ROYAL SOCIETY OPEN SCIENCE

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research

Cite this article: Pepper GV, Bateson M, Nettle D. 2018 Telomeres as integrative markers of exposure to stress and adversity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. R. Soc. open sci. 5: 180744.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.180744

Received: 11 May 2018 Accepted: 11 July 2018

Subject Category: Biology (whole organism)

Subject Areas: health and disease and epidemiology/psychology

Keywords: telomeres, stress, adversity, meta-analysis

Author for correspondence:

Daniel Nettle e-mail: daniel.nettle@ncl.ac.uk

Telomeres as integrative markers of exposure to stress and adversity: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Gillian V. Pepper, Melissa Bateson and Daniel Nettle

Centre for Behaviour and Evolution and Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, Henry Wellcome Building, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK

MB, [0000-0002-0861-0191](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0861-0191); DN, [0000-0001-9089-2599](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9089-2599)

Telomeres have been proposed as a biomarker that integrates the impacts of different kinds of stress and adversity into a common currency. There has as yet been no overall comparison of how different classes of exposure associate with telomeres. We present a meta-analysis of the literature relating telomere measures to stresses and adversities in humans. The analysed dataset contained 543 associations from 138 studies involving 402 116 people. Overall, there was a weak association between telomere variables and exposures (greater adversity, shorter telomeres: $r = -0.15$, 95% CI -0.18 to -0.11). This was not driven by any one type of exposure, because significant associations were found separately for physical diseases, environmental hazards, nutrition, psychiatric illness, smoking, physical activity, psychosocial and socioeconomic exposures. Methodological features of the studies did not explain any substantial proportion of the heterogeneity in association strength. There was, however, evidence consistent with publication bias, with unexpectedly strong negative associations reported by studies with small samples. Restricting analysis to sample sizes greater than 100 attenuated the overall association substantially $(r = -0.09, 95\%)$ $CI -0.13$ to -0.05). Most studies were underpowered to detect the typical association magnitude. The literature is dominated by cross-sectional and correlational studies which makes causal interpretation problematic.

1. Introduction

Exposure to stress and adversity across the lifespan is associated with increased morbidity and mortality from many causes. This implies that stress and adversity have a lasting impact on general physiological processes 'under the skin'. However, until recently, there were few candidate markers of this accumulation

Electronic supplementary material is available online at [https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.](https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.4193822) [c.4193822.](https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.4193822)

THE ROYAL SOCIETY **PUBLISHING**

& 2018 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

of physiological damage. In the last 15 years, the idea that telomeres might serve such a role has rapidly gained in scientific popularity. In particular, telomeres offer a potential 'psychobiomarker' that integrates the organism's experience of psychological states, social and environmental contexts, as well as physical damage, into a common currency [[1](#page-13-0)]. Telomeres are DNA-protein complexes that form protective caps on the ends of chromosomes, and are thought to play a key role in preserving chromosomal stability. At the cellular level, critically short telomere length leads to replicative senescence. At the whole organism level, average telomere length reduces with age. Thus, telomere length or attrition is a biomarker of ageing. As the impact of stress and adversity may be to increase the individual's biological age (as opposed to chronological age), telomere measures offer a metric with which to assess Hans Selye's famous contention that: 'Every stress leaves an indelible scar, and the organism pays for its survival after a stressful situation by becoming a little older' [\[2\]](#page-13-0).

Interest in using telomeres as a 'psychobiomarker' has grown rapidly, not just in human epidemiology, but also in animal ecology [\[3\]](#page-13-0) and animal welfare [[4](#page-13-0)]. In the human literature, telomeres have been studied in association with a wide range of exposure variables, including psychological stress [\[5\]](#page-14-0), psychiatric illness [[6](#page-14-0)], socioeconomic status [[7](#page-14-0)], environmental pollutants [[8](#page-14-0)], nutrition [[9](#page-14-0)], smoking [\[10](#page-14-0)] and physical activity [\[11](#page-14-0)]. In several of these cases, the number of studies is sufficient that meta-analyses have appeared [[12](#page-14-0)–[20](#page-14-0)], often finding that telomere length is associated with the exposure, though weakly and variably. Reviewing the associations between telomeres and different exposures separately is appropriate to answer questions about that particular exposure. However, it loses sight of the most exciting promise of telomeres as a 'psychobiomarker': namely their potential to integrate the consequences of quite different kinds of stress and adversity into a common currency. Here, we set out to simultaneously review relationships of telomere length and attrition with all the *different* kinds of stress and adversity that are being studied.

Having a single integrated dataset allows several possibilities not available in separate, specialist meta-analyses. First, it offers a synopsis of the whole burgeoning field of telomere epidemiology. Second, it allows explicit comparison of different association strengths on the same scale (is the association of telomeres with psychological stress generally weaker or stronger than the association with physical disease, or exposure to pollution?). Third, it offers potential to address unanswered questions about telomere dynamics, such as whether, overall, early-life stressors are more strongly associated with telomere shortening than stressors experienced in adult life, as has been suggested [\[21](#page-14-0)]. Fourth, it leads to a large dataset within which some methodological issues of broad relevance can be examined. These include whether different tissue types produce different patterns, and whether the popular telomere length measurement method, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) [[22\]](#page-14-0), leads to generally weaker associations than other methods. This should be expected, because measurement error is generally found to be higher in qPCR than more intensive methods [\[23](#page-14-0)], and measurement error attenuates observed correlations.

With these objectives in mind, we carried out a systematic review and meta-analysis of the published literature on telomeres in relation to stress and adversity in vivo, to May 2016. Our searches involved the terms 'stress' and 'adversity' in combination with 'telomere'. We extracted all associations with telomeres reported in the papers, not just the associations of primary interest to the original authors. Our search strategy was not intended to find the whole of the literature on telomeres and any particular exposure variable. Authors may not always have used the descriptors we searched, and may have been more likely to do so for some exposure variables than others. Nonetheless, our searches did produce the largest telomere literature dataset assembled to date, and we believe that though not exhaustive, it constitutes a good transect through the field of telomere epidemiology.

2. Methods

Our methods are described in detail in our protocol, which was registered via the Open Science Framework prior to data extraction [\[24](#page-14-0)]. Raw data files and data analysis scripts are freely available in an online archive on the Zenodo repository [[25\]](#page-14-0).

