV. xIII. 7 . $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ II. IV. 6: v. 13. III. xII. 3: XIII. 24. тoîs ả $\gamma a \theta$ oîs $\chi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV. xv. 5 . á $\alpha$ a $\theta$ oús VIII. XI. 26.
ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu \alpha$. IV. xviI. Io.
 21.
 16. á $\gamma$ á $\mu \in \nu 0$ IV. xiv. 17.
ä $\gamma a \nu$. IV, iv. if. VIII. vi. 16.

16. ả $\gamma a \nu a \kappa \tau o \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ II. VII. 18. ả $\gamma a$ $\nu a \kappa \tau \hat{\nu}$ VIII. vi. 5.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \quad \tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ VI. V. 4 .

ả $\gamma a \pi \eta \tau b s$. $\tau \grave{\partial}$ ả $\gamma a \pi \eta \tau \delta \nu$ II. IV. 9.
 $\alpha \gamma \epsilon \lambda a i a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \eta \rho i \omega \nu$ I. VIII. 5.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \nu \in \iota a$. VII. II. 7.
 VI. XII. 2. $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ III. XIII. 2. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \in \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ є́ $\rho \gamma \alpha \sigma$ iaı I. XI. 6.
ả $\gamma \nu 0 \notin \epsilon$. ả $\gamma \nu 00 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ IV. IV. 4. á $\gamma \nu 0 \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ II. v. 16. VIII. IX. 9. á ${ }^{\gamma} \nu o o v ̂ \nu \tau o s$ III. XIII. $17 . \quad a \gamma \nu o o u ̂ \nu \tau a$ VI. I. 8. á $\gamma \nu o o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon$ VIII. IX. 7. ả ${ }^{2} \nu 0 o u ́ v-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ II. IV. I.
$\dot{a} \gamma \nu \omega ́ s . \dot{a} \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda o \iota s$ है $\sigma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ VIII. XI. 5. बं $\gamma \nu \omega ̂ \tau a s$ IV. VII. 5.
 калойбu IV. XII. 3. a่ $\gamma о \rho \hat{a}$ VI. xv. 9. VIII. vi. I5. VIII. XII. 2. $\pi \rho$ ds à $\gamma$ opâ IV. xII. 7. á $\gamma \circ \rho a ́ \nu$ IV. VI. 4. VII. IV. I3: VIII. 3, 21. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \not{d} \nu \omega$ IV. XII. 7. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \nu i \omega \nu$ IV. xII. 6 .
áropaîos. b́ $\chi$ 入os VII. IV. 14. ả $\gamma o \rho a i ̂ o \nu$

IV．ix．3．VI，iII．2．VI．iv．io． VII．VII．I．$\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$ VI．III． 2. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \circ \rho a i \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ VII．IV． 12.
áropavouia．á $\gamma о \rho a \nu о \mu i a \nu$ IV．XII． 7.



ajos．VIII．III． 4.
ä $\gamma \rho a \phi o s . \dot{a} \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o v s$ VII．v． 2.


 V．IV． 2.
à $\gamma \rho \rho \nu \delta \mu$ оs．$\dot{a} \gamma \rho o \nu \delta \mu o v s$ IV．xII．8．VII． viII． 6.
$\dot{a} \gamma \rho \dot{b}$ s．$\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．v．24．VIII．

ä $\gamma \rho v \pi$ vos．VIII．XI． 24.
ä $\gamma \omega$ ．ク̈ $\gamma a \gamma o \nu$ V．vi．II．á $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ II． Ix．II．III．xili．I6．VIII．vili． 12：XI． 1.
$\dot{a} \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} . \dot{a} \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ VI．v． 3.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu . \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} a$ I．IV． 3 ．$\dot{a} \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \quad \gamma \nu \mu \nu \iota-$
 4．d่ $\gamma \omega \hat{\nu}$ as V．vI．7：vII． 6. VII．VIII．22．$\overline{e \lambda} \lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda v \theta \epsilon \nu$ eis toùs $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \hat{\omega}$ as．
$\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu i a . \dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu i a \nu$ VI．I． 2.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu i Y_{0} \mu a \iota . \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu i \zeta_{0} \nu \tau a \iota$ VII．vil． 3.
 V．viI． 7.

$\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\eta}$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$ VIII．x． 15 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\sigma}$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \hat{v}$ VIII．x．3I．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega}$ $\pi \rho \dot{o} s \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \delta^{\prime} \nu$ II．IV．2．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \phi \nu$ II．III．6．dं $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．Iv． 4 ： vi． 3 ．
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \eta \lambda o s . a \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda o v$ IV．I．I．áô $\eta \lambda o \nu$ II． III．5．III．XI． 5.
ádıaipeтos．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \in \tau \omega \nu$ II．vi．II．
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota a ́ \phi \theta o \rho o s$. ád $\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \theta o \rho o \nu$ III．xv． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota a \phi \theta \circ \rho \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{o} \dot{\delta} \epsilon i \hat{s}$ III．xv．9．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota a \phi$－ $\theta$ ор $\operatorname{\tau } \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ III．xv． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega$. dं $\delta \iota \kappa 0 \hat{\sigma} \iota$ II．viI．II， 13 ． dं $\delta \iota \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ VIII．IX．II．${ }^{2} \delta \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota$, II．viI．It．VII．III． 3 ．$\partial \pi \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda o u s$ III．IX． 7．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \omega ิ \sigma \iota$ VIII．vi．I．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ III．XI．7．VIII．viII．5．$\tau o \hat{v} \mu \dot{\eta}$ àסcкєì III．Ix．7．$\sigma \dot{\phi} \hat{a} s$ av̇тoús III．IX．IO．ádcкồvtes VII．v． 3 ． VIII．v．5．$\hat{\omega} \nu$ 光 $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ oi $\dot{\alpha} \delta \kappa \kappa о \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$
 III．IX．II．IV．viI．7．$\dot{\eta} \delta \kappa \kappa \eta-$ ко́тєs VIII．III．4．д் $\dot{\kappa \kappa \emptyset} \sigma о \nu \tau а \iota ~ I I I . ~$ xiII．13．á $\delta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ VIII．x． 3 ． $\hat{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \iota$ II．VII．20．VIII．xII．
 III．IX．6．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ I V . ~ v I I . ~ 7 . ~$
 VIII．III．14：v．3：VII．8．$\pi \rho i \nu$ à $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ VIII．III．4．$\dot{a} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \theta \epsilon i$＇s VIII．vi． 14 ．
á $\delta i \kappa \eta \mu a$ ．à $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ II．IX．28．VI． XI． 5 ．
d́ $\delta \iota \kappa i a$. I．II．ı6．$\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{d} \delta \iota \kappa i a s$ IV．II． 7．ádokià III．XI．7．VII．III． 3．VIII．x．ı3．$̇ \sigma \chi \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ III．x． 2．Tàs $\mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ s ~ a ̀ d o k i a s ~ I I . ~ V I I . ~ I 3 . ~$

 dं $\delta i ́ \kappa o u s$ III．xI． 20 ．
dं $\delta i \kappa \kappa \omega$ s．III．II．4．VIII．II．3， 5 ： III， 2.

á $\delta o u \lambda i a . ~ a ̀ \delta o u \lambda i a \nu ~ V I I . ~ v I I I . ~ 23 . ~$
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \nu \nu a \mu i a . \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ VIII． xI． 16.

 II．I．2．II．I：VI．7．III．XI． 5 ： XII．7，9．VI．I．3，8．VIII．I． 15：XII．8．它s ớк $\dot{\partial} \nu$ II．v． 6.
 XVI．II．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \partial v a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ IV．IX． 5 ． VI．IV．II．$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma t i(\nu$ III．XVI．I1． єîvą VI．VIII．5．Ëv $\tau \iota$ V．VI．I． diovvároıs VIII．xI． 16.
 q̇＇סovtas aútoús V．VI．I．
äsuç．I．II．Io．

$\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s . \quad \dot{\eta} \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ 家 $\iota S$ IV． xVI．12．$\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \nu$ VII． viI． 3.

$\hat{a} \theta \lambda o \nu$. IV．x．I4．VI．xI． 17 ．$\hat{a} \theta \lambda o \nu$ $\gamma \grave{\rho} \rho \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ a $\dot{\prime} \tau \eta$ II．IX． 22.
$\dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o l j \omega$ ．$\dot{a} \theta \rho o i \zeta \omega \nu$ VII．v．8．$\dot{a} \theta \rho o i-$ $\sigma$ бuvas VIII．xI．20．$\dot{a} \theta_{\rho o \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon s}$ VIII．v． 3.
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aipetós．ai $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$ III．xIV．14．Tis tiolv
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 II．Ix．28．rò aúrò̀ aiteí⿱日ac II． Ix． 27.
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 $\tau$ ás V．vi． 9.
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$\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \omega \bar{s}$ ．VII．v． 7.
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$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \epsilon i a . \dot{\alpha} \phi \prime \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \in l a s$ I．VIII． 7.
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$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \kappa о \mu a \iota$ ．ท̉s $\gamma \in \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} a \lambda \omega \kappa v i a s$ III． III． 5.
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$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \beta \eta \tau \hat{\epsilon} \omega \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ III．xVI． 10. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ III．I．I：IX． $3:$ XII．
 $\pi$ о入ıtєias III．VIII．8．ov̉סєis $\downarrow \nu$ $\alpha \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ IV．I．3．V．I．I． $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \tau \pi 0 i \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ III．XIII．I．$\quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota-$ $\sigma \beta \eta \tau \hat{\epsilon} \iota \nu$ III．XIII．I，3．$\alpha^{\prime} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta$－ $\tau 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ IV．VI．I．oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \circ \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ III．IX．

15．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau 0 u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．XIII． 7 ． VI．xv．4．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha{ }^{\text {I }}$ IV．I．
 VI．VIII．9．тô̂s a $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．IV．I7．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon i \tau \alpha \iota V$ V．II．I． ं $\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \eta s \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s$ III．1．2．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тои̂ ঠıкаiou VI．xVI．3．à $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota$ $\pi a \rho \alpha$ IV．II． 5.
d́ $\mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta$ そ́ $\eta \mu \alpha$ ．$\pi \rho$ òs тoútous III．II． 4. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \mu o s . \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \mu \circ \nu$ II． IX． 25.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota$ III．XIII．，4．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi t$ $\sigma \beta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ I．vI．3．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ I．VI．3．III．III．I．$\pi ⿰ 幺 幺 \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta a \iota$ III．XII．8．$\alpha \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ IV．v． 2.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \in \omega s$ I．XIII． 2 ．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \circ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$ $\chi \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \hat{\iota} \mathrm{s} \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．XI．II．
＂$\mu \phi \omega$ ．кат’ ${ }^{\prime} \mu \phi \omega$ IV．vi．3．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ о̂̀ II．VI．19．VI．III．5．ג $\mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ II．III．3．$\delta$ окєîข $\epsilon \tau \nu a \iota \kappa \alpha l ~ \mu \eta \delta \ell \in \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ VI．IX．Io．$\epsilon \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ III．IV． I5．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \circ \tau \epsilon \in \rho \nu$ II．VII．I．VIII． VI．I3．є́ккатє́pots VII．III．5．ко七ขóv VIII．x．II．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho \circ<{ }^{\prime}$ II．X． 5 ． $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \iota s$ VIII．VII．I．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s$ I．XIII． 4,5 ．
à．$\kappa a ̆ \nu \in l$ III．VI．I．$\kappa \ddot{d} \nu \in i \not \pi o v$ cum conj．III．VIII．3．кă $\nu$ el $\tau \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ тot－ ov̂tov VI．III．4．九̆́v $\tau \epsilon-\not ้ \nu \tau \epsilon$ III． XI．19．$\ddot{c}^{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ VIII．X． 37.
à $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ ．à $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ II．VIII．15．ذ
 à $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta є \iota \nu$ II．VIII．5．àvaүкá乌ov－ $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$ VIII．IV．I2．$\dot{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \kappa \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \tau \alpha \iota \quad \epsilon \pi l$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\gamma \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ VII．IV．I4．à $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \dot{d}-$ ऍоעта८ VIII．vI．7．خ̀ $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta o \nu \tau o$ III．IX．20．ท่ $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ VIII． III．7：v．2．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta_{0} \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ VIII．

 каîn I．XIII．8．II．III．8：IV．I， 8：จ．20．III．IX．I2：X．4：XI． 7， 2 I ：XII． 8 ：XIII．3， 14 ：XV．8， 9 ， I5：XVI．2，II：XVIII．I．IV．XIII， 6．VI．I．I，II ：II． 2 ：III．I， 5 ：IV． 8，io．VIII．VII． 2 ：X．II：XI． 1. $\mu$ ópıovVI．IV．5．à $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha l a \nu . ~ a \gamma o \rho a ́ \nu ~$ IV．XII．7．$\chi \rho \in i \alpha=$ VII．vIII． 3. $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma \iota \nu}$ VI．IV．I4．ג⿱亠䒑𧰨ккаîa каі
 II．VII．II．VII．IV． 2 ．$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{v} \pi 0 \theta \dot{\epsilon}-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ IV．XIII．6．тaút $\quad \tau \epsilon \rho i$ т $\dot{\alpha}-$ $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̂ a ~ I I I . ~ I V . ~ I I . ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \ell \omega \nu$ VI．III．4．$\quad d \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ VII．8．I．$\gamma v \mu-$ $\nu a \sigma i \omega \nu$ V．IV． 8 ．$\mu \rho \rho i \omega \nu$ VI．IV． 8. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$ II．IX．2．VI．I． 4. $\alpha \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \pi o u s$ V．IV．6．$\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$ VI． IV．12．$\tau \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \gamma \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$ $\mathfrak{a} \pi \epsilon \chi 0 \mu \in ́ \nu o u s ~ \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ II．VI．5．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 I．$\epsilon \nu$ toîs davarkaioıs IV．IV．It． ג $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha l a s \pi \rho \dot{\xi} \xi \in$ IV．XII．7．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma-$ $\kappa \alpha \iota \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ I．VII．3．வ̀ $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota \frac{\tau}{\alpha} \tau \eta$

VII．VIII．8．$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ảvaүкаเoтá $\tau \eta$ ठช́va $\mu \iota \nu$ II．XII．5．（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu)$ d่ $\nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \iota-$ $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ II．XI．Io．VI．IV．I2．
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \kappa \eta$ ．I．XIII．5．II．I． 2 ：II． 4 ：IV． 5，7：VII． 5 ：XI．II．III．I． 4 ：x． 4：XI．20：XIII．I：XV． 5 ：XVIII． 2．VI．II．2．VIII．XI． 32 ．$\epsilon \xi \dot{a} \nu \alpha \dot{-}$ $\gamma \kappa \eta s$ VI．IV．8，9．$\tau \alpha \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \kappa \alpha s$ VI． vi． 7.

$d \nu a \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \eta$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \rho a \phi \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ VII．VIII． 21.

VIII．7．$\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ VII．VIII． 10.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega$ ．$\epsilon l s$ ávicò $\nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$ VII．II． 6. àajá $о \iota$ VIII．XI．I6．d̀ $\nu a ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ єls $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$ VI．IV． 29. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ VIII．XI．16．$\dot{a} \nu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ Tàs $\delta i k a s$ II．VIII． 4.
ả $\nu \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \sigma \tau o s . ~ \dot{a} \nu \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \sigma \tau o \nu ~ V I I I . ~ V I I . ~ 4 . ~$
 VIII．VIII． 20.
d́ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \mu \alpha$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ VIII．XI． 9. ¿д $\nu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ VII．VII． 6.
ávaı $\rho \in \omega^{\omega}$ ．ávaı $\rho \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．V．Io．à $\nu a \iota-$ $\rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ II．II． $2 . \quad \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \lambda \epsilon \nu$ VIII． x. 19．d̀vaıpeî III．XIII．17．VIII． X．I3：XI．5．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ VIII．I． 10. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \epsilon$ VI．I．6．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$ VIII． IV．9．ávaıpov $\mu \in ́ \nu o v$ I．II．I3．d̀pp－ $\rho \epsilon \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ VIII．x． 20.
む̀ $\nu a i \sigma \theta \eta \tau o s . ~ d \nu \alpha i \sigma \theta \eta \tau o \nu$ II．IV． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \alpha$, V．V．I．
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda i \sigma \kappa \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．จ．2．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha-$ $\lambda \omega \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \tau \alpha{ }^{2} \delta \iota a$ VIII，vi．8．$\alpha \nu \alpha \lambda t-$ $\sigma \kappa \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ VII．VIII． 2 I．
à $\nu \alpha \lambda o \gamma i a . ~ a \nu \alpha \lambda o \gamma i a \nu$ III．XII．5；т $\eta \nu$ $\epsilon l \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ VI．XII．3．то̀ кат＇ג̀ $\nu a-$ $\lambda o \gamma i a \nu$ VIII．I． 2.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda o \gamma i \zeta \circ \mu a \iota . \quad d \nu \alpha \lambda o \gamma i \zeta 0 \mu \in \nu o \nu$ VII．VI． 1.
ává ${ }^{2} o \gamma o s . ~ a ̀ \nu a ́ \lambda o \gamma o \nu$ II．XI．3．VI．IV． 27：XIV．7．VIII．I．I 1．$\notin \chi \in \iota$ II．x． 4 ． Є゙ $\chi \in \iota \nu$ IV．I． 8 ．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Lambda a \kappa \omega \nu \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$
 रov VIII．II．6：III． 6.
$\alpha^{\prime} \nu \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \mu \alpha$ ．II．IX． 3 I．
ג̀ $\nu \alpha \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \tau о s . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s$ III．I．9．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \tau o v s$ VII．IV． 7.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \mu i \gamma \nu v \mu \iota . \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \iota \chi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ VII．IV． 19.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \phi \iota \beta \hat{\eta} \tau \eta \tau$ os．III．XIII．5．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu-$ $\phi \iota \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \tau 0 \nu$ IV．XIV． 2.
$a ̈ \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho o s . \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \alpha^{2} \delta \rho \omega \nu$ IV．XI．Io．

$\alpha \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \nu$ ．I．v．6．VIII．XII．II． ává $\pi \alpha v \sigma \iota s$. V．III．4．ávamav́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{S}$ V． III． 4 ：V．2．d̀ $\nu$ á $\pi \alpha v \sigma \iota \nu$ V．V． 12 ： VII．3．$\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \alpha \nu$ V．จ．10．${ }^{\prime} \in \in \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{l}$ IV．IX．9．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \cup ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ร$ V．V．I4．
à $\nu \pi \alpha u ́ \omega . ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \alpha u ́ o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ V．V． 12.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \pi \epsilon i \theta \omega$ ．$\alpha \nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ VIII．IV． 2.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega . \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\imath}$ VII．IV． 4. ג่ $\nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ IV．xVII． 15 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\rho} \omega \sigma \iota s . \alpha \nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho^{\prime} \rho \omega \sigma \nu$ I．IX． 6. II．VII． 19.
$\alpha^{\alpha} \nu a \rho \chi$ ª．（ $\delta o u ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ）VII．Iv．20．$\alpha^{2} \nu a \rho-$ dias VIII．III． 5.

$\alpha^{\alpha} \nu a \tau o \lambda \dot{\eta} . \dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ S IV．XI． 2.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \phi \epsilon \rho \omega . \dot{\alpha} \nu a \phi \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau a c$ VII．VIII． 6.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a \gamma a \theta l a . \pi \rho \dot{s} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a \gamma a \theta i a \nu$ II．Ix． 25.
$\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi \pi \delta \delta \nu . \alpha^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi b \delta \omega \nu$ V．vi． 8.
$\alpha \nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \dot{\omega} \delta \eta s . \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \omega \hat{\omega} \epsilon \epsilon$ III．xi． I4．$\theta a ̈ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ III．IV．II．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a \pi o-$ $\delta \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon t s$ IV．xv． 5.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \omega \delta i a . \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a \pi o \delta \omega \delta i a s \quad \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu$ IV．xVII． 9 ．
 3．IV．xv． 2.

d̀ $\nu \rho \rho$ la．I．XIII．2，9．VIII．x． 25 ．
 $\pi b \lambda \epsilon \omega$ IV．I．12．ou $\phi \iota \delta i \tau \iota a$ II．x． 5．du $\nu \rho \rho \operatorname{las}$ IV．I．4．V．I．18．$\pi \rho$ òs $\dot{d} \nu \delta \rho l a \nu$ V．III．I：IV．I．
ä̀ $\delta \rho \iota a$ ．ov $ф \iota \delta i \tau \iota a$ II．x． 5 ．
 VIII．I．
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \iota a ́ s . \tau \partial ̀ \nu a \dot{\nu} \delta \rho \iota \dot{d} \nu \tau a$ VIII．xII． 2.
à $\nu \delta \rho \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$ ．VIII．viI． 3 ．
${ }^{2} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ s．${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \omega \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．vIII． 4.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a s$ IV．xVII． 7.
$\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho o \nu$ V．II．5．$\dot{a} \nu \epsilon-$ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ V．II．3．VIII．II． 3. $\alpha^{2} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho o u s$ IV．xviI． 5.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \mu \pi \delta ́ \partial \iota \sigma \tau o s . \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \mu \pi b \delta \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ VI．xI． 3. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \xi a \pi d \tau \eta \tau o s . \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \xi a \pi \alpha ́ \tau \eta \tau o l$ V．III．I2．

II．IX．5．$\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu$ II．IX． 10．$\not \approx \nu \in \sigma \iota \nu$ V．viI． 3.
á $\begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon \\ \sigma \\ \text { II } \\ \text { III I．II．} 9 .\end{gathered}$
 III． 9 ．
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \chi \omega$ ．$\dot{\eta} \nu \in \ell \chi \epsilon \tau o$ III．XIV． 4.


$\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \eta_{\rho}$ ．I．xIII．7．II．Ix．5．III．xI． 2：xviII．I．dija日ós V．iv． 5.
 VI．xi．i9．$\dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \rho_{s}$ IV．І．іг．V．

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau o v \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \rho \dot{\sigma}$ III．xv．3．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$

 II．v．24．III．xv．9．öta äd $\partial \rho \rho \in s$ $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \tau a \iota$ VI．Ix．8．$a \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ III． xiII．5：xv．II．катd̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \partial \hat{\rho} \nu$ VIII．xI．II．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ II．v． 25. IV．XVI．5．$\dot{\omega}$ s ouk $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta$ oîs II．IX． 25．$\gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu 0<s$ V．v．5．ä $\quad$ дpas III．
 V．Iv． 8 ．
$\dot{d} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \kappa \delta ́_{s} . \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\omega}$ II．v． 4.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \nu 0 s . \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi l \nu \eta s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ IV． xI． 9.
$a ̈ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$ III．xvi．4．$a_{\rho} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ III．

 $\zeta \varphi \hat{o}$ 人 III．VI．3．$\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu ~ I I I . ~ x V I . ~$
 $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{́} \omega \nu$ ä́ $\rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ III．xvi．5．à $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi$ II．VII．II：IX．I9．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ I． XIII．3．II．II． 3 ：VII．12．тaủtò $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ IV．xvi． 6.
 I．xiII．I．II．VI．7．VI．XV． 9.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \phi a \gamma i a . \pi \rho \dot{s} s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \phi a \gamma i a \nu$ V．Iv． 3 ．
 VIII．viII．Io．入iav dipevtes V．IV． 6．$\dot{d \nu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu}$ VIII．I．9．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \iota \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ II．IX．4．$\alpha \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \eta$ II．IX． 24 ． $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu} \eta \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o े ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os IV．Iv． 7 ． $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \mu \epsilon \in \operatorname{las}$ IV．xvir．5．V．v． 22 ： VII．13：VI．III． 8.
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äтоттоs．II．xII． 9.
 $\tau L \nu \in s$ II．vili．I6．III．II．I ：III．I： xIII．II．VII．Iv． 3 ．$\dot{a} \pi \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ I． xi．io．VIII．VII．3．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \rho \eta^{\eta} \sigma \eta$ VIII． xI．20．àторฑ̈ $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ I．viII．I：XIII． 2．II．viif．t．VI．xv．5．VIII．IX． 4．$\dot{a} \pi$ opeî̀ IV．x．9．$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\partial} \nu$ i $\sigma \omega \mathrm{s}$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．II．2．$\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{a} \pi \pi о \rho 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$ dáóvatov ка入へิs áp $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．xi． 8. $\dot{a} \pi$ орєі̂тal VII．III．I．$\quad \dot{\eta} \pi о \rho \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ V． vi．I．$\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \circ \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ III．x． 5 ．
 ס七орı $\sigma \mu \dot{\rho}$ III．VIII．3．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ ä торía III．viII．4．ad aoplay è $\chi \in \iota$ II．IX． 19：XI．I2．III．xi．6，10， 15,16 ： xiII．I4．VII．III．6．à äroplà é $\chi \in t$

I．XIII．2．II．viII，18，III．x．I ： XI．10：XII． 2 ：XIII．24：XV．14．V． v．7．VIII．IX．2．＇Є̌ Xovoct III．II． 3．$\tau \iota \nu$＇$\epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．xI．I．$\dot{\alpha} \pi o \rho t \omega \bar{\nu}$ III．v．I．à áopias III．xv．3．тav́－
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ III．viII．I．
ámopos．ätopov II．viII．12．ätopol III．viII．2．VI．Iv．6．VII．v． 6. VIII．I．14：viII．18．$\sigma \phi \dot{\delta} \delta \rho a$ VI． XI．4．$\dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \rho \omega \nu$ III．viI．5：XII． 8. VI．III．1， $5:$ xv．I3．VIII．III．6， 8：XI．32．ä áópocs VII．viII． 23. ámópous III．viII．3．IV．x．ıo．VI． III．I．VII．II．2．VIII．viII． 14 ．
 $\tau \in p o \iota$ VIII．viI． $8 . \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ VII．III． 5 ．
 vi． 7.
 20.
$\dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．$\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda a \nu$ VIII．VII． 2. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\nu} \sigma \iota$ IV．III． 4. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ III．I．7．$\dot{\alpha} \pi o-$
 IV．vir． 8.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$ VII．VIII． 13.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \pi о т \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ I．IV．3．IV．IV． 5.
 $\tau \epsilon$ доіто I．II． 3 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \ell \theta \eta \mu \iota . \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu$ IV．xvi． 15.




 I．5．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi o \phi \eta \nu \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu \tau \iota$ II．XII．I．

 xiv． 15.

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\rho} \pi \epsilon \epsilon a$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota a \nu$ II．IX． 13 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \in \pi \eta$ ク́s．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu$ II．Iv． 2.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tau o ́ s . \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau o ̂ ̀ s ~ V . ~ v . ~ 2 o . ~$
 I．$\ddot{\eta} \pi \tau о \nu \tau o ~ V . ~ v i . ~ i 1 . ~ d i \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~$ III．IX．9．V．VII．14．$\dot{a} \pi \tau о \mu \epsilon ้ \eta$ ） VI．iv．13．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \dot{\sigma} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ IV．xvi． 18.
$a \pi \omega \theta \theta \epsilon$ ．II．IV．．．
$\hat{a} \rho a$ ．$\hat{a} \rho^{\prime}$ ồv $\epsilon l$ III．xIII．4．$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{a} \rho a$ III．x． 3 ．
äpalos．ápaia IV．xvi．I4．
àpria．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ áprian IV． xviI． 4.
ápүós．á $\rho \gamma$ óv IV．xiI．9．áprol II．vI． 6．oi à $\rho \gamma o ́ \tau a \tau o l ~ \nu o \mu a ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon ~ \epsilon i \sigma i \nu ~ I . ~ v i I I . ~$ 6.
d́ $\rho \gamma$ úplov．áp $\rho v p i \notin \mathrm{II}$ ．IX． 2 ．
áprupos．áp $\rho \gamma$ apol III．v．$^{26}$.
A．$P$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$. I．xiII．2，4．III．xiII．I．VI． Iv．22．VIII．I．7，14：III．26．Toे $\epsilon \hat{\imath}$ 光 $\chi \epsilon เ \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ I．xIII．10．$\dot{\eta}$ $\tau o v ̂ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o u s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau o \hat{u}$ ö $\lambda o v \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon$
 $\tau \omega \nu$ é $\tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho a$ III．IV．15．où $\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \in \iota$

 I．xili．5．ПI．IX．II，22，34．III． xI．6：xil．6：xv．I4．IV．I． 10. VIII．іx．3．$\mu \in \tau \in \neq \chi$ ovтas VI．iv． 17.
 5．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ III．xII．9．$\pi$ о入८ть－
 VI． $15 . \quad \dot{d} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$ IV．xIII． 6.
 35．$\tau \nu \nu$ os IV．xv．6．$\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ảpe－ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ III．xiII．8．$\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ d $\rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$ IV．iI． 5．$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{\text { IV }}$ IV．xiv． $19 . ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \beta i=\nu$ IV．III．I．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ II．IX．34－$\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ I． xiII．1， 6,8 ．III．xiif．3， 6 ．VI．vif． 4．VIII．viI． 5 ：IX．I，7．dं $\rho \chi \iota \kappa \eta^{\nu}$ III．IV．10．$\pi ⿰ 幺 幺 人 \iota \tau \kappa \kappa \eta \eta^{2}$ V．VI． 5 ． $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ ávícots III．IX．15．$\pi \rho$ òs



 xviI．5．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a \nu$ III．IV．3．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\tau \omega ิ \nu \alpha \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．XIII．I3．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i o u$ III．IV．I．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aút ${ }^{2} \nu$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \dot{o} \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s} \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\rho} \sigma \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ III．xviII．
 $\sigma \tau o v ~ d u \delta \rho \rho o ́ s ~ I V . ~ x i v . ~ 8 . ~ o u ́ ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu ~$
 IV．III．7．$\delta c^{\prime} \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ II．v．6．IV． II．2．V．II．6．$\pi \rho \partial े s \dot{d} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ V．II． I．ка入ิิs VIII．xI． $34 . \quad \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ III．VII．4：XII．6：xill．9：xv．II： xvili．I．VI．II．1：III．4．VIII．iv． 12：VII． 2 ．$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ III．xVII． 4．$\kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau \omega \nu$ IV．HII． 6 ．ai тєцаi


 2．déetaî̀ II．v．1о．djetaí I． xIII．3．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ VIII． xI．22．d́ $\rho \in \tau$ d́s I．xIII．8．IV．I． 6. $\pi$ á $\sigma a s$ IV．xiv． 15.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \ell \theta \mu \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \epsilon i v$ VI．viI．I．
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s . \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$ VIII．І．І． 5.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu$ ós．VI．Iv．8．VIII．хі．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ П．хІ．іо．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ II． VII．5．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu o \hat{v}$ II．vi．12．$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os IV．IV．5．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \mu \hat{\omega}$ VIII．I． 12. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ II．III．5．VI．2I．VI．I． II：III．I．VIII．II．I，4：x． 27.



III，XIII．6．$\pi$ रelous III．xv． 9. $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{\rho} \nu$ VII．і． 2.
 $\nu_{0}$ II．XI． 12 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau i \nu \delta \eta \nu$. II．xI．3，8．VI．viI． 3 ． $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о к р а \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．d́ $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о к р а \tau \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ V I . ~$ VIII．5．ג́рєбтократоv $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu \quad \pi \delta \hat{\lambda} \iota \nu$ III．xviil．I．VI．viil． 5.
ápıбтократia．VI．II．2．（ $\tau \hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s}) \dot{a} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0-$ кратias II．XI．5，8．$\quad \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau$ ．II． IX．20．$\pi \epsilon \rho$ д ápıттократіаs каi $\beta a-$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov́т $\omega \nu$ Є̇ $\sigma \tau i \nu \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\partial} \nu о \mu \dot{\alpha}-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ VI．II．I．д́рьбтократі́a III． xVI．I．àpıбтократía VI．II．I： 1II．7．VIII．I．8：XII．12．ка入оv－ $\mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ VI．VII．I．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \lambda i ́ \gamma \omega \nu$


 aن̉v $\hat{\eta}$ III．VII．3．$\quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi^{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III． xv ．Io．$\epsilon \nu \tau 0 i ̂ s \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \eta \eta^{2}$ VI．III． 4. $\epsilon i \delta o s \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi i a s$ VI．III．7．кат̀̀ т $\grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho a \tau i a \nu$ VIII．х． $2 . \quad a i$
 таîs d́pıбтократiaıs VI，xv．о． VIII．VII．I．ḋритократias III． xiII．8．д̀ $\lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi \iota \kappa \alpha ́ s ~ V I I . ~ I . ~ 3 . ~$
ג́рıбтократ兀кós．d́дьттократькท่ VI． II．4：VII．4．גјөбтократько́v II． xI．7．III．XVI．4．IV．xI． 5 ． VI．v．i．VIII．viII．I7．$\tau \grave{\partial} \tau \grave{d} s$ à $\rho \chi$ às aipeтás II．xII．2．oi $\nu о \mu$－ фи́лакєs VII．VIII．24．тi тò ápıбто－ кратькор ІІІ．хVII．3．дарьбтократь－ $\kappa \grave{\eta} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ о入ıтєía II．XI．II．III．V． 5. $\tau$ às d̀ $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о к р а т \iota \kappa a ́ s ~ I I I . ~ x v i I . ~ 6 . ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho а т \iota \kappa \omega \tau$ е́рал II．vI． 16.
á $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho a \tau \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s . ~ V I I . ~ I . ~ 4 . ~$
äplotos．II．vII．I6．àpiot $\tau \sigma \hat{i}$ s $\pi \lambda \epsilon i-$ $\sigma \tau o \iota s$ VI．XI．I．$\tau \alpha i{ }^{i s} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ VII． 1．6．$\tau i{ }^{\text {s }} \dot{\rho} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta$ VI．I．I．$\dot{a} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta s$ III．xviII．2．VI．III．8，VIII．xII． 7．$\dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta s \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s, \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \dot{\prime}-$ $\lambda \iota s a_{\partial} \nu \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$ IV．Ix． 3．ä $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu$ II．III．I．III．xv．Iз．
 $\delta \in i ̂ a ̈ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．xv．6．ג̇ $\rho i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ II． VI．I6，17．III．XIII．I2：xviII．I．

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．viII．4．tò̀s d́píctous ä $\mu$－ $\delta \rho a s$ III．XVI．5．$\quad$ Tov̀s $\dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau o u s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu$
 15．III．xVI．Io．d $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ ’ äv．III． XI．I4．IV．XIII． 4.
д̀ $\rho \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \omega . \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu$ VII．iv． 6.


 $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ III．III．8．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu о \nu \iota \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ V．v．

22：vil．3，7， $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o v i a i s$ V．viI． 1，3．ג́ puovias V．viI．I，I3．VI． III． 7 ．VIII．xII． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \dot{\partial} \tau \tau \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ IV．XII． $2:$ xVI． 9. V．III．I2：IV．9．VII．I．5：vil． 6. $\pi$ oia $\pi$ oíos VI．xIII．12．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \dot{\rho} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ VI．I．I．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \dot{\rho} \tau \tau o v \sigma a$ V．V． 25. VI．I．3．$\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda e l \sigma \tau a \iota s ~ \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$ VI．
 $\nu_{0}$ VI．I．I．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \dot{\delta} \tau \tau o v \sigma a \nu$ VI．I． 5. тoùs $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau a s$ VI．I． 9 ．
äpvvцац．ă $\rho \nu \nu \nu \tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \mu \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\nu}$ III．xvI．7． äpoupa．äpoupà III．xIII． 17.
 9.
$a_{a} \rho \rho \eta \nu$ ．á $\rho \rho \in \nu$ I．II． $2:$ xII．1，3：xIII． 7．д́ $\rho \rho \in \downarrow \nu \omega \nu$ IV．xvi．8．á $\rho \rho \epsilon \nu a s$ II．Ix．7：x． 9.
ä $\rho \tau \iota$ ．III．vIII．5．ä $\rho \tau \iota \dot{\rho} \eta \theta \in i \sigma a s$ VI． VIII．I．
ä $\rho \tau \iota o \mathrm{~s}$ ．${ }^{2} \rho \tau \iota o \nu$ II．v．27．ä $\rho \tau \iota a$ II． III． 3 ．

$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i ̂ o s . \pi \lambda o \hat{t} \tau$ VI．viii．9．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a i a$ IV．x．2．$\tau \grave{\partial}$ di $\rho \chi \alpha i o v$ I．II．7．II． x．5．VII．iv．8：x．5．á $\rho \chi$ aîov
 xv．16．ai à $\rho \chi a i ̂ a \iota ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ̂ a \iota ~ V I . ~$ xiif．II．dं $\rho \chi a i ̂ a ~ I V . ~ x . ~ 8 . ~ d ̇ \rho-~$ $\chi$ ai $\omega \nu$ II．viII．20：x．I．III．xiv． I3．VI．III．3．VIII．xiI．I3．$\epsilon \in \pi$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi$ ．III．XIv．4．VIII．v． 6. $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{a} \rho \chi$ ．V．III．II．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a i o t s$ III．xiv．8．VIII．iv．i．$\epsilon \nu \tau$ oîs àp $\chi$ aiots VI．xiII．Io．àp $\chi$ aious II． VIII．19．dं $\rho \chi a i a s$ VII．IV．3．$\dot{\alpha} \rho-$ $\chi a \iota o \tau a ́ \tau \eta$ VII．IV．I．d̀ $\rho \chi a \iota o ̄ \tau a \tau o \iota$ IV．x．8．d́ $\rho \chi \alpha \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ II．VI．I3． $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \iota \rho \in \sigma i a . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \iota \rho \in \sigma \iota \omega \bar{\nu}$ III．XI． I3．dं $\rho \chi a t \rho \epsilon \sigma_{i}{ }^{2}$ III．xI．8．VI． xiv．5．VII．I． 4.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i \omega s . \lambda i a \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a i \omega s$ IV．xı． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu . \dot{a} \rho \chi \in \hat{i} \rho \nu$ II．Ix．19， 2 I．VI． xiv．14．VIII．Iv．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \phi \delta \rho \omega \nu$ II．x．Io．$\dot{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} a \mathrm{IV}$ ．xv．6．VIII． viI．9．кат $\alpha$ тóтov VI．xv． 9. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon i \omega \nu$ II．xI． 7 ．IV．хІІ．2， 7 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ ．I．xil．3．II．viI．20：IX． 19. III．xiv． $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ：xv．3．VIII．iv． 5 ： viI．5．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i o ̛ \nu \omega \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu$ I．v． 2．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ III．IV．II．ка日＇$\hat{\nu} \nu$
 тos $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu, \dot{\eta}$ òè $\tau o \hat{v} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ I V . ~$ xIV．6．$\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Є $\begin{aligned} & \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu \\ & \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i \omega \nu\end{aligned}$ IV．xiv．19．$\dot{\eta} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \xi \quad \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ VIII．
 VIII．Iv．6．$\alpha \ddot{\tau} \tau \eta \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ V． III．2．$\dot{\eta}$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \in \tau a \iota ~ \eta ँ \mu \sigma \sigma v \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$ $\pi a \nu \tau o ́ s$ VIII．IV． 3 ．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ III．xiII． 22．$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \in L$ III．I．6．oîs $\mu \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \nu$ ở $\delta \epsilon \mu \iota a ̂ s$ II．XII．6．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} i \sigma \tau \eta$＇s II．IX． 2 I：X．Io．$\beta$ ou入єutiкरुs ì $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \iota \hat{\eta} s$ III．І．І2．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ I．XII．

1．III．xiv． 2 ：xvi．i3．VI．iv． 6. ás $\iota \circ \nu$ II．IX．27．$\epsilon^{\prime} \delta \eta \pi \pi^{\prime} \sigma \sigma a$ III．v． 2．тoùs трótous $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ I I I . ~ v i . ~ 5 . ~$
 VIII．xi．32．$\dot{\alpha} \pi$＇$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ IV．xvi． I．$\epsilon \sigma \tau i$ IV．xv． $8 . \epsilon_{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\rho} \mathrm{I}$ I．II． i．II．Ix．Io：xi．Io．III．XIII．23： xvi．9．VI．I．4，7：xili，ro．VIII． III．3：XI．2．oi $\epsilon \xi \dot{d} \rho \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ V．III． 2. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\gamma}$ III．Ix．5．$\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \dot{v} \mathrm{~s} \dot{\epsilon} \xi$ à $\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ VIII．IV．I2．$\delta$ ، $\mathfrak{a}$ тò $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ $\pi o \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ III．XIII．19．$\epsilon \pi i$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ II．Ix．8．VIII．vi．I3． $\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ VIII．XI．4．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{g}$ III．xvi．I2．$\epsilon \lambda \downarrow \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{n}$ VIII． I．15：IV．3：VIII．9．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\jmath} \tau \iota \nu l$ III． II．5．á $\rho \chi$ خh ${ }^{2}$ II．I．2．III．xiv． 6. IV．xi．I2．VIII．xil．8．$\lambda a \beta o v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ VI．IV．7．$\pi$ o入ı兀เк $\eta^{2}$ III．хviI． 4. $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ йоибау VI．xIv．I．$\pi$ â $\sigma a \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o \tau \epsilon i a \nu$ IV．III．2．$\quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ $\tau$ oîs $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ VI．viII．I．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi_{\eta}^{\nu}$ тєva VIII．I．Io：viII．I3．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ג $\rho \chi \not \chi^{\prime} \nu$ II．v．19：IX．20， 23 ：xiv． 9. III．xiII．Ig．IV．viII．7．VIII．I． 2：VIII．4：XI．2，32．$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta$ a $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \eta$ s II．x．4．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ e่ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ III．xviI． 4．${ }^{\text {E } \sigma \chi \chi \nu \nu}$ III．xIII．19．$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \nu$ VIII．xI．I．кaтà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi{ }_{\eta} \nu$ VIII． XII．4．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \eta \grave{\eta} \nu$ II．x． 3 ． VIII．x．12．$\mu \dot{\eta} \mu i a \nu \in i v a \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ VII．viII．io．di $\rho \chi \alpha i$ III．xVI．Io． VIII，I．7：II．I：Iv．Io：Iv．12：v．

 ки́pıa८ $\tau$ ท̂s $\pi$ o入ıтєias VIII．VIII． 21. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ àva ${ }^{2} \alpha a l \omega \nu$ VII．VI． 2．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．VI．II． $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ íरov rò $\pi$ a $\lambda$ atóv III．IV． 12. ois $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ III．v．I．$\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ III．xiI． 7 ．VIII．vi． 3．ai $\mu \grave{\nu \nu ~ \delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu e ́ v a l ~ к а \tau a ̀ ~ \chi \rho \delta ́-~}$ $\nu_{0}$ III．I．6．ai aip $\epsilon \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ III． xI． 15 ．ai $\pi$ o入ıtıкаl VII．viII． 18. dexaîs．VI．iv．30．taîs mòıtккаîs III．x．4：xvi．7．тaîs кvpt $\omega \tau$ á－ tals VII．viI．5． $\begin{gathered} \\ \nu \\ \text { raîs } \\ d\end{gathered} \rho \chi$ aîs
 IV．I：vI．2．oi $\epsilon \nu$ raís à $\rho \chi$ ais VIII．xII．I4．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．III．I．
 viII．5．d́ $\rho \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ III．XI．16：XVI． 4. ä $\rho \chi$ оvбเข II．II．7．VIII．VI．I6． $\tau \grave{~} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ aủtò $\nu \ddot{a} p \chi \epsilon i \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon l o u s$ II．xI． 13．кuplas VIII．iII．9：Ix． 1. кขрьөтátas II．viII．9．$\mu \in \gamma$ á入as III．xi．18．VIII．v．8．$\pi$ o入ıт кás III．vi．9．$\pi$ olas $\delta \in i ̂ ~ к a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ V I . ~$ xv．2．кат＇à $\rho \chi$ ás II．Ix．3．tàs da $\rho \chi$ ás II．VII．7．III．XIII．25．VI． IV．4．VIII．I．II：II．I：VI．6： viI．9：VIII．15：x．33．aipєтás II． XII．2．$\tau \iota \mu a ́ s ~ I I I . ~ X . ~ 4 . ~ a ̀ ~ a ̀ ̀ ~ \tau \iota \mu \eta-$
$\mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ VI．IV．24．$\beta$ ßou入cú $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI． xiv．5．$\delta \iota \tau \tau a ́ s ~ V I I . ~ V . ~ i f . ~ e ́ \lambda \alpha ́ \tau-~$ qous VII．viII．2．$\pi \in \rho i$ tàs ad $\rho \chi$ d́s VI．I．10：XIII．1：xiv．2．VII． VIII．I．
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \kappa \dot{\rho} \nu$ IV．viI．6．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \kappa \neq \dot{\eta} \nu(\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon-$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu)$ III．IV．Io．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ VI． xv． 4.

dं $\rho \chi \iota \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \omega \nu$ ．ó $\lambda$ र́
 I．xiII．8．roîs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \tau \in \in \kappa \tau \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ I．Iv．
 IV．III． 8.
äp $\rho \omega$ ．ä $\rho \chi$ є I．xiII．7．III．xvi． 2. áp $\chi$ оvб九 II．II．7．III．xi．16：xiv． 7．VI．xvi．2．VIII．נv．i3：vi． 3．$\alpha \rho \xi \in \iota$ I．XIII．5．ä $\rho \xi$ ova V VII． Iv．6．$\hat{\eta} \rho \chi{ }^{\circ \nu}$ III．XIv．I3．$\hat{\eta} \rho \frac{\xi}{5} \epsilon$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ VIII．iv．6．$\quad \ddot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta$ I． xII．2．VIII．XI．18，iv＇aütòs ä $\rho \chi \eta$ VIII．x．3ı．äp $\chi \omega \sigma \iota$ VI． rv ． 5．$\check{a} \rho \xi \eta$ VIII．x．37．ä $\rho \xi \in \epsilon \in$ III． xVI．io．$\check{a} \rho \chi \in \epsilon \nu$ I．xII．I：xIII． 4. II．II．4．III．x．3，4，5：XIII． 7，9， 25 ：XVI．10，II，${ }^{13}$ ．VII． Iv．2．VIII．VIII．6：X．12：
 II．II．6．ämą̧VI．xv．6．aủroîs

 IX．27．катд̀ रрáщцага II．х．II． III．xv．4．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega}$ IV．xiv．Ig． $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\nu}{ }^{2} \nu \quad \delta \iota \kappa c i \omega s$ d̀ $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каl $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \omega s$ IV．II．I3．Tòv aúròv ö̀ $\lambda \omega s$ sis III． I．6．$\mu \eta \theta \dot{\text { ì } \nu} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ VII．II．9．кã $\dot{\alpha}$ $\mu o ́ v a s$ III．XI．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \lambda a s$ IV．II． 7：xIv．19．tivas $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ III．xiri．4，

 5．$\ddot{a} \rho \chi \not \subset \iota \nu$ —ă $\rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．IX． 9. ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ каl ă $\rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ III．xIII． 12.

 14：IV．Io．ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ I．xIII．5．III． xI．17：xv．9：xVI．9，12．тoे äp $\rho \circ$ у I．XII．2：xHI．6．aip $\rho$ ovtos． $\phi \dot{\sigma} \in \iota$ I．XIII．4．$\epsilon \pi i \tau a \xi \iota \iota$ каl крiбıs
 VI．13．áp $\quad$ оуva I．xIII．6， 8. III．xi．19．VIII．XI．25．тòv $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i ̂ o \nu ~ I I I . ~ T v . ~ 7 . ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ a ̈ \rho-~$

 iv．II．$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ä $\rho \chi \neq v \sigma a \nu$ IV．II． 2．äp $\rho$ ovtes VIII．VI．6：viI．I． $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi^{\circ} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．II．7．III．x．4：xI． 18．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\jmath} s \sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ II．xI． 12．$\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu$ VIII．XI．26．ävev
 IV．I6．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \chi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．viII． 23 ． III．xi．8．IV．IV．I2：xiI， 4 ． 27－2

VIII．III．9：x．14．катабтá $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ s


 v． 25 ．III．xvi．5，9．IV．xif． 6. VII．viII．20．aipet ov＇s II．viII． 7.
 VI．I．io．$\phi \epsilon \hat{\rho} \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．VI．19．$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\delta \iota a \phi \notin \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \rho \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ IV．XIV． 4. $\tau \grave{a} \pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ тov̀s ä $\rho \chi 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ II．VI．I $_{4}$ ．
 II．II． 7 ．${ }^{2} \rho \chi \eta \tau a \iota$ I．XII． 2 ． áp $\neq \sigma \theta$ at I．xIII．4．III．xIII． 9，12．III．xviI．4，7．VII．II．

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \phi \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ S I．хIII．5．$\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \eta s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ III．Iv．9．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \mu \in \dot{\nu} о v$ I．xIII． 4. mo入ítov III．จ．5．dá $\rho \chi o \mu \hat{\nu} \nu o v ~ \mu e ̀ \nu$
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\prime}$ III．IV．I6．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \underset{\varphi}{\text { IV }}$ ． xVII．3．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\partial} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ I．XII．2：xIII． 6．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\partial} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ IV．XVI．4．$\dot{a} \rho \chi о-$ $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ II．VI．I4．IV．IV．I2．VIII． iv．3：xI．r，7，9．$\phi \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon$ I．xIII． 5. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi o \mu \dot{e ̀ \nu} \omega \nu \quad \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ III．VI． 7.
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．IV．17．$\dot{a} \rho \chi \chi^{b-}$ $\mu \epsilon \nu a$ I．XIII．6．IV．VII．2．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi{ }^{-}$ $\mu \notin \nu o t s ~ V I I I . ~ x i . ~ 33 . ~ a ́ \rho \chi o \mu e ́ v o u s ~ I I . ~$ IV．4．VIII．xI．8， 15 ．äp $\xi_{0 \nu \tau a \iota}$ VII．iv．6．$\dot{a} \rho \chi \theta \dot{\theta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ I．xili． 5. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ IV．xIV． 6.
${ }^{a} \rho \chi \omega \omega$ ．$\dot{o} \not{ }^{a} \rho \chi \chi \omega \nu \dot{o}$ єis VIII．I．I1．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \epsilon a . \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ VIII．v．I．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \gamma \hat{\omega} s$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \gamma \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ VIII．VI． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota a$ ．（ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ）$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \bar{\imath} \mu \omega \nu(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \hat{\epsilon}-$ $\nu \epsilon \iota a \nu)$ II．x． 16 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \eta_{s} \dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in s$ VIII．VI．4．$\dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ II．VIII．24．IV．xVI．I6．
 $\gamma^{\gamma} \nu \tau \epsilon$ II．xII． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \omega$ ．$\eta \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ IV．xiv．19．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ V．II．2．$\dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \nu a \iota \mu \eta \delta \bar{\sigma} \mu l a \nu$ ä $\sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu$
 V．IV．4．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa о и ิ \nu \tau \epsilon ร$ IV．II． 14. véous $\dot{\alpha} \sigma$ кои̂̀таs V．iv． 8.
ひ $\sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \iota s$ VI．I．1．ひ̈ $\sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ av่ $\hat{\eta} s$ V．
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \tau \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV．xiv． 2 I ． $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \circ \hat{c} s \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa 0 \hat{s}$ II．XII． 12. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \psi v \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV．xviI．3．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \cdot$ кйбєєऽ то入є $\mu \iota \kappa$ às каі то入ıт८ка́s V ． VI． 6.

¿б $\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ ．II．v．iI．VII．VII． 6.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega s$ ．III．XIII．25．VI．4． 30.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau a \sigma i a \sigma \tau o s$. VIII．I． $1_{5}$ ．$\dot{\delta}$ єîs III． xv．9．$\mu \dot{\partial} \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$ VI．xI． 12. ठì̀ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \nu 0 \mu \circ \theta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \nu$ à $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a ́ \sigma \tau o u s$ II． XI．15．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$ VI．XI． 13.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o ́ s . \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{III} . \vee .8$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o u ́ s ~ V I . ~$ xvi． 4 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta \eta{ }^{\prime} s . \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}(\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha)$ IV．xVII． 2. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \rho \lambda$ oүia．$\dot{a} \sigma \tau \rho 0 \lambda$ orias I．xI． 9.
ä $\sigma \tau v . \ddot{a} \sigma \tau \epsilon o s$ VIII．x．II．$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\epsilon \xi \xi \omega \tau o \hat{v}$ ă $\sigma \tau \epsilon \circ$ VII．viII．6．$\tau \grave{̀}$ ă $\sigma \tau v$ VIII．III． $15 . \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\partial}$ ä $\sigma \tau v$ VII． IV．13：viII．4， 21 ．$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau o ̀ ~ a ̈ \sigma \tau v ~$ $\kappa а \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu$ VIII，Iv． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu$ ．$\pi \rho \rho$ oेs $\tau$ ò̀s $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon i \tau o v a s$ VI．in．3．$\pi$ o $\lambda \epsilon \not \mu$ ous IV．x．iI．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \nu о \mu i a . \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \nu о \mu i \alpha \nu$ IV．xII．7．VII． VIII． 5 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \nu о \mu \iota \kappa o ́ s . \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \nu о \mu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ П．v． 21.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau u ́ v o \mu o s . \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ V I I . ~ v i I I . ~ I о . ~$
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{u} \mu \phi о \rho o s . \dot{a} \sigma \dot{u} \mu \phi о \rho o \nu ~ I V . ~ V I . ~ I . ~ V I . ~$ x．I．$\dot{a} \sigma v \mu \phi \dot{\rho} \rho o u s$（ $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ a u ̉ \tau o v ̂) ~ I . ~$ xI． 12.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \phi o ́ \rho \omega s$. I．vi．Io．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$ o入८－ $\tau \epsilon i a \nu$ VIII．viII． 13 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon \tau o s . \dot{\alpha} \sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \nu$ I．I． 3.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota a . \dot{d} \sigma \phi \dot{1} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ VII．V．2．$\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ IV．VI．2：XI．7．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a-$ $\lambda \epsilon i a s ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa a ́ s ~ I V . ~ x i . ~ 6 . ~$
$\dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda{ }^{\prime} s$. II．x． 13 ．$\dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{S}$ II．viII． 16：IX．25．III．XI．7．VII．vIII． 12．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ I I I . ~ x I V . ~ 7 . ~ I V . ~ x . ~$ 13．VIII．VIII．5．$\dot{a} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s$ III．xvi．9．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ VIII．I． 15．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$ VI．XI．${ }^{1} 4$ ． VIII．VII．6．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta$ VIII． I．16．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$ IV．XI． 9.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \eta \mu \nu \nu \epsilon \in \omega$ ．каi $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\delta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \chi \mu о \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ II． xI． 10.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta \nu$ V．vi．I4．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\nu}$ as IV．xVII． 9.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \chi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon}$. V．III．5．$\dot{d} \sigma \chi{ }^{0}$ रой $\sigma \iota \nu$ V．III．5．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \epsilon i ้$ IV．xIV． I4．$\delta \rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ V V．III．2．$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \chi^{0} \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．
 IV．xv． 5.
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o \lambda i a$ ．$\dot{a} \sigma \chi o \lambda i a s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}$ ．IV． xV．1．$\dot{a} \sigma \chi o \lambda i a \nu$ IV．xiv．12．VIII． xi．9．$\dot{a} \sigma \chi 0$ 久íaıs V．III． 4.
ä $\sigma \chi o \lambda o s . ~ V I I . ~ I v . ~ 2 . ~ a ̈ \sigma \chi o \lambda o \nu ~ V . ~ . ~$ II．5．VIII．v．8．ä $\sigma \chi \circ \lambda o t$ VIII． xi． 8 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \tau \epsilon v ́ o \mu a \iota$ ．$\dot{a} \sigma \omega \tau \epsilon v \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$ VIII．xII． 17.

д̈тактоs．àтактотє́рад VII．IV． 17.


$\ddot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$ ．VIII．x．2．$\ddot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho$ I．I．ıо．
$\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu i a$ ．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu i ́ a \nu$ II．VI．12．$\delta i \grave{a} \tau \alpha \grave{s} \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu i a s ~ I I . ~ V I . ~$ 10.
 I．VIII． 12 ：XIII．7．$\dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda$ रôs I．XII． I．$\dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ V．V． 4 ．$\dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ IV．

 16．$\dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ I I I . ~ I . ~ 5: ~ v . ~ 2 . ~$
$\dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} s \pi \omega$ III．I． 5 ．
$\ddot{a} \tau \epsilon \chi \nu$ os．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \chi \nu \omega \nu$ I．XI． 4 ．
$\dot{a} \tau \iota \alpha \mathfrak{j} \zeta \omega . \dot{a} \tau \iota \mu a ́ s \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ VIII．III． 2
viI．2．aưtoì áт $\tau \mu a \zeta 6 \mu \epsilon \nu_{0 \iota}$ VIII． III． 2.
àтцuia．àтıцia IV．xvi．18．à átцíà VIII．II．3：viII．5：Xi． 28. $\dot{\alpha} \tau ц i a \iota s$ IV．xvir． 9 ．$\dot{\alpha} \tau ц \mu i a s$ VIII． xI． 29.

 $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ ious III．x．5．$\dot{a} \tau i \mu \omega \nu \quad \sigma \phi \dot{\delta} \delta \rho a$ VI．XI．5．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \tau i \mu \omega \nu$ III．I． 5. áтíhous III．x． 4 ：xI． 4.
äтoтos．II．x．13．äтотор I．xiII． 3. II．IV．2，3：v．15， 24 ：vi．10： VIII．21：XI．12．III．XI．15： xVI．12．VIII．xII． 14.
à $\tau v \chi \notin \omega$. à $\tau v \chi$ оóvт $\omega \nu$ VIII．III． 7.
ȧтúхๆиа．а̀ $\tau v \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota$ II．IX． 2.
 II．vil． 6.
avi $\theta$ ts．I．III．I．
aủ入є́ $\omega$ ．aủ入єîv II．xI．13．aủ入ท́ซovot III．xII．4．$\eta \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon$ V．vi． 12.
aj̀ $\eta \eta \sigma \iota$ ．$a \dot{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ V．VI．14．aï $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ V．vi． 10.
 aủ入 $\eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．XII． 4 ．
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu a \dot{\jmath} \lambda \eta \tau \kappa \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ III．XII．5．V．VI． II．
aủ入oтotós．III．Iv． 18.
aủ入ós．V．vi．9．aủ入óv V．viI． 9. aủ $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．xiI．5．V．vi．13．aủ ${ }^{2}$ oîs V．VII．io．aủ入oús V．vi． 9.
 VIII．viII，12．av̌乡ŋŋ $\sigma a l$ VII．Iv． 18．$\alpha \downarrow \xi \xi \nu$ II．XII．4．$\alpha v \mathfrak{\xi} \xi \alpha \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．viI．7．aú̧̧ávouto VIII．III．
 6．$\alpha \dot{v} \xi \alpha \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ VIII．III．6．$\alpha \dot{v} \xi a-$ $\nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ VIII．III．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$
 avj $\xi \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ VIII．Iv．8．$\eta \dot{\prime} \xi \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ VIII．v．7．$\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta \eta \dot{\eta} \xi \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ VIII． X． 5 ．
aび乡ๆбוs．I．Ix．${ }^{15}$ ．$\alpha \ddot{\xi} \xi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．x． 7 ： xvi．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ V．Iv．I．
 III． 6 ．
айтаркєia．I．II．9：viII． r $_{4}$ ．aủtap－ кєías I．II．8．$\pi$ то̀ेs aútд́ $\rho \kappa \epsilon \iota a \nu$ VII． vilI．3．$\zeta \omega \hat{\eta}$ SIII．I．I2，IV．IV． 14.
aưт $\dot{\rho} \kappa \eta$ ．I．II． $14 . \dot{\eta}$ тó入ıs VI．Iv． II．aйтаркєs IV．v．I．$\dot{\eta} \pi о$ ィ८s IV．rv．II．aủrápкous III．IX．I2． aúтápк $\begin{aligned} & \text { II．II．} 8 \text { ．} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \text { IV．virr．}\end{aligned}$ 8．aủ $\tilde{́ \rho} \rho \kappa \omega \nu$ VI．Iv．12．$\pi$ ó̀九s olkias aủtapкé $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ II．II．8．aútap－ $\kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \nu$ IV．v． I ．
 X．II．
аи̇тократ $\rho$ ．аи่тократо́ $\rho \omega \nu$ III．xiv． 4. aи́тократорas $\mu$ одáp $\chi$ ous VI．x． 2.
av̉тьцатоs．айтоца́тous I．Tv． 3.
aútóvo $\mu$ s．aйтод $\delta \mu \omega \nu$ VIII．xI． 26.
aútós．aútồ III．xvi．12．VIII．IV． 2．$\grave{\epsilon} \xi$ aúvर̂s VIII．vI．r：x． $3^{6}$ ．

 $\tau \iota \nu \alpha ́$ V．I．4．aủтض̀ $\nu \kappa \theta^{\prime}$ aút $\dot{\nu} \nu$ II． Ix．I3．aủzol II．viII．II．aútol $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{os}$ III．XIII． 14 ．$\alpha \dot{T} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．xiv．13．VIII．x．31．aย่า $\hat{\nu}$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a u ́ r o u ́ s ~ V I I I . ~ v i . ~ 4 . ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉-~$ тoís VII．vili．I3．тov̂ aưtov̂ eival III．xi．io．taưtóv VIII．v． 4. тav̉тó VI．II．I．єls taúvó VI．II． 3．TaưTò $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \tau 0 \hat{\imath} \tau o ~ I I I . ~ x I I I . ~ 8 . ~ T \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ III．x．4．$\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a u ́ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$ III．xviII．I．tov̀s aútov̀s kal II． X．II．$\pi a \rho$＇aủrás II．I．1．
 aủtos IV．I．io．ís aútov VIII． IV．2．引ै $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ av́тò $\eta^{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ III．v．ıо．каق＇aútò $\mu \in ́ v$ III．vi． 7．$\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$ III．xvi．I2．$\delta i$ av่ $\frac{1}{\omega} \nu$ II．vil．r2．VI．vi．ro．VIII．r． 8. $\epsilon \xi \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．vI．5：VII．14．aí－ toîs II．v．3．IV．vi． 6.
aúvoo $\chi \epsilon \delta \iota \alpha ́ j \omega$ ．aúto $\chi \chi \delta \delta \alpha \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ IV．Iv． 13.
aủzote入ท́s．aủtote入єîs IV．III． 8.
aútóфитos．aútóфитov I．viII． 8.
 IX．2．á $\phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \bar{l}$ II．IV．2，3．ádal－ poûvтa III．xiII．I7．á $\phi \in \lambda \omega ́ \nu$ VIII． XI．2．áфаєрєîtat VIII．XI． 13 ． áфaıрои̂̀тą VII．II．6．á $\phi \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ VI．XIII．8．VII．IV．3．ádaцpڤ̂ע－ тą III．x．3．ג́фацрєî̃ $\theta a \iota$ IV．III． 4．V．iv．8．VIII．viII．I2 ：Xi． 27．d́фаьрои́ $\mu \in \nu o s$ II．v． 27.
á $\phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ o s . ~ a ̀ \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ o \nu ~ I I I . ~ I . ~ 3 . ~$
ג́ $\phi \theta 0 \nu i a$. IV．XI．5．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta o \nu i \not q$ IV．xv． 4．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta_{\text {ovial }}$ IV．vi． 8.
ä $\phi \theta$ o 0 os．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \dot{\partial} \nu o u s$ IV．xI． 3 ．
$\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \mathrm{s}$ VIII．xi． 19.
$\dot{\alpha} \phi i \eta \mu$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \phi i \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．XVII．ro．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．XIV．22．$\dot{a} \phi \epsilon \hat{\tau} \sigma \alpha \nu$ VIII．viI． 9. $\dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ II．VIII．25．ádềval II． vi．10．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega$ III．xv．2．VI． II．4．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ I I . ~ v i . ~ I 3, ~ 19 . ~ I V . ~$ xvi．r7．V．vi．4．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \epsilon \mu \notin \nu o v s$ VII．
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ III．v．3．$\quad$ тoùs $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \notin \nu$ ous III． I． 5.
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ II．XI．I6．$\dot{a} \pi о \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ I I . ~$

 II．Ix． 3 ．


 $\tau \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \omega \nu \nu$ VI．v． $1:$ xv． 15.
$\dot{\alpha} \phi \circ \rho \mu \eta \dot{\eta} . \dot{\alpha} \phi о \rho \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ VII．v． 8.
$\dot{\alpha} \phi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ I．II． 9.
áфроббб兀абт兀кós．
 VIII．x． 17 ．

äфроироs．äф $\rho о$ орор II．IX． 18.
$a ̈ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ．ä $\phi \rho \circ \nu$ IV．I． 4.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma^{2} a i \omega \nu$ VI．I． 4.
$\dot{\alpha} \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau о s . \dot{a} \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ II． Ix． 37.
á $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o s$. ä $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$ II．xir． $12 . \mathrm{V}$ ． II．4：VI．6．VI．xiII．го．
$\ddot{a} \psi v \chi o s . a ̈ \psi v \chi o \nu$ I．Iv．2．$\dot{\alpha} \psi v^{\prime} \omega^{\omega \nu}$ I． xiII．I．

## B．

Baסís $\omega$ ．$\beta a \delta i \xi$ oval VI．xiv．4．$\beta a \delta i-$ $\zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VI．xv．6．VIII．I．in．$\epsilon i s$ $\tau$ às à $\rho \chi$ ás II．VII．خ．$\epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda i ́-~$ $\tau \epsilon v \mu a \quad \beta a \delta i \zeta \rho \nu \tau a s$ VI．vi． 9.
$\beta а к \chi є i ́ a . ~ V . ~ V I I . ~ І о . ~$

ßavavala．VII．II． 7.
ßávavaos．ó $\beta$ ávav $\sigma o s ~ \tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \eta s$ III．IV． 12．$\dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ้ \eta \eta \nu \tau \iota \nu a \quad$ é $\chi \in l$ סov－入eíà I．XIII．13．$\beta$ ávavaov IV． xiI．4．V．II．3：vi．3．VI．III． 2，4，9．VII．I．8：VII．f．V．II． 3．$\beta i o \nu$ IV．IX．3．$\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \rho \nu$ VI．III． 2．$\pi 0 \lambda \uparrow \tau \eta \nu$ III．v．3．$\beta$ ávavaov मै


 $\xi \in \nu \iota \delta \delta \nu$ III．v．3．$\beta$ ávavбo九 III．v． 4．IV．iv．6．$\beta$ avav́o $\omega \nu$ II．v． 28. V．viI．6．${ }^{t} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ VII．VII．4．${ }^{\tau} \dot{\text { ò }}$ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta}{ }^{\theta o s}$ VII．IV．I2．$\beta$ avav́rous V． II． 5 ：N．6：V．8：VI．5，16．Tò̀s $\beta$ avav́бous $\pi$ o入ítas $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \neq \nu$ III．v．I． ßavavбóтaтal $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a \sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oìs $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha \lambda \omega \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ I． xi． 6.
$\beta a \rho \beta a \rho \iota \kappa$ b́s．$\dot{\eta} \beta a \rho \beta \alpha \rho \iota \kappa \eta$ III．xiv． 14. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \beta a \rho \beta a \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ III．xiv．8．$\quad \beta a \rho-$ $\beta a \rho \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu$ є̈ $\rho \gamma \omega \nu$ I．Ix．5．$\beta a \rho \beta a \rho t-$ Koús II．VIII． 19.
 III．xiv．6．$\beta \dot{a} \rho \beta a p a$ VIII．xi． 6. $\beta a p \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu$ II．v．2．III．xIV．6．IV． XVII．3．тוสip VI．x．2．$\beta a \rho \beta \alpha ́-$ pots I．II．4．$\beta$ ap $\beta$ ápous IV．IX． 8 ： x． 13.
$\beta$ áp $\beta \iota \tau$ оs．$\beta$ ápßıто九 V．vi．I3．
$\beta a p \epsilon ́ \omega s$ ．VIII．xI．28，єîx $\in$ VIII．x． 17.
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a$. II．x．6．VIII．I．II：X． 2， 36 ：xI．2，10．$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ катà vópo III．XIV．3．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta o \eta-$ $\theta \epsilon i a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \pi \bar{\infty}$ oे $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ ônuov VIII．x． 3 ． קaбideias II．IX．29．III．VII．5： xiv．1，5， 55 ：xv．3：xvii．8．VI．
 14．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．х． 36 ．
$\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \kappa \beta a \sigma \iota s$ тúpav̀ıs III．viI． 5 ．
 $\tau \in \rho o \nu$ à $\nu$ єì $\tau$ тaîs $\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ápıбтo－
 тinl $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i \neq$ III．xIV．4．$\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a \nu$ II．vi．I7 ：xi．Io．III．xiv．I3： xv．IO：XVI．13．VI．II．I，2：x． 2．VIII．I．IO：xI．2．éкои́б七o å $\rho \chi \eta \eta_{\nu}$ VIII．х．37．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu$ о $\nu \alpha \rho-$
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi o v \sigma a \nu$ III．VII．3．$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$
 Io．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a l ~ I I I . ~ x i v . ~ 6 . ~ V I I I . ~$
 VIII．x．38．Tàs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a s$ VI． xIII．10．VIII．x．I．
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$＇́s．III．xIII．19：XIV．14：xvI． 1，2．VI．xi． 15 ．VIII．x． 3. $\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau a l ~ \phi u ́ \lambda a \xi ̆ ~ \epsilon i v a a ~ V I I I . ~ x . ~ 9 . ~$ $\epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \dot{v} \mathrm{~s}$ oủk $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha a \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \beta o v \lambda o \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ VIII． x．38．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \omega$ s II．x．2．III．xvi． I．$(\tau \hat{\varphi}) \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ IV．xiv．20．VIII． x．17．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \operatorname{I}$ ．xif．3．III．xviI． 5．VIII．I．IO：Iv．13．$\tau$ à $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тòv $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a$ III．xv．I6．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s$ II．IX．20， 22 ：XI．5．III．XIV． 12. toùs V．v．2．VII．viII．20．Baбt－ $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ III．Iv．8：xiv．13．VIII． VII．2：X．5．$\epsilon \pi i(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu) \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon-$ pov $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ II．IX．I7．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ II．Ix．33．III．xiv．3．$\beta a \sigma i \lambda e ́ a s$ III．xIII． 25 ．IV．xiv．3．，Tò̀s
 $\gamma \in \sigma i a s$ III．xv．in，$\quad \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ II． Ix． 30 ．
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v \tau o ́ s . \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon v \tau \delta{ }^{2}$ III．xviI． $\mathbf{I}$, 3， 4.
$\beta a \sigma \tau \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ ．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．xv．I3．$\pi \delta$－
 $\sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ éovtos VI．II．2．$̇$ é $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ย́ovto I．II．6，7：III．xv．II．$\beta a \sigma \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ú－ $\epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ III．xiv．I：xv．4，I3．$\beta a \sigma \iota-$ $\lambda \epsilon v o \mu e ́ v \eta \nu$ III．xviII．i．
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ s . \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa$ Í I．III．4．III． xiv．7．$\beta$ абt $\lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ I．xII．3．$\dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ VIII．x．6．$\mu$ ovapरias III．xiv．if． $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa$ д I．I．2．III．xviI．5．VIII． xI．33．äv $\delta \rho a$ III．xVIII．I．$\tau$ ò $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ VIII．xI．19．$\beta a \sigma \iota \iota \kappa \eta_{\nu}$, I．v．6．VIII．x．38．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda c k a l$ III．xiv．If．VI．X．3：xili．yi． $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha ́$ VIII．x．10．$\beta$ абıлtк $\omega-$ $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$.
$\beta a^{\sigma} \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s$. I．XII．I．
$\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma t s$ ．$\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．v． $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ．
$\beta \in \beta a i \omega s$ ．II．XI．II．
$\beta \epsilon$ गos．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ \beta \epsilon \lambda \eta$ IV．XI． 9.
$\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega v$ ．II．vi．9．$\beta \in \lambda \tau \tau o \nu$ II．I． 3 ： iI．6．II．vi．I，III．x． 5 ：xi． $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ： xII．4：XII．10，23：XVI．4， 12. VI．iII．8．VIII．III．3．Tò $\beta \in \lambda-$ $\tau \iota o \nu$ IV．хIII．II．$\mu<\kappa \rho \dot{b}$ II．viII． 23．$\beta \in \lambda \tau l o \nu o s ~ \delta \tilde{\eta} \mu o v ~ V I I . ~ v i . ~ 3 . ~$
$\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega$ VI．II．3．VII．I．8．$\sigma \kappa о \pi \delta \partial$ IV．II．6．$\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ oü $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$ d̀̀ $\phi a \hat{v} \lambda \eta \nu$ VI．II．3．$\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau l o \nu \omega \nu$ III． XIII．I I．VIII．xI． 34 ．（ $\tau 0$ îs）$\beta \epsilon \lambda$－ $\tau i o \sigma \iota \nu$ III．xi． $9 . \quad \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau l o v s$ III．xi． 14：xIII． 4 ：xVI． 10 ．єikoेs $\beta \epsilon \lambda$ tious тov̀s $\epsilon \kappa \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ III．xIII．I3．
$\beta \in \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau o s . \beta \in \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \eta s \tau \alpha \dot{d} \xi \epsilon \omega$ VIII．Ix．
 II．IX．4．oi $\beta \in \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu$ VI．IV．26．$\delta \iota \alpha \omega_{\omega} \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ VII． iv． 6.
Bia．סià $\beta$ ias VIII．IV．12：x． 37 ． $\beta l a$ VIII．iv． 13.
ßıásoual．$\beta$ dásetal III．x．3．$\beta \iota a ́-$ $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ I．vi．2．III．xv．I4．IV． IX．5．$\beta \iota \alpha \alpha^{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ I．VI．2．IV．II． I3．$\beta<a \check{\circ} \circ \mu \notin \nu 0 \iota s$ IV．III．4．т̀̀ $\beta \iota \alpha-$ $\sigma \theta \in \in \operatorname{I}$ ．VI． 2.
Blalos．$\beta l a \iota o \nu$ I．III．4．V．IV．7． $\beta$ alotos IV．xvi． 13.
 $\kappa a l$ х $\omega \rho l_{s} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \psi$ каi коь $\nu \hat{\eta}$ тaîs $\pi б \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．І．Із．$\dot{\delta}$ трактско̀s
 I．IV．5．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa$ к̀s $\beta l o s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ Є＇$\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\rho} \rho \eta \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ II．Ix．II．$\dot{\eta}$ $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \mathrm{la} \beta$ ios $\tau \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ VI．xI． 3．$\dot{j} \beta i o s$ фav̂גos VII．Iv．12．$\beta i o v$ VIII．x．24：XI．33．ócà $\beta$ iou II． x．II．III．Xiv．5，9．ठıà $\beta i o v$
 II．IX．25．$\beta l o \nu . ~ i \delta i o o v ~ I I . ~ v I . ~ 8 . ~$
 $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ II．vi． $7 . \quad \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ II．vï． I．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \not a \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$ II．
 аи่той II．IX．29．тд̀ $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ á $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ III．xIII．12．$\pi \rho \grave{\partial} s \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \beta i o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ IV．vi． $7 . \quad \ddot{a} \nu \mu \eta ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \chi a \lambda \epsilon-~$ $\pi 0 i ̂ s ~ \kappa а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \beta i o \nu ~ i \pi \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta ~ \lambda l a \nu ~$ III．vI．4．Tò̀ $\beta$ io $\begin{gathered}\text { e่ } \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\end{gathered}$ II．x．4．VIII．xII．6．rovs $\beta$ lous
 VIII．5．ioious VIII．viII．I3．
$\beta \iota \omega \omega$ ．$\beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma о \nu \tau a \iota$ II．viII． 3.
$\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho o ́ s$ ．$\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha}$ II．IX．5．IV．vi． I．$\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho \delta \nu$ II．VIII．16．III．xv． 13：xvi．2．$\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho \alpha$ VI．xI． 5. $\beta \lambda a \beta \epsilon \rho \circ i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi_{\eta}^{\nu} \nu$ VIII．xI． ${ }^{\text {15．}} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta$ VIII．x．2．$\beta \lambda \alpha-$ $\beta \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} a \iota$ II．Ix． 9.
$\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ ．（ $\tau \hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s}$ ）$\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta$ s IV．xviI．II． $\beta \backslash \alpha \dot{\beta} \eta \nu$ II．viII．4．غं $\tau \in \rho a \nu$ è $\chi \epsilon \iota$ II． III．4．$\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ é＇$\chi \in \iota$ IV．xIV． 19 ． єiрquévaıs $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \iota \iota$ V．II． 5.
$\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o s . \quad(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi}) \quad \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon \iota$ IV．viI． 8.
$\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \omega$ ．$\beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \ell$ V．I．I．$\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda a$ $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \pi \tau о \cup \sigma \iota$ каі é $\beta \lambda a \psi a \nu$ II．XI． 4. $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．I． $7 . \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\psi} \alpha \iota$ IV．vi． 3．$\beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．xvi．8．$\beta \lambda a-$ $\beta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ II．viII． 23.
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega$ ．$\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \epsilon$＇is VI．viI．4．${ }^{*} \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \circ \nu$

 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi о \nu \tau \iota$ IV．XIV．I3．$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$ V．v．2．$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ єis dúo тaî̃a II．xi．9．$\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi$ тодтas IV．xi．i． $\beta \lambda \epsilon \psi$ as IV．viI．I．єis $\tau a \dot{\tau} \tau \dot{\partial} \dot{\eta} \mu i ̀ \nu$ VI．II．3．$\epsilon$ is $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ IV．Ix． 7.
ßoŋ $\theta \in l a$ ．VII．v． $7 . \quad \dot{\eta}$ rapà $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ IV．xvi．3．fon $\theta \in l a s$ I． viII．II：IX．7．II．II．3．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho$＇ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$（ $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$ ）$\beta_{0 \eta} \theta \epsilon l a s$ III．vI．3．$\beta o \eta \theta$ elay VIII．x． 3.
$\beta \circ \eta \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ ．$\beta \circ \eta \theta \in i ̂ \nu$ IV．vi．6．VI．I． 7. $\beta \circ \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ II．v．9．$\beta$ 讯 $\theta$ ô̂v VII． IV．20．$\beta \circ \eta \theta$ oûv $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi i$ тoùs à $\delta \kappa \kappa 0 \hat{\nu}-$ tas III．IX． 1 I．

$\beta 0 \eta \theta$ os．$\beta$ on $\theta \circ \nu$ VIII．vi． 7.
$\beta o \rho \in ́ a s$ ．$\beta$ opéov VI．III．7．ка兀à $\beta$ o－ pt́al IV．xI． 2.
$\beta$ о́рєєos．$\beta$ борєஎ IV．xvi．iI．VI． III． 6.
$\beta \sigma \sigma \kappa \eta \mu a$ ．$\beta \circ \sigma \kappa \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ II．viI．2I：x． 8．$\zeta \omega \overline{\sigma \iota \nu} \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial} \beta$ обкк $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ VII．iv．
 VI．iv． 12.

