Contents

(Continued on next page)

List of CFR Parts Affected

(Codification Guide)

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1966, and specifies how they are affected.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATION:
3722 6855
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1966 6857

5 CFR

213 6859

7 CFR

718 6859
722 6859
815 6860
959 6860
1099 6861
1427 6861
1490 6862
PROPOSED RULES:
52 6871
916 6871
1065 6873

14 CFR

71 (3 documents) 6864
PROPOSED RULES:
71 (2 documents) 6873

15 CFR

384 6864
399 6866

16 CFR

15 (2 documents) 6866

19 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
1 6871

24 CFR

200 6866

28 CFR

0 6867

33 CFR

207 6867

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
3977 (amended by PLO 4000) 6868
4000 6868

47 CFR

1 6868
73 6868
PROPOSED RULES:
73 6874

50 CFR

33 6869

Presidential Documents

Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

Proclamation 3722

NATIONAL SCHOOL SAFETY PATROL WEEK

By the President of the United States of America

A Proclamation

Safety on our streets and highways is one of our Nation's most critical problems. Today, as traffic hazards continue to increase, it is more important than ever that our children be protected as they travel to and from school.

The school safety patrol program, sponsored jointly by our schools, police departments, and motor clubs, has contributed immeasurably to the safety of our youngsters.

Since this program began, over 40 years ago, more than sixteen million children have served as school safety patrol members, safeguarding the lives of their fellow students. During that time the traffic death rate among school children has been reduced by nearly one-half, while the death rate of other age groups has doubled.

To give recognition to the efforts and accomplishments of school safety patrols, the Congress by a joint resolution approved May 5, 1966, has designated the week of May 8 to May 14, 1966, as National School Safety Patrol Week and has requested the President to issue a proclamation calling for its observance.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, LYNDON B. JOHNSON, President of the United States of America, do hereby call upon the people of the United States to observe National School Safety Patrol Week with ceremonies and activities designed to give honor and recognition to those school children who unselfishly devote their time to insure the safety of their fellow students, and to all others whose unstinting cooperation and assistance have made our school safety patrol program an outstanding success.

I urge parents, school officials, community leaders and others interested in the safety and well being of our school children to give their continued support and encouragement to the school safety patrol program.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Seal of the United States of America to be affixed.

DONE at the City of Washington this Fifth day of May in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and sixty-six, and of the [SEAL] Independence of the United States of America the one hundred and ninetieth.

LYNDON B. JOHNSON

By the President:

DEAN RUSK,
Secretary of State.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5148, Filed, May 9, 1966; 10:24 a.m.]

Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1966

Prepared by the President and transmitted to the Senate and the House of Representatives in Congress assembled, February 28, 1966, pursuant to the provisions of the Reorganization Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 203, as amended.[1]

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL

SECTION 1. *Transfers of functions and agencies.* (a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, all functions of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare and of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, hereinafter referred to as the Act (33 U.S.C. 466 et seq.), including all functions of other officers, or of employees or agencies, of that Department under the Act, are hereby transferred to the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) The Federal Water Pollution Control Administration is hereby transferred to the Department of the Interior.

(c) (1) The Water Pollution Control Advisory Board, together with its functions, is hereby transferred to the Department of the Interior.

(2) The functions of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare (including those of his designee) under section 9 of the Act shall be deemed to be hereby transferred to the Secretary of the Interior.

(3) The Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare shall be an additional member of the said Board as provided for by section 9 of the Act and as modified by this reorganization plan.

(d)(1) The Hearing Boards provided for in sections 10(c)(4) and 10(f) of the Act, including any Boards so provided for which may be in existence on the effective date of this reorganization plan, together with their respective functions, are hereby transferred to the Department of the Interior.

(2) The functions of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare under the said sections 10(c)(4) and 10(f) shall be deemed to be hereby transferred to the Secretary of the Interior.

(3) The Secretary of the Interior shall give the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare opportunity to select a member of each Hearing Board appointed pursuant to sections 10(c)(4) and 10(f) of the Act as modified by this reorganization plan.

(e) There are excepted from the transfers effected by subsection (a) of this section (1) the functions of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare and the Assistant Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare under clause (2) of the second sentence of section 1(b) of the Act, and (2) so much of the functions of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare under section 3(b)(2) of the Act as relates to public health aspects.

(f) The functions of the Surgeon General under section 2(k) of the Water Quality Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 905) are transferred to the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare. Within 90 days after this reorganization plan becomes effective, the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare shall present to the President for his approval an interdepartmental agreement providing in detail for the implementation of the consultations provided for by said section 2(k). Such interdepartmental agreement may be modified from time to time by the two Secretaries with the approval of the President.

---

[1] Effective May 10, 1966, under the provisions of section 6 of the Act; published pursuant to section 11 of the Act (63 Stat. 203; 5 U.S.C. 133z).

(g) The functions of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare under sections 2(b), (c), and (g) of the Water Quality Act of 1965 are hereby transferred to the Secretary of the Interior: *Provided*, That the Secretary of the Interior may exercise the authority to provide further periods for the transfer to classified positions in the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration of commissioned officers of the Public Health Service under said section 2(b) only with the concurrence of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.

(h) The functions of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare under the following provisions of law are hereby transferred to the Secretary of the Interior:

(1) Section 702(a) of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 490).

(2) Section 212 of the Appalachian Regional Development Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 16).

(3) Section 106 of the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 554).

SEC. 2. *Assistant Secretary of the Interior.* There shall be in the Department of the Interior one additional Assistant Secretary of the Interior, who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, who shall, except as the Secretary of the Interior may direct otherwise, assist the Secretary in the discharge of the functions transferred to him hereunder, who shall perform such other duties as the Secretary shall from time to time prescribe, and who shall receive compensation at the rate now or hereafter prescribed by law for Assistant Secretaries of the Interior.

SEC. 3. *Performance of transferred functions.* The provisions of sections 2 and 5 of Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1262) shall be applicable to the functions transferred hereunder to the Secretary of the Interior to the same extent as they are applicable to the functions transferred to the Secretary thereunder.

SEC. 4. *Incidental provisions.* (a) So much of the personnel, property, records, and unexpended balances of appropriations, allocations, and other funds, employed, used, held, available, or to be made available in connection with the functions tranferred to the Secretary of the Interior or the Department of the Interior by this reorganization plan as the Director of the Bureau of the Budget shall determine shall be transferred to the Department of the Interior at such time or times as the Director shall direct.

(b) Such further measures and dispositions as the Director of the Bureau of the Budget shall deem to be necessary in order to effectuate the transfers referred to in subsection (a) of this section shall be carried out in such manner as he shall direct and by such agencies as he shall designate.

(c) This reorganization plan shall not impair the transfer rights and benefits of commissioned officers of the Public Health Service provided by section 2 of the Water Quality Act of 1965.

SEC. 5. *Abolition of office.* (a) There is hereby abolished that office of Assistant Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare the incumbent of which is on date of the transmittal of this reorganization plan to the Congress the Assistant Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare designated by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare under the provisions of section 1(b) of the Act.

(b) The Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare shall make such provisions as he shall deem to be necessary respecting the winding up of any outstanding affairs of the Assistant Secretary whose office is abolished by subsection (a) of this section.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5095; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Rules and Regulations

Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL

Chapter I—Civil Service Commission

PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE

Housing and Home Finance Agency and Department of Housing and Urban Development

Section 213.3384 is amended to show that 11 positions are added to Schedule C. Section 213.3344 is also amended to show that the position of President, Federal National Mortgage Association, is now listed under § 213.3384. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, subparagraph (1) and the headnote of paragraph (d) of § 213.3344 are revoked and subparagraphs (10), (11), and (12) are added to paragraph (a); subparagraphs (2), (3), (4), and (5) are added to paragraph (c); subparagraphs (3), (4), and (5) are added to paragraph (d); and subparagraph (2) is added to paragraph (e) of § 213.3384 as set out below.

§ 213.3344 **Housing and Home Finance Agency.**

* * * * * *

(d) [Revoked]

§ 213.3384 **Department of Housing and Urban Development.**

(a) *Office of the Secretary.* * * *

(10) One Executive Assistant to the Secretary.

(11) One Administrative Assistant to the Secretary.

(12) President, Federal National Mortgage Association.

* * * * * *

(c) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Renewal and Housing Assistance.* * * *

(2) One General Deputy, Renewal and Housing Assistance.

(3) One Deputy Assistant Secretary, Renewal Projects Administration.

(4) One General Deputy, Renewal Projects Administration.

(5) One Deputy Assistant Secretary, Housing Assistance Administration.

(d) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Metropolitan Development.* * * *

(3) Director, Land and Facilities Administration.

(4) One General Deputy, Land and Facilities Administration.

(5) One Deputy Assistant Secretary for Metropolitan Development.

(e) *Office of the Assistant Secretary for Demonstrations and Intergovernmental Relations.* * * *

(2) One Deputy Assistant Secretary for Demonstrations and Intergovernmental Relations and Director of Urban Program Coordination.

(R.S. 1753, sec. 2, 22 Stat. 403, as amended; 5 U.S.C. 631, 633; E.O. 10577, 19 F.R. 7521, 3 CFR, 1954–1958 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] MARY V. WENZEL,
Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5088; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 718—DETERMINATION OF ACREAGE AND COMPLIANCE

Crop Disposition Dates; Correction

In F.R. Doc. 66–4099 appearing on page 5812 of the issue for Friday, April 15, 1966, the following corrections are made in § 718.16(b):

1. Under California (1)(iii), the first word enclosed in parenthesis immediately following Kern is changed from "Tehachipi" to read "Tehachapi".

2. Under South Dakota (1)(ii), "Perkings" is changed to read "Perkins".

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 4, 1966.

E. A. JAENKE,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5058; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[Amdt. 1]

PART 722—COTTON

Subpart—Acreage Allotments for 1966 and Succeeding Crops of Upland Cotton

APPLICATIONS FOR TRANSFER AND AMOUNT OF ALLOTMENT TRANSFERABLE

Basis and purpose. This amendment is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.). The following provisions are included in this amendment.

(a) Subparagraphs (1) and (2) of § 722.436(a) are amended to make clear that a transfer by sale or lease and by owner to take effect during 1966, 1967, 1968, and 1969 may be made from an old cotton farm, as defined in § 722.404(b)(13), which has a current allotment. The present language of these subparagraphs authorizes such transfers from a farm having a 1965 allotment, i.e., an old cotton farm in 1966 and does not properly provide for such transfer rights to take effect in 1967, 1968, and 1969. Subparagraph (1) of § 722.436(a) is further clarified to repeat the rule in § 722.437(d) that the farm to which transfer by sale or lease is made shall be a farm which received a 1965 allotment greater than zero.

(b) Subparagraph (3) of § 722.436(a) and the exception clause in the last sentence of § 722.437(d) provide that the owner of a farm having a 1965 allotment greater than zero retains his eligibility to acquire allotment by sale or lease for another farm if the 1965 farm is taken by an agency having the right of eminent domain and such 1965 allotment is pooled for the benefit of such owner under section 378 of the act.

Since this amendment is applicable to the 1966 crop and producers are currently making plans for such crop, it is essential that this amendment be made effective as soon as possible. Accordingly, it is hereby found and determined that compliance with the notice, public procedure, and 30-day effective date requirements of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 238; 5 U.S.C. 1003) is impracticable and contrary to the public interest and this amendment shall be effective upon filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

The regulations for Acreage Allotments for 1966 and Succeeding Crops of Upland Cotton (31 F.R. 5300) are amended as follows:

1. Section 722.436(a) of the regulations is amended to read as follows:

§ 722.436 **Applications for transfer.**

(a) *Persons eligible to file applications for transfers—(1) Sale or lease.* The owner and operator of any old cotton farm, as defined in § 722.404(b)(13), for which an upland cotton allotment is or will be established for the year in which the transfer by sale or lease is to take effect (1966–69) shall be eligible to file an application for sale or lease of all or part of such allotment to any other owner or operator of a farm which received an upland cotton allotment greater than zero for 1965 and which has a current upland cotton allotment for transfer to such farm. If the owner and operator of the farm from which transfer by sale or lease is to be made are different persons, both such persons shall execute the application.

(2) *By owner.* The owner of any old cotton farm, as defined in § 722.404(b)(13), for which an upland cotton allotment is or will be established for the year in which the transfer is to take effect (1966–69) is eligible to file an application to transfer such allotment from the farm to another farm in the same State owned or controlled by such owner.

(3) *Pooled allotments.* Notwithstanding the requirement of subparagraph (1) of this paragraph that the farm to which transfer is made be a farm which received a 1965 upland cotton allotment greater than zero, applications may be filed to transfer allotment by sale or lease to a farm for which a 1965 upland cotton allotment was not established if an allotment is established for such farm during the period 1966–69 from pooled allotment under section 378 of the act derived from a farm which received an upland cotton allotment in 1965 greater than zero, and for which an allotment is or will be established for the year in which the transfer is to take effect.

* * * * *

2. Section 722.437(d) of the regulations is amended to read as follows:

§ 722.437 Amount of allotment transferable.

* * * * *

(d) *Sale and lease transfers—limit on amount of acreage transferred.* The total upland cotton allotment which may be transferred by sale or lease to a farm shall not exceed the acreage obtained by subtracting the allotment (excluding reapportioned acreage) for such farm established for the year the transfer is to take effect from the sum of (1) the 1965 farm allotment before release and reapportionment and (2) 100 acres. No farm shall be eligible for transfer of an allotment by sale or lease unless such farm received an upland cotton allotment greater than zero for 1965 and for the year in which the transfer is to take effect, except that allotment may be transferred to a farm for which an upland cotton allotment was established under provisions of section 378 of the act during the period 1966–69 from pooled allotment if the farm which was acquired by the agency having the power of eminent domain, and which contributed the allotment to the pool, had an allotment greater than zero for 1965. For purposes of determining the amount of allotment eligible for transfer by sale or lease under the first sentence of this paragraph, the 1965 farm allotment on the farm acquired by the agency shall be used, regardless of the allotment actually transferred from the pool.

* * * * *

(Sec. 344a, 375, 79 Stat. 1197, 52 Stat. 66, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1344b, 1375)

Effective date. Date of filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 5, 1966.

E. A. JAENKE,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5076; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

Chapter VIII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Sugar), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—SUGAR REQUIREMENTS AND QUOTAS

[Sugar Reg. 815.7; Amdt. 1]

PART 815—ALLOTMENT OF DIRECT-CONSUMPTION PORTION OF MAINLAND SUGAR QUOTA FOR PUERTO RICO

Calendar Year 1966

Basis and purpose. This amendment is issued under section 205(a) of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended (hereinafter called the "Act") for the purpose of amending Sugar Regulation 815.7 (31 F.R. 74), which established allotments of the direct-consumption portion of the 1966 mainland quota for Puerto Rico.

This amendment of S.R. 815.7 is necessary to substitute final 1965 data on entries of direct-consumption sugar for estimates of such quantities, and to give effect to Sugar Regulation 811, Amdt. 4 (31 F.R. 5681) which established the direct-consumption portion of the 1966 mainland quota for Puerto Rico of 150,000 short tons, raw value, a quantity 3,000 tons greater than the quantity previously established. This order also allots the entire direct-consumption portion of the 1966 quota. Previous 1966 allotments were limited to 90 percent of such quota.

The substitution of final data for estimates of 1965 direct-consumption entries in finding (7) results in the 1961–65 average annual marketings set forth below, which are used herein in determining the allotments:

Allottee	*Average annual marketings 1961–65 (short tons, raw value)*
Central Aguirre Sugar Co	5,804
Central Roig Refining Co	20,623
Central San Francisco	1,091
Puerto Rican American Sugar Refy., Inc	97,531
Western Sugar Refining Co	23,772
Total	148,821

Findings heretofore made by the Secretary in the course of this proceeding (31 F.R. 74) provide that this order shall be revised without further notice or hearing for the purposes indicated above and such findings set forth the procedure for the revision of allotments.

Accordingly, allotments are herein established on the basis of and consistent with such findings.

Effective date. Allotments established in this order for all allottees are larger than the allotments established in S.R. 815.7 (31 F.R. 74). To afford adequate opportunity to plan and to market the additional quantities of sugar in an orderly manner, it is imperative that this amendment becomes effective as soon as possible. Accordingly, it is hereby determined and found that compliance with the 30-day effective date requirement of the Administrative Procedure Act (60 Stat. 237) is impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, consequently, the amendment made herein shall become effective when published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Order. Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Agriculture by section 205(a) of the Act, and in accordance with paragraph (c) of § 815.7 of this chapter, it is hereby ordered that paragraph (a) of § 815.7 be amended to read as follows:

§ 815.7 Allotment of the direct-consumption portion of mainland sugar quota for Puerto Rico for the calendar year 1966.

(a) *Allotments.* The direct-consumption portion of the 1966 mainland sugar quota for Puerto Rico, amounting to 150,000 short tons, raw value, is hereby allotted as follows:

Allottee	*Direct consumption allotment (short tons, raw value)*
Central Aguirre Sugar Co., a trust	6,246
Central Roig Refining Co	20,906
Central San Francisco	1,308
Puerto Rican American Sugar Refy., Inc	97,797
Western Sugar Refining Co	23,713
Liquid sugar reserve for persons other than named above	30
Total	150,000

* * * * *

(Sec. 403, 61 Stat. 932; 7 U.S.C. 1153, secs. 205, 207, 209; 61 Stat. 926, 927, 928; 7 U.S.C. 1115, 1117, 1119)

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective on the date of its publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of May 1966.

E. A. JAENKE,
Acting Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5059; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[959.306 Amdt. 1]

PART 959—ONIONS GROWN IN SOUTH TEXAS

Limitation of Shipments

Findings. (a) Pursuant to Marketing Agreement No. 143 and Order No. 959 (7 CFR Part 959), both as amended, regulating the handling of onions grown in designated counties in South Texas, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the South Texas Onion Committee, established pursuant to said marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the amendment to the limitation of shipments hereinafter set forth will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(b) It is hereby found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, or engage in public rule making procedure, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 1003) in that (1) the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, (2) compliance with this amendment will not require any special preparation on the part of handlers, (3) information regarding the committee's recommendation has been made available to producers and handlers in the production area, and (4) this amendment relieves restrictions on the handling of onions grown in the production area.

Order, as amended. In § 959.306 (31 F.R. 812), the provisions in the opening paragraph preceding "(2)" are amended to read as follows:

During the period beginning March 1, 1966, through June 15, 1966, no handler may (1) except for May 8, 1966, package or load onions on Sundays.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Effective date. Issued May 6, 1966, to become effective upon issuance.

