

BLM LIBRARY



88067773

# Inventory of Onshore Federal Oil and Natural Gas Resources and Restrictions to Their Development

2008

## Phase III Inventory— Onshore United States

TN  
271  
. P4  
S354  
2008b  
c. 2





BLM Library  
Denver Federal Center  
Bldg. 50, OC-521  
P.O. Box 25047  
Denver, CO 80225

#277041235

ID 88067773

TN  
271  
.p4  
§354  
2008b  
C2

# **Inventory of Onshore Federal Oil and Natural Gas Resources and Restrictions to Their Development 2008**

**Phase III Inventory – Onshore United States**

**Prepared by the  
U.S. Departments of the Interior,  
Agriculture, and Energy**



**In Compliance with the Energy Act of 2000, P.L. 106-469 §604,  
as Amended by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, P.L. 109-58 §364**



**BLM/WO/GI-03/002+3100/REV08**

**2008**

No warranty is made by the Bureau of Land Management as to the accuracy, reliability, or completeness of these data for individual use or aggregate use with other data, or for purposes not intended by the BLM. Spatial information may not meet National Map Accuracy Standards. This information may be updated without notification.

Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

**[www.blm.gov/epca](http://www.blm.gov/epca)**

**DVD-ROMs are available from the  
Bureau of Land Management**

Design and layout by the BLM National Operations Center,  
Information and Publishing Services Section.



# Authors and Contributors

Thomas S. Ahlbrandt, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Larry A. Anna, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Emil D. Attanasi, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Megan A. Billingsley, Enegis, LLC

Kenneth J. Bird, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

John Bown, DOI-Bureau of Land Management

Michael E. Brownfield, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Terry R. Bruns, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Ronald R. Charpentier, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Timothy S. Collett, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Steven M. Condon, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Troy A. Cook, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

\*Tracy Parker, USDA-Forest Service

Jesse M. Crews, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Robert A. Crovelli, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Gordon L. Dolton, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Melissa Dover, Premier Data Services, Inc.

Russell F. Dubiel, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Thaddeus S. Dyman, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Jeffrey Eppink, Enegis, LLC

Thomas M. Finn, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Romeo M. Flores, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

James E. Fox, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Christopher D. French, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Tim Gramms, Premier Data Services, Inc.

Steven G. Grape, DOE-Energy Information Administration

Veronica Guandique, Enegis, LLC

Joseph R. Hatch, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Mitchell E. Henry, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Robert D. Hettinger, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Debra Higley, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Sean Hlousek, Premier Data Services, Inc.

\*H. William Hochheiser, DOE-Office of Fossil Energy

David W. Houseknecht, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

A. Curtis Huffman, Jr., DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Bob Johnson, Premier Data Services

Edward A. Johnson, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Ronald C. Johnson, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Margaret A. Keller, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Joyce Kibler, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Robert F. King, DOE-Energy Information Administration

Mark A. Kirschbaum, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Timothy R. Klett, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Keith Lewis, Premier Data Services, Inc.

Paul G. Lillis, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Sam Limerick, Z, Inc.

Gary R. Long, DOE-Energy Information Administration

Xin (Lucy) Luo, Z, Inc.

Leslie B. Magoon, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey



Robert C. Milici, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Keith D. Moodhe, Enegis, LLC

Thomas E. Moore, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

\*David F. Morehouse, DOE-Energy Information Administration

Robert L. Morin, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Philip H. Nelson, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Vito F. Nuccio, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Mark J. Pawlewicz, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Jack Perrin, Z, Inc.

William J. Perry, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

James A. Peterson, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Robin Petrusak, Advanced Resources International, Inc.

Jeffery D. Phillips, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

\*Brenda Pierce, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Jennifer Pilkington, Premier Data Services, Inc.

Richard M. Pollastro, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Christopher J. Potter, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Cynthia A. Rice, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Patrick R. Rickles, Advanced Resources International, Inc.

