Review of Wikipedia Articles

The review is meant to facilitate further improvement of Wikipedia articles. Suggestions about the quality of a Wikipedia articles can be found at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Featured_article_criteria.

Please send your review in pdf and .doc format to Marta Pucciarelli: marta.puccuarelli@supsi.ch and Florence Devouard: anthere@anthere.org.

Name of the reviewer	Stephen Lowry
Affiliation	School Principal / History Teacher. Author: "Know Your National
	Holidays".
Title of the article	Day of Reconciliation

1. Quality of the Summary

Is the summary of the article a complete, thorough,	Yes
and concise introduction to the topic?	
How do you think the summary could be improved?	As an overview description of this topic, I
	don't think it needs to be improved
Which meaningful data are missing?	None.
Is there something that you find too much detailed	No.
for a general overview of the topic?	

2. Structure and style of the article

Is the article properly presenting the topic for a general public?	Yes
Does the article provide a complete and easy-to-navigate structure	Yes
Which paragraph would you add, unify or split into different parts?	No need to make any
Please provide a list of suggestions.	changes
Is the article well written and understandable at a high school level?	Yes

3. Content

Is the article comprehensive of major facts related to the topic?	Yes
Is the article adequately placing the subject in context?	Yes
What does it miss?	Given the brevity of the article, nothing.
Please provide a list of topics you think should be included in the article (suggestions must be related to bibliography).	None.
Do you find that some arguments are not meaningful or representative of the topic for a general public	Not applicable

What should be deleted? Please explain why.	I would delete any references in Afrikaans. In South Africa there are eleven official languages, all of which are legally equal. If you do use another official language (apart from English – the language of the article), then all ten other languages should be used.
	Tangaagee eneara se acea.

4. International and local dimension

Is the article neutral (it presents general and	No, given the use of the Afrikaans
acknowledged views fairly and without bias)?	translation mentioned in 3 above.
Is the article representative of the international dimension	Not applicable
and consolidated research about the topic?	
If applicable, does the article feature examples from all	Not relevant
over the world (no localisms)?	
Please draft a list of what is missing with related	Not applicable
references.	

5. References (essential to allow the articles to be improved)

Is the list of publications comprehensive and updated?	Given the brevity of the article the list is comprehensive	
	enough.	
Does it list the fundamental monographs and papers?	Not relevant.	
Please provide primary/generic and secondary/original resources which need to be included and suggest the list of publications which should be removed.	Not relevant.	