CORNELL UNIVERSITY LIBRARY



B 132.V4S12 1881

A manual of Hindu pantheism the Vedant

3 1924 022 895 985

B 132 V4512 1881

9426B

TRÜBNER'S ORIENTAL SERIES.

"A knowledge of the commonplace, at least, of Oriental literature, philosophy, and religion is as necessary to the general reader of the present day as an acquaintance with the Latin and Greek classics was a generation or so ago. Immense strides have been made within the present century in these branches of learning; Sanskrit has been brought within the range of accurate philology, and its invaluable ancient literature thoroughly investigated; the language and sacred books of the Zoroastrians have been laid bare; Egyptian. Assyrian, and other records of the remote past have been deciphered, and a group of scholars speak of still more recondite Accadian and Hittite monuments: but the results of all the echolarship that has been devoted to these subjects have been almost inaccessible to the public because they were contained for the most part in learned or expensive works, or scattered throughout the numbers of scientific periodicals. Messrs. TRÜBNER & Co., in a spirit of enterprise which does them infinite credit, have determined to supply the constantly-increasing want, and to give in a popular, or, at least, a comprehensive form, all this mass of knowledge to the world."-Times.

NOW READY,

Post 8vo, pp. 568, with Map, cloth, price 16s.

THE INDIAN EMPIRE: ITS HISTORY, PEOPLE, AND PRODUCTS.

Being a revised form of the article "India," in the "Imperial Gazetteer," remodelled into chapters, brought up to date, and incorporating the general results of the Census of 1881.

BY W. W. HUNTER, C.I.E., LL.D.,

Director-General of Statistics to the Government of India.

"The article 'India,' in Volume IV., is the touchstone of the work, and proves clearly snough the sterling metal of which it is wrought. It represents the sesence of the 100 volumes which contain the results of the statistical survey conducted by Dr. Hunter throughout each of the 240 districts of India. It is, moreover, the only attempt that has ever been made to show how the Indian people have been huilt up, and the evidence from the original materials has been for the first time sifted and sxamined by the light of the local research in which the author was for so long engaged."—Times.

1 11 1.71 1

THE FOLLOWING WORKS HAVE ALREADY APPEARED:-

Third Edition, post 8ve, cloth, pp. xvi.-428, price 16s.

ESSAYS ON THE SACRED LANGUAGE, WRITINGS, AND RELIGION OF THE PARSIS.

BY MARTIN HAUG, PH.D.,

Late of the Universities of Tübingen, Göttingen, and Bonn; Superintendent of Sanskrit Studies, and Professor of Sanskrit in the Poens College.

EDITED AND ENLARGED BY DR. E. W. WEST.

To which is added a Biegraphical Memoir of the late Dr. Haug
by Prof. E. P. Evans.

I. History of the Researches into the Sacred Writings and Religion of the Parsis, from the Earliest Times down to the Present.

II. Languages of the Parsi Scriptures.

III. The Zend-Avests, or the Scripture of the Parsis.

IV. The Zeroastrian Religion, as to its Origin and Development.

""Essays on the Sacred Language, Writings, and Religion of the Parsis,' by the late Dr. Martin Haug, edited hy Dr. E. W. West. The author intended, on his return from India, to expand the materials contained in this work into a comprehensive account of the Zorosatrian religion, but the design was fruetrated by his untimely death. We have, however, in a concise and readable form, a history of the researches into the sacred writings and religion ef the Parsis from the earliest times down to the present—a dissertation on the languages of the Parsi Scriptures, a translation of the Zend-Avesta, or the Scripture of the Parsis, and a dissertation on the Zorosatrian religion, with especial reference to its origin and devalorment."—Times trian religion, with especial reference to its origin and development."-Times.

Post 8ve, cloth, pp. viii.—176, price 7s. 6d.

TEXTS FROM THE BUDDHIST CANON

COMMONLY KNOWN AS "DHAMMAPADA."

With Accompanying Narratives.

Translated from the Chinese by S. BEAL, B.A., Professor of Chinese, University College, Lendon.

The Dhammapada, as hitherto known by the Pali Text Edition, as edited by Fausböll, by Max Müller's English, and Albrecht Weber's German translations, censists only of twenty-six chapters or sections, whilst the Chinese version, or rather recension, as new translated by Mr. Beal, censists of thirty-nine sections. The students of Pali who pessess Fausböll's text, or either of the above-named translations, will therefore needs want Mr. Besl's English rendering of the Chinese version; the thirteen abovenamed additional sections not being accessible to them in any other form; for, even if they understand Chinese, the Chinese original would be unobtainable by them.

cottainable by them.

"Mr. Beal's rendering of the Chinese translation is a mest valuable aid to the critical study of the work. It contains authentic texts gathered from ancient canonical books, and generally connected with some incident in the history of Buddha. Their great interest, however, consists in the light which they throw upon everyday life in India at the remote period at which they were written, and upon the method of teaching adopted by the founder of the religion. The method employed was principally parable, and the simplicity of the tales and the excellence of the morals inculeated, as well as the strange hold which they have retained upon the minds of millions of people, make them a very remarkable study."—Times.

"Mr. Beal, by making it accessible in an English dress, has added to the great services he has already rendered to the comparative study of religious history."—Academy.

"Valuable as exhibiting the doctrine of the Buddhists in its purest, least adulterated form, it brings the modern reader face to face with that simple creed and rule of conduct which won its way over the minds of myriade, and which is now nominally professed by r45 millions, who have overlaid its austers simplicity with innumerable exemnonies, forgotten its maxims, perverted its teaching, and so inverted its leading principle that a religion whose founder denied a Ged, new worships that founder as a god himself."—Scotsman.

1 1 7 1 1

Second Edition, post 8vo, cloth, pp. xxiv.-360, price ros. 6d.

THE HISTORY OF INDIAN LITERATURE.

By ALBRECHT WEBER.

Translated from the Second German Edition by JOHN MANN, M.A., and THEODOR ZACHARIAE, Ph.D., with the sanction of the Author.

Dr. Buhler, Inspector of Schools in India, writes:—"When I was Professor of Oriental Languages in Elphinstone College, I frequently felt the

want of such a work to which I could refer the students.

Professor Cowell, of Cambridge, writes :- "It will be especially useful to the students in our Indian colleges and universities. I used to long for such a book when I was teaching in Calcutta. Hindu students are intensely interested in the history of Sauskrit literature, and this volume will supply

them with all they want on the subject."

Professor Whitney, Yale College, Newhaven, Conn., U.S.A., writes:—
"I was one of the class to whom the work was originally given in the form of academic lectures. At their first appearance they were by far the most learned and able treatment of their subject; and with their recent additions they still maintain decidedly the same rank."

"Is perhaps the most comprehensive and lucid survey of Sanskrit literature extant. The essays contained in the volume were originally delivered as academic lectures, and at the time of their first publication were acknowledged to be by far the most learned and able treatment of the subject. They have now been brought up to date by the addition of all the most important results of recent research."—Times.

Post 8vo, cloth, pp. xii. - 198, accompanied by Two Language Maps, price 12s.

A SKETCH OF

THE MODERN LANGUAGES OF THE EAST INDIES.

By ROBERT N. CUST.

The Author has attempted to fill up a vacuum, the inconvenience of which pressed itself on his notice. Much had been written about the languages of the East Indies, but the extent of our present knowledge had not even been brought to a focus. It occurred to him that it might be of use to others to publish in an arranged form the notes which he had collected for his own edification.

"Supplies a deficiency which has long been felt."—Times.
"The book before us is then a valuable contribution to philological science. It passes under review a vast number of languages, and it gives, or professes to give, in every case the sum and substance of the opinions and judgments of the best-informed writers."—Saturday Review.

Second Corrected Edition, post 8vo, pp. xii.—116, cloth, price 5s.

THE BIRTH OF THE WAR-GOD.

A Poem. By KALIDASA.

Translated from the Sanskrit into English Verse by RALPH T. H. GRIFFITH, M.A.

"A very spirited rendering of the Kumarasambhara, which was first published twenty-six years ago, and which we are glad to see made once more accessible."-Times.

"Mr. Griffith's very spirited rendering is well known to most who are at all interested in Indian literature, or enjoy the tenderness of feeling and rich creative imagination of its author."—Indian Antiquary.

"We are very glad to welcome a second edition of Professor Griffith's admirable translations. How translations describe a second edition button."—Atherman

translation. Few translations deserve a second edition better."-Athenaum.

Post 8vo, pp. 432, cloth, price 16s.

A CLASSICAL DICTIONARY OF HINDU MYTHOLOGY AND RELIGION, GEOGRAPHY, HISTORY, AND LITERATURE.

By JOHN DOWSON, M.R.A.S., Late Professor of Hindustani, Staff College.

"This not only forms an indispensable book of reference to etudents of Indian literature, but is also of great general interest, as it gives in a concise and easily sccessible form all that need be known about the personages of Hindu mythology whose names are so familiar, but of whom so little is known outside the limited circle of savants."—Times.

"It is no slight gain when such subjects are treated fairly and fully in a moderate space; and we need only add that the few wants which we may hope to see supplied in new editions detract but little from the general excellence of Mr. Dowson's work."

-Saturday Review.

Post 8vo, with View of Mecca, pp. cxii.—172, cloth, price 9s.

SELECTIONS FROM THE KORAN.

By EDWARD WILLIAM LANE,

Translator of "The Thousand and One Nights;" &c., &c. A New Edition, Revised and Enlarged, with an Introduction by STANLEY LANE POOLE.

"... Has been long estermed in this country as the compilation of one of the greatest Arabic scholars of the time, the late Mr. Lane, the well-known translator of the 'Arabian Nights'. . . The present editor has enhanced the value of his relative's work by divesting the text of a great deal of extraneous matter introduced by way of comment, and prefixing an introduction."—Times.

"Mr. Poole tells us the facts . . . so far as it is possible for industry and criticiem to ascertain them, and for literary skill to present them in a condensed and readable form."—Englishman Capital.

man, Calcutta.

Post 8vo, pp. vi.—368, cloth, price 14s.

MODERN INDIA AND THE INDIANS.

BEING A SERIES OF IMPRESSIONS, NOTES, AND ESSAYS. By MONIER WILLIAMS, D.C.L.,

Hon. LL.D. of the University of Calcutta, Hon. Member of the Bombay Asiatic Society, Boden Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford.

Third Edition, revised and augmented by considerable Additions, with Illustrations and a Map.

"In this volume we have the thoughtful impressions of a thoughtful man on some of the most important questions connected with our Indian Empire... An enlightened observant man, travelling among an enlightened observant people, Professor Monier Willisms has brought before the public in a pleasant form more of the manners and customs of the Queen's Indian subjects than we ever remember to have seen in any one work. He not only deserves the thanks of every Englishman for this able contribution to the study of Modern India—a subject with which we should be specially familiar—hut he deserves the thanks of every Indian, Parsee or Hindu, Buddhist and Moslem, for his clear exposition of their manners, their cresds, and their necessities."- Times.

Post 8vo, pp. xliv.—376, cloth, price 14s.

METRICAL TRANSLATIONS FROM SANSKRIT WRITERS.

With an Introduction, many Prose Versions, and Parallel Psssages from Classical Authors.

By J. MUIR, C.I.E., D.C.L., LL.D., Ph.D.

. . . An agreeable introduction to Hindu poetry."—Times.

"... A volume which may be taken as a fair illustration alike of the religious and moral sentiments and of the legendary lore of the best Sauskrit writers."— Bdinburgh Daily Review.

Second Edition, post 8vo, pp. xxvi.-244, cloth, price 10s. 6d.

THE GULISTAN;

OR, ROSE GARDEN OF SHEKH MUSHLIU'D-DIN SADI OF SHIRAZ.

Translated for the First Time into Prose and Verse, with an Introductory
Preface, and a Life of the Author, from the Atish Kadah,

BY EDWARD B. EASTWICK, C.B., M.A., F.R.S., M.R.A.S.

"It is a very fair rendering of the original."-Times.

"The new edition has long been desired, and will be welcomed by all who take any interest in Oriental poetry. The Gulistan is a typical Persian verse-book of the highest order. Mr. Eastwick's rhymed translation... has long established itself in a secure position as the best version of Sadi's finest work."—Academy.

"It is both faithfully and gracefully executed."-Tablet.

In Two Volumes, post 8vo, pp. viii,-408 and viii.-348, cloth, price 28s.

MISCELLANEOUS ESSAYS RELATING TO INDIAN SUBJECTS.

By BRIAN HOUGHTON HODGSON, Esq., F.R.S.,

Lats of the Bengal Civil Service; Corresponding Member of the Iostitute; Chevelier of the Legion of Honour; late British Minister at the Court of Nepal, &c., &c.

CONTENTS OF VOL. I.

SECTION I.—On the Kocch, Bódó, and Dhimál Tribes.—Part I. Vocabulary.—Part II. Grammar.—Part III. Their Origin, Location, Numbers, Creed, Customs, Character, and Condition, with a General Description of the Climste they dwell in.—Appendix.

SECTION II.—On Himslayan Ethnology.—I. Comparative Vocabulary of the Languages of the Broken Tribes of Népál.—II. Vocabulary of the Dialscts of the Kirsnti Language.—III. Grammatical Analysis of the Váyu Language. The Váyu Grammar.—IV. Analysis of the Báhing Dialect of the Kiranti Isoguage. The Báhing Grammar.—V. On the Váyu or Háyu Tribe of the Central Himslays.—VI. On the Kirsnti Tribe of the Central Himslays.

CONTENTS OF VOL. II.

SECTION III.—On the Aborigines of North-Esstern India. Comparative Vocabulary of the Tibetan, Bódó, and Gáró Tongues.

SECTION IV.—Aborigines of the North-Eastern Frontier.

SECTION V .-- Aborigines of the Eastern Frontier.

SECTION VI.—The Indo-Chinese Borderers, and their connection with the Himalayans and Tibetaus. Comparative Vocabulary of Indo-Chinese Borderers in Arakan. Comparative Vocabulary of Indo-Chinese Borderers in Tenasserim.

SECTION VII.—The Mongolian Affinities of the Caucasians.—Comparison and Analysis of Caucasian and Mongolian Words.

SECTION VIII.—Physical Typs of Tibetans.

SECTION IX.—The Aborigines of Central India.—Comparative Vocabulary of the Aboriginal Languages of Central India.—Aborigines of the Esstern Ghets.—Vocabulary of some of the Dislects of the Hill and Wandering Tribes in the Northern Sircars.—Aborigines of the Nilgiris, with Remarks on their Affinities.—Supplement to the Nilgirian Vocabularies.—The Aborigines of Southern India and Caylon.

Section X.—Route of Nepalese Mission to Pekin, with Remarks on the Weter-Shed and Platesu of Tibet.

SECTION XI.—Route from Káthmándú, the Cspital of Nepâl, to Darjeeling in-Sikim.—Memorandum relstive to the Seven Cosis of Nepâl.

Section XII.—Some Accounts of the Systems of Law and Police as recognised in the State of Nepal.

SECTION XIII.—The Native Mathod of making the Paper denominated Hindustan, Népálese.

Nepalese.

SECTION XIV.—Pre-eminence of the Vernaculars; or, the Anglicists Answered;
Being Letters on the Education of the People of India.

"For the study of the less-known races of India Mr. Brian Hodgeon's 'Miscsllaneous Essaye' will be found very valuable both to the philologist and the ethnologist."
—Times.

Third Edition, Two Vols., post 8vo, pp. viii.—268 and viii.—326, cloth, price 21s.

THE LIFE OR LEGEND OF GAUDAMA,

THE BUDDHA OF THE BURMESE. With Annotations.

The Ways to Neibban, and Notice on the Phongyies or Burmese Monks.

BY THE RIGHT REV. P. BIGANDET,

Bishop of Ramstha, Vicar-Apostolic of Ava and Pegu.

- "The work is furnished with copious notes, which not only illustrate the subjectmatter, but form a perfect encyclopædia of Buddhist lore."—Times.
- "A work which will furnish European students of Buddhism with a most valuable help in the prosecution of their investigations."—Edinburgh Daily Review.

"Bishop Bigandet's invaluable work."—Indian Antiquary.

- "Viewed in this light, its importance is sufficient to place students of the subject under a deep obligation to its author."—Calcutta Review.
 - "This work is one of the greatest authorities upon Buddhism."-Dublin Review.

Post 8vo, pp. xxiv. -420, cloth, price 18s.

CHINESE BUDDHISM.

A VOLUME OF SKETCHES, HISTORICAL AND CRITICAL.

By J. EDKINS, D.D.

Author of "China's Place in Philology," "Religion in China," &c., &c.

- "It contains a vast deal of important information on the subject, such as is only to be gained by long-continued study on the spot."—Athenœum.
- "Upon the whole, we know of no work comparable to it for the extent of its original research, and the simplicity with which this complicated system of philosophy, religion, literature, and ritual is set forth."—British Quarterly Review.
- The whole volume is replete with learning. . . . It deserves most careful etudy from all interested in the history of the religions of the world, and expressly of those who are concerned in the propagation of Christianity. Dr. Edkins notices in terms of just condemnation the exaggerated praise hestowed upon Buddhism by recent English writers."—Record.

Post 8vo, pp. 496, cloth, price 18s.

LINGUISTIC AND ORIENTAL ESSAYS.

WRITTEN FROM THE YEAR 1846 TO 1878.

BY ROBERT NEEDHAM CUST,

Late Member of Her Majesty's Indian Civil Service; Hon. Secretary to the Royal Asiatic Society; and Author of "The Modern Languages of the East Indies."

- "We know none who has described Indian life, especially the life of the natives, with eo much learning, sympathy, and literary talent."—Academy.
 - "They seem to us to be full of suggestive and original remarks."—St. James's Gazette.
- "His book contains a vast amount of information. The result of thirty-five years of inquiry, reflection, and speculation, and that on subjects as full of fascination as of food for thought."—Tablet.
- "Exhibit such a thorough acquaintance with the history and antiquities of India as to entitle him to speak as one having authority."—Edinburgh Daily Review.
- "The author speaks with the authority of personal experience. . . . It is this constant association with the country and the people which gives such a vividness to many of the pages."—Athenœum.

Post 8vo, pp. civ.—348, cloth, price 18s.

BUDDHIST BIRTH STORIES; or, Jataka Tales.

The Oldest Collection of Folk-lore Extant:

BEING THE JATAKATTHAVANNANA,

For the first time Edited in the original Pali.

By V. FAUSBOLL:

And Translated by T. W. RHYS DAVIDS.

Translation. Volume I.

"These are tales supposed to have been told by the Buddha of what he had seen and heard in his previous births. They are probably the nearest representatives of the original Aryan stories from which sprang the folk-love of Europe as well as India. The introduction contains a most interesting disquisition on the migrations of thasa fablea, tracing their reappearance in the various groups of folk-lore legends. Among other old friends, we meet with a version of the Judgment of Solomon."—Times.

"It is now some year a since Mr. Rhya Davids saserted his right to be heard on this subject by his abla article on Buddhism in the new adition of the 'Encyclopædia Britannica.'"—Leeds Mercury.

"All who are interested in Buddhist literature ought to feel deeply indebted to Mr. Rhya Davida. His well-astablished reputation as a Pali scholar is a sufficient guarantee for the fidelity of his varsion, and the atyle of his translations is deserving

of high praise."-Academy.

"No more compatent expositor of Buddhism could be found than Mr. Rhya Davids, In the Jataka book we have, then, a priceless record of the earliest imaginative literature of our race; and . . . it presents to us a nearly complete picture of the social life and customs and popular beliefs of the common people of Aryan tribes, closely related to ourselves, just as they were passing through the first stages of civilisation."—St. James's Gazette.

Post 8vo, pp. xxviii.-362, cloth, price 14s.

A TALMUDIC MISCELLANY;

OR. A THOUSAND AND ONE EXTRACTS FROM THE TALMUD, THE MIDRASHIM, AND THE KABBALAH.

> Compiled and Translated by PAUL ISAAC HERSHON, Author of "Genesis According to the Talmud," &c.

> > With Notes and Copious Indexes.

"To obtain in ac concise and handy a form as this volume a general idea of tha Talmud is a boon to Christians at laast."—Times.

"Its paculiar and popular character will make it attractive to general readers. Mr. Hershon is a very competent scholar. . . . Contains samples of the good, bad, and indifferent, and especially extracts that throw light upon the Scriptures."—
British Quarterly Review.

"Will convay to English readers a more complete and truthful notion of the Talmud than any other work that has yet appeared."—Daily News.

"Without overlooking in the slightest the asveral attractions of the previous volumes of the 'Oriental Series,' we have no heatation in saying that this surpasses them all in interest."—Rdinburgh Daily Review.

"Mr. Hershon has . . . thus given English readers what is, we believe, a fair eat of apacimens which they can test for themselves."—The Record.

"This book is by far the hest fitted in the present state of knowledge to enable the general reader to gain a fair and unhissed conception of the multifarious contents of the wonderful miscallany which can only be truly understood—so Jewish pride asserts—by the life-long devotion of acholars of the Chosen People."—Inquirer.

"The value and importance of this volume consist in the fact that scarcely a single extract is given in its pages but throws some light, direct or refracted, up a single extract is given in its pages but throws some light, direct or refracted, upon those Scriptures which are the common heritage of Jaw and Christian alike,"—John Bull.

"It is a capital specimen of Hebrew scholarship; a monument of learned, loving, light-giving labour."—Jewish Herald.

Post 8vo, pp. xii.—228, cloth, price 7s. 6d.

THE CLASSICAL POETRY OF THE JAPANESE.

BY BASIL HALL CHAMBERLAIN. Author of "Yeigo Heñkaku Shirañ."

"A very curioue volume. The author has manifestly devoted much lahour to the task of studying the postical literature of the Japanese, and rendering characteristic specimens into English verse."—Daily News.

"Mr. Chamberlain'e volume is, eo far as we ere aware, the first attempt which has been made to interpret the literature of the Japanese to the Western world. It is to the classical poetry of Old Japan that we must turn for indigenous Japanese thought, and in the volume before us we have a celection from that poetry rendered into graceful English verse."—Tablet.

gracent English veree."—Tablet.
"It is undoubtedly one of the best translations of lyric literature which has appeared during the close of the last year."—Celestial Empire.
"Mr. Chamberlain set himself a difficult task when he undertook to reproduce Japanese poetry in an English form. But he has evidently laboured con amore, and his efforts are successful to a degree."—London and China Express.

Post 8vo, pp. xii.-164, cloth, price 10s. 6d.

THE HISTORY OF ESARHADDON (Son of Sennacherib). KING OF ASSYRIA, B.C. 681-668.

Translated from the Cuneiform Inscriptions upon Cylinders and Tablets in the British Museum Collection; together with a Grammatical Analysis of each Word, Explanations of the Ideographs by Extracts from the Bi-Lingual Syllabaries, and List of Eponyms, &c.

By ERNEST A. BUDGE, B.A., M.R.A.S.,

Assyrian Exhibitioner, Christ's College, Cambridge.

"Students of scriptural archeology will also appreciate the 'History of Esar-

haddon.' "-Times.

haddon."—Times.

"There is much to attract the scholar in this volume. It does not pretend to popularise studies which are yet in their infancy. Its primary object is to translate, but it does not assume to be more than tentative, and it offers hoth to the professed Assyriologist and to the ordinary non-Assyriological Semitic echolar the means of controlling its results."—Academy.

"The Dadge's both its of course mainly addressed to the professed."

"Mr. Budge's book is, of course, mainly addressed to Assyrian echolars and students. They are not, it is to be feered, a very numerous class. But the more thanks are due to him on that account for the way in which he has acquitted himself

in his laborious task."-Tablet.

Post 8vo, pp. 448, cloth, price 21s.

THE MESNEVI

(Usually known as THE MESNEVIYI SHERIF, or HOLY MESNEVI)

MEVLANA (OUR LORD) JELALU 'D-DIN MUHAMMED ER-RUMI. Book the First.

Together with some Account of the Life and Acts of the Author, of his Ancestors, and of his Descendants.

Illustrated by a Selection of Characteristic Anecdotes, as Collected by their Historian.

MEVLANA SHEMSU-'D-DIN AHMED, EL EFLAKI, EL 'ARIFI.

Translated, and the Poetry Versified, in English.

BY JAMES W. REDHOUSE, M.R.A.S., &c.

"A complete treasury of occult Oriental lore."—Saturday Review.
"This book will be a very valuable help to the reader ignorant of Persia, who is desirous of obtaining an insight into a very important department of the literature extant in that language."—Tablet.

Post 8vo, pp. xvi. - 280, cloth, price 6s.

EASTERN PROVERBS AND EMBLEMS

ILLUSTRATING OLD TRUTHS.

By REV. J. LONG.

Member of the Bengal Asiatic Society, F.R.G.S.

"We regard the book as valuable, and wish for it a wide circulation and attentive reading."-Record.

"Altogether, it is quite a feast of good thinge,"—Globe.
"It is full of interesting matter."—Antiquary.

Post 8vo, pp. viii.-270, cloth, price 7s. 6d.

