

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF MINES HELIUM ACTIVITY HELIUM RESEARCH CENTER

## INTERNAL REPORT

PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS AND VOLUMETRIC MATERIAL BALANCE

CORRELATIONS FOR THE CLIFFSIDE HELIUM STORAGE RESERVOIR

## BY

R. A. Guereca

H. P. Richardson

BRANCH

Fundamental Research

PROJECT NO.

4912

DATE

May 1966

HD 9660 .H43 M56 no.89

AMARILLO, TEXAS



#928643422

1088070871

Report No. 89

M56 No.89

HD

9660

.443

HELIUM RESEARCH CENTER

INTERNAL REPORT

#### PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS AND VOLUMETRIC MATERIAL BALANCE CORRELATIONS FOR THE CLIFFSIDE HELIUM STORAGE RESERVOIR

Ву

R. A. Guereca and H. P. Richardson

BRANCH OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH

Project 4912

May 1966

BLM Library Denver Federal Center Bldg. 50, OC-521 P.O. Box 25047 Denver, CO 80225 PS , My Include

RALLING ANDRANDI CLARER

TEOTES TARAMENT

CORRELATIONS FOR THE CLIPPEIDE CLIPPETER STATISTICS MARKED INCREMENT

A. GOATERB SEC 11 P. Michards A.

UNATER LATIONALITY TO HORARY

Projekt A918

DEL Yem

BLM Library Denver Federal Center Bidg. 50, OC-521 P.O. Box 25047 Denver, CO 80225

#### CONTENTS

												ł	Page
Abstract	o		•		•	۰	•	۰	•	•			4
Introduction	•	•	•			•		•	٠		•		5
Acknowledgment	a	•	۰			•	٠			•			7
Electronic pressure measuring apparatus .	•	٠	•		•	•	•		•		•		7
Deadweight tester pressure device	۰	۰	•		•	•	•	0	ø	•		•	12
Absolute pressure to a common datum	0	o	•			•	0	٥			٠	•	12
Discussion of pressures at Bush A-5	۰	•	•		•			•					14
December 1965 - March 1966	٠	•		•	•	•	0	٥	٥		•	•	14
Volumetric material balance - pressure maintenance correlation	•	0	•	•	•		•		•	۰	•		18
Discussion of pressure maintenance - volumetric material balance correlation.	•	٠	•	•	•		•	•	ø	•		•	23
Conclusions and recommendations	•	•			•	•	•	•		•	•		26
References	•					•							62

### ILLUSTRATIONS\*

## Fig.

1.	Stabilization area northern section Cliffside field	30
2A.	Electronic pressure measuring apparatus - front view	31
2B.	Electronic pressure measuring apparatus - back view	32
2C.	Electronic pressure measuring apparatus - outside view	33

#### CONTENTS

									1									

#### LLUSTRATIONS 1

Stabilizacico srea northern section Cliffeide field

2A. Electronic pressure measuring apparatus front view

- enserede Mussem assessed apparetus -

aut sicorronic pressure measuring apparatus -

Fig	- CONDELLATIONS FOR THE CLIFFTENS SPLACE STORAGE ALSERIVOLD	rage
3.	Summary pressure - production data, December 1965 - February 1966, Cliffside reservoir	• 34
4.	Electronic pressure readout, Bush A-5	. 35
5.	Slope of pressure, volumetric material balance correlation	• 36

#### TABLES\*

1.	Well gradients at the zero subsea datum	37
2.	Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966	38
3.	Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, January 20, 1966	49
4.	Production - injection statistics, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966, mscf/day	50
5.	Deadweight tester pressures, closed-in wells, Cliffside reservoir, October 1, 1965 - March 7, 1966	55
6.	Pressure maintenance - volumetric material balance correlation summary, closed-in wells, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966	61

\* Because of their extensive nature, all illustrations and tables are found at the end of this report just preceding the list of references.



#928643422

4

#### PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS AND VOLUMETRIC MATERIAL BALANCE CORRELATIONS FOR THE CLIFFSIDE HELIUM STORAGE RESERVOIR

by

R. A. Guereca<sup>1</sup> and H. P. Richardson<sup>2</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

This report summarizes electronically measured wellhead pressures at Bush A-5 in the presently closed-in area of the Cliffside helium storage reservoir and makes an estimate of the reaction time to production from opening-up Bush A-9 in the same area. Also, deadweight wellhead pressure measurements from Bush A-5 and five other closed-in wells are presented. After conversion of pressures to absolute pressures at the arbitrarily selected zero subsea datum, data trends are discussed from a short-range and long-range time basis. A volumetric material balance - pressure maintenance estimate is presented to qualitatively relate the arithmetic average pressure of all wells with injection production statistics for the entire reservoir. Qualitative data trends are discussed by comparing changes in slope of pressure from pressure-time data for all wells assuming straight line, least square

Work on manuscript completed May 1966.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supervisory Research Chemist, Project Leader, Physical Properties, Helium Research Center, Bureau of Mines, Amarillo, Texas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Research Chemist, Helium Research Center, Bureau of Mines, Amarillo, Texas.

CORRECTIONS FOR THE OILTFEIDE MELLIN SIDERAL SALATES

.

A. Garaca' and H. P. Blanardson

This report annuations electronically many and and an incade presentes at fund 4-2 in the presentity closed-or erea of the Cliffelde Indian Liber reserver's and adves an estimate of the restrict (the to produc then from electrony and adves an estimate of the restrict (the to produc head presente constraints frict the A-5 and fibu other closed-to with are presented. After conversion of presences to ancolnic presences at the arbitrarily selected zero submea farm, data transfer an estimat from a share-range and long-range time basis. A'velumetric material heads the arbitrarily selected zero submea files basis. A'velumetric material the arbitrarily selected zero submea farm, data transfer discussed from a share-range and long-range time basis. A'velumetric material production executes for the antice reservoit. Qualificative equapresence at antices and the antice reservoit. Qualificative equation

Supervisory Greensel Chemist, Project Leader, Physical Properties, , Hellim Restare) Capier, Eureau of Mines, Amarillo, Texas. Restareb Chemist, Helling Research Senser, Eureau of Mines, Amarillo

NOT WAN LOT COMPLETED SAME MAN AND ATOM

deviations. Conclusions and recommendations are made to serve as guidelines for future work, especially regarding electronic measurements.

#### INTRODUCTION

The original intent of this work, actually started during the last two weeks of November 1965, was to electronically follow wellhead pressure fluctuations in a closed-in well, Bush A-5, to within 0.1 psi on a practically continuous basis; during these measurements, a neighboring closed-in well, Bush A-9, would be opened-up to production. As pressure data accumulated, it became evident that an attempt should be made to correlate all closed-in wellhead pressures with injection production statistics for the entire gas storage reservoir. This report presents the electronically measured pressures at Bush A-5, deadweight tester pressure measurements at Bush A-5 and five other closed-in wells in the same reservoir, and injection - production volumetric material balance qualitative correlations.

The Bush Dome Cliffside helium storage reservoir contains 11 gas producing wells, two gas injection wells, and five observation wells; one of the observation wells, Bivins A-8R, was drilled 50 feet into the caprock of the storage reservoir and completed in the overlying Red Cave formation. The other four observation wells are completed in the storage reservoir. Bush A-2, Bush A-3, and Bush A-5 are gas withdrawal wells which have been invaded by stored helium. Based on core analyses, the average porosity and permeability of the brown dolomite deviations. Developions and recommendations are made to parws as guidelines for furnes work, aspecially reparding electronic measure-

#### MULTING THE

The despirant toreat of this park, actually neared douting the last two meeks of Suventor 1905, was to electronically fullae wellhead pressure functoring in a closed-in well, Bain A-5, so within 0.1 per out a prestically descination basis; during these measurements, a coughboring closed-in well, Such A-9, would be openen-up to production As greature data accumulated, it became evident that an attempt mould be made to correlate all closed-in wells as pressures with enjaction production statistics (at the antife gen correspondent that an attempt and to correlate all closed-in wells as presentes with enjaction production statistics (at the enlive gen correspondent that and to correlate all closed-in wells assured presentes in book Aproduction statistics (at the enlive gen correspondent). This deadweight tester process measurements and book A-5 and five ather closed-in wells in the same reservoir, and injectivo - prishmation volu-

The Buch Dome Gliffstic holim storogo reservoir contains it to producing wells, two are injection valls, and five deservation valls, one of the chestration valls, String 2-85, was drilled 50 four into the capiece of the storage reservoir and completed in the overlying Red Core formetion. The other four observation wells are coreleted in the storage reservoir. Buch 2-3, and Buch 2-5 are gas sithdrawel well which have here inveded by stored belies, based on core dravelying, the average processy and permospility of the brown dologalty cores are 12 percent and 10 millidarcies, respectively; laboratory analysis shows that the main constituents of the in-place gas are methane (about 67 percent), ethane (4 percent), propane (2 percent), nitrogen (24 percent), and helium, about 1.8 percent (<u>3</u>).<sup>3</sup> The injected conservation helium-gas mixture is approximately a 70-30 percent helium-nitrogen mixture.

Two months prior to the beginning of this study, eight wells had been closed-in for stabilization purposes; that is, the attainment of a steadily increasing pressure level with a steadily increasing ratio of gas injected to gas produced. The eight closed-in wells, figure 1,4 are all located in the northerly section of this very tight reservoir. It is believed that the closed-in period was sufficient for the measured static wellhead pressures to reflect bottom-hole pressures. From December 1963 through September 1965, 11.37 billion standard cubic feet of helium-gas mixture was injected and 8.73 billion standard cubic feet of gas was produced; this gas injected to gas produced ratio, 1.30, was sufficient to increase the reservoir pressure from about 674 pounds per square inch absolute (psia) to 693 psia by August 1965. During September 1965, the injected - produced gas ratio was 1.76. From October 1965 through February 1966, 2.319 billion standard cubic feet of gas was injected and 1.174 billion standard cubic feet of gas was produced, or the injected to produced ratio was 1.98 which, on an overall basis,

<sup>3</sup>Underlined numbers in parentheses refer to items in the list of , references at the end of this report.

<sup>4</sup>Because of their extensive nature, all illustrations and tables are found at the end of this report just preceding the list of references.

contes are 12 percent and 10 ullitdervies, respectively; laboratory malyate shiws that the dath constituents of the in-place yes are matheme (ecour 57 percent), ethene (4 percent), propace (2 percent), attraged (24 percent), and helius, about 1.8 percent (2). The injected conservation helius gas sixture is approximately a 70-30 percent helius-citroges sixture is approximately a 70-30

encartined maxbars in parenthases refer to items in the list of references of the and of this report. Secanne of their extensive eature, all illustrations and tabled are found at the and of this report just preceding the list of references.

should have been reflected by a general, although not necessarily steady, increase in the reservoir pressure level. In a later section, it will be shown by volumetric material balance methods what the injected - produced ratio should be to at least maintain the pressure at 693 psia. It should be mentioned that the helium content of Bush A-5 had gone to 5 percent when it was last sampled in August 1965. The helium content in Bush A-3 and Bush A-2 has gone to 3 and 13.3 percent, respectively.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

For their assistance and cooperation throughout this study, especially in obtaining deadweight tester pressure measurements and injection - production data, the authors are indebted to field and engineering personnel of the Division of Helium Resources, Helium Activity, Bureau of Mines, Amarillo, Texas.

#### ELECTRONIC PRESSURE MEASURING APPARATUS

Of the four methods discussed in Memorandum Report No. 76 (<u>2</u>), "Method A", utilizing a pressure level transducer at the wellhead, was used because of the practicality of first developing a static wellhead technique. Simply stated, the pressure in pounds per square inch gage (psig) was converted to an electrical signal which was digitally printed on a paper tape; figures 2A, 2B, and 2C show front, back, and outside views of the apparatus and the meter house at Bush A-5. The basic unit for the mechanical to electrical conversion was a Teleflight<sup>5</sup> Model

<sup>5</sup>Trade names are used for information only and endorsement by the Bureau of Mines is not implied.

America dave seen ratificates by a gameral, although not mecassarily steady descense in the reservals pressure haudi. Is a later section, is will be stored by volume tric descript belance methods what the injected - produced yorig alterid on to at least referent the pressure at 603 pais. It should be mentioned that the belies content of Such A-5 had gots to 5 prevent when it was last sampled in August 1961. The helium content in back A-3 and Such a-3 has gone to 3 and 13.1 percent, removed that in

#### THE PERSON AND A

Sur their assistance and cooperation throughout this andy depecially in obtaining deadweight trates pressure, measurements and infrotion - preduccion date, the anglers are indulted to itald and secondary. Ing personnel of the Division of Wellar Resources, Heling Accepter,

#### STRUTTON PRUSPERS NEARING APACERA TO

An the pour machade dimension in hemarandam Report No. 74 (2), "Mathad A", utilizing a preside total transduces at the welthout, was asso because of the presidently of liver developing a start welthout toohutgue. Simply stated, the presents in pounds for symmer hall page (psig) was converted to an charterial signal which was digitally printed the paper tops. Matterial 16, 28, and 30 show itoot, back, and outside wiews of the spressive and the avent books of Mash, and outside the paper tops. Matterial Che avent books of Mash, and outside

Trate names are used for information only and sodoraseaut by the Bureau of Fines is not implied.

185-SA pressure level transducer. Under nominal laboratory conditions, temperature and line voltage fluctuations are insignificant; however, with the extreme conditions expected in the field, control of the temperature environment and line voltage was required.

For the field installation, and 8- by 8-foot sheet-metal building, subsequently insulated with commercial Fiberglas, was spotted about 20 feet from the well site. A 1/4-inch copper line from the wellhead in the concrete cellar was laid in an earth-covered trench from the wellhead to the inside of the building. Electrical power was provided by a Wolverine Power Company gasoline generator set of 120-volt, 60-cycle, 9.4 kilowatts capacity. Two power lines were run into the building, one was regulated and the other unregulated.

The temperature in the building was controlled to 85°±1° F during normal operation. This was accomplished with unregulated power using a Leeds and Northrup No. 6254 Electromax temperature controller. A setpoint, resistance-bridge balance was established within the controller and an external resistance sensor arranged to detect any temperature drop. This caused the actuation of relays which started three electrically-operated heater-blowers of about 2,000 watts each. Two supplementary blowers were operated continuously to minimize temperature gradients in the building. As the temperature increased to the set point, the controller would turn the heaters off. The temperature in the building was monitored using a Yellow Springs Instrument Company Tele-Thermometer. The output was recorded on a Moseley Autograph No. 600 stripchart recorder. The chart rate was set at one division per 165-56 provante level transducar, Under cominal lobaratory conditions, besperature and the voltage fluctuations are insignificant; hiwever, with the omireme conditions aspected in the field, control of the tenperature environment and line voltage was required.

For the field installation, and 8- by 8-foot sheet-socal building, subpequently insulated with connected Fibergias, was spotted about 20 feet from the well site. A 1/A-inch copper line from the veliberal in the concrete cellar was haid in an earth-covered reach from the wellbeed to the inside of the suitiding. Electrical news we provided by a Wolverine Power Company solution genurates set of 120-vale, o0-opele, 9.6 Milowering from the difference instal we well as a set established and the other unregulated

The temperature in the building was controlled to \$6'21' 2 daries borral operation. This was accomptioned with unreputated power using a testar and harinoup ho. 6256 Ularinoway temperature vourculier. A satpoint, resistance bridge balance and establicated within the controller and an external restance senar arranged to belean any temperature drop. Will engeed the betweet or about 2.000 with startact three electricalivereversed heater blowers or about 2.000 with startact three electrimentary blowers parts opticated continuously to select any temperature point, the controller of the temperature interact three electric point, the controller and is also temperature terresauch to the act are building was model to also be the heaters off. The temperature in point, the controller and is and the heaters off. The temperature in the building was model was varieded on a buseley having any point the controller and the temperature of starter being and the building was model and the temperature of the temperature in the building was model on a top of a start set of the temperature in the building was model and the temperature of a buseley hereing the temperature (00 stripebart recorder. The actual was varieded on a buseley and started to the temperature of the stripebart recorder. The start was also as a top of the temperature of hour or approximately one inch per hour, thus temperature anomalies could be correlated with pressure readings.

