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## THE

## APPROBATION

0 F

## IJaac Bickerfaff, Efq;

THE following Treatise being fubmitted to my Cenfure ; that I may pars it with integrity, I muft declare, That as Grammar in general is on all hands allow'd the Foundation of All Arts and Sciences, fo it appears to me, that This Grammar of the English Tongue has done that Juftice to our Language, which, 'till now, it never obtained. The Text will improve the noof ignorant, and the Notes will employ the moft learned. I therefore enjoin all my Female Correfpondents to Buy, Read, and Study this Grammar, that their Letters may be fomething lefs Ænigmatic: And on all my Male Correfpondents likewife, who make no Confcience of Falfe Spelling and Falfe Englifb, I lay the fame Injunction, on Pain of having their Epijfles expos'd in their own proper Drefs, in my Lucubrations.

## Ifaac Bickerfaff, Cenfor.

## TO THE

## $\mathbf{Q} \quad \mathbf{U} \quad \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{N}^{\prime s}$

## Moft Excellent Majefly.

Madam,
 vereign of all thofe People who fpeak the Language for which the following Grammar is made, This Performance doth naturally claim Your Majest y's Protection.

A Grammar of the French Language was the Firft Labour of that Learned Body the French Academy, That being the Foundation of all Writing: And as Your Majesty's A Arms

## Dedication.

Arms have been Superior to thofe of France, fo we hope that, by Your Royal Influence, You will give the fame Superiority to Our Arts and Sciences, which are All built on This that is now Prefented to Your Sacred Majesty, by
MADAM,

Your Majefty's moft Obedient and Dutiful Subjects,

The Authors.

## ( $\frac{1}{2}$ (c)

## T H E

P R E F A C E.
 HE Publication and Succefs of the Firlt Edition of this Grammar, we find, firrr'd up the Emulationz of Two Gentlemen to give the Town their Performances in this kind: The firft is call'd, An Effay towards a Practical Englifh Grammar; the laft had the emphatic Title of THE Englifh Grammar ; or, An Eflay on the Art of Grammar apply'd to, and exemplified in, the Englifh Tongue. We were in bopes that Trwo fuch Gentlemen of Letters, whofe Time bad been derocted to the Inftruction of others in the Latin and Greek Grammar, would make fome furtber Progrefs in, and furni乃s better Helps, and more eafy Metiods to, the Englih Student in bis Motber Tongue, than we who never had emsloy'd our Time in that Way. Had we found what we expected in troim, reve fould not bave given ourgelves any farther Trouble of Revifing our own for a Second Impreflion; Satisfy'd with the Honour of opening a Way for fuch glorious Improvements. But we are apt to believes, that the very Qualification, from which we expected a more excellent Production, rwas the Caufe of the little Progrefs they made in a Difcovery thai bad so fairiy been laid before them by Dr. Wallis and Ourfelves: For Cuflom has fo firong a Force on the Mind, that it paffes with the Bulk of Mankind for Reafon and Sacred Truth. The Irifh thought themfelves opprefs'd by the Law that forbid them to draw with their. Hores " ails, and that becaufe their Anceftors had known no bctter Way of doing it: And Perfons rwbo bave not only been Educated themfelves, but have bred up others in a particular Method, muft have a great Brightnefs of Soul to difcover its Errors and forfake thenn.

The frit Effayift bas indeed, partly quitied the old Track, but could not prevail with bimfelf to quit it intirely. The fecond is so far from parting with a Tittle of the old Greek and Latin Terms that be pours in a new Poffe upon us. The firtt is so full of Ob -
fourity and Confufion, for wwant of Methood, that bis Book can be of little Ufe to the Inflruction of the Ignorant; and the latter bas fo little Regard to the Englifh Tongue, that in the Title of bis Book be is guilty of an evident Minnomer, it being no more an Englifh Grammar, than a Chinefe.

That the firft Effayift has no Method, is plain from bis very Divifion of Grammar; for baving divided Grammar into four Parts, yet the Parts of Speech (which be unneceflarily makes eight, after the old Way) are plac'd under no one Head of that Divifion; which is Orthography, Profody, Etymology, Syntax. 'Tis confefs'd that the Autbor migbt bave Jbelter'd the Parts of Speech under Etymology, in a Senfe, which many Grammarians bave given it, but he bas cut bimfelf off from that Refuge; for giving the firtt Chapter of bis lecond Part (when be difpatcb'd all bis Doctrine of Words) the Title of Etymology, by way of Diftinction, it is plain, be underflood it in the Sense of Derivation in the Divifion, or he bed forgot the Members of bis Divifion: Both which Ways muft of Niccllity produce Ob curity and Confufion.

In the nixt Place, this Eflayif bas thrown that Part of bis. Dirifion lafi in bis Book, webich in Ufe, in Nature, nay, and in bis own Pofition, ought to be firgt: For the Doatrine of Letters is througbly to be known before we proceed to Words. But the Conduct of this Author in this particular, being contrary to the Order and Method of Nature, nay, contrary to bis orvn Di/pofition of the Parts in the Divifionsitelf, muf necefarily produce Confufion and $O b f$ curity.

Thirdly, He intirely rejects Profody, tho' voluntarily made the fecond Member of bis orwn Divifion. Now, this Divifion rvas necefiary, or it was not; if it was neceffary, it ougbt all-along to bave been obferved; if not, it ought never to bavi been made.

Fourthly, For want of Method, Jeviral Parts of Speech are jumbled promiccuouly together, the Doctrine of which ought to barse becn more difinct, for Diftinction is a great belp to Porppicuity; rvithout whbich, the Knowledge rwbich rve would convey, muft be very defective and obfcure. But this Author bas not been fatisfy'd to join the Confideration of thofe Parts of Specch, which in regard of this Nature and Ordur ought to bavo been Separate, but fortters the Syntax, or Conftruction of our Language, through bis Dificurre of Words; tho the Dostrine of Wrords, Jeparatcly confder'd, and in a Sentence, are Things difinct enough.

Vr'e muft indced confefs, that we believe, that Dr. Wallis miflea bim in this particular, as be did us in our Firft Edition, neither of us confidering that the learned Doctor wurote to Men clready frill'd in the Latin Grammar, and therefore bad no need of treating them as fuch as were intirely ignorent of Grammar.

Fifthly,

## PREFACE.

Fifthly, By affecting the old Terms, and the old faulty Divifion of the Parts of Speech, be bas multiplyd Words many times in long, and oftner in dark Explanations of them by Latin W'ords, which being entirely unknown to the Learncr, can only puzzle (inot inflruct ) bim. This Multiplicity of Words is again increas'd, by repeating, at the End of every Cbapter, its Contents by way of ${ }^{\text {Sutiffion }}$ and Anfiver; by that means beaping a double, though ufilels Load, on the weak Niemory of the young Learncr.

Sixthly, The Rules are not fufficiently difing ui/b'd, which gives the Learner a Difficulty in chufing what to commit to bis Memory, and rubat not. For thefe and many otber Reafons, ree could not think this Effay towards a Practical Englifh Grammar Jufficient to diter us from endeavouring to correet the Errors of our Firft Impreffion, and from giving the World an Edition more uf: ful and more ferfect.

But if the firft Efiayift leave us so much rocm for Hopes of making a much forther. Progrefs in this Work than the World has yet feen, the Effay on Grammar very much enilarges thofe Hopes, fince in this rwe find not Jo mucb as any. Aim at a Grammar pecrliar to our own Language, the Author being content to ripcat the fif Jame Things the folf-fame Way, as all thrle bave done, who bare cndeaveur'd to force our Tongue in every Thing to the Method and Form of the Latin and Greek. For his Execution is fo contrary to the DeJign be feems in one Part of his Preface to trotofe, that by Writing in Englifh, be only makes the Tali, the more dificult, fince to underftand his Terms, the Reader muft underfiand Greek; wherecs in the ordinary Way of learning that Language, the Student is Jupios'd to bave a competent Kinowledge of the Latin before be ctproaches the Greek Grammar. To folve this, be tells uss in the Preface, that every Man, Woman, and Cbild, ought to fudy the learned Languages, as incapable, rvithout tbem, to underfland the Terms made afe of in Several Profelfions: Not conjadering, that by this be requires an Impolability, fince much the greater Part of Mankind can by no means Spare 10 or 11 Years of their Lives in learning thofe dilad Languages, to arrive at a perfict Knowvlidge of thirir orun.

But by this Gentleman's way of Arguing, we ought not only to be Mafters of Latin and Greek, but of Spanim, Italian, High Dutch, Low-Dutch, French, the Old Saxon, Welh, Runic, Gothic, and Iflandic; fince much the greater numbir of Words of common and general Uje are derived from tbofe Tongues. Nay, by the fame rvay of Reafoning we may prove, that the Romans and Greeks did nit underftand their orwn Tongues, becaufe they were not acquainted with the Welh, or ancient Celtic, ibsere being above 620 radical Greek Words deriv'd from the Celtic, and of the Latin a much greater Number.

With much better Reafon the former Effayift feems to require fime Skill in the Old Saxon, whence 'tis allow'd on all bands, the Body of our 'T ingue is really deriv'd. But we cannot agree with that Author, even fo far as that, becaufe the very Nature and Genius of our Language is almoft entirely alter'd fince that Speech was difus'd; and fince the Meaning of W'ords is (except in fome very ferw Cafis) to be fought from the Ufage of our own, and not that of former Times. 'ibe Saxons, for Example, (if we may credit Dr. Hickes) bad various Terminations to their Words, at leaft Two in every Subftantive Singular; whereas we bave no Word now in Ufe, except the Perfonal Names, that has fo. Thus Dr. Hickes has made Six feverral Declenfions of the Saxon Names, but ours have not fo much as one. He gives them three Numbers; a Singular, Dual and Plural: We bave no Dual Number, except perbips in Both. To make this plainer, we Joall tranfcribe the Six Declenfions from the Antiquary's Grammar.

The firft Declenfion, which mekes the Genitive Cafe in es, and the Dative in e, the Nominative in as, the Genitive Plural in a, and the Dative in um; as,


The Second Declenfion is of Names, rwhofe Singular Number is a in the Nominative, their Genitive, Dative, Accufative, and Ablative in an, the Nominative Plural in an, Genitive in a, Dative in um; as Witega, a Prophet.
Singul.
\(\left\{\begin{array}{l}Nom. Witega <br>
Gen. Witegan <br>
Dat. Witegan. <br>
Acc. Witegan. <br>
Voc. Eala thu Witega <br>

Abl. Witegan.\end{array}\right\}\) Plural. | . |
| :--- |\(\left\{\begin{array}{l}Witegan <br>

Witegena <br>
Witegum <br>
Witegan <br>
Eala ge Witegan <br>
Witegum.\end{array}\right.\)

The third Declenfion agrees with the firf, only the Nominative Plural ends in $u$; as Andgit, the Senfe.

$$
\text { Singul. }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Nom. Andgit } \\
\text { Gen. Andgites } \\
\text { Dat. Andgite } \\
\text { Acc. Andgit } \\
\text { Voc. Eala thu Andgit } \\
\text { Abl. Andgite. }
\end{array}\right\} \text { Plural. }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Andgitu } \\
\text { Andgita } \\
\text { Andgitum } \\
\text { Andgitu } \\
\text { Eala ge Andgitu } \\
\text { Andgitum. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The fourth Declenfion bas the fame Variations as the firft, except that the Nominative Plural is the fame as the Nominative Singular; as, Word.

## Singul. $\{$ Dat. Worde <br> Acc. Word <br> Voc. Eala thu Word <br> Abl. Worde.

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. Word } \\ \text { Gen. Wordes } \\ \text { Dat. Worde } \\ \text { Acc. Word } \\ \text { Voc. Eala thu Word } \\ \text { Abl. Worde. }\end{array}\right\}$ Plural. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Word } \\ \text { Worda } \\ \text { Wordum } \\ \text { Word } \\ \text { Eala ge Word } \\ \text { Wordum. }\end{array}\right.$

The fifth Declenfion agrees with the fir $\rho$, except that the Ge nitive Singular ends in e , and the Nominative Plural in a; as in Wiln, a Maid.
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Nom. Wiln } \\ \text { Gen. Wilne } \\ \text { Dat. Wilne } \\ \text { Acc. Wiln } \\ \text { Voc. Eala thu Wiln } \\ \text { Abl. Wilne. }\end{array}\right\}$ Plural. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Wilna } \\ \text { Wina } \\ \text { Wilnum } \\ \text { Wilna } \\ \text { Eala ge Wilna } \\ \text { Wilnum. }\end{array}\right.$

The fixtb Declenfion bas its Nominative Singular in $u$, its Genitive in a, Dative, Accufative, Vocative in $u$; and the Plural Cafes all form'd like thofe of the ffith; as Sunu, a Son.
Singul.
viii
We might give you various Inflances more of the effential Difference betrveen the old Saxon and modern Englifh Tongue, but thefe muift fat isfy any reafonable Man, that it is jo great, that the Saxon can be no Rule to us; and that to underfland owirs, there is no need of knowving the Saxon. And tho' Dr. Hickes muft be allow'd to bave been a very curious Enquirer into thofe Obfolite Tongues, now out of Ufi, and containing not bing valuable, jit it docs by no means follow (as is plain from what bas bien faid) that we are oblig'd to derive the Senfe, Conffruction, or Naturc of our prefent Language from bis $D_{i}$ coveries. But it is the prefont Tongue that is the only Objcet of our Confideration, as it matters not to the undirfanding of thet, whether we know that Kine is deriv'd from Cowin, or Swine from Sowin. Time indeed bas an entire Dominion over W'ords, as zeell as over all other Productions of Humen Kind. Tbus in our Language, as rvell as in all others, Words bave extreamly vvary'd from their Original Significations. Thus Knave fignify'd oriyinally no more than a Servant, Villain, a Country Steward, or Villagor: Yit, I fear, if you foould call a Man Knave, or Villain, it rivould not much apprafe bis Cboler, to tcll bim, that thefe Words (fome L.lundreds of Years fince) had a very barmlefs Signification.

Our proper Diffon, therefore, is to convcy a Grammatical KnowuIedge of the Langzage wee now, Speak, from whatever Springs and Sources defcending down to us, in the moft eafy, familiar, and compendiovis Methed that rve could polibly find out. Nor could we by any means be diverted from this generous Aim, by any poor Ambition of Seeming אill'd in the Foreign Terms of the old Grammarians; and tho' we have not rijccid them out of Cont:mpt of Learning (as they coil it) or of the Languages from which they are deriv'd, yet rve could much lefs refolve to facrifice the Eafe of our Learner to a Cuflom fo iniurious to the gerreral Progrefs of thofe, rwho are defirous to knows the Grammar of their crun Mother. Tongue only.

To this Ena', we bave been at fome fains to put all the Rules into as fimooth and fonorous Verfe as the Nature of the Suljeet would bear; and we bope, that this bas been far from giving any Ob furity to the Senfe; but to give than the greater Light, under cacheve bave add:d an Explonation in Profe, according to the Way taken by that learned Iffuit Alvarus, in bis Grammar, which is not only ufcd in almoof all the Schools of Europe, except England, but commended by Schioppias, as the beft practical Grammar of the Latin Tongue. It could not be avoided, but that fome of them muft run lefs barmotioully than the reft, but weve believe the Number of them is not great. We bave never met with any folid Objection againft this Way (exsept fome People's Inability to do the like) becculfe, indeed, its Exichlence is in the sery Nature of the Thing. For Verfe is far more
eafily learnt, and better retain'd, than Profe; and Englifh Verfe, by Reafon of the Rbimes, yields a greater Avifance to the Learner than Latin Verfe, one End of a Verfe recalling the other. An Auuthor of good Reputation confirms our Opinion in thefe Words: All Men paid great Refpect to the Poets, who gave them fo delightful an Entertainment. The Wifer Sort took this Opportunity of Civilizing the reft, by putting all their Theological, and Philofophical Inftructions into Verfe, rubich being learnt rwith pleafure, and retain'd with Enfe, heip'd to heighten and preferve the Veneration already, upon other Scores, paid to the Pocts.

By this means the Cbild, or Learner will be oblig'd to burthen bis Memory with no more than is abfolutely nect.fary to the Kinowledge of the Art be fudies.

Nothing being more neceflary to acquire a clear Knowledge of any Thing, than a clear Method, we bave taken a peculior Care in this Edition to obfcrue all the Rules of Method. We begin with wbat is firft to be learnt, that what follows may be underfiood; and proceed thus Step by Step, till we come to the lafi and mof difficult, and wobich depends on all that goes before it. We bave reducid. the Terms, rubich are plain and obvious, into as Jmall a Number as rwas confiftent with Perpicuity and Difinction; for our End being the teaching only the prefent Englih Tongue, rwe had no Regard to any Term whbatfoever, which bad not an immediate Regard to that: By this means we belierve we may fay, That we bave deliver'd the Learner from fome Scores of bard Words, impos'd in other Grammars.

The Text is rubat is only meant to be taugbt in the Schools; and in that, we hope, no Teacher of any tolerable Capacity, will find any Difficulty, that may not be furmounted by a very little Application. The Notes bave been pleafantly mifiaken, by a Man that Bould barve known better Things, for fuch Commentaries as the Dutch Authors have put to moft of the Claffics, i. e, an Explanation of obfcure Places, difficult Expreflions, bard Words or various Readings; rehereas thefe Notes confift of more difficult Enquiries into Grammar in general ; or fometimes contain a Defonce of Particulars in the Text, and at other Times Sorw the Anclogy betrveen the Grammar of the Englih, and that of the Latin Tongne: All wobich muft be of grcat Ufe to Men or Women of Judgment and Learning, but are not to te taught the yourg Beginner, rubole Head cannot be suppos'd frong cnough for Difquifitions of that kind.

Having taken thefe Precautions in the Grammar, we thought ourfelves obliged to pur fue them through the refi of the Arts contain'd in this Volume; in rebich rve have bad a peculiar Regard to the Trutb of each, without any Re/fict to Juch Books as bave been too long in the Polefion of the Schools. Poetry, Rhetoric, and Logic
bave Eurup, in the Latin T'ongue: It was, therefore, neceffary to our Dijgn of accomplifbing our Englihh Scholar, that be ßould lofe no Advantage which thofe enjoy, who make their firft Court to the dead Languages. Nay, wo may without Vanity fay, that no Publick School in Europe bas any Courfe of Poetry equal to what we give bere. We bawe fien all that bave been taught, and not one of them proceeds any farther, than the Art of Verfifying, ly teaching the feweral Quantities of Words, and rwbat each fort of Verfe requiris. But this is the Art of making Poetafters, not Yoets; of giving a Tafle of Numbers, but not of the fublimer Bcauties of the Authors thiy read, which are of the firf Magnilude; by which means we often find, that thofe who bave foent nany Lears in tcaching Schocls, are the worff fudges in the World of the reery Authors they teach. If Poetry be at all to be fiudied (for wibich thore are a thoufand irrefragable Arguments) it ought to be truly taught, which yet it kas never been in any Schools that we could ever bear of. In this Art of Poetry, therefore, we bave fix'd the Rules of every Sort of Poetry, rubich will be a great Diminution in time of bad Poets; and rve bave farther, we bipe, given a Standard of the थuantities of our Tongue, which if we have not ferfectly obtained, we may ren'ure to fay, that we are not far from it.

The Gencral Rhetorics of the sibocls in England meadle only with the Tropes and Figures of Words and Sentencer, but nerlenf the Cultivation of a young Invention. We know fome ingenious Men bave difallowid of putting any People on the Exercife of that Faculty; but we prefume, that we ought to do in this as the Youth of Antiquity dia' in thicir Gymnaftic Exercifes; they faid not till they were Men of confirm'd Strength and Robufne/s, but began in a more tender Age to make their Limbs pliant, and So to knit their Sinews and Nirves, that they ßoould be without a Stiffnefs, wibich would not be removed by a late Application to that Art. Thus by ufing Youtb early to a Metbodical Invention, Exercife and Time will give a Readine/s and Facility in Seeing what all Suljcets will afford of Ule to Perfuafion, which a Mind unufed to that way of thinking, will not eaffly find out.

We may farther venture to Say, that very fiw Schools in Europe can booft fo juft a Logic, clear'd of the old '7argon, and delivering the direet way to Truth, not to ufelefs Wi.angles. This quas drazun up by a verv eminent Hand from Mr. Locke, Fatber Malebranche, the Mefieurs of Port Royal, and fome others, tho' we bave ventured to give it you fometbing foorter than it is in the Original.

Upon this Noble Defign of an English Education, \&c. By Mr. Tate, Poet-Laureat to Hér Majefty.

AN Englißß Education! Glorious Prize!
Fame claps her Wings, and founds it to the Skies: Tells 'em, the fuff'ring Mufes are referr'd To be by Theirs and Britain's Guardians heard: Whofe Judgment Awes at once, and Charms Mankind, Can filence Slander, and frike Envy blind.

To Grecian Hills our Youth no more fhall roam, Supply'd with thefe Cafalian Springs at Home: Our Ladies too, as in E LI Z A's Days, Be doubly Crown'd, with Beauty and with Bays. MINERVA bids the Mufe This Charter draw To free Our injur'd Fair from Servile Awe, And cancel cruel PHOE B U S' Salique Law.

O wondrous Bleffing! yet on Terms fo cheap, That loweft Stations fhall th' Advantage reap; The meancf Britons in this Prize may fhare, Our ALBION be what ROME and ATHENS were.

Then fay, what Thanks, what Praifes mult attend The Gen'rous Wits, who thus could condefend! Skill, that to Art's fublimeft Orb can reach, Employ'd its humble Elements to Teach! Yet worthily Efteem'd, becaufe we know To raife Their Country's Fame they ftoop'd fo low.

## On an Englifh Education.

Shall private Zeal beftow fuch Coft and Toil, To Cultivate that long neglected Soil Our Engli/s Language, (ftor'd with all the Seeds Of Eloquence, but choak'd with Foreign Weeds ;) And Great B R I T A N N I A not vouchfafe a Smile To chear thefe fpringing Giories of our Inle? If only Martial Conquefts we advance, And yield the Mufe's Bow'rs to vanquifh'd France; If here we fix our Pillars of Renown, Will not refenting Britain's Genius frown, And, while our Troops politer Realms o'er-run, Cry, So the Vandals and the Goths bave done? When Honour calls my Sons to new Alarms, And grow in Arts victorious, as in Arms, Our Language to advance, and prove our Words No lefs defign'd for Conqueft than our Swords.

Till Learning's Banners thro' our Realms are fpread, And Captive Sciences from Bondage led; Tho' Gallic Trophies fhall our Ifland fill, Our Conqu'ring Wings are clipt, and LE WIS triumphs fill.
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|  | 7 | 伿 | $\stackrel{1}{6}$ | $\frac{1}{0}$ | co | 1 f | （1） | 7i） | II | 年 | 1 | 热 | 111 | $\stackrel{1}{0}$ | 1） | ${ }_{1}^{12}$ | k | \％ | t | ［11 | III | t － － | 1） | Z |
| mar Fest | 2 | \％ | $\stackrel{1}{6}$ | ก | $\leqq$ |  | $\frac{10}{17}$ | I) | $\ddagger$ | 5 | 5 | 211 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 i \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{j} \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | （1） | $2:$ | $\frac{5}{i}$ | $\pi$ | $24$ | $\because!$ | － | ！ | $\cdots$ |
| E．nerofliut． | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ | $3,$ | "E | $\therefore$ | $\therefore$ | If | 6ir | $i$ | गij | 哏 | $i$ | 211 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | （） | jp | ？ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5.0 \\ 0,0 \end{gathered}$ | $\bar{i}$ | （2） | （10） | $x$ | y |  |
|  | 7 | 3 | 1！ | $\bigcirc$ | $\because$ | \％／II， | ＂i | （1） | ， |  |  | ／II | $11$ | $\cdots$ | , | ， | イイ |  |  |  | II＇ | $\therefore$ | 1／11 |  |
| C．immillaml． | it | $f^{N}$ | $\frac{1}{0}$ | (1) (s) | ${\underset{N}{2}}^{L}$ | $\stackrel{f t_{t}^{\prime}}{ }$ | 占 | $\wp_{6}$ | y | $\stackrel{F}{f}$ | rif | $\begin{gathered} \text { IIn } 1 i \\| \\ \text { iniiin } \end{gathered}$ | $\overbrace{11}^{?} i^{T}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\downarrow}$ |  श्याजा： | $k$ | $\stackrel{k^{\prime}}{10.2 e}$ | 初 | さざ | $\operatorname{lin}_{n}$ | if | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | II |  |
| Net Chlamux | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $3$ | $\frac{\because}{i}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\stackrel{1}{i}$ | $\frac{1}{i j}$ | $i$ | $1$ | $i$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2+! \\ & i 11 \end{aligned}$ | $29$ | $\therefore$ | $\underset{p y y}{p}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \because! \\ & \because!+1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\triangleq$ | $\stackrel{\tau}{\mathfrak{t}}$ | $21$ | $\frac{21}{11}$ | $\underset{x}{x}$ | 11 |  |
|  | H | $\stackrel{10}{4}_{10}$ | $\bigcirc$ | －19 | 三 | $\mathbb{E t}_{0}$ | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | in | $i$ | ${ }^{*}$ |  | III | 1.2 |  | $\stackrel{+}{\text { pFFip }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{H}+{ }_{4}^{H} \\ & 1=\because \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ \text { t } \end{gathered}$ | ■ | 心 | I | $!$ |  |
|  |  |  | ぐ | －？ | く |  | （8） | S | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | （i） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $N$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1 | 11 | 1 |  | $k$ |  |  | 11 | 11 | $\mu$ | 1 | 1 | 1 li |  |  |  |  | 11. | $\div$ |
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## PA RT I.

> CH A P. I. RAMMAR does all the Art and Knowledge teach, According to the USe of every Speech, How we our 7 houghts moft jufly may express, In Words together join'd in Sentences.
[2] Into

> NO T ES.
[1] The modern, as well as old Grammarians, have given us various Definitions of this very useful Art. That of a certain Author feems defective, when he fays, Grammar is the Art of Speaking; fince 'ti plain a maftery of it, is of more Confequince in Writing ; the Solecifms of Vulgar Difcourfe paling unheeded, tho' they would be monftrous in Writing. Of this Opinion we find the great Mr. Locke.

I cannot omit the learned and judicious Mr. Fobnfon's Definition, Grammar is the Art of exprefling the Relations of Things in Confruction, with due Accent in Speaking, and Orthography $\begin{gathered}\text { in } \\ \text { in }\end{gathered}$

## The Englifh Grammar, with Notes.

[2] Into Four Parts the Learn'd this Art divide:
The Firft to Letters is precifcly ty'd;
The Second does to Syllables extend;
The Third the various Rules of Words commend;
The Fourth it $f_{\varepsilon} l f$ on Sentences dors $\int_{i}$ end.
For in Englif, as well as other Larguages, this Art confifts of Letters, Sylfables, Words, and Sentences. The Second is produc'd by the various Conjunctions of the Firft ; the different Union of the Second begets the Third; and the various .Joinings of the Third compofe the Fourth.

In
in Writing, according to the Cuftom of thofe, whore Language we learn. If he had faid of Words, not Thinge, and 乌uantity for Accent, (which is a Thing or Art which no body alive underftands, fince it relates to the rifing and falling of the Voice, not the Quantity) we think it the mof extenfive Definition we have met with ; but, indeed, every thing is extraordinary in this Author's Book. And we are pleafed to find, that ours (which was made before we had the Happinefs of feeing his Book) contains the Senfe of it. But to fpeak, is to explain our Thoughts by thofe Signs, which Men have invented to that End. We find the moft convenient Signs are Sounds, and the Voice; but becaufe thefe Sounds are tranfient, and pafs away, Men have invented other Signs, to render them more durable and permanent; as well as vifible, or objects of the Eve, which are the Characters in Writing, called by the Greeks $\gamma \rho^{c}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha i \alpha$, whence our Term of Grammar is deriv'd. Two things we may confider in thefe Signs: The Firft what they are by their Nature, that is, as Sounds and Cbaracters. The Second, their Signification ; that is the Manner in which Men make ufe of them to exprefs their Thoughts.
[2] Others divide Grammar in the following Manner ; as Ortbography, or the Art of true Spelling; Ortboepy, or exact Pronunciation, as to Quantity and Accent; Etymology, or |the Derivation of Words, to difcover the Nature and Propriety of fingle Words; and Syntax, to join Words agreeably in Sentences. Ortbograpby, or Spelling, has relation to Letters, both to the Knowledge of their Figures, and the Sounds expreffed by them, and the putting them together to form Syllables, and Words. Ortboefy directs the Pronunciation of Syllables, as to their Length or Shortnefs : Etymology, or Derivation, regards Words; and Syntax, Sentences.

## The Englifh Grammar, woith Notes.

In the perfect Knowledge of thefe Four Heads confifts the whole Art of Grammar.

Letters being evidently the Foundation of the whole, ought, in the firft place, to be thoroughly confider'd, and all thofe Rules which Induffry and Obfervation have been able to furnifh, laid down in fuch a Manner, that the Underftanding of the Learner being in fome meafure inform'd of the Reafons of Things, may not pafs through this Book to fo little Purpofe, as to learn only. a few Words by Rote.
[3] A Letter, therefore, is a Character, or Mark, either in Print or Writing, which denotes the various Motions, or Pofitions

Mr. 'Jobnfon, in his Grammatical Commentaries, much better: "From hence there arife four Parts of Grommar. Ana" $\log y$, which treats of the feveral Farts of Speech, their Defi" nitions, Accidents and Formations. Syntax, which contains "s the Ufe of thofe Things in Conitruction, according to their "Relations. Orthography of Spelling, and Profody of Accent"6 ing in Pronunciation." Our Divifion is eafily reduced to this, for Orthography, whofe natural Place is firft, as the Foundation of the whole, contains Letters and Syllables. Analogy Words, Syntax Sentences. As for Profody, we prefume it falls more juftly (efpecially in Engliß) under the Art of Poetry, as we have plac'd it ; but as much as relates to the Pronunciation of Profe is taken in by Letters, where their true Sound is taught; and our Terms being more plain and eafy, and needing no Explanation, we have chofe to keep fill to them.
[3] There are other Definitions of Letters, as the following: A Letter may be faid to be, a fimple uncompounded Sound of, or in, the Voice, which cannot be Jubdivided into any more fimple, and is generally mark'd with a particular Character. This Definition we take to err in two particulars; firft, tho' every Sound ought to be mark'd with a proper and peculiar Character, yet by the Corruption, or Primitive Ignorance of the firf Writers of our Modern Tongue, the fame Sounds are often exprefs'd by different Characters; and different Sounds are mark'd by one and the fame Character: In the next Place, Letters are the Signs of Sounds, not the Sounds themfelves: For the Greeks rgć $\mu \mu \alpha \neq 1$ is from Writing, and the Latins litera, from lineando, (as linea itfelf) or linendo, fo that both Words fignify that which is mark'd on the Paper. But if there be any Character, Sign and Mark, that does not exprefs a
fitions of the [4] Infruments of Speech, either in producing, or ending of Sounds. Or you may term them Marks and Signs, expreffing the feveral Sounds us'd in conveying our Thoughts to each other in Speech.
> $A$ Letter is an uncompounded Sound,
> Of which there no Divifion can be found:
> Tbefe Sounds to certoin Cbaracters we fix,
> Which, in the Englifh Tongue, are Trwenty- $-2 x$.

Of thefe Signs, Marks, or Characters, the Engli/ß Language makes Ufe of Twenty-fix, as will appear from the following Alphabet.

Sound entirely finuple, but a Sound compos'd and compounded of Two or more, and is refoluble into as many, it is not fo properly a Letter, as an Sblriviature of feveral Letters, or a Contraction of them into one Note or Mark, containing in itfelf fo many Letters, as its Power contains fimple Sounds. This is plain in the Latin $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}, x$, the Greck $\xi, \psi, 5$, and many others fufficiently known; for they are compos'd of (et,) (cs), ( $x \varsigma$, ) $(\pi \varsigma),(\varsigma \tau,) \varepsilon^{\circ} c$. On the contrary, a fimple Sound, tho' it be exprefs'd perhaps by different Characters, yet it is to be efteem'd but one Letter: For ( $t h$, ) ( $\hat{p} h$, ) no lefs than $\varphi, \theta$, and $f$, are but fimple Letters.
[4] The chief Infruments of Speech, Difcourfe or L.etters, are the Lungs, the Wind-pipe, Throat, Tongue, Noftrils, Lips and Peveral Parts of the Mouth. The Breath, or that Air that is infpir'd or breath'd into us, is blown from the Lungs through the Wind-pipe, which furnithes the Matter of the Voice or Difcourfe. For from the various Collifion of this Air or Breath, arifes the Variety both of Tones and Articulation: And this Variety comes not from the Lungs, but from other Caufes, as will anon be evident. For all the Variation which Sounds receive from the Lungs, is only from the different Force with which they fend out the Breath, by which the Voice becomes more or lefs fonorous or loud; for the Lungs perform in Speech the Office of the Bellows in the Organ.

I know Anatomifts have obferv'd, that we cannot fo much as talk without the Concurrence of twelve or thirteen feveral Parts, as the Nofe, Lips, Teeth, Palate, 'Faru, Tongue, Weafon, lungs, Mufcles of the Cbeft, Diaphragma, and Mufcles of the Bclly; but I have nothing to do with any Part, but what is

The Variety of Tones (that is, as far as they relate to Gravity or Acutenefs, flat or fharp) arifes from the Wind pipe. For as a Flute, the longer and fmaller it is, the more acute or fharp, or fmall the Tone; and the larger and fhorter, the more grave and big the Tone is, that it gives: The fame holds good in the Wind pipe, (whence, at lealt in fome meafure, arifes the Variety of Tones in the Voices of feveral Men; or even of the fame Men in the different Parts of their Ages) but chiefly from the Larynx, or Knot of the Throat: For the Tone of the Voice is more or lefs grave or acute, as the fmall Cleft of the Throat opens more or lefs ; and this is the Seat of all Mufical Modulations.

From the fame Seat muft we feek the Reafon of the Difference betwixt a gentle Whifper, and loud Talk. For if, when we fpeak, we make a tremulous Concuffion of the Throat and Windpipe, (that is by reafon of their Extenfion) it produces loud fpeaking; but when the Throat and the Wind-pipe are lers fretch'd, and more lax, it is Whifpering. But all Letters are not capable of this Diverfity or Variation; but only thofe, which we call Vowels, half Vowels, half Mutes (and fuch as derive themfelves from half Mutes): For $b, t, c$, or $k$, are fimply Mutes, and their Afpirates never admit of that Concuffion ; nor is their Sound in loud Speech different from what it is in a Whifper.

To this Head we may refer the Hoarfenefs, often the Comfanion of Catarrhs, which hinders that Concuffion of the Throat and the Wind pipe.

The Articulation of Words, or the Formation of the feveral Letters, begins when the Breath has pafs'd the Throat; and is almoft wholly perform'd by the Noftrils, Mouth, Tongue and Lips. 'Tho' thefe Remarks feem out of the way to the common Reader, yet a judicious Mafter will find it worth his while to ftudy this point thoroughly. For by knowing what Letters are formed by the Mouth, Tongue, Throat, Lips, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ} c$. the Mafter may give a great Light to the Learner in the Art of Spelling, and perhaps the moft certain Rule of doing it juftly, becaufe in thefe Notes we thall thew how every Vowel and Confonant is form'd.
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Of the LETTERS. [5]

[5] Tho' it would be too much from the prefent Defign for me to enter into the Enquiry, who was the Inventor of Letters, and what Nation had the Honour of firf enjoying this Benefit; yet that I may not wholly difappoint fome who may expect this, I fhall in a very few Words let him know, That the Chinefe are allowed the Falm in this Particular ; for their firt King: Fobi, who liv'd 1400 Years before Mofes, 500 before Mencs the firft King of Egypt, and 2950 before Cbrift, was the Author of this Invention, and writ in their Language a Book called rexim, which is the oldeft in the World.

But this was in Parts too remote, and which had fo little Communication with the World, that is, all that World which was then known, that we may reafonably make another Enquiry after the Original of Letters in the hither Parts of Afza, Egypt, and Europe.
'Tis more probable from the Mummies and Obelifks, that Hieroglyphics where in thefe Parts the firf Manner of Writing, and even prior to Mofes; the Pyramids and Obeliks being made, at leaft in great meafure, while yet the Ifraelites were in Slavery to the Egyptians, and by Confequence not very well qualified for Inventions fo curious and judicious.

Whether Cadmus and the Pbonicians learn'd LETTERS from the Egyptians, or their Neighbours of Judab and Samaria, may be a Queftion ; fince the Bible wrote in Letters is more likely to have inform'd them, than the Hieroglyphics of Egypt. But when or wherefoever the Pbonicians learnt this Art, I think it is generally agreed, that Cadmus, the Son of Agenor, firft brought Letters into Greece, whence in fubfequent Ages they fpread over all Europe.

Thus much I have thought fit to fay on this Head: What remains is, That as the Difference of the Articulate Sounds was to exprefs the different Ideas and Thoughts of the Mind ; fo it is certain, that one Letter was intended to fignify only one Sound ; and not, as at prefent, now to exprefs one Sound, and then another; which has brought in that Confufion, that has render'd the Learning of our Modern Tongues extremely difficult; whereas if the various Sounds were conftantly exprefs'd by the fame Numerical Letter, more than half that Difficulty would be remov'd.

But fince we are not here to reform, or indeed make a new Alphabet, as fome have vainly, againft the Stream, or full Tide of Cuftom, attempted; but to explain and deliver Rules about that which we have, and according to thofe Errors and Miftakes whichUfe, the inviolableRule and Right of Speaking andWriting, has confecrated, fuch an Endeavour would be as ufelefs as fingular.

## C H A P. II.

Of Vowels. [6]
Under Two Heads these Letters ftill are plac'd, The firft bolds Vowels, Confonants the laft.

THefe Twenty-fix Letters are naturally divided into Two Sorts, which are call'd Vorwels and Confonants. Vouvels or perfect Sounds, being by Nature of greater Excellence than Confonants, as founding by themfelves, and giving the latter their Sounds, jufly demand our firf Confideration.

A Vowel, therefore, is a Letter denoting a full Sound made in the Throat, and can be pronounc'd without the help and joining of any other Letter to it.

> A Vowel by it elf compleat is found, Made in the I broat, one full and perfect Sound, Fi.ve Letters we can only Vowels call, For A, E, I, O, U, contain them all.
[7] In Englifß we have but thefe Five Marks or Characters of thefe perfect Sounds call'd Vowels, $a, c, i, o, u$, and $y$ at the
[6] It is of Ufe to obferve, that the feveral Sorts of Sounds us'd in Speaking, which we call Letters, are form'd in a very natural Manner. For firft, the Mouth is the Organ that forms them, and we fee, that fome are fofimple, and unmix'd, that there is nothing requir'd, but the opening of the Mouth to make them underitood, and to form different Sounds; whence they have the Names of Vorvels, or Voices, or Vocal Sounds. On the other Side we find, that there are others, whofe Pronunciation depends on the particular Application, and ufe of every Part of the Mouth, as the Teeth, the Lips, the Tongue, the Palate: Which yet cannot make any one perfect Sound but by the fame opening of the Mouth; that is to fay, they can only found by their Union with thofe firlt and only perfect Sounds; and thefe are call'd Confonants, or Letters founding with other Letters.
[7] If we judge by the Characters or Marks, we find that there is not the fame Number of Dorwels in all Languages, and
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End of a Syllable for $i$, which is only a different Figure, but entirely of the fame Sound. When thefe Vowels end a Syllable, they are ufually long, but generally fhort in all other Pofitions.

To each of thefe, two different Sounds belong ;
One that is fhort, another that is long;
Five double Vowels add, to fill the Vocal Throng.
Each of thefe Five have two ditinct Sounds, that is, a long and a fhort Sound ; the fhort Sound is always made long by adding (e) at the End, as Lad, Lade; Met, Mete; Pip, Pife; Rob, Robe; Tun, Tune: To thefe we mult add Five double Vorvels, compounded each of Two of Thefe. To attain to the perfect Knowledge of this, the Learner muft firt be taught the true Sounds of thefe Five Vorvels, as they lie fingle, and each by iffelf; for that is the Guide to arrive fafely at all their Variations.