INDIAN POETRY:

Containing a New Edition of the "Indian Song of Songs," from the Sanscrit of the "Gita Govinda" of Jayadeva; Two Books from "The Iliad of India" (Mahabharata), "Proverbial Wisdom" from the Shlokas of the Hitopadesa, and other Oriental Poems.

By EDWIN ARNOLD, C.S.I., Author of "The Light of Asia."

"In this new volume of Messrs. Trübner's Oriental Series, Mr. Edwin Arnold does nt nis new volume of Messis. Tripher's oriental certes, Mr. Edwin Arhold does good service by illustrating, through the medium of his musical English melodies, the power of Indian poetry to stir European emotions. The 'Indian Song of Songs' is not unknown to scholars. Mr. Arnold will have introduced it among popular English poems. Nothing could be more graceful and delicate than the shades by which Krishna is portrayed in the gradual process of being weaned by the love of

Beautiful Radha, jasmine-bosomed Radha,

from the allurements of the forest nymphs, in whom the five senses are typified."-

"No other English poet has ever thrown his genius and his art so thoroughly into

"No other English poet has ever thrown his genius and his art so thoroughly into the work of tracelating Eastern ideas as Mr. Arnold has done in his splendid paraphrases of language contained in these mighty epics."—Daily Telegraph.

"The poem abounds with imagery of Eastern luxurioneness and sensuousness; the sir seems laden with the spicy odours of the tropics, and the verse has a richness and a melody sufficient to captivate the senses of the dullest."—Standard.

"The translator, while producing a very enjoyable poem, has adhered with tolerable fidelity to the original text."—Overland Mail.

"We certainly wish Mr. Arnold success in his attempt 'to popularise Indian classics,' that being, as his preface tells us, the goal towards which he bends his efforts."—Allen's Indian Mail.

Post 8vo, pp. xvi.—296, cloth, price 10s. 6d.

THE MIND OF MENCIUS;

OR, POLITICAL ECONOMY FOUNDED UPON MORAL PHILOSOPHY.

A Systematic Digest of the Doctrines of the Chinese Philosopher MENOIUS.

> Translated from the Original Text and Classified, with Comments and Explanations,

By the REV. ERNST FABER, Rhenish Mission Society. Translated from the German, with Additional Notes,

By the REV. A. B. HUTCHINSON, C.M.S., Church Mission, Hong Kong.

"Mr. Faher is already well known in the field of Chinese studies by his digest of the doctrines of Confucius. The value of this work will be perceived when it is remembered that at no time since relations commenced between China and the West has the former been so powerful—we had almost said aggreesive—as now. For those who will give it careful study, Mr. Faber's work is one of the most valuable of the excellent series to which it belongs,"—Nature.

Post 8vo, pp. 336, cloth, price 16s.

THE RELIGIONS OF INDIA.

By A. BARTH. ·

Translated from the French with the authority and assistance of the Author.

The author has, at the request of the publishers, considerably enlarged the work for the translator, and has added the literature of the subject to date; the translation may, therefore, be looked upon as an equivalent of a new and improved edition of the original.

"Is not only a veluable manual of the religions of India, which marks a distinct "Is not only a valuable manual of the religions of India, which marks a distinct step in the treatment of the subject, but slos a useful work of reference."—Academsy. "This volume is a reproduction, with correctione and additions, of an article contributed by the learned author two years ago to the 'Encyclopedia des Sciences Religieuses.' It attracted much notice when it first appeared, and is generally admitted to present the best summary extant of the vast subject with which it

deale."- Tablet. "This is not only on the whole the hest but the only manual of the religions of "Ins is not only on the whole the hest but the only manual of the religions of Indis, apart from Buddhism, which we have in English. The present work... shows not only great knowledge of the facts and power of clear exposition, but also great insight into the inner history and the deeper meaning of the great religion, for it is in reality only one, which it proposes to describe,"—Modern Review.

"The merit of the work has been emphatically recognised by the most authoritative Outputs both in this country and on the continent of Europe But mobable.

Orientalists, both in this country and on the continent of Europe, But probably there are few Indianists (if we may use the word) who would not derive a good deal of information from it, and especially from the extensive hibliography provided in the notes."-Dublin Review.

"Such a sketch M. Barth has drawn with a master-hand."—Critic (New York).

Post 8vo, pp. viii.-r52, cloth, price 6s.

HINDU PHILOSOPHY.

THE SĀNKHYA KĀRIKA OF IS'WARA KRISHNA.

An Exposition of the System of Kapila, with an Appendix on the Nyāya and Vais'eshika Systems.

By JOHN DAVIES, M.A. (Cantab.), M.R.A.S.

The system of Kapila contains nearly all that India has produced in the department of pure philosophy.

"The non-Orientalist... finds in Mr. Davies a patient and learned guide who leade him into the intricacies of the philosophy of India, and supplies him with a clue, that he may not be lost in them. In the preface he states that the system of Kapila is the 'earliest attempt on record to give an answer, from reason alone, to the mysterious questions which arise in every thoughtful mind about the origin of the world, the nature and relations of man and his future destiny,' and in his learned and able notes be exhibits 'the connection of the Sankhya system with the philosophy of Spinoza,' and 'the connection of the system of Kapila with that of Schopenhauer and Von Hartmann.'"—Foreign Church Chronicle.

"Mr. Davies' volume on Hindu Philosophy is an undoubted gain to all students of the development of thought. The system of Kapila, which is here given in a translation from the Sänkhya Karikā, is the only contribution of India to pure philosophy... Presents many points of deep interest to the student of comparative philo-

Presents many points of deep interest to the student of comparative philosophy, and without Mr. Davies's lucid interpretation it would be difficult to appreciate these points in any adequate manner."—Saturday Review.

"We welcome Mr. Davies's book as a valuable addition to our philosophical lihrary."-Notes and Queries.

Post 8vo, pp. x.-r30, cloth, price 6s.

A MANUAL OF HINDU PANTHEISM. VEDÂNTASÂRA.

Translated, with copious Annotations, by Major G. A. JACOB, Bombay Staff Corps; Inspector of Army Schools.

The design of this little work is to provide for missionaries, and for others who, like them, have little leisure for original research, an accurate summary of the doctrines of the Vedânta.

"There can be no question that the religious doctrines most widely held by the "There can be no question that the religious doctrines most widely held by the people of India are mainly Pantheistic. And of Hindu Pantheism, at all events in its most modern phases, its Vedântasâra presents the best summary. But then this work is a mere summary: a skeleton, the dry bones of which require to be clothed with skin sud bones, and to be animated by vital hreath before the ordinary reader will discern in it a living reality. Major Jacob, therefore, has wisely added to his translation of the Vedântasâra copious notes from the writings of well-known Orleutal scholars, in which he has, we think, clucidated all that required elucidation. So that the work, as here presented to us, presents no difficulties which a very moderate amount of application will not overcome."—Tablet.

"The modest title of Major Jacob's work conveys but an inadequate idea of the

"The modest title of Major Jacob's work conveys but an inadequate idea of the vast amount of research embodied in his notes to the text of the Vedantssara. So copious, indeed, are these, and so much collateral matter do they bring to bear on the subject, that the diligent student will rise from their perusal with a fairly adequate view of Hindu philosophy generally. His work . . . is one of the best of its kind that we have seen."—Calcutta Review.

Post 8vo, pp. xii.—154, cloth, price 7s. 6d.

TSUNI--- | | GOAM :

THE SUPREME BEING OF THE KHOI-KHOI. BY THEOPHILUS HAHN, Ph.D.,

Custodian of the Grey Collection, Cape Town; Corresponding Member of the Geogr. Society, Dresden; Corresponding Member of the Anthropological Society, Vienna, &c., &c.

"The first instalment of Dr. Hahn's labours will be of interest, not at the Cape only, but in every University of Europe. It is, in fact, a most valuable contribution to the comparative study of religion and mythology. Accounts of their religion and mythology were scattered about in various books; these have been carefully collected by Dr. Hahn and printed in hie second chapter, enriched and improved by what he has been able to collect himself."—Prof. Max Müller in the Nineteenth

"Dr. Hahn's book is that of a man who is both a philologist and believer in philological methods, and a close student of savage manners and customs."-Saturday Review.
"It is full of good things."—St. James's Gazette.

In Four Volumes. Post 8vo, Vol. I., pp. xii. -392, cloth, price 12s. 6d., and Vol. II., pp. vi.-408, cloth, price 128. 6d.

A COMPREHENSIVE COMMENTARY TO THE QURAN.

To which is prefixed Sale's Preliminary Discourse, with ADDITIONAL NOTES AND EMENDATIONS.

Together with a Complete Index to the Text, Preliminary Discourse, and Notes.

By Rev. E. M. WHERRY, M.A., Lodiana.

"As Mr. Wherry's book is intended for missionaries in India, it is no doubt well that they should be prepared to meet, if they can, the ordinary arguments and interpretations, and for this purpose Mr. Wherry's additions will prove useful."—Saturday Review.

Post 8vo, pp. vi.-208, cloth, price 8s, 6d.

THE BHAGAVAD-GÎTÂ.

Translated, with Introduction and Notes

By JOHN DAVIES, M.A. (Cantab.)

"Let us add that his translation of the Bhagavad Gîtâ is, as we judge, the best that has as yet appeared in English, and that his Philological Notes are of quite peculiar value."—Dublin Review.

Post 8vo, pp. 96, cloth, price 5s.

THE QUATRAINS OF OMAR KHAYYAM.

Translated by E. H. WHINFIELD, M.A. Barrister-at-Law, late H.M. Bengal Civil Service.

Omar Khayyam (the tent-maker) was born about the middle of the fifth century of the Hejirah, corresponding to the eleventh of the Christian era, in the neighbourhood of Naishapur, the capital of Khorasan, and died in 517 A.H. (=1122 A.D.)

"Mr. Whinfield has executed a difficult task with considerable success, and his version contains much that will he new to those who only know Mr. Fitzgerald's

delightful selection."—Academy.

"There are several editions of the Quatrains, varying greatly in their readings.

Mr. Whinfield has used three of these for his excellent translation. The most prominent features in the Quatrains are their profound agnosticism, combined with a fatalism based more on philosophic than religious grounds, their Epicureanism and the spirit of universal tolerance and charity which animates them."—Calcutta Review.

Post 8vo, pp. xxiv.—268, cloth, price 9s.

THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE UPANISHADS AND ANCIENT INDIAN METAPHYSICS.

As exhibited in a series of Articles contributed to the Calcutta Review.

By ARCHIBALD EDWARD GOUGH, M.A., Lincoln College, Oxford: Principal of the Calcutta Madrasa.

"For practical purposes this is perhaps the most important of the works that have thus far appeared in 'Trübner's Oriental Series.' . . . We cannot doubt that for all who may take it up the work must be one of profound interest."—Saturday Review

In Two Volumes. Vol. I., post 8vo, pp. xxiv.—230, cloth, price 7s. 6d.

A COMPARATIVE HISTORY OF THE EGYPTIAN AND MESOPOTAMIAN RELIGIONS.

By Dr. C. P. TIELE.

Vol. I.—HISTORY OF THE EGYPTIAN RELIGION.

Translated from the Dutch with the Assistance of the Author.

By JAMES BALLINGAL.

"It places in the hands of the English readers a history of Egyptian Religion which is very complete, which is based on the best materials, and which has been information, as well as independent investigation, for the trustworthiness of which Dr. Tiele's name is in itself a guarantee; and the description of the successive religion under the Old Kingdom, the Middle Kingdom, at the New Kingdom, ie given in a manner which is scholarly and minute."—Scotsman.

Post 8vo, pp. xii.-302, oloth, price 8s. 6d.

YUSUF AND ZULAIKHA.

A POEM BY JAMI.

Translated from the Persian into English Verse.

By RALPH T. H. GRIFFITH.

"Mr. Griffith, who has done already good service as translator into verse from the Sanskrit, has done further good work in this translation from the Persian, and he has evidently shown not a little skill in his rendering the quaint and very oriental style of his author into our more pressic, less figurative, language. . . . The work, besides its intrinsic merita, is of importance as being one of the most popular and famous poems of Persia, and that which is read in all the independent native schools of India where Persian is taught. It is interesting, also, as a striking instance of the manner in which the stories of the Jaws have been transformed and added to by tradition among the Mahometane, who look upon Joseph as 'the ideal of manly beauty and more than manly virtue;' and, indeed, in this peem he seems to be endowed with almost divine, or at any rate angelic, gifts and excellence."—Sootsman.

Post 8vo, pp. viii.—266, cloth, price 9s.

LINGUISTIC ESSAYS.

BY CARL ABEL.

CONTENTS.

Language as the Expression of National Modes of Thought.
The Conception of Love in some Ancient and Modern Languages.
The English Verbs of Command.
Semariology.
Philological Methods.

The Connection between Dictionary and Grammar.
The Possibility of a Common Literary Language for all Slavs,
The Order and Position of Words in the Latin Sentence.
The Coptic Language,

The Origin of Language.

"All these essays of Dr. Abal's are so thoughtful, so full of happy illustrations, and so admirably put together, that we hardly know to which we should specially turn to select for our readers a sample of his workmanship."—Tablet.

"An entirely novel method of dealing with philosophical questions and impart a real human interest to the otherwise dry technicalities of the science."—Standard.

"Dr. Abel is an opponent from whom it is pleasant to differ, for he writes with enthusiasm and temper, and his mastery over the English language fits him to be a champion of unpopular doctrines."—Athenœum.

"Dr. Abel writes very good English, and much of his hook will prove entertaining to the general reader. It may give some useful hints, and suggest some subjects for profitable investigation, even to philologists."—Nation (New York).

Post 8vo, pp. ix.—281, cloth, price 10s. 6d.

THE SARVA - DARSANA - SAMGRAHA;

OR, REVIEW OF THE DIFFERENT SYSTEMS OF HINDU PHILOSOPHY.

By MADHAVA ACHARYA.

Translated by E. B. COWELL, M. A., Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Cambridge, and A. E. GOUGH, M.A., Professor of Philosophy in the Presidency College, Caloutta.

This work is an interesting specimen of Hindu critical ability. The author successively passes in review the sixteen philosophical systems current in the fourteenth century in the South of India; and he gives what appears to him to be their most important tenets.

"The translation is trustworthy throughout. A protracted sojourn in India, where there is a living tradition, has familiarised the translators with Indian thought."—Athenaum.

Post 8vo, pp. xxxii.—336, cloth, price ros. 6d.

THE QUATRAINS OF OMAR KHAYYAM.

The Persian Text, with an English Verse Translation.

By E. H. WHINFIELD, late of the Bengal Civil Service.

Post 8vo, pp. lxv.—368, cloth, price 14s.

TIBETAN TALES DERIVED FROM INDIAN SOURCES.

Translated from the Tibetan of the KAH-GYUR.

By F. ANTON VON SCHIEFNER.

Done into English from the German, with an Introduction,

BY W. R. S. RALSTON, M.A.

"Mr. Ralston adds an introduction, which even the most persevering children of
Mother Goese will probably find infinitely the most interesting portion of the work."

—Saturday Review.

"Mr. Ralston, whose name is so familiar to all lovers of Russian folk-lore, has supplied some interesting Western analogies and parallels, drawn, for the most part, from Slavonic sources, to the Eastern folk-tales, culled from the Kahgyur, one of the divisions of the Tibetan sacred books."—Academy.

"The translation . . . could scarcely have fallen into hetter hands. An Introduction . . . gives the leading facts in the lives of those scholars who have given their attention to gaining a knowledge of the Tibetan literature and language."—Calcutta Review.

"Ought to interest all who care for the East, for amusing stories, or for comparative folk-lors. Mr. Ralston... is an expert in story-telling, and in knowledge of the comparative history of popular tales he has few rivals in England."—Pall Mall Gazette.

Post 8vo, pp. xvi.—224, cloth, price 9s.

UDÂNAVARGA.

A COLLECTION OF VERSES FROM THE BUDDHIST CANON.

Compiled by DHARMATRÂTA.

BEING THE NORTHERN BUDDHIST VERSION OF DHAMMAPADA.

Translated from the Tibetan of Bkah-hgyur, with Notes, and Extracts from the Commentary of Pradjnavarman,

By W. WOODVILLE ROCKHILL.

"Mr. Rockhill's present work is the first from which assistance will be gained for a more accurate understanding of the Pali text; it is, in fact, as yet the only term of comparison available to us. The 'Udanavarga,' the Thihetan version, was originally discovered by the late M. Schiefner, who published the Tibetan text, and had intended adding a translation, an intention frustrated by his death, but which bas been carried out by Mr. Rockhill. ... Mr. Rockhill nay be congratulated for having well accomplished a difficult task."—Saturday Review.

"There is no need to look far into this book to be assured of its value."—Athenœum.
"The Tibatan verses in Mr. Woodville Rockhill's tracelation have all the simple directness and force which belong to the sayings of Gautama, when they have not been adorned and epoiled by enthusiastic disciples and commentators."—St. James's Gazette.

In Two Volumes, post 8vo, pp. xxiv.—566, cloth, accompanied by a Language Map, price 258.

A SKETCH OF THE MODERN LANGUAGES OF AFRICA. By ROBERT NEEDHAM CUST.

Barrister-at-Law, and late of Her Majesty's Indian Civil Service.

Post 8vo, pp. xii.-3r2, with Maps and Plan, cloth, price r4s.

A HISTORY OF BURMA.

Including Burma Proper, Pegu, Taungu, Tenssserim, and Arakan. From the Esrliest Time to the End of the First War with British India.

By LIEUT.-GEN. SIR ARTHUR P. PHAYRE, G.C.M.G., K.C.S.I., and C.B., Membre Correspondant de la Société Académique Indo-Chinoise de France.

"Sir Arthur Phayre's contribution to Trübner's Oriental Series supplies a recognised want, and its appearance has been looked forward to for many years.... General Phayre deserves great credit for the patience and industry which has resulted in this History of Burma."—Startunday Review.

"A laborious work, carefully performed, which supplies a blank in the long list of

historias of countries, and records the annals, unknown to literature, of a nation which is likely to be more prominent in the commerce of the future."—Scotsman.

Third Edition. Post 8vo, pp. 276, cloth, price 7s. 6d.

RELIGION IN CHINA.

By JOSEPH EDKINS, D.D., PEKING,

Containing a Brief Account of the Three Religions of the Chinese, with Observations on the Prospects of Christian Conversion amongst that People.

" Dr. Edkina has been most caraful in noting the varied and often complex phases

"Dr. Edkine has been most caraful in noting the varied and often complex phases of opinion, so as to give an account of considerable value of the subject."—Scotman. "As a missionary, it has been part of Dr. Edkine' duty to study the existing religions in China, and his long residence in the country has anabled him to acquire an intimata knowledge of them as they at present exist."—Saturday Review.

"Dr. Edkine' valuable work, of which this is a second and revised edition, has, from the time that it was published, been the standard authority upon the subject of which it treats."—Nonconformist.

"Dr. Edkine . . . may now be fairly regarded as among the first suthorities on Chinese religion and language."—Rritish Quarterly Review.

Third Edition. Post 8vo, pp. xv.-250, cloth, price 7s. 6d.

OUTLINES OF THE HISTORY OF RELIGION TO THE SPREAD OF THE UNIVERSAL RELIGIONS.

By C. P. TIELE.

Doctor of Theology, Professor of the History of Religions in the University of Leyden.

Translated from the Dutch by J. ESTLIN CARPENTER, M.A.

"Few books of its size contain the result of so much wide thinking, able and labo-"Few hooks of its size contain the result of so much wide thinking, able and laborious study, or enable the reader to gain a better bird's eye view of the latest results of investigations into the religious history of nations. As Professor Tiele modestly says, 'In this little book are outlines—pencil sketches, I might say—nothing more.' But there are some men whose skatches from a thumb-nail are of far more worth than an enormous canvas covared with the crude psinting of others, and it is easy to see that these pages, full of information, these sentences, cut and perhaps also dry, short and clear, condense the fruits of long and thorough research."—Scotsman.

Post 8vo, pp. x.-274, cloth, price 9s.

THE LIFE OF THE BUDDHA AND THE EARLY HISTORY OF HIS ORDER.

Derived from Tibetan Works in the Bkah-hgyur and Bstan-hgyur. Followed by notices on the Early History of Tibet and Khoten. Translated by W. W. ROCKHILL, Second Secretary U.S. Legation in China. In Two Volumes, post 8vo, pp. cviii.-242, and viii.-370, cloth, price 24s. Dedicated by permission to H.R.H. the Prince of Wales.

BUDDHIST RECORDS OF THE WESTERN WORLD,

Translated from the Chinese of Hiuen Tsiang (A.D. 629).
By SAMUEL BEAL, B.A.,

(Trin. Coll., Camb.); R. N. (Retired Chaplain and N.I.); Professor of Chinese, University College, London; Rector of Wark, Northumberland, &c.

An eminent Indian authority writes respecting this work:—"Nothing more can be done in elucidating the History of India until Mr. Beal's translation of the 'Si-yu-ki' appears."

"It is a strange freak of historical preservation that the best account of the condition of India at that ancient period has come down to us in the booke of travel written by the Chinese pilgrims, of whom Hwen Theang is the hest known."—Times.

Third Edition. Post 8vo, pp. viii.-464, cloth, price 16s.

THE SANKHYA APHORISMS OF KAPILA,

With Illustrative Extracts from the Commentaries.

Translated by J. R. BALLANTYNE, LL.D., late Principal of the Benares
College.

Edited by FITZEDWARD HALL.

Post 8vo, pp. xlviii.-398, cloth, price ().

THE ORDINANCES OF MANU.

Translated from the Sanskrit, with an Introduction. By the late A. C. BURNELL, Ph.D., C.I.E. Completed and Edited by E. W. HOPKINS, Ph.D., of Columbia College, N.Y.

THE FOLLOWING WORKS ARE IN PREPARATION:—
Post 8vo.

UPASAKADASÂSÛTRA.

A Jain Story Book.

Translated from the Sanskrit.

By A. F. RUDOLF HOERNLE.

Post 8vo.

THE NÎTI LITERATURE OF BURMA.

By JAMES GRAY, Of the Government High School, Rangoon.

LONDON: TRÜBNER & CO., 57 AND 59 LUDGATE HILL. 750-21/11/84-J.

TRÜBNER'S ORIENTAL SERIES.

Ballantyne Press
Ballantyne, hanson and co.
Edinburgh and london

A MANUAL

OF

HINDU PANTHEISM.

THE VEDÂNTASÂRA,

TRANSLATED WITH COPIOUS ANNOTATIONS

BY

MAJOR G. A. JACOB,

BOMBAY STAFF CORPS; INSPECTOR OF ARMY SCHOOLS.

LONDON:
TRÜBNER & CO., LUDGATE HILL.
1881.

[All rights reserved.]

PREFACE.

THE design of this little work is to provide for mission-aries, and for others who, like them, have little leisure for original research, an accurate summary of the doctrines of the Vedânta. If the people of India can be said to have now any system of religion at all, apart from mere caste observances, it is to be found in the Vedânta philosophy, the leading tenets of which are known to some extent in every village. The subject is therefore one of great importance, and the Vedântasâra is generally acknowledged to be the most satisfactory summary of the modern phases of it.

In the notes, I have endeavoured to furnish a full explanation of every difficulty, and of each point needing elucidation, and in so doing have drawn largely from the writings of well-known Oriental scholars. The text of the Vedântasâra which I have used is that published in Calcutta in 1875 by Pandit Jîvânanda Vidyâsâgara, with the Commentary of Nrisimhasarasvati.

The following is a list of the works and editions referred to in the translation and notes. I am deeply indebted to Dr. Banerjea's *Dialogues on the Hindu Philosophy*, and to

- Dr. Fitzedward Hall's Rational Refutation of the Hindu Philosophical Systems. These two are, in my judgment, the most valuable works of their kind in the English language.
 - Dialogues on the Hindu Philosophy. By Rev. K. M. Banerjea. Williams & Norgate, 1861.
 - A Rational Refutation of the Hindu Philosophical Systems. By Nehemiah Nilakantha Śâstri Gore. Translated by Fitzedward Hall, D.C.L. Calcutta, 1862.
 - Miscellaneous Essays. By H. T. Colebrooke. New edition, with Notes by Professor Cowell. Trübner & Co., 1873.
 - The Philosophy of the Upanishads. By Professor A. E. Gough. Calcutta Review for 1878.
 - Original Sanskrit Texts. By J. Muir, D.C.L., LL.D., vols. iii.-v.
 - Sacred Books of the East. Edited by F. Max Müller, vol. i., Upanishads. Clarendon Press, 1879.
 - Professor H. H. Wilson's Works, viz. :-
 - (a) Essays on the Religion of the Hindus, 2 vols. Edited by Dr. R. Rost. Trübner & Co., 1862.
 - (b) Essays on Sanskrit Literature, 3 vols. Edited by Dr. Rost. Trübner & Co., 1864-65.
 - (c) Vishnu Purâna, 6 vols. Edited by Dr. Fitzedward Hall. Trübner & Co., 1864-77.
 - The History of Indian Literature. By Professor Weber, translated from the second German edition. Trübner & Co., 1878.
 - The Indian Antiquary, vols. i., ii., and iv. Bombay, 1872, 1873, 1875.