The electronic readout equipment was not sensitive to small powerline voltage fluctuations; however, large and continuous over- or undervoltages would cause damage. To minimize this, a voltage protection circuit<sup>6</sup> was incorporated to shut off current to the electronics if the voltage varied outside the limits of 105 to 125 volts. The unit was placed in the regulated power line. Pressure measurement was accomplished using the aforementioned Teleflight transducer. This unit uses the unknown pressure to proportionally and precisely strain a metal diaphragm; this strain is physically transmitted to a sensor that changes resistance with a direct relation to strain. The resultant change in resistance due to pressure is utilized in a Wheatstone bridge arrangement to provide an output signal that also is related to the unknown pressure.

The Wheatstone bridge arrangement incorporated in the transducer requires an external source of power. A highly regulated and stable direct current source of 10 volts (nominal) was provided by an Evenvolt Model 209-N power supply. This voltage was continually monitored by a Non Linear Systems No. 481 digital voltmeter. It also should be noted that the transducer output is directly related to the magnitude of the input power. Both transducer and power supply are critical compon-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>J. R. McVey, Electronic Technician, Helium Research Center, Bureau of Mines, Amarillo, Texas, designed this circuit and contributed substantially to the proper functioning of the electronics.

hour or approximately one inch per hour, thus temperature anometics, could be correlated with presence coedings.

The electronic readout equipment are not semilitive to small powersize valeage finctuations; however, large and continuous over, or under valtages would cause dauge. To ministra this, a valtage protection entent? Was incorporated to alear off entreet to the electronics if the voltage varied conside the finite of 105 ro 135 vales. The unit was placed in the regulated power line. Pressure measurement we eccapliabled using the atornocational felse/high: transdater. This outh use the values to be reput formative and overlaady actein a watal displacement to be exceeded in a diverse to contract the a second displacement to be reput found by and overlaady actein a watal displacement to a result of a streat relation to arrain. The resultant attranges mesticates plus to prepare the streat that are in the second displacement to previous an output streat that area is officiend to the maximum that an output streat that area is officiend to the arrithment presence to presence is officiend to a veloced to the arrithment to provide an output streat that area is a second to the arrithment presence to presence that area is a second to the

The Mosersions bridge errorgement incorporated is the transduter requires an external source of proofs (contract) was provided by an Evenvolt direct current scores of 10 voirs (contract) was provided by an Evenvolt Model 209-0 power supply. This voirage was continuently contract by a Model 209-0 power supply. This voirage was continuently contract by a Model 209-0 power supply. This voirage was continuently contract by a Model 209-0 power supply. This voirage was continuently contract by a Model 209-0 power supply. This voirage was contact by continuent by a that the transducer output to directly rolated to the argentede of the input power. Such transducer and power supply are critical compon-

. K. Mevay, Sloctionic Technician, Belium Research Canter, Bureau of Mines, Amarillo, Toxas, designed this circuit and contributed substantially to the proper functioning of the electronics. ents and are sensitive to temperature changes; to give added validity to the data, both were placed in an oven, regulated to 85°±1° F, in a rack module that held the readout equipment.

After the pressure was introduced into the transducer, the 10-volt nominal, 9.779 actual, power was applied to the electrical circuit. At this time a millivolt potential of 0-30, corresponding to 0-1,000 psig, appears at the output terminals of the transducer. This value could have been used, with suitable mathematical conversion, to yield pressure if a satisfactory digital voltmeter had been readily available. However, since this was not the case, the signal was converted electronically to a digital pressure reading in psig by a Vidar Instrument Company No. 260 voltage-to-frequency converter which incorporates a variable amplifier-output attenuator. With this unit, the millivolt output was converted to a sine-wave frequency at a nominal 3.33 kilocycles per millivolt of potential. This frequency was then fed into a Beckman/Berkeley EPUT electronic counter or frequency meter where the frequency was displayed in cycles per second. As this value was displayed, an integrally attached printer of the same manufacturer printed the value on paper tape.

To correlate these readings with time and temperature and to minimize paper consumption, a time switch was placed in the print-command signal-line. This allowed continuous operation of the frequency meter but only allowed printing for about three minutes of each hour on the hour.

As it was desirable to have a reading accuracy of 0.1 psi and a resolution of 0.01 psi, it was necessary to calibrate the complete system to determine the parameters accurately instead of nominally. This was done in the laboratory using a Ruska deadweight gage that is accurate to 0.01 percent or better. Incremental changes of 10 psi at 600 and 700 psig were reflected in the readout with an accuracy of 0.085 psi. The readout was monitored constantly for 17 minutes while the deadweight gage was held carefully at a constant 650 psig; variation in the output was less than 0.005 psi. Based on the above, it was concluded that the readings, at controlled laboratory conditions, were well within the desired accuracy and reproducibility.

Nominally, a one-millivolt output of the pressure transducer corresponds to 33.33 psig; for the voltage-to-frequency converter, one millivolt corresponds to 3.33 kilocycles. Nominally, then, one kilocycle corresponds to 10 psig or 100 kilocycles correspond to 1,000 psig. The variations of the outputs of both the transducer and converter are less than 0.01 percent per degree at fullscale from about 70° to 105° F. When the input pressure is of the order of 650 psig into the transducer, its output accuracy is 0.065 psi; the accuracy of the converter is practically the same. If both errors of 0.065 operate in the same direction, the accuracy of the electronic counter is 0.2 parts per million, according to the manufacturer.

In the actual laboratory calibration, discussed above, the experimentally determined accuracy was 0.085 psig, which is somewhat better

As is was desirable to have a reacing accuracy of 0.1 pet and a reaction of 0.01 pet, it was necessary, to calibrate the complete system to determine the persentants accurately instead of dominally. This was done in the laboratory using a Buska desdweight ways that is some, and 700 pets ware callected in the readuut will an accuracy of 0.065 pet. The evaluation of 0.065 pet. Samed on the above, it was concluded to upper weight gage are then 0.065 pet. Samed on the above, it was concluded to the desired reaction of 0.065 pet. Samed on the above, it was concluded that the reading on the above, it was concluded that the desired reaction in the desired reacting on the above, it was concluded that the the desired reaction of the reaction of the desired reaction of the

Noninally, a que-additivate outmos of the pressure transdurer correcponde to 35.33 paig: (or the voltage-to-struguency converter, soo attitvolt corresponde to 3.33 kilospeter. Noninelly, then, and kilospete corresponde to 10 paig or 100 kilosystes correspond to 1,000 paig. The variations of the autpute of both the transdurer and converter are tess than 0.01 percent per degree at follecale from about 70° to 105° s when the input pressure is of the order of 650 paig (ato the transdurer is cattor, for eccurey is 0.065 pair, the accuracy of the converter is presented in the tess. If both errors of 0.065 upstate in the same diraction, the eccurety of the measuremeans should be at least 0.13 paig ection, the eccurety of the electronic construct is 0.2 parts par al) iton.

In the section inhomotory calibration, discussed shove, the experi-

than the assumed 0.13 psig just mentioned; at the field installation the precision or reproducibility was no better than 0.02 psi as indicated by the printout tapes.

#### DEADWEIGHT TESTER PRESSURE DEVICE

Deadweight pressures for Bush A-5 and five other closed-in wells were obtained with a portable Refinery Supply 9020 deadweight tester. The range of this instrument is to 1,000 psig at 0.1 psi intervals and requires adding the corresponding barometric pressure for absolute pressures. Its accuracy is capable of being determined, as claimed by the suppliers, to 0.1 percent of the indicated pressure corresponding to 0.6 psig at the level of the pressures measured. The device was calibrated in the laboratory against the 0.01-percent accurate Ruska deadweight gage and found to be reading about 2 psi high; the precision, however, was found to be 0.1 psi at a given pressure and temperature level. No attempt was made to correct the deadweight measurements to the more accurate Ruska values since absolute pressure levels were not the main parameters of interest; for this report, the changes of pressure with time are more pertinent. Over a long period of time, one to two months, they do show the general trend of the pressure variations of wells in the stabilization area of figure 1 and are reported to 0.1 psi.

### ABSOLUTE PRESSURE TO A COMMON DATUM

Static wellhead pressures in the closed-in or stabilization area were converted to the arbitrarily selected zero subsea datum plane than the secured 0.13 pair just monthemed; at the field installation the prevision of reproducibility was no rather than 0.02 pet as indicated by the printout tapes.

#### DENOWEIGHT INSTER PARSSURE DEVICE

#### ARBOUUTE PRESSURE TO A COUNDN DAILDN

statio wellwad preserves in the closed-in or stabilization are were converted to the arbitrarily selected zero subsee datus plane

22.

because: (1) sufficient time had elapsed so that closed-in wellhead (WH) pressures should reflect static bottom-hole (BH) or static reservoir pressure; (2) of the need to take into account the different well elevations and perforation midpoints; (3) the well bores, except Bush A-5 and Bush A-2, are essentially filled with in-place 1.8 percent helium Cliffside gas; (4) of the availability of experimental data to compute gas gradients; and (5) of the greater significance of BH pressures in reservoir behavior trends as pointed out by Pirson (5).

The average reservoir temperature is about 92° F and, as of August 1965, the average reservoir pressure was about 693 psia, according to information supplied by Division of Helium Resources personnel. At these reservoir conditions, the interpolated, least square, compressibility factor, Z, for the in-place gas was calculated to be 0.9348 from the work of Carroll and Churchwell (<u>1</u>); based on an average molecular weight,  $\overline{M}$ , of 21.095 the computed density is 2.645 pounds per cubic foot using the relation density equals  $P\overline{M}/ZRT$  (in engineering units as shown on page 20 of this report). The average molecular weight of 21.095 was calculated from the average field gas analysis shown in Holmes' report (<u>3</u>).

Table 1 summarizes well-gradient corrections to be added to the wellhead pressures to obtain absolute pressures at the zero subsea datum. No claim is made as to the absoluteness of these pressures since, at the present time, it is not known to what degree the reservoir is in communication within itself. However, as the last column of table 1 shows, the well gradients are different and need to be

because: (1) sufficient time had elepsed so that closed-in wellhead (WB) pressures aboutd reflect static bottom-hole (BB) or static reservoir pressure; (2) of the need to take into account the different well alevations and perforation addpaints; (3) the well bores, sampt hush A-5 and boah A+2, are essentially filled with in-place 1.8 percent helive Cliffelde gas; (4) of the evaluability of experimental date to compute gas gradients; and (5) of the granter significance of BH pres-

The avarage reservoir bespecature is about 22 F and, as of bugune 1995, the subrage reservoir pressure was about 603 peis, according to in formation applitible Division of Malium Resources personnel. At these reservoir conditions, the Interpolated, heast square, compressibility factor, 2, for the interpolated, heast square, compressibility work of Carroli and Churchmelt (1); based on an average molecular foot using the relation density equals PH/IRT (in engineering units as shown on page 20 of this report). The average molecular weight of 21.095 was calculated from the average field gas analysis shown in Boldma' report (3).

Table I summarizes well-gredient corrections to be added to the wellhead pressures to obtain absolute pressures at the zero subsea datum. No tisim is made as to the absoluteness of these pressures since, at the present time, it is not known to what degree the reservoir is in communication within itself. However, as the last column of table I shows, the well gradients are different and need to be

taken into account when comparing pressure changes and estimating reservoir behavior trends. Actually, a more realistic datum plane is +50 feet subsea; this, however, will not affect the pressure changes or gradients discussed in this report.

#### DISCUSSION OF PRESSURES AT BUSH A-5

As indicated above, the transducer wellhead pressures should be accurate to 0.1 psig and actually were reproducible to about 0.02 psi. The accuracy of the deadweight tester measurements at Bush A-5 (and five other closed-in wells) probably is no better than 2 psig. However, deadweight values should be precise to 0.1 psi for any given measurement and are presented to this value to show general data trends, especially on a long-range time basis. The electronic values are believed to be very indicative, especially on a short-range time basis. Further, it is not intended to compare the electronic to the deadweight values, point-by-point.

#### December 1965 - March 1966

Table 2 summarizes transducer values from December 3, 1965, to March 11, 1966. In general, the wellhead pressures shown are averages, usually from about midnight to five in the morning when the temperature in the meter house was generally most constant. In fact, the trend of a large proportion of the temperature charts showed a "diurnal" effect in that the temperature started increasing steadily at about 10 am and reached a maximum about 3 pm when it started decreasing slowly and more-or-less settled down about 8 pm. raken inco account what comparing pressure changes and estimating reservoir behavior trends. Actually, a more realistic datum plane is +50 feat subses; this, however, will not affect the pressure changes of gradients discussed in this report.

#### DISCUSSION OF PRESSURES AT BURH A-5

As indicated above, the transducer willhard presentes should be accurate to 0.1 psig and scruelly were reproducible to about 0.02 pai. The accuracy of the deadweight tostor measurements at dush 1.5 (and five other clessed-in wells) probably is no batter than 2 psig. Howsver, deadweight values should be precise to 0.1 psi for any given measurement and are presented to this value to show general date trends, aspecially on a long-range time basis. The electronic values are balieved to be very indicative, aspecially on a chort-range time basis. Further, it is not intended to compare the electronic to the deadweight values, point-by-point.

#### Derember 1965 - March 1966

Toble 2 summarizes transducer values from December 1, 1965, to March 11, 1966. In general, the wellhead pressures shown are everages, usually from about midnight to five in the morning when the temperature in the meter house was generally most constant. In fact, the frond of a large propertion of the temperature charts showed a "diurnel" effect in the the temperature started increasing steadily at about 10 am and reached a maximum about 3 pm when it started decreasing slowly and In general, every hour an average of 10 values was printed within three minutes; these were averaged for the hour. The next hour another 10 values were recorded and averaged, etc. The 638.48 shown for December 3 represents the overall average for an 8-hour period when the temperature was holding steady according to the temperature recorder chart. The barometric pressure shown was the field value used the same day to obtain the wellhead pressure in psia with the deadweight tester.

On Saturday, December 4, when no deadweight measurement was taken, the barometric pressure shown was obtained by reading a barometer chart at the Helium Research Center and correcting it to the field. For example, on January 13 at 10 am the field barometric pressure was 13.1 when the deadweight measurement was taken. The Research Center chart read 26.49 (±0.05) inches of mercury at the same time; conversion gives 13.01 psi indicating that 0.09 should be added to give 13.10 psi, the field value. At 10 am on January 20, the Research Center chart read 26.30 inches of mercury or 12.92 psi; adding 0.09 gives 13.01. The field value at the same time was 13.0 psi. A large number of correlations such as these were made with the same result. From January 20 through March 11, the "corrected" Research Center chart values were used for the hourly barometric readings shown in table 2. It should be pointed out that, in general, the 10 am readings shown in table 2 were visually read from the outside through the window of the meter house.

Without going into detail, a large number of pressure-temperature correlations were made manually from inside the meter building as well

Without going into detail, a large number of pressure-remperature correlations were made munually from inside the meter building as well

as from examination of data during the midnight to early morning hours. In general, a temperature decrease caused a "high" electronic pressure readout and vice-versa. For example, just opening the door of the meter house caused a temperature decrease which was quickly picked up in the electronic counter. Although not always true, the general observation was that a change in temperature of 1 F° caused a pressure readout change of 0.08 psi. These are the "del" values recorded in table 2.