> Befides the long and fhort, to (A) does fall
> A Sound that's broad, as in all, fhall, and call;
> And in all Words, the end in double (L) ;
> As Wall, and Stall ; in (ld), as bald will tell:
> Betrvixt a double (U) placed and (R),
> As Warden, Ward, Warren, Warm, and Warmer.
(A) in thefe Words feems to have gain'd this broad Sound from the ancient Spelling; which even in the Days of Queen Elizabeth, added a (u) after it, as in talk, it being then written taulk, as in Afcbam and feveral other Writers before $1560, \mathcal{E g}^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$. (A) be-
yet all Nations almoft agree, that there are more different Sounds of Vowels, than they have common Characters to exprefs them.

For this Reafon I am of Opinion, fays our learned Dr. Wallis, that they ought to be diftinguifhed into thefe Three Claffes; Guttural, or Throat, Sounds; Palatine, or Sounds of the Palate; and Labial, or Sounds of the Lips, as they are form'd either by the Throat, the Palate, or the Lips.

If therefore we make this Divifion of the Vowels, according to that Number of Vocal Sounds, as we find them in our Time, (as we ought) then will their Number be Nine, viz. Three in the Throat, three in the Palate, and three in the Lips, according to the three feveral Degrees or Manners of opening the Mouth: that is, by a larger, middle, and lefs Degree of opening it in thofe Three Places or Seats.

$$
\text { B } 5
$$

[8] We
(A) befides its fhort and long Sound, has before (l) or rather do ibie (l) generally a broad, open or full Sound, as it has in Words ending in (ld), $\varepsilon)^{\circ} c$. but when the double ( $l$ ) is parted in the Middle of a Word it is pronunced fhort, as Shallorw, Tallow: 'tis likewife broad when plac'd betwixt a $(w)$ and $(r)$, and likewife in Waf, Watch, Water, Wrath, \&c.
(A) is Bort when fingle Confonants conclude, Or Two of the fame into the Middle intrude, Or feem in Sound t'obtain the Middle Part; But yet the final (e) do's Length to thefe impart.
[8] When a fingle Confonant ends a Syllable, Bat, can, far, (a) is Thort; and when two of the fame Confonants meet in the Middle of a Word, as in batter, cannot, Farrier, \&c. and when a fingle Confonant in the Middle founds double, as in banifh, Dragon, Habit, \&c. and when it precedes Two Confonants that end a Word, as blaft, paft, \&cc. But filent (e) ever after thefe Two Confonants, lengthens the $(a)$ as pafte, $\& c$.
> (A) fill we long moft juflly do fuppose

> In Words wobich but one Syllable compofe, Whenever filent (e) is in the clofe. And when in th' End of Syllables, 'tis knorun In Words that have more Syllables than one.
(A) founds long, fmall, and flender, $1 / f$, in Words of one Syllable with (e) at the End, as make, fate, late, \&c. but this is the natural Effect of filent (e), which always gives length to the foregoing Vowel, and ought never to be written when that is fhort; 'tis likewife long in the Ends of Syllables in Words of many Syllables, as Cradle, Ladle, \&c.

No common Word in (a) can e'er expire, And yet its genuine Sound retain entire.
(A) is obfcure, or not plainly pronounced, in the Word Thoufand.

None
[8] We generally pronounce (a) with a more fmall and flender Sound, than moft other Nations; as the French generally do their (e) when follow'd by $(n)$ in the Word Entendment, tho' fomething fharper and clearer ; or perhaps its moft ufual Sound in our Tongue comes neareft to the French Neuter, or open (e) ; as in the Words Etre, Tete, \&c. or as the Italians do their (a). But yet not like the fat or grofs $(a)$ of the Germans, which if long, we exprefs by (au) or (aw), or if thort, by fhort (o).

None but proper Names end in this Vowel, except thefe Seven in (ea), which yet found (e,) as Lea, Plea, Flea, Pea, Sea, Tea, yea; the laft Word is out of Ufe.
Of the Vorvel (E.)
[9] ( E ) is of a different Sound, and various Ufe ${ }_{2}^{\top}$
Silent itfelf, all Vowels does produce;
But leaff itfllf, yet fometimes it is found To lengthen ere'n its own preceding Sound, As we in Scene and Glebe, and others find, But (e) is moftly of the fhorter kind.
But then its Sound is always clear expref?, As in Whet, let, well, met, and reft.
The Sound of this Vorvel is differently exprefs'd, and of various and great Ufe in the Pronunciation of other Vowels; for, when filent itfelf it lengthens them all, but is feldom long itfelf, or lengthen'd by itfelf in Words of one, or more than one Syllable.

> Its Sound is always Bort, borve'er expreff, As fret, help, left, Beard, dreamt, and bleft : Unbefs made long by filent final (e),
> Or double (e) in Form or Sound it be.

A fingle Confonant at the End after (e) makes it fhort, as in Bed, fret, Den, \&c. Two or three Confonants at the End after it does the fame; ( ft ) as left (ld) as beld, (lm) as Helm, (lp) as belp, (lt) as melt, (mp) as Hemp, ( $n t$ ) as dent, bent, ( $p t$ ) as kept, (rb) as Herb, (rd) as Herd, (rk) as jerk, (rm) as Term (rn) as Hern, (rt) as pert, ( $\beta$ ) as Fle $\beta,(\beta)$ as $D \subset / k$, (f) as Reft, beft, bleft. The Sound of (e) exprefs'd by (ea) in the Middle of feveral Words is fhort: as already, Beard, Bearn (a Child) Weatber, Treafure, cleanfe, Dearth, dreamt, Earneft, Earth, (and all deriv'd from it) Father, Head, (and all deriv'd from it) Fealous, Leachery, Lead, Meadow, Meafure, Pearl, Peafant, Pleafure, ready, Seamfirefs, 今pread, and many more.
[9] This Vowel is pronounc'd with a clear and acute Sound, like the French (e) Mafculine:- but it fcarce ever has the obfcure Sound of the French (e) Feminine; unlefs when fhort (e) goes befcre $(r)$, as in Vertue and Stranger.

It being thus naturally fhort, it lengthens itfelf in Words of one Syllable but in thefe fixteen Examples,

1. Bede,
2. Mede, a Country.
3. Pede, $\}$ Proper Names. 10. Mere, a Lake or Fenn.
4. Vere,
5. Mete, Meafure;
6. Crete, an Ifland.
7. Rere, hindermoft.
8. Erg, before that.
9. Stene, in a Play.
10. Glcbe, Land.
11. Scbeme, a Draught.
12. Glede, a Kite.
13. Sphere, a Globe.
14. Here, in this Place.
15. Tbefe.

To thefe, in my Opinion, we may add there, were, and where, tho' by a different, yet wrong, Pronunciation, fome found the firt ( $e$ ) in thefe Words like (a) long.

In Words of more than one Syllable, the (e) at the End lengthens thefe Words, as,

1. Adbere.
2. Apozeme.
3. Auftere.
4. Blappbeme.
5. Cobere.
6. Complete.
7. Concede.
8. Concrete.
9. Convene.
10. Extrome.
11. Greve, Lord.
12. Impede, to hinder.
13. Intercede, mediate.
14. Interfere.
15. Intervene.
16. Nicene, Creed.
17. Obfcene.
18. Portreve.
19. Preccde.
20. Recide.
21. Replete.
22. Revire.
23. Severe.
24. Sincere.
25. Super ede.
26. Supreme.

Note, That complete, replete, extreme, fupreme, are often fpelt compleat, refleat, extream, fupream; but fince they are fpelt both ways, I would not omit them, tho' they, when in cam, belong propely to the following Rule :

> When long, acute, and clear, (e), founds we fee, As in ev'n, evil, be, me, we and he:
> Ea, ie and double (e) are found,
> Still to exprefs of (e) the longer Sound.

Cuftom lengthens the Sound of (e) by the improper. double Vowel. (ea) in all Words where it does not found (a) fhort, or (e) fhort, as will be feen when we come to that improper double Vorvel.

The

## The Englifh Grammar, with Notes.

The Sound of $(e)$ is lengthen'd by $(\epsilon i)$ in thefe Words on!y,

1. Conceit.
2. Conceive.
3. Diccit.
4. Dective.
(ie) lengthens the Sound of (e) or gives it that of double (e) in thefe:
5. Atcbievement. 14. Grief.
6. Believe.
7. Bclief.
8. Befige.
9. Bier.
10. Briff.
11. Caficr.
12. Cbief.
13. Cieling.
14. Field.
15. Fiend.
16. Friend.
17. Frontier.
18. Eitber.
19. $N^{\prime}$ citber.
20. Inveigle.
21. Recieipt.
22. Reccive. 10. Seize.
23. Wcild.

In all other Words the Sound of (e) long is exprefs'd by the double Vorvel (ee), as in Bleed, Creed, \&c. [10] The Sound of ( $\epsilon$ ) in Stranger is obfcure.

When

[10] The Ufe of this (e) is the lengthning the Sound of the foregoing Confonant; and a very learned Man is of Opinion, that it had this Original. That it was pronourc'd but in obfcure Manner, like the (e) Feminine of the Froncb; fo that the Words take, one, Wine, \&cc. which are now Words of one Syllable, were formerly Dif- fyllables, or Words of Two Syllables, ta-kc, $0-n e$, Wi.ne; fo that the firt Vowel terminating the firt Syllable, was therefore long; and that obfcure Sound of the final (e) by little and little vanifhd fo far, that in the End it was totally neglected, as the ( $\epsilon$ ) Feminine of the French often is, the Quantity of the foregoing Vowel being preferv'd, and all the other Letters keeping their Sounds, as if the ( $\epsilon$ ) were likewife to be pronounc'd. And a flronger Argument of this is, that we fee this mute (e) in the old Orthography or Spelling perpetually annex'd to many Words, in which it is now conftantly omitted, as Darke, Marke, Selfe, Leafe, Waite, and innumerable more, to which Worc's there is no Reafon to imagine, that it fhould have been join'd,
if it had not been if it had not been pronounc'd Dar-ke, Mar-kc, Sel-je, Lea-fe,
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When (e) ends Words, it has no Sound at all,
Except in Words zubich we do proper call;
Except it doubldd be in Form or Sound, The is to this the fole Exception found.
(E) itfelf, at the End of a Word, has now no proper Sound of its own, as in make, bave, love, \&c. except in the, which

Wai-te, \&-c. For, 'tis plain, it could not be join'd to thofe Words to make the foregoing Syllable long, which is now its principal Ufe; becaufe the precedent Syllables are either not long, or made fo by their Diptbongs, or donble Vorwels. Another Proof of this is, that we find in the old Poets this (e) makes either another Syllable or not, as the Occafion of the Verfe requires; which happens to the French (e) Feminine, both in Verfe and Profe.

But tho' this mute (e) is not founded in our Time, yet is it far from being of no ufe and fuperfluous; for befides its demonftrating, that thefe Words were formerly of more Syllables, than they are at prefent, it yet ferves to thefe three Ufes: Firf, To preferve the Quantity of the foregoing Vowel, which if long before, remains fo, tho' that final or mute (e) be pronounc'd. $2 d l y$, To foften the Sound of $(c),(g)$, and (tb), as huge, fince, breathe, wreathe, feethe, which that being away, would be pronounc'd bug, fink, breath, rercatl, feeth, \&cc. 3dy, To diftinguifh (v) Confonant from (u) Vowel, as in bave, crave, fave, \&c. which would elfe be bau, crau, Sau, \&c. but (v) Confonant having now a peculiar and proper Character, it may perhaps hereafter happen that this mute (e) may be left out after it.

Whenever there is neither of thefe Confiderations, it is redundant, except when it follows ( $D$, preceded by fome other Confonant, as in Handle, Candle, \&rc. here indeed the Ufe is not fo apparent as in the following Inftances, yet it has even here an obfcure Sound, and the ending Confonants could not be pronounc'd without it ; nay, in Verfe they always make two Syllables: So that Dr. Wallis, who makes it here redundant, is certainly miftaken ; tho' he is perfectly in the right in Idle, Trifle, Title, Table, Noble, \&c. fince, as he obferves here, the mute, or rather the obfcure (e) produces it.

This mute (e) in the Middle of Words is feldom us'd, unlefs it was in the primitive Words a final ( $\varepsilon$ ), as in Advancement, Changeable, \&c. it was final in Advance, Cbange, \&c. But this (e) which is mute in Words of the fingular Number, is founded in the Plural, Houfe. Houfes, \&c.
is writ with a fingle (e), to diftinguifh it from thee; and fome proper Names, as Pbabe, Penelope, Pafipbae, Getbfimane, and in Epitome, \&c. for (e) fimple is feldom elfe pronounc'd at the End of a Word, for be, me, fae, wee, be, and ye, found and wou'd better be written by (ee).

Whene'er the Sound of (e) is in the End, Some of thefe Letters rwell exprefs't you'll find.
Y , or ie, happy ; ey, as in Key,
Double (e) agree; ea, as in Tea.
But the Sound of (e) is at the End of many Words, tho' differently exprefs'd ; Firft, and noft commonly, by (y); as bappy, boly, Mercy; thefe Words may be writ with (ie) or (y) as the Writer pleafes.

2dly, By (ey) in Anglefey, Balconey, Honey, Cockncy, Humpbrey, Key, Ramfey, and many more ; tho' Cuftom now begins to prevail in the Omiffion of the (e).
$3 d y$, The Sound of (e) at the End is exprefs'd by (ee), as in Pbarifee, Sadducee, agree, Cbaldee, Bee, Knee, and many more.

The Sound of (e) at the End is likewife exprefs'd by (ea), as in Sea, Flea, Pea, Tea, Yea.

Where e'er the filent (e) a Place obtains, The Voice foregoing, Lengtb and foftnefs gains, And after (c) and (g) this Joftning Power remains.
The filent (e), which is put at the End of Words and Syllables, does not only produce, or lengthen the foregoing Vorwel, but often renders its found more foft; as in Face and Lace; fo in Rag, Rage, Stag, Stage, bug, buge.

In Compound Words its Silence (e) retains,
Which in the Simple in the End it gains.
It does the fame Office in the Middle Syllables, when it follows (g) or (c), as in Advancement, Encourcgement; fince (c) and (g) are always founded hard, unlefs (e) or (i) foften them; as fing, finge, frwing, fiwinge, \&ic.

I, O, and U, at tb' End of Words require,
The filent (e), the fame do's (va) defire.
The filent $(e)$ is added to ( $i$ ), ( 0 ) and ( $u$ ), at the End of Words; becaufe the Genius of the Language requires it; and likewife to (v) Confonant or (va), except when an (i) follows in the fame Word; as in living, tbriving, \&c. to avoid the Concourfe of too many Vowels ; itts preferv'd in blameable, changeable, \&c. to mark
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mark the diftinct Syllables. For (ie) we often now put ( $y$ ), as Mercy for Mercie, and dy for die, \&c.

> In Compound Words, tho' of obfcurer Sound, Or cuen filent, (e) muft fill be found.

Tho' (e) be not founded, or at leaft very obfcurely, yet mult it not be left out in Writing in the Middle of Compound Words, as namely, finely, clofely, bandjomely, whereof, wherein, whereon, \&c. nor after $(l)$ at the End of a Word, another Confonant preceding it, tho' obfcurely founded, as Bridle, Rifle, Bugle, \&c. for its Virtue ftill reaches the foregoing Vowel as to its Length and Softnefs, unlefs where three Confonants intervene, as in Fiddle, Ruffile, \&c. which are call'd a Syllable and half, tho' in Reality they are two diftinct Syllables, as is plain from our Verfes.

When ( n ) concludes a Word, the (e)'s obfcure, Or does perbaps no Sound at all endure.
The Sound of $(e)$ before $(n)$ at the End of a Word is very obfcure, or rather filent, as cleven, Seven, even, Heaven, boundin, beaten, \&cc. and this is fo plain, that in Verfe they are now always us'd for Words of but one Syllable. But proper Names of Perfons and Places are an Exception to this Rule, as Eden, Eben, \&c.

When (re) concludes a Word the Sound removes
Before the ( r ) and ( u ) it mofly proves.
The Sound of $(e)$ after ( $r$ ) is filent, or paffes into a precedent (u) obfcure; as Fire, founds Fi-ur; Dcfire, Defi-ur ; more, mo-ur ; Mare, Ma-ur; Rere, Re-ur, sic. The fame holds in Acre, Maffacre, Meagre, Maugre, \&c.

> When (s) at the End of Plural Words is found,
> It is the filent (e) affords no Sound.
$(E)$ is filent when $(s)$ is added to the Ends of Words in Names which fignify more than one; as in Blades, Trades, Glades, Babes, \&c. but the Reafon of this is, becaufe the Word had (e) filent to foften and lengthen the Sound before, and the $(s)$ is only added to fhew that it fignifies more than one. Thus in dotes, bites, takes, likes, frikes, \&cc. which you will find anon to be call'd, by way of Excellence, Words that affirm fomething of fome Name or Perfon. And tho' the Affirmation and Name are often written with the fame Letters, as $\mathcal{T}$ rades, fignifying many Trades, and trades, be trades; yet, befides the Senfe, the Writing the Name with a Capital or great Letter, and the Word
of Affirmation with a fmall, (for fo they ought to be written) may fufficiently diftinguifh them.

Nor muft (e) final be omitted, tho' the Syllable that goes before confint of a double Vowel, as Houfe, cleanfe, Difeafe, Increafe, \&c. and in Horre, Nurfe, Purfe.

But (e) between two (s's) at the End,
Does to the Ear a certain Sound commend;
Or elfe between $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{ch}, \mathrm{z}$, and s , It fill another Syllable muft exprefs.
But here it is to be noted, that Words that have the Sound of $(s)$, or (s) mingled in their Sound, (es) then makes another and a diftinct Syllable; as after (e) in Traces, Places, Slices, \&c. after (ch) in Breaches, Reacher, Leeches, Ricbes, \&c. after (g) in Stages, Sieges, obliges, \&c. after (s) in Horfes, Mufes, Clofes, Nofes, Rofes, \&ic. after ( $z$ ) in razes, amazes, furprizes, \&ic.
[II] Of the Vowel (I).

When (I) precedes ght, and nd, Gh, mb, gn, ld, fill long will be; Elfe it is always fort, as you will fee.
As for its being long when (e) filent concludes the Syllable, as in Tide, abide, \&c. that is according to the general Rule of (e) filent after any other Vowel ; the fame will hold of (e) after ( $r$ ) in Fire, De $\int_{\text {ire }}$, \&c. Examples of the foregoing Rule are Delight, Fight, Mind, Rind, kind, bigh, nigh, figh; climb, defign, mild, Child, except build, guild. Short, as bid, did, will, fill, win, puilt, Mint, fit, \&c.
(I) before ( r ) the Sound of ( n ) does fute,

Except in ir for in, as in irrefolute.
Irreverent, irrevocable, irretrievable, irreligious, \&c.
(I) before (er) and (on) fill founds as (ye),

And after ( ft ) the Sound the fame will be.
Examples are, Bullion, Onion, Communion, Hcillier, Collier, Pannier, \&c. Celeftial, Chriftian, Combuftion, Quefion, \&c. and fo it founds in Poiniard. 'Tis obfcure in Gol $\sqrt{2 \hat{2}}$.
[11] When (i) is hort, it founds moft commonly like that of the (i) of the French, and other Nations, with the fmall Sound ; but when 'tis long, it is pronounc'd like the Greek ( $s$ ) .
[12] Short
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To found like double (e), (i) does incline, As in Machine, and Shire, and Magazine; Like (a) in Sirrah; but writ (oi) in join.
And alfo in appoint, boil, broil, Foints, \&c.
No Englifh Word can end in naked (i),
It muft add (e), or in their room place (y).
The (e) is added to (i) in the Conclufion of Words, and ( $y$ ) often put in their Room ; yet (ie) is better after ( $f$ ) and ( $s$ ), as in crucife, dignifie, crafie, buffe, Gipfre, \&c. Tho' Incurioufnefs, often in thefe Words, puts ( $y$ ).

## [12] Of the Vowel (O.)

(O) does exprefs three feveral Sorts of Sound, As (o) in go, the Mouth fill opening round:
Of (au) in Folly, ( u ) in come and fome, And before (1) and fingle (m), except in Home.
This Vowel expreffes (o) round in Rofe, (a) long in Folly, fond, $(u)$ obfcure in come and fome, \&c.
$(O)$ in thefe Places founds $(u)$ becaufe thefe Words were originally fpelt with a ( $u$ ) and not an ( 0 ).
(O) Aill is f.uort, unlefs awben it is found

In one of all thefe $W$ ays to lengthen Sound;
When (o) a Word or syllable does clofe,
Unlefs ruben double Sounc's of Confonants oppofe.
It clofes in go, ho, lo, fo, wo, no, who, do, undo, whofo, \&c. or when it ends Syllables, as in glo-rious, Sto-ry, \&c. Exceptions, as Body, Codicile, notable, \&c. when the Sound of the following Syllable is doubled.

When (o) before double (1) its Place does hold, Or elfe before (ld) as Scold, bold, Gold,
Before (lt) as molten, Bolt; before (Lft), as Boliter, and Several more.
Examples. When double ( $l$ ) ends a Word, as Toll, Poll, Roll, controll, \&c. but thofe were originally written with (ou), and yet retain the long Sound of the double Vowel. (ld) as old, Scold,
[12] Short $(0)$ is pronounc'd like the German (a), or open or fat ( 0 ), only it is Mort; as in ford, mollifie, \&c. long ( 0 ) is pronounc'd like the Greck ( $\omega$ ) and the French (au).

Scold, bold, \&c. before (lt) and (lf) as Bolt, Holt, Colt, Upbolferer, \&cc.

Before (rd), (rge), as Cord and Forge,
Ford, Sword, and gord, and likewi/c George and gorge.
Before (rm), (rn), (rt), as Storm,
Forlorn, exhort, and otbers may inform.
But fofter and more obfcure in Fort, Comfort, Effort, which has two Ways of Pronunciation, the laft Syllable being long, and the firft thort fome times, and at other times the contrary, tho' the firft Way is the molt juft and true Quantity, Purport, Tranport, \&c.

Before ( f ) and (ught); as Poft,
(But with a Barper Tone in Froft, loft, Coft,)
Nought, bought, Thought, and after it zubcn wee vierw? The Syllable clofe up ruitb double (u),
As rwe do in blow, fhow, and know, find true.
If it be long by the Syllables ending with (w), it will be no lefs by adding (e) filent, whofe Quality is to lengthen the foregoing Vowel, and which ought to be added in Bowe, blowe, Crowe, glowe, \&c. to diftinguifh them from Words which have the Sound of the proper double Vorwel (ow); as How, now, Corv, \&c.

> In Worts of many Syllables (O)' 'll be
> Obfcure in Sound, when plac'd before a $(\mathrm{P})$.

As for Example, in Bifbop, Bißpoprick; but in Words of one Syllable it founds open, as in fop, bop, תop, \&c. It is likewife very obfcure before ( $n$ ) at the End of a Word, as in Hatton, Hutton, Button, Parfon, Capon, Falcon, \&c. But thefe are rather filent (o)'s than obfcure (u)'s, the fecond Syllable being fo much fupprefs'd, that it feems no more than the fecond in Heaven, even, \&c. which Ufe has now made but one.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { When fingle ( } \mathrm{l} \text { ) or ( } \mathrm{m} \text { ) or ( } \mathrm{r} \text { ) purfue } \\
& \text { (O), when it's plac'd'twixt ( } \mathrm{r} \text { ) and double (u), } \\
& \text { Whin follow'd by (va) and filent (e) we prove, } \\
& \text { (O) then founds'(u) exceft in rove, Grove, ftrove. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is plain from thefe Examples: Colour, Columbine, Colony, \&c. Comfort, come, Kingdom, Befom, Fathom, random, \&c. but commonly, \&cc is excepted. World, Work, Wor/bip, \&c. before (th), as Brother, Mother, fnother, \&c. except Broth, Cloth, Froth, Troth, Wroth; but moft of thefe have been, and are fill frequently written with (oa). (O) after ( $r$ ), in Apron, Citron,

Citron，inviron，Iron，Saffron，is obfcure like（u），and in Rome （the City）＇tis pronounc＇d like（ 00 ）in Room．

The Sound of（o）in th＇End you fill muft know
Is ne＇er exprecs＇d thus nakcdily by（ 0 ），
Exceft in do，unto，go，lo，fo，and no．
（O）never ends an Engliß乃 Word，except before excepted，and undo，whofo，（an antiquated Word）to，too，two，who，wo，mo， （for more）is a Word quite out of Ufe；the Sound of（o）being there exprefs＇d by（ow）except in Foc，Tce，Doe，Roe．

## ［13］Of the Vowel（U）．

Tro Sounds in（u）we certainly fail find，
Rub＇s of the fiorter，Mufe the longir Kind．
The long Sound is what it bears in the fingle Vorvel，the fhort is more obfcure and lingual．The mort Sounds are $D u b$ ，rub， rut，Gun，Drum，burf，muf，Ruff．

Long，when in Words of many Syllables
It cnds a Syllatle，as in Durables．
This Vowel，when it ends a Syllable in Words of many Syl－ lables，is long；as in Curious，Union，Importunity，Furious，Pu－ rity，Security，\＆c．But this long Quality of（ $u$ ）in this Place feems to come from（ $e$ ）final，underitood，tho＇left out to avoid the clafhing of two Vowels，for it might be Dureable，Impune－ ity，\＆c．tho a following Vowel of any kind will，after a fingle Confonant，naturally lengthen the foregoing；except when the Sound of the following Confonant is doubled，as in Bury，bu－ ried，Studj，\＆c．where the $(u)$ is Morten＇d and falls into the Sound of（c）fhort or obfcure．

No Englifh Word in（u）can fairly end，
Its Sound exprefs＇d ty（ew）or（ue）we find．
Except you，thou and lieu，and this one Word adieu，
Frw W＇ords begin with，or＇＇tb＇Middle bave（eu）．
Inftead of $(u)$ in the End，we put $(c \tau v)$ ，or $(u e)$ as Ncpherv， Nerv，Sincrv，$Y_{\epsilon w ⿻}, \& c c$ ．and accrue，Ague，Avenue，\＆．c．Nor is the Sound of $(u)$ in the Beginning and Middle of Words，in many Words，except fuch as are deriv＇d from the Greek；as Eucharift，Eunuch，Eufbrates，Eulogy，Eutychus，Euphony，Dcuce， Deutero－
［13］The（u）long is pronounc＇d like the French（u）fmall or flender． Eutyches, Feud, Grandeur, Pleurify, Pleuritick, Rbeumatick, Pbeumatifm, Rbcum.

> Where-e'er the (u) is long befdes, 'tis found That its own Character denotes its Sound.
> Ar, ir, or, with ure and er, T' exprefs the Sound of (u) we oft prefer, When at the End of Words, thit do confiri Of many Syllables, they are plac'd.

The Sound of $(u)$ in all other Places, but what are mention'd where it is long, is exprefs'd by the Vowel itfelf; but when it is obfcure and fhort in the End of Words of many Syllables (and fome of one) it is fometimes exprefs'd by (ar), by the Corruptness of our Pronunciation; as in Altar, Angular, Calendar, focular, Medlar, Pedlar, Pillar, Solar, \&-c. or by (ir), as Birch, Dirt, Shirt, Sir, Sirname, to Spirt or Squirt Water, fir, Tbird, Thirty, the Words deriv'd from it, $\mathcal{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$. or by $(\mathrm{or})$, as in $A n$. effors, Actors, Adminiftrator, Ambaffador, Anchor, Afefor, Corrector, Counfellor, Opprefor, \&c. or by (ure), as in Adventure, Architecture, Conjecture, conjure, Creature, Feature, Figure, FraĚure, Furniture, Geffure, Impofiure, Inclofiure, Indenture, injure, Fointure, Functure, Leçure, Leifure, Manufacture, Mixture, Nature, Nurture, Overture, Pafure, peradventure, Picture, Pleafure, Pofturi, Prefure, Rapture, Rupture, Scripture, Sculpture, Stature, Structure, Superfiructure, Tenure, Tincture, Torture, Treafure, venture, Vefiure, Verdure.

Thefe we have inferted becaufe the $(u)$ is Thort and obfcure, tho' it have (e) final at the End, and ferves therefore for an Exception to that Rnle, as well as an Example of this.

Or by (er), as Adder, Adulterer, Auger a Tool, Ballifters, Banner, Foditer, Crofitr, Crupper, Daughter, Slaugbter, \&cc. [14]

C H A P. III.
[14] We fhall here, at the End of the Vorvels, fay a few Words of their Formation, which, well fudy'd, will (as we have obferv'd) be a great Help to the Art of Spelling. To proceed therefore according to the Divifion made in our Notes on Number [6]. The Gutturals, or Throat-Letters, or Vowels, are form'd in the top or upper Part of the Throat, or the lower Part of the Palate or Tonguc, by a moderate Compreffion of the Breath. When the Breath goes out with a full Guft, or larger opening of the Mouth, the German (a) or the open ( 0 ) is form d. But the Fruch, and other Nations, as well as the Germans,
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NOTES.
Germans moft commonly pronounce their $(a)$ in that manner: The Englifb exprefs that Sound, when it is fhort, by fhort ( 0 ); but when it is long, by (ou) or (arw) ; but feldom by (a). For in the Words fall, Folly, Call, Collar, Lawes, Lofs, Ciufe, Coft, and odd, Sarw'd, Jod, and in many other Words like thefe, there is the fame Sound of the Vorwels in both Syllables, only in the firft it is long, and in the laft fhort. And this perhaps might bring our former Divifion of Sounds into doubt, fince that fuppofes the Differerice to arife from their Length or Brevity ; whereas here we make the Sounds the fame. But this muft be here undertood of the Formation of the Sounds; that is, the fhort and the long Sounds are produc'd in the fame Seats or Places of Formation; but in the former Rule, the Hearing only is the judge of the Sounds, as they are emitted, not as to the Place of their Formation.

In the fame Place, but with a more moderate opening of the Mouth, is form'd the French (e) Feminine, with an obfcure Sound: Nor is there any Difference in the Formation of this Letter, from the Formation of the foregoing open (a), but that the Mouth or Lips are more contracted in this, than in the former. This is a Sound, that the Englifs fcarce any where allow, or know, except winen the fhort $(e)$ immediately precedes the Letter ( $r$ ), as liberal, Vertuc, Liberty, \&c.

The fame Place is the Seat of the Formation of $(0)$ and (u) obfcure, but ftill with a lefs opening of the Mouth, and it differs from the French ( $\epsilon$ ) Feminine only in this, that the Mouth being lefs open'd, the Lips come nearer together. This fame Sound the French have in the laft Syllables of the Words ferviteur, facrificatcur, \&c. The Englifh exprefs this Sound by fhort $(u)$ as in turn, burn, dull, cut, \&c. and fometimes by a Negligence of Pronunciation, they exprefs the fame Sound by (o) and (ou, as in come, fome, done, Company, Country, couple, covet, love, \&c. and fome others, which they ought more juftly to give another Sound to. The $W_{e} l / b$ generally exprefs this Sound by (y) only that Letter at the End of Words with them founds (i).

The Palatine Vowels are form'd in the Palatc, that is, by a moderate Compreffion of the Breath, betwixt the Middle of the Ialate and the Tongue; that is, when the Hollow of the Palate is made lefs by the raifing of the Middle of the Tongue, than in the Pronunciation of the Throat, or Guttural Sounds. Thefe Sounds are of three Sorts, according to the leffening or enlarging of the faid Hollow; which Difference may be produc'd two feveral Ways, either by contracting the Mouth or Lips,
the Tongue remaining in the fame Pofition; or by elevating the Middle of the Tongue higher to the Fore-parts of the Palate, the Lips or Mouth remaining in the fame State. This is done either way, and it is the fame Thing if it were done both ways.

The Englifb flender $(a)$ is form'd by a greater Opening of the Mouth; as in Bat, bate, Sam, Same, dam, Dame, Bar, bare, ban, bane, \&c. This Sound differs from the fat or open (a) of the Germans, by raifing the Middle of the Tongue, as the Englifh do, and fo compreffing the Breath in the Palate; but the Germans on the contrary, deprefs their Tongue, and fo deprefs the Breath into the Throat. The French exprefs this Sound when (e) goes before ( $m$ ) or ( $n$ ) in the fame Syllable, as Entendement, \&c. The $W e l \beta$ and the Italians pronounce their (a) with this Sound.

In this fame Seat the French form their (e) Mafculine, by a lefs, or the middle Opening of the Mouth, with an acute Sound, as the Italians, Englifh, Spaniards, and others, pronounce this Letter; for it is a middle Sound betwixt the foregoing Vowel and that which foilows: But the Engli/3 exprefs this Sound not only by ( $e$ ), but when it is long, by (ea), and fometimes by $(e i)$; as the, thefe, Sell, Seal, tell, Teal, feeal, Set, Seat, beft, Bcaft, red, read, receive, deccive, \&c. But thofe Words which are written with (ea) would really be more rightly pronounc'd, if to the Sound of (e) long, the Sound of the Englifs (a) juftly pronounc'd, were added; as in all Probability they were of old pronounc'd, and as they are ftill in the Northern Parts. And thus thofe written with (ei) would be more juftly fpoken, if the Sound of each Letter were mix'd in the Pronunciation.

In the fame Place, but yet with a leffer opening of the Mouth, (i) flender is form'd, which is a Sound very familiar with the French, Italian, Spaniards, and moft other Nations. This Sound when it is fhort, is exprefs'd by the Englifs by (i) fhort ; but when it is long, it is generally written with (ee) not feldom with (ie), and fometimes by $(e a)$, as $\sqrt{2} t$, fee't, fit, feet, fill, feel, field, fill, ficel, ill, eel, fin, feen, near, dear, bear, \&c. Some of thofe Words which with this Sound are written with (ea) are often and more juftly exprefs'd by (ee), and others fpelt with (e) Mafculine, adding to it the Sound of (a) fender, very fiviftly pronounc'd. The Wel/b exprefs this Sound not only by (i), and in the laft Syllable by $(y)$, but alfo by (u), which Letter they always pronounce in that Manner, and found the Diphthongs or double Vowels $a u, c u$, like $a i$ and $c i$.

## NOTES.

The Labial, or Lip Vowels, are form'd in the Lips, being put into a round Form, the Breath being there moderately comprefs'd. There are three Sorts of Claffis of thefe, as well as of the former.

The round $(0)$ is form'd by the larger Aperture or Opening of the Lips, which Sound mott People give the Greek $\omega$; the French with the fame pronounce their (aul), and the Englifb almoft always pronounce their long (o) and alfo (oo), the (a) as it were quite vanifhing in the Utterance; of which the fame may be faid as was before on (ea), as one, none, whbole, Hole, Coal, Boat, thofe, chofe, \&c. The fhort ( 0 ) is exprefs'd by the open one, as I have faid before, but more rarely by the rough one.

The German fat (a) is form'd in the Lips, by a more moderate or middle Degree of opening 'em. The fame Sound is ufed by the Italians, Spaniards, and not a few others. The French exprefs this Sound by ou, the $W^{\prime} / / / b$ by $w$; the Englifb generally by (oo), more rarely by $u$ or ou, as Foot, Jioot, full, Fool, Pool, good, food, W'ood, Mood, Source, could, would, foould, sic. But do, miove, and the like, are better exprefs'd by round (o) than fat (u).

Silent (u), fo much in ufe wth both French and Englijh, is form'd in the fame Place, but with a leffer opening of the Lips. This Sound is every where exprefs'd by the Englifh with their long (u), fometimes by ( $c$ ) and (cru), which yet are better pronounc'd by retaining the Sound of the (e) Marculine, as Mufe, Tune, Lute, dure, mute, mow, krewd, knew, \&c. Foreigners would obtain the Pronunciation of this Letter, if they would endeavour to pronounce the Diphthong (iu) by putting the flender (i) before the Letter (u) or (w), as the Spaniard in Ciudade, a City; but this is not abfolutely the fame Sound, tho' it comes very near it; for (iu) is a compound Sound, but the French and Englijs $(u)$ is a fimple. The Wel/s generally exprefs this Sound by $i w, y w, u w w$, as in lliu, Colour; llyw, a Rudder ; Duw, God.

We allow thefe Nine Sounds to be Vowels, that is, diftinct, unmixt Sounds; nor do we know any more; for the Englijß broad (i) does not feem to be a fimple Sound, yet we do not deny, but that there may now be in fome Part of the World, or Pofterity may difcover more Vocal Sounds in thofe Seats of Voice, than thofe Nine which we have mention'd, and fo 'tis poffible there may be fome intermediate Sounds, fuch as perhaps is the Frencb (e) Neuter, betwixt the Palatine Vowel (a) Sender and (e) Mafculine ; for the Aperture or Opening of the
NOTES.

Mouth is like the continu'd Quantity, divifible in infnitum: For as in the numbering the Winds, firt there were four Names, then twelve, and at laft thirty-two; thus whereas the Arabians, and perhaps the ancient Hebrews, had only three Vowels, or one in each Seat, now in our Times we plainly difcover at leaft three in every Seat ; perhaps our Pofterity may interpofe fome betwixt each of thefe.

But all thefe Vowels are capable of being made long or fhort, whence arifes the Difference of Quantity in long and Thort Syllables, tho' fome of 'em arc very rarely long, as cbfure (u) and (e) Feminine : Others are more rarely fhort, as round ( 0 ) and flender (u), at leaft in our Tongue. But fome of the Confonants are capable of Contraction and being lengthen'd, (efpecially fuch as make the neareft Approaches to the Nature of Vowels) except $p, t, k$, or hard $c$, which are abfolute Mutes, nor have any manner of proper Sound, but only modify the Sound either of the preceding or fucceeding Vowel.

Here we think it proper to bring all thefe Vowels into one View, rang'd in their proper Claffes.

[15] Thefe

## C H A P. III.

## Of Double Vowels, proper and improper. [15]

When of two Vowels the compounded Sound Fully in one Syllable is found Of both partaking, yet diffinet from all, This rue a Double Vowel fill do call.

wH AT we call Double Vorvels, is, when the Sound of two Vowels is mix'd perfectly in one Syllable, and, indeed, makes a diftinct Sound from either and all the other Vowels, and would merit peculiar Characters, if we were to form an Alphabet, and not follow that, which is already in Ufe; by which we exprefs thefe diftinct Sounds by the two Vowels, whofe Sound compofes them ; (ai) in fair, (au) in laud or applaud, (ee) in bleed, Seed, \&c. (oi) in roid, (oo) in Food, and (ou) in House.

> But if the Sound of one is beard alone, 'Tis then improperi'y fo call'd, we orvn, Tho' of the Proper it before be one.

When two Vowels come together in one Syllable, and produce no other Sound, but what one of the two gives alone, then is that not properly, but improperly call'd a Double Vorwel; as (ea) is every where pronounc'd (e) long, the Sound of the (a) not mingling at all with it, is entirely fuppreft ; as in Meat, Pleafure, Treafure, \&ic. (ie) is founded like (ee) in jeen, as in fiend: and (ei) founds only (e) long, as in receive, and ( $(y)$ in Key, or like (ai), and fo make no proper Double Vowel. (Eau), (eu), jerw, found only ( $u$ ) long, as in Beauty, Eunuch, ferw.

Hence it follows, that a true and proper Double Vorvel muft confift of two diftinct Vowels in one Syllable, yet making but one Sound compounded of thofe two Letters, and different from the other fingle Vowels; they mult be in one Syllable, becaufe two Vowels often come together, but make two diftinct Syllables, as in acrial, annual, aguifh, aloes, \&c.
[15] Thefe double Vowels are commonly call'd Diphthongs, or compounded Sounds, as fharing in (or blending) the Sound of Two Vowels in One.

## Of the proper Double Vowel (ai) or (ay).

Six proper Double Vowels rwe allow,
Ai , au, and ce , and oi , and oo , and ou , At tb' end of Words write ay, oy, and ow.
The proper Double Vorwels are therefore only thefe mention'd in the Rule. Firlt (ai), or (ay); for (ai) ends no Englifa Word, according to the former general Rule, that (i) ends no Word in our Tongue, and (ay begins none, except a Word of one Syllable ; as ay, in Ay me! an Exclamation. This Double Vorvel is therefore written (ai) in the Beginning and Middle of Words, but (ay) at the End.
In the Beginning, as Air, Aim, Ail, Aid, but Eight in Number,' and thofe Words that are derived from it, have the Sound of (ai), but are fpelt ( $(i)$ : In the Middle of Words, as Brain, frail, Afair, repair, but fome few are fpelt here likewife by (ei), for (ai), as Conciipt, Reccipt, Decciitt, Hcir, Reign, Viin, Weizbt, \&.c. (ay) is put at the End, as Drcy, Clay, Fray, Play, Day, and of all other Words that found (ai), except convey, Grey, (Colour and Badger) Greybound; obey, prey, purvey;, furvecy, they, trey, or treypoint, Why.
Tho' fometimes the Letters of this Double Vorvel (ai) deviate from their proper Sound, into that of (i), or (e) fhort, yet is the Spelling preferv'd in (ai), as again, Villain, Fountain, Wainfot, \&c.