Jennie L. Ridgley, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Laura N.R. Roberts, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Stephen B. Roberts, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Elisabeth L. Rowan, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Robert T. Ryder, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Richard W. Saltus, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Christopher J. Schenk, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

James W. Schmoker, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

John H. Schuenemeyer, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Dan Sheid, Premier Data Services, Inc.

Megan K. Simpson, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Richard G. Stanley, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Christopher S. Swezey, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Marilyn E. Tennyson, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Alison B. Till, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Sandra M. Troutman, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Zenon C. Valin, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

Robert Van Brunt, Enegis, LLC

Mahendra K. Verma, DOI-U.S. Geological Survey

\*\*Richard L. Watson, DOI-Bureau of Land Management

Floyd C. Wiesepape, DOE-Energy Information Administration

John H. Wood, DOE-Energy Information Administration

\*Interagency Steering Committee Member

\*\*Interagency Steering Committee Chairman



# Table of Contents

Executive Summary . . . . .	.xxv
The Mandate from Congress . . . . .	.xxv
Methodology . . . . .	.xxvii
Results . . . . .	.xxviii
Compliance with the Law . . . . .	.xxix

*The following report content can be found on the DVD.*

1.0 Introduction . . . . .	1
1.1 Background . . . . .	2
1.2 The EPCA as Amended by the EPAct 2005 . . . . .	4
1.3 The EPCA Phase I and Phase II Inventories. . . . .	5
1.4 The National Petroleum Council Report, 2003 . . . . .	5
1.5 Approach. . . . .	5
1.6 Roles of the Agencies . . . . .	6
1.7 Intended Use. . . . .	8
1.8 Products/Future Direction . . . . .	9
2.0 Methodology. . . . .	11
2.1 Procedures for Collecting and Preparing Land Status and Oil and Gas Access Constraints . . . . .	13
2.1.1 Federal Land Status . . . . .	13
2.1.1.1 Sources of Land Status Data. . . . .	13
2.1.1.2 Land Status Data Preparation . . . . .	13
2.1.1.3 Land Status Data—Related Caveats. . . . .	35
2.1.2 Federal Oil and Gas Availability for Leasing and Lease Stipulations . . . . .	36
2.1.2.1 Sources of Lease Stipulation Data. . . . .	36
2.1.2.2 Lease Stipulation Data Preparation . . . . .	41
2.1.2.3 Lease Stipulation Data—Related Caveats. . . . .	41
2.1.3 Federal Drilling Permit Conditions of Approval . . . . .	42
2.1.3.1 Sources of Conditions of Approval Data . . . . .	42
2.1.3.2 Conditions of Approval Data Preparatio. . . . .	43
2.1.3.3 Conditions of Approval Data—Related Caveats . . . . .	44
2.1.4 Extrapolation of Federal Lands and Resources Outside Detailed Study Areas . . . . .	44
2.2 Procedures for Collecting and Preparing Oil and Gas Resource, Reserves Growth, and Reserves Data . . . . .	44
2.2.1 Undiscovered Oil and Gas Resources . . . . .	44
2.2.1.1 Sources of Oil and Gas Resources Data . . . . .	44
2.2.1.2 Oil and Gas Resource Data Preparation . . . . .	46
2.2.1.3 Oil and Gas Resource Data—Related Caveats . . . . .	56
2.2.2 Proved Ultimate Recovery Growth (“Reserves Growth”). . . . .	57
2.2.2.1 Sources of Remaining Proved Ultimate Recovery Data. . . . .	59