Electronic and deadweight measurements are plotted together in figure 3. As shown, there is a gap in the transducer data from December 22-20; also, there is a gap from December 29-January 5. According to a daily log record which was kept, these shutdowns were due to such reasons as: generator failing to run consistently on Cliffside gas, probably because of freezing-up due to entrained water vapor; generator itself breaking down; fuses and switches in the electronics breaking down; etc. The gap from January 13-18 was due primarily to getting the electronics, generator, blowers, and other equipment checked-out in preparation for following, in detail, the pressure at Bush A-5 as Bush A-9 was scheduled for opening on January 20.

From January 18-27, the electronics were watched closely; this was especially so from about 9 am to 2 pm on January 20, since Bush A-9 was opened to production at 10:55 am. Table 3 summarizes manually controlled and monitored data taken from 10 am to 2:20 pm on January 20. These data were followed very closely to ascertain if there was any "immediate reaction" at Bush A-5 due to opening-up Bush A-9.

as from examination of date during the aldalphe to early months hours. In general, a therefore decrease caused a "high" electronic pressure readed: and vice-verte. For example, just opening the door of the mate bouse caused a temperature decrease which use quickly picked up to the electronic counter. Although not always true, the general conservation was that a change in temperature of 1 F' caused a pressure readout therefore to 0.08 pat. These are the "dal" valuer recorded in texts in

Figure 1 is about there is a gro from December 29-Junuary 5. According to a delig log recold which are tept, these shutdoors were due to such researce as generated which are tept, these shutdoors were due to such probably because of freesing-up due to entratand water vapor, constance treatif breakted down fuses and switches in the electrontee breaking down; etc. The sep firm January 13-18 was due primetily to contring the electronice, generator, blowers, and other equipment sheeled out in proparation for failenting, in detail, the pressure at Such 4-3 as Such 24.9 we recolded for optiming to January 20.

From January 18-37, this electronics wave watched closely; this was especially ad from about 7 am to 2 pm on January 18, since Boab A-9 was opened to production at 10:55 am. Table 3 summerizes sameally comtroided and monitored date taken from 10 am to 1120 pm on January 20. Those date were followed very clumply to securities if there was any "immediate reaction" at Such A-5 due to opening-up Such A-9.

Figure 4 shows 5-minute average pressures recorded in table 3. As shown, the pressure had been cycling downward to about 11:20 am; from then until about 12:30 pm it continued cycling downward but not as fast; from then until about 2:20 pm it was cycling steadily around, more-or-less, the same pressure level of the previous day. The only comment, for these data, is that no definite "break" appears to have occurred in the pressure curve; however, its rate of decrease definitely was slowed down during this 4-hour period.

It should be pointed out that one week prior to opening-up Bush A-9, the injection rate into Bivins A-3, the nearby injection well, was suddenly increased from about 8.1 to 8.4-8.5 Mmscf per day, as shown in figure 3. This consistent increase in the injection rate in this particular well would tend to mask a reaction to production from Bush A-9; in fact, prior to January 20, the generally increasing pressure level, as reflected by the deadweight pressures, definitely was slowed down on January 20, as reflected by the transducer values. Also, it should be pointed out that the deadweight value shown on January 20 was taken at 9 am prior to opening Bush A-9.

Figure 4 also shows a plot of hourly average pressures from January 20-25 as recorded in table 2, when the temperature level was constant as explained on pages 14-15. From January 20-22, the transducer pressure was still cycling around a more-or-less common value; between January 22-23 the cycling continued but at a lower pressure level; between January 23-24, however, the cycling was very definitely below the others. From then on the pressure definitely began to cycle Pigure 4 knows 5-minute average pressures recorded in table 1. As shown, the pressure had been syniing downward to about 11:20 am; item then until about 12.30 pm it continued cycling downward but mot as fame; from then until about 2:30 pm it was cycling steadily around, some-or-less, the same pressure level of the previous day. The only comment, for these data, is that no definite "break" appears to have occurred to the pressure curvet however, its rate of decrease definitely was stowed down during this 4-hour period.

It should be pointed and that our week prior to opening-up buch A-5 the injection rate into hiving A-3, the wearby injection well, was and dealy increased from about 8.1 to 8.4-8.5 Musci per day, as shown in Figure 3. This constance increase in the injection rate in this purticular well would tend to mask a reaction to production from Bush A-9; an fact, prior to January 20, the generally increasing pressure level, an reflected by the deadweight pressures, definitely was slowed down on January 20, as reflected by the transducer values. Also, it should be pointed out that the deadweight value shown on January 20 was taken at 9 up peter to opening Bush A-9.

Pigure 4 also shows a plot of hourly average pressures from lanuary 20-15 as recorded in table 7, when the transmiture layel one constant as axplained on pages 14-15. From laduery 20-22, the transducer pressure was stall cycling around a more-or-leas common value; horween Semuary 22-23 the cycling continued but at a lower pressure level; between lancary 23-24, however, the cycling we very definitely below the others. From then on the pressure definitely below

.
upward again. Tentatively, it appears that a probable "reaction" occurred during the evening of January 23.

The gap in transducer measurements from January 27-February 17 was due to a complete breakdown of the generator; a substitute was brought in from the Exell plant. From February 17-March 11, at which time the transducer measurements were shut-down, represents the longest steady operating period of the electronic module. During this period, both the transducer and deadweight measurements indicate a negative slope in the pressure as shown in figure 3. The slopes of such pressure-time curves, especially from an overall or average reservoir point of view, need to be examined for qualitative correlations as regards the injection - production statistics. The volumetric material or energy balance relationship used for this is presented below.

### VOLUMETRIC MATERIAL BALANCE-PRESSURE MAINTENANCE CORRELATION

A reasonable estimate of the relationship between the injected to produced gas ratio and reservoir pressure maintenance level can be made by way of the simple gas law if it is assumed, as a starting point, that there are no permanent barriers to flow, that the reservoir essentially is all gas, and that the reservoir temperature remains sensibly constant. Since the reservoir volume, V, remains constant at a given pressure, P, the product of PV, which is a work or energy term, remains constant or

$$nZ = \frac{PV}{RT} = a \text{ constant},$$
 (1)

upward sgain. Tentetively, it sprease that a probable "reaction" pecarrad daring the evening of January 23.

The gap in theorem assessments from lamary 27-fabruary 17 was the to a complete, treakdown of the generator; a substitute was brought in fram the Small plant. Trow Pobruary 17-March 11, so which time the traindover assessments ware abut-down, represents the longest steady operating period of the significate and also During this period, both the transdorar and douburight assessments indicate a regaring of the the transdorar and douburight assessments indicate a regaring of view. In the pressure as shown in figure 3. The slopes of such pressure-time canves, especially from an overall or average reservoir point of view. The time to be examined for qualitative correlations as regards the injection - production statistics. The volumetric relatively bai-

VOLUMETRED NATERIAL BALANCE PRESSORS MAINTEMANCE CORRELATION

A reconnoble estimate of the relationship between the injected to produced gas fatto and reservair pressure astatements invel can be made by vay of the simple gas law if it is example, as a scarting point, that thure are no permanent barriers to flow, that the reservoir essentially is all gas, and that the reservoir temperature remains sensibly constant. Since the reservoir volume, V, remains constant at a given pressure, P, the product of PV, which is a work or energy term, remains

where the criteria for pressure maintenance is constancy of the product of the number of moles, n, and the Z-factor.

Application of (1) to the injected (i) and produced (p) gas gives, at reservoir conditions:

$$\frac{w_i}{(MW)_i} \times Z_i = \frac{w_p}{(MW)_p} \times Z_p, \qquad (2)$$

where the w's refer to weights in pounds and the MW's refer to average molecular weights.

For in-place or produced Cliffside gas at 693 psia and 92° F, the average molecular weight is 21.095, Z is 0.9348, and the density,  $\rho$ , is 2.645 pounds per cubic foot, as indicated in the section on Absolute Pressure to a Common Datum.

From the work of Miller and Stroud (<u>4</u>), the compressibility factor for the injected conservation 70-30 percent helium-nitrogen mixture was calculated to be 1.0273 at reservoir conditions; these authors tabulated smoothed experimental data into a virial form of equation for computing helium-nitrogen mixture compressibility factors,  $Z_{mix}$ , valid for pressures up to 3,400 psia covering a temperature range from 40° to 100° F in 5° increments. The form of this virial equation is

$$Z_{mix} = 1 + B_{mix} P + C_{mix} P^2 + D_{mix} P^3$$
, (3)

and the values of B<sub>mix</sub>, C<sub>mix</sub>, and D<sub>mix</sub> can be easily evaluated from data these authors present. The assumed average molecular weight for the 70-30 percent injected helium-nitrogen mixture is 11.2; the computed density is 1.278 pounds per cubic foot, based on the relation where the criterie for pressure colstenance is constancy of the product

Application of (1) to the injected (1) and produced (p) gas gives,

(2) = 2 = <u>0</u> = 2 = . (2)

where the e's refer to veights in pounds and the M's refer or sversge molecular veights.

For in-place or produced Clifferide gas at 661 pate and 92° F, the everage molecular weight is 21.095, 2 is 0.9348, and the domaicy, P. is 2.565 pounds per cobic foot, so indicated in the section on Absolute Pressure to a Common Datum.

From the work of Miller and Stroud (2), the compressionitry factor for the injected conservation 70-30 percent beliam-nitrogen mixture was resiculated to be 1.0273 at reservoir conditions; these suthors tabulated smoothed experimental date into a virial form of equation for computing helium-nitrogen mixture compressibility feators, Z<sub>mix</sub>, valid for pressures up to 3,400 yaid covering a temperature range from 50° to 100° F in 5° interements. The form of this virial equation is

" I + Balk P + Calk P + 2 mix P +

and the values of Bais, Cais, and Date on be satily evaluated from data those authors present. The average molecular weight for the 70-30 percent injected belius-nitrogen misture is 11.2; the computed density is 1.278 pounds per cubic foot, based on the relation

$$= \frac{PM}{ZRT} = \frac{693 \times 11.2}{1.0273 \times 10.71 \times 552} .$$
(4)

Substituting for the Z-factors and average molecular weights in (2) gives

$$\frac{w_{i}}{11.2} \ge 1.0273 = \frac{0.9348}{21.095} \ge w_{p}, \qquad (5)$$

or

$$w_i = 0.4831 \times w_p$$
. (6)

Since weight is density,  $\rho$ , times volume, V,

ρ

$$V_{i} \rho_{i} = 0.4831 V_{p} \rho_{p},$$
 (7)

or

$$V_i (1.278) = 0.4831 (V_p) (2.645),$$
 (8)

or

$$V_i/V_p = 0.99976 \doteq 1$$
, (9)

at reservoir conditions.

Equation (9) represents a rigorous derivation of a fact that sometimes is taken for granted; that is, in order to maintain reservoir pressure for a fixed total void space, assuming no internal influx of any type of fluid, the reservoir volume of injected fluid equals the reservoir volume of all withdrawals; further, it tends to substantiate the correctness of the values used for compressibilities, average molecular weights, and densities at reservoir conditions. It should be pointed out that the only rigorous way to determine the correct molecular weight is to experimentally determine it; the 21.095 field average used is probably in error and, since the gas density is computed using this, the gas

Subactructure for the 2-factors and everys molecular vetebre in (2)

23121

Sinde weight is density, o, times volume, V.

(j. (1.278) = 0.4831 (V.) (2.645) , (8)

1

. I 4 0.99976 4 L

. seservoir conditions.

Equation (9) represents a rigorous derivation of a fact that assections is taken for granted; that is, in order to maintern reservoir pressure for a fixed total void space, assuming no internal influx of any type of finid, the reservoir volume of injected fiuld equals the reservoir volume of sell withdrawais; further, it tends to substantions the portectness of the values used for compressibilities, average molecuint validue, and devalues as reservoir congressibilities, average molecuter to experimentally determine to; the 1005 field average used to produc that the only rigorous way to determine the correct molecular weight is to experimentally determine it; the 11.095 field average used to proably in error and, sizes the gas density is computed using the, the res gradients shown in table 1 are in error. However, these are in error by a constant amount and do not change the pressure gradients discussed in the following section. Moreover, if a molecular weight of 20.51, the overall field average determined over a longer time period and covering more wells, is used in equation (5), it leads to the same result shown in equation (9), when the corresponding density (2.572) is used; further, from a rigorous point of view this average molecular weight also is not precisely correct.

The general equation which incorporates the Z-factor is

$$V = Z V_{ideal}$$
,

where

- V = the true volume at the given conditions of temperature and pressure,
- Videal = the volume at the given temperature and pressure, if the gas were ideal, and
- Z = the compressibility factor at the given temperature and pressure.

The volume in the reservoir occupied by the injected gas, V irc, is

$$V_{irc} = V_{iscf} \times \frac{14.65}{693} \times \frac{552}{520} \times Z_{irc}$$
, (11)

where V<sub>iscf</sub> is standard cubic feet of gas injected at 60° F and 14.65 psia, and Z<sub>irc</sub> is the compressibility factor of the injected gas at reservoir conditions. The corresponding equation for the produced gas is

$$V_{prc} = V_{pscf} \times \frac{14.65}{693} \times \frac{552}{520} \times Z_{prc}$$
 (12)

(10)

predients shown in table 1 are to error. However, these are in error by a constant anount and do not change the pressure gradients discussed in the following section: Moreover, if a subscular weight of 20.31. the overall field everage descinited over a longer time period and covering nork weils, is used in equation (3), it leads to the same reacht shown in equation (3), when the corresponding density (2.312) is used; further, from a right out of view this sverage solecular weight size is not precisely correct.

We general equation which incorporates the L-factor is

 the true volume at the given conditions of temperature and pressure.

asi the gas sere theal, and

the comptessibility factor at the given competature and

The volume in the reservoir occupied by the injusted gas, Viro' is

"Are " Last x 593 x 320 x 2re " ork" (11

where V<sub>isof</sub> is standard cubic feet of gas injected at 60° 7 and 14.65 pais, and Z<sub>ire</sub> is the compressibility factor of the injected gas at reservoir confictors. The corresponding equation for the pro-

Dividing (11) by (12) gives, by way of (9),

$$\frac{V_{iscf} \times Z_{irc}}{V_{pscf} \times Z_{prc}} = 1 , \qquad (13)$$

or

$$\frac{V_{iscf}}{V_{pscf}} = 1 \times \frac{Z_{prc}}{Z_{irc}}, \qquad (14)$$

or

$$\frac{V_{iscf}}{V_{pscf}} = 1 \times \frac{0.9348}{1.0273} = 0.90 .$$
(15)

The preceding reasonable estimate indicates that, in order to maintain the reservoir pressure level at a nominal 700 psia, the injected to produced gas volume ratio at standard engineering conditions should be at least 0.90. Another way of stating this relation is that

$$\frac{V_{iscf}}{V_{pscf}} - 0.90 = 0$$
, (16)

or whenever relation (16) is greater than zero means an "excess" of injected energy or an increasing pressure level.

Table 4 summarizes daily injection-production statistics for the gas storage reservoir from October 1965 through February 1966 and shows the volume injected to volume produced ratio,  $V_i/V_p$ , as well as values of relation (16) which are termed delta,  $\Delta$ . Table 5 summarizes daily deadweight pressures for Bush A-5, Bush A-4, Fuqua A-2, Bush A-9 (prior to opening), Bivins A-7, and Bush A-2. These are not all of the wells in the present stabilization area (figure 1), but they are, for

Dividing (11) by (12) gives, by may of (9)

$$\frac{V_{1302}}{V_{1001}} = 1 \pm \frac{0.9348}{1.0273} = 0.90 . . (15)$$

maintain the reservoir pressure level at a nominal 700 pais, the injeceed to produced gas volume ratio at scandard engineering conditions should be at least 0.20. Another way of stating this relation is there

or whenever relation (15) is greater than zero means an "excess" of injected shargy or an increasing pressure level.