The finical Pronunciation in fome Part of this Town of London has almoft confounded the Sound of (ai) and (a); the Mafter and Scholar muft therefore take a peculiar care to avoid this Error, by remembring that (a) ends no Englifh Word, unlefs before excepted; and however you pronounce, write al. ways Day, not da; and fo of the reft.

When (a) and (i) come together in proper Names, efpecially thofe of Scripture, as fa ir, Mo-ja-ic, Re-pba-im, \&c. they are parted, and make two Syllables.

## Of the Double Vowel (au) or (aw).

The Double Vorwel (au) is exprefs'd at the Beginning and Middle of Words by (au), at the End by (arw), except in arw, aruful, aww, arwkerd or arwhward, \&c. where ( $a$ w) begins the a Flarw, a Sort of Cuftard; Harwik, and Words or Names deriv'd from it ; Hawer, Lawn, Prarwn, Sparwl, Sparwn, Sprawl,
C $\begin{array}{r}\text { Straww }\end{array}$.

Strawberry, taruncy, tho' in the Middle, are writ with (arw), all other Words are in the Middie as well as Deginning (au), except fuch as by the Appofition of (Il) to (a) found (au); as Ball, Call, Hall, \&-c. 'Tho' the Sound of this Double Voruch be the fame with $(a)$ in all, finall, \&cc. yet 'tis different from the common and more general Sound of that Letter.

Au begins a Word, as Audience, Autbority, auftere, augment, \&ic. Au is ufed in the Middle of Words, as affault, becaufe, Cauldron, Caufe, Caufy, dount, debauch, fraud, gaudy, jaunt, rvaunt, Faundice, Laurcl, Maud, Maudlin, paufc, Sauce, Vault, \&c.

But arv muft always conclude a Word, becaufe our Language abhors a bare naked $u$ at the End of a Word; as Claw, Paw, raw, Saw, Law, \&c.

Thefe Two Letters are often parted in proper Names, and make two Syllables; as in Archela-us, Hermola-us, \&c. yet in Paul, Saul, \&c. it remains a Double Vowel.

## Of the Double Vowel (ee). [16]

## The (ce) that was excluded beretofore <br> From proper Double Vowels, we refiore.

'Tho' ( $\epsilon \epsilon$ ) has been excluded by an ingenious Gentleman, from the Number of proper Double Vorwels, becaufe (ee) founds like (i) in Magazine, Sbire, and Machine; yet the fame Reafon holding againft (au) much ftronger, becaufe it founds the fame as (a) in all, call, fall, \&c. we have thought it but jult to seftore (ee) to is Right, fince it is a very diftinct Sound from both the long and hort Sound of (c), which are native: That in Shire, \&cc. is borrow'd from this Double Vowel, as that of -ll, call, foall, \&c. is from (au); thefe in (a) being much more numerous than thofe in (i),

The fingle $(e)$ in Words of one Syllable moftly founds (et), as me, be, ßee, we, ye, be, bere, \&c.

## Of the Double Vowel (oi) or (oy).

The proper Double Vorvel (oi) at the beginning, is written by (oi), as Oificr, Oil, \&rc. It is in the fame Manner exprefs'd in
[16] (ee) or ic, is founded like the French long $i$, that is, flender i) for the Fronch give the fame Sound to $f i n$, win, as we fhould do to Seen, reen; or perhaps firn, rien, as we do in Fiend.
the Middle; as Poifi, Noife, Voice, rejoice, \&cc. This Double Vorwel in many Words has the Sound of (i) long; as in Point, anoint, Foint, scc. $(\mathrm{O} y)$ is written at the End of all Words; as Boy; coy, Foy, defray, employ, \&cc.

## Of the proper Double Vowel (00).

## Truo Vorucls of a Sort no Word begin;

So (oo) in th' Middle only is let in.
[17] As no Engli/b Word begins with two of the fame Letters, except Aaron, Aaronite, fo cannot (oo) be put at the Beginning of a Word, nor at the End, but of too in too much, and when it fignifies alfo; and in Cuckoo, as fpelt by fome. The Ufe therefore of (oo) is chiefly, if not only, in the Middle of Words; as in Loom, aloof, boon, Reproof, Broom, Room, Food, Fool, Tool, cool, Goofe, and where the true and proper Sound of this Vowel is exprefs d , as it is in many other Words. This Double Vorwel founds (u) in thefe Words; they were anciently written with a ( $u$ ) or (ou), in which the ( $u$ ) only was fourded.

But it founds like fhort (u) in Flood and Blood, and like (0) long in Door, Floor, Moor, \&c.

As other Letters the Office do of ( 00 ),
So that of others by (oo's) performed too.
And as the Figures of this Double Vorvel often exprefs the Sounds of other Letters, fo by the fame original Error of Pronunciation other Letters exprefs the Sound proper to this Double Vorvel; as (ou) in could, frould, would, \&c. and fingle (o) in Wolf, Wolves, Rome, Tomb, Womb, approve, bebove, move, reprove, \&c.

## Of the proper Double Vowel (ou) or (ow.)

When (ou) retains its juft compounded Sound,
$A$ proper Double Vowel it is found;
But rwben the Sound of citber is fuppreft,
It finks $t$ ' improper, as do all the ref.
This proper Double Vorvel (ou) or (orv) has Two Sounds, one proper to it as a Double Vowel, or as compos'd of both (o) and (u) ; as in Houfe, Moufe, Loufi, Owil, Farwl, Torwn; to
[17] 00 is founded like the fat $u$ of the Germans, and the ou of the French; as in the Words good, Acood, Root, Foot, loofe, \&c.
bowv, Fowl, Bcugh, our, out, \&c. and another, which is improper to its Nature, the Sound of the (z) being entirely funk, as in Soul, Snow, know, \&c. Thus in Words ending in (orv), obfcure (0) only is founded; as in JBallow, Sorrow, Arrow, Billorw; where the (w) feems only put for Ornament-fake, merely to cover the Nakednefs of fingle ( 0 ). This holds in moft Words of more than one Syllable. ( Ou ) is alfo founded like (u) fhort in couple, Trouble, frourge, \&.c. in which the Sound of the ( 0 ) is entirely funk, and leaves it no longer a proper Double Vorvel. Thus in you, your, and Youtb the, (u) is founded long.

In could, would, Bould, and a few others, it founds ( 00 ). But in the modern Way of feelling and founding, the (l) is left out, and cou'd, wicu'd, Sou'd, found cood, swood, Boood, \&c.
> (Ou) the Beginning, and the Middle takes; And fill the End of Words for (ow) forfakes.

( Ou ) begins a Word, as Ounce, 'our, out, and its Compounds, Oufel, except Orel: And in the Middle of moft Words; as Hour, Flour, Mountain, Fountain, bounce, flounce, \&c. except, Crowvi, Clowwn, Down, drown, frown, Gorwn, Town, Bower, Dowager, Dorwer, Dorwry, bowfe, dowfe, Sowfe, Forwl, Howlet, Powel, Towel, Trozvel, Vorvel, blowfe, drowfy, Carrowefe, Cowvardice, Endownent, lowre, Power, Tower, Howard, Albwance, Alvoru-Jon, Bowl, Rowel, rowving, Shower, \&c.

This Sound is always at the End of a Word exprefs'd by (ow, as now, borw, enorv, \&cc. In fhort, this is a general Rule, That whenever a proper Double Vorwel lofes its native Sound, and varics to any other fimple Sound, it ceafes to be a proper, and becomes an improper Double Vowel, as having only the fimple and uncompounded Sound of fome one fingle Vowel. There is but one Exception to this Rule, and that is, when it wanders to the Sound of another Double Vorwel, which is only done by (ou), when it founds (00) in could, would, Bould, \&c. [18]
[18] All other Sounds, befides thofe enumerated in the foregoing Difcourfe of fimple Sounds, are plainly compounded, tho' fome of them are commonly thought to be fimple.

The Diphthongs, or Double Vowcls, ai, ci, oi, au, cu, ou, or $a y, ~ c y, o y, a w, e w, o w$, when they are truly pronounc'd, are
compounded of the foregoing or prepofitive Vowels, and the Confonants $y$ and $w$, which yet are commonly taken for fubfequent Vowels: For in $s i$, $a u$, or $a y$, arw, the (a) finder is fet firt ; in $c i$, or $c y$, the ( $($ ) Feminine ; in $c u$, or $c z v$, the (e) Mafculine ; in oi, ou, or oy, ow, the open (o) is fometimes fet firt, as in the Engliß, Words Boy, Toy, Soul, Bowl, a Cup; fometimes obfcure ( $)$, as in the Englifh Words boil, toil, Oil, Bowl, Fowl, \&c. We grant by the Pronunciation of fome Men open (o) is us'd in thefe Words.

* But whereas fome will needs have it, that the Confonants $(y)$ and $(u)$ do not at all differ from (i) and (u), or (as we write them) (ec) and (oo), very fwiftly pronounc'd; it may eafily be found to be a manifeft Error, if we nicely attend the Formation of the Words yee and rwoo, efpecially if we often repeat them; for he will obferve, that he cannot pafs from the Sound of the Confonant, to the Sound of the following Vowel, without a manifelt Motion of the Organs, and by that Means of new Pofition, which does not happen in the repeating of the Sounds (ee) and (oc).

We are fenfible, that thefe which we call Diphthongs, or Double Vorvels, in different Tongues, have different Sounds, of which we have no Bufinefs now to treat ; yet thefe may all be found and difcover'd among thofe Sounds, which we have, difcours'd of; and may be fo referr'd to their proper Places. The long (i) of the Engli/s is plainly compounded of the Feminine, (e), and $(y)$, or ( $i)$, and has the fame Sound entirely with the Greck (ib).

The Latin a, ce, the Englib ea, oa, ee, oo, and fometimes $\epsilon i$, ie, ou, au, (the like being to be found among other Nations) altho' they are written with Two Characters, are yet (at leaft as we pronounce them now) but fimple Sounds.

* This is Dr. Wailis's Obfervation, which we do not think conclufive for what be brings it, becaufe in the Inftance be gives, the ( $y$ ) and the ( $w$ ) are plac'd before the Vorvels, and then thry are Conjonants confefs' $d$; but when they come after Vorwels, they bave the very fame Effeiz on the Organs, as (i) and (u) bave: For no body contends that thiy are never Confonants, or that when Confonants, they are form'd in the fame Manner as whers Vowels.


## Of the improper Double Vowels. [19]

> Tb' improper Double Vowels we declare Nine, as (aa), (ea), (eo) and (eu) are (Ie), (oa), (oe) (ue), and (ui):
> But all their fiveral Sounds bere let us try.

The Juncture of thefe feveral Vorvels can never be properly called Double Vowels, fince they every one produce but the Sound of one Letter ; $i_{\text {tzal) }}$ is always founded ( Bal ), as in impartial, credential, \&cc. where the (ti) is turn'd into ( $\beta$ ), or the Two Vowels are divided after ( $f$ ) or any other Confonant but $(r)$ and $(c)$, and fo make Two syllables, as beftial. Thus (io) following $(t)$ and before ( $n$ ), founds ( /ban ), as Confitution. Difcretion, \&ic. (io) retains the fame Sound, when it follows fingle or double (s), as in Allufion, Afperfion, Compulfion, Suffufion, Verfon, \&c. Admiffon, Compafion, Exprefion, \&c. But when (io) follows ( $\mu$ ), they are parted into Two Syllables, as in Quefion, Combuffion; and the fame is to be obferv'd after any other Confonant. ( $U_{a}$ ) are always feparated, except after $(g)$ in gua, and (q) in qua; as Language, Lingual, \&c. 2ualify, 2uality, \&c. except likewife when it follows ( $/$ ), and then it founds (fua), as in perfuade, difuade, and their Derivatives perfuafive, difuafive, \&c. and Suarity, an obfolete Word.

Next (uo) muft always be parted, except after (9), which can't be founded without (u), as in quick, 2uality, 2ualm, quote, \&c.

The improper Double Vorvels are counted Nine in Number, as (aa), (eo), (eo), (eu), (ie), (oa), (oc), (ue), and (ui).
(Aa) founds (a), but it is Seldom found;
(Ea) four feveral Ways declares its Sound;
(E) long, (a) Bort, (e) Bort, and double (ee), As in fivear, Heart, Head, and in Fear you See.
[19] They are jufly call'd improper, becaufe they are mof uncompounded in Sound, tho' written with Two Vowels. 'Tis probable when this Spelling prevail'd, each Letter had a Share in the Sound, but Negligence and Corruption of Pronunciation has wholly filenc'd one. This is remarkable, that in moft of them the firft Vowel prevails, and gives the Sound.
[20] As
(Aa) is feldom in a Word but proper Names, and there only founds (a), and is generally divided.
(Ea) is founded four feveral Ways, $1 /$, Like (a) long, as bear, fwear, tear, wear; 2dly, Like (a) fiort, as bearken, Heart, and Words derived from it, as beartj, beartlefs, \&cc. alfo its Compounds; as Heart-burning, Heart-eafe, fuint-bearted, \&c. 3dly, (e) flort, as already, readj, Bread, Breaf, Head, scc. 4thly, It fometimes founds (ec), or (e) long; as in afpear, Arrear, Fear, near, \&cc. Bead, conceal, Veal, glean, clean, \&c. And generally the long Sound of (e) is writ (ea), as Fenf, Beaf, \&c. and the fhort Sound of (e) as beft, Gueft, \&ic.
(Eo) (e) Poort, and double (e), wie find,
As well as (eu), to found long (u)'s inclin'd.
(Eo) founds (e) Mort in Feofee, Feopardy, Leopard, Yeoman, (e) long in Pcople, Feodary, and (o) thort in George.
(Eu), or (iw), found (u) long; as Dcuce, Deuicronomy, Pleu: rijie, \&c.
(Ie) Jounds ( $y$ ) in ending Words; and (e)
Short and long, or double (e)'twill be.
(Ie) is founded (e) long in Cieling, Caßbier, Field, Fiend, Fron= tier, \&c. but (e) Mort in pierce, feerce, \&cc. It is ufed likewife for ( $y$ ) at the End of Words.
(Ei) founds (ai) a long in feign and eight, It founds (e) long in perceive, Deceit.
(Ei) founds like (ai), or (a) long, in Reign, feign, Eight, weighty, \&ic. It founds ( $e$ ) long in deciive, ferccive, Deceit.

This Rule is general, That the Letter which gives or predominates in the sound, is always plac'd firft in theefe improper double Vowels.

The (a) to (0) in (oa) we apply,
To make (0) long, and Filent. (e) fupply.
In (oa) the (a) feems added only to make the (o) found long; fupplying the (e) filent, it giving the fame Sound; as Cloak and Cloke, afproach, broach, Coaft, drat, foat, Goat, boary, Load, Moot, Ock, poach, roam, Soal, a Fin, Toad, Woad': (oa) has a peculiar broad Sound in broad, abroad,' Groat; and that of (ai) in Gaol.

IThe ( 0 ) and (e) alternately prevails;
In (oe) woben this founds, then that fill fails.
In (ce) fometimes the (e) prevails and the (o) is filent; as in OEconomy, OEdipus, OEcumenical; OEconconical; but in Crce C 5
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(of Iron) Doe, Foe, Sloe, Toe, Woe, the (e) is filent, and the (o) produc'd ; there latter being Words of Eniglifa Origin, as well as Ufe, the former of the Greek. Sboe, and $W_{o c}$, to make love, fome write with (oo), leaving (o) bare, contrary to the Genius of the Engli/b Language; whereas the Diftinction would be preferv'd, and the Sound juftly exprefs'd, by adding (e) to the (00).
( Ue ) one Syllable rve foldom found;
$(\mathrm{U})$ aftor $(\mathrm{g})$ to barden $(\mathrm{g})$ is bound.
Few Words have (ue) founded as one Syllable, as Guelderland, Guerkins, gueft ; for guffs is wrong fpelt, tho' too much ufed of late by the Ignorance or Negligence of Authors, or Printers; for its true Spelling is $g b_{i} / 5$ : In all which the ( $u$ ) is only added to harden the Sound of the (g.), the (e) only being founded ; though (gue) in Guerdon founds (gue), as do the Termination, or Endings of feveral Words, as Apologue, Cataloguc, colleague, colloguc, Decalogue, Dialogue, Epilogue, Fatigut, Intrigue, League, Plague, Prologue, prorogue, Rogue, Synagogue, Theologue, Tongue, Vogur. At the End of the following Words (e) is added to (u), not only to cover its Nakedness, according to the Genius of the Tongue, but fometimes to produce the (u); as in accrue, Avenue, cue, due, enfue, Fefue, Glue, Hue, perduc, fur fue, Refiduc, Retinue, Rue, 今pue, or /perw, fue. But (ue) in all other Words are parted, nor make any manner of double Vowel, as in Affuence, Cruelty, Grutl, \&c.
(Ui) tbree feveral Sorts of Sound exprefs, As Guile, rebuild, Bruife and Recruit confess.
The improper double Vowel (ui) has Three feveral Sorts of Sound, 1. as (i) long, in beguile, Guide, Difguife, quite, \&c. 2. (i) fhort, in Guildf crd, build, rebuild, \&c. 3. (u) long, as in Bruife, R(cruit, Fruit, \&c.

C H A P. IV.
Of the Consonants.

> A Confonant no proper Sound obtains, But from its founding with, its Name it gains; And yet it varies every Vowel's Sound, Whether before, or after it, 'tis found.

TH O' a Confonant be a Letter that cannot be founded without adding fome fingle or double Vowel before or after it, and therefore derives its Name from confounding, or founding ruith, yet may jufly be defined, A Letter fhewing the feveral Motions and Configurations of the Parts of the Mouth, by which the Sound of the Vowels is varioufly determin'd, are firt divided into fingle and double; the double are $x$ and $z$,
[20] As the Vowels were divided into three Claffes, fo we divide the Confonants into the fame Number; the Labial, or Lip; the Palatine, or Palate; the Guttural, or Throat Confonants, as they are form'd in the Throat, Palate, or Lips; that is, while the Breath fent from the Lungs into thefe Seats, is either interceptca', or at leaft more forcibly comere $\int_{s}$ ' $d$.

But it is befides to be remark'd, that we may obferve a triple Direction of the Breath. For firft, it is all directed wholly to the Mouth; that is, feeking its W/ay or Outlet thro' the Lips: or fecond, it is almoft wholly direced to the Noftrils, there to find a Paffage out ; or third, it is as it were equally divided betwixt the Noftrils and the Mouth: But we believe this Diverfity of the Direction of the Preath wholly proceeds from the various Polition of the Uvoula.

Since therefore the Breath fent out in this threefold Manner may be perfectly intercepted thrice in each of thefe Seats, there are Nine different Conionants which derive their Origin from them, and which, for that Rerfon, we call frimitive, or clos'd Confonants: But if the Breath be not wholly intercepted in thefe Seats, but only more hardly comprefs'd, find, tho' with Difficulty, fome way of exit; various other Confonants are form'd, according to the various manner of the Compreffion; which Confonants we fhall call diriv'd, or open Confonants. As to the particular Formation of them, fee the Notes, at the End of the Chapter.
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the reft are all fingle ; and thefe are again divided into Mutes and Liquids; Eleven Mutes, and Four proper Liquids: $b, s$, and $u$, are Nouters, as not frictly adhering to either.

> The Confonants we jufly may divide Into Mutes, Liquids, Neuters; and befde We muft for double Confonants frovide. Eleven Mutes Grammarians do declare, 'And but four Liquids, 1, m, n, and r. Bebind the Mutes the Liquids gently forw Inverted, from the Tongue they will not go.

Confonants are divided into Mutes and Liquids call'd alfo Half-Vorvels; the Mutes are $b, c, d, f, v, g, j, k, p, q, t$, and are fo call'd, becaufe a Liquid cannot be founded in the fame Syllable when a Vorwel follows it, as (rpo).

The Liquids, or Half.Voruels, as they have fome Sort of obfcure Sound of a Vorwel attending their Pronunciation, which is likewife imitated in their Names, as $\mathrm{cl}, \mathrm{em}, \mathrm{cn}$, ar, fo the Name of Liquid imports the eafy Motion, by which they nimbly glide away after a Mute in the fame Syllabie, without any fand, and a Mute before it can be pronounc'd in the fame Syllable, as pro in probable.
(C) the hard Scund of ( k ) will cever keep Befcre, (a), ( 0 ), ( u ), ( I ), and ( r ), as creep,
Clear, Cup, Coft, Cat: Before (e), (i), and (y),
Or ev'n the Comma that do's (e) imply,
It mofly takes the fofter Sound of (s);
As City, Cell, and Cyprefs muft confifs, When final (c) without an (e) is found, 'Tis hard; but Silent (e) gives fofter Sound.
[21] The genuine and natural Sound of $(r)$ is hard, like ( $k$ ), as when it precedes $(a),(o),(u),(l)$, or $(r)$; as in Cat, Coft, Cup, clear, crecp. But before (e), (i), and $(\cdot)$, and where there is an Apoftrophe or Comma above the Word, denoting the Abfence of $(\epsilon)$, it has generally the Sound of (s), as Cell, City,

[^0]City, Cyprefs. If in any Word the harder Sound precedes (e), (i), or $(\mathcal{j})$, (k) is either added or put in its Place, as Skill, Skin, Publick: And tho the additional ( $k$ ) in the foregoing Word be an old Way of Spelling, yet it is now very juftly left off, as being a fuperfluous Letter: for (c) at the End is always hard, without $(\mathcal{)}$ ) or the filent (c) to foften it, as in Cbace, Clemency, sc.

Moft Words ending in the Sound of ace, ece, ice, oice, uce, mult be written with (ce), not (fe), except abafe, abbirufe, bafe, cafe, ceafe, amufe, concife, dibere, decreafe, Geefe, imbafe, encreafe, mortije, Paradife, profufe, promife, reclufe, Treatife, abufe, aijufe, excuufe, Houfe, Loufe, Moovfe, refufe, ufe, clofe, 'loofe.

Moft Words ending in ance, ince, once, and unce, muft be written with (c) between the ( $n$ ) and (c), except denje, condenfe, difpenfe, inmmenfe, incenfe, tenfe, intenfe, propenfe, jufpenfe, Senfe.
(C) before (b), has a peculiar Sound, as in Cbance, Cberry, Cburch, Cbalk, Cbip; but in Cbart 'tis like ( $k$ ), and in Chord in Mufick.

The genuine Sound of (s) is ftill acute
And bifing ; but the Clofe that does not fute,
There 'tis obfcure, and Soft pronounc'd like zed, And fometimes 'twixt two Vowels rwben 'tis $/$ jed.
(S) being fo near akin to the foft Sound of ( $c$ ), we thought is naturally follow'd that Letter in our Confideration, tho' not in the Alphabet. When (s) therefore keeps its genuine Sound, it is pronunc'd with an acute or hiffing Sound, but when it clofes a Word, it almoft always has a moft obfcure and foft Sound like (z), and not feldom when it comes between Two Vorvels, or double Vorwels, when it has this foft Sound, Propriety and Diftinction require, that it be writ with the fhorter Character of that Letter, as bis, advife, \&cc. and with the larger in all other Places, as bijs, devife, if writien with an (s) and not with a (c), as it too often is. There are but Four Words of one Syllable, which end with hard (s), Yes, this, tbus, us.

That (s) with (c) you may not Aill confound,
To learn, and mind the follorving Rules you're bound.
By Vorwels follow'd, (fi), ( (i), and (ci), alike,
Witb the fame Sound do fill the Hearing frie?
In Words deriv'd tbey keep a certain La:w,
Impos'd by thofe from rubence their Sound they drarw.
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## If thofe in (de), (f), or (fe) do end,

 To their Derivatives they (fi) commend; If with (ck) or (ce) thecr clofe thiy make, Then the deriv'd (ci) will furely take: But if with ( t ) or ( te ) they do conclude, Then wwith (ti) Derivative's endu'd.[22] $S i$, $t i$, and $c i$, found alike, as in Perfuafion, Mufcian, Seacion, Imitation, \&c. Thefe Words are all deriv'd from others, and therefore when the Original Words end in (de), (s), or $\left(\sigma_{c}\right)$, then ( $f_{2}$ ) is ufed; as perfuade, Perfuafion, confés, Confeffion, confufe, Confufion, \&rc. If with (cc), or (ck), or hard (c), then (ci) is ufed; as Grace, Graciuus, Mufick, Mufician, \&c. But if with $(t)$, or $(t e)$, then ( $t i)$ is ufed, as Sect, Seczion, imitate, Imitation, ssc. except submit, Submidion, fermit, Permiffion.
Tho' this Letter feems very regular in its Sound of ( $f_{e}$ ) in the Beginning, and (es), at the End of Words, yet it is too apt to be miftaken for (c), efpecially in the Beginning: Yet by the following Rules and Exceptions, the Miftake may be obferv'd to be remov'd.

Moft Words beginning with the Sound of (s) before ( $\epsilon$ ) and (i) muft be written with (s), except thefe with (c) before ( $c$ ).

Ceafe, Cedar, Celandine, Celery, celebrate, Celebration, Celerity, Celeftial, Celibacy, Celibate, Cell, Cellar, Cellarage, cement, Cenfi, Cenfor, cenforious, Cenfurc, cent, Centaurs, Ceniter, Centinody, Knot-grafs an Herb; Centory, or Centaury, an Herb; Centry, Centurion, Century, ceppalick, Cere-cloth, ceremonial, ceremonious, Cercmony, certain, certainly, Certificate, certify, cerulean, Cerufs, Cefs, Ceffation, Celion, Cetrach, Finger-fern; and thefe proper Names, Cecrops, Celfus, Cenchrea, Ceekbas, Cerberus, Citrintbus, Ceres, Cafar.
[22] The Reafon to thofe who know Latin, is much eafier; for if they are deriv'd from a Latin Supine ending in (tum) then (ti) is ufed, as Natum, Nation, but if the Supine end in $(J u m)$, then $\left(\delta_{2}\right)$ is ufed; as $V_{i} j_{u m}, V_{i}$ ion, Confe $\left(V_{u m}\right.$, Corfiffion. If the Word be deriv'd from a Latin Subflantive of the firt Declenfion ending in (ca) or (tia), or of the fecond Declenfion ending in (tium) or (cium), then (ci) is ufed as Logica Logician, Gratia Gracious, Vitium Vicicus, Benefcium Beneficent, \&c.

Ard thefe of (c) before ( $i$ ). Cicatrice, Cicely, fiweet and wild Herbs; Cicling, Cichory, Cileire, Drapery of Foliage wrought on the Heads of Pillars; Cindrrs, Cinnabar, Cinquefoil, Cinnamon, Cinque-forts, Cipcrus a fiveet Root ; Cion, or Scion, Cipher, Circle, Circlet, circular, Circuit, circulate, Circulation, circuncife, and all compounds of circum - ; Cißern, Citarion, Citizen, citrine, or citrean, Citron, Citrul, a fort of Cucumber; Citadel, City, Cives, a fort of fmall Leeks; Civit, Civilian, Civility, civilize; and thefe proper Names, Cicero, Cicilia, Cilicia, Cimbrians, Cimmerians, Circe, Cirenceffer, Cijoury, CiJa, Cifertian, Monks; Citherides.

And thefe likewife are excepted of (c) before ( $y$ ).
Cybele, Cyclades, Cycle, Cyclometri, Cyclops, Cygnets, Cylindrical, Cymbal, cynical, Cynic, Cynthia, Cyprian, Cyprefs, Cyrene, Cyril.

The Sound of $(\Omega)$ in the Middle of Words is ufually written with ( $\delta$ ), except Acerbity, Actofofty, adjacent, Ancefcr:, antecedent, Artifcer, cancel, Cancer, Beneficence, Cbancel, Cbancellor, Cbancellor/bip, Cbancery, conceal, concede, cornceit, Conceitcdnefs, conceive, concent, Agreement or Harmony in Mu fick; concenter, concentric, concern, Chalcedony, Concernment, concert, Concertation, an affected Word; Concefion; Deceafe, decede, an effected Word; Deceit, deccive, December, Decency, decennial, decent, Deceftion, deceptivc, Decertation, an affected Word for ftriving ; Decefion, as bad a Word for departing; exceed, excell, Excellency, except Exception, Exccfs, Grocer, Grocery, immarceffible, a pedantique Word for incorruptible; imperceptible, Incendiary, Incenfe, incarcerate, incentive, incefSant, incefantly, inceflucus, Innocence, innocent, intercede, Interceflor, intercefion, intercept, mercenary, macerate, Mercer, Mercery, Magnificence, magnificent, Munificence, munificent, neceffary, Neceflaries, neceffitate, Nese Pity, necelitous, Necromancir, Larceny, Ocean, Parcel, Parcels, precede, precedential, Precedence, Precedent, preceftive, Precepts, Predccefors, fincere, Sixcerity, Saucer, Sorcerer, Sorcerefs, Sorcery, Macedon, Macedonia. Before (i) in the Middle, as Acid, Acidity, Accident, ancient, Anglicijm, Gallicijm, \&c. in cijm; anticipate, artiffcial, afoociate, audacious, Audacity, beneficial, calcine, calcinate, Council, capacious, cafacitate, Capacity, concife, cruciate, crucible, crucify, Crucifx, decide, decimal, dicimate, Decimation, decipher, Decijoun, dicijive, Defriency, delicious, docible, Docibility, efficacious, efficint, fecially, Exception, Exercife,

Excife, Excife-man, Excifon, excite, excruciate, cxplicite, feci-
ble for fcalible, gracious, implicitly, implicit, incapacitate, incapacity, inaufpicious, incident incidentally, incircle, Incifion, Incifure, incite, invincible, judicial, judicious, Loquacity, mcdicinal, Multiplicity, municipal, Nuncio, officiate, officious, pacifj, pacific, Parcimony, Parricide, participatc, Pencil, perfpicacious, Perfpicacity, pervicacious, pertinacious, Precinct, precious, Precipice, "precipitate, Precipitation, precije, precijcly, prejudicial, -proficient, Pronunciation, provincial, rapacious, Ratiocination, reciprocal, recital, recite, reconcile, reconcileable, Rouncivals, fagacious, Sagacity, Sicily, Simplicity, Sociable, Sociablenc/s, Society, Socinians, Soleci/m, folicite, Solicitation, Solicitor, folicitous, Solicitude, Jolficial, Spacious, specious, Speciality, Specifical, Species, Specific, Specimen, Special, Sufficiency, Sufficient, fupercilious, fuperficial, Superficies, fufpicious, tacit, Taciturnity, Turcifin, Veracity, Vivacity:

Moft Words ending with the Sound of ( $\sqrt{2}$ ) or ( $\sqrt{e}$ ), muft be written with (cy), except Apoftafy, bufy, Controverf), Courtely, Daify, Ecfacfy, eafy, Epilepfy, Fanfy, fpelt likewife tho' wrong, Fancy, Frenfy, or Frenzy, Gipfy, greafy, Herefy, Hypocrify, Jealoufy, Leprofy, Palfy, Panfy, a Flower, Pleurify, Pofy, Nofegay, and Motto of a Ring, Porfy Poetry, purly queafy, Caufy, to Propbcfy, Caufey, clumjey', Korfey, Linfey-rvolfey, Malmfey, Tolfey, whimfey.

In moft Words ( $\int$ ) between Two Vowels has the Sound of (z), except thofe enumerated, in the Rule about ace, ece, \&ic. under (c).

Moft Words ending in the Sound of arce, cree, oice, urce, muft be written with ( $\rho$ ) between the $(r)$ and (), except amerce, Diziorce, Farce, ficree, Force, pierce, fcarce, Scarcity, Source.

After (ou), ( $\int$ ), foft, and not (c), muft be written; as Houfe, to Houfe; Moufe, to Moufe; Roufe, to Roufe; unlefs (n) interpofes, and then it mult be with (c), as Bounce, Flounce, Ounce, \&ic.

All Words of one Syllable, that end with, and bear hard $u_{0}$,on the Sound of $(\rho)$, muft be written with ( $\rho_{s}$ ), except this ibus, us, and $Y_{\epsilon s}$; but if they are Words of many Syllables, or more than one, and end with the like Sound in (us), the (s) is not double, but (o) inferted before; as ambiguous, barbarcus, \&c.
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(T) before (i), t'another Vowel join' $d$,

To found like th' Acute, and bifing (s), que find:
But when an ( x ) or ( s ), do's ( i ) precede,
For its own Sound it ftrenuoufly do's plead.
$(\mathcal{T})$; when ( $t$ ) comes before ( $i$ ), follow'd by another Vowel, it founds like the Acute, or hiffing $(s)$, as in Nation, Potion, expatiate, \&c. but when it follows ( $f$ ) or $(x)$, it keeps its own Sound, as Befial, Quefion, Fufian, \&c.
$(\mathcal{T})$ with an (b) after it, has two Sounds, as in thin, the Tongue touching lightly the Extremes of the upper Teeth; and then, where the Tongue reaches the Palate, and the Root of the Teeth, making fome Mixture of (d).
(H), tho' deny'd a Letter beretofore,

We juftly to the Alphaphet refiore.
(H), tho' excluded the Number of Letters by Prisian, and fome of our Moderns on his Authority, yet in the Hcbrews Alphabet has three Characters: and befides fome obfcure Sound of its own, it mightily enforces that of the Vowels, and is manifefly a Confonant ; after ( $(w)$ it is pronounc'd before it, as when, white,founds bwen, brwite; (k) before (n) borrows its Sound, as Knave, Knight, bnave, bnight. 'Tis indeed fometimes near filent, as in Honour, Hour, \&c. but fo are many other Confonants in particular Pofitions.

> (X), and (Z) are double Confonants;

The firf the Pow'r of (c), or (ks), vaunts,
The fecond that of (ds) does boaft,
The force of (d) is nowe entirely loft,
Or rather to a firenuous biljeng toft.
$(X)$ and $(Z)$ are double Confonants, containing Two Powers under one Character; the former ( $c s$ ), or $(k s)$, the latter $(d s)$ tho' the Sound of the $(d)$ be not now heard, and only a ftrong Sibilation or Hiffing be difcover'd. The former expreffing $(k s)$ or (cs), cannot begin a Word except fome proper Names, Xanthe, Xantbus, Xantippe, Xantippus, Xenarcbus, Xencades, Xenius, Xenocrates, Xenophanes, Xenopbilus, Xenopbon, Xerolitia, Xerxenina, Xerxes, Xyfus, Xipkiline, and fome few Terms not varied from the Greek (this Rule meaning only Words purely Native, and not relating to Art) and ends only fome, not all of that Sound; which is exprefs'd Six feveral Ways: ( $1 / f$, ) At the End of fhort Syllables by (cks), as Backs, Nocks, Sticks, Rocks, Ducks, Bricks, Mccks, \&cc. (2dly,) At the
the End of Syllables made long by a double Vorvel, it is exprefs'd by (ks) as Books, Looks, breaks, Jpeaks, \&c. (3dly,) by double (cc) in the middle of Words where (e) or (i) follows; as Áccelerate, Accent, accept, Accettation, Accefs, accifible, Accefron, accefiory, or acceffary, Accedence, Acciatent, accidental, inaccelfible, occid.nt, occidental, fucceed, Succefs, Succeffion, fuccedaneous, fuccefsfful, fucinct, Succinezness. (4,tbly, By ( $\varepsilon$ ), in Words ending in Action, Ecticn, Iction, Oction, Uction, and Untzion; as Extraction, Perfection, Prediction, Concoztion, Defiruetion, Comptuntion, only except Comtlexion, Reflexion, a bending back, but more properly Refleciion, when it relates to Thought; Connexion, Crucifixion, Defuxion. ( 5 thly,) By (qs) at the End of fome Words, as Abfracts, AEts, Collects, Contracts, Defects, Efficts, Inficts, Objects, Projects, Subjecis; he affects, corrects, infiructs, for affecteth, \&cc. the ( $t b$ ) being intirely chang'd into (s), ( $6 t b l y$.) Laftly, the Sound of ( $k$ ) muft be written with $(x)$, in the Beginning, Middle, and End of all other Words, except Ecfacy. After (ex) never write (s) and feldom (c), but in except, exceed, Excefs, Excije, excite, \&cc. and (c), after (ex) comes before (co), (cu), (cl) and (cb), having a full Sound, as excommunicate, excufe, exclaim, exchange.
( K ) hrfore ( i , (e) when hard is Seen;
Ảnd before ( n ), as know, lill, keen.
$(K)$ begins all Words of a hard Sound before $(e),(i)$ and $(n)$, as keep, kill, know, knack, \&c. nor is it ever put before any Confonant but ( $n$ ), and then with fo much Conftraint, that it almoft lofes its Sound for that of (b).

Before all other Confonants ( $c$ 's) pluc't,
Altho' the barder Sound is there expreft.
And if the Sound of $(k)$ comes before any other Confonant, it is exprefs'd by (c), as in Character, clear, cringe.

The Sound of $(k)$ at the beginning of any Word or Syllable before (a), (o), or (u), is always exprefs'd by (c), as Cat, con, Cup; or when a filent ( $\varepsilon$ ) follows ( $k$ ), as Jpake, Spoke; or (ea) in the middle, as Jpeak, bleak, \&c. and then $(k)$ is written fingly without ( $\epsilon$ ) final.

To (y) a double Nature does belong, As Confonant and Vowel in our Tongue; The firft begins all Words, yet none can end, Tbe laft, it for the Cloge does fill contend.
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[23] ( $n$ ) is both a Vowel and Confonant; as a Vowel, it has appear'd to an ingenious Author to be fuperfuous; yet it is of great Ufe in our Larguage, which abhors the ending of Words in (i); and when the Sound of (i) comes double, tho' in two diftinct Syllables, as in aying, frying, \&c. When it follows a Confonant it is a Vowel, and when in precedes a Vowel it is a Confonant, and ought to be call'd (yt), and not (wy); and tho' it ends fo many Words as a Vowei, it can end none as a Confonant.

At the End of all Words of one Syllable ( $y$ ) has a tharp and clear Sound, as by, dy, diy, fyy, wiby, Jxy, thy, \&c. But at the End of Words of more Syliables it generaily Sounds obfcure, like (e), as eiernally, giorioufly, gody, except at the End of Words of Afirmation, as opply, herys, ediff, \&c. (y) only precedes Vowels, and chicfly (i), (), ( 1 ), ; and thefe it alio follows and incorporates with chem into doukle $V$ cruvels, for (ay), $((y)),(y)$, have the fame Sound with $(a i),(\varepsilon i),(o i)$; but the tormer are more us'd at the End of Words. In the Middle of Words it is not fo frequently us'd for a V'owel, except in Words of the Greck' Origin.

> And the fame Rigkt the double (u) demarads; Eegins as Confonant, as Vowel inds.
[24] ( $W$ ). This Letter in its moft general Ufe is a Confonant, going before all the Vowels, except (u); it likewife precedes ( $r$ ), and follows (s) and ( $t b$ ), as Want, went, Winter, Wrath, rurite, thwart. It follows as a Vowel (a), (s), (o), and unites with them into the double Vorvels, (arw), (ezv), (owi), as well as (u); as forw, forve, farw, ferw: But in (oo) it generally is ob fcure, efpecially in Words of many Syllables, as in Sbadow, Widow, \&c.