PAUL A. NICHOLSON,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5134; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Chapter X—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Milk), Department of Agriculture

[Milk Order 99]

PART 1099—MILK IN PADUCAH, KY., MARKETING AREA

Order Amending Order

Correction

In F.R. Doc. 66–4758, appearing at page 6536 of the issue for Saturday, April 30, 1966, the following corrections are made:

1. Section 1099.43(d)(6) should read as follows:

§ 1099.43 **Transfers.**

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(6) If the form in which any fluid milk product is transferred to an other order plant is not defined as a fluid milk product under such other order, classification shall be in accordance with the provisions of § 1099.41.

2. The introductory text of § 1099.45 should read as follows:

§ 1099.45 **Allocation of skim milk and butterfat classified.**

After making the computations pursuant to § 1099.44, the market administrator shall determine the classification of producer milk at the pool plant(s) of each handler as follows:

* * * * *

Chapter XIV—Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—LOANS, PURCHASES, AND OTHER OPERATIONS

PART 1427—COTTON

Subpart—Upland Cotton Price Support and Diversion Program Regulations, 1966 and Subsequent Years

Sec.
1427.2101 Purpose.
1427.2102 Issuance of certificates.
1427.2103 Redemption of certificates.
1427.2104 Cash advance.
1427.2105 Marketing of certificates.
1427.2106 Administration.

AUTHORITY: This subpart issued under secs. 4 and 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended, secs. 101, 103(d), 401, 63 Stat. 1051, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 714 b and c; 7 U.S.C. 1441, 1444(d), 1421.

§ 1427.2101 Purpose.

The regulations in this subpart provide for price support and diversion payments earned under the upland cotton diversion and price support payment program regulations for 1966 and subsequent years (Part 722 of Chapter VII of this Title 7) to be made by actual or constructive delivery of negotiable certificates by Commodity Credit Corporation (hereinafter called "CCC") to producers and for the making of cash advances by CCC to producers who wish CCC's assistance in the marketing of certificates earned by them. The regulations in this subpart also provide the methods by which CCC will redeem certificates and market certificates for which its assistance in marketing has been requested.

§ 1427.2102 Issuance of certificates.

The county committee will issue to each producer who is entitled to a payment under the upland cotton diversion and price support payment program regulations for 1966 and subsequent years a cotton payment-in-kind certificate (Form CCC–861) (hereinafter called "certificate") for the amount of such payment, except where the producer requests CCC's assistance in marketing his certificate at the time of applying for payment, subject to the following terms and conditions:

(a) *Terms.* Any certificate issued shall be subject to the provisions embodied in it and the provisions of this subpart.

(b) *Payee.* Each certificate will be issued only to the producer who is entitled to the payment or to his assignee if he has assigned his right to payment in accordance with the upland cotton diversion and price support program regulations for 1966 and subsequent years.

(c) *Face value.* The face value of each certificate, which will be shown on the certificate, will be the amount determined to be payable to the payee.

(d) *Date of issuance.* The date of issuance shown on each certificate shall be the date the certificate is issued. Substitute certificates issued to replace original certificates never received by the payee shall bear a current date of issuance. Substitute certificates issued to replace other original certificates shall bear the same date of issuance as the certificate being replaced.

(e) *Signature and countersignature.* To be valid, each certificate must be signed and countersigned by authorized representatives of CCC.

(f) *Transfer.* The certificate may be transferred to any person or firm, in which case the certificate must be endorsed by the named payee and by the holder who presents it to CCC.

(g) *Expiration date.* The certificate shall expire three years after date of issuance and thereafter will not be redeemable by CCC.

§ 1427.2103 Redemption of certificates.

Certificates shall be redeemable in cotton upon terms and conditions established by the Executive Vice President, CCC, by submitting an application to the New Orleans ASCS Commodity Office, 120 Marais Street, New Orleans, La. If the full amount of the face value of a certificate tendered by the payee or a subsequent holder for redemption in cotton is not fully redeemed in cotton, a balance certificate shall be issued to the certificate holder for the unused amount. If the amount is $3.00 or less, no balance certificate will is issued unless requested. The date of the balance certificate shall be the date of issuance of the original certificate. Balance certificates may be tendered to CCC for redemption in cotton in the same manner as the original certificates. Balance certificates issued to the payee shown on the original certificate may be surrendered by the payee to CCC for marketing.

§ 1427.2104 Cash advance.

A cash advance shall be made by the county committee on behalf of CCC to any payee who requests CCC's assistance in marketing a certificate earned by him under this subpart. Only the payee shall have this option. If such request is made at the time the payee applies for payment, constructive delivery of the certificate to the payee will be made by making the cash advance and crediting a certificate pool with the value of the certificate earned by him. A payee who does not request CCC's assistance in marketing his certificate at such time may subsequently request CCC's assistance in marketing the certificate by delivering it to the county committee for marketing. Such certificate shall also be credited to a certificate pool. A cash advance to a payee shall be made in the form of a CCC sight draft for the face value of the certificate.

§ 1427.2105 Marketing of certificates.

All certificates for which payees have requested CCC's assistance in marketing and received cash advances shall be pooled by CCC and shall lose their identity as individual certificates. The

amount of the certificate pool shall be the total of the value of the certificates of which CCC has made constructive delivery to the payees and the value of the certificates presented to the county offices by the payees for marketing by CCC. Such amount shall be equal to the amount of cash advances. CCC shall market the rights represented by pooled certificates upon terms and conditions established by the Executive Vice President, CCC, at such times and in such manner as CCC determines will best effectuate the purposes of the program.

§ 1427.2106 Administration.

This subpart will be administered by the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service under the general direction and supervision of the Executive Vice President, CCC, and in the field will be carried out by Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation State committees and Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation county committees (herein called State and county committees), and the New Orleans ASCS Commodity Office. State and county offices, State and county committees, the New Orleans ASCS Commodity Office, and employees thereof do not have authority to modify or waive any the provisions of this subpart or any amendments or supplements to this subpart.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 4, 1966.

E. A. JAENKE,
Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5060; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

SUBCHAPTER C—EXPORT PROGRAMS

PART 1490—PAYMENTS ON EXPORTS OF CERTAIN KINDS OF TOBACCO

Subpart—Tobacco Export Program

Sec.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this subpart are issued under secs. 4, 5, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 714(b).

§ 1490.1 General.

The regulations in this part state the terms and conditions under which Commodity Credit Corporation, an agency and instrumentality of the United States within the Department of Agriculture, will make a cash payment to an exporter on exportation of eligible tobacco to an eligible country. The tobacco must have been exported by the exporter pursuant to a contract of sale entered into by him with a foreign buyer on or after the date of issuance of the regulations in this part, or the tobacco must have been purchased by the exporter after the date of issuance of the regulations in this part and exported to himself or an affiliate abroad. Export payments will be made on submission of appropriate evidence of compliance with the provisions of this subpart.

§ 1490.2 Definitions.

(a) The term "CCC" means Commodity Credit Corporation.

(b) The term "ASCS" means Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

(c) The term "eligible country" means any destination outside the United States other than any country or area for which an export license is required under regulations issued by the Bureau of International Commerce, U.S. Department of Commerce, unless a license for shipment or transshipment thereto has been obtained from such bureau.

(d)(1) The terms "export" and "exportation" mean, except as hereinafter provided, a shipment from the United States destined to an eligible country with the intent that the tobacco shall become a part of the mass of goods of the eligible country. Tobacco so shipped shall be considered to have been exported on the date which appears on the applicable on-board vessel export bill of lading or other document authorized by this subpart to be furnished in lieu of such bill of lading, or if shipment from the continental United States is by truck or rail, the date the shipment clears U.S. Customs. If any of the tobacco is lost, destroyed, or damaged after loading on board an export ship, export shall be considered to have been as of the date of the on-board ship ocean bill of lading or other document authorized by this subpart to be furnished in lieu of such bill of lading, or as of the latest date appearing on the loading tally sheet or similar documents if the loss, destruction, or damage occurs subsequent to loading aboard ship but prior to issuance of on-board ship ocean bill of lading or such other document, except that, if the "lost" or "damaged" tobacco remains in the United States, it shall not be considered as exported if CCC determines that the condition of the "lost" or "damaged" tobacco is such that it can be disposed of in the domestic market in a manner which will adversely affect CCC's price support or export programs.

(2) Notwithstanding any of the provisions of this part, a shipment of eligible tobacco pursuant to a sale to a U.S. Government Agency shall not qualify as an export or exportation. The term "U.S. Government Agency" means any corporation wholly owned by the Federal Government, and any department, bureau, administration or other units of the Federal Government as, for example, the Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force, the Agency for International Development, the Army and Air Force Exchange Service, and the Panama Canal Company. Sales to foreign buyers, including foreign governments, though financed with funds made available by a U.S. agency such as the Agency for International Development or the Export-Import Bank, are not sales to a U.S. Government Agency unless the tobacco is to be transferred by such buyers to a U.S. Government Agency.

(e) The term "weight certificate" means a certificate executed by a weighman licensed by a State or other governmental authority or by other persons acceptable to CCC which shows the gross weight of tobacco and the container in which it is exported or the net weight of the exported tobacco.

(f) The term "United States" means the 50 States of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the territories and possessions of the United States.

§ 1490.3 Rate of payment.

The rate of export payment shall be five dollars ($5) per hundredweight of eligible tobacco.

§ 1490.4 Eligible tobacco.

Tobacco eligible for export payment under this subpart shall be unmanufactured Flue-cured tobacco of the 1960, 1961, and 1962 crops which (a) was produced in the United States, (b) was not purchased from the Flue-Cured Tobacco Cooperative Stabilization Corporation under terms and conditions providing for or authorizing a refund of a part of the purchase price upon proof of exportation.

§ 1490.5 Eligible exporter.

An exporter, to be eligible to participate in this program must be an individual, corporation, partnership, association, or other business entity, who is engaged in the business of buying or selling tobacco for export, for this purpose maintains a bona fide business office in the continental United States, and therein has a person, principal, or resident agent upon whom service of process may be had.

§ 1490.6 Sales and purchase contracts.

The eligible tobacco must have been exported by the exporter pursuant to a contract of sale entered into by him with a foreign buyer on or after the date of issuance of the regulations in this part or the eligible tobacco must have been purchased by the exporter after the date of issuance of the regulations in this part and exported to himself or an affiliate in an eligible country.

§ 1490.7 Application for tobacco export payment and evidence of export.

(a) The exporter shall submit an original and one copy of an application for tobacco export payment on the form prescribed by CCC to the Fiscal Division, ASCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250. Supplies of the applications form may be obtained from that office. In the application, the exporter shall state and certify, among other things, the date of the export sales contract pursuant to which the eligible tobacco is exported or, if it is not exported pursuant to such a contract,

the date the exporter purchased such eligible tobacco, the quantity and type of tobacco exported, the crop year in which it was produced and that it was not purchased from CCC loan stocks subject to provisions for refund upon proof of exportation. Each application for tobacco export payment shall be supported by the following documentary evidence, as applicable:

(1) In the case of exportation by water, a nonnegotiable copy, certified as true and correct by the exporter, of an onboard ocean bill of lading showing the number of containers of tobacco, the gross weight of the containers with the tobacco therein, the date and place of loading on board vessel, name of vessel, the name of the exporter and the consignee and destination;

(2) In the case of exportation by rail or truck, a copy of the bill of lading under which the tobacco was shipped, together with (i) an authenticated landing certificate issued by an official of the Government of the country to which the tobacco was exported, or (ii) a copy of Shipper's Export Declaration authenticated by the appropriate U.S. Customs official., The bill of lading and supporting export form (landing certificate or Shipper's Export Declaration) must apply to the same shipment of tobacco, and such forms, or properly authenticated attachments, must show the number of containers of tobacco, the gross weight of containers with the tobacco therein, the date and place of entry into the country of destination, and the name and address of both the exporter and the person to whom it was shipped;

(3) A weight certificate applicable to the eligible tobacco exported which is dated within 60 days of the date of export;

(4) A copy of the exporter's invoice to the foreign buyer or to the consignee showing the net weight of the eligible tobacco exported. If the weight certificate shows only the gross weight of the tobacco, the exporter shall execute the following certification on the copy of the invoice submitted:

I certify that the net weights on this invoice were determined from the gross weights shown on the weight certificate applicable to the tobacco covered by this invoice in accordance with usual trade practices.

(5) Where the exporter establishes that for good cause he is unable to supply the specified documentary evidence of export, CCC may accept such other evidence of export as will establish to its satisfaction that the exporter has qualified for an export payment under this part.

(b) Payment shall be made to the eligible exporter whose name appears as shipper or consignor on the bill of lading or other evidence of export required by paragraph (a) of this section. If the shipper or consignor named in the export bill of lading or other evidence of export is other than the exporter filing the application for tobacco export payment, a waiver will be required from the shipper or consignor named in such bill of lading or other evidence of export of any interest in the claim for payment in favor of the exporter filing such application.

§ 1490.8 Reentry or transshipment.

If any quantity of tobacco with respect to which an export payment has been made under this subpart is reentered into the United States in unmanufactured or processed form, or while in the course of shipment is diverted to an ineligible country, whether or not such reentry or diversion is caused by the exporter, or if any quantity of tobacco with respect to which an export payment has been made under this subpart is transshipped or caused to be transshipped by the exporter to any country or destination not an eligible country, the exporter shall promptly refund to CCC any export payment made with respect to the quantity of tobacco so reentered or transshipped. The exporter shall not be required to make such refund if he establishes to the satisfaction of CCC that (a) the reentry was not due to his fault or negligence and promptly after he received notice of reentry he exported the reentered tobacco, or an equivalent quantity of eligible tobacco with respect to which no export payment has been made, to an eligible country or (b) the tobacco reentered was lost, damaged, or destroyed and its physical condition is such that its reentry will not adversely affect CCC's price support or export programs.

§ 1490.9 Assignments and setoffs.

(a) No assignment shall be made by the exporter of any export payment due hereunder, except that the exporter may assign the payments due the exporter under the application form provided for in § 1490.7 to any trust company, Federal Lending Agency, or other financing institution and, subject to the approval of the Executive Vice President of CCC, assignment may be made to any other person: *Provided,* That such assignment shall be recognized only if and when the assignee thereof files written notice of the assignment on Form CCC–251, "Notice of Assignment," together with a signed copy of the instrument of assignment, in accordance with he instructions on Form CCC–251: *And provided further,* That any such assignment shall cover all amounts payable and not already paid under the application and shall not be made to more than one person and shall not be subject to further assignment, except that any such assignment may be made to one party as agent or trustee for two or more persons. The "Instrument of Assignment" may be executed on Form CCC–252, or the assignee may use his own form of assignment. Forms may be obtained from the Fiscal Division, ASCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250.

(b) If the exporter is indebted to CCC, the amount of such indebtedness may be set off against payments due the exporter under the application form provided for in § 1490.7. In the case of an assignment of any export payment and notwithstanding such assignment, CCC may set off (1) any amount due CCC with respect to the application for such payment, and (2) any other amounts due CCC if CCC notifies the assignee of such amounts to be set off at the time acknowledgement was made of the receipt of notice of such assignment. Setoffs as provided herein shall not deprive the exporter of any right he might otherwise have to contest the justness of the indebtedness involved in the setoff action either by administrative appeal or by legal action.

§ 1490.10 Records and accounts.

Each exporter shall maintain accurate records concerning transactions under this program, including contracts of purchase and sale, storage and other records which will establish that the tobacco upon which export payment is made to the exporter is eligible for such payment under this program. Such records shall be available during regular business hours for inspection and audit by authorized employees of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, and shall be preserved for 3 years after date of export.

§ 1490.11 Officials not to benefit.

No member or delegate to Congress, or resident Commissioner, shall be admitted to any benefit that may arise from any provision of this program, but this program shall not be construed to extend to a payment made to a corporation for its general benefit.

§ 1490.12 Amendment and termination.

These regulations may be amended or terminated by filing of such amendment or termination with the FEDERAL REGISTER for publication. Any such amendment or termination shall not be applicable to exports made prior to the time and date such amendment or termination becomes effective.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained herein have been approved by the Bureau of the Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

Effective date. Date of filing with the Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 6, 1966.

E. A. JAENKE,
Acting Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

NOTICE TO EXPORTERS

The Department of Commerce, Bureau of International Commerce, pursuant to regulations under the Export Control Act of 1949, prohibits the exportation or reexportation by anyone of any commodities under this program to Cuba, the Soviet Bloc or Communist-controlled areas of the Far East including Communist China, North Korea, and the Communist-controlled area of Vietnam, except under validated license issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of International Commerce.

For all exportations, one of the destination control statements specified in Commerce Department Regulations (Comprehensive Export Schedule § 379.10(c)) is required to be placed on all copies of the shipper's export declaration, all copies of the bill of lading, and all copies of the commercial invoices. For additional information as to which des-

tination control statement to use, the exporter should communicate with the Bureau of International Commerce or one of the field offices of the Department of Commerce.

Exporters should consult the applicable Commerce Department regulations for more detailed information if desired and for any changes that may be made therein.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5133; Filed, May 6, 1966; 4:39 p.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter I—Federal Aviation Agency

[Airspace Docket No. 66-EA-1]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On Page 2552 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for February 9, 1966, the Federal Aviation Agency published proposed regulations which would alter the Manchester, N.H., control zone and Concord, N.H., transition area.

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective June 23, 1966, except as follows:

1. Under Item 1 of the proposed regulations delete the term, "Grenier Field, Manchester, N.H." and insert in lieu thereof the term, "Grenier Field/Manchester Municipal, Manchester, N.H."

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on April 15, 1966.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,
Deputy Director, Eastern Region.

1. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete in the description of the Manchester, N.H. control zone the phrase, "to 6 miles south of the RBN" and "within 2 miles each side of the Manchester VOR 142° and 322° radials extending from the 5 mile radius zone to 6 miles SE of the VOR," and insert in lieu thereof, "to 8 miles south of the RBN" and "within 2 miles each side of the Manchester VOR 141° and 321° radials extending from the 5 mile radius zone to 8 miles SE of the VOR."

2. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete the description of the 700-foot Concord, N.H. transition area and insert in lieu thereof the following:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at 43°22'00" N., 71°23'00" W. to 42°47'00" N., 71°09'00" W. to 42°38'00" N., 71°20'00" W. to 42°40'00" N., 71°35'00" W. to 42°43'00" N., 71°36'00" W. to 42°54'00" N., 71°45'00" W. to 42°57'00" N., 71°40'00" W. to 43°17'00" N., 71°46'00" W. to point of beginning.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5044; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-EA-16]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering amending §§ 71.171 and 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would alter the Portsmouth, N.H., control zone (31 F.R. 2127) and Portsmouth, N.H., transition area (31 F.R. 2242).

With the recent phasing out by the Air Force of KC-97 type aircraft from the Pease AFB, Portsmouth, N.H., the northwest and southeast control zone extensions may be shortened and the northwest extension of the 700-foot floor transition area may be eliminated.