Table 6 summarizes daily injection-production statistics for the ges storage repervoir from October 1965 through February 1966 and shows the volume injected to volume produced ratio,  $V_{\rm L}/V_{\rm p}$ , as well as values of relation (16) which are termed delts,  $\Delta$ . Table 5 summarizes daily deadweight pressures for bush A-9, Bosh A-4, Fugds A-2, Bush A-9 (prior to opening), Biving A-7, and such A-1. These are not all of the vells in the present stabilization area (figure 1), but they are, for the purposes of this report, the ones of most interest because of their locations. Unfortunately, Bush A-2 deadweight pressures were taken weekly until February 16 when daily measurements were started. The average (reservoir) pressures, presented in the last column of table 5, are simply arithmetic averages of all the wells just mentioned except Bush A-2. Figure 3 also shows a plot of average pressures of all these wells from December 1965 through February 1966; right below these pressures are the daily delta values rounded off to 0.1.

## DISCUSSION OF PRESSURE MAINTENANCE -VOLUMETRIC MATERIAL BALANCE CORRELATION

On a short-range time basis, the only pressure-time plots of individual well pressures which showed any definite trend were the transducer values from January 20-27 and February 20-28 for Bush A-5 when the slope was negative (figure 3). On a long-range time basis, the deadweight pressure-time plots for individual wells, which are not shown in this report, show a general positive slope from October 1965 through February 1966, except for Bush A-5 where the slope is approximately negative during February. There is no apparent correlation between the daily or monthly individual well deadweight pressure-time and delta-time plots, also not shown in this report; that is, individual wells do not appear to follow any pressure-time behavior pattern as regards injection - production rates. However, deadweight pressures arithmetically averaged for all wells (all wells except Bush A-2) do appear to follow a pattern as regards the corresponding deltas; for example, even though there are some anomalies, when delta definitely the purposes of this report, the bues of dost interest because of their locations. Unifortunately, Such A-2 deadweight pressures were taken weekly until February 16 when daily measurements are started. The sverage (reservair) pressures, presented in the last column of bable 5, are simply arithmetic averages of all the walls just manifored except Bueh A-2. Figure 3 also shows a plot of sverage pressures of all these wells from December 1965 through February 1966; right below these pressures are the daily delta values rounded off to 0.1.

# DISCUSSION OF PRESSURE MAINTENANCE - VOLUMETRIC MATERIAL DALANCE CORRELATION

and by ideal values that is the analy presence the plots of individual well presences which showed any definite trand were the transducer values from January 20-27 and Sebruary 20-28 for Buch A-5 when the slope was negative (figure 3). On a long-range time basis, the deadweight pressure-time plots for individual wells, which are not shown in this report, show a goneral positive slope from deteber 1963 through February 1966, except for Buch A-5 where the slope is approxidurough February 1966, except for Buch A-5 where the slope is approximetely negative during February. There is no apparent correlation the detect in daily or conthly individual well deadweight pressure-time and delta-time plots, also not shown in this report; that is, individual math delta-time plots, also not shown in this report; that is, individual regards injection - production carus, However, deadweight pressureart(hometically evenged for all wells (all wells corresponding deltas, for any follow a pattern as reports the corresponding deltas, for appear to follow a pattern as reports the corresponding deltas. (or

5.9

decreases the slope of the average pressure for all wells decreases; also, when delta definitely increases there appears to be a 2-3 day lag for the slope of the pressure to increase (figure 3). This tends to confirm the "probable" reaction of Bush A-5 on the "evening" of January 23.

Table 6 shows average least square, assumed straight line slopes of the pressure-time plots, on a monthly basis, from October 1965 through February 1966; values for individual wells have been rounded-off, as shown, to be consistent with the accuracy of the deadweight tester; average values for "all" wells, in the stabilization area, are shown to an extra decimal place because they represent about five times more data points. Correlation plots of these data are shown in figure 5.

Overall, the most indicative correlation results from a comparison of the average pressure slope of all wells, from October 1965 - February 1966, with the running average delta values shown in table 6 and plotted in figure 5. These particular data follow what one would anticipate except during December-January there is a relatively large decrease in the pressure slope with a relatively smaller increase in delta; however, in this period only four wells are being averaged, one of which (Bush A-5) exhibited a relatively larger decrease in slope than any of the others.

If the assumption is made that the "base" starting pressure is 707.8 (October 1965 average) and 0.89 is the base starting delta (October 1965 average), a strictly qualitative prediction of pressures can be

destreases the slope of the svarage pressure for all valle decreases; size, shen delta definitely increases there appears to be a 2-3 day ing for the slope of the pressure to increase (figure 3). This tends to confight the 'probable' reaction of hush A-3 on the 'evening' of Janu-

Table 6 shows average lesset square, assumed straight line slopes of the pressure-time plots, on a monchly basis, from October 1303 through Tehrnary 1965; values for individual wells have been rounded-off as shown, to be consistent with the accuracy of the deadweight tester; wherage values for "all" wells, in the accuracy of the deadweight tester; an extra decimal place because they represent about five times more data polate. Correlation plots of these data are shown in figure 5:

Overall, the most indicative correlation results from a comparison of the average pressure alope of all wells, from October 1965 - February 1966, with the rooming average delts values shown in table 6 and plotted in Sigure 5. These particular data follow what one would anticiprocessing during December-January there is a relatively large decrease in the pressure slope with a relatively smaller increase to delta; however, in this parted only four wells are being averaged, one of which (Rush A-5) exhibited a relatively larger decrease in slope than any of the otheres

if the secondition is made that the "base" starting pressure is 76).6 (Occover 1965 Sverege) and D.89 is the base starting delts (Octob-

#92864134122

ID 88070871

9660 .143 m56 25 No. 89

made; the running average delta from October 1965 - February 1966 was 1.09 at the end of this period and the corresponding average running pressure was 711.7. Delta changed 0.20 dimensionless units and the pressure changed by 3.9 psi or 19.5 psi per delta. Table 6 also shows, in the lower last two columns, the monthly change in the running delta average and the corresponding predicted running average pressure based on the changes in delta. These predicted pressures indicate longer periods of the maintenance of increasing or decreasing pressure slopes instead of a continuous increase in pressure slopes as indicated by the average deadweight pressures and lead to the suspicion that more accurate and reproducible deadweight pressures should be strived for.

From what has already been said, it would appear rather obvious that Bush A-9 is in better communication with Bush A-5 than Bush A-5 is with the nearby injection well, Bivins A-3, in spite of the fact that Bush A-9 is about 200 feet further away from Bush A-5 than the distance between Bush A-5 and Bivins A-3. Also, the injected gas, predominately around Bivins A-3, has a higher viscosity (about 200 micropoises) than the in-place gas (about 150 micropoises) and, consequently, experiences more difficulty in moving through the reservoir.

Figure 5 also shows the average slope of the monthly pressures of the individual wells for the same time period. Some data trends and anomalies are indicated. For example, between October - December 1965, even though delta exhibited a relatively large increase, the pressure slopes of Bush A-4 and Bivins A-7 definitely decreased; at the same

made; the running average delta from October 1965 - February 1966 was 1.09 at the end of this period and the corresponding average running pressure was 711.7. Delta changed 0.20 dimensionless units and the pressure changed by 3.9 psi or 19.5 psi per delta. Table 6 also shows, in the lower last two columns, the monthly change in the running delta average and the corresponding predicted running average pressure based on the changes in delta. These predicted pressures indicate longer periods of the maintenance of increasing or decreasing pressure levels which appear to cycle instead of a continuous increase in pressure as indicated by the average deadweight pressures and lead to the suspicion that more accurate and reproducible deadweight pressures should be strived for.

From what has already been said, it would appear rather obvious that Bush A-9 is in better communication with Bush A-5 than Bush A-5 is with the nearby injection well, Bivins A-3, in spite of the fact that Bush A-9 is about 200 feet further away from Bush A-5 than the distance between Bush A-5 and Bivins A-3. Also, the injected gas, predominately around Bivins A-3, has a higher viscosity (about 200 micropoises) than the in-place gas (about 150 micropoises) and, consequently, experiences more difficulty in moving through the reservoir.

Figure 5 also shows the average slope of the monthly pressures of the individual wells for the same time period. Some data trends and anomalies are indicated. For example, between October - December 1965, even though delta exhibited a relatively large increase, the pressure slopes of Bush A-4 and Bivins A-7 definitely decreased; at the same

made; the runplog average delte from October 1965 - February 1966 use 1.09 at the end of this period and the corresponding average running pressure was 711.7. Dairs changed 0.22 dimensionless units and the gressure changed by 1.9 pet or 19.5 pet per delte. Table 6 size shows to the lower lest two columns, the monthly change is the running delte avairage and the corresponding predicted running surage pressure based of the changes in delte. These predicted pressures indicate longer which appear to cycle instead of a continuous increase in pressure as indicated by the average deadweight pressures and lead to the complete indicated by the average deadweight pressures and lead to the complete that more accurate and reproducible deadweight pressures should be

From what has already been said, it would appear rather doulous frage dush A-9 is in better communication with Bush A-5 than Bush A-5 is with the nearby injection well, Siwine A-3, in spite of the fact that such A-9 is about 200 feat further sway from Bush A-5 than the distance between Bush A-5 and Bivine A-3. Also, the injected gas, predominately around Sivine A-5, has a higher viscoaity (about 200 alerioptieses) then the in-place gas (about 150 microptiese) and, combigure 5 also shows the average slope of the monthly pressures of the individual wells for the same time period, fous data trends and anomalies are indicated. For example, batmen October - December 1965 around though delta exhibited a relatively large increase, the pressure stores of Bush A-4 and Bivine A-7 definitorly doceneed of the average slopes of Bush A-4 and Bivine A-7 definitorly there are an of the average slopes of bush A-4 and Bivine A-7 definitorly there are an of the average slopes of bush A-4 and Bivine A-7 definitorly there are the pressure

time, the pressure slopes of Bush A-5 and Bush A-9 definitely increased. This indicates that the area from Bivins A-3 towards Bush A-5 and Bush A-9 is in better communication than with the area towards Bivins A-7 and Bush A-4. From December 1965 - January 1966, the decreasing pressure slope was "stabilized" at Bivins A-7, indicating the "reaction" of this well to an increasing delta during this period; the pressure slope at Bush A-4, however, continued its rapid decrease. This trend indicates better communication between Bivins A-7 and Bivins A-3 than between Bivins A-3 and Bush A-4, in spite of the fact that Bivins A-7 is about 350 feet further away. From January - February 1966, the pressure slopes of all wells increased except Bush A-5. As indicated above, the rapid decrease in Bush A-5 is overweighted as regards the average pressure for all the wells for that period. The behavior of Fuqua A-2, which is relatively further away from Bivins A-3, has reacted as one might expect; that is, no particular pressure slope increase or decrease until December - January - February when the slope generally increases. This indicated delayed reaction of Fuqua A-2 also could mean that the area from Bivins A-3 towards Fuqua A-2 is tighter than either of the areas towards Bivins A-7 or Bush A-4.

### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the previous discussions the following are concluded: 1. The electronic method of measuring wellhead pressures, good to about 0.1 psi, is especially indicative of short-range pressure-time correlations; also, these measurements could be made more accurate and precise; Biwing A-3 and Bush A-4, in spite of the fact that Hiving A-7 is shout

### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based an the previous discussions the following are concluded: I. The electronic method of measuring velimes presences, good to about 0.1 pet, is especially indicative of short-range pressure-time correlations; size, these sesturements could be made more accurate and

2. The deadweight tester method is useful for long-range pressuretime trends (two-three months) but needs to be made more accurate and precise;

3. Bush A-9 definitely is in better communication with Bush A-5 than Bush A-5 is with the nearest gas injection well, Bivins A-3;

4. The reservoir as a whole, based on the change of the pressure slope with time and the volumetric material balance correlation, appears to be in relatively good communication, considering its tight nature;

5. Individual wells reported in the stabilization area do not appear to have permanent flow barriers;

Bush A-5 probably reacted to production from Bush A-9 in about
3-1/2 days;

7. The volumetric pressure maintenance - material balance correlation can be used on a routine basis to establish preselected pressure maintenance levels for preselected injection - production rates;

8. The area between Bush A-5 and Bush A-9 appears to be in better communication than that between Bush A-5 and Bivins A-3; the area between Bivins A-7 and Bivins A-3 appears to be in better communication than that between Bivins A-3 and Bush A-4; the area around Fuqua A-2 may be the tightest of those reported.

Based primarily on experience gained, the following recommendations are made:

1. Future work of this nature can be done with less difficulty and in a semi-routine manner if public service power and better weather protection are provided. Also, field personnel could be more familiar2. The deedweight teater method is useful for long-range pressuretime trends (two-three months) but needs to be made more accurate and precise;

3. Bush A-9 definitely is in better communication with Sush A-5 than Bush A-5 is with the nearest and intertion well, Marian A 3:

4. The reservoir as a whole, based on the change of the pressure slope with time and the volumetric material balance correlation, appears to be in relatively good communication, considering its tight nature:

5. Individual weils reported in the stabilization area do not appear to nave permanent flow parriers;

6. Boah A-5 probably reacted to production from Sush A-9 in about 3-1/2 days;

7. The volumetric pressure metatemance - metorial belance correlstion can be used on a routine basis to setablish pressiected pressure

3. The area between Bush A-5 and Bush A-9 appears to be in better communication than that between Bush A-3 and Bivins A-3; the area between Sivins A-7 and Sivins A-3 appears to be in batter communication than that between Sivins A-3 and Bush A-4; the area around Fugue A-2 may be the tightest of those reported.

hased primarily on experience gained, the following recommendations are made:

I. Fucure verk of this nature can be done with less difficulty and in a semi-routing manner if public service power and better weather protection are provided. Also, field personnel could be more familiarized with the electronic equipment, so that only major breakdowns would require special handling; also, the ordinary window in the meter house could be replaced with a "thermal pane" window, among other things for better temperature control.

2. The electronic measurements could be made more accurate and reproducible by eliminating the voltage-to-frequency converter and the electronic counter and replacing them by a suitable digital voltmeter (an extra cost of about \$3,000 for a new one). With this instrument, it is estimated that the overall accuracy would approach 0.065 psig and the overall reproducibility 0.01 psi, assuming better temperature control of the readout equipment. The problem of readout temperature control remains the main problem, whether these or similar measurements are made at the wellhead or at bottom-hole conditions. From the point of view of accuracy, the surface readout cannot be better than the basic standard, which in this case is the Ruska deadweight gage.

3. Since it does not appear that the field will be electrified in the near future, electronic measurements on key closed-in wells, such as Bush A-5, should continue, even on an intermittent basis. The power generator should be run exclusively on gasoline between the hours of about 4 pm to 8 am the next day since the most accurate measurements usually occur from about midnight to 5 am. Such a schedule would require 25 gallons of gasoline and would cost about \$4.25 per recommended operating period.

4. If possible, individual deadweight pistons should be installed on a semi-permanent basis inside each meter house. This would be so

ized with the electronic equipment, so that only sain breekdowns would require special handling; also, the ordinary window in the seter house could be replaced with a "thermal pane" window, among other things for better teoperature scatted.

trol of the residout equipment. The problem of readout temperature

4. Ef possible, individual descharight platons should be installed

'HS

that the temperature of the oil inside the deadweight tester would be stabilized to that inside each meter house.