 III．xI．1\％．$\beta$ ounevtaîs VI．xv． 12.

 VII．I．I．
 VIII．vi．16．$\beta$ ounєú $\omega \omega$ VIII．Ix． II．$\beta$ ou入ćóovtal III．xv．7：xvi．
 $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ III．I．II：XI．8．VII．IV．
 9．$\beta$ ßù $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．VI．xv．4．ка $\lambda \hat{\omega} s$ IV．x．12．$\beta$ ou $\lambda \epsilon v 6 \mu \leqslant \nu 0 \nu$ VI．IV． 14 ． VII．I．4．$\mu$ épos II．VI．2．$\tau \grave{\partial}$
 xIV．2．ai $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ т̀े $\beta \circ v \lambda \epsilon v \dot{\mu} \mu \nu_{0}$ （ $\bar{\pi} \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota \downarrow) \epsilon l \sigma \iota \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ VII．

 $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ VI．xIV．I2．$\beta$ ov $\lambda \epsilon v o-$ $\mu \notin \nu o u s$ IV．Ix．I．VI．xiv．I3．
$\beta$ oùń．III．xI．17̆， 18 ．VII．vin． 17．VIII．iv．8．$\delta \eta \mu о \tau \kappa \kappa \delta \nu$ VI． xV．II．VII．VIII． 24 ．ס $\eta \mu \circ \tau$－ $\kappa \omega \dot{\tau} \alpha \tau о \nu$（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu)$ VII．II． 6. ßoviरीs IV．x．I2．VII．VIII． 24. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \grave{s}$ 及ov入ท̂s aï $\rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ II．vi． 20. $\beta$ ои $\lambda \eta \eta^{\nu}$ II．xII．2．VIII．I． 1 ． oûs $\beta$ ou入ウ̀̀ ка入ỗ $\sigma \iota$ II．x． 6.
 II．Ix．24．$\beta$ ov $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mu}^{\mu} \alpha \tau$ VIII．XI． 16.
$\beta$ кú̀ $\eta \sigma \iota s$ ．IV．xv．Io．$\beta$ oú $\eta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ II．

VI．22．Tô̂ עоцоө́̇̇тov IV．xvi． 4 ． $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \partial \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \quad \beta o u ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ II．x． 13.
 15：xvi．I， 2.
及oúлона兀．$\beta$ ои́ $\lambda \epsilon \tau a l$ I．v．Io：XII． 2. II．III．2：VI．16， 18 ：VII．14：viII． II：IX．32．VI．II．I：vili． 4 ：

 VIII．17：XI．23．$\beta$ oú $\eta \tau \tau a \iota$ II．viI．
 $\beta$ oun $\eta \dot{\sigma o \nu} \tau a_{l}$ VIII．viII．18．$\beta$ ou入 $\eta$－ $\theta \epsilon i \in \epsilon$ VIII．x．6．$\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ II．VII．20．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ 及oú入 $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~$

 тòv $\beta$ оu入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ VI．II．5．тò $\beta o v-$

 $\tau \epsilon$ táp VIII．IX．5．$\beta$ ov入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ VIII． II．4：VII．I3．$\beta$ ov $\lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ II．I．I．
 VIII．x．38：xI． 18 ．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 反oṽo－ $\mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ каì $\delta v \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ II．Х． 15. $\beta o u \lambda o \mu e ́ v o u s ~ I I I . ~ x v . ~ I 4 . ~$
$\beta$ oús．$\beta$ óes II．III． 9 ．
$\beta \rho a \delta u ́ s$.
$\beta \rho a \chi$ ús．$\beta \rho a \chi \in i \alpha a$ II．VII．12．$\pi \lambda \grave{\eta \nu}$ $\epsilon i ́$ тou $\beta \rho a \chi$ v́ $\tau \iota$ VIII．v．7．$\beta \rho a \chi$ vó （ $\chi \rho \dot{\rho} \nu \nu \nu$ ）VII．v．6．$\beta \rho a \chi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ VI． Iv． 24 ．

## I．

 $\theta \dot{v} o v \sigma \alpha$ т $\rho 0 \phi \eta$ IV．XviI．I．
$\gamma а \mu \iota \kappa$ ós．$\gamma а \mu \iota \kappa \grave{\prime}$ I．іII．2．$\gamma а \mu \iota \kappa \grave{\eta \nu}$ $\dot{\partial} \mu c \lambda(a \nu$ IV．xVII．I．$\epsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \mu \kappa \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu$ VIII．iv． 7 ．


$\gamma \epsilon \iota v i a \sigma \iota s$ ．$\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \nu i a \sigma \iota \nu$ I．IX． 1.
$\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \nu d \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．$\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \nu \omega \omega \bar{\omega} \iota$ VI．XI．2．$\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau-$ $\nu t \omega ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon \in$ II．1x．3．IV．II． 18. $\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \nu t \omega \nu \tau a s$ II．viI．I4．IV．X． 12. тómous II．vi． 7 ．$\quad \gamma \epsilon \tau \tau \downarrow \omega ́ \sigma a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ II．Ix．3．$\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \tau \nu \iota \hat{u} \nu \tau a \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ IV． xif． 3.
$\gamma \in \lambda o i o s$. III．xili．I4．$\quad \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ oîo III．I． 7．IV．xiv． 18.
$\gamma \in \nu \in \sigma$ Is．IV．xv．8：xVI．Io．VIII． x．3．$\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega$ I．II．2．IV．xvi． 14．$\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \omega$ IV．xv．8．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$ IV． IX． 4 ．
$\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} . \quad \epsilon \kappa \kappa \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \hat{\rho} \mathrm{S}$ I．v． 2.
रєlvaios．$\gamma \in \nu \nu a i \omega \nu$ VI．xII．2．$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a c o ́-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho o l$ III．XIII． 2.
$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \alpha \omega . \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a ̂ \nu$ II．vi．12．IV．xvi． 2．$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \sigma a s$ I．XII．3．$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau \alpha$ II．IX．I8．$\gamma \in \nu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \tau a s$ II．III． 8. $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ II．vi．ro．IV．xvI． 4. $\gamma \in \nu \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu o l s$ IV．xvi．12．$\gamma \in \nu \nu \eta-$

 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ IV．xvi．17．$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta \omega \hat{\nu}$ IV．xiIf． 7.
र＇́vos．II．XI． 4 ：xil．8．III．III．6： xiv．2：xv．13：xvil．4，5．IV．II． 17：IX．7．VI．III．4：IV． 10. кãà $\gamma \in \mathfrak{e} v o s$ IV．xiv．5．VIII．viil．



 xiv．I4．кат $\dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \nu o v s$ VIII．x． 7. $\gamma_{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota}$ I．xil．3．VI．xv．15．VII．




$\gamma \epsilon \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ II．IX． $7 . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \tau \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ II． X．10．$\gamma \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota$ III．IX．12．$\gamma^{\epsilon} \varphi \nu$ IV．x．I．VI．Iv．8．$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ III． xIv． 2.
$\gamma^{\prime} \rho a s$. II．x．in．
$\gamma \in \rho o v \sigma i \alpha$ ．$\gamma \in \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma l a \nu$ II．IX．22．XI． 3. $\gamma^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu . \gamma^{\prime} \rho \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ II．x．6．III．і．іо． $\gamma \in \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ II．viII．4：XI．5．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \nu \omega \nu$ á $\rho \chi \eta \eta_{\nu}$ II．VI．I7：IX．
 x．io．VI．ix．9．$\tau$ ov̀s $\dot{\alpha} \phi \in \epsilon \mu \notin ้ o v s$ III．I． 5 ．
$\gamma \in v \sigma \tau$ ós．$\gamma \in \varepsilon \sigma t o i ̂ s ~ V . ~ v . ~ 2 o . ~$
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta s . \gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \in \tau \rho \eta \nu$ III．XI． 12 ．
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s ., \gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．XI． 12.
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon . \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota$ II．viII．Io： x ． 5．VII．Iv．Io．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ठ̛́o oikias II．viII．I2．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota$ II． viii．II．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon \in \mathrm{i} \nu$ II．v．2， 17. VI．IV．I5．（ $\tau \dot{\text { o }}) \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ ô̂v II．VIII． II．IV．x．I．$\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ VII． Iv．13．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ ớv $\bar{\tau} \omega \nu$ II．v．3．$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ＊íca II．vIII．נI．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \chi^{\rho} \rho a \nu$ IV． vi．8．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ ồvtas IV．x．9．VI． iv．18．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma 0 \nu \tau a s$ IV．x．I3．
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i a . \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ las VII．Iv．I：v． 8. $\psi \uparrow \lambda \hat{S}$ каi $\pi \epsilon \phi \cup \tau \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ I．I．xI．2， 7. $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i \neq$ VII．IV． $1 \mathrm{I} . \quad \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i a \nu$ $\zeta \omega ̄ \sigma \alpha \nu \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ I．viII． 6.
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \delta \delta^{\delta} \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ$ s．VII．Iv．I．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \eta \eta^{\prime}$ I．viII．3．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon \kappa \delta \quad \nu$ VI．III． 2 ： vi．2．VII．I． 8 ：viI．I．
$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma$ ós．III．IX．IO．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma{ }^{6} \nu$ VI．rv． 12．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$ VII．Iv．8．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma o l$ II．viif．8，io．VI．iv．9．$\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．IV．9：VI．3：vili．3．IV．viif． 9．VI．iv．12，$\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma \circ i \mathrm{~s}$ II．Iv． 4：v．18，26．IV．xi． 7.
$\gamma \dot{\eta} . \gamma \hat{\eta}$ SII．vili．12．$\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ II．VII．6： VIII．8：IX．36．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}^{\nu} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o v$ tivos．
$\gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta$ ins．$\gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i$ is II．vIII．2I．$\gamma \eta$－ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．VIII． 21.
$\gamma \hat{\eta} \delta \omega \nu . \gamma \eta \delta l o v \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ VII．v． 8.
$\gamma \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu . \gamma \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \delta a$ II．v． 2.
 25.
$\gamma \eta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．$\gamma є \gamma \eta \rho а к о ́ \tau \omega \nu$ IV．xvi． 16.
$\gamma i \gamma \nu 0 \mu a l$ ．$\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \tau a l$ II．II．3．III．xviI． I．xviII．I．VIII．III．I．$\gamma<\gamma \nu \delta \mu \in \theta a$ V．v．16．रif ${ }^{2} \nu \tau a l$ II．III． 5.

 रóvaб九 VIII．v． $6:$ x． 4 ：xII． 6.
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in \tau 0$ VIII．I．3．$\gamma^{i \nu} \omega \nu \tau a \iota$ II．v． 8．$\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta \tau a \iota$ III．xIII．24．$\gamma^{\epsilon \ell \nu \omega \nu \tau a \iota ~}$ VIII．IV．I．$\gamma^{\prime} \nu 0 \iota \tau 0$ VI．I．4．${ }^{\delta} \tau \epsilon$
 xV．2，II，I3．xviil．2．VI．II． 6. $\gamma \in \gamma \nu^{2} \dot{\nu} a \iota$ II．vili．I9．$\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ II． III．5．III．xIV．I2 ：xviI．5．VI． IV．5．$\gamma \not \gamma \nu \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ VI．I．4．$\mu i a$ II．

 15．$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ III．xv．12．$\gamma \iota \gamma-$ $\nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$ III．XIV．II．$\gamma \iota \gamma \delta \dot{\mu \epsilon \nu а}$ II．IV．9．IV．xiv．22．$\gamma<\gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon$－ $\nu \omega \nu$ II．v．3，II．III．xv．I3．IV． v．4．$\delta \grave{a} \tau \grave{̀}{ }^{\prime}$ s ai $\sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{S}$ IV．VII． 9.
 VII．v．4．$\gamma \in \gamma$ оуó $\omega_{\omega} \nu$ V．v． 13. $\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．Iv．
 （ $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ）VIII．IV．7．$\gamma \in \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu^{\nu}$ II．III．v．$\gamma \in \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu 0<$ ă $\lambda \lambda$ дoc II．II． 7.
 $\mu$ évocs II．v． 26.
$\gamma \nprec \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \omega . \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa о \nu \sigma \iota$ III．XI．I4． $\gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．Iv．9．$\gamma^{\nu} \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota$ III． XI．14．VIII．viII．9．$\gamma^{\iota \nu} \dot{\omega}^{\sigma} \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ II．v． 16 ．
$\gamma \lambda a \phi \nu \rho o ́ s . ~ \gamma \lambda \alpha \phi \nu \rho \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \nu \mu{ }^{\nu}-$ $\theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．xII．II．
$\gamma \lambda a \phi \nu \rho \omega \hat{s .}$ II．x．г．
$\gamma \lambda \iota \sigma \chi \rho \dot{s}$ ．$\gamma \lambda \iota \sigma \chi \rho \hat{\omega} s$ II．viI．7．III．I． 8．VIII．xI．Ig．
$\gamma \lambda \iota \sigma \chi \rho \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{s}$ ．$\gamma \lambda \iota \sigma \chi \rho \circ ́ \tau \eta \tau a$ IV．v． 2.
$\gamma \lambda i \chi 0 \mu \alpha \iota$ ．$\gamma \lambda \iota \chi 6 \mu \epsilon \nu_{0 \iota} \tau 0 \hat{\zeta} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ III．vi． 5.
$\gamma \lambda u \kappa u ́ s, \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{u}$ II．iv． 8.
$\gamma \lambda v \kappa u ́ \tau \eta s$ ．$\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \tau 0 s \phi \cup \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \mathrm{SIII}$ ．vi． 5.
$\gamma^{\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o s . ~} \gamma \nu \eta \sigma i \omega \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu$ III．v． 8. र湭ious VII．IV． 16.
$\gamma^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ ．$\gamma^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ III．xvI．5．катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 4．IV．Iv．13．VI．I．5．VII．I． 9. $\gamma^{\nu} \omega \rho \iota \zeta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．Iv．I．
$\gamma \nu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \mu$ оs．$\gamma \nu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \mu$ о IV．x．I．oi $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega}-$ рцоо VIII．III．4，7，14：IV．9：จ．2， 3．$\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu \omega \nu$ VIII．III．7．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma^{\nu \omega \rho} \rho \mu \omega \nu$ IV．viI．5．VI．III．2，4， 22．VII．IV．17．VIII，Iv．9：viII． 9．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \rho \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu \omega \nu$ VI．Iv． ${ }^{21} . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu \omega \nu$ II．XII． 6. VIII．vI．4．$\tau o i ̄ s ~ \gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu o s$ VII．
viI．6．VIII．x． $12:$ xi． $8 . \gamma \nu \omega-$ рiцоиs VI．VIII．4．тovs $\gamma \boldsymbol{\nu} \omega \rho i \mu o v s$ VII．v．4．VIII．v． 5 ：viI． $9:$ x． 3 ： xI． 33 ．
$\gamma^{\nu} \hat{\omega} \sigma t s$. VIII．xI． 5.
रoûv II．viII．I8：IX．30．III．xiv． 5.

бра́циа．$\gamma \rho а ́ \mu \mu а т а$ V．III．I，7．катà रра́ $\mu \mu a \tau a$ III．xvi．6，9．áp才єш II．x．II．III．xv．4．кат $\dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$ II．Ix．${ }^{23}$ ．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ III，xvi．I I．
रрациатєкós．үраццатєкй V．III． I．
$\gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon$ ús．III．xIII．2I．$\gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon \not \omega \nu$ V． v． 21 ．
रрaфй．$\gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}$ IV．xviI．10．$\gamma \rho a \phi a l$ $\pi \in \rho l$ бv $\mu \mu \alpha \chi$ las III．IX．7．$\gamma \rho a \phi a ́ s$ IV．xviI．9．$\quad \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\alpha} s \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV．xiI． 7．VII．viil． 7.
$\gamma \rho a \phi \iota \dot{\eta}$. V．III．7．$\quad \gamma \rho a \phi \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ V．III． I， 12.
$\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega . \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．viII．5．$\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu$ II．VII．4．$\gamma \rho a \psi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ IV．xiv． 16. $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi o \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi a \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ I．vi．2．$\gamma^{\epsilon ́-}$
 VIII．22．रрафонє̇ขous VII．v． 4 ． $\tau 0 \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \hat{\ell} \nu 0 \cup$ III．xI．4．$\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ II．vili．I3．$\quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu$ II． I．3．$\quad \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu$ и́vous II．viII． 21. VII．v．2．т $\dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ סì̀ $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta s$ III．xi．4．रрафе́цтаs II．vi．I．

$\gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ j \omega$ ．тoùs $\nu \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ \gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega$ V．IV． 4．$\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha ́ s \omega^{2} \nu \tau \alpha, ~ V I, ~ x I I I . ~ 4 . ~ \gamma v \mu-~$ $\nu \alpha j_{\xi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI．XIII．4．$\gamma v \mu \nu a \zeta \zeta_{\rho} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ III．xvi．8．$\gamma v \mu \nu a \varsigma_{\circ} \mu \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$ III．vi． 7．$\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ II．хІ．7．$\gamma \nu \mu-$
 IV．xIV．17．$\gamma \in \gamma$ vupaб $\mu$ évoc VIII．


$\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \iota a \rho \chi i a$ VII．viII． 22.
$\gamma v \mu \nu a ́ \sigma \iota \nu . ~ \gamma \nu \mu \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota a$ II．v．19．IV． xII．4：XVII．6．V．IV．7．$\gamma v \mu-$ parious V．IV． 4.
$\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \kappa \kappa$ ós．$\gamma^{v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \kappa \kappa}$ II．viII． 18. V．III．7：v．3．$\gamma^{\nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota к о и ̆ ~ V I . ~}$ I．2．$\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ VI．I．I．$\gamma v \mu$－ $\nu a \sigma \tau \iota k \hat{\eta}$ V．III． $13:$ IV．7．$\gamma v \mu-$ $\nu a \sigma \tau \iota{ }^{2} \nu$ III．vi．7．V．mi．I．
 7．$\quad$ रицдıкои́s VII．viII． 22.
 Ix． 7 ．
бvраккократіа VIII．xI．іл．
үираккороріа VII．viII．22， 23 ． $\gamma$ биаикодо $\mu$ о VI．xv． 3.
$\gamma \nu \nu \eta$ II．IX．5．$\lambda \alpha ́ \lambda o s ~ \gamma v \nu \eta$ III．Iv． 17.

бuvaukós I．XIII．3．III．IV．16， 17.


 каil ঠıкаьобúvך I．xill．9．$\gamma v \nu a ̂ \kappa a$ I．xiII．3．II．III．2．VIII．IV． 2. II．3．$\gamma^{2}$ дấkes VIII．XI．II． रvขaıкิ̂v II．I．3：vi．I．VI．xv． 9．VII．Iv，20．VIII．X． 22 ：xi． 23．$\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ रvעaık $\hat{\nu} \nu$ II．Ix． 6.
 II．III．2．VII．viII．23．$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta}^{\prime}-$ коута IV．xvi．5．ruvaîkas II．III． 9：v．I8．VIII．xI．23．रuvaîkas
 тàs $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa a s ~ \sigma v \mu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ к а і l ~ \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a s ~$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ aủ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ II．vi．3．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ тàs $\gamma v v a i ̂ k a s ~ к о \iota \nu o ́ \tau \eta \tau a ~ I I . ~$ viI． r ．

## $\Delta$.

 21.
 III． 9 ．
$\delta a \nu \epsilon i \zeta \omega$ ．$\delta a \nu \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ VII．IV． 9.
$\delta a \pi a \nu a ́ \omega . \delta a \pi a \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \iota$ VIII．vIII． 2. $\delta a \pi a \nu \hat{a} \nu$ d̀ $\nu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \omega \mu a$ II．IX．3I．$\delta \alpha-$ $\pi a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a$ VIII．xI．19．$\delta a \pi a \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$ II．XI．12．$\delta a \pi a \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ II．XI． 12．$\delta a \pi \alpha \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ VIII．XI． 19.
$\delta a \sigma v \pi o u ́ s . ~ \tau \hat{\nu} y ~ \delta a \sigma v \pi \delta \delta \omega \nu$ III．XIII． 14.
$\delta a \pi a \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} . \delta a \pi a ́ \nu \eta$ ，VII．viI．6．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \mathrm{la} s$ $\delta a \pi a ́ p \eta s$ III．XI．2．$\delta a \pi a ́ \nu \eta \nu$ II． viI． 17 ．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota \tau i \omega \nu$ IV．x． II．ai $\mu \kappa \kappa \rho a i ̀ ~ \delta a \pi a ́ v a \iota ~ V I I I . ~ v i I I . ~$ 2．$\delta a \pi \alpha \dot{d}$ as VII，v． 6.
$\delta \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \eta \mu a . \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ' s ~ \delta a \pi \alpha \nu \eta^{\prime}-$ рата IV．x．$\frac{10}{}$
סатарךро́s．$\delta a \pi a \nu \eta \rho a ̀ s ~(\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma i ́ a s) ~$ VIII．viif． 20.
$\delta \not ́ \eta \sigma \iota s . r a ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon \eta \eta_{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$ I．IX． 5.
$\delta \epsilon i$ ．I．xiII．5．II．Iv．4．III． xiI．2，4：xiv．2．VI．I．4，5， 7：XI． 2 I ．VIII．II．I：III． 6 ． $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau 0 \forall ์ \tau \omega y$ III．XII．9．III．Ix． 8．$\delta \epsilon \eta \mathfrak{\sigma \epsilon}$ I．XIII．i2．II．vi． 6．III．xiII．7：XVI．9．$\delta \in \hat{\eta}$ VIII． xI．27．$\delta$ téo I．xIII．4．$\delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ I． xiII．8．III．XII．I， 2 ：XIII． 25 ： xvi．I3．（ $\dot{s}$ s）$\delta \epsilon \notin \nu$ II．vi．18：xII． 12．VIII．X． 13 ．
$\delta \epsilon i \delta \partial \omega$ ．$\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \dot{\sigma} \tau a$ IV．I．4．$\delta \epsilon \delta \delta \iota\langle\tau \epsilon s$ VIII． III．4：VI． 12.
 II．viI．6．$\epsilon \dot{\delta} \epsilon i \chi \theta \eta$ III．хviII．．
$\delta \epsilon i \lambda i a . \delta \epsilon i \lambda i a \nu$ I．xiII． 12 ．
 IV． 17.
סєîva．tô̂ $\delta \in i ̂ v o s ~ I I . ~ I I I . ~ 5 . ~$
$\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{s}$ ．$\delta \in \iota \nu \delta \partial \nu$ VIII．XII．I7．$\delta \in \epsilon \nu \hat{v}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon \sigma i \alpha \nu$ II．xII．7．$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu 0 i$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VIII．v． 7 ．
$\delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \epsilon \epsilon . \delta \epsilon \iota \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ VIII．x． 21.
$\delta \epsilon i ̄ \pi \nu o \nu$ ．$\delta \epsilon i ̂ \pi \nu a \quad \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi о \rho \eta \tau a ́ ~ I I I . ~$ XI． 2.
$\delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ ．$\delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \delta a i \mu \rho \nu a$ VIII．XI． 25.

ठє́кк．VII．III． 5.
бєктько́s．$\delta є \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\nu}$ VI．1v． 8.
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \dot{\prime} \zeta \omega . \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \dot{\prime} \dot{\zeta} \omega \sigma \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon$＇$\lambda a s$ IV．II． 8．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi b \zeta \in \iota \nu$ I．III．4：VI．4， 9. IV．xiv． 2 I．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV．xIV． 17．$\delta \in \epsilon \sigma \pi 0 \zeta_{0 \nu} \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ I．I．2．IV． II． 15 ．
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o \sigma \tau o ́ s . ~ \tau \iota ~ \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \sigma \tau o ́ \nu$ III． xVII．I．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi 0 \sigma \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ IV．II．I5．
סєбтотєia．I．III． 4 ：viI．I ：III．vi． 6.
 $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a \rho a \dot{a} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu \tau \delta \partial \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ I．III． 4. $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ otelas III．vi．3．IV．xiv．

 vi． 6.
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta$ s．I．III．I ：Iv． 5 ：viI．2，3， 4．$\delta \in \sigma \pi b \tau o v$ I．IV． 5 ：VII．5．III． iv．6：vi．6．$\delta \in \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta$ I．I． 3. $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \eta \nu$ I．I．2．III．IV．I3．
$\delta є \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \not ́ s$. VI．Iv．27．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ I． III．2：XII．I．III．IV．II：vIII． 2．$\dot{a} \rho \chi \chi$ III．IV．II，14．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$ $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \eta \dot{\eta}$ Хр $\quad$ IIT $\kappa \kappa \grave{\eta} \delta o u ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ I．viI． 4. ката̀ $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu о \nu$ III．xIV．I4．$\delta \in \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa \grave{y}$ $\mu_{0 \nu \alpha \rho \chi i \alpha} \tau \hat{\rho} s \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{s} \kappa \kappa \iota \nu \omega \nu i a s$ III． viII．2．$\delta \in \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa 0 \hat{v}$ IV．III．I． $\delta \in \sigma \pi 0 \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$ I．I．2．IV．II．8．VIII． xi．I3．$\delta \in \sigma \pi$ о $\tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ I．v．6．IV． xiv．7．$\dot{a} \rho \chi \eta_{\eta} \nu$ III．xiv．6．VI．xI． 7．$\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \not{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ I．хІІІ． 14．$\pi$ о入є兀єкク̀ $\nu$ єî̀al IV．II． 14 ．
 VI．Iv．28．$\delta \in \sigma \pi о \tau \iota \kappa a i$ III．vi．iI： xiv．it．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi$ от兀ка́s VIII．vi．iб． $\delta є \sigma \pi о \tau<\kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s$ VI．III．8．VIII． x． 5 ．
$\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \circ \tau \iota \hat{\omega} s$ ．VIII．xi． 15 ．ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ VI． x．3．$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu_{0} \nu$ IV．II． 7 ．
$\delta \in \hat{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{\rho}$ ．IV．Xvi． 4.
 III．xiv．14．VI．II．2．VIII． xII．3．סevit́pou VIII．xI．15．$\delta \in u ́-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ VI．II． $2:$ IV． 9.
 $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ V．viI．8．$\delta \epsilon \xi=\sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV． vi．4．$\delta \in \chi o \mu t \nu o v s$ IV．VI．I．$\delta \epsilon$－

$\delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega$（a）．$\delta \in \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ VIII．vi． 15.
ס́́opal．סєîtal IV．IX． $5 . \quad$ V．III． 4. סє́ovтa，II．viI．19．VII．IV． 13. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ II．VII．12．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à̉ $\frac{1}{\omega} \nu$ VII．จ．7．$\phi v \lambda a \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ VII．viII．I2． VIII．XI．30．$\delta \in \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau a \iota ~ I I . ~ v . ~$ 21．IV．xv．4．$\delta \epsilon \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ VIII． xI． $2 \mathrm{I} . \quad \delta \epsilon \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．v． 7 ．$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}-$ $\sigma \theta a l$ III．xIII．23．$\mu \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime}$ S IV． v．I．$\delta \epsilon \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ III．I．5．$\delta \epsilon о \mu \epsilon{ }^{-}$
$\nu \eta \nu$ VI．．．6：IV．12．$\delta \epsilon б ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ V． vi． 13.
$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s . \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ II．VI．19：VII．7：X． 5 ： XI．14．III．I． 5 ：XI，20， 2 I ：XII． 4，6：xiII．7， 21 ：xv．9：XVI． 8. VIII．III． 2 ：VII． 4.
$\delta \eta \lambda o ́ \omega$ ．$\delta \eta \lambda o ̂ ̂$ III．xiv．4，10．$\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ II．v．4．$\epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ II．IX．Io， 20. $\delta \eta \lambda o u ̂ \nu$ III．vili．I．$\delta \eta \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ III． XI．19．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \lambda o u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi o \lambda \iota-$ $\tau \in i a \nu$ VI．I． 10.
$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma^{\epsilon} \omega$ ．$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ VIII．v． 7．$\epsilon ่ \delta \eta \mu \alpha \gamma \omega ่ \gamma o v \nu$ VIII．VI．6：XII． I．$\delta \eta \mu \alpha \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．vi．6．$\delta \eta \mu \alpha-$ $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ VIII．XI．33．$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ aúroús II．IX． $20 . \delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ôvtos đò̀ vióv VIII．X．3I．$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega-$ रoûvtєs VIII．v．Io：VI．6，7．$\delta \eta$－ $\mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \circ u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．vI． 5 ．
$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma i a$ ．VIII．vI．6．$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma i a s$ VIII．x． 6.
$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \delta \delta s$ ．IV．Iv．26．VIII．v． 6 ： VI．6：XI．12：XII．4．oे $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma$ òs каi ó кó入аң oi aútò каi ảvá入oүov VI．IV．28．$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma o l$ VII．IV． 17．VIII．v．4， 7 ：VIII．6：IX． 10. $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．XII．4．VIII．V．I， 2．$\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma$ oús VI．IV．25．VIII． จ．3．€̀ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$ фaú入ous $\delta \eta \mu a \gamma \omega \gamma o u ́ s$ II．XII． 5 ．
$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon v \sigma \iota$ ．$\delta \eta \mu \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ VI．xIV．3．VII． V． 5 ．
 VIII．v．4．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ VIII．v． 5．$\delta \eta \mu \epsilon$ v́ovtєs VII．III． 3.
$\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma \circ \rho \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\delta \eta \mu \eta \gamma \circ \rho o u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．XIII． 14.

 16.
$\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o ́ s$ ．$\delta \eta \mu$ tov $\gamma \gamma$ ós III．XI．I I． $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ á $\rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$ IV．IX．7． $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o i$ III．IV．I2．$\nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$ II． XII．I，13．ठ $\eta$ uıovp $\quad$ ois IV．IV． 3 ： VIII．3．$\tau 0 \cup \stackrel{s}{ }$ vinò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \pi$ oı $\eta \mu \in ́ v o u s$ III．II． 2.
 III．2．$\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．VI．17． VI．IV．2．VIII．I．9：IX．I3． ठ̈ть $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau \epsilon \imath \sigma \theta a \iota$ VII．V． 2．$\delta \eta \mu о к р а \tau о v \mu \notin \nu \eta$ VIII．XII． 14 ． $\delta \eta \mu о к р а \tau о \cup ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$ III．XIII．I5． таîs катà עó $\mu о \nu$ б $\eta \mu о к \rho a \tau о v \mu$ évaıs VI．IV． 26.
$\delta \eta \mu о к р а т і а$ ．II．vi．I6：IX．20．III． III．1．VIII．I． 15 ：III．5，7：v．2， 4．$\dot{\eta} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \nu a \iota$ ठокой $\sigma a$ VII．II． 9．кuрíws VI．IV．3r．oi є̀ $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ каl äторо $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lous ठ̈עтєs VI．IV． 6. öтaע кúptov тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os III．VIII． 3 ．
 2．$\pi \lambda$ eíous кai $\mu \eta$ 市 $\mu i ́ a$ VI．I．II． $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \pi а р е ́ к \beta a \sigma \iota s ~ I I I . ~ V I I . ~ 5 . ~$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\pi \dot{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ III． VII．5．$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ VI．VI． 22 ．$\delta \eta \mu 0-$ крarlas II．VI．18， 22 ．VI．II． 1 ： II．4．VII．I．2．VIII．1．8：x． II．$\epsilon \sigma \chi \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \bar{q}$ VIII．x．35．ف̀s oйбךs VI．IX．7．ঠпиократias каl $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu i \xi \iota s$ VI．VII．4．$\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\eta} s$ бұнократіая VIII．v．4．$\delta \eta \mu$ о－
 $\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s . \quad \delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a \nu$ III．XV．12， I3．VI．II．I：III．7：IV．I．VIII． III．9：IV．8，9：VII．5：XI．II： XII．10．$\alpha \dot{v} \xi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ VII．IV．18．$\epsilon \nu$
 III．VIII．4．катабт $\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha ́-$ $\tau \rho \iota o \nu$ II．XII．2．$\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \nu$ VI． II．2．$\mu$ ia $\nu$ VI．I． 8 ．ถ̋тои ки́рьоу $\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$ VI．IV．I．$\pi \epsilon ́ \phi v \kappa є \nu \in \imath \nu a \iota$
 $\epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ VII．IV．I4．$\epsilon i s \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \eta$－ цократіау II．XII．4．тара̀ $\delta \eta \mu o-$ кратіау VI．VII．I．$\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a \iota$ VIII．v．I：VI． $18 . \delta \eta \mu \circ \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ VIII．v．II．$\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ VII． IV．I．$\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a i s$ III．V． 7 ：VIII． 6．VI．I．II．$\epsilon \nu \tau$ î̀s $\delta \eta \mu о к р a-$ riacs III．vi．2．IV．IX．2．VIII． I．16：III．5，6，8．бпиократias III． XIII．18．$\mu$ кра́s VII．vII．7．то入t－ тєlas oi тро́тєроу є́ка́入ov̀ бпио－ кратlas VI．XIII．II．
$\delta \eta \mu о к р а т \iota к$ о́s．vó $о$ оs III．X．5．$\delta \eta$－ $\mu о к р а \tau \iota к \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \dot{\mu \pi \alpha \nu}$ VII．VII．2．$\delta \eta$－ цократькйs VII．I．I．$\overline{\eta \mu о-}$ кратєкóv II．IX．32．IV．XI． 5. VII．II．6．VIII．vIII．17．тò
 （ $\tau$ às）$\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau \iota к a ́ s ~(\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s) ~ I I I . ~$ XVII．6．ঠ $\eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i к \alpha ́$ II．VI． 18.
$\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$ ．VI．III． $6:$ IV．3，5．VIII．． 3，14．光 $\sigma \chi a \tau o s$ VI．XI．II．oi öนocol VIII．VIII．6．ötav oi $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \in v_{-}^{-}$
 III．I．10．$\dot{\delta} \delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os II．IX． 21,22 ： x．ıо．III．хı．ェ7， 18 ．VIII．III． 5：IV．II：v．3，IO：vi．4， 6. кúpıos III．VI．2．$\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$ є $\hat{\nu} a \iota$ $\mu o ́ v a \rho \chi o s$ VIII．XI．II．$\tau 0 \hat{v}$ रो́pov VI．IV．5．VIII．．． 6 ．$\mu$ épos $\tau \iota$ II．xI．I5．$\delta \delta ́ \xi \eta s$ VI．IV．29．$\tau 0 \hat{\iota}$

 II．จแI．7．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \varphi \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau v$－ $\rho a ́ \nu \nu \varphi$ र $\alpha \rho \iota \zeta$ b $\mu \in \nu$ o८ II．XII．4．$\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \nu$ VI．III．2：VII．4．VIII．IV．8： VII．5．$\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ т $\partial ~ є \ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \alpha \tau o \nu$ III． IV．12．$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ III．XI．5．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho l a$ $\mu \epsilon \rho \rho \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s$ mó $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ II．VIII．7．$\epsilon l s$
 $\tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ VIII．vI．3．$\tau \grave{\nu} \delta \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \nu$ II． x．12．VIII．IV．9：v． $2,4,8:$ x． 32：XI．8．$\delta<\alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ II．X． I4．$\epsilon \pi i$ 交 $\nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu_{0 \nu}$ VIII．III． 4 ．
$\tau o ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta ~ \overparen{\eta} \mu o \nu$ é $\chi o v \sigma a \nu ~ \delta \iota a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ II． XI．2．oi $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \iota$ VII．VII．3．toîs бों $\mu$ os III．xI． 15 ．VII．v． 3. тар＇＇̀viots $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu o<s$ VII．IV．4．toùs
 VI．xv． 17.
$\delta \eta \mu \dot{\sigma} \iota \circ$ ．$\overline{\text { п }} \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ VII．v．3．$\epsilon \kappa \delta \eta$－ $\mu o \sigma i o v ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ II．VIII．6．$\delta \eta$－ $\mu о \sigma i a \nu$ II．viII．3．$\delta \eta \mu \delta \sigma \iota o \iota$ II．vir． 22．$\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu$ VII．vIII．4．$\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma i \omega \nu$ II．x． 8 ．$\delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i o u s$ II． viI．23． $\begin{aligned} & \text { quogias VII．v．} 4 .\end{aligned}$
$\delta \eta \mu о \tau \kappa \kappa o ́ s$. ès $\overline{\eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~} \omega \nu$ VIII．v． 10．$\delta \eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ II．xiI．2．VI．xiv． 4．то̀ $\delta \eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ ঠікаєор VII．III． 2．$\delta \eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa \eta_{\nu}$ II．viI．7．VI．v． 3. бпиотєко VI．xiv．I3．VII．II． 3. VIII．III． 15 ：VIII． 6 ．ov̉ iŋmuo－ тєкаl VII．viII．23． $\begin{aligned} & \text { П } \\ & \mu о \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \\ & \text { II．}\end{aligned}$ vi． 2 I ．VIII．viII．6：rx．6， 9. $\delta \eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa a ́$ VII．I．7：II．5．à $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \epsilon a$ ， $\pi \epsilon \nu i a, ~ \beta a \nu a v \sigma i a ~ V I I . ~ I I . ~ 7 . ~ \tau \grave{a}$ тvрарукка̀ катабкєvд́б $\mu a \tau \alpha$ VII．гv． 20．$\delta \eta \mu о т \iota \kappa о$ и́s VII．v．4．VIII． IV．4．$\delta \eta \mu о т \iota к$ а́s VI．iII．8．$\delta \eta$－ $\mu о \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon р о \nu$ II．хі．14．б $\eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa \omega-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho a \nu$ VI．v． 3 ．
$\delta \eta \mu о \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\kappa}$ s．VI．v．3．VIII．viII． 5. $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ ．oủ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ VIII．XI． 3 ．
$\delta \iota \alpha \beta a i \nu \omega$ ．$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ II．х．у 6 ．
$\delta \iota a \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o \iota s$ VIII．
xi．8．$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{\lambda \tau \tau \epsilon}{}$ VIII．v． 5.
ঠtáßaбıs．סıaßá $\epsilon \iota s$ VIII．III， 16.
$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta$ ．$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \eta \eta^{\nu}$ VIII．x． 2 I．
 $\gamma_{\nu \in \sigma \theta a l}$ II．viII． 10.
$\delta \iota a \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \omega$ ．$\delta \iota \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \kappa \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ II．vir． 6.
 8.
 IV．xvi， 14.
$\delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} . \delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$ V．III．6．$\delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime} \nu$ IV．xv．2：xVII．7．V．III．8： v．4，9：viI．3．$\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \iota o \nu$ V．v． 8．ё $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \mu \eta \grave{\eta} \mu$ о́vov тò ка入̀̀̀ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa a i \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ V．v．ro．$\delta \iota a \gamma \omega \gamma a i$
 V．v． 11.
$\delta \iota a \delta \epsilon \chi \nsim \mu a . \delta \iota a \delta \epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ тò̀s vitîs VI． vi．10．$\delta<a \delta \in \xi \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ VI．xv． 7.
סcádŋ入os．VI．rx． 8.
$\delta \iota a \delta i \delta \omega \mu l$ ．$\delta \iota a \delta o \hat{\nu} \nu a l$ I．XI． 9 ．
$\delta \alpha a \delta o \chi \eta$ ．$\tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ IV．XVI．2， 10.
$\delta \iota a \zeta \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \nu \mu$ ．$\delta \iota a \check{\varrho} \epsilon \nu \chi \theta \omega \bar{\omega} \iota \nu$ VII．IV．I9．
 $\theta \rho \dot{\tan } \pi \mathrm{ous}$ III．III． 3 ．
 II．x． 9.
$\delta \iota \dot{d} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ．IV．хІ．6．$\delta \iota \alpha \theta \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{I}$ ． Ix．r6．$\delta \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \mathfrak{\rho} \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ IV．I． 8.



VI．xv．r．$\delta \iota a \iota \rho \in \neq \epsilon \iota$ IV．xviI． 15. ס九aiperal II．vi．15．IV．I．3：xiv． I．V．Vii．3．VI．IX．I．VIII． IX．2．$\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous II．VIII．7．$\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ II．viII．I．$\delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ VII． III．1．$\tau$ às $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ d८al $\rho \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ IV．xiv． 14 ．
$\delta \iota a \iota \epsilon \tau \notin o s . ~ \delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ o \nu$ V．VII．I．VI． II． 4.
$\delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\delta \iota \hat{p} \rho \epsilon \iota$ II．VIII．3．$\delta \iota \epsilon \backslash \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu$ VI．III．8．$\delta_{t} \epsilon \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ V．viI． 2. ס८é $\lambda o c$ VII．iv．ı．ôıalpê̂̀ VII．vi． 2：VIII，ro．$\delta \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v$ II．II，I．III． VI． 5 ：xill．6．V．v．2．VI．xv． 2．VII．III．I．$\delta<a \iota \rho o \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha$ II．viII． 13．ס८a૮pô̂̀тєs IV．xVII． 15 ．VII．
 II．VI．2．$\delta<\epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ VI．II．I ：III． 4．$\delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．III．9：V．2．$\delta \iota \epsilon-$ $\lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ III．xiv．2．$\delta \iota \grave{\eta} \rho \eta \tau a \iota$ III．Ix． 3．$\delta \iota \chi \hat{\eta}$ IV．xiv． 10 ．$\delta \iota \eta$ ท̂p $\eta \nu \tau a l$ VI．$x$ V． 22 ．$\delta \iota \eta \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota I V$ ．$x V_{I I}$ ． 15．VII．viII．r．jixa II．IX． 5. IV．x．in．$\chi \omega \rho$ is IV．x．i．$\delta$ cau－ $\rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ VIII．xi．2．$\delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о$ I． v．10．$\delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ II．Ix．19．VII． viI．3．$\delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ II．viII．2．$\delta \iota \eta-$ $\rho \eta \mu$ е́vol IV．xv．7．$\quad \delta \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu$ е́vą $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a l$ III．I． $6 . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon i a \iota$ II． จ．6．$\delta\llcorner\eta \rho \eta \mu e ́ v \nu \omega \nu$ I．v．3．V． II． 3 ．
$\delta<a \iota \tau . \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \phi \dot{\rho} \omega \nu$ II．IX．24．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ бıaíty II．VIII．I3．
 $\tau \eta \tau \eta \eta_{\nu}$ II．viil． 13.
 $\kappa \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．xi．34．$\chi \epsilon \bar{\rho} \rho o \nu$ V，II． 5．$\epsilon \mathfrak{z}$ ठсакє $1 \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{~V}$ VII．VI．4．тoîs
 $\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho o \nu$ IV．xiII． 3.
б८aкорє $\omega$ ．ס८aкоעєî̀ IV．xiv． 7 ．
 I．VII． 2 ．
 piaıs ঠıкєтıкаîs II．III．4．סıaкорias II．v．4．тàs є́ $\gamma к и к \lambda$ ious дьакорías II．v． 4 ．
 17．ठ८акорıка̀s à $\rho \epsilon \tau$ ás I．xіІІ． 2. $\pi \rho \alpha{ }_{\xi} \epsilon \iota \iota$ III．IV． 12.
бьакоб $\mu \epsilon \omega$ ．бсакоб $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ IV．хІІ． 2.
ঠцакои́ш．бцакои̂баı II．XI． 6.

$\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \varphi \epsilon \nu(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu)$ IV．I．6．$\delta \iota a \lambda a \beta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ VI．XI．r3． $\delta \iota a \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \delta \hat{\eta}_{\mu} \mu \nu$ II．x． 14 ．
 $\lambda \eta \pi \tau a \iota$ IV．VII．I．$\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota$ IV． XII． r ．
$\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega . \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota$ IV．VII． 6.
$\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ то入̀̀v $\chi \rho \dot{\partial} \nu \rho \nu \mathrm{VI}$ ． xv． 6.
$\delta \iota a \lambda \eta \pi \tau \epsilon \circ$ s．$\delta \iota a \lambda \eta \pi \tau \epsilon_{0} \nu$ VI．rv． 5.
 VIII． 15.
$\delta \iota a ́ \lambda v \sigma \iota s . \delta \iota a \lambda u ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ VI．xiv． 3.
$\delta \iota a \lambda u ́ \omega$. ．$\iota a \lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota$ VIII．viII．14．$\delta \iota a-$入v́є $\iota \nu$ VIII．Iv．3．$\tau \grave{a} ~ \sigma v \mu \beta b \lambda a \iota a$ III．III．2．$\delta \iota a \lambda ย \in \epsilon \iota \nu \eta \eta \eta_{\eta}$ ，$\delta i \kappa a \iota o \nu$ III．III． 9 ．
$\delta \iota a \mu a \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \omega$ ．$\delta \iota \mu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu 0 v \sigma \iota$ IV．xiII． 2．VI．I． $5:$ xII．6．$\delta \iota \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta$ йкаб VI．viII．I．$\delta \iota a \mu a \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ V．III． 12．$\delta \iota \eta \mu a \rho \tau \eta \kappa \in ́ \ell \nu a \iota$ IV．xv． 7 ．
$\delta \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \mu \hat{\nu} \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．v．4．$\delta \iota \in ́-$
 IX．22．VII．IV．I5．VIII．IX．9， II：X．II：XI． 2 ：XII，I．
 XII． 8.
$\delta \iota a \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\quad \delta \iota a \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ III． xIII．2．$\delta \iota a \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s} \pi \rho$ òs $\tau \eta ̀ \nu$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i a \nu$ III．xvI． 13 ．$\delta \iota \alpha \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta$－ toûvtas III．xiII． 6.
$\delta \iota a \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$ ．＂̈ $\chi \epsilon \iota \quad \delta \iota \alpha \mu \phi \iota \beta \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ I．viII． 2.
Sıavanav́w．scavamav́elp V．v．I2．
$\delta \iota \alpha \nu \epsilon \in \mu \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VII．v． 8 ．$\epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$ VII．v．9．Tàs d̀ $\rho \chi \alpha ́ s ~ I V . ~ I V . ~ I 3 . ~$ $\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu \tau a$ III．xviI．4．$\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu-$ тą VI．iII．5．$\delta \iota \in \downarrow \notin \mu \nu \tau \tau$ VI．iv．
 III．x． $1,2$.
$\delta \iota a \nu \delta \eta \sigma \iota s$ ．$\delta \iota a \nu 0 \eta$ 向 $\sigma \iota s$（avitote入єis）IV． III． 8.

 IV．viI．4．ঠıavoŋтıка́ IV．viI． 2.
ס九ávoca．IV．viI．2．VIII．VIII． 3 ． סıavoias $\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a s$ II．IX． 25 ．$\pi$ o入ıтi－ $\kappa \hat{s}$ IV．II．4．סıa ooía I．II．2．ס̇dá－ vocav IV．I．4，6：xvi．I4．V．I．I， 4：III．13：IV．9：v．22．катá $\tau \iota \nu a$ ס九ávolà II．xI．8．$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ tì̀ $\delta \iota \alpha a_{-}$ $\nu_{0}<a \nu$ III．XI．2．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ doávocal V．II．I：VI．14．ठடavoias $\pi \rho a \kappa \tau \iota-$ кás IV．III． 8.
$\delta^{\alpha} \alpha \nu о \mu \dot{\eta} . \delta \iota \alpha \nu \circ \mu \hat{\jmath}$ IV．x． 9 ．
$\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \mu \pi o \nu \tau a s$ IV．vI．I．
 XI． 15.
 ройє V．vi．7．$\delta<a \pi o \nu \epsilon i ̀ \nu ~ V . ~ v . ~ 6 . ~$.


$\delta \iota a \pi \circ \rho \epsilon ́ \omega$ ．$\delta \iota \eta \pi о \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \mu \in \nu$ V．v．I．$\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta$ $\delta \iota a \pi$ орй $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ V．III．I．$\delta<a \pi \rho \rho \in \hat{\nu} \nu$ III．I．5：xVI．II．ס九amopô̂̀tas III． 1V．4．ठ८a тор $\eta$ баעtas IV．xVII． 12. $\tau \grave{~} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota \delta \iota a \pi o \rho \eta \theta \dot{\prime} \nu$ III．XI． 20. $\delta \iota a \pi о \rho \eta \theta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \tau \tau \nu$ V．v． 9.
$\delta \iota a \rho \theta \rho \sigma \omega . \delta \iota \eta \eta_{\rho} \theta \rho \omega \tau a \iota \hat{\eta} \tau \tau 0 \nu$ II．x．．. $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \pi \alpha ́ \zeta \omega . \quad \delta \iota a \rho \pi \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \sigma \iota$ III．X． 3 ．
 бцабкотє́ $\omega$ ．ठцабкотойб८้ III．IX． 8. סıaбкє́чабөaı II．x． 9.
$\delta \iota a \sigma \pi a ́ \omega . \delta \iota a \sigma \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ VIII．III． 16.
$\delta \iota a \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega$ ．$\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta a \iota$ VII．IV． 13 ． $\tau$ à $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \pi a \rho \mu \notin \nu a$ III．XI． 4.
ঠıa $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota a ́ j \omega$ ．$\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau a \sigma i a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ VIII．vi． 8．$\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau a \sigma i a \sigma \alpha \nu$ VIII．IV． 2.
$\delta \iota a ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ ．VIII．III． 16 ．$\delta \iota a ́ \sigma \tau a-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$ IV．I．8．VIII．III． 16 ．$\delta \iota a-$ $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ IV．xvir．6．VI．xI．12： xvi．5．$\dot{\iota} a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ VII．viI． 3.
$\delta \iota a \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega . \delta \iota a \sigma \tau \epsilon i \lambda a \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．vIII． 17.
 $\delta \iota a \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ IV XVII． 2.
$\delta \iota a \sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \sigma \omega \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．vII． 6.
$\delta \iota a ́ \tau a \sigma \iota s$ ．$\delta \iota a \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ IV． xVII． 6.
 IV．xviI． 6.
 viI．2．$\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\sigma} \iota \nu$ I．IX．15．II． IX．2．$\delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ VIII．xiI．3， 4 ． $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ b̀v $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{S}$ VIII．xI．Io．$\delta \iota \alpha-$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu V$ VIII．xi． 34.
$\delta \iota a \tau i \theta \eta \mu$ ．$\delta \iota a \theta \epsilon i v a l$ I．x．I．$\delta \iota a-$ тite $\nu \tau a \iota$ VIII．2，4．$\delta \iota a \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ V．v．22．$\delta \iota a \theta \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs II．Ix． 15 ．
$\delta \alpha a \tau \rho \iota \dot{\eta}$ I．IX． $16 . \quad \tau \hat{\eta} S$ 白 $\nu \quad \phi \iota \lambda 0-$ $\sigma \circ \phi i ́ a ~ \delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta \hat{\eta} s$ V．viI．8．$\delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ $\tau \iota \nu a$ V．vI．2．$\tau \dot{a} s$ ӥ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta a ́ s$ IV．17， 5 ．
$\delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta \omega . \quad \delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta o v \sigma \iota$ VII．4， 2. $\delta \iota a \tau \rho i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．xII．5．VIII．8， 18 ： xI．6．$\delta \iota a \tau \rho i ̂ \psi a \iota ~ \chi p o ́ v o \nu ~ I I . ~ x . ~ 2 . ~$ бıaтpîßov VI．iv．ıо．
$\delta \alpha a \phi \epsilon \rho \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \mathrm{~S}$ I．xIII．7．VIII．XI． 25. $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho \in \epsilon$ III．xII． 6 ．VI． xv ．1о．VII．I．8．à $\mu \phi$ ồ VI． vII．3．єïठє I．IV．4．II．II．3． III．I．8．VI．II．5，oủסév III．I．
 IV．II．6．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\varphi} \hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$ V．VII．4．$\tau \varphi \tau \tau \nu 0 s{ }^{\ell \nu} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ IV．xiv． 7．$\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \circ \nu \delta \iota a \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota$ II．v．8．V．v． 2 I ．
 vi．9．ठцaф́́povalv III．XI．4， 5 ： xiI．2．IV．xv．6．VI．xv．Io． VIII．viI．6．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ III．xiv． xv．VI．II．I．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ тoîs кขpios III．XIII．5．$\pi 0 \lambda \grave{v} \tau \omega \hat{\nu}{ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ II．xI．I．$\dot{\omega} \delta \iota \alpha \phi \dot{\phi} \rho \circ v \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ бпнократіа каі б̀ляархіа，тєрía каі
 3．III．x．5．IV．II．18．סьoíoova I．XIII．3．II．v．19．$\delta<\epsilon^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \rho 0 \nu \mathrm{~V}$ ． IV．4．$\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon ̇ \tau \omega \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \nu$ III．I． 7 ． $\delta \iota a \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \circ \mathrm{l}$ III．XIII．21．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \iota a \phi \hat{\epsilon}$－
 $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\nu} \nu \ddot{a} \nu$ II．v．5．$\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ I．xII． 2，3：xIII．4：II．Iv．3．V．vi． 5. VI．xiv．I．＇$\quad \nu a \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ д $\rho \in \tau \eta \eta^{\nu}$ III． VII．4．$\delta \iota a \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ III．XIII． 24 ． тобои̂тov III．XIII．І3． III．ix． 8 ：xiv．8．$\delta<a ́ \phi \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ II．v．

23．$\mu \eta \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VIII．III．Io．єїтє $\delta<\alpha-$ $\phi \in \rho o \nu$ II．XI．4．$\delta \iota a ́ \phi \in \rho o \nu \tau o s ~ I V . ~ I V . ~$ 5．$\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{\sigma \eta} s$ III．III．7．$\delta \iota \alpha-$ $\phi \in ́ \rho о \nu \tau \iota$ тобои̂тоу IV．III．5．ठıaфє́－ роута III．XIII． 7 ：XVII．5．VIII． X．37．$\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon$ SII．vI．I4．IV． XIv．2．кат $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ VIII．I．6：IV． 12．кa兀＇$\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \in ́ \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu$ VI．IV．5．$\delta \iota \alpha-$
 III．XII．5．кат＇$\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ III．xv． II．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota$ IV．XI．9．$\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ ঠцaфє рои́бas VI．III．5．тоîs ঠtaфє́－ роvб九 III．XII．2．ঠ九афє́ $\rho о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ IV． I．5．V．II．2．VIII．I．I3． $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ VIII．X．I7．$\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon-$
 V．I2．IV．III．I．$\delta \iota \in \nu \epsilon \chi \theta^{\prime} V \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．Iv． 4.
$\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon v ́ \gamma \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon v ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．XVII． 4. VIII．XI． $24 . \quad \delta \iota a \phi v \gamma \hat{\gamma} \iota \nu$ II．III． 8. $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \omega$ ．$\delta \iota \epsilon \in \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu$ VIII．X．18， 19. $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \eta$ IV．II．II．$\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．xVI．7．VIII．x．12．$\delta \iota a \phi \theta \in i-$ $\rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ IV．I．4．$\delta t a \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\nu \tau \omega \nu}$ VIII． VIII．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \dot{v} \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \delta \rho \rho \omega$ II．X．12．$\delta<\alpha \phi \theta \epsilon[\rho a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII．XI． 30．$\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho о \nu \tau a \iota$ IV．XVI．7．VIII． VIII．12．ठ̂ $\epsilon \epsilon \phi \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta$ VIII．III． 5 ：X． 32．$\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a ́ \rho \theta a \iota$ III．xv．8．$\delta \iota \epsilon-$ $\dot{\phi} \theta a \rho \mu^{\prime} \nu_{0} \iota s$ I．V．5．$\quad \delta \iota a \phi \theta a \rho \notin \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{SII}$ ． IX． 20.
 VIII．XI． 30.
ঠıaфорá．VIII．III，І6．тои́т $\omega \nu$ III． XII．7．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta i \omega \nu$ VI．XVI． 1．S九aфopâs III．VIII．6：XI． 5. VIII．IV．5．ঠıaфopád I．XII． 2. ย $\chi \in \iota \nu$ I．IV．4．$\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu$ II．VIII． 25．$\pi o \lambda \lambda \eta_{p}^{\prime}$ V．II．6：VI．I．т $\frac{1}{\nu}$
 VI．IV．22．ठtaфopal III．vi．I． VI．II． 4 ：IV． 8 ．VII．I．I．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu \omega \nu$ VI．III．2．$\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \mathrm{s}$ кa $\kappa \grave{a}$ $\pi \lambda o \hat{t} \tau o \nu$ jıaфopaîs VI．III．4．$\delta \iota a-$ фopás I．XIII．5．IV．VIII． 5 ：XVI． 2．VI．I．II：III．6：xv．10．VIII． IX．I．＇$\epsilon \chi \in \iota$ III．xviI．8．VIII．vi． 2．＇̇ $\chi o v \sigma \iota$ VI．vil．3．$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ ious VI． Iv．8．$\pi \lambda$ eiovs é $\chi \in \iota$ III．XIv．2．VI．$^{\text {2．}}$ XIV．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$ VI．I． 8.
ठıáфopos．$\delta \iota a ́ \phi o \rho o \iota ~ o i ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \iota$ VII．і． 8. $\tau \grave{~} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ I．v．ıo．$\delta i a \phi \dot{o} o u s$ VII． 1． 7 ．

$\delta \iota a \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega$ ．$\delta \iota є \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \xi \not a \nu$ VIII．X． 33 ．
$\delta \iota a \phi \omega \nu \notin \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \phi \omega \nu \omega \hat{\sigma} \iota \nu$ IV．XVI．2．$\delta \iota \alpha-$ $\phi \omega \nu \epsilon \imath \imath \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda o \iota s$ IV．XIII． 2.
$\delta \iota \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \zeta \omega$ ．$\delta \iota a \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \zeta о v \sigma \iota$（ $\tau \grave{a}$ коьขá） VII．VIII．I6．$\delta \iota a \chi \in \iota \rho i \zeta o v \sigma a \nu$ VII． VIII． 16.
 $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu . \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon$ iocs VI．XI． 6. $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i a$. V．V． 25.
 V．I．3．$\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ V．II．3．$\delta \iota \delta \alpha \dot{-}$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau o \dot{v}$ av̇tê $\nu$ vieîs VII．VII． 3.
$\delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota$ ．$\delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota$ III．XVI．5．$\delta \iota \delta o ́ a \sigma \iota$ II．IV．9．V．vI．2．$\epsilon \delta i \delta \sigma \sigma a \nu$ III． xV．I6． $\begin{gathered}\delta \\ \omega \kappa \epsilon \\ \text { II．IX．14．VIII．}\end{gathered}$ x．I7．$\delta \epsilon \in \omega \kappa \epsilon$ IV．XIV．5．$\delta \iota \delta \hat{\varphi}$ VIII．VII．7．$\delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ VIII．XI． 19. $\delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \delta \iota \kappa \eta \nu$ VIII．$\Pi .4 . \quad \delta \iota \delta \dot{\nu} \frac{1}{}$ II． viI．3：IX．14，26．III．XI．IO：XII， 4：Xv．16．VIII．vIII．I2．ठoûvat II．IX．I5．$\delta \iota \delta o ́ \nu \tau a s ~ I I . ~ I V . ~ 9 . ~ a ́ \phi o \rho-~$ $\mu a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota \delta o \nu \tau \tau a s$ VII．v． $10 . \delta \iota \delta o \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$ VIII．III，s．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \delta \partial \nu \tau \iota$ III．IX． 5 ． $\delta o \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu$ VI．I．4．$\delta o \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ II．IV．IO．
$\delta \iota \in \rho \chi o \mu a \iota$ ．$\delta t \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda v \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\partial}$ á $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ кal $\tau \grave{\partial}$ $\not \ddot{\alpha}_{\rho} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．XI．I4．$\delta \iota \eta \quad \lambda \theta о \mu \epsilon \nu$ VI． VII．2．（ $\epsilon \omega \varsigma \stackrel{a}{ } \nu) \delta t \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta \eta$ $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VI．XIV．4．$\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ VI．II．I． $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ IV．XVII．I4．
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 uévous VIII．x．38．т $̀ \boldsymbol{\nu}$ avíoô IV． IV．Io．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$ о $\iota \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta \eta_{\nu}$ III．XIII．I3． $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho а \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$ IV．III．6．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon-$ $\tau \epsilon \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VI．III．5．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu a \mu \nu$
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ঠvva⿱亠巾ŋ́s．$\delta v \nu a \sigma \tau a l$ VIII．VIII． 7.

