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## INTRODUCTION

Ir is much to be regretted that the phallus-element should be so conspicuous in the present Play; for, in other respects, there are few dramas-ancient or modern-which contain more noble sentiments or mere poetic beauty than the Lysistrata of Aristophanes.

And in truth this very coarseness, so repulsive to ourselves, so amusing to an Athenian audience, was introduced, it is impossible to doubt, for the express purpose of counterbalancing the extreme gravity and earnestness of the Play. . The debate between Lysistrata and the Chief Magstrate, her lecture to both Spartans and Athenians on their misdoings, and even the beautiful lyrics with which the drama concludes might, unless framed in the most ludicrous and farcical setting, have well been
 $\tau \rho v \gamma \varphi \delta o i ̂ s$. But none could object on this score to wise counsels and political censures delivered by a woman in Lysistrata's position; and the more practical her advice to the combatants becomes, the coarser the butfoonery with which we find it surrounded. That a Poet, from the boards of an Athenian theatre, should, for example, recommend the Athenians, for the sake of Peace, to surrender Plus, would hardly have been tolerated unless the recommendation had been dressed up in the broadest and most fantastical costume. The sorrows of Athenian mothers for their sons, of Athenian maidens for their lovers, who had gone to the battle-fields from which they never returned, would have been a topic far toe moving and pathetic for Comedy, had it not been made a part of the quarrel between the insurgent Women and the outraged Magistrate. And amidst the wildest buffoonery, there is always perceptible an undertone of sadness, and of genuine anxiety about the terrible position in which at that moment Athens found herself placed.

For the Play was written in the year 412 b.c. at the very darkest period of the Peloponnesian War, the darkest, that is to say, before the ultimate disaster of Aegospotami, and the consequent Fall of Athens. It was produced at the commencement of the year 411 b. C., but whether at the Lenaea or at the Great Dionysia, and with what success, the scanty record which has come down to us contains nothing to show. At the time when Aristophanes commenced it, he must have felt grave doubt whether it would ever be exhibited in an Athenian theatre.

It was in the autumn of the year 413 that the news of the overwhelming catastrophe in Sicily reached the Athenian people. It was so totally unexpected that at first they refused to believe it. They were still dreaming of an ever-brightening future-anticipating daily the surrender of Syracuse, to be followed by the immediate extension of their power over the entire island, and, later, by the inclusion of the whole Hellenic race within the triumphant Athenian Empire-when the fatal blow fell. The flower of their fleets and armies, the most splendid armaments that had ever left an Hellenic harbour, had been, not merely defeated, but utterly amnihilated: and their foremost citizens, their political and military leaders, had perished in the general ruin. They had few, and those their least serviceable, triremes remaining, and little money wherewith to equip new ones. Their allies, even those which were most important and had been esteemed the most faithful, were everywhere falling away, each wishing, as in the days of Brasidas, to be the first to revolt; so that Sparta was even embarrassed by the applications which reached her from every part of the crumbling empire. The victorious triremes of Syracuse were speeding over the sea; the whole Peloponnesian Confederacy was stirred to new life and activity; the States which had hitherto been nentral were now turning against them; their foes were gathering in on every side to be present at the downfall, now judged to be imminent, of the Tyrant City. The future was wrapped in the darkest gloom ; there was no gleam of hope in any quarter of the horizon. They could no longer put faith in the promise of
their favourite oracle that Athens, after long toil and pain, should yet soar for ever and ever as an Eagle in the clouds of heaven ; the Eagle's wing' was broken, and the hunters were close upon her. Thucydides, in the first chapter of his Eighth Book, paints with a few vigorous strokes the alarm and utter hopelessness which prevailed in the city: nevertheless, he says, they determined that they would not give in (èठóкєь X $\rho \hat{\eta} v a \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$ देvòıóóvaı), but would do all in their power, collecting timber and money from whatever source they could, to build a new navy in the place of the fleets they had lost. Amongst the various measures which they adopted to meet the emergency, the most important, or at all events the most intercsting to a reader of the Lysistrata, was the creation of a Board of Ten Probuli, a sort of Committee of Public Safety:

This seems to have been a genuine effort on the part of the democracy to protect itself against its own hasty impulses. The office of $\Pi_{\rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o \iota, ~}^{\text {, }}$ though new to the Athenian constitution, was common in Dorian states, and is regarded by Aristotle as a distinctively oligarchical institution, and as being, wherever it existed, superior to all other magistracies and offices in the State. "There are three supreme magistracies," he says in one place, " $\nu о \mu о \phi v ́ \lambda а к \epsilon s, \pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o \iota, \beta о v \lambda \eta$; and of these the vоцофи́лакєs are an aristocratic institution, the $\pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o \iota ~ a n ~ o l i g a r c h i c, ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ \beta o v \lambda \eta ̀ ~$ democratic ${ }^{1}$." And again speaking of $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \beta o v ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ả $\chi \chi \grave{\eta}$ he says "aútך
 business it is to devise measures to be laid before the Demus; and this
 few in number, and so they are oligarchic. And where $\pi \rho o \beta^{\beta}$ ounoc and a $\beta$ oud $\grave{\eta}$ coexist in the same State, the $\pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o c$ are set over the $\beta$ ou入 ${ }^{\prime}$; for the $\beta$ ov $\bar{\epsilon} \tau \tau \grave{\jmath}$ s is democratic, but the $\pi \rho$ ó $\beta o v \lambda o c$ oligarchic ${ }^{2}$." And

 -Politics, vi. 5. 13.




elsewhere, speaking of what he describes as the supreme magistracy in the State, $\grave{\eta} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ кvpía $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$, he says that the power which convenes the assemblies is sometimes called $\pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o \iota$, oià $\tau o ̀ m \rho o \beta o v-$ $\lambda \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$, but in a democracy it is called $\beta$ ov $\lambda \eta^{1}$. And in yet another passage where he is considering the share which under the various constitutions the Demus has, or ought to have, in the government, he says, "In oligarchies it is expedient either to co-opt some persons out of the Demus, or else to establish a magistracy ( $\hat{a} \rho \chi \in \hat{\imath} 0 v$ ) such as those which exist in some states under the name of Прóßovえoc or Noцофv́лакєs, and for the Demus to deliberate and decide upon matters laid before them by that magistracy; for so the Demus will have a share in the decisions, but will be unable to upset anything in the constitution ${ }^{2}$."

In all these passages Aristotle is not describing the position of the Пoóßovлoc in any particular State; he is considering the meaning which the Hellenic people in general attached to the name and office of $\Pi \rho \rho^{-}-$ ßov̀oc. And it seems impossible to doubt that the Board of Прóßovлo established by the Athenians in their hour of danger were Про́ßovioc in the ordinary acceptation of the word. ${ }^{3}$ And with this the presentation
 ủ̀८үарХькóv.—Id. iv. 12. 8.









${ }^{3}$ In the Polity of Athens (chap. 29) Aristotle mentions the $\delta$ '́кa $\pi \rho \dot{\beta} \beta o v \lambda o \iota$ now appointed, but says nothing as to their duties. Thucydides (viii. 1) describes
 $\pi \rho о \beta$ ovдєv́бovaıv. Mr. Grote, naturally indignant at the notion that a democracy in its hour of peril should attempt to save itself by adopting an oligarehic institution, flings all the authorities overboard. "The passages in Aristotle's Politics, wherein the word Прóßovえor occurs, will not authorize any inference with respect to this Board in the special case of Athens." "I cannot think it
of the Прóßounos in the present Comedy is in complete accord. He is attended by, and issues his orders to, the Scythian archers whom else-where-in the Acharnians, the Knights, and the Thesmophoriazusae-we find attending upon, and obeying the orders of, the $\beta$ oun $\eta$; it is he who comes, not as an agent for others but in his own right, to the Acropolis, to obtain the means of rebuilding the fleet; to him, and not to Council or Ecclesia, Lysistrata develops her scheme of reform; and when the negotiations for Peace commence, he leaves the stage for the purpose of telling, not asking, the $\beta$ ov $\lambda \grave{\eta}$ to send plenipotentiaries to treat with those who have arrived from Sparta. We have already in the Acharnians seen the Прó $\beta$ ovioc of Megara, as the supreme authority, taking counsel as to the best course to be pursued by the State.

Of these Probuli we know the names of two ${ }^{1}$; Sophocles, probably but not certainly the Poet, and Hagnon the colonizer of Amphipolis and the father of Theramenes. The others were doubtless also citizens in whose integrity and capacity the greatest reliance was placed ; and so far as the conduct of the war was concerned they thoroughly justified their selection. Never perhaps since the great days of the Persian Wars does Athens so entirely claim our sympathy and admiration as when, under the guidance of these Probuli, she set herself, withont ships, without money, and without hope, to prepare for what both she and her enemies considered her last struggle not merely for Empire but for her own existence. The terrible calamity which had befallen the city had sobered all classes; the voice of the demagogue was hushed; and the people were content to adopt with docility the measures devised for them by their wisest heads.
admissible to draw inferences as to the functions of this Board of Probuli now constituted from the proceedings of the Probulus in Aristophanis Lysistrata." He gives no reason for either of these surprising propositions.
${ }^{1}$ As to Sophocles, see Aristotle's Rhetoric iii. 18. As to Hagnon, see Lysias against Eratosthenes, 66 (p. 126), where he is described merely as $\delta$ tarijp rov Өŋpauévous. The notion that Theramenes was the adopted, and not the real, son of Hagnon, seems to have arisen from a misunderstinding of the joke in Frogs 970, where see the Commentary.

The first and most pressing necessity was the creation and equipment of a competent navy. This would of course require a very large expenditure, and how were they to obtain the money? Fortunately at the very outset of the war a sum of 1,000 talents had been set apart out of the money in the Acropolis as a reserve to be used only in the event of an actual attack upon the city by a hostile fleet; and for any person who proposed, or put to the vote, a resolution for diverting it to any other purpose, the penalty decreed was death ${ }^{1}$. That reserve had never been tonched; the need for it had never arisen. Nor had the specified event occurred even now. But since the disaster in Sicily, Athens had been expecting to see the triumphant Syracusan triremes bearing down upon Peiraens ${ }^{2}$; and she had nothing to oppose to them comparable with those magnificent fleets which they had already, in fair fight, defeated and destroyed. And when the most powerful of her subject allies-Chios with its 60 triremes, Lesbos, Euboca, and others-were going over to the enemy, it was practically certain that she would before long be attacked by sea as well as by land, unless she could forthwith extemporize a new navy capable of holding its own. So then, no doubt by the advice of the Probuli, two resolutions were passed; one, revoking the death-penalty, the other authorizing the immediate employment of the thousand talents for ship-building purposes.

The money having been thus provided, the next step was to provide a sufficient supply of timber. This was always a difficulty with the Athenians, for Attica itself could supply little for building triremes, and in particular for making the oars which had to be extremely strong and, especially for the upper tiers of rowers, of very great length also. Almost all the timber they required they were obliged to import ; most of it came from Macedonia ${ }^{3}$. One of the benefits which they hoped to

[^0]obtain from their anticipated conquest of Sicily and South Italy ${ }^{1}$ was a plentiful supply of timber for building triremes; and in later times a potentate could have no surer passport to the gratitude of the Athenian people than by making them a gift of timber ${ }^{2}$ for that purpose. In the present crisis Thucydides snggests, rather than states, the difficulty by saying in the first instance that the Athenians resolved to create a navy ${ }^{3}$, procuring timber and money from whatever quarter they could; and afterwards, that they did in fact proceed with their ship-building ${ }^{4}$ having procured timber. And doubtless there were many still friendly to Athens who would be willing, if they could, to supply her necessities; and others would do the same as a commercial speculation. We have in the speech of Andocides de Reditu suo an interesting little notice about a cargo of ship-timber brought from Macedonia for the use of the Athenian navy, certainly a very few months, possibly a very few weeks, after the production of the Lysistrata. Andocides is endeavouring to show that even during the period of his enforced absence from Athens, he was doing what he could to benefit the State. And he tells us that King Archelaus, the son and successor of Perdiccas, being his hereditary friend, gave him leave to cut down and export as much timber as he liked for oar-spars. Accordingly he at once brought a cargo of oar-spars, кютє́as, to the armament at Samos, and though he might have sold them, he says, at the rate of five drachmas apiece, he declined to receive anything beyond what they had cost himself. And, magnifying his own merits, he
[Demosthenes], against Timotheus 1192, 1194-6; Theophrastus, Characters, chap. 23 Пєрі̀ àaa乡oveias.
${ }^{1}$ "After we had subdued Sicily and South Italy," said Alcibiades, explaining to the Lacedaemonians the motives which induced Athens to undertake the Sicilian expedition, "we should have built many additional triremes éxoúoŋs rīs'Ita入ias छ́v $\lambda a$ ä $\phi \theta o \nu a . "-T h u c . ~ v i . ~ 90 . ~$
${ }^{2}$ Plutarch, "Demetrius," chap x. Demetrius promised the Athenians as much ship-timber as would build a hundred triremes, $\xi \dot{\jmath} \lambda \omega \nu \nu a v \pi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma i \mu \omega \nu ~ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o s}$ єis є́като̀̀ $\tau \rho \iota \grave{\prime} \rho \epsilon \iota$ וs.
 хри́мата,—viii. 1.

declares that but for the supplies he brought the question would have been, not whether the armament could save Athens, but whether it could itself be saved. The date of the transaction is fixed by the statement that the Four Hundred were then in power. Andocides, expecting nothing but praise and gratitude for the important service he had rendered, passed on from Samos to Athens, and found to his dismay that the relations between the armament at Samos and the Four Hundred at Athens were so hostile, that he was at once arrested and brought before the Council on a charge of having supplied corn and oar-spars ${ }^{1}$ to "the enemy," and, according to his own account, narrowly escaped with his life. And he bewails his singular ill-fortune in being imprisoned (1) when the democracy was in power, for having wronged the Demus [in the affair of the mutilation of the Hermae], and (2) when the oligarchy was in power, for having befriended the Demus.

The foregoing little narrative illustrates in a striking manner the scene in the Lysistrata, where the $\Pi \rho o \beta_{\beta o v \lambda o s ~ i s ~ h a s t e n i n g ~ t o ~ t h e ~ t r e a s u r y ~ i n ~ t h e ~}^{\text {en }}$ Acropolis, now replenished by the thousand talents, to obtain money for the immediate purchase of-what? к $\omega \pi \epsilon \in a s$, the very articles which at this moment Andocides, knowing how sorely they were needed, was preparing' to bring from Macedonia across the Aegaean to the Athenian navy.

Nothing could have been more judicious, or in its results more effective, than the measures carried into execution under the auspices of the Probuli for the immediate protection of Athens. But it is impossible for a Board to excite the enthusiasm or inspire the confidence which might be willingly accorded to an individual leader of tried capacity and integrity. And we can well believe, without the testimony of Lysistrata (lines 523,524 ), that the citizens would soon be found inquiring in the streets whether there was no max in the land who would guide them

[^1]into the way of safety. Enough may have been done to stave off the peril of an immediate collapse of the Athenian defence; but not enough to justify the slightest hope that Athens would survive the termination of the war. Almost at the very time when the Lysistrata was being exhibited, Peisander, finding his oligarchical proposals strongly opposed, asked his opponents, one by one, whether apart from his proposals they had any hope that the City could be saved ${ }^{1}$; and they, one and all, replied that they had no hope. Nor was it till much later in the year 411 that the people ventured to entertain even a hope that they might yet be able to emerge in safety from the War. For months the two main fleets-that of the Athenians on the one hand, and that of the Peloponnesians, Sicilians, and their orrn disaffected allies on the otherhad been manœuvring amongst the islands off the coast of Asia Minor, unwilling to risk everything on the hazard of a decisive engagement. But at length a general battle took place in the narrow waters of the Hellespont, and the Athenians, under the command of Thrasyllus and Thrasybulus, found themselves, apparently somewhat to their own surprise, the victors of a fleet more numerous than their own. Thucydides tells us that when the news of this unhoped for good fortune arrived at Athens the people were greatly encouraged, and thought that with energy and perseverance they might yet be able to surmount their difficulties ${ }^{2}$. Moreover they had then found a man in whose capacity, at all events, they could place the ntmost reliance; one who, had his character been equal to his genius, would have stood in the very foremost rank of Hellenic statesmen. Alcibiades, who in 412 had been the leading' spirit of the Spartan counsels, had now again thrown in his lot with Athens, and had already done her a service than which, as Thucydides says, no man ever rendered her a greater, and which no man but he would have been able to render her at the existing crisis. For at once,

[^2]on his arrival at Samos, he showed his superiority to the ordinary factionleaders and demagogues who were the curse of Athens, by preventing the outbreak, then imminent, of a fratricidal war between the armament at Samos and the Government at Athens, and so paving the way for that happy compromise which produced what Thucydides considers to have been the best constitution that Athens had ever, in his lifetime, enjoyed. But all this took place long afterwards. At the time when the present Comedy was composed and exhibited the prospect before the Athenians was one of umrelieved gloom. It was in a period of hopeless despondency that Lysistrata developed her original scheme for a general pacification of the warring Hellenic states.
"The Lysistrata of Aristophanes, in some of its scenes," says the late Bishop Wordsworth of Lincoln, is the best topographical guide-book to the Athenian Acropolis." (Athens and Attica, chap. xiv ad fin.) For the whole action of the Comedy is concerned with the Acropolis. The scene is, throughout, the open space before its entrance; and its seizure by the Women, and the manner in which they hold it against the Men, constitute the central events around which are grouped all the various incidents of the Play. And it may perhaps assist unlearned readers (for whom alone the following remarks are intended) to realize and appreciate the setting of the Comedy, if we devote a few pages to the illustration of the locality in which the action of the Play is supposed to be carried on.

The Acropolis itself, the original Athens, is an isolated rock, rising to a height of about 500 feet above the level of the sea. In its natural state its summit would doubtless have been rugged and

The Acropolis. irregular, but it was levelled by the Pelasgians, the preHellenic inhabitants of the land; and, as levelled, became an elevated plateau about 1,000 feet long and 450 feet broad at its widest part. Its contour is shown on the accompanying Plan. This plateau they surrounded with a wall ${ }^{1}$ ( (ò $\Pi_{\epsilon \lambda a \sigma \gamma \iota \kappa \grave{o} \nu) ~ w h i c h ~ l a s t e d, ~}^{\text {l }}$

[^3]
apparently unaltered, till the time of the Persian invasion. But then, during the double occupation of Athens, first under Xerxes himself and afterwards under Mardonius, not only was the great 'Temple of Athene, the Erechtheium, set on fire, but all the other buildings of the Acropolis, including the wall, were thrown down, and consumed in one general conflagration ${ }^{1}$. The portion of the Wall which was most completely demolished was that on the southern side of the platean; and this, some fourteen years later, was rebuilt by Cimon with the money arising from the spoil obtained in his expedition to the Eurymedon ${ }^{2}$. The rest of the wall seems to have been merely restored out of its old materials and the ruins of the other edifices destroyed by the Persians. The southern wall is therefore commonly called the "Cimonian," and the rest the "Pelasgian." But even the restoration by Hellenic hands of the "Pelasgian" wall must have radically changed its character; and modern antiquaries are unable to detect any traces of pre-Hellenic work except in the little strip on the western side of the Acropolis, immediately to the south of the Propylaea.

The entire Acropolis was holy ground ${ }^{3}$; and in the present Play it is styled "a holy Temple," "a holy sanctuary," ífòs vaòs, íєpòv $\tau \epsilon ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s$ (lines 483, 775) ; and with greater particularity "Athene's mansion," "the acropolis of the Goddess" (lines 241, 345). For numerous as were the Temples and statues which adorned its summit, they were all dominated by the triple presentment ${ }^{4}$ of Athene as the Пo入ıàs, the Пap $\theta \dot{\varepsilon} \nu o s$,

[^4]and the Поóraxos. The Пo入ıàs dwelt in the Ereehtheium; the Пap日évos in the Parthenon; the Про́дахоs was merely a colossal statue in the open, without any Temple attached to it.

The Erechtheium was the most aneient Temple in Athens, it had been in existence for many centuries before the Persian invasion, and was the $i \in p o ̀ v$ which, Herodotus tells us, was pillaged and fired by the invaders. It is mentioned by Homer under the

The
Erechitieium. name of the $\delta o ́ \mu o s$ ' $E \rho \in \chi \theta \hat{\eta}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{1}$, and it is thither that Athene betakes herself on leaving Seheria (Coreyra), where, in the guise of a maiden bearing a pitcher, she has been showing Odysseus the way to the palace of King Alcinous. Both Erechtheus and the Temple are mentioned also in the Catalogue of the Ships, but that passage, whieh is


 Scholiast on Demosthenes against Androtion, p. 597.

 бкєvar $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$. -Scholiast on Aristeides, Panath. 187, 20 (vol. iii. 320, ed. Dindorf). And another Scholiast on the same passage says $\bar{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau \bar{\eta} s$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ं \nu ~ a ́ к \rho о \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~$







But though there were three áyá $\lambda \mu a \tau a$, only two of them were lodged in Temples,

 Фєıoiov $\pi \lambda$ ágàros.

From the place, or any of the places, where Homer is supposed to have dwelt Marathon would be on the direct road to Athens; but the poet forgot that the goddess, flying from the west, would reach Athens before she reached Marathon.
given in the note below ${ }^{1}$, is almost certainly an interpolation. The Temple was burnt a second time in the year of the battle of Arginusae ${ }^{2}$, but on neither occasion was it totally destroyed, nor does there seem ever to have been any real break in its cxistence or use ${ }^{3}$ as a Temple. It is impossible here to enter into the discussion as to the date at which it finally assumed the fair Ionic form so familiar to us all; but whether partly in ruins, or restored, or rebuilt, it was always the "ancient"
 original primeval seat of Athene's worship. And the image which it enshrined, $\tau \grave{o}$ à $\not \subset a \hat{\imath} o v$ ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$, a simple olive-wood statue, was supposed to be no mere product of human art, but to have fallen down from Heaven (Pausanias i. 26. 7). It was indeed identified with the famous Palladium of Troy which, the story went, was carried from the captured city by the hero Diomed. His ships, on the return voyage, were driven by night on the coast of Attica; and the troops, not knowing whither they had arrived, and believing the country to be hostile, betook themselves to ravage and plunder. Demophon the King of Athens, the King who figures in the Heracleidae of Euripides, not knowing who the plunderers were, at once attacked them, and in the course of the conflict the Palladium was seized and carried off to Athens (Pausanias i. 28. 9). And it may be that the custom of bringing the splendidly embroidered

1
 in his learned treatise on "Minervae Poliadis sacra et aedem in arce Athenarum" (Göttingen, 1820), thinks that these words are an interpolation because at that time "templum vix absolutum, nedum retus esse poterat" (p. 19). But this objection is perhaps sufficiently answered by the observations in the text. And cf. Boeckh, Corpus Ins. Graec., No. 160.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Herodotus viii. 55 . A building composed of stone or marble and elamped with iron or lead is not easily destroyed by fire.

П'́ $\pi \lambda$ os to this wooden statue at the feast of the Great Panathenaea was a reminiscence of the Trojan usage which Homer describes in the Sisth Book of the Iliad. There Hector exhorts his mother to go with the ancient women of Troy, and lay on the knees of Athene her most prized and beautiful $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \lambda o s$. I quote the sequel from Mr. Way's version.
> "Then hasted his mother, and gave command to the maids in her hall, And they fared up and down through the city the ancient women to call. But the Queen passed on, and now in her scented bower she stands; There lay the broidery-glorious robes ( $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda o t$ ) the work of the hands Of Sidonian women, brought far over the waters wide. . . . And from these for Athene she taketh a lovely-woven pall; Brightest its broideries shone, and its folds swept widest of all ; And it gleamed and it flashed as a star; mid her treasures the deepest it lay. Then with the throng of the ancient women she hied her away.

> So they came to the castled crag, and Athene's stately fane; And Theano the fair-cheeked opened the door for the suppliant train.... And they lifted their hands to Athene, and woeful-wild they shrieked;
> And the priceless mantle ( $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda o \nu$ ) she took, Theano the beautiful-cheeked; On the knees of Athene she laid it, the Maid of the glorious hair, And she cried to the daughter of Zeus most high with vow and prayer."

Pausanias (i. 26. 7) will not commit himself to any decided opinion as to whether the wooden statue did, or did not, actually fall down from Heaven; but he tells us that whereas all Athens, and indeed all Attica, was Athene's soil, yet of all her innumerable sanctuaries and representations, the wooden image in the Acropolis was accounted of all men the most sacred, тò à $\gamma \iota \omega$ т́atov $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Hellenic architects could build more majestic temples; Hellenic sculptors could conceive and execute far. grander representations of the national Goddess; but they could not invest their creations with the religious awe which hung around the simple wooden figure of the Erechtheium, the mysterious Palladium of the Athenian people. Hence it was commonly called merely tò $\pi a \lambda a \iota o ̀ v$, $\beta \rho \in ́ \tau a s$, tò à $\rho \times a i ̂ o v a ̆ \gamma a \lambda \mu a$, or even tò äytov $\beta \rho \in ́ \tau a s$. In this very Play (line 262) the Chorus of Men exclaim against the Women for having seized the Acropolis and taken possession of tò á ${ }^{\prime}$ เov $\beta \rho \epsilon \in \tau a s$, as if there were no other sacred statue in the entire Acropolis. In the Eumenides
(line 80) Apollo, advising Orestes how to be purged from the guilt of matricide, says Go to the City of Pallas, and there take thy seat, embracing
 it necessary to say whose statue; he does not even use the definite article. There is in the British Museum a lengthy inscription brought by Chandler from Athens recording the state of the works at the Erechtheium in the archonship of Diocles B.c. 409-408, some three years before the second conflagration ; and in this inscription the Temple is called,
 inscription is given, with a Latin translation and copions annotations, in Miuller's treatise mentioned in the note on page xxii, and by Boeckh in the Corpus Ins. Graec., No. 160 ; and, with an English translation, in Stuart and Revett's Antiquities. Before this sacrosanct image a golden lamp was always burning day and night, a lamp so fashioned that it contained exactly sufficient oil to last an entire year, and accordingly required to be replenished only once a year; whence in Strabo ix. 1. 16 the Temple is
 somewhere in the precincts of the Temple that the little MysteryMaidens, àpplфópol, mentioned in line 641 of this Play, or at all events the two of them who assisted the priestesses in embroidering the Panathenaic $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \lambda$ गos, were lodged during their term of office. Here too was the abode of the sacred serpent, whose opportune disappearance when the Persians were approaching the City gave such efficient assistance to the plans of Themistocles. It may indeed be said with truth that all the sacred traditions and ritual observances of Athene clustered around the Erechtheium. They had no doubt done so long before the Parthenon was built. And as we know that the National Treasury was in the Acropolis, in Athene's Temple, $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{a} \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \varrho ̣ ̂$ as Lampito says in line 174 of this Comedy, it would a priori seem probable that it was placed under the protection of the Goddess in her most sacred and venerated sanctuary. But hefore considering this subject, it is necessary to make a few observations upon the structure and internal arrangement of the Erechtheium.

The particulars of the Erechtheium were first made known by Stuart and Revett in the second volume of their great work on the Antiquities of Athens. They described it as a conglomeration of three buildings, not all on the same level; and they called these three buildings (wrongly, as I think) "the Temples of Erechtheus, Minerva Polias, and Pandrosus," taking the section marked B in the accompanying Plan to be the Temple of Erechtheus; that marked C to be the Temple of the Polias ; and the small section to the south of D to be the Temple of Pandrosus. In this small section the roof was supported by the female figures known as Caryatides, one of which is now in the British Museum. C. O. Müller, however, in the treatise to which reference has already been made, considers the section marked D to have been itself the Temple of Pandrosus. Mr. Fergusson, in a paper read before the Royal Institute of British architects on the 14th of February, 1876, and published in the "Sessional Papers, 1875, 1876, No. 8 " of that Institute, would relegate the Temple of Pandrosus to a further building, of which all traces are now lost, but which he imagines to have extended to the west of the existing ruins; and other writers have sought to provide for it in other quarters. In fact, the Erechtheium has acquired quite a literature of its own, mainly concerned with the attempt to locate three Temples in a building apparently designed for two only. It seems to me that all this ingenuity is misplaced; and that the Erechtheinm never in fact comprised more than two complete Temples, one of Poseidon-Erechtheus, and the other of Athene-Pandrosus.

The original Erechtheium belongs to prehistoric times and was appropriated to the worship of two Autochthonic deities, Erechtheus ('E $\rho \in X$ -
 cited in a preceding note) and Pandrosus. Erechtheus was the primeval Earth-shaker ${ }^{1}$, the power whose might could divide the ground, and open or dry up fountains; Pandrosus represented the all-bedewing, all-

[^5]refreshing influence attributed to the lunar radiance. But as the Hellenic race, or culture, superseded the indigenous people, or culture, of Attica, it was natural that the Hellenic deities should supersede the deities of the older religion. The building itself was allowed to retain the name of Erechtheus, but his worship, if continued at all, was con-
 ̇̀vooi'iatos; whilst the Virgin Goddess, Athene, the prime object of worship in the new order of things, naturally took the place which Pandrosus held under the older system. And thence I imagine it was that Athene ${ }^{1}$, in addition to her ordinary attributes, became sometimes identified with the Moon, and that some Athenian coins displayed a lunar emblem ${ }^{2}$ in conjunction with the olive-branch and owl of Pallas.

In this way, as it seems to me, Poseidon superseded Erechtheus as the supreme deity in one section, and Athene superseded Pandrosus as the supreme deity in the other. In each section however altars were erected, and worship offered to other powers, as to Hephaestus and Butes ${ }^{3}$ in Poseidon's Temple and to Zeus Herceius ${ }^{4}$ in Athene's. It would seem too that though Erechtheus became altogether merged in his supplanter Poseidon, yet the dethroned Pandrosus still retained a chapel or compartment, close to Athene's shrine, in the Temple of the Polias. This is

1 "Aristoteles ... Minervam esse Lunam probabilibus argumentis explicat, et litterata auctoritate defendit."-Arnobius adv. Nation. iii. 31, 33. Tрıтои $\boldsymbol{\eta v i s}^{\circ}$

 $\Sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu} \eta \nu \quad \nu \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \eta \nu$.-Harpocration. Cf. Müller's Treatise, p. 5.
${ }_{2}$ Tetradrachmis ante Periclem cusis ad noctuam et oleae ramum lunulam addere religiosum habebant.-Müller, p. 5.
${ }^{3}$ Pausanias i. 26. 6. The building itself was sometimes called тò $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a ̂ s$ 'Hpaiotov $\tau \epsilon$ i $\epsilon$ pò , and legends were invented to account for the conjunction of these two deities.

[^6]shown by the incident quoted from Philochorus in the preceding note, and also from the statement of Pausanias that the shrine of Pandrosus ${ }^{1}$ was contiguous to the shrine of Athene.

But the mere substitution of Poscidon and Athene for Erechtheus and Pandrosus was not enough to satisfy the loyal aspirations of Athenian religion. The name Erechtheium is itself sufficient to show that, in the original arrangement of the Temple, Erechtheus was considered a superior power to the female Pandrosus; but it was not to be tolerated that the national Goddess should hold in the national Temple a secondary place even to the great Poseidon. The transfer of the primacy from Poseidon to Athene is symbolized in the old legend as the result of an actual dispute between the two Powers with reference to the priority of their respective occupations of the platform of the Acropolis ${ }^{2}$. Poseidon had really come there first, and smote the ground with his trident, so that the salt waters gushed forth; but he did not take the precaution of securing a witness to his act. Athene, when she planted her olive, called Cecrops to witness that she did so; and on the strength of his evidence she was adjudged by the assembled Gods to be the Patroness
 necessary to say that there might be several vaò in one Temple. As to $\sigma v \nu \in \chi \dot{\eta} s \mathrm{cf}$. Hdt. iv. 22 where, after mentioning the Thyssagetae, the historian says that the









 'A $\theta$ invas.-Apollodorus III. xiv. 1. This legend, which shows the legal importance



 -Panath. 106, 15 (vol. i, p. 169, ed. Dindorf).
and Guardian of the City. The legend was evidently suggested by the fact that a pool of salt water existed in, or in comnexion with, one of the two Temples, whilst an olive-tree grew in, or in connexion ${ }^{1}$ with, the other. Thenceforward, Athene reigned as the undisputed Mistress of the City, the Пo入ıàs, the $\Pi \circ \lambda \iota o \hat{\chi} \chi o s$, the "Praeses arcis et urbis."

Long after the commencement of the Christian era, the olive, the pool of salt water, and the mark of Poseidon's trident were shown to Pausanias within the precincts of the Erechtheium.

Such, in my opinion, is the most probable account of the development of the Erechtheian worship. Poseidon superseded Erechtheus in the one Temple; Athene superseded Pandrosus in the other; and though Poseidon had the earlier 'claim to the primacy, it ultimately fell to Athene. And the architectural puzzle of arranging three Temples in a building only designed for two does not really exist. There never were more than two distinct Temples, though there were many shrines, in the one Erechtheium. In corroboration of the foregoing view I wonld point out the following circumstances.

1. Poseidon was known at Athens by the name of Erechtheus, and Athene by that of Pandrosus, from the deities whom they respectively superseded ${ }^{2}$.
${ }^{1}$ That the olive-tree was in a court, corridor, or other place open to the sky is to be inferred not merely from the nature of the case, but also from the statement of Philochorus quoted in a preceding note that it overhung the altar of Z $\epsilon$ v̀s ${ }^{\text {e }}$ E $\rho \kappa \epsilon$ ios, for that altar was always in the open. Sce Odyssey xxii. 334, with the note of Eustathius ; Athenacus v. 15 ; and Harpocration, Photius and Suidas, s. vv. 'Epкeios Z Zús.

 found in inscriptions. Then as to Pandrosus, the Scholiast on line 439 of this Play
 the meaning is too uncertain to admit of any argument being founded upon it. It consists of three hendecasyllabic lines:-
$\pi а \rho a ̀ ~ П a v \delta \rho o ́ \sigma o v ~ \dot{\alpha} s ~ ф i ́ \lambda \eta \nu ~ ' А \theta \eta v a ̂ v . ~$

I do not know whether viкпу ean be used for viкптйpoov. If it can, the poet may be
2. The pool of salt water which Poseidon called forth from the rock would of course be in his Temple, but being called the $\theta a \dot{\lambda} \alpha a \sigma \sigma a$ ' $e_{\rho \in \chi} \theta \eta$ 's it was also no doubt in the Temple of Erechtheus. The olivetree which Athene planted must needs have been in her Temple, yet Philochorus and Apollodorus say that it was in the Pandroseium.
3. Athene Polias and Poseidon (or Erechtheus) are constantly spoken of as the two co-tenants of the Temple without any mention of Pandrosus as a sharer in their dignity. Thus in Plutarch's Symposiacs (ix. 6) one guest says to another "Don't be intractable like Aias, but go rather with Poseidon. He was worsted by Athene, but he shares with her the Temple; and an altar of Lethe is set up therein." Herodotus (v. 82) tells us that the Athenians gave the Epidaurians one of their sacred olive-trees, on condition that the Epidaurians should every year bring a sacrifice to Athene Polias and Erechtheus. And Aristeides (Panath. 107, 7, vol. i. 170, ed. Dind.) says that Erechtheus is the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \delta \rho o s$ of Athene.

From the Erechtheium, one of the most elegant specimens of the Ionic order of architecture, we pass to the Parthenon, one of the most perfect specimens of the Doric. The architect of the Parthenon was Ictinus, as we are told by both Pausanias (viii. 41. 5)
$\underset{\text { Parthenon. }}{\text { The }}$ and Strabo (ix. 1. 16). Plutarch indeed (Pericles 13) couples Ictinus and Callicrates as the joint architects; but in a matter of this kind his authority is unequal to that of either Pausanias or Strabo; and even if Callicrates assisted in some part of the work, we may be sure that the plan sprang from a single mind, and that the mind of Ictinus. The chief glory of the interior was the statue of Athene itself, wrought by Pheidias in gold and ivory; gold being employed for the dress and the armour, and ivory for so much of the person as was left uncovered.
ascribing the victories over the Persians to Athene, and suggesting that the Gods brought to her as the prize of victory a branch from the sacred olive-tree in the Pandroseium. Demosthenes, de F. L. 309 (p. 428), says that the Athenians dedicated


In the course of certain excavations made in the Acropolis during the years 1835 and 1836, the foundations of some older buildings on the site of the Parthenon were incidentally brought to light. These older buildings do not seem to have been of the same character as, or to have corresponded in any way with, the building erected by Ictinus, but antiquaries at once jumped to the conclusion that they represented an earlier Parthenon which had been destroyed by the Persians. That conclusion seems to me quite untenable. The Acropolis was the original "Athens," and must have been completely covered with public and private buildings from Royal Palaces down to the humblest abodes. And this state of things continued until the Persians practically
 already quoted, of Herodotus), and gave the Athenians an opportunity of transforming the crowded fortress into a noble storehouse of Art and Religion. When the Parthenon was erected by Ictinus, it could only be erected on the site of earlier buildings. And it is extremely improbable that whilst the Acropolis continued to be the most thickly populated region of Athens there should have been space for, or need of, a second great Temple of Athene. It was only in "the spacious times" of Pericles, after the platean of the Acropolis had been given him as a blank slate on which to inscribe whatever he would, that Temples and other great works were designed, not for any merely utilitarian purpose, but with the object of enhancing the splendour of Athens as the Mistress of a Mighty Empire. And this is in accordance with all the indications to be found in ancient literature. It is plain that Herodotus knew of but
 he says in a passage quoted above. And although the Homeric story about
 existence of other Temples in the Acropolis, yet the poet would hardly have described her as having her home in a Temple of which she was merely a part-owner, had he been aware that she possessed in the same little enclosure another Temple entirely dedicated to herself. The originator of the theory of a "pre-Persian" Parthenon appears to have been

Professor Ludwig Ross, who thought that he found confirmation of it in the explanation which Hesychius gives of the word 'Екатó $\mu \pi \epsilon \delta o s$, namely

 by "the Temple burnt by the Persians" he means the Temple famous in history as having been burnt by the Persians, that is to say the earlier Erechtheium; he is not alluding to any unknown Parthenon. Leake (Topography of Athens i. 556) observes that "if we apply the remark of Hesyehius to the Erechtheium it is manifestly erroneous, no dimension of the Erechtheium being fifty feet." It would not be surprising if the measurement given by Hesychius is really erroneous; but Leake forgets that while he himself is speaking of the existing Erechtheium, Hesychius is speaking of the earlier Erechtheium which was burnt by the Persians. And Mr. Fergusson, it will be remembered, considers that the Erechtheium originally extended for some distance to the west of the existing. ruins. But without going further into these questions we may rest assured that the Doric Temple so well known to all of us was the first and only Parthenon ever erected on the Acropolis of Athens.

The statue of the Polias was of olive-wood: the statue of the Parthenos was of ivory and gold : the Promachus was a colossal image of bronze, standing in full armour with a spear erect in its hand. Its height is, I believe, nowhere mentioned, but

The
Promachus. it was so gigantic that the crest of the helmet and the tip of the spear overtopped everything on the Acropolis, and were visible to the approaching mariner soon after he had rounded the promontory of Sunium ${ }^{1}$; just as in England the top of a cathedral spire may be

 which seems perfectly clear, is strangely misunderstood by Col. Leake (Topography of Athens i. 350 and 631). He thinks that Pausanias is referring to a spot whence the Acropolis itself and its buildings could be plainly seen, and is calling attention to the circumstance that, even so, nothing was visible of the Promachus excepting the crest and the spear-point. To account for this circumstance Col. Leake suggests that the rest of the statue must have been hidden by the
a conspicuous object at the distance of very many miles, although the city itself from the midst of which it arises may be quite invisible except in its immediate vicinity. The statue itself was, Pausanias tells us, the work of Pheidias ${ }^{1}$, who made another for the Plataeans, of different material but of almost equal dimensions. The date at which the statue was erected on the Acropolis is unknown, but it must have been, one would suppose, in the days of Cimon or Pericles. The Scholiast on Demosthenes, cited in the note on page $x x$ supra, seems to imply that it was done before the battle of Salamis; but in that case it could not have been the work of Pheidias, nor could it by any possibility have survived the Persian occupation of Athens. And the statement of Demosthenes himself ${ }^{2}$ that the Athenians dedicated the great bronze statue as an á $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon i ̂ o v ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o v s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v ~ r a t h e r ~ p o i n t s, ~ a n d ~ I ~ t h i n k ~$ rightly, to the period when ó $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o u s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o s ~ h a d ~ b e e n ~$ substantially concluded. But the language of an orator must not be

Parthenon; and he actually from these utterly erroneous data attempts to fix the exact situation and height of the Promachus. And as the Acropolis, he tells us, first comes into sight to the west of Cape Zoster, he takes Pausanias to mean that the crest and the spear-point become visible to the mariner only after he has coasted along more than half the distance from Cape Sunium to Peiraeus. The language will not admit of this interpretation. Pausanias means that the crest and the spear-point-and no other part of the Acropolis or its buildingsbecame visible to mariners shortly after they have passed Cape Sunium. If not speaking from his own knowledge, he may have been misled by false information. Or it may be, as Dodwell (Tour i. 541) suggests, that these lofty tips may have been visible to sailors very soon after passing Sunium, if they were not keeping to the coast, but standing some miles out at sea.









 (1). 428).
taken too literally, and there is no doubt that the great statue had special reference to the primal victory which Athene gave to her people on "the pleasant mead of Marathon." The very appellation Про́нахоs was probably selected as a reminiscence of that memorable September evening when

The attitude and general appearance of the martial figure, as it stood facing the Propylaea from its central position on the Acropolis, are clearly shown in coins delineated on the first plate in Leake's Topography of Athens. And more than eight centuries afterwards the vision of Athene Promachus patrolling the walls of Athens is said to have struck such fear into the fearless heart of Alaric that it deterred him from delivering an assault on the city which was guarded by her protecting care ${ }^{2}$.

The reader must not forget that there were innumerable other works of religious art, shrines, and statues (some of Athene herself) on the Acropolis which, as unnecessary for our present purpose, are unnoticed in the Plan. But he will find there, between

Artemis
Brauronia. the Propylaea and the Parthenon, a Temple dedicated to Artemis Brauronia; Pausanias i. 23.9. The great quinquennial festival of the Brauronia, mentioned in line 645 of the present Comedy, was celebrated in the seaside town of Brauron (Hdt. vi. 138, Peace 874, 876), and it was there, I imagine, that some specially selected Athenian maiden would walk in the sacred procession, dressed up in yellow robes

[^7]to imitate a bear, ápктоs. But the Temple-service in which all Athenian girls were expected to participate at a tender age and "to play the bear," á $\kappa \kappa \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$, was doubtless performed in this Temple on the Acropolis; it would not have been practicable to send such young children so far from their Athenian homes.

It may perhaps be permissible to add, though the fact has no relevancy to the present Comedy, that almost adjacent, apparently, to the Temple of Artemis Brauronia stood a bronze representation of the Wooden Horse within which the Achaean leaders were taken into the city of Troy. In this great bronze horse Menestheus, Teucer, and two sons of Theseus were shown peeping out from the inside (Pausanias i. 23. 10). The Scholiast on Birds 1128 supposes that Aristophanes may there be referring to this great bronze horse; a suggestion which seems to me quite inadmissible.

Before leaving the Acropolis, it is necessary to revert to a subject already mentioned, viz. the locality of the National Treasury of Athens. We know that it was "beside Athene" $\pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau a ̆ ̣ ~ \sigma \iota \hat{̣}$, as $\underset{\text { The }}{\text { Thenal }}$ Lampito here (line 174) expresses it; and again, that it Treasury. was "the inner chamber of her Temple" ó oj $\pi \sigma \theta$ óóouos $\tau \hat{\eta}_{s}$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, as Chremylus tells us in Plutus 1193. But which Temple? that of the Moגcàs or that of the Map日'́vos? All a miori ideas would be in favour of the former, which possessed a traditional sanctity to which the Parthenon could never lay claim. And the only ancient authority on the subject, the Scholiast on the Plutus, expressly says that the treasure was kept in the inner chamber of the Temple of the Modıás ${ }^{1}$. But modern antiquaries, examining the remains of the two Temples, declare that there is no such inner chamber to be found in the Erechtheium, as there is in the Parthenon. And overborne by their authority I have reluctantly in the Commentary on the Plutus, as well as in the present

[^8]Play, adopted their conclusion that the National Treasure was kept in the Parthenon. But I am "a man convinced against my will"; and I should like to know whether the experts may not have failed to find an inner chamber in the Erechtheium, from the supposed necessity (which in my judgement does not exist) of finding a third chamber for the exclusive use of Pandrosus. It must be remembered that, when the Treasury of the Allies was transferred from Delos to Athens, the Parthenon was not in existence. It is said that a recently discovered papyrus shows that the transfer was made in the archonship of Euthydemus, $450-449$ в. с., and that the Parthenon was not even commenced until the archonship of Timarchides, three years later (Classical Review, xviii, p. 92). Where then was the treasure lodged until the Parthenon was completed? And there could have been no treasure in the $\dot{o} \pi \iota \sigma \theta o^{-}-$ $\delta o \mu o s$ of the Parthenon when the Athenians made it a guestchamber for Demetrius Poliorcetes (Plutarch, Demetrius 23). It seems to me that everything points to the $\delta \pi \iota \sigma \theta$ ódouos of the Erechtheium, and not the $\dot{o} \pi \iota \sigma \theta$ ó $\delta \boldsymbol{\mu}$ os of the Parthenon, as having been the National Treasury; and that it is the plain duty of archaeologists to find a place for it in the ruins of the older Temple. Notwithstanding what is said in the earlier part of this Introduction, it would not altogether surprise me if Compartment B were discovered to be the $\dot{o} \pi \iota \sigma \theta$ ó $\delta \boldsymbol{\mu}$ os of the Erechtheium, and the seat of the National Treasury. The Treasury was in the charge of ten rauial, chosen by lot, one from each tribe ${ }^{1}$.

The sides of the Acropolis-rock were everywhere steep and precipitous except at the western end ${ }^{2}$, where a sloping road, no doubt partly natural and partly artificial, led up to the plateau at the summit. The slope was about 168 feet wide and was sufficiently easy The for the ascent of carriages. At or about the time when Ictinus was completing the splendid pile of the Parthenon, another great

[^9]Athenian architect, Mnesicles by name, was engaged in erecting above this slope a portal of no less majesty and grace than the work of Ictinus; so that, in truth, the Parthenon and the Propylaea were recognized as two of the chief architectural glories of ancient Athens. The actual gates were five in number; the central gate being the largest, both in height and width; that on each side of it being smaller ; and that at each end smaller still. But the gates themselves formed but a subordinate part of the entire fabric of the Propylaea, which was a building 168 feet long, divisible into three sections, a large wing on each side, and between them, a little thrown back, the central or gateway section about 58 feet long and 72 feet deep. As you approached the Acropolis you saw before you a colonnade of six Doric columns, the central gap between the third and fourth columns leading to the central gate. Passing through this gap you saw before you at a distance of about 43 feet the central gate, while on each side of you arose three Ionic columns, dividing the space between the Doric columns and the gates into what have been called three aisles, the middle aisle leading only to the central gate, and each of the side aisles leading to the tro side gates. When you had passed the gates you still had some 21 feet to traverse before you emerged through another and precisely similar Doric colonnade into the interior of the Acropolis. The entire building, wings and all, was of white marble: and, in particular, the enormous blocks, over 22 feet in length, which formed the roof or ceiling supported by the columns, have attracted the admiration of both ancient and modern observers. The work was commenced in the archonship of Euthymenes, 437-436 b. c., and took five years to excente ${ }^{1}$. It was therefore only just completed at the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War.

One, coming from the Lower City to the foot of the slope leading up

[^10]to the Propylaea, would see on his right three Temples, all reeognized in the present Play. High up, on a sort of bastion of the Cimonian Wall, stood a little Temple of white marble, dedicated to 'A $\theta$ áva Níк $\eta$, otherwise the Wingless Vic-

## Three Temples:

(I)
of Victory; tory ${ }^{1}$. So all-pervading, to the Athenian mind, was the divine influence of the National Goddess, that her name was frequently prefixed to what was really an abstract idea, to show that that also was divine. If the reader will look at the Plan he will see between the Propylaea and the Temple of Artemis Brauronia the shrine of Athene ' $\Upsilon_{\text {rícıa }}$ (Pausanias i. 23. 5). And elsewhere we hear of Athene Поóvoьa, Athene $\Pi \epsilon \iota \theta \grave{\omega}$, and even Athene $\Sigma a ́ \lambda \pi \iota \gamma \xi$. Not that Athene herself was "Victory," or "Health," or "Forethought" or "Persuasion," or a "War-trumpet"; but all these things were attributes or appurtenances of the Goldess. And so the name Athene Ník $\eta$, as regards Athene, differed little from Athene $\nu \iota \kappa \eta \phi o ́ \rho o s$, though as regards Ník $\eta$, it elevated a mere abstraction into a personal divinity. The architect of the Temple was Callicrates ${ }^{2}$, of whom we have already heard as a claimant for the honour of having assisted Ictinus in the erection of the Parthenon. The Temple of Victory was ereeted on a spot from whieh the sea was plainly visible, a spot memorable in historic legend as that from which Aegeus watched the ship of his son Theseus crossing the Saronic gulf on its return from the encounter with the Cretan Minotaur, and whence he threw himself in despair when he saw black sails displayed on its masts instead of the white ones which Theseus had promised, (but had forgotten,) to hoist if he returned safe and vietorious over his enemy. There was to be no mistake about the Victory now. The little white Temple was a conspicuous object from many quarters. It was ereeted several years before the

[^11]commencement of the Propylaea, and seems to have rendered necessary a modification of the latter building. For the southern wing of the Propylaea is, apparently, thrown back in order that it may not interfere with this little Temple of Victory. The Temple was still entire when Sir George Wheler visited Athens in the year 1676, and was then used by the Turks as a powder-magazine ${ }^{1}$. But the Turks afterwards pulled it down, and worked the materials into a military battery. However during the last century its remains were disinterred from the battery, and the Temple has since been re-erected ${ }^{2}$. Of the two other Temples with which we are now concerned the sites cannot be so certainly
(i1)
of ApHRODITE and Peitho; (III)

OF Г $\eta$ коирот рóфos AND Demeter Chloe. ascertained. They are the last two objects noticed by Pausanias in his walk from the Dionysiac Theatre to the Propylaea ${ }^{3}$. He mentions five in all ; (1) the tomb of Calos (otherwise Talos) who was flung by Daedalus from the top of the Acropolis, and was doubtless buried where he fell, at the foot of the rock; (2) the Temple of Asclepius in which Pausanias tells us there was a spring of water, and which is sufficiently identified by a disused well "about fourteen paces from the western wall of the theatre, and fifty from the rock"; see Dyer's Ancient Athens, pp. 344-6, and especially the passage cited from the life of Proclus; (3) a shrine of Themis with a tumulus in memory of Hippolytus before it. And then come the two Temples with which we are concerned, viz. (4) the Temple of Aphrodite Pandemus and Peitho. The worship of these two deities was established by Theseus, є̇דєi
 fying the love which had united all the demes into one city, and Peitho the persuasion by which that union was effected; the original images of these divinities had ceased to exist before the time of Pausanias, but others by no mean artists had been substituted in their stead. And (5) the Temple of $\Gamma \hat{\eta}$ коvрот $о$ ó $\phi$ os and $\Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \eta \rho$ X $\lambda o ́ \eta$ which, there seems no

[^12]sufficient reason for doubting, is the $i \in \rho o ̀ v \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \Gamma \hat{\eta} s$ described by Thucydides as being in the neighbourhood of the Acropolis, on the southerly side. From the place which it occupies in the narrative of Pausanias, as well as from the requirements of the scene in the Lysistrata, it seems to me that it must have been just at the foot of the "flat slope" leading to the Propylaea. Colonel Leake, who places it in the wall upon which the Temple of Victory stood, seems to have misconceived the route which Pausanias followed in his walk from the Theatre to the Propylaea.

The three Temples we have been considering in the preceding paragraph are all on the south or south-west of the Propylaea; the brackish intermittent spring known as the Clepsydra, and the adjacent grotto assigned to Pan-as an acknowledgement of the services which he rendered to the Athenians in connexion with the battle of Marathon-were also in close

The Clepsydra AND Pav's Grotтo. proximity to the Propylaea, but on the other side; the spring issuing from, and the grotto hollowed in, the northern side of the rock which formed the Acropolis. These were natural objects, and are perhaps sufficiently described in the Commentary on this Play. The great water supply called Enneacrounos is not mentioned by name in the Comedy, but is, I doubt not, the unnamed кр $\eta \dot{\eta} \eta$ at which the Chorus of Women had been filling their pitchers (line 328). Originally a mere spring, called from its bright and sparkling water Callirrhoe, it was enclosed by Peisistratus, and made a vast reservoir into which water was brought by pipes from many other quarters. To this reservoir was given the name Enneacrounos. Its locality is a matter of discussion into which I hope that I may be excused from entering. Suffice it to say that while there are many strong arguments for placing it near the Temple of Olympian Zeus by the side of the River Ilissus, there are also many strong, and on the whole I think stronger, arguments for placing it in the valley between the Acropolis and the Areopagus, in the direction indicated on the Plan.

The only objects remaining to be mentioned in this connexion are the statues of Harmodius and Aristogeiton. There were statues of Harmo-
dius and Aristogeiton originally crected soon after the expulsion of the Peisistratidae in 510 в. с.; but the original statues were appropriated by Xerxes during his occupation of Athens about thirty years later, and were by him carried away to Susa, a tribute certainly to their attractive character. However almost the first thing the Athenians did when the cloud of the Persian invasion was dispersed was to erect new statues of the tyrannicides, probably duplicates of the originals. It appears by the Marmor Oxoniense (line 70) that these new statues were ordered in the year 477; and the statues standing in the time of Aristophanes were of course these duplicates. But a century later Alexander the Great, taking possession of Susa, found the original statues there, and with singular generosity ordered them to be restored to Athens, an order carried into effect after his death. "And there they still stand," says Arrian (Expeditio Alexandri iii. 16), "in the Cerameicus, just where we ascend to the
 leads to the Propylaea. Arrian is speaking of the recovered originals, but we may be sure that they were restored to their original situation, whether they displaced the later memorial or stood by its side; Pausanias i. 8. 5. That this was the situation in which the substituted statues were standing in the time of Aristophanes may be inferred with some confidence from lines 632-4 of the present Comedy.

I must repeat what I said at the commencement of this topographical sketch, that it is intended merely for the use of milearned readers who may, I hope, find even this very superficial account of the localities, amidst which the action of the Lysistrata is supposed to be carried on, of some little assistance in enabling them to appreciate the progress of the plot.

At the commencement of the Play, Lysistrata, a young and beautiful Athéniennc, is discovered standing alone in front of the Propylaea. She has summoned an assembly of young married women, not only from Athens itself, but also from the hostile states composing the Peloponnesian Confederacy, to meet her at this spot, for the purpose of discussing an important project the nature of which she has not at present disclosed.

And after some delay they come flocking in, the Athenians first, and then the Spartan Lampito with deputies from Boeotia and Corinth, both at war with, and the bitterest enemies of, the Athenians. For the old Attic Comedy paid no heed to impossibilities; the audience would not inquire how Lysistrata could have sent her summons through hostile lands, or how the women so summoned could have made their way past walls and sentries into the very heart of Athens. It was enough that here they were, eager to know why Lysistrata had summoned them. So now she reveals her scheme, proposing that they shall all refuse to consort with their husbands until the latter make peace, and put a final end to this fratricidal war. At first some of the women are inclined to demur; but Lampito ranges herself on the side of Lysistrata-as indeed there was always a secret sympathy between Sparta and Athens-and presently all the others are persuaded to concur. Lampito herself however expresses a doubt whether the Athenian democracy will make and maintain peace in real earnest, whilst there is so much money (the thousand talents just set free for the purposes of the war) stored up in Athene's Temple, that is, in the National Treasury, supra, p. xxxiv; but Lysistrata assures her that they have taken thought for that; and that while the younger women are discussing matters in this Assembly, the older women have been told off to seize the Acropolis where the money is kept. These elder women, or twelve of them, afterwards form the Chorus, or Semichorus, of women.

It is perhaps natural that Commentators should have taken less tronble about the Lysistrata than about the more widely read Comedies of Aristophanes. Yet it seems almost incredible that they should as a rule have overlooked the broad distinction, which pervades the Play, between the old women in the orchestra and the young women on the stage. Indeed the latest editor, Professor Van Leeuwen, in his search after novelties, dignifies with the titles Г $\rho a \hat{s} \mathrm{~A}, ~ \Gamma \rho a \hat{s} \mathrm{~B}, ~ \Gamma \rho a \hat{v} \mathrm{\Gamma}$ (First, Secont, and Third Hags) Lysistrata's comrades whose youth and beanty are the very qualities relied upon for bringing about a termination of the War. Nor does Lysistrata herself fare much better. Notwithstanding the
encomiums passed upon her personal attractiveness, notwithstanding the fact that Calonice, herself a young woman, addresses her as "child," almost all recent editors depart from the MSS., depart from the Scholiast, depart from common sense, for the sole purpose of styling her " most mannish of grandmothers."

This however is a digression. Lysistrata's assurances satisfy Lampito ; and the whole assembly-and of course there are very many present besides the few speakers-enter into a solemn League and covenant to carry out Lysistrata's scheme. The oath which they take is prefaced by an appeal to $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \sigma \iota v a \Pi_{\epsilon} \theta_{\grave{\omega}}$, the Goddess of Persuasion, whose temple, or rather the Temple which she shared with Aphrodite Pandemus (supra, p. xxxviii), was probably full in view. Meanwhile a distant shout advertises them of the eapture of the Acropolis; the Assembly breaks up; Lampito departs for Sparta to commence a similar agitation there; and the others retire through the Propylaea, leaving the stage empty.

Now then is the time for the Chorus to enter into the Orchestra. In Comedy the Chorus consists of twenty-four persons; and as a rule they -all enter together, singing their Parodos or entrance-song. But-both in this Play and in the Ecclesiazusae they enter in two separate divisions or Semichoruses, each consisting of twelve persons. In the Ecclesiazusae one Semichorus represents the Women of the City, the other the Women of the Country. Here one Semichorus consists of twelve old men, the other of twelve old women. In both Plays the two Semichoruses altimately coalesce, and form one ordinary Chorus of twenty-four persons. Here the Chorus of Men (if it is permissible to apply that name to a Semichorus) enter first, with slow and laborious steps, carrying large logs of wood and pots containing fire. They are vowing vengeance against these detestable women who have had the audacity to seize the Acropolis and possess themselves of the Holy Image, meaning the olive-wood statue in the Erechtheium ; see supra, p. xxiii. And they threaten to set fire to the gates of the Propylaea, which therefore must have been made of wood, and to burn all the women in one common conflagration. And finally they pray $\delta \in \in \sigma \pi o t v a$ Ník $\eta$, whose Temple was straight before them
(supra, p . xxxvii), to give them the victory over these female desperadoes. They obviously think that the Women will await the attack, cowering behind the Propylaea. But in this they speedily find themselves mistaken. Whilst they are busying themselves with their preparations for the assault other voices are heard in the distance, singing another and a far livelier entrance-song, and presently the Chorus of Women come pouring down into the orchestra, so that the two Choruses meet face to face. The women have been filling their pitchers at the Enneacrounos (supra, p. xxxix), and having heard that the Men are bringing fire against the Acropolis they come with their water to quench it. They have seized Athene's dwelling-place-so they call the Acropolis-for the purpose of saving Hellas from War and Madness, and they pray the Goddess, in her triple character of $\Pi_{o \lambda i a ̀ s, ~ П a \rho \theta \epsilon ́ v o s, ~ a n d ~ \Pi \rho o ́ \mu а х о s, ~ t o ~}^{\text {, }}$ aid them in their design. The leader of the Men is the Coryphaeus of the full Chorus, the leader of the Women is an ancient dame bearing the name of Stratyllis. An altercation at once ensues between the two Choruses, which ends by the Men endeavouring to set fire to the hair of the Women, and the Women, in reply, deluging their opponents with water from their pitchers. The tumult is at its height when it is suddenly arrested by the entrance of a great officer of state, attended by four Scythian archers. This important personage is one of the Ten Прó $\beta$ ovioc whose position and duties have been considered in the earlier part of this Introduction. It is not probable that Aristophanes is intending to caricature any particular member of the Boarḍ ; a Поóßovdos is introduced here, just as a ח $\quad$ víravıs is introduced in the Thesmophoriazusae, merely because the presence of some high executive official is necessary for the proper development of the plot. It is in the execution of the special duty for which the Поóßovגoc were appointed that he is making his way to the National Treasury in the Acropolis, to draw thence the funds required for the rebuilding of the fleet. But this is the very thing which the Women are determined shall not be done; and a long debate ensues between Lysistrata and the Magistrate, in which the latter gets thoroughly worsted. In the course of this
debate Lysistrata takes occasion to develop her views-that is, the views of Aristophanes-as to the reforms required in the internal politics of Athens. And it is worthy of note that, as in the other Comedies so here, there is not the slightest trace of political partisanship; the reforms suggested are such as would commend themselves to every loyal citizen, viz. the removal of abuses, the suppression of party caucuses, the blending together of all classes in harmony and goodwill. The debate is full of interest, but it does not concern our present purpose which is merely to elucidate the various topographical allusions contained in the Comedy.

The debate between Lysistrata and the Magistrate having come to an end, both parties retire from the stage; Lysistrata and her friends passing through the Propylaea into the Acropolis, and the Прó $\beta o v \lambda o s$ going off to complain to his fellow $\Pi \rho$ óßoviot of the outrageous behaviour of the Women. The stage therefore is again empty, and the rival Choruses in the Orchestra resume their interrupted altercation. Four speeches are made, all in a pleasant mixture of cretico-paeonics and trochaics, and cach ending in a scuffle between the Men and the Women. The first and third speeches are delivered by the Men, the second and fourth by the Women; the reply of the Women being in each case antistrophical to the preceding speech of the Men. The latter begin by an elaborate indictment, in which they incidentally show that they belong (as indeed almost all old Athenians of the poorer classes did) to the dicastic order, not merely by complaining that the seizure of the National Treasury by the Women has stopped their $\mu \tau \sigma \theta$ òv, meaning the daily dicastic three obols, but also by denouncing their opponents as conspirators seeking to establish a tyranny, those words so dear to the dicastic ear. But if the Women become Tyrants, they, the old Men, will become Tyrannicides. They will wrap their swords in myrtle, and take their stand-thus, $\bar{\omega} \delta$--beside Aristogeiton (supra, p. xl), and deliver a blow, as he upon Hipparchus, so they upon the cheeks of the old Women, suiting the action to the word. The speaker is the Coryphaeus, and the woman threatened is Stratyllis; but doubtless, as he strikes her, each of the other eleven old Men delivers a similar
blow upon the ehcek of one of the other eleven old Women. For the whole Chorus speak by the mouth of their Coryphaeus, and act as he acts. When Stratyllis deluges the Coryphaeus with water (line 381), we must understand that the other women of the Chorus are performing the same operation upon the other men. When the Coryphaeus raises his foot to kick Stratyllis (line 799), we must understand that the other men are raising theirs to do the like to the other women. When Stratyllis is extracting the gnat from the eye of the Coryphacus (line 1030), we must picture the other women performing the same kind office for the other men. This is a principle we must always bear in mind; and it seems to me that Van Leeurven's innovation of prefixing the name Kopvpaîos to the lines which the learned Professor supposes him to speak, so dissociating him, as it were, from his Chorus, and making him almost an independent actor, is disastrous to the right understanding of Greek Comedy.

We cannot of course tell whether the objects to which the various speakers allude, such as the statue of Aristogeiton, were actually represented in the scenery of the stage. Nor is it material ; for they were all so extremely familiar to an Athenian audience that every spectator would readily supply them out of his own imagination.

After the conclusion of these four speeches an interval of five days is supposed to elapse. And when we are again admitted to see what is going on, we find that the separation of the sexes has become intolerable to both of them. The Men are preparing to give in and make peace, and Lysistrata's scheme is on the point of succeeding, if only she can keep the Women from giving in first. But this is a very diffieult task. We find them, indeed we see them, endeavouring to eseape from the Acropolis by every loophole, and under the most ridiculous pretences. But in this place it is only necessary to mention one, whom Lysistrata found, she tells us, clearing out a little aperture in the wall with intent to deseend by Pan's grotto (supra, p. xxxix). The issue of her attempt is not recorded, but I apprehend that it had little chance of suceess after Lysistrata's glance had fallen upon her. And, finally, all the women are, by their leader's energy and resouree, brought back to their duty, and
agree to await the overtures of their antagonists, which indeed are not long in arriving. Almost immediately Lysistrata, standing on the wall, espies a man hastening towards them $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{X} \lambda o ́ \eta s$, by the Temple of Г $\hat{\eta}$ коиротро́фоs and Demeter Chloe (supra, p. xxxviii). He turns out to be the husband of Myrrhina who has a prolonged and tantalizing interview with him, in the course of which both Pan's grotto and the Clepsydra (supra, p. xxxix) are mentioned, and finally eludes his fondest hopes by suddenly disappearing through the gates of the Propylaea. But the end is now full in view. Lampito has persuaded the Spartan women to act in precisely the same mamer as the Athenian women are acting. Spartan plenipotentiaries arrive to treat for peace, and are met by Athenian plenipotentiaries appointed for the same purpose. Lysistrata harangues both parties, and by judicions management brings them to an agreement on all points. Peace is made, and to celebrate the event the ambassadors are invited by the women to a friendly banquet, which apparently takes place in the Acropolis, the $\theta u p \omega \rho o ̀ s$ who appears on the stage being, I
 Dyer's Ancient Athens, p. 355. And at the termination of the banquet the guests reappear in a very festive mood; and the drama comes to a close amidst songs, and dances, and general rejoicings.

My version of the Lysistrata was published, without text or commentary, in the year 1878. I am not aware of any other poetical translation in our language.

A piece called "Lysistrata, a modern paraphrase from the Greek of Aristophanes," was produced last autumn by Miss Gertrude Kingston at the Little Theatre. I had the pleasure of seeing it on October 22, 1910. It was admirably put on the stage, and admirably acted ; and the Play itself was an extremely clever adaptation, by Mr. Lanrence Housman, of the Aristophanic Comedy ; but it did not profess to be, and was not in any sense, an actual translation of the Greek.

Eastwood, Strawberry Mill, August, 1911.

## ヘПOЄE

## I．







${ }^{1}$ Both these Arguments are found in R．H．and I．They did not appear in any printed edition before that of Kuster，who introduced them from I． Then Brunck revised them from H．，and Invernizzi added the readings of $R$ ．In my text they are given，except where otherwise mentioned，as they stand in R．
 Blaydes，Van Leeuwen．But the writer of the Argument is quite likely to have used the present．
${ }^{3}$ ui $\lambda \lambda \eta_{n} \lambda o t s$ Brunck，recentiores，ex－ cept Hall and Geldart．$\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ MSS． Invernizzi，Hall and Geldart．кaт＇${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ Rutherford．
${ }^{4}$ тàs $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu$＂$\epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ à $\pi о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ ，тàs кати入єl－ пovoras ópipous．I have substituted these words for the corrupt tàs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\chi} \dot{\xi} \xi \pi$ mious
 and vulgo．oi $\epsilon \xi \xi \omega \in \nu$ is constantly em－ ployed in the sense of outsiders，people
from other lands；the MS．$\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \varepsilon$ both in its letters and in its situation suf－ ficiently corresponds with the $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon t$
 $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ would be better away，but is required to represent the $\lambda$ às which follows $\epsilon \mu \pi \rho \iota$ ，while the kaza $\epsilon \epsilon \pi$ oívas ouijoovs is a mere echo of the $\dot{\delta \mu \eta} \rho o u s$ катá入ı $\phi$＇of line 244．Other suggestions






 Van Leeuzen．
${ }^{5} \pi \rho$ òs ràs．In R．these words are written twice，$\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ às $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s . ~$
${ }^{6}$ oikci $\omega \nu$ ，her own party，Calonice， Myrrhina，and the rest，as contrasted with $\tau \grave{s}{ }^{\text {en }} \xi(\omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．
$\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀ \alpha \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$ к $\alpha i \pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi u ́ \lambda \alpha \varsigma, \alpha u ̉ \tau \grave{\eta}{ }^{1}$




 $\kappa \alpha \grave{~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \pi o ́ \lambda є \mu o \nu ~ \tau \alpha ́ X \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha u ́ \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu}{ }^{4}$ ．ô̂tos $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ oûv，







 MSS．vulgo．But the article is impossible and I have replaced it by aviri．However I think that the passage is corrupt．
${ }^{2}$ фабıv MSS．vulgo．фибıи Wilamo－ witz，Van Leeuwen．And of course the speaker was Lysistrata alone．But she is speaking as the representative of the women，and the writers of these Greek Arguments are never very accurate in their statements of fact．We have just seen Lysistrata described as coming out before，instead of after；the entrance of the Прóßou入os．Nor was it she，but the Chorus of Women who stayed the on－ slaught of the old men．
 sequent editors except Hall and Geldart． є́गıтрє́тоvaı MSS．Kuster，and Hall and Geldart．
${ }^{4}$ кaтãav́aovaı» all printed editions． кататаи́бшбเข R．H．
¿ кuтam入aүєis tò $\theta$ pávos，amazed（in our
vulgar idiom，struck all of a heap）at their audacity．So Kuster reads，presum－ ably from I．，though it may be Kuster＇s own conjecture；and this is followed by Blaydes and Van Leeuwen．ката－
 $v$ has been struck out both in rov and in $\theta$ ocíoous；I cannot tell from the photogravure whether by an early or by a late hand．катаплayєis тov $\theta \rho a ́ \sigma o v s$ Brunck and all subsequent editors ex－ cept as aforesaid，and except Invernizzi and Hall and Geldart，Invernizzi reading кuтaплךүєis тố $\theta$ pácous，and Hall and Geldart катaп入 $\eta \gamma \epsilon i s ~ t o ̀ ~ \theta \rho a ́ \sigma o s . ~$
${ }^{6}$ रapıroү入ตттov̂aa，wheedling；sayiny pleasant things which she did not mean． Cf．Prometheus 302．I have substituted this participle（though I wish that I could have substituted $\chi$ арєтобтоноиิба）
 of the MSS．and of all editors except Blaydes and Van Leeuwen．Brunck suggested（but did not himself read）








кєртоной $\sigma$, which seems in the highest degree unsuitable, but is read by Blaydes and Van Leeuwen. Bothe, in his first
 did not repeat the suggestion in his
 quveival aùr $̣$, and Rutherford éxvpoфpovov̂̃a aù七ê, but the former conjecture departs too widely from the MSS., and the latter does not give an apt meaning. For

${ }^{1}$ ä $\mu a \pi \epsilon \overline{\text { à }}$ тàs $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ yvvaikas. So I think we should read. ä $\mu$ а каì тàs тротépas rvvaikas MSS. and all editors except Blaydes and Van Leeuwen. Dubner proposed ä $\mu a$ каì тà $\pi \epsilon р \grave{̀}$ тà̀s rvvaîkas. $\sigma \phi \in \tau \in \rho a s$ is the excellent conjecture of Blaydes, adopted by Van Leeuwen, and again proposed by Rutherford sixteen years after Blaydes had introduced it into his text. But both Blaydes and Van Leeuwen merely substitute $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ for $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ r e a d i n g ~ a ̈ \mu a ~ к а i ̀ ~$ tàs $\sigma \phi \in \tau \in ́ \rho a s$ rovaikas, Blaydes proposing to substitute $\zeta \eta \tau o v \imath \tau \tau \varsigma$, and Van Leeuwen
 Adopting without hesitation Blaydes's conjecture of $\sigma \phi \in \tau$ épas, I have also changed kai into $\pi \in \rho$ i.
${ }^{2}$ oi 'A $A \eta$ 立aio. These words, absent in the MSS., were proposed by Kuster, brought into the text by Brunck, and
adopted by all editors except Invernizzi and Van Leeuwen.
${ }^{3}$ oi $\delta \dot{E}$ Blaydes, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen. oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ MSS. vulgo.
 go ; but the word is quite inappropriate, and is obviously a mere reproduction of the verb which closes the preceding sentence. Bergk proposed $\grave{\pi} \pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$, which is brought into the text by Meineke and Van Leeuwen. But Hall and Geldart say "forte $\sigma v \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ' $\lambda$ avorl, cf. 1042." And of course that is the very word required, besides being, as the learned editors observe, taken, as so many words in these Greek Arguments are taken, from the text of the Comedy itself. I cannot entertain any doubt as to the accuracy of this admirable conjecture.
${ }^{5}$ In R.the words $\pi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \epsilon \epsilon s$ and $\dot{\rho} \rho \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \tau a s$ are separated only by кui, but in H. a lacuna is left between them. No one, I believe, has attempted to fill the lacuna, or even considered the sentence incomplete; but it seems to me that some such words as those which I have inserted in lrackets areabsolutely required by the sense.
${ }^{6} \tau \eta \bar{\eta}$. . єủvoias. These two words are not found in the MSS. Kuster inserted $\tau \eta \bar{\eta}$, and has been followed, I think, by every editor except Hall and Geldart.


 $\epsilon i \sigma \eta ิ \kappa \tau \alpha \iota$ ס̀ $\delta \iota a ̀ \mathrm{~K} \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau o v$.
＇Ек入ŋ́ $\theta \eta \Lambda \nu \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda u ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o ́ v . ~$

## II．

## APIさTOФANOTさ ГРAMMATIKOY ${ }^{2}$ ．

$\Lambda \nu \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ ка入є́ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi 0 \lambda i ́ \tau \iota \delta \alpha s$
 ${ }_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \omega s, \gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s \nu v ̂ \nu \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ द́ $\mu \phi \nu \lambda i ́ o v$, тò̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Lambda a ́ k \omega \nu \alpha s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ \alpha i ̈ p \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi, ~$

 $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon ̀ s \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \chi^{\omega} \rho o v \nu . \alpha i \neq \tau^{\prime} \alpha \pi o ̀ ~ \Sigma \pi \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тoút $\omega \nu$ ．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu о \nu o i ́ a s ~ \gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$


He also proposed to insert after $\gamma \in \nu \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ （for which R．has $\gamma \in \nu \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s$ ）either єivoias or $\phi i \lambda i a s$ ；and $\epsilon$ ủvoias is，I believe，read by every editor except Van Leeuwen who prefers $\phi i \lambda i a s$.
${ }^{1}$ There were several eponymous ar－ chons who bore the name of Callias， and to distinguish them，the one from the other，it was customary to add the name of the preceding Archon．Thus， the Frogs was produced in the archon－ ship of the＂Callias who succeeded Antigenes＂$\tau o \hat{v} \mu \in \tau \grave{\iota}$＇A $\nu \tau \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta$ ；the Lysis－ trata，six years earlier，in the archon－ ship of＂the Callias who succeeded Cleocritus＂той $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ K $\lambda \epsilon$ óкритоу．This earlier Callias was archon from（about）
midsummer 413 to（about）midsummer 412 ；so that the Lysistrata must have been produced in the early months of the year 412.
${ }^{2}$ This Second Argument is given in R．as prose，and without the name of Aristophanes the Grammarian．Itspeaks well for the accuracy of the transcriber that，though he seems to have had no idea that he was writing verse，not a word is out of place．As to its at－ tribution to Aristophanes the Gram－ marian see the note on the Second Argument of the Acharnians．
${ }^{3}$＇̇к $\gamma \tilde{\eta} s$ ש̈pıaav．So I think we should read．Cf．$\mu$ ’ àmò $\gamma \hat{a} s \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．Eur．Hec．


In the time of Florent Chretien, the tutor of Henry the Fourth of France, no Argument to the Lysistrata had been published. And that accomplished scholar prefixed to his edition of the Play the following acrostics, in Greek and Latin.




$\Sigma \quad \sigma v \nu \omega \prime \mu \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \in i \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \nu \rho \omega ́ \tau o u s ~ \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \nu$,

P $\dot{\rho} i \psi \alpha \sigma \pi \iota s \in i \quad \mu \eta ̀ \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta_{\eta} \phi \alpha \nu \hat{\eta}$.


H $\quad \eta \sigma \theta \eta \delta^{\prime} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \cup \cup \phi \rho \omega \nu \mathrm{K} u ́ \pi \rho ı s \notin \nu \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \alpha i ̂ s . ~$
L ongo duello Graeciae quum lumina
U rbes Athenae et Sparta se colliderent,
S ecessionem foeminis Lusistrata
I ndicit ut de publico deliberent.
S parso inde libant atque coniurant mero
T andem, femur se nemini applicassere
R edire Graeci ni velint in gratiam.
A rx occupatur atque Thesaurus. Senes
T umultuantes cum Probulo nil agunt.
A $t$ cum Lacone Pax fit, et redit Venus.
These acrostics are given by Portus, and in the editions known as Scaliger's and Faber's. Portus added a short Greek Argument in prose, apparently of his own composition.
editions, except Enger, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. Brunck suggested $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \xi{ }^{\omega}$ $\rho \iota \sigma a v$, and (in order to rectify the metre) the substitution of $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 c$ for $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \partial o$. And so Enger reads. Blaydes too adopts ${ }^{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{\xi} \rho \iota \sigma a \nu$, but retains $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu \iota \iota$, so making
the metre of the line defective. Bothe
 would read $\gamma \epsilon \theta$ 白 $\mu \epsilon \nu o t$. Bergk proposed $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \rho \rho \dot{\beta} \beta \delta \iota \sigma a \nu$, and Rutherford $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi \epsilon \dot{\omega} \rho \tau a \sigma a \nu$, feasted avoy the uar, which is adopted by Van Leeuwen.
( lii )

## CORRIGENDUM IN "THE KNIGHTS"

Page 217. In the scheme, given in Appendix 386, of the two choral odes, $303-311$, and $382-388$, the final syllable has unaccountably slipped out. The concluding foot was intended to be a cretic ( $-\cup \cup$ ) not a trochee ( $-\cup$ ).

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

## TA TOY $\triangle$ PAMATOミ ПPOミ $\Omega П А$

IYEIETPATH．
KAAONIKH．
MYPPINH．
ААмПIт $\Omega$ ．
XOPOE TEPONTRN．
XOPOE HPESBYTATSN TYNAIKתN．
гtpatyanie．
провоч．дог．
frnaikes tines．
kinheias．
паİ．
KHPYE AAKEDAIMONI $\Omega$ N．
חPEEBEI乏 AAKE $\triangle$ AIMONI $\Omega$ N．
חpesbeiz aधhnaisn．
aropaioi tines．
өYpתPOE．
a $\theta$ HNAIOI TINEE．
AAK $\Omega$ N．
TOミOTAI．

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

 

In the background we see the Propylaea, the splendid portals of the Athenian Acropolis. Before them, on the slope by which they are reached from the Lower City, Lysistrata, a young and beautiful woman, is standing alone. Like Praxagora in the Ecclesiazusae, she has summoned her friends to meet her at the dawn of day; the dawn has arrived, but her friends have not made their appearance. After exhibiting various signs of anxiety and impatience, she suddenly breaks out into words, the abruptness with which she commences bearing witness to the indignation which she feels. Why, had they been invited, she exclaims, to the worship of a God of Wine or Love,-the chiof objects of devotion, according to Aristophanes, to the Athenian women-they would have arrived in crowds before this.

Of Bacchus and his orgies, and of the part which women (ai Báк $\chi a \iota$ ) played in them, and of the timbrels which he and Rhea invented ( $\tau \dot{\prime} \mu \pi a \nu a,{ }^{\text {P }}$ Péas $\tau є \mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s \epsilon ́ \mu i ́$ $A^{\prime}$ є $\dot{\rho} \eta^{\prime} \mu a t a$ Eur. Bacchae 59) and which formed the invariable accompaniment of his worship (Id. 124, 125, 156, \&c., Herc. Fur. 888, Cyclops 65, 205, Catullus Ixiv. 262), it is unnecessary here to
speak. I should be ashamed, says Hera to Zeus, in Lucian's eighteenth Dialogue of the Gods, to have a son like Bacchus who dresses effeminately and goes about with mad women, dancing to the sound of timbrels, and pipes, and cymbals, inò̀ тv $\mu \pi a ́ \nu o t s, ~ к a i ̀ ~ a u ̉ \lambda о i ̂ s, ~ к а i ̀ ~ к v \mu ß a ́ \lambda o t s ~ \chi o-~$ $\rho \epsilon v \omega \nu$. The expression єis Вакхєîo might mean either to the shrine, or to the revelry of Bacchus; but the words which follow, $\hat{\eta}$ 's Пavòs, seem decisive in favour of the former interpretation.-Amidst the various personages who figured in the orgies of Bacchus, none occupied a more conspicuous position than Pan, himself of all beings the most $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$. In Lucian's Bis Accusatus, 9, he is described as being т $\hat{\nu} \nu \Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma o v ~ \theta є \rho a \pi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ o ́ ~ B a к-~$ $\chi$ ${ }^{\iota \kappa} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} a \tau o s . ~ A n d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ t w e n t y-s e c o n d ~$ Dialogue of the Gods he himself boasts that Dionysus has made him the leader of the Bacchic choir, and can do nothing without him.-The names K $\omega \lambda$ ios and $\Gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau v \lambda \lambda$ is are sometimes applied to Aphrodite herself, but sometimes (and always when in the plural, as in Thesm. 130) to the subordinate love-deities who formed her train. They are constantly mentioned together, as the patrons and representatives of pampered and luxu-

## ^ $\Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu$ ä $\nu \dot{u} \pi$ ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \nu \mu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$. $\nu v ̂ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oú $\delta \epsilon \mu i ́ \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ '̇ $\nu \tau \alpha \nu \theta \circ \stackrel{\iota}{\gamma} \gamma v \nu \grave{\eta}$,

$\chi^{\alpha i \rho} \rho^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{K} \alpha \lambda_{0} \nu_{i ́ k \eta}$.
KA.

## каi $\sigma u ́ \gamma^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta$.



rious love. So in Clouds 52. So in Alciphron (iii. 11) an honest countryman complains that his wife is consorting with luxurious city-ladies, and devoting herself to worship K $\omega \lambda$ cáóas and $\Gamma_{\epsilon \nu \in \tau v \lambda \lambda i o ́ a s ~ a n d ~ o t h e r ~ f a s h i o n a b l e ~}^{\text {a }}$ divinities. So in Lucian's Amores, 42, it is said that wives take up with deities whose very names are unknown to their husbands, $K \omega \lambda \iota a ́ \delta a s, ~ \epsilon i ~ \tau u ́ \chi o t, ~ к a i ~ \Gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon-~$ rudioidas. The name $\Gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau v \lambda \lambda i s$ is no doubt derived, as the Scholiasts both here and on the Clouds observe, $\dot{a} \pi \dot{o}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \omega s \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi a i \delta \omega \nu$. For the name $K \omega \lambda \iota a ̀ s$ they give several fanciful derivations, as that a Victor's daughter, moved with love, unloosed the chains which bound the limbs, к $\omega \lambda \lambda$, of his prisoner. Possibly it was derived from Cape Colias, a low promontory some two or three miles from Phalerum, as to which Pausanias (Attica i. 4) says $\mathrm{K} \omega$ -

 Dodwell's Tour i. 427.
5. кшرฑ̂тเs] neighbour: Over and above the general distribution of all Attica into demes, Athens itself was, for municipal purposes, also divided into certain quarters or wards which were termed
$\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ or ${ }^{\prime} \mu \phi o \delta a$, Isocrates, Areop. 52 (p. 149). Hence $\kappa \omega \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta s$ or (in the feminine) $\kappa \omega \mu \bar{\eta} \tau \iota s$ is used, as the Scholiast observes, d̀v $i$ т $\tau \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu^{`} \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \grave{a}$ ${ }^{a} \mu \phi o \delta a$. So too Hesychius, Photius, Suidas s.v., and the Scholiast on Clouds 965.
8. $\tau 0 \xi \in \pi o t \epsilon i \nu]$ This does not mean, as the Oxford Lexicographers translate it, "to arch the brow like a supercilious person." It means to knit the brous like a rexed and angry person. rogomoteiv тàs ỏ ópûs, $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ aủzás.-Hesychius. Thus in Longus (Pastorals iv. 16) Dionysophanes, being enraged with Gnatho, bids him hold his tongue, $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ò $\phi \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ єis aủrò̀ rogonoıj́$\sigma a s$. And in Alciphron (iii. 19) the captured and scowling robber $\delta \rho \iota \mu \nu ̀ ~ \beta \lambda є ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ к а \iota ~ т о \xi ̆ о-~$ $\pi o t \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ tàs ó $\phi \rho \hat{u} s$. The comparison is not between (1) a single eyebrow arched, and (2) a bow drawn to a semicircle, but between (1) a frown which knits together both eyebrows, and (2) a bow unstrung, and so forming one line with a slight double curve. With Calonice's advice compare Alciphron i. 34 (to which Bergler also refers), where Thais says to
 тоьои́тоוя ö $\mu \mu a \sigma$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{K} \alpha \lambda о \nu i ́ \kappa \eta, \kappa \alpha ́ o \mu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha \rho \delta i ́ \alpha \nu$,
 ò $\tau \iota \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu \nu \in \nu 0 \mu i ́ \sigma \mu \in \theta \alpha$ єīvaı $\pi \alpha \nu 0 \hat{\rho} \gamma \circ \iota$,
KA. $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \epsilon ُ \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \eta ̀ ~ \Delta i ́ \alpha . ~$
 ßov $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ oú $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \phi \alpha u ́ \lambda o v \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau o s$,


$$
\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \phi i \lambda \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta,
$$


 $\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ oik $\epsilon \in \tau \eta \nu \quad \eta \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \in \nu$, $\dot{\eta}$ ס̀ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ o \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \lambda o v \sigma \epsilon \nu, \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \psi \omega \mu \mu \sigma \epsilon \nu$.
12. तavoûpyot] It seems to me that this passage has been misunderstood by all the Commentators. They take Lysistrata to mean that men are always describing women as $\pi$ avov̂pyot, and now she finds they are right. She really means that now she finds they are wrong. "Id graviter fert," says Enger, "quod quum nequam esse mulieres viri existiment, idem ipsa nunc experta est." But though mavoîpyos may often be translated by "nequam" worthless, good for nothing, it really means more than that. A blockhead may be rorthless, lout he could not be a mavoîpyos, for тavovpyia implies shreurdness, סєıvótŋs, though shrewdness exerted for an unworthy purpose. $\delta \in \iota \nu$ ór $\eta$ s, says Aristotle (Eth. Nic. vi. 12), ầ $\mu$ è̀ $\begin{gathered}\text { ó } \sigma к о \pi o ̀ s ~ \\ \eta \\ \dot{\eta} \\ \text { Ka入òs, }\end{gathered}$
 A $\pi$ avoûpros is a fourbe, like Molière's

Scapin. In the Frogs Dionysus proposes to bring back from Hades Euripides rather than Sophocles, because the former, being a mavoùpyos, will be quite up to running away. "חavovp$\gamma \hat{\omega}!$ " is the admiring and delighted comment of a slave in Menander, where a girl is explaining to him her artful scheme for outwitting the Master; Menander's "Arbitration," line 318 (ed. Capps) : see Professor Capps's note. St. Paul, writing to the Corinthians, supposes an adverse suggestion, ináp ${ }^{\text {ác }}$
 translators render with sufficient accuracy "being crafty, I caught you with guile." By the men then the women had been described as $\pi a v o u ̂ p \gamma o l$, up to anything, full of shifts and resources; but lysistrata, when she would combine them in her noble and lofty scheme, finds

## ム 〒ミIさTPATH

 aủ $\alpha i ̂$ s．
KA．$\quad \tau i \delta^{\prime}$＇$\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu, \grave{\omega} \phi i \lambda \eta \Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ ，

$\tau i ́ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \alpha \hat{\alpha} \mu \alpha$ ；$\pi \eta \lambda i ́ k o \nu \tau \iota ; \quad \Lambda \Upsilon . \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \alpha$ ．
KA．

$$
\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \chi^{v} ;
$$








them up to nothing．She is experiencing the truth of the Euripidean maxim：

 Medea 409.
13．єị $\eta \mu$ évov］àvтì tov̂ єipquévov．＇Atтt－ кढ̂s．－Scholiast．Cf．Ach．1164，1182，Plu－ tus 277,910 ，and frequently elsewhere．


 oтparyєv́धu．－Hesychius．to daudlle about． Cf．Clouds 509，Peace 731.

20．троїруaitepa］of more pressing im－ portance．Demosthenes seems to have this passage in his mind when he is contrasting the policy of Philip with that of the Athenians in a passage which the Oxford Lexicographers com－ pare with the present．＂Philip，＂saysthe orator，＂makes much of those who act
well towards him，but ye do not do this，
 De F．L．251， 252 （p．412）．By тติขסє in the present line we must understand the occupations which Calonice has men－ tioned，though we should rather have expected $\epsilon^{\kappa x \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu}$ in that sense．It is impossible to refer it，as Van Leenwen would do，to Lysistrata＇s scheme，which has not yet even been mentioned．

23．$\pi \eta \lambda i$ íoov］How big？The terms $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a$ кпі̀ $\pi a \chi$ v̀ are used，as the Scholiast ob－ serves，$\pi$ pòs rò aîoiòv，as they are in Ach．787，Peace 1349，and Eccl． 1048. With the question к⿺𠃊̣ compare Frogs 647.

28． $\bar{j} \pi o v$ ］This form，very common in Euripides，signifies the conclusion at which the speaker tentatively arrives， I fancy．$\lambda \in \pi$ ròv is the natural opposite to $\pi a x^{i}$ ．




$\Lambda \Upsilon$. Bot $\omega \tau$ íous $\tau \in \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s$ द́ $\xi_{0} \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha ı$.

$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ои́к $\epsilon \in \tau \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \tau \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \iota$
 Dobree's felicitous emendation of the
 MSS. The epithet $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$, occurring twice in the proceding lines, naturally leads up to this emendation, since, as Porson observes in his note on Orestes
 is quite a proverbial saying. Thus in Knights 1244 we find Paphlagon saying,
 Athenaeus (xii. 75, p. 551 C ) quotes from our poet's Gerytades, ís $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \pi i \lambda \lambda \epsilon \pi-$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ é $\lambda \pi i \hat{\delta} \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ä $\rho a$. Many similar passages will be found collected in Porson's note. The metaphor is from ships riding at anchor.
36. ràs $\left.\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon s\right]$ Of the Copaic eel, the darling of the Athenian epicure, enough has been said in the Commentary on Acharnians 880-94 and Peace $1005-14$; and see infra 702. They were the most delicious contribution sent by Boeotia in times of peace to the Athenian market. Let them therefore, Calonice says, be excepted from the sentence of universal annihilation lenounced against the inhabiters of Boeotia. The Scholiast, after giving the right interpretation of the line, adds

ழैкоид $\tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Bot $\omega \tau i a \nu$ кпi oi $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$
 тtakoîs фךбiv. He does not say that Hellanicus described them as dwelling in Boeotia, and it is at least cloubtful if they ever did so. They seem to have been an Illyrian tribe, whose connexion with Boeotia arose from the fact that the Cadmeians, the issue of Eteocles, when expelled from Thebes by the Epigoni, took refuge within their borders, Hdt. v. 61, ix. 43 ; Pausanias, Boeotica v. 1. Anyhow there is certainly no reference to them here.
37. ov̉к $\epsilon \pi \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \tau \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \iota]$ o兀̉ $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega$. -Scholiast. And so Suidas s.v. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \tau-$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ and again s. vv. oúk '̇ $\pi \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \sigma-$ $\mu u$. But though the word is generally used in a bad sense (Aesch. P. V. 949, Cho. 1034) that signification is not inherent in the word itself, which merely means to utter with the tongue, as contrasted with conceiving in the mind. It was the spoken word, not the thought, that was of ill omen.
 óтו.-Scholiast. Lysistrata means, and intends Calonice to understand her to mean, that unless the women can save them, the three great powers engaged



$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ ̧ ~ \tau \epsilon, \kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\delta} \alpha$ ．
KA．$\tau i ́ \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \gamma \nu \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \epsilon S$ фоóvıцод＇є $\rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha i ́ a \tau o$
خ̈ $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu, ~ a i ̈ ~ к \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$＇́ $\xi \eta \nu \theta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota$ ，
in the war－the Peloponnesians，the Boeotians，and the Athenian empire－ will all unavoidably perish．But with the characteristic reluctance of an Athenian to use ill－omened words about herself and her people，she will not
 she has said of the other powers，but leaves Calonice to supply those words for herself．So in Acharnians 318 Di－ caeopolis will not speak of his head being cut off，but intends the audience to understand what he means．So in Plato＇s fifth Epigram he throws an apple to his love，and begs her，if she loves him，to accept it ；but if－he will not say if she lores him not，but puts it as
 still let her take it，and remember how short－lived is its bloom and hers．In all such cases the speaker，although he will not utter the ill－omened words，yet wishes his meaning to be understood exactly as if he had uttered them．And Brunck＇s change of $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}(\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a})$ in the present line into＂a $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$（ $\left.{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{0}\right)$ ，with the sense of think better of my meaning，though adopted by a few editors，in reality makes nonsense of the passage．

 Oị́ каì фv́кєє каì тoîs ópoiots．－Scholiast， Suidas s．v．Hence the reference to äqxovaa in Lysistrata＇s reply．In Helio－ dorus vii． 19 we read of a woman $\pi$ avroía
 This line is twice quoted by Clement of Alexandria，and though in one case he gives the right reading $\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi} \eta \nu \theta \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \operatorname{val}$ ，in the other，either by his own carelessness or by that of his transcribers，the word
 several editors have inconsiderately in－ troduced into the text of Aristophanes． It is of course well known that，auburn hair，$\xi_{a v} \theta a i ̀ ~ \tau \rho i ́ x \in s$, being very popular at Athens，Athenian women were accus－
 the favourite colour by means of hair－ dye；Clement himself had just quoted from Menander тìv $\gamma$ vuaîka $\gamma$ à $\mid$ I $\grave{\eta} \nu$
 and by Alciphron（Fragm．5，ed．Seiler） we are told that the hair of Lais was curly by nature，and auburn without

 ix． 9 and xiii．1．But $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a \nu \theta \iota \sigma \mu$ évaı with－ out any reference to $\tau \rho i \chi$ es would rather mean that the women themselves were

#  <br>  


 $\chi \eta$ " $\gamma \chi^{0}{ }^{\nu \sigma \alpha} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha \phi \alpha \nu \eta \bar{\eta} \chi \iota \tau \omega \iota \alpha$.
КА. тíva dウ̀ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi \pi \nu \pi o \theta^{\prime}$;
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
$\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \alpha$
browned, like roasting meat, Ach. 1047. And Lysistrata, in recapitulating Calonice's description of Athenian women, makes $n o$ allusion to hair-dye, but by the mention of rouge seems clearly to refer to ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\xi} \eta \nu \theta \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \iota$, the reading supported by the unanimous authority of Aristophanic MSS., scholiasts, and gram-marians.-The verl $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \eta \epsilon \theta a$ seems intended to denote an indolent attitude. In Xenophon's Oeconomicus, chap. x, after Ischomachus has persuaded his wife to disuse cosmetics (see the Commentary on Eccl. 929), she asks if she can do nothing by which she may really be, and not merely appear, more beautiful. And he advises her $\mu \dot{\eta}$ סoviıkês à $\epsilon i$ кaөнsөal, but to be up and about, to look after the maidservants, to shake the carpets and make the beds.
44. крокตт́́] The крокюто̀s was a yellow or saffron-coloured body-robe, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \delta \partial \nu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (Schol. at Thesm. 261 and at Eccl. 332), much worn by Athenian women. In the Thesmophoriazusae Mnesilochus, wishing to pass for a woman, is at once arrayed in a крокштós; while in the Ecclesiazusac Praxagora, wishing to pass for a man, appropriates her husband's clothes, and
leaves him in exchange her own крокштiSoov. And probably, in the Agamemnon, when Aeschylus speaks of Iphigeneia крó-
 represent her as wearing a крокштòs at the sacrificial altar. The крокштòs is said to have been made of silk ( $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \mu \in \tau a ́ \xi ̆ \eta s$ Schol. at Frogs 46); and I see no reason for assuming, as some do, that silk was unknown to the Athenians in the time of Aristophanes.
 кòv סıaфav̀̀s रırшvíckos, says Pollux vii. 49; єỉios èvঠ̀uatos, says the Scholiast here, àmò тómov. And so Suidas (except that by some oversight he changes évóv-
 тєлồs, ồ $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \sigma \tau a \tau o ̀ s, ~ H e s y c h i u s . ~ O u r ~$ word cambric (said to be derived from Cambrai) seems sufficiently to represent both the name and the material. $-\dot{\rho} \rho \theta_{0}-$
 tius. The $\chi \iota \tau \omega \nu$ was orlinarily made too long for the figure, and had therefore to be belted up underneath the bosom, so that while the lower part hung straight to the feet, the top was gathered up into loose and ample folds. Where the $\chi \iota \tau \omega$ was made the same height as the figure,

## 





KA. oủ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \grave{\alpha} \Delta i ́ \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha s \eta^{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota$.
so that it required no belt, but hung down, straight and stiff, from the shoulder to the feet, it was called ${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta o-$
 $\zeta \omega \nu \nu \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \nu=s$, Pollux vii. 48.- $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \rho i ́ \delta a s$. These were common slippers, worn by females of all classes at Athens. The grammarians mostly call them simply
 general description; but Pollux (vii. 92) says that they were mostly worn by $\theta \epsilon p a \pi a \iota v i \delta \epsilon s$, and (vii. 87) cites from a comedy of Cephisodemus the line $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}$
 plain however from the present passage that they were also worn, and were considered attractive, by Athenian ladies.
 rouge. That the Athenian women attempted to imitate or improve Nature's own white and red by $\psi \iota \mu \dot{\theta} \theta_{\iota} o \nu$ and " $\gamma$ रovoa is of course well known ; Eccl. 878, 929, Plutus 1064, and the lines quoted from the second Thesmophoriazusae by Pollux vii. 95. And see the Commentary on the Ecclesiazusae, ubi supra. "̈ $\gamma \chi$ ovoa, says the Scholiast here,
 $\nu 0 v \sigma \iota$ тà $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi a$ ai $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \epsilon s$. It is the

Dyer's alkanet, the anchusa tinctoria of the botanists. Pliny treats of it in his Natural History xxii. 23 "Et anchusae radix in usu est, digitali crassitudine. Finditur papyri modo; manusque inficit sanguineo colore; praeparat lanas pretiosis coloribus."
50. aı̋ $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ סó $\rho v]$ So Eur. Heracl. 313

 ergo, ita me Ceres amat et Proserpina, mihi tingendam curabo," Brunck; and so all the Commentators. I presume that they take the line to mean $I$ will have my yellow robe re-dyed, and not "I will have it clyed another colour." But even the former rendering is very unsatisfactory, for Calonice, eager at once to assume the attire which makes for Peace, is not likely to suggest the tedious process of dyeing. And I strongly suspect
 place of a verb more analogous to the $\epsilon \in \delta \delta \dot{v} \sigma o \mu a \iota$ and $\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ of the following lines, such as $\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \psi \boldsymbol{\mu} a \iota$ or $\beta$ aotáro $\omega$. Many have remarked the similarity of these running comments of Calonice to those of Euelpides in Birds 598-602.
55. $\pi \epsilon \tau о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s]$ Compare Plautus (Mer-

 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oú $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ П $\alpha \rho \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ oủ $\delta \epsilon \mu i ́ \alpha ~ \gamma v \nu \eta ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \rho \alpha$,

KA．

## 

$\epsilon \epsilon \pi \grave{\tau} \tau \nu \nu \kappa \epsilon \lambda \eta \not \tau \omega \nu \quad \delta \iota \alpha \beta \epsilon \beta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma^{\prime}$ ö $\rho \theta \rho \iota \alpha \iota$ ．
$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．ov̉ $\delta^{\prime}$ às $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \delta o ́ \kappa \omega \nu \kappa \alpha ̉ \lambda о \gamma \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \eta \nu$＇่ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$
 रuvaîkas，oủX $\eta^{\prime} \kappa о \nu \sigma \iota \nu$.
KA．
$\grave{\eta}$ yoû $\nu \Theta \epsilon \alpha \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu$ ous
cator ii．4．18）．A．Visne eam ad portum？B．qui potius quam voles？


 －Scholiast．So rapid，after the death of Pericles，had been the deterioration of the Athenian character，that whereas the Athenians had formerly been dis－ tinguished for being always on the alert and beforehand with their opponents （Thuc．i．70），they are now on the contrary always behindhand，rov̂ ס́́óvtos v̈qтєрог．The system which Pericles introduced of removing all restrictions upon the popular will，and so relaxing the bonds of discipline and political self－control，naturally tended（when his strong hand no longer guided the reins） to make the Athenians，as Plato says， ápoò̀s каi $\delta \in \iota \lambda o u ̀ s ~ k a i ̀ ~ \lambda a ́ \lambda o u s, ~ G o r g i a s, ~$ chap．71．In the following century this became a common topic of complaint with the Athenian orators．See Demos－ thenes，First Philippic，pp．49， 50.
58．Пари́入 $\omega \nu$ ］the people of the coast，

$\mu o i p a \tau \hat{\eta} s$＇Aтт兀кरิs．－Scholiast．It was one of the three districts into which Pandion divided Attica，giving them to three of his sons．Paralia he gave to Pallas． He had a fourth son，Nisus，to whom he gave Megara and Salamis．

59．＇̇к $\Sigma a \lambda a \mu i v o s]$ While they are wait－ ing for the arrival of the other women， Aristophanes takes the opportunity of raising the laughter of the audience by a few farcical jokes．He mentions the Salaminian women，to lead up to Calo－ nice＇s remark that they，no doubt，have been from daybreak crossing（the straits which separate Salamis from the main－ land）in their skiffs，кé $\eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ．The word к $\in \AA \lambda\rangle s$ means either a skiff or a viding－ horse，and in the latter sense is used to signify the particular $\sigma \chi \bar{\eta} \mu a$ ovvovoias to which allusion is again made infra 191 and 619．See Wasps 501，Peace 900，Thesm．153．Jokes of this kind seem to have been specially employed in connexion with Salamis；infra 411， Eccl．38．And as to öp $\rho \rho$ pat，at early daun，cf．Ach． 256 and infra 966 and 1089.

 ai $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ '̈́ $\tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota \chi^{\omega} \rho o v ̂ \sigma i ́ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon s$. iov̀ iov̀, $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \in i \sigma i \nu ; \quad \Lambda \Upsilon$. 'A $\nu \alpha \gamma v \rho o u \nu \tau o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$.
KA. $\nu \grave{\eta}$ đò $\nu \Delta i ́ \alpha$.

$\mathrm{M} \Upsilon . \quad \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu, \hat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta ;$

$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
oủk $\epsilon^{\pi} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega}, \mathrm{M} \nu \rho \rho i \nu \eta$,
62. 'A $\chi a \rho \nu \epsilon$ ' $\omega \nu$ ] Next, the Acharnians are mentioned for the sake of the jest upon Theagenes (oủzós є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ of 'A hap Scholiast on Wasps 1183), whose notorious superstition in never leaving home without consulting the shrine of Hecate at his house-door (see Wasps 804 and the Commentary there) is here transfared to his wife. Suidas, both under 'Eкáтєьoy and under Өєaүє́ $\quad \eta s$, cites a proverb Өєaүє́vovs 'Eкáтєьov, and adds
 $\chi$ Хй ar $\pi เ \omega \dot{\omega}$.
67. 'Av arvo $\left.\frac{0}{} \nu \nu \tau o ́ \theta \in \nu\right]$ After Salamis and Acharnae we are introduced to the deme of Anagyrus, in order that Calonice may play upon the words of the proverb àváyupov кıveiv, a proverb however referring not to the deme, but to the strong-smelling shrub known to our botanists as Anagyris foetida, "stinking bean-trefoil." It is a bushy plant, growing to the height of eight or ten feet, with laburnum-like flowers of a bright yellow and long seed-pods; and was found in great profusion about the Attic village of Anagyrus. It had a
most nauseous smell, фviò̀ $\delta v \sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \epsilon s$, Hesychius, Suidas, Zenobius ii. 55, $\beta$ apúo $\sigma \mu$ os i $\sigma \chi \cup \rho \hat{\rho}$, Dioscorides iii. 67, "graves odore," Pliny xxvii. 13; and when bruised gave out the scent in a peculiarly offensive manner ( $\tau \rho \iota \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s{ }_{0} \zeta_{\zeta \epsilon} \epsilon$, Suidas). Hence arose the proverb avaरupov кıขєiv, used of persons who bring upon themselves some unpleasant re-
 avoir. The proverb is given by all the paroemiographers, Bodleian 56, Coislin 31, Diogenianus i. 25 and 52, Zenobius ii. 55 (Gaisford, pp. $6,123,158,160,266$ ), and Suidas. Calonice therefore, seeing the village dames of Anagyrus hurrying in before the rest, exclaims We hare stirred up Anagyrus with a vengeance. She is referring to the village, but she plays on the proverb which refers to the shrub.
70. оі̉к Є̇ $\pi a \iota \nu \hat{\omega}, \mathrm{Mup} i \nu \eta]$ So Thesm. 1213 oủk є̇таıขิ, रpádı. The plucky little Myrrhina was already Lysistrata's right-hand woman, and her dilatoriness was therefore all the more disappointing. She attempts to make up for it



$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．$\mu \grave{\alpha} \Delta i \prime,{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є́ $\pi \alpha \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon i \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ỏ $\lambda i ́ y o v \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \kappa \alpha$ $\tau \alpha ́ s ~ \tau ' ~ \epsilon ่ к ~ В о \iota \omega \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau \alpha ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ रuvaîkas ${ }^{\text {é } \lambda \theta \epsilon i v . ~}$
$\mathrm{M} \Upsilon$ ．
$\pi 0 \lambda \grave{v}$ б̀̀ ка́入入入ıv $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ．

$\Lambda \Upsilon . \quad \hat{\omega} \phi \iota \lambda \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ Иа́к $\alpha \iota \nu \alpha, \chi \alpha \hat{\rho} \rho, \Lambda \alpha \mu \pi \iota \tau о \imath ̂$.
by falling in at once with Lysistrata＇s objections．

74．ỏ入íyov $\left.\gamma^{\prime} \in i ̈ \nu \in \kappa a\right]$ ảvтì тoû $\pi \rho o ̀ s \beta \rho a \chi \grave{v} \nu$ х $\rho o ́ v o \nu$ ．－Scholiast．Just as $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu$ ov̂ $\gamma^{\prime} \in i \nu \in \kappa a$ means so far as I am concerned，so ỏ $\lambda$＇́ $\gamma o v \gamma$ ’ єїขєка means so far as a short time is con－ cerned．

77．$\Lambda a \mu \pi \iota \dot{\omega}]$ Lampito was the name of the wife of Archidamus，the Spar－ tan king who commanded the expedi－ tions into Attica during the early years of the Peloponnesian War，Hdt．vi． 71. She was the daughter of King Leoty－ chides and the mother of King Agis． By Plato（Alc．i．18，p． 123 E）and Plutarch（Agesilaus ad init．）she is called $\Lambda a \mu \pi \iota \delta \dot{\omega}$ ．Here there is probably no specific allusion to the Spartan queen；her name is merely taken as the name of a Spartan woman．Hither－ to all the women on the stage have been Athenians；but now representatives of the enemy make their appearance； Lampito comes from Sparta，and with her are delegates from Boeotia and Corinth，the two most powerful members， under Sparta，of the Peloponnesian
confederacy，and at this time the two bitterest enemies of Athens．Lysistrata greets them with enthusiasm．

81．$\sigma \iota \omega$ ］тoùs $\Delta \iota \sigma \sigma \kappa$ ópovs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota$ ．$\Lambda а к \omega \nu \iota-$
 ク̈тоє т $̀ \nu \mathrm{Kó} \rho \eta \nu$ каі̀ т $\dot{\nu} \nu \Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho a$ ．－Scho－ liast．The＂Twain＂in the mouth of an Athenian meant Demeter and Perse－ phone，and the oath by their names could be taken by women only：Eccl． 158．The＂Twain＂in the mouth of a Spartan meant Castor and Polydeuces， and the oath could be taken by men as well as by women；infra 983，\＆c．So both Lampito and the Herald swear by Castor ；infra 206，988．If we may judge by the examples given in this Play，the speech of a Spartan was frequently tempered by the parenthetical use of the word oî ；cf．infra 156，998， 1256. The Dorians here，as elsewhere，speak in the Doric tongue；but here，as else－ where，Aristophanes moulds the rigour of an unfamiliar dialect so as to make it more harmonious to the ear，and more intelligible to the mind，of an Athenian audience．And everywhere
oîov $\tau \grave{̀} \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o s, \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \nu \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ ，$\sigma o v$ фаívєтаı．
 $\kappa \alpha ̈ \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\nu} \rho o \nu \ddot{a} \gamma \chi o u s$.

$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．
$\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oíw $\nu \alpha i ̀ \sigma \iota \omega$ ．
$\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha ́ \delta \delta o \mu \alpha i ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi о \tau i ̀ ~ \pi v \gamma \grave{\alpha} \nu \alpha ̈ \lambda \lambda о \mu \alpha \iota$.

АА．$\hat{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$ i $\in \rho \epsilon$ ióv тoí $\mu$＇$\dot{v} \pi о \psi \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ．
 85
АА．$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \in \iota \rho \alpha ́$ тoı vaì $\tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \iota \omega$ Botwtía
the Commentators set themselves to reverse the good taste and good sense of the Athenian，by overloading the lines with the strictest Doric forms．I have preferred to follow the best MSS． and to present the lines as，according to their testimony，Aristophanes wrote them．See the Introduction to the Acharnians，pp．xlvi，xlvii．
 of Lycurgus required the Spartan girls， as well as boys，to harden their bodies and develop their physical energies by a regular course of training in the athletic exercises of the gymnasium．



 $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．－Xen．Rep．Lac．i．4．т $\begin{gathered}\text { の } \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a ~ \tau \omega \\ \omega\end{gathered}$

 Lycurgus，chap．14．And Lampito＇s hale and muscular appearance testified to the efficacy of his system．One proof of her agility in particular she mentions， $\pi о \tau \grave{\imath}(\pi \rho \grave{s}) \pi v \gamma \grave{a} \nu a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \mu a t$ ．For，as the

Scholiast says，ধ̇̀ $\tau \grave{\varphi} \gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \eta \delta a ̂ \nu$
 тoù $\pi \eta \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s$. This exercise，as Florent
 and Kuster cites the passage in which



 пơì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ r a ̀ s ~ \pi u \gamma a ́ s, ~ i v . ~ 102 . ~$
84．íno廿a入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ you handle me．$\psi \eta$－ $\lambda a \phi$ ât $\epsilon$－Scholiast．Cf．infra 275．You feel me as though I were a victim about to be sacrificed；which the sacrificers feel to make sure that it is in good condition． rà $\gamma$ à $\rho$ i $\epsilon \rho \epsilon i a$, ，says the Scholiast，$\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 廿 $\lambda \lambda a \phi \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ єi $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho a ́$ ध́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ．

86．$\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \rho a]$ This is merely the feminine of $\pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \beta v s$ ，and，as such，is here employed in the sense of an ambassudress， a female delegate，while in Ach． 883 it sig－ nifies the eldest．From itsuse in these two places we may perhaps infer that this femi－ nine was more in vogue in Boeotia than elsewhere．We shall presently find（infra 697）that the lady＇s name is Ismenia． $\pi o \theta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \stackrel{c}{c}$ is equivalent to $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s . ~$

$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
$\nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \hat{i}^{\prime}, \overparen{\omega}$ Bot $\omega \tau i ́ \alpha$, $\kappa \alpha \lambda o ́ \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ 'Є́ $X o v \sigma \alpha$ тò $\pi \epsilon \delta i o v$.
KA. каì $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i ́ \alpha$ $\kappa о \mu \psi o ́ \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta \lambda \eta \chi \bar{\omega} \gamma \in \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \in \tau \iota \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. Tís $\delta^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \alpha$ is; $\quad 90$
$\Lambda$ A. $\quad$ रaía vaì $\tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \iota$,
Kopıv $i^{\prime} \alpha \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v}$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
$\chi^{\alpha i ̈ \alpha} \nu \eta$ خ̀̀̀ $\nu \Delta i ́ a$

^A. Tís $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v}$ $\sigma v \nu \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha \xi \xi \in ~ \tau o ́ \nu \delta \epsilon ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o \nu ~$
87. $\AA$ Botwtia] This address would be suitable either for the Boeotian land or for the Boeotian woman ; and Lysistrata and Calonice play upon this double meaning by using language which is applicable primarily to Boeotiaitself, and only in a secondary sense to the woman before them. Boeotia was renowned for its plains, or (to use the Scotch expression) streths. It is described by the Scholiast as $\epsilon \dot{\jmath \pi} \epsilon \delta \dot{d}$ às, and by Hdt. (ix. 13) as $\chi^{\omega} \rho \eta$ i $i \pi \pi a \sigma i \mu \eta$. It was for this reason that Mardonius, on the approach of the Hellenic army, retired from Attica to try his last fortune on the plains of Boeotia, where there would be more space for the operations of cavalry; ка入ò̀ tò Botótiod $\pi \epsilon \delta i o v$, he
 бабөa, Plutarch, Aristeides, chap. 10. And Sylla, Plutarch tells us (Sylla, chap. 15 , cf. Id. 20), was blamed by some because, when the general of Mithridates was approaching with a great army well supplied with chariots and

 каі̀ àvaтєттанє́vals таïs $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ Bolwtià $\chi \omega$ рats. For these reasons the Boeotian plain, tò Botútoov $\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{o}$ ov, became so common a battlefield for Hellas that Epameinondas called it the "Orchestra of Ares," Plutarch, Marcellus, chap. 21. That $\beta \lambda \eta \chi^{\omega}{ }^{\omega \nu}$ (otherwise $\gamma \lambda \alpha^{\chi} \chi \omega \nu$ ) our pennyroyal was a well-known product of these plains is shown by the circumstance that in the Acharnians a Boeotian is represented as bringing it to be sold in the Athenian market. See Ach. 861 (and the Commentary there), 869 , and 874. So far as regards Boeotia the country. As regards the Boeotian woman by $\pi \in \delta i o \nu$ we are to understand the groin and by $\beta \lambda \eta \chi^{\grave{\omega}}$, тìv $\tau \rho i \chi a$. And as to $\pi a \rho a \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \lambda \mu \hat{\nu} \eta \eta$ see infra 151.
90. xaîa] The Boeotian lady having been disposed of, the Corinthian now comes forward. She is introduced by Lampito as $\chi^{\text {aian, a Doric word equiva- }}$ lent to àratil (Scholiast, Suidas, Hesy-

$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$.
$\mu v ́ \sigma \iota \delta \delta \in ́ \tau$
ó $\tau \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} s \pi o \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon^{\prime} . \quad$ Mr. $\nu \eta ̀ \Delta i ́, \widehat{\omega} \phi i ́ \lambda \eta \gamma u ́ \nu \alpha \iota$,



$\Lambda \Upsilon$. Toùs $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ p \alpha s$ oủ $\pi \circ \theta \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ тov̀s $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ \omega \nu$
 100 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \mu i ̂ \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau i \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma 0 \delta \eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \rho$.


chius), and descriptive, generally, of a person endowed with any sort of excellence, birth, breeding, character,- or appearance. Cf. infra 1157. Though a Doric word, Lysistrata adopts it in her reply out of compliment to Lampito.
 The sentence, as Seager observes, is pronounced $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega \bar{s}$, and $\grave{\iota} \tau \tau \in \cup \theta \in \nu$, as he rightly adds, does not necessarily imply motion. See Wasps 991, Ecel. 169.
93. $\sigma v \nu a \lambda i a \xi \epsilon]$ for $\xi v \nu \eta \lambda i a \xi \epsilon$, convoked. $\xi v v \dot{\eta} \theta \rho o \iota \sigma \epsilon$.-Scholiast. This is the verb to which the name of the Athenian dicasteries 'H $\lambda$ taia, un Assembly, belongs. Cf. infra 380.
94. $\mu \dot{v} \sigma \iota \delta \delta \epsilon \kappa$ к...入.] That is, $\mu \dot{v} \theta_{\iota} \xi_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\delta}, \tau \iota$ өeciets $\pi$ pòs $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$. The words which follow, $\nu \dot{\eta} \Delta i \prime \prime,{ }_{\omega} \phi i \lambda \eta \gamma^{v} v a t$, are in all the older editions given to Lysistrata, and I have so left them in the translation; but most recent editors make them the commencement of Myrrhina's speech, and this seems to be right.

favourite ejaculations of Athenian women in familiar conversation. As a rule, they have no special reference to the person addressed, but are intended rather as a general exclamation at the pitifulness or wonderfulness of the situation.
 thing of the circumstances to which the speaker alludes, and the Scholiasts knew no more than we do. Their explanation,

 obviously derived merely from the Comedies themselves, and does not rest on any independent historical basis. And the last two words are almost certainly erroneous. There is little doubt that the Eucrates here mentioned was the brother of the famous Nicias, who was put to death under the Thirty some seven years after the production of this Comedy. It was also proposed to confiscate his estate; and the eighteenth Oration of Lysias "About the confisca-


$\Lambda \Upsilon . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' ov̉ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu 0 \iota \chi 0 \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau \alpha \iota \quad \phi \epsilon \psi \alpha ́ \lambda v \xi$. ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \xi$ oî $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \pi \rho \circ$ иै $\delta o \sigma \alpha \nu \mathrm{M} \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \circ \iota$,

tion of the property of Nicias's brother" is the peroration of a speech to be made by the son of Eucrates against such proposed confiscation. It makes no mention of the charge brought against the father, nor does it contain anything which throws light on the present allusion. We can only guess that about the date of the Lysistrata he was in command of a military force in the Thraceward regions ( $\tau \mathfrak{a}$ é $\pi i \grave{i}$ Өрákns, see Peace 283 and the note there) ; and was so strongly suspected of some improper practices, treasonable or otherwise, that his soldiers were chiefly engaged in watching (see Birds 513) the suspicious movements of their own commander.
104. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \Pi u ́ \lambda \omega]$ This line is expressly given by the Ravenna MS., and generally, to Myrrhina, but some recent editors have transferred it to Lysistrata on the ground that Myrrhina's husband will presently appear on the stage. We may, however, be sure that the three who complain of their misfortunes here are the three who, infra 112-18, say what they would do to get rid of them. And indeed the old Attic Comedy took no count of little inconsistencies of this kind ; and besides, as at least five
days will have elapsed before Cinesias makes his appearance, he might easily during that interval have returned from Pylus to Athens. Pylus was still in the possession of the Athenians; see infra 1163. It was recaptured about two years later.
106. тортакьба́ $\mu \in \nu=s$ ] This is generally translated "having taken up his shield"; but though that would be the result of the operation, it is not really the operation itself described by the word. It means having fastened the shield-strap to the shield, and alludes to the Spartan custom of having the straps or handles of their shields made removable. A Spartan, on his return from an expedition, would remove the handle from the shield, to ensure that the Helots, if they were to rise in insurrrection, should not seize it strapped ready for action. See the Commentary on Knights 849. And it was not until he was about to start on a new expedition that he would refasten "the shield-strap to the shield."


 каì $\sigma \pi \iota \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \rho$.-Scholiast. "Ne scintilla quidem moechi."
òs $\hat{\eta} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \mu i \nu \quad \sigma \kappa \nu \tau i ́ \nu \eta$＇$\pi \iota к о \nu \rho i ́ \alpha$.


Mr．

$$
\nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \omega
$$


тоขтi кат $\alpha \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu$ є́ $\kappa \pi \iota \epsilon i \nu ~ \alpha v ่ \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu$.
 from Athens during the preceding sum－ mer（Thuc．viii．17），and was for some time the head－quarters of the Peloponne－ sian forces，and the scene of several im－ portant operations between them and the Athenians（Id．24－36）．It had an evil repu－ tation as the Sybaris of Ionia（Diod．Sic． viii．20，and see Plutus 1002 and the note there）；and the suggestion here is that its panders to vice manufactured the ＂penem coriaceum octo digitos longum＂ which the womenare accused of employing．

110．$\sigma \kappa и т і \nu \eta$＇$\pi \iota \kappa о и \rho i a]$ She is playing on the proverbial phrase $\sigma v к i \nu \eta$ е̇тıкоирía which，as the Scholiast observes，was applied to persons who give but a feeble support to their friends，the wood of the fig－tree being weak，and incapable of yielding a firm support．Eúkıvov，says the Scholiast on Plutus 946 ，is equivalent
 $a ̉ \sigma \theta \in \nu \epsilon ̀ s$ каi ả $\nu \omega \phi \in \lambda \grave{\epsilon} s$ ，ö $\theta \in \nu$ каі＂$\sigma v \kappa i \nu \eta$ є̇ $\pi \iota к о v p i ́ a . " ~ A n d ~ s o ~ H e s y c h i u s, ~ s . v . ~ \sigma u ́ к \iota-~$ $\nu o \nu$ ．Lysistrata means to express her contempt for these artificial substitutes； but she changes $\sigma v \kappa i \nu \eta$ into $\sigma \kappa v \tau i \nu \eta$ ，be－ cause she is dealing with aỉoîa $\delta \in \rho \mu a ́ t \iota \nu a$. Athenaeus（xii．75）preserves a line from a comedy of Strattis，$\Sigma a \nu \nu v \rho i \omega \nu$ оs $\sigma \kappa v \tau i \nu \eta$
＇$\pi$ tкoupia，which has received many differ－ ent interpretations，but as Athenaeus is citing it in connexion with the ex－ treme tenuity of Sannyrion，it probably refers，as Dalecamp suggested，to the leathern stays with which，we may readily believe，he was accustomed to support his person．No doubt which－ ever was the later of the two Comedies borrowed the phrase from the earlier．

114．ката日єiनav］Even though it were necessary for me to throw down this mantle and－the word expected was fight，but $\epsilon$＇кпteiv is substituted $\pi a \rho \grave{a}$ $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta o k i a \nu$ ，as a jest upon the bibulous propensities attributed to Athenian women．As to ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \kappa v \kappa \lambda o v$ ，a mantle，a woman＇s íárıov，see the Commentary on Thesm．249，and as to throwing down the íдátıo in preparation for a fight， see Thesm． 548 and the note there． The Commentators mostly attach to ката $\theta$ кiбау the signification of＂pledg－ ing，＂a signification it may well bear after the surprise－word $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \iota \epsilon i v$ has made its appearance，but which is not suitable to the primary meaning of the line． And although the regular form for put－ ting off a garment is the middle，ката－ $\theta \in \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$ ，yet the active，кaтaӨєía $\alpha$, cannot

KA．$\epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \delta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \not{ }^{\alpha} \nu \kappa \ddot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \grave{i} \psi \hat{\eta} \tau \tau \alpha \nu \delta о \kappa \hat{\omega}$





 $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i-\quad$ KA．$\tau o \hat{v} ; \phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma o \nu$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．
$\pi o \iota \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ oûv；

$\Lambda \Upsilon . \quad \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \alpha$ тoívvע $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ тồ $\pi \epsilon \in o u s$.
lose its ordinary meaning of putting a thing down（infra 202）merely because it happens to be applied to a garment．

115．$\psi \hat{\eta} \tau \tau a \nu$ ］a turbot．＇ $\mathrm{P} \omega \mu$ 人̂о七 калой $\sigma \iota$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \psi \bar{\eta} \tau \tau a \nu$ р́ó $\mu ß о \nu$ ，says Athenaeus vii， last chapter but one．The turbot is with our ichthyologists Rhombus maxi－ mus；the brill，Rhombus vulgaris．The Rhombus of the Fourth Satire of Juvenal must certainly have been a turbot．Calo－ nice is alluding to the popular notion that two flat fishes are in reality but one fish cut in halves；a notion derived from their peculiar shape and appearance， and illustrated by their German name Hallifische．In the Symposium of Plato 191 D，Aristophanes，expounding his theory that the lover and the loved are really parts of one entity，says є̈каотоs

 And Lucian（Piscator，49），angling for philosophers，says＇Ioov̀，ris ä $\lambda \lambda$ os oûtos $o$

 є́ $\pi เ \epsilon \nu, \epsilon_{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ，à $\nu a \sigma \pi a ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ ．

117．Taü $\boldsymbol{q}_{\epsilon}$ тоע］This was no easy task， for the mighty mountain which rises in abrupt and dizzy precipices to the west of the Amyclaean plain is＂probably inferior in height only to Pindus， Cyllene，and Olympus．It is visible from Zacynthus，which in a straight line is distant from it at least eighty－four miles． The northern crevices are covered with snow during the whole of the year，＂ Dodwell＇s Tour ii．410．That careful and accurate traveller is warmed almost to enthusiasm by the grandeur and beauty of the great mountain range with＂its pointed and snowy summits glittering in the deep azure of the sky，＂ Id．388．＂All the plains and all the mountains that I have seen，＂he says， ＂are surpassed in the variety of their combinations and the beauty of their appearance by the plain of Lacedaemon and Mt．Taygeton，＂Id．408．＂The

## ArさIミTPATH

$\tau i ́ \mu 0 \imath \mu \in \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon ; \pi 0 \hat{\imath} \beta a \delta i ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon ;$
$\alpha \hat{u} \tau \alpha \iota, \tau i ́ \mu o \iota \mu v \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \epsilon ;$
тí Хрळ̀s тє́т $\rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \iota$ ；тí סáкрvò катєíßєтаь；








first view of its dazzling and snowy peaks and black forests crowning the huge masses of rock which rise perpen－ dicularly from the brilliant Spartan plain in one row of colossal precipices excited feelings of awe and admiration such as I never experienced on any similar occasion，＂Col．Mure，Tour in Greece i．209．＂One scarcely realizes at home，＂says an anonymous writer， ＂how much Sparta，ancient and modern， is at the foot of towering mountains． The plain is fertile and well cultivated， rich in olives and vines，in mulberries and Indian corn，but the dominating physical fact of the situation is the rocky barrier so close at hand．We are under the lee of the mountains and cannot get away from their mighty shadows without quitting the Spartan valley altogether．＂The valley of Spar－ ta，＂says Ruskin，＂is one of the noblest mountain ravines in the world；the western flank of it is formed by an un－
broken chain of crags，forty miles long， rising，opposite Sparta，to a height of 8,000 feet，and known as the chain of Taygetus，＂Queen of the Air 26.

125．$\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ］Lysistrata＇s pro－ position is received by her friends with every symptom of repugnance and dis－ may．They turn their backs and move away（ $\omega$ s $\grave{\eta} \eta \delta \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ aùr $\bar{\omega} \nu$ кaì $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \tau \rho a-$
 press their lips（ $\mu \nu a ̀ \nu \gamma$ àp $\tau \grave{o}$ rà $\chi \epsilon i \lambda \eta \pi \rho o ̀ s$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \lambda a$ $\sigma v \nu a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$, Scholiast）and shake their heads（see the $\pi а р \epsilon \pi \tau \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ after Ach． 113 and the note there）；whilst their changing colour and their falling tears bear witness to the anguish of their


129．$\delta$ то́入є $\mu$ os $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \pi \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega]$ Let the war yo on．This expression is borrowed from Knights 673 where sec the note．
135．ovóè oiov］There is nothing like it． See Birds 966，Plato，Gorgias，chaps． 1 and 37 （pp． 447 C and 481 B ），and the Commentary on the Birds．

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$



 oú $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho$ ' $\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \Pi_{0 \sigma \epsilon i \delta \hat{\omega} \nu} \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \phi \eta$.

 $\xi v \mu \psi \eta ́ \phi \iota \sigma \alpha i \mu \circ$ но.
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. $\chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \nu \alpha i} \tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \iota \grave{\omega}$

138. oủk érós] Not without just couse. Cf. Ach. 411, 413. où $\mu$ aтaíws à入入̀̀ סıкаíss.-Scholiast.
139. Побєє $\lesssim \hat{\omega} \nu$ каї $\sigma \kappa \dot{\phi} \phi \eta$ ] Nothing, she means, but an intrigue and its natural consequence, the exposure of the child; nothing but a lover and a baby-basket. These are the matters on which all our thoughts are fixed, we cannot rise to higher and nobler aims. In the terms which she uses she is alluding to the Tyro of Sophocles (à ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $\eta \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ єícıv ai $\tau \rho a \gamma \omega \delta \dot{c} a t$ ), which, in a revised shape, was probably acted shortly before the Birds. See Scholiast on Birds 275; Wagner, Fragm. Trag. Gr. i. 410. Tyro, the daughter of Salmoneus, having borne twin sons to Poseidon, endeavoured to conceal her shame by exposing them in a $\sigma$ кí $\phi \eta$ (just as Romulus and Remus, in a like case, were placed $\epsilon i s ~ \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \phi \eta \nu$ to be cast into the Tiber, Plutarch, Rom. chap. 4). The boys, when grown up, return to their home, and in the recog-nition-scene which ensues the oкád $\eta$ plays a conspicuous part as a means of identification. Aristotle (Poetics xvi. 3), classifying the various modes of re-
 that identification sometimes takes place by means of personal marks, sometimes by external tokens, oiov è $\nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Tvpoî ©ù̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ккá $\phi \eta s$. The Scholiast



145. $\mu$ óv $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ रvví $]$ The precise meaning of these words is extremely doubtful. They are generally translated "the only woman of them all," "sola harum femina " (Brunck, following Bergler); which, considering the judgement just pronounced by the speaker on her sex, would be but a sorry compliment. It seems to me that the word $\gamma v o \eta$ applies to the entire line, to $\phi \iota \lambda \tau a ́ \tau \eta$ as well as $\mu o ́ v \eta$, just as if it
 $\mu \delta \nu \eta$. And this, I think, was the Scholiast's view who explains $\mu$ óv $\eta$ by $\mu o ́ v \eta$
 tation, however, which cannot be strictly accurate. In my opinion Lysistrata is referring to the words she employed just above, $\mu o ́ v \eta \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon o \hat{v}$, and means that Lampito is the only one with her;

## A $\Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

## 


KA. $\epsilon i \delta^{\circ} \dot{\omega} s \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \chi i ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ov̂ $\sigma \grave{v} \delta \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$,


$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
$\pi 0 \lambda \hat{~} \gamma \in \nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \omega$.

 150
$\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha \rho i ́ o \iota \mu \in \nu, \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha l$,
not only фi入táty but the only one of them all who is so, the Abdiel of the cause. In her enthusiasm she speaks abruptly, but means (to borrow from my own translation) " 0 dearest friend, my one true friend of all." And so, I inagine, Florent Chretien understood the line, when he translated it " 0 omnium harum sola dulcis foemina." And in claiming the Spartan woman as her one true friend of all, the Athenian woman may seem to have been endowed with a sort of prescience, since seven
years later, when the day of doom arrived for Athens, Sparta proved herself in very truth her "one true friend of all," by resolutely standing between her and the vengeance of her enemies. See the Introduction to the Ecclesiazusae, pp. ix, x.
 metics rubbed in, Eccl. 732 and 904. Alexis, in a passage cited by Athenaeus (xiii. 23, p. 568 C ), dilating on the art with which women disguise their natural blemishes, says

It is noteworthy that though here, as supra 42-8, Lysistrata expounds in the clearest manner her plan of the campaign, yet it is really conducted on totally different lines. Not for one moment do the women sit at home endeavouring to attract the men. On the contrary they keep out of their way as much as they possibly can.
150. àoopyivos] made of the finest lawn. This was manufactured from flax grown in the island of Amorgos.
 on 735 infra, $\dot{i} \pi \grave{\varrho} \rho \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta \dot{v} \sigma \sigma o \nu \eta \eta_{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a ́ p-$ $\pi a \sigma o \nu$. The material was quite transparent, whence the women wearing it are called $\gamma v \mu \nu a i$ in the following line.
151. тapio $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ] This compound is contrasted with the $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma$ io $\mu \in \nu$ of two lines below, " if we walk by them, but not to them." With пиратєтіл $\mu$ évą cf. supra 89. $\delta \in \lambda \tau a$ here, as $\pi \in \delta i o \nu$ there, signifies the groin. The Scholiast explains $\pi \lambda_{\epsilon}-$








KA. $\phi \lambda v \alpha \rho i ́ \alpha \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \sigma \tau i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$.


KA. $\epsilon \mathfrak{\alpha} \nu \nu \grave{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\tau} \pi \tau \omega \sigma \iota \nu, \tau i ́ ;$
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \chi \rho \eta ̀$ как $\bar{\omega}$.
155. ó Mєขédaos」 The Spartan woman cites a Spartan precedent. The legend that, after the fall of Troy, Menelaus, when about to slay his faithless wife, was so softened by her beauty that he cast his sword away, is found, the Scholiast informs us, in the " Little Iliad" of Lesches; and is also, as he adds, recorded by Euripides (Andromache 627).



 ท̈ $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \phi v \kappa \omega ̀ s$ Kúmpı $\delta o s$.

And it is introduced, with great effect, into Tennyson's splendid description of the disordered dreams of Lucretius.

Then, then, from utter gloom stood out the breasts,
The breasts of Helen, and hoveringly a sword
Now over and now under, now direct,
Pointed itself to pierce, but sank down shamed At all that beauty.
158. Фєрєкра́тovs] This is supposed to be the Comic Poet, the senior contemporary of Aristophanes, though the words were not to be found in his Comedies surviving at the time when the Scholiasts wrote. The proverb, as coined by the Poet, seems to be equivalent to the Latin nudo detrahere restimenta, Plautus, Asinaria i. 1.79; and is used, the
proverb collectors tell us, $\epsilon \pi i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ торои́vт $\omega \nu$ (Coislin 296 ; Diogen. v. 85 ; Gaisford Paroemiogr. pp. 146, 200), and so the Scholiast and Suidas. But Lysistrata, as Brunck observes, " proverbium aliorsum trahit. Penem coriaceum intelligit, cuius mentio supra 109."
160. $\delta \omega \mu a ́ t \iota o v]$ abedchamber. коьтต́vıov. —Scholiast. See Eccl. 8; Lysias, de

## $\Lambda \Upsilon さ I \Sigma T P A T H$






KA. $\epsilon \mathfrak{\imath} \tau о \iota ~ \delta о к \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \phi \hat{\varphi} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha, \chi \eta \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \xi \nu \nu \delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
АА. каi $\tau \grave{\omega} s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \widehat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha s \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\epsilon} s \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon S$


$\pi \hat{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ́ \tau \iota s \hat{\alpha} \nu \pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \alpha \hat{v} \mu \eta े \pi \lambda \alpha \delta \delta i ́ \eta \nu ;$
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma o l \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \in \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \epsilon i \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$.

caede Eratosth. 17 (p. 93); Plato, Rep. iii. 4 (p. 390 C) ; Plutarch, Marius, chaps. 38 and 44 ; Sylla, chap. 37.
161. àvт'́ Xov] cling tightly to the door: Cf. Ach. 1121. "Ita adhaere foribus, ut ab eis te avelli non sinas."-Florent Chretien. With Calonice's question $\begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon \\ \nu\end{gathered}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau i \pi \tau \omega \sigma \iota \nu, \tau i ;$ compare the questions of the Citizen and Chremes, Eccl. 799, 862.
165. àmєpoūซเv] they will give over, cry off, infra 778, Peace 306. àmaүорєи́боvat каї $\pi a v ́ \sigma о \nu \tau а \iota ~ т о и ิ ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о и . ~-S c h o l i a s t . ~$
167. $\chi \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \xi \nu \nu \delta о к \epsilon i]$ With these words Calonice and her friends finally give in their adhesion to the scheme proposed by Lysistrata. So in the Birds (1630), Poseidon, finding himself outvoted by Heracles and the Triballian, withdraws his opposition with the words $\epsilon i l$ toו
 the uprising against the Four Hundred, a few months after the exhibition of this Play, when the soldiers are eager to demolish the fort at Eetionia, Theramenes after a faint show of opposition
to their wishes, gives way with the words
 छ̀vסокєiv, Thuc. viii. 92.
169. $\delta$ tкaíws "̈ठodov ] These words are constantly found in treaties. See Birds 633 and the Commentary there.
170. คvá $\chi \in \tau 0 \nu]$ Hesychius and Photius give two meanings to this word, viz. Oópvßov, a racket, and ròv péovтa ó $\chi \in \tau o ́ \nu$. The Scholiast and Suidas explain it by Oópvßov and бuрфєтóv. Here it seems to be used for an unstable and tumultuous $m o b$. $\pi \lambda a \delta \delta i \eta \nu$ in the following line, and infra 990 , means to play the fool.
173. îs $\pi \dot{o} \delta u s]$ îs stands for ${ }^{\prime \prime} \omega s$, and I have, though with some hesitation, accepted $\pi o ́ \delta a s$, the emendation of Valckenaer and Tyrwhitt, for the MS. reading $\sigma \pi o \delta \hat{s} s$. The MS. reading would mean "Not while the triremes have so much attention paid them"; the amendment, Not while your triremes are equipped with ropes and sails; not while you have so many triremes ready for active service, or more strictly, as Tyrwhitt

 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \psi{ }^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho o ́ \pi о \lambda \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ． $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \iota s \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \alpha \iota$ тоиิто $\delta \rho \bar{\alpha} \nu$ ，



$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．$\tau i \delta^{\delta} \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$ oủX $\dot{\omega} \tau \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, \Lambda \alpha \mu \pi \iota \tau o \hat{\imath}$,

renders it，＂Non quamdiu pedes（velo－ rum sc．funes）habent triremes．＂＂Inter navium instrumenta，＂he adds，＂saepis－ sime memorantur oi $\pi o ́ d \varepsilon s$ ，Odyss．v． 260 ；Eurip．Orest．706；Aristoph．Eq． 436．＂See the Commentary on the last－cited passage．The Scholiast here
 $\not{ }^{a} \nu \quad \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma o \kappa \rho a \tau \bar{\omega} \sigma \tau \nu$ ，кaì тò à ápyúpıov тò



 Фi入óxopos èv＇At $\begin{aligned} & \text { tiór．}\end{aligned}$

174．Tảpyúpoov］Lampito is referring to the 1,000 silver talents which Pericles had set apart at the commencement of the war（Thuc．ii．24）to be used only on the most pressing emergency．That emergency had now arrived（Id．viii．15）， and it was proposed to employ the money in building ships to replace the fleets which had perished at Syracuse， and so to enable Athens to carry on the war．But the carrying on of the war was the very thing which Lysistrata and her friends were determined to pre－ vent．And henceforth throughout the

Play their main object is to hold the Acropolis in their exclusive possession， that the men may not obtain for their warlike purposes the silver in the temple of the Goddess，rapà tậ $\sigma \iota \omega \hat{\text { ．}}$ ．For the Athenian treasury was the inner cham－ ber at the back of Athene＇s Temple； though whether that temple was the Erechtheium（as the Scholiast on Plutus 1193 says，and as we should naturally expect）or the Parthenon（as the remains of the two temples have led antiquaries to believe）is a doubtful and difficult question．See the Commentary on the passage of the Plutus．
 was the recognized mode of commencing a revolution．So Cylon кaté̀aße ті̀ $\nu$
 And Peisistratus，émıt $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \mu \in \nu o s ~ \tau v \rho a v \nu i o ̂ ̀, ~\end{gathered}$ no sooner obtained his body－guards than he at once катє́ $\chi \chi \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ àкро́то入ı， Aristotle，Polity of Athens，chap． 14. And see infra 274.

177．тais $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ vaítas］The older wo－ men are told off for this service．They will form the Chorus of Women，the Semichorus of the Play．Much confu－

## へ $\Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$



 каí $\mu o \iota$ ठóт $\omega$ тà тó $\mu \iota \alpha$ т $\tau \iota$ ．
KA．
イvaıбтрáт $\eta$,
$\tau i \nu{ }^{\prime}$ о’ $\rho к о \nu$ о́ $\rho \kappa \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \pi о \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ ；
$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．
ővтıva；

sion has arisen from editors not clearly keeping before their minds the fact， that the women on the stage，Lysistrata and her friends，are all young married women，whilst the women in the orchestra are all old women，and are constantly described as such．Their leader is Stratyllis，whom the men are for ever taunting on account of her age．

180．тáv̌＇єย̉ к．．т．入．］All will go well， for on this subject also you say well．
 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ．－Scholiast．
184．EкúӨava］Scythianess．That the Scythian archers were employed to keep order in the Athenian Assemblies is plain from innumerable passages，such as Ach．54，Eccl．143：see the Com－ mentary on the latter passage．The Assembly of Women is therefore in like manner attended by Scythianesses．And just as those rude barbarians were ridi－ culed for their habit of staring idly about them（see infra 426），so here the Scythianess is at once made to merit and receive the same rebuke．

186．то́ $\mu a]$ The severed parts of the victim，over which the most solemn
oaths were taken．Bergler refers to Demosthenes against Aristocrates 79 （ p ． 642），where it is said that，in a trial for murder before the Areopagus，the Accuser is required to swear not any common form of oath，but $\sigma \tau a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тодішу ка́т $\rho \circ$（infra 202）каі̀ крьой（infra 189）каі̀ таúpov（Aesch．Sept．42）．
188．$\left.\epsilon^{i} \nu \operatorname{Ai} \sigma \chi \dot{\sim} \lambda \omega\right]$ The allusion，as the Scholiast observes，is to Septem 42， where the Messenger is describing the proceedings in the invaders＇camp：
 тavpooøфayoûvtes cis $\mu \epsilon \lambda$ ávoєtov oákos，

 шркшни́т $\eta \sigma a \nu$ к．т．т．
The substitution here of $\mu \eta \lambda$ oo $\phi$ aqov́ras for ruvporфayouvres，if not a mere inad－ vertence，is probably due to the double meaning of rav̂pos（infra 217）and $\mu \hat{\eta} \lambda a$ （supra 155）．This mode of pledging faith was practised even in historic times．The Hellenic army of the Anaba－ sis，and that of Ariaeus，after the battle of Cunaxa，swore to be faithful to each other oф́ágavтєs кátpò каì таи̂pò каì


## $\mu \eta \lambda o \sigma \phi \alpha \gamma o v ́ \sigma \alpha s$.

KA.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \eta े \sigma v ́ \gamma ’, \bar{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta, \tag{190}
\end{equation*}
$$



KA. $\epsilon i \lambda \epsilon v \kappa o ́ \nu \pi o \theta \epsilon \nu$


KA.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \hat{\omega} s$ ó $\mu \circ \check{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$
$\dot{\eta} \mu \in i \bar{s} ;$
Mr. $\quad$ ध́ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \sigma o \iota \nu \eta ̀ ~ \Delta i ́, ~ \eta ̀ \nu ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda \grave{\eta}, \phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega$.
 Anabasis ii. 2.9. I suppose that Lysistrata uses the word daciv because, being a woman, she would not be very familiar with the old Tragedian.
191. $\lambda_{\text {evkò }}$ "intov] The sacrifice of white horses was not an uncommon occurrence. Blaydes refers to Hdt. vii. 113, Lucian's Scytha 2. But in recommending it here Calonice is doubtless referring to the $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ovvovoias mentioned in the note on 59 supra. The " grey mare" which I have substituted for it in the translation is borrowed from the old proverb, "The grey mare is the better horse," Hudibras ii. 2. 698. In Lysistrata's contemptuous reply $\pi o \hat{\imath}$ $\lambda_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\partial} \nu$ ĩ $\pi \pi o \nu$, as again in 383 infra, ooi is employed in the sense so common with тotov: White horse indeed!
 black (as so many of the Greek cups and vases are) in analogy to the black-bound shield of Aeschylus, in the lines quoted in the note on 188 supra. And just as Calonice's proposal may have been prompted by the passage, referred to
in the preceding note, from the Seventh Book of Herodotus, so Myrrhina's proposal may have been prompted by the description in his Fourth Book (chap. 70) of the Scythian method of making trea-

 their own blood, and then after dipping their weapons into it, and making long prayers, they and their chief followers drink it off.
196. Өáotor] Many passages in praise of the "dark Thasian wine" (Өaciou
 by Athenaeus i, chaps. $51,52,53,56$. It was of all wines the most fragrant, see Eccl. 1119, Plutus 1021 and the Commentary there; and hence the exclamation of Lampito, ten lines below. And possibly the wine which Achilles Tatius describes as tò̀ $\mu$ étava đò̀ à à $\theta_{o \sigma-}$ $\mu i a \nu$ was none other than the Thasian. Probably too its fragrance made it a special favourite with the Athenian women, for each time that Aristophanes mentions it he places it in a woman's hand or head.

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

$\theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha \nu$ кú入ıка $\mu \in \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \nu$ ن́mтía $\alpha$ ， $\mu \eta \lambda o \sigma \phi \alpha \gamma \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \iota$ ఆ́́бıov oıvov $\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \nu i ́ o \nu$,



KA．命 фí入taral $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \in s$, ó $\kappa \in \rho \alpha \mu \omega ̀ \nu$ ö ócos．




197．$\left.\mu i{ }^{\prime} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon i \nu \nu ँ \delta \omega \rho\right]$ These words are of course added $\pi$ apà $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o \kappa i a v$ ，for the purpose of satirizing the alleged vinous propensities of the women，$\epsilon i s \mu^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu$ $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ тàs $\gamma u v a i k a s$ ；as the Scholiast says．The expected words were neither $\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \in i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \nu$ ，as the Scholiast sug－
 Bergler puts it，but àmé $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．，that is，to act as Lysistrata proposed．

198．$\phi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta \hat{a}]$ This Doric ejaculation is used by both Aeschylus and Euripides in their Choral Odes，and Aristophanes probably borrowed it without much re－ gard to its meaning or to its derivation． It is uncertain whether $\delta \bar{a}$ is connected with $\Delta \epsilon \dot{v} s$（Z $\epsilon \dot{v}$ ），or with $\gamma \hat{\eta}$（as is asserted by the Scholiast on Agamemnon 1039， and the author of the Etym．Magn．s．v． $a ̉ \lambda \epsilon v a ́ \delta a)$ ；or whether it merely stands for $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ，and should be written $\delta i i_{\text {．With }}$ the words äфaтov is є̇mavio，I can＇t． describe to you how I approve the outh， compare Birds 428 ä $\phi$ atov $\dot{\text { es }}$ ф $o ́ v \iota \mu o s$, I can＇t describe to you how sagacious he is． And cf．infra 1080， 1148.

200．ó кєрацњ̀ ö́бos］In answer to

Lysistrata＇s summons an attendant brings out a wine－jar and a cup，both of prodigious size．The magnitude of the earthen jar extorts from Calonice the delighted exclamation，$O$ ，what a big Wine－jar！And either she，or a friend（for many with great probability transfer the next line to Myrrhina），proceeds to con－ gratulate herself on the size of the cup： that is a cup＇twould give one joy to handle．

202．тố кáт $\rho \circ \mathrm{v}$ ］Lysistrata tells the attendant to set down the cup，and to take up the Wine－jar for the purpose of pouring out the wine．As Bergler re－ marks，she speaks of the Jar as if it were a victim whose blood they are about to shed．And while the Jar is being lifted she utters two lines of prayer，trusting that the Goddess of Persuasiveness and the Loving Cup will accept their sacri－ fice（as she calls the Wine）and be propitious to the women＇s cause．

203．Пє $\left.\epsilon \theta_{\circ} i\right]$ They invoke Peitho be－ cause by her aid，as the special minister and confidante of Aphrodite（if she were not rather Aphrodite herself under an－ other aspect），they hope to persuade the

## 






 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha u ̛ \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ．


men to terminate the war．They invoke the Cup of friendship because by means of the friendly league，to which they are about to pledge themselves over the Cup of Thasian wine，they hope to inau－ gurate a reign of peace and friendship throughout the Hellenic world．On the кí入ı $\xi$ фiлот $\eta \sigma i a$ see Ach． 985 and the Commentary there．The Cup was im－ mediately before them；and probably full in sight arose the ancient Temple of Aphrodite Pandemus and Peitho， which Theseus erected as a seal and emblem of the incorporation of all the demes of Attica into a single state， Pausanias i．22．3．Hence，perhaps，the word $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \frac{1}{}$ 317，as of a present deity．On the great throne of Zeus at Olympia Peitho was represented crowning Aphrodite new risen from the sea，Pausanias v．11．3．
 didly（that is，propitiously，cf．Peace 1054， Birds $1118, \& \mathrm{c}$. ．），as of a vietim＇s blood． тルî̃a $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ ，says the Scholiast，$\mu \mu \mu v-$
$\mu e ́ \nu \eta$ toùs Өvooróous，toutégtı toùs iefeís．
 xápıv．The attendant is pouring the wine into the cup and the women are pressing round to see．And one admires the deep rich colour，and another the deli－ cious fragrance（ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o{ }_{\xi} \epsilon \iota \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ ），of the Thasian wine，whilst a third would fain be the first to pledge her oath，that is，to raise the cup to herlips．The entire scene is intended to banter the alleged wine－ loving propensities of Athenian women．

208．$\lambda$ cízns］Much of the humour underlying this dialogue has passed un－ noticed because annotators have failed to observe that，in the peculiar form of oath which Lysistrata is about to ad－ minister，to swear has much the same meaning as to drink．Myrrhina＇s eager－ ness $\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \not \eta \nu$ ò $\mu \nu \dot{v} \nu a$ arises from the cir－ cumstance that she would so obtain the first draught of wine，ėmè̀ $\dot{\eta}$ ò $\mu \nu v^{\prime} o v \sigma a$
 Scholiast says；whilst the retort èav $\lambda$ áxns is due to the fact that in ancient

## へ $\Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$


KA. ő öт८s $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ُ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau v к \omega ́ s . ~ \pi \alpha \pi \alpha \hat{\imath}$,

$\Lambda \Upsilon$. оїкоı $\delta^{\prime}$ àт $\alpha \nu \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta ~ \delta \iota a ́ \xi \omega ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \beta i ́ o \nu ~$
KA. oíkoı $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \nu \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta ~ \delta \iota \alpha ́ \xi \omega$ тòv $\beta i ́ o \nu$
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. крокштофорой $\alpha<\alpha i ̀ ~ к \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$,
KA. крокштофороиิ $\alpha$ каì $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$,




symposia not only was the ruler of the feast, the symposiarch, the arbiter bibendi elected by lot (Horace, Odes i. 4. 18, ii. 7. 25), but sometimes also the order in which the cup was to pass from guest to guest was regulated in a similar manner; huic si sorte bibas, sortem concede priorem, Ovid, Ars. Amat. i. 581.
211. द́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ ] confirm. See 233 infra, where $\tau a \hat{u} \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \delta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$ means if $I$ make firm, that is, abide by what I have sworn, á $\sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$ фàátrovoa as the Scholiast explains it. Bergler refers to Iph. Taur. 758, 790.
 pounds the oath, Calonice repeats it after her, whilst all the other women place their hands upon the cup, so as to be participators in the ceremony; like the Seven Chiefs $\theta$ tryávodess $\chi \in \rho \sigma i$ тavpeíou фóvov.
 usually, from fear, but from pleasure. So when Penelope becomes certain, by his mention of a secret known only to those two, that the stranger is really her husband, we are told (Odyssey xxiii. 205) :



0 knees that as water are weak, 0 heart's shield suddenly broken,
Who save Odysseus could speak it,-the spell of the secret token? (Way.)
 says the Scholiast, and again alsevkros үámov каì àsurйs. That the Greeks saw no special coarseness in the metaphor may be inferred from its introduction by Aeschylus in his tender and beautiful
description of Iphigeneia in the first Chorus of the Agamemnon.
219. крокштофороî $\sigma a$ ] This line is, in substance, repeated from 44 supra.
221. $\overline{\epsilon \pi} \pi$ เru $\phi \hat{\eta} \mu$ $\mu \mathrm{u}]$ bumn with love of me.


229. гà Пєрбькá] These were common slippers worn by women and children.
 Suidas. $\epsilon \mathfrak{j} \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ímoঠ́n $\mu a \tau a$.-Hesychius. Aristophanes usually speaks of them in the plural, Clouds 151, Thesm. 734, Eccl. 319 , but here employs the dual.
 already mentioned in the Wasps and the Birds, was used for grating cheese, silphium, and the like. In form it was very dissimilar to one of our "graters," being a sort of knife with a bronze or ivory handle. And on the handles of knives it was customary to carve all sorts of animal figures. тvрóкдпбтts $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$



 das. But bronze figures would be less fragile, and in the British Museum there are several specimens of Greek bronze knife-handles on which the figures of lions, hounds, and other animals are
carved in every variety of posture; some indeed couching upon the handle, but others standing upright, and touching the handle only with their feet. And it is plain that in the rupóкд $\eta \sigma \tau \iota s$ of which Lysistrata is speaking the lioness was in a standing position. She takes the lioness so standing as representing a $\sigma \chi \bar{\eta} \mu a \quad \sigma v \nu o v \sigma i a s$, the sentence being, as Brunck observes, equivalent to ov̉ $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi о \delta \eta \delta \dot{\partial} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} a \iota$ (Peace'896). The grammarians say that тupóкvпбтts was a $\sigma \chi \bar{\eta} \mu a$ ovvovoias, but that seems to be an entire mistake; the $\lambda$ éalva was a $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \pi \sigma v \nu o v \sigma i a s ;$ see the lines of Machon preserved by Athenaeus xiii, chap. 39 (p. 577 D ), but the addition $\epsilon \pi \grave{\iota} \tau v \rho o-$ $\kappa \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \iota \delta \iota$ seems merely the product of Lysistrata's to lively imagination.
235. єi §̀ $\pi a \rho a \beta a i \not \eta \nu]$ If I abide by this, may I drink of the Thasian wine; but if I break my oath, may the cup be filled with water instead. A person taking an oath settled beforehand for himself what should be the reward for keeping it, and

KA．$\epsilon i ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha i \eta \nu$ ，vi $\delta \alpha \tau o s ~ \epsilon ́ \epsilon \pi \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \kappa u ́ \lambda \iota \xi$ ．
$\Lambda \Upsilon . \quad \xi v \nu \epsilon \pi o ́ \mu \nu v \theta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon i \hat{i} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota ; \quad \mathrm{M} \Upsilon$ ．$\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i ́ \alpha$ ．

KA． тò $\mu^{\prime} \rho o s \gamma^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \phi i ́ \lambda \eta$, ô $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \mathrm{~S} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ фí $\lambda \alpha \iota$ ．
АA．тís $\dot{\omega} \lambda o \lambda \nu \gamma \alpha ́$ ；



 $\tau \alpha \sigma \delta i \delta^{\circ} \dot{o} \mu \eta \eta_{\rho o u s ~} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon$ ．
what the penalty for breaking it．See Birds 445－7 and the Commentary there ； and Iph．Taur．750－2．According to the statement in Demosthenes contra Ti－ mocr． 171 （p．747）the dicastic oath was closed with the words émór $\quad$ vи $\Delta i a$ ，


 tivat．
238．каӨаүіб $\omega$ ］кай $\sigma \omega$ ，каӨıє $\rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ ．－ Scholiast，Suidas．$\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \omega$ каì ка $\theta_{\iota} \epsilon-$ $\rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ ．－Hesychius．Lysistrata uses the correct liturgical word which signifies to complete and consummate a sacrifice，by burning，eating or otherwise consuming （according to the ordinances applicable to each case）the remains of the conse－ crated victim．But here，as in Plutus 681，the term is diverted to a comic meaning．The purpose of Lysistrata is to complete the sacrifice by herself con－ suming the remains of the Thasian wine， a purpose intercepted by Calonice，who calls upon her to go shares with her
friends，and so give immediate proof of their newly cemented friendship，кovvà $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \tau \grave{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ ，see the Commentary on Plutus 345．Possibly also the lan－ guage of Calonice is intended to convey a hint that they will not long remain friends if Lysistrata drinks all the wine herself．

 The answer is repeated from Acharmians 41.

244．тaбסí］тàs $\theta$ єратaivas ф $\quad$ бi．－ Scholiast．But we have no reason to suppose that Lampito brought any at－ tendants with her ；and even if she did， the word must also include the associates and friends who，we know，accompanied her to Athens．These are the women who with Lysistrata，Calonice，Myrrhina， and others will henceforth hold the Acropolis，and who，at the close of the Play，will join their husbands in the reconciliation dance and song．See infra 1274.
 ..... 245$\xi v \nu \epsilon \mu \beta \alpha$ ' $\lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ єí $\sigma \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \iota$ тoùs $\mu 0 \chi$ रoús.
KA. oưкоvข ' $\phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \xi \nu \mu \beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \nu$ oЇ $\epsilon \iota$тoùs a̛ $\nu \delta \rho \alpha s$ єủقús;oủ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau 0 \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha s$ ov̉ $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} s$ oúd̀̀ $\pi \hat{v} \rho$250

255
252. $\mu \grave{a}$ т $\grave{\nu}$ 'Aфродít $\quad \nu$ ] She swears by Aphrodite whom she has just forsworn. The epithet "رuazo seems to have been applied to women as a term of reproach, resistless, people thom it is impossible to subdue. So infra 1014 oủdév évtı Anpió रvvatкòs à $\mu a \chi \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho о \nu$, where see the Commentary. ä $\lambda \lambda \omega s$, àvخi тov̀ $\mu$ át $\eta$ ", says the Scholiast; a very common usage. See Peace 92 and the note there.
254. The women retire into the Acropolis through the Propylaea, and after a short-panse the Chorus of old men, twelve in number, are heard slowly moving towards the orchestra, and singing their Parodos or entrance song. Their movements are slow because they are carrying heavy logs of wood, and carefully nursing some lighted embers in their pitchers. And for the same reason their entrance song does not, as is usually the case with such songs, consist of the quick and spirited trochaic
tetrameters, but is almost entirely iambic. They encourage one another as they go, and we learn the names of four of their number, Draces, Strymodorus, Philurgus, and Laches. And Phaedrias, we shall presently learn, was the name of a fifth, infra 356. Draces and Strymodorus are mentioned elsewhere also as names of the members of a Chorus, Wasps 233, Eccl. 294.
255. кориой] кориòs means a section, a piece of wood chopped off a larger piece. ìлò тov̂ кєíp riveтat кориós.--Etym. $_{\text {. }}$ Magn. (s. v. $\pi о \rho \theta \mu o ́ s)$. Here the кор òs $^{\prime}$
 is a $\log$ chopped off a fresh olive-tree, like the stem of fresh olive wood, $\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho o ̀ v, ~ e ́ \lambda a i ̀ v e o v ~ w h i c h ~ t h e ~ C y c l o p s ~ h a d ~}$ cut for his club, тò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ éккаицє , oैфра фoooin, and which Odysseus likened to the mast of a 20 -oared vessel, so long it was and so thick, Od. ix. 319-24.
256. $\left.\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau^{\prime}\right]$ They mean that if


260


$\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa$ о́тодль є́ $\mu \grave{\alpha} \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu$,

$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о \pi u ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \kappa \tau o v ̂ \nu ;$265





you live to be old，you will see many things that you never expected．There are two somewhat similar lines in a


not quite as they are given by Meineke or Bothe（Fragm．Inc．4）．The words $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ áє $\lambda \pi \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，as Florent Chretien ob－ serves，are found in the familiar tag with which Euripides closes five of his tragedies．
262．äytò $\beta$ ค́́тas］The sacrosanct image of Athene Polias，the $\pi a \lambda a i o ̀$
 $\mu a$ of the famous inscription relating to the repairs of the Erechtheium．No more specific description was needed to denote this venerable statue，the image which，tradition said，fell down from heaven．The＂closed and barred＂ Propylaea are of course immediately in front of the speaker．катà ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi є \iota \nu$ and катà $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu$ are for катє́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ and катадаßєì．
somewhat similar metre by Eupolis which I think should run as follows，

267．тà $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \nu a]$ loys．See Birds 321．тù $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ छ̀ú $\lambda \omega \nu$ ．－Scholiast．$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \nu o \nu^{*}$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon ่ \lambda \epsilon \chi$ os $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta 0 v$. －Photius，Suidas．And these $\pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu a$ are in fact called $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \chi \eta \eta$ infra 336．But Photius gives a more general explanation т̀̀ $\pi a \chi$ द́a $\xi u ́ \lambda a$ ，
 छú入a．And so Hesychius ：$\pi \rho \epsilon \in \mu \nu a^{*} \pi a \chi \epsilon ́ a$ є̈Хоעти छú入a．With these incendiary de－ signs of the old men Bergler compares the threats of Lycus in the Herc．Fur． $240-4$ ，a drama which is supposed to have been exhibited not long before the Lysistrata．

270．imò 廿 $\eta$ фov $\mu$ iâs］So with regard to the successful generals after Arginusae it was determined $\mu u \hat{a} \psi \dot{\eta} \phi \omega$ ã̃ ${ }^{2}$ avtas kpivetv，Xen．Hell．i．7．37．So Eratos－

  $\dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu \dot{\alpha} \psi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau о \varsigma, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ö $\mu \omega s$ $\Lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu$ cкò $\nu \pi \nu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ 

thenes, one of the Thirty, is said by his venomous accuser, to have thrown, in conjunction with his colleagues, 300

 against Eratosth. 53. These indeed were admittedly illegal acts; but the Chorus are not in a mood to trouble themselves about the legality of their revenge.一тìv Aúkcuos. It can only be by an oversight that Blaydes supposes Lysistrata herself to be meant. The "wife of Lycon" was Rhodia, a woman of infamons life. Tì 'Podiav,



 mâs àvíp." As to Lycon see the note on Wasps 1301. His wife is to be inrolved, quite irrelevantly, in the general conflagration, just as in Frogs 588 the name of Archedemus is introduced, quite irrelevantly, into the imprecation of Dionysus.
 Scholiast. See Ach. 1197, Knights 1313, Wasps 1007, \&c.
 the flighty and half-witted (ou $\phi \rho \in \nu \dot{\prime} \rho \eta$ s
 told in the Fifth and Sixth Books of the History of Herodotus, and in the nine-
teenth and twentieth chapters of the Polity of Athens. On his first expedition to Attica, he materially assisted in the expulsion of the Peisistratidae by defeating their Thessalian allies (Hdt. v. 64, 65; Polity, chap. 19; infra 1150-6). The second time he went it was on the invitation of Isagoras, who invoked his aid against the innovations of Cleisthenes. On this occasion, though Cleisthenes himself retired at his approach, yet when he attempted to dissolve the Council, and place the supreme power in the hands of Isagoras and 300 of his partisans, the Council and People made so determineda resistance to his proposals, that he and his adherents seized and took refuge in the Acropolis. There he was besieged with so much rigour that on the third day he was forced to capitulate, and he and his small Lacedaemonian force were allowed to eracuate Attica. ö $\tau \in \mathbb{K} \lambda \epsilon \sigma_{-}$





 Аакєбитро́иоо.-Hdt. v. 72.
275. "i廿íגaктоs] unscathed. iimatìs,

 affair took place very nearly a century
$\pi \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\rho} v \pi \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \tau \iota \lambda \tau o s$,
before，but the Chorus always regard themselves，and with good reason，as the identical Athenian People which fought and conquered in＂the brave days of old．＂See infra 665 and the Commentary on Wasps 1071.
279．àтара́тı入тоs］unhiempt．àvтì то仑̂ סaбv̀s каї äкобдоs тàs трíXas．－Scholiast．
 we have had more than once in this Play．
 lasted for two whole days，but that is near enough for Comedy．And the whole description of the ignominious exit of Cleomenes and his Spartans from the Acropolis is in mere fancy picture， designed to portray in the strongest colours the contrast between their hu－ miliating retreat，and the pride and haughtiness（ ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{s} \Lambda a \kappa \omega \nu \iota \kappa \dot{o} \nu \pi \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，for all their Laconian（arogance）with which they had entered Athens a few clays previously．
 seventeen deep．̈̈tı тùs тágєıs äбтióas



 єттакаiঠєка катє́ $\chi є \iota \nu$ ḋбтías．－Scholiast． The Lexicons and Commentators give many examples of this usage．The

Peloponnesians move out to attack Phormio ধ́ $\pi i ̀ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \tau a \xi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \tau a ̂ s ~ \nu a u ̄ s, ~$ Thuc．ii．90．The Athenian armies at－ tempting to escape from Syracuse $\epsilon \hat{i} \rho o \dot{\nu}$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \zeta \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu$ of the enemy $\pi a \rho a \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma-$
 So when the Thirty led their troops from the city to attack Thrasybulus in Munychia ধ́ $\gamma \in ́ \nu о \nu \tau o ~ \beta a ́ \theta o s ~ o v ̉ к ~ \epsilon ̈ \lambda a \tau \tau о \nu ~$
 11．So at the battle of Leuctra oi Ө $\eta$ ßaiou
 $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ \nu o \iota ~ \bar{\eta} \sigma a \nu$, Id．vi．4．12．＂Re－ member，＂says Isocrates in the Archida－ mus，sec． 115 （p．136），＂those who fought against the Arcadians at Dipaen ov＂s
 тро́таєоע $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega ิ \nu \mu \nu \rho \iota a ́ o ̂ \omega \nu . " ~ A n d ~$ ＂Antiochus Soter，＂says Lucian（Zeuxis 8），＂was dismayed when he saw the opposing army，és ßcïos é $\pi \grave{\imath}$ tettáp $\omega \nu$ каi єїкобı тєт兀чнє́vovs óm入íтаs．＂The $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda a \iota$ at which the Athenian ranks were watch－ ing to prevent the escape of Cleomenes must have been the nine gates of the old Pelasgic wall．Suidas（s．v．$\eta \pi \epsilon \in \delta \iota \zeta o \nu$, they lecelled）cites Cleidemus［or Cleito－ demus］，one of the earliest writers on the antiquarian history of Athens，as saying，apparently of the Pelasgi，
 द̀ $\nu \nu \epsilon a ́ \pi u \lambda o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda a \sigma \gamma ı к o ́ v . ~ B e r g l e r ~ s u p-~$ poses that the participle ка $\theta \epsilon \dot{\delta} \sigma \nu$ with

#  є́ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ oủ火 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \lambda \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau о s$ тобоúтov ；  <br> 285 <br> $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ~ \mu o i ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ó $\delta o \hat{u} \quad[\sigma \tau \rho$. <br> 入oıтóv є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \chi$ Х ${ }^{\omega i ́ o \nu}$ <br> $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \iota \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ，ồ $\sigma \pi o v \delta \eta े \nu{ }^{\prime} \notin \omega$ ． <br>  

which the line ends is used mapì $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o \kappa i a \nu$ for $\phi \rho o v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ or the like．But a joke of that kind would be quite foreign to the tone of the passage．It seems rather to mean that they watched all night as well as all day，not even re－ tiring for their necessary rest．

283．Ev̉ $\rho \iota \pi i \delta \eta$ к．т．入．］＂God－detested＂ is a very common term of abuse，and is frequently found in these Comedies， but the Chorus，having to do with women， add＂Euripides－detested，＂$\mu$ เбoүúv ${ }^{\prime}$ s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ of Evjıriôns，as the Scholiast says，кai $\pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ aủт $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu$ ．See the Intro－ duction to the Thesmophoriazusae，pp． xvi，xvii．And indeed we shall find as we proceed that the Men，in their at－ tacks upon the female character，draw largely from the great magazine of misogynist maxims which the writings of Euripides supply．

285．т $\mathfrak{\eta} \tau \in \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota]$ Four little towns－ Marathon，Probalinthus，Tricorythus （infra 1032），and Oenoe－were from very early times grouped together as one district under the name of（not Tetrapolis，but）the Tetrapolis．In their midst，upon the marshy plain of Mara－ thon，stood the white marble trophy which commemorated the great Victory．

See the Commentary on Wasps 711. тоómatov，says the Scholiast，тò èv MapaӨ̂̄עl，тò катà Пє $\rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．$\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{a} \rho$ Mapa－ $\theta \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \eta ̄ s \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s, \tau a ̀$ dè $\lambda o \iota \pi a ̀$ Oivóๆ，Проßä入ıข $\begin{gathered}\text { os，T } \rho \iota к o ́ \rho v \theta o s . ~ T h e i r a p-~\end{gathered}$ peal to these heroic memories is akin to the famous Demosthenic adjuration，
 （De Corona 263，p．297），and to those lines of Eupolis which according to Longinus（sec．16）were thought to con－ tain the germ（（ò $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \rho a$ ）of that ad－ juration ：

And it was well in these dark days of tribulation and despondency that the Athenians should call to their remem－ brance the successful heroism of their ancestors；how when a Spartan king sought to curtail their freedom，they expelled both him and his troops with ignominy from their city ；and how in still darker times they，single－handed， had saved all Hellas and all Europe from the supremacy of Asia．




## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

тоข̂т' ä $\nu \in \nu$ к $\alpha \nu \theta \eta \lambda i ́ o u . ~$

$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \omega s \beta \alpha \delta \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu$, $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{v} \rho \phi \cup \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \in \circ \nu$, $\mu \eta \mu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \in \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha^{\prime} \theta \eta \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̣ ̂ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} S$ ódoû.
( $\phi v \sigma a ̣ ̂ ~ \tau \hat{̣} \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau \iota)$

$$
\phi \hat{v} \phi \hat{v} .
$$

But of my journey, he says, this little space yet remains to be traversed; this slope which leads to the Acropolis whereunto I am bound with all wrency. And we must take thought how to hale (ä $\mu \pi \rho \rho \nu$, a trace) this loy alony without a donkey's aid.
288. tò $\sigma \not \mu \mu^{\circ} \nu$ ] "They are come to what they well call the $\sigma$ coì of the Acropolis. No other word can so well express the character of the flat slope on its western side, the only accessible approach to the citadel."-Bp. Wordsworth, Athens and Attica, chap. xiv. The Scholiast seems to think that the name may have been specially appropriated to this flat slope ( $\sigma \iota \dot{\partial} \nu$, ö $\nu о \mu a$ $\chi \omega \rho i o v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ àкро́тодı$(\nu)$, though another puts it in the alternative àvi $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s, \hat{\eta}$ övона $\chi \omega \rho i o v$; but it is in fact freely used in reference to any rising ground. Arrian, for example (De Ven. 17), notices the well-known fact that a hare gains an advantage over its pursuers by taking to tò $\sigma$ cuà каі̀тà àvஸ́нада, rising and uneven ground. And so, in Alciphron's graphic description of a coursing adventure (iii. 9), we find the hare at once breasting and surmounting $\tau \grave{~} \sigma \tau \mu \dot{\nu}$.
289. $\left.\chi \omega ̈ \pi \omega s \pi o \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \xi a \mu \pi \rho \epsilon і \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu\right]$ Before
 or some such expression. $\mathfrak{\xi} \xi a \mu \pi \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ strictly means "to drag along with a rope," but here it is used generally, without reference to any particular mode of carriage. The Men are still carrying the logs and do not set them on the ground until 312 infra. With тоѝтo, if we are to understand any particular substantive, we may supply ßápos, supra 255, or форtiov, infra 312. The Coryphaeus was apparently shouldering two $\log s, \tau \grave{\omega} \xi \dot{\prime} \lambda \omega$, though Draces had but one.
 $\kappa \omega \nu .-$ Scholiast. They fear that the fire which they have been nursing in the $\chi^{\dot{u} \tau \rho a, ~ i n f r a ~ 297, ~ 308, ~ 315, ~ w i l l ~ g o ~ o u t, ~}$ just as they are nearing their journey's end.
294. $\phi \hat{v}$ ф $\hat{u}]$ The Oxford Lexicographers describe this as an exclamation of disgust, like our fie! faugh! but it is obviously intended to represent the sound of the old men $\Phi$ Y $\sigma \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. It is used in precisely the same way in Lucian's Philopatris, 2, where one speaker declares that he is quite puffed out with the nonsense he has been
hearing and swallowing，and the other advises him to breathe it out；where－ upon the first recommends him to stand some way off，lest the wind should blow him away，and then begins $\phi \hat{v}, \phi \hat{v}, \phi \hat{v}$ ， $\phi \hat{v}$ ，and his comrade rejoins，＂$\beta a \beta a i ̀ t o u ̀$ «̀vaфvánuaтos，it has raised quite a stormı at sea．＂The Scholiast tells us that there was a $\pi a \rho \in \pi<\gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ or stage－direction， $\phi \nu \sigma \bar{a} \tau \tau \bar{Q} \sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau t$, which I have accord－ ingly restored to its place in the text． It is this＂puffing＂which blows up the smoke into the puffer＇s face，and occasions the cjaculation iov̀ inò toù катขой．

298．кí凶v $\lambda v \tau \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma a]$ a mad dog．Sce the story in Sozomen，Hist．Eecl．i．14， about a child bitten loy a mad dog，imo кขvòs $\lambda v \sigma \sigma \omega ิ \nu \tau o s ~ \pi a i ̂ ̀ a ~ \delta \eta \chi Ө \epsilon ́ v \tau a . ~$

299．$\Lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \nu o v$ ］The epithet is employed here for the sake of the pun on $\lambda \hat{\eta} \mu a t$ ． ＂The fire has bitten my $\lambda^{\prime}{ }_{j} \mu$ as，it must be a $A \dot{\eta} \mu \nu$ oo $\pi \bar{u} p$ ．＂The reference is merely to the name，and not to anything special about＂Lemnian firc．＂The phrase $\pi \bar{\imath} p$

Aípvov was a common one，and is sup－ posed to have arisen from the volcano， Mosychlus，which was once active on the island，though it has now disappeared， and is believed to have been submerged in the sea．See Tozer＇s＂Islands of the Aegean，＂p．271，and the Philoctetes of Sophocles，line 800，with Jebb＇s Com－ mentary and Appendix．To the pas－ sages there collected may now be added Bacchylides xviii．55，where Theseus is described as having a ．دauviav фoivio－ rav $\phi \lambda o ́ \gamma a$ flashing from his eyes．As to $\lambda_{i \mu \eta}$ ，an eyesore，see the Commentary on Eecl．404，Plutus 581.