2.1. Search strategy and inclusion criteria

A PRISMA diagram for our study is available as electronic supplementary material. We searched the Scopus and PubMed databases for papers including the words 'stress' or 'adversity', and 'telomere'. All records up to the date of the search (11 May 2016) were screened ($n = 3647$). We removed

duplicates and then screened the remaining papers based on their titles and abstracts. In summary, this involved removing any papers that: (i) were not complete original research papers available electronically and in the English language; (ii) used study organisms from outside the animal kingdom; (iii) did not study whole organisms; (iv) used genetically modified organisms; (v) experimentally applied nonnaturalistic exposures in captive animals; (vi) examined telomere length in transplanted tissues or organs; (vii) were presented as concerning the physiological consequences of telomere length, rather than the correlates of exposures; (viii) examined intergenerational questions (e.g. the effects of paternal infection status on offspring telomere length); or (ix) used the same dataset, or participants reported in a previously recorded paper, to address an exposure– telomere relationship we had already recorded (where this occurred, the first-recorded association was the one used). This led to a candidate set of 286 papers.

Although our searches were based on the terms 'stress' and 'adversity', we extracted all reported associations with potential exposure variables found in the papers returned by our search, whether or not they were the focus of the study's stated objectives. This included control variables and covariates as long as sufficient detail was provided. Thus, our search strategy consisted of finding papers on the subjects of stress/adversity and telomeres, and sampling the full variety of exposures that fell out of the papers captured by the search.

2.2. Association format

Associations could only be used if convertible into a correlation coefficient, the common association metric that we chose based on initial scoping and piloting. Standard conversion formulae were used [\[26](#page-14-0)– [29\]](#page-14-0), and the conversion algorithms are provided in the data repository. Usable statistics comprised: correlation coefficients, standardised βs from regression models; unstandardized βs where standard deviations for the independent and dependent variables were provided; unstandardized βs from regressions with dichotomous independent variables where the standard deviation of the dependent variable was provided; F-ratios from ANOVAs comparing two groups; t-statistics; Cohen's d or standardized mean difference statistics; group means with standard errors; and group means with standard deviations. Where several alternative analyses were presented, we chose unadjusted in preference to adjusted analyses; and from several adjusted analyses where no unadjusted data were available, we chose the analysis that adjusted for the fewest variables. This was to maximize comparability between studies that used different sets of control variables in multivariate models. For longitudinal studies, associations were between change in telomere length (i.e. difference between follow-up and baseline) and the exposure variable. For 125 papers, the reported information was not sufficient to create a usable correlation coefficient.

2.3. Data extraction

Data from 218 associations (30%) were extracted independently by both G.V.P. and D.N. Any differences were identified and resolved as part of the process of refining our data extraction methods. The remaining associations were extracted by either G.V.P. or D.N., with G.V.P. checking and correcting the whole dataset after extraction. As well as sample size and other statistics necessary for association conversion, we extracted bibliographic information and a series of classificatory variables as described in [table 1.](#page-3-0) The life stage prior to birth was classed as embryonic, and the life stage prior to sexual maturity (4745 days for human females/5110 days for males [\[30](#page-14-0)]) was defined as childhood. We also identified any associations that could be considered subparts of others, for example, separate-by-sex associations where the combined association was also reported, or associations between telomeres and subscales where the association with the main scale was also reported. We did not include these subscale and subgroup associations in our final analyses, though they are included in the unprocessed dataset, in case they are of interest to others.

2.4. Final dataset

The extracted data are the 'unprocessed data' file in the data archive. We categorized exposure variables a posteriori. We created both broad categories (11 categories plus 'Other', as specified in [table 1\)](#page-3-0), and fine ones, for example, using specific diseases rather than 'physical disease', and specific types of psychosocial or socioeconomic measures (35 fine categories, plus 'Other'). No categories (broad or

Table 1. Characteristics of the associations included in the analysis. The numbers of unique studies for each category do not sum to the number of studies in the whole dataset (138), as some studies contribute associations in several categories.

fine) were created if the number of independent associations (i.e. from different papers) was less than 3 prior to the exclusions described below.

The final dataset analysed here ('processed data' file) differs from the unprocessed data in a number of regards. We excluded 27 associations from studies of non-human animals, because we deemed these too few (typically one study per species) for further analysis. We then excluded 11 associations that appeared to be duplicates of those reported in other papers using the same data, and 14 associations where the exposure variable was a medical treatment—the designs of these studies generally confounded effects of the treatment on telomeres with effects of the disease the treatment was for. Finally, we excluded 129 associations based on subscales and subgroups of other associations reported in the same studies.

For analysis, we reversed 149 correlations in sign to align all correlations into the same direction; that is, so that a negative correlation indicates that greater stress and adversity is associated with short (or shortening), rather than long (or lengthening), telomeres. For example, we reversed correlations where the exposure variable represented higher socioeconomic status, better sleep or more parental care, to align them with the more common case where a higher value of the exposure indicates more adversity (e.g. disease, psychosocial stress, pollution exposure). The case of nutritional variables was challenging, because it was often unclear if higher consumption was predicted to be positive or negative in effect. We therefore reversed the direction of all nutritional variables, so that a negative correlation means a deficit in consumption is associated with short telomeres. This had little impact on the results, because the overall associations for many nutritional categories were null. The categories with the strongest associations—fruit, legumes and vegetables, and vitamins—are cases that clearly conform to our assumed 'more is better' principle.

2.5. Data analysis

Data were meta-analysed in R [[31\]](#page-14-0) using the 'metafor' package [\[32](#page-14-0)]. Estimation was by REML. As the dataset includes multiple associations from the same studies, we used multilevel models containing nested random effects of association and study. Meta-regression was used to examine differences in association strength for different types of exposure, and different methodological features. Additional analyses to detect outliers and account for possible publication bias patterns were implemented using R packages 'metaplus' [[33\]](#page-14-0) and 'weight' [\[34](#page-14-0)] and are reported in the electronic supplementary material, supplementary analysis. The full data analysis script is included in the data archive.