5. Consideration should be given, even from a qualitative point of view, to locally setting up a mathematical model and employing a digital computer to predict and compare average reservoir pressures, gas flow rates, and cumulative gas production as outlined, for example, in recent publications (such as How to Evaluate Well Locations in a Gas-Storage Reservoir, by R. C. Hessing, Oil and Gas Journal, v. 64, March 7, 1966, pp. 105-108, as well as others).

ther the temperature of the off fraids the deadweight tenter would be atabilized to that lostde each motor house.

36 Wheels to locally setting up a mathematical acdel and amploying a digital compater to predict and compare average reservoir pressures, whe flow rates, and completive gas production as outlined, for axample, fa rotent publications (such as Now to Evaluate Well Locations in a classing 7, 1966, pp. 105-108, as well as others).



FIGURE 1. - Stabilization Area Northern Section Cliffside Field

FIGURE L- Stabilization Area Northern Section Cutteide Field



Figure 2A.-Electronic pressure measuring apparatus - front view





Figure 2B.-Electronic pressure measuring apparatus - back view









FIGURE 3.- Summary Pressure-Production, Data, December 1965 - January 1966, Cliffside Reservoir



FIGURE 3 - Summary Pressure-Production Data. December 1965 - Johuary 1966, Cliffside Reservalr




AF HOUSE IADDESERCTYCOM



FIGURE 5.- Slope of Pressure, Volumetric Material Balance Correlation.



ERAGE SLOPE, ENG/509/mon

#### TABLE 1.-Well gradients at the zero subsea datum

Well	Elevation, ft	Perforation midpoint, ft.	Subsea depth, ft.	Gradient to zero feet subsea, psi	Gradient to perforation midpoint, psi	Well gradient at zero feet subsea datum, _to 0.1 psi
Bush A-4	3,381	3,373	+ 8	$\frac{1}{+0.1469}$	61.9282	62.1
Fuqua A-2	3,344	3,522	-178	$\frac{1}{-3.2681}$	64.6639	61.4
Bush A-9	3,342	3,488	-146	$\frac{1}{-2.6806}$	64.0396	61.4
Bivins A-7	3,392	3,449	- 57	$\frac{1}{-1.0465}$	63.3236	62.3
Bush A-2	3,427	3,342	+ 85	$\frac{2}{+1.3821}$	54.3409	55.7
Bush A-5	3,369	3,466	- 97	$\frac{3}{-1.7809}$	63.6357	61.9

- Gas density = 2.645 pounds per cubic foot; gradient = 2.645/144 = 0.01836 psi/ft.
- $\frac{1}{2}$ Gas density = 2.3424 pounds per cubic foot; gradient = 2.3424/144 = 0.01626 psi/ft. Z = 0.9617; molecular weight = 19.2178; both experimentally determined at the Helium Research Center, May 17, 1966, for this 13.3 percent helium containing mixture. (Note: this well not used in computing average pressure of all wells as stated on page 23.)
- Even though this well contained 5 percent helium it was assumed that the field in-place 3/ gas density of 2.645 applied. The interpolated Z-factor and density for a 5 percent helium mixture were 0.9422 and 2.6 pounds/cubic foot, respectively, based on an interpolated molecular weight of 20.573 between the average field 1.8 percent mixture and the 13.3 percent helium mixture from Bush A-2. Because of the uncertainty in an interpolated molecular weight, the 2.645 gas density was retained.

INBLE 1. -Nell gradiants at the Spro subset datum

3,381 3,344 3,344 3,342 3,392 3,427 3,369			1/+0.1469 1/-3.2681 1/-1.0465 1/+1.3821 3/-1.7809	62.1 61.4 61.4 52.2 55.2 51.9
	Perforation midpoint, ft.	Subsee depth, ft.		

/ Gen density = 2.045 pounds per cubic foot; gradient = 2.045/144 = 0.01836 psi/ft. / Cas density = 2.3424 pounds per cubic foot; gradient = 2.3424/144 = 0.01626 psi/ft. E = 0.9617; molecular weight = 19.2178; both experimentally determined at the Nilius Pesearch Center, Ney 13, 1966. For this 13.3 percent haling containing mixture. (Note: this well not used in computing everage pressure of all wells us stated on page 23.3.

Even though this Gell contained 5 percent helium it was assumed that the field in-place gas density of 2.645 applied. The interpolated 2-factor and density for a 5 percent helium mixture were 0.9422 and 2.0 pounds/subic foot, respectively, based on an interpointed molecular weight of 70.575 between the average field 1.8 percent mixture and the 13.3 percent helium mixture from Bush A-2. Secanae of the uncertainty in an interpolated molecular weight, the 2.645 gas density was retained.

Date	Wellhead, Psig	a Baro	T, °F	Del	d Psia, BH	Psia, BH	Psia, BHDW
Decombox 1							713.5
December 1							713.7
2	638 48	13 20	90	+0.40	713.98	714.0	713.9
5	638 32	13.11	90	+ .40	713.73	713.7	216.3
4	638 78	13.20	85	0	713.88	713.9	713.9
7	638.78	13.10	85	0	713.78	713.8	714.1
8	638.09	13.10	87	+ .16	713.25	713.3	714.3
9	638.49	13.00	86	+ .08	713.47	713.5	714.3
10	638.42	13.00	86	+ .08	713.40	713.4	714.2
13	00011-		36	CTA	7 7 24		714.6
10							714.4
15	639.32	13.00	86	+ .08	714.30	714.3	714.6
16	639.30	13.10	85	0	714.30	714.3	714.7
17	639.22	13.10	86	+ .08	714.30	714.3	714.8
18	639.24	13.05	85	0	714.19	714.2	
20	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						715.1
21	639.40	13.00	86	+ .08	714.38	714.4	715.2
22	639.62	13.00	85	0	714.52	714.5	715.3
23							715.3
27							715.7
28							715.8
29	641.42	13.10	85	0	716.42	716.4	715.9
30							716.2

#### TABLE 2.-Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966

a b b c c d e e Bottom-hole pressure deadweight tester

MULE 2. -Electronic Pressures, Bush A-5, Decomber 1965 - Merch 1966

		714.30		
			713.9	
				213-9

Barometric pressure, pai-

Electronics temperature readout correction

Spream-noie pressure

Borcom-hole pressure deadweight tester

		Wellhead,						
Date	_	Psig	Baro	<u>T, °F</u>	De1	Psia, BH	Psia, BH	Psia, BHDW
January 3								716.4
4								716.5
5		642.35	13.10	86.5	+0.12	717.47	717.5	716.5
6		642.68	13.20	85	0	717.78	717.8	716.8
7		642.57	13.20	85	0	717.67	717.7	716.8
8		642.28	13.12	85	0	717.30	717.3	
10								716.8
11		642.47	13.00	85	0	717.37	717.4	717.2
12		642.25	13.10	90	+ .40	717.75	717.8	717.1
13	12 MN	642.20	13.06	86	+ .08	717.24	717.2	
	10 am	642.14	13.10	86	+ .08	717.14	717.1	717.1
14								717.1
17								717.3
18	2 pm	643.04	13.00	81	32	717.62	717.6	717.4
19	2 pm	642.97	12.92	84	08	717.71	717.7	717.7
20	10 am (1)	643.03	13.01	85	0	717.94	717.9	717.4
	11 am (1)	642.86	13.01	85	0	717.77	717.8	
	12 N (1)	642.83	13.01	85	0	717.74	717.7	
	1 pm (1)	642.86	13.00	85	0	717.76	717.8	
	2 pm (1)	642.80	12.99	85	0	717.69	717.7	
	Avg	642.88		85	0	717.78	717.8	
	10 pm	642.86	12.99	85	0	717.75	717.8	
	11 pm	642.87	12.99	85	0	717.76	717.8	

TABLE 2.-Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd.)

(1) Actually correspond to 10:15, 11:15, etc.

1					
					717.04

1) Actually correspond to 10:15, 11:10, etc.

-- -

		Wellhead,						
Date	_	Psig	Baro	<u>T, °F</u>	De1	Psia, BH	<u>Psia, BH</u>	<u>Psia, BHDW</u>
January 21	12 MN	642.86	12.99	85	0	717.75	717.8	
	1 am	642.79	12.98	85	0	717.67	717.7	
	2 am	642.83	12.98	85	0	717.71	717.7	
	3 am	642.86	12.98	85	0	717.74	717.7	
	4 am	642.88	12.99	85	0	717.77	717.8	
	Avg	642.85		85	0	717.76	717.8	717.4
	9 pm	642.83	13.04	85	0	717.77	717.8	
	10 pm	642.83	13.05	85	0	717.78	717.8	
	11 pm	642.77	13.05	85	0	717.72	717.7	
• 22	12 MN	642.81	13.05	85	0	717.76	717.8	
1 am 2 am	1 am	642.82	13.05	85	0	717.77	717.8	
	2 am	642.86	13.05	85	0	717.81	717.8	
	3 am	642.80	13.05	85	0	717.75	717.8	
	4 am	642.77	13.04	85	0	717.71	717.7	
	5 am	642.77	13.04	85	0	717.71	717.7	
	Avg	642.81		85	0	717.75	717.8	
	9 pm	642.82	13.00	85	0	717.72	717.7	
	10 pm	642.84	13.00	85	0	717.74	717.7	
	11 pm	642.80	12.99	85	0	717.69	717.7	
23	12 MN	642.82	12.99	85	0	717.71	717.7	
	l am	642.76	12.98	85	0	717.64	717.6	
	2 am	642.82	12.98	85	0	717.70	717.7	
	3 am	642.82	12.98	85	0	717.70	717.7	
	4 am	642.84	12.97	85	0	717.71	717.7	
	5 am	642.82	12.96	85	0	717.68	717.7	
	Avg	642.82		85	0	717.70	717.7	

ANNUM ALPOINTE PROBEDICE, Indi A-5, December 1965 - March 1986 (Conr'd )

		The state			
		1.85			
10					
			211.81		
				717-8	
			10.717		
		. 93			

# TABLE 2.-Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd.)

		Wellhead,						
Date		Psig	Baro	<u>T, °F</u>	Del	<u>Psia, BH</u>	Psia, BH	<u>Psia, BHDW</u>
January 23	11 pm	642.76	12.89	85	0	717.55	717.6	
24	12 MN	642.73	12.89	85	0	717.52	717.5	
	1 am	642.78	12.89	85	0	717.57	717.6	
	2 am	642.74	12.89	85	0	717.53	717.5	
	3 am	642.83	12.89	85	0	717.62	717.6	
	4 am	642.78	12.89	85	0	717.57	717.6	
	5 am	642.77	12.89	85	0	717.56	717.6	
	6 am	642.78	12.90	85	0	717.58	717.6	
	Avg	642.77		85	0	717.56	717.6	
	7 am	642.82	12.91	85	0	717.63	717.6	
	8 am	642.82	12.92	85	0	717.64	717.6	
	9 am	642.76	12.94	85	0	717.60	717.6	
	10 am	642.73	12.95	85	0	717.58	717.6	717.7
	11 am	642.71	12.95	85	0	717.56	717.6	
	12 N	642.70	12.95	85	0	717.55	717.6	
	1 pm	642.69	13.00	85	0	717.59	717.6	
	2 pm	642.74	13.00	85	0	717.64	717.6	
	3 pm	642.72	13.00	85	0	717.64	717.6	
	4 pm	642.78	13.00	85	0	717.68	717.7	
	5 pm	642.78	13.00	85	0	717.68	717.7	
	Avg	642.75		85	0	717.62	717.6	
	9 pm	642.78	13.00	85	0	717.68	717.7	
	10 pm	642.76	13.00	85	0	717.66	717.7	
	11 pm	642.79	13.00	85	0	717.69	717.7	
25	12 MN	642.79	13.00	85	0	717.69	717.7	
	1 am	642.80	13.00	85	0	717.70	717.7	

Dishe 2.- Slectronic pressures, Such A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Uont'd.)

	Relithend,				
	662 73				
			0		
				757.60	
	645 93				

Date		Wellhead, Psig	Baro	т°ғ	Do 1	Doin PU	Dain DU	
	-		Daro	<u>, -</u>	Der	<u>151a, DII</u>	rsia, Dn	rsia, bhbw
January 25	2 am	642.78	13.00	85	0	717.68	717.7	
	3 am	642.79	13.00	85	0	717.69	717.7	
	4 am	642.73	13.00	85	0	717.63	717.6	
	5 am	642.78	13.00	85	0	717.68	717.7	
	Avg	642.78		85	0	717.68	717.7	717.8
	8 pm	642.82	13.05	85	0	717.77	717.8	
	9 pm	642.78	13.05	85	0	717.73	717.7	
	10 pm	642.80	13.06	85	0	717.76	717.8	
	11 pm	642.81	13.06	85	0	717.77	717.8	
26	12 MN	642.80	13.07	85	0	717.77	717.8	
	1 am	642.79	13.06	85	0	717.75	717.8	
· •	2 am	642.75	13.07	85	0	717.72	717.7	
	3 am	642.76	13.07	85	0	717.73	717.7	
	4 am	642.79	13.07	85	0	717.76	717.8	
	5 am	642.80	13.07	85	0	717.77	717.8	
	Avg	642.79		85	0	717.75	717.8	717.8
27	12 MN	642.74	13.05	85	0	717.69	717.7	
	1 am	642.74	13.05	85	0	717.69	717.7	
	2 am	642.73	13.04	85	0	717.67	717.7	
	3 am	642.77	13.03	85	0	717.70	717.7	
	4 am	642.72	13.02	85	0	717.64	717.6	
	Avg	642.74		85	0	717.68	717.7	717.8

.

	3 000					
			58.			
*				7.17.72		
					717.8	

### TABLE 2. -Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd.)

			Wellhead,						
Date			<u>Psig</u>	Baro	<u>T, °F</u>	De1	Psia, BH	<u>Psia, BH</u>	Psia, BHDW
February	17	10 am	642.80	12.97	84	-0.08	717.75	717.8	717.7
	18	8 am	643.55	12.91	84	08	718.28	718.3	
		9 am	643.42	12.91	84	08	718.15	718.2	
		Avg	643.49		84	08	718.06	718.1	717.7
	19	2 am	642.42	13.00	84	08	718.24	718.2	
		3 am	643.33	13.01	84	08	718.16	718.2	
		4 am	643.38	13.01	84	08	718.21	718.2	
		5 am	643.26	13.01	84	08	718.09	718.1	
		6 <b>a</b> m	643.39	13.03	84	08	718.24	718.2	
		Avg	643.36		84	08	718.19	718.2	
	20	1 am	643.24	13.06	84	08	718.12	718.1	
		2 am	643.19	13.06	84	08	718.07	718.1	
		3 am	643.18	13.07	84	08	718.07	718.1	
		4 am	643.14	13.06	84	08	718.02	718.0	
		5 am	643.16	13.06	84	08	718.04	718.0	-
		6 am	643.16	13.06	84	08	718.04	718.0	
		Avg	643.18		84	08	718.06	718.1	
	21	3 am	643.08	13.12	84	08	718.02	718.0	
		4 am	642.97	13.12	84	08	717.91	717.9	
		5 am	643.02	13.13	84	08	717.97	718.0	
		6 am	643.10	13.13	84	08	718.05	718.1	
		Avg	643.04		84	08	717.99	718.0	

IMBLE 2. -Electronic pressures, Rosh A-5, Decreber 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd. )

		15-31			
					·
				710.07	
		13.43			

TABLE	2Electronic	pressures.	Bush A-5.	December	1965	- March	1966 (	Cont'd.	)

Date			Wellhead, Psig	Baro	T. °F	De1	Psia BH	Psia RH	Psia BHDW
					-2				<u>1020</u> , <u>DID</u>
February	21	10 am	643.09	13.17	84	-0.08	718.08	718.1	717.8
	22	l am	643.04	13.19	84	08	718.05	718.1	
		2 am	643.03	13.19	84	08	718.04	718.0	
		3 am	643.10	13.18	84	08	718.10	718.1	
		4 am	642.99	13.17	84	08	717.98	718.0	
		5 am	643.03	13.17	84	08	718.02	718.0	
		6 am	643.05	13.17	84	08	718.04	718.0	
		Avg	643.05		84	08	718.04	718.0	
	23	1 am	643.03	13.15	84	08	718.00	718.0	
		2 am	643.00	13.15	84	08	717.97	718.0	
		3 am	643.01	13.14	84	08	717.97	718.0	
		4 am	643.02	13.14	84	08	717.98	718.0	
		5 am	643.02	13.13	84	08	717.97	718.0	
		6 am	643.03	13.13	84	08	717.98	718.0	
		Avg	643.02		84	08	717.98	718.0	
		10 am	642.90	13.14	86	+ .08	718.02	718.0	717.7
	24	3 am	642.91	13.08	84	08	717.81	717.8	
		4 am	642.95	13.07	84	08	717.84	717.8	
		5 am	642.89	13.06	84	08	717.77	717.8	
		6 am	642.94	13.06	84	08	717.82	717.8	
		7 am	642.96	13.06	84	08	717.84	717.8	
		Avg	642.93		84	08	717.82	717.8	

TAMLE 7. - Giectromic pressures, bush A-3, December 1953 - March 1966 (Cent'd.)