 Anti-Theistic Theories, the Baird Lecture for 1877. By R. Flint, D.D. Blackwood & Sons, 1879.
 - Works by Rev. R. Spence Hardy. Published by Williams & Norgate.
 - (a) Eastern Monachism. 1860.
 - (b) Legends and Theories of the Buddhists. 1866.
 - (c) Manual of Buddhism, 2d edition. 1880.
 - Elphinstone's *History of India*, 6th edition. By E. B. Cowell. J. Murray, 1874.
 - Works by Dr. Ballantyne.
 - (a) The Sünkhya Aphorisms of Kapila, translated. Bibliotheca Indica Series. Calcutta, 1865.
 - (b) The Aphorisms of the Yoga Philosophy, Book I., translated. Allahabad, 1852.
 - (c) The Aphorisms of the Nyûya Philosophy, translated. Allahabad, 1850.
 - d) A Lecture on the Vedânta. Allahabad, 1850.

- The Aphorisms of S'andilya. Translated by Professor Cowell. Bibliotheca Indica Series. Calcutta, 1878.
- The History of Philosophy. By G. H. Lewes, 2 vols., 4th edition. Longmans & Co., 1871.
- Panchadaśi. By Bhâratîtîrthavidyâranya. Bombay, 1879.
- Upadeśasahasrî. By Śankarāchârya. Published in "The Pandit." Benares, 1868-69.
- Adhyâtma-Râmâyana. Calcutta, 1872.
- Aitareya Brahmana. Edited and translated by Dr. Haug, 2 vols.
- Sánkhyapravachanabháshya. Edited by Pandit Jibânanda Vidyâsâgara Calcutta.
- Kůvya Prakůša. Edited by Pandit Maheša Chandra Nyâyaratna. Calcutta, 1866.
- Hastâmalaka. Bound up with Jibânanda's edition of Vedântasâra.
- Vákyasudhá. By Śankarâchârya. Edited by Windischmann in 1833 under the erroneous title of Bálabodhiní. (Vide Hall's "Contribution towards an Index to the Bibliography of Indian Philosophical Systems.")
- Natshkarmyasiddhi. By Sureśwaracharya. MSS. No. 1103 and 777 in India Office Library.
- Pâtanjaladarŝana. Edited by Jibânanda Vidyâsâgara. Calcutta, 1874.
 Sânkhyasâra. Edited by Fitzedward Hall. Calcutta, 1862. (Bibliotheca Indica Series.)

UPANISHADS.

- Kaushttakt and Maitri. Edited and translated by Professor Cowell. 1861 and 1870.
- Kena, Katha Mundaka, Mûndukya, Chhândogya, Taitiriya, Aitareya, S'vetâśvatara, and Brihadâranyaka. Edited by Jibânanda Vidyâsâgara at Calcutta. They are facsimiles of those brought out in the Bibliotheca Indica Series.
- Muktika. Edited by Jibananda Vidyasagara.

G. A. J.

TEIGNMOUTH, August 1881.

CONTENTS.

						PAGE
INTRODUCTORY STANZA	١.	•	•			I
NOTES ON INTRODUCTO	RY	STANZA				2
SECTION I			•			11
NOTES ON SECTION I.						12
SECTION II						16
notes on section 11.						22
SECTION III						41
NOTES ON SECTION III.		•				42
SECTION IV			•			48
NOTES ON SECTION IV.		•				54
SECTION V			•			57
NOTE ON SECTION V.		•				68
SECTION VI.				•		69
NOTES ON SECTION VI.						74
SECTION VII						77
NOTE ON SECTION VII.						79
SECTION VIII						80
NOTE ON SECTION VIII.			•	,	,	82
SECTION IX						83
NOTE ON SECTION IX.				`.		86

x	CONTENTS.

SECTION X							89
NOTE ON SECTION					•		94
SECTION XI.		•	•	•			95
NOTE ON SECTION							99
SECTION XII.							100
NOTES ON SECTION	N XIL	•					106
SECTION XIII.	,			•		•	109
NOTES ON SECTION	n xiii			•	•		113
SECTION XIV.				•			115
NOTES ON SECTIO	N XIV.					•	119
INDEX .							125

VEDÂNTASÂRA.

INTRODUCTORY STANZA.

To the Self, existent, intelligence, bliss, impartite, beyond the range of speech and thought, the substrate of all, I resort for the attainment of the desired thing.¹

¹ Emancipation.

NOTES ON INTRODUCTORY STANZA.

"All philosophy strives after unity. It is its aim, its task, to reduce complexity to simplicity, the many to the one."1 The Upanishads tell us that this was the aim of Indian philosophers, and they not always Brahmans, in very early times. In the Mundaka, for example, it is related that the illustrious son of Sunaka approached the sage Angiras with due ceremony, and inquired of him what that was which, being known, all things would be known. He was told in reply that the wise regard "the invisible, intangible, unrelated, colourless one, who has neither eyes nor ears, neither hands nor feet, eternal, all-pervading, subtile and undecaying, as the source of all things." This is, of course, Brahma,2 the so-called Absolute of the Vedânta, the Self of the verse before us; and the system then evolved from the inner consciousness of those early thinkers, but modified it would seem by Śankarâchârya. and so stereotyped by his successors, continues to the present day; and not only so, but whilst the other five schools have well-nigh ceased to exert any appreciable influence, this "has overspread the whole land, overgrown the whole Hindu mind and life." 3

¹ Anti-Theistic Theories, p. 410.

² This word is neuter, and must not be confounded with the masculine Brahma, a member of the Hindu triad. It is derived from the root Brih, 'to grow or increase,' and "perhaps its earliest signification was the expansive force of nature, regarded as a spiritual power, the power manifested most fully in vegetable, animal, and human life, but everywhere present, though unseen."—Calcutta Review, vol. lxvi. p. 14.

³ Anti-Theistic Theories, p. 341.

In this opening verse Brahma is described as

I. Existent (sat).

The Vedânta postulates three kinds of existence, which it terms true (påramårthika), practical (vyåvahårika), and apparent (prâtibhâsika). Brahma is the sole representative of the first. The second includes Îśwara, individual souls, heaven, hell, and all phenomena. These are said to be imagined by ignorance, and to have no more true existence than things seen in a dream; but men have practical dealings with them as if they truly existed, so they are admitted to exist practically or conventionally. The third class comprises such things as a mirage, nacre mistaken for silver, or a snake imagined in a rope, which are the result of some defect, such as short-sight, &c., in addition to ignorance. Yet it is believed that "when a man on seeing nacre, takes it for silver, apparent silver is really produced!" All these then are, from certain standpoints, real existences; but, to him who has true knowledge, the first alone is real.1 This theory of existences is intended to explain away the finite and establish the infinite: but it cannot be admitted to have been successful. The existence of an invisible Being, who is entirely out of relation to the world, and devoid of apprehension, will, activity, and all other qualities, cannot possibly be established.

2. Intelligence (chit or chaitanya).

This is the most common synonym of Brahma, but he is also spoken of—as, for example, in the *Taittirîya Upanishad* (p. 56)—as 'cognition' or 'knowledge' (*jnâna*). It must, however, be clearly understood that he is not a cognizer or intelligent. In commenting on the passage of the Upanishad just referred to, Śankarâchârya says:—

¹ Rational Refutation, sec. iii. chap. i.

"Knowledge is here an abstract, indicating cognition, not the cognitive subject, being predicated of the ultimate along with truth and infinity. Truth and infinity would be incompatible with it did it imply a subject of cognition. If the pure idea were susceptible of modifications, how could it be pure and infinite? That is infinite which cannot be demarcated in any direction. If it were a knowing subject, it would be limited by its objects and its cognitions. . . . The knowledge of the absolute spirit, like the light of the sun, or like the heat in fire, is nought else than the absolute essence itself." 1

In the Mândukya Upanishad (ver. 7), too, Brahma is said to be "neither 2 internally nor externally cognitive, neither conscious nor unconscious." This tenet is a neces-For if Brahma were conscious, there would be objects of consciousness, which would involve dualism; for "wherever there is consciousness there is relation, and wherever there is relation there is dualism."3 Hindu pantheist, therefore, allying himself with "a scepticism which denies the validity of the primary perceptions and fundamental laws of mind," 4 calmly annihilates the phenomenal, and with it his own selfconsciousness, by calling it all illusory. It must be understood that the only ground for supposing Brahma to be 'intelligence,' is, that, in the state of practical existence, cognition of an object can only be effected by means of the internal organ, and that organ is declared to be itself unintelligent and to need an illuminator. The self-luminous Brahma is that illuminator! "It is not meant, however, that Brahma, by a voluntary exercise of

¹ Calcutta Review, vol. lxvi. p. 19.

³ Anti-Theistic Theories, p. 423.

² *Ibid.*, p. 29.

⁴ Ibid., p. 419.

his power, illumines that organ, for Brahma has no such power. The idea intended is, that the internal organ, simply by reason of its proximity to Brahma, who is unconscious, becomes illuminated, just as iron moves when brought near the magnet." 'Intelligence,' therefore, means simply 'self-luminousness,' and its existence is surmised merely on the ground named above! But the internal organ 2 is a portion of the phenomenal, and therefore illusory. So too must be its illuminator. Brahma, therefore, as 'intelligence,' is not established.

3. Bliss (ânanda).

This has been characterised as "a bliss without the fruition of happiness," and rightly so. For absorption into Brahma is described as a permanent state "resembling precisely that of deep sleep,"-"a condition of insensibility,"-in which the emancipated spirit is without a body, mind, or cognition! Where is there any room in such a state for joy? "But what, in that case," says the author of the Sânkhya-pravachana-bhâshya, "becomes of the scripture which lays down that soul is happiness? The answer is: 'Because of there being cessation of misery, only in a loose acceptation does the term happiness denote soul.' . . . To move ambition in the dull or ignorant, the emancipated state, which really is stoppage of misery, Soul itself, is lauded to them by the Veda as happiness." 3 Brahma, then, as joy, is wholly a product of the imagination.

4. Impartite (akhanda).

According to the commentator Nrisimhasarasvati, this

¹ Rational Refutation, pp. 214-216.

² In Sanskrit, antahkarana. It consists of manas, buddhi, ahankara and chitta, and yet is unintelligent!

³ Rational Refutation, pp. 33, 34.

term means "devoid of anything of a like kind or of a different kind, and without internal variety." A tree, for example, has the 'internal variety' of leaves, flowers, and fruit; it has things 'of a like kind,' in other trees—and things 'of a different kind,' in stones,¹ &c. But Brahma is not so, he being absolute and unchangeable unity. It is from the standpoint of true existence that he is regarded as impartite and solitary; for, from that of practical existence, he is appropriated to countless internal organs and underlies all phenomena.

5. Substrate of all (akhilâdhâra).

He is the substrate only in the way that nacre is of apparent silver, or that a rope is of the snake imagined in it; and, like the silver and the snake, the world is but a vivartta or illusory effect. Its illusory-material cause is Brahma, and ignorance its material cause. The writers of the Upanishads, i.e., the Vedantists of the old school, were undoubtedly parinamavadins, or believers in the reality of the world of perception; and, with them, Brahma was not its substrate or illusory-material cause. but the material from which it was evolved or developed, as the web from a spider, as foam from water, or as curd from milk.2 The passage quoted above from the Mundaka Upanishad seems clearly to teach this doctrine when setting forth Brahma as the absolute unity, which being known, all things are known; and the context adds that "as a spider throws out and retracts [its web], as herbs spring up in the ground, and as hair is produced on the living person, so is the universe derived from the undecaying one" (i. 1, 7). It seems to be distinctly taught, too, in the Chhândogya Upanishad. The sixth

¹ Panchadaśi, ii. 20.

² Miscellaneous Essays, i. 375, 376.

book opens with a dialogue between a Brahman named Áruni and his son Śvetaketu, who, at twenty-four years of age, has returned home on the completion of a twelve years' course of Vedic study. Seeing him full of conceit, his father asks him whether he had sought from his teacher that instruction by which the unheard becomes heard, the unthought thought, the unknown known. On the son's confessing that he had not sought it, the father says, "My dear, as by one clod of clay all that is made of clay is known, the difference being only a name, arising from speech, but the truth being that all is clay; and as, my dear, by one nugget of gold all that is made of gold is known, the difference being only a name, arising from speech, but the truth being that all is gold; and as, my dear, by one pair of nail-scissors all that is made of iron is known, the difference being only a name, arising from speech, but the truth being that all is iron—thus, my dear, is that instruc-That is to say, Brahma being known as material cause, all things are known. The son then remarks that his teacher could not have known this doctrine, and asks his father to explain it further. The latter then goes on to say, "In the beginning, my dear, this was the existent, one only, without a second. Some say that in the beginning, this was the non-existent, one only, without a second; and from the non-existent the existent arose. But how could it be thus, my dear; how could the existent arise from the non-existent? In the beginning, my dear, this was indeed the existent, one only, without a second." Sankarâchârya says that 'this' 2 refers to 'the

¹ Sacred Books of the East, i. 92.

² Prof. Max Müller, in his translation, omits 'this' altogether, and so completely changes the sense of the passage.

universe' (jagat), and that 'in the beginning' means 'before production' (prâgutpatteh). The drift of the passage then surely is that this world, a reality, before its evolution, existed potentially in Brahma, its material cause. It, in fact, "proves the reality of the cause from the reality of the effect, and so declares the reality, not the falseness of all." In the same Upanishad (iii. 14, 1), we find the words, "All this is indeed Brahma, being produced from, resolved into, and existing in him;" and the opening words of the Aitareya Upanishad are, "In the beginning this was the self, one only;" and in both cases, as before, 'this' is said to refer to the world of perception, which is treated as a reality.

In his valuable essay on the Vedânta, Colebrooke shows, by ample quotations, that this view of the world's reality and of Brahma's material causativity was propounded by the early Vedantic teachers, including Sankarâchârya himself; and he considered the doctrine of Mâyâ, or the world's unreality, to be "a graft of a later growth," uncountenanced by the aphorisms of the Vedânta or by the gloss of Śankarâchârya. The learned editor of the new edition of Colebrooke's essays thinks this "hardly correct" as regards Sankara, but adds, "There can hardly be a question as to the fact that the original Vedânta of the earlier Upanishads and of the Satras did not recognize the doctrine of Mava. The earliest school seems to have held Brahma to be the material cause of the world in a grosser sense." As regards Śankarâchârya, the fact is that different portions of his comments on the aphorisms are mutually conflicting. For example, in one place he ridicules the idea of an infinite series of works and worlds

¹ Aphorisms of S'andilya, translated by Cowell, p. 42.

subsisting in the relation of cause and effect, and then, elsewhere, distinctly advocates it. Again, when opposing the idealism of the Buddhists, he strongly maintains the reality of objects of perception, rebutting the objections advanced against it, and supports the tenet of the material causativity of Brahma; whilst on another occasion he accepts the theory of Mdyd.¹

6. 'Beyond the range of speech or thought.'

The following are some of the Vedic texts on this point:
—"From whom words turn back, together with the mind, not reaching him" (Taittiriya, ii. 9). "The eye goes not thither, nor speech, nor mind" (Kena, i. 3). "Unthinkable, unspeakable" (Mandukya, 7).

The Vedantist creed, as held since the time of Śankarâ-chârya, i.e., during the last thousand years, may, then, be thus summed up:—"Brahma alone—a spirit; essentially existent, intelligence and joy; void of all qualities and of all acts; in whom there is no consciousness such as is denoted by 'I,' 'thou,' and 'it;' who apprehends no person or thing, nor is apprehended of any; who is neither parviscient nor omniscient; neither parvipotent nor omnipotent; who has neither beginning nor end; immutable and indefectible—is the true entity. All besides himself, the entire universe, is false, that is to say, is nothing whatsoever. Neither has it ever existed, nor does it now exist, nor will it exist at any time future." ²

It is very interesting to note the likeness between Brahma thus portrayed and the 'Being' of Parmenides, who was the contemporary of Buddha and Confucius. "Being, he argued, is absolutely one. It is not an

¹ Dialogues on Hindu Philosophy, pp. 109, 123, and chaps. vii. and viii.

² Rational Refutation, p. 176.

abstract unity, but the only reality. It is so that it alone is. Being he further affirmed, is continuous and indivisible; it is everywhere like to itself, and everywhere alike present. Were there parts in being there would be plurality, and being would not be one-that is, would not be being. There can be no differences or distinctions in being; for what is different and distinct from being must be not-being, and not-being is not. . . . Being, he likewise held, is identical with thought. It could not otherwise be absolutely one. Thought, he said, is the same thing as Thought must be being; for being exists, and non-being is nothing." "His not-being did not mean non-existence, but all that sense and ordinary thought apprehend as existence; it included earth, air, ocean, and the minds of men." 1 This 'being' is exactly the sat, chit, and akhanda of the Vedanta, whilst the idea of 'notbeing 'coincides entirely with its vyåvahårikî or pråtibhåsikî sattâ.

¹ Anti-Theistic Theories, p. 353. Cf. also Lewes' Hist, of Philosophy, i. 56.

I.

Having saluted my preceptor, who, from his having got rid of the notion of duality, is significantly named Adwayânanda, I will now propound the essence of the Vedânta, according to my conception of it.

The Vedânta doctrine is based upon the Upanishads, and is likewise supported by the Śârîraka sâtras and other works.¹

¹ Such as the Bhagavad-Gitâ, &c.

NOTES ON SECTION I.

Vedânta.

This "literally signifies 'conclusion of the Veda,' and bears reference to the Upanishads, which are, for the most part, terminating sections of the Vedas to which they belong. It implies, however, the doctrine derived from them, and extends to books of sacred authority, in which that doctrine is thence deduced; and, in this large acceptation, it is the end and scope of the Vedas." ¹

2. Śârîraka sûtras.

This is a collection of aphorisms composed by Bâdarâ-yaṇa, and forms one of the six Darśanas or Systems of Philosophy. The word śârîraka is said to be derived from the noun śarîraka, which the commentator calls a contemptuous (kutsita) form of śarîra, 'body,' and means 'embodied' (soul). Śankarâchârya's interpretation of these aphorisms and of the Upanishads, is the real authority for the tenets of the modern school.

Prior to the rise of Buddhism, dogma and ritual held undisputed sway. The followers of that heresy, however, presumed to appeal to reason, and their system was at once stigmatised as 'the science of reason' (hetuśástra), which was then synonymous with heresy. This was doubtless the first systematic departure from the Mantras and Brâhmanas; but the Brahmans were soon compelled to follow suit, and to them we owe the six so-called orthodox schools of Indian philosophy. I say 'so-called,' for the teaching of the Systems is no less a departure from

¹ Colebrooke's Essays, i. 351.

the old religion than Buddhism is; but they profess respect for the Vedas, whilst the Buddhists openly repudiate them. The following remarks by a native scholar will be of interest here:—

"In justice to the founders of our schools, we must confess that the opinions which they embodied in their systems had probably long been floating in the popular mind. The Buddhist defection had no doubt produced a spirit of scepticism from which the authors of the Sûtras were not wholly free. And they, perhaps, laboured to give such a shape to those sceptical opinions as might be consistent with the supremacy of the Brahmanical order. Two things, they thought, were necessary for the maintenance of that supremacy—the toleration of the Vedas and the substitution of metaphysical speculations for the too frequent performance of the Vedic ritual. Without the first, the foundation of Brahmanical supremacy would be cut away. Without the second, the Brahmanical mind would be doomed to a state of perpetual imbecility, familiar only with ceremonial observances, and utterly unable to meet the challenges put forth by sceptical heretics in the arena of controversy. Not that there was much essential difference in point of doctrine between the heretical and some of the orthodox schools. If Kapila could assert the non-existence of a Supreme Being, and if Kanada could attribute the primal action of eternal atoms to adrishta, I cannot see how there could be a marked difference of opinion between them and the heretics."1

The Bhagavad-Gîtâ is accounted most orthodox, but this

¹ Dialogues on Hindu Philosophy, p. 73. For further discussion of this interesting question see Wilson's Essays on the Religion of the Hindus, ii. 85-87.

is what it says of the Vedas (ii. 42-46):- "A flowery doctrine, promising the reward of works performed in this embodied state, presenting numerous ceremonies, with a view to future gratification and glory, is prescribed by unlearned men, devoted to the injunctions of the Veda, assertors of its exclusive importance, lovers of enjoyment, and seekers after paradise. The restless minds of the men who, through this flowery doctrine, have become bereft of wisdom and are ardent in the pursuit of future gratification and glory, are not applied to contemplation. The Vedas have for their objects the three qualities; but be thou, Arjuna, free from these three qualities. . . . As great as is the use of a well which is surrounded on all sides by overflowing waters, so great [and no greater] is the use of the Vedas to a Brâhman endowed with true knowledge." 1 King Asoka gave the death-blow to animal sacrifices in the third century before Christ, as various rock and pillar inscriptions bear witness; but the demolition of the rest of the fabric was effected by the orthodox philosophers, who spoke of it as "inferior science!"

3. The Upanishads.

These are short speculative treatises appended to the Vedas, and are about 235 in number.² Only thirteen of them, however, are really important or much quoted. They are the following:—Rigveda: Aitareya and Kauŝītaki. Sâmaveda: Kena and Chhândogya. White Yajurveda: Îśâ and Bṛihadâranyaka. Black Yajurveda: Katḥa, Maitrî, Taittirîya, and Śvetâśvatara. Atharvaveda: Praśna, Muṇḍaka, and Mâṇḍukya.

The word Upanishad is derived by native authors from

Muir's Sanskrit Texts, iii. 32.
 Hist. of Indian Literature, p. 155 (note).

the root shad, 'to destroy' (preceded by the prepositions upa, 'near,' and ni, 'down'), and is held to be that body of teaching which destroys illusion and reveals the Ab-Professor Max Müller, however, considers this explanation to be "wilfully perverse," and derives it from sad, 'to sit down,' "so that it would express the idea of session, or assembly of pupils sitting down near their teacher to listen to his instruction." 1 These tracts are thus described by Professor Cowell:-" The Upanishads are usually in the form of dialogue; they are generally written in prose with occasional snatches of verse, but sometimes they are in verse altogether. They have no system or method; the authors are poets, who throw out their unconnected and often contradictory rhapsodies on the impulse of the moment, and have no thought of harmonizing to-day's feelings with those of yesterday or to-morrow. . . . Through them all runs an unmistakable spirit of Pantheism, often in its most offensive form, as avowedly overriding all moral considerations; and it is this which has produced the general impression that the religion of the Veda is monotheistic." 2

¹ Sacred Books of the East, vol. i. p. lxxx.

² Elphinstone's Hist. of India, p. 282.

II,

As this tract has for its subject the Vedânta, and has clearly the same praecognita ¹ as that system, it is unnecessary to consider them in detail. [But lest any one should not have read the large treatise, I may say that] the præcognita in that system are—

- I. The qualified person (adhikârin).
- II. The subject (vishaya).
- III. The relation (sambandha).
- IV. The purpose (prayojana).
- I. 'The qualified person' is one who possesses due intelligence; that is, one who, by reading the Vedas and Vedângas according to rule, either in this life or in a former one, has obtained a general idea of the meaning of the whole,—who, by performing the constant and occasional rites, the penances, and devotional exercises, and abstaining from things done with desire of reward and from those forbidden

¹ Ballantyne renders this by "moving considerations." The original is anubandha.

has got rid of all sin and so thoroughly cleansed his mind,—and who is possessed of the four means.

'The things done with desire of reward' (or 'optional things,' $k \hat{\alpha} m y \alpha$) are the Jyotishtoma sacrifice and other things of a similar kind, which are the means of procuring heaven and other desirable things.

The 'forbidden things' (nishiddha) are the slaying of a Brâhman and the like, which result in hell and other undesirable things.

The 'constant rites' (nitya) are the Sandhyâ prayers and the like, which cause ruin if left undonc.

The 'occasional rites' (naimittika) are such as the birth-sacrifice following the birth of a son, and such like.

The 'penances' (prâyaśchitta) are such as the Chândrâyaṇa and others, which are used only for the removal of sin.

The 'devotional exercises' (upåsana) are such as the system of Śândilya and the like, consisting of mental efforts directed towards Brahma with qualities.

The principal object of the constant and occasional rites and of the penances is the purification of the intellect; that of the devotional exercises is the concentration of the mind. As it is written in the Veda, "Him, the Self, Brâhmans seek to know by means of the reading of the Veda and by sacrifice" (*Bṛihadâranyaka Upanishad*, 4. 4. 22); and in the Smṛiti, "By religious acts he destroys sin" (*Manu*, xii. 104).

An incidental result of the constant and occasional rites and of the devotional exercises is the acquisition of the abode of the progenitors and of the abode of Brahma; as the Veda says, "By works, the abode of the progenitors; by knowledge, the abode of the gods" (Brihadâranyaka, 1. 5. 16).

The 'four means' $(s\hat{a}dhana)$ are (a.) discrimination between eternal and non-eternal substances, (b.) indifference to the enjoyment of rewards here and hereafter, (c.) the possession of quiescence, self-restraint, &c., and (d.) desire for release.

