The Life Assurance Companies of Germany; their Business and Position in the Year 1858. By G. HOPF, Manager of the Gotha Life Insurance Bank, Corresponding Member of the Institute of Actuaries in London, of the Commission Centrale de Statistique at Brussels, and of the Useful Sciences at Erfurt.

[Read before the Institute, 26th March, 1860.]

WIDOWS' Funds and Burial Societies have existed in Germany already for more than 200 years, and in very great numbers. There was no town of any importance that did not possess one or more establishments of that kind. The guilds (corporations) of artisans usually maintained such institutions for their members; seeking in them, at the same time, a tie of stronger combination, and uniting their corporate interests. The love of these institutions, and the endeavour to provide, by means of them, for those left behind, spread from the guilds of artisans to the other classes of the people, in consequence of which, many hundreds, even thousands, of those little Burial Societies and Funeral Funds are to be found in Germany, from which, at the death of a member, a certain sum of money is paid to the survivors, to defray the expenses of interment, and to provide for the family immediately after the death of the subscriber. An extensive use was also made at all times of these establishments by such classes of people as were not so wealthy, because even the poorest are ambitious to take measures that they may have after their death a decent burial furnished at a fixed This motive induces many, and particularly women, to expense. subscribe to a Funeral Fund in Germany.

The number of Widows' Funds, from which, after the death of the husband, a yearly pension is paid to the widow, is much smaller in Germany than the number of Burial Societies. Such institutions were, principally, founded by Governments and Princes for their public functionaries and officers, and there is scarcely one country in Germany that has not one or several Widows' Funds for the officers of state, and all the persons in the service of the sovereign, generally also for clergymen, schoolmasters, and military officers. Duke Ernest the Pious, of Gotha, the ancestor of all the princes of the Ducal Saxon houses, who has deserved very well of the churches and schools of his country, laid the foundation of a Widows' Fund for the clergy of the churches of his country, as far back as the year 1645, and a similar one for the schoolmasters, in 1662 —establishments which subsisted until recent times, with many changes in their previous regulations, until they were united, about 40 years ago, with the Widows' Fund, founded in 1775, for all public functionaries and officers of the Duchy.

All those establishments, which had their origin in ancient times, were very imperfectly regulated, and many of them, which did not require the forced accession of the members of certain corporations, have been ruined for this reason. The scientific elements were totally wanting at the time of their foundation. Partly the laws of mortality were not yet sufficiently investigated, partly the mathematicians had not yet paid the necessary attention to the parts of their science relating to life contingencies. In both respects, little was done till the eighteenth century. There were, indeed, facts already collected relating to mortality in Germany; and it is known that Halley calculated his table of mortality from observations collected in the town of Breslau during the years But even though the defective method followed by him 1687-91. in this calculation had not then been perceived, yet it was evident that the law of mortality could not be found out from such a limited experience as could be gathered in one town only, during the above-named short period, and that, therefore, no confidence was to be bestowed upon the table of Halley. It has, therefore, never been made use of in Germany for calculations relating to Burial or Widows' Funds, or similar establishments.

It was not till the middle of the last century that the inquiry into the law of human mortality made great progress. The man who distinguished himself, not only in this branch of statistics, but who examined into all the theory of population, and raised it to a science, was Süssmilch. The work published by him, Die göttliche Ordnung in den Veraenderungen des menschlichen Geschlechts, appeared first in 1741, and was followed by several much enlarged editions. It forms the basis of all the later inquiries in this wide subject. Not long after a table of mortality had been constructed by Süssmilch, the mathematical rules for the calculations as to life insurance and annuities, founded on such observations, were also made public by several learned men of Germany. The most prominent, at that time, were Euler,¹ Florencourt,² Karstens,³ and Tetens.⁴ The latter treated the whole method of these compu-

Karstens: Theorie der Wittwencassen; Halle, 1784.

¹ Histoire de l'Academie Prusse, Année 1760; Berlin, 1761; p. 163. Neues Ham-burger Magazin; Leipzig, 1770; No. 43.
 ² Florencourt: Abhandlungen aus der Juristischen und Politischen Rechenkanst; Alten-

burg, 1781.

⁴ Tetens: Lineorie aer rruwencussen; Italie, 1104. ⁴ Tetens: Einleitung zur Berechnung der Leibrenten und Anwartschaften, die von Leben und Tode einer oder mehrerer Personen abhaengen; 2 vols.; Leipzig, 1785–6. Mr. H endriks has drawn attention to this work in a clever paper "On the early History of Auxiliary Tables for the Computation of Life Contingencies," Ass. Mag., vol. i., p. 1.

tations with such sagacity and judgment, and gave, at an early period (1785), such practical rules for performing the calculations, that his directions are not, even to this day, to be considered as antiquated, and they form the foundation of all the later labours in this field. But it was long before the principles laid down by those learned men were employed by the institutions depending on human life, and a great many Widows' and Burial Funds were consequently established with the old imperfect regulations, even after the appearance of their works.

The Burial Funds are Life Insurance Societies upon a small scale. Every member pays a premium, either once only or periodically, to the fund, and after his death a certain sum is paid out of it to his heirs. Though the idea of establishing Insurance Societies for higher sums, and for the admission of members from more extended localities, was made very intelligible by the great number of Burial Funds in Germany; yet it required the observations made in similar Societies in England to stimulate the Germans to found such institutions. This was done at first at Gotha, where, after the establishment of the Mutual Fire Insurance Bank for Germany, which is still flourishing there, the idea was seized and the resolution taken to create a Life Insurance Company, based on similar principles. After various preparations and calculations, in which the work of Charles Babbage (A Comparative View of the various Institutions for the Assurance of Lives; London, 1826; translated into German: Weimar, 1827) did useful service, the first plan was published in 1827, and from that date members were enlisted for it. A considerable number of members was soon found, notwithstanding that their policies were not delivered till the 1st January, 1829; consequently, a period of 30 years, closing with the year 1858, has passed since the opening of this branch of Assurance on German soil. Since the establishment of the Gotha` Company, scarcely any year has passed without the formation of one or more similar Companies.