Since this amendment is minor in nature and is basically less restrictive, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0001 e.s.t., June 23, 1966, as follows:

1. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete in the description of the Portsmouth, N.H., control zone the phrase, "within 2 miles each side of the extended centerline of Runway 34 extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 9 miles NW of the lift off end of the runway; within 2 miles each side of the extended centerline of Runway 16 extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles SE of the lift off end of the runway;" and insert in lieu thereof, "within 2 miles each side of the centerline of Runway 16 extended from the 5-mile radius zone to 6 miles SE of the end of the runway."

2. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete in the description of the Portsmouth, N.H. (Pease AFB), transition area, the phrase, "within 2 miles each side of the extended centerline of Runway 34, extending from the 11-mile radius area to 13 miles NW of the lift off end of the runway."

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on April 14, 1966.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,
Deputy Director, Eastern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5045; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 66-WE-27]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Reno, Nev. (Reno Municipal Airport), control zone. This amendment will reduce the dimensions of the present Reno, Nev. (Reno Municipal Airport), control zone due to the revocation of the Reno, Nev. (Stead AFB), control zone.

Since this amendment is minor in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective July 21, 1966, as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.171 (31 F.R. 2129) the Reno, Nev. (Reno Municipal Airport), control zone is amended to read:

RENO, NEV. (RENO MUNCIPAL AIRPORT)

Within a 5-mile radius of the Reno Municipal Airport (latitude 39°30'02" N., longitude 119°46'07" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the Reno ILS localizer N course, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the Sparks, Nev., RBN, and within 2 miles each side of the Reno localizer S course, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 11 miles S of the airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended; 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif., on May 2, 1966.

LEE E. WARREN,
Acting Director, Western Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5046; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 15—COMMERCE AND FOREIGN TRADE

Chapter III—Bureau of International Commerce, Department of Commerce

SUBCHAPTER B—EXPORT REGULATIONS

[10th Gen. Rev. of Export Regs., Amdt. 16]

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

Parts 384 and 399 of Title 15 of the Code of Federal Regulations are amended as set forth below.

(Sec. 3, 63 Stat. 7; 50 U.S.C. App. 2023; E.O. 10945, 26 F.R. 4487, 3 CFR 1959-1963 Comp.; E.O. 11038, 27 F.R. 7003, 3 CFR 1959-1963 Comp.)

Effective date: March 18, 1966.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director, Office of Export Control.

PART 384—GENERAL ORDERS EXPORTS TO SOUTHERN RHODESIA

Section 384.8, Exports to Southern Rhodesia, is amended to read as set forth below:

Purpose and Effect: Current Export Bulletin No. 926, dated December 28, 1965 (31 F.R. 4783, Mar. 22, 1966), imposed restrictions on the export and reexport of petroleum and petroleum products to Southern Rhodesia. This Bulletin extends these restrictions to include other shipments of importance to the economy of Southern Rhodesia. However, these extended restrictions will not affect the movement of U.S. origin commodities deemed to be necessary for essential humanitarian or educational purposes. The details of these extended restrictions are described below.

The action with respect to Southern Rhodesia is taken pursuant to foreign policy objectives and international responsibilities of the United States, and in further support of the United Nations Security Council Resolution of November 20, 1965.

§ 384.8 Exports to Southern Rhodesia.

(a) *Additional requirements for validated licenses.* The requirements for a validated export license are increased as a result of the revisions in the general licenses described below.

(1) *General License G–DEST.* Effective 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., March 18, 1966, only the commodities listed below may be exported to Southern Rhodesia under the provisions of General License G–DEST, except as provided by subparagraph (3) of this paragraph. (See § 371.7 of the Comprehensive Export Schedule for provisions of General License G–DEST.) These commodities are deemed to be necessary for essential humanitarian and educational purposes.

Export control commodity No.	*Commodity description*
001–112	Live animals, beverages, and food, except feedingstuff (other than unmilled cereals) for animals.
411–431	Animal and vegetable oils and fats.
51203	Synthetic organic medicinal chemicals.
54110–54900	Medicinal and pharmaceutical products.
82102	Hospital beds, hospital benches, etc.
84111–85100	Clothing, accessories, and footwear.
86111, 86120	Ophthalmic glass, lens blanks, and focus lenses, unmounted; and spectacles and goggles.
86171	Medical, dental, surgical, ophthalmic and veterinary instruments and apparatus (other than electro-medical), except apparatus wholly made of polytetrafluoroethylene.
86248–86300	Developed photographic and motion picture film.
89120	Phonograph records.
89213–89242, 89294.	Printed matter, n.e.c.
89961–89962	Hearing aids, orthopedic appliances and articles, artificial parts of body, and fracture appliances.

(2) *General License GLV.* Effective 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., March 18, 1966, no shipments may be made to Southern Rhodesia under the provisions of General License GLV, except as provided by paragraph (b)(1) of this section. (See § 371.10 of the Comprehensive Export Schedule for provisions of General License GLV.)

(3) *Saving clause exception.* Shipments to Southern Rhodesia removed from General License G–DEST or General License GLV as a result of changes set forth in subparagraphs (1) or (2) of this paragraph and which were on dock for lading, on lighter, laden aboard an exporting carrier, or intransit to a port of exist prior to 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., March 18, 1966, may be exported under the previous General License G–DEST or GLV provisions up to and including April 18, 1966. Any such shipment not laden aboard the exporting carrier on or before April 18, 1966 requires a validated license for export.

(4) *General License GATS.* Effective 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., March 18, 1966, U.S. registered aircraft may no longer depart from the U.S. for a temporary sojourn in Southern Rhodesia under the provisions of General License GATS. Exporters should note that the rescission of General License GATS does not affect the departure of aircraft operating under an Air Carrier Operating Certificate, Commercial Operating Certificate or Air Taxi Operating Certificate issued by the Federal Aviation Agency, as set forth in § 371.5 of the Comprehensive Export Schedule.

(5) *Other general licenses.* Exports to Southern Rhodesia may continue to be made under the provisions of any general license, except as described in subparagraphs (1), (2), and (4) of this paragraph.

(b) *Consideration of license applications.* Under the terms of the restrictive policy on exports and reexports of commodities important to the economy of Southern Rhodesia, applications covering the following commodities will generally not be approved by the Office of Export Control.

Export control commodity Nos.	*Commodity description*
23110–23140	Crude rubber, including synthetic and reclaimed rubber.
331–33296	Petroleum and petroleum products.
51209–51500	Chemicals, organic and inorganic, except medicinal chemicals, pesticides and agricultural chemicals, and radioisotopes.
57112–57140	Explosives and pyrotechnic products.
58110–58199	Plastic materials, and other chemical products, n.e.c., except insecticides, fungicides, disinfectants and similar products.
62101–62989	Rubber manufactures, n.e.c.
67120–67930	Iron and steel.
68050–68950	Nonferrous metals.
71110–71999	Nonelectric machinery, except agricultural equipment.
72210–72999	Electrical machinery, apparatus and appliances, except medical and dental X-ray tubes and valves.
73201–73300	Automotive vehicles and other vehicles, and parts and accessories.
73410–73492	Aircraft and parts.

(1) Consideration will be given to approval of applications for licenses to export the commodities listed in this paragraph (b) only when the export is for:

(i) The essential needs of the Rhodesian Railways, the Central African Airways, or the Central African Power Corp. (which are operated jointly by Southern Rhodesia and Zambia; and in the case of Central African Airways, also by Malawi);

(ii) The essential needs of recognized charitable institutions, diplomatic missions, or their accredited representatives; or

(iii) Purposes justified by other special circumstances.

(2) Applications for licenses to export other commodities to Southern Rhodesia will be denied unless it is found that the shipment would not constitute a significant contribution to the Southern Rhodesian economy.

(c) *Documentation in support of a license application.* A Form FC–842, Single Transaction Statement by Consignee and Purchaser; FC–843, Multiple Transactions Statement by Consignee and Purchaser; a foreign import certificate; or any similar documentation submitted to the Office of Export Control in support of an application for a license to export any commodity listed in paragraph (b) of this section to any destination will not be acceptable if it shows that reexport may be, or will be, made to Southern Rhodesia.

(d) *Outstanding authorizations to export or reexport commodities to Southern Rhodesia.* No commodity listed in paragraph (b) of this section may be exported to Southern Rhodesia under an outstanding Project License, an outstanding Periodic Requirements License, or pursuant to an outstanding Form FC–43, FC–143, or FC–243. These commodities may be exported to Southern Rhodesia only under the individual validated licensing procedure. Any other commodities may continue to be exported to Southern Rhodesia in accordance with the provisions of the outstanding Project License, Periodic Requirements License, or pursuant to an outstanding Form FC–43, FC–143, or FC–243. In addition, any commodity whether or not listed in paragraph (b) of this section, may continue to be exported under an outstanding individual validated license.

(e) *Revision of destination control statement on shipping documents.* Section 379.10(c) of the Comprehensive Export Schedule requires the exporter to place a specified destination control statement on the Shipper's Export Declaration, the Bill of Lading and the commercial invoice for all validated license shipments and most general license shipments. In completing the specified destination control statement shown in § 379.10(c)(2)(ii) or (iii) of the Comprehensive Export Schedule for shipments to any destination except Southern Rhodesia, of any commodity which may not be exported to Southern Rhodesia under General License G–DEST (see para (a)(1) of this section), the exporter is now required to add Southern Rhodesia to the list of excepted or prohibited destinations.

(f) *Reexportation requests.* If a request is submitted to the Office of Export Control for authorization to reexport to Southern Rhodesia a commodity previously exported from the United States, the request shall be supported by the additional information and documentation required under the provisions of § 372.12(c)(2)(ii) of this chapter.

(g) *Amendments of export licenses.* Field offices will not take action on requests to extend the validity period of, or otherwise amend, validated licenses covering exporations to Southern Rhodesia. These requests must be submitted to the U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Export Control, Washington, D.C., 20230.

PART 399—COMMODITY CONTROL LIST AND RELATED MATTERS

The following notes are added to § 399.1 *Commodity Control List:*

SUBMISSION DATES—APPLICATIONS FOR LICENSES TO EXPORT CATTLE HIDES, CALF AND KIP SKINS, AND BOVINE LEATHERS

Purpose and effect: Paragraph 5(a) of Current Export Bulletin No. 930, dated March 11, 1966 (31 F.R. 6829), did not differentiate in the filing dates for historical and nonhistorical exporters of cattle hides, calf and kip skins, and bovine leathers. Today's Current Export Bulletin sets forth the filing dates for each of these classes of exporters.

An exporter who does not qualify as an historical exporter of cattle hides, calf and kip skins, or bovine leathers may submit his applications for export license immediately, but not later than April 18, 1966. These applications will be considered for licensing as soon as possible after April 18, 1966. Nevertheless, a nonhistorical exporter may request consideration of his applications before April 18, if an emergency exists. Such a license application shall include the details of the emergency.

As specified in Current Export Bulletin No. 930, an historical exporter of cattle hides, calf and kip skins, or bovine leathers may submit his applications for export licenses between the period of April 18, and May 31, 1966. Nevertheless, if an emergency makes it necessary for an historical exporter to obtain an export license prior to April 18, the exporter may submit his application for license prior to that date. Such a license application shall include the details of the emergency. If the license is approved, the quantity will be charged against the exporter's share of the export quota.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5064; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:49 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission

PART 15—ADMINISTRATIVE OPINIONS AND RULINGS

Additional Discount to Mail Order Seller of Paperback Books

§ 15.41 Additional discount to mail order seller of paperback books.

(a) A seller of paperback books planning to obtain additional discounts from publishers for selling through a mail order catalogue has been advised of the possible law violations involved in the plan and that the Federal Trade Commission is unable to give him an unqualified opinion on its legality.

(b) The Commission pointed out that any plan which results in discriminations in price or allowances between different resellers immediately raises problems of possible violation of the Robinson-Patman Act, and that on the basis of the information supplied, there appear to be two possible ways of viewing this plan.

(c) Firstly, the Commission said, "If the plan involves simply the granting by the publishers of a lower price to you than to their other customers, its legality from the publishers' point of view would be governed by section 2(a) of the Robinson-Patman Act which prohibits discriminations in price which may adversely affect competition. In spite of the fact that your sales would not be made through the same channels of distribution as those of other purchasers of paperback books, it seems likely that you would nevertheless compete with these purchasers to some extent, and that this competition might be lessened by the proposed price discriminations, in violation of section 2(a). Although price discriminations otherwise unlawful under section 2(a) may be justified by cost savings on the part of the seller, the information which you have submitted does not indicate the presence of such "cost justification" in your plan. If the discounts granted to you by sellers should violate section 2(a), your knowing inducement or receipt of such discriminations would also violate section 2(f) of the Act.

(d) Continuing, the Commission said the problem would be somewhat different if the discounts granted to the requesting party were considered as promotional or advertising allowances for his listing of the publishers' books in his catalog.

(e) Granting or receiving such allowances would be unlawful "if they were not made available on proportionally equal terms to competing customers," the Commission advised. "Thus, if your suppliers of paperback books granted proportional advertising or promotional allowances or facilities, such as cooperative advertising allowances, display racks, and the like, to their other purchasers, their granting to you of an allowance for the listing of their books in your catalog would not be unlawful."

(38 Stat. 717, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 41–58; 49 Stat. 1526; 15 U.S.C. 13, as amended)

Issued: May 9, 1966.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66-5084; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

PART 15—ADMINISTRATIVE OPINIONS AND RULINGS

Advertising Service Disclosing Where Manufacturers' Products Are Sold

§ 15.42 Advertising service disclosing where manufacturers' products are sold.

(a) The Federal Trade Commission made public its advice, that a plan to furnish manufacturers a new form of advertising service disclosing where their products are sold would not be illegal.

(b) Under this proposed plan, a manufacturer will publish an advertisement in a national magazine, and will furnish to the proposed corporation represented by the requesting party a complete listing of all retailers selling the advertised product, classified according to the major shopping areas throughout the country. The advertisement will contain a descriptive symbol for the proposed service, with a reference to the page in the same publication containing a telephone number list, also classified according to the major shopping areas throughout the country. Each answering service included in this list will be equipped by the proposed corporation with the manufacturer's list of his retailers in that trade area, which will be given to any consumer who reads the advertisement and calls the number provided to learn where he can purchase the product. The cost of the entire service will be borne by the manufacturer.

(c) The FTC's advisory opinion said, "Since it appears that any potential customer calling will be furnished with the names and addresses of all dealers handling a particular product in a trade area, it is our opinion that the proposed plan described would not subject participating manufacturers or your client to a charge of violating the law. We should caution, however, that great care must be exercised in defining the boundaries of the various trade areas into which the country is to be divided so as not to discriminate against customers located on the fringes but outside the area served by the answering service, since they may be in actual competition with those who are within the area and thus receive the benefit of the service."

(38 Stat. 717, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 41–58; 49 Stat. 1526; 15 U.S.C. 13, as amended)

Issued: May 9, 1966.

By direction of the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66-5085; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 24—HOUSING AND HOUSING CREDIT

Chapter II—Federal Housing Administration, Department of Housing and Urban Development

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL

PART 200—INTRODUCTION

Subpart D—Delegations of Basic Authority and Functions

In Part 200 in the Table of Contents a new § 200.83a is added as follows:

Sec.
200.83a Assistant Commissioner for Property Disposition; Director, Community Disposition Staff; Field Director (Community Disposition) and Liaison Officer (Community Disposition).

Part 200 is amended by adding a new § 200.83a to read as follows:

§ 200.83a Assistant Commissioner for Property Disposition; Director, Community Disposition Staff; Field Director (Community Disposition) and Liaison Officer (Community Disposition).

(a) To the position of Assistant Commissioner for Property Disposition and, under his general supervision, to the position of Director, Community Disposition Staff, there is hereby delegated the authority to execute the functions, powers and duties delegated to the Assistant Secretary for Mortgage Credit and Federal Housing Commissioner under delegation of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development effective June 5, 1966 (31 F.R. 6839).

(b) To the positions of Field Director (Community Disposition) and Liaison Officer (Community Disposition), there are delegated the following authorities with respect to the functions referred to in paragraph (a) of this section:

(1) To execute any deed, contract to purchase (installment contract of purchase), offer, acceptance, or other form of contract of sale, or other instrument, in connection with the disposition of the Government's interest in property at such communities, or lease of such property.

(2) To make the finding concerning the availability of financing on reasonable terms from sources other than the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under section 62 of the Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2362), in connection with the disposition of the Government's interest in such property.

(3) To endorse any checks or drafts in payment of insurance losses on which the United States of America, acting by and through the Housing and Home Finance Administrator or the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, or the successors or assigns of either of them, is a payee (joint or otherwise) in connection with the disposition of the Government's interest in property at such communities or lease of such property.

(c) Each of the officials named in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section is authorized to execute any contract for advertising under Rev. Stat. § 3828 (44 U.S.C. 324) in connection with the disposition of property within the respective authority hereunder.

(Sec. 2, 48 Stat. 1246, as amended; Sec. 211, 52 Stat. 23, as amended; Sec. 607, 55 Stat. 61, as amended; Sec. 712, 62 Stat. 1281, as amended; Sec. 907, 65 Stat. 301, as amended; Sec. 807, 69 Stat. 651, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1703, 1715b, 1742, 1747k, 1748f, 1750f)

Issued at Washington, D.C., May 3, 1966.

PHILIP N. BROWNSTEIN,
Federal Housing Commissioner.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5089; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 28—JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

Chapter I—Department of Justice

[Order 362-66]

PART 0—ORGANIZATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Reassigning From Civil Rights Division to Criminal Division the Responsibility for Performance of Certain Functions Relating to Federal Prisoners and to Juveniles

Under and by virtue of the authority vested in me by section 161 of the Revised Statutes (5 U.S.C. 22) and section 2 of Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1950, it is hereby ordered as follows:

§ 0.50 [Amended]

1. Section 0.50 of Part 0 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations (relating to the general functions of the Civil Right Division) is amended by revoking paragraphs (g), (h), and (i) thereof.

§ 0.52 [Revoked]

2. Section 0.52 of Part 0 is revoked.

3. Paragraph (j) of § 0.55 of Part 0 is amended to read as follows:

§ 0.55 General functions.

* * * * *

(j) Habeas Corpus proceedings of all types, except that any such proceeding may be conducted, handled, or supervised by another division by agreement between the head of the division concerned and the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Criminal Division.

* * * * *

4. Section 0.55 of Part 0 is further amended by adding at the end thereof two new paragraphs as follows:

(p) Resolving questions that arise as to Federal prisoners held in custody by Federal officers or in Federal prisons, commitments of mentally defective defendants and juvenile delinquents, validity and construction of sentences, probation, and parole.