It iikewife, as has been obferv'd under (b), goes before (b), tho' it be founded after it, as in wuben, whbat, \&cc.
(Va) to the (f) in Nature is ally'd,
And to its final, bas (e) always ty'd.
[23] This Confonant is founded like the German ( $j$ ) Confonant, that is, with a Sound moft nearly approaching an extream rapid Fronunciation of the Vowel (i), The Arabians exprefs (y) by their $y e$, or our (w) by their $\tau$ warw.
[24] The (w) is founded in Englijh as (u) in the Latin Words guando, -lingua, fuadeo, and others after $q, g, f$. We generally make this Letter a Confonant, yet its Sound is not very different (tho' it does fomething differ) from the German Vowel, the tat, or grofs $(x)$ very rapidly pronounc'd.
(G) varies with the Vowel fill its Sound, Soft before (i), (e) ; before the reft bard's found. By (h) and (u) 'tis bardin'd, as in Ghefs And Guilt, and as fome other Words exprefs.
$(G)$ changes its Sound according to the Vowel it precedes, for before (a), $(0),(u)$, it has a hard Guttural Sound, as Game, Gold, Gum: But this hard Sound is melted into a fofter, by (e), (i), or ( $j$ ), as Gentle, Danger, Ginger, but it is harden'd here by the Addition of $(b)$, or $(u)$, as Gbefs, Guilt, \&c. It retains its native Guttural Sound before (e) in thefe : Altogetber, Anger, Auger, beget, Conger-eel, cxegetical, Finger, forget, gear, or geer, Geefe, geld, Gelderland, Gilder Rofe, Gelding, get, gewgazws, beterogentous, bomogeneal, beterogeneal, bomogeneous, Hunger, Hanger, Hungerford, linger, longer, Monger, /pringetk; obfolete, Atringed, Vinegar, winged, wringeth, wrongeth, now written, wrings, wrongs, younger; but a Singer with a Voice, and a Singer by Fire; a Sruinger on a Rope, and a Srivinger, a great Lye, muft be diftinguifh'd by the Senfe, or the old Way of Spelling the foft Sounds, by adding a ( $(1)$ after the $(n)$, as indeed they Sound, ( $D$ ) before ( $g$ ) always foftens the Sound of $(g)$, as Hog, bodge, Log, lodge, Dog, dodge, \&c. $(G)$ is hard before (i) in the following Words; as Argyle, begin, Gibberijh, Gibble-gabble: Gibbons, Giddens, Surnames; giddy, Gift, gig, giggle, giglet, Gilbert, gild, Gilder, Gildon, a Surname ; Gillet, a Surname ; Gills, guilt-bead, Gimlet, gimp; gird, girder, Girdlg, or Girdler ; Girl, girt, Girth of a Horfe; Gith, gittern, give, Gizzard; with all the Compounds and Words derived from any of thefe.

Two (gg)'s together make both bard remain, Tho' (i), or (e) or (y) be in their Train.

When-
[25] The (V) Confonant we pronounce as the French, Italians, Spaniards, and other Nations do, that is, with a Sound very near approaching the Letter $(f)$; yet $(f)$ and $(v)$ have the fame Difference which $(p)$ and $(b)$ have.
[26] If
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Whenever two (gg)'s comes together, they are both hard, tho' (c) (i) or (y) follow.

If the Primitive or Original Word end in hard ( g ), all Words derived from them do the fame ; as Dog, dogged, \&c. but moft of thefe latter are under the former Rule, becaufe moft of them double the $(g)$. ( $N$ ) between the Confonant and ( $g$ ) hardens it ; as fronger, longer, finger, \&c.
(Je)'s always foft, a Vorvel fill precedes, And in a Syllable the forcmoff leads.
All Words where-e'er this fofter Sound rue fee Before ( a ), ( O ), and ( u ), are writ with ( Je ).
(7) (j) Confonant always begins a Syllable, is ever plac'd before, never after a Vowel, and has an unvary'd Sound, as being pronounc'd every where as foft $(g)$ in Ginger ; but when the Sound of foft $(g)$ is at the End of a Word, it is exprefs'd by $(g)$, with filent (e) after it, Rage, Sage, Wage, \&ic. or with (dg), as Knoweledge, \&:c.

All Words beginning with this foft Sound before (a), (0) and (u), mult be written with ( $j e$ ), as well as all proper Names deriv'd from the Greek and Hebrew.

Many Words which now begin with a $(\mathrm{g})$ before (e), were originally fpelt with ( $\mathcal{F}$ ), as Fentleman, not Gentleman; and ought indeed to be thus written always, which wou'd avoid Confufion in the Spelling.
(2) in its Sound is always foinded kue, And ne'er is rwrit without a following (u).
(2) founds (kuc), or (que), and has always ( $k$ ) after it, and begins all Words with that Sound. It ends no Word without (c) after it, and chat in but a few Words of French' 'ermination, as Antique, oblique, pique, barque, cinque. [26]

To
'[26] If the Breath direcied thro' the Moutb to the Lips, be intercepted by the clofing of the Lips, the (P) is form'd; the Greek ( $\pi$ ); the Hebrcou (Pe). The Arabians have not this Letter, but fubflitute in its Place (Be) or (Phe); the Perfans befides this (Phe) of the Arabians, have their (H), which they diftinguifh from ( $B_{e}$ ), by putting Three Points under it.
If the Breath reaches not the Lips, but be wholly intercepted, in the Palate, by noving the Tip of the Tongue to the Forepart of the Palate, or, which is all one, to the Roots of the Arabian (Te) or ${ }^{\prime}\left(T_{a}\right)$, \&c.

To there we fhall add fome Rules relating to Confonants, join'd together.
(Gh) in the Beginning does exprefs
(G) bard, as in Ghoft we find, and in Ghefs.

Elferwere this (h) we mofly now omit,
ret by it the Syllable a Length does get.
In Northern Parts this very (h) is found
Witb a much fofter Appirate to Sound.
In the Beginning of Words $(\mathrm{g})$ ) is pronounc'd like hard $(\mathrm{g})$. Elfewhere tis now almoft wholly left out, but yet it implies, that the Syllable is to be lengthened. But fome (efpecially the Northern Feople) found the (b) with a fofter Afpiration; as in in Might, Light, Night, Right, Зight, Sigh, rweigh, Wight, though; (but the Three laft Letters in this Word are now by the Politer thrown away as ufelefs) Thought, wourougt, taugbt, \&c.
(Gh) fometimes will found like double (f)
As Cough, tough, rough, enough, trough, and laugh.
When enough fignifies Number, 'tis fpelt enow.

[^1]
## The Englifh Grammar, with Notes.

(Ch) produces a compounded Sound, Whicb from (ty) moft furely may rebound, Or from (thh), as in Church 'tis found.
We muft except Words that are deriv'd from the Greek and Hebricw, efpecially proper Names, and where a Confonant follows; for there they found harder, like (c) or (k).
(Sh) like (fy), (ph), like (f) we find,
And the (th) is of a double Kind;
Sometimes a Softer Sound, akin to (d),
Sometimes a fronger, that's akin to ( t$)$.
(Ib) Sounds ( $d b$ ) fometimes, where it has a fofter Sound, as it has in the following Words: As thou, thee, thy, thine, the, this, that, thofe, thefe, they, them, their, there, thence, thither, rubitber, eitber, rubetber, neitber, though, although; but in thefe Two laft it is generally left out. And in fome Words ending in (tber), as Fatber, Motber, Brotber, Leatber, Feather; and in Jmootbe, Breatbe, Wreatbe, Seetbe, bequeathe, Clotbe.
Elfewhere it generally has a flronger Sound; as in with, ritbout, wwitbin, through, think, thrive, throw, thruft, Thought, Thigh, Thing, Tbrong, Death, Breath, Cloth, Wrath, Length, Strength, thin, \&c.

> PART

Fore-part of the Palate ( $N$ ) is form'd, the Greek (v), and the Hebrew and Arabian Nun. But if in the Throat, that is, in the Back-part of the Palate, that Sound is form'd which the Greeks exprefs by $(\gamma)$ before $(x),(\gamma),(x),(\xi)$ : And the Latins of Old by (g), as Agchifes, agcepps, aggulus, \&c. for Anchifes, anceps, angzulus, as Prifcian and Varro affure us. Which all now write with ( $n$ ) before the fame Confonants, efpecially in the fame Syllable; fuppofe $(k),(g),(x)$, and $(c),(g),(c b)$, pronounc'd with a hard, that is, their genuine Sound. For the Sound of ( $n$ ) is different in the Words $t$ in, fin, in, from that in fing, fingle, fink, ink, lynx, \&cc. fo in band, band, ran; from what it is in bang, bank, rank, \&c. Nay, the Sound of this Letter is varied in the very fame Words: For $(n)$ founds otherwife in lon-ger, fron-ger, an-ger, drin-ker; in-gruo, con-gruo; but otherwife in long-er, flrong-er, ang-er, drink-er; ing. ruo, cong-ruo. So we hear fome faying, in-quam, tan-quam, nun-quam, \&c. while others pronounce them as if they were written inq-wam, tanq-wam, nunq-wam; or ink-wam, tankwam, nunk-wwam. When ( $n$ ) is pronounc'd in the former, the Extremity

Extremity of the Tongue always frikes the Fore-part of the Palate near the Roots of the upper Teeth ; but in the latter the fame Extremity of the Tongue rather depends to the Roots of the lower Teeth : but the Hinder-part of the Tongue is rais'd to the Hinder-part of the Palate, and there intercepts the Sound; to wit, it is form'd in the Mouth in the fame manner as $(\mathrm{g})$; but it has the fame Direction of the Breath with ( $n$ ) And this, if we are not deceiv'd, is that very Sound which many would give to the Hebrew y when they teach us to pronounce it by $n g, n g h, g n, n g h n, \mathcal{E}^{\circ} c$. for they infinuate fome Sound, which does not perfectly agree with either $(n)$ or $(g)$, but has fomething common to both, And we knownot but the Spaniards mean the fame Sound by their ( $\bar{n}$ ) mark'd thus over-head.

We call thefe Three Confonants Half-Vowels; for they have a greater proper Sound than thofe which we lately call'd HalfMutes.

Thefe nine Confonants, which we have difcours'd of, are form'd by a total Interception of the Breath, fo that it has no manner of Paffage through the Mouth, which therefore we nam'd clos'd: But the fame Formation remaining, if the Breat? bardly prefs'd, yet (tho' with Difficulty) find an Outlet, thofe Confonants are form'd, which we call open' $d$, which are the Afpirates of all thofe (except the Half-Vouvels) from whence they are derived: More fubtle and thin, if the Breatli goes out by an oblong Chink, Slit or Crevice; or more grofs, if it gc out by, a round Hole. They are referr'd to the fame Claffes their Primitives were, as being near akin to them. We fubjoin no A/pirates to the; Half-Vowels; not that there is no Sound when the Breath 'breaks from him that is about to pronounce them, but becaufe that Sound has not yet, as far as we car difcover, obtain'd any Place in the Lift, or Catalogue of Letters; for it expreffes the Lowing of an Ox, or the Humar Sigh; that is, if that be made in the Lips, this chiefly is in the Palate or Throat.

If the Breath efcape the Mouth, when we are going to pro. nounce the Letter ( $p$ ), its Afpirate $(f)$, or ( $p b$ ), that is, the the Greek ( $\varphi$ ), the Arabian (Pbe), the Welch ( $\#$ ), is form'c and pronounc'd; nor is it of Confequence, whether the Breatl gets out by a longifh Chink, or by a round Hole; for tho' tha Way the Sound is more fubtle and fine, and this more grofs yet the Diftinction of both is fo very nice and fmall, that we doubt whether they in any language are exprefs'd by differen Letters.

If the Breath break out by a Chink, when we are a going 10 pronounce ( $b$ ), it forms the Englif) (v) Confonant, E゙c. The Spaniard not feldom gives the fame Sound to $(b)$, ufing the Letters ( $b$ ) and (v) promifouounly. The Welf exprefs this Sound by $(f)$, and the forcgoing Sound by (ff). The Englifs Saxons either had not this Sound, or exprefs'd it by $(f)$ in Writing, for they knew nothing of the (v) Confonant; and they wrote many Words with ( $f$ ) (as the Englifs did after them for fome Ages) which are now written with (v) as much as thofe which fill are fpele with $(f)$; as gif, Heofin, \&c. which now are writ give, Hearven, \&c. - The Arabians and Perfians have not this Sound: And the $\mathcal{T}$ urks pronounce their Varv in this manner, and as a great many, the Vau of the Hebrewes (which fome think n:ore properly pronounced as the Arabic Waw or (w). And we doubt not but the Eolic (f) had this Sound; for fince the Grecks had before the Character $(\varphi)$, there was no manner of need to invent a new one to exprefs the fame Sound. Befides Prifcian oivns, that the Lotin ( $f$ ) had formerly the fame Pronunciation, that is, the fapme Sound, that was afterwards given tu the $(v)$ Confonant, and fo the Letter $(f)$ pafs'd to the Sound of $(\phi)$ or ( $p b$ ).

But if the Breath make its Way out thro' a round Hole, the Englifs (w) is form'd, and the Arabian (rwaw), which Sound many give to the Hebresv (vau). But the German (w) if we miftake not, has a Sound compounded of this and the former Letter; that is, by placing that before this; fo that the Englij/s would rpell that with vuwa, which the Germans expreis by rua. This Sound is not very dificent from the Englizu ( 00 ), the French (our), and German grofs or fat [u] moft rapidly pronounced. For this Reafon fome have thought it a Vowel, tho it be in Reality a Confonant ; yet it muft be own'd very near akin to a Vowel. The Welf/ make that a Vowel as well as this a Confonant, exprefing them by the fame Character ( $w$ ), but when 'tis a Vowel, it is accented over-head and founds long; in other Places 'tis a Confonant, its Souid being fhort ; as, Gw"jdd, (which is two Syllables) a Goofe; gevjr, crooked; groijr, Men. Whenever this Sound in Latin follows, $f, q, g$, as in fuacieo, quando, lingua, \&ic. moit take it for a Vowel; and perhaps fome, who would liave it a Confonant in the Englijw Words ruade, ferfovade, fruay, \&c. and yet the Sound is the very fame in both Places. But the \{ubjoin'd Vowel in the Diphthongs or double Vowels (au), (eu), (ou), truly pronounced,
is no other than this yery Confonant is no other than this yery Confonant; as any Man may fee by
confulting the diferning Gataker, in his Treatife of ciouble Vorwels.

If the Breath more grofly goes out by the Hole, when we are going to pronounce the Letter ( $T$ ), the Greck $(\theta)$ is form'd the Arabic (The), \&c. and the Englijp (Th), in Thigh, thin, thing, thougbt, throng: The Anglo Saxans formerly exprefs'd this Sound by this Note (p), which they call'd Spina, or the Thorn: The $H^{\prime}$ elf $/$ write it with ( $t b$ ).

But if the Breath on this Occafion go more fubtilly out of the Mouth by a Cbink, that Part of the Tongue which is next to the Extrenity, being lifted up, that the Breath may, as it were, be flatted or thinn'd, and prefs'd with a wider, but grofs Form, the Greck $(\sigma)$ is form'd, the Hebrezv Samiech and Sbin, the Arabic Sin and Sad; the Latin and Englib (J) pronounced with its right Sound, that is, a bart, acute, or fliridulous, or bilfing Sound;' as in the Words, Yes, this, us, thus, bis, lefs, fend, Arong, \&.c. With this Sound we alfo pronounce foft (c) before ( $\epsilon$ ), (i) and ( $y$ ); as in Grace, Mercy, Peace, fince, Principal, \&ic. The Frencb fometimes give the (c) the fame Sound when it has a Tail, as in Garçon.

If the Breath get out of the Mouth by a Hole in a groffer Manner, whien you are about to pronounce ( $D$ ), it forms the Arabic Dabl, the Hebrozu Dalith, the fofter (D) of the Spaniards; that is, as that Letter is pronounc'd in the Middle and End of Words, as Majefad, Trinidad, \&ic. The Englifh write this Sound in the fame Manner as they do another, which we have lately named; that is, with (tb) in thy, thine, this, though, \&c. The Anglo Saxons write that Sound with (p), but this with ( $\boxplus$ ), ( () ), as is plain from their Writings, (tho' they fometimes confounded thefe Characters) but in following. Ages the Eng lif) exprefs'd both Sounds by ( $k$ ), which by Degrees, degenerated into the Character ( $\mathcal{V}$ ), which in very many Manuferipts perpetually begins thofe Words which now are written with ( $t b$ ). And hence fprung the Abbreviatious of the,
 hy (ib), the latter by (dd), only fome pretend that it is better written by (db), who have not been able to alter the old Ortbography. But we (as we have obferved) exprefs both Sounds by ( $t b$ ), but erroneoufly, fince neither of them is a compounded Sound, but evidently fimple, varying or defcending almoft in the fame manner from the Sounds of $(d)$ and $(t)$; as $(f)$ and iv) do from the Sounds of $(p)$ and $(b)$. We grant, that by
the fame Reafon, that $(p b)$ is written for $(f)$, (bb), (tb), and the fame Reafon, that $(p b)$ is written for $(f),(b)),(t b)$, and
(db) might be alfo written; that is, in fome meafure, to fhew the Affinity and Derivation of the Afpirate Letters, to thofe from whence they draw their Original. But it is evident from the following Words, that the genuine Sound compos'd of the Letters, is plainly different from that of the Afpirate Letter ; as Cob-bam, Cbat-bam, Wit-ham, Mait-bam, Wad-bam, Woodboufe, Shep-berd, Clap-bom, Mefs-bam, \&ec. And thus we fird entirely other Sounds in Oc bam, Block bead, Hog-herd, Crgbill, Houfe-bold, Dif-bonour, Mif-bap, dif boncf, dif hrait.n, Maf-bam, Cauf.bam, Wif beart, \&ic. than thore which we commonly write with $(c / b),(g b),(\beta)$ : But the French, the Flemings, and many others, do not at all, or extremely little, pronounce either of thofe Sounds which we exprefs by (th); and while the Erench endeavour to pronounce it, they utter ( 1 ), the Flemings (d), and fome others $\left(\int\right)$. Yet it is not hard 10 pronounce thefe genuine Sounds, if we would but take a more peculiar Care of, and have a nearer Regard to their Formation; that is, all the Parts of the Formation remain the fame as if we were going to pronounce ( $t$ ) and ( $d$ ), only we fuffer the Breath to go out of our Mouths here, and not there. We muft alfo take heed, that for want of Attention, the Parts of the Tongue next to the Extremity rife a little, and fo form the Letters $(f)$ and $(\approx)$; for as $(f)$ is to $(t)$, fo is $(z)$ to $(d)$, as we fhall now explain.

If when you are about to pronounce ( $d$ ), you extrude the Ereath in a moft fubtle manner, as it were thin'd by a Chink or Crevice, (the Part next to the Extremity of the Torgue being to that Find lifted up) the Latin $(z)$ is form'd the Greek (乡), the Hebrew zain, and the Arabian (ze), which Sound the Englifb exprefs by their ( $\approx$ ); but they, as well as the Frencl, do fometimes exprefs this Sound by ( () . efpecially when it is placed between two Vorvcls, and in the End of a Word, as in Pleafure, Eafe, Laws, Eic. And when a Name, or Noun, with hard (s) in the laft Syliable is made a Verb or $V^{\prime}$ ord, then this $V$ Veb or Word is pronounc'd with foft (J), (that is $z$ ); fo a Houfe, a Loufe, a Moufe, a Price, Advice, (or advife, according to fome) (tho' in our Opinion, the (c) ought to be kept in the Name, as a farther Diftinction of the Naine from the Word or Verb) clofe, Brafs, Glafs, Grafs, Greffe, and with hard (I): but to boufe, to loufe, to moule, to prife, or prize, (tho' Prize with a ( $z$ ) fignifics a Purchafe, a Caption of fome Ship, Es': or the Reward of fome Action, or to be obtain'd by fume Action, E®c.) to advife, to clofs, to traze, \&.c. are pronounced with foft $(\delta)$ or $(z)$. But other Letters in the like manner
have an analogous Alteration. For from the Names $U$ iffe Life, Strife, Half, Calf, fafe, Breath, Cloth, are pronounced with the harder Sounds; they are thus made Verbs or Words, to avive, to live, to firive, to balve, to calve, to fave, to breathe, to clothe. The Italians (efpecially when it is doubled) exprefs ( $z$ ) fronger, as the Hebrezu ( $\xi$ ), ( $1 z$ ): Thus not a few pronounce in Latin Words, when ( $t$ ) goes before ( $i$ ) and another Vowel follows; as Piazza, Venetic, they pronounce Piatza, $V_{\text {enetzie, }}$ \&c.

We may add to (d) or, if you pieafe, to ( $n$ ), two other Letters form'd in the fame Seat, that is, in the Palate, viz. (1) and $(r)$. We chufe rather to join thefe Letters to ( $d$ ) and ( $n$ ), than to the Letter ( $t$ ), by reafon of the Concufion of the Larynn. or Wind pipe, and the Emotion of the Breath to the Noltrils in their Pronunciation, of which the Letter ( $t$ ), and all that are derived from it, are utterly incapable.

The Letter (l) is form'd if when you are about to pronounce the ( $d$ ) or ( $n$ ), you gently fend out the Breath from one or both Sides into the Mouth, and by the Turnirg; of the Mouth to the open Lips, with a trembling of the Yonguie. And the Sound of this Letter, if we are not deceived, is the fame in all Languages, as the Hetreru ${ }^{\text {L }}$, and the Greck $\lambda$.

But the Wel/b have another and flronger, tho' a kindred Sound to this, which they write with a (II) to dillinguifh it from that of the fingle ( $l$ ), by the Breath's being much more forcibly prefs'd into the Mouth, whence proceeds a more fothy Sound, as it were, compounded of ( $\theta \lambda$. .) But this Sound we think, no other Nation knows, unlefs perhaps the Spaniards.

The Letter ( $r$ ), which is generally called the Dog Letter, is likewife form'd in the Palate: that is, if when you are about to pronounce (d) or ( $n$ ), the Extremity of the Tongue being turned inward by a ftrong and frequent Concuffion, beats the Breath that is going out; from which Conflict that horrid or rough Sound of the ( $r$ ) proceeds. And the Sound of this Letter is the fame in all Nations, as the Hebrew $R_{f} / \beta$, and the Grete (p). The $W^{\top} t / / b$ frequently fubjoin (b) to this Letter; and their ( $r \dot{b}$ ) anfwers the Greck afpirated ( $\xi$ ). They tell us, that the Amcricans bordering on Nezv-England, or at leaft a great Part of them, cannot pronounce either an (l) or ( $r$ ), but fubftitute ( $n$ ) in their Place; thus, for Lobfer, tiney fay Nobfan.

If the Breath, being more frichly comprefs'd, breaks out more fubtilly, when you are about to pronounce ( $k$ ) or hard $(c)$, it forms the Greek (x), the Arabian (cka), truly pronounc'd, Ec. that is by a middle Sound betwixt (c) and (b); and this

Sound is very familiar to the Germans and Welf, and they both exprefs it by (cb). But it is quite laid afide in Englijh; for our $(c b)$ is a quite different Sound, as we fhall fhew hereafter.

But if the Breath go out in a groffer manner, and lefs imprefs'd, (by reafon of the more lax Pofition of the Tongue, and larger Exit for the Breath) the Latin (b) is form'd, and the Hebrew and Arabian (He), and the Greek afpirate Spirit. And this Sound is common to moft Nations. But the French, tho' they write (b) feldom pronounce it. The Difference between the Sound of this and that of the foregoing Letter is only this, that the Breath in the former is expell'd with a greater Force, and by a narrower Paffage, as it were through a Chink, and is therefore nam'd the double Affirate; this more freely, and as it were through a Hole or large Pafiage.

The Grecks, as if it were no Letter, becaufe its Sound is but fmall) call it an Afiration, and (at leaft now-adays) fet it not down in the direct Line of the Letters, but put it over the Head of a Letter: Tho' formerly they did fet 'em before the Vowels in the direct Line, but they fet the ( $\rho$ ) after them, if we are not miftaken; and this makes them ufe ( $b$ ) for a Note of an Hundred; for what is now written ":xaloo, was formerly written Hsweloy. But we can fee no manner of Reafon why (b) fhould not be a Confonant in all other Languages; for it is by no means to be rejected from the Number of Letters, becaufe the Sound of it is fometimes not pronounc'd by the French, and fome others; for that is no more than is common to many other Letters, efpecially of the Hebrew, and other Oriental Tongues, which are quiefcent or filent: Nor becaufe it does not hinder the Elifion of the foregoing Vowel, when another Vowel follows in the fubfequent Word; for ( $m$ ) wou'd then lie under the fame Fate, and ( $\delta$ ) anciently did not hinder this Contraction. But we muft confefs, that there is fome Doubt whether the Latins, who were fuch mighty Emulators of the Gretk, allow'd (b) to be a Letter or not, efpecially when we find the Grammarians fo earneflly denying it, with Prifiann at the Head of them.

If when you are about to pronounce $(\gamma)$, or the hard $(\mathrm{g})$, the Breath being more hardly comprefs'd, goes out by a more fubtile Chink, as I may fay, or Slit, that Sound is form'd which is exprefs'd by (gb). The Englijb feem formerly to have had this Sound in the Words Light, Right, Nigbt, Daughter, \&c. but now they only retain the Spelling, entirely omitting the Sound; but the North-Country People, efpecially the

Scots, almoft retain it ftill, or rather fubftitute the Sound of (b) in its room. The lrißb in their ( gb ) have exaclly this Sound, as in Logh, a Lake, Evc. It. differs from the German (cb) as $(g)$ does from (c), that is, by the Direction of the Ereath to the Noftrils, which neither (c) nor (cb) can do. But the Gerrtans generally write by (cb) thofe very Words which the
 E:cherl, tochter, anfiver our Night, rigbt, light, figbt, Daug bter ; and there are many more Words of the fame kind. The L.atins, Greeks, Hebrews and Arabians, knew nothing of this Sound. The Perfaans pronounce their Gbaf with this Sourd, which is diftinguifhed from the Arabic Kef by Three Pcints over it.

But if the Breath go out more freely, and as it were through a more large Hole, the Engli/b ( $y$ ) Confonant is form'd; the German ( $j$ ) Confonant, the Arabian (ye), which Sound many contend belongs to the Hebrew (jod): For this Sound is very near akin to that of the Vowel (i) flender, moft rapidly pronounc'd. The Diphthongs, as they are call'd, $u i$, $\varepsilon i$, oi, or $a y, \epsilon y, c y$, are promifcuoufly written by (i), or ( $y$ ), efpecially by the Englifh and the French. ( $(\mathcal{)}$ ) is not only put for (i) at the End of Words, but in the Middle, when (i) follows the sound of (i); as dying, lying, \&c. The Anglo-Saxons, and after them the $E$ ngliff, for many Ages, always put a Point over ( $y$ ), when it was us'd for the Vowel (i), thus ( $(\dot{y})$.

But it is manifeft, that there is a great Affinity between this Letter and (g) and (gb), from thofe Words which are now written by (gb), as light, might, thougbt, \&c. being in the old Manufcripts written wih ( $y$ ), in the fame Character, as yet, yonder, \&c. For they had a Threefold Figure, one ( $\mathfrak{y}$ ), which we now exprefs by ( $t b$ ), as we have already obferved; another which was us'd for (i) Vowel, and differing from the former only by the Point over it ; and a Third (3) which was always put for ( $y$ ) Confonant, and which was found in thofe Words which we now fpell with (gb): But the Library-keepers, of latter Times, ignorant of the Matter, have by a very grofs Error fubltituted in the Room of it the Character of the Letter $(x)$, when they made thofe monitrous Words thoust, coust, Evic. for tbougbt, fought, \&c. or rather for thouyt, fouyt, \&c. as they were then ufed to be written by ( $y$ ) Confonant, as we may find them in the Imprefion of Chaucer, and others of the odd Poets. We muft alfo add, that not a few Words, which we now feell with ( $y$ ), the old Saxons, and now molt comtonly the (ifermans, wrote with $(\mathrm{g})$; for our Words Slay, fayl,
fay, pay, day, rain, and many more, are partly by the AngloSaxons, and partly by the Germans written ©chlagelt, fege!, reger, fag, tag, tegell!. And on the contrary, many Words which are now written with $(g)$, were formerly written with $(y)$; as again, againf?, given, \&c. were anciently written ayen, ayenft, yeoven, \&c.

Thus we have run through all the fimple Sounds that we know, and have given Rules for their feveral Formations, and diftributed then into their feveral Families and Claffes; and as we have of the Vowels, fo fhall we here of the Confonants, give you a Plan, which your Eye may view all at once.


As we have faid fomething of the Compouud Sound of the Vowels, we fhall add a Word or Two here of the Compound Confonants. The Englifb ( $j$ ) Confonant or foft ( $g$ ), or (dg) is compounded of ( $d$ ) and ( $y$ ), as is plain from $\mathfrak{F a r}$, joy, gentle, lodying, which found Dyar, Dyoy, dyentle, lodying, \&c. the Arabian Gjien, (which Letter, tho' it defcend from the Hebrew Gimel, retains not its Sound) and the Italian Gi.

The French $(j)$ Confonant and foft $(g)$ is compounded of the Confonarts $(z y)$; for their $\mathcal{F e}, 7$; age, aye, \&ic. are $Z y e$;azye, \&c. The Perfians exprefs this Sound by their $Z y e$, which is diftinguifh'd from the Arabian $Z_{\ell}$, by having Three Points over it.

The German (j) Confonant is plainly a fimple Sound, that 1s, as we have faid, the fame with the Englijh $(y)$.
The Fingli/b ( $\beta$ ), the Frencb ( $b$ ), the German ( $f(b)$, the Fiflireter and Arabic (Jin) found ( $(\mathcal{y})$, for the Fren:b Cbambre, the Engitibb Bame, and the German fiham, found Syambre, fyame, ram. The Welfs exprefs the Sound by $\left(\frac{\rho}{1}\right)$, wherefore with them (with a Note of Production over the following Vowel ":on, ( Fobnn $^{\prime}$ ) is a Monovjllable, but Sion (Mount Sion) a Word of two Syllables.

The Englijb (cb) or (tcb), founds (ty), for Orcbard, Ricbes, Ec. found Ort-yard, Rit-yes, \&c. The Italians pronounce their (c) thus before (e) and (i). The Perfians to exprefs this Sound, befides the Arabic Alphabet, make ufe of their (che), which by having Three Points beneath it, is diftingnifh'd from the Arabic Gjim. If before the Englifib Word yew, you fevesally put $d, t, f, z$, it will be made dyew, tyeru, lyew, zyerw, which is the Englifb Yerw, cherw, Boew, and the French, Jeu, Play.

The $(X)$ of the Latins, and almof all other Languages, and the Greck ( $\xi$ ), is compos'd of ( $\varsigma$ ), ( $x \sigma$ ).
This Letter is not known to the Hebrews, nor the Oriental Tongues, but in the room of it they write thofe fimple Letters, of which it is compos'd, which the Germans likewife often do, for their Ochs, wachs, fccbs, fechff, \&c. are the Engli/ß Ox, wax, $f_{x}$, $f_{x} x$; the Welf always write this with (c $f$ ).

The Latin ( $k$ ) was anciently put (ca), and they promifcuoully wrote Calende and Kalenda; but it now generally has the fame fimple Sound with the Greek ( $x$ ), whence it is deriv'd, or the Latin (c), and it would be plainly a fuperfluous Letter, if (c) always retain'd its genuine Sound; and therefore the Welfs, whofe (c) has always one conftant Sound, have no fuck Letter, as well as fome other Nations.

The Latin $(q)$ of old, put for (cu) or rather (crw), which has always ( $u$ ) after it, has the very fame Sound with ( $c$ ) or $(k)$, and is a fuperfluous Letter. The $W^{\circ} / / / b$ have it not, but alvays put for (q), (cw), or (chw): And the Anglo-Saxons wrote (cpen,) that is, Crwen for Quecn.

The Engli/b (zub) is pronounc'd perfectly (bru), and the Anglo-Saxons ufed to place them fo; and we cannot tell, how the fucceeding Engli/b came to invert the Pofition, and fet the tzo) 'before the (b).

But this is worthy our Obfervation, That the Confonants $(y)$ and ( $w$ ), tho' it be not minded, molt commonly are fubjoin'd 10 kindred Confonants before kindred Vowels ; that is,
$(y)$ is often fubjoin'd to the Guttural Confonants $(c),(g)$, when a Palatine Vowel follows; for can, get, begin, \&c. found as if they were written cyen, gyet, begyin, \&c. for the Tongue can fcarce pafs from thefe Guttural Confonants, to form the Palatine Vowels, but it muft pronounce $(y)$. But it is not fo before the other Vowels, as in call, gall, go, Gun, Goofe, come, \&c. $(W)$ is fometimes fubjoin'd to the Labial or Lip Confonants ( $p$ ) and (b), efpecially before open (c), as Pot, Boy, boil, \&cc. which are founded as if fpelt thus, Prvot, Brevoy, bwoil, \&c. But this is not always done, nor by all Men.

We have (page 2) confider'd Letters as the Signs of Sounds, but have not yet examin'd the Analogy they bear to the Sounds they reprefent. We have already laid, that Sounds are taken for the Signs of our Thoughts, and that Men invented certain Figures to be the Signs of thofe Sounds. But whereas thefe Figures or Characters, in their firf Inftitution, fignify immediately only the Sounds, yet Men often carry'd their 'Thoughts of the Characters to the very Things which the Sounds fignified; whence it comes to pafs, that the Characters may be confider'd two Ways, viz. either as they fimply fignify the Sound, or as they affift us in conceiving that which is fignify'd by the Sound.

Four Things are neceffary to give them their Perfection in the firt State.
(1.) That every Figure or Character mark or denote fome Sound; that is to fay, That no Character be fet down in any Word, but what is pronounc'd.
(2.) That every Sound, which is exprefs'd in the Pronunciation, be mark'd with fome Figure : That is to fay, that we pronounce nothing but what is written.
(3.) That every Figure mark only one fimple or compounded Sound.
(4.) That one and the fame Sound be not mark'd by more Figures than one.

But confidering the Characters in the fecond Manner, that is 10 fay, as they help us in the Conception of thofe Things which the Sounds fignify, we find fometinues that it is for the better, that the foregoing Rules are not always obferved, efpecially the firt and the laft.

Becaufe firlt, it often happens in thofe Languages, which are derived from Others, that there are certain Letters which are not pronounced, and which, for that reafon, are of no manner of Ufe to the Sound, but are yet ufeful in belping us
to underfland that which the Words fignify. As for Example, in the French Words, Cbamps, Temps, and Cbants, the $(p)$ and $(t)$ are not pronounced, which are of Ufe to the Signification, becaufe by them we find that the firf comes from campus, and si mopus, the latter from cantus.

In Hebrecu itfelf there are Words which differ only by one ending in Aliph, and the other in Hamech, that are not pronounc'd; as N $\rceil$ ', which fignifies to fear or dread, and MTI, to throw, fiing, caft, \&c.

Hence 'tis plain, that this Abufe of Words (as 'tis call'd) is not without its Benefit to the Language.

- The Difference between the Capitals and fmall Letters may frem to fome a Contradiction to the fourth Rule, That one and the fame Sound be not mark'd with more than one Figure: And for this Reafon they urge that the ancient, as well as the prefent Hibriw, had none of this Difference; and that the Greeks and Eomans, for a long Time, made ufe of only Capital Letters in their Writing: But this Dittinction is of great Advantage and Heauty, in mingling with a pleafing Variety the Capitals and finall Letters in the Beginning of leriods, proper Names, EVc. and to diftinguifn Names from Words of Affirmation, and all other Farts of Speech.

Befides, this Objection will hold againf the Difference of Hands, or Figures of Writing or Pointing, as the Roman, ltalic, German, \&c. in the Imprefiion of this very Book, or any other Language, ancient or modern, which is very ufefully employed in the Diftinction, either of certain Words, or certain Difcourfes and Sentences, which conveys the Force and Energy intended by the Author to the Reader, and does not at all change the Pronunciation.

Tho ${ }^{2}$ what we have faid be fufficient to fhew, that the Ufe of Letters which are not pronounc'd, is not fo great an Imperfection as is generally imagin'd, at leaft in thofe Inflances and Particulars of Words deduc'd from other Languages; yet it muft be allow'd, that there are too many crept in by a Corruption which has fpread itfelf through feveral Languages. Thus it mult be confefs'd, that it is a certain Abufe to give the Sound of $(s)$ to ( $c$ ), before an $(e)$ and (i), and of pronotning $(\mathcal{F})$ before the fame Vowels otherwife than before the others; of having foftned the (s) between Two Vowels and of giving $(t)$ the Sound of (s) before (i), follow'd by another Vowel, as Gratia, Action, Diction, \&c.

Some People have imagin'd, that they could correct this Fault in the Vulgar Tongues, by inventing new Characters, as Mr:

Lodruick has done in his Univerfal Alpbabet, and Ramus in his Grammar of the Frencl Tongue, by retrenching every Letter that was not pronounc'd, and writing every Sound by that Lettes, to which the Sound to be exprefs'd was proper; as by placing an $(s)$ before $(i)$ and $(e)$, and not a $(c)$ and the like : But he, and all other of his Mind, ought to confider, that befides the Difadvantage this would be to the Vulgar Tongues for the Reafons urg'd before, they would attempt an Impoffibility ; and they little think how difficult a thing it is, to change and bring the People of a whole Nation to the Change of a Character they have been us'd to, Time out of Mind ; and the Emperor Claudius found himfelf difappointed in an Attempt of this Nature, and was fain to lay afide his Defign of introducing a Character he had prepared.

All that can be done in this Particular, is to retrench by degrees all thofe Letters which are of no Ufe, either to the Pronunciation, or the Senfe, or Analogy of Languages, as the French and we have begun to do; and to preferve thofe that are ufeful, and to fet fome certain fmall Marks to diftinguifh them from thofe which are not pronounc'd, or which may intimate to us the feveral Pronunciations of the fame Letter. But even this labours under a Difficulty not to be remov'd but by degrees, and in many Years; for the aliering any of the prefent, or adding any New Characters at once, wou'd be of no manner of Ufe, while all the chief Books of the Language are without thefe Marks or Alterations, and fo many People muft be oblig'd to learn their Alpbabet over again, or be puzzled to read what would then be written or printed. And indeed, the Rules we have given in thefe Cafes, will (we perfuade ourfelves) be of more Ufe than all thefe Frojects for directing the Learner. Yet, to omit nothing that has been offer'd with any Probability, we fhall add the Method of a French Author, to this End ; a Point above or below will ferve for the firt Cafe, and when ( $c$ ) is pronounc'd like (s), it may have a Tail added ; and when the $(g)$ is pronounc'd like $(j)$ Con\{onant, its Tail need not be quite cios'd.

> The Eird of the Firfo Part
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## P A R T II.

 C HAP. V. Of Syliables.A Syllable's a compleat and ferfict Scund, In wibich the fingle, or one double Vowel's found; Or either join'd with Confonants, and Spoke In one Sole Breatbing, as in Cloke.

${ }^{1]} A$Syleabie is a compleat Sound utter'd in one Breath, which fometimes confifts of one Vorwel, or double Vorvel; fometimes of one Vowel, or double Vorwel join'd to one or more Confonants, not exceeding Seven in Number.
[1] The Word SYLLABLE is deriv'd from the Latin Syllaba, and that from the Greek Word ou入入abin from ou Cávav, which is to comprehend; fo that Syllaba, in the Latitude of the Term, may be taken for any Comprehenfion or Connexion in general, but in a Grammatical Senfe only for a Connexion of Letters in one Sound. Scaliger has defined a Syllable to be an Element under one Accent, that is, what can be pronounc'd at once: Prifcian more plainly has it, Comprebenfio Literarum, \&c. a Comprckenfion of Letters falling under one Accent, and produc'd by one Motion of Brentbing. Yet this has been rejected by fome Grammarians as imperpect, and excluding all Syllables of one Letter: Another has defin'd it thus, $A$ Sylbable is a Literal or Articulate V̈oice of an individual Sound; for every Syllable muft fall under the fame Accent, for as many Vowels, as may occur, in a Word, to be produc'd under divers Accents, or with feveral Motions of the Breathing, fo many Syllables; and on the contrary, tho' there be feveral Vowels, if they are pronounc'd under one Accent, and with one Breathing, they make but one Syllable.

By this Definition it is plain, that one fingle Vowel may compofe a Syllable; as the firt Syllables in the following Words, A-brabam, E-ternal, I-vory, O-rient, U-nity. But no Number of Confonants can be founded without a Vowel; for tho' after the Mutes and Liquids, (bl), (cr), in Table and Acre, the (c) be quiefcent, or at lealt obfcure; yet that Sound, which is exprefs'd by thofe Confonants, is deriv'd from that (e), by which, making a Sort of Sound, we think (b!) and (cr) are not juft Exceptions made to this Rule; for from Verfification it is plain, that Table is compos'd of a long and a fhort Syllable.