## Table of Contents

2.2.2.2	Remaining Proved Ultimate Recovery Data Preparation . . . . .	59
2.2.2.3	Remaining Proved Ultimate Recovery Estimate Data— Related Caveats . . . . .	60
2.2.3	Oil and Natural Gas Resource Maps. . . . .	62
2.2.4	Proved Reserves . . . . .	105
2.2.4.1	Sources of Proved Oil and Gas Reserves Data . . . . .	105
2.2.4.2	Proved Oil and Gas Reserves Data Preparation . . . . .	105
2.2.4.3	Proved Reserves Data—Related Caveats . . . . .	105
2.3	Data Integration and Spatial Analysis . . . . .	109
2.3.1	Categorization of Oil and Gas Access Constraints . . . . .	109
2.3.1.1	Data Integration and Spatial Analysis-Related Caveats . . . . .	112
2.3.2	Analytical Modeling of Federal Lands and Resources . . . . .	112
3.0	Results . . . . .	113
3.1	Study Area Features . . . . .	113
3.1.1	Northern Alaska . . . . .	113
3.1.2	Central Alaska – Yukon Flats . . . . .	123
3.1.3	Southern Alaska . . . . .	123
3.1.4	Eastern Oregon-Washington . . . . .	123
3.1.5	Ventura Basin . . . . .	136
3.1.6	Eastern Great Basin . . . . .	136
3.1.7	Uinta-Piceance Basin . . . . .	136
3.1.8	Paradox Basin . . . . .	155
3.1.9	San Juan Basin . . . . .	155
3.1.10	Montana Thrust Belt . . . . .	155
3.1.11	Williston Basin. . . . .	174
3.1.12	Powder River Basin . . . . .	174
3.1.13	Wyoming Thrust Belt . . . . .	187
3.1.14	South Western Wyoming. . . . .	187
3.1.15	Denver Basin. . . . .	187
3.1.16	Florida Peninsula . . . . .	206
3.1.17	Black Warrior Basin . . . . .	206
3.1.18	Appalachian Basin . . . . .	225
3.1.19	Extrapolated Results for Alaska . . . . .	225
3.1.20	Extrapolated Results for the Western Region . . . . .	225
3.1.21	Extrapolated Results for the Eastern Region . . . . .	235
3.2	Regional Features . . . . .	235
4.0	Additional Federal Land Access Issues . . . . .	245
4.1	Issues Directly Impacting Access . . . . .	245
4.2	Issues Indirectly Impacting Access . . . . .	272



## Appendices

Appendix 1	Acronyms and Abbreviations . . . . .	.275
Appendix 2	Glossary of Terms . . . . .	.279
Appendix 3	Federal Land Status Preparation. . . . .	.291
Appendix 4	Federal Oil and Gas Lease Stipulation Data Preparation . . . . .	.299
Appendix 5	APD Conditions of Approval Data Preparation . . . . .	.307
Appendix 6	U.S. Geological Survey Methodology for the Assessment of Undiscovered Oil and Gas Resources . . . . .	.319
Appendix 7	Initial Estimates of Remaining Proved Ultimate Recovery Growth. . . . .	.329
Appendix 8	Proved Reserves Estimation and Field Boundary Construction. . . . .	.341
Appendix 9	GIS Methodology . . . . .	.371
Appendix 10	Federal Land Use Planning Documents Used in the Phase III Inventory . . . . .	.389
Appendix 11	Federal Oil and Gas Surface Management Prescriptions - Available on the DVD-ROM and the Website ( <a href="http://www.blm.gov/epca">http://www.blm.gov/epca</a> )	







# Executive Summary

## The Mandate From Congress

In November 2000, Congress enacted the Energy Act of 2000, as amended (also referred to as the Energy Policy and Conservation Act [EPCA]). The Act directed the Secretary of the Interior, in consultation with the Secretaries of Agriculture and Energy, to conduct an inventory of oil and natural gas resources beneath onshore Federal lands:<sup>1</sup>

The inventory shall identify:

- 1) the United States Geological Survey estimates of oil and gas resources underlying these lands;
- 2) the extent and nature of any restrictions or impediments to the development of the resources, including:
  - (A) impediments to the timely granting of leases;
  - (B) post-lease restrictions, impediments, or delays on development for conditions of approval, applications for permits to drill, or processing of environmental permits . . . .

The EPCA marked the first time that Congress asked the Department of the Interior to conduct a study of restrictions.

On October 11, 2001, Congress provided its sense of priority for this study:  
. . . in light of recent attacks on the United States that have underscored the potential

---

<sup>1</sup> Federal lands are defined as not including Indian lands.

for disruptions to America's energy supply, the managers believe this project should be considered a top priority for the Department.

