



The Peril of Russianism

D
515
J 63

UC-NRLF

B 3 141 818



Douglas Wilson Johnson



To the Members of the American Rights League:

We are forwarding today to each subscribing or sustaining member of The League a copy of the Rights Edition of

The Peril of Prussianism

by Professor Douglas W. Johnson.

The Trade Edition of the book, bound in stiff boards, is published by G. P. Putnam's Sons at, net, 75 cents.

Members receiving copies, who find the information and the message presented in this monograph convincing and valuable, and who desire to extend the influence of the book by promoting its circulation among their friends and fellow-citizens, are asked to fill out the attached blank and to send this, with list of addresses, to the office of the League.

The publishers have agreed to make for such "missionary" distribution a special price of fifty cents per copy, postage and mailing included.

G. H. PUTNAM, *President*,
AMERICAN RIGHTS LEAGUE,
2 West 45th Street, N. Y.

July, 1917.

AMERICAN RIGHTS LEAGUE:

Enclosed find \$ _____ for which I will ask you to mail, to the addresses on the accompanying list, _____ copies of the Trade Edition of *The Peril of Prussianism*. The copies are to be sent post paid at the special price of fifty cents.

Signed _____

Please insert in each copy a slip stating that it is sent with the

'COMPLIMENTS OF _____''

If the member does not wish to send a list of addresses, such copies as he may desire to have distributed will be mailed from the office of The League to lists of citizens

"With the Compliments of The American Rights League."





Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2008 with funding from
Microsoft Corporation

The Peril of Prussianism

By

Douglas Wilson Johnson

Associate Professor of Physiography in
Columbia University



UNIVERSITY OF
COLUMBIA

G. P. Putnam's Sons
New York and London
The Knickerbocker Press

1917

DOUGLAS
JOHNSON

COPYRIGHT, 1917
BY
DOUGLAS WILSON JOHNSON

DOUGLAS WILSON JOHNSON
AUTHOR

The Knickerbocker Press, New York

FOREWORD

THE following pages contain the substance of an address delivered by the writer before the annual convention of the Iowa Bankers Association at Des Moines on June 14, 1917. A request from the bankers of one section of the State that the address be printed in German for circulation among the large German-born population of their district, together with a number of enquiries for copies of the English text, have tempted me to believe that some good purpose might be served by publishing the address in its present form.

However opinions may have differed in the past as to our duty in the world crisis, there can be no two opinions re-

garding our duty to-day. America has decided that splendid isolation is no longer possible in a world rendered wondrous small by the swift steamship and express train, the telegraph and telephone, the cable and wireless telegraphy. We *are* our brothers' keeper, and have entered on a policy of international cooperation, first to compel a just peace, and then to preserve it undisturbed from future assaults by autocratic militarism. We have pledged our faith and must fight a good fight to make the world safe for democracy. Every ounce of the national strength must be brought to bear, every man in the whole country must render loyal, devoted service. The Stars and Stripes have never yet been unfurled in a lost cause. They must not now go down to defeat.

The only assurance of success lies in the unswerving devotion of our whole

people to a cause they believe sacred. It is imperative therefore that the issues of the present war be made clear to every citizen. Americans will not support with enthusiasm a cause they do not understand, nor shed their blood with unmeasured generosity to achieve ends they cannot see. If the following pages help a few among my fellow-citizens to measure the magnitude of the cause we serve, they will not have been written in vain.

DOUGLAS W. JOHNSON.

NEW YORK, July 1, 1917.



CONTENTS

I.—TWO IDEALS OF GOVERNMENT . . .	1
II.—THE INESCAPABLE CONFLICT . . .	6
III.—GROWTH OF PRUSSIANISM . . .	17
IV.—THE MAILED FIST AT WORK . . .	19
V.—A WOLF IN SHEEP'S CLOTHING . . .	25
VI.—TWO SIDES OF A SHIELD . . .	32
VII.—THE DIVINE RIGHT OF THE STATE . . .	43
VIII.—THE ISSUE	49

The Peril of Prussianism

I

TWO IDEALS OF GOVERNMENT

THERE exist in the world two fundamentally opposed ideals of government. One is based on the conception that the government is the servant of its citizens and exists for their benefit. According to this conception the divine right of the individual to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness must be conserved, and the most successful government is the one which most effectively promotes the free development of its citizens. The growth of this ideal of

government can be traced through the whole history of the Anglo-Saxon race, but first reached its full fruition in the American Declaration of Independence. Because of the supreme faith of our forefathers in dedicating their lives, their fortunes, and their sacred honor to the maintenance of this ideal in the New World, Canada and the other English colonies to-day enjoy a freedom which would not otherwise be theirs. The loss of her American colonies taught England the fearful cost of obstructing man's aspirations for true self-government, with the result that both in her colonies and at home the growth of democratic ideals has seldom been seriously checked. France lit her torch of freedom at the American altar, and in Russia when the bureaucrats would denounce Milyukof for his democratic aspirations they hurl at him the epithet "American." It

No. 1.