As many Vowels as cmit a Sound, So many Syllables in Words are found.
As many Vowels or double Vowels, as are found in any Word, of fo many Syllables is that Word compos'd, except any of the Vowels be filent or quiefcent, as the final ( $e$ ) and fome Vowels, which make the improper double Vowel; the Rules of which have been already given in the Firft Part, treating of LETTERS, and the (e) which is added to fome Syllables in the Middle of Words ; as the (e) in Advancement and Rudefy, which ferve only to lengthen the foregoing Vowel. Except likewife Words ending in (es), and no (s) coming before (e); as Names, Trades, \&cc. But if $(s)$ or the Sound of $(s)$ come's before (es), it is another Syllable; as Hor fes, ADes, sic. Faces, Races, Pages, Prizes: And when $(u)$ follows $(g)$ or $(g)$; as in 2 uart, Guide, Guilt, \&cc. and when (e) is follow'd by ( $n$ ) ; as in even, Heaven, \&c. But when this ( $t$ ) is generally left out, they become one Syllable every where.

> Eight Letters in fome Syllables we find.
> And no more Syllables in Words are join'd.
[2] As there are but Eight Letters in any Syllable, fo has no Word above Seven or Eight Syllables, (and few in Englifs fo many) as Re-con-6i-li-a-ti-on, In-com-prc-ben-ji.6i li-ty.

In every Word, therefore, there are as many Syllables as there are Vowels fimple or compound, and each of thefe in its Formation requires a diftinit Motion of the Pectoral Mufcles. Thus $a, a, a$, make, Three Syllables, form'd by fo many Motions, diftinguifh'd by fmall Stops betwixt each Expiration or Breathing, whereas one (a) of the fame Length is form'd but by one.
[2] In Hebrew all the Syllables begin with a Confonant, allowing Aleph to be one; and a Syllable has never more than one Vowel.

To divide Syllables juftly in Writing, efpecially when Part of a Word is written in one Line, and Part in another, this is a general Rule.

When any fingle Confonant is Seen, Single or double Vowels plac'd betrveen, The Confonant divides fill with the laft, But to the firft the $(\mathrm{P})$ and $(\mathrm{X})$ join faft.
When a fingle Confonant comes between Tiwo Vowels, or between a fingle and double Vowel, it mult in the dividing Syllables be join'd to the latter.

Except when $(x)$ or ( $\hat{p}$ ) comes between Two Vowels; for they are join'd to the firft, as in Ex-ample, $O x-e n$, up-on; except Su-pine.

In compound Words its own will each retain, The fame additional Endings muft obtain.
Except Compounds, where each Word compounding retains its proper Letters; as un-arn'd, un ufual, in-ure, ad-orn, withsut, with-in, Safe-iy, Love-ly, name lefs, \&c.

When a Word receives an additional Termination, or Ending; as (ed) Wing-ed; (edff) Deliver-edft; (eth) Deliver-eth; (for which Delivers is now written, and the former ending intirely rejected ( $+f$ f $)$ Delivir-ef ; (ing) Deliver-ing; (er) Deliveror; (ance) Deliverance.

The Confonants preceding ( 1 ) and ( r ),
Follow'd by (e) never divided are.
As in-fe-pa-ra-ble, Tri.fle, Mi-tre, \&c. But this Rule feems included in that of initial Confonants.

Two Confonants betwixt Trwo Vorwels, plac'd, If thy beg in a Word, purfue the laft:
But thofe that can no Word at all begin,
Can ne'er a Sylluble, without a Sin.
When Two Corfonants come between two Vowels, if they be fuch as can begin a Word, they both go to the latter Vowel; but if they cannot begin a Word, they mult be parted; one joining the firt Vowel, and the other the latter.

To make this the plainer, we fhall here enumerate the do ble Confonants that can begin Words, which you may cafily know by putting ( $c$ ), or any other Vowel, after them; and if they naturally and eafily fall into one articulate Sound,
they can begin a Word; if not, they mult be parted into diftinct Syllables.

Thefe Confonants that begin Words, are Thirty in Number.

| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Bl. } \text { Bleed } \\ \text { Cl. Clear } \\ \text { Fl. Fleet } \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Gl. Glory } \\ \text { Pl. Planc } \\ \text { Sl. Slight } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Br. Brace } \\ \text { Cr. Croud. } \\ \text { Dr. Dry. } \\ \text { Fr. Frof. } \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Gr. Grove } \\ \text { Pr. Prince } \\ \text { Tr. Treat } \\ \text { Wr. Wrath } \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Cb. Cbange } \\ \text { Dw. Drwarf } \\ \text { gn. gnaru } \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Sn. Snare } \\ \text { Sp. Spill } \\ \text { Sq. Squib } \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { Kn. Knave } \\ \text { Qu. Quien } \\ \text { fc. fcant } \\ \text { אB. Soosu } \\ \text { fm. fmart } \end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { f. fill } \\ \text { Sw. Srwear } \\ \text { th. this. } \\ \text { trw. two } \\ \text { Wh. Wheel } \end{array}\right.$ |

Nine Ways Words begin with Three Confonants, as
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sch. Scbeme } \\ \text { Scr. Screen } \\ \text { Shr. Shrine } \\ \text { Skr. Skrew } \\ \text { Spr. Spread }\end{array} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Spl. Spleen } \\ \text { Ar. Arein } \\ \text { Thr. Tbree } \\ \text { Thw. Tbrwart. }\end{array}\right.\right.$

In fhort, all this Rule is comprized in this, that a Mute and a Liquid following one another, go together with the laft Vorwel, but all double Confonants in the Middle befides, are divided.
To this, as well as the former Rules, this Exception holds, That Compounds keep each its Part, as has been obferved; and additional Endings are diftinet Syllables.
But fuch Confonants as cannot begin a Word, can never begin a Syllable, and mult therefore be parted in the Divifion of Syllables; as in fel-dom, for (ld) can't begin a Word; $(1 t)$ in Mul-tiply, Trum-pet, ar-dent, can-did, ac-cord, frwagger, \&c.
When Three or more Confonants meet in the Middle of a Word, that Word is generally a Compound, and therefore each keeping its own, generally the firft Confonant goes to

64 The Englifh Grammar, with Notes. the firt Vowel, and the other to the latter; as in Con-tract, In-fruction, \&c.

Two Vowels meeting, cach with its full Sound, Always to make $\mathcal{T}$ roo Syllables are bound.
If Two Vowels come together, and both fully founded, they muft be divided, and make Two Syllables, as Re-enter, Mutual, \&c.

The following Obfervations relating to Syllables, or to the Pronunciation of Letters, as they are placed in Syllables, and not fingly by themfelwes, we thought more froper for this place, than where they bave been placed by others; for to talk of the fronunciation of Syllables, before the Learner knires rebat a Syllable is, fecms fomething prepofferous.

The Sound of (Jball) in Words of more Syllables than one is written in fome by ( ti ) before (al), as Credential, Eqzin! Etial, Efential, Nuptial, In:partial, \&c. Some others write (ci) before (al), as Artificil, Bencficial, Fudicial, Pr.judicicil, \&c. and the Reafon is, that the primitive Words, from whence thefe are deriv'd, end in (ce) Artifice, Benefice, Prejudice, \&c. or from the Latin Words, in which as ( $t$ ) or ( $c$ ) is us'd, it continues in Englijb, as Yudicial from Yudicialis, \&c.

The Sound of (弓an), muft be written (cian) as Aritbazetician, Grecian, Legician, Magician, \&cc. from Aritbmatic, Greecs, Logic, and Magic, and fo all others fiom the (c) in Latir, except Ocean, Precizion, Tertian, Egyptian, Afian, \&c.

The Sound of (ßbate) is exprefs'd by ( $t i$ ) before (ate), in Gratiate, expatiate, negotiate, vitiate, \&ic. except emaciate, a fociate, naufeate.

The Sound of (Bent), is written by (cient), in Ancient, Proficient, \&c. (tient), in Patient, Impatient, \&ic. and (fient) in Omnifcient, \&c.

The Sound of zhun, or Bun in the End of Words muft be written (tion), with ( $t$ ), except Allufon, Animaduer $\sqrt{20 n}$, Afienfion, Afperfion, Averfion, Circumcifion, Collifion, Collufion, Combprebenfion, Comptulfion, Concluyion, Condejeenfson, Confufion, Conruffion, Convulfion; Decifion, Decurfion, Delufion, Diviforn, Dif. fufion, Dimenfion, Difcurfion, Difpanfion, Dipperfion, Diffenfion, Diftenfion, Diffuafion, Diverfion, Divifion, Dizulfion; Effufion, Emulion, Ercfion, Evafion, Everfion, Excijion, Enclufion, Excur. fion, Expanfion, Explofion, Expulion, Extenfion, Extrufion; Illio fion, Immerfion, Inciizon, Inclufion, Incurfion, Inbefion, Infererfion: Interverfion, Intrulion, Invafion, Irrifion, Manfion; Occafion, Dicifion, Occlufion; Pinfion, Perfwafion, Prorifion; Repreber.
fion, Reverfion, Reviulfion; Sponfion, Suffufion; Verfion: To there add the following Words in [Jion], as Admifion, Commif. fion, Cempafion, Comprefion, Conce fion, Concufion, Confefion, Deceffion, Depreffon, Difmifion; Exprefion, Imprefion, Interreffion; Miffion; Omifion, Optrcfion; Pafion, Pcrcuffion, Parmiffor, Procefion, Profeffon, Progrefion; Sccefion, Sefion, Succefion.

The following Words written (fition), tho' mof of the like Sound are fpelt (tition), as Petition ; iequifition, Compofition, $D_{t p}$ fition, Difpofition, Difquifition; Expofition; Inquiftition, Interfofition; Pofition; Tranfition, Tranfiofition. [3]

Part

[3] At the End of this fhort Part of our Divifion, we fhall lay down a new Method of learning to read in all Languages, as we find it in a French Author, and which perhaps an inge nious School mafter, may improve to the Advantage of his Scholars: To which we chall add, what Mr. Lodrwick, our own Countryman, has advanc'd on the fame Head.

This Method (fays our Author) regards chiefly thofe who cannot read: It is certain, that the Learners find no great Difficulty in learning the Letters themfelves, but the hardeft Labour and Pains thiey go through, is in joining the Letters together in Syllables. For every Letter has its peculiar Name, which is pronounc'd differently by itfelf, from what it is in Conjunction with other Letters; for Example, if you teach a child to pronounce Fry in a Syllable you firt make him pronounce of, er, $y$; which muft perfectly confound him, when he comes to join thefe Three Sounds together, out of them to form the Sound of the Syllable Fry.

The fame Obfervation is made by Mr. Lodrvick: As the prefent Alpbabets, fays he, are inperfect, fo are alfo the Primmers, or firft Books, wherein Children are taught to Spell and Read: Firft, In not having a perfect Alppabet. And Secondly, In not being digefted in fuch a Method, as is fit and proper to teach them as they ought to be taught. For the ufual Way of teaching to fpell, is to difmember every Syllable (of more than one Letter) into many Syllables, by exprefing every Letter apart, and Syllabically; and the Confonants with fuch a Vowel, as they are ordinarily named with, and then requiring them to join all thefe Syllables into one Word.

But how prepofterous this Method is, one Inftance for all will manifeft. Suppofe the Monofyllable Brand, to be fpell'd, they
they will teach them thus to difmember it; Bee, $\varepsilon r, a, \varepsilon n$, $d^{c \varepsilon}$, and then require them to join thefe into one Syllable, which 'tis impoffible for them to do, and they mult exprefs this one Syllable by Five Syllables, which was not defign'd; whereas they fhould teach them to exprefs every Syllable intire at firft Sight, without difmembring it; and to do this, they muft proceed gradtially: Firlt beginning with the moft fimfle Syllables, and fo by degrees procceding to the more difficult and compound$e d$, till they can readily pronounce a whole Syllable at firt Sight; even the moft difficule that are. To that End let all the Primmers be thus contriv'd; at the Top of the Leaf let all the Vowels be plac'd fingly in Order, as they follow in one Rank; and in the fame place Syllables, $1 / f$, Of one Vowel, and one Confonant following it, throughout all the Variations; then of one Confonant and one Vowel following that. 2dly, Of two Confonants before, and one Vorvel following throughout the Variations; 3 dly , Of one Vowel, and three or four Confonants following; and of three Confonants going before, and one Vorwel following. 4thly, Of One, Troo, and Three, Confonants going before a Vowvel; and One, Two; Three, or Four Confonants following. .5thly, Of fome Syllables with Diphthongs and Trip: thongs. For Example :


After this, place a Number of Words of Trwo, Three, or Four Sy:llables, from the more eafy, to the more difficult Expreffions without heed to their Significations; tho in our Opi. nions, if there could be fome Order and Connexion in their Signification, it would help the Memory : Further, let there follow fome Words of feveral Syllables, with the Accent vasioully plac'd, as on the fir $\ell$, fecond, and third, \&cc.

Thus far Mr. Lodrwick, who proceeds farther, but that relating too much to his Univerfal Alphabet, cannot have a Place here.

To this we fhall add fome Rules of Spelling, which though we did not think full enough of Demonftration to be inferted in the Body of the Rules, yet fince they really afford Matter of Speculation

## NOTES.

culation fufficient to employ the curious Teacher or Learner of his Motber Tongue, and may perhaps be rendered capable of Improvement, we fhall here add. They were given to us by one Dr. Jones who (as we guefs by his Name) being a $W_{e} l_{\text {biman, }}$ may, in fome Particulars of his Book, be minled by the Pronunciation of his own Tongue; yet is his Book worth our Confideration. But this will be plainer from his Obfervations. His Maxims are, firf, 'That all Words were Originaliy Written as Spelt. Though this may be difputed, yet the Confequence is not fo great, as to make us enter into the Controverfy.

His next is, That all Terms which bave fince alter'd their Sound, (the Origin of the Difficulty of Spelling,) did it for Eaje and Pleafure.
From the barder, barker, longer, to the eafier, pleafanter, and foorter Sounds, which, for that Reafon became the more ufual. From hence its follows, That all Words that can be Counded feveral Ways, muft be written according to the bardef, barßeft, longeft and moft unufual Sound. And this Rule he affures us, is without Exception in our Tongue.
The longeft Sound is that, which expreffes mof fimple Sounds, or founds the fame Number after the longef manner; thus, if you fay agen and again, it mult be written again; besaufe it founds more Letters. The fame may be faid of Farvor and Farour.
The more unufual Sound is known to all by common ?raCtice.
Thus none can fail to know which is the longeft and moft mufual Sound, and that is fufficient almoft in all Cafes, becaufe he Length and Unufualnefs of the Sound caufes it to be the arder Sound; which is the third Thing to be obferved in this Jniverfal Rule.
But to make the Ufe of this Rule compleat, becaufe it may appen that fome Words (tho' not many) may found divers Ways, and yet exprefs the fame Number of Letters, and that n the fame Manner, either long or fhort, and both Sounds like ufual, as in Anger, and Angur; Finger, and Fingur, \&c. $t$ will be ufeful to know which in fuch Cafe is the eafier and leafanter fimple Sound, and to which harder and harfher iounds they are fo like, as that they are apt to exchange Sounds vith them.
$A$ is much eafier than $E$ or $O ; B$, than $P ; D$, than $T$, or $b$ in tho'; $E$ than $I, O, U ; E E$, than $E, I, O ; G$, than $C$ for $Y$, or hard $C$, or sh. in sberv; $M, n g$, than $N$; $O u$, than $O$ or

Simple Sounds are eafier than Compounds, Compounds of Two Sounds than Compounds of Three, and fo on; and Com. pounds of eafy Sounds, than Compounds of hard Sounds.

Double Characters are to be reckon'd as fingle, if they have but one Sound.

We have omitted the particular Proofs of thefe Rules, which the Reader may confult his Book for, if his Curiofity prompt him ; this being fufficient to give Ground to his Enquiry: And we believe in trying, he will find 'em fometimes pretty true, if not always.

The End of the Second Part.
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## P A R T III.

> Of Words. [1]

## INTRODUCTION.

 convey fomething to the Underftanding: For by thefe we are able to exprefs our Thoughts, or Sentiments of all that we fee, feel, hear, tafte, touch, or underfland. All Knowledge indeed draws its Original from the Senfes; and our Perception, Fudgment, and Reafoning, under which the feveral Claffes or Orders of Words are rang'd proceed[i] It may here be proper to explain what we mean by a Word, which we think may be thus defin'd: A difinez artïculate Sound, which Men bave made the audible sign of fome one of their Thoughts. Or if we rather take it from Words, as Written and Spoken, we may define it thus; Words are difinEE articulate Sounds, implying by common Coufent fome Thoughts or Operations of the Mind exprefs'd by fome certain Marks, Figures, or Cbaracters agreed on by Men, as the rjifible Signs of thofe Sounds and Thoughts.

This laft Definition includes Words in both Senfes, that is, both as Spoken and Written.
[2] Mar being a Converfible Animal, and form'd for Sociery, there was a Neceffity of fome Way's or Means of conveying the Mind or Thoughts of one Man to another ; which, tho' it might be in fome meafure done by the Eyes, Hands, Fingers, Motions and Gefticulations of the Body, $s^{\circ} c$. as in the l'antomimes of the Antients, and Mutes of the Seraglio, $\varepsilon^{\circ} c_{\text {。 }}$ yet thofe being more imperfect, as well as more troublefome and tedious, Nature (which always choofes the eafieit and moft
ceed from thefe Notices of Things and Beings, and their Relations to each other, and have no other Source: By thefe we know, that there are Things; that thefe Things have certain Qualities, Beings, Actions, or Paffions, $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}$. whence it feems pretty plain, that the W'ords, which are to exprefs our Sentiments of thefe Things, muft bear fome Proportion and Likenefs to the Things they are to exprefs. Being therefore in Converfation, or Writing, to exprefs or fignify all the Objects of our Senfes, and the mental or intellectual Deductions from them; Words are naturally, to that End, to be divided into Four original Claffes or Orders, i. e. Things, or rather the Names of Things; the $\mathscr{T}$ ualities of thore Things, the Circumfiances, Actions, Pa Soons, and Beings of Tbings, with their Relations, Regards, and Connexions to, and with each other in Sentences.

According to this, there are Four Parts of Speech, or Four Heads, to which every Word in all Languages may be reduc'd.

> The Four Parts of Spectio.
[3] Names. $\}$ Affirmations.
Qualities. \}Particles, or the Manncr of Words.
C H A P.
VI.
moft efficacious Way) directs Mankind to impart the Sentiments of the Mind, rather by the Voice, and the Motions of the Tongue, which are more eafy in the feveral Variations of Sounds than any other Way. For this Reafon, Men have diftinguifh'd every Modification of the Voice by a particular Letter, (of which we have already difcours'd at large, both in the Text and the Notes) ; and tho' thefe Letters are not many in Namber, yet are they, by their various Conjunctions, fufficient for all the Languages that ever were, or ever can be in the Univerfe. They are indeed but Tiwenty-fix in our Tongue, and yet they may be fo varioufly difpos'd, as to make more than five hundred and feventy-fix feveral Words of two Letters, and Twenty fix times as many Words may be form'd of thrie Letters; that is to fay, Fifieen thoufand and fix; and Twentyfix times as many more may be made of four feveral Letters, that is, Nine hundred thoufand thirty fix ; and fo on in Proportion. From this manifold Generation of Words, from the various Combinations of Letters, we may judge of their valt Variety, as being indeed not much lefs than infinite.
[3] In all Languages there are Names, $Q_{\text {ualities, }}$ and Afr. mations: Names tigriify Thinss; Silalitics lignify the Manner
or Qualities of thofe Things; Affirmations affirm fomething of them. And there are other Words, which fignify neither of thefe, but the Relation of one to the other, and thofe are the Manner of Words: But thefe Relations of Words to Words are of feveral Kinds, which are exprefs'd by fome of the fe Particles, or Thort Words, of, to, for, O, by, with, through, in, \&c. of which in Confruedion.

It is true, that fome have endeavour'd to reduce all Words to three Claffes, which we fhall confider in our Notes; but others vainly boaft, or pretend to contract 'em yet clofer into Two, either ignorant of the Operations of the Mind, which they were invented to exprefs, and which can never be brought into that Compafs, as will be plain from what follows; or for want of confidering what they fay, or to be thought Men of wonderful Penetration by ignorant Hearers. Thofe Gentlemen, who have with great Clearnefs of Reafon propos'd them under Three Heads, have however told us, that fome Philofophers have thought themfelves oblig'd to add a Fourth, diftinct from the other Three, as will appear from the Sequel.

Words having fomething corporeal and fomething firitual in 'em, we may fay, they confilt of Soul and Body. The Ideas of the Mind, when they command the Organs of the Voice, to form fuch Sounds which are the audible Signs of thofe Ideas, are the Soul of Words; but Sounds form'd by the Organs of the Voice, are the material Part, and may be call'd the Body of Words.

We fhall therefore here confider them, as they are abftracted from Sound, in their Relation to the Mind of Man, and in which we have the Advantage of all other Creatures, and a very ftrong Proof of our Reaion fuperior to them ; that is, by the Ufe we make of Words to convey our Thoughts to each other, and that furprizing Invention of combining Six and Twenty Sounds in fo multiplicious a Manner, as we have faid ; by which we difcover the Variety of our Thoughts, and all cur Sentiments on all manner of Subjects, tho' there be no real or natural Likenefs betwixt the Words and Operations of the Soul of Man; but only Signs by Compact and Agreement, to fignify our Thoughts.

Words therefore being (as is faid) invented to exprefs our Thoughts, it follows, that we cannot perfectly difcover the different Sorts and Significations of Words, without firt confidering what paffes in our Minds.

It is agreed by all Philofophers, That there are Three Operations of the Mind, riz. Persefition, Judgment, and Reafoning. PER-

PERCEPTION is the fimple Apprehenfion of any Thing, as Quality of a Thing whether purely Int cllcifual, as when we fimply think of the Being, Etcernity and Decrue of God; or Corporcal, and Matcrial, as a Square, a Circle, a Horfe, a Dog.

JUDGMENT affirms, that the Thing we perceive, is fo, or not fo: as having the Ideas of the Earth and Roundn. $f_{s}$, we afirm, that the Earth is round.

By REASONING, we draw Confequences to evince the Truth, or Fallacy of a contefted Propofition, by comparing it with one or more inconteftable Propofitions; or in fhort, from Two Juogments, to infer a Third, as when we have judg'd that Virtue is Praife worthy, and that Paticnce is a Virtue, we infer and conclude that Pationce is Praife-worthy.

Hence we may eafly obferve, that this third Operation of the Mind, is but an Extenfion of the fecond. It will therefore be fufficient for our prefent Subject, to confider the firf Two, or what of the firft is contain'd in the fecond; for if we feriouf. ly attend what pafies in our Mind, we hall find, that we very rarely confider the fimple Perception of Things, without afirming fomething or other of it, which is the Judgment.

This Judgment we make of Things, as when we fay the Earth is round, is call'd a Propoftion; and therefore every Propofition naturally includes Two Terms, one call'd the Subject, which is the. Thing, of which the Affirmation is, as the Earth; and the other is call'd the Attribute, which is the Thing that is affirmed of the Subject, as round; and then, is, which is the Connexion betwixt thefe Two Terms.

But it is eafy to perceive, that there two Terms do properly belong to the firt Operation of the Mind, becaufe that is what we concicive, and is the Object of our Thoughts; and that the Connexion belongs to the fecond, which may be properly call'd the Action of the Mind, and the Manner in which we think.

And thus the greateft Diftinction of that which paffes in our Mind, is to fignify, that we may confider the Objects of our Thoughts, and the Form and Manner of them, of which the chief is the नudgment. But we muft befides refer thither the Conjunctions, Disjunciicns, and other the like Operations of the Mind, as well as all the other Motions of the Soul, as Defires. Commands, Interrogations, Eic.

From hence it foilows, that Men wanting figns to expref: swhat paffes in the Mind, the molt general Dittinction of W'ords mult be of thofe which fignify the Objects, and Manner of oul Thoughts ; though it frequently happens, that they do not fig. nify the Manner alone, but in Conjunction with the Objeets, ai
we fhall foon demonitrate, having already fhewn, that the Knowledge of what paffes in the Mind is neceflary for the underftanding the Principles of GRAMMAR.

The Words of the firt Clafs, are thofe which we call Names, Perfonal Names; QU A L I TI I S deriv'd from Words of Affirmation, or Virls (call'd in the Latin Participles), Fore-piac'd Words, (or Prepofitions), and added Words, (or Adverts). Thofe of the fecond, are Words of Affrrnation, (or Verls) joining Words, (or Conjunctions) and Interjections, as the old G RAM. MAR I ANS called them abfurdly, diftinguifhing them into a peculiar Part of Speech, which are plainly only added Words of Paffion, which all derive themfelves, by a neceffary Confequence, from the natural Manner of expreffing our Thoughts.

## C H A P. VI. Of Names. [I]

W'bate'er rwe fee, feel, hear, or touch, or tafte, Or in our Undertanding's $E_{y e}$ is plac'd, NAMES properly we call; for always they Some certain Image to the Mind convey; As Man, Horfe, Houfe, Virtue, and Happinefs, And all fuch Words, as Things themfelves exprefs.
[2] AMES exprefs the Things themfelves, that is, every
Thing that is the Object of our feveral Senfes, Reflection, and Underfanding; which conveying fome certain Idea or Image to the Mind, they want not the Help of any other Word to make us underfland 'em. Thus when we hear any one fay, A Man, a Houfe, a Horfe, Virtue, Vice, Happinefs, \&c. we perfectly undertand what he means.

> Before the NAMES, (a), (an), or (the), way be, But Thing you never after them can fee.

## Since

[1] 'The Words that fignify the fimple Objects of our Thoughts, are in all Languages, but Englijh, call'd NAMES; but our firt Formers of Grammar, either out of Affectation or Folly, sorrupted the Latin Word Nomen into the barbarous Sourd Noun, as it is call'd in the Vulgar Grammars. And thus the Grammarians have made a Divifion of NAMES, calling the

Since Names exprefs the Things themfelves, you cannot put the Word Tbing after 'em, without Nonfenfe. Thus you cannot fay Man Thing, Virtue Tbing, and the like.

They alfo admit of $a$ or the before 'em, or an, if they begin with a Vowel.

Of Names three Several Sorts theie are, As Common, Proper, Perfonal, declare.

There
Name of a Thing or Subftance a Noun Subflantive, and that, which fignifies the Manncr or のuality, a Noun Sdjective. But thefe additional Terms of Subflantive and Adjective feem to us fuperfluous and burthenfome to the Minds of the young Learners, without any manrer of Beneft to the Underifanding; for the different Nature of the Two Words is fully exprefs'd by the Terms NAMES and QUALITIES, and it is vain to do that by many, which may be done by few. Nature is fimple in all her Operations, and lie is the beft Engineer, who produces the Effect, wih the fewelt Wheels, Screws, Egc.

Thofe, who ufe thefe Terms, give this Reafon for them, that they are call'd Adj czives, or (as fome) Adnouns, becaufe having no natural Subtance of their own, they fubfit by nothing but the Noun Subflantive, to which they are join'd, as in thefe Two Words, round Eartb; the laft is the Sulfontive, and the firft only fignifies the Manner or Quality of its Peing: That is, the Adjectivi, Adname, or Quality, cannot be put by itfelf in any Sentence; it would not make Senfe, it wou'd convey no Idea to the Mind; for to fay a Round, a White, a Black, a Crooked, \&c. is to fay nothing: It requires therefore fome Name, or Noun Eubftantive, as they call it, to be join'd to it, to make Senfe, or form any Idea; as a rounll Ball, a rubite Hor $\sqrt{2}$, a black Hat, a crooked Stich, $^{k}$, are true Objects of the Thoughts, and every Body underftards them: But if you fay, a. Man, a Horfe, a Houfe, \&c. we perfectly know what you mean; and therefore fubfinting by itfelf, in good Senfe it is call'd a Subftantive Name, or in the vulgar Phrafe a Name Sulfantive. [2] The Objects of our Thoughts are either 厅 bings, as the Sun, the Earth, Water, Firr, Air, W'ood, \&c. which we generally call S UBSTANCE; or the Manner of Things, as to be round, red, hard, knowing, \&c. which are called ACCIDENTS. And there is this Difference betwixt the Tbings, or Subftances, and the Manner of Tbings, or Accidints, that the Subfances fubfift by themfelves; but the Accidents fubfilt only by and in the Subfances.

There are Three Sorts of NAMES; Common Names are fuch as agree to, or exprefs a whole Kind; as the Name Horfe fignifes my Horfe, your Horfc, and all the Horfes that are.

Proper Names diftinguifh Particulors of the Kind from each other; as Cafar, Pompry, Ciciro, diftinguih thofe from all the reft of Mankind. The fame holds of the proper Names of Cities, Toruns, Mountains, Rivers, Countrics, \&c.

This is what makes the principal Difference betwixt Words, that fignify the fimple Objects of our Thoughts; the Words which fignify Subfances, or the Things themfelves, are call'd Names or Subfantive Nomes; and thofe which fignify A'cidents, by exprefling the Subjects with which thefe Accidents agree, are call'd Qualities, or (according to the common Way) Adjegive Names or Adnomes.
This is the firft Original of Names, both Subfantive and Adjective, or Names and 2 ualities. But we have not ftopt here; for lef's Regard has been had to the Signifcation, than to the Manner of fignifying. For becaufe the Subfance is that which fubfirts by itfelf, the Appellation of Subfantive Names has been given to all thofe Words which fubfift by themfelves, in Difcourfe, without wanting another Name to be join'd to them, tho' they did only fignify Accidents. Thus on the contrary, even thofe Words, which fignify Subfances, are call'd Adjectives, when by their Mianner of fign:fying they may be join'd to other Ncmes in Difourfe: As the Warriour God, the Boruyer King, and the like, which tho' they are call'd Names, put together by Appoftion, degenerate here plainly into the Signification of Qualizies belonging to the Names, and are therefore Names degenerated into 2ualitics, or Subfantives into Adjcalives.

But the Reafon that renders a Name uncapable of fubfifting by iffelf, is when, befides its diftinct Signification, it has another more confus'd, which we call the CON NOTATION of a Thing, to which that agrees which is meant by the diftint Signification.

Thus the diftinet Signification of Red, is Rednefs; but it figgnifies the Subjeet of that Rednefs confufedly; which makes it not capable of fubfifing by itfelf in Difourrfe, becaufe we mult exprefs or underftand the Word which fignifies the Subject. As, therefore, that Connotation makes the Aćjecrive, or 2 urality; fo when that is taken away from Words which fignify Accidents, they become Subfantites or Nomes: As from Co-

Perfonal Names are us'd, when we fpeak of Perfons or Things, to avoid the Repetition of the fame Word, and fupply the Place of Names of Men, Womien, and Things.

Two different Endings different Numbers Boow, And rubich no otber Parts of Speech does know.
[3] Names
lour'd, Colour; from Red, Rednefs; from Hard, Hardnefs; from Prudent, Prudence, \&c. On the contrary, when you add to Words fignifying Subfancers, that Connotation, or confus'd Signification of a Thing, to which the Subfances have Relation, it makes them Adjecrives, or $Q^{2}$ ualities, as Man, Manly, Mankind.

The Greeks and the Latins have an infinite Number of thefe Words; as forreus, curcus, bovinus, vitulinus, \&c. but they are not fo frequent in the Hebrew, nor in French, and many of the vulgar Tongues; but in the Englifh, we think, they are not more rare than in the dead Languages.

Again, if we take thefe Connotations from thefe Adjectives or 2ualities form'd of Names, or of Subfantives, we make them new Subfantives, which we may properly call Derivatives; and fo Humenity comes from Humane, and Humanus from Homo.

But there is another Sort of Names, which pafs for Subftantives, tho' in reality they are Adjectives, fince they fignify an accidontal Forn; and befides, denote a Subject to which the Form agrees: Such are the Names of the feveral Offices and Profeffions of Men; as King, Pbilofopher, Painter, Soldier, $\xi^{\circ}$ c. but the Reafon why thefe pafs for Subfantives, is, that they can have nothing but Man for their Subject, at leaft according to the ordinary way of Speaking, and the firft Impofition of Names; fo not neceflary to join their Subftantives with them, fince they may be underfood without any Confurion, and they can have no Relation to any other Subject. By this Means thefe Words have obtain'd what is peculiar to Subftantives, riz. to fubfift by themfelves in Difcourfe.
'Tis for this very fame Reafon that certain Names, and Perfonal Names, or Pronouns, are taken Subfantively, becaufe they relate to a Subfance fo general, that it is eafily underfood, as our Country, Earth is undertood ; Fudca, Province is underitood.

And we have obferv'd, that Adjeerives or 2 ualities have Two Significations; one diftinct of the Form, and one confufed of the Subject: But we infer not from thence, that they figni-
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[3] Names in general fignifying either one or more of the fame kind, mult have Two different Numbers to exprefs this Difference; as, the Singular, which fignifies but One; and the Plural, which fignifies more than one; and all Names difcover this Diftinction of Number, by the changing their Endings; as Men, One Man; Men, more than one.

This likewife gives another Mark to diftinguif Names from the other Parts of Speech : For tho' the Afirmations have Two
fying the moft diftinct Signification, are alfo the mof direct ; for they fignify the Subject directly, tho' more confufedly, but the Form only indirectly, tho' more diftinctiy. Thus White fignifies directly fomething that has Whitenefs, but in a very confus'd Manner, without denoting in particular any one Thing that may have Whitenefs; and it fignifies Whitencfs only indireetly, but in as diftinct a Manner as the Word $W$ bitene/s itfelf.

There are Two Sorts of Ideas, one reprefents to us a fingle Thing, as the Idea of one's Father, Motber, a Friend, his own Horie, his own Dog, छ̈c. The other Id:a prefents to us feveral Things together, but of the fame Kind, as the Idea of Man in general, Horfes in general, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$. But not having different Names for thefe different Ideas, we call the Names of fingle Ideas, proper Names; as the Name of Plato, which agrees to one particular Philofopher, fo London to one City; and thofe Names which fignify common Ideas, general or appellative Names, as the Word Man, which agrees with all Mankind; of the fame Kind are the Words Lyon, Dog, Hor fe, Sc. yet the proper Name often belongs to feveral at the fame Time, as Peter, Fobn, Robert, \&c. but this is only by Accident, by reafon that many have taken the fame Name; but then other Names are added, which determine and reftore the Quality of a froper Name. Thus the Name of Charles is common to many, yet if you add the (2d), it becomes proper to the King of that Country where 'tis fpoken. Nor is it neceffary fometimes to make any Addition, becaufe the Circumflances of the Difcourfe fufficiently denote the Perfon that is fpoken of.
[3] The common Names, which agree to feveral, may be confider'd feveral Ways: For Firf, They may either be apply'd to one of the Things, to which they agree, or may all be confider'd in a certain Unity, which the Philofophers call U N IVERSAL UNITY. 2dly, They may be apply'd to feveral together, confidering them as feveral.

Numbers, yet they are not thus dirininguih'd ; as we fhall fee, when we come to 'em. There are Two more Diftinetions of Names, which come properly after all the Parts of Speech, becaufe they depend on the Knowledge of 'em.
To Singular Names rwe always add an (s)
When we the Plural Number rwould exprefs;
Or (es), for more dilightful cafy Sound,
$W$ Whin'er the Singular to end is found
In (ex), or (ze), (ch), (fh), or (s),
(Ce), (ge), when thiy their Sofier Sound confefs.

The Singular Number is made Plural by adding (s) to the Singular; as Tree, Trees; Hand, Hands; Mile, Miles: But when the Necefity of Pronunciation requires it, in the Place of (s) we muft add (es); that is, when the fingular ends in (s) or $\left(f_{\epsilon}\right),(\approx \epsilon),(x),(\beta),(c \epsilon),(c b)$, or $(g c)$ pronounced foft, as Horif, Horfss; Fox, Foxes; Fijh, Fijpes, and Fijb: Maze, Mazes ; Prizuce, Princes; Tench, Ten:bes; Page, Pages; by which means the Plural Numbcr confifts of Two Syllables, tho' the Singular is but one; as all the foregoing Examples fhew.

The follorving Examples are yet feen, When for the (s) the Plural ends in (en), As Oxen, Women, Chicken, Brethren, Men. Cow bas the Plural Corws, or Ketn, or Kine; find So bas Sow, the Plural Sows, or Swine. Ox,

To diftinguifh thefe two Sorts of Ways of Signijzing, two Numbers have been invented, the Singular, as a Man; the Piural, as Men. Nay, the Greeks have yet another Number, call'd the Dual Numbir, or fignifying Two; the Hebrews have the fame, but that is only when the Words fignify a Thing double either by Nature, as the Eyes, the Hands, the Feet; or by Art, as Scifurs, Tongs, \&c.

As for Cominon and Appcllative Names, they feem all natuyally to require a Plural Number, yet are there feveral which have none, whether by the Infuence of Cuftom only, or fome Reafon; fo the Names of Gold, Silvir, Iron, or other Metals, have fcarce any Plural in any Language. The Reafon of which we fancy to be this, That becaufe of the great Refemblance there is between the Parts of Metals, every Species thereof is not confidered, as having feveral Individuals under it. This is very palpable in the French, where to denote a fingular Metal, we add the Particle of Partition, de L'Or, de L'Argent, du Fcr, Gold, Silver, Iron, as we fay Irons, but then it fignifies not the Metal
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Ox, Cbick, Man, and all deriv'd from it, as Horleman, Footman, \&c. Woman, Child, Brother, have the Plural in (en); tho' Bretbren fignifying both Brothers, and Siiters, has likewife Erotbers; and Srwine fignifies both Male and Female, and with (a) before it, is us'd for one Hog, or Sow. Cbicken is fometimes likewife ufed for one Cbick: Deer, Sbeep, Fern, are the fame in both Numbers; of the Singular with ( $a$ ) before them.

To these Irregulars fome more add yct;
As Loufe, Lice ; Moufe, Mice; Goofe, Geefe ; and Foot, Feet, And Tooth, Teeth; Die, Dice; and alfo Penny, Pence, Deriv'd from Penny's Criticks Jay, long fince.
The Names, whofe Sing'lars end in (f) or (fe), Their Plurals harve in (ves), we always See; As Calf, Calves; Sheaf, Sheaves; half, halves; and Wife, Wives; Leaf, Leaves; Loaf, Loaves; Shelf, Shelves; Self, Selves; Knife, Knives; Add unto the $\int$ e Wolf, Wolves; Thief, Thieves; Life, Lives..) Staff

Metal itfelf, but Inftruments made of Iron; the Latin Fra fignifies Money, or a certain founding Inftrument, like the Cymbal, Evoc.

But this Difference of Number in Names, is exprefs'd by a Difference of Termination or Ending, as is exprefs'd in the Text. But tho' Qualities fhould have a Plural, becaufe they naturally imply an uncertain Signification of a Subject, which renders them capable of agrecing with feveral Subjects, at leaft as to the Manner of fignifying, tho' in effeet they did only agrce to one, yet in Englifh there is no Difference of the Termination or Ending, to diftinguifh this Agreement.

There are Three Things more, which are Cafe, Dcclonfon, and Gender, which the Englijo Names have not. But the Cafes of the Latin and Grcek expreffing the Relations of Word to Word, and their Dependance on each other, we fupply that with greater Eafe by Prepofitions, as by of, to, for, from, \&-c. But thefe having a peculiar regard to the Confruction of Words join'd in Sentences, we fhall refer our Learner to that Place.

Tho' we have (in our Language,' no Note of Difference of Gender, either by the Ending or Termination of the Words, or any Article proper to them; yet we thought it proper in this general View of GRAMMAR, which we give you in
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Staff has Staves; tho' the double (ff) Singular generally makes double ( $f^{\prime}$ ) with ( $s$ ) in the Plural; as Cliff, Skiff, Muff, $s i c$. Mijchief is us'd both Micchieves and Miichicfs in the Plural ; $(f)$ and (ve) are fo nearly related, that they cafly pafs from one into the other, in all Languages.

Exceft Hoof, Roof, and Wharf, and Proof, Relief, Ruff, Cuff, Skiff, Muff, Dwarf, Handkerchief, and Grief.
There may be fome others of the fame Kind, thefe are enough to make good the Exception in the Sound of thofe Singulars that end in $(s)$ and $(t b)$ : There is a like Softning or Alleviation, without changing the Letters, as Houfe, Houfes; as it were Huzes; Path, Paths; Cloth, Cloths, or Cloaths. Earth keeps its harder Sound when 'tis us'd in the Flural, which is but feldom.