(q) Supervision of matters arising under the Escape and Rescue Act (18 U.S.C. 751, 752), the Fugitive Felon Act (18 U.S.C. 1072, 1073), and the Obstruction of Justice Statute (18 U.S.C. 1503), except as to obstructions which occur in connection with cases within the jurisdiction of the Internal Security Division.

5. Subpart K of Part 0 is amended by inserting immediately after § 0.56 a new § 0.56a as follows:

§ 0.56a Delegation respecting authorization to institute criminal prosecution against a juvenile.

The Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Criminal Division is authorized to exercise the power and authority vested in the Attorney General by Section 5032 of Title 18 of the United States Code, to direct that criminal prosecution be instituted against a juvenile alleged to have committed one or more acts in violation of a law of the United States not punishable by death or life imprisonment.

The amendments made by this order shall be effective as of May 8, 1966.

(R.S. 161; 5 U.S.C. 22; sec. 2, Reorg. Plan No. 2 of 1950; 3 CFR, 1949-53 Comp.; 64 Stat. 1261)

Dated: May 6, 1966.

NICHOLAS DEB. KATZENBACH,
Attorney General.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5128; Filed, May 6, 1966; 4:36 p.m.]

Title 33—NAVIGATION AND NAVIGABLE WATERS

Chapter II—Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army

PART 207—NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

Ohio and Mississippi Rivers

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 7 of the River and Harbor Act of August 8, 1917 (40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1), § 207.300 is hereby amended, modifying paragraph (b) by adding a sentence at the end of the paragraph and revising paragraph (aa), changing the caption and deleting a portion of the first sentence of the paragraph, effective 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, as follows:

§ 207.300 Ohio River, Mississippi River above Cairo, Ill.; and their tributaries; use, administration, and navigation.

* * * * *

(b) *Precedence at locks.* The vessel arriving first at a lock shall be first to lock through; but precedence shall be given to vessels belonging to the United States and to commercial vessels in the order named. Passenger boats shall have precedence over tows and like craft. Arrival posts or markers may be established ashore above or below the locks. Vessels arriving at or opposite such posts or markers will be considered as having arrived at the locks within the meaning of this paragraph. The lockmaster may prescribe such departure from the normal order of precedence stated above as, in his judgment, is warranted under prevailing circumstances to achieve best lock utilization.

* * * * *

(aa) *Restricted areas at locks and dams.* All waters immediately above and below each dam, as posted by the respective District Engineers, are hereby designated as restricted areas. No vessel or other floating craft shall enter any such restricted area at any time. The limits of the restricted areas at each dam will be determined by the responsible District

Engineer and marked by signs and flashing red lights installed in conspicuous and appropriate places.

(Regs., Apr. 20, 1966, 1707-32; Ohio and Mississippi Rivers, Ill.-ENGCW-ON; sec. 7, 40 Stat. 266; 33 U.S.C. 1)

J. C. LAMBERT,
Major General, U.S. Army,
The Adjutant General.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5043; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter II—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 4000]

[Sacramento 078910]

CALIFORNIA

Amendment of Public Land Order No. 3977

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U.S.C. 141), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952 (17 F.R. 4831), it is ordered as follows:

Public Land Order No. 3977 of April 5, 1966, partially revoking Petroleum Reserves No. 2 and No. 18, appearing in 70 FEDERAL REGISTER, April 12, 1966, at pages 5562-3, is hereby amended to delete paragraph 2.

HARRY R. ANDERSON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 6, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5147; Filed, May 9, 1966; 10:01 a.m.]

Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

[FCC 66-402]

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Petitions for Suspension of Tariff Schedules

Order. At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 4th day of May 1966;

The Commission has under consideration § 1.773 of the rules which govern petitions for suspension of tariff schedules.

These rules presently permit such petitions to be filed extremely close to the effective date of the tariff schedule in question. This oftentimes imposes an undue and unnecessary burden upon the Commission in its consideration of such petitions. Moreover, at times petitions to suspend improperly include prayers that such petitions also be considered as formal complaints which are governed by different procedures under other provisions of our rules (See § 1.721, et seq.). In addition, the present rules do not specifically require such petitions to indicate in what way the objectionable tariff schedule is considered unlawful and petitions that do not contain this essential ingredient are deficient. Therefore, amendments to § 1.773 of the rules, which will (a) clarify the showing required in such petitions; (b) make it clear that formal complaints shall be filed separately from petitions to suspend; and (c) require service of petitions and replies upon the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, would be in the public interest.

Authority for the amendments adopted herein is contained in sections 4 (i) and (j), and 204 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

The amendments adopted herein are procedural and interpretative in nature, and hence the notice and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act are inapplicable.

Accordingly, it is ordered, Effective May 13, 1966, that the rules of practice and procedure are amended as set forth below.

(Secs. 4, 204, 48 Stat. 1066, 1071, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154, 204)

Released: May 5, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

Section 1.773 is amended to read as follows:

§ 1.773 Petitions for suspension of tariff schedules.

(a) *Content.* A petition for suspension of a new tariff schedule or any provision thereof shall indicate the schedule affected by its Federal Communications Commission number and give specific reference to the items against which protest is made, together with a statement indicating in what respects the protested tariff schedule is considered unlawful. No petition shall include a prayer that it also be considered a formal complaint. Any such formal complaint shall be filed as a separate pleading as provided in § 1.721.

(b) *When filed.* A petition for suspension shall be filed with the Commission and served upon the publishing carrier and the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau at least 14 days before the effective date of the tariff schedule. In case of emergency and within the time limits herein provided, a telegraphic request for suspension may be sent to the Commission setting forth succinctly the substance of the matters required by paragraph (a) of this section. A copy of any such telegraphic request shall be sent simultaneously to the publishing carrier and the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau and forthwith confirmed by petition filed and served in accordance with this section.

[1] Commissioners Loevinger and Wadsworth absent.

(c) *Reply.* A publishing carrier may reply to a petition for suspension, but any such reply shall be filed with the Commission and served simultaneously upon petitioner and the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau within 3 days after service of the petition for suspension.

(d) *Copies; service.* An original and 14 copies of each petition or reply must be filed with the Commission, and served in accordance with paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5080; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

[Docket No. 16187; FCC 66-412]

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

Table of Assignments; Las Vegas, Nev., etc.

Report and order. In the matter of amendment of § 73.606, rules and regulations, *Table of Assignments* (Las Vegas, Boulder City, Goldfield, Nev., and Cedar City, Utah); Docket No. 16187, RM-713.

1. The Commission has before it for consideration its Notice of Proposed Rule Making, FCC 65-789, issued in this proceeding on September 13, 1965 (30 F.R. 11880), and the comments filed in response thereto. The Notice invited comments on a proposal advanced by Southern Nevada Radio & Television Co. (Southern Nevada), licensee of Station KORK-TV, on Channel 2 in Las Vegas, Nev., to make changes in the TV Table of Assignments as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Delete	Add
Las Vegas, Nev.	2-	3
Boulder City, Nev.	4+	5+
Goldfield, Nev.	5-	2-
Cedar City, Utah	5	4

There are two competing applications for Channel 4+ at Boulder City and an application for a high power translator on Channel 5 at Cedar City. These will have to be amended in the event the proposal is adopted. No application is pending for Channel 5- in Goldfield.

2. Southern Nevada operates KORK-TV from a combined studio and transmitter site near Las Vegas with a maximum effective radiated power of 100 kilowatts and an antenna height of 272 feet above average terrain. The stated purpose of the channel changes is to permit KORK-TV to operate from a newly developed television microwave repeater station location on Potosi Mountain which would afford a much greater antenna height than would be possible in Las Vegas. This location would result in a short spacing to Channel 2 in Los Angeles and hence cannot be used by KORK-TV on its present assignment. Petitioner urges that operating at the proposed site KORK-TV could increase its service to the public to such an extent that it could bring a first Grade A service or better to an area of 9,142 square miles

and a first Grade B or better service to an area of 23,919 square miles. It submits that the proposed changes all conform to the minimum required spacings in the rules with the exception of Channel 2 at Reno, which would be 2 miles short of the required 190 miles to Goldfield, Nev. It points out, however, that the same shortage exists presently with respect to Channel 5, which is assigned to both Reno and Goldfield and that this shortage would be eliminated.[1] As a result of the elimination of Channel 5 at Goldfield, Southern Nevada states that applicants for the educational reservation on Channel 5 at Reno will have greater flexibility in selecting a site for the station. Southern Nevada further urges that, due to the greater coverage at the proposed site on Channel 3, many areas which presently receive no television service ("white areas") will be able to receive such service at distances as far as 200 miles from Las Vegas, a result it argues would implement the Commission's first priority in television allocations. Finally, petitioner requests that it be ordered to show cause why its authorization should not be modified to specify operation on Channel 3 instead of Channel 2.

3. Las Vegas Television, Inc. (Las Vegas), licensee of Station KLAS–TV, Channel 8, Las Vegas, filed the only opposition to the petitioner's request. Las Vegas states that the present assignment situation in Las Vegas presents "the well-balanced ideal" and that the proposal would upset this balance in the competitive situation without any appreciable increase in service to the public. Since Channels 4 (presently assigned to Boulder City about 30 miles southeast of Las Vegas) and 13 cannot be used at Potosi Mountain due to the co-channel stations at Los Angeles, these stations would become "cripples in the fierce competitive fight for the advertising dollar" in Las Vegas. As for the increased coverage obtainable from Potosi Mountain, Las Vegas states that the area which is added is "sparsely settled desert." Finally, Las Vegas contends that there is a danger to the public welfare in the proposal in that KORK–TV is owned by a party who controls other broadcast stations as well as newspaper and other media and the proposal would provide him with greater economic and political influence in the State of Nevada.

4. In response to the Las Vegas opposition, Southern Nevada submits that the party which presumably would suffer from the competitive imbalance (KSHO–TV, Channel 13) in Las Vegas did not file any opposition to the proposal and that Las Vegas could utilize Potosi Mountain for its station since it was developed in cooperation with that company. Further, Southern Nevada points out that Las Vegas itself belittles the danger of competitive imbalance since it argues that the additional area to be served by KORK–TV is a sparsely settled desert area. However, petitioner urges that the fact that this area is sparsely settled does not mean that it is not significant either in terms of the first priority or in bringing a first service to the people involved. With respect to the argument advanced by Las Vegas based upon the media ownership of the principal of KORK–TV, Southern Nevada argues that they are without legal significance, whereas the matter of "white area" and section 307(b) considerations are of decisional importance. Even conceding that this contention is valid, Southern Nevada submits that it is untimely and that the question of multiple ownership should be raised when an application is filed requesting a modification of its facilities. In any event, it contends that this is not appropriately considered in a rule making proceeding.

5. After careful consideration of all the comments filed in this proceeding, we are of the view that the proposal advanced by petitioner would serve the public interest and should be adopted. The assignment of Channel 3 in lieu of Channel 2 to Las Vegas would permit use of a very advantageous natural transmitter location with its attendant great potential for increased television coverage. While no figures have been given on the number of people who would be able to obtain a first television service, there is no dispute about the large area which would be covered by such a signal. This would conform to a basic principle in all broadcast allocations and would make for a fair and equitable distribution of available facilities as provided for in section 307(b) of the Communications Act. The opponent of the proposal basically raises two questions: One is that the proposal would seriously impair the competitive equality of the stations in the area and secondly that it would violate the spirit of the multiple ownership rules in view of the holdings of the principal of the proponent's station. On balance, we are of the view that the public interest would benefit from the proposal even if there is some immediate disadvantage to a competitor. With respect to the multiple ownership contention, we note that the principal owner of Southern Nevada has other extensive radio, television, and newspaper interests in several States. But we take into account that the action taken herein merely results in increased facilities for an existing station within the limits permitted by the rules. Further, we have not been shown that there are detriments in this respect of any significant nature, and certainly none which might result of such a nature as to outweigh the benefits to the public interest from improvement of service.

6. Authority for the adoption of the amendments contained herein is contained in sections 4(i), 303, 307(b), and 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

7. In view of the foregoing: *It is ordered*, That effective June 13, 1966, § 73.606 of the Commission's rules, the Table of Television Assignments, is amended, to read, insofar as the communities named are concerned, as follows:

City	*Channel No.*
Boulder City, Nev.	5+
Goldfield, Nev.	2—
Las Vegas, Nev.	3, 8—, *10+, 13—, 21
Cedar City, Utah	4, *16

8. *It is further ordered*, That the outstanding license of the Southern Nevada Radio and Television Company for operation of Station KORK–TV is modified to specify operation on Channel 3 in lieu of Channel 2— subject to the following conditions:

(a) The licensee shall inform the Commission in writing by May 27, 1966, of its acceptance of this modification.

(b) The licensee shall submit to the Commission by May 27, 1966, all the technical information normally required for the issuance of a construction permit for operation on Channel 3, including any changes in antenna and transmission line.

(c) The licensee may continue to operate on Channel 2— until upon its request, the Commission authorizes interim operation on Channel 3, following which the licensee shall submit (within 30 days) the measurement data normally required of an applicant for a TV broadcast station license.

(d) No construction on Channel 3 is to commence until the Mexican Government has approved the proposed assignment changes within 250 miles of the Mexico-U.S. border.

9. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 4, 303, 307, 48 Stat. 1066, 1082, 1083, as amended, sec. 316, 66 Stat. 717; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 307, 316)

Adopted: May 4, 1966.

Released: May 5, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[2]

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66-5081; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 33—SPORT FISHING

Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, Ill.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[1] Section 73.611(4) permits an assignment in a community where the separation is less than the minimum provided sites are available from which the required separations can be met and from which the required signal may be placed over the principal community.

[2] Commissioners Loevinger and Wadsworth absent.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

ILLINOIS

CHAUTAUQUA NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing on the Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, Ill., is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. These open areas comprising 3,700 acres are delineated on maps available at the refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1006 West Lake Street, Minneapolis, Minn., 55408. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations subject to the following special conditions.

(1) The open season for sport fishing on the refuge extends from 4 a.m. to 9 p.m., local time, each day during the periods: From January 1, 1966, through March 14, 1966, in designated waters of Lake Chautauqua; from January 1, 1966, through September 30, 1966, in the open area of Liverpool Lake; from March 15, 1966, through September 30, 1966, in all waters of Lake Chautauqua; and from October 1, 1966, through December 31, 1966, in designated waters of Lake Chautauqua.

(2) The use of boats, including boats powered by motors not to exceed six (6) horsepower, is permitted in the waters of Lake Chautauqua. The use of boats and motors without horsepower restriction is permitted in the waters of Liverpool Lake and the refuge borrow ditch adjacent to the main dike outside of Lake Chautauqua. Illinois boat registration and Safety Act regulations apply.

(3) The use of seines or other devices for taking minnows or other bait is prohibited.

(4) The use of live boxes is prohibited, except that owners of cottages on Lake Chautauqua may utilize them.

(5) Boats, equipment and other fishing gear may be hauled or taken across dikes only at pull-overs designated by appropriate posting.

(6) No person shall enter upon, cross over, or fish from any dike, water control structure or shoreline within the refuge except as follows: Sport fishing shall be permitted from that part of the main dike that extends for approximately 700 feet easterly from the inlet gate to the main shoreline at Boatyard No. 3, and outward from the shoreline a distance of 660 feet at each of the established boatyards on Chautauqua Lake; these areas are delineated by appropriate posting.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Part 33, and are effective through December 31, 1966.

RICHARD E. TOLTMAN,
Refuge Manager, Chautauqua National Wildlife Refuge, Havana, Ill.

MAY 3, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5049; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs

[19 CFR Part 1]

CUSTOMS PORTS OF ENTRY

Proposed Designation of Oklahoma City, Okla.

MAY 3, 1966.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003) that under the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of August 1, 1914, 38 Stat. 623 (19 U.S.C. 2), which was delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury by the President by Executive Order No. 10289, September 17, 1951 (3 CFR Ch. II), and pursuant to authorization given to me by Treasury Department Order No. 190, Rev. 4 (30 F.R. 15769), it is proposed to designate Oklahoma City, Okla., as a customs port of entry in the district of Houston, Tex.

Data, views, or arguments with respect to the proposed designation of the above-described customs port of entry may be addressed to the Commissioner of Customs, Washington, D.C., 20226. To insure consideration of such communications they must be received in the Bureau not later than 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. No hearing will be held.

[SEAL] JAMES POMEROY HENDRICK,
Acting Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5072; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 52]

CANNED GREEN BEANS AND WAX BEANS

Standards for Grades; Termination of Rule Making Proceedings

Pursuant to the authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (Secs. 205, 60 Stat. 1090 as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1624) a notice of proposed rule making was issued by the Deputy Administrator, Marketing Services, to amend the U.S. Standards for Grades of Canned Green and Canned Wax Beans. That notice appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER (30 F.R. 9776) of August 5, 1965.

It was proposed to lower slightly the recommended drained weights in whole-style canned green and canned wax beans.

Interested persons were invited to submit to the Department not later than October 5, 1965, written data, views, or arguments in connection with the proposed amendment. On the basis of the views received from interested parties, it has been determined that the proposed amendment would not serve the best interests of the canning industry or of consumers and that the amendment is not warranted at this time.

It is found and determined, therefore, that the proposed amendment to the grade standards, as contained in the aforesaid notice should not be effectuated at this time and that the proceeding begun in this matter on August 5, 1965, is hereby terminated, effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Accordingly, the present standards in effect since July 23, 1961, will remain unchanged.

Dated: May 5, 1966.

S. R. SMITH,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5061; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[7 CFR Part 916]

[Docket No. AO-303-A1]

NECTARINES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

Decision and Referendum Order With Respect to Proposed Amendment of Marketing Agreement and Order

Pursuant to the rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at Fresno, Calif., on February 11, 1966, after notice thereof published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (31 F.R. 295), on proposed amendment of the marketing agreement and Order No. 916 (7 CFR Part 916), regulating the handling of nectarines grown in California, to be made effective pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing and Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674).

On the basis of the evidence adduced at the hearing and the record thereof, the Administrator, Consumer and Marketing Service, on April 5, 1966, filed with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, his recommended decision in this proceeding. The notice of the filing of such recommended decision affording opportunity to file written exceptions thereto, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (F.R. Doc. 66-3842: 31 F.R. 5635). No exception was filed.

The material issues, findings and conclusions, and the general findings, of the recommended decision set forth in the FEDERAL REGISTER (F.R. Doc. 66-3842; 31 F.R. 5635) are hereby approved and adopted as the material issues, findings and conclusions, and the general findings of this decision as if set forth in full herein.

Amendment of the marketing agreement and order. Annexed hereto and made a part hereof are two documents entitled, respectively, "Marketing Agreement, as Amended, Regulating the Handling of Nectarines Grown in California" and "Order Amending the Order Regulating the Handling of Nectarines Grown in California," which have been decided upon as the appropriate and detailed means of effecting the foregoing conclusions. These documents shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the aforesaid rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders have been met.