The Hohenzollern dominions in 1477. A small province surrounding the little town of Berlin.

will not appear unseemly, therefore, to call the ideal of government just described "The American ideal." In a peculiar degree America has long stood before the world as its champion and defender.

The second ideal of government is diametrically opposed to the first. It is based on the conception that the individual is the servant of the government and exists for the benefit of the government. According to this ideal, the State is everything, the individual nothing. The perfect government is one which so disciplines its citizens that they render absolute and unquestioning obedience to every order of the State, performing with machine-like precision the tasks assigned to them. Organized efficiency and team work replace the uncoördinated and ineffectual efforts of individuals which forever clog the clumsy mechanism of democracy. Individual liberty is per-

4 The Peril of Prussianism

mitted only where it does not interfere with the good of the State, and it is the State, not the citizen, which decides where the line shall be drawn. This ideal of government was more than once introduced into England, but has never seemed to thrive among Anglo-Saxon peoples. France suffered it for a space, then permanently rejected it. Russia endured it with ever increasing protest, and after long years of bitter struggle and threatening revolution has at last trampled it under foot. But among Teutonic peoples it has thriven amazingly. Its supreme development is bound up with the history of Prussia, and reaches its most perfect manifestation in the modern state of Prussianized Germany. As Professor Münsterberg has truly said, "In the German view the State is not for the individuals, but the individuals for the State." Both Kaiser and people unite

Two Ideals of Government 5

in presenting to the world the most efficient example of a government paternally solicitous for the material welfare of its citizens, docilely served by a disciplined people rendering unquestioning obedience to the commands of their sovereign. Assuredly this second ideal of government is preëminently the Prussian ideal.

II

THE INESCAPABLE CONFLICT

HAVING placed in contrast the American ideal and the Prussian ideal of government, let us next consider this all-important fact: *The two ideals are mutually antagonistic, and cannot long exist in the world side by side.* This statement may on first thought seem extreme. One may ask, "Cannot every nation select that one of the two ideals of government which it prefers, leaving every other nation in peace to do the same? Is there not room enough in the world for the peaceful development of both ideals?" The answer is in the negative, and for this reason: The Prus-

sian ideal denies one of the primitive instincts of mankind, the instinct of individual freedom and personal sovereignty. From the beginning, man has rebelled against slavery, whether the enslaving power be beneficent or brutal. An inefficient government of his own creation is more tolerable to him than the most efficient control imposed by another. A people enjoying unwonted prosperity may for a time docilely submit to the hand which feeds them; but sooner or later the instinct of freedom will assert itself and the people grow restless. Especially is this true where the people enslaved by an autocratic government are surrounded by freemen who govern themselves. Liberty is an inevitable, unconscious proselyter.

There remains to the autocratic government but one procedure. It must offer to its subjects compensation for their

8 The Peril of Prussianism

slavery, and destroy the proselyting influence which surrounds them. Both are accomplished at one stroke by military conquest. Just as freemen will undergo stern military discipline for the sake of victory, so a subject people will submit to a ruler who assures them military glory and the privilege of triumphing over their neighbors. Autocracy and aggressive militarism are inextricably bound together. Through militarism autocracy must conquer the world, or from a peaceful penetration of the ideals of liberty it will suffer decay.

No one understands this truth more clearly than does the autocratic government of Germany. Its motto, "World power or downfall," is not the silly ranting of a wild extremist, but a brutally frank statement of a profound truth. The German government's oft-repeated assertion that it is fighting a defensive warfare

should not be pushed aside as an idle falsehood. The tide of popular discontent with autocracy was beginning to rise in Germany, and the autocratic government's most effective defence was a war which should unite the people once more in loyal subjection. Prior to July, 1914, the German papers were publicly ridiculing the Kaiser's pompous assertion of his divine right to rule, and were indignantly denouncing his angry threat to tear the Constitution of Alsace in shreds and annex the unhappy province to Prussia; representatives of the people in increasing numbers were refusing to vote the government's burdensome war taxes and were protesting against the exaltation of military above civil authority. A month later, the entire nation was enthusiastically acclaiming the war lord whose victorious legions were sweeping across Belgium to carry German

dominion to the shores of the English Channel. Never was the Hohenzollern dynasty more securely in the saddle than during the many months when flag-bedecked Germany was celebrating her victories on every front. An offensive warfare against Germany's neighbors had proven the best defensive warfare for Germany's government.

No. 2.