In August 2005, Congress enacted the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (EPAAct 2005). Section 364 of this Act amends the inventory requirements of EPCA.<sup>2</sup>

This EPCA Phase III Inventory (Inventory) includes, for the first time, the entire onshore United States. This release is composed of a detailed review of Federal oil and gas resources and constraints on their development within 18 geological provinces. In addition, the rest of the country was extrapolated from the results of these provinces studied in detail (Figure ES-1).

For the Federal agencies that manage public land (principally the Department of the Interior's Bureau of Land Management [BLM] and the United States Department of Agriculture-Forest Service [FS]) and the citizens they serve, this Inventory will serve primarily as a planning tool. It provides public land managers with additional information to help them develop management plans for the lands under their jurisdiction. It enables them to identify areas of high oil and natural gas potential and to evaluate the effectiveness of mitigating stipulations and conditions of approval (COAs) while balancing the development with the protection of other valuable resources in the area. The Inventory offers additional information for

---

<sup>2</sup> EPAAct 2005 amends the inventory requirements at 42 USC 6217. The updates have been reflected in the text of this document.






Figure ES-1. Study Area Locations



resource managers to identify areas of low oil and gas potential, but high potential for other resource (e.g., wildlife habitat) values or uses (e.g., recreation). In these situations, resource managers and oil and gas operators can consider applying land management strategies that promote increased protection of other valuable resources or uses that might ordinarily conflict with oil or gas development. This report is a critical step in evaluating whether the documented impediments and restrictions are appropriate, and to what extent they constrain oil and gas development.

This Inventory provides information regarding the geographical relationship between oil and gas resources and the constraints that govern their development. It is not a reassessment of any stipulations or COAs on the development of oil and gas resources. The public's opportunity to participate in any change of restrictions on oil and gas activities will occur during the land use planning or legislative process. This Inventory provides basic information. Additional information may be available from monitoring and scientific studies incorporated into adaptive management processes.

This Inventory was prepared under the lead of the BLM. Senior professionals from the Department of the Interior's BLM and United States Geological Survey (USGS), the FS; the Department of Energy (DOE)-Office of Fossil Energy, and the Energy Information Administration (EIA) were the major contributors. The USGS provided the assessment of undiscovered technically recoverable oil and natural gas resources for Federal lands. The EIA contributed the estimate of reserves growth and proved reserves for Federal lands. The DOE provided technical expertise to guide

the design and analysis process for the Inventory. Field offices of the BLM and the FS contributed their land use planning information regarding oil and natural gas availability and leasing stipulations for the lands under their respective jurisdictions.

## Methodology

This Inventory is based on information that was previously developed through the scientific and land use planning processes of the contributing Federal agencies. This information, in large part, was provided to the public for its review and use and is the best that is commercially and scientifically available. It was compiled and analyzed by experts from the contributing agencies. The analytical methods and protocols used in the supporting studies were subjected to rigorous review. The present study necessarily incorporates the assumptions, conditions, and limitations of the supporting scientific information, as discussed in this report. This Inventory is significant because it builds upon the process established in the EPCA Phase I and II Inventories, and now covers Federal lands throughout the United States. It examines oil and natural gas (undiscovered technically recoverable resources and reserves growth) in context with information about constraints on the resource's development.

The Inventory examines in detail six geological provinces in addition to the twelve included in the Phase II of EPCA. These six provinces are Central Alaska (Yukon Flats portion); Southern Alaska; Eastern Oregon-Washington; the Ventura Basin in California; the Eastern Great Basin in Idaho, Nevada, Utah and Arizona; and the Williston Basin in Montana, North Dakota and South Dakota.



The Inventory encompasses the 1.2 billion acres of land that the USGS inventoried as a part of its National Oil and Gas Assessment (NOGA), of which about 279 million are under Federal management. This acreage includes split-estate lands where lands with non-Federal surface are underlain by Federal mineral rights.