3. Results

3.1. Description of dataset

The final dataset consisted of 543 associations from 138 unique studies of human participants (associations per study 1 – 43, mean 3.93). One hundred and sixty-eight associations were reported by the study authors as being statistically significant, 349 as null and 26 were not reported as either null or significant. Two hundred and ninety-four associations (54%) were completely unadjusted; the remaining 249 (46%) featured some degree of statistical adjustment (for example, for age, though the exact specification of the adjustment varied from study to study). [Table 1](#page-3-0) describes the associations and studies included. Typically, they used qPCR to measure telomeres; did so in leucocytes or whole blood; were correlational rather than experimental; and were cross-sectional rather than longitudinal. This meant that the telomere variable was overwhelmingly a single

5

Figure 1. Features of the meta-analysed data. (a) The distribution of correlations between exposures and telomere length or telomere attrition in the 543 associations of the whole dataset. The numbers give the number of correlations in the moderate or strong negative ($r \leq$ $-$ 0.2), small negative ($-$ 0.2 $<$ r $<$ 0), small positive (0 $<$ r $<$ 0.2), and moderate or strong positive ($r \geq 0.2$), effect size bins, respectively. (b) Funnel plot of sample size against observed correlation between telomere measure and exposure variable. Red points represent the mean correlation observed for sample sizes in bins fewer than 100; 101– 250; 251– 1000; and more than 1000. (c) Forest plot of central correlation estimate and 95% confidence interval for the whole dataset, and separately for the four bins of sample size. The k column represents the numbers of correlations and the m column the number of unique studies.

measure of average length, rather than the rate of attrition. Associations with both length and attrition are included in our main analyses, though we test whether study design moderates observed correlations. The studies were mostly of adults, and mostly related to sources of stress and adversity that were experienced in adulthood.

3.2. Overall association and publication bias

The distribution of correlations between exposure measures and telomeres is shown in figure 1a. Though the modal correlation was close to zero, the distribution was asymmetric, with 399 correlations less than zero (indicating that greater adversity was associated with shorter telomeres), and 144 greater than zero (indicating that greater adversity was associated with longer telomeres). The majority of correlations fell into what is conventionally defined as a 'small' effect size $(-0.2 < r < 0.2)$, with 294 small negative correlations and 131 small positive ones. There were 105 instances of a moderate or large negative association, against just 13 of a moderate or large positive association. In a simple meta-analytic model with no moderators, the overall estimate of association was conventionally small and significantly negative ($r = -0.15$, 95% CI -0.18 to -0.11, $p < 0.001$). Thus, greater adversity was correlated with shorter telomeres. There was substantial heterogeneity between associations ($\tau = 0.21$, $Q_{542} = 12742.54$, $p < 0.001$), and most of the variability resided at the between-study level rather than between associations from the same study (ρ represents the intra-class correlation coefficient between the associations from the same study; $\rho = 0.87$). In the electronic supplementary material (§2), we present evidence of over-dispersion of associations relative to a normal distribution: 12 studies were classed as outliers, 10 reporting very strong negative associations and two reporting moderately strong positive associations.

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

buo buiusijqnd Aprosje Koursos.

7

R. Soc. opensci.

5: 180744

Figure 2. Forest plot showing central estimates of correlation and 95% confidence intervals from meta-analytic models for each broad category of exposure separately. For each category, the first row represents the full dataset, and the second, the reduced dataset (only sample sizes of 100 or greater). The k column represents the numbers of correlations and the m column the number of unique studies.

The estimated association may have been affected by publication bias. The funnel plot of correlation coefficient against sample size showed the inevitably broader range of observed correlations at smaller sample size. However, the funnel was asymmetric, with strongly negative correlations appearing at small sample sizes, but rather few of the strongly positive correlations that ought also to be expected, given that true effects were weak and the precision of estimation low in small studies [\(figure 1](#page-5-0)b; see also electronic supplementary material, §3). To further explore this, we divided sample sizes into four bins (fewer than 100, 101 –250, 251– 1000, more than 1000; these bins represent approximate quartiles of sample size). We then added sample size bin to the meta-analytic model as a moderator (this is the conceptual equivalent of the Egger test for the multilevel model situation). Sample size bin explained a significant amount of variability ($Q_3 = 30.27$, $p < 0.001$), though substantial heterogeneity remained $(\tau = 0.19)$. In particular, associations with sample sizes of 'fewer than 100' were significantly more negative than the reference category of more than 1000 ($B = -0.17$, 95% CI -0.24 to -0.10 , $p < 0.001$; [figure 1](#page-5-0)c; see also electronic supplementary material, §2). The other sample size bins did not differ significantly from the reference category of 'more than 1000'. Because the trim and fill methodology for imputing the associations assumed to be missing is not defined for the multilevel situation, we performed all subsequent analyses both on the whole dataset and, in parallel, on only the 370 correlations from 82 studies where the sample size was greater than 100 (henceforth the 'reduced dataset'). The central estimate from the reduced dataset was considerably weaker than the full dataset $(r = -0.09$ compared to $r = -0.15$, 95% CI -0.13 to -0.05, $p < 0.001$), with substantial heterogeneity $(\tau = 0.18, Q_{369} = 4512.37, p < 0.001)$, and again, most of the variation residing between studies, rather than between associations within studies ($\rho = 0.88$).

3.3. Categories of exposure

We divided our exposure variables into 11 broad categories plus 'other' and added category of exposure to the meta-analytic model as a moderator. Exposure category did not explain a significant amount of the heterogeneity (whole dataset: $Q_{11} = 17.25$, $p = 0.10$; reduced dataset: $Q_{11} = 14.70$, $p = 0.20$), suggesting that the type of exposure studied, at this coarse level, does not explain the variation in association between telomeres and exposure variables. We also fitted separate meta-analytic models to the correlations in each of the 12 broad exposure categories (figure 2). In the whole dataset, the central

estimate of association was numerically negative for all categories, and significantly so for all except alcohol, sleep, parental care and 'other'. In the reduced dataset, environmental hazard additionally became non-significant. In some categories, excluding small studies markedly reduced the central correlation estimate, for example: psychosocial (from $r = -0.16$ to $r = -0.06$); psychiatric illness (from $r = -0.13$ to $r = -0.08$); and physical disease (from $r = -0.15$ to $r = -0.11$). In other categories, such as smoking, socioeconomic status and physical activity, excluding the smaller studies had minimal effect on the (already weaker) central correlation estimates.