The Hohenzollern kingdom of Prussia in 1740, when Frederick the Great ascended the throne. The scattered domains extend from the Rhine eastward beyond the Vistula

III

GROWTH OF PRUSSIANISM

THE historical development of the Prussian ideal of government shows Prussia's realization of the fact that nothing save the most aggressive exploitation of that ideal would prevent its ultimate extinction. Some time before the discovery of America by Columbus, there appeared on the world stage an unimportant house by the name of Hohenzollern which ruled over a small tract of country surrounding the little town of Berlin (see map, Figure 1). Successive rulers of this line added to their holdings by conquering neighboring lands, until the scattered domains under Prussian

control stretched from the banks of the Rhine eastward beyond the Vistula. In 1640, there came to the throne one of the most famous among the early exponents of the Prussian ideal of government, Frederick William, the Great Elector. He is described as "coarse by nature, heartless in destroying opponents, treacherous in diplomatic negotiations, and entirely devoid of refinement." Fully realizing that the Prussian theory of government could live only by perpetuating and extending the policy of conquest successfully pursued by his Hohenzollern ancestors, he organized, against the protests of his subjects, an enormous standing army, the beginning of the modern Prussian military machine.

It would not be profitable to trace in detail the particular part played by each Hohenzollern ruler in exploiting the Prussian ideal of government. It is the

proud boast of the Hohenzollern dynasty that almost every one of its members has in peace or in war added something to the extent of Prussian dominions. The rough and boorish Frederick William I. scoured all Europe in search of tall men for his armies, selling the royal jewels and turning the family table silver into money to defray the cost of building up an invincible military machine for further conquest. His son and successor disliked military pursuits, preferring the company of music and books. But he, too, realized on ascending the throne that the Prussian ideal of government could not endure apart from a policy of aggressive militarism, and skillfully employing the military machine painstakingly perfected by his thrifty father, he became the all-powerful Frederick the Great, one of the most renowned military geniuses of history. Silesia was brutally wrenched

from the helpless young Empress of Austria without the pretense of an excuse, and the shameful partition of Poland was successfully begun. Militarism approximately doubled the size of Prussia in the lifetime of this one man (see Figures 2 and 3).

The time soon came when the normal growth of the Prussian ideal of government demanded the extension of Prussian influence on an enormous scale. Thus far the Hohenzollern dominion had risen by successive acquisitions from a small province surrounding Berlin to a European power (Figure 4). It must next ascend to the position of a world power. To accomplish this two things were essential. Austria had long been a powerful rival of Prussia in the struggle for dominant influence among the smaller German states. Since the absorption of Austria was not yet possible, her influence

must be destroyed by military defeat. With Austria eliminated, Prussian domination over the remaining German states must be consolidated, to the end that a great Germanic power, pledged to the support of Hohenzollernism and the Prussian ideal of government, should arise in the world. Two remarkable men undertook this colossal task. William I. of Prussia, grandfather of the present Kaiser, bent all his energies to developing the military resources of his kingdom, while Bismarck proceeded to apply his policy of blood and iron. In defiance of the Prussian parliament which refused to vote taxes for a great army, in defiance of the constitution which guaranteed to the parliament control over taxes, and in defiance of the voice of the people as expressed in the public press, the military machine was enormously strengthened. William I. and the Iron Chancellor knew

that all crimes against the people's liberty would be forgotten when a war of conquest had been successfully concluded.

In the course of a few months, the Danish provinces of Schleswig and Holstein were conquered and annexed to Prussia, Austria was overwhelmingly defeated and her influence destroyed, and a number of the independent north German states which sided with Austria were brought under Prussian control. Soon after, the south German states were brought into the Prussian union for a new war of conquest, Alsace-Lorraine and a huge indemnity were extracted from prostrate France, and the Hohenzollern king of Prussia became the autocratic ruler of a great world power, the modern German Empire (Figure 5). The Prussian ideal of government was now firmly established among a great peo-


ple pledged to a policy of aggressive militarism.

It is well for us to review these salient facts of Prussian history, lest we forget that from the earliest time the house of Hohenzollern has efficiently and consistently pursued a single policy which inevitably involves the maintenance of a great military machine and the periodic employment of that machine in ever-enlarging conquests of neighboring territory. He is blind indeed who would imagine that, after pursuing a given policy for five hundred years with phenomenal success, the Prussian Government should to-day suddenly abandon that policy in favor of another which it truthfully admits would lead to the downfall of a painstakingly reared autocracy. Both the nature of the Prussian ideal of government and the facts of Prussian history assure us that Germany is to-day,

18 The Peril of Prussianism

as she has ever been in the past, struggling for world domination in order to prevent the downfall of the autocratic Hohenzollern rule.

No. 3.