$\delta v v a \tau o ́ s . ~ I I . ~ I I . ~ 2 . ~ I I I . ~ X V I . ~ 1 o . ~ \delta u v a-~$ тóv II．III．3，8．III．XII．9．тò бvขatóv V．VII．I3，I5．т $̀ \nu \delta u \nu a \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ VI．I．6．$\delta v \nu a \tau o \ell ~ I I I . ~ X I I . ~ 6 . ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta v \nu a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．X．I 4 ．
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$\delta v \sigma \kappa o \lambda i a$ ．$\delta v \sigma \kappa o \lambda i a \nu ~ \notin \chi \ell \iota \nu$ III．X．．． $\delta v \sigma \kappa о \lambda$ ias II．v． 3.
$\delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota a$ ．$\delta v \sigma \mu \in \in \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu$ IV．xVII． 14 ．
$\delta v \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho i \lambda \eta \pi \tau o s . \delta v \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho i \lambda \eta \pi \tau o \nu \mathrm{IV}$ ．XI． 3.
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$\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho a i \nu \omega . \delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho a i \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon$ III．XIV． 6．$\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \nu a \sigma \alpha \nu$ VIII．VI．I4． $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho a \nu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．VI． 16.
$\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota a . \delta v \sigma \chi \chi^{\epsilon} \rho \in \iota a \nu$＇̈ $\chi \in \iota$ IV．XVI． 3. ＇́ $\chi \in \iota \delta v \sigma \chi \in \rho \in i a s$ II．II．I：IV．I：V． 5.
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є่ $\gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \in ́ \omega$. є่ $\gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ VI．IX．7．VIII． x．25．$\epsilon \nu \in \chi \in i \rho \eta \sigma \in \nu$ VIII．VI． 9. $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \iota$ II．VIII．I．$̇ \nu \epsilon \chi \in i \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$ VIII．x．19．є่ $\gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha$ V．VII． II．
 $\epsilon^{\gamma} \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \iota$ VIII．vI．12．＇̇ $\gamma \chi \chi \epsilon \rho \ell-$ $\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ VIII．VIII．I4， 2 I．$\epsilon ่ \gamma \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \zeta \epsilon$－ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．v． 8.
è $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ ．$\dot{\eta} \mu \in i ̂ s$ IV．I．6．VI．II．3．$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\delta \epsilon ́ ~ I V$. xiII．9．VI．viil． 2.
$\epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau o ́ s . \epsilon \in \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau o ́ v(\zeta \hat{o} o \nu)$ IV．II． 15.
$\epsilon \delta \omega \delta \hat{\eta}$ ．$\epsilon \delta \omega \omega \delta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ I．II． 16.
$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega$ ．$\epsilon \theta \epsilon \in \lambda \alpha v \sigma \iota \nu$ VII．v．6．$\epsilon \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta$ VII．IV．7．$\epsilon^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon t \nu$ II．VII．I ${ }_{7}$ ． III．XIII． 16 ．
étijう．$\dot{\epsilon} \theta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．vIII．23．IV．XVII．
 VIII．XI．6．$\epsilon \theta i \zeta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．XI． 12.
 VIII. IX. I2. $\quad \dot{\theta} \theta \iota \theta \theta \epsilon / \mathrm{S}$ II. VIII. 23. è $\theta \iota \sigma \mu$ ós. V. v. г9. $\epsilon^{\prime} \theta \iota \sigma \mu$ oús IV. xiII. 12.



 $\mu \kappa \hat{\varphi}$ IV. II. і і. $\quad \epsilon \partial \eta$ I. II. 6. III. xili. ig. IV. vil. 2, 4. VIII. x. 8. $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ II. v. 2. IV. xvir. 1. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \theta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ V. iv. 2. ${ }^{\prime 2} \theta \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota$ IV. II. 10: VII. I.
 $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ VI. xi. I9. $\begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon\end{gathered}$ ous IV. xv. 7.

 IV. xIII. II. $\delta \iota \dot{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV. xiII. iI. IV. xv. 7. $\ddot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ II. v. 15. IV. II. 12: xV. 7. V. III. I3. VI. v. 3. VII. rv. $\mathrm{I}_{5}$. катà $\tau \grave{a}$ そ $\theta \eta$ III. xVI. 9.
$\epsilon^{z} \theta \omega . ~ \epsilon i \omega \theta \epsilon$ IV. iv. 8. V. I. 2: vi. 16. VI. xv. i3. VII. iv. 5. VIII. III. 3: xI. 5. $\epsilon i \omega \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu$ III. viI. 3. $\epsilon l \omega ́ \theta a \sigma \iota$ II. x. I4. III. III. 6: xvi. 7: xvir. 6. V. iII. i. VI. III. 8: iv. I. VII. II. I: iv. I6. VIII. III. 3. $\epsilon l . \epsilon i$ кal III. хІІ. 5. каl $\epsilon i$ VI. . 5 . $\epsilon i \gamma a ̀ \rho \epsilon i \eta$ III. XII. 5. $\epsilon l \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \dot{\eta}$ III. xv. 9, 13. $\epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi о \nu \tau \iota \nu a$ III. XVII. 2. $\epsilon i \pi 0 u ́ \tau \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s \not ̈ \nu$ IV. II. 9. єîos. III. xiv. 5, 6, if: xvi. I. VI. III. 7: vi. 4. $\epsilon \grave{\delta} \delta o s ~ a \lambda \lambda o ~ \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s$ VI. xv. 22. (ä $\nu){ }^{\dot{\prime}} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \hat{\eta}$ III. III. 8. छ̈ $\chi \in \iota$ III. xv. 2. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous VI.

 I. xI. 4. ка $\theta^{\prime}$ ' єккабтоע єídos VI. II. 5. VIII. จ. I. $\epsilon \ddot{\prime} \bar{\delta} \epsilon$ I. I. 2: IV. 2 : XIII. 4. II. II. 3. III. I. 8. VI. III. 5. єï $\eta$ I. II. 7. III. xiv. 8. V. iv. 1. VI. II. 4: III. 7: IV. 8, 2 I . VII.
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 $\tau \rho \iota \sigma l \nu \epsilon \ell \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII. xI. 14.
$\epsilon<\delta \omega$. ${ }^{i} \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ VI. 1. 9. ï $\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ II. Iv. 6. $\epsilon i \delta \omega ́ s$ VI. xv. 8. $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon s$ VIII. ix. 4. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon l \delta \delta \partial \tau \omega \nu$ III. XI. I2, I4. Toîs $\epsilon i \delta \delta \sigma \iota \nu$ III. XI. II.
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tikós I. II. 4. II. v. 22 : VIII. 2I. IV. x. 7. V. vi. 5, 14. єikds єìvaı III. xiII. 13.
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$\epsilon i \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon i a$. $\epsilon i \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon i ́ a \nu$ II. IX. 4. $\epsilon i \lambda \omega-$ teías II. v. 22.
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 $\kappa а \theta^{\prime}$ ёкабта III．xv． 15.
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о s . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \tau \hat{\rho} \rho a$ VI．II．I．$\dot{\omega} s{ }^{\mu} \mu \hat{s}$
 $\tau \epsilon \in \rho q$ VI．IV．5．̇́ка́тєрор II．vI．9： viI．10．е̇ка́тєроь VIII．1．5．е́кка－ $\tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ VIII．viI． 7.
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \div \delta \partial \nu$ VIII．I．I4．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \delta \nu$ II．xI．7．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a l \tau \epsilon \tau-$ $\tau \alpha \dot{\rho} \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu$ II．xI． 3.
 $\beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu$ VIII．v．4．$\epsilon \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ III． xIII．25．$\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \in \beta \alpha \lambda o \nu$ VIII．WI．II， 12：x．31．$\epsilon \kappa \beta \alpha \lambda \omega ́ \nu$ VIII．x． 32.

$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \circ \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau v \rho \alpha ́ \nu \nu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \circ \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{III}$. II． 3 ．
 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ IV．xvi． 16.
$\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota . \quad \grave{\xi} \xi \delta \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon$ VIII．x． 20.

$\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \in \hat{\imath}$ II．Ix．6：x．4，10．III．xv． 8 ． VI．III．4， 7 ．
$\epsilon \in \epsilon \hat{\theta} \epsilon \nu$ II．x． 5 ．

 IV．x．4．кат＇єкє̂ข้о VIII．хи． II．Ėॄєîva II．xir． 3 ．
éкe $\ell \nu \omega$ IV IV．xI．8．VIII．viII．II．

є̈ккєццац．є̈ккєєтац IV．хІІІ． 2.

Є̇кк入 $\ddagger \sigma$ ía VII．III．6．кvpia $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．xI．15．VII．II．5．$\dot{\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \lambda \sigma l a s ~}$ ко七ข $\omega \nu$ ข̂б८ VI．VI．6．$\mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \chi \chi \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ II．X．7．III．XI．16．$̇ \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a \nu$ III．I．го．VI．XIII．I．$\epsilon_{\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha u s}$ III．xiv．4．Є̇ккえ $\eta \sigma i a s$ VII．iv． 14 ． кирias VII．II． 7.


 II．vi．19．VI．Ix．3：xiII，I．VII， IV．2．V． 5 ．
$\epsilon \in \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \dot{\prime} s$ III．I．6，II：XI． 17.
 $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta}^{\nu}$ III．II．I 7.
$\epsilon \epsilon \kappa \lambda i \nu \omega$ ．$\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda i \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon i s \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \rho \nu$ II．XI． 5 ． $\epsilon i s$ j̀ $\lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi$ ial II．XI． 5.


$\epsilon \in \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ ．$̇ \kappa \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ III．xv．9．$\quad \epsilon \kappa \lambda \iota-$ $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．VII．17．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \epsilon$ îmò $\tau 0 u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ IV．VIII． 8.
$\epsilon ̇ \kappa \lambda \hat{v} \omega . \quad \notin \kappa \lambda \epsilon \lambda v \mu \notin v a$ VII．vi． 4.
$\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \nu \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \omega . \grave{\epsilon}^{\kappa} \mu \iota \sigma \theta 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a$ I．xI． 9.
 $\sigma \iota a \iota$ III．xiv．II．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa о v \sigma i \omega \nu$ II．Ix．


$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \omega$ ． | $\xi$ |
| :---: |
| $\xi$ |
| $\epsilon$ |
|  |
| $\epsilon$ |$\pi \pi \epsilon \nu$ VIII．II．7．$\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \in-$ $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi о \nu$ II．IX．30．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon ร$

 15．$\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha u$ IV．vi． 4.
$\epsilon \in \kappa \pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ． $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \pi \iota \pi \tau o \nu$ VIII．v．3．oi $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi i \pi \pi \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ VIII．v． 3 ．$\dot{\xi \xi \in \pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu}$ V．vil．ir．VIII．xil．6．$\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \in \pi \in \epsilon \sigma \nu$ VIII．III． 12,13 ．

$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \omega . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ VIII．x． 18.
 VIII．xi． 20.



 dias IV．xiII． 8.

$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$ VI．x．4．VII．viI．5．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ V．v． 25 ．VIII．x． 37 ．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ III． xiv．7，II，12，14．VIII．IV．I3．

è $\lambda a \iota o \cup ́ \rho \gamma \iota o \nu$ ．Ė $\lambda a \iota o v \rho \gamma i \omega \nu$ I．xI． 9.
 $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous VII．iv． 7.
$\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．x．17．$\hat{\epsilon}^{\lambda} \alpha a \tau \tau o \nu$ II．VII．
 1．7．＂${ }^{\prime} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VIII．II．2．$\epsilon i s{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \alpha a \tau \tau o \nu$ VIII．viI．9．$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ è $\lambda a ́ \tau \tau o v o s ~ V I, ~$ xv．I．$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda a ́ \tau \tau o \nu a$ VIII．xi．2．é $\lambda a ́ \tau \tau \omega$ II．v．3．VI．III．4．é $\lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \omega \pi o l-$ ô̂vтas VIII．VIII． 10 ．é $\lambda a \tau \tau \delta \delta \nu \omega \nu$ II．III．4．III．x．2：xv．r．VIII， xI．I．$\hat{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \tau \tau o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ єls $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a \kappa о \sigma l o v s$ $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$ VIII．vi．3．$\epsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau o v s$ II．v． 28．III．xII．8．VIII．II， 3 ：III．
7. Tò̀s é入átrous III. x. 3 : xiII. 4. єis $\grave{\text { é }}$ ártous III. xIII. 8 . VIII. vi. 7.
 $\chi \iota \sigma \tau o \iota$ VIII. x. 27. $\quad \dot{\lambda} \alpha \alpha \nless \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ VI. xiv. 4.

$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$. $\grave{\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \grave{\eta} \mu \nu a s ~ V . ~ v i I . ~} 5$.
${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda$ єos V. viI. 4.
ѐ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a$ VI. Iv. 23. è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a s$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \gamma o \nu$ VII. II. 3. (ė $\left.\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a s\right) \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon{ }^{2}-$ $\chi$ रovat $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ III. viII. 8. ( $̇ \lambda \epsilon v-$

 VIII. Ix. 14. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \in \rho / a \nu$ III. xiI. 6 : xIII. 7. IV. x. I4. VI. xII, I. VII. II. 9. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \not \partial \quad \gamma \alpha \nu$ VIII. xII. 18. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
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 III. 10: v. 8. $\pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \iota \nu$ II. v. 10. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \rho i \omega \nu(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \omega \hat{\nu})$ V. II. 5. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \iota \omega \tau \dot{\ell} \rho a s(\kappa \iota \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota s)$ V. v. 23.

${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon u \theta \epsilon \rho i \omega s$ II. vi. 9.

 $\theta \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ रaí $\rho \epsilon \nu$ VIII. xi. г3. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon-$ pov IV. xVII. 9. VIII. XI. 12. тò è $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \rho \rho \frac{\text { I. xiII. 7. VIII. Ix. } 14 .}{}$
 VI. IV. 2I. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \in \rho o \iota$ III. xII. 8 : xIII. 2: xv. 9. VI. Iv. 4.5 : vi. 6, 4. VIII. I, 3. $\epsilon^{\epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu}$ I. xiI. I: xiII. r, 3. III. IV. r3. IV. xiI. 5. V. II. 4 . V. III. 8 : VI, Io, 15. VI. x. $4,{ }_{15}$, 10. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ V. II. 3 . oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda$ oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \notin \rho \omega \nu$ V. vi. 12. $\epsilon ं \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s$ II. II. 4. V. III. I2.
 VI. IV. 2. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho o u s$ III. xi. 6 : XII. 8.
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\sigma} \omega$. $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII. x . 8.
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \sigma \iota \iota$. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho \omega \sigma \tau \nu$ VIII. XI. 32.
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa \dot{\omega} \omega$. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa \dot{\prime} \sigma \eta$ II. II. 3.
 VIII. vi. 7. $\begin{gathered}\text { п } \\ \text { кортаs } \\ \text { IV. v. } \\ 2 .\end{gathered}$
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \hat{\eta}$ VIII. viII. 10. $\epsilon<\lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega \nu$ I. viII. 8. III. xII. 5. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ IV. І. $6 . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi o \nu \tau a s$ VI. v. I. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau \alpha$, II. VI. 14.
$\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \psi \iota s$. $\quad \bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota \psi \iota \nu$ VIII. IX. 7.
$\epsilon \epsilon \mu \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \beta a \lambda o \nu$ VIII. IV. 5.

$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} s . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu \quad(\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu)$ IV. vi. 8.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega . \quad \dot{\epsilon}^{\mu} \mu \dot{\mu} \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$ VI. viII. 6.
 $\epsilon \epsilon^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\nu}$ II. III. I.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ a . \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho i a s ~ I . ~ I X . ~ I . ~(\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon-$ plas) $\delta$ èovtal VII. II. 5: VIII. 13. $\epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho(a \nu$ VIII. IX. 3. àvaүкаià I. xI. I. $̇$ érाєєplą VI. xili. 10. є́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \stackrel{a s}{ }$ III. xI. го.
 xI. . . $\grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho o \iota s \pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a \nu$ IV. v. 3 .
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega \mathrm{~s} . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \dot{\ell} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ है $\chi o \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ V. viI. 2.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \omega$. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ II. VIII. г 6 . VI. XVI. 4. $\quad \epsilon \mu \pi i \pi \tau \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$ II. IX. 19. VIII. viII. 7.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \frac{\delta i \grave{\zeta} \omega . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi o \delta i \grave{j} \epsilon \iota \text { aủroús VI. vi. } 6 . ~}{\text {. }}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi$ одь七иิ $\iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \alpha \iota s$ VI. xv. 8.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \delta i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ V. VI. $6 . \quad \epsilon \mu \pi$ об $i \zeta \omega \nu$ V. Iv. 9. ( $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \partial s) ~ \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \delta i \zeta \circ \nu \tau o s ~ I V$. xiv. 18. VI. I. 3.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \bar{\delta} \delta \iota o \mathrm{o}$. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \iota \circ \nu{ }_{\dot{\epsilon}} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ IV. II. 7. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi$ oд̀ovs ( $\pi \rho \grave{s} \tau^{\tau} \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ ) VIII. x. 12.
$\epsilon \mu \pi о \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$. V. II. 2.
 5. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \pi o \iota \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ V. IV. I. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \sigma \iota \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII. vi. io. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi o \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ VIII. viII. 13: xi. 22. $\grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi \%$ teî̀ $\tau \alpha i ̂ ̀ ~ \psi u \chi \alpha i ̂ ̀ s ~$ IV. xiv. 21. $\epsilon \mu \pi o \epsilon \in \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a l$ IV. xvi. 15.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi$ орía I. xI. 3. $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \pi$ тoplas VII. v. 8. $\tau$ à̀s $\grave{\epsilon} \mu \pi$ opías VI. iv. io.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi о \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s .{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi$ оркко́v VI. IV. $21 . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu$ $\pi о \rho \iota \kappa \eta े \nu$ aí $\hat{\eta}$ IV. vi. 4.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \delta \rho \rho \stackrel{\nu}{\prime}$ IV. VI. 4. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi \pi o \rho \hat{\imath} \omega \nu$ I. xi. if.
 I. XI. II. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \dot{\delta} \rho \omega \nu \quad \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os IV. vi. I.
 Ix. 6.
${ }^{\dagger} \mu \mu \psi v \chi o s .{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \psi \psi \nu \chi o \nu$ I. IV. 2. ${ }^{\prime} \mu \psi \psi \chi \chi \alpha$ I. IV. 2. $\mu \hat{\rho} \rho \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ IV. viII. 4. $̇ \mu \psi \dot{c}^{\prime} \chi o<s$ I. v. 4.
 III. xII. 6.
évávtios. èvavtía VIII. VII. 14. $\epsilon \xi$ èvavzias IV. II. 7. VIII. xI. 17 . èvàtià VIII. xII. Io. toủváptıo II. IV. $5:$ v. $2:$ vi. 13 : viI. Io: viII. 13: IX. 3r. VIII. viI. 8. $\tau$ ávávтıa VIII. II. 3: IV. II: viI. 8. ̇̇̀avilas VIII. vi. ı8. ̇̇vavtı$\omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o u s$ VIII. xI. 4.
 13.

 $\sigma \tau a \tau o \nu(\beta i o \nu)$ I. viil. 8.
 v. 8. VII. Iv. 4 .
 vili. It.



V． 27 ：VIII，13， 7 ：III．III． 3 ：XI． 2， 5 ：XIII．II：XV．2，I4：XVI．II． VII．II． 5 ：IV．I．VIII．VIII．I7： IX．4：XII．8．Є̇ $\nu \delta \dot{e} \chi \in \tau a \iota ~ \mu e ̀ v$ ，ov่
 $a ̉ \nu$ VIII．VIII．I7．$\epsilon \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV． VIII．5．V．III．II．$\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \chi 0 \mu \notin \nu \eta$ s $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \delta a \iota \mu \circ \nu i a s ~ I V . ~ I I . ~ г 7 . ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \delta є \chi o \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu \eta \nu$ VI．I． 4 ：II． 6 ．（oi）$\epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon \chi \chi \hat{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ （ $\sigma v \nu \delta v a \sigma \mu o \iota$ ）VI．IV．8．ढ̇к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \chi \circ \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \omega \nu$ IV．XI．I．

$\epsilon \nu \delta \delta o ́ \sigma \iota \mu o s . \quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \epsilon \nu \delta \delta \sigma \iota \mu o \nu$（ $\gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ тoîs $\lambda$ b́ocs）V．V．I．
 VIII．III．3．$\dot{\omega} s \in ́ v o v ́ \sigma \eta s$ III．VI． 5. VI．x．1．̇̇voú ${ }^{\prime}$ as III．xV． 3.
 ぞvєкєข IV．VIII．3．$\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\epsilon} \nu \in \kappa \in \nu$ VIII．II． I ．
$\epsilon \in \nu \in \nu \dot{\prime} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ VIII．vi．II．
 IV．viII．5．$\quad \epsilon \nu \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ IV．XIII． 5.

 VIII．VIII． 7.
$\grave{\epsilon} \nu \theta o v \sigma \iota a \sigma \mu$ b́s V．VII．4．$\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \theta$ ous $\pi a ́ \theta o s ~ \epsilon \sigma \sigma i ́ \nu$ V．v． 16.
є́ $\nu \theta$ ov 3．$\epsilon \nu \theta 0 v \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \frac{u ́ s ~ V . ~ V . ~ 22 . ~}{\text { ．} \nu-}$
 $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́$ V．VII． 3.
$\not{\epsilon} \nu \theta v \mu o s . ~ \ddot{~} \nu \partial v \mu 0 \nu$ IV．VII． 3.
évtav́⿱亠䒑os．èvıavoias（d̀ $\rho \chi$ ás）VI．xv．I．
Є̀vıavtós．кат＇Є̇vıavtóv II．II．4．VIII． VIII． 10.
évıađô̂ VI．xIII．3．VII．viII． 7. VIIT．III． 3 ：VI． 3.
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu l o s . ~ e ̀ v l o c ~ I I . ~ v i . ~ I 7 . ~ \epsilon ́ v i ́ c o \nu ~ V . ~ I I . ~ 5 . ~$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ évi $\omega \nu$ III．XI． 5 ：XIV．16， 10. $\pi a \rho \prime$ èviocs II．v． 22 ．IV．X． 12. évíaıs III．XI．I5．èvias V．VII． 4. èvıa II．v． 2.
èviote II．III．4．III．vi．7．VIII． III．6，I5：VI．I3．
$\epsilon \in i \sigma \tau \eta \mu L . \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega ่ \tau \omega \nu$ VII．VIII． 10.
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu o \mu o s . \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu \quad \delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII． VI． 18.

 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \phi \delta \nu \omega$ II．VIII． 20.

$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha \dot{\zeta} \omega$ ．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \chi \chi^{\circ} \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．XII． 7.
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta \alpha$ II．IV． 7 ：VI．I：X．Io．VI． IV． 26.

$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$ III．V． 4 ：XV．12．VII．II． 4．$\tau 0 u ̉ \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$ III．IV．I I．
 12．є́ $\nu \tau \iota \mu \circ \nu$ II．XI．II．III．XV．
 （ $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \gamma a\right)$ I．VII．3．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \tau \mu o \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu$ VIII． VII． 2.

$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \omega$ ．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \nu \tau a s$ VIII．XI． 2 f.
$\grave{\epsilon} \nu v \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \omega$ ．$̇ \dot{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \iota$ I．จ． 4 ：XIII． 7. IV．IX． 4 ．
$\epsilon^{\xi} \xi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．$\epsilon^{\prime} \xi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \iota$ VIII．XI．I 1 ．
 XI． 19.

$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \kappa \dot{\delta} \sigma \iota o \iota . \dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \kappa о \sigma l o u s$ VIII．vi． 3.
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．$\epsilon \xi \xi \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \in ̇ \nu a s$ VI．II． 3.
$\bar{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \bar{\omega} \omega$ ．$\grave{\epsilon} \xi \eta \mu \vec{\lambda} \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ II．IX． 6.
$\epsilon \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu o s . \epsilon \xi \xi a \mu \dot{\eta} \nu o v s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a ́ s$ VI．xv．I． VIII．VIII． 6.
$\epsilon \xi \xi a \pi a \tau \alpha ́ \omega . \quad \epsilon \in \xi a \pi a \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ I．XIII．Io． $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ VIII．IV． 13 ． $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \alpha-$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon$ VIII．IV． 13.
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \rho \gamma \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\epsilon \xi \eta \rho \gamma \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota$ VIII．x． 24.
 I．XIII． 10.
 6．III．v．I：XV．4．V．v． 8. VIII．VII．Io：XII．I4．$\epsilon \xi \xi^{\nu}$ IV． II．II．$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta}$ IV．xv．2． $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \xi \in \epsilon ้ \nu a \iota ~ V I . ~\end{gathered}$ vi．3．VII，vi．2．VIII．vII．I2： VIII．I7：XII．I8．$\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \hat{a} \nu$ Totê̂ VII．IV． 7.
$\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \chi \omega$ ．$\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \chi \in \tau \alpha$, VIII．VIII． 4 ．
 16.
$\epsilon \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \kappa v ́ \omega . \quad \bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \kappa v \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ s$ VIII．X． 19.
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\xi} \epsilon \lambda \lambda \theta \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ III．xIV．3．$\epsilon \xi \xi \in \lambda-$ $\theta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．XIV．4．ä $\rho \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇ $\xi$－ $\lambda \eta \lambda v \theta \delta \delta \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s} \kappa a l \mu e ̀ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ II．XI． $7 \cdot$
$\epsilon \xi \xi \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega . \quad \grave{\xi} \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \zeta 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ II．IX． $3^{6}$ ．
є̇ $\xi \in \in \tau a \sigma \iota s$ ．$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ VII．VIII．I4． $\epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ VII．VIII， 21.
$\grave{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\prime}_{s} . \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \xi \tau \pi \sigma \tau a ́ s$ VII．VIII． 16.
$\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in v \rho i ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$ е $\bar{\xi} \xi \in v \rho i ́ \sigma \kappa о v \sigma \iota \nu$ II．IX．4． V．IV． 2.

 V．VII．7．${ }_{\xi} \xi \iota \nu$ V．III．5．$\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \iota-$ $\kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ V．IV．I．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ IV．XVII． I．$\tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s ~ \pi o \iota \alpha \nu \tau \iota \nu a$ V．III． 13．＇゙＇$\xi \in \iota$ II．vi．9．VII．IV．II． ＂$\xi \in \omega \nu$ I．XIII． 2.
 $\epsilon \dot{\xi}$ ใ $\sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau a i$（ $\epsilon l^{\prime}-$ ）VIII．VI． 18.
 XIV． 4.
$\epsilon \epsilon \xi \circ \mu \nu v \omega^{\omega} . \quad \epsilon \epsilon \xi \delta \mu \nu v \sigma \theta a \iota(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu)$ VI． XIII． 2.
$\epsilon \epsilon \xi \circ \rho \gamma t a ́ \zeta \omega . \quad \tau 0 \imath ̂ s \epsilon \xi \xi \circ \rho \gamma t a ́ \zeta 0 v \sigma \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\mu \epsilon ̇ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$ V．VII． 4 ．
 $\rho \ell \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．xVII． 8.
є $\xi$ ov XIII．3．$\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ö $\tau \iota$ ă $\nu \epsilon \in \theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$ тis VII．IV．7．є́ $\xi o v \sigma i a s ~ v i \pi a \rho \chi o v ́-~$ $\sigma \eta$ ．IV．xiII．4．є̇૬ovoià II．VII． 4：IX．14．V．VII．7．VI．IV．
24. VIII. III. I: XI. 27, 29.

そ $\xi \omega$. II. VI. $5,7:$ IX. 7, i1. ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu)$
 тоvб८ VI. XI. 2.
${ }^{*} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ VI. Ix. Io. VIII. viI. I4 : X. 29. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ VII. VII. 4.
 VI. 3. $\tau$ ov̀ $\epsilon_{\xi} \epsilon_{\omega} \theta \in \nu$ II. VII. I4.
$\dot{\epsilon} \circ \rho \tau \eta$. $\epsilon \circ \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu \iota$ IV. II. II.
 VIII. XI. 29.
$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ II. x . 16. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa a i ́ l \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ IV. III. 8, 10. $\epsilon \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV. I. Io. $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 \hat{s} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa$ ốs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s$ III. VI. 5. IV. I. 2. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \rho a s(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \epsilon \omega s)$ I. V. 4.
$\epsilon \in \pi a ́ \gamma \omega$. $̇ \pi a ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII. V. 1. $̇ ่ \pi \alpha-$ $\gamma a \gamma \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII. VI. 7. є่ $\pi a \gamma a-$ $\gamma$ о́ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ VIII. III. 13 .
Є̇ $\pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau o ́ s . ~ ̇ ̇ \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \tau a ́ ~ I I I . ~ I V . ~ ı о . ~$
 V. VII. 12. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ a $\iota \nu \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ II. VI. I7. VI. I. 6. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \not \ddot{Z}_{\nu} \nu \tau \iota$ II. VI. 16. IV. V. I. V. I. 4. ématpềv IV. III. 3: XIV. 19. є่ $\pi a \iota \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \in$ IV. XIV. I6: XVI. II. є̇ $\pi \alpha \iota \nu \in i ̂ \tau a \iota ~$ III. IV. 10.
 15.

Є่ $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega$. Є่ $\pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ I. IX. 15. ধ̇ $\pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ I. VI. 3. VI. X. 2. VII. I. 3 .
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \zeta \omega . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \mu ф о \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \zeta о \nu \sigma \iota \nu \mathrm{~V}$. II. 6. є̇танфотєрí̧ovта IV. xIII. II.

Є̇ $\pi \alpha \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta s . ~ Є ̇ \pi a ́ \nu a \gamma \kappa \epsilon s$ II. VI. 19, 20, 2I. VIII. I. II.
ধ̇ $\pi a \nu a ́ \gamma \omega . ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi a \nu a \gamma \epsilon ̇ \sigma \theta \omega$ VI. 14, 15.
 IV. 7 .

є́ $\pi a \nu a ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s . ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi a \nu a \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ VIII. III. 5.

є́ $\pi a \nu \alpha \dot{\tau} \alpha \sigma \iota s$. тồ $\sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\pi} \pi \rho \circ v$ III. XIV. 12.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \rho \rho \theta \delta \omega . ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \nu o \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a \nu \mathrm{VI}$. 1. 7. є่ $\pi a \nu o \rho \theta 0 \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$ III. xvi. 5.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \ell . \theta \hat{a} \tau \tau o \nu$ III. XIII. 19.
 IV. xv. 2. $\tau 0 \imath ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ V I . ~ I V . ~$ Io. tov̀s ėtlovtas II. VII. I5.
 VIII. VIII. 2.

ย่ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau$ III. IX. 3. VI. II. 5: III. 4, 5. VIII. XI. 2 I .
$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \xi \in \rho \chi 0 \mu a \iota$. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ IV. I. 13.

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \bar{\rho} \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon ่ \lambda \theta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ VII. 1. 6. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda-$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ VI. II. 6. VIII. x. I. $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ aủròv $\lambda$ ó $\begin{gathered}\text { ov III. IV. } 4 .\end{gathered}$
ধ̇ $\pi \eta \rho \epsilon a ́ \zeta \omega$. є̇ $\pi \eta \rho \epsilon a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ VIII. x. 15. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is VIII. IV. 7.

Є̀ $\pi \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \iota a$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu(\pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu)$ III. XVI. 7.
$\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \alpha \iota \nu \omega$. $̇ \pi \iota \beta \beta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ VIII. x. 28.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$. $\epsilon ่ \pi \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ I. XIII. 8. II. III.
4. VI. XIII. 7. غ்ка́ $\ddagger \uparrow \varphi$ III. VI. 3.

غ̇ка́ $\sigma \tau \varphi$ тобои̂тоу IV. I. Іо. каө'

II. VI. 22. ä́ ${ }^{\prime}$ ov́ $\theta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi r ~ \beta a ́ a \lambda \lambda \nu \tau o s$
I. XI. 9. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ I. IX. 8 . $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ I.IX. 16. $̇ \pi \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ II. I. I.

є̇ $\pi \iota \beta a \tau \iota \kappa$ ós. Є̇ $\pi \iota \beta a \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$ IV. VI. 8.
 VIII. XI. II, $25 . \quad \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \beta$ ov́ $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma a \nu$ VIII. x. ıо. ' $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta$ оv $\lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ VIII.
XI. ${ }^{15}$ 5. $\epsilon \pi<\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$ VI. XI. 9.

III. 12. $\epsilon \pi \iota\langle\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau a s$ VIII. VII.
2. $\epsilon \pi \pi ィ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI. XI. 9. $̇ \pi \epsilon \epsilon-$
$\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ VIII. x. 20.
$\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta 0 v \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} . \quad \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ ov $\lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} s$ VIII. x. 12.

 тоıท́баเขто III. IX. 9.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu 0 \mu \in ̇ \nu \omega \nu$ III. IX. 5.

$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota \iota \mathrm{~s} . \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota \nu$ I. XI. IO.
$\epsilon ่ \pi t \delta \epsilon \in \chi о \mu a \iota . \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \delta \in ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ VII. VI. 4.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu \epsilon \in \omega$. $\grave{\pi} \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$ II. хII. 7. Є̇ $\pi \iota-$ $\delta \eta \mu o \hat{v} \nu \tau a s$ VIII. XI. 6.
$\epsilon ่ \pi \iota \delta i \delta \omega \mu c$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ II. V. 6.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \dot{\delta} \circ \sigma \iota s . \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta o \sigma \iota s \dot{\eta} \tau \rho i \tau \eta$ VI. vi. 10.

 20. VI. VII. 4. VIII. XI, 12, 28. $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ II. IX. 25 : XI. 12 : XII. 5. III. xI. 15. $\pi a \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu$ ov̉ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ VI. II. 3. Є̇тเєᄂке̇ $\sigma \iota$ VIII. x. 3: XI. 15.
 $\tau 0 \cup ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \epsilon \iota к \epsilon i s ~ I I I . ~ x . ~ 1 . ~ 4 . ~ V I I . ~$ VIII, 12. VIII. viII. Iq.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \zeta \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu o s . ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \zeta \dot{\eta} \mu \iota o \nu$ VI. XIII. 4.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \in \omega$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \zeta \eta \tau 0 \hat{\iota} \epsilon \nu$ d̀̀ II. VII. 12. $\epsilon ่ \pi i \zeta \eta \tau o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ V. VI. II.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \mathrm{~s}$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ VIII. x. 20. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i-$ $\theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII. viI. 3. $\dot{\theta} \pi \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ VIII.
 $\theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ VIII. X. 14 .
 27.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \omega . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ I. IX. I6. VI. XI. 9. VII. IV. 2. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi t \theta v \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o v \sigma \iota$ II. VII. I5. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \hat{\eta}$ VI. I. 2. $่ \in \iota t \theta \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ II. VII. 1 I. $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta$ IV. I, 4 .
 $\tau \omega \nu$ II. IV. 6.
$\epsilon \in \pi \iota \theta v \mu \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ S I. II. Io.
é $\pi \iota \theta v \mu l a$ III. xvi. 5. IV. xv. 1o. $\epsilon ่ \pi l-$ $\theta v \mu l a s ~ \epsilon i s$ ă $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$ oṽ $\sigma \eta s$ I. IX. 16. $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ är $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho$ II. VII. 19. є̇ $\pi \iota \theta v$ $\mu l a \nu$ II. VII. II. ( $\rho \rho \omega \tau \iota \hat{\eta} \nu)$ VIII.

 XI. 2.
 I.
 I. xi. 3.
'̇тікєццац. Є̇тікєєтаı $\tau \hat{\eta} \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$ II. x.


$\epsilon \in \pi \iota \kappa i \nu \delta u \nu 0 s$ II. x. I5. $\epsilon \pi \tau \kappa i \nu \delta \nu \nu o \nu$ II. VI. 22.

 $\rho \omega \nu$ II. IX. I5. $\epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \lambda \eta \eta \rho \omega \nu$ VIII.
 I4.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \dot{\delta} \pi \tau \omega . \quad \bar{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \kappa о \pi \tau \epsilon$ III. хиII. 19.
 5.

ढ̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \omega . ~ \grave{\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \tau o v ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ V I I . ~ v i I . ~} 3$.


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu \omega$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha a \beta \in \nu$ I. XI. II. $\bar{\epsilon} \pi t-$ $\lambda a \beta b \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ V VIII. vI. 4.

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu$ ós. $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o u ́ s$ VII. viII. 21.
è $\pi \iota \mu a \chi i a$. è $\pi \iota \mu a \chi i a s ~ o v ̈ \sigma \eta s$ III. Ix. 1 I.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \dot{e} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a$. ( $\grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \gamma o \rho a ́ \nu)$ VII. VIII. 3. $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \delta i \omega \nu$ VI. vi. 6. $\grave{e} \pi t-$ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ ias IV. xv. 6. і $\mu о$ ototpota VII. VIII. 4. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \kappa \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ III. v. Io. $\tau v \gamma \chi a \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon$ II. III. 4. $\epsilon \pi \tau \iota \mu \bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ IV. xi. 4. V. iv. 2: vi. 3. VIII. viif. 20: XI. 17, 22. коוข $\eta^{\prime}$ IV. viI. 4. V. 1. 3. тoteîtal IV. XII, 7. not-
 V. I. 4. тồ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s ~ I V . ~ x v . ~ ı о . ~$ $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \mu i a \nu ~ e ̇ \pi \iota \mu e ̀ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ IV. xvi. 4 . $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon a \iota$ II. v. 6. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI. xv . 3. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i_{a} / s$ VIII. xil. I. $\pi o \lambda \lambda$ às ä ä $\mu$ VI. xv. 8. $\tau$ às $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \pi \bar{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon \mu_{0}$ IV. II. I 7.
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta a \iota$ II. viII. 7: xi. I2. IV. xvi. 14. VI. xv. 9. $\tau \omega \bar{\omega} \pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ V. IV. I. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu \mu \in \lambda o v \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ V. v. 6.
 IV. xi. 12: xVII. I, 5, io. VI. xv. II.
 xvi. I.
 iє $\epsilon a ́$ VIII. vIII. I8. $̇ \pi \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau a ́ s$ VII. VIII. 5, 14 .
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu i \sigma \gamma \omega$. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu i \sigma \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad\left(\pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{-}\right.$入ous) IV. vi. 5.
$\epsilon \in \pi i \nu \epsilon \epsilon 0 \nu . \epsilon ̇ \pi i \nu \epsilon l a$ IV. vi. 5.

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \xi \in \nu \delta \omega \omega . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \xi \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV. vi. I.

$\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \lambda a$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda o \nu \mu \dot{e} \nu \omega \nu \dot{e} \pi i \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ II. VII. 21 .
$\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \delta \lambda \alpha \iota o s . ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \delta \lambda \alpha \iota o \nu(\psi \in \hat{v} \delta o s)$ III.


$\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \pi o ́ \nu \omega$ s. $\zeta$ گ̂̀ II. vi. 9 .
 II.
 VIII. 6. V. v. 9. VII. I. 3.
$\epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \psi \iota s$. ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu) \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \psi \iota \nu$ II. XII. II.
 II. vili. 22. IV. xvif. I. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa 0-$ $\pi \circ \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ VI. x. 2. $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \tau \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ III. xV. 2. IV. II, 12. VII. I. 4. VIII.

 xiII. 20. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi เ \sigma \kappa \in \mathfrak{e} \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ II. I. r: v. I. III. IV. I. vil. I. VII. I. 2.
 $\mu e ̀ v o t s$ III. v. 4 .
 $\sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$ I. VII. 4. III. IV. II. $\grave{\epsilon \pi} \pi-$ бтанévous VI. xiv. 6.
 ย̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau a \tau \omega ิ \nu$ VI. xv. 2.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$. (коьข̀̀ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota v)$ VIII. IX. 3 . $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ IV IV. xiII. 9. VI. I. 2, 3. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ V. vi. I4. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a \iota$ ( $\overline{\sigma \nu \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha i) ~ I . ~ V I I . ~ 4 . ~} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ II. viII. 18. III. XII. 4 : XIII. 2 I. $\epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \iota s$ III. xif. I. IV. II. I3: xiII. 2. VI. I. I.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \phi a \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} s . \quad \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \phi a \lambda \not{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ II. v. 25 : x. II.
 Iv. 28.
$\epsilon \pi i \tau a \xi_{\iota S}$ IV. III. 2.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{d} \tau \tau \omega$. $\grave{\pi} \pi \iota \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ I. VII. 4. III. xv.
 є̇ $\pi \iota \tau a \tau \tau о \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ IV. xiv. 7.

 viII. го. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{l} \nu_{0} \nu \tau a s$ VII. vi. 3. $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \tau a \theta \omega \hat{\omega} \iota \nu$ VIII. I. 9.
 $\tau \eta \delta \epsilon i a \nu$ IV. Iv, 3.

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon v \mu a . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \mathrm{IV}$. xiv. 8.
 $\tau \eta \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ III. v. 5 .

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau i \theta \epsilon \nu \tau a \iota$ VIII. III. $5:$ v. 7: vi. 8: viif. 7: x. 13, 23, 32, 34. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \tau \dot{\theta} \epsilon \nu \tau 0$ VIII. v. 8 . $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \tau 0$ II.
 тoîs $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII. XI. 20. $\epsilon \pi \iota$ $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ II. x. 15 . $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ III. xV. 12. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \notin \mu \in \nu O L$ II. viI. 18. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ VIII, XI. 32 . ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \hbar-$ $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu 0 \iota s$ IV. xi. 6, I2. VIII. x.
 $\theta_{\theta} \mu \in \in \nu=s$ II. x. 4. VIII. vi. 4 : x.
 $\mu$ èvous VIII. x. 20.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \omega . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \hat{q}$ III. xiv. เо. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota-$ $\mu \omega \bar{\omega} \iota$ V. vi. $5:$ VII. I4. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta-$

 13. 34, 37. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu$ III. xIII. 16. VI. IV. 30. $\epsilon \pi \tau \tau \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\partial} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$ II. IX. 33. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ èmıт $\mu \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ä $\nu$ II. XI. 5 .
$\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau i \mu \eta \sigma \iota s . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ V. vi. 5 .
$\epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \tau i \mu \iota o s . \quad \epsilon \pi \pi \iota \tau i \mu a$ VIII. viII. 20. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu i o s s$ VII. v. 4.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \in \pi \omega$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VIII. XI. 5.

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \rho \iota \tau o s(\pi v \theta \mu \dot{\eta} \nu)$ VIII. xII. 8 .

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \rho о \pi о \mathrm{I}$. viI. 5 . $\dot{\epsilon \pi i \tau \rho о \pi о \nu ~ V I I I . ~}$ XI. 24, 33.
 2. ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \cup \chi \dot{\eta}_{\kappa} \kappa \alpha \sigma \nu$ I. xI. 7.

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau a \iota$ VI. xvi. 3. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$ $\phi \epsilon \rho о \mu$ èvas diкas VIII. III. 4: v. 2.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \omega . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ VIII. XI. 16. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ II. v. 17. IV. xI. 12. VIII. vi. 9: x. 24 . Є̇тє $\chi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma a y$
 II. IX. II. VIII. I. Io. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \ell \chi \epsilon \iota \rho 0 \hat{\nu} \nu-$ $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{S}$ VIII. XI. 3I. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII. xI. 30. $\quad \ddot{\xi} \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu \bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho 0 \hat{\nu} \downarrow a s$ IV. VIII. 7. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ VIII. vil. 13 .
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \omega \rho \iota \alpha \dot{s} \omega . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \omega \rho l a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ V. vi. 12. é $\pi \iota \chi \omega \rho \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota$ IV. xvi. 6.
 II.
 кous VIII. iII. il i, i3: vi. 8.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi о \mu \dot{e} \nu \omega \mathrm{~S}$ VII. Iv. 15.
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\pi} \pi \tau о \mu a \iota . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \nLeftarrow \neq \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ VIII. VIII. 13.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\alpha}$ VIII. II. 4.

 III. IV. 2. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є̇ $\pi \omega \nu \nu \mu i a \nu$ калои́$\mu \in \nu_{0}$ IV. x. 5.
épavos. ëpavò IV. xiv. 5.
غ́рабтйs II. xII. 8.
 II. Iv. 2, 6. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ оу VIII. Iv. 2.


 23.
ép $\rho a \sigma i a$ II. vil. I2. $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \operatorname{lilias~V.~vi.~}$ 15. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$ ' $\operatorname{p} \rho \gamma a \sigma$ ias VII. v. 10. е́ $\rho \gamma a \sigma$ là IV. Iv. 3: v. 4: x. 13. V. 6. I5. (ai) $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\sigma la} \mathrm{\iota}$
 viil. 9. V. xiI. 2, 5. $\psi i \lambda a ́ s$ VII. viI. 3 .
 VI. iv. 8.
 XII. 4: xv. 8. IV. I. 13: II. 4: v. 5. V. v. 6. VII. v. I. VIII. xI. 12. $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ VII. IV. 12 .





 III. iv. 5. $\ddot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \varphi \mathrm{I}$. II. I3. IV. xi. 8. $\epsilon^{〔} \rho \gamma a$ II. v. io. III. Iv. 13. V. III. 7. VIII. xi. 9. $\tau \ddot{\alpha} \rho \gamma a$ III. xI. I4. $\hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \in i \tau a l ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a$
 16. V. II. 3. VII. VII. 3. $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma-$ $\kappa а i \omega \nu$ II. vi. 5. d́ $\rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{\nu}$ IV. viII. 6. $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa о \nu \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ IV. xIV. 7. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu i \omega \nu$ III. xI. 12. коцע $\omega \nu \in \mathrm{i} \nu$ V. VI. 3. ठià $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\ell} \rho \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ II. IX. 16. IV. I. 6. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon_{\rho} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ IV. IV. 7 . V. v. 18. $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ V. IV. 7. $\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \mathrm{avj} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ V. v. 23.


 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \delta^{\delta} \nu \nu \alpha \mu \nu \nu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ VIII. IX. I. (toîs) $\dot{\epsilon} \rho$ poots II. v. 3, I7. IV.
 VIII. v. 8: viII. I8.
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ II. viI. 3.
द́ $\rho \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ III. IV. 2.
ép $\ell \theta \epsilon i a$. ép $\ell \theta \epsilon i a \nu$ VIII. II. 6. $\tau$ às $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho \ell \theta \epsilon i a s$ VIII. III. 9.
 9.


$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho \nu \mu \nu o ́ s . \epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho v \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\prime} \pi \omega_{\nu \nu}$ IV. xI. 5.

$\dot{\epsilon} \rho v \mu \nu \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta s . \dot{\epsilon} \rho v \mu \nu \delta \tau \eta \tau o s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV. xI. 9.
 $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ VIII. Iv. 5. $\hat{j} \lambda \theta$ op VIII. III.
 VIII. iv. 2. $\quad$ € $\lambda \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII. vi. 8. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ II. VI. 8.
 31. єіторє III. xvi. I. єil $\eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ II. v. 18: VI. 4 : VII. I4. єip ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \alpha$ $\mu \in \nu$ VIII. x. 35* $\epsilon i^{\prime} \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ VII. I.
 II. v. I8. III. xIII. 17 : xiv. 13 .
 IV. xvi. 5. ìs $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \bar{p}$ II. v. 9. III. xi. if. VIII. I. 7: II. I: x. 4, 33: XI. I4. $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ III. I. 12 :
 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̀ \nu$ III. XI. 5. $\epsilon i \rho \eta \kappa \dot{\partial} \tau \epsilon$ S VIII. II. 2. $\epsilon i \pi \omega^{\nu} \nu$ II. viI. 17. єli ${ }^{2} \nu \tau o s$ VIII. x. 20. єimov̄ $a \nu$ VIII. xi. 3 . єїрךтає II. II. I: v. I5: vi. I8. III.
xi. 15 : xill. I: xvir. 6. VI. II, I: III. 4 : IV. 7, II. VIII. I. I, 2: viI.
 $\epsilon \pi i \quad \tau \sigma \sigma \circ \hat{\tau} \tau \circ \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma} \theta \omega$ VI. xv. 14 .
 עov III. xvi. io. VIII. II. 4. тoे $\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \dot{\iota} \nu 0 \nu$ VIII. VII. 9: IX. 5. $\epsilon i \rho \eta-$ $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$ III. xI. 6. VI. Iv. 7. $\epsilon i \rho \eta-$ $\mu \epsilon \in \nu a \iota$ II. I. I. V. vi. I4. $\quad \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ III. xim. 5. VI. iv. 7. VII. I. 3. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ oúd $\delta \dot{\nu}$ III. V. 2 . $\epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \dot{\ell} \nu \omega \nu$ III. xvil. 2. VIII. iv. 13. єipquèvocs IV. x. 8. VIII. I. I: II. 5; XI. 17. $\pi$ foòs $\tau 0 i ̂$ es $\epsilon i \rho \eta-$ $\mu e ́ v o \iota s$ VI. I. 7 . єi $\rho \eta \mu \in \in \nu a \iota s$ VIII. x. 38. єip $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ vous III. xiv. I. тapà
 $\tau$ às $\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \in ́ v a s$ III. viII. 5. $\dot{\rho} \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta$ VII. Iv. 5. $\dot{p} \eta \theta \in \nu \tau a$ III. II. 3 : Ix. 13. ( $\tau$ às $) ~ \dot{\rho} \eta \theta \in i \sigma a s$ III. viII. 6. ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \dot{\rho} \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III. xI. г $7 . \dot{\rho} \eta \theta \eta \sigma o-$ $\mu \in ̇ \nu \omega \nu$ II. VI. 22.
 IV. 10.
$\epsilon \rho \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\omega} . \epsilon \in \omega \tau \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ VIII. x. 16.
є́ $\rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} s . \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ VIII. IV. I: x. 18.
 '́ $\rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́ s$ VIII. xI. 29.
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ ク̀s. $\epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau o s$ I. IV. 4. II. viII. I. $\epsilon \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ III. XVI. 2. VI. I. 2: IX. 8.
 $\tau \mu \not \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ VII. viII. 20.
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i a \sigma \iota s(\sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho \eta \tau\langle ́ s)$ III. xv. 7. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ràs є́ $\sigma \tau \iota a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ VII. viI. 6.
 II.

 $\tau \omega \nu$ IV. I. 4.
éralpa. étaipaıs VIII. xI. 19.
 VIII. vi. 6. $\dot{\epsilon \pi i} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha a \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII. vi. 13.

є̇тaîpos VIII. rv. 2. є̇тaîpò II. xir. 7. є̇taipoıs II. v. 9.
ëтepos III. xI. I: xili. 12. VIII. iv. 4. ä $\tau \epsilon \rho o s$ VIII. Iv. 7: xI. 4. $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$

 $\rho o \nu$ VIII. IV. 2, 4. $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \varphi$ VI. XII.

 $\theta$ är $\tau \rho o \nu$ IV. vi. 3. $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho a \nu$ VIII. viII.




 II. v. 3. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \alpha \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon ́ \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ III. III. 9. $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \nu$ é $\tau \in \rho \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in a \nu$ IV. vi.

 $\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\tau} \rho \omega \nu$ VIII. vI. 14. $\pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon-$
pous IV. III. 8. $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s ~ \tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \tau ँ \delta \epsilon \iota$ III.

é $\tau$ é $\rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ II. vi. 8.
 4.
 єїкобиข IV. xvil. 15. ठ́̂ка III. v. 7. ( $\delta c \grave{a}) \pi^{\prime} \varphi \nu \tau \epsilon(\dot{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu)$ VIII. viI. 12. ध̈ $\tau \epsilon \sigma \iota$ II. v. 16. VIII. xi. Io: xil. 5.
$\epsilon \hat{v}$ III. xv. 6. IV. xIII. 3. VI. III. 8: ix. 6.

єúa $\gamma \omega \gamma$ ós. єủa $\gamma \omega \gamma$ oùs ( $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ עо $\mu_{0} 0 \hat{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ ) $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ IV. VII. 4.
є $\dot{\beta} \beta \dot{a} \sigma \tau а к \tau о \mathrm{I}$ I. IX. 8.



 áp $\chi$ aīos $\pi \lambda o \hat{\tau} \tau о s$ каl $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ VI. viII.
 עelas I. vi. 7. III. xiI. 5. єv่ $\boldsymbol{\text { eveía }}$

 III. xif. 5. VI. IV. 5.

 $\nu \omega \nu$ d́єтخे каl $\pi \lambda$ дoûtos VIII. І. 7 .
 poos III. XII. 4. єúzevєбтátous I. VI. 5.
 IX. 7: xIII. 4. Tò єúסaluoveîv $\epsilon \xi$
 $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a \iota \mu \circ \nu \grave{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV. xv. 5 .
 äpıбтov IV. viII. 5. є́̉oaıuovías III. IX. 6. IV. I. 10: II. 17: xill. 3.
 $\gamma \epsilon \iota a \nu \kappa a i \chi \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s$ IV.
 IV. II. I. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi u \lambda a ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ II. จ. 27. $\chi \omega \rho i s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ á $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \tau o \nu$ (ú $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ) IV. Ix. 3. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i a \nu \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \omega \bar{s}$ II. IX. 5 .
$\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a \not \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \mathrm{~S}$ III. IX. 14 .
$\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ IV. I. Іо. ка $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ IV. II. 16. єย́סaimova II. v. 27. є́̇סai$\mu_{\circ \nu a} \beta i o \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \mu \pi \delta \delta \iota-$ $\sigma \tau 0 \nu$ VI. xI. 3. $\epsilon$ édaluoves I. v. 28. IV. xiv. I8. єv̇סaípovas (каі $\mu а к а-$ piovs) VIII. xI. ${ }^{23}$. $\epsilon \dot{v} \delta \alpha, \mu \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha{ }^{-}$ $\tau \eta \nu$ IV. II. 2.
єúdid́d $\phi$ opos VIII. vi. io.


 $\mu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ VI. viI. 4. VIII. viI. 12.
 $\mu$ ớras IV. viI. I. єن̇ठокє $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma a$ VIII. Iv. 8. єঠ́סокцни́баעтєs VIII. rv. 9 .
$\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \in \epsilon \gamma \kappa \tau o s . \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \hat{\lambda} \epsilon \gamma \kappa \tau \alpha$ IV. xiv. 16.
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \epsilon \mu \beta o \lambda o s . \epsilon \cup \cup \epsilon ́ \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu(\chi \omega \rho \alpha \nu)$ IV．XI． 10.
 12.

$\epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \pi i \theta \epsilon \tau \sigma$（ $\dot{\delta} \mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \omega \nu$ ）VIII．XI． 24 ．
 $\gamma \in \sigma i a s$ III．xv，if．VIII．x． 7 ．
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \in \epsilon \omega$ ．$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{S}$ VIII．x． 8 ． $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \tau \eta s . \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \rho \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \tau a s(\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \mathrm{O}$ XIV． 12.

$\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \eta \partial \eta s . \epsilon \dot{v} \eta \dot{\partial} \theta \eta \pi a ́ \mu \pi a \nu$ II．vIII． 20.
$\epsilon \dot{\eta} \eta \epsilon \rho \in \epsilon \omega$ ．єن่ $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho \frac{\cup ิ \nu ~ V I I I . ~ V I I I . ~}{13 .}$
 рои̂̀тas VIII．XI．II．
 VI．5．$\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ aủ $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \dot{\cup} \eta \mu \epsilon \rho i \not q$ IV． II．7．єủ $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho i a \nu$ V．จ． 8.
 $\chi \omega ́ \rho \alpha \iota s$ I．XI．2．$\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \hat{v} \gamma \iota \nu 0 \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu 0 t s$ II． V． 26.
 III．XI．13．VI．XIV．3．VII．II． 5 ： Iv．6．$\epsilon \dot{u} \partial \dot{v} \nu a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VII． VIII． 2 I ，$\delta \iota \delta \delta \nu a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \theta v ́ v a s ~ I I . ~ I X . ~$ 26．III．XI．Io．
єủӨuvos．єủӨúvous VII．VIII． 16.
 XVI． 2.
$\epsilon u ̉ \theta u ́ \nu \omega$ ．єú $\theta \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ VII．Iv．4．тàs ả $\rho \chi \alpha ́ s$ II．IX． 26 ：XII． 5 ．
єuөús II．viI． 3,8 ， 12 ．III．IV． $6:$ xvi． 9．IV．XIII．4．V．II．2．VI．XI． 6. VIII．IV．9：V．3：X．3：XI． 23.

єủkalpws IV．xVI． 9.
єن́катафро́ $\eta$ тоs VIII．XI． 24 ：XII． 1. єикатафро́ $\eta \tau о \nu$ VIII．XI．22．єи́ка－ $\tau а ф \rho \delta д \eta \tau о \iota$ VIII．х．33．єขката－ фро⿱亠乂́тоия VIII．х． $3^{8 .}$
єикобนіа VII．viII．4．єن́кобцlas VI． xv．9．VII．VIII．22．єن่коб $\mu$ ia VII．VIII． 3 ．
єӥкратоs．єひ̈кратор VII．VI．I．
 VIII．22．$\epsilon \dot{u} \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ VIII．XI． 28.
$\epsilon v ̉ \lambda \beta \epsilon \neq \mu \alpha \iota$ ．$\epsilon \forall \lambda \lambda \beta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota$ II．IV．Io． VIII．IV．3：XI． 3 I．єن̉入aß $0 \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ II．IV．I．$\epsilon \dot{u} \lambda \alpha \beta c \nu \mu \epsilon \in \nu o u s$ VIII．v． 2.
$\epsilon u ̉ \lambda a \beta \eta{ }^{\prime} s . \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \lambda a \beta \epsilon i \hat{s}$ VII．v． 3.
$\epsilon \tilde{\partial} \lambda o \gamma o s . ~ \epsilon \dot{\partial} \lambda o \gamma o \nu$ II．XI．I2．III．xV． I2．IV．X．7：XVII．7．VI．VIII． 2. VIII．I． 6.
$\epsilon v ̉ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ s II．VIII．10：IX．1o．III．viI． 4：XII．7，8．V．II． 2 ：V．9，II．VI． IV． 30 ：XIII．II．$\notin \chi \in \iota$ V．VI． 13 ．
$\epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \iota a . \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \tau o \iota \alpha \cup ́ \tau \eta s ~ \zeta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ s III． III． 4 ．
$\epsilon \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} s . \epsilon \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \mu 0 v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ V．VII．I． $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon i \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 s(\pi \rho \partial ̀ s \tau \grave{\zeta} \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu)$ I．IX． 8 ． єǜvoıa I．VI． 4.
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \nu \nu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$ ．$\epsilon \dot{v} \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．I．I．IV．IV．

7．VI．VIII．5．єu่ $\nu o \mu o v \mu \in ́ \nu \eta \nu$ VI． VIII．5．єủvo $\mu о \cup \mu \epsilon ́ v a \iota s ~ I V . ~ V I . ~ I . ~$
є $\dot{\nu} \nu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ia VI．VIII． 5.
єن้̉оцias фроутíjovat III．IX． 8. єivouíav IV．VI．1．VI．viil． 6. єủvoulà єủ $\alpha$ そ̌ian IV．IV． 8.
єüvous VII．v．4．VIII．XI．ィ1．єర้̈นouv V1I．v． 10.

 viII． 16 ．
 V． 4 ．
 8．єủாорへ̂б८ VI．xV．3．VIII．VII．

 $\sigma a \nu \tau \alpha$ I．XI． 9.
єủmopia VII．V．8．єи̉mopla $\mu \iota \sigma \theta$ ô VII．II．6．єủmoplas VIII．VIII．Io． $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ov̉ $\begin{aligned} & i \alpha \\ & \nu \\ & \text { IV．v．} 2 . ~(\tau \hat{\eta})\end{aligned}$ єن̉тopíq III．vIII．4．єủmopià IV． Ix．7．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa a i \omega \nu$ IV．VI． 2. $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ IV．VIII．7．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon \dot{v}$－ $\pi о р i ́ \alpha \nu \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．XI．Io．$̇ \downarrow \nu \tau \alpha i ̂ s$ єúroplaıs VIII．VII．7．єv̉ropias $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \delta \omega \nu$ VI．VI．5．$\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \epsilon \dot{v}-$ тopias V．VI．II．émi $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ єúmopias IV．XIII． 6.
єйтороs．тঠ̀ єйтород IV．VIII．9．VIII． xII．16．єйторо८ VII．v．6．VIII． I． $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ：III．5．oi єümopot $\sigma \phi \dot{\delta} \delta \rho a$ VI．XI，4．oi $\pi \lambda$ tious єümopo九 III． VIII．3．oi $\epsilon$ Üтороц $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \alpha \dot{\gamma} \alpha-$ $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ооко勹ิбь катє́ $\chi \epsilon \nu$ đஸ́paע VI． VIII．8．єu่ $\pi \dot{\prime} \rho \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ II．XII．6．III． VII．5．VI．III．I，5：IV．3．VII． II．2：III．3．VIII．IV．6：v． 9 ： IX．9：XI． 32 ．$\epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{U} \pi \delta \delta \rho \omega$ II． VI．19．$\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ a $\dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \delta \rho \omega \nu$ VIII． VI．2．toîs єủrópoıs III．XVII． 4. VI．xiII．r．VII．v．8．VIII． VIII．14．єن̇mópous VI．III．I．VIII． IV．4：VI．8．ठ кало仑̂ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ єن̉тópous IV．IV．I5．$\tau 0$＇̀s $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ єن̉тópous，
 III．I．$\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \mathrm{~s} \epsilon \dot{u} \pi \frac{\rho}{} \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \circ \iota s$ II．VI． 19 ． VI．VIII． 3 ．
 （ $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota) \tau \alpha u ̋ \tau \delta \nu(\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{v} \nu a \tau o \nu)$ IV．III．I．
$\epsilon \dot{u} \pi \rho a \xi i a . \dot{\eta} \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \rho a \xi i a \tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s$ IV．III． 8.
$\epsilon \dot{\cup} \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \pi \circ$（ $\nu 0 \mu \circ \theta \epsilon \sigma i \alpha)$ II．V． 1 I．
 II，VIII．1．VIII．IV．4．єüpot V． IV．8．є $\cup \dot{\cup} \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ II．v．23．III．xv． If．VII．III．6．єن́рíкоขта I．XI． 12．$\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．VIII．6．$\tau 0$ îs $\epsilon \dot{\cup} \rho i \sigma \kappa o v \sigma \iota$ II．VIII． 16 ．єن́poû $\sigma \alpha$ V．vi．13．єن̈́p $\ddagger \tau \alpha \iota$ II．v．ı6．IV． XI．12．є $\dot{\cup} \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota \varsigma$ IV．х． 7. $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ єis d $\alpha \rho i \beta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ IV．xI． 9.
$\epsilon$ є̂pos．$\tau \partial ̀ \nu є \cup \hat{\rho} \rho o \nu$ VI．III． 7.
$\epsilon v \check{\prime} \rho v \theta \mu \sigma s . \epsilon \check{v} \rho v \theta \mu o \nu$ V．VII．．．
 xiI． 6.
єن̇бúvo 1．6．（ $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \dot{v} \nu 0 \pi \tau o \nu) \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ IV． v．3．єن̇бvขó II．XII． 9 ．

 छiap IV．iv．8．VI．viil．i．
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \hat{s}$ ढे $\sigma \iota$ II．XI．4．oú $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ IV．xVII．I3．
єйтодоз IV．XI， 6. єїтоноу IV． xI． 7 ．
 VI．xI．4．$\epsilon^{\dot{\nu}} \dot{\nu} \dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon u ̛ \tau v \chi \eta$－ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ VI．XI． 6.
єürvxia VI．xi．ir．єútvxias IV．xv． 3．єúrvđià IV．I．io．VIII．vi．I7： VIII．I2．
$\epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho a i \nu \omega$ ．$\epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho a i \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ V．V．II．$\epsilon \dot{v}-$ $\phi \rho a \iota \nu 0 \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ V．III． 9.

 III．15．$\kappa \in \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \nu \alpha$ IV．vi． 5 ．
є $\quad$ रupis IV．xiI． 4.
$\epsilon \dot{\chi} є i \mu \epsilon \rho о s . \epsilon \dot{\chi} \in \epsilon \dot{\mu} \epsilon \rho \circ$ IV．xI． 2.
 IV．XIII． 13.

 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ IV．xviI． 8.
$\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \dot{\eta}$ III．xvi．Io．$\epsilon \dot{\sim} \chi \hat{\eta} s$ I．Ix．II．


 I．к $\alpha \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \dot{\partial} \chi \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ IV．XIII． 9．$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \tau$＇є $\grave{\chi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu ~ V I . ~}$ xI．I．
 VI．iII．9．$\epsilon \ddot{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．xI．I． єن̇ðouévous IV．Iv． 2.




 II．IX． 2.
$\epsilon \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s . \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$ VI．xiv． 1.
 VIII．I．I．
${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \eta \beta$ os．${ }^{\prime} \phi \dot{\prime} \eta \beta \omega \nu$ VII．viII．I3．
$\epsilon \phi \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{s}$ ．є $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ ．I．II． 5.
 II．จ．19．є́фiєขтає IV．хІІІ． 3 ． VII．I．10．VIII．x．14．＇́ $\phi t \epsilon^{\prime}-$ $\mu \in \nu=0$ VIII．II． 2.
 $\sigma \tau \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu о \nu$ VI．vi．2．$\epsilon \pi \pi t-$ $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \iota \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ IV．xVI．12．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi t-$ $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau a s$ IV．xvil．I2．ধ́ф＇́б $\sigma \eta \kappa \epsilon$ $\nu \hat{\nu} \dot{\cos } \lambda$ dóos III．xvi．I．

 VII．vili． 3.
 IX．9．$\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \phi о \rho \epsilon i \not \subset ~ I I . ~ I X . ~ 26 . ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́ $\phi$ орєіà II．IX．22．VIII．I．Io．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


 $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ II．vi．17：IX．24：x． 9. VIII．xi．2．（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu) \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$ ä̀入入as III．I．ıo．toìs é $\phi$ ópocs II． xI．3．тoîs éфо́poıs $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \mu a \tau o ́ s ~ \tau \iota ~ I I . ~$ x．I2．Toùs é $\phi$ ópous II．vi． 17.

 IV．x．12．é $\chi \theta \rho a \nu$ VIII．v．Io．
＇́ $\chi \theta \rho \sigma$ s．$\dot{e} \chi \theta \rho o t$ II．Ix．3．oi $\epsilon \in \chi \theta \rho o t$ VIII．vi． 15 ．toîs é $\chi \theta \rho$ oîs III． xvi．7．VI．xI．7．тoùs é $\chi \theta$ 位ús II．Ix．30．$\epsilon \in \chi$ Өig $\sigma o u s$ VIII．v．ı．
 ṫvavtias VIII．XI．17．кака VIII． x．II．ка入ติs III．xiv．i．VI．II．
 $\tau \rho \sigma \pi о \nu$ III．хIII．20．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \notin \chi \epsilon \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$
 xv．2．＇̇́Xovat III．XI．9．VII． IV． 4 ：vili．20．VIII．I．5：III．I：
 VIII．xi．3I．${ }^{*} \xi \epsilon \epsilon$ II．v．5．III． xv．13．IV．IV．9．＂゙૬ovat II． viiI．II．VII．III．I．${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \omega \sigma \iota \delta \eta$－

 I．II：II．2：VIII．4．iкavês VIII． Ix．8．ка入ิิs II．I．I．к $\alpha \lambda \lambda \iota o \nu$ III． xI．4．oütc II．II．6．$\pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon}^{\circ} \nu$ VIII． II．2．$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ a⿱宀寸犬 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．viI．8．Tò
 è $\chi \in \iota \nu$ ठê̂ II．VI．I．$\quad$ ồ aủzoo＇s ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \nu \pi \omega s$ VIII．II．2．${ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \omega \nu$ VIII．

 xI．25．＇̇Хоขтá $\gamma \in$ III．x．5．$\mu \eta \delta$ ঠ̀̀

 VIII．II．I．$\epsilon \chi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ т $̀ \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\partial} \delta a l-$ $\mu o v i a \nu$ II．v． 27 ．$\dot{\omega}$ ä̀ $\nu$ кal $\mu \grave{\eta}$ éx $\delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．viI．I6．тoîs＇̀ $\chi$ ovat III．xvi．6．＇̇ $\chi$ oú $\sigma a s$ VIII．v． 5.
 III．XI．15．VII．viII．4．é $\chi$ ó $\mu \in \nu_{0} \nu$ II．v．I．III．I． 8 ：IV．I ：VII． I．IV．I．II．VIII．viII．I．$\epsilon^{\prime} \chi^{0-}$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ VII．Vi．3．$\alpha \dot{\partial} \tau \eta$ ท̂s III．xi． 6. éxouèv ${ }^{2}$ II．v． 23 ．
${ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \omega \theta \in \nu$ VIII．xi． 23 ．
ëch II．viI．19．VIII．v． 4 ：vi． 3. $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ é $\omega$ IV．xI． 2.