We also created a finer 36-category classification of exposures. For example, we considered each physical disease, psychiatric condition or psychosocial construct for which multiple independent data points were available separately. The fine categories explained a significant amount of heterogeneity in both the full ($Q_{35} = 59.28$, $p < 0.01$; $\tau = 0.20$) and reduced datasets ($Q_{32} = 58.03$, $p < 0.01$; $\tau = 0.16$; note only 33 of the 36 fine categories were represented in the reduced dataset). We took smoking as the reference category as this association is estimated with good precision due to a large number of studies. Compared to smoking, in the full dataset, we found significantly stronger negative correlations for environmental hazards ($B = -0.15$, 95% CI -0.26 to -0.05, $p < 0.01$); HIV and AIDS $(B = -0.15, 95\% \text{ CI} - 0.28 \text{ to } -0.02, p = 0.02)$; schizophrenia $(B = -0.18, 95\% \text{ CI} - 0.34 \text{ to } -0.01, p =$ 0.04); and lower vitamin consumption $(B = -0.22, 95\% \text{ CI } -0.37 \text{ to } -0.07, p < 0.01)$. Parkinson's disease gave a significantly weaker negative correlation than smoking $(B = 0.26, 95\% \text{ CI } 0.06-0.45$, $p < 0.01$). In the reduced dataset, the significant differences from smoking for environmental hazards and schizophrenia became non-significant; the significant differences persisted for HIV and AIDS $(B = -0.14, 95\% \text{ CI } -0.25 \text{ to } -0.03, p = 0.02)$ and Parkinson's $(B = 0.41, 95\% \text{ CI } 0.21 - 0.60, p < 0.001);$ and two new significant differences were found: poor parental care gave significantly stronger negative correlations than smoking $(B = -0.14, 95\% \text{ CI } -0.27 \text{ to } -0.01, p = 0.04)$, and low carbohydrate consumption gave significantly weaker ones $(B = 0.09, 95\% \text{ CI } 0.00 - 0.19, p = 0.04)$. We also considered whether the associations in the 36 fine categories differed significantly from zero when considered separately ([figure 3\)](#page-8-0). In the full dataset, anxiety, cardiovascular disease, depression, diabetes, lower education, environmental hazards, lower fruit, legume and vegetable consumption, lower income, lower meat, fish and egg consumption, lower physical activity, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), schizophrenia, smoking, stress, traumatic experience and lower vitamin consumption were all significantly correlated with shorter telomeres. Restricting consideration to the reduced dataset, the associations remaining significantly less than zero were: anxiety; cardiovascular disease; diabetes; education; lower fruit, legume and vegetable consumption; lower meat, fish and egg consumption; lower physical activity; and smoking. In addition, the parental care correlation (receiving poorer care associated with shorter telomeres), which had not been significantly different from zero in the full dataset, became so in the reduced dataset.

3.4. Other moderators

We tested whether a series of different methodological features explained any significant amount of heterogeneity between associations ([table 2;](#page-9-0) we were unable to simultaneously include exposure category and all the methodological variables in a single model for reasons of statistical power). There was no strong evidence that the study design (longitudinal versus cross-sectional, or experimental versus correlational), the life stage of the participants (either at exposure or telomere measurement), the type of tissue or the sex of the participants explained a significant amount of the heterogeneity, either in the full or reduced datasets.

There was some evidence for variation in association strength by telomere measurement technique in the full dataset [\(table 2](#page-9-0)). Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques produced significantly stronger negative associations than the dominant qPCR technique. Southern blot and TelSeq associations did not differ significantly from qPCR, though TelSeq was represented in just one study. However, measurement technique was confounded with sample size in the data: FISH and Southern blot were used in relatively small sample studies (medians 49 and 56), TelSeq in one very large study (sample size 11 670) and qPCR in a range of sample sizes (median 285). We have already established that correlations were weaker in larger samples, and the order of central correlation estimates for the four techniques (FISH: $r = -0.29$, 95% CI -0.43 to -0.16 ; Southern blot: $r = -0.15$, 95% CI -0.20 to -0.09 ; qPCR: $r = -0.14$, 95% CI -0.18 to -0.10 ; TelSeq: $r = -0.04$, 95% CI -0.08 to -0.01) mirrored the order of their median sample sizes. Including sample size (square-root transformed) in the model as an additional moderator, the overall moderating effect of measurement technique became marginally non-significant ($Q_3 = 7.10$, $p = 0.07$). However, the 95% confidence interval for the

median

Figure 3. Central estimates of correlation and 95% confidence intervals for separate meta-analytic models for each fine category of exposure. For each fine category, the first row represents the full dataset, and the second, the reduced dataset (only sample sizes of 100 or greater). The k column represents the numbers of correlations and the m column the number of unique studies. Note that all nutritional fine categories are treated as if more of the food category equalled better nutrition, and hence less adversity. Fine categories are grouped by broad category.

parameter estimate for FISH still did not cross zero $(B = -0.18, 95\% \text{ CI } -0.33 \text{ to } -0.04, p = 0.01)$. Moderation by measurement technique was non-significant in the reduced dataset, though 95% of the associations in the reduced dataset used qPCR; there were no FISH associations at all, and only 15 instances of Southern blot.

4. Discussion

Telomere length or attrition has been proposed as a common currency 'psychobiomarker' of the impact of many different types of stress and adversity on the individual. Here, we meta-analysed an exceptionally large and diverse dataset consisting of 543 associations from 138 studies featuring over 400 000 human participants. The results confirm that, in the published literature, telomere length is indeed significantly associated, in the predicted direction, with a wide range of exposures including environmental hazards, smoking, psychiatric illness, psychosocial factors, socioeconomic factors, parental care, poor nutrition and physical activity. The large heterogeneity estimate even after

9

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

R. Soc. opensci.

5: 180744

Table 2. Tests of potential moderators of the association strength between exposure variables and telomere length or telomere attrition. For reasons of statistical power, potential moderators were added one at a time. 10

 $*_p$ < 0.05.

^aCannot be tested because there is only a single study in any tissue type other than blood/white blood cells in the reduced dataset.

bNo FISH studies in the reduced dataset.

controlling for exposure type suggests that there is more variation in results between different studies of the same exposure than between different types of exposure.