Decision in 1786 at the death of Frederick the Great. Silesia has been forcibly wrested from Austria, and the first

IV

THE MAILED FIST AT WORK

FORTUNATELY for our clearness of vision, Germany's plans for her next step toward the goal of world domination are too plain to be doubted. They are precisely what Prussian history would lead us to expect. A petty Hohenzollern province first dominates Prussia, Prussia then dominates Germany, and now the plans provide that Germany shall dominate Europe. The method of achieving the desired end is precisely the same with which history has made us so familiar. Adjacent territories are to be brought under Hohenzollern rule by the annexation of some and the federation of others

into a great Central European Empire, with Germany the directing force and the Prussian military machine the invincible bond which holds them together. Let us lift the curtain for a moment and watch the mailed fist as it moves the pieces on the European chess-board in preparation for the coup of 1914.

We see the evil hand in Vienna, shaping the policy of the Dual Monarchy and gaining that ascendancy over its affairs which has reduced Austria-Hungary to a state of vassalage. We observe Italy bound in unholy alliance with the historic enemy of Italian freedom. We realize that it is the mailed fist acting through Austria in the seizure of Bosnia and Herzegovina in flagrant violation of treaty pledges. We behold the Prussian war lord appear "in shining armor" beside his obedient Austrian vassal to threaten Russia when she protests against this

*Austria
took
these
Slavic
provinces,
for the
Habsburgs*

injury to her brother Slavs. We see Servia robbed of her hard-won port on the Adriatic and Albania erected into a make-believe kingdom under Austrian tutelage; and we recognize the old, familiar methods of the Hohenzollern. We find the mailed fist clasping in fraternal greeting the bloody hand that massacres the Armenians, the Emperor of Christian Germany becoming a brother to the unspeakable Turk, training and officering his armies, and securing from him concessions for the future Berlin to Bagdad railway. We see puppet Hohenzollern kings placed on the thrones of Bulgaria and Roumania, and King Constantine of Greece married to the Kaiser's sister. We watch the meshes of German intrigue wound about the Russian Government, and the mighty Slav reduced to partial impotence. By ruthless conquest and by peaceful penetration, the stage is being

also in
English
royalty.

set for the great Central European Empire, while at home the war lord prepares for the coming contest by accumulating military stores in quantities which have since astonished the world, by forcing the passage of a naval bill destined to make Germany formidable on sea as well as on land, by enlarging the Kiel Canal to permit the passage of the largest battleships, by building heavy-metalled, double-tracked railways along the borders of Belgium and other peaceful neighbors, by securing a grant of \$250,000,000 in special war taxes with which to raise the army to a peace footing of 700,000 men and a war footing of nearly ten millions, and by marshalling the voices of obedient professors and the pens of servile writers in one vast campaign of education, designed to poison the German mind with dreams of Pan-Germanic power.

been
on
remark

to carry
soldiers

At last the propitious moment is arrived, and the mailed fist pulls the strings. Austria approaches Italy with the proposition, that they unite in the conquest of Servia; but Italy refuses. A delay of some months ensues, while European diplomats struggle to avert the impending calamity. Then an Austrian prince is murdered in the capital city of conquered Bosnia. This furnishes the desired excuse for beginning the long-planned war. Vienna secretly confers with Berlin, and at the end of a month of silence suddenly astounds the civilized world by accusing the Servian Government of the murder, and submitting ten demands designed to be so humiliating in their nature and so insulting in their tone as to insure a prompt rejection. But unfortunately for the Hohenzollern plan Servia, on the advice of her peaceably inclined friends, accepts outright eight

24 The Peril of Prussianism

of the unjust demands and agrees to submit to arbitration the remaining two which seriously threaten her independence. This is most disconcerting, but the plan must not be balked. War is therefore declared on Servia despite her humiliating surrender, and the mobilization of Russia in support of Servia gives Berlin the pretext for declarations of war against Russia, France, and Belgium. The work of conquering and consolidating a great Central European Empire under Prussian control is now in full swing according to the most approved Hohenzollern methods.

*Prussia could not survive
in a free world, so she planned
to enslave it.*

V

A WOLF IN SHEEP'S CLOTHING


IT cannot be denied that as things stand to-day the plans for a Prussianized Central Europe have succeeded to a remarkable degree (see Figure 6). Austria has come wholly under German domination, and is dependent upon the Prussian military machine for her very existence. Without its help, Serbs and Russians are able to defeat her at will. Her armies are but tools in the hands of German higher officers, while her political administration is dominated by Berlin. Eager for peace to-day, she dares not ask for it till the Kaiser has given the word. Said an Austrian army officer, who had

*(The national
loyalty
within
border.)*


26 The Peril of Prussianism

fought through the Galician campaign: "Our worst enemy is not Russia but the Prussian Government. If the war is won by the Germans there will be no Austria." To an even greater degree Bulgaria and Turkey have become vassals of the Hohenzollern state, taking their orders direct from Berlin. German troops in Constantinople and German warships in the harbor hold the government of the Turk in abject servitude. The control of two thirds of Roumania has passed into German hands, the death of Germany's hand-picked puppet king having made forcible conquest necessary. Serbia has been destroyed and the main artery of the new Empire, the great Berlin to Bagdad route, is completely under German control to within a few miles of the Orient city. William II. began this war as the ruler of 68 million souls. To-day he is the supreme war

No. 4.