- (a.) 'Discrimination between eternal and noneternal substances' is the discerning that Brahma is the only eternal substance, and that all else besides him is non-eternal.
- (b.) 'Indifference to the enjoyment of rewards here or hereafter' is complete indifference to the enjoyment of the things of this life, such as garlands, sandals, and other objects of sense,—and of

those pertaining to the next world, such as nectar and other sensuous objects,—because, being the result of works, they are non-eternal.

- (c.) 'Quiescence, self-restraint, &c.,' are quiescence, self-restraint, abstinence, endurance, contemplative concentration, and faith.
- 'Quiescence' is the restraining of the mind from objects of sense opposed to hearing, &c.
- 'Self-restraint' is the turning away of the external organs from objects opposed to that hearing.
- 'Abstinence' is the continued abstaining of the external organs from sensuous objects opposed to that hearing, after they have been turned away from them; or it may be the abandonment of prescribed acts in a legitimate manner [i.e., by becoming an ascetic].
- 'Endurance' is bearing the polarities of heat and cold, &c.
- 'Contemplative concentration' is the fixing of the restrained mind on hearing and such like things which are helpful to it.
- 'Faith' is belief in the utterances of the spiritual teacher and of the Vedânta.
- (d.) 'Desire for release' is the longing for emancipation.

A man of this kind, the possessor of due intelligence, is 'a qualified person.' As the Veda says, "The tranquil, restrained man, &c." (Brihadâranyaka Upanishad, 4. 4. 26); and as it is said elsewhere, "To the seeker of emancipation, who is tranquil in mind, who has subdued his senses, whose sins are gone, who is obedient and virtuous, and who, long and continuously, has followed a teacher, is this to be taught" (Upadeśasahasri, ver. 324).

- II. 'The subject' is the unity of souls and of Brahma, as pure intelligence, a fact which is to be demonstrated; for this is the purport of all Vedânta treatises.
- III. 'The relation' between that unity, the thing to be proved, and the proof derived from the Upanishads which set it forth, is that which is characterised as the condition of 'the explainer and thing to be explained.'
- IV. 'The purpose' is the removal of the ignorance regarding the unity which is to be demonstrated, and the acquisition of the joy which is the essence of Brahma. As the Veda says, "The knower of Self passes beyond sorrow" (Chhândogy Upanishad, 7. 1. 3); and again, "He who knows Brahma becomes Brahma" (Mundaka, 3. 2. 9).

As a man with a hot head goes to the water, so this qualified person, scorched by the fires of mundane existence, with its births, deaths, and other ills, takes a bundle of firewood in his hands and approaches a spiritual teacher versed in the Vedas and intent upon Brahma, and becomes his follower. As it is said in the Veda, "In order to know Him, he should go with fuel in his hands to a teacher learned in the Vedas and intent on Brahma" (Mundaka Upanishad, 1. 2. 12). That teacher, 1 with great kindness, instructs him by the method of illusory attribution (adhyåropa), followed by its withdrawal (apavâda). As it is written in the Veda, "To him, on drawing nigh with truly calmed mind and sense subdued, that learned one should 2 so expound, in truth, the Brahma lore, that he may know the true and undecaying Male" (Mundaka, 1. 2. 13).

¹ In commenting on the foregoing passage, Śankarâchârya lays stress on the need of a teacher, and says "S'astrajno'pi svatantryena Brahmajnananveshanam na kuryat," "Even though a man know the scriptures, he should not attempt to acquire the knowledge of Brahma independently." In Panchadast, iv. 39-41, too, it is pointed out that, though at the pralaya duality will disappear of itself, yet deliverance from future births is not to be had without a previously acquired knowledge of Brahma, which knowledge it will be impossible to gain then, because there will be neither teacher nor scriptures!

² Provácha is here equivalent to prabrûyát, says Śankara.

NOTES ON SECTION II.

1. The foregoing shows the compromise made by the philosophers with the pre-existing systems of ritual and devotion. They retained them, but merely, they said, as means of purifying the intellect for the reception of the higher truths, a process similar to the polishing of a tarnished mirror so as to fit it to reflect an image.

"Whoever, therefore, hearing that the Vedântins believe in Brahma without qualities, infers that they reject Vishnu, Siva, and the rest of the pantheon, and that they discountenance idolatry and such things, and that they count the Purânas and similar writings false, labours under gross error." In fact, it is laid down in Panchadasî, vi. 206–209, that any kind of god or demigod, or anything in the animal, vegetable, or mineral kingdom, may be properly worshipped as a portion of Îswara, and that such worship will bring a reward proportioned to the dignity of the object worshipped.

Worship is natural to man; and in making a compromise with the theologians the philosophers merely acknowledged this fact. Their system, however, like that of Buddha, had no object of worship, or indeed anything "to elicit and sustain a religious life;" so they were compelled "to crave the help of polytheism, and to treat the foullest orgies and cruellest rites of idolatry as acts of reasonable worship paid indirectly to the sole and supreme Being." ³

¹ Cf. Mundaka Upanishad, 3. 1. 5. ² Rational Refutation, p. 195. ³ Anti-Theistic Theories, p. 389.

It is laid down, however, in *Panchadaśi*, iv. 43-46, that as soon as the knowledge of the truth is obtained, the sacred writings themselves, as a portion of the unreal dualism, are to be abandoned, just as a torch is extinguished when one has no further need of it, or as the husk is thrown away by one who merely wants the grain! The dishonesty of Pantheism is thus clearly seen. For "if it look upon the popular deities as mere fictions of the popular mind, its association with polytheism can only mean a conscious alliance with falsehood, the deliberate propagation of lies. If, on the other hand, it regard them as really manifestations of the Absolute Being, it must believe this on the authority of revelation or tradition," the whole of which the Vedantist classes with unrealities!

2. 'In this life or in a former one.'

It is this tenet of a succession of births that furnishes the raison d'être of the systems of philosophy, as their professed aim is to provide a way of deliverance from them. The doctrine of metempsychosis still prevails in India, Ceylon, Burmah, Tibet, Tartary, and China, and is accepted, therefore, by the larger portion of the human race. It would be a source of much satisfaction to us if we could discover the time and place of its birth. It was not held by the Aryan family or by the early Indian settlers, for the Vedas recognise the continued existence of the soul after death in some heavenly sphere, and contain no distinct reference whatever to the fact of transmigration.² Its first appearance in orthodox writings is in the Chhândogya and Brihadâranyaka Upanishads, which

¹ Anti-Theistic Theories, p. 390.

² Wilson's Essays on Sanskrit Literature, iii. 345.

are believed by Professor Weber to have been composed at about the same period, the former in the west of Hindustan, the latter in the east. He, however, refers them to a "comparatively recent date," and tells us that the doctrines promulgated in the latter by Yâjnavalkya are "completely Buddhistic." 1 That being the case, we may justly consider these two treatises to have been post-Buddhistic; and there then remains no ancient orthodox composition which can claim to have set forth the doctrine of transmigration prior to the appearance of Buddha. is embodied, it is true, in Manu's Code of Laws, for which a very high antiquity has been claimed; but there can be no reasonable doubt that the present redaction of it was posterior to the rise of Buddhism, and some would even bring it down to as late a time as the third century before But even if it be true that the doctrine was Christ.2 first publicly taught by Buddha, it by no means follows that he was the originator of it, and that it had not been a matter of speculation long before his time. As a matter of fact, the theory of the transmigration of soul was assuredly not his, for he totally denied the existence of soul. What he taught was the transmigration of karma, that is, of the aggregate of all a man's actions in every state of existence in which he has lived.3 According to him, a man is made up of five aggregates (Sanskrit, skandha; Pâli, khanda) of properties or qualities, viz., 1. Rapa, organised body, comprising twenty-eight divisions; 2. Vedaná, sensation, comprising eighteen divisions; 3.

¹ History of Indian Literature, pp. 71, 73, 285.

² Elphinstone's *History of India*, 6th ed., by Cowell, p. 249. The most probable date of the death of Buddha is 477 B.C.

^{*} Hardy's Legends and Theories of the Buddhists, p. 164.

Sanjna, perception, comprising six divisions; 4. Sanskara, discrimination, comprising fifty-two divisions; and 5. Vijnana, consciousness, comprising eighty-nine divisions. At death, these five are broken up and dispersed, never to be reunited. But, besides karma, there is another property inherent in all sentient beings, named upâdâna, or 'cleaving to existing objects;' and these two survive the dispersion of the aggregates and produce a new being. "By upâdâna a new existence is produced, but the means of its operation is controlled by the karma with which it is connected. It would sometimes appear that upâdâna is the efficient cause of reproduction, and that at other times it is karma. But in all cases it is the karma that appoints whether the being to be produced shall be an insect in the sunbeam, a worm in the earth, a fish in the sea, a fowl in the air, a beast in the forest, a man, a restless dewa or brahma of the celestial world."1

Such is the Buddhist notion of transmigration; and it would be more reasonable to suppose it to have been an adaptation of the usual theory than to regard the latter as modified from it.

The other Asiatic countries named above obtained the doctrine, together with the rest of Buddhism, from India, and can therefore give us no help in our search. Turning to Europe, we find the metempsychosis amongst the philosophy of Pythagoras, who is supposed to have been born some time between 604 and 520 B.C.² His life is "shrouded in the dim magnificence of legends," amongst which we should doubtless class the theory of his having

¹ Hardy's Manual of Buddhism, p. 409.

² Buddha is supposed to have lived eighty years, and so was probably born about 557 B.C. *Ibid.*, p. 366.

visited India. Still the similarity of much of his system to that of Indian philosophers is very curious, and Colebrooke thought that it was borrowed from them.

With regard, however, to the supposed Eastern origin of much of that philosopher's teaching, Mr. George Henry Lewes thus wrote: "Every dogma in it has been traced to some prior philosophy. Not a vestige will remain to be called the property of the teacher himself if we restore to the Jews, Indians, Egyptians, Chaldeans, Phœnicians, nay, even Thracians, those various portions which he is declared to have borrowed from them. All this pretended plagiarism we incline to think extremely improbable. Pythagoras was a successor of Anaximander, and his doctrines, in so far as we can gather their leading tendency, were but a continuation of that abstract and deductive philosophy of which Anaximander was the originator." 1

But this by no means exhausts the field for inquiry, for Egypt is known to have held the theory of transmigration, possibly before it was taught in Greece; but whether it was introduced from without, or evolved from the inner consciousness of the nation itself, we cannot determine. We have to acknowledge ourselves completely baffled, then, in our search for the birthplace of this important dogma; and whether it originated in the West or in the East, or arose simultaneously in both worlds, it is absolutely impossible to say, and we must be content to leave the question in the thick haze which impenetrably enshrouds it.

3. 'Reading the Veda,' &c.

The study of the Veda and the practice of its ritual

¹ History of Philosophy, 4th edition, i. 26.

being prerequisites to the initiation into the higher mysteries, the advantages offered by philosophy were beyond the reach of the masses, and for them something simpler and more attractive was provided. But the real object of the provision thus made, whether for the learned or the ignorant, for the few or the many, was to put forth a counter-attraction to the system of Śâkya Muni.

When we think of the wonderful deliverance that had been offered by Buddhism to the priest-ridden communities of India, of the vast number of its adherents, and of its great power, which so effectually checked Brahman supremacy for centuries, it seems almost incredible that it should ever have succumbed, and have been driven beyond the Himalayas. Yet so it was. Possibly its very success engendered indolence and inactivity on the part of those who ought actively to have maintained and propagated it; 1 or its extreme simplicity and strict morality may at length have proved irksome and rendered it unpopular; 2 or the weakness necessarily inherent in a religion without a God to be loved and worshipped may have been at length manifested in it, and so have opened the way for the astute Brahmans, who were ever on the watch for opportunities for recovering their long-lost But be this as it may, the opportunity came, and the means employed for eradicating the heresy were twofold, namely, persecution and the introduction of a sensuous and attractive worship.

The former is supposed to have been commenced as early as the third century of our era, but to have been actively

¹ Wilson's Essays on the Religion of the Hindus, ii. 367.

² Weber's History of Indian Literature, p. 289 (note).

and more successfully carried on during the fifth and sixth centuries. The probability of the persecution of the latter period is remarkably strengthened by the fact that Buddhism received a fresh impulse in China in A.D. 519, was introduced into the Corea in A.D. 530, into Japan in A.D. 540-550, and into Java during the sixth and seventh centuries, which witnessed the arrival of large numbers of Hindu emigrants. We have evidence of the fact of the decline of Buddhism in those early centuries from the diary of the Chinese pilgrim Hiouen Thsang, who came to India in the year 629 A.D. to study original Buddhist works, and during his residence of fourteen or fifteen years travelled over a great part of the country.

He found large numbers of flourishing monasteries, conspicuous amongst which was that of Nâlanda (northeast of Gayâ), which contained 10,000 resident monks, some of whom were "visitors from all parts of India, who had come to study the abstruser Buddhist books under its renowned teachers;" but on the other hand, there were vast numbers deserted and in ruins, whilst Hindu temples abounded and 'heretics' swarmed in every city.²

The struggle was renewed, at the end of the seventh century, by the famous Mimânsaka, Kumârila Bhatta, who was regarded as "an incarnation of Kârtikeya, the object of whose descent was the extirpation of the Saugatas" (Buddhists), and ended in the complete expulsion of the latter from the Deckan. The great controversialist Śankara Âchârya, too, who lived a century later, is supposed by some to have used sterner weapons than the pen in demolishing heretics; but, on the other hand, his

Wilson's Essays on Sanskrit Literature, iii. 198.

² Elphinstone's History of India, 6th ed., by Cowell, pp. 288-299.

"mild character" and "uniformly gentle and tolerant" disposition, as well as the absence from his writings of all mention of the persecution of his opponents, have been brought forward in disproof of the charge.\(^1\) Notwithstanding the efforts of their enemies to uproot them, the Buddhists were still found on the Coromandel Coast in the eighth and ninth centuries, and in Guzerat, and on the throne of Bengal in the twelfth century; but after that they were heard of no more. In the fourteenth century they were not found south of Kashmir, and by the sixteenth century they appear to have been rarely met with even there.\(^2\)

The attempt to suppress Buddhism by fire and sword was supplemented, however, by other measures, in order to place reviving Brahmanical supremacy on a firm basis. These, somewhat after the fashion of Balaam's tactics for the seduction and ruin of Israel, consisted of the introduction of an extremely sensuous and debasing form of Krishna-worship, together with the cults of certain female deities.3 "The Brahmans saw, on regaining their supremacy after the fall of the rival school, that it would be impossible to enlist the popular sympathy in their favour without some concessions to the Sudras. They accordingly pitched on the well-known, and perhaps already deified, character of Krishna, and set it up as an object of universal worship. And in order to make it the more fascinating to the popular mind, and to give that mind a strong impulse in a direction the very opposite of Buddhism, they invested their new god with those infirmities of the flesh from which Śâkya Muni is said to have been

¹ Essays on Sanskrit Literature, iii. 191-197. ² Ibid., p. 225. ³ Weber's History of Indian Literature, p. 289 (note).

somewhat unnaturally free. The rude mind of the populace, devoid of education, is easily led in the direction of sensuality, and whereas Buddha had observed rigid chastity in the midst of several thousand damsels resident in his own palace, Krishna was represented as the very antithesis of Buddha, deliberately going about to seek, seduce, carry off, or procure by other means many thousands of females from different parts of the country. . . . Whatever ideas, expressive of the divine majesty, they could themselves imagine, and whatever sentiments, borrowed from other quarters, struck their fancies as suitable for a popular system, they freely received in the construction of their new idol. And thus the very character which had injured so many husbands and stained the purity of so many households, was otherwise described as the Lord of sacrifices, the greatest destroyer of sin, and the deliverer of the world." 1 The success which attended this scheme was very marked, and continues undiminished to this day.

The time of the introduction of Krishna-worship having, however, formed a subject of debate amongst scholars, it

¹ K. M. Banerjea's Dialogues on the Hindu Philosophy, p. 520. In Bhagavad Gitâ, iv. 8, Krishna is made to speak of himself as appearing in every age for the complete deliverance of the saintly, the overthrow of the wicked, and the establishment of righteousness; and in xviii. 66 as the deliverer from all sin! The Bhâgavata Purâna is said to have been related by the Sage Suka to King Parîkshit, who, after listening to the account of Krishna's debaucheries, is said to have inquired how it was that he who became incarnate "for the establishment of virtue" and the repression of vice, and who was "the expounder, author, and guardian of the bulwarks of righteousness," was guilty of such corrupt practices. The reply to this very proper question was as follows:—"The transgression of virtue and the daring acts which are witnessed in superior beings (Îśwarânâm) must not be charged as faults to these glorious persons. . . Let no one other than a superior being ever even in thought practise the

may be well to dwell upon it further. It should be stated at the outset that there is an important difference between the mere deification of Krishna and his elevation to the rank of supreme deity with the sensual worship condemned above. References to the first, that is, to his apotheosis, have been found by Professor Bhândârkar in the Mahâbhâshya, which he assigns to the second century before Christ; but the latter, the Krishna-cultus proper, according to Weber, is not found before the fifth or sixth century of our era; and its best authority, the Bhâgavata Purâna (book x.), is ascribed by Colebrooke and "many learned Hindus" to the twelfth century.

In the Gopâlatâpani Upanishad, too, we find Krishna, "the beloved of the gopîs," set forth as the supreme deity; but this work is justly supposed by Professor Weber to be very modern, and Colebrooke regarded its claim to antiquity as "particularly suspicious." His remarks on this whole question are worthy of attention. He says:—
"Although the Râmatâpanîya be inserted in all the collections of Upanishads which I have seen; and the Gopâlatâpanîya appear in some, yet I am inclined to doubt their genuineness, and to suspect that they have

same. . . . The word of superior beings is true, and so also their conduct is sometimes [correct]: let a wise man observe their command, which is right. . . . Since Munis are uncontrolled and act as they please, how can there he any restraint upon him (the Supreme Deity) when he has voluntarily assumed a body?" "This passage is followed by an assurance on the part of the author of the Purana that the person who listens with faith to the narrative of Krishna's sports with the cowherd's wives, and who repeats it to others, shall attain to strong devotion to that deity, and shall speedily be freed from love, that disease of the heart. A remarkable instance of homeopathic cure certainly!"—Muir's Sanskrit Texts, iv. 50 f. Bhagavata Purana, x. 33, 27-40.

¹ Indian Antiquary, ii. 60.

² *Ibid.*, p. 285.

³ Miscellaneous Essays, i. 94.

⁴ History of Indian Literature, p. 169.

been written in times modern when compared with the remainder of the Vedas. This suspicion is chiefly grounded on the opinion that the sects which now worship Râma and Krishna as incarnations of Vishnu are comparatively new. I have not found in any other part of the Vedas the least trace of such a worship. . . . According to the notions which I entertain of the real history of the Hindu religion, the worship of Râma and of Krishna by the Vaishnavas, and that of Mahâdeva and Bhavânî by the Śaivas and Śâktas, have been generally introduced since the persecution of the Baudhas and Jainas. . . . The overthrow of the sect of Buddha in India has not effected the full revival of the religious system inculcated in the Vedas. Most of what is there taught is now obsolete, and, in its stead, new orders of religious devotees have been instituted, and new forms of religious ceremonies have been established. Rituals founded on the Purânas and observances borrowed from a worse source. the Tantras, have, in a great measure, antiquated the institutions of the Vedas. In particular, the sacrificing of animals before the idols of Kâlî has superseded the less sanguinary practice of the Yajna; and the adoration of Râma and of Krishna has succeeded to that of the elements and planets. If this opinion be well founded, it follows that the Upanishads in question have probably been composed in later times, since the introduction of those sects which hold Râma and Gopâla in peculiar veneration"1

The date of that most important treatise the Bhagavad Gîtâ, in which Krishna is regarded as the Supreme, has not been determined. On account of remarkable resem-

¹ Miscellaneous Essays, i. 99-101.

blances in it to some of the ideas and expressions of the Bible, Dr. Lorinser, writing in 1869, asserted that it was probably indebted to the latter for them. He was of opinion that the Brahmans borrowed Christian ideas from the early Christian communities in India and applied them to Krishna.¹ The existence of a Christian Church in India in the first or second century, as maintained by Dr. Lorinser, has not, however, been satisfactorily established. According to Dr. Burnell, "the Manichæan mission to India in the third century A.D. is the only historical fact that we know of in relation to Christian missions in India before we get as low as the sixth century." 2 However this may be, the sudden appearance on the Hindu horizon of bhakti, as distinguished from the older śraddhd,3 is a fact the explanation of which is almost impossible if a previous contact with Christianity is denied.

Dr. Lorinser's position has been vehemently assailed by Mr. Kâshinâth Telang of Bombay, but not, in my opinion, with complete success. It has been disputed, too, by Professor Windisch of Heidelberg, who, while admitting that "some surprising parallel passages" have been adduced, considers "the immediate introduction of the Bible into the explanation of the Bhagavad Gîtâ" to be premature.

Professor Weber regards Dr. Lorinser's attempt as "overdone," but adds that "he is not in principle opposed to the idea which that writer maintains." Indeed this eminent scholar has declared his own belief in the indebtedness of the Krishna-cult to Christianity, as the

¹ Indian Antiquary, ii. 283. ² Ibid., iv. 182.

³ Cowell's Aphorisms of S'andilya, p. viii.

⁴ Indian Antiquary, iv. 79. ⁵ Ibid.

following quotation will show: "(1.) The reciprocal action and mutual influence of gnostic and Indian conceptions in the first centuries of the Christian era are evident, however difficult it may be at present to say what in each is peculiar to it or borrowed from the other. (2.) The worship of Krishna as sole god is one of the latest phases of Indian religious systems, of which there is no trace in Varâhamihira, who mentions Krishna, but only in passing. (3.) This worship of Krishna as sole god has no intelligible connection with his earlier position in the Brahmanical legends. There is a gap between the two, which apparently nothing but the supposition of an external influence can account for. (4.) The legend in the Mahâbhârata of Śvetadwîpa, and the revelation which is made there to Nârada by Bhagavat himself, shows that Indian tradition bore testimony to such an influence. (5.) The legends of Krishna's birth, the solemn celebration of his birthday, in the honours of which his mother, Devakí, participates, and finally his life as a herdsman, a phase the furthest removed from the original representation, can only be explained by the influence of Christian legends, which, received one after the other by individual Indians in Christian lands, were modified to suit their own ways of thought, and may also have been affected by the labours of individual Christian teachers down to the latest times." 1

The Mahâbhârata, in which the Gîtâ lies imbedded, is the work of "widely distant periods;" and though some portion of it is said to have existed in Patanjali's time,² that is, in the second century before Christ, its present redaction was probably not complete until "some centuries

¹ Indian Antiquary, ii. 285.

² Ibid., i. 350.

after the commencement of our era." Chronology, therefore, furnishes no disproof of the theory advanced above as to the origin of Krishna-worship.

4. 'The Jyotishtoma sacrifice.'

This appears to have been a cycle of seven sacrifices, of which one called Agnishtoma was the first. Dr. Haug says that in many places the term Jyotishtoma is equivalent to Agnishtoma, which is the model of all Soma sacrifices of one day's duration. The ceremonies connected with the Agnishtoma sacrifice lasted for five days, but those of the first four days were merely introductory to the crowning rites of the last day, on which the squeezing, offering, and drinking of the Soma juice tookplace at the morning, midday, and evening libations. The Soma ceremony is said to have been the holiest rite in the whole Brahmanical service.²

5. 'The slaying of a Brahman.'

There are numerous references in Manu's code to the awfulness of this crime; and the consequences of even a common assault on his sacred person are something terrific. The following are examples:—

"That twice-born man who merely assaults a Brâhman with intent to hurt, wanders about in the hell called Tâmisra for a hundred years; whilst he who 'of malice aforethought' strikes him, even with a blade of grass, goes through twenty-one different births of a low order" (Manu, iv. 165, 166).

"A king should never slay a Brâhman, though convicted of every crime under the sun; he should expel-

¹ Weber's History of Indian Literature, p. 188; and Muir's Sanskrit Texts, iv. 169.

² See Haug's Aitareya Brâhmaṇa, i. 59-63, ii. 240.

him from the country, unharmed, with all his property. There is no greater crime in the world than the slaughter of a Brâhman; a king, therefore, should not even contemplate it with his mind "(viii. 380, 381).

"The (unintentional) slayer of a Brâhman should make a hut for himself in the forest, and dwell there for twelve years for purification, living on alms, and having the head of his victim set up as a banner" (xi. 72).

"He who, with murderous intent, merely threatens a Brâhman with a stick goes to hell for a hundred years; whilst he who actually strikes him goes for a thousand years" (xi. 206).

6. 'The Sandhyâ prayers.'

"Let him daily, after rinsing his mouth, observe the two Sandhyâs, repeating the Sâvitrî in a pure place according to rule" (Manu, ii. 222).

Colebrooke says: "The duty of bathing in the morning and at noon, if the man be a householder, and in the evening also, if he belong to an order of devotion, is inculcated by pronouncing the strict observance of it no less efficacious than a rigid penance in expiating sins, especially the early bath in the months of Mâgha, Phâlguna, and Kârtika; and the bath being particularly enjoined as a salutary ablution, he is permitted to bathe in his own house, but without prayers, if the weather or his own infirmities prevent his going forth; or he may abridge the ceremonies and use fewer prayers if a religious duty or urgent business require his early attendance. The regular bath consists of ablutions followed by worship and by the inaudible recitation of the Gâyatrî with the names of the worlds." The sacred Gâyatrî or Sâvitrî is this:

¹ Miscellaneous Essays, i. 142.