Referendum order. Pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), it is hereby directed that a referendum be conducted among the producers who, during the period March 1, 1965, through February 28, 1966 (which period is hereby determined to be a representative period for the purpose of such referendum), were engaged, in the State of California in the production of nectarines for market to ascertain whether such producers favor the issuance of the said annexed order amending Order No. 916, as amended (7 CFR Part 916), regulating the handling of nectarines grown in California.

W. B. Blackburn and G. P. Muck, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, are hereby designated agents of the Secretary of Agriculture to conduct said referendum.

The procedure applicable to the referendum shall be the "Procedure for the Conduct of Referenda in Connection with Marketing Orders for Fruits, Vegetables, and Nuts Pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as Amended" (30 F.R. 15414).

The ballots used in such referendum shall contain a summary describing the terms and conditions of the proposed amendment of the order.

Copies of the aforesaid annexed order and of the aforesaid referendum procedure may be examined in the Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250.

Ballots to be cast in the referendum, and other necessary forms and instructions, may be obtained from any referendum agent or any appointee.

It is hereby ordered, That all of this decision and referendum order, except the annexed amended marketing agreement, be published in the FEDERAL REG-

ISTER. The regulatory provisions of the said marketing agreement are identical with those contained in the annexed order which will be published with this decision.

Dated: May 4, 1966.

GEORGE L. MEHREN,
Assistant Secretary.

Order[1] *Amending Order Regulating the Handling of Nectarines Grown in California*

§ 916.0 Findings and determinations.

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations made in connection with the issuance of the order; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) *Findings upon the basis of the hearing record.* Pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure effective thereunder (7 CFR Part 900), a public hearing was held at Fresno, Calif., February 11, 1966, upon proposed amendment of the marketing agreement and to Order No. 916 (7 CFR Part 916), regulating the handling of nectarines grown in California. Upon the basis of the evidence adduced at such hearing and the record thereof, it is found that:

(1) The said order, as hereby amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(2) The said order, as hereby amended, regulates the handling of nectarines grown in the production area in the same manner as, and is applicable only to persons in the respective classes of commercial and industrial activity specified in, the marketing agreement and order upon which hearings have been held;

(3) The said order, as hereby amended, is limited in its application to the smallest regional production area that is practicable consistently with carrying out the declared policy of the act; and the issuance of several orders applicable to subdivisions of the production area would not effectively carry out the declared policy of the act;

(4) There are no differences in the production and marketing of nectarines grown in the production area which make necessary different terms and provisions applicable to different parts of such area; and

(5) All handling of nectarines grown in the production area is in the current of interstate or foreign commerce or directly burdens, obstructs, or affects such commerce.

It is, therefore, ordered, That, on and after the effective date hereof, all handling of nectarines grown in the production area shall be in conformity to, and in compliance with, the terms and conditions of the said order as hereby amended as follows:

1. The provisions of § 916.12 are amended to read as follows:

§ 916.12 District.

"District" means the applicable one of the following described subdivisions of the production area or such other subdivision as may be prescribed pursuant to § 916.31:

(a) "District 1" shall include the counties of Madera, Fresno, and Kings and that portion of Tulare County north of the 4th Standard Parallel south of the Mount Diablo Base Line of the General Land Office.

(b) "District 2" shall include that portion of Tulare County not included in District 1.

(c) "District 3" shall include all of the production area lying south of the northern boundaries of the counties of San Luis Obispo, Kern, and San Bernardino.

(d) "District 4" shall include the counties of Merced, Stanislaus, and the balance of the production area.

2. The provisions of § 916.20 are amended to read as follows:

§ 916.20 Establishment and membership.

There is hereby established a Nectarine Administrative Committee consisting of eight members, each of whom shall have an alternate who shall have the same qualifications as the member for whom he is an alternate. The members and their alternates shall be growers or employees of growers. Five of the members and their respective alternates shall be producers of nectarines in District 1. One member and his alternate shall be producers of nectarines in District 2; one of the members and his alternate shall be producers of nectarines in District 3; and one member and his alternate shall be producers of nectarines in District 4.

§ 916.22 [Amended]

3. The last sentence in paragraph (b) (1) and the last sentence in paragraph (b) (2) are deleted from § 916.22.[1]

§ 916.27 [Amended]

4. The last sentence of § 916.27 is deleted and the following substituted therefor: "In the event both a member of the committee and his alternate are unable to attend a committee meeting, the member or the committee members present may designate any other alternate to serve in such member's place and stead provided such action is necessary to secure a quorum."

5. Paragraph (a) of § 916.32 is revised to read as follows:

§ 916.32 Procedure.

(a) Six members of the committee, or alternates acting for members, shall constitute a quorum and any action of the committee shall require the concurring vote of the majority of those present: *Provided,* That actions of the committee with respect to expenses and assessments, or recommendations for regulations pursuant to §§ 916.50 to 916.55, shall require at least six concurring votes.

* * * * * *

6. The provisions of § 916.33 are amended to read as follows:

§ 916.33 Expenses and compensation.

The members of the committee, and alternates when acting as members, shall serve without compensation but shall be reimbursed for expenses necessarily incurred by them in the performance of their duties under this part: *Provided,* That the committee at its discretion may request the attendance of one or more alternates at any or all meetings notwithstanding the expected or actual presence of the respective members and may pay expenses as aforesaid.

7. The provisions of § 916.45 are amended to read as follows:

§ 916.45 Marketing research and development.

The committee, with the approval of the Secretary, may establish or provide for the establishment of marketing research and development projects designed to assist, improve, or promote the marketing, distribution, and consumption of nectarines. Such projects may provide for any form of marketing promotion including paid advertising. The expense of such projects shall be paid by funds collected pursuant to § 916.41.

§ 916.64 [Amended]

8. The provisions of § 916.64 are amended as follows:

a. Paragraph (e) is redesignated as paragraph (f).

b. Paragraph (d) is deleted and the following substituted therefor:

(d) The committee shall consider all petitions from growers submitted to it for termination of this part provided such petitions are received by the committee prior to October 1 of the then current fiscal period. Upon recommendation of the committee received not later than December 1 of the then current fiscal period, the Secretary shall conduct a referendum among the growers prior to February 15 of such fiscal period to ascertain whether continuance of this part is favored by producers.

(e) The Secretary shall conduct a referendum within the period beginning December 1, 1968, and ending February 15, 1969, to ascertain whether continuance of this part is favored by the growers. The Secretary shall conduct such a referendum within the same period of every fourth fiscal period thereafter.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5063; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[1] This order shall not become effective unless and until the requirements of § 900.14 of the rules of practice and procedure governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and orders have been met.

[1] These sentences, which were suspended on Jan. 15, 1959 (24 F.R. 356) and do not appear in 7 CFR Part 916, read as follows:

"(b) (1) * * * Such procedure shall include the subdivision of multiple member districts into election districts designed to provide equitable distribution of representation.

"(b) (2) * * * Each such grower, including employees of such grower, shall be entitled to cast but one vote for one nominee for member and one vote for one nominee for alternate member in the district or election district in which he produces nectarines."

[7 CFR Part 1065]

[Docket No. AO 86–A18]

MILK IN NEBRASKA-WESTERN IOWA MARKETING AREA

Notice of Hearing on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and Order

Pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held at the Lamplighter Motor Inn, 2808 South 72d Street, Omaha, Nebr., beginning at 9:30 a.m., local time, on May 16, 1966, with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order, regulating the handling of milk in the Nebraska-Western Iowa marketing area.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to the economic and marketing conditions which relate to the proposed amendments, hereinafter set forth, and any appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order.

The proposed amendments, set forth below, have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Proposed by Nebraska-Iowa Non-Stock Cooperative Milk Association: Proposal No. 1: Define Grade B milk as follows: "Grade B Milk" means milk other than Grade A milk.

Proposal No. 2: Provide that Grade A milk and Grade B milk shall be separately classified.

Proposal No. 3: Provide for the pricing of Grade B, Class I milk, by adding the following to paragraph (a) of § 1065.51: *Grade B, Class I milk.* The price for Grade A, Class I milk, less 10 cents.

Proposal No. 4: Amend § 1065.70 and § 1065.71 to provide for the computation of (1) a separate pool obligation for Grade A milk and Grade B milk for each handler, and (2) separate uniform prices for Grade A milk and Grade B milk.

Proposal No. 5: Amend § 1065.81 to provide for the establishment and maintenance of separate producer-settlement funds for Grade A and Grade B milk.

Proposed by the Dairy Division, Consumer and Marketing Service: Proposal No. 6:

Make such changes as may be necessary to make the entire marketing agreement and the order conform with any amendments thereto that may result from this hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and the order may be procured from the Market Administrator, James V. O'Meara, 6901 Dodge Street, Room 106, Omaha, Nebr., 68132, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112–A, Administration Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250, or may be there inspected.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 5, 1966.

CLARENCE H. GIRARD,
Deputy Administrator,
Regulatory Programs.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5078; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 66–WE–32]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREAS

Proposed Designation and Alteration

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering amendments to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would alter the controlled airspace in the Hillsboro, Oreg., area and proposes the following airspace action:

1. Designate the Hillsboro, Oreg., control zone as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Hillsboro Airport (latitude 45°-32'15" N., longitude 122°56'30" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the Newberg, Oreg., VORTAC 007° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8 miles S of the airport. This control zone will be effective during the time established in advance by a Notice to Airmen and continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

2. Designate the Hillsboro, Oreg., transition area as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the Hillsboro Airport (latitude 45°32'15" N., longitude 122°56'30" W.), and within 2 miles each side of the Newberg, Oreg., VORTAC 007° and 187° radials, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 1 mile S of the VORTAC; that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 15 miles SE and 10 miles NW of the Newberg VORTAC 024° and 204° radials, extending from 12 miles NE to 27 miles SW of the VORTAC.

3. Amend the description of the 700 foot portion of the Portland, Oreg., transition area by deleting "and within 2 miles each side of the Newberg, Oreg., VORTAC 007° radial, extending from the 23-mile radius area to the VORTAC."

The proposed control zone and transition area are required to provide protection for aircraft executing prescribed instrument flight procedures at Hillsboro Airport.

Certain minor revisions to prescribed instrument procedures may accompany the actions proposed herein, but operational complexities would not be increased nor would aircraft performance characteristics or landing minima be adversely affected.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Western Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Agency, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, Post Office Box 90007, Airport Station, Los Angeles, Calif., 90009. All communications received within 30 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A Public Docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Agency, 5651 West Manchester Avenue, Los Angeles, Calif., 90045.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Los Angeles, Calif. on May 2, 1966.

LEE E. WARREN,
Acting Director, Western Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5047; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 66–SW–18]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Agency is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which would alter the controlled airspace in the Lake Charles, La., terminal area.

1. The Lake Charles, La., control zone is presently designated as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Lake Charles Municipal Airport (latitude 30°07'30" N., longitude 93°13'20" W.); within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles VOR 259° radial extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 1 mile W of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles ILS localizer NW course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM; and within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles ILS localizer SE course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 6 miles SE of the airport.

2. The Lake Charles, La., transition area is presently designated as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles ILS localizer northwest course extending from the OM to 8 miles NW, within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles VOR 259° radial extending from the VOR to 1 mile W,

and within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles ILS localizer SE course extending from the airport to 8 miles SE; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at latitude 30°37'00'' N., longitude 92°50'00'' W., to latitude 30°24'00'' N., longitude 92°26'00'' W., to latitude 29°45'30'' N., longitude 93°00'-00'' W., thence W via latitude 29°45'30'' N., to and counterclockwise along the arc of a 25-mile radius circle centered at latitude 29°54'40'' N., longitude 94°02'-40'' W., to longitude 93°57'00'' W., thence to point of beginning.

The Federal Aviation Agency proposes to alter the Lake Charles, La., control zone and transition area as follows:

1. Redesignate the Lake Charles, La., control zone as that airspace within a 5-mile radius of Lake Charles Municipal Airport (latitude 30°07'30'' N., longitude 93°13'20'' W.), within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles VORTAC 259° (252° magnetic) radial extending from the VORTAC to 13 miles W of the VORTAC, within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles ILS localizer NW course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to the OM, and within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles ILS localizer SE course extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 7.5 miles SE of the airport.

2. Redesignate the Lake Charles, La., transition area as that airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 4-mile radius of East Lake Charles Airport (latitude 30°13'25'' N., longitude 93°08'55'' W.), within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles VORTAC 339° (332° magnetic) radial extending from the 4-mile radius area to the VORTAC, and within 2 miles each side of the Lake Charles ILS localizer NW course extending from the OM to 8 miles NW of the OM; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface bounded by a line beginning at latitude 30°37'00'' N., longitude 92°50'00'' W., to latitude 30°24'00'' N., longitude 92°26'00'' W., to latitude 29°45'30'' N., longitude 93°00'00'' W., thence W via latitude 29°45'30'' N., to and counterclockwise along the arc of a 25-mile radius circle centered at latitude 29°54'40'' N., longitude 94°02'40'' W., to longitude 93°57'00'' W., thence to point of beginning.

This proposed alteration of the Lake Charles, La., control zone and transition area would conform to present criteria and would provide airspace protection for aircraft executing instrument approach and departure procedures at East Lake Charles Airport.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Agency, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, Tex., 76101. All communications received within 45 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Agency officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Air Traffic Division. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Agency, Fort Worth, Tex. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Air Traffic Division.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348).

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on May 2, 1966.

A. L. COULTER,
Acting Director, Southwest Region.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5070; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 16622; FCC 66-408]

TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS FOR TV CHANNELS

Commercial UHF Assignment; Martinsville, Va.

In the matter of amendment of § 73.606(b) of the Commission rules and regulations (Table of Assignments for TV Channels) to provide a commercial UHF assignment for Martinsville, Va.; Docket No. 16622, RM-897.

1. Martinsville Broadcasting Co., Inc., licensee of WMVA and WMVA-FM, Martinsville, Va., has filed a petition for rule making requesting that a commercial UHF television channel be assigned to Martinsville, Va. Specifically, the petitioner requests that Channel 16 be assigned. However, the petition which was filed on January 7, 1966, based its request upon the then existing Table of Assignments for UHF television channels. The Table was revised extensively in the Fifth Report and Memorandum Opinion and Order in Docket No. 14229, adopted February 9, 1966, and Channel 16 is not available for assignment at Martinsville.

2. In support of its request, the petitioner states that it has always exercised every effort to keep abreast of the broadcast service needs of its community and that a significant and needed nighttime broadcast service could be provided by a UHF television broadcast station in Martinsville. The petitioner further states that such television reception as provided by other TV stations, is precluded from being effective within substantial areas in and around Martinsville because of terrain conditions.

3. Martinsville is an independent city located in Henry County some 25 miles west of Danville and 40 miles south of Roanoke, Va. According to the 1960 U.S. census its population was 18,798. It is within the theoretical Grade A contours of WDBJ-TV, Channel 7, and WSLS-TV, Channel 10, Roanoke, Va., and WLVA-TV, Channel 13, Lynchburg, Va. It is also within the Grade B contour of WFMY-TV, Channel 2, Greensboro, N.C., and WGHP-TV, Channel 8, High Point, N.C., and within the Grade A contour of WSJS-TV, Channel 12, Winston-Salem, N.C. WBTM-TV, holds a construction permit for Channel 24 in Danville, Va. This station operated from January to December 1954, but has since remained silent. On December 27, 1965, they filed application for increased power and indicated that the operation would be resumed. There are no CATV systems operating or proposed in Martinsville.

4. Martinsville is in an area where available additional assignments are considered to be scarce. Our computer shows that three channels are available from which to choose an assignment, Channels 65, 67, and 69. The choice of either one would eliminate the other two channels in Martinsville. Using the computer program employed for the creation of the overall assignment plan, we have selected Channel 65 as the most efficient assignment for Martinsville.

5. In the light of the foregoing, the petition of Martinsville Broadcasting Co., Inc. appears to have sufficient merit to warrant the institution of rule making proceedings. In adopting the revised UHF Table in the Fifth Report and Order in Docket No. 14229, the Commission stated that although cities of less than 25,000 population were not listed in the Table for new commercial assignments such assignments would be made where a need was shown by a prospective applicant which was prepared to proceed diligently with the construction and operation of a new UHF television broadcast station if authorized to do so. In view of the fact that we are proposing the assignment of a channel other than the one requested in the petition for the reasons set forth herein, we will expect the petitioner to reaffirm its intention to promptly apply for the proposed channel if it is assigned. In the absence of such further expression of interest by the petitioner or some other serious prospective applicant, there will be no basis for making the assignment to Martinsville at this time.

6. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4(i), 303, and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, it is proposed to amend the Table of Assignments in § 73.606(b) of the Commission rules, insofar as the city listed below is concerned, to read as follows:

City	*Channel*
Martinsville, Va.	65

7. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in § 1.415 of the Commission's rules and regulations interested parties

may file comments on or before June 13, 1966, and reply comments on or before June 23, 1966. All submissions by parties to this proceeding, or by persons acting on behalf of such parties, must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings.

8. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's rules and regulations, an original and 14 copies of all written comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

Adopted: May 4, 1966.

Released: May 5, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5062; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

[1] Commissioners Loevinger and Wadsworth absent.

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development

MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS, INC.

Registration as Voluntary Foreign Aid Agency

In accordance with the regulations of the Agency for International Development concerning Registration of Agencies for Voluntary Foreign Aid (A.I.D. Regulation 3) 22 CFR Part 203, promulgated pursuant to section 621 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, notice is hereby given that a certificate of registration [1] as a voluntary foreign aid agency has been issued by the Advisory Committee on Voluntary Foreign Aid of the Agency for International Development to the following agency:

Medical Assistance Programs, Inc., Post Office Box 50, Wheaton, Ill., 60188.

HERBERT J. WATERS,
Assistant Administrator for Material Resources.

APRIL 25, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5057; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Nevada 066626]

NEVADA

Notice of Classification

MAY 2, 1966.

Pursuant to section 2 of the Act of September 19, 1964 (43 U.S.C. 1412), the lands described below are hereby classified for disposal through exchange under section 8 of the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269) as amended by section 3 of the Act of June 26, 1936 (49 Stat. 1976).

There were no comments received following publication of the notice of proposed classification (33 F.R. 2865).

The lands affected by this classification are located in Elko County and are described as follows:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, NEVADA

T. 31 N., R. 53 E.,
Sec. 6, lots 1, 2, 3, 4;
Sec. 8;
Sec. 10;
Sec. 12, SE¼SW¼, S½SE¼;
Sec. 14, NW¼NE¼, SE¼NE¼, SW¼NW¼, E½SW¼, SW¼SW¼, SE¼;
Sec. 16;
Sec. 18, lots 1, 3, 4;
Sec. 22, NE¼.