We emphasize that because our search strategy was based on 'stress' and 'adversity', our dataset is neither exhaustive nor a representative sample of all the work being carried out in human telomere epidemiology. We are likely to have captured almost all work on psychosocial stress, which necessarily involves one of our search terms, but only some of the studies of smoking or physical diseases. Thus, the relative abundance of exposure types in the dataset should not be interpreted as informative: for example, our dataset contains more studies of psychosocial exposures than of smoking, whereas the true abundances in the literature may well be the opposite way around (see [\[17](#page-14-0),[18\]](#page-14-0)). However, the dataset is very large, and there are substantial numbers of studies in every broad category. Thus, it is still useful for comparing the typical strength of association of different types of exposure with telomere measures, as well as exploring cross-cutting issues. For several of the exposure variables in our dataset, there are published specialist meta-analyses covering just that exposure type. In many cases, these have appeared since we began data collection for this paper. Where such a specialist meta-analysis exists and we had more than five associations in our dataset, we compared the by-category results from our [figure 3](#page-8-0) with the key results of the corresponding specialist meta-analyses ([table 3\)](#page-11-0). There was, overall, a high degree of agreement. We view this good agreement between the specialist reviews and subsets of our dataset as confirmation that the search strategy we used yielded a sufficiently robust transect of the telomere epidemiology literature for the comparisons we have presented to be meaningful.

In detecting significant negative associations between telomere variables and a wide variety of different exposures, our findings appear to support the contention that telomeres are a useful integrative 'psychobiomarker' [[1,4\]](#page-13-0). Nonetheless, they bring to the fore a number of important caveats. The first caveat is that the observed correlations are in the range that would conventionally be considered weak or small [[39\]](#page-14-0). This has methodological implications for the use of telomere measures in research. A correlation coefficient of $r = -0.15$ (our central estimate from the whole dataset) requires a sample size of 359 to be detected as significant ($p < 0.05$) with 80% power. Only 38% of the associations had this sample size. Using the central correlation estimate from the reduced dataset $(r = -0.09)$, the required sample size rises to 1007 (which was met by 21% of associations). Thus, significance tests from individual small- n studies should not be taken as strong evidence that a given exposure variable does or does not associate with telomere length, or associates differently from other variables. Moreover, with correlations typically of small magnitude, telomere length is likely to have limited value as an indicator of adversity exposure in individual people.

The weakness of observed associations may be related to the fact that the extant literature relies almost entirely (93% of associations) on cross-sectional studies using telomere length measured at a single point in time. Where there are true environmental effects on telomere attrition, measured associations between telomere length and environmental factors in cross-sectional studies are likely to be weak, because the individual variation in telomere length at birth, which is substantially heritable, dwarfs the amount by which telomeres shorten over the life-course [\[40](#page-14-0),[41\]](#page-14-0). Thus, any environmental signal in cross-sectional telomere studies will be diluted by a large component of irrelevant individual variability. Longitudinal studies that examine telomere attrition, rather than telomere length, thus effectively controlling for different individual starting telomere lengths, are potentially much more powerful for detecting possible environmental influences (see [\[42](#page-14-0)] for discussion and [[43,44](#page-14-0)] for rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

pao.philsocietypublishing.org

R. Soc. opensci.

5: 180744

Table 3. Comparison of the present findings by fine category with key results of specialist meta-analyses, where available. Represented are central meta-analytic estimates with 95% confidence intervals. Note that we have reversed the direction of our correlations compared to [figure 3](#page-8-0) where this is necessary for the comparison. TL, telomere length; SMD, standardized mean difference; OR, odds ratio; d, Cohen's d; r, correlation coefficient.

examples of animal studies using this type of design). However, in the present dataset, we were not able to confirm that longitudinal studies produce systematically stronger negative correlations than the crosssectional ones. This may be because longitudinal studies are few, limiting statistical power. Moreover, the follow-up tends to comprise a fairly short stretch of adult life in these human studies (mean 1576 days, s.d. 882 days). A possible alternative to life-course longitudinal designs in some cases is the 'blood– muscle' model, where telomere length in adult muscle (where telomere length changes little) is used to estimate starting telomere length for blood [[45\]](#page-14-0).

The second caveat is that the literature may be affected by publication bias, a conclusion that echoes those of some narrower reviews [[18\]](#page-14-0). We found evidence of stronger negative correlations in published studies with small samples, and removing the small samples nearly halved the strength of the overall association between exposure variables and telomeres. (An alternative approach to correcting for publication bias reported in the electronic supplementary material suggests an even greater degree of attenuation.) Directionally stronger associations in small samples are usually taken as evidence that small studies with results contrary to prediction are being selectively withheld or rejected. Publication bias is not the only possible interpretation of this pattern, though. It could be that smaller studies measure stresses and adversities with greater precision, or use more selected participant samples, so that the variation in exposure is greater, and as such genuinely detect stronger negative correlations with telomeres. Nonetheless, many of the most striking claims, for example, regarding psychosocial associations with telomeres, are based on small- n findings that are atypically strong. The problem of selective appearance of associations in the literature may be worse than our findings suggest. For example, large- n epidemiological studies are likely to be published whatever the results, but authors often have degrees of freedom concerning which of many available predictor variables they report, and in how much detail. Even a slight bias towards including or providing detailed results preferentially for those measures that produce patterns conforming to expectation would suffice to distort the meta-analytic conclusions considerably.

The third caveat is that it is hard, from the present literature, to make inferences about causality in the relationships between telomere variables and exposures to stress and adversity. This is because of the overwhelming reliance on cross-sectional and correlational designs. Several of the specialist metaanalyses have concluded with calls for more longitudinal research [[18,19](#page-14-0)]. It is disappointing to note that in the course of this review, we have recurrently encountered correlational findings described as if they were causal (e.g. [[7,19](#page-14-0),[46,47\]](#page-14-0)), and cross-sectional findings described as if they were longitudinal (e.g. [\[9,16,38](#page-14-0),[46\]](#page-14-0)) in article titles, abstracts and discussions.