"Tat savitur varenyam bhargo devasya dhîmahi dhiyo yo nah prachodayat," which Colebrooke thus translates: "Let us meditate on the adorable light of the divine ruler (Savitri); may it guide our intellects."

7. 'The Chândrâyaṇa.'

This, to quote from Professor Monier Williams' Dictionary, is "a religious observance or expiatory penance regulated by the moon's age. In consists in diminishing the daily consumption of food every day by one mouthful for the dark half of the month, beginning with fifteen at the full moon until the quantity is reduced to zero at the new moon, and then increasing it in like manner during the fortnight of the moon's increase." This kind is called by Manu (xi. 216, Scholium), Pipilikamadhya, 'that which has the middle thin like an ant.' If, however, the rite commences at the new moon; and goes from zero up to fifteen and then decreases again, it is called Yavamadhya, 'that which is thick in the middle like a barley-corn' (xi. 217). There are two other varieties called Yati and Śiśu. The former consists of eating eight mouthfuls a day at midday and fasting during the morning and evening for a whole month; the latter, of eating four mouthfuls in the morning and four in the evening every day for a month. A fifth variety, which appears to have no name, consists of eating 240 mouthfuls during the month, to be divided into daily portions at the will of the eater. Thus, as the Scholiast says, he may one day eat ten mouthfuls, another five, another sixteen, and another none at all, and so on (xi. 218-220).

8. 'The system of Śândilya' (Śândilyavidyâ).

What this was is not known. It was clearly not the doctrine of faith which is set forth in the Sandilya

aphorisms. See preface to Professor Cowell's translation of the latter.

9. 'Longing for emancipation.'

The idea of mukti is not found in the first two divisions of the Veda, and the Śvetâśvatara is the only Upanishad in which it is fully and unmistakably developed. Brahmans had certainly been pondering it for some time before the rise of Buddhism. It was probably they themselves who instilled it into the mind of Śâkya. It was perhaps their own aspiration after something better than the degrading pleasures of Indra's territories that first suggested the futility of rites and ceremonies to the fertile imagination of the young prince of Kapilavastu. But it was the prince himself who appears to have imparted a coherent shape to the doctrine, which, in some of the pre-Buddhistic Upanishads, appears in a chaotic state of disconnected fragments, not unfrequently by the side of the very contrary idea of sensuous enjoyments. Sakya appears to have first separated the two by contending that rites and ceremonies do not contribute to our highest good, and that it was nirwana 1 alone which could secure our final escape from the miseries of sensuous life. post-Buddhistic writings the notion of emancipation which pervades the philosophy of the Nyâya, the Sânkhya, and the Vedânta, appears in a consistent form as distinct from that of heavenly enjoyment. Swarga and apawarga are always contrasted." 2

10. 'The qualified person.'

The text shows that in order to qualify for initiation into the esoteric doctrines, the aspirant had to go through

¹ See this explained in Childers' Pali Dictionary, s.v. Nibbanam.

² Dialogues on Hindu Philosophy, p. 325. See also Wilson's Works, ii. 113.

a long preparatory course. It may be interesting to compare with it that which the pupils of Pythagoras were required to pass through before receiving instruction in "For five years the novice was condemned his wisdom. to silence. Many relinquished the task in despair; they were unworthy of the contemplation of pure wisdom. Others, in whom the tendency to loquacity was observed to be less, had the period commuted. Various humiliations had to be endured; various experiments were made of their powers of self-denial. By these Pythagoras judged whether they were worldly-minded, or whether they were fit to be admitted into the sanctuary of science. Having purged their souls of the baser particles by purifications, sacrifices, and initiations, they were admitted to the sanctuary, where the higher part of the soul was purged by the knowledge of truth, which consists in the knowledge of immaterial and eternal things." 1

11. 'Illusory attribution, &c.' (adhyâropâpavâda).

In order to describe the pure abstraction Brahma, the teacher attributes to him, or superimposes on him, certain qualities which in reality do not belong to him, and then afterwards withdrawing them, teaches that the residuum is the undifferenced Absolute.

"When the Vedântins speak of the origin of the world, they do not believe its origin to be true. This mode of expression they call false imputation (adhydropa). It consists in holding for true that which is false, in accommodation to the intelligence of the uninitiated. At a further stage of instruction, when the time has arrived for propounding the esoteric view, the false imputation is gainsaid, and this gainsaying is termed rescission 2 (apavâda)."

¹ Lewes' History of Philosophy, i. 22. ² Rational Refutation, p. 209.

12. I will conclude the notes on this section with the following extract:--"If these rules of initiation be truthful, then the doctrine of one being is necessarily falsified, for they presuppose the existence of the guru and of all things which are necessary for the performance of the Vedic ritual; and if the rules are themselves illusory, the Vedantic initiation must itself be an illusion; and if the initiation be false, the indoctrination must be false too; for he only gets knowledge who has got an âchârya. The Vedant will not allow that its grand consummation can be brought about without a qualified tutor. If there be no acharya, there can be no teaching; and if the indoctrination is a delusion, the conclusion of this spiritual exercise, i.e., mukti, must be the grandest of delusions: and the whole system of Vedântism, all its texts and sayings, its precepts and promises, its acharva and adhikârî, are therefore built like a house (as Râmânuja suggests) upon an imaginary mathematical line."1

¹ Dialogues on Hindu Philosophy, p. 421.

III.

ILLUSORY attribution is the attributing to the real of that which is unreal; as a snake is imagined in a rope which is not a snake.

The 'real' is Brahma, existent, intelligence, and joy, without a second. The 'unreal' is the whole mass of unintelligent things, beginning with ignorance.

'Ignorance,' they say, is something not describable as existent or non-existent, an entity, composed of the three qualities, antagonistic to knowledge.

[Its existence is established] by one's own consciousness of being ignorant, and also by the Veda, [which speaks of it as] "the own power of God, concealed by its emanations" (Śvetáśvatara Upanishad, i. 3).

¹ Literally, 'by its qualities,' which Sankarâchârya says means "by earth, &c., which are the products of matter" (svagunaih prakritikâryabhâtaih prithivyâdibhih).

NOTES ON SECTION III.

I. 'The real' (vastu).

The characteristics of Brahma have already been considered in the opening notes, and it is in accordance with the doctrine of existences, as there explained, that Brahma is here declared to be the only reality. All else is 'unreal' (avastu), and imagined by ignorance. This is plainly put in the Adhyâtma-Râmâyana (p. 477):—"The entire universe, movable and immovable, comprising bodies, intellects, and the organs, everything that is seen or heard, from Brahmâ down to a tuft of grass, is that which is called Matter (prakriti), is that which is known as Illusion."

The phenomenal is got rid of in this simple way, by quietly ignoring the evidence of the senses; but the non-duality thus established is purely imaginary. For "even appearances or illusions are phenomena which require to be explained, and they cannot be explained on the hypothesis of absolute unity. They imply that besides the absolute being there are *minds* which can be haunted by appearances, and which can be deluded into believing that these appearances are realities." ¹

It has been already stated that the teaching of the earlier Upanishads was a parinamavada, not a mayavada or vivarttavada. Whence, then, did this theory of the unreality of all things arise? The most probable answer is, that it was adopted from the Buddhists, the great supporters of Idealism. This was the opinion of Vijnana

¹ Anti-Theistic Theories, p. 419.

Bhikshu, the learned commentator on the Sankhya philosophy, who flourished about 300 years ago,1 and who wrote of the "quasi-Vedantins" of his time as "upstart disguised Buddhists, advocates of the theory of Mâyâ," and quoted a passage from the Padma Purâna² where the doctrine of Mâyâ is also stigmatised as nothing but disguised Buddhism.3 The Śvetâśvatara is said to be the only Upanishad in which the illusory nature of phenomena is plainly taught, and that tract is evidently post-Buddhistic. In the preface to his translation of it, Dr. Roer says that it "does not belong to the series of the more ancient Upanishads, or of those which preceded the foundation of the philosophical systems; for it shows, in many passages, an acquaintance with them, introduces the Vedânta, Sânkhya, and Yoga by their very namesmentions the reputed founder of the Sânkhya, Kapila, and appears even to refer to doctrines which have been always considered as heterodox. . . . As the mythological views of the Śvetâśvatara are those of a later time, when the worship of Siva and of the divine Saktis or energies had gained ground, in contradistinction to the ancient Upanishads, where only the gods of the Vedas are introduced, so also its philosophical doctrine refers to a more modern period." In his opinion, it was composed not very long before the time of Śankarâchârya, who is thought to have flourished in the eighth century of our era.4

2. 'Ignorance' (ajnâna).

This is synonymous with Nescience (avidya) and Illusion

¹ Preface to Hall's Sânkhya Sâra, p. 37 (note).

² This work is supposed by Professor H. H. Wilson to have been composed, in part, in the twelfth century. Vishnu Puraṇa, vol. i. p. xxxiv.

³ Dialogues on Hindu Philosophy, pp. 309-313. Sankhya-pravachanabhashya, p. 29 * Colebrooke's Essays, i. 357.

(mâyâ), and though called the material cause of the universe, nevertheless heads the list of unrealities! Indeed it has been said that "the tenet of the falseness of Ignorance is the very keystone of the Vedânta!" Its properties are the following:—

(a.) 'Not describable as existent or non-existent.'

If allowed to have true existence, dualism of cause ensues; and if it be said to be non-existent, it falls into the same category as a hare's horn, the son of a barren woman, and such like absolute nonentities, and no causation could then be attributed to it. So, to avoid the dilemma, it is said to be neither the one nor the other. Howbeit it is acknowledged to have a practical existence, and to have been eternally associated with Brahma; and as a matter of fact, Brahma and Mâyâ are the exact counterpart of the Purusha and Prakriti of the Sânkhya, which is a professedly dualistic system.

A native writer speaks of Illusion as "the inscrutable principle regulating the universe of phenomena, or rather the world itself regarded as ultimately inconceivable;" and, elsewhere, as "the mystery by which the absolute Brahma brings himself into relation to the universe;" but he allows that, after all, this is rather a confession of the mystery than a solution of it. By Śankarâchârya it is defined as "the aggregate of all powers, causes, and effects." But a principle or power producing such palpable results as the universe, &c., must have a very real existence, however 'inscrutable' it may be; and the definition of the text is absolute nonsense. The philo-

¹ Rational Refutation, p. 193.

² Ibid., p. 35 (note).

³ The Pandit (new series), iii, 506.

⁴ Journal of R. A. S. (new series), x. 38.

sopher Kapila discusses this point in some of the aphorisms of his first book: "Not from Ignorance too [does the soul's bondage, as the Vedântists hold, arise], because that which is not a reality is not adapted to binding. If it [Ignorance] be [asserted by you to be] a reality, then there is an abandonment of the [Vedantic] tenet. And [if you assume Ignorance to be a reality, then] there would be a duality through [there being] something of a different kind [from soul,-which you asserters of non-duality cannot contemplate allowing]. If [the Vedantin alleges, regarding Ignorance, that] it is in the shape of both these opposites, [we say] no, because no such thing is known [as is at once real and unreal]. [Possibly the Vedântin may remonstrate], not asserters of any six categories like the Vaiseshikas and others [-like the Vaiseshikas who arrange all things under six heads, and the Naiyayikas who arrange them under sixteen; - 'therefore we hold that there is such a thing as Ignorance, which is at once real and unreal, or (if you prefer it) which differs at once from the real and unreal, because this is established by proofs,' scriptural or otherwise, which are satisfactory to us, although they may not comply with all the technical requisitions of Gautama's scheme of argumentative exposition. To which we reply], Even although this be not compulsory [that the categories be reckoned six or sixteen], there is no acceptance of the inconsistent, else we come to the level of children, madmen, and the like." 1

(b.) 'An entity' (bhavarûpa).

This is laid down in opposition to the notion of the

¹ Sánkhya Aphorisms, translated by Dr. Ballantyne, pp. 6-8.

logicians that ajnâna, 'not-knowledge,' is merely the equivalent of jnânâbhâva, 'absence of knowledge.'

(c.) 'Antagonistic to knowledge' (jnânavirodhi).

This may possibly mean, 'whose foe is knowledge,' that is, 'which is capable of being destroyed by knowledge.' A man might argue, says the commentator, that Ignorance being, according to the Veda, 'unborn,' spread out everywhere like the ether, and having the semblance of reality, deliverance from its power and from transmigration is impossible; but it is not so, for notwithstanding the power of Ignorance, it nevertheless yields to the cognition of Brahma, as the darkness flees before the light. There can be no doubt, from what has been so far asserted of Ignorance, that the logicians have rightly defined it as 'absence of apprehension,' and that it is also 'misapprehension.' For further on we shall find two powers attributed to Ignorance, namely, those of 'concealment' (dvarana) and 'projection' (vikshepa), which are nothing else than 'absence of apprehension,' and 'misapprehension,' respectively.1

(d.) 'Composed of the three qualities' (trigunâtmaka).

This is stated, too, in Bhagavad Gîtâ, vii. 14: "Inasmuch as this divine Mâyâ of mine, composed of the qualities, is hard to be surmounted, none but those who resort to me cross over it." The *Prakriti*, that is, 'Nature' or 'Matter,' of the Sânkhya has been thus described:—"Nature is unintelligent substance, and is the material cause of the world. It consists of goodness, passion, and darkness in equal proportions. And here it should be borne in mind that it is not the goodness, passion, and darkness, popularly reckoned qualities or particular states

Rational Refutation, p. 248.

of the soul, that are intended in the Sânkhya. In it they are *unintelligent substances*. Otherwise, how could they be the material cause of earth and like gross things?" 1

Every word of this applies to the Vedantic 'Ignorance' or 'Illusion,' which, in the Śvetâśvatara Upanishad (iv. 10) is called Prakriti, or matter, and which is held to be the material cause of the world.

How this fact is to be reconciled with the previous portions of the definition is for the Vedantist to explain, if he can!

¹ Rational Refutation, p. 42.

IV.

This Ignorance is treated as one or as many, according as it is regarded as a collective or distributive aggregate. Just as, when regarding a collection of trees as a whole, we speak of them as one thing, namely, a forest; or as, when regarding a collection of waters as a whole we call them a lake, so when we look at the aggregate of the ignorances residing in individual souls and seeming to be manifold, we regard them as one. As it is said in the Veda, "[The one, unborn, individual soul, approaches] the one, unborn (Nature)" (Śvetáśvatara Upanishad, iv. 5).

This collective aggregate [of Ignorances], having as its associate that which is most excellent, abounds in pure goodness. Intelligence 2 associated with it, having the qualities of omniscience, omnipotence, and universal control, real and unreal, imperceptible, the internal ruler and the cause of the world, is called Îśwara.

 $^{^{1}}$ Namely, the \it{whole} of that portion of Brahma which is associated with ignorance.

² Chaitanya or Brahma.

Omniscience is attributed to him as the illuminator of the whole of Ignorance. As the Veda says, "Who knows all [generally], who knows everything [particularly]" (Mundaka, i. 1, 9).

This totality [of Ignorance], being the cause of all things, is Îśwara's causal body. It is also called 'the sheath of bliss,' because it is replete with bliss, and envelops all things like a sheath; and 'dreamless sleep,' because everything reposes in it,—on which account it is also regarded as the scene of the dissolution of all subtile and gross bodies.

As, when regarding a forest as a distributive aggregate composed of trees, there is a perception of its manifoldness, which is also perceived in the case of a lake regarded as a distributive aggregate of waters,—so, when viewing Ignorance distributively, we perceive it to be multiplex. As the Veda says, "Indra, by his supernatural powers, appears multiform" (Rig-Veda, 6. 47. 18).

Thus, then, a thing is regarded as a collective or distributive aggregate according as it is viewed as a whole or as a collection of parts.

Distributive ignorance, having a humble ¹ associate, abounds in impure goodness. Intelligence

¹ Namely, that small underlying portion of Brahma which forms the individual soul.

associated with it, having the qualities of parviscience and parvipotence, is called *Prájna.*¹ The smallness of its intelligence is owing to its being the illuminator of one Ignorance only. It has not the power of enlightening much, because its associate is not clear.

This [distributive Ignorance] is the individual's causal body, because it is the cause of the making of 'I,' &c. It is also called 'the sheath of bliss,' because it abounds in bliss and covers like a sheath; and 'dreamless sleep,' because all things repose in it,—on which account it is said to be the scene of the dissolution of the subtile and gross body.

Both Îśwara and Prājna experience bliss by means of the very subtile modifications of Ignorance lighted up by Intelligence. As the Veda says, "Prājna, whose sole inlet is the intellect, enjoys bliss" (Mānḍukya Upanishad, 5).

And, as is proved by the experience of one who on rising says, "I slept pleasantly, I was conscious of nothing."

Between these two, the collective and distri-

¹ This word is here made to mean a 'limited intelligence,' such as each individual is. In the sixth verse of the Mândukya Upanishad, however, it is described as "almighty, omniscient, &c.;" and Śankarâchârya defines *Prājna* as meaning one who has knowledge of the past and future, and of all objects.

butive aggregates [of Ignorance], there is no difference; just as there is none between a forest and its trees, or between a lake and its waters.

Nor is there any difference between Îśwara and Prâjna, who are associated respectively with these [collective and distributive aggregates of Ignorance]; just as there is none between the ether appropriated [i.e., the space occupied] by the forest and that appropriated by the trees composing it,—or between the sky reflected in the lake, and that reflected in its waters. As it is said in the Veda, "This is the lord of all, omniscient, the internal ruler, the source of all, for it is the source and reabsorbent of all creatures" (Mândukya Upanishad, 6).

As there is an unappropriated ether, the source of that appropriated by a forest or by its trees, and of that reflected in a lake or its waters—so too, there is Intelligence which is not associated with Ignorance, the source of these two Ignorance-associated Intelligences [Îśwara and Prâjna]. It is called the Fourth. As it is said in the Veda, "They consider that calm, blissful, secondless one to be the Fourth. That is Soul,—that is to be known" (Mândukya, 7).

This is said of Prájna.

This one, the Fourth, pure intelligence, when not discerned as separate from Ignorance, and Intelligence associated with it, like a red-hot iron ball [viewed without discriminating between the iron and the fire], is the literal meaning of the great sentence ['That art Thou']; but when discerned as separate, it is the meaning that is *indicated*.

This Ignorance has two powers, namely, that of (a) envelopment (or concealment), and of (b) projection.

The power of envelopment is such that, just as even a small cloud, by obscuring the beholder's path of vision, seems to overspread the sun's disc, which is many leagues in extent,—so Ignorance, though limited, veiling the understanding of the beholder, seems to cover up Soul, which is unlimited, and unconnected with the universe. As it has been said, "As he whose eye is covered by a cloud, thinks in his delusion that the sun is clouded and has lost its light,—so that Soul which seems bound to him whose mind's eye is blind,—that Soul, essentially eternal perception, am I." 1

Soul, covered up by this [enveloping power],

¹ Hastâmalaka, 12.

appears to be an agent and a patient, and to experience pleasure, pain, and other mundane conditions; just as a rope, covered by ignorance as to its real nature, appears to be a snake.

The power of projection is such, that, just as ignorance regarding a rope, by its own power raises up the form of a snake, &c., on the rope which is covered by it,—so Ignorance too, by its own power, raises up, on Soul which is covered by it, ether and the whole universe. As it has been said, "The projective power [of Ignorance] can create the world, beginning with subtile bodies, and ending with the terrene orb."

Intelligence, associated with Ignorance possessed of these two powers, is, when itself is chiefly considered, the efficient cause; and when its associate is chiefly considered, is the material cause. Just as a spider, when itself is chiefly considered, is the efficient cause of its web, the effect,—and when its body is chiefly considered, is the material cause of it.

¹ Vâkyasudhâ, v. 13.

NOTES ON SECTION IV.

In the foregoing pages, two eternal entities have been described, namely, Brahma and Ignorance. These two have been united from everlasting, and the first product of their union is Îśwara or God. It should be very distinctly understood that God—"the highest of manifestations in the world of unreality"—is the collective aggregate of all animated things, from the highest deity down to a blade of grass, just as a forest is a collective aggregate of trees.

This, to any ordinary mind, is tantamount to saying that there is no personal God at all; for how can it be supposed that this aggregate of sentiencies has, or has ever had, any power of united action, so as to constitute it a personal Being? Yet, after describing God as identical with the aggregate of individual sentiencies, apart from which he can have no more existence than a forest can have apart from the trees which compose it, the text proceeds to treat him as a personal Being, endowed with the qualities of omniscience, &c., and bearing rule over individual souls!

The attributes assigned to him are thus explained by the commentator. His 'omniscience' is merely his being a witness of the whole universe, animate and inanimate; or, as the text puts it, He is omniscient as being the illuminator of the whole body of illusion. He is called 'Îśwara,' because he presides over individual souls, and

¹ Calcutta Review, 1878, p. 314. See also Rational Refutation, p. 211.

allots rewards according to their works. How this aggregate of individual souls is to preside over itself, and reward each soul included in it according to its works, it is impossible to say; 1 but his functions in this capacity ought to be a sinecure, inasmuch as it is strongly insisted upon that works, whether good or bad, are followed by an exactly proportioned measure of reward or punishment, without the intervention of anybody. He is the 'controller' in the sense of being the mover or impeller of souls; and the 'internal ruler' as dwelling in the heart of each, and restraining the intellect. He is the 'cause of the world,' not as its creator, but as the seat of the evolution of that illusory effect. Indeed, it would be inconsistent to speak of a creator of a world which has no greater reality than belongs to things seen in a dream!

2. 'Îśwara's causal body.'

As Illusion overlying Brahma is the cause of the production of all things, it is called Iśwara's causal or alloriginating body. From it originate the super-sensible and sensible elements, then subtile bodies, and, lastly, gross bodies. These envelop transmigrating souls like sheaths, which have to be successively stripped off to reach pure Brahma.

3. 'Dreamless sleep.'

There are said to be three states of the soul in respect of the body, viz., waking, dreaming, and dreamless sleep. Brahma is described as 'the fourth' state. "When a man with all his wits about him is wide awake, he is regarded as being furthest removed from the state in which he ought to be,—he being then enveloped in the densest in-

¹ One might as well assert the possibility of a man's sitting on his own shoulders!

vestment of Ignorance. When he falls asleep and dreams, he is considered to have shuffled off his outermost coil: and therefore a dream is spoken of as the scene of the dissolution of the totality of the gross. The objects viewed in dreams are regarded as 'subtile.' When a man sleeps so soundly that he has no dream, he is regarded as having got rid not only of his 'gross body' but also of his 'subtile body;' hence profound and dreamless sleep is spoken of as the 'scene of the dissolution both of the gross and of the subtile body.' But although, in profound sleep, a man has got rid of all the developments of Ignorance, yet he is still wrapped in Ignorance itself, and this must be got rid of. He must not, like the sleeper who 'slept pleasantly and knew nothing, 'enjoy blessedness by means of the very subtile modifications of Ignorance illuminated by Intellect,' but he must become Intellect simply—identical with Blessedness. To this absolute Unity is given the name of 'the Fourth.'"1

The following remarks of Colebrooke's are of interest in this connection:—"In profound sleep the soul is absent, having retired by the channel of the arteries, and being as it were enfolded in the supreme deity. It is not, however, blended with the divine essence, as a drop of water fallen into a lake when it becomes undistinguishable; but, on the contrary, the soul continues discriminate, and returns unchanged to the body which it animates while awake. Swoon or stupor is intermediate between sleep and death. During insensibility produced by accident or disease, there is, as in profound sleep and lethargy, a temporary absence of the soul. In death it has absolutely quitted its gross corporeal frame." ²

¹ Dr. Ballantyne's Lecture on the Vedanta, para. 152 (f).
² Colebrooke's Essays, i. 398.

V.

From Intelligence associated with Ignorance attended by its projective power, in which the quality of insensibility (tamas) abounds, proceeds ether,—from ether, air,—from air, heat,—from heat, water,—and from water, earth. As the Veda says, "From this, from this same Self, was the ether produced" (Taittirtya Upanishad, 2. 1). The prevalence of insensibility in the cause of these elements is inferred from observing the excess of inanimateness which is in them.

Then, in those elements, ether and the rest, arise the qualities pleasure, pain, and insensibility, in the proportion in which they exist in their cause. These are what are termed the subtile elements, the rudimentary elements, the non-quintuplicated [lit. 'not become the five,' by combination].

From them spring the subtile bodies and the gross elements.

^{1 &}quot;The elements being unenlightened by Intellect, which they quite obscure."—Ballantyne's Lecture on the Vedanta.

The 'subtile bodies' are the distinguishing [or evidentiary] bodies, consisting of seventeen members.

The 'members' are the five organs of sense, mind, and intellect, the five organs of action, and the five vital airs.

The 'organs of sense' are the ear, skin, eye, tongue, and nose. These arise separately, in order, from the unmingled pleasure-portions of ether and the rest.