Prussia from 1815 to 1866, before Bismarck applied his policy of blood and iron. The Rhenish provinces, one half



A Wolf in Sheep's Clothing 27

lord of an empire of 176 millions, strategically located in the center of Europe. As Chéradame truly says: "This is the brutal, overwhelming fact which Americans must face if they wish to learn the sole solution of the war which will assure to them, as well as to the rest of the world, a durable peace."

Realizing the vast extent of her success, and fearful that further warfare may lead to its undoing, Germany is to-day frantically working for peace "on very moderate terms"; even, if necessary, "without annexations or indemnities." With the war beginning to go against her, she is generously willing to surrender (for the present) all the lands conquered from Russia, Roumania, France, and Belgium, and all of Servia save a narrow strip bordering the Berlin to Bagdad railway. She could restore all these and still win the greatest victory in the history

of Hohenzollern conquests. The blind would see only the *status quo ante*. Germany would see, and soon the rest of the world would see, the dream of a great Central European Germanic Empire come true (Figure 7). A real *status quo ante* is beyond the power of man to establish.

If there be those who, admitting the Hohenzollern policy of conquest up to and including the present war, nevertheless imagine that Germany would be willing to retire from the bloody struggle contented to let well enough alone provided her domination of Austria, Bulgaria, and Turkey were unimpaired, they should remember, in the first place, that the new and vastly stronger Germany would be ruled by the same Hohenzollern dynasty as before, imbued by the same passion for conquest which for more than five hundred years had been eminently

successful, and never so much as in the present struggle. Let them give heed, in the second place, to the testimony of eminent Germans concerning their own ideas of Germany's future policy. Let them read von Bissing's memorandum to his government in which as Governor General of Belgium he boldly advocates the dethronement of the Belgian royal house and the forcible annexation of the country to Germany. Let them consider the sinister import of his candid declaration that reconciliation and peaceful domination are impossible, and that possession of Belgium is absolutely essential to the success of Germany's plans for future wars against England and France. Let them listen to the intellectual leaders of Germany: to Nietzsche teaching, "Ye shall love peace as a means to new wars,—and the short peace more than the long"; to Treitschke describing

war as "an ordinance set by God" and "the most powerful maker of nations"; to Bernhardt declaring, "The lessons of history confirm the view that wars which have been deliberately provoked by far-seeing statesmen have had the happiest results"; and to Eucken asserting, "To us more than to any other nation is intrusted the true structure of human existence." Let them hear the revered pastors of Germany: Francke saying, "Germany is the representative of the highest morality, of the purest humanity, of the most chastened Christianity; its defeat would mean a falling back to the worst barbarism"; Lehman preaching, "The German soul is God's soul. It shall and will rule over mankind"; and König exhorting, "We must vanquish, because the downfall of Germanism would mean the downfall of humanity." Then perhaps will the thoughtless realize that the German

A Wolf in Sheep's Clothing 31

nation, driven by a policy it cannot control, presses inevitably forward to new conquests, ever toward the goal of world dominion.

VI

TWO SIDES OF A SHIELD

WE have traced the history of the Prussian ideal of government to the present, and have found that its very existence depends upon an aggressive militarism which must ever conquer new dominions, or suffer decay and death. It follows that the American ideal of government must in the end conquer or be conquered. There is no truce in this warfare of ideals. Lincoln realized that the Union could not exist half slave and half free. The Hohenzollern realizes, and we must realize, that the world cannot exist half in political slavery and half in political freedom, half autocracy and half democracy.

It is fair to ask, however, whether after all the Prussian ideal of government may not be the best, if wisely administered. Human instinct may incline all mankind toward individual freedom, but instincts are not always safe guides to action. There is no *a priori* ground for assuming that the American ideal of government is superior to the Prussian ideal, and many an intelligent German has conscientiously argued that the Prussian Government is the most efficient, the most liberal, and the most truly free of all modern states. Let us in all candor consider whether the American ideal is so good or the Prussian ideal so bad that we should shed American blood to preserve the one and destroy the other.