This analysis of constraints to development centers on two factors that affect access to oil and gas resources on Federal lands. These factors are: (1) whether the lands are “open” or “closed” to leasing (i.e., accessible or inaccessible), and (2) the degree of access afforded by lease stipulations and other conditions on “open” lands (some leasable lands may in effect be “closed” if no drilling can occur). All oil and gas leases are subject to a baseline level of constraint governed by statutory and regulatory requirements (standard lease terms<sup>3</sup>). These stipulations serve many purposes, ranging from the protection of environmental, social, historical, or cultural resources or values to the payment of rentals and royalties.

The Inventory finds that approximately 3,125 individual lease stipulations are being applied, in addition to the aforementioned standard lease terms, by the land managing agencies in the areas analyzed in detail. To focus the analysis of constraints on oil and gas development, the Inventory evaluates the onshore Federal lands: (1) where leasing is permitted under standard lease terms; (2) where leasing is permitted with varying limitations on access, principally seasonal occupancy restrictions; and (3) where oil and gas leasing is precluded or prohibited.

---

<sup>3</sup> See the “LEASE TERMS” section of the BLM form 3100-11 at [http://www.blm.gov/style/medialib/blm/wy/minerals/og/ogforms.Par.9931.File.dat/Form\\_3100-11.pdf](http://www.blm.gov/style/medialib/blm/wy/minerals/og/ogforms.Par.9931.File.dat/Form_3100-11.pdf)

The Inventory also considers exceptions to stipulations that may be granted after a review of on-the-ground conditions and the use of modern technologies such as directional drilling. The impact of COAs attached to Federal drilling permits is also analyzed, which gives a more complete assessment of access constraints. A total of 157 unique COAs were identified and their effects on development evaluated. The nine categories of constraints analyzed in this report include the complete range of access restrictions associated with oil and gas leasing.

## Results

The results of this Inventory are unique for each of the eighteen comprehensively studied areas examined. The aggregate results for all of the study areas and extrapolated areas (Table ES-1, Figure ES-2, and Figure ES-3) are summarized below.

- Federal lands with potential for oil or natural gas resources, including split-estate minerals, total 279.0 million acres.
- Undeveloped oil resources under these Federal lands total 30.5 billion barrels, comprising 24.2 billion barrels of undiscovered technically recoverable resources and 6.3 billion barrels of reserves growth.
- Undeveloped gas resources under these Federal lands total 231.0 trillion cubic feet, comprising 214.1 trillion cubic feet of undiscovered technically recoverable resources and 16.9 trillion cubic feet of reserves growth.
- Total proved reserves under these Federal lands total 5.3 billion barrels of oil and 68.8 trillion cubic feet of natural gas.
- Approximately 60 percent (165.9 million acres) of the Federal land



is inaccessible. Based on resource estimates, these lands contain about 62 percent of the oil (19.0 billion barrels) and 41 percent of the natural gas (94.5 trillion cubic feet).

- Approximately 23 percent (65.2 million acres) of the Federal land is accessible with restrictions on oil and gas operations beyond standard stipulations. Based on resource estimates, these lands contain 30 percent of the oil (9.3 billion barrels) and 49 percent of the gas (112.9 trillion cubic feet).
- Approximately 17 percent of the Federal land in these areas (48.0 million acres) is accessible under standard lease terms. Based on resource estimates, these lands contain 8 percent of the oil (2.3 billion barrels) and 10 percent of the gas (23.6 trillion cubic feet).

Overall the study shows that oil and gas resources are most concentrated in Northern Alaska and the Interior West. Figure ES-4 summarizes the accessibility of these resources on a quadrillion British thermal unit (quad) basis<sup>4</sup>.

## Compliance With The Law

All oil and gas leases on Federal lands, including those issued with only the standard lease terms, are subject to full compliance with all environmental laws and regulations. These laws include, but are not limited to, the National Environmental Policy Act, Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act, Endangered Species Act, and National Historic Preservation Act. While compliance with these laws may delay, modify, or prohibit oil and gas activities, these laws represent the values and bounds Congress believes appropriate to manage Federal lands. The present study was requested by Congress to provide information to deliberate on the role of Federal lands in contributing to the U.S. energy supply.