'Intellect' is the modification of the internal organ which is characterised by certitude; 'mind' is the modification characterised by resolution and irresolution; in these two are included thinking and egoism.

'Thinking' is that affection of the internal organ characterised by investigation; 'egoism' is the affection characterised by self-consciousness. These two arise from the united pleasure-endowed portions of ether and the others. That they are the effect of the pleasure-portions of the elements is inferred from their being luminous.

This intellect, together with the organs of sense, forms the cognitional sheath (vijnanamayakośa).

¹ That is to say, from ether, the characteristic of which is sound, came the ear,—from air, of which mobility is the characteristic, and in which sound and feel are sensible, came the sense of touch, and so on.

This one, which fancies itself to be an agent and a patient, and passes to and fro between this and the other world [i.e., a transmigrating soul], is called the conventional 1 soul.

The mind, together with the organs of action, form the mental [or sensorial] sheath (manomayakośa).

The 'organs of action' are the mouth, hand, foot, anus, and generative organ. These arise, separately, in order, from the unmixed pain-portions of the elements [which are characterised by activity].

The 'vital airs' are respiration (prāṇa), inspiration (apāna), flatuousness (vyāna), expiration (udāna), and digestion (samāna). 'Respiration' has an upward motion, and abides in the extremity of the nose; 'inspiration' has a downward course, and abides in the anus, &c.; 'flatuousness' moves in all directions, and pervades the whole body; 'expiration' belongs to the throat, has an upward course, and is the ascending air; 'digestion' is the assimilation of solid and liquid food on its reaching the stomach.

^{1 &}quot;There can be no such thing as a substance existing conventionally but not really. Things there may be, existing in the opinions of men or implied in their conduct, but if we deny their reality, we can only mean that they are mere fancies, and therefore not actually existing substances. . . . In fact, conventional, as opposed to real, can only mean imaginary, in other words, false."—Dialogues, p. 394.

'Assimilation' is the causing of digestion, and the production of juice, blood, semen, excrement, &c.

Some persons [followers of Kapila] say that there are five other airs, named Nâga, Kûrma, Kṛikara, Devadatta, and Dhananjaya.

'Naga' is that which causes eructation; 'karma' is that which causes the opening and closing of the eyes; 'krikara' causes hunger, and 'devadatta' yawning; and 'dhananjaya' is the nourisher.

But others [the Vedântins] say that there are five only, as these are included in the previous five, respiration and the rest.

This set of five vital airs arises from the united pain-portions of the elements, ether and the others. The five, together with the organs of action, form the respiratory sheath. Its being a product of the pain-portions of the elements, is inferred from its being endowed with activity [the characteristic of the 'rajoguna'].

Of these sheaths, 'the intellectual,' being endowed with the faculty of knowing, is an agent;

¹ This air continues in the body even after death, says the scholiast, quoting from some author: "na jahâti mritanchâpi sarvavyâpî dhanan-jayah!"

the 'mental,' having the faculty of desire, is an instrument; and the 'respiratory,' having the faculty of activity, is an effect. This division is in accord with the capabilities of each. These three sheaths together constitute the subtile frame.¹

Here, too, the totality of the subtile bodies, as the seat of one intellect [i.e., Sûtrâtmâ's], is a collective aggregate like the forest or the lake; or, as the seat of many intellects [viz., those of individual souls], is a distributive aggregate, like the forest trees or the lake-waters.

Intelligence associated with the collective aggregate [of subtile bodies] is called Sûtrâtmâ [Thread-soul], Hiranyagarbha, or Prâna, because it passes as a thread through all [the subtile frames], and on account of the conceit that it is the five uncompounded elements possessing the faculties of knowing, desire, and activity [i.e., that it is the subtile body itself].

This aggregate, because it is more subtile than the gross organisms, is called His subtile body, consisting of the three sheaths, 'the intellectual' and the others; and because it consists of the [continuance of the] waking thoughts, it is called a

¹ It attends the soul in its transmigrations.

dream, and is therefore said to be the scene of the dissolution of the gross.¹

Intelligence associated with the distributive aggregate of subtile organisms is Taijasa (the brilliant), because it has the luminous internal organ as its associate.

This distributive aggregate, too, being more subtile than the gross organisms, is called his subtile frame, comprising the three sheaths beginning with 'the intellectual;' and it is said to be a dream because it is made up of the [continuance of the] waking thoughts, on which account it is called the scene of the dissolution of the gross organisms.

These two, the Thread-soul [Sûtrâtmâ] and Taijasa, by means of the subtile modifications of the mind, have experience of subtile objects. As it is said in the Veda, "Taijasa has fruition of the supersensible" (Mândukya, 4).

There is no difference between the collective and distributive aggregates of the subtile frames, or between Sûtrâtmâ and Taijasa, who are asso-

^{1 &}quot;For, in a dream, the sight of trees and rivers, and the sound of voices, &c., are present to us, without the actual things called trees, &c., being present at all. To the dreamer, the whole external world is as it were not,—and, in the opinion of the Vedantin, to the dreamer it really is not."—Lecture on the Vedanta.

ciated with them,—just as there is none between the forest and its trees, or between the space occupied by each,—or between the lake and its waters, and the sky reflected in each.

Thus were the subtile organisms produced.

The gross elements are those that have been made by combining the five [subtile elements]. Quintuplication is on this wise. After dividing each of the five subtile elements, ether and the rest, into two equal parts, and then subdividing each of the first five of the ten moieties into four equal parts, mix those four parts with the others, leaving the [undivided] second moiety of each. As it has been said, "After dividing each into two parts, and the first halves again into four parts, by uniting the latter to the second half of each, each contains the five" (Panchadaśi, i. 27).

It must not be supposed that there is no authority for this, for from the Vedic passage regarding the combination of three things,² the combination of five is implied. Though the five alike contain

¹ That is, "the particles of the several elements, being divisible, are, in the first place, split into moieties; whereof one is subdivided into quarters, and the remaining moiety combines with one part (a quarter of a moiety) from each of the four others."—Colebrooke's Essays, i. 396. Each of the five elements thus contains a moiety of itself and an eighth of each of the others.

² Chhândogya Upanishad, 6. 3. 3.

the five, the name 'ether' and the rest are still applicable to them, in accordance with the saying, "For the sake of distinction, one has this name, and another that" (Vedânta Sûtras, 2. 4. 22). Then, in ether sound is manifested,—in air, sound and touch,—in heat, sound, touch, and form,—in water, sound, touch, form, and taste,—in earth, sound, touch, form, taste, and smell.

From these quintuplicated elements spring, one above the other, the worlds Bhûr, Bhuvar, Swar, Mahar, Janas, Tapas, and Satya; and, one below the other, the nether worlds called Atala, Vitala, Sutala, Rasâtala, Talâtala, Mahâtala, and Pâtâla; 2—Brahmâ's egg;—the four kinds of gross bodies included in it; and food and drink.

'The four kinds of gross bodies' are the viviparous, the oviparous, the moisture-engendered, and the germinating.

The viviparous are those produced from the womb, as men and animals; the oviparous are those born from eggs, as birds and snakes; the moisture-engendered are those which spring from

¹ The name 'ether' is suitable to the first because 'ether' largely predominates in it, and so with the other four.

² For an account of these upper and lower regions, see Wilson's Vishnu Purâna, ii. 209, 225.

moisture, as lice and gnats; the germinating are those which shoot up from the ground, as creepers and trees.

In this case, too, the fourfold gross body, viewed as the seat of one [collective] intellect or of many [individual] intellects, is a collective aggregate like a forest or a lake, or a distributive aggregate like the forest-trees or the lake-waters.

Intelligence associated with the collective aggregate is called Vaiśwânara [the spirit of humanity] or Virâț;¹ [the former] because of the conceit that it is in the whole of humanity, and [the latter] because it appears in various forms.²

This collective aggregate is his gross body. It is called 'the nutrimentitious sheath,' on account of the changes of food [which go on within it and build it up], and it is said to be awake, because it is the scene of the fruition of the gross.

Intelligence associated with the distributive aggregate is called Viśwa, because, without abandoning the conceit of the subtile body, it enters into all gross bodies.

¹ Compare Manu, i. 32, 33, and Sanskrit Texts, v. 369.

² I have followed the scholiast, who says, Sarvaprāninikāyeshvaham ityabhimānatwād vaiśwānaratwam; nānāprakārena prakāšamānatwāchcha vairājatwam labhate ityarthah. Ballantyne's rendering of the last clause is, "Because it rules over the various kinds [of bodies]."

This distributive aggregate is his gross body, and is called the nutrimentitious sheath on account of the changes of food [which go on within it and build it up]. It is also said to be awake because it is the seat of the fruition of the gross.

Viśwa and Vaiśwanara have experience of all gross objects; that is, by means of the ear and the rest of the five organs of sense, which are controlled by the quarters, wind, the sun, Varuna, and the Aswins respectively, [they have experience of] sound, sensation, form, taste, and smell;—by means of the mouth and the rest of the five organs of action, which are controlled by Agni, Indra, Upendra, Yama, and Prajapati respectively, [they have experience of speaking, taking, walking, evacuation, and sensual delights; and by means of the four internal organs, named mind, intellect, egoism, and thinking, which are controlled by the moon, Brahmâ, Śiva, and Vishnu respectively, [they have experience of] doubting, certitude, egoising, and thought. As it is said in the Veda, ["The first quarter is Vaiswanara, who is in the waking state, and has cognition of externals" (Mandukya Upanishad, 3).

Here, too, as in the former cases, there is no

difference between the distributive and collective aggregates of gross organisms, or between Viśwa and Vaiśwânara who are associated with them; just as there is none between a forest and its trees, or between the spaces occupied by them,—or between a lake and its waters, or between the sky reflected in them.

In this way is the gross produced from the five elements quintuplicated.

NOTES ON SECTION V.

- 1. Recapitulating, then, Brahma is illusorily associated with three kinds of bodies:—
 - Firstly, with a causal body, composed of Ignorance or Illusion, which, in the aggregate, is Îśwara or God, and, distributively, individual souls or Prâjna. It is likened to a state of dreamless sleep.
 - Secondly, with a subtile body, composed of the five organs of sense and of action, mind, intellect, and the five vital airs, seventeen in all. This, in the aggregate, is called Hiranyagarbha, or the Thread-soul, and, in the distributed state, Taijasa. It is likened to a state of dream.
 - Thirdly, with a gross body composed of the compounded elements. Viewed in the aggregate, it is called Vaiśwânara, and distributively, Viśwa. It is likened to the waking state.

A fourth state is that of the unassociated pure Brahma, who is technically styled 'The Fourth.'

2. Mind, intellect, egoism and thinking, which, on page 66, are styled 'internal organs,' are, collectively, 'the internal organ.' See note on page 5.

VI.

THE aggregate of all these expanses of gross, subtile, and causal bodies is one vast expanse; just as the aggregate of a number of minor [or included] forests is one large forest, or that of a number of minor [or included] bodies of water is one large body.

Intelligence associated with it, from Viśwa and Vaiśwânara up to Îśwara, is one only; just as the space occupied by the various included forests is one, or as the sky reflected in the various included bodies of water is one.

Unassociated Intelligence not seen to be distinct from the great expanse and the Intelligence associated with it, like a heated ball of iron, [in which the iron and the fire are not discriminated,] is the literal [or primary] meaning of the great sentence, "Truly all this is Brahma;" but when seen as distinct, it is what is indicated by that sentence.

Thus 'illusory attribution,' or the superimposing

of the unreal upon the Real, has been set forth in general terms. But now, the particular way in which one man imposes this and another that upon the all-pervading [individuated] self is to be declared.

For example, the very illiterate man says that his son is his self; on account of the text of the Veda [cf. Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa, 14. 9. 4. 26], "Self is born as a son;" and because he sees that he has the same love for his son as for himself; and because he finds that if it is well or ill with his son, it is well or ill with himself.

A Chârvâka says that the gross body is his self; on account of the text of the Veda [Taittirtya Upanishad, 2. 1], "This is man as made up of the extract of food;" and because he sees that a man leaving his own son [to burn], departs himself from a burning house; and because of the experience, "I am fat," "I am lean."

Another Chârvâka says that the organs of sense are his self; on account of the text of the Veda (Chhândogya Upanishad, v. 1. 7), "They, the organs of sense (prâṇâh), went to Prajâpati and said, ['Lord, which of us is the chief?' He said unto them, 'He is chief among you whose departure makes the body seem worthless'];" and

because in the absence of the organs of sense the functions of the body cease; and because of the experience, "I am blind of one eye," "I am deaf."

Another Chârvâka says that the vital airs are his self; on account of the text of the Veda (*Taittiriya Upanishad*, 2. 2), "There is another, an inner self, made of the vital airs;" and because in the absence of the vital airs the organs of sense are inactive; and because of the experience, "I am hungry," "I am thirsty."

Another Chârvâka says that the mind is his self; on account of the text of the Veda (*Taittiriya Upanishad*, 2. 3), "There is another, an inner self, made of the mind;" and because when the mind sleeps the vital airs cease to be; and because of the experience, "I resolve," "I doubt."

A Bauddha says that intellect is his self; on account of the text of the Veda (Taittiriya, 2. 4), "There is another, an inner self, made up of cognition;" and because, in the absence of an agent, an instrument is powerless; and because of the experience, "I am an agent," "I am a patient."

The Pråbhåkara and the Tårkika say that ignorance is their self; on account of the text of the Veda (*Taittirîya*, 2. 5), "There is another, an inner

self, made up of bliss;" and because, during sleep, intellect and the rest are merged in ignorance; and because of the experience, "I am ignorant."

The Bhâtta says that Intelligence associated with ignorance is his self; on account of the text of the Veda (Mândukya Upanishad, 5), "Self is a mass of knowledge, and comprised of bliss;" and because during sleep there are both the light [of intelligence] and the darkness [of ignorance 1]; and because of the experience, "Myself I know not."

Another Bauddha says that nihility is his self; on account of the text of the Veda, "In the beginning, this was a mere nonentity;" and because during sleep everything disappears; and because of the experience of the man who has just awoke from sleep,—an experience in the shape of a reflection on his own non-existence,—when he says, "I slept—during sleep, I was not."

That these, beginning with 'son' and ending with 'nihility,' have not the nature of self, is now declared. Seeing that, in the fallacies based on

^{1 &}quot;For, as the commentator says, referring to the sentence 'I slept pleasantly—I was aware of nothing,' if there were not light or knowledge in the soul, how could the sleeper have known that his sleep was pleasant? And if there were not the absence of light or knowledge, how could he say 'I was aware of nothing'?"—Ballantyne's Lecture on the Vedanta.

Vedic texts, arguments, and personal experience, brought forward by the 'very illiterate man' and the other speakers, each succeeding fallacy refutes the notion of self put forth in that preceding it, it is clear that 'son' and the rest are not the self.

Moreover, from the opposite statements of other strong Vedic texts to the effect that the all-pervading [individuated] self is not gross, not the eye, not the vital airs, not the mind, not an agent, but intelligence, pure intelligence, and existent,—from the transitory character, as of a jar, of the insentient objects beginning with 'son' and ending with 'nihility,' which owe their visibility to Intelligence,—from the force of the experience of the wise, viz., 'I am Brahma,'—and also from the fact that the fallacies based on this and that Vedic passage, argument, and personal experience have been refuted,—each of those from 'son' down to 'nihility' is assuredly not the self.

Therefore, all-pervading [individuated] Intelligence alone, the illuminator of each of those [son and the rest], whose nature is eternal, pure, intelligent, free and true, is the true self—such is the experience of those who know the Vedânta.

NOTES ON SECTION VI.

- 1. The Chârvâkas, otherwise called Śûnyavâdins or Lokâyatikas, were one of the ancient heretical sects of Hindus. Professor Wilson says of them (Works, ii. 87) that they "condemned all ceremonial rites, ridiculed even the Śrâddha, and called the authors of the Vedas fools, knaves, and buffoons." He says too that they were "named from one of their teachers, the Muni Chârvâka. . . . The appellation Śûnyavâdî implies the asserter of the unreality and emptiness of the universe; and another designation, Lokâyata, expresses their adoption of the tenet, that this being is the Be-all of existence; they were, in short, the advocates of materialism and atheism" (Works, i. 22). Colebrooke, too, calls their doctrine "undisguised materialism." According to this scholar, their principal tenets were, (a) the identity of the soul with the body,—(b) the rejection of dkdsa as an element, -and (c) the acknowledgment of perception alone as a means of proof. Their doctrines are explained in the first chapter of the Sarvadarsanasangraha, which has been translated by Professor Cowell.1
- 2. The Bauddhas, or followers of Buddha, are said by Brahmanical controversialists to have been divided into

¹ Vide pamphlet entitled "The Chârvâka System of Philosophy."—The term Lokâyata, or Lokâyatika, is here explained to be that applied to men who held the opinion, 'widely prevalent in the world' (lokeshu âyatam vistirnam yanmatam asti), that wealth and desire are the only ends of man, and that there is no future world.

four sects, styled Mådhyamikas, Yogåchâras, Sautrântikas, and Vaibhashikas. Those referred to in the text would be the first two, the former of whom are said to have maintained that all is void, and the latter that all is void but intelligence. Possibly these four schools did at one time exist amongst the Indian Buddhists; but it is difficult to understand how they could have held the views ascribed to them in the text. For one of the cardinal doctrines of Buddhism is that there is no self. One of the best authorities on Southern Buddhist teaching thus wrote:-"The idea of the Brahmans is, that there is a supreme existence, paramâtmâ, from which each individual existence has derived its being, but that this separate existence is an illusion; and that the grand object of man is to effect the destruction of the cause of seeming separation, and to secure the reunion of the derived and the underived, the conditioned and the unconditioned. But Buddha repeatedly, by an exhaustive variation of argument, denies that there is any self or ego. Again and again, he runs over the components and essentialities of being, enumerating with tedious minuteness the classifications into which they may be divided, in order to convince his followers that, in whatever way these constituents may be placed, or however they may be arranged, there can be found in them no self." 1 How then could the Buddhists referred to in the text have held 'nihility' or 'intelligence' to be self?

3. The *Prâbhâkaras* were the followers of Prabhâkara, the well-known scholiast of the Pûrva-Mimânsâ; the

¹ Hardy's Legends and Theories of the Buddhists, p. 171. See also this author's Manual of Buddhism, p. 405; and Rhys Davids' Buddhism, pp. 90-99.

Târkikas are of course the Naiyâyikas or followers of the Nyâya. The Bhâṭṭas are presumably the disciples of Kumârila Bhaṭṭa, the well-known Mimânsaka already referred to, who lived about a century before Śankara Âchârya.

VII.

THE 'withdrawal' (apavâda) is the assertion that the whole of the unreal, beginning with Ignorance, which is an illusory effect of the Real, is nothing but the Real; just as a snake, which is the illusory effect of a rope, is nothing whatsoever but the rope.

It has been said, "An actual change of form is called *vikâra*, whilst a merely apparent change of form is called *vivartta*." This shall now be illustrated.

The whole of the four classes of gross bodies constituting the seat of enjoyment,—the food and drink necessary for their use,—the fourteen worlds, Bhûr and the rest, the repository of these,—and Brahma's egg which is the receptacle of all those worlds,—all these are nothing more than the quintuplicated elements of which they are made.

The quintuplicated elements, with sound and the other objects of sense, and the subtile bodies,—all these are nothing more than the non-quintuplicated elements of which they are made.

The non-quintuplicated elements, with the qualities of goodness and the rest, in the inverse order of their production, are nothing more than Ignorance-associated Intelligence, which is their material cause.

Ignorance, and Intelligence associated with it, constituting Îśwara, &c., are nothing more than Brahma, the Fourth, the unassociated Intelligence, which forms their substrate.

¹ How can *Ignorance* be "nothing more than Brahma," seeing that it is an eternally distinct "entity"?

NOTE ON SECTION VII.

The object of the foregoing is to demonstrate that the phenomenal world is nought but the illusory effect (vivartta) of the secondless Reality Brahma, who is its illusory material cause. The relation between Brahma and the phenomenal is that of the rope mistaken for a snake, which snake is only an illusion. Vikâra, on the other hand, which is synonymous with parinâma (Amara, iii. 2. 15), is a real change of form and name. Instances of it are found in the formation of an earring from a lump of gold, or of a jar or toy-elephant from clay, in which there is a change of form and of name, but not of substance; or in the transformation of milk into curds, where there is a change of substance as well as of name and form.

The old Vedantists, as already stated, regarded the phenomenal world as a *vikâra* or evolution from Brahma, a view which is strenuously rejected by the moderns ¹ or *mâyâvâdins*.

¹ Their doctrine of existences, already stated, must be borne in mind here.

VIII.

By means of these two, illusory attribution and its withdrawal, the precise meaning of the words 'That' and 'Thou' [in the sentence 'That art Thou,' 'tat twam asi'] is determined.

For example, the collective aggregate of Ignorance and the rest, Intelligence associated with it and having the characteristic of omniscience, &c. [i.e., Îśwara], and the unassociated Intelligence,—this triad, appearing as one, after the manner of a red-hot iron ball [where the iron and the fire are not viewed as distinct], is the literal [or expressed] meaning of the word 'That;' but, unassociated Intelligence, the substrate of that which is associated, is its real [or indicated] meaning.

The distributive aggregate of Ignorance and the rest, Intelligence associated with it and having the characteristic of limited knowledge [i.e., Prājna], and Intelligence which is not associated,—this triad, appearing as one, after the manner of a red-

hot ball of iron, is the literal meaning of the word 'Thou;' but, pure Intelligence, the Fourth, all-pervading joy, the substrate of that associated Intelligence, is its real meaning.

NOTE ON SECTION VIII.

This section prepares the way for the subject to the consideration of which the two succeeding sections are devoted, namely, the identity in meaning of the terms 'That' and 'Thou' in the great Vedantic sentence 'That art Thou.'

"If they cannot be shown to mean the same thing, then the sentence does not enunciate a truth. The author therefore undertakes to show that they do mean the same thing. This he does by showing, as we have just seen, that the only apparent difference between the senses of the two terms is that which appears to exist between Ignorance in its collective aggregate and Ignorance in its distributive aggregate; and as it has been ruled that these have no difference—as there is none between a forest and its trees—it follows that there is no difference in meaning between the term 'That' and the term 'Thou' in the sentence 'That art Thou.'"

¹ Lecture on the Vedanta.

IX.

Now the great sentence shall be explained.

This sentence, 'That art Thou,' viewed under three different relations, declares what is meant by the Indivisible [or Impartite].

The three relations are—

- (a.) The community of reference (sâmânâd-hikaraṇya) of the two words ['That' and 'Thou'].
- (b.) The position of predicate and subject (viśe-shana-viśeshyabhāva) occupied by the things referred to by the words,—and
- (c.) The connection as indicated and indicator (lakshya-lakshaṇabhāva), between the purport of the two words and individuated self.

As it has been said, "Between the things which the words refer to, and individuated self (pratyagatman), there is community of reference,

the connection as predicate and subject, and as indicated and indicator." 1

(a.) Community of reference.

As, in the sentence 'That is this same Devadatta,' 2 the words 'that' and 'this,' which respectively distinguish the Devadatta of a former and of the present time, are connected by the fact that they both refer to one and the same Devadatta;—so, in the sentence 'That art Thou,' the words 'That' and 'Thou,' which indicate Intelligence characterised respectively by invisibility and visibility, have the connection of reference to one and the same Intelligence.

(b.) Connection as predicate and subject.

As, in that same sentence ['That is this same D.'], the relation of predicate and subject exists between the Devadatta of the former time, who is referred to in the word 'That,' and the Devadatta of the present time, referred to in the word 'this,'—a relation constituted by the exclusion of the difference [of time] which there is between them,—so, too, in this sentence ['That art Thou'] is there the relation of predicate and subject be-

¹ Naishkarmyasiddhi, iii. 3.

² I.e., 'That person whom I saw on some former occasion is this same Devadatta whom I now behold.'—Ballantyne's Lecture on the Vedânta.

tween Intelligence distinguished by invisibility, as indicated by the word 'That,' and Intelligence distinguished by visibility, as indicated by the word 'Thou,'—a relation constituted by the exclusion of the difference which there is between them.

(c.) Connection as indicator and indicated.

As in that sentence ['That is this D.'], by the omission of the contradictory characteristics of former and present time, the words 'that' and 'this,' or the things they refer to, hold the relation of indicator and indicated with respect to the non-contradictory [or common] term 'Devadatta;'—so, too, in this sentence ['That art Thou'], by the omission of the conflicting characteristics of invisibility and visibility, the words 'That' and 'Thou,' or the things represented by them, hold the relation of indicator and indicated with respect to the non-conflicting [or common] term 'Intelligence.'

This is what is called [in Alankâra] 'the indication of a portion' (bhâgalakshanâ).

¹ Cf. Adhyâtma Râmâyaṇa-Uttarakânḍa, v. 27.

NOTE ON SECTION IX.

Bhâgalakshaṇâ.