Table I. shows what results all these Companies have had, up to the end of 1858; and Table II., what premiums are payable to them for an assurance for life. Both the tables are arranged like those which we published in the former volumes of this *Journal*. If we compare Table I. with the same table for the year 1857 (p. 163, vol viii.), we see that since then two new Life Insurance Companies were established in Germany — the Life Assurance Branch of Nuova Società at Trieste, and the Anker at Vienna. They are both Share-Companies. The latter is founded with a

44

share capital of 2,000,000 Austrian florins, and has been called into existence principally by Belgian resources and influence; the former is founded with a capital stock of 4,000,000 florins.

By comparing the two tables, it appears also that one of the older Companies, the Hammonia of Hamburgh, has ceased to exist. It was reduced to such a sadly ruinous condition by the unsoundness of its operations, especially by too high expenses of administration, that it could no longer meet its obligations, and was obliged to declare itself insolvent. The state of this Society was very unsatisfactory. The share-capital was very small, and did not exceed the sum of £35,714, of which not more than £4,587 were paid up. Many shareholders now refuse to pay up the balance of their shares, for which reason many actions must be brought against them, the result of which is very doubtful. It is doubted whether the creditors of the Hammonia will receive more than 30 per cent. of their claims, at the settlement of the bankruptcy.

The number of German Life Insurance Companies, which were in active operation during the year 1858, amounted to 26. The number of the English, French, and Belgian Companies, which have extended their business to Germany, is as great, if not greater. Of these, the English Companies especially do a considerable business in the large commercial and seaport towns. In the greater part of the States of Germany, the permission of Government is necessary for establishing an agency, and this licence is often refused, or connected with troublesome conditions. Legislation is, in this respect, very different in the different German States. Whilst some Governments, as those in the Hanseatic towns, in the kingdom of Saxony, and in the Thuringia countries, proceed liberally, and leave free the establishment of agencies for Life Insurance, or require only the observation of formalities easily to be performed, it is very difficult in others to obtain the requisite permission. And these prohibitive measures extend as much to German Companies as to foreign ones. The severest restrictions are in Austria, which has not allowed admission into its States to any foreign Assurance Company, whereas Austrian Companies have been allowed to carry on operations in many German countries. But the protection afforded, by this method of proceeding, to the few Life Insurance Companies in Austria against foreign competition, has by no means brought them to a flourishing condition. On the contrary, we maintain that it has turned rather to their prejudice than to their advantage; and to prove this, we refer to Table I. Of the five older Life Insurance Companies in Austria.

only two have hitherto published reports of their results; and of these, only one, the Assicurazioni Generali of Trieste, has made remarkable, but by no means brilliant, progress—the operations of the other having remained till now of very limited extent; and we think we are not mistaken in affirming, that the affairs of the three Companies which have not yet made a report are still less satisfactory. Likewise, it cannot be denied, that the legislation which excludes entirely all foreign Assurance Companies from Austria, turns also to the prejudice of the Austrian people. From want of competition, they have not been duly made acquainted with the nature, use, and the varied applicability of Life Insurance; and those who are acquainted with it, have often felt the want of an institution which suited their necessities and wishes; and their people have been obliged to disregard an important means of increasing their prosperity.

Casting a glance on Table I., we see that, in 1858, 14,645 persons have newly entered the 20 German Life Assurance Companies which, out of the existing 26, have made public reports; and that, the subsequent assurances (Nachversicherungen) included, they have insured, upon the whole, a sum of $\pounds 2,340,298$ in case of death—for our table comprehends only the insurances effected for the case of *death*, the life assurances in the proper sense of the word. The state of assurance of those 20 Companies was—

At the beginning of the year, $\pounds 12,875,128$ on the lives of 80,523 persons. At the end of the year . 14,383,010 ,, ,, 90,128 ,,

Showing an increase of £1,507,882 ,, ,, 9,605 ,,

According to this statement, the total amount of assurances increased, in the course of 1858, by 11.71 per cent. of its amount at the beginning of the year, and the number of assured persons by 11.93 per cent. The average sum assured on one life is about £160.

The receipts of premiums and interest increased in a greater degree than the assurances. According to Table I. on p. 163, vol. viii., these receipts were—

In the year	1857 1858	•	•	•	£509,007 618,382	
						
	-		• .		0100 0FF	

Increase equal to . £109,375, or 21 per cent.

Whilst £251,545 were paid for claims on 1,510 persons who died in the year 1857, a payment of £303,438 was made for 1,900 cases of death in 1858.

The total of assurance funds was-

1860.] The Life Assurance Companies of Germany.

At the end of 1857	•	•	£2,258,088
" 1858	•	•	2,437,844
Increase	•	•	£179,756, or 8 per cent.