Cuftom, to which all Languages muft bow,
Does to Some Names no Singular allorw.
Ufe
thefe Notes, to add fomething on this Head in relation to other Tongues.

The Adnames, or Adjective Names, or, as we call them, शualities, naturally agree to feveral; and therefore it has been thought fit, both for the avoiding of Confufion and the Ornament of Difcourfe, with Veriety of Terminations to invent a Diverfity in the Adjectives, Adnames, or Qualities, fuitable to the Names or Subftantives, with which they agree.

Now Men having confider'd themfelves, and obferv'd the confiderable Difference of the two Sexes, thought fit to vary the fame Adjective Names, by giving them different Terminations, as they are differently apply'd to Men or Women; as when we fay in Latin, bonus Vir, a good Man, in the Mafculine; fpeaking of a Woman, they change the Ending of the AdjeEtive or Quality, and fay bona Mulier.

But in Englifh we are more ftrict in this; for we exprefs the Difference of Sex by different Words, and not by the Variation of Epithets or Subffantives; as Boar, Sow; Boy, Girl; Brotber, Sifer ; Buck, Doe; Bull, Corv; Bullock, Heifer; Cock, Hen; Dog, Bitch; Duck, Drake; Father, Mother; Goofi, Gander; Horfe, Mare; Hufluand, Wife; Lad, Lafs; King, Qucen, Man, W'oman; Mafter, Dame; Nepherw, Niece; Peacock, Peaben; Ram, Erve; Son, Daughter; Uncle, Aunt; Widower, Widow; Wizard, Witch; Batchelor, Maid, Virgin; Kinight or Lord, Lady. But the following Twenty-four Feminines
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Ufe has in Engiijh, as well as other Languages, deny'd the Singular Number to fome Words; as Annals, Alps, Alpes, Bowels, Bellows, Breeches, Calends, Crefis, Goods, (meaning Things poffefs'd by any one, as the Goods of Fortune) Entrails, Ides, Smallows of every Kind, Nones, Scifors, Snuffers, Sberis, Tongs, Lungs, \&c.

To otbers 乃Be, wivith arbitrary Will,
Denies the Claim of Plural Number fill;
All Proper Names we in this Rule contain,
The Names of Liquids, Herbs, moft Sorts of Grain,
Fat unctuous Matter, Wax, Pitch, and Glue,
The Names of Virtues, Vice, and Metals too.
As we have fome Words, which have no Singular Number, fo on the other hand we have many more without a Plural; fome by the Nature of the Things fignified, others by meer Ufe. Thus all proper Names of Men, Women, Mountains, Rivers, or any other Creature, to whom, for Diftinction, a proper Name is given; as Buccepbalus to the Horfe of Alexarder the Great ; Thefe have no Plural Number, becaufe they naturally agree but to one: For when we fay the Cafars, the Alexandorr, the Mordaunts, and the like, it is figuratively, including under thofe
minines or Females, are diftinguifhed from the Males, by the Variation of the Termination of the Male into (efs;)

| Abbot | Abefs | Lion | Lionefs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actor | AEress | Marcuis | Marques, |
| Adulterer | Adultere/s |  | Marchionefs |
| Ambaflador | Ambajadre/s | Mafir | Mijirics |
| Count | Cuntefs | Prince | Princefs |
| Dacon | Deaconifs | Prior | Priorefs |
| Duke | Dutche/s | Patron | Patrones ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Elecior | Eleetrefs | Poet | Postefs |
| Emperor | Emprefs | Propbct | Prophetefs |
| Governor | Goverre/s | Shepberd | Sbiperdefs |
| Heir | Heires | Tutor | Tutorefs |
| Jow | 7erwe/s | Vifcount | $V i$ couintefs. | And two in (ix), as Adminifratrix, Executrix.

This is ail that our Language knows, of any Thing like the Genders, which is only a different Way of expreffing the Male and the Female, but the old Languages have gone farther: For as fome Adjectives or थualitics might have Relation to other Things befides Men and Women, it was thought neceffary to

To thefe we may add the Names of Virtuss, Vices, Habits, abfract Qualities; of Mitals, Hirls, $s_{f} i c e s$, Liquids, unctuous Matter, Far, Wax, Fitch, Glue; moft Sorts of Grain, as W beat, Pyy, Barly, Darnel, except Oats and Tares, (Peas, Beans, and $l^{\prime}$ 'etches are Pulfe, not Grain, tho' fet down by fome for 'em) likewife Cbuff, Bran, Aleal. The Names of Spices, as Pepper, Ginger, Mace, Cinnamon, except Cloves and Nutmegs; Of Herbs and Drugs, Cochineal, Sotbervuood, Grafs, Madutre, Rue, Mofs, Fenncl, Piofemary, Wolfwort, Cliver, Endiff, Sage, Parfly, Spikenard, Efirach, Savory, Hellebore, Himlock, \&c. except Colroorts, Lecks, Articboaks, Cabbages, Nettles, and thofe whofe Names are compocnded with Foot, or Tongue, as Crorvefoot, Ad-ders-tongue: Of Liquids, as Air, Choltr, Blood, Muft, or new Wine, Beer, All, Stittle, Snet, Srweat, Urine, Vinegar, Nilk: Of unctuous Matter, as Honyy, Butter, Fat, Greafe, Amber, Wax, Marrorv, Pitch, Rofin, Tar, Glue, Lard, Dirt, Sulp̧̂bur, Eituncr, Brimffcne: Of Metals, as Lead, Brafs, Pcreter, Tin, Copper,
appropriate to them, one or other of the Terminations invented for Min and W'omen: Hence all other Names, or Subftantives, have been rang'd under the Heads of Mafculine or Feminine, and fometimes indeed not without a plaufible Reafon, as in the Names of Offices properly belonging to Men, as Rex, Ұudex, \&c. (which, as we have before hinted, are but improperly Subfantives) which are of the Máfculine Gender, becaufe itomo is underftood. In the fame Manner, all the Female Offices are of the Feminine Gendr, as Mater, Uxor, Regina, becaufe Mulier is underftood.
But this happens in other Cafes meerly by Fancy, without any o:her Rearon, than the Tyranny of Cuflom, and therefore it varies according to the Languages, or even according to the Words introduc'd from one Language into another. Thus Arlor, a Tree, is Fominine in Latin, but Arbre is Mafculinc in French, and Dens (a Tooth) is Mafculine in Latin, and Feninine in French ( Dent). Nay, that has fometimes chang'd in one and the fame Language according to the Times and Occafions. And thus according to Prifiian, Alvus in Latin, was anciently Mafculine, and afterwards became Feminine ; Narire (a Ship) was anciently Feminine in French, but is now Mafculine.
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Copper, Silver, Gold; add Ivory, Fit: Of Virtues, Prudence, Fuftice, Cbafity; and of Vices, Pride, Sloth, Envy: Of abftract Qualities, Wifdim, Probity, Nicdify, Baffefuinefs, Srwiftnefs, Bold$n e f s$, Conftancy, Courage, Ardour, Candour, Contempt, Palene/s, Fame; add to thefe Hungor, Pcople, Vulyar, Offstring, Ruft, Duf, Soot, Wosl, \&ic.

The beft Rule for this is, That Things that are fmall and undiftinguifhable, want the Plural Number; but thofe which are larger, and more diftinguith'd, have it.

The fame Variation of Cuftom or Ufe has made fome Words, which were formerly certain, of a doubtful Gender, being ufed as Mafculine by fome, as Feminine by others, as hic, or b.xc Finis in Latin, or le or la Comte in French.

But the Gender, which is called doubtful, is however not fo common as fome Grammarians imagine : for it properly belongs only to the Names of fome Animals, which in Greck 2nd Latin are promifcuoufly join d both to Mafculine and Feminine Adjectives or $u$ lities, to exprefs either the Male or Female, as Bos, Canis, Sus, \&c.

There are fill other Words, which they place under the Neuter Gender, but they are properly only Aujeizives or $2 v a-$ lities taken Subpartivily, becaufe they commorly fubfit in Difcourfe by themfelves, and have no different Terminations accommodated to the different Genders, as ViEZor, Vicirix, Rex, Regina, Piftor, Piftrix, and the like.

We ought alfo here to obferve from hence, that what the Grammaricins call Epicene, is not a different Gender; for Vulpes (a Fox), tho' it indifferently fignifies either the Male or Female, is really of the Femine Gender in the Latin; and thus in French the Word Aigle (an Eagle) is truly Feminine, becaufe the Mafculine or Feminine Gender in a Word, does not fo properly regard its Signification, as that it fhould be of fuch a Nature as to join with Adiective or Quality, in the Mafculine or Femine Termination, as either does occur: And fo in the Latin, Cufodic, Vigilice, Prifoner, or Wotchman or Centinel, are really Feminine, tho' they fignify Men. This is what is common in the Genders to all Languages that have them.

The Latin and Greck in the Neuter Gender do not regard them, having no Relation to the Male or Female Sex, but what Fancy gives them, and the Termination of certain Words.

Thus much for Names Commsn and Proper: We fhall conclude this Head with a thorough Examination of the third Sort, call'd Perfonal Names. [4]

Tirce Perfons only every Lenguage claims,
Which rwe exprefs fill by the follorving Names;
I, Thou, and He, She, It, We, Ye, and They,
If you to thefe will add Who, What, you may.
[5] Since in Difcourfe whatever is faid, is fpoke either of ourfelves, to another, or of a third, it is neceffary that there
[4] Tho' we think it pretty obvious, that Perfonal Names are not a different Part of Speech from Iicmes, notwithftanding fome, who are wedded to the old Way, only becaufe it is old; yet we fhall here add the learned Mr. Fobnfon's Proof of this Truth. Pronoun (fays he, in his fifth Animadverfion, $p .10$. ) quafo pro Noisise: It is put for a Noun then it feens by the Name, and our Author (L I L LY) it is much like "Noun in bis Definition of it, So like indeed, that it is the fame: The only Difference betwixt it and otber Nouns, is, that it figniftes a Perfon Primarily, and Secondarily a Thing, rubich is Vollus's Definition of it. Primario Nomen refpicit, I fippofe, Nomen Perfonæ, fecundario rem, Analog. lib. 1. cap. 3. and if it fignify a Pcrfon, it muft come under the Notion of a Noun, for a Perfon is a Thing, fuch a Thing as may be confidired alone by the Underfanding, and be the Subject of a Predicate, 1 mean the Subftantive Pronoun, for thire are alfo Pronouns Adjective. Indeed, this Purt of Speech is in order of Nature the firlt Noun, for when Adam and Eve were only in the World, they needed noo other Name but I and Thou to speak to one anotber, and whofe Names nvere not given them out of any Neceflyty. The Pronoun therefors is a Noun, only a Perfonal one, to be ufed ruben we Speak of Things ferfonally, to wobich (upon the Multiplication of Mankind) was added the proper Name to diftinguifs Perfons by, and alfo particular Things, which are as it ware Spoken of Pcrfonally, when they are flokin of particularly. And thus que find Nouns ufed in the firit Perfon, as Romulus Rex Regia Arma affero. Liv.1. 1. alfo Anobal peto pacern. Id. 1.30. and Callapius recenfui, at the Find of Terence's Plays. And thus far Mr. Jobiriton; which is fufficient to hew that we have juflly plac'd them here under the Head of Names.
[j] The frequent Repetition of the fame Words, being as difagreeable, as it is neceflary for us to fpeak often of the fame 'Thing,

## The Englifh Grammar，with Notes．

 or $i t$ ，the third；of which all other Words but $l$ or thou，with the Plurals，are．If we fpeak of a Male，we fay，be；if of a Female，boe；if of Things that have no Sex，we ufe it．The Plural Number of $I$ is rwe ；of thou，you and ye；tho＇by Cuftom we fay you，when we fpeak but of one Perfon，thou being fel－ dom us＇d but to GOD，as W＇ilt thou，O Lord！and on folemn Occafions to Princes，Remember，O Prince！that thou art born a Man：otherwife thou is never us＇d but in Contempt，Anger， Difdain，Thing，to avoid this，there are，in all known Languages， certain Words eftablifh＇d to fupply this Defect，and remove this Indecorum，which are call＇d Pronames，for Names，Perfonal Names，or as vulgarly in Englifh，Pronouns．

In the firft Place it has been obferv＇d，that it would be te－ dious，as well as indecent，to be often naming ourfelves by our Proper Names；and for that Reafon the Proname of the fir $\beta$ Perfon was introduc＇d to ftand in the Place of his Name who fpeaks，as $l$ ，we．

And on the other hand，to avoid the too frequent Repetition of the Name of the Perfon to whom you fpeak，thou or you （Pronames of the Second Perfon）were invented．

And laftly，to avoid the too ofien repeating the Names of other Perfons or Things of which we difcourfe，the Perfonal Names of the Third Perfon were invented，as $H e$ ，乃he，it，ribo， whbat．

Thefe Perfonal Names performing the Office，and fupplying the Place of other Names，they have like them two Numbers， that which fignifies one，and that which fignifies more than one， （i．e．the Singular）as 1 ，thou，you，be ；and the Plurat，as wee， ye，or you，and thcy．You（as has been faid）is ufed in the Singular for thou and thee，as well as in the Plural for ye．Thus in French，wous for $t u$ and thy．

In other Languages，which have Genders，the Pronouns have the fame；the $j i r f t$ and fecond are common，except in the He－ brerw，and thofe Languages which imitate that，in which the Mafculine is diftinguin＇d from the Fcminire；but in the Engliß乃 we have no Genders，as has been feen in the foregoing Notes． The fame may be faid of C＇afes．There is this to be obferv＇d in thefe Perfonal Names，That the Termination changes in both Numbers，when it comes after a Verb or Word of Afirmation， as $I$ ，me；we，us；thou，thee；you，or ye，you；be，bim；fie， ber；they，them；except it，which does not vary．
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Difdain, or Familiarity. He, $\mathrm{Be}_{\mathrm{e}}$, and it, have (in the Plural Number) only they.

Thele Names in both the Numbers we allow
A leading and a following State to know :
The leading State is I , the following ME,
The following State is US, the leading WE;
Thus THOU and THEE, YE, YOU, HE, HIM and SHE,

> HER, THEY and THEM ; WHO and WHOM ; but WHAT and IT,

To vary like the reft do not tbink fit.

Thofe Perfonal Names have in both Numbers a double Form or State ; the firft is what we may call the leading State, as 7, the fecond the following Stat, as ME. In the Plural Number the leading State is $W E$, the follorving US. The Second is in the leading State THOU, in the following THE E, in the Plural $r E$ and $T^{\circ} O U$. The Third is in the leading State $H E$, if we fpeak of a Male, in the following, H।M; or SHE, HER, and in the Plural $\mathcal{T} H E \Upsilon, \mathcal{T} H E M$, which is the Plural of $H E$, $S H E$, and $I \mathcal{T}$, which never varies its Ending, and is in both States IT, when we feak of Things of neither Sex. WHO in the leoding state of both Numbers, has WHOM in the folloving State in both. It is called the Interrogative, becaufe it afks Queftions of Perfons or Individuals (as, Who is there, Peter?) as Whbat does of the Kind or Quality; and alfo in the Order of a Thing; as, What is that? it is a Book: What art thou? in the Order of Number, the firf, fecond, third, fourth, Eoc. (which is the fame in both the leading and following State, or, indeed, like It) It has no State.

But to make this the plainer, we fhall lay down a View of all thefe Pirfonal Names together, in both their States.

| Perf. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sing. } \\ \text { Plur. }\end{array}\right.$ | Me $U_{s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perf. 2. | $\{$ Sing. $\{T$ Thou | Thee |
| Perf. 2. | \{ Plur. $\left\{Y_{e}\right.$ | You |
| Perf. 3. | \{ Sing. $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { He } \\ \text { Sbe }\end{array}\right.$ | Him Her |
|  | Plur. Thay | Them |
| Interog. | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Perfons } \\ \text { Things }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { who } \\ \text { what }\end{array}\right.\right.$ | whom |

CHAP.

> CHAP. Vil. of Qualities.

W'e've fen, that Names the Things themfelves exprefs, Qualities the Manners of thole Things confifs; And on the Names intirely do depend, For without them they can no Senfe pretend: As round, black, white, fwift, crooked, fquare, Muff (to be underfood) to Names cabere.
[1] AMES, as we have feen, exprefs the Tbings themfelves; உualities are the Manners of thofe Things, as good, bad, round, 〔quare, \&c. For Example: The Being of Wax, is the Subitance of Wax, or W ax itfelf, without regard to any Form or Co'our, and is what we properly call the Name ; the Roundnefs, Squarenefs of the Figure, (which may be abfent without any Detriment to the Being of the Wax) are the Manners of the Being ; as to be ignorant or knowing, are the Manners or Qualities of our Eiing: Thus we fay a round, black, white, \&c. Tabl: ; Table is the Name, and round, black, whbite, \&c. are the Qualities of that Name.

And fince thefe Words are added to Names, to explain their Manner of Being, in refpect of fome Quality, Number, Figure, Motion, Relation, Pofture, Habit, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ} c$, as a cunning Fox, the thira' Hearen, a crooked Crab-trce, a fwift liorf, a Golden Candleftick, \&c. they are properly call'd Qualities, and are incapable, preferving their Nature, of being added to any other Part of Speech.

Thing, that to follorw Names did fill dony,
Does after Qualitics good Senfe Jupply:
As black Thing, white Thing, good Thing may convince; This makes that underfood, and be good Senfe.

## Yси

[1] In our Notes on N AMES, we have likewife deliver'd fuch Sentiments of Qualities, under the Title of Adjeciives, Adnamis, \&cc. as are fufficient to be faid on this Head, at lealt as far as reiates to the General GR A MMAR. But we cannot omit Mr. Jobnfon's Proof, Tbat the Adjective or Quality is a different Part of Speech from the Name or Subftantize. Grimmatical Commentaries, p.8. The Adjective (no doubt of it) requires

You may know this Part of Speech, by putting Thing after it, which it will bear with good Senfe, as a good Thing, a black Thing, a wbite Thing, \&cc. nor has it any different Endings to exprefs one and many. And as it cannot be underflood, or convey any Idea or Notion by itfelf, (as we cannot in Senfe fay, a black, a wvoite, \&cc.) without being joined to fome Name, (as a black Horfe, a good Man, a wobite Houfe, sic. fo it bears all Particles expreffing different Relations of Names with the Name to which it belongs; for it can do nothing nor fignify any thing, without a Name exprefs'd or underfood, as to bit the white, (Mark) is undertood; to bowl on a Green, (Turf) is underftood; refufe the evil (Thing), and choofe the good (Thing), is in both Places fuppos'd.
quires a Subfantive to be joined with it in Speech, to which it may adhere. Eut the 2uffion is, whetber it be a Noun or Name of a Thing; that is, whether it be equally fo with the Subitantive ; for if it be not, there is not an equal Participation of the Genus between thefe Trwo, and fo the Divifion is imperfect and Equivocal: That is, thefe $\mathcal{T}_{\text {wo }}$ bave not the fane Genus, and therefore cannot be the fame Part of Specch. Now, I futpori, that no kody woill fay the Adjestive is equally, or as mach the Name of a Thing as a Subftantive. The Subftantive reprefents all that is efiential to the Nature of the Thing, as Homo, a Man, refrefents Animal rationale, or a rational living Creature ; but Bonus, Good, refriferts only an accidental Quality, which tho' morally neccflary, is not naturally fo, but mercly accidental. So that tho' a Man may be called Good. and tberefore Good, in fome Senfe, may be faid to be bis Name; yitt it is not equally as mucb his Name as Man, this laft reprefenting all that is c(Dential to bis Nature, the other only wwhat is accidental. For Adjectivum comes from adjicio, and there can be no need of adding any thing to the Subflantive, but what is accidental; for rubat is neceffary and efential, is in the Subftantive alrecidy. -I Iis therefore a fufficient Definition of a Subflantive, That it is the Name of a Thing; but that it moy be kn:wn wobat is meant by Thing, I bave added, which may fo fubfrit in the Imagination, as to be the Subject of Predication: And the true Deffnition of an Adjective, is, that it is a Word added to the Subfantive, to declare fome additional Accident of the Subffoutive confider'd by iffelf; as of Quality, Property, Relation, Action, Faffion, or Manner of Being. I bave added, confider'd by itfelf; becaufe
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In Qualities no different Numbrrs are, As tbeir unvary'd Endings may declare.
This is fpoke as to their Forms in our Language; for in other Languages, where they have various 'Terminations, they have Numbers.

Three kinds of Qualities there are reve know,
Which from thic in Nomas immodiately do flow:
Firft from Pofiefion, que poffefive call,
And from all Names by adiving (s) do fall.
Thefe
becaule the Relation of Subftantives, as confider'd in Sentences, is declar'd by Prepofitions, and not by Adjectives. Here is then a vory difficent End and Intention in the Ufe of thefe Words, and that is one good Ground of confituting different Parts of Speech. But then, not only the End in Signification, but the End alfo in Conftruction, is very different; and that is the other Ground of making differcnt parts of Speech. For I know no reafon, why any body 乃ould be troubled with the Difinction of the Several Parts of Speech, but to know thir different Significations and Confirufions in general, or bown gencrally to make ufe of them in Speech.

Tho' this be a Demonftration of the Difference between the Name and Quality, or Subfantive and Adjcctive, and that they are two different Parts of Speech; yet fince what follows proves the Participle and Aajesizve to be one Part of Speech, we fhall purfue our learned Author's Difcourfe; only adding, that Scio ${ }_{F}^{-}$pius long fince contended for the fame thing in his Iiflitutionis Grammatice Laiince, in the beginning of his Auctuarium, p. 162. of the Book.

Norv the Confrugion of a Subitantive is its Government, by wobich it is govern'd in fucb Cafe as its Depondence requirres, in its feveral Relations that it may bave in a Sentence: Whereas the only Confruction of the Adjective is its Agrecment with its Subftantive, or bcing govern'd by it, $S_{0}$ as to agree with it in Cafe, Gendir and Number, whatever Relation it be in, or whatever Cafe it be in by that Relation. And tho', Subitantives be put in Appogition with otber Subftantives, and agree with them, yet this is no real Objection, fuch Subftantives becoming Adjectives by that very Ufe, as an Adjective or any other Part of Speech becomes a Subftantive, when it is ufed like a Subftantive; that is, confider'd as a Thing. NOW in this the Participle and the

Adjective

Thefe Poffefive Qualities, or 2ualities of Pof Sion, are made by any Name, whether Singular or Plural, by adding (s) or (es), if the Necefity of Pronunciation require it ; as Man's Nature, for the Nature of Man; Mens Nature, for the Nature of Men. Waller's Pocms.

But if the plural Name (s) does end,
The (s) pofleffive and that (s) is join'd.
If the Plural Name (as it generally does) end in (s), the two (ss), (that is, that which forms the Number, and that which forms the Poffeffion) join in one, or rather one is left out for the Eafinels of Sound; as the Lords Hoife, for the Houfe of Lords; the Commons Houfe, for the Houfe of Commons, inftead of the Commons's Houfe, the Lords's Houfe.

The fame in Proper Names is often found, For the more ealy Flowing of the Sound.

The
Adjective both agree as well in Signification as Confruction. The Acjective declares an accidental Difference of the Subftantive, Jo does the Participle. The Adjective denominates the Subftantive by that accidental Difference, So in fome Senfe becomes its Name; fo does the Participle : unlefs any one rvill fay that a trotting Horfe does not as much denominate the Subitantive as a white Horfe, $T$ 'he Adjective agrees with its Subftantive in Confiruction, and fo does the Participle. The only Difference between them is, that the Participle is Said to Jignify Some difinct Time. I Ball confider that bereafter ; but if that Difference be fuficient to make them Two Parts of Speech, the Ajective and Subftantive mu/f be two different Parts, becaufe of a greater Difference. But that that Difference is not fufficient to make them different Parts of Speech, I Ball ßerw in my Animadverfion-upon the Infinitive Mood; which, notwithftanding its Confgnification of Time, I Ball prove to be a Subftantive. And therefore, if Confignification of T ime will not unfubitantive that, as agreeing in the general Signification and Ufe of a Subftantive; So neither will the like Conjignification of Time unadjective the Participle, which agrees in general Signification and Conftruction with the Adjective.

Thus far Mr. Folonfon; and he makes his Word good in Animadverfion, from $p .341$ to 350 ; which he may confult that is not fatisfy'd with what we have produc'd from him on this Head.
[2] Thofe
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The fame is often done in the Singular Number, when a Proper Name ends in (s), as Priamu's Daugliter, Venus Temple; for Priamus's Daugbter, or Yenus's Temple. Tho' the full Writing is fometimes preferv'd, as King Charlos's Court, and St. James's Park, and the like. [2]

> Whene'er Two Names compounded we do See,
> The firft is always deem'd a Quality.

This is the other Sort of $\Omega_{\text {ualitics, that derive themfelves }}$ immediately from NAMES; as Sea-ff/b, Sclf-Love, River-ffh, Turkey-Vcyage, Sea-voyage, Home-mad,, Self-Murdir, Manfaugbter, Gold-Ring ; and this fort of のilitics Dr. Wallis calls refpective: in which almoit all other Refpects (but thofe of polfeffre Qualities) are imply'd; which are yet more diftinct, when they are requir'd to be exprels'd by Particles. This is nothing elfe but the Name put after the manner of a ๑uality, and join'd to the following Word, by this Line or Mark call'd $H_{y p h}$, which is fometimes done without that fhort Line.

As Qualities from Names, we fse, do forw, Thus fome to Pers'nal Names we likerwife coue;
As our, ours; their, theirs; her, hers; my and mine; His, your, yours, and its, and whofe, thy, and thine.
Thefe are Perfonal Poffeffives, and my, thy, ber, our, your, their, are us'd, when they are join'd to Names, as This is my Forfe, This is my Hat. But mine, thine, bers, yours, theirs are us'd, when the Name is underftood; as This Horre is mine,
[2] Thofe who have imacyin'd that this (s) was put in the Place of his, (the firt Part being cut off by Aphereffs) and that therefore the Note of Apofrophe ought always to be exprefs'd or underfood, are extreamly out of the way in their Judgment, For tho' we do not deny but the Note of the Apoftrophe may juftly (fometimes) be plac'd there, to give a more diftinct Perception of the Ufe of the (s) where there is occafion, yet we mult deny that therefore it ought always to be done, and to fignify the Abfence of bis; for it is join'd often, to the Names of Women, and to Plural Names, where bis cannot be fuppos'd, to be, without a palpable Solecifm ; and in the Words ours, yours, theirs, bers, where fure no body cou'd ever dream that bis Mou'd be.

This Hat is thine; that is, This Horre is my Horre; This Hat is thy Fat, \&c. Thus own cannot follow the latter, but the former, as we fay, not yours orwn, or ours oun, but your oron, and our own. Sut mine and thine are mot commonly us'd, when a Name follows, that begins with a Vowel; as my Arm, or mine Arm; thy Aunt, or thine Aunt. We thall put them all in one View, as we have done the Perfonal Names.


Thefe by no means fubfifting by themfelves, nor fignifying any thing without Refe:ence to fome other Name or Names, are properly Qualities. [3]
[3] The Demonftratives this and that, and their Plurals these and thofe, the fame, and the Relative or Interrogative which, are by no means Pronames, but Adjectives. For they are not put for a Name or Subfantive ; that is, they do not furply the Place of a Name (as is effential to a Proname, and which the very Denomination of the Word demonftrates to be neceffary to it) but they are added to Names or Subfiantives, as the 2ualities or Adjcelives are: as this Man, that Man, the Jame Man. If they ever occur without their Names or Subfantives, which they often do, the Subfantives are always underfood; thus we fay, one, all, many, otbers, the Learned, the Unlearned, omitting or leaving out the Subfantives or Names, and yet thefe Adjcetives are not put into the Number of Pronames.

Which is the fame in both Numbers, and is us'd when we fpeak of 'fbings, as rwbo and rwbom, are when we talk of Perfons.
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> Anotber Sort of Qualities toire are, Which being, doing, fufering, declare, And 'Time imply, as prefent, paft, to come, In fome more pininly, more obfcure in fome. In (ing) it exds, wher doing is exprefs'd, In d, t, n, when fuffering's conft's'd.

Thefe Qualities are, what the old GRAMMARIANS call'd Participles, and a modern Author has continued under that Name, notwithftanding what Mr. Fobnfon, Scioppius and others have urg'd ; but without any Reafon produc'd for fo doing. But we being convinc'd, that thofe Reafons are not to be anfwer'd, befides feveral more, which might be produrd; as Words which fignify Time, Action, \&cc. and yet are allow'd, on all Hands, not to be either Participles, or of Afirmation, venture to call them 乌ualities.

We have not in the Verfe faid any thing of the Ending when it betokens Being, becaufe that is confin'd to that one Word, and therefore nceds no Rule; and is only being and bcen. I being fick, fent for a Doctor. I bave been a Soldier. It fignifies doing; as, I am hearing a Song; I was tuning my Harpfichord. It fignifies Juffering; as, I was beaten, I was abus'd; and the like. [4]

We muft obferve, that rubat is us'd Adjectively, when it fignifies Qualis, and is in a Queftio , as in what Man? that is what kind of Man, or in Number the firt, fecond, and that is often us'd for which, and fo is an Adjcitive.

The Word orun very often emphatically fubjoin'd to Names and Pronames, is likewife an Ajective; as your own Hor $\int$ e, my own Goods, Alexander's own Sword.

The Word felf, tho' plac'd by fome among the Pronames (becaufe 'tis generally render'd irto Latin by the Word ipfe) is yet plainly a Subfantive or Name, to which there is fcarce any Word directly anfwers in the Latin; that which comes neareft to it is Perfona, or Propria Perfona; as thyfelf, myjelf, ourfilves, yourfilves, bimielf, itfelf, themfelves, are we confers us'd for bisfalf, itfclf, theirfelves; but interpofing own, we fay bis orunfelf, its orunfelf, their cwuyfelves. In the fame Senfe we meet in the Greek Yoets, ts $\beta$ in as $\beta$ in rpáros or ' in $^{\prime}$ 'H ${ }_{\rho} \alpha x \lambda$ nin or 'Hqar入̀éoe, I'crcules ipfe, Hircules bimzelf, Hercules bis ownujeif.
[4] The time, that is imply'd by this fort of Quality or Adjcctive, is generally obfcure in Englifh, and rather plac'd in the Word of Agirmation, which is generally plac'd with it ; but

Thefe Signs of Names (a) and (the), have the Nature of Qualities, for they are added to Names, nor fubfift or convey any Idea without them, and pay the fame Attendance on the Names

The Ufe of thefe Signs are worthy Renıark; for (a) before a Confonant, and (an) before a Vowel, extend the Signification of a Name to any one, and fo to all, one by one, of its Kind; but (the) reftrains it to fome Particular, and by that means makes a Common Equivalent to a Proper Name.

But fince thefe Signs don't Individuals Joerw,
They ne'er before a Proper Name can go;
Nor before Pers'nal Names and Qualities, Nor whon the Thing in gencral we exprefs, Nor before Names of Virtues, Herbs and Vice.
But there Signs not denoting Individuation, are not fet before Proper Names, as Peter, Fobn, W'illiam, \&c. Nor before Perfonal Names or Qualities. Nor are they us'd, when the Name expreffes the Thing in general ; as we fay, Man being mortal, foon fades away and dies; not the, or a Man; and we fay, Virtue confifts in the Mean, not $a$, or the Virtue, \&c. Thefe Signs fignifying Particularity, we fay, the Fufice of (God, fince that is particular. Nor are they fet before the particular Names of Virtues or Vices, or Herbs, Metals, \&c. as we fay, not a Tempercince, a Sloth, a Hy.Jop, a Thyme.
(A) and (an) fometimes fignify one, as all to a Man. [5].
in the Latin we agree with Mr. Folonfon againft Sanctiu!, That the Time is fignified pretty plainly by the Participle.
[5] Names generally fignify Things in a general and unlimited Senfe, but Eigns or Articles, (as fome call them) reftrain and determine the Signification of Names, and apply them to a particular Thing. If we fay, 'tis a Huptincfs to be King, 'tis an uncertain, wandring and undetermin'd Word; but if you add (the) to it, and fay, 'tis a Happinels to be the King, it determines it to be the King of the People mention'd before. So that thefe little Signs contribute much to the Clearnefs or Difcourfe.

The Latins have none of thefe Signs or Articles, whence Scaliger fally co cluded, tha: they were ufelefs; but he is indeed a Critic that very often is in the wrong: And here 'tis plain
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The is a Demonftrative, and fignifies the fame as that, but lefs emphatically. It denotes the Determination of one or more, to which the general Word is actually apply'd. Thus we ufe the Word Earth, when we defign the Species or Element; but the Earth, when we mean the Globe of the Earth, (which is a certain determin'd Individual) is plac'd with both in the Singular or plaral Number, becaufe we may fpeak determinately of one, as well as more Individuals.

As neither of thefe are fix'd to a Word of a general Signification, or proper Name, fo they are not us'd when any other Quality is prefent, that virtually contains 'em ; as, a Man, one Man, fome Man, any Man; the World, this Word; for here one, fone, any, this, certainly imply a and the.

There are, befides, fome particular Phrafes, as many a Man, never a Man, which differ from many Men, no Men, as every Man from all Men; the former fignify many Men, all Men, no Men, feparately, or taken diftinctly; the latter conjunctly, or collectively. Nor are the following abfolutely unlike thefe, when (after Juch, and the Particles of Comparifon, as, Jo, too, and fcarce any others) the Quality ( $a$ ) is interpos'd between the Nome and its תaiality, (which is ufually put after it) as, Sucb a Gift is too Small a Reward for fo great a Labour, and as great a Benefit.

> Wken QUALITIES for NAMES are e'rr find Set, They then the Properties of NAMES will get.

from the Inflances given, that they are neceffary to the avoiding Anbiguities. The Greeks have one is $\eta^{\prime}$, tio. Tho' thefe Signs fhould not be put before proper Names for the Reafon given, yet the Grecks do fometimes put the Article to the Proper Names of Men, as i $\Phi_{i} \lambda i \pi \pi 0$, and the Italians do it cuftomarily, as IArinfo, Il Tafio, l'Arifotle, which the French, imitate in thofe Words or Names, which are purely of Italian Original, but in none elfe; and we put them to the Names of Rivers, as the Thomes, the Ouze, the Rbine, \&cc.

In fine, the Avticles or Signs are not put to the Qualities or Adjectives, becaufe they mult receive their Determination from other Names or Subfantives. Or when we find them fet before Qualities, or Adjactives; as the Black, the W'bitc, \&c. then are they fet for Names, or Sulifantively: The White means as much as Whitenefs, or elfe the Subfantive is underfood; as the Black is the black Mark or Spot.
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Qualities are fometimes put for Names, and then they affume their Rights and Froperties; tho' fome contend, that the Names are always underftood, tho' not exprefs'd to make 'em fubfift in good Senfe.

> Moft Qualities ly Trwo Degrecs do rife, Or fall as much in Number, Bulk, or Price; By adding to its End or, er, or eft,
> Which by fome little Words is clfe expref;
> As wife, wifer, wifent, and moft wife; But (very) of the Place of (moft) Supplies.

Qualitics have yet another Difference from Names, for they admit by the Variation of their Endings, or by the Addition of foome little Words, Degrees of Comparifon. For fignifying Manners, or Qualitis, they naturally muft be of feveral Degrees, which increafe twice, by adding (er) to the QUALITY it felf, and $(\rho \Omega)$. Fair is the uality it felf; for Example its fir $\beta \mathrm{Ri}$ fing or Degree is Fairer ; and the next, beyond which there's none, is faircfi. Thefe again are form'd by little Words, without altering the Ending or Termination of the ${ }^{-}$uality, as, fair, more fair, moft, or very fair.

All Words therefore, whofe Signification will admit Increafe, and confequently in good Senfe will fuffer thefe Words (more moft, or viery) before 'em, are © ualities, that have their De grees of Comparifon, or of Increafe and Decreafe.

> Tbefe Tbree alcne irregular are found,
> Good, bad, and little, alter Name and Sound.

Thefe Three have an irregular Manner of being compar'd, as, good, better, $b_{f} f$; bad, or ill; worfe (and worfer) wor $f$; little, lefs, (or lefior) leaft: To which add much, (or many) more, mofi.

But there are fome Qualities, before which you cannot in good Senfe put more, or moft, as all, fome, any, \&c. for we cannot fay, more all, moft all, \&c. Much, more, and moft when they are join'd to Names of the Singular Number, fignify Quantity ; as much, more, moft Wine: But when the Name join'd to them is of the Plural Number, they fignify Number, as much, more, and moft Company; but much is chang'd into many, when Numbers are fignified. Thus the 2uality ALL, join'd with a Name of the Singular Number, relates to थuantity, as all the Wine: but with a Name of the Plural Number, it fignifies Number, as ail the Children. Every is never put with a Name of the Plural Number, as every Man, not every Men. Thus errough fignifies Quantity, whofe Plural is cnow, which fignifies Number; I bave Wine enough, I have Books enorv.

When the थuality NO has no Name after it, we fay none: as, Is there no Wine? There's none.

## C H A P. VIII.

## Of Affirmations:

[1] E come now to that Part of Speech, which is the Soul of a Sentence, for without this a Sentence cannot fubfift ; fince nothing can be fpoken, that is affirm'd or deny ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{d}$, without it. The Latins call this Part of Speech Verbum, from whence our Englifh Grammarians very awkwardly have borrow'd Verb, which all other Nations, that borrow from the Latin, call in their own Tongue Word, for that is the plain Englifh of Verbum: The Word was us'd by way of Eminence; but if our Grammarians had us'd Word inftead of Verb, though it would have been more eafy and obvious to the Learner's Memory and Underftanding, yet it would require a long Explanation of its Nature, as a Part of Speech, nothing of that being contain'd in its Name ; but the very Effence of it is exprefs'd in the Term Affirmation, fince all Words of this kind do affirm Something of Something; as will be plain from the Notes on this Head.
[I] We have thus far explain'd thofe Words, which fignity the Obiects of our Thoughts, to wihich indeed the Prepofitions and Adverbs belong, tho' the Order of the Text has poftpon'd 'em : We now come to confider thofe Words, which fignify the Manner, as $V$ erbs, or Affirmations, Conjunctions, or joining Words and Interjections.

The Knowledge of the Nature of the Verb, or Affirmation, depends on what has been faid at the beginning of thefe Note on Words, and that is, that the Judgment we make of Things (as when I fay, the Earth is round) neceflarily implies two Terms, one call'd the SUBJECT, which is the Thing of which the Affirmation is made, as the Earth; and the other the ATTRIBUTE, which is, what is affirm'd of the Subject as round. sind befides thefe two Terms, there is in that Pro-
poftion another Word, which is the Connexion of thofe two Terms, and which is properly the Action of the Mind, which affirms the Attribute of the Subject. Men are therefore under an equal Neceffity of inventing Words, that mark and denote the Affirmation, which is the principal Manner of our Thoughths, as to invent thofe, which mark the Objects of 'em. And this third Connective Term is what is generally call'd a Verb, but more intelligibly an AFFIRMATION, fince its chief Ure is to fignify the Affirmation ; that is, to fhew, that the Difcourle in which this Word is us'd, is the Difcourfe of a Man, who not only conceives Things, jut judges, and affirms fomething of 'em ; in which the Verb, or Affirmation is diftinguifh'd from fome Names and Qualities, which fignify Affirmation likewife; as Afirmans, Afirmatio, hecaufe they do not fignify, that the Thing is become the Object of our Thoughts, by the Reflection of the Mind, and therefore do not mark, that he who ufes thofe Words affirms, but only, that he barely conceives an Affirmation.
-We have faid, that the chief Ufe of the Verb, is to fignify the Affirmation, becaufe we thall fee, that the Verb is likewife made ufe of, to fignify other Motions of the Soul, as to defire, to pray, to command, छซc. but is only by changing the Inflection, and the Mode. We fhall at prefent only confider the Verb in its chief Ufe and fignification, which is that which it has to the Indicative, or firt State, Node or Manner.