According to Hindu rhetoricians, the meaning of every word or sentence comes under one of three heads, that is, it is either literal (vâchya), indicative (lakshya), or suggestive (vyangya). Their three functions or powers are termed Denotation (abhidha), Indication (lakshana), and Suggestion (vyanjana). We are here concerned with the middle one only, which is thus defined in the Kâvyaprakâsa (ii. 9): "When the literal meaning is incompatible [with the rest of the sentence], and, either from usage or from some motive, another meaning is indicated, in connection with the primary one, that imposed function is called 'Indication.'"

The sentence "A herd-station on the Ganges" is an example of this. Here the literal meaning of the word 'Ganges' is incompatible with the rest of the sentence, it being impossible that the herdsmen could be living on the surface of the water; so it is clear that the river's 'bank' is indicated, and this meaning is imposed upon the word 'Ganges' in accordance with usage. In using the word 'Ganges' rather than 'bank of the Ganges,' there is also the motive of conveying the idea of coolness, purity, &c., which might not be equally well suggested by the use of the latter expression.

There are numerous varieties of 'Indication'—according to the author of the Sâhityadarpana, there are as many as eighty—but the two principal ones, and those which alone concern us, are—

- (1.) Inclusive Indication (*pådåna-lakshanå), and
- (2.) Indicative Indication (lakshaṇa-lakshaṇā).

The former is described in the Kâvyaprakâśa (ii. 10) as that which introduces something else in order to establish itself, and the latter as that which abandons itself in order to introduce something else.

An example of 'Inclusive Indication' is "The white is galloping," the literal sense of which is impossible, whilst what is indicated is "The white horse is galloping." Thus the word 'horse' is introduced without the abandonment of the term 'white.' This class is therefore sometimes called 'ajahatswartha' or 'ajahallakshana,' Indication in which there is the use of a word without the abandonment of its sense.

An example of 'Indicative Indication,' or Indication simply, is the sentence already given, "A herd-station on the Ganges," where the word 'Ganges' abandons its own meaning in order to introduce that of the 'bank.' This class is therefore sometimes called 'jahatswartha,' or 'jahallakshana,' Indication in which there is the use of a word with the abandonment of its meaning.

Now the bhagalakshana of the text is a combination of these two varieties, and is therefore otherwise called jahadajahallakshana. This term is defined in the Vachaspatya as "Indication abiding in one part of the expressed meaning, whilst another part of it is abandoned. As, for example, in the sentence 'That is this Devadatta,' whilst the meanings expressive of past and present time are abandoned, another portion of the expressed meaning remains and conveys the idea of the one Devadatta. And again, in the sentence 'That art thou, Śwetaketu,' whilst there is the abandonment of

the conflicting ideas of omniscience and parviscience, there is, as in the other example, the retention of one portion which conveys the idea of Intelligence only." ¹

These two varieties of Indication must be thoroughly understood in order to comprehend the purport of the following Section.

¹ Vide Vachaspatya, s.v. Jahadajahallakshana.

X.

In the sentence 'That art Thou,' the *literal* meaning is not suitable as it is in such a sentence as 'The lotus is blue.' For, in the latter, the literal sense suits because there is no valid reason for not accepting the fact that the quality denoted by the term 'blue,' and the substance denoted by the term 'lotus,'—inasmuch as they exclude such other qualities and substances as 'white' and 'cloth,'—are mutually connected as subject and predicate, or are identical, each being qualified by the other.¹

But, in the former sentence, the literal meaning does not suit, because there is the evidence of our senses against the acceptance of a connection as subject and predicate, between Intelligence distinguished by invisibility as denoted by the term 'That,' and Intelligence distinguished by visibility as denoted by the term 'Thou,'—a connection constituted by the exclusion of their mutual differences

¹ The 'lotus' being the thing that we call 'hlue,' and the 'blue' thing being what we call 'lotus.'—Ballantyne.

(page 85);—and also against our regarding them as identical, each being qualified by the other.

Nor, again, is it consistent to regard it as an example of 'Indication in which the primary sense is abandoned' (jahallakshand), as is the case in the sentence 'The herdsman lives on the Ganges.' For, as the literal sense, which places the Ganges and the herdsman in the relation of location and thing located, is altogether incongruous, whilst an appropriate sense is obtained by abandoning the literal meaning altogether and regarding it as indicating the 'bank' connected with it,—it is rightly regarded as an example of 'Indication in which the primary sense is abandoned.'

But, in the other case, as the literal sense, which expresses the identity of the Intelligences characterised severally by invisibility and visibility, is only partially incongruous,—and as, unless we abandon the remaining part, it would be inappropriate to consider something else to be indicated,—it is not proper to regard it as an instance of 'Indication in which the primary sense is abandoned.'

And it must not be said, "As the word

¹ Vide Note on preceding Section.

'Ganges' abandons its own meaning and indicates the 'bank,' so let the word 'That' or 'Thou' abandon its own meaning and indicate the word 'Thou' or 'That,' and then jahallak-shana would not be incongruous." For, in the one case, as there is no distinct notion of the word 'bank,' because it is not heard, there is need for the conveyance of that notion by Indication; but as the words 'That' and 'Thou' are heard, and there is a distinct perception of their sense, there is no need of the reconveyance of the perception of the sense of each by the other, by means of Indication.

Further, it cannot be regarded as an instance of 'ajahallakshana,' as is the case in the sentence "The red is running." For, as the literal sense, which denotes the motion of the quality 'red,' is incongruous, whilst it is possible to avoid that incongruity by perceiving that a 'horse,' or other animal, is indicated as the seat of the redness, without the abandonment of the term 'red,'—it is right to regard it as an instance of 'Indication in which the primary sense is not abandoned' (ajahallakshana).

¹ Vide Note on preceding Section.

But, in the other case, as the literal sense, namely, the identity of the Intelligences distinguished severally by invisibility and visibility, is incongruous, and the incongruity is not removed by regarding something else connected therewith as indicated without the abandonment of the contradictory terms, the sentence does not stand as an example of that kind of Indication.

And it must not be said, "Let the word 'That' or 'Thou' abandon the incongruous portion of its meaning, and, retaining the other portion, indicate the meaning of the word 'Thou' or 'That' respectively; then there will be no need of explaining it in another way as 'bhāgalakshaṇā' or the 'Indication of a portion.' For it is impossible for one word to indicate a portion of its own meaning and the meaning of another word; and, further, there is no expectation of the perception of the meaning of either word again by means of Indication, when its meaning has been already perceived by the use of a separate word.

Therefore, as, on account of the incongruity of

¹ Viz., that of invisibility or visibility, respectively.

² Viz., that of Intelligence.

 $^{^{\}rm 8}$ $\it I.e.,$ Intelligence characterised by parviscience, &c., or by omniscience, c., respectively.

a portion of its literal meaning which denotes a Devadatta who is distinguished by both past and present time, the sentence 'That is this Devadatta,' or its purport, by abandoning the portion characterised by the contradictory terms past and present time, indicates merely the non-contradictory portion, namely, Devadatta himself,—so, in like manner, on account of the incongruity of a portion of its literal sense which denotes the identity of Intelligences characterised by invisibility and visibility, the sentence 'That art Thou,' or its purport, abandons the portion characterised by the conflicting terms invisibility and visibility, and indicates merely the non-conflicting portion, namely, the Indivisible Intelligence.

NOTE ON SECTION X.

"This view of the matter may be illustrated algebraically. Not being able to admit as an equation the expression 'Devadatta + past time = Devadatta + present time,' we reflect that the conception of time is not essential to the conception of D's nature; and we strike it out of both sides of the expression, which then gives 'Devadatta = Devadatta,' the equality being that of identity. In the same way, not being able to admit as an equation the expression 'Soul + invisibility = Soul + visibility,' we reflect that the visibility, &c., are but the modifications of Ignorance, which, we were told, is no 'reality.' Deleting the unessential portion of each side of the expression, we find 'Soul = Soul,' the equality being here also that of identity." 1

It must be understood that this Section is closely connected with the Ninth, and must be read with it. The two are here disconnected in order to introduce the explanation of a technicality.

¹ Lecture on the Vedânta.

XI.

THE meaning of the sentence "I am Brahma," [the expression of] the experience [of the instructed pupil] shall now be explained.

When, after making clear the meaning of the words 'That' and 'Thou' by means of the erroneous attribution and its subsequent withdrawal, the teacher has communicated the meaning of the Indivisible by means of the sentence ['That art Thou'], then a modification of the internal organ (chittavritti) assuming the form of the Indivisible, arises within the qualified person, and he says, "I am Brahma, the unchanging, pure, intelligent, free, undecaying, supreme joy, eternal, secondless."

That modification of the internal organ, being accompanied by the reflection of Intelligence, and being directed towards the previously unrecognised Supreme Brahma, non-different from individuated self, drives away the ignorance which invests him.

Then, as, when the threads composing a piece of cloth are burned, the cloth itself is consumed, so, when Ignorance, the cause of all effects, is destroyed, every effect ceases; and therefore the modification of the internal organ which has assumed the form of the Indivisible, being one of those effects, also ceases.

As the light of a lamp, unable to illuminate the sun's light, is overpowered by it, so, too, the Intelligence which is there reflected in that modification of the internal organ being incapable of illuminating the Supreme Brahma, non-different from individuated self, is overpowered by it; and its associate, the modification of the internal organ [shaped] on the Indivisible, having been destroyed, it becomes [i.e., merges into] the Supreme Brahma, non-different from individuated self; just as, on the removal of a mirror, the face reflected in it lapses into the face itself.

Such being the case, the two Vedic sayings, "He [Brahma] is to be perceived by the mind alone," and "He [Brahma] whom with the mind one thinks not," are not contradictory. For whilst the need of the pervasion by the modification of the

¹ Brihaddranyaka, vi. 4. 19.

² Kenopanishad, i. 5.

internal organ is admitted, [for the cognition of the veiled Brahma, as of other unknown objects], the need of its pervading the result [viz., the unveiled Brahma] is denied. As it has been said, "For the removal of the ignorance [resting] on Brahma, its pervasion by the modification of the internal organ is requisite; but the authors of the Sâstras deny that [in His case] there is need of its pervading the result." For, "As Brahma is self-luminous, the light [necessary for illuminating the jar, &c.] is not employed [in His case]." 2

When the modification of the internal organ assumes the shape of an *inanimate* object, the case is different. For example, [in the cognition] 'This is a jar,' the modification of the internal organ which assumes the shape of the jar is directed towards the unknown object, jar, removes the ignorance which rests on it, and, at the same time, illuminates it, though insentient, with the light of its own indwelling Intelligence. As it has been said,³ "The internal organ and the light of Intelligence abiding in it, both pervade the jar; then, the ignorance [covering the jar] disappears by means of the former, whilst the jar bursts forth by means

3 ?

1 ?

of the latter." Just as the light of a lamp directed towards a jar or other object standing in the dark dispels the darkness enveloping it, and by its own brilliance brings it to view.

¹ In the passage at the top of the preceding page, I have taken the word vydpyatwa in a non-technical sense on the authority of the commentary Subodhint, which reads thus:—"Antahkuraṇavṛittir dvaraṇanivṛittyartham ajnānāvachchhinnachaitanyam vyāpnotityetadvṛittivyāpyatwam angikriyate] Âvaraṇabhangānantaram swayam prakāšamānam chaitanyam phalachaitanyam ityuchyate, asmin phalachaitanye nishkalanke chittavrittir na vyāpnoti, dvaraṇabhangasya prāgeva jātatwena prayojanābhāvād ityarthah | ""The modification of the internal organ pervades the ignorance-appropriated Intelligence, in order to remove the covering, and the need of that pervasion is admitted. The Intelligence that shines forth of itself after the destruction of the covering is called 'phalachaitanya;' the modification of the internal organ does not pervade that spotless phalachaitanya, for, since it existed before the destruction of the covering, such pervasion is unnecessary."

NOTE ON SECTION XI.

From this passage we learn that when the meaning of the great sentence 'That art Thou' has been explained to the pupil and understood by him, he perceives the Indivisible and realises his oneness with Him.

According to the Vedânta, perception of an object, such as a jar, takes place in the following way. When the eye is fixed upon the jar, the internal organ, with the Intelligence appropriated to or reflected in it, goes out towards it, and by its light dispels the darkness of Ignorance enveloping it, illuminates it, assumes its shape, and so cognises it. stock illustration of this is that of water flowing from a well or tank by means of a narrow open channel, emptying itself into the square beds with raised edges, into which a field is sometimes divided for the purpose of irrigation, and assuming the shape of those beds, illuminated internal organ is the water, and the operation is called an evolution or 'modification' of that organ. As pointed out in the text, however, the perception of Brahma differs from that of an ordinary object, in that He, being self-luminous, is not revealed by the light of the Intelligence reflected in the internal organ, but shines forth as soon as the latter has dispelled the Ignorance enveloping Him.

The word which I have here rendered 'internal organ' is more properly 'thought,' which is a component part of that organ. (See page 68.)

XII.

As, up to the time of the immediate cognition of Intelligence, which is his own essence, it is necessary to practise (a.) hearing (śravaṇa), (b.) consideration (manana), (c.) profound contemplation (nididhyāsana), and (d.) meditation (samādhi), these are now set forth.

- (a.) 'Hearing' is the ascertaining of the drift of all the Vedantic writings regarding the second-less Reality, by the use of the sixfold means of knowledge ' (linga). These means are (1) the beginning and the ending, (2) repetition, (3) novelty, (4) the result, (5) persuasion, and (6) illustration from analogy. As it has been said, "The beginning and the ending, repetition, novelty, the result, persuasion, and illustration from analogy, are the means for the determination of the purport."
 - 1. 'The beginning and the ending' (upakra-

¹ Lingam artham gamayati. Sch.

mopasamhârau) are the mention at the beginning and end of a chapter of the subject to be expounded in it; as in the 6th chapter of the Chhândogya Upanishad, at the beginning of which, the secondless Reality who is to be set forth in it, is declared in the words "One only without a second," and, at the end, in the words "All this is the essence of That."

- 2. 'Repetition' (abhyâsa) is the repeated declaration in a chapter of the subject which is to be set forth in it; as, for example, in that same chapter, the secondless Reality is set forth nine times in the words "That art Thou."
- 3. 'Novelty' (aparvata) is the fact that the subject to be treated of in a chapter is not an object of perception by any other means; as, for example, in that same chapter, the secondless Reality [there set forth] is not an object of perception by any other means.
- 4. 'The result' (phala) is the motive, set forth in various places, for acquiring the knowledge of Self who is to be treated of in a chapter, or for carrying that knowledge into practice; as, for example, in that same chapter (vi. 14, 2), where it says, "The man who has a teacher knows [the

truth], but he is delayed [from absorption] until he is set free [by death]; then he attains to it,"—the acquisition of the secondless Reality is set forth as the motive for acquiring the knowledge of Him.

- 5. 'Persuasion' (arthavada) is the praising, in various places, the subject to be treated of in a chapter; just as, in that same chapter (vi. 1, 3), the secondless Reality is praised in these words,—"Didst thou ask for that instruction by which the unheard of becomes heard;—the unthought, thought,—the unknown, known?"
- 6. 'Illustration from analogy' (upapatti) is an argument stated in various places in support of the subject to be treated of in a chapter; as, for example, in that same chapter (vi. 1, 4), in demonstrating the secondless Reality, an argument is set forth as follows, to show that the variety of forms [in the universe] rests upon a foundation of words and nothing else,—"O, gentle one! as, by means of one lump of earth, everything earthen is known

^{1 &}quot;'Persuasion' is the setting forth of the end, i.e., of the motive; that is to say, it is a speech intended to commend the object of an injunction. For a persuasive speech, by means of laudation, &c., commends the object of an injunction with a view to our quickly engaging [in the performance of the ceremony enjoined]."—Ballantyne's A phorisms of the Nyâya, ii. 63 (b).

2 Vâchârambhana=vâgâlambana. (Bhâshya on the Upanishad).

to be a thing resting upon words alone, a change of form, a name, and nothing in reality but earth, [so is it with the phenomenal world which is nought but Brahma]."

- (b.) 'Consideration' is unceasing reflection on the secondless Reality which has been heard of, in conjunction with arguments in support of the Vedânta.
- (c.) 'Profound contemplation' is the continuance of ideas consistent with the secondless Reality, to the exclusion of the notion of body and suchlike things which are inconsistent [with Him].
 - (d.) 'Meditation' is of two kinds, viz.:-
- 1. With recognition of subject and object (savikalpaka), and
 - 2. Without such recognition (nirvikalpaka).
- (1.) 'Meditation with the recognition of subject and object' is the resting of the modification of the internal organ on the secondless Reality whose shape it has assumed, without any concern as to the merging of the distinction between the knower and the knowledge, &c. Then, just as there is the perception of earth [and of that alone], even though there be the appearance of an earthen toy-elephant, &c., so too is there the perception of the secondless

Reality [alone], even though there be the appearance of duality. As it has been said by those engaged [in such contemplation]:—"I am that secondless one who is ever free, whose essence is knowledge, like the ether [i.e., pure and formless], supreme, once seen [that is, never changing, as the moon, &c., does], unborn, alone, everlasting, undefiled [by contact with Ignorance, &c.], all-pervading; I am pure knowledge, whose essence is unvariableness; I am neither fettered nor set free" (Upadeśasahasri, verses 73 and 74).

(2.) 'Meditation without the recognition of subject and object' is the resting of the modification of the understanding on the secondless Reality whose shape it has assumed, with concern as to the merging of the distinction of knower and knowledge, &c., so as to be completely identified with Him. Then, just as, owing to the disappearance of salt after it has [melted and so] assumed the shape of the water [into which it was thrown], nothing appears but the water,¹ so, by the disappearance of the modification of the internal organ after it has assumed the shape of the secondless Reality, nothing appears but the latter.

¹ Compare Chhandogya Upanishad, vi. 13.

It must not be supposed that this state and sound sleep are identical; for, though in both alike the modification of the internal organ is not perceived, there is nevertheless this one distinction between them, that it is present in the former [though unperceived], but not in the latter.¹

¹ Rational Refutation, p. 224, but cf. Yoga Aphorisms, i. 10.

NOTES ON SECTION XIL

I. 'Profound contemplation is,' &c.

I am doubtful of this rendering. The text of the Calcutta edition of 1875 stands thus:—Vijātîyadehādipratyayarahitādwitîyavastusajātîyapratyayapravāhah nididhyāsanam. That used by Dr. Ballantyne, and adopted too in the St. Petersburg edition of 1877, reads as follows:—Vijātîyadehādipratyayarahitādvitîyavastuni tadākārākāritāyā budāheh sajātîyapravāho nididhyāsanam. It is thus translated by Dr. Ballantyne: "'Contemplation' is the homogeneous flow of the understanding mirroring its object, when this object is the Real, &c., to the exclusion of the notion of body or any other thing heterogeneous [to the one Reality mirrored in the understanding]."

2. 'I am the secondless one,' &c.

This passage is a quotation from Śankarâchârya's Upadeśasahasrî, but it is also found in the closing portion of the Muktikopanishad. The opening verses of this Upanishad, which is said to belong to the White Yajur Veda (!), introduce us to a scene 'in the charming city of Ayodhyâ,' where Râma, attended by Sîtâ, his brothers, and various sages, is addressed by Mâruti, as the Supreme Self, the embodiment of existence, intelligence, and joy, and is asked to make known to him the way of escape from the fetters of transmigration. The sectarianism and style of this Upanishad stamp it as modern; 1 and it doubtless copied from the Upadeśasahasrî, not only the passage

¹ See Weber's History of Indian Literature, p. 165.

quoted in our text, but other verses in immediate connection with it.

The author of the Vedântasâra does not cite the passage as a quotation from the Veda, as he invariably does when quoting from an Upanishad, but ushers it in with the words 'taduktam abhiyuktaih.'

The passage as given in the Vedântasâra differs in some respects from the original, as will be seen by comparing the two.

Upadeśasahasrî.

Dṛiśisvarûpam gaganopamam param Sakṛidvibhâtam tvajamekam aksharam | Alepakam sarvagatam yadadwyam Tadeva châham satatam vimukta Om || 73

Drisistu śuddho 'hamavikriyatmako Na me 'sti kaśchidvishayah svabhavatuh | [Purastiraśchordhvamadhaścha sarvatah Sampurnabhama tvaja atmani sthitah] || 74.

Vedântasâra (Calc. 1875).

Drisisvarûpam gaganopamam param Sakridvibhûtam tvajam ekam avyayam | Alepakam sarvagatam yadadwyam Tadeva chûham satatam vimuktam || Drisistu suddho 'ham avikriyûtmako Na me 'sti bandho na cha me vimokshah | .

The actual reading in the last line is baddho, which is clearly a misprint.

3. For various explanations of the technical terms

savikalpaka and nirvikalpaka, see Ballantyne's Tarkasangraha (2d edition), para 46; Translation of Schitya Darpana, p. 52 (note); and Cowell's Translation of Kusumanjali, p. 20 (note).

¹ With these two kinds of meditation compare the 'samprajnâta' and 'asamprajnâta' of the Yoga philosophy. The former is 'meditation with an object,' and the latter 'meditation without an object.'

XIII.

The means [to nirvikalpaka meditation] are—

- 1. Forbearance (yama).
- 2. Minor religious observances (niyama).
- 3. Religious postures (âsana).
- 4. Regulation of the breath (prảndyâma).
- 5. Restraint of the organs of sense (prat-yahara).
- 6. Fixed attention (dhâraṇâ).
- 7. Contemplation (dhyâna).
- 8. Meditation (samâdhi).
- 1. Acts of 'forbearance' are, sparing life, truthfulness, not stealing, chastity, and non-acceptance of gifts (aparigraha).¹
- 2. 'Minor religious observances' are, purification, contentment, endurance of hardships, inaudible repetition of sacred texts (svådhyåya), and concentration of the thoughts on Îśwara.

¹ Bhogasádhanánám anangikárah. Bhojarája on Yoga, ii. 30.

- 3. The 'religious postures' are distinguished by particular positions of the hands and feet, such as *Padmāsana*, *Svastikāsana*, and others.
- 4. 'Regulation of the breath' consists of the methods of restraining it known as *rechaka*, *paraka*, and *kumbhaka*.
- 5. 'Restraint of the organs of sense' is the holding them back from their several objects of sense.
- 6. 'Fixed attention' is the fixing of the internal organ upon the secondless Reality.
- 7. 'Contemplation' is the continuing of the modification of the internal organ upon the second-less Reality, at intervals.
- 8. 'Meditation' is that already described as accompanied by the recognition of subject and object (savikalpaka).

To the meditation without recognition of subject and object, to which the above are subservient, there are four obstacles, viz.—

- 1. Mental inactivity (laya).
- 2. Distraction (vikshepa).
- 3. Passion $(k\alpha sh\dot{\alpha}y\alpha)$, and
- 4. The tasting of enjoyment (rasåswåda).

- 1. 'Mental inactivity' is the drowsiness of the modification of the internal organ while not resting on the secondless Reality.
- 2. 'Distraction' is the resting of the modification of the internal organ on something else, instead of its abiding on the secondless Reality.
- 3. 'Passion' is the not resting on the secondless Reality, by reason of the impeding of the modification of the internal organ by lust or other desire, even though there be no mental inactivity or distraction.
- 4. The 'tasting of enjoyment' is the experience of pleasure on the part of the modification of the internal organ, in the recognition of subject and object, while it is not resting on the secondless Reality; or it is the experiencing of such pleasure when about to commence meditation without the recognition of subject and object.

When the internal organ, free from these four hindrances, and motionless as a lamp sheltered from the wind, exists as the indivisible Intelligence only, then is realised that which is called meditation without recognition of subject and object.

It has been said,1 "When the internal organ

Gaudapáda's Kárikás, iii. 44, 45.

has fallen into a state of inactivity, one should arouse it,—when it is distracted, one should render it quiescent [by turning away from objects of sense, &c.],—when it is affected by passion, one should realise the fact,—when quiescent, one should not disturb it. One should experience no pleasure [during discriminative meditation], but become free from attachment by means of discriminative intelligence." And again 1—"As [the flame of] a lamp standing in a sheltered spot flickers not," &c.

¹ Bhagavadgttå, vi. 19. The whole verse is—"As [the flame of] a lamp standing in a sheltered spot flickers not, this is regarded as an illustration of a mind-restrained Yogi who is practising concentration of mind."

NOTES ON SECTION XIII.

The eight means of promoting nirvikalpaka meditation, which are enumerated in the text, are taken from the Yoga Aphorisms, ii. 29; and the definitions of the eight are from the same source, namely, ii. 30–53, and iii. 1–3. The first two, yama and niyama, are also described in Manu iv. 204 (Sch.).

'Religious postures.'

Padmásana is thus described by Professor Monier Williams in his Sanskrit Lexicon:—"A particular posture in religious meditation, sitting with the thighs crossed, with one hand resting on the left thigh, the other held up with the thumb upon the heart, and the eyes directed to the tip of the nose." The Rudrayāmala, however, defines it as simply sitting with the left foot on the right thigh and the right foot on the left thigh. To this, the Tantrasāra adds the following direction:—"Angushthau cha nibadhnîyāddhastābhyām vyutkramāt tatah"—which may possibly mean, "And he should retain the big toes [in their position] by means of the hands in the reverse order," i.e., the left hand on the right foot and the right hand on the left foot (?).