It is important to emphasize that this Inventory was prepared at the direction of Congress. It is not a decision-making document. The Inventory identifies Federal land areas of varying oil and natural gas potential and the nature of constraints to the development of those resources across the U.S. Any reassessment of restrictions on oil and gas activities will occur as part of the public land use planning or legislative processes, both of which are fully open to public participation and debate about the appropriate balance between resource protection and resource development.

<sup>4</sup> One quad BTU is equivalent to 0.9756 TCF or 172.4 MMBO.



**Table ES-1. Onshore United States—Total Federal Land and Oil and Natural Gas Resources by Access Category**

Access Category			Area		Resources <sup>a</sup>			
					Total Oil <sup>b</sup>		Total Gas <sup>c</sup>	
			(acres x 1000)	Percent of Federal	(MMbbls) <sup>d</sup>	Percent of Federal	(BCF) <sup>e</sup>	Percent of Federal
More Constrained ↑ Less Constrained	1.	No Leasing (Statutory/ Executive Order) (NLS)	39,945	14.3%	9,054	29.7%	19,449	8.4%
	2.	No Leasing (Administrative) (NLA)	50,414	18.1%	2,461	8.1%	16,618	7.2%
	3.	No Leasing (Administrative) Pending Land Use Planning or NEPA Compliance (NLA/LUP)	55,278	19.8%	6,684	21.9%	49,814	21.6%
	4.	Leasing, No Surface Occupancy (NSO) (Net NSO for O&G Resources)	20,245	7.3%	777	2.5%	8,621	3.7%
	5.	Leasing, Cumulative Timing Limitations (TLs) of >9 Months	283	0.1%	32	0.1%	430	0.2%
	6.	Leasing, Cumulative Timing Limitations (TLs) of >6 to ≤9 Months	11,883	4.3%	5,198	17.0%	40,021	17.3%
	7.	Leasing, Cumulative Timing Limitations (TLs) of >3 to ≤6 Months	18,389	6.6%	1,799	5.9%	35,751	15.5%
	8.	Leasing, Controlled Surface Use (CSU) <sup>f</sup>	34,631	12.4%	2,231	7.3%	36,716	15.9%
	9.	Leasing, Standard Lease Terms (SLTs)	47,972	17.2%	2,268	7.5%	23,554	10.2%
<b>Total, Federal Lands including Split Estate</b>			<b>279,039</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>30,503</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>230,975</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Total Non-Federal</b>			<b>936,414</b>		<b>58,056</b>		<b>423,282</b>	
<b>Total Inventory Area</b>			<b>1,215,453</b>		<b>88,560</b>		<b>654,256</b>	
<b>Summary</b>								
Inaccessible (Categories 1-4)			165,882	60%	18,976	62%	94,502	41%
Accessible with Restrictions (Categories 5-8)			65,186	23%	9,260	30%	112,919	49%
Accessible under Standard Lease Terms (Category 9)			47,972	17%	2,268	8%	23,554	10%
<b>Total, Federal Lands Including Split Estate</b>			<b>279,039</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>30,503</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>230,975</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>a</sup> Undiscovered technically recoverable resources and reserves growth

Small rounding errors may be present.

<sup>b</sup> Including oil, natural gas liquids (NGLs) and liquids associated with natural gas reservoirs


<sup>c</sup> Including associated dissolved and nonassociated natural gas

<sup>d</sup> Million barrels

<sup>e</sup> Billion cubic feet

<sup>f</sup> Includes Cumulative Timing Limitations of ≤3 months









*Figure ES-2. Simplified Chart of Results; Onshore United States—Total Federal Land and Oil and Natural Gas Resources\* by Accessibility*

\* Undiscovered technically recoverable resources and reserves growth.





**Figure ES-3. Chart of Results; Onshore United States—Total Federal Land and Oil and Natural Gas Resources\* by Access Category**

\* Undiscovered technically recoverable resources and reserves growth.






Figure ES-4. Regional Charts











**BLM Library**  
**Denver Federal Center**  
**Bldg. 50, OC-521**  
**P.O. Box 25047**  
**Denver, CO 80225**