301．$\left.{ }^{\prime} \beta p u \kappa \epsilon\right]$ it bit，like סikvet three lines above．So infra 367，Peace 1315， Birds 26.
306．$\theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\omega} v$ ëkatı］by the favour of the Gods．＂Thank Heaven，＂says Pindar， ＂I have more than one string to my
 ке́入єv $\begin{gathered}\text { os，Isthm．iii．19．Their＂puf－}\end{gathered}$ fing，＂ly the divine blessing，has had the desired effect，and the fire，which

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

## ioù ioù $\tau o v ̂ ~ \kappa \alpha \pi \nu o u ̂ . ~$










they feared was going out, is now burning brightly again.
 torch. $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa ~ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad$ à $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda i \nu \omega \nu$ тàs $\lambda a \mu \pi a ́ \delta a s$
 are now preparing for an immediate assault. They lay on the ground the heavy logs which they have been earrying on their shoulders, and place the lighted tapers in the pots ready for use. But before they actually set fire to the logs, they will make a strenuous rush at the central door of the Propylaea and try to burst it open, as if with a battering ram. And it is only if the women will not admit them on their summons (калoúvт $\omega \nu$ ), that they will burn down the doors (Oúpas, there were five in all) of the Propylaea, and smother the defenders. However, as we shall see, they never aetually reach the entrance. Of course they could not, having to remain in the orchestra.
309. крепסóv $]$ ठíкпข крьoû. крıòs $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ $\chi$ Хлкои̂ข $\mu \eta \chi a ́ \nu \eta \mu a$ ô тоîs $\tau \epsilon i \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon ́-$
povorı oi Bápßapot. -Seholiast. Who are the $\beta$ áp $\beta a \rho o$ ? ? If the writer is an Alexandrian in the days of the Ptolemies, he means the Romans: if a Byzantine after the fall of Rome, he must mean some of the Northern Invaders.
 all this period of the War, Samos was the permanent head-quarters of the Athenian armaments ; and the leaders of the Army and Navy there were always ready to lend a hand, $\xi v \lambda \lambda c \mu \beta$ aiveiv, to one party or the other in the City. They were now entering upon those strange and tangled intrigues in connexion with the return of Alcibiades, which form the central picture of the Eighth Book of Thneydides, and resulted first in the overthrow, and then in the re-establishment of the democratical government. The Men hope to find them equally ready to lend a hand for the purpose of suppressing the revolutionary movement of the Women.




 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \rho o ̀ s ~ к \alpha o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ं ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon v \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \varrho \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau i ~ \theta a ̂ \tau \tau o \nu . ~$

те́тои те́тои，Nıкоді́кך，
$\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \mathrm{~K} \rho i ́ \tau \nu \lambda \lambda \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \nu \sigma \eta ́ \tau \omega$
$\dot{\nu} \pi o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \alpha \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$

317．$\delta_{\epsilon} \sigma \pi$ ovva Niкn］As they are（sup－ posed to be）approaching the Acropolis， they have full in view the Temple of the Wingless Victory，otherwise Athene Nike．It stood at the right of the Propylaea，on what may be called a bastion of the Cimonian wall；$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 עaós，Pausanias i．22．4；Wordsworth＇s Athens and Attica，chap．xiv；Leake＇s Topography of Athens，Appendix 15； Lethaby＇s Greek Buildings，pp．151－8． To Her therefore the Men make their appeal that she will grant them（ $\delta$ os is understood）the victory over the auda－ cious women who are（ $\epsilon \nu \pi \bar{j} \lambda \epsilon t$ ）holding the Acropolis against them．
319．$\lambda$ cyvìv к．т．．．］The Men have con－ cluded their laborious entrance song and are busying themselves with their hostile preparations．And now the voices of the twelve chorentae who form the Women＇s Chorus are heard in the distance，though the singers themselves are not yet visible．They lave learned
that the Men are threatening to destroy the Acropolis and its defenders in one common conflagration，and have been filling their pitchers at the fountain for the purpose of extinguishing the flame．They cannot yet see the Men， but they can see the fiery vapour（ $\lambda$ cyvis Birls 1241，Thesm．281）and smoke as－ cending from the fires which their ad－ versaries have been blowing into a blaze． The quicker movement of the Women is indicated by the lighter and more trip－ pingmetre，chiefly choriambic，and theal－ most idyllic character，of their entrance song．In the first two lines an iambic dipody is succeeded by two choriambs and one amphibrach or bacchic foot．

321．Niкoдiкү］Nicodice is a member of the Women＇s Chorus．Calyce and Critylla are two of Lysistrata＇s friends now forming the garrison of the Acro－ polis．The latter name reappears in the Thesmophoriazusae．
327．vìv ót］Just novo．ipriws．－Scho－ liast．Sce the note on Peace 5 ．

 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \dot{v} \delta \rho i ́ \alpha \nu \kappa \nu \epsilon \phi \alpha i ́ a$

 330
бтıү $\mu$ atíaıs $\theta^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \lambda \epsilon$ ' $\omega s$
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta, \tau \alpha i ̂ \sigma l \nu$ '่ $\mu \alpha i ̂ s$
$\delta \eta \mu o ́ \tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ као $\mu$ е́ $\nu \alpha \iota s$ $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho о v \sigma^{\prime}$ v́ $\delta \omega \rho \beta$ роп $\theta \hat{\omega}$.

[ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$.
 dently depicting a scene of daily occurrence at Athens, when the women came in the dusk of the morning (кขє申aia) to fill their pitchers at the fountain-head. He must therefore be alluding to some particular fountain, which Wordsworth (Athens and Attica, chap. xii), Bothe, Blaydes, and others suppose to have been the Clepsydra, but which is by Dyer (Ancient Athens, p. 445) and others identified, no doubt correctly, with the great reservoir known as the Enneacrounos. For the Clepsydra was an intermittent and comparatively small spring of brackish water issuing from the side of the Acropolis (see infra 913) and somewhat difficult of access. It could not have been the habitual resort of women coming to draw water for their daily necessities. But the Enneacrounos was the great water-supply of the district, and would naturally be surrounded in the morning by a bustling and excited throng. Its exact position is
a matter of dispute; but we know from Thucydides (ii. 15) that it was in the immediate vicinity of the Acropolis, which is sufficient for our present purpose. The historian tells us that its water was specially used $\pi \rho \grave{o} \gamma \alpha \mu \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ (cf. Pollux iii. 43) ; and it is to this circumstance that the Chorus are supposed to allude when they threaten the
 It must be remembered that although the Chorus originally seized the Acropolis (supra 179, 241), yet throughout all the subsequent action of the Play they are always in the lower City, and never inside the Acropolis.
 pears from the antistrophe that a line composed of two choriambs or their equivalent is missing from this part of the Parodos, but we have no means of ascertaining where it should be supplied or what it should be.
335. тuфoyє́for asas] dotards, Clouds 908. $\tau \epsilon \tau \cup \phi \omega \mu$ е́vovs $\gamma^{\prime} \rho$ рогтаs.-Scholiast.
$\tau \alpha s \ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha s{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon \tau \nu, \sigma \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \in \chi \eta$
$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu \tau \alpha s, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha s$,
ஸ́s т $\rho \iota \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \tau \alpha i ̂ \alpha ~ \beta \alpha ́ \rho o s, ~$
$\delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \alpha ́ \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \pi \bar{\omega} \nu$,
$\sigma \grave{\alpha} \varsigma, \pi o \lambda \iota o v \chi^{\prime}, \epsilon \not \epsilon \sigma \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu \epsilon \not \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha s$.
$\kappa \alpha i ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ छ＇ú $\mu \mu \alpha \chi^{\circ} \nu, \hat{\omega}$
$\nu \alpha s \dot{\nu} \pi о \pi i ́ \mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \rho$
$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \ddot{v} \delta \omega \rho \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．

336．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\chi} \eta$ ］logs．It is the equivalent
 267 ，where see the Commentary．$\sigma \tau \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$－

 ขeiov ínoкav́бovtas．－Scholiast．As to the fire in the public baths see Plutus 535， 952 and the Commentary there．

339．àmeidoùvtas］Blaydes refers to Eur．Suppl．542，where Theseus says to the＇Theban herald，кìmoì $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \bar{j} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon s} \delta \dot{\text { бive＇}}$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \eta$ ；

345．бàs ề̂pas］tò̀ vaóv бov кaté̉aßov． －Scholiast．They mean the Acropolis itself and not any particular Temple． For the Acropolis，though full of imnu－ merable shrines to other Gods，was so dominated by the triple presentment of Athene，as the Пòiàs，the Пap $\theta$ évos， and the про́да⿱об，that it might well be called，as here，Athene＇s dwelling－place， and，as in the Plutus，Maגdáóos $\pi$ éóor． The name Hodtov̂रos is equivalent to the
more special name Пodiàs，and is even more frequently employed by the Poets． See Birds 827,828 and the Commentary there．On the other hand the epithet
 vos，for she alone had a helmet of gold： and the Power invoked as the $\xi \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\circ}$ is probably the Про́дахоs，the Champion of the Athenian Acropolis．See the Commentary on Knights 1169，and Thesm．1138．And ef．infra 483 and 775，where the entire Acropolis is called


350．тovi $\tau i$ 犃 ；］Here for the first time the Women see the Men，as the two Semichoruses suddenly meet face to face in the orchestra．The Men have wheeled round so as to confront their approaching opponents．In the phrase
 tensive ；you utter scoundrels．Sce Wasps 466 and the Commentary there．
353．Av́paact ］out of doors．See



$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \gamma u \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ oútoбì $\theta \dot{v} \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \alpha \hat{u} \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.


XO. ГЕ. 今̂ Фаıסрía, таúтаs $\lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon i v$ є́áбо $\mu \in \nu$ тоб $\alpha v \tau i ́ ;$






Wasps 891. They were expecting to find the Women skulking behind the walls of the Acropolis, and are quite unprepared for this encounter in the open.
354. $\beta \delta \dot{u} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \theta^{\circ}$ ] are in such deadly fear of. Cf. Knights 224 and the note there. I have removed the note of interrogation with which the line is usually closed, but which seems to give a wrong sense. The Women are speaking ironically; You surely do not think there are many of us.
 stick about their backs.
358. кuid $\pi \iota \delta a s]$ the ewers; so infra 370,400 , and 539 . The vessel was called íóía supra 327.

 liast. Bupalus and his brother Anthermus were two celebrated Chian sculptors, and the allusion here is to the
lampoons with which they were assailed by the ugly little Ephesian poet, Hipponax, for reproducing, and indeed caricaturing, in some of their works, his own repulsive physiognomy. For as Pliny says, xxxvi. 4 (2) (I quote from Holland's translation), "the said Poet had a passing foule and ill-favoured face of his own ; and these Inageurs could find no better sport than to counterfeit both him and his visage as lively as possibly might be in stone, and in a knavery to set the same up in open place, where mery youths met in knots together and so to propose him as a laughing-stock to the whole world. Hipponax could not indure this indignitic, but for to be revenged upon these companions, sharpened his style or pen against them, and so coursed them with bitter rimes and biting libels that as some do thinke and verily beleeve, being weary of their lives, they knit their





XO．Гヘ．ß


necks in halters，and so hanged them－ selves．But sure this cannot be true， for they lived many a faire day after， yea and wrought a number of Images in the Islands adjacent to Chios，and namely in Delos；under which pieces of their worke they subscribed certain arrogant verses to this effect That the Island of Chios was not only enobled for the vines there growing which yeelded so good wine；but renowned as well for Anthermus his two sons，who made so many fine and curious images．＂ One line of these libels has come down
 $\tau o ̀ \nu \dot{o} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ，to which Bergk joins an－
 «цдарти́vш ко́ттьข（Fragment 83 in his Poetae Lyrici Graeci）．The description of Hipponax in the Epodes of Horace as the＂acer hostis Bupalo＂is known to all．

362．кaì $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ ioov́］This is a defiance； an invitation to the opponent to＂come on，＂she is ready for him．Cf．Thesm． 568．By $\pi a \rho \dot{́} \xi(\omega$ she means $l$ will offer my cheek to your blow；I won＇t withdraw myself；you may strike me if you like．

But if you do，she adds in a fury，＂nun－ quam alius canis poterit tibi prehendere ore testiculos；nam ego，ut canis，prius eos evellam，＂Bergler．The last four words of the speech are uttered mapà $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta$ oкíav．

364．є́ккоккьิ̂］＂At，ni taces，dispul verabo iam tuam senectam．＂－Florent Chretien．є́ккоккіऍєє properly means ＂to pick out the seeds，ко́ккоиs，of the pomegranate，＂$\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau a \phi \circ \rho \dot{a}$ ànò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ คoьิ $\nu$ ， as the Scholiast says．Thence it comes to mean generally to rifle，to empty，and so to destioy，to smash，as here．We meet with the word again infra 448，Ach． 1179，Peace 63．The words tò $\gamma \bar{\eta} p a ́ s ~ \sigma o u$ are merely a periphrasis for＂you．＂ He is addressing Stratyllis，the leader， and apparently the oldest member，of the Women＇s Chorus who were all elderly women．See the Commentary on 179 supra．The line which follows is of course spoken by another member of the Chorus．
 out（literally，seap out）with my teeth． For $\beta$ púke sec 301 supra；and with $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi-$ $\mu \dot{\omega} \omega$ in this sense Bergler refers to Eur．





ХО．ГЕ．то⿱⺌兀口ò $\sigma$ v̀̀ $\pi \hat{v} \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \beta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ ；
XO．Г〒．



 $\sigma \in \sigma \theta a t$ ßía．

369．$\theta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \mu$＇àvaı $\delta \dot{\delta} s$ ］Strange to say， this phrase is found not in the Plays of Euripides（or，at least not in any of his extant Plays），but in the Electra of Sophocles where（line 622）Clytaem－ nestra addresses it to her daughter． But the idea contained in the phrase is thoroughly Euripidean，and possibly the words themselves may have oc－ curred in one of his non－existent Tragedies．

370．aiṕ $\left.\mu \in \theta^{\prime}\right]$ Taken aback by the des－ perate language of the women，the Men for the moment relinquish the idea of assaulting Stratyllis and her comrades， and turn their attention to the fire． The women therefore again take up the ewers they had set down，supra 358 ，in anticipation of an immediate attack． But their action has reminded the men of the water which the women are carrying，and a new altercation breaks out on this subject which speedily brings matters to a crisis．The reader will ob－ serve the greater rapidity of the present squabble．The speeches are never more
than a line long，and are often only half that length．

372．$\dot{\Phi} \tau \dot{u} \mu \beta \epsilon]$ We have seen that the Coryphaeus of the Men＇s Chorus was impolite enough to taunt Stratyllis with her age．But the Men are no younger than the Women，and now Stratyllis returns the taunt by calling her oppo－ nent a rípßos，a mocking appellation of an old man．Euripides makes Creon call himself（Medea 1209），and the Argive herald call Iolaus（Heracleidae 167），а ү́́ $\rho о \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau u ́ \mu \beta o \nu . ~$

377．р $\grave{\prime} \mu \mu a]$ soap，from $\rho \dot{v} \pi \tau \omega$ ；Achar－ nians 17 ；rò $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \quad \sigma \mu \hat{\eta} \gamma \mu a$ i）עípov， says the Scholiast．If the Men have the soap，the Women have the water wherewith to give them a bath．And
 a phrase supposed to imply that the water had been drawn，as no doubt it had been，from the Enneacrounos．See the Commentary on 328 supra．Pollux （iii．43）after observing that the water for the wedding bath was at Athens drawn from the Enneacrounos，but in other cities from any chance fountain，


XO．ГЕ．$\quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu o i ̀ ~ \sigma u ̀ ~ \lambda o v \tau \rho o ̀ \nu, ~ \grave{\omega} \sigma \alpha \pi \rho \alpha ́ ;$
XO．$\Gamma \Upsilon$ ．
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ขv $\mu 申 \iota \kappa o ́ \nu \gamma \epsilon$.
XO．ГЕ．
XO．$\Gamma \Upsilon$ ．


XO．ГЕ．$\quad \sigma \chi \eta ́ \sigma \omega \sigma^{\prime}$ є́ $\gamma \omega े \tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \beta o \eta ̂ s$.
380
XO．Гॅ．
XO．ГЕ．
ХО．Г饣．
XO．ГЕ．
${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma o \nu \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̂ S \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$ кó $\mu \alpha s$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ火 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \xi \in \iota S$.

XO．Гॅ．$\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \partial ̀ \nu$ 市 $\nu ;$

 rúp of．－Scholiast．You will never sit in the Heliaea again；which was the chief delight of these old fellows．

381．$\hat{\omega}$＇$\left.\chi^{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{\omega} \epsilon\right]$ Achelous was the largest Hellenic river，and from its name all fresh water was sometimes called
 $\lambda$ ̣̂ov $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{v} \nu \epsilon$ ，says Eustathius（on Iliad

 $\phi \eta \nsim i, \pi a ̂ \nu \pi \eta \gamma a \hat{\imath} o \nu \nu$ vi $\delta \omega \rho$ ．Bergler refers to Eur．Bacchae 625，where Pentheus， thinking that his palace is on fire，runs hither and thither $\delta \mu \omega \sigma i \nu$＇A $\chi є \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$
 in Athenaeus x．29，p． 427 C）from a satyric drama of Achaeus，where the Satyrs are grumbling over the weakness of their wine，and asking if it is not largely diluted with water，
（The question requires an affirmative answer，and I have inserted ov to com－ plete both the sense and the metre． Wagner adopts Meineke＇s suggestion $\mu \bar{\omega} \nu$＇A $\AA \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} о s$, but the article seems out
 1397，1494，Birds 862 ，supra 315，infra 839，Thesm．1208，Frogs 590，Eccl． 514.

383．$\pi$ оî $\theta_{\epsilon \rho \mu \text { óv } ;] \text { Hot indeed！On this }}$ use of $\pi$ ồ see on 193 supra．The water fresh drawn from the spring is icy cold． And the season is winter．

 Scholiast．But far from making him blossom into youth again，the extreme chilliness of the water makes him shiver to such an extent that he withers away （ả̉ós $\epsilon i \mu t$ ）like a dead plant．The Women recommend him to dry himself and his clothes by the fire which he had brought for a very different purpose．

387．провоч AOs］Intelligence of the seizure of the Acropolis and of the dis－ turbance in front of the Propylaea has reached the City Magistrates，and a dignified personage，attended by four Scythian policemen，now makes his appearance on the scene．He is one of the $\Pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \beta o v \lambda o c$ elected immediately after the Sicilian catastrophe to provide for the safety of Athens，Thuc．viii．1； Aristotle＇s Polity of Athens，chap． 29. This important magistrate，arriving to

XO. ГЕ. $\quad \pi o \imath ̂ ~ \theta \epsilon \rho \mu o ́ v ; ~ o u ̉ ~ \pi \alpha u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ; ~ \tau i ́ ~ \delta \rho a ̂ s ; ~$

ХО. ГЕ. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ầós $\epsilon i \mu \mu^{\prime} \eta ้ \delta \eta \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$.
 $\sigma v ̀ \chi^{\lambda \iota} \iota \nu \epsilon i s \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \alpha u \tau o ́ v$.
ПРО. $\hat{\alpha} \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \in \xi \in \lambda \alpha \mu \psi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \nu \phi \grave{\eta}$
 ö $\tau$ ' 'A $\delta \omega \nu \iota \alpha \sigma \mu$ oेs oû $\tau o s ~ o u ̛ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma \omega े$,
quell the tumult, finds that the chief disturbers of the peace are a parcel of excited women, and naturally concludes that they are engaged in one of those wild religious orgies, mostly imported from Phrygia and the East, in which Hellenic women were accustomed occasionally to indulge. The word $\tau \rho v \not{ }^{\prime}$ (properly coluptuousness) is employed in much the same sense as dixoגaria, wantomess, with which Plato couples it in Gorgias 46 (p. 492 C ). As to $\tau v \mu \pi a-$ $\nu \iota \sigma \mu \dot{s}$, the timbrel-playing, see line 3 of this Play; and as to Sabazius, the Phrygian Dionysus, Wasps 9.
 Adonis, the Adonis-dirge. The 'A $\bar{\omega} \dot{\prime} u$ a (Peace 420) was celebrated ouly by women, and lasted for two days; one day commemorating the happy wedded love of Adonis and Aphrodite; the other, her mourning over his untimely death. The ceremonies are graphically and learnedly described by Thomas Stanley in his "Excitations on Bion" (published 1651, reprinted in 1821); and probably the best idea of the Adonis-dirge is to be derived from Bion's Idyll, written more thau a century after
the exhibition of this Play ; a beautiful presentation of which by the Hon. E.J. Cardew will be found at the close of this Commentary. The manner in which the women wailed for Adonis is shown by Lucian, De Syria Dea 6. That these ill-omened dirges and lamentations did really attend the dispatch of the fleet to Sicily we are told by Plutarch (Nicias 13, Alcibiades 18). Demostratus, he says, proposed that the generals should have alsolute discretion as to the preparations for the expedition and as to the whole conduct of the War. And when the people so voted and all things were now ready, many ill omens occurred. For the women were holding the Adonia, and everywhere about the City mimic funerals of Adonis were going on, and the dirges of weeping women. And not a few thought that these things were a presage of the fate of the expedition which was then setting forth with such splendid promise and vigour. And again in Nicias 12 he mentions Demostratus as the demagogue most eager for the war. Adonis was the Phoenician Thammuz, and his worship was one of the idolatries into which the

${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ò $\mu \grave{\eta} \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \rho \alpha \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \Delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o s$
 " $\alpha i \alpha \hat{\imath}$ " $\mathrm{A} \delta \omega \nu \iota \nu$," $\phi \eta \sigma i ̀ \nu$, ò dè $\Delta \eta \mu o ́ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o s$
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Israelites were so prone to fall. See Baring Gould's "Curious Myths" under the title "St. George." These acts of worship were commonly performed on the flat tops of the houses. See Jeremiah xix. 13, xxxii. 29 , and Zephaniah i. 5. "They worshipped on the house-tops," says Dr. Pusey on the lastmentioned passage, "probably to have a clear view of that magnificent expanse of sky, the moon and stars which God had ordained; the queen of heaven which they worshipped instead of Himself." Moreover, the house-top was the recognized place for wailing and lamentation. See Isaiah xv. 3, xxii. 1, and Jeremiah xlviii. 38.
391. $\mu$ خे ©̈part] bad luck to him, a parenthetical imprecation. The full phrase, as Bergler remarks, is $\mu \grave{\eta} \omega$ ढ̈pacı iкото. See infra 1037. Athenaeus ii. 45 cites some lines from Alexis where a speaker says Ill fare the man who ate

 in the porch. 'Tivas not Cleaenetus the Tragic poet, of that I am sure, for he would have eaten husks and all. In his note on that passage Casaubon refers to three places in Lucian in which
the phrase occurs, two of which I had myself marked for quotation. In the sixth Dialoguc of the Gods, Hera referring to Ixion's passion for herself
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \pi \theta v \mu \omega \bar{\omega}, ~ A ~ m u r r a i n ~ o n ~ t h e ~ f e l l o w, ~ d e-~$ siring what is fur above him. In the De Saltatione, 5, Craton says Hang me if I

 tenth Courtesan-Dialogue a girl says of a philosopher who is weaning her lover

 meaning of the phrase seems to be may he come in a bad time (not in season), and so, may he come to bad luck. A similar idea finds expression in many modern languages. Andad con Diós y mucho de enhoramala says Sancho the governor to the detected virago in Don Quixote, Part II, chap. 45. This was no doubt the original form of the phrase, though in Menander's time it had become corrupted into $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ढ̈pas (see his Пєр $\kappa є \iota \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ 201, ed. Capps); and a scholiast on Lucian has the impertinence to call the genuine form an Attic solecism. But Lucian knew better than his Scholiast. 392. ij $\left.\gamma v v_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ He has not actually men-

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

ò $\theta \in o \imath ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ €́X $\theta^{\prime}$ рòs каì $\mu \iota \alpha \rho o ̀ s ~ X o \lambda o s u ́ \gamma \eta s . ~$


$\alpha i ̂ \tau \alpha ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \beta \rho i ́ \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \pi i ́ \delta \omega \nu$
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda 0 v \sigma \alpha \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s, \omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta \alpha i \mu \alpha \tau i ́ \delta \iota \alpha$ $\sigma \epsilon i \in \iota \nu \pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ '่ $\nu \epsilon о \nu \rho \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha s$.

tioned any individual woman ; but he uses the article to denote the woman whom he was picturing in his mind when he spoke of the Adonis-wailing on the roof.
394. кaтa入' $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { e } \nu] ~ t o ~ e n r o l l, ~ t o ~ c a l l ~ o u t ~\end{array}$ for immediate service. See Ach. 1065, Knights 1369, and the Commentary on those passages. He proposed, the speaker means, that Zacynthian hoplites should be enrolled amongst the troops about to embark on the Sicilian expedition. And that his resolution was carried we may infer from the fact that Thucydides (vii.57), in his enumeration of the forces engaged on each side before Syracuse, expressly mentions the Zacynthians as part of the Athenian armament.
396. Є'ß̉ásєтo] persisted, pressed it on, in spite of all opposition. So in his speech against Meidias 50 (p. 527) Demosthenes says to the dicasts, "Do not suffer my adversary to use such arguments as these ; and if he persists, à $\nu \beta$ $\grave{a} \zeta \eta \tau a t$, do not pay any attention to them." See also section 240 (p. 575) of the same speech. Ко́т $\tau \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime \prime}$ "A $\delta \omega \nu \iota \nu$ Bercail Adonis, beat your breasts for Adonis; a very common signification of кómтє $\epsilon \theta a$.
397. Xodo Súrqs] This is a perversion
of the nickname Bousózns by which Demostratus was known. $\Delta \eta \mu$ órf $\rho a t o s$



 is another fragment of Eupolis in which the name Bovsíqns is found (Fragm. 7 of the Demi according to Meineke); but there the epithet is possibly applied to Pericles. Bousúrns was the name of an Eleusinian official; and how it came to be given as a nickname to Demostratus we are not told, but the fact must have been well known for Aristophanes to convert it in this offhand way into Xohojívns. The latter name probably refers to the furious temper (xódos, wrath) which made Eupolis describe him as a maniac. Bergler suggests that he may have been a xoגapyeis by deme.

 si in ea minxissemus."
403. тòv ádvкóv] тò̀ $\theta a \lambda$ á $\sigma \sigma t o v .-S c h o-~$ liast. The Magistrate is engaged in refitting the nary, and his mind is full of ships and seas. When therefore he swears by Poseidon, he wishes it to be understood that he means not rò "I $1 \pi \pi \iota o \nu$,
öт $\alpha \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha u ̉ \tau o i ̀ ~ \xi v \mu \pi о \nu \eta \rho \in v \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ $\tau \alpha i ̄ \sigma \iota \nu \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \xi i{ }^{\kappa} \alpha i \delta_{i} \delta \alpha \alpha \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \in \nu \tau \rho v \phi \hat{\alpha} \nu$,
 oì $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ '̇ $\nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu \iota o u \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoı $\alpha \delta i$.






the Lord of horses (Knights 551, Clouds 83), or the like, but tòv ádvkòv, the Lord of the salt sea-waves. Cf. Plutus 396.
404. $\xi v \mu \pi о \nu \eta \rho є \cup \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a]$ co-operate in their evil deeds. The words $\beta$ anarávet及ovлєíдата are borrowed, as Porson observes, from Aesch. Sept. 590 đ̇ $\xi \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} s$ rà кєঠ̀và $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau a ́ v \epsilon \iota ~ \beta o v \lambda \epsilon$ и́дата.
407. $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu \mu o v \rho \gamma \omega \bar{\nu}\right]$ in (the shops of) the artificers. He mentions two shops, a goldsmith's and a shoemaker's.
408. ròv öp $\mu$ ov] the necklace. The
 'Atrıкผิs, meaning that the proper construction would be $\dot{\eta} \beta$ ádavos tov̀ öp ${ }^{\circ}$

 Accusative, though not quite of the same class as those considered in the notes on Birds 167, 483, 652, and elsewhere, since they do not stand for the subject of the ensuing verb. They resemble rather the words "this reverend holy friar" in the passage cited in the note on Ecel. 583 from Romeo and Juliet iv. 2. Necklaces were com-
monly made of gold. In Odyssey xv. 459 Eumaeus, explaining how he was kiduapped when a child, says $\eta \not \lambda v \theta^{\prime}$

 606 we are told that Scylla slew her
 And the Electra of Euripides (line 176)
 є́тi хрибє́ots | ̈̈p Büдavos and the $\tau \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a$ together form the clasp of the necklace; the $\beta$ ádavos being the bolt or pin, and the $\tau \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a$ the hole into which the bolt or pin is fastened. The words are here employed in their literal sense only. The speaker is merely emphasizing the manner in which wives are spoiled and petted by their husbands.
412. $\left.\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{s} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho a \nu\right]$ The time for lovers' meetings. See Plutus 998 and the note
 penis." See Aristotle, H. A. i. 10. 3.
417. Tò Suyiv] The sandal-strap, a strap passing from one side of the sole to the other, over the wearer's toes, so as to keep them firm in their place. 广uyos $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$






$\tau 0 \iota \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \eta \kappa^{\prime}$ єis $\tau o \iota \alpha \nu \tau i ̀ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ，


 סa入iots íà̀s катà rov̀s סaктúdous，$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~$

 $\epsilon ่ \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ баעסa入i$\omega \nu$ ．－Suidas，Photius， Hesychius．тoû $\sigma a \nu \delta a \lambda i ́ o v ~ t o ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~$ סaктúdovs．－Photius，Pollux vii．81．סaк－ ru入iotov is the diminutive of affection． See the Commentary on Ach． 404.

421．$\pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o s]$ The $\pi \rho o ́ \beta o u \lambda o \iota ~ w e r e ~$ appointerl，says the Scholiast，to devise and propose such measures as they con－ sidered advantageous for the State after the Sicilian catastrophe；єi $\sigma \eta \gamma \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$
 £ıкє入iạ $\sigma v \mu \phi о \rho a ́ \nu$ ．And it is plain from the present scene that their jurisdiction extended over all the affairs of the State．Mr．Grote indeed（chap．61）does not think it admissible to draw any inference as to their functions from the proceedings of the Probulus in this Comedy．He gives no reason for this extraordinary statement；and it is clear that，making allowance for caricature， there could not be a higher authority
than Aristophanes，himself living at this very moment under the actual rule of the Probuli，and addressing his fellow citizens，all living under the same rule．

422．$\kappa \omega \pi \eta \hat{\eta}$ ］oar－spars，timber for oar＇s． $\kappa \omega \pi \epsilon i \varsigma^{*} \tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon i s ~ к \omega ́ \pi a s ~ \epsilon u ̈ \theta \epsilon \tau a ~ \xi u ́ \lambda a .-H e s y-~$ chius．The first resolve of the Athenians on learning the full magnitude of their disaster before Syracuse was that，not－ withstanding the annihilation of their fleets and armies there，they would not give in，but would equip a new fleet， collecting from every possible source timber and money for that purpose；

 бац́́vous каї $\chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau a$（Thuc．viii．1）．And this design they at once proceeded to carry into effect．$\pi а \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa є \cup а ́ \zeta о \nu т о ~ \delta є ̇ ~ к а \grave{~}$
 ríav，छú入a छ̀ And shortly afterwards they determined to resort to the special emergency fund of 1,000 talents（Id．viii．15），which at the commencement of the War they had set aside àmò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \hat{\eta}$ ảк $\frac{1}{}$

ن́mò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \iota \tau \omega ̄ \nu \pi v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$.

 тí $\kappa \in ́ \chi \eta \nu \alpha s, \hat{\omega}$ ठú $\sigma \tau \eta \nu \epsilon ; \pi 0 \hat{\imath} \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \sigma \grave{v} \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$,
 oú $\chi$ ن́moß $\lambda^{\prime} o ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu o \chi \lambda o u ̀ s ~ u ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \pi u ́ \lambda \alpha s ~$

(Id. ii. 24). [It will be observed that in Thucydides the word employed is $\xi v \mu \pi о \rho \iota \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o t$, and in Aristophanes éкторíras, both terms of finance connected with the duties of the mopigтai, as to whom see the Commentary on Frogs 1505.] In pursuance of these resolutions, the Прóßovגos here is superintending the purchase of $\xi \dot{\jmath} \lambda a \in \cup \cup \theta \in \tau a$ єis к $\dot{\prime} \pi a s$, and is now proceeding to the Acropolis to obtain the money to pay for them. For the money, as we know, was in the public treasury $\pi a \rho \bar{c} \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, in the òm८бӨóóoцos of Athene's Temple; that is, according to the Scholiast on Plutus 1193, in the Erechtheium (which would seem, a priori, the most probable locality), but in the opinion of most scholars, the Parthenon; see the Commentary on the Plutus. And one of the reasons for which the women had seized the Acropolis was to prevent the employment of the money for the prolongation of the War. See supra 174-9, and infra 487, 488, and 624. And so, when the חןóßovios would go to the Treasury, he finds himself, to his surprise and indignation, barricaded out of the Acropolis by the extraordinary action of the women. It was always a
task of some difficulty with the Athenians to obtain a sufficient supply of timber for their shipbuilding purposes; and one of the objects which they hoped to secure by extending their dominion over Sicily and Magna Graecia was a never-failing supply of timber from the forests of Italy. And Andocides, in his speech "De Reditu suo" 11, declares that in the year 411, the very year in which this Comedy was acted, he was bringing for the use of the armament at Samos a cargo of cheap oar-spars, к $\omega$ тéas, the very articles which the Про́ßovдos here attempting to provide. There was therefore at this moment a very pressing need for the money, and the phrase
 Scholiast says) expresses the true position of affairs at this conjuncture.
426. тi кє́ $\chi \eta$ даs ;] This is addressed to one of the four Scythians, and the
 of them ; just as Lysistrata, supra 184, reprimands the Scythianess with the words $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \beta \lambda \bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon s$; Doubtless the Scythian police were in the habit of staring idly about them, "star-gazing" we might call it. And as the Scythians were notorious for hard drinking, they
$\sigma \nu \nu \in K \mu \circ \chi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \omega$.
would naturally be accustomed to have "an eye for the tavern."
430. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \mu \chi \lambda \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon]$ The gates are thrown open, and Lysistrata of her own free-will (aủrouáty) comes forth with her friends, before the Scythians can make use of their crowbars. These, it must be remembered, are not the party who seized the Acropolis, and who now form the Chorus of Women; they are the young matrons who entered into the Acropolis with Lysistrata, supra 244-6. The Probulus is not minded to stand any nonsense from these recalcitrant women. He at once directs an archer to arrest Lysistrata and to tie her hands behind her back. But this is not so simple a task as he imagines.
435. $\nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu " A \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu]$ We have seen in the note to Thesm. 517 that Artemis was one of the divinities most commonly invoked by Athenian women. It will be observed that these four little defiant speeches by women all commence with a similar adjuration; є" $\tau \not ̋ \beta a \nu \eta ̀ \tau \eta \nu^{\prime \prime} A \rho \tau \epsilon-$

 $\lambda_{o \nu}$, and I believe that these appellations are all intended to represent the same Goddess, the "many-named" daughter
of Leto, Thesm. 320. Taupotódos was of course one of her many names; Tavpo$\pi o ́ \lambda o \nu$ • тì $\nu$ "A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu$, says Photius; the Artemis whose minister Iphigeneia was when in the Tauric Chersonese. And as to $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \Phi \omega \sigma \phi o ́ p o \nu$ the Scholiast says $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$

 And indeed Iphigeneia (in Taur. 21) expressly refers to Artemis as $\tau \hat{\eta} \phi \omega \sigma \phi \phi^{-}$ $\rho \omega \theta \in \hat{a}$; just as Athene, at the end of the same play, 1456 , prophecies " $A \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu$ ס́є $\nu \iota \nu$ ßротоі̀ | тò 入oıтò̀ $\dot{\mu} \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma$ ovat Tavpo$\pi$ modov $\theta$ दáv. There remains only the name Пávópooos, which will be dealt with in a subsequent note. But allowing that the selfsame Goddess is invoked in all the four speeches, who are the speakers that invoke her? The Ravenna MS. gives the first speech to Lysistrata, and the remaining three to Stratyllis. This arrangement prevailed in all the editions before Portus, whoassigned them to Lysistrata and Stratyllis alternately, and so all subsequent editions until after Dindorf. But Dobree pointed out that there are certainly four Scythians and four speakers; and this view was approved by Dindorf in his notes, and is generally adopted by later editors.
äкр $\alpha \nu \pi \rho о \sigma о i ́ \sigma \epsilon \ell, \delta \eta \mu$ ó $\sigma \iota o s ~ \ddot{\omega} \nu \kappa \lambda \alpha \tilde{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
ПРО. $\epsilon \in \delta \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha s$, où $о \varsigma$; oủ $\xi v \nu \alpha \rho \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta \nu$, $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тоúтov, кả $\nu v ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \delta \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau 0 \nu ;$



Dindorf suggested that the four speeches should be ascribed to $\Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \eta$ and 「uvaikes 1, 2, 3; and this has been done by all editors who have adopted Dobree's view excepting Van Leeuwen, who thinks fit to call the last three speakers $\Gamma \rho a ̂ \in s, 1,2,3$; not having, it would seem, observed that all the elderly women are in the orchestra with Stratyllis, and that Lysistrata's comrades now issuing from the Acropolis on to the stage are all youth ful matrons who could not by any conceivable stretch of language be called rpấs. Dobree suggested that one of the women might be Myrrhina, and Bergk that another might be Calonice ; and in my translation, published in 1878, I called the three women Calonice, Myrrhina, and Stratyllis. This arrangement I have retained in the text, for it seems absurd to suppose that Calonice and Myrrhina who were Lysistrata's chief friends and adherents in starting the revolution should not be standing by her at this critical moment. My only doubt has been about the name of Stratyllis, who was the leader of the Women's Chorus and whose speeches should therefore, according to the arrangement adopted in this edition, be attributed to the $\chi$ орòs $\gamma v v a \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega}$. But the use of her name as a dramatis persona is so persistently supported by the

MSS. that I cannot but retain it. And possibly, not being the real Coryphaeus of the Play, she may be allowed a greater individuality. See Professor John Williams White's article in the "HarvardStudiesin Classical Philology," xvii. 103 on "An unrecognized actor in Greek Comedy."
436. ঠпио́бьos] a mere public servant, "publicus minister," as Bergler and Enger rightly interpret it. Brunck's notion that $\delta \eta \mu$ óvtos, both here and in Knights 1135 , signifies a victim set apart for public sacrifice, and so is equivalent, as a term of abuse, to кátapua and фар$\mu a \kappa o ̀ s ~ s e e m s ~ t o ~ m e ~ a l t o g e t h e r ~ u n f o u n d e d . ~$ äкрал $\chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a ~ h e r e, ~ a n d ~ s e v e n ~ l i n e s ~ b e l o w, ~$ means the tip of his finger. Cf. Iph. in Aul. 951.
438. кaì $\sigma \grave{v} \mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ rov́rov] As Lysistrata defies the archer first told off to arrest her, the חpóßovios calls for a second to assist him in effecting the arrest.
 кротоs Пávסообоs каі̀ 'А $\gamma \rho a v ́ \lambda \eta$ (strictly "Aypavخos).-Scholiast. Although חávסporos was not so frequently invoked at Athens as her sister "Aypavios (see Thesm. 533 and the Commentary there), still nothing can be more natural than that these defenders of the Acropolis should be found invoking Pandrosus, whose shrine was in the Erechtheium,

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$






the most sacrosanct building in the Acropolis, hard by the shrine of Athene
 $\nu a o ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \dot{\eta} s$ éc $\sigma \tau v$, Pausanias i. 27. 3. And yet, since in every other speech throughout this short altercation the Women invoke Artemis in one or other of her characters, I cannot but believe that in this invocation also the name of חávóporos, the All-bedewer, is intended to apply to Artemis as identical with Hecate or the Moon. See on 435 supra. That dew falls mostly under a clondless sky was a fact well known to the
 $\phi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$, Aristotle, Problems xxv. 21; "neque in nube, neque in flatu cadunt rores," Pliny, N. H. xviii. 69. And they naturally attributed the phenomenon to the influence of the Moon ; $\delta \rho о \sigma о \beta о \lambda \epsilon i$ yà $\rho$ [ $\begin{gathered}\text { à } \\ \eta\end{gathered} \mathrm{p}$ ], says Plutarch, Symp. iii.



 $\tau \eta \rho$ ё $\rho \sigma a$ т $\rho \epsilon ́ \phi є \iota$ каi $\Sigma \in \lambda$ ávas." Virgil's roscida Luna, Georgic iii. 337, might serve as a translation of חáv $\delta \rho o \sigma o s \sum_{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$, and Artemis, as the Scholiast on 443 infra tells us, is $\tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma \in \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} u \eta \dot{\eta}$ au̇v向. And in an astronomical fragment contained in Burmann's Latin Anthology ii. 322 the writer, supposed though for no very
convincing reasons to be Sisebut the scholarly King of the Visigoths, says "Rorifluam sectemur carmine Lunam."
 $\mu$ evos has already occurred in Knights 69 ; but there it is fitly placed in the mouth of a slave; here the Про́ßov入os expresses his surprise at hearing it from the lips of a woman.
444. кúa $\theta_{o \nu}$ ] a cupping-glass. You will want a cupping-glass to reduce the swellings which our blows will occasion.
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma$ ह̂̀s $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \gamma v i ́ \theta o u s, ~ o u ̃ \tau \omega s ~ \dot{\tau} \pi \omega \pi \iota a \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$

 In Peace 542 the Hellenic cities, bruised and battered ( $i \pi \omega \pi \iota a \sigma \mu \dot{\epsilon} v a l)$ by the war, are described as киátors тробкєі́цєиа, and Athenaeus (x. 23, p. 424 B) commenting on that passage observes $\tau a$
 àцаvрои̂та.
 last archer. By $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $\mathfrak{\xi}$ '́óou the Magistrate means the sallying out of the women through the Propylaea. But if the following speech is rightlyattributed to Stratyllis, this archer is stayed not by a fourth woman issuing from the Acropolis, but by the threatening voice and gesture of the leader of the Women Chorus in the orchestra.

 є́ккоккเิิ боu т̀̀s $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu о к \omega к и ́ \tau о u s ~ \tau \rho i ́ \chi \alpha s . ~$


 $\xi \nu \nu \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu 0$ ．
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
$\nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \grave{\omega} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \alpha$
 $\mu \alpha \chi^{i} \mu \omega \nu \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega \nu \nu{ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \delta \nu \nu$＇$\xi \in \omega \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$.
 455


448．є́ккоккьิ］I will yerk out，тàs $\sigma \tau \in \nu 0-$ какúrous трíरas，your bitterly wailing hairs； that is，the extraction of which will cause you bitterly to wail，＇$\phi^{\prime}$ ais $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon s$ $\tau i \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \in \nu \circ s$, as the Scholiast says；cf．infra 1222．The $\sigma \tau \in \nu 0-$ in the compound is connected in meaning with $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu \omega$ ， $\sigma \tau \in \nu a ́ \zeta \omega$ ，rather than with $\sigma \tau \in \nu o ́ s$ ．As to є́ккоккєш cf．supra 364.

449．＇̇тıлє́入o九申＇］has failed me，deficit． Cf．Plutarch＇s Lysander，chap．9，ad init． And I think that Dobree＇s explanation of the line is right，＂exclamat Probulus deficere lictores sibi（ $\epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon i \psi o v a \iota ~ V e s p . ~$ 1445），＂or in other words that of roそórqs here has much the same meaning as $\tau \dot{o}$ ro $\xi$ ıкò infra 462．He means that his archer force has been used up and that he has no more to send．But though they have been worsted singly，he will now try what the combined charge of the four can accomplish．

454．入ó $\chi$ or To the combined charge of the four archers Lysistrata will oppose
four troops of fully armed women who are even now waiting（ $\left.\epsilon \nu \delta \frac{}{\epsilon}\right)$ in the Acro－ polis．$\lambda$ óxos is a very common word for a troop ；and there is no allusion here， as the Scholiast and Commentators sup－ pose，to the Spartan dóxot．Aristophanes has already employed the term in Ach． 575,1074 ，Birds 589 ．And it is fre－ quently found in Aeschylus，who indeed uses it on three occasions of a band of
 Septem 106．Өavpaotòs $\lambda o ́ \chi o s \mid \epsilon ौ \delta ̋ \epsilon \iota$
 үvขaıкิิv，Id． 980.
 their hands．The phrase is equivalent to the $\dot{\delta \pi i \sigma \omega ~ \tau \grave{\omega}} \chi \chi \bar{i} \rho \in \delta \in i$ of 434 supra． In the Oedipus Tyrannus（line 1154）， when the Herdsman hesitates to divulge his fatal secret，Oedipus says oúx $\dot{\omega}$


456．$\hat{\omega}$ छ＇v $\mu \mu a \chi$ оє $\gamma v \nu a \imath ̂ \kappa \epsilon s] ~ S h e ~ i s ~ s u m-~$ moning，not of course the Women Chorus in the orchestra，but those who

## へ ケさIさTPATH

今̂ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \gamma \circ \rho \alpha \iota 0 \lambda \epsilon \kappa \iota \theta_{0} \lambda \alpha \chi \alpha \nu 0 \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \iota \delta \epsilon \varsigma$ ，
 oủ $\chi$＇$\lambda \lambda \xi \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ，oủ $\pi \alpha เ \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ，ov̉к $\alpha \rho \eta ́ \xi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ； oủ $\lambda$ оוסор $\eta \epsilon \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ，oúk $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau \eta ́ \eta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ；
$\pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta$ ，${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \pi \alpha \nu \alpha{ }^{\omega} \rho \epsilon \bar{i} \tau \epsilon, \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \kappa v \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.



Xo入ウ̀ข $\begin{gathered}\text { év } \nu i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ; ~\end{gathered}$
465
ПРО．
$\mu \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$＇A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ каì $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$
$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma^{\prime}, \epsilon \in \alpha ́ \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o \nu$ ка́ $\pi \eta \lambda$ गos $\hat{\eta}$ ．
hact entered along with Calonice， Myrrhina，and herself into the Acropolis， including the ravoii of line 244 ．The next line is rendered with sufficient accuracy by Brunck，Oquae in foro semi－ na，ova，et olera venditis．We shall hear， infra 562，of a woman selling $\lambda_{\epsilon} \kappa \iota \theta$ оь in the agora；see also Plutus 427 and the Commentary on both those passages． $\lambda$ éritos means the yoll：of an egg or an omelet made of eggs．$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu a \gamma$ араı－ means seed sold in the agora，market－seeds． Van Leeuwen＇s contention that these syllables describe the women＂gens foro innutrita＂can hardly be serious．
 term．Will ye not grapple with them？ will ye not hale them along？

461．$\left.\pi a v \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \theta^{\prime}\right]$ The women，issuing from the Acropolis，fall upon the four Scythian archers，and defeat them with great slaughter．They are then recalled by Lysistrata，who forbids them to strip the slain．

462．rò тogıcóv］the archer－force，or，as Sir Walter Scott describes them in the Lady of the Lake，the urchery．
Forth from the pass in tumult driven， Like chaff before the wind of heaven， The archery appear．

$$
\text { vi. } 17 \text { (cf. Id. v. 25). }
$$

465．$\left.\chi<\lambda i_{i} \nu\right]$ The ancients，associating the liver as well with the sensation of thirst as with the passion of anger，em－ ployed the word $\chi$ o $\dot{\eta}^{\prime}$, ，bile，in connexion with either idea．Lysistrata uses the word in the sense of anger（Wasps 403， Thesm． 468 ；compare Horace＇s fervens difficili bile tumet iecur，and again merm iecu wrere bilis）．The Magistrate，for the purpose of twitting the women on their supposed infirmity in respect of drink，diverts it to the alternative mean－ ing．oi $\pi v \rho \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, says St．Chrysostom，
 öтау є́ $\pi \epsilon \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota ~ \sigma i ́ \tau a ~ к а \grave{~ \pi о \tau a ̀, ~ o v ̉ ~ \mu o ́ \nu o \nu ~ o u ̉ ~}$
 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ фо́үa．Hom．lxiii in Matth．p． 631 C.
 тí тоîбסє $\sigma \alpha v \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \lambda o ́ y o \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \theta \eta p i o ı s ~ \sigma v \nu a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota s ; ~$





 Chorus of Men，who have kept silence during the controversy between the Ma－ gistrate and the garrison of the Acropolis， now recommence their complaint against the Chorus of Women for drenching them with water，just as theyhad done in their last speech，supra 399－402．

470．коvías］коvia is the lye of ashes（тì
 which was used as soap，Ach．18，Frogs 711，Plato，Rep．iv． 7 （p． 430 A）．The Women had said，supra 377，that if the Men had any soap they would give them a bath；but as a matter of fact they have given them a bath without any soap．I cannot think that any play is intended as some have suggested between äעev коуias and ḋкоитí．

472．кvえo七ถ＜à ］to have black eyes； literally to have swellings underneath your eyes．кúda are the parts immedi－ ately beneath the eyes，$\tau \dot{a}$ v́тока́т $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \varepsilon ́ p \eta$ ，Photius；т̀̀ ímoкát $\omega$ т $\omega \nu$


 $\delta$ เó $\omega \nu \tau \epsilon s$, ，＂Suidas，and so the Scholiast here．Sce Ruhnken＇s Timaeus，s．v．who
says＂Kv $\begin{gathered}\text { ot } \delta \iota \hat{\imath} \nu \text { dicuntur，quibus oculi，}\end{gathered}$ vel ex verberibus，vel ex insomnia，vel ex quacunque alia caussa tument．＂

474．кıขov̄ซa $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ кápфоs］This either then was，or afterwards became，a pro－ verbial description of quiet inoffensive behaviour．It is given as such by Suidas and the Paroemiographers（Diog．vi．67； Gaisford，p．208）；and occurs twice in the Mimes of Herondas i．54，iii．67．In the latter passage a schoolmaster is to flog a mischievous urchin until he makes him


475．$\beta \lambda i \tau \tau \eta]$ $\beta \lambda i \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ means to take the honey from the honey－comb，aфatpeiv $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \iota ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ к \eta \rho i \omega \nu, ~ H e s y c h i u s, ~ S u i d a s, ~$ Timaeus，where see Ruhnken＇s note． See also Knights 794，Birds 498，and the Commentary on those passages．The Etymologicum Magnum（s．v．$\left.\beta \lambda_{\iota} \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu\right)$ says，according to some MSS．，$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v є \tau a \iota$
 $\tau \rho v \gamma \hat{\nu}$ ，ஹ̀＇A av̉rò̀ $\beta$ 人írтєıs＂［Knights 794］каi ミофок入 $\overline{\text { s }}$


 ＇Actıкติs．See Gaisford＇s note on the pas－ sage．But $\sigma \phi \eta \kappa \iota \grave{c}$, a wasp＇s or hornet＇s
$\lambda \nu \pi o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha \delta i, k \iota \nu 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ка́p申os, $\ddot{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau i \varsigma \not{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \sigma \phi \eta \kappa i \grave{\alpha} \nu \beta \lambda i \tau \tau \eta \eta \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \theta i ́ \xi \eta$.


тódє $\sigma 0 \iota$ тò $\pi \alpha ́ \theta o s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau$ ' $\epsilon \mu о \hat{v}$
ő $\tau \iota \beta$ ßодо́ $\mu \in \nu \alpha i ́ ~ \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\tau} \nu$
K $\rho \alpha \nu \alpha \grave{\alpha} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \in ́ \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu$, ' $\phi^{\prime}$ ó ${ }^{\prime} \tau \iota \tau \epsilon$
nest (Wasps 224, 229, 404), is not a very desirable place to rifle for honey; and I suspect that Aristophanes is mocking the line of Sophocles abouttaking honey from a wasp's nest ; while the Women may be wishing tolet their opponents know that, if they try to rifle their sweets, they will bring aswarm of hornets about their ears.
476. $\begin{gathered}\text { E } \\ Z \in \hat{v} \\ \text { к.т....] The preliminary }\end{gathered}$ skirmishes (1) between the Men and Women Choruses, and (2) between the Magistrate and the garrison of the Acropolis are now concluded, and the parties settle down to one of those fulldress debates in anapaestic tetrameters which, whenever they occur, form the most picturesque, as well as the most illuminating, portion of the Play. In them we are sure to find the real intent and purpose of the drama set forth in a lucid and vigorous argument, with little or no attempt at comic caricature. The little song with which the Chorus of Men commence the debate, the antistrophe to which, sung by the Chorus of Women, will be found infra $541-8$, is composed of seven lines; two creticopaeonic (the first of the two having a monosyllabic base); and five anapaes-
tic, four consisting of three anapaests each, and the last of two anapaests. The long syllable of the anapaest is frequently resolved into two short ones; so that in lieu of the ordinary anapaest we have one in four short syllables.
481. Kpavaív] In the time of the Pelasgians, Herodotus tells us, the Athenians went by the name of Kpavaoi; afterwards, in the time of Cecrops, they received the further name of кєкротiठa (Knights 1055); and it was not until the time of Erechtheus that they took the name of 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { nvaiot, Hdt. viii. 44. The an- }\end{aligned}$ tique flavour of the name "Cranaan" appealed strongly to the Athenians, who always prided themselves on their antiquity. Pindar thrice uses the words Kpavaais év 'A Aávals, Olympic vii, xiii ; Nemea viii. Aristophanes speaks of $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{\rho} a \nu \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu}$ (sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) in Birds 123, and of the Kpavaì módıs in Ach. 75 and here, as did both Aeschylus and Sophocles (Scholiast on Ach. 75). More especially was the epithet applicable, as it is here applied, to the Acropolis, the oldest inhabited part of Athens, and probably the only inhabited part in those old Pelasgic days.
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \rho о \nu, \alpha \nprec \beta \alpha \tau о \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho o ́ \pi о \lambda \iota \nu$, íєpò $\nu$ т́́ $\mu \in \nu 0$.





ПРО. $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \dot{\sim} \rho \iota \circ \nu \pi 0 \lambda \in \mu \circ \hat{v} \mu \in \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$;
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.


483. íєpò $\tau \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s]$ For the whole Acropolis was a sacred enclosure, ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \eta \xi$
 Demosthenes, de F. L. 309 (p. 428). See the Commentary on 345 supra. The term $\tau \epsilon \in \epsilon \nu 0 s$ included not only the Temple itself, but all the courts and sacred precincts belonging to it. The words a̋ßatov ífòv are in Plato's Laches, chap. 6 (p. 183 B ) employed with regard to Lacedaemon. "I observe the hoplites,"

 є̇ $\pi$ ィßaìоутам."
 $\kappa \omega \delta \omega \nu i \zeta \omega$ comes to bear that signification is not quite certain. The old grammarians refer it either to the custom of the night-watch ringing bells as they went their rounds for the purpose of ascertaining whether the sentries were awake, or else to the practice of testing the nerves of horses, or of quails, by the sudden ringing of bells. 'The Scholiast says $\mu \in \tau \bar{\eta} \kappa \tau a t$





 тò̀s ï $\pi \pi$ ous $\kappa \dot{\omega} \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ Ł̇ $\xi \in \tau a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$. The same three explanations-the night-watch, the quail, and the horse-are given by Suidas, Hesychius, and Etymol. Magn. under various headings. But both Suidas and Photius under кш $\omega \omega$ vioat, and the Etymol. Magn. under $\delta t a \kappa \omega \delta \omega \nu \downarrow \sigma \theta \in \nu \tau \tau s$ confine themselves to the horse-test, saying in the same words


 Oópvßov, тoùs кผ́ó $\omega \nu$ as $\psi o \phi o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$. The participle $\mu \epsilon \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau a s$ at the end of the line may either govern $\tau \dot{o} \pi \rho \bar{a} \gamma \mu a$ or be used intransitively, slackening in our. efforts, " nostra negligentia " as Brunck translates it.
487. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu]$ that is, as the Scholiast observes, ті̀ ciкро́тодıข. It is in fact the word which Aristophanes almost invariably employs to describe the Acropolis;

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$



ПРО. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau i ́ \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ;$

ПРО. $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s} \tau \alpha \mu l \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \dot{v} \rho \iota o \nu$;
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.


ПРО. à $\lambda \lambda$ ' oủ таütóv. $\quad \Lambda \Upsilon$. $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s oủ таưtóv; ПРО.



 ПРО.
$\pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \sigma \omega \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \not{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega s$;


#### Abstract

cf. Thuc. ii. 15. This is the only Play in which the actual term dंкрómòıs is found, though hereit occurs five times. In answer to the Magistrate's question Lysistrata avers that they had seized the Acropolis for the purpose of keeping the money safe, and so stopping the supplies for the War. This was their avowed object, supra 173-80.


490. Пєíaud $\rho o s$ ] For Peisander see Peace 394 and the note there. The Scholiast on the Peace says of him

 restless intriguer, he was at this moment one of the chief promoters of the scheme for subverting the democracy and establishing the rule of the Four Hundred in its place. The Scholiast observes that Aristophanes had spoken of him much in the same way, fifteen years before, in his comedy of the Babylonians; and the line is preserved by the Scholiast

 reads, as Bergk remarks, as if it came
from a list of persons to be pilloried for their political or social offences, like the list in Frogs 354-68.
491. коркориуі́р] tumult. коркориуй
 chius. It is coupled with $\mu$ á $\chi \eta$ in Peace

492. $\dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is $\tau а \mu \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu]$ The Athenian treasury in the Acropolis in the $\delta \pi \iota-$ $\sigma$ Oóoouos of Athene's Temple was under the charge of ten officials, one from each tribe, who are called by Aristotle танiaı тīs'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quãs, Polity of Athens, chap. }\end{aligned}$ 47. But now the women themselves, the Acropolis being in their hands, propose to undertake the duties of these тapia, and keep guard over the money in the Treasury. They are quite competent to discharge these duties, they say, for do they not already manage rävóov хрípaтa, the household money? кai үàp év raîs oikiaus, says Praxagora in Eecl. 211, speaking of the
 $\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta$ a.
493. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu]$ The Magistrate was fully
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{\imath} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$. ПРО. $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \bar{i}$;
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon$ ís $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota . \quad$ ПРО. $\sigma \chi \epsilon ́ \tau \lambda \iota o ́ v \gamma \epsilon$.
[ $\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\dot{\omega} s \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota, \kappa \ddot{\alpha} \nu \mu \eta)^{\beta o u ́ \lambda \eta l . ~}$
ПРО. $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ \nu \gamma \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s . ~ \Lambda \Upsilon$. á $\left.\gamma \alpha \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \epsilon i s^{\cdot}\right]$

ПРО.
$\nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Delta \eta ́ \mu \eta \tau \rho$ ’ै ${ }^{\circ} \delta$ เкó $\nu \gamma \epsilon$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon . \sigma \omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu, \hat{\oplus} \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu . \quad$ ПРО. кєi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ס'єо $\mu \alpha \iota$;
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i ̈ \nu \in \mathbb{R} \alpha$ каì $\pi о \lambda \grave{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$.

А〒. خ̀ $\mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ ф \rho \alpha ́ \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu . ~$
ПРО.

$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \omega \hat{\omega} \delta \grave{\eta}$,
justified in saying that the money was urgently required for the war; for never had Athens been in such straits for both ships and money as she was at this particular crisis, Thue. viii. 1. 15. But Lysistrata puts aside that question by raising a preliminary objection. The question whether the money is, or is not, necessary for the war is, she says, quite immaterial; for the war is itself unnecessary. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \neq \boldsymbol{\nu}$ is used in exactly the same way in Eccl. 657 (where see the Commentary) and Plutus 519, 522.
494. is $\sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ к.т....] I have placed this line in brackets, because in my opinion it is no part of the genuine text, but the work of some grammarian attempting to summarize the statements of Lysistrata and the Magistrate. It did not appear in any printed edition before Brunck's ; it is found neither in the original text of the Ravenna nor in that of the Munich MS., though some later grammaian has written it in the
margin of both. It adds nothing to the argument, but merely creates a wearisome repetition of the speeches already made. With ©s $\sigma \omega \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ we must understand ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta_{l}$ or some such word. See the Commentary on Wasps 416.
495. tàs хєípas катंхєเข] to keep your hands still.
496. \&T.] These four words, given in the MSS. and older editions to Lysistrata, and by later editions to the "First Woman," I have assigned to old Stratyllis, the leader of the Women's Chorus, who has already intervened in the dialogue carried on by the actors on the stage (supra 447 , see the Commentary on 435 and 445 supra), and seems generally anxious to have a finger in the pie. But the Magistrate declines to recognize the old hag in the orchestra; $\AA_{\text {® }}$ ypaù as he politely calls her. He waves her aside with a jive on her age, and a hope that with her ill-omened interruption she is a boding raven, croaking
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} S \chi^{\epsilon i \rho \rho \alpha s} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.

ПРО.


$$
\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \text { ov́ } \delta u ́ v \alpha \mu \alpha l \cdot X^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi o ̀ \nu} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho
$$

505
$\kappa \lambda \alpha u ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o i ́ v v \nu ~ \pi o \lambda \grave{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$. ミT.
 $\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha \pi 0 \not \eta \eta^{\sigma} \omega$.







her own fate. And turning to Lysistrata, he bids her answer the question he put four lines above, viz. what had induced the women to intermeddle with questions of Peace and War. This Lysistrata proceeds to tell him.
 have ventured to substitute these words for the common reading $\tau \grave{̀} \nu \mu \in ̇ \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ каi, which makes no sense at all. The substituted words are very inelegant, and veryunlike what Aristophanes would have written, but I think that they give the meaning which Aristophanes intended to convey, viz. in the time of the former war: that is of the so-called Archidamian War, which commenced with the first invasion of Attica under King Archidamus, and was terminated by the Peace of Nicias.
 -Scholiast. He means the use of the accusative, instead of the dative, after $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \kappa \omega$. The usage is very common, and

Van Leeuwen must have forgotten this passage and many others when in Frogs
 change $\sigma \grave{\epsilon}$ ठ̀̀ into ooí. Still more astonishing is his remark on the present passage that in Frogs 103 d́péqкєt is followed by an accusative (not, as here, of the person but) of the thing.
510. $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} v \delta o \nu\right]$ in the house, as supra 495, infra 516 ; while engaged at home in owdomestic affairs.
 $\mu \epsilon \nu a, \gamma \in \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} a u \delta \dot{\delta}$, Scholiast ; with grief in our hearts, but with a smile on our faces.
 on the Treaty-pillar by the side of the Tieaty. That treaties were inscribed on pillars is of course well known ; and several instances are given in the Commentary on Ach. 727. Generally, one of these pillars was erected in the capital city of each of the contracting parties, whilst others were established in some place of Panhellenic sanctity,
 " oú $\sigma \iota \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$;" $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ ' $\sigma \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$. 515
zT. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủk $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma i ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.
 $\Lambda \Upsilon$.




 $\mu \in \lambda \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma!. "$ 520
such as Olympia or Delphi. How carefully they were preserved may be judged by the statement of Pausanias, writing 600 years afterwards, that he had seen at Olympia the bronze pillar containing the terms of the 30 years' truce made in 455 b.c. between the Lacedaemonians and the Athenians (Thuc. i. 115) and also the pillar containing the terms of the 100 years' treaty made in 420 в.c. between Athens, Argos, Mantinea, and Elis (Thuc. v. 47), Pausanias v. 12. 7 and 23.3. But in the present passage we are dealing with the pillar containing the Peace of Nicias which, as we know (Thuc. v. 18), was to be erected in the Acropolis of Athens. Some three years later Alcibiades persuaded the Athenians to write on this $\sigma \tau \eta \lambda \eta$, underneath the Treaty, that the Lacedaemonians had failed to abide by their oaths;


 This is no doubt the transaction to which Lysistrata is alluding.
515. $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oùk] Stratyllis again attempts to put in her oar, and is again treated with scant ceremony by the Magistrate.
519. íтор入є́ $\psi$ as] scowling, looking at me from under-his bent brows. See Thesm. 396. The meaning is very similar to that of $\tau a v p \eta \delta \partial \partial \nu \quad \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ in Frogs 804, where see the Commentary. That the glance here was a scowl is plain from the speech which accompanies it. orir$\mu o v a \nu$ viv is to spin the thread to be used as warp in weaving. In the Batrachomyomachia (183) Athene refuses to assist the mice because they have devoured the $\pi \epsilon \in \pi$ 完os which she had woven

 wail loudly for her head, which will be soundly smacked, see Plutus 612 and the Commentary there.
520. $\pi$ ó $\overline{\epsilon \mu} \mu \mathrm{s} \delta^{\circ}$ äv $\left.\nu \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\lambda} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon\right]$ This is from the farewell speech of Hector to Andromache, intended to still her " boding fear, Ere from her last embrace her hero part." Fear not forme, he says,

## ム $\Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$


$\Lambda \Upsilon$.

$$
\pi \hat{\omega} s \text { ỏp } \theta \hat{\omega} s, \text { 今̂ какó } \alpha \alpha \iota \mu o \nu,
$$






$\ddot{\eta} \nu$ ổv $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \rho \circ \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
"Daughter," says old Simon Glover to the Fair Maid of Perth (chap. 2), "your tongue wags too freely. Quarrels and fights are men's business, not women's ; and it is not maidenly to think or speak of them."
 who could help them. The language is similar to that of the Prophet Isaiah lix.


 piaato. Cf. Id. lxiii. 5. On the present passage'Tyrwhittacutely observes "Haec de Alcibiade in triviis iactitata fuisse coniicio." And doubtless such sentiments as these were largely instrumental in bringing about the exile's triumphant return. The Athenians had lost in Sicily not only their fleets and armies, but also their most trusted leaders; and the people, disheartened and bewildered, would naturally look for a man to
shape their policy in this crisis, and would look in vain. And so all eyes would turn to that remarkable young man who, whether acting for or against his country, had proved himself beyond all comparison the most brilliant and resourceful politician of his time. They recalled him, and made him dictator, and all went well. They distrusted him, and dispensed with his services, and Athens was ruined.

527, 528. ảעтакроаิбӨaє кảvтเซเ๗тầ] To listen and keep silence in our stead. The sexes are to change places. The women are to lue the active speakers and managers of the State, as the men had hitherto been; the men in their turn are to listen and keep quiet, as the women had hitherto done. In the next line Lysistrata is putting her plan into operation. The Magistrate, interrupting, is at once told to hold his tongue, just as under the previous system the

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$


 $\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\sigma \iota \omega ́ \pi \alpha$.
ПРО. $\sigma о i ́ \gamma$ ', $\hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon, \sigma \iota \omega \pi \bar{\omega} ’ \gamma \grave{\omega}, \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu \mu \mu \alpha$ форои́ $\sigma \eta \quad 530$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu ; \mu \dot{\eta} \nu v \nu \zeta \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \eta \nu$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.


 $\kappa \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha$,
KA. $\quad * \quad * \quad * \quad *$
Mr.
 535
wife had been told by her husband; supra 515.
530. кáл $\nu \mu \mu a$ ] A covering for the head, a veil enveloping the head and face; sometimes used of a bride's wedding-veil, Aesch. Ag. 1149, Eur. Iph. Taur. 372 ; sometimes of a mourner's veil. But it was not confined to those classes. Lysistrata, who is neither a bride nor a mourner, is wearing one now ; and the Magistrate's allusion to it as a distinctive article of womanly attire has a result he little expected. It gives Lysistrata an idea which she and her comrades immediately carry out. The

Man, we have seen, is now to take the Woman's place ; and it is he therefore whose duty it is to wear the кá $\nu \nu \mu \mu$ and spin the thread, $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu o \nu a \operatorname{\nu \epsilon i\nu }$. At once, therefore, they array the surprised Magistrate in this womanly veil, and supply him with the basket and requirements of a spinning-woman. With $\sigma o i$ $\gamma \epsilon \sigma t \omega \pi \hat{\omega}$ ' $\gamma \dot{\omega}$; compare Frogs 1134 є́ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$;
535. калаӨiбкоу] This was a woman's wicker work-basket in which the wool was placed ready for the spinner. Catullus (lxiv. 319), describing the Parcae at work, says

Ante pedes autem candentis mollia lanae Vellera virgati custodibant calathisci.

And the wool, taken out by the spinner, was carded back into the basket; cf. infia 579. We may be sure, both from the grammatical requirements of the present passage and from the analogy of the corresponding system of dimeters infra 603,604 , that this line is spoken
by one of Lysistrata's friends (I think, Myrrhina), and that a line before it, spoken by another of her friends (Calonice), has dropped out of the text. For the missing line Enger suggests кai таvтпр̀ $\lambda a \beta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ 广́ต $\nu \eta \nu$, and Meineke

$\Lambda \Upsilon . \quad \kappa \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \xi \alpha i ́ \nu \in \iota \nu \sigma \nu\} \omega \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu O S$, кขд́ $\mu о \nu s ~ \tau \rho \omega ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.


XO. ГY. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \tau^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \gamma v \nu \alpha \hat{i} \kappa \epsilon \varsigma, \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa x \lambda \pi i \delta \omega \nu, \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\pi} \pi \omega s \dot{\alpha} \nu$



 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \bar{\eta}^{\epsilon} \varphi \nu \in \chi^{\prime}$, ais

Van Leeuwen inserts in the text a line of his own каi тò̀ äтракто⿱ тойтои סégaı.
536. छaiveiv] to card the wool. Cf. infra 579. $\sigma \nu \zeta \omega \sigma$ á $\mu \in \nu$ оs girding up your garments, that they may not embarrass your movements. So spinning-women are represented on the vases ; and cf. Dodwell i. 460.
537. кvá $\mu$ ovs $\tau \rho \dot{\rho} \gamma \omega \nu$ ] Women were in the habit of chewing some eatable as they wove or spun. Bergler quotes from Athenaeus iii, chap. 4 (p. 73D) тò̀ бikvò $\tau \rho \dot{\gamma} y o v \sigma a, \gamma^{\prime} v a \iota, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \lambda a i ̂ \nu a \nu \nu$ ṽ $\phi a \iota \nu \epsilon$. And Lysistrata selects kuá $\mu$ ovs as the special vegetable for the Magistrate to chew, because, being an Athenian citizen, he is presumably a кva $\mu о \tau \rho \omega \dot{\xi}$ (Knights 41 , infra 690), a bean-consumer, that is to say, very fond of the bean, not indeed as an article of food, but as the $\psi \bar{\eta} \phi o s$ with which Athenians voted in their popular elections.
 again referring to Hector's speech, as
supra 520 ; but with Hector the wife was to go to the loom, while "War was the care and the business of Men"; whereas here the Man is to go to the loom, and "War is the care and the business of Women."
541. ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ] The first debate is inaugurated (supra 476-83) by a song of encouragement addressed by the Chorus of Men to the Magistrate; the second by a similar song addressed by the Chorus of Women to Lysistrata and her friends. The old Women in the orchestra are full of enthusiasm for the young Women on the stage; for their native grace, their courage, their bright wit, and their wise patriotism. There is nothing that they are not prepared to do for Lysistrata's sake; but unfortunately, owing to their respective positions in the orchestra and on the stage, there is nothing that they can at the present moment do but dance ; and this, they protest, they will never tire of doing.

|  | 545 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \delta \rho \in \iota о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i \mu \eta \tau \rho i \delta i ́ \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda \eta \phi \hat{\omega} \nu, \tag{550}
\end{align*}
$$

 i＂$\mu \in \rho о \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ко́ $\lambda \pi \omega \nu$ каі̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \eta$ ，


 тaîòs．－Scholiast．Ye children of man－ liest grandmothers，ye whose mothers were －stinging－nettles．That $\tau \eta \theta_{\eta}$ is strictly a grandmother is evident from Acharnians 49 and Plato＇s Rep．v． 9 （p． 461 D）． And as to the application of the epithet
 the note on Wasps 1090 ．The verbal play in the latter part of the verse can hardly be preserved in our language． We are intended to suppose that $\mu \eta \tau \rho t-$ $\delta i \omega \nu$ is a substantive，the diminutive of $\mu \eta \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ ，but the unexpected addition of áка $\lambda \eta \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ shows that it is an adjective， and that $\mu \eta \tau р \kappa \delta i \omega \nu$ àкпл $\eta \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ means seed－ ing nettles，nettles which have arrived at the age at which their stinging powers are fully developed；$\mu \eta \tau \rho \iota \delta i a s$

 Scholiast．The Scholiast goesfurther and thinks that in $\tau \eta \theta \bar{\omega} \nu$ there is an allusion to $\tau \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \mathrm{c}$, oysters（Iliad xvi．747），and in üкп入 $\eta \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ to sea－nttlles（urticae marinae）， a class to which our common jelly－fish belong，but of which some species are
very stinging and poisonous；Wood＇s Natural History iii．739．And Athenaeus iii． 39 （p． 90 B ），speaking of these urticae



 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \nu$ каì $\mu \eta \tau \in ́ \rho a$ ．But I fail to detect， and I think that the audience would have been unable to detect，any allusion of this kind．It will be observed that Athenaeus writes civopeєoтát $\eta$ for àvópeto－ tuitcor，an obvious mistake，and a very absurd one，since（apart from the plural verbs in the following line）the young and lovely Lysistrata could not possibly have been addressed as manliest of grand－ mothers．Yet some recent editors，in defiance of all the MSS．and of common sense，have introduced this absurdity into the text．

550．$\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \tau^{\prime}$ cóp $\left.\bar{n}\right]$ Bergler refers to Thuc．v．70，where the historian，de－ scribing the first battle of Mantineia，says




ПРО．$\tau i ́ \pi o \imath \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s$ ； ảүорá§оขтаs каi $\mu \alpha \iota \nu о \mu$ е́vous．
ミT．

 ПРО．$\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i ́ \alpha \cdot$ X $\bar{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тoùs $\alpha, \nu \delta \rho \in i ́ o u s$. $\Lambda \uparrow$ ．




On with eager passion；no yielding now； for still are ye munning before favourable winds．$\tau \bar{\eta} s \nu \omega \grave{\omega} s$ où $\rho \iota o \delta \rho o \mu \circ v \dot{\sigma} \eta s$ ．－Helio－ dorus v． 17.

554．svaruázas］There is no practical difference between $\Lambda v \sigma \iota \mu \dot{\chi} \chi$ and Avar－ orpát $\eta$ ，but the latter name could not be brought into anapaests．There is a simi－ lar play on the former name in Peace 992，where Trygaeus，addressing the Goddess Peace，says
$\lambda \hat{v} \sigma o \nu$ ò̀ $\mu$ áxas каì коркориуàs


 liast．the crockery and regetable stalls． See the note on Wasps 789．Ever since the occupation of Deceleia by the Spar－ tans the whole population of Athens had been under arms；Thuc．vii． 28. And this precaution would be even more necessary after the Sicilian cata－ strophe ；Id．viii．69．It is no wonder therefore that men were seen marketing in full armour；＂just as if they were Corybants＂Lysistrata says；for the Cory－
bants，the Phrygian priests of Cybele， wore a complete set of armour，whence
 19，and т тıко́puもes by Euripides，Bacchae 123.

560．Гopyóva］＇The Gorgon－head upon his shield ；the device attributed to Lamachus，Ach．574，964，1181．The wearer of this terror－inspiring attire is found bargaining for some worthless little коракivous，diminutive gregarious fish often used for bait，like our min－ nows，but of a darker colour．See Knights 1053 and the Commentary there．
 was a Knight，that is，a cavalry man ； therefore he wore long hair．See the Commentary on Knights 580．But he was not a mere private in the cavalry， he was the commander of the contin－ gent of 100 men contributed by his tribe to the Athenian cavalry．The cavalry consisted of 1,000 men under two ïñap． xot，each tribe contributing 100 men under their own фúdapxos．He is still mounted on＂the splendid charger




 ПРО. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}$; $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \xi\{\nu$.


which he rode at the head of his troop "
 the words of Isteus "Re the estate of Hagnias," 57 (p.88)in a passage to which Blaydes refers. And what is this gallant equestrian doing? He is stowing away in his bronze helmet an omelet ( $\lambda$ е́кı $\theta$ O $)$ gotten from an old market-woman. As
 Eccl. 1177, and Plutus 427. The Scholiast here takes it to mean porridge in colour resembling the yolk of an egg, but it is doubtful if the word ever bears that meaning in Aristophanes.
563. $\left.\theta_{\rho} \hat{a} \xi\right]$ A great contrast to the cavalry officer who would be a well-to-do and well-educated Athenian gentleman, but even more alarming to the stallkeepers in the agora, is the next soldier whom Stratyllis had observed. This is one of the semi-barbarous Thracians who were frequently engaged to serve as auxiliaries to the Athenian armies. He comes in shaking his targe and dart (the distinctive weapons of a Thracian, see the note on Ach. 160) in order to render himsclf more formidable, and with as much fuss and self-importance
as if he were Tereus the famous Thiacian king.
 фókt.-Suidas. He frightened the figseller from her stall, and (in her absence) made a clean sweep of her fruit. סpunєтєis means fruit ripened on the tree ( $\delta \rho \hat{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{s}$ ), as contrasted, I suppose, with fruit gathered while still unripe and subsequently exposed to the sun. The

 $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} i \sigma \chi a ́ \delta \omega \nu$.
566. ${ }^{\text {èv }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ тaîs $\chi$ '́pats] in the several Hellenic states. Lysistrata speaks with quiet contempt of the difficulty which the Magistrate considers insuperable. They can do it, фaú入んs $\pi a ́ v v, ~ q u i t e ~ e a s i l y . ~$
567. $\kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \pi \hat{\eta} \rho$ '] a skein, Frogs 1349. The word тєтараү $\mu$ éva, entangled, used by the Magistrate two lines above, reminds Lysistrata of the manner in which Women disentangle and unravel a twisted ball of wool, and she at once proceeds to show him, both by her words and by the action of her fingers ( $\omega$ $\delta \varepsilon$ ), how easily women get over that sort of difficulty.

## へ ソさIさTPATH


 $\pi a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ої $\sigma \theta^{\prime}, \grave{\omega} \alpha \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \eta \tau 0 \iota$ ；
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.


ПРО．$\pi \omega \hat{\omega} \delta \eta^{\prime} ; \phi^{\prime} \not \rho^{\prime}$＇$\ell \delta \omega$ ．
$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．

 575
 with us；if the women are allowed to carry out their own schemes．
572． vois］This word replies to the avivioc in the early part of the line． Ye witless ones，says the Man．Why if ye yourselves had any wit，retorts the Woman，ye would manage the State al－ together after the fashion of our wool－ working．＂Ex nostro lanificio，＂as Bergler translates it，＂sumto exemplo rempublicam administraretis．＂
574．$\pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \boldsymbol{} \mu \mu \dot{\nu}$ к．т．д．］The discussion has for the moment been switched off the question of the War to the con－ sideration of the political situation at home．And Lysistrata proceeds to ex－ pound her views（that is to say，the views of Aristophanes）as to the right mode of arranging the internal politics of Athens．And here，as everywhere in these Comedies，there is an entire absence of political partisanship；there is merely a design of blending together all classes of the community in a bond of peace，unity，and concord；a happy condition，partially achieved for a brief period in the course of this very year，

411 в．C．，when that $\mu \epsilon \tau$ pia és roùs oj̀izous
 Thucydides stamps with his emphatic approval．And just as in preparing the wool the first step is thoroughly to wash the fleece in the washing－tub，so the first step in the renovation of the State is to purify it from all that is corrupt and offensive，so that the official wheels （to vary the metaphor）may work in a clean and，wholesome manner，unclog－ ged with personal greed and ambition．
 we must understand $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \dot{v} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu$ in a sense somewhat different from that which it bears in the following line；since here， as in Plutus 1062，it means to wash thoroughly，while there，as in Plato＇s Republic iv． 7 （p．430A），it means to wash out a stain or the like．
 ò̀ттஸ́тך $\lambda_{\epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a u .-S c h o l i a s t . ~ I n ~ A c h . ~}^{\text {．}}$ 1177 épa oiovianpà are wools with the grease in，unucashed wool．After washing the grease out of the wool，the next step was to remove the burrs adhering to the fleece．For this purpose the fleece would be stretched upon a bench（ $\epsilon \pi i$






 $\delta \iota \alpha \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ ö ô九 $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota$
$\kappa \lambda i \nu \eta s$, ef．infra 732），and the burrs either picked out（ảmo入є́ $\xi a t$ ）by hand or struck off with sticks（ $\epsilon$＇к $\rho a \beta \delta i \zeta \epsilon \tau \nu)$ ． In the State they are represented by the $\mu_{0} \chi$ \＃npoi，the vicious and idle class who hang on to the State for the provi－ sion and maintenance which they ought to acquire by their own industry．
 ing and knotting themselves together：$\pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ is a technical word in relation to wool． The fleece has been washed，the burrs removed，but there will still be some matted clots in the wool，which would render it useless for the wool－spinner． Analogous to these in the body politic
 （Thuc．viii．54），the political clubs and caucuses banded together to obtain verdicts in the dicasteries and offices in the State for themselves and their partisans．These caucuses were at the present moment particularly active． Peisander，Thucydides tells us（ubi supra），had approached them all，$\pi a \rho a-$ кє入єvacá $\epsilon \in \nu$ os öt
 could not but be abhorrent to the soul of Aristophanes，not only because they
were in this crisis plotting against the Demus，but also as always tending to set class against class，his own dearest wish being to blend all classes together into one harmonious whole．These clots in the commonwealth，like the clots in the fleece，must be separated into their component parts by the carding－comb （ $\delta \iota a \xi \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ），and the nuclei（ $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \kappa є \phi a \lambda a s$ ） which remain must be carefully plucked out．

579．коєцウ̀ข єن้̉otav］The fleece is now ready，and the wool can be carded into the basket，калаӨiбкоу；see on 535 supra． In the body politic the калаӨioкоs is represented by коьעो єv̉vota，for in my judgement these words are in apposition to，and explanatory of，the када日iбкоs or basket of wool；the sense being to card all the citizens into the work－basket，that is to say，into a condition of peace and good－ will．The ordinary translation＂for all men to card goodwill into the work－ basket＂seems to me unmeaning both in itself and in relation to the metaphor which Lysistrata is elaborating．äтavzas is the object，not the subject，of $\xi a i v \epsilon \iota \nu$. Otherwise the citizens would be omitted altogether；and the contents of the





 $\kappa \alpha \dot{\kappa \pi} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \psi \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha s$ ó $\pi \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha s$.

## ПРО．

$\sigma i ́ \gamma \alpha, \mu \eta े \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \kappa \alpha \kappa \eta ́ \sigma \eta s$.
work－basket would be resident aliens， strangers，public debtors，and goodwill． But in fact the citizens，one and all， ämavess，are to form the staple；the other ingredients are merely to be mingled with the citizens．It will be remembered that a debtor to the trea－ sury lost all the privileges of a citizen ： he was disfranchised，äт兀цоs．But Lysis－ trata will have no citizen disfranchised ou a plea of this kind．Here，as more largely in the Frogs，Aristophanes is pleading with the people rov̀s atinous $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau i \mu o v s$ тot $\overline{\sigma a t}$ ．And here，as there， his plea was carried into effect by the decree of Patrocleides．See the Intro－ duction to the Frogs，pp．vi－viii．The accusative roúrous in line 581 compre－ hends the three classes introduced by the words кeil tis．

 a word frequently employed in connexion with wool－spinning，may be derived either from кaтáy $\omega$ ，and so mean wool drawn out，or from кaтíqvou，and so mean $a$ fragment．It seems to be used in the former sense in Plato＇s Politicus

 ка́таүн́́ $\tau$ ．But in the present line it seems more naturally to mean＂a frag－ ment．＂These fragments are now lying each by itself，$\chi \omega p$ is ë́кабтov，but they are all to be gathered in to the great harmonious conglomeration which Lysis－ trata is proposing．
586．тo入ínそข］тo入ún$\eta$ isa ball of worsted quite prepared for use．тo入ínๆ кarà toùs
 Iliad xxiv．7．The work of the wool－ spinner is concluded，and the ball is now ready for the weaver．
589．$\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu$ ］First，as Mothers，whose sons are slain in the battle－fields，a grief unusually poignant at the present moment，when all the flower of the Athenian youth had perished on the fatal fields of Sicily．Secondly，as wives and maidens；wives whose husbands are always absent on military service； maidens doomed to perpetual maiden－ hood because all the young men are away at the war．

590．$\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \kappa a \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \eta s$ ］Do not awake the memory of past sorrows；let there be，as regards them，a perpetual amnesty． See Plutus 1146.



ПРО．ойкоvข каٌ้ $\delta \rho \in s$ ү $\eta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma к о v \sigma \iota \nu ;$
$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．




ПРО．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇${ }^{\circ \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \epsilon ै \tau \iota ~ \sigma \tau v ิ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \delta v \nu \alpha \tau o ̀ s ~$
 women．Sad as our lot may be，that of the unmarried girls growing old in their maiden apartments is still more deplorable than our own．

594．кävסן $\operatorname{ses}$ ］men as well as women． Reisig suggests $\chi$ ävopes which is read by several modern editors，but the article is quite unnecessary．
 worthy maiden，＂says Roswitha，in one of De la Motte Fouqué＇s exquisite tales （The Oak of the Idols），＂who would not with her whole soul love a grey－haired hero，in all his rich full glory．＂
596．$\mu$ ккро̀s ó кп九рós］As one of our own old poets，Cleveland，says rather brutally in his lines＂To Julia，to expedite her promise，＂
Think but how soon the market fails； Your sex lives faster than the males．
597．ȯттєvoبévך］consulting omens，from öroa，an ominous voice．The maiden will be seeking omens and fortune－ tellers to divine her chances of mar－
 $\pi є \rho і$ үи́дои $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \varrho \delta о \nu \mu$ е́ $\eta$ ．－Scholiast．

598．à入入’ ö́ctis к．т．入．］The Magistrate
does not finish his sentence，for Lysis－ trata，finding that he is falling into gross and indelicate language，abruptly interrupts him，and she and her friends dress him up as a corpse．What he was going to say，therefore，we can only con－ jecture；and in my opinion he means will soon find a gint ready to marry him． And this is very much Bothe＇s opinion，
 if modós．Other explanations，such as VanLeeuwen＇s＂mecum istam invadite，＂ seem to go very wide of the mark．

600．रoopiov écrau］This is Elmsley＇s emendation（at Ach．788）for the $\chi \omega \rho i o \nu$ $\epsilon \sigma \tau i=1$ of the MSS．He refers to Peace 374 where Hermes is threatening Trygaeus with instant death，and Trygaeus in reply asks Hermes to lend him three drachmas wherewith to purchase a xor－
 In the line of the Acharnians，though all the MSS．have $\chi$ oípos，the older edi－ tions corrupt it into $\chi \hat{\omega} p o s$.
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \tau \dot{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \sigma a$ ，a cake very commonly used in religious ceremonies（cf．Clouds 507）， was placed in the hand of the dead to

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma 1 \Sigma T P A T H$
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|  |  |  |

serve as a sop for Cerberus. $\dot{\eta} \mu$ еддıтoĩtтa édiôoro тoís $\nu \in \kappa \rho o i s$, says the Scholiast, iss

 These then-the honey-cake, Charon's fare, and the funcral wreaths-are the three things we should expect to find mentioned here, where the women are bedecking the Magistrate with the habiliments of a corpse, just as, at the termination of the first debate, they turned him into a spinning-maid and equipped him with spindle and work-basket. Lysistrata does not indeed now present the Magistrate with a $\mu \in \lambda \iota \tau o v ̀ \tau \tau a$, for she has not yet prepared one. She will do so forthwith, as the words кai $\delta \eta$ imply. But the other requisites will be furnished at once.
602. $\lambda a \beta \dot{\varepsilon}$ tauti] She is giving him the small change, $\mu к к \rho \grave{̀}$ ќєррата (possibly $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \beta \dot{\prime} \lambda(a)$, required to pay Charon's fare. For, as Lucian says (De Luctu 10), é $\pi \epsilon \iota-$


 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \nu$. I will get you a honey-cake for Cerberus, she says in effect; and
here is the fare for Charon; and now you must have a crown. And two lines later the crown is duly presented to him. But all the Commentators suppose that when Lysistrata says $\lambda a \beta \grave{\varepsilon} \tau a v \tau i$, she is giving the Magistrate something wherewith he can crown himself. Brunck translates the line "Sume hanc corollam, et cinge caput." Bothe too explains тavii by "corollas," and Blaydes by "ornamenta aliqua muliebria quae corollae loco sint." But thisinterpretation makes line 604 not merely surplusage but an actual absurdity:
603. kai tavtagi] the fillets or ribands.
 says the Scholiast.
604. $\sigma \tau \in ́ \phi=\nu o \nu] \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ̂ \mu a ~$
 de Luctu 11. See Eccl. 537, 538, and the Commentary there, and also the Commentary on 131 of the same Play.
 from putting off; you are delaying his royage. Aristophanes repeatedly refers to the Alcestis (Ach. 893, Knights 1251, Clouds 1415, Wasps 751, Birds 1244), and here he is probably thinking of the





death-bed scene where Alcestis, still lingering, pictures Charon, impatient, eager to be off, and chiding her "for being so unconscionable a time in dying."
$\nu \epsilon \kappa v i \omega \nu \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \circ \rho \theta \mu \epsilon \dot{s} s$,

Eur. Alc. 260. Bergler cites these lines, and also the call which Oedipus receives to hasten his tardy footsteps in Soph. Oed. Col. 1627. See also the opening scenes of Lucian's Cataplus.
610. $\omega s$ é $\neq \omega$ ] that is, in his funeral habiliments. $\beta \epsilon \beta \rho \epsilon \gamma^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ os is the Scholiast's comment, but there is not the slightest ground for supposing that he, like the Chorus of Men (supra 381-7), had been drenched with water. The Women Chorus, with their pitchers, are in the orchestra; the Magistrate is on the stage, and his opponents are not the Chorus of Women but Lysistrata and her friends, who have nothing to do with pitchers of water. Nor would the Magistrate have submitted to such treatment without an outcry, such as the Men had raised before.
611. $\left.\pi \rho o \dot{\theta} \theta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a\right]$ They lave per-
formed some of the ceremonies due to a corpse, but they have not "laid him out," that is, swathed him in white raiment, and placed him on a bed with his feet towards the door. See Eccl. 537 and the note there. Lysistrata pretends to believe that this is why he is complaining; and, while acknowledging the omission, assures her victin that the other funeral ceremonies shall at all events be duly performed. The chief of these were the тоíta and the ëvaгa (Pollux viii, chap. 14); and hence in the speech of Isaeus "In the matter of the estate of Menecles," 46 , the speaker, in proof of his contention that he was the adopted son and recognized succes-

 $\tau \grave{a} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$. The $\tau \rho i \tau a$, as the name implies, were celebrated on the third day after the death. For two clear days the immediate relatives were supposed to be giving themselves up to fasting and watching ; but on the third morning a banquet was prepared in honour of the dead ( $\tau \grave{j} \tau \rho \dot{\eta} \tau \eta$ ๆò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon-$
 $\delta \epsilon \iota \pi v o v$, Lucian, De Luctu 24), and the friends and kinsfolk came together to comfort the mourners and exhort them


[ $\sigma \tau \rho$.
615
 $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ رоı ठокєi.
to take part in the entertainment; which after their three days' fast, says Lucian, they did not require much pressing to do.
614-705. The Magistrate runs off to show to his fellow magistrates the manner in which the Women have treated him. Lysistrata and her friends withdraw into the Acropolis, and the stage is left empty. The two hostile Semichoruses, the Chorus of Men and the Chorus of Women, remain face to face in the orchestra, and resume the mutual recriminations which were interrupted by the entrance of the Probulus, supra 387. Each of the four choral systems which follow commences with a mixture of trochaic and cretico-paeonic lines, and passes into the ordinary trochaic tetrameters; and each concludes with a scuffle between the leaders of the two Semichoruses, Stratyllis and the Coryphaeus. It is strange that the Commentators should have overlooked these four little scuffles, for they constitute the very life and point of the systems.
614. oùk $\left.{ }_{\epsilon \prime} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma o \nu\right]$ In the previous altercation the women enjoyed the privilege of their sex in having the last word, and they will do the same in this renewal of hostilities. The Men begin.

They belong, as we have heard supra 380, to the dicastic class who smell out "Tyranny" and "Conspirators" in
 каì छ̀vшнóтat); and in accordance with this tradition they at once accuse their adversaries of conspiring to reintroduce the tyranny of Hippias, the last Tyrant of Athens. This as free-born men (öotes "' $\left.\sigma \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \rho o s\right)$ they will resist to the uttermost; they will wreathe their swords in myrtle, and stand beside the statues of Harmodius and Aristogeiton "who slew the tyrant," Hipparchus, the brother of Hippias; and again, they will act like the Alcmaeonidae who rose up against the Tyrants and were finally instrumental in expelling them.
615. '̇ $\left.\pi a \pi o \delta v \dot{\omega}^{\mu} \epsilon \theta^{\prime}\right]$ let us strip to the task, that is, devote all our energies to it; just as we English speak of "taking off our coats to the task." "Take off your coat to it, Philip,"' says one student in Clough's "Bothie" to another who was commencing a rather heated argument. But no doubt these four choral systems were accompanied by dancing; and the members of each Semichorus, in turn, throw off their upper mantles for the purpose of the dance; see infra 637, 662 , and 686.

 каì $\pi \alpha ́ \nu v$ ס́ $\in$ סoıкк $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Lambda \alpha \kappa \omega ́ \nu \omega \nu$ т।vès<br>$\delta \in \hat{v} \rho o \quad \sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \nu \theta_{0} \tau \epsilon s$ <br><br>$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$<br>$\tau o ́ v \tau \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma$ òv<br>625<br><br> $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon i v \gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \alpha s$ ov̂ $\sigma \alpha s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ \delta o s \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \hat{\eta} s} \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \ell$ ，

618．＇I $\pi \pi i o v]$ See the note four lines above．But in the words $\tau \hat{\eta} s$＇$I \pi \pi i o v$ rupaviioss，as applied to the women， there is here，as in Wasps 502，where see the note，an allusion to the $\sigma \chi \bar{\eta} \mu a$ guvovaius which was known as кé $\lambda \eta$ g．
 petual butt of the Comic Poets for his gross effeminacy，is selected as a fitting intermediary between the Athenian women and the Laconian men，as par－ taking of the nature of both．In Thesm． 576 he is described as the $\pi \rho \sigma \xi_{\epsilon} \epsilon$ os of the women．
 Scholiast．From the treasury in the Acropolis lad come that flow of public money from which，amongst other things， the dicastic fee of three obols was paid． From that fee，now as at the date of the Wasps，the poorer classes got theirliving． But the women have seized the treasury and cut off the supply．Here again the connexion of the Chorus of Men with the dicasteries is assumed．See the note
on 614 supra．
628．$\pi$ pós］besides．The word is here used adverbially，as infra 1238.

629．$\lambda \dot{v} \kappa \varphi$ ¢ $\kappa є \chi \eta \nu \dot{o} \tau t]$ Both Greeks and Romans were accustomed to picture the wolf as ravening with open jaws； ＂inhiat quasi esuriens lupus，＂Plautus， Stichus iv．2．25．As to these idle im－ putations on the good faith of the Spar－ tans see Ach． 308 and the note there．

630． $\begin{gathered}\pi i \\ \text { res repavior］with a riew to a }\end{gathered}$ Tyranny，Wasps 495，498，Edt．v． 71.
 the very words of the great Harmodius－ scolium or scolia，which will be found in full in the Commentary on Wasps 1225.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { èv } \mu v ́ \rho т о ⿱ ~ к \lambda а \delta i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \xi i ́ 申 о s ~ ф о р \eta ́ \sigma \omega, ~ \\
& \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ ' А \rho \mu o ́ \delta i o s ~ к ' ~ ' А \rho ı \sigma \tau о \gamma \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \\
& \text { öтє тòv тúpavעò ктаעє́тך }
\end{aligned}
$$

633．éj̄̀s＇Apıaroycitoul］beside Aristo－ geiton．The expression exactly corre－ sponds to the $\pi a \rho$＇＇A $\rho \mu о \delta i \varphi$, ，beside Har－ modins，of Eccl．682．For the statues of Harmodius and Aristogeiton were not

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$


 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \phi \eta \nu \alpha \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu,{ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in s, \epsilon \in \pi i ̀ \tau \nu \rho \alpha \nu \nu i ́ \delta \iota$.



 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta \in o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ X ~ X \rho a ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ \sigma \delta \epsilon ~ \gamma \rho \alpha o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \gamma \nu \alpha ́ \theta o \nu$.
 $[\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau$. $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \in \sigma \theta^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}$ фì $\lambda \alpha \iota \gamma \rho \hat{\alpha} \epsilon s, \tau \alpha \delta i ̀ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \quad \chi \alpha \mu \alpha i ́$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ ̀ ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ ~ \rho, ~ \hat{~} \pi \pi^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o i ̀, ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \rho X о \mu \epsilon \nu$
distinct and separate statues, but formed one group of statuary representing the two friends in the act of attacking the tyrant. See the note on the Ecclesiazusae ubi supra. 'I'his group was erected at the head of the Agora, not far from the Propylaea; and may possibly have been represented in the scenery of the stage. See Wordsworth's Athens and Attica, chap. xiv.
634. $\left.\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \epsilon \\ \epsilon \\ \theta\end{array} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \xi \omega\right]$ He is probably assuming the very attitude in which Aristogeiton was represented in the sculpture delivering the blow "which slew the tyrant" ; and so getting himself into the fittest position for the blow which he himself in the following line will plant on the cheek of this tyrannical Woman. For now, he goes on to say, the time has arrived for him to emulate the achievements of the Tyrannicides, and strike one blow upon that old hag's face. I have substituted av่ró $\theta \in \nu$ from this place or forthuith for the aìvòs yà $\rho$ of the MSS. and early editions, which
satisfies neither the sense nor the metre; and for the aủrò yàp of later editions which gives no satisfactory meaning. $\mu \mathrm{o}$ yizveтat it falls to my lot.
 the action to the word, and slaps old Stratyllis on the cheek. She immediately retaliates, and apparently with striking effect, as is evidenced by the commencement of her reply.
636. $\dot{\eta}$ тєкой $\sigma a \quad \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l]$ This is a common figure of speech. For example, when Dou Quixote thinks himself bound, by the laws of clivalry, to go mad for the love of his Dulcinea Del Toboso in the heart of the Sierra-Morena, we are told that if Sancho had not speedily returned, "the Knight of the Sorrowful Figure would have been so disfigured that the very mother who bore him would not have known him." And see Sir Walter Scott's description of the Palmer in Marmion i. 28.
637. $\tau a \delta i]$ their upper garments. See the note on 615 supra.

$\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \quad$ Х $\rho \eta^{\prime} \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$.  $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma^{\prime}$ єủӨùs ク’ $\rho \rho \eta \phi o ́ \rho o u \nu$ ．

 seven years old．We have here an in－ teresting enumeration of the distinctions which a young Athenian girl might hope to attain．First，she might be chosen between the ages of seven and eleven （Et．Magn．）to be one of the four cipp $\eta \phi$ ó $\rho o$ и selected for their noble birth（ $\delta i^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon เ a \nu$ Harpocration）to serve Athene for a year． in the Erechtheium．They were clad in white，and at the festival of the áppøфо́ $\rho$ г carried in caskets（ėv кigтaus，Scholiast， Suidas s．v．$\chi^{a \lambda \kappa \epsilon i u)}$ certain mysterious objects connected with the worship of the Goddess．Hence they derived their name of cippŋфópot（as if áppךгофópot）
 $\pi a \rho \theta^{\prime} \nu 0 t$, Scholiast．Pausanias（Attica xxvii．4）tells a curious tale of their carrying away these mysterious objects by anunderground passage，and receiving others in exchange．And two of them assisted the priestesses in preparing the Peplus for the Panathenaic festival， Harpocration，Et．Magn．，Suidas s．v． $\chi a \lambda к \epsilon i a$ ．And see Leake＇s Athens i． 156 note．

643．$\left.{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \tau \rho i s\right]$ These $\dot{d} \lambda \epsilon \tau \rho i \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ were young maidens of good birth and good repute，selected to grind on the holy mills，iєpoi $\mu v \lambda \omega \nu \in s$, the grain required for the sacrificial $\pi$ ómava．The Scholiast



 thius（on Odyssey $x x .105$ ）quotes from ancient writers various accounts of these Mill－maidens，but they do not carry us beyond the Scholiast＇s statements． The Mystery－maidens as we have seen were little girls between seven and eleven．The Mill－maidens are rather older；damsels ten years old and up－ wards．For we may assume that when the speaker says that at ten years of age she became a Mill－maiden she means that she attained that distinction at the earliest possible age．But whom are we to understand by the words rápx $\bar{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ ？ The Scholiast，after explaining that the words are equivalent to $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \in \sigma \pi o i \nu \eta$ the Sovereign Lady，refers them to either Artemis or Demeter；while Tyrwhitt calls attention to the statement in Plutarch＇s Alcibiades，chap．2，ìpì $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тoís＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quvaiots，is oi } \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ p \epsilon s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma ı \nu, ~\end{aligned}$
 note on Tyrwhitt，thinks that Alhene is certainly meant；and this seems most probable．

645．＂̈рктоs $\bar{i}$ Bpaupwиiots］After being a Mystery－maiden and a Mill－maiden， I was a Bear－muiden in the jestival of Brauronian Artemis．Brauron，a town on the sea－coast of Attica，midway be－ tween Marathon and Sunium，claimed to be the possessor of the statue of

# $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \tau^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \epsilon \tau \rho i s ~ \hat{\eta}, \delta \in \kappa \in ́ \tau \iota S$ o乞̄ $\sigma \alpha, \tau \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \gamma \epsilon \tau \iota \cdot$ <br>   

 645Artemis which fell down from heaven into the Tauric Temple where, afterwards, Iphigeneia was priestess, and whence she brought it on her return to Hellas. She continued, it was believed, to be its custodian at Brauron to the end of her life; and the bear was so intimately, and so mysteriously, connected with the worship of the Brauronian Artemis that some supposed Brauron, and not Aulis, to have been the scene of the attempted sacrifice of Iphigeneia, and the victim substituted in her stead by Artemis to have been not a deer, but a bear. A tame bear belonging to the sanctuary was killed by some Athenian lads, and the wrath of Artemis at the sacrilegious act could be appeased only by a regulation that every Athenian maiden should before her marriage be required "to play the bear" (ápkтєvєєı), that is, to dress up as a bear, and in that guise take part in the Temple service. She wore on that occasion a yellow robe (тòv крокштòv) assimilated to the colour of a bearskin. But this, though doubtless a proud day for the bear-maiden, was a universal custom and not a distinctive honour; nor does it seem to have been comnected with the quinquennial festival of the Brauronia of which the poet is here speaking; and if the somewhat questionable statement of a Scholiast here, whom Suidas copies,
be correct, and the maidens who took part in the ceremony were not older than ten, nor younger than five, this cannot be the bear-maidenship to which the speaker is referring. For at ten years of age she became the Mill-maiden,
 к.т....) that she became the Bear-maiden. Probably in the great quinquennial festival, some maiden of fifteen or thereabouts was selected as a special distinction to walk in the procession in yellow robes as one of the sacred bears. See as to this festival the authorities cited in the Commentary on Peace 874. The worship of the Brauronian Artemis was not confined to the little town of Brauron. There was a Temple in her honour in Athens itself not far from the Propylaea; and near its site a little stone bear has recently been found. See Percy Gardner's "New Chapters in Greek History," chap. 8.
646. кảkavךфópov̀] She has been, in turn, a Mystery-maiden, a Mill-maiden, and a Bear-maiden; and she winds up with the кaunфорía, the crown of a Maiden's life ; a distinction, the details of which have been sufficiently described in the Commentary on Ach. 242, Birds 1551, and Eccl. 730. The "wreath of figs " is not, I believe, elsewhere mentioned. The Scholiast says that besides bearing baskets of solid gold $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\prime} \mu \pi \epsilon v o \nu$

> i $\sigma \chi \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu$ ó $\rho \mu a \theta$ óv.
> $\hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha \pi \rho \circ \check{\chi} \phi \epsilon i \lambda \omega \tau \iota$ Х $\rho \eta \sigma \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ;$
$\tau \grave{o} \nu{ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \rho \alpha \nu 0 \nu \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{o} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \pi \alpha \pi \pi \hat{\omega} 0 \nu$ '́к $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{M} \eta \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$
 was doubtless the fact, but possibly his only authority for the statement is the present passage.
650. ${ }^{\eta} \nu$ à $\mu \epsilon i \nu \omega$ к.т....] If I contribute better things than our present troubles, which, she means, are your contribution. What those "better things" are she explains in the following line кaì $\gamma \dot{a} p$ äv$\delta \rho a s$ ei $\sigma \phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega$, I contribute MEN to the service of the State. єio $\phi$ ' $\rho \in \iota \nu$ is the technical word for contributions made by citizens to the State. See the Commentary on Knights 924. And as to the $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho a v o s$, the family contributions for their poor and destitute relatives, see on Ach. 615.
 tribution assessed by our grandsires as "consequence of the Persian wars. She means, as the Scholiast observes, the amount assessed by Aristeides, as the contribution to be paid by the Allies to the treasury at Delos for continuing the maritime War against the Persian king. The transfer of the treasury from Delos to

Athens was one of the most striking symbols of the change from an Alliance to an Empire. Thenceforward it became a part, and indeed the larger part, of the ordinary revenue of Athens. But since the Sicilian catastrophe, such of the Allies as thought that they could safely do so were falling away and discontinuing their contributions, whilst the Athenians themselves were becoming unwilling, if not unable, to contribute their proper ciodopas to the State.
656. $\gamma$ puктóv] Have ye a mind to grumble at my words? "Numquid ergo mussitatis? Si molestus sis mihi | Hoc tnas duro cothurno verberaverimgenas."- Florent Chretien.
657. $\dot{\alpha} \psi \eta \kappa \pi \omega]$ msmoothed, and therefore hard and rough. The Scholiast explains it by $\sigma к \lambda \eta \rho \bar{\varphi}$ aud д̀дадс́ктч, and as to the effect тov̂ $\mu$ a入árтєє in making leather soft and supple see Knights 269, 389, and the notes there. And now, just as at the conclusion of the Men's speech the Coryphacus dealt a blow with his hand upon the cheek

## ＾ヘミIさTPATH

$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \in ́ \tau \iota \kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \in \mathcal{v}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．



є́ $\sigma \tau \grave{\iota} \pi о \lambda \lambda \eta$ ；к $\alpha \pi \iota \delta \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$
$\mu \circ \iota$ ठокє $\hat{\imath}$ тò $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda 0 \nu$.

 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta$ òs oै $\} \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủk $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \rho \iota \omega \bar{\omega} \theta \alpha \iota \pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$ ．
of Stratyllis，so here Stratyllis deals a blow with her hard rough slipper upon the cheek of the Coryphaeus．I say the＂Coryphaeus＂because the leader of the Men＇s Semichorus seems to be the Coryphaeus of the united Chorus．

658．тav̂т＇où $\chi$ v̈ $\beta$ pıs к．т．ג．］The Men now have their second innings，full of resentment at the audacious language and action of Stratyllis．The insolence of these women，they say，does not diminish：it seems rather to augment ；
 Therefore we must again strip to our task．See on 615 supra．The $\epsilon \xi \omega \mu$ is， a garment which passed under，instead of over，the right shoulder，and so left the right arm and shoulder uncovered （whence indeed it derived its name）， was most commonly worn by slaves and labourers，who were naturally able to perform their tasks more easily when their right arms were free．The old men of the Semichorus，though free－born Athenians，are wearing it here，to enable them to handle more effectively the
great fire－logs they were carrying on their entrance．It took the place of
 Eustathius on Iliad xviii．595，хıтஸ̀ ä $\mu$ a каì íhátıov tò aìtó．And so Hesychius s．v．


 mean，as it is commonly taken to mean， that the $\epsilon \xi \omega \mu$ is could be worn either as an ifátıo or as a $\chi$ ı $\tau \dot{\omega} \nu$ ；it means that it did duty for both．The Men had already thrown it off at the commence－ ment of the first system（supra 615）and had resumed it at its close；now they lay it aside again，and apparently do not resume it till the Women（infra 1020） tell them how absurd they look with the upper part of their bodies uncovered， and help them to put it on again．
663．évreधp $\omega \hat{\sigma} \theta a u$ ］to be swaddled up in． évepoồ literally means＂to wrap up in a fig－leaf，＂$\theta$ pior，as dainties were com－ monly served up．See Ach．1101，Frogs 134，and the Commentary on both places．

| $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa о ́ \pi о \delta \epsilon \varsigma$, <br>  | 665 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\eta} \lambda \theta \theta_{0} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，ö ${ }^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \mu \in \nu$＇$\epsilon \tau \iota$ ， $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \in i ̂$ ， |  |
| $\nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \beta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu, \kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu-$ $\alpha \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ |  |
|  | 670 |
| $\theta \alpha ı$ тò $\gamma$ ท̂pas rodí． |  |

664．$\lambda \epsilon u \kappa o ́ \pi o \delta \epsilon s$ ］We must suppose that the old men are wearing $\lambda \in u k a ̀ s$ ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \beta$ ádas．That these were commonly worn by courtesans（Pollux vii．92）is no argument against their use by old men in a Comedy；and the contention of Bothe and others that $\lambda є ⿱ к ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十 丌$ о́тобєs here means＂barefoot＂seems quite inadmis－ sible．The veterans had thrown off their $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega \mu i \delta \varepsilon s$, but not their shoes；and in truth＂with bare white feet＂would be an epithet suitable to young girls rather than to thesc old soldiers who would pride themselves on being $\mu \epsilon \lambda a ́ \mu \pi o \delta \epsilon s$ ：com－ pare infra 800－4．But whatever the exact signification of $\lambda \in \cup \kappa o ́ \pi о \delta \epsilon s$ ，the word is here intended to be a play upon $\lambda \cup к о ́ \pi o \delta \epsilon s$ ，an epithet applied to the Alcmaeonidae and other exiles，in the days when they were rising against the ＂tyrant＂house of the Peisistratidae． For the veterans are still thinking of the attempt of the women to impose upon them the＂tyranny of Hippias＂ （supra 618），and of their own determina－ tion to resist that attempt to the utter－ most．Why the insurgent Alcmaeonidae were called $\lambda \nu \kappa o ́ \pi o \delta \epsilon s$ is uncertain．The Scholiast thinks it was either because their feet were clad in wolfskin，or be－
cause they bore on their shields the figure of a wolf；but it seems more pro－ bable that it was a name given them by their enemies because，like wolves， they were always prowling about the frontier，seeking an opportunity to attack．But＂the exiles of whom the Alcmaeonidae were the leaders，＂says Aristotle，Polity of Athens，chap．19， ＂were unable by their own unassisted efforts to effectuate their own restora－ tion，and invariably met with disaster． And amongst other failures was their fortification of Leipsydrium which is above Mount Parnes，where they were successfully besieged by the tyrants； whence came the Scolium：

Alas！Alas！Leipsydrium，comrade－ betraying！what men valiant in fight， and noble of birth didst thou destroy ！ men who showed by their valour from what sires they sprang．＂
And he goes on to show how they ultimately called in the Lacedaemo－ nians under Cleomenes，and so the Peisistratidae were expelled．See supra 273 ，infra 1151 ．The story is told by Hdt．v．62－5，and the Scolium is found in many ancient authors．Possibly in the Scolium itself the words $\Lambda \in \neq \psi v i d o v$

## ム $\Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

$\epsilon i ̉ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \omega ́ \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \sigma \delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \nu \mu \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\eta} \nu$,


 $\eta_{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \phi^{\prime} i \pi \pi \iota \kappa \eta े \nu \tau \rho \alpha ́ \pi \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, סı $\alpha \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \omega$ тоข̀s $i \pi \pi \epsilon \in \alpha s$.


$\pi \rho о \delta \omega \sigma$ ध́тat $\rho \frac{\nu}{}$ were intended to suggest the idea of neat wine, unmingled with water, which would treacherously overthrow the comrades who partook of it. See Mure's Greek Literature iii. 2. 13.
666. ö $\tau^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ є̈rı] when we still were Men. For now, like the veterans in the Acharnians, they account themselves as oúdèv ỏ oraas, Ach. 681. The affair at Leipsydrium occurred about a century before the date of the Lysistrata. The grandfathers of the speakers may have taken part in it.
672. є́v $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \iota$. . . $\lambda a \beta_{\eta} \nu$ ] The same expression occurs in Knights 847 . évoioóvaı $\lambda_{a} \beta^{\prime} \nu$ is to lend a hold, not without an idea of giving way on the part of him who lends it.
673. $\lambda$ เтаройs $\chi \in ⿺ \rho о v \rho \gamma i a s]$ of strenuous craftsmanship. They will be behindhand, he means, in no handicraft, however difficult and laborious.
675. $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 'A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma$ 'a] as Artemisia did. He means " the Carian Artemisia strong in war" who built her ships and led them across the Aegaean to fight on the side of the Persian invaders against the Hellenic fleet at Salamis. In the general rout of the Persians her trireme was vigorously, but unsuccessfully, pursued by Ameinias
the brother of Aeschylus, $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \nu$ yá $\rho \tau$
 $\epsilon \sigma \theta a t$, Hat. viii. 93. And that, says the speaker here, is exactly what these wretched women will do, if we only give them the chance.
676. סıarpá $\phi \omega$ ] Irm my pen through; $I$ strike them out, as non-existent. So utterly, if the women attack them, will they be annihilated. The Scholiast fancies that there is some reference to the cancellation of an action at law (Clouds 774), but this does not seem very probable.
677. iтпเкต́татор] excellent in horseback exercise and keeps her seat well. But in inтькต́тaroy there is the same allusion intended as in 'I $\pi \pi i o v$ supra 618.
678. 'A $\mu a \zeta$ óvas] The great legendary invasion of Attica by the Amazons, their victorious progress, and their final defeat by Theseus under the very walls of the Acropolis became a familiar theme for Athenian artists, as well as for Athenian writers. Two large frescoes, one in the Poecile (Pausanias i. 15) and the other on the walls of the Temple of Theseus (Id. i. 17), represented the great battle to later generations; and with both these paintings Micon seems to have
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XO．Г欠．$\epsilon i \nu \eta ̀ \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \zeta \omega \pi u-$



685

 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ í $\tau \omega \tau \iota s, i^{\nu} \nu \alpha$
been concerned．The Scholiast here attributes to his brush the fresco in the Poecile；and see Pliny xxxv． 35 ；whilst Pausanias（i．17）notices his connexion with the paintings in the Theseium． Micon was an Athenian painter of great distinction，and some of the finest paint－ ings in Athens were the joint productions of himself and Polygnotus．There were other representations in Athens of the Amazons；but these were apparently the work of other artists．
 the perforated wood，meaning either the stocks or the pillory or a combination of both．The Scholiast says тòv кúфळva $\lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \gamma \iota$ ． See the Commentary on Knights 367
 we have merely a threat，which should have been completed by the words $\tau o \dot{s}$ aúxévas，but the Coryphreus，seeing Stratyllis handy to his grasp，says rov－ qovi тò aúxéva，at the same time seizing her round the neck，and getting her for a moment（in wrestling phrase）＂into

Chancery．＂Her indiguation at this outrage is shown by the very first words of her retort．
 and so arouse，excite．Cf．Peace 310.
 my natural unrestrained wrath）$\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \in$ ， $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ óp $\eta_{\nu} \nu$－Scholiast．She means（to quote from Tennyson＇s Princess）that ＂wildbeast of force，Whose home is in the sinews of a man＂or woman．No wild beast was more formidable to the Greeks than the wild boar ；as witness the Erymanthian boar，and the boar of Calydon；the boar which slew Adonis， and that other boar＂with bristling hair and eyes of flame＂which inflicted the memorable scar upon the thigh of Odysseus．＂Ys is，of course，both mascu－ line and feminine；it is used in the fominine here，because it represents，on a woman＇s lips，a woman＇s wrath．

685．rò̀s $\delta \eta \mu o ́ t a s ~ \beta \omega \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon i v]$ To shout to your fellow burghers；to call them to your assistance．So Peace 1146 тóv тє
$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon$ фа́ $\gamma \eta$ бко́роба
$\mu \eta \delta ̊ \in ̀ ~ к v a ́ \mu o v s ~ \mu ́ ́ \lambda \alpha \nu a s$,

$\pi \epsilon \rho \chi{ }^{\circ} \lambda \bar{\omega} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$,
$\alpha i \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ тíктоута ка́ $\nu \theta \alpha$. مós $\sigma \in \mu \alpha \iota \in \cup ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ ．

$\eta \quad \tau \epsilon \Theta \eta \beta \alpha i ́ \alpha$ фí入 $\eta \pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon u ̛ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta े s$＇ $1 \sigma \mu \eta \nu i \alpha$ ．

 to Manes to call him in．$\pi \in \kappa т о ⿱ 亠 \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon о \nu$, currycombed，that is soundly beaten．It exactly answers to the＂depexum＂of Terence，Heautontimorumenos v．1．78， where Chremes says of his slave＂Si vivo， adeo depexum dabo ut，dum vivat，me－ minerit semper mei．＂I will give him such a trimming that he shall remember． me to the last day of his life．$\pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu$ is merely another form of $\pi \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ，and means either to shear or to comb．
 to biting point．See Peace 607.

689．бко́роঠ̀a $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$ кváuovs］This may merely mean that he shall never eat anything again．The Scholiast，however，

 тро́yovaı кvápovs．See supra 537 and the note there．

695．кáv $\theta a p o s]$ She is referring to Aesop＇s well－known fable（No． 223 in De Furia＇s edition，but perhaps more cor－ rectly given by the Scholiast on the

Peace）about the Eagle and the Beetle， to which allusion has already been made in Wasps 1448 and Peace 129，where see the notes．The falle told how the insignificant beetle，when injured by the mighty Eagle，contrived to break its adversary＇s eggs no matter where they were laid，even when they were laid in the bosom of Zeus．And as the beetle acted，so Stratyllis will act．How－ ever weak the women may appear，and however strong the men，she will find a way to repay them for all their wrong－ doing and insolence．The term $\mu$ atévóo－ $\mu u t$ is employed because it was always just as the Eagle had laid her eggs that the beetle，like a $\mu a i a$ ，would make its appearance．
697．＇I $\sigma \mu \eta \nu i a]$ Here we find the name of the Boootian delegate who accom－ panied Lampito，supra 86.
 have power to carry out your threats，or to do as you propose，or to subdue us women．
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XO. Г؟. $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma o v s ~ \tau o u ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \beta o u \lambda \epsilon u ́ \mu \alpha \tau \tau о s, ~$ тí $\mu \circ \iota \sigma \kappa v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ òs $\epsilon \in \xi \in \lambda \eta ́ \lambda v \theta \alpha s$ סó $\mu \omega \nu$;

699. rois $\gamma$ eitucıl] These words are not, I think, intended to describe a class already comprised in the more general $\pi a ̂ \sigma t$, by a joke similar to those

 $\beta$ ротoíctv. In my opinion $\pi$ â $\sigma \iota$ means all our people, that is, all the Athenians: just as in 579 supra ätavtas means not "all men" but "all Athenian citizens." The $\gamma \epsilon$ ítoves, on the other hand, are "our neighbours over the border," such as those mentioned in the following lines.
700. Өïкর́т! поьốa паıरviav] keeping a festal holiday in honour of Hecate. For this festival she wants that prime delicacy, a Copaic eel, just as in Ach. 962 Lamachus wanted one for the Dionysian festival. As to the Copaic eel see the Commentary on 36 supra. It is here described as if it were a virtuous and well-loved maiden, a worthy companion of "the boys." The Scholiast
 étaîpò tò̀ quvítך кaì фíдov. The ex-
pression tò $\chi$ seems to have puzzled the editors of the scholia, but it stands for "the epithet $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \nu$. ." And the Scholiast means that the epithet is employed for the purpose of showing that éraipav is to be taken in a good, and not in a bad, sense.
703. $\tau \grave{a}$ бà $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a]$ For Athens, under the influence of her demagogues, was gradually becoming a democracy of that unhappy type, in which the actions of the State are directed not by settled law, but by the ill-considered and offhand "resolutions" of the popular

 in a well-governed state), кर́pıov $\delta^{\prime}$ єiva

 $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ó vópos. $\sigma v \mu \beta a i v \epsilon \iota$ ס̀́ тoûto סıà toùs $\delta \eta \mu \pi \gamma \omega \gamma$ oús.-Politics iv. $4, \S \S 3,4$. Some instances of these hasty resolutions are given in Eccl. 813. But a more deplorable instance is that of the trial of the victorious generals after the battle


$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$.


XO. Г〒. $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu v ́ v \mu \epsilon \kappa \rho v ́ \psi \eta$ s ó $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ какóv.
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\beta \iota \nu \eta \tau i \omega \omega \mu \nu$, ̂̂ $\beta \rho \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu$ то̂̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$.
XO. Гॅ. ì $\mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{\varepsilon}$.


 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \gamma \in \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\pi \grave{\eta} \nu$
of Arginusae ; when those who wished them to be tried according to law were shouted down, rò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \theta$ os $\epsilon^{\beta} \beta o ́ a, ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ \nu$
 $\delta$ å $\beta$ oúd $\eta$ rat (Xen. Hell. i. 7. 12), the faniliar language of demagogues in every age. In the present case we do not know the nature of the $\psi \eta \phi i \sigma \mu a \tau a$ which had roused the hostility of the Boootians, but no doubt they were consequent on the renewal of the War. 705. тov̂ $\sigma \kappa є \lambda$ дous $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ] As she says this, Stratyllis catches the Coryphaeus by the leg and topples him over. After: these four little skirmishes there is a pause in the action of the Play, and an interval of five clear days (infra 881) is supposed to have elapsed between this line and the next. Had there been a Parabasis, this would have been the place for it. For this is the turning-point of the drama. Hitherto the women have been revolting, and establishing themselves in the Acropolis; but now the spell is beginning
-to work; the separation of the sexes has become intolerable to both; the men are ready to yield, and to make peace with Sparta on any terms; and the only danger is that the women may anticipate them in yielding. It is this danger which brings Lysistrata out upon the stage, with erident signs of perturbation and anxiety.
706. ävagoa птázous к.т.ג.] The Scho-
 does not appear whether this statement is confined to the first two lines or should be extended to what follows. Again on 713 he says $\epsilon \in \xi$ Eíptríoov. Anyhow it is plain that the first twelve lines of this dialogue are either borrowed or parodied from Euripides, or are a happy imitation of his style.
 liast. It means picking out or clearing out $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{o} \pi i_{j \nu}$ which had been partially filled up. In the Classical Review xi. 415 , Mr. G. F. Hill, after stating that Pan's grotto, mentioned in the

$\kappa \alpha \tau \in ́ \lambda \alpha \beta o \nu \hat{\eta}$ тоv̂ Пavós ধ́ $\sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha u ̉ \lambda i ́ o \nu$,   $\eta ้ \delta \eta \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ठı $\alpha \nu 00 \nu \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega$ $\epsilon i s{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \rho \sigma \iota \lambda o ́ \chi o u \chi \theta \in \grave{s} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \iota \chi \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \pi \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha$. $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \phi \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{i v}$ оїкаסє  $\alpha u ̋ \tau \eta ~ \sigma v ̀ ~ \pi o ̂ ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon i ̂ ~ ; ~$

next line and infra 911 , had been identified with "a group of passages in the rock on the north-west face of the Acropolis, to which two openings admit," goes on to say, "In front of one of the two openings into Pan's grotto begins a steep path leading up the face of the rock to a doorway (now built up) through the Acropolis wall. This is the $o \pi i \dot{\eta}$ through which one of Lysistrata's women passed, Aristoph. Lys. 720." For "passed" Mr. Hill should have written "was trying to pass"; but I assume that the identification is correct. Only I imagine that there could lave been no actual "doorway" in Lysistrata's time. The expression $\delta \iota a \lambda$ é $\gamma \boldsymbol{v o v a}$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ỏn $\eta \nu$ seems to imply the clearing out of some irregular or overgrown aperture ; and the term $\dot{o} \pi i$ itself would naturally here, as in Wasps 350,352 , point to a diminutive hole, through which the woman would find it difficult to creep.
 wriggling doun [the rope] fiom the pulley. $\tau \rho \circ \chi^{i \lambda i c}$ (said to be derived from $\tau \rho o \chi^{\circ} s$ and $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \omega$ ) is the roller or pulley round which the rope is wound whereby buckets are lowered into, or raised from,
 $\delta_{\imath} \imath^{\prime}$ ổ $i \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$. - Scholiast. $\epsilon i \lambda v \sigma \pi \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ is to wriggle or crawl like a worm or a snake;
 каi oi $\sigma к \dot{\omega} \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon s$.-Scholiast. The woman is letting herself down from the top of the Acropolis by means of a wellrope.
723. av̉rouoдô̂бav] In a seuse they are all deserting. The special application of the term to this third woman seems to imply that she was on active service, a scout perhaps, or a sentry on outpost duty, who was deserting to the enemy. The fourth woman is attempting to fly over the wall $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \sigma \tau \rho o v \theta o \hat{v}$ on sparrow bach, $\pi a \rho$ ' öбov, says the Scholiast, $\tau \dot{o}$
 here is not the ostrich, as Florent Chretien supposes, but the pert and forward little sparrow which was accounted the most amorous of birds. oi $\sigma \tau \rho o v \theta o i$
 46) ; and he adds that Aphrodite herself is said by Sappho є́ $\pi i$ $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \cup \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\chi є i \sigma \theta a{ }^{\circ}$
 The passage of Sappho to which he refers is from her well-known hymn to Aphrodite composed in the metre to which she gives her name;

## ム $\Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$



v่тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma^{\prime} \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha к о \pi \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$.

$\Lambda \Upsilon . \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \nu \nu v, \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta \eta!\rho \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\eta}$.

 ผ̈кєєs $\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho 0 \cup \boldsymbol{0}$ oí.

Cf. Cicero, de Finibus ii. 23 ; Pliny x. 52 ; Beaumont and Fletcher's Philaster iii. 1, \&c. A bird which was harnessed to Aphrodite's car might well serve as a steed for a lovesick woman; and if Trygaeus could fly to heaven on a beetle, she might well hope to fly over the Acropolis wall on a sparrow. But, as they are rising for the flight, Lysistrata pulls her down (кaтє́ซтаба) by her hair. The word $\mu$ iav at the end of the line is peculiar, but it seems used in much the same way as $\epsilon i$ is in Birds 1292.
725. $\chi \theta^{\prime}$ 's $]$ They had held out heroically for four days, see the Commentary on 705 supra; but then the limits of their endurance had been reached, and they must, by hook or by crook, escape from an insupportable position. Orsilochus, the Scholiast tells us, was а ториоßобко́s.
 regular phrase. oi $\mu \hat{\mu} \nu^{\prime} A \theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o o ~ \pi \rho o ф a ́ \sigma c a s$
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\rho} \rho a \nu$ è $\lambda \kappa \epsilon \epsilon$ s.-Herondas v. 5. During the ensuing scene women keep crossing
the stage, coming out of the Acropolis. Lysistrata stops, and interrogates, them all.
729. oíкоє . . . Mì $\dot{\eta} \sigma t a]$ The "Milesia vellera" were highly esteemed in the ancient world, Frogs 542; Cicero in Verrem, Orat. vi. 34; Virgil's Georgics iii. 306, iv. 334 ; Pliny viii. 73 ; Martial viii. 28. 10. I suspect that the proverb оı́кou тà Mı $\lambda_{\eta} \sigma \iota a$ was derived from this line, though the proverb collectorsBodleian 726, Zenobius v. 57 (Gaisford, pp. 88, 362), Alexandrine 1, and so Suidas s. v. oíroo-give it an entirely different origin. It was used, they say, of persons who make a display of luxury out of place. And they explain it by alleging that when Aristagoras came to Sparta, seeking aid against the Persians (Hdt. v. 49-51), he was clothed in sumptuous Milesian raiment, whereupon an Ephor called out oikot tà Mi M $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma a$, keep your Milesian luxury for home. But many of the proverbs are really witticisms of Aristophanes, with whom the collectors do not seem to have been well acquainted.
732. örov סđaтєтá⿱aca'] having only just spread the wools out over the couch; to get rid of the moths, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$.

Г؟. В. та́ $\alpha \iota \nu ’$ є่ $\grave{\omega}, \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \alpha ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~ \alpha ́ \mu о р \gamma i ́ \delta o s, ~$

$\Lambda \Upsilon$.

$\alpha$ и̋т $\eta$ 'тє́ $\rho \alpha$

 $\chi{ }^{\omega} \rho \epsilon \iota \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho$ '.
$\Gamma \Upsilon . \mathrm{B}$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \Phi \omega \sigma \phi \rho_{\rho} \rho \nu$







$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho \circ \nu$.
736. "גотоv] unpeeled, with the bark still on; from $\lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \omega$, to peel. The flax filaments are covered with a thin bark, which is removed by steeping and bruising the plant, and then drawing it through a machine armed with steel teeth like a comb. This latter process, which is called hackling or heckling, removes the rotted fragments of the bark and separates the fibres into distinct threads for spinning. As to $i \mu o p \gamma i s$, the fine flax brought from the island of Amorgos (near Naxos), see supra 150.
739. àmofeipar'] having removed the $b a \%$, that is, having heckled the flax. סŋлоî $\delta \dot{\ell}$, as the Scholiast observes, каi тò какє́ $ф$ фатоу as infra 953.
742. ¿̉ $\pi$ ótv' Ei入ciOvia] The same invocation occurs in Eccl. 369. '̇ $\pi i \sigma \chi \in s \tau 0 \hat{v}$ тóкou, stay my tratail. So Birds 1200 érírдes roû ipópor', stay your course.
743. öбtov] a place where I may lawfully give birth to a child, öroov eis токєтò, Scholiast. ö oftov is the opposite to iepòv, being applied to a place or thing which may be used without profanation for the ordinary purposes of life. In Scotland it is, or recently was, common to see advertisements that a coach will run, or an exhibition be open, " on every lauful day," meaning every day not kept sacred to divine uses. The Acropolis was not öroov cis roxerò', not merely because, as the Scholiast observes, $\pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o s ~ \dot{\eta}$ $\theta$ єòs, but because it was all holy ground (see on 345 supra), one sacred temple, and, as Bergler says, " in nullo templo fas erat parere; unde Euripides reprehenditur in Ran. 1080 quod tragoediis suis fecisset tiktov́gas $\epsilon \downarrow \nu$ roís iєpoîs."
751. тìv iєpùv кuvìv] By the sacred

## へ 〒ミIミTPATH

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \circ \nu \dot{\omega} S \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
Tiva $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu \lambda$ 白 $\gamma \epsilon \iota s$ ；






Гॅ．Г．
${ }_{i}^{\prime \prime} \alpha \alpha \mu^{\prime} \in i \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o \iota$

$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha \cup ́ \tau \eta \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho l \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha i ́$.


helmet，Lysistrata means，in my judge－ ment，the great bronze helmet of Athene про́ $\mu$ хооя．The article shows that this is the helmet of Athene，and not some offering taken from her treasury，as Paulmier and Enger suppose．And although，apparently，Lysistrata had not actually seen the helmet when she pronounced it to be $\chi^{a \lambda \kappa i o \nu \tau \iota}$ ，yet we must，I think，understand that her sur－ mise was correct，and therefore that it was not，when exposed to view，dis－ covered to be the golden helmet of the Пap $\theta$ évos．The circumstance that the bronze helmet was irremovable would not affect its removability in a Comic Play． And Paulmier＇sobjection that the bronze statue was of colossal size is sufficiently answered by Bothe，who refers to 755 as showing that such must also have been the case with the helmet in question．
 набı тіктоvolv．－Scholiast．She means that she will nest in the helmet like a pigeon；not that pigeons really nested in the helmet of the про́дахоя．The
 mean while I am still in the Acropolis．


 liast．The $\dot{a} \mu \phi \iota \delta \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \mathrm{a}$ or＂running－ round＂ceremony consisted in carrying the child about the hearth at a rumning pace；a great feast was held；see the lines of Ephippus cited by Athenaeus is． 10 （p． 370 C ）；and presents were given by relativesand friends．In the Theaetetus， chap．15，Socrates compares himself to a midwife，and the dogma of which Theae－ tetus has，by his manipulation，been delivered，to a new－born child；and


$\Gamma \Upsilon$ ．Е．Є＇$\gamma \grave{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu \gamma \lambda \alpha u \kappa \omega ิ \nu \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu ’ \alpha \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \iota$
$\tau \alpha i ̂ 今 ~ \alpha ’ \rho и \pi \nu i ́ \alpha \iota \sigma \iota ~ к а к к \alpha \beta ı \xi о \nu \sigma \omega ิ \nu ~ \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon$ í．
$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．$\grave{\omega} \delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ \nu \iota \alpha \iota, \pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ．
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 But as to the day on which the ceremony took place the authorities are not agreed． The Scholiast here，as we see，places it on the tenth day after birth（which was undoubtedly the name－day，Birds 922， 923）；and Hesyehius，s．v．，also identifies it with the name－day．But the same
 places it on the seventh day，whilst the Platonic Scholiast and Suidas，s．v．，place it on the fifth，the former identifying it with，and the latter distinguishing it from，the name－day．It seems most probable that the «̀ $\mu ф \delta \rho о$ оии took place on the mame－day，thatis，on the tenth day after birth：for we know that on that day a great festival was held（Birds 493， 494，and the note there）；and it isunlikely that there were two festivals held within a few days of each other in honour of the child．
759．Tòv ó $\phi\llcorner\nu$ ］This is the famous ser－ pent which dwelt in the Erechtheium，
and was accounted the home－keeper；oi－ кoupòs，and Warder of the Temple itself
 По入九áoos，Hesychius s．v．oikovpòs，Eusta－ thius at Od．i．357），and also，generally， the Warder of the Acropolis（ $\delta$ фúda т $\bar{s}$＇＇Akpoтódıos Hdt．viii．41，Hesychius ubi supra）．Every month a honey－cake， $\mu \in \lambda_{\iota \tau o v ̃ \tau \tau a, ~ w a s ~ s e t ~ o u t ~ f o r ~ i t s ~ c o n s u m p-~}^{\text {－}}$ tion，and was regularly consumed． But when Xerxes was approaching Athens，and it was desirable that all Athenians should quit the city，the priestess amnounced that the cake had not been touched，and at the instigation of Themistocles declared that by this sign it was evident that the divine pro－ tection had left the city，and that the citizens too were intended to leave it． Lysistrata＇s young friend should have been flattered at obtaining an actual sight of the serpent，which apparently nobody else in historic times had ever： been privileged to see．

$\mu \grave{~} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu^{\cdot}$ '̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{o}$ Х Х $\eta \sigma \mu$ òs oúтобí.
$\Gamma \Upsilon$. $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma$ ’ $\alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau o ̀ \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ö $\tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.


 Z $\in \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \dot{\nu} \psi \iota \beta \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \tau \eta s$,
$\Gamma \Upsilon$. є́ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \omega$ катакєוбó $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \bar{s}$;
$\Lambda \Upsilon . \quad \vec{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \omega ิ \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha i ̉ \alpha \nu \alpha \pi \tau \omega ิ \nu \tau \alpha \iota \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \nu ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$
 775

pent was legendary, the owls were real. There would doultless be always a large colony of Athene's birds dwelling about her Temples in the Acropolis. How common they were in Athens generally is evidenced by the proverb $\gamma \lambda a \hat{\text { on' }}$ ' 'A $\theta \dot{\eta}$ '$\nu a \xi \epsilon$ : see Birds 301 aud the note there. And about a century ago Dodwell observed that the $\gamma \lambda a \hat{v} \xi$ is "the most common owl at Athens, and is very small and tame." And he tells us that these birds "have a particular note, of which their Greek name Koukoubagia is perfectly expressive"; Travels ii. 43. Morris (British Birds i. 164) says that the note" resembles the syllables keu, keu, keewit, or koowit." Aristophanes in Birds 261 imitates it by кıккаßav̂.
762. тєратєขนát $\omega \nu$ ] tricks, impostures.

 translates this Sic autem habet oraculum. But I think that oitooi is employed $\delta_{\epsilon \iota \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ s, ~ L y s i s t r a t a ~ p r o d u c i n g ~ t h e ~ d o c u-~}^{\text {- }}$ ment which the women then call upon
her to read. Cf. Birds 1029. The oracle would naturally be in writing, like Cleon's oracles in the opening scene of the Knights.
770. $\left.\chi^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \delta \delta^{\circ} \nu \epsilon s\right]$ The women are represented by the swallows, and the men by the hoopoes; in accordance with the old Attic legend in which the swallow was Procne (or Philomela) pursued by her husband, Tereus the hoopoe. In the name $\phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ (the phallus personified, Ach. 263) there is an allusion to another bird, the $\phi a \lambda \eta p i s$ or $\phi a \lambda a p i s$, our coot ; see Birds 565 and the note there. The "holy Temple" is, of course, the Acropolis. See on 345 supra.

 ${ }_{\text {oft }}$, Scholiast; who adds that the woman,

774. $\left.\eta^{\circ} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta a \sigma \tau \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu\right]$ if they split up into factions; if they do not hold firmly together.
 $\pi$ торикผ́тєрог.-Scholiast. Theirconduct





ХО. ГЕ. $\mu \hat{v} \theta_{o \nu}$
 $\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ै \tau \iota ~ \pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \omega ै \nu . ~$ oüт $\omega$ s
 785

will prove the truth of Lysistrata's complaint, supra 137.
 us not weary of, give over, our exertions. a $\pi \in \epsilon \pi \epsilon i v$ is to give up, cry off, Peace 306. So à $\pi \epsilon \rho \frac{v}{\sigma} \iota \iota$ supra 165.
780. With this line the women retire into the Acropolis, and we hear of no further attempt on their part to desert the cause. Henceforth the overtures come from the men.
781. $\left.\mu i \theta_{o \nu}\right]$ The stage being empty, the two Semichoruses in the orchestra again turn their attention to each other. But even on them the spell is beginning to work, and they are not so desperately in earnest as they were before. They now content themselves with telling each a little nursery tale reflecting on the opposite sex; and their language and ideas are studiously simple and childish. ดütcs, like our "Once upon a time," was the recognized commencement of such a tale as they are about to tell. See Wasps 1182 and the Commentary there.


 $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ àvठि $\bar{\nu} \nu$ रopòs iбторєi.-Scholiast. Atalanta was so beautiful that men came from all lands to woo her; so fleet of foot that no man could outrun her; so averse to men that she would marry no suitor unless he could win a race against her, and if he failed, he must die. Many failed, and died. Melanion won the race, and so won Atalanta, by dropping in the course three golden apples which she, being a woman, must needs turn aside to pick up. The story is told by Apollodorus iii. 9. 6; and is familiar to English readers as the first tale in Morris's "Earthly Paradise." Atalanta was a hater of men, but we nowhere hear of Melanion as a hater of women, and the Scholiast evidently thinks that the story was concocted by the old men for this particular occasion. That he dwelt for some period in the forests all agree, and

$\kappa \underset{q}{\hat{a}} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \lambda \alpha \gamma_{0} \theta \dot{\eta} \rho \in \iota$

$\kappa \alpha i ̂ \kappa u ́ v \alpha \tau \iota \nu ’ \in i ̂ X \in \nu$ ， кои̉кє́тı к $\alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ оíк $\alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ú $\pi \grave{o} \mu i ́ \sigma o v s$.
ou゙т $\omega$

 795 $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mathrm{M} \in \lambda \alpha \nu i ́ \omega \nu o s$ oi $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o \nu \in s$.
XO．ГЕ．$\beta$ ои́ло $\mu \alpha i ́ \sigma \epsilon, \gamma \rho \alpha \hat{v}, \kappa v ́ \sigma \alpha l$ ，

the simple statement of the old men， worded as in a nursery tale，that＂he had a dog，＂is illustrated by Xenophon＇s reference to him as a mighty hunter， fond of dogs and the chase，кขv⿳⿵人 каi кvขnүєбi $\omega \nu$ ，De Venatione i． 5 and 7. But according to the Roman poets he endured the hardships of a homeless life not from his hatred of women，but for love of Atalanta，and won from her in return that pity which is akin to love； Ovid，Art of Love ii．185－97；Propertius i．1．9－15．

795．ì $\left.\mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ ~^{\prime}\right]$ ràs $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ k a s ~ \beta \delta \delta \epsilon \lambda \nu \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon ~ \epsilon a . ~$ The words oi $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho o y \in s$ are in apposition to $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon$ is．
797．ßoúdoнає к．т．入．］The Coryphaeus winds up hislittle apologue by proposing to kiss Stratyllis ；but that this does not indicate a more friendly feeling on his part is shown by his still addressing her with the offensive appellation $\grave{\dot{\omega}} \gamma \rho a \hat{v}$ ， and his real object is disclosed by his next words．Under pretence of kissing her，he meant to approach close to her，

кảvactivas خaктioal，and to lift his foot and kick her．And it is plain from what follows that he made as though he would carry this threat into execution．
 never eat onions again．＂Non ergo ce－ pam comedes．＂－Bergler．This is a threat of the same character as the iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$ фáyn $\sigma \kappa \dot{\rho} \rho o \delta a$ of 689 supra；and here， as there，the Scholiast＇s explanation is os $\pi$ o $\lambda \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ ．So in Peace 1129 the Chorus，rejoicing in the advent of Peace， congratulate themselves on being quit тирой каi кро $\mu \nu \dot{v} \omega \nu$ ．The Scholiast gives an alternative interpretation $\kappa \lambda a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \kappa a i$ $\chi \omega$ ìs кро $\mu \dot{\mu} \omega \nu$ ，treating ${ }^{\text {é } \delta \epsilon \iota}$ as coming
 be in want of；＂there is no need of an onion to make you weep；you will weep without that．＂This is accepted by several commentators，and is represented in my translation ；but it requires the substitution of $\kappa \rho о \mu \mu \nu \dot{\nu} \nu$ or $\kappa \rho о \mu \mu \nu \dot{\omega} \nu$ for ${ }_{\kappa \rho \rho ́ \mu \mu \nu o \nu ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ f o u n d ~ i n ~ a l l ~ t h e ~ M S S . ~}^{\text {M }}$ and gives a satisfactory meaning．

XO. Гॅ. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \lambda \delta \chi \mu \eta \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ форєîs.
ХО. ГЕ. к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \mathrm{M} \nu \rho \omega \nu i \delta^{\delta} \eta s \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$
$\tau \rho \alpha \chi \grave{v} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \in \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \mu \in \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi v-$
 ôs ठ̀ каえ Фор $\mu i ́ \omega \nu$.


800. 入ó $\chi \mu \eta \nu$ ] $\lambda o ́ \chi \mu \eta$, which properly means a copse or thicket (Birds 202), is here used of the dense growth of hair visible on the veteran's uplifted leg. This would be particularly obnoxious to Athenian women who were careful to eradicate every superfluous hair on their own persons. The word is used in a similar sense in Eccl. 61, where the Woman who has been training herself to pass off as a man describes her armpits as $\lambda$ ó $\chi \mu \eta \boldsymbol{s} \delta a \sigma v \tau$ épas. See the note there.
801. Mvpevions] The peculiarity to which the women object is a sign of manly strength and hardihood; and the Men reply that in this respect they resemble the noblest of their race. Of all the national heroes who flourished after the termination of the Persian War, Myronides-whose remarkable victories (1) over the Corinthians, and (2) over the Boeotians, B. C. 459-456, extended the Athenian supremacy by land to the utmost limits it ever at-tained-and Phormio - whose brilliant achievements in the Corinthian gulf at the commencement of the Peloponnesian War established the Athenian supremacy by sea-appear to be the two who
appealed most strongly to the mind of Aristophanes. Myronides is mentioned again Eccl. 304, where he is styled o $\gamma \in \nu \nu a ́ \delta a s$; and Phormio has already been mentioned in Knights 562, Peace 348.
802. $\mu \in \lambda a ́ \mu \pi v \gamma o s]$ This also was esteemed a sign of robust manhood; $\mu \in \lambda a ́ \mu \pi v \gamma^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ à $\nu \delta \rho \in i ́ o s .-H e s y c h i u s . ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda a \mu-$ $\pi v ́ \gamma o v{ }^{*}$ àv $\delta \rho \epsilon i o u s .-P h o t i u s . ~ I t ~ w a s ~ a ~$ special epithet of Heracles, and all the grammarians and proverb-collectors tell the well-known story of "Heracles and the two rascals." The two had been accustomed to prey upon the passers by ; and their mother used to warn them to desist, lest they should one day meet with $\tau \iota v o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda a \mu \pi u ́ \gamma o v$ (merely meaning as Zenobius v. 10, Gaisford, Paroem, p. 342 explains it $\tau \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \delta p e i o v ~ к а \grave{~ i ~} \sigma \chi \cup \rho \circ \bar{u}$ ), and get the worst of it. However they continued their practices and became such a pest to the neighbourhood that Heracles had to suppress them. He tied their feet together, and suspended them, head downwards, on a pole which he carried over his shoulder. Hanging in this position they had a clear view of his "nigerrimas nates." Remembering their mother's warning and seeing how

## $\tau \widehat{̣} \mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu i \omega \nu$.

Tí $\mu \omega \nu$<br> $\sigma \kappa \omega ́ \lambda o l \sigma \iota \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 S$, 'Eрıvúos ả $\pi$ ор $\rho \omega \dot{\xi}$. oûtos ${ }^{\prime} \rho$ ’ ò Tí $\mu \omega \nu$ [ $\left.\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \cos \dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\right]$ $\stackrel{\omega}{\varphi}^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \bar{\partial} \mu i ́ \sigma o u s$ 810

completely it was fulfilled, not only in the sense in which she spoke it, but also in its most literal sense, they both suddenly burst into fits of laughter. Heracles, surprised at their mirth, inquired what the joke might be. They told him, and he, being always at heart a good fellow, joined in the laughter, and fiually let them go. The incident is versified by Charles Lamb in a poem of no great merit included in his Eliana. Here the expression means that Myronides was a very Heracles to his foes.
806. àvit̀є́छal] to say in opposition to. I too, says the Woman, am fain to tell you a little tale, as a counterblast to your Melanion.
808. Tí $\mu \omega \nu{ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \iota s$ ] Therewas one Timon. She speaks in this vague way because she is making up a nursery story; for at this time the name of the famous misanthrope was very well known at Athens. See Birds 1549 and the Commentary there. The strange phraseology in which he is here described is probably intended to burlesque some expressions in the Movóтротos of Phrynichus, a Comedy which competed with the "Birds." The only fragment of
that Play bearing on Timon which has reached us is full of quaint epithets,




Here áíopuros seems to mean without settled habitation; homeless. тà $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi a$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s$ encompassed as to his per-
 trable thorns. $\sigma \kappa \omega \bar{\lambda} o s$ is said to mean a thorn by all the grammarians, Hesychius, Photius, Eustathius and the Scholia minora at Iliad xiii. 562, 564, the Scholiast here, and Suidas; though they also give as an alternative a pointed stake; and that seems to be the sense in which Homer used it. àmop $\grave{\omega} \xi$ is an offshoot, a chip of the Erinyes.
814. into $\mu i \sigma o v s]$ The words are introduced in a somewhat unconnected manner, but Stratyllis is adopting the language of the Men, supra 792. It is apparent from the strophe that a line, consisting of a paeon and a spondee, has fallen out either before or after the present line. The words in brackets are inserted merely to complete the sense and the metre, and not as being really what Aristophanes wrote.

|  oข゙т $\omega$ | 815 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\kappa \in i v o s \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} \mathrm{~S} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ |  |
|  |  |
|  | 820 |

XO．$\Gamma \Upsilon$ ．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \nu \alpha ́ \theta o \nu \beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \omega ;$
XO．ГЕ．$\quad \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{s}$ 解 $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$ ．
XO．Гॅ．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \rho \circ \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ；
ХО．ГЕ．тò̀ $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \nu \delta \rho o \nu$＇́к $\kappa \alpha \nu \epsilon i \hat{s}$ ．



815．ảvópávı $\pi$ ovnpois］Neither here， nor three lines below，is the epithet $\pi$ movpoi intended to restrict the univer－ sality of the word $\not \approx v \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ．If the Scho－
 rou＇s mopppoùs means that Timon did not shun all men，it is certainly wrong． To the speaker all men are $\pi$ оддроi．
 ¿え $\lambda \theta \hat{\omega} s \epsilon_{\epsilon} \phi \mathrm{o} \beta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ．－Scholiast．This is not very illuminating，but I quote it as showing that even the old gram－ marians did not know whether the Coryphacus is speaking in jest or in earnest．

824．ढ̉кфаveîs］you will expose．тòv $\sigma$ á－
 In the woman＇s final retort the words oú кouij\zh7ๆv are intended to contrast with the $\lambda$ ó $\chi \mu \eta \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}$ of line 800 ．And as to $\dot{i} \pi \epsilon \psi\left(\lambda \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu\right.$ т $\hat{̣}$ 入 $\lambda \chi_{\chi} \nu \omega$ see Praxagora＇s address to the $\lambda \dot{\chi} \chi$ vos Eccl．12，13．a a $\pi$ o $^{-}$ $\psi i \lambda \dot{\omega} \omega$ means to denude of hair．Cf． Thesm．538，and lines 227， 232 of the same Play．

829．iov่，iov̀ $\gamma^{v \nu a i k \epsilon s] ~ T h e ~ p a u s e ~ w h i c h ~}$ ensues is broken by a cry from the battlements of the Acropolis．Hitherto the whole business of the stage has been conducted outside the Propylaea． If Lysistrata wishes to parley with the Men，she comes out of the Acropolis； when the parley is over，she retires into the Acropolis，and so on．But now for the first and only time in the Play we see the defenders not outside the Pro－ pylaea，but standing on the wall itself， and thence conversing with those out－ side．It is possible that during the little interchange of amenities which has just passed between the two Cho－ ruses，the Acropolis had，by means of the machine called the $\epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \sigma \tau \rho a$ ，been pushed forward on the stage，whence at the conclusion of the scene，perhaps during the final banter between the Choruses，infra 1014－42，it is with－ drawn in the same manner．Any－ how Lysistrata is now visible standing on the wall，at first alone，and calling

> т̀̀̀ кон $\eta \dot{\tau} \eta \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \psi \iota-$ $\lambda \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda \nu \bar{\chi} \nu \nu \omega$.
 $\tau \alpha \chi^{\epsilon} \omega$.

$\Lambda \Upsilon$. ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho^{\prime} \not \approx \nu \delta \rho^{\prime} \dot{\circ} \rho \hat{\omega} \pi \rho o \sigma \iota o ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0 \nu$,



$\pi 0 \hat{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \grave{\nu}$, ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$ є́ $\sigma \tau i ́ ;$
835
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \eta$ § $\mathrm{X} \lambda o ́ \eta s$.
to her friends inside, some of whom immediately join her. A man is seen approaching in a frenzied state; with him is a servant carrying a baby.
831. $\pi a \rho a \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu]$ ina franticstate.

 the mystic frenzies of Aphrodite.
833. Kútгои к.т...] Cythera and Cyprus were Aphrodite's favourite haunts, the scenes of her earliest appearance "when she came From barren deeps to conquer

 ïкєто Kítгоу.-Hesiod, Theog. 192, 193.
 Há申ò (Homeric Hymn to Aphrodite i. 58), whence she is called in the same
 סєovga. And of all her appellations none were more common than $\theta_{\epsilon a}$ K $\dot{u}$ $\pi \rho \iota s$ (infra 1290, cf. supra 551), Пафіа 'Aфродít (supra 556), and Kv $\quad$ ' $\rho \in \epsilon a(\mathrm{~K} v$ $\pi \rho 0 \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ K $u \theta$ ép $\rho a \sim$, Hymn to Aphrodite iii. 1, Theognis 1386), or in Latin "Diva
potens Cypri," Regina Paphi," and the like. But it is rather surprising to find the island coupled, as here, with one of
 ovfa. She is the Goddess on whose aid and influence the women rely; the appearance of Cinesias is a proof that she is working actively in their favour; and she has only to go on in the same way (that is, inflaming the men with love), and success is assured. The
 hoped she would act, supra 551-4. And accordingly at the close of the Play when Peace is established, they call it

835. $\mathrm{x} \lambda \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ] by the chapel of Demeter Chloe, which was very near the Propylaea. Pausanias (i. 22) notices it just as

 he says, when describing that locality. See the Commentary on Thesm. 300. Demeter, who was really rì exalted into an Olympian divinity, was called xaón

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

$\Gamma \Upsilon . A . \stackrel{\otimes}{\omega} \nu \grave{\eta} \Delta \hat{\imath}$ є́ $\sigma \tau i ̀ \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$. тís ка́ $\sigma \tau i ́ \nu \pi o \tau \epsilon ;$
$\Lambda \Upsilon$. ір $\hat{\tau} \epsilon \cdot \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \varsigma \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$;
Mr.

$\nu \grave{~} \Delta i ́ a$,


 $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \xi \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu, \kappa \alpha i ̀ \phi i \lambda \epsilon i ้ \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \grave{\eta} \phi i \lambda \epsilon i \nu$,

Mr. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, \pi o \iota \eta \sigma \omega \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon$.
$\kappa \alpha i \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ Є่ $\gamma \omega$
$\sigma v \nu \eta \pi \epsilon \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma \omega \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 v \sigma \alpha ́ \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha \delta i$, $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \xi v \sigma \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ тov̂tov. $\dot{\alpha}^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
KI. оí $\mu о \iota \kappa \alpha \kappa о \delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu$, oîos ó $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu$ ós $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} X \in \iota$
after the fresh green cornshoots, $\epsilon^{\prime k} \tau \bar{\eta} s$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \omega \nu$ (qy. карл⿳⺈ $\nu$ ) $\chi^{\lambda o ́ n s, ~ s a y s ~ t h e ~}$ Scholiast on Oed. Col. 1671.
838. Kıvøoias] The Scholiast supposes that this was the notorious dithyrambic poet, who has already made his appearance in the "Birds," and was a perpetual object of ridicule to the Athenian wits. See Birds 1372 and the Commentary there. But Aristophanes would hardly have brought the dithyrambist on the stage without making some allusion to his musical and personal absurdities. The Scholiast himself says тétaixe $\pi$ apà rò $\begin{gathered}\text { кıveiv. And Bergler }\end{gathered}$ seems to be right in saying that Kıvrias and Muppiup are not intended as names of real characters, but are simply concocted from $\kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \bar{\nu}$ and $\mu$ и́prov (infra 1004) respectively.
 (five lines below) $\sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ are all metaphors from the culinary art to denote
the process of roasting, tormenting and making a fool of, a person; and $\eta \pi \epsilon \rho \rho_{-}$ $\pi \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \nu$ is the regular term for describing the cajoleries of a lover. This little speech is explained by Suidas (s.v. $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon-$ ролєv́є $(\nu)$ rather more fully than it is by




844. ȧ $\pi^{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ The other women now retire, leaving Lysistrata alone on the wall to parley with Cinesias below.
 was a well-known form of torture at Athens, Peace 452, Frogs 620, Plutus 875. According to Plutarch (Nicias ad fin.) it was the punishment inflicted, about a year and a half before the date of the Lysistrata, on the unhappy barber who, having spread about the news of the Sicilian catastrophe, was unable to produce his authority for that state-


$\Lambda \Upsilon$. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \eta_{\rho} ; \quad$ KI. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta ̀ \rho \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$.


KI. $\quad \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \nu v \nu$ '́ $\kappa \kappa \alpha ́ \alpha \lambda \epsilon \sigma o ́ v ~ \mu o \iota ~ M \nu \rho \rho i ́ \nu \eta \nu . ~$

KI. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta ̀ \rho$ є́кєì $\eta s$, Пaıovíd $\eta s$ Kı $\nu \eta \sigma i \alpha s$.

$\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ '̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ oú $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \nu \omega ́ \nu v \mu o \nu$.


 $\beta \lambda o v ̂ \tau o ~ \pi o \lambda i ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu, ~ \epsilon ̌ \omega s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \eta ̄ \lambda \theta o \nu ~ o i ~ t o ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~$
 ó Nıкias é $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\partial} \theta \eta \pi a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ â $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ́ k \iota s ~ a u ̉ r o i ̂ s ~$ $\pi \rho o \in i \pi \epsilon \nu$. According to Andocides (De Mysteriis 43, 44) Peisander proposed, amidst general applause, à áßı$\beta$ á $\zeta \in \iota \nu \epsilon \in \pi i$ ròv $\tau \rho 0 \chi$ д̀ $\nu 42$ persons, some of them senators. For the metaphorical use of the word to describe the torments and paroxysms of love, Bergler refers to Plautus, Cist. ii. 1. 4 "Iactor, crucior, agitor, stimulor, versor in amoris rota miser."
 of course, no sentries stationed outside the Acropolis, but Lysistrata, being, as it were, the commander of a besieged fortress, is careful to use the strict, though unsuitable, military terms. In the same spirit she calls herself, two lines below, an $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \sigma к о ́ т о s . ~$
852. Matovíōs] Matovióat was really the name of an Attic deme, belonging
to the tribe Leontis. See Harpocration s.vv. Пatavteîs kai Пatovídu. But here, just as Kıvクбias involves the idea of кьขєì, so does Пaıovións the idea of $\pi a i \epsilon \iota \nu$, a verb of the same signification.
 Cinesias. This was the established formula with which lovers, when absent, toasted and pledged each other. And this they did, not merely, as our custom is, over their wine, but also on various other occasions. Cf. Thesm. 403, 404. It was in this sense that the dying Theramenes, playing cottabus-fashion with the dregs of his hemlock, ex-
 my beautiful Critias, Xen. Hell. ii. 3 ad fin.; Cicero, Tusc. Disp. i. 40. He speaks of his enemy as a lover of his love ; for кадо̀ is the lover's recognized epithet. See Ach. 144, Wasps 97-9. We may be reminded of Romeo's exclamation "Here's to my love" as he drank the poison.


 öтı $\lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha ̈ \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ K ı \nu \eta \sigma i ́ \alpha \nu . ~$
KI．í $\theta_{\iota} \nu \nu \nu, \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma o \nu$ aư $\eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．
$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．$\quad \tau i ́$ oûv $; \delta \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau i ́ \mu o l$ ；


$\Lambda \Upsilon$ ．ф＇́ $\rho \in \nu v \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha ́ \sigma o l$ ．
KI．
$\tau \alpha \chi^{v} \nu v \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu v$,


 єỉval סoкєî $\mu$ ol $\pi$ áv $\tau \alpha$ ，тoîs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ бıтíols

$\mathrm{M} \Upsilon$ ．$\phi \iota \lambda \bar{\omega} \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega}$＇$\gamma \grave{\omega}$ тoûтov．ả $\lambda \lambda$＇oủ $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ 870


857．$\hat{\omega} \pi \rho \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu]$ We are not intended，as Bothe thinks，to supply є́кка́лєєод аи̇т $\dot{\eta} \nu$ ．The words are an ejacu－ lation of love and pleasure drawn from his excited passions by Lysistrata＇s grati－ fying intelligence．

860．$\left.\lambda \bar{\eta} \rho o{ }^{\text {és }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \tau u ̈ \lambda \lambda a\right]$ That all the rest are mere trash by the side of，that is， compared with，Cinesias．See Frogs 809.

863．rov̀ $\theta^{\prime}$ ］The Scholiasts give two explanations of this word，rò aiooiov and apyúpiov．The Commentators all adopt the former interpretation，referring it， I presume，to the $\delta \in \rho \mu a ́ \tau i \nu o \nu$ aidoiov with which Cinesias，and henceforth all the men on the stage，are undoubtedly
 $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Avaraтрát $\eta$ ，says the Scholiast on Clouds 542．But it is quite clear from
the ensuing scene that he retains this appendage；and it is equally clear from his use of the present tense $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mu$ ，and from Lysistrata＇s immediate acqui－ escence，that he does at once give her ${ }_{0}^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho{ }^{\epsilon \prime} \chi \in \iota$ ．It seems to me therefore that the Scholiast＇s second interpretation is the correct one，and that Cinesias throws up to Lysistrata a $\beta$ a入入ávtiov or money－bag of some kind．

864．катаßā $\sigma \alpha$ к．т．入．］With these words Lysistrata descends from the Wall into the interior of the Acropolis，and we see no more of her until she emerges from the Propylaea infra 1106．Cinesias， left alone on the outside of the wall， indulges in a brief soliloquy．

870．фı入ف̂ к．т．入．］Myrrhina now makes her appearance on the wall，speaking，

## へ $\Upsilon$ さIさTPATH






Mヘ．$\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \iota \mu$ ．
KI． $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega}$ रov̂ $\nu \pi \alpha / \delta^{\prime} i \varphi$

ПА．$\quad \mu \alpha \mu \mu i \alpha, \mu \alpha \mu \mu i \alpha, \mu \alpha \mu \mu i \alpha$ ．




Mr．oîov тò тєкєîv．кат $\alpha \beta \alpha \tau^{\prime} о \nu$ ．тí $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha ́ \theta \omega$ ；
 885


as she is coming，to Lysistrata and the women behind her．What she says is supposed to be spoken aside，but of course she intends Cinesias to overhear．
873．à̀тóa＇oṽ］not thither；not in that direction．She will not descend from the wall on the outside，where Cinesias is；she contemplates descending from it（ä $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu$ ，four lines below）in the oppo－ site direction．
 ōn入ovóтu．－Scholiast．Not desiring you？ nay rather，consumed with desire．So
 infra．

879．［IAIDION］The child in this case is probably a mere puppet；unlike the children of the Megarian in the Achar－ nians：see the note on line 735 of that

Play．They had to move and eat．This is a mere baby lying in its nurse＇s arms， save for the atterance of the word $\mu a \mu-$ $\mu i a$ ，which of course could be easily managed．
881．$\left.\epsilon \kappa \pi \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \epsilon^{\rho} a \nu\right]$ See the note on 705 supra．
 Euripides，in the same sense，in Iph． Aul．917．And again in Phoenissae 355

 this speech Myrrhina descends from the wall into the Acropolis，and four lines later comes ont through the Propylaea． Cinesias has another soliloquy．

887．$\chi$ at ovoкoдaivei］the way she flouts me．$\hat{a}$ is the accusative after $\delta$ обккодaivé， an idiom not reproducible in English．

 $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \in \sigma \in \phi i \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \omega \quad \gamma \lambda v \kappa u ́ \tau \alpha \tau 0 \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \mu \mu i \alpha \alpha$.
 $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \xi i, \kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha} \chi \theta \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi 0 \iota \epsilon i ̂ s$



 $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \nu o ́ \nu \omega \nu ; \quad \mathrm{M} \Upsilon$ ．${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \circ<\gamma \in \nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i ́ \alpha$.
 Хро́vov тобо仑̂тóv є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu . \quad$ oủ $\beta a \delta \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ;$


Florent Chretien gives＂Sed quod su－ perbit et mihi est morosula｜Hoc plus amore pectus exercet meum．＂For $\delta v \sigma-$ кодаivelv to be ס́v́бкодоs，fretful，ill－disposed， see Clouds 36，and for $\beta \rho \in \nu \theta$ v́є $\theta$ Өat to give oneself cirrs，Clouds 362，Peace 26.

893．aủtń $\tau \in \lambda v \pi \epsilon i]$ And you make yourself miseralle．Jason，as Brunck observes，uses the same words to Medea， Eur．Med．1361．Assuming the old relations between himself and Myrrhina to be now re－established，Cinesias lays hold of her in a familiar manner，aio－ $\chi \rho \bar{\omega} s$ the Scholiast says；au act which calls forth an immediate rebuke．
896．крókns］that your nool is being pulled to pieces by the forts．кро́кך is properly the woof or cross－thread which is shot through the threads of the warp ly the shuttle，кєркis．Then it came to signify woollen threads，generally．

фopovétıns is explained by the Scholiast

901．inv סoкй］if such is your determina－ tion．＂si tibi ita placet．＂－Brunck．Van Leeuwen＇s interpretation＂si visum fue－ rit，si populus iusserit＂seems destruc－ tive of the wit of the passage．Cinesias is in a mood to promise anything if only he can get Myrrhina home again ； and to say＂the people will make peace if they so decree，＂so far from being conciliatory，would be intentionally provocative．

903．е̇кєíซє］to our home，which was
 to which this is an answer．àmóuvvat means to stear off，to swear not to do a thing．
904．òà रóvou］after so long a separa－ tion．See the Commentary on Wasps 1476．For катаклiөnть most recent
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau o \hat{v} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu \pi \alpha u ́ \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
KI.
$\pi o \iota \eta \sigma_{0} \mu \in \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$.
Mr. $\tau о \iota \grave{\alpha} \rho, \ddot{\eta} \nu$ ठок $\eta$,

KI. $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda i ́ \theta \eta \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{v} \delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu o v$.

KI. $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s$; $\tau i ́$ oû $\nu$ oủ $k \alpha \tau \epsilon \kappa \lambda i ́ \nu \eta s, \hat{\omega}$ Muppíviov;



$\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ катак入ívєı;
Mr.

editors, following Elmsley, read kaтa$\kappa \lambda i v \eta \theta_{2}$, but though the second aorist is the more cominon, it seems unreasonable to ban the first aorist when it is supported by the whole weight of the MSS.
905. $\dot{\omega}$ o o $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega}]$ She is loyally carrying out Lysistrata's instructions кai $\phi i \lambda \epsilon i v$ kaì $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi i \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$. Here the $\phi \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ is allowed to peep out.
906. ${ }^{\star}$ Muppivov] With the best MSS. and all editions before Brunck I have retained the tribrach in the last foot of the line, a usage very rare indeed, but absolutely necessary in Frogs 1203, and see Id. 979. And here it seems permissible for the purpose of introducing a pet name, the diminutive of affection.
908. Mav $\left.{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}\right]$ a very common name in these Comedies for a slave. See Peace 1146, Birds 523, 1311, 1329, infra 1212.
911. тò tô̂ חavós] sc. aủ入iov, see supra 721 and the note there. Pan's grotto was to be the nuptial chamber, and she was to purify herself in the adjoining spring, the Clepsydra; see the Commentary on 328 supra. "The excavations show that between Pan's grotto and the Clepsydra in which Myrrhina is told to bathe there cannot have been any wall such as some have supposed to exist."-G. F. Hill in the Classical Review, xi. 415. It was in this cave, round which the nightingale was always singing, that A pollo wronged Crensa, and that Ion, the fruit of that wrong, was afterwards exposed by his mother. But it was not Pan's cave then; see Hdt. vi. 105. Pan, says Hermes (in Lucian's Bis Accusatus 9), originally dwelt in Arcady, but came unsummoned to assist the Athenians at





KI．
$\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \omega \bar{s}$.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \in i ̄ \chi \alpha \mu \alpha i{ }^{\nu}{ }_{\varphi} \nu$.
Mr．




Marathon；and thenceforward $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathbf{i} \pi \grave{o}$

 Lucian＇s twenty－second Dialogue of the Gods，where Hermes，a comely and beardless youth，dismayed at the gro－ tesque appearance of Pan his alleged offspring，exclaims $\gamma{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \tau a$ ó $\phi \lambda \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ тарà
 ＂O you need not be ashamed of me，＂ says Pan，＂for I am quite a musician， and can play the syrinx beautifully； and Dionysus can do nothing without

 this，＂he adds，＂I am very brave，and fought so well at Marathon that the
 $\sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a t o \nu$ as the prize of valour．＂＂Well， well，＂says Hermes，＂let us be good friends in future；but one thing I charge you as a dutiful son；never call me father whon any one is within hearing．＂The words＇s $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ in the following line mean into the Acropolis．

915．єis é $\mu$ è $\tau$ раітоıто］The sin upon my heard．

917．$\mu$ à тò $\nu$＇A $\pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ］Why does Myrr－ hina use an oath which is proper only
 the Scholiast，truly；cf．Eccl． 160 and the note there．Possibly she is thinking of his comexion with Pan＇s grotto，as mentioned in a preceding note．

918．катаклıข仑 $\chi$ ацаi］I will not let you lie（literally，I will not lay you）on the ground，каiтє тou七̀̀тov öдта．The Com－ mentators give various interpretations of roooitov，none of which seem to me accurate．In my opinion it means such a man，so loveable and dear to me．In the Fourteenth Iliad Zeus is so en－ amoured of Hera as（to use the language of Plato，＇Republic iii． $4, \mathrm{p} .390$ C）$\mu \eta \delta^{\circ}$

 Myrrhina，though equally enamoured of Cinesias，will not follow the example of Zeus．We see by the next line that Cinesias takes her language as a proof of her love for himself．She now re－ turns into the Acropolis，but imme－ diately re－emerges，carrying a bare bed without any bedding．
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KI．тоía 廿ía日os ；$\mu \eta \eta^{\mu o i ́} \gamma \epsilon$ ．
Mr．
$\nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$＂ $\mathrm{A} \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$,







921．rò סєiva］What was it？So again five lines below and 1168 infra．The force of this dilatory ejaculation is suf－ ficiently explained and illustrated in the Commentary on Peace 268 and Wasps 524 ．I will here only add one other example taken from the twelfth of Lucian＇s Courtesan－Dialogues．There Lysias finds his ladylove in a very com－ promising situation with a young person whom he supposes to be a man，but who in reality is a girl named Pythias． Pythias had been obliged，in an illness， to have her head shaved，and her hair was now as short as a boy＇s，of which she was grievously ashamed．Usually to hide her disgrace she wore a wig，but she was not wearing it when Lysias caught her with his ladylove．So，in order to exculpate her friend，she allows her sex to be revealed，and the loss of her hair to be explained；but concludes by saying $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu, \tau \dot{o} \delta \epsilon i v a$, öpa，$\hat{\omega}$
 what was I going to say？－0 yes，but pray，Lysias，don＇t tell anybody about my hair．世iaOos is a rush－mat，often used
as a mattress．tàs $\operatorname{\epsilon ́\gamma } к о \iota \mu \eta \tau \eta \rho i a s ~ \psi t a ́ \theta o v s ~$ $\chi$ диє́vas éкá̀ovv．－Pollux vi．11．廿iaOos． ๆ̀ $\chi$ ацєі́vŋ．—Hesychius．
923．émi róvov］on the bare bed－cords． róvos is used collectively for qóvo，the bed－cords on which the bed－clothes
 is $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon t \nu$ тà rudeia（the bed－clothes），says Pollux x．36，is onaptia，tóvos，кє申pia． Herodotus（ix．118）says that the Persians besieged in Sestos by the Athe－ nians under Xanthippus were reduced by famine to such straits $̈$ ढ̈re roùs

924．$\left.\pi a \pi a a a_{\xi}\right]$ An exclamation of de－ light at the sweetness of Myrrhina＇s kiss．So in the next year＇s Comedy，the Scythian，kissed by Elaphium，cries out ồ ò ô татaтaтấ，Thesm． 1191.

928．＇Hракл $\bar{s} s \xi \in \nu i \xi \epsilon \tau a l$ ］is having the entertainment of Heracles；that is，is kept waiting for his supper．Bergler refers to Wasps 60 ＇Нракл $\bar{\eta} s$ тò $\delta \epsilon i \pi \nu \partial \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi \pi a \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ ，where the Scholiast tells us that in some earlier Comedies Heracles was represented as a guest invited to a supper party，and in a
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 935
KI. $\quad \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \rho i ́ \psi \in \iota \mu \in \delta^{\prime} \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.

M؟. ßoúл $\epsilon \iota \mu \nu \rho i ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon$; KI. $\mu \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \nu$ 'A $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \epsilon$.