As every new enterprise in Germany always requires time for its development, and people learn but by slow degrees to accustom themselves to new institutions and to make use of them, such also was the case with life assurance. It requires considerable time to give the German a clear idea of the nature and manifold utility of life assurance, and to inspire him with confidence in it; but when this is once done, the interest in this means of advancing the welfare of families increases from year to year. During the last few years, life assurance has developed itself in Germany in the following rapid manner :—

Number of the reporting Offices.	i	n the		surances at the f the Year.
	Persons.	£	Persons.	£
12	5,236	841,844	46,980	8,224,130
13	5,558	939,854	50,019	8,750,239
14	5,224	841,459	52,876	9,150,885
18	9,366	1,361,711	61,832	10,411,549
18	12,778	1,633,272	71,169	11,487,487
19	13,601	1,930,649	81,348	12,893,086
20	14,645	2,340,298	90,128	14,383,010
	of the reporting Offices. 12 13 14 18 18 18 19	of the reporting Offices. New A is course of Persons. 12 5,236 13 5,558 14 5,224 18 9,366 18 12,778 19 13,601	of the reporting Offices. New Assurances in the course of the Year. Persons. £ 12 5,236 841,844 13 5,558 939,854 14 5,224 841,459 18 9,366 1,361,711 18 12,778 1,633,272 19 13,601 1,930,649	of the reporting Offices. New Assurances in the course of the Year. Assurances end o Persons. £ Persons. 12 5,236 841,844 46,980 13 5,558 939,854 50,019 14 5,224 841,459 52,876 18 9,366 1,361,711 61,832 18 12,778 1,633,272 71,169 19 13,601 1,930,649 81,348

It results from these figures that the use people make of life assurance has increased, especially in the latter years, since the termination of the oriental war, during which a stagnation took place on account of the doubtful security of our position, and the predilection for insuring has made considerable progress. It is to be expected that, with security, this rate of increase will continue a long time before it reaches its culminating point.

The Gotha Company has reached the greatest development of all the Companies. Table I. shows that their insurances comprehend more than one-third of the sums assured in all German Life Insurance Companies. As it is founded on mutuality, all surplus, annually ascertained, is returned as dividend to the assured, and deducted from the premiums, or paid in cash if the assurance is extinguished—a proceeding adopted also by the other German Companies which give dividends to the assured. On each premium paid a dividend is granted—generally five years after payment of the premium—in proportion to the surplus of the corresponding year for which the premium is paid, no matter whether the assurance be still in existence or not at the time of distribution. The practice of adding the bonus to the sum assured is not found in any German Life Office. The dividends in the Gotha Office amounted, upon an average, to 28 per cent. of the premium, and, consequently, the cost of assuring in this Company is the lowest of all German Offices, as Table II. shows. It is also the Company which is managed at the most reasonable rate. All the expenses of administration, the commission for the agents included, do not now amount to quite 4 per cent. of the annual receipts of premiums and interest.

The Gotha Company passed through the third decennium at the end of 1858. Table III. shows the working of the business in each one of these periods. The new assurances amounted in the

1st decennium (1829-38), in-)

2nd decennium (1839-48), to	10,404	,,	16,692,000	"
3rd decennium (1849-58), to	12,380	,,	20,959,000	"
Total	33,432	,,	56,038,200	"

The new members were, therefore, considerably more numerous in the last period than in either of the two former; and as they exceed the withdrawals by more than double, we may surely hope that the assurances in the Gotha Office will reach a still greater extent than they have already done.

The calculations of the Gotha Office are founded on the table computed by Charles Babbage according to the experience of the Equitable Society, with the modification that the mortality of the higher ages above 55 years, which that table seemed to represent as too small, was augmented. It will not be without interest to see how true and safe that table has proved in the 30 years now past. This is shown by the Table IV.; according to it—

Should die 6787·68 p Died . 6628·00	ersons, with	11,215,898 10,988,974		assured sum. "	
Therefore, <i>less</i> died 159.68	"	226,924	"	>>	

There have been, then, disbursed in the 30 years past, 226,924 thalers, or about 2 per cent. *less* for deaths than might have been spent according to the basis of calculation adopted; and the number of the claims was about $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. below the expectation. The adopted table of mortality has therefore proved, upon the whole, convenient and safe. If, however, we follow mortality into the single classes of age, there appear, indeed, greater deviations; for mor-

tality at the ages under 55 years was regularly less, and at the ages above 55 years greater, than the table in use led us to expect, as this was formerly (vol. iv., p. 54; vol. v., p. 58; vol. vi., p. 295; vol. vii., p. 177) proved by the results of single years. A nearer examination has shown that the greater mortality at the ages above 55 years is not caused by such of the assured as are admitted at these ages, but by those who, having been assured at the younger periods of life, attain to the higher ages. The health of the latter, examined at earlier periods, is naturally, on an average, not so good at their entrance into the higher ages, as the health of those who did not undergo the examination till later in life. The examination of health, however, just at the period from the 40th to the 55th year is of great consequence, because at that period of life essential change takes place in the constitution of man-not only of females, but also of males-which have a decided influence on their health. Of those who pass an examination as healthy lives at the age of 40, a greater number is doubtful and bad after 10 years than of those who have been selected as healthy at ages 30 and 50, and are reconsidered after an equal period.

During the 30 years past (1829-58), 6,779 cases of death occurred amongst the persons assured in the Gotha Office, which are distributed in the different classes of age, as shown in Table V. This table has been constructed in the same manner as the table published vol. v., p. 329, for the first 25 years (1829-53), during which period 4,521 cases of death had happened. The experience has, therefore, been enriched by 2,258 cases in the last five years. However, the results formerly obtained have not been essentially modified thereby, as is seen by a comparison of cols. 9 and 10 in This table is founded on the experience gathered from Table V. one calendar year to another. Beside the registers destined for them, on the basis of which the annual balances are made and the reserves are computed, there are arranged registers of the persons assured and the cases of death according to the years of assurance (vol. vi., p. 1), which do not require a correction for admission and withdrawal, as was necessary in Table V., cols. 6 and 7, and they furnish, therefore, more exact data. A table of mortality deduced from these data will be computed as soon as the experience in the younger and higher ages has become somewhat fuller, and, in consequence, more certain in its results.