No fair-minded man will deny that a government of the Prussian type may attain the highest efficiency in many fields of action. With an intelligent and

34 The Peril of Prussianism

energetic ruler at the helm, with centralized control and responsibility, decisions may be quickly reached and promptly translated into action by the orderly team work of a disciplined people. For more than five centuries the Hohenzollern dynasty has consistently and efficiently progressed toward the ultimate achievement of a single ideal, while more liberal governments have blundered in confusion through disastrous revolutions and profound changes of national policy. To-day Prussianized Germany, hopelessly outnumbered, holds the world at bay, counting her military victories while her enemies count their disastrous blunders. In social legislation, in municipal government, Germany gives lessons to the world. In the application of science to the arts and industries she has no equal. Autocracy is admittedly efficient, democracy is certainly inefficient.

* other
people's
invention.

No. 5.



Prussianized Germany from 1871 to 1914, showing the result of Bismarck's policy of blood and iron. The Danish provinces of Schleswig and Holstein and the French provinces of Alsace and Lorraine have been



When every count in its favor has been duly recorded, however, the truth remains that the Prussian ideal of government is so immoral, so iniquitous, so debasing to the mind and the soul of man, that its annihilation becomes the supreme duty of the hour. Let us review the indictments against this monstrosity of the Hohenzollern intellect.

In the first place it should be noted that many of the beneficent features associated with Prussian autocracy are not essentially a part of the Prussian ideal of government. They are, in not a few cases, bribes offered to a discontented people to quiet their grumblings against despotic rule. Take, for example, the workman's insurance laws to which Germans justly point with pride. Both the accident insurance law and the sickness insurance law were introduced by Bismarck for the avowed purpose of quieting

the socialist agitation for greater political liberty, after drastic laws for the imprisonment of socialist leaders had failed to crush their propaganda. A contented slave is better than one discontented, and it is a wise paternalism which provides for the material welfare of its subjects whether the form of government be good or bad. It has been well said that the German autocracy strives to govern so well that the people will have no desire to govern themselves.

The Prussian government of Germany is in point of fact a despotism in disguise. It has all the trappings of constitutional government, but the constitutional features are an empty sham designed to cloak the iron rule of Hohenzollern autocracy. If this seems an extreme statement, look for a moment at the structure of the Hohenzollern stronghold. Like our own government, there is a federation

of different states under a President, the German Emperor. This President, like our own, controls the foreign policy of the federation and is commander-in-chief of the army and navy. There is an upper house or Senate called the Bundesrath, and a lower House of Representatives, the Reichstag. There is also a constitution defining the rights and privileges of the people and their rulers. All this sounds familiar to Americans, and might easily deceive them, as it has deceived many of the German people, into supposing that Germany enjoys self-government. But let us look more closely. We find that the upper house does not represent the people, but consists (with three exceptions) of men personally appointed by twenty-two hereditary German princes. Even the lower house is not truly representative, for the so-called universal suffrage is a farce. The conser-

vative ^{juncker} country districts, which are the strongholds of reactionary ideas, may have in proportion to their population ten times as much representation as the great cities where education and liberalism flourish. Less than two hundred thousand voters in certain country districts have sent to the Reichstag as many representatives as two million voters in some of the cities. In Prussia, the dominant German state, voting is based on a system which gives to the large taxpayers nearly thirty times as much representation as to the small taxpayers. Thus it is stated that in 1907 the large taxpayers, representing 3% of the voters, elected one-third of the electors, or as many as small taxpayers representing 87% of the voters.

Even this does not tell the whole story of autocratic Prussian rule. The Reichstag, or lower house, has no control over

the Kaiser's salary or civil list, none over the Imperial Ministers who determine national and international policy, and almost none over legislation. Practically all legislation initiates with the upper house of monarchical appointees, and it may, with the Kaiser's consent, dissolve the lower house. The Kaiser has the power to declare defensive war, and since even this war of conquest is to him a defensive war, he may in practice declare war at will. He can make treaties, appoint or dismiss the chancellor and imperial ministers, and, with the consent of the upper house, dissolve the Reichstag. He is assigned enough votes in the upper house to enable him to pass any legislation which he desires, and to block any measure of which he disapproves. In a word, the Prince-appointed upper house controls the mis-representative lower house, and the Kaiser in turn controls

both. Despite the outward form of constitutional government, Germany possesses the strongest autocratic despotism in the world to-day.

The Prussian government of Germany is an *absolute* despotism. The constitution is so skillfully devised as utterly to prevent any reform the Hohenzollern ruler does not approve, no matter how insistent the popular demand may be. The liberal-minded masses have a wholly inadequate representation in the Reichstag. But should reforms pass there, the monarchical appointees of the upper house, ever jealous of their prerogatives, stand ready to stifle them. And could we conceive that real reforms should win the support of a majority of these princely delegates, we must remember that fourteen votes are enough to defeat any amendment in this body, and that the Kaiser controls seventeen

votes! The despotic control is final and absolute.