KI. $\epsilon \ell^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \chi \nu \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \nu ́ \rho o \nu, ~ \grave{\omega} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \sigma \pi о \tau \alpha$.
Mヘ. $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon l \nu \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu X \in i ̂ \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha ̉ \lambda \epsilon i ́ \phi o v \lambda \alpha \beta \omega ́ \nu$.
terrible way, because the feast was so long in making its appearance.
929. aviórac'] He is already lying on the $\psi$ iafos, and she tells him to lift himself up that she may slip the pillow underneath him. ìva $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa є ф$ ádatov av̀$\tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \hat{\eta},-$ Scholiast.
933. б九rípau] a blanket, coverlet to wrap over him. äкиаттоу іца́тьоу каї $\pi a x \grave{v}$ if $\sigma \iota \sigma u ̛ p a .-S c h o l i a s t$. In these Comedies it generally, though not invariably, signifies a bed-wrap, Clouds 10, Birds 122, Eccl. 347.
 mos tinte.-Scholiast. She'll be the death of me with this bed-clothes business.
937. $\begin{gathered}\text { є̈Tat } \rho \in \text { gavoóv] This is equivalent }\end{gathered}$ to the diviaravo of eight lines above, and to the $\begin{gathered}\text { Enavaipou of Knights } 784 \text {. In the }\end{gathered}$ reply тойтo is of course the penis scorteus.
938. Boúdet $\mu \nu p i \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon$;] As if he were a bridegroom. See Peace 862 and the note there.
 see Thesm. 898 and the Commentary there. סıatpıттıкı̀, smacking of delay,
 Scholiast says. It seems to have had a somewhat similar flavour to that of the Ten Years' Treaty-sample in Ach. 193. The objection which Cinesias takes to this unguent gives Myrrhina a further opportunity for delay, and she now pretends that she has brought the wrong ointment-box.
944. тò 'Pódov] où тò Súpoov.-Scholiast. We must, I think, understand Myrrhina to be apologizing to her husband for having brought him an unguent which was oùx $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ (deliberately misunderstanding him), and to mean that she had brought the worse kind by mistake; she intended to bring the Syrian, and by some error has brought the Rhodian. Yet the Rhodian was in later times highly esteemed. "Crocinum in Solis

KI．oúX $\dot{\eta} \delta \grave{v}$ тò $\mu v ́ \rho o \nu ~ \mu \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega ~ \tau о v \tau o \gamma i ̀, ~$





KI．
 $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \nu$ ．
$\mathrm{M} \Upsilon$ ．$\quad \pi \circ \circ \grave{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$＂$A \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$ ．
 950 $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \grave{s} \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \bar{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota \psi \eta \phi \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
KI．
ßov入єú $\sigma \mu \alpha \_$－

Ciliciae，＂says Pliny xiii．2，＂diu maxime laudatum；mox Rhodi＂；or，as Phile－ mon Holland translates it，＂the oint－ ment of saffron confected at Soli in Cilicia imported for a good while and carried the praise alone；but soone after that of Rhodes was every man＇s money．＂On the other hand，perfumery unguents originally came from the East． The Persians，according to Pliny xiii．1， invented them．And as regards the Syrian in particular，we know from the Gospel narratives what＂very costly＂ perfumes were used in the Holy Land． If indeed it were true，as Van Leeuwen thinks，that Rhodes had lately revolted from Athens，we could well understand that the Athenians might have enjoyed any remark tending to depreciate，how－ everunjustly，the island and its products； but it is，to say the least，extremely doubt－ ful if the revolt had taken place when the Lysistrata was written，Thuc．viii． 44.

945．$\lambda \eta \rho \epsilon$ is ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \chi \omega \downarrow$ ］You lieep talking nonsense．The same words are used in Birds 341，and also in Frogs 512 where see the note．Myrrhina now re－enters the Acropolis，and at once returns with the right ointment．During her short absence Cinesias relieves himself by in－ voking a curse on the inventor of un－ guents．As to ả̉áßartov see Ach． 1053 and the note there．By $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ in line 947 he means penem scorteum．
 And to show that she is in earnest she adds that she is already taking off her shoes．

951．ßovגєі́гонаи］consilium inibo．But what he means we cannot say，for be－ fore he has gone further Myrrhina dis－ appears into the Acropolis，and he finds that she has been playing him false throughout．The jade is gone $\mu \epsilon \dot{d} \pi o \delta \varepsilon \epsilon_{i}^{\prime}$ para＂me nudata glande relinquens．＂ See supra 739.
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \omega \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \mu \epsilon \kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \iota \phi \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \nu \eta{ }^{\eta} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

> оí $\mu$ o七 тí $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \omega$; тíva $\beta \iota \nu \eta ́ \sigma \omega$,
> [ $\sigma \tau \rho$.
> $\tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \alpha \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \eta s \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \psi \in v \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ s ;$
> $\pi \omega ิ s ~ \tau \alpha u \tau \eta \nu \grave{~ \pi \alpha ı \delta o \tau \rho о ф}{ }^{\eta} \sigma \omega$;
> $\pi \circ \hat{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{K} v \nu \alpha \lambda \omega ́ \pi \eta \xi ;$
> $\mu i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma o ́ \nu \mu \circ \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \iota \tau \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$.

XO. ГE. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \omega \hat{\varphi} \gamma^{\prime}, \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \epsilon, \kappa \alpha \kappa \widehat{\varphi}$
$\tau \epsilon i ́ \rho \in \iota \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ द́ $\xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ s$. 960 $\kappa \alpha ̈ \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ оiктєip $\omega \sigma^{\prime} \alpha \hat{i}, \alpha \hat{i}, \alpha \hat{i}$. $\pi$ oîos $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \nu \epsilon ́ \phi \rho o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i ́ \sigma \chi o \iota$,
 speaks of his $\psi \omega \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ (infra 979 ) as if it were a motherless daughter. Its own mother has deserted it; he must hire a nurse for it from the $\pi$ ор $\boldsymbol{0}$ oooròs, Philostratus, who was nicknamed Kuva$\lambda \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \xi$. See Knights 1069 and the Commentary there.
959. $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \hat{\varphi} \gamma^{\prime}\right]$ Cinesias is alone on the stage. The old men in the orchestra condole with him in approved Tragic style.

 -Scholiast. The Scholiast's language does not mean that Aristophanes is parodying the passage in the Andromeda, but merely that there is a resembance between that passage and this. So on Wasps 1326 the Scholiast says


 The Andromeda was in fact exhibited
in the same year as the Lysistrata. See the Introduction to the Thesmophoriazusae, pp. xxxvii, xxxviii. In the line quoted from the Andromeda we should probably read $\lambda_{i} \theta$ ádes for $\lambda_{\imath} \beta$ ádes. The speaker seems to be wondering at the rocks of the sea-coast, and the Sirenlike figure of Andromeda exposed among them.
966. Toùs ${ }^{\circ} p \theta_{\rho}$ ous] of a moming with катà understood. àṽi tov̂ èv roîs öp-Opots.-Scholiast. Compare Hdt. iv. 181 т̀̀v $\mu$ èv ob $\rho \theta \rho o \nu$ (in the morning) riveтau $\chi^{\lambda c a \rho o ́ v . ~}$
970. xо. זצ. The Men's Chorus naturally side with the man, and condole with him on the treatment he has received from a vile and abominable woman. The Women's Chorus as naturally side with the woman, and in the present line express their affection and admiration of her conduct. Thereupon the Men reiterate their abuse of the woman, and add an elaborate impreca-
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 $\pi$ oía $\delta^{\prime}$ ó $\sigma \phi$ ús ; $\pi$ oîos $\delta^{\prime}$ óppos кататєєンó $\mu \in \nu о$, 965 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \mu \grave{\eta} \beta \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu$ тov̀s ơ $\rho \theta$ povs.
KI. $\grave{\omega} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}, \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \mu \beta \delta \epsilon \lambda \nu \rho \alpha ̀$ каì $\pi \alpha \mu \mu \nu \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha ́$.
 970
ХО. ГЕ. $\pi о i ́ \alpha ~ \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ ;$
$\mu \iota \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \mu \iota \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \delta \hat{\eta}^{\prime} . \quad \hat{\omega} Z \epsilon \hat{v} Z \epsilon \hat{v}$, $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime} \alpha \cup ̉ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu, \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ тoùs $\theta \omega \mu \circ$ òs, $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \tau \tau \emptyset \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \iota$
tion, praying that she may be carried away by a whirlwind, and presently be dashed to earth again. The imprecation, however, concludes with four words, introduced $\pi a \rho a ̀$ a $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta o \kappa k i a y$, which en-
tirely change its character. Perhaps the following lines (they can hardly be called a translation) may give the English reader a general idea of the turn which the dialogue takes.

Men. All this woe she has wrought you, she only, the
Utterly hateful, the utterly vile.
Women. Not so ; but the darling, the utterly sweet.
Men. Sweet, sweet, do you call her? Vile, vile, I repeat.
Zeus, send me a storm and a whirlwind, I pray,
To whisk her away, like a bundle of hay,
Up, up, to the infinite spaces,
And toss her and swirl her, and twist her, and twinl her, Till, tattered and torn, to the earth she is borne,

To be crushed-in my ardent embraces.
973. $\theta \omega \mu$ ois] heaps, of stubble, corn, sticks, or the like. $\theta \omega \mu o u s^{*} \sigma \omega \rho o u ̀ s \xi u j \lambda \nu$.
 Scholiast, Suidas. $\theta \omega \mu o i^{\bullet}$ oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho-$ $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ б $\omega \rho o i .-$-Photius. $\theta \omega \mu o ́ s{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \rho o ̀ s$ $\pi \tau a \chi u ́ \omega \nu$.-Hesychius. Aeschylus (Ag. 286) uses it of a heap of dry heather.
974. тифஸ̣ кai $\pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \bar{\eta} \iota]$ tornado and thunderstorm. Aristotle in his Meteoro-
logy promises to treat $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ кє $\rho a v \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \tau \dot{\omega}-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s, \kappa a i \tau v \phi \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu$, каì $\pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu($ i. 1. 2). And he reaches that topic at the commencement of ii. $9 \pi \epsilon \rho i \delta^{\prime}$ à $\sigma \tau \rho a \pi \bar{\eta} s$ каi
 каі̀ кєраขуติע $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. каі̀ үà $\rho$ тои́т $\omega \nu$
 And in the treatise $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ кó $\sigma \mu \circ v$ (if that be his), chap. ir, he distinguishes be-
$\xi v \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha s ~ \kappa \alpha i ́ \xi v \gamma \gamma o \gamma \gamma v \lambda i ́ \sigma \alpha s$
oíXoıo фє́p $\omega \nu$, єì $\alpha \mu \in \theta \in i ́ \eta s$,
$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \omega \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \alpha i ́ \eta$.
tween the three; tò $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \psi a \nu ~ a ̀ v a \pi v-~$


 $\ddot{\mu} \pi v \rho о \nu \hat{\eta}_{\pi}^{\pi} \pi \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \varsigma, \tau \nu \phi \dot{\omega} \nu$. The meaning seems to be that кєраидos signifies forked lightning, or what we call a thunderbolt; $\pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ a thunderstorm with only sheet lightning; and $\tau v \phi \grave{\omega}$ a tornado without any lightning at all. But the distinction is not always kept up. Here we have $\tau u \phi \grave{\omega}$ and $\pi \rho \eta \sigma \pi \eta \rho$ combined. Erycius in his fourteenth epigram says of a shepherd killed by lightning that the cattle will hear his pipe no more;
 genes Laertius (Zeno 154), giving the opinions of Zeno and others on meteorological questions, says that some define

 $\pi о \lambda \grave{v} \nu$, ßíatov кui $\pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \dot{\omega} \delta ̊ \eta$, $\hat{\eta} \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu a$


 old grammarians describe a тифิิעa as a vaporous whirlwind which precedes a thunderstorm; the Scholiast here, Suidas, Photius, Etym. Magn.

haviny twisted up and rolled into a ball. The words are repeated in Thesm. 61.
980. $\pi \hat{a}$ тâע 'A $\sigma a \nu a ̂ \nu]$ ảvтi $\tau o u ̂, \pi o u ̂ ~ \tau \omega ̄ \nu ~$
 $\pi \rho v \tau a ́ v \epsilon \iota$, oì $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ тı véov єimeiv.-Scholiast. $\mu v \sigma i \delta i \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\mu \nu \theta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ as supra 94, infra 1076. Cinesias has left the stage, and now enter on the one side the Lacedaemonian herald, and on the other the Magistrate whom we have not seen since line 614. Hitherto the controversy has been between the Athenian men and the Athenian women; but the spell has been working at Sparta too, as well as amongst the Athenian husbands; and now the two protagonists of the War-Athens and Sparta-both suffering under the same misfortune, are equally anxious to come to terms. $\gamma \in \rho \omega \chi^{i a}$ (that is $\gamma \in \rho o v \sigma i a$ ) was the customary name of the Senate in Dorian states.
 Scholiast. He seems to have been a local Priapus, peculiar to Attica. Strabo (xiii. 1. 12), speaking of the city Priapus (near Cyzicus), says that the deity Priapus was of comparatively re-


$\hat{\eta}$ тoì $\pi \rho \nu \tau \alpha ́ \nu \iota \epsilon s ; \lambda \bar{\omega} \tau \iota \mu \nu \sigma i \xi \alpha \iota ~ \nu$ éov．




KH．оú тòv $\Delta i$ í oủk є́ $\gamma \omega \nu \nu \alpha$ ． ПРО．
$\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota$ ；

кnì Kovıá̃̀ $\varphi$ ．Both Orthanes and Conisalus are mentioned by Plato Co－ micus in his＂Phaon，＂as deities of the Priapean order；Athenaeus x． 58. Hesychius explains Kovíados by кодıop－
 tù nióoia．In Homer it is always equiva－ lent to кovooprós．It has already been noticed that all the men on the stage are now wearing the penem scorteum． The Herald appears to be endeavouring to conceal his underneath his garments．

983．$\overline{\bar{\omega}}$ кขpoívıє］The herald is an im－ portant personage and the flippant language of the Athemian is calculated to cheapen his diguity．He shows his resentinent by addressing the elderly magistrate as $\mathfrak{\omega ̂}$ кирбíut，my lad，a term which，though properly applicable only to a youth，was employed，irrespective of age，to show the slight regard in which the person aldressed was held by the speaker．It occurs again infra 1248， where the Scholiast says кupocuvious кu－

 effect the Ravenna Scholiast here ；àvi
 $\sigma \dot{\omega}$ ，in the mouth of a Spartan are Castor and Polydeuces．

985．iпì $\mu u ́ \lambda \eta s]$ under your armpit， $\mu e ́ \lambda \eta$ being an abbreviatel form of $\mu \pi \sigma$－ $\chi^{u} \lambda \eta$ ，as，in Latin，ala of axilla．The phrase is quite a common one，generally in reference to a dagger concealed under the left armpit，whence the bearer could most easily pluck it out with his right hand，Merivale＇s＂Romans under the Empire，＂chap．26．Thus， when Critias had determined on the destruction of Theramenes，he brought， into the Council－chamber a number of
 Xen．Hell．ii．3．23．And when the mutually suspicious triumvirs－Octa－ vius，Antony，and Lepidus－met，unac－ companied，on the islet of the Reno near Bologna，di入入í入ous $\delta$ eqpecivinaav $\mu \grave{\eta}$
 Cassius xlvi． 55 （p．326）．But in Heliodorus（ii．30）it is a jewel－case， and in Alciphron（iii．26）a purloined
 Here the expression $\delta \delta \dot{\rho} v$ imò $\mu u \dot{\lambda} \eta \bar{s}$ is intentionally grotesque；for a spear could not，as a dagger might，be con－ cealed beneath the armpit；nor was the protuberance to which the Magis－ trate is alluding anywhere in that direction．
$\tau i ́ \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi^{\lambda \alpha \mu v ́ \delta}{ }^{\prime} ; \ddot{\eta} \beta o v \beta \omega \nu \iota \underset{c}{ } s$ نं $\pi$ ò $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ ó ôov ；
KH．
$\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o ́ \rho ~ \gamma \alpha$ vai $\tau \grave{\nu}$ K $\alpha$ б́ $\tau о \rho \alpha$

KH．oủ $\tau o ̀ \nu \Delta i ́{ }^{\prime}$ oủk є́ $\gamma \omega \nu \gamma \alpha \cdot \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \pi \lambda \alpha \delta \delta i ́ \eta$ ．
ПРО．тí ס＇$\epsilon \sigma \tau i ́ ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \tau о \delta i ́ ; ~ К Н . ~ \sigma к \nu \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha ~ \Lambda а к \omega \nu ı к \alpha ́ . ~$

 your robe in front of you．The chlamys was a riding or military garment （Pollux x．124）apparently much in vogue at Sparta；Juvenal viii．101．The herald holds it out before him to con－ ceal the tell－tale protuberance．The Magistrate suggests that possibly his hurried journey from Sparta may have brought on swellings in the groin．See Wasps 277 and the note there．

988．тадató $\gamma$ 人 $]$ Doric for $\pi$ тa入atós． The man＇s an old fool．maגatóp $\mu \omega \rho$ òs， Hesychius；who also explains $\pi a \lambda a \iota o ̀ s$
 $\hat{o}$ кaì $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \theta$ tos．The mid－syllable is short as in Eur．El． 497.

990．$\mu \eta \delta^{\circ}$ av̉ $\left.\pi \lambda a \delta \delta \delta i \eta\right]$ Don＇t keep play－ ing the fool．Cf．suma 171.

991．бкvтá̀а лакшикќ］The＂Laconian scytale＂has been described by many writers，but by none more lucidly than by Plutarch in his Life of Lysander，
 says：＂when a general is dispatched on active service，the Ephors take two round rods，of exactly the same size， and corresponding in every particular． One of these they give to the gencral， and the other they retain themselves．

These are the scytales．And when they want to send a private message to the general，they take a long and narrow strip of parchment and wind it spirally round and round the retained scytale so as to cover the entire surface with－ out leaving any gap．On the parch－ ment while so wound round the scytale they write the message，and then taking the parchment off they send it to the general without the scytale．It is then a quite illegible scrawl of disconnected letters till the general，winding it round his own scytale，restores the continuity of the writing．＂It is sur－ prising that two such eminent scholars as Colonel Mure and Mr．Grote，in their interesting controversy（originally in pamphlet form，but also given as ap－ pendices to the later editions of Colonel Mure＇s History，vol．iii，and of Mr．Grote＇s， vol．ii）as to the knowledge possessed by the Spartans of the art of writing， should have drawn any inference from the present passage as to the mode in which the scytale was carried．They might as well have iuferred from the reference to the $\delta \delta \dot{\rho}$ v above that the Spartans were accustomed to carry spears under their armpits．The al－
 $\tau i ́ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu i \nu$ Є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha i \mu о \nu \iota ;$
KH．ó $\rho \sigma \grave{\alpha} \Lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon \delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu \pi \hat{\alpha} \alpha$ ，каì $\quad$ oì $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu \alpha \chi \circ \iota$

 д̈ாò Па ${ }^{\text {ós }}$ ；
KH． oüк，$\dot{\alpha}^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu$, oì $\hat{\omega}, \Lambda \alpha \mu \pi \iota \grave{\omega}$,

Iusion to the $\sigma к и т a ́ \lambda \eta$ here is a mere joke． The Magistrate is a wag，and keeps driving the herald into a corner by his impertinent questions，till the latter， at a loss how to meet the other＇s prying curiosity，attributes the protuberance to a $\sigma \kappa v \tau \dot{a} \lambda \eta$ ．＂It is no more a $\sigma \kappa v \tau \dot{a} \lambda \eta$ than this is，＂says the Magistrate pointing to
 employed either as agreeing with $\psi \omega \lambda \dot{\eta}$ understood，or（more probably）as the feminine by attraction to $\sigma \kappa u \tau i ́ \lambda \eta$ ．
995．ठ́ $\rho \sigma$ à $\Lambda a к \epsilon \delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu \pi a ̂ a]$ that is，óp $\rho \dot{\eta}$ Аакє $\delta a i \mu \omega \nu \pi a ̂ \sigma a$ ．In ordinary language this would mean that all the Lacedae－ monians were on the tiptoe of excite－ ment．Many instances of this usage are given in the Lexicons．$\dot{\delta} \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta}$
 at Chaeroneia），Lycurgus against Leo－

 were preparing for the battle of Cannae），Polybius iii．112，and so on． But here it means that the Spartans are in the condition which in the next words is predicated of the allies．$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{u}-$



 aùvŋ̄s oi Sáx $\omega \nu \epsilon$ es．－Scholiast．Some recent Commentators have cast doubt on the Scholiast＇s statement，but in my opinion it is quite accurate．Even if it is merely an inference from the present passage，it is a natural and I think a correct inference．As to the city Pel－ lene，the most easterly of the Achaean cities，we know that at the outbreak of the Peloponnesian War it was the only one of them which sided with Sparta， Thuc．ii．9．Though itself at some distance from the sea，it was neverthe－ less a naval power，and we are told that at this very time the Spartans were requisitioning Pellene to contribute，in conjunction with Sicyon and Arcadia， a detachment of ten ressels to the con－ federate fleet which was mustering for the downfall of Athens，Thuc．viii．3．And possibly the anxiety of Sparta to obtain the aid of so petty a state may have given some occasion for ridicule．
998．àmò חavós；；The Commentators think it sufficient to observe that Pan was＂libidinosus et $\begin{gathered} \\ \rho\end{gathered} \omega \tau$ тккós＂；but that is a very inadequate explanation．The suggestion that this strange upset was caused by Pan is due to the fact that
 रvvaikєs $\hat{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \mu l \hat{\alpha} s \dot{v} \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \gamma i ́ \delta o s$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha o \nu \tau \grave{\omega}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha s \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu$. ПРО．$\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oن̂̀ ${ }^{\prime} \notin \in \tau \epsilon$ ； KH．
 $\tau \alpha i ̀ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \epsilon s$ oú $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \dot{v} \rho \tau \omega \sigma \iota \gamma^{\eta} \nu$
 1005 $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \grave{\alpha} s \pi \circ \iota \eta \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \pi \circ \tau \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu{ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\circ} \delta \alpha$.
all sudden commotions and disturbances of the mind were attributed to his in－ fluence；see Erasmus＇s Adages＂Panicus casus．＂The Herald，however，takes a more prosaic view，and ascribes the epidemic not to Pan but to Lampito， who had been vigorously carrying out the policy determined upon by herself， Lysistrata，and the rest at the com－ mencement of the Play．
 a giten signal．$̄ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ànò $\mu \mathrm{ta} \mathrm{s}$ v̈ $\sigma \pi \lambda \eta \gamma o s$, cf．Plato Phaedrus 35 （p． 254 E）．The barrier from which racers started was formed by an outstretched rope which kept the competitors in an even line， and fell when the signal was given． This rope was called $\tilde{v} \sigma \pi \lambda \eta \xi$ and is frequently mentioned by ancient writers． Antipater Sidonius（Epigram 39）speaks of a runner so fleet that he was visible only at the $\ddot{v}_{\sigma} \pi \lambda_{\eta} \xi$ and at the winning post，and could not loe seen while passing from one to the other；and Lucian introduces the same idea into his Timon（20），where 11גovitos says that lee approaches a man with such tardy and halting footsteps that may－
hap the man grows old before his ar－ rival ；but when he leaves him he makes himself wings，and has hardly left the starting－place before he is at the goal， moving with such speed that no eye


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta_{\epsilon} \quad \iota \tau \hat{\nu}$ ．

1001．à $\pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a o \nu ~ к . т . \lambda] ~ F o r ~ a. ̀ \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a v ı o \nu ~$

 We are in sore trouble；we go－up and down the strects twisting ourselves iound， like people carrying lighted lamps．Such people cannot walk in an upright po－ sition，but must needs stoop and bend themselves about to shelter the lights from the wind．The words $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu v \rho \tau \omega$ $\sigma t \gamma^{\eta} \nu$ are explained by the Scholiast to
 stands for $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma t$ ．The concluding words of the speech，$\pi$ orrà＇E $E \lambda A \dot{\delta} \delta a$ ，are rather strange，but must mean＂with our Hel－ lenicantagonists，＂soas to make general cessation of war throughout Hellas．

1013．$\pi \omega \tau$ áopat ］I＇ll fly like the wind．


ПРО．тоиті $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi o ́ \theta \in \nu \quad \xi v \nu о \mu \dot{\mu} \mu о \tau \alpha \iota$
$\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu$ ．${ }^{\circ} \rho \tau \iota \nu v \nu \grave{\iota} \mu \alpha \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ф $\rho \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu$
аи̇токра́тораs $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s \dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$＇̇ $\nu \theta \alpha \delta i ́$.
1010


KH．$\pi \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} о \mu \alpha \iota \cdot \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ．


paeon and cretic）oìঠ́́тотє $\pi$ аі́бораи．It differs from the ordinary trochaic tetra－ meter only by having the eleventh sylla－ ble short instead of long ；as for example，

 тóde，we have a perfect trochaic tetra－ meter．And in line 1036 the compound metre does in truth change into the simple trochaic．

1014．$\dot{\alpha} \mu a \chi \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu, ~ o \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\imath} \rho$ ］This wea－ pon again the Men appear to have drawn from the great armoury which the Tragedies of Euripides supply for the vituperation of women．Stobacus， under the head of Passages in censure of women，廿óyos $\begin{gathered}\text { vurakề（Anthology，Title }\end{gathered}$ 73），gives，amongst many others，the following quotations from Euripides，
（23）Eủpıாíסov＇I $\pi \pi о \lambda u ́ t \varphi$ ．


And again－－

And again－

（1）Eủpliíסou．
סєıvaì ．．．$\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \in \rho \mu a i ̀ ~ \pi \nu o a i ̀, ~$

（5）Eủpiníoov Oíסínoסos．











 1025



1018．$\mu \iota \sigma \bar{\omega} \nu$ ruvaîkas］This again has the genuine Euripidean ring．ő $\lambda$ o七o $\theta \varepsilon^{\text {．}}$
 Hipp．664．For the $\dot{\omega}$ s at the com－ mencement of the line see the note on Wasps 416.
 do when you like．The sentence is left imperfect，and we can supply either， with the Scholiast，you can have me as a friend，or，with Blaydes，you cun give over hating us．They are putting aside the general argument，and are going to show，by their actions，how indispensable they are to the men．
1023．д̀pүท̂s $\pi$ тònpâs］ill－temper，Wasps 243．The epithet refers to $\pi$ ounpò in the preceding line．There is no movnpia in your action ；the $\pi$ ounpia was in my temper when I threw off my $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \omega$ нis；sce the Commentary on 658 supra．As to títe then，when I threw it off，see on Thesm． 13.

1027．סактú入ıos oíтобi］The Scholiast＇s explanation that the speaker is giving Stratyllis a ring wherewith to scoop out （éкбка入єยєєı，literally，to hoe out，from oкалis，a hoe）the insect from his eye－

 by many Commentators，seems to me foreign to the ordinary style and phraseology of Aristophanes．And I en－ tirely agree with Bergler and Brunck that the name סaktùncos，with a play on סíkvetv，is given to the gnat itself． ＂Culicem סaктídoo appellat，＂says the latter，＂derivato nomine a $\delta$ aкєiv，quare reddidi mordaculus ille．＂The conver－ sation is carried on by Stratyllis and the Coryphaeus，but I apprehend that each of the twelve men who form the Men＇s Chorus simultaneously submits his eye to the inspection of one of the twelve women who form the Chorus of Women．


## 」 $\Upsilon$ ごIごTPATH








 1035 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\phi} \phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ．XO．ГЕ．$\mu \eta े \phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta s$.
XO．$\Gamma \Upsilon$ ． $\ddot{\eta} \nu \tau \in \beta$ oú $\lambda \eta \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \eta$ ．

 oưтє $\sigma \grave{v} \nu \pi \alpha \nu \omega \lambda \epsilon ́ \theta \rho o \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ロưَ＇${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \in \nu \pi \alpha \nu \omega \lambda \epsilon \in \theta \rho \omega \nu$ ．
$\pi о \lambda \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \delta \partial \omega \nu \quad \gamma \iota \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ．${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \quad \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ $a ̀ \lambda \sigma \omega \dot{\delta} \eta s$［perhaps we should read $\epsilon \in \omega \dot{\omega} \delta \eta s$ ， Pausanias i．32．6］каi кátv үpos．－Scho－ liast．Tricorythus was one of the four villages of the Marathonian Tetrapolis， supra 285．T $\rho \iota \kappa о ́ \rho v \theta o \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu, ~ \eta ゙ \tau \iota s ~ \epsilon ̀ \sigma \tau i ~$
 Sic．iv．57．The region was noted for its marshes；in one of which indeed the greatest slaughter of the Persians took place at the battle of Marathon（Pau－ sanias ubi supra）：an incident por－ trayed in the battle－frescoes of the Poecile，Id．i．15．4．As a natural result of this marshy soil the district was haunted by mosquitoes（cf．Birds 244－6）which＂still drive the inhabitants， in summer，to the higher grounds， Leake ii．87；Wordsworth＇s Athens and Attica，chap．vi．The ruins of the village are still visible in the plain of Suli．This allusion to the marshes of Marathon is probably intended as
a covert compliment to the old Mapa－ $\theta \omega \nu о \mu a ́ \chi a \iota$.
 àmò $\mu \epsilon \tau a \phi о \rho a ̂ s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \grave{a ̀ ~ ф \rho є ́ a \tau a ~ o ́ \rho u \tau \tau o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~}$ єis $\beta$ á $\theta o s .-S c h o l i a s t$.

1036．$\eta_{\nu} \tau \epsilon \beta$ oú入 $\left.\eta \gamma^{\prime} \not{ }^{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta}\right]$ Will you， nill you．Cf．supra 939，Eccl．981，1097， Plato，Theaetetus，chap． 20 （p． 167 D）， and frequently elsewhere．She is using a sort of coaxing compulsion．

1037．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ढ̈раб＇ікоь $\sigma$＇］＂Male pereatis， ut estis ingenio ad blandiendum com－ posito，＂Brunck，slightly altered from Bergler．The phrase is sufficiently illustrated in the Commentary on 391 supra．

1039．อข้тє $\sigma \grave{\nu} \pi a \nu \omega \lambda \epsilon \in$ Өिoル $\sigma \nu$ ］English readers will remember the comments in Lytton＇s＂My Novel，＂Book IV，chap．1， on the＂damnable doctrine of Metellus Numidicus，＂who，withall thedignityand authority of a Roman Censor，declared ＂Si sine uxore，Quirites，possemus esse，

## へソシIミTPATH


ои้тє $\delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega ~ \phi \lambda \alpha \hat{v} \rho o \nu$ ov́ $\delta \in \nu$ ov̉ $\theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \phi ' \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ ．


XO．oú $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha\} o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$
omnes ea molestia careremus；sed quoniam ita Natura tradidit，ut nee enm illis satis commode，nee sine illis ullo modo vivi possit，salnti perpetuae potius
quam brevi voluptati consulendum．＂ Anlus Gellius i．6．It was probably from this sonrce that Martial derived his well－known epigram（xii．47）：

> Difficilis, facilis, iucundus, acerbus es idem:
> Nec tecum possum vivere, nee sine te.

The notion was a favourite one with our comic dramatists；see for example the song in Act I，Scene 2 of Prince Hoare＇s＂Lock and Key．＂Brunck refers to Susarion＇s lines cited in the Commen－ tary on Thesm． 787 ；and Dindorf to Strabo xiv．2． 24 （p．659），where speak－ ing of the two orators，contemporary with himself，of Mylasa，he tells us that Hylreas wound up one of his specehes by saying to Euthydemus，Ei̇⿴囗́vi $\eta \mu \epsilon$ ，



1042．$\sigma v \sigma \tau a \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ］closing our ranks， combining our forces．Cf．Wasps 424. Henceforth there is no Semichorus of Men or Semichorus of Women．The two are combined into one Chorus． ＇I＇hey make，in the language of the

1043．ои тараєкєva̧ó $\mu є \sigma \theta a]$ The two Choruses inaugnrate their union by commencing a series of four stanzas， two here and two infra 1189，which like those in Birds 1470，1553，and 1694 are entively disconnected with the general plot of the Comedy，and are all linked together by the conjunctive particle $\delta \epsilon$ ．Lach stanza consists of seventeen lines，of which eleven are trochaie（nine dimeters and two dipo－ dies），and the remaining six（the fourth to the ninth inclnsive in each stanza） cretico－paconic dimeters，the third hav－ ing a monosyllabic base．And the same vein of pleasantry runs through the four，consisting of large and liberal offers made ly the Chorus，with an

## へ へ ざエTPATH

```
\(\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon i ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha\).
\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \in \tau \omega\)
\(\pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \alpha \nu \eta ̀ \rho\) к \(\alpha i ~ \gamma v \nu \eta ̀\),
єï tıs ápyupídıov \(\delta \in \hat{\imath}-\)
\(\tau \alpha \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i ̂, \mu \nu \hat{\alpha} s\) ทै \(\delta \hat{v}\) خे т \(\tau \epsilon i s\),
\(\pi о ́ \lambda \lambda ’ \epsilon \not \epsilon \sigma \omega\) \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\)
```



```
\(\kappa \alpha \not ้ \nu \pi о \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \phi \nu \hat{\eta}\),
ӧ \(\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma\) ä \(\nu \nu v \nu \grave{~} \delta \alpha \nu \in i ́ \sigma \eta-\)
\(\tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\),
```

intimation that they have no power or no intention of fulfilling them．Each stanza，in effect，contains what school－ boys call＂a sell．＂Come and help＂ yourselves to our money，they say in the first stanza，only our purses are all empty． Come to our house and feast，in the second， mily you will find the door barred agoinst you．Come and shere our treasures，in the third，only if you find any，you must have sharper eyes than we．And come to wurhouse for bread，in the last，only beware of the dog，and don＇t approach the door：

1047．тà кака̀ каі̀ тà таракєі́цєvа］тòv


 liast．＂Sat multa enim sunt mala，et haec praesentia．＂－Bergler．The Scholiast＇s explanation of fà таракєi $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ a is not very satisfactory，but on the whole I think it must be accurate．The Chorus wish to put a stop to two things：（1）the War， and（2）the discord between the men and the women．The position of kai between тà какà and тà таракєíниа shows that it
must have its usual conjunctive force of connecting two distinct things and can－ not here be used in the sense of＂even．＂

1049．є́ $\pi a \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon$＇т $\tau$ ］let him，or her，tell．
 If any man or woman wants to get any money let him，or her；proclaim the fact．
 ßa入入ávтıa，empty pu＇ses．кєขà тробєр－ рíттov̀ ßa入入ávтıa．－Plutarch，Lucullus， chap．35．I have substituted the words given above for the unmetrical and un－ meaning reading of the MSS．$\omega s \pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ $\epsilon \notin \omega$＇$\sigma \tau i \nu \kappa \ddot{a} \chi \propto \mu \in \nu$ ．The statement that the pursesare empty，and that therefore there is no money for the applicants to receive seems necessary ；otherwise this stanza， unlike all the rest，would contain no ＂sell，＂but would be a bona fide offer of a money－gift．This too would destroy the humour of the last words of the stanza，and make them not a joke but a further boon．And Burges＇s emenda－ tion，which most editors adopt，$\omega s \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ a$ ＇$\sigma \tau i \nu$ ä $\chi о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，instead of removing，mercly accentuates the absurdity．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \grave{\alpha} \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta \mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \widehat{\omega} .
\end{aligned}
$$

1057．$\mu \eta к \in ́ \tau \prime$＇imoঠ̣̂］As they would have received nothing，there was nothing to repay．The words are introduced，as the Scholiast says，map＇umóvotav．

1058．Kapvotiovs］סıaßá入入ovtat ìs $\mu$ 七七 $\chi^{\text {ò }}$ oi Kapúgtıot．－Scholiast both here and again on 1181 infra．The descrip－ tion ка入ои́s $\tau \epsilon \kappa$ кả $\gamma$ a $\theta$ oús is merely ironical． The people of Carystus，a considerable town in the south of Euboea，were sup－ posed to be a remnant of one of the old pre－Hellenic populations，Thuc．vii．57， Diod．Sic．iv．37．That a large number of these aboriginal allies were at this very time stationed within the walls of Athens we know from Thucydides（viii． 69 ），who tells us that 300 of them were in the service of the Four Hundred． And it may perhaps be inferred，from the way in which they are mentioned here and again in 1182 infra，that they had rendered themselves notorious by their uncouth manners and their gross and licentious behaviour．

the MSS．，was restored by Reisig，and it is obvious that it might readily have dropped out before $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \tau \nu 0 s$ ．The meaning seems to be we have still these provisions at home，notwithstanding the long strug－ gle that has for so many days been going on between the men and the women．
 the sort of invitation which Euelpides wished to receive，Birds 129－32．But if we are to take $\pi \rho \rho_{\dot{c}}$ in the sense of the early moming the present invitation is one which it would be impossible to accept，for Comedies were acted in the afternoon．

1071．кєк入єí $\sigma \epsilon \tau a l]$ тaן＇v́тóvotav，סє́ov àvє $\omega \chi$ Өívєтau．－Scholiast．With this word the Chorus conclude their second stanza， and the plenipotentiaries whom the Herald had gone to fetch from Sparta are seen approaching．
 －Scholiast．And this is no doubt the meaning，for $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ ，though sometimes confined to the moustache，the hair on

## へ $\Upsilon$ ミIさTPATH

 тoús $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ ', ~ \epsilon i ̂ \tau ' ~ \epsilon '-~$ $\sigma \omega \beta a \delta i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ， $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \rho \in ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \alpha$ ， $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha ้ \nu \tau \iota \kappa \rho v s$,

$\gamma \in \nu \nu i \kappa \omega \bar{\omega}$, $\dot{\omega} s$
$\dot{\eta}$ Ө́́pa кєк $\lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.


the upper lip，and so contrasted with $\pi \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega \nu$ ，is more commonly used for the beard generally，and so is undistinguish－


 $\pi \dot{\omega} \gamma \omega \nu$ ，ä $\lambda \lambda o t \mu \dot{\imath} \sigma \tau a \xi$ ．－Hesychins．On the overgrowth of the Spartan beards see Wasps 476 and the note there．The participle ${ }^{\text {Ë }} \lambda$ коутєs，probably from its use in this passage，is frequently found in later Greek writers in connexion with
 ployed in a sort of contemptuous sense with reference to philosophers who in this，as in other points，were accustomed to ape the habits and attire of the ancient Lacedaemonians．клì $\neq \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\partial} \omega{ }^{\omega}$
 －Aristeides＂In Defence of the Four＂ （Miltiades，Themistocles，Cimon，and Pericles），Oration xl（p．212）．пov̂ עv̂̀ oi
 $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ коотеє ；－St．Chrysos．Hom．iii in Rom．

 －Id．Hom，viii． 1 （one of the＂hactenus non editae＂Homilies of the Benedictine edition）．
1073．хоьроконкіог］a pig－саде，literally a place for tending pigs in．Compare $i \pi \pi о к о \mu \epsilon i v$ Peace 74．It was a sort of hurdlework，probably forming three sides of a square，the fourth side being formed by the wall against which it was placed．In Wasps 844 it is used as the Court railing，ঠр́́фактоs，within which Philocleon is to carry on his judicial duties．The meaning of the word is given quite rightly by the Scholiast on the Waspsand here，Pollux x．159，Hesychius， and Suidas．The other signification suggested by the Scholiast and Suidas，
 arises merely from a faulty interpreta－ tion of the passage before us．The tunics of the plenipotentiaries are dis－ tended to such an extent that the Chorus liken the distention to the familiar pen within which their pigs were confined．

\author{

 <br> 
 <br> XO．$\beta \alpha \beta \alpha i \cdot ~ \nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \tau \alpha \iota \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \nu{ }^{\eta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho \grave{\alpha}$

 <br> |  |  | 1080 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | <br> 

 $\theta \alpha i \mu \alpha ́ \tau i \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau \alpha{ }^{-}$Ф̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha i v \in \tau \alpha \iota$
}

1076．$\pi \nu \theta$＇$\dot{\mu \dot{\epsilon}} \mu \nu \sigma i \delta \delta \epsilon \iota \nu]$ ］$\pi o ̀ s ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s$ $\mu \nu \theta i \zeta \epsilon \tau$.

1078．vevєv́pตтat］is high－strung．тウ̀
 $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon p \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a \iota$ means to be inflamed；but it is possible that on the stage the speaker was instructed so to pronounce the word as if the division were not $\tau \epsilon-\theta \epsilon \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a l$ ，but $\tau \epsilon \theta-\dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a t$ ，so as to recall to the minds of the audience the famous＇Epuaî which are more particu－ larly mentioned a little later in the dialogne；infra 1094．And this is pro－ bably what the Scholiast meant by his explanation of the present line，ìvì гov


 évтє́титal $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega s$ ．Some have thought that the Scholiast must have had a dif－ ferent reading luefore him ；but it seems to me probable that he considered，and quite possible that he rightly considered， the word $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a t$ to involve a play on the name＇E $\rho \mu \hat{\eta} s$ with which of course it really had nothing whatever to do．

 Bergler．Let somebody come，and get us Peace in whatever way he pleases．

1082．кぃi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega}]$ To the Lacedae－ monian plenipotentiaries waiting on the stage，enter from the opposite side the Athenian plenipotentiaries whom the Magistrate had bidden the Council to send．The Chorus give them the name of aủtó $\theta$ Ooves，a name always pleasant to Athenian ears．See Wasps 1076 and the note there．They make their ap－ pearance holding out their garments before them＂just like wrestlers＂say the Chorns．In Peace 34 the beetle， attacking his food，кúqas and mapaßa入ì toùs rouфious，is similarly compared to a wrestler．In the present passage we are，I suppose，intended to understand that the Athenians bending forward and gripping their garments are somewhat in the attitude of wrestlers gripping， or preparing to grip，their immediate antagonists．But in truth the compari－ son seems to be introduced merely for the purpose of leading up to the expres－ sion üбкŋтккinv voir $\mu \pi$ ，which，as Bentley



$\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \prime \tau \iota s \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \mu \eta ̀ \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha X \grave{v}$ ， oúk＇$\epsilon \sigma \theta$＇ő $\pi \omega s$ ov $\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \eta \beta \iota \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．
XO．$\epsilon i \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon i ̄ \epsilon, \theta \alpha i \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \alpha \lambda \eta \psi \in \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ，ö $\pi \omega s$

pointed out（at Peace 1176），is intended
 עóros，the dropsy．
 disease from which you are suffering is on ull fours with this，from which the Laconians are suffering．You have the $\dot{u} \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu \nu \quad \nu \quad \sigma \eta \mu a$ as well as they．And to ascertain the facts，the speaker pro－ ceeds in the following line to inquire into the symptoms，asking whether the sufferers feel the attack most keenly of a morning，$\pi$ pòs ő $\rho \theta \rho o \nu$ ．Cf．supra 966, Ach．256．The fact that the same demonstrative pronoun（av̈т ，тaír $l$ ）is applied to both sides，as in Eccl．1070， would create no difficulty in the acting， where the speaker would point first to the one and then to the other．See the note on Eccl． 1053.

1090．тavti $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon s]$ faring thus．The last two words of this speech are intro－ duced $\pi a \rho u ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к i a \nu . ~ A b o u t ~ C l e i s-~$ thenes，who for his gross effeminacy was the constant butt of Aristophanes for twenty years，from Acharnians 117， 118 to Frogs 422，see the Commentary on
those passages．The Scholiast here says of him，oûtos $\Sigma \iota \beta u p t i o u ~ \pi a i ̂ s, ~ द ̀ \pi i ̀ ~ \theta \eta \lambda д ́ т \eta \pi \iota ~$ $\kappa \omega \mu \omega \delta o \dot{v} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ，but the statement as to his parentage is in all probability derived merely from the passage in the Acharnians．

1093． өаiци́ти $\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ］The Athe－ nians，we know，are using their iцcitu to conceal their woful plight ；but it would seem that the Laconians have discarded theirs，and that this warning is specially addressed to them；see infra 1096，1098， 1099.
1094．＇Ериокоть $\delta \bar{\omega} \nu$ ］He means the persons who effected the famous muti－ lation of the Hermae shortly before the departure of the great armament for Sicily．These Hermae were quadran－ gular busts of stone（Thuc．vi．27）with a rudely－shaped head at the top，and the phallus emblem protruding in front； see Hdt．ii．51．And the mutilation was effected by cutting off the head and the phallus emblem of each bust．＇I $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu$ ， says the Scholiast on Thucydides ubi


 $\Lambda$ A．
$\nu \alpha \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \grave{\omega}$
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} \gamma \alpha$ ．$\quad \phi \in ́ p \varepsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \frac{~}{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ os $\dot{\alpha} \mu \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \prime \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ．

$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．$\grave{\omega} \pi o v \lambda v \chi \alpha \rho i ́ \delta \alpha, \delta \epsilon \tau \nu \alpha ́ \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \varsigma$ ，


є $\pi i ̀ \tau i ́ \pi \alpha ́ \alpha \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \delta \in \hat{v} \rho \circ$ ；
$\Lambda$ A．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu$
$\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \in \iota$ ．
$A \Theta$ ．
 $\pi \grave{\eta} \nu a \iota \quad \phi \eta \sigma \grave{,}$ каì тоùs тоѝто סрáбаутаS ＇Ериокотіठая калєїбӨat．

1098．$\widehat{\omega}$ mov $v \chi a p i \delta a]$ The same ad－ dress occurs infra 1242．And as it is applied to two different persons，here to the foremost Athenian plenipoten－ tiary and there apparently to one of the Laconians themselves，it seems plain that it is used not as a proper name，but as a term of affection，sweetheart，charmer； doubtless a well－known Spartan mode of address．

1039．$\tau \ddot{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s]$ The men of whom the Chorus were speaking，that is，as the Scholiast says，the＇Eриокотioat．He
 $\mu$ évovs，тù aỉoía ảvatetauévovs．

1101．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a \gamma a ̂ \nu \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s]$ It is interesting，more for the coincidence of the language than for the fact，to observe that very shortly after the exhibition of this Play，the Council of Four Hundred were，Thucydides tells us，sending to
 vot $\delta a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta{ }^{2} a t$, Thuc．viii．71．The lan－
guage is not quite in the historian＇s ordinary manner．
 if you like，Lysistratus as well．He is playing on the meaning of the name； not only Lysistrata（the woman who will end the war），but also，if you will， Lysistratus（the man who will do so）． He will welcome anybody，man or woman， who will be＂a disbander of armies，＂ and restore Peace to Hellas．＂Non tam ad personam respicit，＂says Bergler， ＂quam ad significationem vocis．＂It is not likely that there is any allusion to the Lysistratus of Ach．855，Knights 1267，and Wasps 787，1302－8 or to any other individual．

1107．av̇rí］of herself，of heroun accord． Cf．Peace 638，Thesm．66，Plutus 965.
 431 supra．For the use of $\dot{\omega}$ as equiva－ lent to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ see Elmsley on Bacchae 178.

1108．Lysistrata comes out of the Acropolis，accompanied by a female attendant．And as the Mistress is Avoıfтpuity，the Disbander of Aimies，so

## ム $\Upsilon$ さIさTPATH

$\tau i ́$ oủ $\kappa \alpha \lambda о \hat{\nu} \mu \in \nu$ ठ $\bar{\eta} \tau \alpha \tau \eta ̀ \nu \Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$, $\eta \prime \pi \epsilon \rho \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \mu o ́ \nu \eta$ ；
$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．$\quad \nu \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\omega} \sigma i \omega, \kappa \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ，тòv $\Lambda v \sigma i ́ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau o \nu$.
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$ ．



XO．$X^{\alpha i \hat{\rho}}, \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ ．$\delta \in \hat{\imath} \delta \grave{\eta} \nu \nu \nu i ́ \sigma \epsilon \gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$


the Handmaiden is $\Delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ，the Recon－ ciler of friends estranged．In the present scene she fulfils her natural function by bringing up，first one combatant， and then the other，to listen to the words of peace．Fourteen years before，in the Acharnians（988－99），the Chorus had addressed $\Delta \iota a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \dot{\eta}$ in the most endear－ ing terms，as the bride in whose com－ pany they would fain pass all their days． On àvסpєıo兀át $\eta$ as applied to a woman see Wasps 1090 and the note there．

1109．$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ к．т．д．］A word，obviously an epithet of Lysistrata，has dropped out of this line；and we have no means of restoring it．The only guess which has obtained any acceptance is that of Bentley，who suggested that $\delta \epsilon i \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ might be inserted after $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ；＂ut omnia contraria sint；ut etiam $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{o} \nu$ est $\tau \hat{\varrho}$ aj $\gamma \mathrm{a} \nu \hat{\text { ．．＂}}$ ．But $\delta \epsilon i \lambda \dot{\eta}$ is not a very apt word to form a contrast with $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \eta$ ； $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \eta$ is more naturally opposed to фaúd $\eta$ （Eccl．617）than to a ${ }^{\text {ravín }}$ ；and it seems inconceivable that Lysistrata should in any sense be called upon to become $\delta \in i \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ．

I have therefore，as a makeshift，inserted the word $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\nu} \nu$（in brackets）between $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ and ajya $\nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，so that $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ is con－ trasted with $\dot{a} \gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，фaú $\lambda \eta \nu$ with $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ， and $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \nu$ a severe judge（Wasps 942） with ajavìv a gracious one．


 ¿ँүүаs калой $\sigma \iota \nu$ ．－Hesychius．That the ivy $\xi$ is our wryneck（Iynx torquilla）is certain from the excellent account which Aristotle gives of the bird in his History of Animals ii．8．2．Most birds，he says， have three toes in front and one behind； but some have two in front and two behind，oiov $\dot{\eta} \kappa a \lambda o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$＇${ }^{\prime} v \gamma \xi$ ．This bird， he goes on to explain，is somewhat larger than a finch，and has $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \lambda \omega \tau \tau a \nu$

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota \epsilon i s$ $\tau \rho a ́ \chi \eta \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o u ̉ \pi i \sigma \omega$ ，$\sigma o \hat{v}$ 入oıтô̂ $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s$

 $\tau \omega ิ \nu \kappa о \lambda \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$（woodpeckers）．$\tau \underline{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota$.

| $\Lambda \Upsilon$. |  <br>  $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i ้ \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ ' $\gamma \omega$. $\pi \circ \hat{v}$ ' $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \Delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta^{\prime}$ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \beta o v ̂ \sigma \alpha$ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ тò̀s $\Lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu l \kappa o u ̀ s$, $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \mu \grave{\eta} \chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \chi^{\epsilon} \iota \rho i} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{u} \theta \alpha \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$, <br>  |
| :---: | :---: |

This is a very accurate description of our common wryneck, which has (to quote from Newton's Yarrell, and Morris) "two toes before and two behind; claws much hooked and very sharp." "It obtains its food by its long projectile tongue, two inches and a quarter in length, which is darted forward and retracted with unerring aim and with great velocity." "Its name comes from its habit of rolling its head and neck in a wonderful way, throwing its head from side to side, or twisting it roundover its back." Modern naturalists class it among the woodpeckers (Picidae). The wryneck when bound to a slowly revolving wheel was supposed to act as a magical philter or love-charm, attracting to the operator the love of the person upon whom the spell wasdirected. Then the wheel itself, without the wryneck, was called "uyg. And finally the word came to be applied generally, as in the present passage, to any charm or natural attractiveness, and is very frequently employed in that sense by the best writers, from Pindar downwards.





 трохіккоз.-Photius. The Second Idyll of Theocritus, commonly called the Pharmaceutria, shows us a deserted lady endeavouring to recall her faithless lover by turning her magic wheel and repeating her magic incantation with its constantly recurring refrain "I $10 \gamma \xi$,
 But we hear nothing there of a bird upon the wheel.
1111. è $\pi \epsilon$ ' $\rho \in \psi a \nu]$ they submit to your arbitration all their charges and countercharges, that is, all their disputes. Lysistrata at once accepts their submission and summons the disputants to stand before her.
1113. óphôvaas] Though the word conveys no idea of anger, it can hardly be rendered more accurately than by Shakespeare's "in the very wrath of love," As You Like It, v. 2. $\quad \dot{\lambda} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu \tau \epsilon$ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ €̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu$ évovs, and not having made full trial of each other, that is, as the Scholiast explains it, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\sigma v v o ́ v a a s ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \dot{\jmath} \lambda o t s . ~ F o r, ~$ as Lucian says (De Mercede conductis 7),

 îl каi бv̀ тoútous toùs 'A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ o u s ~ a ̈ \gamma \epsilon . ~$ oर̀ $\delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \delta \iota \delta \omega \sigma \iota$, $\pi \rho$ о́ $\sigma \alpha \gamma \epsilon$ тoútov $\lambda \alpha \beta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.




 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.
1117. à $\mu a \theta \bar{\omega} s]$ in a tactless manner; as one who is ignorant of the ways of polite society. oiкcícs in a friendly, considerate manner, as one familiar with them. $\tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \sigma a ́ \theta \eta s$ the Scholiast explains by $\tau 0 \hat{u}$ aîooiou.
 $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a ~ \eta ̂ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ~ \tau \iota$.-Scholiast.
 She will have the Laconians stand together on one side and the Athenians on the other, that when she pleases she may address each party in turn.
1125. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu a v \tau \eta s]$ of myself, that is, in respect of my own native wit ( $\epsilon \xi \xi$ avit $\bar{s} s$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ф́v $\sigma \omega \omega$, Bisetus) as distinguished from what had been derived from others. I am not badly off, she means, oủ kakळิs ' $\chi \omega$, in respect of my original genius; and in addition to that, I have not been badly trained, ov̉ $\mu \epsilon \mu$ ои́бшдаь какюิs. This line is taken, the Scholiast tells us, from "Melanippe the Philosopher," a once famous Play of Euripides; and it is generally agreed that the preceding line, and also the two which follow, are in substance borrowed from the same
singular composition. They come, no doubt, as Wagner suggests (Fragm. Frag. Graec. ii. 254), from the opening sentences of Melanippe's celebrated oration, which formed the central feature of the Play and gave it its distinctive name, Mє入avimaj $\dot{\eta} \Sigma O \Phi H$. Melanippe, so the story ran, had borne twin sons, the fruit of an illicit intercourse with Poseidon, and had hidden them in the cowhouse. Her father, finding them there, and supposing them to be the monstrous progeny of his cows, was minded to purge his house from the pollution by committing them both to the flames. Thereupon Melanippe, eager to save her children, comes forward and delivers a long philosophic oration, thoroughly characteristic of Euripides but, as Aristotle (Poetics xv) observes, utterly inappropriate on the lips of Melanippe She commences with the commencement of the world when, according to Anaxagoras (of whom Euripides had been a follower in his youth), the whole universe, earth and sky, formed one uniform homogeneous mass which gradually severed itself into varieties of life, trees, birds, beasts, fishes, and human

тоѝs ס' '́k $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \lambda o ́ y o u s ~$
 $\lambda \alpha \beta o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \lambda^{\prime} \circ \iota \delta o \rho \eta \hat{\eta} \alpha \iota$ ßoú入o $\mu \alpha \iota$




beings. All these variations of species, she argues, are consequently mere accidents of form, overlying an absolute identity of essence; and there is nothing to surprise a philosopher (however strange it might seem to ordinary mortals) if one species should occasionally interchange with another, and cows give birth to human children as well as to calves. Why then condemn to death these innocent babes, who are no illomened portent, but merely what on high philosophic principles a cow might well be expected naturally to produce.-If the other lines are drawn from the same source they are in all probability considerably altered by Aristophanes, and the wisdom which Lysistrata attributes to herfather's teaching was by Melanippe ascribed to her mother. See the lines quoted from the same speech in the Commentary on Thesm. 14. After all this eloquence we can hardly wonder that the $M \epsilon \lambda a \nu i \pi \pi \eta$ бoф $\eta$, "the philosophic Melanippe," of the first Tragedy became
 Melanippe," of the second.
1130. Bwhois? The Scholiast says rovis av̉roùs $\Theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon ร, ~ b u t ~ L y s i s t r a t a ' s ~$ language goes far beyond that. Not
only do they worship the same Gods, they worship them at the selfsame altars, and sprinkle those altars as they walk around them with lustral water from one and the selfsame laver. (For this circumambulation of the altar with the laver see Peace $956-8$, Birds 850 , 896, 958.) And this they do $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \xi v \gamma-$ $\gamma \in \nu \in i s$, for these Panhellenic festivals were based on the idea of a common kinship. "Ye little know the Athenians," was their answer to Sparta, "if ye think that they could betray to the Barbarians тò 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ’ o ̀ \nu ~ o ̈ ~ о а а ь \mu o ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~$

 last chapter.
1131. 'Oגv ${ }^{\text {( }}$ pian and Pythian festivals are too well known to require any comment. חú入aıs refers to the Пvлaia, the annual autumnal meeting of the Amphictyonic Council in the neighbourhood of Thermopylae ; to which the Athenians sent every year a deputation consisting of one '1єро $\mu \nu \eta \mu \omega \nu$ and three Пuдаүópa. The Scholiast tells us that this whole line is taken from the Erechtheus of Euripides ; and another grammarian ascribes line 1135 to the same poet. Some have thought there-

## ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \beta \alpha \rho \beta \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{r} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$

" $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha s$ ä $\nu \delta \rho \alpha s$ каì $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \tau \epsilon$.




fore that the Scholium here shonld be transferred to line 1135 ; but it is more reasonable to suppose that both statements are correct. The whole passage, indeed Lysistrata's whole speech, whether borrowed from Tragedy or not, is in a distinctly Tragic style. See Wagner's Tragic Fragments ii. 186.
1133. 'ं $\chi \theta \rho \bar{\nu} \nu \pi а \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu] ~ T h e ~$ Scholiast says $\omega s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Lambda a \kappa \omega \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ $\sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o \iota s$ ßapßápots. And with this almost all the Commentators agree. But although the Laconians were in fact at this moment in alliance with the Persians (Thuc. viii. 18, \&c.), it seems impossible that there can be any special allusion to that circumstance here. In this section of her speech Lysistrata is expressly confining herself to actions for which both parties are equally blamable; nor are the words apt for describing an alliance between one party and the Barbarians. In my judgement the expression $\epsilon^{\chi} \chi \theta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ таро́vт $\omega \nu$ ßapßáp $\omega \nu$ means in the presence of ourbarbariar enemies. These interHellenic contests are always hateful; they are simple madness when we know that our Barbarian enemies are all the while looking on, readly to
take advantage of our self-inflicted misfortunes.
1136. áтó $\lambda \lambda \nu \mu a t] \mathrm{He}$ is not referring to his former condition; he is speaking of the straits to which he is reduced by Lysistrata's charms. Cf. infra 1148, 1158. These little farcical interruptions are intended as a set-off to the real earnestness of Lysistrata's harangue.
1138. Пєрьклєiठas] In order to remind the Spartans of their obligations to Athens, Lysistrata appeals to the events which happened at the outbreak of what is sometimes called the Third Messenian War, 464 в. c. It was the year when the great earthquake shook down almost every house in Sparta, and the Messenian helots, rising against their masters, established themselves in the mountain fortress of Ithome. The Spartans, unskilled in sieges, and in sore distress amid the ruins of their city, called upon their allies for help. Pericleidas was the officer sent to Athens to implore the assistance of the Athenians, which was granted at the instance of Cimon ; Plutarch, Cimon 16. Probably Cimon and Pericleidas were friends, and it is noteworthy that while the former named one of his sons Lacedaemonius (Plutarch

#  <br>  $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ; \dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{M} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ тóтє <br>  <br> $\epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ ס̀̀ $\sigma \grave{v} \nu$ ó $\pi \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i ́ o \iota s$ <br>  <br> $\tau \alpha v \tau i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \theta$ óvтєs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu$ v̈ $\pi$ 。 

ubi supra），the latter named one of his ＇A $\theta$ ク́valos（Thnc．iv．119）．Accordingly Cimon at once proceeded $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota$ oủk $\dot{ } \lambda i \gamma \omega$ ，says Thucydides（i．102），＂with 4,000 hoplites，＂says Aristophanes，to take part in the siege of Ithome．Lysis－ trata was fully justified in instancing this expedition as an act of friendly，and even generous，feeling on the part of the Athenians towards Sparta；but when she proceeds to say that it ${ }_{o} \lambda \eta \nu$ $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ 几akє $\delta a i \mu o \nu a$ ，she is presuming on the forgetfulness of her hearers about events which happened more than half a century before．For the Athenian con－ tingent effected nothing，not from any fault of their own，but because the Spartans，suspicious of their restless and innovating spirit，and possibly dis－ cerning some signs of sympathy on their－ part with the beleaguered Messenians， took the earliest opportunity of dis－ pensing with their services：a proceed－ ing naturally resented by the Athenians；

 says Thucydides i． 102.

1140．ف́xpòs év фoevekiôr］with ut red cout but a white face．That the Spartan military uniform was of a red colour we
have seen in the Commentary on Ach． 320．Here the colour of his uniform is contrasted with the colour of his cheeks which were pallid（ $\omega$ रoos）from fear． The Lacedaemonians were thoroughly alarmed，but of course the description of Pericleidas is a mere comic exaggera－ tion．

1142．$\chi \dot{\omega}$ Өєòs $\sigma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ］That is，Poseidon ovimi Taıváp＠$\theta \in o ̀ s$ ，who was the sender of earthquakes，and the violation of whose sanctuary at Taenarum was supposed to have provoked the earthquake of 464 b．c．See the Commentary on Ach． 510.

1145．тavrì ma甘óvtєs］The 4,000 men whom Cimon led to Ithome were not all Athenian citizens．The gallant little town of Plataea，always identifying it－ self with the great Ionian city，sent no less than a third of its numbers to assist the Lacedaemonians in their straits． And thirty－seven years afterwards in their mock trial before the Spartans，the Plataeans，pleading for their lives， appeal not only to their heroism in the Persian wars，but also，just as Lysistrata does here，to the succour they gave the Spartans at the present conjuncture．


## 

$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ ov̂̃oı $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta \hat{i}, \hat{\omega} \Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta$.

 oúk ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ oi $\Lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon S ~ \alpha \hat{v} \theta \iota s ~ \alpha \hat{v}$ 1150

öтє $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ס̀̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \ell \sigma \tau o s$ фóßos $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~ т \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\Sigma_{\pi \alpha ́ \rho т \eta \nu} \mu \epsilon \tau$ à тòv $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu \grave{\partial} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ द's ' $\mathrm{I} \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$

 єìkòs à $\mu \nu \eta \mu \nu \nu \epsilon i \nu$, Thuc. iii. 54.
1148. äфatov és ка入ós] He is admiring Lysistrata ; cf. Peace 868, 876.
 to let you off. The charges which she had brought against the Lacedaemonians had made the Athenians jump to the conclusion that they themselves were in no way to blame. Now therefore she turns to them.
 adduced of the Athenian friendship for Sparta was the assistance they gave her in the siege of Ithome: the proof of the Spartan friendship for Athens is the assistance they gave her in completing the work of Harmodius and Aristogeiton and expelling the last of the Tyrants. Yet in this case, as in the former, the friendly act had a very unsatisfactory sequel. The expulsion of the Peisistratidae is described in much the same way by Herodotus (v. 63-5) and Aristotle (Polity of Athens, chap. 19). The Lacedaemonians, constantly urged by

poûv, first sent by sea a body of troops under Anchimolius for that purpose. Anchimolius was defeated and slain by the Thessalian cavalry, 1,000 in number, in the pay of Hippias. Thereupon Cleomenes led a larger force by land, defeated the Thessalian cavalry, and besieged Hippias in the Acropolis. By a happy stroke of good fortune, the besiegers captured the children of Hippias, who thereupon, to save his children, agreed to leave Attica forthwith. Yet very shortly afterwards Cleomenes was himself besieged in the Acropolis by the Athenian people, with the result mentioned supra 273-82.
1151. катшуáкая] The катшу́ккク was a thick woollen garment, mostly worn by slaves (cf. Eccl. 724), the lower part being composed of sheepskin (уíкп) whence it derived its name. Lysistrata speaks as if under the Peisistratidae all Athenians were compelled to wear this servile dress, which is quite incredible; so tyrannical an edict could not have escaped the notice of Herodotus and other ancient writers. And we know from Theopompus that the necessity was imposed ouly upon certain returned exiles who were permitted to dwell in

```
\pio\lambda\lambdaov̀s }\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu\ddot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\alphas \Theta\epsilon\tau\tau\alpha\lambda\omega\hat{\omega
\pio\lambda\lambdaov̀s \delta' €̇\tau\alphaípovs 'I\pi\piíov к\alphaì \xiv\mu\muá\chiovs,
\xiv\nu\epsilon\kappa\mu\alpha\chi
\kappa\alphả\lambda\epsilonv0'́\rho\rho\omega\sigma\alpha\nu, к\dot{\alpha}\nu\tauì \tau\eta\s к\alpha\tau\omega\nu\alpháк\etas
\tauò\nu \delta\hat{\eta}\muo\nu \dot{v}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \chi\lambda\alphaiv\nu\alpha\nu \eta}\mu\pi\iota\sigma\chi\chio\nu \pi\alphá\lambda\iota\nu
\(\Lambda \mathrm{A}\). oü \(\pi \alpha\) रvvaîk' ơ \(\pi \omega \pi \alpha\) Х \(\alpha \ddot{\omega} \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu\).
```



```
\(\Lambda \Upsilon\). \(\tau i ́ \delta \bar{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \bar{\omega} \nu\)
```



Attica, but not to enter the city, and who wore this distinctive badge that they might not slip in unnoticed. Moeris, who introduces the word кat由ขáк $\eta$, apparently without any reason, into his "Attic and Hellenic words,"



 ขáкŋ̀ форєiv. But other writers are not so careful and, probably from taking Lysistrata's words too literally, declare that the "Tyrants" did really compel the Athenians generally to wear the кит $\omega \boldsymbol{\imath}$ ík $\eta$. Thus Pollux (vii. 68) says, $\dot{\eta}$



 є's ä ävv кatíćval. And so, omitting the reference to the Sicyonian катшдакофópot, Hesychius and Suidas s.v. No doubt many of the exiles would be men of position and substance who, if they clected to return to Attica, would have to assume the scrvile катшעáк $\eta$.
115.5. ג̀vтi т $\hat{\eta}_{S} \kappa a \tau \omega \nu a ́ к \eta s$ ] In some-
what similar language, Arrian tells us, Alexander the Great pointed out to his Macedonians the benefits which his father had conferred upon them. $\chi^{\lambda \alpha-}$ $\mu u ́ \delta a s$ he said $\dot{\nu} \mu i \nu \quad a ̀ \nu \tau i \quad \tau \omega ิ \nu \delta \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ форєiц є" $\delta \omega к є$, Expeditio Alexandri vii. 9.
1157. रaîшт́̇ $\rho a \nu]$ a nobler woman. Cf. supra 90 . They are both more attracted by the charms of her person than by the force of her arguments.
1159. vim $\eta \rho \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ] when so many and such great services have been rendered by each to the other:

 We at all events are willing (to make friends) if we can get the ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \cup к \lambda о \nu$ restored to us . The desire of recovering their lost possessions is for the moment merged in their love for Lysistrata, and their reciprocal demands are throughout worded with reference to her dress and person. The restoration of Pylus had been for years so paramount an object to the Lacedaemonians that Aristophanes could not but make it their demand here also, but he diverts it to Lysistrata by calling it the $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma к v \kappa \lambda о \nu$ which, as regards her, means

## $\tau i ́ \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\delta \iota \eta \lambda \lambda a ́ \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon ; \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon, \tau i ́ \tau o u ̉ \mu \pi o \delta \omega ́ v ;$

$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$.


$$
\lambda \hat{\eta} \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu . \quad \Lambda \Upsilon . \pi o i o \nu, \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu ;
$$

$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu$ Пú入ov,

## $\hat{\alpha} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \quad \delta \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ каì $\beta \lambda \iota \mu \alpha ́ \tau \tau о \mu \epsilon s$.

$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. $\mu \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \Pi о \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega}$, тоиิто $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ oủ $\delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.


$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Tò $\delta \in i ̂ \nu \alpha$ тoívvv, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta_{0} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o v \tau o \nu i ̀$
the womanly mantle, supra 113 (hence тоѝто, ঠөєкт兀кюิs) ; as regards Pylus, the
 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \Pi \dot{u} \lambda o \nu$, says the Scholiast, ס̀à $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \chi \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho / \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$. With respect to the Athenians, however, the poet's fancy was more unrestricted, and he selects just those names as will best apply to Lysistrata; Echinus (rò yovackeiovai̊oiồ), the Maliac bay ( $\mathrm{M} \eta \lambda \iota o \kappa o ̀ v ~ к o ́ \lambda \pi о \nu$, sinum pomissimilem), and the "Megarica crura."
1164. $\beta \lambda \iota \mu a ́ \tau \tau о \mu \epsilon s]$ à ài тoù $\psi \eta \lambda a \phi \widehat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ каї $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu o \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$. -Scholiast. $\hat{a} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ for $\grave{j} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$. Notwithstanding their misfortunes, the Athenians were still keeping a firm grip upon Pylus (supra 104); and its recapture, though not of such absolutely vital necessity to Sparta as it had seemed ten years before (Peace 219), was still a matter of the greatest importance; and two years after the date of the Lysistrata, we find the Spartans besieging it both by land and by sea. But the besieging fleet consisted of eleven triremes only, and the Athenians dispatched a fleet of thirty ships under Anytus (afterwards the accuser of Socrates) to relieve the town. Anytus failed
to round Cape Malea, and returned to Athens having effected nothing, and leaving Pylus to its fate. He was brought to trial for treason ( $\pi \rho \circ \delta o \sigma i a$ ), and only escaped by bribing the dicasts; Diodorus Siculus xiii. 64 ; Polity of Athens, chap. 27. So Pylus, having been in the hands of the Athenians for fifteen years, passed again into the possession of Sparta.
1165. Побєє $\delta \hat{\omega}]$ He appeals to Poseidon because by means of the sea the Athenians first obtained possession of Pylus, and by the same means only can
 ye won't do that, he means "you won't get Pylus."
1166. кıvض́бoبev] As regards Pylus, this refers to the opportunities which its possession gave to Athens of stirring up troubles and risings amongst the Messenians. As regards Lysistrata, the word is used про̀s rò какє́ $\mu$ фатоу.
1168. тò $\delta \in i \bar{i} v a]$ See on 921 supra. He is humming and hawing as though unable to decide off hand what places he will demand in exchange for Pylus. The word tovoovi at the end of the line shows

## $\pi \rho \omega ́ т \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ тò ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}_{\chi \iota \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$ тò $\mathrm{M}_{\eta} \eta \lambda \iota \hat{\alpha}$ кóл $\pi \sigma \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ o ́ \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{M} \epsilon \gamma \alpha \rho \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \in ́ \lambda \eta \eta$.

$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$. oủ $\tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \iota \omega$, oủ $\chi^{i} \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \gamma^{\prime}, \grave{\omega} \lambda v \sigma \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \epsilon$.


АA. Є́ $\gamma \grave{\omega} \delta^{\epsilon} \kappa о \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \bar{\eta} \nu \gamma \alpha \pi \rho \varrho ̀ \nu \alpha \iota \imath \tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \iota \omega$.
$\Lambda \Upsilon . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta ̀ \nu \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta ิ \tau \epsilon, \tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$ ס $\rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.



that when he does name them he also points them out on Lysistrata's person.
1169. 'E $\chi$ เขoūขта к.т.入.] The places are selected, not on their own account, but solely from the applicability of their names to the person of Lysistrata ; and Paulmier's speculations as to the historical reasons which made the speaker demand them, however ingenious, are altogether misplaced. Under cover of these names the Athenian is really endeavouring to possess himself of the lower half of Lysistrata's person; an unexpectedly large demand which the Laconian indignantly describes as wanting everything. Such a description would have been absurd if it were intended to refer merely to the three insignificant places mentioned. Echinus was a town on the Maliac bay, the bay which came up to the east of the pass of Thermopylae. The bay was, of course, in front of Echinus, and both Scholiast and Commentators have puzzled themselves over the words $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\partial} \nu$ ö $\pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$, the Scholiast suggesting that there was
a lake at the back of the town, and some of the Commentators supposing that a creek from the bay ran up into its rear. But all this is mere trifling. In my judgement the words have nothing to do with the town, but apply exclusively to Lysistrata's person. The speaker could not help using the word кó入tos, because everybody called the bay rò M $\eta \lambda t a ̂$ кó $\lambda \pi$ ò (Aesch. Persae 488, Hdt. iv. 33) ; but he means it to represent not her bosom, but her $\pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau$ òs (supra 1148); and to make this quite clear he calls it тò $\nu$ кód $\pi o \nu$ тò̀ ${ }^{\circ \prime} \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$. The $\mathrm{M} \epsilon \gamma a \rho \iota \kappa \grave{a}$ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ are the Long Walls which connected the town of Megara with its port of Nisaea. These walls had been erected by the Athenians nearly half a century before the date of the Lysistrata, when Megara was in close alliance with Athens (Thuc. i. 103); and were levelled to the ground by the Megarians themselves during the winter of 424-423 в.c. (Thuc. iv. 109). Long Walls of this description went by the name of $\sigma \kappa$ é $\lambda \eta$, legs, т̀̀ $\mu$ ккрф̀ $\sigma \kappa є ́ \lambda \eta$; and that is the sole reason of their mention here: they
oủ $\tau \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta o ́ \xi ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \alpha ́ X o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \nu \widehat{̣ ̂} \nu$,
$\beta \iota \nu \in i ̋ \imath$ ä $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$;

## $\Lambda \mathrm{A}$.

тоîб८ yov̂v $\nu \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \iota \omega$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota$. A




$\kappa \alpha ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau 0 \hat{v} \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \imath ̂ \chi$ ’ $\dot{\nu} \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \alpha \beta \grave{\nu} \nu$

complete the description of what the Athenian was anxious to obtain.
1171. $\widehat{\omega}$ गvorávic] you lunatic. $\widehat{\omega} \mu a t-$ $\nu o ́ \mu \epsilon v \epsilon$.-Scholiast. The Laconian is naturally indignant that, whilst his own modest demand was confined to one article of Lysistrata's raiment, the Athenian should be demanding half her person.
1173. $\gamma \nu \mu \nu o ́ s]$ Berglerrefersto Virgil's well-known precept Nudus ara, sere mudus (Georg. i. 299), the commencement of a line which (when Virgil was reciting his poem) some Roman wag completed by ejaculating habebis frigora, febrem. But of course Virgil was merely translating Hesiod's $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu, \gamma v \mu \nu \partial ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\beta o \omega \tau \epsilon \in \nu, ~ Г \nu \mu \nu \partial ̀ \nu ~ \delta \delta ’ ~ a ̀ \mu a ̂ \sigma \theta a l, ~ W . ~ a n d ~ D . ~$ 391. The Scholiast's explanation of the present line is àma入入aүєis toû mòє́ $\mu$ оv $\gamma v \mu \nu$ òs $\beta$ oúdoual $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma \epsilon \bar{\nu}$, and of the next

 like the Athenian allies after the termination of the Persian wars, who are described by Plutarch (Cimon, chap. 11)


doubtless in both these lines there is an
 Sophocles speaks in Antigone 569. Lysistrata, naturally, ignores the innuendo, and, in her reply, raî̃a merely means, as the Scholiast says, Tì $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\gamma \epsilon \omega$ prias.
 Carystians see the note on 1058 supra.

 was an ó $\neq 0 \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$, a provision-basket, an
 and Suidas define it. See Acharnians 1086 and the Commentary there.
1185. öpkovs kai mictev] oaths and the handelasp. We were told in Ach. 308 (wheresee the Commentary) that neither öpкos nor miots could avail to bind the Laconians. But the old Acharnians, who were the speakers there, were the representatives of the War-party. Lysistrata is the protagonist of the Peace-party; and she agrees with Dicaeopolis that the Laconians were not always entirely and exclusively to blame in their controversies with Athens.


| XO． | $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau^{\prime} \omega \nu$ סѐ $\pi о<\kappa i ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ каı̀ | $[\sigma \tau \rho$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | X $\rho v \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ ，ö $\sigma^{\prime}$＇̇ $\sigma \tau i ́ \mu o l$ ， oủ $\phi \theta$ óvos ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \tau i ́ \mu o l$ | 1190 |
|  | $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \pi \alpha \rho \bar{\chi} \chi \in \iota \nu$ ф＇́¢ $\rho \in \iota \nu$ |  |
|  | тoîs $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma i ้ \nu$ ，ото́т $\alpha \nu \quad \tau \in \theta v \gamma$ á－ |  |
|  | $\tau \eta \rho \tau \tau \nu \grave{\kappa \alpha}$ к$\downarrow \eta \phi \circ \rho \hat{\eta}$ ． |  |
|  | $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \nu \lambda \bar{\lambda} \gamma \omega$ | 1195 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Хрך $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \omega \nu \nu \nu \bar{\nu} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta o \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，каi |  |
|  |  |  |


1189．$\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu$ áтш к．т．入．］Lysistrata re－ tires into the Acropolis，accompanied by the Athenian and Laconian ambassadors， to partake of the promised entertain－ ment．We shall presently see the am－ bassadors returning from the banquet in a very friendly and convivial mood， and enlivening the remainder of the Play with a succession of songs and dances．The Chorus take advantage of their absence to continue the series of stanzas which they commenced supra 1043－71．The Scholiast says that these
 xopor̂，meaning that the four stanzas form one connected whole，and that the present pair are merely a section of that whole．They are linked on to the former pair by the particle $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ ．The third stanza opens with a free offer to the audience of valuable ornaments and
splendid attire．$\Sigma \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$ токкìa are embroidered corerlets to be spread over couches and the like．Xגaviota are fine woollen mantles worn by both men and women．The diminutive is probably used to denote their preciousness rather than their size．That the $\chi$ davis was considered an elegant and fashionable garment is shown by the circumstance that Peisthetaerus，going up to Heaven to wed Miss Royalty，calls for a ya $\mu \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ $\chi^{\lambda} a v i \bar{\partial} a$, Birds 1693．Cf．Id．1116．The $\chi^{\lambda a \nu i s ~ w a s ~ a n ~ i \mu a ́ t i o v, ~ b u t ~ t h e ~ \xi ́ g o r i s ~ w a s ~}$ an ëvঠvua，a long embroidered robe reach－ ing to the ground，and commonly used on the Tragic stage．Ėvatis रvvaucióv

 ढ゙vòvนa．－Photius，Hesychius．But Pho－

入ats（70）．The noble who is there

```
\(\theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}\) oủXi
тov̀s pंv́rous \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha l\),
```



```1200
ơ \(\psi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}\) oú \(\delta \grave{\iota} \nu\) \(\sigma \kappa о \pi \hat{\omega} \nu, \epsilon i\)
\(\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\)
óǵví \(\epsilon \rho \circ \nu\) '́ \(\mu о \hat{\nu} \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota\).
```




```
\(\sigma \mu i \kappa \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ \alpha\),
1205
```



```
\(\pi \nu \rho i \delta \delta \iota \alpha \in \pi \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu\),
ó \(\delta^{\prime}\) ä \(\rho\) тos \(\dot{\alpha} \pi\) ò \(\chi^{\text {oívıкos } i-~}\)
```

wearing the $\xi v a r i s$ is not riding, but reclining in his chariot. Xpvoia are golden trinkets such as, we have already seen in Ach. 258, were commonly worn by каขךфó $\rho \circ$. $\chi \rho v \sigma o \phi о \rho о \hat{v} \sigma \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a i ~$ кадךфо́роь, says the Scholiast here. But of course their use was not confined to каขךфópol. All girls wore them, Birds 670. As to the кадŋфо́рor see supra 646.
1197. oű $\omega \mathrm{c}$ єủ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \dot{a} \nu \theta a \iota]$ to have been sealed so firmly. The infinitive, like the $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ which precedes, and the форєì which follows it, is governed by the $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega$ of 1195 .
1200. Toùs fónous àvaनtáбal] to pull off the seals. тov̀s $\rho$ र́mous ${ }^{*}$ ràs $\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i ̂ a s^{*}$
 properly means divt ; and thence, since the Athenians used clay, as we use wax, for sealing, it became equivalent to sealing wax. Kuster refers to Pollux x. 59

 $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ r \eta$ 'Apıбтoфávŋs. And to Hesychius
 $\lambda \epsilon$ 'yovatv. The old name lingered on, even after wax had become substituted for clay.
 $\mu \eta \delta i \epsilon ̀ \nu \in \mathfrak{i} \nu a \iota \notin \notin \nu \delta o v$. -Scholiast. This is the third. " sell."
1207. ápros àmò Xoivıкоs] that is, one loaf to a choenix of wheat. We are told by the Scholiast on Wasps 440 that a choenix of wheat was sufficient for four large loaves or eight small ones ; so that the loaf which the Chorus are here promising is equivalent to four large loaves rolled into one. The wheat was ground very fine, $\lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \grave{a} ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$, but the loaf into which it was made was, in a different sense, a very fine one, $\mu$ áda veavías. Cf. Plutus 1137. All this is of course merely leading up to the fourth "sell."

> סєîv $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \nu \in \alpha \nu i ́ \alpha s$.
> ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$ oû̀ $\beta$ oú $\epsilon \tau \tau \iota$
> $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ iैт $\omega$
$\kappa \omega \rho u ́ \kappa o u s, \dot{\omega} s \lambda \eta \psi^{\prime} \in \tau \alpha \iota \pi v$ -
poús' ò $\mathrm{M} \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} s \delta^{\prime}$
oú $\mu o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ ~ \epsilon ~ \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i . ~ . ~$
$\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau 0 \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ Өúpa $\nu$
$\pi \rho \circ \alpha \gamma 0 \rho \epsilon \cup ́ \omega \mu \eta े \beta a \delta i \S \epsilon \iota \nu$
$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu, \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
$\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ тク̀ $\nu$ кúva.

АГ. А. $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu 0 \iota \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \dot{v} \rho \alpha \nu$.
ఆr. $\quad \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i ̀ ~ o u ̛ ~ \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon i s ; ~$
 ن́ $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$ катакаи́бш; фортікòv тò $\chi^{\omega}$ рío.
1211. кюри́коия] wallets. The кต́puкоs does not seem to have differed materially from the $\theta i ́ \lambda a к o s . ~ B o t h ~ H e s y c h i u s ~ a n d ~$ Photius define it by $\theta u \lambda a ́ k ı o v, ~ H e s y c h i u s ~$
 $\dot{a} \sigma \kappa \hat{\omega}$. The Scholiast here and Suidas (s. v. бáкos and elsewhere) say that it is a bread-basket, $\pi \lambda$ є́ $\gamma \mu$ а $\delta є \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu$ č $\rho \tau \omega \nu$. As to Manes see supra 908.
 the log, "Cave canem."
1216. äעoryє $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Ov́pav] We are now preparing for the return of the revellers from Lysistrata's banquet; but before they re-enter, we are regaled with a little squabble between the Porter and some idle fellows crowding about the door, which may remind the English reader of the Porter-scene in Shake-
speare's Henry the Eighth v. 3. The Aristophanic Porter-scene appears to be a satire on the artifices employed by contemporary dramatists to elicit the laughter of the audience. A group of idlers approach from the market-place, and propose to join the banqueters within. One of them hails the Porter, and calls on him to open the door. The Porter orders him off. Thereupon the intruder threatens to set fire with his torch to the Porter and his assistants. Doubtless this had been done in the scene satirized. But the Aristophanic idler draws back, and says that this is a vulgar trick and he won't do it. Nevertheless, on second thoughts, if the audience really like that sort of thing, he will endeavour to gratify their tastes. How-

## $\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H$

 $\dot{v} \mu i \nu \chi^{\alpha} \rho \dot{i} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \in \nu$ ．
АГ．В．$\chi \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \widehat{i} \gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma o \hat{v} \xi v \nu \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha / \pi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．
$\Theta \Upsilon$ ．оủk $\ddot{\alpha} \pi \iota \tau \epsilon ; \kappa \omega \kappa u ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \tau \rho i ́ \chi \alpha s ~ \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ́$.
 $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi^{i ́ \alpha \nu} \dot{\alpha} \pi i ́ \omega \sigma \iota \nu \quad \mathcal{U}^{\omega} \omega \chi \eta \mu \in \in \nu 0 \iota$ ；

$\hat{\eta}$ каi $\chi^{\alpha \rho i ́ \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon S ~} \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ oi $\Lambda \alpha \kappa \omega \nu \iota к о i ́ . ~$





 $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ои̉к $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о и ́ \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，
ever the revellers are now moving to come out，and the Porter drives the whole group of idlers from the door． The scene is variously arranged．I ad－ here to the arrangement adopted in the former edition of my translation．

1217．$\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i i_{s} \tau i{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\eta} \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}$ ；］This is ad－ dressed to the Porter and his assistants， who instead of rising to open the door remain quietly in their seats．
1218．форт兀кò̀ тò $\chi \omega \rho i o \nu]$ On фортєкòv see the Commentary on Wasps 58．ті̀ $\chi \omega p i o \nu$ seems to mean the office，the busi－ ness．The Scholiast explains it by rò
 the Porter，he means，is a low and vul－ gar trick，the stock business $\phi$ optikn̄s кш $\mu \omega \delta i a s$ ，and he will not stoop to that． Still if he must do it to please the
audience，ípiv ұapíara⿱艹at，he will descend even to that buffoonery．$\epsilon i \bar{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \beta$ ovid $\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ， $\bar{\omega}$
 i $\mu i v$ ．－Scholiast．

1222．кшкívढєөє тàs трíxas］ye shall wail for your hair，which I will pull out by the handful．See supra 448 and the note on Plutus 612.

1223．oi Sákळขєs］Athenians，as well as Laconians，are now coming out from the banquet；indeed the first to re－enter are two Athenian friends talking to each other of what has occurred within．But all the idlers are Athenians，and the Porter specially refers to the Laconians because they are the visitors and must be treated with respect．

1229．$\hat{\eta} \nu$ Tovs＇AOnvaious］if the Athe－ mians would follow my advice．

# à $\delta^{\prime}$ oú $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ v́ $\pi о \nu \epsilon \nu о \eta ́ \kappa \alpha \mu \in \nu$. $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon \nu \delta^{\prime}$ oú $\tau \alpha u ̉ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha u ̛ \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \rho l$. $\nu v \nu i \delta^{\prime} \quad \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \cdot \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \gamma^{\prime} \tau i s$   



1235. ov̉ raủád This in all probability refers to some recent negotiations in which, we may suppose, the Athenian envoys, returning from Sparta, brought back differing tales as to what had occurred during their mission there.
 catch. We have seen in the Commentary on Wasps 1222 the principle on which scolia were sung at an Athenian symposium, a principleadmirably expounded and illustrated by Colonel Mare, Greek Literature iii. 2.13. As the lyre, sprig of myrtle, scolium-cup ( $\omega$ © òos), or other badge of minstrelsy was passed on from one guest to another, the recipient for the time being was expected to cap the scolium sung by the previous holder,
that is to say, to sing a scolium which should be linked on to the former by some catchword, similarity of thought, or the like. In the present case we are to suppose that the preceding scolium was one which could be properly capped only by some such catch as the Cleitagora scolium, a part of which (being all we know of it) is given in Wasps 1245, \&c.
$\chi \rho \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ кaì Bíav
$\mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon i \tau \alpha \gamma o ́ \rho \alpha$ тє кả-
$\mu o i ̀ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ © $\Theta \tau \tau \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$.

But instead of singing this, or any scolium of a similar character, the recipient, perhaps a little overcome by wine, trolls out the irrelevant Telamon catch, which is given in Athenaeus xy. 50 , and runs as follows :-



On an ordinary occasion such a blunder would have exposed the singer to derision, but in their present state of conviviality and friendship it merely evokes a kindly approval. As to the Cleitagora catch see the Commentary on Wasps ubi supra.
1238. каі̀ тро̀s ém $\pi \omega \rho к \grave{j} \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ] And besidespraising him, we should swear(falsely) that he was right.
1239. ä $\lambda \lambda$ ' oúroi $i]$ That is, the idlers whom he had driven away before on the ground that the Laconians were coming out. As the Laconians have not made their appearance the idlers conclude that it was a false alarn, and again come thronging to the door. But now the banquet is over, and, peace being effectually made, the ambassqdors are really leaving the Acropolis. Hence-

АА．ふ̂ $\pi o v \lambda \nu \chi \alpha \rho i ́ \delta \alpha, \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha} \phi \nu \sigma \alpha \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota \alpha$,


 1245

$\Lambda \mathrm{A}$ ．
ö $\rho \mu \alpha 0 \nu$
forth the phallus－element，which was necessary for the attainment of Peace，is altogether discarded，and Aristophanes is free to indulge in those pure lyrical melodies which form so brilliant a setting to his comic wit．
1242．фvaatípua］bagpipes．тoùs aù入oùs， àmò тov̂ фuбâv．－Scholiast．Apparently this appeal is addressed not to the regu－ lar theatrical aì $\eta \tau \bar{\eta} s$ ，but to another of the Laconians on the stage．One is to pipe and the other to sing and dance to his piping．
1243．סıтoo̊áǵ $\omega$ ］dance a reel．toîs
 סıлодía．－Scholiast．The סıाँooía was a

 thius（at Iliad ix．525）．סıтоסiu，öp $\neq \mu a$
 and sing a good song．Even apart from
 conversation shows that the ensuing song is to be a solo sung upon the stage ； and so it is treated by the Ravenna and， seemingly，all the other MSS．，and all the early editions．Unfortunately the concluding song（infra 1297－1322）is absurdly attributed to a $\chi \circ \rho \dot{s} \stackrel{\Lambda a \kappa \omega ่ \nu \omega \nu}{ }$ by some if not all of the MSS．There was
not，and indeed could not have been， any＂Chorus of Laconians．＂For whence could they come？when did they enter？ and，where are they stationed？The only Chorus in the Play consists of the twelve Athenian men and twelve Athenian women now acting together， but formerly composing two hostile Semichoruses．There was no place in the orchestra or on the stage for any other Chorus，and the error in the ascription of the final song should have been rectified from the unanimity with which the present one is ascribed to лáк $\omega \nu$ or $\Pi \rho$＇́ $\sigma \beta v$ s．But a different course has prevailed；and Dindorf and some other recent editors have intensified the error by attributing the present song also to a non－existent＂Chorus of Laco－ nians．＂
1247．öp $\rho a=\nu$ к．т．入．］Now then the Spartan envoy begins his song，to the piping of the Spartan minstrel．While he is singing he is also dancing，and it is possible that the other envoys are at the same time exhibiting on the boards of the Athenian theatre a specimen of the stately Spartan dance．He sings of the great deeds which Athens and Sparta did，when they stood shoulder to shoul－

```
\(\tau \grave{s} \kappa u \rho \sigma \alpha \nu i ́ \omega s, \bar{\omega} \mathrm{M} \nu \alpha \mu o ́ v \alpha\),
\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \nu \mu \hat{\omega} \alpha \nu, \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \tau \iota\)
oî \(\delta \in \nu\) d́ \(\mu\) è тoús \(\tau^{\prime}\) 'A \(\sigma \alpha \nu \alpha i ́ o v s, ~\)
ӧка тоì \(\mu \in ̀ \nu\) ध́ \(\pi^{\prime}\) ' 'А \(\rho \tau \alpha \mu \iota \tau i ́ \omega\)
\(\pi \rho o ́ к \rho о о \nu ~ \theta \epsilon i ́ k \in \lambda 0 \iota\)
\(\pi о \tau \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \hat{\alpha} \lambda \alpha\), тoùs Mńסous \(\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in i ́ k \omega \nu\),
\(\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{u} \Lambda \epsilon \omega \nu i ́ \delta \alpha s\)
\(\hat{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \bar{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \alpha ́ \pi \rho \omega s \quad 1255\)
\(\theta \alpha ́ \gamma 0 \nu \tau \alpha s, ~ o i \omega\), , \(\grave{o} \nu\) ó óóvta.
```



```
\(\pi 0 \lambda \dot{v} s \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \omega \bar{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \phi \rho o ̀ s ~ i ́ \epsilon \tau о\).
\(\hat{\eta} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \omega ้ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s\) oủk \(\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega s\)
der to resist the Persian invader; and prays to Artemis-one of the chief Spartan deities, and selected here, instead of her brother Apollo, in compliment to the women through whose efforts Peace had been made-that she will preside over the treaties and see to their faithful fulfilment by both the Powers. The song commences \(O\) Memory, stir up the youngsters to begin thy song
 [ \(\epsilon i s] ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu ~ \mu o v ̄ \sigma a \nu)\), the song which can tell of ourselves and of the Athenians, when they at Artemisium clashed like gods ( \(\theta\) єікє ships, and overthrew the Medes. By \(\tau\) мs кирбалíns we are to understand, the Scho-
 The construction of the opening words is, or rather if the preposition \(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\) is were inserted, would be, very common, as in Thuc. i. 127 [ \(\dot{\delta}\) Пє \(\rho \iota \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} s\) ] 's т̀̀̀ \(\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu\) \(\tilde{\omega}^{\rho} \mu \mu a\) roù 'A \(\begin{aligned} & \text { nvaious. But here, as the }\end{aligned}\) Scholiast notices, the preposition cis ( \(\dot{\eta}\)

єis \(\pi \rho o ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s\) ) is omitted, an omission which would be intolerable in Attic, and might be easily remedied by reading \(\begin{gathered}\text { ® } \\ \mathrm{M} \nu a \mu o ́ \nu a, ~\end{gathered}\) "s rà̀ \(\tau \epsilon a ̀ \nu ~ \mu \hat{\omega} a \nu\). The omission may however have been permissible in Doric, and the Scholiast's remark shows that the present reading was fully recognized as the right one in his time. It is unnecessary here to say anything of the splendid behaviour of the Athenian fleet at Artemisium or the undying glory of the Spartan stand at Thermopylae. Both are recorded, in language worthy of the events, by Herodotus at the close of his Seventh and beginning of his Eighth Book.
1253. \(\pi\) от \(\tau a ̀\) кầa] \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \lambda o i ́ a .-S c h o-~\) liast. The use of кầa, simpliciter, for \(\pi \lambda o i a\) in this Laconian song shows that the word bears the same meaning in the famous Laconian dispatch which, in the following year, was sent to the Ephors by a Peloponnesian officer after the battle of Cyzicus (Xen. Hell. i. 1. 23 ;

\section*{＾イミIミTPATH}
```

$\tau \hat{s} \psi \not{ }^{\alpha} \mu \mu \alpha s, \tau o i ̀ ~ \Pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \alpha \iota$.

```

```

$\mu o ́ \lambda \epsilon \delta \in \hat{v} \rho 0, \pi \alpha \rho \sigma \in ́ \nu \in \sigma \iota \grave{\alpha}$,
$\pi 0 \tau \tau \grave{\alpha} S$ $\sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta \grave{\alpha} S$,

```

```

1265
$\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime} a \hat{v}$
фi入ía т’ aiès єümopos єín
$\tau \alpha i s ~ \sigma v \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \iota s$,
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \alpha i \mu \nu \lambda \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \omega \nu$
$\pi \alpha v \sigma \alpha i ́ \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \cdot \stackrel{\otimes}{\omega}$
$\delta \in \hat{v} \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \theta \iota, \delta \in \hat{v} \rho^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}$

```

Plutarch，Alcibiades，chap．28），and which，notwithstanding the doubts of some eminent scholars，I am per－
suaded was，like so many important documents of antiquity，composed in metre（a choliambic distich）．
```

\epsilonॅрр\epsilont \tauà \kappaâ\lambdaа* Mív\deltaapos \delta' àm\epsilon\sigma\sigmaov́a.

```


The ships are wastit；Mindarus is gane；
The chiels are clemmed；we kenna what to do．

1257．àфрòs \(\left.{ }^{\eta} \nu \sigma \epsilon \epsilon\right]\) The foam blossomed （ \(\left.\eta_{\nu} \nu \epsilon \epsilon\right)\) ，that is，burst forth like a white flower．The picture of the wild boar ＂churning the white foam between his jaws＂is very familiar in both ancient and modern literature．\(\cdot\) Here the foam is described not only as gathering round the jaws，but also as streaming down the forelegs of the animal，кarr \(\bar{\omega} \nu\) for катд̀ \(\tau \bar{\omega} \nu\) ．The use of the sigma where the Attics used theta，\(\ddot{\eta} \nu \sigma \in \iota\) for \(\ddot{\eta} \nu \theta_{\epsilon}\) ，was very common with the Dorians：we have in this ode \(\sigma \eta \rho o к т o ́ v \epsilon\) for \(\theta \eta \rho o к т o ́ v \epsilon\), \(\pi a \rho \sigma \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \in\) for \(\pi a \rho \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime \nu} \varepsilon\) ，\(\sigma a ̀\) for \(\theta \in a ́\).
1262．＇A \(\gamma \rho o \tau\) épa］For this，as a title or epithet of Artemis，see Knights 660 and
the Commentary there．
1265．ovvé \(\chi\) ns］hold us together，like two of her own hounds，in one leash．

1269．\({ }^{a} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \in \kappa \omega \nu\) ］In Peace 1067 the Oracle－monger compares the Spartans as contrasted with the Athenians，\(\dot{d} \lambda \omega \pi \epsilon-\)
 And probably the word is here also intended specially for the Spartans， though in terms it extends to all the contracting parties．The epithet ai \(\mu\)－ \(\lambda a ̂ v\), false，shifty，deceitful，is equivalent to the סónta of the earlier comedy．The Scholiast＇s explanation of à \(\lambda \omega \pi \epsilon \in \kappa \omega \nu\) by \(\tau \omega ิ \nu \pi a \nu o u ́ \rho \gamma \omega \nu\) คๆтó \(\rho \omega \nu\) seems altogether wrong．

\section*{\(\kappa v \nu \alpha \gamma \epsilon ̀ \pi \alpha \rho \sigma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon\).}
\(\Lambda \Upsilon\). ä \(\gamma \epsilon \nu v \nu\), \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \alpha \not{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s\), \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha s, \dot{\omega} \Lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \in S, \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon\)

 ó \(\chi \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota\) \(\theta \epsilon o i ́ \sigma \iota \nu \in u ̉ \lambda \alpha \beta \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\) тò \(\lambda о \iota \pi o ̀ \nu \alpha \hat{v} \theta \iota \varsigma \mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \xi \alpha \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu \in \iota \nu\) 光 \(\tau \iota\).
1272. ä \(\gamma \epsilon \nu v \nu\) ] Lysistrata may possibly have come out with the envoys, but it is more probable that she lingered behind them for a few moments and only now reappears to wind up the proceedings. With her emerge both her own young and beautiful comrades and also the ladies of the Peloponnesian party who entered the Acropolis as hostages supra 244. The latter are the tav́ras whom the Laconians are to lead out to the dance: the former the ráo \(\delta \varepsilon\) whom the Athenians are to partner.
 of our good fortune. See the Commentary on Knights 406.
1279. \(\pi \rho о ́ \sigma \pi \gamma \epsilon\) ס̀̀ \(\chi\) оро́ข] Although the Laconian songs are sung by the Laconian on the stage, yet the Athenian song is unquestionably sung by the full Chorus dancing in the orchestria, whilst the actors are dancing on the stage. This is plain not merely from the opening words \(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma a \gamma \epsilon\) Хooò, but still more from the general tone and metrical arrangement of the song itself. The Chorus invoke the Gods to be present at the joyous festival of Peace, and to witness the solemnities with which it is inaugurated. And first they summon
the two great Dorian deities, Apollo and Artemis, and with them the Graces, the constant choir-companions of the Goddess. "For when Artemis has finished with the chase," sings the author of the Homeric Hymn in her honour, "she goes to the splendid home of her dear brother at Delphi, there to arrange the goodly dance of the Muses and the Graces,
 And she herself, hanging up her quiver and her bow, and robing herself in fair raiment, leads off the dance."
1280. '́mi \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\) кád \(\epsilon \sigma \circ \nu\) ] This division of the verb '่ \(\pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon \sigma о \nu}\) enables the poet with more lucidity to employ the preposition \(\epsilon \pi i\) alone in the following verses in the sense of \(\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma o \nu\). For \(\epsilon \pi i \grave{\imath}\) stands for the full verb in the clauses \(\epsilon \pi i \delta \dot{\epsilon}\)

1281. סíiv \(\mu \mathrm{o}\) ] Her twin brother, Apollo, her \(\delta i \delta v \mu о \nu\) кабi \(\gamma \nu \eta \tau о \nu\). He was the great Choirmaster of Heaven, à \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\chi} \chi o \rho o s, \text { start- }}\) ing the heavenly dances with the music of his golden lyre (Birds 219, 220, and the Commentary there). He is also the kindly Healer, for that is the meaning of 'I \(\dot{\prime}\) oos, whether the title is more directly connected with iáopat or with iŋ Паเ \(\omega\).

\section*{\(\Lambda \Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H\)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline XO. & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\
 Báкхıоs ő \(\mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \delta \alpha i ́ \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\), \(\Delta i ́ a ~ \tau \epsilon \pi v \rho i ̀ ~ ф \lambda \in \gamma o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \nu\), \\
 \\

\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
1283. Nú \(\sigma\) oov] As to this epithet of Dionysus see Frogs 215 and the note there.
1284. д̈ \(\mu \mu а є \iota\) баiєтат] If these words are correct they can only mean is afire with his eyes, sparkles fire from his eyes. In Odyssey vi. 131 Homer says of a lion
 interprets \(\pi v \rho \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \nu \beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota\) and explains \(\pi \nu \rho \grave{\imath}\)

1285. \(\Delta i ́ a \tau \epsilon]\) They have invoked Apollo and Artemis; and Dionysus the Lord of the festival at which they are all assisting. And they now invoke Zeus and Hera, the King and Queen of the Gods ; just as in the great dancesong in the Thesmophoriazusae, the women, after invoking Apollo and Artemis, go on to invoke "the matron Hera" (Thesm. 969-76); the invoca-
tion of Dionysus being there reserved to the closing stanzas of the ode. The phrase \(\pi v p i \quad \phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu\) is explained by
 кє \(\propto a v \nu \omega ิ \nu\).
1287. סainovas] The Scholiast's explana-
 though accepted without demur by all the Commentators, quite misses the point. The Chorus are referring to those secondary Powers whose special business it is to witness the conclusion of a treaty and to punish its infraction. The term émi \(\mu a \rho \tau v s\) is borrowed from
 the compact which preceded the single combat of Hector and Aias. And the סaíuoves are borrowed from the more important compact which preceded the single combat of Paris and Menelaus.






We need not suppose that the Poet had specially in his mind the Sun, the Rivers, the Earth, and the Powers under the earth. He uses a general term to
include all those deities whose particular province it is to be witnesses and guardians of a treaty.

\author{
 \\ 'Houxías \(\pi\) 'є́ \(\rho \iota \tau \bar{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o ́ \phi \rho o v o s\), خे \(\nu \in ́ \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \grave{\alpha}\) Kú \(\pi \rho \iota s\). \\ \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha i\) ì \(\pi \alpha \iota \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu^{\text {. }}\) \\ \(\alpha \nsupseteq \in \sigma \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \omega\), \(\grave{\alpha} \grave{ }\), \\ ஸ̀s є̇ \(\pi \grave{\imath} \nu i ́ k \eta, ~ i \alpha i ́ . ~\) \\ єن̉oî đủoî, єủaì đủaí.
}
1290. Kitpıs] That the success of the Women in bringing about a peace is due to the influence of Aphrodite the Goddess of Love is of course obvious, and is indeed recognized throughout the preliminary struggle. Cf. supra 551, 833. Peace is here called 'Hovxia since neither Eip \({ }^{\prime} \nu \eta\) nor \(\Delta a \lambda \lambda a y \grave{n}\) could be brought into these dactylics; and is described as \(\mu \epsilon\) ª̀ó \(^{\phi} \rho \omega \nu\), great-minded, because there has been no defeat or surrender on either side; Athens and Sparta were alike eager to make it, and are equally satisfied with its terms. 'Hovxia is styled à \(\gamma a \nu o ́ \phi \rho \omega v\) in Birds 1321, and фıлóppov in the eighth Pythian.
 the dance. We have seen at the commencement of this Play how closely the position of Lysistrata there resembles the position of Praxagora at the commencement of the Ecclesiazusae; and these final ejaculations of the Chorus here are repeated, with very little variation, as the final ejaculations of the Chorus in the later Comedy. These cries of victory are intended, not merely to celebrate the triumph of Lysistrata and her friends, but also to prognosticate the success of Aristophanes in the theatrical contest ; and the phrase ís éni vikn,
used both here and in the Ecclesiazusae, would naturally direct the minds of the audience to the \(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \nu i к \kappa a\) by which that success would be followed.
1295. \(\pi\) ро́фаave к.т.д.] The Laconian will sing a new song in return for that which the Chorus have sung, and he is here calling upon the piper, just as he did supra 1242 , to play the accompaniment while he is singing. In the MSS. and editions the word ^ákev is made a part of the line (so rendering it a foot too long), and the speaker is supposed to be either the Coryphaeus or Lysistrata. And so I have left it in the translation. But if we take ムáкढу to designate the speaker everything is right.
1297. Taṽyetov] The piper begins his accompaniment, and the Laconian begins his song. He invites the Laconian Muse to quit the pleasant glades of Taygetus, and come to the Athenian acropolis; there to sing the praises of Apollo, the far-famed God of Amyclae. For Amyclae was the chief seat, in Laconia, of Apollo's worship, the place where the great festivals, the Hyacinthia and the Carneia, were celebrated in his honour. There too she will sing the praises of Athene of the Bronze House, and of the gracious Tyndarids (ảzaò̀s


Taử \(\gamma \epsilon \tau 0 \nu \alpha \hat{u} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ' \kappa \lambda ı \pi \hat{\omega} \alpha\),
 \(\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \alpha\) тò \(\nu\) ' \(\mathrm{A} \mu\) v́к \(\lambda \alpha \iota s\) ['A \(\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega] \sigma \iota o ̀ \nu\) каì \(\chi^{\alpha \lambda к і о \iota к о \nu ~ ' А ~} \sigma \alpha ́ v \alpha \nu\).
for à \(a\) aoùs) Castor and Polydeuces, who sport (oî \(\psi\) tá̧ovaı) beside their own Eurotas.
1300. रa入кіоккоу 'A \(\sigma\) ávav] Athene of the Bronze House, whom Pausanias identifies with 'A \(\theta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta\) Ho入ıov̂Xos. Her famous temple (" templum aereum" Livy xxxv. 36) stood on the hill which formed the Acropolis of Sparta, Pausanias iii. 17. 3. It was the centre around which gathered a multitude of interesting reminiscences. Here it was that the gallant Aristomenes, the hero of Messenia, entering Sparta secretly in the night time, hung up a shield with the defiant inscription Avistomenes dedicates to the Goddess these Spartan spoils, Pausanias iv. 15. 2. Here it was that Pausanias, the commander of the Hellenic armies at Plataea, took refuge and was starved, Thuc. i. 134. Indeed it seems to have been the ordinary sanctuary for Spartan kings, Plutarch, Agis 11 and 16. Here Agesilaus was offering a sacrifice when he was bitten by a louse. He caught and killed it before the crowd, exclaiming Yea, by Heaven, a treacherous plotter, є̇ \(\pi i \beta\) ounov, I will gladly slay even before Athene's altar; Plutarch (Apothegm. Lac. Agesilaus 8). Here too Archidamus the king who, at the
commencement of the Peloponnesian War, led the army of invasion into Attica, brought two friends who had quarrelled and were willing to refer their differences to him, and after making them swear to abide by his decision
 is that you do not leave this sanctuary before you shake hands and make up (Id. Archidamus, Zeuxidami f. 6). And the same writer, in his treatise on Garrulity (14), observing that no considerations of prudence will induce a true gossip to hold his tongue, tells us that once a robbery was committed in this House of Bronze, and an empty wine-flask was found lying on the floor. Whilst the crowd were wondering what the flask could mean, one of them said, "I'll tell you what I think; I'll be bound that the thieves had taken hemlock, so that if they were caught they might die before they could be tortured; while if they got safely off, they would drink the wine and neutralize the poison." "Why, this is not guessing!" cried the bystanders, " you know! You are one of them yourself." And so it proved. The Bronze Temple of Athene is twice mentioned in the "Helen," a Tragedy apparently contemporaneous with the
```

Tvpסapídas $\tau^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \alpha \sigma \grave{\omega} s$,
тоì סخ̀ $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' Evंр $\omega \tau \alpha \nu \psi \iota \alpha ́ \delta \delta o \nu \tau \iota$.
єîa $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \beta \eta$,
$\omega \iota \alpha \kappa 0 \hat{\chi} \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$,
ís $\Sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \nu \dot{v} \mu \nu i ́ \omega \mu \in S$,
$\tau \hat{\alpha}$ б $\iota \omega \bar{\nu}$ Xopoì $\mu$ é入ovt
каì $\pi 0 \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$ кти́тоя.
$\hat{q} \tau \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} \lambda o \iota \tau \alpha i ̀$ кóp $\alpha \iota$
$\pi \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \mathrm{E} \dot{v} \rho \omega \bar{\tau} \alpha \nu$

```