The areas described above aggregate 2,968.01 acres.

For a period of 30 days, interested parties may submit comments to the Secretary of the Interior, LLM, 721, Washington, D.C., 20240 (43 CFR 2411.1-2(d)).

NOLAN F. KEIL,
State Director, Nevada.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5051; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Delegation of Authority

The following material is a portion of the Departmental Manual and the numbering system is that of the Manual.

PART 220—GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

CHAPTER 4—MINERAL LEASING FUNCTIONS

220.4.1 *Delegation of authority—A. Functions relating to unit agreements.* The Director, Geological Survey, is delegated the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior under the Mineral Leasing Act of February 25, 1920 (41 Stat. 437), as amended (30 U.S.C., 181 et seq.), to perform the following functions with respect to unit agreements:

(1) Designate areas logically subject to unitization.

(2) Approve applications for expansion or contraction of unit areas.

(3) Approve the selection or designation of unit operators.

(4) Declare a unit agreement terminated for failure to select a successor unit operator or for failure to comply with the drilling provisions of the agreement.

(5) Grant extensions of time for drilling test wells.

(6) Approve the establishment or revision of participating areas.

(7) Approve payment of compensatory royalty for drainage from unitized lands.

(8) Extend the fixed term of unit agreements.

(9) Approve requests for termination of unit agreements.

(10) Review all determinations by unit operator, appoint arbitrating committees, and render decisions on all determinations by arbitrating committees.

(11) Take appropriate action on commitments of interests to a unit agreement filed subsequent to approval of the unit agreement.

(12) Alter or modify the rate of prospecting and development and the quantity and rate or production.

(13) Approve unit agreements which adopt and follow the form of unit agreements set forth in 30 CFR 226.12.

(14) Approve unit agreements which the Secretary of the Interior has previously approved as to form in accordance with 30 CFR 226.4 and which are submitted duly executed in the same form for final approval.

B. *Functions relating to claimed discoveries of new oil or gas deposits.* The Director, Geological Survey, is authorized to act on applications for royalty benefits by reason of a claimed discovery of a new oil or gas deposit under the Act of August 8, 1946 (60 Stat. 950), and to determine whether a new oil or gas deposit has been discovered, and the effective date of such discovery.

C. *Determination of productive limits of a producing oil or gas deposit.* The Director, Geological Survey, is authorized to determine under the Act of August 8, 1946 (60 Stat. 950), the productive limits of a producing oil or gas deposit, as such limits existed on August 8, 1946, on his own initiative or on application by the holder of an oil and gas lease or his operator.

D. *Approval of crude oil exchange agreements.* The Director, Geological Survey, is authorized to give final approval to agreements providing for the exchange of crude oil purchased under the Act of July 13, 1946 (60 Stat. 533), and the regulations thereunder, 30 CFR 225.1 et seq., for other crude oil.

E. *Approval of communitization or drilling agreements.* The Director, Geological Survey, is authorized to give final approval to communitization or drilling agreements submitted for approval in accordance with 43 CFR 3121.3. The Director has redelegated to the regional oil and gas supervisors the authority delegated by this paragraph (27 F.R. 6395).

F. *Suspension of operations and production.* The Director, Geological Survey, may act for the Secretary of the Interior in finally approving applications for suspension of operations or production or both, filed pursuant to 43 CFR 3102.4 and 3222.6-2 and in terminating suspensions of this kind which have been or may be granted. (The Director has redelegated to the regional oil and gas supervisors and mining supervisors the authority delegated by this paragraph. Survey Orders 218, 17 F.R. 7513, and 218, Amendment 1, 20 F.R. 8427.)

G. *Oil and gas sales contracts.* The regional oil and gas supervisors of the Geological Survey may act for the Secretary of the Interior in finally approving oil and gas sales contracts and agreements filed pursuant to 30 CFR Part 223.

220.4.2 *Appeals.* Any person aggrieved by any action of a regional oil and gas supervisor or a regional mining supervisor may appeal to the Director, Geological Survey, and from his decision to the Secretary of the Interior as provided in 30 CFR 221.66.

CHAPTER 5—ACCEPTANCE OF CONVEYANCE

220.5.1 *Acceptance of conveyance.* The Director of the Geological Survey

[1] Certificate of registration filed as part of original document.

may enter into agreements for the acquisition and accept conveyances of lands whenever such lands or interests in lands are to be acquired for administration through the Geological Survey pursuant to any act of Congress.

Dated: May 2, 1966.

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5050; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

E. F. TIMME

Report of Appointment and Statement of Financial Interests

APRIL 6, 1966.

Pursuant to section 302(a) of Executive Order 10647, the following information on a WOC appointee in the Department of the Interior is furnished for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER:

Name of appointee: E. F. Timme.

Name of employing agency: Department of the Interior, Office of Assistant Secretary for Water and Power Development.

The title of the appointee's position: Alternate Deputy Director, Defense Electric Power Area 15.

The name of the appointee's private employer or employers: The Washington Water Power Co.

The statement of "financial interests" for the above appointee is enclosed.

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

APPOINTEE'S STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL INTERESTS

In accordance with the requirements of section 302(b) of Executive Order 10647, I am filing the following statement for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER:

(1) Names of any corporations of which I am, or had been within 60 days preceding my appointment, on April 20, 1966, as Alternate Deputy District Director, Area 15, DEPA, an officer or director:

None.

(2) Names of any corporations in which I own, or did own within 60 days preceding my appointment, any stocks, bonds, or other financial interests:

None.

(3) Names of any partnerships in which I am associated, or had been associated within 60 days preceding my appointment:

None.

(4) Names of any other businesses which I own, or owned within 60 days preceding my appointment:

None.

E. F. TIMME.

APRIL 25, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5052; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

[Order No. 2895]

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM

SECTION 1. *Purpose.* This Order implements Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1966[1] which, among other things, transferred the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration from the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to the Department of the Interior.

SEC. 2. *Organization.* (a) The head of the Federal Water Pollution Control Administration shall be a Commissioner, who shall administer the functions of the Administration subject to the supervision and direction of the Secretary of the Interior with the assistance of an Assistant Secretary.

(b) The Federal Water Pollution Control Administration is designated as a bureau of the Department.

SEC. 3. *Policy.* It is the policy of the Department of the Interior to carry out the Federal Water Pollution Control program in a manner that will achieve high standards of water quality in the Nation's rivers, lakes, streams, estuaries, and coastal waters. The Federal Water Pollution Control Administration shall mount a vigorous program of prevention, control, and abatement "to enhance the quality and value of our water resources". The Administration will function as one of the major units of the Department and other bureaus and offices within the Department shall consult with the Administration on all matters within their program areas related to water pollution control. Pending the approval of an interdepartmental agreement to implement the consultations provided for by subsection 2(k) of the Water Quality Act of 1965 (79 Stat. 905), consultations shall be carried out with the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare on the health aspects of the program. All existing relationships of the Administration with the States and their water pollution control agencies and with interstate agencies shall be preserved.

SEC. 4. *Delegation of Authority.* (a) The Commisisoner, subject to the provisions of section 5 of this order, may exercise the authority transferred to the Secretary of the Interior by Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1966.

(b) As the head of a bureau of the Department, the Commissioner is authorized to exercise the authority granted generally to the heads of bureaus in Part 205 of the Departmental Manual.

(c) Pursuant to 200 DM 2.2 he may redelegate or authorize written redelegation of such authority.

Sec. 5. *Reservation of Authority* (a) State plans submitted pursuant to subsection 7(f) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, shall not be finally disapproved, nor shall related hearings be held, without prior consultation and discussion with the Secretary.

(b) The Secretary will designate the chairman of the Water Pollution Control Advisory Board, as authorized by subsection 9(a)(1) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended.

(c) Enforcement measures and proceedings, as prescribed or authorized by section 10 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended, shall be initiated only with the approval of the Secretary; and water-quality standards as prescribed and authorized by subsection 10(c) shall be approved and promulgated by the Secretary.

(d) With respect to Executive Order 11258, (1) any required modification of standards for waste treatment, as authorized by section 5, shall be approved by the Secretary, and (2) reports required by sections 3, 6, 7, and 8 shall be submitted by the Secretary.

SEC. 6. *Assignment of Functions.* Until further notice each function transferred to the Secretary of the Interior by section 1 of Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1966 is assigned to each officer or employee from whom the function was transferred. The officer or employee to whom a function is assigned by this order shall have the same authority to perform the function as he had before the effective date of Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1966.

SEC. 7. *Effective date.* This order shall be effective on the effective date of Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1966.

STEWART L. UDALL,
Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 9, 1966.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5176; Filed, May 9, 1966; 12:02 p.m.]

[1] *See* F.R. Doc. 66-5095 *supra*.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Consumer and Marketing Service

HUMANELY SLAUGHTERED LIVESTOCK

Identification of Carcasses; Changes in Lists of Establishments

Pursuant to section 4 of the Act of August 27, 1958 (7 U.S.C. 1904), and the statement of policy thereunder in 9 CFR 381.1, the lists (31 F.R. 1208, 3138, 5330) of establishments which are operated under Federal inspection pursuant to the Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 71 et seq.) and which use humane methods of slaughter and incidental handling of livestock are hereby amended as follows:

The reference to J. Lynn Cornwell, Inc., establishment 107, and the reference to swine with respect to such establishment are deleted. The reference to S. W. Gall's Son, establishment 735, and the reference to sheep and goats with respect to such establishment are deleted. The reference to calves, sheep, and goats with respect to Walden Packing Co., Inc., establishment 886, is deleted.

The following table lists species at additional establishments and additional species at previously listed establishments that have been reported as being slaughtered and handled humanely.

Name of establishment	Establishment No.	Cattle	Calves	Sheep	Goats	Swine	Horses
Knauss Bros., Inc.	204		(*)				
Missouri Beef Packers, Inc.	473	(*)					
Elmer Bender & Son, Inc.	569		(*)	(*)			
New Establishments Reporting: 3.							
Swift & Co.	3C		(*)				
Bub Davis Packing Co.	171			(*)	(*)		
San Angelo Packing Co.	281		(*)	(*)			
Piute Packing Co.	550			(*)		(*)	
Alice Packing Co.	921					(*)	
Species Added: 9.							

Done at Washington, D.C., this 5th day of May 1966.

R. K. SOMERS,
Deputy Administrator, Consumer Protection, Consumer and Marketing Service.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5079; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Maritime Administration

CARRIAGE OF OIL TO RHODESIA

Notice is hereby given that the Maritime Administrator has received the following self-explanatory letter from The Honorable Thomas C. Mann, Under Secretary of State for Economic Affairs:

DEPARTMENT OF STATE,
Washington, May 4, 1966.

DEAR MR. JOHNSON: I am writing with regard to a Resolution of the Security Council of the United Nations adopted on April 9, 1966. That Resolution, No. 221, relates to shipments of oil to Southern Rhodesia and provides in part:

The Security Council,

* * * * *

4. *Calls upon* all States to ensure the diversion of any of their vessels reasonably believed to be carrying oil destined for Rhodesia which may be en route for Beira;

5. *Calls upon* the Government of the United Kingdom to prevent by the use of force if necessary the arrival at Beira of vessels reasonably believed to be carrying oil destined for Rhodesia . . . .

The Government of the United States voted for Resolution No. 221 in the Security Council and supports the efforts of the United Kingdom to end the illegal, unilateral declaration of independence by the Smith regime in Southern Rhodesia. Accordingly, we wish to advise all owners of United States flag ships to take all necessary steps to prevent their ships of United States registry from carrying oil to Beira destined for Rhodesia.

We should appreciate your having this letter and any other notice you believe appropriate published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Sincerely yours,

THOMAS C. MANN,
Under Secretary for Economic Affairs.

Dated: May 6, 1966.

NICHOLAS JOHNSON,
Maritime Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5124; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 16474 etc.]

ALLEGHENY AIRLINES, INC.

Segment 8 Renewal and Route Realignment Investigation

Notice hereby is given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on June 1, 1966, at 10 a.m., local time, in Room 911, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

For further information regarding the issues involved herein, interested persons may refer to the various orders of the Board, the prehearing conference report, and other documents, which are on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., May 3, 1966.

[SEAL] WALTER W. BRYAN,
Hearing Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5073; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Secretary

UNDER SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Delegation of Authority

The Under Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, Robert C. Wood, is hereby authorized to exercise all the power and authority vested in or delegated or assigned to the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

(79 Stat. 670, 5 U.S.C. 624d(d))

Effective date. This delegation of authority shall be effective as of May 10, 1966.

ROBERT C. WEAVER,
Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5075; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 16609-16611; FCC 66M-636]

NORTHWEST BROADCASTERS, INC. (KBVU) ET AL.

Order Scheduling Hearing

In re applications of Northwest Broadcasters, Inc. (KBVU), Bellevue, Wash., Docket No. 16609, File No. BR-4369, for renewal of license of station KBVU; F. Kemper Freeman, Elwell C. Case, and Mrs. Florence G. Hayes, doing business as Bellevue Broadcasters (KFKF), Bellevue, Wash., Docket No. 16610, File No. BP-17059, for construction permit; Northwest Broadcasters, Inc. (KBVU) (Assignor), and Sunshine Broadcasting Co., (Assignee), Docket No. 16611, File No. BAL-5521, for assignment of license of station KBVU:

It is ordered, This 29th day of April 1966, that Sol Schildhause shall serve as Presiding Officer in the above-entitled proceeding; that the hearings therein shall be convened on July 13, 1966, at 10 a.m.; and that a prehearing conference shall be held on May 23, 1966, commencing at 9 a.m.: *And, it is further ordered,* That all proceedings shall be held in the offices of the Commission, Washington, D.C.

Released: May 4, 1966.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5083; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

NORTH ATLANTIC MEDITERRANEAN FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Notice of Agreement Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1321 H Street NW., Room 609; or may inspect agreements at the offices of the District Managers, New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., and San Francisco, Calif. Comments with reference to an agreement including a request for hearing, if desired, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C., 20573, within 20 days after publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the comments should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed for approval by:

Mr. Burton H. White, Burlingham, Underwood, Barron, Wright & White, 25 Broadway, New York, N.Y., 10004.

Agreement 9548, between the member lines of the North Atlantic Mediterranean Freight Conference in the trade from United States North Atlantic ports in the Eastport, Maine/Hampton Roads range, either direct or via transshipment, to ports on the Mediterranean

Sea (except Spanish and Israeli ports), on the Sea of Marmara and the Black Sea and on the Atlantic Coast of Morocco, will, if approved, supersede and cancel the Conference's present Agreement 7980, as amended.

Agreement 9648 contains certain provisions which are not included in Agreement 7980, and others which amend some of its existing terms and conditions. It contains provisions (1) outlining a procedure for handling shippers' requests and complaints, (2) that the members may employ a vice chairman who shall perform the duties of the chairman in his absence or disability, (3) for 48 hours' advance notice of conference meetings, (4) that the Conference office shall retain for 2 years all reports or circulars, which are distributed to members, relating to matters within the scope of the Agreement, (5) for retention for 2 years of the record of the vote taken on each matter submitted to members for Conference action, (6) for filing with the Commission within 30 days after Conference action of a report of matters discussed specifying the action taken, (7) for a detailed self-policing system and arbitration procedure, and (8) a penalty for disclosure of any confidential matter by a member line.

Dated: May 5, 1966.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5066; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RI66-349, etc.]

PINEY POINT PETROLEUMS, ET AL.

Order Accepting Proposed Contract Ratifications and Related Rate Supplements, Providing for Hearings on and Suspension of Proposed Changes in Rates [1]

APRIL 29, 1966.

The above-named Respondents have tendered for filing proposed changes in presently effective rate schedules for sales of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. The proposed changes, which constitute increased rates and charges, are designated as follows:

Docket No.	Respondent	Rate schedule No.	Supplement No.	Purchaser and producing area	Amount of annual increase	Date filing tendered	Effective date unless suspended	Date suspended until—	Cents per Mcf: Rate in effect	Cents per Mcf: Proposed increased rate	Rate in effect subject to refund in docket Nos.
RI66-349	Piney Point Petroleums, 206 Southwest Tower, Houston, Tex., 77002.	1	3	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneco, Inc.[3] (Deckers Prairie Field, Montgomery County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 3).	$380	3-31-66	[5] 5-1-66	10-1-66	14.0	[5] [6] 17.16947	
RI66-350	Ashland Oil & Refining Co., Post Office Box 1503, Houston, Tex., 77001, Attn: J. Paul Fly, Esq.	140	7	United Fuel Gas Co. (Kanawha, Fayette, Raleigh and Boone Counties, W. Va.).	140,000	3-30-66	[5] 4-30-66	9-30-66	[10] [11] 28.0	[7] [5] 30.0	
RI66-351	Southwest Gas Producing Co., Inc., Post Office Box 2927, Monroe, La., 71201.	17	2	Arkansas-Louisiana Gas Co. (Vixen Field, Caldwell Parish, La.) (North Louisiana).	5,750	2-30-66	[5] 5-1-66	10-1-66	[10] 18.5	[5] [12] [10] 19.5	
RI66-352	Apache Corp., 823 South Detroit, Tulsa, Okla., 74120.	12	[30] 6	Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co. (Mocane (Tonka) Field, Beaver County, Okla.) (Panhandle Area).	3,650 6,525	4-1-66	[5] 5-2-66	10-2-66	[10] [13] 18.06 [10] [13] 18.15	[5] [14] [13] 20.56 [4] [5] [15] 20.65	
RI66-353	Oklahoma Natural Gas Co., Post Office Box 871, Tulsa, Okla., 74102.	13	3	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. (Glenwood Field, Beaver County, Okla.) (Panhandle Area).	2,179	4-1-66	[5] 5-2-66	10-2-66	[10] [17] 19.431	[5] [16] [17] 22.2885	
RI66-354	Thomas E. Berry (Operator), et al., Post Office Box 528, Stillwater, Okla., 74074.	9	1	Western Gas Service Co. (Guymon-Hugoton Field, Texas County, Okla.) (Panhandle Area).	226	4-4-66	[5] 5-5-66	10-5-66	14.5	[5] [6] 15.5	
RI66-355	Marathon Oil Co., 539 South Main St., Findlay, Ohio, 45840.	33	4	Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co. (Nichols Field, Kiowa County, Kans.).	313	3-31-66	[5] 5-2-66	10-2-66	[10] 15.0	[5] [10] 17.0	RI66-251 [19]
RI66-356	Harry E. Venters, 603 First National Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla.	[20] 2 2 2 2 2 2	([21]) [22] 1 [20] 2 [24] 3 [20] 4	Lone Star Gas Co. (East Doyle Field, Stephens County, Okla.) (Oklahoma "Other" Area).	([26])	3-17-66 [27] 4-4-66 [27] 4-4-66 [27] 4-4-66 4-4-66 4-4-66	[5] 4-17-66 [5] 4-17-66 [5] 4-17-66 [5] 4-17-66 [5] 5-5-66 [5] 5-5-66	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted 10-5-66 Accepted	15.0	[5] [28] 17.9	
RI66-357	Ernest W. Tate, Post Office Box 1508, Ardmore, Okla., 73401.	[29] 2 2 2 2 2	([21]) [22] 1 [20] 2 [24] 3 [20] 4	----do----	([26])	3-17-66 [27] 4-4-66 [27] 4-4-66 [27] 4-4-66 4-4-66 4-4-66	[5] 4-17-66 [5] 4-17-66 [5] 4-17-66 [5] 4-17-66 [5] 5-5-66 [5] 5-5-66	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted 10-5-66 Accepted	15.0	[5] [30] 17.9	
RI66-358	N. Bruce Calder & Curtis E. Calder, Jr., d.b.a. Horizon Oil & Gas Co., 940 Hartford Bldg., Dallas, Tex., 75201.	22	5	Transwestern Pipeline Co. (Dude Wilson Field, Ochiltree County, Tex.) (R.R. District No. 10).	1,313	4-4-66	[5] 5-5-66	10-5-66	[18] 17.0	[5] [6] [18] 19.5	
RI66-359	C. J. Fowlston, et al., 224 Amarillo Bldg., Amarillo, Tex., 79101.	1	3	Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Keys Field, Cimarron County, Okla.) (Panhandle Area).	500	4-4-66	[5] 5-5-66	10-5-66	[10] 15.0	[5] [10] [30] 17.0	