		84				
				718.10		
			* - 08			
				217.95		
				16-172		
	.043'05					
				12.77		
					317.8	

# TABLE 2. - Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd.)

		Wellhead,						
Date		Psig_	Baro	<u>T, °F</u>	Del	Psia, BH	Psia, BH	Psia, BHDW
February 2	24 10 am	642.77	13.06	86	+0.08	717.81	717.8	717.6
	25 1 am	642.80	13.01	84	08	717.63	717.6	
	2 am	642.84	13.01	84	08	717.67	717.7	
	3 am	642.89	13.00	84	08	717.71	717.7	
	4 am	642.89	13.00	84	08	717.71	717.7	
	5 am	642.83	12.99	84	08	717.64	717.6	
	Avg	642.85		84	08	717.67	717.7	717.8
	26 1 am	642.89	12.91	84	08	717.62	717.6	12110
	2 am	642.87	12.90	84	08	717.59	717.6	
	3 am	642.83	12.90	84	08	717.55	717.6	
	4 am	642.85	12.89	84	08	717.56	717.6	
	5 am	642.85	12.89	84	08	717.56	717.6	
	6 am	642.91	12.88	84	08	717.61	717.6	
	Avg	642.87		84	08	717.58	717.6	
4	27 1 am	642.87	12.85	84	08	717.54	717.5	
	2 am	642.83	12.84	84	08	717.49	717.5	
	3 am	642.79	12.84	84	08	717.45	717.5	
	4 am	642.81	13.32	84	08	717.95	718.0	
	5 am	642.85	13.32	84	08	717.99	718.0	
	Avg	642.83		84	08	717.68	717.7	
2	28 1 am	642.75	12.94	84	08	717.51	717.5	
	2 am	642.75	12.94	84	08	717.51	717.5	
	3 am	642.74	12.94	84	08	717.50	717.5	
	4 am	642.75	12.95	84	08	717.52	717.5	
	5 am	642.71	12.95	84	08	717.48	717.5	
	Avg	642.74		84	08	717.50	717.5	717.5

should a shine reaction pressource, must 1-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Come'd,

					Parts BIT	
	045 .83					
				85°276		
				171-20		
				LINTOT.		
I sam .						
		13. 64				
				212-21		
			- 109	. 113.53		
	642.71					

# TABLE 2.-Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd.)

		Wellhead,						
Date		<u>Psig</u>	Baro	<u>T, °F</u>	De1	<u>Psia, BH</u>	<u>Psia, BH</u>	Psia, BHDW
March 1	10 am	642.71	12.94	85	0	717.55	717.6	717.8
2	l am	642.71	12.78	84	08	717.31	717.3	
	2 am	642.71	12.77	84	08	717.30	717.3	
	3 am	642.72	12.77	84	08	717.31	717.3	
	4 am	642.71	12.76	84	08	717.29	717.3	
	5 am	642.68	12.76	84	08	717.26	717.3	
	Avg	642.71				717.29	717.3	
	10 am	642.62	12.75	86	+ .08	717.35	717.4	717.7
3	1 am	642.66	12.78	84	08	717.26	717.3	
	2 am	642.66	12.78	84	08	717.26	717.3	
	3 am	642.66	12.78	84	08	717.26	717.3	
	4 am	642.69	12.78	84	08	717.29	717.3	
dani - *	5 am	642.64	12.78	84	08	717.24	717.2	
	Avg	642.66		84	08	717.26	717.3	717.5
4	3 am	642.73	12.95	84	08	717.50	717.5	
	4 am	642.71	12.96	84	08	717.49	717.5	
	5 am	642.74	12.97	84	08	717.53	717.5	
	6 am	642.71	12.99	84	08	717.52	717.5	
	7 am	642.69	13.00	84	08	717.51	717.5	
	Avg	642.72		84	08	717.51	717.5	
	10 am	642.65	13.04	85	0	717.59	717.6	717.5
5	1 am	642.70	13.09	84	08	717.61	717.6	
	2 am	642.68	13.09	84	08	717.59	717.6	
	3 am	642.66	13.09	84	08	717.59	717.6	
	4 am	642.72	13.10	84	08	717.64	717.6	
	5 am	642.74	13.11	84	08	717.67	717.7	

.

LADLE 2. - Discriming Prossures, Sush A-5, Bacamber 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'

				16.717		
					717.3	
						717.5
			0 .			
				717 49		
		13.10				

#### TABLE 2. - Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd.)

			Wellhead,						
Dat	te		<u>Psig</u>	Baro	<u>T, °F</u>	De1	Psia, BH	<u>Psia, BH</u>	<u>Psia, BHDW</u>
March	6	Avg	642.70		84	-0.08	717.62	717.6	
		1 am	642.74	13.10	84	08	717.66	717.7	
		2 am	642.77	13.10	84	08	717.69	717.7	
		3 am	642.68	13.09	84	08	717.59	717.6	
		4 am	642.71	13.08	84	08	717.61	717.6	
		5 am	642.73	13.08	84	08	717.63	717.6	
	7	Avg	642.73		84	08	717.64	717.6	
		1 am	642.66	13.06	84	08	717.54	717.5	
		2 am	642.70	13.06	84	08	717.58	717.6	
		3 am	642.66	13.05	84	08	717.53	717.5	
		4 am	642.65	13.05	84	08	717.52	717.5	
		Avg	642.67		84	08	717.54	717.5	
		10 am	642.64	13.05	85	0	717.59	717.6	717.5
	8	3 am	642.55	13.00	84	08	717.37	717.4	
		4 am	642.59	13.00	84	08	717.41	717.4	
		5 am	642.56	13.00	84	08	717.38	717.4	
		Avg	642.56		84	08	717.39	717.4	
		10 <b>a</b> m	642.41	13.04	85	0	717.35	717.4	717.6
	9	12MN	642.51	13.04	84	08	717.37	717.4	
		1 <b>a</b> m	642.55	13.04	84	08	717.41	717.4	
		2 am	642.54	13.04	84	08	717.40	717.4	
		3 am	642.49	13.04	84	08	717.35	717.4	
		4 am	642.51	13.04	84	08	717.37	717.4	
		Avg	642.52		84	08	717.38	717.4	
		10 am	642.42	13.05	85	0	717.37	717.4	717.6

IMBED 2. - LIECTTORLE Prosectes, Such A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd,

			111-D3		
				· 111-0	
			111233		

#### TABLE 2.-Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, December 1965 - March 1966 (Cont'd.)

			Wellhead,						
Date	2		<u>Psig</u>	Baro <u>T</u> , °F	Del	Psia, BH	<u>Psia, BH</u>	Psia, BHDW	
		ELLS.	2218	Rentents					
March	10	l am	642.41	12.99 84	-0.08	717.22	717.2		
		2 am	642.46	12.99 84	08	717.27	717.3		
		3 am	642.46	12.98 84	08	717.26	717.3		
		4 am	642.43	12.97 84	08	717.22	717.2		
		5 am	642.48	12.98 84	08	717.28	717.3		
		Avg	642.45	84	08	717.25	717.3		
		10 am	642.30	13.00 86	+ .08	717.28	717.3	717.9	
	11	l am	642.47	12.92 83	16	717.13	717.1		
		2 am	642.46	12.91 83	16	717.11	717.1		
		Avg	642.47	83	16	717.12	717.1		
				717-77					

		A 0 2 4 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		

## TABLE 3.-Electronic pressures, Bush A-5, January 20, 1966

	Well Head	Pressure	Pottom Holo	a insection	Well Head Pr	essure	Bottom Hole
m •	Daio	Pain	Pressure Psia	Time	Psig	Psia	Pressure, Psia
Time	rsig	1514	<u>11035010, 1016</u>			and the second s	
10.10	64.2 05			12:00	642.84	655.84	717.74
10:13	643.03			05	642.87	655.87	717.77
14	643.01			10	642.86	655.86	717.76
15	6/3.0/			15	642.83	655.83	717.73
10	6/13 05			20	642.85	655.85	717.75
1/	6/13 03	656 03	717.93	25	642.88	655.88	717.78
10.20	6/ 3 01	656.01	717.91	30	642.85	655.85	717.75
10.20	6/13 00	656.00	717,90	35	642.83	655.83	717.73
20	6/12 9/1	655 94	717.84	40	642.81	655.81	717.71
35	6/12 95	655.95	717.85	45	642.84	655.84	717.74
40	642.98	655.98	717.88	50	642.85	655.85	717.75
40	642.97	655.97	717.87	55	642.81	655.81	717.71
50	642.93	655.93	717.83	1:00	642.80	655.80	717.70
55	642.91	655.91	717.81	05	642.79	655.79	717.69
11.00	642.94	655.94	717.84	10	642.86	655.86	717.76
05	642.94	655.94	717.84	15	642.86	655.86	717.76
10	642.89	655.89	717.79	20	642.81	655.81	717.71
15	642.86	655.86	717.76	25	642.79	655.79	717.69
20	642.89	655.89	717.79	30	642.82	655.82	717.72
25	642.93	655.93	717.83	35	642.85	655.85	717.75
30	642.90	655.90	717.80	40	642.80	655.80	717.70
35	642.88	655.88	717.78	45	642.78	655.78	717.68
40	642.89	655.89	717.79	50	642.80	655.80	717.70
45	642.91	655.91	717.81	55	642.85	655.85	717.75
50	642.87	655.87	717.77	2:00	642.85	655.85	717.75
55	642.84	655.84	717.74	05	642.78	655.78	717.68
	23			10	642.77	655.77	717.67
				15	642.80	655.80	717.70
				20	642.82	655.82	717.72

TABLE 3.-Sinctrinic provinces, Soak A-5. Lanuary 20, 1964

			- Defe 10.5	
11:00				
	CC+ 2100			
	0412100			
30 **				

.

						Δ
	Natural Gas	Cru	de Injection		V /V	W /W - 0.90
Date	Withdrawn	Bivins A-6	Bivins A-3	Total	<u>i p</u>	<u>i p</u>
October 1	7,317	7,380	6,344	13,724	1.88	0.98
2	7,762	7,583	6,367	13,950	1.80	.90
3	7,738	6,025	6,330	12,355	1.60	.70
4	7,848	5,786	6,315	12,101	1.54	.64
5	7,661	5,925	6,271	12,196	1.59	.70
6	7,768	5,850	6,241	12,091	1.56	.66
7	7,917	5,548	6,223	11,771	1.49	.59
8	7,830	2,981	6,260	9,241	1.18	.28
9	7,926	4,503	6,299	10,802	1.36	.46
10	7,924	6,414	6,308	12,722	1.61	.71
11	8,316	5,728	6,367	12,095	1.45	.55
12	8,229	6,321	6,395	12,716	1.55	.65
13	7,982	8,282	6,488	14,770	1.85	.95
14	6,018	8,418	6,404	14,822	2.46	1.56
15	6,712	8,394	6,379	14,773	2.20	1.30
16	8,089	8,311	6,374	14,685	1.82	.92
17	8,112	8,284	6,413	14,697	1.81	.91
18	8,013	8,299	6,424	14,723	1.84	.94
19	7,940	8,359	6,420	14,779	1.86	.96
20	7,904	8,276	6,435	14,711	1.86	.96
21	7,860	7,860	6,440	14,300	1.82	.92
22	7,943	8,063	6,404	14,467	1.82	.92
23	7,823	7,222	6,371	13,593	1.74	.84
24	7,848	7,043	6,356	13,399	1.71	. 81
25	7,941	6,976	6,326	13,302	1.68	.78
26	7,814	7,737	6,316	14,053	1.80	.90
27	7,952	9,012	6,420	15,432	1.94	1.04
28	7,994	9,368	6,452	15,820	1.98	1.08
29	7,496	8,775	7,577	16,352	2.18	1.28
30	7,446	8,259	8,009	16,268	2.18	1.28
31	7,492	8,228	8,013	16,241	2.17	1.27
	240,615	225,210	201.741	426,951	1.77	0.89

#### TABLE 4.-Production-injection statistics, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966, mscf/day

**\$**0

where a retrainmented-injection statistics, difficide remervely, decomer 1965 - February 1966,

	5,795		
		5.46	
		2:38	

						$\Delta$
	Natural Gas	Cru	de Injection		V /V	v / v = 0.90
Date	Withdrawn	Bivins A-6	Bivins A-3	Total	<u>i p</u>	<u>i p</u>
November 1	7,504	8,024	7,953	15,977	2.13	1.23
2	7,517	7,881	8,085	15,966	2.12	1.22
3	7,424	7,268	8,045	15,313	2.06	1.16
4	7,498	7,030	8,066	15,096	2.01	1.11
5	7,552	7,614	8,059	15,673	2.08	1.18
6	7,873	7,829	8,062	15,891	2.02	1.12
7	7,546	7,796	8,019	15,815	2.10	1.20
8	7,491	8,385	8,063	16,448	2.20	1.30
9	6,704	8,726	8,113	16,839	2.51	1.61
10	7,374	8,580	8,066	16,646	2.26	1.36
11	7,551	8,296	8,108	16,404	2.12	1.22
12	7,675	7,960	8,129	16,089	2.10	1.20
13	7,725	7,969	8,104	16,073	2.08	1.18
14	7,678	8,150	8,046	16,196	2.11	1.21
15	7,813	6,311	7,926	14,237	1.82	0.92
16	8,448	5,874	7,927	13,801	1.63	.73
17	7,723	5,827	7,914	13,741	1.78	.88
18	7,756	4,887	7,907	12,794	1.65	.75
19	7,900	5,817	7,859	13,676	1.73	.83
20	7,949	6,896	7,860	14,756	1.86	.96
21	8,072	7,984	7,907	15,891	1.97	1.07
22	8,236	8,713	8,117	16,830	2.04	1.14
23	5,027	8,178	8,155	16,333	3.25	2.35
24	5,291	7,977	8,155	16,132	3.05	2.15
25	7,551	8,063	8,108	16,171	2.14	1.24
26	7,849	7,949	8,207	16,156	2.06	1.16
27	7,793	8,275	8,022	16,297	2.09	1.19
28	7,640	7,972	8,154	16,126	2.11	1.21
29	7,740	8,819	8,235	17,054	2.20	1.30
30	7,838	8,570	8,304	16,874	2.15	1.25
	225,738	229,620	241,675	471,295	2.09	1.21

# TABLE 4.-Production-injection statistics, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966, mscf/day (Cont'd.)

TABLE 4. -PIDEMCLAOR-IN/PULLION REPRINTICA, CLIFFRIDE RESERVOIT, OCCODER 1965 - February 1966,

manging (cons. d.