```

1310
व’ ккорíшац,

```
present Comedy．See the Introduction to the Thesmophoriazusae．Its site has been recently uncovered，but nothing of im－ portance has，I believe，been found there．

1303．єỉa \(\mu\) á \(\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \beta \eta\) ］Hitherto the singer has been addressing the Muse；he now turns to his comrades dancing on the stage．\({ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \beta \eta\) is the \({ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \beta a\) of Frogs 378， Eccl． 478.

1304．\(\omega\) ’a коиิфа \(\pi\)（ì \(\lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) ］Lightly swing－ ing you sheepskins．The lower part of a Spartan robe was trimmed with sheepskin or wool．In Wasps 475 an Athenian accused of Laconizing is said to wear крáбтєєa \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu\) ，and the Scholiast observes \(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu\) à \(\nu \tau i ̀ \tau \tau о \hat{v}\)
 \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu i ́ \tau \omega \nu\) ．то九аиิта \(\gamma \grave{a} \rho\) форойбıv oi \(\Lambda a ́-\) \(\kappa \omega \nu \epsilon\) ．The reading in the text is that of the best MSS．and all the older editors； recent editors have adopted the reading of the inferior MSS．\(\omega^{\mathcal{B}}\) єỉa коиิ \(\phi a \pi a \lambda \lambda \omega \nu\) ， an excellent reading in itself，but，with \(\epsilon i a\) in the preceding line， \(\boldsymbol{\omega}\) cia is far more likely to have been substituted
for，than to have been superseded by，ఱ゙ı． Apparently there was a neuter nomina－ tive \(\omega \nprec o \nu\) ，as well as a feminine \(\omega i ́ a\) ．
 For in old times the Dorians were the chief promoters of music and the dance； and the Dorian mode was，it has often been observed，the only one of the three original modes which derived its name from a Greek people，the others being the Phrygian and the Lydian．\(\pi o \delta \bar{\omega} \nu\) ктútos means the clatter of dancing feet．

1308．\(\stackrel{\underset{a}{*}}{ } \tau \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} \lambda o \mathrm{]}\) ］And the maidens，like fillies，beside Eurotas twinkle to and fro with active nimble feet；and their tresses are waving as they go，like the tresses of Bacchanals sporting and flowishing their thyrsus－uands．The Bacchanals are com－ pared to fillies，\(\pi \dot{\omega} \lambda o t s\) ，in Eur．Bacchae 163 and 1056．Here \(\dot{a} \mu \pi \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{\lambda \tau t}{}\) is used intransitively ；in Frogs 1357 it is tran－ sitive \(\tau \grave{a} \kappa \hat{\omega} \lambda a ́ \tau^{\prime}\) ả \(\mu \pi a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) ．In Attic the participle which follows would be \(\epsilon\)＇\(к о-\) ขov̂oal，Ach．1088，Wasps 240，Birds 1324， Eccl．489，Plutus 255．On \(\theta v \rho \sigma a \delta \delta o a ̂ \nu\)

\(\theta \nu \rho \sigma \alpha \delta \delta \circ \hat{\alpha} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\pi} \pi \alpha \delta \delta \omega \omega \bar{\nu} \nu\) ．
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \eta \bar{\eta} \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \Lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \delta \alpha s \pi \alpha i s\)
\(\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \grave{\alpha}\) Х \({ }^{\circ} \rho \alpha \gamma\) òs \(\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \in \pi \eta\)＇s．
\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon\) ，ко́ \(\mu \alpha \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \pi \dot{v} \kappa \iota \delta \delta \epsilon\)
\(\chi \in \rho i ̀, \pi \circ \delta o i ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \delta \eta\) ，

\(\eta\) Хоршфє \(\lambda_{\eta} \tau \alpha \nu\) ．
\(\kappa \alpha i \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \sigma i \grave{\alpha} \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v} \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \kappa \rho \alpha \tau i \sigma \tau \alpha \nu\)
1320

\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu\).
and \(\pi a \iota \delta \delta \omega a ̂ \nu\) the Scholiast says àvzì rov̂
 toùs \(\theta\) úpaous．
 leads them on，ìyeitau．The epithet áyvì is specially selected to make it clear that this is not the sinful Helen of her earthly days，but the purified Helen of the Elysian fields．Some would change ＾ídas into Aatous and so make Artemis the Chorus－leader；but it is impossible to disregard the united authority of all the MSS．；and it is perhaps more fitting that this dance of Spartan women should be led by the daughter of Zeus who was． herself a Spartan woman．
 properly means to confine the hair with an ä \(\mu \pi v \xi\) ，a hair－band，but here，as they godancing along，the woman＇s own hand is to act as an \({ }^{\mu} \mu \pi v \xi\) ．Her hand is to press back her hair，whilst with her feet she is leaping（ \(\pi\) ád \(\eta\) for \(\pi \dot{\eta} \delta a\) ）like a deer．
 ळ̀фєえойvтa тòv Xoمóv．－Scholiast．They
are to make，either with their hands or with their feet，the rhythmic sounds which time the dance．

1322．\(\pi a ́ \mu \mu а \chi о \nu]\) This is an unusual word，and is possibly introduced here to remind the Athenian audience of their own Поо́ пи \(^{\circ}\) оs，the colossal Champion of the Athenian acropolis．Indeed some MSS．，noted for their futile emendations， go so far as to substitute Про́дахо⿱亠乂，for \(\pi \dot{\mu} \mu а \chi о \nu\) ，forgetting that the former word would be quite out of place on the lips of a Spartan，and inconsistent with the other epithet \(\chi^{n \lambda \kappa \text { кooko } \nu \text { ，which shows }}\) that he is specially referring to the Athene of the Dorian metropolis． Nevertheless she was the same Athene， wherever and under whatever name she was worshipped；and it is noteworthy that this final song，though emphatically ＂In praise of Sparta，＂is wound up，and that the Comedy itself concludes，with the praise of the Goddess who was every－ where regarded as the special patroness and protector of Athens．

\section*{SUPPLEMENT TO THE NOTE ON LINE 389}

By the kindness of my friend, the Hon. Mrs. Cardew, I am permitted to append to this Commentary her presentation of Bion's Adonis-dirge.

Ah! weep for fair Adonis-he is dead!
Ye Loves, weep for him, in his beauty slain.
0 Cypris, sleep no more in purple clad!
Arise, and don thy sable weeds, and cry
"Lovely Adonis, he hath perishéd!"
Woe for Adonis, woe! the Loves lament.
Stricken he lies on the hillside so drear,
His thigh pierced through by cruel wild boar's tusk.
Quietly ebbs his life, and sorrow falls
On Cypris, who so loved him, and was loved!
She kissed him as he died, but he knew not.
The light had left his eyes, so dim and pressed By Death's too heavy hand beneath the lids;
And the dark blood, slow dripping from the wound,
Stained his white skin, but blanched his pallid lips.
Woe for Adonis, woe! the Loves lament!
His wound was cruel, but in Cypris' heart
The wound is deeper still-deep as her love;
Although a goddess, she can feel Love's pain.
His faithful hounds are baying round his corpse,
While in the wood the nymphs are wailing shrill.
But Aphrodite, with long locks unbound,
Wanders along the glades, her feet unshod.
She calls Adonis with a bitter cry-Again-"Adonis, where art thou, my lord?"
But he lies silent, answering not her cry,
For his life-blood has eblued, and Death is come.
O woe for Aphrodite! cry, ye Loves!
For grief hath robbed her of her beauty fair, Yea, it hath died with him she greatly loved. Now call the mountains; woe for Cypris! woe!
Then from the oak trees comes the answer sad-
! Woe for Adonis! woe! the rivers all Bewail the grief of Cypris, so bereaved.
The mountain springs lament her lover slain.
In the day's anguish e'en the flowers flush red And still the piteous dirge goes on, for her
Now straying o'er the mountains, crying shrill-
"Woe for Adonis! He hath perishéd!"
Then Echo answers-"He hath perishéd!"
What heart so hard not to lament his loss?
When she first saw his wound, and marked the blood
Pouring .unstaunched from his exhausted limb,
She cast her arms abroad, and weeping, cried-
"Abide with me, Adonis, yet awhile!
Awake, and tell me of thy love once more
Ere thou desert me for the gloomy stream
Of Acheron, to which thou fleëst fast,
And I, a goddess, cannot follow thee.
Kiss me again, Adonis, yet again.
Persephone will rob me of my love
And in undying sorrow I remain,
Cypris is now a widow-love is dead.
Why wast thou overbold to fight with beasts, Following the chase without a shield divine?"
So wailed she, and the Loves with her lament, "Woe,. woe for Cytherea!-love is dead!"
But thou that weepest, stay not in the woods.
The cold dank leares are not the bed for him
Whom thou lamentest-lay him on thy couch,
For surely he is beautiful in death,
And seems as if he slept. Adonis dead
May lie in holy slumber for a while
'Neath the soft coverlets, as he was wont.
Bring garlands, and all fragrant blossoms bring.
Sprinkle the Syrian ointments of rich scent
On his unconscious form-he lies enwrapped
In purple raiment-lovely in his death.
Around him weep the Loves, with sighs and groans, And for Adonis dead they clip their locks.
They crowd upon each other-treading down
His bow and shafts. One tries to lave his wound
With water from a golden vessel, borne

\section*{^ \(\Upsilon \Sigma I \Sigma T P A T H\)}

By one of the sad flock, and, piteous sight, One with his snowy wings Adonis fans!
0 woe for Cytherea!-cry, ye Loves!
Then Hymen quenched his torch; he tore to shreds
The bridal crown; he would have no more song.
The Graces and the Muses chant alike
To dead Adonis-but he heeds them not;
For she of Hades will not let him go.
Cease, Cypris, from thy tears-it is enough
Thou must bewail him yet another year.
E. J. C.

\title{
THE REVOLT OF THE WOMEN
}

\author{
A FREE TRANSLATION \\ OF THE
}

LYSISTRATA OF ARISTOPHANES

\section*{NOTICE}

\section*{[TO THE ORIGLNAL EDITION, PUBLISHED IN THE YEAR 1878]}

Finding that I have not at present, and fearing that I may never have, sufficient health and leisure to carry through the Press complete editions of the remaining Plays of Aristophanes, similar to my edition of the Wasps, I propose to print the bare translations, long since finished, without text or commentary.
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\section*{NOTICE}

TO THE PRESENT EDITION
The translation and notes are republished without alteration. A very few words have been omitted from the stage-directions as being not quite in accord with the view taken in the Commentary.
\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Eastwood, Strawberry Hill, } \\
\text { May, } 1911 .
\end{gathered}
\]

\section*{CHARACTERS OF THE DRAMA}

\section*{WOMEN.}
\(\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Lysistrata, } \\ \text { Calonice, } \\ \text { Myrrhina, }\end{array}\right\}\) Athenian Women.
Lampito, a Spartan Woman.
Chorus of Women.
Stratyllis, leader of the Chorus of Women.
A Boeotian Woman (Ismenta), a Corinthian Woman, a Scythian Archeress, and several Athenian Women. Also Reconciliation, the handmaiden of Lysistrata.

MEN.
An Athenian Magistrate.
Cinesias, the husband of Myrrhina.
laconian Herald.
Laconian Ambassadors.
Athenian Ambassadors.
Idlers.
A Porter.
Chorus of Men.
Scythian Archers, and several Athenians and Laconians. Also Myrrhina's child.

\section*{THE LYSISTRATA}

It is daybreak at Athens; and Lysistrata, a young and beartiful roman, is standing alone, with marks of evident anxiety in her countenance and demeanowr. The scene represents the sloping hill which rises from the Lower to the Upper City. In the background are the Propylaea, the splendid portals of the Athenian Acropolis. Lysistrata is on the look-out for persons who do not come, and after exhibiting various symptoms of impatience, she suddenly begins to speak with abrupt and indignant emphasis.

Lysistrata. Now were they summoned to some shrine of Bacchus, Pan, Colias, Genetyllis, \({ }^{1}\) there had been No room to stir, so thick the crowd of timbrels.
And now !-there's not one woman to be seen.
Stay, here comes one, my neighbour Calonice.
Good morning, friend. Calonice. Good morn, Lysistrata.
Why, what's the matter? don't look gloomy, child.
It don't become you to knit-knot your eyebrows.
Liss. My heart is hot within me, Calonice, And sore I grieve for sake of womankind, Because the men account us all to be Sly, shifty rogues, Cal. And so, by Zeus, we are.
Lys. Yet though I told them to be here betimes, To talk on weighty business, they don't come, They're fast asleep. Cal. They'll come, dear heart, they'll come. 'Tis hard, you know, for women to get out.
\({ }^{1}\) All Gods of Wine and Love, the chief pleasures, according to Aristophanes, of the Athenian women.

One has to mind her husband: one, to rouse
Her servant: one, to put the child to sleep:
One, has to wash him : one, to give him pap.
Lys. All! but they've other duties still more pressing Than such as these. Cal. Well but, Lysistrata, Why have you, dear, convoked us? Is the matter A weighty subjeet? Lys. Weighty? yes. Cal. And pregnant?
Lys. Preguant, by Zeus. Cal. Why ever don't we come, then?
Lys. No, it's not that: we'd have come fast enough For such-like nonsense. 'Tis a scheme I've hit on, Tossing it over many a sleepless night.
Cal. Tossing it over? then 'tis light, I fancy.
Lys. Light? ay, so light, my dear, that all the hopes Of all the States are anchored on us women.
Cal. Anchored on us! a slender stay to lean on.
Lys. Ay, all depends on us: whether as well the Peloponnesians all shall cease to be-
Cal. Sure and 'tis better they should cease to be.
Lys. And all the dwellers in Boeotia perish-
Cal. Except the eels; do pray except the eels.
Lys. But about Athens, mark you, I won't utter Such words as these : you must supply my meaning. But if the women will but meet here now, Boeotian girls, Peloponnesian girls, And we ourselves, we'll save the States between us.
Cal. What can we women do? What brilliant scheme Can we, poor souls, accomplish? we who sit Trimmed and bedizened in our saffron sills, Our cambric robes, and little finical shoes.
Lys. Why, they're the very things I hope will save us, Your saffron dresses, and your finical shoes, Your paints, and perfumes, and your robes of gauze.
Cal. How mean you, save us? Lys. So that nevermore
- Men in our day shall lift the hostile spear-

Cal. O, by the Twain, I'll use the saffron dye.
Lys. Or grasp the shield- Cal. I'll don the cambric robe.
Lys. Or draw the sword. Cal. I'll wear the finical shoes.
Lys. Should not the women, then, have come betimes ?
Cal. Come? no, by Zeus; they should have flown with wings.
Lys. Ah, friend, you'll find them Attic to the core:
Always too late in everything they do.
Not even one woman from the coast has come,
Not one from Salamis. Cal. O they, no doubt, Will cross this morning, early, in their boats.
Lys. And those I counted sure to come the first, My staunch Acharnian damsels, they're not hereNot they. Cal. And yet Theagenes's wife
Consulted Hecate, as if to come.
(Several women enter, headed by Myyrrina, from the village of Anagyrus. Others soon follow.)
Hi! but they're coming now : here they all are:
First one, and then another. Hoity toity !
Whence come all these? Lys. From Anagyre. Cal. Aha!
We've stirred up Anagyre \({ }^{1}\) at all events.
Myrrhina, Are we too late, Lysistrata? Well? What?
Why don't you speak? Lys. I'm sorry, Myrrhina,
That you should come so late on such a business.
Myrr. I scarce could find my girdle in the dark.
But if the thing's so pressing, tell us now.
Lys. No, no, let's wait a little, till the women
Of Peloponnesus and Boeotia come
To join our congress. Myrr. O yes, better so.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) To stir up Anagyre (meaning the nauseous smelling shrub of that mame) was a proverb, used of persons who brought some unpleasantness on themselves. Calonice applies the proverb to the deme, meaning that the influx of Anagyrasian women proved that the deme Anagyre was thoroughly stirred up.
}

And here, good chance, is Lampito approaching. (Lampito, a Spartan woman, enters, accompanied by her friends.)
Lys. O welcome, welcome, Lampito, my love.
O the sweet girl! how hale and bright she looks!
Here's nerve! here's muscle! here's an arm could fairly
Throttle a bull! Lampito. Weel, by the Twa, I think sae.
An' I can loup an' fling an' kick my hurdies.
Lys. See here's a neck and breast; how firm and lusty!
Lamp. Wow, but ye pradd me like a fatted calf.
Lys. And who's this other damsel? whence comes she?
Lamp. Ane deputation frae Boeoty, comin'
To sit amang your. Lis. Ah, from fair Boeotia,
The land of plains! Cal. A very lovely land,
Well cropped, and trimmed, and spruce with penny-royal.
Lys. And who's the next? Lamp. A bonnie burdie she, She's a Corinthian lassie. Lys. Ay, by Zeus, And so she is. A bonnie lass, indeed.
Lamp. But wha ha' ca'ed thegither a' thae thrangs O' wenches? Lis. I did. Lamp. Did ye noo? then tell us What 'tis a' for. Lys. O yes, my dear, I will.
Myrr. Ay, surely : tell us all this urgent business.
Lys. O yes, I'll tell you now ; but first I'd ask you One simple question. Myrr. Ask it, dear, and welcome.
Lys. Do ye not miss the fathers of your babes, Always on service? well I wot ye all
Have got a husband absent at the wars.
Cal. Ay, mine, worse luck, has been five months away In Thracian quarters, watehing Eucrates.
Myrr. And mine's been stationed seven whole months at Pylus.
Lamp. An' my gude mon nae suner comes frae war Than he straps targe an' gangs awa' again.
Lys. No husbands now, no sparks, no anything. For ever since Miletus played us false,

We've had no joy, no solace, none at all.
So will you, will you, if I find a way,
Help me to and the war? Myrr. Ay, that we will.
I will, be sure, though I'd to fling me down
This mantling shawl, and have a bout of-drinking \({ }^{1}\).
Cal. And I would cleave my very self in train
Like a cleft turbot, and give half for Peace.
Lamp. An' I, to glint at Peace again, wad speel
Up to the tap rig o' Taygety.
Lys. I'll tell you now: 'tis meet ye all should know.
O ladies! sisters! if we really mean
To make the men make Peace, there's but one way,
We must abstain- Myrr. Well! tell us. Lys. Will ye do it?
Myrr. Do it? ay, surely, though it cost our lives.
Lys. We must abstain-each—from the joys of Love.
How! what! why turn away? where are ye going?
What makes you pout your lips, and shake your heads?
What brings this falling tear, that changing colour?
Will ye, or will ye not? What mean ye, eh?
Myrr. I'll never do it. Let the war go on.
Cal. Zeus! nor I either. Let the war go on.
Lys. You, too, Miss Turbot? you who said just now
You'd cleave, for Peace, your very self in twain?
Cal. Ask anything but this. Why, if needs be, I'd walk through fire: only, not give up Love.
There's nothing like it, dear Lysistrata.
Lys. And what say you? Myrr. I'd liefer walk through fire.
Lys. O women! women! 0 our frail, frail sex!
No wonder tragedies are made from us.
Always the same: nothing but loves and cradles.

\footnotetext{
1 "Fighting" was the word expected; but Aristophanes is, throughout this ecene, playing upon the alleged bibulous propensities of Athenian women.
}

\section*{O friend! O Lampito! if you and I}

Are of one mind, we yet may pull things through ;
Won't you vote with me, dear? Lamp. Haith, by the Twa',
'Tis sair to bide your lane, withouten men.
Still it maun be: we maun hae Peace, at a' risks.
Lrs. O dearest friend; my one true friend of all.
Cal. Well, but suppose we do the things you say,
Pray Heaven avert it, but put case we do,
Shall we be nearer Peace? Lrs. Much, much, much nearer.
For if we women will but sit at home,
Powdered and trimmed, clad in our daintiest lawn, 150
Employing all our charms, and all our arts
To win men's love, and when we've won it, then
Repel them, firmly, till they end the war,
We'll soon get Peace again, be sure of that.
Lamp. Sae Menelaus, when he glowered, I ween,
At Helen's breastie, coost his glaive awa'.
Cal. Eh, but suppose they leave us altogether?
Lys. O, faddle! then we'll find some substitute.
Cal. If they try force? Lys. They'll soon get tired of that
If we keep firm. Scant joy a husband gets
Who finds himself at discord with his wife.
Cal. Well, then, if so you wish it, so we'll have it.
Lamp. An' our gude folk we'se easily persuade
To keep the Peace wi' never a thocht o' guile :
But your Athanian hairumscairum callants
Wha sall persuade them no to play the fule?
Lys. O we'll persuade our people, never fear.
Lamp. Not while ye've gat thae gallies rigged sae trim,
An' a' that rowth o' siller nigh the Goddess.
Lys. O but, my dear, we've taken thought for that: This very morn we seize the Acropolis.
Now, whilst we're planning and conspiring here,

The elder women have the task assigned them, Under pretence of sacrifice, to seize it.
Lamp. A' will gae finely, an' ye talk like that.
Lys. Then why not, Lampito, at once combine All in one oath, and clench the plot securely?
Lamp. Weel, you propound the aith, an' we'se a' tak' it.
Lys. Good ; now then, Scythianess, don't stand there gaping. Quick, set a great black shield here, hollow upwards, And bring the sacrificial bits. Cal. And how Are we to swear, Lysistrata? Lys. We'll slay (Like those Seven Chiefs in Aeschylus) a lamb Over a shield. Cal. Nay, when our object's Peace, Don't use a shield, Lysistrata, my dear.
Lys. Then what shall be the oath? Cal. Could we not somehow Get a grey mare, and cut her up to bits?
Lys. Grey mare, indeed! Cal. Well, what's the oath will suit
Us women best? Myrr. I'll tell you what I think.
Let's set a great black cur here, hollow upwards:
Then for a lamb we'll slay a Thasian winc-jar,
And firmly swear to-pour no water in.
Lamp. Hech, the braw aith! my certie, hoo I like it.
Lys. O yes, bring out the wine-jar and the cup.
(A maiden brings out a jar of wine and an immense cup.)
Cal. La! here's a splendid piece of ware, my dears.
Now that's a cup 'twill cheer one's heart to take.
Lys. (To the servont.) Set down the cup, and take the victim boar \({ }^{1}\).
O Queen Persuasion, and O Loving Cup,
Accept our offerings, and maintain our cause! (The servant pours the wine into the cup, the women all pressing round to see.)
CaL. 'Tis jolly coloured blood, and spirts out bravely
Lamp. Ay, an' by Castor, vera fragrant too!

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) She means the Wine-jar, but she speaks of it as a victim whose blood is about to be shed.
}

Myrr. Let me swear first, my sisters? Cal. Yes, if you Draw the first lot ; not else, by Aphrodite.
Lys. All place your hands upon the wine-cup: so. One, speak the words, repeating after me. Then all the rest confirm it. Now begin. I will abstain from Love and Love's delights.
CaL. I will abstain from Love and Love's delights.
Lys.
Cal.
Lys.
Cal.
Lys.
Cal.

Lys
Cal
Lys
Cal
Lys
Cal And take no pleasure though my lord invites. And take no pleasure though my lord invites. And sleep a vestal all alone at nights. And sleep a restal all alone at nights. And live a stranger to all nuptial rites. And live a stranger to all nuptial rites. I don't half like it though, Lysistrata.

Lys. \(\quad\) But if I fail, a water draught be mine.
Cal.
But if I fail, a water dranglit be mine.
Lys. You all swear this? Myrr. O yes, my dear, we do.
(Lysistrata takes the wine-cup in her hand.)
Lys. I'll now consume these fragments. Cal. Shares, my friend, Now at first starting let us show we're friends.
( \(A\) sound of persons cheering is heard in the distance.)
Lamp. Hark! what's yon skirlin'? Lis. That's the thing I said. They've seized the Acropolis, Athene's castle, Our comrades have. Now, Lampito, be off : You, go to Sparta, and arrange things there, Leaving us here these girls as hostages.

And We will pass inside the castle walls, And help the women there to close the bars.
Cal. But don't you think that very soon the Men Will come, in arms, against us? Lys. Let them come!
They will not bring or threats or fire enough
To awe our woman hearts, and make us open
These gates again, save on the terms we mentioned.
Cal. By Aphrodite, no! else 'twere for nought
That people call us bold, resistless jades.
(The crowd nozo disperses: Lampito leaving for her homeward journey, and the others disappearing through the gates of the Propylaea. After a pause the Chorus of Men are seen slowly approaching from the Lower City. They are carrying heary logs of frewood, and a jar of lighted cinders ; and as they move, they sing their entrance song.)
Chorus of Men. On, sure and slow, my Draces, go: though that great \(\log\) you're bringing
Of olive green, is sore, I ween, your poor old shoulder wringing. O dear, how many things in life bely one's expectations !
Since who'd have thought, my Strymodore, that these abominations,

Who would have thought that sluts like these, Our household pests, would have waxed so bold, As the Holy Image by frand to seize, As the City Castle by force to hold, With block and bolt and barrier vast, Making the Propylaea fast.
Press on, Philurgus, towards the heights; we'll pile a great amazing
Array of logs around the walls, and set them all a-blazing :
And as for these conspirators, a bonfire huge we'll make them,
One vote shall doom the whole to death, one funcral pyre shall take them,
And thus we'll burn the brood accurst, but Lycon's wife we'll burn the first.

No, never, never, whilst I live, shall woman-folk deride me: Not seatheless went Cleomenes \({ }^{1}\), when he like this defied me,

And dared my castle to seize : yet He ,
A Spartan breathing contempt and pride,
Full soon surrendered his arms to me,
And a scanty coat round his loins he tied,
And with unwashed limbs, and with unkempt head,
And with six years' dirt, the intruder fled;
So strict and stern a wateh around my mates and I were keeping,
In seventeen rows of serried shields before the fortress sleeping.
And these, whom both Euripides and all the Powers on high
Alike detest, shall these, shall these, my manly rage defy?
Then never be my Trophy shown, on those red plains of Marathon!

But over this snubby protruding steep
Ere we reach our goal at the Castle keep, We've still, with our burdensome load, to creep.

And how to manage that blunt incline
Without a donkey, I can't divine.
Dear, how these two great firelogs make my wearied shoulders toil and aehe.

But still right onward we needs must go,
And still the einders we needs must blow,
Else we'll find the fire extinguished, ere we reach our journey's end.

Puff! Puff! Puff! \({ }^{2}\)
O the smoke! the smoke!
O royal Heracles! what a lot
Of fire came raging out of the pot, And flew, like a dog, at my eyes, red hot.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The story is told by Herodotus, v. 72.
\({ }^{2} \Phi \hat{v}\) is not, as the Oxford lexicographers describe it, an exclamation of disgust,
}
'Twas a jet from the Lemnian mines, I ween, It came so fierce, and it bit so keen, 300
And worried, with persistence sore, my two poor eyes, inflamed before.

On, Laches, on! to the castle press,
And aid the God in her dire distress;
Surely, if we e'er would help her, now's the very time, my friend.
Puff! Puff! Puff!
O the smoke! the smoke!
Thank heaven the fire is still alight, and burning beautifully bright. So here we'll lay our burdens down, with eager hearts delighted, And dip the vine-torch in the pot, and get it there ignited. Then all together at the gates like battering rams we'll butt. And if our summons they reject, and keep the barriers shut, We'll burn the very doors with fire, and them with smoke we'll smother.
So lay the burdens down. Pheugh! Pheugh! O how this smoke does bother!
What general from the Samian lines an active hand will lend us? Well, well, I'm glad my back is freed from all that weight tremendous.
O pot, 'tis now your turn to help: O send a livelier jet Of flame this way, that I to-day the earliest light may get. O Victory, immortal Queen, assist us Thou in rearing A trophy o'er these woman-hosts, so bold and domineering.
(During the last fero lines the men have been completing their preparations, and the air above them is now growing lurid with the smoke and the flame of their torches. As the Men relapse into silence, the voices of Women are heard in the distance. They come sweeping round from the north side of the Acropolis, carrying their pitchers of water, and singing, in turn, their entrance song. The two Choruses are for the present concealed from each other by the northwestern angle of the Acropolis.)
like our "fie," "faugh." It is obviously intended to represent the sound of the old men \(\Phi \Upsilon \sigma \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu\).

Chorus of Women. Redly up in the sky the flames are beginning to flicker,
Smoke and vapour of fire! come quicker, my friends, come quicker.

Fly, Nicodice, fly,
Else will Calyce burn,
Else Critylla will die,
Slain by the laws so stern,
Slain by the old men's hate.
Ah, but I fear! I fear! can it chance that I come too late?
Trouble it was, forsooth, before my jug I could fill,
All in the dusk of the morn, at the spring by the side of the hill,
What with the clatter of pitchers,
The noise and press of the throng,
Jostling with knaves and slaves,
Till at last I snatched it along,
Abundance of water supplying
To friends who are burning and dying.
Yea, for hither, they state,
Dotards are dragging, to burn us,
Logs of enormous weight,
Fit for a bath-room furnace,
Vowing to roast and to slay
Sternly the reprobate women. O Lady, O Goddess, I pray,
Ne'er may I see them in flames! I hope to behold them with gladness,
Hellas and Athens redeeming from battle and murder and madness.

This is the cause why they venture,
Lady, thy mansions to hold, Tritogeneia, Eternal
Champion with helmet of gold!

And O , if with fire men invade them,
O help us with water to aid them.
(At this juncture the Women uheel round the corner of the Acropolis, and the tro Choruses suddenly meet face to face.)

Stop! easy all! what have we here ? (To the Men.) You vile, abandoned crew, 350
No good and virtuous men, I'm sure, would act in the way you do.
Men Ch. Hey, here's an unexpected sight! hey, here's a demonstration!
A swarm of women issuing out with warlike preparation!
W. Ch. Hallo, you seem a little moved! does this one troop affright you?

You see not yet the myriadth part of those prepared to fight you.
Men Ch. Now, really, Phaedrias, shall we stop to hear such odious treason?
Let's break our sticks about their backs, let's beat the jades. to reason.
W. Ch. Hi, sisters, set the pitchers down, and then they won't embarrass
Our nimble fingers, if the rogues attempt our ranks to harass.
Men Ch. I warrant, now, if twice or thrice we slap their faces neatly, That they will learn, like Bupalus \({ }^{1}\), to hold their tongues discreetly.
W. Ch. Well, here's my face : I won't draw back: now slap it if you dare,
And I won't leave one ounce of you for other dogs to tear.
Men Сh. Keep still, or else your musty Age to very shreds I'll batter.
W. Сн. Now only touch Stratyllis, sir ; just lift one finger at her !

Men Ch. And what if with these fists, my love, I pound the wench to shivers?
W. Ch. By Heaven, we'll gnaw your entrails out, and rip away your livers.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) If we smite them on the cheek, as Hipponax, that acer hostis Bupalo, threatened in his lampoons to smite his unhappy antagonist.
}

Men Ch. There is not than Euripides a bard more wise and knowing, For women are a shameless set, the vilest creatures going.
W. Сн. Pick up again, Rhodippe dear, your jug with water brimming. Mex Сh. What made you bring that water here, you God-detested women?
W. Сн. What made you bring that light, old Tomb? to set yourselves afire?
Men Ch. No, but to kindle for your friends a mighty funeral pyre.
W. Сн. Well, then, we brought this water here to put your bonfire out, sirs.
Men Ch. You put our bonfire out, indeed! W. Ch. You'll see, beyond a doubt, sirs.
Men Ch. I swear that with this torch, offhand, I've half a mind to fry you.
W. Ch. Got any soap, my lad? if so, a bath I'll soon supply you.

Men Ch. A bath for me, you mouldy hag! W. Ch. And that a bride-bath, too.
Men Ch. Zounds, did you hear her impudence? W. Ch. Ain't I freeborn as you?
Men Ch. I'll quickly put a stop to this. W. Ch. You'll judge no more, I vow!
Men Ch. Hi! set the vixen's hair on fire. W. Ch. Now, Achelous \({ }^{1}\), now!
Men Ch. Good gracious! W. Ch. What! you find it hot?
Men Ch. Hot? murder! stop! be quiet!
W. Сн. I'm watering you, to make you grow.

Men Сh. I wither up from shivering so.
W. Сн. I tell you what: a fire you've got, So warm your members by it.
(At this crisis the tumult is stayed for an instant by the appearance on the stage of a vencrable official personage, one of the Magistrates who, after the Sicilian catastrophe, were appointed,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The name Achelous was used to denote water generally. The women are deluging their opponents with cold water from their pitchers.
}
under the name of Probuli, to form a Directory or Committee of Public Safety. He is attended by four Scythian archers, part of the ordinary police of the Athenian Republic. The women retive into the background.)
Magistrate. Has then the women's wantonness blazed out,
Their constant timbrels and Sabaziuses, And that Adonis-dirge \({ }^{1}\) upon the roof Which once I heard in full Assembly-time. 'Twas when Demostratus (beshrew him) moved To sail to Sicily : and from the roof A woman, dancing, shrieked Woe, woe, Adonis! And he proposed to enrol Zacynthian hoplites; And she upon the roof, the maudlin woman, Cried Wail Adonis! yet he forced it through, That God-detested, vile Ill-temprian. Such are the wanton follies of the sex.
Men Ch. What if you heard their insolence to-day, Their vile, outrageous goings on ? And look, 400 See how they've drenched and soused us from their pitchers, Till we can wring out water from our clothes.
Mag. Ay, by Poseidon, and it serves us right. 'Tis all our fault: they'll never know their place, These pampered women, whilst we spoil them so.
Hear how we talk in every workman's shop. Goldsmith, says one, this necklace that you made, My gay young wife was dancing yester-eve, And lost, sweet soul, the fastening of the clasp; Do please reset it, Golldsmith. Or, again, O Shoemuker, my wife's new sandal pinches Her little toe, the tender, delicate child,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Plutarch, in his Life of Nicias (chap. 13), describes these and similar omens of ill which preceded the Athenian expedition to Sicily. And he also (chap. 12) tells us that the orator Demostratus took a leading part in recommending that fatal measure.
}

Make it fit easier, please.-Hence all this nonsense!
Yea, things have reached a pretty pass, indeed,
When I, the State's Director, wanting money
To purchase oar-blades, find the Treasury gates
Shut in my face by these preposterous women.
Nay, but no dallying now : bring up the crowbars,
And I'll soon stop your insolence, my dears.
(He turns to the Scyttians, who, instead of setting to work, are looking idly around them.)
What! gaping, fool? and you, can you do nothing
But stare about with tavern-squinting eye?
Push in the crowbars underneath the gates,
You, stand that side and heave them: I'll stop here
And heave them here.
(The gates are thrown open, and Lysistrata comes out.)
Lys.
O let your crowbars be.
Lo, I come out unfetched! What need of crowbars?
- 'Tis wits, not crowbars, that ye need to-day.

Mag. Ay, truly, traitress, say you so? Here, Archer ! Arrest her, tie her hands behind her back.
Lys. And if he touch me with his finger-tip, The public scum! 'fore Artemis, he'll rue it.
MaG. What, man, afeared? why, catch her round the waist. And you go with him, quick, and bind her fast.
CaL. (Comingout.) And if you do but lay one hand upon her, 'Fore Pandrosus, I'll stamp your vitals out.
Mag. Vitals, ye hag? Another Archer, ho! Seize this one first, because she chatters so.
Myrri. (Coming out.) And if you touch her with your finger-tip, 'Fore Phosphorus, you'll need a cupping shortly.
Mag. T'cha! what's all this? lay hold of this one, Archer! I'll stop this sallying out, depend upon it.
Stratyllis. And if he touch her, 'fore the Queen of Tauris, I'll pull his squealing hairs out, one by one.

\section*{THELYSISTRATA}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Mag.} & O dear! all's up! I've never an archer left. \\
\hline & Nay, but I swear we won't be done by women. 450 \\
\hline & Come, Scythians, close your ranks, and all together \\
\hline & Charge! Lys. Charge away, my hearties, and you'll soon \\
\hline & Know that we've here, impatient for the fight, Four woman-squadrons, armed from top to toe. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Lys.} & Attack them, Scythians, twist their hands behind them. Forth to the fray, dear sisters, bold allies! \\
\hline & O egg-and-seed-and-potherb-market-girls, \\
\hline & O garlic-selling-barmaid-baking-girls, \\
\hline & Charge to the rescue, smack and whack, and thwack them, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Slang them, I say: show them what jades ye be.
(The Women come forward. After a short struggle the archers are routed.)
Fall back! retire! forbear to strip the slain.
Mag. Hillo! my archers got the worst of that.
Lys.
What did the fool expect? Was it to fight With slaves you came? Think you we Women feel No thirst for glory? Mag. Thirst enough, I trow ; No doubt of that, when there's a tavern handy.

Men Ch. O thou who wastest many words, Director of this nation, Why wilt thou with such brutes as these thus hold negotiation?
Dost thou not see the bath wherewith the sluts have dared to lave me,
Whilst all my clothes were on, and ne'er a bit of soap they gave me?
W. Ch. For 'tis not right, nor yet polite, to strike a harmless neighbour,
And if you do, 'tis needful too that she your eyes belabour. Full fain would I, a maiden shy, in maiden peace be resting, Not making here the slightest stir, nor any soul molesting,

Unless indeed some rogue should strive to riffle and despoil my hive.
(The field is now open for a suspension of hostilities, and a parley takes place between the leaders of the two contending factions.)
Men Сh. O how shall we treat, Lord Zeus, such creatures as these?
Let us ask the cause for which they have dared to seize, To seize this fortress of ancient and high renown, This shrine where never a foot profane hath trod, The lofty-rocked, inaccessible Cranaan town,

The holy Temple of God.
Now to examine them closely and narrowly,
probing them here and sounding them there,
Shame if we fail to completely unravel the intricate web of this tangled affair.
Mag. Foremost and first I would wish to inquire of them, what is this silly disturbance about?
Why have ye ventured to seize the Acropolis, locking the gates and barring us out?
Lys. Keeping the silver securely in custody, lest for its sake ye continue the war.
Mag. What, is the war for the sake of the silver, then?
Lys. Yes; and all other disputes that there are.
Why is Peisander for ever embroiling us,
why do the rest of our officers feel
Always a pleasure in strife and disturbances?
Simply to gain an occasion to steal.
Act as they please for the future, the treasury never a penny shall yield them, I vow.
Mag. How, may I ask, will you hinder their getting it?
Lys. We will ourselves be the Treasurers now.
Mag. You, woman, you be the treasurers? Lys. Certainly. Ah, you esteem us unable, perchance!

Are we not skilled in domestic economy, do we not manage the household finance?
Mag. O, that is different. Lys. Why is it different?
Mag. This is required for the fighting, my dear.
Lys. Well, but the fighting itself isn't requisite.
Mag. Only, without it, we're ruined, I fear.
Lys. We will deliver you. Mag. You will deliver us!
Lys. Truly we will. Mac. What a capital notion!
Lys. Whether you like it or not, we'll deliver you.
Mag. Impudent hussy! Lys. You seem in commotion.
Nevertheless we will do as we promise you.
Mag. That were a terrible shame, by Demeter.
Lys. Friend, we must save you. Mag. But how if I wish it not?
Lys. That will but make our resolve the completer.
Mag. Fools! what on earth can possess you to meddle with matters of war, and matters of peace?
Lys. Well, I will tell you the reason. Mag. And speedily, else you will rue it. Lys. Then listen, and cease
Clutching and clenching your fingers so angrily ; keep yourself peaceable. Mag. Hanged if I can ;
Such is the rage that I feel at your impudence.
Strat. Then it is you that will rue it, my man.
Mag. Croak your own fate, you ill-omened antiquity.
(To Lysistrata.) You be the spokeswoman, lady. Lys. I will.
Think of our old moderation and gentleness,
think how we bore with your pranks, and were still,
All through the days of your former pugnacity, all through the war that is over and spent:
Not that (be sure) we approved of your policy;
never our griefs you allowed us to vent.
Well we pereeived your mistakes and mismanagement.
Often at home on our housekeeping eares,

Often we heard of some foolish proposal you made for eonducting the public affairs. Then would we question you mildly and pleasantly, inwardly grieving, but outwardly gay; Inusband, how goes it abroan? we would ask of him; what have ye done in Assembly to-llay? What would ye write on the sille of the Treaty stone? Husband says angrily, What's that to you?
You, hold your tongue! And I held it accordingly.
Strat. That is a thing which I never would do!
Mag. Ma'am, if you hadn't, you'd soon have repented it.
Lys. Therefore I held it, and spake not a word.
Soon of another tremendous absurdity, wilder and worse than the former we heard.
Ifusbant, I say, with a tender solicitude,
Why have ye passed such a foolish decree?
Vicious, moodily, glaring askance at me,
Stick to your spinning, my mistress, says he,
Else you will speelily find it the worse for you,
War is the care and the business of men! \({ }^{1}\)
Mag. Zeus!'twas a worthy reply, and an exeellent!
Lys. What! you unfortunate, shall we not then,
Then, when we see you perplexed and incompetent,
shall we not tender adviee to the State?
So when aloud in the streets and the thoroughfares
sadly we heard you bewailing of late,
Is there a Man to defend and deliver us?
No, says another, there's none in the land;

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) From the speech of Hector to Andromache, in the Sixth Iliad, thus rendered by Sir J. F. W. Herschel,-

Resume the cares of thy houschold:
Look to thy distaff and web, and keep thy maids to their duties, Each to her task: for Men are the cares of war and its labours.
}

Then by the Women assembled in conference
jointly a great Revolution was planned,
Hellas to save from her grief and perplexity.
Where is the use of a longer delay?
Shift for the future our parts and our characters ;
you, as the women, in silence obey ;
We, as the men, will harangue and provide for you;
then shall the State be triumphant again,
Then shall we do what is best for the citizens.
Mag. Women to do what is best for the men!
That were a shameful reproach and unbearable!
Lys. Silence \({ }^{1}\), old gentleman. Mag. Silence for you?
Stop for a wench with a wimple enfolding her?
No, by the Powers, may I die if I do !
Lys.

Cal. Here is an excellent spindle to pull.
Myrr. Here is a basket for carding the wool.
Lys.
Do not, my pretty one, do not, I pray, Suffer my wimple to stand in the way. Here, take it, and wear it, and gracefully tie it, Enfolding it over your head, and be quiet.

Now to your task.

Haricots chawing up, petticoats drawing up,
Off to your carding, your combing, your trimming,
War is the care and the business of women.
(During the foregoing lines the women have been arraying the Magistrate in the garb and with the apparatus of a spinning-woman: just as in the corresponding system, below, they bedech him in the habiliments of a corpse.)
W. Сн. Up, up, and leave the pitchers there, and on, resolved and eager,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Lysistrata is putting her system into immediate practice, and therefore addresses the same language and assigns the same duties to the Magistrate, as the Men had been accustomed aforetime to address and assign to the Women.
}

Our own allotted part to bear in this illustrious leaguer.

I will dance with resolute, tireless feet all day;
My limbs shall never grow faint, my strength give way ;
I will march all lengths with the noble hearts and the true,
For theirs is the ready wit and the patriot hand,
And womanly grace, and courage to dare and do, And Love of our own bright land.

Children of stiff and intractable grandmothers, heirs of the stinging viragoes that bore you,
On, with an eager, unyielding tenacity, wind in your sails, and the haven before you.
Lys. Only let Love, the entrancing, the fanciful, only let Queen Aphrodite to-day
Breathe on our persons a charm and a tenderness, lend us their own irresistible sway,
Drawing the men to admire us and long for us; then shall the war everlastingly cease,
Then shall the people revere us and honour us, givers of Joy, and givers of Peace.
Mag. Tell us the mode and the means of your doing it.
Lys. First we will stop the disorderly crew, Soldiers in arms promenading and marketing.

Strat. Yea, by divine Aphrodite, 'tis true.
Lys. Now in the market you see them like Corybants, jangling about with their armour of mail.
Fiercely they stalk in the midst of the crockery, sternly parade by the cabbage and kail.
Mag. Right, for a soldier should always be soldierly!
Lys. Troth, 'tis a mighty ridiculous jest,
Watching them haggle for shrimps in the market-place, grimly accoutred with shield and with crest.

Strat. Lately I witnessed a captain of cavalry, proudly the while on his charger he sat, Witnessed him, soldierly, buying an omelet, stowing it all in his cavalry hat.
Comes, like a Terens, a Thracian irregular, shaking his dart and his target to boot;
Off runs a shop-girl, appalled at the sight of him, down he sits soldierly, gobbles her fruit.
Mag. You, I presume, could adroitly and gingerly settle this intricate, tangled concern : You in a trice could relicve our perplexities.

Lys. Certainly. Mag. How? permit me to learn.
Lys. Just as a woman, with nimble dexterity, thus with her hands disentangles a skein, Hither and thither her spindles unravel it, drawing it out, and pulling it plain.
So would this weary Hellenic entanglement
soon be resolved by our womanly care,
So would our embassies neatly unravel it,
drawing it here and pulling it there.
Mag. Wonderful, marvellous feats, not a doubt of it, you with your skeins and your spindles can show;
Fools! do you really expect to unravel a
terrible war like a bundle of tow?
Lys. Ah, if you only could manage your politics
just in the way that we deal with a fleece!
Mag. Tell us the recipe. Lys. First, in the rashing-tub
plunge it, and scour it, and cleanse it from grease,
Purging away all the filth and the nastiness;
then on the table expand it and lay,
Beating out all that is worthless and mischievous,
picking the burrs and the thistles away.

Next, for the clubs, the cabals, and the coteries, banding unrighteously, office to win, Treat them as clots in the wool, and dissever them, lopping the heads that are forming therein. Then you should card it, and comb it, and mingle it, all in one Basket of love and of unity,
Citizens, visitors, strangers, and sojourners, all the entire, undivided community.
Know you a fellow in debt to the Treasury?
Mingle him merrily in with the rest.
Also remember the cities, our colonies,
outlying states in the east and the west,
Scattered about to a distance surrounding us,
these are our shreds and our fragments of wool ;
These to one mighty political aggregate
tenderly, carefully, gather and pull,
Twining them all in one thread of good fellowship;
thence a magnificent bobbin to spin,
Weaving a garment of comfort and dignity,
worthily wrapping the People therein.
\(\widetilde{M a g . ~ H e a r d ~ a n y ~ e v e r ~ t h e ~ l i k e ~ o f ~ t h e i r ~ i m p u d e n c e, ~}\)
these who have nothing to do with the war,
Preaching of bobbins, and beatings, and washing-tubs?
Lys. Nothing to do with it, wretch that you are !
We are the people who fecl it the keenliest, doubly on us the affliction is cast;
Where are the sons that we sent to your battle-fields?
Mag. Silence ! a truce to the ills that are past.
Lxs. Then in the glory and grace of our womanhood,
all in the May and the morning of life,
Lo, we are sitting forlorn and disconsolate,
what has a soldier to do with a wife?

We might endure it, but ah! for the younger ones, still in their maiden apartments they stay, Waiting the husband that never approaches them, watching the years that are gliding away. Mag. Men, I suppose, have their youth everlastingly.

Lys. Nay, but it isn't the same with a man :
Grey though he be when he comes from the battle-field, still if he wishes to marry, he can.
Brief is the spring and the flower of our womanhood, once let it slip, and it comes not again ;
Sit as we may with our spells and our auguries, never a husband will marry us then.
Mag. Truly whoever is able to wed- \({ }^{1}\)
Lys. Truly, old fellow, 'tis time you were dead.
So a pig shall be sought, and an urn shall be bought, 600
And I'll bake you and make you a funeral cake.
Take it and go.
Cal. Here are the fillets all ready to wear.
Myrr. Here is the chaplet to bind in your hair.
Lys. Take it and go.
What are you prating for? What are you waiting for?
Charon is staying, delaying his crew,
Charon is calling and bawling for you.
Mag. See, here's an outrage! here's a scandalous shame!
I'll run and show my fellow magistrates
The woeful, horrid, dismal plight I'm in.
Lys. Grumbling because we have not laid you out?
Wait for three days, and then with dawn will come, All in good time, the third-day funeral rites.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Apparently he was about to add "will soon find a wife," but Lysistrata interrupts him, and she and her companions dress him up like a corpse.
}
(The Magistrate runs off in his grace-clothes to complain of and exhibit the treatment he has received. Lysistrata and her friends withutravo into the Acropolis. The two Choruses remain without, and reliere the tedium of the siege with a little banter.)

Men Ch. This is not a time for slumber; now let all the bold and free, Strip to meet the great oceasion, vindicate our rights with me.
I can smell a deep, surprising Tide of Revolution rising, Odour as of folk devising Hippias's tyranny.
And I feel a dire misgiving, Lest some false Laeonians, meeting in the house of Cleisthenes, Have inspired these wretched women all our wealth and pay to seize,
Pay from whence I get my living. Gods! to hear these shallow wenehes
taking citizens to task,
Prattling of a brassy buckler, jabbering of a martial casque !
Gods! to think that they have ventured with Laconian men to deal,
Men of just the faith and honour that a ravening wolf might feel !
Plots they're hatching, plots contriving,
plots of rampant Tyranny;
But o'er us they shan't be Tyrants, no, for on my guard I'll be,
And I'll dress my sword in myrtle, and with firm and danntless hand,
Here beside Aristogeiton
resolutely take my stand,

Marketing in arms beside him.
This the time and this the place
When my patriot arm must deal a
-blow \({ }^{1}\) upon that woman's face.
W. Ch. Ah, your mother shall not know you,
impudent! when home you go.
Strip, my sisters, strip for action,
on the ground your garments throw.
Right it is that I my slender
Tribute to the state should render, I, who to her thoughtful tender
care my happiest memories owe ;
Bore, at seven, the mystic casket;
Was, at ten, our Lady's miller;
then the yellow Brauron bear ;
Next (a maiden tall and stately
with a string of figs to wear)
Bore in pomp the holy Basket.
Well may such a gracious City
all my filial duty claim.
What though I was born a woman, comrades, count it not for blame
If I bring the wiser counsels;
I an equal share confer 650
Towards the common stock of Athens,
I contribute men to her.
But the noble contribution,
but the olden tribute-pay,
Which your fathers' fathers left you, relic of the Median fray,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) Unexpectedly suits the action to the word. A similar result takes place at the end of the three succeeding speeches.
}

Dotards, ye have lost and wasted! nothing in its stead ye bring,
Nay ourselves ye're like to ruin, spend and waste by blundering.
Murmuring are ye? Let me hear you, only let me hear you speak,
And from this unpolished slipper comes a-slap upon your cheek!

Men Ch. Is not this an outrage sore? And methinks it blows not o'er, But increases more and more.
Come, my comrades, hale and hearty, on the ground your mantles throw,
In the odour of their manhood men to meet the fight should go,
Not in these ungodly wrappers swaddled up from top to toc.

On, then on, my white-foot veterans \({ }^{1}\), ye who thronged Leipsydrium's height
In the days when we were Men! Shake this chill old Age from off you,

Spread the wings of youth again.
O these women ! give them once a handle howsoever small,
And they'll soon be nought behind us in the manliest feats of all.
Yea, they'll build them fleets and navies and they'll come across the sea,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1} \lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa o ́ \pi о \delta \epsilon \varsigma\), with a play on \(\lambda v \kappa o ́ \pi o \delta \epsilon s\), the name given to the outlawed Alcmaeonids when they returned to Attica and established themselves on Leipsydrium, in their first fruitless attempt to overthrow the tyramny of Hippias.
}

Come like Carian Artemisia,
fighting in their ships with me.
Or they'll turn their first attention, haply, to equestrian fights,
If they do, I know the issue, there's an end of all the knights !
Well a woman sticks on horseback :
look around you, see, behold,
Where on Micon's living frescoes
fight the Amazons of old!
Shall we let these wilful women,
O my brothers, do the same?
Rather first their necks we'll rivet
tightly in the pilloryframe.
W. Ch. If our smouldering fires ye fake, Soon our wildbeast wrath will break Out against you, and we'll make,
Make you howl to all your neighbours, currycombed, poor soul, and tanned.
Throw aside your mantles, sisters,
come, a firm determined band,
In the odour of your wrathful
snappish womanhood to stand.
Who'll come forth and fight me? garlic, nevermore, nor beans for him.
Nay, if one sour word ye say,
I'll be like the midwife beetle,
Following till the eagle lay.
Yea, for you and yours I reek not
whilst my Lampito survives,
And my noble, dear Ismenia,
loveliest of the Theban wives.

Keep deereeing seven times over, not a bit of grod you'll do,
Wretch abhorred of all the people
and of all our neighbours ton.
So that when in Hecate's honour
yesterday I sent to get
From our neighbours in Bocotia such a dainty darling pet,
Just a lovely, graceful, slender,
white-fleshed eel divinely tender,
Thanks to your decrees, confound them,
one and all refused to send her.
And you'll never stop from making these absurd decrees I know,
Till I eateh your leg and toss you
-Zeus-ha'-mercy, there you go!
(An interval of several days must here be supposed to elapse. The separation of the sexes has now become insupportable to both parties, and the only question is which side will hold out the longest. The Chorus of Women are alarmed at seeing Lysistrata come on the stage, and walk up and down with an anxious and troubled air. The first tuelve lines of the dialogre rithen ensues are borrowed and burlesqued from Euripides.)
W. Cir. Illustrious leader of this bold emprize, What brings thee forth, with trouble in thine eyes?
Lys. Vile women's works : the feminine hearts they show : These make me pace, dejected, to and fro.
W. Cir. O what! and \(O\) what!

Lys. 'Tis true! 'tis true!
W. Cr. O to your friends, great queen, the tale unfold.

Lys. 'Tis sad to tell, and sore to leave untold.
W. Ch. What, what has happened ? tell us, tell us quick.

Lys. Aye, in one word. The girls are-husband-sick.
W. Сн. O Zeus! Zeus! O!

Lys. Why call on Zeus? the fact is surely so.

I can no longer keep the minxes in.
They slip out everywhere. One I discovered
Down by Pan's grotto, burrowing through the loophole :
Another, wriggling down by crane and pulley:
A third deserts outright: a fourth I dragged
Back by the hair, yestreen, just as she started
On sparrow's back, straight for Orsilochus's :
They make all sorts of shifts to get away.
(A zooman is seen attempting to cross the stage.)
Ha ! here comes one, deserting. Hi there, Hi!
Where are you off to? First Woman (humienly). I must just run home.
I left some fine Milesian wools about,
I'm sure the moths are at them. Lys. Moths indeed!
Get back. First W. But really I'll return directly, I only want to spread them on the couch.
Lys. No spreadings out, no running home to-day.
First W. What! leave my wools to perish? Lis. If need be.
(A second roomar noro attempts to cross the stage.)
Second W. O goodness gracious! O that lovely flax
I left at home unhackled! Lys. Here's another!
She's stealing off to hackle flax forsooth.
(To the sccond woman.)
Come, come, get back. Second W. O yes, and so I will,
I'll comb it out and come again directly.
Lys. Nay, nay, no combing : once begin with that And other girls are sure to want the same.

> (Several women enter one after the other.)

Third W.O holy Eileithyia, stay my labour
Till I can reach some lawful travail-place.
Lys. How now! Third W. My pains are come. Lys. Why yesterday
You were not pregnant. Third W. But to-day I am.

Quick, let me pass, Lysistrata, at once
To find a midwife. Lys. What's it all about?
What's this hard lump? Third W. That's a male child.
Lys.
Not it.
It's something made of brass, and hollow too.
Come, come, out with it. O you silly woman,
What! cuddling up the sacred helmet there
And say you're pregnant? Third W. Well, and so I am.
Lys. What's this for then? Third W. Why, if my pains o'ertake me
In the Acropolis, I'd creep inside
And sit and hatch there as the pigeons do.
Lys. Nonsense and stuff: the thing's as plain as can be.
Stay and keep here the name-day of your-helmet.
Fourth W. But I can't sleep a single wink up here,
So scared I was to see the holy serpent.
Fifth W. And I shall die for lack of rest, I know, With this perpetual hooting of the owls.
Lys. O ladies, ladies, cease these tricks, I pray.
Ye want your husbands. And do you suppose
They don't want us? Full wearisome, I know, Their nights without us. O bear up, dear friends, Be firm, be patient, yet one little while,
For I've an oracle (here 'tis) which says
We're sure to conquer if we hold together.
Women. O read us what it says. Lys. Then all keep silence.
(Lysistrata reads out the oracte.)
Soon us the swallows are seen collecting and crouching together,
Shunning the hoopoes' flight and kieeping aloof from the Love-birds,
Cometh a rest from ill, and Zeus the Lord of the Thunder
Changeth the upper to unter. Women. Preserve ns, shall we be the upper?
Lys. Nay, Dut if once they wrangle, and futter aray in dissension

Out of the Temple of Gord, then all shall see and acknowledge, Never a bird of the air so perjured and frail as the swallou.
Women. Wow, but that's plain enough! O all ye Gods,
Let us not falter in our efforts now.
Come along in. O friends, O dearest friends,
'Twere sin and shame to fail the oracle.
(The women, with Lysistrata, re-enter the Acropolis. The two Choruses again indulge in and interchange of banter. The Mren begin.)
Men Ch. Now to tell a little story
Fain, fain I grow,
One I heard when quite an urehin Long, long ago. How that once
All to shun the nuptial bed From his home Melanion fled,
To the hills and deserts sped, Kept his dog, Wove his snares, Set his nets, Trapped his hares ;
Home he nevermore would go, He detested women so. We are of Melanion's mind, We detest the womankind.
Man. May I, mother, kiss your cheek?
Woman. Then you won't require a leek \({ }^{1}\).
Man. Hoist my leg, and kick you, so ?
Woman. Fie! what stalwart legs you show!
Man.
Just such stalwart legs and strong,
Just such stalwart legs as these,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) To produce artificial tears : you shall shed real ones. So, in the converse case of a fictitious grief, Shakespeare says, "The tears live in an onion that should water this sorrow." -A. and C. i. 2.
}

To the noble chiefs belong, Phormio and Myronides. (It is now the women's turn. The two systems are of course antistrophical.)
W. Ch. Now to tell a little story Fain, fain am I, To your tale about Melanion Take this reply. How that once
Savage Timon, all forlorn, Dwelt amongst the prickly thorn Visage-shrouded, Fury-born. Dwelt alone, Far away, Cursing men
Day by day;
Never saw his home again, Kept alcof from haunts of men :
Hating men of evil mind, Dear to all the womankind.
Woman. Shall I give your cheek a blow?
Man. No, I thank you, no, no, no!
Woman. Hoist my foot and kick you too ?
Man. Fie! what vulgar feet I view.
Woman. Vulgar feet! absurd, absurd,
Don't suel foolish things repeat;
Never were, upon my word,
Tinier, tidier little feet.
(The two Choruses now retire into the bachyround: and there is again a short pause. Suddenly the voice of Lysistrata is heard calling cagerly to her friends.)
Lys. Ho, ladies! ladies! quick, this way, this way!
Woman. O what's the matter and what means that cry?
Liss. A man! a man! I see a man approaching

Wild with desire, beside himself with love.
O lady of Cyprus, Paphos, and Cythera, Keep on, straight on, the way you are going now !
Woman. But where's the man? Lys. (Pointing.) Down there, by Chloe's chapel.
Woman. O so he is: whoever can he be!
Lys. Know you him, any one? Myrr. O yes, my dear, I know him. That's Cinesias, my husband.
Lys. \(\quad\) O then 'tis yours to roast and bother him well; Coaxing, yet coy : enticing, fooling him, Going all lengths, save what our Oath forbids.
Myrr. Ay, ay, trust me. Lys. And I'll assist you, dear;
I'll take my station here, and help befool
And roast our victim. All the rest, retire.
(The others withdran, leaving Lysistrata alone upon the wall. Cinesias approaches underneath.)
Cinesias. O me! these pangs and paroxysms of love,
Riving my heart, keen as a torturer's wheel!
Lys. Who's this within the line of sentries? Cin. I.
Lys. A man? Cin. A man, no doubt. Lys. Then get you gone.
Cin. Who bids me go? Lys. I, guard on outpost duty.
Cin. O call me out, I pray you, Myrrhina.
Lys. Call you out Myrrhina! And who are you?
Cin. Why I'm her husband, I'm Cinesias.
Lys. O welcome, welcome, dearest man; your name
Is not unknown nor yet unhonoured here.
Your wife for ever has it on her lips.
She eats no egg, no apple, but she says
This to Cinesias! Cin. O, good heaven! good heaven!
Lys. She does, indeed: and if we ever chance
To talk of men, she vows that all the rest
Are veriest trash beside Cinesias.
Cin. Ah! call her out. Lys. And will you give me aught?
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Cin. O yes, I'll give you anything I've got.
Lys. Then I'll go down and call her. Cin. Pray be quick.
I have no joy, no happiness in life,
Since she, my darling left me. When I enter
My vacant home I weep; and all the world
Seems desolate and bare: my very meals
Give me no joy, now Myrrhina is gone.
Myrr. (within.) Ay, ay, I love, I love him, but he won't Be loved by me: call me not out to him.
Cin. What mean you, Myrrhina, my sweet, sweet love? Do, do come down. Myrr. No, no, sir, not to you.
Cir. What, won't you when I call you, Myrrhina?
Myrr. Why, though you call me, yet you want me not.
Cin. Not want you, Myrrhina! I'm dying for you.
Myrr. Good-bye. Cin. Nay, nay, but listen to the child At all events : speak to Mama, my child.
Child. Mama! Mama! Mama!
Cin. Have you no feeling, mother, for your child, Six days unwashed, unsuckled? Myrr. Ay, 'tis I That feel for baby, 'tis Papa neglects him.
Cin. Come down and take him, then? Myrr. O what it is To be a mother ! I must needs go down.
(She descends from the wall, and four lines below reappears through the gate. White she is gone Cinesias speaks.)
Cin. She looks, methinks, more youthful than she did, More gentle-loving, and more sweet by far.
Her very airs, her petulant, sancy ways, They do but make me love her, love her more.
Myrr. O my sweet child, a naughty father's child, Mama's own darling, let me kiss you, pet.
Cin. Why treat me thus, you baggage, letting others Lead you astray: making me miserable And yourself too? Myrr. Hands off! don't touch me, sir.

Cin. And all our household treasures, yours and mine, Are gone to wrack and ruin. Myrr. I don't eare.
Cin. Not care, although the forwls are in the house Pulling your threads to pieces? Myrr. Not a bit.
Cin. Nor though the sacred rites of wedded love Have been so long neglected? won't you come?
Myrr. No, no, I won't, unless you stop the war, And all make friends. Cin. Well, then, if such your will, We'll e'en do this. Myrr. Well, then, if such your will, I'll e'en come home: but now I've sworn I won't.
Cin. Yet kiss me, Myrrhina, unkissed so long.
Myrr. There (kisses himp). Civ. O my darling, come, come home at once.
(After trifling with him a little longer, Myrrhina suddenty disappears into the Acropolis, leaving him in a mood to rote for peace with Sparta on any terms, so that he may get her home again. A Laconian herald is next seen approaching, and the Magistrate comes forward to meet him.)
Herald. Whaur sall a body fin' the Athanian senate, Or the gran' lairds? Ha' gotten news to tell.
Mag. News, have you, friend? And what in the world are you?
Her. A heralt, billie! jist a Spartian heralt, Come, by the Twa', anent a Peace, ye ken.
Mag. Ay, and how fare the Spartans? tell me that: And tell me truly, for I know the fact.
Her. They're bad eneugh, they canna weel be waur ; They're sair bestead, Spartians, allies, an' a'.
Mag. And how and whence arose this trouble first? From Pan? Her. Na, na, 'twer' Lampito, I ween, First set it gangin': then our hizzies, a' Risin' like rinners at ane signal word, Loupit, an' jibbed, an' dang the men awa'.
Mag. How like ye that? Her. Och, we're in waefu' case. They stan' abeigh, the lassies do, an' vow They'll no be couthie wi' the laddies mair
'Till a' mak' Peace, and throughly en' the War.
Mag. This is a plot they have everywhere been hatching, These villanous women : now I see it all. Run home, my man, and bid your people send Envoys with absolute powers to treat for peace, And I will off with all the speed I can, And get our Council here to do the same.
Her. Nebbut, I'se fly, ye rede me weel, I'm thinkin'.
(The Herald leaves for Sparta; the Magistrate returns to the Senate; and the two Choruses now adrance for a final skirmish.)

Mex Ch. There is nothing so resistless as a woman in her ire, She is wilder than a leopard, she is fiercer than a fire.
W. Ch. And yet you're so daft as with women to contend, When 'tis in your power to win me and have me as a friend.
Men Ch. I'll never, never cease all women to detest.
W. Ch. That's as you please hereafter: meanwhile you're all undressed. I really can't allow it, you are getting quite a joke; Permit me to approach you and to put you on this cloke.
Men Ch. Now that's not so bad or unfriendly I declare; It was only from bad temper that I stripped myself so bare.
W. Сн. There, now you look a man : and none will joke and jeer you: And if you weren't so spiteful that no one can come near you, I'd have pulled out the insect that is sticking in your eye.
Men Ch. Ay, that is what's consuming me, that little biter-fly. Yes, scoop it out and show me, when you've got him safe away : The plaguy little brute, he's been biting me all day.
W. Ch. I'll do it, sir, I'll do it: but you're a cross one, you. O Zeus! here's a monster I am pulling forth to view. Just look! don't you think 'tis a Tricorysian gnat?
Mex̃ Ch. And he's been dig, dig, digging (so I thank you much for that) Till the water, now he's gone, keeps running from my eye.
W. Ch. But although you've been so naughty, I'll come and wipe it dry,

And I'll kiss you. Men Ch. No, not kiss me! W. Сh. Will you, nill you, it must be.
Men Ch. Get along, a murrain on you. Tcha! what coaxing rogues are ye!
That was quite a true opinion which a wise man gave about you,
We can't live with such tormentors, no, by Zens, nor yet without you.
Now we'll make a faithful treaty, and for evermore agree, I will do no harm to women, they shall do no harm to me.
Join our forces, come along : one and all commence the song.
Joint Chorus. Not to objurgate and scold you, Not unpleasant truths to say, But with words and deeds of bounty

Come we here to-day. Ah , enough of idle quarrels,

Now attend, I pray.
Now whoever wants some money, Minas two or minas three, Let them say so, man and woman, 1050
Let them come with me. Many purses, large and-empty \({ }^{1}\),

In my house they'll see. Only you must strictly promise, Only you indeed must say That whenever Peace re-greet us,

You will-not repay.
\({ }^{1}\) Read

These little twin songs, and the similar pair which will be found a few pages further on, are all fashioned in the same vein of pleasantry ; consisting of large and liberal offers made by the Chorus, but with an intimation at the end that they have no means or intention of performing them.

Some Carystian friends are coming,
Pleasant gentlemen, to dine ;
And I've made some soup, and slaughtered
Such a lovely swine;
Luscious meat ye'll have and tender
At this feast of mine.
Come along, yourselves and children,
Come to grace my board to-day ;
Take an early bath, and deck you
In your best array;
Then walk in and ask no questions,
Take the readiest way.
Come along, like men of mettle;
Come as though 'twere all for you:
Come, you'll find my only entrance
Locked and bolted too.

\section*{(The Laconian ambassadors are seen approaching.)}

Chor. Lo here from Sparta the envoys come: in a pitiful plight they are hobbling in.
Heavily hangs each reverend beard; heavily droops and trails from the chin.

Laconian envoys! first I bid you welcome,
And next I ask how goes the world with you?
Laconian. I needna mony words to answer that!
'Tis unco plain hoo the warld gangs wi' us.
Chor. Dear, dear, this trouble grows from bad to worse.
Lac. 'Tis awfu' bad: 'tis nae gude talkin', cummer.
We maun hae peace whatever gact we gang till't.
Chor. And here, grod faith, I sec our own Autochthons
Bustling along. They seem in trouble too.
(The Athenian ambassadors onter.)
Atienian. Can some good sonl inform me where to find

Lysistrata? our men are (shrugging his shoulders) as you see.
(He perceires the Laconian ambassudors.)
Aha, Laconians! a bad business this.
Lac. 'Deed is it, lovey; though it grow nae waur.
Ath. Well, well, Laconians, come to facts at once. 1100
What brings you here? Lac. We're envoys sent to claver
Anent a Peace. Ath. Ah, just the same as we.
Then let's call out Lysistrata at once,
There's none but she can make us friends again.
Lac. Ay, by the 'Twa', ca' oot Lysistrata.
Chor. Nay, here she is! no need, it seems, to call.
She heard your voices, and she comes uncalled.
(Lysistrata comes forward attended by her handmaid Reconciliation.)
O Lady, noblest and best of all! arise, arise, and thyself reveal,
Gentle, severe, attractive, harsh, well skilled with all our complaints to deal,
The first and foremost of Hellas come, they are caught by the charm of thy spell-drawn wheel,
They come to Thee to adjust their claims, disputes to settle, and strifes to heal.

Lys. And no such mighty matter, if you take them In Love's first passion, still unsatisfied. I'll try them now. Go, Reconcillation, Bring those Laconians hither, not with rude Ungenial harshness hurrying them along, Not in the awkward style our husbands used, But with all tact, as only women can. So ; so: now bring me those Athenians too. Now then, Laconians, stand beside me here, And you stand there, and listen to my words. I am a woman, but I don't lack sense ; I'm of myself not badly off for brains,

And often listening to my father's words And old men's talk, I've not been badly schooled. And now, dear friends, I wish to chide you both, That ye, all of one blood, all brethren sprinkling The selfsame altars from the selfsame laver, At Pylae, Pytho, and Olympia, ay And many others which 'twere long to name, That ye, Hellenes-with barbarian foes
Armed, looking on-fight and destroy Hellenes !
So far one reprimand includes you both.
Atr. And I, I'm dying all for love, sweetheart.
Lys. And ye, Laconians, for I'll turn to you, Do ye not mind how Pericleidas \({ }^{1}\) came, (His coat was scarlet but his cheeks were white), And sat a suppliant at Athenian altars And begged for help? 'Twas when Messene pressed Weighing you down, and God's great earthquake too. And Cimon went, Athenian Cimon went With his four thousand men, and saved your State. And ye, whom Athens aided, now in turn Ravage the land which erst befriended you.
Атн. 'Fore Zeus they're wrong, they're wrong, Lysistrata.
Lac. O ay, we're wrang, but she's a braw ane, she.
Lys. And you, Athenians, think ye that I mean To let You off? Do ye not mind, when ye
Wore skirts of hide, how these Laconians \({ }^{2}\) came
And stood beside you in the fight alone,
And slew full many a stout Thessalian trooper,
Full many of Hippias's friends and helpers, And frced the State, and gave your people back The eivic mantle for the servile skirt?

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Plutarch, Cimon, chap. 16. Thuc. i. 102 ; iii. 54.
\({ }^{2}\) See Hdt. v. 64, 65.
}

Lac. Danged, an' there ever waur a bonnier lassie!
Art. Hanged if I ever saw so sweet a creature!
Lys. Such friends aforetime, helping each the other, What is it makes you fight and bicker now?
Why can't ye come to terms? Why can't ye, hey?
Lac. Troth an' we're willin', gin they gie us back
Yon girdled neuk. Atr. What's that? Lac. Pylus, ye ninny,
Whilk we've been aye langin' an' graipin' for.
Ath. No, by Poseidon, but you won't get that.
Lys. O let them have it, man. Ath. How can we stir Without it? Lys. Ask for something else instead.
Aтн. Hum! haw! let's see ; suppose they give us back Echinus first, then the full-bosomed gulf Of Melis, then the straight Megaric limbs.
Lac. Eh, mon, ye're daft; ye'll no hae everything.
Lys. O let it be : don't wrangle about the limbs.
Aтн. I'fecks, I'd like to strip, and plough my field.
Lac. An' I to bring the midden, by the Twa'.
Lys. All this ye'll do, when once ye come to terms.
So if ye would, go and consult together
And talk it over, each with your allies.
Arf. Allies, says she! Now my grod soul consider :
What do they want, what can they want, but this,
Their wives again? Lac. The fient anither wiss
Ha' mine, I ween. Атн. Nor my Carystians either.
Lys. O that is well : so purify yourselves;
And in the Acropolis we'll feast you all
On what our cupboards still retain in store.
There, each to other, plight your oath and troth,
Then every man receive his wife again,
And hie off homeward.
Lac. Gae on: we'se follow. Ath. Ay, as quick as quick.
(Lysistrata and the ambassadors go in.)
Chor. Gorgeous robes and golden trinkets, Sharwls and mantles rich and rare, I will lend to all who need them,

Lend for youths to wear, Or if any comrade's daughter

Would the Basket bear. One and all I here invite you, Freely of my goods partake, Nought is sealed so well, but boldly

Ye the seals may break,
And of all that lurks behind them,
Quick partition make.
Only, if you find the treasures, Only, if the stores you spy, You must have, I tell you plainly, Keener sight than I.
Is there any man among you, With a lot of children small, With a crowd of hungry servants, Starving in his hall? I have wheat to spare in plenty, I will feed them all. Loaves, a quart apiece, I'll give them, Come along, whoever will, Bring your bags, and bring your wallets For my slave to fill ; Manes, he's the boy to pack them Tight and tighter still. Only you must kecp your distance, Only you must nceds take care, Only-don't approach my doorway, Ware the watch-dog, ware!
(Some idlers come in from the market-place, and attempt to enter the house in which the ambassadors are feasting.)
Idler. Open the door there, ho! Porter. Be off, you rascal!
Idler. What, won't you stir? I've half a mind to roast you
All with this torch. No, that's a vulgar trick. I won't do that. Still if the audience wish it, To please their tastes we'll undertake the task.
Second Idler. And we, with you, will undertake the task.
Porter. Hang you, be off! what are yon at? you'll catch it. Come, come, begone; that these Laconians here, The banquet ended, may depart in peace.
(The banqueters begin to come out.)
First Ath. Well, if I ever saw a feast like this !
What cheery fellows those Laconians were, And we were wondrous witty in our cups.
Second Ath. Ay, ay, 'tis when we're sober, we're so daft.
Now if the State would take a friend's advice,
'Twould make its envoys always all get drunk.
When we go dry to Sparta, all our aim
Is just to see what mischief we can do.
We don't hear aught they say ; and we infer
A heap of things they never said at all.
Then we bring home all sorts of differing tales.
Now everything gives pleasure: if a man,
When he should sing Cleitagora, strike up
With Telamon's song, we'd clap him on the back, And say 'twas excellent ; ay, and swear it too.
(The idlers again approach.)
Porter. Why, bless the fellows, here they come again, Crowding along. Be off, you scoundrels, will you?
Idler. By Zeus, we must: the guests are coming out.
(The ambassadors come out from the banquet.)
Lac. O lovey mine, tak' up the pipes an' blaw.
An' I'se jist dance an' sing a canty sang

Anent the Athanians an' our ainsells too.
Atr. Ay, by the Powers, take up the pipes and blow. Eh, but I dearly love to see you dance.

Lac. \({ }^{1} \quad\) Stir, Memory, stir the chiels
Wi' that auld sang o' thine,'
Whilk kens what we an' Attics did
In the gran' fechts lang syne.
At Artemisium They
A' resolute an' strang
Rushed daurly to the fray, Hurtlin' like Gudes amang
The timmered ships, an' put the Medes to rout.
An' Us Leonidas led out
Like gruesome boars, I ween,
Whettin' our tuskies keen.
Muckle around the chaps was the white freath gleamin',
Muckle adoon the legs was the white freath streamin',
For a' umumbered as the sands
Were they, thae Persian bands.
O Artemis, the pure, the chaste,
The virgin Queller o' the beasties,
O come wi' power an' come wi' haste,
An' come to join our friendly feasties.
Come wi' thy stoutest tether,
To knit our sauls thegither, An' gie us Peace in store, An' Luve for cevermore.
Far hence, far hence depart
The tod's deceitfu' heart!

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) The songs with which the Play concludes are, in the original, representatives of two widely differing styles of minstrelsy : the light and airy measures of the Jonians, and the " Dorian movement, bold or grave."
}
\(O\) virgin huntress, pure an' chaste, O come wi' power, an' come wi' haste.

Lys. There, all is settled, all arranged at last. Now, take your ladies ; you, Laconians, those, And you, take these; then standing side by side, Each by his partner, lead your dances out In grateful honour to the Gods, and O Be sure you nevermore offend again.

Chor. Now for the Chorus, the Graces, the minstrelsy.
Call upon Artemis, queen of the glade;
Call on her brother, the Lord of festivity,
Holy and gentle one, mighty to aid.
Call upon Bacchus, afire with his Maenades;
Call upon Zeus, in the lightning arrayed;
Call on his queen, ever blessed, adorable ;
Call on the holy, infallible Witnesses,
Call them to witness the peace and the harmony,
This which divine Aphrodite has made.
Allala! Lallala! Lallala, Lallala!
Whoop for victory, Lallalalac!
Evoi! Evoi! Lallala, Lallala!
Evae! Evae! Lallalalae.
Our excellent new song is done;
Do you, Laconian, give us one.
Lac. Leave Taygety, an' quickly Hither, Muse Laconian, come. Hymn the Gude o' braw Amyclae, Hymn Athana, Brassin-dome. 1300 Hymn the Tyndarids, for ever Sportin' by Eurotas river.

Noo then, noo the step begin, Twirlin' licht the fleecy skin; Sae we'se join our blithesome voices, Praisin' Sparta, loud an' lang, Sparta wha of auld rejoices In the Choral dance an' sang. O to watch her bonnie dochters Sport alang Eurotas' waters! Winsome feet for ever plyin', Fleet as fillies, wild an' gay, Winsome tresses tossin', flyin', As o' Bacchanals at play. Leda's dochter, on before us, Pure an' sprety, guides the Chorus.

Onward go,
Whilst your eager hand represses
A' the glory o' your tresses ;
Whilst your eager foot is springin'
Like the roe ;
Whilst your eager voice is singin'
Praise to Her in might excellin' Goddess o' the Brassin Dwellin'.

\section*{A PPENDIX}

\section*{OF VARIOUS READINGS}

> The MSS. containing the Lysistrata in a more or less perfect form are as follows :-
R. The Ravenna MS.
(I have the facsimile of \(R\). and am responsible for the presentation of its readings in this Appendix.)
H. The Munich MS. (No. 492, State Library).

P1. The second Parisian (No. 2715, National Library).
\(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). The third Parisian (No. 2717, National Library).
(These three MSS. were used by Brunck for his edition.)
I. The Vaticano-Palatine (Pal. No. 67 in the Vatican Library).
(This MS. was used by Kuster, and apparently by Marco Musuro for the Editio Princeps.)
\(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). The second Florentine (No. 31. 16 in the Laurentian Library).
1. The first Leyden (No. 52 in the University Library).

There is a copy of l., made by Bentley, in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge.

Of these MSS. only R. and H. contain the Play in its entirety. They belong to the same class, H. being extremely similar to, though not quite identical with, R.

The other five MSS. are a quite distinct class, and are obvionsly all drawn from one source. They all alike omit the lines between 61 and 132, between 199 and 268, between 819 and 890, and between 1097 and 1237; whilst l. also omits the lines between 1035 and 1097 and from

1237 to the end of the Play. I have been in the habit of vituperating \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). as the originator of countless futile emendations and \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). as the faithful retailer of the same. But it is apparent from Professor John Williams White's valuable articles on " the MSS. of Aristophanes" that I did not rightly apportion the amount of criminality between the two. \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\)., being the older MS., must have been the original transgressor and \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). the indiscriminate copier.

I must also confess to another mistake which is pointed out by Professor White with great kindness in the same articles. H. is a MS. in the Bibliotheca Monacensis which I ignorantly supposed to be a library in the little principality of Monaco. And as the letter M. had already been assigned to the Milanese MSS., I gave to this MS. the letter H., the initial of Herculis Portus which was the ancient name of Monaco. However the Bibliotheca Monacensis is really the State Library of Munich, and H . must now stand for the final (the initial being otherwise engaged) of the Bavarian City.

Neither the Lysistrata nor the Thesmophoriazusae appeared in the Editio Princeps, the Aldine edition. Aldus says, "Accipe novem Aristophanis fabulas, nam decimam, Lysistraten, ideo practermisimus quia vix dimidiata haberi a nobis potuit." He might have published a mutilated Lysistrata, but he seems to know nothing of an eleventh Comedy. It is probable that he is referring to the MS. which I have called I., and which on other grounds he is believed to have used. I. does, as we have seen, contain a mutilated copy of the Lysistrata, but contains no portion of the Thesmophoriazusae.

The Aldine edition was published in 1498, and in 1515 Bernardo Giunta published the same nine Plays at Florence. And in the same year he published, as a separate volume, the two Plays which Aldus had failed to procure. In his dedication of the latter volume to Francesco Accolti he says, "Venit, mi Francisce, expectata dies illa in qua ex Urbinate Bibliotheca antiquissimum Aristophanis exemplar nacti sumus, ibique inter alias \(\Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \eta \nu\) каì \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о \phi о \rho \iota a \zeta\) ov́ras, non alias visas comoedias invenimus, hasque et two nomine cudere tibique dicare, amicorum optime,
visum est. Nec te ignorare velim, vir doctissime, plus aliis truncatas mutilatasque has esse comoedias." And in his final note he says to the reader, "Habes, candide lector, nusquam hactenus impressas binas Aristophanis comoedias, sacrificantes feminas Atticamque Lysistraten, quas ex codice adeo vetusto excerpsimus ut altera interdum dictionis pars ibi desideretur."

It is rather surprising to find in Cratander's edition, published at Basle seventeen years later, not only no recognition of Giunta's publication, but an implication that these two Plays had never been published before. Cratander says to the reader, "Habes, candide lector, praeter novem hactenus editas Aristophanis comoedias, duas in calce adiunctas, nimirum sacrificantes foeminas, Atticamque Lysistraten. In quibus si quid desiderabitur, in exemplar mutilum et corruptum culpam transferas oportet. Nos, studiis tuis faventes, illas tibi, ut invenimus, minus expolitas, quam nullas tradere maluimus." His presentation of the two Comedies is identical with that of 1515 , and I do not know whence he got the name Atticam Lysistraten, if not from Giunta's edition. However he may of course have consulted the MS. which Giunta consulted..

That MS. has, of late years, been identified, or sought to be identified, with the famous MS. of Ravenna. The identification is said to have been made independently by two critics, W. G. Clark, formerly Public Orator in the University of Cambridge, and Velsen. I have not been able to obtain Velsen's article, but it is not likely that he added anything of moment to Clark's argument; for Velsen's excellence as a collator of MSS. should not blind us to his singular ineffectiveness as a critic. Clark's argument rests mainly on the fact that he found in the Ravenna MS. certain pencil marls corresponding with the pages of Giunta's edition of the two Plays. But this is not a very convincing argument. The pencil marks might just as well have been made by any later scholar (Cratander for instance) with Giunta's edition in his hand. And the description which both Giunta and Cratander give of the MS. in which they found the Plays is that of a MS. absolutely dissimilar to the Ravenna. Clark admits that their description "is by no means a correct
description of the [Ravenna] MS., for it implies that its leaves have becn worn or the writing defaced by age, which is not the case." And he asks, "Is this mere carelessness or deliberate mystification ?" Journal of Philology iii. 157. He returns no answer to the question, and it seems to me that both the suggested solutions are in the highest degree improbable ; and that the only true explanation is that they were not referring to the Ravenna MS. at all. For why should a writer unnecessarily depreciate his own wares? Both Plays are in at least as good a condition in the Ravenna as any of the other nine. The Lysistrata is seventh in the volume, with six Plays preceding and four following it ; the Thesmophoriazusae tenth, followed by the Ecclesiazusae. Yet both Giunta and Cratander place the Thesmophoriazusae lefore the Lysistrata, relegating the latter to the end of the series, a place which it occupied as the last of the eleven comedies for more than two centuries and a half, until Brunck in his edition promoted it to be the first of the eleven comedies.

The editions in my possession containing the Lysistrata are as follows:
(1) Junta. Florence, 1515.
(2) Cratander. Basle, 1532.
(3) Zanetti. Venice, 1538.
(4) Farreus. Venice, 1542.
(5) Grynaeus. Frankfort, 1544.
(6) Gelenius. Basle, 1547 (sometimes called Froben).
(7) Rapheleng. Leyden, 1600 (sometimes called Plantin).
(8) Portus. Geneva, 1607.
(9) Scaliger. Leyden, 1624 (so called because containing a few notes of Scaliger's).
(10) Faber. Amsterdam, 1670 (so called because containing Le Fevre's Ecclesiazusae).
(11) Kuster. Amsterdam, 1710.
(12) Bergler. Leyden, 1760 (posthumous. The text is Burmann's).
(13) Brunck. London, 1823 (originally published at Strasburg, 1783).
(14) Invernizzi. Leipsic, 1794-1823.
(15) Bothe's first edition. Leipsic, 1829.
(16) Bekker. London, 1829.
(17) Dindorf. Oxford, 1835.
(18) Weise. Leipsic, 1842.
(19) Enger's Lysistrata. Bonn, 1844.
(20) Bothe's second edition. Leipsic, 1845.
(21) Holden's first edition. London, 1848.
(22) Bergk. Leipsic, 1857 (reprinted 1888).
(23) Meineke. Leipsic, 1860.
(24) Holden's second edition. London, 1868.
(25) Blaydes. Halle, 1880.
(26) Hall and Geldart. Oxford, 1900.
(27) Van Leeuwen. Leyden, 1903.
1. \(\epsilon\) is \(\mathrm{Baк} \mathrm{\chi} \mathrm{\epsilon iò} \mathrm{R}. \mathrm{H}. \mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). all editions before Dindorf except Brunck and Bothe; and Weise, Enger, Bergk, and Van Leeuwen afterwards. és Bakरєiò \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). 1 . and the remaining editions. Brunck was the first to adopt 's as being " magis Atticum." I do not often mention these trifling differences; being content in matters of this kind to follow the reading of the best MSS., and not agreeing with the assumption of some recent critics that Aristophanes invariably used 's before a consonant and \(\epsilon\) is before a vowel; an assumption which, as Enger observes, "idonea ratione videtur carere." I believe that in all such questions Aristophanes had regard to the rhythmical melody of his verse ; a point of view which never seems even to present itself to the critics.
2. ' \(\pi i\) K \(\kappa \lambda \iota a ́ \delta \delta^{\prime}\) MSS. and editions. Bentley proposed 's K \(\omega \lambda t a ́ \delta o s\), but Ari-
stophanes appears to have favoured this interchange of the prepositions. Thus in Knights 1312 we have eis rò Ө \(\begin{aligned} & \text { ociov } \\ & \end{aligned}\)

 'лì Taivapò;
4. évtaveoî MSS. vulgo. But Elmsley at Ach. 152 expressed a preference for the form èvzavei, which is accordingly introduced into the text by Dindorf, Enger, Holden, Meineke, and Blaydes.
16. \(\chi^{\text {a } \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta}}\) тot. Both R. and H. have \(\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta}} \tau \epsilon\) contra metrum, and so all editions before Brunck. But Florent Chretien conjectured rot, and this was approved by Scaliger and Bentley, and being found in \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). and \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). is read by Brunck and all subsequent editors. Bentley also, the reading of the lastmentioned MSS. being then unknown, suggested \(\delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}\) (and so Bp. Burgess), a very probable conjecture, and Dawes
\(\tau \omega ิ \nu\) ，a very improbable one．For \(\gamma v \nu a \iota \cdot\) \(\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu\) Geel suggests，and Van Leeuwen reads，\(\gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i v . ~ A n d ~ f o r ~ " ~ " \xi o \delta o s ~ D a w e s, ~\) with great probability，suggested \(\dot{\eta}\) छooos as in Peace 1181．And this was approved by Brunck．
 notes），Weise，Van Leeuwen．\({ }^{\epsilon \prime} \tau \epsilon \rho a\) yà \(\rho\) \(\bar{\eta} \nu\) MSS．editions before Brunck and Bekker and Dindorf afterwards．This of course was unmetrical，and various efforts have been made to restore the metre．\(F^{1}\) ．inserts \(\gamma \epsilon\) after \(\bar{\eta} \nu\) ，and though this makes an anapaest follow a dac－ tyl it is adopted by \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．and by Brunck and Invernizzi．Elmsley（at Ach．323） and Hermann proposed \(\tau a \prime \rho\)＇for \(\gamma\) àp，and are followed by Bothe，Enger，and sub－ sequent editors except as herein appears． But ráp＇seems out of place here，as does \(\tau \not{\Delta} \nu\) which Blaydes substitutes． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．， besides the regular line，volunteers another in the margin，designed to get rid of the difficulty about \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon\) ，viz．\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\)


24．каi 市 \(\Delta i ́ a ~ \pi a \chi v ́ ~ R . ~ H . ~ P ² . ~ v u l g o . ~\) ц̀̀ \(\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \Delta i a \pi a \chi u ́ \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．対 \(\Delta i ́ a \pi a \chi u ́ \mathrm{l}\) ．It seems incredible that Meineke should have proposed（in his Vind．Aristoph．） to change the recognized reading into \(\nu \grave{\eta}\) ©ía \(\pi a ́ v v \pi a \chi v \dot{v}\) ．Such is his notion of Aristophanic rhythm．
 Enger，Holden，Blaydes，and Hall and Geldart，except that Enger for \(\omega_{\chi \epsilon i \tau}\)
 Brunck，Weise）є＂\(\not \subset \in \tau о\) R．H．P1．P²．F＇ 1．Brunck，Invernizzi，Bothe，Bekker，
 oí久єro all cditions before＂Scaliger＇s，＂ap－ parently in the sense of all but perished； but that，as Brunck observes，would re－

 you rä \(\rho\)＇єi久єто Meineke，Van Leeuwen．

38．\(a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}(a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{a})\) MSS．vulgo．ä \(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}(a ̈ \lambda \lambda o)\) Brunck，Invernizzi，Bothe，Dindorf， Weise，Enger，Holden，Blaydes．See the Commentary．

42．є＇pरaбаiaro MSS．vulgo．This speech of Calonice is twice quoted by Clement of Alexandria in his＂Preda－ gogue＂（ii．10． 109 and iii．2．7），and the carelessness of his quotation，or of his transcribers，has enabled recent edi－ tors to pursue with avidity their self－ appointed task of corrupting the text of Aristophanes．In the former passage he quotes this line as ri jà \(\rho\) фоóvı \(\mu o \nu ~ \gamma u v a i ́-~\) кєs \(\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a \sigma a i a r o ~(h i s ~ M S S . ~ g i v e ~ \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma a ́ \sigma a \iota \nu т o, ~\) which does not suit the metre）；and in the second ri \(\delta^{\prime}\) àv \(\gamma v \nu a i ̂ k \epsilon s ~ ф \rho o ́ v \mu о \nu ~\) \(\epsilon\) єр every Aristophanic MS．，є́pqaбaíرє \(\theta a\) is promptly introduced into the text by Meineke，Holden，Blaydes，and Van Leeuwen．

43． \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \underline{\eta} \eta \theta \iota \sigma \mu\) évaı MSS．vulgo．And so Clement in the earlier passage，but in the latter he has \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a \nu \theta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime} a t\) ；but two of his MSS．have \(\eta\) written over the \(a\) ． Dindorf in his notes approved of \({ }_{\epsilon} \xi a \nu\)－ \(\theta \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v a \iota\) ，which was accordingly adopted by Enger，Holden，Bergk，Meineke，and Van Leeuwen．On the absurdity of this reading see the Commentary．

44．крокшт⿳亠口冋 форои̂бą R．H．vulgo． крокштофоройбаı I．P1．P²．F1．1．Clement （ubi supra），Kuster（who had access to I．），Bergler，Enger，Blaydes，Hall and Geldart，and Van Leeuwen．But，as Brunck observed，the accusatives in the
 this，are governed by фopov̂aal，and the
form крокштофороїбає may，as Dindorf suggests，have been borrowed from 219 infra．The quotations in Clement were first noticed by Bentley．
45．\(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a p i \delta a s\) MSS．vulgo．Before Brunck＇s time the line \(\chi \chi^{\prime}\)＂\(\gamma \chi\) ovaa кai \(\tau \dot{a}\)
 next speech of Lysistrata，closed the present speech of Calonice，following im－ mediately after the word \(\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \beta a \rho i \delta a s\) ，as it does in both R．and H．Under that arrangement the nominative ä \(\gamma\) ovoa was unintelligible；and Bentley there－ fore proposed that line 45 should com－ mence a new sentence，\(\tau i \quad \mathrm{~K} \iota \mu \beta \epsilon \rho i{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\)
 Bergler too suggested \(\pi \epsilon \rho \prec \beta a p i \delta \ell \epsilon\) ．But when Brunck，on the authority of I．P \({ }^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．supported subsequently by \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．and 1 ．， restored the \(\chi \bar{\eta}{ }^{\prime}\)＂\(\gamma \chi\) ovasa line to its proper place in Lysistrata＇s speech，where it really does follow the nominative \(\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner-\) Bapióes（a course which Kuster had already proposed and in which Brunck has been followed by every subsequent editor）the reason for these emendations disappeared，and it is astonishing to find Blaydes now for the first time in－ troducing into the text Bentley＇s alter－ ation which is no longer needed．

49．\(\mu \eta \delta \delta ́ \nu a\) MSS．vulgo．\(\mu \eta \delta\) évas Mei－ neke，Holden，Blaydes，Van Leeuwen．
61．I．P \({ }^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．1．cease here，recom－ mencing with line 132.
63． \(\begin{aligned} \\ \text { fa＇tıovs R．H．all editions before }\end{aligned}\) Dindorf；and Weise and Bergk after－ wards．Dindorf，here as everywhere， changed Өєaүє́vous into \(\theta_{\text {єo }}\) évous，and save as aforesaid is followed by subse－ quent editors．Bentley（at Callimachi Fragm．227）thought that the \(a\) in \(\Theta \epsilon a-\) \(\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \eta_{\eta}\) is short，but it seems more pro－
bable that \(\theta є a\)－here as in \(\theta^{\prime}\) áara（Peace 906），and as the \(\nu \epsilon a-\) in \(\nu \epsilon a \nu \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu\) and \(\nu \in a \nu i \omega ̄ \nu\)（Wasps 1067，1069），was pro－ nounced as one syllable．See Appendix to Birds 822.
64．Өoủкáтєוov ク̆pєтo Bentley（at Call． Fragm．227），Kuster（in notes），Brunck， recentiores，except Bckker and Van Leeuwen．\(\tau^{\prime}\) áка́тıo \({ }^{\prime} р є є \tau\) R．H．editions before Brunck，and Bekker afterwards． тѐкатєїод グpєть Van Leeuwen．Bentley＇s emendation seems certain．The Scho－
 vov and Suidas twice quotes a proverb Өєаүе́vous＇Eкátєtop．
70．Mvpiù H．vulgo．Muppìqı R ． Muppivg Junta，Cratander，Zanetti，and Farreus．But Invernizzi gave Mvppivpr as R．＇s reading，and this is followed by Bothe，Meineke，Holden，and Blaydes．

79．\(\gamma \lambda \cup к v \tau a ́ \tau \eta ~\) бои R．H．and all edi－ tions before Brunck have \(\bar{\omega} \gamma \lambda \nu \kappa v \pi a ́ t \eta\) oov，but Bisetus，Florent Chretien，and Bentley all pointed out that either the \(\bar{\omega}\) or the cov must be omitted，Bentley adding that he preferred the omission of \(\hat{\omega}\) ．And \(\grave{\omega}\) is accordingly omitted by Brunck and all subsequent editors except Bothe and Van Leeuwen who omit \(\sigma o v\) ．But \(\bar{\omega}\) is far more likely to have been interpolated than oov．Mei－ neke proposed \(\bar{\omega}\) 徐кп．
81．\(\mu a ̀ \lambda a\) đà \(\rho\) oìw \(\nu a i\) aı́ R．H．all editions before Bothe；and Bekker and Weise afterwards．Reisig proposed \(\mu a ́ \lambda a\) \(\gamma^{\prime}\) oì̂，vaì \(\tau \grave{\omega} \sigma t \dot{\omega}\) ，and this is adopted by Bothe and（save as aforesaid）all subsequent editors．That the ordinary form was vaì t⿳亠 \(\sigma \grave{\omega}\) is sufficiently evi－ denced even by this very Play；but when we remember that the Athenians were accustomed to say \(\nu \dot{\eta} \Delta i a\) ，as well
as \(\nu \grave{\eta}\) rò̀ \(\Delta i ́ a, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu\) as well as \(\pi \rho o ̀ s\) \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu\), and the like, it seems unreasonable to lay down that the Dorians could never, under any circumstances, say vaì \(\sigma \iota \grave{\omega}\) as well as vaì r̀̀ \(\sigma t \omega\). Here every MS. bears witness that they did so. Nor is this all. In the course of this Play the word oiê occurs three times in an iambic senarius, and in each case it occupies exactly the same position, and is followed only by a single cretic, \(\mu\) áda yàp oî̀ vaì \(\sigma \iota \omega\), here; ' \(\epsilon \xi \in \beta a \lambda\) ’, oìw, тò
 \(\pi \iota \tau \omega\), infra 998 . This is a very harmonious collocation of words, while Reisig's alteration introduces the singularly inharmonious jingle of three consecutive feet ending with Omega, oì̀| vai \(\tau \grave{\omega} \mid \sigma \iota \omega\).
83. тò \(\chi \rho \bar{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota \not \tau i \omega d\) Bentley, Portus, recentiores, except as hereinafter men-

 \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \tau \theta i \omega \nu\) Bergk, Meineke. \(\tau \iota \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a\) \(\tau \iota \tau \theta i \omega \nu\) Cobet, Holden, Blaydes. тò \(\chi \rho \hat{\eta}\) \(\mu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \nu\), an alternative suggested by Bentley, is adopted by Van Leeuwen.
90. Хайu עaì \(\tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \iota \omega\). R. H. insert \(\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu\) before \(\nu a i\), and so all editions before Brunck. Brunck and Bekker restore the metre by omitting the \(\tau \grave{\omega}\), but Bentley suggested the omission of \(\mu \dot{\nu} \nu\), and this is done by Invernizzi (who erroneously represented it to be R.'s reading) and all subsequent editors except Bekker.
94. \(\mu\) v́cıò'́ тot. Bentley (referring to 1076 infra), Brunck, recentiores, except Blaydes who, without giving any reason, writes \(\mu \dot{v} \sigma \iota \delta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu v \nu\), a wanton departure from the reading of the MSS. \(\mu \nu \sigma \tau \delta-\) \(\delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{R} . \mathrm{H}\). and (save as hereinafter appears) all editions before Brunck. \(\mu \dot{v}-\)
\(\sigma \iota \delta \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega\) Zanetti and Farreus. \(\mu v \sigma i \delta \delta \delta \epsilon\) Florent Chretien. \(\mu \dot{v} \sigma \iota \delta \partial \delta \epsilon ~ т о\) (variously accented) Portus, and the editions known as "Scaliger's" and "Faber's." \(\mu \nu \sigma \sigma \delta \delta \epsilon\) \(\tau \grave{v}\) Toup. Wakefield defends \(\mu v \sigma \iota \delta \delta\) ét \(\omega\) on the ground that Lampito is disregarding Lysistrata's interruption, and means Who convoked the meeting? Let her tell, \&c., but this would require the change of \(\lambda \hat{\eta} \hat{j}\) into \(\lambda \hat{\eta}\).
102. є́ \(\mu\) òs àv̀̀̀ R . H. vulgo, save that Rapheleng omits ad \(\nu \dot{\eta} \rho\), without putting anything into its place. ধ́ \(\mu \mathrm{o} s\) j̈ठ \(\eta\) Lenting, Holden, and Blaydes.
 (contra metrum) R. H. all editions before Portus, and Bekker afterwards. \({ }^{\prime} \quad \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \delta\) 'à \(\begin{gathered}\text { Portus, "Scaliger," "" Faber." }\end{gathered}\)
 \(\gamma^{\prime}\) à \(\nu\) Dawes, Brunck, Invernizzi, Bothe, Dindorf. \({ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}\) à \(\nu\) ous \({ }^{\circ}\) Dobree, Enger,
 Bergk, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. '̇ү⿳亠 \(\gamma\) à \(\rho\) \({ }_{a} \nu\) Meineke, Holden.- \(\chi \rho \epsilon i \eta\) Kuster (in notes), Dawes, Bruuck, recentiores. \(\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\eta} \eta\) MSS. editions before Brunck.
116. \(\pi а \rho т а \mu о \hat{v} \sigma a\) R. H. vulgo. тарата\(\mu o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a\) is suggested by Brunck, and read by Meineke and Holden. \(\pi a \rho a \tau \epsilon \mu\) ѝ \(\sigma a\) Elmsley (at Oed. Tyr. 1227), Hall and Geldart, and Van Lecuwen. таратє \(\mu \hat{\imath}-\) oav Blaydes.
124. ̇́ \(\sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \quad\) Bentley, Dindorf (in notes), Enger, Meineke, Blaydes, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen. in iv є́vтı R. H. all editions before Brunck.
 quent editors, save as aforesaid. See Appendix to Birds 386.
 the Scholiast and Suidas and (probably) Photius and Hesychius. There is how-
ever another word \(\mu\) цоциáw which no grammarian gives in the second person plural, or connects in any way with Aristophanes, but which is substituted for the genuine reading by Meineke, Blaydes, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen.
132. Here the MSS., which ceased at line 61, recommence.
137. таүкатáтиүov Reiske, Brunck, recentiores. тầ кaáámvyò MSS. editions before Brunck.
 Brunck, Bekker, Dindorf, Weise, Bergk, Blaydes, and Hall and Geldart. And this seems to come nearest to the reading of the MSS. \(\pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu^{\prime} \hat{a} \nu \nu \omega \sigma a i \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \tau \iota\) R. editions before Brunck. \(\pi \rho \bar{\gamma} \gamma \mu\) ' àvaб \(\omega \sigma a i \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). 1. Invernizzi, Bothe. \(\pi \rho \bar{\gamma} \gamma \mu a \sigma \omega \sigma a i \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \iota \iota\) H. Scaliger (in notes). \(\pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \gamma \mu a \sigma \omega \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) ' \({ }^{\prime} \tau \iota\) Reisig, Enger, Meineke. \(\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}\) d̀ \(\nu a \sigma \omega \sigma a i \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}\) \({ }_{a}{ }^{2} \nu \operatorname{Van}\) Leeuwen.
143. ímvề 'є́ \(\sigma \tau^{\prime}\) MSS. vulgo. Dawes altered this into \(\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \dot{\pi} \nu \bar{\omega} \nu\) "ne \(\dot{i} \pi \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\) priorem producat"; and he is followed by Brunck and all subsequent editors except Bergk and Meineke. But Reisig thought that the first syllable might be long in Doric, and so Dindorf in his notes, and Bergk and Meineke concur. And as the MSS. are unanimous, and their reading is far more harmonious than that of Dawes, I have retained it in the text.
149. каӨоí \(\epsilon \theta^{\prime}\) Brunck, Dindorf (in notes), Bothe, Weise, Enger, Blaydes, and Hall and Geldart. каӨi \(\mu \in \theta^{\prime}\) MSS. editions before Brunck; and Invernizzi afterwards. кaty \({ }^{\prime} \mu \theta^{\prime}\) Dobree (at Plutus 992), Bekker, recentiores, except as aforesaid.
152. \(\sigma\) тúouto \(\delta^{\prime}\) Bothe, Bergk, recentiores. \(\sigma \tau\) veout ä̀ MSS. vulgo. But this makes the speech ungrammatical, and undoubtedly, as Bothe pointed out, the apodosis really commences with the
 vulgo. \(\sigma \pi \epsilon \kappa \lambda\) où Zanetti, Farreus, Scaliger (in notes). \(\sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon к о і ̈ \nu\) Dindorf (in notes), Heineke, Blaydes, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen.
153. \(\pi \rho \rho \sigma=\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu \epsilon \nu}\) MSS. vulgo. \(\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon і-\) \(\mu \epsilon \theta a\) (from a suggestion of Bergk and Halbertsma) Meineke, Van Leeuwen; "soloece" says Herwerden; and at all events unwarrantably.
156. \(\pi a \rho \in \nu \delta \delta \delta_{\nu}\) P \(^{\text {P }}\). Suidas (s.v. \(\mu \bar{\eta} \lambda a\) ), Portus, recentiores, except as hereinafter appears. \(\pi a \rho \epsilon v \iota \delta \omega \nu\) R. editions (except Grynaeus) before Portus. \(\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \delta \grave{\omega} \nu \mathrm{H}\). \(P^{2}\). Grynaeus, Brunck, Invernizzi, Bothe, Bekker. \(\pi а \rho a v i \delta \omega ̀\) Bergk. \(\pi а \rho a i ̈ ̊ \omega \nu\) Meineke, Blaydes, Hall and Geldart. \(\pi а р a \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \nu\) (with a digamma inserted before the iota) Van Leeuwen.
157. á \({ }^{\prime} \omega \sigma^{\prime}\) R. H. P1. P². vulgo. à \(\phi i \omega \sigma \iota\) Grynaeus. à \(\phi i \omega \sigma \iota \nu\) Kuster, Burmann (in Bergler's edition, but without Bergler's authority).
 line as it is read by Dindorf, Enger, Bergk, and Meineke. The MSS. omit \(\tau i\) and R. and H. leave the line a syllable short, and so all editions before Kuster. But in the second section of the line R. and H. have кпкакюิs (the first two letters have been struck out in R.), and \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). I. and l. какà как \(\bar{s}\), a reading adopted by Kuster and subsequent editors except as herein appears. To restore the metre Scaliger suggested the insertion of córe after \(\chi \rho \dot{\eta}\), Weise inserts \(\gamma \in\) after \(\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega \sigma \iota \nu\). Wakefield suggested

паре́ \(\chi є \iota \nu\) какої какиิs which Blaydes reads．

169．\(\pi a \nu \tau a ̂ a\)（with or without an iota subscript）סıкaiws Scaliger，recentiores． \(\pi a ́ v t a\) dıkaíws R．H． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．l．editions before Scaliger ；but probably，as Bergler ob－ serves，they meant the same thing． пávтcs \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．（correcting，as usual）and \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． （following \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ，as usual）．đávras Kuster．

173．oùx đ̄̀s móóas Valckenaer，Tyr－ whitt，Bothe（in his first edition，but he returns to \(\sigma \pi\) odâs in his second），Enger， Holden，Bergk，recentiores．oùx âs \(\sigma \pi\) ov－ סàs R．H．editions before Portus，except that Zanetti and Farreus substitute \(\dot{\omega}_{5}\) for \(\bar{c} s\) ．While this was the reading Bisetus suggested that we might read ov่ 入єoтómuyas and treat this and the following line as questions，＂Non vestra classis plena tritis clunibus？Nonne auri abyssus in Deae templo latet？＂ And this suggestion is adopted by Portus and in the editions known as ＂Scaliger＇s＂and＂Faber＇s．＂oủ cis \(\sigma \pi\) odâs I．P \({ }^{2}\) ．1．Kuster，Brunck，Inver－ nizzi，Bekker，Dindorf，Weise．oủx \(\dot{\omega}^{\prime}\) \(\sigma \pi o \delta a \hat{s} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{1}} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．Bergler in his note，but notwithstanding this Burmann replaced in his text the unmetrical orovodas． The words \(\hat{u} s\) and \(\sigma \pi o \delta \hat{a} s\) are variously accented．After \(\sigma \pi o \delta a ̂ s\) in \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．（but ap－ parently in no other MS．）a \(\gamma^{\prime}\) is in－ serted ；and both Valckenaer and Tyr－ whitt placed a \(\gamma^{\prime}\) after \(\pi\) óóas，and so Bothe，Enger，and Holden in his first edition．Bergk changed \(\gamma^{\prime}\) to \(\kappa^{\prime}\) ，and is followed by all subsequent editors．－ ё \(\chi \omega \nu \tau \iota\) Scaliger，Bentley，Brunck，re－ centiores．＂́̌ovi» MSS．editions before Brunck．
176．тì̀ áкро́тo入ı兀（and three lines below катадиßєìv \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ a ̈ к \rho o ́ \pi о \lambda \iota \nu) ~ M S S . ~\)
vulgo．Everybody knows that the Athe－ nians called their Acropolis sometimes by the full name а́кро́тодеs，and some－ times by the shorter name módes（Thuc． ii．15）．Elsewhere Aristophanes uses the shorter name ；but in the present Play， the only Play concerned with the Acro－ polis，he uses the full name no less than five times， \(176,179,241,263,482\). Cobet however，in the plenitude of his wisdom，concludes that as Aristophanes generally uses the shorter name，be can never use the full one，even where，as here，the speech is addressed to a Laconian who might not under－ stand what the shorter name implied． Was there ever such a reasoner as Cobet！He therefore proposes to read
 three lines below rì̀ módıv катада \(\beta a ́ v e t \nu\) ．Of these fatuous suggestions the first，but not the second，is accepted by Meineke，and both are accepted by Holden in his second edition．

178．\(\sigma v \nu \tau \iota \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a\) MSS．Portus，recen－ tiores．\(\quad\) ккит \(\theta\) ڤ́ \(\mu \in \theta a\) Junta，Cratander， Gelenius，Rapheleng．\(\sigma к \nu \tau \iota \zeta \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a \mathrm{Za}-\) netti，Farreus．\(\sigma \kappa v \tau \iota \sigma \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a\) Grynaeus． By a careless reader the \(\boldsymbol{\sigma v \nu \tau i t \dot { \omega } \mu \epsilon \theta a \text { of }}\) R．might easily be mistaken for \(\sigma \kappa \nu \tau 1-\) \(\theta \omega \dot{\omega} \epsilon \theta\) ．

180．\(\pi a ́ v \tau^{\prime}\) єv̉ \(\kappa^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi\) Oo Schaefer，Dobree， Meineke，Holden．тávта к’ \({ }^{\prime}\)＇Xo九 R．H． editions before Brunck．пávтa \(\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \notin \epsilon\)
 \(\kappa^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \notin о \iota\) Bentley，Brunck，recentiores，ex－
 （in notes）．But \(\pi\) áváa should certainly be the subject of \({ }^{\epsilon}\)＇ O o，as in Eccl． 272
 go well，for in this too you say well．

 Weise，Meineke，Holden，and Van Leenwen．Holden in his first edition followed the traditional reading，but in his second was，as usual，led astray by Meineke．There seems no sense in this reading，for Aeschylus did not himself take the oath．Brunck，truly enough， says that the reading he adopts is
 \(\lambda_{o s, ~}^{\text {ess }} \phi\) 就 \(\nu\) ，but nobody ever said that Aeschylus did such a thing．Meineke in his V．A．actually proposes to insert
 Aioxúdos in the text．фqбì Aioqüdos \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．Kuster，and this is continued by Burmann in Bergler＇s text，though Bergler himself preferred the tradi－ tional reading．Bentley suggested фaciv oü \(\pi \tau^{\prime}\)（oi \(\left.\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{a}\right) \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) Aī \(\sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \lambda \omega\) ，omitting the more at the end of the line，and Blaydes reads oű \(\pi \tau^{\prime}\)＇̀ \(\nu\) Ai \(\sigma \chi \dot{\chi} \lambda \omega\) ，omitting the ф ár＇\(^{\prime}\) ．

192． \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon \mu \boldsymbol{j} \mu \epsilon \theta a\) R．H．all editions be－ fore Brunck．\({ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu о i \mu \epsilon \theta a \mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．Brunck， recentiores．But there is no reason for deserting the best MSS．\(\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \in \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu\) is the apter word and is constantly used of sacrifices．One of Homer＇s common
 ékí入u廿av，Iliad i． 460 ；ii． 423 ；Odyssey xii． 360 ．

199．Here again I．P1．P \({ }^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．and 1. cease，and they do not recommence until line 268.
200．\(\dot{\text { к кє } \rho \mu \omega \grave{\nu}}\) Reiske，Dindorf，recen－ tiores，except Hall and Geldart．кє \(\kappa a \mu \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu\) （variously accented）R．H．editions be－ fore Brunck，and Bekker afterwards． \({ }^{\circ} \chi \lambda\) оs \(\kappa є \rho a \mu \omega \nu\) Toup，Brunck，and Inver－ nizzi．Scaliger had already conjectured \(\kappa є р а \mu \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu\) ö \(\chi \lambda\) os．But there was only one
wine－jar．ó кєранєњ̀ öбоs Tyrwhitt， Bothe，and Hall and Geldart．
212，213．oườ ．．．oươ＇R．H．vulgo． Bekker suggested oüтє ．．．oür＇，which is read by Dindorf，Enger，Bergk，and sub－ sequent editors．This is also the case in 249，except that Weise，who follows Bekker＇s suggestion here，retains the MS．reading there ；and that Holden， who omits the present scene，follows Bekker＇s suggestion there．

229，230．тà Пербıкá R．H．rulgo． Scaliger，supposing this to be the neu－ ter plural，suggested đàs Пє \(\rho \sigma \iota \kappa\) ás which Brunck adopts；Dindorf in his notes proposed т̀̀ Пєрбıќa，which is followed by Meineke，Blaydes，Hall and Geldart， and Van Leeuwen．But see Appendis to Knights 424.
235，236．\(\dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \pi \pi \lambda \hat{y} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}\) Dawes（who justly observes that the verb should be in the optative mood，and that the article is required with кídı \(\xi\) ），Brunck，recentiores， except that Enger writes \(\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon i \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}\) ． \({ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \theta \grave{\eta}\) R．H．editions before Brunck．
243．\(\tau \grave{a} \pi \pi \rho^{\prime} \dot{\imath} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu\) R．H．vulgo．\(\tau \grave{a} \pi a \rho^{\prime}\) \(i \mu i \nu\) Reisig，Meineke，Holden，Blaydes， Van Leeuwen，comparing 172 supra． But cf．Thesm．1170．And here the genitive seems particularly appropriate， since Lampito＇s work is not to be con－ fined to Sparta，but is concerned with Sparta＇s contribution to the common weal．
255．ßápos \(\chi^{\lambda \omega \rho a ̂ s ~} \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \omega \nu\) Bentley， Brunck，recentiores，except Invernizzi， Bekker，and Weise，who with R．H．and editions before Brunck read фépш ßápos \(\chi \lambda \omega p a ̂ s\), contra metrum．
 Enger，recentiores．ä́ \(\epsilon \lambda \pi \tau^{\prime}\)＇̇oriv R．H． editions before Brunck，contra metrum．
\({ }^{a} \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}\) є́ \(\sigma \tau i \nu\) Scaliger (in notes), Bentley, Brunck, Bothe, Bekker, and Weise. \(a^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau^{\prime}\) Invernizzi, wrongly stating it to be R.'s reading.
263. ката́ т' \(\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} к о\) о́тодı» R. H. all editions before Dindorf; and Enger and Bergk afterwards. кпт̀̀ \(\delta^{\prime}\) ảкро́тодı» Dindorf, recentiores, except as aforesaid, and except that Meineke proposes and Holden in his second edition reads каг \(\dot{a}\) \(\delta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu\) є́ \(\mu \grave{a} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota v\). See on 176 supra. But \(\tau \epsilon\) is right and \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\) wrong. The seizure of the Acropolis and of the Sacred Image is one and the same thing; the barring the Propylaea is another thing; and the \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) in line 262
 סѐ in \(264 \mu о \chi\) доís \(\delta \dot{\varepsilon}\).
264. \(\mu \circ \chi \lambda о i ̂ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a i ̀ ~ к \lambda \eta\) \(\theta_{\text {poıvı» Brunck, }}\) Bekker, Dindorf, Holden, Meineke, Blaydes, and Hall and Geldart. This is also, except that they have \(\mu \circ \chi \lambda o i \sigma \iota \nu\), the reading of R.H. and all editions before Brunck. Dindorf in his notes proposed \(\kappa \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \rho o \iota s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu о \chi \lambda o i \sigma t \nu\), referring to Andromache 951, and this is followed by Enger, Bergk, and Blaydes, but is an unnecessary departure from the MS. reading. Meineke, following Brunck in his text, proposes in a note to read \(\kappa \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \rho o \iota \sigma i \mu o v\), and so Holden in his second edition and Van Leeuwen.
267. av̇тaîs R. H. vulgo. aủràs Reisig, Meineke (in notes), Holden (2nd ed.), Blaydes.
268. Here the MSS., which cease at 198, recommence.
270. ímò \(\psi \eta ́\) фov MSS. vulgo. Meineke proposed àmò \(\psi \eta, \phi o v\), which is read by Blaydes and Van Lecuwen, but is obviously wrong. Their excuse for the change is that the Scholiast explains
 but \(\dot{o} \pi \dot{o}\) is as much out of place with \(\psi \eta \dot{\eta} \phi o u\) as \(\dot{\sim} \pi \grave{o}\) with \(\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta s . \psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s\) is the instrument which is to effectuate the destruction.
 (in notes), Bekker, Dindorf, Weise, Enger, Blaydes, Hall and Geldart, and
 before Brunck; and Bergk afterwards. \({ }_{\varphi}^{\omega} \chi \in \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \pi \pi a\) H. Invernizzi, Meineke. \(\theta \omega ̈ \pi \lambda^{\prime}\) \(\omega_{\varphi}^{\chi} \chi \in \tau о\) Brunck (in text) and Bothe and Holden in their second editions.
279. \(\pi \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\) R. vulgo. \(\pi \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\) the other MSS., Meineke in notes, and Holden. Cf. Birds 1282.
281. ov̋т s Brunck, recentiores, except Invernizzi. oṽt \(\delta^{\prime}\) R. H. \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). editions before Brunck; and Invernizzi after-wards.-є́кєìvò \(\grave{\omega} \mu \hat{\omega} s\) Bentley, Bothe, Dindorf, Enger, recentiores. є̇кєivov \({ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \omega\) MSS. editions before Brunck, and Invernizzi afterwards. ö \(\mu \omega \varsigma\) є́кєivò Florent Chretien, Brunck, Bekker, and Weise.
282. ảvสió \(\omega \nu\) MSS. vulgo. à \(\sigma \pi i \delta a s\). This unfortunate alteration is said to have been suggested by Porson and Dobree, but I cannot find that the suggestion was made by either of them. It is, however, adopted by Enger, Holden, Bergk, and Meineke without (so far as I can see) a shadow of justification.
285. \(\epsilon ่ \nu \tau_{i}^{\prime} \eta \tau \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota\). The MSS. have \(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota\) and so all editions before Brunck; and Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf (in his text but not in his notes), and Weise. Bokker indeed reports R.'s reading to be \(\epsilon \nu \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon t\) "quod neque


inde coniici posse putat, sed scribae error," says Enger. But in my judgement it is "Bekkeri error," and R. like the other MSS. intended to give \(\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon-\) \(\tau \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota\). Musgrave (at Eur. Heracl. 81) suggested év Tєтparrón \(\epsilon \iota\), and this is adopted by Brunck and all subsequent editors except as herein appears. But Terpámo入ıs when used as a substantive invariably takes the article, and the \(\tau \hat{\eta}\) could easily drop out before the first two letters of \(\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota\). I had therefore long ago come to the conclusion that we should read \(\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \in \tau \rho a \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota\), and the same suggestion has been made by Meineke and adopted by Holden and Hall and Geldart.
289. \(\chi \omega ̈ \pi \omega s\) MSS. vulgo, except that Cratander and some other old editions write it \(\chi\) ' ö \(\pi \omega s\). Mr. Richards proposes каì \(\pi \hat{\omega}\) s as a question.
297. \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma\) ó \(\nu\) MSS. vulgo (of the fire). \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \omega_{\nu}\) (of the smoke) Gelenius to Kuster (inclusive), Brunck, Bothe, and Blaydes. For \(\mu\) 'єк (MSS. vulgo) Blaydes reads \(\mu\) ои̉к (that is \(\mu\) о \(\epsilon \kappa\) ), which seems very probable, and is adopted by Van Leeuwen.
304. \(\eta\) пто́т \({ }^{\prime}\) Bothe, Bekker, Dindorf, Enger, recentiores. \(\epsilon i \not \pi \sigma \tau^{\prime}\) MSS. editions before Brunck. \(\epsilon i\) nó \(\tau^{\prime}\) Brunck, taking \(\epsilon i\) in the seuse of \(\epsilon \pi \epsilon i\). Both Scaliger and Reiske had previously suggested \(\eta / \pi o \tau^{\prime}\) which is adopted by Weise; but \(\hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \tau\) ' is more suitable to the sense and is confirmed by the very similar line Wasps \(402 \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime}, \epsilon \grave{\imath} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \bar{v} \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \rho \eta \eta_{-}\) छ̇єтє́ \(\mu 0 \iota\);
311. є́ \(\mu \pi \iota \pi \rho a ́ v a \iota ~ M S S . ~ v u l g o . ~ B r u n c k, ~\) thinking that the second syllable was short, according to Dawes's rule, Misc. Crit., p. 196, wrote \(\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \iota \mu \pi \rho a ́ v a \iota\), and he
is followed by Invernizzi, Bothe, Bergk, and subsequent editors. Invernizzi indeed says boldly that R. confirms Brunck's alteration, which is absolutely untrue. And as we know (1) that Dawes's rule is a mere "counsel of perfection," freely ignored by the poets (see the Commentary on Plutus 166), (2) that the Athenian writers were averse to the duplicated \(\mu\) (see the Appendix on Birds 1310), it seems very unwise to depart from the unanimous reading of the MSS.
316. \(\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}\) є \(\mu о \grave{\text {. This was first pro- }}\) posed by Blaydes in his preface to the first edition of the Birds, and two years later was independently introduced into the text by Enger, who is followed by Bergk and all subsequent editors except Van Leeuwen. \(\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \epsilon\) є́ \(\rho \circ \grave{~ R . ~ H . ~(c o n t r a ~}\) metrum) and all editions before Brunck, except Scaliger and Faber who have є́ \(\mu о i \quad \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o \nu\) equally contra metrum.
 Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, and Weise. \(\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \varphi \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu\) ò Bothe. \(\pi \rho \dot{\omega}-\) \(\tau \eta \nu \epsilon\) є́ \(\mu\) ò Florent Chretien. Van Leeuwen, not troubling himself about the MSS., rewrites the verse \(\kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{s}\) ö \(\pi \omega s\) є́ \(\mu о \grave{\pi} \pi a \rho-\) є́ \(\xi \in \iota\).
 \(\omega ँ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ к . \tau . \lambda\). So in \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\). Brunck and all subsequent editions. But in R. H. I. l. and in all editions before Brunck the order of the two lines is reversed.
327. íopià I. \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). l. Zanetti, Farreus, Florent Chretien, Scaliger, recentiores. oikià \(R\). \(H\). and all the other editions before Scaliger, a very strange variant; but R. has iopi written in the margin.

\(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．1．Dindorf（in notes），Meincke， Blaydes，Hall and Geldart，Van Leeuwen．

330．סov́ \(\eta \sigma \iota \nu\) MSS．all editions before Dindorf；and Meineke and Holden after－ wards．Cf．Knights 659，Birds 866. סov́入aıбı Dindorf，recentiores，except as aforesaid．

337，338．és трıтада⿱亠тaía \(\beta\) ápos｜\(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ́\) \(\tau^{\prime}\) ．I have adopted Bentley＇s presenti－ tion of these two lines which in the MSS． and editions are very unsatisfactory． трıталavтaía，of course，agrees with \(\sigma \tau \epsilon-\) \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \eta\) above．The readings of the MSS． and editions are as follows，＇่s \(\pi\) ó̀ \(\iota \nu\) ，\(\omega s\)
 and so all editions except Dindorf，Enger， Holden，and Blaydes．є＇s mó̀ıv，є̇s т \(\rho \iota \tau a ́-\) \(\lambda a \nu \tau o \nu\) тò \(\beta\) ápos｜\(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ t a \tau\)＇P1．Fi．．1．But the corresponding verses in the strophe are choriambic dimeters，vinó \(\tau \epsilon\) עó \(\mu \omega \nu\) à \(\rho \gamma a-\) \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \mid \dot{v} \pi o ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu\) ò \(\lambda \epsilon \in \rho \rho \omega \nu\) ，the first long syllable in each line being resolved into two short ones．The other at－ tempts to bring the line into shape are： （1）Bothe＇s，ìs тpıtá which is adopted by Dindorf；and（2） Reisig＇s，\(\delta \in \hat{v} \rho o, ~ \tau \rho \iota \tau a ́ \lambda a \nu \tau o ́ v \tau \iota \beta a ́ \rho o s\), which is adopted by Enger，Holden，and Blaydes．\(\delta \in \hat{v} \rho o\) is supposed to be equiva－ lent to the \(\epsilon \in \pi o{ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu\) of the MSS．，which is a strange mistake，for the Chorus of Women are not in the Acropolis，and if the Men were（as they are）carrying the logs e＇s módıs，they would not be carrying them，\(\delta \in \hat{v} \rho o\) ，to the Women．

345．ac̀s，\(\pi o \lambda \iota \imath \hat{\chi} \chi^{\prime}\) Bentley，Enger， Holden，Meineke，Blaydes，Van Leeu－ wen．толıồ \(\begin{aligned} & \epsilon \text { ，} \sigma a ̀ s ~ M S S . ~ v u l g o . ~ I ~\end{aligned}\) accept Bentley＇s emendation because in these iambic dipodies which do duty for a choriamb，we rarely，if ever，find an anapaest；andbecause the corresponding
line in the strophe is composed of two pure choriambs，unless indeed it be the missing line．It is observable that the line in the MSS．makes the two choriambs rhyme，as they do in the two following verses．Blaydes，though he adopts Bentley＇s emendation，yet objects to it on the ground that it separates ＂parum eleganter＂\(\chi \rho v \sigma o \lambda o ́ \phi a\) and \(\pi 0-\) \(\lambda \iota o \hat{\chi} \notin\) ．But this is really an argument in its favour，since the חo入ıỗos was not the xpvaó入oфos．See the Commentary．

347．\(\eta \nu . . . i \pi o \pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu\) MSS．vulgo． The iota subscript was however absent until Brunck added it；and Reisig， taking the verb to be in the indicative， proposed \(\epsilon i \ldots{ }^{i} \ldots \pi \pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu\) ，which is adopted by Bothe，Enger，Hall and Geldart，and Van Leeuwen．

350．є̈agov ติ．These two words are given by \(R\) ．and apparently the other MSS．to Stratyllis（except that \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． have \(\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \lambda a \tau i s)\) ；and then the Chorus of Women again take up the speech． I think that the only editions which follow R．are Invernizzi and Dindorf＇s Oxford edition ；but almost all the older editions give both lines to Stratyllis， and all the modern editors continue them to the Chorus．The reason for introducing the name of Stratyllis is that she was the Coryphaeus of the Women＇s Chorus，and that while the songs are sung by the full Chorus，the dialogue is，as a rule，carried on by the Coryphaeus alone．But to prefix to the speeches the name of the Coryphaeus，or as Van Leeuwen does the title Kopvфaîos， is to place him in the position of an actor and gives rise to great awkwardness． It is always the Chorus who are speaking， though in the dialogue they speak
through the mouth of their Coryphaeus． He is merely their mouthpiece and has no independent existence．However I have elsewhere left＂Stratyllis＂as the speaker＇s name．
352．iofiv．This word is omitted in R．H．and in all editions before Kuster， but is found in I．P1．P \({ }^{2} . F^{1} .1\) ．and is inserted by Kuster（who had access to I．and 1．）and all subsequent editors．－ This remark equally applies to \(\chi^{\text {apâs }}{ }^{\prime}\) in line 358 ，and to \(\bar{\eta} \delta \eta\) in line 360 ．

357．airás MSS．vulgo．This seems quite right，but Bergk suggested aỉaîs， which is introduced into the text by Meineke，Blaydes，Hall and Geldart， and Van Leeuwen．

362．тата६̆áт \(\omega^{*}\) каì \(\sigma \tau a ̂ \sigma^{\prime}\) Florent Chretien，Bentley，Porson．The MSS． （except the conjecturers \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．and \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．） have raãağ́ćc тts＇кai \(\sigma \tau a ̂ \sigma^{\prime}\) and so all editions before Brunck．This is a syllable too much，and either tis or кai must go．It seems to me that the omission of tos improves the language and makes the line far more rhythmical ； but Brunck followed his MS．P \({ }^{1}\) ．in omitting каì and subsequent editors have followed Brunck．

364．боv＇ккоккєิิ тò \(\gamma \grave{p}\) ааs Reisig，Din－ dorf（in notes），Weise，Enger，Holden， Meineke，Blaydes，Hall and Geldart，and
 MSS．editions before Brunck．Є̇ккоккьิ тò \(\begin{array}{r}\text { ñpas } \\ \text { Brunck to Dindorf；and Bergk．}\end{array}\)
365．änтоv R．H．vulgo．äqa \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． Brunck，Bothe，Bekker，Hall and Geldart，and Van Leeuwen．
366．кodo ódoıs \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．1．Kuster，re－ centiores．ঠaктúdoıs R．H．editions before Kuster．
367．\(\pi \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \mu\) ovas \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．1．Brunck，recen－
tiores，except Invernizzi．\(\pi \nu \epsilon\) érovas R．H． editions before Brunck；and Invernizzi． But in Frogs 474 R．has \(\pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu \dot{\partial} \nu \omega \nu\) ，and that Comedy is far more accurately presented in the Ravenna MS．than is the Lysistrata．
377．入ovт \(\rho o ́ v ~ \gamma ’ ~ ধ ่ \gamma \grave{\omega}\) Bentley，Bothe，
 Grynaeus．入ovт \(\rho \grave{D} v\)＇̇ \(\gamma \grave{\omega}\) MSS．all other editions before Dindorf．Brunck pro－ posed \(\sigma o i ̀ ~ \lambda o u \tau \rho o ̀ \nu\),
 before Dindorf ；and Bergk afterwards． \(\grave{\eta} \backslash\) cá \(\xi \in\) Buttmann，Dindorf，Enger，and Holden in his first edition．In Wasps 772 we have the future iג八а́वодаи． Bentley therefore proposed to read here
 Cobet，Meineke，and subsequent editors． But it seems to me that the future is required，and that it is more reason－ able to regard \(\dot{\eta} \lambda c a ́ \xi \omega\) as an irregular verb with \(\dot{\eta} \lambda t a \dot{\xi} \omega\) for the active，and \(\dot{\eta}\) са́бода for the middle future．In words like this which were in constant use in the daily life of the citizens irregularities are to be expected．
384．ầ \(\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau a ́ r\) gns（from a conjecture of Brunck which he did not himself adopt）Dindorf，Weise，Blaydes，Hall and Geldart，and Van Leeuwen．dıa \(\beta\) גactáv！s MSS．editions before Dindorf． But Brunck，observing that according to Dawes＇s rule（see on 311 supra）the vowel before \(\beta \lambda\) would be long，and that the Comic writers rarely if ever used \({ }_{a}{ }^{\pi} \pi \omega\) s with a subjunctive without â \(\nu\) ，and that both these objections might be met by reading à̀ \(\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau a ́ v i n s\) s，yet declared most truly that Dawes＇s rule was made too strict，and therefore retained the MS，reading．But on the other hand
both the rules mentioned by Brunck are，though not universally true，yet in accordance with the general trend of Comic usage，and where they can be followed by so very slight an alteration it seems desirable to make it．\(\dot{\pi} \mu \beta \lambda a-\) otávŋs Reisig，Enger，Holden，Bergk． á \(\mu\) ßスa

388．mukıvoi H．P²．l．Zanetti and all subsequent editors before Brunck．\(\pi ⿰ 丿 ⺄ ⿱ ㇒ 日 勺 心-\) кıдoi R．Junta，Cratander．Bentley pro－ posed \(\pi v \kappa \nu o i\) which was afterwards found in \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．and is read by Brunck and all
－subsequent editors．But \(P^{1}\) ．is a MS．of no authority whatever for the traditional reading；\(\pi \cup \kappa \iota \nu o i\), the ordinary epic form， is found，though rarely，in writers of this period；and suits the rhythm of the line far better than пикцоi ；R．＇s reading， though erroneous，is in favour of the trisyllabic form；and therefore it seems undesirable to reject the great authority of the MSS．

391．\(\check{\omega} \rho a \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu\) R．H．（and，though they divide the words differently， \(\mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．and 1．）vulgo，but Zanetti，Farreus，and Scaliger to Brunck inclusive write ש̋paıซı；and Bothe in his second edition inserts a \(\gamma\)＇before \(\check{\omega} \rho a \sigma \iota . \quad \mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．，trying to give the full proverb，corrects \(\delta \mu \eta \not{\eta} \ddot{\omega}\) pas ＂оっ．

398．ảко入абт́́бцата．The MSS．divide this word into two，ákó \(\lambda_{a \sigma \tau}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \mu \mu a \tau a\) ，and so all editions before Dindorf except Bothe，the iota subscript（ \(\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \sigma \mu a \tau a)\) being added by Portus．This was obviously wrong，and Bentley suggested áko入aat \(\dot{\eta}^{-}\) \(\mu a \tau a\) which is read by Bothe in both editions．But Dobree，referring to 406 infra and to Demosthenes against Lacri－ tus 26 （p．930）тotav̂тa тaút \(\omega \nu\)＇̇ \(\sigma \tau i\) rà какоирү \(\mu\) ата，and observing that in

Bekkeri Anecd．，p．367．21，the term áколабтá \(\mu а т a\) is attributed to Aristo－ phanes，proposed àкодабтáб \(\mu а \tau а\) ，which is read by Dindorf，Enger，and all sub． sequent editors except Hall and Geldart （who revert to the MS．reading）and Bothe．

400．aî \(\tau \hat{\imath} \lambda \lambda a \theta^{\prime}\) MSS．and all editions except Blaydes，who says＂Malim aĩ \(\gamma\)＇ ＂\(\lambda \lambda a \theta^{\theta}\)＂cum Dobraeo，＂and alters the text accordingly．But this is hardly fair to Dobree who merely observed that he had thought of aï \(\gamma^{\prime} \not{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda a \theta^{\prime}\) but adds， with justice，that the common reading is superior，＂sed praestat vulgata．＂

403．tòv ádvкòv I． \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．F¹．l．Zanetti， Farreus，Kuster，recentiores．тò̀ áduк \(\hat{\omega}\) R．H．and all editions，save as aforesaid， before Kuster．

408．òv ধ́ \(\pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \in \dot{a} a \sigma a s \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．1．Kuster （in notes），Bergler（in notes），Brunck， recentiores．ồ є́ \(\sigma \kappa \epsilon \dot{v} a \sigma a s\) R．H．editions before Brunck．

416．тoû т \(\hat{\prime} s\) रuvaıkós \(\mu\) ov \(\pi\) ooòs Enger． \(\tau \bar{\eta} s \mu_{0}\) रuvatkòs zov̀s módas R．H．all editions before Kuster ；and Invernizzi， Dindorf（in text），and Bergk afterwards．
 Kuster，recentiores，except as mentioned in this and the following note．And I doubt if I was justified in departing from this．\(\mu o u\) т \(\bar{\eta} s\) रuvalkòs toû modòs Meineke，Hall and Geldart．

417．є́ \(\mu \pi t \epsilon ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota\) Reisig，Enger．\(\sigma v \mu \pi \iota \in ́-\) \(\zeta \epsilon \iota\) Dobree，Hall and Geldart．\({ }_{\epsilon} \downarrow \nu \pi \iota \epsilon \xi_{\xi \iota}\) Bothe．\(\pi t \epsilon \epsilon^{\zeta} \epsilon t\) MSS．vulgo．But Porson observed that although the antepenult． of \(\delta a \kappa \tau v \lambda i \delta \iota o v\) when derived from \(\delta a к \tau v-\) \(\lambda\) cos，a ring，is long，yet when derived from \(\delta \dot{\alpha} к \tau \cup \lambda a s\), a toe，it is necessarily short．And he proposed to remodel this and the preceding line by reading
 \(\mu o v \pi\) เéGEc. And this is adopted by Dindorf (in notes), Weise, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen, but involves too great a departure from the original. Of the additional syllable supplied by conjecture, it seems to me that Reisig's is the most probable.
423. \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi v \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}\) MSS. vulgo. тaîs \(\pi v\) -入aus Hamaker, Meineke, Holden, and Hall and Geldart.
459. à \({ }^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \xi \in \epsilon\) MSS. vulgo. Both Wakefield and Dobreesuggested ápá \(\xi \in \tau \epsilon\), which is approved by Dinclorf in his notes and adopted by Fnger, Meineke, and sulusequent editors. But apj\(\dot{\xi} \epsilon \tau \epsilon\), charge to the rescue, gives a very good sense, and the verb is a favourite one with Aristophanes, see supra 304, Wasps 402, Thesm. 696, Plutus 476 ; and it does not seem justifiable to displace it for a verb, which may give even a better sense, but which is never employed by Aristophanes in this simple form.
461. \(\pi a v ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta^{2}\) I. \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). Portus to Bergler, Bentley, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen. \(\pi \operatorname{váv}^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) R. editionsbefore Portus. \(\pi a v ́ \varepsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) H. Brunck, recentiores, except as aforesaid.
465. \(\mu \dot{a}\) тò̀ 'A \(\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega\) R. H. all editions before Kuster ; and Bergler, Enger, and Holden afterwards. \(\nu \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu\) ' \(A \pi\) ó \(\lambda \omega \mathrm{I} . \mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(P^{2}\). Fi.l. Kuster, recentiores, except as aforesaid. But I think that a negative answer is required. Lysistrata's question is Think you that there is no \(\chi^{\circ} \lambda \dot{\eta}\) in women. The ouk is to be taken with èveival, not with olitı. To which the Magistrate replies, No, by Apollo, 1 don't think that; I know that they have plenty of it if there is a larern-keeper at hand.
467. \(\tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} s \gamma_{\eta} s\) Bentley, Kuster, recentiores. \(\tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} s\) MSS. editions before Kuster.
468. tis \(\lambda\) óyov R. vulgo. eis (or 's's) dóyous H. I. \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). Kuster to Invernizzi (inclusive), and Bekker, Weise, Holden, Blaydes, and Hall and Geldart. And Porson (at Eur. Phoen. 714 ws eis 入ózous \(\xi v \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \downarrow a\) Подvขєiкєє \(\mu о \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu)\) is supposed to have been in favour of the latter reading, though in truth he is only quoting, without comment, what was the received reading in his time. cis \(\lambda\) óyov in this sense is very common in Aristophanes; \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \phi u ́ \lambda \omega\) єis \(\lambda\) ó \({ }^{\prime}\) ov \(\epsilon \lambda \theta \eta\) Knights 806 ,
 є's \(\lambda o ́ y o \nu\) є́ \(\lambda \theta_{\epsilon}\) ì Clouds 470.
477. ' \(\epsilon \tau\) ' à \(\nu \in \kappa \tau \epsilon ́ a ~ \tau a ́ \delta ’\) ' Dobree, Dindorf, recentiores, except as hereinafter mentioned. " \(\epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) àvєктà \(\tau a ́ \delta{ }^{\prime}\) R. H. editions before Dindorf, and Bothe afterwards. But Bentley suggested \({ }^{\prime \prime} \tau\) ', which has since been found in the other MSS.
 \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). Blaydes, Hall and Geldart. \({ }_{\epsilon} \tau^{\prime} \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \grave{a}\) тaи̃т' Bergk. Dobree suggested àvєктє́a, referring to Oed. Col. 883 ấp' oủX \(\tilde{v} \beta \rho ı s\) тád'; \(\tilde{\nu} \beta \rho ı s, ~ \grave{d} \lambda \lambda ’\) àvєктє́a. To which Blaydes objects that "aveктos significat tolerabilis, àveкт́́os tolerandus." But tolerandus is the very signification required; and àveкtéos answers far more suitably

479. \(\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu о \hat{u}\) MSS. vulgo. In order to avoid the hiatus after these words Reisig proposed to add \(\gamma\) ', and Bergk inserted ' \(\sigma \theta\) '. The latter is followed by Holden and Blaydes. But a little composite lyric ode like this is not subject to the strict rules of a regular anapaestic system.
486. каì \(\mu \grave{\eta \nu}\) aùт \(\omega\) ע MSS. vulgo. For aủ \(\omega \bar{\omega} \nu\) Hamaker suggests \(\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu\) (which

Meineke actually brings into the text), Bergk \(\pi a ̊ \nu \tau \omega \nu\) or aủzòs, and Blaydes \(\dot{v} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu\) or au่тó \(\gamma \epsilon\). The reason for these futile attempts to corrupt a perfectly genuine text is the change from the 3rd person in the present line to the 2nd person in the next, than which nothing can be more common. We have another instance a few lines below, \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\sigma} \tau \tau\) ' єंтоиєітє.
 liger, Faber, Brunck, recentiores, except Invernizzi and Blaydes. And so both Bentley and Dawes had corrected the line. à \(\pi \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \sigma a \tau \epsilon\) тoîs \(\mu\) оरдоis R. H. editions before Portus (except Grynaens), and Invernizzi afterwards. \(\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \sigma a \tau \epsilon\) \(\mu \circ \chi^{\lambda}\) ois \(P^{1} . P^{2}\). F1. l. Grynaeus, Portus, Kuster, Bergler. Portus attempted to mend the metre by changing \(\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu\) into áкро́тодıд, and this error was continued till Brunck's time, even by those who read \(\tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \mu\) о \(\lambda\) лоî \(\sigma\), though Scaliger protested against it in his note. Blaydes writes \(\mu \circ \chi \lambda\) оîs à \(\pi \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu\).
494. тí ס̇̀ \(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ B e n t l e y, ~ B r u n c k, ~\) recentiores. тi \(\delta \in \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ M S S\). editions before Brunck.
499. \(\dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \iota\) к.т. \(\lambda\). Neither in R. nor in H. is this line found in the text; but in \(R\). somebody has written it at the foot of the page, and in \(H\). it is given in the margin. Nor is it found in any printed edition before Brunck. But it appears in the text of \(\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1} .1\).; and all subsequent editors have followed Brunck in inserting it in the text. It seems to me a great pity that it was ever discovered; it creates an intolerable repetition; and I suspect that it is a line invented by \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). or some other conjecturer, as an alternative to the genuine
reading. See on 20 supra. The \(\gamma \epsilon\) in the Magistrate's speech was added by Brunck.
 Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. ¿ ¿ \(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\) व̇токтéa Junta, Cratander, Grynaeus to Portus (inclusive). But this is not due to a separate tradition. It arises, as Brunck also observed, from an error in reading the MSS. In R., and probably in all
 mistaken for à à \(\lambda^{\prime}\) àтоктє́ \(\alpha\) by a careless reader. ¿ \(\grave{\lambda \lambda ’ ~} \mathfrak{a} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\delta} \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon ́ a\) Zanetti, Farreus, Florent Chretien, Scaliger, Faber, Kuster, Bergler; no doubt a correction by Zanetti or some earlier scholar.
506. \(\sigma a v \tau \hat{l}\) кр \(\kappa \dot{\xi} \xi a \iota\). All the MSS. and editions haveкрळ́ \(\xi\) aıs till Meineke thought fit to corrupt it into " \(\kappa \rho \omega \xi a s\), an error which is followed by Van Leeuwen. The optative is obviously right. The Magistrate's reply is equivalent to the

507. то仑 \(\mu \grave{\iota} \nu \pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о v ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v ~ к а т \grave{̀ .}\) See the Commentary. In the MSS. and editions generally the line runs \(\dot{\eta} \mu\) eis \(\tau \grave{\nu}\)

 \(\left.\eta^{\nu} \in \iota \chi \dot{\prime} \mu \in \sigma \theta a\right)\), which is not easily distingnishable from nonsense. Porson proposed кai \(\chi \rho o ́ v o \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \sigma \chi \chi^{0} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\), which is read by Meineke and Holden, but does not seem to mend matters. Bergk pro-
 \(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \xi \eta \nu \epsilon \sigma \chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\), which would be better if for \(\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu\) he had written \(\pi \rho о \tau є ́ \rho о v\). Van Leeuwen, as usual, inserts an entirely unauthorized line, \(\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i{ }^{i}\) тò \(\nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) \(\pi \rho о ́ т є р о ́ \nu ~ \gamma є ~ \chi \rho о ́ \nu о \nu ~ к о ́ \sigma \mu \iota а \iota ~ \grave{\nu є є \chi о ́ \mu \epsilon \theta ' ~}\) \(i \mu \omega \hat{\nu}\).
509. каітои̉к Reiske, Dobree, Enger, recentiores, except Bothe. кạ̉ \(\tau^{\text {º }}\) oủ (with
or without the iota subscript）MSS． editions before Enger，and Bothe after－ wards．

517．\(\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}\) ．I have inserted these words to supply a foot which is wanting in the MSS．except \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．and \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ，and （without a lacuna marked）in all editions before Brunck；and（with a lacuna marked）in Enger，Bergk，Meineke， Holden，and Hall and Geldart．The two excepted MSS．insert \(\delta \eta \dot{\eta} \pi o v\) before \(\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon v \mu\)＇，which is followed by Brunck to Weise inclusive，though，as Dindorf says， it is obviously an infelicitous conjecture of some grammarian．Bentley proposed to insert \(\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}\) in the same place；and Porson toúrov，which is followed by Holden and Blaydes．But the omission is clearly at the commencement of the line ；and Brunck proposed to commence it with ả入入̀̀ rú \(\chi\)＇；Dobree by aủ \(\theta \iota s \delta^{\prime}\) ； Dindorf by кäтєเ \(\theta^{\prime}\) ，and Blaydes by \(\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}\) \(\tau \hat{a} v \theta^{\prime}\) ，whilst Van Leeuwen reads \(\tau a \chi \epsilon \in \omega s\) \(\delta^{\circ}\) ．－\(i \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．Zanetti，recentiores． \(\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) R．H．P \({ }^{2}\) ．Junta and Cratander．
 dorf，recentiores．Є’ \(\varnothing а \sigma \kappa є ~ к є i ~ R . ~ H . ~\) editions before Brunck．фи́бкєン à \(\nu \in i\) Brunck，Invernizzi，Bekker．

524．oủ \(\delta \bar{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) ．\({ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) is omitted in the MSS．，which leave the line a syllable short；and so all the editions before Brunck；and Bekker afterwards．Tyr－ whitt suggested oủסeis \(\delta \bar{\eta} \theta^{\prime}\) ，and Reisig ou \(\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}\) ，où ；but the real question is between the ou \(\delta i \bar{i} \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) of Brunck and Porson，and the od \(\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}\)＇\(\hat{i} \phi\)＇of Dobree． The former，which I prefer，was adopted by Brunck to Dindorf（inclusive）and by Van Leeuwen；but Dindorf in his notes went over to Dobree，and has been followed byallsubsequent editors except

Blaydes and Van Leeuwen．Dobree also remarked＂Si constaret \(\bar{\eta} \delta^{\prime}\)＇\(\tau \tau \epsilon\) ós
 mallem，＂a suggestion which I should have thought most improbable，but which is adopted by Blaydes．Dobree himself， however，finally preferred oủ \(\delta \bar{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \notin \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) ．

528．кı̀ขтıбเんтへ̂̀ Kuster，recentiores， except Hall and Geldart．kảvтьot \(\omega \pi \hat{a} \theta^{\prime}\) R．H．editions before Kuster，and Hall and Geldart afterwards．кui \(\sigma \iota \omega \pi \bar{\alpha} \theta^{\prime} \mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ． 1 ．

531．\(\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\) кєфа入ij \(\nu\) ；In order to make this little system of dimeters cor－ respond with that in 597－607 Enger proposed to divide this line into two，

 He did not indeed alter the text，but that has been done by Meineke and one or two others．Most editors，however， have wisely forborne to tamper with the text．It is by no means certain that the two systems were intended to cor－ respond closely，and very many altera－ tions are required to make them do so． The dimeter systems which wind up the long tetrameters in the Wasps（621－30 and 719－24）do not correspond，thougls those in the Birds（523－38 and 611－26） do．And I myself retain the opinion which I expressed more than forty years ago，in the Commentary on the Peace， that in these little corresponding systems we are not to expect the same exact metrical concordance which we find in the lyrical strophes and antistrophes intended to be sung by the Chorus．

535．roùтò סì Bentley．The readings here are almost identical with those in 604 infra．

539．àтаі \(\rho \in \tau^{\prime} \omega^{3}\) ．The MSS．read \({ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \in \sigma \theta\)＇
\(\omega^{3}\) ，and so all editions except Grynaeus before Brunck；and Bothe afterwards． This of course is against the metre，and Bentley suggested ai \(\rho \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \omega^{\mathcal{\omega}}\) ，which Hall and Geldart read．But all the MSS．and the Scholiast give the second person． Grynaeus has atpe \(\theta \theta \delta \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\) ，and Scaliger proposed aı \(\rho \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \gamma^{\prime} \omega^{\overline{3}}\) ，but both \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\) and \(\gamma \epsilon\) would be out of place．Brunck substi－ tuted àmai \(\rho \in \tau\)＇\({ }^{\text {² }}\) ，observing＂Scholiastes， textus vocem exponens，àva \(\omega \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon\) ait； quae interpretatio manifeste refertur ad àmaípєт＇．Hesychius àmaí \(є \iota, ~ d ̀ \nu a \chi \omega \rho \in i ̂ . ~\) Eccl． \(818 \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \bar{\eta} \rho a \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \nu a \dot{\theta} \theta_{o \nu} \chi^{a \lambda \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu}\) \(\epsilon \chi \propto \nu\) ．Frequens historicis rerbum tam de terrestri quam de maritimo itinere．＂ It seems to me that the compound verb， is here more probable than the simple one．Brunck＇s emendation has been followed by all subsequent editors except Bothe，Holden，and Hall and Geldart． Meineke in his V．A．proposes ápaı \(\theta\)＇ \(\ddot{a} \nu\) ，referring to Frogs 437，where how－ ever the meaning is quite different，and this is adopted by Holden．

541．\(\epsilon \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon\) к．т．\(\lambda\) ．This and the fol－ lowing verse are corrupted in the MSS．， and it would be tedious to enumerate all the suggestions that have been made for setting them right．It is necessary to see clearly what their metre should be． The present line consists of a mono－ syllabic base followed by two paeons and two cretics \(\because|-\cup \cup \cup|-\cup \cup \cup|-\cup-|-\cup\) -1 ．The following verse consists of three paeons and one cretic－uひu｜－ひuし｜ \(-\cup \cup \cup|-\cup-|\) ．In the present line R．
 \(\chi o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\) ，and soall editionsbefore Brunck． This was quite right except that a tro－ chee was substituted for the first pacon． Those inveterate conjecturers \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．，
however，substituted an iambic verse
 is quite out of place，but is adopted by Brunck，Invernizzi，and Weise．Her－ mann proposed to restore the first paeon by reading \(\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega} \gamma \dot{a} \rho{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau^{\prime}\) à \(\nu\) ，and Enger by \(\ddot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \gamma \dot{a} \rho \stackrel{a}{a} \nu\) ，which has been generally followed．Blaydes，though retaining Enger＇s reading in his text，suggested \(\dot{\omega}\)
 very good line，but quite unlike the reading of any MS．or grammarian．It is，however，brought into the text by Van Leeuwen．

542．ov́ס்́ үóvar＇к．т．入．See the pre－ ceding note．In the MSS．this line runs ov̉ḋ̀（R．H．，ov̉тє \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．1．）\(\tau \grave{\alpha}\)
 \(\mu o v\) кацатпрós，and so all editions before Weise ；and Bergk and Hall and Geldart afterwards．Bentley emended the second half of the line as in the
 the first half as in the text ovó̇ \({ }^{\prime}\) रóvar＇ äv ко́mos．The whole line as in the text is read by Dindorf（in notes），Weise， Enger，Holden，Meineke，and Van Leeu－ wen．Blaydes substitutes a verse of his
 ко́тоя．

545,546 ．In most of the MSS．there is a \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\) before \(\theta \rho a ́ \sigma a s\) and \(\sigma o \phi o ̀ v\), but not before фıлátoдıs；but recent editors have mostly arranged it as in my text，some however inserting tò before \(\sigma о ф\) áv．

549．à \(\nu \delta \rho \epsilon \iota \circ \tau \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu\) MSS．editious（ex－ cept Bothe）before Dindorf；and Hall and Geldart afterwards．àvסрєьотáт Athenaeus iii． 39 （p． 90 B ），Suidas，s．v． \(\tau \dot{\eta} \theta \eta\) ，Eustathius at Od．iv．89，Bergler （in notes），Bothe，Dindorf，recentiores， except as herein appears．àvopetótara：

Scaliger，Bentley，Kuster（in notes）， Van Leeuwen．The MS．reading is cer－ tainly right．See the Commentary． The alternative suggestions could not have been made had their authors realized the fact that the Chorus of Women in the orchestra，the women who are speaking，are the old women， and the actors on the stage，the women who are addressed，the young women． The quotations in Suidas and the other authors are valueless to determine a reading，except when they are made for the sake of a particular word or phrase， in which case they are good evidence of the reading in the MS．which those authors used．Brunck truly observes that each of the two substantives ought to have its own adjective ；and that in－ stead of introducing into Aristophanes the misquotations in other authors ＂corrigendi e Comico Suidas，Athenaeus， et qui eum descripsit Eustathius．＂

551．グ \(\nu \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma^{\prime}\) ó Bentley，Reisig，Blaydes， comparing Knights 366．\(\eta \nu \nu \pi \rho \rho\) o MSS． editions before Dindorf，except Bothe． \(\ddot{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho\) ő \(\tau \epsilon\) Porson，Elmsley（at Med．102）， Bothe，Dindorf，recentiores，except Blaydes．But there seems no reason for insisting so strongly on the co－operca－ tion of＂Ep \({ }^{\prime}\) s and＇A \(\phi \rho о \delta i ́ \tau \eta\) ．

553．\(\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \in \xi \eta\) R．H．P．l．and all editions except Bergk，Meineke，Hall and Gel－ dart，and Van Leeuwen．＇̇̀ \(\boldsymbol{q}_{n} \xi \eta \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ． （the conjecturing MSS．）Bergk and Hall and Geldart．Cobet，however，objected to \(\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \xi\) ？ which so many of his blunders were based，viz．that the future middle （ \(\tau \in \dot{\xi}\) o \(\mu a \iota\) ）being in use，the future active （ \(\tau \in \xi \omega\) ）could not be used．This is of course an entire mistake，and even as
regards this very verb Aristophanes himself twice employs the future active， Knights 1037，Thesm．509．However， Hirschig conjectured \(\epsilon \in \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \xi \eta\) ，which Van Leeuwen reads；Meineke conjectured， and inserted in the text，＇̇v \(\nu \epsilon i \nu \eta\) ；and Mr ．Richards conjectures \(\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \dot{\eta} \xi \eta\) ．

554．\({ }^{\epsilon} \nu\) тoís \({ }^{2} E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota\) MSS．vulgo．à \(\nu\) тoîs＂E入入ך \({ }^{\prime}\) Bergk．

557．кả̀ тaîَı đúтpats кủv．This is Brunck＇s suggestion，approved by Din－ dorf in his notes，and adopted by Weise and almostall subsequent editors，though a few，reading кад in the first place， read кaì in the second．каì таïбı дúтраts каi MSS．editions before Weise．

565．тра́үната тайбаı тєтараүнє́va тод－ \(\lambda a ̀\) ס́v \(\nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon\) Tyrwhitt．\(\delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta \in \pi a \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \tau \epsilon \tau a-\) \(\rho a \gamma \mu \in ́ \nu a \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀\) MSS．（except that l．has \(\delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta a t\) ）all editions before Brunck． Brunck substitutes \(\tilde{\epsilon}^{\xi} \xi \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) for \(\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta \epsilon\) ． Bentley and Kuster proposed to change i \(\mu \epsilon i\) is \(\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta \epsilon\) into ípiv \(\delta v \nu a \tau o ́ v, ~ a n d ~\)
 proposed to change \(\delta \dot{v} \nu a \sigma \theta \epsilon\) into \(\delta v \nu a-\) тai，and so Elmsley at Ach．78；and this is followed by Bothe，Bekker，and all subsequent editors．But Tyrwhitt＇s emendation which does not change a single letter is to be preferred．

567． \(\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho\)＇Bentley，Bothe， Dindorf，recentiores．\(\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) к \(\lambda \omega \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \rho\) MSS．all editions，except Bothe，before Dindorf．

574．по́коу MSS．vulgo．Kuster pro－ posed \(\pi\) о́кои，＂than which，＂says Brunck， ＂nihil magis inutile est．＂It is，how－ ever，adopted by Bothe，Dindorf（in notes），Enger，Holden，Meineke，Blaydes， and Hall and Geldart．
 Farreus，Enger，Holden，Bergk，recen－
tiores．\(\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \epsilon i s\) ceteri．There is a doubt about the reading of R．and H．R．is said to have \(\epsilon \in \pi i\) к \(\lambda i v e \iota s\) ，but it is possible that the abbreviation of the last syllable may have been intended for \(-\eta s\) ，and if so the accent shows that it was so intended．

5S9．\(\eta\) ౌ \(\gamma \epsilon \delta \iota \pi \lambda o i ̂ \nu\) MSS．vulgo．\(\eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota \iota-\) \(\lambda_{0} \nu \nu\) Dindorf，Enger，Holden．\(\dot{\eta} \delta \delta \pi \lambda o v ̂ \nu\) Blaydes．

594．ои̋кочд кı̈д \(\rho \rho \epsilon s \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．l．Brunck， and so（or，at Reisig＇s suggestion，\(\chi^{a ̈ \nu}\)－ \(\delta \rho \epsilon s\) ）all subsequent editors except Weise． It seems to me that кả \(\nu \delta \rho \in s\) is right，the meaning being simply Do not men，as well as women，grow old？The article is not wanted．oüкоv \(\gamma^{’}\) ä \(\nu \delta \rho \epsilon s\) R．H． editions before Brunck；and Weise afterwards．

598．ö́т兀ь érı Florent Chretien， Brunck，recentiores，except Van Leeu－ wen，who writes，at Blaydes＇s suggestion， à \(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\) ö öтıs \(\sigma \tau \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta a t ~ \delta \nu v a \tau o ̀ s . ~ o ̈ \sigma \tau ı s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \grave{~}\) MSS．editions，except Florent Chretien， before Brunck．

600．रoıpiò Ëテтaı Elmsley（at Ach． 788），Dindorf，Enger，Holden，Meineke．
 Zanetti，Farreus，and（with \(\sigma\) opòv \(\omega \nu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota\) to complete the verse）Blaydes．кaipıos є̇ \(\sigma \sigma i \quad \gamma \epsilon\) Brunck．ढ̈ \(\rho \iota o ́ \nu\) є́ \(\sigma \tau \iota \nu\) Bentley．
 \(\chi \omega \rho i o \nu\) ধ̈́táaı Bergk．

604．тоиิтоу סì Bentley．тоитоц̀ MSS． editions before Brunck．тоvто⿱亠乂ii Brunck， Invernizzi，Bothe，Bekker，Weise． rovtoryi Elmsley（at Ach．784），Dindorf， Enger，recentiores，except Botlie．
\(60 \cdot 5\) ．тои̂ \(\delta \in \imath\) Bentley，Dindorf，recen－ tiores．toû \(\delta \epsilon \in \epsilon\) R．H．P²．1．Invernizzi， Bekker．тои̂ סén P＇．Fi．Zanetti，Farreus， Scaliger，Faber，Bothe．toû ס́́oı Junta，

Cratander，and the other editions before Brunck．\(\tau i \sigma \in \delta \in i\) Brunck．

613．ধ́ \(\pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a \quad \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ． 1. Brunck，recentiores．Є̇бкєvaбдє́va R． H．editions before Brunck．

615．ä \(\downarrow \delta \rho \epsilon s \mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{L}}\) ．l．Brunck， recentiores．\({ }^{\star} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi\) oc R．H．editions before Brunck，except Scaliger and Faber who omit the word，Scaliger how－ ever observing that some read \(\not \partial \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s\) and some ä \(\partial \rho \rho \omega \pi o\).
 Kuster，recentiores．\(\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa K \lambda \epsilon \tau \sigma \epsilon \in \dot{\nu}\) ous R．H．editions before Kuster．

633．＇Apıбтоүєíтov MSS．vulgo． Though the dative is used with \(\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} s\) the genitive is more frequently found． Blaydes therefore writes＇Aptorozєitovos， and is followed by Van Leeuwen．

634．au่vó \(\theta \in \nu\) ．See the Commentary． aủròs \(\gamma\) àp MSS．all editions before Brunck；and Invernizzi，Bekker，and （obelized）Hall and Geldart afterwards． And this seems to have been the reading of theScholiast，whose gloss，\(\lambda\) eitet ßoŋ \(\begin{gathered}\text { òs，}\end{gathered}\) shows that he took the line to mean ＂He（Aristogeiton）is my Helper＂ against the women．It is unnecessary to consider whether the words can mean this，since aitòs is metrically impossible．avirò Bothe，Dindorf，and subsequent editors before Blaydes． This reading is attributed to Scaliger， but wrongly．Scaliger proposed aivios \(\gamma \grave{a} \rho\)（meaning I suppose to omit \(\mu o \iota\) ），but added＂alii av̉rตs vel aủtó．＂Bergler in his note says＂mallem aùtó．＂With this reading the line is translated by Enger＂illud ipsum meum est ut tan－ quam alter Aristogiton huius anus imperium affectantis maxillas feriam，＂ but it is difficult to see how av́rò cau
have that meaning．aùtòs ả้ Brunck． raùrò yàp Blaydes．тoû̃o yà \(\rho\) Van Leeu－ wen．\(\pi a ́ v \tau a ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho \mathrm{Mr}\) ．Richarcls．

636．єía九óvтa \({ }^{\prime}\)＇Bentley，Bekker， recentiores，except Bothe and（in his text）Dindorf，but Dindorf approved of it in his notes．ciotóvaas MSS．all editions before Bekker ；and Bothe and （in his text）Dindorf afterwards．
 Tyrwhitt，Bothe，Dindorf，recentiores． катахє́aváa тò̀ крокшто̀̀ R．H．Brunck， Invernizzi，Bekker．катé \(\chi o v \sigma a\) тò \(\nu\) коо－ к \(\omega \tau\) à̀ I ． \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．l．Kuster in his notes． катаұ́́ovба⿱ крокштò all editions before Scaliger＇s．катахє́оиба крокшто̀ Scaliger，Faber，Kuster．катє́ \(\chi\) бvaа крокшто̀ Burmann（in Bergler＇s edition）．

654．\(\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \tau\)＇ \(\mathfrak{a} \nu a \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s\) MSS．vulgo．Bent－ ley said＂forte à \(\nu \tau a \nu a \lambda \omega ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s, "\) and Reisig suggested єíaavàஸ́бrovtєs．Blaydes reads \(\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a \nu a \lambda \omega \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s\). The anapaest in the sixth place of the line is very unusual．

657．\(\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \delta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{a} \psi \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau \omega\) MSS．vulgo．\(\tau \varphi ิ \delta \epsilon ́\) \(\sigma^{\prime} a^{\prime} \psi \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \omega\) Dobree，which Engerapproves， and Blaydes and Van Leeuwen adopt． \(\tau \omega \bar{\delta} \epsilon \tau \dot{\jmath} \psi \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau \varphi\)（with＇\(\gamma \dot{\omega}\) for \(\tau \omega \hat{\omega}\) later in the line）Bergk，Meineke；and（with oov for \(\tau \hat{\varphi}\) ）Cobet，Holden．

664．入єvко́тоסєs Hermann，Bothe， Dindorf（in notes），Weise，Enger，recen－ tiores，except Bergk．入uко́тобєs（contra metrum）MSS．ceteri．

673．入ımapoùs P＇．Bentley，Bergler （in notes），Brunck，recentiores，except Weise．\(\lambda ı \pi a \rho a ̂ s ~(v a r i o u s l y ~ a c c e n t e d ~ a n d ~\) contra metrum）the other MSS．and editions．

676．Sıүрáф Bentley，Brunck， recentiores．ठaypáq \(\omega\)（contra metrum） R．H．editions before Kuster．Sıaypáqaı

I． \(\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{I}} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．l．Kuster，Burmann，in Bergler＇s text，though Bergler himself disapproved of it．Porson proposed סurpáфetr，which would destroy the dramatic vigour of the line．

678．àv àmo入íato九 R．H．P²．Dawes， Brunck，recentiores．ả̀ àmodє́ \(\sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．1．à àmo入í\(\theta\) Oaı Junta，Cratander， Gelenius，Rapheleng．ìvaтодєiтaı Za－ netti，Farreus．äy dimo入íซӨaı Grynaeus． àvamo入єí⿱日aı Portus to Bergler．

679．＇＇\(\phi\)＇\(\grave{1} \pi \pi \omega \nu\) R．H．vulgo．［ \(\epsilon ้ \gamma \rho a \psi \epsilon\) ］ \(\phi i \lambda i \pi \pi \omega \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．l．obviously derived from＇\(\epsilon \rho a \psi\)＇\(\epsilon \phi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \pi \omega \nu\) ．Cobet proposed a \(\phi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi \pi \pi \nu\) ，which is adopted by Bergk， Meineke，Holden，and Van Leeuwen． But I entirely agree with Blaydes，who says＂quum hic non de pugnando
 （ \(\epsilon \pi о \chi є i \sigma \theta a t\) ）agatur，praestat haud dubie vulgata．＂

693．\(\mu\)＇＇́ \(\rho \in i\) is Bentley，Dindorf（in notes），Holden．épeís MSS．vulgo． Enger inserted the \(\mu^{\prime}\) earlier in the line before \(\epsilon i\) ．Bergk proposed to insert it after \(\dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \bar{i} s\) ，and this is done by Blaydes and Van Leeuwen．

699．\(\pi\) ẫı каі тоîs \(\gamma\) еíтобı兀 MSS．vulgo． Under the idea that nâซı would include rois \(\gamma\) eiroor（as to which see the Com－ mentary）Bentley proposed to change тâ \(\sigma \iota\) into \(\pi a \iota \sigma \iota\) ，and Elmsley to change кai roís into roícı，which is done by Blaydes．But both these changes would leave the Athenian citizens out of account．

701．тоï \(\pi\) тatテı R．H．vulgo．тaí \(\iota\) \(\pi\) поб亢 \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．Blaydes，Van Leeuwen．And this seems to have been the reading of the Scholiast，who says \(\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota\) тaîs \(\grave{\epsilon} \mu a i ̄ s\).

702．кরं \(\gamma a \pi \eta \tau i \eta \nu \mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．1．Bisetus， Portus，Kuster，recentiores．ка \(\mu \pi \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu\)
(a mere clerical error, the \(\gamma^{a}\) having been mistaken for \(\mu\) ) R. H. all editions before Portus. к катаiт \(\eta \nu\) (from a conjecture of Florent Chretien) Scaliger and Faber.
704. \(\pi\) av́ \(\eta \sigma \theta \epsilon\) MSS. vulgo. \(\pi a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon\) Bentley, Brunck (following the rule laid down by Dawes at Clouds 366), Meineke.
705. \(\lambda a ß \dot{\omega} \nu\) тıs \(\mathfrak{i} \mu a ̂ s\) Bentley (who adds "et sic MS."), Porson, Dobree (in Addendat to Porson's Aristophanica), Bothe, Bergk, Meineke, Blaydes. \(\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu\) v \(\mu \hat{\text { âs }}\) tis R. H. editions before Kuster (except that some have i\(\mu \mathrm{a} s\) ) ; and Bergler afterwards. \(\quad i \mu \hat{a} s \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \tau s \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1} .1\). Kuster, Brunck, recentiores, except as aforesaid. It is not known to what MS. Bentley referred.- \(\phi\) 'ि \(\rho \nu\) MSS. vulgo. Suidas, s. v. \(\psi \eta\) øофорia, citing this line from memory, wrote the last word \(\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu\), whence both Bentley (doubtfully) and Porson (confidently) proposed \(\theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \nu\). And \(\theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \nu\) was read by Bothe in his first edition, but not in his second.
 Dobree, Bekker, Weise. ädv \(\quad\) о \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a-\) teiv t' MSS. vulgo. Porson first, and Meineke afterwards, proposed \(i \theta \nu \mu \epsilon i \nu\), which is read by Holden, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. But this does not meet the difficulty. The misconduct of her young comrades does not make Lysistrata" walk up and down " simpliciter ; it makes her "walk up and down" in a despondent moorl.
 ס̀nóıр́ícкovaı \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). 1. Hall and Geldart and Van Lceuwen. The סıa-
 in the following line.
722. т \(\rho 0 \chi\) ıidias \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). 1. Grynaeus,

Kuster, recentiores, except Hall and Geldart and Van Leeuwen who read трохıлеias. т \(\rho \circ \chi \epsilon i \lambda i a s\) R. H. editions (excepting Grynaeus) before Kuster. Elmsley proposed to retain rpoxeidias and omit av̉.
725. катє́øтаба MSS. vulgo. Meineke (in his V. A.) proposed \(\dot{\text { a }} \boldsymbol{\nu}\) ' \(\sigma \pi a \sigma a\), which is read loy Blaydes and Van Leeuwen. Meineke's reason is that "катє́ \(\sigma \pi \sigma \sigma a\)
 coit," but it is plain that he dil not realize the situation. Before the deserter on her sparrow's back could fly down to the lower city, she had to fly \(u p\) to surmount the wall of the Acropolis; and it was only while she was rising for that purpose that she would be within Lysistrata's reach. She must have been still within the Acropolis, and therefore still on her upward flight, when Lysistrata seized her by the hair and dragged her down from the sparrow's back to the ground. So soon as she began ка́тш лє́тєбӨat she would have been out of Lysistrata's reach. каті́\(\sigma \pi a \sigma a\) is the very word required and àv́єтara is altogether unsuitable. Meineke would also change \(\chi \theta\) ès into тpis, and miav into Míkav, but there he has found no followers.
 Commentary. Strange to say Wakefield (at Eur. Ion \(1410 \pi a \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \pi \lambda\) ékovбa) proposed to alter this into \(\pi \lambda\) éкovglv; and more strangely still Dobree made the same suggestion. And Meineke says " aut \(\pi \lambda\) éкоvбı verum videtur, aut quod ego conieci \(\lambda_{\text {érovacu." Yet }}{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \kappa o v-\) \(\sigma \omega\) is beyond all question the right word, and Holden is the only editor who has brought \(\pi \lambda\) éxovav into the text. \(-\dot{\eta} \delta i\)

Elmsley (at Ach. 108), Dobree, Fritzsche (at Frogs 170), Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. \(\pi^{\prime \prime} \delta \eta\) MSS. vulgo.
730. катакопто́ \(\mu \boldsymbol{\nu}\) а MSS. vulgo. The Etym. Magn. under \(\sigma \in \dot{\text { ès }}\) has катака \(\pi \pi \tau\) ó\(\mu е \nu a\), whence катакатто́ \(\kappa є \nu a\) is read by Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen. They attribute this reading to Brunck, but though Brunck at first adopted it, he afterwards altogether repudiated it, referring to the first section of Lucian's Adversus Indoctum, where катако́тт оссurs in a similar connexion.
733. \(\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \tau a ́ \nu \nu v \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). 1. Bentley, Brunck, recentiores, except Invernizzi. סtantéávvvє R. H. editions before Brunck; and Invernizzi afterwards. See Peace 844, Birds 666. Brunck also refers to Eur. Med. 746.
739. а̀тє́ \(\chi\) Хоия R. H. \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). vulgo. єт \(\bar{\epsilon} \rho \chi\) оцаи I. \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). Kuster proposed é \(\pi a \nu\) є́pооаи, and this is approved, but not read, by Brunck and Dindorf. Brunck
 \(\mu a t\). sed vulgatum bonum est; subau-
 \(\mu a t\) is read by Enger, Bergk, and all subsequent editors.
740. тои̂то ờ I. P1. P². F1. 1. Scaliger (in notes), Kuster, recentiores, except as hereafter appears. toúrov oì R. H. all editions before Kuster, and Invernizzi afterwards. Before Kuster had discovered the true reading in his MSS. (I. and I.), Bentley suggested roviovi, which is read by Dindorf, Weise, Enger, Bergk, and Van Leeuwen. But the emphatic \(\sigma \dot{v}\) is found in all the MSS. Reisig suggested roûठe ov̀, which is read by Meineke and Holden.
761. каккаßıకоvбөิ» R. H. P2. and (originally) \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). vulgo. каккаßа弓оvбө̂v 1 .
and (as corrected) P1. Dindorf (in text), Meineke, Holden, and Hall and Geldart. Dobree proposed кıккаßаకоиб \(\omega \nu\) (referring to Birds 261, where кıккпßuv̂ is given as the note of the owl), and this is approved by Dindorf in his notes and read by Enger. кıккаßıц̆чぃ̄̄̀ Blaydes, Van Lecuwen. But the word is found in Aristotle, Athenaeus, Pollux, Aelian, and elsewhere, and uniformly begins with кпк-. кєккивай was a mere fancy word of Aristophanes.
763. oủk oilt ! \(\pi\) oteî MSS. vulgo. oủ \(\pi \circ \theta \epsilon i \nu \mid\) oít \({ }^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime}\) Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. This is very plausible, but it seems impossible to desert the reading of all the MSS., especially as Aristophanes was rather given to placing olet at the end of a line. Apart from the present passage, he has done so thrice in this very play ; supra 247,464 , and infra 1149.
 There seems no ground for Dobree's proposed transposition tà \(\delta \dot{\text { è }}\) vépтє \(\rho^{\circ}\) íпе́ \(\rho \tau \epsilon \rho a\). The two readings are identical in meaning, and the MS. reading is supported by the Scholiast; see the Commentary. In the corresponding phrase we invariably find \({ }^{*} \nu \omega\) placed before кíta. Dobree's proposal is however adopted by Blaydes.
 1. Kuster, recentiores, except Invernizzi.
 \({ }^{\eta} \nu \nu \quad \delta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\pi} \pi o \sigma \tau \omega ̄ \sigma \nu \quad\) editions before
 "Scaliger," "Faber," Bentley. But the reading in the text, which was not then known, is better in itself, and accounts for the double \(\delta \dot{\epsilon}\) in R. and H.

777-80. бафйs . . \(\pi \rho о \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma о \mu \epsilon\). These four lines were assigned to the "Chorus
of Women" by the MSS. and by all editions before Enger. That this could not be strictly right is shown by the words ì \(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\) fi \(i \boldsymbol{i} \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\), for the Chorus, who were in the orchestra, could have had no idea of entering into the Acropolis. The lines are obviously spoken by the young women on the stage, who had been intending to desert, but are brought to their senses by hearing the oracle; or aather they are spoken by one on behalf of the rest. Enger therefore transferred them to the First Woman, but I doubt if we are able to specify the particular woman who speaks them. But then comes the astonishing Beer who leaves only half the first line to the woman, and assigns all the rest of the speech to Lysistrata, the only person who could not possibly have spoken it. The words \(\grave{\omega} \pi a \operatorname{dives} \theta \in o i\) are plainly the exclamation of persons hearing the oracle for the first time, and in the three lines which follow they are expressing their penitence, and the change of mind which the oracle has wrought. Yet Beer's absurdity is followed loy Bergk, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen.
785. ỉv aute véos Hermann, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen, and the alteration was approved by Dindorf in his notes, and adopted by him in his "Metra Aeschyli, \&c." published shortly afterwards. \(\dot{\eta}^{2}\) ขєavígos MSS. vulgo. Hermann was the first to bring these two systems into proper metrical order, and his rather daring alteration here is fully justified lyy the necessities of the metre. The systems are partly in trochaics, but mostly in paeonics with an admixture of spondees. The present line consists of two preons and a cretic, the corre-
sponding line in the antistrophe being
 second syllable of àiopputos, usually long, is short. Weise omits \(\nu\) eavíckos and reads \(\nu \epsilon a v i a s\), in a line by itself, before \({ }^{\eta} \nu\).
795. \(\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i\) is \(\tau^{\prime}\) R. H. vulgo. \(\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \bar{s} \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). F1.l. Brunck, Bothe, Weise, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.
798. кро́ \(\mu \nu \nu o \nu\) (or кро́ \(\mu \nu \nu\) ) MSS. vulgo. кро \(\mu \dot{v} \omega \nu\) Bentley, Blaydes,Van Leeuwen.
799. кảvateivas 入aктíaı Bentley, Bothe, Dindorf, recentiores. kảvarévas тò \(\sigma \kappa\) ќлоs 入aктiбai MSS. all editions (except Bothe) before Dindorf. Bentley rejected tò \(\sigma \kappa\) к̇̃os, which is obviously a gloss that has crept into the text, and it is plain that the Scholiast did not read it.
809. \({ }^{3} \mathrm{y}\) rıs àîôputos \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). 1. Suidas, s.v. à \(\pi\) op \(\bar{\omega} \gamma a s\), Hermann, Dindorf, Bergk, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen. tis \({ }^{\eta} \nu\) àtôputos R. H. P \({ }^{1}\). \(P^{2}\). all editions (except Bothe) before Dindorf; and Weise afterwards; save that some of the earliest editions have daûóputos and some duíóputos. Bentley, retaining \(\nu \in a v i \sigma \kappa o s\) in the strophe, proposed to read \(\bar{\eta} \nu\) aitöpurós \(\tau\) ts here, and this is done by Bothe, Enger, Holden, Meineke, and Hall and Geldart. But the metre had not been fully ascertained in Bentley's time.
810. Tà \(\pi \rho \stackrel{́}{\sigma} \omega \pi a\) Hermann, Bothe, Dindorf, recentiores, except Hall and Geldart. тò \(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o \nu\) MSS. vulgo.
811. 'Epıvóos (or 'Epıvvios) Hermann, Bothe, Dindorf, recentiores, except Bergk. 'E \(\rho \iota \nu \dot{u} \omega \nu(\) or'E \(\rho \iota \nu \nu \dot{v} \omega \nu)\) MSS. vulgo.
812. oìvos äp' Hermann, Bothe,Dindorf, recentiores, except Bergk and Hall and Geldart. oûros oūy MSS. vulgo. All these changes are necessitated by the metre. There is a line missing here which I have
supplied by writing (in brackets) ä \(\theta \lambda \iota o s\) á \(\phi\) ' \(\dot{\jmath} \mu \omega ิ \nu\). Other suggestions are койкє́тє \(\kappa a \tau \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu\) (from 792 supra) Bisetus, which Bothe brings into the text; єis ảppò
 єis ő \(\rho o s\) є \(\rho \eta \mu\) о Blaydes, the former being brought into the text by Van Leeuwen.
817. ípâs àvтє \(\mu \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \epsilon\). This is Dobree's suggestion for the \(i \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu i \sigma \epsilon \iota\) of the MSS. and editions which yields no satisfactory meaning. I do not think that thewords will bear the interpretation which Blaydes gives them "in answer to you, in return for your hatred of us." They seem rather to be an alteration by some grammarian who supposed that by roùs mounpoùs Stratyllis meant only a particular section of the men, and not men universally. Bergk suggested \(\dot{\eta} \mu i v\) and Meineke and Holden read \(\dot{\nu} \mu i v\). At 819 I. P1. P \({ }^{2}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). and l. cease, recommencing with line 890.
831. ä \(\nu \delta \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \delta \rho \rho^{\prime}\) ó \(\rho \hat{\omega}\) Brunck, recentiores. ä \(\nu \delta \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho \hat{\omega}\) R. H. editions before Brunck. But Florent Chretien had said
 ó \(\hat{\omega}\) " and Bentley " Lege ä \(\nu \delta \rho a\) bis."
839. є \(\neq \eta\) MSS. vulgo. Dobree is said to have suggested \(\eta\) " \(\delta \eta\). I do not know where he did so. In his Adversaria he proposes \(\sigma o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \rho \gamma o \nu ~ o r ~ \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ द ̈ \rho \gamma o \nu ~ a ̀ \nu . ~\) But Dindorf says "Scribendum \(\bar{\eta} \delta \eta\) cum Dobraeo"; and \(\eta \delta \eta\) is read by Enger, Meineke, and subsequent editors. The MS. reading, howe ver, gives a good sense, Be it your task. \(\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \iota \nu\) at the end of the line is changed by Blaydes into \(\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu\).
843. тapaц́́ \(\nu o v \sigma a ́ ~ \gamma ’ ~ B e n t l e y . ~ R . ~ H . ~\) and all editions before Brunck have тара \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu o v \sigma^{\prime}\), leaving the line a syllable too short. Bentley proposed mapaц́́vováá \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}\)
and Porson oot mapaperova'. There is not much to choose between these two readings. The former is adopted by Brunck, Dindorf, Bergk, and others, the latter by Enger, Meineke, Blaydes, and others.
851. калє́ \(\sigma \omega\) ' \(\gamma \grave{\omega}\) Muppív \(\nu\) бot; Kuster' (in notes), Enger, Holden, Bergk, recentiores. R. H. and all editions before Brunck have \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu\) before Mupoivq \({ }^{\prime}\), making the line a syllable too long. Kuster, as we see, proposed to omit the \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu\). Brunck omitted the ' \(\gamma \dot{\omega}\), in which he is followed by all editors before Enger. For кал \(\epsilon \sigma \omega\) Cobet proposed 'ккалєєб \(\omega\), which is read by Meineke and Holden.
 Dindorf, Weise, Enger, recentiores, except Bothe and Van Leeuwen. The \(\sigma o t\) is omitted, contra metrum, by R. H. and by all editions before Brunck; and by Invernizzi, Bothe, and Bekker afterwards, Brunck read ' ' \(\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i\) ' au̇rik', Van Leeuwen \({ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau 0 \iota \nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i\) ".
864. катаßâซa R. H. vulgo. Herwerden (V. A.) proposes to change кaтaßâ \(\sigma a\) into rivaßâor. And he gives as his reason "Cinesias enim est in aditu, Lysistrata vero supra in ipsa arce." One would have thought this a conclusive argument for reading катаßā \(\sigma a\). Lysistrata, being on the top of the wall at the top of the Acropolis, could only go up higher by ascending into the clouds. To find Myrrhina she has to descend from the wall into the Acropolis itself.
865. \(\epsilon \chi \omega \quad \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega}\) ßí \(\boldsymbol{\text { R. H. vulgo. }}\) Hermann suggested \(\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \prime \chi \omega\) ßiov, which
 \(\tau \hat{\varphi} \beta i \omega\) which Blaydes reads; and Blaydes


866. ' \(\xi \bar{\eta} \lambda \theta_{\epsilon \nu}\) Grynaeus, "Scaliger," recentiores. \(\xi v \nu \eta \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu\) R. H. editions before Scaliger except Grynaeus.
895. Saatıtîs MSS. editions except "Scaliger" before Bothe's first; and Bekker, Meineke, Holden, and Van Leeuwen afterwards. סtariӨns "Scaliger," Bothe, and save as aforesaid editions after Bekker. This is the old question, discussed by Porson on Orestes 141 whether the Attics ever used - \(\epsilon\) is for the second person of verbs in \(-\eta \mu\). As the MSS. are our only authority on the subject, it seems to me safer to follow them.
896. фopov \(\mu\) év \({ }^{2}\) s MSS. vulgo. Mr. Richards suggests \(\phi \theta \epsilon \rho o v \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta s\).
904. катак入iӨךть MSS, vulgo. Elmsley citing this line for another purpose in his note on Ach. 1033 writes, whether purposely or by a slip of memory, кaтa\(\kappa \lambda i \nu \eta \theta\), which is accordingly read by Dindorf, Enger, Bergk, and all subsequent editors.
906. \begin{tabular}{|c} 
Muppinoo R. H. editions before
\end{tabular} Brunck, and Invernizzi and Weise afterwards. Sec the Commentary. \({ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\) Múppıov P1. P². F1. l. Brunck, Bothe, Bekker, Dindorf, Bergk, Hall and Geldart. \(\dot{\omega}\) Múpriov Enger, Meineke, Van Leeuwen. Muppuiícon Dobree, Blaydes.
 \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). all editions (except Bothe) lefore

 \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon\) (or \(\delta \epsilon \rho \rho \mu u{ }^{2} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon\) ) Bentley, Elmsley (at Ach. 178), Bothe, Dindorf (in notes), Enger, recentiores. But the ovodè seems rather out of place here, though quite
 є \(\quad \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon\) Weise.

(in notes), Weise, Enger, recentiores, except Bothe. And so, without the final \(\gamma^{\prime}, \mathrm{P}^{2}\). l. \(\mu \grave{a} \Delta \hat{i}\) ò̀ \(\delta \dot{\epsilon} о \mu a i \not \gamma^{\prime}\) R. H. all editions before Brunck. This left the line a syllable short, which Brunck supplied by changing \(\gamma\) ' into " \(\gamma \omega \gamma\) ' and so all subsequent editors before Weise ; and Bothe afterwards. But Dobree's change of où into où \(\dot{\text { ce }}\) is far better. \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). and \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). supplied the missing syllable by changing \(\gamma^{\prime}\) into \(\delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}\).
944. 'Póótov MSS. vulgo. And so the Scholiast, and Eustathius on Odyssey ii. 85. Bergk said "forte fóóıvov," which is read by Meineke and Blaydes. Their excuse is "Rhodii unguenti nusquam alibi mentio fit." They had overlooked the passage in Pliny which I have quoted in the Commentary.
946. \(\pi \rho \hat{\omega}\) тos \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\).l. Brunck, Bothe, Bekker, Meineke, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen. \(\pi \rho \omega \bar{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau}\) R. H. vulgo.
956. тavт \(\begin{aligned} & \text { vi. This is Reisig's proposal, }\end{aligned}\) which was approved by Dindorf in his notes and adopted by Weise and subsequent editors. тaitn MSS. and all editions before Weise. The alteration is desirable for the purpose of making this line correspond with its fellow in the antistrophe \(\mu \grave{a} \Delta i \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \phi i \lambda \eta \eta\) каì \(\pi а \not \approx \lambda \lambda ч к є \rho a ́\).
957. Kvvà \(\operatorname{cim}^{2} \eta \xi \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\). F1. 1. Brunck, recentiores. \(\mathrm{X} \eta \nu a \lambda \dot{\omega} \pi \eta \xi\) R. H. editions before Brunck.
958. \(\tau \iota \sigma \neq \eta \dot{\eta} \mathrm{I}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). 1. Brunck, recentiores. \(\kappa \dot{v} \sigma \tau \eta \nu\) R. and so (or \(\kappa \dot{v} \sigma \theta \eta \nu\) ) all editions
 For \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \tau \tau \not \dot{\eta}_{\nu}\) John Seager suggested \(\tau v a\) rit*íp, which is read by Blaydes. We should perhaps read đáxa \(\dagger \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \iota \theta \theta \dot{\eta} \nu\) to make the line correspond with 972.
959. \(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \omega \bar{\omega}\) MSS. vulgo. Cobet
suggested \(\bar{\eta} \delta \epsilon t \nu \varphi َ\), which Blaydes and Van Leeuwen adopt. It certainly heightens the tragic character of the lines, and I should willingly have adopted it had it not been certain that Aristophanes wrote \(\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \omega \bar{\varphi}\).
961. \(a i^{3}, a i^{i}, a i\). I have added the third \(a \hat{i}\), the corresponding line in the antistrophe being a complete dimeter

962. \(\gamma\) à \(\dot{a} \nu \dot{\eta} \eta \nu \in ́ \phi \rho o s\) R. H. vulgo. \(\gamma \grave{a} \rho\)
 but this can be only a clerical error since he professes to follow R. Dindorf in his text has \(\delta^{\prime} \not{ }^{a} \nu\), apparently confusing this line with 964 , but in his notes he reverts to the common reading. Bergk reads \(\gamma\) à \(\rho \nu\) v́ф \(\rho o s\) à \(\nu\); Meineke reads \(\gamma\) à \(\rho\)
 and Van Leeuwen.
963. \(\psi v \chi \grave{\eta}\) MSS. vulgo. Scaliger says "Alii \(\psi \omega \lambda \dot{\eta}\)," an alteration which is again suggested by Brunck, and is made by Blaydes.
964. \(\pi\) oios \(\delta\) 'óppos. The line is so read by the Scholiast on Frogs 223, and by Florent Chretien, Bergk, Meineke, Blaydes, and Hall and Geldart. moios \(\delta^{\prime} \not{ }^{\alpha} \nu\) ö \(\rho \rho o s \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). editions before Brunck. It is necessary to omit either the ä̀ as in the text or the \(\delta\). The latter course is adopted by \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). l. and Scaliger in his notes and by Brunck and, save as aforesaid, subsequent editors. The omission of \({ }^{*} \nu\) is more in accord with the preceding line.
970. XO. ГY. \(\mu \grave{\alpha} \Delta i, a j \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \phi i \lambda \eta\). This line is given to the Chorus of Women by R. H. and l. It is, however, given to Cinesias by \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\)., and this being followed by Junta has prevailed in almost all the printed editions. The
only editors who have reclaimed it for the Women are Invernizzi, Bergk, Meineke, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen ; and of these all but Invernizzi indemnify Cinesias by giving him all or part of the following speech, contrary to the MSS. and, in my opinion, contrary to all probability. In both speeches I have followed the arrangement of the Ravenna MS.
972. \({ }^{3} Z \epsilon \hat{v}, Z \epsilon \hat{v}\), Tyrwhitt, Brunck, and all subsequent editors, except those who divide the speech between the Chorus and Cinesias. The MSS. have \(\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{Z} \in \hat{\imath}\), \(\omega^{3} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{\imath}\), and so all editions before Brunck.
 \(\xi\) v̌roryú入as Cobet, Meineke, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen.
980. \(\gamma \in \rho \omega \chi\) ía R. H. P². 1. vulgo, but some of the earlier editions omit the preceding \(i\) and othersannex it to \(\gamma є \rho \omega \chi i a\). See Müller's Dorians iii. 6. 1. \(\gamma \in \rho \omega \sigma^{\prime} a \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{A}}\). F1. Brunck, Bothe, Weise. \(\gamma \in \rho \omega i ́ a\) Valckenaer, Dindorf, Enger, Holden, Meineke, Blaydes.
982. ПРовочаOг. MSS. vulgo. And this is undoubtedly right, for that the speaker is a man of the highest authority is shown by his statement that "he will tell the Council to elect plenipotentiaries." Unfortunately Bentley suggested not on this line but on some of the subsequent speeches that the speaker is Cinesias, a manifest impossibility, for Cinesias could not have dictated to the Council the course they were to pursue ; nor was he in a position to rally the Herald on his distressful condition. This has been realized by every editor except Van Leeuwen, who throughout the dialogue gives the speeches of the Probulus to Cinesias. -
\(\sigma \grave{v}\) ס̀̀ rís；\(\pi\) óтєрод Florent Chretien， Bothe，Meineke．\(\sigma \dot{\delta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i ̉ \tau i s ; \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu\) R．H． editions before Brunck．\(\sigma \dot{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i ̉ \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu\) Pl．\(P^{2}\) ．F \({ }^{1}\) ．l．Brunck，Invernizzi，Dindorf， Weise，Hall and Geldart．\(\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{r} \in i ̂ i t ;\) то́тєр’ Bentley，Enger，Holden，Blaydes，
 \(\pi о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\nu}\) Porson，Bergk，Van Leeuwen．

988．та入aıó \(\gamma\) б Bentley，Brunck， recentiores，except as hereinafter men－ tioned．And this is really the reading of R．H．and of all editions before Kuster， only they divide the words wrongly， making them \(\pi\) á入aı őp \(\quad\) a．\(\pi a \lambda a \iota o ́ s ~ \gamma a\) I．\(\pi a \lambda \epsilon_{o ́ s ~}^{s}{ }^{2} \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{F}^{1} .1\) ．Kuster，Bergler． \(\pi a \lambda \epsilon o ́ \rho ~ \gamma a\) Dindorf．a入єós \(\gamma a\)（with the digamma prefixed）Van Leeuwen．
993．єi̛oóт＇є’ \(\mu \epsilon\) Porson，Bothe，Dindorf （in notes），Enger，Meineke，recentiores． eioóra \(\mu \in\) MSS．vulgo．

998．à \(\lambda \lambda^{\prime}\) ă \(\rho \chi \in \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) Hermann，Bothe， Bekker，Dindorf，Weise，Bergk．‘‘ \(\lambda \lambda ’\) «́p \(\chi\) à \(\mu \notin \nu\) MSS．editions before Brunck． And so Brunck read，omitting the oük which preceded．\(\dot{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\imath} \rho \chi \epsilon \nu\) Elmsley（at Ach．910），Enger，Meineke，recentiores．

999．\({ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a\) тű入入aı Elmsley（at Ach． 910），Dindorf（in notes），Enger，Meineke， recentiores．＂\(\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a \delta^{\prime}\)＂̈ \(\lambda \lambda a \iota\) MSS．vulgo．

1001．ảm \({ }^{\prime} \lambda a o \nu\) R．H．vulgo．\({ }^{\prime} \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda a \tau a \nu \mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．änŋंخaà Enger，Meineke，recentiores．

1003．д̀локєки́фанєs MSS．vulgo． є̇ \(\pi \iota \kappa є к и ́ \phi u \mu \epsilon s\) Reiske，Dindorf（in notes）， Blaydes，Hall and Geldart．íтокєки́фицєs Hamaker，Meineke，Van Leeuwen．

1010．ıттолє́ \(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \downarrow\) I．P²．1．Bentley， Kuster，recentiores．\(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota\) R．H．P \({ }^{1} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ． editionsbefore Kuster．Florent Chretien suggested \(\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \nu\) ．
1013．\(\pi u \nu \tau \bar{a}\)＂Scaliger，＂＂Faber，＂ Bentley，Brunck，recentiores．\(\pi i \nu \tau a \iota\) R． \(\pi i v \tau a\) the other MSS．and editions．

1016．ر＇́vтo兀 où Bentley，Brunck， recentiores．\(\sigma \dot{v}\) is omitted in the MSS． and in all editions before Brunck．－ \(\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i s \notin \mu о \grave{\iota}\) Hermann，Dindorf，recen－ tiores．\(\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i 今\) єimé \(\mu \circ\) MSS．editions before Dindorf．This little system \(1014-35\) is full of interpolations made mostly for the purpose，not always successfully carried out，of changing the disjointed lines into trochaic tetrameters．

1017．ß＇́ßaıò＂\(\epsilon \mu\)＇Hermann，Bothe， Dindorf，Enger，recentiores．\(\beta \in \beta\) aia \(\mu^{\prime}\) MSS．editions before Portus．\(\beta \in \beta a i a v\) \(\nu u ̄ \nu \mu^{\prime}\) Portus and subsequent editors （except Bothe，Dindorf，and Weise） before Enger．Weise，always innocent of metre，writes \(\beta \epsilon \beta a i a \nu{ }_{\epsilon} \mu\)＇．Brunck however rearranges the line so as to make it an excellent trochaic tetra－ meter．

1020．\(\delta \rho \hat{\omega}\) MSS．vulgo．öpa Dobree， which was approved by Dindorf in his notes and adopted by Meineke，Holden， and Blaydes．
 ảmédıv \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．l．Kuster，Bergler．


1025．кйд \(\mu \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda v \pi \eta ̂ s\) Grynaeus， Scaliger（in notes），Bothe，Bekker． кầ \(\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \nu \pi \epsilon i s \mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．ки้̉ \(\mu \epsilon \mu \eta{ }^{\prime}\)＇入úтtєıs Florent Chretien，Brunck，Invernizzi， Bergk．кâv \(\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \nu \pi \hat{\eta} s\) R．H．P1．F1．the other editions before Bothe and Bekker． \(\kappa \epsilon i ̋ \mu \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \dot{\prime} \pi \epsilon \epsilon\) Is Dobree，Dindorf，recen－ tiores，except Bothe and Bergk．

1030．סúбкодоs \(\notin \phi u s\) Brunck，recen－ tiores．\(\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о\) ós \(\gamma^{\prime}\) є̈ \(\phi\) иs MSS．editions before Brunck．

1035．каíтoı тávv Florent Chretien， Porson，Bothe，Dindorf，recentiores， except Weise．каiтои \(\gamma \in \pi\) тivu MSS．the
other editions. Elmsley (at Ach. 611), supposing the regular trochaics to commence here, proposed kaíto távo \(\gamma\). But no doubt they commence with the next line.
1037. ©раб’ ікоєөӨ Bothe, Dindorf, Weise, Enger, Holden, Bergk, Meincke, Halland Geldart. ©̈pas '艹коь \(\theta\) ' MSS. vulgo.

 the Commentary. The MSS. have \(\dot{\omega}\)

 editions before Brunck ; and Invernizzi, Bekker, and Hall and Geldartafterwards.
 Hermann suggested the omission of \(\dot{\omega}\) from the MS. reading, and this is adopted by Bothe, Dindorf in his text, and Weise. But in his notes Dindorf
 ' \(\tau і\) гікӥ \(\chi о \mu \epsilon \nu\), while Porson suggested \(\pi \rho \lambda \lambda \grave{a}\) бầ \(\sigma \tau i \nu\) ä \(\chi \neq \mu \epsilon \nu\). But Burges's proposal
 largest support ; that (or \(\dot{\omega} \pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu\), or \(\dot{\omega} s \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau i \nu\) ) having been adopted by Enger and all subsequent editors except Bothe and Hall and Geldart.
1057. ầ Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. à MSS. editions before Brunck.\(\dot{\alpha} \pi o \AA \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{2}\). Brunck, recentiores, except Invernizzi, who with R. H. and editions before Brunck reads \(\dot{a} \pi \sigma_{0} \delta \iota \delta \hat{\varphi}\), and Van Leeuwen who writes a very

 (in notes), Enger, Holden, Bergk, Meineke, and Hall and Geldart. кảवтıע є̈т \(\tau\) os MSS. vulgo. Bentley proposed
 easily have dropped out before є"тvos. Blaydes says " Displicent et Bentleii et

Reisigii correctiones vel ideo quod corripi debet prior syllaba in étvos," an incomprehensible remark, since in both their corrections the first syllable is short. Blaydes himself reads käөть ধ̈т \(\tau\) os \(\mu 01\), so substituting a choriamb for a paeon; whilst Van Leeuwen's каi
 a line which requires a paeon and a cretic. For in these four stanzas, as in Knights 303-11, 382-8, and many other instances, paeons and cretics are not interchangeable; a paeon in the strophe must be met by a paeon in the antistrophe, and a cretic by a cretic. In all the four stanzas the six cretico-paeonic lines are cast in the same mould; the first, second, and fourth containing a paeon and a eretic; the third (with a monosyllabic base) two paeons; and the fifth and sixth two cretics. In the following line Elmsley proposed to change the \(\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi\) ciкıo \({ }^{\eta} \nu \nu\) of the MSS. and editions into \(\delta \in \lambda \phi\) ákıo \(\hat{\ell} \nu\), a suggestion ingenious but unnecessary.
1062. ré \(\theta v \chi^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). Zanetti, Farreus, Portus, recentiores. \(\tau \in \theta\) vi' R . the other editions before Portus. "Inconsulte Elmsleius in Mus. Crit. ii. \(180 \tau^{\prime} \in \theta \chi^{\prime}\) mutare voluit in \(\tau^{\prime} \epsilon v^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}\), elisa diphthongo at perfecti passivi tédurat. Putabat in \(\tau^{\prime} \Theta v\) кa penultimam produci. Sed corripi eam in verbis rétuka et \(\lambda \epsilon \lambda\) uкa docuit Draco Stratonicensis, p. 46,

 Weise, Enger, recentiores, except Bothe.
 (except \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). which has \(\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \in \sigma \theta^{3}\) ) editions before Dindorf, except Bothe who adopted Scaliger's suggestion of \(\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \in \theta\). Bentley

1068. \(\neq \sigma \omega \beta a \delta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu\) R. H. all editions before Dindorf. єíб \(\beta_{a \delta i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu} \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). Elmsley, Dindorf, recentiores.
1070. \(\omega s \dot{\eta} \theta \dot{v} \rho a\). The reading of R. H. and of all editions before Bothe was iuws \(\delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}\) Óva, but Elmsley conjectured is \(\dot{\eta}\) Ópa. And this was subsequently found to be the reading of \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2}\). which, strange to say, Brunck had not adopted or even noticed. It is followed by Bothe, Dindorf, and all subsequent editors.
1076. \(\tau i \delta_{\epsilon i} \mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). Florent Chretien, Bentley, Brunck, recentiores, except as hereafter mentioned. \(\tau i \delta \dot{\eta}\) R. H. editions before Brunck. Bergler however suggested either \(\tau i \delta \epsilon i\) or \(\tau i \delta \hat{\eta}\) (supposed to be Doric for \(\delta \epsilon i\) ). And \(\tau i\) \(\delta \tilde{\eta}\) was again suggested by Dobree, and again by Bergk, but is adopted only by Meineke and Blaydes.
1080. тí кa \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \cap \iota\). This was proposed by Enger, and is read by Meineke, Blaydes, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen. tí kầ \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota\) R. H. vulgo. tí кaì \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1} .-\dot{\text { on }} \boldsymbol{a}\) R. all editions before Brunck; and Bekker, Bergk, Meineke, and Hall and Geldart afterwards. ث̈ \(\pi\) \# \(\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). Brunck and the other editions.- \(\sigma \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon I\) I. \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). Kuster, recentiores. \(\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \notin \iota\) R. H. editions before Kuster.
1088. ขó \(\sigma \omega\) MSS. vulgo. vóros Reisig, Dobree, Dindorf (in notes,) Bothe, Enger, Meineke, Blaydes, and Van Leeuwen.
1096. тò \(\neq \sigma \theta\) os MSS. vulgo. Bentley proposed \(\tau o ́ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon \theta\) os and Reisig \(\tau o ́ \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \sigma \theta\) os which Bothe adopts. But commentators in general consider this and the other hiatuses in the Doric speeches of this Play to be occasioned by the suppressed ligamma.- \(\langle\mu \beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a\), a suggrestion of Brunck, is read by Dindorf, Weise,

Enger, Holden, Bergk, and subsequent editors. \(\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a\) or \(\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a\) MSS. vulgo. With the following line l. ceases altogether. I. \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\). \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\). and \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\). also cease, but recommence with line 1237.
1098. Поvдvхарío Bentley, Bothe, Dindorf (in notes), Weise. Подuхapióa MSS. vulgo. Пoдvхapeióa Elmsley, Meineke, Holden, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen. Пылvхapióa Enger, Blaydes. In line 1242 infra Пou入vхарíoa is read by \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). so that it is the only form, except Полv \(\quad\) арióa, which has any MS. authority.- \(\tau \hat{\lambda} \nu \nu \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon s\). This is Elmsley's reading at Ach. 323, save that he gives the second augment which involves an unnecessary departure from the MS. reading, and so Bothe and Dindorf. \(\gamma^{\prime} a \dot{̉} \pi \epsilon \pi \delta^{\prime} \nu \theta a \mu \in s\) R. H. vulgo. Various other minute variations have been suggested. \(\kappa^{\prime}\) a \(\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \pi \epsilon \pi \delta ́ \nu \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon s\end{aligned}\) Enger, Hall and Geldart. ка \(\pi \epsilon \pi о ́ \nu \theta \in \mu \in s\) Bergk. ка ' \(\pi \epsilon \pi o ́ v \theta \in \mu \in s\) Meineke. тоí к' є̇ \(\pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon s\) Blaydes. кa є่ \(\pi \epsilon \pi o ́ v \sigma \epsilon \mu \epsilon s\) Van Leeuwen.
1099. ať \(\kappa\) ' \(\epsilon i \delta o \nu\) Brunck, recentiores, except as hereinafter appears. ail к' ' \(\delta \delta \nu\) R. H. editions before Portus. aı̈кє \(\nu\) "́oov Portus and subsequent editors before Brunck. ai \(\epsilon i \delta o \nu\) Blaydes (in the preface to his first edition of the Birds A. D. 1840), Meineke, and Hall and Geldart. - \(\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s\) Elmsley (at Ach. 755), Dindorf, Bothe, Enger, recentiores. äv \(\delta \rho \in s\) R. H. vulgo. R. H. read ápès' and so all the earlier editions, but Enger's correction \(\dot{a} \mu \grave{\epsilon}\) has been followed by all subsequent editors.
1102. тaviroyi Bentley. tovtoyi H . vulgo. тойтаүi R. \(\gamma^{\prime}\) оитои Cobet, Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, Van Leeuwen.
1105. кäע \(\lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon\), тั̀ ע \(\Lambda v \sigma i \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \nu ~ R\). (as
corrected），and，save as herein appears， all printed editions．But R．＇s original reading was калєiтє，and that is also the reading of H ．Hirschig therefore pro－ posed to read ка入 \(\bar{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \nu \Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau a \nu\) ，and this is done by Bergk，Meineke，Holden， and Blaydes．But both MSS．have ròv \(\Lambda \nu \sigma i \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \nu\) with which \(\kappa a ̈ \nu \lambda \eta \hat{\eta}_{\tau \epsilon}\) is indis－ pensable．And it seems to me that Hir－ schig＇s line is infinitely less vigorous and graphic than the common reading．

1109．［ \(\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \nu\) ］．In R．and H．and all editions（except Bothe）before Dindorf the line is given with a foot wanting．Apparently the first to ob－ serve the omission was Bentley，who asked＂An legendum \(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \nu, \quad \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \eta \nu\) ， aja日向v？＂The same suggestion is said to have been made by Tyrwhitt，and it is adopted by Bothe，Blaydes，and Hall and Geldart．Meineke inserts \(\dot{a}^{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}\) after фaú入 \(\eta \nu\) ，and Van Leeuwen，after his manner，instead of amending the line，composes a now one which
 omits both ajäə̀̀v and фaú入 \(\eta \nu\) ．But Dindorf and the other subsequent editors content themselves with marking a lacuna in the line．I have inserted \(\chi^{a} \lambda \in \pi \dot{\eta} \nu\) before \(\dot{a} \gamma a \nu \grave{\eta} \nu\) because it seems to me that the missing word should give the idea of severity in a Judge，as contrasted with the idea of gentleness involved in the epithet \(\alpha^{\alpha} \gamma a \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu\) ．And \(\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi}\) os bears that precise meaning in Wasps 942.

1113．\(\mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \kappa \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu o u s\) R．H．Dindorf， Bothe，Enger，recentiores．\(\mu \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \epsilon\) ข́vovs Zanetti，Farreus，Portus，and all subse－ quent editors before Dindorf；and Weise afterwards．But I think the com－ pound verb is essential．\(\mu \dot{\eta} \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s\)

Junta，Cratander，Grynaeus，Gelenius， and Rapheleng．

1121．тoúтоv \(\lambda a \beta\) о́́ \(\nu \eta\) Dobree，Bergk， Meineke，Holden，Blaydes，and Van


1123．є́v \(\theta \in ́ \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \theta^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\) ．Portus and all subsequent editors before Meineke． \(\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \theta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}\)（which of course means the same thing）\(R\) ．and all editions before Portus．Bergk is thought to have suggested \(\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \theta^{\prime} \nu \delta \delta \epsilon \delta^{\prime}\) ，which is adopted by Meineke and subsequent editors，but seems inferior to the MS．reading．

1129．Є̇к \(\chi\)＇́ \(\rho \nu\) иооs Bentley，Brunck， Bekker，Weise，Meineke，Holden，Blaydes， and Hall and Geldart．\(\tau \epsilon \chi^{\epsilon} \rho \nu \iota\) ßos R．H． vulgo．\(\tau^{\prime}\) є́к \(\chi\)＇́́ \(\rho \boldsymbol{\nu}\) ßos Reiske，Invernizzi． \(\gamma^{\epsilon} \chi^{\epsilon} \rho \nu \iota \beta\) os Bothe，Dindorf，Enger，Bergk．


1132．ä \(\lambda\) dous R．H．vulgo．This being obviously the right word，it is amazing that Meineke should in his note have proposed äthous，and in his V．A． áyópous．

1133．бтратєípaть R．H．all editions before Brunck；and Bergk，Hall and Geldart，and Van Leeuwen afterwards ； and Meineke in his notes reverts to the MS．reading．\(\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{u} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu\) Reiske， Brunck，and，save as aforesaid，all editors subsequent to Brunck．

1148．áठ̊ккоиินєs all editions except as hereinafter mentioned．à \(\delta \iota \kappa \iota o \bar{\mu} \mu \epsilon s\) R．H． ádıkiouєs Dindorf，Bergk，Blaydes，and Hall and Geldart． \(\mathfrak{a} \delta \iota \kappa \in \hat{\nu} \mu \in s\) Meineke and Van Leeuwen．－a̋фarov \(\dot{\text { м }}\) ка入ós Bentley， Bothe，Dindorf（in notes），Enger，recen－ tiores，except Blaydes．＂̈фатоs каі ка入ós R．H．editions before Bothe＇s first． Dindorf in his text has äфатos \(\omega\) к ка入ós， obviously a mere clerical error，but it is adopted by Weise．Meineke conjec－
tures ínaגòs кaì кa入ós，whieh is brought into the text by Blaydes．

1149．\(\mu^{\prime}\) ol＇\(\epsilon\) Dobree，Dindorf（in notes），Enger，Holden，Meineke，recen－ tiores．oít（without \(\mu^{\prime}\) ）R．H．vulgo．
1153．Éтаіроия＇Imtiov．R．H．have étépous intious，and so all editions down to and including Portus．Scaliger in his notes proposed \(\dot{\text { étaipous＇} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi i o v \text { ，but }}\) the edition which bears his name reads Éraipous＇i \(\pi \pi i a\) ．This is how Suidas，s．v． китшуíкך，gives the line（at least in Gaisford＇s edition，though the MSS．vary between \(i \pi \pi i a\) ，\(i \pi \pi i a \nu\) ，and \(i \pi \pi \epsilon i a \nu\) ）and so Faber．Then Kuster changed＇I \(\pi \pi\) ía \(^{\prime}\) into＇ \(1 \pi \pi i o v\) ，and so all subsequent editors．
1154．छ̇veєкаахойдтеs MSS．vulgo．The word has not given entire satisfaction， and Meineke conjectured \(\xi\) vиєкцо－ \(\chi^{\lambda o v ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s, ~ H e r w e r d e n ~ \xi ̌ v e \epsilon к \beta a \lambda o ́ v \tau \epsilon s, ~ a n d ~}\) Mr．Richards \(\xi v \nu \in \kappa \pi o \nu o u ̀ v \tau \epsilon s\) or possibly \(\xi v \nu \epsilon \kappa \delta \rho a \mu o ́ r \tau \epsilon\) ．But the only editor who has altered the text is Van Leeuwen who reads каì \(\xi v \mu \mu а \chi о \bar{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s\), omitting the copula at the commencement of the following line．

1159．\(\dot{\text { vin }} \boldsymbol{\eta \rho \gamma \mu \hat { e } \nu \omega \nu} \gamma \epsilon\) Bothe，Dindorf， recentiores．í \(\pi \eta \rho \gamma \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau \in\) R．H．vulgo．
1162．\(\lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \mathrm{s}\) Bentley，Brunck，recen－ tiores．\(\lambda \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) R．H．editions before Brunck．And in the next line R．H． all the editions before Brunck and Bergk afterwards have \(\grave{i} \pi \delta \delta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu\) ． Brunck altered this into the infinitive ù \(\pi о o \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu\) ，and is followed by every subsequent editor except Bergk．

1164．\(\hat{\alpha} \pi \pi \epsilon \rho\) Bergler（in notes）．रो \(\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) or Tû̃ \(\pi \epsilon \rho\) Elmsley（at Ach．441）．\(\hat{a} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) is read by Bothe，Dindorf，and all subse－ quent editors，except Blaydes and Van Leeuwen who have tüव \(\pi \epsilon \rho\) ．̈̈v \(\pi \epsilon \rho\) R．H．
and all editions，except Bothe＇s，before Dindorf．
1165．où סрávete R．H．vulgo．oủ бра́бонєь Cobet，Bergk，recentiores，ex－ cept Hall and Geldart who rightly retain the MS．reading．où סpág \(\epsilon \tau \epsilon\) Bothe，second edition．

1167．roítov хшрiò Bentley，Dawes （at Ecel．70），Brunck，recentiores．toúrov той \(\chi \omega \rho i o u\) R．H．editions before Portus． тоítov хøpiov Portus and subsequent editors before Brunck．
 fore Brunck；and Bekker and Bergk afterwards．And that the Scholiast so read is plain from his explanation \begin{tabular}{c} 
\\
\hline
\end{tabular} далиóцеує．But Voss pointed out that
 And Bentley added that Photius has
入ıббáve is introduced into the text by Brunck and all subsequent editors save as aforesaid，and save that Dindorf has גvoráve in his text，though in his notes he prefers \(\lambda\) дaбáve．Of course if Hesychius and Photius are referring to the present line，which is uncertain， they must have had \(\lambda \iota \sigma \sigma\) áve in their copies；but even so the evidence in favour of \(\lambda v \sigma \sigma a ́ v \epsilon\) preponderates． And it seems to me more probable that the Laconian，vexed at the grasping character of the Athenian＇s demand which he considers to include＂every－ thing，＂should have addressed him as \(\bar{\omega}\)


1172．\(\pi є р і\) тоìv бкє入oì Bentley， Meineke，Holden．\(\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\lambda} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda o i ̀ \nu\) R．H． vulgo．Van Leeuwen says＂melius，ni fallor，abest articulus ：cf．Av．1639．＂ Will it be believed that the line to which the learned Professor refers is \(\bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s \pi \epsilon \bar{\lambda}\)
\(\gamma u v a c k o ̀ s ~ \mu i a ̂ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu\) ；where the article was impossible ？

1174．\(\gamma a \pi \rho \dot{\text { Bisetus，Scaliger，and all }}\) subsequent editions before Brunck ；and Dindorf，Weise，and Meineke afterwards． \(\gamma \underset{̣}{a} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a\) R．H．editions before Scaliger． रa \(\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau a\)（with \(\nu a \grave{\imath} \sigma \iota \grave{\omega})\) Brunck， Invernizzi，Bothe ；and（with עai \(\tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \iota \grave{\omega}\) ） Bekker and Hall and Geldart．\(\gamma a \lambda \hat{\omega}\) Reisig，Enger，Holden，Blaydes．\(\gamma^{a}\) \(\pi \rho о ́ к а\) Bergk．Elmsley suggested у \(_{\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma}} \boldsymbol{\tau}\) （for \(\gamma \epsilon \pi \rho \dot{s}\) ），which Van Leeuwen reads． To Enger \(\pi p \omega\) appeared a＂satis inepta scriptura，＂but he had not considered the under current which runs through these speeches．The meaning resembles that of rovs ő \(\rho \theta\) pous supra 966 ．

1188．ஹs rá \(\chi \iota \sigma \tau a ́ \gamma \epsilon\) R．H．vulgo．ís тáxıテт’ ä \(\gamma \epsilon\) Beer，Bergk，Meineke， Holden，and Hall and Geldart．But the alteration seems to impart heaviness to a line which ought to be as light as possible．

1190．є̇бтi цoı Elmsley，Bothe，Dindorf， recentiores．＂̈́ \(\sigma \tau \nu \epsilon \in \mu о \iota\) R．H．editions（save as aforesaid）before Dindorf．＇\(\sigma \tau \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon \circ \grave{ }\) Bentley．Elmsley＇s restorations of the Ode will be found in the first volume of the Museum Criticum．

1192．тâ \(\sigma \iota ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi є \iota \nu\) Bentley，Elmsley， Brunck，Bothe，Dindorf，recentiores． \(\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi є \iota \nu\) R．H．the other editions before Dindorf．

1194．ка⿱亠巾фор \(\hat{\eta}\) Bergler（in notes）， Brunck，recentiores．кад \(\eta\) орєє R．H．edi－ tions before Brunck．

1199．f́únous R．H．vulgo．tútous Zanetti，Farreus，Scaliger，Faber．

1200．\(\chi\) är \(\tau^{\prime}\) à \(\nu\) ย̇ \(\downarrow \delta \delta \nu\) Elmsley，Bothe， Dindorf，recentiores．\(\chi^{\prime} a ̈ \tau \tau \tau^{\prime} \notin \nu \delta o \nu\) R．\(\quad \chi^{\prime}\) ä́ \({ }^{\prime} \not{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \delta o \nu \mathrm{H}\) ．the other editions before Dindorf．кạ̉ \(\theta^{\prime}\) ă \(\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \nu \delta o \nu\) Bentley．

1207．\(\delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}\) ä \(\rho \tau о s\) R．H．vulgo．Hermann proposed to read ròv \(\delta^{\prime}\) äprov．．．veavià． Apparently he took \(\pi v p i \delta i a \quad \lambda \in \pi \tau a ̀\) to be accusatives after \(\lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu\) ，but they are really nominatives to be construed with ধ̈бтı．Van Leeuwen reads ó \(\delta^{\prime}\) oủv äpros ＂metri causa＂he says．But the inser－ tion of oủ seems destructive of the metre．

1211．бákous R．H．all editions before Meineke．бáккоия Bentley，Meineke， recentiores．

1212．oúpòs aủroís Bentley，Elmsley， Bothe，Dindorf，recentiores．aủroîs oúpòs R．H．all other editions before Dindorf． 1216．\(\pi a \rho a \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu\) où \(\theta\) Á \(\lambda \epsilon \iota s\) Scaliger （in notes），Bentley，Dindorf，Meineke， Holden，and Hall and Geldart．ov̉
 suggested both the reading in the text， and，as an alternative，\(\sigma i\) ．\(\pi a \rho a \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu\) \(\theta \epsilon \in \lambda_{\epsilon i s}\) ，and the latter is adopted by Bothe， Enger，Bergk，and Blaydes；while Van Leeuwen reads \(\sigma \dot{v}, \pi a \rho a \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu\) є̈ \(\delta \epsilon \iota\) ．

1218．фортıкòv тò \(\chi \omega \rho i o v\) R．H．vulgo． Blaydes（amongst many other alterna－ tives）suggested фортıкоì тоıхшри́Хоぃ and фортıкò̀ тò \(\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu\) ’ ä \({ }^{\prime}\) аи．He introduces the former into the text，but says in his notes that he prefers the latter which （with the change of \(\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime}\) into \(\pi \rho \hat{\imath} \gamma \mu^{\prime}\) ）is brought into the text by Van Leeuwen．

1220．ХарібабӨaє \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau a \lambda a \iota \pi \omega \rho r_{i} \sigma о \mu \in \nu\) Bentley，Meineke，Holden，Blaydes，and Hall and Geldart．And so Enger，except that he changes the final syllable into \(-\mu a t\) ．R．and H．read \(\chi a \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho о \sigma-\) \(\tau a \lambda a \iota \pi \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma a \iota \mu \epsilon \nu\) ，and so all editions before Scaliger，who changed－\(\sigma a \mu \in \nu\) into \(-\sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu\) ，in which he is followed by Faber and Kuster．Brunck got over the metri－ cal difficulty by reading \(\tau а \lambda u \pi \omega \rho \eta \dot{\sigma о \mu \in \nu, ~}\)
which is followed by all subsequent editors down to and including Dindorf， and by Bergk．Blaydes suggested \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma\)－ \(\tau a \lambda a \iota \pi \omega \rho \eta \tau \in ́ \rho \nu\) which Van Leeuwenadopts．

1222．к ккv́ \(\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon\) R．H．Bentley， Brunck，recentiores．кшки́ \(\sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) editions before Brunck．

1228．óтù 足фоעтєs Bentley，Brunck， recentiores．öт \(\nu \dot{\eta} \phi o \nu \tau \epsilon s\) R．H．editions before Brunck．
 \(\mu \epsilon\)＇ोos Blaydes．With the following line I． \(\mathrm{P}^{1}, \mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．and \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．recommence．

1242．Пov入vХарío \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ． \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．The readings here are precisely the same as in 1098 supra，to which the reader is referred．

1243．кảєíซ Suidas（s．v．סıтоסía）， Bentley，Kuster，recentiores，except Van Leeuwen．ка̉兀ə R ．H．editions before Kuster．кai кıข\(\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega\) I． \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ． кả́íw Van Leeuwen．Blaydes changes \(\kappa a \lambda o ̀ v\)（MSS．vulgo）into \(\mu\) é \(\lambda_{o s}\) ．

1244．к \(\eta\) s \(\dot{\eta} \mu a \hat{s}\) Brunck and all sub－ sequent editors before Meineke，except that Bothe in his second edition writes ä \(\mu \mu \in s \gamma^{\prime}\) for \(\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s\) ．кaì \(\eta \mu a ̄ s \mathrm{R}\) ．H．editions before Brunck．кai és ìmâs \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ． Hall and Geldart．кảs ì \(\mu a ̄ s\) Bentley． Bergk，while retaining the reading in my text，unfortunately suggested \(\kappa \eta \eta^{\prime} s\) ＂̈ \(\mu\) ’（i．e．\(\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon}) ~ \dot{d} \sigma \mu\)＇，a suggestion which nobody has adopted，but which opened the floodgates of conjecture．Meineke reads \(\chi^{\prime \prime} \mu\)＇＂tєє \(\mu^{\prime}\) ，which is as different as possible from the MS．reading，but is followed by Holden and Van Leeuwen， whilst Blaydes reads \(\chi^{\mu \prime \mu} \mu^{\prime}\) av่ \(\grave{\omega}\) s，and in his notes proposes to close the line with a wholly unauthorized \(\mu\) é \(\lambda\) os as he had already done in lines 1236 and 1243.

1246．í \(\mu \bar{s}\) ópผ̄̀ Bentley，Brunck，re－
centiores．ópêv \(i \mu a ̂ s ~ R . ~ H . ~ e d i t i o n s ~\) before Brunck，except that several of the older editions－Zanetti，Farreus， Gelenius，and Rapheleng－have \(\dot{\eta} \mu a ̄ s\) for \(\dot{v} \mu \hat{a} s . \quad \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\) is omitted by \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．

1247．ӧр \(\mu\) коу к．т．入．This song is rightly attributed to Лákwy（who had promised，four lines above，to sing it） by R．and apparently all the other MSS．， except \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．（who assigns it to \(\Lambda a \mu \pi \tau \grave{\omega}\) ）， and by all editors before Brunck，and Enger and Van Leeuwen afterwards． Brunck changed ムáкшу to Прє́ \(\sigma \beta v s\) ，as indeed he had done with the preceding speeches of \(\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \nu\) ．This was a change for the worse，for there were Athenian as well as Laconian \(\pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon\) on the stage．It was however followed by subsequent edi－ tors before Dindorf who，without a word， changed it to Xopòs \(\Lambda a \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu \omega \nu\) ．There was， and could be，no such chorus；see the Commentary on 1243．Dindorf＇s error was，however，followed by all subse－ quent editors，except as aforesaid．

1248．т̀̀s кvрәavíws MSS．vulgo．The Scholia here are very conflicting，and while most of them recognize the ac－ cusative plural，one of them gives the sentence in Attic Greek as ö \(\rho \mu \eta \sigma o \nu,{ }_{\omega}{ }^{\circ}\)
 gler therefore thought that we should perhaps read \(\tau \hat{\varphi}\) кupoaví, meaning＂to the piper，＂and so Van Leeuwen reads． Meineke reads rois кupoavioıs，and is fol－ lowed by Holden，and by Blaydes in his text，though in his note he approved of
 vulgo．©̄ Mขaцобv́va I．P1．P²．F¹．Kuster， Bergler，Brunck，and Weise．ڤ̂ M va Enger，Blaydes，and Hall and Geldart．

1249．\(\tau \grave{a} \nu \tau \epsilon a ̀ \nu\) R．H．vulgo．тáv \(\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \mu a ̀ v\) \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．P2．F \({ }^{1}\) ．Brunck，Bothe，Dindorf（in
notes），Bergk，and Hall and Geldart． тà̀ épá̀ \(\tau \in\) Enger．

1250．oî \(\delta \in \nu\) MSS．vulgo．\({ }^{3} \delta \in \nu\) was conjectured by Florent Chretien，and cioiev by Meineke．There is some sense in Florent Chretien＇s conjecture，but Meineke should have remembered that the province of Memory is not to see the present but to know the past．

1252．Өєíkeনoo MSS．Scholiast，vulgo．
 Holden，Blaydes，and Hall and Geldart． \(\sigma \iota \epsilon i \kappa \epsilon \lambda o c\) Van Leeuwen．In this song，as everywhere else，Commentators vie with each other in transforming the lightly． flavoured language of Aristophanes into the strictest Doric，and so rendering it less easily comprehensible by an Athe－ nian audience．It does not seem de－ sirable，as a rule，to mention these ill－judged attempts．

1259．àфрòs \(\ddot{\epsilon \epsilon \tau o}\) MSS．vulgo．The repetition of ádpòs seems to me very pleasant and poetical ；but it has not found favour with all editors，and some have omitted，and others bracketed，the word in this line．Bergk proposed to read iofocs and Meineke \(\lambda i ́ \theta p o s\) in its place．
1261．тâs 廿áp áas（variously accented） MSS．vulgo．тâs \(\psi a ́ \mu \mu \omega\) Elmsley，Blaydes． But \(\psi \dot{a} \mu \mu \eta\) is found in Herodotus，and may have been the form in use with the Dorians．
 vulgo．But several recent editors read ＂А \(\rho\) га \(\mu\) and some omit＂ \(\mathrm{A} \tau \tau \epsilon \mu\) altogether． For єüтopos（MSS．vulgo）a little below Blaydes reads \(\tilde{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \delta \delta o s\) ．єü \(\pi\) opos seems to mean abundant．
1274．тácòє \(\tau \epsilon\) R．H．vulgo；though some have tàs \(\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon\) ．\(\tau a \sigma \delta \epsilon \epsilon \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{I}} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．
\(\tau и \sigma \delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta}\) Dindorf，Bergk，Meineke，Holden， Blaydes，and Van Leeuwen．
1279．\(\pi\) ро́⿱㇒日勺ayє．The Ravenna MS． gives no sign of a new speaker here，nor do any of the earlier editions；but the lines are obviously sung by the regular chorus of the Play，and the later editors prefix Xo．or（unnecessarily）Xo．A \(\theta\) ．And so it is said do \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ．and \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．As regards the metre，to say，as the Commentators do，that the first line is iambic，the second trochaic，and so on，seems to me to be idle talk．It is really a series of trisyllabic feet，dactyls and tribrachs， indiscriminately strung together；as in the great word of many lines at the close of the Ecclesiazusae，and should perhaps be looked upon rather as dance－ music than as a regular poem．I have inserted \(\delta \dot{\eta}\) between \(\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \gamma \epsilon\) and \(\chi\) дор́v． It is not found in the MSS．and edi－ tions．一є̈тaүє Xápıтas MSS．vulgo．ётaүє סè \(\chi\) ápıras Enger，Blaydes，Hall and Geldart，and Van Leeuwen．ėmáyarє
 Holden．

1281．àyéxopoy（or aiy．）Gelenius， Bergler（in notes），Brunck，recentiores， except as hereinafter mentioned．ä \(\gamma \epsilon\) xopà̀ R．H．editions（except Gclenius） before Brunck．ä \(\gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon\) хорò \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\) ． a \(\gamma \in \sigma\) ixopod Bergk，Holden．The word is omitted by Enger，and bracketed by Blaydes，and seems to be a mere echo of the \(\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma-a \gamma \epsilon\) Xopò \(\boldsymbol{\nu}\) two lines above． It encumbers the metre and has no business here．I have transposed infov and єथँ \(\quad \rho \frac{v^{\prime}}{}\) ，as indeed Van Leeuwen had done before．
1283．Bákxıos Burges，Dindorf，Wcise， Bergk，Holden，Hall and Geldart，and Van Leeuwen．Báкхєוos R．H．editions
before Dindorf．ßakxtios \(\mathrm{P}^{1}\) ． \(\mathrm{P}^{2}\) ．及aк－ \(\chi^{i}\) ous \(\mathrm{F}^{1}\) ．Bergk in the Rhenish Museum proposed to read \(\beta\) aкर \(七 \hat{\text { u }}\) ，changing ő \(\mu \mu a \sigma \iota\) into єv̇á \(\iota \iota\) and omitting סaierat； and this is done by Enger，and by Holden in his first edition，but Bergk did not repeat his proposal in his own edition，and Holden in his second re－ turned to the ordinary reading．Meineke
 and Blaydes，omitting Báкхıos，changes \({ }^{\text {ö }} \mu \mu а \sigma \iota\) into \(\lambda a \mu \pi a ́ d \iota . ~\)
 epithet exactly suited to the arrange－ ment，which was not merely Peace，but Peace with Honour．In Birds 1321 the Birds speak of àzavó申povos＇H \(\sigma u \chi^{i} a s\) ，an epithet exactly suited to the Birl－song， but which would be simply ummeaning here．Yet Reisig，I know not why， suggestel that \(\mu\) күa入óфpovos should be ousted from the present passage，and
 this proposal is approved by Dindorf in his notes，and adopted by Enger，Holden， Meineke，and all subsequent editors．

1295．аАк \(\Omega\) N．\(\pi \rho\) ó申alve к．т．ג．In the MSS．，and generally in the editions， this line runs as follows，
є̀兀i ขє́a עéaข，
as if the whole were part of a speech； and as there is no sign prefixed to in－ dicate a new speaker，the worls were supposed to be a continuation of the preceding lines，and to be spoken by the Coryphacus to the Laconian who had sung the former solo．émì déa is ex－ plained by the Scholiast to mean \(\epsilon \pi i\) гє́тєєра тра́үната．But Bergler saw that we should read \(\bar{\epsilon} \pi i ̀ \nu \epsilon \in!\)（sc．\(\mu\) oúrg），and
his correction is adopted by Brunck and all subsequent editors except Inver－ nizzi．Bothe wrote it in one line，and so Bergk，Meineke，and Hall and Gel－ dart，Bergk bracketing，and Hall and Geldart omitting the word 几áккข． Bergk also ascribed the line to Lysis－ trata，and in this he is followed by all subsequent editors except Van Leeuwen， who ascribes the line to the First Athenian and converts it into a rhym－ ing couplet，
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \nu \in ́ a v, \Lambda \alpha ́ к \omega \nu, \pi \rho o ́ \phi а \iota \nu \epsilon \delta \grave{~ \grave{~}}
\end{aligned}
\]

He could not have made this charming little rhyme out of Blaydes＇s text，for the latter，without the slightest provo－ cation，changes \(\delta \grave{\eta}\) into кai．Holden


1297．Taîyєтоע к．т．ג．The omission of the prefix to line 1295 necessitated the invention of some prefix for the present line；and somebody prefixed Xo．Aak．which is found in all the MSS． and editions except Van Leeuwen who has \(\triangle A K \Omega N\) ，which would be quite right if any prefix were required．

1298．M \(\omega\) a \(\mu\) ó̀є MSS．vulgo．The last words of the line，Аа́кпида \(\pi \rho \epsilon \pi\)－ ròv duiv，tally with the last words of the
 forts have been made to bring the commencements into equal correspon－ dence．Hermann proposed M \(\bar{\omega} a, \mu \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon\) ， \(\mu \dot{o} \lambda \epsilon, a\) rather ludicrous suggestion which Dindorf approved and Van Leeuwen adopts．Reisig，with greater proba－ bility， \(\begin{gathered}\mathrm{B} \\ \mathrm{M} \omega \\ a \\ \mu \\ \mu \\ \lambda \\ \epsilon\end{gathered}\) ，which Enger adopts． Burges，M \(\omega\) a \(\mu\) ó \(\lambda^{\prime} i \grave{\omega}\) ．The metre is too uncertain to justify any correction； otherwise I should have proposed the
omission of aữ' in the preceding line. For \(\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \tau \grave{\nu} \nu\) (MSS. vulgo) Maittaire sug. gested \(\pi \rho a ̂ \tau o{ }^{\prime}\).
 vulgo. тò̀ 'A \(\mu \dot{v} \kappa \lambda a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \sigma \iota \grave{\partial} \nu ~ ' А \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega ~\) Hermann. But Valckenaer's suggestion that 'A \(\pi\) ó \(\lambda \lambda \omega\) should be omitted, as a mere explanatory gloss on т̀̀ 'А \(А \boldsymbol{\nu} \kappa \lambda a t s\) oco \(\nu\) which had somehow crept into the text, seems clearly right; and the word has accordingly been omitted or bracketed by Brunck and every subsequent editor except Invernizzi and Bothe.
1300. 'Agával MSS. vulgo. The Scholiast says \(\delta \iota \chi \hat{\omega} s \not{ }^{\prime} \nu a \sigma \sigma a \nu \quad\) ' \(A \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu\). This is interpreted to mean that there was another reading ä \(\nu a \sigma \sigma a \nu\), which was a common epithet of Athene; see Eumenides 226, 278, 421, 852. And ävaббav is read by Enger, Meineke, Holden, and Van Leeuwen.
1304. \({ }^{\circ} \iota a\) (or \(\omega \hat{\iota} \alpha\) or \(\omega\) ía) R. H. editions before Kuster ; and Invernizzi, Bothe, Bekker, and (in his text) Dindorf after-
 Kuster, recentiores, except as aforesaid, and so Dindorf in his notes. It is
strange that Blaydes, who had collated R., should say " \(\omega\) etá (sic) R.," for nothing can be more plain than R.'s wia.
1313. \(\pi u \delta \delta \delta \omega \hat{a} \nu\). This was first suggested by Kuster, and was afterwards found in \(\mathrm{P}^{1} . \mathrm{P}^{2} . \mathrm{F}^{1}\). It is adopted by Brunck, Bothe, Bekker, Weise, Hall and Geldart, and Van Leeuwen. \(\pi a \delta \delta \delta o a \nu\) R. H. editions before Portus. \(\pi a \delta \delta \hat{\omega} a \nu\) Portus and subsequent editions before Brunck. Reisig proposed \(\pi a \iota o ̂ \delta o a ̂ \nu\) which Dindorf read in his text, but in his note preferred \(\pi a \delta o a ̂ \nu . ~ \pi a \delta \omega a ̂ \nu ~ E n g e r, ~ H o l-~\) den, Bergk, Meineke, Blaydes.
1314. Aク́סas MSS. vulgo. \atoûs (or Aarôs), suggested by Bisetus and Bergler, is read by Bothe and Blaydes.
1316. \(\dot{a} \lambda \lambda\) ’ \({ }^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon\). Here we get a more settled metre, the following six lines being alternately iambic and trochaic. Each couplet combined into one line forms an iambic tetrameter catalectic; and several recent editors so combine them. But such a combination, besides being against the evidence of all the MSS., forms a very unnatural conclusion to a song of this kind.
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\section*{PREFACE}

When a scholar asks for some indulgence towards his translation of the Thesmophoriazusae on the ground that it was written from memory, when he had "no copy of Aristophanes at hand", he must obtain from his fellow scholars a great deal more than indulgence. It is not merely the effort of memory that is impressive. Most scholars could learn a play or two if they liked, though I never heard of any one else who knew the Thesmophoriazusae. It is the whole picture. One likes to think of the distinguished lawyer who, having a little spare time, turns his mind to Aristophanes, and, though he has "no copy at hand", thinks out from memory this happy and loving homage to the ancient artist and playwright and master of laughter. And when we read the preface a little further, we come on another illuminating fact. When Dr. Rogers got his copy of Aristophanes and compared it with what he had written, he found a number of "inversions and omissions and even contradictions". And you naturally suppose he corrected them? Not a bit of it. He was an artist fully as much as a scholar, and having finished his picture to his liking there he left it, whether it happened to agree with the original or not. A fool might do that, with disastrous results; but when a man who is the reverse of a fool has the courage to do it, one expects, and rightly expects, something more than commonly good.

What is one to call this quality of scholarship? It is old fashioned, and it is very English. It belongs to an age when scholarship was not a highly-specialized form of research, but a spirit and a way of life; an age when a scholar was not methorlisch or wissenschaftlich or "up to date", did not use card-indexes or tabulate results, but simply steeped himself
in Greek literature till it became a sort of instinct to him, a life-companion and a permanent joy.

The old English method had its flaws. It failed in realism. It was too much dominated by words, and consequently lacked understanding. There are passages where even Dr. Rogers lapses. Socrates in the Clouts could never have professed to turn a man into "the flower of talkers, prattlers, gossips"; smooth-speakers, logic-ehoppers, and straw-splitters were more in his line. But after all it was scholarship with a soul. Its leisurely notes are always written with a live personal interest ; it is never perfunctory; and, among other things, it has produced two famous translators of Aristophanes.

Rogers is of the school of Frere, though a far better scholar and artist than Frere. It is partly the natural difference between 1817 and 1894. Frere was vigorous, racy, impatient; he was influenced by the political satire of the Anti-Jacobin, and apt to run wild in repetitions and verbosities of rhyme, in the style of the lngoldsby Legends. Rogers bad much of the same vigour and raciness; but he was scholarly and patient and not verbose. He tried more carefully to understand what Aristophanes had to say, and consequently translated him much better.

Of course, ultimately, as all translators find, a perfect translation is an impossible task, though perhaps not more so than perfection in any other artistic effort. But in translating Aristophanes the difficulties, as well as the attractions, are peculiar. For one thing there are the topical allusions, which can only be enjoyed by those who know the history of the time. Then there is the indecency. A good deal of euphemism and reticence is forced on the translator, with the result that, to my feeling at least, the translations of Aristophanes are apt to be a good deal more objectionable than Aristophanes himself. He wrote in a convention in which any part or function of the body might be mentioned with perfect freedom; whereas the translator, unless he is very skilful, seems to be always wanting to mention something which is not allowed.

Then, more important, there is the mistake of thinking that because Aristophanes often uses language which could now be only nsed with
decorum by-what shall we say?-by a man in roaring spirits and slightly tinged by intoxication, therefore he wrote in a rough blusterous roystering style. The truth is that his style is exquisite ; though rapid, it is delicate and exact, and saturated with love of literature. And, again, there is the difficulty of comprehending not merely the fundamental seriousness of the Aristophanic criticism of life; that ean be easily grasped; but the amazing mixture in it of frivolity, joyousness, scurrility, reckless licence, with lyrical beauty and even with yearning tenderness. The sheer beanty of the Birrls is greater even than its wit; the passionate longing for peace and forgiveness in the Lysistrata almost makes one forget the farce. And what tenderness there is in the description in the Knights of the old writers of comedy who outlive their vogue and fall on evil days !

To be large minded, to be brave and generous, and to have a power of seeing facts; those three gifts will take a man a long way in life or in letters, and all three belonged to Aristophanes. His championship of the subject-Allies against Cleon, and his dauntless crusade for peace in a war-fevered city, were actions which involved him in great danger and some serious suffering. They should not be obscured in our minds by the shock of other more superficial qualities. And, above all, we must not think we can understand Aristophanes by giving him a modern label, and calling him a Tory, or a Philistine, or a "pro-German", or even a "journalist".

Of Dr. Rogers's translations it can fairly be said that, if he did not succeed in the impossible task of reproducing all the varied gifts of his original, if he sometimes has missed the last modern discovery about the meaning of the Thesmophoria or the exact positions of Athenian parties, his translations are the fruits of patient and loving work, of fine scholarship and intimate appreciation, and, above all, they are translations with a soul and a spirit. I for one have found myself laughing aloud while reading them.

Gilbert Murray.
Oxford.

\section*{INTRODUCTION}

In the legends of Demeter and Persephone, their divine personalities are never wholly disentangled from the natural objects and the natural processes upon which those legends were based. Demeter is always \({ }^{1} \gamma \hat{\eta}\) \(\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho\) ( \(\Delta \hat{\alpha} \mu a ́ r \eta \rho\) in the Doric) the fair visible Earth, the mother of the golden grain. Every autumn, at seed-time, she beholds her offspring sinking into the unseen world ; every winter she languishes and mourns; every spring, as the blades of corn reappear, she welcomes back her child to the realms of life and light. In the sorrow of the Goddess, "her of
 the loss of her divinely beautiful daughter ( \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa a \lambda \lambda \eta\rangle s ~ П \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \phi o ́ v \epsilon \iota a\) ), none could fail to recognize the gloom which overspreads the Earth, when the grain has descended for a while into the unseen world (" \({ }^{2} \delta \eta^{2} \eta s\) ), thence \({ }^{2}\) to arise in a joyful resurrection, when all the land is fragrant

1

\section*{\(\Delta \eta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho \quad \theta \in \grave{a}\),}

And again-
\[
\Delta a \mu a ́ \tau \eta \rho \text { өєà, } \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \gamma a ̂ ~ \tau \rho о ф o ́ s .-I d . ~ P h o e n i s s a e, ~ 6 S 5 . ~
\]

Proserpinam frugum semen esse volunt, absconditamque quaeri a matre fingunt. Mater autem est a gerendis frugibus Ceres; a Graecis \(\Delta \eta \mu i \not \tau \eta \rho\), quasi \(\Gamma_{i} \mu \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta \rho\), nominata est.-Cicero, De Naturâ Deorum, ii. 26. A similar statement is attributed in the De Civitate Dei, vii. 20, to Varro, whom St. Angustine apostrophizes in vi. 6 of the same treatise as "homo omnium acutissimus, et sine ullâ dubitatione doctissimus."