Table VI. exhibits a comparative view of mortality according to different tables. It results from this table that the mortality in the Gotha Company in the ages under 55 years agrees almost VOL. IX. entirely with the mortality in the English Life Insurance Companies, as it is expressed in the Actuaries' Table, founded on the experience of 17 Companies. Beyond the 55th year of age, however, the mortality is essentially greater among the assured in the Gotha Office than in the English Companies. This difference has a general reason, because, in our opinion, mortality is in general less at the higher ages in England than on the continent. It may be that people enter there the higher ages with less weakened vitality, or that they are preserved from adverse influences at this period of life more in England than on the continent, or that both causes co-operate. The fact itself seems no longer doubtful, and the same result will be noticed on a comparison of the tables of mortality founded on the experience of the mixed population, though these tables are less accurate and certain than those which are based on the experience of the Assurance Companies. We refer to the data furnished by Nos. 8-12 of Table VI. According to them, the proportions for the higher ages seem to be more unfavourable, particularly in Germany (Hanover and Saxony), because mortality after the 50th year is greater there, even considerably greater, than in England. The mortality at the higher ages does not appear so favourable either in Belgium or in France, as in England, which country is, therefore, a particularly fortunate locality for aged persons.

It is rather remarkable that mortality amongst the members of the Friendly Societies in England is essentially less than amongst the members of Life Insurance Companies, though they are not obliged to undergo, at their entrance, so severe an examination respecting their health as the latter. The correctness of this fact is not liable to any doubt whatever, in consequence of the carefulness of the investigations made by the two highly-respectable authorities, Finlaison and Neison, the results of which are shown by Nos. 4 and 5 in Table VI. This observation, however, is of weight only for England—it is just the reverse in Germany.

In conclusion, we must not omit to draw attention to the mortality amongst the members of the Mutual Life Assurance Society at New York, which is *less* at most ages than amongst the members of the English and German Life Insurance Companies, though part of them live in the southern regions of North America, which are injurious to health. We owe the statement of this interesting fact to the elaborate researches of the able actuary of that Society, Mr. Homans, in his valuable report on the experience of the Society during the first 15 years of its existence.

50

TABLE I.-New Business and Position of the Life Assurance Companies of Germany in the Year 1858.

Share Capital.		Paid up.	$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{Mutual}}$ 7,286	Mutual Mutual		of the Bank. Mutual	Mutual 24.489	7,143	12,857	173,943	1,428	a.	Mutual 1 of the	282,000 56,400 428,571 85,714 Capital of the Credit Bk.	:
Share (Nominal.	\mathcal{E}_{Mutual} 72,857	Mutual Mutual	420,000	Capital Loan Mutual	Mutual 244.898	71,428	85,714	869,714	14,286	28,571	Mutual Mutu Capital of the	282,000 428,571 Capital of th	:
Dividend st ten years of Premium.	ent. Park Sent.	19vA 1 Anirub O 19q ni	28 [.] 0.251	16 ¹ /None	14 <u>2</u>	None 132	900 1000	0.01/00	None	None	:	None	 None	None None None	:
		Clear Surplus.	216,177	27,126	51,614	؟ 11.366	a. a		None	23,341	1,527	None	10,354 None	3,056 6,184 202	:
Fund.	Reserve	and advanced Premiums.	1,080,968	198,838 ?	284,872	? 36.815	a. a	. a.	{ 21,222	79,351	2,059	{12,870	16,594	$^{8,837}_{14,362}$:
Assurance Fund	eral.	Per Cent. of Assured Sums at end of Year.	26.78 10-47	28.88 17.86	22.31	16-24 10-57	17.16	7.72	•	9.84	5.61	3.40	8.56	:::	:
	In General	Amount.	$\frac{\mathcal{E}}{1,324,212}$ 122,656	233,135 $44,943$	5 336,486	46,441 48,181	14,285 17,149	61,185	12,286	109,433	3,586	7,392	27,728 4,435	8,864 9,798 5,649	2,437,844
	sun 100	Per £100 Assured S at end o Year.	1.87 5.31	2-98 5-98	3.51	? 4.70	5 6:50	6.25	16.00	a.	a.	14:02	2-27 ?	18-56 ?	:
Expenses of Management.	1	Per Cent. of Income.	3.98 12.94	6·18	7.29	ة 11-90	? 13:83	17.36	29-42	a.	a.	29·14	90.9	36 ^{.03}	:
L Ma		Abso- lute.	£ 9,208 6,217	2,404 ?	5,286	؟ 2.143		4,955	1,875	a.	a.	3,046	734 ?	4,689 $\frac{9}{2}$ 513	:
Claims paid.		Sums.	$_{24,872}^{f}$	21,086 4,979	42,671	4,718	1,329 2,343	10,284	2,661	16,548	1,114	4,932	1,796 820	1,526 1,614 223	1,900 303,438
Claim		Per- sous.	517 133	133		47 184	19	8	53	†50	5	62	19 42	94 16	1,900
Income (Premiums	and Interest).		${\it f}_{231,597}_{48,062}$	38,924 9,186	72,532	6,286 18.013	3,286 13.428	28,550	6,375	32,857	1,888	10,455	12,102 2,149	13,015 11,251 1,283	618,382
Assurances existing at the end of the Year.		Sums.	${\it f}_{{\it 4,944,028}}^{{\it f}}$ 1,171,793	807,171 251,671	1,508,043	286,016 455.916	83,243 285.714	792,878	117,181	1,112,865	63,886	217,349	323,795 62,744	252,608 323,832 36,563	14,383,010
Assuran end of		Persons.	21,470 8,777	5,041 *2,878	8,510	2,570 11.142	1,063+ 1.600	*7,032	*1,440	†4,200	*349	*2,232	2,002 1,073	+1,353 1,606 190	90,128
New Assurances during the Year.		Sums.	$_{272,512}^{f}$	51,886 10,100	105,843	40,424 56.819	6,086 53.009	131,196	32,463	221,812	14,600	61,736	87,640 14,825	111,233 228,571 36,786	2,340,298
New A db the		Persons.	1,316 2,396	357 *105	480	318 1.322	322	*1,270	*327	†1,000	*73	575	516 283	*573 †1,150 192	645
Assurances existing at the beginning of the Year.		Sums.	$f_{4,792,614}^{f}$ 984,571	786,786 251,685 271,685		262,278 426,963	79,314 256.637		95,447	973,262	51,900	182,956	247,460 49,720	154,311 121,429	12,875,128 14,
Assurances existin at the beginning of the Year.		Persons.	20,841 6,936	4,881 *2,889	8,390	2,424 10.419	1,020 1.336	*6,235	*1,259	+3,400	*292	*1,868	1,539 861	*933 †600	80,523
Name of	Company.		Gotha Lubeck	Leipzig Hanover	Generali) Berlin	Munich	Brunswick Frankfort	Hamburg .	Leipzig	Cologne	Schwerin.	Halle	Stuttgart.	Magdeburg Stettin Zurich	Toral
ished.	ldøte	B	1827 1828	1830 1830	1836	1836 1839	1842	1847	1852	1853	1853	1854	1854 1855	1856 1857 1857	