The Prussian government of Germany is an *irresponsible* despotism. The chief minister of the empire is the Imperial Chancellor, who is responsible to the Kaiser alone. The Kaiser, in turn, owns responsibility only to God. William II., faithful to the centuries-old tradition of his Hohenzollern ancestors, seriously claims that he rules by the grace of God, and the claim is loyally supported by the conservative parties in the German state. The hateful doctrine of the divine right of kings is a fundamental article of the Hohenzollern creed, although frequently disguised for the German people under the more subtle, and therefore more dangerous, doctrine of the divine right of the State. Since the State is, in the last analysis, the Kaiser, the difference is one of words. "We

Hohenzollerns," cries the present Kaiser, "take our crown from God alone, and to God alone are we responsible in the fulfillment of duty."

No. 6.



Prussianized Central Europe as it exists in the early part of 1917, showing the vast territory now completely under the domination of Prussian militarism.



VII

THE DIVINE RIGHT OF THE STATE

OUT of evil things evil must come. No absolute and irresponsible despotism can breed peace, justice, and honor in the world. The evil may for a space be cloaked under the disguise of material prosperity, but the time soon comes when the horrid thing stands revealed in all its hideousness. We have seen that aggressive militarism is the inevitable accompaniment of the Prussian ideal of government. And militarism is an evil. It brutalizes mankind and substitutes the pagan doctrine that might makes right for the Christian doctrine that right is might. In the twinkling of

44 The Peril of Prussianism

an eye the mask of material development which made Germany appear beautiful to the casual observer, drops to the ground, and we see all the hideousness of Prussian militarism exposed to the gaze of a surprised and indignant world. The indignation truly is justified, but not so the surprise; for the deeds of Prussian militarism are the logical and expectable consequences of the Prussian ideal of government.

The State is everything, the individual nothing. The State is a divine institution, and therefore can do no wrong. That which benefits the State is good, no matter what evil it may bring to individuals. Compared with the good of the State, the lives and the property of individuals, the suffering of mankind, and the harm done to other governments are as nothing. These are doctrines born of the Prussian ideal, and applied with

conscientious consistency in the present war by the Prussian Government. "The State," writes Treitschke, "is the highest thing in the external society of man; above it there is nothing at all in the history of the world." The development of the State demands a policy of aggressive militarism, therefore war is moral and in the last analysis more to be desired than peace. The safety of the State demands expansion to the Mediterranean, hence the provocative ultimatum to Serbia was thoroughly justified. The advantage of the State necessitates a quick attack on France through neutral Belgium, therefore the wholesale slaughter of an innocent people while unfortunate is a wholly proper measure. The security of the army, the strong right arm of the State, is promoted by terrorizing the civilian populations of occupied territories, and so the shooting of hostages, the

burning of cities, and the commission of unspeakable outrages are to be tolerated for the good end they serve. International law forbids the levying of indemnities on captured cities, the deportation of civilians, the employment of enemy subjects in military work, the bombardment of open towns; but the State is higher than international law and these things may be done if they are of advantage to the State. Humanity forbids the slaughter of non-combatants and the murder of women and children; but the State is higher than humanitarian considerations and these crimes are defensible if they are of convenience to the State. To the logical believer in the Prussian ideal of government, "military necessity" is an all-sufficient explanation for any crime, no matter how barbarous or revolting. There is no crime, if the State—that is, the Hohenzollern auto-

they
 would be
 punished
 for all this
 but well
 they be?

crat—gains some advantage from the deed.

We need not deal specifically with the burning of Louvain, the bombardment of Rheims cathedral, the Zeppelin raids on Paris and London, the sinking of the *Lusitania* and other submarine atrocities, the deportation of girls from Lille and of workmen from Belgium, the judicial murder of Edith Cavell and Capt. Fryatt, because all of these are but symptoms, and perfectly logical and normal symptoms, of the aggressive militarism which is inextricably bound up with the Prussian ideal of government. A people devoted to the Prussian ideal become insensible to wrongs which revolt the consciences of other peoples, not because such a people is inherently more barbarous, but because militarism and the false doctrine of the divine right of the State inevitably degrade the ideals and brut-

who wants it under
forced? Not the allied
temperament.

alize the instincts of any political society. The American people, submissive for a few centuries to the false teachings of the Prussian ideal, imbued with the pernicious idea that they were destined by God to force the superior culture of their divine State upon the rest of the world for its own good, would commit acts as barbarous as any which have stained the record of German warfare.

No. The Americans never would submit to authority of dynastic kind. There is a great dif. between the American spirit & the German " " . It is not just a matter of training. Do not excuse them that way, Mr. Author. Also the Americans are too clear-headed to accept the stupid & blatant German "divine" state idea. They have too good a sense of humor.