```

qàs \deltaè \deltai'\omega \piapà \mu\etaтрí.-Homeric Hymn to Demeter, 44%.

```


```

aûtts ävet.-Id.401.

```
with the pleasant flowers of Spring. It absent, Earth mourns, and \({ }^{1}\) withholds her life-sustaining gifts. To the quick and lively sympathies of the Hellene, the legend of this divine sorrow was shrouded with a solemn and ineffable are, in consequenee of its connection with that unseen world: and no mysteries were so high and sacred as the two great solemnities in which it was commemorated. In the Eleusinia were unfolded the Mysteries of the Four Last Things-Death, Judgement, the Reward of the Good, and the Punishment of the Wicked-mysteries which were naturally open to the queen of the unseen world below. In the Thesmophoria \({ }^{2}\) the Mother and Daughter were worshipped under quite a different aspect, as the Civilizers of the visible world above.

Earth, with her corn and wine and oil, was to the Hellenic mind emphatically a civilizer of men. Her attractions drew them from the nomad state of wandering hunters; they became under her influence settled and agricultural peoples; she taught them the joys of home. To her and her life-sustaining ( \(\phi \epsilon \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \iota \rho\) ) produce was ascribed the institution of social laws \((\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu a)\), the rights of property, the laws of wedlock and the family. They were the \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu o \phi o ́ \rho o \iota^{3}\), the Givers and 1 Ożס́́ \(\tau \iota\) үaĩa


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{2}\) In the Panegyric, § 29, Isocrates speaks of Demeter as the giver of gifts, aim \(\epsilon \rho\)
 (this is the subject of the Thesmophoria), кai \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu\), \(\bar{\eta} s\) oi \(\mu \in \tau a \sigma \chi \chi^{\boldsymbol{i} \nu \tau \epsilon s} \pi \epsilon p i \quad \tau \in \tau \bar{\eta} s\)
 Eveusinia).
\({ }^{3}\) Servius (on Virgil's Aen. iv. 58) explaining the epithet "Legifexa," Virgil's translation of \(\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \Delta \phi\) ópos, as applied to Demeter, says " Leges enim ipsa dicitur invenisse. Nam et sacra eins Thesmophoria, id est legm lutio, vocatur. Sed hoc ideo fingitur, , fuia, ante inventmm frumentum a Cerere, passim homines sine lege vagabantur ; quae feritas interrupta est invento usn frumentorum, postquam ex agrorum discretione nata sunt iura." And later in the same note he cites two lines of Calvus, who says of Demeter-
}

Et leges sanctas docuit, et cara ingavit
Corpora conmubiis, et magnas condidit urles.
Hyginus (Poet. Astr, ii. 14 Ophiuchus) says "Ceres Triptolemum iussit omnium natiommu agros circumemntem semina partiri, quo facihus ipsi posterique eorm a fero victu segregarentur." And hence, tribes intended to continne in the

Guardians of Home. Let us not tell, says Callimachus (Hymn to Demeter, 18), of the things which drew tears from Demeter :

Rather tell how she to Cities
Gave their social customs sweet,
Taught the hind to reap and garner
Ripened sheaves of wholesome whéat,
And the golden grain to sever,
Sever with the oxen's feet.
And it was in this character that the high festival of the Thesmophoria was held in their honour, held at the fall of the year, when the Daughter once more descended into the lower world, to return four months later in all the freshness of immortal youth to greet the Mother again.

In the celebration of these solemn mysteries women alone took part. Men must not \({ }^{1}\) know, or if they knew, must not speak of, the things which took place in these holy solemnities. Herodotus \({ }^{2}\) says that he knows them, but dares not tell. And Miltiades \({ }^{3}\), leaping over the fence of the Thesmophorium at Paros, was seized with religious dread, and not merely feared to venture further, but sprang back with such precipitation that he sustained the injury which resulted in his death.

The Athenians celebrated the Thesmophoria \({ }^{4}\) on four consecutive days towards the end of October; from the 10th to the 13th (inchusive) of the month Pyanepsion. Each of the four days had its special service and its distinctive appellation :
nomad state were forbidden to sow corn, or plant fruit-trees, or drink wine, or build houses. Such was the ease with the Nabathaeans (Diod. Sic. xix. 94) and the Rechabites (Jer. xxxv.).



\({ }^{3}\) Hdt. vi. 134.
\({ }^{4}\) All ancient writers agree that the Athemian Thesmophoria were celebrated in the month of Pyanepsion. The precise days of the month are given by Photius;

 and error, will be found cited a little farther on. Of course we are considering the Athenian Thesmophoria only. At other places, the Thesmophoria were celebrated at different times, and in a different manner.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Pyanepsion & 10th & was the & Өєброфоріи． \\
\hline ， & 11th & ＂ & KáӨodos． \\
\hline ， & 12th & ＂ & N \(\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{ia}\) ． \\
\hline ＂ & 13th & ＂ & Kа入入ıує́vยta． \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
（Note that the day is \(\hat{\eta} \Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о \phi о \rho i ́ a\) ，the feast \(\tau \grave{a}\) \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о \phi o ́ \rho \iota a\) ．And


1．\(\hat{\eta} \Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о ф о р i ́ a\).
On this，the first day of the festival，the women went up to the Temple（ \(\tau \grave{o}\) \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi o \rho^{\rho} เ o v\) ），which was situated on an \({ }^{1}\) eminence，and there made the necessary preparations for the great ceremonial of the next three days．From this＂going up＂to the Temple，the day was some－ times called the＂Azoóos．

Each of these appellations \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \sigma \phi o p i a\) and＂Avoòos has been the source of considerable misapprehension．The Scholiast on Theocritus iv． 25 is so totally ignorant of the very meaning of the word Thesmophoria， viz．the institution by Demeter of the unwritten laws of society，that he supposes it to mean the carrying of written law－books by the Athenian women ；a mere blunder，but one which has misled many．And the ＂Arooos，the Ascent of the Women to the Thesmophorium，which took place on the first day of the festival，is，by a converse process，perpetually confounded with the Ká \(\theta o \delta o s\) ，the Descent of Persephone into the invisible world，which was commemorated on the second day of the festival．Of this latter aberration we have seen two instances in the preceding note， Hesychius placing the＂Avoôos on the 11th of Pyanepsion，which is the day of the Ká⿴oooos；whilst the Aristophanic Scholiast，though rightly observing that the first day of the festival was called the＂Avooos，the

\footnotetext{


 \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi\) óptov．See also Thesm．623，1045．And Hesychins explains＂Avoórs by

 Attica，xir． 1.
}

Iscent of the Women, is not afraid to add, in so many words, that some called it Ká首ooos, that is, the Descent of Persephone.

To the Scholiast on Theocritus, ubi supra, we owe another egregions mistake, though here too he is not without companions in his error. For he supposes that the Temple to which the women "went up" on the first day of the festival was not the Thesmophorium at Athens, but the Temple of Demeter and Persephone at Eleusis. In other words, he confounds the Thesmophoria with the Eleusinia. The Athenian Thesmophoria were celebrated entirely at Athens. The varions Hellenic cities which kept the festival kept it at their own doors. The story told by Aen. Tact. (Poliorc. 4) about the plot of the Megarians to seize the Athenian women who were keeping the Thesmophoria at Eleusis, and the successful counterplot of Peisistratus, refers to the local Eleusinian, and not to the Athenian, Thesmophoria. We have already alluded to the Parian Thesmophorium, and many others are mentioned by various writers. At Thebes the Thesmophoria were celebrated in the citadel (Xenophon, Hellenics, V. ii. 29). Pausanias (Attica, xxxi. 1) speaks of a Thesmophorium at the little sea-side village of Halimus (not far from Peiraeus), where doubtless the Halimusians held their own little Thesmophoria. And he elsewhere (Phocica, xxsiii, ad fin.) mentions a Thesmophorium at Drymaea in Phocis, where, he observes, the Thesmophoria were celebrated every year. He gives no description of the Athenian Temple, but that it was of considerable size may be inferred from the fact that it contained avoaitia, or common messrooms, where the women lived while the festival was going on. \(\tau \rho i a\) 'A \(\theta \dot{\eta} r \cdot \eta \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \sigma \sigma i \tau i a\), says Hesychius (s. v. \(\pi \rho v \tau a r \in i o v\) ), and as one of the three he names the Thesmophorium.

It seems probable that the confusion of the "Avooos with the Kí \(\theta_{0} \dot{\varepsilon}\) os is also made by Alciphron (Epistle iii. 39), but he keeps clear of the mistake about Eleusis. The epistle in question is supposed to be written by a country lad at Athens to his mother at home, and may, with perhaps sufficient accuracy for our present purpose, be translated as follows:-
"In the name of all the Gods and Demons, mother. leave for a while your rocks and country life, and see before you die the splendid sights to be seen at Athens. For what wonderful things, 0 what wonderful things, are you missing, the Haloa, the Apaturia, the Dionysia, and the most holy \({ }^{1}\) festival of the Thesmophoria which is now proceeding. For on the first day the "A \({ }^{2} \delta \delta o s\) took place; and to-day the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a\) is being celebrated amongst the Athenians; and to-morrow they sacrifice tà Kà \(\lambda_{t}\) \(\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \epsilon\). If then you make great haste and arrive here before daybreak, you can join with the Athenian ladies in to-morrow's sacrifice. Do pray come, and don't delay, I adjure you by the safety of my brothers and myself. Heaven forbid that you should depart this life withont having tasted the pleasures of the city."

\section*{II. \(\hat{\eta}\) Ká \(\theta o \delta o s\).}

That on this day the Descent of Persephone into Hades was commemorated is certain; but of the manner in which, and the ritual wherewith, it was commemorated, no information has come down to us. " Women," says Praxagora in the Ecelesiazusae (442), "never blab out their Thesmophorian secrets," a statement which, strange as it may seem, appears unfortunately to have been strictly true. But we may conjecture that the day commenced with dance and song, as of Persephone going forth with her maidens into the soft and fragrant meadow, to \({ }^{2}\) gather the roses, the violets, the hyacinths and the crocuses, and the wondrous daffodil. And perchance if the great Dance-song of the present Play be really (as seems probable) imitated from the actual proceedings in the Thesmophoria, it was sung not on the Day of Mourning, to which it is here of course adapted, but on the morning


 the Thesmophoria, proposes to insert after í \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu\) the words \(\chi \theta \dot{\epsilon} s \delta_{\dot{\epsilon}} \dot{\eta}\) Kádo \(\delta o s\). This would make Alciphron's statement accurate; but it seems to me infinitely more probable that he was inaceurate. Bergler's unfortumate suggestion to
 editors.
\({ }^{2}\) Homeric Hymm, 5-10.
of the Kátooos, before the shadow of her approaching doom had chilled the gaiety of Persephone.

> She stepped upon Sicilian grass,
> Demeter's daughter fresh and fair,
> A child of light, a radiant lass,
> And gamesome as the morning air.
> The datfodils were fair to see,
> They nodded lightly on the lea,
> Persephone! Persephone : -(Jean Iageir,w.)

But the day which commenced so joyously ended in sorrow and gloom. The attendant maidens had lost their Queen of May. The earth had opened, and she had disappeared to become the Queen of Hades. It may be that the propitiator! sacrifice called the \(Z \eta \mu i a^{1}\) was offered on the evening of this day.
III. \(\dot{\eta} \aleph_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \epsilon i a\).

This was the day of Bereavement, typifying the winter of Demeter's discontent, when her divinely beautiful Daughter had disappeared from her sight, and gone she knew not whither. It was, to use the words of Phutarch \({ }^{2}\), the gloomiest day of the Thesmophoria, and the women spent it, sitting on the ground with fasting and mourning, in sympathy with the sorrowing mother. It was more than sympathy, it was here, as apparently thronghout the festival, a representation of the sacred legend. Because the Goddess, with flaming torches \({ }^{3}\) in her hands, sought after her vanished danghter, therefore on this day the air was aglow with the smoke and the glare of the torches. Because the Goddess during her

 ruvaikes ( \(\tau \hat{\eta} \theta \in \hat{\varphi}\) in the singular, because Persephone was absent).-Plutarch,




 Bibl. i. 29. Compare Thesm. 280, 1153.
bereavement would \({ }^{1}\) neither eat nor drink, therefore her worshippers must this day abstain from all manner of food. The severity of their fast became almost proverbial. "What," says a speaker in Athenaeus, vii. 80, "are we keeping the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a\), the Mé \(\sigma \eta\), of the Thesmophoria, that we are fasting like \(\kappa \in \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon i s^{2}\) ?" In the Birds, the Gods, being strictly blockaded, are reduced to the direst extremities, and Prometheus describes them as fasting like the women in the Thesmophoria.

> 'А \(\lambda \lambda\) ' \(\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i\) Өє \(\sigma \mu \circ \phi\) орiots \(\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \mu \epsilon \nu\) \(\ddot{\prime \prime} \nu \epsilon v\) Gvך \(\omega \nu\).-Birds, 1519 .

This day of Fasting represented the period, the \(\tau \rho \iota \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu \mu о i ̂ \rho a \nu\) ë \(\tau \epsilon o s\), during which Persephone was absent in the unseen world ; and from its interposition between the Kídooos or Descent into Hades, and the Kad入ıréveta, the fair new birth of the Resurrection morning, it acquired the name of the M'́ \(\sigma \eta\), the Intermediate Day. We might almost call it the Athenian Easter Eve, for few can fail to recognize in the process which this great festival represented Nature's unconscious symbolism of the Death and Resurrection of the Eternal Son.

Or if this be too bold a comparison, we may at all events remember that from the time of St. Paul the sowing and springing up of the corn has always been the favourite symbol of a Christian's Death and Resurrection. Death, says Prudentius in his Graveside Hymn (Cathemerinon, x .120 ), is but a reparatio ritae :

1
\(\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}\) а́к \(\eta \chi \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\).-Homeric Hymn, 49.
\(\hat{\eta} \sigma \tau 0 .-\mathrm{Id} .200\).

Compare Thesm. 949, 984. The insinuations in lines 630 and elsewhere are of course mere comic jests.
\({ }^{2}\) The кєбтрєìs, on mullet, was called \(p \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\prime}\), apparently from its aversion to
 Athenaeus vii. 78 and 80 . "The grey mullet is the only fish of which I am able to express my belief that it usnally selects for its food nothing that has life." Mr. Coneh's manuscrip accomnt of the Grey Mullet quoted in Yarrell's British Fishes, i. 238.

Sic semina sicca virescuut， Iam mortua，iamque sepulta， Quae reddita cespite ab imo Veteres meditantur aristas．

And indeed the same idea is embalmed in the familiar name＂God＇s Acre＂given to the burial－places of our dead．

Nor was the solemnity of the Ningreia confined to the women fasting in the Temple precincts．All business was suspended，all offices closed for the day．

IV．\(\dot{\eta}\) Ka入入ıү＇́vela．
After seed－time，winter；after winter，the up－springing of the corn． Persephone is returning to the light of day．The season of sorrow and fasting is over ；it is time to sacrifice tà Kad入ıývécta，to rejoice and be glad in the fair new birth．The name Kaj \(\lambda \iota \gamma \in \mathcal{L} \in \epsilon a\) attached itself both to Demeter and to Persephone，the divinely \({ }^{-1}\) beautiful Mother and the divinely beautiful Daughter ；although it afterwards，by the common process of disintegration，became severed from the Goddesses，and personified as one of the handmaidens．

Possibly the invocation in lines 1148－1159 of this Play is taken from the hymn sung at the sacrifice of the Ka入入ı＇є́vєla，since Persephone，who was absent during the Niqreia，is supposed in these lines to be present as well as her Mother．

Such was the cyele of erents commemorated，and in part represented， at the Thesmophorian festival．And we shall now，I think，find no difficulty in solving the problem propounded by Hesyehius（s．v．тpítn



\({ }^{2}\) See also the Scholiast＇s observations on Thesm．S0 Toìto т \(\omega \bar{\nu} \zeta \eta \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu\) éoti，




 －THES．
not the middle day of the festival in the sense of having an equal number of days before and after it ; but the Intermediate Day, the Day
 fair new birth of Persephone.

The earlier scholars had a short and easy way of dealing with the difficulty ."Aristophanes says that the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a\) is the third and also the middle day of the Festival. Therefore the Festival lasted five days." Such was the view of Meursius, Wesseling, and others, and it is repeated by Brunck \({ }^{1}\) with his usual clearness and confidence. Yet nothing is more certain than that the festival lasted four days only. And in truth so far is Aristophanes from implying that it was a five-days' festival, that he really implies the reverse. For if it really lasted for five days, is it conceivable that, after stating that this was the Third Day, he should have thought it necessary to go through an arithmetical calculation, and announce that the Third was also the Mildle Day of the Five? He added the description \(\dot{\eta}\) M \(\epsilon \sigma \eta\), because it conveyed an idea not involved in the statement that it was the Third Day: because it was a name and not a statement of its position amongst the days of the Thesmophoria generally. It is just as if he had said "This is the third Day, the


\footnotetext{






 the absurd notion about Halimus, but he does not see that his last seven words, though erroneous in themselves, contain the germ of the true solution of the problem. He is hampered by his confusion of the "Avo \(\delta\) os with the Ká \(\theta o \delta o s\), which gave three days only to the festival, so that, as he says, the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a\) might be the \(\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma\), but could not be the third day. His difficulty was with the \(\tau \rho i \tau \eta\), not with the \(\mu \epsilon \sigma \eta\).
 dictum. Dies, quo hace agi fingit poeta, Thesmophoriorum est tertius, idemque' medius; ergo per sfuinque dies Thesmophoria celebrabantur."-Brunck.
}

Wellauer, by combining several errors, struck out a more ingenious solution. We have already noticed the blunder of the Scholiast on Theocritus about the celebration of the festival at Eleusis. Plutarch, in the passage to which reference has already been made (Dem. 30), says that Demosthenes committed suicide at Calauria on the 16th of Pyanepsion, the day on which the women keep the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a\). If this is not a mere oversight on the part of the author or his transcribers, Plutarch is doubtless referring to the date on which the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a\) was kept at Calauria, or possibly in his own Chaeronaea. Wellauer, though he did not himself confuse the "Avoòos and the Kádoòos, yet adopts the erroneous statement of Hesychius (based on that confusion) that the "Avooos took place on the llth of Pyanepsion. He next, misled by, and to some extent mistaking, the Scholiast on Theocritus, supposes that the women on that day "went up" to the Temple at Elcusis, returning to celebrate the rest of the Festival at Athens. He then, misled by Plutarch, imagines that the Athenians celebrated the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon_{i} a\) on the 16th, and consequently the Ká \(\theta_{o \delta o s ~ o n ~ t h e ~ l o ̌ t h, ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ K a \lambda \lambda \iota \gamma \epsilon v \in \iota a ~}^{\text {a }}\) on the 17 th. There would thus be an interval of three entire days between the "Avooos and the Ká \(\theta o \delta o s\). Having by these means persuaded himself that the festival, though in reality lasting four days, yet was celebrated in Athens itself for three days only, he proceeds to solve the problem which Hesychius places before us by adopting the idea, justly repudiated by Callimachus and the Scholiast on Thesm. 80, that when Aristophanes calls the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a\) the Third Day of the Festival, he is reckoning the."Avooos as the first day: and that when in the same line he calls it the M'́ \(\sigma \eta\), he is omitting the "Avoòos. But in truth the women went up to the Temple on the 10th to make preparations for the great religious drama which was to be enacted there on the 11th, 12th, and 13th. It is absolutely certain that the entire festival was celebrated at Athens on four consecutive days. The whole difficulty has arisen from the assumption that M'́ \(\sigma \eta\) means the middle day of the festival, instead of the Intermediate Day between the sorrow of the Ká \(\theta_{0} \delta o s\) and the joy of the \(K a \lambda \lambda \iota \gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \tau a\).

The other solutions which have been offered have met with no acceptance, and it would be a mere waste of time to discuss them here.

It was on this Day of the Festival, the Intermediate Day, the Day of Fasting, "when women most have leisure \({ }^{1}\)," that the women had arranged to hold a great Assembly in the Thesmophorium, after the fashion of an Athenian \(\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma_{i}^{\prime} a\), to determine on the punishment to be inflicted on Euripides for his persistent hostility to the female sex. It is true that many \({ }^{2}\) eminent scholars have of late years questioned the existence of this hostility, and pointed to the characters of Alcestis, Polyxena, and others, as evidence that Euripides was not incapable of appreciating, or unwilling to embody in his poetie creations, the highest and noblest types of womanhood. And doubtless in a great dramatic poet, looking at life from every point of view, and speaking through the lips of every variety of character, it is impossible to find an absolute uniformity of sentiment upon any topic whatever. Nevertheless, it remains the fact that Euripides, in marked contrast to the other members of the great Tragie triumvirate, was in the habit of exhibiting women a prey to the most ungovernable and most ignoble passions; and that beyond any other writer of any period (and I am not unconseious of the undereurrent of antipathy towards women which had run through Hellenic poetry from the very earliest times) he loved to embalm in a pithy and proverbial form a sentiment of dislike and contempt for the female character generally. To collect the various passages of this deseription which are found in his Plays would be wearisome to the reader, and distasteful to myself. I will merely mention one circumstance to which attention has not, I think, been hitherto directed.

1
\(\mathbf{T} \eta\) M \(\mathbf{M}_{\epsilon} \sigma \eta\)
\(\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \Theta \in \sigma \mu \circ ф \circ \rho i \omega \nu, \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \dot{\lambda} \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \quad \sigma \chi o \lambda \dot{\eta} .-T h e s m .375\).
\({ }^{2}\) Such as the illustrious anthor of the "Christian Year" (Keble's Praelect. Acad. xxix.), C. () Müller (Hist. Greek Literature, chap. xxv.), Mahaffy (Social Life in Greece, chap. vii.), Arthur S. Way (Preface to rol. ii. of The Tragedies of Euripides in English Verse), and many others.

Stobaens was in the habit of collecting, and arranging under various heads, the most striking and pointed passages of the ancient classical writers. One of these collections (Anthology, Title 73) bears the ominous title of \(\Psi\) óyos \(\gamma v v a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}\), Passages in ceusure of women. Stobaens was a man of wide and various reading. His Anthology (not to mention his other works) contains citations from about 350 authors; and yet, out of sixty-four passages collected under this particular heading, no fewer than thirty-five (if not thirty-six) are contributed by Enripides alone; Sophocles supplies two ; Aeschylus not one; and most of the remaining twenty-seven (or twenty-six) are taken from professedly satirical or comic writers.

Whatever therefore may have been the poet's real attitude towards the women, they certainly had some excuse for considering him the inveterate traducer and enemy of their sex.

At the commencement of the Play, Euripides, in. alarm at this formidable movement against him, is seen walking with a companion towards the honse of the Tragic Poet Agathon. The companion is one Mnesilochus, who, throughout the Play, is vaguely described as his \(\kappa \eta \delta \delta \sigma \tau \eta\rangle\), or connection by marriage. In the Greek Life of Euripides (written by Manuel Moschopoulos, and first published by Elmsley in his edition of the Bacchae, A. D. 1821) it is stated that Choerile, the Poet's second wife, was the daughter of a Mnesilochus; and that her three sons were named respectively, Mnesilochus (after his maternal grandfather), Mnesarchides (after his paternal grandfather), and a younger Euripides. And it has, since that time, been generally assumed that the Mnesilochus of the Play was the father-in-law of Euripides. But the relation of the two characters towards each other, and the tone of their conversation, are searcely those of a father-in-law and son-in-law ; Euripides was at this time an old man of seventy, and it is hardly probable that his father-in-law was alive; it seems extremely unlikely that Aristophanes, with such convenient words as \(\pi \in \imath \theta \epsilon \rho\) òs and \(\gamma a \mu \beta \rho o ̀ s ~ r e a d y ~ t o ~ h i s ~ h a n d, ~ s h o u l d ~\) so persistently have employed the indefinite word \(\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta\rangle s, \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \prime s \tau \iota s\), had he really intended to identify his character with the father-in-law of

Euripides ; the name was doubtless a common one amongst the relatives of Choerile: and in my opinion Aristophanes was either referring to a brother or cousin of Choerile ; or (more probably) merely borrowing a name from her family, without meaning to identify the character with any particular individual.

The object of their visit to Agathon was to induce that Poet, whose soft and effeminate appearance and manners might well be mistaken for a woman's, to attend the Thesmophorian assembly in woman's dress, and endeavour to create a diversion in favour of Euripides. This Agathon politely, but firmly, declines to do, parrying the request of the elder bard by the help of various worldly-wise maxims drawn from the latter's own writings ; and Euripides, as usual in these Comedies, rois aữov̂ \(\pi \tau \epsilon \rho 0 i ̂ s ~ \dot{a} \lambda i ́ \tau \kappa \epsilon \tau a l\).

The tone in which Agathon is satirized in these scenes makes us appreciate with more pleasure the kindly tribute which Aristophanes pays him in the Frogs; whilst the manner in which Plato brings Socrates, Aristophanes, and Agathon together in his Symposium seems to show that the shafts of comic satire were not necessarily inconsistent with personal goodwill. It is true that the Symposium is supposed to have taken place before the date of the Thesmophoriazusae, though after the date of the Clouds; but Plato is doubtless intending to record the habitual relations which existed, or might reasonably be represented as existing, between these famous Athenians.

On Agathon's refusal, Mnesilochus offers limself as a substitute, and Agathon is generous enough to place his own wardrobe, well stocked with articles of feminine attire, at the disposal of the two friends. And after a long and fareical scene (supposed to be borrowed in part from a Play of Cratinus), in which Mnesilochus is shaved and singed and dressed in womanly habilments, he is at length despatched on his perilous mission. His interposition in the women's debate does indeed give rise to so much uproar and lumbub, that the Assembly would in all probability have broken up in confusion, but for the sudden appearance of Cleisthenes, a man for more than twenty years the constant butt of
the comic stage for his profligate and degrading effeminacy. Cleisthenes comes, in his character of the women's advocate, to warn them that there is a traitor in their midst ; that Euripides has sent an old rogue, a comnection of his own, \(\kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} v \tau \nu a\), to spy upon and defeat their hostile machinations; that a max is at this moment profaning \({ }^{1}\) by his presence the sacred mysteries of the Thesmophoria. An immediate investigation results in the detection of Mnesilochus, who is arrested, and guarded by the women until the arrival of one of the Scythian archers who formed the City Police at Athens, By the Scythian he is tied to a plank, and ignominiously exposed in his women's clothes to the mockery of the passers by.

Both while the women are guarding him, and afterwards while he is in the custody of the Scythian, various schemes, based on certain incidents in the tragedies of Euripides (the mavô̂pyos, the inventor of ingenious tricks and devices), are set on foot for the purpose of delivering him out of the hands of his captors. These, as too subtle, fail to effect their aim. But at last Enripides wiles away the Seythian by a gross and sensual, and therefore a wholly un-Euripidean, allurement. And the final scene of the Play shows us Euripides and Mnesilochus fleeing for their lives in one direction ; whilst the Scythian, misdirected by the Chorus, who have now come to terms with Euripides, is racing full pelt, to overtake the fugitives, in the precisely opposite direction.

In the rescue-scenes Aristophanes draws specially on three Tragedies of Euripides-the Palamede, the Helen, and the Andromeda. He has a scornful word for the Palamede \({ }^{2}\); but his witty parodies of the Helen and the Andromeda are not intended as a satire on the Plays themselves. The spectacle of Euripides endeavouring to effect the release of Mnesilochus, as the Persens and Menelaus of his own Plays, was

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Like Clodius, afterwards, at the mysteries of the Bona Dea. The presence of Cleisthenes seems to have been considered as no profanation at all. He partook too much of the womanly character.
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}
doubtless abundantly \({ }^{i}\) entertaining to an Athenian audience. The humour of the situation is quite irrespective of the actual merits or demerits of the Tragedies.

\section*{I. The Palimede.}

To this Play there is but a brief allusion, and that confined to a single incident. Palamede had been put to death in Troy-land by the treachery and violence of his rivals. And Euripides, in the Tragedy, seems to have made Oeax, Palamede's brother, carve the sad story on oar-blades, which he then launches on the sea, in the hope (which was fulfilled) that they or one of them might float across the Aegean, and convey the intelligence to their father Nauplius in his island-realm of Euboea. Mnesilochus, desiring to send word of his own sad plight to Euripides, resolves to follow this precedent, but is at once pulled up by the circumstance (which he had overlooked) that he has not got any oar-blades. However, he substitutes the votive tablets suspended in the Temple, carves his story on these, and flings them about in all directions, in the hope that some of them will come to the hands of Euripides, as they apparently do. The action of Oeax can hardly have been represented on the stage; it was doubtless merely described in some narrative or song; and it seems improbable that the language of Mnesilochus, as he is carving his story on the tablet, is to any extent borrowed from the Tragedy of Euripides.

\section*{II. The Helen.}

This is the only one of the Three Tragedies which is still extant; and it may be convenient to give a slight outline of its plot, with special reference to the parody in the present Play.

In his Helen, Euripides, it is hardly necessary to say, followed that

\footnotetext{
1 "These parodied scenes, composed almost in the rery words of the Tragedies, are inimitable. Everywhere in this Poet, the moment Euripides comes into play, we may count on finding the eleverest and most eutting ridieule ; as though the mind of Aristophanes possessed quite a specific talent for decomposing the poetry of the Tragedian into Comedy."--Schlegels, Sixth Lecture on the Drama.
}
strange perversion of the old Homeric legend (so familiar to us from the Palinode \({ }^{1}\) of Stesichorus) which sent a merely phantom Helen to Ilium, whilst the real Helen, a pure and stainless wife, was wafted by Hermes into Egypt, and entrusted to the charge of the good King Proteus. But after the death of Proteus, his son and successor Theoclymenus sought, against her will, to make her his wife ; and at the commencement of the Play of Euripides she is discovered sitting on the tomb of Proteus, as her best refuge from the perseentions of his son. From that coign of vantage she commences the Prologue, explaining in the form of a soliloquy, after the usual Euripidean fashion, all the circumstances of the case. The soliloquy extends over sixty-seven lines, and is terminated by the entrance of Teucer. And all the passages placed in the mouth of Mnesilochus previously to the entrance of Euripides are taken from these sixty-seven lines. The Prologue commences with-
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ôs, àvti סias 廿akáoos ('ain from heacen), Aiyúntov } \pi \epsilon \in \delta o \nu \text {, }
\end{aligned}
\]
(where \(\gamma\) v́as is strangely employed in apposition to \(\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \delta o v\) ). And with these lines, as altered by Aristophanes, Mnesilochus in the Play before us commences his assumption of the character of Helen :
(where \(\lambda \in v \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} s\) is absurdly joined with Aizv́ntov, and the words \(\mu \in \lambda a v o-\) \(\sigma v p \mu a i o v \quad \lambda \epsilon \grave{\omega} v\) are an imitation and exaggeration of the apposition formed by rúas). After detailing the death of Proteus, leaving two children, Theoelymenus the present king, and Theonoe the seer who knows all things that are, and that are to be, she proceeds to explain who she

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Some lines of the Palinode are frequently quoted; as, for example, by Plato, in the Phaedrus:-

It was not true, that legend of old;
For never thou settest thy foot on their ships, Or camest to Troy's strong Hold
}
herself is, and from what country she springs, and who are her father and mother :


'E入є \(\nu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ' \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \eta \nu\)--Helen, 22 ; Thesm. 862.
She tells how her phantom was carried off to Troy, whilst she herself was wafted to Egypt :
which Aristophanes transposes and alters as follows:




She then falls to bewailing her unhappy lot:

Presently Teucer enters, and his first words are-

a question transferred by Aristophanes to Euripides on his first entry (Thesm. 871) in the character of Menelans.

Teucer's visit appears to be introduced for no other purpose than that of impressing Helen with a false belief in her husband's death. And so undoubting is her belief that she immediately begins to discuss with the Chorus which is the best method of putting an end to her miserable existence, whether she shall hang herself, or stab herself to death. The Chorus however make the somewhat obvious suggestion that before proceeding to so extreme a course as that, it would be wiser to inquire of Theonoe, who knows everything that is passing in the world, whether Menclaus is really alive or dead. And Helen accordingly goes with them into the palace to consult the Royal seer.

During their absence who should enter but Menelans himself: Like
a true Euripidean hero, he is clad in rags and tatters; and finding the stage vacant, he improves the occasion by soliloquizing on his own woes, exactly as Helen had done on hers at the beginning of the Play. He and Helen (really of course the Phantom, but he does not know that) have been tempest-driven from coast to coast, and finally shipwrecked on this unknown shore. His ship had been dashed to pieces, a catastrophe necessary for putting him in a helpless condition from which it would require all the ingenuity of Euripides to extricate him. And he has left Helen with his surviving comrades in the deep recesses of a sea-side cave, whilst he has come up alone to seek for assistance.

Having thus made the situation perfectly clear to the audience, for assuredly neither Menelaus here, nor Helen in the prologue, has been
 door. The old woman who keeps it refuses him admittance, and after some valorous threats the hero is reduced to tears. However, he contrives to ask her where he is, and to whom the Palace belongs ; and the following dialogne ensues:


```

MEN. " E\sigma\tau' oưv \epsilon'\nu o'ккots, öv\tau\iotav' ỏvo\muú\zeta\epsilonts, ü\nua\xi;

```

```

MEN.\piov̂ \delta

```


Portions of these lines reappear in the Thesmophoriazusae, broken up by the interruptions of the woman on gruard.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MN. Aï }
\end{aligned}
\]

On Menelaus asking why the son of Protens is so hostile to the Hellenes,

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) As Proteus is dead, this seems an impossible statement; and I suspect that the true reading is to be gathered from the Aristophanic parody. Пpotéus rád \(\epsilon \sigma \tau i \quad \delta \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau^{\prime}\). It is not unlikely that many of the minor variations between the original and the parody are due to the errors of copyists.
}
he receives some surprising information. "In this Palace," says the portress, "dwells Helen, the daughter of Zeus, the child of Tyndareus, erewhile the Queen of Sparta." With that she re-enters the Palace, and shuts to the door. Menelaus is naturally taken aback by this piece of information, well knowing (as he thinks) that Helen is safe in the sea-side cave; but the argumentative subtlety with which Euripides endows his ragged heroes is fully equal to the oceasion. True it is that he has heard of only one Zeus, one Helen, one Tyndareus, one Sparta; but what of that? There may be a man named Zeus living on the banks of the Nile: there may be another Helen, another Tyndareus, another Sparta in Egypt.

When he has arrived at this satisfactory conclusion, out come Helen and her companions from the Palace in great glee, having heard from the prophetess that Menelaus is still in the land of the living. But Helen's rapture is eut short by the sight of the ruffianly desperado at the door, and though she runs like a young racing mare, is \(\delta \rho o \mu a i a \pi \bar{\omega} \lambda o s\), to regain the protecting tomb, he seizes her just as she reaches it. However, she soon recognizes her husband, whilst he on his part is amazed at the extraordinary likeness which this stranger lady bears to Helen. Aristophanes draws largely on this scene, but it must be remembered that whilst in the Thesmophoriazusae there is full mutual recognition between the husband and wife; in the "Helen" Menelaus merely recognizes the resemblance, and does not for a moment believe that Helen herself is really before him.

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) This line, though necessary to the sense of the dialogue, had dropped out of the Helen. It was restored by Markland from the Thesmophoriazusae.
}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Helen, 557, 558, 561-566. }
\end{aligned}
\]

These lines are found in the Thesmophoriazusae (905-912) with only tro
 \(\epsilon i\) रival; where the exclamation \(\widehat{\omega} \theta \in o \grave{i}\) is perhaps a reminiscence of

 \(i \phi v \omega^{\prime} \nu\) for the purpose of pointing a joke at the parentage of Euripides.
 Xépas, instead of taking her into his arms (as he does in the Thesmophoriazusae) he indignantly repulses her, \(\pi\) óas \(\delta\) á \(\mu a \rho \tau o s ; ~ \mu i ̀ ~ \theta i ́ \gamma \eta l s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} u\) \(\pi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \lambda \omega \nu\). Nor will he believe that she is really Helen, until a sailor, arriving from the sea-side cave, informs him that the Phantom has vanished into air.

With the rest of the Play the Thesmophoriazusae has nothing to do ; and it will be sufficient here to mention that the husband and wife, having recognized each other, take counsel together how to deceive the king and escape from Egypt. The superior ingenuity of Helen soon devises a plan; she gains over to her side the omniscient Theonoe, and when Theoclymenus returns she passes off the ragged ruffian with whom she is conversing as a seaman from the ship of Menelaus, who has brought her tidings of her husband's shipwreck and death. Now then, she says, she is ready and willing to marry Theoclymemns, but he must first allow her to pay the last honours, in Hellenic fashion, to her late Hellenic husband. It is the custom of their people, they explain, when such a one as Menelaus is lost at sea, to send out a vessel with a suit of armour, and provisions of all sorts, to the distant horizon, and there the stores are to be cast into the waves. Theoclymenus falls into the trap, and furnishes the ship, the arms, and the provisions, with which the pair make their escape. The outwitting of the dull barbarian by the cunning Greek is fully as humorous in the Tragedy as in the Comedy, and of
course in the Tragedy there is none of the grossness which discolours the closing scenes of the Thesmophoriazusae. Theoclymenus now turns his anger against his sister Theonoe, apparently \({ }^{1}\) for no other purpose than to provide a somewhat lame excuse for the familiar apparition of the Deus ex machiná (in this instance Castor and Polydences) at the ending of the Play.

\section*{III. The Andromeda.}

The Andromeda, which was exhibited at the same festival as the Helen, was considered by the ancients to be one of the most beautiful \({ }^{2}\) and pathetic of all the Tragedies of Euripides; and there is nothing in the Aristophanic parody to throw doubt upon the justice of this estimate. Like the Iphigeneia in Aulis, and the Rhesus, it dispensed with the ordinary Euripidean prologue; and the fall of the curtain discloses Andromeda already bound to the rock, awaiting the break of day, and the coming of the sea-monster to devour her. She is singing a lament over her mournful fate, and the opening lines are repeated, apparently without alteration, in Thesm. 1065 seqq.: O holy Night, she sings, how long \({ }^{3}\) a course thon pursuest, driving thy car over the star-studded ridyes of holy Aether, through most august Olympus. And as she panses, her closing
\({ }^{1}\) "The scepticism of Ion and the anger of Theoclymenus are minor issues, devices to bring about the appearance of the deity, which is an object in itself." Way's Euripides, III. xxi. note.
 told by Dionysus in that Comedy that it was while he was reading the Andromeda that he was suddenly smitten with an intense longing to bring back Euripides to the stage once more. And Lacian in his Quomodo historia conscribenda sit (ad init.) tells us with, I suppose, equal veracity, that the people of Abdera being, on some occasion, stricken with fever, were perpetually singing and reciting passages from the Tragic poets, and especially passages from the Andromeda of Euripides, such as the address of Perseus to the God of Love.
\({ }^{3}\) It seems surprising that Andromeda should complain of the slow passage of the night. "Why surprising?" asks Fritzsche, in his note on line 1066, "Strepsiades does the same at the commencement of the Clouds." But Strepsiades was not expecting to be devoured by a sea-monster, so soon as the night had passed away.
words are softly wafted back by the echo of the surrounding hills; doubtless a dainty, and even a touching, device in the Andromeda, although, in the Thesmophoriazusae, Aristophanes prolongs and perverts it into most laughable comedy. And presently the virgins, who were her fellows in her father's court, come in, as the Chorus of the Play, to mingle their sorrows and lamentations with those of their hapless Princess. The long monody of Mnesilochus, commencing with the
 is thronghout a parody of Andromeda's address to these "dear, dear' Maidens," and of their sympathetic replies; the old Athenian everywhere embellishing the narrative of his own misfortunes with the plaintive wailings of the tender damsel, doomed to a miserable death in her carly youth, unwedded and unwooed. So the night wears away, and with the dawn the monster is to come. Probably its approach is supposed to be visible to Andromeda, though of course invisible to the audience. But before it can reach its helpless victim there enters upon the scene the Hellenic Saint George, Perseus of the wingèd sandals, à \(\mu \phi i\)
 and merely wonders at what coast he has happened to arrive (Thesm. 1098 seqq.). And even when his eye falls upon the strange spectacle, at first he takes her for a marble figure, carved in rare beauty from the rock itself by some cunning sculptor's hand.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { є' } \xi \text { av̉rо } о \dot{\rho} \rho \phi \omega \nu \lambda a i \nu \omega \nu \tau \in \chi \nu a \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu
\end{aligned}
\]

But when he finds that she is really a living damsel bound to the rock, he is moved with admiration and compassion, and hastens at once to address her. The dialogue which ensues is adumbrated in Thesm. 1105 seqq. and is there terminated by the attempt of Perseus to unloose her bonds, an attempt frustrated by the Scythian archer. In the

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See Musgrave, Eur. Fragm. Porson at Phoen. 466 ; Bp. Monk, at Alcestis, 358.
}

Tragedy, doubtless, he does not unloose her bonds mintil he has slain the monster of the deep.

With this abortive attempt at rescue the parody of the Andromeda in the Thesmophoriazusae concludes. But the first five words of line \(105^{1}\) of the Frogs are supposed to be taken from a later scene in the Andromeda, and to be spoken by Cepheus (Andromeda's father), who is unwilling to disclose his intentions with regard to his daughter's marriage, and resents the pertinacity with which Perseus endeavours to elicit them. There is no room in the Tragedy of Euripides for any antecedent promise on the part of the king to give his daughter in marriage to her rescuer. It is Andromeda \({ }^{2}\) herself who in the Play gives the promise. O Lutly, says Persens, if I deliver you, will you look farourably upon me? O Stranger, she replies, lake me as your hantmaid, or your wife, or your captive, whichever you will.

And now, what is the date of the Comedy before ns? The Lysistrata, as we know from the didascalia preserved in the Greek Argument to that Play, was exhibited in the archonship of Callias (the Callias who succeeded Cleocritus), that is, at the commencement of the year в.c. 411 , after the appointment of the \(\pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o l\), but before the revolution of the Four Hundred. And the Thesmophoriazusae is commonly assigned to the same date. But it seems clear that this is erroneons, and that it was produced a year later, in the archonship of Theopompus, at the commencement of the year \({ }^{3}\) b.c. 410 , after the disappearance of the Four
cít' ä入oxov, cïte \(\delta \mu \omega \omega^{\prime} \delta \delta^{\prime}\).-See Wagner, Frag. 23 and 24.

The previous promise of King Cepheus is alleged by Apollodorus, ii. 44 ; Ovid, Metamorph. iv. 703.
\({ }^{3}\) This is the eonelusion arrived at by Dobree, Adversaria, vol. ii. 236; Fritzsche, in his note on line 807 ; Sir Richard Jebb, "Greek Literature" (Literature Primer Series), p. 98 ; and Professor Mahaffy, "Greek Classical Literature," vol. i, chap. xx. § 270. But Fynes Clinton, Dindorf, Enger, and (I believe) all other editor's assign it to B.C. 411. It is the only one of these Comedies which has come down

Hundred. We have no didasealia, or other direct statement, purporting to give its exact date ; but there are various chronolugical notes which seem to point conclusively to the later year.
1. One circumstance which would of itself almost carry convietion to my mind, though many would probably disregard it, is the remarkable difference in tone between the two Plays. In the Lysistrata everything is sombre and anxious ; there are tears even in its mirth. The Thesmophoriazusae is everywhere gay, light-hearted, and playful: the poet wrote it in his most frolicsome mood.

In this respect the Lysistrata faithfully reflects the feeling prevalent in Athens at the close of the year b.c. 412. The Sicilian catastrophe which had taken place in the autumn of the preceding year not merely annihilated the Athenian fleets; it had also demonstrated, or seemed to demonstrate, the superiority of the Syracusan seamen to those of Athens. And all through the year в. с. 412 allies were falling away, hostile forees gathering in ever increasing numbers, and even the triumphant Syracusan triremes were speeding over the sea, to be present at the downfall of Athens. In the petty naval engagements which had occurred the Athenian ships had met with varying fortunes, and had shown no sign of maintaining their former maritime superiority. And at the time when the Lysistrata was composed the prospect was in every way more gloomy than it had ever been before, and than it ever beeame again until the final disaster of Aegospotami. But at the close of the year B. c. 411 (when, in my opinion, the Thesmophoriazusae was composed) men's minds were lighter and more buoyant, and hopes were once more entertained that Athens might yet emerge in safety from the war. The commencement of this happier period is traced by Thucydides \({ }^{1}\) to the downfall of the revolutionary Council of 400 , and the restoration of
to us without a Greek Argument, and (with the exception of the Ecclesiazusae) the only one which has no didascalia.




THES.
the old constitutional Council of 500 . And then too the cver-successful genins of Alcibiades was again enlisted in his country's service; whilst in the battle of Cynossema (abont Sept. 411) her renovated fleet of seventy-six triremes entirely defeated a more numerous Peloponnesian fleet (supposed to have consisted of cighty-six triremes), which included the dreaded Sicilian squadron under the leadership of Hermocrates. When the news of this unhoped-for good fortune reached Athens, Thucydides \({ }^{1}\) tells us (and they are almost the last words he ever wrote) the Athenians were greatly invigorated, and concluded that by strenuous efforts they might yet be able to get the better of their difficulties. And this victory was followed, a month later, by a still more splendid one off Abydos, when, after the battle had raged from morn to eve without any decisive result, Alcibiades with eighteen triremes arrived in the nick of time to turn the scale so completely in favour of the Athenians that they captured no less than thirty of the Peloponnesian triremes, and but for the intervention of Pharnabazus would in all probability have destroyed the entire fleet \({ }^{2}\). To the sanguine and cheerful spirit which now succeeded their despondency, the bright and playful tone of the Thesmophoriazusae seems to me exactly to correspond.
2. In the Parabasis the Chorus are instituting a comparison between the relative merits of men and of women. They take the name of a man and the name of a woman, and, placing them side by side, show that in each case the woman is the superior of the two. And the first
 räpya. They are referring to the defeat, îtra, of the Athenian general Charminus in a naval engagement, vava亢uáx \(\eta\), off the little island of

\footnotetext{

 \(\pi \epsilon р \iota \epsilon \nu_{\epsilon \in \sigma \theta a}\). -Thuc. viii. 106. The numbers of the ships which took part in the battle are not quite certain ; but the figures given in the text are adopted by Arnold, Thirlwall, and Grote.
\({ }^{2}\) Xenophon's Hellenics, I. i. 5, 6, 7.
}

Syme ; and it is important, for our present purpose, to ascertain, as nearly as we can, when that engagement took place. The story is told by Thucydides, Book VIII, chaps. 39-43.

It was about the winter solstice \({ }^{1}\), Dec. 21, B. c. 41 ?, that the Spartans sent out a detachment of tiventy-seven triremes to join their main fleet at Miletus. The main fleet of the Athenians was stationed at Samos, a little to the north-west of Miletus. The detachment started from Cape Malea at the south-eastern extremity of Laconia, and proceeded in a straight course to Melos. There it fell in with ten Athenian ships, three of which it destroved, having captured them without their crews. The other seven took to flight. This incident disarranged all the plans of the Peloponnesian commanders. They had on board several very important officials for whose safety they were bound to take all possible precautions; and they rightly anticipated that the fugitives would make for Samos, and report to the Athenian fleet the approach of the Peloponnesian reinforcements. Consequently, instead of continuing their journey to the north-east, they turned southward, fetched a wide circuit by Crete, and arrived, after a prolonged voyage, at Caunus, on the south coast of Caria, a little beyond the island of Rhodes. Thence they sent messengers to the Peloponnesian fleet asking it to come down and convoy them back to Miletus.

Meanwhile, what they had anticipated had occurred. Their approach had been reported by the fugitives from Melos to the Athenian fleet, and Charminus had been sent down with twenty triremes from Samos to intercept the detachment. Charminus, having ascertained that it had taken shelter at Caunus, lept cruising about the islands of Syme, Chalce, and Rhodes on the one side, and as far as the Lycian coast on the other, ready to attack it when it again put to sea.

But now, in answer to the appeal from Caunus, the entire Peloponnesian fleet, under Astyochus, was moving southward from Miletus. They stopped at Cos, sacked the town and ravaged the country; and

\footnotetext{
 Ecclésiazusae, 416.
}
then passed on to Cnidus. It was night when they reached Cnidus, and hearing that Charminus was at the neighbouring island of Syme, Astyochus, without disembarking at Cnidus, pressed on the same night to Syme. The night was wet and cloudy, and he arrived at Syme without Charminus having any suspicion of his proximity. The Athenians, being on the look-out for ships approaching from Caunus, would naturally be stationed on the south side of the island, while Astyochus, arriving from Cnidus, would approach the island from the north. In the dark and stormy night he found it impossible to keep his numerous ships in hand, and a few of them drifted round the eastward coast of the island, and when the day broke became visible to Charminus. Thinking them to be part of the detachment for which he was lying in wait, he at once attacked them, sinking three ships, and damaging others; when suddenly he found himself in the midst of the whole Peloponnesian fleet, and was glad to escape with the loss of six triremes; first, to a friendly island, and then to Halicarnassus.

What period of time was covered by these operations we cannot now even conjecture. But since they did not commence until Dec. 2l, B. c. 412 or thereabouts, it seems unlikely that the news of the defeat of Charminus could have reached Athens before the dramatic contests at the commencement of в.c. 411 had actually taken place; and quite certain that it could not have done so before the competing Plays had been accepted by the Archon, and were in a forward state of preparation for the stage. Though, even if the story could by any possibility have arrived in time for Aristophanes to embody it in his Play, it is inconceivable that he should have made a jest of it while the wound was still fresh. At that moment, as we have already seen, the fortunes of Athens were at their lowest ebb, and every piece of good or ill tidings would send a thrill through the entire community. The loss of three triremes at Melos and six at Syme would have been a sore discouragement to the Athenians; and the poet would have been as little inclined to make, as the audience to listen to, a joke on so unwelcome a disaster.

A year later \({ }^{1}\), when fortune had again begun to smile upon the Athenian navy, it was natural to make light of their previous discouragements.
3. A few lines later in the Parabasis, Aristophanes reflects on the conduct of last year's Councillors in surrendering to others the rights and duties of their senatorial office:

Is any one of our last year's Councillors-a man who surrendered his conncillorship to another-superior to (Eulule) the Lady of good counsel? Paulmier de Grentemesnil, a man of extraordinary erudition and scholarly acumen for the times in which he lived ( \(1587-1670\) ), saw at once that this could only refer to the memorable incident described by Thucydides in the eighth Book of his History (chaps. 69 and 70) when the Four Hundred oligarchic revolutionaries suddenly entered the Council-house, and ordered the 500 constitutional Councillors to take their pay and begone. About a century before, when a Spartan king \({ }^{2}\), assisting one Athenian faction against the other, had in like manner attempted to dissolve the Council of 500 , he was met with so stout and determined a resistance on the part of that body that his political schemes were entirely frustrated, and he himself compelled to flee from Athens. A similar resistance was naturally anticipated now, and every preparation had been made to overcome it. But the preparations were needless. The Four Hundred had not even to disclose the daggers which they carried concealed on their persons; nor were the services of the \(1: 20\) Hellenic youths, who were accustomed to carry ont their behests, on this occasion required. Not a blow was struck, not a voice was raised, in defence of the 500 , or of their constitutional rights and duties. The Councillors quietly pocketed their salary (the salary for their entire year

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Thiersch's objection that Charminus was dead at the commencement of 1s. c. 410 would be unimportant, if true ; but it rests on a misunderstanding of
 slew Hyperbolus with the aid of Charminus," and not, as Thiersch supposed, "they slew both Hyperbolus and Charminus."
\({ }^{2}\) Hdt. v. 72.
}
of office, and not merely so much of it as had already been earned) and evacuated the Council-house without a remonstrance. This tame surrender by the democratic Council of all its powers and privileges was not only a very striking event, it was also an event of the greatest importance, removing every obstacle in Athens to the success of the oligarchical revolution. It took place, as we now know from the Polity of Athens, chapter 32, about the end of May, b. c. 411, during the archonship of Callias, a date exactly suiting the note of time in the Thesmophoriazusae if the Play was exhibited at the commencement of в.c. 410 , but of course quite incompatible with the earlier date.

Those who contend that the Play was acted in the year b.c. 411 find an insuperable difficulty in the allusion before us. Paulmier himself suggests that Thucydides narrates the dissolution of the 500 out of its chronological order, and that it really occurred in the early part of в.c. 412 , but this is plainly inadmissible. C. O. Müller (Greek Lit. xxviii. §9) thinks that the Chorus are referring to the appointment of the \(\pi \rho o{ }^{\beta} \beta\) ovdoc in B. c. 412 , but this appointment involved no surrender of the Councillorship. Others frankly admit that they cannot imagine what the Chorus can mean.
4. The civic arrangements in the two Plays, the Lysistrata and the Thesmophoriazusae, exhibit a difference exactly corresponding to the two dates, the winter of 412,411 ; and the winter of 411,410 . At the former period the \(\pi \rho o\) óßoviol, who preceded the Four Hundred, were in office; and in the Lysistrata it is they who intervene to keep order, and employ for that purpose the Scythian archers. At the later period the \(\pi \rho o ́ \beta o v \lambda o \iota\) and the Four Hundred had alike passed away, and the constitutional Council of 500 had been restored to, and was in quiet enjoyment of, its normal privileges; and accordingly in the Thesmophoriazusae order is kept and the Scythian archers employed in the normal manner by the council acting through its prytanes, just as in the Acharnians and the Knights.
5. In the data which we bave next to consider the reader must carefully bear in mind-what even the most eminent chronologers seem
occasionally to forget-that the Athenians, in employing such numerals as toítos, тétaptos, and the like, include as well the day, time, or thing from which the calculation starts, as the day, time, or thing with which it concludes. Thus, if we start with Monday, Tuesday is \(\dot{\eta} \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a i a\), and Wednesday \({ }^{1} \dot{\eta} \tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta\), the third, and not (as we should say) the second, day from Monday. It would be difficult to give a better example than is found at the commencement of the Euthydemus of Plato. There three persons were sitting in the following order: Socrates, Cleinias, Euthydemus. We should call Euthydemus the second from Socrates, but Plato calls him the third. Crito is asking who Euthydemus is; and to make

 \(\mathfrak{\eta} v\). There was but one between Euthydemus and Socrates, yet Euthydemus was тоíтоs àmò \(\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho a ́ r o v s\). It is hardly necessary to add that, as a rule, the years mentioned by the Scholiasts are those of the Athenian archons, extending (about) from Midsummer to Midsummer. Putting these two rules together, we may observe that if one event happened in May 411, and another in September 410, although we shonld consider the second event as happening in the year after the first, an Athenian would reckon it as happening in the third year from the first. It will make the matter clearer if I here set down the list of Athenian Archons during the 91st, 92nd, and 93rd Olympiads :

Olympiad 91. Athenian Archon. Years b.c.
1 . . Arimnestus
\(416,415\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Sicilian expedition sailed about } \\ \text { midsummer 415. }\end{array}\right.\)
2 . . Chabrias
415, 414 The "Birds."
3 . . Peisander
414, 413 \{ Death of Lamachus.
Demosthenes sent to Sicily.
4 . . Cleocritus . . . . . . 413, 412 Sicilian eatastrophe.
Olympiad 92.
1 . Callias ( \(\dot{\delta} \mu \in \tau \dot{a}\) K \(\left.\lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \rho(\tau) v\right) \quad 412,411\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Defeat of Charminus. } \\ \text { The "Lysistrata." } \\ \text { Revolution of the } 400 .\end{array}\right.\)
2 . . Theopompus . . . . . \(411,410\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The } 500 \text { restored. } \\ \text { [The "Thesmop }\end{array}\right.\)
\({ }^{1}\) See the Grammarians cited in the Commentary on Eccl. 796.


Now, in Thesm. 841 Aristophanes mentions Lamachus in a very complimentary manner. And the Scholiast says, è \(\pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau o ̀ v ~ \Lambda a ́ \mu a \chi o v ~ v i ̂ v * ~ \eta ้ o ̂ \eta ~\)
 phoriazusae was exhibited in the archonship of Theopompus (в.с. 4l0), he must, for the reasons we have just been considering, have placed the death of Lamachus in the archonship of Peisander. And this is the true date. Thucydides indeed, who divides the year into two periods, the summer and the winter (irrespective of archonships), merely says that he died in the summer (of в.c. 414) which would include the last quarter of the archonship of Chabrias, as well as the first quarter of the archonship of Peisander ; but fortunately Diodorus is more precise, and expressly assigns it to the year of Peisander's archonship (Book xiv, chaps. 7 and 8).

 Theopompus (в.c. 410) as our starting-point, we have to pass over the four succeeding Archons-Glaucippus, Diocles, Euctemon, and Antigenes, and the next Archon will be the sisth from Theopompus. Accordingly, the next Archon, we shall find, is Callias \(\delta \mu \in \tau a ̀\) ' \(A \nu \tau \tau \gamma \epsilon \in \nu \eta\); in whose year of office, as we know, the death of Emripides occurred.

Strangely enough Mr. Fynes Clinton in his Fasti Hellenici (anno 4ll) relies on these very references to the death of Lamachus and the death of Euripides, as showing that the Play was acted in the archonship of Callias; whereas they both plainly show that it was acted in the archon-
ship of Theopompus. He sees, indeed, that there is something wrong about the reference to the death of Euripides, and observes, "If the Scholiast placed the death of Euripides in the year of Antigenes, the sixth year would be the exact description. Euripides however died in the year of Callias b.c. 406 , between whom and the present Callias are five archons; which sufficiently agrees with the date of this comedy at the Dionysia of в.c. 411." This is a singular way of making the Scholiast prove the reverse of what he says.

Here then are six notes of time all unmistakeably pointing to the archonship of Theopompus as the date of the production of the Thesmophoriazusae. There is but one argument in favour of the earlier date B. c. 411, and although that is undoubtedly a strong one, it seems to me altogether insufficient to turn the balance against the cumulative weight of all these arguments in favour of b.c. 410.

In line 1060 of the Play we are told that Echo in the preceding year, \(\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho v \sigma \iota v\), contended on the Attic stage in conjunction with Euripides; a statement which the Scholiast, no doubt rightly, explains by saying
 the Helen as a "new play" of Euripides, тìv кaùìv 'E \(\lambda \in \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \eta r\) ', and the Scholiast on line 1012 tells us that the Andromeda was exhibited on


Now the Scholiast on Frogs 53 informs us that the Andromeda was produced in the eighth year leefore the Frogs. The latter Play was acted, as we know, in the Archonship of Callias (the Callias who succeeded Antigenes). And if we look at the list of Archons given above, bearing in mind the rules already laid down, we shall find that the eighth Archonship before that of Callias was the archonship of Cleocritus. This would place the exhibition of the Andromeda at the commencement of the year в.c. 412; and therefore the Thesmophoriazusae, as well as the Lysistrata \({ }^{1}\), would bave to be assigned to
\({ }^{1}\) The statement of the Scholiast on Lysistrata 963 ( \(\pi \operatorname{coi}^{\prime} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta}\) к.т. \(\mathrm{\lambda}_{\text {. }}\) ), that th
 that the two passages are parallel, and not that the one is a parody of the other.
the year b.c. 411 , m direct conflict with the statements in the Scholia about the death of Lamachus and the death of Euripides, and with all the indications of time to be found in the Comedy itself.

However, in the matter of dates the Scholiasts are of little authority. Either by their own mistakes, or by the carelessness of transcribers, they are so frequently wrong, that their chronological statements cannot stand against any real historical evidence. To take one instance out of many. On line 32 of the present Play the Scholiast says that Agathon exhibited his first Tragedy \({ }^{1}\) only three years before the date of the Thesmophoriazusae. Nobody attaches any weight to this statement. It is known that Agathon gained the prize in the Tragic competition of b.c. 416, when Euphemus was archon. Some therefore would alter the word " 3 " in the Scholium to " 6 " or " 5 "; an alteration which can only be justified on the assumption that the Scholiast was sure to be always right. Doubtless he wrote " 3 ," and was wrong. So in the Scholium on the Frogs \({ }^{2}\), which gives rise to all the mischief, Dobree would alter " 8 " into " 7 ." I have no doubt that the Scholiast wrote " 8 ," and was wrong.

On the whole, therefore, there seems ample reason for affirming that the Thesmophoriazusae was produced at the commencement of the year b. c. 410, when Theopompus was archon.

I am not aware of any poetical translation of the Thesmophoriazusae in the English language \({ }^{3}\).

At some subsequent period Aristophanes wrote another comedy under the same name. It was commonly called ai \(\delta \in \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota\) (or ai \(\begin{gathered}\text { é } \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota) ~\end{gathered}\)

 \(\dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta \dot{o} \mu \boldsymbol{\varphi}\) pro oj \(\gamma \delta \dot{\prime} \omega\), et interpretandum, non septem annos ante, sed septimo anno, i.e. quinque amis integris intercedentibus inter eum quo Andromeda, et eum quo Ranae."-Dobree, Adv. ii. 236.
\({ }^{3}\) To the translations of the Frogs, mentioned in my Introduction to that Play, p. xl, must now be added that bright and witty version by Mr. Gilbert Murray, published about ten months after my own. It is gratifying to find that Mr. Murray had independently arrived at the conclusion that in the Antepirrhema of the Frogs the gold and bronze coinages are not identified but contrasted.
\(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi o p \iota a ́ S o v \sigma \alpha \iota\). Demetrius of Troezen named it ai \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu o \phi o p l a ́ u r a \sigma a l^{2}\), and though the name took no root, it tends to show that the action of the second Play was laid at a later stage of the festival than the action of the First. And there seems every reason to believe that in the second Play the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a\), during which the scenes of the present Comedy are supposed to have taken place, was represented as already past, and the Kad入ıyєvela, the fourth and last day of the Festival, was already dawning. We know that Calligeneia herself appeared as the Prologist \({ }^{2}\) of the second Play ; and that Aristophanes represented her as the nurse \({ }^{3}\) of Demeter. Her appearance would indicate that the whole-day Fast was over, and the prologue by the nurse of Demeter may well have been, to some extent, a parody on the Euripidean prologue by the nurse of Medea. The women appear to have been faint and exhausted after their long fast, and one speaker \({ }^{4}\) is anxiously inquiring of another whether any fish or flesh has been provided to sustain the weary worshippers.

So far we seem to be on tolerably safe ground: but to the further question whether the action in the Second Play proceeded on the same lines as the action in the First, or whether the plot was altogether different, the fragments do not enable us to give any coufident answer. Yet there were certainly some points of similarity between the two Plays. The injunction to abstain from stimulating wines \({ }^{5}\), which Bergk

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Athenaeus, i. chap, 52. Of course all the authorities cited in this section of the Introduction will be found in any collection of the fragments of Aristophanes ; and many of the deductions drawn from them here have already been suggested by Dindorf, Bergk, and others.
\({ }^{2}\) See the Commentary on line 297 of this Play.
 фávŋs סє̀ ó Køuıкòs трофóv.- Photius.
\({ }^{1}\) Athenaeus, iii. chap. 64, Fragm. 3. The numerals attached to the fragments refer to Bergh's collection and edition of the Aristophanic fragments in Meineke's Fragm. Com. Graec. vol. ii.
}

5
Athenaeus, i. chap. 59, Fragm. 1.

strangely supposes to be a portion of the address of Calligeneia to the female chorus, is, on the face of it, obviously addressed to a man, and is just the advice which might be given to one who, disguised as a woman, was about to mingle in an assembly of women. And a subsequent fragment \({ }^{1}\) shows that the precept either was not followed, or had not the anticipated result. The list of articles pertaining to a woman's toilet, which forms the longest of all the fragments \({ }^{2}\), could scarcely be more appropriate to any scene than to one in which a man was being dressed up as a woman. Two other lines \({ }^{3}\), also preserved by Pollux, would seem to refer, not to the putting on, but to the taking off, of the woman's clothes. The statement by the same author that "in the Thesmophoriazusae the name öк \(\lambda a \sigma \mu a\) was given to the 'Persian dance \({ }^{4}\) "' might lead us to suppose that Elaphium's dance was repeated in the second Play: unless indeed (which seems possible) Pollux is making an erroneous reference to the present Play, and ought to have said that "in the Thesmophoriazusae the öклабла was given the name of the 'Persian dance.'"

There is no ground for supposing that Euripides, or Agathon, or any other character of the earlier Play reappeared in the later; yet the lines which Hephaestion \({ }^{5}\) preserves as a specimen of mixed paeonics and cretics -Call not upon the Muses of the waving curls, nor summon to the Chorus the Olympian Graces, for they are here, so the Poet says-sound almost

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Fragm. 8, where the verb àpaß̄ŋvaı is probably a joke on some preceding allusion to the ascent (áváßuots, ävooos) of the hill on which the Thesmophorium stuod.
\({ }^{2}\) Pollux, vii. segm. 95 ; Clemens Alexandrinus, Paedag. II. xii. 124 ; Fragm. 6. 3



Pollux, vii. segm. 66, Fragm. 14.
 Pollux, iv. segm. 100. See Thesm. 1175 and the commentary there.
}
like a reference to the language of Agathon's servant that the Muses are here and are making their orles, In my Master's abodes. And in another passage \({ }^{1}\) both Agathon and his antitheses are mentioned, but in a way from which no inference can be drawn.

It is impossible to put the matter higher than to say that the passages to whieh the reader's attention has been directed are quite consistent with the idea that the second Comedy was framed on somewhat similar lines to the first; we cannot add that they would be inconsistent with an altogether different plot. Their evidence therefore amounts to little or nothing. And the other fragments (about twenty in number) afford no clue to the nature of the drama from which they were taken.

Other writers have been able to arrive at a more definite conclusion. From the name which Demetrius of Troezen gave to the Play (as mentioned above), Dindorf and Bergk are both satisfied that the Second Comedy was in reality, a continuation of the First; "nunquam enim," says the former (Aristophanes, ii. 503), "de inscriptione fabulae in hunc modum mutandâ cogitare potuisset Demetrius, nisi Thesmophoriazusas editione alterâ non tam repetitam quam continuatam esse vidisset." Bergk (Meineke's Fragm. Com. Graec. ii. 1074) is still more explieit. "Demetrii auctoritas," he says, "etsi non invaluit, tamen indicio est, aetionem in superstite fabulâ inchoatam, in hac alterâ comoediâ perfectam consummatamque esse, ita ut continuo quasi vinculo utrumque drama fuerit eonjunctum." This seems to me a very improbable conjecture.

Yet it is not more improbable than the theory propounded by Bernard Thiersch in the little essay lle Thesmophoriazusis secuudis prefixed to his edition of the present Play. Thierseh infers from the name \(\Theta \in \tau \mu \circ-\) фopıáбaбal that the Thesmophoria had come to an end; and he thinks that Calligeneia, in the prologue, came forward to complain of the mean and niggardly manner in which the Athenian matrons had kept the festival: And he concludes (the italics are his own) Aristophanem in Thesmophoriazusis secundis Athenienses castigasse, quod sancta maiorum pietate exuti deorum festa splendide celebrare et decorare negligerent.

\footnotetext{

}

One of Fritzsche's Aristophanic tracts was entitled "De Aristophanis Thesmophoriazusis secundis Commentatio." It was first published at Rostock in 1831, and was republished at the end of his edition of the present Play. He argues that the action of the later Comedy was laid on the Kad入ıүє́vєia, the last day of the festival, and in this I entirely agree with him ; and arrives at a conclusion exactly opposite to that propounded by Thiersch; "Illud igitur certum, summam rei et quasi cardinem totius fabulae in eo verti, ut luxus Alticarum mulierum, quae lie potissimum Calligeniae pretiosam vestem sumsisse videntur ae mirifice corpus exornasse sunm, rideatur, atque edacitas earum immoderatusque amor vini, adde etiam Veneris, exagitetur." Here again the italics are in the original.

I cannot myself see sufficient in the Fragments either to support or to overthrow any of these theories.

ӨE \(\Sigma\) MO \(\Phi\) OPIAZOYミAI

\section*{TA TƠ \(\triangle P A M A T O \Sigma ~ П Р О \triangle \Omega П A\)}

MNHEIAOXOE．
ЕҮPIПIDHE．
ӨЕРАП \(\Omega\) N＇A \(\gamma \dot{A} \theta \omega \nu o s\).
AГA \(\Theta \Omega\) N．
KHPYKAINA．
XOPO乏 ӨE
TYN゙H A．
「YNH B．
KムEİ日ENHz．
KPITY．AAA．
חPYTANIE．
ェKYӨHェ．
HN \(\Omega\) ．
EIADION．

\section*{ӨE \(\Sigma\) MOФOPIAZOY C AI}

\section*{\(\mathrm{MN} .{ }^{\top} \Omega \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}, \chi \in \lambda \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ;\)}

The fall of the curtain discloses to the audience two elderly men walking in a street at Athens. One is Euripides ; the other his wife's kinsman Mnesilochus. The latter is obviously consumed by weariness and annoyance, which at length find vent in the ejaculation, O Zeus, will the swallow NEVER make its appearance? The swallow in the east, as in the west, of Europe is the praenuntia veris (Ovid, Fasti ii. 853, cf. Horace, Epistles I. vii. 13), the herald and harbinger of Spring. Its advent in Hellas was greeted with the cry \(\tilde{\omega} \rho a\) \(\nu\) véa, \(\chi \in \lambda \iota \delta \grave{\omega} \nu\), Knights 419. And in Rhodes the children went round to the doors of the wealthy, demanding a largess in the name of the newly arrived swallow. The pretty little carol, or song of the swallow, with which they announced their visit and preferred their request, is preserved by Athenaeus viii. 60. Our proverb that "one swallow does not make a summer" was familiar to Aristotle under the
 I. vii. 16 ; a proverb already used by Cratinus a century before; Cramer's Anecd. Par. i. p. 182. And the brief section which Aelian (N. A. i. 52) devotes to the swallow is so thoroughly English in its tone and sentiment that I cannot








 Peace 800, Birds 714. The return of Spring has in all countries furnished a familiar type of bright prospects restored after a long interval of darkness and distress. And the question "Will the swallow never appear?" is here an expression of desponding impatience on the part of Mnesilochus worn out with the tedious journey, of which he does not know the object, and cannot foresee the termination. тоиิто \(\ddot{\epsilon} \phi \eta\) '̇v \(\eta \eta \epsilon \iota\), says the Scholiast,

 àфќє́ध日a. The line is happily para-



 ȧтал入ígoцat; So in the closing lines of the "Pervigilium Veneris" the desponding lover exclaims (as the text should perhaps be constituted),




 oủ \(\delta \in \hat{\imath} \mu^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa o v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ; \quad\) Er. oủX \(\ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}\) ä \(\nu \mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta \rho\) óp \(\hat{\alpha} \nu\).

Quando ver venit meum?
Quando mi facit chelidon, ut tacere desinam? . . .
Cras amet, qui nunquam amavit, quique amavit, cras amet.

Kuster refers to the fragment preserved by Harpocration (s. v. ür \(\tau a\) ), Eustathius (on Iliad i. 554), and others

The appeal of Mnesilochus is to Zeus, as the lord of the sky and the seasons.
2. \({ }^{\lambda} \lambda o \omega \bar{\omega}\) ] The word is borrowed from the threshing-floor ; and from the operations which were there carried on, it acquired the double signification of driving round and round and pounding. Hesychius gives \(\pi \lambda a \nu \bar{\omega}\) as the meaning of \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda o \hat{\omega}\), and both \(\pi \lambda a \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\) and \(\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega \nu\) as the meanings of \({ }^{d} \lambda o \omega \nu\). The former is of course the signification which it bears


Perii! cor lienosum, opinor, habeo; iamdudum salit;
De labore pectus tundit.
And in Mercator, I. i. 13 (to which I observe Bothe also refers) Acanthio, panting and out of breath, says
genua hunc cursorem deserunt.
Perii! seditionem facit lien ; occupat praecordia.
5. и̉̉入’ ои̉к đікои́єєข к.т.入.] Euripides talks in a high philosophic strain, quite above the comprehension of his simple, though shrewd, companion. ó \(\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau p u \gamma \iota-\)

\(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a ́ \gamma \omega \nu\), says the Scholiast, \(\dot{\text { s. }}\) oi \(\epsilon \nu \nu\) rais \(a ̈ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota\). And so Suidas. The disgust of Mnesilochus is aptly expressed, as well by the use of this strong metaphor as by his application of the term ä \(\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s\), the fellow, to his illustrious companion. Euripides was obliged to pay his visit at this early hour because the women's assembly itself was to be held at daybreak, \({ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega \theta \epsilon \nu\), infra 376 , and see Eccl. 20 and the note there.
3. \(\sigma \pi \lambda \bar{\eta} \nu a\) ] The ancients attributed to the action of the spleen (lien) the internal heavings and palpitations occasioned by violent exercise or excitement. Thus in Plautus, Casina, II. vi. 62, Olympio says

\section*{ЄE EMOФOPIAZOヘさAI}






tophanes, when he was composing this Comedy, had the recent "Helen" of Euripides very much in his mind, and possibly he may here be thinking of line 471 of that Play, where Menelaus says to the keeper of the palace door \(\pi \hat{\omega} s \phi_{!\eta \prime}^{\prime}\); riv' єỉmas \(\mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu\); av̉̉is \(\mu o \iota\) фрáбov.
12. тồ \(\mu \dot{\eta} \tau^{\prime}\) ảкoúєє \(\left.\mu \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{a} \nu\right]\) Tyrwhitt was the first to observe that these five words should be severed from, and are really a comment on, the preceding line. They are rightly explained by

 Fritzsche indeed, considering them a continuation of Euripides's speech, would render that speech as follows: " Imo aliud est horum alterutrum, aut audire aut videre ; aliud neutrum, neque audire neque videre." "I said that you were not to hear and see; which is something quite different from saying that you were neither to hear nor to see." But this, though harmonizing excellently with the dialogue up to this point, is quite inconsistent with the explanation which Euripides now proceeds to unfold. "What you see," he says in effect, " you must not hear : and what you hear you must not see : the objects of these two senses (sight
and hearing) must be kept distinct, even as the organs by which they act are in the nature of things essentially distinct." The words \(\epsilon \mathcal{U}\) "ै \(\sigma \theta^{\prime}\) öтє here, as in Peace 373, constitute an emphatic answer in the affirmative to the preceding question.
13. то́тє] Then, öтє \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa\) рi \(\theta_{\eta}\). An anonymous critic would read muté, but of course tóte is constantly used in reference to a former period to which the speaker's mind has travelled, but which has not been actually mentioned. So in the fourteenth of Lucian's Sea Dialogues, where the Nereids are told that Andromeda has been rescued from the seamonster which they had sent against her, one of them answers, 'E \(\gamma \omega\) ต̀̇ \(\mu \dot{\nu}\)


 since no allusion had previously been made to the mother's offence, Graevius conjectures \(\pi\) тє́. So in Iph. in Aul. 46 the old servant says
\(\pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \iota \quad \phi \epsilon \rho \nu \dot{\eta} \nu\),
where again Barnes and Musgrave would read \(\pi\) отє, but the MS. reading is rightly retained by Markland and Bishop Monk, who cite Orestes 99, Al-

 15 \(\grave{\dot{\Phi}} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta े, \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \nu} \nu \bar{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau о\) \(\dot{o} \phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu o ̀ \nu, \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \mu \mu \mu o \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda i o v \tau \rho o \chi \hat{\omega}\),


MN. \(\delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ X o \alpha ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ o ̂ ̉ \nu ~ \mu \eta ́ \tau ' ~ \alpha ́ \kappa о v ́ \omega ~ \mu \dot{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega}\);
cestis 915, Helen 1081, Sophocles, A jax 650,1240 , and the present passage. For other examples in Aristophanes see Peace 694, Lys. 1023, infra 642, and Frogs 136. And see generally Eur. Medea 1402, Electra 975, 1149, 1203. Thuc. i. 86 ; viii. \(40,86\).
14. Aitip ] Ether, always put forward by Aristophanes as the chief Euripidean deity (see Frogs 892 and the note there), is throughout this passage regarded as the great aetive and intelligent Principle, evolving out of her own
substance the various forms of the animal creation. When Ether was first parting and dividing herself, and giving liuth within herself to living and moving bodies. \(\delta \iota \epsilon \chi \omega \rho i\} \epsilon \tau \sigma\), whieh is universally taken in a passive sense, and translated secerni coepit, seems to mean divided herself; for who but Ether, in this philosophy, could divide Ether? And here again, as in line 6 supra, Aristophanes may be thinking of the "Helen."
Helen. Ai日j́p.-Helen 583, 584.

Bergler refers to the famous oration of Mє \(\lambda a \nu i \pi \pi \eta \quad \sigma \circ \phi \dot{\eta}\) (Wagner Fragm. 5) where that ingenious young lady, who has hidden her illegitimate children in the cow-house, is endeavouring to persuade her father, by an argument drawn from the original homogeneousness of all matter, that it is as natural for cows to give birth to boys, as to calves.



 \(\delta \in ́ \nu \delta \rho \eta, \pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀, \theta \bar{\eta} \rho a s\), oús \(\theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \mu \eta \tau \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon t\), \(\gamma_{\epsilon} \operatorname{vos} \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \tau \omega ิ \nu\).

It was from the same philosophic comedy that Aristophanes borrowed line 272 infia, " \(O \mu \nu \nu \mu \iota\) тоí \(\nu \nu \nu\) ai \(\theta \epsilon ́ \rho\) ’ оıк \(\eta \sigma \iota \nu \Delta\) tós.
17. ajvi \(\mu c \mu \nu \nu\) ] Both these comparisons, that of the eye with the sun, and that of the ear with a funnel, are found in the Republic of Plato. In vi. 19 ( 508 B ) he calls the human eye
 \(\gamma^{\prime} \nu \nu \omega \nu\). And in iii. 18 ( 411 A ) he observes, "He who allows the strains of plaintive and touehing music to sink down into his soul, through his ears, as it were through a funnel ( \(\delta \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) \(\omega ̈ \tau \omega \nu \quad \check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) סцà \(\chi^{\dot{\omega} \nu \eta s) \text {, at first indeed }}\) moulds and tempers the iron element


Er．\(\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \mu \alpha ́ \theta o l s ~ \tau o \iota \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \mu o \hat{v}\) ．MN．\(\pi \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu\) ov̂ \(\nu\)



Er．ópấs tò Oúplov tov̂тo；MN．\(\nu \grave{\eta}\) тò̀ \({ }^{〔} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha\)
of his mind，and makes that service－ able which before was rigid and use－ less；but if he lend himself too long to those soft and tender melodies，they will at last melt and dissolve his soul， and he will become，what Homer calls，but a nerveless warrior．＂I sus－ pect that in this opening dialogue there is a far more extensive parodying of the words of Emipides than we are now in a position to detect．

21．ai ooфai छंvyovoiat］The Scholiast cites a line，roфoì rúpavvo \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) бoф̂ิ้ \(\xi v v o v a i a\), about the authorship of which there was much discussion amongst an－ cient writers．It seems to have made its first appearance in the＂Locrian Aias＂of Sophoeles，and to have been borrowed from thence by Eiripides，to whom it was consequently ascribed by Plato，Antisthenes，and Stobaeus，and， according to the Scholiast here，by Aristophanes himself in the＂Heroes．＂ Such was apparently the conclusion at which Aulus Gellius（xiii．18）arrived； and he gives other instances of lines borrowed by Euripides from preceding poets．And see the note on Frogs 1182．The authorities on the subject will be found in Wagner＇s Fragments of the＂Locrian Aias．＂With the form
in which it here appears，Porson com－ pares a line of Eupolis preserved by the Scholiast（and，in part，by Eusta－ thius）on Iliad ii． \(33: 3\) nióv yé \(\pi\) oú＇\(\sigma \pi \iota\) \(\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \kappa \alpha \dot{\nu} \theta \rho \dot{\rho} \pi т о v \lambda o ́ \gamma o s\).

24．\(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \mu \dot{\theta} \theta_{o} \mu\) ］\(]\) The MSS．have \(\pi \rho о \sigma-\) \(\mu a \operatorname{Aos} \mu \dot{\eta}\) ，and it is not altogether easy to determine whether this should be altered into \(\pi \rho o \sigma \mu \dot{\theta} \theta \circ \iota \mu \iota\) or into \(\pi \rho o \sigma \mu a ́ \theta \omega\) \(\mu \eta\) ．Each alteration makes perfectly good Greek，and is supported by emi－ nent scholars ：and in each there would of course be the same gird at Euripides as the great \(\chi\) فोomooós；Ach．411， Peace 147，Frogs 846．But though in the translation，using Bekker＇s edition， I followed Bekker＇s reading \(\pi \rho o \sigma \mu i \theta \omega\) \(\mu \dot{\eta}\) ，yet I quite concur with most of the recent editors in thinking that the genuine reading is \(\pi \rho o \sigma \mu \dot{\theta} \theta o \iota \mu\) ，and that the words \(\chi \omega \lambda \dot{\partial} \dot{s}\) єivat \(\tau \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \lambda\) are added \(\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \delta o \kappa i a \nu\) ，as a mere piece of im－ pertinence on the part of Mnesilochus． And the Scholiast＇s explamation ï \({ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}\) \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi a \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \quad \mu \in \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}\) aíroù，however inaccu－ rate in itself，yet shows that he read \(\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \dot{\theta} \theta о \iota \mu\) ．

26．ópạs тò \(\theta\) v́pıov тои̃тo ；］He is point－ ing to the house of Agathon in the background of the stage．The words are repeated from Clouds 92 ．







27．\(\sigma \omega \pi \pi \hat{\omega}\) тò Av́ptov；］This seems to be simple nonsense，intended to repre－ sent the effect produced on the old man＇s mind by the philosophic subtlety of his companion＇s talk．The same sort of mild imbecility is evident in line 19 Then it is all along of the funnel that I am neither to hear nor to see！；and indeed in the oizai \(\gamma \epsilon\) of the present line，which indicates a want of con－ fidence in his own eyesight，after Euri－ pides＇s abstruse explanation of the origin and functions of the eye．Com－ pare the language of Strepsiades on first listening to the luminous wisdom of Socrates；Clouds 236．There is however great ingenuity in the view advanced by Fritzsche，who supposes that the remarks of Mnesilochus in this and the following line are mere reca－ pitulations whereby he is endeavouring to impress upon his memory the several points which，as he supposes，Euripides requires him to observe，\(\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \epsilon \chi \epsilon\) тòv voüv， 25 supra．Euripides first bids him olserve the wicket；then he tells him to be silent．Mnesilochus runs over in his mind these two points：（1）\(I\) am to be silent；（2）the wicket．Then Euri－ pides adds a third point ；he is to listen． ＂Itaque angetur Mnesilocho ommia re－
cordandi commemorandiyue labor：vel sic tamen bene rationem tenet，neque ordinem rerum perturbat．＂（1）àкov́v I ani to listen；（2）кai \(\sigma \omega \omega \pi \bar{\omega}\) and to be silent；（3）rò Oíplov，the wicket．The Scholiast has a different explanation
 would give a very happy turn to the speech．＂I am to be blind and deaf סià
 Oúploy．＂But it is impossible to supply ס cú．

31－33．ó \(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a s\) ，ó картєро̀s，ó \(\delta a \sigma v \pi \dot{\omega}-\) \(\gamma \omega \nu\) ］These epithets are selected for the purpose of ridiculing the delicate and effeminate appearance of Agathon，who is described infra 191 as



Whether there was any other Athenian of the name，to whom the epithets would apply，is very doubtful．The Scholiast says，oi \(\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad\)＇A piбтардоу каi



 does not seem as much impressed as Euripides expected，by the celebrity of a Tragic Poet．


Er．каi \(\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \beta \in \beta i ́ \nu \eta \kappa \alpha s ~ \sigma u ́ \gamma^{\prime}\) ，\(\alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\) оưк оî \(\sigma \theta^{\prime}\)＇̈ \(\sigma \omega s\) ．




 very exact，he qualifies the strength of his original denial by adding＂At least， if I did ever see him，I did not know who he was．＂Cf．Clouds 1252，Eccl． 350，Plato，Theaetetus，chap．2．So in the Latin dramatists．＂Nunquam ante hunc diem meis oculis eam，quod nossem， videram，＂Terence，Hecyra V．iv． 23. ＂（A）Non me novisti？（B）quod quidem nunc veniat in mentem mihi，＂Plautus， Epidicus V．i．32．The vice imputed to Agathon in the next line is again sug－ gested infra 50，200－207 and elsewhere． Plato（Protagoras，chap．7）implies that he was the \(\pi \pi \iota \delta\) ò \(\dot{a}\) of Pausanias ；whilst Aelian（V．H．ii．21，xiii．4）speaks of Euripides as being also his lover．And Plato＇s own epigram on Agathon is not altogether pleasant reading．
37．\(\pi \hat{\imath} \rho\) каì \(\mu \nu \rho \rho i v a s]\) The Aípıo now opens，and Agathon＇s servant comes out．He brings with him a pan of live coals，and some myrtle branches，and is therefore obviously about to offer up a solemn prayer or sacrifice．In the Wasps（860）fire，myrtles，and incense are mentioned as the concomitants of prayer：in the Frogs（871）fire and incense：and here，fire and myrtles． And cf．Birds 43．Wreaths and decora－
tions of＂the myrtle＇s sacred tress＂ （ \(\mu v \rho \sigma\) ivas iepàv \(\phi o ́ \beta a \nu\) ，as Euripides calls it， Ion 120）were commonly used in divine worship．Pollux i．segm．27，28．And suppliants carried myrtle in their hands when they approached the altar of the gods．Thus，when Alcestis was praying to the gods for her husband and children， we are told

It was in religious decorations of this kind that Harmodius and Aristogeiton concealed their＂swords in myrtle dressed．＂See Ilgen＇s Scolia，p． 62.
 liast．©́s \(\begin{gathered}\text { éoke } \\ \text { is，of course，the regular }\end{gathered}\)
 Ach． 240.
 commences his recitative，which，though singularly melodious，is somewhat dreamy and affected，and doubtless reproduced，in a manner which we have now mo means of tracing，some peculiarities of his master＇s style．He calls on all Nature to be still，whilst Agathon is engaged in the arduous task


\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o \sigma u ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda o \pi o \iota \omega ิ \nu\).

\(\kappa \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha\) ठє̀ \(\pi o ́ v \tau o v \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \lambda \alpha \delta \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega\)


\section*{ఆE. \(\pi \tau \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa о \iota \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega\),}
of fashioning his delicately moulded odes. Of these a specimen will be given presently ; infra 101-129. The language is calculated, if not designed, to recall Alcman's well-known description of
a sleeping world, of which (as I do not remember having seen it in English) I venture to append not only the original, but also a free translation.



\(\theta \bar{\eta} \rho \in \mathrm{s}\) ठ̀ \(\rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \hat{\varphi}\) oí \(\tau \epsilon\)
каì \(\gamma \in ́ \nu o s ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu\).

єú \(\delta 0 v \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}\) oi \(\omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu\)

Now sleep the mountain summits; cleft and rill Sleep, and are still;
Sleep the wild creatures, all the countless breeds
That dark earth feeds;
The bees are slumbering; in the noiseless deep
The fishes sleep;
And long-winged birds their drowsy pinions close In hushed repose.

The statement here that an inspired band of Muses is composing the odes means of course exactly the same thing as the statement below that Agathon himself is composing them.
43. \(\nu \dot{\eta} \nu \in \mu о s\) aiӨ \(\dot{\eta} \rho\) ] This Homeric phrase (Iliad viii. 552, the breathless hush of the air.-Way) is found, slightly altered, in Birds 778. Lucian's \(\sigma i \gamma \alpha\) \(\mu \grave{\iota} \nu\) ait \(\dot{\eta}^{\rho} \rho\)
\(\nu \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon \mu \circ\) s \(\notin \tau \tau \omega\) (Tragopodagra 129) is doubtless a mere reminiscence of the present passage. Compare the expression \(\nu \eta \nu \epsilon-\) \(\mu i a \nu \quad i \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu\) in Agathon's peroration in the Symposium of Plato (chap. xix), a peroration which elicited from Socrates an ironical compliment, answering to the \(\kappa \Leftrightarrow \lambda \lambda \iota \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta}_{s}\) ' \(A \gamma^{\prime} \theta \omega \nu\) here, on the wondrous beauty of its diction, to
\(\theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho i ́ \omega \nu \pi o ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon\) vi \(\lambda o \delta \rho o ́ \mu \omega \nu\) \(\mu \eta े \lambda \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu\) ．MN．ßo \(\mu \beta a \lambda \circ \beta o \mu \beta a ́ \xi\) ．

\author{
 \\ \(\pi \rho o ́ \mu o s\) ท̀ \(\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s-\quad\) MN．\(\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \iota \nu \epsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) ； \\  \\  \\ \(\kappa \alpha ́ \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \in \alpha s \dot{a} \psi i \delta \partial \alpha s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \hat{\omega} \nu\) ，

}

45．\(\beta \circ \mu \beta a \dot{\xi} \xi]\) The flow of soft words is interrupted by an ejaculation of de－ rision on the part of Mnesilochus，which corresponds very nearly to Mr．Bur－ chell＇s＂Fudge＂in the Vicar of Wake－ field．It is employed in much the same way by Plantus；Pseudolus I．iii． 131.

48．\(\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \omega \nu\) ］not be unloosed，from sleep or stillness ：the very reverse of Homer＇s phrase，\(\gamma v i a\) iá \(\lambda \nu \nu \tau(u\), of limbs relaxed by death or weariness．

52．סovóxous］the stocks：the frame－ work or scaffolding on which a ship is built in the dockyard：кирíws סрv́oдоi
 \(\nu \in \omega \dot{\omega}\) ．－Scholiast．Eustathius in his note on Odyssey xix．574，partly cited by Brunck，is very clear and elaborate in his explanation of the word ；\(\delta\) pvo \(\chi o l ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}\)

 \(\pi \lambda\) oí \(\omega \nu\) סıà iбóт \(\eta\) тa（to keep it level）．каi




 \(\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \xi u ́ \lambda a ~ \sigma v \nu є к \tau \iota к a ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu a \iota ~ \tau р о ́ \pi \epsilon \omega s . ~\) Some writers indeed，both ancient and
modern，take the \(\delta\) puóxous themselves to be the ribs of the vessel．Torr＇s ＂Ancient Ships，＂p． 39 note．The question is too long to be discussed in a footnote，but I am satisfied that the explanation of Eustathius is the true one，and that the \(\delta\) ovoo \(\chi\) o were a frame－ work external to the ship．And this is t＂ some extent confirmed by the present passage．Agathon merely sets or erects， riAnol，the \(\delta \rho v o ́ \chi o u s\) ；he hends and shapes，ка́ \(\mu \pi \tau \epsilon\) ，the timber for the keel and ribs．\(\dot{a} \psi i s\) signifies anything cir－ cular or curved，and is here applied to the curved ship－timber．

54．Topvev́vi］Of the seven verbs which follow，the first，and（in part）the second and third，carry on the ship－building metaphor．（1）ropvevet therns，is：with a turner＇s lathe ：sec Odyssey v．249． （2）кол入онєлєi，glues together melodies， probably a word coined by A ristophanes． （3）\(\gamma \nu \omega \mu о \tau v \pi \epsilon i\) ，custs thoughts into the mould．（4）àvторо \(\mu\) 亿̧́єt，employs ome word for another，övoда ivti ìópatos

 off：see Peace 28 ；and（7）रo九ขєiєє， funnels，moulds．The last two processes are，possibly，enumerated merely fos．

\title{
\(\kappa \alpha i \gamma \nu \omega \mu о \tau v \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau о \nu о \mu \alpha ́ \varsigma \epsilon \iota\) \\ каì кךрохขтє̂̂ каi \(\gamma о \gamma \gamma u ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota\) \\ каì Хоаvєv́єı. MN. каì 入аıка́ \({ }^{\prime} \epsilon \iota\).
}



бvүүоүүv入íбas каi \(\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \psi \alpha s\)
тоขтi тò тє́os Xoavєv̂ \(\sigma \alpha \iota\).






the purpose of assisting the retort of Mnesilochus. In a note on Horace A. P. 441 (et male tornatos incudi reddere versus), Bentley translates these lines as follows: flectit autem novas rersuum curvaturas; nune tornat, nune conglutinat; munc sententias ponit, nunc antonomasias; nunc incerat, nune rotundat, nume conflat.
58. Өрıукоїs] eaves. Өргүкós• \(\dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu \eta\)
 \(\tau \epsilon i \chi o u s\), '̇ \(\phi\) ' ồ кaì \(\grave{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \gamma \eta\) kєîtat.-Hesychius. \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) oikı \(\omega \bar{\nu} \tau \grave{a}\) à \(\nu \omega \tau a ́ \tau \omega^{*} \mu \epsilon \tau a \phi o-\)

 thius too, on Odyssey vii. 87, comects the word with rpíxes. And if this is the true derivation, the word is, as it were, the converse of our common metaphor, the penthouse of the lirow. Mnesilochus borrows from the servant
the words \(\gamma 0 \gamma \gamma \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota, \chi o \alpha \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota\), and \(\theta \rho \iota \gamma-\) кois, and gives them a different and perverted signification. With him \(\theta \rho \iota \gamma \kappa o \hat{v}\) is equivalent to \(\pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau o \hat{v}\). The words \(\sigma v \gamma \gamma o \gamma \gamma v \lambda i \sigma a s ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi a s ~ w o u l d ~\) recall to the audience a line (975) of the Lysistrata, acted in the preceding year ; and though he is embarrassed by having to employ words not cognate to his subject, "tamen apparet," says Bergler, "ad quid paratum se dicat Mnesilochus : est autem id, quod eum Euripides dicebat antehac etiam commisisse in Agathonem supra 35."
63. \(\bar{\eta} \pi o v\) ] Perhaps the most accurate rendering of these words is I guess that ; cf. Frogs 803, Plutus 832. The expression is a favourite one with Euripides, and occurs nowhere so frequently as in his " recent Helen." See the notes on lines 6 and 14 supra. In that Play



MN．\(\nu \grave{\eta}\) тoùs \(\theta \epsilon o u ̀ s ~ є ́ \gamma \grave{\omega} \pi v \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\) ßoú入o \(\mu \alpha \iota\)
тí тò тра̂ү \(\mu \alpha\) тоuтí．тí \(\sigma \tau \in ́ v \epsilon \iota s ; ~ \tau i ́ ~ \delta v \sigma \phi о р є i ̂ s ; ~\) oủ \(\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \kappa \rho \cup ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\) ，oै \(\nu \tau \alpha \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \frac{\epsilon}{} \mu o ́ \nu\) ．
EX．＇Є́ \(\sigma \tau \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa o ́ v ~ \mu о \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha ~ \tau \iota \pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \phi \cup \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu 0 \nu\).


MN．к \(\alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \hat{\omega} s\) ；＇่̇ \(\pi \epsilon i ̀ \nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma^{\prime}\) oư \(\tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \iota \alpha\)
 є́ \(\pi \epsilon \grave{\imath} \tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta\)＇\(\sigma \tau i ̀ \Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi \circ \rho i ́ \omega \nu, \dot{\eta}\) М \(\epsilon ́ \sigma \eta\) ．
E؟．тoût＇aúтò \(\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho\) тoı \(\kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \pi \rho o \sigma \delta o \kappa \hat{\omega}\) ．
 \(\kappa \dot{\alpha} \nu\) © \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о\) фópoıv \(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ ~ \mu o v \tau \tilde{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu\)
it is found no less than four times， viz． \(575,600,791,1465\) ．It occurs twice in the Phoenissae，the Supplices， and the Troades，but in no other Play have I observed it more than once．

66．aùrós］of himself，without．being summoned，as in Lysistrata 1107，Plutus 965 ，and elsewhere．At the close of this speech this servant re－enters the house of Agathon；and Euripides is again alone with Mnesilochus．

75．\(\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \phi \cup \rho а \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \nu]\) alread！concocted， literally，kneaded beforehand．Bergler compares Birds \(462 \pi \rho о \pi \epsilon ф\) и́ратає \(\lambda\) óyos I have a speech all ready．

79．\(\left.\epsilon \delta \rho_{a}\right]\) a sitting．This vacation，so to call it，would naturally be confined to the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i a\) or day of fasting，and therefore there would be no reason to doubt the statement of Xenophon（Hell． V．ii．29）that the Theban Council was
sitting，whilst the women in the Cad－ meia were celebrating the Thesmo－ phoria，even if the Theban Thesmo－ phoria were celebrated at the same time（which is not the fact）and under the same conditions（which is not probable）as the Athenian festival．

80．\(\dot{\eta}\) Méoq］The Intermediate Day， the day between the Kítooos，or Descent into Hades，and the Ka入入ı \(\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\epsilon} \nu \in \iota a\) ，or fair new birth of the Resurrection Morning． The details of the Thesmophoria are discussed at length in the Introduction， where also the schoslium on the present passage will be found set out in full．

83．кảv Өєт \(\mu\) oфrópotv］Here，as in és Өєбдофо́pour six lines below，the word \(\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi o \rho_{\rho} \iota \nu\) is in the genitive case，\(\tau \bar{\varphi}\) \(i \in \rho \hat{\omega}\) being understood here，and rò \(i \in \rho o ̀ v\) there．The Scholiast says，＇Atтiк＇ท



MN．\(\nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu\) Пoбєє \(\delta \hat{\omega}, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta i ́ k \alpha \iota \alpha ́ ~ \gamma ' ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta o l s . ~\)
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E؟．\(\lambda \alpha^{\prime} \theta \rho a, \sigma \tau o \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ \eta \quad \mu \phi \iota \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu\) ．
 фóvŋs．
 from èmィßєßov入єúvaб兀 just above．Mnesi－ lochus，knowing that his companion is the prince of tricksters（infra 94） with ten thousand shifts and artifices at his command（infra 927），does not doubt that he is provided with a scheme for evading the present difficulty ；and consequently his question is not＂Have you any scheme＂but＂What is your scheme＂for doing so ？
 the champion＇s cake．Like Dionysus in Frogs 1214，he is for the moment identifying limself with the art，
and the cause，of Euripides．The phrase is repeated from Knights 277. A \(\pi v \rho a \mu o \hat{s}\) was a cake of which the principal ingredients were parched wheat and boiled honey，and which is specially known as the prize awarded to the man who in an all－night drinking－ bout，with all his companions asleep， around him，kept awake till sumrise， like Socrates in the Symposium of Plato， or the＂doctor of tremendous paunch＂ in Thomson＇s Seasons．A comic poet of the name of Callippus is known to us only by two lines which Athenaeus （xv．chay．7）preserves from his חav－ voxis or＂All－night Revel．＂

Who keeps awake shall take the cake（ \(\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \cup \rho \alpha \mu o u v \tau a . \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \psi \epsilon \tau \alpha\) ）
And kiss whichever girl he pleases．
And that a kiss was a recognized prize，Athenaeus goes on to say，is shown by these lines of Eubulus．

> O Ladies, dance the whole night through,
> And keep with mirth and joyance due
> The tenth-day of this child of mine. And I'm prepared, with bounty free, To give the winner ribbons three,
> And apples five, and kisses nine.
 тô̂ \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s ~ o ̀ ~ \pi v \rho \alpha \mu o u ̂ s . ~\)




 （ \(\mu \iota \nu \nu \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ́ s)\)
MN．\(\mu \dot{v} \rho \mu \eta \kappa o s \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \alpha \pi o v ̀ s, ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau i ́ \delta \iota \alpha \mu \iota \nu v ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) ：

The Scholiast on Knights 277 says





 Scholiast here adds，עùv ov̉v тaíty \(\tau \hat{\eta}\)
 mavovpyía \(\pi\) ávtas．And see Athenaeus xiv．chap． 56 ad fin．
95．\(\left.{ }^{\prime} \xi \in \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a t\right]\) The same word is used of Agathon＇s servant，supra 36，but the master and servant do not make their exit in the same fashion．Here by the operation of the machine ealled the＇̇́кк⿺𠃊八кл \(\eta \mu a\) ，the front wall of Agathon＇s house slowly revolves outward as on a hinge，bringing with it a part of the interior，and discovering Agathon in his chamber，preparing to sing the lyrics which，as we have already been informed，he has just been engaged in composing．Both the chamber and its occupant are arrayed in the softest and most luxurious style，and Mnesilochus affects to mistake the effeminate poet for the courtesan Cyrene，who is men－
tioned again in Frogs 1328．Brunck thinks that Agathon here，like Euripides in the Acharnians，is in the upper story， where the women＇s apartments would be ：but considering the ease with which he hands articles to the actors on the stage，this seems to me very unlikely． As a prelude to his songs，he gives，out a little fantastical warble，which in the stage direction is called \(\mu \iota \imath v \rho \iota \sigma \mu\) ós．
 \(\lambda_{\epsilon \pi \tau a ̀ ̀ ~ к а і ̈ ~ a ̆ \gamma к и ́ \lambda a ~ a ̀ ~ a ̀ a к р о v o \mu e ́ v o v ~}^{\mu \epsilon \lambda} \eta\) той
 óooi．－Scholiast．The expression is in－ tended to signify both the finikin and the intricate character of the notes， by comparing them to the tiny and innumerable galleries in an ant－hill． Pherecrates in the well－known passage preserved by Plutarch（De Musica，
 кias the musical novelties introduced by the celebrated Timotheus of Miletus； and Meineke，in his note on that fragment，refers to Aelian（H．A．vi．43），

 which，at all events，shows the idea

\(\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha ́ \delta \alpha\), кои̂ \(\rho \alpha \iota, \xi \grave{v} \nu\) द́ \(\lambda \epsilon v \theta\) ढ́ \(\rho \alpha\)
\(\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \delta \iota ~ X o \rho \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \in \beta\) оấ.


баípovas \({ }^{\epsilon} X \in \iota \sigma \in \beta i ́ \sigma \alpha \iota\).
which the ancients attached to the galleries in an ant-hill.

101-129. Agathon sings the lyrical dialogue which he has just composed. It purports to be a dialogue between a Leader and a band of female worshippers: but both parts are sung by Agathon himself, and to insert "Agathon's Chorus " amongst the Dramatis Personae, as is universally done by modern editors, from Brunck and Bekker downwards, is really the height of absurdity. of 'A \({ }^{\prime} \theta \omega \nu\), says the



 (He means that we are listening not to a dramatic exhibition, but to a poet composing verses.) \(\delta \iota o ̀ ~ к а і ̀ ~ \chi о р ı к a ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~\)
 indeed Aristophanes himself makes this perfectly clear. The servant describes Agathon alone as coming forth to mould his strophes in the sun's warm rays, supra 66-69; he alone is seen in the eccyclema, supra 96 ; he alone \(\mu \in \lambda \omega \delta \in i \nu\) тараткєváלєтal, supra 99 ; and when the conversation is over, he alone is wheeled in again, infra 265. Still, the lyrics wre in the form of a dialogue, and it being necessary for the eonvenience
of the reader that the parts should be distinguished, I have inserted (in brackets) the appellations of íroкрьтウs and xopos. It is not likely that the dialogue is actually borrowed from Agathon's works, but it is undoubtedly intended to convey an accurate idea of his style, which consisted of a series of pretty conceits, destitute alike of the heroic dignity of Aeschylus and of the argumentative subtlety of Euripides. The language has been much confused by transcribers, and it is impossible now to recover the original metrical system, which seems to have been mostly choriambic and trochaic. A favourite line seems to have been one compounded of a paeon and a choriamb,
 \(\hat{a} \mid \pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \lambda \epsilon \chi \hat{\eta}(119)\), ä \(\rho \sigma \epsilon \nu \iota\) ßo|ậ \(\delta о к i \mu \omega(125)\).

101-103. 'Iєрà̀ . . . ßoâ] Receive, O damsels, the torch holy to the netherworld Goddesses ( \(\tau \hat{\eta}\) \(\Delta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \rho \imath\) каi т \(\hat{\eta}\) Kópŋ!.— Scholiast) and dance the Choral dance with the free song of your fatherland. Lighted torches were carried in their festivals, because Demeter had sought
 є́Xovaa, Homeric Hynı, 48, 61. Cf. infra 280, 1151. Boạ, without which the words \(\xi \dot{v} \nu \quad \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \quad \pi a r \rho i \delta \iota \quad\) are unintelligible, is Kuster's emendation

```

    X \(\rho v \sigma \epsilon \in \omega \nu\) р́v́тора \(\tau o ́ \xi \omega \nu\)
    Фоîßov, ôs íठри́бато \(\chi^{\omega ́ \rho \alpha s}\)
    रv́a入 \(\sum_{\imath \mu} \mu \nu \nu \tau i ́ \delta \iota \gamma \alpha \underset{c}{\alpha}\).
    ```

```

    Фоīß', \({ }^{\epsilon} \nu \in \dot{u} \mu o v ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \tau \iota \mu \alpha i ̂ s\)
    ```
for \(\beta\) oád．From the use of \(\beta\) on here， and infra 125，to signify the choral song，we may surmise that this was a special usage of the word by Agathon． Kuster would also change \(\pi a \tau p i \delta \iota \iota\) into matpia，which would be probable enongh if it were reading the language of Aristophanes，and not，in fact or in imitation，the language of Agathon．

104－106．tivı ．．．\(\sigma \epsilon \beta i \sigma a \iota]\) To which （that is，in honour of which）of the deities is this revel？Tell me now．My mind is easily swayed to worship the deities．тò̀ \(\mu \grave{\partial} \nu\) is equivalent to \(\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}\) ， and \(\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi i \sigma \tau \omega s{ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\chi} \chi \in \iota\) to \(\epsilon v ̃ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon i \mu \iota\) ．The speakers formed the Chorus of the Tragedy ；but whom they represented it is impossible to say．Bothe＇s con－ jecture from lines 109，110，that they were Trojan virgins，rests on a very insecure foundation：whilst nothing can be more improbable than Fritzsche＇s notion that they were the \(\theta i a \sigma o s\) Movañ mentioned supra 41．Although they are carrying the torches sacred to the Thesmophorian goddesses，they do not know whom they are to worship，and are quite willing to worship any deity； and they welcome with enthusiasin the information that Apollo and Artemis， with their mother Leto，and the Harp wherewith Apollo wakes the heavenly
dance，are to be the objects of their immediate devotion．

107－110．ä \(\left.\gamma \epsilon \nu v \nu . . . \gamma^{\alpha}\right]\) Come then， O Muse，and bless the God who draus the golden bow，Phoebus，who walled the country＇s glades in the land of the River Simoeis；ôs \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu{ }^{*} 1 \lambda t o \nu\)＇̇ \(\tau \epsilon i \chi \iota \sigma \in \nu\) ，as the Scholiast rightly explains it．The ex－
 denote the Trojan land by Euripides， Hec．641．子vàov too is frequently used by the same poet in the sense of a ＂hollow glade＂；and is strangely similar to our west－country gully or goyal（see Mr．Blackmore＇s＂Lorna Doone，＂chap．iii）and our north－ country ghyll（see Wordsworth＇s＂Idle Shepherd Boys＂）．ö \(\lambda \beta \iota \zeta \epsilon\) is Bentley＇s correction of the MS． \(0 \pi \lambda_{2} \zeta \epsilon\) ，and is unquestionably right．Just below，the Chorus undertake \({ }^{\circ} \lambda \beta i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu^{*} \mathrm{~A} \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu\) ；and the present injunction is literally obeyed infra 129，Хaîp＇，ö̉ \(\beta \iota \epsilon\) тaî ムatoûs． It seems probable that the word ö \(\lambda \beta \iota o s\) was a favourite one with Agathon．
111－113．хаїрє ．．．\(\pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu]\) We greet thee with our loveliest hymns，O Phoebus， who avardest the sacred guerdon in on－ fair musical celebrations．Many however take \(\gamma \epsilon ́ p a s \pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \nu\) to mean，not award－ ing，but corrying off the prize．Fritzsche， for example，explains it as signifying

\author{
 \\  \\ ．．．кóp \(\alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i ́ \sigma \alpha \tau^{\prime}\) \\ ＂А \(\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu\)＇А \(\gamma \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu\) ． \\  रóvov ỏ \(\lambda\) ßí̧ova \(\alpha\) \atoûs， ＂А \(\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \lambda \epsilon \chi \hat{\eta}\) ． \\  \(\pi o \delta i \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \cup ้ \rho v \theta \mu \alpha\) Фрvyí \(\omega\)
}
＂Apollinem cantorem in certaminibus musicis alios，qui cum ipso contendant， omnes vincere．＂But this interpretation seems very improbable．

114－116．tàv ．．．＇A \(\gamma \rho o t \epsilon ́ p a \nu]\) Sing too the Maiden in the oak－bearing mountains， the huntress Artemis．For Artemis was queen both of the mountains and of the forest．＂Latona bare thee，＂says Catullus in his glyconic hymn to Diana， ＂Montium domina ut fores，Sylvarum－ que virentium，＂Carmen 34．Cf．Eur． Troades 551．¡̀＇A \(\gamma \rho 0\) т́́pa was a common title of the goddess．See Knights 660 ， Lys． 1262.
 in with songs of praise，blessing the exalted rhild of Leto，the stainless virgin Artemis． Compare with this the entrance－hymms of Hippolytus and the Chorus in the Hippolytus of Euripides．

120－122．Аaтஸ́ \(\tau \epsilon\) ．．．Харі́тшу］Sing too of Leto，and the smiting of the Asian lyre，keeping time with the dunce of the riraces，the whinling dance rhythmical to the Phrygian style．Leto，as usual，is mentioned after，and in subordination to，her divine children．See Gladstone＇s
＂Homer and the Homeric Age，＂Olym pus，section 2．The lyre is the heavenly lyre of Apollo，which awakens the songs and dances of the immortal gods ； cf．Birds 219 and the commencement of Pindar＇s first Pythian Ode．крой \(a\) is the regular word for the stroke of the plectrum on the chords of the lyre．
 －Scholiast．The lyre was so called because it was invented in Lydia，in the district specially known as＂Asia．＂ The passages in which the name is mentioned are collected by Kuster． ＂We should not hear with more delight the strains of the Asian lyre，＇A \(\sigma\) ádos廿óфоу кıӨápas，＂say the Chorus in Eur． Cyclops 443，＂than the news of the Cyclops＇death．＂＇Eтoıiŋ \(\theta \eta\) ס̇́，says Plu－ tarch，de Musica，chap．vi，кaì тò \(\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a\)


 \(\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̂\)＇Aбía катo七кoûvzas．Hesychius， s．v．＇A泣s，explains it by \(\dot{\eta}\) кıӨápa，ठıı̀̀
 on Apollonius Rhodius ii．779，after observing that Lydia was formerly

\author{
 \\  кíӨарív тє \(\mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \rho^{\prime}\) ข́ \(\mu \nu \omega \nu\) ，

}
called＂Asia，＂adds каì ì kıӨápa＇Aซıàs
 Etymol．Magn．s．vv．＇Aбtâtıs，and
 heading the author says，\(\tau \bar{\eta} s\) kıAápas．

 many reminiscences of Euripides in this lyrical dialogue，but they are， perhaps，more directly reminiscences of Agathon，who may himself have borrowed them from the elder Poet．

 would not have supposed the wild enthusiastic Phrygian mode or harmony， however fit for the dances of the Trojan women（Eur．Troades，151，545），to be suitable for the stately movement of the heavenly Graces．The line may seem to lend some colour to Bothe＇s view，mentioned in the note on 104－106 supra，as to the composition of Agathon＇s Chorus．

123－129．бє́ßoдaı ．．Aatoûs］I worship Leto the queen，and the lyre the mother of hymns，with notable masculine song：by which（that is，the lyre）and by means of
our suddenl！raised voices，light flashess from eyes divine．For this cause magnify King Phoebus．Hail to thee，Leto＇s blessed son．The epithet äpoधv，whether bor－ rowed from Agathon or not（cf．Persius， vi．4），is placed by Aristophanes in the maidens＇song，to ridicule the effeminacy of Agathon and Agathon＇s poetry．With these lines Agathon concludes his lyrics， and we know from the stage－directions that as he himself gave a little warble at the commencement，so Mnesilochus gives a squeal of pleasure at the end． The expression ỏ ò \(\lambda \dot{u} \zeta \epsilon \iota\) ó \(\gamma^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu\)＂signi－ ficat，＂as Kusterobserves，＂Mnesilochum， audito cantico Agathonis，prae voluptate et laetitia clamorem edidisse．＂His expressions of delight are continued through the first four lines of his speech． The strain is \(\theta \eta \lambda \nu \delta \rho \omega \omega \delta \epsilon s\) ，soft，voluptuous， like Agathon himself ：and катє \(\boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\omega} \omega \tau \tau \sigma-\) \(\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu o \nu\) and \(\mu a \nu \delta a \lambda \omega \tau \dot{\partial} \nu\) ，suggestive of lascirious kisses ；Ach．1201，Clouds 51. The last of the four lines（＂podicem ipsum subierit titillatio．＂－Kuster）may have been in Persius＇s mind when he wrote lines 20， 21 of his first Satire．Of course，in the intention of Aristophanes，

these compliments are part of the satire．

130．Гєעєтv入入íiєs］\(\delta a i \mu \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \Gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau v \lambda \lambda i s\) \(\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A} \phi \rho о \delta i ́ \tau \eta \nu\) ．фабì ठє̀ \(\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\)

 ＇́фópous，кaì \(\pi a ́ \lambda \iota v ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu\) rò \({ }_{\text {оैvо }} \boldsymbol{\mu}\) а \(\pi \epsilon \pi о \stackrel{\eta}{\sigma} \theta a t\) ．－Scholiast．Here we have Genetyllides in the plural：in Clouds 52 and Lysist．2，Genetyllis occurs in the singular，and the Scholiast on the Clouds says \(\Gamma \in \nu \epsilon \tau u \lambda \lambda i s\) ．\(\dot{\eta}\) т \(\bar{\eta} s\)
 between the principal goddesses and their satellites was never very clearly drawn．For the present purpose it is sufficient to know that the Genetyllides were amatory deities，specially wor－ shipped by women．

135．Avкovрүías］\(\tau \grave{\eta} v \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \lambda o \gamma i ́ a \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon\) Avkovpriav，＇Hסفvoùs，Bafoapíaas，Nєa－ víбкоиs，ムuкои̂ \(\rho \gamma\) о̀ тò̀ батирєкóv．入є́ \(\gamma \epsilon \iota\)

 fípus moans a weak，womanish fellow ；
 à \(\delta \rho \rho\)＇́vvoos，\(\mu \pi \lambda a k o ̀ s, ~ S u i d a s . ~ T h e ~ s t o r y ~\) of Lycurgus，to which Diomed refers in the sixth［liad，as a warrant for his resolve，＂Of a truth I will nowise fight with the Gods，the abiders on high＂ （Way），is told with considerable varia－ tions by Diodorus Siculus（iii．65）， Apollodorus（iii．34），and Hyginus（Fab． 132）．He was King of the Edonians who dwelt by the River Strymon，and drove Dionysus and the Bacchae with insult from his dominions．For this he was punished with madness；and under the delusion that he was hacking down vines，he slew his wife and son and （some said）himself．But cf．Soph． Antigone 955．In the scene to which Mnesilochus is referring，Lycurgus，like Penthens in the＂Bacchae，＂has anrested and is questioning Dionysus ；and in all probability not line 136 only，but the remaining questions are taken，with comic additions and variations，from the Tragedy of Aeschylus．And compare


\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{s} \gamma v \nu \eta \grave{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon i \tau \alpha \alpha \pi o v \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \iota \tau \theta i \alpha ;\)

145
 グкоv \(\sigma \alpha, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}\) ä \(\lambda \gamma \eta \sigma \iota \nu\) ov \(\pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \chi o ́ \mu \eta \nu \cdot\)





Frogs 47．The Scholiast further tells us that Eubulus commenced the Comedy， which after the Sicilian tyrant of that name he called Dionysius，by a similar enumeration of incongruous articles to be seen in the tyrant＇s residence；

 oikia катà́érav．As to orpódooy and кєкри́фадıos，a woman＇s sash and hair－net， see the notes on 249 and 257 infra ， where Agathon is asked to lend these articles to Mnesilochus．And as to the Аакшугкхi，the red Laconian shoes（à \(\overline{\delta \rho \epsilon \in i a ~}\) iлпоঠ́цата．－Scholiast），see Wasps 1158， Eccl． 345 and the notes there．We have seen in the note on Eccl． 537 that \(\lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa v \theta o \mathrm{o}\) ，oil bottles，were in constant request by an Athenian，＂in his house， at the bath，in the gymnasium，and even on the battlefield，＂and from the \(\lambda \eta_{\kappa \kappa v}\) 促 being here contrasted with a woman＇s sash，we may infer that its use，during life，was practically confined to males． With line 140 Bergler compares a line
of Epicharmus，tís yà \(\rho\) кaтónt \(\rho \omega\) ка̀̀ тиф入へ̂ коıгшvia；Stohacus，Flor．xc．8， which Aristophanes seems here to be parodying．


147．ті̀े ả̀ \(\gamma \eta \sigma \iota \nu\) к．т．．．．］оі้к \(\epsilon \lambda \nu \pi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu .-\) Scholiast．＂dolorem nullum ostendi．＂ Bergler．In the following line ä \(\mu a\) रиஸ́м \(\eta\) means of set purpose．Bergler says＂consulto．Itlem est quod \(\xi \stackrel{\nu}{\nu} \nu \stackrel{\varphi}{\text { ，}}\) Nub．580，et ä \(\mu a\) ante \(\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta\) ponitur pro \(\sigma \dot{\nu} v\) ，ne fiat confusio cum \(\sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta\) ．＂ This is better than the interpretation of

151．nütíca］for example ；as in Waspss 1190，Birds 166，378，483，574，786，and 1000，Plutus 130．For its frerpuent occurrence，in this sense，in Plato，see Rulnken＇s Timaeus，s．v．रvvaıкєia סpá－

 a \(\nu \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu\) ．The drama therefore on which Agathon was engaged，justified his adoption of womanly habiliments．

\author{
 \\  \\  \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \rho Х{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau 0 \hat{\nu} \theta^{\prime}\). à \(\delta^{\prime}\) ov̉ \(\kappa \in \kappa \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\), 155

}

152. \(\mu \epsilon \tau=v \sigma\) ià \(\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in \epsilon \downarrow\right]\) to share, participate in; cf. Frogs 446, " muliebrium morum corpus ipsum poetae particeps esse oportet."-Brunck.
153. Фaiópav] This, and the next, observation of Mnesilochus, appear to be addressed, aside, to Euripides. Agathon takes no notice of them, nor is there any known Play of his to which they would seem to be applicable. On the other hand, the "Hippolytus" of Euripides was called the "Phaedra"; and is so named in the great Florentine MS. of Euripides (Valckenaer, Diatribe, p. 16) ; whilst the Satyrs form the Chorus of the "Cyclops," and doubtless of other Plays of Euripides. As to \(\kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \tau i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu\), see Wasps 501, and the note there.
155. inápxov] that is, by nature. The translation gives a different turn to the sentence.
159. ä \(\mu\) ovarov] out of harmony, incongruous.
161. "Ißvкоs к.т.入.] These three PoetsIbycus of Rhegium, Anacreon of Teos, and Alcaeus of Mitylene-are selected as the three most notable authors of the worst kind of erotic poetry. Two of them are coupled in the same way in the line cited by Athenaeus xv. 49
from our poet's "Banqueters" " \(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\boldsymbol{q} \sigma o \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \mu o \iota}\) бкодєо́v тו \(\lambda_{a} \beta \grave{\omega} \nu\) 'А Акаіои к’Аขакрє́оутоя. And all three are grouped together for precisely the same reason in a passage of the Tusculan Disputations to which Dr. Blaydes refers. The Roman orator is inveighing against the dissolute poetry of the Greeks. "Who knows not," says he, "quae de iuvenum amore scribit Alcueus? Nam Anacreontis quidem tota poesis est amatoria. Maxime vero omnium flagrasse amore Rheginum Ibycum apparet ex scriptis. Atque horum omnium libidinosos esse amores videmus" (iv. 33). The Scholiast here intervenes with great effect in a difference of opinion which existed between the grammarians Aristophanes and Didymus about the reading 'A \(\lambda\) кaios. "In some copies," says he, "the name is written 'Axaios, and the older copies had it so. And Aristophanes it was who changed it to 'A \(\lambda\) кaîos. For they are talking of old authors, but Achaeus was of later date." (He was eleven years younger than Sophocles.) "And the argument of Didymus that they could not be referring to Alcaeus, since his writings, owing to their dialect, were not familiar to the public, is sheer nonsense ; for Alcaeus is parodied both
ì \(\alpha \sigma \nu \mu \pi o \iota \hat{\omega} \sigma o u ̛ \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu\) є่ \(\sigma \tau v \kappa \grave{\omega}\) є́ \(\gamma \omega\).

\author{

 \\  \\ к’А入каîos, oїтє \(\rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu о \nu i ́ \alpha \nu\) є́ \(\chi\) Ú \(\mu \iota \sigma \alpha \nu\), є́ \(\mu \iota \tau \rho о ф о ́ \rho о \nu \nu ~ \tau \epsilon к \alpha i \delta_{\iota \epsilon к \iota \nu} \boldsymbol{v} \nu \theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon ́ \pi \omega S\),
}
in the Wasps (1232) and in the Birds (1410). And elsewhere Didymus says that the name Alcaens may stand; only they are not referring to the lyric Poet (repeating the old argument about his poetry being unfamiliar), but to a harper whom Eupolis mentions in his 'Golden Age.' But what have we to do with a harper here, when the talk is of Poets?"
 liast. Rendered soft and succulent; from \(\chi{ }^{v} \mu \dot{s}\), the juice or liquid subsisting in animal or vegetable bodies.
 MSS. and some early editions read \(\tau \in \kappa a i\) \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa i \nu \omega \nu\) 'I \(\omega \nu \iota \kappa \omega ิ \varsigma\), the others having \(\tau є \kappa\) каi \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa i \nu o v \nu\) ' \(1 \omega \nu \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s\). And so Harpocration s. v. 'I \(\omega \nu i k o ̀ s\), for which Vales in his note there proposed \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \iota \nu o i ̀ \tau \tau^{\prime}\), a suggestion approved by both Kuster and Bergler, though both retain \(\delta \iota \epsilon к i \nu o v \nu\) in the text. But all these readings give a syllable too many to the line. Nor can it be set right by the omission of the enclitic \(\tau \epsilon\), since кıvé \(\omega\), as Toup pointed out, always has the first syllable long. Commentators have consequently made every effort to discover a metrical substitute for \(\delta_{\iota \epsilon \text { kipouv. Of }}\) the words suggested, Toup's \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu\) '
has been the most generally accepted; though Fritzsche's kúx \(\lambda i \delta \omega \nu\) has also received some little support. But \(\delta \iota \epsilon-\) \(\kappa \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \nu o\) introduces a stronger element than we should expect to find coupled with '̇цıтрофо́povv, and on the lips of Agathon; whilst кá \(\chi \lambda i \delta \omega \nu\) bears little resemblance to the MS. reading. And for my own part, I camnot bring myself to doubt that Aristophanes wrote \(\delta\) tekt\(\nu \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau^{\prime}\), or rather \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \iota \nu \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \theta^{\prime}\), and that the error should be sought in the adverb, and not in the verb. It seems to me that 'I \(\omega \nu \kappa \omega \hat{s}\) sprang from a misreading of \(\theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega s\), and being very pat to the meaning, though in no way necessary (the idea being already implied in \(\dot{\epsilon} \mu t-\) т 0 oф́pouv), has ever since maintained its usurped position without exciting suspicion. The words \(\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \iota \nu o v ̀ \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \in\) \(\pi \omega s\) correspond very closely to the \(\omega \delta i\) \(\delta \iota a ß a ̀ s, \delta \iota a \kappa \iota \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \iota s \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \iota\) of Wasps
 \(\pi \omega s\) ©́di \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a ́ \gamma \omega \nu\). Agathon here, its Bdelycleon in the Wasps, is giving a specimen of the motus Iomicos (Horace, Ode III. vi. 21). Nothing is more common in Aristophanes than for a speaker thus to illustrate his words. To the passages cited in the note to Wasps 1526 add Knights 21, Peace 36, Frogs 1029.


 \({ }_{o} \mu \circ \iota \alpha \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota\).







MN．\(\mu \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \Delta \hat{\imath}\) oủ \(\xi \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} s \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega s\) ． 175


 \({ }_{i} r\) ．－Scholiast．Moreover he was later in date than any of the lyric poets just mentioned，and was certainly exhibit－ ing thagedies after the birth of Euri－ pides．
 Mnesilochus avails himself of the prin－ eiple which Agathon lays down，viz． that there must needs be some resem－ blance between a Pret and his puetry， to critieize three sorry Thagedians who were always obnoxious to Aristophanes． We have met with all three before Philocles，the nephew of Aeschylus，is mentioned in Wasps 462 （where see the note）as the waspish eomposer of waspish tragedies．And in the closing scene of the same play，Xenocles，the most dwarfish of the sons of Carcinus， is introduced upon the stage，to dance the extravagant new dances of the
period in opposition to the old－fash－ ioned Tragic dances of Thespis and Phryniehus．In Frogs 86 the mere mention of his name elieits from Diony－
 see infra 440．Yet Philoeles carried off the prize from Sophocles when the latter exhibited his Oedipus Tyrannus； and Xenocles from Euripides when the latter competed with his Troades．The epithet \(\psi u x \rho o ̀ s, ~ c o l d ~(t h a t ~ i s ~ d u l l, ~ w i t h-~ . ~\) out a vivifying spark of life or genius）， belonged as of right to Theognis，who from his excessive \(\psi v \chi \rho o ́ t \eta s\) had ac－ quired the nickname of \(\mathrm{N} \grave{\mathrm{m}} \nu\) ，Snow：see the Scholiast on Ach．11．In Ach． 140 it is noticed as a elurious coineidence that whilst the Athenian ambassadors were detained in Thrace by frost and snow，the Athenian stage was occupied by the tragedies of Theognis．There was a Theognis among the Thirty
\(\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o u ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \hat{s}\) oîós \(\tau \epsilon \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \tau \nu\) 入ó ous．


 тoîs \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о ф о р i ́ o l s, ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ к \alpha к \omega ิ s ~ a v ̉ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega . ~\)

Er．\(\grave{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}\) ．\(\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\)＇\(\gamma \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \xi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \lambda_{\alpha} \theta_{\rho} \rho \alpha\)



АГ．\(\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} s\) oủk \(\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda o \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \pi \alpha \rho \omega ́ \nu ;\)
Er．＇̇ \(\gamma \grave{\omega} \phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega\) бol．\(\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma к о \mu \alpha \iota \cdot\)


Tyrants ；and the Scholiast on Ach．11， who is copied by Suidas，asserts that the Poet and the Tyrant were one and the same person．The \(\psi v \chi \rho o o^{r} \eta s\) of Theognis is immortalized by the jest of Aristophanes ；the \(\psi v x p o ́ t \eta s\) of Di－ philus，a later dramatist，is immor－ talized by a jest of the witty and dissolute Gnathaena：－

> Quoth Diphilus＂Upon my word， Gnathaena＇s wine is cold as snow．＂
> ＂Why yes，＂Gnathaena says，＂we poured Your dramas in，to make it so．＂

> Machon apud Athen．xiii．cap． 43.

Or rather＂we pour＂；we are in the habit of doing so：it is a recipe we have．

172．є́นаvто̀̀ є＇\(\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \epsilon v \sigma a]\) got mỵself up with careful treatment．He is refer－ ring to his womanly equipment and appearance，so concluding the argu－ ment which he commenced supra 148.

Aєpatev́m however is also a medical term，and Mnesilochus chooses to inter－ pret it of Agathon＇s womanly practices， and inquires what method he follows． But by this time the patience of Euri－ pides is exhausted：he thinks that Mnesilochus has already monopolized the conversation too long；and accord－ ingly bids him＂stop that yapping，＂ and allow him to unfold the errand of life or death which has brought him to Agathon＇s door．

177，178．＇A yát \(\theta \nu\) ．．．入óyous］These two lines are，as Bergler points out． borrowed from the Aeolus of Euripides， except that＇A \(\gamma \dot{d} \theta \omega \nu\) is here substituted for the \(\Pi a i ̂ \delta \in s\) there ：see Stobaeus， Flor．xxxy．3．With the succeeding line the same commentator compares Alcestis 856，каiтєן ßapeía бvцфори̣ \(\pi \epsilon-\) \(\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s\).

\(\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{u} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \pi o s, \lambda \epsilon u \kappa o ̀ s, ~ ُ^{\epsilon} \xi v \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s\),





 \(\tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \sigma \nu \mu \phi \circ \rho \alpha ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\) oủXi \(\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \chi^{\nu} \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\) фє́ \(\rho \in \tau \nu\) סík \(\alpha \iota o \nu, \alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \theta \eta \mu \mu \alpha \iota \nu\).
 oú тoîs \(\lambda o ́ y o \iota \sigma \iota \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha\) тoîs \(\pi \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu\).



\(\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{v} \phi \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon\) \(\begin{aligned} & \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \mathrm{K} u ́ \pi \rho \iota \nu .\end{aligned}\)
\(\delta \eta s \hat{\eta} \nu\).-Scholiast. At the date of this Comedy he was about seventy years old ;
 the author of the Greek Life of Euripides (first printed by Elmsley in his edition of the Bacchae), to which Fritzsche refers.
 'stions Eipltióov (691).-Scholiast. The question is put by Pheres to his son Admetus, who expects his father to die as a substitute for himself. Aristophanes had already parodied the line in Clouds 1415. There is probably a considerable Euripidean element in Agathon's next speech.
199. фє́ \(\rho \epsilon \iota \nu]\) This word, though apt with räjuaбı (ferre patiendo), is hardly suitable with \(\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \dot{c} \sigma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu . ~ F o r ~\) фє́ \(\rho є \iota \nu \tau є \chi \nu a ́ \sigma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu\) must here be taken to mean "to endeavour to escape
troubles by artful dodges, to meet them with artifices," as Euripides is now doing.
201. \(\left.\pi a \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu\right]\) " Hic iam alia \(\pi a \theta_{\eta}^{\prime}\) натa intelliguntur; nempe unde Pathici dicuntur."-Bergler.
205. клє́тrєє ] Euripides will be detected as being a man; Agathon will be suspected as coming to play a woman's part, and to steal away, by attracting to himself, the love which the women would otherwise enjoy. The phrase íфартá̧єı \(\theta_{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu\) Kúmpı appears in a slightly altered form in
 Kúm \(\rho \iota \nu\), and is probably a quotation from, or parody of, some tragic passage. Mnesilochus, in the next line, scorns the euphemistic \(\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\), and insists on calling a spade a spade : nay rather say \(\beta\) ııeí \(\sigma\) Oat.

MN. ídov́ \(\gamma \epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu\) • \(\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i ́ \alpha \beta \iota \nu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu\) oîv.

Er. \(\tau i ́\) oûv ; \(\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ; ~ А \Gamma . ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta o ́ k \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \sigma u ́ . ~\)

MN. \({ }^{\omega} \phi_{i ́ \lambda} \tau \alpha \tau^{\prime}, \bar{\omega} \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ̀, \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \tau o ̀ \nu \pi \rho o \delta \underline{\omega} s\).




\(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau i \mu^{\prime} \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma \delta \rho \alpha \bar{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} ; \quad \mathrm{E} \Upsilon . \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \xi v \rho \in i \nu \tau \alpha \delta i\),
\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu\). MN. à \(\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \bar{\alpha} \tau \tau^{\prime}, \epsilon i ̆ ~ \sigma o l ~ \delta o \kappa \epsilon i ̂ . ~\)





\begin{abstract}
209. à áó̀ \(\omega \lambda^{\prime}\) Eùpıniồs] We have already, supra 77, been told that this
 àmó̀ \(\omega \lambda^{\prime}\) E \(\dot{\rho} \iota \pi i \neq \eta\). Agathon's refusal is decisive in favour of the latter alterna-
\end{abstract} tive ; and so à \(\pi \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \lambda \omega \lambda^{\prime}\) E \(\grave{\rho} \rho \pi \tau i \not \partial \eta s\).
210. \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) aavò̀̀ \(\left.\pi \rho o \delta \hat{\omega}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{s}\right]\) Do not fail yourself in this crisis ; do not give yourself away ; to your own self be true. Cf. Eur. Andromache 191, Plato, Crito chap. 5. So in Latin tute te deseris, Plautus, Epidicus I. i. 88.
215. àmo
 liast. The first step in the process of converting Mnesilochus into a woman is to get rid of the tell-tale hair on his face and limbs; the face is to be shaven, and the limbs are to be singedThe idea of this depilation scene seems to have been borrowed fróm a Play of

Cratinus called by the Scholiast the 'Iסaior, and by Clemens Alexandrinus (Stromata VI. ii. 26) the 'Е \(\mu \pi \iota \pi \rho a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota\), for doubtless, as Dindorf observes, these are two names of the same Play. And Bergk conjectures that the Chorus consisted of Idaean Dactyls, who, it is likely enough, smoothed their bodies and singed off their supertluous hair, as the effeminate ministers of the Phrygian Cybele. See Meineke's Fragm. Com. Graec. ii. 54.
218. छvpoфopeis] " Agatho, sine novaculis nunquam deprehenderis; unam igitur nobis nunc commoda."-Brunck. He has already been described as \(\epsilon^{\prime} \xi v \rho \eta-\) \(\mu \dot{v}\) os, supra 191.
220. \(\gamma \in \nu \nu a i o s \epsilon i]\) These words are a recognition of Agathon's liberality in allowing them the use of his razor. Cf. Frogs 179 . The succeeding line is ad-
\(\kappa \alpha ́ \theta \iota \zeta \xi \cdot \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \alpha ́ \theta o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \in \xi \iota \alpha \prime \nu\).






MN．ỏ入íyov \(\mu\) é \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu o \iota\) Er．\(\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \bar{\omega} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu\)


MN．\(\mu \hat{v} \mu \hat{v} . \quad\) E؟．\(\tau i ́ \mu u ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ; \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega ิ s\).
MN．ої \(\mu о \iota\) какоб \(\alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu\) ，廿८入òs \(\alpha \hat{v} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota\) ．

dressed to Mnesilochus，who accord－ ingly takes his seat，so to say，in the barber＇s chair，and＂blows the swoll＇n cheek of a trumpeter．＂However the operation has hardly commenced when he utters a piereing shriek，as if the razor had gashed his skiu．

22．2．тátтàov］I will clup a gag in your month，says Euripides，if you don＇t kepp silence．Cf．Knights 375,376 ．But a seeond gash follows，and Mnesilochus springs from his chair．

224．тò \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu]\)＂Ad Eu－ menidum funum；non enim，per Cererem， hir manens secandum me praebelo．＂． Brunck．Like the insulted triremes in Knights 1312，he will take refuge in the most sacrosanct and inviolable asylum in Athens，the Temple of the Erinyes，erceted over the fissure still wisible in the rock of Areopagus，through which the dread Goddesses，after their reconciliation with Athene，were be－
lieved to have descended to their ap－ pointed habitation．See the closing scene of the Eumenides，and Euripides， Electra 1270－3．The next line（225） is repeated with a slight variation from Wasps 1442.
 \(\lambda \tilde{\eta} s\) ．－Suidas．\(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu i a \nu ~ к о \mu \omega ิ \nu ~ \gamma \nu i ́ \theta o v, ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu\)
 ridiculus eris semiruso capite？＂－Brunck． The appeal of Euripides prevails，and after this little outbreak Mnesilochus， with the words какодаi \(\mu \omega \nu \dot{\prime} \gamma \dot{\omega}\) ，reluc－ tantly resumes his seat．
230．ддиікvптє］He is to lean back，and elevate his chin，so that Euripides may more easily shave off the hair under－ neath it．

232．廿i入òs \(\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ \sigma о \mu a l] ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ e n t t e r ~\) upon my campaign as a \(\psi i \lambda\) òs，with a play on the double meaning of \(\psi \uparrow \lambda \dot{o} s\) ， a light－armed soldier and a man smooth－ sharen．The shaving is over，and

\section*{ЄEさMOゅOPIAZOヘさAI}
ßоú入єı \(\theta \epsilon \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o ́ v ; ~ M N . ~ \epsilon i ~ \delta о к \epsilon i ̂, ~ ф \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon . ~\) Ex．ópầs \(\sigma \epsilon \alpha \nu \tau o ́ v ; ~ M N\) ．oủ \(\mu \grave{\alpha} \Delta i ̂\) à \(\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) K \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu\) ．

MN．ої \(о \iota\) какобаі́ \(\mu \nu, \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi а ́ к ı о \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ́ \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota . ~\)





Ex．\(\theta \alpha ́ \rho \rho \epsilon \iota . ~ M N . ~ \tau i ́ ~ \theta \alpha \rho \rho \hat{\omega} к а \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \nu \rho \pi о \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о s ;\)

\(\dot{\alpha} \pi о \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ v \eta \kappa \alpha s\) ．MN．\(\phi \hat{v}\) ．iov̀ \(\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \beta o ́ \lambda o v\). \(\alpha i\) iòs \(\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \in \nu \eta \mu \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \alpha\) đ̀े \(\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \rho \alpha ́ \mu \iota \nu\) ．

Euripides hands the victim a mirror （кáтoтт \(\rho \frac{\nu}{2}\) must be understood after \(\phi \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon\) in line 234），that he may see how pretty （ \(\epsilon \dot{j} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta \dot{\eta}\) ）he has become．However when he looks into the mirror，he seems to behold not the manly old Mnesilochus， but Cleisthenes，the most effeminate of Athenians，and the constant butt of Athenian Comedy．Cleisthenes is him－ self introduced on the stage infra 574， and in almost his first words calls， attention to his smoothly shaven cheeks．

236．\(\dot{\text { d }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\boldsymbol{i} \sigma \omega}\) ］Now the singeing is to begin，and Mnesilochus has to stand up，and be singed fore and aft ：first in frout，and then behind．
237．סє \(\varnothing\) ф́ккıo ］a sucking－pig．if is，if
 writer in Alciphron iii．73，д̀ртішs те́токє，

 pigs were much used in sacrifices，and it was customary to remove their bristles
from the hide by singeing ；\(\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a}\) yàp tì
 \(\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma w .-S c h o l i a s t . ~ M n e s i l o c h u s ~ t h i n k=~\) that he will resemble one of these singed sucking－pigs；and Emipides． perhaps，carries on the idea in the reference to his tail，к＇pкos，in the next line but one：though \(\kappa \bar{\epsilon} \rho \kappa\) кos there，of course，involves the double signification which is found in Ach．785－7 ；Horace． Sat．1．ii． 45 ；and elsewhere．
 fire \(; \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon i \tilde{e} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \in \nu \quad\) diкiun，says the Scholiant．

 \(\pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau\) is．．Scholiast．Here ä́ \(\sigma \beta\) òos signi－ fies the fumes arising from the singert hair．The resentment which Mnesilwehus exhibits，two lines below，at the idea of being sponged，arises from that use of the sponge which is mentioned in Froys 487－90．


 \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda\)＇i \(\mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \circ \nu\) रoû \(\chi\) Х \(\hat{\eta} \sigma o \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu\) тоит \(\omega i\)
 АГ．\(\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{\nu} \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta\)＇oủ \(\phi \theta\) ov \(\omega\) ．E؟．тí oû̀ \(\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega\) ；

249．＇A \(\gamma\) á \(\theta \omega v\) к．т．．入．］The person of Mnesilochus，being now smoothed and denuded of hair，has next to be attired in female clothing．They know that Agathon can supply them with this， since he himself，as twe have already seen，is partly equipped as a woman． The costume of men，and the costume of women，were in many respects widely different，but the ground－work of both was the same．Each consisted of two main articles of apparel，（1）a \(\chi\) ぃт \(\grave{\nu}\) ， tunic，or body－robe，which was put on （évóvou，infra 253），and covering the shoulders，reached，in the case of women，to the feet；and（2）an inítiov， or outer mantle，loasely thrown over one shoulder，and drawn underneath the other．The \(\chi\) \(\iota \tau \grave{\omega} \nu\) was an \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \nu \grave{\imath} \nu \mu a\) ，the i \(\mu\) átoo was a \(\pi \epsilon \rho i \beta \lambda \eta \mu a\) ．Here the body－ robe is called крокштòs，and the outer mantle \({ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \kappa \nu \kappa \lambda\) ov，very common names in women＇s costume ；the former from its yellow colour，the latter，probably， from its rounded shape．See the notes on Eccl．318，333，and 536．The strpódeon was the sash or girdle which tied in the кjoкатis undeneath the paps．Agathon has also to furnish a net and hair－band for the head，and a pair of women＇s slippers for the feet， and the toilet is complete．It must be
remembered that he is at this moment attired in a kpok由тòs，a \(\sigma \tau \rho o ́ \phi \ell o \nu\) ，a кєкри́фадоs，and women＇s slippers，supra 138－42．The arrangement of the speeches during the toilet scene is very uncertain，but it seems that Agathon points out the various articles；Euri－ pides takes them and dresses Mnesilo－ chus，whilst the latter，after the painful experiences of the shaving and singeing operations，cannot conceal his delight at finding himself arrayed in these soft and comfortable garments．
250．тovтตi］For the use of Mnesilo－ chus．Enger well observes，＂non sine causa hic tovtei addi，quod oppositum
 quoniam ipse te mihi invides，at saltem hic ut mihi operam possit praestare，mihi commoda vestem．＂iцátoo is here used in the general sense of＂a garment，＂ and not in the special sense mentioned in the preceding note．

252．oủ \(\phi \theta o \nu \omega \hat{\omega}]\) If Euripides had ex－ hibited the＂Madness of Heracles＂ shortly before the date of this Comedy， as is generally supposed，Aristophanes may be referring to the line in that Play（333）which Bergler cites，K \(\sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta^{\prime}\)
 following line èvoióov can be addressed only to the person about to wear the

 \(\sigma u ́ s \omega \sigma o \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu u ́ \sigma \alpha s\) ．ET．\(\alpha \hat{i} \rho \in \nu \hat{v} \nu \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \phi \iota \nu . \quad\) АГ．i\＆ov́． 255 MN．\(i \neq \imath \nu \nu \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \tau \epsilon \lambda o ́ v ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta\) ．

apparel，and Bentley and others would therefore transfer the words \(\tau i\) oủv \(\lambda a ́ \beta \omega\) ； to Mnesilochus．

 àvopós．－Scholiast．Being a woman＇s dress it would naturally smell of some fragrant perfumes，but inasmuch as it has been worn by a man，Mnesilochus substitutes \(\pi\) арi \(\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta о к i a \nu ~ t h i s ~ o b-~\) jectionable word．

256．кaтá \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \iota\) óv \(\mu \epsilon\) ］arrange me neatly about the legs．

257．кєкрифádov каì цітрая］« wuman＇s cap and snood．This was the head－dress of Andromache，which Homer describes
 Il．xxii．469．The кєкри́фадоs was a cap for confining the hair，and was doubtless
made in divers shapes and of divers materials．Sometimes it was a plain kerchief（кєкри́фадо⿱⿻⿰丨丨丷一日 tius），such as the Arnaut women still wear upon their heads．－Dodwell，i． 141. Sometimes it was a net，such as is shown on Pompeian frescoes，＂a net－ work which confined，and more or less concealed the hair．＂－Gladstone＇s Homeric Synchronism，p．50．Its func－ tions are briefly described in an Epigram which appears in a double form in the Anthology（Antipater Sidonius 21； Archias 5）．In that epigram five sisters are bringing gifts to the Heavenly Aphrodite，and one of them，Philaenis by name，offers a кєкри́фадоs．In the first version it is said，

```

    \betaa\pirò\nu å\lambdaòs \pio\lambda\iota\etâs ă\nu0\epsilon\sigmat, к\in\kappaрv́фа\lambdao\nu.
    ```

And in the second， порфи́рєоv Хаíтךs \(\rho\) र́тора，кєкри́фалоv．
\(\mu i \tau \rho a\) means simply a band．As a part of the headgear it was probably a ribbon tying the кєкри́фалоs，and curbing the tooluxuriant tresses．Catullus，describing the reckless grief of the deserted Ariadne， as she watched the receding ship which was carrying from her the faithless Theseus，says that she looked like
a frenzied Maenad，non fluro retinens： subtilem vertice mitram，Non teveti stro－ phio luctantes vincta papillas，lxiv． 63. The use of the \(\mu i \tau \rho a\) as a hair－band seems to have commenced amongst the Asiatics（Hdt．i．195，vii．62， 90 ；cf． Eur．Hec．924，Bacchae 833），amongst whom it was common to meu and


\section*{}

MN. \(\hat{\alpha} \rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \mu о \iota ; \quad \mathrm{Er} . \nu \grave{\eta} \Delta \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \in \mathrm{X} \epsilon \iota\).
\(\phi^{\prime} \rho \rho^{\prime} \notin \gamma к \nu \kappa \lambda о \nu\).

E؟. \(\dot{\tau} \pi \circ \delta \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon \hat{i} . \quad \mathrm{A} \mathrm{\Gamma} . \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \nu \tau i ̀ \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta \alpha \nu \epsilon\).
MN. \(\grave{\alpha} \rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \mu o \iota ; ~ \chi^{\alpha \lambda \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma o v ̂ \nu ~} \chi^{\alpha i} \rho \epsilon \iota s\) фор \(\omega \nu\).


Ex. \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \rho \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu\) oútooi \(\kappa \alpha i \delta_{\eta} \gamma v \nu \grave{\eta}\)

women ; but amongst the Hellenes in Europe its use by a man was accounted an act of the grossest effeminacy. See supra 163. "I should be ashaned, Zeus," says Hera, speaking of Dionysus, "to have a son so effeminate and drunken, whose hair is bound up with
 Lucian xwiiith. Dialogne of the Gods.
 literally " put -on head ; a strange name for a woman's head-dress, but one which is recognized, as Kuster observes, by both Pollux (ii. segm. 35) and Eustathins: (at II. xxii. 470) ; the latter writer
 of womanly adorument," and expressing

 \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\) àva \(\delta \delta^{\sigma} \sigma \mu \eta s\). Here it is plain that Agathon offers it, and Euripides receives it, as a substitute for both кєкри́фиàus and d̀vad́є́ \(\mu \eta\). It was doubtless a hood, fitting close to, and so assiming the shape of, its wearer's head, whence it itself obtaned the name of \(к \in \phi а \lambda \dot{\eta}\). And, being something like the nighteaps
which English ladies usel to wear, it was quite capable of doing duty for both \(\kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho \dot{\prime} \phi a \lambda o s\) and \(\mu i ́ \tau \rho a\). And this is the meaning, as Hermann and Enger perceived, of the Scholium, пávга \({ }^{\text {éXová }}\)

261. àmò \(\tau \bar{s} s k \lambda\) ivíoos] from the couch whereon it was lying. The form \(\kappa \lambda\) vis is employed to illustrate the effeminacy of Agathon, since it was specially appropriated to the luxurious carriage-seat which a newly-married bride occupied between the bridegroom and the grooms-

 says Pollux, x. segm. 33, ö ö к кגıvis éкка-


 \(\nu \nu \mu\) фiou.
262. тı̀mà тavti] He takes the slippers off his uwn feet, and hands them to Kuripides. Mnesilochus, a larger man than Agathon, doubts if he can get his own feet into them ; and when lie finds that he cim, he says to Agathon, "Why then youl like to wear loose shoes."
\(\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \iota \epsilon i \hat{s} \epsilon \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \grave{i} \pi \iota \theta \alpha \nu \omega ิ s . \quad\) MN．\(\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} о \mu \alpha \iota\).




E؟．ő \(\mu \nu v \mu \iota ~ \tau o i ́ v v \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s\) ả \(\rho \delta \eta \nu\) roùs \(\theta \in o u ́ s\).
MN．\(\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma o \tau o i ́ \nu v \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}, o ̈ \tau \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \phi \rho \eta े \nu \check{\omega} \mu \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu\) ，



The Scholiast says，\(\delta \iota a \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota\) mí入ıv тòv
 now over，and he calls upon the \(\mu \eta \chi a \nu o-\) \(\pi o \iota o ̀ \nu\)（Peace 174）to wheel him back again．Apparently he has not left his chamber throughout the foregoing scene． His house is now closed up，and we hear no more of him in the present Play．

267．\(\hat{\eta} \nu \lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta} s]\) In appearance and equipment Mnesilochus is now trans－ formed into a woman；but he must also＂make liquid treble of that bassoon， his throat＂；and doubtless he utters the word \(\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma o \mu u \iota\) in a＂monstrous little voice，＂to imitate the tone of a woman．

272．ö \(\mu \nu v \mu \iota\) тоí \(\nu v \nu\) ］This line is quoted from the Melanippe sapiens（see the note on line 14 above），except that Aristophanes substitutes roivon for the \(\delta^{\prime}\) ípòv of the original．See Frogs 100 and the note there．Mnesilochus does not see the sense of swearing by a dwelling－place，and thinks that Euri－ pides might as well swear by the lodgings in which Hippocrates and his
swinish brood（of whom we have heard in Clouds 1001）are herding together． Euripides，to satisfy him，proceeds to swear by all the gods in a lump．ä \(\rho \delta \eta\) ， is a metaphor from the act of dragging up something by the very roots，so as to leave nothing behind ；and \(\not \approx \rho \delta \eta \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma\) ， therefore，both here and in Phoenissae， 1146 （to which Dr．Blaydes refers）， means all without exception or resercu－ tion．With this wholesile swearing Bergler compares Medea，746－52，where the heroine calls upon Aegeus to swear by＂the Earth，the Sun，\(\theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \ldots \ddot{a} \pi a \nu\) revos．＂The terms of the oath are now satisfactory ；but Mnesilochus，mindfu］ of the famous line in the Hippolytus，
 to which see Frogs 1471 and the mote there），wishes to be sure that in this case the oath goes deeper than the tongue，and is binding unn the con－ science．The words oú \({ }^{\prime}\) ढ̈pкш \({ }^{\prime}\)＇＇\(\gamma \dot{\omega}\) mean nor did 1 so put the oath．It was not to your tongue only that I ad－ ministered it．

\section*{}


\(\hat{\omega} \Theta \rho \alpha \hat{\iota} \tau \tau \alpha, \theta_{\epsilon} \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota, \kappa \alpha o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \widehat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha ́ \delta \omega \nu\),
 \(\alpha \not \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi \circ ́ \rho \omega \delta^{\hat{\omega}} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon\) \(\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \underline{v} \chi \eta\) каì \(\delta \in \hat{v} \rho о\) каi \(\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ о і ̈ к \alpha \delta \epsilon . ~\)
277. \(\left.\ddot{\epsilon} \kappa \sigma \pi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon \tau \pi \chi \epsilon{ }^{\epsilon} \omega \varsigma\right]\) The \(\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi เ \gamma \rho a \phi \eta\) or stage-direction which immediately precedes these words indicates an entire change of scene; the Thesmophorium, or temple of Demeter and Persephone, being thrust out upon the stage, while the Chorus are crowding into the orchestra with lighted torches and loud religious cries, but without any formal entrance-song. The change was effected by means of the \(\epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \sigma \tau \rho a\), machinery considered by some grammarians, but wrongly, to be identical with the '́кки́\(\kappa \lambda \eta \mu a\). Indeed, even here, the Scholiast

 circular movement on a pivot ( \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \rho\) :-фєтац.-Scholiast Ach. 408 ; Suidas), the front wall of the house opening like a door, and disclosing, and bringing out with itself, the interior chambers. There was no \(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta}\) with the \(\epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \sigma \tau \beta a\), nor any disclosure of what was taking place within ; it simply pushed something straight out upon the stage. Nor was it so temporary an expedient as the \(\dot{\epsilon} к к и ́ к \lambda \eta \mu a\), which merely disclosed the interior, without otherwise interrupting the scene. The \(\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \rho a\) created a new scene, which might continue, and
in the present case apparently did continue, to the very end of the Play; whilst in the Peace it continued from 173 to 729 , the commencement of the Parabasis. The temple, so brought forward, has on it a \(\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu\), probably a pole or standard of some sort, to



 \(\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \neq \nu\) ri \(\theta \epsilon \tau a l\). See Wasps 690 and the note there. Though Mnesilochus does not really leave the stage, yet, as the scene suddenly changes from Agathon's house to the temple, he must be imagined to pass from the one to the other, and hence the exhortation "'к\(\sigma \pi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon\). Euripides now makes off, and Mnesilochus, gathering his woman's dress about him, begins to speak in a fussy way, and with a woman's voice
 to au imaginary servant-girl.
281. öбov тò \(\left.\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime}\right]\) These words are usually accompanied by a genitive, to \(\chi \rho \tilde{\mu} \alpha \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu v \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) (or \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa o ́ \pi \omega \nu\), or \(\pi a \rho \nu o ́-\) \(\pi \omega \nu\), or otherwise) \(\tilde{o}^{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \nu\). But here and in Peace 1192 they stand alone, and in each passage mean What a crowd of
\(\hat{\omega} \Theta \rho \hat{q} \tau \tau \alpha, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon, \kappa{ }_{\hat{\alpha}} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon\)


\(\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \Phi \epsilon \rho \sigma \in ́ \phi \alpha \tau \tau \alpha, \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \grave{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \circ\)



people. He uses the compound divé \(\rho-\) \(\chi \in \tau \pi \iota\), because the worshippers had to ascend to the Thesmophorium. which stood on elevated ground. See 585 infra and the note there. They have flaming torches in their hands, and the fiery vapour ( \(\lambda \iota \gamma \nu i s\), a word used thrice only in these Comedies, once in each of three successive Plays, the Birds, the Lysistrata, and the Thesmophoriazusae) keeps rising from these torches and flaring and flickering over their heads.
285. то̀ по́тацоע] The \(\pi\) ómavov was a small wheaten cake, round and flat, much used in sacrifices. \(\pi о ́ \pi a \nu a a^{*} \pi \lambda a-\) кои́vтьа àmò äpтov.-Hesychius. \(\pi \lambda\) акои́v-
 Photius, Scholiast on Lucian's Cataplus
 Timaeus, where see Ruhnken's note.
288. \(\theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu\) "̈ \(X o v \sigma a \nu]\) These words are probably to be taken together, to keep on sacrificing; a meaning more commonly associated with the singular masculine, Clouds 509 ; Birds 341 ; Lys. 945 ; Frogs 202, 512, 524 ; Ecel. 853, 1151. This seems a more probable construction than to connect "'Xovaav with \(\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) in the preceding line. The infinitives are governed by סótє understood.
289. Xo七piov] He prays for his boy and girl, just as Alcestis on her deathbed is represented as praying for hersOnly the children for whom Mnesilochus prays are merely the offspring of his own imagination. He gives them names to signify their sex. The girl is
 ruvatkeiov aioioiov; and the boy Поб \(\begin{gathered}\text { ai } \lambda \eta \text { кos }\end{gathered}\) (like \(\pi o ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu\) in Peace 1300) from \(\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \eta\) (Clouds 1014) or \(\pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta_{\iota}\) (supira 254, infra 515). On the girl's name the

 \(\hat{\eta} \mathrm{X} \rho\) vaion, \(\hat{\eta}\) Muprion (all pet names, the last being the equivalent of Xoopiov). For her, he prays that she may become the wife of a wealthy husband, not overburdened with brains, and so easily
 the Scholiast, ïva крaт \(\hat{\eta}\) aủrov̂. In the long passage cited by Athenacus (vi. chap. 30,236 f) from the kódaкes of Eupolis (to which Bergler also refers) the chorus of Flatterers say, єंтє \(\delta \dot{\text { in }}\)
 \(\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} s \pi \epsilon \mathfrak{i}\) тovitov \(\epsilon i \mu i\). For the son, he prays that he may develop into a wise and understanding man, ทoî̀ ëךоута каћ ф \(\rho\) évas. See Frogs 534, and infra 462.

 hearing the speeches. The prayer is over and he is again the fussy Athenian matron.
294. סoú \(\left.{ }^{\text {ous }}\right]\) Doubtless none but freeborn women ( \(\epsilon \dot{\cup} \gamma \in \nu \in i s ~ \gamma u \nu a i k \in s\), infra 330 , ö \(\sigma a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu\) ảg \(\sigma a i\), infra 541) could take part in the actual deliberations, but it seems that servants were allowed to accompany their mistresses into the precinets. See infra 537 , and the next note. Mnesilochus, however, is speaking to an imaginary Thratta, and gets rid of her by this excuse.
295. KHPYKAINA] Now the women's éккл \(\eta \sigma i a\) begins. The prayers and invocations which follow, consisting of two exhortations by the Crieress, and two responsive hymns by the Chorus, are modelled on the preliminaries of an Athenian éккд \(\eta \sigma i a\), but are varied by allusions to the Thesmophorian worship, and still more by alterations consefrent on the sex of the ceclesiasts. Throughout the ensuing scenes there are never more than three speakers on the stage at once (1) Mnesilochus,
the Crieress, and the First Woman ;
(2) Mnesilochus and the two Women;
(3) Mnesilochus and the First Woman ;
(4) Mnesilochus, the First Woman, and Cleisthenes; (5) Mnesilochus and the First Woman ; (6) Mnesilochus and the First and Third Women ; and (7) Mnesilochus and the Third Woman. But there were many mute personages, the \(\delta o u \lambda a p t a ~ o f ~ 537, ~ t h e ~ n u r s e ~ o f ~ 609, ~\) the tá \(\delta \delta\) of 726, and the Mania of 728 and 754. And of course the Chorus in the orchestra form part of the body of worshippers. This bidding-prayer is in prose, but in the MSS. and in all the older editions it is arranged in lines of unequal length; an arrangement retained by both Fritzsehe and Enger, and one which renders the proclamation so much more distinct and impressive, that I have not hesitated to follow their example. The Scholiast says- \(\pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \in \dot{v}-\)
 (Birds 865-88) \(\vec{\eta} \psi \dot{\eta} \phi \iota \sigma \mu a\) (Birds 1035 seqq. and 1661) eioá \(\gamma \omega \sigma \omega\). But this is not an invariable rule.
297. тaì \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi\) ó \(\rho o \iota \nu]\) The first de-


    \(\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi o \iota \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha l\),

    \(\tau v \chi \eta \rho \omega \hat{s} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha i \bar{s}\).
        305

\(\tau \grave{\alpha} \beta \epsilon \in \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon p i ̀ \tau o ̀ \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu 0 \nu \tau \grave{\omega} \nu\) ' \(A \theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ \omega \nu\),
    \(\kappa \alpha \grave{~} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \gamma \nu^{\nu} \alpha \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu\),
            \(\tau \alpha \cup ́ \tau \eta \nu \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\alpha} \nu\).

parture from the ordinary formula consists in the substitution of an entirely new group of divinities, for the assembly to invoke. These are the two Thesmophorian Goddesses, and ihe Powers whose worship was connected with theirs. See Müller's Eumenides, § 86, and Greek Literature, chap. ii. Even the Graces took part in escorting Persephone from the world below. See the note on Frogs 453. On плои́тш the scholiast remarks, \(\omega s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho i ~ j \eta ̂ s ~ \Pi \epsilon p \sigma \epsilon-\) фóvns, whether as reading חлоv́r \(\omega \nu\), or as considering \(\Pi \lambda о и ̃ \tau o s ~ a n d ~ \Pi \lambda о и ́ т \omega \nu ~ t o ~\) be interchangeable names. The connexion between חлoúr \(\omega \nu\) and the twain Goddesses, and their connexion again, as the givers of harvest, with \(\mathrm{H} \lambda o \bar{\tau}\) os, aided by the similarity of the names \(\Pi \lambda о\) út \(\omega \nu\) and \(\Pi \lambda o \hat{v} \tau o s\), seem to have brought about a sort of amalgamation between these two Gods. Here \(\Pi \lambda\) дoùros is used for ח \(\Pi\) ои́ть , whilst in Plutus 727 (where see Spanheim's note) ח \(\lambda\) oúr \(\omega \nu\) is, conversely, used for \(\Pi \lambda\) оитоs. In Plato's Cratylus, chap. xix ( 403 A), Socrates says that \(\Pi \lambda\) ov́ \(\omega \nu\) obtained his name
 кút \(\omega \theta \epsilon \nu\) àviєтає ó \(\pi \lambda\) оѝтos. And Plutus himself gives much the same explanation of Pluto's name in Lucian's Timon 21. As to Calligeneia, a name applied originally to Persephone herself, and afterwards to one of her handmaidens, see the remarks in the Introduction. She is deseribed by the Scholiast here as \(\delta a i \mu \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \eta े \nu \Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho a \nu \eta \eta \nu \pi \rho o \lambda o \gamma i-\)
 ध่ \(\pi o \dot{\prime} \eta \sigma \in \nu\).
 nursing mother, the rearer of youth. There was, Pausanias informs us (Attica xxii. 3, a passage to which Kuster indirectly refers), a temple Гīs Korpoтоó́ou каi \(\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho o s\) X \(\lambda\) óns close to the Acropolis of Athens: doubtless the iєpò̀ \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\) Г \(\hat{\eta} s\) which Thucydides (ii. 15) mentions as close to the Aeropolis, and the ípòv rîs X\óns (Lys. 835), beside which Cinesias is first espied by Lysistrata and the female garrison of the Acropolis, as he is hastening to the walls of that fortress.
ìे \(\pi \alpha \iota \grave{ }\) ，ì̀ \(\pi \alpha \iota \grave{\nu}, \chi^{\alpha i} \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\) ．
XO．\(\delta \epsilon \chi \chi^{o} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha\) каì \(\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu 0\) s
\(\lambda \iota \tau о ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \tau \alpha i ̂ \sigma \delta^{\prime}\) є́ \(\pi\) ’ \(\epsilon \cup ̉ \chi \alpha i ̂ s\)
\(\phi \alpha \nu \in ́ \nu \tau \alpha s \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota\) ．
Z \(\epsilon \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \nu v \mu \epsilon\), X \(\rho v \sigma o \lambda \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \quad 315\)
\(\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu\) òs \({ }^{\epsilon}\)＇\(\chi \epsilon \iota s\) í \(\in \grave{\alpha} \nu, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{v}\)
\(\pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau\) є̀s кó \(\rho \alpha, \gamma \lambda \alpha v \kappa \omega \hat{\omega} \iota\) ，

\(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \alpha ́ \chi \eta \tau o \nu\), є́ \(\lambda \theta \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho o\).
каì \(\pi о \lambda \nu \omega ́ v \nu \mu \epsilon, ~ \theta \eta \rho о ф о ́ \nu \eta \pi \alpha \hat{\imath}\) ，
320


312．\(\delta \epsilon \chi \dot{0} \mu \epsilon \theta a]\) This is the choral re－ sponse to the bidding－prayer ；and it is noteworthy that the singers invoke not the group of divinities mentioned by the Crieress，but an entirely different group，which in all probability consists of the Gods really invoked at the opening of the Athenian \(\epsilon\) éкк \(\lambda \eta \sigma i a\) ．They are Zeus the supreme God ；Athene and Poseidon，the rival claimants for the possession of Athens ；Apollo and Arte－ mis；and the Nymphs of the Sea and the Mountain．Observe too how gold is everywhere considered the attribute of things divine．Apollo is xpvadiópa

 Leto is \(\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\omega} \pi t s\) ，with fuce of gold． Perhaps I may be allowed to quote some sentences which I noted down （Christmas，1849）from Orlando Hy－ man＇s conversation on the first Olympian ode of Pindar．＂There was a notion of Divinity or Oriental Royalty con－ neeted with this metal loy the Greeks． Xpuaoi \(\theta_{\text {eoi }}\) ，exelaims the slave in the
 well－known phrase，the uurea Venus of Virgil．Leto is \(\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\omega} \pi t s\) in Aristo． phanes；Athene xpiorutis in Bacchy－ lides．Pindar gives Lachesis a golden frontlet，\(\chi \rho \cup \sigma \alpha_{\mu} \mu \tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\) ．The statues of Pheidias are of gold and ivory，\(\chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon-\) quivtuos．If the deities spin，it is with golden spindles，хрvaŋ入áкатos；if they drive，it is with reins of gold，хpuánıoo， and in golden chariots，रová́p \(\mu a \tau o\). They wore crowns of gold，xpuroat＇́申avou， and swords of gold，xpuráopot，and sat on thrones of gold．The roof and pave－ ment of Olympus are both of gold． The golden－haired Apollo，хpvooкóдңs， strikes a lyre of gold，\(\chi \rho v \sigma o \lambda \dot{v} \rho \eta s\). Posei－ don rules the sea with a golden trident， xpvaotpialvos．＂Cf．Plato＇s Symposiun

319．\(\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \mu \dot{\chi} \eta \eta \tau \sigma \nu]\) for the possession of which she had contended with Poseidon．It was the glory of Athens that these two great divinities were rivals for the honour of being her По入ıoûұos．
```

$\sigma и ́ \tau \epsilon, \pi o ́ v \tau \iota \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\epsilon}$ Пó $\sigma \epsilon \iota \delta o \nu$,
$\dot{x} \lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \delta o \nu, \pi \rho o \lambda \iota \pi \dot{\omega} \gg$
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N $\eta \rho$ éos єiva入íou тє кópaı,325
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KH．єủX \(\quad \sigma \theta \in\) roîs \(\theta \in o i ̂ \sigma l\) roís＇O \(\lambda v \mu \pi i o u s\)

\begin{abstract}
320．\(\pi\) o \(\lambda \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu \epsilon]\) What are these＂many names＂of Artemis？Aristophanes calls her Dictymma in the Wasps and the Frogs，and Agrotera in the Knights and the Lysistrata．And see supra 116. And in Lysistrata 439－47 he appears to call her Pandrosus，Phosphorus，and Tamopolis．Another well－known name was Britomart，familiar to English readers from Spenser＇s＂Faery Queene．＂ See the note on Wasps 368．Catullus in his hymn to Diana（Carmen 34）， after addressing her as＂Latonia＂ （Aatoìs द̈pvos，scion of Leto），proceeds， Tu Lucina dolentibus｜Juno dicta puer－ peris：！Tu potens Irivia，et notho （borrowed）es｜Dicta lumine Luna．You are known as EìciӨvia，＇Ekcít ，and इe入iju \(\quad\) ．See Müller，Dorians，Book II， chap． 9.
 кєขои́ \(\mu \in \nu о \nu\) ．－Scholiast．The N \(\eta \rho\) éus civa－入íov ко́pac are the койрає \(\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \pi\), ả \(\mu \mathcal{v}^{-}\)
 \(\Delta \omega \rho i \delta o n ~ \grave{\eta}\) úó \(\mu o t o\) ，and whose names are given us by Hesiod，Theogony 240－64．
\end{abstract}
 as the Scholiast rightly says．For this
 which Pindar celehrates with such splendour at the commencement of his Pythian odes．The epithet \(\chi\) puoféa is itself sufticient to negative Fritzsche＇s notion，that the Chorus are calling upon the theatrical musicians to strike up．They are praying to the Almighty Gods，and they trust that，as they pray， the strains of Apollo＇s golden lyre will vibrate through the Hearens，responsive to their prayer．Cf．Birds 217－22． The lyre and the phorminx were one and the same instrument．
 ä \(\gamma \sigma \mu \in \nu\) тà \(\delta \delta \xi^{\xi} a \nu \tau \tau\). －Scholiast．

331．\(\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon]\) We now arrive at a passage of great interest．The Crieress recites the IPA，as it was called，which was one of the preliminary ceremonies of an Athenian Assembly，and to which the Orators are constantly referring． \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu\)＇Apàu＇，silys one；áкои́батє т \(\bar{\eta} s\) ＇Apâs，says another，and thereupon the

\author{
каì т \(\alpha i ̂ s\)＇ \(\mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu \pi i ́ a \iota \sigma \iota, ~ к \alpha \grave{~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \Pi v \theta i ́ o \iota s ~}\) \\ каì таïбı ПvӨíaıбь，каì тоîs \(\Delta \eta \lambda i ́ o \iota s\) \\ каì таîб८ \(\Delta \eta \lambda i ́ \alpha \iota \sigma \iota, ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau ' ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o ı s ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s, ~\)
 \\ \(\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu, \eta{ }^{\eta}\)＇\(\pi \iota \kappa \eta \rho \nu \kappa \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon \tau \alpha\) \\  \\ \(\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu, \hat{\eta}\) रupa \(\tau \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \nu 0 \in \hat{\imath}\)
}
＇A \(\rho a ̀\) is read aloud．Unfortunately，its exact terms are nowhere preserved，but enough may be gathered from the speeches to satisfy us that we have here a faithful parody or imitation of it． The statement of Andocides（De Myst． 95）that the＇Apà was a vóرos इó̀ \(\omega\) vos， though inaccurate，is not quite so ＂perfectly reckless and ummeaning＂ as Mr．Grote（chap．Ixii）pronounced it．For we now know（from Aristotle＇s Polity of Athens，chap． 16 ad fin．） that in its origin it was so．And ef． Demosthenes de F．L． 78 （p．363）．Solon＇s law ran，éáv tıves rvpav

 would naturally be much strengthened after the expulsion of the Peisistratidae， and the Scholiast on 339 is doubtless

 This part of the＇Apic was afterwards largely expanded by the Psephism of Demophantus，passed，Lycurgus（against Leocrates \(\S \S 127-131\) ）tells us，after the overthrow of the Thirty，the actual words of which are given us by Andocides． Every Athenian was to swear that he would，if possible，slay with his own




 каì yévos．The oath was to be taken at the commencement of the Dionysia，as indeed had been the custom with the earlier editions of the oath；Birds 1072－5．Other portions of the＇Apà will be considered in the following notes．
 appears to have consisted of several se－ parate clanses or articles，like those in our Commination Service．The pre－ sent clause was inserted by Aristeides shortly after the termination of the great Persian wars ；ètı dè àpàs \(\theta_{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a c\)
 Life of Aristeides，chap．x，єï Jıs є̇mıкク－
 \(\lambda i ́ \pi o l ~ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\)＇ \(\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu\) ．We know that it continued to form part of the＇Apà in the next century ；ćv סè toîs ovidóóous， says Isocrates（Paneg．184），étı кaì vùv

 Here the name of Euripides is added， as being the public enemy of the Athe－ nian women，even as the Medes were of the Athenian state．
\(\ddot{\eta} \tau o ̀ \nu \tau u ́ \rho \alpha \nu \nu 0 \nu \sigma u \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \ddot{\eta} \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ o \nu\)
340



\(\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \grave{\eta}\) סíठ \(\omega \sigma \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \nu \dot{v} \pi o ́ \sigma \chi \eta \tau \alpha i ́ \pi о \tau \epsilon\),

337. \(\left.\operatorname{\epsilon }^{\pi i} \dot{\beta \lambda \alpha} \beta \eta\right]\) to the injury of; with intent to injure. See infra 360 and 366. \(\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta\) was the legal term for demuge (Wasps 1407) ; and \(\epsilon \pi i \quad \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta\) was the legal formula expressive of an intent to damage. Isocrates in three different orations (Panegyricus 149, De Pace 87, Panathenaicus 237) contrasts a deed done \(\epsilon \pi i \quad \beta \lambda \alpha \alpha_{i} \eta\) with the samre deed done \(\epsilon \pi^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a\). Dr. Blaydes refers to the law set out in Demosthenes against Meidias 146 ( \(\epsilon \pi i \quad \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \delta \eta ́ \mu o v) ~ ; ~ t o ~\) Dem. against Timocrates 232 ( \(̇ \pi i \not \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta\) тои̃ \(\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta\) ous \()\); and to Thuc. viii. 72, where the messengers of the Four Hundred say to the armament at Samos, that the constitution had been altered
 т \(\eta\) рió.
340. катєітє \(\nu\) ] \(\pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{̀} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ t \eta \nu\).Scholiast. Aristophanes is now diverting the denunciations of the 'Apà, so as to satirize the vices, real or supposed, of Athenian women. It is not the adulteress, or the wife who palms off a supposititions child upon her husband, whom they denounce, but the traitress who reveals these offences to the husband - \(\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \ell \tau \rho \dot{\prime} \lambda\) \(\lambda_{\iota \sigma \in \nu \text {, insusumvenit. As to the suppositi- }}\) tious child see infra \(407,502,565\). From the Epilogue to the Captives of Plautus,
and the Prologue to the Eunuch of Terence, this seems to have been one of the stock incilents of Comedy. And cf. Juvenal ri. 602. We know of nothing in the 'Apà on which these particular denunciations are framed.
342. à \(\gamma \boldsymbol{\text { ® }}\) ías \(\psi \in v \delta \epsilon i s]\) Here again we come upon traces of the 'Apá. For' Schömam (De Com. i. 8) is no doubt right in deriving this line from the curse which, it appears from Demosthenes de F. L. 78-80 (p. 363), was denounced by the \(\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho v \xi\) at every Assembly on an Ambassador who brought false tidings, e’छ'ต่ \(\lambda \eta\) moteiv aủtòv, каì子́vos каï oikiav, though here the person denounced is not an ambassador, but a go-between. And in like mamer he derives the following line from the 'Apu
 \(\gamma \omega \nu \bar{\eta} \beta o u \lambda \eta \nu, \dot{\eta} \delta \bar{\eta} \mu \nu \nu, \dot{\eta}\) тì \(\nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \in a i a \nu\), Demosthenes against Aristocrates 115 (p. 653), Deinarchus against Demosthenes 48 (1, 96).
 liast. Like the old woman in the Plutus, as Bergler ubserves. And compare Plutarch's Solon, chap. xx ad fin. In the next line the copula kai connects the two verbs \(\delta i \delta \omega \sigma a\) and \(\delta \delta_{\chi} \in \tau a t\) in such a way that we must needs understand

 \(\grave{\eta} \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \kappa о \tau v \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \nu o ́ \mu \tau \sigma \mu \alpha\) \(\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \nu \mu \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) ， \(\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau\) \(\dot{\alpha} \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon, \tau \alpha i ̄ s \delta^{\prime} \not{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \mu i \nu \nu \tau o u ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ̀ s\)
\(\epsilon \ddot{\chi} \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}\) סov̂vaı \(\kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}\) ．

XO．\({ }^{\xi} v \nu \epsilon \nu \chi{ }^{o} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu\) \(\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \delta \delta^{\eta} \mu \omega\) \(\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{U} \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\), \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) ö \(\sigma \alpha \iota s \pi \rho о \sigma \eta ́ \kappa \in \iota\)
\(\nu\) vк \(\hat{\alpha} \nu \lambda \in y o u ́-\).
\(\sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma^{\bullet}\) ó óo \(\sigma \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \hat{\omega}-\) \(\sigma \iota \nu, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha i ́ \nu o v \sigma i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon\) тoùs öркous тoùs \(\nu \in \nu\) о \(\mu \tau \sigma \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu\) ovs \(\kappa \in \rho \delta \bar{\omega} \nu\) ои̛v \(\nu \in \kappa^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi i \grave{ } \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \eta\) ，
\(\delta \hat{\omega} p a\) after the latter．The meaning therefore is＂ Or ，being a mistress，takes bribes to desert her lover for a wealthier paramour．＂The＇A \(\rho a ̀\) ，as Schömann points out，included those who took bribes кaтà т \(\eta\) s \(\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s\) ，Deinarchus ubi supra．And probably the words \(\pi \rho o \delta \delta_{-}\) סov̂ra tò dídov are the women＇s sub－ stitute for \(\pi \rho \circ \delta \delta \delta o v ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda ~ \lambda ~ v . ~\)

347．кєй тเs кáтпクдоs к．т．入．］In his later Comedies Aristophanes enjoys a little jest at the（alleged）tippling habits of Athenian women．The present denun－ ciation is launched against any vintner （male or female）who gives them short measure in their winecups．A кoтv́n \(\eta\) was about half－a－pint；a xoîs was nearly six pints；\(\nu o ́ \mu \sigma \mu a\) is＂the stan－ dard measure＂and may well have been employed in the＇Apà in connexion with the offence of clipping the current
coin．\(\delta a \lambda \nu \mu a \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a t\) is＂to tamper with， to cut short，to injure．＂Bergler has already referred to Plutus 435，where one of the old citizens，dismayed by the sudden appearance of Poverty，asks
```

\tilde{ap}\mp@subsup{\rho}{}{\prime}\iṅ\sigma\tauiv \eta
\etaे \tauaîs котv́\lambdaаus d̀є́́ }\mu\in\delta\iotaa\lambdav\muaivє\tauа\iota

```

349．àтo入є́ \(\sigma\) Өat roûtov］Women have figured largely in the list of offenders
 imprecation，in terms，is applicable to
 blessing，in terms，is applicable to women only（ \(\tau a i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a \iota \sigma \iota \nu)\) ．
 inate their concurrence in the＇Apà by a little song，which commences and concludes with iambics，but is otherwise choriambic．The sixth and seventh lines are pure choriambic dimeters，and

خे \(\psi \eta\) фíб \(\mu \alpha \tau \alpha\) каì \(\nu\) о́ \(\mu о \nu\)
§ךтov̂ \(\sigma^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \iota \mu \in \theta_{\iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \alpha \iota, ~}^{\text {，}}\) \(\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} о ́ \rho \rho \eta \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \tau 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu\) є́ \(\chi\)－ роîs тoîs \(\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau\)＇́poıs \(\lambda\)＇́ \(\gamma\) ova＇，

\(\kappa \in \rho \delta \hat{\omega} \nu\) о \(\nu \nu \epsilon \kappa^{\prime}\)＇\(\epsilon \pi i \quad \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta\) ， \(\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta\) ov̂ \(\sigma i ́ \tau \epsilon\) тoùs \(\theta \epsilon o u ̀ s\), \(\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa 0 \hat{v} \sigma i ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu\). \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \gamma \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \grave{\epsilon} s[\epsilon \in \mathcal{v} \mu \in \nu \grave{\epsilon} s\) ］

\(\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \theta \in o v ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu\)

KH．äкuvє \(\pi \hat{\alpha} s\) ．\(\epsilon \delta o \xi \in \tau \hat{\eta} \beta\) ou \(\lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon\)
\(\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu\) ．Тіцо́клєı＇є́ \(\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \ell\),
they are followed by eleven lines in the glyconic metre，which is itself a branch of the choriambic．See the Introduction to the Frogs，pp．xxxii， xxxiii．

355．ठ̈бaus \(\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \iota]\) The Scholiast rightly explains the passage ；öซaus \(\delta \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon}\)
 \(\lambda \in\) yovigas，that their speeches may win to whom it appertuins to speak what is best， that is，to gice the best udrice．With \(\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \in \iota\) we must understand \(\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \nu\) ． This omission of the infinitive is very common，and many examples will be formd in the 0xford Lexicon s．v．\(\pi \rho o \sigma-\) \(\eta_{j} \kappa \omega\) ．

363．та่то́ррұтa］The original fulmina－ tion was doubtless aimed at men who exported contraband of war for the service of the enemy（Frogs 362），but it is here diverted，as the word \(\lambda\)＇\(\gamma\) oug
shows，to women who divulge to strangers the incommunicable secrets of the Thesmophorian festival（Eccl． 442）．

367．\(\tau \in\) toìs \(\theta\) govis］I have added these words，which seem required by both the sense and the metre．The MS．reading， which with slight alteration is retained by the editors，is \(\dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta\) ой \(\sigma \iota \nu\) á \(\delta \iota \kappa o \bar{v} \sigma\) í \(\tau \epsilon\) тìv \(\pi\) о́入ıข．After таүкратє́s，in line 368， a cretic foot commencing with a vowel， has dropped out．Like its ten pre－ decessors，the line was originally in the glyconic metre，and I have inserted єंvevés in brackets，rather to show a possible，than as thinking it the true， completion of the line．Indeed，I ：m not sure whether ö \(\lambda \beta\) uc might not be more suitable．
 quite in the regular form．Thus in the

\author{

 \\ \(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ \phi п р i ́ \omega \nu, \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu \sigma \chi \circ \lambda \grave{\eta}\), \(\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho i\) Eủpıтídov,


}

armistice which preceded the Peace of
 тuvtıs émpvтíveve (Acamantis was the


 к.т.д. Thuc. iv. 118. The émıoтát \({ }^{2}\) s was the President of the Prytanes, and in that capacity put the motion to the vote; the \(\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{\nu}\) s was their secre.tary, who was responsible for the accurate drawing up of the decree: Laches in Thucydides, and Sustrata here, are of course the movers of the respective resolutions. The suggestion of Fritzsche that under the names of Timucleia, Lysilla, and Sostrata, Aristophanes is satirizing three effeminate citizens named respectively Timocles, Lysicles (Kinghts 765), and Sostratus (Clouds 678) is perhaps more ingenious than probable. Satire of that kind would be out of harmony with the general tone of the present passage.
375. T \(\hat{\eta}\) Méorg] The Intermediate day. See the note on 80 supra. On the words ij \(\mu\) à \(\lambda \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mu} \nu \sigma \chi \circ \lambda \dot{\eta}\), the Scholiast ob-
 Ougias rivovtal.
 (11) disruss. \(\lambda\) íyous \(\delta i \delta o ́ v a l ~ i i \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o t s .--~\)

Scholiast. It is the regular term for "transacting business" in the Assembly. See, for example, the passage cited from Isocrates in the note on 336 supra.
378. àòкeî̀ סoкєi] We all adjudge hinu to be guilty. See Birds 1585, Lysias (adv. Andoc. 14, adv. Nicom. 1, for Polystratus 16), Lives of the Ten Orators (Andoc. 9).
 the recognized formula, by which the \(\kappa \bar{\eta} \rho \nu \xi\) invited the citizens to commence the debate, тò кá入入ı \(\sigma\) тод каì \(\sigma \omega \phi\) роує́-
 schines calls it (adv. Ctes. 4). Aristophanes repeats it Ach. 45, Eccl. 130. In a famous passage of the De Corona (218-23) Demosthenes is describing the stupefaction of the Athenians, when the news of the seizure of Elateia by Philip of Macedon had awakened them to a sense of his real designs and their own most imminent peril. "The \(\kappa \hat{\eta}\) \(\rho v \underline{\text { g ," }}\) says he, "put the question ris
 yea, though he put it again and again, yet no man arose, though all the generals were there, and all the orators, and the fatherland was calling with one viice for some one to come forward





\(\pi \rho о \pi \eta \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \xi \circ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha s\) ó \(\rho \hat{\omega} \sigma^{\prime}\) ن́ \(\mu \hat{\alpha} s\) ímò

and speak to save her．＂See Lucian＇s Zeus Trag．18，Deorum Ecclesia ad init．

 －Scholiast．See Birds 463，Eccl．131， 148，163， 171.
381．бiүa к．т．入．］Compare the third line of the Prologue to the Paenulus of Plautus，sileteque，et tucete，atque unimem advortite．\(\chi \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \tau \epsilon \tau a \iota\) ，expectmates，clears her throat；＂a slight expectoration， just like what one makes before begin－ ning a long speech．＂Wirodstock， chap． 5.
383．фiлотц \(\mu\) ia］The Crieress now leaves the stage and the＂First Wiman＂ commences her speech．Here，and nowhere else，the MSS．and Scholiast prefix ка入入ı入є \(\xi\) ia to FY NH, meaning it， apparently，for the name of the lady， and not as is compliment to her elo－ quence．On the other hand there is， as Fritzsche observes，some ground for supposing that Aristophanes intended the speaker＇s name to be Mica（infra 760 ）；since the Woman who was robbed of her baby was probably she whose baby had previously appeared on the stage （infra 608,609 ）；and this was almost cer－ tainly the＂First Woman．＂And any－
how it seems better to retain that general appellation for the present speaker．She delivers an able and well－considered speech to show how greatly the position of Athenian wives has been worsened by the attacks of Euripides．Nut that she denies the justice of those attacks：she objects to them not because they are untrue，but because they are true：because he has put the husband up to his wife＇s pecea－ dilloes，and so las prevented their repe－ tition．
386．\(i \mu a ̂ s]\) Observe the speaker＇s rhe－ torical artifice．She begins as if she were seeking to redress merely the grievances of her audience：though she very quickly glides into the admission that their grievances are also her own．
387．\(\lambda a \chi a \nu a \pi \omega \lambda \eta \tau \rho i a s]\) The murkst－ garilener＇s som：the son of the regetuble－ seller．The trade of Cleito，the drama－ tist＇s mother，was a farvurite jest with the Comic poets；and in Aristophanes it pervades the allusions to Euripides from his earliest appearance in the Acharmians to his latest appearance in the Frogs．See the unte on Frogs 840.
```

к\alphaì \piо\lambda\lambda\grave{\alpha}к\alpha\grave{\iota}\pi\alpha\nu\tauо\hat{\imath} \alpha<оvоv́\sigma\alphas как\alphá.
\tauí \gamma\grave{\alpha}\rho o\hat{v}\tauos \grave{\eta}\mu\alphâs oưk \epsiloń\pi\iota\sigma\mu\hat{\eta} \tau\hat{\omega\nu} как\hat{\omega}\nu;

```

```

\epsiloni\sigmai\nu 0\epsilon\alpha\tau\alphai к\alphai тра\gamma\omega\deltaоi к\alphai \chiоро\imath,
\tau\grave{\alpha}\mp@code{\muv\chiотро́\piоvs, \tau\grave{\alpha}}\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\epsilon\rho\alpha\sigma\tauрí\alphas ка\lambda}\hat{\omega}\nu
\tau\grave{\alphas oivo\pióтו\delta\alphas, \tau\grave{\alpha \pi\rhoo\deltaóтi\delta\alphas, \tau\alphàs \lambda\alphá\lambdaovs,}}\mathbf{~}\mathrm{ ,}

```

```

\omegä\sigma\tau' \epsilonvं\thetà̀s \epsiloni\sigmaló\nu\tau\epsilonS \alpha<\piò \tau\hat{\omega}\nu i\kappa\rhoí\omega\nu



389．＇̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \mu \hat{n}]$ Besmertrs us with．＇̇ $\pi \iota-$
 є̇лı乡́vat．－Scholiast．The lines which follow are well explained by Bisetus， ïva ס́̀ סıà $\beta \rho a \chi \notin \omega \nu$ каi $\sigma v \nu \tau o ́ \mu \omega s$ тò $\pi a ̃ \nu$

 $\beta \lambda \eta \kappa \in \nu$ ；

392．$\mu v \chi \circ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o ̀ v s]$ She now proceeds to enumerate some of the names which Euripides has applied to the women． By $\mu v \chi$ от $\rho o ́ \pi$ ous we are to understand unfathomable，impenetrable，shrouding their moods in mystery；and so，deceit－ ful，hypocritical ；тàs toùs $\tau \rho \nu \pi$ ous év $\mu v \chi$ Ф̣


 moribns praeditas，site subdohes，fallaces． －Kuster．$\mu$ uxös was a favourite word of Euripides，and he may well have applied it to the depths of a woman＇s mind．

394．Tès oủò̇̀ $\nu$ vytés］scilicet oǘтas， no good，good for nothings．The expres－ sion oủ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu($ or $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu)$ v́ $\gamma / \epsilon \in s$ occurs once in the Acharnians，once in the Ecclesiazusae，
twice in the present Play（here and 636 infra），and no less than seven times in the Plutus．

395．iкрi $\omega \nu$ ］From the benches，that is， from the theatre．ípota properly means wooden planks，and is commonly em－ ployed in Homer to describe the planks of a ship＇s deck．At Athens the word signified the wooden benches or rows， on which before the Theatre of Diony－ sus in which these Comedies were ex－ hibited was erected，the audience were seated at the dramatic performances ；


 here，Hesychius and Suidas s．v．，Eustr－ thius on Od．iii．350，and other gram－ marians．In the time of Aristophanes the seats were of stone，but the old name was retained．

396．imoß入є́тоvot］Look；at u．：keenly and suspiciously，glancing up from under their bent brows．See Lysistrata 519.