† Approximated.

* Policies.

												_
.ped.		Average		Age 25			Age 30.			Age 35.		
Establizhed.	Name of Company.	Dividend per Cent.	Gross Premium.	Dividends.	Net Premium.	Gross Premium.	Dividends.	Net Premium.	Gross Premium.	Dividends.	Net Premium.	
1827	Gotha	28 OldTariff: 0 251	2 ·356	0 .660	1.696	2 ∙633	0 [.] 737	1.896	2 [.] 969	0 [.] 831	2·138	
1828	Lubeck	on sum assured NewTariff: non profit, 1857	2 [.] 403	0.251	2·152 1·828	2 [.] 669	0 [.] 251	2 [.] 418 2 [.] 106	2 [.] 992	0 [.] 251	2·741 2·461	
1830	Leipzig	16 ¹ / ₂	 2∙356	0.389	1.967	 2 [.] 633	 0 [.] 434	2·199	2.969	0·490	2.479	
1834	Trieste (Assicura- zioni Generali)	Non profit			2 [.] 14			2.42			2.76	
1836	Berlin	Old Tariff: 14 2 NewTariff,1854:	2·406	0.346	2.060	2 [.] 672	0.385	2 ∙287	2·992	0.431	2·561 P	
		Not yet divided		?	? 2∙000	2 [.] 433	?	?	2 ·817	?	r 2 [.] 658	
1836	Munich	Non profit Non profit	••	•••	2·000 2·167	••	••	2 [.] 283 2 [.] 433	••	••	2 038 2 750	
1839	Vienna (Mutual)	c. 13	2·15 0	0·279	1.871	2 [.] 483	0.323	2·160	2.883	0.375	2 ·508	
1842	Brunswick	с. б	2·125	0.127	1.998	2[.]3 82	0.143	2 [.] 239	2·7 22	0.163	2.559	
1844	Frankfort	Non profit			1.992			2.242			2.550	
1847	Hamburg	Non profit			1·95 0			2.225	••		2.542	
1851	Trieste (Azienda) Assicuratrice) }	Non profit		••	2 [.] 12			2 [.] 40	••		2.76	
1852	Vienna (First Austrian Assur- ance Company)	Non profit		••	2·1 00			· 2·383			2.717	
1852	Leipzig (Teutonia)	Not yet divided	2·181	?	?	2.500	?	?	2.861	P	P	
1853	Tricste (Riunione Adriatica di Si- curtà)	Non profit			1.96			2.25			2.57	
1853	Cologne	Non profit			1.783			2.042			2 ·383	
1853	Schwerin	Only two divi- dends	2.203	?	P	2 [.] 521	P	P	2 [.] 906	?	?	
1854	Halle (Iduna)		2 [.] 192	?	9	2·5 00	5	?	2.861	9	?	
1854	Stuttgart	Only one divi- dend	2 230	?	?	2.457	?	?	2.760	9	?	
1855	Darmstadt	Non profit			1.750			2.017			2 ·350	
1856	Magdeburg	Non profit			1.867			2.083			2·3 58	
1856	Erfurt (Thuringia)	Non profit			1.758			2.008			2.333	
1857	Stettin (Germania)	Non profit		••	1.817			2.075			2.408	
1857	(Providentia)	Non profit			1.883			2.125			2.425	
1857	Zurich	Non profit			2.174			2.439			2.778	
1857	Trieste (NuovaSo- cietàCommerciale)				2.050			2.330			2 [.] 660	
1858	Vienna (Anker)	Non profit			1.920		<u> </u>	2.240			2.550	
	Average Premium		2.249		1.958	2.535		2.221	2.885		2.542	