A cross-sectional correlation between telomere length and an exposure could arise for three reasons: the exposure causes telomeres to shorten (causality); short telomeres cause the exposure (reverse causality); or some third variable is causally related to both telomeres and the exposure (third variable). Causality should not be assumed without further evidence. Reverse causality is plausible for many physical diseases. In some cases, this is supported by longitudinal evidence (e.g. [\[45,48](#page-14-0),[49\]](#page-15-0)) and Mendelian randomization studies [\[50](#page-15-0),[51\]](#page-15-0). Reverse causality may be possible for psychological and behavioural variables too, because short telomere length can change patterns of gene expression [\[52](#page-15-0)], with possible consequences for brain function. Third-variable explanations are plausible for many of

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

R. Soc. opensci.

5: 180744

14

the correlations described here. Childhood adversity, for example, is a third variable of potential general importance [[21](#page-14-0)]. Childhood adversity is a known risk factor for a number of the variables considered here as exposures, such as poor physical and psychiatric health, smoking and low socioeconomic status. Childhood adversity may also accelerate telomere shortening [[53\]](#page-15-0). As the highest rate of telomere shortening occurs early in life [\[54,55](#page-15-0)], it is perhaps more plausible that developmental conditions affect both the risk of the adult exposures and adult telomere length, than the adult exposures affecting adult telomere length directly. However, we did not find evidence in the present dataset that exposures during childhood produce significantly stronger correlations with telomere length than exposures during adulthood (though see [\[56](#page-15-0)] for a Cohen's d effect size of -0.35 in a specialist meta-analysis of early-life adversity and telomere length).

In relation to our objective of understanding methodological sources of variation in measured associations, we were not able to reach any strong conclusions. Most of the methodological variables we recorded did not explain any significant fraction of the observed heterogeneity, but we cannot infer that they make no systematic difference. This is because many of the non-standard methodological choices in the dataset (e.g. longitudinal design, tissue other than blood, measurement technique other than qPCR) were rare. Moreover, the different features of the methodology did not vary independently of one another, or of exposure type. We found some evidence suggesting FISH might produce stronger correlations with predictor variables than other measurement techniques. However, the FISH studies also featured small samples, and small sample size was associated with stronger correlations. After controlling for sample size, the moderating effect of measurement technique was attenuated. To make progress on methodological questions such as whether, for example, qPCR produces weaker associations than other techniques due to greater measurement error [\[23](#page-14-0),[57,58\]](#page-15-0), it will be necessary to take more homogeneous sets of studies, all focussing on the same relationship, in order to isolate the consequences of this single methodological factor. For example, in recent meta-analyses of telomeres and sex [[59\]](#page-15-0), and telomeres and depression [\[36](#page-14-0)], stronger associations were found by Southern blot and/or FISH than by qPCR.

We conclude with a plea to the field. We had to exclude 125 papers because of failure to describe data in enough detail; this is nearly as many as we were able to include (138). Common omissions were simple, such as not providing means and standard deviations per group, not providing sufficient detail of regression models, or providing only a p -value for the key result. Moreover, there may have been cases where researchers measured more variables than those they reported. These failings could easily be addressed by more careful reporting of statistics, better refereeing and, above all, fostering a culture in which all raw data are made freely available. Given the subtlety of any associations between telomere dynamics and environmental exposures, it will be necessary to pool our collective evidence in order to understand them. It is a great waste if much of that evidence is not usable for meta-analysis.

Ethics. This study was based on secondary analysis of study-level data already in the public domain, and as such required no ethical approval.

Data accessibility. Raw data and the R scripts for converting and analysing them are freely available via the Zenodo repository:<http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1189538>.

Authors' contributions. G.V.P. participated in the design of the study, carried out literature searches, extracted data from the literature, designed conversion algorithms, analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. D.N. participated in the design of the study, carried out literature searches, extracted data from the literature, analysed the data and helped draft the manuscript. M.B. participated in the design of the study, advised on statistical analyses and revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors gave approval for publication.

Competing interests. We have no competing interests.

Funding. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement no. AdG 666669, COMSTAR, to D.N.). Support to M.B. was received from National Centre for the 3Rs (grant no. NC/K000802/1).

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge the members of the COMSTAR laboratory group and other colleagues at Newcastle University for their input. We also thank Maya B. Mathur and Dan Nussey for their helpful feedback.

References

- 1. Epel ES. 2009 Telomeres in a life-span perspective: a new 'psychobiomarker'? Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 18, 6-10. ([doi:10.1111/j.1467-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01596.x) [8721.2009.01596.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01596.x))
- 2. Selye H. 1956 The stress of life. New York, NY: McGraw Hill.
- 3. Monaghan P. 2010 Telomeres and life histories: the long and the short of it. Ann. N. Y. Acad.

Sci. 1206, 130– 142. ([doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05705.x) [2010.05705.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05705.x))

4. Bateson M. 2016 Cumulative stress in research animals: telomere attrition as a biomarker in a

welfare context? Bioessays 38, 201-212. ([doi:10.1002/bies.201500127\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bies.201500127)