[3] Formerly Tennessee Gas Transmission Co.
[4] The stated effective date is the first day after expiration of the statutory notice.
[5] Periodic rate increase.
[6] Pressure base is 14.65 p.s.i.a.
[7] The stated effective date is the effective date proposed by Respondent.
[8] "Fractured" rate increase. Ashland is contractually due 31.0 cents, including 3.0 cents for gathering.
[9] Pressure base is 15.325 p.s.i.a.
[10] Includes 3.0 cents per Mcf for gathering.
[11] Includes 2.5 cents per Mcf for gathering.
[12] Initial price set forth in Commission order issued June 11, 1964, in Docket Nos. CP60-123 and CI60-493. Initial contract price is 30.0 cents, including 2.5 cents per Mcf for gathering.
[13] Pressure base is 15.025 p.s.i.a.
[14] Includes 1.5 cents per Mcf tax reimbursement.
[15] C. L. Eades Unit (Includes 1.06 cents upward B.t.u. adjustment).
[16] Subject to upward and downward B.t.u. adjustment.
[17] Thomas Eades Unit No. 1 (Includes 1.15 cents upward B.t.u. adjustment).
[18] Includes 2.431 cents upward B.t.u. adjustment before increase and 2.7885 cents upward B.t.u. adjustment after increase (filing reflects that present B.t.u. content of gas is 1043 B.t.u.'s per cubic feet).
[19] Subject to a downward B.t.u. adjustment.
[20] Rate of 16.0 cents per Mcf suspended in Docket No. RI66-251 until June 23, 1966.
[21] Supersedes Harry E. Venters' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1.
[22] Ratification, dated Aug. 30, 1965.
[24] Contract, dated Oct. 25, 1962.
[26] Letter agreement, dated Feb. 7, 1963.
[27] Amendment, dated Apr. 27, 1964.
[29] Notice of change, dated Apr. 1, 1966.
[30] Respondent states that volumes related to his interest in units involved (Lundy No. 1 and 2 Units) are unknown to him at this time. Total production from subject units from Mar. 18, 1963, to Oct. 31, 1963, was 1,399,061 Mcf based on letter from buyer dated Dec. 16, 1963, contained in Rate Schedule No. 1. (Respondent's interest is not shown in instant or previous filings.)
[27] Completes filing of Mar. 14, 1966.
[28] Renegotiated rate increase.
[29] Supersedes Ernest W. Tate's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1.
[30] Permanently certificated rate applicable to all acreage under rate schedule is 17.0 cents plus B.t.u. adjustment. Notice of change applies only to C. L. Eades and Thomas Eades No. 1 units.

[1] Does not consolidate for hearing or dispose of the several matters herein.

Piney Point Petroleums requests that its proposed rate increase be permitted to become effective as of March 1, 1966. Apache Corp. requests retroactive effective dates of October 1, 1959, and October 1, 1964, for its rate filings. Thomas E. Berry (Operator), et al., request an effective date of October 6, 1965, for their proposed rate increase. Harry E. Venters (Venters) and Ernest W. Tate (Tate) request a retroactive effective date of July 1, 1963, for their proposed rate filings. C. J. Fowlston, et al., request that their proposed rate increase be permitted to become effective as of July 26, 1965, the date that the 17-cent rate became effective subject to refund in Docket No. RI65-509 under Shell Oil Co. (the operator of the unit involved) FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 85. Good cause has not been shown for waiving the 30-day notice requirement provided in section 4(d) of the Natural Gas Act to permit earlier effective dates for the aforementioned producers' rate filings and such requests are denied. C. J. Fowlston, et al., have a separate contract and are not a party to Shell Oil Co.'s contract and their request for a retroactive effective date of July 26, 1965, is therefore denied.

On March 14, 1966, and March 17, 1966, Tate and Venters, respectively, submitted a ratification of their respective contract with related rate supplements and a renegotiated rate increase from 15.0 cents to 17.9 cents per Mcf at 14.65 p.s.i.a. for sales of gas to Lone Star Gas Co. in the East Doyle Field, Stephens County, Okla. (Oklahoma "Other" Area). The ratifications dated August 30, 1965, designated as FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 2 for both Tate and Venters supersedes their FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1, respectively, and provide for their proposed rate changes. We believe it would be in the public interest to accept for filing Tate and Venters' contract ratifications and related Supplement Nos. 1, 2, and 3, respectively, to become effective as of April 17, 1966, the date of expiration of the statutory notice, but not the proposed rate contained therein which will be suspended as indicated below.

All of the producers' proposed increased rates and charges exceed the applicable area price level for increased rates as set forth in the Commission's Statement of General Policy No. 61-1, as amended (18 CFR 2.56).

The proposed changed rates and charges may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds:

(1) Good cause has been shown for accepting for filing Tate and Venters' proposed contract ratifications dated August 30, 1965, designated as Tate and Venters' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 2, respectively, and related rate Supplement Nos. 1, 2, and 3, and for permitting such rate schedules to supersede Tate and Venters' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1, respectively, and for permitting such rate schedules and related rate supplements to become effective as of April 17, 1966, the date of expiration of the statutory notice.

(2) Except for the supplements set forth in (1) above, it is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon hearings concerning the lawfulness of the proposed changes, and that the above-designated supplements be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Tate and Venters' contract ratifications dated August 30, 1965, designated as Tate and Venters' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 2, respectively, and related rate Supplement Nos. 1, 2, and 3, are accepted for filing and the rate schedules are permitted to supersede Tate and Venters' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 1, respectively. The superseding rate schedules and rate supplements are permitted to become effective as of April 17, 1966.

(B) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Ch. I), a public hearing shall be held upon a date to be fixed by notice from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of the proposed increased rates and charges contained in the above-designated supplements (except Supplement Nos. 1, 2, and 3 to Tate and Venters' FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 2, respectively).

(C) Pending hearings and decisions thereon, the above-designated rate supplements are hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred until the date indicated in the above "Date Suspended Until" column, and thereafter until such further time as they are made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(D) Neither the supplements hereby suspended, nor the rate schedules sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until these proceedings have been disposed of or until the periods of suspension have expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(E) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before June 15, 1966.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5011; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

OFFICE OF EMERGENCY PLANNING

ARIZONA

Notice of Major Disaster

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the President under Executive Order 10427 of January 16, 1953, Executive Order 10737 of October 29, 1957, and Executive Order 11051 of September 27, 1962 (18 F.R. 407, 22 F.R. 8799, 27 F.R. 9683); Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1958, Public Law 85-763, and Public Law 87-296; by virtue of the Act of September 30, 1950, entitled "An Act to authorize Federal assistance to States and local governments in major disasters, and for other purposes" (42 U.S.C. 1855-1855g), as amended; notice is hereby given of a declaration of "major disaster" by the President in his letter dated April 30, 1966, reading in part as follows:

I have determined that the damage in the areas adversely affected by flooding beginning on or about December 22, 1965, in the State of Arizona, is of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant Federal disaster assistance to supplement State and local efforts.

I do hereby determine the following areas in the State of Arizona to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of April 30, 1966:

The Counties of:

Graham. Pima.
Greenlee. Pinal.
Maricopa.

Dated: May 3, 1966.

FARRIS BRYANT,
Director,
Office of Emergency Planning.

[F.R. Doc. 66-5071; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[01-3]

LEACH CORP.

Application and Opportunity for Hearing

MAY 4, 1966.

Notice is hereby given that Leach Corporation (Leach), 405 Huntington Drive, San Marino, Calif., has filed an application pursuant to section 12(h) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("the Act"), for an order exempting it from the registration provisions of section 12(g) of the Act. Exemption from section 12(g) will have the additional effect of exempting Leach from section 13 or 14 of the Act and any officer, director or beneficial owner of more than 10 percent of Leach's capital stock from section 16 thereof.

Section 12(g) of the Act requires the registration of the equity securities of every issuer which is engaged in, or in business affecting interstate commerce, or whose securities are traded by use of the mails or any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce and on the last day of its fiscal year, has total assets exceeding $1 million and a class of equity securities held of record initially by 750 or more persons, and after July 1, 1966, by 500 or more persons. Registration is

terminated 90 days after the issuer files a certification with the Commission that the number of holders of the registered class of equity securities is fewer than 300 persons.

Section 12(h) empowers the Commission to exempt, in whole or in part, any issuer or class of issuer from registration, periodic reporting and proxy solicitation provisions of the Act if the Commission finds, by reason of the number of public investors, amount of trading interest in the securities, the nature and extent of the activities of the issuer, or otherwise, that such exemption is not inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

Leach's application states, in part:

1. Leach, a Delaware Corporation, had over $1 million total assets and in excess of 750 shareholders of its single class of capital stock, par value $20 on February 28, 1965. It would, therefore, be subject to the registration requirements of section 12(g).

2. Pursuant to an agreement of merger adopted by its shareholders, Leach was merged into Leach Relay Corp. on January 3, 1966. The surviving corporation, renamed Leach Corp., has approximately 130 shareholders.

For a more detailed statement of the information presented, all persons are referred to said application which is on file in the offices of the Commission at 425 Second Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than May 25, 1966, submit to the Commission in writing his views or any additional facts bearing upon this application or the desirability of a hearing thereon. Any such communication or request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 425 Second Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20549, and should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person submitting such information or requesting a hearing, the reason for such request, and the issues of fact and law raised by the application which he desires to controvert. At any time after said date, an order granting the application may be issued by the Commission unless an order for hearing upon said application be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66-5055; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[File No. 70-4380]

METROPOLITAN EDISON CO.

Proposed Issue and Sale at Competitive Bidding of First Mortgage Bonds

MAY 4, 1966.

Notice is hereby given that Metropolitan Edison Co. ("Met-Ed"), 2800 Pottsville Pike, Muhlenberg Township, Berks County, Pa., an electric utility subsidiary company of General Public Utilities Corp., a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

Met-Ed proposes to issue and sell, subject to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 promulgated under the Act, $15 million principal amount of First Mortgage Bonds, __ percent Series, to be dated June 1, 1966. The interest rate of the bonds (which shall be a multiple of one-eighth of 1 percent) and the price, exclusive of accrued interest, to be paid to Met-Ed (which shall be not less than 100 percent nor more than 102.75 percent of the principal amount thereof) will be determined by the competitive bidding. The bonds, which will mature on June 1, 1996, will be issued under an Indenture dated as of June 1, 1944, between Met-Ed and Guaranty Trust Co. of New York (now Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. of New York), Trustee, as heretofore supplemented, and as to be further supplemented by a Supplemental Indenture to be dated June 1, 1966.

The filing states that the proceeds (other than premium, if any, and accrued interest) from the sale of the bonds will be utilized to reimburse Met-Ed's treasury, in part, for $16,000,000 of unreimbursed costs of construction (including interest during construction) expended prior to January 1, 1966. The cost of Met-Ed's 1966 construction program is estimated at approximately $26,500,000, and such costs and other cash requirements will be met, in part, by interim utilization of treasury funds made available from the proposed sale of bonds.

Fees and expenses incident to the proposed transaction are estimated at $60,000, including counsel fees of $17,500 and accounting fees of $5,250. The fees and disbursements of counsel for the underwriters, to be paid by the successful bidders, will be supplied by amendment.

The filing states that the issue and sale of the bonds are subject to the jurisdiction of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, the State commission of the State in which Met-Ed is organized and doing business. It is further stated that no other State commission, and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than May 26, 1966, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C., 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66-5056; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Federal Contract Compliance

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

Preaward Procedure To Insure Compliance by Government Contractors

1. *Purpose.* Both the Armed Services Procurement Regulations (32 CFR 1.905-4) and the Federal Procurement Regulations (41 CFR 1-1.310-9) normally require a preaward on site survey whenever other sources of information do not yield sufficient information that the prospective contractor is able to conform to the standard equal opportunity clause. The purpose of this order is to establish a specific, uniform, and clearly defined program of preaward action by the Federal Government to ensure that Government supply contractors are in compliance with the equal opportunity provisions of Executive Order 11246 and the rules and regulations issued pursuant thereto before being awarded formally advertised contracts.

2. *Background.* Although considerable progress has been made in the past 4 years toward the accomplishment of our objective of equal employment opportunity, many Government contractors have failed to employ minority group personnel, have underutilized their talents and skills or have merely engaged in what amounts to token efforts to employ and utilize minority group personnel. The experience of the President's Committee on Equal Employment Opportunity and discussions with contracting agencies have demonstrated the need for a more uniform and effective preaward procedure in order to accomplish our objective.

3. *Actions.* a. Each agency must include in the specifications for each formally advertised supply contract which

may result in a bid of $1 million or more, a notice (the form of which is approved by the Office of Federal Contract Compliance) to prospective bidders that if their bid is in the amount of $1 million or more, the apparent low responsible bidder and his known first-tier subcontractors with subcontracts of $1 million or more will be subject to a full compliance review before the award of the contract.

b. (1) Before the award of any formally advertised supply contract of $1 million or more, a full compliance review of a prospective contractor's and his known first-tier $1 million subcontractor's employment practices will be conducted by the Predominant Interest Agency (PIA) within 6 months prior to the award of the contract. If no PIA has been assigned for such contractor, the awarding agency will conduct such review. If an agency other than the awarding agency has been assigned as the PIA, the awarding agency will notify the PIA and request appropriate action and findings in accordance with this order within 30 days of the date of such request.

(2) The preaward compliance review will include a comprehensive review of the employers' employment policies and practices with special attention to those relating to recruitment, placement, promotion, and other areas of potential discrimination. Such reviews should be conducted by the personnel regularly involved in the compliance review program. A written report of the review, including findings and subsequent action, will be transmitted to the agency Contract Compliance Officer, who in turn will forward it to this Office for a postaward review within 30 days after the award.

(3) In order to qualify for the award of the contract, the contractor and such first-tier subcontractors must be found on the basis of such review to be able to comply with the required equal opportunity provision unless the contractor and such subcontractors offer a justification which is acceptable to the agency Contract Compliance Officer. If such Officer approves the justification, he will endorse it and include it in the compliance review file when forwarding it to this Office for the postaward review within 30 days after the award.

c. Within 30 days after the award of the prime contract of $1 million or more, the prime contractor shall—and 30 days after the award of each subcontract, each first-tier subcontractor working on a prime contract of $1 million or more shall—be required to file a complete compliance report form if:

(1) Such employers have not submitted a complete compliance report within 12 months preceding the date of the award, and

(2) Such employers are within the definition of "employer" of section 2k(3) of the instructions to the compliance report Standard Form 100 (EEO–1).

d. Each contracting agency will submit to this Office on or before May 20, 1966, its program to implement this order.

e. Requests for exemptions from this order must be made in writing, with justification, to the Director, Office of Federal Contract Compliance, Washington, D.C., and shall be forwarded through and with the endorsement of the agency head.

f. The procedures set forth in a. and b. above shall not apply to any contract when the head of the contracting agency determines that such contracts are essential to the national security and that its award without following such procedures is necessary to the national security. Upon making such a determination, the agency head will notify, in writing, the Director of the Office of Federal Contract Compliance within 30 days.

g. Nothing in this order shall be interpreted to diminish the present contract compliance review and complaint programs.

4. *Authority.* a. Subpart C, section 205 of Executive Order 11246, dated September 24, 1965, which states, in part:

> All contracting agencies shall comply with the rules of the Secretary of Labor in discharging their primary responsibility for securing compliance with the provisions of contracts and otherwise with the terms of this order and of the rules, regulations, and orders of the Secretary of Labor issued pursuant to this order.

b. Subpart D, section 211 of Executive Order 11246, which states:

> If the Secretary shall so direct, contracting agencies shall not enter into contracts with any bidder or prospective contractor unless the bidder or prospective contractor has satisfactorily complied with the provisions of this Order or submits a program for compliance acceptable to the Secretary of Labor or, if the Secretary so authorizes, to the contracting agency.

5. *Effective date.* The provisions of this order will be effective with respect to transactions in which the invitations to bid are issued on or after June 1, 1966.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 3d day of May 1966.

EDWARD C. SYLVESTER, Jr.,
Director.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5053; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:48 a.m.]

Office of the Secretary

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON EMPLOYEE WELFARE AND PENSION BENEFIT PLANS

Recommendations for Appointment

Section 14 of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act Amendments of 1962 (76 Stat. 40, 41, 29 U.S.C. 308e) provides for the establishment of an "Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans" which is to consist of 13 members to be appointed as follows: One from the insurance field, one from the corporate trust field, two from management, four from labor, and two from other interested groups, all of whom are to be appointed by the Secretary from among persons recommended by organizations in the respective groups. The additional three representatives are to be appointed from the general public by the Secretary. The prescribed duties of the Council are to advise the Secretary with respect to the carrying out of his functions under the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act, as amended, and to submit to the Secretary recommendations with respect thereto. The Council is required to meet at least twice each year and at such other times as the Secretary requests.

To assure continuity in the handling of the business of the Council, a rotation system is provided whereby the 2-year terms of approximately half the members expire each year. The groups represented by the members whose terms expire on June 30, 1966, are as follows: Labor (2), the corporate trust field (1), other interested groups (2) and the public (1). Appointments of new members will be for 2-year terms, beginning July 1, 1966.