•

50				
		81758		
		8,108		

		1				$\bigtriangleup$
	Natural Gas	Cru	de Injection		17/17	V /V _ 0 00
Date	Withdrawn	Bivins A-6	Bivins A-3	Total	<u>i'p</u>	<u>i p</u>
December 1	7,960	7,792	8,185	16,977	2.01	1.11
2	8,130	8,156	8,150	16.306	2.01	1.11
3	7,652	8,259	8,066	16.325	2.13	1.23
4	8,561	8,234	7,943	16.177	1.89	0.99
5	9,095	8,029	7,882	15,911	1.75	.85
6	8,355	7,952	7,876	15,828	1.89	.99
7	7,932	8,062	7,842	15,904	2.01	1.11
8	8,034	8,136	7,933	16,069	2.00	1.10
9	7,840	8,298	8,102	16,400	2.09	1.19
10	8,715	8,976	8,163	17,139	1.97	1.07
11	8,727	8,737	8,201	16,938	1.94	1.04
12	8,618	8,536	8,179	16,715	1.94	1.04
13	8,634	7,524	8,176	15,700	1.82	.92
14	8,740	3,816	8,242	12,058	1.38	.48
15	8,028	6,085	8,154	14,239	1.77	.87
16	8,204	6,858	8,175	15,033	1.83	.93
17	8,246	8,027	8,132	16,159	1.96	1.06
18	8,203	8,107	8,246	16,353	1.99	1.09
19	8,250	8,324	8,236	16,560	2.01	1.11
20	8,300	8,910	8,251	17,161	2.07	1.17
21	8,146	8,382	8,275	16,657	2.04	1.14
22	7,804	8,362	7,943	16,305	2.09	1.19
23	7,927	7,703	8,082	15,785	1.99	1.09
24	7,975	5,829	8,125	13,954	1.75	.85
25	7,992	6,119	8,048	14,167	1.77	.87
26	7,901	5,902	8,100	14,002	1.77	.87
27	7,892	5,914	8,130	14,044	1.78	.88
28	8,107	6,543	8,101	16,644	2.05	1.15
29	7,517	7,986	8,058	16,044	2.13	1.23
30	7,985	8,185	8,084	16,269	2.04	1.14
31	7,983	8,154	8,068	16,222	2.03	1.13
	253,453	235,897	251,148	489,045	1.93	1.03

#### TABLE 4.-Production-injection statistics, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966, mscf/day (Cont'd.)

52

.

south A. -Production-intertion statistics cis

4

100*1				
			1 38	
		10'230		
				2.07

.
					1	$\Delta$
	Natural Gas	Cru	de Injection		V./V	$V_{.}/V_{-}0.90$
Date	Withdrawn	Bivins A-6	Bivins A-3	Total	<u>i p</u>	<u>1 p</u>
January 1	8,099	8,205	8,087	16,292	2.01	1.11
2	8,069	5,160	8,092	13,252	1.64	0.74
3	7,845	4,492	8,229	12,721	1.62	.72
4	8,135	4,504	8,235	12,739	1.57	.67
5	7,854	4,339	8,196	12,535	1.60	.70
6	6,849	5,574	8,206	13,780	2.01	1.11
7	7,847	6,904	8,108	15,012	1.91	1.01
8	8,263	7,614	8,102	15,716	1.90	1.00
9	8,427	8,168	8,112	16,280	1.93	1.03
10	8,566	8,085	8,118	16,203	1.89	.99
11	8,200	8,169	8,075	16,244	1.98	1.08
.12	8,107	7,910	8,181	16,091	1.98	1.08
13	7,678	7,936	8,176	16,112	2.10	1.20
14	7,437	7,781	8,240	16,021	2.15	1.25
15	8,018	8,318	8,305	16,623	2.07	1.17
16	8,345	8,343	8,410	16,753	2.01	1,11
17	6,360	7,814	8,390	16,204	2.55	1.65
18	5,833	8,031	8,387	16,418	2.81	1.91
19	4,817	8,094	8,384	16,478	3.42	2.52
20	6,291	8,224	8,359	16,583	2.64	1.74
21	6,303	6,584	8,440	15,024	2.38	1.48
22	6,513	6,838	8,542	15,380	2.36	1.46
23	6,479	8,318	8,531	· 16,849	2.60	1.70
24	6,326	8,947	8,443	17,390	2.75	1.85
25	6,191	8,433	8,507	16,940	2.74	1.84
26	7,735	8,301	8,388	16,689	2.16	1.26
27	7,820	8,144	8,418	16,562	2.12	1.22
28	7,845	8,218	8,533	16,751	2.13	1.23
29	7,855	8,397	8,514	16,911	2.15	1.25
30	7,990	8,106	8,407	16,513	2.07	1.17
31	7,931	8,127	8,528	16,655	2.10	1.20
	230,028	232,078	257,643	489,721	2.13	1.27

# TABLE 4.-Production-injection statistics, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966, mscf/day (Cont'd.)

3*3.23			- 689° 91		
Terter -		0'201	16.940		
				5.38	
		8'380			
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
					1.06 -
	8,205				
					1 - 0.20

						$\bigtriangleup$
	Natural Gas	Cru	de Injection	Status A	77 / 77	V/V 0.00
Date	Withdrawn	Bivins A-6	Bivins A-3	Total	<u>i'p</u>	<u>v /v = 0.90</u> <u>i p</u>
February 1	7,252	8,411	8,632	17,043	2.35	1.45
2	7,912	8,995	8,617	17,612	2.23	1.33
3	8,038	8,565	8,562	17,127	2.13	1.23
4	8,166	8,222	8,476	16,698	2.04	1.14
5	8,149	8,236	8,470	16,706	2.05	1.15
6	8,190	8,489	8,418	16,907	2.06	1.16
7	8,150	7,609	8,527	16,136	1.98	1.08
8	8,192	5,098	8,490	13,588	1.66	0.76
- 9	8,157	7,571	8,446	16,017	1.96	1.06
10	7,972	7,777	8,456	16,233	2.04	1.14
11	7,988	7,700	8,465	16,165	2.02	1,12
12	8,155	7,813	8,393	16,206	1.99	1.09
13	7,894	7,398	8,572	15,970	2.02	1.12
14	8,101	6,338	8,489	14,827	1.83	.93
15	7,561	5,188	8,516	13,704	1.81	.91
16	7,921	5,525	8,520	14,045	1.77	. 87
17	8,035	7,060	8,501	15,561	1.94	1.06
18	7,905	7,220	8,421	15,641	1.98	1.10
19	7,726	7,538	8,502	16,040	2.08	1.18
20	7,683	7,421	8,513	15,934	2.07	1.17
21	7,823	7,216	8,591	15,807	2.02	1.12
22	7,634	7,211	8,596	15,807	2.07	1.17
23	8,124	4,826	8,604	13,430	1.65	.75
24	8,213	7,047	8,492	15,539	1.89	.99
25	8,289	7,440	8,441	15,881	1.92	1.02
26	8,277	7,713	8,388	16,101	1.95	1.05
27	8,374	7,442	8,456	15,898	1.90	1.00
28	8,171	7,515	8,405	15,920	1.95	1.05
	224,052	204,534	237,959	442,493	1.97	1.07

TABLE 4.-Production-injection statistics, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966, mscf/day (Cont'd.)

INDER A .- LEODUCTION PROTECTION BIRLINGTON LITELING COMPLANDIE, OR DOPEL 1998 - LOUISINGA 1998.

	312 123					
					1.95	
. 51						
			8*236			
				14.045		
			0*383.			
			8***30			
		8'524				
		8*555				
						The state

													Average
	Bus	h A-5	Bush	A-4	Fuqua	a A-2	Bush	A-9	Bivin	s A-7	Bush	A-2	All minus
Oct.	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	Bush A-2
		9-1-1-1	1. 1.										
1	648.1	710.0	646.1	708.2	639.4	700.8	645.2	706.6	647.3	709.6			707.0
4	647.9	709.8	646.3	708.4	639.3	700.7	644.9	706.3	647.4	709.7	649.3	705.0	707.0
5	647.9	709.8	646.2	708.3	639.3	700.7	644.9	706.3	647.4	709.7			707.0
6	648.1	710.0	646.4	708.5	639.4	700,8	645.1	706.5	647.7	710.0			707.2
7	648.1	710.0	646.5	708.6	639.5	700.9	645.1	706.5	647.8	710.1			707.2
8	648.4	710.3	646.8	708.9	639.7	701.1	645.3	706.7	648.0	710.3			707.5
11	648.5	710.4	646.9	709.0	640.0	701.4	645.3	706.7	648.2	710.5	649.5	705.2	707.6
12	648.3	710.2	646.9	709.0	639.8	701.2	645.3	706.7	648.2	710.5			707.5
13	648.9	710.8	647.4	709.5	640.1	701.5	645.5	706.9	648.4	710.7			707.9
14	648.8	710.7	647.3	709.4	640.0	701.4	645.7	707.1	648.8	711.1			707.9
15	648.9	710.8	647.3	709.4	640.0	701.4	645.6	707.0	648.6	710.9			707.9
18	648.9	710.8	647.3	709.4	640.0	701.4	645.7	707.1	648.6	710.9	649.9	705.6	707.9
19	649.1	711.0	647.8	709.9	640.3	701.7	645.5	706.9	648.5	710.8			708.1
20	649.3	711.2	647.6	709.7	640.5	701.9	645.9	707.3	648.6	710.9			708.2
21	649.0	710.9	647.6	709.7	640.3	701.7	645.7	707.1	648.7	711.0			708.1
22	648.9	710.8	647.6	709.7	640.6	702.0	645.7	707.1	648.8	711.1			708.1
25	649.3	711.2	648.0	710.1	640.4	701.8	645.9	707.3	649.2	711.5	650.3	706.0	708.4
26	649.3	711.2	647.9	710.0	640.3	701.7	645.9	707.3	649.1	711.4			708.3
27	649.8	3 711.7	648.0	710.1	640.8	702.2	646.0	707.4	649.2	711.5			708.6
28	649.5	711.4	648.2	710.3	640.7	702.1	645.9	707.3	649.3	711.6			708.5
29	649.5	711.4	648.3	710.4	640.7	702.1	646.2	707.6	649.3	711.6			708.6
		710.7	7										707.8

Bankly heid Markly heid Frague heid Bankly heid Markly heid Bankly heid Markly heid Bankly heid Markly heid									
Banch N-5 Sorth N-4 Pragad & -2 Space & -2 Structure A-2 Space & -2 Spac									
Brain Brd Math Brd									
Brain N-5 Sorth D-4 Train N-2 Disk A-2 <thdisk a-2<="" th=""> Disk A-2 Disk A-2</thdisk>									
Bunkl and burklet Nicht and Nicht and State Nicht and State Physics A-2 state Bunk A-2 state									
Burkh 3v5 North 0vec Fragua 6-2 Burkh 6-3 North 1-3 Status 2.4 Status 6-2 Burkh 6-3 North 1-3 Status 6-2 Status 6-3 North 1-3 Status 6-3 Status 6-3 North 1-3 Status 6-3									
Bunch State <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>20815</td></th<>									20815
Burlet Burlet Statical <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>									
Bunch and bunch and and and bunch				-30A'1-					
Bankl 8-5 Armin 8-5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>									
Bacht 8-5 Nach A-4 Pagaw 8-2 Dish 6-9 Netwick 6-2 Dish 6-9 Netwick 6-1 See Addition 6 Activation 6 A	- 19					101.2			
Bush a-5 Number and and a-5 Number and and a-5 Disk a-2 Number a-2 Disk a-3 Number and and a-5 Number and									
Burlin N=5 Normal N=4 Normal N=4 District N=4 <thdistrict n="4&lt;/th"> District N=4</thdistrict>									
Bush 2-5 Mark A-4 Mark A-2 Digh 6-5 Mark A-2 Digh 6-5 Mark A-2 Digh 6-5 Mark A-2									
Buell 3-5 New Aut Flague 4-2 Digh 6-5 Mericania Mericania Mericania   DCL. MMP		11015 .	0000-0						
Bush 8-5 Status 8-2 Dush 8-3 Status 8-2 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Burth 3-5 Number 3-5 Number 3-2 Dush 5-3 Number 3-2 Dusk 5-2 Dusk 5-2 Dusk 5-3 <thdusk 5-3<="" th=""> Dusk 5-3 <thdusk 5-3<="" td="" th<=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thdusk></thdusk>									
Burdh 3+5 Mark 3+4 Fugue 4-2 Dush 4-9 Harman k-7 Burdh 4-2									
Burdh 8-5 Sudb, 0-4 Fugues 8-2 Duch 6-9 Stretcs 6-7 Burdh 8-7 Burdh 8-2 Mysterste   Dath, MWF E48 Map, 100							170*0		
Busch 8.5 Musle, 8.4 Flagues 8.2 Dush 8.4 Musles 8.2 Dush 8.4 Musles 8.2 Dush 8.4 Musles 8.4 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Bush 3-5 Bush 3-4									

.

													Average
	Buch	4-5	Bush	A-4	Fugua	A -2	Bush	A-9	Bivin	s A-7	Bush	A-2	All minus
Nou	LUD	BUD	WHP	RHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	Bush A-2
NOV.	WII	DII	WIII	Dill									
1	(1.0 0	711 7	61.8 /	710 5	640 8	702 2	646.3	707.7	649.5	711.8	650.8	706.5	708.8
1	049.0	/11./	040.4	710.5	640.9	702.2	646 3	707 7	6/19 6	711 9			708.8
2	649.8	/11./	648.4	/10.5	640.0	702.2	040.5	707.7	640 9	712 1			708.9
3	649.9	711.8	648.3	710.4	640.8	102.2	646.5	707.9	649.0	712.1			100.1
4	649.9	711.8			640.8	702.2	646.4	707.8	649.6	/11.9			
5					640.7	702.1	646.5	707.9					
8	650.3	712.2	648.7	710.8	641.3	702.7	646.6	708.0	650.1	712.4	650.9	706.6	709.2
9	650.4	712.3	648.8	710.9	641.3	702.7	646.6	708.0	649.9	712.2			709.2
10	650.4	712.3	648.8	710.9			646.6	708.0	650.1	712.4			
12	649.8	711.7	648.3	710.4	640.5	701.9	646.2	707.6	649.5	711.8			708.7
15	650.9	712.8	649.1	711.2	641.6	703.0	646.8	708.2	650.7	713.0			709.6
16	650.8	712.7	649.4	711.5	641.5	702.9	646.9	708.3	650.7	713.0			709.7
17	650.7	712.6	649.6	711.7	641.6	703.0	647.2	708.6	650.6	712.9	651.5	707.2	709.8
18	650.9	712 8	649.6	711.7	641.6	703.0	647.3	708.7	650.7	713.0			709.8
10	650.9	712.0	649.5	711.6	641.6	703.0	647.2	708.6	650.6	712.9			709.8
22	651 2	713 1	6/19 8	711 9	641 7	703.1	647.2	708.6	650.8	713.1	652.0	707.7	710.0
22	051.2	713.1	650.2	712 /	642 1	703 5	6/17 3	708 7	651.0	713.3			710.2
23	651.4	/13.3	050.5	712.4	042.1	703.5	617 7	700.1	651 2	713 /			710 3
24	651.6	713.5	650.0	/12.1	642.0	703.4	047.7	709.1	051.2	710.4			710.5
26	651.6	713.5	650.2	712.3	642.0	703.4	647.6	709.0	651.5	/13./	( = 0 = =	700 0	710.4
29	651.5	713.4	650.3	712.4	641.8	703.2	647.7	709.1	652.3	/14.5	652.5	108.2	/10.5
30	651.8	713.7	650.5	712.6	642.2	703.6	647.8	709.2	651.3	713.5			710.5
311		712.6											709.7

EVELS 2. "Desides ight contact pressare, plosed-to welle, "Litelds Functionly, Ontober 1, 1983

Torch P. 1968 (Cont. d.)