## Z．

$\zeta a ́ \omega . \zeta \hat{n}$ VII．iv．I．VIII．Ix． $\mathrm{I}_{5}$.

$\rho^{\prime} \omega_{\mathrm{s}}$ IV．II．v．$\zeta^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \nu \mathrm{IV}$ I III．9：viII．

 Ix．6．$\zeta_{\hat{\eta} \nu}^{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \omega \mathrm{S}$ VII．Iv． 20. $\gamma \lambda i \sigma \chi \rho \omega$ II．viI．7．$\quad \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \theta \theta \epsilon \rho i \omega s$ каi $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \delta \nu \omega \mathrm{s}$ IV．v．I．$\epsilon \hat{v}$ ．III．IX．6， 12．IV．IV．II．$\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a \not \mu \delta \nu \omega \mathrm{~S}$ IV．I． 6．$\epsilon \dot{v} \delta a \iota \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \bar{s} \kappa \alpha \hat{\imath} \kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{s}$ III．IX．I4． $\kappa a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ II．І．І．ка入ิิs III．vi． 3．IV．xiv．I8．дакарішs V．III．
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ II．viII．I．$\pi \rho$ оेs $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon і a \nu$ VIII．IX．І6．$\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \partial \nu$ $\beta i o \nu$ II．vi．7．$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \delta \nu \omega s$ II．vi． 8. ஸ́s $\beta$ oú $\epsilon \tau \tau a i$ ris VII．II．3． $3 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a$ III．v．5．ऽผ̂vtes III．IV． 12. VIII．vi．8：x．33．јติขтєя како－ $\pi a \theta \omega \hat{s}$ II．IX．4．$\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a s$ VIII．

$\zeta \in v \gamma i \tau \eta s . \zeta \in \nu \gamma \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ II，XII． 6.
sє́申ирод VII．III． 7.

 IX．2．VIII．II．3．à aотivet II． XII．13．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \kappa \kappa \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI．xIII．I．
$\zeta \eta \mu \iota \omega \omega . \quad є \varsigma \eta \mu i \omega \sigma \in \nu$ VIII．Iv．7．$\zeta \eta-$ $\mu \omega \sigma \sigma \nu \tau a \iota$ VII．v． 3 ．$\zeta \eta \mu \iota \circ \dot{\sigma} \theta \omega$ IV．xVI． 18.
$\zeta \eta \mu i \omega \sigma \iota s . \zeta \eta \mu \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ VI，xvi． 2.
$\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \omega$ ．$\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i$ I．xII．2．VI．IV． 27.
 viII．2r．III．XIII．II：xvi． 8. IV．I．5．V．v．I4．VII．I．Io： III．6：VII．7．VIII．I．4：vi．8：
 II．$\zeta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ VI．xII．5．IV．xiv． 21．$\check{\eta} \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \iota \epsilon \nu$ ä̀ III．xvi． 7. $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ II．I．I：II．8．III．xvi．it． IV．VII．9：x．8．V．III．2， 12 ： VII．2．VII．VIII．I2．گŋTô̂vtes III．xvi．i8．VIII，i，ir．VIII． VI．7．گŋтои́ $\mu \in \nu=\nu$ I．xIII． 3.
らグ $\quad \eta \sigma \iota$ V．v．9．$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ àmopias III． III．3．$\zeta \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ III．IV．I：xv． 3．$\check{\zeta} \eta \tau \eta \sigma \iota$ IV．I．I．
$\zeta \eta \tau \eta \tau \notin ́ o s ~ I I I . ~ I . ~ 2 . ~ \zeta \eta \tau \eta \tau \epsilon ́ o \nu ~ I I . ~ v i I . ~$ 21．V．III．3：V． 15.

$j \omega \dot{\eta} . j \omega \hat{\eta} s \dot{a}^{\gamma} \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} s$ IV．II．17．$\dot{a} \rho l \sigma \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$
 d́рiбтךs IV．viII．4．duтаркєià III．I．I2．кotvaviay III．VI． 2. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s$ III．IX．I2．$\zeta \omega \eta \eta^{\nu}$ III． xiII．I．IV．viII．8：xvi． 15 ． ai $\rho \epsilon \tau \omega \tau$ át $\eta \nu$ III．xviII．s．
$\zeta \hat{\varphi} o \nu$. I．II．IO．III．XIII．2I．IV． II．I5．VI．IV．8．$\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s \epsilon \in \psi v$－

 8，14．VII．Iv．8，14．VIII． III．6．$\zeta \dot{\varphi} \omega \nu$ I．VIII．4．II．III． 9 ． III．Ix．6．IV．IV．9：xiII．it： xvir．I．V．vi．8．VI．Iv． 8.
§ஸ́os I．II．2．IV．xvi．6．V． iv． 2.

$\zeta \varphi \delta \phi a \gamma o s . ~ \zeta \varphi o \phi a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ I．vili． 5.

## H．

$\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta$ ．$\ddot{\eta} \beta \eta$ s IV．xvir．I5．V．IV． 7， 9.
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu_{0 \nu i a} \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ одias III．xiv． 12. VIII．IV．8．$\epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \circ \nu i a$ VI．XI． 18．＇$\phi^{\prime}$＇$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ оиía VI．XI． 19. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o \nu i a \nu$ III．XIV．I3．ката̀ $\pi \dot{\delta}$－ $\lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ II．x．6．$\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ III． xVII． 4.
 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \mu$ огкои́s VIII．VIII．5．$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon-$ $\mu о \nu \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu$ I．xII．I．
$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega{ }^{\prime}$ III．XIV．3．VIII．x． 20. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \partial \nu$ оs VIII．XI． 10 ．$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \delta \nu a$ VIII．vi．I．$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \nu$ VIII．IV． 3 ： XII． 17.

そ̆ $\delta \eta$ I．XI．2．II．VIII．15．III．I． 12：VII．4：xVII． 3 ：XVIII．2．IV． II．3．VIII．II．2：x． 37.
$\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \dot{\eta} ., \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta ิ s$ II．IV．3．V．vi．${ }^{15}$ ． $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} s$ V．III． $5:$ vII． 5. $\grave{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} s \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$ V．III．2．$\dot{\eta} \delta \sigma \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \overline{\mathrm{V}}$ ． III．4．$\pi \rho \bar{s}{ }^{\circ} \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ II．v． 8. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \tau v \chi o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta \eta^{\nu}$ V．v． 13. $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．IX．${ }_{24}^{4}$ ． $\dot{\eta} \delta o v a i ̂ s ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \nu \epsilon v ~ \lambda v \pi \omega ิ \nu$ II．vII． 12 ． ท̀ oo ovás VIII．x． 3 I．
$\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega$ ．$\dot{\eta} \delta \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ фú $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ V．v．${ }^{2} 5$.
$\dot{\eta} \delta u ́ s$. xI．6．$\eta \delta \delta \iota \nu$ VII．IV．2．$\quad \eta \delta \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ II．v． 9 ．
$\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \dot{\prime} s$ V．v． $2 \mathrm{I} . \dot{\eta} \theta c \kappa \delta \nu$ V．vi． 9. $\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．XII．I．V．v．IS． $\dot{\eta} \theta$ cкoîs $̇$ è $\nu$ roîs II．II，4．III．Ix． 3．IV．xiII．5．VI．xI．3．
 VII．3．$\dot{\eta} \theta \iota \kappa \omega \tau a ́ \tau \alpha \iota s$ V．viI． 3.1
$\hat{\eta} \theta o s$ IV．I． 6 ．V．v． 23 ．VI．iv． 28：v．3．VIII．XI． 27,34 ．${ }^{2} \nu$－

 v．3．$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ V．v．23．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$
 тєías V．I．2．${ }^{\eta} \theta o u s \pi a ́ \theta o s ~ V . v . ~$. 16．$\ddot{\eta} \theta \eta$ III．XI． $2:$ xiv． 6 ．V． v． 7,16 ．VII．I．10．$\hat{\eta} \theta \in \sigma \iota$ II． v．5．V．IV．2：v．15，17， 20. VIII．xi．i．
$\ddot{\eta} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ II．III．4，\＆c．

$\dot{\eta} \lambda i \theta c o s . \dot{\eta} \lambda\langle\theta \iota o \nu$ III．xv． 4.
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a$ ．$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a s$ III．xi．I6．IV．xiv． 5．VI．IX．8．VII．viI． 3. $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i q$ VIII．х．І8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ V． vil．15．$\dot{\eta}$ 入єкian II．xI．4．III．I． 5．IV．xvi．5，9：xvil．4．V．iv．

9：v．25．VIII．x．16：XI． 28. $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa\left\{a \nu \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \omega \sigma \iota\right.$ IV，xviI．II．$\kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\eta} \lambda c \kappa l a \nu \quad \dot{a} \rho \chi \chi_{\mu} \mu \nu$ оs IV．xiv． 5. $\dot{\eta} \lambda c k l a i s$ IV．xvi．2，3．V．v． 4 ： vii．I3．$\dot{\eta} \lambda$ ckias IV．xif． 5 ：xiv． 14：XVII． 5 ．
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \omega \in \tau \eta s . \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \alpha \alpha$ IS IV．xvi． 3.

$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a$ ．$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho a s \dot{\eta}^{\mu} \mu \sigma \epsilon$ 应 IV．x． 3 ． $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho q$ VIII．XII．9．$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$ III． III．5．$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a \nu$ IV．xvi．I4． VIII．xi．8．$\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \notin \rho \rho \alpha \nu \beta$ los II． vi．I7．$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s(\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ s)$ VIII．xi． 23.
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$\eta ँ \mu \tau \sigma v$ ．${ }^{\eta} \mu \iota \sigma \nu$ I．xIII． 16 ．II．Ix． 5．VIII．Iv．3．$\dot{\eta} \mu i \sigma \eta$ VIII． 1 ． 12.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \ell \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o s . \quad \dot{\eta} \mu i \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$ VIII．хI． 34.

$\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \delta ́ s . \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$ V．IV． 3.
$\dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \mu$ V．v． 20.
$\ddot{\eta} \rho \omega \iota \kappa$ ós．toùs $\dot{\eta} \rho \omega \iota \kappa 0$ ús $\chi \rho o ́ v o u s ~ I I I . ~$ xiv．II， 14.
 xiv． 2.
$\dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi \alpha ́ s \omega$ ．$\dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi \alpha ́ \varsigma \epsilon \epsilon$ II，IX．21．$\dot{\eta} \sigma v-$ $\chi \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ II．x． 12.
$\dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi i a$ ．（ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ）$\dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi$ las $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \nu \not \partial \mu \omega \nu$ II． xi．I6．$\dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \chi i a \nu \notin \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VI．xiII． 8.
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グто III．vi． 7.

认ेт $\tau \tau 0 \nu$ II．III．4．III．XI，II．VI．I． 2：II．3：IV．Io．VIII．III．8：v． II：XI． 20.
$\ddot{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ ．$\ddot{\eta} \tau \tau \omega$ ．III．xv．16．$\eta^{2} \tau \tau o v s$ II．VII．20．VII．III．6．VIII． viI． 2.

## $\theta$.

$\theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a . ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \tau \tau \eta$ IV．VI．9：xI．I． $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \theta a \lambda d \tau \tau \eta s$ IV． vi．2．$\theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$ II．x．3．$\theta \alpha ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a \nu$ II．x．3．IV．v．3．VI．Iv．21．VIII． iv． 8.
 3．$\theta$ ávatoy II．viII．4．VIII．x． 28.
$\theta$ âtrod II．xI．14．III．xiil．19．VIII． VI． 17.
$\theta a \nu \mu a ́ s \omega . \theta a \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．xI． 23.
өav $\mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota o s . ~ \theta a v \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota a$ V．vi． 7.
$\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau b s$ s．$\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ I．xIII．5．$\theta a v-$ $\mu a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ II．v．II．
$\theta \epsilon \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \iota$ ．$\theta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ V．v．19．$\theta \epsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \dot{-}$ $\sigma \theta \alpha_{\iota}$ IV．II． 17 ．
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$\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega$ VI．xI．9．$\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota$ VIII．Iv．in． $\theta$ é ${ }^{\prime}$ ovaı II．vi．22．VIII．iv． 10. $\theta \in \lambda \eta$ II．Ix． 15.
$\theta \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \omega . \quad \theta \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota$ I．II． 7.
$\theta \epsilon$ ós I．II．14．IV．iII．10．$\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ II． v．26．$\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ IV．I．го．$\theta \in \dot{\partial} \nu$ III．
 xiII．I3．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon_{0} \nu$ V．VI．I4．$\theta \epsilon o l$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \dot{o} \rho \nu \tau a l$ I．II．7．$\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu$ I．XII． 3．VIII．XI．25．$\theta$ goîs IV．xiI． 8 ： xvii．io．$\theta$ eoús IV．Ix．9：xiv． 2. VII．viII．I8．$\pi \rho$ d̀s toùs $\theta$ єoós II．
 3，14．VIII．XI． 25.
$\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i a . \quad \theta \in \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon l a \nu$ III．xvi．7．à $\pi 0^{-}$ סıṓva IV．Ix． 9.
$\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s . \quad \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ IV IV．xvi． 12.
$\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \dot{́} \omega$ ．$\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v o \mu \hat{v} \nu o u s$ IV．II． 13.
$\theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ ．$\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi о \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ II．III．4．$\theta \epsilon \rho a-$ $\pi$ б́дт $\omega \nu$ II．v．4：VI． 6.

$\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \dot{\tau} \eta$ у．$\theta \epsilon \rho \mu$ о́т $\eta \tau а$ IV．хviI． 3.
$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \iota s$ ．$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s} \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \mathrm{VI}$ ．xiv．4．$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \nu$ VII．IV．I4．$\alpha \dot{v} \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \grave{s}$ aùv̂̂s IV． xI．I．$(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu) \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ IV．xII． 3. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega \overline{\mathrm{S}}$ IV． $\mathrm{\nabla}$ ． 3 ．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\partial} \mu \omega \nu$ $\theta \in ́ \sigma \epsilon \in$ VI．I．in．
$\theta \epsilon \tau \notin o s$ III．v．I．
$\theta \epsilon \tau \in \in o \nu$ II．viII．18：xi．7．III．III． 4 ： xiII．13：xv．io．IV．II．3．V．viI． 6．VI．iv．14．VIII．II．2：x． 35 ． $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \pi$ mo久ías III．v．i．
$\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \alpha$ VI．iv． 15.
$\theta \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa$ ós．$\theta \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa$ oús $\nu$ dó $\mu$ ovs II．xil．io．
 $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ II．VII．23．$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ IV．II． 12：XVI．II：xVII．9．V．v．2I．VI． I．4，6：xIV．I．VIII．v．I．$\theta \in \omega \rho \hat{\eta}-$ бal II．I．I．III．XV．3．VI．I．ı，3： II．I．$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．v．5．тois $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \circ \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ III．Ix．I I．$\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \omega \cdot$ $\rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ VII．v．2．$\tau \in \theta \in \omega \rho \eta \mu \in \downarrow \alpha$ II． XII． 14.
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \in \in \circ \nu$ IV．xv． 6.
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta \delta_{s}^{s}$ IV．II． $5:$ xiv．1o．$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta-$ $\tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ V．III． 12.
$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a$ V．vi．9．$\quad \theta \epsilon \omega \rho$ las IV．II．4： iiI．8．$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \mathfrak{l a \nu}$ V．v．19， 2 I．$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{c}_{-}^{-}$ $\theta \epsilon \rho o \nu$ I．xi．I．$\theta \epsilon \omega \rho l a s$ V．vil． 5. VII．VIII．22．VIII．x． 5 ．
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 IV．VIII． 5.
$\theta \dot{p} \rho \iota o \nu$ I．II．I4．III．xvi．5．$\theta \eta \rho i \omega \nu$ I． VIII． 7 ．II．v．24．III．xI．5．V．Iv． 5.
 V．iv．i．
$\theta$ भोs．$\theta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ $\pi$ o入ì $\tau \eta \nu$ III．v．6．$\theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ III．v．4．$\theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ V．viI． 6.
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 V．if．6．VI，iv．io．VII．i．8：iv． 12：viI．I．$\beta i o v$ III．v．5．$\quad$ өךtı－ $\kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \rho a \nu$ V．vi． 55.
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$\theta_{0} i \nu \eta$ ．$\theta_{0} i \nu \eta \nu$ III．xi．I4．IV．II．I5．
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ep díos VIII．x． 25.
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 $\tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ VIII．х．і $7 . \quad \theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s$ VIII． Iv． 6.
$\theta v \mu \sigma \epsilon i \delta \eta \eta_{s}$ ．$\theta v \mu \rho \epsilon i \delta \epsilon i s$ IV．viI．4．$\theta v \mu o-$ $\epsilon \iota \delta \omega \hat{\nu}$ IV．x．Iз．$\theta \nu \mu \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \in \iota \iota$ II．v． 25.
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$i \pi \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \not \mu o s . i \pi \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \mu \nu \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ VII．VII． I．
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$\kappa \alpha \theta о \mu \nu \lambda \epsilon \epsilon . к а \theta о \mu \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ VIII．xI． 33.
$\kappa а Ө о р \alpha ́ \omega . к а т ь \delta о \iota ~ I I I . ~ і у . ~ і г . ~$
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кацрофи入актє́ต．кацрофи入актои̂vтаs V ． III． 4.
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какотра $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime a}$ VI．xI．：4．

какós．какои̂ тıроs IV．xiII．7．како́д VI．xil．6．VIII．I．i5．ó $\tau \iota \not \partial \nu$
 v． 13.
какоvр $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega$ ．какоир $\bar{\eta} \neq \alpha \iota$ VIII．viII． 7. какоирүіа．какоирүіад II．vi．I3．VI． XI． 5 ．
какоиิр $о$ к．какоиิрүо九 VI．xi． 5 ．
какб́ш．какои̂̀ VIII．х．іा．
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кá入入оs III．XII． 5 ：XIII．2I．VI．IV． 4.
$\kappa а \lambda \lambda \omega \pi i \zeta \omega . \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \iota \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s$ IV．хı． 8.
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$\kappa а \lambda o ́ s . ~ к а \lambda o ́ v ~ I I . ~ I I I . ~ 3 . ~ I V . ~ I I I . ~ 6 . ~ V . ~$
 $\gamma_{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \iota$ IV．iv．8．$\kappa \alpha \lambda о \hat{\vartheta}$ VI．iv． 12.
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ка́ $\mu \nu \omega$ ．ка́ $\mu \nu о \nu \tau \alpha$ III．XI．10．ка́ $\mu$－ родтєs III．xvi． 8 ．
$\kappa а \nu \omega ́ \nu$ II．х．Із．
кал $\eta \lambda \epsilon i ́ a . ~ к а \pi \eta \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ I . ~ v i I I . ~ 8 . ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$ кал $\eta$ леіаs VI．IV． 10.
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картєрє́ต．картєрои̂б九 III．VI．5．кар－ $\tau \in \rho \in i ̂ \nu$ II．IX． 24.
картєрько́s．картєрєкйр（то入८ข）II．IX． 6．IV．xv． 2.
ката́．ката́ $\gamma \in$ III．хІІ．6．ката̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau o \iota o ̛ ́ \tau \omega \nu$ III．XIII．I4．
 тoútous IV．xvi． 5.
$\kappa а \tau а \beta a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega . к а \tau \alpha \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \notin \nu a \iota$ V．II． 6.
$\kappa a \tau a \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{~V}$ ．III． 1 I.

катаүорєи́ш．катаүорєи́єє VIII．xi． 15.
$\kappa \alpha \tau a ̉ \gamma \omega$ ．кат $\hat{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon$ VIII．х．$\frac{1}{} 8$.
 XII． 18.
 14．катаঠぇкá̧oı $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ II．vIII． 5．катабька́баs II．viII．I5． катабєкабаута II．VIII．I5．катабь－ $\kappa а \check{\zeta} о \mu$ év $\omega \nu$ VII．v．3．катабька－ $\sigma \theta \epsilon \ell \tau \omega \nu$ VII．viII． 8.
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 V．viI． 4.
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 11.
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 26.
 xiv．15．катаұпфєіттаı VII．v． 3.

ка́тєєц．катіортєs VIII．v． 4.
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\jmath}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota$ VIII．х． 6.
$\kappa а т є \rho \chi о \mu \alpha \iota . ~ к а \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta о ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII．v． 3.
катє́ $\chi \omega$ ．катє́ $\chi$ оиб८้ VIII．Iv．із． $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho o s$ III．IV．I2．кат $\chi \chi \in \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} s$ VII．vii．5．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu$ VIII．IV．
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A．$P$ ．

кє̂̂นa九．кє̂̂бөa九 III．xv．6．IV． XI．4：XIII． $2 . \quad \kappa а \lambda \hat{\omega}$ II．х． 3. IV．v．3．$\epsilon \dot{v}$ кєî̃ $\theta a \iota$ тoùs $\nu \dot{\text { ó }}$ $\mu o u s$ VI．viII．5．$\kappa \in \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu \nu$（ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha}$ тoîs $\pi \lambda$ eiotocs）IV．II．9．$\pi$ a $\rho \mathrm{à}$ тoîs mo入入oîs VII．IV．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кєє－ $\mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ III．xvi．5．кє $\mu \not \mu^{\prime} \nu o u s$ II．IV． 5．III．xI．19， 20.
$\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ́ \omega$ ．кє入єúध $\frac{1}{}$ III．xvI．5．кє入єย́шv III．xvi．5．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ II．VII． 17. $\kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau o s$ VIII．x．2I．кє入єv－ $\sigma \theta \in \nu$ I．IV． 3.
$\kappa \in \nu$ б́s．кєขóv II．viII． 5 ．
кєрацєús．̀̀s кєрацє̂̂ кєрацєús VIII． x． 30 ．
 $\kappa є \kappa \rho а \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ S VI．III．8．$\epsilon \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon}-$ pats VIII．VIII． 2.
$\kappa є \rho \delta \alpha i \nu \omega$ ．кєрбаivєєข II．XI．I2．VIII． viII．I5，18．$\mu \notin \gamma a \lambda \alpha$ VII．vili． 9.
$\kappa \epsilon \in \rho \delta o s$ VIII．II．3，5：III．1：viII．5：
 VIII．x．26．кє $\delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．viil． 16.
$\kappa є \rho \kappa \iota \delta о \pi о и ̈ к \eta$ I．VIII．I．
$\kappa \epsilon \rho \kappa i \zeta \omega . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \rho \kappa \iota \zeta \bar{\sigma} \nu$ I．Iv． 3.
$\kappa є \rho \kappa i s . к є \rho \kappa i \delta \epsilon$ I．Iv． 3 ．
кєфа́лаเov．èv кєфалаіоьs VIII．x． 35.
$\kappa є \phi a \lambda \eta$ ．катдे кєфа入и́v $\epsilon i \sigma \phi \notin \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ II． x． 7 ．
$\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon i a$ ．к $\eta \delta \epsilon i a s$ VIII．Iv． $5:$ vir．ıо． $\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon i a \nu$ II．III．7．кךঠєîa III．Іх． 13.
$\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ VIII．x． 32.

 $\delta\langle\mu \epsilon \nu o t ~ \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o v a \iota \nu V I I I . ~ x I . ~ 31 . ~$
 v． 3 ．
$\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho \nu \xi I^{\prime}$ IV．IV．Ir．ки́рика III．xiII． 17．ки́рикєs VI．xv． 3.
$\kappa \iota \theta \alpha ́ \rho \alpha$ ．кı $\theta \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho a \nu$ V．vi． 9.
$\kappa \iota \theta a \rho i \zeta \omega . \kappa \iota \theta a \rho i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon$ V．v．8．є́кıөó－ $\rho i \zeta \epsilon \nu$ I．IV．3．$\kappa \iota \theta \alpha \rho i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho b \nu$ IV．xili． 8.
$\kappa \kappa \nu \delta \nu \nu \in \cup ́ \omega . ~ \kappa \kappa \nu \delta ิ v \nu \in \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ VIII．Iv．II：x． 26．à $\nu \delta \rho \in i \omega s$ IV．xv． 2.
кivסuvos．к⿺辶סóvou VIII．x．24．ка入д̀ $\kappa l \nu \delta \nu \nu o \nu$ V．IV．5．кı $\nu \delta \delta ́ v o u s$ II．viI． 15．$\pi \rho \partial \grave{s}_{s} \tau o u ̀ s ~ \kappa \iota \nu \delta o ́ v o u s ~ I V . ~ x i v . ~$ 17.
$\kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \omega^{2} . \kappa \iota \nu 0 \hat{0} \sigma 6$ VIII．IV．I2：viI．II． $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．Iv．Io．$\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu o u v$ VIII． vi．3．$\kappa \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega \nu$ VI．XIV．8．к$\omega \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ III．xv．4．VIII．vi．9：viI．I． $\epsilon і ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$ кı $\nu \in \hat{\iota} \nu$ II．viII． 17. тò кıvєì roùs $\pi a \tau p l o u s ~ \nu b \mu o u s ~ I I . ~$


 $\kappa \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ VIII．viI．II．к$\downarrow \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$

VIII．I．Io．кı $\nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$ II．viII． 23. кıр $\eta \sigma a \nu \tau a s$ VIII．viI．I3．ois
 VIII．IV．II：VI．5．к＜vovpév $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ VIII．viI．I3．$\kappa \iota \nu \eta \in i \sigma \alpha$ II．viII． 18.
$\kappa<\nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ V．viI．Io．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ V．III． 4．$\kappa \omega \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ V．vII．4．$\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \epsilon \omega$ IV．xVII．4．ai $\kappa \iota \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda_{l}-$ $\tau \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．XvI．5．к$\kappa \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VIII． II．4．кıข $\dot{\sigma} \sigma$ IS IV．XVII．2．V． vi．16．$\pi$ oגıтeías II．viII． 16 ． фортוкнтє́pas V．v． 23.

 $\kappa \iota \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ цор $\hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．IV． 8.
$\kappa \lambda a v \theta \mu \dot{s}$ ．$\kappa \lambda a v \theta \mu$ oús IV．XVII， 6.
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \tau \eta$ s．$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \tau \eta \nu$ II．viI．I3．
 vi．9．$\kappa \lambda \in ́ \pi \pi \tau \iota \nu$ тà коьขá VIII． viil．i6．$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \tau \nu \tau a s$ VIII．vi． 9. $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$（ $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ ко九 $\nu \dot{a}$ ）VIII．viII． t9．
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \omega$ ．к $\lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ VIII．viII． 20.
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu i a . ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ к \lambda \eta \rho о \nu о \mu i a s ~ V I I I . ~$ VIII． 20.
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \nu о ́ \mu о \mathrm{o} . ~ к \lambda \eta \rho о \nu \delta \mu о \nu$ II．IX． 15.
$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s . \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \varphi$ VI．xv． 15 ．$\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu$ II．viII．12．IV．x．if．$\kappa \lambda \eta^{\prime} \rho o u s$ II．vi．I3：viI．6．VII．iv． 9 ．
$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \delta \delta$ s．$\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \tau \delta \nu$ II．XII．3．$\kappa \lambda \eta$－ $\rho \omega \pi o u ́ s ~ I I . ~ v i . ~ 19 . ~ V I . ~ x i v . ~ i 3 . ~$ VII．II．8．к $\kappa \eta \rho \omega \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} s$ II．xI． 7 ． VII．II．5：V．II．VIII．III． 9 ．
$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ s．$\kappa \lambda \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ IV．xil． 7.
$\kappa \lambda i \nu \eta . k \lambda i \nu \eta$ S I．IV． 4.
коь入ia．коь入ia VI．гv．8．коь入las VI． iv． 8.
 13．коьขo入oүติдтat II．viII．I3．
 кouvóv II．III．4：VI．19：VIII．I7． III．XIII．2．IV．viII．3．VIII．
 коะขอ̀ III．I．8．VII．v．3．VIII． IX．4．коथขой III．vi．7．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{6}$ （ко九นov̂）II．IX．3I．$\epsilon \in$ II．x．viif． $\tau o \hat{v}$ Kotvồ VIII．vili．ro．$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\kappa \circ \nu \varphi \hat{\varphi}$ II．Ix．36．III．VII．5．VI． II．I．Kővク้̆ II．V．I，I5：VIII． 1 I． IV．v．4：xi．I．V．I．3．VI．i． 6：III．5：XI．17．oi kotvol III．v． 4．кoıvai III．IX．7．koẁà II． VII．23：IX． $3^{6:}$ xi．5．IV．II． 7 ． VIII．vi．9．$\tau \dot{a} \phi i \lambda(\omega \nu$ II．v． 6. коนขิ̂̀ II．III．4：VIII．7：IX． 26. III．vi，IO：xiv． 15 ：xv．12．VI． xvi．2．VII．vili．i6．VIII．III． 1：XI．2I．кolvaîs II．III．2．kotvás II．III．9：v． $5: \mathbf{x} .8$ ．$\tau \hat{n} \chi \rho \eta$ 向 $\epsilon \iota$ II．5．8．Kotvô adv．II．v．2．III． vi． 4 ：xili．12．IV．I．2．VI．II．

6．VIII．I．I：v．i：viII．i． коьрбтєрор II．xI．14．косротє́рад II．VI．4．VI．I．6：XII．5．кolvo－ $\tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ II．x．8．коьขота́тך VI．II． 4．Коьขота́тŋ II．VI．I6．
 те́кขа коно́т $\eta \tau а$ II．viI．I．
$\kappa о \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \omega$ ．$\epsilon \kappa о i ́ \nu \omega \sigma \in \nu$ II．V． 15 ．
 I．4．V．v．20．VI．vi．5．VIII．
 I．$\kappa 0 \downarrow \nu \omega \nu \in \hat{\nu} \nu$ II．I． $2:$ V．4．III． ix．10．VII．Iv． $1_{5}$ ．$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o c s$ II． I．3．VII．viII．9．$\dot{d} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ III．I． 12：V．I．$\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ IV．II．3．$\tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \iota \nu$



 3．VI．IV．23．коข $\omega \nu$ ข̂̂̃ $\nu$ II．v．

 $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．XII．I．коь－ $\nu \omega \nu \eta{ }^{2} \sigma \alpha \iota$ VI．XI．1．коь $\nu \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ II．v．Із．коเข $\nu \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau a s ~ V . ~ v i . ~ І . ~$


 IX． 9 ．
$\kappa о \nu \nu \omega \nu i ́ a ~ I I . ~ I . ~ 2 . ~ \gamma e ́ v \omega \nu ~ I I I . ~ I X . ~ I 4 . ~$ $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a \quad \dot{a} \gamma a \theta 0 \hat{v} \tau \iota \nu 0 \mathrm{~s} \ell_{\nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu}$ I．I．I．
 $\tau \omega \nu$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \mu \hat{t} \nu \omega \nu$ IV．xIv．I． $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ I I I . ~ H I I . ~ 7: ~ I V . ~ 3 . ~$

 IX．I2．кotvelias II．I．I：v． 20 ： vi．1．III．viII．2．IV．vi． 5 ： viII．I．VI．I．Io：xt． 7 ．$\dot{a} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \lambda v-$ $\mu$ évos IV．II．3．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta a ́ \lambda a \tau-$ $\tau \alpha \nu$ IV．vi．I．$\kappa о \nu \omega \nu i a \nu$ II．IV．1， 7：viI．6：x．15．IV．IV．II． $\mu$ ià öтє $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ II．III．I．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ $\zeta \omega \eta \hat{s}$ III．vi．2．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$ III． IX．14．$\tau o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ ous II．II．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 4．тoîs $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \in \sigma \iota$ IV．III．9．（Tov̀s） $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．v． 12.
$\kappa \circ \downarrow \nu \omega \nu \iota \lll s . ~ к о \iota \nu \omega \nu \iota \kappa \dot{\gamma} \nu$ III．xIII． 3.

 $\nu \omega \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ III．IV．I．кotv $\omega \nu o i ̂ s ~ I V . ~ V I I I . ~$ 2．VI．iv．13．коเข $\omega$ voús VII．vi． 3.

ко入áふєt IV．XVII． 9.
кодакєіа．ёр коу кодакеіая VIII．xI． 12．колакєias（ $\pi$ лоvбi $\omega \nu$ ）II．v． II．
 12．ко入акєv $\dot{\mu \epsilon \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ VIII．XI． 12.
$\kappa \delta ́ \lambda a \xi$ VIII．xI．I2．ко́дакоя VI．Iv． 27.

ко́入абıs．ко入á $\epsilon \omega \mathrm{s} \epsilon i s \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau a ~ V I I I . ~$ XI．28．кó入абt VIII．VI． 15 ． кода́ $\sigma є \iota$ IV．xiII．6．VIII．xi． 26.
$\kappa$ ќ久ovaıs VIII．x． 13.
ко入ov́ $\omega$ ．ко入оv́є兀 III．XIII．I8．VIII．
 4.

$\kappa о \mu \iota \delta \dot{\eta} . \kappa о \mu \iota \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV．XvI． 7.
$\kappa о \mu \psi \dot{s}$ ．то̀ кои $\psi \dot{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$ II．vi． 6.
$\kappa о \mu \psi \omega ̈ s$ VI．IV．II．
корúvŋ．корúvais VIII．x． 19.
корифаîos．корифаіоv III．IV． 6.
$\kappa о \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \omega$ ．коб $\mu \in і$ іे VIII．XI．24．кє－

VII．viI．6．кєкобн $\eta \mu$＇́vots $\epsilon$ is úm $\epsilon \rho$－ $\beta_{0 \lambda \eta}$ DV．I． 6.
ко́б $\mu$ оя．ко́ $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ а III．IV． 17.
$\kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ оs．$\pi$ âs $\dot{\dot{o}}$ IV．III．го．$\kappa о \sigma \mu \varphi$ II． VIII．I．$\pi \rho$ òs к $\delta \sigma \mu о \nu$ IV．XI．if． тòv коَ́ $\mu_{0 \nu}$ тои̂тov IV．XII． 5. ко́ $\sigma \mu$ оя калочнévols II．х． 6.
 VII． 5.
коифós．коифás VII．VII．3．кои－ фótepa V．Iv． 7.
$\kappa р \hat{a} \sigma \iota s . \kappa \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．Iv． 8.
$\kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ．кратє̂$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ vauti入ias IV．vi．
8．кратои̂ә九 VI．v．4．кратєìv II．
IX．34．III．III．2．IV．II．9：XIV．
16．IV．xiv．19．крато仑̂̀тєs VII． iII．6．крати́боутєs VIII．x．${ }^{25}$ ． є̇кра́т $\quad \sigma \epsilon \nu$ VIII．VI．4．крат $\hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ VI．xI．17．кратєí $\sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．vi． 5 ： XIII．2．кратоú $\mu є \nu a$ I．VI．I．кра－ $\tau 0 \nu \mu \in ́ v o u s$ v́mopévelv VI．xi． 19.

кра́тıбтоs．кратібтך II．I．1．кра－ $\tau i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~S}$ VI．I． 3.
$\kappa р \epsilon і \tau \tau \omega \nu$ III．х．3．IV．HI．6．VIII． viI．9．крєît xv．5．VI．xi．io．VIII．iv．if． креііттн III．15，16．крєіттоvєs VII．vi．2．крєitтous II．viI． 15 ： viII．9．III．viII．3：xiII．4．VI． Iv．3．VIII．III．5：XI．32．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a s$ VIII．xiI． 8 ．крєiтtoб九 II．VII．16．крєiт $\tau \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ II． IX． 35 ．

 II． 10.
$\kappa \rho i \nu \omega . \quad \kappa \rho i \nu 0 v \sigma \iota$ III．IX．2．$\kappa \rho \iota \nu \in \hat{\imath}$ III．
 Iv．I3．крivetev äd III．xi．I4．
 VII．v．3．кєкрібөaь II．viII． 4.

крiбıs III．rx．2．VI．xv．4．крí $\epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ VI．II．4．крi $\sigma \iota \nu$ II．IX．27．II． v．II．крíбets II．v．II．III．
xv．7．VII．II．6：viII．7．VIII． vi．7．крiбє ${ }^{2} \nu$ II．Ix． 23 ．
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon і \hat{\sigma} \tau o l \pi \epsilon \rho \ell \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oiкє $i \omega \nu$ III．Ix． 2. крıтás V．II．2：VI．I．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ à $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$ IV．viII． 9 ．

кро́кך．кро́кәs II．VI．I4．
$\kappa \tau a ́ o \mu a \iota . ~ к \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \iota$ IV．І．6．кт $\hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$
I．vii．4．II．vii．6．III．IV． 17 ． $\kappa \tau \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varphi$ VI．IV． 24 ：v．I．VII． vI．2．$\kappa \tau \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0 \iota s$ тò $\tau i \mu \eta \mu a$ VII． viI．4．$\kappa \tau \eta \eta^{\sigma} \omega \nu \tau a l$ VI．vi．2．VIII． II．5．кт $\dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．VII．4．VIII． x．26．$\kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \chi \dot{\rho} \rho a \nu$ VIII．x． 8．$\kappa \tau \eta \sigma a \mu \hat{v} \nu \omega \nu$ VIII．х．33．$\kappa \epsilon$－

 IX．I4．VII．Iv．8．кєкт $\eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ IV．
 $\mu \hat{e} v o l$ IV．vi．8．VIII．x．9．$\kappa \in-$ $\kappa \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ II．vi． 5 ：viII．8．IV．x． 9．VII．vil．1．кєкт $\eta \mu \notin \nu o l s ~ I I . ~ v . ~$ 25．IV．I．6．кєктףцє́vaıs II．IX． 3．кєкт $\bar{\mu}$ ย̇vous II．v．I2．VIII． x．38：xII．14．$\tau$ oùs коıขà $\kappa$ ．II．v． 12.
$\kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega . \kappa \tau \epsilon(\nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．XviI．7．V．IV． 3．$\kappa \tau \epsilon \in ̂ \nu a \iota$ III．xiv． 4.
$\kappa \tau \bar{\eta} \mu a$ I．Iv．5．б́ $\rho \gamma а \nu о \nu \pi \rho а к \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ I．


 ктймата III．x．3．VIII．v． 5 ．
 $\mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$ I．IX．3．II．I．3：v．10， 22．（ $\kappa \tau \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu) ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu ~ I I I . ~ I X . ~ 5 . ~$ $\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ I．XI．I．
$\kappa \tau \eta ̂ \nu o s . ~ \kappa \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ VIII．จ．9．$\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota$ I． VIII． 6.
$\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ I．IV．I：viII．3，9：IX．15．${ }^{\prime} \kappa$
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta$（ $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \eta)$ VI．XI．4．ởסév $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta$ रोє $\omega \mathrm{s}$ IV．viII． 4. $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\dot{\delta} \rho \gamma \dot{a} \nu \omega \nu$ I．IV．2．$\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ I．ViII．I，I4：IX．I ：XIII．I．II． v．I，9：VI．I， 8 ：VII．8，I5：IX． 13，I7．III．ix．5．IV．I． 8 ：v． 2. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тaîs $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．viII．4．i $i \sigma \delta-$ $\tau \eta \tau a$ II．vil．8．$\tau$ ò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os II．vi． 8．$\kappa \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ I．IX．I6．$\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ I．хіII． I．II．v． $7 . \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ II．viI． 21 ． i $\delta i$ ia II．v． $7 . \quad \delta \pi \pi \lambda \omega \nu$ II．v． 19. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho l \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．v． 2. $\kappa \tau \eta \sigma_{\epsilon \in i s}$ II．1， $3:$ v．2，5，8，10，12， 18， 24 ：VI．10：VII．2．oủ ó̉ $\lambda$ as VII．IV．Io．$\pi \epsilon \rho$ i toútous єîval IV． Ix．7．Tàs aủ $\frac{1}{} \dot{s} \mathrm{~s}$ VIII．VI．17．$\tau \dot{a}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \dot{a} s \kappa \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ II．v．${ }^{5} 5$.
$\kappa \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ ós．ктךтєкŋ́ I．IV．I：VII． 5 ：viII．

$\kappa \tau i \zeta \omega$ ．ктiбavтєs VIII．x． 8.
$\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$ S III．IV．2：vi． 7 ：XI．I4．
${ }^{\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon р \nu \eta ́ \tau о \nu ~ I V . ~ I I . ~ 13 . ~ к и \beta \epsilon \rho \nu и ̆ т \eta ~}$ I．IV．2．$\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$ III．XI． 12.
$\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$ ．$\kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．хі． 12.

ки́к久оs VIII．XII，II．
$\kappa \nu \lambda i \omega . \kappa \nu \lambda i \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VII．iv． 13.
кर́plos III．v．10：xiv．4，14．VIII． v．8：VI．І3．кúptos $̆ \nu$ III．xv．i4． VIII．XI．20．$\mu \eta \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \gamma$ dà $\rho$ тoútov $\kappa \dot{v}$－
 x．7．кvpiov $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ VII．I． 1．кúplov III．xi．I：xiif．I8：xvi． I：xvii．2，5．TV．vi．8．VI．iv．I：

 $\tau$ ò кúplov II．xI．II．III．xvi． 2.
 $\lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{SII}$ ．vi．2．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \mathrm{o} \ell \iota \epsilon \epsilon i a s$ VI． I．10．кvpíà $\mu \epsilon i \zeta \check{\zeta} \nu \omega \nu$ VIII．х． 37 ．
 I2：XV．I．IV．Ix．5．VI．IV． 1. VIII．vi．Io：x．36．$\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon-$ $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$ II．xI．4．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ VI．Iv． 6．oi ки́plot $\tau \hat{y} s \delta u v a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ III．XIII． 19．oi $\pi$ ол入оі̀ кúpюo oủx $\dot{\omega} s$ èкабтоs
 ки́pıo七 $\tau \hat{\text { p̀s } \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s ~ V I . ~ I V . ~ 1 . ~ к u ́ p t a \iota ~}$ $\kappa р i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．xvi．го．тoís кvpiocs II． IX．4．III．xIII．5．$\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ toîs kupious VIII．Iv．I．кupious II．v． 22 ：VIII． 10：IX．25．III．xI． 15,19 ：xiII．8， 10：xv．6．VII．v．4．єivaı $\mu$ óvous VII．II．9．$\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．x．4．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s ~ I I I . ~ v i I I . ~ 3 . ~ \tau i \nu \omega \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 б́є $\ell$ єivaı кирious III．xi．19．кирías то入ıтєias II．XII．14．єis tò̀s кv－ piovs VIII．vi．ı8．кvрıढ́тєроь III． xvi．9．кv $\kappa t \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ VII．vi． 2. $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ III．xvi．9．кvрtшт $\epsilon$ рous VII． II．2．кvрtढ́тaтoע III．viI．4：IX． 4．$\epsilon ่ \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ кvр $\omega \tau \alpha \dot{d} \tau \eta$ III．xII．I． $\kappa v \rho \iota \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ VII．II．5．$\tau$ о̀̀s кขрt $\omega$－ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau o v s$ III．I． 7 ．

$\kappa \dot{\chi} \phi \omega \nu$ ．ки́ $\phi \omega \nu l$ VIII．VI．${ }^{2} 5$.
$\kappa v ́ \omega . ~ к v ́ \epsilon \iota$ VIII．х． 16.

$\kappa \omega \lambda u ́ \omega$ ．к $\kappa \lambda \hat{\prime} \epsilon \iota$ II．viI．6：x．7．III． vi．7：xi．5：xili，Io．V．vi．6．VI． XI．Io．VIII．IX．4．$\kappa \omega \lambda$ óovo $\iota$ II． viI．6．$\epsilon \kappa \kappa \dot{\lambda} v$ vo V VIII．v．2．ко入úp IV．xvi． 15 ．VII．IV．3．$\kappa \omega \lambda$ v́є $\iota \nu$ IV．IX．5．V．vi．io．V̄I．xv．iz． VIII．VII．13：VIII．20．к $\omega \lambda$ र́o 1 тes IV．xvir．6．VIII．xII．I4．$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{v}^{\prime}-$ ovtas VII．v．4．$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ VI．xi． 21．$\kappa \omega \lambda \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ II．IV．2．к $\kappa \lambda \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII．x． 8 ．
 II．5．$\dot{\eta} \kappa \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa о \nu \nu \omega \nu i a$ III．IX． 14 ． $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a s$ II．II． 3.
$\kappa \omega \mu \iota \kappa o ́ s . ~ к \omega \mu \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$ III．III． 7.
$\kappa \omega \mu \varphi \delta i a$ ．$\kappa \omega \mu \varphi \delta \dot{\prime} a s$ IV．xvil．II．

## $\Lambda$ ．

$\lambda a \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \omega, \epsilon i \lambda \eta \chi \dot{\partial} \tau \omega \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s \tau \tau \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ IV．xvi． 14.
$\lambda a ́ \theta \rho \underline{1}$ II．IX．24．VIII．x． 12.
$\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ．$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota$ II．II．2．III．xII． 7．$\tau$ ò $\pi \rho \epsilon \notin \pi \nu$ V．viI．Io．$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha}-$ $\nu_{0 v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{a}} \mu \alpha \rho \tau$ úpıa $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ V．v． 23．$\epsilon i \lambda \eta \phi \in$ IV．I．8．крıтás V．II． 2．${ }^{\ell} \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon$ VIII．Iv．6．$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega \sigma \iota$ VII．v．6．VIII．XI．19．$\lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \eta \tau$ т̀ $\mu \epsilon \in \rho o s$ VI．x．1．$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon \ell \nu$ II，III．

 2．$\lambda a \mu \beta a \dot{a} \nu \omega \nu$ V．VII．14．$\lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a}-$ $\nu_{0 \nu \tau a s ~ I I . ~ v . ~ 3 . ~ \sigma ́ \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu ~ V I . ~ I X . ~}^{\text {．}}$ I．$\lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu$ II．Ix．2．III．xv．10： IV．VIII．3．VI．IV．8．VIII．II．I． xı． 4 ，I8．$\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$ II．vil．17．VIII． Iv．5，6：v．9：vi．4：x．19．oủ $\tau \hat{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{s} \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ тồ $\tau v p a ́ v \nu o v ~ \lambda a$－ $\beta_{o ́ v}^{\prime 2}$ III．III．2．$\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \alpha$ VII． III．I．$\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ VI．IV．7．$\lambda a \mu$－ $\beta a \nu o \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ III．XIII．4．VIII．XI．
 II．viif．i2．$\tau \in$ ג̇os VII．viil．il． $\lambda \eta \dot{\psi} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu$ VII．v．3．$\lambda \eta \psi 0-$
 $\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ VI．iv．8．$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \lambda \eta \phi \theta \in \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．X．2．$\epsilon i \lambda \hat{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota$ VI．xV．I4．
$\lambda a \mu \pi a \delta a \rho \chi i a . \lambda а \mu \pi a \delta a \rho \chi i a s$ VIII． viII． 20.

$\lambda a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ．$\lambda a \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota$ VIII．III．6， $10:$ VIII，2，20：IX． 6. 入ap $\theta$ divovaı VIII．VII．II． $\begin{gathered} \\ \lambda\end{gathered} a \theta \epsilon \nu$ II．v． 16. $\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ \nu o \iota \epsilon \nu$ VIII．XI．6．$\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．vi．6：vil．5，16．III．I．8：xif． 2．IV．IV．14：XI．II．VI．I． 8. VIII．Iv．Io：ix．6：XI．7．$\lambda \epsilon \lambda \gamma$ $\theta$ tevat V．viI．I．VI．I． $3: x \mathrm{xv} .9$. $\lambda a \nu \theta a \nu o v ́ \sigma \eta s$ VIII．vi．17．$\lambda \dot{\prime} \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII．x． 23 ．
$\lambda a \rho \iota \sigma \sigma о \pi о \iota \circ$ s．入apıбботоьós III．II． 2.
$\lambda \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota s . \lambda a ́ \tau \rho \iota \nu$ I．vi． 7 ．
$\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ III．XII．5：XIII．4．VI．I．4： III． 5 ：iv． 8 ，เо．VIII．I．12．$\lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \iota$
 $\lambda e ́ \gamma o v a l$ I．xiII，14．III．ix．i：xvi． 13．VI．I．5．$\lambda \in \gamma \omega \mu \in \nu$ I．xili． 16. VI．IV．7， $20 . \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \omega \sigma$ II．III．I． IV．xviI．8．入érotey III．xiII．I4． $\lambda \epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．xIII． 7, Io：xv． $4:$ xviII． 2．IV．xvir．8．VI．II．3．VIII．xi． 33．Tò $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon\left\langle\chi \hat{\eta} s \not{ }^{\epsilon} p \gamma o \nu\right.$ IV．xII． 9．$\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$ II．viI．8．VIII．xI．7： xiI．8．$\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VI．i．6．$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota$ III．XI．14．VI．III．6．$\lambda \in \gamma \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu=$ s III．xvi．I．$\dot{\eta} \lambda \in \gamma \circ \mu e ́ v \eta ~ \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$

VI．iv．22．тò $\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ II．III． 4. III．xII．4．oi $\lambda \in \gamma \dot{0} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ol VIII．viI． 2．$\lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{o} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ IV．I．5．$\tau \grave{a} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ VI．IV．22．Toùs $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o \mu e ́ v o u s ~ \pi o \lambda i-$ tas III．I．8．$\quad \tau \rho \bar{\pi} \pi$ ous III．vi． 5.
 $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \chi \chi \theta \eta$ II．Ix．13．VI．I． 7. VIII．
 $\lambda \in \chi \theta \in i ̂ \sigma \alpha$ III．xi．19．VII．І．8．тò $\lambda \in \chi \theta \in ́ \nu$ III．XI．5：xIII．II．V．vi． 6．áptı III．IX．3．$\nu \hat{v} \nu$ VI．v． 2. тро́тєрор III，xviI．6．aи́v̇े фаvèv $\tau \grave{ } \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \in \epsilon \nu$ III．v．4．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \in \in \nu \tau \iota$ II．VIII．I7．$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ 就 $\lambda \in \dot{\epsilon} y \tau \alpha \quad \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota$ VIII．xI．5，24．$\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ III．x． 5．$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．II． 4.
$\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega$ ．$\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ III．จ．I：XIII． 25 ： xvii．8．IV．Ix．6．VIII．x．I． $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota$ ó III．XI．8．$\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi o \iota \hat{\varphi}$ VI．xiI． $2 . \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi o \mu \notin \nu o u s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu$ V．iv． 4.
 VIII．viII．20．$\quad \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon t$ III．vi．g． $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho l ~ \tau d ̀ s ~ d a ́ \rho \chi \alpha ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o u \rho \gamma o ̂ ̂ v ~ V I . ~$ IV．I6．tò taîs oưolacs $\lambda \epsilon i \tau o v \rho \gamma o i ̂ \nu$ VI．Iv． 15 ．$\delta 0 \hat{\lambda} \lambda o l$ ol $\dot{\epsilon} \nu l$ $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau o u p-$ रoôvtєs III．v．4．入eıtovpүoîvtas VI．iv． 16.
 $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma \iota \omega ิ \nu}$ VII．v．9．$\lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma i a \iota s$ VIII．v．5．$\lambda e i t o v p \gamma i a s$ VII．viI． 5．VIII．viII．20：XI． 21 ．кolvás II．x．8．tàs $\pi \rho \rho \grave{s}^{s}$ toùs $\theta$ eoùs IV．x．in．
 II．VI．14．III．xvif．3．IV．xvi． 12．VI．IV． 3.
$\lambda \epsilon о \nu \tau \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{~s} . \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \tau \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu(\eta \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu)$ V．IV． 2.
$\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu$ ．$\lambda \epsilon \neq \nu \tau a s$ III．xiII． 14 ．
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu \mu a$ ．$\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \delta{ }^{\prime} \tau \iota$ II．x．I2．$\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu-$
 $\omega^{\omega} \nu \lambda \eta ́ \mu \mu a \tau \alpha$ VIII．viII． 20.
$\lambda \eta \pi \tau$ éos．$\lambda \eta \pi \tau$ éo III．IX．I：XIII． 12 ． VII．I．7．VIII．II．I．
$\lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ I．Ix．if．
$\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a . j \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \nu$ àmò $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ I . ~ v i l l . ~$ 7.

$\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho \iota \kappa o ́ s ~ I . ~ v i I I . ~ 8 . ~ \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \rho \iota \kappa o ́ v ~ I, ~ v i I I . ~$ 8.

入iad II．II．8：iv．3：v．Io：vil．7： IX．14，20，23．III．I．5：XI．I4． IV．xVI．12．V．II．5．VIII．VII． 3 ： viII．I2．入íav mape $\gamma \gamma$ ús IV．xvi． 3.
$\lambda i \theta \iota \nu o s . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda_{\iota} \theta i \nu \eta \nu$ I．II．I3．
$\lambda\langle\mu \eta \nu$ ．$\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ IV．vi．©．VII．viII． 5 ． $\lambda \iota \mu e ́ v a s$ IV．vi．5．VII．viil． 2 I．
$\lambda i \mu \nu \eta$ ．$\lambda_{i \mu \nu a s ~ I . ~ v i I I . ~}^{7}$.
 $\lambda о \gamma і \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．viI． 17.
$\lambda o ́ \gamma c o s . \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\partial}\langle\eta \eta \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．VIII．I． ol $\lambda$ र́rıo IV．x． 3 ．
$\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu$ ós IV．xv．Io．$\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi}$ VIII． x．34．$\lambda_{0} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \sigma \mu$ 砬 VII．VIII． 16 ．
$\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s . \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a ́ s$ VII．viII． 16.
 ó aútós III．XI．5．V．v．8．VIII． xiI．іо．трактько́s IV．xiv．іо．
 I．II．II．III．I．7：VIII．6：XIII． 22 ： xvi．I．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \iota \tau \epsilon \in \tau \omega \nu$ I．xiII．8．T $\omega \hat{\nu}$ $\dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \gamma \alpha_{\rho} \chi \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ III．IX．5．$\dot{\delta} \lambda$ б́os каl $\dot{\delta}$ ขô̂s $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ фú $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ тểos IV．xv． 8. $\lambda$ boov IV．xv．7．ког $\omega \nu$ óvet $\omega \nu$ I． xiII．3．$\lambda$ b̌ov $\chi \alpha ́ \rho \rho \nu$ III．Ix． 8.
 12．T仑̂ $\lambda 6 \gamma \varphi$ IV．I．I3．V．III．I3： V．I．VI．xI．4．VIII．I．I2．Tी̂

 VIII．xi．19．＇＇$\chi \in \epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta$＇áviò IV．
 $\zeta \dot{\psi} \omega \nu$ I．II．Io．IV．XIII．I2．кат
 IV．xv．9．тoû \b́oo é $\chi$ ovtos I．xiti．

 đòv 入ó ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{D}$ III．v．2．катà $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ 入óरov II．III．I．III．XI．I3．TV．I．6：xiv．


 $\lambda$ дoyo II．VI． 6 ．$\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ II．II．I． IV．viI．9：xiII．5．$\delta \in \dot{\partial} \mu \in \nu$ о $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aย่т $\hat{\nu} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ IV．I．II．$\lambda$ do $\begin{gathered}\text { ots I．}\end{gathered}$ xII．2．II．III．3．$\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \epsilon \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ II．
 xviif．i．IV．xiv．6．VI．vii．2：$x$ ． I．入ó oous III．xiv．I．IV．xvil． 9.入ó óous єïmeєє II．x．11．oiкeious入o oous IV．I．I3．
$\lambda o t \delta o \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ ．$\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho \eta \theta \in i ́ s ~ I . ~ I I . ~ 9 . ~$
$\lambda o t \delta o \rho i a . \lambda o t \delta o \rho i a s ~ I I . ~ I V . ~ I . ~$

 IX．5．入oルmá II．VIII．20．III． xVI．5．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \quad \tau \grave{\alpha}$ 入o८má III． xiI．2．$\lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ I．xiII．6．VIII． xiI． 5 ．
$\lambda_{\lambda \chi \alpha} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega$ ．$\lambda_{o \chi \alpha \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau a}$ III．IV． 14.
入oхaria．$\lambda \frac{1}{}$ arial VII．VIII． 15.
入óхos．$\lambda$ óxous VIII．viII． 19.
$\lambda \nu \delta \iota \sigma \tau \ell$ V．vii． 15.
$\lambda$ úкos．V．IV． 5 ．
$\lambda v \pi \epsilon ́ \omega . \lambda v \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ VIII．viII．16．$\lambda v \pi \varepsilon \hat{\imath}-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$ V．v． 19.
入útŋŋs latpeia tis V．v．Io．àvev $\lambda u \pi \omega_{\nu}$ II．VII． 12.
$\lambda$ úpa．入úpà IV．xiII． 8.
$\lambda u ́ \sigma \iota s$ V．VI．6．$\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ II．VII． 5.
 $\lambda u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ II．Iv．I．
$\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \in$ ．$\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ II．VII．16．$\pi \rho$ òs
 $\rho$ е́к $\beta a \sigma \iota s)$ III．VII． 5.
$\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda$ йs．$\lambda v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ I．XI．Y．
$\lambda u ́ \omega$ ．入úє VIII．IX．6．入ú $\sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ ä $\nu$ III． XI．6．$\lambda u ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．Xi．15．VI．Xiv． 14．VIII．VII．I2．$\tau$ ò̀s $\nu o ́ \mu o u s ~ \lambda u ́ \epsilon l \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ II．viII．23．$\lambda \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ V．vi． 3，5．$\theta a ̈ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ II．XII．3．$\lambda$ óov $\tau \alpha$ VIII．VII．5，I4．$\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma$ al II．VII． 5．III．XI．I．VIII．VII．II．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu i ́ a \nu$ II．X．I5．$\lambda v \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ II．viI． 7.
$\lambda \omega \beta a ́ \omega . \lambda \omega \beta \dot{\beta} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ V．IV．I．
$\lambda \omega \pi o \delta v \tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\lambda \omega \pi \sigma \delta \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ II．VII．I I．

## M．

$\mu a ́ \gamma \in \iota \rho o s$ III．XI． 14.
$\mu a ́ \theta \eta \mu a . \mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ V．IV． 9.
$\mu \dot{a} \theta \eta \sigma_{\iota}$ V．v．4．$\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ V．v． 5 ： VI．II．$\mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．XVII，4．V．II． 4：III．7：v．6：VI．4，9．ioiay V． 1．3．$\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \iota$ V．II．6：III．6，II． $\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ IV．xVII．14．$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ às $\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \mathrm{~S}$ V．VI．6，II．
$\mu a i \nu о \mu a \iota . \mu a \iota \nu о ́ \mu \in \nu о \nu$ IV．I． 4 ．
$\mu а к а \rho i \zeta \omega . ~ \mu а к а р i \zeta о v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ IV． II．2．$\mu а \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \S о \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ IV．XV． 4.
$\mu а к а ́ \rho t o s ~ I V . ~ I . ~ I o . ~ \mu а к а ́ \rho \iota o \nu ~ I V . ~ I . ~$ 4．тò $\mu а к а ́ \rho \iota o \nu ~ I V . ~ x I I I . ~ 7 . ~ м а к а-~$ plav IV．XIII．1．дакарíos IV．I． 3．$\mu а к а р i o u s ~ V I I I . ~ X I . ~ 23 . ~$
цакро́s．ои́бíà цакра́л VI．IV．5．$\mu \alpha-$ кро́татоу VI．XIII． 7.
$\mu a \lambda \alpha к$ о́s．$\mu a \lambda \alpha к a ́ s$ VI．III． 8.
$\mu a \lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \omega s$ ．$\mu a \lambda a \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega s \tau_{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \alpha-$ роぇа V．V． 22.
$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ III．XII．I：XIII．r， 15 ：XIV． 3．VI．1．5：II．6：III．8：IV．I9． VII．v．2．VIII．x．1，6．$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ VIII．XI．32．$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu-\epsilon l$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \eta ́$ VII．II． 4 ：v．4，8．VIII． VIII．12：XI．24．$\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ dúo $\gamma^{i-}$ $\gamma \nu o \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \alpha \iota$ VIII．I． $1_{4}$ ．
$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ III．XI．I， 12 ：XII．4，6．VI，I． 6．$\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．vII． 6. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \mu \hat{\imath} \sigma o s$ VIII．X．35．$\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath}$ тô̂ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda о \nu \kappa \alpha i \hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$ VIII．1． 9. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{\kappa \alpha a i} \eta \tau \tau \tau 0 \nu$ I．XIII． 4.
 $\nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ V．II．I．VI．I．7．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$
 III．6．$\mu a \nu \theta a ́ \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ V．V．4，7． $\mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ III．IV．I4．$\tau i \mu \alpha \theta \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ II． v． 19 ．
 2.
$\mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa$ ós．$\mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \in ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu$ II．XII． 7.
$\mu a \rho \tau v \rho \in ́ \omega . ~ \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon i ̂$ IV．XIV． 22.
$\mu a \rho \tau v p i a . \mu a \rho \tau v \rho i a \nu$ V．III．II．
$\mu a \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho t o \nu . \tau \dot{a} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \iota a \operatorname{\tau } \hat{\nu} \lambda \dot{\gamma} \gamma \omega \nu \mathrm{~V}$ ． v． 23 ．
$\mu a ́ \rho \tau v s . \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho \iota \tau \hat{\varphi}$ Өє $\hat{\varphi}$ र $\chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s$ IV
I．so．$\mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho \omega \nu$ II．VIII． 20.
$\mu a \sigma \tau \iota \gamma o ́ \omega . \mu a \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ VIII．x． 20.
$\mu a ́ \tau a \iota o s . \mu a \tau a i ́ \omega \nu$ VII．v． 9.
$\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ I．II．IO：VIII．12．$\mu \eta ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ov̉ $\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ II．v． 8.
$\mu a ́ \chi \alpha \rho a$ I．II． 3.
$\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ ．$\delta \stackrel{\iota}{ } \mu a ́ \chi \eta s$ VIII．III．12．$\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$ VIII．III．5，I3：IV．9．$\mu a ́ \chi$ as II． IV． I ．
$\mu a ́ \chi \iota \mu$ os．$\tau o ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \chi \iota \mu o \nu$ II．VIII．II．IV． VIII．9：X．I．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a \chi i ́ \mu \omega \nu$ II．VIII． 11．$\tau$ ồs $\mu a \chi$ ípots II．VIII． 12.
$\mu a ́ \chi o \mu a \iota$ ．$\mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．XI．3I．$\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s$ II．X．I4．$\mu a \chi \dot{\phi} \mu \in \nu o \iota$ VIII． V．4：VI．9：IX． 10.
 5.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta$＇s．$\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ VII． vil． 6.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o s . \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda o ́ \psi v \chi o<$ IV．VII． 7. $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o \psi v ́ \chi o t s$ V．III．I2．$\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o-$ $\psi v \chi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ V．vi．II．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s$ VIII．VII．4．$\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta$ VIII． III．Io．$\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ єival סıaфopáv IV． XVII．r．$\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o \iota$ VIII．viI． $2 . \mu \epsilon-$ $\gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ VI．IV．4．à $\pi \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ III． XI．16．$\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda a s$ III．XI． 18. $\mu \in i ́\} \omega \nu$ VIII．III．3．$\mu \in i$ îjov III．XII． 5：XIII． 21 I．$\mu \in$ i豸ovos III．XV． 14. $\mu \in i \xi o v a$ VIII．XI．2．$\mu \in i \zeta \omega$ II，VII． If．$\mu \in i ́ S o v s$ III．xv．I3．VIII．II． 3．$\mu \epsilon \iota \zeta \delta \nu \omega \nu$ III．xI．15，18．$\mu \epsilon i\{0 \sigma \iota$ VIII．VIII．Io．$\mu \in \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta$ VIII．III． 16．$\mu \in ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ II．IV．6．III．XI．I5： XII．I．$\mu \in \in \iota \sigma \tau o \iota$ VIII．VIII． 7. $\mu \in ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ II．VII．I3．$\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ II． VI．19．III．XI．7．$\mu \in \gamma i \sigma \tau a s$ II．vi． 19：XI． 9.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os II．VII．5．VIII．X．I4， 37. $\tau \eta ̂ s ~ \zeta \eta \mu i a s ~ I I . ~ X I I . ~ 13 . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau i ~ \mu \epsilon ́-~$ $\gamma \in \theta$ os каi ö $\lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$ т $\tau \grave{o} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \theta$ os III．XII． 6．$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \theta$ ous IV．Iv．9．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \mu \epsilon-$ $\gamma \epsilon \in \theta o v s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega$ s III．III．6．$\pi \sigma ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \theta$ ous $\mu \epsilon \in \tau \rho \circ \nu$ IV．IV．9．$\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \theta \in \iota$ IV．v．r：VI．8．VIII．I．I2．кат $\dot{\alpha}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \gamma \theta$ os II．vi．I3．III．XII． $3,6$. VI．IV．4．$\kappa a \tau \grave{a}$ тò $\mu \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \mathrm{\tau}$ оs IV．IV．5．$\mu \epsilon ่ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os є́ $\chi$ о́ $\tau \omega \nu$ VI．XVI．2．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \theta \eta \tau \hat{\eta} S$ oủoías VI．III． 2.
$\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \xi_{\iota} \iota$ ．$a i \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．v． 6.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta . \mu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta s$ IV．xvir．II．V．V． 2. ठúvaulv V．VII．I4．̇̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ т̀̀ $\nu \mu \epsilon$－ $\theta \eta \nu \nu \nu^{\prime} \mu$ оs II．XII． 12.
$\mu \epsilon \theta i \sigma \tau \eta \mu . \quad \mu \epsilon \theta i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ III．XIII．I5． $\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau$ ával III．XIII．25．$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．I．8．$\mu \epsilon \theta l \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \in \grave{\epsilon}$ тav̂тa VIII．VII．7．$\mu \epsilon \theta i \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ VIII．1．I．
$\mu \epsilon \theta$ o $\delta$ os．$\mu \epsilon \theta$ óôov IV．II．4．VI．x． 1 ． $\tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ IV．I．It．$\mu \in \theta \delta \delta \delta \varphi$（ $\epsilon \cdot \tau \tau \hat{\eta}$
$\pi \rho \grave{̀} \tau a v ́ \tau \eta s)$ VII．II．6．$\quad \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ VI．II．I．$\mu \in \theta o \delta o \nu$ II．I．I．VI．viII． 2．VII．．7．7．$\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\ell} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \in \theta_{0} \delta \nu$ III．viII．I．
$\mu \in \theta v \sigma \tau \iota \kappa$ ós．$\mu \in \theta v \sigma \tau<\kappa a ́ s$ V．viI． 14 ．
$\mu \in \theta \dot{v} \omega$ ．$\mu \in \theta \dot{v} \omega \nu$ VIII．XI，24．$\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \dot{o} \tau \tau o s$ V．v．8．$\mu \in \theta$ v́ovta VIII．x． 23. $\mu$ e日vóontas II．XII．I3．
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．$\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ IV．xIv．2I．$\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon-$ $\tau$ ต̂dras V．vi． 16.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ IV，xv． 8 ．
$\mu \in \lambda \iota \tau \tau \alpha . \mu \in \lambda i \tau \tau \eta$ S I．II．го．
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau \tau o v \rho \gamma i a . \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau \tau o v \rho \gamma i a s$ I．xi． 2.
 tos II．viI．17．$\mu \in \lambda \lambda$ oú $\eta$ III．xiv． I．$\mu \in \neq \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau a$ III．xv． 14 ：xviII． 2. $\mu e ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ II．XI．7．VIII．III， 4. $\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu$ II．v．I，26．$\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{2} \tau a s$ IV．viI．4．VIII．IX．I．
$\mu \in \lambda о \pi o l i a . ~ \mu \in \lambda о \pi o c i a s ~ V . ~ v i I . ~ I . ~$
$\mu \hat{\epsilon}$ रos．$\mu \hat{\lambda} \eta \eta$ IV．xVII．2．V．viI． 12. $\mu \in \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ V．vi．16：vi． 5 ：viI，3，I4． aút $\hat{\omega} \nu$ V．v．17．$i \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ V．viI． 4. $\sigma \kappa о \lambda \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ III．xiv． $10 . \tau \grave{\alpha}$ бúvtova V．vii．7．$\tau 0 \hat{c} s \dot{\eta}^{\theta}$ tcoîs V．vii， 8. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ V．v．18：vi．8．aútoîs V． V． 2 t.
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \varphi \delta i ́ a . \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \delta i ́ a s$ V．v．II．
$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \phi о \mu a \iota . \mu \hat{\epsilon} \mu \phi о \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ a \dot{v} \tau \varphi$ II．XII． 3 ．
$\mu \hat{\nu}$ ．$\mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ oû̀v III．XI．I．
$\mu \in ́ \nu \tau o 九$ I．XI．I2．III．xIII．I．VIII． XII． 7.
$\mu \hat{e} \nu \omega$ ．$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota$ II．x．16．III．xI． 20. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．viu．5．$\mu \notin \nu \eta$ VIII． III．6．$\mu \epsilon \in \varphi \in \iota \nu$ I．XI．12．II．viII． 21 ． VIII．XI．1，19：XII．8．$\epsilon \pi \imath \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 II．x．io．IV．IX，5．VI，xif．I． $\mu \in i ̂ v a \iota$ VII．v．i．$\mu \in \in \nu \nu_{0} \sigma a \nu$ VII． viI．6．$\mu \notin \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$ év toîs עó $\mu o<s$ IV． xiv．18．$\mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\delta} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．VIII．Io．
$\mu \epsilon \rho i \zeta \omega . \mu \epsilon \rho i \zeta о v \sigma \iota$ VII．viII．7．$\mu \epsilon \rho t-$ oṽ $\tau \nu$ II．viII．14．$\mu \epsilon \rho i \zeta \omega \nu$ II．v． 17．$\mu e \rho i ́ s o v \tau \epsilon s$ III．XIII．25．$\mu \epsilon \rho i-$ jovias VIII．v．I．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ aủ $\hat{\eta} s$ VII．v．iI．$\mu \in \rho i \bar{S} \rho \nu t a \iota ~ V I I . ~ v i I I . ~$ 6．$\mu \in p i \grave{\zeta} \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota$ tàs oưoias II．vi． II．
$\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \mu \nu a . \mu \hat{\rho} \rho \mu \mu \nu a \nu$ V．v． 2.
$\mu \epsilon \in \rho \iota s . \mu \epsilon \rho i \delta \omega \nu$ IV．I． 3 ．
$\mu \notin \rho o s$ II．IX．5．III．xviI．7．VI．iv． 7， 13 ．VIII．І． $12 . \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \iota$ III．

 тє VII．v．9． |  |
| :---: |
| $\lambda$ |
| $\omega$ | VIII．iv． 10. $\pi$ б́̀ $\lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$ III．xiII．I3．IV．vi．7．VI． III．4．$\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{0}$ VI．IV．I．$\epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \in \iota$ II．II．6．VI．II．5：XII．5．VII．II． I．à àd $\mu$ épos VI．xv．17．VIII． viII．13．тò ávà $\mu \notin \rho o s ~ \tau o i v v \nu ~ \dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́-$ $\tau \omega \mathrm{III} . \mathrm{xvi}$ 3．$\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \not{\mu} \rho_{\rho}$ I．I．xIII． 10．III．I．10：xv．2：xVII．7．VI． iv． 16 ：xiv． 4 ：xv． 3 ．VII．II． 4 ：

IV．4：VIII． 15 ．${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \ell \nu$ III．vi． 9. $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ öбov $\mu \epsilon \not \rho o s$ III．vi．3．катá ть $\mu$ épos è̀ $\lambda a \tau t o \nu$ III．xvi．1．$\pi a \rho \grave{\alpha}$ $\mu \hat{f} \rho o s$ II．II． $7 . \quad \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \eta$ II．VI． $2:$ vili． 2 ：IX．II．VII．III．4．VIII．XI． 2. $\mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．v．27．VIII．iv．1I．$\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa$ VIII．III．6．$\epsilon \kappa \pi \delta \sigma \omega \nu$ VI．III． 4 ．
 9．$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．Іจ．3．катà $\mu \epsilon \in \rho \eta$ IV．III．9．VII．viII． 13 ．
$\mu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ ．$\mu \in \sigma \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota$ IV．viI． 3 ．
 13.
$\mu \notin \sigma o s . \quad \dot{o} \mu \notin \sigma o s ~ \delta \iota a \iota \tau \eta \tau \eta \jmath_{s}$ VI．xII． 5. $\mu \epsilon \in \sigma \eta$ ò̀ $\tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ II．VI． 16. ì $\mu^{\prime} \sigma \eta \quad \beta \in \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \eta \quad$ VI．XI． 12.
 $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$ VIII．iv．ir．$\tau$ ò $\mu \notin \sigma o \nu$ III． XVI．8．V．VII．15．VI．IX． 6.
 oi $\epsilon \mu \beta a i \nu o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VI．xI．16．то̀̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu \beta i o \nu \beta \epsilon \in \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ VI．XI． 3 ．oi $\mu \epsilon \in \sigma o c$ VI．XI．4．$\mu \epsilon \sigma \omega \nu$ VI．xI．II． VII．Iv． $17 . \mu \notin \sigma o l s$ VI．xi． 8. $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v s$ VI．iII．I ：XI． 14 ．toùs $\mu \epsilon \in \sigma o u s$ VIII．vi．17．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota$ VI．xII． 4.
 $\tau v \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \sigma \dot{\partial} \tau \eta \tau$ os VI．xI．3．$\mu \epsilon \sigma \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ VI．xi． 3 ．
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$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon$ II．vi．8．V．v． $15 . \mu \dot{\eta} \pi o \tau$ oú II．VI．I5．
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$\mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \phi \circ \rho \alpha \dot{.} \mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \phi \circ \rho \alpha{ }^{\nu}$ VIII．v． 2.
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$\mu \tau \sigma \theta \sigma \omega . \mu \tau \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu 0 \nu$ I．XI． 9.
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$\xi a i \nu \omega$. ğaivovia VIII．x． 22. $\xi \in \nu \eta \lambda a \sigma i a . \xi \in \nu \eta \lambda a \sigma i a s$ II．x． 15.
$\xi \in \varphi \iota k \not ́ s \quad \beta i o s$ IV．II．3．$\quad$ тo入é $\mu o s$ II． x．16．$\xi_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \kappa 6 \nu$ III．xiv．7．VI．xvi． 2．$\tau \grave{\partial} \xi \in \nu \kappa \delta \dot{\partial} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \delta o \hat{\imath} \lambda \omega \nu$ III．v． 3 ． $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa 0 \hat{v}$ VI．xvi．4．$\xi \in \nu c \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．vili． 7．छєәккоîs IV．xi．6．VIII．xi． 14. $\xi \in \nu \kappa \kappa \omega \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \beta o \eta \theta \in i a s$ I．IX． 7.
$\xi \in \epsilon \nu 0$ III．v．I．$\xi \in \nu \omega \omega$ IV．Iv．6．VIII．


 3．VIII．III．I3．$\xi \in \nu a$ IV．xvir． 14.


