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Why do editors leave Wikipedia?
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- Survey Results
- Q&A
New Editors

Active Editors

Editors that leave

Active Contributors: ~40K/month
New Wikipedians: ~8-9K/month
The following is not a study of whether Wikipedia is in decline. . .

. . .but keeping valuable contributors from leaving is generally a good thing
A Qualitative Survey  
Strategy Project – WMF/Community

- **Objective:** to better understand why casual contributors are leaving Wikipedia
- **How:** Sent online survey (via email in Jan 2010) out to 10,000 “casual contributors” defined as users who have:
  - 20-99 lifetime edits
  - Have “left” (no edits within last 3 months)
  - Last edit was in 2009
- **Response rate:**
  - 12% (1238) completed survey
  - 80% (989) of respondents provided at least one comment; 2,461 total comments
  - 36% (451) are open to a follow-up call
  - ~15 follow-up interviews conducted

“I appreciate the fact that you noticed that I haven't contributed lately.”
Survey Questions

• When did you start editing?
• When did you make your last edit?
• During your time as an active editor, roughly how many edits did you make in a typical month?
• **Why did you start contributing to Wikipedia?**
• **Why did you stop contributing to Wikipedia?**
• **At the time of your last edit, did you personally feel like you still had a lot to add to Wikipedia?** (1-5)
• **What was your most rewarding experience with Wikipedia? Why?** (open)
• **What was your worst experience with Wikipedia? Why?** (open)
• Did the difficulty of the work have an impact on your decision to stop contributing?
• Did the community have an impact on your decision to stop contributing?
• How likely are you to start contributing again? (1-5)
• Please read the following statements and select all that you believe are true.
• Is there anything else you would like us to know? (open)
• 14-16. Availability for follow-up
Why did you start contributing to Wikipedia?

- I saw a typo or small error and wanted to fix it: 58%
- There was information that I wanted to add: 80%
- I like the idea of volunteering to share knowledge: 47%
- I enjoy researching and writing: 24%
- I was assigned to do it (e.g., by work or school): 4%
- I wanted to test Wikipedia to see if it was really open for anyone to edit: 7%
- Friends of mine were doing it: 2%
Why did you stop contributing to Wikipedia?

I had other commitments (e.g., new job, started a family) 35%

I haven’t stopped contributing 36%
Why did you stop contributing to Wikipedia?

- I had other commitments (e.g., new job; started a family): 35%
- I contributed enough information...: 19%
- Writing an encyclopedic article is difficult/time consuming: 13%
- Editing/maintaining articles takes too much time: 12%
- Wikipedia is too confusing: 9%
- I felt that I was often working alone, without support: 6%
- I found the atmosphere unpleasant: 13%
- Some editors made Wikipedia difficult a difficult place to work: 25%
- I haven't stopped contributing: 36%
- Other: 25%
Why did you stop contributing to Wikipedia?

10+/month = Users who self-reported having contributed over 10 edits/month as of their last edit
Why did you stop contributing to Wikipedia?

- I had other commitments (e.g., new job; started a family) 35%
- I contributed enough information... 19%
- Writing an encyclopedic article is difficult/time consuming 13%
- Editing/maintaining articles takes too much time 12%
- Wikipedia is too confusing 9%
- I felt that I was often working alone, without support 6%
- I found the atmosphere unpleasant 13%
- Some editors made Wikipedia difficult a difficult place to work 40%
- I haven't stopped contributing 23%
- Other 25%

10+/month vs. All
Why did you stop contributing to Wikipedia?

- I had other commitments (e.g., new job; started a family): 37% (35% 10+/month)
- I contributed enough information: 16% (19% 10+/month)
- Writing an encyclopedic article is difficult/time consuming: 12% (13% 10+/month)
- Editing/maintaining articles takes too much time: 12% (13% 10+/month)
- Wikipedia is too confusing: 7% (9% 10+/month)
- I felt that I was often working alone, without support: 6% (11% 10+/month)
- I found the atmosphere unpleasant: 13% (21% 10+/month)
- Some editors made Wikipedia difficult a difficult place to work: 25% (25% 10+/month)
- I haven’t stopped contributing: 23% (36% 10+/month)
- Other: 25% (29% 10+/month)
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Why They Leave: Breakdown

- Personal Only: 605
- Some Bad Terms: 618
- Complexity: 419
- Community: 372
At the time of your last edit, did you personally feel like you still had a lot to add to the Wikipedia?

Scale of 1-5
(5= a lot more)

- All: 50%
- 10+/month: 73%
Why?
Most Rewarding Experience

• By recently helping me better understand my husband's <medical condition>. The collection of knowledge and resources Wikipedia makes available literally gave us more confidence and control over our lives.

• Working on the article about my <family member> . . . (neither of which I started, but they were stubs when I arrived). Self-explanatory.
Most Rewarding Experience

• **Having a pro help** placing the photo and info in the right place.

• I got a barnstar because **someone noticed** that I had done some re-arranging and rephrasing in an article.

• Realizing my edits **had not been erased**, but instead somebody worked on them and improved them.

• Seeing an article that I had considerably expanded get **Good Article status**.
Worst Experience

- Having my edits reversed - also, nothing about this was explained in a fashion that was easily understood. The people "overseeing" the edits appeared to be too power-hungry in their roles.
- One or Two Editors that think they are God and make life uneasy even though I follow the rules of Wikipedia.
- Having edits reversed, or eliminated because other people feel territorial about certain topics, and refuse to accept the input from other people.
- Editors pushing their POV whilst claiming they are without bias...
- There was no one horrible experience. I just found it unpleasant over time... it seemed like there were an awful lot of bullies.
Worst Experience

• Other contributors reversing whole paragraphs that I spent a long time on to write carefully.

• Having no response to my work. My goal is to foster collaboration - to act as a catalyst for realizing a higher level of knowledge. When a start even a stub and nothing happens, I can't help but feel that knowledge doesn't matter.

• They always delete my edits

• Other notable mentions:
  – Vandalism
  – Treatment of individuals with academic credentials
  – Errors
Respondents’ Perceptions of Editors Fall in a Few Main Categories

• POV-pushing
• Power complex
• Rigid
• Impolite

Activities that give rise to these perceptions
• Reversions
• Article deletions
Summary

• Concept of “leaving” is nuanced and needs further exploration
• New/casual editors notice when we notice:
  – Recognition
  – Help
• Reasons for leaving:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All</th>
<th>Heavier Contributors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1: Other commitments</td>
<td>#1: Difficult editors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2: Difficult Editors</td>
<td>#2: Other commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3: Contributed Enough</td>
<td>#3: Unpleasant Atmosphere</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An appeal to Be Bold and act on this information

Let’s continue the discussion.

More detailed results from the survey may be found here: http://strategy.wikimedia.org/wiki/Former_Contributors_Survey_Results

There is a survey targeted towards administrators in the works: http://strategy.wikimedia.org/wiki/Task_force/Community_Health/Former_administrators_survey

Please feel free to contact me if you would like to be involved in the effort the effort to better understand our users’ lifecycles and how we may improve Community Health: hfung at wikimedia dot org

A special thanks to User:RandomRan for his help in developing the survey and analyzing the results