401．$\sigma \tau$ є́ avov $^{2}$ ］Now－a－days，if a womam is found merely weaving a chaplet，she is suspected of weaving it for some


$\tau o u ̀ s ~ a ้ \nu \delta \rho \alpha s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot \omega ̈ \sigma \tau^{\prime}$＇́á $\nu \tau \iota \varsigma \nu v ิ \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \eta$

$\sigma \kappa \in \hat{v} o ́ s ~ \tau \iota ~ к а \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ 口 i к i ́ a \nu ~ \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$,


$\kappa \alpha ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \kappa o ́ p \eta$ $\tau \iota s ; ~ \epsilon \dot{u} \theta \dot{v} s$ ád $\delta \in \lambda \phi o ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$,
＇тò $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ тоиิтó $\mu$＇оن้к $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma к \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ S ~ к о ́ \rho \eta s . ' ~$
young reveller，and is charged with being in love．The speaker is selecting certain examples of the injury occa－ sioned to women by the teaching of Euripides；and we may feel sure that in every instance there is an allusion to some particular scene or sentiment in the Euripidean drama．
 Ėipıriסov．－Scholiast．The＂Corinthian stranger＂is，of course，Bellerophon． ＂The ancients，＂says Athenaeus（x． chap．30），＂assigned to their dead friends the morsels of food which fell from their table；whence Euripides too says of Stheneboea，when she thought that Bellerophon was dead，Nothing that falls escapes her wistful eyes，＇That＇s for our friend from Corinth，＇quick she cries．



But Athenaeus is apparently mistaken in connecting these lines with the superstition about the dead．Long before there was any question of Bel－
lerophon＇s death，the love of Stheneboea had turned into hatred：it is not a case of food falling from the table，but of some article dropped from the hand． Aristophanes treats it here as a pitcher dropped by a woman not sitting at table，but perambulating the house， and makes the ejaculation，like that ascribed to Myrrhina in Lys．856，refer－ not to a dead，but to a living lover． And it seems to me that the lines were spoken by some go－between，like the old nurse in the Hippolytus．trying to kindle love in Bellerophon＇s breast by detailing the passion which is con－ suming her mistress．This may be one of the scenes to which Aeschylus is referring in Frogs 1051.

406．ชò $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu и$ к．т．入．］Though the line， as its metre shows，is not verbally taken from a Tragic Play，yet doubtless it represents some passage of Euripides： not indeed from the Aeolus，as Fritzsche suggests，since there the brother was the corrupter，and not（as here）the sus－ picious guardian，of his sister＇s inno－ cence．


 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \alpha ́ s ~ \theta ', ~ o i ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon i ́ p a к \alpha s ~$ ク้ $\gamma о \nu \tau о, \delta \iota \alpha \beta \epsilon ́ \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ，$̈ \sigma \tau$ ’ oủ $\delta \epsilon i$ is $\gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$




407．$v \pi o \beta a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a z]$ to foist off a sup－ posititious child as her own：to pretend to give birth to a child，which is really somebody else＇s child，secretly smuggled into her bed．See the note on 340 supra．She is really making the very charges，which create such indigna－ tion when Mnesilochus makes them， with regard to the conduct of the women．

413．§є́ $\sigma \pi \alpha \iota \nu a$ ．．．$\left.\gamma v v^{\prime}\right]$ This line is cited by Stobaens（lxxi．1）from the Phomix of Euripides．He was not the moly poet who gave utterance to this sentiment．See Athenaeus xiii．chap．9．

414．Stà toûvov］through him；by reasom of his teaching．Bergler thinks， with great probability，that the speaker is alluding to the Danae of Euripides， where the heroine was certainly repre－ sented as guarded by bolts and bars and seals；and as to the watch－dogs， Fritzsche refers to the commencement of Horace＇s Ode（iii．16）＂Inclusan Danaen turris aenea Robustaeque fores et rigilum camm Tristes excubiae mu－ nierant satis Nocturnis ab adulteris，＂ where the precautions described are very pussibly borrowed from the Attic tragedy．

416．Monortıooús］Aristotle（de Ani－ mal．Hist．ix．1）mentions two well－ known breeds of Molossian dugs：（1） hounds for sporting，which however， he says，were not in any special manner distinguished from other sporting dogs， and（2）the large，powerful，and coura－ geous sheep－dogs，which are the＂Mo－ lossian dogs＂of literature．Veloces Spurtue catulos，acremque Molossum， Pasce sero pingui；mumqum custodibus illis Nocturnum stabulis furem，incw＇sus－ que luporum，Aut impacatos u tergo horrebis Mievos，Virgil，Georg．iii． 405. Molossus，wet fulbus Lacon，Amice cis prstoribus，Horace，Epodes，vi．5．domus ctle Molossis Personuit canibus，Id．Sat． II．vi．114．It is，of course，to these vigilant wateh－dogs that the speaker is here referring．

417．$\mu о р \mu о \lambda ч к є i a] ~ T h e ~ w o r d ~ h e r e ~$ signifies real terrors，but it generally stands for inake－believe fictitious ter－ rors got up to frighten children ；tù фo－
 see Ruhnken＇s note．Such was the equipment of Death in Tennyson＇s ＂Gareth and Lynette．＂So in the Phaedo，chap．24，＂Assume，Socrates，＂ says，Cebes，＂that we are afraid of

т прои̂vтєs $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ ，каі̀ тробє́т८ Модоттıкоѝs

$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \xi v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \theta^{\prime} . \quad \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v}$
$\alpha$ vit $\alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \alpha \mu \iota \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ каì $\pi \rho \circ \alpha \iota \rho o v ́ \sigma \alpha \iota s ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \in i ̄ \nu$


death，or rather not we，but the child within us＂（the child＇s heart within the man＇s），＂and teach that child not to be frightened at death，$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon_{;}$rà нориодикєіп．＂So St．Ambrose，willing to die a martyr＇s death，despised the threats of the tyrant，$\mu$ ориодикєía $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi є \rho о ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu a$, Theodoret H．E．v． 13. $\pi а i ̂ \partial \nu \nu \quad \mu о р \mu о \lambda v к \epsilon i n$, St．Chrys．Hom，in Matth．xxviii（336 р）．
419．$\tau a \mu \epsilon \overline{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{a} a \mathrm{t}$ ］to cuter firr ourselves．
 $\pi \rho o a \iota \rho o u ́ r a t s ~ \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$ ，and pick out and take corn and wine and oil．For $\pi$ poatpeiv in the sense of a рени aliquid promere Kuster refers to the Characters of Theophrastus，［ $\pi \in \rho i$ à $\gamma \rho o u k i a s$ ］$\pi \rho о a \iota \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$
 note there．Here the orator comes to their chief grievance against Euripides． His other offences might possibly be condoned，but no pardon can be ex－ tended to the man whose teaching has put a stop to these little pilferings from the household stores．See Eccl． 15 and the note there．

421．клє：$\delta i a$ ］Pliny（N．H．vii．57） attributes the invention of the key to Theodorus of Samos，apparently a con－
temporary of Solon ；though there is much doubt as to his date，and also whether there were not more artists than one bearing that name．The earliest key：were very simple，the part which turned the lock being merely a flat piece of wood without any division ：$\mu$ ovoßádavn，the Scholiast calls； them．But soon locks were framed with complicated wards，and keys with complicated steps as they are now technically called；teeth，as the ancients
 says the Scholiast；＂seu reserat fix＂ dente puella fores，＂Tibullus，I．ii． 18. See Frogs 572 ．The Laconian keys en－ joyed a great celebrity，and are fre－ quently mentioned by ancient writers：
 Scholiast tells us．And he cites a line from Menander＇s Mıбov́nevos（＂The man

 refers to Plautus，Mostellaria，II．i．57， where Tranio says（in trochaies），＂cla－ vem mihi harunce aedium Laconican｜ Jam jube efferri intus ；hasce ego aedes oceludam foris．＂It is interesting to observe that according to Plutarch （Romulus，chap．22）$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \delta \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{\imath} \pi \circ \beta \circ \lambda \dot{\eta}$ was
aủtoi форои̂бt, критт̀̀,$\kappa \alpha к о \eta \theta ́ \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$,







 430
one of the three causes for which Romulus allowed a husband to divorce his wife. The old-fashioned locks were easily picked, but with these intricate steps and wards it became quite another matter.
422. крилтá] Fritzsche, referring to lliad xiv. 168, and Eustathius's commentary thereon, thinks that the key itself was inclosed in a case of wood, or some other material; but more probably the word here means merely secret, mivate.
424. ov่к $\grave{\eta} \nu$ a $\lambda \lambda$ '] we had only to pick, we had nothing to do but to pick. I have substituted ouk for oủv, which did not seem to make sense.
425. ठaктú入ıov] a signet-ring, in imitation of their husbands' : $\sigma \phi \rho a y i \delta \iota o \nu \pi a p a-$
 Athenian husbands were accustomed not only to lock the storehouse door, but for greater security to affix their seal as well. 'Till now, Athenian wives could laugh at these precautions. They could easily open the lock, whilst they could buy a seal-ring for three obols, and replace the husband's broken seal
by an exactly similar impression. But now, thanks to the teaching of Euripides, the husband used a complicated lock and key, which defied all the efforts of the wife ; and instead of the old plain seal, he now wore a "wormeaten seal" which it was quite impossible to imitate. It was thought of such importance that a signet-ring should not be imitated, that according to Diog. Laert. (Solon 57) Solon required the engraver to destroy the die of every ring he sold. The ancients used not sealing-wax, but a tenacious clay, $\gamma \bar{\eta} \nu$ б $\eta \mu a \nu \tau \rho i \delta o, ~ H d t . ~ i i . ~ 38 . ~ \rho ́ v ́ \pi o u s, ~$ Lysistrata 1198.
427. $\left.\theta \rho \iota \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \sigma \tau^{\prime}\right]$ worm-eaten. The word is not employed metaphorically, as might be supposed, of an intricate design for a seal. There is abundance of authority to show that the ancients did, in reality, use pieces of wormeaten wood for their seals. Kuster refers to Eustathius on Odyssey i. 150 and Tzetzes on Lycophron's Cassandra 508 , in both of which passages the fact is plainly stated. See also Hesychius s. v. $\theta \rho \iota \pi \delta \dot{\beta} \beta \omega \tau$. The participle $\epsilon \in \xi$.



$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu, \pi \nu \kappa \nu \hat{\omega} s \tau \epsilon$
a $\psi a \mu$ évous seems simply to mean＂wear－ ing on their fingers．＂Deinarchus，in his speech against Demosthenes，37，ac－ cuses his opponent of parading about the streets in pomp and luxury，xpuà̀v
 of his country＇s misfortunes．

432．$\tau \bar{\eta} s \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \omega s]$ the cleviess．This was the $\gamma \mu a \mu \mu u \tau \epsilon \dot{\cup}$ тои $\delta$ in $_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$, ，the clerk who attended the Assembly，not neces－ sarily the same person as the $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{v}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \beta o u \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ mentioned supra 374 ．The mover of a resolution either brought it ready written，or drew it up with the assistance of the rpapرatei＇s in the Assembly itself．See Schömann，De Comitiis i．11．And see the last words of the oration，attributed to Demosthenes，de Foedere Alexandrino． In the latter alternative the mover was said $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \dot{́} \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$（as here ıгуүр́á廿ouat）， but the Oxford Lexicographers appear to be mistaken in saying that this sense is confined to the Middle，since the Psephism of Demophantus（as to which see the note on 331 supra）commences



433．оӥтоте к．т．入．］The Chorus here， like the Chorus in Wasps 631，and other Choruses elsewhere，indulge in a little song of triumph on the skill and eloquence of their advocate．Each of the three speeches here delivered is followed by a short lyric ：the first and third leeing antistrophical to each other ；whilst the second，which is com－ siderably the shortest of the three，is， as Enger observes，a sort of $\mu \in \sigma \omega \sigma^{\circ}$ ós．［11 the strophe and antistrophe the opening line is spondaic，and all the rest tro－ chaic；in the mesode all the lines are trochaic．

434．$\pi о \lambda v \pi \lambda о \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \beta a s]$ The repetition of this word in the mesode makes it evident that the poet is ridiculing its application by Euripides，in some lost drama，to the intricate wiles of a woman＇s mind．In his extant Tragedies， $\pi о \lambda u ́ \pi \lambda о к о \nu$, man！－woven，is applied to the coils of a serpent（Medea 481）and the＂shifting maze of the draughts＂， （Iph．in Aul．197，Way＇s translation）．

437．є＇$\beta$ ávтa⿱㇒日七 $]$ weighed，pondered． Such an expression as $\phi \rho \in \nu$ ，which the

тockí入ous $\lambda o ́ y o u s ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \bar{v} \rho \in \nu$


宺локлє́ $\eta$ s ò K $\alpha \rho к$ ívov，סо－
 $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$

 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \gamma$ 㐫 $\rho$ वै $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau \eta \kappa \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o ́ \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \epsilon \dot{v}$.

MSS．add here to the destruction of the metre，or $\epsilon ่ \nu \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\rho} \mu a$ ，which Aeschylus adds in Prometheus 906，to which Bergler refers，is not essential to this
meaning of the word．Suidas，s．v． ßaotáaas，to which Kuster refers，ex－ plains that participle by $\delta о к \iota \mu \dot{a} \sigma a s$ ，and cites four lines from Eupolis ：

441．$\left.\Xi \epsilon \nu_{0} \kappa \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \eta s\right]$ See the note on 168 supra．In saying that，by the side of the eloquent lady whose praise they are singing，he would seem to talk nonsense， the Chorus probably mean to imply that this is what he always does．
 now comes forward，to second the remarks of the First speaker．She is not an eloquent orator like her prede－ cessor ；nor does she even allude to the Poet＇s attacks upon womankind．Her grievance is like that of Demetrius the silversmith in the Acts of the Apostles． A poor hard－working widow，she had carned a seanty livelihood for herself and her children by plaiting myrtle－ chaplets for the worship of the Gorls，
but Euripides，by persuading the people that there are no Gods，has well－nigh ruined her trade．Having unfolded her plain muvarnished tale，she forthwith leaves the Assembly，and returns to her chaplet－plaiting in the myrtle－market． I have inserted $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ，which is omitted in the MSS．，probably because the following word commences with ${ }_{6}^{\prime \prime}$ r－．It is，how－ ever，necessary to the metre，and is found in the same position in both the other speeehes（supra 383，infra 466）， and indeed in very many speeches in Thucydides and Xenophon．Other editors supply the missing syllable in other ways．

446．$\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \nu K v i \pi \rho \omega\right]$ She does not inform us how he came to be in Cyprus；but
à $\delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\gamma \grave{\omega} \pi \epsilon ́ \pi o \nu \theta \alpha, \tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \alpha \iota ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda o \mu \alpha \iota . ~$445$\dot{\epsilon} \mu o i ̀ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ Kúm $\rho \oplus$ ，$\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \rho \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \grave{\omega} \mu o ́ \lambda \iota s$450

$\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ồv $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha l \nu \bar{\omega} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega$

possibly the enlightened and beneficent rule of Evagoras was already begiming to attract Athenians thither ；or there may have been some military operations there，in whieh the Athenians took part，subserfuent to the expedition of Cimon about forty years before the date of this Play．
448．iv tais $\mu v$ ppivasts］In the myyitle－ market．See the note on Wasps 789. She plaited her eoronals in the myrtle－ market，because it was of myrtle that
 $\pi o 九 o ̄ ̃ \sigma a$ ．－Scholiast．The myrtle crown was prominent in divine worship，and especially in the worship of Demeter and Persephone．See the note on Frogs 323．And indeed there was but one shrine，whether in Greece or in Rome，to which the myrtle was a stranger．That exeeption was the shrine of the Bona Dea，an exception so re－ markable that Plutareh in the twentieth of his＂Roman Problems＂thought it necessary to inquire into its origin ；סıà


 that the myrtle，being sacred to Aphro－ dite，was unacceptable to the pure and virgin goddess．
449．$\tau \epsilon \omega s$ ］Up to this time（that is，till Euripides came，ef．Frogs 989）I main－ twined myself（Knights 1258）though in ＂rery？poor iccuy．In the preceding line it was ${ }^{\text {ëP}}$ here it is दُßooкó $\mu \eta \nu, I$ maintuined myself und children．tíws，as Timaeus says in his Platonic Lexicon，means $\neq \omega s$ tuoos， up to a certain dute．See the scene in the Phaedo，when Socrates drinks the hemlock ；$\tau \epsilon \in \rho s \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，says the narrator， ＂up to that time we had managed to restrain our tears；but when we saw him drinking，our tears，in spite of ourselves，fell down like rain．＂

451．ov́к civau $\theta$ єnós ］It will be sufficient to refer，with Bergler，to a passage in the peet＇s Bellerophon，preserved by Justin Martyr，which commences $\Phi \eta \sigma i v$
 núk єौ＇r＇．－Fragment $x$ in Wagner＇s collection．

oî $\alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \mu v ́ \lambda \alpha \tau o$
oủk ${ }^{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \alpha, \phi \rho \in ́ v \alpha s$ ếXov $\alpha$ каì $\pi о \lambda u ́ \pi \lambda о к о \nu ~ \alpha \hat{v} \nu o ́ \eta \mu$＇，oúd＇ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma v ́ \nu \in \tau^{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \iota \theta \alpha \nu \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ ．
 ä $\gamma \boldsymbol{\text { a }}$ 入áxava，wild pot－herbs，alluding，of course，to the trade of the poet＇s mother，
 peculiar an expression that Enger sup－ poses the speaker to be referring to a line of Euripides，$\gamma v \nu i j$ $\tau \in \pi u \nu \tau \omega$ ， àүрь́тatò какóv（Phoenix，Fragm．xi， Wagner）．

458．ovv $\begin{aligned} & \text { nuataious ］ondered，bespolen，}\end{aligned}$ which I have undertaken to suppl！，the subject of a $\sigma \dot{v} v \theta \eta \mu a$ or contract ；ov̂s
 Athenaeus（xv．26），quoting the present
 $\lambda a \beta \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \iota ~ к а \grave{\iota} \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \mu о$ ．And to the like effect Pollux，Hesychius，and Sui－ das．
 not in a critical mood，and they attribute to the plain statement of the Second Woman even more subtle－mindedness and elegance than they had attributed
to the elaborate rration of the First． With the parenthetical words фpévas


466－519．So soon as the Chorus have concluded their eulogy，Mnesilochus rises to address the assembly．His first words may remind the reader of the politic manner in which Xenophon commences his speech to the irritated soldiery at


 too，he proceeds to argue that their irritation，though not surprising，is nevertheless umreasonable．For，says Mnesilochus，though Euripides does indeed write some unpleasant things about us，yet，between ourselves，we know that we deserve them all，and more；we are ever so much blacker than he paints us．As the courtesan in Truculentus（ii．5）observes，

Cumque eam rem in cordo agito，nimio minus perhibemur Malae，quam sumus ingenio．

## $\delta \epsilon \hat{i} \delta \grave{~} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta S$

$\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \ddot{v} \beta \rho \epsilon \omega s$ خ̀ $\mu \hat{i} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \alpha \nu \hat{\omega}$ ठov̂ $\alpha \alpha \iota$ ठík $\eta \nu$ ．


 $\kappa \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta े ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\epsilon \prime} \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ ，oúт $\omega$ s ỏvaí $\mu \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu \omega \nu$ ，




And then，with broad Plautine humour， he sketches a number of scandalous incidents of which Emripides had never dreamed．It is a very clever and witty speech，and Fritzsche can hardly find words to express his admination of it． To him it is＂plena facetiarum et PAENE DIVINA，＂and he adds，＂ultima narratione a v． 502 nihil melius aut in suo genere praestantius ne optari quidem posse．＂
 I have joy of my children．є＇mitnós，says

 In adjurations of this kind，a genitive such as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \omega \nu$ is frequently added to the simple oṽт由s ivaiunv．＇I $\kappa \in \tau \in \mathfrak{v} \omega$ ， says Demosthenes to the judges in the voluble peroration of his second speech against Aphobus，＇Iкєтєv́ $\omega$ ，divтıßo入ิ，$\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\pi$ тaí $\omega \omega \nu$ ，$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma u v a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ o ̈ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 $\pi \epsilon р \iota \hat{\delta} \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \epsilon$ к．т．入．So Lucian，Philo－



 So Synesius（Epistle 44），after giving to a friend some umpalatable advice，says，

 iєpâs фıлобофias ỏvaiцךע каі̀ тробє́ть т $\omega \nu$




470．$\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \mu$ аívoцai］I were mad else． Clouds 660．Souvaı ${ }^{\text {óyov，}}$ ，in the next line，means to discuss the matter fairl！ and reasonubly．

472．av̉тaì زáp є̇ $\sigma \mu \in \nu$ ］For we are by ourselres ；àvti tov̂ póvat．－Scholiast．So Acharmians 504．The phrase is a very common one，and I will only observe that it is found，exactly as here，in the recently discovered Mimes of Herondas， vi．70．－кои̇ $\delta \epsilon \mu i$ є̈кфороs 入íyov．And there is no blal，no tell－tale，to reveal
入óyous $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho a s .-S c h o l i a s t . ~ C f . ~$

$\beta \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ \tau \epsilon \not \phi^{\epsilon} \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i ̉ \delta \hat{v} \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ グ $\tau \rho i ́ \alpha$




 ö $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \delta \iota \epsilon \kappa o ́ \rho \in \cup \sigma \epsilon \nu$ oû $\sigma \alpha \nu$ ย̇ $\pi \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \nu$ ． 480


 $\sigma \tau \rho o ́ \phi o s \mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} X \in \iota \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime}, \widehat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \rho, \kappa \omega ̉ \delta u ́ v \eta$ ．

Eccl．443．It seems probable that Plato， in the last section of the Laches，is referring to this passage，and that we should there，as Fritzsche suggests，read

 observes，stands for $\delta i a$ ri．There is a line in the Acharnians（ri tav̂ta roùs
 similar to this，that some have supposed тaũ̃a here，as there，to be govemed by aiть́ $\mu \in \theta a$ ．But here таиิтa seems rather to be comnected with＇‘xovaai in the sense of oütws＂＇Xovoal，Why beiny thus situated（or，in other words，this being so） do we blame Euripides？

480．є́ $\pi \tau \epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \nu]$ For another instance of a child corrupted at this early age， Fritzsche refers to the story told by Tzetzes（at Lycophron＇s Cassandaa 103） about Theseus and Helen．To which I may add Petronins，chap． 25.

482．катаßaive］lt is plain that in the time of Aristophanes，as in the time of

Homer，the $\theta a{ }^{\prime} \lambda a \mu o s$ ，or bed－chamber of the master and mistress of the house， was in the $i \pi \epsilon p \bar{\omega} o v$ or upper story．The ＂stealthy scratching of the finger－nail，＂
 a signal which the accomplice would understand，but which others would not even perceive．

484．arpó申os］the gripes．Peace 175， Plutus 1131.

 orpóфov，as the Scholiast says．He is preparing a remedy for his young wife＇s imaginary pains．All these plants are well known for their medicinal qualities．The juniperus Lycia is＂used in alvine fluxes．＂Of anise（pimpinella aninum）＇the seeds have been long used by physicians as aromatic and carmina－ tive ；their chief use is in flatulencies， and in the gripes to which children are especially liable＂；whilst sage（salvicu officimulis）＂as possessing a share of






oú $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\omega$ s vinò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ סoú $\lambda \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \dot{\omega} \rho \epsilon \omega \kappa o ́ \mu \omega \nu$
$\sigma \pi o \delta o v ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}, \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$ ' $\chi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ '̈́ $\tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, av̉ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$.
oủס’ $\dot{\omega} s$ öт $\tau \nu \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \theta$ ' ن́ $\pi$ ó тov $\lambda \eta \kappa \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$

```



aromatic and astringent power，may prove a valuable tonic in a debility of the stomach．＂See Miller and Martyn＇s Dictionary．Hippocrates，in his second book \(\pi \in \rho i\) otaíng（vol．i，686，ed．Kühıu， says that \({ }^{c} \nu \eta \theta_{o v}\) is \(\sigma \tau a \tau \kappa k \dot{o}\), that is，an astringent，calculated to stop diarrhea． And cf．Galen，\(\pi \epsilon р і\) а́ \(\pi \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu \quad ф а р \mu і ̈ к \omega \nu\), vi． 45.
487．тồ \(\sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \epsilon ́ \omega s\) z̈ \(\delta \omega \rho]\) ］ĩva ỏ̀ıo \(\theta \eta \rho o ̀ s ~\) \(\gamma \in \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \in \nu\) os \(\mu \dot{\eta} \psi o \phi \hat{\eta}\) ．－Scholiast ；to pre－ vent the hinges creaking．Brunck refers to the passage with which Act I， scene 3，of the Curculio of Plantus commences，where the old woman says to the girl she is letting out of doors， Go out softly，my own Planesium；take you heed that the hinges creak not；let not the opening doors be noisy；let not our master hear us go ；stay，on the hinge F＇ll pour some water（mane，suffundam aquulam）．

489．Tapà tò̀＇A Av иâ］On the statue， or rather the obelisk，which was sta－
tioned in front of the house to represent the divine Waywarden Apollo，see the note on Wasps 875 ．It was natural that by its side should be planted the laurel of Apollo，laurus nobilis，our common sweet Bay．ки́ßja，in a stoop－ ing posture，Knights， 365 ，Peace 897.

491．ठр \(\rho \omega \kappa о ́ \mu \omega \nu\) ］\(\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \nu ิ \nu ~ к а \lambda о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu\)
 Scholiast．Not ouly slaves，but the coarsest and most brutal of slaves．See in Diodorus Siculus xvi． 93 an account of the insult offered by Attalus to Pau－ sanias，which led to the assassiuation of Philip of Macedon．And compare Juvenal vi．331， 332.

495．ìmò rєiXous］For at this period of the war all the citizens of Athens were constantly under arms，\(\eta^{\prime} \sigma a \nu\)＇\(A \theta \eta\)－

 ö \(\pi \lambda\) ots，Thuc．viii．69．Cf．Lysistratia 558 seqq．










 it occurred to me that this was the easiest way of amending the unmetrical reading of the MSS．\(i \pi^{\prime}\) aú \({ }^{\prime}\) as oiov．I did not think it the right way，nor do I now think so ；but as Bachmann has since proposed，and Velsen adopted，the amendment，I give it in the text as the nearest to the MS．reading．in＇aivàs is a very familiar phrase，and many exampies of its use are collected by Kuster and Fritzsche here and by Ruhn－ ken and Hemsterhnys in their note on the Lexicon of Timaens，s．v．\(i \pi\)＇ ajocis．However the very familiarity of the phrase may have led to its super－ seding here what I suspect to liave been the true reading，imavyes，the conjec－ ture of Bentley．But this is a mere question of language：the meaning of the passage is clear．The wife stretches out her new mantle for her husband to admire，holding it up that the sun＇s lays may fall upon it，whilst mder－ neath its screen her lover slinks secretly rway．

502．＇єт＇́puv \(\delta^{\prime}\)＇่ \(\gamma \omega \delta^{\prime}\) ］He winds up his
speech with a story of the methods employed by a wife for palming off a supposititious child upon her too credulous husband．The practice has already been twice mentioned，supra 340,407 ，and treated as something more common than blameworthy，but the shameless manner in which Mnesi－ lochus unfolds the minutest details of the transaction arouses，we shall find， the most profound indignation amongst his audience．

504．Фкито́кı＇］These were helps，mostly in the nature of amulets，employed by women in travail to procure a speedy and safe delivery．Theophrastus（H．P． ix．9．3）recommends the root of the cyclamen as an effective amulet for this purpose．Fritzsche quotes Phry－ nichus Bekkeri，pp．74， 5 ф்кчто́кьог


 Plutarch，de solertia animalium，chap． 7







 \(\epsilon i ̂ \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \rho \alpha \hat{v} s, ~ \grave{\eta}\) ' \(\phi \in \rho \in \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha i \delta i ́ o \nu\), \(\dot{\theta}_{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} \mu \in \iota \delta \iota \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \pi \rho \grave{s} \tau \grave{o} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota\),
 \(\tau \alpha ́ \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \xi \dot{\xi} \pi \pi \nu \tau \alpha\) каі \(\tau o ̀ \pi o ́ \sigma \theta \iota \nu\)
 sages refer to amulets. But the \(\dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa} v-}\) то́кьа which Galen (Пєрi єv̇торібтшу ii. 26. 13) prescribes are potions and the
 ддขкє́os, סòs \(\pi เ \epsilon i \nu\).
 \(\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu .-\) Scholiast. The child however in the present case was not a foundling, but a baby purchased from its parents. It was brought to the
house in a large earthen crock; and a piece of a honeycomb was placed in its mouth, which it could suck, and which would prevent it crying. Incidents of the present kind were frequent in the New Comedy, and were reproduced by the Roman comedians. See the story told by Phronesium in the Truculentus of Plautus ii. 4 ; and that concocted by Davus in the Andria of Terence :

\section*{missa est ancilla illico}
obstetricem accersitum ad eam, et puerum ut adferret simul (iii. 2. 34).




 brane, or lininy, of the womb. It is difficult to determine whether these words form part of the wife's speech, or are the comment of Mnesilochus upon it ; but on the whole I think that the former is the true interpretation. We have already been told that it was the midwife's signal which called forth
the wife's exclamation: and to attribute it now to the babe's movement would be to give another and a contradictory reason for its occurrence. The substitution of \(\chi\) írpas for \(\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho a s\) is purely comic, and is no real objection to patting the words into the woman's month.
 Bisetus.
514. \(\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega\) ] that is, a prodigy, a lionlike boy. av่тє́кцаүна means the rery copy of yourself; є̈кцаүна being the
\(\tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \mu o \iota o \nu, \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda o ̀ \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) кúт \(\tau \alpha \rho o \nu ., "\) \(\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}\) oủ \(\pi о \iota o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ ; ~ \nu \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu{ }^{*} A \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu\)



impression made by a seal upon the clay. Compare the language of Paulina in the Winter's Tale ii. 3. ки́тrapos has many significations; the cell of a honeycomb, the cup of an acom, the early pine-cone ( \(\tau\) ä \(\tau \bar{\eta} s\) \(\pi i \tau v o s ~ \pi \rho o a \nu-\) Өov̀vтa \(\sigma \tau \rho o \beta i \lambda t a)\), \&c. See the Scholiasts here and on Wasps 1111, Peace 199. The epithet \(\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda \dot{\rho} \nu\), twisted, seems to show that in this passage it signifies a pine-cone.
517. \(\left.\nu \dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu^{3 \prime} \mathrm{~A}^{2} \tau \tau \epsilon \mu \nu\right]\) Mnesilochus does not forget, either here or in 569 infra, to use the women's oath Lys. 435, 922, 949, infra 742, Eccl. 90, 136 . He now concludes his speech with a quotation (the Scholiast tells us) from the

Telephus of Euripides,
єî̃a \(\delta \grave{\eta}\) өvцоن́ \(\mu \in \theta a\)

520-530. This little indignant outburst is, as we have already seen, the antistrophe to the triumphant eulogy with which the Chorus greeted the peroration of the First Woman's speech. 529. \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi a \rho o \iota \mu i a \nu\) ] The proverb was v̇ò mavтi \(\lambda i \theta \omega\) бкортios, but the Chorus change \(\sigma \kappa о \rho \pi i o s ~ i n t o ~ \rho \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho\), to suit the occasion. Both in Athenaeus (xv. 50, Scol. 18) and in the Anthology (Scol. 15) we find the following scolium by Praxilla of Sicyon :
 seolium cited in Wasps 1238, which ulsu is ascribed to Praxilla, a famous seoliun-writer, and very partial to this particular metre. And Fritasche is altogether mistaken in supposing that
vioi in the first line does not suit the metre ; the two syllables (technically called the buse) which precede the choriambs may be of any quantity : and many examples in which both are short will le found in Gaisford's learned


                \(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha \prime} \pi \alpha \nu \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu 0 u \tau^{\prime} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \eta{ }^{\eta} \delta \eta\).
\(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o \iota \mu i ́ \alpha \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu \bar{\omega}\)
\(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha^{\prime} \nu \cdot \dot{u} \pi \grave{o} \lambda i ̂ \theta \omega\) \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho\)
            \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau i ́ \pi o v\) Хрŋ̀




notes to Hephaestion，chap．x．Nor can I agree with Fritzsche that the ＂ancient proverb，＂which is frequently cited by old writers，was derived from the scolium ；it was doubtless the sco－ lium which was derived from the pro－ verb．The proverb is one of those illustrated by Erasmus，and he himself employs it in one of his letters to describe the alarm into which the educated classes of England had been thrown by the violent measures of King Henry VIII．After noticing the execution of Fisher and the imprison－ ment of More，he proceeds＂Cateri amici，qui me subinde literis et muneri－ bus dignabantur，metu nee scribunt nec mittunt quicquam，neque quicquam a quoquam recipiunt，quasi sub omni lapide dormial scorpius．＂Epistle 1286， Erasmi Opera，Vol．iii，p． 1509.

529．inò \(\left.\lambda i \theta_{\mathrm{c}}\right]\) The nution of G． Burges that by \(\lambda i \theta_{0}\) we are to under－ stand тò \(\beta \hat{\eta} \mu a\) т \(\hat{\eta} s \pi v \kappa \nu \dot{\prime} s\) ，as in Peace 680 and elsewhere，though accepted by Fritzsche and Enger，seems to me mani－
festly wrong．The \(\rho i_{i} \tau \omega \rho\) could not be \(\dot{v} \pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\varrho} \hat{\imath} \lambda \theta \omega\) ，though the audience might be．Eccl． 87.

532．ои̇ס̇̀̀ ка́кıov］They are apparently quoting from the Melanippe Desmotis
 үіүขєтаи Гuvaıкós．Sitobaeus lxix．11．But the exception \(\pi \lambda i \eta \nu \quad\) a \(\rho\)＇\(\epsilon i\) jvvaikєs is tacked on by Aristophanes himself．The concluding word govaikes is，of course， introduced тарà пробסокiav．The Chorns are casting about for some object whose vileness is greater than that of the most shameless womim ；and the vilest object they can call to mind is－woman－ kind in general．

533．＂Aypaviov］Agraulus（otherwise ＊A \(\lambda \lambda a v p o s)\) ，Herse，and Pandrosus were the three daughters of Cecrops，and were famous in the old legends．We have \(\mu \grave{a} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu\)＂A \(\boldsymbol{q}^{2} a v \lambda o \nu\) here，and \(\nu \dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu\) Múdoforov（thongh the name there ap－ pears to belong to Artemis）in Lys． 439 ；but we nowhere have \(\mu \dot{a}\) or \(\nu \dot{\eta}\) тì \(\nu\) ＂E \(\rho \sigma \eta \nu\) ．And so the Scholiast observes，

\(\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \ddot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \chi \theta^{\prime}, \ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \not \partial \lambda\right\rangle\) ，



 \(\gamma v \nu \grave{\eta}\) रvvaîkas ồ \(\sigma \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} s \lambda_{\epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu}^{\tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \pi o ́ v . ~}\)









535．фӨópov］This pest；compare Knights 1151.

536．єi ס̀́ \(\mu\) in］If（eny will help us to
 \(\mu \in \nu a t\) ．－Scholiast）well；if not，we will do it ourselves，that is，by ourselves．In the first branch of the sentence，she is referring to the audience；and this seems to be the meaning of the gloss，
 mode of expression is technically called the \(\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a\) àvavtanó8otov．It occurs again in Plutus 468－470（where many examples of the \(\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a\) are collected by Kuster and Bergler．）and in St．Luke＇s Guspel xiii． 9.

537．rє́ф \(\rho a \nu\) ］＂Ad vulsuram utuntur＇ einere，ut pili firmins prehendi possint． Cf．Nub． \(1083 \tau \dot{\tau} \phi p a \underset{\tau}{ } \tau \in \tau \iota \lambda \theta \hat{\eta}\), ．＂－Bergler．
\(540 . \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \bar{\eta} \tau a]\) Words of deprecation （infra 751）very frequent in Euripides． See Med．337，Alc．308，Suppl．267，320， Iph．in Aul．1183，Helen 939．Mnesi－ lochus，as we shall presently see，is quite ready for a stand－up fight；but he naturally deprecates a mode of attack which would lead to his immediate de－ tection．

547．Mєגuvitras \(\pi o \iota \omega ิ\) ］writing his Melanippes und his Phaedras；or rather， \(p^{n e s e n t i n y ~ t h o s e ~ c h a r u c t e r s ~ i n ~ h i s ~ P l a y s . ~}\) As to Melanippe see the note on 14 supra．Phaedra，as all know，fell sick for love of her own stepson．Her name was sure to be prominent in any list of bad women，just as Homer＇s noble and virtuous Penelope would be foremost on the roll of the good．Thus in a passige from Eubulus，preserved by Athenacns xiii．8，is speaker exelaims，

> O Zens! immortal Zeus! am I the man To speak against the ladies? May I die If I don't think them excellent! You name
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\author{
Medea；well，I name Penelope． \\ Then Clytaemnestra，you insist，was bad ； \\ True，but Alcestis，I reply，was good． \\ Then Phaedra was immoral ：true，but think \\ Of virtuous－whom？－why bless me，whom？O heavens， \\ My stock of virtnous names has clean run out． \\ Before my wicked ones are well begun．
}

556．\(\left.\sigma \tau \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \dot{0} a s\right]\) छ́vot／as．－Scholiast． Of these strigils，or skin－scrapers，which were in common use at the baths to remove the sweat and oil from the bodies of the bathers，a great variety may be seen in the British Museum． They are mostly of very simple con－ struction，not unlike our horse－scraper， or the segment of a hoop from a barrel． The \(\sigma \tau \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma\) is and \(\lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa v \theta\) os，being the regular concomitants of a bath，are frequently mentioned together：ov่ס \({ }^{\prime}\)
 toph．Daetaleis（Fragm． 29 in Meineke＇s collection）；Plato Charmides，chap．ix． （ 161 e）；Aelian V．H．xii． 29 \＆c．These two articles，when a well－to－do citizen went
to the baths，were carried for his use by an attendant，who thence clerived the somewhat abnormal name of \(\sigma \tau \lambda \epsilon \gamma-\)


557．\(\sigma \iota \phi \omega \nu i \zeta \rho \mu \epsilon \nu\) тòv \(\sigma i\) iтov］we tap the

 àmoбтầ．－Scholiast．\(\sigma \iota \phi \omega v i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu^{*}\) кขрíшs

 бiтov．＂—Suidas．A strigil was well adapted for scooping down grain，es－ pecially grain stored away where it could not be reached by the hand．And that the women were addicted to little pilferings of corn is more than once alleged in this very Play，supra 420，


MN．oư \(\delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \tau \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \alpha^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \tau \widehat{Q} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon \iota \gamma v \nu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \delta \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\) ，




infra 813．And see Eccl．15， 16 and the note there．The meaning of the passage，therefore，is quite clear and satisfactory．Unfortunately，Kuster took it into his head to propose that the word we should have expected to follow \(\sigma \iota \phi \omega \nu i S_{0 \mu \epsilon}\), ，viz．oivov，should be substi－ tuted for the surprise－word ritav；and this suggestion has been followed by every subsequent editor．＂Haec con－ jectura，＂Fritzsche truly siys，＂claro plausu excepta est a Reiskio，Berglero， Brunckio，Bothio，Dindortio，aliisque passim＂；and he himself adds his tribute of applanse．But having ac－ cepted and applanded it，the next thing is to make sense of it．And this they practically admit to be impossible． Reiske thinks that \(\sigma \tau \lambda \in \gamma \gamma i \delta i a s\) must be a corruption of some other word sig－ nifying a straw，＂proho enim Kusteri nîuov＂he adds，recognizing the cause of the difficulty．Brunck thinks that \({ }_{\sigma \tau \lambda} \boldsymbol{f} \gamma \mathrm{y}\) is is not used in its ordinary sense，or else that the strigil must have had a tubular bandle，through which the wine was sucked．Fritzsche，having seen the specimens in the Berlin Museum，knew that this would not do， and therefore suggests that the women drew the wine through a tube into the
strigil；which could hardly，as Enger appears to see，be adduced as a proof of their good sense．All this difficulty is admitted to be occasioned by Kuster＇s alteration，yet nobody has returned to the MS．reading，which gives rise to no difficulty at all．

5558．\({ }^{〔} \xi \in\)＇A the great festival of the phratries，was celebrated in the same month，if not at the very same time，as the Thesmo－ phoria．The first day was called the دó \(\rho \pi \epsilon \epsilon a\) ，the Banqueting Day，because in the evening of that day the members of each phratria met together at a

 at Acl． 146 ；Suidas．Doubtless some Athenian women had been recently detected in the act of purloining meat from these banquets，for the purpose （Mnesilochus insinuates）of giving it таis \(\mu\) иaтропоis，to their go－betweens．
 sтрur，says the Scholiast ；and in truth， Furipides does constantly mention the \(\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \kappa v s\) as the weapon with which Cly－ taemnestra slew her lord．Hec．1279， Troades 361，Electra 160，279， 1160. But Mnesilochus is talking not of what Euripides has described，but of what he

тov̂ \({ }^{\prime}\) ن́ \(\pi \epsilon \beta \alpha\) ádov，тò \(\sigma o ̀ \nu ~ \delta ̊ ̀ ~ \theta u \gamma \alpha ́ \tau \rho ı o \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \eta ̂ \kappa \alpha s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\eta}\).
\(\Gamma \Upsilon\) ．A．oű \(\tau o \iota \mu \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \grave{\omega} \sigma \grave{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho o i ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \alpha \tau \alpha v \tau i\),



 570 XO．\(\pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \lambda o \iota \delta o \rho o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota\) каı̀ \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \gamma v \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu\)
has not described，oủk \(\epsilon \iota \nmid \eta \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \pi \omega\) ，and un－ doubtedly all these accusations refer to some recent and well－known incidents of Athenian life．And another Scholiast rightly says oủ火 dimò ígtopias，meaning that there is no allusion to the old Clytaemmestra story．And so in the next example（a wife driving her hus－ band mad with poisonons drugs）oùk

 as to the Acharnian parricide，is кai
 woman had murdered her father，and buried his body under the bath．In the Wife of Bath＇s prologue 6347－i54， Chaucer gives a list of female crimes very similar to that of Mnesilochus here．

564．is oiv］After enumerating the various climes committed by Athenian women，he suddenly turns upon his antagonist herself，and charges her with exchanging her baby－girl with her maid－ servant＇s baby－boy．This personalattack is too much for the other＇s patience； and a personal brawl ensues which might well have broken up the assembly altogether，but for the unexpected diversion occasioned by the appearance of Cleisthenes．

567．є̇клокıิิ］I＇ll pell your wool out： I＇ll comb your roxcomb for you．

568．кaì \(\mu \dot{\eta} \nu\) iסovi］With these words she throws herself into a fighting atti－ tude．Mnesilochus，nuthing loth，follows her example．Thereupon she flings her upper garment to a friend，in prepara－ tion for the combat．Compare the well－known words of Hipponax，\(\lambda\) cißєтє́
 \(\mu \mathrm{in}\) ：and see the note on Wasps 408.

569．\(\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \in s\) pivov］Onlyg layg＂t hend on me．In the following line he insinuates that，notwithstanding it is the N \(\eta \sigma \tau \in i a\) ， the woman has been indulging in a feast of sesamé－cake，a favourite dainty with Athenian women，and the recognized wedding－cake at Athens．See Peace 869， and the note on Peace 862 ．

571．you＇\(\tau\) ts］A feminine figure is seen approaching，which the Chorus naturally suppose to be a woman，but which tums out to be the notorious Cleisthenes，to whom Mnesilochus，when his face had been shaven into the similitude of a woman＇s，has already compared himself（supra 235），and who in almost every Comedy of Aristophanes， from the Acharnians to the Frogs，is satirized for the degrading effeminacy of his mamers and habits．So thoroughly




\(\gamma v \nu \alpha \iota \kappa о \mu \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho, \pi \rho \circ \xi \in \nu \hat{\omega} \theta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \dot{i}\).
каì vर̂v \(\dot{\alpha} k о \dot{v} \sigma \alpha s ~ \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha\)






K \(\Lambda\) ．Еن́ \(\rho \iota \pi i \delta \eta \nu \nu \not \alpha^{\prime} \sigma^{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \kappa \eta \delta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \tau \nu \alpha\)
 585



is he identified with the womankind， that the Chorus express no indignation at his appearance amongst them ；though indeed his first speech contains a sort of apologetic excuse for his intrusion．
 ＇Atтккоis．－Seholiast．The two words， however，have not quite the same mean－ ing．Cleisthenes was already éryús； he would not be \(\delta \mu o \hat{v}\) until he had actually reached them．
 －Scholiast．The words éniồnos rais yvíbois refer to the circumstance that his face is as devoid of hair as a woman＇s， cf．infra 583 He is womun－mad，yovatкo－ \(\mu a \nu \hat{\omega}\) ，just as a speaker in Birds 1344 declares himself to be bird－mad，ipuito－
\(\mu a \nu \hat{\omega}\) ．He is their \(\pi \rho \dot{\xi} \epsilon \nu\) os，because，if any question arises about them among the men，he makes it his business to take their part and defend their in－ terests．

581．а́фра́ктозs］ипргеранед，literally unguarded．Fritzsche refers to Thuc．i．

 к．т．．ג．With the last words of the line compare Peace 403.

582．\(\left.\tau i \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \tau \nu, \tilde{\omega} \pi a \hat{]}\right]\) The line is adapted from Wasjs 1297，where see the note．
585．ìvanє́ \(\mu \psi\) au］to send \(u p\) ，because the Thesmophorium was on rising ground；whence the first day of the Festival，when the worshippers went up

\section*{ЄEさMOゅOPIAZOヘさAI}

K \(\Lambda\) ．\(\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \nu\) aủ \(\frac{\partial}{c} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \tau i \lambda ’\) EủpıTío \(\eta s\) ，




К \(\Lambda\) ．\(\lambda \eta \rho \epsilon i \bar{s}^{\cdot}{ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \gamma^{\grave{\alpha}} \rho\) oủk \(\dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu^{\prime}\) ，

XO．тò \(\pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \gamma \mu \alpha\) тоuтì \(\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \gamma \gamma \in ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) ．

 \(\lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta\) Яє \(\nu \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha ̂ s ~ к \rho v \pi \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \gamma к \alpha \theta \ddot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu о s\).





to the temple，was sometimes called \(\eta\)＂Avodos，the Ascent．The Scholiast says，àvarє́ \(\mu \psi a \iota ~ к \nu p i ́ \omega s\) ，סıò каì＂Avoסos ì
 \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \iota \nu\) тoû \(\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о \phi_{\circ} \rho i o v . ~ A n d ~ a g a i n, ~\)

 Өєб \(о\) офо́рьov．Confer supra 281，infra 623,1045 ．The words map＇évious каi KáOoóos embody，of course，the ordinary confusion between the first and second days of the Festival．＂Avooos means the Ascent of the women to the temple； KáOodos，the Descent of Persephone to the world below．See the Introduction． The feelings of Mnesilochus during the ensuing dialogue may be more easily imagined than described．The next
question of the Chorus，as Fritzsche remarks，＂spirat tragoediam．＂

593．\(\left.\dot{\eta} \lambda i \theta_{\iota o s}\right]\) Mnesilochus speaks with． a keen recollection of the tortures he endured during the process which Clei－ sthenes is describing．

603．\(\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \sigma \dot{v}]\) It is probable that he is addressing the First Woman ；and the \(\pi a \iota \delta i o v\), the dummy child which her＊ nurse is carrying，is cloubtless，as Fritzschesuggests，the wine－flask dressed up in baby clothes which at line 690 Mnesilochus snatches from its（supposed） mother＇s arms．See the note on 383 supra．

605．K \(\left.\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu{ }^{i} \mu \rho v \gamma^{\prime} \nu \nu^{\prime}\right]\) If this is the Cleonymus so often mentioned in the earlier Plays，this is his last appearance


K \(\Lambda\) ．\(\dot{\eta} \delta i \grave{i}\) סè \(\delta \grave{\eta}\) тís \(\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta}\) тò \(\pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ o \nu\)





\(\mu o ́ \nu \eta \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau \eta ̀ \nu, \hat{\omega} \nu \in \rho\) ，oủ \(\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о \mu \in \nu\) ．
K \(\Lambda\) ．\(\pi 0 \lambda u ́ v ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ o u ̉ p \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \sigma u ́ . ~ M N . ~ \nu \eta ̀ ~ \Delta i ́, ~ 今 ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon . ~\)







in the Comedies of Aristophanes．In the Acharnians and Knights he is satirized merely for his gross bulk and enormous voracity；but thenceforward he is known as the \(\dot{\rho} \dot{\psi} \psi a \sigma \pi t s\) ，the \(\dot{a} \sigma \pi t \delta-\) \(a \pi n \beta \lambda \lambda^{\prime} s\) ．We may be sure that this act of＂discretion＂occurred at the battle of Delium，where there was a great casting－away of Athenian shields． Fritzsche thinks it an intentional stroke of humour that the wife of \(K \lambda \epsilon \omega v v{ }^{\mu} \mu\) （Clouds 680）：hould be the first suspected of being a man．
610．поî aтр＇́申et；］Whither wre you turning owcay？So supra 2：30，Lucian＇s Cataplus 25，and（metaphorically）Plato＇s Phaedrus，chap． 12 （236 e）．

616．otpraryoup（à̀ He excuses hisdelay
by alleging that he is aftlicted with strangury，that is，to adopt Forcellini＇s definition，＂urinae stillicidium，cum per intervallum et guttatim defluit； a \(\sigma \tau \rho \grave{i} \gamma \xi\) gutta，et oz̉pò urina．＂＇̇ \(\pi \sigma \sigma \chi\) द－
 Scholiast．

617．тi каро̊пиi（＇sıs］What are youwater－ rressing for？＂Finxit hoe verbum，＂ as Bergler says，＂a proximo кápóaua． Sic in Vesp．652，quum alter dixisset，\(\check{\mathscr{c}}\) тcitधр，alter inццuit \(\pi n \hat{v} \sigma a u\) ，каì \(\mu \grave{\eta}\) тaтє́－ \(\rho \iota \zeta \epsilon\) ，＂where see the note．

620．Ко \(\theta_{\omega \kappa \iota \delta \iota \nu}\) ］Коөшкіठаи，\(\delta \hat{\eta} \mu\) оs \(\tau \hat{\eta} s\) Oivnioos \(\phi u \lambda \bar{\eta} s\) ．－Scholiast，Photius．It is the deme to which in later times the orator Aeschines belonged（Lives of the X Orators），but we do not know where
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 630
\(\Gamma \Upsilon\). A. тí \(\delta \alpha i \not \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau о и ิ \tau o ~ \delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu: ~ M N . ~ \pi \rho o u ̉ \pi i ́ \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu . ~\)







it was sitnated, or whether there was any special reason for its selection by Mnesilochus. With the repetition in this and the following lines of the indefinite \(\delta\) or \(\dot{\eta} \delta \in \epsilon \bar{u} \cdot a\) compare St. Chrysostom's ó סeiva каì ó deîva tì̀ סeiva \(\gamma^{a \mu \epsilon i t \omega \sigma a \nu}\) (he is speaking of the mar-riage-arrangements in the Republic of Plato), Hom. iv in 1 Cor. 30 c. Dindorf refers to Plautus, Mercator iv. 3. 23, and Trinummus iv. 2. 62.

 See supra 585 , and the note there.
624. ö "' \(\epsilon\) " \(\tau \eta]\) Every year. The Scholiast explains \(\sigma v \sigma \kappa \eta \nu i, \tau \rho a \quad\) by \(\phi i \lambda \eta ~ \sigma v \nu \delta i a u \tau o s . ~\) \(\sigma \kappa \eta \nu a ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ p ~ e ́ a v a n i ̂ s ~ e ́ t \pi o i o v \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ i ́ \epsilon \rho o ́ v . ~\) We see therefore that, as a rule, two
friends were accustomed to lodge and mess together.
 -Scholiast. We drank to each other's health. Pledyed each other. Nothing but wine, even at the Thesmophoria.
 -Scholiast. The Woman's next words, as Fritzsche wittily remarks, betray the incommunicable secrets of the festival.
 \(\eta \not \eta \tau \eta \sigma \in \nu\) ípiòa. This is the consequence, he suggests, of her drinking so much wine. See Eupolis, cited by Athenaeus i. chap. 30 ( 17 E ), and Epicrates, cited Id. vi. 81 (262 D).
638. \(\sigma \tau \rho \rho^{\prime} \phi \iota \nu\) ] The sash or girdle which Agathon had been kind enough to lend


MN. \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \not \phi \eta \gamma \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon i \mu \iota\) кои̉к є́ки́ךба тө́тотє.






him. See 251, 255, and the note on 249 supra.
641. \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \phi \eta]\) A barren stock. àırì toû \(\sigma \tau \epsilon i p a\).-Scholiast. For \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \emptyset\) os, which properly means firm, solid, is used, 'Aтtıкิิs, for barren. See Sallier's note on Moeris, s. v. \(\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \phi_{\eta}\), and Rulnken's on Timaeus, s. v. \(\boldsymbol{\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \phi a . ~}\)
643. \(\dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon i \hat{i}\) кát \(\omega\) ] He is endearouring to conceal the \(\delta є \rho \mu\) átuov aiòoiov (see the note on Eccl. 890) which comic actors wore, and the use of which Aristophanes in the Clouds had vainly attempted to discontinue. See Clouds 538.
 quem Corinthuï.-Kuster. ̇̇meì tàs vaûs
 \(\pi \in \rho \epsilon \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a u\).—Scholiast. The Corinthians, dwelling on an isthmus, with a port on each sea, had the inestimable advantage of being able to transport, not only their merchant vessels, but also, on occasion, their triremes, with great rapidity, from one sea to the other. The ships, were hauled on trolleys ( \(\delta \lambda \kappa o \dot{o} s\), Thuc. iii. 15) along a beaten track, which was from this custom called the \(\Delta \Delta_{n} \lambda_{k i s,}\) whence \(\delta\) tènkets in
the present line; тò \(\Delta \iota o \lambda \kappa \grave{o} \nu, \delta \imath\) ổ đò
 тì̀ \(\dot{\text { éféfà }}\) 日ádartav, Strabo viii. 2 § 1. The term \(\pi v \kappa \nu\) óre \(\rho o \nu\) forbids us to restrict the speaker's meaning to the comparatively infrequent transit of ships of war, though several instances of this transit are recorded by historians ; and though the present allusion is probably due to the circumstance that, less than two years previously, the Corinthians had, in fact, un observed by the Athenians, transported in this mamer a considerable part of their navy from the gulf of Corinth to the Saronic gulf. Their navy had been operating in the former gulf against the Athenian squadron stationed at Naupactus; but at the commencement of the summer season of b.c. 412, Thucydides tells us, the Spartans, plamming an expedition to Chios, arranged that the Corinthians

 ròv ' \(\mathrm{I} \sigma \theta \mu \dot{\partial} \nu\), should dispatch them at once to Chios. Accordingly the Corinthians conveyed twenty-one ships across the isthmus without the Athenians:


\(\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \nu\) є́ \(о \iota \delta о \rho є і т о . \quad \mathrm{MN} . к \alpha к о \delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu\) є́ \(\gamma \dot{\omega}\),
єis oí' є́ \(\mu \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \kappa и ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \alpha \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha\).

\section*{ \\ 
}

knowing anything of the matter. But shortly afterwards the Athenians attended the Isthmian games, which were celebrated not far from the \(\Delta \iota_{0} \lambda\) кis, and so discovered what was going on. Thuc. viii. 7-10.
 liast. This then is the reason why. The words \(\bar{\omega}\) uapòs oviros are repeated from Wasps 900.
654. rois \(\pi \rho u \tau a \dot{\nu} \in \sigma \iota \nu]\) It was the duty of the Prytanes to keep order at the meetings of the Ecclesia and the Council, employing for this purpose the Seythian archers who formed the police-force at Athens. Nee Ach. 54-7, Knights 665, Plato, Protagoras, chap. x. \((319 \mathrm{c})\), and the notes on Eccl. 87 and 143. In the present case Mnesilochus had been occasioning a disturbance in the Women's Ecclesia, convened by the order of their Council (supra 373-6) ; and in punishing the offender, the Prytanes are acting as the officers of the Council, infra 943. This means, no doubt, the Comncil of the Five Hundred, which, insensibly, takes the place of the \(\beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \gamma u \nu a c \kappa \omega \nu\).
655. \(\grave{\eta \mu a ̂ s ~ r o i v o v] ~ O n e ~ M a n ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~}\)
discovered in the sacred precincts, and the Chorus now propose to make a minute and thorough search, for the purpose of ascertaining whether any others of that hostile sex are lurking about where no men should be. In the ensuing Choral song they profess to he moving in and out through the place where the Assembly has just been held, peeping and peering in every direction; and they wind up by saying that they have searched in every quarter, and have satisfied themselves that no other Man is there. But all this is a mere make-believe ; they never really leave the orchestra at all. Just as in the Frogs, the Mystic Chorus, without stirring from their station, represent by their gestures and evolutions the successive stages of the Eleusinian procession ; so here the Chorus of Women, by their gestures and evolutions in the orchestra, represent the various steps of the vigilant and exhaustive investigation which they profess to be carrying out elsewhere. The words tius \(\lambda a \mu \pi a ́\) as ¿́廿apévas point to another, though a very slight, resemblance between the

 \(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi u ́ \kappa \nu \alpha \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu\) кגì \(\tau \grave{\alpha} s \sigma \kappa \eta \nu \alpha ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \delta \iota o ́ o ̂ o v s ~ \delta \iota \alpha \theta \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \alpha l . ~\)
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 \(\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi \hat{\eta}\) ס＇\({ }^{\prime} \hat{\rho} \hat{\psi} \neq \nu{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mu \mu \alpha\),
two Choruses．Both enter with lighted torches；both extinguish them，and afterwards relight them．See supra 280， and the notes on Frogs 323，454，and 1524.

656．тิิข \(\left.\theta^{\prime} i \mu a \tau i \omega \nu\right] \tau \bar{\omega} \nu\) є่ \(\gamma к \dot{v} \kappa \lambda \omega \nu\) ùто－ \(\delta v \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v a s\) ．－Scholiast．They throw off their upper mantles，to enable them to dance more freely．

658．\(\pi \dot{v} \kappa \boldsymbol{\alpha}]\) They speak of the place where the \(\epsilon^{\kappa} к \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu v v^{\prime} a \kappa \kappa \bar{\nu} \nu\) has just been held in terms more strictly appro－ priate to the meeting－place of the real Athenian ékкд \(\begin{gathered}\text { oia．By } \delta \text { tódous they }\end{gathered}\) mean the passages or aisles which traversed the real Pnyx，to enable the citizens to reach their seats，or to go up to the bema without any disorder． There were tents in the Agora；indeed at one time the Scythian archers dwelt in tents in the midst of the Agora．－ Scholiast o11 Ach．54．But there is doubtless also an allusion here to the tents erected in the precincts of the Thesmophorium．See supra 624.

662．гウ̀ \(\tau \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \eta \nu\) ］First of all．This adverbial expression，though not so
common as the analogous rì \(\tau \alpha \chi i \sigma \tau \eta \nu\) （Wasps 990 ），is yet very frequently found． See Hdt．i． 153 and iii． 134 ；Xen．Mem． iii．6． 10 ；Demosthenes，Third Olynthiac § 2；Lucian＇s Piscator § 39．It is a special favourite with Heliodorus，who constantly employs it in his Aethiopics ： i． 10.26 ；iii． 13.18 ；v． 22.27 ；vii．18．27． The meaning is not quite identical in all the passages cited ；and it would be im－ possible to supply always the same sub－ stantive \({ }^{\circ} \mu a \nu\) ，\(\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\circ} \nu\) ，or the like．In addi－ tion to the simple accusative \(\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta \nu^{\prime}\) ， we find also rapà тìv \(\pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu\) ，катà тì \(\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu\) ，and \(\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta s\) used in a similar sense．\(\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu\) in this line is Bent－ ley＇s correction of the MS．\(\chi \rho \eta\) ．If we adopt Porson＇s \(\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma^{\prime}\) ，we must，I pre－ sume，consider the Coryphaeus to be directing some one member of the Chorus to lead the way in the circling dance．In this case，of course，\(\tau \eta \nu\) \(\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu\) would lose its quasi－adverbial signification．
 MSS．have \(\mu\) áteve rax̀̀ \(\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau^{\prime}\) ，but the line should be a trochaic tetrameter cata－
```

\kappa\alphai \tau\grave{\alpha}\tau\hat{\eta}\delta\epsilon, к\alphai \tau\grave{\alpha}\delta\epsilon\hat{v\rhoo,}
\pi\alphá\nu\tau'\alpha\dot{\alpha}\alpha\sigmaкó\pi\epsilon\iota к\alpha\lambda\hat{\omega}.
\eta}\nu \gamma\alphá\rho \mu\epsilon\lambda\alphá0\eta \delta\rho\alphá\sigma\alphas 白\nuó\sigma\iota\alpha
\delta\omegá\sigma\epsilon\iota \tau\epsilon \deltaíк\eta\nu, каì \pi\rhoòs тоú\tau\omega

```

```

\pi\alpha\rho\alphá\delta\epsilon\iota\gamma\mu'\ddot{v}\beta\rho\epsilon\omegas \dot{\alpha}\deltaíк\omega\nu \tau' '€\rho\gamma\omega\nu
6 7 0

```

```

\phi\etá\sigma\epsilon\iota \delta' \epsilonî\nuaí }\tau\in0\epsilonov̀s \phia\nu\epsilon\rho\hat{S}
\delta\ini\xi\xi\in\iota \tau' \eta

```
lectic；and I have omitted \(\tau u \chi^{\grave{v}}\) and inserted \({ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \omega \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \rho\) ，not as being the word written by Aristophanes，but as carrying on the metrical swing．
667－686．ที̀ \(\gamma\) र́p ．．．ìпотіцетаı］This system，as Hermann first pointed out，is undoubtedly intended to correspond to that contained in lines 707－725 infria （ \(\tau i\) ầ oủv ．．．\(\tau u ́ x \eta\) ），although one is a pure Choral song，and the other a dia－ logue between the Chorns and Mnesi－ lochus．But each system has become su muddled that it is impossible now，espe－ cially in the later lines，to bring them into complete harmony．And I am not sure that critics have not，in some cases， altered the true reading in one system for the purpose of making it correspond to the corrupt reading in the other．In the first line，however，it is clear that the error is in the strophe，\(\hat{\eta}^{\eta} \gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \rho \mu \bar{\eta}\) \(\lambda a ́ \theta \eta\) ，which gives a good sense（if a man shall be detected in this sacrilegious act）， but does not suit the metre．For the line， both here and in 707 infra ，is plainly an anapaestic dimeter ；the long syllable of the concluding anapaest being，in each
case，resolved into two short syllables，so forming a proceleusmatic foot，àvóoıa
 changed \(\mu \dot{\eta}\) into \(\mu \epsilon\) ，as the joint conjec－ ture of himself，Bergk，and an unnamed scholar ；and this alteration has been generally followed．Nobody has rouch－ safed to explain this reading，which does not seem altogether satisfactory，and certainly stands in need of some expla－ nation．I take it to mean Ij we shall dis－ cover any mun who，unperceired by me， has perpetrated this sacrilegious act； though it may also mean If we fail to detect him，yet the Gods will not leate him unpunished．The first meaning is more suitable to the context ；the second gives the natural signification to \(\ddot{\eta}_{\nu} \lambda \dot{\theta} \theta \eta\) ． Each of these little lyrical systems is preceded by a few trochaic tetrameters．
 have dropped out here is shown both by the sense，and by the metre．The in－ truder is to be made an example，not of ＂godless doings，＂but of the punish－ ment which awaits them ；and the present line，like the corresponding one
```

$\pi \bar{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o \iota s ~ \sigma \epsilon \beta i \grave{\zeta} \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \alpha i \mu о \nu \alpha s ~ \cup-\cup-$
סıкаíws $\tau^{\prime}$ є́ $\phi \in ́ \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$ s ö $\sigma \iota \alpha$, каì $\nu o ́ \mu \iota \mu \alpha$



```
\(\alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\) ớт \(\alpha \nu \lambda \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \iota s\) oủ \(X\)
```


$\lambda u ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к о \pi т о s-\cup-$,
$\epsilon i \prime \tau \iota \delta \rho \omega ́ \eta$,

```

```

$\tau \alpha \iota$ रuvaı豸i каi $\beta$ ротоîбıv,

```
in the antistrophe（711），ought to be that is right．＂ a complete anapaestic dimeter．

674．סaípovas］After this word an iambic dipody has been lost ；and from this point the antistrophical traces are very dimly perceivable．It seems certain that the strophe has been more depraved than the antistrophe．The verbiage which follows bears no resemblance to the usual style of Aristophanes，whose language and metres，like the thoughts they embody，are always very crisp and clear．＂He shall show to all mankind that they should reverence the Gods，and following after what is holy，and study－ ing what is lawful，should do the thing

683．\(\gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i\) каì \(\beta \rho о \tau о i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu] \gamma \epsilon \lambda о i \omega \varsigma ~ \epsilon \grave{i} \pi \epsilon \nu\) ，
 रvvaikes \(\tau \rho \epsilon i s . "\)＂Scholiast．Alexander＇ was a Comic poet of uncertain date．See Meineke（Fragm．Com．Graec．iv．555）， who would correct the line into \(\bar{\eta} \sigma a \nu\)
 The joke is similar to that in the Scolium of Timocreon of Rhodes，which is adapted in Ach． 533.
 тарах \(\rho \hat{\eta} \mu a\) is emphatic．The punishment is instant，and does not tarry．The XIIIth Satire of Juvenal should doubt－ less commence

Extemplo quodcunque malum committitur，ipsi
Displicet auctori．Prima est haec ultio，etc．
instead of the jejune＂Exemplo quod－ cunque malo＂into which editors have corrupted the MS＂Excmplo quod－
cunque mahum．＂See lines 237，238，of the same Satire．The Delphian oracle cited in Ael．V．H．iii． 43 declared



So Eusebius（H．E．VI．ix．5），recording the speedy vengeance which fell upon
the slanderers of Narcissus，says＇A \(\lambda \lambda\)＇oi

öт८ \(\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \nu o \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \tau\)＇\(\dot{\alpha} \nu o ́ \sigma \iota \alpha ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~\)
\(\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime}\) á \(\pi о \tau i \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota\).


\(\Gamma \Upsilon\) A．\(\hat{\alpha} \hat{\alpha}\) ．

\(\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu '\)＇́y⿳亠 \(\tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu \times \alpha\) ，каì \(\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ o \nu ~\)
690

MN．кє́кра \(\chi_{l} \theta_{l}\) тои̂то \(\delta^{\prime}\) ои́ \(\delta^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \pi о т \epsilon ~ \sigma ̀ ̀ ~ \psi \omega \mu t \epsilon i ̄ s, ~\) \(\ddot{\eta} \nu \mu \eta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \phi \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \cdot \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \alpha^{\prime} \delta^{\nu}, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \rho i \omega \nu\),
 rois \(\dot{\text { a }} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \mathrm{is}\) ．So in the De Imitatione Christi i．6． 2 ＂Si autem prosecutus fuerit quod concupiscitur，statim ex reatu conscientiae gravatur．＂
689．\(\hat{c}\) â］As the Chorus are con－ cluding their search，Mnesilochus sud－ denly snatches a baby from a woman on the stage（apparently the First Woman，who has taken her baby from the nursemaid，supra 608），and flees with it to the altar of the Thesmo－ phorian Goddesses．There he takes his seat with the child in his arms．See infra 886.

691．фpovioos］he is off．With the
 compare Frogs 1343 тòv a ìєктрvóva \(\mu\) оv
 are probably adumbrated from some scene in a Euripidean Play，possibly that in which Telephus，in order to gain a hearing，runs off with the infant Orestes．See the note on Frogs 855.

692．\(\psi \omega \mu \mathrm{\epsilon}\) is］shall feed him with sops unul morsels．\(\psi \omega \mu \grave{s}\) ，\(\dot{\delta}\) єis \(\mu \iota к р \grave{~ к є к о \mu \mu \epsilon ́-~}\)
vos．－Etym．Magn．Cf．Knights 715； Lys． 19.
693．\(\epsilon^{\pi} \pi i\) r \(\left.\uparrow \omega \nu \mu \eta \rho i \omega \nu\right]\) over the sucrificiul

 є́ \(\chi o \nu \in і \bar{i} \pi \epsilon \nu\) ．－Scholiast．＂\(\epsilon \pi i \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \rho i \omega \nu\) ，＂ saysFritzsche，＂bene Schol．Rav．exponit \(\epsilon \quad \pi i \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \omega \mu \hat{\omega} \nu\) ，qui melius etiam dixisset \(\epsilon \pi \pi i ~ \tau o v ̂ \beta \omega_{\grave{\prime}} o \hat{v}\) ．＂This is doubtless correct， for \(\mu \eta \rho i \omega \nu\) cannot be understood of the infant＇s limbs，as a mere ordinary dimi－ nutive of \(\mu \eta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu\) ．But，of course，it must nut be supposed that there were really any＂pieces cut from the victim＂s thighs＂ir any sacrificial knife（not－ withstanding the words \(\mu a \chi a i p a \quad \tau \eta \eta \delta \epsilon\) ）on the altar of the Twain Goddesses．Mnesi－ lochus speaks in a high tragic vein，and is probably adopting the very words，if not of the Telephus，of some other tragic play．Indeed，throughout the scene the language is the language of tragedy．The incident，though analo－ gous to that in the Acharnians（ 326 serfq．），differs widely in its details．
```

    \pi\lambda\eta\gamma\epsiloǹ\nu \mu\alpha\chi\alphaíp\alpha \tau\etâ\delta\epsilon фо\iota\nuí\alphas \phi\lambda\epsiloń\beta\alphas
    к\alpha0\alpha\iota\mu\alpha\tau\omega\sigma\epsilon\epsilon\iota \beta\omega\muóv. Г\Upsilon. А. \hat{ }\tau\alphá\lambda\alpha\iota\nu' \epsilon'\gamma\omegá.695
    \gammav\nu\alphaîk\epsilonS, oúk \alpha \rho\etá\xi\epsilon\tau'; ou \pio\lambda\lambda\età\nu \betao,\età\nu
    ```

```

    \tau\epsilońк\nuоv }\mu\epsilon\pi\epsilon\rho\iotaó\psi\in\sigma0' \alphaं\piо\sigma\tau\epsilon\rhoоv\mu\tilde{\epsiloń\nu\eta\nu}
    XO. \epsilonौ \epsilon` \epsilońa.
\hat{\omega}\piо́т\nu\iotaa\iota Moîpal, \tauí \tauó\delta\epsilon \delta'́\rhoко\muа\iota700
\nu\epsilonо\chi\muò\nu \alpha\hat{v} \tau\epsiloń\rho\alphas;

```


```

MN. oîo\nu \dot{v}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \epsilon'\xi\alpha \alpha\alphá\xi\epsilon\iota \tau\grave{\eta}\nu \alpha'\gamma\alpha\nu \alphav`0\alpha\deltaí\alpha\nu.
XO. \tau\alphav̂\tau\alpha \delta


```
XO. \tauí\alphà\nu ov̂\nu \epsilonil\pio\iota \pi\rhoòs \tau\alphav̂\tau\alphá \tau\iotaS, öт\epsilon [\alphaं\nu\tau.
    \tauо\iota\alpha\hat{T}\tau\alpha \pioเ\omegaิ\nu ö\delta' \alpha'\nu\alpha\iota\sigma\chiण\nu\tau\epsilon\imatĥ;
MN. коӥ\pi\omega \mu'́\ell\nu\tauo\iota \gamma\epsilon \pi'́\pi}\pi\alpha\nu\mu\alpha\iota
```

697. тоотaiov] We are still on tragic ground, and the language employed is not exactly appropriate to the matter in hand. The expressions iotívaı $\beta \circ \eta{ }^{2} \nu$, to raise a war-cry, and iotívalтротaiov, to erect a trophy, are very familiar, and here constitute a call to arms, to defeat the aggressive manceuvre of Mnesilochnis. Some, indeed, take rpomuiov as an adjective, connected with $\beta$ oŋ̀ $\nu$, " wur-cr!! which may lum our enem! to flight. But this can hardly be the true meaning. And, in fact, Mnesilochus has ahready taken Hight, and the object of the woman (supra 689) is to stay him.
698. $\epsilon \in \xi a p a \dot{\xi} \epsilon i]$ 'The MSS. and early editions read '̇gap $\xi \omega$, withont sense or metre. lientley suggested either $\epsilon \in a-$
$\rho a ́ \xi \omega$ or $\mathfrak{e} \xi a \rho a ́ \xi \in \epsilon \iota$, and one or other of these conjectures is adopted by Brunck and all subsequent editors. '́छapágєє seems to me indubitably right. The oiov with which the line commences must necessarily carry on the idea of the double oiov of the preceding line, oiov
 who read $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a p a ́ \xi \omega$ translate it, with Reiske, $O$ quam pulchre robis nimiom restram auduciam excutiom, which entirely ignores the sense in which oiov has just been employed, a sense rendered emphatic by repetition.
699. ठєьvà каї тєраєтє́pต] Dreudful, and more then dreadful. Compare Birds 416, üтията каі тє́pa, meredible, and more thum



$\lambda \eta ́ \psi \in \iota$ ס̀ како́v．



MN．$\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \cdot \tau \eta ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \grave{\omega}$ oủk $\dot{\alpha} \phi \eta{ }^{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ．
XO．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oú $\mu \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \grave{\omega} \tau \alpha \chi^{\prime}$ óv

$\lambda o ́ \gamma o u s \tau \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ à $\nu 0 \sigma$ óous．
$\dot{\alpha} \theta$＇́ous ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma-$
ols $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \iota \psi o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}$ ， ${ }_{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \kappa o ̀ s, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$.

 725 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i \nu \quad \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma^{\prime},{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \phi \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi \dot{\xi} \lambda \omega \nu$,
 in his＂First against Stephanus＂90．So Eusebius（H．E．III．v．3）says that the sufferings of the Jews during the last siege of Jerusalem were $\delta є \iota v a ̀$ каi $\pi \epsilon ́ p a$ $\delta \in \iota \bar{\omega} \nu$ ．Much in the same way Theoduret，
 The tirst four words of the present line are repeated from Wasps 417.
 MSS．and editions generally is $\tilde{0} \theta \in \nu \quad \eta j \kappa \epsilon \iota s$ ， which ean only be translated，as Bentley translates it，Unde quidem reneris nescio． But the women knew perfectly well whence he came：the line is an ana－ paestie dimeter，and the true reading may be gathered from the scholium ïкє s，

 been guilty of the grossest sacrilege by entering the Temple of the Thesmo－ phorian Goddesses：the Women will requite him by acts of equal sacrilege， for they will burn him at the altar． ＂Impium et nefandum est，＂says Bergler， ＂violare eun qui ad aram confugerit ： hunc auten mulieres volunt combu－ reve．＂
726．á $\lambda \lambda$ à $\tau u ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon]$ To this line the MSS． （H originally，and R as enrected）prefix the words $\pi$ pòs tàs $\gamma$ voaikas，meaning that up，to this time the Chorus have been addressing Mnesilochus，but that now they turn to the Women ：though，indeed． the exhortation is specially directed to the bereared mother．It is she who is to

$\Gamma \Upsilon$ ．A．${ }^{\prime} \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{\tau} \dot{\alpha} s \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \delta \alpha s, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{M} \alpha \nu i \alpha$ ．



 тovтì $\tau i ́ \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu ; \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$ кóp $\eta$

 735 $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s \dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s} \mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \nu}{ }^{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \pi \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ，
take some of the bystanders，bring out the firelogs，and（ $\pi v \rho \pi o \lambda \epsilon i v$ ）make a con－ Hagration of Mnesilochus．On táo $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ see the note on 295 supra．

728．кл $\eta$ нarioas］Faggotsof rinebranches．
 Hesychius．She addresses this line to her servant ；the next，to Mnesilochns．

729．$\theta \nu \mu u ́ \lambda \omega \pi a] ~ \sigma \pi \nu \nu \theta \bar{\eta} \rho a .-S c h o l i a s t$. A sparkle of fire：see Ach．321．But the name is also applied to a red－hot cinder， and that appears to be its meaning here．
 каvтa $\xi_{i} \lambda a, \hat{\eta} \sigma \pi \iota \nu \theta \hat{\eta} p \in s$ ．－Photius．$\theta v \mu a ́-$ $\lambda \omega \psi, \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \gamma \nu v \omega \dot{\delta} \eta \mathrm{~s}$ тoû $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu a \phi о \rho a ́ . ~ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon ̀ s$



 Pollux x．segm．101．With these words they go out to fetch the bundles of tire－ wood．Mnesilochus proceeds to mpack the baby．


 descrilles it as ímatiótod $\lambda \in \pi$ rò̀ кuì ßpaxú．

It was evidently a soft little robe，suit－ able for enwrapping an infant＇s limbs． As to Пєрбєкà，a woman＇s slippers，see Clouds 151，Lys．229，Eccl． 319.

735．ढ̀ $\theta \epsilon р \mu о ́ т а т а \iota ~ \gamma u v a i ̂ к \epsilon s] ~ O n ~ a s c e r-~$ taining that the baby is not a real baby at all，but a large leathern bottle full of wine，Mnesilochus desists awhile from his operations，and apostrophizes＂this most headstrong and most winebibbing race of women．＂They are，or rather their bibacity is，the ruin of their husbands＇chattels，which they sell or pawn to get wine ；and also of their own labours of the loom，which can be carried out only by steady hands and sober heads．They ruin their husbands，and benefit only the vintners．

 Scholiast．I am not sure that this ex－ planation is not intended，and rightly intended，to refer to т $\tilde{\eta}$ кро́к！$\eta$ as well； lout Kuster＇s interpretation of the latter words，＂sensus est，ebriosas mulieres et vino deditas parum et male texere，＂has obtained universal acceptance．The

## ЄEさMOゅOPIAZOヘさA1

 какòv d̀̀ каi тоîs бкєvapioıs каi т $\hat{\eta}$ кро́кŋ．
$\Gamma \Upsilon$ ．А．$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} s \kappa \lambda \mu \alpha \tau i ́ \delta \alpha s, ~ \grave{\omega}$ M $\alpha \nu i ́ \alpha$.







women now return with the faggots． They do not at first perceive that their enemy has discovered the fraud about the baby．
741．$\delta \epsilon \in \kappa a \eta \eta \nu a s]$ The period of gesta－ tion is about 280 days，which we，reckun－ ing by calendar months，commonly describe as 9 months，but which the Greeks，reckoning by lunar months，in－
variably describeas 10 months．Fritzsche refers to Hdt．vi．69，Menander（eited by Aulus Gellius iii．16），Terence，Adelphi III．iv．29，Plantus，Cist．I．iii．15，Pompo－ nius ap．Nonl．s．v．cerminare，and Varto Fragul．p． 318 ed．Bipont ；and Dr． Blaydes to Moschus，Id．iv．84，Virgil， Ecl．ir．61．To which I may add Eur． Ion 1486，where Creusa say：，

And the months swept round till the tenth month came， And I bare unto Phoebus a child of shame．－Way．

And the first fragment of Bacchylides （ed．Kenyon）which relates the marriage

 finally Plautus，Stichus I．iii．5，Truculen－ tus II．iv．48．She appeals to Artemis as the goddess presiding over childbirth． Cf．Cicero，De Natura Deorum ii． 27.

743．тркко́тuдov］About a pint and a half of our measure．The Woman now for the first time comprehends that he has unswathed the baby and found the bottle．In the next line but one，he holds it out for her inspection．

746．rpeîs Xoâs ì rétrapas ；］Thiree

Pitcher－fensts，or four For，as Brunck observes，＂per Noâs intelligendum тìp＂
 Acharnensibus mentio．＂Xóes was the name of the second day of the Anthe－ steria，and this is one of the many passages which seem to show（contrary to Boeckh＇s contention）that the Anthe－ steria and the Lenaea were two names for one and the same festival．For the age of the wine would surely be measured from the date of the Lenaea when the wine was made；just as Theocritus
 $\sigma \chi \in \delta \dot{\partial} \nu$ ஸ́s àmò $\lambda a \nu \hat{\omega}$ ，nearly four lyarss old
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇$\alpha \pi$ ódos $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ́ . ~ M N . ~ \mu \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' A \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega ~ \tau o v \tau o \gamma i ́ . ~$
$\Gamma \Upsilon$ ．А．$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu \tau o i v \nu \nu \sigma \epsilon$ ．MN．$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \nu \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \mu \pi \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \cdot$



from the wine－press，that is，from the time of making．In the Woman＇s answer here，I take $\sigma \chi \in \delta \dot{\partial} \nu$ to be a rualified assent to the precerling question．It a fere est ； you are not far wrong．It is three or four years old（she does not distinguish between these two figures）plus the time which has elapsed since the last Dionysia， that is，since the last Pitcher－feast． ＂Fere tantum，et quantum eftuxit tem－ poris ab ultimis Anthesteriis．＂－Brunck． The Thesmophoria were celebrated some eight montls after the Authesteria．This seems to have been a very fine bottle for its years；not like the dimimutive flask of wine which an admirer gave to Phryne．It＇s ten years old，he said；It＇s mighty little for its rule，said Phryne． Athenaeus xiii．49．The same repartee is attributed（Ath．xiii．47）to（nnathaena who，like other noted wits，obtained credit not only for her own smart say－ ings，but for many others of a similar character．And a kindred witticism is recortled of Cicero（Macrobins，Sat．ii．3） who，when his host was pressing upon him some very morlerate wine as Falemian fonty years old，observed，It beors its years semaricull！well（beneactatem fert）： meaning that no one would suspect it of being so old．


Apollo．The reading of the MSS．and editions is $\mu \dot{a}$ тò̀＇A $A$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega$ тovtov＇，which the Latin translators，Andrea Divo and Kuster，took in a similar sense，whilst Bothe explained rovtovi by тò a árкóv． But Brunck，in revising＇Kuster＇s trans－ lation，saw that the words must mean No，by Apollo here，and Fritzsche，after suggesting roveoyi，was content to be－ lieve that Mnesilochns is addressing the statne of Apollo＇A $\gamma$ vucus，of which Pollux （iv．segm．123）says，$\epsilon \in \pi i ~ \tau \eta े s ~ \sigma к \eta \nu \eta ̄ s ~ к а i ̀ ~$
 the language of Pollux，$\pi \rho o ̀ \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \theta v \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ ， shows that he is speaking only of scenes which represented the exterior of a house，and in such cases the $\pi \rho \circ \theta$ of $\rho o v$ $\pi \rho o \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a t o s$ would naturally be stationed before the door．Such was the case，as we know，in the Wasps（see the note on Wasps 875）；and such was also the case in the line of Menander which Fritzsche cites from Suidas（s．vv．vai $\mu a ̀$ тò $) \mu a p \tau \dot{v}-$
 as the last words plainly show．And here tow，so long as the scene repre－ sented the ontside of Agathon＇s house， there would doubtless be an＇Ayucevs at the door．But now that the scene has changed，and we are within the precincts of the Thesmophorium，there could be no＇A






And though the old translators gave roveovi a meaning which it could not b bear，I think that they were guided by a sound Aristophanic instinct，and that their meaning must be obtained by reading $\mu \grave{a} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{A} \pi$ о́ $\lambda \lambda \omega$ тoutoyí．There is a similar confusion between rovtovi and tovtoyi infra 880.
752．inté $\gamma$ ує тoúrov］In his stead：like
 She would sooner lose her life than her wine：＂potius vult amittere ritam quam vinum，＂as Bergler says．

 444）àuviov．－Suidas s．v．，an explanation borrowed from，and doubtless represent－ ing the true reading，now a little com－ fused，of the Scholium here．Eustathius， in his commentary on the above－men－ tioned line of Homer，says，＇Attikoi
 although Photius s．v．，after explaining


 no doubt that both furms，$\sigma \phi$ áyov and $\sigma \phi a y \epsilon i o v$, were，as Fritzsche contends， employed to denote the sacrificial bowl． Pollux，as he observes，uses the two forms indiscriminately，Book x．segmm． 65 and 97 ，and Hesychius spells the
word $\sigma \phi$ áyov ；whilst Fritzsche＇s own emendation of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{h}$ ．in Taur．335，$\pi$ pò̀s
 at least as probable as Musgrave＇s $\pi \rho$ òs
 the wther hand $\sigma \phi$ areiov is probably the more common form．But here neither the $\mu o t$ nor the tò can be spared．

756．и̃лє $\chi^{\prime}$ aủró］Mnesilochus，prepar－ ing to drain the contents of the wine－ skin，still speaks of it as a victim which he is about to sacritice．Compare Lysi－ strata 202．The Womim therefore calls for the sacrificial bowl，which was held underneath by the priest to catch the blood of the victim，her object being to get for herself a few drops of the precious： liquid contained in the wine－skin．He does not object to her performing this part of the priest＇s duty，especially as he intends that every drop，of the wine shall go down his own throat，and none shall fall into the bowl beneath．To the Woman＇s disgust therefore she receives for her perquisite as priestess，not a drop，of wine，but merely the empty wine－skin，the entire contents of which Mnesilochus has drained．

757．$\phi$ Oovepòs к．т．．入．］Girudging and unfriendly，for not leaving her a drop of the wine．

Гॅ．A．тí $\bar{\eta} s$ i $\epsilon \rho \epsilon i \alpha a s ~ \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ; ~ M N . ~ \tau o v \tau i ̀ ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon ́ . ~$



 тоîoıv $\pi \rho \nu \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \pi$ oí $\chi$ ’’ oû̃os $\phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega$ ．
 765






 aỉr！̂ fò̀ á $\sigma \kappa$ кóv．－Scholiast．Kuster refers to a statement which is found，in almost identical terms，in the Scholia on Wasps 695 and Plutus 1185，vópos й̀ $\tau a ̀ ~ i ̀ ~ i \pi o \lambda \epsilon \iota-~$



760．тa入avгút $\eta$ ］Another Woman now comes in，full of commiseration for this most unfortunate Mica．Her name，as she herself informs us，infra 898，is Critylla ：and she remains on the stage till all the actors go out before the great dance－song，iufria 947． $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \epsilon\end{gathered}$ ќp $\eta \sigma \epsilon \in \sigma$ literally means has swept or cleuned you out ：but here it is used as if from кóp，$\eta$ ， has deprived you of your chille．
763．$\lambda_{\imath \beta \text { ºṽa } \mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu] \text { ］Cleisthenes }}$ has already gone，supra 654 ，to tell the Prytanes what has occurred．Mica will now go herself to invoke their aid，over－ taking Cleisthenes，and bringing him
with her，as the recognized Women＇s Advoeate．Having thus adroitly shifted to Critylla＇s shoulders the duty of keep－ ing guard on Mnesilochus，she at once leaves the stage，and Mnesilochus com－ mences a soliloquy on his own alarming situation．Observe that in 767 it is Euripides who has involved him in this scrape：in 651 supra，it was he himself； but of course both statements are true．
 of Euripides is said to have been ex－ hibited in the early spring of в．с． 415 ， together with the Alexander，the still extant Troades，and a satyric drama called the Sisyphus．－Aelian V．H．ii． 8. Palamede was put to death by the Achaeans in Troyland，on a false charge of treachery；and his brother Oeax， wishing to send intelligence of his fate to their father Nauplius in Euboea，hit upon the device of writing it upon oar－ blades，and launching the oar－blades into the Aegean sea．Mnesilochus de：
770

$\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ồv $\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu o \iota \nu \tau \tau^{\prime} \not{ }^{\alpha} \nu \mu o l \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \alpha l: \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu: \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu:$



$\hat{\dot{\omega}} \chi^{\epsilon} \mathrm{i} \rho \in s{ }^{\dot{\epsilon}} \mu \alpha i$,

$\alpha^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \iota \nu \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \quad \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau o \iota$,
$\delta_{\epsilon} \xi \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \mu i \lambda \eta \eta s$ ò $\lambda к о \grave{v}$,


termines to send news of his misad－ venture to Euripides in a similar way， but the difficulty is that he has got no oar－blades．However，he soon thinks of a substitute．Massinger，in the Great Duke of Florence V．i，makes his prisoner－ write his sad story with a diamond ring on a pane of glass which he then thows out of the window．
773．тà ád $^{\lambda} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ ］Votice tallets：：mivakfs


 778.
 say how much of this little anapaestic system is borrowed，or parodied，from Euripides，and how much of it is purely Aristophanic．We cannot suppose that， in the Tragedy，Oeax was represented on the stage in the act of launching his oar－blades on the waves．The deed must have been narrated in the speech of some Messenger，or described in some
chural somg．Fritzache gives to Euripides

 Doricisms keiva taúta in the last line Porson compares Eurip．Hec． 163 тоiav， ì taútal $\mathfrak{\eta}$ кєivav，$\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega$ ；Probahly the first three words，$\grave{\omega} \chi$ єipes $\dot{\epsilon} \mu u i$, are alsis Euripidean，and are to be considered as standing apart，so that Aristophanes felt himself justified in commencing the next line with a vowel．On the other hand，Euripides could have had nothing to do with the play on words which，as Fritzsche observes，rums through the


 mentator，me：uns in this passage＂faci－ nus，quod $\pi \dot{o} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ，viam salutis ostendat．＂

781．tò $\dot{\rho} \hat{\omega}]$ ．This $R$ ．He has got to the third letter of his friend＇s name， according to the Scholiasts：©s E $\dot{u} \rho \iota \pi i o n \eta \nu$



 $\beta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \in \tau^{\prime}$, є́ $\pi \epsilon i ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha s$ ка $\theta^{\prime}$ ò $\delta 0 u ̀ s$, 

X O．$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{i}$ т $\tau$







$\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ ．The words which follow，$\chi$＇ि $\rho \epsilon$ ， $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \epsilon t$ ，are supposed by Fritzsche to be part of the message to Euripides，Mnesi－ lochus repeating aloud what he is writing ；veni，veni，Euripides．But $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \epsilon \iota$ would not mean reni；and Enger is no doubt right in thinking them to be addressed to the $\sigma \mu i \lambda \eta$ with which he is cutting his message on the wood．Move （ $m$ ，move on，he says，as he carefully guides the instrument over the surface ； but presently it makes too deep or too prolonged a cut，and he can only exclaim поial av̉入aкa（subaud．$\chi \omega \rho \epsilon i$ ）；There＇s a furrow for you．When he has finished， he flings the tablets hither and thither about the stage．

785．ijucis toivv $]$ ．Here follows，if not the last Parabasis proper which Aristo－ phanes ever wrote，at all events the latest which has survived．The introductory line is，or does duty for，the Commation． In general，before the Parabasis com－ mences，all the actors are withdrawn from the stage，and when it concludes，
we are confronted with an entirely new scene．Here however Mnesilochus is， apparently，unable to leave，and Cri－ tylla remains to guard him ；and conse－ quently the Parabasis marks no change in the position of the actors ；and when it is over，the thread is taken up un－ broken．

787．є̇ $\sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ како́v］They may well de－ clare that everybody says so，for the maxim какòv $\gamma v p a i k e s$ had been a common－ place with the poets from the earliest time．The very first words of Comerly that have come down to us are


 ойк є̇бті̀ оікєі̀ oikià ä้ยย какоv， каì $\gamma$ àp тò $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mu a \iota$ ，каì тò $\mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\gamma} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mu$ и，како́v．

And it would be easy to frame an ample catena of similar libels on womankind from the poets who flourished both before and after the time of Susarion． Bergler thinks that the repetition of

## ЄEさMOФOP1AZOヘさAI




 $\kappa \alpha \ddot{\nu} \nu$＇่к $\theta \nu \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa u ́ \pi \tau \tau \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，тò какòv $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̄ \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \cdot$ $\kappa \grave{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{i} \sigma \chi \nu \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \eta \eta, \pi 0 \lambda \grave{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi \bar{\alpha} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 v́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$＇ُ $\sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ то入̀̀ $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i ́ o u s, \beta \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \nu o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ i ́ \delta ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota . ~$




кuк $\dot{\nu}$ in the passage before us is pointed at the speech of Hippolytus（Eur．Hipp． 616,617 ，and 625－631），where indeed the changes are rung on кaкò̀（as a description of women）through several lines．

790．$\left.\mu \dot{\eta} \tau^{\prime} \quad \vec{\epsilon} \xi \underline{\xi} \in \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu\right]$ That married women，as well as maidens，were ex－ pected，as a general rule，to keep within the precincts of the house，is of course well known．The aű $\lambda \epsilon$ as $\theta \dot{v} p u$ ，or outer door，was the limit for the former ；the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a u \lambda o s$, or inner door，the limit for the latter．Menander（in Stobaeus 74．11） says，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { roùs } \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma a \mu \in \tau \hat{\eta} s \text { öpous ímepkaivets, } \gamma \dot{v} v o l,
\end{aligned}
$$

Meineke，as others had done before him， refers these lines to the＇I＇$\rho \in \iota$ of Menam－ der，and in commenting upon them cites from Philo de Legg．Spec．p． 803 c the statement given above as to the limits within which married women and
maidens respectively were accustomed to keep themselves．

795．mai לovaral］That is，as Fritzsche suggests，in sume festal ceremony，infla 947，983．He translates the passage， Atque si domi relienue obdormicerimus diem uyentes festum lusurue futigutue， ипи＂яuisque hoc mulum（uxorem）quaerit， lectos circumiens．

797．ک $\eta \tau \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ Өєâ $\theta$ Өul］The alteration， universally accepted，of the manuscript
 $\theta \in \tilde{a} \sigma \theta a t$ is due to the late Bishop Kaye， a very excellent scholar．On Porson＇s death，it was doubtful whether Monk （afterwards Bishop of Gloucester），Kaye， or Dobree，would be selected to fill the vacant chair．Monk was chosen，and Kaye was made Regius Professor of Divinity and afterwards became Bishop， of Lincoln．His name was a puzzle to Fritzsche，who says＂Dobraeus breviter，
 $\theta \in a ̂ \sigma \theta a t$. Moriar，si hunc Criticum J．Kaye， niger anne albus sit，notum haber．＂

#      

804．NavaruíXns к．т．$\lambda$ ．］They bring forward for comparison five femate names，Nausimache，Salabaccho，Aristo－ mache，Stratonice，and Eubule．Sala－ baccho，we know，was a hold and vulgar courtezan，but，notwithstanding the guesses of the Scholiast，there is no reason for placing any of the others in the same category．They stand on quite a different footing．It is for her character， not for her nume，that she is intro－ duced ；the others are selected solely for their names，and possibly are not in－ tended to represent any particular indi－ viduals．
The name Nausimache signifies a Naval engagement ；and Charminus，a well－known Athenian ofticer of the day， is said to be unequal to Nansimache， Navaruíx $\eta$ s $\ddot{\eta}_{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ ，in reference to his defeat，$\dot{\eta} \tau \pi a v$ ，in a naval engagement which occurred off the little island of Syme about a year before the exlibition of this Play．He was stationed there with a squadron of twenty Athenian ships，to intercept some Pelopomesian reinforcements，sailing northward； when the entire Pelopomesian Hleet， moving southward from Miletus，sud－ denly resolved to swoop down upon Syme，and attempt to surprise him there．They arrived unseen at the other side of the islet，but in the night， which was wet and dark，some ships
became detached from the main body， and at daybreak were fully visible to Charminns．Thinking them to be the reinfurcements for which he was lying in wait，he immediately attacked them with vigour and success ；when suddenly the whole Peloponnesian fleet came swinging round the corner of the island， and began to close him in on every side ；so that he was glad to escape with the loss of six Athenian ressels：Thuc． viii．41，42．It was plain therefore， from what actually happened（ $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda a \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тäpya），say the Chorus，that Charminus was not eqfual to Namsimache．

805．Eadaßak रoüs］This is not the first time that this notorions $\pi$ óppŋ has been compared with，and pronounced supe－ rior to，a violent and mprincipled demagogue．In Kuights 765 Cleon ranks himself next in merit to Lysicles， Cymua，and Salabaccho；and here she holds a similar pewition in respect of Cleophom，the leading demagogue of the later years of the Pelopomesian War．It was not however until some months ufler the exhibition of this Play that he commenced his fatal policy of inducing the Demus to reject every overture of peace from the Pelopon－ nesian Confederacy．

806．＇Aрьттомiх $\chi \nu$ ］They identify the
 the vietory of Marathon，with which，



 фор $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \pi v \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi \alpha \sigma^{\prime}$, $\alpha \dot{v} \theta \eta \mu \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu \alpha v \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$.
they say, no man would renture to compete. The words xpóvon modдoû are nstually comnected with ér $\chi \in \varphi \in \epsilon$, nemu restrum a longu jam tompoive ne comatur. quidem certure; but having regard to their position, it seems more reasonable to treat them as genitives of Age (as one
 buttle of long cago.
808. Eỉaún${ }_{n}$ ] This is an allusion to the tame surrender by the popularly elected Council of Five Hundred of their high oftices of state to the usurping Four Hundred; an event which, like the defeat of Charminus, took place about a year before the exhibition of the present Play. On this subject, and its hearing on the date of the Thesmophoriazusae, the reader is referred to the Introduction. In the name Ei $\dot{\beta} \beta{ }^{\circ} i \lambda \eta$ there is of course a play on the words Boudeinv, Boudevtigs. And possibly the speaker is referring to Eurbule, one of the three daughters of Leos (fov̂ $\Lambda \epsilon \grave{\omega}$ ко́pat), who died to save Athens in her hour of peril, and in whose honour the grateful Athenians erected the 1 .єюко́pıov, the fane of the daughters of Lens. See Aelian Y. H. xii. 28 and the Commentators there. And certainly the sacrifice of Eubule and her sisters would furnish a striking contrast to the pusillanimity of the Five Hundred.
809. ni $\delta$ ' nitris] The speaker, as has
frefuently been observel, is singling out and addressing some one of the Five Hundred, who was sitting as a spectator in the theatre. It must be remembered that the oligarchic Four Hundred had by this time disappeared, and the popular Conncil had been reinstated in its former position.
810. єỉXó $\mu \in \theta^{\top}$ єivvu] This line, as Dobree printed out, is modelled on the Homeric
 tivn. Iliad iv. 405.
 will a Woman come driving in a carriage and pair to the Acropolis" (as, she implies, Men do), "having filched from the public treasury 50 talents at a time." Fritzsche thinks that the taunt is levelled at Peisander, and doubtless he was a notable offender in this line:: see Lysistrata 490. On катù $\pi \in \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau a$ тádavta (ef. Wasps 669) the Scholiast
 $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \nu \tau a$, т̀̀ $\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \chi$ ès $\grave{\text { ék } \phi a i v \omega \nu . ~}$
 atpateias. - Scholiast. And as his explanation is quoted by the Commentators without a word of dissent, I presume that they acquiesce in it. But it seems clearly wrong. míhes means the Acropolis ; and the expression Seiyet


813. фopuív] A bustivet, that is, a butsliet-

$\alpha ̉ \pi o \delta \epsilon i \xi \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha \pi o \iota o v ̂ \nu \tau \alpha s . \quad 815$
каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о u ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \gamma \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \rho l \delta \alpha s ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$

$\kappa \alpha i ̀ \beta \omega \mu о \lambda o ́ \chi o u s ~ к \alpha \dot{\nu} \delta \rho \alpha \pi o \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ s$.

Xєípous $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu$ єíoiv $\sigma \omega{ }_{c} \zeta \in \epsilon \nu$. 820 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{\nu} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$
ful. We have the diminutive ooppis in Wasps 58. The words фориò $\pi \nu \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ т $\dot{\nu} \nu \delta \rho o \grave{s} \kappa \lambda$ ह́ $\psi a \sigma a$ are, I believe, universally understood as explanatory of the pre-
 greatest of her thefts is to purloin a basketful of wheat from her hisband, and even that she replaces the very same day." But though in my translation I have followed this interpretation, I very much donbt if it is the correct one. It is difficult to noderstand how the wife could replace the wheat she had purloined from her husband ; and equally difficult, in this view, to give any meaning to av̌r'. For neither Bothe's "aùrò familiariter dictum pro aìtòv, tò̀ фориòv $\pi \nu \rho \bar{\rho} \nu$, " nor Fritzsche's "aùrà ad $\tau \grave{a} \mu \hat{\epsilon}-$ fı $\sigma \pi a$ refertur" can be considered satisfactory. The passage seems rather to mean "A wrman does not steal the public money by the 50 talents, and spend it in sumptuons equipages; however much of the public money she may steal, she replaces it the same day, having (for the purpose of replacing it) purloined a basketful of wheat from her husband." she replaces the public
money by the proceeds of the private theft.
 sixteen lines constitute the Pnigos or Macron. The Pnigos, which is invariably in anapaestic dimeters, consists in the Knights of four lines, in the Acharnians of six, in the Wasps and the Peace of ten, in the Birds of fifteen, and here of sixteen. It seems impossible however that the longer systems can have been really prononnced, $\grave{i \pi \nu \varepsilon v \sigma \tau i, \text { with- }}$ ont pausing to take breath.
822. ràvtion k. т. $\lambda$.] They are going to prove their superiority to men by showing how much more carefully they have preserved their mothers' belongings, than the men have preserved what their fathers left them ; "We are still using," they say, "the duriov, the кaviv, the
 mothers used before us." The $\sigma \kappa a \dot{d} \not \subset \iota o \nu$ is an matrelle : the other articles belong, two to the weaving, and one to the spiming, business. They are all enumerated by Pollux, in his list $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ยv रuraıкшиitıót $\sigma \kappa \in \nu \omega ิ \nu$, and elsewhere, but without any explamation. Eustathius

```
    \tau\alphá\nu\tauío\nu, ó к\alpha\nu\grave{\nu}\nu, oí к\alpha\lambda\alpha0í\sigmaко\iota,
        jò \sigmaK\iotaá\delta\epsilonto\nu.
    \tauoîs \delta'. \grave{\eta}\mu\epsilon\tau\epsiloń\rhoо\iotas ả\nu\delta\rho\alphá\sigma\iota \tauov́\tauols
    \alpha<\pió\lambda\omega\lambda\epsilon\nu \mu\epsiloǹ\nu \pio\lambda\lambdaоís ò к\alpha\nu\omegà\nu825
```



```
        \piо\lambda\lambdao\imatĥs \delta' є́\tau\epsiloń\rhoo\iotas
    \alpha}\piò \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \check{\omega}\mu\omega\nu \epsiloń\nu \tau\alphais \sigma\taupa\taul\alphais
        \epsilon}\rho\rho\iota\pi\tau\alpha<\iota \tauò \sigmaк\iota\alphá\delta\epsilon\iotao\nu
\pió\lambda\lambda' \grave{\alpha}\nu \alphai \gammav\nu\alphaîk\inS \dot{\eta}\mu\inîS \epsiloṅ\nu \deltaíк\eta }\mu\in\mu\psi\alphaí\mu\epsilon0' \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu
however，in his Commentary on Odyssey xiii．107，describes the d\(v \tau i=\nu\) as that \(\epsilon \nu \stackrel{\approx}{\dot{\omega}}\)
 xxi． 19 the words which we translate the staff of whose spear was like a wearer＇s beam are by the LXX translated \(\tau o ́ ~ \xi u ́ \lambda o \nu\)
 And there seems no doubt that àveiov was the stout wooden bar at the top of the loom from which the threads de－ pended．Kav̀̀ is any straight shaft or rod，and，as applied to weaving，signifies the weaving－rod．Homer（lliad xxiii． 761），describing Odyssens as ruming so elose behind his antagonist in the foot－ race that his breast kept all but grazing the other＇s back，compares him to a woman working at the loom，who is always drawing her кav⿳亠丷厂甲 through the threads，and yet keeping it close to her bosom．The калаөiбкои are the work－baskets into which the spimning woman earded the wool．Lysistrata
 of course，selected with a view to the taunt about to be directed against the men．


бкıáóctov of the men are quite different from those of the women．The бкиifiot is now the shield，under the shadow of which the warrior fights．And the Scholiast explains kavóva to be the spear－
 \(\lambda\) ó \(\gamma \chi \eta\) s，no doubt rightly，though in Homer кavóves are the bars at the back of the shield，to which the leathern handle，or shield－strap，is fastened．＂But
 shield and spear－shaft？＂ask the women ： ＂ye have thrown them away as ye Hed from the battlefield．＂aivitтєtal cis \(К \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \nu u o \nu\) ，says the Scholiast ；and cer－ tainly Cleonymus is，in Aristophanes，the regular representative of the \(\rho \iota \psi\) cioni \(\delta \epsilon s\) ． But the allusion here is not restricted to a single individual ；and many another Athenian shield，besides that of Cleo－ nymns，was vilely cast away in the dis－ orderly ftight from Delium．

830．\(\left.\mu \in \mu \psi a i \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu\right]\) The first line of the Epirrhema recalls the first line of the earliest Epirrhema extant，oi yє́poдтєs
 In the Acharmians the special example of the injustice complained of is reserved

 \(\tau \alpha \xi i ́ \alpha \rho X o \nu\) グ \(\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma o ̀ \nu, \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \in \iota \nu \tau \iota \mu \eta \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha\),


 ทे \(\tau \rho \iota \eta ́ \rho \alpha \rho \chi^{\circ \nu} \pi о \nu \eta \rho o ̀ \nu, ~ \grave{\eta} \kappa v \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta ́ \tau \eta \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa o ̀ \nu\),
for the Antepirrhema；and there，as here，is introduced by the words \(\tau \hat{\omega}\) 缟 \(\rho\) єikòs，quomodo enim crequum est，how can it be seemly？（Ach．703，infta 8：39）． There however the veterans have but one grievance：here the Women have many．With \(\epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu\) diкn，סıкаiшs，Fritzsche compares \(\delta \dot{\imath}\) кє \(\omega \hat{\eta} s\) ä \(\lambda \lambda \omega s\) ，Wasps 929 ； єikî padios，Frogs 733 ；and other pas－ sages．

 the Scira，the Parasol festival，that the women in the Ecclesiazusae hatched their great conspiracy for seizing the reins of government．See Eecl．18，and the note there．If the statements of the grammarians（which are collected by Kuster）are trustworthy，the Stenia were a sort of prelude to the Thesmo－ phoria．They were celebrated，the Scholiast tells us，on the 9 th of Pyanep－



 oűtas Eúßovios．Probably the words cis to í ípò lave dropped out before rijs \(\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho o s ;\) for there was no such thing as the ävooos of Demeter；the first day of the Thesmophorian festival was
known as the Ascent（ävooos）of the women to the Thesmophorium．The Stipua took place on the 9th，the＂uooos on the 10th，of Pyanepsion，and prob－ ably in the night between those two days the women who had been celebrat－ ing the \(\Sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta}\) a were preparing for the ＂̈vooos．From the raillery which was banded about amongst them on that night，the word \(\sigma \tau \eta \nu t \omega \sigma a t\)（see Hesychius s．v．）became equivalent to \(\lambda o \iota \delta o p \hat{\eta} \sigma a\).

835．ijutis］that is，the Women．And in the other festivals which we Women rere accustomed to keep．

838．бка́申ьод àтокєкариє́ \(\eta \nu \nu\) ］Cropped bocel－fushion．This was an ignominious mode of tonsure，mostly reserved for slavesand harlots．єỉ̉os кovpâs．－Photius．

 є́тupevoúras．－－Hesychius．A bowl was placed on the crown of the head，and the hair clipped closely round it．In Birds 806 Euelpides compares his friend
 lonel－fashiom．

 liast．With this suggestion of the Comic peet，eompare the standard of precedence which the moble knights of mediaeval








Christendom oceasionally adopted and enforced in their jousts and tomma－ ments．＂For in those days，＂so rums a fragment of an ancient author，cited by M．Guizot，＂in those days when the land was at peace and joyous festivities were held，many knights，and ladies，and noble maidens would come and sit them down to see．But if a lady or damsel of bad repute or blemished honour should have seated herself by the side of a virtuons matron or maiden of good re－ pute，then though she might be of higher lineage or a wealthier house，the loyal－ hearted knights of that day would some－ times come to her in the presence of all， and would take the good，and advance her above the blemished（et de prendre les bonnes，et de les mettre an－dessus des blasmées）and would say to the evil－ minded lady in the presence of all －Lady，let it not anger you that this matron or this maiden take the higher place，for though not so nobly born nor so richly wedded as you，yet is she of stainless honour，and therefore in the number of the good．＇Then the virtuous maidens would in their hearts thank God that they had kept themselves pure， and were therefore held in honour and exalted to the higher place．But those
nthers sat with eyes cast down in great shame and dishonour．＂Histoire de la Civilisation en Flance，sixième leçon． Vol．iii． 377.

840．\(\tau \grave{\eta} \nu\)＇\(Y \pi \epsilon \rho \beta\) ódov \(\mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime}\)＇］We know from Clouds 552 that the mother of Hyperbolus was a favourite butt of the Comic writers；and one reason，at least， for their persistent hostility is disclosed in the passage before us．It is plain that she made her wealth by money－ lending，a business often profitable，but never popular．Hyperbolus（Thuc．viii． 73）and Lamachus（Thue．vi．101）were both dead at the date of this Play ；and Aristophanes contrasts the rich and con－ temptible demagogue－the successor of Cleon，and the predecessor of Cleophon， less powerful and therefore less mis－ chievous than either－－with the por but gallant soldier，who had fallen with honour in the Sicilian experition．

 \(\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu\) ．He died in July or August， B．C． 414 ，felix opportunitute mortis．

844．«ффицеібӨat тà хрíлат＇］＂peeu－ niam；hoc est，ipsam sortem＂（capital） ＂nedum ut foenus dent mulieri．＂－ Bothe．Compare Plautus，Mustellaria III．i． 34 ＇Qui mihi nerque foenus nergue
＂ \(\mathfrak{\alpha \xi i ́ a ~ \gamma o v ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ ̀ ~ \tau o ́ к о v , ~ \tau \epsilon к о и ิ \sigma \alpha ~ \tau о ь о ̂ т о \nu ~ \tau o ́ к о \nu . " ~} 845\)




 850 \(\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma \dot{v} \pi \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi є \iota \mu 0 \iota\) уvvaıкєía \(\sigma \tau о \lambda \eta\) ．
sortem argenti danunt．＂From whom I can get neither principal nor interest． And Martial v． 42.3 ＂Debitor uswam pariter sortemque negabit．＂

845．agia к．т．\(\lambda_{\text {．］}}\) ］The a \(\xi i a\) is of course ironical．＂A nice person you are to be exacting тóкоу（in the sense of interest）， you，who have borne such a тóкoу（in the sense of som）．＂Bothe＇s notion that à̇ia \(\in i ̉\) то́коv means＂You are worthy of your son，＂though adopted by Fritzsche， destroys all the humour，if not all the sense，of the passage．With the allitera－ tion in this line compare Wasps 685. and see the note there．

846．i入入os］The close of the Parabasis finds Mnesilochus and Critylla in the same place and attitude as at the com－ mencement．He is still a smppliant at the altar of the Twain；she is still watching him there．Ever since he despatched his tablets with a message for Emripides，he has been straining his eyes for some answer ；lout none has yet arrived．Consequently he has become quite ì入òs，cross－eyenl，\(\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o s ~ \tau \dot{\eta} \nu\) \(\ddot{\prime \prime} \psi \iota v\) ．－－Scholiast．\(\quad \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \beta \lambda \grave{s}, \sigma \tau \rho \beta \beta \dot{o} s, \delta \iota \epsilon-\)




this Comedy，the Helen was one of the most recent of the Plays of Euripides． It had been brought out，apparently，in the preceding year．Here the Scholiast explains кat \(\dot{\eta} \nu\) by \(\dot{\imath} \pi \rho^{\prime} \gamma v o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \delta i \delta a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu\) ， quite recently exhibited：on 1012 he tells us that the Andromeda \(\sigma v \nu \delta \in \delta i \delta \iota \kappa \tau a \iota \tau \eta\) \({ }^{\text {＇}} \mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon\) év \(\eta\) ；and on 1060 that the Andromeda was exhibited \(\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \rho v \sigma \iota \nu\) ，the year before it was parodied in the Thesmophoriazusae．

852．тi кขркидàs ；］Why ure you so rest－ less．＂Cf．supra 429．ті́ когки́ \(\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota s\) ё \(\chi \omega \nu\) ； why do you lieep sturing celout？коккид－ \(\lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma^{*} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota, \vec{\eta}\) какотє \(\chi \boldsymbol{\nu \epsilon i s . - S u i d a s . ~}\)

853．\(\pi \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{`} \mathrm{E} \lambda \in \epsilon \nu \eta \nu\) oै \(\left.\psi \in \epsilon\right]\) This is equi－ valent to our idiom，I＇ll Helen y！ou．It is the retort minatory．The speaker catches up an obnoxious word used by the other，prefixes the epithet \(\pi\) וкрòs， and adds \(\tilde{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon s\) ，ő \(\psi \in t\) ，or the like．The idiom is as old as Homer．When Odys－ seus，disguised as a beggar，is regaling the suitors with a fictitious tale of his adventures in Egypt and Cyprus，＂Stand away from my tible，＂roars Antinous，
 ＂ठףa，＂Od．xvii．448．Aristophanes twice employs it in the Birds， 1045 and 1468．So when in Lacian＇s Cataplus 13 Megapenthes is clamouring for prece－ dence in Charon＇s boat，on the round

\title{
 \\ \(\pi \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu\)＇ \(\mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu\) ơ \(\psi \in \iota \tau \alpha ́ \chi\)＇，\(\epsilon i \mu \eta ̀\) коб \(\mu i ́ \omega s\) \\ 
}


\(\lambda \epsilon v \kappa \hat{\eta} S \nu о \tau i \xi \epsilon \iota, \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \nu 0 \sigma v \rho \mu \alpha i o \nu \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu\) ．
that he was at rípavyos，kai סopuфópous fíx \(\mu v p i o v s\), Clotho calls for a stick，and
 тov̂ ̧iviov．Cf．Id．Symposium 47．And compare Euripides，Medea 398， 9 ；Iph． in Aul． 955 ；Bacchae 357 ；Cyclops 589， and the epithet \(\pi\) เкро́वapos in Odyssey i． 266 and Heliodorus，Aethiopics vii． 28.

855．Nєìдou к．т．入．］Mnesilochus，pay－ ing no heed to the woman＇s objurgation， at once starts off with the opening lines of the Helen of Euripides．The first two lines，and the first word of the third line，are quoted accurately，but for the Euripidean takeions xtioos íypaivet yúas
 The reader will find in the Introluction a full comparison of the actual lines in the Tragedy with their imitations in the Comedy．Whether кал入ıт兀íp \(\theta\) vou poai are，as some think，streams frequented by beautiful nymphs，or，as others say，fuir virgin streams，is a question which may be left to the interpreters of Euripides．

856．àvri días 廿akáóos］In lieu of heavenly rain．See Denteronomy xi． 10，11，where the Holy Land is contrasted with Egypt，as being a land which ex тov̂ \(u \in \tau v \hat{v} \tau o v ̂ ~ o u ̀ p a \nu o v ̀ ~ \pi i \epsilon \tau a t ~ u ̈ ~ o ̛ \omega \rho\) ．Com－ pare Heliodorus ix．9，where it is said that the Egyptians laud and magnify
the Nile，àvтíццоу ò̀pavoù тò \(\nu^{\circ} \pi о \tau а \mu o ̀ \nu\)


 In Euripides the epithet \(\lambda_{\epsilon u \kappa \bar{\eta} s}\) agrees with \(\chi\) oovos，when the white snow melts； but as Aristophanes has transformed the line，it becomes a singularly inappow priate epithet of Esypt itself．
857．\(\mu \epsilon \lambda a \nu o \sigma v \rho \mu a i o \nu \lambda \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega}\) ］It will have been observed that Euripides in the Helen provides his verb，with two acen－ satives，\(\pi \in \dot{\delta} o \nu\), plain，in the second line， and rias，fields，in the third，not comecting the two by any copula． Aristophanes ridicules this double accusative by changing qúas into \(\mu \epsilon \lambda\) avo－ бupuaiò \(\lambda \in \dot{\omega} \nu\), llack－dosed peerple，so mak－ ing the whole passage absurd．The \(\mu \leqslant \lambda a \nu o\)－is intended merely as a contrast to \(\lambda_{\in v \kappa \text { ìs ；whilst }-\sigma v \rho \mu u i o v}\) is an allusion to the \(\sigma v p \mu a i n\) ，the purge and emetic which，aceording to Herodotus，the Esyptians were accinstomed to take for three consecutive day＇s every month．

 к入ívда⿱宀匕，ii．77．But black－llosed in－ volves also a play on black－clressed，the \(\sigma \dot{p} p \mu a\) being a long trailing robe．See Peace 1254，and the note there．

KPI．\(\pi \alpha \nu o u ̂ \rho \gamma o s ~ \epsilon i ̂ ~ \nu \eta ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ ' Е к \alpha ́ т \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi \omega \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o \nu\).
 \(\Sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta, \pi a \tau \eta ̀ \rho \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mathrm{~T} \nu \nu \delta \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \omega\) ．KPI．नoí \(\gamma^{\prime}, \bar{\omega} \lambda \in \theta \rho \epsilon\) ，




 is a recognized epithet of Hecate，and ats such is employed by Aristophanes elsewhere．Yet there was always a certain quantness about it ；and as we are here dealing specially with the Helen of Emipides，it is not mulikely that Aristophanes is alluding to line 569 of that Play，乞̄ фшофо́р＇＇Eка́тך，тє́ \(\mu \pi \epsilon\) фи́o \(\mu \pi \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu \eta}\) ．Hecate was specially invoked by women．See Frogs 1362， and the note there．
 the sense is the same．Mine is a futher－ lund not urknown to fame，even sparta； and Timdurens was my father．As a rule，the speeches of Mnesilochus before the entrance of Euripides are borrowed， with hut little alteration，from the solilonny of Helen in the Tragedy before the entrinnce of＇Tencer．
 des．Phrynondas，though not an Athe－ nian，resided at Athens during some part of the Pelopomessian War．He was a regue of such superior and notable rascality，that to call a mam a Phrynon－ das was equivalent to calling him a chrut ；just as to call him a Melitides （Frogs 991）was equivalent to calling hima adult．Ieschines（against C＇tesiphon，

137．p．73）intimates his（pinion that Demosthenes was far superior to Phry－ nondas in his distinguishing charac－ teristic．And Taylor，in his note on that remark，to which Frit／sche refers，col－ lects the various passages in which Phrynondas is mentioned．Aristophanes nses the name in a very similar fashion
 каì тóvpןє qú ；and again in the Proagon， though the words have not been pre－ served．Eupolis too more than once treats the name as synonymons with ＂swindler．＂In Isocrates against Calli－ machus（66）the speaker，after showing how grossly Callimachus had perjured himself，comcludes，＂For him to accuse me of lying is as though Phrynondas were to uphraid me for rascality．＂So Lucian（Alexander 4）says that the man
 \(\tau \omega \nu\) ，superior to Eurybatus and Phrynon－ das and other notorious rascals．Eury－ batus and Plurynondas are frequently compled in this comexion．They are so by Aeschines in the passage cited athove ；ly Plato，Protagoras，chap．16； and by Apuleius in his Apology（ii．564， ed．Oudendorp），＂versutiam tam insi－ diusam，tam almirabili scelere con－ Hatam，negahis te unguam cognovisise．





\(\mu \grave{\eta} \psi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma o \nu, \hat{\omega} Z \in \hat{\nu}, \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota o v ́ \sigma \eta s\) '́ \(\lambda \pi i\) íסos.


Quis Palamedes, quis Sisyphus, (quis deniqueEurybatusant Phrynomdas talem excogitasset?" Many other passages to the same effect will be found in Taylor's note. Here the Woman, disgusted with the knavery of Mnesilochus, declares that he most be the son of Phrynondas, the greatest swindler in all the world. The Scholiast, after saying
 adds, тıvès ס̀̀ kai тatépa aitọ фaciv
\(\dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \bar{\omega} s\) єivu toírov. This last observation is of course quite groundless; Critylla knows nothing of Mnesilochus or his father : she is speaking of his churucter, not of his real parentage.
865. \(\omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon s\) к. \(\tau\). \(\lambda\).] So in Odyssey ii. 182. one of the suitors, scofting at the prophet, who had amomiced that the return of Odysseus was nigh at hand. says,

 \(\omega\) " \(\varphi \in \lambda \in\).
867-870. oípis . . . '̇גтioos] There is nothing in the Helen corresponding to these four lines except that the question \(\tau i \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}\) '̈ \(\tau \iota \zeta \hat{\omega}\); oceurs in line 56, as it does again in line 293 .
869. aiка́ \(\lambda \lambda \epsilon \ell\) баivєı, - Scholiast. Fawns on me. S'miles upon m! heart. Compare Aesch. Ag. 1089 ой \(\mu \in \phi\) аи \(\delta \rho_{i}^{\prime} \nu \in \iota\)入óyos.
870. \(\mu \dot{\eta} \psi \in \hat{\imath} \sigma o \nu\) к. т. \(\lambda\).] The scholiast cites from the Peleus of Sophocles, \(\mu\) i
 With \(\tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon \in \pi \leftarrow o \check{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{~s}\) є่ \(\lambda \pi i \delta o s\), my durning (literally, approaching) hope, compare
 ing day. The expression \(\psi \in v a \theta i \bar{i} u a t \hat{r}_{\mathrm{S}}\) edtioos is very common, and many in-
stances of its usage are collected by the Commentators here ; but I will merely set down those which I have myself oiserved. In Hdt. ix. 61, just hefore the commencement of the battle of Plataea, Pausanias, turning to Hera's temple, beseeches the Goddess \(\mu \eta \delta a \mu \hat{\omega} s\)
 be disapmointed of m! hope. Psalme exix. 116, Prayer-hook version). SoSophocles, A jax 1382 ; Xenophon, Hellenics vii. 5. 24 (of the tactics of Epaminondas before the battle of Mantinea). But no writer is more partial to the phrase than Theodoret in his Ecclesiastical History : see I. rii.2; IV.xix.16,xxi.10; V.iv.4,xxxix. 18.
871. тis \(\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}\right] \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{p}}\) to this point all



KPI．\(\widehat{\omega} \tau \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha \kappa o ́ \delta \alpha \iota \mu о \nu, \psi \in \tilde{\delta} \delta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \nu \eta े \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \grave{\omega}\),






KPI．oủk \({ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) öt ö \(\sigma \tau \iota s \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \kappa о v ́ \sigma \alpha s\) öт \(\tau \in \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \in \Pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \in \alpha s\)

 885
the quotations from the Helen have been taken from the heroine＇s soliloquy， which forms the prologue of the Play． That soliloguy is interrupted by the entrance of Tencer，whose tirst words are \(\tau i s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho v \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu\) ढ̈ \(\chi \in \iota\) кра́тоs； Here，instead of Teucer，Euripides enters in the character of Menelaus，but his first words are the words of Teucer． The two lines which follow are not borrowed from the Helen；but the subsequent dialogue between Euripides and Mnesilochus to the end of 881 is altered from a dialogue occuring later on in the Euripidean Play，between Menelans and an old lady who is keep－ ing the door of the Palace．It is note－ worthy that no surprise is now exhibited by anybody at the intrusion of men－ Furipides，the Magistrate，the Scythian archer－into theprecincts of the Thesmo－ phorium．It seems as though the cata－
strophe of Mnesilochus had operated here，as the introduction of the wounded Prince did in the College of Tennyson＇s Princess，where men，theretofore ex－ cluded，＂out and in Walked at their． will，and everything was changed．＂

876．Прштє́as］She need，not be，but she probably is，referring to a real personage．The Scholiast says，Прштє́as \({ }^{\circ}\)
 \(\pi \rho \dot{\pi} \pi a \lambda a \iota\) ；and Kuster＇s suggestion that she means the general whom Thucydides mentions（i． 45 ；ii．23）as one of the commanders of the Athenian navy about the time of the commencement of the Peloponnesian War，but of whom there is no subsequent record，has，not without reason，obtained universal acceptance．

879．\(\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota\) тє \(\left.\tau 0 \cup \tau_{\varphi} \varphi\right]\) With the first part of this line compare supra 592 ； and with the latter part Peace 2.

881．＇＇\(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \circ\) ］This，as Kuster observes，





уа́ \(\mu \circ \iota \sigma \iota ~ \Pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \omega s ~ \pi \alpha \iota \delta i ̀ \sigma v \mu \mu \imath \xi \xi \alpha \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ X o s\).
 oर̀ \(\operatorname{\tau }\)
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MN. \(\alpha\) v̋ \(\Theta \in \neq \nu o ́ \eta ~ \Pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \omega s . ~ K P I . ~ \mu \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\omega} \theta \epsilon \grave{\omega}\),

is a favourite word of Euripides: Medea 624; Alcestis 546; Supplices 1038. But it is not found in the Helen, where Menelaus first inquires of the donr-
 and on being informed that Protells is dead, and that his son is now the king,
 \(\eta^{\eta}\) ' \(\nu\) סó́poss ; Helen 465, 467.
882. vavtaçs] The word is here usel, not of actual sea-sickness, but of the dazed bewilderment which the voyager may continue to feel, even after he has landed. "Nauser," no doubt a translation of vautcá , is used in precisely the same way by Plautus in his Amphitryon I. i. 173 , where Sosia says "Latssins sum hercle e navi, ut vectus hue sunn; etiam nume nauseo."
 \(\mu \nu \bar{\eta} \mu \pi\) is in Helen 466 the reply of the - doorkeeper to the inquiry of Menelans
whether Proteus is at home. But, unlike Mnesilochus, she is speaking the tiuth.
889. тuんßinpets \(\tilde{\epsilon} \delta \mu a s\}\) This line dues not oceur in the Heien, but the heroine, before she has seen Menelans, had referred to her place of refuge as \(\tilde{\epsilon} \rho \rho\) as qúpor. We do not take up the Euripidean dialogue again until line 905.
 rather too hat of Critylla, for she knew perfectly well the real errand on which Mnesilochus: hat eome, and that he had not intruded himself amongst the women for the pripose of steatiny their trinkets. This sort of theft, however, seems to have been eommon enough. Cf. Ach. 258. The next line appears to be a parody, but not of any passage in the Helen. As to ßaii \(\zeta \epsilon\), ef. supra 173.

8ys. \(\epsilon i \mu i j\) These words are freguently employed to introduce, not a mere ex-


\(\pi \rho o \delta o v ̂ \sigma \alpha \mathrm{M} \epsilon \nu \in ́ \lambda \alpha o \nu\)＇́ \(\mu o ̀ \nu\) év T T \(о\) oía \(\pi o ́ \sigma \iota \nu\).

MN．\(\alpha i \sigma \chi^{v \prime \nu} \nu \mu \alpha i ́ \sigma \epsilon\) ，\(\tau \grave{\alpha} s \gamma^{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}\) Oovs \(\dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\) ．



ception to，or qualification of，the pre－ ceding denial，but an affirmative state－ ment of the actual fact．Aristophanes so employs them in Knights 186，Lysi－ strata 943 ．Everybody is familiar with the New Testament examples，St．Matth． xxiv． 36 ；Galatians i．7，ii．16．The woman is not Theonoe the danghter of Proteus，she is Critylla the daughter of Antitheus，who comes from Gargettus， now Garito，a village some miles to the north－east of Athens on the road to Mount Pentelicus．It was a deme，as the Scholiast observes，\(\tau \hat{\eta} s\) A \(i \gamma \eta i \delta o s \phi u \lambda \bar{\eta} s\) ， and was in later times celehrated as the hirthplace of Epicurus．

902．àvavyєis кópas］This line dues not come from the Helen，but is doubt－ less either borrowed from some lust Play
of Euripides，or composed in imitation of his style．The epithet dutuvzeis is susceptible of two interpretations；（1）
 that do mislearl the morn＂；市九aкàs aктivas，to employ the phrase with which Heliodorus（Aethiopics ii．16）describes brightly glancing eyes；and to some extent like the \(\dot{\iota} \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota o \nu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \sigma \omega \pi o \nu\) ，＂the countenance flashing like the sun，＂a－ scribed to Athene in Eur．Ion 1550 ；（2） elles meeting mine；rùs kópas oou àvtı－
 explains it；and this is the general， and 1 think the right，interpretation． So Empedocles（Plutarch，De Pythiae oraculis 12）says that the Sun，which obtains its brilliance from the heavenly light，


Aud Ecphantus（Stobaeus xlviii．64） calls the eagle \(\dot{i} \nu \tau \omega \pi \dot{o} \nu \dot{a} \times i(\omega)\) ，just as Euripides（Tph．in Aul．585）uses the words àvт \(\omega \pi\) ois \(\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \rho o \iota \sigma \iota \nu\) of the glances of Helen meeting the ardent glances of Paris．avyas is used for the eqpes in Eur． Androm． 1180.
 scholiast．He remembers，for the
moment，that Helen is the shaved and singed Mnesilochus，just as he remem－ bers，seven lines below，that Menelaus is really the market－gardener＇s son．

904．úфаテia］In Helen 549， 550
 \(\pi \lambda \eta \xi \iota \nu\) i \(\mu i \nu\) áфабiav \(\tau \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma \tau i \theta \eta s\) ．But， as Bergler and Fritzsche observe，lines more resembling the present are to be









 The next line is altered from Helen 557 （see also Id．72），whilst line 906 is taken from Helen 558 without any alteration at all．
 commencement of the Choephoroe has been preserved only by its quotation in the Frogs，so this line has been restored to the Helen only from its quotation here．It is absolutely necessary to the Euripidean dialogue，but had fallen out． doubtless because the following line commenced with the same word＇E \(\lambda \lambda \eta \nu i s\) ． The transcribers of Aristophanes had been more careful，and Markland re－ placed the line in the Helen from the parody here．The five following lines， down to＇s \(\chi\)＇́pas，are taken from the Helen without any alteration except that mentioned in the next note．
 from those sprigs of lavender．ס＇́ov cireìl


 －Scholiast．Photius（s．v．）and Suidas （s．v．i申ún）also describe it as an äypov

入áxavov．But this seems an error， arising from the fact that there is un－ doubtedly an allusion to the poet＇s mother，and to the äypu 入áxava whieh she is represented as selling，supra 387 ， 456．For Theophrastus，who frequently mentions it，invariably elasses it with flowers，and not with potherbs．In Hist．Plant．vi．6． 11 he speaks of it as woody，and having a woody rout；in vi． 8． 3 he says that it blossoms in summer； and in vii．13． 7 he observes that the blossoms come before the leaves．He－
 both as äv \(y\) os and as \(\lambda u \chi^{\prime} a v o v\) ，adds \(\hat{o}\)
 And both Staekhouse，in his＂Illustra－ tions of Theophrastus，＂and Sprengel， i．p． 86 ，identify it with the lavender plant．Euripides would seem to be wearing some lavender about him， possibly a garland around his head，as Pierson suggests，in his note on Moeris，
 again by Aristophanes in his Phoenissae． Athenaeus iii． 39.

913．入аßє́ \(\mu \epsilon\) к．т．．．］Here we part conn－ pany with the Helen，and Mnesilochus extemporizes a little series of emotional ejaculations，preparatory to his making

 \(\tau \eta े \nu\) Tv \(\nu \delta \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \nu \pi \alpha i \delta^{\prime}, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \Sigma \pi \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \nu\) ä \(\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ;\)
KPI．o＇\(\mu\)＇\(\omega s ~ \pi \alpha \nu o v ̂ p \gamma o s ~ к \alpha u ́ \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a i ́ ~ \mu o l ~ \delta o к \epsilon i ̄ s, ~\)




off in the company of Euripides．таи̃тa
 каі ßouдо́ \(\mu є \nu\) оs є́кфиуєiv．In the Helen， it should be remembered，Menelaus does not believe that the lady is really his wife，though he is struck with the wonderful likeness ；and therefore when she says，\(\widehat{\omega}\) хpóvos é \(\lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu\) тìs \(\delta\) ápaptos és \(\chi\) х́pas，he exclaims，＂Wife indeed！keep your hands off my clothes，＂moias סí－
 in the next scene，when he is at last compelled to recognize her，Helen does indulge in a little outburst of delirious joy；фìдu，фìal，she cries，тóou \(\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu\) ，
 Tpoias \(\pi о \lambda v \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} \mu \circ \lambda \epsilon i \nu\).
 at beiny Egpptians；referring of course to their previous conversation about Egypt：but with a further allusion to the cumning craftiness with which the ancient Egyptians were credited．The
 Ai \(\gamma v \pi \tau i \omega \nu \pi a \nu o v \quad \rho \gamma \omega \nu\) ö \(\nu \tau \omega \nu\) ．And the Scholiast on Clouds 1130，as Kuster ob－


тоє \(\mu \eta\) хıйıs Aijúntıoı（a line which passed nto a proverb，and is frequently quoted by ancient authors）and Theocritus xv．
 тарє́ртाшv Aíyvatıoti．Many passages to the same eflect are collected in Valcke－ naer＇s note on Theocritus．

926．\(\left.\eta^{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \mu \pi \nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega\right] ~ \tilde{\epsilon} \omega s\) ک \(\omega\) ．－Scholiast． ＂si modo vixero．＂－Brunck．

928．\(\mu\) ip \(\nu\)（有］An angler＇s line．The speaker means＂that throw caught nothing，＂cf Wasps 175 ．It is a proverb borrowed，as Brunck says，＂a piscatori－ bus qui hamum retrahunt inanem．＂ This line，given to the Woman in the MsS．and early editions，is by most recent editors transferred to Mnesi－ lochus．

929．＂̈ \(\delta\)＇＂\(\sigma \theta\)＇к．т．\(\lambda_{\text {．］}}\) Cleisthenes had hurried off，after line 654，to lay a com－ plaint before the Prytanes about the miscomtuct of Mnesilochus ；and Mica had followed him，after line 764．And now one of these Magistrates enters to investigate the matter，accompanied by a Scythian archer（doubtless，until after he Chural song，represented by a



Є＇\(\alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \iota \gamma\)＇\({ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \nu\)


Choregic actor），who was the proper minister to carry out his commands． See the note on 654 supra．They find the culprit hanging duwn his head for very shame at being detected by these otticials in woman＇s clothes：just as Demus，in Knights 1354，hung down his，when made conscious of his folly in times past．And the words oivtos，\(\tau i\) ки́лтєts；were addressed to Demus there， just as they are to Mnesilochus here．

930．Eioúravy Take him within，as Kuster rightly explains it．See Clouds 1212 ；Peace 842， 1020 ；Ecel． 1037. The Archer is to take Mnesilochus behind the scenes，and tie him to the plank；then to bring him out again，so tied，on the stage，and watch him there． Mnesilochus is accordingly taken out after line 946 ，and is brought in again， bound，after line 1000 ．
931．\(\sigma a v i i_{i}\) ］The oavis was a straight plank to which malefactors were bound， often as a preliminary to execution． Duris，the Samian historian，said that Pericles，after conquering Samos，carried the Samian trierarchs and marines to

Miletus，and having tied them to planks， बavioı tpooঠíqas，and exposed them for ten days，had their brains beaten out with cudgels；but Plutarch（Pericles 28），who records the statement，does not believe a word of it．So when Brutus condemmed to death his own sons，and the other youths who were conspiring to bring back the Thrquins， ＂stabant deligati ard palum nohilissimi juvenes：missique lictores ad sumendum supplicium nudatos virgis caedunt，se－ curique feriunt，＂Livy ii．5．The plank was fixed perpendicularly in the ground；the culprit was in front with his back to the plank ；and the process does not seem to have been painful in itself，though，like our pillory，it would become so by exposure to the sun and storms．So long as Mnesiluchus was personating Helen，it was appropriate that he should have been sitting on the altar of his own free will ：but now that he is to represent Andromeda chained to the roek，it is desirable that he should appear as a helpless victim tied to the plank．
ó入íhov \(\mu^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau^{\prime}\) aútòv ívtıoppáфos. 935


\(\chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \iota \beta \rho \alpha \chi v ́ ~ \tau i ́ ~ \mu o \iota ~ к \alpha i ́ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \alpha ̉ \pi о \theta \alpha \nu о v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \varphi ̨ . ~\)
ПР. тí \(\sigma \circ \iota \chi^{\alpha} \rho i ́ \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \iota ; ~ M N . ~ \gamma v \mu \nu o ̀ \nu \alpha ̉ \pi o \delta v ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon\)

\({ }_{i}^{i} \nu \alpha \mu \grave{\eta}\) ' \(\nu\) крокштоis каi \(\mu i ́ \tau \rho \alpha \iota s \gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu \eta ̀ \rho\)



935. iotıoppá申os] Literally, a suil-



 is very commonly employed in relation to weaving plots and conspiracies, and Bergler thinks that iotooppótos is a play on the word \(\mu \eta \chi_{\text {avoppádos with a con- }}\) temptuous allusion to the shipwrecked stranger ( 873 supra) as a sailor of the lowest class. But I suspect that in the colloquial language of the day iotioppápos had come to mean a spinner of y/arns, a romancer, a man who, to borrow Cleveland's description of Claud Halcro in the twelfth chapter of The Pirate, "spins as tough a yarn as ever an old man-of-war's man twisted on the watch at midnight."
937. коі \(\lambda \eta \nu\) тротєivecu] To hold out, holl wed for the purpose of receiving coin. According to Suetonius (Oct. xci), Augustus was impelled by a nightly vision to beg alms of the people one day every year, "cavammanum asses porrigentibus
praebens." And Vespasian, when told that a large sum of money had been voted for his statue, held out his hand, and said that he should like to have the money instead. His action is described by Suetonius (Vesp. xxiii) as "caram munum ostentans," and by Dio Cassius lxxi. 14) \(\pi\) роє́ \(\tau \epsilon \nu \in \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \chi \epsilon i \rho a\). The venality of the Prytanes has already been satirized (Peace 908, see the note there). And the last four words of the present line are repeated from Clouds 98.
 them with a dinner.
946. owinpias] All the Actors now leave the stage; the Archer takes Mnesilochus away; and the Prytanis and Critylla severally depart. To prevent the monotony which might be felt if the travesty of the Helen were followed immediately by the travesty of the Andromeda, Aristophanes interposes between the two a dancing-song of considerable length, which combines at least three distinct dances, (1) the ring-dance, (2) the \(\delta_{i \pi \lambda \lambda}\), a stately dance of




Пav́б \(\omega \nu\) б́ \(\beta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota\) каì \(\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \iota\),


 ö \(\rho \mu \alpha, \chi\) \({ }^{\omega} \rho \epsilon \iota \cdot\)


Tragedy, and (3) a lively Bacchic evolution.
947. "uє \(\nu v \nu\) ] Come now, let us: disport ourselves, as we women are wont to do, when in the holy seasons we celebrate the noble solemnities of the Twain. These are the öppla \(\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{a}\) which Demeter instituted before she returned to her proper place within the Halls of
 Homeric Hymn to Demeter 476. And see infra 1151. This Chorus, if we except the allusion to Pausion in the anapaestic dimeters, and possibly the stanzas in honour of Dionysus at the end, is apparently a genuine representation of the service of dance and song which the Athenian women were accustomed to render at the Thesmophorian festival. It is an invocation to the deities who preside over the pursuits and pleasures of their country homes; to Apollo the minstrel Archer, Artemis the huntress, and Hera the marriage Queen; and again, to Pan and the Nymphs, and the pastoral Hermes; and finally to the

Wine-god Bacchus, into whose train both Pan and the nymphs were ultimately absorbed. We miss in the invocation the names of the Twain, and the great name of Athene ; but to these three goddesses a special hymn is appropriated infra 1136.
949. Пav́owv] They are sure that Pauson
 " non ex religione quadam, sed quia non habet yuod comedat," as Bergler observes. This Pauson was an animalpainter, of small means and less character. Aristophanes in his first extant play calls him Пav́owv ó \(\pi\) a \(\pi \pi o ́ v \eta p o s\) (Ach. 854): and in his last extant play "the messmate, \(\begin{aligned} & \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \tau \tau o s, ~ o f ~ P o v e r t y " ~\end{aligned}\) (Plutus 602). He is such a devotce of fasting, the Chorus go on to say, that he will without intermission ( \(\epsilon \kappa^{\kappa} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu\)
 another) pray the Twain that such observances may frequently fall to his lot.
 quae fiebat in intem, et consertis manibus." - Kuster. The four-and-twenty

\author{
\(\chi \in \imath \rho i ̀ \sigma u ́ \nu \alpha \pi \tau \epsilon \chi^{\epsilon} \bar{i} \rho \alpha, \rho \dot{\rho} v \theta-\) \\ \(\mu o ̀ \nu \chi\) Хо \(\rho i ́ \alpha s\) v̈ \(\pi \alpha \gamma \epsilon \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha\), \\ \(\beta \alpha i ̂ \nu \in \kappa \alpha \rho \pi \alpha \lambda i ́ \mu о \iota \nu\) тобоîv. \\ є̇ \(\pi \iota \sigma к о \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\iota}\), \\  \\ ä \(\mu \alpha\) ס̀̀ \(\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}\) \\ 960 \\ \(\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu o s\) 'O \(\lambda \nu \mu \pi i ́ \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega} \nu\) \\ \(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \pi \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \phi \omega \nu \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha\) Х \({ }^{\circ} \rho о \mu \alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \rho o ́ \pi \varphi\). \\ \(\epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \tau i s\) \\ \(\pi \rho о \sigma \delta о к \hat{\alpha}\) как \(\kappa \hat{s}\) є́ \(\rho \epsilon i \nu\)
}
persons of the Chorus form themselves into one great ring，and，all joining hands，dance round and round the Thymele in the orchestra，after the fashion of the old English dance＂round the mulberry－bush．＂This was a wild and romping dance（хороаа⿱亠䒑s т тóтоs） unsuitable for sacred hymns；and ac－ cordingly they discontinue it for a more sober movement before they actually commence the religious invocation．
959．Xороѝ катáoтa⿱宀ьу］The Choral arou：a mere periphrasis for \(\chi\) रoòv，just as \(\chi\) ápıv xopeias，infra 982，is a mere periphrasis for \(\chi\) opéinu．Fritzsche refers to Aesch．Ag．22，but the expression \(\chi^{\circ} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu\) катáatagı is there employed in quite a different sense，equivalent，as \(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{p}}\) ．Blomfield remarks，to the \(\chi\) opoora－ rian of later writers．
 symmetrical triplets，first arranged in their proper order by Bentley．It would perhaps be wrong to call them anti－ strophical，for the ring－dince did not easily lend itself to the movements of strophe and antistrophe．

 кalvò satisfies neither the sense nor the metre ；nor am I sure that Hermann＇s oí \(\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) є้ \(\rho \gamma o \nu\) ，aủtika，or Dindorf＇s \(\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) є́ \(\rho \gamma о \nu\) аи̇тік爪，is an improvement in either particular．The Scholiast＇s comment is
 the emendation that I have made gives the right sense and the right metre； though it is too prosaic to be introduced into the text without brackets．The meaning is，But we must needs stay the praceful morement of the prettily circling dance，in preparation for the business of the odes．\(\sigma \tau \eta \tilde{\sigma} a \iota ~ \beta a \sigma v\) is sistere gradum， to stop the movement．Yet strange to say the Commentators generally have taken it to mean the reverse．Kuster explains it＂rhythmice et in numerum terram pedibus pulsare，＂and Fritzsche ＂cito te oportet primum in orbem sal－ tare itaque novam praeparare choream．＂

969．тро́ßatขє \(\pi о \sigma i]\) They are no longer to move in a circle．They are to more forward，in a solemm and stately measure （the＇Tragic \(\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}\) ）befitting a religious

\section*{ЄEさMOゅOPIAZOrさAI}

\(\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu\),


\(\pi \rho o ́ \beta a l \nu \epsilon \pi \sigma \sigma i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ E u ́ \lambda u ́ p a \nu\)
\([\sigma \tau \rho\).