According to this Senfe, it may be faid, that the Verb or Affrmation ought to have no other Ufe, but the marking the Connexion we make in our Minds, between the Terms of a Fropofition. Thus there is only the Verb i $g_{i}$, to $b e$, (which is call'd a Verb Subifantive) that remains in this Simplicity : And further, we may fay, that even this Verb is properly thus fimple, only in the third Perfon of the Prefent Tenfe or Time, ef, is, and on certain Occafions: For as Men naturally incline to fhorten their Expreffions, they have always join'd to the Affirmation other Significations in the fame Word. if , They have join'd that of fome Attribute, by which means too Words then make a Propofition; as when I fay, Petrus vivit, Peter lives, becaufe the Word vivit (or lives) includes both the Affirmation, and Attribute of being alive, fince it is the fame thing to fay, Peter lives, and Peter is living; thence arifes the great Diverfity of Verbs, in every Language ; whereas if the general Signification of the Affirmation were only given to the Verb, without joining any particular Attribute, there would be
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no need of more than one Verb in each Language, which is that we call Subftantive.
$2 d l y$, They have join'd the Subject of the Propofition on certain Occafions, fo that two Words (nay, even one) may make an intire Propofition; two Words, as /um Homo, becaufe fum not only fignifies the Affirmation, but includes the Signification of the Pronoun, or Perfonal Name, Ego, I; which is the Subject of the Propofition. And in our own Tongue we always exprefs it, I am a Man. One Word may likewife exprefs an intire Propofition; as vivo, fedio, \&ec. For thefe Verbs include both the Afirmation and the Attribute, as we have already faid; and being in the firft Perfon, they include the Subject likewife, as, I am living; I am fitting: And hence comes the Difference of Perfons, which is generally in Verbs.

3dly, They have alfo join'd a Relation to the Time with refpect to the Thing affirm'd; fo that one Word (as caenafii) fignifies that I affirm to him, to whom I fpeak, the Action of fupping, not for the prefent Time, but the paft, Thou baft fupp'd. And from hence the Verbs derive their Diverfity of Times, (or as the Vulgar has it, Tenies) which is alfo generally common to all Verbs, or Words of Afirmation.

The Diverfity of thefe Significations, join'd in the fame Word, is what has hinder'd a great many, otherwife of very grood Capacities, from rightly underftanding the Nature of the Yerb; becaufe they have not confider'd it according to what is effential to it, which is the Afirmation, but according to the various Relations accidental to it, as a Verb, or Word of Affirmation.

Thus Arifotle, confining himfelf to the third Signification, added to that which is effential to it, defines a Verb, Vox fignificans cum Tempore, a WTord that fignifics weits Time. Others, as Euxtorfus, adding to it the recond, defines it, Vox flexilis cum Tempore, E゚ Perfona; a Word that bes divers Inflexions with Time and Perjon.

Others have confined themfelves to the firf Signification, added to the Effential, which is that of the Attribute; and confidering, that the Attributes Men have join'd to the Afirmation in the fame Word, are commonly Actives and Paffives, have thought the Effence of a Verb confifts in fignifying the Actions and Palfons. And in fine, Fulius Scaliger thought, that he had difcover'd a great Myftery in his Book of the Principles of the Latin Tongue, by faying, that the Diftinction of Things into permanentes $\mathcal{E}$ fluentes, Things fermanent or lafing, or fixt, and pafing, or that pafs arway, was the true Original
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of the Diftinction of Names, or Nouns and Verbs or Adirmations; fince Names, are to fignify the former, and Verbs the latter. But we may eafily perceive that thefe Definitions are falfe, and do by no means explain the true Nature of the Verb.

The manner of the Connexion of the two firlt fhew it fufficiently, becaufe 'tis not there exprefs'd what the Verb fignifies, but only that with which it fignifies, viz. cum $T_{\text {empore, cam }}$ Perfona; the two latter are flill worfe, having the two great Vices of Definitions, which is to agree neque omni, neque foli. For there are $V_{e i}$ bs which figniry neither Actions nor Paffons, nor what paffes away, as ewifit, quiefcit, friget, alget, tefet, calet, albet, viret, claret, sic. of which we may have occafion to fpeak elfewhere.

There are Words, which are not Veru's, that fignify Actions and Paffions, and even Things tranfient, according to Scaliger's Definition. For 'tis certain, that Participles (or Qualities deriv'd from Verbs) are true Nouns, and yet thole of Verbs active, fignify Actions, and thofe of Verbs palfive Pafions, as much as the Verbs themfelves from which they are form'd; and there is no Reafon to pretend, that fluens does not fignify a Thing that paffes, as well as fuit. To which may be added againft the two firt Definitions of the Verb, that the Participles fignify alfo with Time, there being a prefent, a pa!!, and a future, efpecially in the Latin and Greek, \&c. And thofe who (not without Reafon) believe, that a Vocative Cafe is truly the fecond Perfon, efpecially when it has a different Termination from the Nominative, will find, that on that Side there would be but a Difference of the more, or the lefs, between the Participle and the Verb. And thus the effential Reafon, why a Farticiple is not a Verb, is, that it does not fignify the Afirmation; whence it comes that to make a Propofition, which is the Property of the $V$ crb, the Participle muft add a Verb, that is, reftore that which was taken away by turning the Vcrb into the Participle. For how comes it that Pctrus vivit, Pcter lives, is a Propofition; and Petrus vivent, Peter living, is not fo , unlefs eff, is, be added, as Petrus eft vivens, Pcter is living, but becaufe that Affirmation (which is in vivit) was taken away by making the Participle rvivens? Whence it appears, that the Affirmation, that is, or is not found in a Word, makes it to be, or not to be, a Verb.

Upon which we may obferve en paflent, that the Infinitive Mode or Form, or Mood, which is very often a Noun or Name, (as when we fay in French, le Boire, le Manger) is different from Participles, the Participles being Noun Adjectives, or what
we call وualities: But the Infinitive Moods are Noun Subfantives, or Names made by Abftraction of thofe Adiectives; in the fame manner as of Candidus, Candor is made, and of Wbitc, Whitenefs. Thus rubet, a Verb, fignifies is red, including the Affirmation and the Attribute; rubens, the Participle fignifies only Red, without Afirmation, and Rubere taken for a Noun, fignifies Rednefs.

It fhould, therefore, be allowed a conftant Rule, that confidering fimply what is effential to a Verb, the only true Definition is vox Jignificuns Affrnntionem, a Word that Jignifies an Affrmation, fince we can find no Word that marks an Affirnation, but what is a Verb; nor any Verú but what marks it (at leaft) in the Indicative, or fir $\beta$ Mood: And there can no manner of doubt be made, that if a Word were invented, as eft would be, which fhould always nark the Affirmation, without having any Difference of Time or Perfon; fo that the Diverfity of Perfon fhould be mark'd oniy by Nouns or Names, and Pronames or Perfonal Names, and the Diverfity of Times by Adverbs or added Words, (as in Eirgliß) it would however be a true Verb. As in the Propofitions, which the Philofophers call eternally true, as God is infinite, Boaly is divifible, the Whale is greater than its Parts; the Word (is) implies only the fimple Signification, without any Relation to Time, becaufe it is true to all Times, and without our Minds fopping at any Diverfity of Perfons.

Thus the Verb (according to what is effential to it) is a Word that fignifies Affirmaition. But if we would join its principal Accidents, it may be thus defin'd, Vox fignificans Affirmatisnem, cum Defignatione Perfonæ, Numeri ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T}$ Temporis, a Word rubich fignifies Affirmation rwith the Defignation of the Perfon, Number and Time, which agrees properly with the Verb Subftantive. But for the others, in as much as they. differ by that Union Men have made of the Affrmation wistle certain Attributes, they may be thus defn'd, Vox Jignificans Affirmationem alicujus Attributi, cum Defignatione Perfonæ, Eic. a Word frgnifying the Affirmation of fome Attribute, with the Defgnation of Perfon, Number, and Time. We may likewife tranfiently obferve, that the Affirmation, (as 'tis conceived) may be the Attribute of the Verb alfo, as in the Verb Affirmo, which Verb fignifies Two Affirmations, one regards the Perfon fpeaking, and the other the Perfon fpoken of, whether it be of himfelf, or of another. For when we fay Petrus affirmat, it is the fame as to fay, Petrus eft affirmans, and then eft marks our Afirmation, and the Judgment we make concern-
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[2] An Affirmation (As the Word does Boow) Something afirms, and does Number know,
ing Peter, and affrmans, that we conccive and atribute to Peter.

The Verb NEGO (on the contrary) contains by the fame Reafon an Affirmation and Negation. For it muft be farther obferv'd, that tho' all Judgments are not affirmative, and that there are fome Negatives, neverthelefs Verbs never fignify any thing of themfelves, but Affirmations; Negations are only mark'd by Particles, or little Words, as non, ne, baud, \&c. or by Nouns that imply it, as Nullus, nemo, \&cc. which being join'd to Veros change the Affirmation into a Negation, as, no Man is immortal, Nullum corpus eft indivifibile. 'Tho' much of thefe Notes, which relate to the Knowledge of the true Na ture of a Verb, may feem to (and indeed in many Things do) selate more to the dead Languages than the Living, yet there is nothing advanced which will not be ufeful to the Student of GRAMMAR, fince by thefe Obfervations he will enter into the very Efience of the Art, and fee in what it is founded on the Nature of Things; and we are very certain, that great Part of thefe Notcs are equally advantageous to our underftanding the Nature of our own Words, and in what they are founded on the general Reafon of all Languages.
[2] We have in the foregoing Notes obferv'd, that the Diverfity of Perfons and Numbers in Affirmations or Verbs, proceeds from the joining in the faid Words the Subject of the Propofition, at leaft on certain Occafions, to the Affirmation proper to the Verb, to fhorten the Exprefion, (tho' this will not hold in moft modern Tongues, at leaft in none which want Variety of Terminations,) to diftinguith the Perfons, (which we do by Perfonal Names) for when a Man fpeaks of himfelf, the Subject of the Propofition is the Pronoun or Perfonal Name, of the firf Perfon Ego, 1; and when he fpeaks of him to whom he addreffes himfelf, the Subject of the Propofition is the Pronoun of the fecond Perfon, $\tau_{u}$, thou, you.

Now that he may not always be oblig'd to ufe thefe Pronouns, it has been thought fufficient to give to the Word which fignifies the Affirmation, a certain Termination, which fhews that it is of himfelf a Man fpeaks, and that is what is call'd the firlt Perfon of the Verb, as Video, I fce.

The fame is done with refpect to him, to whom a Man addreffes himfelf; and this is call'd the fecond Perfon, vides,
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thou feeft, or you fiee. And as thefe Pronouns have their Plurals, that fignify more than one, as when a Man talking of himfelf joins others, as us, wee; or of him to whom he fpeaks, by joining others, as you, to two different Terminations in the Latin, are join'd to the Plural, as videmus, we fie, videtis, you Jee.

But becaufe often the Subject of the Propofition is neither a Man's felf, nor the Perfon to whom he fpeaks, 'tis neceffary not only to referve thefe two Terminations to thofe two Perfons, but that a third be made to be join'd to all other Subjeets of a Propofition. And this is what is call'd the third Perfon, as well in the Singular Number, as Plural ; tho' the Word Perfon properly agrees only to rational and intellectual Beings, and fo is proper but to the Two former, fince the third is for all other Sorts of Things, and not for Perfons only. But that we fee, that naturally what we call the third Perfon ought to be the Therne of the Verb, as it is alfo in all the Oriental Tongues; for it is more natural, that the Verb fhould fignify properly the Affirmation, without making any Subject in particular, and that afterwards it be determined by a new Inflexion, to include the firt or fecond Perfon for a Subject.

This Diverfity of Terminations for the firt Perfon fhews, that the Ancient Languages had a great deal of Reafon not to join the Pronouns of the firt and fecond Perion to the Verb, but very rarely (and on particular Confiderations) contenting themfelves to fay, video, vides, videmus, videtis, becaufe thefe Terminations were originally invented for this very Reafon, viz. to avoid joining the Pronouns to the Verbs; yet all the vulgar or living Languages, and ours efpecially, always joih them to their Verbs; for we fay, I fee, thou feeft, or you fee, weve $\int_{e e, ~ \& ~ \& ~ c . ~ t h e ~ R e a f o n ~ o f ~ w h i c h ~ m a y ~ b e, ~ o r ~ r a t h e r ~ p l a i n l y ~ i s, ~}^{\text {, }}$ that our Verbs have no diftindt Terminations to exprefs the Perfons without them.

But befides thefe two Numbers, Singular and Plural, which are in Verbs as well as Nouns, the Greeks have a Dual Number, which is proper only to two ; but this is not fo commonly made ufe of, as the other two.

The Oriental Languages thought it proper to diftinguif, when the Affirmation related to the one, or the other, and to the Mafculine, or Feminine; for this Reafon they gave the fame Perfon of the Verb two Terminations to exprefs the two Genders, which indeed is a great help in avoiding Equivocals.
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## [3] And Time, and Perfon; wobether it exprefs Action, Being, Paffion; or their rvant confefs.

An Affirmation is a Part of Speech (as the Word imports) which affirms fome Attribute, with the Defignation of Time, Number, and Perfon, expreffing being, doing, or fuffering, or the Want of them, or the like.

> Two Times the Englifh Language only knowis, The firf, the prefent, next the paffing foows:
> And they by different Endings are made known,
> By adding (d), or (ed, are mofly Boown;
> The prefent Love, the peffing lov'd does make,
> Or elfe fome other Affirmations take
> Before it, which its different Times declare, And in the Rules of Affirmation Bare.
[3] The Signification of the Time, is another Thing, which we have faid to be join'd to the Affirmation of the Verb; for the Affirmation is made according to the different Times, fince we may affirm a Thing is, was, or will be: whence other Inflections are given to $V$ er bs, fignifying thefe feveral Times, which our Englifh Grammarians have by a barbarous Word call'd Tenfis. But there are but three fimple Tenfes, or Times, the prefent, as amo, I love; the Paft, as amavi, I bave loved; and the Future, as amaik, I woill (or Ball.) love.

But becaure in the paft one may mark, that the Thing is but juft paft or done, or indefniely, that it was done; it from this proceeds that in the greateft Part of the Vulgar Languages there are two Sorts of Preterits or Paf Times, one that marks the Thing to be precifely done, and is therefore call'd Definite; as, I bave auritten, I bave faid; and the other that marks or denotes it done indeterminately, and therefore call'd Indefinite, or Aorifus, as, $I$ werote, $I$ went, $I$ din'd; which is properly only fpoke of a Time, at leaft of a Day's Diftance from that, in which we fpeak. But this holds truer in the French Language, than in any other; for in that they fay, J'ecrivis bier, I wurcte Yeferlay, but not J'ecrivis ce Matin, nor F'ecrivis cette Nuit, but J'ay ecrit ce Matin, J'ay ecrit cette Nuit, \&c.

The Future will alfo admit of the fame Differences; for we may have a Mind to denote or mark a Thing that is fuddenly to be. Thus the Grecks have their Paulopoft future, idi'you $\mu^{\prime}=\lambda \lambda \omega v$, which marks the Thing about to be done, as пой
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All Afirmations affirming in Time, this Time is exprefs'd either by different Endings, as Love, loo'd, or loved; burr, burn'd, or burned; or by putting other Affirmations before them, which alfo exprefs the Manner of the Affirmation, as bave, 乃all, will, might, wou'd, 乃ou'd, \&ic. as will be feen in the Sequel.

In Englijh we have but two Times diftinguifh'd by the different Endings; the prefont is the Affirmation itfelf, as I love; the fecond is the paling, as I lov'd: All other Times are exprefs'd by the 'forefaid Words.

The Perfonal Names the Perfons do exprefs, As I, thou, he, we, ye, and they confes. With thefe their various Endings too agree, As we by love, loveft, and loves may See.

The
1 am about to do it : And we may alfo mark a Thing, that is fimply to happen, as $\pi$ oriño, l.will do it; amabo, I will love.

This is what we may fay of the Times, or Tenfes of Verbs, confidering 'em fimply in their Nature, as Prefent, Paft, and Future. But becaufe it has been thought fit to mark thefe Tenfes, with a Relation to another by one Word, other Inflections have been invented in the Verbs or Affirmations, which may be call'd the Compound $\mathcal{T}_{e n f e s,}$ or $T_{\text {imes }}$.
The firlt is that which marks the Paft, in relation to the Prefent, and 'tis call'd the Freterimperfect Tenfe, or Time, becaufe it marks not the Thing fimply and properly as done, but as imperfect, and prefent, with refpect to a Thing which is already neverthelefs paft: Thus when I fay, Cum intravit canabam, I rwas at Jupper wwben be entered, the Action of Supping is paft in refpect of the Time, of which I fpeak, but I mark it as prefent in refpect of the Thing, of which I fpeak, which is the Entrance of fucb a-one.

The fecond Compound Time, or Tenfe, is, that which doubly marks the paft, and on that Account is call'd the Preterpluperfect Tenfe, or the Time more than perfectly paft; Cenaviram, I bad fupp'd: by which I denote my Action of fupping, not only as paft in it felf, but alfo as pait in refpect to another Thing which is alfo palt; as, I had Jupp'd when be enter'd ; which fhews my Supping was before his Entrance; which is alfo part.

The Third Compound Time is that which denotes the Future with refpect to the Paft, viz. the Future Perfect, as Cenasero, I Saall have fupp'd; by which I mark my Action of
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The Perfons of the Affirmation are always exprefs'd by the Perfonal Names 1 , thou, be, in the Singular, and WC, je, or you, and they, in the Plural Number; the two firlt reaching enly themfelves, the third all other Names, becaufe all other NAMES are of the third Perfon. They alfo vary their Endings in the fecond and third Perfon Singular; as I love, thou loveft, be loves; we, ye, and they love, in the prefent Time; and I loved, thou lovedf, be loved in the paffing Time; the Soldier fights, God prevails. 1 love, befides the firft Perfon, denotes the Time when I love, that is, the prefent Time when I am fpeaking; but by adding (d), it fignifies the Time parfing, as I loved, lov'd, or did love.
[4] The following Nine are of mof general Ufe, And various Meanings in the reft produce;

Supping as Future it felf, and Pafs'd in regard to another 'Thing to come, that is to follow, as wwhen I foall bave fupp'd, be will enter; which is to fay, That my Supper (which is not yet come) will be paft when his Entrance (which is alfo not yet come) will be prefent.
Thus a fourth Compound Time may be added, that is, that which marks the Future with Relation to the Prefent, to make as many Compound Futures as Compound Preterits, or Paft Times, or Tenfes; and perhaps the fecond Future of the Grceks marks this in its Origin, whence it comes, that it almoft always preferves the Figurative of the Prefent; neverthelefs in the Uie of it, it bas been confounded with the former; and even the Latin makes ufe of the fimple Future for that; as, Cunn coenavero intrabis, You will enter when I have fupp'd; by which I mark my Supper, as future in it felf, but as prefent when you enter.

This is what has given Rife to the feveral Inflections of Verbs or Afirmations, that they may diftinguifh the feveral Times or Tenfes; upon which we muft obferve, that the Oriental Tongues have only the Paft and the Future, without any of the other Differences of imperf. $\mathbb{Z}$, preterpluperfect, \&c. which renders thofe Languages fubject to great and many Ambiguities, not to be met with in others. But thefe Differences of the Times (in our Tongue efpecially) are clearly denoted by the Auxiliary Verbs, and very few Alterations of the Terminations, as in Latir; as is fhewn in the $T_{\text {ext }}$.
[4] In this Place we fhall alfo add what we have to fay of the Mloods or Forms of Verbs, as Afirmations. We have there-

Do, will, and hall, muft, ought, and may, Have, am, or be, this Doctrine will dijflay.
For thefe Neceflity, or Ponv'r, or Wil',
And Time, or Duty are exprefling fill.
Of Affirmations, the following Nine are moft generally us'd, being placed before all other Affirmations, to fignify their Time, Power, Will, Liberty, Neceflity, Duty, E$c$. Of thefe therefore, it is neceffary we firft treat.

Do does the Prefent Time with Force exprefs, And did the Paffing forws us with no lefs.
fore already faid, that Verbs are of that kind of Words that fignify the Manner and Form of our Thoughts, the chief of which is Afirmation: And we have alfo obferv'd, that they receive different Inflections, according as the Affirmation relates to different Perfons and 'Iimes; but Men have found, that it was proper to invent other Inflections alfo, more diftinctly to explain what pafs'd in their Minds. For firit they obferv'd, that befides fimple Affirmations, as be lowes, be lovid, \&c. there were others conditional and modify'd, as, Tho' he might bave lov'd, tho' be would bave lov'd, \&c. And the better to diftinguifh thefe Affrmations from the others, they doabled the Inflections of the fame Tenfes or Times, making fome ferve for fimple Affirmations, as loves, lorv'd ; and others for thofe Affrmations which were modify'd; as, might bave low'd, would bave lore'd; tho' not conftantly obferving the Rules, they made ufe of fimple Inflections to exprefs modif: $d$ Affrmations, as et $\sqrt{6}$ vereor, for etf verear; and 'tis of thefe latter Sort of Inflections, that the GRAMMARIANS make their Mood call'd the Subjunctive: Moreover (befides the Afirmation) the Action of our Will may be taken for a Manner of our Thought, and Men had Occafion to mark what they would have underitood, as well as what they thought. Now we may will a Thing feveral Ways, of which three may be confider'd as chief:

1. We rwould have Things that do not depend on ourfelves, and then we will it only by a fimple Wifh which is explain'd in Latin by the Particle Utinam, and in our Tongue by would to God. Some Languages (as the Greck) have invented particular Inflections for that; which has given occafion to the GRAMMARIANS to call them the Optative Mood: And there's in French, and in the Spanift, and Italian, fomething
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Do is, and denotes the prefent Time, which in the paffing Time changes its Ending into did: Both thefe are us'd to exprefs their feveral Times with the greater Force, Diffinction, and Fulnefs; as $I$ do love, I do not love. Thus did expreffes the paffing Time emphatically, except when wobilf goes before it, for then 'tis but imperfectly paft, or pafing. The Perfonal Endings of this Affirmation, are, I do, thou dof, be does, fingular; rwe, $y$, and they do, plural.

When do fignifies Action, as, I do fuch a Thing, it admits thefe other Affirmations before, to denote its Time and Manner of doing. Will does the fame, when it fignifies ruilling, as, $I$ will this to be done; tho' this is feldom done in our prefent way of Writing.

Will is the prefent Time, and wou'd the paft, But before otber Affirmations caft The Time to come by both is fill exprefs'd.
like it, fince there are triple Tenfes; but in others, the fane Inflections ferve for the Subjunctive and Optative ; and for this Reafon one may very well retrench this Mood in the Latin Conjugations; for 'tis not only the different way of fignifying, which may be very much multiply'd, but the different Inflections that ought to make Moods.
2. We will fometimes after another manner, when we content ourfelves with granting a Thing, tho' abfolutely we would not do it; as, when Terence fays, Profundat, perdeat, pereat, Let bim laviß, let bim fink, let bim periß, \&ic. Men might have invented an Inflection to mark this Movement, as well as they have invented one in Greek, to mark a fimple Defire, but they have not done it, and make ufe of the Subjunctive for it; and in French and Englifs we add 'qu'e, let. Some GRAMMARIANS have call'd this the Potential Mood, Modus Potensialis, or Mcdus Conceffionis.
3. The third fort of willing is, when what we will depends on a Perfon, of whom we may obtain it, fignifying to him the Defire we have that he will do it. This is the Motion we have when we command or pray. 'Tis to mark this Motion, that the Mood call'd Imperative was invented: It has no firit Perfon, efpecially in the Singular, becaufe one cannot properly command one's felf; nor the third in feveral Languages, becalse we don't properly command any but thofe to whom we adidrefs and fpeak. And becaufe the Command or Dtfire in this
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Will is the prefent Time, and wou'd the paft, of this Affirmation; but they fignify the Time to conse, when they are plac'd before other Affirmations, as, I rvill lowe, I riou'd lowe. Its Perfons are, I rvill, thou avilt, be will, fing. we, je, they will, plur. Will implies the Inclination of the Agent.

The fame Rule bolds of Thall, and fhou'd, we find; Since all the Time to come alone intend.
Shall is the prefent Time, and frou'd the patt ; but it fignifies the Time to come, when added to other Affirmations; as I Ball love, I Bou'd love. Sball is fometimes left out, as, If be write, for, If be foall rurite; if be bave rwritten, for if be Ball bave written.

> In the firf Perfon fimply fhall foretells:

In will a Threat, or elfe a Promife drvells.
Shall in the Second and the Third does threat;
Will fimply then foretells the future Feat.

Mood has always regard to the Future, it thence happens, that the Impcrative and Future are often taken one for another, efpecially in the Hebresw, as non occides, you foall not kill, for kill not: Whence it comes to pafs, that fome GRAMMA. RIANS have placed the Imperative among the Futures.

Of all the Moods we have been fpeaking of, the Oriental Tongues have only this latter, which is the Imperative: And on the contrary, the Vulgar Tongues have no particular Inflection for the Imperative, but our way of marking it in the French, is to take the fecond Perfon plural, and even the firf, without the Pronouns that go before 'em: Thus IVous aimez, You lorve, is a fimple Affirmation; aimez an Imperative. Nous aimons, We luve; aimone an Imperative : But when we command by the Singular, which is very rare, we do not take the fecond Perfon, $7 u$ aimes, but the firlt, aime.

There's another Inflection of a Verb, that admits of neither Number nor Perfon, which is what we call Infinitive; as effe, eftre, to be; amare, aimer, to love. But it mult be obferved, that fometimes the Infinitive retains the Affirmation, as when I fay, Scio malum effe fugiendum, I know the Evil is to be avoided ; then often it lofes it, and becomes a Noun, efpecially in Greek and the vulgar Tongues; as when we fay, Le boire, le manger, and alfo je vieux boire, volo libere: for 'tis as much as to fay, rolo potum, or potioncm.
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We ufe not Ball and will promifcuoufly for one another， for Ball in the firf Perfon fimply foretells；will implies a Promife，or a Threat．In the fecond and third Perfon，Ball promifes，or threatens，and will only fimply foretells；thus， I 乃ball burn，you will，or，thou rvilt burn，be will burn，we 乃hall， ye will，they will burn；that is，I foretell this will be．I will， you Ball，or thou ßalt；be ßall，we will，ye Ball，they Ball burn；that is，I promife，or engage，that fuch a Thing Ball be done．

Wou＇d and 乃sou＇d foretels what was to come，but with this Difference，rvou＇d implies the Will and Propenfion of the A－ gent；Bou＇d，only the fimple Futurity；as，I wou＇d burn，I Sou＇d burn；rather than turn，I wou＇d burr；if the Fire were． about me，I hou＇d burn．

Shou＇d feems likewife，in many Places，to be the fame as ought；as，I bave been oblig＇d to Roger，and hou＇d now return the Obligation．The Perfons of thefe are，I frow＇$d$ ，thou 乃oou＇dff， be 乃bou＇d ；I wou＇d，thou wou＇d $d$ ，be rwou＇d．

This being fuppos＇d，＇tis demanded what the Infinitive is properly，when＇tis not a Noun，but retains its Affirmation；as in this Example，Scio malun effe fugicndum．I know of no body that has taken Notice of what I am about to obferve， which is，that we think the Infinitives among the other Moods of Verbs，what the Relative is among the Pronouns；for as the Relative has more in it than the other Pronouns，that it joins the Propofition in which it is to another Propofition，fo I believe the $\ln$ initive，befides the Affirmation of the Verb， may join the Propofition，in which it is，to another；for Scio is as good as a Propofition of itfelf；and if you add malum eft fugicndum，＇twou＇d be two reveral Propofitions；but putting effe inftead of eft，you make the lait Propofition but a Part of the firt．And thence it is that in Fren：b they almoft always render the Infinitive by the Indicative of the Verb， $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ fcay， qui le mal eft fuir，and then this que fignifies only this Union of one Propofition to another；which Union is in Latin contain＇d in the Infinitive，and in French alfo，tho＇rarely，as when we fay，Il croit fcavoir tostes chofes．

This way of joining Propofitions by an Infinitive，or by guod and que，is chiefly in ufe，when we make one Part of a Difcourfe have a Relation to another；as if I would report， that the King faid to me，Je vous donnera une charge，I fhall not generally do it in thefe Terms，The King faid to me， 1
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$$
\text { We, ye, and they, }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { woou'd, } \\
\text { flou'd. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Time to come moft alfolutely note
Botb fhall and will ; but wou'd and fhou'd do not,
But with Condition Time to come exprefs;
Which Difference they cocry-whire confefs.
Sball and rwill denote abfolutely the Time to come; Bou'd and roou'd do it conditionally.

May does the Right, or Poffibility;
And can the Agent's Pow'r to do imply.
May and can, with their paft or pafing Times might and cou'd, imply a Power; but with this Diftinction, may and might are faid of the Right, Poffibility, and Liberty of doing a Thing; can and cou'd of the Power of the Agent; I can burm, I cou'd burn, I may burn, I might burn; that is, it is foffoble or laruful for me to kurn. The Perfons are, I may, thou may' $\hat{2}$, be may; wee, ye, and they may. I migbt, thou might'/f or you might, be might; wep, ye, and they might. I can, thou can'f, be can; we, $y e$, and they can. I cou'd, thou cou'dfe, be cou'd ; wev, ye, and they cou'd. May and can are ufed with' relation both to the Time prefent, and to come ; cou'd from can, and night from may, have relation to the Time paft and to come.
will give thee a Pof, le Roy m'a dit, Fe rocus donnera une charge, by leaving the two Propoofitions feparate, one for me, the other for the King, but fhaill join 'em together by a $2 u \in$ le Roy m'a dit, quil me donnera une cbarge; and then it being only a Propofition, which is of my felf, I change the firt, je dimneray into the third, il dmneray, and the Pronoun vous (fignifying the King fpeaking) to the Pronoun me, (fignifying my filf) who fpeak.

This Union of the Propofition is allo made by $\rho$ in French, and by an in Latin, in relating an Interrogative; as any one may demand of me, Pouvez vous faire cela, Can you do that? I hould in relating it fay, On m'a dımande $\sqrt{2}$ je pouvoir faire cela, I was afk'd, If I could do that: And fometimes without any Particle, by changing only the Perfon; as, He afk'd me, Who are you? He aff'd me wobo I was.

But we muft obferve, that the Hebrecws, tho' they fpoke in another Language (as the Evangelifs) make very little Ufe of this Union of Propofitions, but always relate Difccures direcaly as they were made, fo that the ör (quod) wich they
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Muft tbe Necefity does filll denote, And fill the Duty we exprefs by ought.
Muft implies Neceffity, I muft burn; ougbt implies Duty, as, I ought to burn. But thefe two Affirmations have only the prefent Time, and their Perfons are only exprefs'd by the Perfonal Names, for it is now quite obfolete to fay, thou ougbtef; for it now changes its Ending no more than muft.

> Have (when with Qualities of Suffring plac'd) Denotes the Time that perfectly is paft;
> And thus by had is mof directly forwn
> The Time, that more than perfectly is gone.
> Shall, and will have, do fill the Time declare,
> That will be poft before Some others are.

Have (join'd to a Quality that fignifies fuffering) denotes the Time perfectly paitt, that is, that which is now paft. Had marks the Time that is more than perfecily paft, or fome time paft, that is, at the Time when it was fpoken of; as, I bave burn'd,
frequently ufed, did often ferve for nothing, and did not join Propofitions: An Example of which is in St. Folm, ch. r. Miferunt Judci ab Hierofclymis facerdotes io frribas ad Joannem ut interrogarent eum, Tu quis es? Et confeifus eft छo non negavit; Ơ confofous eft quia (örs) Non fum ego Cbriftus. Et interrogaverunt eum, Quis ergo? Elias es tu? Et dixit, Non fum; Profbcta cs tu? Et refpondit, Non. Accoiding to the common Ufe of our Tongue, thefe Queftions and Anfwers would have been related indirestly thus: They fent to afk John rubo ke was? and he confef's'd be was not Chrift. And they demanded, who be avas then, if be rvas Elias? and he faid, No. If be was a Prophet? and be reply'd, No. This Cufom is even met with in prophane Authors, who feem to have borrow'd it alfo from the Hebrews : And thence it is that the ört had often among them only the Strength of a Pronoun, depriv'd of its common Ufe of Connexion, even when Difcourfe is reported not directly.
-We have already faid, that Men have, on an infinite Number of Occafions, join'd fome particular Attribute with the Affirmation, made fo many Verbs different from Subfantives, which are to be found in all Tongues, and that they may be called Adjeative; to fhew, that the Signification, which is proper to each, is added to the Signification common to all Verbs, which is that of Affrmation. But 'tis a vulgar Error to believe,

The Englifh Grammar with Notes． 113 burn＇d，I bad burn＇d．Thus 乃all bave，and will bave burn＇d， denote the Time，which will be paft before another Thing， which is to come，bappens，or is．Asrubon I Ball bave read a Page，I will fbut the Book．The Perfons of thefe Affirmations， are，I have，thous haft，he has；wee，ye，and they bave．I bad， thou badf，he had；we，ye，or jou，and thiy had．

Whenerver have，Poffeffion docs denote， Thefe Affirmations it admits，clfe not．
When bave fignifies Pofilfon，as I bave a Horfe，I have a Commiffon，and the like，it admits forme of the Nine Affirma－ tions we have been treating of before it，to exprefs its Times， Manner，$\varepsilon_{0} c$ ．elfe not．

> Am, or be, fill in their native Senfe Being import; but then they fill dififenfe The Affirmation to the Quality (Without it loft) that fuff'ring does imfly.
$A m$ ，or be（for they are the fame）naturally，or in them－ felves fignify being ；but join＇d to，or fet before a 乌uality，fig－ nifying Juffering，reftore the Affirmation of Juffering，which as a Quality it lolt；as I am burn＇d，be muft be burind．It has therefore a double Formation．

Singular，
that all thefe Verbs fignify Action or Paftion；for there＇s nothing． a Verb cannot have for its Attribute，if the Affirmation be join’d to the Attribute．Nay，we fee that the Verb Subitantive $S_{u m}$ ， I am，is frequently Adjective，becaufe inftead of taking it to fig－ nify the Affirmation fimply，the moft general of all Attributes， is join＇d to it，which is Being；as when I fay，I think，therefore－ I am；I am fignifies fum ens，I am a biing，a Thing；Exifto， fignifies alfo fum exiftens，I am，I exift．

However that does not hinder，but that the common Divifion of thefe Verbs into Active，Pafive，and Neuter，may be re－ tain＇d．Thofe Verbs are properly call＇d AEtive，which fignify Action，to which is oppos＇d Paffon；as，To beat，to be beaten； to love，to be beloved：Whether thofe Actions be determined to a Subject，which is call＇d real Action，as，To beat，To break，to kill，\＆cc．or only to an Object，which is called intentional Action， as，To love，to know，to See．

Whence it is，that in 反everal Languages，Men make ufe of the fame Word，by giving it feveral Infections，to fignify both the one and the other，calling that a Verb Active，which has an Inflection，by which the Aclion is mark＇d，and a Verb Paflive，

I am burn' $d$, thou art burn' $d$, be is burn' $d$, if I were burn' $d$, I was burn'd, I have been burn'd, I bad been burn'd, I Bou'd be burn'd, I Bou'd bave been burn'd.

All other Englifh Affirmations, having no o:her differing Endings to fignify all the other different 'Times, which are in Nature, mult of Neceflity fupply that Defect, by making ufe of one or more of thefe Nine foregoing Words; for befides the prefent and the pafjing. Times, which the Englifh diftinguifhes by varying the Ending of the Afirmation, there is the future, or Time to come, the Time perfectly paft, and the Time more than perfectly paft; all which thefe little Affirmations eafily fupply.

Where'er thole Affirmations do precede,
The Endings of the followinn bave no need To change at all, but thole muft vary fill, The Ufe of Pers'nal Endings to fulfill.

Whenever
that which has an Inflection, by which the Poffon is mark'd; Amo, Amor; verbero, verberor. This was the Cuftom in all the ancient Languages, Latin, Greek, and Oriental; and moreover, thefe latter gave Three Actives to the fame Verb, with each their Faffive, and a Reciprocal between both the one and the other; as, s'eimer would be, which fignifies the Action of the Verb, on the Subject of that Verb. But the vulgar Tongues of Europe have no Paflive, and inftead of that they make ufe of a Participle made of the $\operatorname{Vcr} b$ Aciver, which is taken in a Paffive Senfe, with the Verb Subftantive; $\mathcal{F e}$ fuis, $I \mathrm{am}$; as $I$ am beloved, $\mathcal{F}_{6}$ fuis aime; $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ fuis battu, I am beaten, \&c. Thus much for Verbs, Active and Pafive.

Neuters, call'd by fome GRAMMARIANS Verba intran. fitiva, are two Sorts ; the one does not fignify the Action, but a quality; as, Albet, it is white; viret, it is green; friget, it is cold, \&cc Or fome Situation; as, Sedet, be fits; fat, be flands ; jacet be lies. Or has fome relation to Place; Adeft, be is prifent; abeft, be is abfent. Or fome other State or Attribute; as, Quicjcit, he is quiet; excellit, be cxcels; fraeft, be is Juperior; regnat, be is King.
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Whenever thefe goregoing Affirmatives are plac'd before any others, they not only change their own Perfonal Endings, but hinder the following Affrmations from changing theirs, as I do love, thou doft lowe, be does love, reve, ye, and thry do love; not I do love, thou dof loveff, be dors loves, \&c. But the Perfonal Name is often left out, when the Aiflimation implies Exhortation or Command, as barin for ourn thou, or ye.

We have fhewn, that Affrizations form their pafing Time by adding (d) to the prefent, or by changing (e) into (d) or (ed); as, I love, I lov'd or lowed; I burn, I burn'd or burned; but the (ed) is now almoft wholly left out, except in winged, and a very few more, and therefore it is only on account of fome old Books, that we mention it here.

Thefe Perfonal Endings are not only omitted after the Nine Affirmations, but after if, that, tho', altbo', whetber, \&c.

But when the prefent inds in (d) or (t), The paffing Time the fame we al=ways fee.
When the prefint Time ends in (d), or ( $t$ ), the palfing has the fame ending; as read, Spread, caft, bit, knit, and fome others,

The other Verbs Neuter fignify AEtions, but fuch as do not pafs in a Subject different from him who aets, or which do not relate to another Cbject ; as, To dine, to fup, to march, to Jpeak.

Neverthelefs, thefe latter Sorts of Verbs Nenter, fometimes become Tranjitive, when a Subjeet is given them; as Avrbulare viam, where the Way is talken for the Subject of the Acion; often alfo in Greek, and fometimes in Latin, a Subject is given it, being a Noun form'd of the fame Verb; as Pugnare pugnam, fervire firvitutem, vivere vitam.

But we believe thefe later Ways of Speaking were occafion'd only to mark fomething particular, that was not intirely contain'd in the Verb, as when one would fay, Man lecads a ßameful Life, which is not imp'y in the Word vivere; it has been faid, vivere vitam beatam; as alfo Servire duram Servitutem. Thus when we fay, vivere vitam, 'tis without doubt a Pleonafm, come from thofe other Ways of Speaking: For this Reafon (in all the new Languages) we avoid joining the Noun to the Verb, as a fault, and don't fay, for Example, To fogbt a great Fight.

By this that Queftion may be refolv'd, whether every Verb not Paffive governs always an Accufative, at leaft underfood: ' $T$ is the Opinion of fome very able GRAMMARIANS, but
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others, which are diftinguifh'd only by the Pronunciation, tho' they were doubtlefs of old, readed, ppreaded, caffed, bitted, knitted, \&cc. And if they were ftill fpelt with a double Confonant, it would be much better for the Diftinction, tho' this Defect is fully fupply'd by the former Nine little Aftirmations of Time, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ} c$.

Other Exceptions to this Rule rwe fird, Which to the follorwing Lift are moft confign'd.
There are fome Affrmations, which are irregular in this Matter, or are Exceptions to this Rule ; but this Irregularity reaches only thofe, which are Native, and originally Engliß Words, and of one Syllable, or deriv'd from Words of one Syllable.