VIII

THE ISSUE

WE have seen that the Prussian ideal of government and the American ideal cannot exist together in the world, and that the Prussian ideal is of necessity vicious in its nature and degrading in its effects. For Americans there is but one issue from this dilemma. The Prussian ideal must perish, the American ideal must live. We fight not to avenge the *Lusitania*, not to rebuild Louvain, not to exact reparation for murdered women and children. We fight to slay the government which taught its people to commit such damnable atrocities. We fight that never again may a great nation with

cynical insolence throw in the face of the world the base assertions that treaties are scraps of paper, that necessity knows no law, that might is the right of the strongest, and that the State can do no wrong. We fight to hurl the Hohenzollern and his dangerous doctrine of divine right upon the scrap-heap of useless trumpery, and to set the German people in his place, that they may learn to rule themselves.

How shall the end be achieved? Not by a half-way war, not by conciliation or compromise. Great ends are not achieved by petty measures. The Imperial German armies must be defeated on the battlefield and driven from the lands they conquered. The German people must learn that for all its inefficiency, democracy is stronger than disciplined autocracy. Restitution of the fruits of past conquest must be required, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Alsace-Lorraine and Po-

No. 7.



Prussianized Central Europe according to the so-called "status quo ante," showing the basis on which Germany is willing to end the war. Black areas show extent of absolute Prussian control; hatched areas, spheres of Prussian control; dotted areas, spheres of Prussian influence.

30
2

Also many more.

land restored to rightful sovereignty. The German people must learn that the dream of a Central European Empire, founded on military conquest, is forever dashed to earth. Reparation for grievous wrongs, so far as these may be repaired by money indemnities, must be exacted. The German people must learn that upon the guilty aggressor, not upon the innocent victim, falls the heavy burden of restoring ravished lands, rehabilitating burned and pillaged cities, supporting ruined homes, and indemnifying those whose innocent loved ones were foully wronged or slain. War in the past has been immensely profitable to Germany. She must be taught that it is the most costly crime a nation can commit. With these ends achieved we may reasonably hope that the German people, sick of the bloody disasters born of Prussian militarism, will awaken from the trance in which

they live and throw off the hateful Hohenzollern yoke. If they do not, then we must fight to force the central citadel of Prussian power. We must not lay down the sword till the Hohenzollern throne is empty.

When the sufferings of this war press heavily upon us, when long casualty lists fill the columns of our papers, when business losses mount high and the people clamor for bread, there will arise a loud and insistent demand for an inconclusive peace. Then let us envisage the magnitude of the issue of this war, and prepare to make sacrifices commensurable to the ends we seek. Let us remember that we fight to tear out, root and branch, an ideal of government deep-grown in central Europe. The gathered strength of centuries is not overcome by the efforts of a day, nor the struggles of a deluded people stilled without much suffering.

The contest may lengthen into years, defeats may humiliate us and dangers increase, every family may mourn its dead and every heart be heavy with foreboding; still let us fight on, shoulder to shoulder with our gallant allies, till the liberty of the world be won. As Christ died to make men holy, let us die to make men free; for God is marching on.

1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900

"The War and Humanity"

By

James M. Beck

A Notable Sequel to "The Evidence in the
Case"

"Mr. Beck's volume was a classic the moment it appeared. We know of no more logical and lucid discussion of the essential facts and problems of the great war, nor any more truly, consistently, and even vigorously American in its spirit. We should be well content to let it stand, if there were no other, as the authentic expression of the highest aspirations, the broadest and most penetrating vision, and the most profound convictions of the American nation on matters which have never been surpassed and have only twice been rivalled in vital interests in all our history."—*New York Tribune*.

THEODORE ROOSEVELT'S OPINION

"It is the kind of a book, which every self-respecting American, who loves his country, should read."

Revised and Enlarged Edition

Nearly 400 pages. \$1.50 net. By mail, \$1.60

At All Booksellers

G. P. Putnam's Sons

New York

London

The Evidence in the Case

A Discussion of the Moral Responsibility for the War of
1914, as Disclosed by the Diplomatic Records
of England, Germany, Russia, France
Austria, and Belgium

By

JAMES M. BECK, LL.D.

Late Assistant Attorney-General of the U. S.

With an Introduction by

The Hon. JOSEPH H. CHOATE

Late U. S. Ambassador to Great Britain

*11th Printing—Revised Edition with much Additional
Material*


12°. Over 280 pages. \$1.25 net. By mail, \$1.35


“Mr. Beck’s book is so extremely interesting from beginning to end that it is difficult when once begun to lay it down and break off the reading, and we are not surprised to hear not only that it has had an immense sale in England and America, but that its translation into the languages of the other nations of Europe has been demanded.”—*Hon. Joseph H. Choate in The New York Times.*


New York

G. P. Putnam’s Sons


London
















368549

D515

J63

Johnson

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LIBRARY