Accordingly, notice is hereby given that any organization desiring to recommend persons for appointment to the "Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans" may submit recommendations to the Secretary of Labor, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., 20210, on or before June 10, 1966. The recommendation may be in the form of a letter, resolution, or petition, signed by an authorized official of the organization. Each recommendation shall identify the candidate by name, occupation or position, and address. It shall specify the field or group which he would represent for purposes of section 14 of the Act, and whether he is available and would accept.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of April 1966.

JAMES J. REYNOLDS,
Assistant Secretary for Labor-Management Relations.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5054; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:45 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

MAY 5, 1966.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 40461—*Anhydrous ammonia from Don and Pocatello, Idaho, and Geneva, Utah.* Filed by Western Trunk Line Committee, agent (No. A–2450), for interested rail carriers. Rates on an-

hydrous ammonia, in tank carloads, from Don and Pocatello, Idaho, and Geneva, Utah, to points in Minnesota, North Dakota, and South Dakota.

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 156 to Western Trunk Line Committee, agent, tariff ICC A–4411.

FSA No. 40462—*Acrylonitrile to Lemont, Ill.* Filed by O. W. South, Jr., agent (No. A4888), for interested rail carriers. Rates on acrylonitrile, in tank carloads, from New Orleans, La., to Lemont, Ill.

Grounds for relief—Market competition.

Tariff—Supplement 126 to Southern Freight Association, agent, tariff ICC S–272.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 66–5067; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:46 a.m.]

[Notice 178]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

MAY 5, 1966.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules in Ex Parte No. MC 67 (49 CFR Part 240) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protest must certify that such service has been made. The protest must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six (6) copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined, at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 89723 (Sub-No. 40 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: MISSOURI PACIFIC TRUCK LINES, INC., 210 North 13th Street, St. Louis, Mo., 63103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, moving in express service, from Houston, Tex., to Brownsville, Tex., serving the presently authorized intermediate points of Bay City, Vanderbilt, Bloomington, Refugio, Sinton, Odem, Kingsville, Bishop, Raymondville, and Harlingen and the additional point of Alvin, Tex. (not presently authorized), over applicant's presently authorized interstate routes, this being solely an application to modify the key point of Odem, Tex., for the transportation of R E A Express traffic on R E A billing, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: R E A Express, 2413 Broadway, Kansas City, Mo., 64108. Send protests to: J. P. Werthmann, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 3248–B, 1520 Market Street, St. Louis, Mo., 63103.

No. MC 103341 (Sub-No. 7 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: YOUNGBLOOD VAN & STORAGE CO., INC., 3908 Hamilton Road, Columbus, Ga. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1 Farragut Square South, Washington, D.C., 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Household goods*, as defined by the Commission, between points in: (a) The counties of Dade, Catoosa, Whitfield, Murray, Fannin, Union, Towns, Rabun, Walker, Chattooga, Gordon, Gilmer, Lumpkin, White, Habersham, Pickens, Dawson, Stephens, Floyd, Bartow, Cherokee, Forsyth, Hall, Banks, Franklin, Hart, Polk, Paulding, Cobb, Fulton, Gwinnett, Barrow, Jackson, Madison, Elbert, Haralson, Carroll, Douglas, De Kalb, Walton, Oconee, Clarke, Oglethorpe, Heard, Coweta, Fayette, Henry, Rock Dale, Newton, Morgan, Greene, Troup, Meriwether, Harris, Talbot, Taylor, Chattahoochee, Marion, Stewart, Webster, Schley, Macon, Muscogee, Treutlen, Candler, Bulloch, Effingham, Chatham, Bryan, Evans, Liberty, Long, McIntosh, Tattnall, Toombs, Montgomery, Wheeler, Telfair, Jeff Davis, Appling, Wayne, Coffee, Bacon, Berrien, Atkinson, Ware, Pierce, Cook, Thomas, Brooks, Lowndes, Lanier, Clinch, Echols, Brantley, and Glynn, Ga.; (b) the counties of Lauderdale, Limestone, Madison, Jackson, Colbert, Lawrence, Morgan, Marshall, De Kalb, Franklin, Marion, Winston, Cullman, Blount, Etowah, Cherokee, Lamar, Fayette, Walker, Jefferson, Saint Clair, Calhoun, Cleburne, Pickens, Tuscaloosa, Shelby, Talladega, Bibb, Chilton, Coosa, Clay, Randolph, Tallapoosa, Chambers, Autauga, Elmore, Lee, Lowndes, Montgomery, Macon, Russell, Bullock, Butler, Crenshaw, Pike, and Barbour, Ala.; (c) the counties of Jasper and Beaufort, S.C.; (d) the counties of Jefferson, Madison, Taylor, Hamilton, Sawannee, LaFayette, Columbia, Union, Baker, Nassau, and Duval, Fla., restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement in containers beyond said counties, and further restricted to pickup and delivery service incidental to and in connection with packing, crating, and containerization, or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such shipments over irregular routes, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Van-Pak, Inc., 1523 L Street NW., Washington, D.C., 20005; Astron Forwarding Co., Post Office Box 161, Oakland, Calif., 94604; Karevan, Inc., 419 Third Avenue, West, Seattle, Wash., 98119; U.S. Van Lines, Inc., 59642 South U.S. 31, South Bend, Ind., 46614. Send protests to: William L. Scroggs, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 680 West Peachtree Street NW., Room 300, Atlanta, Ga., 30308.

No. MC 108461 (Sub-No. 104 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: WHITFIELD TRANSPORTATION, INC., 300–316 North Clark Road, Post Office Drawer 9897, El Paso, Tex., 79989. Applicant's representative: J. P. Rose (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except livestock, commodities of unusual value, class A & B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities requiring special equipment), (1) between Tularosa and Roswell, N. Mex., over U.S. Highway 70, serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of Ruidoso, N. Mex., (2) serving Carrizozo, N. Mex., as an intermediate point in connection with applicant's presently authorized route between El Paso, Tex., and Albuquerque, N. Mex. (Sub-No. 60), (3) between Carrizozo, N. Mex., and Corona, N. Mex., over U.S. Highway 54, serving all intermediate points, (4) between Carrizozo, N. Mex., and Hondo, N. Mex., over U.S. Highway 380, serving all intermediate points, (5) between Alamogordo, N. Mex., and Cloudcroft, N. Mex., from Alamogordo, over U.S. Highway 54 to a junction with New Mexico Highway 83; thence over N. Mex. Highway 83 to Cloudcroft, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: The application is supported by statements from 39 shippers, which may be examined here at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C. Send protests to: Jerry R. Murphy, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 109 U.S. Courthouse, Albuquerque, N. Mex., 87101.

No. MC 111045 (Sub-No. 53 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: REDWING CARRIERS, INC., 7809 Palm River Road, Post Office Box 426, Tampa, Fla., 33601. Applicant's representative: S. J. Gentcher (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ammonium thiocyanate liquor*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Le Moyne, Ala., to Cordova and Lockport, Ill.; Hammond and Terre Haute, Ind.; Clinton and Sioux City, Iowa; Crystal City and Joplin, Mo.; Ashtabula, Lima, Northbend, and South Point, Ohio; and Nitro and Parkersburg, W. Va., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Halby Chemical Co., Inc., Terminal Avenue and Golding Street, Wilmington, Del., 19899. Send protests to: Joseph B. Teichert, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 1621, 51 Southwest First Avenue, Miami, Fla., 33130.

No. MC 113908 (Sub-No. 188 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: ERICKSON TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 706 West Tampa Street, Post Office Box 3180, Springfield, Mo. Authority sought to

operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Citrus juice*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Corona and Ontario, Calif., to Glen Roy, Pa., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Orange Products Division, Sunkist Growers, 616 East Sunkist Street, Ontario, Calif., 91764. Send protests to: John V. Barry, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1100 Federal Office Building, 911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, Mo., 64106.

No. MC 116077 (Sub-No. 200 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: ROBERTSON TANK LINES, INC., 5700 Polk Avenue, Houston, Tex., 77023, Mail: Post Office Box 9527, Houston, Tex., 77011. Applicant's representative: J. C. Browder (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ammonium nitrate*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Luling, La. to Bridgeport, Tex., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Monsanto Co. (Mr. John Powell, distribution department), 800 North Lindbergh Boulevard, St. Louis, Mo., 63166. Send protests to: John C. Redus, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, Post Office Box 61212, Houston, Tex., 77061.

No. MC 128076 (Sub-No. 2 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: PROTECTIVE SERVICE COMPANY, 725–29 South Broad Street, Philadelphia, Pa., 19147. Applicant's representative: Edward D. Marsh (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Business papers, reports, records, and audit and accounting media of all kinds* (excluding plant removals), between points in Dauphin, Bucks, and Blair Counties, Pa., and Baltimore County, Md., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: D & H Distributing Co., Inc., 2525 North Seventh Street, Harrisburg, Pa., 17105. Send protests to: Peter R. Guman, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 900 U.S. Customhouse, Philadelphia, Pa., 19106.

No. MC 128088 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: ROBERT BURLING, Box 397, Chebanse, Ill. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Vogt, City National Bank Building, Kankakee, Ill., 60901. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Farm machinery*, from Dubuque, Waterloo, and Des Moines, Iowa, and Horicon, Wis., to Kankakee, Ill., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Wheeler Tractor & Equipment Co., Inc., Post Office Box 62, Route 54 North, Kankakee, Ill., 60901. Send protests to: Charles J. Kudelka, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Room 1086, Interstate Commerce Commission, 219 South Dearborn Street, U.S. Courthouse and Federal Office Building, Chicago, Ill., 60604.

No. MC 128122 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: STATE TRANSPORT COMPANY, Post Office Box 691, Corvallis, Oreg. Applicant's representative: John H. Gallagher, Jr. (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber*, from Philomath, Oreg., to Portland, Oreg., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: Clemens Forest Products, Inc., Post Office Box 668, Philomath, Oreg. Send protests to: A. E. Odoms, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 450 Multnomah Building, Portland, Oreg., 97204.

No. MC 128138 TA, filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: ATLANTIC-PACIFIC PILOT AND DRIVE EXCHANGE, INC., 609 Sutter Street, San Francisco, Calif., 94102. Applicant's representative: Bertram S. Silver, 140 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif., 94104. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Motor vehicles including automobiles and trucks* in driveaway service, between points in California and Hawaii, on the one hand, and, points in the United States, on the other hand, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Stone Rent A Car, 648 Mission Street, San Francisco, Calif., 94105; Merrill's Mayflower Moving & Storage, 135 Townsend Street, San Francisco, Calif.; Universal C.I.T. Finance Corp. of Santa Clara, 3275 Stevens Creek Road, Santa Clara, Calif., 95050; Neal McNeil Automobiles, 1361 Bush Street, San Francisco, Calif., 94109; John E. Goggin Auto Mart, Inc., 1601 Franklin Street, San Francisco, Calif.; Hays Moving & Storage, 2750 Adeline Street, Berkeley, Calif.; the Mechanics Bank of Richmond, Calif., 2200 Macdonald Avenue, Richmond, Calif.; Pacific Van & Storage Co., Inc., 413 Browning Way, South San Francisco, Calif. Send protests to: William R. Murdoch, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36004, San Francisco, Calif., 94102.

No. MC 128139 TA, filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: WILLIAM V. MURPHY, doing business as MERCURY TRANSPORT, Stapleton International Airport, Denver, Colo., 80207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* having immediately prior or subsequent movement by air, between Golden, Colo., and Stapleton International Airport, Denver, Colo., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Adolph Coors Co. and Coors Porcelain Co., Golden, Colo. Send protests to: Luther H. Oldham, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, 2022 Federal Building, Denver, Colo., 80202.

No. MC 128140 TA, filed May 2, 1966. Applicant: ARLO MILLER, doing business as M & N TRUCK LINE, 431 Adams Street, Afton, Wyo., 83110. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Gasoline and fuel oil*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Woods Cross and Salt Lake City, Utah, to points in Lincoln County, Wyo., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Sessions Oil Co., 531 Adams, Afton, Wyo., 83110; Scotts Chevron Service, 307 Washington, Afton, Wyo., 83110. Send protests to: Paul A. Naughton, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations and Compliance, Interstate Commerce Commission, D & S Building, 255 North Center Street, Casper, Wyo., 82601.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, *Secretary*.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5068; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 1343]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

MAY 5, 1966.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC–FC–68670. By order of April 29, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to DeNagel Bros., Inc., Norfolk, Va., of the operating rights in certificates Nos. MC–4920, MC–4920 (Sub-No. 6) and a portion of the operating rights in No. MC–4920 (Sub-No. 7), issued January 13, 1950 and February 16, 1951, respectively, to C. O. Bell, doing business as Bell Transfer & Storage, Lawton, Okla., authorizing the transportation of: *Household goods*, as defined in *Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods*, 17 M.C.C. 467, over irregular routes, between points in Cleveland and McClain Counties, Okla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Kansas, and Texas, between points in Oklahoma, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Colorado, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, and Texas, between points in Beckham County, Okla., and those within 50 miles of Beckham County, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oklahoma, Texas, and New Mexico. Robert J. Gallagher, attorney for transferee, 111 State Street, Boston, Mass., and Ralph Newcombe, Security Trust Building, Lawton, Okla., attorney for transferor.

No. MF–FC–68674. By order of April 29, 1966, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to DeNagel Bros., Inc., Norfolk, Va., of a portion of the operating rights in certificate No. MC–14751 (Sub-No. 1) issued June 22, 1944, to Nelson Transfer & Storage Co., a corporation, Charleston, W. Va., authorizing the

transportation of: *Household goods*, as defined in *Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods*, 17 M.C.C. 467, over irregular routes, (1) between points and places in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee, and (2) between points in North Carolina and Tennessee, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky and Delaware. Robert J. Gallagher, 111 State Street, Boston, Mass., attorney for transferee, and W. T. Brotherton, Jr., 1020 Kanawha Valley Building, Charleston, W. Va., attorney for transferor.

No. MC–FC–68675. By order of April 29, 1966 the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Olney Van & Storage, Inc., Norfolk, Va., a portion of the operating rights in certificate No. MC–14751 (Sub-No. 1) issued June 22, 1944, to Nelson Transfer & Storage Co., a corporation, Charleston, W. Va., authorizing the transportation of: *Household goods*, as defined in *Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods*, 17 M.C.C. 467, over irregular routes, between points and places in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee, on the one hand, and, on the other, points and places in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia. Restriction: Except between points in North Carolina and Tennessee, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Kentucky and Delaware. Robert J. Gallagher, 111 State Street, Boston, Mass., attorney for transferee, and W. T. Brotherton, Jr., 1020 Kanawha Valley Building, Charleston, W. Va., attorney for transferor.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 66–5069; Filed, May 9, 1966; 8:47 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—MAY

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during May.

3 CFR

Page

PROCLAMATION:
- 3718 — 6567
- 3719 — 6607
- 3720 — 6679
- 3721 — 6817
- 3722 — 6855

EXECUTIVE ORDER:
- 11277 — 6609
- 11278 — 6681
- 11017 (Superseded by EO 11278) — 6681
- 11069 (Superseded by EO 11278) — 6681
- 11218 (Superseded by EO 11278) — 6681

PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
- Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1966 — 6857

5 CFR

- 213 — 6769, 6859
- 772 — 6569

7 CFR

- 7 — 6569
- 50 — 6570
- 68 — 6629
- 718 — 6859
- 722 — 6573, 6580, 6859
- 724 — 6819
- 729 — 6581
- 813 — 6819
- 815 — 6860
- 908 — 6825
- 909 — 6825
- 910 — 6826
- 959 — 6860
- 980 — 6629
- 1098 — 6581
- 1099 — 6861
- 1427 — 6861
- 1434 — 6582
- 1490 — 6862

PROPOSED RULES:
- 52 — 6871
- 58 — 6715
- 916 — 6871
- 965 — 6592
- 1032 — 6631
- 1050 — 6631
- 1065 — 6873
- 1126 — 6631

8 CFR

- 214 — 6611

12 CFR

- 1 — 6826

14 CFR

Page

- 39 — 6582, 6685, 6790
- 71 — 6582, 6584, 6791, 6826, 6827, 6864
- 97 — 6612, 6685, 6828
- 137 — 6685
- 151 — 6686
- 208 — 6620
- 223 — 6584
- 320 — 6585
- 378 — 6621

PROPOSED RULES:
- 71 — 6716, 6717, 6837, 6838, 6873
- 121 — 6592

15 CFR

- 30 — 6587
- 373 — 6707
- 374 — 6707
- 377 — 6707
- 379 — 6709
- 382 — 6707, 6709
- 384 — 6709, 6864
- 385 — 6709
- 399 — 6707, 6709, 6829, 6866

16 CFR

- 15 — 6866

17 CFR

- 200 — 6588
- 240 — 6705, 6706

19 CFR

- 1 — 6611
- 10 — 6769

PROPOSED RULES:
- 1 — 6871

20 CFR

- 404 — 6611

21 CFR

Page

- 121 — 6830
- 131 — 6705
- 146c — 6830
- 191 — 6622

PROPOSED RULES:
- 51 — 6792

24 CFR

- 200 — 6866

26 CFR

- 1 — 6589
- 31 — 6589

28 CFR

- 0 — 6867

29 CFR

- 548 — 6769
- 800 — 6770

31 CFR

- 202 — 6590
- 316 — 6831
- 332 — 6686, 6831

32 CFR

Page

- 300 — 6831

32A CFR

- BDSA (Ch. VI):
- BDSA Reg. 2 — 6590

33 CFR

- 207 — 6590, 6622, 6867
- 208 — 6707

35 CFR

- 255 — 6831

38 CFR

- 2 — 6770
- 21 — 6771

39 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
- 21 — 6715
- 24 — 6715

41 CFR

Page

- 6–1 — 6622
- 6–2 — 6623
- 6–3 — 6623
- 6–5 — 6624
- 6–7 — 6624
- 6–11 — 6624
- 6–30 — 6624
- 6–60 — 6625
- 22–60 — 6625
- 101–45 — 6831

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
- 3977 (amended by PLO 4000) — 6868
- 4000 — 6868

PROPOSED RULES:
- 3130 — 6834
- 3160 — 6836

45 CFR

- 177 — 6591
- 801 — 6591

46 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
- 510 — 6792
- 512 — 6838
- 513 — 6838

47 CFR

- 0 — 6627, 6831
- 1 — 6831, 6868
- 73 — 6627, 6628, 6868

PROPOSED RULES:
- 73 — 6637, 6638, 6792, 6838, 6874

49 CFR

- 71–79 — 6591
- 95 — 6832

50 CFR

- 33 — 6629, 6832, 6869
- 60 — 6833

91

FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 31 • NUMBER 91

Wednesday, May 11, 1966 • Washington, D.C.

Pages 6887–6939

Agencies in this issue—

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.