970
＂А \(А \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu \ddot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu\) ．
\(\chi^{\alpha i \rho} \rho^{\prime} \hat{\omega}^{~ ' Е \kappa \alpha ́ \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon, ~}\) ő \(\pi \alpha \varsigma \in \delta_{\epsilon} \nu \grave{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu\) ．

strophe and antistrophe．Three deities are invoked in each hymn．In the strophe ó Пú \(\theta\) los Eủ̀ \(\dot{v} \rho a s\)＇A \(\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega v\)（Eur． Alc．571），＂A \(\rho \tau \epsilon \mu t s\) ，and＂Нрa \(\tau \in \lambda \in i ́ a\) are proposed as the objects of prayer．

973．＂H \(\mathrm{H} a \nu \tau \in \lambda \epsilon i a \nu\) ］＂ \(\mathrm{H} \rho a \quad \tau \in \lambda \epsilon\) cía кaì Zєùs


 үа́ \(\mu \omega \nu\) у \(\iota \nu \nsim \mu \in ́ \nu \eta\) ．－Scholiast．See Ruhn－
 тoùs үєүанךко́таs калаиิбt．－Photius．And so Hesychius，Pollux，and others．For the Greeks did not consider an un－ married man \(\tau \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota o s\), complete and ful－ filled in his manhood，or an unmarried woman tedeia．The Hindu religious books carried it further：＂he only is a perfect man who consists of three per－ sons united ；himself，his wife，and his offspring．＂Manu．ix．45．And though ＂H \(\mathrm{H} a \quad \tau \in \lambda \in i a\) is commonly translated ＂Hera，the Goddess of marriage，＂as if it were merely the equivalent of Juno pronuba，yet in reality the name strictly means＂the matron Hera，＂＂H \(\rho a \tau \in \lambda\) єín，


89，Wagner．）．Zè̀s téncios and＂Hpa \(\tau \in \lambda \epsilon\) in are the paterfamilias and mater－ fumilices（Plautus，Amphitryon II．ii． 201）of Olympus，and all carthly nup，tials derived their sanctity from that primae－ val and heavenly mion，which was em－ phatically styled the \(i \in p o{ }^{\circ}\) quipos．Hence to dishonour and bring to nought the marriage tie，is to dishonour and bring to nought those mutual pledges of Zeus
 мата，Eumenides 205）to whieh all hn－ man marriages owed their significance． Hence too the terible irony with which Clytaemnestra，punning on the name， invokes Zeìs \(\tau\)＇́ \(\lambda \epsilon\) tos to fulfil her prayers
 murder of her husband．The very hymenaeal song，heard at every earthly wedding，was a mere echo of that which had erst been sung at the divine nuptiak： of \(/ \mathrm{Zeus}\) and Hera．See Birds 1731－1735 ： Theocritus xvii．131－134．The dances in which＂H \(\rho a \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i a\) specially loved to disport herself were，we may suppose， those which were the regular accompani－ ment of a marriage festivity（see the

\author{
\(\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \psi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\) ©̈ \(\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\) єiкòs, \\  \\  \\ 'Е \(\rho \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon\) Nó \(\mu \iota o \nu\) ä \(\nu \tau о \mu \alpha \iota\) \\ каì Пâva каi Nú \(\mu \phi\) аs фí入as \\ '̇ \(\pi \iota \gamma \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \mathrm{~s}\)
}
note on Peace 1317), though indeed the sacred chorus of dance and song was the bond of union between heaven and earth. The Gods loved and joined in it, above: men loved and joined in it, below. No Goddess was too great, or too dignified, to take part in that great choral music of sky, and earth, and sea. Here it is Hera who joins in the chorus; below (1136) it is Athene who is фenóxopos; in the Lysistrata (1315) it is Artemis who leads the dance, ajvà xopayòs \(\epsilon \dot{u} \pi \rho \in \pi \dot{\eta}\) s.
 keys of wedlock. The expression "to hold the keys " of a thing, in the sense of having control over it, is as common in Greek classical literature as it is in Holy Scripture. See Pindar, Pyth. viii. - 5, and Eur. Hipp. 538-541 where Love is described as holding the keys of the bricle-chambers of Aphrodite. The words " at her girdle" in my translation are
probably derived from Tennyson's couplet about England,

She moving, at her girdle clash
The golden keys of East and West,
a couplet which, though first printed by its author in 1889, long after the date of my translation, and introduced by him somewhat irrelevantly into his lines To the Marquis of Dufferin and Ava, had been familiar to me for nearly forty years before from its occurrence, without the author's name, in the introductory chapter of Hemry Lushington's " A Great Country's little Wars," published in 1844.
977. 'E \(\rho \mu \bar{\eta} \nu\), Пâva, Nv́ \(\mu \phi a s]\) These are the three Powers invoked in the antistrophe. Pan, himself the \(\pi о \iota \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \iota \kappa\) òs \(\theta\) eos, the God of shepherds and of sheepfolds, was also the recognized associate and leader of the Nymphs. The Homeric Hymm in his honour describes him as

And in the Anthology (Scolium 8) he
 Nópфazs. Pan and the Nymphs were the rustic cleities of the country folk. It would be easy to multiply examples, but perhaps the Pastorals of Longus
afford the best instance of the extent to which their conjoint worship permeated the entire rural life of the Greeks. In that love-story Pan and the Nymphs are everywhere. To them the rustics sacrifice and pray; by them they swear ; of

\author{
таîs \(\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \in ́ \rho \alpha \iota \sigma \iota\) \\ \(\chi^{\alpha} \rho \bar{\rho} \nu \tau \alpha\) Хорєíals． \(\epsilon \mathfrak{\xi} \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{v} \mu \omega \mathrm{~S}\) \\ \(\delta ı \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu\) Хорєías．
 \(\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s\) ס̀̀ \(\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \omega \mu \epsilon \nu\) ．
}
them they dream ；their help in peril
 Daphnis in surprise and delight，when he sees Chloe returning to her home． The pair take pleasure in decorating the grotto and fountain of the Nymphs，and the statue of Pan beside the fir－tree ；to that grotto and statue they run，so soon as the advent of spring releases them from their long confinement indoors ； and finally when the lovers marry，they settle down amongst their Hocks and herds，\(\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \beta o r r \epsilon s, ~ N u ́ \mu \phi a s, ~ к a i ̀ ~ \Pi a ̂ \nu a, ~\) каі＂Eршта．And Hermes yópos，the pastoral deity of Arcady，was hardly less closely associated with the Nymphs． In Homer＇s Odyssey xiv． 435 the swine－ herd Eumaeus dedicates a seventh part of the meat N \(\dot{\mu} \mu \phi \eta \sigma \iota\) кai＇\({ }^{\text {E } \rho \mu \tilde{\eta}}\) ，on which




 And on the present passage the Scholiast says of Hermes， \(\begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon\end{gathered}\) о \(\rho o s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \rho є \mu \mu(\dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu\) ó \(\theta\) єós．And indeed Hermes was himself the father of Pan．In the Hymn to which reference has already been made，we are told that，at the birth of Pan，his mother fled affrighted from her grotesque and misshapen offspring，but Hermes took
him up and presented him to the as－ sembled gods．However，in Lucian＇s Twenty－second Dialogue of the Gods， Hermes is thoroughly ashamed of the relationship，and says to his ungainly son，＂When you and I are alone，we will be good friends，but тaтє́pa ő \(\rho a \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \in \tau \eta s\)
 principal personage in this invocation， a fact which perhaps accounts for the use of the singular \(\chi\) apévia just below ： but the Nymphs naturally attracted the greatest affection，and hence the epithet applied to them，here and elsewhere， Nípфat фìat，＂the dear Nymphs．＂

982．反 \(\iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu]\)＇O \(\rho \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega\) s єídos \(\hat{\eta}\) крои́－ paros．－Hesychius．And Pollux iv．segm． 105 includes it amongst the \(\tau \rho a \nless \kappa \bar{\eta} s\) ó \(\rho \chi^{i}, \sigma \in \omega s\) \(\sigma \chi^{\eta} \mu a \tau a\) ．It was obviously a stately religious dince，but of its cha－ racter we know nothing．As to \(\chi\) áp \(\downarrow\) \(\chi\) доєias，see the note on 959 supra．

984．\(\pi\) áv \(\tau \omega s\) غ̀̀ \(\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v \dot{v} \omega \mu \nu]\) But whut－ ever we do，let us leep the fast．The manu－ script reading \(\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \mu \in \nu\) ठ̇̀ \(\pi a ́ u \tau \omega s\) is unmetrical ；and modern editor＇s adopt Bentley＇s suggestion \(\nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{o} \rho \mu \epsilon \nu\) ס̀̀ \(\pi a ́ \nu-\) tws．But such a bald statement of fact would be quite out of place in this little exhortation ；and by a mere transposi－ tion of the words，without the change of a letter，we not only preserve the sense

    \tauо́\rho\epsilonv\epsilon \pi\hat{\alpha}\sigma\alpha\nu \varphì\delta\deltaं\nu}\nu
    \eta\gamma\mp@code{v̂ \delta\epsiloń \gamma' @̀ò\etaेS \alphaủ\tauòs,}
    \sigma\grave{v} к\iota\sigma\sigmaофо́\rho\epsilon Ва́кхє\iota\epsilon
```



```
    \sigma\epsiloǹ ф\iota\lambdaо\chiо́\rhoо\iota\sigma\iota \mu\epsiloń\lambda\\psi\omega.
        Eǔlov 命\Deltaiós \tau\epsilon
    [\sigma\tau\rho. 990
    Вро́\muו\epsilon каì \SigmaІє\mu\epsiloń\lambda\alphas \pi\alphaî,
    \chiорої́s \tau\epsilon\rho\piо́}\mu\epsilon\nuо
```


and the metre，but also restore min＇tos to its usual position at the head of the sentence ；see Knights 232， 799 ；Wasps 603 ；Peace 1194 ；Birds 935 ；supra 851 ， infra 1012 ；Ecel． 704 ；Plutus 273.

985．＇＇$\pi$＇$\nexists \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ à $\nu a ́ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi$＇］The Cory－ phaeus is exhorting the Chorus to trm to another strain ；just as the Cory－ phaeus in the Frogs does in lines 382， 383，and ：396， 397 of that Play．For now the $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ which superseded the ring－ dance is itself to be superseded ；and the dancers are to enter upon a third system of choral evolutions．Now they are to sing of Bacchns and his train of attendant Nymphs，sweeping with cries of religious ecstasy along the hills and glades of his own Cithaeron．Those hills and glades had witnessed the por－ tentous events which form the ground－ work of the Bacchate of Euripides；the frenzy of the＇Theban women，and the tragic death of Pentheus．But it is not of events like these that the worshippers of the Twain are thinking．In their song Cithateron is echoing back nothing
but the joyous cries of religious exalta－ tion．From the direction $\tau о ́ \rho \epsilon ข \epsilon \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu$ ఱ＇oiv，shrill every song，we may possibly infer that this Bacchic hymn in some way imitated the shrill cries of the enthusiastic Bacchanals．
 $\omega \cdot \delta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．－Scholiast．In the following line I have substituted $\omega \dot{\delta} \dot{\eta} s$ for the unme－ trical and unmeaning $\dot{\omega} \delta^{\circ}$ of the MSS．and editions．Báк $\not \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ is an adjective，agree－ ing with $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \sigma$ оти（cf．Frogs 1259）．The proper name（in Aristophanes）is Búкхсоs．

990．Ev̉เov］The great dancing－song concludes with a short strophe and antistrophe in honour of Dionysus．The Chorus appear to break into the exhorta－ tion of the Coryphaeus，explaining the $\sigma \grave{\epsilon}$ in the preceding line by a triumphant cry of Ev̉ıov．$\Delta$ tós $\tau \in$ is Fritzsche＇s correc－ tion for $\Delta$ tórvaє．The кni before the Mother＇s name implies that the Father＇s name has already been mentioned ；and the alteration brings the first line of the strophe into accord with the first line of the antistrophe．

ふ̂ Eư̌＇Ev̉ı＇єủoû<br>ふิ Eứ á $\nu \alpha \chi о \rho \epsilon u ́ \omega \nu$.

$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i$ dè $\sigma o i ̀ k \tau v \pi \epsilon \bar{i} \alpha \iota \iota \quad[\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu . \quad 995$
KıӨaıрш́vıos $\dot{\eta} \chi^{\grave{\omega}}$,
$\mu \in \lambda \alpha \mu \phi v \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ơ $\rho \eta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { кúк入ఱ }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1000
\end{aligned}
$$



933．vvuфâv є́patoîs ċv v̌ $\mu \nu$ voss Amidst （that is，accompanied $\quad$ by）the pleasant hymnings of the Nymphs．For the Nymphs， as has already been observed，had be－ come mere satellites，moving in the train of Dionysus．See the note on 947 supra．

997．ö $р \eta$ ба́ $к ⿺ 辶$ ］The same expression was afterwards used by Euripides in Bacchae 218．And indeed the whole of that Play forms the best illustration of these little Bacchic odes．

999．kıनбós］It is possible that a hymm to Dionysus was really a part of the Thesmophorian worship；but of course
such a hymo is more especially appro－ priate to the divine patron of the drama at the Dionysian festivals．And the epithet кıб⿱oфо́ре in the prelude can hardly have been used，and the reference here to the iry＇s clinging tendril can hardly have been made，without the thought that if the Plity were successful， the Poet himself would become кıб $\sigma$ o－ poopos，and be crowned with the ivy＇s clinging tendril before the audience in the theatre．And hence，long after－ wards，Antipater of Thessalonica（25） said，even of the Poet＇s written Plays，

```
\betaí\beta\lambdao\ell 'A\rho\iota\sigmaтофáv\epsilonधs, 0\epsilonios múvos, аî\sigma\iotav 'A\chia\rho\nu\epsilonùs
```


 ö̈ $\mu \omega \zeta \epsilon \pi \rho \frac{\partial}{s} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aïpíav．Cf．Plutus 1129. The Scythian，now represented by a Professional Actor，brings out Mnesi－ lochus tied to the plank，and it is im－ portant to bear in mind that he remains in that ignominious position（in the pillory as it were）all the time that he
is acting the part of Andromedta and down to line 1208 infra．On the words $\pi p i s$ rìv aitpiay the Scholiast says，àvi той $\pi$ т тoそórиs．He speaks a sort uf broken Greek，and we are not to look for any－ thing（from a grammatical point of riew）rational（H consistent in his

MN. $\chi^{\alpha ́ \lambda} \alpha \sigma o \nu \tau o ̀ \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$. ミK. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma^{\prime}$ є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$.





${ }^{\prime \prime} \alpha \cdot \theta \epsilon o i, Z \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho$, $\epsilon i \sigma i \nu \frac{c}{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta \epsilon \epsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \rho{ }^{\prime} \notin \iota \kappa \epsilon \nu$ oủ $\pi \rho \circ \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \circ \iota$
jargon. In the lines immediately fullow-
 for $\delta \rho \bar{\omega}, \beta o i \lambda \iota s$ for $\beta$ vídet and the like. And he is as destitute of aspirates as a London cockney.

 liast. The $j \lambda$ os was evidently a peg which, as it was turned this way or that, would tighten or relax the prisuner's bonds. The Scythian, besought to give it the relaxing twist, deliberately gives it the other.
 форид̀v, ìva фv入ájต $\sigma \epsilon$. It has been ubserved that the Scythian occasionally, though perhaps not invariably, terminates all the persons of the singular with an iotu. We find it in the first
 $I$ stey so too, de.; in the second, infra $1102 \tau i \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \iota$; for $\tau i \lambda_{\epsilon} \hat{\gamma} \epsilon \mathrm{s}$; and in the
 The Scythian now brings out. his mat, and settles himself comfortahly down leside his prisoner.
 Scholiast. Euripides shows himself for
a moment disguised as Perseus in the Play, with his winged sandals, and the Gorgon's head. His appearance in that costume is a sign that he is coming as Perseus to rescue Mnesilochus as Andromeda, a character which the latter accordingly sets himself at once to assume. The Palamedeand the Helen have proved unsuccessful, and the third Play to be travestied is the famous Andromeda, which, the Scholiast informs us, was put on the stage at the same time as the

1014. таре́ттато] Else he would not have flocen b!! : "alioqui enim non praeter-volasset."-Kuster. The allusion is to the $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\theta} \dot{\epsilon} \tau a a \pi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \lambda a$ with which Perseus came flying through the air. Doubtless in the Tragedy he entered flying by some special machinery, which is probably caricatured in the Comedy. But he does not enter yet, and Mnesilochus commences by adopting to his own melan-. choly position the Lamentation which in the Tragedy Andromeda sang before the entry of Perseus. The next seven lines from $\phi$ ìa a $\pi a p \theta^{\prime} \epsilon_{0}$ to $e^{\prime} \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$ are commonly given to Euripides, and are so

```
\(\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \grave{S} \mathrm{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \rho \alpha \mu \grave{\omega} \nu\),
öт८ \(\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta^{\prime}\) 'A \(\nu \delta \rho o \mu \epsilon ́ \delta \alpha \nu \cdot \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu\)
```



```
\(\eta \eta^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota \mu \in \sigma \omega \sigma \omega \nu\) • ov \(\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \alpha \tau 0\).
    ( \({ }^{\omega}{ }^{\prime}\) ' \(\left.1 \nu \delta \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \delta a\right)\)
    фí入aı \(\pi \alpha \rho \theta^{\prime} \nu o \iota ~ \phi i ́ \lambda \alpha \iota\),
    1015
    \(\pi \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \lambda \theta o \iota \mu l\), каі
    тò̀ \(\Sigma\) ккú \(\theta \eta \nu \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\theta} о \iota \mu \iota\);
```


given in my translation ：but although this arrangement may add to the liveli－ ness of the scene，I cannot but acquiesce in the view of Tyrwhitt and Elmsley that Euripides does not enter as Perseus until 1098 infia，and that the entire Lamenta－ tion from 1015 to 1055 is delivered by Mnesilochus in the character of Andro－ meda，in accordance with the original transcript of the Ravenna MS．It is most unlikely that Euripides，as Perseus， should have appropriated part of the Lament of Andromeda which was sung， in the Play，before the appearance of Perseus；the $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \hat{\epsilon} \theta o \iota \mu$ in line 1016 can be uttered by Mnesilochus only；the term $\begin{aligned} \\ \nu a i ̂ k n \\ \text { in line } \\ 1021 \text { would be quite }\end{aligned}$ inapplicable to the $\pi a \rho \theta$＇́vos Andromeda； whilst Mnesilochus would naturally com－ mence the part of Andromeda，just as he did the part of Helen，before the entrance of Euripides．
 $\mu \in ́ o ̂ a s ~ E v ̉ \rho 九 \pi i o ́ o v ~ " \phi i ̀ \lambda a t ~ \pi a \rho \theta e ́ v o l, ~ \phi i \lambda a t ~$ $\mu o t$. ＂－Scholiast．In the original the $\pi a p \theta \epsilon \in \frac{1}{y}$ фi入aı are the Court damsels who form the sympathizing Chorus of the Play．



 бùv фí入ats $\gamma o ́ o v ~ \pi o ́ \theta o v ~ \lambda a ß \epsilon i v . " ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda a \mu-~$
 עєт兀u．－Scholiast．Andromeda pauses after the word $\lambda$ áOot $\mu$（or whatever was the corresponding word in the original） and hears her last few syllables echoed back from the cavernous rocks around her．The MSS．，like the Scholiast，read $\pi \rho o \sigma a \iota \delta o u ̄ \sigma \sigma u \quad$ тàs，and very wild conjec－ tures，$\widehat{\omega} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ A i \delta o u ̂ s ~ \sigma \dot{\epsilon}, ~ \grave{\omega} ~ \pi \rho o \sigma a v \delta \bar{\omega} \sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ ， have been introduced into the text， but Elmsley＇s emendation 乞̄ $\pi p a \sigma a ̣ ́ \delta o v \sigma a$ seems certain．The use of a participle after $\kappa \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota s \bar{\omega}$ is quite in conformity with

 aủ入à̀ ả入aì $\nu \omega \nu$ ；Id．1536．And compare
 ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \lambda \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ Helen 1111．The taîs may possibly refer to the Nymphs，but more probably to persons speaking，like Andro－ meda herself，at the entrance of the caverns hollowed ont by the surge．As to Echo dwelling in the rocks and caves

<br>$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \alpha ́ ~ \mu ' ~ \epsilon ̀ \lambda \theta \epsilon i v . ~$  толитоуต́татоข $\beta \rho о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.  $\sigma \alpha \pi \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu{ }^{\circ \prime} \mu \omega \overline{ }$ ． 1025 

FritzschecitesOvid，Met．iii． 394 ；Aesch． Pers．39：3 ；Emr．Hec． 1110.

1021．©́s ті̀v jvvaíka］＂Andromeda dix－ erat forte $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \tau \in ́ p a "$（but see the Scho－ liast quoted in the preceding note）； ＂Mnesilochus тìv $\gamma v v a i ̋ k a ~ v i s e r e ~ c u p i t: ~$ et mox，v．1206，Euripides eum demittit
 Tyrwhitt．Mnesilochms everywhere，in a ludicrons manner，interweaves his own personal troubles into the Lament of Andromeda．

1022．«доькто今 к．т．入．］Пара̀ ті́ тои̂ Ňopoû

 imep $\theta u v e i v . "$－Scholiast．In the Tragedy， as we saw in the note on 1015，Andro－ meda calls on Echo to eease from babbling，and allow her to continue her Lament without interruption．But in the present parody Mnesilochus calls upon her to assist him in escalping from the custody of the Scythian．However Echomakesnorespemse，and Mnesilochus settles down into an uninterrupted La－
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is also found，as Fritzscheobserves，in Hec． 721 （where again it is addressed by the Chorus to the heroine of the I＇lay），and the same Commentator cites many other instances of the use of the
epithet by the Tragedians．Some recent editors have，without any authority， altered the word into the far less ap－ propriate $\pi о \lambda \cup \sigma \tau \sigma \nu(\circ \tau a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ，apparently for the sole purpose of making the line iambic，not observing that in this part of the Lament there is a constant inter－ mingling of iambic and trochaic lines． Indeed this line corresponds in every
 lines below．

1024．$\gamma \rho a \hat{u} a \nu]$ T $\grave{\nu} \nu ~ \tau \eta \rho \eta \dot{\sigma a \sigma a \nu}$ aủォò̀ $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$ тоís $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu$ офорiors．－Scholiast．He re－ pays Critylla＇s abuse by calling her＇ ＂a rotten old woman．＂The epithet $\sigma a \pi \mu a ̀$ is merely an unpleasant synonym for＂old．＂Enger cites Phrynichus 354
 каì фаи̂入ov，à入入̀̀ тò $\pi a \lambda a t o ́ v . ~ I t ~ i s ~ a p-~$ plied to an old Hag in Eccl．884，926， and 1098，and Lys． 378.

1027．＇́申＇́धтт $\left.\kappa^{\prime}\right]$ Stands guard over me． The use of the verbs $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\phi} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa р \epsilon '-$ $\mu a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ，without any conjunction，is an example of the áoúvסєтov mentioned in the Scholium on 1018.

1030．ópậs ；．．．$\nu \epsilon a \nu i \delta \omega \nu] \Pi a i \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \xi$ ＇Avסоонє́диs．－Scholiast．Andromeda would say，＂Not with chorel dances，nor by maidens of my own aye，am I escorted to the house of my bridegroom．＂But

<br><br> $\dot{\eta} \lambda i \kappa \kappa \omega \nu \nu \epsilon \alpha \nu i ́ \delta \omega \nu[\kappa \eta \mu-$   $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \eta$ к $\eta$ т $\epsilon \iota$ ßорळ̀

Mnesilochus，for the maiden＇s joy，sub－ stitutes the joy of an old Athenian dicast，＂um I standing by the cerdict－box： with my cote in my hund．＂кàmєбтаinv
 the prayer of Philocleon in Wasps 754. Though the words in the text $\kappa \eta \mu \oplus_{\bullet}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \phi \epsilon$＇－
 reasons，be the actual words employed by Aristophanes，yet they undoubtedly express his meaning．The MSS．have
 nonsense．Some omit $\psi \eta \bar{\eta}$ or，but of course it is the $\psi \eta \phi o s$, and not the $\kappa \eta \mu$ oेs （the funnel through which the $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$ was dropped into the verdict－box，see the note on Wasps 99），which the dicast would be holding in his hand．$\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu$ $\kappa \eta \mu \partial ̀$ ，the prevailing reading in the printed editions，is open to the sime objection as well as to others．The dicast would be standing beside the к $\eta \mu o ̀ s$ ，and holding the $\psi \bar{\eta} \phi o s$ ．It might be permis－ sible to omit кпдis，which would then be understood．The ejaculation ipâs； if not extra metrum is extra metri leges．

1033．кйтєє ßорí］Bergler refers to the Scholiast on Birds 348，where the words
 Andromeda of Euripides，possibly from the very Lament which Aristophanes is
here adapting to his own purposes．We have already heard of Glaucetes in Peace 1008 as a glutton and eager devourer of

 Scholiast here．And Kuster refers to the lines from the חepuarins of Plato Comicus，preserved by the Scholiast on Clonds 109，

Meineke（Fragm．Com．ii．652）imagines that the only reason for this nickname． of Glaucetes was because the $\psi \bar{\eta} \tau \tau \pi$ （turbot）was his favourite food ；but nu doubt the real reason was that the $\psi$ ŋोттa was itself a voracious devourer of small fish，crustaeea，and mollusea．See Yarrell＇s British Fishes，ii．327．And that it was considered by the ancients． a ravenous fish may be inferred from Lucian＇s Piscator 49．There Lucian （under the name of Parrhesiades）， angling for the pseudo－philosophers with a bait of tigs and gold，exclaims，
 $\dot{\eta} \mu i \tau o \mu o s i x \theta u ́ s(L y s i s t r a t a l ~ 115,116) \pi \rho u \sigma$－


Г入аvкє́т $\eta$ тро́кєєцає．
$\gamma \alpha \mu \eta \lambda i ́ \omega \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oủ $\xi \grave{v} \nu$
$\pi \alpha \iota \omega \bar{\nu}, \delta \in \sigma \mu i \not \varphi \delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon$,
 －Scholiast．Andromeda bewails her virgin death，as Polyxena does in the Hecabè，Electra in the Orestes，and Antigone in the Phoenissae．And many other examples will at once occur to every reader＇s mind．The term $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu$ tos， as＂Hotibius＂also observes，recalls the fearful spell chanted by the Furies in the Eumenides of Aeschylus 311－328，
 avovà Bporois．But here of course the immediate allusion is to the prisoner＇s honds mentioned four lines above．
 ＇Avópouédas．－Scholiast．Andromeda has two causes for lamentation．It is bitter， in any case，to be exposed to the sea－ monster；but still more bitter to suffer this calamity at the hands of her own kith and kin．These last－mentioned sufferings are different to the first（i$\lambda \lambda a)$ ， and contrary to all moral law（ävoдa）． With her the reference is to Cepheus and her own family ；Mnesilochus prob－ ably intends it to be understood of his $\kappa \eta \delta \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \eta_{s}$ Euripides，though the word is
not apt for that purpose．
1040．фө̂тa 入ıтонє́ $\mu a \nu]$ Supplicating the man．What follows seems to show that he is referring to Euripides，and not， as many have thought，to the Scythian． The Scholium says $\delta є ө \mu$ év $\eta$ тoù $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ p o v$, that is，the Scythian．It proceeds，$\pi \rho o-$
 observation is intended to account for the accusative ；yō̃ $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda^{\prime}$ เто $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \nu$ ．And this is certainly right，the intervening
 parenthetic．The Scholium continues，

 This alternative construction has of course no special connexion with the reading àvтoнévav，and seems to be，with $\lambda_{\text {ィтоне́val，}}$ the true construction．

1041．фeúSovavu］Bewailing：cf．Aesch．
 Blomfield cites from Eustathius on I1．
 $\phi \epsilon \dot{\zeta} \xi \epsilon \nu, \tau \grave{o} \phi \in \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \omega$. I have substituted фєúSovaav for the фє́youaav of the MSS． and the older editions．Musgrave（on Eur．Or．1394）suggested $\phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma a \nu$ ，com－
òs ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \mu$ ’ $\alpha \pi \epsilon \xi \underline{\xi} \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$,



i'́ $\mu$ оו $\mu$ оípas äтєүктє $\delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu$.
ஸ̂ ката́patos є́ $\gamma \omega$.

$\pi \alpha ́ \theta$ оs $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \rho \tau о \nu$ є́ $\pi i$ как $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho о v \sigma i ́ \alpha: ~$
єï $\theta \in \mu \epsilon \pi v \rho \phi$ ópos $\alpha i \theta \in ́ \rho o s ~ \alpha ̉ \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$
paring Bacchylides (Stobaeus 55. 3)
 "incendentem luctus," Aen. ix. 500. And this suggestion is adopted by most recent editors. But it is not altogether satisfactory: the passages cited are not entirely in point, and if so wide a departure from the MS. reading were necessary, I should prefer to borrow $\chi$ 白ovad from the parallel passage in Eur. Suppl. 773 (to which Fritzsche

 $\kappa \omega \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \grave{\lambda} \mu \phi \in ́ \delta v \sigma \epsilon \nu$. -Scholiast. With кроко́є $\nu$ we must understand $\epsilon ้ \nu \delta \nu \mu a$.
1046. Є้̈ $\begin{gathered}\text { ar } \\ \gamma \nu \nu a i ̂ k \epsilon s] ~ N o ~ t r a n s l a t i o n ~ c a n ~\end{gathered}$ preserve the inimitable brevity of the original. And the proposal of G. Burges to omit these two words as a gloss, well deserved the Ciceronian invective of Fritzsche, "Quousque tandem abutere patientiâ nostrâ, Burgesi? Quem ad finem sese effrenata tua jactabit audacia? Nugari te non vides? errare te, falli, ruere non sentis? Ah, Corydon, Cory-don-!" We cannot doubt, though the Scholiast gives us no assistance on this
point, that the rest of the Lament is more or less parodied from the Andromeda. The language is quite Euripidean. The words, $\bar{\omega}$ ката́ритоs $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$, occur also, as Fritzsche observes, in line 839 of the Andromache. On the compound avé$\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \in \nu$ see the note on 585 supra.
 Bergler refers to Eur. Hec. 193 ајн́ $\gamma$ арти $\kappa а \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$, and from 227 of the same Play Fritzsche cites the words mapovaiav какติ $\nu$.
1050. $\pi v \rho \phi$ о́pos ai $\theta \dot{\epsilon} p o s \dot{a} \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho]$ The fireflushing meteor of Ether, that is, the lightning-flash. In Soph. Phil. 1198 Zeus is called $\pi v \rho \phi$ ópos $\dot{a} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \pi \eta \tau \dot{\eta} s$, and Herwerden would so read here; but the quaintness of the expression in the text is no argument against its authenticity. On ßápßapov in the following line the Scholiast says, $\delta \iota \chi \omega \hat{\varsigma}$ тòv ä $\ddot{\theta} \lambda \iota \nu$, and Brunck reads $\delta \dot{v} \sigma \mu о \rho o \nu$ here. And it is probable that, in the Tragedy, Andromeda did in truth eall upon the lightning to consume herself $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \dot{v} \sigma \mu o \rho o \nu$. But in the parody, as Fritzsche was the first to point out, Mnesilochus, while

oủ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$＇$\epsilon \tau^{\prime}$＇$\dot{\alpha} \theta \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \nu ~ \phi \lambda o ́ \gamma \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$


$\nu \in ́ к и \sigma \iota \nu$ ध̈ $\pi \iota$ торєі́av．
1055
adopting the language of Andromeda， is careful at the last moment to divert the curse from his own head to that of his gaoler．Cf．supra 349．For a similar transfer of a curse，see Catullus，xliv．20， where the poet acknowledges his fault
in having listened to Sextius，promises never to do it again，and prays，that，if he does，a curse may fall non $m i$ sed ipsi Sextio．In order to preserve the point in the translation，another line should be added：

> 0 how they will chide me, and gibe, and deride me! And o that the flashing, and roaring, and dashing Red bolt of the thunder might smite me in sunder
> The Scythian who lingers beside me!
where the＂me＂in the third line is used as in the＂Knock me at the gate＂ of Shakespeare，or＂Saddle me the ass＂ of the Book of Kings．In Greek it should be not $\mu \epsilon$ but $\mu o$ ．

1054．${ }^{\circ} \chi \eta$ ．．．$\left.\pi о \rho \in i a \nu\right]$ If these words＊ are to be amenable to any laws of grammar，they must be treated as，what grammarians call＂accusatives in ap－ position to an entire sentence，in order to express an opinion or judgment upon the contents of that sentence．＂The phrases are certainly Euripidean，and are，in all probability，taken from the Andromeda itself，where they may have been either＂accusatives in apposition，＂ or little detached ejaculatory sentences such as are found in almost every Greek Tragedy，where two mourners are mingling their lamentations together． To take one example out of hundreds， the speech of Helen тà $\delta^{\prime}$ द́pà катà
$\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a \theta \rho a \quad \pi \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon a, \pi \dot{a} \theta \epsilon a, \quad \mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho$, oi＇$\gamma \dot{\prime}$. （Helen 684）is a mere exclamation， having no grammatical connexion with anything which precedes，or anything which follows．And the expressions ＂throat－cutting agonies from the Gods＂ and the＂twilight journey close upon the dead＂may have been similar ejaculations in the Andromeda．The epithet aió $\lambda a y$ is by some translated speedy，but the Scholiast explains it by oкoтєtעウ $\nu$ ，and the word is so constantly connected with Night（in the sense of variegated by stars），aió $\eta \nu \dot{v} \xi$ ，aio $\lambda o ́ \chi \rho \omega s$ $\nu \dot{\nu} \xi$ and the like，that it seems to have itself acquired the signification of ＂nightly．＂

1056．HX $\Omega$ ］The MSS．prefix Evpır． $\dot{\eta} \chi^{\grave{\omega}}$ ，and the Scholiast says，iттокрiveтпи
 this，as Tyrwhitt observed，is certainly wrong．Euripides was seen equipped
$\mathrm{HX} \Omega$. $\chi \alpha \hat{\imath} \rho, \hat{\omega}^{\prime} \phi i ́ \lambda \eta \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \cdot \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta_{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \mathrm{~K} \eta \phi \in ́ \alpha$,


 $\ddot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \epsilon \in \rho \nu \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha u \mathcal{\tau} \hat{\varphi} \chi^{\omega \rho}{ }^{\prime} \omega$
as Perseus, supra 1009 ; and he comes upon the stage in that character, infra 1098. It is impossible that between these two lines he should make his appearance in a woman's dress, infra 1073, 1090. And indeed, had he done so, Mnesilochus would have spoken a few lines after 1097, to give him time to discard the woman's dress and reassume the equipment of Perseus, but no interval whatever is allowed for that purpose. Add to this that in line 1061 Echo speaks of herself as a personage distinct from Euripides; and I think that every reader will be ready to say with Dobree, " non puto Echûs personam egisse Euripidem." And, in truth, I do not believe that Echo appears on the stage at all. The Scythian could hardly have asked Whence comes that voice? had he seen an actual woman in conversation with his prisoner, and the latter's allusion to a woman in the immediate neighbourhood seems to be merely a jest at the Scythian's expense. It is very unlikely that in the Andromeda Echo appeared in a bodily form, and here too I believe that she was represented as rox et proeterea nihil. It may be taken for granted that the voice was that of the actor who was personating Euripides, just as in the Frogs the Choreutae first sing the Frog-songs behind the scenes, and
then enter as the Mystical Chorus; but that is a very different thing from the statement that Euripides is personating the Echo. The two lines of the present speech were, in the Andromeda, probably spoken by some friend who entered before the entrance of Perseus. The idea of Echo entering into a rational conversation is of course purely Aristophanic.
1059. є̇тькокка́ттрьa] A joker, mocker. $\epsilon i \omega \theta v i ̂ a \quad \gamma \Sigma \lambda a ̂ \nu, \quad \gamma \epsilon \lambda a ́ \sigma \tau \rho ı a$. Scholiast. Suidas, s.v. ウ̀x'. Kuster refers to Eustathius on Od. xiv. 350 то̀ є́тькокка̧́єєข
 є̇тькокка́бтрta. Fritzsche compares the jocosa imago of Horace Odes I. xii. 4 and xx. 8.
1060. $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho v \sigma \iota \nu]$ Lust year: '̇тєì $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho v \sigma \iota \prime$ є́ $\delta \iota \delta \subset i \chi \nexists \eta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} A v \delta \rho o \mu \epsilon ́ \delta a$. - Scholiast. So in the Prologue to the Amphitryo of Plautus: (88-92) Mercury says "Jupiter himself will act this Comedy. Why marvel, as if it were something new for Jove to take part in a Play? Why but a year ago, on this very stage, the actor:s called on Jove, and he entered here to assist them" ; doubtless as a deus ex machina. As to the bearing which this note of time has on the date of the Thesmophoriazusae, some remarks will be found in the Introduction.

$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ', $\widehat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu o \nu, \sigma \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \eta ̂ S ~ \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \pi o l \epsilon i \nu$,


MN. $\bar{\omega} \nu \grave{v} \xi i \in p a ̀$


ai $\theta^{\prime}$ pos iep $\bar{\alpha} s$, тov̂ $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o \tau a ́ \tau o v ~ \delta i ̀ ' ~ ' O \lambda u ́ \mu \pi o v$.
$\mathrm{HX} \Omega$. $\quad \delta i$ ' $\mathrm{O} \lambda \hat{v} \mu \pi{ }^{\prime}$
MN. тí $\pi о \tau^{\prime} ' А \nu \delta \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \delta \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ิ \nu$

MN. $\theta \alpha \nu \alpha ́ т о v ~ \tau \lambda \eta ́ \mu \omega \nu . ~ H X ~ \Omega . ~ \theta \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \tau o v ~ т \lambda \eta ́ \mu \omega \nu . ~$
MN. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \epsilon i s ~ \mu ', \widehat{\omega} \gamma \rho \alpha \hat{v}, \sigma \tau \omega \mu \nu \lambda \lambda о \mu \hat{c} \nu \eta$.
$\mathrm{HX} \Omega$. $\sigma \tau \omega \mu \nu \lambda \lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.

 'А $\nu \delta \rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \delta a . \tau о v ̂ \pi \rho o \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ ' А \nu \delta \rho о \mu$ é $\delta a s$ єi $\sigma$ ßo入 $\eta$.-Scholiast. "Sunt haec ipsissima Euripidis verba, ut vel ex Scholiaste Theocr. ad Idyll. 2 patet, ubi hunc ipsum locum Euripidi tribuit. Ait enim ö́ $\tau$


 Euripidis expressit Ennius apud Varronem, Lib. iv. De Ling. Lat. ubi Andromeda Nocti dicit

Quae cava coeli signitenentibus Conficis bigis.

Sic enim locum hunc emendavit divinus Scaliger, in notis ad Varronem ; quem nec locus hic Aristophanis fugerat."-

Kuster. The epithet $i \in \rho a ̀$ is again given to Night in Eur. Ion 85.
 той троло́ $\quad$ ov. -Scholiast.
1072. 日aváтov $\tau \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu$ ] The Scholiast says $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota, \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0} \sigma a \tau v \chi \epsilon i v$, meaning, as Matthiae observed, that in the Tragedy the line stood $\theta a \nu \dot{c} \tau \sigma v, \tau \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu, \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a$ tvxєiv. Aristophanes purposely destroys both sense and grammar by appropriating the first two words only. In the next line the Scholiast explains $\sigma \tau \omega \mu v \lambda \lambda о \mu \dot{\iota} \nu \eta$ by $\phi \lambda v a \rho o v ̃ \sigma a$.

 סó $\mu$ ots, as Euripides says in Ach. 460. In Knights 4 єion$\eta \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ is explained in


入íav． $\mathrm{HX} \Omega$ ．入íav．
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \chi \alpha \rho \iota \epsilon \imath \mu \circ$ ．$\pi \alpha \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ． $\mathrm{HX} \Omega$ ．$\pi \alpha \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ．
MN．$\beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda ’$ є́s ко́ракаs． $\mathrm{HX} \Omega$ ．$\beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇єs ко́ракаs．
1079
MN．тí какóv； $\mathrm{HX} \Omega$ ．тí какóv；MN．入ךрєîs．HX $\Omega$ ．入ךрєîs．

ミK．oûtos $\sigma i ́ \lambda \alpha \lambda i s$ ；$H X \Omega$ ．oûtos $\sigma i ́ \lambda \alpha \lambda i s$ ；
பK．$\pi \rho v \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \varsigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma . \omega$ ． $\mathrm{HX} \Omega$ ．$\pi \rho v \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ к \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \omega$ ．
ミК．бí какóv；НХ $\Omega$ ．бí какóv； 1085

ミK．$\sigma \grave{v} \lambda \alpha \lambda i ̂ s ; ~ H X \Omega$ ．$\sigma \grave{v} \lambda \alpha \lambda i s ; ~ \Sigma K . ~ к \lambda \alpha u ́ \sigma \alpha \iota . ~ H X ~ \Omega . ~ к \lambda \alpha v ́ \sigma \alpha \iota . ~$
ミК．какка́бкı $\mu о \iota ; ~ Н X \Omega$ ．какк $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \iota \mu о \iota ;$
MN．$\mu \grave{\alpha} \Delta i ́, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma v \nu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o \nu \alpha u ̈ \tau \eta$ ．
$\mathrm{HX} \Omega$ ．$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \neq \nu$ aüт $\eta$ ．
பK．$\pi o \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau$＇${ }^{\eta} \mu \iota \alpha \rho \alpha ́$ ；каì $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \cup ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ．


1077．$\mu o \nu \varphi \delta \delta \bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ In the Tragedy，An－ dromeda is mingling her tears with those of her companions，and she says ध̈agov，＇A Хоî，$\mu \epsilon$ бì̀ фíतaus yóov $\pi$ ótov $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$ ．See the note on 1018 supra．In the Comedy she is alone，and says éaróv $\mu \varepsilon \mu о \nu \propto \delta i j \sigma a$ ．There is much chaff in the Frogs about the monodies of Euripides． In．using the masculine $\begin{aligned} & \omega \\ & j \\ & \dot{c} \\ & \theta^{\prime}\end{aligned}$ ，Mnesi－ lochus seems to be making a little slip，unless indeed he is appealing to the actor as such．For enyit cannot be $\hat{\iota}^{2} a \theta \dot{\eta}$ ，as the Scholiast thinks（ $\tau \dot{\circ}$ $\left.\pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \rho \epsilon \mathrm{s},{ }_{\omega}^{\mathrm{\omega}} \gamma \mathrm{a} \boldsymbol{\theta} \dot{\eta}\right)$ ；and there is no reason to believe that by any colloquialism，or otherwise， $\bar{\omega}$ zaté could be applied to a female．

1083．$\sigma i \lambda_{a} \lambda i s$ ；］For $\tau i \begin{aligned} & \lambda a \lambda \epsilon i s ; ~ T h e ~\end{aligned}$

Scythian suddenly awakes to the fact that his prisoner is carrying on a con－ versation with somebody．That he does not see the other party to the dialogue seems plain from his questions，Whence comes that roice？and Where is the minx？ See the note on 1056 supra．On $\lambda$ adis the Scholiast remarks $\chi$ шpis tov̂ є $\gamma \rho$ cí－$^{-}$

1085．бі какі́⿱亠䒑 ；］For ті какóv；as supra 1080．In the next line the Scholiast rightly explains $\pi \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \grave{o} \pi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$ ；by $\pi \dot{\theta} \theta \in \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu \dot{\eta}$ ；and adds $\theta a v \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \chi \omega$ ．
1089．какксібкє $\mu$ ия ；］Are you mocking me？There is no duabt about the mean－ ing，but there is considerable doubt about the verb which какка́ткı represents．The Scholiast thinks it a corruption of kata－

HX $\Omega$ ．oủ ка८९ท́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．

इK．$\lambda \alpha \beta є ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \mu \iota a \rho \alpha ́ . \quad H X \Omega . \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon ̀ \tau \eta ̀ \mu \iota \alpha \rho \alpha ́$.
इK．$\quad \lambda \alpha ́ \lambda о$ каi ката́рато үv́vаıко．
 $\tau \alpha \chi \epsilon i ̂ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ \lambda \omega ;$ ；$\delta i \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o v \gamma \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha i \theta \epsilon ́ \rho o s$
$\gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{a ̣ s}$ ，Bergler of каүха́ $\zeta \epsilon \iota s$ ，Fritzsche of катаха́бкєєs．
 rets．－Scholiast．You shall not do this with impunity，you shall smart for it． Fritzsche refers to Knights 235，828，and Plutus 64 ；to which passages may be added Acharnians 563，Wasps 186，supra 719，Frogs 843．The old reading was oủk aip $\eta \sigma \epsilon t s$ ；which Bothe defends on the ground that the Scythian，being half asleep，forgets that Mnesilochus cannot stir hand or foot，and calls upon him to catch the Echo．＂Paene irascor Bothio，＂observes Fritzsche，＂cui＇sommi－ culosus lictor ridicule Mnesilocho cruci adfixo imperare videtur，ut aufugientem Euripidem corripiat．＇Egocitius Bothium dixerim somniasse，quam lictorem．＂So， two lines below，the imperative $\lambda a \beta \grave{\epsilon}$ is addressed neither to Mnesilochus，as Bothe supposes，nor yet to any casual passer－by，as Fritzsche suggests．It is a mere formula of self－exhortation，like the reiterated $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$ in Emmenides 125. $\tau \grave{\eta} \mu \tau a \rho a ̀$ ，of course，represents $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \mu a \rho a ́ v$.

1097．रúvauko］The Scholiast says that
 parov juvaika，but they seem rather to be nominatives，$O$ the chattering and abominable woman．With this，the Echo－ interlude is ended．

1098．З̂ $\theta_{\epsilon}$ oi к．r．入．］Euripides now enters as Perseus with his winged sandals $\tau \alpha \chi \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \delta i \lambda \omega$ ，to effect the rescue of the doomed Princess．He is reciting the lines which Perseus spoke，when he first arrived at the rugged coast，whereon Andromeda was awaiting the approach

 $\tau \rho i ́ a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{a} \tau a \cdot$ кaì $\lambda o \iota \pi \grave{\nu} \nu$（Enger，with great probability，suggests $\not \approx \lambda \lambda \circ \theta \epsilon \nu) \epsilon \notin \epsilon \in-$ $\zeta \epsilon \iota \xi \epsilon \tau \grave{a}$ é $\xi \hat{\eta} s$ ．－Scholiast．Apparently all the lines come from the Andromeda， but the first three from one place，and the remaining line and a half from another．

1100．тє́ $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ кє́ $\lambda \epsilon \nu \theta o \nu]$ The phraseo－ logy is thoroughly Euripidean．Kuster compares the first line of the Phoenissae，
 the somewhat similar address to the Sun in the Poet＇s epigram，preserved by Athenaeus ii．57；and Fritzsche adds $\tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu$ кє́ $\lambda \epsilon \cup \theta$ fov from Rhesus 423．Kuster also cites the Latin phrase secare viam， and the via secta of Lucretius v． 273 ； and with the latter part of the line he compares тı $\theta$ eis $\pi$ óór R Rhesus 571，á $\beta$ pòv $\pi o ́ \delta$ a $\tau \theta \in \epsilon \sigma^{\prime}$ Helen 1528 ，and other pas－ sages．The epithet inóntєpon is specially appropriate to Perseus．In the very pleasint narrative of Andromeda＇s rescue

Пє $\rho \sigma \epsilon \cup ̀ s, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ " A \rho \gamma o s ~ \nu \alpha v \sigma \tau о \lambda \omega ิ \nu, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \Gamma o p \gamma o ́ v o s ~$




given in the Fourteenth Sea－Dialogue of Lucian，one of the Nereids asks＂But how did Perseus get to Libya＂（where the Gorgons were）？And Triton answers
 $\epsilon{ }^{\prime} \theta_{\eta \kappa \in \nu}$ ．

1102．$\sigma i \lambda_{\epsilon} \hat{\gamma} \iota$ ；к．т．$\lambda$ ．］Tí $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ ；той Гópyou ф＇́िєıs то̂̂ $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \pi \tau \epsilon ́ \omega s$ ò̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ кє－ $\phi a \lambda \eta \nu$ ；For Fritzsche is undoubtedly right in holding that $\pi \epsilon \rho \varepsilon$ is not the preposition（as the Scholiast and pre－ vious Commentators had considered it to be）but is intended to represent фє́рєьs：cf．supra 1007．ó ס̀̀ Гópyos，says the Scholiast，$\gamma \rho a \mu \mu a \tau \epsilon \dot{̀} s$, ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ кai $\beta a ́ p-$ $\beta$ apos．The latter description is probably derived from Birds 1700，$\beta$ ápßapoı $\delta^{\prime}$ єí ${ }^{i}$ iv үє́vos Гopyíaı тє каi Фìııттоь．For，in my opinion，by＂the writer Gorgos＂the Scythian means the eminent rhetorician Gorgias of Leontini，who has already been mentioned in the Wasps and the Birds，and who was probably，at this yery moment，a resident at Athens； and possibly a spectator of the present Comedy．In Plato＇s Symposium，chap．xx． （198 c）there is a similar play of words upon the Gorgon＇s head，and the head of Gorgias of Leontini．And it may be observed that Gorgias，Periander＇s brother，who is introduced into Plutarch＇s ＂Symposium of the Seven Wise Men＂
（§ 17），is more commonly known as 「óp－ yos．See Müller＇s Dorians I．vi． 8 note．

1104．Гopyó］The word is doubtless used by the Scythian merely as an exclamation of menace or derision，like $\mu о \rho \mu \grave{\omega}$ in Knights 693，Theucr．xv．40．＇Est
 liter accipio，ut alibi $\mu$ ор $\mu$ ．＇．＂－Brunck． Fritzsche laughs at this notion，but it is， I may observe，strongly supported by the
 employed，as a surt of bugbear，to frighten children ；тоїs $\pi a \iota \sigma i \pi \rho о \sigma \phi$ е́ро $\mu \epsilon \nu$ tàs $\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon i s ~ \mu u ́ \theta o u s ~ \epsilon i s ~ \pi р о т \rho o \pi \eta ́ \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̀ m o-~$

 кай $\mathfrak{\eta}$ Мор $\mu о \lambda \dot{\eta} к \eta$ Strabo i． 2 （vol．i．p．51， ed．Siebentees）．

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i \rho \rho \cup \tau о \nu ~ ' А ф \rho \hat{~} \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s, \pi a \rho \theta \in ́ \nu o u ~ \tau '$ єікผ́ тьขa．＂－Scholiast．＇To the Scholiast＇s quotation scholars have added，from other sources，another line and a half

 grave Eur．Fragm．，Porson on Phoe－ nissae 466，Bp．Monk on Alcestis 35 S． ＂Verba sunt Persei，＂says Purson，＂An－ dromeden e longinquo spectantis，quam imaginem esse ex ipso saxo，cui alligata est，sculptam sibi fingit．＂The words
$\theta \epsilon \alpha i ̂ s ~ o ́ \mu o i ́ a \nu \nu \alpha \hat{\nu} \nu$ öt $\pi \omega s$ ©́ $\rho \mu \tau \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ ；
 $\lambda \hat{v} \sigma o ́ v \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．$\quad \Sigma \mathrm{K}$ ．ои̉кi $\mu i \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \iota \iota$ бv́； $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \rho \alpha \tau о \quad \tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{\imath} S \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi о \tau \alpha \nu 0 \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ خ $\alpha \lambda \underset{c}{\hat{a} s ; ~}$

ミK．ơ $\pi \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \lambda \grave{\eta} \gamma^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ ，
 $\alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \eta \gamma \alpha ́ \rho$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$＇Avסро $\mu \epsilon ́ \delta \alpha \pi \alpha i s \mathrm{~K} \eta \phi \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ ．
ミK．$\sigma \kappa є ́ \psi \alpha \iota$ тò кú $\sigma \tau o \cdot \mu \eta$ $\tau \iota \mu \iota \kappa \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ;$



 $\dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \rho$ єi $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o \pi \epsilon \rho l \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ ，
$\nu a \hat{\nu} \nu{ }_{o}{ }^{\pi} \pi \omega s$ ¢ $\dot{\omega} \mu \mu \sigma \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ seem，as Bergler observes，to be borrowed from Herc． Fur．1094．Whether the answer of Mnesilochus comes from the Andro－ meda is unknown．

1107．$\left.\AA \xi^{\prime} \nu \epsilon\right]$ This little prayer is the only contribution which Mnesilochus， who took so large a part in the dialogue of the Helen，makes to the dialogue of the Andromeda．Aristophanes，doubtless for the purpose of avoiding monotony， prefer＇s to rely here upon the farcical barbarisms of the Scythian guard．
1109．ката́рато к．т．д．］＇Aто日avov $\mu$ én то $\lambda \mu a ̆ ̧ ̧ \lambda a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota .-S c h o l i a s t$. ．＇Sceleste，au－ desne tu nugari moriturus？＂Fritzsche， after Bergler．Cf．Plutus 454.

 каì $\pi a \nu o \bar{u} p \gamma o s$ ．This and most of the scythian＇s barbarisms have been trans－ lated into，Attic Greek by Bergler，whose
versions I have generally adopted．
1114．тò кúvтo］For tò̀ кúäol．Euri－ pides had described Mnesilochus as a woman．The Scythian，waxing ironical，
 therewith（to use the words of the
 Mnesilochus，which of course is $\tau \grave{̀} \sigma \kappa \dot{u}-$ tivoy of Clouds 538，and not a yovaikeiov aioooiov at all．кv́धto is Scaliger＇s emenda－ tion of the MS．oкúro，which the Scythian could hardly have used，and which，be－ sides，gives us a spondee in the second place；and the Scythian，however bar－ barous his phraseology，is expected to conform to the laws of metre．$\mu k \pi \tau \partial \nu$ is a barbarism for $\mu$ ккрóv．
 $\phi \eta \sigma \grave{c}, \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \eta ̂ ~ \sigma a v i ́ o ̂, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tilde{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \tau \epsilon ́-~$
 $\pi \in \rho a v \in i v$ ．—Scholiast．＂Indicativo utitur Scytha pro infinitivo．＂－Enger．
oủk Є́ $\pi \tau o ́ \nu \eta \sigma \alpha ́ ~ \sigma^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi v \gamma i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota S ~ \alpha ̈ \gamma \omega \nu$ ．
Eగ．тí $\delta^{\nu}$ оúk $\epsilon \in \alpha ̂ s ~ \lambda v ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ ~ \mu ' ~ a v ̉ \tau \grave{\eta \nu, ~} \widehat{\omega} \Sigma \kappa v ́ \theta \alpha$ ，




E؟．каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \pi о \iota \eta=\omega$ тоиิто．$\Sigma \mathrm{K}$ ．тò $\kappa \in \pi \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma^{\prime} \propto ้ \rho \alpha$

E؟．$\alpha \hat{i}$ aî $\tau i ́ \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \omega ; \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i v a s ~ \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi \theta \hat{\omega}$ 入óyous ；


$\mu \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda i ́ \sigma \kappa о \iota s \dot{\alpha} \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha}$


1122．$\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i \nu$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．］It is difficult to believe，with Porson at Hec．1010，that this line is taken from the Andromeda． More probably，if not composed by Ari－ stophanes in the style of Euripides，it was borrowed，like 1130 infra，from some other of the Poet＇s tragedies．The
 Orestes 1050，and has already been em－ ployed by Aristophanes in Birds 1758.

1123．$\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \sigma \pi o ́ \delta \rho^{\prime}$ к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．］That is，$\epsilon i \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \pi$
 rpijaas（haviny bored a hole through the plank）є＇$\dot{\circ} \pi \iota \sigma \theta \in($ from behind）$\pi \rho \dot{\kappa} \kappa \tau \iota \sigma о \nu$.

1126．то̀ кєта入ウ́ к．т．入．］I will cut off your head with this cutlass，$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa є \phi a \lambda \eta \nu$


1130．бкаเō̄б九 زáp］This line，as the Scholiast points out，is found in Medea 299，

## 



And Bergler refers to Athenaeus x． 43.


But this is an obvious parody of the passage in the Medea，and cannot be rightly ascribed to Sophocles．

1132．$\pi$ робоьтtéol］He borrows the word $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ from 1130 supra，but applies it in a slightly different sense； a sense in which he himself employs it in Tph．in Taur．112．The expression $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi$ é $\rho \in \iota \nu \quad \mu \eta \chi a v a s^{s}$ tive is a metaphor， drawn from assailants who are bringing their warlike engines to bear upon a hostile fortification．And therefore in the Clouds（479－81），when Socrates is inquiring into the intellectual character of Strepsiades，



MN．$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma o$ П $\epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \hat{v} \mu^{\prime}$ ตंs кат $\alpha \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \iota S \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda i ́ \alpha \nu$.
$\Sigma \mathrm{K}$ ．${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \sigma \grave{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma \alpha \nu$ ध́ $\pi \iota \tau v \mu \epsilon i \bar{S} \lambda \alpha \beta \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ ；

$\delta \epsilon \bar{v} \rho o ~ к \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \nu o ́ \mu o s ~ e ́ s ~ \chi o p o ̀ v, ~$
$\pi \alpha \rho \theta$ ย́ $\nu o \nu$ ä ${ }^{\prime} v \gamma \alpha$ кои́ $\rho \eta \nu$,
$\grave{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$＇́ $\chi \in \iota \quad[\sigma \tau \rho, \alpha$
каі кра́тоs ф $\alpha \nu \epsilon \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \mu o ́ \nu \eta$
$\kappa \lambda \eta \delta o \hat{\chi} \chi$ ós $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon і ̈ \tau \alpha l$ ．

Strepsiades immediately exclaims in alarm тí $\delta \epsilon ́ ; ~ \tau \epsilon \iota \chi o \mu a \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \mu o \iota ~ \delta \iota a \nu o \epsilon i ̂, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu ;$

The engine＂befitting＂the gross and licentious character of the Scythian is itself so gross and licentious as to cast a dark shadow over the concluding scenes of the Play．
 monkey，Wasps 1290．Euripides now leaves the stage．The line which Mnesilochus flings after him，though not actually taken from the Andromeda，is probably a reminiscence of the virgin＇s address to Perseus as he is going forth to do battle with the monster．

1135．$\tau \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \iota \gamma a \nu$ èmıтv $\mu \epsilon i s]$ Tク̀̀ $\mu a ́ \sigma-$ $\tau \iota \gamma a$ є $\pi ө \theta v \mu \epsilon i \bar{s}$ ．
1136．Пàдáóa к．т．入．］Euripides having departed to perfect his new device，Mnesilochus and the Scythian relapse into their former condition．The action is therefore for the moment at a standstill，and the Chorus take the opportunity of singing a little ode， mostly in glyconics and dactylics．It consists of two addresses；the finst to Athene，the חodıồos of the City：the
second to the two Thesmophorian Goddesses，Demeter and Persephone． One would have expected these addresses to be antistrophical，but it is certain that they were not intended to be so． The hymn to the Twain iṣ almost en－ tirely dactylic，and is singularly light and airy，even more so，perhaps，than the dactylics in the last song which the Athenian Chorus sing in the closing scene of the Lysistrata．The hymn to Athene is more complicated．If we omit the two bacchic dimeters，$u--1$ $\cup--(1143,1144)$ ，it consists of three glyconic triplets，though indeed the first triplet，having always a dactyl for its base，may perhaps be more naturally described as dactylic．The－xopov in фi入óxopov is merely a long syllable re－ solved into two short ones．As to the application of this epithet to Pallas， see the note on 973 supra．

1138．$\left.\pi a \rho \theta_{i}^{\prime} \nu o \nu\right]$ The late Bp．Words－ worth of Lincoln，in the sixteenth chapter of his＂Athens and Attica，＂

$\phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta \theta^{\prime}$ 命 тupávvous<br>$\sigma \tau u \gamma 0 \hat{v} \sigma^{\prime} \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ єikós.<br>$\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ ós тоí $\sigma \in \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ yvval-<br><br><br><br><br><br>${ }^{\text {oै } \rho \gamma \iota \alpha ~} \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \alpha i ้$, ì $\nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \iota$

describes in a very felicitous manner the three famous statues of Athene in the Acropolis，and their influence in Hel－ lenic literature，with special reference to Knights 1169－1180．And even in a passage like the present，possibly with－ out any express allusion being intended， the epithets are natmally determined by the same triple presentment of the national goddess．The Map $\theta$ évos is the Maiden of the Parthenon：she who
 Erectheum ；and the Goddess who alone is the manifest strength and bulwark of Athens is the great Athene Proma－ chus，the colossal statue of bronze，the point of whose glittering spear，and the crest of whose burnished helm，became visible to the approaching mariner soon after he had rounded the promontory of Sunium．

1142．к $\lambda \eta \delta \delta \bar{\chi} \chi o s]$ The Keeper of the Keys；the Warder or Chatelaine of Athens．See Bp．Lowth on Isaiah xxii． 22．We may conclude，from the use of the word кaлєital，that this was a re－ cognized appellation of the goddess．

1143．тupávoous $\sigma \tau v \gamma o \bar{v} \sigma$＇］This is，very
probably，a mere ordinary democratic compliment on the part of the $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu o s$ $\gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ．Yet there may possibly be a reference to the downfall of the Four Hundred，which occurred a few months previously，and produced，Thucydides tells us，an unwonted hamony and good feeling amongst all classes of the citizens．Thuc．viii． 97.
 ing Peace．The comedy of the＂Peace＂ forms the best commentary on this epithet．

1148．$\tilde{\eta}^{\kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon}$ к．т．入．］This little hymn to Demeter and Persephone，even if its ideas are borrowed from the Thesmo－ phorian service，cannot really belong to the Intermediate Day，the day of Persephone＇s absence．On the ópyut $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̀ \theta \epsilon a i \nu$ see the note on 974 supra．

1153．i้ La ］＂Oォov．－Scholiast．＂Ubi facibus（inter fuces）ostenditis immor－ talem faciem＂Kuster．Torches were a general accompaniment to the worship of Demeter and Persephone ；and their סáooũos was a very important ofticial in the celebration of the Eleusinian Mysteries．

 $\mu o ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau о \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau o \nu, \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \grave{\omega}$ Өєбнофо́ра тодитотлía， $\epsilon i$ к $\alpha_{\imath}^{\imath} \pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о ́ \nu ~ \pi о \tau^{\prime}$ є̀ $\pi \eta \kappa о ́ \omega$ ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{2} \theta \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu,{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ，वं $\phi i ́ \kappa \in \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ iкє－ 


$\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \grave{\alpha} s \pi o \iota \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ُ ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon}, \nu v \nu \grave{~} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \alpha$, ＇$\phi^{\prime} \omega_{\varphi}^{\top} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{v} \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \grave{\alpha}$





1157．＇̇пŋкко́ш］In ansucer to our payers．

1160．EY．］Euripides，who has al－ ready entered as Menelaus and as Perseus，now enters for the last time disguised as an old musie－woman，and accompanied by an actor dressed up as one of those $\dot{\delta} \rho \chi \eta \sigma \tau \rho i \delta \epsilon s$ who were con－ stantly associated with Athenian revelry， and who were generally persons of in－ different repute．See Ach．1093，Clouds 996，Frogs 514－516，542－548．The dancing－girl is not represented by one of the three Actors provided by the State：they are now taking the parts of Euripides，Mnesiloehus，and the Scythian；she is represented by a Choregie Actor，that is to say by an additional actor supplied by the Chore－ gus at his own expense．Euripides does not begin to talk in his new eharacter until twelve lines later，where the

Seholiast says Eupınió ${ }^{s} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota$ $\pi \rho о a \gamma \omega \gamma o \hat{v}$ үраós．

1163．таи̂т＇＇̇тıкприкє́voцаı］He speaks as a belligerent offering terms in the ordinary fashion through a herald．
 Scholiast．What ye do in your houses； how ye carry on at home in their absence．

1172．＇E入ci申ıov］＇Etaipas övo $\mu$ а＇E入ć－
 Cf．supra 289．The reader must beware of supposing that these diminutives have any reference to stature：they are merely pet－names．

1174．¿̀ако́入тааоор］Tuck up your skirts for the dance，by drawing the dress up， and letting it fall in a loose fold，кó $\lambda \pi$ os， over your girdle．

1175．є̇тàaфи́бa Пєрбıкó̀］He bids the piper play the accompaniment to the Persian dance ；the music to which the Пєроько̀ óp $\rho \eta \mu a$ was danced．Com－
$\kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ิ s \dot{\alpha} \kappa о и ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \tau^{\prime} \cdot \stackrel{\eta}{\eta} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \pi i \theta \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu о \iota$,


XO．$\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ l＇$\sigma \theta \iota \sigma 0 \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha$ ．




$\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime}, \grave{\omega} \mathrm{T} \epsilon \rho \eta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu, \epsilon \in \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ Пє $\epsilon \sigma \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$.
ミK．тí тò $\beta$ ó $\mu \beta$ о то̂̂тo；к⿳⺈ $\mu$ о тís $\alpha \nu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i ̂ p i ́ ~ \mu o \iota: ~$


pare the use of $\pi v \rho \rho i \chi \eta$ in Frogs 153. The dance is described by Nenophon， Anabasis V．ix． 9 （cf．Athenaeus，i．27）， ＂Then，＂says he，＂he danced the Per－ sian dance，rattling his two targes together，and he kept sinking down on his haunches，and springing up again，
 rhythmically to the sound of an aìdós．＂ This sinking on the haunches seems to have been the special peculiarity of the Persian dance，so that the entire dance， as the Scholiast here observes，sometimes went by the name of öкдаб $\mu a$ ．See Pollux iv．segm．100．The same dance is described by Heliodorus under the name of＂Assyrian．＂Kaì тoùs $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ aùvoù





 iv．17．We may perhaps infer from this passage，coupled with 1217 infra， that while Teredon played the aùdos， Euripides himself was playing the $\pi \eta \kappa \pi$ is or Lydian lute．Teredon seems，as Fritzsche observes，to be the name of a man，like Sarpedon，and not，as the Scholiast supposed，the name of a woman．And indeed it is very unlikely that Euripides and Elaphium were ac： companied by a piper of their own ： the appeal is doubtless made to the theatrical aủ入ทrigs．See Eccl． 891 and the note there．

1176．тí rò ßó $\mu \beta$ к．т．ג．］Tis ó ßó $\mu$ Bos
 signifies the sound of the aỉdos，as Bergler remarks，referring to Ach． 866

 symposium．




 к人́тๆбо ко́т $\eta \sigma o, \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \iota ~ \nu \alpha i ̀, ~ \tau \cup \gamma a ́ \tau \rho \iota o \nu . ~$


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa u ́ \pi \tau \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa u ́ \pi \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \psi \omega \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ оऽ． $\epsilon i ̄ \epsilon \nu \cdot \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta}$ тò $\sigma \kappa \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \tau o \nu$.



 qua est morum elegantia，Elaphium puellam eamque saltatricem mirabun－ dus，dicit tanta esse agilitate，quanta in lecto pulicem，eximium profecto sal－ tatorem．＂－Fritzsche．This is perhaps a little hard upon the Scythian＇s manners， for the dancing－girl，now subsiding to the ground，now bounding up，and whirling round with her whole body in motion，might not altogether inaptly be compared to＂a flea upon a blanket．＂ Aristophanes was apparently the first to use this metaphor，which in modern times is not uneommon．In St．Ronar＇s Well，chap．viii，a lawyer，speaking of the diftieulty of eatching a poacher， says＂A poacher may just jink ye back and forward like a flea in a blanket （wi＇pardon），hap ye out of ae county and into anither．＂And the same metaphor is employed at the end of the
first chapter of Redgauntlet．
1181．кará $\theta_{0} \nu \mu^{\prime} \nu$ ］I have substituted these words for the $\begin{gathered}a \\ \nu\end{gathered} \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ of the MSS． and editions，which seems to give no sense．It is plain that Elaphium is here directed to lay aside her upper garment，which she resumes in 1189 infra．And for this process kaadáoov is the regular word．кatú $\begin{aligned} & \text { ov } \\ & \text { taXé } \omega s \text { soi－}\end{aligned}$ дátıov Plutus 926 ；where indeed the next direction is（very much as here）
 vvv катá日ov өoipítıov．The ostensible purpose for which Elaphium is to dis－ card her upper garment and her shoes is that she may execute the evolutions of the dance with still greater rapidity ； and Euripides accordingly calls upon the piper to quicken his tune，aṽ入є $\sigma \dot{v}$ Aâtrov．But this is not the real purpose， and it is not even quite certain that she does in fact dance any more．All this is merely a bait to beguile the Scythian from his duty．


ミK．vaì vaîkı $\delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ ．Er．т $\alpha$ руv́pıov тоívvv ф＇́pє．
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 $\tau \iota \theta$ iov，$̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ زo $\gamma \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta$ ，firm as a turnip．

1187．ка入ó к．т．入．］К $a \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \dot{\eta} \pi v \gamma \eta$ ．
 ＂Mutonem ipsum alloquitur，＂says Fritzsche，referring to Horace Sat．I．ii． 68，＇mala ei maxima minitans，nisi quieverit．＂àvакúттєє каі̀ таракúттєє
 ка入̀̀̀ тò $\sigma \chi \bar{\eta} \mu a \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \sigma \theta \iota o \nu$ ．Some think that these observations are made as Elaphium is whirling romed in the dance ；but I doubt if she ever leaves the Scythian after line 1182.

1190．оủki $\pi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma t$ ］Oủxi $\phi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon t s$ ，or $\phi i \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ ．And，two lines below，$\omega$
 sweet as the honey of Hymettus．So in the Truculentus of Plautus II．iv．20， Dinarchus，receiving the loviug embrace of his mistress，exclaims＂Ah ！hoc est mel melle dulci dulcius．＂

1195．kápıбo］Xápıoo，grant me this
farour．$\delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ in the following line stands for $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ ．
 à入入à тìv $\sigma \iota \beta \dot{v} \nu \eta \nu$ 入aß́é．But $I$ have not yot uny；but take this bou－case．$\sigma v \beta \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta$ is a barbarous form of $\sigma \iota \beta i n \eta$ ，which here，as the Scholiast observes，is eruiva－ lent to тogo $\theta_{i j k \eta \text { ，the case which held }}$ the bow and sometimes the arrows also． It was doubtless the only article of value which the Scythian had to offer ； and he would naturally be responsible to the State for its safety．And this is why he is so anxious to get it back；
 me have it back again，when I bring you the drachma．

1198．а̇ко入ои́ть к．т．入．］＇Акодой $\theta є \iota$ тє́к－
 тípєь．Keep an eye on the prisoner． M $\epsilon \mu \nu \bar{\eta} \sigma \iota$ ，a line or two below，represents $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a t$ ，I＇ll remember．The Scythian and Elaphium leave the stage，and Euri－ pides and Mnesilochus are there alone．










1202．＇E $£ \mu \bar{\eta}$ סót $\epsilon \epsilon]$ It need hardly be mentioned that סódıos（the God of craft） was one of the special appellations of Hermes，cf．Plutus 1157，Frogs 1143， 1144．How worthily he earned the title on the very day of his birth，we learn from the Homeric Hymn in his honour．
1203．$\sigma \grave{v} \mu \hat{v} \nu$ oủ $\begin{gathered}\text { k．т．入．］This derisive }\end{gathered}$ exclamation，like that in 1226 infra，is flung after the Scythian who has just disappeared．＂You be off with the girl， and $I$ will release your prisoner．＂Tu iyitur hinc proripe cursu，puella hàc sumptâ：ego verosolvam hune（Kuster）．So all the earlier Commentators．Fritzsche， however，who is followed by the more recent editors，considers $\pi a \delta o$ ápıo to be the vocative addressed to Teredon，You， loy，be off，taking this；the Scholiast ex－ plaining тovit by $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ то $\xi_{0} \neq \dot{j} k \eta \nu$ ，and，as
 The reason for this construction is that （to use the words of Enger）＂$\pi a \iota \delta$ ípuov $^{\prime}$ semper puerum significat．＂But this is a heresy against which the ancient grammarians with one voice protest．In
other dialects，they say，$\pi a \Delta o$ ápoo always means a loy；in the Attic dialect it means either a boy or a giv．$\pi a \delta o ̂ ́ p ı o \nu$

 Hudson cites Clemens Alex．Paedagog．

 каì тò $\theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \nu \quad$ кєк $\lambda \eta \kappa$ ќ́vau．See also Pierson＇s note on the same passage．Photius says
 Өク̈̀дv $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota$. And so Pollux，Suidas， and others．All that Fritzsche can oppose to this array of authorities is the feeble remark，that none of them state＂puellam istâ voce saepenumero indicatain esse．＂ And indeed he himself admits that＂per
 mais，non minus quam $\dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}, \pi a i ̂ s$, tam puellas quam pueros significare potest．＂ And doubtless it signifies the dancing－ girl here．



 re－enters with Elaphium，to find that he


＇А $А р \tau \alpha о ч \xi i ́ \alpha$.


ой $\mu о \iota$ ，
тí $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota ; \pi 0 \hat{\imath}$ тò $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \alpha i \iota$ ；＇A $\rho \tau \alpha \mu о v \xi i ́ \alpha$ ．



has been a mere dupe；and that his prisoner；and the old woman who had undertaken to guard him，have，during his absence，disappeared together．

1214．סเє́ßu入入є́］＇Е $\xi \eta \pi a ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．ó aủtòs
 －Scholiast．Incensed at discovering that Elaphium was acting as a bait to lure him from his post，the Scythian turns angrily upon her，＂eamque，＂to use Fritzsche＇s language，＂facessere hinc atque abire Morbonian jubet＂； àmóт $\boldsymbol{\chi} \chi$ ’̀ $\dot{\text { s } \tau \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \sigma v ́ . ~ C f . ~ s u p r a ~} 1203$.

 ráp（for it played me a foul trich，that is，deceived me）．Or it may mean She （the old woman）played me a foul trick． ＂Pharetram，quam $\sigma v \beta i v \eta \nu$ appellat Scytha，＂says Brunck，＂є̇ $\pi \dot{\omega} v v \mu o r ~ e s s e$, recteque sic appellari dicit，vel quia， hoc pignore dato，meretriculam éßiv $\quad$ б $\epsilon$ ， vel potius，quia ipse quodammodo ката－ $\beta \in \beta i \nu \eta$ тat．Scilicet anus，accepto hoc pignore，os ei sublevit，eumque ludibrio habuit，quod verbo катußıveiv exprimit．＂ ovßivn，I may explain，is Brunck＇s alter－
ation of the MS．voß $\quad$ riv．In the next line $\tau i \delta \rho a ̂ \sigma ı$ stands for $\tau i \delta \rho c i \sigma \omega$ ．

1217．тàs $\pi \eta к \tau i \delta a s ;]$ The instrument called the $\pi \eta \kappa \pi i s$ ，or the $\pi \eta \kappa \tau i \delta \epsilon s$（Pollux， iv．chap．9），was a Lydian lute from which，according to Pindar（Athenaeus， xiv．37），Terpander derived the idea of the Greek $\beta$ ápßırod．Cf．Müller＇s Greek Literature，xii．4．Photius describes it
 $\mu \in \nu o \nu$. Herodotus（i．17）tells us that the armies of Alyattes，the King of Lydia and father of Croesus，marched on to the sound $\sigma \nu \rho i \gamma \gamma \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa$ каi $\pi \eta \kappa \tau i \delta \omega \nu$ ． Athenaeus，in the chapter mentioned above，refers $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi$ тapù $\Lambda v \delta o i ̄ s ~ \pi \eta к \tau i o ́ ، . ~ I n ~$ the previous chapter he had cited from the Mysians of Sophocles ：