## 0.

o．$\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu, \tau \partial े \delta \grave{̀} \mu \grave{\eta}$ II．VIII．5．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \grave{e} \nu$ ，
 xI．7：xvi．if．VII．vil．6．VIII． I． 15 ：v．I．


 $\gamma \dot{\tau} \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \tau \epsilon i \tau \alpha$, I．x． 4.
 VIII．xII． 6.
$\dot{\delta} \delta i$ III．XII．6．$\tau 0 v \delta l ~ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，$\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho o v ~ \delta \epsilon \in$ III．xI． 4.
ó óśs．тобov̂тov $\pi \rho o ̀$ ò ôov̂ $\gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \nu \epsilon \nu$ V．III． I I．oúdè $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \dot{d} \delta \delta o \hat{v}$ коเข $\omega \nu \epsilon \hat{L} \nu$ VI．xi． 7．$\dot{o} \delta \delta \nu$ IV．x．3．$\dot{\delta} \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ VII．vIII． 4.

ठठ $\delta \rho \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega s$ V．v． 22.
$\delta \partial \theta \epsilon$ II．viI．Io．III．XIII．I4．VIII． I．7．$\delta \partial \theta \in \nu \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ II．viII． 3 ．
$\dot{\delta} \theta \nu \epsilon \hat{c} o s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \dot{\partial} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ II．xII．I．
őa I．IV． 2.
 6：xi．9．V．v． 3.
oikeîos．$\dot{\text { ó }}$ 入óyos oikєîos $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ átopias $\tau a v ́ \tau \eta$ S III．III．3．оiкєîo III．VIII． I．IV．xi．3．VII．I．2．V．I． 2. ä $\pi a \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ оiкєiò VII．IV．16．оікєі－ as III．XIII．22， 23 ．VIII．XI． 20. $\pi$ тдıтєías III．xili． 23 ．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ oiкєías II．Ix．I1．оiкєiad II．vi．7．V．VII． 3．oiкєia $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s ~ \tau a v ̃ \tau \eta s ~ V I I . ~ . ~$ I．9．$\pi \rho \dot{o} s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{v} \pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \nu \nu$ VII．I．Io． $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ тодıтєías oikєía VII．1． 4. oiкei $\omega$ IIII．Ix． 2 ：xvi．8．IV．IV． 6．VIII．x．31．oiкeious IV．I． 13 ． $\tau \hat{\eta}$ oiкєเoтáт $\eta \mathrm{s} \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \hat{\mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{~S}$ I．xI． 3.

ตікєtóтทs．оікєtótทта II．III．7：IV． 8.

oikét $\eta$ s．oikétov I．II． 5 ．
оікєтєко́s．оікєтєкаîs（бьакоріаиs）II．іІІ． 4.
 10．$\chi$ шpis olкô̂є̀ III．IX．Io．oiккív VIII．v．8．súo olklas II．VI． 15. oủ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ oiкєî̀ $\pi o v$ III．I．3．oik ${ }^{2} \sigma a t$ III．III．3．оікои̂ขтєs VIII．III． 15 ． оiкоช́yт $\omega$ III．I．I．тoîs oiкov̂at VII．VII，I．oiкои̂̀тas IV．v．I． дакрàs $\pi$ б́入єєs III．xv．II．oiк $\eta-$ $\sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．II．3．oiкєīซ $\theta a \iota$ VI．iv． 9．кал⿳⺈⿴囗十一 III．xII．9．VII．vIII．I．
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ II．I．3．III．xiv．I．$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oiккоv $\mu$ év $\eta \nu$ IV．VII．I．$\tau \alpha i ̂ ̀$

 3．iठìv oiк $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ IV．хI．6．оiк $\dot{\eta}_{-}$ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ióiaıs IV．xI．io．$\tau$ às $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \mathrm{~s}$
 2.


 каi $\gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa$＇s III．Iv．6．$\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \beta \alpha a \iota-$ $\lambda \epsilon \dot{́} \epsilon \tau a l$ úrd̀ $\tau о \hat{v} ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau a ́ \tau o v ~ I . ~ I I . ~$ 6．$\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho o s \quad \pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \omega$ s I．XIII． 5 ．
 III．I．oiкias $\beta$ aбi $\lambda$ cía III．xIV． $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ．
 II．II．8．oikiạ I．xI．I 3．ioía III．
 viII．3．oikiay III．XI．I4．єival $\pi \omega s \mu i a \nu$ II．v．I4．$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \mu i \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ каì $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ c̈va $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oíklas II．II．

2．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ oiкía V．VI． 2. $\hat{\epsilon} \xi$ oiкı $\hat{\nu} \nu$ VI．III．I．oiкias VIII．
XI．II．$\delta$ v́o II．VI．I5：VIII．I2．

оікєбтйs．оікเбтás VIII．vII． 2.
оікодб $\mu \eta \mu$ ．оікодон $\mu \dot{\text { á }} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ VII．VIII． 4，I8．$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ оікобо $\mu \eta-$ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ IV．XII．6．оікодон $\mu а \sigma \iota \nu$ VII．VII． 6.
оікодо́ $\mu \eta$ бьs VIII．xI． 9.
оікодо́цоя．оікодбноу IV．viII．3．VI． IV．I2．$\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ olко $\delta \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta$ IV． VIII． 3 ．
оіккоє $\nu$ VI．XI． 6.
оікои III．XIII．2．IV．xviI． 7 ．
оікорорє́ $\omega$ ．оікорони́бєє II．v． 24 ． оікоро $\mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ III．xVIII．I．

 xiv．15．oiкоуоиias I．III．I：xIII． I．III．vi．3．VIII．xi． 21 ，$\mu$ bolov I．x．2．ov̀ $\delta \grave{\iota} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ II．v． 24. oiкорорia VIII．VIII．I5．оікороріау IV．xvi．3．V．iII．7．$\pi$ pós II．vi． I5．
оікоуоцєкб́s．оікоуомскй III．xIv． 15 ．
 $\pi а \tau \rho \kappa к \dot{\eta}, ~ \gamma а \mu к к \mathfrak{~ I . ~ х І І . ~ І . ~ о і к о \nu о - ~}$ $\mu \kappa \dot{\partial} \nu$ I．I．2．${ }^{2} \nu \quad \kappa \alpha \lambda о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ оікоро－ $\mu \kappa \kappa \eta ้ \nu$ III．vi．7．катà $\tau \grave{\eta \nu} \nu$ оікоро－ $\mu \kappa \kappa \dot{\nu}$ III．XIV．15．оікоуонккаі VI． xv． 3 ．
оікоуо́коя III．XI．14．VIII．xI． 19. оікоу $\delta \mu о \nu$ I．I．2．VIII．xI． 33.
оікб́тєбоข．оіко́тєда II．VI． 15 ．

 II．vi．I3．
oivos．oi้ $\nu \mathrm{Q}$ V．v． 3 ．
olopal．oïєтal II．III．I：VII．8：IX． 30．III．xvi．i3．oїo $\mu \in \theta a$ II．Iv． 6 ： vi．14．ol̆ovtaı III．IX．4．VI．I．
 II．VII．3：VIII．4，5．VIII．x． 18. oìntaı II．viII．14．ollwעtal VIII． viII．16．olit $\theta$ al II．rv．3．VIII． I．3：Ix．I6：xII．I4．olópevos VIII．x．17， 21 ．oib $\mu \in \nu 06$ VIII． Ix．7．$\varphi \dot{\eta} \eta \eta$ II．vi． 13.
oîos．oủ oîos $\pi$ oteív III．IX． 8 ．oîov III．XI，2，12，14：XIII．4， 24 ：XIV． 4．VI．І．І．oîb̀ $\tau \epsilon$ VI．I．if．
 $\tau \epsilon$ VIII．xi．5．oial III．xiv． 6.

óкข＇є́ $\omega$ ．óкขєî̀ VI．хIII． 9.
б̀л七а́кıs V．v．i2．VI．xI，19．VII． II． 5 ．
$\dot{\partial}_{\lambda}^{\lambda} \gamma a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ ．$\dot{\lambda}_{\lambda} \iota \gamma a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a \nu$ II．Ix． 16，17．III．v．8．VI．xili．if：xv． 7.
 I3．ó̀çap ${ }^{\circ} \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VI．xv． 13.


d̀сүархia II．vi．16．VI．iII．6．VIII． I．3，14：III．9：VI．3，4：XII．I． äкратоs VI．XI．іп．d́ $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о к р а т і а s$ $\pi а р \epsilon \kappa \beta a \sigma \iota$ III．VII． 5 ．дрí̧єтає
 II．7．öтà кúpıo七 $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s ~$ oi tàs oưolas é $\chi o y \tau \epsilon s$ III．viII． 2. ö́tà oi $\pi$ лои́ซto؛ VI．iv．3．ötà oi

 $\rho \omega \nu \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \in \rho o \nu$ III．VII．5．j $\langle\iota \gamma a \rho-$ xias II．vi．17．III．III．I．VI．II． 1，3，4：III．7：IV．5．VIII．I．I5： iII．8．VIII．x．it 35．òtrapxia VIII．vi．io．$\epsilon i \delta \eta$ VI．v．I．$\delta \lambda t-$ rapxlap II．vi．18：xI．8．III．I．r． VI，if．i， 2 ：iv．i．VIII．i．8：iv． 8：v．4：vi．7，Io：vil． 5 ：xil．ı． ката入и̂бац II．xII，2．$\mu l a \nu$ VI．I． 8．Tク̀̀ $\epsilon^{\chi} \chi o \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu \eta \nu$ VII．VII．I．$̇ \nu$

 $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$ III．VIII．3．$\dot{\omega}$ s ov̂ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ b̀ $\lambda c-$ rap $\chi$ ià $\tau \iota \nu a ́$ VI．III． $7 . \quad$ ò $\lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi i a \iota$

 xlaus III．VIII．6．$̇ \nu \tau$ taîs III．v． 6．IV．IX．2．VI．I．if：iv．I．VIII．
 xili．18：xv．I2．VIII．VII．I．oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau a ̀ s ~ \grave{\lambda \lambda \imath \gamma a \rho \chi i a s ~ V I I . ~ v i I . ~} 7$.

 $\chi \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ II．vi．19：xI．7，9．IV．xI． 5．VI．v．i．VII．v．2．VIII． I．II．oi $\pi \rho \delta \dot{\beta} \beta$ Vu $\lambda o t$ VII．viII． 24 ． т $̀ \nu \quad \beta o v \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ II．xII．2．тò aipetás

 $\chi \leftarrow \kappa \eta \eta^{\prime}$ II．vi．20．$\tau \alpha \mathfrak{d} \xi \nu$ VI．xiv． 9.
 VIII．XII．${ }^{15}$ ．ठ $\lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi \iota \kappa$ Vi VII． III．2．ó̀九үархєкаi VI．xIII．II．


 रархєка́s III．хviI．6．VI．iII． 8.
 $\kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \alpha$ VIII．viI． 9 ．$\dot{\lambda \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi \iota \kappa \dot{\omega}-~}$ тероу III．х． 5 ．
ठ̀̀ $\iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ VII．I． 4.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \delta \lambda i \gamma \eta s$（ $\tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s)$ III．xi． 9.


入oús VIII．IV．12．$\pi \rho$ òs tò éprov III．xiII．6．ö $\tau \iota a ̆ \downarrow \nu$ oi ob̀ $\langle\gamma o c$ VII． III．3．$\quad \dot{\lambda i} i \gamma a$ II．v．3．$\delta i^{i} \delta \lambda i \gamma \omega \nu$ VIII．vi．II．$\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i} \delta \lambda i \gamma \omega \nu \pi a ́ \mu \pi a \nu$ II．vi．І．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \lambda i \gamma \omega \nu$ III．xiII．8：
xv．8．VIII．i．ı6． $\begin{gathered}\text { digoos VIII．}\end{gathered}$ I．14．$\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \quad \dot{\text { ® }}$ lyous III．XI． 18. ठ̈т $\iota \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ VII．II．5．$\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s} \dot{\delta} \lambda t-$ rítas VII．v． 4.

 III．VIII． 4 ．
 6．$\grave{\lambda \iota \gamma o \chi p o v i o u s ~ V I I . ~ I I . ~ 5 . ~} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \iota-$ रохродtढ́тєрає VIII．XII．I．
$\delta_{\lambda} \lambda \gamma \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\delta \lambda \iota \gamma \omega \rho 0 \hat{\sigma} \iota \iota$ II．III．4．IV． x．12．VIII．IX．11．ठो $\lambda<\gamma \omega \rho \dot{\gamma} \sigma o v-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$ II．III．5．$\dot{\lambda} \iota \gamma \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．viI． 5.
 III．9：XI．29．$\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha$ VIII． xI． 28.



 VIII．viII．3．$\dot{d} \lambda \eta$ II．$^{\text {v．18．III．}}$
 II．v．27．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\circ} \lambda \omega \nu \mu \dot{e} \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ $\delta^{\prime}$＇$\kappa \kappa \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \mu о \rho i \omega \nu$ III．I． 2.

$\delta \lambda \omega$ s．II．IV．5：v．3，5．III．I．6： x． 5 ：xII．6：xv．5．VI．п． 3. VII．iv．8．VIII．I．3，ir．
$\dot{\delta} \mu a \lambda i \xi \omega . \dot{o} \mu a \lambda i \dot{\jmath} \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．viI．8，Tàs
 $\sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ ктй $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ II．IX．17．$\dot{\dot{\prime}} \mu a \lambda_{\iota}$ $\sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ II．viI．3．$\dot{\dot{c}} \mu a \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \circ \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \eta \nu$ II．VI．ıo．
 20.
$\dot{\dot{\jmath} \mu а \lambda o ́ t \eta s ~ I I . ~ V I I . ~ 6 . ~ V I I I . ~ I X . ~} 9$ ． бпиократько́д IV．хІ． 5.


$\dot{o} \mu \nu \lambda \epsilon \omega$ ．oi $\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{s} \dot{\delta} \mu \iota \lambda o u ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII．
 IX． 4.
$\dot{\delta} \mu i \lambda i a . \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \dot{s} s \quad \sigma \phi \hat{\alpha} s$ aíroùs $\dot{\mu} \mu \lambda i a s$
 9．IV．xvi．17．VIII．x． 17 ，I8．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 VIII．xI．29．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ IV． xVII．I3．
б̈ $\mu \mu \alpha$ ．$\delta v o i ̄ \nu$ д̈ $\mu \mu \alpha \sigma_{\iota}$ III．xvi．I2．
 VIII．ix．io．$\quad \dot{\mu} \nu v v^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ III．xiv． 12.

 II．XI． 5.

 III．xII．2．oi ö́roьo $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ оs VIII． viII．6．$\dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{o} \omega \nu$ II．II．3：VII． 15. III．xII．4．$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．viI． 5. $\epsilon \pi \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．viII．6．$\dot{\partial} \mu o i \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon$ ठ̆̀т $\omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．xvi．4．$\sigma v \nu \notin-$
 2．$\tau \mathfrak{a \lambda \lambda a} \dot{\text { opoiots VI．rV．2．тoîs }}$ $\dot{o} \mu o i o t s$ III．XVI．2．$\tau \grave{\partial} \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ IV．III． 5 ．év roîs ó $\mu \mathrm{oiors}$ III．XI．Io：XVII．2．V．V． 19. ópoious III．xv．Io：xVI．I3．VIII． x．37．єival кai тov̀s тvұóvтas II． VIII． 2 T ．$\pi \rho$ òs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ III．XV．II． ö $\mu$ оца II．III． 9.
ó коtóт $\eta$ s．ка $\theta^{\prime}$ о̀ $\mu о \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ III．VI． 9. о́ ооь́т $\quad$ таs II．III．8， 9.
 v．I8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ V．v． 20.
$\dot{\delta} \mu o i \omega s$ I．II．I4．II．II．6：VI．II：VII． 6，I5．III．X． 5 ：XI．IO：XIII．7： XVI． 13 ．VI．I．6．VIII，I．3， 9 ． $\dot{\delta} \mu o i \omega s$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ III．X． 6 ：XIII．3．є $\mathfrak{l \nu}$ ． III．III．2．єiซiv VIII．III． 15 ． ＇̇ $\chi \in \iota$ I．XIII．9．III．XIII．I8．VI．III． 7．$\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \in \iota \nu$ I．XIII．8．VIII．XI． 23. $\hat{\eta} \chi \theta a \iota$ IV．xv．7．$\dot{\dot{\rho}} \mu \iota \lambda o \hat{\iota} \in \nu$ III．IX． II．$\tau$ aîs $\pi$ oдıtcíals III．XI． 20.
ó но́катоs．дцока́тоия I．II． 5.
$\dot{\delta} \mu о \lambda о \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\dot{\delta} \mu о \lambda о \gamma 0 v \mu \epsilon \nu$ VI．IV．7．$\dot{\rho} \mu о-$ $\lambda o \gamma o u ̂ \sigma \iota$ III．I．2：IX．3：XII．I．V． VII．12．$\dot{\mu} \rho \lambda \sigma \gamma \eta ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ VII．III． 4. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ä $\nu \dot{\partial} \mu o \lambda o \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon l a \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ aúv $\eta \nu$ IV．II．I．$\dot{\delta} \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \in$ VIII．I． 13．$\dot{\circ} \mu о \lambda о \gamma о u ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ IV．II．5．VIII． I．2．$\dot{\delta} \mu o \lambda o \gamma o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a s$ IV．III．I．$\dot{\delta} \mu-$
 VI．XI． 4 ：XVI． 3 ．$\dot{\dot{\prime} \mu o \lambda o \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota}$ IV．I．2．$\dot{o} \mu o \lambda o \gamma o v \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \hat{\varphi} \beta o v \lambda \eta^{-}$ $\mu a \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ II．IX．24．$\dot{\delta} \mu \circ \lambda o-$ रov́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ II．IX．2．V．II． 2 ：IV． 7. ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s$ III．XIII． 22.
$\dot{o} \mu o \lambda o \gamma i a$ I．VI．I．
$\dot{\delta} \mu o \lambda o \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega s$ V．V．Io：VII． 10.
$\dot{\delta} \mu o \nu o \epsilon ́ \omega$ ．$\dot{\delta} \mu o \nu o o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ VIII．vi． 1 o．
$\dot{\delta} \mu о \nu о \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s . \dot{o} \mu о \nu о \eta \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ II．III．3．$\dot{\mu}$ о－ $\nu \omega \eta \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{}$ IV．X．II．
\％$\mu$ о $\rho \frac{s}{}$ ．$\dot{\mu} \mu$ ópous IV．x．I2．
$\dot{\delta} \mu \sigma \sigma i \pi v o s . \dot{\delta} \mu \sigma \sigma \iota \pi v ́ o u s$ I．II． 5.
$\dot{o} \mu o ́ \phi v \lambda o s . \tau \grave{\partial} \mu \eta े \dot{\partial} \mu o ́ \phi v \lambda o \nu$ VIII．III． I1．$\dot{\dot{\prime}} \mu \circ \phi \dot{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ IV．x． 13 ．
$\dot{\delta} \mu о \phi \omega \nu i a . \dot{\prime} \mu o \phi \omega \nu i a \nu$ II．v．I4．
$\dot{\phi} \mu \omega \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \omega$ s I．II．I3．
${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \omega$ II．VI．8：VII．3．III．XI． $2:$ XII． 5 ：xill． 5 ：XIV．6：xV． 15 ．VIII． I．I5：VI． 5.
$\delta \nu \epsilon \iota \delta i \zeta \omega$ ．$\delta \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \iota \zeta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ I．XI， 9.
ӧуоиа VIII．vi．8．коєขо́v III．VII．3． VI．viI．I．$\tau$ oŭvo $\mu \alpha \lambda a \beta \in \hat{\partial} \nu$ IV．x． 3．оย้к оย̈бa้ VI．II．2．$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ òvó－ $\mu a \tau o s$ III．I．7．VI．Xv．4．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha-$ үорєvouévŋs óvó $\mu a \tau \iota$ VI．II．I．òvó－ $\mu a \tau \alpha$ VII．VIII．7．ठ̀о $\mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ II． IV．8．VI．II．I．


 кратіаs VI．IX．Io．
òvoua⿱亠兀ós．óvo $\mu a \sigma \tau o i ́ V I I I . ~ x . ~ 26 . ~$
ö $\pi \lambda \iota \sigma \iota s$ ． 0 ö $\pi \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．XIII．I．
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \pi \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．VI，16．VI．xIII． 9．$\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \cup \kappa o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ VI．x山I． 9.
$\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda i ́ \tau \eta s . \dot{o} \pi \lambda i \not \tau \eta \nu(\chi \omega \rho \rho \alpha \nu)$ VII．VII．I． ò $\pi \lambda i ̂ \tau a \iota$ IV．IV．6．VIII．vi． 6. $\dot{\delta} \pi \lambda i \tau a s$ II．IX． 16.
о̇ $\pi \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s . ~ \tau \grave{~ o ̀ ~} \dot{\pi} \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$ IV．IX．9．VI． III．I：IV．15：XIII．1o．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \cup ̉ \pi o^{-}$ $\rho \omega \nu$ VII．VII．I．
ö $\pi \lambda o \nu . \quad \delta \pi \lambda \alpha$ I．II．16．IV．VIII． 7.
 VII．4．кєкт $\eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ II．VI．5：VIII． 8．кєкт $\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．vi．3．VI．XIII． 4．$\tau \grave{o} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ö $\sigma \lambda \alpha$ є้ $\chi o \nu$ II．VIII． 2. ठ̈ $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ II．v．19．ки́рıo IV．IX． 5. $\pi \alpha \rho a i \rho \in \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．xI． 32 ．$\quad \pi \pi$ 入o II．vi．7．III．XIv．7．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{~s}}$

 ó $\pi o l o u s ~ \tau \iota \nu a ́ s ~ I I I . ~ X I . ~ 20 . ~$
 ómolqoû̀ III．xv．4．ó $\pi$ olovoû̀ VIII． IV． 10.
öтобоs．о் $\pi о ́ \sigma \eta \nu$ II．VII． 6.
 бoルбoû̀ VI．IV． 13.
$\dot{\circ} \pi \delta \sigma \tau 0 s$ II．III． 5 ．
 ò $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ VIII．XI． 32.
 оं $\pi о \tau \epsilon \rho \circ v o \hat{\nu}$ VII．IV． $16 . \dot{\text { о } \pi о \tau \epsilon-~}$ $\rho \circ v \sigma o u ̂ \nu$ VI．IV． 22.
óтотє́р $\omega$ I．VIII． 5 ．
öтои II．XI．Ir．III．XIV．I3：XVI． 2. IV．iv．I3．VI．IV．i．VIII．v．ı． ö $\pi o v$ ä̀ VII．IV．2．ö $\pi o v \gamma^{\epsilon}$ VI． VII．3．$\delta$ ö $\pi \frac{v}{} \pi \epsilon \rho$ d̈ $\nu$ VIII．X． 28 ． $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\pi ⿰ ㇒ ⿻ 二 丨 冂 刂$ II．XI．I 4 ．
öттонац．$\dot{\phi} \phi \theta \hat{\eta}$ II．I．I．
б$\pi \pi \omega$ S II．v． 8 ．
ঠрана I．XI．I2．ذ $\rho \alpha \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ IV．XVII． 7.
ópatós．ópazoís V．v． 20.
$\dot{\delta} \rho \alpha \dot{\omega}$ ．$\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ II．V．I2．III．I．I．V． VI．5．VI．I． 2 ：III．1．$\dot{\rho} \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ II． vi． 8 ：XI．Іо．I3．VII．v．7．VIII． III．3：viII．5．$\dot{\delta} \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$ IV．XI． 8. VIII．II． 5 ：III．2：VII．12：X． 26.
ò $\gamma$ रavıкós．ó $\rho \gamma a \nu \iota \kappa a ̀ s ~ d ̀ \rho \epsilon \tau a ́ s ~ I . ~ x I I I . ~$ 2.
 1v． 2 ．\％$\rho \gamma \alpha \nu \delta \nu \nu \tau$ IV．I．7．$\tau \epsilon \chi^{\nu \iota-}$ $\kappa \delta \nu$ V．vI． $9 . \quad \dot{\delta} \rho \gamma a \dot{\nu} \varphi$ IV．VIII． 3. ó $\rho \gamma \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu$ I．II．3．IV．IV．9：vIII． 7. V．vi．I上．a $\rho \chi \alpha i \omega \nu$ V．vi．I3． ó $\rho \gamma$ ávous IV．XVII．2．$\pi$ oiols V．VI． 5.
óf $\gamma \dot{\eta} . \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 入ú $\pi \eta s$ VIII．х．35．ठ́ $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ V．v．18．VIII．x． 15,20 ．$v \pi$＇ $\dot{\delta} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ III．xv．8．$\dot{\delta} \rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ VIII．x． 33 ．
 vi．9．ípүıaбтıкá V．viI．9．

 VIII．x． 19 ．
 тai III．VI．3．VIII．Iv．3．$\quad$ o $\rho \in-$ $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．II．3．$\quad \dot{\rho} \in \gamma \rho \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ VIIII．X． 5.

 Iv．6：xvi．5．＇$\pi \tau \mu \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ IV．xv． 10.
 xili．Io．
ó $\rho \theta$ ós III．xIII．9．$\tau \grave{\partial}$ ó $\rho \theta o ́ \nu$ III．xIII． 12．$\quad \rho \theta \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$ II．v．I3．$\quad \dot{\rho} \theta a i=\pi 0 \lambda c-$ $\tau \epsilon i ̂ a \iota ~ I I I . ~ v i . ~ I I . ~ \dot{\partial} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．v． 5. III．xiv．I．$\tau$ às jo $\rho \theta$ às $\pi$ o入ı $\tau \epsilon i a s$ III．XIII．20：XVIII．I．VI．II．I．


 IV．XIII．2．кєч $\mu \in \mathcal{\nu}$
 $\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ V．v．7．$\pi \epsilon \rho l$ tov̂ д $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ к каl
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$ оц̉к $\dot{\partial} \rho \theta \omega \bar{\omega}$ IV．III．I．
$\dot{\delta} \rho i \zeta \omega . \dot{o} \rho i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ IV．xvi，10．$\dot{\text { ofl } i \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota}$ VII．II． 7 ．où $\delta \dot{v} \nu \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä̀ $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ oj $\rho$ i－乌єтą $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ III．I． 6. ópi§ovтaı III．II．I．VI．IX．I．VII．III． 4. $\kappa а \kappa \omega ̂ s ~ V I I I . ~ I X . ~ I 4 . ~ \dot{~} \rho \iota \zeta \rho \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ I． xiII．Io．$\dot{o} \iota \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \dot{\nu} \nu o u s \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ V1． xiII．7．$\omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon$－ $\kappa \nu о \pi о \iota t a s ~ I V . ~ X V I . ~ I 5 . ~ \dot{p l \sigma \theta a l ~ I I . ~}$ vi．12．VIII．Ix．I4．$\dot{o}$ кãà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\dot{c}} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0$ IIII．I．I I．$\dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu a$ V．viI．I3．$\dot{\omega} \ell \sigma \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ II．VII． 7 ． III．xIv．9．$\chi \rho \sigma \nu \omega \nu$ III．．．6．$\quad \epsilon \pi i$ $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \neq \nu 0 \iota s$ III．xIv．14．$\dot{\text { u }} \rho \iota-$ $\sigma \mu$ èvous III．xiII． 15.
 VII．VIII． 4 ．
ӧркоя III．xIV．12．ӧркоя VIII．ix． 11．оокоия VIII．ix．io．
 31．$\dot{\rho} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ VIII．x． 27 ．$\dot{\rho} \rho \mu \eta^{-}$

ӧ $\rho \mu \eta$ I．II．I5．
ópos II．vI．9：viI．16．VI．IX． 6. d́рєбтократlas d̀ $\rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ VI．VIII． 7. $\lambda \in \chi \theta \epsilon$ is IV．IV．9：v．4．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \cos$ II．IX．32．$\pi 0$ 人 $\iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ II．IX． 35. IV．II．8．\％$\rho \circ \boldsymbol{\nu}$ I．Ix．I8．IV．v．2： vi．9：xIII．2：xv．I．$\pi$ o入ıceias VII．II．3．ö $\rho o l ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ VI．IX．2．$\delta \rho \omega \nu$ III．XIII．9．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ трıбiv ópous VI．xv．14．ópous V． viI．15．VI．xi．3．tivas $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v a t$ III．Ix．I．
б́ $\rho \phi \alpha \nu \iota \kappa$ ós．$\dot{\text { ó }} \phi \alpha \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．viII． 7.
ঠ̈ $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \iota s . b \rho \chi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ V．v． 3.

ठ̀s．$\hat{\eta}$ каi $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ II．x．5．$\delta \iota^{\prime} \% \nu$ VIII．
 III．xiI．8．$\epsilon \downarrow$ ois III．vi．3：xiI． 1．$\tau$ ò oîs III．IX．2．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{c}$ ots III．Ix．3．$\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}$ ols IV．viI． 8. ＇$\phi^{\prime}$ ，ais VIII．XI．19．к $\alpha \theta^{\prime}$ oûs VI． I．Io．
 $\mu \grave{~ I V . ~ X V I . ~ I 5 . ~}$
öбos．ถ̈бov II．III．4．ถ̈ $\sigma o \nu$＇$\phi^{\prime}$＇éavtoîs
 $\delta \sigma \omega \nu$ III．XI．I4．$\pi \in \rho i$ III．XI， 19.
ठ̈бобтєр．ठ̈боעтє III．IX．5．ठ̈ $\sigma \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$ VI．iv． 8.

ठ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ．ӧ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ II．v．2，1 7 ．III．XiII． 23 ， 25：xiv．8：XV．II．VI．IV．I4． ойт $\epsilon \rho$ III．XI．Іо．ä $\pi \epsilon \rho$ III．хІІ． 14.



 3．oủó＇$\dot{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$ II．XII．I．
 $\dot{\delta} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \kappa i \bar{\zeta} \epsilon \nu$ III．XvII．7．VIII．III． 3.
 $\sigma \mu \delta \nu$ III．XIII．15， 22 ．$\dot{\sigma \tau \rho а к \iota-~}$ $\sigma \mu o i s ~ I I I . ~ X I I I . ~ 23 . ~$
 $\delta \epsilon$ II．v．26．III．iII，8．IV．Iv． 10．VIII．I．8：III．I：IV．12： v．5：vi．9，17．ठ̈тє $\mu \dot{\ell} \nu$ ，ö $\tau \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ， ठँ $\tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon$ VI．III． 4.
 xv．6．oủ ö́ $\uparrow$ IV．xi．II．
oủ रà $\rho$ o ón II．v．28．III．xili． 25. V．III．3．oủ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ VIII．XI， 3. ov่ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ III．i．го．VI．II．3．VIII． I．II．ov่ $\mu$ خ̀ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ III．XIII． 20. oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇oú $\delta \epsilon$ II．III． 8 ：v． 18. VI．IV．5．oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ oú $\delta \dot{c} \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a$ $\dot{\omega}$ s єlँєє̂̀ II．vII．18．ờ $\tau \ell \gamma \epsilon \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ III．XI． 12.
ô̂．v่ $\pi \grave{\partial} \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．XIII． 9.
oú $\delta a \mu \hat{v}$ VIII．I． 14.
oひ̉ס̄́ III．XII．8：XIII．2I：XVI． 2. VI．IV．3．oú $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ रd́ III．XII． 9. oưoè $\delta \eta{ }^{2} \pi$ ou III．xviI． 7.
oú $\delta \epsilon i$ is．oủ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \omega$ $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda_{o \nu}$ III．xI． 20. oưסév（adv．）III．XII．4：xiv． 6. oú $\delta \in \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ II．IV． 9.
 oủ̇éva IV．x． 9.
оல்кย̇т८ III．xv． $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ．
оu่кои̂̀ III．x．4．оủкои̂ข єl каl III． XIII． 8.
oîv．à $\frac{0}{}$ oiv III．x． 5 ．
oîs．$\hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ III．xvi． 12 ．$\quad$ డ̈ $\tau \omega \nu$ VI．Iv． 8.
oưoía．$\beta \rho a \chi$ єîa II．viI．12．oủбías II． III．2：VI．12：viI． 7,2 I．$\mu \in \gamma^{\epsilon} \theta \eta$

VI．III．2．$\delta \mu \alpha \lambda 6 ́ t \eta{ }^{2}$ II．VII． 6. VIII．IX．9．$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os VII．III． 2.
 VII．III．2．ov́ $l^{\prime} a \nu$ I．IX．I 5．II． III．3：V．II：VI．I5：VII，6， 8. VIII．IV．4：XI．1о．$\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \nu ~ V I . ~$ IV．5．$\mu \epsilon \in \sigma \eta \nu \kappa \alpha i$ iкад ${ }_{\eta} \nu$ VI．XI．II． $\mu \eta े$ ко九 $\grave{\eta} \nu$ єìval II．V．II．$\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \imath ิ \nu$ II．VII．6．то̀ кєкт $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau р і а \nu$ ov̉ là VI．VI．2．ov̉бlal VIII．vii． IO：VIII．20．ov่ $2 \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．III． 8. taîs ov̉ซials VIII．XII．I4．$\tau$ às ov̉𧰨ias II．VII．8．VIII．v． 5 ：VIII．
 VI．XI．I6．VIII．v．I．$\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ tàs oủ $\begin{aligned} & \text { las II．VII．} 2 .\end{aligned}$
oưTє．оӥтє一ท้ III．XVII． 7 ．
oûtos．ои̂т．os $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$ III．V． I ． тои̂тo $\gamma a ́ \rho ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau ́ ́ ~ I I I . ~ X V I . ~ I o . ~$ тои̂тó $\gamma \epsilon$ III．XI． 2 I．тои́т $\varphi-$ Є̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$
 13．тaút II ．IX．20．tâ̂ta III． XI，16．VIII．I．14．є̇к $\tau 0 \cup ́ \tau \omega \nu$ III．XV．I2．$\epsilon \in \pi i$ тov́tols III．IX． 7. $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ ．тoútous II．VI．6．そ̈ тaûta خै $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ II．VIII． 5.
ou゙тย II．III．2．III．XI．15：XIII． 23.
 $\pi \omega \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ III．IX．Io．
ou゙t III．XVII．6．ย゙ $\chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ II．IX． 22.
 III．XI．ig．
ò $\phi \epsilon i \lambda \omega . \quad \delta \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．VIII．I4， 15.
 $\mu \in \nu a$ VIII．v． 2.
 XIII．5．$\mu \eta \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu$ ö $\phi \epsilon \lambda o s$ IV．I． 7. oủ $\delta \in \grave{\nu} \nu$ ö $\phi \epsilon \lambda$ os II．VII．8，9．IV．xili． II．VII．vili．9．VIII．IX． 12.
$\delta \partial \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o ́ s . ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \delta ́ \nu$ III．XI． 4.
 $\mu \circ i ̂ s ~ \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o ́ \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota \nu$ VII．IV． 17. $\dot{\delta} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o u ́ s$ III．xvi． 12.
$\delta \chi \epsilon \tau o ́ s$ ．$\dot{o} \chi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．III． 16 ．
ô $\chi \lambda$ os II．vi．6．III．xv．7．VII．v． 3 ． á $o p a i ̂ o s ~ V I I . ~ I V . ~ I 4 . ~ \nu a v t ı o ́ s ~$
 v．8．$\quad$ ơ $\chi \lambda \frac{}{\prime}$ VIII．vi．6：x．II． ö $\chi \lambda \omega \nu$ III．XIV． 13 ．

ö $\psi o \nu . \quad \circ \psi \omega \nu$ V．v． 6.
ò $\psi о \pi о$ ӧккоs．$\grave{\psi} \psi$ отойк $\bar{\eta}$ I．VII． 3 ．

## II．

$\pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \eta \mu \alpha$ ．$\pi \alpha \theta \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ I．v． 9.
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}(\mu о \rho \grave{\varphi} \varphi)$ I．v．6．$\delta \lambda \omega \bar{s}$
 VII． 9 ．
$\pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta$ os V．VII．4．VIII．x．34．$\grave{\eta} \theta$ ous V．v．ェ6．$\pi a ́ \theta o u s ~ I I . ~ X I I . ~ 9 . ~ є ̇ \tau \epsilon ́-~$ $\rho o u \quad \tau \iota \nu 0 s$ III．xV．8．$\epsilon \nu \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \iota$
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 XIII．IO．$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ V．I． 4．$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ V I I . ~ I I . ~ 5 . ~$ $\pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \delta u o i ̂ v ~ V I I I . ~ X I . ~ 28 . ~$
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ VI．I． 5.
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ ．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi o v \sigma \omega \nu$ IV．vil． 7. VI．ix． 6．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．x．9．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ V．VII．5．как $\hat{\text { S IV．XI．9．} \pi a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu}$
$\tau \iota$ VIII．XI．25．$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi<\nu \theta \in$ I．xII． 3. VI．ix．6．VIII．xil． 15 ．$\pi \epsilon \pi \nu^{2}-$ $\theta$ évą V．vil． 15.
$\pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ I．XII．3．VIII．IV．4．$\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s$ VIII．XI．3．$\pi a \tau \rho i$ i $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i o ̂ ́ \nu ~ I I . ~$ iv．2．$\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha$ IV．III．4．VIII．IV． 7．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ IV．xvi．3．$\pi a-$ $\tau \epsilon$ pas II．III． 8.
$\pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa \dot{s}$ ．$\pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa \eta$ I．хІІ．у．$\pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa a i$ III．xiv． 6.
$\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s$ VIII．xI． 29.
$\pi \alpha ́ t \rho \iota o s ~ I I I . ~ x i v . ~ 8 . ~ \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho t o \nu ~ I I . ~ v i I . ~$ 19．Tò $\pi a ́ \tau \rho \iota o \nu$ II．viri．21．$\pi$ atpias $\delta \eta \mu о к р а т і а$ VIII．v．ıо．$\tau \hat{\eta} \nu \pi \dot{\alpha}$－
 III．xiv．12．$\pi$ áтрıaı III．xIV．7， 11．$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \tau p t a$ VIII．x．5．$\pi a \rho \dot{a}$ （ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho a$ ）II．VIII．I8．
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \varphi o s . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \tau \rho \dot{\varphi} \omega \nu$ VIII．IV． 4.
тầ入a．$\pi a \hat{v} \lambda a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o \pi o t i a s ~ I V . ~$ xVI． 9 ．
$\pi a v ̃ \omega$ ．$\pi a v ́ \epsilon \iota$ V．v．2．$\pi a \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ סov入єú－
 II．VII． 17 ．
$\pi \epsilon \delta \iota a \kappa \delta \kappa s$. тoùs $\pi \epsilon \delta \iota a \kappa o u ́ s$ VIII．v． 9 ．
$\pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{n}$ VIII．III． 7 ．
$\pi \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega . \pi \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \nu \delta \partial \tau \tau \omega$ IV．vi． 8.
$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon \bar{\nu} \nu$ II．IV．4．III． xV．14．$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 入ó ${ }^{\circ} \varphi$ VI．XI． 4 ．
$\pi \in i \theta \omega$ ．$\pi \in \hat{\imath} \sigma a i$ IV．II．I3．$\pi$ eíбavtes VIII．iv．I3．$\pi \epsilon \in \theta \in \tau a \iota$ VI．Iv． 29. $\pi \in i \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ III．xIII． 25 ：xVII．8．IV． III．6：v．3．тoîs кєє $\mu \in \dot{\nu}$ оots $\nu$ ómots VI．viil．6．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ I I . ~$ viII．24．$\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \dot{\rho} \mu \in \nu=$ IV．I．I4． $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma=\nu \tau a \iota$ VI．I．7．$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \bar{\omega} \omega \nu$ IV．XIII．I2．$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \in \dot{e} \nu \omega \nu$ VIII． IV． 13.
$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \alpha ́ \omega$ ．$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \nu$ II．VII．II．ö $\tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}$ тvpapvô̂ III．Iv． 9.

 xiv．20．VI．II． 6.
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\omega} \omega$ ．$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \iota$ VIII．vil．5．$\overline{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon-}$ $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o$ VIII．Iv．I3．$\pi \in \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ II． VI．19．III．xiII． 23 ．IV．II．I5． VII．VIII．Io．VIII．VIII．9， 12 ： xi．7．$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \dot{\varphi} \mu \nu=s$ III．XIII． 14. $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \dot{e} \nu \omega \nu$ V III．х． $36 . \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon}-$ voss III．xvi．5．$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \mu \notin \nu o u s$ VI． VII．I．
$\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \alpha ́ s . \pi \epsilon \mu \pi a ́ \delta \iota$ VIII．xit． 8.
$\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau$ ós．$\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \not \partial \nu$ III．xiv． 15 ．VI． IV．Io．$\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \grave{\partial} \nu ~ \kappa a i ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu ~ I V . ~$ viII． 7.
 IV．xII．6．$\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \in \nu \tau a$ III．XIII． 17.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon i a$. II．1x．2．$\pi \epsilon \nu \in \sigma \tau \epsilon i a s$ II． v． 22.
 12．$\pi \dot{e} \nu \eta \tau \in s$ II．IX．19．III．X．I： xI．7．VI．Iv．3：XI．9．VII．III．

4．$\pi \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \nu$ VIII．XII．I5．$\sigma \phi 6 \delta \delta \alpha$ II．Ix．3I．$\pi \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．Iv． 2. тoîs 入iav II．IX．32．$\pi$ tévqras II． viI．3，5：Ix．19．VII．Iv．Io．VIII． xi．8．$\pi \in \nu \in \sigma \tau \in \rho \circ$ VIII．xII． 16.
$\pi \epsilon \nu i a$ VII．II．7．VIII．III．16．каi $\pi \lambda$ ди̂тos III．vIII．7．$\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ каі какоирүіад II．vi．13．тєрias II．vi．13．$\pi \epsilon \nu i a$ IV．xiII．7．$\pi \epsilon \nu i a \nu$ I．XI．9．VIII．xI． 9.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \chi \rho \omega \hat{s}$ I．II． 3.

$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu \circ$ ．$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \rho \alpha \chi \mu a$（ $\sigma v \nu \alpha \lambda$－ $\lambda \alpha \dot{\gamma} \mu a \tau \alpha)$ VI．XVI． 4.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i s . \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i \delta o s$ VIII．viII． to．
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi i \lambda \iota \rho . \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \lambda i \omega \nu$ II．vi． 5.
 $\sigma \iota о \mu \in \delta i \mu \nu \omega \nu$ II．хІІ． 6.
 $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o s . \pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \pi \lambda a \sigma i a \nu$ II．VII． 4．$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma i a s$ II．VI． 15.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \rho \chi i a . \tau a ̀ s \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \rho \chi i a s$ II．xI． 7.
$\pi \epsilon \in \alpha$ IV．viI．8．（oi $\pi \epsilon \in \rho a \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\pi \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \mu \iota \sigma o v ̃ \sigma)$ VII．Iv． 17.
$\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho a s$ I．II．8：IX．I，12．（ $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho a s{ }^{2}$ रे̀ тé̉os $\pi$ áбаıs I．Ix．I3．）тà éктòs

$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a ́ \gamma \omega$ ．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ II．VI． 4 ．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \omega . \pi \epsilon \rho \downarrow \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \bar{\nu}$ IV．xI．Iо．$\pi \epsilon$－ pıaцрєī̃ $\theta a \iota$ VII．II． 8.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ IV．хІ． го． $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̃ \nu$ III．III．5．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta$－ $\mu$ е́vois $\tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta$ IV．XI． 1 I．

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \imath \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ III．III． 5 ．
тєрієци．тєриіодтая VIII．х．І9．
$\pi є р і є \iota \mu, \quad \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho t \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ VII．v． 7.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \rho \gamma o s . \pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \rho \gamma o \nu$ VIII．xI． 33.
$\pi \epsilon \rho t e ́ \chi \omega$ ．$\pi \epsilon \rho t e ́ \chi \in \iota$ III．xiv．2．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ $\epsilon \chi \chi \circ v \sigma \alpha$ I．I．I．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \zeta \dot{\zeta} \nu \nu v \mu \iota . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．II．II．
$\pi \epsilon \rho$ í̃ $\tau \eta \mu$ ．$\pi \epsilon \rho$ 廹 $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$ VIII．Iv． 9.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon і \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon$ I．viII．3．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ （ $\tau$ oîs $\nu$ ó $\mu o \iota s$ ）III．XvI．i i．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon i \lambda \eta \mu$－ $\mu_{e}^{e} \nu \alpha$ VIII．XI． 14.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu a ́ \chi \eta \tau o s . \tau \alpha \dot{\gamma} \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a ́ \chi \eta \tau \alpha$ II．IX． 35.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i o \delta o s . \pi \epsilon \rho t o \delta \delta \omega$ VIII．xiI．8．Tàs $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho$ óóous II．III． 9.
 9.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho$ юóк $\omega \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os IV．vI．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho$ юоік $\omega \nu$ II．х．16．$\pi \epsilon \rho$ оікоия II． Ix．3．IV．Ix． 8 ：X．I3．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i a . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o v \sigma i a \nu$ IV．x． 7.


$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．VII． 8.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau i \eta \mu \iota . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ V．VI．I4．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau$＇́s．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \hat{\eta} s$ VIII．x．26．$\tau$ ò $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \delta \nu$ II．vi．6．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau a ́$ V．vi．7：

 III．3．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \delta \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho$ os II．viII．．．
$\pi \in \rho \iota \tau \tau \omega \bar{s}$ II．xI．I．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \epsilon \epsilon^{\rho} \omega . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ IV．II．I I．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \omega \theta \epsilon \in \omega . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \omega \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ VIII．VI．I4． $\pi \epsilon p i \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ VIII．iv． 6.

$\pi \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} . \pi \eta \gamma \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu$ VIII．I． 7. $\pi \eta \delta \dot{\alpha} \lambda c o \nu$ III．XI． 14.
$\pi \eta \kappa \tau i s . \pi \eta \kappa \tau i \delta \epsilon s$ V．vi． 13.
$\pi \eta \rho \sigma \omega . \pi \epsilon \pi \eta \rho \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ò IV．xvi． 15.
$\pi \eta \chi$ ús．$\pi \eta \chi \bar{\omega} \nu$ VIII．III． 6.
$\pi i \theta$ os $\dot{o} \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu_{0}$ VII．v． 7.
$\pi เ \nu a ́ k เ o \nu ~ I I . ~ v i I I . ~ 5 . ~$
тivaç．тіракоs V．vI． 12.
$\pi i \nu \omega . \pi i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．II．II．$\pi \iota \epsilon i \nu$ IV．I． 4.
$\pi \iota \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega . \pi \rho a \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ I．vi． 5.
$\pi i \pi \tau \omega . \pi i \pi \tau o v \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \xi \omega \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \omega$ raîs $\pi \lambda \epsilon i-$ $\sigma \tau \alpha, s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ VI．XI．2．$\pi i \pi$ ． тоута VII．VIII． 18 ．$\pi \iota \pi \tau \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VII．VIII． 4.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \omega$ ．$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ヒ́бova VIII．XI． 15. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．XI．16．$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ VIII．viII．4：x．II．$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ III．xv．14．$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．x． 23．$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon i s$ VIII．v．1o．$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu-$ $\theta \in ̇ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII．x．4．ímò $\tau 0 \hat{1}$ रínuou VIII．v．9．$\quad \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon v \theta \in ́ v \tau a s ~ I I I . ~ x v i . ~$ 7.

тiotis VIIII．v．9：xI．5．$\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ रó $\gamma \omega \nu \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$ IV．IV． 7. $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ IV．I．6．$\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ II．III． 8.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau o s . \quad \pi \iota \sigma \tau o l(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \sigma v \mu \beta\langle\lambda \alpha \iota a)$ III．XIII．2．$\pi$ tatoús VIII．XI． 15. $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \tau \alpha ́ \tau o s(o ̊ ~ \delta \iota a \iota \tau \eta \tau i ́ s)$ VI．XII． 5.
$\pi \lambda a \tau \alpha \gamma \dot{\eta}$ V．VI．2．$\pi \lambda a \tau a \gamma \eta \eta^{\nu}$ V．vI． 2.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{k} \tau \mathrm{~s}$ IV．XI． 4.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau o s . \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̋ \tau \tau \nu$ VI．I．4：II．2．oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau 0 \iota$ VI．I．5．VIII．III． 13 ． $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha o i s} \pi \lambda e i \sigma \tau 0 ı s ~ \sigma \chi \in \delta o ́ \nu$ VI．VIII． 8．$\tau$ is $\tau 0 i \bar{s} \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \iota s \mu i a \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ VI． I．I．$\dot{\omega} s \pi_{\lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o u s ~ I I . ~ I X . ~} 18$. $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha$ II．Ix． 28.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ．I．xifi．I．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \rho \nu$ II．IV．I． III．xII．6．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{i} 0 \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~(~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\chi$ ט́pas）III．xIII．2．$\tau i \pi \lambda \epsilon i \frac{\nu}{2}$ II．

 VIII．I．4．єîval кúpıo VIII．ix． 14．креíтtov VIII．VII．6．à $\pi \grave{o}$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ iovos $\tau \iota \mu \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \tau=s$ VIII．viI． 9. тô̂ $\pi \lambda$ eiovos II．viI．19．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$
 xi．19．VI．I．1s：III．5．évós III． xiII．8，I3．$\mu \mathrm{La} \mathrm{s}$ VII．I．7．（ $\pi$ 入eious
$\dot{\omega}$ ёккабтos VI．IV． 27 ．oi $\pi \lambda$ élous III．x． 2 ：xIII．4．VI．IV．5．$\pi \lambda$ tio－ $\nu \omega \nu$ II．II，3．III．xiv． 15 ：Xv．I． VIII．III．8：x．36．$\delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VI．IV． 3．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \nu$ III．XIII．4，I1． $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o \sigma l \nu$ II．VIII．I3．VIII．I． 14 ． $\pi \lambda \epsilon l_{0 \nu a s ~ I I I . ~ x y i . ~ 9 . ~}^{\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v a ~ V I . ~}$ Iv．5．$\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ II．v．3．VI．III． 4.
$\pi \lambda є$ єидккs VI．v．i．VIII．xil．ıо．
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ．$\pi \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \neq \nu$ III．XII．5．$\epsilon \in \pi o f o v \nu$



 váSovta IV．vi． 4.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．II． 2：VII．Io．$\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa т \epsilon i ́ \nu ~ I I . ~ V I I . ~ 9, ~$ 20．IV．II．10．VI．VI．9．VII．III． 6．VIII．I．4：III．12：vII．7， 9 ． $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau о \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s \quad \pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ VI．
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon к т о 仑 ิ \nu \tau a s$ VIII．II． 5 ．
$\pi \lambda є о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu a . \quad \pi \lambda є о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu a \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ VIII． x． 10 ．
$\pi \lambda є о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \delta ́ s . \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \notin \rho a s$ IV． xIV． 15.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \nu \in \xi \in \operatorname{III}$ ．xII．3．VIII．III．I． $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \xi$ gias IV．vi． $4 . \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \xi$ §iav $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủ̉ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ III．xII．4．ai $\tau o \hat{~} \delta \dot{\eta} \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \in$ द̧́al VI．XII． 6.
$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} . \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \eta^{\prime} \nu$ II．Ix．16．$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \alpha i ̂ s$ IV．xvil．9．$\pi \lambda \eta \gamma a ́ s$ VIII．x．Ig．
$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os II，II．2：v．28．III．xviil．I． IV．xi．3．V．vi．8．VIII．viil．io． $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о$ тє $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \delta \dot{\nu}$ III．xIII，4．ä $\nu$ $\tau \iota \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ II．viII．20．$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os ơ $\nu \mathrm{II}$ ．V．I5．катд̀ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os VI．IV．5．oiкои́vt $\omega$ II．VI． 2. ó $\pi$ olopoî̀ VIII．iv． 10 ．oủaias II． VII．5，i6．oủ $\tau$ ò $\tau$ úxov IV．viII． 8 ． $\pi a ̂ \nu$ III．XI．5．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \delta \partial \nu$ III．xviI． 4．$\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．xi．6．$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ IV． IV．II．$\tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega$ II．viI．5．IV．xvi． 15．$\tau \grave{o} \nu \hat{v} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \nu$ II．vI．6．$\tau \grave{o}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \eta े \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ VI．IV．9．$\tau \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~S}$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \partial \ddot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．v． 18．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \rho \omega \nu$ VIII．III．6．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 VI．vi．8．$\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os III．viI． 3 ： VIII．4：X．I：XI．13，14，18：xili． 8：xv．8，i2．VI．iv．I．VII．II． 2. VIII．V．I：VI．I：viI．6．ä $\nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \hat{n} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \circ \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ II．XI． 16.

 $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~ к и ́ p \iota o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̀ v a \iota ~ I I I . ~ X I . ~ I . ~ \tau o-~$ бои̂тol III．XIII．6．тò тolov̂тov III．xviI．4．$\tau \grave{o ̀} \tau v \chi^{0} \nu$ IV．iv． 6.

 каì $\chi \omega ́ \rho a s ~ I V . ~ I V . ~ 2 . ~ \mu e \chi \rho \imath ~ \tau \iota \nu o ̀ s ~$ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous IV．vi．6．тỗ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$

III．x．3：xiv．12：xv．16．IV． iv．6．VIII．Ix．9：xiI． 16 ．
 $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous VIII．viII．6．$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ I． I．2．II．viII．2．VIII．I． 12 ：VII． 12．каi $\mu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ IV．v．I．$\tau \rho \iota \chi$ ิ̂ $\nu$ II．viII．I．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ VI．XI． 14 ． VIII．vi．12：viII．14：x．If．$\tau$ d̀ $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ III．xiII．Io．VIII．xi．I9． $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} \omega$ ．$\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．Ix． 17. $\pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{o} o v \sigma a$（ $\left.\tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\prime}\right)$ IV．xviI． I ． $\pi \lambda \eta$ Ә́vov ě $\tau \iota$ IV．xvi． 8.
$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \rho o \nu . \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \rho \alpha$ I．IV． 3 ．
$\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime}$ III．XI． 2 I：XII． 9 ．
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \eta$ s．$\pi \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \eta$ III．xI． 7 ．IV．vII． 2．$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ II．v． 22.
$\pi \lambda \eta \rho \delta \omega . \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \kappa \epsilon$ II．VI． 3.
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \mu a$ ．$\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \chi \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ III． xiII．I3．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi b\langle\epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} s \tau \iota$ II．viI． 22. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \eta_{\mathrm{s}} \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{S}$ VI．Iv． 12.
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma_{i o v}$ VIII．viI．I4．oi $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu$ II．viI．I5．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu$ IV．II． 12．VI．IV．I3．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu$ $a_{0} \rho \chi \in L$ IV．viI． 2.
$\pi$ 入oîo IV．IV 10．$\pi$ 入oîa VII． VI． 4.
$\pi \lambda$ oûs．$\delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ III．XIII． 23.
 III．xi．6：xiI．8，13：II．4．VI． Iv．2：IV．4，5．VIII．IV．II． $\pi$ גovai $\omega \nu$ II． 5, II．VI．xv． 1 ． VII．III．3．VIII．v．5，10：xif． 15．ס九á III．xIII．5．$\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda$ रovaí $\omega \nu$
 oiovs II．vil．3．III．x．1，3．VII． v．6．VIII．v．9：XI．8．$\pi$ 入ovat－ ஸ́tєpos III．xiII．7．$\pi$ 入ovб九＇́тєро⿱ III．xili． 10 ．
 iv．3．$\pi$ 入ovteî I．XI．9．II．xi． 15．VI．IV．18．$\pi$ лоvт $\hat{\omega} \nu$ voui－ $\sigma \mu a \tau o s ~ I . ~ 1 x . ~ 11 . ~ \pi \lambda o v \tau o u ̂ v \tau a s ~ V I . ~$ III． 2.
$\pi \lambda o v \tau i \nu \delta \eta \nu \quad$（aipeî̃ $\theta a c)$ II．XI．8， 9. VI．viI．3．$\tau \grave{2} \pi \lambda$ ovtiv $\bar{\eta} \nu \bar{\delta} \lambda \iota \gamma \alpha \rho-$ $\chi$ єќ้ II．хІ． 9.
$\pi \lambda \frac{v ̂ \tau o s ~ I I . ~ v i I . ~}{2 \text { I．III．viil．7．VI．}}$ Iv．22．VIII．I．7：III．16．ó $\rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu$ $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os I．ViII． 15 ．$\pi$ hoútov III． XII．5：XIII．24．IV．I．5．VI． xi．6．VIII．x．I4．$\pi \lambda$ ои́ $\frac{1}{\varphi}$ IV．
 1．II．Ix．7：xi．if．III．xIII．7： xv．15，i2．VI．III．2．VI．vil． 4：xil．1．VIII．x．it．（році－ $\sigma \mu a \tau o s ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$ I．IX．10）кат⿳亠㐅 $\pi \lambda о \hat{\tau} \frac{\nu}{\text { III．xIII．10．VI．III．2，} 4 .}$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \lambda$ 入ỗ $\tau o \nu$ III．xII． 6.
$\pi \lambda \omega \tau \eta \eta_{p}$ ．$\epsilon i s \tau_{i s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кotv $\omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．IV． 1．$\pi \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \omega \nu$ III．Iv．2．III．vi． 7：XIII．16．$\pi \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \sigma \iota \nu$ VII．vi． 4．$\pi \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\eta} p a s$ IV．II． 13.
$\pi \lambda \omega \tau \delta \varsigma . \zeta \hat{\varphi} \omega \nu \pi \lambda \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ I．хा． 2.
$\pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu a$ ．$\pi \nu \epsilon$ и́ $\mu a \tau o s ~ I V . ~ X I . ~ 4: ~ x V I I . ~$ 6．$\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ IV．xvi．II．$̇ \in \pi i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ VI．III．6．̇̀ $\nu \tau o i ̂ s$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \dot{́} \mu a \sigma \iota$ VI．III．7．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon$ ú－ ната IV．xI． 2.
$\pi \nu \epsilon \in \varphi . \pi \nu \ell^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ IV．xI． 2.

$\pi o \theta \epsilon \in \nu \quad(e ̀ \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \theta \epsilon \in \nu \quad \pi o \theta \epsilon \nu)$ III．xv． 12.
 тоюỗढц III．xiII．18，19：xvi．7， 12．VIII．IV．4．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi$ o入ıтєià

 III．xvi．13．$\pi \in \pi<i \eta \kappa \in \nu \xi \in \nu \eta \lambda a \sigma i a s$
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$ oiouv III．xiv．12．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \mathrm{ol} \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{V}$ ． iII．8．VIII．IV．8：XI．2．є́ $\pi$ oi $\eta \sigma a \nu$ III．xv．12．VIII．I．Iо：II．9： v．4．$\pi 0 t \omega \hat{\omega} \iota ~ \tau a u ́ \tau \alpha ́ ~ I I I . ~ x . ~ 3 . ~$ тoteì II．v．25．VI．III．2．VIII． iiI．16：VII．4，Io：IX．I3：xi． 8.
 IV．VIII．3．VIII．Iv．8．$\dot{\delta} \pi o \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$
 ßavaúgous V．vi．5．тolồvtes VIII． v．5．тà $\pi$ тotoûpta III．xviII．I． $\pi$ о九ớขт $\omega \nu$ VIII．VIII．II．ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
 бaytos III．xI．14．кúploy $\pi$ тotió－ баעта II．XII．3．тоюồvтal III． xVI．I2．$\pi o \iota \hat{\eta} \tau a l(\dot{\epsilon} \pi(\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu)$ VIII． xI．22．$\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \in \theta a$ VI．II．6．$\pi o \iota-$ єî̃өac II．v．24．III．xiI．8．VIII． VIII．4．taîoas II．IX．I8．tàs
 III．xVIII．2．$\pi$ otov́ $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ VIII．xi． 29．$\pi$ тotovpévaıs IV．viI．4．$\pi$ totov－ $\mu \in ̇ \nu o u s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\partial} \mu i \lambda i a \nu$ IV．xvi． 17 ． $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu \circ \iota$ VIII．III．13．то८ $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{-}$ $\sigma a \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ VIII．vi．I5．$\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta ่ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ тòv $\lambda$ 人́rov IV．xviI．12．aütò toûto

$\pi о i \eta \sigma \iota s$ V．vil．ıo．（ $\delta \iota a \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta} \pi 0 i \eta \sigma \iota s$
 VI．xi．I5．VIII．vil． 4.
 xI．13：xvi．I．VIII．xi．24， 28. тоюๆтє́a VII．IV． 19.
$\pi o \iota \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ III．xiv．9．$\pi \circ \iota \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$ VIII． x．20．тогทтai I．n．4．IV．xv．
 III．xI．3．тоぃтaîs V．v． 8.
 $\tau \iota \kappa \alpha ́ I$ I．IV． $4 . \quad$ VIII．viII．I（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ） $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV．хІІІ． 2.
 3.
moîos．$\pi$ oía $\tau \iota s$ à $\nu$ ồva $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon^{\prime \eta}$ VI．I．3．тò $\pi o t o \nu ~ I . ~ x . ~ 2 . ~ к a \tau \grave{a}$ tò $\pi$ ootón VIII．III．6．moooi tives
 $\pi o i \omega \nu$ dं $\nu \iota \sigma \delta \tau \eta s)$ III．xII．2．Tò $\pi o \iota o u ́ s$

тıvàs єîvą II．v．23．Tô̂ totovs

$\pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu a \rho \chi o s . \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu a ́ \rho \chi o v s$ VII．viII． 15.
$\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．XI． 15. $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i \nu$ II．VII．I6：IX．3．IV． xiv．If．VI．xili．9．VIII．x． 12．$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{\partial} \nu \tau \epsilon \Theta$ II．TX．34．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ II．IX．ІІ．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ IV． XIV．22．$\pi$ о $\frac{\lambda}{} \epsilon \mu$ oúvt $\omega \nu$ II．IX． 17. VI．xili．io．$\pi$ o $\overline{\epsilon \mu} \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma a s$ II． IX． 3 ．
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa$＇s VIII．XII．1，4．a⿱̈́т $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho(\dot{\eta} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}) \quad \stackrel{\epsilon}{ } \nu \quad \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \quad \gamma i \gamma-$ עetal I．vil． 5 ；viif．I2．III． vII．4．кv $\rho \iota \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota-$ $\kappa \hat{\eta} s$ II．Ix．3＋．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu$ VI．IV． 21．то̀ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \dot{\partial} \nu$ IV．Ix．4．VI． IV．14．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \tau \kappa \eta \eta_{\nu}$ III．IV． 8. VIII．X．25．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ II．IX． 34．III．VII．4．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu$ ккоi VIII． v．8：viI．12．$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．Ix． 7．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \tau \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．xIv． 13. VIII．v．7．$\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\omega}$ II．XI．14．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa o i ̂ s ~(a ́ \nu \delta \rho \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu)$

 III．xIv．4．$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \alpha ́ s ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi ́ \epsilon \epsilon s$ IV． xi．2．VII．iv．ir．גpeias VII． viII．14．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ VII． viII．2I．$\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \kappa \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ IV．xi． 9. то入є́ $\mu \mathrm{cos}$ II．XII．5．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \iota o \nu$ ä $\nu \delta \rho a$ IV．II．If．$\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \gamma \nu \omega \rho i \mu o t s ~ V I I . ~ v . ~$ 5．$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ II．IX．10．$\pi \lambda \eta$ íp $\eta$ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \bar{\lambda} \lambda \nu$ III．xI． 7 ．$\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i o c s$ II． vi．8．IV．v．3．$\pi$ т $\lambda \epsilon \mu$ ious VII． v．4：VIII．II．VIII．XI．I4． $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o u s ~ V I . ~ I I I . ~ 3 . ~$
 $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu о s$ VI．хІІІ．9．$\xi_{\epsilon \nu \iota \kappa \delta ́ s ~ I I . ~ x . ~}^{\text {x }}$ 16．$\pi$ тл $\bar{\mu} \mu$ ov I．II．io．VI．xiv． 3．VIII．IV．6，9：x．17．ג́ $\rho \chi \grave{\eta}$ тô̂ iєpồ（ $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu o v)$ VIII．Iv． 7. $\pi$ то $\lambda \epsilon \mu$ II．viil．6．VII．viII．I4． VIII．VI． 12 ：VII．气．$\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma \nu$ IV．xiv．12．VI．iv．5，13．ठ $\grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \delta \delta \nu$ VIII．viI．4．кa $\tau \alpha \dot{d I}$ II．x． 6. III．Xiv．12．${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \omega \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́$ VII． II．5．$\pi \rho \frac{\partial}{s}$ IV．II．16．$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau \delta \nu$ II．vi．7．IV．II．17．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho \rho \grave{s}^{s}$
 VIII．III．I6：viI．3．$\pi$ o入é $\mu o u s$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda o u s$ sonє $\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ II．IX． $3^{6}$ ． $\phi \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\rho} \not ̣ o \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v s ~ I V . ~ V r . ~$ 3.