		7-1	Rallin .	- Mult action					
									10815 .
			105 5 -						
					738.0				
								• 7	
							1		

													Average
	Bush	A-5	Bush	A-4	Fuqu	a A-2	Bush	A-9	Bivin	s A-7	Bush	A-2	All minus
Dec.	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	Bush A-2
						Constitution of the local division of the lo		And a second second second second					
1	651.6	713.5	650.3	712.4	642.0	703.4	647.6	709.0	651.5	713.8			710.4
2	651.8	713.7	650.4	712.5	642.1	703.5	647.6	709.0	651.5	713.8			710.5
3	652.0	713.9	650.5	712.6	642.2	703.6	647.8	709.2	651.9	714.2			710.7
6	652.0	713.9	650.7	712.8	642.2	703.6	648.2	709.6	652.1	714.4	653.4	709.1	710.9
7	652.2	714.1	651.2	713.3	642.6	704.0	648.4	709.8	652.2	714.5			711.1
8	652.4	714.3	651.1	713.2	642.7	704.1	648.6	710.0	652.2	714.5			711.2
9	652.4	714.3	651.2	713.3	642.8	704.2	648.6	710.0	652.4	714.7			711.3
10	652.3	714.2	651.1	713.2	642.8	704.2	648.8	710.2	652.5	714.8			711.3
13	652.7	714.6	651.3	713.4	642.8	704.2	648.3	709.7	652.3	714.6	653.6	709.3	711.3
14	652.5	714.4	651.3	713.4	642.7	704.1	648.4	709.8	652.3	714.6			711.3
15	652.7	714.6	651.4	713.5	642.9	704.3	648.7	710.1	652.6	714.9			711.5
16	652.8	714.7	651.5	713.6	642.8	704.2	648.7	710.1	652.4	714.7			711.5
17	652.9	714.8	651.6	713.7	642.9	704.3	648.7	710.1	652.8	715.1			711.6
20	653.2	715.1	651.8	713.9	643.2	704.8	648.9	710.3	653.1	715.4	654.0	709.7	711.9
21	653.3	715.2	651.9	714.0	643.4	704.8	649.2	710.6	653.0	715.3			712.0
22	653.4	715.3	652.0	714.1	643.1	704.5	649.1	710.5	653.3	715.6			712.0
23	653.4	715.3	652.1	714.2	643.3	704.7	649.2	710.6	653.5	715.8			712.1
27	653.8	715.7	652.2	714.3	643.5	704.9	649.2	710.6	653.2	715.5	654.4	710.1	712.2
28	653.9	715.8	652.3	714.4	643.6	705.0	649.6	711.0	653.1	715.4			712.3
29	654.0	715.9	652.5	714.6	643.5	704.9	649.6	711.0	653.0	715.3			712.3
30	654.3	716.2	652.5	714.6	643.6	705.0	649.6	711.0	653.7	716.0			712.6
		714.7											711.5

TABLE 5, -Deadweight taster pressures, closed-19 wolls, Cliffaide reservoir, Actober 1, 1965 -

Carde V Jacon (root, d')

					1925.1		
		642.8					
			1:002				
							7.017
					651,5		

	Decel		n - 1	A					100				Average
-	Busn	A=)	Bush	A=4	Fuqu	a A-2	Bush	A-9	Bivin	IS A-7	Bush	n A-2	All minus
Jan.	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	WHP	BHP	Bush A-2
3	654.5	716.4	653.3	715.4	643.9	705.3	650.1	711.5	654.2	716.5	655.0	710.7	713.0
4	654.6	716.5	652.8	714.9	643.7	705.1	649.9	711.3	653.8	716.1			712.8
5	654.6	716.5	652.9	715.0	644.0	705.4	649.8	711.2	654.4	716.7			713.0
6	654.9	716.8	653.0	715.1	644.2	705.6	650.0	711.4	654.3	716.6			713 1
7	654.9	716.8	653.2	715.3	644.1	705.5	650.1	711.5	654.4	716.7			713 2
10	654.9	716.8	653.1	715.2	644.2	705.6	650.1	711.5	654.4	716.7	655.6	711 3	713.2
11	655.3	717.2	653.4	715.5	644.3	705.7	650.0	711.4	654.9	717.2		/11.5	713 /
12	655.2	717.1	653.3	715.4	644.8	706.2	650.6	712.0	654.8	717.1			713.4
13	655.2	717.1	653.3	715.4	644.5	705.9	650.4	711.8	654.4	716 8			712 /
14	655.2	717.1	653.4	715.5	644.4	705.8	650.5	711.9	654.7	717 0			712.4
17	655.4	717.3	653.7	715.8	644.6	706.0	650.6	712 0	654 9	717 2			713.3
18	655.5	717.4	653.7	715.8	644.8	706.2	650 7	712 1	65/ 9	717 2	655 6	711 2	710.7
19	655.8	717.7	653.9	716.0	644.9	706 3	650.9	712.1	655 2	717.5		/11.5	713.7
20	655.5	717.4	653.9	716.0	644 9	706 3	OPF	NFD	655 2	717 5			/14.0
24	655.8	717.7	654 0	716 1	644 8	706 2	OIL	INED.	655 1	717.	655 7	711 /	/14.3
25	655.9	717 8	654 2	716 3	645 2	706.6			655 5	717 0	055.7	/11.4	/14.4
26	655 9	717 8	654.2	716 3	645 4	706.8				710 0			/14.6
27	655 9	717 8	654 1	716 2	645 1	700.0			000.1	710.0			714.7
28	655 0	717 8	654.2	716 3	64.5 2	706.7			000.3	717.0			714.5
31	656 1	710 0	654.2	716 /	045.3	700.7			055.5	/1/.8			714.6
51	0.0.1	710.0	054.3	/10.4	043.4	106.8			655.6	/1/.9 .			714.8
		111.3											713.8

TABLE S. - INTERPORTED TO THE PROPERTY STERIAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF

\*

			· Tota				
				ADMATE ATT			
	312 .0						

											Average
	Bush	A - 5	Bush	A-4	Fuqu	a A-2	Bivin	s A-7	Bush	A-2	All minus
Feb.	WHP	BHP	Bush A-2								
1	656.2	718.1	654.4	716.5	645.6	707.0	655.9	718.2			715.0
2	655.9	717.8	654.4	716.5	654.4	706.8	655.7	718.0	656.9	712.6	714.8
3	656.0	717.9	654.5	716.6	645.5	706.9	655.8	718.1			714.9
4	656.0	717.9	654.6	716.7	645.6	707.0	655.8	718.1			714.9
7	656.0	717.9	654.9	717.0	645.4	706.8	656.0	718.3	657.3	713.0	715.0
8	655.9	717.8	654.9	717.0	645.3	706.7	656.1	718.4			715.0
9.	656.6	718.4	655.4	717.5	646.2	707.6	656.9	719.2			715.7
10	656.5	718.4	655.3	717.4							
11	656.5	718.4	655.3	717.4	646.0	707.4	656.8	719.1			715.6
14	655.9	717.8	655.2	717.3	645.8	707.2	656.9	719.2	657.8	713.5	715.4
15	655.8	717.7	655.2	717.3							
16	656.0	717.9	655.6	717.7	646.4	707.8	657.1	719.4	657.9	713.6	715.7
17	655.8	717.7	655.5	717.6	646.1	707.5	656.9	719.2	657.8	713.5	715.5
18	655.8	717.7	655.6	717.7	646.4	707.8	657.1	719.4	658.1	713.8	715.6
21	655.9	717.8	656.0	718.3	646.5	707.9	657.2	719.5	658.3	714.0	715.9
23	655.8	717.7	656.1	718.4	646.8	708.2	657.2	719.5	658.3	714.0	716.0
24	655.7	717.6	656.0	718.3	646.9	708.3	657.4	719.7	658.4	714.1	716.0
25	655.9	717.8	656.0	718.3	647.1	708.5	657.7	720.0	658.8	714.5	716.2
28	655.6	717.5	656.4	718.7	647.1	708.5	657.7	720.0	659.1	714.8	716.2
		717.9									715.5

				708.5					
								714.1	
	17.9 655.					213-4	.657.4		
						719.1			
				106.8	656.0				715.0
656.0 7			9.243	707.0					
		5 716.6	645.5						
635.9 7			654.4			718.0	656.9		
					655.9				

Mar.	Bu WHP	A-5	Bu <b>s</b> h WHP	A -4 <u>BHP</u>	Fuqu WHP	a A-2 BHP	Bivin WHP	s A-7 BHP	Bu <b>s</b> h WHP	A -2 FHP	Average All minus Bush A-2	_
1	655.	9 717.8	656.3	718.4	646.8	708.2	657.8	720.1	659.0	714.7	716.1	
2	655.	8 717.7	656.5	718.6	646.9	708.3	657.8	720.1	658.9	714.6	716.2	
3	655.	6 717.5	656.6	718.7	647.1	708.5	657.8	720.1	659.1	714.8	716.2	
4	655.	6 717.5	656.8	718.9	647.3	708.7	658.2	720.5	659.5	715.2	716.4	
7	655.	6 717.5	657.0	719.1	647.4	708.8	658.0	720.3	659.6	715.3	716.4	
8	655.	7 717.6	656.9	719.0	647.2	708.6	658.0	720.3	659.6	715.3	716.4	
9	655.	7 717.6	656.8	718.9	647.4	708.8	658.4	720.7	659.7	715.4	716.5	
10	656.	0 717.9	657.0	719.1	647.5	708.9	658.1	720.4	659.6	715.3	716.6	

.

IMBLE 5. -Dendweight inster pressures, closed-in wells, Cliffelde reservoir, October 1, 1465 -

March 7, 1906 (Cont'd.)

			a A+2. BBP			
				720.1		
			100 0.			
	000-0				6-961	
		647.1		720.1		
					03310	
				720.3		
						716.5
						716 6

.

π

## TABLE 6. -Pressure maintenance-volumetric material balance correlation summary, closed-in wells, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966

									Deadweight	Measurements
							Materi	al Balance	A11	Wells
							Entire	Reservoir	Investing.	Running
			Well			1411	Delle	Delta	Average	Average
		Average Si	lope, Pres	ssure-Time				Running	Pressure	Pressure
Month	Bush A-5	Bush A-4	Fuq. A-2	Bush A-9	Biv. A-7	A11	Delta	Average	<u>psia</u>	psia
October	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.060	0.89	0.89	707.8	707.8
November	. 07	.08	.05	.05	.07	. 065	1.21	1.05	709.7	708.8
December	. 08	.07	.05	.06	.06	.066	1.03	1.04	711.5	709.7
January	.06	.05	.06	.06	.06	.060	1.27	1.10	713.8	710.7
February	02	.08	.07		.07	.052	1.07	1.09	715.5	711.7
							1.09			SHITS STATE
								Delta	Calculate	ed Running
								Change 4	Average Pre	essure, psia
October	0.0625	0.0771	0.0533	0.0402	0.0716	0.0602*		0.00	(70	07.8)
November	.0711	.0799	.0504	.0534	.0743	.0649		.16	71	L0.9
December	.0828	.0715	.0524	.0618	.0620	.0662		01	73	L0.7
January	.0553	.0527	.0559	.0576*	* .0561	· 0604**		.06	7:	11.9
February	0167	.0794	.0653		.0747	.0515		01	7	1.7

\* Plotted least square averages; not arithmetic averages.

\*\* These values apply from January 1-19.

HO

tsot028

61 NO 18

				L.09 Dalza Change	
Sovecter Sovecter December Jenvary February					
	VARTAR S. 1 Child	TATUS.	WIT		

Occober
0.0625
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0535
0.0771
0.0723
0.0716
0.05028
0.001
0.0723
0.0723
0.001
0.0723
0.001
0.0723
0.001
0.0723
0.001
0.0723
0.001
0.0723
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
0.001
<th0.01</th>
0.001
0.001

1

\* Plotted least square averages; not avithmetic averages

## TABLE 6. -Pressure maintenance-volumetric material balance correlation summary, closed-in wells, Cliffside reservoir, October 1965 - February 1966

									Deadweight	Measurements
							Materia	al Balance	A11	Wells
							Entire	Reservoir	and the second second	Running
			Well		2	2		Delta	Average	Average
	A	Average SI	lope, Pres	sure-Time	2			Running	Pressure	Pressure
Month	Bush A-5	Bush A-4	Fuq. A-2	Bush A-9	Biv. A-7	<u>A11</u>	Delta	Average	psia	psia
October	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.060	0.22	0.22	707.8	707.8
November	.07	.08	.05	.05	.07	.065	.54	. 38	709.7	708.8
December	.08	.07	.05	.06	.06	.066	.38	.38	711.5	709.7
January	<b>. 0</b> 6	.05	<b>. 0</b> 6	<b>. 0</b> 6	.06	.073	.58	.43	713.8	710.7
February	02	.08	.07		. 07	.052	. 42	.43	715.5	711.7
								Delta	Calculate	d Running
								Change A	verage Pre	ssure, psia
October	0.0625	0. <b>0</b> 771	0.0533	0.0402	0.0716	0.0602		0	(707.	8)
November	.0711	<b>.0</b> 799	<b>.0</b> 504	.0534	.0743	.0649		0.16	710.	7
December	.0828	.0715	.0524	.0618	.0620	.0662		0	710.	7
January	.0553	.0527	.0559	.0576	.0561	.0730		0.05	711.	6
February	· <b>-</b> .0167	.0794	.0653		.0747	.0515		0	711.	6
								10 A		

	and the second			
	Brung b		8. 507 8. 507	
2.015 2.016 2.016 2.016				
0.0001				
			0.00	
		De cemper November		

#### REFERENCES

- Carroll, A. J., and S. E. Churchwell. Compressibility of Cliffside Gas. Helium Research Center Memorandum Report No. 18, June 1963, 5 pp.
- Guereca, R. A., and H. P. Richardson. Pressure-Capacitance Measurement Research Proposal for Cliffside. Helium Research Center Memorandum Report No. 76, December 1965, pp. 8-9.
- Holmes, R. A. Results of Cliffside Field Drilling Program, Fiscal Year 1964. Helium Activity Internal Report, April 1964, pp. 21-22.
- Miller, J. E., and L. Stroud. Supercompressibility Factors for Helium-Nitrogen Mixtures. U. S. Bureau of Mines Report of Investigations 6192, 1963, pp. 4-5.
- Pirson, S. J. Oil Reservoir Engineering, 2d ed. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1958, p. 363.

#### REFERINCES.

- Carroll, A. J., and S. E. Churchwell. Compressibility of Gliffelde Gas. Holius Research Center Hemorandum Report No. 18, June 1963, 5 np.
- Guerano, M. A., and H. P. Richerdson. Pressure-Capacitance Massicowent Research Proposal for Gliffeide. Hallos Research Genter Negarandom Report No. 75. December 1965. 20. 8-9.
- Holman, B. A. Remults of Chiffside Field Drilling Frogram, Fiscal Year 1964. Holium Activity Internel Report, April 1964, pp. 21-22. Miller, J. G., and L. Stroud. Supercompressibility Percent for Mellam-Mitrogen Mixtures. U. S. Eureme of Mines Report of Investiga tions 5192, 1953, pp. 4-5.
  - Co., Inc., New York, 1958, p. 263.