TABLE II.—Showing the Gross Premium per Cent., the Average Dividends,

An average dividend is only computed for those

 $\mathbf{52}$

	Age 40.		1	Age 45.		L	Age 50.			Age 55.			Age 60.	
Gross Premium.	Dividenda.	Net Premium.	Gross Premium.	Dividenda.	Net Premium.	Gross Premium.	Dividends.	Net Premium.	Gross Premium.	Dividends.	Net Premium.	Gross Premium.	Dividends.	Net Premium.
3.386	0.948	2.438	3.961	1.109	2.852	4·733	1.325	3.408	5.742	1.608	4·134	7.161	2.005	5·156
3 ·400	0.251	3 [.] 149	3 ·894	0.251	3 [.] 643	4 ·531	0.251	4 [.] 280	5·317	0 [.] 251	5 [.] 066	6.367	0.251	6 [.] 116
 3∙886	 0 [.] 641	2 [.] 928 3 [.] 245	 3 [.] 961	 0 [.] 654	3 [.] 564 3 [.] 307	 4 [.] 733	 0 [.] 781	4 [.] 417 3 [.] 952	 5·742	 0 [.] 947	5 [.] 561 4 795	 7·161	 1·182	7·13 3 5 [.] 979
		3·21			3 [.] 81			4 [.] 66			5 [.] 78			7.25
3 ·400	0·490	2 ·9 10	3·89 8	0.561	3.337	4 [.] 533	0.653	3 ·880	5·317	0.766	4·551	6 [.] 367	0.917	5.450
3.333	?	?	3 ∙950	?	P	4 [.] 750	?	P	5.858	P	P	7.417	P	P
	••	3.120			3 ∙758			4 ·558	••		5.650	••		7.142
	••	3.183	••	••	3.750	••	••	4 ·517	••		5.233			6.933
3.333	0.433	2.900	3∙950	0.213	3 [.] 437	4.733	0.615	4· 1 18	5.667	0.737	4 ·9 3 0	6 [.] 967	0.906	6.061
3.187	0.191	2.996	3.802	0 [.] 228	3.577	4·66 0	0.280	4 [.] 380	5 [.] 854	0.321	5.203	7.479	0.449	7.030
		2.950	••	••	3 [.] 483	••	••	4·192			5.142	•••	••	6.422
		2.950			3.425			4.100			5.125			6 [.] 492
		3 [.] 24			3 [.] 84			4 [.] 64			5.70			7.23
		3.167			3.767			4.550			5 [.] 633			7.183
3 ·300	?	\$	3.900	?	9	4 [.] 667	9	P	5 [.] 624	P	P	6.833	?	9
		2.99			3.62			4·35			5.55			7.07
		2.825			3 [.] 417			4·208			5.267			6.692
3.391	?	?	4.005	?	P	4.802	P	?	5.906	è	?	7.661	9	2
3.317	?	?	3 ·850	?	?	4.600	?	?	5.750	?	?	7.200	;	P
3.182	?	?	3.757	P	P	4.572	P	P	5·688	2	P	7.077	9	?
'		2.800			3.433			4 ·300			5.550			7.317
		2.758			3 [.] 3 3 3			4.108			5.033			6.300
		2.750			3.317			4.083			5.267			6.717
		2.842			3.417			4.192			5.208			6 [.] 592
		2.821			3.396			4.167			5.212			6.671
	••	3.226			3.846			4.545			5.556			7.143
		3.100			3.710			4.500			5.660			7.160
		2.990			3 600			4 ·390			5·430			6·830
3.329		2·9 80	3.903		3.526	4.665		4.271	5.679		5.285	7.063		6.670

and the Net Premium after Reduction, in the German Life Assurance Companies.

Companies who have divided at least five dividends.

							-	
							De	CREASED.
Year.		surances oposed.		Assurances fected.	Assured of t	in the course he Year.	exp	scontinued, bired, and ed Policies.
	Pers.	Thirs.	Pers.	Thirs.	Pers.	Thirs.	Pers.	Thirs.
1829	1,581	2,746,600	1,285	2,379,200	1,285	2,379,200		
1830	607	1,121,700	504	1,044,700	1,777	3,408,800	14	26,200
1831	1,491	2,501,400	1,244	2,348,400	2,991	5,696,700	46	126,000
1832	1,574	2,513,500	1,165	2,168,100	4,083	7,687,500	212	490,100
1833	1,351	1,975,300	1,041	1,738,100	4,857	8,832,800	120	358,700
1834	1,218	1,749,800	902	1,424,500	5,593	9,825,700	154	335,500
1835	1,318	1,877,900	989	1,577,600	6,361	10,958,000	155	298,300
1836	1,635	2,390,400	1,213	1,932,500	7,333	12,422,800	170	383,800
1837	1,581	2,435,600	1,151	1,886,200	8,204	13,743,300	170	290,200
1838	1,555	2,224,900	1,154	1,887,900	9,061	15,114,200	156	338,200
Total { 1829-38 {	13,911	21,537,100	10,648	18,387,200	51,545	90,069,000	1,197	2,647,000
1839	1,419	2,143,000	1,024	1,743,200	9,803	16,304,700	195	378,900
1840	1,485	1,942,800	1,089	1,568,400	10,570	17,283,500	193	354,600
1841	1,414	2,002,100	983	1,604,800		18,255,700	178	292,400
1842	1,352	1,939,800	1,013	1,594,700	11,888	19,264,100	167	348,600
1843	1,503	2,082,600	1,049	1,658,400	12,572	20,259,200	193	398,600
1844	1,493		1,073	1,747,500	13,249	21,281,800	183	291,800
1845	1,412		1,018	1,646,800		22,281,000	178	327,100
1846	1,484	1,961,300	1,096			23,218,700	208	388,800
1847	1,706		1,235	1,948,200	15,361	24,412,400	240	396,500
1848	1,151		824	1,531,500			277	543,200
Total 1839–48 }	14,419	20,434,700	10,404	16,692,000	128,760	207,631,800	2,012	3,720,500
1849	1,377	1,946,500	1,011	1,629,800	16,047	25,641,000	239	449,500
1850	1,537	2,138,000		1,860,500	16,609	26,479,600		402,300
1851	1,667		1,262	2,018,800	17,342	27,522,000		359,000
1852	1,868			2,326,600		29,028,900		421,200
1853	1,804		1,324	2,153,900		30,182,300		418,800
1854	1,534		1,073	1,855,900				443,400
1855	1,699			2,032,600		31,927,200	180	304,300
1856	1,910			2,183,100		33,071,800	169	309,800
1857	1,913					34,637,900		332,300
1858	1,901	2,938,200	1,316	2,319,300	22,157	35,867,600	151	341,700
Total	17,210	25,206,600	12,380	20,959,000	191,124	305,329,400	1,974	3,782,300
Total	45,540	67,178,400	33,432	56,038,200	371,429	603,030,200	5,183	10,149,800
Average for one year	1,518		,				173	