тольоркіа．тольоркі́as II．vII．17．

$\pi 6 \lambda \iota s$ II．II．2，3．III．xil．8：xvi．





3．$\dot{\eta} \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau \eta$ ở $\pi o \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \quad \beta$ ávavoov $\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta \nu$ III．v． 3 ．$\quad \eta \not \delta \eta \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ III． IX．12．$\dot{\eta} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \kappa<\pi \lambda \epsilon t \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu \quad \kappa \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$






 I．1．$\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．III．7．$\kappa \circ \iota \nu \omega \nu i a$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{o} \mu \circ \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ IV．viII．4．ov̉ $\gamma i \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\dot{\delta}} \mu \mathrm{oi} \omega \nu$ II．II．3．oủk $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa$ тov̂ тvðбขтos $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous riveтal VIII．III．


 $\mu_{i a}$ III．IX．9．$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$ VI．III． 4. $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha$ ठєîтaı $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．viil． 10.

 III．I．$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ ós $\tau \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．II． 2. $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$ III．I．2．$\pi \delta \theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \iota s$ ё $\pi \rho a \xi \epsilon \kappa$ каi $\pi \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ oı̉ $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta\langle\lambda \iota s$ III．III．I．$\sigma \dot{\imath} \gamma \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \quad \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．III．6．тivos $\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ III．vi．2．$\tau i \pi \pi \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau i v$ III．I．I． $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ III．І．2．$\pi \delta \bar{\delta} \epsilon \omega \omega$ III．v．IO：XIII．13，15．IV．I． II．VI．III．4．VIII．IV． 6. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i a, \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \omega \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta, \phi \rho \delta \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ IV．I． 12．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ каi какіаs VI．хI． 3. d $\rho i \sigma \tau \eta s$ III．xviII．1．є $i \delta \eta$ IV． viiI．5．€́ $\rho \gamma o \nu$ IV．IV．5．кúpıo III．viII．3．$\mu$ éref $\iota$ III．IX． 15 ． öd $\eta \mathrm{s}$ III．XIII．12．ov̉ $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \mathrm{~s} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ $\phi \hat{\rho} \epsilon \iota \nu$ VII．IV． 15 ．$\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\rho} \eta \eta$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \dot{\rho} \nu$ VI．HI．I．$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\mu$ tâs II．I．2．$\tau \hat{\eta} s$ oikeias ă $\rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ IV．xiv．20．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ VI． IV．12．Tò кúpoov III．x．I．$\pi \delta ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ III．xiv．I．$\epsilon \ell \tau_{\tau \iota \nu}$ VI．I．4．$\epsilon^{\epsilon \nu}$
 $\pi a ́ y \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ III．XIII．4．$\tau \hat{n}$ ioía oikiáa $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ III．IX．II．$\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \nu$ II． xif．8．III．xi．7：xili．6．$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 14．$\delta$ v́o $\pi 0 \iota o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu \dot{a} \epsilon i ́ l$ VIII．IX． 10.


 $\tau \iota \nu a$ I．I．1．$\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ t \iota ~ \epsilon i ̀ v a \iota ~ I I . ~ x . ~ 15 . ~$

 $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ oỏ $\chi$ èv $\mu \epsilon \rho \rho o s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ Є̈ $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VI． $1 \mathrm{iv} .7 . \quad \pi \rho o े s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~$


 II．2．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \dot{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \delta o u ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ VI．IV．


 15．VIII．III．15．є́к $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma$ $\kappa \epsilon \nu \tau a \iota \mu o \rho i \omega \nu$ VI．IV．9．$\mu \in ' \gamma a \lambda a \iota$ VI．xi．13．$\pi \delta \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ IV．vi．9．$\epsilon \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \alpha \iota s \tau_{\hat{\omega}} \nu$ $\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ III．viII． 6. тaîs $\pi$ ó̀ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \iota$ II．Ix．29．III．XIII． 22：xv．2：xviI．8．IV．vI． 7. VIII．II．3．$\epsilon^{\epsilon \nu} \nu$ vaís II．III． 6. III．xIII．${ }^{25}$ ．IV．IV．6．VI．xI． 19． $\bar{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \iota s \pi \delta\langle\epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．III．3．$\tau \alpha i ̂ s$ oikeiaus II．xII．I．tais $\pi \lambda$ еєiбтals VI．II．4．$\tau$ às $\pi$ ó̀ $\epsilon \iota$ III．XI． 9. VIII．v．8：x．8．$̇ \pi i$ tás II．xı． 15．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \alpha ́ s$ II．vi．10．III． xiII．19．$\mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ VI．xv． 5.
mo入ıтєia II．x．14．VIII．iv．1，7： vi．ı．d́рьттократькй VI．vII． 3. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \tau i a \quad \kappa \rho \epsilon i \tau \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．x．29．$\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \iota \mu \dot{\jmath} \nu \eta \quad \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ II． vi．18．$\dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \nu$ VIII．I． 16．$\dot{\eta} \kappa a \lambda o v \mu e ́ v \eta$ VI．Ix．1．$\dot{\eta}$ кãà тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os VII．III．2．$\dot{\eta} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oîv $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ 认ेs $\epsilon i \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ II．v．28．ウ̀ $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta$ VI．хІІ．1о．коиขшขía $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ II．I．2．III．Iv．3．$\mu i \xi \epsilon s$






 14．$\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon і а ~ к а і ~ \pi о \lambda і \tau \epsilon ข \mu а ~ \sigma \eta-~$
 $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．VI．I．
 VI．I．10．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota s \tau \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \sigma \bar{\alpha}\langle\nu$ oikoúv $\tau \omega \nu$ III．I．I．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi t s{ }^{2} \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．III．5．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ VI． xIII．6．$\pi$ o入ıтєias II．XI．5．III．XI． IO：XVIII．2．VI．I．5：II． 2 ：III． 4 ： viil．I，9．VII．II．5．VIII．I． 10：1II．5，7：IV．9：VI．11，12： xiI．7．ailtov $\beta \in \lambda$ tiovos V．I． 2. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\tau} \tau \rho \iota o \nu$（ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ）II．viII．II．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{l}$ $\sigma \tau \eta$ I．XIII．16．III．xIII．24．IV． I．1．$\alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\eta} S$ VII．IV． $10 . \delta \eta \mu c-$ ovproi II．XII．I．ঠпиократía $\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta a \sigma \iota$ III．VII．5．$\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon-$

 III．7．$\epsilon \ell \delta \eta$ т入єím III．IV． 3 ． $\epsilon \ell \delta \eta \pi \delta \sigma \alpha$ VI．I．8．$\epsilon \hat{\delta} \delta o s$ III．xv． 3：xvi．I．VIII．v．I．eĩos калєìтaı VI．viII．8．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$ VI． I．II．VIII．I．I．${ }^{e} \chi \in \iota \tau \iota$ II．x． 14．кúpto III．viII．2．$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi$ б咲 $\tau \omega \nu$ IV．x．9．$\mu(a ̂ s ~ \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu o \nu ~ I V . ~$
 16．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \delta \mathrm{\delta} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ II．v．18．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \rho-$ $\kappa \epsilon \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \eta \mathrm{s}$ aủ $\frac{1}{}$ îs II．Ix．I．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \circ \rho \epsilon v \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s \delta \nu \delta \mu a \tau \iota$ VI． II．I．$\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \epsilon \xi \omega ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ VIII．vili． 5 ．
 $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi i \lambda o u s \hat{\eta}^{\prime}$ VIII．III．9．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 T̂̂ II．iv．8：vi．I．V．vil． 9. VI．IV．II：XII．4：xiv．4．VII． II．9．VIII．I．II：VII．5：xif． 7.
 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ VIII．vi．5， $16 . \quad \notin \nu \nu \tau \nu \iota$ III．v． 5．oủk द̀v $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$ toûto IV．Ix．I．
 VII．vi．2．$\phi$ í $\overline{0}$ os $\tau \hat{\eta}$ VIII．Ix． 2. $\pi$ олıтеià II．v．17：VII．7：1X． 20. III．xiII．12，23．VI．I．3，7：if． I：V．4：viI．I．VII．I．I：Iv． 14．VIII．III．9：Iv．8，9，13： vi．7：vili．8：Ix．I．á $\gamma a \theta o l$ t $\pi \rho$ ós VI．VII．2．$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta$ є $โ \nu a \iota$
 I．IV．XIII．4．VII．I．4．єโvai
 тара̀ $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i ́ a \nu$ II．xv．Iз．ท̈v
 каі і̀льүархіаs II．VI．16．ка日＇
 таv่тๆ้ кирเต́татоע т̀̀ $\pi \rho о \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о \hat{\nu}$ III．VII．4．кош $\hat{\eta}$ IV．II．6．крi－ $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VI．IV．3r．$\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \in \dot{\sigma} \rho$
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi o \nu \tau a s$ III．III．9．$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \nu$ II．VI．Іо．$\mu о \nu \iota \mu \grave{\partial} \nu \pi о \circ \hat{0} \sigma \iota$ II．xI．5．$\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ II．IX． 28．$\pi \rho \partial \dot{s} \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ I．XIII．I5．II．x． 10．VIII．I．8．$\pi \rho \rho_{s} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi 0 \lambda_{c}$－ $\tau \epsilon i ́ a \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ тov̀s $\nu b \mu$ ous III．xI． 21．$\pi \rho \partial े \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{i} \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ III．IV．3．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \partial े s \eta_{\eta}^{\nu}$ VIII．

 VIII．Ix．8．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \ddot{\partial} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ VIII．VII． 13．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$＇่к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~S} \kappa \alpha \tau$＇ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \not \eta^{\prime} \nu$ VI．VII．2．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\rho} \alpha a \nu$ II． vi．4．ті̀ $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$（ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu)$ ঠпиократіа⿱ VI．III．7．ті̀ к катд̀ тov̀s $\nu \delta \mu o v s$ VI．v．3．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho$ ， à̇тoîs VI．xI．18．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta-$
 $\nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．xiv．3．$\pi ⿰ \lambda \iota \iota \tau \epsilon i a \iota$ VIII． I．I， 2 ：III．9：IV．II：VII．5．Sóo， $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ оs каl $\delta \lambda \iota \gamma a \rho \chi i a$ VI．III． 6.
 III．VI．II．$\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lous VI．IV． 7. $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon t \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．xiv．I．VI．I．8： II．6．VIII．I．14， 16 ．$\alpha i \chi \epsilon i-$ pıбтal VII．VI．4．̇̇vías $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ кратєì oüซas III．III，2．кат $\pi \alpha \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII． VII．in．$\pi \in \rho i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．II．I，3， 8. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{d} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ II．Ix．I．III．xVII．I． VI．II．I．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \partial \partial_{\nu} \nu \mu a \zeta \circ \mu \dot{e} \nu \omega \nu$ VIII． VII．6．mo八九тєiais III．XI．I5．VI．
 i．VII．Iv．7．VIII．III． 6.
 $\nu \delta \mu o v s$ д̀ $\mu 0 \dot{\prime} \omega s$ III．XI． 20. то入t－
$\tau \epsilon i a s$ III．xIII．20：xvir．6．VIII． III．I．кãà $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\partial} \rho \theta \alpha \dot{s}$ III．xI． 2 I ． ol тро́тєрод є́кá入ov̀ $\begin{aligned} & \eta \mu о к р а т і а s ~ V I . ~\end{aligned}$

 $\alpha_{\rho} \rho \chi \omega \sigma \iota$ III．VII．2．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma a s$ VIII． IV．I2．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tás IV．x．7．$\pi \rho \bar{s}$
 $\mu o u s$ VI．I．9．tàs $\mu \dot{e} \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in p a s$, tàs $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \pi \rho o \tau$ t́pas taútas VI．IV． 5 ． tomútas III．XiII．I．toбaútas VI．III．6．$\tau$ às v̇map $\chi$ ố $\sigma a s$ VI． I． 6.
$\pi о \lambda i \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ VI．vi．9． $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \dot{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha$ III．vi．I．кúp $\frac{0}{} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ III． VI．I．$\tau \delta \delta \kappa \hat{p} \rho \iota o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \delta \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ III． ViI．2．$\pi$ o入ıтє́́patos III．xili．io． VII．vii．4．VIII．vi．7，II． $\delta \dot{\nu} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \nu$ VIII．III．3．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi$ олєтєи́цать III．хIII，8．VII．vii． 4．VIII．I．I I．$\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ VIII． VIII．5．тoùs $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ IV．xiv． 4. VIII．iv． 2.
 то入८тє乇́ovтal I．VII．5：XI．I3．II． VII．I．VI．VI．5．кат⿳亠口冋㐅 $\nu \dot{\text { ómovs }}$


 V．II．$\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta$ al II．IX．2．VII． IV．2．đ̈pı $\frac{1}{} \alpha$ IV．xiv．15．$\delta \eta \mu$－ $\tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ VI．v．3．ка入ิ̂s IV．vi．I：

 IV．IV．7．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu$
 $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ IV．VII．3．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ кá入入८бтa

 xi．I3．II．vili．i．IV．viI． 8. VIII．IX．12．как⿳⺈⿴\zh11⿰一一工殳，VIII．III． 5. то入七тєขouévoıs II．IV．9．IV．II． 7. $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu e ́ v o v s$ VI．xi．ir．äpıбтa IV．I．I．$\dot{\delta} \pi \omega \sigma 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$ VII．V．I．
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ aútoùs кa入へ̂s II．VII． 14 ．

$\pi 0 \lambda i \tau \eta s . \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ ópí̧ $\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\varrho} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\kappa \rho i \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ III．I． $6 . \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$ $\tau \iota \nu \iota \delta \omega \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \notin \nu o s$ III．II．5．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \eta$－


 I．2．$\kappa \circ \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ III．XIII．I2．$\dot{b}$
 viI．2．oî̃os $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi o \lambda i ́ \tau \eta s$ III．v． 1．oủ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ olкєîv $\pi o v$ III．I．3．$\pi \delta$－
 v．I．$\pi o \lambda i \tau \sigma \nu$ d́ $\rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} ~ \tau \grave{\partial} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \quad \dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \epsilon \pi$＇ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho$ III．IV．15．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \ell \pi o \lambda \iota-$ $\tau \epsilon i a \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ каi $\pi о \lambda \imath \tau 0 v \in ौ \delta \eta \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$ III．v．5．тavtós III．v．I．жo－
 IV．xiv．8．$\pi ⿰ 幺 幺 人 i \tau \eta \nu . \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \hat{s}$ III． 1．5．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\phi} \dot{\tau \epsilon} \rho a \kappa а \dot{\mu} \mu$－ $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \ell \nu \dot{a} \mu \mu \phi \hat{\imath} \nu$ III．IV．II． $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ то入ıтєià III．1． 9.

 12．$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau o ̀ ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ III．II．I．$\tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ $\epsilon \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\theta \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \in \rho o v$ $\mu_{0}^{\prime} \nu_{0} \nu$ III．II．I．$\pi$ олîтaı III．I． 2 ： xIII．2：xIV．7：xv．9．$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．III．5．III．XI．6．VII．II． 2. VIII．xi．26．$\gamma \nu \eta \sigma i \omega \nu$ III．v． 8.
 III．xiv．7．тò косуо́ III．xIII． 12．$\pi$ o久itas II．1．2：v． 20 ．VIII． III．13．à $\gamma a \theta$ oùs кai $\delta$ ккaiovs III． Ix．8．$\epsilon i ้ \nu a \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \omega$ SIII．1．5．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \ell$ toús III．xiv．7．oủ $\theta \in \tau \in ́ o \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a s$

 5．Toùs 入єरouévous III．I．8．toùs

 povios III．VII． 2.
то入८т兀кós III．v．1о．IV．xiv． 20.
 VI．xiv．8．VIII．x．ı．ס́va III．xII．I．кочข $\quad$ via VI．I．2：xv．
 $\beta i o v$ IV．III．I．$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta$ ous IV．VI． 9：x．6．то仑̂ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa o \hat{v}$ III．I．І．
 XI．22．סıavoias IV．II．4．кot－ $\nu \omega \nu^{\prime} a s$ II．I．I．III．viII．2．IV．
 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \kappa \hat{\varphi}$ IV．IV：3：XIV． 13. $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu$ I．I．2．III．TII．6：xviI． 3．IV．II．13：xx．9．VI．I．7： xv．19．VIII．Ix．9．ävò $\rho a$ III． xviiI．1．$\beta i o n$ IV．VI．7．סiкаєov III．XIII．22．коぃขò каі $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \rho \nu$ VI． Ix．3．$\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ VI．1． 3 ． $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ III．vi．3：xviI．I．$\pi ⿰ \neq \lambda \iota \tau \iota-$ $\kappa \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ III．IV．14．$\epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$ II．viII．18．iбхи́v III．xiII． 15 ． $\phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi i a \nu$ III．XII．2．$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．Vi．19：vil．I．VI．iv． 17 ： xiv．13．VIII．II．I．$\delta \iota \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$ III． xII．3．$\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \omega ิ \nu$ III．XII．7．$\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．xvi．5．$\pi$ то入єтєкoís I．XI． 13．VIII．xI．14．mo入ıтєкаîs di $\rho \chi$ ais I．xII．2．III．x．4：xvi．
 IV．III．J．$\pi a \rho \grave{a} \tau d s$ VI，xv． 2. $\pi \rho \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \epsilon$ IV．IX． 4 ：XI．2．V．III．
 тєкс́ттерод II．XI． 14.
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \omega \hat{s}$ I．xiI．I．III．II．I．IV． II． 7 ．

 $\lambda i \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ III．v． 7 ．
$\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \circ \phi \dot{v} \lambda a \xi$ ．（oi）$\pi о \lambda \iota \tau о \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \kappa \epsilon s$ VIII． vi．6．$\pi$ о入ıтофи́ $\lambda a \kappa \alpha$ II．viII． 9. $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ к \iota s$ II．viI．I8：IX．2，19．III． xIII．19．VI．Iv．9， 15 ．VIII． III．6， 10 ：VI． 12.
$\pi o \lambda \lambda a \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega o s\left({ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda o s\right)$ II．vi．6．$\pi o \lambda-$ $\lambda a \pi \lambda a \sigma i o v$ VIII．VI．I7．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a-$ $\pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o \nu$ VIII．viII． 10.
$\pi о \lambda \lambda a \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \omega \sigma \iota s . \quad \pi o \lambda \lambda a \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma i \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．8， 1 о．
$\pi o \lambda \lambda a \chi \circ \hat{v}$ III．I．4．VI．Iv．21．VIII． I． 14.
$\pi o \lambda \lambda a \chi \hat{\omega}$ III．3， 4.
$\pi о \lambda \lambda о \sigma \tau \eta \mu \dot{\rho} \iota \circ$ ．$\pi о \lambda \lambda о \sigma \tau \eta \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \frac{\nu}{}$ VIII． VIII． 10.
$\pi о \lambda v a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ ．$\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ тàs $\bar{\delta} \eta \mu о к \rho a \tau i a s$ VII．vi．5．$\pi \rho \lambda v a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a$ IV．IV． 13．$\pi$ o $\lambda v a \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i a \nu$ IV．vi．1， 7.
$\pi o \lambda v a ́ v \theta \rho \omega \pi o s ~ I V . ~ x v . ~ 6 . ~ \pi o \lambda v a ́ v-~-~$
 VII．v．5．$\pi$ o ${ }^{2} \nu \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \iota s ~ V I I . ~$ VIII． 5 ．
 Iv． 27 ．
$\pi 0 \lambda v \lambda o \gamma i a . \pi 0 \lambda v \lambda o \gamma i a s$ VI．x．I．
$\pi \circ \lambda \nu \mu \in \rho \eta \eta_{s} . \pi o \lambda v \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{s}$ VIII．х． 15 ．

то入úmous．$\pi$ o入útoóa III．XI． 2.
$\pi о \lambda v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\pi о \lambda v \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \circ v ́ \sigma \eta s$ VI．xv． 6.
$\pi \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\lambda ús}$ ．$\pi$ odú I．v．II．III．xil． 5 ： xiil．16．IV．mi．8．VI．II． 2 ： xiv．Iz．VII．v．6．$\tau \grave{̀} \pi \pi \lambda^{2}$ и́ III．

 $\lambda i a y ~ o v o \sigma i a \nu ~ I I . ~ I X . ~ 14 . ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o l ~ I I I . ~$ xVI．1．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̀ m o \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ I I I . ~ x V I . ~$ 12．oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ of II．vif． $10,19$. VIII．III．14．тo九ỗoc кai pồ III． v．3．（ai）$\pi o \lambda \lambda a i$ III．xv．1．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ III．xi．is．$\epsilon \epsilon \pi i$ VIII，v． I．$\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \partial ٌ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．xi．2．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．xiII．8．$\pi o \lambda \lambda o u ́ s ~ I I I . ~$ xVI．II．$\quad$ oovs $\pi o \lambda$ doús III．xi． 2. VIII．XI．33．$\tau \grave{a} \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha ́$ II．vir． 14.
$\pi о \lambda \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu$ ó $\omega$ ．خ $\downarrow \nu a \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda v \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ II． X． 9 ．
 $\pi о \lambda v \phi i \lambda i a . \pi 0 \lambda v \phi i \lambda i a s$ III．xIII． $2_{4}$ ． $\pi \rho \lambda \nu \phi i \lambda l a \nu$ III．xIII．15．тâıs $\pi о \lambda \cup \phi \quad \lambda i a i s$ VI．vi． 11.
$\pi о \lambda \dot{\chi} \chi \epsilon \rho$ ．$\pi$ оди́ $\chi \epsilon \rho \rho$ III．xI． 2.
 1.
$\pi o \lambda v \chi \rho o ́ v i o s ~ V I I I . ~ x . ~ 36 . ~ \pi o \lambda v \chi \rho o v i o u s ~$ VIII．VIII．12：x．5．$\pi$ o $\lambda v \chi \rho 0 \nu 1-$ $\omega \tau \notin \rho a \nu$ VIII．xI．3，34．$\pi$ o ${ }^{2} \nu \chi \rho o \nu-$ $\omega \omega \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota$ VI．xI．14．$\pi о \lambda v \chi \rho o \nu \iota-$ $\omega \tau \epsilon \rho a s$ VI．xv． 1.
$\pi o \nu \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\pi$ ovô̂̃؛ IV．xvi．7．$\pi$ ovoúvт $\omega \nu$ VIII．xi．19．（ $\tau$ ồs）$\pi$ ovoûa IV． xvii．6．movô̂vtas ìilía II．v． 3 ．
$\pi \epsilon \pi о \nu \eta \kappa \delta\langle\tau \in$ VIII．IX．13．$\pi \epsilon \pi о$－

торทрia（ $\dot{\eta} \pi о \nu \eta \rho i a)$ ä $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$ II．vII． 19.
 VIII． 5.
торךро́s V．vi．i6．VIII．ix．2．ठגíooy тор $\eta \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$ тарорâтає VII．IV． $17 . \mu \grave{\eta}$
 34．$\pi ⿰ \nu \nu \eta \rho o i ́ ~ V I I I . ~ x I . ~ 13 . ~ \pi o \nu \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．v． 2.
$\pi о \nu \eta \rho \dot{\phi} \phi \iota \lambda о s . \pi о \nu \eta \rho \sigma \phi i \lambda o \nu(\dot{\eta} \tau u ́ \rho a \nu \nu(s)$ VIII．XI． 12.
móvos．$\pi$ ávos V．iv．9．$\pi$ ávou V．iII． 4．$\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu$ V．III． $4:$ v．10，13．$\pi \alpha^{-}$ yots IV．xvi．I3．V．iv．I．móvous àvarкaious IV．xviI．4．$\pi \rho$ òs $\dot{a} \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \nu$ V．iv． 7.
$\pi$ тоутоя．$\pi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \varphi$ VIII．III． 13.

$\pi$ ор $\theta \mu \epsilon \cup \tau \iota \kappa \dot{s}$ ．тор $\theta \mu \epsilon \cup \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\nu}$ VI．IV． 2 г．
$\pi о \rho i \zeta \omega . \mu \sigma \theta \partial \partial \nu \pi о \rho i \zeta \sigma v \sigma \omega V$ VI．XIII． 5.
 I．vili．so．VI．xiII．9．$\pi$ opioat
 10．$\pi$ орi $\sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ I．VIII． 2.
 13．$\pi$ ópous I．XI． 12.
$\pi \sigma \rho \rho \omega$ II．iv．i．VIII．viI．14：vili． 8．$\pi \delta \rho \rho \omega \uparrow \lambda i a \nu$ IV．vi．5．$\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \delta \rho \rho(\omega$ II．x． 15 ．$\pi \sigma \rho \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ I．xill． 13 ． $\pi о \sigma a \chi \omega \bar{s}$ VI．．． 8.
$\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \mathrm{L}$ I．xiII．．．IV．xvi， 18.
$\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \circ$ s．$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varphi$ IV．1．5．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \delta \sigma \omega$ $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \mu o \nu$ II．iI．3．тò $\pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$ III． III．6．$\pi$ ббаає каі $\pi$ обах $\bar{\omega}$ S VI．I． 8.
$\pi о \tau a \gamma \omega \gamma$ is．$\pi о \tau a \gamma \omega \gamma i \delta \epsilon s$ VIII．xI． 7 ．
$\pi о \tau a \mu$ о́s．тотано́ IV．xVII．3．тарà $\tau 6 \nu$ VIII．V． 9 тотацо⿺̀丶 то⿺̀丶 av̉าoús III．III． 6.
$\pi o t \epsilon$ II．VIII．22．TII．IV．II：XIII． 10. $\pi o ́ t \epsilon \rho о$ ．то́тєроу III．XIII．4，11：Xiv． I：xv． 9.

$\pi o v$ III．1． 3 ．
$\pi o u ́ s$ VIII．III．6．$\pi \dot{\sigma} \delta a$ III．xIII． 2 I．
 II．v．4．$\pi$ días III．xvi． 12.
$\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$ ．$\pi \rho a \dot{\gamma} \mu a \tau 0 s$ IV．I．8．катà $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$ VI．xv．9．（ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \pi \rho a \gamma-$ $\mu \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ III．I．S．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．IX． 3．$\tau$ oîs $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ II．IX． 2 I．VIII． xI．20，32．тoîs aủzov̂ I．xI． 12. $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ èv $\tau 0 i ̂ s$ VIII．VII． 12.
$\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a r \epsilon i ́ a . \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon i a \nu \pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \nu$ III．I．．．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \not \partial \psi \omega \nu$ V．v． 6. $\tau \iota \nu^{\prime} a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \delta \iota a \nu \neq \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta_{\nu} \nu$ VI．xv． 4.
 III． 9 ．
 $\mu \circ \theta \epsilon \in \tau \eta$ IV．xiv．8．V．і．i．
трактєкós（ßios）IV．II． 5 ：III．7．тра－ $\kappa \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}$ I．IV．4．$\quad \pi \rho а \kappa т \iota \kappa \alpha ́$ V．viI． 3.
 $\pi \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ VIII．x． 34 ．
$\pi \rho a \kappa \tau 0$ s．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV．xiv． 12.
 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~S}$ VIII．x． $26 . \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \xi(\nu$ VI． xv．3．VIII．vi．9．ai $\pi \rho d \xi \epsilon \epsilon$ VII．
 viII．22．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \pi^{6} \lambda \epsilon \omega$ S IV．IV． 12 ． $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega \nu$ III．xiv．9．VIII．x． 33 ． $\delta \iota^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ IV．xvII．4．$\mu \dot{\eta} \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon^{\prime}$ ． $\nu \omega \nu$ VII．VIII．9．$\pi<\lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．xif．
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \nu$ III．Ix．14．ка $\lambda \alpha$ îs $\pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ V．V．17．$\quad \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$ VII． viII．8， 2 I．VIII．viII．14：XI． 27.
 dekás III．1V．II．öбas ó túpavdos $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \xi \in \nu$ III．x．3．$\tau \dot{\alpha} s{ }^{2} \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ V．I．2：II．4．Tàs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad e \quad \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ IV．xvi．iz．$\tau$ às v̈́ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ V．vi． 6. $\pi \rho \hat{̣ ̂ o s . ~} \pi \rho a \neq \tau<́ \rho a \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \pi \sigma \rho i ́ a \nu$ III．HI． 4.

$\pi \rho \hat{a} \sigma \iota s . \pi \rho \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ V．III．12．$\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \epsilon \rho \imath$ $\tau$ às $\pi \rho a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon s$ VI．Iv． 10.
$\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega . \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ V．II．6．$\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota$ VIII．I．6．XI． $6 . \quad \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \iota \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \iota \nu$
 5．$\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \epsilon \ell$ II．iv．10．III．xvi． 7．IV．xiII．2．áplбтa IV．ı．ı． катà тaúvas IV．I．ıо．кирīs IV．


 $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ III．xvi．12．V．vi． 15. VIII．xI．7．$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ III．xv．${ }_{5} 5$ ． $\pi \rho a ́ t \tau o \nu \tau a s ~ I I I . ~ x v i . ~ I . ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon \hat{v}$ $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o \nu \tau a$ II．III．5．$\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ IV．I．II．$\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ III． xv．9．$\quad \pi \rho \dot{́} \xi \mathbf{z}$ as VIII．vI．9．$\quad \pi \rho a ́ \tau-$ тєбөас VII．viII．io．$\pi \rho a \tau \tau о \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \eta \nu$ VII．viII．10．$\pi_{\beta} \alpha \tau \tau о \mu \notin \nu o l s ~ V I I . ~$ VIII．II．
$\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\omega}$ ．II．vi． 9 ．
$\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \delta \nu \tau \omega$ IV．xi．it．
$\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota$ IV．IX．9：xII．3．V． II．I：VII．I2．$\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \neq \nu$ III．xvii． 7 ． тò $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi o \nu$ V．vi．3：viI．13， 15 ． $\pi \rho \in \pi$ о́v́ $\eta$ IV．xvi． 18.
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon i a$ ．кат $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i a \nu$ I．xII． 3.
$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ úrє $\rho o s . \tau$ ò $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ úтє $\rho \frac{\nu}{}$ I．XII． t ， 3．IV．xiv． $5 . \quad \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ и́т $\epsilon \rho \circ$ VIII． VI．3．$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ IV．xiI．4．V． viI．14．VII．viI．3．$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho o t s$ IV．Ix．6：xvi．3．$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \in \rho a l s$ IV．xvi．8．$\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \cup \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o u s$ V．vi． 4. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \alpha a \tau$ o VIII．vi． 4.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \eta \eta_{s} . \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \cup \tau a i$ VI．xv． 3.
$\pi \rho \circ \alpha \dot{\gamma} \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \circ \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma{ }^{\gamma} \in \nu$ II．XII．4．$\pi \rho \circ \alpha-$ $\gamma a \gamma \epsilon i \nu \mathrm{~V}$ ．V．I．$\pi \rho \circ a \gamma a \gamma \circ \hat{0} \sigma \iota \nu$ III． XII．4．$\pi$ роа́ $\gamma \in$ тą II．IX． 18.
$\pi \rho \circ \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s . \dot{\eta}$ тô̂ $\sigma v \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu$ III．IX． 13. $\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega$ S．II．2．II．Ix．3I．IV．
xIII．9．VIII．x．29．$\pi \rho \circ \alpha i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ II．XII．5．$\tau \hat{\text { ŷs }}$ mo入ıтeias II．IX． 5 ．
 עáp $\chi$ ov III．xvi．I3．

 $\nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ II．viI． 9 ．
$\pi \rho o a \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \omega$. троaı $\rho \in$ îtal VIII．х． 26. $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \rho o v ́ \mu \in \theta a$ II．І．І．$\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \iota$ VIII．I．8：xi．3о．$\pi \rho о р \rho о и ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ VI．iv．8．$\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota \lambda \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ VIII．I．r．
$\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI．xiv．14．$\pi \rho \circ a \iota \rho o u ́-$ $\mu \in \nu_{0}$ III．xIII．12．$\pi \rho \circ \eta \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \in \theta a$ IV． II． 4.
$\pi \rho о \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\nu} \nu \quad \mu \alpha \iota . \pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \sigma \theta a \nu \delta \mu \in \nu \circ \nu$ I．IV．3． $\pi \rho о а \nu а к \rho i \nu \omega . \pi \rho о а \nu а к р і \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ VI．хІv． 7 ．
$\pi \rho \circ \beta a i \nu \omega . \pi \rho о \beta \alpha i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho a$ VII．rv． 17.
$\pi \rho \rho \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．$\pi \rho о \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ 人ovб $\iota$ III．xiII．II．
$\pi \rho \phi \beta \lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ II．VIII，I6．III．XIII． 20.
$\pi \rho o \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \omega$ ．$\pi \rho o \beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．xiv． 14．$\pi \rho o \beta$ où $\epsilon \in ́ \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VI．xv．iI．VII． VIII． 17.
$\pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o s . \pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o l$ VII．viII． 17 ． ка $\theta \in \sigma \tau a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ध̇ $\pi l$ тoîs $\beta$ oudevтaîs VI． xV．I2．$\pi \rho \circ \beta o v i \lambda \omega \nu$ VII．VIII． 24 ．
 xiv．14：xV． 11.
$\pi \rho \sigma \gamma o \nu o s . \pi \rho \sigma \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$ VIII．I． 7.
$\pi \rho о є \delta \rho i a . \pi \rho о є \delta \rho i ́ a$ VI．IV．26．$\pi \rho о є-$ סрiay VIII．VIII． 2 I．
$\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \theta i \xi \omega . \pi \rho o \epsilon \theta i \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ V．I． 2.
$\pi \rho \delta \epsilon ц \mu$ ．$\pi \rho о \ddot{0} 0 \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ II．II． $2:$ V． 14. $\pi \rho о і ̈ о v \sigma \iota$ IV．xv． 10.
$\pi \rho \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \omega . \pi \rho \circ \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ IV．xviI． 13.
$\pi \rho о \epsilon \in \chi о \mu a \iota$ ．$\pi \rho о є \rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ III．IX．I． $\pi \rho о \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$ VIII．x． 28.
$\pi \rho о \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} . \pi \rho \circ \epsilon \iota \pi \omega_{\nu} \nu$ V．III． 9.
 $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ VII．vIII． 10.
$\pi \rho о \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}$ VIII．viI． 12.
$\pi \rho о i ̄ \eta \mu$ ．троїєта兀 VIII．xI．I8．троєîтo
 $\pi \rho \delta \omega \omega \tau \alpha$, VIII．viI．II．$\pi \rho o t \in \epsilon \mu \in \nu 0$ S VIII．xi．is．
 үá入as II．IX．I5．
$\pi \rho о \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \mu$ ．$\pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\kappa \epsilon \sigma a \nu}$ III．xiv． 9 ．
$\pi \rho о к \alpha ́ \theta \eta \mu a \iota$ ．трока́ $\theta \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ ous VII．viII． 17.

 $\pi \rho о к \epsilon і \mu \epsilon \nu$ оу IV．хIII．4．трокєь－ $\mu e ́ v \eta$ ，II．IX．I．
$\pi \rho o ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．iv． 30. $\pi \rho \delta к \rho \iota \tau о я$ ．є̇к $\pi \rho о к р і \tau \omega \nu$ VI．xiv， 10.
тророía．＇̇к $\pi$ ророías VI．xvi． 3.
$\pi \rho \delta \xi \in \nu 0 s$ VIII．iv． 6.


$\pi \rho о \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega . \pi \rho \circ \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta a l$ V．．． 2.
$\pi \rho о \pi \eta \lambda а к і \xi \omega . \pi \rho о \pi \eta \lambda а к і \sigma a \iota$ VIII．х． 15.
$\pi \rho \circ \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \omega$ ．$\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \rho_{0} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu_{0} \hat{\nu}$ III．vII． 4．$\mu \notin \rho o s$ II．vI． $2:$ viII．2．VI．Iv．
 $\pi \rho о \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \mathrm{as}$ VI．Iv．18．то̀ $\pi \rho о \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \sigma о \nu$ VI．IV． 10.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \circ \rho \in \cup ं \omega$ ．$\pi \rho о \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \epsilon$ II．III． 7. $\pi \rho о \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon \dot{v o v} \boldsymbol{\imath}$ II．Iv．Io．III．xiv． 14．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \gamma$ о́рєบनє I．xII．3．oŭт $\omega$ $\pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon$ ún III．VIII．4．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha-$
 VI．VII．2．троба оорєvל́vтаs II．III． 6．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \circ \rho \in \cup ́ \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ VI．VII．I．$\pi \rho о-$ $\sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \in \cup о \mu \in ́ v \eta$ S VI．II．I．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \alpha-$ रорєv $\theta \eta$ IV．xvi． 18.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega . \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \circ$ VIII．IV． 4. $\pi \rho o \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．xviI．4．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ ~$
 II．XI．5．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \gamma o \nu \tau a s$ V．III． 4. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma d \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ тoîs $\nu \dot{\rho} \mu \circ \iota s$ VI．xII． 4.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$ IV．xVII．
3．VIII．VI．17：VIII．12：XI． 27.
$\pi \rho о \sigma a \kappa \tau$ éos．$\pi \rho о \sigma a \kappa \tau \notin о \nu$ V．v． 24.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \sigma \omega . \pi \rho \circ \sigma a \nu a \pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{I}$ ． viil． 8.
$\pi \rho о \sigma a \pi о \rho \epsilon \in \omega . \pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \pi о \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\prime}$ III．II． 4.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \dot{\pi} \tau \omega . \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi о v \sigma \iota$ I．XI． 8.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \rho \mu \dot{\zeta}{ }^{\omega} \omega . \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \rho \mu \dot{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ VI．xv． 2.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \gamma i \gamma \nu о \mu a l$ ．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ VII． III． 5 ．
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \epsilon \circ \mu a \iota$ ．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．vIII． 18.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \delta \rho \in u ́ \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \eta^{\delta} \rho \in v o \nu V$. Iv． 4.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau 0 v \quad \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \delta \rho \in$ ́́ovтоs II．v． 6.
$\pi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \mu \iota . \pi \rho \delta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ III．xv． 5 ．
$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \theta$ v́v $\omega$ ．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu \theta v \nu o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu$ VII．viII． 16.
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ III．v． 7. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon ́ \chi \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \in \chi \omega \sigma \iota$ тò $\nu$ vồv VIII． XII．16．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\varphi} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho \rho \bar{\nu} \varphi$ II．v．г 6 ．
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \gamma \circ \rho i a .(\tau a v ́ \tau \eta s) ~ \tau \hat{s} s \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \eta \gamma \circ \rho i a s$ $\tau v \gamma \chi$ á $\nu \nu \tau a s$ III．I． 3.
$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\gamma} \kappa \in$ II．IV．5：vil．5．TV．I．I： v．3：xv．2．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \eta_{\kappa} \epsilon \nu$ IV．IV． 4. троб $к к о \sigma \sigma a \nu$ III．xVIII．2．IV．I．I． V．VII．II．$\pi \lambda \notin \not \subset \nu$ IV．xVII．Io． $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \kappa \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ I．хІІІ． 5.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \eta \kappa \delta \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{I}$ IV．viI． 6.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ VIII．xII． 2.
$\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \mu a \iota$ ．тробкєі̂бӨaı VII．viI． 5.
$\pi \rho о \sigma к р о$ и．тробкрои́онєу II．v． 4. $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \rho о v ์ o \nu \tau \epsilon s$（ả入入 $\hat{\eta}^{\lambda} \alpha \iota s$ ）II．v． 4.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ VII．IV． 16，17．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu о \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ VIII．IV． 2．$\pi \rho \rho \sigma \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \rho \nu$ VIII．x． 32．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0 v$ VII．I． 8.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega . \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \pi o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~S}$ IV．xvir． 15.
$\pi \rho б \sigma \sigma \delta o s . \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \delta \dot{\delta} o v \chi$ đ́ $\rho \iota \nu$ IV．vi． 4. $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} о \delta o \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$ єival VI．VI．4．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma$－
oठo VII．v．5．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ко九 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ VII． viiI．6．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma o ́ \delta \omega \nu$ VII．v．8．кขрià （ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ）VI．xv．22．（ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ）ò̉ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI． vi．3．$\pi \rho o \sigma o ́ o o v s ~ V I I . ~ v i I I . ~ 2 I . ~$ VIII．v． 5 ．
$\pi \rho о \sigma о \iota \kappa \in ่ \omega . \pi \rho о \sigma о \iota к о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ I．VIII． 7.
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma o l \sigma \tau \notin ́ o s . \pi \rho \circ \sigma \circ \iota \sigma \tau \notin o \nu$ V．Iv． 7 ．
$\pi \rho o \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \grave{\partial} \stackrel{\tau}{\text { tà } \pi \rho o \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \nu \nu \tau a}$ III． xV． 4 ．
 VIII．vi．4．$\nu \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$ III．I．4．oi

$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \tau \omega . \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ II．xI． 13. VI．xv．8．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha \mathfrak{\xi} \alpha \nu \tau \iota$ IV．xVI． 14.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota . \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota$ III．xvi． 5 ． VI．IV． 12 ．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ V．vi．ro．
 III．I．5．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \theta \in \epsilon \mu \nu 0 \nu$ VI．xi． 1o．VIII．xi． 32.
$\pi \rho о \sigma \chi \rho \alpha ́ о \mu а \iota . \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a$ II．v． 4.
$\pi \rho \delta ́ \sigma \chi \omega \rho o s$. тoîs $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \circ \iota s$ II．IX． 3.
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \frac{\nu}{}$ ．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \pi o \nu$ V．vi． 14.
$\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о s . \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ I．II．12．III．xv． 4，1I：XVI．10．VIII．I．2，13：v．

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ VI．хI．19．$\tau \iota \varsigma$ каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．II．3．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ III． XII． 9 ．
$\pi \rho o i ̈ \pi a \rho \chi \omega$ ．$\pi \rho о \ddot{\pi} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ VIII．х． 6 ． oi $\pi \rho о \ddot{\pi} \pi$ á $\rho \chi$ оутєs $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \circ$ о VI．v． 4.
$\pi р о \ddot{\pi о т і ө \eta \mu \iota . ~ т р о і ̈ \pi о т \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota ~ I V . ~ I V . ~}$ 2.
$\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota s$ VIII．x．17．$\pi \rho \circ ф \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ $\chi \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \nu$ VI．xiil．．．
$\pi \rho о \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \circ \phi \hat{\phi} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$（ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठiкаıa）III． xVII． 6.
$\pi \rho v ́ \mu \nu \eta$ ．$\pi \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \nu a \nu$ III．XIII． 21 ．
$\pi \rho v \tau a \nu \epsilon i a . \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \bar{\eta} s \pi \rho \nu \tau a \nu \epsilon i a s$ VIII．v． 8.
$\pi \rho$ pítavis VIII．v．8．$\pi \rho v \tau a ́ v \epsilon \iota s$ VII． VIII． 20.
$\pi \rho \omega \rho$ eús I．Iv．2．III．Iv． 2.
$\pi \rho \omega \tau a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ ．$\pi \rho \omega \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu / \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$（ $\tau \grave{\partial}$ ка入од）V．iv． 5.
 tov VIII．І．15．$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ S VI．II． $2:$ iv．12．VIII．xif．7．$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau$ ò III． xVII．3．є $\dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s \pi \rho \hat{s} \pi o \nu$ IV．xiv． 2. $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \nu$ III．xI．10：xv．2．IV．xv． II．$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \iota$ VI．IV． 5 ．ढ̇ $\pi l$ t $\hat{\nu} \nu$
 （ $\lambda$ óross）III．XVIII．I．toùs $\pi \rho$ ढ́tous II．VIII．21．III．xiv．12．$\pi \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \sigma \tau \alpha$ I．II． 5 ．
$\pi \tau \eta \nu o ́ s . \zeta \varphi \varphi^{\omega} \nu \nu \pi \tau \eta \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ I．xI． 2.
$\pi v \theta \mu \dot{\eta}^{\nu}$ VIII．XII． 8.
$\pi \nu \theta \dot{o} \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$ IV．XII． 2.
$\pi \dot{u} \lambda \eta . \pi v \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ VII．viII． 14 ．
$\pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma o s . \pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma o t s$ IV．xII．I．
$\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ I．XI．II．$\pi \omega \lambda \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$ VII． IV．9：VIII． 3.

## P．

 xI．9．II．v． $23, \dot{\rho} \dot{q} \omega \nu$ II．v． 3. $\dot{\rho}$ ąo ${ }^{2}$ VII．III．6．$\dot{\rho} \dot{q} \omega$ VI．I． 6. $\dot{\rho} \dot{q} \sigma \tau \eta$ VI．xI．4．$\dot{\rho} \dot{\sigma} \delta i \omega s$ II．viII． $2_{4}$ ．VI．I．7．VIII．viI．12：xII． II．$\dot{\rho}$ âo V VII．III． 6.

$\dot{\rho} \dot{q} \theta v \mu o s . \dot{\rho} q \theta v \mu o \tau \hat{e} \rho \omega s$ IV．xvi．I4．
$\dot{\rho} a \sigma \tau \omega ́ \nu \eta$ ．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{̀} s \dot{\rho} a \sigma \tau \dot{\omega} \nu a s$ I．viII． 5.
$\dot{\rho} \epsilon \in \pi \omega$ ．$\rho \in ́ \pi \sigma$ oval VI．VII． 5.
$\dot{\rho} \eta \tau \tau \rho \iota \kappa \eta$ й．（ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ）$\rho \eta \tau о \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ VIII．v． 7.
$\dot{\rho} \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$ ．$\dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \rho a$ I．VI． 2.
$\dot{\rho} \iota \gamma \delta \omega . \dot{\rho} \iota \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ II．VII．I3．（ $\tau \dot{\prime})$ j$\iota$ ¢oûd II．viI． 11 ．
$\hat{\rho} \iota\langle\zeta \omega \mu a . \dot{p} \iota \zeta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ I．VI． 7.
并＇s VIII．Ix． 7.

$\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o ́ s . \dot{\rho} v \theta \mu \dot{\nu}$ II．v． $14 . \dot{\rho} v \theta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~V}$ ． v．17：vi．5．$\dot{\rho} \cup \theta \mu 0$ îs V．v．18， ${ }^{25}$ ：VI．8．$\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o u ́ s ~ V . ~ v . ~ 23: ~ V I I . ~$ I．

## $\Sigma$.

$\sigma а \mu \beta$ v́к $\eta$ ．$\sigma а \mu \beta \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \iota$ V．VI． 13.
$\sigma \alpha \phi$ ク́s．$\sigma \alpha \phi \in ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ V．VII． 3.
$\sigma a \phi \hat{s}$ II．vi． 8.
$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta$ s．$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta \delta \nu$ I．vII．4．IV．III． 2. VIII．xI．21．$\quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\varphi}$ VIII．xI． 13. $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \omega . \sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota \tau о i ้ \nu о \mu a$ III．Iv． 12. $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \in \iota \nu$ I．II．II．
$\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \partial \nu$ I．II．II．II．III．I：viII． 19：XI．2．IV．VII． 5 ：X． 8 ．V． Iv．8．VI．XI．I5．VII．II． 3. VIII．vi．10：x．33．oúdév II．x． 12．$\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \lambda \eta s \pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ IV．iv． 6. $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ a ~ V . ~ v . ~ 20 . ~$
$\sigma \iota \gamma \dot{\eta}$ I．XIII．II．
$\sigma t \delta \eta \rho \epsilon \hat{o} \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \iota \delta \eta \rho \epsilon i \omega \nu$ I．xI．if．
$\sigma i \delta \eta \rho o s$ IV．xiv．22．$\sigma i \delta \eta \rho o \nu$ I．xI． 11．II．v． 26.
 19.
$\sigma \iota \mu o ́ s . ~ \sigma \iota \mu \bar{\nu}$ VIII．Ix． 7.

$\sigma \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \alpha$ III．xv． 2.
$\sigma \kappa є ́ \pi a \sigma \mu a$ IV．xviI． 3.
$\sigma \kappa є \pi \tau \notin \circ$ ．$\sigma \kappa \in \pi \tau \notin \circ$ III．I． $2:$ vi． 1 ： xiv．1：xv．i．IV．xili．ro．VII． III．4．VIII．I．I．
бкє́ттоцац．бкє́чаито II．v． 2. $\sigma \kappa є ́ \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．xiv．I．IV．Ix． I．$\sigma \kappa є \psi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ II．viI．17．$\sigma \kappa \epsilon$－廿apévous V．vi．5．VI．xili． 7. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa є ́ \mu \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$ VII．I． 4.
$\sigma \kappa \in \hat{0} 0 \mathrm{~s}$ ．$\sigma \kappa \in v \omega \hat{\nu}$ V．HI． 12.
$\sigma \kappa \in ́ \psi$ וs II．vi．22．V．II．2．$\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \bar{l} \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s} l \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ III．I．I． $\sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \psi(s) \quad \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \leftharpoonup \mu$ III．III． 6. $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \psi \omega \mathrm{S}$ II．I．2．IV．II．3．$\tau \hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s}$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta к о \dot{\sigma} \eta$ IV．II．І8．бкє́ $\psi \iota \nu$ II．

VIII．25．IV．II．2．é $\tau \in \rho a \nu$ II． VIII．16．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \psi \iota \nu \in \in \pi о \iota о \cup ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ VI． x．1．$\pi ⿰ \iota \iota$ 向 $\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ III．XVIII． 2. $\pi \not \approx \eta \tau \epsilon \in o \nu$ III．xvi．I．$\sigma \kappa \in ́ \psi \in \iota s$ II． IX． 1.
$\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o ́ s . ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \nu$ II．IX． 24.
$\sigma \kappa o \lambda t o ́ s . \sigma \kappa o \lambda t \omega \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ III，xIV． 10.
$\sigma к о \pi \epsilon ́ \omega . ~ \sigma к о \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau o ̀ ~ a ̀ \gamma a \theta o ́ \nu ~ I I I . ~ v i . ~ 8 . ~$ $\sigma \kappa о \pi о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ II．IX．I2．III．XIII． 5. VIII．II．I．Є̇ $\sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$ III．VI． 9 ． $\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ II．VI．I5．III．XIII． 6. V．V．8．$\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau o ̀$ av̇тô̂ ả $\gamma a \theta$ óv III．VI．9．$\sigma \kappa \circ \pi o u ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 6.
$\sigma к о \pi$ о́s V．Vi．16．VIII．Xı． 33 ． $\beta a \sigma \iota \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а \lambda o ́ v ~ V I I I . ~ х . ~ 9 . ~$ тчраขขıкós（ $\tau \grave{\partial}$ ク̇óv）VIII．x． 9 ． $\tau o ̀ \nu$ бкотó $\operatorname{IV}$ ．XIII．2．$\sigma \kappa 0 \pi o \ell$ V． VII． 13.
$\sigma \kappa v \tau \epsilon$ ús．$\sigma \kappa v \tau \epsilon i ̂ s ~ I I . ~ I I . ~ 5 . ~ \sigma \kappa v \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~$ $\kappa \alpha l \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．IV． 12.

бкутото́ $о$ I．XIII．I3．III．IX．Io． бкитото́ $\mu$ оу VI．IV．І2．бкитото́ $\mu$ о II．II． 5 ．
$\sigma \kappa u ́ \phi o s, ~ \sigma \kappa u ́ \phi o \nu ~ I V . ~ I I . ~ I I . ~$
$\sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \eta \tau о \tau о к є ́ \omega$ ．$\sigma \kappa \omega \lambda \eta \tau о \tau о к є \hat{\imath}$ I．VIII． Io．
$\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ s . \pi a \nu \dot{v} \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．III． 16.
$\sigma \mu \iota к р о ́ т \eta s . ~ \sigma \mu \iota к \rho о ́ т \eta \tau а ~ I V . ~ I V . ~ і о . ~$
бофla．$\sigma o \phi i a s ~ I . ~ X I . ~ I O . ~ \sigma o ф i a \nu ~ I . ~ X I . ~$ 8.
 $\sigma \circ \phi i \zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ II．V．I9．$\sigma \circ \phi i \zeta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．I．I．
бóф८б $\mu a$ VII．VIII．I2．$\sigma о \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau o s$ $\chi$ а́ $\iota \nu$ VIII．VIII．4．бофí $\mu a \tau a$ ó $\lambda \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi \iota \alpha \alpha ́$ VI．XIII，5．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota-$ $\tau \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ VIII．VIII． 4.
$\sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma s . \sigma \circ \phi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \nu$ VII．IV． 19 ．
$\sigma o \phi \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime}$ III．IX． 8.
$\sigma o \phi o ́ s . \sigma o \phi \omega \hat{\nu}$ V．V． 25.
$\sigma \pi \alpha ́ \nu \iota o s . \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \nu \iota o \nu$ III．XV．II．
$\sigma \pi \iota \theta a \mu \dot{\prime} . \sigma \pi \iota \theta a \mu a \hat{\imath} \nu$ VIII．III． 6.
$\sigma \pi \iota \theta a \mu \iota a \hat{\iota} o s . \sigma \pi \iota \theta a \mu \iota a \hat{\imath} o \nu(\pi \lambda \hat{\iota} \iota o \nu)$ IV． IV． 10.
$\sigma \pi о р \alpha ́ \delta \eta \nu$ I．XI． 7.
$\sigma \pi о \rho a \delta \iota \kappa o ́ s . \quad \sigma \pi о \rho a \delta \iota \kappa a ́(\zeta \hat{\varphi} a)$ I．VIII． 5.
$\sigma \pi o \rho a ́ s . ~ \sigma \pi o \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon s$ I．II． 7.
$\sigma \pi \frac{v \delta a ́ \zeta \omega . ~}{\sigma \pi} \pi$
XIV．22．$\sigma \pi o v \delta a^{\prime} S o v \tau a$ VIII．XI．
 IV．xVII． 5.
$\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha \hat{\iota} o s ~ I I I . ~ X V I I I . ~ r . ~ d ่ \nu \eta ́ \rho ~ I I I . ~ I V . ~$ 4：xVI．Io．IV．XIII．7．ov̉

 $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta a ́ I V . X I I I .7 . ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta a i o v \pi o \lambda i \tau o v ~$ III．IV．I．оن̉k є̇ $\nu \delta \in ́ \chi \chi \tau a \iota$（ $\sigma \pi o \nu$－ ठaiov $\pi 0 \lambda(\tau o v) \mu i a \nu \dot{a} \rho \in \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \in โ \nu a \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a \nu$ III．IV．3．$\sigma \pi$ тov $\delta a \hat{i} o \nu \quad \partial \quad \nu \tau a$
$\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta \nu \quad \mu \grave{\eta}$ кєкт $\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \theta$＇$\eta \nu$ v $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i ̂ o s ~ a ̀ \nu \eta ́ \rho ~ I I I . ~ I V . ~ 4 . ~$ $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i a \nu$ I．XIII． 15 ．II．v．I5． IV．xiII．9．VI．xv．5．$\sigma \pi$ ovóaio III．XI．4．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ III．xv． 10. $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i ́ \omega \nu$ III．XIII．8．V．V． 2.
 XIII．15．III．XI．20．VIII．XII． 8．$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ oùs $\delta \lambda \iota$ rous $\sigma \pi$ ．III．XI． 5．$\sigma \pi o v \delta a l a s ~ I . ~ X I I I . ~ I 5 . ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta a l-~-~$
 о́татоу III．x． 5.
$\sigma \pi o v \delta a \rho \chi \iota \alpha \omega$ ．oi $\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha \rho \chi \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \in s$ V III． v． 10.
$\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta}$ I．XIII．I．（ $\dot{\eta}) ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \omega \hat{\nu}$ V．V．5．тоьồvą V．I． 4. $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \iota o \nu . \delta v o i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma \tau a \delta i o l \nu$ IV．IV． 10.
$\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu o ́ s$ II．II．3．$\quad \sigma \tau a \theta \mu \hat{\varphi}$ I．IX． 8 ．
$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta \omega . \quad \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta 0 v \sigma \iota$ II．VII．Io． III．xv．9．VIII．I．5，7， II：II．I：III．I，5：VI．9， 18：XII．18．ধ́ $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu$ VIII．III． II，I3．$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．X． 3 I． $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota a ́ j 0 \iota \in \nu$ II．IV．6．VIII．I． 6. $\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．VII． 18 ：x．I4． тoùs $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i$ is II．IX． 30 ．$\tau$ ò $\sigma \tau \alpha-$ $\sigma \mathfrak{l a} \zeta 0 \nu \dot{a} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon_{s}$ VIII．vI．4．$\sigma \tau a-$ $\sigma \iota a ́ \zeta o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ II．VII．I8．$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a$ ̧óv－ $\tau \omega \nu$ VIII．vi．4．$\quad \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a ́ \sigma a s$ VIII． V．9．$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．IV．I： VI． 4 ：x． $3^{6}$ ．
$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota a \sigma \tau \iota \omega \hat{s}$ III．XIII． 23.
$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \mu o ́ s . ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \mu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$（ $\eta \theta o s)$ V．V． 23．$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \mu \omega \tau a ́ \tau \eta s$ V．VII． 12.
$\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota s$ VIII．I，II，I6．$\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ VIII．IV．6，7：VI．2．aírıos II．
 aủzoùs ă $\rho \chi o \nu \tau a s$ II．v． $25 . \pi \omega \hat{s}$ aitía VIII．III．2．äp $\quad$ ovai VIII． IV．10．$\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ II．XI． 2 （ $\kappa \iota \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ）． VIII．IV．io．$\pi$ то七ov̂ $\iota$ VIII．IV． 12．$\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon$ IV．XVI．2．VI．XI． 12，17．VIII．II．I：IV．3：VI． I4：VII．I．रí ${ }^{2}$ $\mu \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \mu \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．IV．I． $\pi o \iota \epsilon i ̄ \theta \theta a \iota$ тávtas $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \iota \hat{\nu} \nu$ II．VII．2．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \nu \omega \rho / \mu \omega \nu$ VIII． IV．4．$\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ VIII．I．7：IV． 12．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o u s ~ V I I I . ~ I I . ~$ I．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\partial} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ VIII．IV． 5 ．
$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s . \quad \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ y$ VIII．III． II．
$\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma t \omega \tau \iota \omega \hat{s}$ VIII．VI． 15.
$\sigma \tau a \chi$ ย́s．$\sigma \tau a \chi$ v́ $\omega \nu$ III．xIII．I7．VIII． x． 13 ．
$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma \omega$ ．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \gamma \sigma \mu \in \nu$ à $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ IV．XVII． I3．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon$ IV．ViI． 8.
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \delta{ }^{\prime}$ VIII．XII， 8.
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \omega . \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma^{\sigma} o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ II．V．12．$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon-$ $\rho \eta \mu$＇́vo IV．IV． 10.
$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota s . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu i \delta i \omega \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII． x．I3．
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \delta \omega . \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha ́ \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ VIII．XII． 2.
$\sigma \tau \eta \mu$ одlò II．VI． 14.
бтo九रeîo I．IX． 12 ．VIII．IX．
 XI． 2.
$\sigma \tau \delta \mu a$ VI．iv．8．$\sigma \tau \delta \mu a \tau o s$ VI．iv． 8. VIII．x． 20.
бтохá乡оцає．$\sigma \tau о \chi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ V I . ~ v i I I . ~ 8 . ~$ VIII．XI．I5．$\sigma \tau o \chi a ́ \check{j o \nu \tau a l}$ I．I．I． IV．II．9．$\sigma \tau o \chi a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VII．II．I． $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ VI．XII． 4.
 II．vil． 7 ．
बт $\rho a \tau \epsilon i a . \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a s$ II．IX．II．IV． II． 10.

 бт $\rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \omega \nu \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i a s ~ I V . ~ I I . ~ І о . ~$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．III．7．$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$ $\tau \in \nu o \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ VI．XIII．9：xv． 3 ．
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma^{\epsilon} \omega$. ．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \circ \hat{0} \sigma \iota$ III．xi． 16. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i v$ VIII．VII．I2．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta-$ $\gamma \epsilon i ̂ v \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \eta \theta \in \in \nu \tau \alpha$ III．Iv． 14 ． бт $\alpha \tau \eta \gamma$ oûvтєs VIII．х． 24 ．$\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$ $\tau \eta \gamma$ oúv $\tau \omega \nu$ VIII．v．7．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta-$ $\gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ VIII．VII． 4.
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a$ III．xiv．4．סıà $\beta$ iov III． xiv．5．кatà révos áüठoos III． xIV．14．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a s$ III．xv． 2. VI．xv．22．VIII．Ix．3．（ $\epsilon \nu$ ） $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a$ VIII．Ix．3．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta-$
 1．$\tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a \nu \quad \epsilon \mu$－ $\pi \in i \rho o u s$ IV．V． 3 ．
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \not \iota \kappa \delta s$ VIII．IX．2．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta$－ $\gamma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$（ $\epsilon \rho \rho \gamma \nu)$ I．Ix． 17.
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ ós III．xIV．I4．IV．IV．iI． VI．xv．3．VIII．v．6：x． $2_{4}$ ． $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \dot{\partial} \nu$ III．xv．2．$\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ VII．VII． 2. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̂$ II．IX．33．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta-$ roús II．viII．9：XI．9．VII．vili． 15.

बт $\rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta s . \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota s$ VIII．Vi． 12， 13 ．
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\prime} s . \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \delta ́ \nu$ II．IX．ІІ． $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ II．IX． 7.
$\sigma v \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon \ell \epsilon \iota a ~ V . ~ v . ~ 25 . ~} \pi \delta \rho \rho \rho \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma^{\sigma} \gamma-$ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i a s$ öv $\alpha a s$ II．IV．I．$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \operatorname{e} \varphi \epsilon a \nu$ II．III．7．$\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ I．II．6．II． IV． 10.
$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu$ خ̆s．$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．viII． 20.
$\sigma v \gamma \gamma \iota \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega . \quad \sigma v \gamma \gamma^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．x． 21.
 ๕ $\chi \eta$ VII．viI． 5 ．
биүкатаßаìv．бvүкатаßウ́бєтає тоі̂s хpóvois IV．xvi．9．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa a \tau a \beta a i-$ $\nu \omega \sigma \iota$ IV．xvi． 2.
бvүкатє́рхонац．$\sigma v \gamma к а \tau \epsilon \lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VI． xv． 15 ．
бט́үкєєца兀．бо́ชкєєтає VIII．III．6： VIII．3：x．2．$\sigma \dot{\gamma} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \tau a \iota$ VI．iv． 9．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ I I . ~ v i . ~ i 8 . ~ V I . ~ i v . ~$

12．$\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \iota \nu$ ढ́к $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI．IV．i2．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon i \mu \in \nu=s$ V．viI． 6. $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \iota \epsilon \in ้ \eta$ II．Vi．is．$\epsilon \hat{v} \sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon-$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ VII．Iv． 15 ．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ б $\sigma \gamma \kappa \epsilon \epsilon-$ $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ III．1．2．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \in \epsilon \notin \nu 0 \iota s$ VIII．viII．4．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \in \mu \notin v a s$ VI． III．I．
 VII．VIII． 21.
$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o ́ s . \sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau$ oús III．І．Іо．
$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho i \nu \omega . \sigma \nu \gamma \kappa \rho i \nu 0 v \sigma \iota \pi \rho \dot{s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\nu} \mathrm{VI}$ ． XI．I．
 VI．XII． 2.
бvүкрои́ш．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \rho о$ v́ $\epsilon \nu$ VIII．xi． 8.
$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \tau a ́ o \mu \alpha \iota . ~ \sigma v \gamma \kappa \tau \eta \eta^{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta \iota \iota$ VIII．viI． 9.
 21.
$\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon \omega . \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ้$ II．viII． 17. $\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ IV．． 5.

 $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \rho \rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ $\beta$ oŋ $\theta$ elas III．vI． 3 ．

 ousivyeis VIII．xiI． 8.
 8．$\dot{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．xvi．16．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$

 IV．xvi．Io．ai $\sigma v j \in \in ́ \xi \in \epsilon(S I$ ． iv． 8.
бикофадтє́ต．бvкофаขтои̂ขтоs VIII． v．I．
 $\tau 6$.
$\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega$ ．$\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ रovтal VI．xv． 13.
 II．III． 3 ．
$\sigma$ ól入oyos VIII．xI．7．$\sigma v \lambda \lambda$ d́yous （ $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \circ$ и́s）VIII．XI． 5.
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III, viII. 3: XIII. II. VIII. VIII. 10: IX. 2. $\sigma v \mu \beta \hat{\eta}$ III. XIII. 23: XVII. 5. VI. XI. I7. VIII.
 $\sigma v \mu \beta a i \eta$ VIII. x. 28. $\sigma v \mu \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ II. III. 9: IV. 1: V. Io: VI. 10: IX. I4. VII. IV. 7. VIII. VII. 2.
 II. IX. 31. $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa \in ́ v a \iota$ II. IX. 10. $\sigma v \mu \beta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ VI. XII. 6. тò $\sigma v \mu$ $\beta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \tau v \chi \eta ̂ s$ IV. xII. 9. $\sigma v \mu \beta a i ้ \nu \nu$ II. II. I. VI. I. 2. $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha(\nu o \nu \tau o s$ VIII. I. 14. ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}) \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha i \nu o \nu \tau \alpha$ VIII. v. I: X. 1. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$ III. x. 5. $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II. III. 2. $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ s$ III. VIII. 6. ка兀வ̀ ( $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa o ́ s$ ) III. VI. 6, 7. $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta \kappa v \hat{\iota} a \nu$ II. VII. 6. $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \eta$ $\kappa о ́ \tau \omega \nu$ VIII. x. 4.
$\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$. $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \epsilon s$ тоòs $\pi о \lambda$ -入oús II. V. I2. $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha L$ IV. XI. 4. V. V. 4. tav́rp VII. II. 4. $\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ III. XII. 5. $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \nu \epsilon i s$ т̀̀ $\nu$ то८á́т $\eta \nu$ коь $\nu \omega \nu i \alpha \nu$ III. IX. I5. $\quad \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \alpha_{\lambda} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ II. IX. 13. III. XII. 5. $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs III. Xv. 7.
$\sigma v \mu \beta \lambda \eta \tau o ́ s$. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ da $\gamma a \theta \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \nu$ $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \eta \sigma v \mu \beta \lambda \eta \tau \delta \nu$ III. XII. $6 . \sigma v \mu$ $\beta \lambda \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ III. x xII. 13. $\sigma v \mu \beta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha ́$ III. xil. 6.
$\sigma v \mu \beta \delta \hat{\lambda} \alpha \circ \nu . \quad \tau \grave{a} \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ III. XIII, 2. IV. XII. 7. VII. VIII. 3. $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ III. III. 2. Tà $\downarrow \delta \iota \alpha$ VII. VIII. 7. $\sigma v \mu \beta о \lambda a i \omega \nu$ бікаs II. v. II. III. I. Io. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ á $\gamma$ opà $\nu$ VI, XV. 22.
$\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu . \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu$ VI. IX. 1. ois $\dot{\text { ć }} \sigma \tau i \sigma \cup ́ \mu \beta o \lambda \alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o u s$ III. IX. 6. $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \beta о \lambda \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ то̂̂ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ à $\delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ III. IX. 7. $\tau c \hat{\iota} \mathrm{~s}$ aं $\pi \grave{o}$ $\sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \omega \nu \circ \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ III. I. 4.
$\sigma v \mu \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon v \mu \alpha$ VIII. X. 13 .
$\sigma v \mu \beta о v \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega$. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon v \epsilon$ III. Xv. 16.
$\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ VI. XIV. I 5.
$\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda i a . \sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda l a s$ III. XIII. I7. $\sigma v \mu \beta o v \lambda i \alpha \nu$ III. XIII. 16 .
 VIII. VII. 13 .
$\sigma v \mu \mu a \chi$ la. то́т $\varphi$ бьaфє́ $\rho о v \sigma \alpha$ III. IX.
 $\sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi$ ias III. IX. Io. VI. XIV. 3. $\epsilon \nu \in \kappa \in \nu$ III. IX. 6.
$\sigma v \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi o \mu \alpha \iota . ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha \chi \epsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ VI. xv. I 5.
$\sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu \alpha \chi$ оs. $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu \alpha \chi o \nu$ II. IX. 3. $\sigma v \mu-$ $\mu$ á $\chi$ ous VIII. XI. 25.
$\sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \omega$. $\sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV. x. 12.
$\sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i \alpha$ VIII. III. 6. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon-$ $\tau \rho i a s$ III. XIII. 21 . $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{a} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma v \mu-$ $\mu \in \tau \rho l a \nu$ VIII. VIII. 12.