Svastikasana is described by Vachaspatimiśra as sitting with the left foot doubled up under the right knee and the right foot under the left knee, and the Tantrasara adds that the body must be erect. (Vide Vachaspatya s. v. asana.)

^{&#}x27;Regulation of the breath' (pranayama).

[&]quot;The first act is expiration, which is performed through

the right nostril, whilst the left is closed with the fingers of the right hand: this is called *Rechaka*. The thumb is then placed upon the right nostril and the fingers raised from the left, through which breath is inhaled: this is called *Pûraka*. In the third act, both nostrils are closed and breathing suspended: this is *Kumbhaka*. And a succession of these operations is the practice of *Prânâyâma*."—(Wilson's *Vishnu Purâna*, v. 231.)

XIV.

THE characteristics of the 'liberated but still living' (jîvanmukta) are now to be described.

The 'liberated but still living' is he who by knowing the indivisible, pure Brahma, who is his own essence, [a result brought about] by the removal of the Ignorance enveloping Him, perceives Him clearly as the Indivisible and his own essence; and, in consequence of the removal of Ignorance and its effects, such as accumulated works, doubt, and error, remains intent on Brahma, freed from all fetters. As it is said in the Śruti, "When he who is supreme and not supreme (parâvara) is seen, the fetter of the heart is burst, all doubts are removed, and works fade away."

On arising from meditation, though he sees

¹ Dr. Hall renders 'brahmanishthah' by 'abides in Brahma,' but the commentator explains it by 'Brahmani nishtha tadekaparata yasya.'

² Mundakopanishad, 2. 2. 8.

³ Those of the present or of a former birth which have not begun to bear fruit; but not those which brought about his present existence.—
Bhâshya.

that, by his body, which is the receptacle of flesh, blood, urine, filth, &c., -by his organs, which are the seat of blindness, slowness, unskilfulness, &c.,and by his internal organ, which is the seat of hunger, thirst, sorrow, infatuation, &c.,—works are being done according to the previous bent of each; and that he is experiencing the fruit of those which have already commenced to take effect, and yet his knowledge is not interfered with,—he regards them not as real because they have been cancelled. Just as one watching what he knows to be a conjuring performance does not regard it as a reality. It is said, too, in the Śruti,1 "Though he has eyes, he is as though he had them not; though he has ears, he is as though he had none; though he has a mind, he is as one without a mind; though he has vital airs, he is as though he had them not." And again it has been said,2 "He who, when awake, is as though in a sound sleep, and sees not duality, or, if seeing it, regards it as non-duality, -who, though acting, is free from [the results of] actions, he, and he alone, is, without doubt, the knower of Self." Just as he continues the practices of eating, walk-

^{- :}

ing about, &c., which existed before the attainment of true knowledge, so too he either follows good desires alone, or is indifferent to both good and bad alike. It has been said, "If he who knows the secondless Reality may act as he likes, what difference is there between the knowers of truth and dogs in respect of eating impure food? Except the fact of knowing Brahma, there is no difference; the one knows the Self, and the other [the dog] does not."

In that state, humility, &c., which are means of acquiring right apprehension, and good qualities, such as friendliness, &c., cling to him merely as ornaments. It has been said, "Qualities such as friendliness, and the like, exist without an effort in one who has attained to the knowledge of Self, but are not of the nature of means [to that end]." To conclude:—Experiencing, for the sustentation of his body only, the fruits of works which have begun to take effect, which are characterised by pleasure or pain, and are brought about by his own desire, or without any desire on his part, or at the desire of another,—and illuminating the reflections on his internal organ,—when the fruits of his works

¹ Naishkarmyasiddhi, iv. 60.

² *Ibid.*, iv. 67.

are exhausted, and his vital airs merge in the supreme Brahma who is all-pervading happiness, then, owing to the destruction of Ignorance and also of the germs of its effects, he abides the Indivisible Brahma who is absolute isolation, whose sole essence is joy, and who is free from all appearance of change. As the Śruti says,¹ "His vital airs ascend not" [i.e., do not transmigrate], but are dissolved within him; and²—"He already free [though in the body], is freed [from future embodiments]."

¹ Bṛihadāraṇyaka, 5. 4. 6 (p. 856).

² Kathopanishad, v. I (p. 133).

NOTES ON SECTION XIV.

I. 'Jîvanmukta.'

The position of the 'liberated but still living' man closely resembles that of the Buddhist *Arhat* or *Rahat*. At death, the latter enters *Nirwaṇa*, that is, ceases to exist, —whilst the former, absorbed into Brahma, enters upon an unconscious and stone-like existence!

2. 'Works.'

According to the Systems, works are of three kinds, viz., accumulated (sanchita), fructescent (prarabdha), and current (kriyamana). The first are the works of former births which have not yet borne fruit; the second are those which have resulted in the present life, and so have begun to bear fruit; and the third are those which are being performed during the present life, and which will bear fruit in a future one. According to the Vedânta, the true knowledge of Brahma and of one's own identity with Him burns up the accumulated works and cancels the effects of The fruits of the fructescent ones must the current ones. be exhausted during the present life, and then at death emancipation is realised. These last cannot be destroyed by the knowledge of Brahma; but, according to the Yoga, the meditation which is styled in that system asamprajnata, 'meditation without an object,' 2 can destroy them, and so is considered by Yogins to be superior to knowledge.3

It will interest the Marâthî student to notice that the com-

¹ Spence Hardy's Manual of Buddhism, p. 40, and Eastern Monachism, p. 290.

² Aphorisms, i. 18.

³ Rational Refutation, pp. 30, 31 (note).

mon word prarabdha, 'fate,' destiny,' is just this technical term explained above—works which have begun to take effect, and the fruit of which it is impossible to evade.

- 3. 'Supreme and not supreme.'
- 'Supreme' as cause, 'not supreme' as effect, says the scholiast. It might also be rendered, 'The First and the Last,' that is, the all-inclusive entity. The fetter of the heart consists of desires resulting from Ignorance.
- 4. 'If he who knows the secondless Reality,' &c.
 This passage, in the original, consists of a verse and a half, and reads as follows:—

Buddhâdvaitasatattvasya yatheshtâcharaṇam yadi | Sunâm tattvadṛiśânchaiva ko bhedo 'śuchibhakshaṇe || Brahmavittvantathâ muktvâ sa âtmajno na chetarah |

Now the first couplet is also quoted in the Panchadaśi, iv. 55, and is ascribed by the scholiast to Sureśvara, the reputed disciple of Śankarâcbârya; and laboriously following that clue, I at length found the passage in his Naishkarmyasiddhi. It is introduced into the Panchadaśi in support of an appeal to the enlightened man to avoid evil lest he lose the benefits of his knowledge; and its aim is to show that if one who knows the truth throws off all restraints and acts as he likes, he is no better than a dog. That Sureśvara, too, disapproved of yatheshtacharana is evident from the context of the passage in question, which I here subjoin:—

"Athâlepakapakshanirâsârtham âha | Buddhâdvaitasatattvasya yatheshţâcharanam yadi | śunâm tattvadriśâm chaiva ko bhedo 'śuchibhakshane || 60 || Kasmânna bhavati yasmât | Adharmâjjâyate 'jnânam yatheshtâcharanam tatah | dharmakârye katham tat syâdyatra dharmopi neshyate || 61 || . . . Tishţhatu tâvat

sarvapravrittibîjaghasmaram jnûnam, mumukshvavasthâyûm api na sambhavati yatheshţâcharanam | Tadâha | Yo hi yatra viraktah syânnâsau tasmai pravarttate | lokatrayaviraktatwân mumukshuh kimitîhate || 63 ||

The other half couplet, however, of our text, which, be it observed, is not Sureśvara's, seems to reverse this teaching, and to inculcate the doctrine that the knower of Brahma may act as he likes with impunity. I fear that this is really the drift of much of the pantheistic teaching of India, and my opinion is supported by a learned Indian writer, already quoted, who says that "Vedantic authors have boldly asserted that they are subject to no law, no rule, and that there is no such thing as virtue or vice, injunction or prohibition." 1

That there are many passages in the Upanishads and elsewhere which teach this, the accompanying extract from an article by Professor Gough will show :- "The Theosophist liberated from metempsychosis, but still in the body, is untouched by merit and demerit, absolved from all works good and evil, unsoiled by sinful works,2 uninjured by what he has done and by what he has left undone.3 Good works, like evil works, and like the God that recompenses them, belong to the unreal, to the fictitious duality, the world of semblances. 'Gnosis, once arisen,' says Śankarâchârya in his prolegomena to the Śvetâsvatara, 'requires nothing farther for the realisation of its result, it needs subsidia only that it may arise.' Anandagiri:—'The theosophist, so long as he lives, may do good and evil as he chooses and incur no stain, such is the efficiency of gnosis.' And so in the Taittiriya Upanishad (ii. 9) we read-'The

Dialogues on Hindu Philosophy, p. 381.
 Brihadâranyakopanishad, 4. 4. 23.
 Ibid., 4. 4. 22.

thought afflicts not him, What good have I left undone, what evil done?' And in the Brihaddranyaka 1-- 'Here the thief is no more a thief, the Chandâla no more a Chandâla, the Paulkasa no more a Paulkasa, the sacred mendicant no more a sacred mendicant: they are not followed by good works, they are not followed by evil works. For at last the sage has passed beyond all the sorrows of his heart.' Immoral inferences from this doctrine—the quietists of all ages have been taxed with immorality—are thus redargued by Nrisimhasarasvati:— 'Some one may say, It will follow from this the theosophist may act as he chooses. That he can act as he pleases cannot be denied in the presence of texts of revelation, traditionary texts, and arguments such as the following: 'Not by matricide, not by parricide.' 'He that does not identify not-self with self, whose inner faculty is unsullied,—he, though he slay these people, neither slays them, nor is slain.' . . . 'He that knows the truth is sullied neither by good actions nor by evil actions.' . . . In answer to all this we reply: True, but as these texts are only eulogistic of the theosophist; it is not intended that he should thus act." 2

The line of argument adopted by this commentator, and also by other apologists, is unsafe, and does not get rid of the fact that some of the Upanishads, the chief source of the Vedânta doctrine, do, without any qualification, declare that sin and virtue are alike to one who knows Brahma; and the system is therefore rightly charged with immorality. But, independently of such teaching as this, what moral results could possibly be

¹ Bṛihadâraṇyakopanishad, 4. 3. 22.

² Calcutta Review (1878), p. 34.

expected from a system so devoid of motives for a life of true purity? The Supreme Being, Brahma, is a cold Impersonality, out of relation with the world, unconscious of His own existence and of ours, and devoid of all attributes and qualities. The so-called personal God, the first manifestation of the Impersonal, turns out on examination to be a myth; there is no God apart from ourselves, no Creator, no Holy Being, no Father, no Judge—no one, in a word, to adore, to love, or to fear. And as for ourselves, we are only unreal actors on the semblance of a stage!

The goal, already referred to, is worthy of such a creed, being no less than the complete extinction of all spiritual, mental, and bodily powers by absorption into the Impersonal.

"Annihilation, then, as regards individuals, is as much the ultimate destiny of the soul as it is of the body, and 'Not-to be' is the melancholy result of the religion and philosophy of the Hindus." ¹

5. 'He already free, is freed.'

"Though illusion has not really real existence, yet it possesses apparent existence, and so it is capable of taking the soul captive. And again, the Vedântins say, that as illusion is only apparent, so the soul's being fettered is practical; that is, as illusion is false, so the soul's being fettered is likewise false. Neither was the soul ever actually fettered, nor is it now fettered, nor has it to be emancipated." ²

This matter is also explained in the last chapter of the Vedânta-paribhâshâ:—" The joy which admits of no in-

¹ Wilson's Essays on the Religion of the Hindus, ii. 114.

² Rational Refutation, p. 189.

crease, is Brahma; as the Veda says, 'He knew Brahma to be joy.' The acquisition of Brahma, whose essence is joy, is moksha, and it is also the cessation of sorrow; as the Veda says, 'The knower of Brahma becomes Brahma,' and again, 'The knower of Self passes beyond sorrow.' The acquisition of another world, or the sensuous joy derivable therefrom, is not moksha; for as it is the result of works, and therefore non-eternal, the subject of such liberation is liable to future births. If you say that, as, even according to our view, the acquisition of bliss and the cessation of misery have a beginning, they are therefore chargeable with the same defect [i.e., of being noneternal and therefore transitory], I reply, Not so; for, although moksha, consisting of Brahma, is already in possession, still, because of the erroneous idea that it is not possessed, it is proper to make use of means for attaining it. The cessation of misery, too, in the form of Brahma, who is the substrate of all, is already an accomplished fact. Even in mundane affairs, however, we see the need of obtaining things already obtained, and of removing things already removed. For example, when a piece of gold is in one's hand, but has been forgotten [and is being searched for], and some person says, 'Why, the gold is in your hand,' one regains it as if it had not already been in possession. So, too, in the case of one who is under the delusion that the garland encircling his ankle is a snake; when a reliable person tells him that it is not a snake, the snake is removed although it was already removed [i.e., had never existed]. In like manner, the acquisition of a joy already possessed, and the cessation of misery already removed, in other words, liberation, is an object [to be sought after]."

INDEX.

Abhidha, 86 Abhyása, 101 Absorption, description of, 5 Abstinence, 19 Accumulated works, 119 Achârya, need of an, 40 Adhikârin, 16 Adhyâropa, 21, 39 Adhyâtma-Râmâyana, 42, 85 Adrishta, 13 Adwayânanda, 11 Aggregates, the five, 24 Agnishtoma, 35 Aitareya Brâhmana, 35 Aitareya Upanishad, 8 Ajahallakshand, 87, 91 Ajahatswartha, 87 Ajnâna, 43, 46 Akâśa. 74 Akhanda, 5, 10 Akhilâdhâra, 6 Analogy, illustration from, 102 Ânanda, 5 Anaximander, 26 Angiras, 2 Anubandha, 16 Apana, 59 Aparigraha, 109 Apavåda, 21, 39, 77 Apawarga, 38 Apprehension, absence of, 46 Apûrvatû, 101 Arhat, 119

Arthavâda, 102 Aruni, 7 Asamprajnâta, 108, 119 Asana, 109 Aśoka, 14 Âvarana, 46 Avastu, 42 Avidya, 43 BATHING, religious, 36 Bauddhas, 32, 71, 72, 74 "Being," of Parmenides, 9 Bhagalakshana, 85, 86 Bhagavad Gîtâ, 13, 30, 32, 34, 46 112 Bhâgavata Purâṇa, 30, 31 Bhakti, 33 Bhâtta, 72, 76 Bhavarapa, 45 Bliss, 5 Bodies, subtile, 58 -, gross, 64 Brahmâ, 2 Brahma, 1, 2, 4, 9, 41, 68, 97, 118, 123, 124 – as bliss, 5, 124 - as knowledge, 4 – as substrate, 6 - not intelligent, 3 Brâhman, molesting a, 35, 36 Brihadâranyaka Upanishad, 18, 20, 23, 96, 118, 121, 122

Buddha's death, 24; birth, 25

126 INDEX.

Buddhism, 12, 27, 29, 32, 38, 75

CAUSAL body, 55, 68 Chaitanya, 3, 48 Chândrâyana, 17, 37 Chârvâka, 70, 74 Chhândogya Upanishad, 6, 20, 23, 63, 70, 101, 102, 104 Chit, 3, 10 Chittavritti, 95 Concealment, one of the powers of Ignorance, 46, 52 Concentration, 19 Confucius, 9 Consideration, 100, 103 Contemplation, profound, 100, 103, 106, 109, 110 Current works, 119

Denotation, 86
Devadatta, 60
Devotional exercises, 17
Dhananjaya, 60
Dhanan, 109
Dhyana, 109
Distraction, 110
Dreaming state, 55, 68
Dreamless sleep, 55

EGOISM, 58
Elements, the subtile, 57, 77; the gross, 63
Emancipation, 38
Endurance, 19
Entity, 45
Envelopment, a power of Ignorance, 52
Existence, of three kinds, 3

FAITH, 19
"False imputation," 39
Fixed attention, 109, 110
Forbearance, 109
Forbidden things, 17
"Fourth," the, 51, 56
Fructescent works, 119

Gaudapâda's Kârikâs, 111 Gayâ, 28 Gâyatrî, 36 Gopâlatâpani Upanishad, 31 Gross elements, 63; bodies, 64, 68 Hastâmalaka, 52 "Hearing," 19, 100 Hetuśástra, 12 Hiouen Thsang, 28 Hiranyagarbha, 61, 68 "I AM Brahma," 95 Ignorance, 41, 43, 46, 48, 54 —, the falseness of, 44 Illusion, 42, 43, 44 Illusory attribution, 21, 39, 41, 69, 80, 95 Impartite, 1, 5, 83 Indication, 86, 87 Indication of a portion, 85 Indivisible, the, 83, 96 Intellect, 58 Intelligence, 3, 5, 48 Internal organ, 4, 5, 68, 95, 99, *Îśwara*, 48, 54, 68, 78, 123 Jagat, 8 Jahadajahallakshand, 87 Jahallakshana, 87, 90 Jahatswartha, 87 Jainas, 32 Jîvanmukta, 115, 119 Jnâna, 3

Kdmya, 17
Kanada, 13
Kapila, 13, 45
Karma, transmigration of, 24
Kartikeya, 28
Kashaya, 110
Katha Upanishad, 118

Jnánábháva, 46 Jnánavirodhi, 46

Jyotishtoma, 35

Kâvyaprakâśa, 86, 87
Kena Upanishad, 9, 96
Khanda (Pâli), 24
Knowledge, defined, 4
Kṛikara, 60
Krishna, apotheosis of, 31
Krishna-worship, 29, 33, 34
Kriyamāṇa, 119
Kumārila Bhaṭṭa, 28, 76
Kumbhaka, 110, 114
Kārma, 60
Kusumānjali, 108
Kutsita, 12

Lakshana, 86
Lakshanalakshana, 87
Lakshya, 86
Lakshyalakshanabhava, 83
Laya, 110
"Liberated, but still living," 115, 119
Linga, 100
Lokâyatikas, 74
Lorinser, Dr., 33

Mâdhyamikas, 75 Mahâbhârata, 34 Mahâbhâshya, 31 Manana, 100 Mâṇdukya Upanishad, 4, 9, 50, 51, 62, 66, 72 Manichæan mission to India, 33 Manomayakośa, 59 Manu, 18, 35, 36, 37, 65, 113 Manu's Code, age of, 24 Matter, 42, 46 Máyá, 8, 43 Mâyâvâda, 42 Mâyâvâdin, 79 Meditation, 100, 103, 108, 109, 110 Mental inactivity, 110 Metempsychosis, 23 Mind, 58 Misapprehension, 46 Modification of internal organ, 95, 97, 99

Moksha, 124
Mukti, 38, 40
Muktika Upanishad, 106
Muṇḍaka Upanishad, 2, 6, 20, 21,
22, 49, 115
Nāga, 60
Naimittika, 17

Nága, 60 Naimittika, 17 Naishkarmyasiddhi, 84, 117, 120 Naiyâyikas, 45 Nâlanda, 28 Nature, 46 Nescience, 43 Nididhyâsana, 100 Nirvikalpaka, 103, 108, 109, 113 Nirwâna, 38, 119 Nishiddha, 17 Nitya, 17, 46 Niyama, 109, 113 "Not-being," of Parmenides, 10 "Novelty," 101 Nyâya Aphorisms, 102

OBSTACLES to meditation, 110 Occasional rites, 17 Omniscience of Îswara, 49 Optional rites, 17 Organs of sense, 58; of action, 59

PADMA Purâṇa, 43
Padmāsana, 110, 113
Panchadaśi, 6, 21, 22, 23, 63, 120
Pantheism, its dishonesty, 23
_______, its immorality, 122
Pāramāthika, 3
Paramātmā, 75
Parāvara, 115
Parīkshit, 30
Parināmavāda, 42
Parināmavāda, 42
Parināmavāda, 6
Parmenides, 9
Passion, 110
Patanjali, 34

Penances, 17
"Persuasion," 102

128 INDEX.

120	12.11.
Phala, 101 1	Sådhana, 18
Pipîlikâmadhya, a variety of the	Sâhityadarpana, 86, 108
Chândrâyaṇa, 37	Śaivas, 32
Postures, 109, 110, 113	Śâktas, 31
Prâbhâkara, 71, 75	Śâkyamuni, 27, 29, 38
Præcognita of Vedânta, 16	Samādhi, 100, 109
Prágutpatteh, 8	Samâna, 59
Prâjna, 50, 68	Sâmânâdhikaranya, 83
Prakṛiti, 42, 44, 46	Sambandha, 16
Pralaya, 21	Samprajnâta, 108
Prana, 59	Sanchita, 119
Prâṇâyâma, 109, 113, 114	Sandhyâ, 36
Prárabdha, 119, 120	Sâṇḍilya, 17, 37
Prátibhásika, 3, 10	Sândilya's Aphorisms, 8, 37
Pratyagâtman, 83	Sanjnd, 25
Pratydhâra, 109	Sankarâchârya, 7, 8, 12, 21, 28, 43
Práyaśchitta, 17	Sânkhya, 44, 45
Prayojana, 16	Sânkhyapravachanabhâshya, 5, 43
Projection, one of the powers of	Sânkhyasâra, 43
Ignorance, 46, 53	Sanskâra, 25
Pûraka, 110, 114	S'arîraka, 12
Purpose, the, 16, 20	Sarvadarśanasangraha, 74
Purusha, 44	Sat, 3, 9
Pythagoras, 25, 26, 39	Satapatha Brâhmana, 70
7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Sautrântikas, 75
QUALIFIED person, the, 16, 20,	Savikalpaka, 103, 108
38	Savitrî, 36
Quasi-Vedântins, 43	Self, 1, 70
Quiescence, 19	Self-restraint, 19
Quintuplication, 63	Sheath of bliss, 49
, ,	, cognitional, 58
Rajoguna, 60	, mental, 59
Râmatâpanîya, 31	nutrimentitious, 65
Rasûswûda, 110	, respiratory, 60
Real, the, 41, 42, 77	Siśu, a variety of the Chândrâyana,
Rechaka, 110, 114	37
Regulation of the breath, 109, 110,	Skandha, 24
113	Soma ceremony, 35
"Relation," the, 16, 20	S'raddhâ, 33
"Repetition," 101	S'ravana, 100
Rescission, 39	"Subject," the, 16, 20
Restraint of the organs, 109, 110	Substrate, 1, 6
"Result," the, 101	Subtile bodies, 58, 68; frame, 61
Rig-veda, 49	Suggestion, 86
Rudrayâmala, 113	Suka, 30
Rúpa, 24	Śunaka, 2
	•

Vaiśeshikas, 45

Śûnyavâdins, 74 Sureśwara, 120 Sûtrâtmâ, 61 Swâdhyâya, 109 Swarga, 38 Swastikâsana, 110, 113 Śwetaketu, 7 Śwetaśwatara Upanishad, 38, 41, 43, 47, 48

Taijasa, 62, 68 Taittiriya Upanishad, 3, 9, 57, 70, 71, 121 Tâmisra hell, 35 Tantras, 32 Tantrasâra, 113 Tarkasangraha, 108 Târkika, 71, 76 Tat twam asi, 80 Teacher indispensable, 21 "That art Thou," 80, 83, 89, 93, 101 Thinking, 58 Thought, of Parmenides, 10 Thread-soul, 61, 68 Transmigration, 23 Trigunâtmaka, 46 "Truly all this is Brahma," 69

Udâna, 59
Unreal, the, 41, 77
Upâdâna, 25
Upâdânalakshanû, 87
Upadeśasahasri, 20, 104, 107, 116
Upakrāmopasanhārau, 101
Upanishad, defined, 15
Upanishads, list of, 14
Upapatti, 102
Upâsana, 17

Vâchaspatya, 87 Vâchya, 86 Vaibhâshikas, 75

Vaishnavas, 32 Vaiśwanara, 65, 68 Vâkysudhâ, 53 Vastu, 42 Vedana, 24 Vedânta, 11, 12 Vedântaparibhâshâ, 123 Vedânta-sûtras, 64 Vedantists, old school of, 6 —, idolatry of, 22 -, creed of the, 9 Vijnana, 25 Vijnâna Bhikshu, 43 Vijnanamayakośa, 58 Vikâra, 77, 79 Vikshepa, 46 Virât, 65 Višeshanavišeshyabhava, 83 Vishaya, 16 Vishnu Purâņa, 43, 114 Viśwa, 65, 68 Vital airs, 59 Vivartta, 6, 77, 79 Vivarttavâda, 42 Vyâna, 59 Vyangya, 86 Vyanjana, 86 Vyávahárika, 3, 10

WAKING state, 55, 68
"Withdrawal," the, 21, 39, 77, 80,
95
Works, of three kinds, 119
Worlds, the fourteen, 64, 77

Yama, 109, 113
Yatheshticharana, 120
Yati, a variety of the Chandrayana,
37
Yavamadhya (ditto), 37
Yoga Aphorisms, 105, 113, 119
Yogâchâras, 75.