TABLE III.—Assurances in the Gotha Life

1860.]

	DECREASED	(contin	ued).			Exist	ING AT THE E	ND OF TH	ie Year.	
B	y Death.	Tota	Decrease.	Net	Increase.			Average Sum on one Life.	Average Age of Assured.	
Pers.	Thirs.	Pers.	Thirs.	Pers.	Thirs.	Pers.	Thlrs.	Thirs.	Yrs. Ms	
12	15,100	12	15,100	1,273	2,364,100	1,273	2,364,100	1,857	42 7	
16			60,500	474		1,747	3,348,300	1,917	42 9	
27								1,892	41 10	
55			592,800	898				1,859	42 4	
46						4,691	8,401,200	1,791	42 9	
67			445,300					1,746		
86		241	443,500				10,490,300	1,714	43 3	
110		280					11,857,100	1,681	43 5	
127	226,800	200	565,700 517,000				13,226,300			
126							14,561,500	1,659		
120	214,000	202	552,700	072	1,555,200			1,005	** 0	
672	1,178,700	1,869	3,825,700	8,779	14,561,500	• .		17,789		
127	210,700	322	589,600	702	1 153 600	9 4 8 1	15,715,100	1,658	44 4	
143		336					16,650,900	1,627	44 8	
164		342					17,669,400	1,625	45 1	
194		365	663,300				18,600,800	1,614	45 5	
203		396	724,900				19,534,300	1,604	45 10	
200		383					20,634,200	1,604	46 0	
238		416	710,800				21,570,200	1,602	46 4	
230							22,464,200	1,590	46 7	
293		533		702			23,539,200	1,588	46 10	
33 9							24,011,200		47 4	
2,135	3,521,800	4,147	7,242,300	6,257	9,449,700		·	16,109		
337	572,400	576	1,021,900	435	607 900	15 471	24,619,100	1,591	47 7	
333		529	976,400	609			25,503,200		47 10	
297		487	819,700				26,702,300	1,584	48 0	
346							28,028,400		48 2	
399							29,115,200	1,580	48 3	
390							29,894,600	1,585	48 6	
471	734,200		1,038,500				30,888,700	1,595	48 9	
410		579	1,012,400				32,059,400	1,595	48 11	
453	757,300	620			1,488,900	20,841	33,548,300	1,610	49 0	
5 3 6	917,700	687	1,259,400	629	1,059,900	21,470	34,608,200	1,612	49 2	
3,972	6,579,700	5,946	10,362,000	6,434	10,597,000		•••	15,920		
6,779	11,280,200	11,962	21,430,000	21,470	34,608,200	 		19, 818		
226	376,007	399	714,333	716	1,153,607			1,661		

Assurance Bank during the Years 1829-58.

		Ам	OUNT.				TABLE IN US			DIFFER	ENCE.	
Year.										Thoma	diad	
			Average for one Life.	Per c				Average for one Life.		There	aiea	
			rer or o Life	of t Assura				ror Lif	Pl	us.	Mi	nus.
			P. P					¥3				
	Pers.	Thlrs.	Thlrs.	Pers.	Thirs.	Pers.	Thirs.	Thlrs.	Pers.	Thirs.	Pers.	Thlrs.
1829	12	15,100	1,258	0.93	0.63	15.19	27,979	1,842			3.19	12,879
1830	16	34,300		0·9 0	1.01	22.84	43,688	1,913			6.84	9,388
1831	27	50,300	1,863	0.90	0.88	32.38	63,254	1,954			5.38	12,954
1832	53	102,300		1.30	1.33	50.25	94,681	1,884	2.75	7,619		
1833	46	71,032	1,544	0.92	0.80	64.81	117,700	1,816			18.81	46,668
1834	65	108,300		1.16	1.10	77.19	135,909	1,761			12.19	27,609
1835	85	165,400		1.34	1.21	89.34	156,092	1,747		9,308	4.34	••
1836	108	179,200	1,659	1.47	1.44	103.42	178,234	1,723	4.28	966		•••
1837	123	217,417	1,768	1.50	1.28	117.99	202,162	1,713	5.01	15,255	•	••
1838	124	211,900	1,709	1.37	1.40	133 [.] 88	228,054	1,703		• •	9.88	16,154
Total 1829- 3 8 {	659	1,155,249	17,487	11.82	11.68	707·29	1,247,753	18,056	12.34	33,148	60.63	125,652
1839	123	206,400	1,678	1.25	1.26	149.74	254,226	1,699	••		26.74	47,826
1840	136	256,200		1.29	1.48	164.25	276,936	1,686		••	28.25	20,736
1841	158	278,525			1.53	179.02	299,402	1,672			21.02	20,877
1842	191	300,800			1.26	193.93	322,746	1,664	•••		2.93	21,946
1843	201	323,800		1.60	1.60	208.55	345,219	1,655	••		7.55	21,419
1844	197	340,400		1.49	1.60	224.81	370,748	1,649			27.81	30,348
1845	235	381,200		1.69	1.71	$241 \cdot 13$	397,277	1,648			6.13	16,077
1846	224	353,800			1.52	257.03	421,986	1,642			33.03	
1847	289	470,000		1.88	1.93	275.53	450,174	1,634	13.47	19,826	• •	
1848	326	496,500		2 ·08	1.98	290.27	474,505	1,636	35.73	21,995	••	••
 Total ∂	2,080	3 407 625	16.592	15.84	16.17	2184·26	3,613,219	16.585	49.20	41.821	 153·46	247,415
1839–48 \$												
1849	335	566,500		2 ·09		3 02·28	494,798			71,702		
1850	326	556,600	1,707	1.96		318.57	520,490		7.43	36,110	• •	
1851	293	459,000		1.69	1.67	337.52	550,166				44.52	91,166
1852	335	554,900				359.54	584,449				24.54	29,549
1853	392	635,800			2.11	382.07	618,850		9.93	16,950	01.00	41.000
1854	375	608,600			2.04	400.52	649,828			40.01-	25.52	41,228
1855	466	721,450	1,548		2.34	417.83	681,135			40,315	01.70	00.000
1856	406	692,450					715,080	1,633	• •		31.73	
1857 1858	444 517	749,700 881,100					752,017 788,113	1,637 1,639	36·21	92,987	15.28	2·317
Total 1849-58	 3,889	6,426,100	16,515	20.44	21.16	3896·13	6,354,926	16,309	134.46	258,064	141.59	186,890
Total Average)	6,628	10,988,974	50,594	48 ·10	49·01		11,215,898	1			355.68	559,957
for one Year	221	366,299	1,687	1.60	1.63	226.26	373,863	1,698	6.23	11,101	11.86	18,665