$\sigma$ ט́ $\mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho о \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi \rho a ́ \xi є \iota s ~ I V . ~ V I . ~$ 7. $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \circ \iota$ VI. XIV. 13.
$\sigma \nu \mu \mu i \gamma \nu v \mu \iota . \quad \sigma \nu \mu \mu \iota \gamma \nu v{ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha i$ VIII. VIII. 14.
$\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \theta$ خ́s. $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i$ ८े V. V. 17 .
$\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$. $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \beta \alpha \lambda \epsilon$ VIII. IV. 7.
$\sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha s$. $\sigma v \not \mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ VIII. XII. 6. $\sigma v \mu-$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III. XVIII. I. $\sigma \dot{\mu} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ s III. XI. 2.
$\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \ell \theta \omega$. $\quad \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha$ VII. III. 6. $\sigma u \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta$ VI. XI. 19. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon i-$ $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ VIII. viI. I 3.
$\sigma v \mu \pi i \nu \omega . \sigma v \mu \pi i \nu=\nu \tau a$ VIII. x. 16.
$\sigma v \mu \pi i \pi \tau \omega$. $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \in \pi \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ II. IX. 2 I . $i \sigma o \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ VII. III. 6. $\tau \grave{\partial}$ $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ \nu$ III. XIII. I7.
 16.
$\sigma v \mu \pi \nu \epsilon ́ \omega . \sigma v \mu \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \eta$ VIII. III. II.
$\sigma v \mu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \omega . \sigma v \mu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ̀$ II. vi. 3.
 $\epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ IV. II. 3 .
$\sigma v \mu \pi o \sigma \iota \alpha \rho \chi \epsilon ̂ \omega$. $\sigma v \mu \pi o \sigma \iota a \rho \chi \epsilon i ้ \nu \tau o v s$

$\sigma v \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \eta \prime s . \sigma v \mu \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \alpha ́ s$ II. IX. 30.
$\sigma v \mu \pi \rho i \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha u . \sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \rho i a \tau o$ I. XI. I I.
$\sigma \dot{\jmath} \mu \pi \tau \omega \mu \alpha$ VIII. IV. 5. á $\pi \grave{̀} \quad \sigma v \mu-$ $\pi \tau \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \tau$ оs II. XII. 5. VIII. VI. 16.
$\sigma v \mu \phi \in \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ єै $\chi \in \iota$ II. IX. 2 I.
$\sigma v \mu \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega$. $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ I. II. 2. II. VII. 16: VIII. I7. III. XIII. I8, 24. XIV. I: XV. $2:$ XVII. 8. IV. IX. 6. VI. I. I. VIII. viII. Io, 21 : XI. 20. toía tolots VI. XII. I. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon<\alpha \nu$ II. x. Io. $\sigma v \mu$ $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho о v \sigma \iota \nu$ VI. xv. Іо. $\sigma v \nu \in \nu \eta \nu^{\prime} о к є \nu$ II. viII. I8. $\sigma v \mu \phi \in ́ \rho \eta$ II. VI. I 5. $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ II. IX. 3. III. xv. 4. VI. I. II. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota$ II. IX. 25 . $\mu$ е́ $\chi \rho \iota ~ \tau o v ~ \sigma v \mu \phi є ́ \rho o v \sigma a$ VII. IV. 20. $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau о$ I. II. II. III- xVII. I, 2. V. IV. I. VII. IV. 5. VIII. VIII. 6. $\tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ II. viII. 6, 16. тò $\sigma v \mu \phi$ épò III. XII. Iз. III. XIII. 10. IV. XI. 5. á $\rho \chi \in \epsilon$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ ঠєбто́тоv III. vi. 6. ধ́ккбтך VI. XIV. I. Tò éкєlvov III. vi. 9. тò $\kappa о \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu$ III. III. 2 : XII. I. оv̉ $\mu \eta ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ каі $\tau \grave{\partial} \kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \quad \sigma v \mu$. $\sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ III. VI. 3. $\tau \grave{̀}$ ко८ขò $\nu \sigma v \mu-$ $\phi \in ́ \rho o \nu ~ I I I . ~ V I I . ~ 2 . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \phi e ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~$
 VI. XI. I8. бvифє́ $\rho \circ \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \alpha u ̈ \tau o \hat{v}$ III. vi. 6. тôv $\sigma v \mu \phi$ є́ $о \nu \tau o s ~ I I I . ~$ VII. 2. тov̉vávтiov a่ $\pi \circ \beta \epsilon \in \beta \eta \epsilon$ II.
 IX. I4. ( $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ) $\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \alpha$ ( $\tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi o ́ \nu$ ) VII. I. 2. $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \not ́ \rho o \nu \tau \alpha$ VIII. IX. 4. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ II. VII. I8. IV. VIII. 7 .
 бv $\mu \phi о \rho \eta \tau$ à $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \pi \nu a$ III．XI． 2.
бvaфuйs．$\sigma v \mu \phi \nu$ és III．xv． 15 ．
бv $\mu \phi \dot{\prime} \omega$ ．$\sigma v \mu \phi \hat{v}$ ą II．Iv． 6.
$\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \omega . \sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．XII． 5 ． $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu \epsilon i \nu$ III．xIII．22．IV．xIII． 2，12．$\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu i a \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ IV． xv． 7 ．
$\sigma \nu \mu \phi \omega \nu i a . ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu i a \nu$ II．v．I4．IV． xv． 7.
бvעá $\gamma \omega$ ．$\sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ III．vi．3．VIII．v． 1．бuvázo VI．xv．8．toùs tónous $\epsilon i s \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \nu$ III．IX．9．$\sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．XII． 7．VI．xif．6：xv．7．VII． VIII．2．$\epsilon \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau i \omega \nu$ VII．VI． I．ovvarareî III．xiv．2．VII． 1．10．$\tau \grave{\partial}$ бvvárov $\tau \grave{̀}$ кúpıov $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$
 VIII．xi．21．$\sigma_{\nu \nu \eta ิ \kappa \tau a \iota ~ I I . ~ v . ~}$ б． $\epsilon i s \not ̨ ้ ~ \sigma u \nu \hat{\eta} \chi \theta a \iota$ III．xI． 4.
ovvarఉ $\omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ．$\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \gamma$ ás VII．I． 3 ．
 8.

бидаколои $\theta^{\prime} \omega$ ．бидако入ov $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ I．viII． 6.

бидактє́о VIII．IV． 19.
$\sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu a$ ．$\sigma v \nu a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ VII． viII．21．$\mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．xvi．4．$i \bar{o} \dot{L} \omega \nu$ VI．xvi．2．VII．II． 5.
бvрацфóтєроs．$\sigma v \nu a \mu \phi о т е ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ I I . ~ v I I . ~$ 9．VI．XII． 4 ．

 xi． 20.
 коьшшขía II．v． 4.
бuvaio久aúc．$\sigma v \nu a \pi o \lambda a v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ VIII．Iv． 4.
$\sigma \nu \nu \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega$ ．$\sigma \nu \nu \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \partial े \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ III．III．I．
$\sigma v \nu a \rho \iota \theta \mu \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\sigma v \nu a \rho \iota \theta \mu o v \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ VII．III． 5.

бuvapxia．ai $\sigma v \nu a \rho \chi i a \iota$ VI．xIv． 4.



 $\pi$ o久入oîs II．xi． 8.
 12.
 $\sigma v \nu \delta v a ́ j \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ I．II．2．$\quad \sigma \nu \delta \nu a \varsigma ̧ b \mu \epsilon \nu a$ VI．xv．16．VII．I．3．$\sigma v \nu \delta v a-$ $\sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ IV．xvi． 15.
$\sigma v \nu \delta v a \sigma \mu \dot{s}$ ．$\dot{o}$ т $\frac{\omega}{\omega} \nu \nu \not{\nu} \omega \nu$ IV．xvi． 6. $\sigma v \nu \delta v a \sigma \mu o \hat{v}$ VI．IX．3．oi $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon-$ $\chi$ бнє $о \iota \sigma v \nu \delta \nu a \sigma \mu о і$ VI．Iv．8．$\sigma v \nu-$ $\delta v a \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．xv．18．$\sigma \nu \nu \delta v a \sigma \mu o u ́ s$ VII．I， 4 ．
ซט́veryus I．Ix．14．VII．vi． 2 ：viII． 4．ả $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda a, s$ II．xI．I．toútocs VII．


$\sigma$ ช́vє $\gamma \gamma$ us IV．xvii．8．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ бช́vє $\gamma-$
 VI．xi．if．
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \theta i j \omega$ ．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \theta i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ IV．xvii．2．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon-$ $\theta i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ V．v． 17.
$\sigma \dot{\nu} \varepsilon \iota \mu \iota(\epsilon i \mu \ell)$ ．$\sigma v \nu \in i \nu a \iota$ II．Iv． 2.
 $\sigma \nu \nu \grave{\omega} \nu \mathrm{VI} . \mathrm{xv} .12$ ．$\sigma v \nu t \partial \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ III．
xv．7．$\sigma u \nu \iota o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a l$ VI．xiv． 4.
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \beta a \lambda \omega ́ \nu$ VIII．IV． 9.
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau i \kappa \tau \omega$ ．$\sigma \cup \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau i \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota$ I．viII． 10.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\pi} \tau \omega$ ．$\quad \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．
IX． 20.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota . \sigma \cup \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \theta \epsilon \tau \circ$ VIII．x． 18. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \psi \eta \phi i \zeta \omega$ ．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \psi \eta \phi i \sigma a \iota$ II．x． 7 ． $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \rho \chi \mu a \iota . \sigma v \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \circ \nu$ III．IX．5．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \bar{\lambda}-$ $\theta$ oic III．IX．II．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \downarrow \nu$ єis $\mu i a \nu$
 III．xI．9，14．каl $\chi \omega \rho$ is III．Ix． II．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \sigma \nu t a s$ III．xI． 2.
 $\beta o u \lambda \epsilon \dot{́} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI．Iv． 14 ．$\sigma \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ $\tau$ тú́t $\eta$ V．VII．II．
$\sigma v \nu \in \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \omega \omega$ V．v． 22.
$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi$ ク́s VIII．xII．5．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi$ t＇s VIII． XII．It．$\sigma \nu \nu \in \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ I．v． 3 ．
 21．Tó $\hat{\epsilon}$ тò $\pi \hat{a} \nu$ IV．iv．8．$\sigma \nu \nu \notin-$
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \zeta \grave{\zeta} \nu ~ a v i \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \delta \nu 0 \nu$ III．vi． 4.
$\sigma v \nu \in \chi \omega \widehat{s}$ VI．IV． 16 ．VII．viII．I3． VIII．viI．12：xI．23．äp 1．$^{2} \nu$ IIİ． vi．10．ท̂p $\rho$ ov III．xiv． 13 ．
бuvíropos．бuעךүópous V．II．viII． 16.
$\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a . \sigma \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a l$ VII．IV． 19.
$\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ．$\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ VI．xI．6．$\sigma v \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \mathrm{~s}$ IV．viI． 5 ．
$\sigma \nu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \eta_{s}$ ．$\sigma v \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon v \tau a i ̂ s$ VIII．xi． 14.
$\sigma ט ́ \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ ．$\sigma \nu \nu \theta \in \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ VI．IX．2． $2 \nu \epsilon \in \delta o s$
 $\kappa о \iota \nu \nu i a \nu$ III．．III． 8.
$\sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon \tau \in \neq \nu$ VI．Ix．．.
$\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon \tau o s . \tau \grave{\partial} \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu$ I．I． 3.
$\sigma \nu \nu \theta \dot{\kappa} \kappa \eta$ ．ं $\nu 0 \mu$ оs III．Ix． 8 ．$\sigma \nu \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \iota$ III．IX．7．$\sigma v \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa a s$ III．xIII．I9．

 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ VIII．III． 4 ：v．2．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon$－ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ I．xiI．I．III．iv．6：vi． 2 ： xiI．8．$\epsilon \xi \hat{\xi} \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．xII． 2. бvขєбтŋ́каб८ VIII．XI． 32 ．$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon-$ $\sigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ VII．IV．I2．$\sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \partial$ III．XVIII．I．$\sigma v \nu \imath \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{p a l}$ II．VI． 22. VII．v．I．$\sigma_{v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu a l ~ I V . ~ v i I I . ~}^{9}$ ： xIII．I．VI．II．I．кат＇єи̉ $\chi$ ض́p IV． IV．I．$\sigma v \sigma \tau \eta$ そुबal III．xIII． 23 ． $\sigma v \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{2} \nu a \iota$ II．VI． 22 ．$\sigma v \sigma \tau a \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon s$ II． x．13．VIII．v．2：x．31．Guve－ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \hat{\nu} \iota a$ III．vi．9．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{s} \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon-$
 ổซทร VI．пI．8．$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \nu i a \nu ~ I . ~ I . ~$ 1．VI．Iv．12．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma a \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s}$

VI．II．4．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．VIII．I．$\sigma v \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha s$ I．II． 15．VIII．vil．3．$\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．
 ஸ̂̀ $\sigma v \nu i \sigma \tau a \tau a \iota \pi \delta \delta \lambda \iota s$ oiкє $i \omega \nu \nu$ норí $\omega \nu$ IV．IV． 6.
$\sigma \nu \nu \nu \circ \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\sigma \nu \nu \nu \partial \eta \sigma^{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ III．XIII． 17.
$\sigma u ́ \nu \nu o v s . ~ \sigma u ́ \nu \nu o u \nu$ II．VII． 17 ．
бúvoঠos．$\sigma v \nu o ́ \delta o v ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \eta s ~ V I I . ~ I V . ~ I 3 . ~$
 $\delta o \nu$ II．IX．3I．$\tau a ́ s$ á $\nu a \gamma \kappa a l a s ~ \sigma v \nu o ́-$ סous VII．V． 9.
бvขокє́બ．$\sigma \nu \nu \not ̣ \kappa \eta \sigma a \nu$ VIII．III．II． $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu о \iota \kappa о и ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ III．v．9．$\tau$ oîs бvขокй́ $\alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．III． 12.
бúvo七коs．$\sigma v \nu o i ́ k o v s$ VIII．III． 1 I．
$\sigma v \nu o \mu o \lambda o \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\sigma v \nu \omega \mu o \lambda o \gamma \eta \mu \notin \nu o \nu$ IV．I． IO．
$\sigma ט ́ \nu o \pi \tau o s . \sigma v \nu \delta ́ \pi \tau o v \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu$ II． XII． 9.
бvvovoia VIII．XI．7．$\sigma v \nu 0 v \sigma i a \nu$ II． IV．2：IX．7．тоє $勹 \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ IV．XVI． 8. бvขovoiais $\chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ IV．xVI． 8. бv⿻ovoias V．V．II．
 III． 7.
$\sigma v \dot{\nu} \tau a \xi \zeta \iota$ II．VI．I6．$\dot{\eta} \pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \delta \rho^{\mu} \omega \nu$
 16．$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \dot{\xi} \xi \epsilon \omega$ VI．xIII．ıо．VII．
 XIII．II．
$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega$ ．$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \alpha ́ \xi \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon$ IV．XIV． $\mathrm{I}_{5}$. $\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．II．6．$\sigma v \nu \tau \in ́ \tau a \kappa \tau a \iota$ II．XI．9．IV．II．9．VII．I．I． $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \tau a ́ \chi \theta a \iota$ II．VII．I4．$\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \nu$ IV．x．Io．VII．I．4．$\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon-$ $\tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$, II．XI． 2.
 15．$\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．II．I8．$\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon i-$ עovбà $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ́ \nu \delta \rho i ́ a \nu ~ V . ~ I I I . ~ I . ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ बúv $\tau \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \nu$ V．VI． 12. $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon i \nu o \nu \tau a$ V．VI．7．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ à $\nu a \gamma \kappa a l a \nu$ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．IV． 14. $\sigma v \nu \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ ．$\sigma v \nu \theta \epsilon i s$ III．VIII．4．$\sigma v \nu \tau i-$ $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau a \iota \pi \sigma \sigma a \chi \hat{\omega} s$ VI．I．8．$\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta}$ VII．I．4．$\sigma v \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu a$ VII．I． 9 ． $\sigma v \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ VI．xv．14．VII．I． 7.
$\sigma v \nu \tau o ́ \mu \omega s$ VI．II．6．VII．I． 6.
бuvтovia．Guvtovias V．III． 4 ：VII． 3.
бuvtóvos．бuvtóvous V．VII．13．бuעto－ $\nu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ VIII．IV． 8 ．$\sigma v \nu \tau o \nu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ （adv．）VIII．x．34．$\sigma v \nu \tau o \nu \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ VI．iif． 8.
$\sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \in \omega . \sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota \epsilon \iota \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ VII． II． 7.
$\sigma v \sigma \sigma i \tau i o \nu . \sigma v \sigma \sigma i \tau i a$ II．V．17：VI．17： X．5．IV．X．4．VIII．XI．5．$\gamma v \nu a l-$ $\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．VI． 5 ：VII．I：XII．12．$\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\phi v \lambda \alpha \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ IV．XII，8．тà ка入ои́ $\mu \in \nu a$ $\phi \iota \delta i \tau \iota a$ II．IX．30．$\tau \dot{\alpha}$ кvр七ढ́т $\frac{1}{} \tau \alpha$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \epsilon i \omega \nu$ IV．XII．2．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha a-$ $\rho \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ тoîs $\phi \iota \delta \iota \tau i o \iota s$ II．XI．3．$\sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota-$ $\tau i \omega \nu$ II．VI． $5:$ x．7．IV．x．10．$\dot{\eta}$
$\tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota s$ dं $\rho \chi \alpha i a$ IV．x．2．$\tau \grave{\partial}$ ката－ $\sigma \kappa \in v ́ a \sigma \mu a$ II．IX．32．$\sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota \tau i o \iota s$ II． V． 15 ：X． 8 ．ìv toîs IV．xvil． 9. VI．IX．8．катаעєขє $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ย $\nu$ IV． XII．I．$\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \iota$ IV．X． 4.
$\sigma v ́ \sigma \sigma \iota \tau o s . \sigma v \sigma \sigma i \tau o \iota s$ VIII．XI．I4．

бט́бтaбıs．$\sigma v \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ö $\lambda \eta s$ IV． VIII．I．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \delta \lambda \omega s \sigma^{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．XIII． 9 ．
$\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \omega$ ．$\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \circ v \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．V． 1.
$\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{s}$ ．$\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ VIII．XI． 33.
$\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ s$ ．$\tau \grave{o} \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \delta \hat{\nu}$ VIII．VII． 7. av่т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ VI．XI． 18.
$\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha$ II．III． 9 ：IV．6：IX．I9．VIII． VI． 2.
$\sigma \chi \in \delta \delta \nu$ I．XIII．3．II．v．4，16， 18 ：vi． I：VII． 23 ：VIII．8：IX．15．III．XV． 1， 2 ：XVIII．I．VIII．I．I：II．I： III．I：V． 5 ：XI．I7．$\sigma \chi \in \delta \grave{\nu} \nu$ ย́ $\tau \in ́ \rho \alpha$ $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$ II．IX．33．$\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ II．X． 3．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．XII．I．$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{*}$光 $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ III．XVI．13．тoぃô̂tos III． I． 8 ．

 VIII．13．$\sigma \chi \eta ์ \mu a \tau \alpha$ V．v．20．$\sigma \chi^{\prime \prime}$ $\mu a \sigma \iota$ I．XII． 2.
$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$ ．$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．xv．3．V III．5．$\sigma \chi o \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．IX． 34 ：XI．8， Io．IV．XIV． 22 ：XV．2．V．III． 2，4．VI．vi．3．VIII．vIII．16．тò $\mu \iota \kappa \rho \grave{a} \nu$ é $\chi o \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma i a \nu ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta े$ סv́va－ $\sigma \theta a \iota \sigma \chi o \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ VI．IV． $21 . \quad \sigma \chi o \lambda a ́-$ §ovtєs VI．xv．13．$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda$ á§ovtas IV．v．I．$\sigma \chi o \lambda a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon$ S II．IX．II．
$\sigma \chi o \lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s . \sigma \chi o \lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa о \not ́ s$ VIII．I． 5．$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ V．VI． 11. $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \iota s$ VII．VIII． 22.
$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ II．XI．10， 12 ．IV．IX．
 III．10．V．III．8．$\sigma \chi o \lambda \eta_{\eta} \nu$ IV．XIV． 12．V．III．6．$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha i \omega \nu$ II．IX．2．$\tau \grave{\alpha} s \epsilon$ ls $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \chi o \lambda \eta ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ v̇тá $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ IV．xv．1．$\sigma \chi 0 \lambda a ́ s ~ V I I I . ~$ XI． 5 ．
$\sigma \omega \dot{\zeta \omega} . \sigma \omega \varsigma \epsilon \epsilon$ II．II．4，7．VIII．IX．5， 9．$\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ II．X． 15 ．$\sigma \omega ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ IV．xiv．22．VI．XI．8．VIII．VIII． 1，8：XI．I．Є̇ $\sigma \omega \omega_{\text {Sovto II．IX．} 34 .}$ $\sigma \omega \varsigma$ оит VI．I．4．VIII．I．1．$\sigma \omega \dot{s} \eta$－ тaц II．XII．10．VII．V．1．$\sigma \hat{\omega}-$ $\zeta \in \sigma$ Əa८ II．V． 23 ：IX．22；IV．XI． 9 ． VIII．X．1：XI．32．$\delta \iota$＇av่ $\hat{\eta} s$ VI． IX．10．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon\{a \nu$ III．VI． 6. $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ II．VIII．2I．$\sigma \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ II． III．5．VIII．x．27．$\sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma o \mu e ́ v o v s$ IV．vi． 3.
$\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ IV．XI． 4 ：XIII．II．V．II． 4 ： III．13．VI．IV．14．VIII．III． $6: x$. 14．$\beta o ́ v a v \sigma o v ~ V . ~ V I . ~ 6 . ~ \pi o t o ́ v ~ \tau i ~$ V．V．3．$\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$
$\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ IV．xv．9．$\sigma$ बُ́ $\mu a \tau o s ~ I I I . ~$ iv．6．IV．I．8．$\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a s$ II．IX． 25. ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha$ V．III．I3．$\epsilon \pi i$ tov̂ $\sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau o s$ V．V． 2 I．$\epsilon \pi i$ тồ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s ~ a \dot{\zeta} \xi a v o-$ $\mu \notin \nu o v$ IV．xvi．8．$\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$ VI．I．i． $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \in \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$ IV．I．3．$\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha$ V．vi．16．VII．iv．iI：Vi． 4 ．VIII． XI．28．тồ $\pi \epsilon \rho l ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \mu \tau \alpha \alpha ~ к а ́ \lambda-~$ $\lambda o u s$ V．III．12．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \phi v-$ $\lambda a к a ́ s ~ V I I . ~ v i I I . ~ 8 . ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu ~ а \sigma \iota \nu ~$ III．xvi．2．oiкєia IV．xvi．I．
$\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \delta s^{\prime}$ ．$\sigma \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．IX．24．$\sigma \omega$－ $\mu a \tau \iota \kappa a ́ s ~ I . ~ x I I I . ~ 2 . ~ V I I I . ~ x I . ~ 23 . ~$
$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ VII．viI．r：viII．4．VIII． XI．17．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \alpha \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ VIII．xI．14．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ кон⿱䒑䶹ias III．IV．3．$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho$ las VII． I．г．VIII．viil．．$\quad \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a \nu$ I．II． 2．II．Ix．30．VIII．Ix．16：xi． 5 ． $\sigma \omega \tau \eta p i a \iota$ VI．iI，6．VIII．I．I．
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ IV．xv． 3 ．
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \dot{\nu} \omega \mathrm{s}$ II．vi．8， 9 ．VII．IV． 20.
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma$ úv $\eta$ I．XiII．2，9．II．viI． 12. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma$ v́v $\bar{s}$ II．v．ro．IV．I．4：xv． 3．V．v．ı8．ג́ $\rho \chi \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ III．IV． 16. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma u ́ \nu \eta \nu$ IV．xvi． 8.
$\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ I．xiII．3，5．IV．I．ro．$\sigma \dot{\omega}-$ $\phi \rho \rho \nu a$ I．xili．3．$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ IV．xv． 2.

## T．

$\tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a . ~ \tau а \gamma \mu a ́ \tau o \iota \nu ~ V I . ~ I x . ~ 4 . ~$
$\tau \alpha \lambda a \iota \pi \dot{\omega} \rho \omega \mathrm{~s}$ II．vI． 9 ．
 tous I．XI．II．
танias．танià VIII，xI， 2 r．tapias VII．viII．6．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ i $\epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$ VII．viil． 19.
тацєєia．тащєєіє VIII．IX． 3.

$\tau a \nu \hat{\nu}$ VI．II． 4.
$\tau a \xi \iota a \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon$ ．$\tau a \xi \iota a \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ III．IV． 14.
$\tau a \xi \iota a \rho \chi i a . \tau a \xi \iota a \rho \chi i a \iota$ VII．viII． 15.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi$ ᄂs I．II．16．II．x．4．VII．viII．I3．
VIII．viI．I3．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \xi_{\iota} \tau \iota s$ III．I．I． IV．iv．8．$\dot{\eta} \tau \alpha \mathfrak{g} \iota_{l s}$ aüт $\eta$ III．xI． 10. е́ $\chi \in \iota \tau \iota \pi$ то入ıтєias II．x．14．$\nu \dot{\nu} \mu$ os III．xvi．3．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tàs ad $\rho \chi$ ás VI．I． 10．$\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon l a s ~ I I . ~ x . ~ 6 . ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．III．5．$\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ IV． xvi．15．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{K} a \rho \chi \eta \delta o \nu i \omega \nu$ II．xI． 8．T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ बvoбルitiov IV．x． 2. $\tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \omega$ s II．viil．7．$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota$ IV． Iv．8．$\pi \mathrm{o} \mathrm{\lambda} \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ IV．x．8．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ đàs á $\rho \chi \alpha \dot{s}$ VII．I．I．$\tau \dot{a} \xi \epsilon \iota \dot{\eta}$ $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ VII．Iv．I．$\quad \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$ II．v． 5 ． $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau a \xi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ II．xI． 2. $\tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \xi \nu$ II．II． $4:$ viI．2I．IV．II． 5. VI．ix．7．VII．iv．6．dа $\rho \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ II．IX．I．$\epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI．I． 7.
 xII．4．$\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath}$ toùs $\pi$ â̂̀jas IV．xviI． 16．$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta \dot{\nu}$ II．VIII．22．$\tau \hat{\eta} s$
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ II．V＇．I．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \delta \mu \omega \nu$ II．
x．2，3．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau d \xi \iota \nu$ aú $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ II．
IX．16．$\tau \dot{a} \xi \xi \in \iota$ VI．xIII． 10.
$\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$＇s．$\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\nu}$ V．II．5．$\tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu 0 l$ $\lambda i a \nu$ VI．xI． 6.
$\tau а \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \omega$ ．$\epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon і \nu \omega \sigma a \nu$ III．xili． 19.
$\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \mu \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ VIII．XI． 34 －
$\tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \omega \bar{s}$ VIII．XI． 12.

IV．9：viII．12．тapax ${ }^{\omega} \nu$ VIII．
II．I．
$\tau a \rho a \chi \omega \dot{\delta} \eta$ II．viII． $1_{4}$ ．V．II． 2.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega$ ．тá $\tau \tau \sigma v \sigma \iota \nu$ V．HII．8：v．3．$\epsilon \pi i$ III．xi．8．$\epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \xi \dot{\beta} \mu \in \nu$ VI．viII．I．
 22．$\tau$ ák $\epsilon \epsilon \nu$ II．viI．8．$\tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ II． IV．5：viI．5．тátтovtas II．VII．
 VII．VIII．I4．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \neq a \sigma \theta a t$ II．XII． 9．те́тактаı II．Ix．Iq：X．8．VI． viII．2．VII．viII．18．ката VIII．x．7．$\tau \in \tau \alpha \dot{\chi} \theta a \iota$ II．ix． 15. VIII．I．${ }^{14}$ ：viII．${ }^{15}$ ．калิิs II．

 7．$\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \notin \nu o l$ VIII．vii．13．$\tau \epsilon$－
 VI．xiv．5．VII．viII．13．$\tau \boldsymbol{\partial}$ $\tau a \chi \theta \in \epsilon$ VIII．vi． 17.
$\tau \alpha \phi \grave{\eta} . \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \alpha \phi \eta \eta_{\nu}$ II．XII． 9 ．
 тoùs $\tau$ á申ous II．XII． 9 ．
$\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$ II．III．2：vi．16．III．I． 7 ： XI．15：XV．15．VI．II．5．тáđa $\delta \grave{\ell} \kappa \not \approx \nu$ III．XI．I．
$\tau a \chi \epsilon \cos$ III．II．I．VII．IV． 3 ．
 II．viII．17．VIII．viII，12．$\tau d$－ रьтта II．VII． 3.
$\tau \epsilon i \nu \omega . \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau$ V．v．3．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon i \nu 0 \nu \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho \dot{\partial} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{V}$ ．II． 2.
$\tau \epsilon \ell \chi o \pi o t o ́ s . ~ \tau \epsilon \epsilon \chi$ о $\quad$ otoús VII．viil． 5.
$\tau \epsilon i \chi o s{ }^{\ell} \nu$ III．III．5．$\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$ IV．xi．
ro．$\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \omega े \nu$ IV．xi．8．VII．viII．
14．$\tau \epsilon i \chi \in \sigma \iota$ III．Ix．9．IV．vi．
 III． 5 ．
$\tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \dot{\rho} \iota \nu$ IV．xvi． 6.
$\tau \in ́ \kappa \nu o \nu$ I．XII．3．$\tau \in ́ \kappa \nu \dot{a}$ II．HI． 9 ： IV．9：viI．1．IV．xvi．3．$\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ II．vi．I．III．xv．I3．$\quad d \rho \chi \in \iota \nu$ $\beta a \sigma t \lambda \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s ~ I . ~ X I I . ~ I, ~ 3 . ~ \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$ II．I．3．Tต̂̀ aưtô̂ V．I．3．тé－ $\kappa \nu 0, s$ III．XV． 14.
$\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu о \pi о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ I．ІІІ ． 2.
$\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu$ отонla．$\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu 0 \pi^{0}{ }^{0} i \alpha \nu$ II．vi．10， 12. IV．xvi．II．$\dot{\delta} \nu^{\nu} \mu \mu \mathrm{s} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ II．IX，
18．$\phi$ ầ $\lambda$ os $\pi p^{\text {b }}$ s IV．xvi． 6.
 14．$\tau \epsilon \kappa \kappa \tau o \nu \in s$ II．II． 5.
 I．XII．I．$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ iaus oü $\sigma \alpha \iota$ VI．I，I． $\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon o s . \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in a \nu$ I．xiII． 8 ．
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\omega} \omega . \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \theta \notin \nu$ I．II． 15 ．$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega-$ $\theta \in i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ I．viII．9．V．v． 5 ．
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau a i o s . \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i a$ VIII．x． 30. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i a s$ VI．v．2．VIII．x． 35. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i \not \psi$ VI．VI．II．$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau a i ̂ o \nu$ VI．viII．2．$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i a \nu$ VII．IV． 15．VIII．xi．in，
 4．Ėтє入єúт $\eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ II．XII．8．$\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \cup-$ $\tau \hat{2} \nu$ II．vi． $12 . \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ิ \sigma a \iota$ VIII． x．28．$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．viII．6．VI． vi． 10.
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$ II．III．I．III．I． 4.
т 六os I．II．8：IX．I3．II．II．I：x． 4. III．v．8．VI．II．6．VII．II． 2 ：viII． 21．VIII．III．10：IX．7，8．адкро́та－ $\tau 0 \nu$ IV．II．I7．$\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau \tau o \nu$ IV．xiv．I5．
 $\pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ тò $\epsilon \hat{\delta} \quad \bar{\zeta} \hat{\eta} \nu$ III．IX．I3． $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \dot{\kappa \epsilon \epsilon}$ ov่ $\theta \epsilon \nu \grave{l} \dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ V．v．4．тò
 $\pi o \iota s$ IV．xv．I．$\tau \grave{o l} \tau \epsilon \operatorname{los}$ IV．xiif． 2．V．V．12．VI．I．10．VIII． I．xv．$\dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta b \nu$ III．xII．I．$\dot{a} \pi \sigma \dot{b}$



 xv．8．трі́тоv II．хіІ．6．Ёעєка́ $\tau$ vios $\tau$ étous $\dot{\omega} s$ oủ $\chi$ vimap III．5．$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ IV．xIV．7．V． V．I2．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ V．v． 13．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta$ VIII．x．14．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ VIII．xI．го．
т $\epsilon \rho \mu \alpha$ I．vili． 14.
тєтартпноріор．тєтартпноріоv IV． I． 4 ．
тє́тaptos．тétaptov II．viII．II．III． XIV． 11 ．

 VIII．vi． 6.
$\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \dot{\eta \epsilon} \rho \circ \mathrm{s}$ ．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ III．xv． 4.

тє́т $\tau a \rho \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ ．тє́ $\tau \tau \alpha \rho a$ III．xiv．I4．VIII． I．12．$\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ VIII．III．6．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ад $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \gamma к а \iota о \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ VI．1v． 12．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \in \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} o s$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \nu$ VI．VII．I．$̀ \nu \nu$ тoîs $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \rho \sigma \iota$ VI．IV．I3．
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu a ́ j \omega . ~ \grave{\tau \epsilon \epsilon \chi \nu \alpha a \sigma a \nu}$ I．XI． 12.
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon \neq \nu$ VII．v． 8.
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta$ IV．xVII．${ }^{15}$ ．$\tau \epsilon \chi \chi \nu \eta$ I．Ix． 1．IV．vil． $2:$ xili．8．VII．II．
 $\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$ III．xv．4．$\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta \nu$ III．xI． II，I4：XII．4．V．II．4：v．6： vi．14．VI．I．2．тoîs кađà $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu$ IV．xiv．Io．$\tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu a \iota$ II． viII．18．$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ III．XI． 12 ：xili． 21．VI．IV．9．$\tau \grave{o ̀} \pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ II．viII．24．$\tau^{\prime} \chi \chi \nu a \iota s$ III． xil．I．IV．xili，2．VI．I．I．
$\tau \in \chi \chi$ as III．vi． $7:$ xi．10， $11:$ xiv． 12．IV．VIII．7．V．I．2：II． 5．тoîs t̀ $\chi$ ขvą III．xvi．6．$\overparen{\omega} \nu$ ä̀vev $\pi \delta\langle\lambda \iota \nu$ áóv́vatov oixєî̄өal VI． Iv． 9 ．

 $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \kappa \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ IV．xviI． 2. $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \kappa$ о́s V．vi．7．тє $\chi \nu \iota \kappa$ ќтатає
 $\tau v \chi$ ท̂s I．xi． 6.
$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i \tau \eta$ S I．xiII．I3．$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha$, II．v． 28：VII．22：viII．8．$\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ II． Iv．9：VI．3．V．III．7．oi $\pi$ ohdol
 v．26．VIII．xi．19．$\tau \in \chi \nu i \tau a s$ II．v．20：vHI．2，10．V．vi． 10. VI．iv． 18.
$\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о \hat{\tau} о$ s．$\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa a v ́ \tau \eta \nu$ III．xviI． 7. $\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa \circ$＇ívous IV．xVII．5，7．$\tau \eta \lambda \iota-$ каи̂та VII．iv．ıо．
$\tau \eta \rho \epsilon ́ \omega$ ．т $\eta \rho \epsilon \hat{e} \nu$ VIII．VIII．2，15：Ix． 5．$\tau \eta \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma 0 v \sigma \iota}$ VIII．xI． 27.
$\tau \dot{\eta} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s . \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ VIII．viII． 8.
$\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota . \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$ III．I．8．$\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \iota$ V．iII，5：v．3：VII．3．VI．III． 7．$\tau 0 \hat{s}$ aủ̃oùs $\theta$ ウ́ $\sigma \epsilon$ II．VIII． 12.
 тov̀s $\nu$ ómous II．xII．I3．$\theta \in i ́ \eta$ II． vi．18．III．xv．I3．VI．IV． 14. $\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \in a^{2}$ II．vi．i2．VI．iv．i．VII． III．I．VIII．x．33．$\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \varphi \tau \tau \in \mathrm{V}$ ． viI．3．Tiقevtal III．xili．I5．IV． II．2．VI．I．9：III．7．VII．II． 3．${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \nu \tau 0$ IV．xili．2．$\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ VIII．VIII．I9．$\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ III．xIII． 11．VI．I．9．$\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \mathcal{v}_{0}{ }^{2}$ VII．v． 2.
$\tau \mu a \lambda \phi \epsilon ́ \omega . \tau \mu a \lambda \phi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ IV．xVII． 10.
$\tau \iota \mu \alpha \dot{\omega} . \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．II． 2. V．II． 2. $\tau \epsilon \tau \iota \eta$ ŋккаб८ II．IX．7．$\tau \iota \mu a \hat{\nu}$ VIII． xI．26．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \quad \phi \alpha i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV． xiv．19．$\tau 0 i ̂$ s $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ I．XI． 8. $\tau \iota \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \tau a \iota$ VII．Iv．Io．VIII．III． 2：viII．Io．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ II．IX． 7 ．
 10．$\tau \epsilon \mu \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu o u s$ VIII．III．2．$\tau \epsilon \tau i-$ $\mu \eta \tau a \iota$ IV．II．Io．$\tau \iota \mu \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ VIII． x． 26.
$\tau \mu \dot{\eta}$ VIII．II．3：III．2．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$ II． viI．9．III．xil．8．VII．IV． 3 ． VIII．x．6，I4．$\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \omega \sigma \iota$ II．viII． 6．$\tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime}$ I．viI．5．II．viII． 16. VIII．II． $5:$ x．10， 25,38 ．VII． vii．7．éxova، VII．viII．20．$\lambda a \mu-$ $\beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ III．xII．7．$\tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa a ⿱ ㇒ ⿻ 二 乚 力 八 \tau \eta \nu$ IV． xiv．20．$\tau \iota \mu a l$ II．viI．I3．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ II．VII．Io：VIII．9．$\delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aú $\tau \hat{v}$ II．IX．22．$\mu \in \tau \epsilon i \eta$ VI．IV． 3. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．viil．8．VIII．viI．I ： viII．16．$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \eta$ VIII．viI． 3.
 III．XIII．6．$\tau \iota \mu$ aîs I．XII． 2.
$\mu \epsilon$ ľoot VIII．xr． $29 .{ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ raîs $\tau \not \mu a i s$ VI．Iv．5．oi $\epsilon \mathrm{e} \nu$ тaîs VIII． vi．2．$\tau \iota \mu a ́ s$ IV．xili．6．VI．viif． 7．VIII．viII．12，19：XI． 26. $\lambda \epsilon \not \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ tàs dá $\rho \chi a ́ s$ III．x．4．тò $\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau$ às $\tau \mu \mu$ ás III．xvi． 2.
$\tau i \mu \eta \mu a$ III．XI．18．VII．III．4：vi． 2．VIII．III．IO：VI．17．每 Xovaı VI．xim．2．$\tau \delta \delta \delta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ VI．vi． 2．$\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \frac{\nu \tau a s ~ I I I . ~ x i I . ~ 8 . ~}{\tau \mu \eta-}$ $\mu a ́ t o s ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ t o v, ~ \delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ I I . ~$ VI．20．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau о s$ VII．II． 5.
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \alpha \nu$ VI．IX．3．$\tau \iota \mu \eta \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ VI． xv．15．$\tau \not \mu \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ VII．III，I ：VI． 2．VIII．VIII．10．$\tau \iota \mu \eta \mu a \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ II． vi．19．ámó VI．v．r．VII．iv． 5．VIII．v．10．$\dot{\operatorname{ain}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{\omega ิ \nu ~ I I I . ~}$ v．6．VI．v．．$\quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ III． XI．16．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\omega} \rho l \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ II．VII． 7．$\epsilon \kappa \mu \epsilon \gamma d \lambda \omega \nu$ VIII．vi． 6.
$\tau i \mu \eta \sigma \iota s . \tau \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ VIII．vili． 10. $\tau \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \in \sigma l$ VII．IV． 10.
$\tau i \mu \cos$ III．xiri．2．$\tau i \mu \iota o \nu$ II．xI．II． $\tau \iota \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ I．хіाI．2．V．v． 15. $\tau \mu \epsilon \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ IV．1． 8.
$\tau \iota \mu \rho \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho 0 \hat{\nu} \tau \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ VIII．x． 18.
$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a . \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a s \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \nu$ VIII．x． 15. $\tau \iota \mu \omega$ раı IV．XIII． 6.
$\tau i s$ VI．II．3．$\tau \sigma \sigma l$ $\mu e ̀ \nu \cdots \tau \omega \sigma l \delta^{\prime}$ ồ III．xiv．1．$\tau l$ रà $\rho$ kal $\tau \iota \sigma \ell$ III． xiI． 1.
тог $\gamma$ арои̂̀ II．IX．16， 34.
roivev III．xIII．25：xv．4：xvi． 11 ． VI．III． 5.
тocồtos．tòv тolô̂tov III．xviI． 7. тоюט̂то九 III．xI．6，II．каi $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ III． v．3．ji тo兀ầтa III．І． 8.
roíxos．tolxous IV．x．io．
токıб о́s I．XI．$^{2}$ ．
 $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ I．x．5．$\quad$ év $\tau o i ̂ s$ то́кои IV．xvi． 7.

 тótov VII．IV．8．тô̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{l}$ T $\rho \iota o ́ \pi \iota o \nu$
 III．IX．8．$\delta \iota \alpha ̀$ тò̀ $\tau \dot{\text { tóto }}$ II．X． 15．$\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ đ $\partial \nu \quad \tau$ т́tov III．III． 3.
 IV．v． 4 ：x．iI．tótoous II．vi． 7. סıà $\tau 0$＇ís VIII．III．15．кãà тoús
 IX． 9.
$\tau \circ \sigma o ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon$ ．$\tau \sigma \sigma \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$ III．XII．6．тобо仑̂ঠє III．XII， 6.
тобои̂тоs．тобоиิтoע III．xvir．5．VIII． III．3．тобои̂тоע－－̈̆боעтє $\rho$ III．Ix． 5．тơaûta VI．Iv．8．Toîs roбơ－ tois II．vi．6．toooútous III．xv． 16.
 II．$\tau \delta \dot{\tau} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu-\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon}$ VIII．v． 7 ．

$\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta i a . \quad \tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta i a s$ IV．x VII． 13.
трєís III．xviII．I．VIII．II．I．$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau p i a$ VIII．I． 12.
$\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \omega$ ．$\tau \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ VII．v．іо．
 II．vi．6．$\tau \rho \notin \phi \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ VIII．xi． 8. $\tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI．VI． 8 ．$\epsilon_{\kappa}^{\kappa} \kappa$ коцขо̂̀ II． x．8．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ IV．xvi．i．
 I．
$\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \omega . ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ оs VII．จ． 7.
$\tau р$ ıáкоута $\epsilon \in \nu$ тоîs VIII．vi． 6.
трıако́б九о VI．iv． 2.
$\tau \rho i \gamma \omega \nu 0$ s．$\tau \rho i \gamma \omega \nu a$ V．vi． 13.
$\tau \rho \iota \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i s$. т $\tau \iota \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i \delta o s$ VIII．viII， 10.
трıпра $\rho \chi$ ia．т $\tau \iota \eta \rho a \rho \chi$ iaı VII．viII．I5．
$\tau \rho \iota \grave{\eta} \rho a \rho \chi$ оs．$\tau \rho \iota \eta \rho \dot{\rho} \rho \chi o \iota s$ VIII．v． 2.
$\tau \rho \iota \grave{\eta} \rho \eta \mathrm{s}$ ．$\tau \rho \iota \eta$ й $\rho \epsilon \iota$ IV．vi． 8.

$\tau \rho i \pi o u s . ~ \tau \rho i \pi o \delta a s$ I．Iv． 3.
$\tau \rho i \tau 0 s$ III．XI．II．$\tau \rho i \tau 0 \nu$ VIII．II．I． $\tau \rho i \tau \eta \nu$ VI．I． 4.
$\tau \rho \circ \pi \dot{\eta}$ ．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{~s}$ VIII．xII． 9.
тро́тos III．xiv．2．тis ó $\tau \rho$ ótos III． xviI．3．$\tau \rho \circ \sigma^{t} o \nu$ III．xi．12．VIII． II．4．тро́тоу $\tau \iota \nu$ III．хіІІ．2， 18. $\tau \grave{\nu} \tau \rho \bar{\pi} \pi \frac{\nu}{\tau 0 u ̂ \tau o \nu ~ I I I . ~ X I . ~ 19: ~ x V I . ~}$ 9：xviI．I．VIII．v．5．oi $\tau \rho \overline{6} \pi$ то тô̂ $\pi$ ávtas VI．XIV．4．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu$ VII．I． 3 ．סv́o тоótovs VIII．VI．I． $\begin{gathered}\text { fpótous } \tau \iota \nu \dot{a} s \\ \tau \hat{\eta} s\end{gathered}$ $\mu о v \sigma \iota \hat{\eta} s$ V．vi． 6.
$\tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}$ IV．VIII．2．$\dot{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta}$ ка $\theta a \rho \alpha$ III． xI．9．$\tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$ IV．x．9．VI．iv． 8．T $\tau \hat{\nu} \gamma / \gamma \nu \neq \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ IV．xvi． 15. $\tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta}$ d́ $\rho a l a \mathrm{a}$ IV．xvi．14．$\tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ II．VIII．6，12．IV．VIII．7：xVII． 1，7．VI．IV．9．$\beta$ iacov V．IV． 7.
 xiII．9．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a l \delta \omega \nu$ VI．Ix． 7 ．
$\tau \rho \cup \phi \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ ．$\tau \rho v \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ VI．xv．I3．oi
 $\tau \rho \cup \phi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ II．vi．9：viI． 7 ．VIII． x． 24 ．
$\tau \rho v \phi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ II．Ix． 6.
$\tau \rho \cup \phi \dot{\eta} . \tau \rho \cup \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$ IV．v．2．VI．xI． 6.
 ちへ้̄ VI．IV． 9.
$\tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ．$\tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota$ II．III．5：VII． 23 ： XI．5．$\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu$ II．viI．8．$\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha-$ עоцеу IV．xv．7．VIII．II． 2. $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu o v \sigma \omega \nu$ II．vi．4．VIII．I．5：
 VI．II．4．VII．I． $2:$ III．4．$\tau \in \tau v-$

 $\nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ II．I．I．$\tau$ 白 $\eta$ II．viII． 16. IV．xiv．5．VII．I．9．тúx $\omega \sigma$ II．Ix．7．$\tau v \gamma \chi a \dot{a} 0<\epsilon \nu$ III．xII． 2. $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ßоך $\theta \in$ ias I．XI．2．тои́тоv VIII．xi．22．$\tau v \chi \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ IV．xiII． 2. VI．I．3．VII．HI．6．$\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o v \sigma a$

I．vi．3．ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s} \pi \omega s{ }^{2} v \gamma \gamma \alpha{ }^{2} \nu o \nu \tau a s$ III．I．3．$\tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \eta \kappa \dot{\tau} \tau a$ VII．vi． 4.
 $\tau u \chi \delta \nu$ II．XI．4．тov̂ $\tau v \chi$ б́vtos II． III．5．VIII．III．II：viII． 9 ． $\tau v \chi o ̛ ̃ \eta \eta$ III．xi．16．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau u \chi \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \iota$
 IX．23：x．10．oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \tau v-$ $\chi^{\delta} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．xI．3．$\dot{v} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．VIII． 18.
$\tau v ́ \pi o s . \tau u ́ \pi \epsilon \varphi$ סcoplotépò VIII．II． 1. iкavò̀ єīt $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ кal $\nu \hat{y} \nu$ IV．xvI．I2．

 tútous V．VII． 2.
$\tau \cup ́ \pi \tau \omega$ ．ти́ттоעтas VIII．x．19．à $\nu$ $\tau \nu \pi \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ II．xII． 13 ．
$\tau v \rho a \nu \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．$\tau v \rho a \nu \nu o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．viI． 13. є̇ $\tau v \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \eta \sigma \in \nu$ VIII．vi．I：xil． 3. тvpa⿱亠乂єढ̂̀ VIII．xI．18．$\tau \nu \rho a \nu \nu \omega ̂ \nu$ VIII．XII． 5.
 тvрàvé́бas VIII．xiI． 6.

 xiv．6．тvра $\boldsymbol{\tau} \downarrow \kappa \dot{\rho} \nu$ VIII．xi．8， 33.

 $\kappa а \tau a ̀ ~ ф ́ v \sigma \iota \nu ~ I I I . ~ x V I I . ~ I . ~ \tau \nu \rho a \nu \nu \iota к \grave{\nu} \nu$ VIII，x．38．тvpapviкai III．xiv． 7，if．VI．x．3．тvpav̀ıк ${ }^{\text {VIII }}$ ． x．10：XI．6．тà тvpapvıкá VIII． III．13：Хі．16．тираขขкќттєро VIII．x．36．$\tau v \rho a \nu \nu \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ VIII．

rupapvis VI．xI．II．VII．HI． 3 ． VIII．x．9，11，29，31，34：XI．I5． aipetท＇III．xiv．8，14．movapxia
 III．viII．2．$\mu o \nu a \rho \chi i a$ $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau \grave{\partial}$ $\sigma \nu \mu \phi \notin \rho o \nu ~ \tau o \hat{v} \mu о \nu a \rho \chi$ ô̂̀tos III．viI． 5．$\pi a \rho \epsilon \kappa \beta a \sigma \iota s$ קaб亢入eias III．viI． 5．тvpavvis $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ VI．x． 3 ． тvpavvíos II．vi．18．III．III．i． VI．II．i：viil．i：x．i．VIII． III．5：V．Io：10． 35 ：XI． 18. єído $\eta$ dóo VI．x．2．$\tau v p a \nu \nu i \delta \iota$ VI． iv．27．VIII．v．8：vi．8：viII． 7. tuparvioa II．vi．17．III．xiII． 16. VI，II．I．VIII，v．6：XI．16： xII．10．$\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ク̈к८бта $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a \nu$ VI．viili．2．$\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma T o \nu ~ \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ тo入ıтєias VI．II．2．тvpapvíðєs VIII．v．8：viII．7：x．37；XI．4， 9．סıaıfetal VIII．x．35．тvpav－ $\nu i \delta \omega \nu$ III．xv．I2．тvpavvíc VIII． x．32：XI．17．тvpaviioas III．xv． 12．VII．iv．3．VIII．x． 1 ．
тúpavyos VIII．vi．12：X．3：xI． 10. $\kappa$ кai $\mu \grave{\eta} \beta o v \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ VIII．x． 38. тô̂ тvрávyov $\lambda \alpha \beta \delta \nu \tau 0 s$ III．III． 2. $\tau v \rho \alpha \downarrow \nu \varphi$ II．XII．4．túpavvov II． VII，I3：XI．2．III．I．I：X．I：
xiv．Io：xv．16．VIII．Iv． 9 ． тúpavvo III．xiII． 18 ．тvpávv ${ }^{2}$ VIII．v．6：xi．4，16．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau v \rho a ́ v \nu \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \kappa \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}_{\nu}$ III．II．3．тvpávyocs III． xiII．18．VIII．x．26，32．Tvpáv－ $\nu_{0}$ s III．xiv． 7.

 IV．XIII．9．$\dot{a} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau u ́ \chi \eta s$ IV．I．Io．
 IV．I．Io．$\delta \iota \grave{a} ~ \tau u ́ \chi \eta \nu, ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$
 фaú入aıs IV．xiil．7．tóxas II．vi． 12．VIII．III． 7.
$\tau v \chi \eta \rho o ́ s . \tau v \chi \eta \rho a \hat{s}$ VI．xI．I．
$\tau \omega \theta a \sigma \mu o ́ s . \tau \omega \theta a \sigma \mu \dot{\partial} \nu$ IV．xviI． 10.
$\Upsilon$ ．
 VI．xIII．8．ißpion VIII．VIII． 20．$\dot{v} \beta \rho i \bar{\zeta} \epsilon \nu$ II．xII．I3．VIII． viI．7：x．38．$\dot{v} \beta \rho i \xi 0 \nu \tau a$ VIII．xI． 22．$\dot{v} \beta \rho t \leq\langle ̌ v \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．III．I．$\dot{v} \beta \rho i-$ §ŋтal VIII．x．9．$\dot{\nu} \beta \rho i \xi \omega \nu \tau a \iota$ VIII． XII．18．$\dot{v} \beta \rho l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．XI． 9. VIII．xI． 3 I．$\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu=s$ VIII． x． 16 ．$\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ VIII．x． 16. $\dot{v} \beta p \iota \sigma \theta \in \downarrow \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ VIII．Iv． $5:$ x． 19.
$\ddot{v} \beta \rho \iota s$ VIII．III．I．$v ; \beta \rho \epsilon \omega s$ VIII．x． 15 ：XI．28．v̈ $\beta \rho \iota \nu$ II．viII．4．VI． xi．5．VIII．II．6：x． $13,18,34$ ． v̈ $\beta \rho \in t$ VIII．xi． 23.
$\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s . \dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha i \quad$ VI．xI．5．$\dot{v} \beta \rho t-$ $\sigma \tau$ ás $\pi o \neq \epsilon$ IV IV．xv． 3 ．

 бavtes III．xvi． 7.
v́rıcia．vi $\gamma \iota \epsilon i a s$ IV．xI． 4 ：xvi． 17 ． írcià IV．XI．I：xVI．12．V． III．7．VII．vi． 4.



$\dot{v} \delta a \rho \eta \dot{s}$ ．$\phi \iota \lambda i a \nu \dot{v} \delta \alpha \rho \hat{\eta}$ II．IV． 7.
v̌ $\delta \omega \rho$ II．IV．8．III．xv．8．Tà els трофウ̀v $\dot{v} \delta a \tau \alpha$ IV．xI．5．vi $\delta a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ IV．xi． 3.
viôs．viồ II．III．2．vioi II．III． 5. VIII．Ix．I3．vieîs III．Iv．8．V． mi．ıo．VII．viI．3．vié $\begin{aligned} & \text { n V VIII．}\end{aligned}$ iv．6：XI． 3 ．
 $\lambda \epsilon i ̂ \tau a l ~ e ̈ p \gamma o \nu ~ I . ~ v i I I . ~ 2 . ~ v i \lambda \eta \nu ~ I . ~$ viii．I．IV．IV． 3 ．

v่ $\lambda \omega \rho$ ós．v่ $\lambda \omega \rho 0$ ús IV．XII，8．VII． viII． 6.
 9.
$\dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta} . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ VI．vi． 5. $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega$ ．$\dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \in \iota$ III．I． 4 ：XV． 5 ． V．viI．4．VI．IV．I5．VIII．I． 7，11：x．3．тои́т $\omega$ II．х．เо．
v่ $\pi \alpha \rho \xi \in \iota$ II．v． 21. VIII．x． 29. $\dot{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \in \nu$ II．IX． 8 ．VIII．x． 6. $\dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi \notin \tau \omega$ IV．II．І6．v̇ $\pi a ́ \rho \chi \eta$ III． XIII．5．VIII．IX．4．$\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \sigma \iota$ III．XI．7．IV．II．I8．ย่ $\pi \alpha^{\rho} \rho \xi \eta$ VIII．XI． 32 ．$\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi \in \iota \nu$ II．II．I ： IV．9：V． 21 ：VII．7：IX．2， 29. III．IX．12：XV． 5 ：XVI，I．9．IV． VII． 5 ：XIII．I．V．v．20．VII． II．9：IV．5．VIII．x．32．（ $\ddot{d} \rho \chi \in \iota \nu)$ VI．IV．22．ن́ $\pi a \rho \chi o v ́ \sigma \eta s$ VII．IV． 9．VIII．XI． 16 ．ن́ $\pi a \rho \chi \circ v ́ \sigma \eta$ то入ıтєia II．хII． 13 ．$\dot{\pi} \pi \dot{\rho} \rho \chi о \nu \tau a$ VII．VIII． 18 ．$\dot{v} \pi a ́ \rho \chi o v \sigma a \nu$ II．IX． 14：x．2．Є̇кєîva v̇ $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi o \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \delta-$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ov ката入仑̂бa८ II．XII． 3 ． $\dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi b \nu \tau \omega \nu$ II．V．II．IV．I．I： x．7．VI．I．4．$\dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi o v \sigma \omega \hat{\omega}$ VI． 1．7．$\dot{v} \pi a \rho \chi o v ́ \sigma \alpha \iota s$ VI．I．7．ப่ $\pi a \rho-$ $\chi o v ́ \sigma a s$ II．I．I．VI．I． 6.
v́ $\pi \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau i o s ~ I I . ~ I X . ~ 18 . ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a j ~ \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \dot{\nu}$ IV．IX．3．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau i a \nu$ IV．VI．I． $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \nu a \nu \tau i a s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda$ aıs II．V． 20.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \nu \alpha \nu \tau i \omega s$ II．IX．I．
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota \mu \iota . \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \xi \iota \delta \nu \tau 0 s$ III．III． 6.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha i \rho \omega . \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho a i \rho \eta$ II．vII． 5.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} s . \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ VI．XI． 5.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a i \nu \omega$ ．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota$ IV．xvi． 8.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．ப́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ є́ $\pi l$ т̀̀ $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta$－ $\rho o ́ \nu$ II．IX．24．ย̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \hat{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ III．vI． 4．IV．I．7．VIII．VIII． 10. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \sigma \iota$ VI．XIV． 13 ．$\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon$－ $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ VII．IV．Io．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ IV．IV．8．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o s ~ I V . ~ I V . ~$ II．$\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha$ III．XIII．16，
 IV．IV．I2．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ VII． IV．I7．
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta} . \quad \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ IV．IV． 12. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta 0 \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}$ II．VII．16．III．XVII． 7．IV．I．5，7：IV．14：XIV． 2. VIII．IX．7．$\alpha \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$ III．XIII． 13 ． $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ I．XI．II．$\tau 0 \hat{v} \beta i o v$ IV．v． 2. $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta о \lambda \eta \dot{\nu}$ VI．XI． 6 ．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho-$ $\beta o \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ V．viI．I2．ย̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta$ o入ás II． viI．13．VI．XI．Io．VIII．XI． 33.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon v \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} . \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon v \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ VI．XI． 5.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \chi \omega$ ．vi $\pi \epsilon \rho \in ́ \chi \in \iota$ III．XII．6．VI． XII．3．VIII．I． 12 ．$\dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi$ оvбъ III．XII．5．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \not \chi \eta$ VIII，IV． 1 I． $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \chi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ．VI．XI． 16 ．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in-$ $\chi \in \iota \nu$ III．XIII．I5：XVII．5， 7. $\kappa a \tau \grave{a} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os VI．IV．5．ن́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi \chi \omega \nu$ III．xII．5．$v \pi \epsilon \rho \in \chi \circ \nu$ III．xVII． 4 ：
 $\chi \circ \nu \tau 0$ III．XII．4．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \in \in \chi о \nu \tau a$ VIII． VIII．12．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \chi \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VIII．III． 3 ： XII．14．катà jévos VIII．I． 7. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VIII．х．13．$\tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{\imath}}$ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ III．XII．3．катà $\pi \lambda$ oûtov III．IX．I 5．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi \neq \nu \tau a s$ III．XIII．I7，I8．V．IV．4．VIII．

III．IX． 15.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho l \sigma \chi \nu \rho o s . \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \chi \nu \rho o \nu$ VI．XI． $5 \cdot$
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa а \lambda о s . \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha \lambda о \nu$ VI．XI． 5.
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\rho} \rho \iota o s . \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \delta \rho \iota \alpha$ IIL．XIV．I3． тoîs $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho \rho i o u s$ III．XIV． 13 ．
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \eta \dot{\eta}$ IV．IV．6．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \hat{\eta} s$ VIII． II．2：X． $15 . \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \hat{\eta}$ I．VI．3． $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \eta े \nu$ III．XII． 5 ：XIII．24．IV． I．8：XI．9：XIV．2．VI．II．2：VI． 5：VIII．4．$\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ mo入ıтєias VI．XII． I：XIII．10．VIII．III．3：IV． 10 ： VII．7：IX．7．VIII．x．3：XI．I3． $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ mo入ıtelas VI．XI． 17 ．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ III．xII．4．то̂̂s ка $\theta^{\circ}$ $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \nu$ б́нoıs VIII．IX． 9. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi a i ̂ s$ IV．I．5．VI．XI． 6．v่ $\pi \epsilon \rho \circ \chi$ ás III．XIII．22．VI．III． 6.

$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \tau \omega \chi{ }^{\circ}$ ．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho \pi \tau \omega \chi \circ \nu$ VI．XI． 5 ．
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega$ ．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \ell$ IV．x． 6 ． VII．III．5．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon\langle\nu \eta$ VII．IV． 17．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega \sigma \iota$ VI．VI．II：XI． I4．
$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \omega$ ．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \nu \quad$ бíк $\eta \nu$ III．I． 4 ． $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \sigma \chi \dot{\beta} \mu \in \nu 0 s$ VIII．x． 18.

$\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \sigma i a$ ．$\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \in \sigma$ las I．xIII． 2.
ย่ $\pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \in \in \omega$ ．ن́ $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ II．III．4．ov̉ av̇ IV． 12.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \rho o ̀ s \tau \eta ̀ \nu \pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \iota \nu$ I．IV．5．$\dot{\imath} \pi \eta$－ $\rho$ étas III．xvi． 4.
$\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \eta$＇I．viII．I：XIII．9．$\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon-$ $\tau \iota \kappa \alpha i ́ l(\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota a \iota)$ VI．xv． 3.
$\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ VIII．III． 7.
$\dot{v} \pi o \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ ．$\dot{v} \pi o \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ V．vII．I3．
$\dot{v} \pi o \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega$ ．v̇ $\pi o \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \notin \nu o \nu$ II．v． 6.
 VII．ViII． 3 ．
$\dot{v} \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \iota$ I．IX． 2.
$\dot{v} \pi о \delta \in ́ \chi \circ \mu a \iota$ ．$\dot{v} \pi \circ \delta \epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$ VIII．III． 12.
$\dot{v} \pi \delta \delta \eta \mu \alpha$ I．IX．3．$\dot{\boldsymbol{v}} \pi \frac{\delta \eta}{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau 0 \mathrm{I}$ ．IX． 2， 3 ．
$\dot{v} \pi 0 \delta o \chi \dot{\eta} . \dot{v} \pi 0 \delta o \chi a ́ s$ IV．XI． 3.
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \mathrm{~s}$ VII．II．I．$\dot{v} \pi o \theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ．$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ IV．IX．7．$\epsilon \xi$ III．v．2．IV． XIII．6．VI．I．4．$\dot{v} \pi \circ \theta \in \epsilon \in \iota$ II．IX． 34．$\dot{v} \pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ II．V．I3：IX．I：I． 5．VI．xVI．I．VIII．xi．I9． $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota$ II．II．2．$\kappa \rho \dot{\nu} \eta \pi \rho b s$ VI． XI．2I．$\pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{v} \pi b \theta \epsilon \sigma \nu \nu \dot{a} \gamma a \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{VI}$ ． VII．2．$\dot{\operatorname{tr} o \theta} \theta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ IV．IV．I．VIII． XI． 16.
$\dot{v} \pi o \theta \epsilon \tau \in \mathscr{\prime}{ }^{2}$ III．VI．2．IV．IX．I．

 IV．XIII． $2 . \dot{v} \pi о к \epsilon l \mu \epsilon \nu a$ III．I． 8．ப่ $\pi$ окє $\iota \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ VII．II．5．ढ́к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI．I． 3 ．
$\dot{v} \pi о к р і \nu \omega$ ．ن́токрірєбөă VIII．IX．II．

 IV．xVII．I 3 ．
$\dot{\dot{v} \pi o \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \text { ．} \dot{v} \pi o \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a} \nu 0 \vartheta \sigma \iota \text { II．IX．}}$ 35．IV．II．8：vil．8：xi．8：xiv． 19．V．II．I．VIII．I．3．ímo－ $\lambda a \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \sigma \iota$ VIII．II．2．ì $\pi 0 \lambda \alpha{ }^{\beta} \beta \eta$
 12．V．v．II．$\dot{v} \pi o \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ II．iII． 8 ． VI．iif．8．VIII．I．3：ix．if ：xi． 32．ímo入a $\mu$ ßávovтєs VIII．vII． I3．$\dot{v} \pi о \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ้ \nu$ т̀̀ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \eta_{\nu}^{\nu}$ VIII． I． 2 ．
 $\dot{v} \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ IV．XVI．3．ن́ $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \epsilon-$


$\dot{v} \pi \delta \lambda \eta \psi \iota s . \dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu$ V．v．8．VIII． I．5：x． 28.
$\dot{y} \pi 0 \lambda 0 \gamma \epsilon \omega$ ．$\dot{v} \pi 0 \lambda 0 \gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ IV．III． 4.
$\dot{v} \pi о \mu \dot{\ell} \nu \omega$ ．$\dot{v} \pi о \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota$ VI．X．4．$\dot{v} \pi o \mu \hat{\prime}-$ voval III．xiv．6．V．v．25．VII． Iv．3：VIII．9．VIII．x．37：xi． 20．ப் $\pi о \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ II．V． 19 ．$\dot{v} \pi \epsilon$＇́－ $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ III．XV．II．VI．XiII．If． VII．IV．3．$\dot{v} \pi о \mu \epsilon i \nu \epsilon 1 a \nu$ VI．XII．
 19．VII．IV．I7．ímoueival VIII．
 $\mu \epsilon \in \nu a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ VII．viII． 9.
і̇то $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega . \dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \sigma$ VIII． Iv．\％．$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi{ }^{\pi} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o s ~ V I I I . ~ I V . ~$ 7.