- 5. Parks CG, Miller DB, McCanlies EC, Cawthon RM, Andrew ME, DeRoo LA, Sandler DP. 2010 Telomere length, current perceived stress, and urinary stress hormones in women. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. 18, 551– 560. ([doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0614.Telomere\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-08-0614.Telomere)
- Cai N et al. 2015 Molecular signatures of major depression. Curr. Biol. 25, 1146 – 1156. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.03.008) [1016/j.cub.2015.03.008\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.03.008)
- 7. Cherkas LF, Aviv A, Valdes AM, Hunkin JL, Gardner JP, Surdulescu GL, Kimura M, Spector TD. 2006 The effects of social status on biological aging as measured by white-bloodcell telomere length. Aging Cell 5, 361-365. ([doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2006.00222.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2006.00222.x))
- 8. Bassig BA et al. 2014 Alterations in leukocyte telomere length in workers occupationally exposed to benzene. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 55, 673– 678. ([doi:10.1002/em](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/em))
- 9. Marcon F, Siniscalchi E, Crebelli R, Saieva C, Sera F, Fortini P, Simonelli V, Palli D. 2012 Dietrelated telomere shortening and chromosome stability. Mutagenesis 27, 49 – 57. [\(doi:10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/ger056) [mutage/ger056\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mutage/ger056)
- 10. Aviv A, Chen W, Gardner JP, Kimura M, Brimacombe M, Cao X, Srinivasan SR, Berenson GS. 2009 Leukocyte telomere dynamics: longitudinal findings among young adults in the Bogalusa Heart Study. Am. J. Epidemiol. 169, 323– 329. [\(doi:10.1093/aje/kwn338](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn338))
- 11. Cherkas L et al. 2008 The association between physical activity in leisure time and leukocyte telomere length. Arch. Intern. Med. 168, 154-158. [\(doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2007.39](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2007.39))
- 12. Haycock PC, Heydon EE, Kaptoge S, Butterworth AS, Thompson A, Willeit P. 2014 Leucocyte telomere length and risk of cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 349, g4227. [\(doi:10.1136/bmj.g4227\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g4227)
- 13. Zhao J, Miao K, Wang H, Ding H, Wang DW. 2013 Association between telomere length and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 8 , 1-7. ([doi:10.1371/journal.pone.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079993) [0079993\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079993)
- 14. Forero DA, González-Giraldo Y, López-Quintero C, Castro-Vega LJ, Barreto GE, Perry G. 2016 Telomere length in Parkinson's disease: a metaanalysis. Exp. Gerontol. 75 , $53-55$. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2016.01.002) [1016/j.exger.2016.01.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2016.01.002))
- 15. Huang P, Zhou J, Zhao X, Chen S, Zou C, Li J. 2017 The association between obstructive sleep apnea and shortened telomere length: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med. 48, 107 – 112. ([doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2017.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2017.09.034) [09.034](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2017.09.034))
- 16. Darrow SM, Verhoeven JE, Revesz D, Lindqvist D, Penninx BWJH, Delucchi KL, Wolkowitz OM, Mathews CA. 2016 The association between psychiatric disorders and telomere length: a meta-analysis involving 14 827 persons. Psychosom. Med. 78, 776– 787. ([doi:10.1097/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000356) [PSY.0000000000000356\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000356)
- 17. Astuti Y, Wardhana A, Watkins J, Wulaningsih W. 2017 Cigarette smoking and telomere length: a systematic review of 84 studies and meta-analysis. Environ. Res. 158, 480– 489. ([doi:10.1016/j.envres.2017.06.038\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.06.038)
- 18. Mathur MB, Epel E, Kind S, Desai M, Parks CG, Sandler DP, Khazeni N. 2016 Perceived stress and telomere length: a systematic review, metaanalysis, and methodologic considerations for advancing the field. Brain Behav. Immun. 54, 158– 169. ([doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2016.02.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2016.02.002))
- 19. Mundstock E et al. 2015 Effects of physical activity in telomere length: systematic review and meta-analysis. Ageing Res. Rev. 22, 72– 80. ([doi:10.1016/j.arr.2015.02.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2015.02.004))
- 20. Robertson T, Batty GD, Der G, Fenton C, Shiels PG, Benzeval M. 2013 Is socioeconomic status associated with biological aging as measured by telomere length? Epidemiol. Rev. 35, 98-111. ([doi:10.1093/epirev/mxs001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxs001))
- 21. Bateson M, Nettle D. 2018 Why are there associations between telomere length and behaviour? Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 373, 20160438. ([doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0438](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0438))
- 22. Cawthon RM. 2002 Telomere measurement by quantitative PCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, $1 - 6$. ([doi:10.1093/nar/30.10.e47](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.10.e47))
- 23. Aviv A, Hunt SC, Lin J, Cao X, Kimura M, Blackburn E. 2011 Impartial comparative analysis of measurement of leukocyte telomere length/DNA content by Southern blots and qPCR. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, 3 – 7. ([doi:10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr634) [nar/gkr634](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr634))
- 24. Pepper GV, Nettle D. 2016 A meta-analysis of associations between stress and telomeric measures [protocol]. Open Sci. Framew. [\(https://](http://dx.doi.org/https://osf.io/8nrjg/) osf.io/8nrig/)
- 25. Pepper GV, Bateson M, Nettle D. 2018 Data archive for Pepper, Bateson and Nettle, 'Telomeres as integrative markers of exposure to stress and adversity: a systematic review and meta-analysis'. Zenodo. [http://doi.org/10.5281/](http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1189538) [zenodo.1189538](http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1189538)
- 26. Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT, Rothstein HR. 2009 Introduction to meta-analysis. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 27. Field AP. 2005 Effect sizes. C8057 (Research Methods 2) (doi:10.1027/0044- 3409.217.1.6)
- 28. Peterson RA, Brown SP. 2005 On the use of β coefficients in meta-analysis. J. Appl. Psychol. 90, 175– 181. ([doi:10.1037/0021-9010.90.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.1.175) [1.175](http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.90.1.175))
- 29. Higgins JPT, Green S (eds). 2011 Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions, version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration. Available from [http://](http://handbook.cochrane.org) handbook.cochrane.org.
- 30. Tacutu R et al. 2017 Human ageing genomic resources: new and updated databases. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, 1083 – 1090. ([doi:10.1093/nar/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1042) [gkx1042](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1042))
- 31. R Core Team. 2016 R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 32. Viechtbauer W. 2010 Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor Package. J. Stat. Softw. 36, 1 – 48. [\(doi:10.18637/jss.v036.i03\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03)
- 33. Beath KJ. 2016 metaplus: an R package for the analysis of robust meta-analysis and metaregression. R J. 8, 5 – 16.
- 34. Vevea JL, Hedges LV. 1995 A general linear model for estimating effect size in the presence

of publication bias. Psychometrika 60, 419– 435. [\(doi:10.1007/BF02294384](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02294384))