```
\pio\lambdaùs \deltaè Ф\rhov̀\xi т\rhoi\gamma\omega>os, á\nuri\sigma\pia\sigma\tauá т\epsilon
```



And in the twenty－first chapter of the same book he quotes from Telestes ：



And sce the note on 1175 supra．



＇A $\rho \tau \alpha \mu о v \xi i ́ \alpha$ ．
 $\tau \eta \delta i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \omega \mathfrak{\xi} \epsilon \iota \varsigma: \tau 0 v ้ \mu \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota S$ $\sigma \dot{v} \gamma \epsilon$ ．

 єỉX $\bar{\chi} \nu)$ o $\gamma \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ ；An old men in a yellovo gown？

1221．Tavzni］That the Chorus have only succeeded in bewildering the Scythian is plain from the following line，$O$ luckless me，which is the way she went？And Dobree therefore supposes that the rav́ry in this line indicates a different route from that which is indicated by the ravity of line 1218. But it seems more probable that on each occasion the vague and inde－ finite gesture of the Coryphaeus left in doubt which exit he intended to designate．

1223．${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$ ä $\left.\nu \omega\right]$ Straight up the hill ； doubtless，as Bothe observes，pointing towards the Acropolis，on the side of which the theatre was constructed． On $\pi$ roi $\theta$ єis；the Scholiast observes $\dot{\omega}$


withstanding the confusing directions of the Chorus，he has accidentally stumbled upon the right track，and has to be promptly recalled．

1225．ả̀入̀̀ $\left.\tau \rho \epsilon \xi^{\prime} \iota\right]$ At interim currit Artamuxia．－Brunck．But while I am delaying，Artamuxia is menning．$\tau \rho \in \xi^{\prime}$ is the third person singular：see the note on 1007 supra．Some alter à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ into ä $\lambda \lambda a$ ，alià aufugit Artamuxia （Kuster），but this is no improvement． The Scythian now runs out by the opposite route to that which the fugitives have taken．

1226．＇̇Tovpíaras］＂Scudding before the favouring breezes，＂＂with the wind in your sails，＂secundis ventis，or，in other words，＂as quickly as you can．＂The second t $\rho \in ́ \chi \epsilon \quad \nu \nu \nu$ was added by Brunck， since the line is obviously an iambic senarius．With the expression in the next line $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi н \sigma \tau \tau a t \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i \omega s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ compare the concluding line of the Clouds，$\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon i \sigma \theta^{\prime}$

$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \quad \mu \in \tau \rho i ́ \omega s$ 立 $\mu \hat{\nu}$ ．
 оїк $\alpha \delta^{\prime}$ є́кќ $\sigma т!$ ．
$\tau \grave{\omega} \Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о ф \dot{\rho} \rho \omega \delta^{\gamma} \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \quad \alpha \quad \alpha \alpha \theta \grave{\eta} \nu$
тои́т $\omega \nu \chi^{\alpha} \rho \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \pi$ оסoít $\eta \nu$ ．
 $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ ．

1228．Ẅpa ס $\hat{\eta} \tau$＇Є＇бтi］S＇o that in ver？ truth（referring to the words of Euri－
 $\zeta \epsilon(\nu)$ it is time for us to be yoiny，erch to her own home．The MSS，read ${ }^{\omega} p$ o $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ＇rrt，which Bothe and others have cor－
rected into $\check{\omega} \rho a \delta \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$＇́vri，because we should here expect a full inapaestic dimeter，rather than a paroemiac verse． And I have followed their correction for the further reason that $\delta \bar{\eta} r u$ is almost invariably found in repetitions of this kind，as，for example，in lines 739， 740 supra，

Woman．$\quad$ парáßa入入є по入入às $п \lambda \eta \mu a t i \delta a s, ~ \tilde{\omega}$ Mavía．
Mnesilochus．тара́ßa入入є $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ ．
And in Peace 977，978，

And（to take an example from Tragedy）in Eur．Electra 672，673，



Yea in very truth uccept it．Fea in rery ruth have mercy upon us．
 giving them the victory in the theatrical contest．No record of the issue has
come down to our times，but we camnot doubt that their wish was fulfilled，and that the prize was not withheld from what is one of the wittiest and pleasantest specimens of Aristophanic comedy．

# EURIPIDES <br> AND 

## THE WOMEN OF ATHENS

A FREE TRANSLATION<br>of the

THESMOPHORIAZUSAE OF ARISTOPHANES

## NOTICE

The greater part of this translation was composed from memory, when the translator had no copy of Aristophanes at hand. Consequently, it everywhere departs from the sense of the original ; words, sentences, and even whole speeches have been omitted, transposed, or added; actives are changed into passives, and viee versa; and sometimes the English goes elean contrary to the meaning of the Greek. It was completed from a copy of Bekker's Aristophanes, the text and arrangement of which differ widely from the text and arrangement of the present edition. If therefore any eritic should observe that there is hardly a line in the translation which represents the exact meaning of the original, let him remember that the translator heartily agrees with him ; labet confitentem reum. Nevertheless it is hoped that even from this inaccurate version, a reader, taking the Play as a whole, may obtain an idea, not altogether inaccurate, of the Thesmophoriazusae of Aristophanes.

## CHARACTERS OF THE DRAMA

## MEN.

Euripides, the famous Tragic Poet.
Mnesilochus, his connexion by marriage.
PGathon, another well-known Tragic Poet.
Agathon's Servant.
Cleisthenes, an effeminate Athenian.
A Policeman, really an important Athenian Magistrate, but in this version taking a more homely position.
A Scythian, one of the Scythian archers, the real Athenian police.

## WOMEN.

Choris of Athenian matrons celebrating the Thesmophoria, or festival of Demeter and Persephone as givers of home and social customs.

A Crieress.
Mica.
Second Woman.
Critylla.
Есно, heard but not seen.
Hop-o-my-Thumb, a dancing-girl, seen but not heard.
Several Athenian women, attendants on the principal Women; amongst them, Mica's nursemaid.

## THE THESMOPHORIAZUSAE

Tiw eldenly men are discovered, when the Play opens, pacing along an Atherian street. In one, both by his gait and by his language, we at once recognize a Philosopher and a Genius. His companion is a garrulous and cheery old man, evidently tired out by a long promenade. They prove to be the poet Euripides, and Mnesilochus his connexion by marriage, in the translation inaccurately styled his cousin. The latter is the first to speak.

Mn. Zeus! is the swallow never going to come? Tramped up and down since daybreak! I can't stand it.
Might I, before my wind's entirely gone, Ask where you're taking me, Euripides?
Eur. You're not to hear the things which face to face You're going to see. Mn. What! Please say that again. I'm not to hear? Evr. The things which you shall see.
Mn. And not to see? Eur. The things which you shall hear.
Mn. A pleasant jest! a mighty pleasant jest! I'm not to hear or see at all, I see.
Eur. (In high philosophic rhapsody.)
To hear! to see! full different things, I ween ; Yea verily, generically diverse.
Mn. What's "diverse"? Eur. I will explicate my meaning. When Ether ${ }^{1}$ first was mapped and parcelled out, And living creatures breathed and moved in her, She, to give sight, implanted in their heads The Eye, a mimic circlet of the Sun, And bored the funnel of the Ear, to hear with.

[^13]Mn. Did she! That's why I'm not to hear or see! I'm very glad to get that information. O, what a thing it is to talk with Poets!
Eur. Mueh of such knowledge I shall give you. Mn. (Invohntarily.) O ! Then p'raps (excuse me) you will tell me how Not to be lame to-morrow, after this.
EUR. (Loftily disregarding the innuendo.)
Come here and listen. Mn. (Courteously.) Certainly I will.
Eur. See you that wicket? Mn. Why, by Heraeles, Of course I do. Eur. Be still. Mn. Be still the wicket?
Eur. And most attentive. Mn. Still attentive wieket?
Eur. There dwells, observe, the famous Agathon,
The Tragic Poet. Mn. (Considering.) Agathon. Don't know him.
Etr. He is that Agathon- Mn. (Iuterrupting.) Dark, brawny fellow?
Eur. O no, quite different; don't you know him really ?
Mn. Big-whiskered fellow! Eur. Don't you know him really?
M土. No. (Thinks again.) No, I don't; at least I don't remember.
Eur. (Severely.) I fear there's much you don't remember, sir.
But step aside: I see his servant coming.
See, he has myrtles and a pan of coals
To pray, methinks, for favourable rhymes.
(The two retire into the background. Agathon's servant enters from the house.
Servant. All people be still!
Allow not a word from your lips to be heard,
For the Muses are here, and are making their odes
In my Master's abodes.
Let Ether be lulled, and forgetful to blow,
And the blue sea-waves, let them cease to flow,
And be moiseless. Mn. Fudge! Eur. Hush, hush, if you please.
Serv. Sleep, birds of the air, with your pinions at ease ;
Sleep, beasts of the field, with entranquillized feet;
Sleep, Sleep, and be still. Mn. Fudge, Fudge, I repeat.

Serv. For the soft and the terse professor of verse,
Our Agathon now is about to- Mn. (Scandalized ${ }^{1}$.) No, no! 50
Serv. What's that? Mn. 'Twas the ether, forgetting to blow!
SERV. (Beginning pettishly, but soon falling back into his former tone.)
I was going to say he is going to lay
The stocks and the scaffolds for building a Play.
And neatly he hews them, and sweetly he glues them,
And a proverb he takes, and an epithet makes,
And he moulds a most waxen and delieate song,
And he tunnels, and funnels, and- Mn. Does what is wrong.
Serv. What clown have we here, so close to our eaves?
Mn. Why, one who will take you and him, by your leaves,
Both you and your terse professor of verse,
And with blows and with knoeks set you both on the stoeks,
And tunnel and funnel, and pummel, and worse.
Serv. Old man, you must have been a rare pert youngster.
Eur. O, heed not him; but quickly call me out
Your master Agathon ; do pray make haste.
Serv. No need of prayer: he's coming forth directly.
He's moulding odes; and in the cold hard winter
He cannot turn, and twist, and shape his strophes
Until they are warmed and softened in the Sun.
(The strvant goes back into the house.)
Mn. And what am I to do: Eur. Y'ou're to keep quiet.
O Zens! the Hour is come, and so's the Man!
Mn. O, what's the matter :' what disturbs you so ?
O, tell me what: I really want to know.
Come, I'm your cousin ; won't you tell your cousin :
Eún. There's a great danger brewing for my life.
Mn. O, tell your cousin what. Eur. This hour deeides

[^14]Whether Euripides shall live or die.
Mn. Why, how is that? There's no tribunal sitting, No Court, no Council, will be held to-day. "Ilis the Mid-Fast, the third Home-Festival.
Eur. It is ! it is ! I wish enough it wasn't. For on this day the womankind have sworn
To hold a great assembly, to discuss
How best to serve me out. Mn. Good gracious! Why?
Eur. (With the mild surprise of injured innocence.)
Because, they say, I write lampoons upon them.
Mn. Zeus and Poseidon! they may well say that. But tell your cousin what you mean to do.
Eur. I want to get the poet Agathon To go among them. Mx. Tell your cousin why.
Euts. To mingle in the Assembly, perhaps to speak On my behalf. Mn. What, openly, do you mean?
Eur. O no, disguised : dressed up in woman's clothes.
Mn. A bright idea that, and worthy you: For in all craftiness we take the cake.
(By a contrivance very common in ancient theatres, a portion of Agathon's house is here wheeled forward, turning on a pivot, so as to disclose the interior of an apartment. The poet is cliscovered, surrounded by the most effeminate luxuries, and in the act of writing a Tragic Play. He has just composed, and is now about to recite, a little lyrical dialogue between his Chorus and one of his actors.)
Eur. O, hush! Mn. What now? Eur. Here's Agathon himself.
Mn. Where? Which? Eur. Why there: the man in the machine.
Mn. O dear, what ails me? Am I growing blind?
I see Cyrene ${ }^{1}$; but I see no man.
Eur. Do, pray, be silent; he's just going to sing.
(Agathon gives a fantastic little trill.)
Mn. Is it "the Pathway of the Ants," or what? 100

[^15](Agathon now sings his little dialogue in a soft womanly roice and with pretty effeminn'e gestures.)
Agathon. (As actor.) Moxe ye slowly, with the holy Torehlight dear to Aufful Shavles:
Singing sucelly, tlancing feally, Yes, and neatly, freeborn maids.
(As Chorus.) Whose the song of festal praise? Only tell us, we are zealous Evermore our liymns to raise.
(As actor.) Siug of Leto ${ }^{1}$, sing of Thee too, Aicher of the goliden bour, - Bright Apollo, in the hollow Glades where Itian rivers flow, Building buildings, long ago. (As Chorus.) Raise the music, softly suelling

To the fame of Leto's name, To the God in song excelling, Brightest he, of all there be, Giving gifts of minstrelsy. (As actor:) Sing the maiden, quiver-laden,

From the woolland oaks emerying,
Haunted shartes of mountain gladtes, Artemis, the ever Tirgin.
(As Chorus.) He rejoice, heart and roice,
Hymining, praising, gently phrusing.
Her, the maiden quiver-laden.
(As actor.) Soft pulsation of the Asian
Lyre, to which the llancers go, When the high and holy Giraces Weave their swiftly whirling paces, Phrygian measure, to and fro.

- Leto does not, in the original, assume this prominent position ; she is here, as elsewhere, placed in the background, as subordinate to her own children.
(As Chorus.) Lyre Elysian, heavenly visiou, When thy witching tones arise, Comes the light of joy and glarluess Flashing from immortal eyes. Eyes will glisten, eurs will listen, When our manful numbers ring. Mighty master, Sou of Leto, Thine the glory, Thou the King. (Mnesilochus utters a cry of delight.)
Mn. Wonderful! Wonderful!
How sweet, how soft, how ravishing the strain!
What melting words! and as I heard them sung,
Ye amorous Powers, there crept upon my soul
A pleasant, dreamy, rapturous titillation.
And now, dear youth, for I would question thee
And sift thee with the words of Aeschylus, Whence art thou, what thy country, what thy garb?
Why all this wondrous medley? Lyre and silks,
A minstrel's lute, a maiden's netted hair,
Girdle and wrestler's oil! a strange conjunction.
How comes a sword beside a looking-glass?
What art thou, man or woman? If a man,
Where are his elothes? his red Laconian shoes?
If woman, 'tis not like a woman's shape.
What art thou, speak; or if thou tell me not,
Myself must guess thy gender from thy song.
AG. Old man, old man, my ears receive the words Of your tongue's utterance, yet I heed them not.
I choose my dress to suit my poesy.
A poet, sir, must needs adapt his ways
To the high thoughts which animate his soul.
And when he sings of women, he assumes
A woman's garb, and dons a woman's habits.

Mr. (Aside to Eur.) When you wrote Phaedra ${ }^{1}$, did you take her hahits?
Ag. But when he sings of men, his whole appearance Conforms to man. What nature gives us not, The human soul aspires to imitate.
Mn. (As before.) Zounds, if I'd seen you when you wrote the Satyrs!
Ag. Besides, a poet never should be rough,
Or harsh, or rugged. Witness to my words
Anacreon, Alcaeus, Ibycus,
Who when they filtered and diluted song, Wore soft Ionian manners and attire.
And Phrynichus, perhaps you have seen him, sir, How fair he was, and beautifully dressed ; Therefore his Plays were beautifully fair. For as the Worker, so the Work will be.
Mn. Then that is why harsh Philocles writes harshly, And that is why vile Xenocles writes vilely, And cold Theognis writes such frigid Plays.
Ag. Yes, that is why. And I perceiving this Made myself womanlike. Mn. My goodness, how :
Eur. O, stop that yapping: in my youthful days I too was such another one as he.
Mn. Good gracious! I don't envy you your schooling.
Eur. (Sharply.) Pray, let us come to business, sir. Mn. Say ou.
Eur. A wise man, Agathon, compacts his words, And many thoughts compresses into few.
So, I in my extremity am come
To ask a favour of you. Ag. Tell me what.
Eur. The womankind at their Home-feast to-day Are going to pay me out for my lampoons.
Ag. That's bad indeed, but how can I assist you ?

[^16]Eur. Why every way. If you'll disguise yourself, And sit among them like a woman born, And plead my cause, you'll surely get me off. There's none but you to whom I dare entrust it.
Ag. Why don't you go yourself, and plead your cause
Ecr. I'll tell you why. They know me well by sight;
And I am gray, you see, and bearded too, But you've a baby face, a treble voice, A fair complexion, pretty, smooth, and soft.
(After a short pause Agathon bethinks him of a famous line in the Alcestis of Euripides.)
Ag. Euripides! Eur. Yes. Ag. Wasn't it you who wrote You value life; do you think your father doesn't?
Eur. It was: what then? Af. Expect not me to bear Your burdens; that were foolishness indeed. Each man must bear his sorrows for himself. And troubles, when they come, must needs be met By manful acts, and not by shifty tricks.
Mn. 'Aye, true for you, your wicked ways are shown
By sinful acts, and not by words alone.
Eur. But tell me really why you fear to go.
Ag. They'd serve me worse than you. Eur. How so? Ag. How so ? I'm too much like a woman, and they'd think That I was come to poach on their preserves.
Mn. Well, I must say that's not a bad excuse.
Eur. Then won't you really help? Ag. I really won't.
Eur. Thrice luckless I! Euripides is done for !
Mx. O friend! O cousin! don't lose heart like this.

Eur. Whatever can I do? Mn. Bid him go hang! See, here am I ; deal with me as you please.

- Elur. (Striking while the iron is hot.) Well, if you'll really give yourself to me, First throw aside this overcloke. Mn. 'Tis done. But how are you going to treat me? Eerk. Shave you here,

And singe you down below. Mn. (Aragnanimously.) Well, do your worst; I've said you may, and I'll go through with it.
Eur. You've always, Agathon, got a razor handy;
Lend us one, will you? Ac. Take one for yourself,
Out of the razor-case. Eur. Obliging Youth !
(To Mn.) Now sit you down,
(Mnesilochus seats himself in a chair.) and puff your right cheek out.
Mx. Oh! Eur. What's the matter? Shut your mouth, or else I'll clap a gag in. M.n. Lackalackaday !
(He jumps up, and ruens avedy
Eur. Where are you fleeing? Mr. To sanctuary I.
Shall I sit quiet to he hacked like that?
Demeter, no! Eur. 'Think how absurd you'll look, With one cheek shaven, and the other not.
Mn. (Doggedly.) Well; I don't care. Eur. O, by the Gods, come back.
Pray don't forsake me. Mn. Miserable me!
(He resumes his seat. Euripides goes on with the shavirus.
Eur. Sit steady; raise your chin ; don't wriggle so.
Mn. (Wincing.) O tchi, tchi, tchi! Eur. There, there, it's over now.
Mn. And I'm, worse luck, a Rifled Volunteer.
Eur. Well, never mind ; you're looking beautiful.
Glance in this mirror. Mn. Well then, hand it here.
Eur. What see you there? Mn. (In disgust.) Not me, but Cleisthenes ${ }^{1}$.
Eur. Get up : bend forward. I've to singe you now.
Mn. O me, you'll scald me like a sucking-pig.
Eur. Some one within there, bring me out a torch.
Now then, stoop forward : gently; mind yourself.
Mx. I'll see to that. Hey! I've caught fire there. Hey !

O, water! water! neighbours, bring your buckets.

[^17]Fire! Fire! I tell you; I'm on fire, I am!
Evk. There, it's all right. Mn. All right, when I'm a cinder?
Eur. Well, well, the worst is over; 'tis indeed. It won't pain now. Mn. Faugh, here's a smell of burning!
Drat it, I'm roasted all about the stern.
Eur. Nay, heed it not. I'll have it sponged directly.
Mn. I'd like to catch a fellow sponging me.
Eur Though you begrudge your active personal aid, Yet, Agathon, you won't refuse to lend us
A dress and sash: you can't deny you've got them.
Ac. Take them, and welcome. I begrudge them not.
Mn. What's first to do? Eur. Put on this yellow silk.
Mx. By Aphrodite, but 'tis wondrous nice.

Eur. Gird it up tighter. Mn. Where's the girdle? Eur. Here.
Mn. Make it sit neatly there about the legs.
Eur. Now for a snood and hair-net. Ag. Will this do? It's quite a natty hairdress; it's my nightcap.
Eur: The very thing : i'faith, the very thing.
Mn. Does it look well? Eur. Zeus! I should think it did! Now for a mantle. Ag. Take one from the couch.
Eur. A pair of woman's shoes. Ag. Well, here are mine.
Mn. Do they look well? Eur. They are loose enough, I trow.
Ag. You see to that; I've lent you all you need.
Will some one kindly wheel me in again?
(Agathon's apartment, with Agathon in it, is wheeled back into the house; Euripides and Mnesilochus are left standing on the stage. Euripides turns Mnesilochus rount, and surreys him with complacency.)
Eur. There then, the man's a regular woman now, At least to look at ; and if you've to speak, Put on a feminine mincing voice. Mn. (In a shrill treble.) I'll try.
ELr. And now begone, and prosper. Mn. Wait a bit. Not till you've sworn- Eur. Sworn what? Mn. That if I get In any scrape, you'll surely see me through.

Eur. I swear by Ether, Zeus's dwelling-place.
Mn. As well by vile Hippoerates's eabin.
Eur. Well, then, I swear by every blessèd God.
Mn. And please remember 'twas ${ }^{1}$ your mnd that swore, Not your tongue only; please remember that.
(The background of the scene opens and a large building is pushed forward upon the stage, -representing the Thesmophorium or Temple of the Home-givers. The Athenian ladies, who form the Chomes of the Play, are seen, a few lines later, thronging into the orchestra, to assist in the solemnities of the festival, and to take part in the Assembly they are about to hold. The air above them is thick with the smoke of the torches they are bearing in their hands. Euripides thinks it time to make himself scarce. Mnesilochus assumes the fussy airs and treble voice of an Athenian matron, talking to an imaginary maidservant.)
Err. O, get you gone: for there's the signal hoisted Over the Temple; they are assembling now. I think I'll leave you. Mn. Thratta, come along. O Thratta, Thratta, here's a lot of women Coming up here! O, what a flare of torehes! O sweet Twain-goddesses, vouchsafe me now A pleasant day, and eke a safe return.
Set down the basket, Thratta ; give me out
The sacred cake to offer to the Twain.
O dread Demeter, high unearthly one,
O Persephassa, grant your votaress grace
To join in many festivals like this,
Or if not so, at least escape this once.
And may my daughter, by your leaves, pick up
A wealthy husband, and a fool to boot;
And little Bull-calf have his share of brains.
Now, then, I wonder which is the best place
To hear the speeches? Thratta, you may go.
These are not things for servant-girls to hear.
(The afficials now take their places, and the Assembly at once begins.)
${ }^{1}$ He is alluding to a famous line in the Hippolytus of Euripides-
"'Twas my tongue swore; my Mind remains unsworn."

Crieress.
Worldly clamour Pass away!
Silence, Silence, While we pray;
To the Twain, the Home-bestowers; Holy Parent, holy Daughter, And to Wealth, and Heavenly Beauty, And to Earth the foster-mother, 300
And to Hermes and the Graces,
That they to this important high debate Grant favour and success,
Making it useful to the Athenian State, And to ourselves no less.
And O, that she who counsels best to-day About the Athenian nation, And our own commonwealth of women, may Succeed by acclamation.
These things we pray, and blessings on our cause.
Sing Paean, Paean, ho! with merry loud applanse.
Chorts. We in thy prayers combine, And we trust the Powers Divine Will on these their suppliants smile, Both Zeus the high and a wful, And the golden-lyred Apollo From the holy Delian isle. And thon, our Mighty Maiden, Lance of gold, and eye of blue, Of the God-contested city,

Help us too:
And the many-named, the Huntress. Gold-fronted Leto's daughter ; And the dread Poseidon ruling Over Ocean's stormy water;

Come from the deep where fishes Swarm, and the whirlwinds rave; And the Oreads of the mountain, And the Nereids of the wave. Let the Golden Harp sound o'er us And the Gods with favour crown This Parliament of Women, The free and noble matrons Of the old Athenian town.

Chimeress. O yes! O yes!
Pray ye the Olympian Gods-and Gorldesses, And all the Pythian Gods-and Goddesses, And all the Delian Gods-and Goddesses, And all the other Gods-and Goddesses, Whoso is disaffected, ill-disposed
Towards this commonwealth of womankind, Or with Euripides, or with the Medes Deals to the common hurt of womankind, Or aims at tyranny, or fain would bring The Tyrant back ; or dares betray a wife For palmingr off a baby as her own ; Or tells her master tales against her mistress; Or does not bear a message faithfully; Or, being a suitor, makes a vow, and then Fails to perform; or, being a rich old woman, Hires for herself a lover with her wealth; Or, being a girl, takes gifts and cheats the giver; Or, being a trading man or trading woman, Gives us short measure in our drinking-eups; Perish that man, himself and all his honse ; But pray the Gods-and Goddesses-to order 350
To all the women always all things well.

Chor.
We also pray,
And trust it may
Be done as thou premisest,
And hope that they
Will win the day
Whose words are best and wisest.
But they who fain
Would cheat for gain, Their solemn oaths forgetting,

Our ancient laws
And noble cause
And mystic rites upsetting;
Who plot for greed,
Who call the Mede
With secret invitation,
I say that these
The Gods displease, And wrong the Athenian nation.

O Zeus most high
In earth and sky, All-powerful, all-commanding,

We pray to Thee,
Weak women we,
But help us notwithstanding.
Crieress. O yes! O yes! The Women's Council-Board
Hath thus enacted (moved by Sostrata,
Presideut Timocleia, clerk Lysilla),
To hold a morning Parliament to-lay
When women most have leisure ; to discuss
What shall be done about Euripides,
How best to serve him ont; for that he's guilty
We all admit. Who will address the meeting?

Mica. I wish to, I. Crieress. Put on this chaplet first.
Order! order! Silence, ladies, if you please.
She's learnt the trick ; she hems and haws; she coughs in preparation; 'I know the signs; my soul divines a mighty long' oration.
Mica. 'Tis not from any feeling of ambition
I rise to address you, ladies, but because
I long have seen, and inly burned to see
The way Euripides insults us all,
The really quite interminable scoffs
This market-gardener's son pours out against us
I don't believe that there's a single fault
He's not accused us of ; I don't believe
That there's a single theatre or stage,
But there is he, calling us double-dealers,
False, faithless, tippling, mischief-making gossips,
A rotten set, a misery to men.
Well, what's the consequence? The men come home
Looking so sour-O, we can see them peeping.
In every closet, thinking friends are there.
Upon my word we can't do axymhing
We usèd to do ; he has made the men so silly:
Suppose I'm hard at work upon a chaplet,
Hey, she's in love with somelorly; suppose
I chance to drop a pitcher on the floor,
And straightway 'tis, For whom was that intended?
I warrant now, for ow Corinthian ${ }^{1}$ friend.
Is a girl ill? Her brother shakes his head;
the girl's complexion is not to my taste.
Why, if you merely want to hire a baby,
And palm it off as yours, you've got no chance.

[^18]They sit beside our very beds, they do.
Then there's another thing; the rich old men
Who used to marry us, are grown so shy
We never catch them now ; and all because
Euripides declares, the scandal-monger,
An old man weds a Tyrant, not a wife.
You know, my sisters, how they mew us up, Guarding our women's rooms with bolts and seals, And fierce Molossian dogs. That's all his doing. We might put up with that; but, O my friends, Our little special perquisites, the corn, The wine, the oil, gone, gone, all gone for ever. They've got such keys, our husbands have, such brutes, Laconian-made, with triple rows of teeth.
Then in old times we only had to buy
A farthing ring, and pantry-doors flew open.
But now this wretch Euripides has made them
Wear such worm-eaten perforated seals, 'Tis hopeless now to try it. Therefore, ladies, What I propose is that we slay the man, Either by poison or some other way ;
Somehow or other he must die the death.
That's all I'll say in public : I'll write out
A formal motion with the clerkess there.
Снок. Good heavens! what foree and tact combined!
$O$, what a many-woven mind!
A better speech, upon my word, I don't believe I ever heard. Her thoughts so elean dissected, Her words so well selected, Such keen discrimination, Such power and elevation,
'Twas really quite a grand, superb, magnificent oration.
So that if, in opposition, Xenocles canie forth to speak,
Compared with her
You'd all aver
All his grandest, happiest efforts are immeasurably weak!
Second Woman. Ladies, I've only a few words to add.
I quite agree with the honourable lady
Who has just sat down: she has spoken well and ably.
But I can tell you what I've borne myself.
My husband died in Cyprus, leaving me
Five little chicks to work and labour for.
I've done my best, and bad's the best, but still
I've fed them, weaving chaplets for the Gods.
But now this fellow writes his Plays, and says
There are no Gods; and so, you may depend,
My trade is fallen to half ; men won't buy chaplets,
So then for many reasons he must die;
The man is bitterer than his mother's potherbs.
I leave my cause with you, my sisters: I
Am called away on urgent private business,
An order, just received, for twenty chaplets.
Chor.
Better and better still.
A subtler intellect, a daintier skill.
Wise are her words, and few;
Well timed and spoken too.
A many-woven mind she too has got, I find.
And he must clearly,
This rascal man, he punished most severely.
(The motion for putting Euripides to death having, so to say, been proposel and secondert, Mnesilochus rises to speak in opposition.)
Mn. Mrs. Speaker and ladies, I'm not surprised, of course I'm not surprised,

To find you all so angry and aggrieved At what Euripides has said against us. For I myself-or slay my babies elseHate him like poison, to be sure I do, He's most provoking, I admit he is.
But now we're all alone, there's no reporter, All among friends, why not be fair and candid: Grant that the man has really found us out, And told a thing or two, sure they're all true, And there's a many thonsand still behind. For I myself, to mention no one else, Could tell a thousand plaguy trieks I've played On my poor husband ; I'll just mention one. We'd been but three days married; I'm abed, Husband asleep beside me; when my lover (I'd been familiar with him from a child) Came softly seratching at the outer door.
I hear; I know "the little clinking sound," And rise up stealthily, to creep downstairs. Where go you, pray? says husband. Where! say I, l've such a drearful pain in my inside I must go down this instant. Go, says he.
He pounds his anise, juniper, and sage,
To still my pains: $I$ seize the water-jug, And wet the hinge, to still its creaking noise,
Then open, and go out: and I and lover Meet by Aguieus and his laurel-shade, Billing and cooing to our hearts' content. (With vivacity.) Euripides has never found out that.
Nor how a wife contrived to smuggle out Her frightened lover, holding up her shawl
To the sun's rays for husband to admire.
Nor how we grant nur favours to bargees

And muletcers, if no one else we've got.
Nor how, arising from a night's debauch,
We chew our garlic, that our husbands, coming
Back from the walls at daybreak, may suspect Nothing amiss at home. Then what's the odds If he does rail at Phaedra? Let him rail. What's that to us? Let him rail on, say I. Phaedra indeed! He might come nearer home. I knew a woman, I won't mention names, Remained ten days in childbirth. Why, do you think:
Because she couldn't buy a baby sooner.
Her husband runs to every medicine-man In dreadful agitation ; while he's out, They bring a little baby in a basket, Bunging its mouth up that it mayn't ery out, And stow it safe away till he comes home. Then at a given sign she feebly says, My time is come: piease, husband, go away. He goes; they open basket; baby cries. O, what delight, surprise, congratulations! The man runs in ; the nurse comes rumning out, (The same that brought the baby in the basket,) A prodigy! a Lion! suck a boy! Four form, your features: just the same espmession: Your very image: lucky, lucky man!
Don't we do this: By Artemis, we do.
Then wherefore rail we at Euripides:
We're not one bit more sinned against than sinning.
Cuor. What a monstrous, strange proceeding! Whence, I wonder, comes her breeding? From what country shall we seek her, Such a bold audacious speaker :

That a woman so should wrong us,
Here among us, here among us,
I could never have believed it; such a thing was never known.
But what may be, no man knoweth,
And the wise old proverb showeth,
That perchance a poisonous sophist lurketh under every stone.
O, nothing, nothing in the world so hateful will you find As shameless women, save of course the rest of womankind.

Mica. What can possess us, sisters mine? I vow by old Agraulus, We're all bewitched, or else have had some strange mischance befall us, To let this shameless hussy tell her shameful, bold, improper, Unpleasant tales, and we not make the least attempt to stop her. If any one assist me, good: if not, alone we'll try, We'll strip and whip her well, we will, my serving-maids and I.
Mn. Not strip me, gentle ladies; sure I heard the proclamation, That every freeborn woman now might make a free oration ; And if I spoke unpleasant truths on this your invitation, Is that a reason why I now should suffer castigation?
Mica. It is, indeed: how dare you plead for him who always chooses Such odious subjects for his Plays, on purpose to abuse us; Phaedras and Melanippes too: but ne'er a drama made he About the good Penelope, or such-like virtuous lady.
Mn. The cause I know ; the cause I'll show : you won't discover any Penelope alive to-day, but Phaedras very many.
Mica. You will? you dare? how can we bear to hear such things repeated, Such horrid, dreadful, odious things? Mn. 'O, I've not near completed The things I know ; I'll give the whole: I'm not disposed to grudge it.
Mica. You can't, I vow; you've emptied now your whole disgusting budget.
Ms. No, not one thousandth part I've told : not even how we take The seraper from the bathing-room, and down the corn we rake, And push it in, and tap the bin. Mica. Confound you and your slanders
Mn. Nor how the Apaturian meat we steal to give our panders,

And then declare the cat was there. Mica. You nasty tell-tale you!
Mn. Nor how with deadly axe a wife her lord and master slew, Another drove her husband mad with poisonous drugs fallacious, Nor how beneath the reservoir the Acharnian girl- Mica. Good gracious!
Mn. Buried her father out of sight. Mica. Now really this won't do.
Mx. Nor how when late your servant bare a child as well as you, You took her boy, and in his stead your puling girl you gave her. Mres. O, by the Two, this jade shall rue her insolent behaviour.

I'll comb your fleece, you saucy minx. Mx. By Zeus, you had best begin it.
Mica. Come on! Mx. Come on! Mica. You will? you will?
(Flinging her upper mantle to Philista.)
Hold this, my dear, a minute.
Mn. Stand off, or else, by Artemis, I'll give you such a strummingChor. For pity's sake, be silent there : I see a woman coming,

Who looks as if she'd news to tell. Now prithee both be quiet, And let us hear the tale she brings, without this awful riot.
(The supposed woman turns out to be the notorious Cleisthenes, of whom we have alreacly heard. The reader must imagine the feelings of Mnesilochus during the ensuing dialogue.)

Clei. Dear ladies, I am one with you in heart;
My cheeks, unfledged, bear witness to my love,
I am your patron, aye, and devotee.
And now, for lately in the market-place
I heard a rumour touching you and yours,
I come to warn and put you on your guard,
Lest this great danger take you unawares.
Chor. What now, my child? for we may call thee child, So soft, and smooth, and downy are thy cheeks.
Clei. Euripides, they say, has sent a cousin, A bad old man, amongst you here to-day.
Chor. O, why and wherefore, and with what design ?
Clei. To be a spy, a horrid, treacherous spy,
A spy on all your purposes and plans.

Chor. O, how should he be here, and we not know it?
Clei. Euripides has tweezered him, and singed him, And dressed him up, disguised in woman's clothes.
M . (Stamping about rith a lively recollection of his recent sufferings.)
I don't helieve it; not one word of it;
No man would let himself be tweezered so.
Ye Goddesses, I don't believe there's one.
Clei. Nonsense : I never should have come here else, I had it on the best authority.
Chor. This is a most important piece of news.
We'll take immediate steps to clear this up.
We'll search him out: we'll find his lurking-place.
Zounds, if we catch him! r-r-r! the raseal man.
Will you, kind gentleman, assist the search ?
Give us fresh cause to thank you, patron mine.
('iel. ( to Mica.) Well, who are you? Mn. (Aside.) Wherever can I flee? $^{\text {? }}$
Clei. I'll find him, trust me. Mn. (Aside.) Here's a precious scrape!
Mica. Who: I? Clei. Yes, you. Mica. Cleonymus's wife.
Clei. Do you know her, ladies? Is she speaking truth?
Chor. O yes, we know her : pass to some one else.
Clei. Who's this young person with the baby here?
Mica. O, she's my nursemaid. Mn. (Aside.) Here he comes; I'm done for.
Clei. Hey! where's she off to? Stop! Why, what the mischief!
Chor. (Aside to Clei.) Yes, sift her well ; discover who she is.
We know the others, but we don't know her.
Clei. Come, come, no shuffling, madam, turn this way.
Mn. (Freffully.) Don't pull me, sir, I'm poorly. Clei. Please to tell me Your husband's name. Mn. My husband's name? my husband's? Why What-d'ye-call-him from Cothocidae.
Clei. Eh, what? (Considers.) There was a What-d'ye-call-him once-
Mn. He's Who-d'ye-call-it's son. Clei. You're trifling with me. Have you been here before? Mn. O, bless you, yes.
Why, every year. Cler. And with what tent-companion?

Mn. With What's-her-name. Clei. This is sheer idling, woman.
Mica. ( ro ollei.) Step back, sir, please, and let me question her $^{\text {a }}$
On last year's rites; a little further, please ;
No man must listen now. (To Mn.) Now, stranger, tell me
What first we practised on that holy day.
Mn. Bless me, what was it? first? why, first we-drank.
Mica. Right; what was second? Mn. Second? Drank again.
Mica. Somebody's told you this. But what was third?
Mn. Well, third, Xenylla had a drop too much.
Mica. Ah, that won't do. Here, Cleisthenes, approach.
This is the man for certain. Clef. Bring him up.
Mnesilochus is seized, carried before a jury of matrons, and pronounced a man ! A general upioar ensues.)
Chor. O, this is why you mocked and jeered us so!
And dared defend Euripides like that !
O, villain, villain. Mn. Miserable me!
I've put my foot in it, and no mistake.
Mica. What shall we do with him? Clei. Surround him here.
And watch him shrewdly that he 'seape you not.
I'll go at once and summon the Police.
(Cleisthenes goes out.)
Chor. Light we our torches, my sisters, and manfully girding our robes,
Gather them sternly about us, and easting our mantles aside
On through the tents and the gangways, and up by the tiers and the rows, - Eyeing, and probing, and trying, where men would be likely to hide.

Now 'tis time, 'tis time, my sisters, round and round and round to go,
Soft, with light and airy foot-fall, ereeping, peeping, high and low.
Look about in each direction, make a rigid, close inspection,
Lest in any hole or corner, other rogues escape detection.
Hunt with care, here and there,
Searching, spying, poking, prying, up and down, and everywhere.
For if once the evil-doer we can see, He shall soon be a prey to our vengeance to-day,

And to all men a warning he shall be Of the terrible fate that is sure to await The guilty sin-schemer and lawless blasphemer. And then he shall find that the Gods are not blind To what passes below ; Yea, and all men shall know It is best to live purely, uprightly, securely, It is best to do well,
And to practise day and night what is orderly and right,
And in virtue and in honesty to dwell.
But if any one there be who a wicked deed shall do,
In his raving, and his raging, and his madness, and his pride,
Every mortal soon shall see, aye, and every woman too,
What a doom shall the guilty one betide.
For the wicked evil deed shall be recompensed with speed, The Avenger doth not tarry to begin,
Nor delayeth for a time, but He seareheth out the crime, And He punisheth the sinner in his sin.
Now we've gone throughevery corner, every nook surveyed with care, And there's not another culprit skulking, lurking anywhere.

Just as the Chorus are concluding their search, Mnesilochus snatches Mica's baby from her arms, and takes refuge at the altar.)
Mica. Hoy! Hoy there! Hoy!
He's got my child, he's got my darling, O !
He's snatched my little baby from my breast.
O, stop him, stop him! O, he's gone. O! O!
Mn. Aye, weep! you ne'er shall dandle him again, Unless you loose me. Soon shall these small limbs,
Smit with cold edge of sacrificial knife,
Incarnadine this altar. Mica. O! O! O!
Help, women, help me. Sisters, help, I pray.
Charge to the rescue, shout, and rout, and scout him.
Don't see me lose my baly, my one pet.

Chor.
Alas! Alas!
Mercy o' me! what do I see? 700
What can it be?
What, will deeds of shameless violence never, never, never, end?
What's the matter, what's he up to, what's he doing now, my friend?
Mx. Doing what I hope will crush you out of all your bold assurance.

Chor. Zounds, his words are very dreadful ; more than dreadful, past endurance.
Mica. Yes, indeed, they're very dreadful, and he's got my baby too.
Chor. Impudence rare! Look at him there,
Doing such deeds, and I row and declare
Never minding or caring- Mn. Or likely to care.
Chor. Here you are come: here you shall stay,
Never again shall you wander away;
Wander away, glad to display
All the misdeeds you have done us to-day,
But dear you shall pay.
Mn. There at least I'm hoping, ladies, I shall find your words untrue.
Chor. What God do you think his assistance will lend,
You wicked old man, to escort you away?
M.. Aha, but I've captured your baby, my friend, And I shan't let her go, for the best you can say:
Chor. But no, by the Goddesses Twain, Not long shall our threats be in vain,
Not long shall you flout at our pain.
Unholy your deeds, and you'll find
That we shall repay you in kind,
And perchance you will alter your mind
When Fate, veering round like the blast,
In its clutches has seized you at last, Very fast.
Comrades, haste, collect the brushwood : pile it up withont delay:
Pile it, heap it, stow it, throw it, burn and fire and roast and slay.
Mica. Come, Mania, come; let's run and fetch the fagots.
(To Mnn.) Ah, wretch, you'll be a cinder before night. Mn. (Busily engaged in unpacking the baby.)

With all my heart. Now I'll undo these wrappers,
These Cretan long clothes; and remember, darling,
It's all your mother that has served you thus.
What have we here? a flask, and not a baby!
A flask of wine, for all its Persian slippers.
O ever thirsty, ever tippling women,
O ever ready with fresh schemes for drink,
To vintners what a blessing : but to us
And all our goods and chattels what a curse!
Mica. Drag in the fagots, Mania; pile them up.
Mn. Aye, pile away; but tell me, is this baby
Really your own? Mica. My very flesh and blood.
Mn. Your flesh and blood? Mica. By Artemis it is.
Mx. Is it a pint? Mica. O, what have you been doing?

O, you have stripped my baby of its clothes.
Poor tiny morsel! Mn. (Holding up a large bottle.) Tiny? Mica. Yes, indeed.
Mn. What is its age? Three Pitcher-feasts or four?
Mica. Well, thereabouts, a little over now.
Please give it back. Mn. No thank you, not exactly.
Mica. We'll burn you then. Mn. O, burn me by all means;
But anyhow I'll sacrifice this victim.
Mica. O! O! O!
Make me your victim, anything you like;
But spare the child. Mn. A loving mother truly.
But this dear child must needs be sacrificed.
Mica. My child! my child! give me the bason, Mania,
I'll catch my darling's blood, at any rate.
Mn. And so you shall; I'll not deny you that.
(Puts the bottle to his lips and drains every drop; taking care that none shall fall into the basjn which Mica is holding underneath.)
Mica. You spiteful man! you most ungencrous man!

Mn. This skin, fair priestess, is your perquisite.
Mica. What is my perquisite? Mn. This skin, fair priestess.
(Another woman, Critylla, now enters.)
Cri. O Mica, who has robbed thee of thy flower,
And snatched thy babe, thine only one, away?
Mica. This villain here : but I'm so glad you're come.
You see he doesn't run away, while I
Call the police, with Cleisthenes, to help us.
Mn. (Soliloquizes.) O me, what hope of safety still remains?
What plan? what stratagem? My worthy cousin,
Who first involved me in this dreadful scrape,
"He cometh not." Suppose I send him word.
But how to send it? Hah, I know a trick
Out of his Palamede. I'll send a message
Written on oar-blades. Tush! I've got no oar-blades.
What shall I do for oar-blades? Why not send
These votive slabs instead? The very thing.
Oar-blades are wood, and slabs are wood. I'll try.
(Writes, and sings to himself as he vcrites.'
Now for the trick; fingers be quick;
Do what you can for my notable plan.
slab, have the grace to permit me to trace
Grooves with my knife on your beautiful face.
The tale of my woe it is yours for to show.
Oh, oh, what a furrow! I never did see
Such an horrible " $R$ " as I've made it to be.
Well, that must do ; so fly ${ }^{1}$ away yon,
Hither and thither, off, off, and away.
Do not delay for a moment, I pray.

[^19](Here follows the parabasis. As a rule, all the actors leare the stage before the Parabasis begins: but Mnesilochus is unable to leare, and Critylla remains to keep watch.)
Chor. Now let us turn to the people, our own panegyric to render. Men never speak a good riord, never one, for the feminine gender, Every one says we're a Plague, the source of all evils to man, War, dissension, and strife. Come, answer me this, if you can; Why, if we're really a Plague, you're so anxious to have us for wives; And charge us not to be peeping, nor to stir out of doors for our lives. Isn't it silly to guard a Plague with such scrupulous care?
Zounds! how you rave, coming home, if your poor little wife isn't there. Should you not rather be glad, and rejoice all the days of your life, Rid of a Plague, you know, the source of dissension and strife?
If on a visit we sport, and sleep when the sporting is over, O, how you rummage about; what a fuss, your lost Plague to discover. Every one stares at your Plague if she happens to look on the street:
Stares all the more if your Plague thinks proper to blush and retreat.
Is it not plain then, I ask, that Women are really the best?
What, can you doubt that we are? I will bring it at once to the test. 80 ! $W_{e}$ say Women are best; you men (just like you) deny it, Nothing on earth is so easy as to come to the test, and to try it.
I'll take the name of a Man, and the name of a Woman, and show it.
Did not Charminus give way to Miss-Fortune? Do you not know it? Is not Cleophon viler than vile Salabaccho by far?
Is there a Man who can equal, in matters of glory and war, Lady Victoria, Mistress of Marathon, queen of the Sea?
Is not Prudence a Woman, and who is so clever as she?
Certainly none of your statesmen, who only a twelvemonth ago Gave up their place and their duty. Would women demean themselves so ${ }^{\circ}$ Women don't ride in their coaches, as Men have been doing of late, Pockets and purses distended with eash they have filched from the State We, at the very outside, steal a wee little jorum of corn, Putting it back in the even, whatever we took in the morn.
(The Strophe.)
But this is a true description of you.
Are ye not gluttonous, vulgar, perverse,
Kidnappers, housebreakers, footpads, and worse ?
And we in domestie cconomy too
Are thriftier, shiftier, wiser than you.
For the loom which our mothers employed with such skill, With its Shaft and its Thongs,-we are working it still.
And the ancient umbrella by no means is done, We are wielding it yet, as our Shield from the Sun. But O for the Shafts, and the Thong of the Shield, Which your Fathers in fight were accustomed to wield.
Where are they to-day? Ye have cast them away As ye raced, in hot haste, and disgraced, from the fray!
(The Epirrhema.)
Many things we have against you, many rules we justly blame;
But the one we now will mention is the most enormous shame. What, my masters ! onght a lady, who has borne a noble son, One who in your fleets and armies great heroic deeds has done, Ought she to remain unhonoured? ought she not, I ask you, I, In our Stenia and our Scira still to take precedence high? Whoso breeds a cowardly soldier, or a seaman cold and tame, Crop her hair, and seat her lowly ; brand her with the marks of shame ; Set the nobler dame above her. Can it, all ye Powers, be right That Hyperbolus's mother, flowing-haired, and robed in white, Should in public places sit by Lamachns's mother's side,
Hoarding wealth, and lending monies, gathering profits far and wide? Sure 'twere better every debtor, calm resolving not to pay, When she comes exacting money, with a mild surprise should say, Keeping principal and income, You to claim percentage due! Sure a son so capital is CAPIT'AL enough for you.
(The close of the Parabasis finds the position of Mnesilochus unaltered. The dispatch of the tablets has, so far, produced no result.)

Mn. I've strained my eyes with watching; but my poet, "He cometh not." Why not? Belike he feels Ashamed of his old frigid Palamede. Which is the Play to fetch him? O, I know ; Which but his brand-new Helen? I'll be Helen. I've got the woman's clothes, at all events.
Cri. What are you plotting? What is that you're muttering?
I'll Helen you, my master, if you don't
Keep quiet there till the Policeman comes.
(We have had a short caricature of the "Palamede." We are about to have a more elaborate caricature of the Helen, which is still cxtant. Almost all the speeches of Euripides and Mnesilochus in the ensuing scene are taken, with occasional comic perversions, from that Play.)
Mn. (As Helen.) These are the fair-nymphed waters of the Nile,
Whose floods bedew, in place of beavenly showers, Egypt's white plains and black-dosed citizens.
Cri. Sweet-shining Hecate, what a rogue it is.
Ms. Ah, not unknown my Spartan fatherland, Nor yet my father Tyndareus. Cri. My gracious! Was he your father? Sure, Phrynondas was.
Mn. And I was Helen. Cri. What, again a woman? You've not been punished for your first freak yet.
Mn. Full many a soul, by bright Scamander's stream, Died for my sake. Cri. Wculd yours had died among them !
Mn. And now I linger here; but Menelaus, My dear, dear lord, ah wherefore comes he not? O sluggish crows, to spare my hapless life! But soft! some hope is busy at my heart, A laughing hope-O Zeus, deceive me not.
(Euripides enters disguised as Menelaus.)
Eur. Who is the lord of this stupendous pile?
Will he extend his hospitable care
To some poor storm-tossed, shipwrecked mariners?
Mn. These are the halls of Proteus. Eur. Proteus, are they?

Cri. O, by the Twain, he lies like anything. I knew old Protteas; he's been dead these ten years.
Eur. Then whither, whither have we steered our bark?
Mn. To Egypt. Eur. O, the weary, weary way!
Cri. Pray don't believe one single word he says. This is the holy temple of the Twain.
Eur. Know you if Proteus be at home or not?
Cri. Why, don't I tell you, he's been dead these ten years! You can't have quite got over your sea-sickness, Asking if Protteas be at home or not.
Eur. Woe's me! is Proteus dead? and where's he buried?
Mn. This is his tomb whereon I'm sitting now.
Cri. O, hang the raseal; and he shall be hanged! How dare he say this altar is a tomb?
Eur. And wherefore sitt'st thou on this monument, Veiled in thy mantle, lady? MN. They compel me, A weeping bride, to marry Proteus' son.
Cai. Why do you tell the gentleman such fibs? Good gentleman, he's a bad man; he came Among the women here, to steal their trinkets.
Mn. Aye, aye, rail on : revile me as you list.
Eur. Who is the old woman who reviles you, lady?
Mn. Theonoe, Proteus' daughter. Cri. What a story!
Why, I'm Critylla, of Gargettus, sir,
A very honest woman. Mn. Aye, speak on.
But never will I wed thy brother, no
I won't be false to absent Menelaus.
Eur. What, lady, what? O, raise those orbs to mine. -
Mn. O sir, I blush to raise them, with these cheeks.
Eur. O dear, O dear, I cannot speak for trembling.
Ye Gods, is't possible? Who art thou, lady?
Mn. O, who art thou? I feel the same myself.
Eur. Art thou Hellenic, or a born Egyptian?

Mn. Hellenic I: O, tell me what art thou.
Eur. O surely, surely, thou art Helen's self.
Mn. O, from the greeus thou must be Menelaus.
Eur. Yes, yes, you see that miserable man.
Mn. O, long in coming to these longing arms, O, carry me, carry me, from this place, O, wrap me in thy close embrace,
O, earry me, carry me, carry me home, by this fond and loving kiss,
O, take me, take me, take me hence. Cri. I say now, none of this.
Let go there, or I'll strike you with this link!
Eur. Let go my wife, the child of Tyndareus, Not take her home to Sparta? O, what mean you?
Cri. O, that's it, is it? You're a bad one too! Both of one gang. That's what your gipsying meant!
But he at any rate shall meet his due. Here's the Policeman, and the Scythian coming.
Eur. Ah, this won't do : I must slip off awhile,
Mn. And what am I to do? Eur. Keep quiet here,
Be.sure I'll never fail you while I live ;
I have ten thousand tricks to save you yet.
Mr. Well, you caught nothing by that haul, I think.
(The high official, who is here inadequately called "a Policeman," now enters upon the stage, attended by one of the Scythian archers.)
Policeman. O Areher, here's the vagabond, of whom Cleisthenes told us. (To Mn.) Why do you hang your head? (To Soyth.) Take him within; there tie him on the plank;
Then bring him here and watch him. Let not any
Appreach too near him: should they try to, take The whip, and smite them. Cri. Aye, one came but now Spiming his yarns, and all but got him off.
Mn. O Sir! policeman! grant me one request, O, by that hand I pray you, which you love To hold out empty, and to draw back full.

Pol. What should I grant you? Mr. Don't expose me thus;
Do tell the Scythian he may strip me first;
Don't let a poor old man, in silks and snoods,
Provoke the laughter of the crows that eat him.
Pol. Thus bath the Council ordered it, that so
The passers-by may see the rogue you are.
Mn. Alas! Alas! O yellow silk, I hate ye!
O, I've no hope, no hope of getting free.
(All the actors leare the stage. And the Chorus commence their great ceremonial worship of dance and song.)
Chor. Now for the revels, my sisters, which we to the great Twain Powers Prayerfully, carefully raise, in the holy festival hours.

And Pauson will join in our worship to-day,
And Pauson will join in the fasting,
And, keen for the fast, to the Twain he will pray
For the rite to be made everlasting, I ween,
For the rite to be made everlasting.
Now advance
In the whirling, twirling dance, With hand linked in hand, as we deftly trip along,
Keeping time to the cadence of the swiftly-flowing song;
And be sure as we go
That we dart careful glances, up and down, and to and fro.
Now 'tis ours
To entwine our choicest flowers,
Flowers of song and adoration to the great Olympian Powers.
Nor expect
That the garland will be flecked
With abnse of mortal men ; such a thought is incorrect.
For with prayer
And with sacred loving care,
A new and holy measure we will heedfully prepare.

To the high and holy Minstrel
Let the dancers onward go,
And to Artemis, the maiden
Of the quiver and the bow ;
O, hear us, Far-controller, and the victory bestow.
And we trust our merry music
Will the matron Hera please,
For she loves the pleasant Chorns
And the dances such as these,
-Wearing at her girdle
The holy nuptial Keys.
To Pan and pastoral Hermes
And the friendly Nymphs we pray,
That they smile with gracious favour
On our festival to-day,
With their laughter-loving glances beaming brightly on our Play,
As we dance the Double chorus
To the old familiar strain,
As we weave our ancient pastime
On our holy day again,
-Keeping fast and vigil
In the Temple of the Twain.
Turn the step, and change the measure,
Raise a loftier music now ;
Come, the Lord of wine and pleasure,
Evoi, Bacchus, lead us thou!
Yea, for Thee we adore!
Child of Scmele, thee
With thy glittering ivy-wreaths,
Thee with music and song
Ever and ever we praise.

Thee with thy wood-nymphs delightedly singing,
Evoi! Evoi! Evoi!
Over the joyous hills the sweet strange melody ringing.
Hark! Cithaeron resounds,
Pleased the notes to prolong;
Hark! the bosky ravines
And the wild slopes thunder and roar,
Volleying back the song.
Round thee the ivy fair
With delicate tendril twines.
(The Scythian brings Mnesilochus in, fastened to his plank, and sets it up on the stage.)
Scythian. Dere now bemoany to de ouder air.
Mn. O, I entreat you. Sc. Nod endread me zu.
Mn. Slack it a little. Sc. Dat is vat I does.
$M_{\mathrm{N}}$. O mercy! mercy! O, you drive it tighter.
Sc. Dighder zu wiss him? Mn. Miserable me!
Out on you, villain. Sc. Zilence, bad ole man.
I'se fetch de mad, an' vatch zu comfibly.
Mn. These are the joys Euripides has brought me!
(Euripides makes a momentary appearance in the character of Perseas. The third Play to be caricatured is the famous Andromeda.)

O Gods! O Saviour Zeus! there's yet a hope.
Tben he won't fail me! Out he flashed as Perseus.
I understand the signals, I'm to act
The fair Andromeda in chains. Ah, well,
Here are the chains, worse luck, wherewith to act her.
He'll come and succour me ; he's in the wings.
(Euripides enters singing airily.)
Eur.
Now to peep, now to creep Soft and slily through.
Maidens, pretty maidens, Tell me what I an to do.
Tell me how to glide

By the Scythian Argus-eyed,
And to steal away my bride.
'Fell me, tell me, tell me, tell me, tell me, tell me, tell, Echo, always lurking in the cavern and the dell.
(Euripides retires, and Mnesilochus commences a Euripidean monody, mostly composed of quotations from the Andromeda, adapted to his ovn position.)
Mn. A cold unpitying heart had he
Who bound me here in misery.
Hardly escaped from mouldy dame,
I'm eaught and done for, just the same.
Lo, the Seythian guard beside me,
Friendless, helpless, here he tied me;
Soon upon these limbs of mine
Shall the greedy ravens dine.
Seest thou? not to me belong
Youthful pleasures, dance and song,
Never, never more shall I
With my friends sweet law-suits try,
But woven chains with many a link surround me,
Till Glaucetes, that ravening whale, has found me.
Home I nevermore shall see;
Bridal songs are none for me,
Nought but potent incantations;
Sisters, raise your lamentations,
Woe, woe, woeful me,
Sorrow, and trouble, and misery.
Weeping, weeping, endless weeping,
far from home and all I know,
Praying him who wronged me so.

> O! O! Woe! woe!

First with razor keen he hacks me,
Next in yellow silk he packs me,
Sends me then to dangerous dome,
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Where the women prowl and roam.
O heavy Fate! O fatal blow!
O woeful lot! and lots of woe!
O, how they will chide me, and gibe, and deride me!
And O that the flashing, and roaring, and dashing,
Red bolt of the thunder might smite me in sunder, For where is the joy of the sunshine and glow To one who is lying, distracted and dying, With throat-cutting agonies riving him, driving him

Down, down to the darkness below.
(A voice is heard from behind the scenes. It is the roice of Echo.)
Есно. O welcome, daughter; but the Gods destroy Thy father Cepheus, who exposed thee thus.
Mn. O, who art thou that mournest for my woes?
Есно. Echo, the vocal mocking-bird of song, I who, last year, in these same lists contendel, A faithful friend, beside Euripides. And now, my child, for thou must play thy part, Make dolorous wails. Mn. And you wail afterwards?
Есно. I'll see to that; only begin at once.
Mn.
O Night most holy,
O'er dread Olympus, vast and far,
In thy dark car
Thou journeyest slowly
Through Ether ridged with many a star.

Есно.
Mn.

Mn. Heavy of fate. Echo. Heary of fate.
Mn. Old woman, you'll kill me, I know, with your prate.
Есно. Know with your prate.
Mn. Why, how tiresome you are: you are going ton far.
Есно. You are going too far.

Mn. Good friend, if you kindly will leave me in peace, You'll do me a favour, O prithec, cease. Echo. Cease.
Mn. O, go to the crows! Eсно. O, go to the crows!
Mn. Why can't you be still? Echo. Why can't you be still?
Mn. (Spitefully.) Old gossip! Echo. (Spitefully.) Old gossip!
Mn. Lackaday! Еснo. Lackaday! Mn. And alas! Есно. And alas!
The Scythian suddenly awakes to the fact that his prisoner is taking part in a conrersation.
Sc. O, vat does zu say? Echo. O, vat does zu say ?
Sc. I'se calls de police. Есно. I'se calls de police.
Sc. Vat nosense is dis? Echo. Vat nosense is dis?
Sc. Vy, vere is de voice? Echo. Vy, vere is de voice?
Sc. ( т $^{\text {Mn. }}$ ) Vos id zu? Eсно. Vos id zu?
Sc. Zu'll catch id. Echo. Zu'll catch id.
Sc.
Mn.
Есно.
Sc.

Sc. Zu'll a suffer for dis. Eсно. Zu'll a suffer for dis.
Sc.
Sc.
Sc.
Does zu mocksh? Есно. Does zu mocksh?
'Tisn't I, I declare : it is that woman there.
.
Vy, vere is de wretch? Me mush catch, me mush catch.
Her's a gone, her's a fled. Echo. Her's a gone, her's a fled.
, Vat again? Eсно. Vat again?
Zeege ole o' de mix. Echo. Zeege ole o' de mix. Vat a babbled an' talketing ooman.
(Euripides enters in the guise of Perseus.)
Eur. Ah me, what wild and terrible coast is this?
Plying the pathless air with wingèd feet,
Steering for Argos, bearing in my hand
'The Gorgon's head- Sc. Vat dat zu say o' Gorgo?
Dat zu has gots de writer Gorgo's head?
Eur. "Gorgon," I say. Sc. An' me says "Gorgo" too.
Eur. Alas, what crag is this, and lashed upon it
What maiden, beantiful as shapes divine,
A lovely craft too rudely moored? $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}}$. O stranger,
Pity the sorrows of a poor young woman,

And loose my bonds. Sc. Vat, vill zu no be quiet?
Vat, talkee, talkee, ven zu're goin' to die?
Eur. Fair girl, I weep to see thee hanging there.
Sc. Disn't von gal: dis von ole vilain man,
Von vare bad rascal fellow. Eur. Scythian, peace!
'This is Andromeda, King Cepheus' daughter.
Sc. Von dawder! Dis? Vare obvious man, metinks.
Eur. O, reaeh thy hand, and let me elasp my love; O Scythian, reach. Ah me, what passionate storms Toss in men's sonls; and as for mine, O lady, Thou art my love! Sc. Me nod admire zure dasde.
Sdill zu may tiss her, if zu wiss id, dere.
Eur. Hard-hearted Seythian, give me up my love, And I will take her,-take her aye to wife.
Su. Tiss her, me says; me nod objex to dat.
Eur. Alı me, I'll loose her bonds. Sc. Zu bedder nod.
Eur. Ah me, I will. Sc. Den, me'se eut off zure head.
Me draw de cudless, and zu die, zu dead.
Eur. Ah, what avails me? Shall I make a speech?
His savage nature could not take it in.
True wit and wisdom were but labour lost
Ou sueh a rude barbarian. I must try
Some more appropriate, fitter stratagem.
Sc. O, de vile vox! He joeket me vare near.
Mn. O, Perseus, Perseus, wilt thou leave me so ?
Sc. Vat, does zu askin' for de vip again?
Chor. Pallas we call upon, Chastest and purest one, Maiden and Virgin, our Revels to see :
Guarding our portals
Alone of Immortals,

Mightily, potently,
Keeping the Key.
Hater of Tyranny;
Come, for we call thee, we
Women in Chorus.
Bring Peace again with thee,
Jocundly, merrily,
Long to reign o'er us.
Sacred, unearthly ones, Awfullest Shades,
Graciously, peacefully, Come to your glades.
Man must not gaze on the 1150
Rites at your shrine,
Toreh-glimmer flashing o'er
Features divine.
Come, for we're pouring
Imploring, adoring,
Intense veneration;
Dawn on your worshippers,
Givers of Home and our
Civilization.
(Euripides comes in, dressed as an old music-zooman.)
Eur. Ladies, I offer terms. If well and truly
Your honourable sex befriend me now, I won't abuse your honourable sex From this time forth for ever. This I offer.
Chor. (Suspiciously.) But what's your object in proposing this?
Fur. 'That poor old man there, he's my poor old cousin.
Let him go free, and nevermore will I
'Traduce your worthy sex ; but if you won't,
I'll meet your husbands coming from the Wars,

And put them up to all your goings-on.
Chor. We take your terms, so far as we're concerned,
But you yourself must manage with the Seythian.
Eur. I'll manage him. Now, Hop-o-my-thumb, come forward, A dancing-girl enters.)
And mind the things I taught you on the way.
Hold up your frock: skip lightly through the dance.
The Persian air, Teredon. if you please.
Sc. Vy, vat dis buzbuz? revels come dis vay "
Euk. She's going to practise, Scythian, that is all.
She's got to dance in public by-and-by.
Sc. Yesh, practish, yesh. Hoick! how se bobs about!
Now here, now dere: von rlea upon de planket.
Eur. Just stop a moment; throw your mantle off ;
Come, sit you down beside the Scythian here,
And I'll unloose your slippers. That will do.
We must be moving homeward. Sc. May I tiss her ?
Eir. Once, only once. Sc. (Kissing her.) O, O, rat vare sweet tiss!
Dat's vare moche sweeter dan zure Attish honies.
Dooze let me tiss her tecon time, ole lady.
Eur. No, Scythian, no ; we really can't allow it.
Sc. O doozy, doozy, dear ole lady, doozy.
Eur. Will you give silver for one kiss. Sc. lesh! yesh!
Eur. Well, p'raps on that consideration, Scythian, We won't object; but give the silver first.
Sc. Silver? Vy, vere? I'se got none. Take dis bow-eus. Zu , vat I call zu? Eur. Artemisia.
Sc. Yesh. Hartomixer. Eck. Hillo, what's that? She's off.
Sc. I'se fetch her pack; zu, look to bad ole man.
(Hop-o-my-thumb runs out. The Scythian fings his how-case to Euripides and vens after her.)
Eiur. O tricky Hermes, you befriend me still. Good-bye, old Scythian ; eatch her if you can. Meanwhile I'll free your prisoner : and do you (to Mm.) thes.

Run like a hero, when I've loosed your bonds, Straight to the bosom of your family.
Mn. Trust me for that, so soon as these are off.
Eur. There then, they are off : now run away, before The Seythian come and eatch you. Mv. Won't I just !
(Ewripides and Mnesilochus leave the stage. They are hardly out of sight when the Scythian returns.)
Sc. Ole lady, here's-vy, vere's ole lady fannish:? Vere's dat ole man? O bah, I smells de triek.
Ole lady', dis vare bad o'zu, ole lady!
Me nod expex dis of zu. Bad ole lady.
Hartomiser!
Bow-cusses: Yesh, zu von big howcus-bowens. Vat sall I does? vere can ole lady vas?

Hartomixer!
Chor. Mean you the ancient dame who bore the lute?
Sc. Yesh, does zu saw her? Chor. Yes, indeed I did.
She went that way: there was an old man with her.
Sc. Von yellow-shilk ole man? Chor. Exactly so. I think you'll catch them if you take that road.
Sc. Vare bad ole lady, did se vich vay run ?
Hartomixer!
Chor. Straight up the hill: no, no, not that direction.
(They are of course misdirecting him: notwithstanding which. he seems likely, in his furry, to stumble on the right rort.) You're going wrong: see, that's the way she went.
Sc. () dear, O dear, but Hartomiser rumnish.
(IIe runs out the wrong way.)
Chor. Merrily, merrily, merrily on to your own confusion go.
But we've ended our say, and we're going away,
Like good honest women, straight home from the Play. And we trust that the twain Home-givers will deign
To bless with success our performance to-day.

## A PPENDIX <br> OF VARIOUS READINGS

There are only two MSS. now known to exist, which contain the Thesmophoriazusae, viz. :
R. The Ravenna MS.
H. The Munich MS. (No. 492).

There are two Aristophanic MSS. in the library of Munich; but as they do not overlap each other, one containing the Clouds, Frogs, Eeclesiazusae, and Plutus, and the other the Lysistrata and Thesmophoriazusae, it seemed unnecessary to discriminate between them as H . and $\mathrm{H}^{1}$.; and they are both cited as H .

Both R. and H. were fortunately collated by Velsen, the prince of collators.

No part of the Thesmophoriazusae was found in the MS. or MISS. from which the learned Marco Musuro prepared the Aldine edition. Both this play and the Lysistrata were first published in lols by Bernard Junta as a supplement to his edition of the nine other plays published earlier in the same year. He describes the manuscript from which he took them as "ex Urbinate Bibliothecâ antiquissimum Aristophanis exemplar," and adds that it was so ancient "ut altera interdum dictionis pars ibi desideretur." The two plays were omittel by Mracini and Gormont, but reappear in Zanetti and all subsequent editions of Aristophanes.

## APPENDIX

'The editions of the Thesmophoriazusae in my possession are as follows:-
(1) Junta. Florence, 1515.
(2) Zanetti. Venice, 1538.
(3) Farreus. Venice, 1542.
(4) Grynaens. Frankfort, 1544.
(5) Gelenius. Basle, 1547 (sometimes called Froben).
(6) Rapheleng. Leyden, 1600 (sometimes ealled Plantin).
(7) Portus. Geneva, 1607.
(8) Sealiger. Leyden, 1624.
(9) Faber. Amsterdam, 1670.
(10) Kuster. Amsterdam, 1710.
(11) Bergler. Leyden, 1760 .
(12) Brunck. London, 18.23 (originally published at Strasburg, 1783).
(13) Invernizzi and others. Leipsie, 1794-1823. (The notes to this play are by Dindorf.)
(14) Bekker. London, 1829.
(15) Bothe's first edition. Leipsic, 1829.
(16) Thiersch's Thesmophoriazusae. Halberstadt, 183ะ.
(17) Dindorf. Oxford, 1835.
(18) Fritzsehe's Thesmophoriazusae. Loipsic, 1838.
(19) Weise. Leipsic, 1842.
(20) Enger's Thesmophoriazusae. Bonn, 1844.
(21) Bothe's second edition. Leipsic, 1845.
(22) Bergk. Leipsic, 1857. (Reprinted, 1888.)
(23) Meineke. Leipsic, 1860.
(24) Holden. London, 1868.
(95) Blaydes. Halle, 1880.
(26) Velsen's Thesmophoriazusae, 1883.
(27) Hall and Geldart. Oxford, 1900.

But the second volume of Messrs. Hall and Geldart's work was not
published until 1901, after my edition of the Frogs and Ecelesiazusae (Vol. V of this series) had been printed, so that I had not the assistance of their excellent revision for these two plays.

To a little dissertation on the Thesmophoria, published in 18:20, Wellaner appended a few valuable critical notes on the text of this play, which are noticed, in their proper places, in this Appendix.

This Comedy has been fortunate enough to attract two excellent editors, Fritzsche and Enger : the former brimming over with learning, originality, and wit, often making the most untenable suggestions, but supporting them with a wealth of illustration and argument, which extorts our admiration, even though it cannot convince; the latter careful and sagacious, but far too fond (considering that he was the younger man) of ostentatiously pricking the bubbles which his more brilliant predecessor had blown. In his Preface he refers to the edition published "a Francisco Fritzschio, magnae viro doctrinae, multaeque lectionis, qui si modestius de se ipse sentiret, neque quidquid in menten venisset, id omne existimaret effutiendum, majore denique uteretur prudentiâ atque cautione, multum posset ad Aristophanem et emendandum et rectius intelligendum, conferre." Fritzsehe was not the man to sit still under this rebuke, and in the Preface to his edition of the Frogs, published in the following year, he took oecasion to refer, apropos of nothing, "nugis Roberti Engeri, qui si, impudentî depositâ, doctrinae copiolas auxerit, forsitan aliquando literis nostris puterit prodesse." Peace be to their ashes. We could ill have dispensed with the services of either.

Here, as in the Appendix to the Eeclesiazusac, I have endeavoured to give, not only a full synopsis of the reading of the MSS., but also a general idea of the changes which have taken place, from time to time, in the text of the printed editions. No Aristophamic text is so corrupt as that of the Thesmophoriazusae; and therefore considerable licence of conjecture is not only allowable but necessary. In the present play, as well as in the Lysistrata, the Ravenna MS. fails to maintain its usual high standard of accuracy. It is true that we have the

Ravenna MS., says Fritzsche in his Preface, "At qui tandem Ravennas? Non is, quem in Nubibus, Ranis, Pluto, Equitibus, Vespis, Pace, Avibus, merito admiramur; ne is quidem, quem in Acharnensibus et Ecclesiazusis, non per se et propter se, sed codicis incpiâ melioris, optimam judicamus." And he goes on to declare, with some exaggeration, that in these two plays it is distinguished "non tam lectionum proprietate aut praestantiâ, quam mendorum et ineptiarum varietate."

More fortunate than in the Ecclesiazusae, Brunck in this play had access to $H$., a manuscript little, if at all, inferior to $R$.

1. ảpá потє ; W'ill it never? Wellauer, Dindorf, recentiores. å̉pa пóтє: When uill it? Kuster, and succeeding editur's before Dindorf. "̈ра по́тє (contra metrum) R. H. edd. before Kuster.
2. à $\lambda_{0} \nu$ R. H. vulgo. Bisetus observes $\beta \epsilon \lambda \lambda t o \nu$ "̈ $\sigma \omega s$ i $\lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, a conjecture approved by several editors, but introduced into the text by Holden only. The aspirate was added to äv $\begin{aligned} & \text { pownos by }\end{aligned}$ Bekker. Before Portus $\epsilon \xi$ 白 $\omega \neq \nrightarrow \nu a \bar{u}$ was written as one word ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \epsilon \omega \theta$ woì.
3. oióv tє Zanetti, Firreus, Portus, recentiores. oinv $\tau \iota R$. H. and the other editors before Portus. Dindorf's error, in ascribing the rectification of the text to Caninius, has crept into all recent editions.
4. ล̉ủptaiô R. H. vulgo. Eúptaiôך Dindorf, Blayiles.
5. ©̈ $\sigma$ ' H. Brunck, recentiores. ôs R. edd. before Brunck, For távé Hamaker suggested, and Meineke and Holden read, $\tau a \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$.
6. $\mu \approx \lambda \lambda y s$ Brunck, Bekker, recentiores. $\mu \epsilon \lambda_{\lambda} \lambda t s$ R. H. edd. before Brunck.
7. af ${ }^{\circ}$ Ǩuster, recentiores. "ip’ R. II. edd. before Kinster.
8. mapolvếs R. H. vulgo. mapateốs Junta, Grynaens, and Gelenius. Reiske suggested ' $\mu \pi a p o \iota \nu \epsilon i s$.
9. opaiv. Kuster placed a note of interrogation after this line, which seems wrong; but he is followed by Bergler, Fritzsche, Holden, Blaydes, Velsen, and Hall and Geldart.
10. $\chi \omega$ ois. The editions before Grynaeus have $\chi \omega \rho$ nîs, a mere typographical error, since they all have xopis; two lines below.
11. MN. тoû $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ ảко́̇єє $\mu \dot{\eta} \theta^{\prime}$ óрầ: EY. This mode of punctuating and dividing the line is really found in R. H. and all editions before Brunck ; but as they omit Er. at the commencement of line 11, they give to Mnesilochus the three lines and a half from $\pi \hat{\omega} s \mu o t$ тараıעєis to $\mu \dot{\eta} \theta^{\prime}$ ópầ; Bergler pointed out that EY. should be prefixed to line 11 ; and Brumck, adopting this, gave both 11 and 12 as one speech to Euripides, striking out the note of interrogation and the EY. after ofôv. And this unfortunate change was followed byInvernizzi, Bekker, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Weise, and Bothe. Meanwhile Tyrwhitt
and Dobree had suggested the trine mode of punctuating and dividing the line: and even before their Adversaria were published, though long after they were written, it was restored by Thiersel. Since then it has been adopted by Enger, Bergk, and all subsequent editors.
 H. editions before Brunck. R. has autû, without any breathing.
12. $\pi \rho \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}^{\prime}$ 'є $\mu \eta \chi \propto \nu \eta$ б́батоGrynaeus, Kuster, recentiores. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a \mu \eta \chi a \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma и \tau \circ$ R. H. and the other editions before Kuster:
13. àкой $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \chi^{\text {оú }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$ Dobree, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, Hall and Geldart. Meineke, however, in his Vind.Aristoph., falls away to a conjecture of Bernays,
 vulgo. Scaliger suggested ủkồs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \chi^{0-}$ ávpı, and so Wellauer and Bothe. But the dative akoỵ is required as a parallel
 It is equivalent, as Meineke saicl, to
 the words $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o \eta \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \chi o i v \eta s$ might be used, is a joke, for $\chi$ оáv $\nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{\jmath}$; a curious joke. Both Reiske and Tyrwhitt suggested סírpv $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ Xoávps. It is not an observation of much importance, but there is no instance of this use of diкnv by Aristophanes. This suggestion is, however, adopted by Enger and Blaydes, though the latter dissents from it in his footnote. Fritzsche reads $\dot{\kappa} \kappa \mu \hat{j} \delta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \dot{\omega} \nu \eta s$. as- if it were a question of Ether's modus operandi.
14. $\tilde{\eta} \delta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{i}$ $\gamma \epsilon$ R. H. vulgo; but by a slight typographical error, very slight in those days of contractions, the editions from Gelenius to Bergler (inclusive)

15. olív $\gamma \epsilon$ т $\pi$ oú 'atı after the lemmu scholii, Porson, Bothe, and Hall and
 variously accented, is given by R. H. and rulgo. Brunck read ti $\pi$ ov ' $\sigma \tau i v$, and is followed by Invernizzi, Dindorf,Thiersch,
 and so Enger, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, and Velsen. But cf. Wasps 27 and the line of Eupolis cited in the Commentary on the present line.

2\%. Є’ $\xi \in \dot{v} p o r s$ Reiske, Brunck, Fritzsche, Enger, Bothe, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, Velsen, $\epsilon^{\xi} \xi \in i \rho o u ’$ R. H. vulgo.
24. тгобріӨони Wellauer, Dindorf, Enger, Bothe, Bergk, recentiores. $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\mu i \theta o t$ mi R. H. Junta, and the editors from Gelenius to Kuster (inclusive). $\pi \mu \rho \sigma \mu i \theta_{o \iota s} \mu i j / a n e t t i$, Farreus, Grynaens, Scaliger (in notes), Kuster (in notes), Bergler. $\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \dot{\prime} \theta \omega \mu$ i Tyrwhitt, Reiske, Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Fritzsche. In the editions before lirunck this line and the following were given to Euripides.
26. 'Hраклє́ı R. H. vulgo. 'Hраклえ̄ Dindorf, Thiersch, Blaydes.
27. бьต́ma Dobree, Meineke. aiya R. H. vulgo. But Mnesiluchus when he says $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \omega$ тó $\theta \dot{u}$ ptov must be adopting the very words of Euripides. In the editions called Scaliger's and Faber's, and in Invernizzi, EY. is substituted for $\boldsymbol{\tau}$.
28. ‘коím Brunck, Elmsley (at Ach. 295), Fritzsche, Meineke, recentiores. áкои́бш R. H. vulgo.
29. évtaū $\theta^{\prime}$ R. H. vulgo. Invernizzi, whether by misreading $R$., or out of his own head, substituted èvもíó $\delta^{\prime}$. Wellaner, supposing this to be R.'s reading, observed "recipi potest, mod"

quod et sensus et metri causia molestum est，neque obscurum habet corruptelae fontem．＂And $\epsilon \downarrow \theta$ cio＇is accordingly read by Dindorf，Enger，Bergk，Meineke， and Holclen．But it is not R．＇s reading， and Invernizai himself was the only fons corruptelae．

30．＇A $\gamma$＇$\theta \omega \nu$ ．The article or aspirate was added by Scaliger，Bentley，and Kuster in their notes，and has been introduced into the text by Brunck and all subsequent editors．

31．EY．The mark of a new speaker is prefixed to this line by H ．Brunck， and all subsequent editors．It is omitted by R．and all earlier editors，who give to Mnesilochus everything from $\pi$ oios to картєро́s；inclusive：Junta and others reading moîos oṽtos＇Ayá $\theta \omega \nu$＂ $\mathrm{E} \sigma \tau \tau \nu$ ；тis ＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ á $\theta \omega \nu$ ；whilst Gelenius and others read moîos oûtos＇Ayä $\theta \omega \nu$ ；＂E $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ tıs ＇A yú日 $\omega$ ，

32．є́оракая．All the editions before Brunck have ¿́ف́ракаs contra metrum． Kuster in his note proposed émpakás $\pi о \tau \epsilon$ ，Dawes proposed éตpas，which is approved by Porson and followed by Brunck and Invernizzi．Then lientley＇s emendation，ćópaкas，came to light，which has been adopted by Bekker and every subsequent editor except Weise ：and is now rendered certain by the discovery that R．，who gives the words to Mnesi－ lochus，reads є́ópaка．H．has émpaка． Weise says that no alteration is re－ quired，since $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega}$－is to be read as one syllable，not observing that this，if true， would not mend matters．

34．oüto $\gamma^{\prime}$ R．H．vulgo．on＇$\pi \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ Meineke．

38．єогкє．The omission of $\dot{\text { es }}$ has troubled some recent scholars．Meineke
reads wínai $\gamma \epsilon$ ，and in his Vind．Arist． suggests $\pi \rho \circ \theta v \sigma о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \notin \circ$ огє．Dindorf and Rutherford think the verse spurious， and Velsen encloses it in brackets．

39．入aiss．Some MSS．of Suidas，S．v． єíфंभ$\mu \epsilon$, and，apparently，the Scholiast here，Bothe，Meineke，recentiores．
 $\lambda \epsilon \grave{\omega}$ Brunck．

40．$\sigma v \gamma_{k} \lambda$ cioas R．H．editions before Meineke．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$ Meineke，recenti－ ores，adopting the common fallacy that the general Hellenic form，as opposed to the special Attic form，was never used by Athenian writers．

45．बíya．тi $\lambda$＇́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota s$ ；H．vulgo．And su R．originally，but afterwards the final s was erased，so that the reading became vi $\gamma$ a．тi $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ ；which is read by Fritzsche and Enger．Reiske proposed oiza．ӨE． тis $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon t$ ；contra metrum．Bothe reads бiүa．MN．тi $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ；and Meineke，fol－ lowed by more recent editors，$\sigma i \gamma a$ ． MN．$\tau_{i} \lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ；The common reading seems to me greatly preferable to either of these alterations．

50．$\pi \rho$ о́ $\mu$ os Grynaeus，Scaliger（in notes），Brunck（in notes），Bothe，Weise， Enger，Meineke（in notes），Holden，re－ centiores．－$\pi \rho a ́ \mu o s$ R．H．vulgo．
 кабтウ̀s，and रıavєúєt，Bourdin，Bisetus， Bentley，Portus，recentiores．comiồus R．H．edd．before Portus．

56．$\gamma$ o $\gamma \gamma^{\dot{u}} \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ Porson，Bekker，Fritzsche， recentiores．$\gamma^{\circ} \gamma \gamma^{\boldsymbol{\wedge}} \boldsymbol{\lambda} i \zeta \epsilon$ R．H．editions： before Brunck，and Invernizzi after－ wards：$\gamma о \gamma \gamma v \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon$ Brunck：$\gamma \circ \gamma \gamma \dot{u} \zeta_{\epsilon \iota}$ Thiersch．Other conjectures are $\gamma 0 \gamma v$－ dǐct Bentley（at Hor．A．P．441）， 人o $^{-}$
 Clouds 992）．

58．ìpputitas Bentley，Brunck，Thi－ ersch，Bekker，recentiores．áypiotas R．H．editions before Brunck，and In－ vernizzi afterwards．

60．кıтí R．（as corrected），Invernizzi， recentiores．The preposition is omitted by H．and（originally）by R．：and by all editions before Brunck，who inserted $\delta \grave{a}$ ，which is followed by Weise．

61．$\sigma v \gamma \gamma^{\circ} \gamma \gamma^{0}$ ioras Brunck，and all sub－ sequent editions before Enger．And so Bergk．yoy $\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {dioas R．H．and all editions }}$ before Brunck．ouy oy $\begin{gathered}\text { ídas Enger，}\end{gathered}$ Meineke，recentiores．

63．$\nu$＇́os $\gamma^{\prime} \omega \nu$ R．H．Brunck，recentiores．
 lentley by conjectme restored the true reading．véos íj̀̀ Zanetti，Farreus， Rapheleng．véos ả à̀̀ $^{2}$ Portus and sub－ serfuent editors before Brunck．

69．Av́pa⿱ı兀 R．H．vulgo．See Elmsley at Eur．Medea 466．Búpaş́ Zanetti， Farreus，Rapheleng，Portus，Scaliger， Faber，Bergler，Invernizzi，Weise， Meineke，Molden，Blaydes．

70．MN．тi nûv к．t．$\lambda$ ．This line is divided as in the text by Junta and all editors before Invernizzi，and by Thiersch，Bekker，Fritzsche，Weise，and Bergk since．Invernizzi gave the first part to Emipides，and the second to Agathon＇s servant，and so Bothe，Enger， Meineke，Holden，and Hall and Geldart． Blaydes leaves the first part to Mnesi－ lochas，but transfers the second to Agra－ thon＇s servant．

74．$\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \mu \dot{\nu}$ R．H．vulgo．＇̇ $\mu \dot{\epsilon}$ is suggested by Brunck，referring to Lys．714，and Soph．Electra 957 （but ef． 1165 infra）， and is read by Blaydes and Velsen．

77．$\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ R．H．vulgo．Memeke and Holden absurdly read $\zeta \grave{\omega}$ ．

80．＇̇тєiтрít ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau i$. Not understanding the line Nanck proposes，and Meineke （Vincl．Aristoph．）approves，é $\pi \in i \pi \epsilon_{1}$ ） є̇бті．

83．$\pi \epsilon \rho_{i} \mu$ иo Brunck，Bekker，recen－ tiores．$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \notin \mu \nu \hat{\sim}$ R．H．editions before Portus．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$＇$\mu o u ̂$ Portus，subserguent editions before Brunck，and Inver－ nizzi．

86．Síkaú $\gamma^{\text { }}$＂$\nu$ Grynaeus，Sealiger（in notes），Kuster，Bekker，Bothe，Fritzsche， recentiores．The reading is commonly attributed to Sealiger，lout was adopted． a century before，by Grynaeus．Sikui a $a$ R．all editions，except Grynatus， before Kuster．$\Delta \grave{a}$ ठiки’ đ̈̀ H ．Brunck， Invernizzi，Bekker，Thierseh，Din－ dorf．

87．＇̇к тaütŋs R．H．vulgo．Bergler proposed $\epsilon \kappa \tau$ тои́ $\tau \nu$ ，which is adopted by most subsecuent editors．But Reiske proposed $\epsilon \pi i$ tav́tas．Wellaner would change $\dot{a} \tau \grave{a} \rho$ at the commencement of the line into äтクs．Fritzsche reads $\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ aujris in the sense of forthwith， and so Enger in the form of $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\kappa}^{\kappa} \gamma^{\prime}$ aùrijs． Bergh and one or two more retain $\epsilon_{\kappa}$ тav́t $\eta$ s．
 Kovt＇H．；see the Appendix on Eccl． 161．－кй» R．H．all editions before Brunck，and Fritzsehe and Hall and Geldart afterwards．But Markland（at Eur．Suppl． 364 （í $\nu \tau о \kappa \in \bar{v} \sigma(\delta \hat{\varphi})$ suggented $\chi^{\prime} \hat{a}^{\prime} \nu$ ，and that（as $\chi^{\prime \prime} \nu$ ）has been adop，ted by Bronck，and，save as aforesaid，all subsequent editors．It is also approver by Porson．Put I agree with Fritzsche that кả̀v $\delta \dot{\notin \eta}$ ，if need be，if the occusione should arise，is more probable here than $\chi^{a ̈ \nu} \delta \dot{\epsilon}!$ ，what shull be required：though， no doubt，later on，Euripides assumes
that his adrocate will have to deliver a speech．

91．фavepòv R．H．vulgo．фavєpês Cobet，Meineke，Holden，Velsen，Hall and Geldart．

95．＇Ayuitov．The aspirate or article was added by Bentley，Brunck，recen－ tiores．
 Bothe，Fritzsche，Weise，Enger，Bergk， and Hall and Geldart．moiós є́otıy oitos EY．R．H．all other editions be－ fore Fritzsche．But Dobree proposed $\pi n u ̂$＇orıv；EY．oủ̃os aitòs，and su Holden ： and so Bergk again suggested，merely retransferring the oûtus to Mnesilochus： Meineke reads $\pi 0 \hat{u}$＇$\sigma \theta$＇；EY．ötov＇$\sigma \tau$＇v＇； oíros，and Blaydes and Yelsen $\pi ⿰ \hat{\imath}$ пот ह̇ativ ；EY．oủtos．

99．viv．So，I think，we should prob－ ably read．àv R．H．editions before Bergler．aủ Scaliger in notes，Bergler， recentiores，except as hereafter men－ tioned．This is supposed to be sup－ ported by the very similar line in Birds
 but there the Hoopoe had，while here Agathon has not，already sung．Thierseh reads $a \prime$＇$l$＇．Bergk $\gamma \dot{a} p$ ，which is adopted by Velsen and Hall and Geldart． Meineke for $\mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \delta \epsilon i \nu$ ì $\nu$ writes $\mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \delta i a \nu$ ． It seems to me that $v i v$ is nearer the Ms．reading，and also more probable in itself than $\gamma^{\text {à }} p$ ．

100．$\delta \iota a \mu \nu \nu \dot{p} \in \tau u \iota$ Dawes，Brunck，recen－ tiores，except Thiersch and Meineke． סıгришррі乡єтая R．H．editions before Brounck and Thiersch．$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu \nu \quad$ pi $\xi \in \tau=$ Meincke．

101．XOoviats．Meineke proposes，and Holden reads，roiv X $\theta$ ovíav．

10：3．тuтpió九 R．H．vulgo．$\pi$ atpiạ Kuster
（in notes），Dobree．$\pi a ́ \tau \rho \iota a$ Meineke， Holden．$\pi a \tau \rho i o \delta$ is of course，here as elsewhere，used as an adjective．Mr． Richards（Classical Review，xvii．10） suggests $\pi \rho a \pi i \delta i .-\chi \propto \rho є \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Bentley， Kuster（in notes），Dobree，Fritzsche， recentiores．रорє́vaб $\theta a$ R．H．editions before Fritzsche．$\chi^{\text {opéváat } \operatorname{Scaliger} \text {（in }}$ notes）．－$\beta o a ̣$ Kuster（in notes），Dobree， Meineke，Holden．$\beta$ oáv R．H．vulgo． Kuster was really the first editor who understood the passage．

104．rivu $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Reisig，Dindorf（in notes）， Bergk，Blaydes，Velsen．rivı（without $\delta \dot{\epsilon})$ R．H．vulgo．But this and the follow－ ing line seem to be in the same metre， and the $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ might easily drop out before бацно́vшン．

105．$\nu v \nu$（or $\nu v ิ \nu$ ）R．H．vulgo．Meineke proposed，and Blaydesreads，$\nu \nu \nu$ ．And for єủniotas（R．H．vulgo）Reiske suggesterl and Blaydes and Velsen read є̇̇זєiбtas．

106．$\ddot{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \iota$ Suidas（s．v．$\sigma \in \beta i \sigma a t$ ），Gry－ naeus，Reiske，Brunck，recen＇゙iores．${ }_{\epsilon} \chi \notin \epsilon s$ R．H．and all editions，except Grynaeus， before Brunck．

107． |  |
| :---: |
| $\lambda$ |
| $\beta$ |
| $\iota$ | Bentley，Dindorf（innotes）， Enger，Holden，Blaydes，Velsen，and Hall and Geldart．ö $\pi \lambda \iota \zeta \subset \mathrm{R}$ ．H．vulgo． $\bar{\omega} \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \zeta \zeta$ Meineke；who in his Vind．Aristoph． remarks＂Bentleius ő $\lambda\langle\iota \zeta \epsilon$ conjecit，quae etsi ingeniosa conjectura est，fortasse tamen rectius seripsi $\hat{\omega}$ к $\lambda \hat{\imath} \zeta \epsilon$ ，mutatione fere uulla．＂He does not seem to ob－ serve that his conjecture，besides being supremely ridiculous in itself，goes much further from the original than Bentley＇s， whieh is undoubtedly right．See the Commentary．Bergk suggests，but does not read，öти乌є $\mu$ ои́ $\sigma$ ．

111．ка入入íatus ào $\delta$ ais R．H．rulgo． ки入入iotus cicoijs Dobree，with great
doubt；but his snggestion is followed by Meineke，Holden，Blaydes，Hall and Geldart．

115．áєívar＇Zanetti，and all editors except Junta，Gelenius，and Enger． ciєiбavт’ R．Junta，Gelenius．＂̈єєбà $\tau^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ． üeioov Enger．I do not understand why recent editor＇s call àєiour＇Kuster＇s conjecture．He merely followed his predecessors without remark．It seems probable that some word has been omitted at the commencement of this line，which would make it conform with the preceding，and arapévov has been suggested．

117．$\tilde{\epsilon} \pi о \mu$ R．H．vulgo．Bergk sug－ gests，but does not read，$\sigma$ є́ $\beta$ о $\mu$ aı．

120．крои́ $\mu a \tau a ́$＇$\tau^{\prime}$ R．Н．vulgo．кроі̂дí $\tau^{\prime}$ Enger．
 Xapitev Bergk（in notes）and（with ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \rho p v \theta \mu a$ for $\left.\epsilon \cup ँ p v \theta \mu a\right)$ Enger，Meineke， Holden，and（with Фрvүi $\omega \nu$ for Фриүi $\omega$ ） Dindorf．$\pi \nu \delta i \pi a \rho \alpha ́ \rho v \theta \mu{ }^{\prime} \in \cup v p v \theta \mu u$ Фрvүi $\omega \nu$
 was Bentley＇s correction，which was adopted by（besides the editor＇s above－ mentioned），Brunck，Thiersch，Bothe in his second edition，and all editors since Enger，except Hall and Geldart． Fritzsche has dı̀̀ veíputa．For Xapitco Bentley（reading Фрvyiov）suggested Kovprit $\omega \nu$ ．

125．¿окіря R．H．vulgo．Dindorf sug－ gested סóкıцо⿱ which Meineke，Blaydes， Velsen，and Hall and Geldart accept． Meineke，however，preferred ôokím which Holden accepts．
 Many recent editors seek to make this a dactylic line．Enger changed фös into фios，and so Meineke，Holden，Vel－
sen，and Hall and Geldart．He also inserted $\tau \in$ gov for which the other four have $\theta \epsilon \cap \hat{v}$ ．But Bothe＇s suggestion סai－ $\mu o \nu o s$ ö $\mu \mu \pi \sigma \iota \nu$ is far simpler and better． Meineke，always very inacomate in his references to the MSS．，ascribes Bothe＇s suggestion to them．For ö $\mu \mu$ абя Hermam proposed $\sigma \tau о ́ \mu a \sigma$ ，and Bergh ő̈цабь． The latter is brought into the text by Meineke and Holden．Fritzsche，as a corollary to his alteration in the next line，read tâs for rạ̀ in this．

127．ס＇ai申vioiov H．Junta，vulgo． סtauфvioiou R．Gelenius，Portus，Scaliger， Faber．$\delta \iota a \mu \phi \iota i \omega v$ Fritzsche，referring to Aesch．Prom．565．Meineke suggests סo＇aioòou which Holden adopts．Some recent editors change ij $\mu \in \tau \in \mathfrak{f} p a s$ into геєтє́рия．

128．$\dot{\omega} v$ Xápur．H．has a line，which signifies a new speaker，hefore these words；and Dr．Blaydes suggest．s that this rerse should be assigned to the actur，and that the following rerse is the response of the Chorus．＂Nam in praecedentibus prima persona lopuitur
 r．123．Agatho contra secunda 呩 $\beta_{\iota} \zeta \epsilon$ v．107，rieioat＇v．115．＂This suggestion． thongh Dr．Blaydes does not himself introduce it into the text，is adopted hy Velsen，and seems to me extremely prob－ able．－＂ryad $\lambda \in$ R．H．Scaliger，Kuster， and Bergler（in their respective motes）， Brunck，recentiores．$\ddot{\ddot{c} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \text { all editions }}$ before Brunck except Zanctti，Farrels－， Rapheleng，and Bergler who have didda． $-\tau \iota \mu$ ị is ejected or bracketed by Dindorf and several recent editors．For ävakt＇ ＂̈ $\gamma a \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ Фoísov Meineke and Holden read Фоїßov ävakт’＂̈夕a入入 $\epsilon$ ．

direction is rightly given by Sudas，s．s． In R．H．the article $\delta$ is changed into s， ì $\lambda o \lambda u ́ \zeta \epsilon \epsilon s \gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ ，so that it naturally became a part of the preceding speech； and it so contimued till the time of Brunck，though Zanetti and Farreus
 ever，enclosed it in brackets，as in my text，for the purpose，not of deleting it as his editors in the Classical Journal sup－ pose，but of showing that it was merely a stage－direction ：a fact which was also recognized by Kuster in his notes． Brunck and subsequent editors（except Fritzsche）omit it altogether，thongh many of them retain the corresponding $\pi 几 \rho \in \pi \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \eta$ after 276 infra．Fritzsche retains the first word ò $\lambda o \lambda \dot{u} \zeta \epsilon$ ！，and asks， very sensibly，who would venture to sweep away the stage－directions from modern plays，and so increase the difti－ culty of understanding them？To which Enger solemnly replies that modern editors＂non docent fabulas，sed scri－ bunt；＂as if Aristophanes did not also write his plays，and as if the additional fact that they were placed on the stage under his superintendence did not con－ stitute an additional reason for his giving stage－directions．There seems every reason to believe that these $\pi а \rho \div \pi เ y p a \phi a i$ must have been intro－ duced，if not by the hand，at least under the direction，of Aristophanes himself．

130．по́тva all the printed editions except Junta，which，with R．H．，has то́тди．

134．veaviox $\chi$ öot tıs İ．Junta．veaviork єı̈ tis H．Grynieus， Bothe，Thiersch．veavio $\chi$＇Ïтıs Gelenius to Kuster both inchasive．Porson sug－
gested veâvis $\ddot{\eta} \tau t s$ which is read by Fritzsche，Eniger，Bergk，Meineke，and Holden．

130．Auкovpyias R．H．vulgo．Dobree wrote＂Avкоируєias ut＇O $\rho \in \sigma \tau \epsilon i a s ~ R a n . " ~$ This is one of the hasty suggestions of that brilliant scholar，which a little further consideration would have led him to retract．＇Орє́бтєta is properly formed from＇Орє́ $\sigma \tau \eta s$ ，as $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$＇vє $\quad$ from
 from $\epsilon \dot{u} \sigma \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} s, a \dot{\lambda} \dot{\eta} \theta \in \epsilon a$ from $\bar{a} \lambda \eta \theta_{\eta} s$ ，and the like．But Avкoupyia is properly formed from Аикоиิруоs，аs какоирүia from какойpyos，тavoupyía from mavoūpyos，and the like．Yet Dobree＇s mistake，in de－ fiance of all theauthorities，has been intro－ duced into the text by Bothe，Thiersch， Dindorf，Bergk，recentiores．And in a moment of weakness I allowed my $\Lambda v$－ коupria in the note on Frogs 1124 to be corrected into Auкov $\rho \gamma \epsilon i a$ ．For ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ （the present，rarely if ever used）Dindorf substituted the arist $\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \theta a$ ．And this is generally followed．

138．$\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i$ R．H．vulgo．$\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota$ Valcke－ nate，Bromek．

141．тí $\delta$＇R．H．vulgo．aí $\tau^{\prime}$ Scho－ liast，Enger，Meineke，Holden，Velsen． $\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\circ}$ Thiersch，Blayles．

145．$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \delta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ R．H．vulgo．＇̇ $\pi \in i$ $\sigma \dot{v} \gamma^{\prime}$ Fritzsche．

146．тө̂̂ фOóvov $\mu$ ùv tòv 廿ózov R．H． vulgo．Reiske proposed either to change廿ójoy into $\psi$ ó $\phi o r$, or to read rò̀ $\phi$ Өóvo $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ roû qúyou．In the next line for $\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \chi \dot{\jmath} \mu \eta \nu$（R．H．vulgo），Bergk sug－
 and Velsen $\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \in \mu o t$ ．But in neither line has the text been altered：so again， in the line which follows，Meineke for ä $\mu \alpha \gamma^{\omega} \dot{\omega} \mu!$ singgests $\dot{\circ} \mu o ́ \gamma \nu \omega \mu \nu \nu$ ，but does
not introduce that astonishing emnjecture into the text.
149. $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ خà $\rho$. (as corrected) $H$. Bentley, Porson, Invernizzi, recentiores. All editions before Scaliger read $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ alone (without $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ) contra metrum. Scaliger in his note proposed either $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ or $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ тòv, and $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ ròv was read from Scaliger to Brunck inclusive. But as Porson observed " vox àv̀̀ $\rho$, «uando juncta est alio substantivo, articulum, quod sciam, non asciscit."
150. à $\delta \in i ̂ i \pi o t \epsilon i v$ R. H. vulgo. aíì (for í đ́єì) $\pi о \iota \epsilon i$ Meineke, Velsen.
154. $\eta_{\nu} \nu$ Dindorf, Thiersch, Bergk, recentiores. àv R. H. vulgo. ẩ Fritzsche, Enger, Holden.
158. є́ $\sigma \tau v \kappa \grave{\omega} \mathrm{R}$. H. vulgo. '̇ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \grave{\omega} s$ Gelenius to Le Fevre (inclusive), Invernizzi, Bothe, Meineke. This innovation destroys the whole point of the interruption. Agathon had said that a poet should adapt himself to the characters he is describing. Whereupon Mnesilochus remarks (either to Agathon or to Euripides) "When you described Phaedra, did you act like a harlot?" And again, "When you describe Satyrs, let me come and describe them with you, adopting the manner in which Satyrs are represented on the stage."
In Bentley's time $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \omega ̀ s$ had possession of the text, and that great critic observed "Lege, ut in Grynaeo, évтvкळ́s.
 távets." And the author of the Etymol. Magn. derives the word ¿árupos from $\sigma i \theta \eta$, тò aíoiov, thouğh others derive it otherwise.
159. ä $\lambda \lambda \omega s$ Gelenius, Portus, recentiores. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ढ̈s editions, other than Gelenius, before Portus. " ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda$ ’ $\omega s$ R. H.
162. к'А $\lambda_{\text {кnios R. H. vulgo. See the }}$ Commentary. кảұaıòs Meineke, Holden. $\chi^{\dot{\omega}}$ Ḱcios (meaning Simonides) Fritzsche. Blaydes. äp $\quad$ atos was suggested by Hermann and thought probable by Enger. Velsen omits the word, marks a lacuna, and suggests кoi $\pi$ tívtєs. -oïтєp . . . '̇ $\chi$ í$\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma a v}$. So Bentley from Suidas,s.v.v. é $\mu$ $\tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau o$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \dot{\prime} \mu \tau \sigma a p$, and Bisetus makes the same suggestion. oit $\pi \rho$ is read by Portus and all subsequent editors excepting Hall and Geldart ; éxú $\mu$ a $\sigma a \nu$ by Kuster and all subsequent editors. ồ $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{i} .$. є́ $\chi$ ú $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} a v$ R. H. and all editors before Portus and Kuster respectively. oî $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ . . '̇ंख́utoav Hall and Geldart, possibly beeause they object to the use of ointep for the simple definite ot. But this is the constant usage in Aristophanes: see for example in this very play lines. 480 and 1060.
 Commentary. $\delta t \epsilon \kappa i \nu \omega \nu$ ' $I \omega \nu ı k \omega \bar{s}$ R. H. Junta, Grynaeus, Gelenius, Rapheleng. ס́ekivovv 'I $\omega$ ııкés Harpocration (s. v. 'I $\omega \nu$ tкòs), Suidas (s.v. '́ $\mu \iota \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau o$ ), Zanetti, and except as aforesaid all editors down to and including Bergler. Vales, on Harpocration ubi supra, suggested $\delta \iota \kappa \iota \nu o u ̄ \nu \tau$ ' which both Kuster and Bergler approved, though retaining Stexivovv in their text: Kuster, too, to assist the metre proposed to omit $\tau \epsilon$. But even so the line remained unmetrieal. "Recte quidem, si sensum loci spectes, Yalesius, quo nemo fere elegantiori ingenio has literas tractavit, legebat סıєкเ\%oùvto, se morebant. Quod vero dicit Kusterus, partieulam $\tau \epsilon$ redundare, in hoc judieium ejus desidero. Sed ne sie quidem versus sanus erit; imo, quod nee V'alesius nee Kusterus viderat, misere
oneri succumbit．Verbum enim кıveiv primam semper producit．Quo pacto ruartam sedem degravat spondeus．Vide igitur an nos rem acu tetigerimus；＇$\mu$－ трофо́роvข тє кай $\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$＇＇ $1 \omega \nu \iota \kappa \omega ̂ s$.
 dationes in Suidam，i．166．And in the same work ii． 6 he cites from Dionys． Halicar．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ iǹs tov̂ $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma$ ใ́́vovs $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́-$


 Brunck and，except as hereinafter men－ tioned，all subsequent editors．Inver－ nizzi writes the line $\epsilon \mu \tau \tau \rho o 力 o ́ \rho o v \nu \tau \epsilon$ ， $\kappa a ̉ \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa a \nu o ́ \omega \nu \tau$＇＇I $\omega \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$ ．Thiersch，omitting $\tau \epsilon$ ，reads $\delta \iota \epsilon \kappa \nu a i o \nu \tau$＇．Fritzsche，retaining $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \kappa \lambda \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \prime}$ in his text，yet disapproves of it in his note，and propomads two other conjectures，（1）$\delta \iota \varepsilon \chi \lambda i \delta \omega \nu$＇ $1 \omega \nu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} s$ ，omit－ ting the $\tau \epsilon$ ；and（2）кiд $\lambda \lambda i \delta \omega \nu$＇I $\omega \nu \iota \kappa \omega \bar{s}$ ， retaining the $\tau \epsilon$ ．He himself preferred the first form．The second is introduced into the text by Meineke and Holden： Bergk reads $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \kappa \lambda \omega \nu$ ．St．Chrysostom says тò $\mu$ адакòv íرиítop каì тì̀ aủaттрàv
 in Hebr．（275 B）and frequently uses
 sense．Blaydes makes eight conjectures， but dnes not mean any of them to be ardopted．

165．$\dot{\eta} \mu \pi i \sigma \chi$ єтo Elmsley（at Med．1128）， Dindorf，Bothe，Enger，recentiores，ex－ cept Hall and Geldart．$\dot{\eta} \mu \pi \epsilon \in \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau_{0}$ R．H． vulgo．

166．кú入’（or ка入ì）$\hat{\eta} \nu$ тà $\delta p a ́ \mu u \tau a ~ R, ~ H . ~$ vulgo．Dindorf，apparently by an over－
 this has been followed by several sub－ sequent editor＇s．

168．wook $\begin{gathered} \\ \eta\end{gathered}$ s and（in the following
line）छєעoклє́ $\eta \boldsymbol{j}$ Bentley，Brunck，Din－ dorf，and subsequent editors；unani－ mously，as regards the latter name； but a few of the less recent editors wrote $\dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \Phi \iota \lambda_{o k} \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ in the former line． $\Phi_{l} \lambda_{0 к} \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ and $\Xi \epsilon \nu 0 \kappa \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ R．H．and all editors before Brunck．In 168 R．has $\bar{\delta}$ for the article $\dot{\delta}$ ．Line 169 was ori－ ginally omitted in $R$ ，but was inserted by a second corrector after line 170 ． os $\delta$＇$a \dot{u}$ R．and all editors before Brunck． $\delta^{\prime} a \dot{v}$（without the article）H．Brunck changed this to o $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \Xi \epsilon v o \kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \eta s$ ，and he has been followed by subsequent editors except Hall aud Geldart．

171．$\gamma\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ tot R．H．vulgo．toivev Blaydes and Velsen，which is certainly what we should have expected．

17．3．$\beta u u ̂ \zeta \omega \nu$ ］R．H．vulgo．＂ In － eptissime，ut mihi quidem videtur，poeta Euripidem ad simplicissimam Mnesi－ lochi interrogationem $\pi \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ ；
 bendum suspicor maĩoat ßaбaviそuv．＂ Meineke．So that Meineke actually supposed the interruption of Euripides to have special reference to the latest question of Mnesilochus：and did not perceive that the poet，to whom the matter is one of life and death，is tired out with the mending garrulity of Mnesilochus，and breaks in with the interruption Do stop that yapping，with－ out the slightest reference to the words just uttered．

178．oíós $\tau \epsilon$ Kametti and all editions except Junta．oío $\tau \in$ R．H．Junta．

179．кuvij Bisetris，Bentley，Portus， recentiores，except Invernizzi，who with R．H．and the editions before Portus reads кow $\hat{\eta}$ ．
185．ठокюิ R．H．vulg＂．ठокєì Gele－
nius, and Portus to Bothe's second edition, inclusive.
186. $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s$ (connected with $\sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon t s$ ) R. H. vulgo, you will sate me heyond "doubt. $\sigma o \phi \hat{\omega}$ (connected with $i \pi \epsilon \rho$ aтoкрi $\nu \eta$ ) Bentley, Dobree, Fritzsche.
189. үヶү $\dot{\prime}$ сккода Brunck, Bekker; recentiores. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\nu} \dot{\omega} \sigma к о \mu а \iota ~ R . ~ H . ~ e d i t i o n s ~$ before Brunck.
 (s.v. $\dot{\chi} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ), Brunck, Porson, recentiores. каi јà $\mu \mu^{\prime} \nu \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ ä $\boldsymbol{R}$. and all editions before Brunck, though Scaliger suggested каì $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ a mann кuì $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ oủv, and Kuster кai $\gamma \grave{a} p a ̉{ }^{\prime}$, , rightly, but omitting the final $\stackrel{\mu}{u} \nu$, which is also omitted by Fritzsche and Blaydes. H. has kai $\gamma$ à $\rho \mu \epsilon \neq i, \mu \epsilon \theta^{*} \quad \stackrel{a}{c} \nu$, with an erasure of two letters between $\gamma$ àp and $\mu \in \nu \sigma^{\prime} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$.
198. тєұvá $\sigma \mu a \sigma ı v$ R. and (except that it omits the first $\sigma$ ) H. Suidass.v., Kuster, Brunck, recentiores. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \sigma \mu \mu \sigma \iota \nu$ Junta, Gelenius, Rapheleng. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu i ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma ı y$ all the other editions before Brunch.
204. vuктєرєir! R. H. vulgo. Passow thought that Aristophanes is alluding to the word $\epsilon p \in i \delta \omega$, and so Fritzsche. vuктєpriбua (from a hesitating suggestion of Dobree) Bothe, Enger, Meineke.
206. $\nu \grave{\eta} \Delta i a$ R. H. vulgo. $\mu i ̀ \quad \Delta i a$ Fritzsche.- $\langle\nu \iota \iota \sigma \theta a t$ R. H. Gelenius, recentiores. кuєiotat editions before Gelenius.
208. $\gamma \epsilon \sigma \dot{v}$ R. H. vulgo. $\sigma \dot{u} \gamma^{\epsilon}$ Hermann, Meineke, Holden.
209. трєбкако $\delta$ аíны H. Brunck, recentiores, except Fritzsche. трєбкако$\delta u t \rho_{\nu}$ R. and the earliest editions, and so (or трибкакóðaцдо⿱) all editions before Brunck, and Fritzsche afterwards.-

vulgo; giving this line to Euripides, and the next to Mnesilochus. umó$\lambda \omega \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ [for ảmó $\lambda \omega \lambda a$ ] MN. Ev̉pınión Elmsley (at Ach. 475), Thiersch, Dindorf, and Bergk to Velsen inclusive.
216. є $\iota$ ๘ot all printed editions except Blaydes. єïш R. H. ठ̈, ть $\sigma o \iota$ Elmsley (at Ach. 338). $\boldsymbol{a}_{\text {бoь }}$ Dobree (but he preferred äv боь $\delta о к \hat{n}$ ), Blaydes.
217. סıסóvaı $\gamma$ ’ є́цautò̀ Bentley and (in their notes) Scaliger, Kuster, and Bergler. So Brunck originally, though he afterwards went over to Dawes's correction ; and so Invernizzi, Bekker, Thiersch, and Weise. And this comes nearest to the unmetrical reading of $R$. H., סıoóvat $\gamma^{\prime}$ airì̀v, which was read in all editions before Brunck, except that Zanetti and Farreus make matters worse by omitting aủชò altogether. Dindorf, indeed, says that $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu$ avzò was the origina] reading of H., but Yelsen does not contirm this. Dawes proposed ' $\pi \iota$ òoiva ' $\mu$ aviòv, and this was, on second thoughts, accepted by Brunch, and is read by Meineke, Holden, Velsen, and Hall and Geldart. 'mioisóval $\gamma^{\prime}$ aúrì Toup, Enger.

 $\sigma u i$ र' aù $\dot{\partial} \nu$ Fritzsche. The choice seem.s to lie between the emendations of Bentley and Dawes : and that of Dawes is furthest from the MS. reading, nor does the use of the compound $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ by Euripides in vr. 213 and 249 necessitate its use by Mnesilochus in the present passage. At the commencement of the line, Mr. Richards (Classical Review, xvii. 10) would change $\hat{\eta}$ into $\bar{\eta}$.
218. $\mu \epsilon ́ v \tau о \iota ~ \mathrm{R}$. vulgo. $\mu \epsilon ̀ v \delta \grave{\eta} \mathrm{H}$.
 $\bar{\eta} \mu \nu$ Bothe, Fritzsche.
220. छvpoóók $\eta$ all printed editions. §́vpodírıs R. H.
222. ${ }^{\omega} \mu \rho \circ$ (or $\omega^{\omega} \mu \rho t$ ) R. H. vulgo. aı $\mu \circ \iota$ Dindorf, who is followed by Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, and Velsen.
223. ѝттатаî iatтataí Scaliger, Faber, Bothe, Dindorf, recentiores. àtтaт̀̀ ìtтatai $\mathrm{R} . \mathrm{H}$. and so (or ätтata ätтataı) the other editions before Brunck. àтгата̀̀ àтaттатаí Brunck and Bekker. àт таті̀ iuттатaí Invernizzi.
225. $\Delta \eta \eta_{\eta \eta \tau}{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ R. H. Kuster, Bergler, Brunck, Bekker, Weise, and Hall and Geldart. This, of course, is against Porson's sule, " Post jusjurandum,qualia
 hujusmodi, nunquam sequitur particula CE nisi alio vocabulo interposito," and he therefore in the present line would read $\Delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho$ ' $\nLeftarrow \tau$ ', comparing Wasps 1442 , Clouds 814, Plutus 64. He thought that the $\gamma \epsilon$ in this line arose "ex Kusteri interpolatione," and was not aware that it is given in both the MSS., but I do not suppose that, had he been so aware, he would have dealt with it differently. See the first of the "Observationes variae " in his Adversaria, and his note (on the present line. But Porson's rule, though undoubtedly embodying the general usage, is certainly not without exceptions (see Neil's note on Knights 698 and his Appendix [ to that play) ; and I think that the present line is an exception, and that Mnesilochus uses the particle to emphasize the fact that he is swearing hy the Thesmophorian deity. Porson's emendation is, however, accepted by Dindorf, Enger, and all subsequent editors down to and including Velsen. All editors before Kiuster, and Invernizai afterwards, have
$\Delta \eta \mu \eta \tau \rho a$ without the $\gamma \epsilon$. Scaliger suggests, and Fritzsche reads, $\Delta \eta \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \in \rho^{\prime}$. Bothe has $\Delta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \rho a \nu$.- $̇ \nu \tau a v \theta o i ~ R . ~ H . ~$ vulgo. є̇vaav $\theta_{i}$ Dindorf, Enger, Meineke, Hollen, Blaydes, and Velsen. For $\Delta \eta^{\prime}$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{u} \gamma^{\prime}$ évтuv日oi Thiersch reads $\Delta i \mu \eta \tau \mu$.

230. ѝт $\bar{\epsilon} \mu a$ баит $\grave{\nu}$ R. If. vulgo. "Qu. diт $\epsilon$ є́رas aìтov ?" Dobree ; and this suggestion is followed by Meineke and Holden.
231. $\mu \hat{v} \mu \hat{v}$ R. H. vulgo. $\mu \nu \mu \hat{v}$ is introduced by Bothe and Dindorf, and followed by several subsequent editors. In the MSS. and in the editions of Junta, Gelenius, Portus, and Kuster, the last three words of this line form the commencement of the speech of Mnesilochus, but they obviously belong tor Euripides, to whom they are continned by Zanetti and all other editors.

2:32. a ${ }^{3}$ R. H. vulgo. aiv Bothe. ov̉ Velsen. Herwerden suggests $f i$ and Blaydes ${ }_{\omega}^{\omega} z^{\prime}$.
233. фavєî R. H. vulgo. фaivel Dobree, Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, and Velsen. But the future is clearly right, following отратєíoоиa in the previous line.
234. AєâoӨat бavtóv ; Porson, liothe, Thiersch, Dindorf, Enger, recentiores. ӨєáбaбӨat $\sigma \epsilon a v \tau \dot{\prime}$; R. H. editions before Bergler, and Invernizzi afterwards. ӨєávaбӨar बavtón; liergler, lirunck, Bekker, and Weise. $\theta$ єúvas $\theta$ ' aíтóv; Fritzsche.
235. K $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu \eta \nu$ R. H. vulgo. K $\lambda \epsilon \iota-$ $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta$ Dindorf, Thiersch, Meineke, recentiores. But here the form $K \lambda \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \prime \nu \eta v$ seems purposely employed, as leaving the sex meertain.
242. тóv $\gamma \in \pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau \dot{o} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \phi \lambda o \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$ Scaliger (in notes), Kuster, recentiores, except as
presently mentioned．The words tóv $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ are not found in R．H．or in any edition before Kuster．Bentley wrote＂lege $\pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau \grave{v}$ aủтóv；at in margine Scal．тóv $\gamma^{\epsilon} \pi \rho \omega_{\kappa}$ о́⿱亠乂，．＂Fnger suggested，prosaic－
 $\phi$ dojós；whilst Dindorf conjectured $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\gamma^{\epsilon} \pi \rho \omega к \tau о \bar{u} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \phi \lambda o ́ \gamma a$ ，which Blaydes adopts．Thiersch，strangely enough， reads тìv фגóya pou тйs oikias．Bergk marks a lacuna for тóv $\gamma \in$ ：Enger， Meineke，Blaydes，Velsen，and Hall and Geldart either bracket，omit，or obelize the line．

245．ф̦v̄ Dindorf，Meinelie，Blaydes， Velsen，and Hall and Geldart ：referring to Lys．295，305．$\phi \in \bar{u}$ R．H．vulgo．

246．$\gamma \in \gamma^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \eta \mu n \iota$ Eustathius on Iliad xxiii． 525 ，Suidas，s．v．тр́́pes，Farreus， and some of the older editions，Kuster， recentiores．$\gamma \in \gamma$ cor $\nu \mu a t \mathrm{R}$ ．H．and the other editions before Kuster．－пйıта тì R．vulgo．$\pi$ áıza（without tia）H．

247．$\sigma \pi о \gamma \gamma t \epsilon i$ R．H．vulgo．But heve， as in Wasps 600 and Frogs 482 ，recent editors prefer to write it c $\phi$ or $\quad$ tei．

248．oi $\mu \omega \xi \in \tau \not \approx 1 p$＇Dindorf，Thiersch， Fritzsche，Bergk，Velsen，Blaydes．Hall and Geldart．oi $\mu \omega \zeta_{\epsilon} \tau^{\prime}$ ü $^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ R．H．Junta， Grynaeus，Invernizzi．oi $\mu \omega \xi^{\xi} \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \quad$＂̈p） Zanetti，Farreus，Gelenius，and all sub－ sequent editors before Brmnck，and Bothe afterwards．oi $\mu \dot{\omega} \xi \in \tau^{\prime}$＇̂̀p＇Brunck， Bekker，Weise．oiц⿳亠丷厂ॄєтal тäp’ Reisig， Hermann，Enger，Meineke．Kuster

 Porson，recentiores，except as hereafter mentioned．єi riv all the editions before Brunck，unmetrically，and（the metre having been rectified in the first part of the line）Enger and Meineke afterwards．

Scaliger oloserved＂róir＇$\gamma$ ’ є́ $\mu$ òr，alii：
 was also suggested by Reiske and Bent－ ley，and is adopted by Bothe and Thiersch．

250．тovtwi $R$ ．and all editions befure Portus；and Bekker and all subsequent editions，except Fritzsche who reads tovтоуi．iolv，i H．Portus，and all edi－ tions between Portus and Bekker， though Bergler intended to read rovrẹi．

258．кєфадウ $\pi \epsilon \mu i \theta \epsilon \tau$ H．Portus，re－ centiores，except Holden and Velsen．
 editions before Portus．Meineke sug－ gests，and Holden and Velsen read， $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda \hat{l} \pi \epsilon \mu i \theta_{\epsilon} \tau m$.
 And so all MSS．and edd．read three


 other editions before Kuster．

261．‘＇$\kappa \kappa v к \lambda о$ ．AI．quvтi $\lambda$ áß’ Bentley， Kuster（in notes），Brunck，recentiores， except as after mentioned．＂̈ $\gamma к и к \lambda о r^{\prime}$ ． тоuti $\lambda a ́ \mu \beta a \nu ’$ R．H．editions before Brunck．＂̈ $\gamma к \nu \kappa \lambda$ с́v т\％．入ámзии＇Reisig， Fritzsche，Enger，Meineke，Holden， Blaydes，Velsen．But Bentley＇s wy of getting rid of the superflnous syllable seems far better．Bergk reads $\epsilon^{\prime} \not \gamma \kappa v к \lambda о \nu$


263．joûv（or $\gamma^{\prime}$ oûv）H．and（as cor－ rected）R．Brunck，recentiores，except Invernizzi．$\quad \gamma$＇ov R．（originally）and all editions before Brunck ；and Invernizzi afterwards．

264．ү＇$\nu \nu \omega \sigma \kappa$＇Brunck，recentiores，ex－ cept Invernizzi．$\quad$ i $\nu \omega \sigma \kappa$ ，R．H．all edi－ tions before Brunck；and Invernizzi afterwards．

THLS．
266. àv $\dot{\rho} \rho$. The aspirate was added by Fritzsche.
267. тó $\gamma$ ' єỉos Kuster, recentiores. тò $\delta^{\prime}$ єỉoos H . тód' $\epsilon i \delta o s \mathrm{R}$. edd. before Kuster.
270. $\sigma v \sigma \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ R. vulgo. $\sigma v \sigma \sigma \dot{\omega}-$ ऍєı $\mathbf{H}$.
273. 'I $\pi \pi$ oкрárovs. All the printed editions, except.Junta, Grynaeus, and Fritzsche. 'Y $\quad$ окра́тous R. H. Junta, Grynaeus. 'Yoкрáтous Fritzsche. Fritzsche's conjecture is probable enough; and Bergk would obtain the same jest by changing छ̧vvockiad into ovorkiav. For $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ Enger reads $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
 Fritzsche. ỏ̀oдú̧ov $\sigma i \quad \tau \epsilon^{\circ}$ iєpò̀ $\dot{\omega} \theta \epsilon i \tau a \iota$ R. H. Junta, Grynaens, Gelenius, Invernizzi. And so, with $\gamma \in$ for $\tau \epsilon$, Zanetti, Farreus, Rapheleng. $\gamma v \nu a i k \epsilon s$ is substituted for $\gamma \epsilon$ by Portus to Weise inclusive, except as herein appears.
 $\dot{\omega} \theta$ itat. The stage-direction is altogether omitted by Thierseh, Dindorf, Enger, recentiores. See "after 129" supra.
277. $\neq \kappa \sigma \pi \pi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon$ R. H. vulgo. каi $\sigma \pi \epsilon i ̂ \delta \epsilon$ Meineke, after a conjecture of Bergk, who supposed that a preceding line had dropped out. $\sigma \dot{v} \sigma \pi \epsilon \bar{v} \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ Velsen.
278. Өєбдофорí R. H. vulgo. Scaliger suggested $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \mu о$ форєi $\varphi$, which is read by Meineke, recentiores. But the form which is found in the MSS., both here and in 880 infra, and in the Scholium, seems sufficiently authenticated by inscriptions 103 and 3562 in the Corpus Inscriptionum Gracearum.
281. àvé $\rho \chi \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ viò R. H. vulgo. Velsen reads ìvépХєтat after a conjecture of Reiske, who wished to find a genitive
for $\tau \grave{̀}$ र $\chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$. But, as Enger remarks (and the remark applies to many passages besides the present), "locus non emendari sed intelligi debet."
283. $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho$ о каì $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \nu$ Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o$ пádı R. H. edd.
 Bp. Monk at Eur. Hipp. 182.
284. кá $\theta \in \lambda \epsilon$ R. H. vulgo. кarítov Herwerden, Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, and Velsen. But the jingle is quite in the manner of Aristophanes, and is very suitable to the assumed character of Mnesilochus. If, indeed, Thratta were herself carrying the то́тava, we should expect the middle ; and Bergk therefore suggests ка $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda о \bar{v}$; but here everything is unreal : and we do not know from what imaginary place the imaginary Thratta is to take down the imaginary cist.
285. тì тóтavav és Bentley, Hermann.
 well have been a corruption of $-o \nu \dot{\omega}$. "Yersus multo facilius restitui potest, si $\tau \grave{o}$ ante $\pi o ́ \pi a \nu o \nu ~ o m i t t i t u r, " ~ s a y s ~$ Wellaner, De Thesm. Diss. p. 37, note. And this is done by Bothe, Weise, and Enger. Porson in his Adversaria (on Eur. Suppl. 901) suggested tà по́лар’ ${ }_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \omega s$; but afterwards in his Aristophanica he is said by Dobree to have preferred тò $\pi$ о́тavov iva. The former suggestion is adopted by Fritzsehe, Bergk, Meineke, and Holden ; the latter by llaydes and Velsen. For taî̀ $\theta$ gain, both here and in 948,1151 infra, and Wasps 378 , Cobet would write roil Afoiv, and Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, Velsen, and Hall and Geldart so write it. It is true that the Twain Goddesses are in adjurations uniformly styled $\tau \grave{\omega}$ $\theta \epsilon \grave{\omega}$, but in other cases the usage was
by no means uniform. The Scholiast on 566 infra says, tè $\theta$ é ${ }^{*}$ oìkétı dè toî̀ $\theta$ goì à̀ $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ taî̀ $\theta$ єaîv.

 тòv $\begin{gathered}\text { vyutépos is proposed by Scaliger, }\end{gathered}$ Kuster, and Bergler in their notes, and adopted by Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, and Weise. Meineke's wanton alteration of the words into tove $\theta u$ arpion is followed by Velsen and by Hall and Geldart.- Xoopion Fritzsche, Enger, and the Scholiast obvionsly so read. Xoîpov R. H. vulgo.
 Hermam, and this is adopted by Bothe and sever:al recent editors. Junta and Grynaeus present the latter part of the line in a very peculiar way, viz. â $\lambda \lambda \omega$ s

 $\lambda_{\eta к о \nu}$ R. H. vulgo. Bisetus, Scaliger, Kuster, and Bergler in their notes propose, and Brunck, Bekker, and Weise read $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ф a ́ \lambda \eta \tau a . ~ \pi p o ̀ s ~ ф а \lambda \lambda ı к o ̀ \nu ~ Z a-~-~$ netti, Farreus, Invernizzi: the last-named considering it, wrongly, the reading of
 Thiersch reads $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ and sug-
 трòs rì $\lambda \eta \kappa a ̣ v$. Notwithstanding the explanation of the Scholiast, tò̀ $\pi$ au-

 curred to any editor before Dindorf that this line is a prayer for the welfare of an imaginary boy. He read mooӨa入ícко⿱, not as a proper name but as a comic equivalent to $\pi a \iota \delta a \rho \iota \sigma \kappa о \nu$, and is followed by Enger, Meineke, recentiores. But this would require the article, as $\theta v y a t \in \rho a$ above: and Поб $\begin{gathered}\text { á } \lambda \eta \kappa п \nu \text {, as a proper }\end{gathered}$
name, both accounts for the reading of the MSS. and answers rightly to the daughter's name Xopiov.
294. סoìnots $\gamma$ àp. Meineke would omit this line, and it is bracketed by Velsen and Hall and Geldart.
297. taî̀ $\Theta \in \sigma \mu$ офó $\rho o l v ~ R . ~ H . ~ v u l g o . ~$ тоí Өєб $\mu \circ \phi \dot{\text { úpol }}$ Meineke, recentiores. See on 285 supra.
299. Плои́те̨ R. H. vulgo. Плоі́тши Velsen.
300. $\tau \hat{i} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{j} \hat{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{R}$. H. rulgo. The words are omitted by Dobree, Bothe, Enger, Holden, and Velsen, and bracketed by Fritzsche, Bergk, and Blaydes. Reiske omits the $\tau i j$.
301. X̌ípıà R. H. vulgo. tuîs Xápıà Meineke, Holden, Hlaydes, and Velsen. 304. $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \in \tau ~ \tau \hat{\jmath}$ R. H. vulgo. Tî̀ $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{y}$ Meineke, Holden.
306. тìv $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a \nu$ каì тìv Grrynaeus, Dindorf, Bergk. And according to Bekker, R., as corrected, so reads. The кai is omitted in the original $R$. and in H., and in all editions except Grynaens before Dindorf. But at Reiske's suggestion Brunck added the enclitic $\tau^{\prime}$ to the second $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, and this is followed by most of the subserfuent editors except as herein mentioned. Helwig for $\delta \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma a \nu$ proposed $\dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{a}$, and this strange conjecture is approved by Meineke (Vind. A1.) and introduced into the text by Holden and Velsen. Bothe and Velsen

307. $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\begin{aligned} & \eta \nu a i \omega \nu \\ & \text { R. H. vulgo. Tò̀ }\end{aligned}$ 'A Aquaíw Dindorf, Bergk, recentiores. Bothe, Blaydes, and Velsen omit or bracket the words $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quriciav kai. }\end{aligned}$
310. $\epsilon \tilde{\nu} \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ R. vulgo. $\epsilon \tilde{u} \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ H.$i \mu u \quad$ R. vulgo. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ H. Bothe, Thiersch. $-\tau a ̀ \gamma a \theta a ́$ R. H. vulgo. Dindorf sug-
gested $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} к \dot{a} \gamma^{\prime} \theta c i$, which Velsenadopts．
 a probable alteration．

311．in $\pi a t \omega \nu$（twice）R．Dindorf， hergk，and subsequent editors down to， and including，Velsen ；（thrice）H．vulgo．

312．$\delta є \chi \chi^{\circ} \mu \in \theta a$ and（in the following verse）$\lambda_{\iota \tau} \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \theta_{a}$ Hermam，Dinciorf，Enger， Meineke，recentiores．ঠє $\chi{ }^{c} \mu \in \sigma \theta a$ and $\lambda \iota \tau о ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ R．H．vulgo．But in the present line $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda \dot{\chi}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta$ a is read for $\delta \in \chi \chi^{\text {ó－}}$ $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \theta a$ by Zanetti，Farreus，Bergler， Brunck，and Weise．
 є $\pi \in \cup \chi$ aís R．and so infra 327 ．

316．ôs H．and（as corrected）R．vulgo． is R．originally，and so Junta．

317．$\pi$ aүкрare＇s Scaliger（in notes）， Kuster，recentiores，except as below． And so all MSS．and editions infra 268. та́ $\mu к р а т є s$ R．，ти́vкратєs H．，both of course mere errors for паүкратєs．ти $\quad$－ $\kappa \rho ; \tau \epsilon$ all editions before Portus．$\pi u \gamma-$ крarìs Portus，and all subsequent editors before Kuster ；and Invernizzi，Weise， Dergk，Meineke，and Holden afterwards．

318．＇゙Xouva Dobree＂ut 1140 （mnde По入ıồरos），＂Fritzsche．oìкov̂cra R．H． vulg＂．＂＇Xovaa，besides heing more rhythmical，seems more matural after the $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ ôs $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \in \iota s$ two lines above．

320．Aŋpoфión Hermamm，Bothe，Din－ dorf，Bergk，recentiores．Anpoфón $\mathrm{R} . \mathrm{H}$ ． vulgo．Meineke，Holden，and Velsen muit $\pi a \hat{\text { â．}}$

325．єipaniou loothe，Dindorf，Blayiles， Hall and Geldart．$\epsilon v a \lambda, o v ~ R . ~ H . ~ a l l ~$ editions before Gelenius，and Enger afterwards．évádıot Gelenius and all sulsequent editions（except Brunck） before Enger．єivádıo lhunck，Bergk， Meineke，Holden，Velsen．

328．ini $\chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ R．Grynaeus，Thiersch， Dindorf，Fritzsche，Enger，Meineke； Holden，Velsen，and Hall and Geldart． $i \sigma \chi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \in \nu$ H．Zametti，Farreus．iox $\chi^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \in \nu$ Junta．$\eta_{\chi} i^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ Gelenius and subsequent editions before Thiersch．ả $\chi^{\prime} \sigma \in \epsilon \in \nu$ Bergk，Blaydes．

329．＇A $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \nu \omega \\ & \nu\end{aligned}$ Reisig，Dindorf，Enger， Blaydes，Velsen．＇A $\theta \eta$ viaíc R ．H．vulgo． ＇AӨךי＇${ }^{\prime} \omega \nu$ Pergk．

331．The words $\tau$ ois $\theta$ coíc are omitted by Zametti，whilst Grynaeus for $\theta \in o i ̈ \sigma \iota$ roís has simply $\theta$ eoís．

332．＇Oגvpriutot and（in the next line）Hveiatoı R．vulgo．＇Oגvцтiaas and Пиキinat H．Meineke（Vind．Aristoph．） proposes，and Holden adopts，＇$\lambda_{\nu} \mu \pi \pi i \eta \sigma \iota$ ， ПuӨinot，and（in 334）$\Delta \eta \lambda i \eta \sigma \sigma$ ．And Herwerden would in each case change the preceding rais or raía into $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ or thiat．

334．$\tau$ ois $\tau$＇${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda$ dous Grynaens．Prunck， recentiores，except Invernizzi．The reading is sometimes attributed to Scaliger，but wrongly．каì тоís fì入ots R．H．and the other editions．

337．Míסous $\tau$＇Scaliger（in notes）， Kuster，recentiores，except Invernizzi． Míסots（without any copula）R．H．edi－ tions before Kuster，and Invernizzi． Blaydes，with some probability，sug－ gests but does not read if Mýdous．

340．kateited lientley，Elmsley（at Ach．178），Buthe，Finger，Meineke，re－ centiores．катєiтés tıs R．H．editions （except Grynaeus）before Brunck． катєіттє́ $\tau \iota$ Grynaeus，Scaliger（in notes）， Brunck，and sulosequent editors，except those mentioned above．

341．Є̇vєтpú̀ $\lambda \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ R．H．vulgo．द̇ve－ opíd入ךбє＇／anetti，Farreus，Rapheleng．

sive，and Bothe and Weise．̇̇verpúduยє Dindorf，Enger，Bergk，Meineke，Telsen． єं $\nu \epsilon \theta \rho u ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \in \nu$ Blaydes．

342．$\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \quad \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \eta \tau$ R．H．vulgo．$\pi \epsilon \mu-$ $\pi о \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ M e i n e k e, ~ H o l d e n . ~$

344．тотє R．H．vulgo．то́тє Hanaker， Meineke．

346．є́taipa R．H．vulgo．The Scho－ liast mentions another reading étaipa， which he explains as meaning＂receives gifts from a courtesan for handing over a lover to her，＂and Fritzsche adopts this reading．John Seager would read éraipas，And treacherously entertuins u friend＇s locer．

347．кsï tis R．H．rulgo．Meineke suggests，and Blaydes reads，iौ $\in \not ้ \tau \iota s$.

350．ípiv R．vulgo．ijpiv H．Kanetti， Blaydes．
：351．кíyatí R．H．vulgo．тiza日á Za－ netti，Finreus，lapheleng，Kuster， Bergler．But see the terms of the Pse－ phism of Demophantus set out in the Commentary on 331.
 first three lines of this Chorus are sup－ posed to be antistrophieal to the first three lines of the preceding Chorns， the remainders of the two Choruses diverging into very different metrical systems．This does not seem sufticiently probable to justify any serious altera－ tion of the language of either，though where，as in the first word of the present line，a very slight and immaterial change will suffice to bring the two into har－ mony，it seems right to adopt it．छuvev－ $\chi$ о́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta a$ Bothe，Thiersch，Dindorf，re－ centiores．छ$\xi_{\nu \in v \chi ́ ⿱ 丷 天}^{\mu \epsilon} \theta a$ R．H．vulgo．In the third line（ $\tau a^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon v^{u} \gamma \mu u \tau a \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta$ at R．H． vulgo）it is thought that the fourth syllable should be long，or doubled into
two short syllables，to make the systems correspond，but no probable alteration has been suggested．Dindorf reads $\epsilon \ddot{\gamma} \gamma \mu a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \epsilon \theta a t$ and is followed by Bergk and Blaydes：Fritzsche reads $\epsilon \ddot{\gamma} \mu u \tau^{\prime}$ aঠ̉ $\gamma \in \nu \in \dot{\nu} \sigma \theta$ at．Meineke（V．A．） proposes тuíf $\gamma^{\prime}$ єưyıata，which does not meet the difficulty，but is adopted by Holden．Velsen reads ämavтa тáóє $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \theta a a$ ．

353．rètcú $\tau \epsilon$ sípe R ．all editions before lirunck，and Invernizzi and Fritzsche afterwards．For $\tau \in H$ ．has $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ， and so Brunck and the other subsequent editions．liut it is the $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ in the fouth line which answers tos the $\mu \dot{\rho} \nu$ in the first．Fritzsche refers to Peace 162. 163 ；Lys．262，263．
 Rapheleng．ö́ $\sigma a$ Saliger（in notes），ö $\sigma^{*}$ ä $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma i_{i}^{\prime} \kappa \eta$ Meineke（in V．A．），Holden． But Meineke＇s conjecture is founded on the erroneons notion that örous is merely the＂conjectura nescio cujus，＂and that both MSS read örar．
 Dindorf，Meineke，Hulden，Blaydes，and Velsen．
 recentiores．$\epsilon \in \xi a \pi a \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ editions before Dindorf．

360．nüvek＇Bentley，Dindorf，and most recent editors．R．H．and the editions before Dindorf had $\neq \nu \in \kappa^{\prime}$ ．Bentley said ＂Lege $\epsilon i v \epsilon x^{\prime}$ vel oṽ $\nu \in \kappa$＇，ut ：366，＂and oűvєк＇ has been read since Dindorf＇s time， except by a few editors who prefer everywhere to read $\epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \nu \epsilon \kappa^{\prime}$ ．

364．тoís ij $\mu \in \tau \epsilon \in \rho o \iota s$ IR．H．vulgo．The tois is omitted from Gelenius to Brunck inclusive．－$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma^{\prime}$ Suidas（s．v．àmípplтa）， Bentley，Bothe，and all recent editors．
$\lambda$ '́yourı̀ R. H. and all editions before Bothe's first edition.

365, 366. $\gamma \mathfrak{1} \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ Velsen, Hall and Geldart. т $\bar{s}$ х $\dot{\rho} \rho a s$ MSS. vulgo. This
 and so made the lines intelligible, but at the expense of the metre. Weise follows Brunck. Bothe went further, and omitted the three words oüvєк' $\epsilon \pi i$ $\beta \lambda a \beta \eta$. Fritzsche for $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a s$ read $\omega$ ఱ̈pas. Meanwhile Reiske proposed to read $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$, as six lines abore. It seems to me very probable that the words $\kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \bar{\omega} \nu$
 the 'A $\dot{a}$, and are repeated here, and I have therefore, with Velsen and Hall and Geldart, adopted Reiske's suggestion as to line 366. Reiske, however, threw back $\chi$ ஸ́pas into line 365, so making that line ummetrical ; and I have therefore, again with Hall and Geldart, adopted, though with some hesitation, Velsen's conjecture $\gamma \bar{n}$. Meineke's lamentable suggestion it $\mu$ ot $\chi o$ òs àmájovat $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ s only shows, as indeed many of his conjectures show, how incapable a really eminent scholar may be of entering into the true spirit of Aristophanic humour.
367. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta$ ßoṽi $\tau \epsilon$ rov̀s $\theta_{\epsilon o v i s . ~ S e e ~ t h e ~}^{\text {. }}$ Commentary. Hitherto this and the following line have been read (in a mutilated form) as one line only : di $\sigma \epsilon-$ ßoûcıv àòıкoūซiv $\tau \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ( $\pi$ ódıv commencing the next line) R. H. all editions before Thiersch ; and Weise, Meineke, Blaydes, and Hall and Geldart afterwards. a $\sigma \epsilon-$
 Thiersch, Dindorf, Enger, Bergk, and
 Holden. í $\sigma \in \beta o \hat{v} \sigma i \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ módıv Bothe.

anapaestic dimeter) Fritzsche. Reisig saw what was required, and wrote, " Intercidit $\theta \epsilon o u$ 's post $\dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \beta o \hat{c} \sigma \iota, "$ but he did not attempt to amend the line. Blaydes suggests di $\sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̀ o ı к o v ̀ \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
 added $\epsilon \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} s$ in brackets. See the Commentary. It does not seem to have been observed that this is part of a glyconic line, the remainder of which, probably another epithet of Zeus, has dropped out.
373. äкочє $\pi a ̂ s ~ R . ~ H . ~ v u l g o . ~ F r i t z s c h e, ~$ in the Addenda to his edition (p. 635), suggests "̈коvє $\pi a \hat{a} \sigma$, which is adopted by Enger, Holden, Blaydes, and Yelsen.тádє R. H. vulgo. тaঠi Meineke, Holden, and Blaydes.
374. є่ 'үрацці́тєvє R . vulgo. є́ $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha ́-$ $\tau \in v \sigma \epsilon \mathcal{D}$.
376. $\hat{\eta} \mu u \dot{i} \lambda \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \sigma \chi^{o \lambda} \dot{\eta}$ R. H. Brunck, recentiores. $\hat{\eta}_{\nu} \tilde{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime}{ }_{j \mu i v} \sigma \chi o \lambda \eta$ (variously accented) edd. before Portus.
 (with $\sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ for $\sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ) Scaliger and Faber ; and (with $\sigma \chi \circ \lambda \dot{\eta}$ ) Kuster and Bergler. Bentley proposed ${ }^{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\omega}-$ $\mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ : and Dawes (on Frogs 3 ) $\epsilon i \not \gamma$ '

383. IYNH. A. vulgo. $k a \lambda \lambda \iota \lambda \epsilon \xi_{i}^{\prime} a \gamma^{\prime} \nu \nu_{j}^{\prime}$ H. and (by a second correction) R. And so the Scholiast.
386. i $\mu a ̂ s$ R. H. vulgo. j̀ $\mu a ̂ s ~ G r y n a e u s, ~$ Meineke, recentiores. See the Commentary.
389. ịuâs R. H. vulgo. Brunck suggests, and Blaydes reads, $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$.
390. $\pi o \hat{\text { R. H. vuiggo. } \pi o ́ \sigma a \text { Meineke, }}$ Holden. $\tau i \quad$ Velsen. - $\epsilon \mu \beta \rho a \chi$ vi (or ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu$ Boaxv) Suidas (s.v. oivomimas), Scholiast on Plato (Theages, chap. 9), Bentley,
 $\beta p a x^{i}$ H．Junta，Gelenius，and the sub－ sequent editions before Kuster．ìv ßpaxєi Zanetti，Farreus，Grynaeus． 391．траүшобоі каі R．H．vulgo．траү⿳－ סıкoi Scholiast on Plato（ubi supr．），Bp ． Blomf．（Preface to Persae xvii），Bothe， Enger，Meineke，Velsen．
392．$\mu \nu \chi о т \rho o ́ \pi o u s ~ R . ~ H . ~ a n d ~ a l l ~ e d i-~$ tions before Enger，except as herein－ after mentioned．дооотро́тоиs Suidas （s．v．oivorimus），Zanetti，Farreus，Brunck， Bothe，Fritzsche．Enger，recentiores． The MS．reading is far preferable．We are supposed to be listening to the language of Euripides，to whom such a word as $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ хот $\rho \dot{\sigma}$ тous would have been abhorrent ；and besides it would have practically the same meaning as in $\nu \rho \rho$ pacrpáas，which immediately follows it．

393．oivomóriòas R．H．vulgo．oìomítas Suidas（s．v．），Brunck，Bothe，Thierseh， Fritzsche，Enger．oivonitous Bergk．
394．àv $\delta$ aí $\sigma \iota$ ．The final $\nu$ was added by Kuster．It is omitted in the MSS． and earlier editions．

398．$̈ \sigma \pi \pi є \rho ~ к а і ~ R . ~ H . ~ v u l g o . ~ C f . ~ E e c l . ~$ 221－228．$\AA \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ is suggested for $̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ by Kuster，and is read by Fritzsche， Meineke，and Velsen ；the last－named changing also кnì into $\hat{\eta}^{\nu}$ ．

400．èáv tis vìv $\pi \lambda$ ćk $k$ ．I have added
 R．H．and all editions before Brunck．
 is read by Brunck and all subsequent editors before Meineke，except Thiersch （who has đ̈àv ruvi ris），Fritzsche（who
 a lacuna）．Other modes of supplying the missing syllable have been ： $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ ris Meineke，Hall and Geldart ；＇́iul tis

кпì Dobree，Holden ；éáv tis rẹ Blaydes， after another suggestion of Dobree； èàv èva vis Schneider，Velsen；while Bentley proposel t́ív ris $\pi o v$ ，and Bergk èà $\pi \lambda \frac{1}{\kappa} \times \eta$ véa．
403．$\dot{\text { in }} \mathrm{ip}$ ．Here the aspirate，or article，was added by Brunck；in aide $\lambda \phi$ òs two lines below by Scaliger and Bentley ；and in üvopes（iufra 409） by Dindorf．
411．$\gamma \epsilon \bar{f} \rho \omega \nu$ and（in the following line） $\theta_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ R．H．vulgo．$\epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota$ and $\dot{\epsilon}^{\theta} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ Bach－ mann．Both alterations are adopted by Velsen；and the last by Hall and Geldart also．
414．toûtoo R．H．Tyrwhitt，Brunck， recentiores．тoî̀o editions before Brunek．
 ßä入入oı $\sigma \iota \nu$ Brunck，Bothe．
417．$\mu$ o七oîs H．Zanetti，Farreus，Ge－ lenius，recentiores．$\mu v$ रois R．Junta， Grynaeus．

419．тищєі̂би каі̀ Reiske，Fritzsche， Enger，Meineke，Holden，Velsen，and Hall and Geldart．tapuev́ध $\sigma$ On R．H． vulgo．Kuster suggested aüraïo ta－ $\mu u i_{i o v}$ ，and Brunck so reads；whilst Blaydes adopts Dobree＇s suggestion， таниєеои́raıs，and reads à̀raîs тацнеvoí－ бats $\pi \rho \rho o 九 t \rho o u ́ \sigma a t s ~ \lambda a \theta \epsilon i v$ ，a line which could not have proceeded from Aristo－ phanes．－$-\lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu$ R．H．vulgo．入atєiv Scaliger（in motes），Dobree，Bothe， Meineke，Holden，Blaydes，Velsen，and Hall and Geldart．But the question here is not one of secrecy or detection ； it is a question of their ability or in－ ability to take the things．Their griev－ ance is not that they camnot take them without detection，l，ut that they cannot take them at all．

420．ä̀фєtov é $\lambda$ auoy R．H．vulgo ；and so the Scholiast，who notes évicôs rò $^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \phi \iota \tau \nu$. Nevertheless Meineke suggests，


424．ov̉k ijv．So I think we shonld read．ov̂̀ $\hat{\eta}_{\nu}$ R．H．vulgo．Bentley said，
 $\xi_{j}$ ．＂But the change which I have made is certainly simpler，and（I think）more satisfactory．

428．тои́т R ．H．Bentley，Brunck， recentiores．тoûto all editions before Kuster．тоѝтó $\gamma \in$ Kuster，Bergler．точтi Scaliger（in notes）．

430．т $\tau \tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta$ R．H．Bergler，recen－ tiores．т $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \downarrow \eta$ editions before Pergler． Toı T＇́ $\chi$ vy Scaliger（in notes）．

431．є́ү⿳亠二口丿 фарєр̄̄s $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ R．H．vulgo．


433．оӥтотє Thiersch．оӥтн $\tau \in R$ ． （originally）．oủminotє H．and（as cor－ rected）R．vulgo．süt $\omega$ Hermann，Din－ dorf，Weise，Enger，Meineke，recentiores．
 Hermann，Meineke，Holden，Blaydes， and Velsen．
 Zanetti，Farrens，Grynaens，Fritzsche， Enger，recentiores，except Holden，who follows Hermann in reading mûrou iốà．єiớáas R．H．ceteri．
 the metre，and harmonizes well with $\lambda \in \gamma \in \iota$ in the preceding line．${ }^{\prime} \xi_{i}^{\prime} ; u \sigma \in \nu$ R．H．vulgo．－тavтu $\delta^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$ ．H．vulgo． Finger suggests $\pi \hat{\prime} \prime$＇$\tau$＇，and Holden reads

 фре́ध is destructive of the metre，and minecessary to the sense，and is probably a mere explanatory gloss which has crept into the text．Velsen transposes
and rewrites the passage out of all recognition．

440．$\pi a \rho$＇aùti，Z Zanetti，Farreus，ljerg－ ler，recentiores，except Fritzsehe and Yelsen．And so Scaliger and Kuster in their notes．And so Elmsley（at Medea 262），who was the first to irrange rightly the concluding lines of this Chorus． $\pi a p$＇aúri，s R．H．all other editions before Bergler ；and Fritzsche afterwards．$\quad \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$
 Bentley，Brunck，recentiores．$\Xi_{\epsilon \nu O K \lambda}{ }_{i j}$ R．H．editions before Brunck．

442．$\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota$ R．H．vulgo．ááбas Bothe．

 Zanetti，Farreus．And so（with ỏíyov for ódioov）all other editions before Brunck．This made the line a syllable too short，and many attempts have been made to restore the missing syllable．

 is adopted by Brunck and Invernizzi． Bothe reads ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ．Porson proposed кavirì，which is followed by lekker and all subsequent editors except Bothe．

446．ávip．The aspirate was first added by Brunck，who also first restored the final $\nu$ to raiow in 450 ．The final $\nu$ in cimívcuбっv 453 was added by Kuster．
 $\theta \eta ̈ \mu \sigma v$ Hamaker，Meineke，Holden，and Blaydes．

456．тois גaxávos Bentley，Brunck， recentiores．The article is omitted in R．H．and all editions before brunck， but is retained，as Brunck observed，in Plutareh＇s＂Comparison of Aristophanes and Menander，＂and Aulus Gellius xv． 20.

460．ì Tò $\pi$ pórєpov R．H．vulgo．Bothe
omits these words，which is certanly an improvement to the rhythm．
 цїдито Dobree，Dindorf，Fritzsehe，Engrer to Velsen inclusive．But Aristophanes seems to have commenced this line with a dactyl，correspunding to the $\pi i v \pi n \delta^{\circ}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \beta$ ciotage in 437 supra．The compound катабтшнì $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{a}$ is found also in Frogs 1160.

462．＂ккири Zanetti，Farreus，Portus， recentiores．ӥкєpr R．H．Junta，Gry－ naeus，Gelenins，and Rapheleng．
 the $a \hat{v}$ to save the metre．толи＇тдокоע vó $\eta \mu$＇（or rón $\mu a$ ）R．H．vnlgo．$\pi o \lambda v$－ бтрофиу עón $\mu^{\prime}$ Hermann，Enger．тı $\pi о \lambda i-$ $\pi \lambda$ окпу ขó $\eta$＇Dobree，Fritzsche，lergk， Meineke，Holden，Velsen．－$\dot{\sigma} \sigma \dot{v} \nu \in \tau^{\prime}$ ai $\lambda \lambda i u$ R．H．vulgo．$\dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{j}_{\nu}{ }^{\nu} \tau a$（omitting $i \lambda \lambda i i$ ） Enger，Velsen．The words $\phi$, évas é $\chi$ avara

 nizzi，Thiersch，Meineke，Holden， Blaydes．

467．¿̇kovnúaas Zanetti，Gelenius，re－ centiores．ảovévas Farreus，ikovoíous R．H．Junta，Grynaeus．

469．і̀ai $\mu r_{i} \nu$ Brunck，recentiores．oboi－ $\mu \eta \nu$ R．editions before Brmek．ஹ̀oi－ $\mu \eta \nu \mathrm{H}$ ．

471．ả入入ウ̇入aıซ Brunck，recentiores． a $\lambda \lambda i_{i} \lambda_{0 \iota \sigma}, \vec{\prime} H$ ．editions before Portus． di $\lambda \lambda_{i} \lambda_{\eta \sigma \iota}$ Portus and subsequent editions． before Brunck．aं $\lambda \lambda_{i j} \lambda_{\imath \sigma}$ R．
 Valekenaer（at Eur．Hipp．294），Hrunck， and subsequent editions before Frit\％sche； and Weise and Blaydes afterwards．

474．єi Grymaeus，Portus，recentiores． $\ddot{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ R．H．Junta，Gelenius，Rapheleng．ijv Zanetti，Farreus．

475．סрஸ́vas R．H．Vanetti，Farcels， Sealiger（in notes），Kuster（in notes）， Bergler，recentiores．©páqus the other editions before Bergler．
 tiores，exeept Bothe who prefers his ＂wn ridienlous $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \dot{1} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda$＇．The MSS． ami all the editions before Sealiger＇s omit $\delta \epsilon^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ ，and so leave the line a syl－ lable too slont．And so Kuster and
 öt $t$ ，which is read in the editions called ＂Scaliger＇s＂and＂Faber＇s．＂J＇entley proposed סєiva mód入＂，Dawes mod入a $\delta \epsilon i v$＇ or $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\iota} \delta \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma$＇．
 Zanetti，Farreus，Grynaeus，Bergler，re－ centiores．кa $\theta \in i \delta \in \iota \nu$ R．H．Junta，Gele－ nius to Knster inclusive．But Scaliger． Bentley，and Kuster had all corrected it in their notes．
 Pollux，iii．segm．42，Fritzsche，Meincke， Blaydes，Velsen，and Hall and Geldart． Both forms，as Brunck observes，we found in Lucian and elsewhere．－ $\boldsymbol{v} r$ an ध́ $\pi \tau \epsilon ́ т \iota \nu$ Pollux，ubi supra，Scaliger，re－ centiores．The words are transposed in R．H．and the editions before sica－ liger．

482．кй̉̉ $\tau$ R．H．vulgo．Meineke sug－ gests，and Velsen reads，киуэ்．

486．＂̈np $\theta_{n}$, Thiersch，Dindorf， Fritzsche，Enger，recentiores．ripp $\theta$ oy R．IH．and the other editions．Dobree sugrested $\tilde{n}^{\prime \prime} \nu \eta \tau \tau u \nu$ ．
 except Mergk and Meineke．$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \iota \delta \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \nu$ R．H．editions before Kuster．Fritzsche suggested，and liergk and Meineke reat，є́pеібо ии．

489．кi $\beta \delta^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ．Brunck，recentiores．

кúvó R. editions before Brunck, though Bergler suggested the true reading.
490. єì $\phi$ ', ó $\rho a ̂ \tau^{\prime}$ R.H. Grynaeus, Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. ‘‘‘’ ópât' Junta. '่фшрấ' Zanetti, Farreus, Gelenius, and all subsequent editions before Brunck. Dawes suggested ধí $\phi$, ó opạs.
493. $\lambda \eta \kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta_{a}$ Suidas, s. v., Bentley, Pierson (on Moeris, s.v. 'A $\quad$ viâ), Brunck, Bekker, receutiores. $\kappa \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ Li. H. editions before Gelenius. $\beta_{\iota \nu} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \theta_{I L} \mathrm{Ge}-$ lenius and all subsequent editions (except Brunck) before Bekker. All three verbs have the same meaning. For inó rov (R. H. vulgo) Velsen reads $\delta \sigma^{2} \eta \eta$. Meineke (V. A.) proposes mídıata $\lambda_{\eta}$ $\kappa \eta \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta u$.
494. $\sigma к о ́ \rho о \delta а ~ \delta ь а \mu а \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon!a \quad$ Bentley, Dawes, Brunck, recentiores, except as after mentioned. $\sigma \kappa о \rho o ́ \delta \iota a ~ \mu a \sigma \grave{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ R. H. all editions before brunck, and Bekker afterwards. $\sigma \kappa о \rho o ́ \delta \iota a ~ \mu a \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ "̌va Thiersch, Dindorf.
 เต่ข. So Bentley ordered the line (except that the aspirate was added to $\dot{e} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$ by Dawes, and Elusley at Ach. 179). But Dawes went astray in the latter part of the line. "Maluit Dawesius," says Elmsley, " ả $\pi \dot{o} \tau o v ̂ \tau \in i \chi o u s i \omega ̀$; sed articulus recte abest, ut in Av. 497, " $\xi \xi \omega$ тєiðous." He might have added that tioi $\grave{\nu}$, when he comes in, is necessary to the sense of the passage. The line is read as in the text by Bothe, Fritzsche,

 before Brunck ; and Weise afterwards.
 $\mu \in \nu o s$, and was followed by Invernizzi and liekker. Thiersch and Dindorf, having pushed iva into the preceding
line, retain ó $\sigma \phi \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ and finish the line as in the text.
500. ôóv y' ín' aizás Bachmann, Velsen. ír aủyàs oiov R. H. vulgo, contra metrum. Bentley suggested, and Bothe reads, imauyes, which is probably right. ónoiov ún' aùjàs Fritzsche. Dindorf suggested, and Blaydes reads, $i \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \rho \theta \rho \dot{\partial} \nu$, which does not give the right sense for the present passage.
501. $\mu o \iota \chi \grave{\partial \nu}$ Grynaeus, recentiores. $\mu v \chi_{i v}$ R. H. Junta, Zanetti, Farreus.
504. $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\eta}{\rho} \chi_{\chi \epsilon} \tau^{\prime}$ R. H. vulgo. Elmsley (at Heracleidae 210) observes that $\eta \mathrm{\eta} \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime}-$ $\mu \eta \nu$ is seldom used, and though he gives several unquestionable examples of its use, yet suggests that here we should substitute either $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \hat{\eta} \in \nu$ or $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\imath}{\imath} \rho \rho \in \nu$. Holden reads $\pi \epsilon p!\ell \epsilon \tau \nu$. Bergk eonjectured $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \notin$ ', which is read by Meineke, Velsen, and Hall and Geldart. -фкктікє Pollux, ii. 7, Schäfer (at Bos. Ellips. p. 512), Bothe, Dindorf, recentiores, except Weise. ஸ́кито́кєья R. H. editions before Bothe ; and Weise afterwards.
506. $\beta$ ọ́ $\eta$ R. H. Grynaeus, Portus, recentiores, 及oఱ̣ the other editions before Portus.
509. тє́ $\xi є \iota$ R. H. vulgo. тіктєи Hirschig, Meineke, Holden.
511. тồ $\pi$ aıóóov R. H. vulgo. тò кךрíov Hirschig, Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, Velsen.
512. $\eta^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$. The final $\nu$ was added by Brunck. The MSS. and earlier editions have $\hat{\eta} \notin \notin \epsilon \in \epsilon$.
514. аи̇тє́кцггуиа Reiske, Bekker, recentiores, except Hall and Geldart. aủ' $\tau^{\prime}$ ' $\gamma \mu a$ all editions before Scaliger. Scaliger in his notes snggested aủ̃' éi $\gamma \mu a$, but the edition which bears his name (with R. H. Pollnx, Eustathius,
and Suidas）has $a \dot{u} \tau^{\prime} \notin \kappa \mu \Omega \gamma \mu \pi$ ，and so all subsequent editions before Bekker；and Hall and Geldart．

527．ả入入’ äтav R．H．vulgo．à入入à mầ Cobet，Meineke，Holden，Blaydes， Velsen．

532．$\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho$ ’ $\epsilon i$ R．Fritzsche，Enger， Meineke，recentiores．Fritzsche refers to Birds 601，Nen．Hell．iv．2．21，and Euripides Danae（Stob．x．18），but there Porson and Gaisford read tis．Fritz－ sche himself，however，clanges yuvaikes into $\gamma v \nu \dot{\eta} \tau เ s . \quad \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ ä $\rho$＇$\hat{\eta}$ H．vulgo．

533．＂Aypaviov R．H．all editions before Brunck，and Bergk afterwards． ＂Aydarpor Brunck and all subsequent editions except Bergk．Brunck based his change on the theory（first，I believe， propounded by Musgrave on Eur．Ion 2：）that the uife of Cecrops was named Agraulus，and their daughter Aglaurus． But doubtless the mother and daughter bore the same name；Agraulus and Aglaurus are merely different forms of the same word；and I suspect that the true form in each case is Agraulus．If the daughter is called Aglaurus by Hdt． （viii．53），Pausanias（i．18），Hesychitus （s．r．＂A $\gamma \rho a v \lambda o s$ ），and Ovid（Met．ii．777）， she is called Agraulus by Aristophanes here，Plutarch（Alcibiades，chap．15）， Apollodorus（iii．14．－2），Ulpian on Demosthenes De F．L． 346 （1）．348），and Philochorus there mentioned．In the text of Demosthenes，ubi supra，several MSS．have＂A $\gamma \rho a u \lambda o s$, and several＂$A \gamma$－ גaupos．Dr．Blaydes，though he follows Brunck，yet says very sensibly，＂Seri－ pturae＂A $\gamma \rho a u \lambda o s$ certe faret analogia nominum Пúvסообоs et＂$巨 \rho \sigma \eta$ ．Euphoniae autem gratiâ nomnunquam＂A $\gamma \lambda a v p o s$
scriptum esse satis credibile est ：nam per se nomen＂A $\gamma \lambda$ nupos non habere videtur unde derivari potuerit．＂There seems no sense in altering the MS． reading for the purpose of making the names of the mother and daughter disagree：a disagreement which Apol－ lodorus denies，and no ancient author aftirms．And see the Annotations of Maussacus on Harpocration，s．r．Пєрi－ modos，and the note of Vales on those Annotations；and Hemsterluys on Pollux，viii．segm． 105.

536．tis＇̇otiv R．H．vulgo．Bergh suggests $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \iota$ rioıs，and Velsen reads


537．aǐtai all printed editions．aủroí R．H．$-\gamma \in$ R．H．vulgo．$\tau \in$ Reiske， Fritzsche，Weise，Bergk，Meineke， Blaydes，Velsen，and Hall and Geldart．

541．ávтai R．H．Brunck，recentiores． uitai all editions before Brunck．For öбаи $\pi \dot{u} p \epsilon \sigma \mu \in \nu$（R．H．vulgo）Fritzsche


545．ôs Grynaeus，Faber，Kuster，re－ centiores．is R．H．Junta，Gelenius， Portus，Scaliger．ó Zanetti，Farreus， Rapheleng．－$\delta \epsilon ́ \delta \rho a к \epsilon \nu$ R．Zanetti，vulgo． ס́édaкия H．Junta．

546．є̇ாiтクঠєs Bentley，Kuster（in notes），Brunck，recentiores．＇$\epsilon \xi \epsilon \pi i \tau \eta \delta \epsilon s$ R．H．editions before Brunck．

548．＇̇̈roin $\sigma^{\prime}$ Scaliger（in notes），Bent－ ley，Bothe，Thiersch，recentiores． $\epsilon \in \pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ R．H．editions befure Bothe ${ }^{*}$ ： first edition．

550．Фaíopas ס＇Portur，recentiores， except Velsen．Фaiôpas（without $\delta^{\prime}$ ） R．H．editions before Portus，and Vel－ sen afterwards．In the MSS．and all editions before Portus，the line ended with Фaîpas，and aima\}amáaas formed
a line by itself, and this probably caused the omission of the $\delta$.
553. тлєion' Bentley, Weise, Enger, recontiores. $\pi \lambda$ 位 $R$ R. H. editions before Weise.

 Weise.-"/, $\delta \epsilon t$ R. H. vulgo. Brunck says "E puriori Atticismo excudi debuit "ö̀ " " and Meineke, Holden, lilaydes, and Hall and Geldart read i/d $^{2} \eta \sigma \theta a$. Of these forms one is as "pure Attic " as the other; the "purer Attic" merely means the form which none but Attics usect.
555. $\mu i ̀ \Delta i \prime$ visitic riju Dobree, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Enger, recentiores. For oidótou the MSS. and editions before Brunck had ovodè, leaving the-line a syllable short. Various modes of supplying the missing syllable have been suggested. $\mu$ ù ті̀v $\Delta i$ " oủ т $\dot{\imath} \nu$ Brunck,
 Bentley, Bothe, Weise, though in his second edition Bothe changed to $\mu i \Delta \Delta i^{\prime}$,
 $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma^{\omega} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$.
556. є̇тєi тáס’ Thiersch, Fritzsche, Enger, Meineke, recentiores. $\neq \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a ́ ~ \gamma$ R. H. editions before Brunck. दं $\pi \epsilon i$ тó $\delta$ Kuster(in notes), Brunck, Bekker, Bothe,
 rióas H. Zanetti, Fiureus, Gelenius, Portus, recentiores. is $\tau \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma i \delta i a s \mathrm{R}$. Junta, Grynaeus. ís $\sigma \tau \beta \in \gamma$ jiôas Rapheleng.
557. vitov R. H. all editions before Brunck. oivov Kuster (in notes), Brunck, recentiores. Velsen says that Pollux reads uivov, but this is an entire mistake. Pollux has a chapter (Book si, chap. 2) onl the vessels appertaining to wine,
and amongst other things he mentions the siphon, used for tasting wine. He then adds as an independent sentence. "Aristophanes uses the verb $\sigma \iota \phi \omega v i\} \epsilon \iota$." It is impossible to say whether he is alluding to the present, or to some lost. passage of Aristophanes ; but assuming him to refer to the present passage, he is perfectly right. Aristophanes does here use the rerb $\sigma \iota \phi \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, and not only so, but he of course means it to be understood in the sense of drawing out
 stitutes fitou for oivov. With the use to which Aristophanes puts the verl) Pollux, whose work is a mere register of words, has nothing to do ; and there is not even a presumption that he read divov here. The Scholiast and Suidas both read oitov. Nor is there a discordant note in any grammarian.
558. $\tau$ ' a ̉ тà Zanetti, Farreus, Grynacus, lientley, Kuster (in notes), Bergler, recentiores. $\tau$ ' aủrà R. Junta, Gelenius to Kuster inclusive. таи̂ти H.
 vulgo. This gives an anapaest in the fourth fout of an iambic tetrameter catalectic, contrary to the rule laid down by Porson in the supplement to his preface to the Hecuba. But that rule has been strennously, and I think successfully, disputed. Porson himself, referring to Suidas, who under the word

 reading here, and omits goví. He is followed by Meineke but by nobody else. And Suidas is obviously quoting carelessly, and has trausferred évépu from the next line, where it is right, to the present, where it is wrong.

Enger say's that if any change were necessary it would be easy to transpose yvvin to the second place, and this is done by Holden and Velsen. Blaydes
 But all other editors retain the MS. reading.
563. 'A $\chi$ а $\rho \nu ı к і$ Dobree, Weise, Bergk, recentiores. 'Ахарукк力 R. H. vulgo.
564. " $\rho \rho \in \nu$ R. H. vulgo. " "p $\rho \in \nu$ ' Scaliger and subsequent editions beforeFritzsche.

 ting the final av่тij.
567. ou $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Bothe, Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores, except Blaydes ní $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{R}$. Junta. oủdè H. Zanetti ; and one or other of these MS. readings, it is not always easy to say which, is found in all the editions before Brunck. จümote Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker. nủ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ followed by $\mu$ à $\Delta i i^{\prime}$ oủ Thiersch. oü rot Blaydes.
568. कi $\lambda i \sigma \tau \eta$ H. and (as corrected) R. Scaliger, Faber, Bentley, Brunch, reeentiores. $\Phi t \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \eta \mathrm{R}$. (originally) and all other editions before Brunck.
569. $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in s$ R. H. vulgo. $\pi \mu$ ávet $\iota$ Cobet, Meineke, Holden. Not a change for the better.
570. тoûtò $\chi$ єбєî̀ R. H. vulgo. Brunck interposed a $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ between these two words, not observing that the pronoun is already given in the preceding line; and he is followed by Invernizzi.
 R. Junta, Gelenius.- $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu$ R. H. Invernizzi, recentiores. ì $\mu a ̂ s$ editions before Brunck. i $\mu \hat{i}$ Bentley, Brunck.
580. акоті̀тє каі тпрйтє Portus, recentiores. бколєітє кпi тиреїтє R. H. editions before Portus. - kai $\mu$ i R. H. edition::
before Brunck. It is one of the reasons for Cleisthenes coming. He came ïva
 supposing the words to be governed by т $\eta \rho \tilde{i}, \tau \epsilon$, proposed $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau$, which is read by Brunck, Invernizzi, and Bekker. Far better than this is Porson's $\mu \dot{\eta}$ kui, which is adopted by Bothe, Thiersch, Dindorf, and all subsequent editors.
581. i $\mu i \nu$ H. Zanetti, Farreus, Scaliger, Faber, Brunck. recentiores, except Velsen. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i}^{\prime \prime}$ R. Junta and the other editions before Brunck, and Velsen afterwards.
584. Jrár' Zanetti, Farreus, Grynaeus, Scaliger (in notes), Kuster, recentiores. except Invernizzi. Є̈фar’ I. H. Junta and (except as aforesaid) all editions before Kuster ; and Invernizzi afterwards.
590. кйтє́ $\tau \iota \lambda$ ' Bekker, recentiores, except Bothe and Weise, who, with R. H. and the editions before Bekker, read $\kappa \dot{\cos } \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \iota \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$.
593. ウ̈veixє $\tau^{\prime}$ đu (what man ưould do it?) Brunck, recentiores, except Frit\%sche, Bergk, and Hall and Geldart. iprei $\chi \in \tau \circ$ (who was the man that diel it?) R. H. editions before Brunck ; and Fritzsche. Bergk, and Hall and Geldart afterwards.
594. пย้к о̋ори' ' $\gamma \omega$ ' Bentley, Porson, Brunck. recentiores. оùk oi̋ $\mu$ ' ধ̌ $\gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{R}$. H. editions before Brunck.
596. ' $\pi \epsilon \pi \dot{v} \sigma \mu \eta \nu \tau a v ̂ \tau a$ Bentley, Bruncl, recentiores. $\pi \epsilon \pi i \sigma \mu \eta \nu \tau a v \tau i$ R. H. editions before Brunck ; except that Bergler had already suggested ' $\pi \in \pi i \sigma \mu \eta r$, and that (after Brunck) Dindorf and Enger write $\pi \in \pi \dot{v} \sigma \mu \eta \eta^{\prime}$.
600. ìuas H. Bentley, brumek, recentiores, except Velsen. ípâs R. edition. before Brunck, and Velsen afterwards.
 Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. $\sigma v v v^{\prime} \xi \in v \rho$ ' R. H. editions before Brunck.
603. ris $\epsilon \hat{i} \mathrm{R}$. all editions before Brunck; and Invernizzi, Bekker, Thiersch, Dindorf, Weise, and Blaycles afterwards. ris ij H. ceteri.
605. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu^{\prime} \eta$ $\eta$ тıs Zanetti, Farreus, Kuster, recentiores. ' $\quad \mu$ ' $\epsilon$ "itıs R . and the other' editions before Kuster. є́ $\mu \epsilon i$ tas H.$\epsilon \not \epsilon \mu \mu^{\prime} \eta \rho o r:$ R. H. and all the editions before Brunck simply onit $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \mu$, , so leaving the line a syllable short. Brunck read ク̈pov; KA. vai. And this is followed by Invernizzi, liekker, and Bothe. Bentley proposed either $\epsilon \not{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu$ ’ ${ }^{\prime} \rho \circ$; or ク̈pov; тov. The former conjecture was made independently by Tyrwhitt and Porson, and is alopted by Dindorf, Thiersch, Weise, Enger, and all subsequent editors; the latter is alopted by Fritzsche.
 Zanetti, Farreus.- $\vec{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$. The reading of $R$. and all editions except Grynaeus before Portus was $\eta \neq \epsilon$ contra metrum. Bentley proposed $\eta \delta^{\prime}$ in or $\bar{\eta} \delta i$. The former is found to be the reading of $H$. and is adopted by Portus and all subsequent editors to and including Bekker; and by 'Thiersch,Dindorf, Weise, Blaydes, and Hall and Geldart. The latter is apparently read by Grynaeus (though the accent is on the $\dot{\eta}$, and is followed by the other editors subsequent to Bekker.
609. тít $\theta_{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \Delta i \hat{i} \mathrm{H}$. Portus, recentiores. $\tau i \tau \theta \eta \nu$ i $\delta i \quad \mathrm{R}$. and so, or $\tau i \tau \theta \eta$ $\dot{\eta} \delta i$ or $\eta \neq \delta$ ', the editors before Portus.
611. d̀vaia $\quad$ uvzós tis Kuster (referring to 752 infra), recentiores. civaio $\chi^{v \nu \tau o s}$ $\mu i \nu$ Grynaeus, Bentley. ìaioquvtos
(alone) R. H. and the other editions before Kuster.
612. $\mathfrak{\imath} \nu a \mu \in \nu \hat{\omega}$ Grynaeus, Scaliger, Faber, Kuster, Meineke, recentiores. à $\nu \alpha \mu \in ́ \nu \omega$ R. H. vulgo.
615. $\pi \sigma \lambda \dot{\nu} \nu$ Gelenius, recentiores. $\pi o \lambda u$ R. H. editions before Gelenius.
624. ©̈ $\sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ є́т $\boldsymbol{R}$. H. vulgo. óтє́т Sehäfer, Dindorf, Thiersch, recentiores, except Weise and Bergk. There seems no reason for any change. The form öбa ёти, ever! year, is found three times in Nen. De Rep. Ath. iii. 4, whilst ó $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \tau^{\circ}$, though doubtless an unexceptionable form, does not seem to oceur elsewhere.
625. ö; the MSS., and generally, are the commencement of Cleisthenes's speech; but are transferred to Mnesilochus by Schäfer, Bekker, Fritzsche,Enger, Bergk, and others: and this seems a much better arrangement. Mnesilochus feels that he has come to the end of his tether, and that instant detection is impending.
 $\pi i \nu \epsilon t$ ), Porson, Dindorf, Fritzsche, recentiores. $\tau i \pi \rho \hat{\pi} \pi o \nu$ R. H. (but $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu \tau o t$ is written in the margin of $R$.), and all editions before Brunck. $\tau i \pi \rho \omega \tau \pi \nu \bar{\eta} \nu$; ті $\pi \rho \hat{t o} \tau o \nu$; Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Thiersch. $\tau i \bar{\eta} \nu$; тíтр $\omega \tau о \nu \grave{\eta} \nu$; Bentley.
 making the words $\phi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ ' $\langle\delta \omega, \tau i \neq \bar{\eta} \nu$ a continuation of the woman's speech.
631. тi oai Bentley: for his editors are mistaken in referring this to the following line ; there he proposes $\tau i \delta^{\prime}$ aủ. тí $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ R. H. vulgo. - $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a ̀}$ тои̂тo Suidas (s.v. $\pi \rho o \pi i \nu \epsilon t$ ), Zanetti, recentiores. $\mu \in \tau о и ̆ \tau o ~ R . ~ H . ~ J u n t a . ~ . ~$

632．тi $\delta$＇aủ Bentley．тí $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ R．H． editions before Brunck．тi $\begin{aligned} & \text { © } \\ & \tau o j \\ & \text { Brunck，}\end{aligned}$ Invernizzi，Bekker．ri $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta_{i j}$ Bothe．ri $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta} \nu}$ Fritzsche，Bergk．тí oai Elmsley （at Ach．105），Thiersch，Dindorf，Weise， Blaydes，Hall and Geldart．$\sigma \grave{v}$ ．MN．$\tau i$ סè Enger，Meineke，Holden，Velsen．

633．گ＇$£ v \nu \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ R．H．Brunck，recen－ tiores．G＇́v $\mu^{\prime}$ all editions before Brunck． But both Bisetus and Bentley called attention to the fact that Pollux，x． chap． 9 ，cited，as from the Polyeidus of Aristophanes，the line $\sigma$ ки́申iov Z＇ $!\eta \tau \eta \sigma \in \nu$ ，oủ $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \bar{\eta}_{\nu}$ ả $\mu$ is ；ancl Bisetus sug． gested that we should so read the present line，and with this Kuster and Bergler agreed．Finally Brunck，from H，re－ stored the true reading．

634．$\delta \in \hat{v} \rho$＇$\AA$ R．（as corrected）and all printed editions．$\delta \in i ̄ p o$ R．（originally） and H．－K $\boldsymbol{K} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { c i }} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta \in \nu \in s$ all printed editions． K $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \in S$ R．H．

635．ivins．The article，or aspirate， was first added by Bentley，and has been adopted by Brunck and all sub－ sequent editors．

638．$\chi$ ú入a Grynaeus，recentiores．$\chi$ cìa R．$\chi^{\text {ádạ H．Junta，Zanetti，Farreus．}}$

642．$\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu i_{\imath} \tau \eta \rho$ H．Brunck，recentiores． $\delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ R．$\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho$ edd．before Brunck． 644．тоঠi סıє́кv廿є Dobree，Dindorf， Thiersch，Fritzsche，recentiores．тoסi $\delta \dot{\eta}$ є́кг $\psi \in$ R．H．editions before Brunck． тód’，ioov̀，＂̈́кv廿є Brunck，Invernizzi， Bekker．тоঠi $\delta$＇${ }^{\prime \prime} к и \neq \epsilon$ Bentley，Bothe．

646．$\mu a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$（or $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{i}$ ）Bentley，Din－ dorf，Thiersch，Fritzsche，recentiores． $a \lambda \lambda \lambda a ̀$ R．H．and all other editions before Fritzsche．

647．i $\sigma \theta \mu o ́ v$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．This line was omitted in R．and H．，but is written by a corrector in the margin of each．In
$R$ ．it is written in the right place ；in H．three lines too high．Nor is it found in any edition before Portus．Bisetus， however，pointed out that this and the following line are quoted as one speech by Suidas，s．v．i $\sigma \theta \mu \dot{s}$ ，and that the text here should be reformed accordingly． And the line has been replaced by Portus and all subsequent editors．

651．єiقєкùдı子a Bentley，Scaliger；re－ centiores．єiซєкúдəøa R．H．editions before Scaliger，except that Gelenius and Portus have єiбєкú入var．

653．oì $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ lentley，Dawes，Brunck， recentiores．oil $\chi \in \tau a t$ R．H．editions before Brunck．níरotтo $\pi \hat{\eta}$ Scaliger and Kuster in their notes．

654．$\pi \rho v \tau a ́ v \in \sigma \iota \nu$ H．Grynaeus，Brunck， recentiores．$\pi \rho \cup \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ R．editions， （except Grynaeus）before Brunck．

656．àmodóvas R．H．Zanetti，Farreus， Rapheleng，Kuster，recentiores．à $\pi n-$ סoíras the other editions before Knster．

657．＇̇ $\sigma \in \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \boldsymbol{\theta} \epsilon$ Bentley，Kuster，re－ centiores，except as hereinafter men－ tioned．$\epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \theta_{\epsilon \nu} \mathrm{R}$ ．H．$\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ all editions before Kuster except Gry－ naeus，who has $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda v \theta \epsilon$ ．Bentley alsu suggested，as an alternative，$\epsilon i \sigma \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ ； and Elmsley（at Ach．42）$\epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ ． Fritzsche reads ${ }^{i v} \varepsilon \lambda_{j_{i}} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon$ ，referring to the passages cited in the Commentary on 585 supra．This is a very infelicitous alteration，since the question is not who has＂ascended＂the hill on which the Temple stood，which anybody might do； but who has＂entered into the Temple＂ itself，from which men were excluded． Yet，after having been justly rejected by Enger，Bergk，Meineke，and others，it has been adopted by Blaydes，Velsen， and Hall and Geldart．

658．Suatpíva Kuster in his notes， Brumek，recentiores．$\dot{\pi} \theta_{\rho} \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ R．H．and all editions before Brunck．à $\ell^{p} \bar{\eta} \sigma a t$ formed a separate line in R．and in all editions before Portus，who was the first to elevate it into its proper place．－ тiкıа R．H．vulgo ；but lirunck，Bothe， and Wcise prefer to write it $\pi$ riva．

660．$\pi a \nu \tau \tau \chi \grave{\eta} \cdot \mu o ́ v o \nu ~ \delta \grave{~} \chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ Kuster，re－
 editions before Kuster．

662．रpily Bentley，Bothe，Weise， Enger，recentiores，except Bergk and V＇elsen．хрŋ̀ R．H．vulgo．хри́ a＇Porson， Fritzsche，Bergk，Velsen．

663．$\pi a ́ \nu \tau^{\prime}\left[\epsilon ́ \rho \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \cdot \omega \varsigma\right.$ ］．See the Com－ mentary．raұ̀̇ $\pi u ́ v \tau$＇R．H．vulgo．$\pi u ́ v \tau a$ таутахŋ̀ Dobree．тavтaұô rax̀̀ Holden． Telseu omits кnì $\mu$ íteve．
（i64．év тómots H．and（as corrected）R． vulgo．ėv тoúroıs R．originally．̇̇v тoú－ тибь тоis тútoıs Blaydes．

665．סè píqoy Hermann，Enger，re－ centiores，except Bergk who has $\delta \dot{\eta}$ piquı．ס九áppı廿ov R．H．and all editions before Brunck，and Fritzsche afterwards． Suipuqu Brunck and subsequent edi－ tions，except Fritzsche，before Enger．

667．$\mu \leqslant \lambda i \theta_{\eta}$ Fritzsche，Enger，Bergk， Meineke，Holden，Velsen，and Hall and Gehart．See the Commentary．$\mu \dot{\eta} \lambda a \theta_{\eta} \eta$ ㅅ．H．vulgo．$\lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\eta}$ Reisig．Blaydes． The discovery that lines 667－686 are antistrophical to lines 707－725 has naturally called forth a varicty of at－ tempts to bring the two systems into exact metrical uniformity．That they were originally uniform camot be doubted，but each system has fallen into disrepair，and if we correct one by the other，we may be altering a genume text to make it correspond with a cor－
rupt one．Occasionally they can be brought into conformity by an altera－ tion which commends itself on other grounds ；and occasionally the metre shows which system is necessarily right： But several scholars have gone beyond these isolated points，and have elabo－ rated complete systems，involving great and（except for this purpose）unneces－ sary alterations．These have met with no acceptance，and are too lengthy to be cited here．
 Spáviv is liergk＇s suggestion，which hedid not introduce into the text，but which is adopted by Meineke，Holden，Velsen， and Hall and Geldart．тois ä $\lambda \lambda$ ous änaoıи

 Hermann，Fritzsche，Enger，lergk， Blaydes．

671．$\dot{\partial} \theta \epsilon \in \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ ．After these words an anapaestic dipody seems to have fallen out．Fritzsche adds a second тара́дєє $\gamma \mu a$ ．

674．סaipovar．After this word an iambie dipody has dropped out．Velsen completes the line by reading $\delta a \not \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ סiкn $\nu \dot{d} \in i$.
 to read，to bring the strophe more into accord with the antistrophe．avoóróv $\tau \iota \delta_{p} \omega v$ Hermann，Enger，Blaydes，Vel－ sen，and Hall and Geldart．ठَ̈ $\iota a \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ R．H．Junta，Grynaeus，Gelenius，and （as a counsel of despair）Thiersch， Dindorf，Fritzsche，Bergk．ảvó⿱宀兀a $\delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ Kanctii，Farreus，Rapheleng，recentiores， except as herein mentioned．oủќध $\theta$ öøぇa i $\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ Meineke，Holden．It seems clear that these lines aùt $\hat{\prime \prime}$ ．．．тариккотos should be brought，as far as possible，into con－
formity with the three iambic dimeters of 718-20 à入' ou . . . àvorrious, and that the endeavour of some recent editors to hring those iambic dimeters into conformity with the present lines is an endeavour to spoil what is olviously right by bringing it into conformity with what is obviously wrong.
 Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker.
683. द̈gтaı Dobree, Reisig, Bothe, Fritzsche, Enger, Meineke, recentiores. ধ̈ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ R. H. vulgo.- $\beta$ ротої $\tau \nu$ Enger, Meineke, Holden, Velsen, Hall and Geldart. $\beta$ ротоís R. H. vulgo.

684-6. The MS. reading of these lines

 tiverat, which always occupies a line by itself, was doubtless originally a correction of the -á $\tau \epsilon$ tiverau which immediately follows, and has been prefixed to, instead of being sulbstituted for, the erroneous reading. See on 693 infra. All that I have done is to carry into effect this intended correction, and otherwise leave the MS. reading untouched. The MS. reading, as it stands, is adopted by Zanetti, Farreus, Brunck, recentiores, except as hereafter mentioned, and with the substitution of yiveтac for tiveтat by Junta and all other editors before Brunck. In the words тарахрйца́ тє үіעєтос Bothe and Fritzsche think that they detect a stage-direction referring to the movement of the Chorus,
 and Fritzsche ( $\pi а р а \chi ш р и ̆ \mu а т а ~ \gamma і \nu є т а) . ~ . ~$ With riveral, the accepted reading in his time, Bentley suggested $\pi$ aрáó $\epsilon \succ \mu a$ for $\pi а р а \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a$. Hermann proposed ö̃ı тá тє тарávо $\mu$ т ти́ т à ávóणıa mapavтà тíveтal
$\theta \in$ ós, which is adopted by Enger, Holden, and Velsen, save that for $\tau \epsilon \pi a p a \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\mu} u$ Enger and Holden read тарávopí $\tau \epsilon$. Meineke has öтı тú $\tau \epsilon$ тарávopa тú $\tau^{\prime}$

 тарळ̀v $\theta$ ѐ̀s $\grave{\text { ü otiveтal. }}$
689. ̂̀ ù. $\pi o i ̂ \pi o i ̂ ~ B i s e t u s, ~ B e n t l e y, ~$ Kuster (in notes), Brunck, and subsequent editors to, and including, Fritzsche. $\bar{a} \hat{u}$ (extra metrum) пoî R. H. and (save as hereafter mentioned) all editions before Brunck. éa (extra metrum) $\pi n i ̂ ~ Z a n e t t i, ~ F a r r e u s, ~ R a p h e l e n g . ~$. The question is, as Bergler observed, whether a second $\pi o \hat{\imath}$ should be added or one $\hat{\alpha}$ omitted and so a single senarins formed. The latter alternative is adopted by Weise and subsequent editors: but both MSS. give the $\begin{gathered}\text { a } \\ \text { a }\end{gathered}$ as extro metrum, and it is obvious that the doubled $\pi o \hat{\imath}$ is more in character with the Woman's speech, oitos, oitos, rìдalva тúdaıva.
691. $\mu o t$ R. H. vulgo. $\mu$ ov Hamaker, Meineke, Holden, Velsen.
693. ${ }^{\phi} \phi \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ R. (as corrected) Bentley, Kuster (in notes), Brunck, recentiores. dфєitє H. and (originally) R. iффєiךтє editions before Brunck; a reading which doubtless arose from $-\eta$ - intended as a correction of $-\epsilon c-$ having slipped in beside it. See on 684-6 supra.
697. каіे тротай» Scholiast on Plutus 453, Zanetti, Farreus, Rapheleng, Kuster, recentiores. The кai is omitted by R. H., and, save as aforesaid, by all editions before Kuster. Scalige proposed to supply $\nu \hat{u} u$.
700. Tódé Dohree (comparing 1105 infra and many other passages), Fritzsche, Enger, and all subsequent editors ex-
cept Meineke. $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ R. H. vulgo. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Bothe, Dindorf, Meineke.
701. $a \mathfrak{v}$ t $\epsilon$ pas all printed editions. av̉兀ย́pas R. H.
702. äтаขт’ "p' Bentley, Bergler (in notes), Bothe, Thiersch, Fritzsche, recentiores. ämav $\gamma^{\text {à }} \rho$ editions before Gelenius ; and Brunck, Invermizzi, Bekker, and Dindorf. ä $\pi a \nu \tau^{\circ}$ Gelenius to Bergler nelusive. Kuster in his notes suggested äтavtá $\gamma \dot{\gamma}$ - - ${ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ R. H. vulgo. Suidas (s.v. ämayтa) gives, as a proverl,
 verb doubtless derived from the present line, as indeed very many of the proverbs preserved by the paroemiographers are derived from Aristophanes. Porson wrote in the margin of his eopy of Portus, "Forte ätuita ueotá. Vide Pac. 554 ," and this suggestion is carried out by Meineke, Blaydes, Velsen, and Hall and Geldart; Blaydes writing

 This is rather an attractive alteration, but perhaps "́pya is more in keeping with the ideas of the Chorus of Women.
704. є́ğaprí彑єı Bentley, Enger, Bergk, Meineke, Holden, Velsen. See the Commentary. The Editors, in the Classical Journal, of Bentley's notes, ascribe the same eonjecture to Tyrwhitt, but it is not given in Elmsley's edition of Tyrwhitt's notes to this play. ' ' $\xi \dot{\prime} p \xi \omega \mathrm{R} . \mathrm{H}$. editions lefore Brunck. Bentley's altemative suggestion, ${ }^{\prime} \xi a p a \dot{\xi} \omega$, which is also the conjeeture of Toup and Reiske, is followed by brunck, and save as aforesaid subsequent editors. Dawes suggested $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ ' $\gamma \bar{\omega}$, " Quali modo vestram ego effraenatam insolentiam de-
clarabo!" His wonted sagacity, as Brunck observes, had failed him here.
706. öбтıs Porson, Dindorf, recentiores. ӧтє R. H. editions before Brunck, and Bekker afterwards. oтiך Brunck, Invernizzi, Bothe. óó Thierseh.
710. $\eta_{\kappa \epsilon \iota s ~(w i t h o u t ~} \theta^{\prime}$ or $\gamma^{\prime}$ ) Bekker,
 editions before Gelenius. $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota s \gamma^{\prime}$ Suidas (s.v. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \iota s$ ), Gelenius, and all subsequent editors to Brunck, and several afterwards. Kuster translated it sed non redibis (salvus) eo, unde renisti, and observed "verti ae si legeretur ả $\lambda \lambda$ " oủ $\chi$ $\eta \xi_{\epsilon} \iota_{s}$ dee.," and this reading was adopted by Brunck and Bothe. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ H. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \iota \sigma \theta^{*}$ Thierseh.- $i \theta \epsilon \nu$ ov $\phi \in \dot{\jmath} \xi \in \iota$ see the Com-

 and Bergk seems to have intended to adopt this, but he left the MS. reading in his text. Reisig proposed to omit $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon i s$ altogether, and to read $\delta \ddot{\sigma} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ou
 reading is adopted by Enger and subsecpuent editors (exeept that some omit the $\gamma^{\prime}$ after $\left.\phi a u^{\prime} \lambda s\right)$. $\phi a v i \lambda \omega s ~ \tau^{\prime}$ R. H. vulgo.
711. oû̃тотє. I have added the -потє. ov̉ R. H. vulgo.
715. Tis oûv vol R. H. vulgo. Tis äv oro Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, Velsen. Meineke ascribes the alteration to Brunck, but this seems a mistake.
719. évvß $\quad$ tís Reisig, Dindorf, Bothe, Thiersch, recentiores. évußpiótis R. H. and all the earlier editions. To complete the metre I have inserted ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu$ ' which might easily have dropped out before the $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$, as indeed might $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \tau^{\circ}$, which Dolree proposed and Blaydes reads.
720. тє $\lambda \in ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon$ R. H. vulgo. $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \iota s$ $\tau$ ' Fritzsche, which is followed by several editors, who do not see that we have here three iambic dimeters. The two trochaic dimeters, just below, have been more carefully preserved, both in the strophe and in the antistrophe.
721. '̈pyots. Hermann added ' $\epsilon \pi$ ' before and кai after 'épyots. And so (or with $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$ inserted before à' $\theta^{\prime} o \iota s$ ) Enger, Meineke, Holden, and Velsen. I have preserved the text of the MSS. which seems perfectly right.
723. тада к.т. $\lambda$. These lines are written in the MSS. and vulgo ráxa
 | '̇דє́ $\chi \in \iota \tau \iota s \tau u ́ \chi \eta$. Editors write them in various ways. The only alterations in the text are the tramsposition of $\sigma \epsilon$ and the "omission of ris. Both of these alterations have already been made by several editors, but accompanied by more radical, and less desirable, changes.
726. $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma^{\prime}$ R. Junta, Gelenius, recentiores, except as mentioned below. $\chi \rho \eta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$ H. $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma^{\prime}$ Zanetti, Farreus, Grynaeus. $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \nu$ Enger, Meineke, Holden, Velsen.
730. $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ тò Grynaeus, Kuster (in notes), Brunck, recentiores, and so Suidas, s.v. K $\rho \eta \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}$, тódє тò R. H. editions (except Grynaeus) before lhrunck.
733. є' $\gamma \epsilon \in \in \in \theta^{\prime}$ ì Grynaeus, Gelenius, Portus, recentiores. '̇ $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mathrm{R}$. and the other editions before Portus. 'ं ' $\epsilon$ $\nu \eta \theta \eta$ H.
736. $\dot{v \mu i s}$ R. H. vulgo. Gelenius introduced $\dot{v} \mu$ us which was continued by subsequent editors, till Tnvernizzi restured i $\mu$ fis from R., since which i $\mu i \nu$
has been read by Weise, Bothe, and Bergk only.
740. «то́крьуаí H. Zanetti, Farreus, Portus, recentiores. а̇то́крıд́ R. and the other editions before Portus. For rodi (R. H. vulgo) at the end of the line Fritzsche reads to tí; giring the words to the Woman.
741. каі̀ ঠє́ка Portus, recentiores. סє́ка (without kai) R. H. editions before Portus. Scaliger proposed ס́́ка $\gamma \epsilon$, Fritzsche, accepting kai, suggests the insertion of $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ after $\mu \bar{\eta} \nu a s$, and Blaycles inserts it accordingly.
 centiores. тиขoûtov. . . тvขoûto R. т тขoûtov... тqขoûto H. тvroûto . . . тvдoûto editions before Brunck.
746. yє́ $\operatorname{cov}^{\prime} ย \nu$ R. H. all editions before Brunck, and Thiersch afterwards. $\gamma$ रのעє Brunck and (save as aforesaid) recentiores.
747. $\chi \omega ̈ \sigma o \nu ~ B e n t l e y, ~ P o r s o n, ~ B o t h e, ~$ Thiersch, recentiores. каì öтои R. H. editions before Bothe, except that one or two have $\kappa$ ’ ö $\sigma$ ov.
748. тоvтayi. See the Commentary. rovtovi R. H. vulgo.
749. $\epsilon \mu \pi i \mu \pi \rho а т \epsilon$ Bentley, Brunck, recentiores, except Fritzsche. $\epsilon \mu \pi \iota \mu \pi \rho a ̂ \tau \epsilon$ H. є́ $\mu \pi i \pi \pi \rho a t \epsilon$ Grynaeus, Bergler, Fritz-
 $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \iota \pi \rho a ̂ \tau \epsilon$ the other editions before Portus. є́ $\mu \pi \iota \pi \rho a ́ \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ Portus, Scaliger, Faber, Kuster.
754. סós $\mu$ оь тò $\sigma$ фúzıo R. (originally) Bentley, Bekker. סós por тò $\sigma \phi$ ayєiò H. and (as corrected) R. all editions before Bekker. Tyrwhitt is said to have suggested the omission of to , and Porson did the same, and this suggestion is followed by all editions after Bekker
excepting Blaydes, who omits the $\mu \boldsymbol{o}$ instead. Fritzsche reads ós $\mu$ ot $\sigma$ фáyıov, $\bar{\omega}$. It seems to me that both $\mu o t$ and $\tau \dot{o}$ are necessary, and as it seems clear that the bowl for catching the victim's blood was called either $\sigma \phi$ àfiò or $\sigma \phi$ áyıov, I have followed Bentley, Bekker, and Fritzsche in adopting the latter form. See the Commentary.
760. Міка R. H. vulgo. Міккк Lobeck, Fritzsche, Meineke, Velsen, Hall and Geldart.

 neke, Holden.
 san фaiveтai $\pi \omega$ " Dobree. And so Meineke, recentiores.-riv’ oủv đ̈v Porson, Thiersch, Dindorf, recentiores, save that Bothe, who in his first edition accepted Porson's reading, in his second goes over to Brunck's. tiv' oủv (without â $\nu$ ) R. H. editions before Kuster, and Bekker afterwards. $\delta \dot{\eta}, ~ \tau i v ’$ ổv Kuster, Bergler. $\tau i \nu{ }^{\prime} a ̀ v, \tau i \nu{ }^{\prime}$ Brunck, Invernizzi, and Bothe in his second edition.
769. $\pi$ ópov R . vulgo. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̂ v ~ H . ~$
771. $\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ai R.H. vulgo. $\pi a ̂ p \epsilon \iota \sigma i ~ \mu o \imath ~$ Brunck, Invernizzi, Dindorf, Fritzsche. $\gamma_{\grave{a} \rho} \epsilon i \sigma i \mu_{0}$ Dobree, Meineke.
772. $\gamma \in ́ \nu o \iota \nu \tau$ ' Grynaeus, recentiores, except as after mentioned. $\gamma^{\prime}$ vor' R. H. Junta, Zanetti, Farreus. $-\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$; $\pi \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \boldsymbol{\theta}$; the final $\pi \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \nu$ was added by Scaliger (in his notes) and Bentley; and is read by Thiersch, Weise, Holden, Velsen, and Hall and Geldart. In R. H. and all editions before Brunck, and hekker afterwards, the line is a foot short. There have been other suggestions for completing the line. Bisetus proposed $\pi \dot{\delta} \theta \in \nu \quad \pi \lambda$ (íтu ; (which Fritzsche accepts)
 lirunck substitutes $\dot{a} \theta \lambda i \omega$ for $\mu o t$, and is followed by Dindorf and Bergk. Dindorf proposed, however, to change $\pi \lambda$ (ítal into $\pi \lambda$ дítas $\lambda a \beta \in i v$, retaining $\gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v} \nu \quad \tau^{\prime}$, and this is followed by Meineke and Blaydes; whilst Enger reads $\pi \dot{i} \theta_{\in \nu}$

 Scaliger (in notes), Kuster, recentiores. $\epsilon i$ тáó Zanetti, Farrens, Rapheleng. eita dic R. H. and the other editions before Kuster.
 H. has Evipur. And it may be that they are an actual quotation from Euripides, whether from his Palamerle or from some other play: and if so, it may be possible in this way to explain the hiatus $\epsilon \mu \pi i \grave{\epsilon} \nless \chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \nu$. Porson, however, in his copy of Portus, marked a lacuna after ' $\epsilon \mu x i$, thinking that an anapaestic dipody has dropped out; which Hermann would supply by reáding $\mu \dot{\eta} \quad \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$
 adopted by Mcineke except that he changes $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ into $\nu \bar{v} \nu \delta \grave{\eta}$. Fritzsche avoils the hiatus by reading $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} r^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \chi^{\epsilon \iota-}$ $\rho \in i r$, and Velsen by reading $\chi$ p并 $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma^{-}$ $\chi \in(p \in i r$, but all other editors leave it untouched. The hiatus in the next line $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma \varphi($ R. H. vulgo) admits of no defence ; lientley changed $\chi \rho \eta$ into $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \nu$, and so Brunck, Bothe, Thiersch, Dindorf, and recent editors generally.
782. $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \epsilon \iota, \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \in \iota$ R. H. vulgo. $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\chi \omega \rho \in \hat{\imath}$ Brunck to Dindorf inclusive, and Weise and Blaydes afterwards. - moiau R. H. vulgo. óтoía Portus and subsequent editors before Brunck.
783. ка $\theta^{\prime}$ óoìs Portus, recentiores. каӨóóous R. H. editions before Portus.

784．кєivạ，taútạ Grynaeus，Scaliger， Faber，Bekker，Fritzsche，Enger，Bergk， recentiores，except Blaydles．кєíva，таи̂ти R．and all editions before Brunck． кєiva тайта H．кєiv！таи́т！Brunck and all subsequent editions（except as afore－ said）before Bergk，and Blaydes．

788．orá $\iota \iota$ Scaliger（in notes），Kuster， recentiores．$\sigma$ тáateıs R．H．edd．before Kuster．

789．єi како́v all printed editions ex－ cept Junta．єi каi како́л R．H．єis какív Junta．－ipâs R．H．vulgo．In his note on Porson＇s Plutus 586 Dobree sug－ gested $\dot{v} \mu$ eis for $\dot{\eta} \mu u \bar{s}$ ．This was such an obvious mistake that he did not repeat it in his notes on this play，but it is brought into the text by Meineke and Holden．

790．є́кки́ষưàReiske，Dobree，Bothe， Fritzsche，Enger，recentiores．є́ $\gamma \kappa \dot{\psi} \psi$ а－ oav R．H．and the other editions before Enger．

792．$\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \in \lambda \theta_{\eta} \mathrm{R}$ ．（as corrected）vulgo． $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\lambda} \theta o \iota$ H．and（originally）R．Brunck．－ $\epsilon u ̈ \rho \eta \tau^{\prime}$ Por＇son，Bekker，recentiores．єü－ рич＇R．H．editions before Bekker．

793．$\mu a i v \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ H．Zanetti，Farreus， Rapheleng，Kuster，recentiores．$\mu a i v \epsilon \theta^{*}$ R．and（save as aforesaid）all editions beforeKuster：－$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ Brunck，recentiores． x $\rho \grave{\eta}$ R．H．editions before Brunck．

794．катє亢̀ $\alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ Brunck，recentiores， except Thiersch．катала $\beta$ кív $\epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ R．H． editions before Brunck；and Thiersch afterwards．

795．$\pi$ aiłovбaı R．H．vulgo．$\pi a i \sigma a \sigma a \iota$ Brunck．Hamaker would change $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda o-$ трíve into＇Aypotépas，and k $\lambda$ ívas in the next line into $\sigma \kappa \eta \nu$ às．
 Dobree，Fritzsche，Enger，Bergk，recen－
tiores．گŋтєі то̀ како̀ $\nu \in \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta$ ru R．H． vulgo．

799．тарики́廿ау Grynaeus，Portus，re－ centiores．таракíqaбà R．H．the other editions before Portus．But in the MSS．and vnlgo таракí廿aбay or $\pi$ тapa－ кú廿à was followed by ióєì тò какóv．To turn the concluding tribrath inter a dactyl，Fritzsche reads $\chi$ oüт $\omega$ s for oű $\tau \omega$ ； and Porson proposed to transpose the words．In his Aristophanica his trans－ position appears as тараки́ұау т̀̀ как̊̀д $i \delta e i v$ ．But this would violate the rule on which he always insisted ；and doubt－ less，as Enger says，he intended to write， what Dindorf afterwards wrote，тò кпкò $\nu$ $\pi а \rho а к и \psi н а ~ i \delta \in i r . ~ I n ~ t h i s ~ f o r m ~ t h e ~ t r a n s-~$ position is accepted by Enger，Bergk， recentiores．

802．í $\mu a ̂ s ~ H . v u l g o . ~ i ́ \mu a ̂ s ~ R .-\sigma к є \psi ~ ف ́-~$ $\mu \in \theta$ H．Grynaens，Kuster，recentiores． ${ }_{\text {o }} \kappa \epsilon \psi \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \sigma \theta$ R．and the other editions before Kuster．

S03．є́ки́бтиv Grynaens，Fritzsche， Enger，Bergk，recentiores．є̈кабтоs R． H．Junta，Gelenius，Rapheleng．ë́кaбtoи ceteri．

804．$\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \gamma$＇Dobree（referring to Lys． 589，720，1236），Dindorf，Thiersch，re－ centiores．$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$（without $\gamma$＇）R．H．edi－ tions before Brunck．$\mu i \eta$ Brunck，In－ vernizzi，Bekker，Bothe．But Elmsley， at Ach．109，pointed out that Aristo－ phanes uses $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ only after $i \lambda \lambda \dot{\iota}, \gamma \epsilon, \dot{\eta}$ ， кai，où，or oúס́́c．Bentley proposed to amend the metre by changing $\tilde{\eta}_{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$ into $\chi$ сip $\omega \prime$ ，but $\eta ँ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ contains an allu－ sion to the defeat，$\hat{\eta}_{\tau \tau u}$ ，of Charminus．－ érriv．The final $\nu$ ，which is omitted in R．H．and the earlier editions，was first
 recentiores．$\delta \eta \lambda a \delta \dot{\eta}$ тӥ $\rho \gamma a$ ，or $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda a$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$
$\tau \ddot{ } \rho \gamma a$ ，or $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda a \delta \bar{\eta} \tau^{\prime} a_{\rho} \rho \gamma \not \approx$ R．H．editions before Portus．

805．रєipad Zanetti，Farrens，Portus， recentiores．$\chi \in i \rho o \nu$ R．H．and the other editions before Portus．
 ores．$\Sigma \tau \rho a \tau \omega \nu i k \eta \nu$ R．H．edd．before Grynaeus．

809．ф $\dot{\eta} \sigma \iota$ ，R．H．vulgo．Kuster pro－ posed $\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon t$ ，which is adopted by Brunck， Bothe，Weise，Meineke，Holden，and Blaydes．

810．єủ $\chi$ ó $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ H．Grynaeus，Scaliger， recentiores．$\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a R$ ．and the other editions before Scaliger．

811．$\zeta \in \dot{\gamma} \gamma \in \iota$ R．H．vulgo．$\phi \in i ́ \gamma \in \iota$ Zanetti， Farreus．
 Portus to Bergler inclusive．

813．aű＇ $\mathfrak{\tau}$ à $\pi \in ́ \delta \omega \kappa \in \nu$（variously accented） R．and（as corrected）H．vulgo．ajvi－ aлє́ $\delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ Bentley，Holden．And this was the original reading of H ．

815．àmoסєi\}at $\mu \in$ Bentley，Brunck，re－ centiores．$\grave{a} \pi о \delta \epsilon i \xi о \mu \epsilon \nu$ R．H．editions before Brunck．－тoぃoùvas Zanetti， Farreus，Portus，recentiores，except Invernizzi and Thiersch．$\pi o \theta o u ̂ d a s ~ R . ~$ H．the other editions before Portus； and Invernizzi and Thiersch．

819．каı $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ R．H．vulgo．Belker suggested кai $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ，and so Thiersch， Dindorf，Enger，Bergk，Meincke，and Holden．

824．¿ivópió and the next word but one，$\quad i \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ．All editions before
 to the destruction of the metre in each line．

8：32．x $\rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ lirunck，recentiores，except ＇Thiersch．$\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ R．H．editions hefore Brunck，and Thiersch．

834．Srquioto兀 Bentley，Kuster，recen－ tiores．T $\eta$ vioto R ．H．editions before
 Faber．

836．$\epsilon i$ lirunck，Porson，recentiores， except Bergk．ìv R．H．editions before Brunck，and Bergk．

838．iбтє́pà R．H．vulgo．ívтítךv Fritzsche．

839．$\pi$ ó入ıs Gelenius，recentiores．$\pi$ ó－ $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota s}$ R．H．editions before Gelenius．

842．र饷 $\mu \boldsymbol{\theta} \theta^{\prime}$ if Scaliger（in notes）， Bentley，Kuster，recentiores．$\chi \rho_{i}^{\prime} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ $\eta_{i} \nu$ H．Gryuaeus，Portus，Scaliger，Faber．
 leng．$\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota \tau \hat{\eta}$ Zanetti，Farreus．－ $\epsilon i$ Brunck，recentiores，except Bergk． $\eta \nu$ R．H．editions before Brunck，and Bergk afterwards．
844．«ффирєіَөaı H．Farreus，Gry－ naeus，Rapheleng，recentiores．$\dot{a} \phi \epsilon-$ peiotur R．Junta，Zanetti，Gelenius． кєipєбөaı Meineke，who also in the fol－ lowing line changes тóкоv into то́кои．－ $\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \tau^{\prime}$ Grynaeus，Rapheleng，recen－ tiores．хpŋ́muтaт＇R．H．and the other editions before Rapheleng．

846．i $\lambda \lambda$ òs R ．H．vulgo．á $\lambda \lambda \frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{G}-$ lenius，Rapheleng，Scaliger（in notes）． Kuster suggests av̉os．

851．$\pi \dot{u} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{~F}$ R．H．vulgo．$\pi a ́ v \tau \omega s \delta^{\prime}$ Bentley，Fritzsche，Meineke，Holden， Blaydes，and Velsen．

852．киркайї ；тi Bentley，Reiske， Blaydes，Hall and Geldart．кıркаvạs， ì $\tau i$ R．H．and all editions before Trunck， except Grynaeus，who omits the $\tau i$ ． Kuster in his notes proposed кขкай̣ ； i）ri，referring to the Etymol．，Magn．
 тарй тоîs $\pi u \lambda$ u七oís тupì тò кuк⿳⺈，тò тa－


And Kuster＇s suggestion is adopted by Brunck，and subsequent editors before Blaydes．

853．＇E $\lambda \in ́ \nu \eta \nu$ all printed editions．$\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ R．H．

856．廿aкáסos R．H．vulgo．In Euri－ pides it is written $\psi \in \kappa u ́ \delta o s$, and that form is adopted by Brunck，Invernizzi， and Weise．

860．aoi $\gamma$＇Gelenins，recentiores．$\sigma o i$ $\tau^{\prime}$ Zanetti，Farreus，$\sigma i \tau^{\prime}$ R．originally． oú $\tau^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ．and（as corrected）R．Junta， Grynaeus．

S62．$\gamma i \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \iota$ Brunck，recentiores．$\gamma i \gamma \nu \eta$ R．H．editions before Brunck．
 Gelenius，Rapheleng．

867．Mevédaos R．H．vulgo．Mèédews Brunck to Blaydes inclusive．But，as Velsen observes，the forms Msvédaos and Mevé $\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ are used indiscriminately by Euripides in the Helen（1196 and 1215， 1003 and 1031）；and there is not the slightest reason for altering the MS． reading here．
868．тิิע кора́кшу торпрía．These words are continued to Mnesilochus by R．H． and all editions（except Bothe）before Fritzsche．Bentley suggested that they should be transferred to the Woman， and this must have been the reading of the Scholiast，who says，öt $\pi$ порचроi oi
 And so Bothe，Fritzsche，recentiores． But this would be making Critylla enter into the jest，which she is noway inclined to do．

872．$\xi^{\prime}$＇́vous Zanetti，Farreus，Grynaeus， Portus，recentiores．$\xi \in \neq \frac{1}{\nu}$ R．H．Junta， Gelenius，Rapheleng．

873．ка́цдодтаs R．H．vulgo．каці́vтая Lenting，Blaydes，Velsen．

874．тoinu IIp由т＇́ $\omega s$ ；the MSS．indi－ cate that these words belong to a new speaker，but do not say to whom．All the editions，except as hereinafter men－ tioned，give them to Euripides，and I think rightly．However，Bentley， Tyrwhitt，Elmsley，and Dobree，four of the greatest names in Aristophanic literature，all proposed to transfer them to the Woman．And at first sight this is a rery attractive suggestion； giving moon the meaning of scornful repudiation which it so often bears in Aristophanes Proteus indeed！Neverthe－ less it cannot，I think，be right．Cri－ tylla，as the next line shows，supposes Mnesilochus to be referring to Прштє́as （genitive $\Pi \rho \omega \tau$＇́ov），and she would be giving herself away，if she began by repeating Прштє́ ${ }^{\circ}$ ，which could only be the genitive of $\Pi \rho \odot \tau \epsilon u$ s．＂Nerfue enim，＂ says Enger，＂hoc dicere potest＇quem Proteum tu narras？imo Proteam，sed hic jamdudum est mortuus．＇＂Accord－ ingly the alteration is rejected by all editors except Bothe，Fritzsche，Blaydes， and Velsen．moov is a simple interroga－ tive，as it is three lines below．

875．命 триккико́дацоу R．Н．vulgo． Bentley suggested ó трєбкикодаицшข．

878．$\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\omega} к а \mu \epsilon$ R．H．vulgo．Dindorf suggested $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon u ́ \kappa a \mu \epsilon \nu$ which is read by Meineke，Holden，and Blaydes．

879．тои́тщ т $¢$ Grynaens，Dindorf， Fritzsche，Enger，recentiores．$\tau \hat{\omega}$（with－ out $\tau o u ́ \tau \omega)$ R．H．and the editions other than herein mentioned．Bentley pro－ posed to supply the missing foot by $\tau \omega ̄ \delta \epsilon$ ，which Weise adopts；Scaliger by inserting как＠ิ after тఱ，which Thiersch adopts：and Brunck and Invernizzi insert $\hat{\dot{\omega}} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$ before $\tau \hat{\omega}$ ．For как⿳⺈s

Dobree would write кáкıoт＇as in Peace 2.
 форєiov Meineke，recentiores．See on 278 supra．－тovtoyi R．all editions before Gelenius，and all after Bergler． тoutori H．Gelenius，Rapheleng，Portus． тoutô Bentley，Scaliger，Faber，Kuster， Bergler．

883．őवт兀s $\gamma$＇Scaliger（in notes），Bent－ ley，Brunck，recentiores．öбтıs R．H． editions before lirunck．

885．тє́ $\theta \nu \eta \kappa \epsilon$ Brunck，recentiores，ex－ cept Bekker．тє́ $\theta \nu \eta \kappa \in \nu$ R．H．editions before Brunck，and Pekker afterwards．

887．какढ̂s＂＂p＇all editions before Invernizzi，and Bekker，Fritzsche to Bergk inclusive，and Blaydes afterwards． какйs r’＂̈p’ R．H．Invemizzi，Bothe， Thiersch，Dindorf（but in his notes Dindorf returns to кикढิs＂＂$\rho$＇），and Hall and Geldart．－$\gamma \epsilon$ ко $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ all editions be－ fore Bergk，and Hall and Geldart after－ wards．$\gamma^{\prime}$＇̈ $\tau \iota$ Bergk，Blaydes．Meineke
 кả $\xi_{0} \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，and this，with the astonishing как $\eta$ ，is accepted by Holden，and，with какウ̀ changed into какіs，by Velsen．

889．兀i סai Scaliger（in notes），lentley， D＇Orville，Invernizzi，Thiersch，and Din－ dorf．$\tau i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mathrm{R}$ ．H．vulgo．Scaliger sug－ gested $\tau i \delta^{\circ}$ av̉ or $\tau i \delta^{i}$ ，and Bentley $\tau i \delta a i$ or $\tau i \delta \eta \dot{\eta}$ ．The latter is the reading of the editions which go by the names of Scaliger and Faber，and so Fritzsche， Enger，liergk，recentiores．$\tau i$ Brunck，Bekker，Bothe，and Weise．

892．aủ тòv R．（as corrected），Kuster， recentiores．aútò H．and（originally） R．editions before Kuster．

895．ßáü ̧̧／hanctti，Farreus，Gelenius， recentiores．$\beta$ áü̧̆R．H．Junta，Grynaeus．

Reiske suggested $\pi a \bar{v} \sigma a \iota \sigma \dot{v}$ ．And Kuster proposed to change $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ into ${ }^{\prime} \nu o \mu u$. But Bergler rightly says that qov่ $\mu \dot{\nu}$ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ is a Euripidean periphrasis for ＂me．＂

898．єi $\mu \dot{\eta}$ R．Junta，Gelenius，Raphe－ leng，Bekker，Dindorf，recentiores，ex－ cept as hereafter mentioned．$\epsilon i \mu i \mathrm{H}$ ． the other editions before Dindorf，and Weise afterwards．Elmsley（at Ach．47） and Reisig suggested $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon i \mu i$ ，and so Thiersch ：but Elmsley in his supplemen－ tary notes came round to $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$ ．Bothe reads $\epsilon i \mu i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ．
 certainly have expected то̀̀ є́pò $\nu$ ，but Aristophanes is borrowing from Eur． Helen $54 \pi \rho \circ \delta$ ồ $\sigma^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \dot{o} \nu \pi \delta_{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ ．The ті̀ $\nu$ is supplied in $R$ ．and in all editions before Brunck to the destruction of the
 Hermann，Velsen．M $\epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ тò̀ $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ Scaliger（in notes），Bentley，Kuster （in notes），Brunck，recentiores，except Velsen．

909．єi̊od（as Eur．Hel．564）Brunck， recentiores．＂סov R．H．editions before Brunck．
 This line stands in the text as in R．H．， ＇except that for Mevedá $\omega$＇they have
 $\sigma^{\prime}$ has been restored from Eur．Hel， 565，and i申v́wv from Suidas，s．v．i申ún． The MS．reading is retained by all editions before Kuster，who，leaving the first three words as they stood，con－ timued（from the Helen）$\gamma \epsilon \sigma^{\prime}$ oú $\delta^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \notin \omega$ $\tau i \not \phi \hat{\omega}$ ，but seems from his note to have intended the further change of $\mathrm{M}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}} \nu_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda a o \nu$ into Meve入cíw．And，with this further change，he is followed by Bergler and

Blaydes．Meanwhile Pierson（on Moeris， s．v．＇A $\gamma v i a \hat{a}$ ）pointed out that Suidas（s．v． $i \phi u ́ \eta)$ had retained the true reading $i \phi v ́ \omega \nu$ ，and Brunck accordingly settled the line as in the present text．He is followed by all subsequent editor：s except Blaydes ：and except that Thiersch，Din－ dorf，and Enger（contrary to all the MSS． both of Euripides and Aristophanes） change Mevє入áw into Mєvє入є́ $\omega$ ，and that Bergk，Meineke，and Holden follow Porson in reading $\sigma \epsilon \in \gamma^{\prime}$ for $\sigma^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma a \gamma^{\prime}$ ． Before the reading i申v́wy had become known，Bourdin suggested $\dot{\partial} \phi \rho i \omega \nu$ for

 （from Eur．Hel．566）Blaydes．

912．és $\chi$＇́pas Zanetti，Farreus，Gry－ naeus，Kuster，recentiores，except Hall and Geldart．＇s $\chi$ dipas Junta，Gelenius to Faber，but Scaliger in a note had restored $\chi$ ́́pas．é $\sigma \chi$ ápas R．H．Hall and Geldart；an impossible reading．

914．$\pi \epsilon р i \beta a \lambda \epsilon$ Bisetus，Bothe，Thiersch， Fritzsche，Enger，recentiores．$\pi \in \rho i-$ $\beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ R．H．the other editions before Enger．

918．к $\omega \lambda$ ítıs R．H．vulgo．к $\omega \lambda$ úбєıs Cobet，Meineke，Velsen．But Critylla is hindering him，not merely goiny to hinder him．Had Euripides addressed Cobet＇s question to her，she might have replied with Dionysus in Frogs $5 \geqslant 7$ nu $\tau \dot{\prime} \chi^{\prime}{ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \delta \eta \pi \sigma \omega$.

926．$\sigma$＇ov่ס́є́тот＇R．H．vulgo．Elmsley （at Ach．127）proposed oúdémote $\sigma^{\prime}$ which is followed by Dindorf，Enger，Meineke， Blaydes，and Velsen．$-\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi \nu \epsilon \epsilon^{\omega}$ Zanetti， Farreus，Rapheleng，recentiores．$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \pi \nu \epsilon \in \omega$ R．H．Junta，Grynaeus，Gelenius． 927．$\pi \rho \sigma \lambda i \pi \omega \sigma^{\prime}$ Scaliger（in notes）， Brunck，recentiores．$\pi \rho o \lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega \sigma^{\prime}$ R．H．
editions before Brunck．＇$\pi \lambda i \pi \omega \sigma$＇ Blaydes．

929．${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ H．Bentley，Brunck，recen－ tiores．${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$ R．editions before Brunck． 934．$\nu \bar{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \gamma$＇Dobree，Fritzsche，Bergk， recentiores．$\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \bar{r} \tau^{\prime}$ R．H．vulgo．

935．b̀íyou R．H．Dawes，Bergler（in notes），Brunck，recentiores．iגíyov edi－ tions before Brunck．

939．ұарі́тшцаи Porson，Bekker，recen－ tiores．ұарітоиаи R．H．editions before Brunck，and Invernizzi afterwards．xa－ рьой $\mu$ a Bentley，Brunck．

941．$\mu \dot{\eta}$＇$\nu$ Kuster（in notes），Brmek， recentiores．$\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ R．H．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ editions be－ fore Brunck．

943．єैסoछ̧є Scaliger（in notes），Brunck， recentiores．$\epsilon \delta o \xi \in \nu R$ ．H．editions before Brunck．

944．$\pi$ apıỗ lirunck，recentiores，ex－ cept as hereafter mentioned．тapoí $\iota$ R．H．editions before Brunck，and Bek－ ker，Bothe，and Fritzsche afterwards．

945．iartatadis Bentley，Bothe，Din－ dorf，Meineke，Holden，Blaydes．iamma－ $\pi a n i ́ \xi ~ R . ~ H . ~ v u l g o . ~$

946．ধ̈ $\sigma \tau$＇Bentley，Weise，Enger， Meineke，Holden，Blaydes．ধ̈́rıı R．H． vulgo．

947．$\pi$ ui $\sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ 友anetti，Farrens，Raphe－ leng，Scaliger，recentiores．$\pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \mu \in \nu$ R． H．Junta，Grynaeus，Gelenius，Portus．－ тaí九 lientley，Brunck，recentiores．$\tau$ ais R．H．editions before Brunck．Some editors change $\theta$ aniv and autaiv in the following lines to $\theta$ धoiv and aitoiv．See on 285 supra．

952．$\mu \epsilon \lambda \in \epsilon \nu / a n e t t i$, Farreus，Grynaeus， Brumek，recentiores．$\mu \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ R．H． Junta，and from Gelenius to Bergler inclusive，but Bentley had suggested $\mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon t \%$ ．
954. побiv R. H. vulgo. Bergk suggested, and Holden reads, $\pi \sigma \sigma \sigma i \nu$.
955. $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho i$ R. H. vulgo. $\chi \in \rho i$ Dobree, Meineke, Velsen.
966. $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \nu$ Bothe, Fritzsche, Meineke, Velsen, Hall and Geldart. xpì R. H. vulgo. Meineke suggested, and Holden reads, $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu^{\prime}$.
 mentary. $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma o \nu a \hat{v} \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \nu$ R. H. vulgo. Bothe changed каıข̀̀ into каıขิข. Fritzsche inserted $\epsilon \pi$ ' between $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ and $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \gamma o \nu$, whilst Enger changed $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ into $\dot{\omega} s \epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime}$, in which he is followed by Meineke and Velsen. Reiske proposed

 "́pyov autika, which is read by Weise and Elaydes.
968. єúфuâ Bothe, Thiersch, Dindurf, recentiores. єíфvŋ̄ R. H. editions before Bothe. But Brunck had suggested cíquâ.
969. $\pi$ обi Reisig, Buthe, Thiersch, recentiores. $\pi \sigma \sigma i \nu$ R. H. editions before Bothe.-Eủdúpà Yanetti, Farreus, Rapheleng, recentiores. ė $\lambda$ úpà R. H. Junta, Grynaeus, Gelenius.
975. रороі̂бьข $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \pi а і \zeta \epsilon \iota$ R. H. vulgo. Xopoîat $\sigma \nu \mu \pi a i \zeta \epsilon \iota$ Meineke, Holden.
980. i $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \sigma \iota$ Hermann, Thiersch, Dindorf, Enger, Bergk, recentiores.
 Xapévтas'Zanetti, Farreus,Scaliger, Faber, Kuster (in notes). This and the following line are omitted in H.
 ger: (in notes), Kuster (in notes), Invernizzi, Bothe, Dindorf, recentiores. $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ Xuipetr $\chi^{n p \epsilon i a s ~ R . ~(a n d ~ w i t h ~} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \lambda i \nu \nu$ for $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu \mathrm{II}$.) Jmita, (irynaeus, and the subsequent editions hefore liergler. סo-
 Bergler, Brunck, Bekker, Thierseh.
984. $\pi \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \omega s$ ¢̀ $\downarrow \eta \tau \tau \tau \in \dot{v} \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. See the Commentary. $\imath^{\eta} \sigma \tau \epsilon i \omega \mu \in \nu$ סì $\pi a \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \mathrm{~s}$ R. H. editions before Bothe, and Hall and
 Bothe, Thiersch, recentiores.
985. ả̀ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon i^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ all printed editions except Hall and Geldart. a $i \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ $\epsilon i a \pi a ́ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ R. $\quad \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i^{\prime} a \pi^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$. Blaydes suggests eleven ways of altering the line, the seventh of whieh $a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon_{i a}^{i a} \pi a \dot{\lambda} \lambda^{\prime}$ is adopted by Hall and Geldart. I do not know what meaning they attach to it.
986. тópeve R. H. vulgo. In his note on Horace A. P. 441 (see the Commentary on line 53) Bentley proposed тópvєvє, but in his Aristophanic jottings he left the MS. reading waltered.
 stituted $\omega \hat{\varrho} \delta \bar{\eta} s$ for the MS. $\tilde{\dot{\omega}} \delta^{\prime}$ which is unmetrical and ummeaning. The Chorus are calling upon Dionysus to lead the song and dance. $\delta \in ́ \gamma^{\prime}$ ì $\delta^{\prime}$ av́tìs R. vulgo.
 mann, Fritzsche, Enger, Meineke, and Holden. Hermamn also suggests $\delta \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ ö $\delta^{\prime}$ núvòs which Weise adopts.
 vulgo. кıббофо́p’ $\grave{\omega} \nu a \xi$ Вáк $\chi \epsilon \iota^{\prime}$ Hermamn, Weise, Velsen.
989. фıлох́́po七яь Scaliger (in notes), Bentley, Brunck, recentiores. фiдoxópotolu R. H. editions before Brunck.
990. Eữov ฝ̂ $\Delta$ 'ós $\tau \in$ Fritzsche. Eừov
 Enger, Meineke, Holden, and Velsen. Other alterations have been suggested, but none worth mentioning.
993. '́parsis R. H. vulgo. In the MS. reading this should answer to $\pi \in \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \delta \epsilon \epsilon s$
in the antistrophe; and therefore Wellauer proposes épaotois, and Hall and Geldart '́parvois. But it is impossible to part with éparois, and Enger's emendation in the antistrophe, whieh I have adopted, seems far simpler and better.
 Fritzsche, Enger, Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, and (exeept that for the second ©̂ Eṽı' he marks a lacına) Velsen. Eütov,

995. $\sigma o \grave{ }$ Zanetti, and all printed editions except those mentioned below.
бvî̀ R. H. Junta, Gelenius, Portus, Scaliger, Faber ; but Scaliger in his notes reads $\sigma o i$.
996. Kı日atṕ̀vıs Zametti, Farreus, Bothe, Thiersch, recentiores. KıAapóvos $R$. H. and the other editions before Bothe.
 Velsen. каì vátaı $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \delta є \iota s$ R. H. vulgo.
1001. ধ̇עтaītaR. H. Brunck,recentiores. ধ̇vtaū $\theta a$ editions before Brunck; but the Seythian, as Brunck observed, is without ispirates. -oi $\mu \hat{\omega} \mathfrak{\xi} \iota$ Bentley, Brunck, retentiores. oi $\mu \dot{\omega} \xi \in \iota$ R. H. editions before Brunck.
1002. ікєтєíб Brunck (in notes), Thierseh, Fritzsche, recentiores. iкєтєiซŋך editions before Brunck. iкє́тєvє Brunck (in text) and, with the exception of Thiersch, the subsequent editions before Fritzsehe.
1004. ѐтıкрои́єıs H. Wellaner, Thiersch, Fritzsehe, recentiores. é $\pi \iota \times p o u ́ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ R. and all editions (except Thiersch) hefore Fritzsche.
1005. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{o}$ Bentley, Bothe, Thiersch, recentiores. $\mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda \rho \nu$ R. H. editions before Bothe. But Brunck, Invernizzi, and Bekker inserted â after $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o u-$
iatratai Bentley, Porson, Dindorf, Enger, recentiores. тatтatâ R. H. editions before Bromek. тi тatтatai ; 'Thiersch, giving the two words to the Scythian; ef. Frogs 649. ítatтatai brunck, Invemizzi, Bekker, Fritzsche. ıitтatatтatai Grynaeus.
1007. ' $\xi \in \nu i \gamma \kappa \iota$ Bentley, Scaliger (in notes), Invernizzi, recentiores, except that Enger and some recent editors prefer to write it ' $\xi i v \imath \gamma \kappa \iota . \quad \xi \in i v \iota \gamma \kappa \iota \mathrm{R}$. vulgo. छ̇v่ข $\boldsymbol{y \kappa \iota} \mathrm{H}$. Brounck.
1010. civip. 'The aspirate was added by Bothe.
1011. int $\delta i_{j} \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon$ Nealiger (in notes), Brunck, recentiores. $i \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$ R. H. editions before Brunck.
1013. ởv ' $\epsilon \tau$ ’ $\neq \sigma \theta$ ' Porson, Dindurf,
 editions before Brunck, and Bekker afterwards. nîv '̇otiv $\gamma$ ' Kuster (in notes), Brunck, Invernizzi, Bothe. oūv oitós $\gamma$ '
 тоît " $\sigma \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ Dobree, Enger, Bergk, recentiores.
1014. тарє́ттато R. H. wulgo. таре́ттєто Invernizzi, Bothe, Memeke, recentiores.

1015-21. The name of Euripides is not given here, un the name of Mnesiluehns infua 1022 , by R. They are both so given by H ., by the second eorrectorof R., and by all editors except Bergk. R.'s arrangement, as in the text, is restored by Tyrwhitt, Elmsley, and Bergk. See the Commentary.
1016. $\operatorname{si\pi } \lambda \theta_{0<\mu}$ R. H. all editorsbefore Brunck, and Bergk afterwards. imé $\lambda$ Oot $\boldsymbol{\text { B B B }}$ Bey, Bothe, Fritzsethe. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda$ Oorpe Bronck, and subsecfuent editors except as herein appear's. "Dedi $\pi \epsilon \lambda$ íӨorц" " Blaydes. Of course the emendations of Bentley and lorunck are made
on the supposition that Euripides is the speaker．

1017．入íӨоцц Bentley，Tyrwhitt， Brunck，recentiores，except Bekker． $\lambda$ íßoı $\mu$ R．H．editions before Brunck， and Bekker afterwards．

1019．$\pi \rho \rho \sigma a ́ d o v \sigma a$ Elmsley（in his note on Tyrwhitt）and Dolıree．$\pi \rho \sim \sigma a \iota \delta o u ̈ \sigma-$ ба R．H．$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \delta о$ v̂rocu Junta，Gele－ nius，Rapheleng．$\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \hat{\delta} \hat{\imath} \sigma a$ Kanetti， Farreus．$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta o v ̄ \sigma \sigma a t$ Grynaeus．$\pi \rho o \sigma-$ ¿ooûaa Portus to Bergler，Weise．$\pi$ poor－ avঠิఠఠa Brunck，Invernizzi，Bekker． $\pi \rho o \sigma a v \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon$ Hermann，Bothe，Blaydes． тробạ́onvoal Thiersch．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ A i ̂ o u ̄ s ~ \sigma \epsilon$ Seidler，Dindorf，Fritzsche，Enger，Bergk， recentiores，except Blaydes and Velsen． $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ A i o o i s ~ \sigma \grave{v}$ V＇elsen．$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ " A ı o u ~ \sigma \epsilon ̀ ~$ （in Death＇s name）Rutherford．Scaliger
 tois．This seems a corollary of EImsley＇s emendation．tùs R．H．vulgo．tâs Fritzsche．tìv Seidler，Dindorf，Enger， Bergk，recentiores．тồo $\delta^{\circ}$（or roto＇$\delta^{\prime}$
 the commencement of the line кגúcis （R．H．vulgo）is changed into $k \lambda$ vors by Bisetus，Scaliger（in notes），Kuster to Bekker，and Dindorf．

1023．$\pi о \lambda v \pi о \nu \omega ́ т a t o \nu ~ R . ~ H . ~ v u l g o . ~$ тодuaton＇ө́tato Burges，Enger，Meineke， Holden，Velsen，Hall and Geldart．

1026．фú $\alpha a \xi ̧ \pi a ́ \lambda a t ~ R . ~ H . ~ v u l g o . ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a \imath ~$ رoь фúdás Enger，Meincke，Holden，Vel－ sen，Hall and Geldart．
 Meineke，Holden，Blaydes，Velsen，Hall and Geldart．After＇́ф＇́o $\quad$ тик＇Fritzsche inserts ôs＇${ }^{\prime} \mu$＇，and Bergk inserts the same words after＇＂$\phi_{l} \lambda^{\prime}$ as he writes it． Buthe inserts $\delta$＇after ä $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{\iota} \lambda_{o \nu}$ ．

1028．＇̇крє́єигбє lothe，Fritzsche，Mei－
neke，recentiores．є̇крє́ $\mu a \sigma \epsilon$ R．H．vulgo． крєд́́⿱㇒日幺 Brunck，Bekker．After є́крє́－ $\mu a \sigma \epsilon ́ \nu$ Blaydes inserts $\mu \epsilon \tau$ тois．

1030．i申’ $\dot{\eta} \lambda i \times \omega \nu$ R．H．vulgo．$\dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ ísò Hermann，Meineke，Holden，Velsen． ŋ̀入iкөv $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$ Blaydes．

 ＇̌ $\chi o v{ }^{\prime}$＇R．H．Junta，Grynaeus，Gelenius． $\psi \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \nu$ к кпц̀̀ Zanetti，Farreus，Portus， recentiores，except as hereinafter men－ tioned．Meineke and Holden put $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \nu$ in brackets．Velsen，and Hall and Gel－ dart onit it．

1032．＇̇ $\mu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ H．Zanetti，Far－ reus，Rapheleng，recentiores．$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma-$ $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$ R．Junta，Grynaeus，Gelenius．

1034．گ̇̀v $\pi a t \omega \nu$ Zanetti，Farreus，Gry－ naeus，Scaliger（in notes），Kuster，re－ centiores．$\xi v \mu \pi a \hbar n \nu$ R．H．the other editions before Knster．

1039．ä $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ «̈voua Scaliger（in notes）， Bothe，Bergk．à̀ $\lambda \grave{a} \nu$ äro $\quad$ R．H．$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’

 Blaydes．rá̀av’ «̈voца Hermann，Enger， Meineke，Holden，Hall and Geldart．

1040．фต̂тa R．H．vulgo．фิ̂тí $\tau \epsilon$ Hermanm，Fritzsche，Enger，Holden， Hall and Geldart．－$\lambda_{\iota \tau} \mu \epsilon ́ v a \nu$ R．H． vulgo，but Enger and a few others pre－ fer the nominative $\lambda \iota \tau о \mu \epsilon \nu a$ ．ar $\tau о \mu \epsilon \nu a \nu$ Thiersch．ả $\lambda \iota \tau \eta \mu \in \nu a$ Bothe．

1041．фєú̧nvaay．See the Commentary．
 grave（at Eur．Or．1394），Brunck，re－ centiores，except Thiersch，and Hall and Geldart，and except that Enger and other＇s write it $\phi \lambda \epsilon$ б́oura．

1042．$\ddot{\epsilon}, ~ \ddot{\epsilon}$ R．H．Invernizzi to Fritzsche inclusive，and Hall and Gel－ dart ；all other editors omit the $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime}, \vec{\epsilon}$ ，

I do not know why．They seem to me the Comic adjunct to the Tragic ui ai ．

1044．кроко́єע єī＇${ }^{i}$ so，I think，we should
 R．H．vulgo，with $\chi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a$ understood． кроко́єу то́ঠ’ Bergk，Blaydes，Velsen．－－ $\epsilon \in \nu \epsilon ́ \delta v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ R．H．vulgo．ci $\mu \notin \epsilon ́ \delta v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ Her－ mann，Enger，Bothe．As to the ôs $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ at the commencement of the line， Blaydes writes＂Displicet ôs épè repe－ titum．Dedi єīta．＂De gustibus non dis－ putandum．Mihi valde placet ôs $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}$ repetitum．

1045．т $\tau \hat{\iota} \sigma \delta \delta^{\prime}$ є’s тó $\delta^{\prime}$ Hermann， Thiersch，Bergk，recentiores．тоíбঠє ród́ R．H．vulgo．

1047．íw $\mu \mathrm{o}$ R．H．vulgo：but several editors follow Hermann in omitting the $\mu o 九$ ．－${ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \gamma \kappa \tau \epsilon$ Portus，recentiores，except Blaydes．à vétıктє R．H．Junta，Grynaeus， Gelenius，Rapheleng．ảváтє $\gamma \kappa \tau \epsilon$ Zanetti， Farreus．â $\nu$ ध̈́ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \in$ Blaydes．

1048．тis є́ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ R．vulgo．тí $\sigma \in \mu \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ H．－
 Brunck，Inveruizzi．oủv émó $\notin \in \tau a t$ Bothe．

1050．єit $\theta \in \mu \in$ R．H．vulgo．Reiske and Kuster suggest $\epsilon \because \theta \epsilon \mu \circ \iota$ ．

1051．тò̀ $\beta$ áp $\beta$ apò R．H．vulgo．тò $\nu$ סv́ $\sigma \mu$ opov Brunck，Weise，Blaydes，Vel－ sen．каі̆ $\beta$ ápßароу Invernizzi．каѝ то̀ ע Bápßapov Bisetus，Bothe．Fritzsche thinks that the word used by Euripides may have been $\pi a ́ \mu \mu o \rho o \nu$ ，but has no doubt that $\beta$ áp $\beta a \rho o \nu$ is right here．

1052．$\lambda \in \dot{v} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ Portus，recentiores． $\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ R．H．editions before Portus．

1054．入ацо́т $\mu \tau^{\prime}$ R．H．vulgo．$\lambda а \iota \mu о-$ то́ $\eta \tau$＇is suggested by Dindorf，＂initium versus si fuit doelmiacum，＂and adopted by Enger，Meineke，Holden，and Velsen． －$\delta a \not \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ R．H．vulgo．$\delta a \not \mu о \nu \omega ิ \nu$ Brunck （citing Aesch．Septem $995 \delta a \iota \mu \nu \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 Eur．Phoen． 888 is $\delta$ at $\mu$ ot $\omega \nu \tau 兀 s$ ，кảva－ трє́千ovtas $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ），Invernizui，Bekker， Bothe，Dindorf，and Bergk；but in his notes Dindorf reverts to $\delta a \not \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ．A friend of Fritzsche suggested $\delta a \mu \mu \nu i$ which Fritzsche（while citing סaıuón＇ ＂̈ $\chi \eta$ from Aesch．Pers．583）rightly rejects，but it is adopted by Meineke， Holden，and Velsen．Reiske suggested
 vulgo．aió入ạ．．．торєiạ Reiske，Brunck， Invernizzi，Buthe．The conjectures
 nizzi carelessly attributed to R．

1055．呸 Scaliger，Faber＇，Fritzsche， Velsen．Є̇ $\pi i$ R．H．vulgo．＇̇mıторєíar （in one word）Thiersch．

1056．HX $\Omega$ ．See the Commentary．R． originally prefixed no name to any of the speeches of Echo，giving merely a line（as its manner was）to denote a new speaker：but the second corrector insertect Eiptт． $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\chi \grave{\omega}}$ here and $\eta_{\chi} \chi^{\omega}$ alone
 here and in 1069，and ix $x^{\omega}$ alone in 1082 and 1085，elsewhere prefixing a line only．The Editio Princeps gave Ev． here，and $\dot{\eta} \chi \grave{\omega}$ to all the other speeches， and so all the other editions before Brunck，excepting that Portus prefixed Eiptrións as $\eta_{\chi^{\dot{\omega}}}$ to the present line． Brunck，whilst retaining the same prefix as Portus here，made the deplorable mistake of changing $\dot{\eta} \chi \dot{\omega}$ into Evंp． everywhere else；and this error is followed by all subsequent editors．

1058．ij Tıs（R．H．vulgo）is omitted by Junta，Zanetti，and Farreus．

1059．є́тィкоккйттрєа R．all editions before Portus，and Thiersch and all subsequent editions，except Bothe and

Weise．є̇тькокка́бтрєєа Н．є̇тькокки́бтриа the other editions．

1062．тò $\sigma a v \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ．All editions hefore Fritzsche read toбaĩтa，on which Bentley conjectured $\tau \dot{\text { ò }} \sigma a v \tau o v i$ in accordance with тє́кцоу．But it having been ascertained that R．H．have roorav́rys，it was seen that the true reading was to $\sigma a v \tau \bar{\eta} s$ in accordance with＇Avסpoú＇$\delta a$ ，and so Dindorf suggested，and Fritzsche and all subsequent editors read，except Bothe who retains toonaita．

1063．єं $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ิ s$ R．Bekker，recentiores， except Bergk．є＇$\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ H．editions be－ fore Bekker，and Bergk afterwards．

1064．入ó $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{y}}$ R．H．vulgo．Bentley suggested $\gamma o \omega \omega$ ，and so Meineke reads．

1066．$\omega$ S．H．vulgo．$\pi \hat{\omega} s$ Meineke， Velsen．Bergk suggested $\mu$ aкрò̀ ${ }^{\prime}$ ©s．

1067．ס九фрєи́ova＇H．and（as corrected） R．Gelenius，Portus，Scaliger，Kuster， recentiores．$\delta \iota \emptyset \rho \epsilon ́ o v \sigma^{\prime}$ R．（originally） and the other editions before Kuster．

1070．$\pi \epsilon$ pia $\lambda \lambda a$ Portus，recentiores． $\pi \epsilon p i \not ̈ \lambda \lambda \lambda a$ R．H．editions before Portus， except Zanetti，Farreus，and Rapheleng， who have $\pi \epsilon \rho i \not \subset \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ．

1073．$\gamma \rho a \hat{v}$ Porson，Brunck，recentiores． रpaîs R．H．editions before Brunck．－ $\sigma \tau \omega \mu \nu \lambda \lambda_{0} \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime \eta}$（xirynaeus，Bentley，Bergler （in notes），Porson，Brunck，recentiores． ${ }_{\sigma \tau \omega \mu \nu \lambda \omega \mu}^{\prime} \nu \eta$ R．editions（other than Grynaeus）before Portus．$\sigma \tau \omega \mu \nu \lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ H．$\sigma \tau \omega \mu \nu \lambda \lambda \omega \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \eta$ Portus and subserfuent editions before Brunck．
 Blaydes．

1080．тікаки́и（in each speech）Bentley， Buthe，Thiersch，recentiores．тіто̀ какóv （in each speech）R．H．editions before Bothe．

1082．SK．R．H．editionsbeforeBrunck．

Here again，as in the case of $\dot{\eta} \chi \grave{\omega}$（see on 1056 supra），Brunck altered the nomen－ clature for the worse，by substituting TOX．－$\quad$ i（as is read two lines below） Brunck，recentiores，except Fritzsche and Enger．$\tau i$ R．H．editions before Brunck，and Fritzsche and Enger after－ wards．－$\lambda a \lambda$ is $R$ ．（as corrected）and so the Scholiast，Dindorf（in notes），Weise， Enger，recentiores（except Bothe）．$\lambda a-$ $\lambda \in i s H$ ．and（originally）R．editions before Weise，and Bothe afterwards．

1087．$\sigma \grave{v}$ R．H．vulgo．$\sigma i$ Portus to Bergler inclusive，and Bothe afterwards． －$\lambda a \lambda$ is the same editors as in 1082. $\lambda a \lambda \epsilon i s \mathrm{R}$ ．H．and the other editors．－ $\kappa \lambda a v ́ \sigma a l$ ．The MSS．and editors before Brunck have кגav́oaı $\mu$ ，which Brunck altered into $\kappa \lambda a v \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$ ，and he is followed by all subsequent editors．But the Scythian is not at all likely to have spoken such good Greek as клav́ซєє，nor is $\kappa \lambda a v \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$ likely to have been corrupted
 Scythian said кдaíбa（for $\kappa \lambda a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ）to which some copyist would naturally add the orthodox－$\mu$ ．Bentley conjectured $\kappa \lambda a v ́ \sigma \in \mu$ ．

1089．какка́бкı Junta，vulgo．ка́ккабкь （）＇ка́кпбкє R．какка́кıs ог какки́бкє H ． какка́⿱㇒ю！Bentley，Brunck to Dindorf， and Fritzsche．Fritzsche，however，con－ jectured каккáoкıs which is read by Blaydes and Velsen．какка́бкєє Enger， Bergk．

1092．$\pi o u$＇$\sigma \tau$＇Brunck and many recent editors．$\pi \sigma \hat{u}$＇$\sigma \theta$＇R．H．vulgo．

1093．$\pi \in i \quad \gamma \epsilon \epsilon$ ；Enger and many recent editors．$\phi \in \dot{\gamma} y \in \iota s$ R．H．vulgo．To the Scythian＇s exclamations $\pi \circ \hat{\imath} \pi o \hat{\imath} \pi \epsilon \dot{u} \gamma \in \iota s$ ； and ou katpijets there is no correspond－ ing echo in the MSS．or any of the
editions before Brunck．Brunck added it in each case，and is followed generally by subsequent editors．I have，with Fritzsche，inserted it only after ov̉ кaıpí－
 whilst it implies an echo immediately preceding，seems also to imply a pre－ vious silence．

1094．ov่ каเр $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$（from the gloss àvti той，oủ $\chi a \iota \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota s$ ）Dindorf（in notes）， Fritzsche，recentiores．ои̉к cuip $\eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$ R．H． editions before Fritzsche．

1102，1103．These two lines are omitted in R．and H．，doubtless because line 1101 and line $110: 3$ end with the same word Гopyóros．They are inserted by the second corrector of R．，recog－ nized by the Scholiast，and found in every printed edition．

1102．$\sigma i$ Thiersch，Blaydes．$\tau i$ R． H．vulgo．I have followed Thiersch because we have had the very word oi for $\tau i$ before，but I do not think it necessary to make the Scythian＇s jargon consistent，and I have therefore retained some words which recent editors have altered to preserve the analogy．－「óp os Fritzsche，Enger，Bergk，Meineke，Hol－ den，Velsen，Hall and Geldart．Гop oóvos the corrector of R．and vulgo ；Brunck and the subsequent editors who retain「opyóvos，omitting the preceding $\tau \dot{\eta}$ and so making the line metrical．Thiersch also omitted the preceding $\tau \dot{\eta}$ and wrote Гép $\quad$ с $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ．Dindorf proposed $\Gamma$ op $\bar{\omega}$ which Weise reads，and Blaydes reads róp $\gamma o v$. Though accepting Fritzsche＇s reading， I should myself have been inclined to read 「opyoüs，as in Eur．Orestes 1520， 1521 ；Phoen． 456 （Porson＇s reading）． In the edition of Portus the iota in $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} p e$ is，apparently by a defect in the type，
written ；and subsequent editors，restor－ ing the iota，also retained the ；as a note of interrogation：but Fritzsche who was the first to understand the line rightly，and to see that $\pi \epsilon_{\rho}$ stands for ф＇िєts，struck out the note of interroga－ tion here，and placed it after $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \iota$ as in the text．

1103．кє $\epsilon \pi \lambda \dot{\eta}$ Bothe，Fritzsche，recen－ tiores．$\kappa є \phi$ а $\lambda \dot{\eta}$ or $\kappa є \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$ the corrector of R．and all other editions before Bothe． The last two words of the line $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ Гop－ foros are continued to the Scythian by the corrector of R．and all editors be－ fore Thiersch ；Thierseh transferred them to Emripides，an arrangement uni－ versally approved．And it may be ob－ served that R．has a colon，and Junta a full stop after кєфа入 $\eta$ ．Euripides means that he said 「opyóvos not Гópzos．

1108．пiкi $\mu i \mathrm{R}$ ．and under variousforms： all editors before Brunck，and Hall and Geldart since．Brunck changed $\mu \mathrm{i}$ into $\mu \dot{\eta}$（for which the Scythian meant it）， and has been followed by all subsequent editors except as aforesaid．oiк iці Н．－ $\lambda a \lambda \tilde{\eta} \sigma \iota$ Brunck，and all subseguent edi－ tors．$\lambda u \lambda \eta \bar{s}$ R．H．and all editors before Brunck．Junta and all editors before Scaliger＇s edition wrote oikı $\mu \iota \lambda \mu \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ as if it were one word．In the editions of Scaliger and Faber it is written oüкı $\mu \iota$ $\lambda a \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ ，whilst Kuster and Bergk write it оікцці $\lambda \iota \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ ．

1114．ки́бто Scaliger（in notes），Enger， Bergk，recentiores．бки́то R．vulgo． бi，$\nu \tau o \mathrm{H}$ ．$\quad$ ó $\sigma \tau \eta$ Brunck，Invernizzi， Bekker，and Bothe．ки́то Thiersch， Weise，while Fritzsche suggests aи̃ －$\mu \dot{\eta}$ тו Brunck，recentiores，except Fritzsehe．$\mu \eta \bar{\tau} \iota$ R．H．editions before Brunck，while Junta，Grynaens，Ge－
lenius，Rapheleng，and Fritzsche have $\sigma к \nu \tau о \mu \bar{\eta} \tau \iota$ in one word．－$\mu \iota \kappa \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ R．H． vulgo．Bentley suggested，and Bothe reads，$\mu \kappa \kappa \dot{\partial} \nu$ ．Bergler made the same suggestion，referring to Ach．909，where， as he observes，the form is used by the Boeotian．＂Sed Scytha，＂he adds，＂in ceteris non loquitur Boeotice．＂Enger suggested，and Meineke reads，$\mu \iota \kappa \rho \dot{o}$ ． Blaydes gives $\mu$ икт $\dot{\text { o }}$ ．

1115．ঠ̀єīpó Bentley，Brunck，recen－ tiores．The MSS，and earlier editions have $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \rho o \delta \epsilon \hat{\rho} \rho o ́$.

1118．$\zeta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma i \sigma \epsilon$ R．H．Fritzsche，Enger， Bergk，Meineke，Velsen，Hall and Gel－ dart．$\zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma i \sigma \epsilon$ Brunck and the other subsequent editions．$\zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \tau i \sigma \epsilon$ editions． before Brunck．

1119．тò $\pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau$ ©̀ Brunck，recentiores． $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho \omega \kappa \tau \hat{\omega}$ R．H．editions before Brunck． Fur $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ{ }^{\prime}$（R．H．vulgo）Blaydes and Velsen read $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \nu \nu$ ．

1120．є̇лтóvך ${ }^{\prime}$ á $\sigma^{\prime}$ Bentley，Brunck， recentiores，except Blaydes and Velsen． モ̇ँтóvŋŋas R．editions before Brunck． є̇ $\pi o ́ v \eta \sigma a s ~ H . ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \tau o ́ v \eta \sigma ' ~ « ̀ \nu ~ a ’ ~ B l a y d e s, ~$ Telsen．

1122．Є＇s єv่นท̀̀ Portus，recentiores．és $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} v \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{P}$ ．and（as corrected）R．editions before Portus．$\neq \sigma \theta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \nu \mathrm{R}$ ．originally．
 $\epsilon \xi \xi \operatorname{\epsilon } \pi \iota \theta_{0}$ R．H．editions before Brunck．

1125．$\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu i$ Grynaeus，Scaliger，Faber， Brunck，recentiores．And so both Kus－ ter and Bergler in their notes．ס́ $\mu$ as R．H．the other editions before Brunck．

1126．тù $\kappa \in \pi u \lambda \eta_{\eta} \sigma^{\prime}$ Brunck，recentiores． qù $\kappa \in \pi u \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ H．and（as corrected）R．edi－ tions lefore Brunck．кai $\pi a \lambda \bar{\eta} s \mathrm{R}$ ．origin－ ally．

1127．дітокєко́чо 11．Fritzsche，Enger， Bergk，Meineke，and Holden．‘imoxeкó－
＊oc R．（as corrected）editions before Brunck．àтокє́коч＇R．originally．’iпо－ кє́ко廿८ Brunck and（save as aforesaid） recentiores．

1128．aî ai．See Appendix on Eccl． 911.
 Bergler（in notes），Brunck，recentiores， except as hereinafter mentioned．oúk ïv $\delta \in \dot{\xi}$ gato R．H．editions before Brunck， except that Zanetti，Farreus，and Gry－ naeus have $\delta є i \xi a \iota \tau$ for $\delta$ б́ $\dot{\xi} a \iota \tau o$. Bentley suggested $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma o ̂ \in ́ \xi a เ \tau o . ~ T h i e r s c h ~ a d o p t s ~$

 adopted by Holden，Blaydes，Velsen， and Hall and Geldart．

1131．àva入íqкoıs ầ R ．H．vulgo．Be－ tween the two words Grynaeus inserts av̉て＇．

1132．тoút $\omega$ all printed editions except Junta．toùzo R．H．Junta．
 Brunck，recentiores，except Hall and Geldart，who，following a suggestion of
 editions before Brunck．

1135．ёт८ $\gamma^{\grave{a}} \rho$ R．H．vulgo．Velsen fol－ lows Hamaker＇s very improbable sug－ gestion $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma a ̀ s$.

1139．$\pi a \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ R．H．vulgo．$\pi a \rho \theta \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$ （comnected withe $\chi$ रoò $\nu$ ）Zanetti，Farreus， Grynaeus．－кoúp $\eta \nu$ Hermann，Bothe， Thiersch，recentiores．ко́рпу R．H．edi－ tions before Bothe．

1143．Between the words кaлєírat and фúq»t＇R．had $\sigma \tau v \gamma \nu a ̂ s ~ \tilde{\omega} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$（struck out by the second corrector），and H．otvyras is є＇．Apparently，as Fritzsche and Enger observe，the copyist had com－ menced to write line 1144 （ $\sigma r v \gamma 0 \hat{v}{ }^{*}$ $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \kappa o ́ s)$ before line 1143 ，but when
he had reached the second syllable of $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, he found out his mistake, and
 Unfortunately he omitted to cancel the miswritten words which, making no sense, became still further corrupted. They are not recognized in any printed edition, excepting in that of Thiersch, who writes the lines as follows, Etuyós $\theta^{\prime}$ (she is called not only $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta \circ \circ \bar{\chi} \chi o s$ but
 $\sigma \tau \cup \gamma \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma{ }^{\prime}$ ढ̈ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ єiкós.
1148. $\eta_{\kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ ~}^{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ Enger, following Fritzsche's єiఠ $\eta \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \tau$ '. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ R. H. vulgo. $\tilde{\eta} \kappa \in \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ Hermann, Meineke, Holden, and Velsen.
 Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker, Bothe, and Weise. But the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ must be taken as forming one syllable with the $\dot{a} \nu-$ which follows.
1151. $\theta$ éprs Hermann, Meineke,Holden, Blaydes, and Hall and Geldart. $\theta \in \mu \iota r o ̀ \nu$ R. H. vulgo. $\theta \in \mu i \tau^{\prime}$ Fritzsche, Enger. And so Bothe, who however places it after ciooo $\hat{\nu} \nu$, and changes oủ into oủ $\chi$ i.
1152. $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \grave{a}$ 日єaív ïva R. H. vulgo.
 editors who write $\theta$ goil for $\theta \in a i \nu$ in 285 supra.
1155. à $\nu \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ R. H. vulgo. Gelenius, by mistake, wrote airó $\mu \in \theta$ ', which continued till Kuster, who (with Bergler) wrote airoú $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$. This and the following line are transposed by Hermam, Fritzsche, Meineke, and Holden.
1157. єi каi R. H. vulgo. Here again Gelenius erroneously wrote $\epsilon i$ jà $\rho$, which kept its place until Invernizzi, from R., restored the true reading. Meineke and Holden omit $\epsilon i$.
 THES.
are written according to the arrangement first adopted by Fritzsche, from the emendations of Hermann and Reisig, and followed by Enger and Hall and Geldart. $\quad \ddot{\lambda} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau о \nu \quad \ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \quad \nu \hat{v} \nu \quad a ̀ \phi i к \epsilon \sigma \theta{ }^{\prime}$ Reisig, Fritzsche, Enger, Hall and Gel-

 $\nu \bar{v} \nu$ ả ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ Thiersch, Holden, Blaydes, and Velsen. Before iкєтєv́o $\mu \epsilon \nu$ Thiersch inserts iкєтєis, Meineke ì, and Holden a second áфiкє $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$.
1159. $\epsilon \downarrow \theta a ́ \delta \epsilon \chi \grave{\eta} \mu i \nu$ Hermann, Fritzsche, Enger, Meineke, Holden, and Hall and


 H. vulgo. The $\mu o \hat{u}$ seems necessary, since Euripides intends, not to guarantee their immunity from all attacks, but merely to promise them immunity from his own.
1167. áкoú $\sigma \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ (with $\mu o \hat{u}$ ) Bentley, (with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ) Brunck. àкои́бaıт' R. H. editions before Brunck, and Invernizzi afterwards. àкои́ $\eta \tau^{\prime}$ Elmsley (at Ach. 295 and Oed. Col. 177), Bekker, and all subsequent editors except Meineke and Velsen, who adopt Hirschig's conjecture àкои́ $\epsilon \sigma \theta^{\prime}$. $-\pi i \theta_{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Hirschig, Meineke, recentiores. $\pi \epsilon i \theta \eta \sigma \theta \varepsilon$ R. H. editions before Meineke.
1170. $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ R. H. vulgo. $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \nu$ Hirschig, Meineke, Blaydes.
1171. $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon$ Bisctus, Scaliger (in notes), Kuster, recentiores, except Invernizzi. $\pi \in i \sigma a \iota$ R. H. editions before Kuster, and Invernizzi afterwards.
1172. є́ $\mu \grave{\nu}$ R. Grynacus, Portus, recentiores. '́ $\mu$ óv $\gamma^{\prime}$ H. all editions, except Grynaeus, before Portus.
1174. кảvaкó入тaбò R. H. vulgo. кảva-

кódтьтov Bisetus，Fritzsche，Enger， Holden．кávaкáлтабо⿱（said to be a con－ jecture of Hermann），Bergk，Meineke， Velsen．We should perhaps read kạ̉ $\tau$ àขакóлтабоข．

1181．кatáAov $\mu$ èv．See the Com－ mentary．ä $\nu \omega \theta \in \nu$ R．H．vulgo．For ä $\nu \omega-$
 Dr．Blaydes has seven suggestions：



 （7）$\check{ } 1 \pi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．

1182．тoî兀 Bentley，Brunck，recen－ tiores．тois R．H．editions before Brunck．

1183．$\dot{\pi} \pi \lambda \dot{v} \sigma \omega$ R．H．vulgo．ímoঠ́v́a Scaliger，Faber．

1184．vaì тvjátpıò R．H．vulgo．ขaıkı тијáтрьo Bothe，Fritzsche，Hall and Geldart．vai ¿̈ to $\quad$ átpıo Enger，Meineke，
 ò̀ rvárípiov Velsen．But the Scythian may well have lengthened the second syllable of $\theta u$ रáтрıov．

1185．$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \pi о$ тò R．H．Brunck，recen－ tiores．тє́ $\iota \iota \pi 0$ тò editions before Ge－ lenius．tépı tò Gelenius until Kuster． $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho ı \pi a$ тà Kuster，Bergler．－бo $\gamma \gamma \dot{\lambda} \eta \eta$ H． Gelenius，recentiores，except Velsen． रa $\gamma^{u}{ }^{i} \lambda \eta$ R．editions before Gelenius． ja $\gamma \gamma^{u} i$ Velsen，after a suggestion of Enger．It is perhaps unnecessary to record all alterations in the Scythian＇s barbarisms．

1186．关Tı．Tyrwhitt suggested H TI． I do not know how he meant to accent the $\eta$ ．

1187．кえ $\alpha \bar{\imath} \sigma i \quad \gamma$ ．R．Bentley，Thiersch， Fritzsche，Enger，Meineke，recentiores $\kappa \lambda a v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ ．all editions before Brunck． ${ }_{\kappa} \lambda a v \sigma \epsilon \tau^{\prime}\left(\right.$ with $\left.\mu \epsilon \nu_{!}\right)$Brunck，Invernizzi，

Bekker，Bothe，Dindorf．The next line was thought by Bentley to be a $\pi a \rho \epsilon \pi \iota-$ үриф $\dot{\eta}$ ，and is inserted，as a $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \pi \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ ， between the words $\pi v \gamma \dot{\eta}$ and $\kappa \lambda a \hat{v} \sigma i$ by Brunck，Invernizzi，Bekker，and Fritzsche，and is omitted altogether by Bothe，Dindorf，Enger，Bergk，Meineke， Blaydes，and Velsen．It is therefore not numbered，even by those who retain it．I am much inclined to agree with Bentley＇s suggestion and Brunck＇s ar－ rangement．For àvaкímtı R．H．have àvaкúnтๆ，and all the editions before Thiersch have àvaкútrєє．On the other hand R．H．read тараки́лтt，but here again all the editions before Thiersch have таракі́лтєь．

1190．оűki $\pi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ Porson，Dindorf（in notes），Weise，Enger，Meineke，Holden， Velsen，Hall and Geldart．тí oủk ধ̇т七－ $\lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ R．H．and all editions except Gelenius before Portus；and so，with a note of interrogation after ri，Fritzsche． тí oú $\chi i$ i $\pi i \lambda j{ }_{j} \sigma \epsilon$ Gelenius，Portus，${ }^{\cdot}$ and subsequent editions before Brunck．ои̉кı $\pi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Brunck and，except as herein mentioned，recentiores．oủ $\pi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ Blaydes．

1191．ô，ô，ồ R．H．Brunck，recentiores． The triple exclamation was omitted before Brunck．

1194．vai vaì Brunck，recentiores，ex－ cept Thiersch．vacki Bentley，Thiersch． vaì（once only）R．H．editions before Brunck．－үpạ́óıo Bothe，Meineke， Blaydes，Velsen，Hall and Geldart． रpạ́oov R．H．vulgo．

1195．кápıбo бv̀ Brunck，recentiores． кápıoos ov̀ R．кápıoo бov̂ H．кápıoos （alone）editions before Brunck；but Scaliger in his notes suggested кúpıбо．

1196．$\delta \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota$ R．H．Bekker，Fritzsche，

Enger，Meineke，recentiores．$\delta \hat{\omega} \sigma o \iota$ or （in two words）$\delta \hat{\omega}$ oot vulgo．$\delta$ v́o oou Thiersch．
 H．vulgo．$\notin \kappa$ º oúdév Enger，Blaydes， Velsen．－à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{R}$ ．vulgo．à $\lambda \lambda \grave{o} \mathrm{H}$ ．－ $\sigma v \beta \eta_{\nu}^{\prime} \eta \nu$（which both MSS．read infra 1215）Grynaeus and the subsequent editions before Brunck（except Raphe－ leng），and Hall and Geldart．$\sigma \nu \mu \beta \eta_{\eta} \nu \eta \nu$ R．H．Junta，Zanetti，Farreus，and Rapheleng．ovßiuqu（to assist the pun in 1215）Brunck，recentiores，except as herein mentioned．$\sigma \iota \beta \dot{\nu} \nu \nu \nu$ Enger， Meineke，Holden．$\sigma v \beta i \nu \eta$ Blaydes．

1198．коцi乌ts aủtıs．See the Com－ mentary．коцi̧̧ıs aùtoís R．H．editions before Scaliger，except as mentioned below．коцtєis aùrois Scaliger，Faber． коці乡єıs aủrク̀ $\nu$ Zanetti，Farreus，Bergler． коці广єıs aủ0ıs Brunck，Invernizzi，Bekker， Dindorf，Bergk，and Velsen．Bentley suggested either коцнєis av̉тó ${ }^{\prime}$ or коцьєis av̉兀ós（for aủtó）．кодเєis aủもıs Bothe， Weise，Velsen，коліگєєs aủtór＇Fritzsche． кодiऽєıs aùtós（for à̀тó）Thiersch．ко́ $\mu \iota \sigma i$ $\sigma^{\prime}$ aùтós Enger，Meineke，Holden．кó $\mu \iota \sigma$ í $\sigma$＇aủró Blaydes．Dobree says＂Qu．
 argentum tibi solvam．Vel коді广ьs．Et posthac mihi reddes pharetram，quum argentum persolvero．＂－а̀колои́ть H．edi－ tions before Brunck，and Thiersch， Fritzsche，and Hall and Geldart after－ wards．ảкоидои́тє R．àкодои́тєı Brunck， recentiores，except as herein mentioned． àкодоѝт＇\＆Blaydes．But there seems no reason why the last syllable in àкодои́ть should not be long．

1201．$\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \bar{\eta} \sigma \iota$（given to the Scythian） R．H．vulgo．$\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma o$（continued to Euripides）Reiske，Dindorf，Enger，

Bergk，Meineke，Holden，Velsen．－＇Apra－ pov ${ }^{\prime} i a$（as the MSS．write it everywhere else）Bekker，Dindorf，recentiores，ex－ cept Blaydes．＇Aртоцоиछía R．H．vulgo． Dr．Blaydes not merely reads＇A propov乡ía here，but alters the MS．reading in the four other places in which the name occurs，to make it conform with this．

1208．$\lambda$ é $\lambda$ voro（be loosed）R．H．vulgo． $\lambda$ é̀voaı（thou art loosed）Bentley，Reiske， Elmsley，Velsen．－$\pi \rho \grave{\nu}$ R．vulgo．$\pi \rho o{ }_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ．

1211．סv́бкод’ Grynaeus，Portus，re－ centiores．$\delta \dot{v} \sigma \kappa о \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ R．H．and the other editions before Portus．

1212．à $\pi o ́ \lambda \omega \lambda o$ R．H．Bekker，Fritzsche， Enger，lergk，recentiores．à $\pi o ́ \lambda \omega \lambda о \nu$ ceteri．

1213．oủk Є̇สat»ติ R．H．vulgo．ov̉k ＇̇ $\pi a i^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \bar{\omega}$ Velsen，after a conjecture of Meineke．

1214．ठı́́ßa入入є́ $\mu^{\prime}$ o $\gamma$ pav̂s R．H．vulgo． But for ó Zanetti，Farreus，and Raphe－ leng have $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { ，and } \\ \text { I have written } \delta^{\circ} \text { ．} . ~ . ~ . ~\end{gathered}$ Suidas（s．v．סt＇́ $\beta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ，which he explains by $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \eta \pi a ́ \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\right)$ reads $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \beta a \bar{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \gamma \rho a \hat{s}$ ． Brunck reads $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu^{\prime}$ ढ̈ $\gamma$ paûs，and this reading is adopted by Invernizzi， Bekker，Bothe，Dindorf，and subsequent editors down to and including Holden， most of them however having $\dot{\omega}$ or $\dot{\omega}$ for $\bar{\omega}$ ．Suidas seems to have turned the Scythian＇s dialect into Attic Greek ； $\delta_{i \epsilon} \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ may well stand for the aorist in Scythianese．$\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \beta a \lambda o ́ \quad \mu^{\prime}$, ¿ $\gamma \rho a^{\prime}$ Blaydes，Velsen．ס七є́ßa入入є́ $\mu$ ’ خ̀ $\gamma \rho a \bar{s} s$ Hall and Geldart．The MSS．and edi－ tions before Brunck had ráxıoтa，for which lrunck first wrote tákıaтa．

1215．ỏprês $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ R．H．vulgo．But several critics，considering the first syllable of $\sigma v \beta \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta$ to be short，insert another short syllable after $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ．ỏprôs

ס̀̀ tò Porson．ỏptês $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ tı Hermann， Enger，Meineke，Velsen．ó $\rho \tau \bar{\omega} s \delta_{\grave{\epsilon}} \sigma \dot{v}$ Bothe，Fritzsche，Blaydes．But $\sigma \nu \beta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$ is a form of the Scythian＇s own coinage， and it is impossible to tell whether he meant the first syllable to be long or short．In 1197 both MSS．spell it $\sigma v \mu$－ $\beta \eta \nu \eta$ ，which may possibly be the right reading in both places．$-\sigma v \beta \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta$＇$\sigma \tau i$＇ катаßпvŋ̄б九 R．H．vulgo．$\sigma v \beta i \nu \eta$＇$\sigma \tau i$＇ катиßぃрŋ̄бt Brunck，and most recent editors．$\sigma \iota \beta v \nu_{\eta}$＇$\sigma \tau \iota$, ката $\beta \iota \nu \bar{\eta} \sigma_{\iota}$ Enger， Meineke．$\sigma \cup \beta i \nu \eta$＇$\sigma \sigma i$＇катаßı $\bar{\eta} \sigma \iota$ Bothe． $\sigma v \beta i \nu \eta \eta^{*}$ ката $\beta \not \beta \iota \nu \bar{\eta} \sigma \iota$ Blaydes．See at 1197 supra．

1216．$\delta \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ Blaydes（in the Preface to his first edition of the Birds，pub－ lished in 1842），Enger，recentiores，ex－ cept liergk．And Bergk，although in his text he retained $\delta$ ááfl（the reading of R．H．and of all editions before Enger），yet suggested the arrangement of this line，with $\delta \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ ，which is adopted by Meineke，Holden，and Hall and Gel－ dart，and in this edition．For in the MSS．（and vulgo）the lines run oípot，$\tau i$
 it is clear that o＂$\mu$ o should stand by itself and＇Aртaцov乡ia be brought up into the preceding line，so making a perfect senarius．In the MS．arrange－ ment the senarius is a foot short，and various suggestions were made for sup－ plying the missing foot．$\pi o \hat{\imath} \delta \dot{\delta}$ ，$\pi o \hat{\imath}$ тò

 （in 1842）．тô̂ тò $\gamma \rho a ̣ ̂ o ~ ; ~ \gamma p u ̛ ́ \delta t o ~ F r i t z s c h e, ~$ Enger．

1218．vaì vaíkı（or vaîkı）R．H．vulgo． vaì vai，$\sigma$ v́y＇Velsen．

1219．$\gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ H．vulgo．үє́ $\rho o \nu$ R．Junta and Grynacus．

1222．$\gamma \rho a ̣ ̂ o ~ B r u n c k, ~ r e c e n t i o r e s . ~ \gamma p a ̂ ̂ ~$ R．H．editions before Brunck．

1224．סt $\dot{\xi} \epsilon$ єis R．H．vulgo．R．H．and Junta indeed write $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \prime \prime \delta^{\prime} \omega \xi \xi \epsilon \epsilon s$ ，but it is obvious that all three meant $\tau \eta \delta i$ $\delta \omega \omega \xi \in t s$ ，and so Zanetti and all sub－ sequent editors have taken it．Elmsley （at Ach：278）proposed $\delta_{t} \dot{\omega} \xi \iota$ ，which is adopted by Thiersch，Dindorf，Meineke， and subsequent editors，but rejected by Fritzsche，Enger，and Pergk．And as， both here and in Knights 969 and Clouds 1296，the MSS．have the active form，and the active and middle forms are admittedly employed by Attic writers，there seems no sufficient reason for departing from the authority of the MSS．here．Cobet annexes the $s$ taken from $\delta \iota \omega \xi \in \iota$ to the succeeding sentence， $\delta \iota \omega \xi \in \iota$ ；＇s $\tau 0 \vee ้ \mu \pi a \lambda \iota \nu$ ，and this too is fol－ lowed by Meineke，Holden，and Hall and Geldart．

1225．ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{a} \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \xi \iota$ R．H．vulgo．ä â $\lambda a$ （aliâ viâ）$\tau \rho \in \notin \xi \subset$ Portus and subsequent editions before Brunck；and Fritzsche， Enger，Bergk，Meineke，Holden，and Blaydes afterwards．

1226．т $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ ．The second $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$ was added by Brunck，the MSS．having the line a foot short．Enger com－ mences the line with ad $\lambda \lambda \dot{d} . \quad$ Kuster suggested the insertion of $\tau a \chi \epsilon \omega$ ，which Blaydes inserts．Meineke added $\tau \rho \epsilon \in \chi є$ at the end of the line．Brunck＇s con－ jecture seems incomparably superior， and is adopted by Invernizzi，Bekker， Bothe，Thiersch，Dindorf，Fritzsche，and Bergk．Meineke＇s is adopted by Holden， Velsen，and Hall and Geldart．

1227．$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \pi a \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ~ G r y n a e u s, ~ B e n t l e y, ~$ Brunck，recentiores．$\pi \epsilon \in \pi v \sigma \tau \pi a \quad$ R．H． and the other editions before Brunck．
 Dindorf, Fritzsche, Weise. See the Commentary. $\begin{gathered}\omega \\ \rho\end{gathered} a \delta \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \quad$ ' $\tau \iota$ R. H. vulgo. But in the MSS. and all the editions before Brunck, and in Meineke afterwards, this was a metrical necessity, since they united lines 1227 and 1228 into one anapaestic tetrameter.
1231. àvтaтoঠoirq» Bentley, Bothe, Meineke, Holden, Blaydes, and Hall
and Geldart. ìvinooirov R. H. Junta, Gelenius, Rapheleng. Doubtless this represented àvanoঠoíтov, which is read by Grynaeus, Thiersch, Dindorf, Fritzsche, Enger, and lergk. ảvtioítov Zanetti, Farreus. àvi $\delta o i t \eta \nu$ Portus to Brunck.亢̈עтıסıסoir $\eta \nu$ Brunck, Invernizzi, Bekker; and Weise. Scaliger suggested ảvtı-反oíprov.

## Opinions of the Wress

## On Volume I. The Acharnians and The Knights.

" Mr. Rogers is doing a great service to culture and civilization. He needs no praises, for it is obvious that his work is his delight. Of his edition the words may be not inappropriately used 'Cras amet qui nunquam amavit, quique amavit cras amet.' . . . It is with a thrill of pleasure that we read such lines as Knights 756,757 , and $824-7$, or the cretics of the old Acharnians in pursuit of the peacemaker. Nor could anything be more like Aristophanes than the mock oracles, which are really some of the best hexameters ever achieved. . . . The reader ends, if he is a Grecian, by admiring Mr. Rogers much, but Aristophanes still more ; if he knows no Greek, he ends with the desire to learn enough himself to appreciate Aristophanes as Mr. Rogers appreciates him." Morning Post.
"These two volumes [the Acharnians and the Knights] are indeed illuminating at all points. The scholar will find troublesome questions of textual, historical, and critical import adequately met and answered by one who is more than commonly qualified for the task. The student could hardly have a safer guide; the reader for pleasure will enjoy Mr. Rogers's polished and incisive wit, and the musical, and at times even haunting, quality of his verse."-Guardian.
"The publication of Mr. Rogers's translation of Aristophanes is an event of the first importance in English classical scholarship. It is indeed not only a great translation, but a great edition of the Greek text also."-Liverpool Courier.

## The Acharnians.

"The qualities which distinguished his previous renderings, ease and lightness of touch, absence of superfluous verbiage, deftness and ingenuity, reappear in the Acharnians. The translation is surprisingly faithful, and yet is so spirited that it can be read with pleasure apart from the text. Nothing drags or trails in it. ... In his edition the translation is the principal thing, and the Commentary is designed to supplement it with such information about the manners of the time, the special allusions of the Play, and the business of the stage as the ordinary cultivated reader desires. He works, in short, with an eye to the immediate literary enjoyment of the piece; his notes are models of good English and good sense, and display a keen interest in the life of Ancient Athens fortified and expanded by an interest in human life
at large.... Our admiration of this series of version is great, and we offer to Mr. Rogers our best wishes for the successful completion of the whole." The Times.
"For scholars of all degrees of scholarship there is a real treat in this volume. It is heartily welcome."-Pall Mall Gazette.
"As good as it can be. The notes are as full of scholarship as the version is of ingenuity and felicity."-Spectator.
"To younger men, who range more wide than deep, and conceive that the Germans are the only thorough guides to scholarship, Mr. Rogers should be something of a revelation. He is master of the graces of his own language no less than of Greek. The excellence of his translations is well known. The main points which stand out, in this edition as in the others of the series, are the abiding sanity of the editor, his judicial fairness in estimating varieties of reading and rendering, and his intimate sense-we might almost call it the intuitive sense-of the humour and the subtlety of Aristophanes. . . . We can re-echo the epithet which Christians and pagans alike have bestowed on the poet-ó $\chi$ apitis. It is this charm, this ease and grace, which Mr. Rogers reproduces for us so well in an age of slovenly English and unlovely worldlings."Athenaeum.
"Mr. Rogers's verse translation is of course the standard version. His mastery of tetrameters of all kinds is unrivalled. His introduction and notes present the same combination of erudition, literary taste, and common sense that has endeared his previous commentaries to all lovers of Aristophanes. We congratulate him most warmly on the successful completion of an edition which is equally beloved by the scholar and the layman. He has translated Aristophanes once and for all, and he may lay down his pen with the comfortable assurance that his work will not be superseded."-Cambridge Review.
"The sparkling versions of the great comic dramatist made by Mr. Rogers are praised both by scholars and by readers who, with small Latin and less Greek, want to know something of the culture of the ancient world. It may be doubted if he has ever been more happy than in the rendering of the Acharnians. The Commentary is full of interest and learning, and especially likely to please readers who do not care to let the lexicographer and grammarian have all the talk when the business is the elucidation of a comic poet."-Scotsman.
"It is difficult to find new terms of praise for Mr. Rogers's translations. In the lyrics he is inimitable ; they go with a snap and a swing that make it difficult to realize that they are translations."-Manchester Guardian.
"Mr. Rogers's name is known far and wide among classical scholars in connexion with his work on the plays of Aristophanes, and nobody has done
more than he to popularize the Athenian comedian's best work among modern readers. A real service is performed to classical literature by the issue of the present series, and it is undoubted that this publication will do much to extend the area of the dramatist's public. The verse translation runs with a consistently light and tripping measure, the Greek text is well censored, and the notes and introduction are excellent."-Manchester Courier.
"The translation is excellent. How racy it is our readers will have seen by our extracts; and not only is it far livelier than the well-known version of Hookham Frere, but it also keeps considerably closer to the original. Lovers of classical literature have long delighted in Mr. Rogers's scholarship and skill, and will welcome the appearance of the present volume."-Westminster Gazette.
"One of Mr. Rogers's always welcome volumes. Fully on the level of the previous Plays."-Glasgow Herald.
"Another volume of Mr. Rogers's masterly edition of the great comic poet will be another delight to scholars and to general readers. The verse rendering on the pages opposite to the Greek text is worthy of the original. It is surprisingly resourceful, spirited, and exact; and it reads as an independent English poem. It is a triumph of the translator's art. The whole work is most capable and thorough-a signal credit to English scholarship." Educational Times.

## The Knights.

"After many years' study of Aristophanes, Mr. Rogers has reached a position as his expositor which is recognized as supreme by the world of scholarship and letters....Thanks to him the English reader is able to appreciate the brilliance of Aristophanes in dialogue, and that sense of fun and point which makes him still abundantly amusing."-Athenaeum.
"Not only a translator who succeeds wonderfully in welding the English language into the varied accents and rhythm of Greek poetry. To a fine sense of the music and swing of the original he adds that minute knowledge of the language, of the texts, and of the special history of the period which has enabled him to produce an edition of 'The Knights' that will appeal first to the Grecian scholar, but also to unlearned folk. The translation is full of the humour and pith of the original; and the notes, whether linguistic or explanatory, are such as to make the volume complete in itself. The book is alive with that sort of scholarship which makes the past live again."-Scotsman.
"We are very glad to see another volume of Mr. Rogers's admirable translations. The dialogue is full of topical allusions which almost defy translation, but Mr. Rogers has overcome all difficulties with his accustomed skill."-Spectator.
"Mr. Rogers's work on Aristophanes is well known. His translation has received, and deserves, high commendation. The introduction to the present volume is a model of its kind. The English reader who follows the guidance of Mr. Rogers is well equipped for appreciating somewhat of the comic spirit of the great and daring Athenian."-Evening Standard and St. James's Gazette.
"Mr. Rogers shows once more that command of metre which makes these translations so agreeable to read, while the fidelity with which he has adhered to the Greek is nearly perfect. With the usual excellent explanatory and critical notes, this volume is as good as Mr. Rogers's 'Plutus,' and no higher praise is needed."-Pall Mall Gazette.
"Few classical books find a warmer welcome than 'The Knights of Aristophanes by B. B. Rogers.' His translation shows the qualities we have learned to look for in him-vigour, wit, and spontaneous felicity. The notes are breezy without being flippant, learned without being pedantic."-Manchester Guardian.
"Mr. Rogers is as happy as usual in his rendering of the original ; the coarse jests of the rival 'mud-slingers,' Cleon and the Sausage-seller, are rendered palatable by ingenious tours de force; the fragments of exquisite lyric in which Aristophanes soars high above the mire of conventional ribaldry are charmingly rendered, and the verve and swing of the Parabasis find their equivalent in Mr. Rogers's masculine trochaics."-Nation.
"One can only repeat one's expression of admiration for his literary deftness and sober scholarship. The spirit of the original is reproduced in his brilliant rendering with remarkable adherence to the language of the text, and yet his version reads like an independent English drama. The notes are very instructive, and the textual criticism in the appendix is full and judicious." Educational Times.
"Another volume of Mr. B. B. Rogers's translation of Aristophanes is a great event and a great pleasure. To admirable scholarship he adds a skill in comic verse like Mr. Gilbert's, and a power which that writer's subjects have never called for. To read 'The Knights' in Mr. Rogers's version is to read a brilliant English play, though there are no such plays in English outside these translations. The notes at the foot of the page are not only helpful and packed with learning of many kinds, but they are a delight to read even apart from the text, for their curious lights upon literature, history, and social life in Greece, down to cookery and children's games."-Daily Chronicle.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thuc. ii. 24, viii. 15.
     $\pi \lambda \in v \sigma \epsilon$ íबat.-Thuc. viii. 1.

    3"EXovtes $\mu$ ' $\nu \quad \gamma \in$ Maкє $\delta o \nu i a \nu$, said Tason of Pherae to Polydamas of Pharsalus,
    
    

    Compare Andocides, de Reditu suo 11 (p. 21) presently quoted in the text:

[^1]:    1 The charge was made by Peisander, the Stormy Petrel of the oligarchic revolution. Andocides describes how he was brought before the Council, єïもis $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \rho a \sigma t a ́ s$
    
     power for some four months, May to August 411. See Polity of Athens, chap 33.

[^2]:    
    
    
    

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Suidas in two places, s.v. $\check{\pi} \pi \epsilon \delta a$ and s.v. $\eta \pi \epsilon \delta_{\imath} \zeta o y$, quotes from Cleidemus (or Cleitodemus), one of the earliest writers on the antiquities of Athens, the sentence
    

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Of the first occupation Herodotus says (viii. 53) rò ipò $\sigma v \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$ é $\nu \in ́ \pi \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$ $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ àкрóтодıд. Cf. Id. 55. In his narrative of the second he expressly
    
    
    
     Plutarch, Cimon, chap. 13.
    
    
    
    
    

[^5]:     431. We are here of course considering Erechtheus and Pandrosus merely as objects of worship, and not in their human character.

[^6]:    
     p.636. The word $\delta \hat{v} \sigma a$ does not warrant the inference which Mr. Fergusson (p. 146) would draw from it that the Pandroseium was on a lower level than the Temple of the Polias. It means simply haring disappeared within, having penetrated into, and involves no idea of descent.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ These lines, supposed to have been written by Simonides, are quoted by the orator Lycurgus in his speech against Leocrates, 111 (p. 163), and by other writers.
    
    
     a late discourse of Freethinking," $\S 42$. The "poor superstitious creature" is not content with enlisting Athene Promachus in the defence of her own city: he brings in the apparition of Achilles as well.

[^8]:     A $\begin{aligned} & \text { avpoфvגákov. - Scholiast on Plutus 1193. The description is not very intelli- }\end{aligned}$ gible.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aristotle's Polity of Athens, chap. 47.
    
    
    

[^10]:    
    
    
    
    

[^11]:    
     Pausanias proceeds to tell the story of Theseus.

    2 "An inscription of about the year 450 , which was found in 1897, orders that a Temple to Athene Nike should be built by the architect Callicrates." Lethaby's Greek Buildings, p. 154.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wheler's Journey, p. 358.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dyer's Ancient Athens, pp. 372, 373.
    ${ }^{3}$ Pausanias i. 21. 6 to 22. 3.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the original, Ether is the creative agent throughout ; she parcel.s herself out ; she herself gives birth to the breathing and moving creatures.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ He is scandalized at what he expects is coming (for Agathon was suspected of great immorality), but apparently the word was only reheurse.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is, of course, a hit at Agathon's effeminacy. Cyrene was a dissolute woman of the day.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ By "Phaedra" he means the Hippolytus; by "the Natyrs," the Cyclops of Euripides.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cleisthenes was the most effeminate man in Athens; he comes on the stage by-and-by.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ These are all references to actual Plays of Euripides. This is from the Sthenebea, the "Corinthian friend" being Bellerophon.

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ He flings the tablets about, in the hope that some or one of them may reach Euripides. It is, of course, a parody on that Poet's "Palamede."