$\dot{v} \pi о \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ ．$\dot{v} \pi о \pi \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \omega \sigma \iota$ III．xvi． 7 ．
 IV．III．5．$\dot{u} \pi о \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ кат＇$\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ II．vi． 7 ．


$\ddot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ ．$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ vi $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho$ VIII．x． 23 ． v̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu($ adv．）II．vi．I．III．xiv． 13．IV．xiv．I6．VIII．III． 3 ： Iv．12：vi．3．í $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a s$ III．I． 9．ن́бтátทs ì̀ı子apұias VIII． x． 2.
 VI．IV． 12.
 I．viII．I．
 $\gamma \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0 \nu$ I．VIII．I．$\dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \eta \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu \mathrm{I}$ ． I． 3 ．

## $\Phi$.

$\phi a \gamma \epsilon i p$ IV．I． 4.
фаіроцац．фаірєта८ II．II．I：III．I：v． 13：VI．18．фаһขovial II．viI．6， 18．$\pi \rho \circ а \iota \rho о и ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ I V . ~ І . ~ 6 . ~ \phi a i-~$ $\nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ VIII．ix．7：XI．2I， 33. $\pi \epsilon \phi a \sigma \mu \notin \nu o \nu$ I．vini．14．фavév III． v． 4 ．
$\phi a \lambda a ́ \gamma \xi . \tau a ̀ s \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \gamma \gamma a s$ VIII．III． 16. $\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho o ́ s ~ I I . ~ v . ~ 1 o . ~ V I I I . ~ X I . ~_{33}$ ．
 I．XIII．I，5，9．II．I． $2:$ II． 8 ： viII．22．III．X．4：XI．19， 21 ： XII．4：XIII．9， 24 ：XV． 4 ：XVII． 2 ： xVIII．I：VI．II．2：iII． 5 ：IV． 15. VIII．I．14：III．I．фavepoús VIII． xI．6．$\phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$ VI．viII． 2. $\phi a \nu \in \rho \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s$ VIII．vi．I．
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$\phi ৯ \lambda i a ~ I . ~ v i . ~ I o . ~ V I I I . ~ I x . ~ 4 . ~ \dot{\eta} \tau o \hat{v}$ $\sigma \nu \varsigma \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o a i \rho \in \sigma \iota s$ III．IX．I3．ท̂trov
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$\phi \rho о ц \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ ．$\pi \epsilon \phi \rho о \iota \mu i a \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ IV．IV．I． $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho о \mu \iota a \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu a$ IV．I． 13.
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$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ VIII．XI． 20 ．$\phi \cup \lambda a \tau-$ $\tau o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ VII．viII． $6 . \phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$
 5．$\phi$ vã
 каi дои́入ous $\mu \epsilon \tau$ оíкоиs III．II． 3.
$\phi v \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \eta s . \phi v \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu$ II．III． 7.
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 viI．7．тoîs кãà фи́б兀้ IV．xiv． 10．$\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ ф $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ к \alpha \lambda \delta \nu ~ I V . ~ I I I . ~ 6 . ~ \pi o 九 o u ́ s ~$ $\tau \iota \nu a s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ IV．vi．9．$\tau \eta \lambda \iota-$
 I．
$\phi u \tau \delta \nu . \phi v \tau o i ̂ s ~ I . ~ I I . ~ 2 . ~ \phi u \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ I V . ~$ IV． 9 ．
 4，7：xviil．2．V．I． 4 ．VI．II． 6. VIII．x．у．ai $\rho \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ IV．I．9．$\dot{\eta}$
 IV．IX．6．$\pi є ф$ úкабц II．III． 9. $\pi \epsilon \phi \cup к \in ́ v a l ~ I I I . ~ x I I I . ~ 25 . ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu}$ d́ $\rho \chi \eta_{\nu}$ II．x．3．$\phi \hat{v} \nu a \iota$ IV．xiII．II．
 $\pi \epsilon \phi \cup \kappa o ́ t \iota$ VI．I．I．фи́є $\alpha$ I．I．I．I6． $\phi v \delta \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu \alpha$ I．II．I．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ IV． xVI． 14.
$\phi \omega \nu \eta$ I．II．It．
$\phi \omega \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ．ф $\omega \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon$ VIII．VII．2．$\phi \omega-$ $\rho a \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ VIII．III． 12.

## X ．

$\chi \alpha i \rho \omega$ ．$\chi a i \rho o v \sigma \iota y$ VIII．viII．16：Ix．

13：XI．12．$\chi a i \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ II．VII．II， 12．$\chi$ aip $\epsilon \nu$ IV．I．6．V．v．3， 17，19．$\delta \iota$＇aí $\frac{1}{\omega} \nu$ II．viI． 12.
$\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi a i \nu \omega .} \chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi a l \nu o v \sigma \iota}$ VIII．xI．
 $\lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \nu a s . V I I I$. iv． 2.
 viI．3．III．xv．I4，16．VII．III． 6：v．5．VIII．xi．21， 3 1．ка入へ̂s
 $\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega} \nu$ V．vi．I．$\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi o u ́ s ~ I I . ~ v . ~}$ 22．IV．vir．7．$\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \tau a ́ \tau \eta ~ V I I . ~}$
viII．8．$\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{s}$ VII．Iv． 17.
$\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ t \eta s}$ II．xII． 13 ．
$\chi$ длке́匕s．$\chi$ алкéa VI．Iv． 12.
$\chi^{\chi \lambda \kappa o ́ s . ~ \chi} \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa o ́ v ~ I I . ~ v . ~} 26$.
$\chi^{\text {алкоти́тоь．I．II．} 3 .}$

$\chi$ дад．रарáv V．viI． 5.

$\chi$ даíts．oi $\chi$ apievтєs II．viI．ro， 18.
$\chi a \rho t e ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ VII．v．Io．रapitevtas
VI．xili． 8.
$\chi$ арí̧одає．$\chi a \rho i \xi \omega \nu \tau a \iota$ VIII．v． 5 ．
 $\chi$ арїабөaı II．v． 9.
 IV．Xvi．3．$\chi$ ápıv III．xvi． 7．VIII．Ix．7：x．17．$\chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$ （adv．）III．vi，2．V．iI．6：v．io． VI．IV．I2．$\chi \alpha ́ \rho \ell \nu \quad \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ II．xi． 10.
$\chi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \dot{\nu}, \chi \in \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ оs I．xI．9．IV．xvi． 10．$\chi \in \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \iota$ II．viII．I．
 $\chi \epsilon \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ ë $\chi o v \sigma \iota$ VIII．viII．8．$\chi \in \rho-$ $\sigma_{i \nu}$ III．xvi．12．$\chi \in i ̂ \rho a s ~ I I I . ~ x v i . ~$ 12.
$\chi \epsilon \varphi \circ \tau \circ \nu \epsilon \in \omega$ ．$\chi \epsilon \varphi \rho \sigma \sigma \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \nu \tau \alpha$ VIII．viI． 12.
$\chi \in \varphi \rho \frac{v}{} \gamma^{\epsilon} \omega$ ．$\chi \in \epsilon \rho \circ \cup \rho \gamma$ oúvt $\omega \nu$ V．vil． 3. $\chi \in \iota \rho o u \rho \gamma$ oùv $\tau a s$ V．vi，i．
$\chi \in \iota \rho \circ \cup \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \delta ́ s . \quad \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \circ \cup \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} s \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\eta}$ V．vi． 13 ．
$\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \omega . ~ Є ̇ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha \tau$ II．x． 4.
$\chi \in i \rho \omega \nu$ II．v．14．III．xI．14：xv． 7．$\chi$ єîpov II．III．4：x．9．IV． XIII．II ：XIV．Io．VII．I．2．ob $\chi \epsilon i \rho o \nu$ II．x．i：xI． 3 ．$\chi \epsilon$ ípous III． XI． 14 ：xv．12，$\chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu \omega \nu$ VII．IV． 6．$\chi \epsilon \rho i \sigma \tau \eta$ VI．iI．2．VII．vi． 3．$\chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho / \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ VI．II．2．$\chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho i \sigma \tau a s$ II．VI． 18.
 $\chi \in \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ III．Iv．I 2 ．
$\chi \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ о́s．$\chi є \rho \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa$ б́ V．Iv． 2 r ．
$\chi i \lambda \iota o t$ II．III．5．VI．IV．2．$\chi$ int $\omega \nu$ II．vi．5．$\chi$ thious VII．III．I． $\chi$ inious II．Ix． 16.
$\chi$ Хорєขтท่s．रорєuт $\hat{\nu}$ III．rv． 6.

 $\kappa \in \chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma \eta \mu \epsilon\rangle \nu \Psi$ V．I．．$\kappa \in \chi \circ \rho \eta-$
$\gamma \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ VI．II．I．$\chi o \rho \eta \gamma \eta \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$ III．XI． 2.
$\chi$ б $\eta \gamma$ la IV．xiv． 17 ．$\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma i a s$ I．vi． 3．IV．XIII．3．VI．I．6．$\sigma v \mu-$ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \circ u$ IV．IV．2．$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\jmath} s$ IV． IV．4．tux $\eta \rho$ âs VI．xi．i．accus． pl．VIII．VIII． 20.
$\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma$ ós V．vi．12．$\chi \circ \rho \eta \gamma 01$ VI．xv． 3.


VI．12．$\chi$ орóv III．III． 7.
хра́онаь．х $\rho \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota$ II．v．7．III．xI． 14．$\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ IV．xi．4．$\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \iota$ II．v．16：x．3．III．v．8．V．v． 3，12．VI．vil．I．toîs $\nu$ ó $\mu \mathrm{ols}$ III． v．8．＇̇хрผ̂̀zo III．xiit．23．VI． iII．3．VIII．XII．I．Є̇ $\chi \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} a \tau$ VII．iv．18．$\chi \rho \dot{\jmath} \sigma \omega \nu \tau a \iota$ V．vil． 4. $\chi \rho \varphi ̂ \tau o ~ I I I . ~ I x . ~ I I . ~ \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma a \iota \tau o ~ I V . ~$ xiII．7．$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota I$ I．xiII．14．II． v．6：vi．9．III．xvi．6．IV．xı． 4．V．vi．io．VII．viif．23．VIII．
I． 5 ：Vi．10：viil． $5: \mathrm{x}$ ． 34 ：xi． 14．$\tau \hat{\eta}$ Өa入á $\theta \sigma \eta$ IV．VI．I．toîs ё $\rho \gamma \sigma$ ，V．VI．4．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mu$ VIII． vi．12．$\chi \rho \dot{́} \mu \in \nu 0 s$ III．IV．18：xI． 14．VIII．x．18．$\chi \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \circ$ II． III．2．V．vi．2．$\chi \rho \omega \mu \notin \epsilon \omega^{\prime} \nu V$ V．v． 8：vi．13．$̇$ érıaulats III．IX．I3． $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu \circ$ V．vi．ıо．кє̇ $\chi \rho \eta \tau \alpha$, II．Ix． 28.
$\chi \rho \epsilon i a$ I．v．9．$\chi \rho \epsilon \iota a ́ s ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota \nu$ III．iv． 13．$\epsilon \nu \chi \rho \epsilon i \neq$ I．Ix．18．VIII．xi． Io．$\chi \rho \in i a \nu$ I．III．3．IV．x． 7. V．v．I5．$\chi \rho \epsilon$ las IV．viil．7：xI． II．VII．VIII． 14.
$\chi \rho \eta$ II．v．і3．VI．І．7．$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ V． VII． 12.
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \zeta \omega . \chi \rho \hat{\eta}\} \omega \nu \epsilon i s$ ö VIII．Ix．I 5.
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ II．Ix． 36 ．$\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ VIII． iv．13：v． 4 ：x．10：XI．28．$\chi \rho \eta$ ． $\mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ II．viI．9．IV．I．5．VIII． IV． 5 ：VIII．12，19：XI．20．$\chi \rho \eta^{-}$ $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ III．IX． 4.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \xi \omega$ ．र $\rho \eta \mu a \tau i \xi \epsilon \iota$ VI．xv． 12. $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VI．xIV．14．$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i-$
 §ovio III．xv．12．$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII．xII．14．$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \zeta \zeta \mu \epsilon \nu 0$, I． xi． 7.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ б́s．$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v}$ I．XI．Iз． $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \delta \nu$ I．IX． 16 ．V．III． 7.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \eta \dot{s}$ ．х$р \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau a l$ VIII．хII． 14.
$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \dot{s}$ ．$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa 0 \hat{1}$ I．viII． 3．$\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa$ र́v VI．IV． 2 I ． $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \kappa \dot{\eta}$ I．Ix．10： x ． I ．
 $\mu \epsilon$ épos $\tau \iota$ मै $\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ I．viII．I． $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \tau \kappa \bar{\rho} \mathrm{~S}$ I．III．3：IX．16：xI． 3．$\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{p} s$ оӥ $\sigma \eta$ I．x．4．$\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha$－ тєбтєкй I ．xi． 8.
$\chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \mu \mathrm{os} . \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma i \mu \eta$ II. IX. 34. $\chi \rho \eta^{-}$ $\sigma \mu_{0}$ II. I, I: II. 3 : IV. 4 . IV. x.
 тoíl $\chi \in \rho \circ$ î̀ II. xII. $12 . \pi$ ầ $\tau$ ò $\chi \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu, \nu$ IV. I. 7. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma$ i $\mu$ ov II. IX. 9. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma l \mu \eta \nu$ V. III. 10. $\chi \rho \eta^{-}$ $\sigma \iota \mu 0 \iota$ II. VIII. Io: IX. Io. VI. xv. 5. VII. iv. 8. VIII. xi. i3. $\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu$ II. v. 7: vi. 7. V. II. 2. VII. VII. I. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma$ i $\mu \omega \nu$ IV. І. 6. V. II. ii. VI. I. 5.
 VIII. viII. 20. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha$ IV. XI. 6. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu$ III. XI. 9.
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ I. IV. 4. $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ I. II. 5 . IV. III. I. $\chi \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \epsilon \iota}$ II. v. 8. ıо. IV. x. 9. $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ I. v. 10: xi. 6, 9. III. II. I: Ix. 6. IV. v. 2: xIII. 5. V. III. 4: VI. Io. VI. IV. I4. VII. v. Io. $\chi \rho \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ II. IV. 2 : v. 2: VII. I5. IV. xili. 8. V. II. 4. V. vi. 6. VI. xv. 4.
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu$ о́s. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu$ р $\operatorname{IV}$. xVI. 7.
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho$ V. III. 4: VI. $8 . \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma v \mu \nu a-$ $\sigma \tau \iota \hat{\jmath}$ V. IV. 7.
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \frac{s}{s} . \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ I. VII. 4.
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ́ s . \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \hat{s}$ VI. II. 3. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ V. v. 7 .
$\chi \rho \dot{\nu}$ los VII. v. 8.
$\chi \rho o ́ v o s ~ I V . ~ x \nabla I . ~ 8 . ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v ~ I I . ~ v i i f . ~$ 24 : x. 15. VI. xv. I. VIII. xII. 9. $\chi \rho \dot{\nu} \varphi$ II. v. 16: VII. 17: xiI. 8. IV. x. 7. VI. xII. 6: xv. 7: xvi. 3. VIII. XI. 2. oươ $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\tau v \chi \not \partial \nu \tau \iota ~ \chi \rho \delta \nu \varphi$ VIII. III. 1 I. $\chi \rho \sigma$ $\nu_{0} \nu$ II. II. 4. III, I. 6: XIII. 5 . V. v. 6. VI. I. 4. VII. v. 2. VIII. xII. 1. $\chi \rho \sigma \nu \omega \nu$ xiv. 9, 13. VI. III. 3. $\dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ III. I. 6. $\chi \rho \dot{\nu}$ ocs III. v. 3. IV. x. 6: xv. 3: xvi. io. VI. vi. 5. VIII. iv. 1. $\chi \rho \dot{\rho}{ }^{2} o u s$ II. viil. i. III. xili. 15: XIV. II.
$\chi \rho v \sigma o ́ s, \dot{\delta} \pi a \rho \grave{a}$ тô̂ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ II. จ. 26. $\chi \rho v \sigma 6 \nu$ II. v. 26.
$\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu а . ~ \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu а \tau а ~ V . ~ v . ~ 20 . ~$
$\chi^{\chi} \delta \dot{\sigma} \eta \nu \kappa \epsilon \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ IV. II. 9.
$\chi \hat{\omega} \mu a$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \sigma \hat{\imath} \chi \omega \bar{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$ II. XII, 9.
 III. XIII. 2. $\chi \hat{\rho} \rho a s$ II. VI. 6 : Ix. 16, 19. III. XIII. 2. IV. IV. 2: V. I: VIII, 2. VI. IV. I3. VIII. III. I2: viI. 9. $\tau 0 \hat{s} \mathrm{~s} \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi$ ف́pas IV. xII. 6. $\chi \omega \dot{p} \rho q$ III. XIV. I. $\chi$ б́pà II. v. 7: vi. 7, 8: viII. 3. III. xiv. 3, 12. IV. Iv. 4: x. 9: xiv. 4. VII. Iv. I3: VIII. 6. VIII. viI. 4, 9. $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu(\chi \omega ́ \rho a \nu)$ VI. viII. 8.
$\chi \omega \rho i \xi \omega . \chi \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ VII. Iv. 15 : viII. 2, 12. $\chi \omega \rho l \zeta \omega \nu$ II. v. 17. кє $\chi \omega$ -
 I. vi. 10. $\kappa \in \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \notin \nu 0 \iota$ I. IX. 5.
II. II. 3. VI. I. Іо. $\kappa \epsilon \chi \omega \rho / \sigma \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ III. XI. 4. кє $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ évas VII. viil. 19. $\kappa \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \omega$ VI. Iv. 15 . $\chi \omega \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ s$ I. II. 14.
$\chi$ ढ́ptov II. viI. 17.
$\chi$ wois II. v. 2, 12: VI. 9, ${ }^{15}$ : VII. 5. III. XI. 4, 9: xv. Jo. IV. xiI. 6. VI. II. 6. VIII. I. I : VIII. I .
$\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \grave{s}$ катà $\gamma^{\prime} \cos ^{\prime}$ IV. x. 6.
$\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s . \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau \delta \nu$ I. Iv. 6.

## $\Psi$.

 $\gamma \epsilon \tau a t$ II. $\nabla .9$.
$\psi \in v \delta \eta^{\prime} s . \psi \in v \delta \hat{\sigma}_{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ VI. xII. 6.
$\psi \in v ́ \delta о \mu \alpha \iota . ~ \psi \in v \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu о \iota$ VIII. IV. 13 .
$\psi \in v \delta о \mu а \rho т v \rho i a . \psi \in v \delta о \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \iota \omega ิ \nu$ бікаи

$\psi \in \hat{0} \delta o s$ II. viil. 24. III. xil. 4: xvi. 6. IV. III. 5. VIII. XII. 17.
$\psi \eta \phi i \zeta \rho \mu a \iota . \psi \eta \phi i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ VI. xIV. 15.

Iv. 3I. $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$ VI. Iv. ${ }^{25}$, 28.
$\psi \eta \phi o \phi o \rho i a . ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \psi \eta \phi o \phi o \rho i a s ~ I I . ~ v i I I . ~$ 5.
$\psi i \lambda o ́ s$. $\psi i \lambda \dot{\eta}$ VII. vII. 2. $\psi(\lambda \hat{p} s$ $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i a s$ I. xI. 2, 7. $\psi \iota \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu V I I$. viI. I. $\psi \backslash \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta \eta^{\prime} V$. v. II. $\psi\left(\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{2}\right.$ VII. viII. $15 . \psi i \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ VII. viI. 2.
 III. Iv. 6. $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ I. xim. 7. III. iv. 6. IV. I. 9: xv. Io. V. iII. 4. סóvapıs IV. viI. 5. ท̂tos V. II. I. $\omega \nu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ VIII. xI. 31. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$ IV. I. 3. $\psi v \chi \eta^{\nu}$ I. xiII. 6, 10. IV. I. 7: viI. 2: XIII. 11. V. II. 4: v. 15 : จ. 18 . VI. IV. xIV. $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega$ $\pi i \nu \eta \nu$ III. xv. 5. ג́puoviav V.
 VI. Iv. 14. $\psi v \chi a l$ V. viI. 7. quaxis II. v. 26 . IV. xiv. 21. $\psi u \chi \alpha ́ s$ V. v. 16. V. viI. 4.
$\psi \hat{\imath} \chi o s . \tau \grave{\alpha} \psi$ óx $\eta$ IV. xviI. 2.
$\psi v \chi \rho \dot{s}$. $\psi v \chi \rho \dot{v} \nu$ IV. xvII. 3. $\psi v \chi \rho o i ̂ s$ IV. VII. 2.

## $\Omega$.

$\dot{\omega} \delta l$ II. IX. 34. $\dot{\omega} \delta l \mu \grave{\partial} \nu-\dot{\omega} \delta l \delta \epsilon$ II. III. 3 .
 viii. I9. $\dot{\omega} \nu \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a t ~ I I . ~ I X . ~ I 4 . ~ V I I . ~$ viII. 3. $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ VIII. XI. 3 r. $\dot{\omega} \nu 0 v \mu \notin \check{y}$ ous II. xi. I2.
 10.

$\tilde{\omega} \nu \iota o s . \ddot{\omega} \nu \iota \iota$ II. IX. $19 . \quad \dot{\omega} \nu t \omega \nu$ I. XI. 10. IV. xiI. 6. lócóls èviols V. III. 12.

భُотокє́ш Чُотокє̂̂ I. VIII. 10.
¿் $\sigma$ ávt $\omega$ s II. III. 2 : VI. 5. III. v. 2 : XVI. 3. VIII. II. 5 : IV. 9.
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ II. III. 4, 9: VII. 6: IX. 10 . III. XIV. I5, with à $\nu$ VII. Iv. 1 , with $\epsilon i$ IV. XIII. 8, with $\kappa a d \nu$ VIII. x. 26, with $\kappa d \nu \in l$ II. v. 14, answering to $\tau 0 \dot{u} \tau \varphi$ III. XI, 4, $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\pi$ ávтos.

ف่raкоvбтグs. ف́такоvбтás VIII. II. 7.
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\nu} \sigma \iota \tau \alpha ̀ s \pi \delta \hat{\lambda} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ III. XI. 9. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ II. VIII. 23.
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a s$ III. vi. 8. IV. XIV. 21. V. VII. 3. VIII. x. 9. $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \neq \alpha$ III. Vi. 10.
$\dot{\omega} \phi \in ौ \not \subset \mu o s$ IV. VI. I: XIV. 20. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon$ $\lambda i \mu o v$ III. XIII. 22. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \in \lambda c \mu o \nu$ II. X. 9. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \alpha \tau о \nu$ VII. IV. 7. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \mu \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ VIII. IX. I2,

HERE is always a danger of overlaying an edition of a
classical author with alien matter. But I trust that I have been moderate enough in the extent of my notes to excuse my discussing, in the shape of a few short essays, some points not alien to, but intimately connected with, the matter of the treatise. For the opinions expressed in them, I leave them, without useless apology, to the reader. For the form, it will be seen that they do not pretend to more, than the bringing forward some questions which seem worth an attentive consideration.

## E S S A Y S.

## I.

## SLAVERY.


 סíkatóv ş $\sigma \tau \iota$.-I. v. 11.

THE judgment of Aristotle in favour of slavery has excited more attention than most of his other opinions. It rests on the inequality which, as a fact, exists among men. It rests further on an alleged inequality, which, however, is historically also a fact, existing between the various races of men. In its most concrete form it rests, lastly, on the presumed superiority of the Hellenic race to all others, but most especially to the eastern nations with which it was in contact. For Aristotle would appear to allow a distinction in this respect between the Asiatic nations and the European. He distinguishes them in character, and keeping this distinction in mind, I think we are warranted in the inference, that whilst he would defend the conquest of the barbarous neighbours of Greece in Europe, on the ground of the advantage such conquest would confer on them by an amalgamation with their conquerors, and, as it were, an adoption into their higher civilisation, he would vindicate the conquest of the Asiatic nations from a less liberal point of view than that of Alexander, as the conquest of those who were naturally and essentially slaves, and who would find their advantage in a wisely regulated but perpetual servitude.

It seemed desirable to state the grounds of Aristotle's judgment, but it is not necessary here to discuss it with greater minuteness. Elsewhere I have indicated what I con-
sider its erroneous assumptions. It will be seen from what follows that I do not sympathise with the unsparing censure it has drawn upon him.

We revolt so much from slavery, and so justly also from it in its modern form, that we need to call in our historical, relative, judgment to estimate it more favourably at any time or under any form. Such historical judgment would make us hesitate in our absolute reprobation of it with reference to antiquity. For it would lead us back to periods anterior to any written history, anterior to anything that can be called civilisation, periods on which but little direct light is thrown, but which we can judge in some measure by a comparative view of the different stages of progress to which different branches of the human race have attained. We can make the study of the present aid us in forming a conception of the most remote past to which we can ascend. It would lead us back to the hunter tribes, which present one of the earliest forms of man's existence. We should find these tribes perpetually at war one with the other, as the natural consequence of their mode of life. For the hunter tribe requires a very large area from which to draw subsistence, and any intruder on that area is an enemy, not on any fanciful ground, but as threatening the previous occupant with starvation. War, in such times, and under such conditions, is internecine. In the lowest form of life it is more; the human rival is the food of his conqueror.

The first step out of this state of things is taken when the prisoner is not sacrificed and eaten, but kept and made useful to his conqueror. And the value of the instrument, man, once discovered, the mitigation of war is immediate. Its internecine character is laid aside, and conquest becomes its object. The slave taken in war tends his master's flocks, if his conqueror be one of a tribe whose mode of existence has passed into the nomad and pastoral stage. Or if the circumstances of his conqueror have led him to a sedentary life; if the hunter Nimrod has made the beginning of his kingdom Babel, then the tribes subdued till the earth, and build cities, and rapidly become a civilised nation, such as Babylon, Egypt, India, or China.

As civilisation advanced, and states became organised, and
the wants of man, material and intellectual, increased, there was needed a corresponding increase of the class which labours, for all higher forms of society require such a class, as a basis to secure leisure and that advancement of all the interests of the race, which is impossible unless leisure be secured to a portion. But in a state of society, of which war was the characteristic, the labouring class could not maintain itself in security, if independent. It needed the closer bond which connects the master and his slave. It could not exist under the slacker connection that exists between the employer and the employed.

Hence slavery, which, in the earliest times, had been the mitigation of war, could not, for a long period, cease to be the general condition of labour. It must be accepted as an institution. It must, as such, be brought within the consideration of society, and so regularised and modified, partly by positive law, partly by the stronger force of custom, as to lose its more repulsive character. The existence of the slave must be made tolerable to him, or the society which rested on slavery, in which slaves were the vast numerical majority, could never have been safe for an hour. And an attentive consideration of the History of Greece and Rome, will show that these results were attained. Let the most be made of objections, let the evils inherent in slavery be put at their highest amount, after all is said, it is difficult to look on the conclusion as doubtful: that, relatively to the times in which it was the prevailing condition of the labouring classes, slavery was defensible. It is a conclusion warranted by the general tone of the great writers of Greece, historians and philosophers. It is warranted by the dramatic writers of Rome. It is warranted lastly by the more than acquiescence in the condition of the slave, which was given by the early Christians, and by St. Paul himself. There is, I believe, no hint in the New 'Testament of a reprobation of slavery. It is accepted as a fact.

And, based on this relative view, it is a conclusion which need in no sense shock us. For it affords not a shadow of support to slavery such as, till lately, existed in our own colonies, and existed, it should be remembered, without in the least jarring on the ideas of morality then prevailing in

England. For there are few questions on which public morality has undergone so great a change in so short a time. And it is, of course, evident that slavery, such as it still exists in North or South America, receives also no support from the conclusion adopted above. The only fair analogy to the slavery of Greece and Rome is to be found in that which is still prevalent in Asia, where the evils of West Indian or American slavery are wholly unknown, and the relation of master and slaves is accepted by both, as being, in Aristotle's words, at once light and for the common interest.

But if we wish to reverse the picture, and seek for an analogy in ancient times to modern slavery, we have a safe guide to follow. The various outbreaks, known in Roman history as servile wars, whose chief seat was Sicily, are a sufficient indication. For they point to a condition of things which was intolerable to the slave. Nor is it difficult to account for this phenomenon. When brought into immediate personal contact with their masters, and congregated in large masses, as they were in a town like Rome, motives of human feeling, the common charities of life, conspired with the dictates of interest, to soften the relation. At its best it is a dangerous relation, dangerous to the master as a moral being, dangerous to the slave from his exposure to every caprice. But in the large towns there were not wanting checks to mitigate this danger. The case was different on the latifundia of the Roman nobles, or what may be termed the corn plantations of Sicily. The population there was slave, and there was no check on the misuse of their power by the agents or masters who superintended them. And there was no intercourse, no sense of a connection to soften the inherent hardships of their condition. They revolted once and again, and there was a danger lest their revolt should spread, lest throughout the Roman world the slave population should feel that it had a common cause. There are statements which show that this danger was not imaginary. But it passed over, and civilisation escaped the curse which would have been entailed by a premature break up of the system of slavery.

It was a system which could not be the ultimatum of the labouring portion of humanity. But before it could
wholesomely cease, that which was to replace it must in some degree have gained the requisite strength. Till that time came, all that was admissible was to introduce all possible alleviations, and the legislation of Rome shews us that such alleviations were from time to time introduced. It was Gibbon who remarked that the closing of the period of conquest, and the consequent closing of the importation of fresh slaves, made it necessary to treat the slaves actually possessed with greater care. Where all hope of supply from without had ceased, the only thing left was to deal with the existing slave population in such a way that its numbers might be maintained at a level adequate to the demand.

And in Aristotle himself we find suggested one of the greatest alleviations of which slavery is susceptible. 'There ought to be held out to the slave, he says, the hope of liberty as the reward of his service. Thus, by a gradual infiltration, the slave population might pass into the free. It did so at Rome through the intermediate stage of freedom. And the position of freed men at Rome in the later republic, and even more under the empire, was such that the prospect of reaching it must have been a great inducement to the slaves to acquiesce in their present lot. And it would be an inducement which would have most weight with the highest class of slaves.

In the progress of events slavery has ceased to be the condition of the labourer in all the nations of Western Europe. But there were two classes of slaves-and there are two classes of labourers. There was the class that answers to our industrial population. There was the other that answers to our domestic servants. And there is a wide distinction between the two. The industrial population, agricultural or artisan, is wholly enfranchised, and the difficult problems that it presents to the statesman, admit of no solution but such as is compatible with their forming an integral and most important portion of the body politic. They are free citizens, and their numbers must give them in the last resort the deciding power. All attempts to escape from this conclusion seem to me illusoryall devices to avert it- $\tau \grave{a} \dot{o} \lambda \iota \gamma а \rho \chi \iota \kappa \grave{a} \sigma о ф i ́ \sigma \mu a \tau a-s e e m ~ t o ~ m e ~$ contemptible. Right education must teach them the true use of their power, their true freedom. The removal of all their
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grievances, social and political, must combine with a wiser spirit in the other classes to soften their feelings, and lead them morally to accept their position with its balanced advantages and disadvantages. But their freedom must be acknow-ledged-their power must be reckoned with. On the wise recognition of this conclusion depends much of our immediate future. But this wise recognition seems almost beyond hope when we contemplate the alternations of blindness and stupefying terror which the ruling classes are subject to, according as the substratum of the social order seems quiet or disturbed. The Terra di Lavoro of political society bears on it a population of a truly Neapolitan character.

The other class, that of domestic servants, offers difficulties of a widely different kind. They are in one sense members of the family, but the connection is very loose. This social evil was touched upon some years ago by Mr. Carlyle, and it was at the same time indicated that the remedy, or one remedy, lay in greater permanence of the relation between masters and servants. But it would seem in this, as in other matters, that the evil must increase to such a point as to force attention before any remedy will be thought of. It would, however, seem great enough already. If England is to follow in the track of New England, and the boasted Anglo-Saxon type of character is to go on developing itself to its extreme logical conclusions, then any healthy condition of domestic service seems hopeless. For it is assumed to be a disgraceful condition, a limited servitude, from which every one should escape as soon as possible. And the reckless treatment of servants by their masters has been, I believe, the source of this feeling, so far as it exists amongst us. Yet surely the relation in itself is not an unsound one, it is right and expedient for both parties. It wants but due consideration to make it, what it seems naturally to be, an integral part of our domestic institutions. But its revision will depend on far wider questions-on a revision in fact of the moral standard of the nation, forced upon us by the gradual course of events. In shaking off the fetters which had rested on labour, and which had become painful, doctrines have been fostered of absolute independence, which, useful for the purpose of destruction, must be laid aside when the work of con-
struction shall begin. They have been useful, I mean, in shaking unsound theories of dependence, but they must not interfere with the sound. I am aware that writers of ability seem, by their language, to aim at an extinction or a compression within the very narrowest limits of this particular relation of master and servant. But in the interest of the poorer not less than that of the richer classes, such tendencies should be resisted. The first change, it need hardly be said, must come from the masters' side, whereas the contrary is always assumed. Yet surely the main burden of society should rest on its stronger members. With us it has been recklessly thrown on the weaker. In the revival or the creation of the sense of social duty in the great majority of the rich, who, as rich, are socially strong, in the creation of the feeling that by their position they are under the obligation of devoting themselves to the cause of the poorer classes-in this lies the true remedy for the social evil on which I have been touching. To create this feeling directly seems, in the present deadness on such matters, almost hopeless. It is most likely that it will come from the temporary and provisional strengthening of the poorer, and if somewhat hopeless on other points, we may be very confident on this, that they are rapidly acquiring the strength that they may need for the enforcement of any social changes that shall be found imperatively needed. The danger lies not in their wanting strength but in their wanting guidance, such guidance as would be given by a matured and moderate estimate of the ameliorations compatible with their pusition.

## II.

## Pol. II. vi. 22.

WITH some hesitation I redeem an engagement made in my notes on the second book, at the close of Aristotle's review of the systems of Plato. I do not attach much value to the dictum of Coleridge, that every man is born either an Aristotelian or a Platonist. But it is certain that there are at present two schools amongst those who read and think on such matters. Amongst the classically-educated there is a reaction, I think, in favour of Plato, and against the philosophical superiority of Aristotle. Not that such reaction leads in most cases to the pure and simple acceptance of Plato's philosophical system. That is nearly impossible, except for some minds singularly trained. But it does lead them to estimate him very highly, and to shrink from the criticisms of Aristotle, even upon his political philosophy, as unworthily conceived. And to say nothing of this conflict of opinion, there is the real inherent difficulty of the subject. This is such, that I shall touch but very lightly upon it,--the more lightly, inasmuch as from what I have already said, it is clear which side I adopt in the points under discussion. And of course I confine myself to the political and social side of the question.
M. Brandis has remarked that there is much more agreement between the two philosophically than is generally supposed; that it is more in the method of reaching and supporting their conclusions, than in the conclusions themselves, that they differ. I should imagine that on no point is their difference much more strongly marked than on the questions of political science. And yet even here there is a very considerable agreement. It is true that whilst the origin of society is found by Plato in the wants of man, Aristotle makes those wants only the occasion. He insists upon the truth, neglected or not seen by Plato, that man's nature is essentially social, that society is so necessary to man, that even if all that is
generally meant by the term 'wants' were supplied,-if men that is were materially independent of one another, - still they would crave the social union. But this difference noted, most of the more leading questions that occur in political science are either explicitly or implicitly answered in the same way by both. Plato does not, any more than Aristotle, recoil from slavery; nor are his views on war essentially different. Both agree on the necessity for leisure as the foundation of the Greek freeman's existence. In neither is there any allowance for the industrial population. Both are equally republican. Both are equally conscious of the Hellenic superiority. It is when we come to the general tendency of the two philosophers that the difference between them becomes striking. And it is to this difference of tendency that may be traced that divergence on some two or three fundamental points, which has given occasion to the criticism of Aristotle.

I imagine that they would both have allowed that much greater power was wielded by the legislator than is consistent with our ideas. They thought society eminently modifiable, a thing to be fashioned à priori and at pleasure. I do not know that this is anywhere definitely stated, but some such view seems to lie at the root of many of their positions, exercising an influence over their conclusions, even though never drawn into consciousness and fully examined. It is an idea which derived considerable countenance from Greek experience, which has been powerful at all times, and is by no means sufficiently exploded, even now.

But though so far both were agreed, Aristotle's sounder judgment emancipated him much the more fully from the consequences of this idea. The evils of existing states escaped neither the one nor the other, but Plato was led by his sense of them to sketch out an entire remodelling of society, a change reaching to its very foundation. Ignoring the experience of the past, he aspired after a purely ideal state, and thought that what may be called the facts of human society could be bent to suit that state; that, in short, the phenomena of society, such as he witnessed them, were accidental and not permanent. Hence his attack on the family by his advocacy of community of wives, his attack on property by his adrocacy of community
of goods. For why should these two ideas of family and property not be like the rest, subject to modification?

It is a dream which, in later times and in modern garb, exposes those who indulge in it to all kinds of hostility, with no allowance for its attractions, or for the nobler elements it contains. It would expose Plato to the same attacks, on the grounds generally taken of coarse and sensual morality, \&c. \&c., were it not for the traditional reverence with which his name is regarded, and for the admiration we feel for his eloquence. I do not sympathise with the abuse poured on the modern expositors of such doctrines ; but I can sympathise with the refutation of them in their ancient garb which, calmly expressed, we owe to the genius of Aristotle, as I could sympathise with a modern one of the same character.

I content myself, then, with thus merely pointing out the agreement of Aristotle and Plato in many of their particular conclusions, their disagreement in the general bearing of their political philosophy. It is a subject which I did not feel warranted in leaving wholly untouched, though it has been so largely discussed, and with so little result as to make it uninviting.

The personal relations of the two seem to have been friendly, and I see no trace of any ill-feeling in the criticisms of Aristotle.

Mr. Maurice says that Aristotle felt there was something in Plato which he could not understand. Such language implies that Aristotle was conscious of some inferiority. It is said that he acknowledged that the beauty of Plato's dialogue was beyond his power to rival. But with reference to his conclusions I know of no warrant for Mr. Maurice's opinion. I know of nothing which is calculated to shake the conviction that Aristotle judged Plato with the composure of a superior mind, that he honoured and loved the man, but that he felt that his system was inadequate and rested on a feeble basis; that without any undue self-assertion he felt that his own grasp of truth, his own mastery of human knowledge, enabled him to offer a firmer basis and a more satisfactory system. And the judgment of posterity has ratified his supremacy and accepted the position justly assigned him by Dante, as-il Maestro di color che sanno.

## - III.

## MONARCHY.

IN my note on this passage I have already briefly touched on the question of monarchy, properly so called, that is, hereditary monarchy. Of course it is competent to any one to use the term monarchy, without combining with it in his mind the idea represented by the word hereditary. But in our common language, and in our thoughts, the two ideas are, I believe, invariably connected, so that, when we wish to signify any other than hereditary monarchy, we add a distinctive epithet.

It is then monarchy, in this its common sense, and as expressive of the government really of one man, which he can and does leave, as other property is left, to his son, without reference to the qualification of that son; it is monarchy in this sense, that is, I think, an idea of the past. It has been, ever in the past, a fruitful source of political convulsions, and the ideas and exigencies of the present are not calculated to diminish its inherent dangers. I have no wish to treat in this place of constitutional monarchy. The common opinion of Western Europe is setting so strongly against this peculiar institution that it is not likely to embarrass political progress, and in our own country, where it has apparently a firmer hold than elsewhere, as a real government becomes more and more indispensable, the anomaly we present will have a more uncertain tenure.

To return to monarchy proper; the government of one man wielding, not nominally, but really, the whole executive power ; owning no constitutional check, but controlled only by that from which no one can exempt himself, the influences of opinion, whether at home or abroad; not the theoretic, but the actual head of the state; securing unity to its policy and the
due responsibility of all subordinate functionaries; such a power cannot, from its nature, be trusted to the accidents of hereditary succession. Like the fiefs of the middle ages, it requires the full energy of a man; it is the highest function of society and must not be entrusted to incapable hands, under penalty of the disruption of that society. Its delegation, therefore, must be an act of deliberate judgment, not left to chance.

Such a power is one to which the objections are numerous and palpable. And yet such a power as I have described seems to be required under the present conditions of society. The problem is then to secure it and free it from its obvious dangers. This must be done in the first place by stripping it of its hereditary character. The power must be monocratic, not monarchical. It must be, that is, the personal power vested in a dictator, which he holds by commission from the state avowedly and in trust for the state, not as an heirloom in his family, a power by its very supposition provisional,-‘'ad tempus,' to meet certain emergencies, to satisfy the wants of a transitional state,-and not permanent; a power which the holder may, if necessary, transmit, but may transmit only to one competent to wield it, one like himself in the full vigour of his age and faculties. It is the dictatorship of Roman history, only not in its earlier form of adaptation to some very temporary need, but in its later form as modified by Sulla and by Cæsar. Under that later form it was the concentration of all political power in the hands of one man for his lifetime, and though the tendency then was to make it hereditary, still none of those who held it would have admitted a question of his power to thwart this tendency by choosing his successor. The substitution of the adoptive for the hereditary principle was felt to be only the perfecting of the system.

Such are the conditions of the monocratic, dictatorial power which, as I have before said, seems desirable at present. It is delicate ground I am aware, yet I cannot but think there is adequate reason for this opinion. I know not what judgment others form of the actual state of things in England, but there are two features in that state which seem to me to warrant the conclusion above given. The one is the effeteness of our present system. This is shown by the incompetency of the
classes in whom it places power, to produce rulers of any sufficient ability. This incompetency seems admitted by all. It is the remedy that is disputed. Nor does the evil extend only to the governing classes. The other classes, which though hitherto excluded from, are yet considered as admissible to, political power, are equally struck with this paralysis. This proceeds in great measure from the absence of any intelligent appreciation of their position, or in other words, from the absence of any political convictions different from those entertained by the governing classes whom they would supplant. They think they could manage matters better, they do not see that it is not a mere question of management, but of a change in the very conditions of government. Both are in the main agreed upon the ends, their difference as to means is comparatively uninteresting, especially to those who observe that within their own sphere the mercantile and commercial seem as incapable of wise and successful conduct of their concerns as the more directly political classes are in the affairs of the nation.

With reference to these political classes, this result, this incompetency, is not to be wondered at. The influences of what is called society, are, to say the least, not favourable to the growth of enlarged intellectual ability, and still less favourable to that of the moral energy and strength of conviction which are infinitely more important than the intellect, on which in fact any large intellectual power depends. For to attain high political power in England, I except of course those born in the purple, a man must devote himself, for a long period, to that object, must play a game, must push himself, either in socalled influential circles, or on the hustings, or both, and the chances are very strongly against him, the improbability very strong, that when his object is attained the character is not warped or enfeebled by the length and nature of the struggle. Such seems the cause, politically, of the weakness which is allowed to characterise our present rulers, or ruling classes.

On the other hand no observer would, I suppose, deny that over against these ruling classes there is shaping itself a definite power. That the majority of the more thoughtful artisans are' keenly scrutinising the conduct of their governors ;
that they are entirely antagonistic to the existing institutions, emancipated from any prejudices in favour of our monarchical fictions, hostile to the aristocratic influences of our constitution, alien to the religion of the Church of England. And below the more thoughtful and educated stratum of this society, there is the vast mass of the uneducated, unreflective poor, who need but the stimulus of bad times to ripen their smouldering into the most active discontent, a mass on which the others can act with great directness, and by so acting can call in the momentum of numbers to give irresistible weight to their own more calculated decisions.

If there is any one point on which the higher political literature of the day, whether it be the expression of English or foreign opinion, is unanimous, it is on the rapid growth and formidable character, formidable I mean to existing institutions, of this opposition. And there is now added an element which, not novel in character, is yet novel in degree. I allude to the sympathies, more active now than at any recent period, and daily increasing in activity, between the proletariat of our own and other countries. In this respect as in others, the union of Western Europe is becoming intensified, and a corresponding increase of movement may be expected. But apart from all considerations of the future, the present situation is eminently explosive. The danger has beeu insisted on over and over again, and no systematic measures have been adopted to meet it. It has certainly not decreased in consequence of that neglect. There are indications of a considerable increase of late, the natural result of recent events.

Nor shall we have fully estimated the danger of the political situation of England till we have taken into account another consideration. Of course two bodies standing in such relation to one another can have no convictions in common. Indeed it is only of the second that it can be said that it has any conviction at all. The former merely clings to what is established, with a profound sense of its insufficiency, but with no idea what to substitute. But any new form of society is impossible under such conditions. Such new form presupposes for its establishment the existence of some unity of conviction. Here lies the great difficulty. Considerable time must elapse,
before the ideas of the new industrial society shall have sufficiently spread to form the basis for a construction. And during that time, it is essential for all equally that a perfect freedom of discussion should prevail, in order that those ideas may be generally understood and largely accepted. It is scarcely to be denied that at present we are steering on to an unknown future without any conceptions to guide us. It is most important that all who have any counsel to offer should be allowed to offer it.

If such are the phenomena of our actual society, or if they seem to any one to be such, he who so judges will not be averse to the conclusion, that for the security of order and the securing at the same time the amount of progress necessary to prevent order from becoming stagnation, there will be needed a dictatorial power sufficiently representing the interests of the classes that are growing, and at the same time strong enough to protect the weaker and decaying-a power able to act as a mediator, under whose salutary compression quiet discussion of the difficulties may proceed and their peaceful solution be discovered without exposing society to any violent collisions.

It is from a conservative point of view that I advocate a dictatorship-not conservative, of course, of the present institutions which seem to have amply served their time, to have been strained to the uttermost and to have given under the strain, to be rather obstacles to, than the instruments of, further progress, but conservative of the requisite amount of order.

But whilst this should be one great object of such a provisional dictatorship, there should be at the same time unmistakeably impressed upon it the character of progress. To secure adhesion to it on the part of those whose adhesion alone is absolutely essential, on the part of the proletariat, it must make perfectly clear this other aspect of its position. It must be distinctly seen that it aims at no compression in the interests of the old society, that it aims at no bolstering up of the older institutions, that it is ready to accept and practically initiate any changes which are desirable, and that it invites discussion of such changes. It must be distinctly seen that whilst it prevents collision, it does not make its prevention of that a
shield for a covert maintenance of the power actually in possession, but that its principal function is to facilitate the substitution of a more satisfactory arrangement.

It may be urged against this: such a power is not without example, but how are the dangers to which it is undeniably exposed to be guarded against? For after all precautions have been taken to ensure a worthy choice, the dictator chosen may prove unworthy, may belie the experience which justified the nomination, and change the nature of the power entrusted to him ; or after a right use of it himself, he may transmit it to unworthy hands, even whilst observing the condition imposed upon him, not attempting, that is, to make it hereditary. Without disputing these difficulties, there are some considerations which tend to make them less formidable than they at first appear. Such a power can only originate in a general conviction that it is necessary ; a sufficiently general conviction, that is, to make the dissidents in opinion submit, and improbable as this may seem, it is by no means impossible. The acquiescence of France in its present government, in spite of the shock to all republican sympathies unwisely given by the substitution of the title of emperor for one more provisional, thus stamping it with a dynastic, hereditary character, is an instance which may throw light on our own future course. Such a conviction and acquiescence would of course diminish the dangers attendant on all great political changes. It would smooth the access to power of the dictator, and render it easier for him to exercise it beneficially.

This preliminary step over, there are other safeguards against perversion of the power. There is, in the first place, the inherent tendency of such a power, so originating and so acquiesced in, to raise the character of its possessor, and make him look on his power in its true light, as entrusted to him for the common welfare. This acts on characters of a very secondary order, it acts with redoubled force on those of the higher.

A second safeguard I should place in the entire liberty of discussion, which such a power must not only tolerate but foster, a discussion of course honestly carried on. And I need hardly add that the necessary condition of such an honest dis-
cussion is, that each writer should substantiate his writings by his signature. Anonymous writing should be absolutely illegal, in order that no more than its due weight might attach to whatever is written. There should be no powerful literary corporation in which the individual can merge his individuality, and rid himself of his responsibility. Such discussion, so carried on, the dictator would have no interest in preventing, nor, if he fancied that his interest dictated its suppression, would he have the power requisite for its suppression.

A dictatorship, sprung from the general conviction of its necessity, and supported by the adhesion of the proletariat, or great body of the working classes, enabled, as so supported, to disregard the clamorous interests of the few whom it consigned to political insignificance, would be less than most governments of the present day liable to the danger of violent interruption. For this, the extreme remedy, is equally applicable to all forms, and in the full light of recent experience we must allow that the hereditary monarchies of Europe offer no greater guarantees for stability than any other form.

After all, it is not on a conviction of the greater danger of such a provisional power that the resistance to its rise will in the main be based. The aristocracy, which now governs, will recoil from any interference with their power. The classes which are aspiring to govern will equally recoil from any interference with their hopes of power. Those who, like myself, feel an insuperable dislike to the actual constitution of our government, and a considerable repugnance to its mere transfer to classes not yet qualified for power, a change without adequate motives, will be ready to welcome as a step in the progress to better things, a provisional dictatorship to supersede the actual, and to give time for the future order quietly to shape itself.

Discussions such as these could hardly find a place in Aristotle. The question of monarchy, so far as it interested a Greek state, presented itself to him from a wholly different point of view, from that, viz., of the justice of recognising very superior merit. Such merit had a claim, a right he thought, which could not be put aside. And in the quiet acknowledgment of this claim the other citizens would find their true in-
terest. Under any other circumstances the existence of monocratic power in a Greek state was only conceivable in the form of tyranny, and tyranny supported by mercenary troops, the government of undisguised force. The city organisation is, in fact, practically incompatible with the government of one. The ruler and the ruled stand in such close proximity, the forces on the two sides are so unequal, that except for temporary emergencies, such as war for instance, the relation can hardly continue long on the footing of absolute power. The interests of the whole are so in common that there would be no temptation in ordinary times to trust them to one management. The city government must ever, I conceive, remain in principle such as it presented itself to Aristotle.

But the case is different with a nation or great kingdom. The ruler is not brought into such close proximity with his subjects. The interests of these subjects are not so obviously in common. The different parts are not brought into close relation to one another. There is needed a central power to preserve harmony between them, and order throughout the large aggregate. A large armed force is placed at the disposal of this central power, and the numerical inequality which still exists is balanced by concentration and discipline. That the two problems of a city and a national organisation are quite distinct in this particular point of view may be made clear by our own experience. Wherever, in Europe, the actual states approach the type of the Greek city, there the power exercised is very different from that in the larger kingdoms. The smaller states of Germany or Italy may serve to illustrate my meaning.

Naples, with its Swiss mercenaries; the Pope with the French soldiers; Tuscany with its capital held by Austrian troops; the wretched smaller courts which oppress Germany, of which Hesse Cassel may be taken as the most eminent specimen, 一are all instances to the point. Their size places them more on a level with the Greek state, and their government would long since have completely changed but for the pressure from without in some cases, the open aid in others, which guarantees them against the just retribution which some time or other awaits them.

On the other hand, as the states of Europe recede from the Greek type in point of size, they recede also in the point of government. The great kingdoms, so long as they remain such, require a centralised power, and there is no perceptible tendency in any of them to weaken that power by the creation of constitutional checks. If one result more than another may be considered as established by the recent convulsions on the continent, it is this general indisposition to that which we call constitutional monarchy.

But let this present state of transition be passed, with its difficulties and peculiar requirements, then monarchy, in all its forms, and monocracy also, may be considered for Western Europe, as for Aristotle, as a completely obsolete institution. Its services and its merits may then be calmly discussed equally with those of other past institutions. It was good for Europe in past times,-it may still continue to be good relatively to the wants of other countries. It would be a mere encumbrance in the different organisation which industrialism will require and evolve.

## IV.

## W A R.





T1HE legitimate objects of war, as conceived by Aristotle, are stated in the previous chapter. They are three, and two of them are still allowed to be legitimate, by the general practice, if not the theory, of mankind. They are self-defence; conquest for the good of the conquered; the ground on which rest, I suppose, the three great instances of attempts to conquer, with which we are at this day familiar, that of the French in Algeria, of the English in India, of the Russians in the Caucasian provinces; lastly, the conquest of those who, by nature slaves, do not submit to this condition, unless compelled by force of arms. This third kind we wholly reject, in common with the theory of slavery, from which it is inseparable. And of the second it may be remarked, that the assent to it becomes every day more hesitating, whilst the number of those who dissent from it increases. . Another ground for war, which has assumed a certain prominence lately, finds no mention in Aristotle, any more than does that principle on which so many of the wars of the last three centuries have proceeded-the maintenance of the balance of power. This last may be said, however, to be a modification of war for self-defence. But the other ground to which I allude is quite distinct from that of self-defence ; it is a question of moral obligation. It is said to be the duty of states which have the power, to interfere to prevent oppression of the weaker by the stronger.

The justice of strictly defensive war is practically not contested. From no point of view need it present any difficulty. There is much more room for discussion on the second case, as there is evidently great danger in admitting, as a justification for conquest, that it is meant to benefit the conquered.

Each nation admits this defence for itself, whilst, in the case of its neighbour, it can see the force of the objections urged. Generally it may be said, that the time for wars conducted on this principle is past, that it was good and right in antiquity, whether we apply it to the Athenian expedition against Syracuse, to Alexander's expedition into Asia, or to the conquest of the world by Rome. For it is only by its better method and greater success that this last attempt at conquest differs from the others. History affords, I think, ample justification for all of them. But since the break-up of the Roman Empire, and the settlement of the barbarians, war, except for the maintenance of that settlement, has been uncalled for, speaking generally. The great modern instance in which a deviation from this rule took place, the attempt of Napoleon to found an empire by war, is open to the justest condemnation. It found a practical condemnation in its entire failure; it finds a theoretic one in the sentence of his successor: The era of conquests is closed. The defence of Western Europe against the Saracen, the Saxon, the Mongol, or the Turk, has been the only really legitimate cause of war. Most of the other wars have been, on one side or the other, in principle indefensible, and an unnecessary waste of the happiness and resources of the nations engaged.

There remains the last of the grounds above named-the moral obligation that rests on the strong. This is put forward by some as that on which the present war with Russia rests. But it is scarcely the real ground, though, in the confusion of statement and thought prevalent on the subject, this point, amongst others, may have been alleged. But avoiding so slippery a subject as the present war, it is better to confine oneself to the more general question-how far strength confers the right, or rather imposes the duty of the interference, if necessary, by war to prevent oppression? I cannot but think that there is this duty resting on the stronger to act as the protector of the weaker,-that this last ground of war is, in fact, the only one that now remains as a justifiable one. I cannot but think that, after eliminating from the discussion, as obsolete, all ideas of conquest, all ideas of slavery, all ideas of the defence against barbarian or semi-barbarian inroads,
there does rest on the civilised nations of Western Europe, who form the vanguard of humanity, the duty of seeing an end put to the reign of unlawful domination, by whomsoever exercised, England, France, Austria, or Russia, and over whomsoever, be it India, Algeria, Italy, or Hungary. But the time is distant yet when such a ground can fairly be taken. And it is necessary, as the first condition, that the powers that would take it should see that they themselves are clear in the matter. To explain myself by an example. The history and circumstances of our dominion in India render it a mere hypocrisy in us to object to Russia's advance either across the Caucasus or the Balkan, on any ground but that of the tendency of such advance to disturb the status quo in Europe, which, in the absence of any policy of amelioration, it seems desirable to maintain.

Passing from the objects of war, I proceed to the consideration of the effects of war on the nations making war, the point suggested by the words quoted from Aristotle. He remarks, that there are greater dangers to the moral condition of a nation, in a state of peace and prosperity than in war. 'For war exercises a wholesome constraint, compels justice, compels moderation, whereas the enjoyment of prosperity, and peace, and leisure has a tendency to foster a spirit of overweening insolence.' It is the truth conveyed in this remark which has, I suppose, led to the welcome given to the present war by so many of the more cultivated and reflecting.

It is a strange and disheartening phenomenon that such a welcome offers. We have enjoyed forty years of unbroken peace, and we have made so little use of their opportunities and benefits that we see them end without regret; and the nation finds itself engaged in war, not with the grave and sad feelings of men who are forced by a hard necessity to forego their proper work, and to take up arms to repress an unwarranted aggression on the existing order. No such feeling seems to be predominant. It is, doubtless, largely entertained, but it is entertained in comparative silence. What we hear loudly expressed, moving even the 'passionate heart' of the Poet Laureate to express it in song, is an exulting welcome of the war, on the ground that the evils of our social condition
were too oppressive; that peace and the fruits of the peace, the great development of industry and commerce, presented such repulsive features, were so stifling to all the nobler feelings, that we throw them aside as a nightmare, and turn gladly to the scenes of war; that we emerge from their atmosphere as into the fresh air after a long confinement.

Putting aside all the accidents of the present war, all its mismanagement in the past, all the apprehension that it justly excites in the future, when we see no aim avowed, no policy guiding us, and returning to the general question, we may fairly ask what benefits it is to confer upon us over and above the purely negative one of repression. No one thinks that war is an end, the permanent condition of things. Grant, then, that the present war be ended and peace restored to us. There has been a great drain on our resources, an immense amount of suffering. Grant that this has not been in vain, that we have attained the just and honourable peace which is the vague end set before us. With peace there return the difficulties of peace, the questions that have been agitating us these last forty years, whose answer has been postponed during the war. Have we gained strength to meet these difficulties by the feverish excitement in which we have been living? Has any light been thrown upon these questions, any thought been given to them? The social evils have been fermenting unresisted. Be it education, be it the question of labour, all have alike assumed during the war an entirely subordinate importance. They can only be solved in peace, and peace has been denied us.

If the welcome of war were but the expression of the selfish feeling, we shall not see the evil in our day, it were intelligible and contemptible. But that such evils as are complained of, the want of education, the demoralisation of commerce, the evils of competition, that such evils should be thought to find any remedy in war passes comprehension. They are all enhanced by the pressure upon industry entailed by other wars, as deplorable as the present and not so just, and to remedy them you increase that pressure. It is the remedy of M. de Calonne, whose financial genius, in the face of imminent bankruptcy, had recourse to a more profuse expenditure. It was attractive for the time to him and to those who profited by
it-the courtiers of Louis XVI.,-it is attractive to us apparently, but its attractions were, we know, not enduring.

The difficulties that attend our present social condition, a social condition recognised by most observers, as purely transitional, in which the old form of society is decaying, whilst the new is but visible in faintest outline, are so great as imperatively to demand the undistracted attention of all who are interested in their solution, be they philosophical enquirers or practical statesmen. It was the conviction of this that explains the natural repugnance manifested by our statesmen at the commencement of the war, though it by no means excuses the feeble temporising by which they involved us in it. The first step in meeting our difficulties is to accept them, and to form a just estimate of their gravity. It is a more difficult advance from this to learn that the form of society, of which industry is the basis, is nobler than that which rests on war. Here is the error which, I imagine, has misled people. They turn to the heroism of our soldiers, and they say the moral effect of such a sight on the nation is better than the debasing selfishness of commercial pursuits. True, if the alternative so put is the only one, and if any acquiesce in this statement as satisfactory, I know not what is to be said. But I would urge that there is another point of view. I would urge that whilst we admire the noble conduct of our soldiers with the most just admiration, there is no reason why we should be blind to the equally noble aspects of industry. The patient bearing of all privations, the steady facing of all dangers, such are the traits that immortalise the trenches before Sebastopol, and it is in them, as has justly been remarked, more than at the Alma or Inkermann, that the soldiers of England showed their greatness. The same qualities, the same patient bearing, the same steady resolve, and be it observed, without the same stimulus, have marked for years the workmen of England in the great commercial crises which have afflicted industry. It may be that they will again be called forth in this very winter. Why cannot we appreciate the one as well as the other?

We feel we have a right cause in this war, and we submit to the necessary sacrifices. In meeting the evils that press
upon us in the constitution of the new industrial form of society we might have the same feeling, and submit, with equal readiness, to the equally necessary sacrifices. But we must lay aside all idea of indirect remedies. We must accept industrialism as permanent, and grapple firmly with its difficulties. We shall then look on any interruption of the peaceful order, which is the best condition for our overcoming these difficulties, as simply an evil, to be endured, but not welcomed. When there is no hope of any accidental relief, men will subdue their feverish impatience and set themselves calmly to scan their available resources, and to modify, by a judicious use of such resources, and from within, a situation which admits of no modification from without. In the absence of the constraint of war, the justice and moderation, which are as necessary in peace as in war, must originate in right moral training and in the rational conviction of their necessity.

## V.

## EDUCATION.

##  $\pi a \iota \delta \varepsilon i ́ a \nu$.

ANYTHING like a systematic view of the subject of edueation is out of the question here. It is far too wide and difficult. Yet where there has been so mueh diseussion, and where there is room for so much more,-where, moreover, the question is one evidently not near any definitive settlement, but in a remarkable manner suseeptible of and requiring discussion, I may venture to offer some remarks. In them I shall keep the Politics before me, and observe the order of treatment adopted in them, without being bound to enter into all the numerous points they suggest for eonsideration. In faet, I shall confine myself to three, and toueh first on the general outline of early edueation which Aristotle gives; secondly, on the question of publie education; thirdly, on that of the things taught.

I have frequently had oeeasion to remark that on the question of education we have but a fragment of Aristotle's views. The care with which, in that fragment, all the early steps in the process are indicated, increases our regret that we have not the fuller development, that we cannot trace the training of the boy and the man as we can that of the infant and the child.

Such, however, as we have it, his treatment suggests one or two points bearing on our present practice. For instance, in reading his remarks on the necessity of training the body first, then the character, then the intellect, the contrast presented by our ordinary edueation is very striking. Of eourse I am not speaking of the large classes with whom the pressure of daily existence is so heavy, that it makes any education, for its own sake, impossible. To meet the daily wants of the family, the child must be made useful as soon as possible, and
no question of its ultimate interests is allowed to interfere. The education I touch on is that of all those classes which can fairly be brought within the range of the term educated, in our sense of the word. With this limitation, I shall surely not be exaggerating, when I say that the sound bodily training and the right cultivation of the character in early life, are neglected, and that the one great end aimed at is the bringing forward, at as early a period as possible, the intellect. The stimulus of immediate want acts on the very poor, and the child suffers. The children of richer parents suffer because success in life is the ultimate standard to which all education is brought, and success in life at present mainly depends on a certain kind of intellectual culture. And the success must be early, so the intellectual must be prematurely developed. For anything but early success, the calculation is an unsound one. The early forcing system injures the bodily health, vitiates the character, and weakens the intellect. The demand made on the mental powers is too great for the physical in their unsettled, growing state; and the child shrinks from the exertion required. If to this we add the complication introduced by the peculiar food we submit to the young intellect, a food for which it has no natural appetite,-Latin and Greek grammars and exercises,-we shall then understand the necessity of bringing in a moral evil to remedy this natural shrinking from the mental exertion required. I allude to the system of competition based on emulation, the specious name under which we delicately veil, and veiling ignore, the evils to the character of early rivalry. And after all this sacrifice of bodily health and moral training, the intellect, over-stimulated, has lost its vigour at the time when it should be in full possession of it. It is the same with it as with the victors in the Olympic games. There were but rare instances, Aristotle says, of early success followed by later. Their strength had been overtasked in youth.

The very earliest stages of education having been gone through, and the period passed during which the process of education must necessarily be conducted at home, Aristotle raises the question, Is it or is it not within the province of the legislator to order the subsequent stages in the process,
for the education of the boy and youth, for the interval between childhood and manhood? This question he answers, without hesitation, in the affirmative. But the order agreed upon may still leave the education in the hands of the family, or it may take it out of the family, and make it a state concern, make it a public, as distinct from a home education. And it is in favour of the public, the education in common, that Aristotle decides. Where the result is intimately bound up with the interest of the community, the means taken to secure that result should be under the supervision of the community. Such is the ground for Aristotle's decision.

It introduces us to a question beset with difficulties, most of which, however, I shall wholly avoid. For I do not intend to enter on the propriety of a state education as opposed to the voluntary system, of secular as opposed to religious, with all the entanglements into which these discussions have got, and from which there seems no immediate prospect of our extrication. When Aristotle speaks of education as a question concerning the community, we must again call to mind the state of things to which his remarks apply. It was the small city community of Greece which he had in view. The Greek state should see that its citizens were trained up in the right appreciation of their position, and in such a way as to fit them for becoming proper members of it. They would do this under the eye of the generation they were to succeed, and with all the influences of their home and their country strong upon them. The aim of their education should be to form their character in accordance with that of the institutions under which, when men, they were to live.

So that when we use the word public as applicable to the system advocated by Aristotle, the sense the word bears is different from that which attaches to it in our own system. With him it expresses an education free from the caprices of individual parents, but not alienating the subject of it from the legitimate influences of his home and relations. With us it expresses an education from which the element of home is wholly eliminated for the far greater portion of the time devoted to it. It is this difference to which I would draw attention. Speaking generally, the education of England, as at present con-
ducted, is the most systematic attack on the influences of the family of which we have any record. The whole moral connection between English parents and their sons ceases at a very early age. To pay for their education, and to find them the means of starting in life, is the limit of the duty acknowledged by the parents. All personal exertion, all direct attempts at superintending the development of the intellect, or at influencing the moral character, are given up as hopeless, as a task for which they have no inclination, no time, no competence, a responsibility which they wholly decline. It would be well if, declining this responsibility, they would decline at the same time that from which this flows as a strict, logical, inevitable consequence.

The break up of the family, the loss at a very early period of all its best influences, the evils to the parents and to the children that are its consequences, the moral alienation between father and son which forms so striking a feature of English society, the precocious independence in the child which the system fosters, the carelessness of their own self-discipline which it fosters in the parents, the wide gulf which is placed between the two by an education almost exclusively developing the intellect on the one hand, and the entire absence of all cultivation of the intellect on the other, so that the natural interval between one generation and another, the natural widening of the thoughts of man, is artificially increased-all these evils admit but of one remedy, which it seems hopeless to propose, but which is indispensable if education is to be set on its right footing. It is the destruction of our whole system of distant school education, whether these schools be private or public. The last are, I believe, a less evil than the first, from the largeness of the numbers that they bring together, and the comparative healthiness of tone. But both must be swept away. And if asked what is to replace them I should answer -referring to the distinction which is now universally drawn between instruction and education--that whilst for instruction and such discipline as necessarily attends instruction, and such wholesome influences as necessarily proceed from a well qualified instructor, I should look to the establishment of district schools, at convenient distances, at which instruction should be
given, for education in its stricter and higher sense I should devolve it on the parents. Doubtless they are ill qualified to undertake even this. I know no answer to this objection but that the sense of their duty must revive, they must qualify themselves. In all cases they can qualify themselves morally, in very far the majority, they could qualify themselves intellectually for superintendence, not for direct instruction. How English parents are to be made to feel this responsibility is a different question.

Connected with this point is another, the position, viz., of the instructors, by whatever title they may be designated. Sometime or other we may hope to see an educational service duly organised, with its members properly called and authorised. With a body so constituted will lie the theoretical guidance of education. Between them and the educated there will be no antagonism, no clashing of interests. Their functions will be permanent with relation to the educated; they will directly impart their teaching to the young, they will be qualified to advise and influence the citizen in his whole life.

Enough on this point; I aim rather at a criticism of the existing, than a sketch of the future, order of things. The present body of instructors is in no such position. There are exceptions, but as a general rule their influence ceases with the immediate connection. Escaped from school and from college, the Englishman owns no controlling influence on the part of those under whom he was there placed. And as a consequence of this state of things the educational function is placed very low cven yct by the vast majority of the so-called educated classes of England. Into the causes of this I do not enter. I pass to the third point.

With regard to the things taught, there are some questions on which I need not touch. My entire dissent from the views prevalent has been already expressed. But in the general spirit of the instruction given there needs a great change. The object of all education is correctly given by Aristotlc as the fitting the citizen for his position in after life, for his membership in the state. This sense of citizenship is the basis of Aristotle's system, as it was the noble element in

Plato's social errors. But this sense of citizenship is in our systems in the prevalent theories and language, I do not fear to affirm it, wholly ignored. We talk of fitting a man for his position in life, of enabling him to make his way in the world, or even of doing his duty in the state of life to which God has called him. True ; but in all this language there is no necessary sense of his being a citizen, no feeling of that predominance of the social over the individual point of view, of that necessity of the devotion of the various parts to the welfare of the whole, of the citizens, that is, to the body of which they are portions, which stands out so conspicuously in the systems of the Greek philosophers.

It is a sound objection against the Roman empire that it exercised too great a force of compression on the different nationalities it incorporated. The remedy for this weakness was found in the violent disruption of that vast whole, and its dissolution into its smaller component parts. It might be urged as a sound objection to the great kingdoms of Europe, that they too press heavily on their component parts, that to secure the necessary political concentration they have been obliged to sacrifice the members to the head, and to check all citizen life and feeling. The remedy will be found for this weakness in the system by a dissolution of these overgrown aggregates, and a consequent increase of vitality in the parts which compose them. As the national feeling superseded the imperial, the city will in its turn supersede the nation.

In any case there needs a revival of the social point of view, a return to the political theory of education. This must be the spirit that informs the whole, and from this point of view must all the questions as to what shall be taught be answered. I cannot think that the answer will be favourable to the existing system. I cannot but think that at a period of life when the faculties are fresh and the observing powers keen, when to attain a knowledge, I should not say, of common things, but of things as opposed to words, is an easy task, the powers of observation are neglected, and the study of words the only one pursued. The consequence is, that we are left, at a later period, and with great difficulty, to pick up, as we can, knowledge which might have been easily gained, or we
remain ignorant of the whole constitution of the world in which we live. If in compensation for this ignorance, those who are educated on the pure classical system had, according to the fiction on which it rests, their taste raised, their judgment and their reason strengthened, it were something. Though even then it would be necessary to prove that the ignorance on other points is a necessary condition of attaining these advantages. There may be some slight advantage gained in point of taste, though I think the effect is more often even here purism than a manly correctness. But I am convinced that the judgment suffers, and that the reasoning powers are enfeebled, and that there is accordingly no real compensation for the ignorance complained of.

On social, political, and historical questions, on all the higher points of morals, I believe that the majority of those who are subjected to the so-called advantages of a classical education, are deficient in judgment, not of course from the want of faculties, but from the cramping tendency of their education. I have no wish to use language that may offend, but I have watched for years the process as at present carried on, and the impression left on my mind is that of its general failure. There is a demand for strength of character in combination with high intellect, and neither the one nor the other are fostered by the present system, the only difference being that the first is absolutely discouraged.
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