Ages.	Number of Persons assured.	Number of Persons entered.	Number of Persons gone in lifetime.	Total of 3 and 4.	Half of the Numbers in Col. 5.	Number exposed to the Risk of Mortality for a whole Year.	Deaths	Rate of Mortality per cent. 1829–58.	Rate of Mortality per cent. 1829–53.
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.
15-25	2,833	1,241	165	1,406	703	2,130	12	0.26	0.46
26-30	14,643	4,625	557	5,182	2,591	12,052	100		0.87
31-35	37,584	7,304	896	8,200	4,100	33,484	290		0.92
36-40	56,680	7,180	873	8,053		52,653	508		1.00
41-45	64,213	5,201	850	6,051		61,188	628		1.04
46-50	61,802	3,715	799	4,514	2,257	59,545	868		1.45
51 - 55	52,195	2,464	523	2,987		50,702	963		1.82
56-60	38,599	1,446	323	1,769		37,715	1,014		2.77
61-65	23,926	241	133	374		23,739	917	3 .86	3.83
66-70	12,145	15	43	58		12,116	734		6.08
71-75	4,944		15	15		4,937	482		9.04
76-80	1,562		6	6	3	1,559	190		11.35
81-85	281					281	65		23.94
86-90	24					24	8	33·33	33.33
Total	371,431	33,432	5,183	38,615	19,306	352,125	6,779		

 TABLE V.—Persons Assured in the Gotha Life Bank, and Deaths

 during 1829-58.

 TABLE VI.—Comparative View of the Annual Rate of Mortality according to different Tables.

	26-30.	31-35.	36-40.	41-45.	46-50.	51-55.	56-60.	61-65.	66–70.	71-75.	76-80.	81-85.
1. Gotha Life Experience (1829-58)	0.83	0.87	0.97	1.03	1.46	1·90	2.69	3.86	6·06	9 [.] 76	12.19	23·13
2. Actuaries' Table (17 English Life Offices, 1762-1840) }	0.81	0.89	0.99	1.13	1.43	1.91	2 ∙65	3 [.] 79	5.55	8·13	11.88	17.22
3. Equitable Experience (1762- 1840, Morgan)	0.78	0.88	1.03	1.18	1.38	1.85	2 [.] 68	3·72	5·48	7 ·89	11.18	17:97
4. Friendly Societies' Expe- rience (1836-40, Neison) }	0.73	0.80	0.89	1.04	1.29	1.70	2.24	3 ∙05	4 ·62	6 [.] 85	8 [.] 84	11.97
5. Ditto ditto (1846-50, Fin- laison)	0.75	0·8 0	0.92	1.13	1.37	1.77	2 [.] 45	3 [.] 12	4.75	6 [.] 70	10.32	15 31
6. New York Mutual Society Exp. (1844-58, Homans)	0.82	0 ∙89	0.93	0.96	1.20	1.22	2.03	3 ·07	4 •85	7 [.] 66	1 3 ·01	18 -96
7. Carlisle Table (1779-87, Hey- sham—Milne)												14.88
8. Hanover (1825-43) Tellkamps						2.20						18·50
9. Saxony (1840-49, Heym)												21.58
10. England, Males (1841, Farr).	0.92	1.10	1.25	1.45	1.65	1.82	2.71	3.92	5.72	8.32	11.94	16.90
11. France, Males (1817-31, De- monferrand)	0 ∙88	0.92	0 [.] 94	1.17	1.43	1.93	2.20	4 ·10	5j60	9 [.] 25	12.79	18 ·62
12. Belgium, Males (1841-45, Quetelet)	1.20	1.28	1.72	2 [.] 16	2•43	2 [.] 47	3 [.] 15	4 [.] 24	5.83	8 [.] 65	12 [.] 86	18.01