- 35. Ridout KK, Ridout SJ, Price LH, Sen S, Tyrka AR. 2016 Depression and telomere length: a meta-analysis. J. Affect. Disord. 191, 237 - 247. [\(doi:10.1016/j.jad.2015.11.052\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.11.052)
- 36. Schutte NS, Malouff JM. 2015 The association between depression and leukocyte telomere length: a meta-analysis. Depress. Anxiety 32, 229– 238. [\(doi:10.1002/da.22351](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/da.22351))
- 37. Polho GB, De-Paula VJ, Cardillo G, dos Santos B, Kerr DS. 2015 Leukocyte telomere length in patients with schizophrenia: a meta-analysis. Schizophr. Res. 165, 195– 200. [\(doi:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.025) [schres.2015.04.025\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.025)
- 38. Rao S, Kota LN, Li Z, Yao Y, Tang J, Mao C, Jain S, Xu Y, Xu Q. 2016 Accelerated leukocyte telomere erosion in schizophrenia: evidence from the present study and a meta-analysis. J. Psychiatr. Res. 79, 50 – 56. [\(doi:10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.04.010) [jpsychires.2016.04.010\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.04.010)
- 39. Cohen J. 1988 Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd edn. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 40. Graakjaer J, Pascoe L, Der-Sarkissian H, Thomas G, Kolvraa S, Christensen K, Londoño-Vallejo JA. 2004 The relative lengths of individual telomeres are defined in the zygote and strictly maintained during life. Aging Cell 3, 97 - 102. [\(doi:10.1111/j.1474-9728.2004.00093.x\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9728.2004.00093.x)
- 41. Benetos A et al. 2013 Tracking and fixed ranking of leukocyte telomere length across the adult life course. Aging Cell 12, 615-621. [\(doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/acel.12086) [1111/acel.12086](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/acel.12086))
- 42. Aviv A, Valdes AM, Spector TD. 2006 Human telomere biology: pitfalls of moving from the laboratory to epidemiology. Int. J. Epidemiol. 35, 1424 – 1429. [\(doi:10.1093/ije/dyl169\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyl169)
- 43. Nettle D, Andrews CP, Reichert S, Bedford T, Kolenda C, Parker C, Martin-Ruiz C, Monaghan P, Bateson M. 2017 Early-life adversity accelerates biological ageing: experimental evidence from the European starling. Sci. Rep. 7, 40794. [\(doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004)
- 44. Boonekamp JJ, Mulder GA, Salomons HM, Dijkstra C, Verhulst S. 2014 Nestling telomere shortening, but not telomere length, reflects developmental stress and predicts survival in wild birds. Proc. R. Soc. B 281, 20133287. [\(doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.3287](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.3287))
- 45. Benetos A et al. 2017 Short leukocyte telomere length precedes clinical expression of atherosclerosis: blood-and-muscle model. Circ. Res.122, 616– 623. ([doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311751) [117.311751](http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.311751))
- 46. Epel ES, Blackburn EH, Lin J, Dhabhar FS, Adler NE, Morrow JD, Cawthon RM. 2004 Accelerated telomere shortening in response to life stress. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 101, 17 312-17 315. [\(doi:10.1073/pnas.0407162101\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0407162101)
- 47. Krishna BH, Shravya Keerthi G, Kiran Kumar C, Reddy NM. 2015 Association of leukocyte telomere length with oxidative stress in yoga practitioners. *J. Clin. Diagnostic Res.* **9**, $1 - 3$. [\(doi:10.7860/JCDR/2015/13076.5729](http://dx.doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2015/13076.5729))
- 48. Toupance S, Labat C, Temmar M, Rossignol P, Kimura M, Aviv A, Benetos A. 2017 Short telomeres, but not telomere attrition rates, are associated with carotid atherosclerosis.

Hypertension 70, 420 – 425. ([doi:10.1161/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09354) [HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09354\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.09354)

- 49. Verhulst S et al. 2016 A short leucocyte telomere length is associated with development of insulin resistance. Diabetologia 59, 1258-1265. [\(doi:10.1007/s00125-016-3915-6\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-3915-6)
- 50. Haycock PC, Burgess S, Nounu A. 2017 Association between telomere length and risk of cancer and non-neoplastic diseases: a Mendelian randomization study. JAMA Oncol. 3, 636– 651. ([doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.5945\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.5945)
- 51. Codd V et al. 2013 Identification of seven loci affecting mean telomere length and their association with disease. Nat. Genet. 45, 422– 427. [\(doi:10.1038/ng.2528\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.2528)
- 52. Robin JD, Ludlow AT, Batten K, Magdinier F, Stadler G, Wagner KR, Shay JW, Wright WE. 2014 Telomere position effect: regulation of gene expression with progressive telomere

shortening over long distances. Genes Dev. 28, 2464 – 2476. [\(doi:10.1101/gad.251041.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.251041.114) [114](http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.251041.114))

- 53. Shalev I, Moffitt TE, Sugden K, Williams B, Houts RM, Danese A, Mill J, Arseneault L, Caspi A. 2012 Exposure to violence during childhood is associated with telomere erosion from 5 to 10 years of age: a longitudinal study. Mol. Psychiatry 18, 576 – 581. ([doi:10.1038/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2012.32) [mp.2012.32](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2012.32))
- 54. Frenck RW, Blackburn EH, Shannon KM. 1998 The rate of telomere sequence loss in human leukocytes varies with age. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 95, 5607 – 5610. ([doi:10.1073/pnas.95.10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.10.5607) [5607](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.10.5607))
- 55. Zeichner SL, Palumbo P, Feng Y, Xiao X, Gee D, Sleasman J, Goodenow M, Biggar R, Dimitrov D. 1999 Rapid telomere shortening in children. Blood 93, 2824 – 2830.
- 56. Ridout KK, Levandowski M, Ridout SJ, Gantz L, Goonan K, Palermo D, Price LH, Tyrka AR. 2017 Early life adversity and telomere length: a meta-analysis. Mol. Psychiatry 23, 858. ([doi:10.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.26) [1038/mp.2017.26](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/mp.2017.26))
- 57. Martin-Ruiz CM et al. 2015 Reproducibility of telomere length assessment: an international collaborative study. Int. J. Epidemiol. 44, 1673 – 1683. [\(doi:10.1093/ije/dyu191](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyu191))
- 58. Verhulst S, Susser E, Factor-litvak PR, Simons MJP, Benetos A, Steenstrup T, Kark JD, Aviv A. 2016 Response to: reliability and validity of telomere length measurements.

Int. J. Epidemiol. 45, 1298 – 1301. ([doi:10.1093/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw194) [ije/dyw194](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw194))

59. Gardner M et al. 2014 Gender and telomere length: systematic review and meta-analysis. Exp. Gerontol. 51, 15– 27. [\(doi:10.1016/j.exger.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2013.12.004) [2013.12.004\)](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exger.2013.12.004)