What feedback do you have around the proposed board bylaw changes (2020-10-07) https://w.wiki/gfn

Add new sticky notes for the ... make brand new ... n add +1 stic... pport

+1

Don't repeat mistakes in how you bring things to the community!

missed opportunity to make community members the majority (vs exactly half)

+1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1

Community empowerment is missing: in design and in proposal

Better to handle this by itself.

too big for functioning decision making processes

# of trustees

Conflict with Global Council?

selecting trustees takes a _lot_ of Board time

Feedback deadlines

Rush to radical change arouses fears

Not a reasonable timeline for fundamental value changes (like representation -> diversity)

CEO and executive session

-1

We could get a better and more diverse pool of candidates by, say, electing 6 candidates at once, rather than 3.

Non-binding minimum requirements

a guide listing specific criteria

+1

Finding or identifying good candidates

Rubric

can we have BoT election before?

+1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1

Don't pre-empt movement strategy unless there is

The role of WMF and BoT will largley change when the global council is created. This needs to be taken into consideration.

Bylaw change process

Too many changes at once

Social Choice is complicated: principles >> process >> implementation >> Evaluation

+1

Intention of changes unclear

+1 +1 +1

Obviously unacceptable in its entirety

+1

Many of the changes are perhaps not desirable, but the present system is not that good either

Why? Let's identify what is improved. They mentioned breadth/coverage. What else?

Don't tie it to a specific person (e.g. have an "institutional memory seat")?

+1

Founder seat

-1 -1 -1

We should have 6 year limits

Term limits

-1 -1 -1 +1 -1

implies no more than that some be members of the community, not that the community has any voice in selecting

unclear

"Community sourced"

suspicious

+1 +1

Doesn't imply community selecting?

+1

maintain affiliate-elected seats

+1 +1

Role of affiliates

+1 +1

frustratingly non-specific

+1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1

+1

I see this as the most critical issue

really???

Community members as proportion of the board

+1 +1 +1

Regardless of current board intentions, proposed changes decouple governance from accou ntability to community

+1 +1 +1

Miscellaneous

Diversity goal isn't 100% compatible with community representation. Representation of current community != representation for a future movement where the community is geographically equally divided

+1