The firf Irregularity, and that which is the mof general, arofe from our Quicknefs of Pronunciation, by changing the Confonant (d) into (t) as often as by that means the Pronunciation is made the more expeditious; and indeed feems rather a Contraction, than an Irregularity; particularly after $c, c h$, $\beta, f, k, p, x$; and after $s$, and $t h$, when pronounc'd hard; and
for our Farts we don't think it. For firf, the Verbs that fig. nify no Aciion, but fome Condition; as quiefcit, exifit; or fome Quality, as albet, calct, have no Accuative they can govern; and for the reft it muft be regarded, whether the Action they fignify has a Subject or an Ojject , that may be different from that which aits. For then the Verb governs the Subject, where this Object has the Accufative. But when the Action fignified by the Verb has neither Subjeet nor Object different from that which acts, as, to dine, to fup; prandere, canare, \&ic. then there is not fufficient Reafon to fay they govern the Accufative : Tho' thofe GRAMMARIANS thought the Infinitive of the Verb to be underftood as a Noun form'd by the Verb, and by this Example, Curro, they will have it Curro curfums or curro currere: However, this does not appear to be folid enough, for the Verb fignifies every Thing the Infinitive fignifies taken as a Noun; and further, the Affirmation and Defignation of the Perfon and Tenfe. As the Adjective candidus, white, fignifies the Subftantive drawn from the Adjective (to wit) candor, subitene/s, and alfo the Connotation of a Subject, in which is that abftract: wherefore, there's as much Reafor to pretend, that when we fay, Homo condidus, candere is to be underftood
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and fometimes after $l, m, n, r$, when a fhort Vowel goes before: for thefe Letters more eafily admit a ( $t$ ) than a (d) after 'em; as, plac't, fratcch'd, fif $/ \beta^{\prime} t$, waok't, dwolt , fimelt, inftead of plac'd, fnatch'd, fifb'd, swak'd, dwell'd, smell'd.

But (d) remains after the Confonants $b, g, v, w, z, s, t h$, when they have a fofter Sound, and when a longer Vowel precedes $l, m, n, r$, for they more eafily unite and incorporate with (d) than (t), becaute of the like Direction of the Breath to the Noftrils; as you may find in the Notes to this Grammar on the Formation of thofe Letters ; thus, liv' $d$, finil' $d$, raz'd, believ'd, \&c. from live, finile, raze, believe.

Except when the long Vowel is fhortned before $l, m, n, r$; or when $(b)$ and $(u)$ are chang'd into $(p)$ or ( $f$ ), and the fofter Sound of (s) paffes into the r harder, as folt, delt, dremt, ment, left, bereft, \&c. from to feel, deal, dream, nean, have, bereave, \&c.

But when (d) or (t) go before, and are join'd by (d) or (t), (in this contracted Form), they incorporate with the radical (d) or (t), into one Letter ;-that is, if (t) be the radical Letter, they unite into $(t)$, but if ( $d$ ) be the radical Let-
ter,
underfood, as to imagine that when we fay curris, currere is to be underftood.

The Infinitive (which we have been explaining) is what properly hould be called a Verb Imperfonal, fince it marks the Affirmation, which is the Property of the Verb, and marks it indefinitely, without Number and Perfon, which is properly to be Imperfonal.

Neverthelefs the GRAMMARIANS generally give the Name of Imperfonal to certain defective Verbs, that have hardly any thing but the third Perfon.

There are two Sorts of thefe Verbs, the one have the Form of Verbs Neuter, as peenitet, pudet, piget, licet, lubet, \&c. the other are made of Verbs palivee, and retain the Form, as Statur, curritur, amatur, virvitur, \&c. Now thefe Verbs have fometimes more Perfons than the GRAMMARIANS think of, as may be feen in the Metbod. Latin Remarks on Verbs, Chap. 5. But what we may confider here, and which few Perfons have taken Notice of, is, that it feems they are call'd Imperfonal, only becaufe insplying in their Signification a Subject, which agrees only to the third Perfon. 'Twas not neceflary to exprefs the Fact, becaufe 'tis remark'd enough by the Verb itfelf; and thus the Affirmation and Attribute have been com-
ter, then they incorporate into (d) or ( $t$ ), according as this or that Letter is the eafier to be pronounc'd, as read, led, Jpread, dread, Jored, tread, bid, bid, cbid, fed, bled, bred, Sped, Arrid, Jid, rid, \&c. (which doubtlefs, were originally, readed, bided, \&c. as it were, read'd, bid'd, \&c.) from to read, lead, Spread, Hed, diread, 乃bread, bid, bide, chide, feed, bleed, breed, Jpeed, fride, תide, ride, \&c. thus, caff, burt, coff, bur $f$, eat, beat, fweat, fit, quit, fmit, writ, bit, bit, met, Joot, \&c. (tho' perhaps thefe Words wou'd for the Diftinction of the palfing Time from the prefent, be better (pelt, eatt, beatt, bitt, bitt, $\& c$. as it were, eat't, bit't bit $t$ ', \&ic. from thefe WORDS, to caft, burt, coft, burft, eat, beat, fweat, fit, quit, fmite, qurite, bite, bit, meet, Boot, \&c. thus, lent, fent, rent, girt, \&c. for lend'd, Send'd, \&c. from to lend, Send, rend, gird, \&cc.

Tho' this Irregularity be fometimes loft, and the regular Spelling obferv'd, as plac'd, ff/s'd, \&c. yet 'tis but feldom, and in few Words.

There
priz'd by the Subject in one Word, as Pudit me, that is pudor tenet, or eft tenens me; pacnitet me, parna babet me; Libet mihhi, libido oft mibi: Where it muft be obferv'd that the Verb eff is not only fimply the Subflantive, but fignifies alfo Exifence. For 'tis, as if 'twas faid, Libido exiffit mibh, or eff exifens mibibi. And thus in other Imperfonals refolv'd by eft; as licet mibi, for licitum eft mibi, oportet orare, for opus eft orare, \&c. As to Paffive imperfonals, Statur, curritur, vivitur, \&c. they may alfo be refolv'd by the Verb eff, or fit, or exifit, and the Nouns Verbal taken of themfelves, as Statur, that is, Statio fil, or eft facta, or Exifit; Curritur, curfus fit ; Concurritur, concurfus fit; vivitur, vita eft, or rather vita agitur. ©i fic vivitur, $\bar{\jmath}$ vita eft talis, If Life is fuch. Mifere vivitur, cum medice vivitur; Life is miferable when 'tis too much fubjected to the Rules of Phyfick, and then eff becomes a Subftantive, becaufe of the Addition of mifore, which makes the Attribute of the Propofition.

Dum fervitur libidini, that is, dum Servitus exbibetur libidini, when a Man makes himfelf Slave to his Paffions. By this methinks may be concluded, the Vulgar Languages have not properly Imperfonals; as when we fay in French, il faut, it muff, il eft permis, il me flaift; for $i l$ is there properly a Relative, which always ferves inflead of the Nominative of the Verb, which generally comes after in the Confrustion, as if we fay, il me plait de. faire cela; that is to fay, il de faire, for the Altion or the
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There are not a few other irregular WORDS in the pafirg Time, but thofe, which are more particular and fpecial, may be reduc'd to their Claffes.; as,

1. Won, fpun, begun, fwam, fruck, fung, fiung, fiung, vung, wrung, Sprunt, froung, drunk, funk, ßbrunk, fuunk, bung, come, run, found, bound, giound wound; many of them are likewife fpelt with (a), as began, fang, rang, Jprang, drank, came, ran, and fome others, tho' not fo often; from to win, Spin, begin, fwim, flriňe, flick, fing, fing, fling, ring, zuring, Spring, fuing, drink, fink, forink, fink, bang, come, run, find, bind, grind, wind, \&ic.
2. Faught, taught, raught, fought, befought, caught, bought, draught, thought, wrought; from to fight, teach, reach, feek, befeech, catch, buy, bring, think, uork; yet fome of thefe fometimes keep their Regularity; as, reach' $d$, befeech' $d$, catb' $d$, swork'd, \&c.
3. Took, 乃book, forfook, woke, awoke, food, broke, Spoke, bore, Shore, fwore, iore, zwore, worve, clove, frove, throwe, drowe, Soone, rofe, arofe, fmote, wrote, bode, abode, rofe, chofe, trod, got, begot, forgot, rod; fome likewife write tbrive, rife, fmit, wurit, abid, rid, \&c. others form them by (a) as, brake, fpake, bare, bare, fware, tare, ware, clurve, gat, begat, forgat, and perhaps fome others; but this Way is feldom, and very unpo-
the Motion to do that pliafes me, or eft mon plaifir, 'tis my Pleafure. However, this il (which few People in our Opinion have rightly underfood) is only a Sort of Pronoun, for $i d$ that, which ferves inftead of the Nominative underflood or imply'd in the Senfe, and reprefents $i l$, fo that 'tis properly taken from the Article $i l$, of the Italians; inftead of which we fay le; or from the Pronoun ille, from whence we alfo take our Pronoun of the third Perfon il; il aime, il parle, il court, \&cc.

For the Paffive Imperfonals, amatur, curritur, exprefs'd in French by on aime, on court; 'tis certain thefe Ways of speaking in our Modern Languages, are fill lefs Imperfonal, tho' Indefinite; this on is there for Man, Homme, and confequently ferves inftead of the Nominative to the Verb : All this relates particularly to the French, and we have lefs of the Imperfonal than they, but the fame Reafons will remove ours juftly apply'd. And one may alfo obferve, that the Verbs of the Effects of Nature, as, Pluit, ningit, grandinat, may be explain'd by thefe fame, in both Tongues.
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; the prefent Times of thefe Words are, take, ßake, for: Jake, wake, arvake, fiand, break, Speak, bear, Bear, fwear, tear, wear, weave, cleave, (to cling to), cleave, (to (plit), Arive, drive, Soine, rife, arije, fmite, write, bide, abide, ride, chufe, (or choofe) tread, beget, forget.
4. Give, bid, fit, having their paling Times, gave, bad, Sate.
5. Draw, know, finorv, grow, throw, blow, crow, fly, fay See, ly, make their pafing Times, drow, knew, fnew, (or rather fnow'd,) grew, threw, blew, (or rather blow' $d$,) crerw, (or rathe: crow'd; fiew, flew, farw, lay, flee (or fiye), fled; from go, rwent. Thefe are all, or the moft Part at leaft, of the moft Confequence of all the Irregular WORDS in the Engli/s Tongue.

## When Affirmations are togetber join'd, <br> To fill between them does its Station find.

When Two Words of Affrmation come together, before the latter the Sign (to) is always exprefs'd or underftood ; as I love to read, I dare fight; in the latter ( $t_{0}$ ) is underfood; for it means, I dare to figbt, as, do, will, may, can; with their paffing Times, did, wou'd, 乃ou'd, might, cou'd, and muft, bid dare, let, belp, and make.

C H A P.
As Piuit is properly a Word, in which for Brevity fake the Subject, the Affirmation, and Attributes are included, inftead of Plurvia fit, or cadit; and when we fay it Rains, it Snorvs, it Hails, \&c. it is therefore the Nominative, that is to fay, Rains, Snows, Hails, \&c. included with their Verb Subftantive cft or fuit; as if we Mhould fay, il plute eft, le Neige Se fait, for id qucd dicitur pluvia oft, id quod vocatur nix fit.

This is better feen in the Way of Speaking, where the Frencls join a Verb with their $i l$, as il fait chaud, il eft tard, il cff fix beurcs, il $\in f$ jour, \&c. For 'tis the fame as may be faid in Italian, il caldo fa, tho' in ufe we fay fimply, fa caldo: Xfus, or Calor eft, or fit, or exifit. And il fait cbaud, that is to fay, il chaud (il caldo) or le chaud Se fait, to fay exifitt, eft. Thus we alfo fay, il Se fait tard, for il tardo, that is to fay, il tarde (le tard, or the Evening) Se fait. Or, as is faid in fome Provinces, il s'en va tard for il tarde, le tard s'en wa venir, that is, the Night approaches. As alfo il eft jour, that is, il jour (or the Day) eft, is. Il eft $\sqrt{2} x$ beures, that is, il temps $\sqrt{2} x$ beures eft; The 'rime or part of the Day call'd Six a Clock, is. And thus in other the like Terms.

Tho' we have no Participles in Englifl, but what by the beft Judges are reduc'd to Qualities, yet to carry on this general Grammar, we here add fomething on them: Participles are true Noun Adjectives, and 'twould not be proper to difcourfe of 'em here, if they had not fuch a near Relation to Verbs. This Relation confifts (as we have faid) in that they fignify the fame Thing as the Verb, except the Affirmation, which is taken away, and the Defignation of the three different Perfons, which follows the Affirmation. For which Reafon (when 'tis reftor'd to it) we do the fame thing by the Participle, as by the Verb; as amatus fum, is the fame thing as amor; and fune amans, as amo. And this Way of fpeaking by Participle, is more ufual in Greek and Hebrew than in Latin, tho' Cicero makes ufe of it fometimes.

Thus the Participle retains the Attribute of the Verb, and alfo the Defignation of the Time or Tenfe, there being Participles of the Prefent, the Preterit, and the Future, efpecially in Greck. But this is not always obferv'd, tho fome Participles join often all Sorts of T'enfes; as for Example, the Paffive Participle Amatus, which in moft GRAMMARIANS pafles for the Preterit, is often of the Prefent and Future; as amatus fum amatus cro. And on the contrary, that of the Prefent, as amans is often of the Preterit, Apri fuper Se dimicant, indurantes attritu arborum roftra, Plin. That is to fay, pofiquam indura-vere, and the like. N'co. Lat. Remarq. on Participles.

There are Active and Pafinve Participles, the Active in Lative end in ans-or ens, curans, docens; the Paffive in us, amatue, docius; tho there are fome of thefe that are Active, to wit, thofe of Verbs Deponent, as Locutus. But there are fome alfo that add this Paffive Signification, que celo disit eftre, qu'il faut que cela foit, that muft or ought to be, as are the Participles in dus, amandus, that that cught to be belov'd; tho' fometimes that latter Signification is almof quite loft.

The Property of Participles of Verbs Active, is to fignify the Action of the Verb, as 'tis in the Verb, that is to fay, in the Courfe of the Action it felf; whereas Verbal Nouns, that fignify Actions alfo, fignify them rather in the Habit, than in the Act. Thence it is, that Participles have the fame Regimen as the amans Dium. Whereas Verbal Nouns have the fame Regimen as Nouns, amator Dci. And the Participle it felf has the fame Regimen as Nouns when it fignifies rather the Habit than the Act of the Verb, becaufe it then has the Nature of a rimple Noun Verbal, as amans virtutis.

We have feen, that by taking away the Affirmation from Verbs Active and Paffive Participles are made, which are Noun Adjectives, retaining the Regimen of the Verb, at leaft in the Active.

But there are in Latin two Noun Subflantives form'd, one in dum, called a Gerund, which has divers Cafes, dum, di, do; amandum, amandi, amands; but it has but one Gender, and one Number, in which it differs from the Participle in dus, amandus, amanda, amandum.

Another in um, called Supine, which has alfo two Cafes, tum, tu, amatum, antatu; but it has no more Diverfity either of Gender or Number, in which it differs from the Participle in tus, amatus, amata, amatum.

We knolv very well the GRAMMARIANS are puzzled a little to explain the Nature of the Gerund ; and that fome very able ones have thought 'twas an Adjective Paffive, whofe Subflantive was the Infinitive of the Verb; fo that they pretend for Example, that tem 'us of ligendi Libros, or Librovion (for both the one and the other is us'd) is as if it were tempus c/t leyendi $\tau$ ช̃ legere libros vel librorum. There are two Spceches, to wit, teripus legerdi $\tau \tilde{8}$ legere, which is the Adjective and Subftantive, as if it was legendee leciionis, Eo legere Libros, which is the Noun Verbal, that then governs the Cafe of the Verb, as well as a Subfantive governs the Genitive, when we fay librorum for Libros. But confidering every thing, we don't fee, that the Term is neceflary.

For 1. As they fay of ligere, that 'tis a Verbal Noun Subftantive, which as fuch may govern either the Genitive, or even the Accufative, as the Ancients faid, curatio banc rem; Quid tibi banc taciio $¢ \mathcal{F}$ ? Plaut. We fay the fame Thing of legcndum, that 'tis a Verbal Noun Subftantive, as well as ligere, and that confequently it may do all that's attributed to legare.
2. There is no Ground to fay, that a Word is underfood when 'tis never expreft, and cannot be expreft without appearing abfurd. Nown never was an Infinitive join'd to its Gerund: and if one fhould fay legendum eff legcre, it would appear altogether abfurd, therefore, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ} c$.
3. If the Gerund ligendum were an Adjective Pafiive, it would not be different from the Participle legencius ; for what Reafon therefore did the Ancients, who underfood their Tongue, diftinguifh Gerunds from Participles ? We believe therefore the Gerund is a Noun Subftantive, which is always Active, and which differs from the Infinitiue only confider'd as a Nom; be- caufe it adds to the Signification of the Action of the Verb another of the Neceffity or Duty ; as if one would fay the Action that is to be done, which feems to be mark'd by the Word Gerund, which is taken from gercre, to do; whence it comes that pugnandum eft, is the fame Thing as pugnare oportet; and the Englifh and French, which have not this, render it by the Infinitive, and a Word which fignifies ougbt to be. Il faue combattre; and in Engli/h, we ought to fight.

But as Words do not always preferve the Force for which they were invented, this Gerund in dum often lofes that Ofortet and preferves only the Action of the Verb; Quis talia fand Temperet a Lacrymis; That is to fay, in fando, or in fori talia.

As for the Supine, we agree with thofe GRAMMARIANS, that it is a Noun Subflantive, which is paffive; whereas the Gerund in our Opinion is always active.

## C H A P. IX.

Of Particles, or Manners of Words.
By PARTICLES thefe feveral Things are done; Circumfance and Manner of Words are foown, And then to every Part of Specch are fown; Or clfe they do dencte of Words the State, And how each Word to other does relate: Or Sentence clfe to Sentence they unite, And their Dependance on each cther cite. WORDS, have thefe feveral Offices: ift, They exprefs or fignify the Circumftance or Manner of Words; as I love you deorly; explaining (when join'd to an Afirmation) bow, wwher, rebcre, or wwhbther, or no one is, does, or fuffers; as Lic riads well; be dances fourvily; be fings now ; the Play is acted here; it is a Doubt whether be fings or not. It is join'd
[ 1 ] We have already obferved, that Cafes and Prepofitions, or Foreplaced Words, were invented for the fame Ufe; that is, to fhew the Relations, that Things have to one another. In all Languages thefe Relations are fhewn by Prepofitions.
G. 2
[2] The
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to a QUALITY; as, he is very bappy; be is always fortunate; a Woman truly loving is ever difappointed; a Wife feldom foolding is very rare, \&c. 'Tis fometimes join'd to itfelf; as I live very comfortably. They farther denote, or thew the State of Words, and their Reference or Relation to each other; as Stephen goes over Highgate-Hill; James weent under Temple-bar; Mary went through the Hall; Sufan zvent to Wefmiinfter, from St. Fames's Park; the King dwells at St. Games's; Henry lives in the Torwn, but Matthew without, or out of $i t$, \&c. It connects Sentences; as Roger went to bis Country-boufe, and fudy'd there the whole Seafon; Peter alfo accompany'd bim; nor was there any thing rwanting ; neither did Ralph fay long bebind.
[2] They are therefore divided into three Sorts, or rather rang'd under thefe three Heads; the firft fhewing the Manners or Qualitics of Words, by being added to them ; the fecond denotes fome Circumftances of AEtions, and joins Words to Words, and little Members of a Sentence to each other ; the third joins Sentence to Sentence, as greater Members of a Period.

There
[2] The Defire Men have to fhorten Difcourfe, gave Rife to Adruerbs; for the greatelt Part of thefe Particles, are only to fignify in one Word, what could not elfe be done without a Prepofition and a Noun; as Sapienter, for cum Sapientia, with Wifdom; bodic, to Day, for in boc die, in this Day.

And this is the Reafon, that in the vulgar Languages the greateft Part of the Advero's are generally more elegantiy explain'd by the Noun and the Prepofition; thus we rather fay (we fpeals generally, for it holds not always) with Wifdum, with Prudence, with Pride, with Moderation, than vviccly, prudently, proudly, moderately; tho' in Latin, it is generally more elegant to ufe the Adverbs.

Thence it is, that a Noun or Name, is often taken for an Adverb; as Inftar in Latin, primum, or primo, partim, \&uc. Thus in Frencb Defus, defous, deduns, which are indeed Nouns. Thefe two Sorts of Particles, which we have juft remark'd on, are concern'd in the Objects of the Mind, not in the Actions or Judgment.

The fecond Sort of Words which fignify the Form of our Thoughts, and not properly their Objects, are the Conjunctions or Foining-Words, as $\epsilon t$, non, vel, fi, ergo, \&cc. and, not, or, if, therefore, becaufe if we confider well, and reflect juftly, we fhall find, that thefe Particles fignify nothing but the very Operation of the Mind, which joins or disjoins Things, which we deny, or

Theje from the other Parts of Speech are knozen, Bccaufe before them they do fill diforw, By, with, for, through, from, of; and all Thofe Names, rubich weve the Perfonal do call.
This Part of Speech is eafily diftinguifh'd from the reft; becaufe in good Senfe they cannot admit thefe Words, of, to, for, $O$, with, by, from, through; nor the Perfonal Names, 1, thou, be, wef, ye, they; for we cannot fay, of foolijbly, to foolijsly, from foolijbly, \&c. nor I foolijbly, thou foolijbly, be foolijhly.

This firf, with Affirmation and its Name,
Makes perfect Senfe, as Peter flowly came;
And by its anf wering to the 过ueftion How,
And in what manner, do they fteer the Plough ?
You may know the firft, by its making complete Sense with one Alfirmation and its Name; as, A Pbilofopber Jpeaks wifely; A rije Man lives bappilt. And by anfwering the Queftion Horw? or after what Manner? This Part of Speecb is fometimes join'd to a Name or Quality to exprefs their Manner, as, too much a Pbilofopber; egregioufly impudent. But here indecd, and in moft Cafes, a $\dot{W}$ ord is exprefs'd or undertood, to which this alfo relates.

> This Sort the Manner, Time, and Place imply, As by the following Scale you rwill def cry.

This Sort relates either to the Manner, Place, or Time: The firt expreffes the Manner of being, doing, or fuffering Abfolutely or Comparatively.
which we confider abfolutely or conditionally : for Example, There is no Object in the World lies out of our Mind ; which anfwers the Particle Non; but it is plain that it denotes nothing but the Judgment which we make, to fhew that one Thing is not another.

Thus Ne, which in Latin is a Particle of Interrogation, As Aijne, do you fay it? is not the Object of our Mind, but only marks the Motion of our Soul, by which we defire to know fomerhing. And the fame may be faid of all Words of Interrogation, as quis, qua, quod.
Interjections are Words, that fignify nothing without us, but they are Words, or rather Sounds, which are more Natural than artificial, which exprefs the Emotions of our Souls; as alas! woe's me! ob! \&cc.

## 126 The Englifh Grammar, with Notes.

## I. Abjolutely.

1. Certainty ; as, Vcrily, truly, undoubtedly.
2. $\{$ Contingence; as, Happily, perhaps, by chance, per-

Negation; as, Nct, in no wife.
4. $\{$ Natural Powers, or Habits; as Wijely, liberally, jufilv.
Senfible Imprefions; as Brigbtly, nafily, bitterly, 5. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { lud }\end{array}\right.$; fmootbly.

Paffions of the Soul; as, Merrily, joyfully; as Ha ! ba! be! Wat:dringly, as Lo! O! bo! Scornfully, as, Tufß; I.ovingly, as, Ab! Hatefully, as, Fob! Sorrowingly, as, Allus, ab! rwoe's me!

## II. Comparatively.

Ci. \{ Excefs; as, cucry, cicceedirghy, too much, more, mof; as more bardly, moft foftiy.
Defect ; as, almof, ruell nigh, little lefs, leaft of all.会 5 . Likenefs, or Equality; as $f$, alike, as it were, as.
\{Unlikenefs, or Inequality; as other-wife, differently, far otberwife.

## III. Of Place.



## IV. Of Time.

CBeing in Time; as, wobell! either the Prefent, as, norv, to day; the Paft, as already, yeferday, before, long fince, beretofore; the Future, as to-morrowv, not yet, after, bereafter, benceforward.
2. $\{$ Duration and Continuance; bow Iong $\leq$ a long rwbila, Jowly, quickly, foortly, bitherto.
Vicifitude or Repetition, bew oftert! often, fometimes, fellom, daily; year'ly; by turns, alternately; once, tevice, thrice, ten times, \&c.
Thofe that are deriv'd from $\mathscr{Q}^{\text {ualities, which admit Degrees }}$ of Comparifon, do the fame; as, bardly, more bardly, moft, or very bardly.

The Jecond Sort, that Soirw of Words the State, And bow each Word to Otbers does relate, You in the following Catalogue will find, And bow its Ufe and Meaning is to each afign'd.
OF] denotes Relations betwixt the Word that goes before, and the Word that follows it, whether that Word be Name, Quality, or Affrmation; as, the Son of Adam: but this properly belongs to Conftruction, to which we refer you.

It fignifies concerning, or the Object, or Matter about which you fpeak or write; as, a Treatife of Virtue, or on or concerning Virtue.

The Matter; as, a Cup of Cold.
The Means, (or WITH) to die of Hungep.
It fignifies AMONG; as, of Five Horfes Four avere blind.

THROUGH; 'tis of God's great Mercy: But this is a Vulgarifm ; and fcarce worth Notice.

FROM, South of Windior.
OFF] fignifies Separation and Diftance, and has its Oppofite in ON, which implies Continuation ; as, to put off, to put on; He put off bis Hat, be fiood off, to Sea. It fignifies Delay; He put me off from Day to Day, be is off and on with me.
FROM] implies the Term from which, or Motion, and is oppos'd to TO; as, He rvent from Hackney to London; From Head to Foot, from first to laft, from bence to thence, \& c .

$$
\mathrm{G}_{4}
$$
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It fignifies OFF; as, He took me from the Ground, or from off the Ground. Out of Sincerity, I Speak it from my Heart.
TO] (unto, not much us'd) fignifies Motion to, 1 go to Windfor; faithful to bis Sarecrign.

IN] to Day, i. e. in this Day, To-morrow.
FOR] Toe bad a thoufand Pounds to ber Fortune.
BEFORE] you promife me to my Face.
ABOUT, or concerning] Speak to the Head we agreed on.

TOWARDS] I thank you for your Kindnefs to me.
TILL, or until] The Meeting is put off to November.

In Comparifon OF] He is notbing to Hercules, or is Comparifon of Hercules.

MAY, can or will I bave nothing to comfort the; i. e. that may, can, or will comfort me.

TILL, or Until] is only fpoken of Time; He play'd till Eight a'Clock.

Pefore] He would not remore bis Quarters till (or until) bis (Contributions reere paid.
FOR] denutes the Purpofe, End, or Ufe, Benefit or Damage for, Evc. George got a Horfe for Stcppen; the Idvovocate pleads for bis Client.

Oppos'd to againfi] William is for me, John is againft me.

Fitnefs, Inconvenience] as, This Hat is too little for me.

Exchange, or trucking] as, He had Barley for his Hops.

In place, or inftead of] Harry did Duty for Fobn.
Diftribution] I aflointed one Room for every Company.
In regard or confideration of ] as, He liv'd ligh criough for bis Efiate.

In confideration of ] Fames was rewarded for bis Valour.

During] He was Captcin of the Fort for Life.
Notwithitanding] For all bis conctited Wijdom, be was a Fool.
BY] The feveral Meanings of this Word are feen in this Sentence; He rwas flain by bis Enemy, by (near, or befide) a Spring of Water, but wounded firft by bis own Fear, and then by his Enemy's Sword.

IN] By Day, by Night.

WITH] Shews the Inftrument, or Means, and Concomitance; He was Main with a Sword; be abides with me; be purg'd with 7 falap.
THROUGH] implies the Caufe, Means, or Medium, but chiefly the local Medium, tho' it fignifies the Moral and Natural likewife; as, "The Beams of the Sun with incredible Speed pafs from Heaven, through the Air to the Earth, endu'd with Light and Heat, by (with, through) which it comforts us, and quickens the Plants, wwhich God bas prepar'd for us, and given to us for our Ufe, and bis Glory.
AFTER] oppofes before, relates to Time and Place, the Pofteriority of the former, and Inferiority of the latter: After Chriftmas, comes Hillary Term; the Sberiff is after the Mayor.

For] Sbe pines after Melons.
IN, INTO] denotes Time, Place, the Manner of being, thinking, doing; with the Motive, Caufe, or Means of doing; John lives in the Caffle; William goes into the Country; in Winter; in the City.

Pofture, Difpofition] To fand in a decent Poffure; be is in bis Cloak.

The Motive] He did it in Rerenge.
Among] Harry bas not Sobriety in all bis Meditations.
Manner of Change] He changes Water into Wine.
AT] implies Nearnefs to a Place, Time, Price; the Inftrument, Caufe, Manner, Efc. At School, at Wefminfter, at the beginning, at the bottom.

Near, clofe by]. He watches at the End of the Street.
For] He difpos'd of bis Tickets at a good Rate: What to you fell this at?

WITH] He plays at Bowls, at Cards, at Dice.
According to] At my Pleafure.
On, or Upon] Banifter is good at the Flute; Peter is a Marksman at Şbooting.

Employment] To be at Study, at Supper, at Prayers.
WARD] is always put after a Word; as toward, bomerwand, Heav'n-rvard, and implies to.
After thefe former Particles fill Set
The Perfonal Names, all in the following State.
The Perfonal Names coming after any of there Particles, are to be put in their follorwing State; as, before me, not $I$, againf Him, not He; after Whom, not Who.
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There are many more of this fort, but we fhall be content with thefe, as well as Dr. Wallis, fince abundantly fufficient for our End: For the reit, we fhall refer you to a Treatife of our Englißh Particles, which we fhall publifh as a Supplement to the Study of the Englifb Tongue; as $\mathcal{T}_{\text {ur }}$ elinus, and others, have done to that of the Latin.

By the third Sort of Particles is fecrun Harw Sentences Dependance may be known, And to each other Sentences we join.


The third Sort of thefe Particles, or Manners of Words, join Sentences together, and let us fee by that the Relation of one Notion to another, and the Dependance of one Sentence on another; as, and, alfo, fo as; nor, neitber, but, unlefs, neverthelefs, bowever, othervivie; if, farve, exceft, tho', altho', rwbereas, fince, likewif, thereupon, \&c.

What elfe is necelfary to be known in Grammar, concerning thefe Particles, will be fhewn in the following Part of our Divifion of Granmar, under the Title of Sentcncts.

## The End of the Third Part.




## C H A P. X.

Of Sentences.

> At leafs, Three Word's a Sentence muft containg, Wbich muft fome Sentiment or Thouglt explain.

ASentence comprehends at leait Three Words, by which fome Sentiment or Thought of the Mind is exprefs'd: Nor can it be without one Affirmation, and a Name fignifying the Subject of that Aifirmation, i. e. a Name of which fomething is affirm'd; as, a lye is abominable.
[I] The Conftruction of the Sentence, is the regular Connexion of the Words in the Form of Nature, which is generally more regarded by the Englijh, and other Modern Languages, than by thofe of the Antients.
[1] As we have done in our Notes on the Parts of Speech, or Words, fo we fhall here add the general Notion of Grammar in the Syntax, or Conftruction of Words together in a Sentence, according to thofe Principles of the Art which we have drawn from Reaion eftablifhed.

The Conftruction of Words is generally diftinguifh'd into Concord and Government ; the firit, by which the Words ought to agree among themfelves; and the fecond, when one caufes any Alteration in the other.

The frit, generally fpeaking, is the fame in all Languages, becaufe it is the natural Order, which is in the general Ufage, the better to diftinguifh our Difcourfe.

Thus the Diftinction of the Two Numbers, Singular and Plural, is the Reafon why the Adjective is to agree with the Subitantive in Number; that is, that one be put either in the Singular or Plural, as the other is. Becaufe the Subfantive is the Subject that is confufedly, tho' directly, mark'd by the $A \sigma$ jective.
jective. If the Subfantive marks many, there are many Subjetts of the Form, mark'd by the Adjective, and by Confequence it ought to be in the Plural Number, as Honines docti, learned Men. But there being no Termination in the Quality in Englifh, to diftinguifh the Number, it is only imply'd in Reafon, the fame Word fignifying the Singular, as well as Plural Number.

The Diftinction of the Mafculine and Feminine Gender, obliges the Languages which have diftinct Terminations, to have a Concordance or Agreement between the Name and 2 vality, or Subfantive and Adjeetive in Gender, as well at Number.

The Verbs, or Afirmations, for the fame Reafon, are to agree with the Nouns and Pronouns, or Names, and Porfonal Names, in Number and Perfon.

But if at any Time, in Reading, you meet with any Thing that may appear contrary to thefe Rules, it is by a Figure of Difcourfe ; that is, by having fome Word underttood, or by confidering the Thoughts more than the Words themfelves; as we fhall fee anon.

The Confruction of Government, on the contrary, is intirely arbitrary ; and, for that very Reafon is different in all L.anguages. For one Language forms their Government or Regimen by Cafis; others niake ufe of little Signs or Particles in their place, which yet do not mark all the Cafes; as in French and Spanijh, they have only de and $n$, which mark the Genitive and Dative Cafs; the Italians add da, for the Ablative, the Englifh have of, to, for, from, by, \&c. yet none for the Accufative, and the fame fometimes for Two Cafes. Here you may look back to what has been faid on the Cafes, and forward to what may be added in the Aptcndix of Prepofitions, to the fhort Remark on then in their Places.

Yet it will not be amifs to obferve fome general Maxims, which are of great ufe in all Languages.

The Firf, That there is no Nominative Cafe, or furf State of the Name in any Sentence, which has not a Reference to fome Verb or Afirmation, either exprefs'd or underfood; becaufe we never talk merely to mark the bare Objects of our Conception, but to exprefs oar Sentiments of what we conceive, which is the Office of the $V^{\prime}$ erb or Afirmation to mark.

The Second, That there is no Verb or Af:rmation, which has not its Name or Nominative Cafe either exprefs'd or underttood, becaufe it is the proper Office of the Verb to affirm; and therefore it muft have fomething to affirm of, which is the Subjcez or the Nominative of the $V_{c}, b$ : tho' before an Infnitive there is an Accufative, (not a Nominative Cafe) as, Scio Petrum effe
doffum, I knozw Peter to be learned. But this of the Accufative relates only to thofe Languages which have that Cafe.

The Third, That there can be no Adjective or $\mathfrak{Q u}$ ulity, which has not a Reference to fome Subfantive or Name, becaufe the Adjective marks confufedly the Subftantive or Name, which is the Subjes of the Form that is diftinctly mark'd by the Adjective or Quality; as Doctus, learn'd, muft have regard to fome Man who is learned.

The Fourth, That there never is a Genitive Cafe, which is not governed by fome other Name or Noun, becaufe that Cafe continually marks that which is as the Poffeffor ; fo that it muft be govern'd by the Thing poffefs'd. For this Reafon, both in Latin and Greek, this Cafe is never govern'd properly by a Verb. This Rule is with more Difficulty apply'd in the vulgar Tongues, becaufe the Particle or Sign of, which is properly the Sign of the Genitive Cafe, is fometimes put for the Propofition of, and de French, for $e x$ and de.

The Fifth, That the Government of Verbs is oftentimes taken from divers Sorts of References, included in the Cafe, according to the Capricioufnefs of Cuftom or Ufage, which yet does not change the fpecifick Reference of each Cafe, but only fhews, that Cuftom has made choice of this or that, according to Fancy.

Thus in Latin we fay, Fuvare aliquem, and Opituiari alicui; for thefe are Two Verbs of Aid, becaufe it pleas'd the Latins to regard the Government of the firt Verb, as the Form, to which the Action pafies; and that of the fecond, as a Cafe of Attribution, to which the Action of the Verb has a Reference.

Thus in Frencb they fay, Servir quelqu' $u$, and Servir a quelque Chofe, to ferve one, to forve for, or to a $U J_{i}$.

Thus in Spaniblb the greatelt Part of the Vorbs dafive govern indifferently a Dative, and an Accufative Cofe.

Thus the fame Verb may receive feveral Governments ; as, Praftare alicui, or aliquem; and thus they, fo: Example, fay, Eripere morti aliqucm, or aliquem a morte, and the like.

Sometimes thefe different Regimens of the Verbs caufe an Alteration in the Senfe, in which the Ufe of a Language muft be confider'd; as, for Example, in Latin, Cavere alicui, to watch, or be careful of the Prefervation of one; but cavere aliguem, is to be aware of him. But in this we muft always have a particular Regard to the Ufage of all Languages.

We have in the 'Text faid what is neceflary for the Knowledge of the Figures of Speech, to which we refer you.
[2] Thefe
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A Sentence is, or fimple, or compound, Still in the firft, One AFFIRMATION's found, And of the Subject too, One NAME exprefs'd, Or underfiood, as is by all confe/s'd.
Sentences are twofold, fimplc and compound; a fimple Sentence is, where there is but one ArFIRMATION and one NAME of the Subjcit of that Afirmation, either exprefs'd or underfiood.

> A compound Sentence is of Trwo compos'd,
> Or more, by Particles togetber clos'd;
> Or by conjunctive Qualities combin'd,
> As in th' Examsles you may quickly find.

A compound Sentence is made up of Trvo or more fimple Sentences join'd to each other by fome Particles or conjunctive QUALITY; as, Prize, and thou walkeft. This is the Man who did the Savage kill.

## Of the Conftruction of NAMES.

The NAME, the Subject of the AFFIRMATION, Eifore it genercully affumes its Station.
The Name or Perfonal Name, of which the Affrmation affirms fomething, is generally plac'd in Conftruction before the Afifimution; as, I am bappy. Sufan loves Roger. The Parfon preacbes. The Book is recid.

Ewept $C$ mmand, or Aucfion be implyd, Tber: to thame Precedence is deny'd. Fut if may, can, fhall, will, ought, wou'd and do, Befor the principal Affirmation go,
Tiben does the Name bitwien them take its Place, Elfo aviil the Style riant all its froper Grace.
Except when a थucfion, Comnan:d, Periniffon, or Conceflyon, be implied; for then the Name is put after the Affrmation, or betwixt one of the Nine Afirmations; Do, may, can, reill, fkall, ougbt, \&c. as, Does Stephen write? Will ye depart? Burn I? Durnef ibou, or, Diof: ibou burn? Sic.

If of the Nine, $T$ wo do at once precede
The principal Affirmation, then take beed
Tbe Name between thofe Trwo obtain its Lot, Cou'd I have gone? cou'd Caclia have forgot ?
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But if the principal Affrmation have two of the Nine before it, then the Name is fet between them ; as,

Cou'd Cælia bave forgotton me, foon Might Roger bave gone out of Town?
When the Command the fecond Perfon takes,
The Pers'nal Name then no Appearance makes.
When the Command, Permifion, Conceffion, \&c. is in the Second Perfon, the Perfonal Name, which ufually goes before the Affirmation, is often omitted or undertood; as burn, for burn thou; or you, or ye.

In other Perfons there is frequently a Circumlocution by the Affirmation let; as, let me burn; let bim burn; let them burn. Let bim afk as often as be will,, be never foall obtain. Let me do what I rwill, it is to no purpofe. As for afk $I$, or afe be, \&c. never fo oftcn, \&c. it is a Barbarifm, and never us'd by any good Author.

When did, might, fhou'd, wou'd, cou'd, and had and were, If do imply; and alfo after there
The Affirmation goes before the Name;
By way of Emphafis 'twill do the feme.
When the paffing, or paft Times of $d n$, may, can, will, fall, bave, am, fupplies the Place of, or implies if, the Name is fet after the Afirmation, and alfo there is us'd; as, Had be (for if. he badi) a/k'd, be bad obtain'd. Had $I$ (for if I bad) bcard this, I wou'd not bave been fo complaifant. Were I a Prince, I rucu'd govern better. There fell a thoufand Mine on the Spot. There is Cold in the Ice, (or Cold is in the Ice.) The fame is likewife done by way of Emphafis; as, It was Mordaunt, who corquer'd. It was the Cburch tbat fell.

This happens fometimes, when there are none of thefe Ccnfiderations; as Said I, faid be, then follow'd Belvidera.

To, and an Affirmation oft we know Will for the Name to $t$ ' Affirmation go: And to a Sentence we the fame allow.
Inftead of the Name that goes before the Afirmation, and of which the latter affirms fomething, fometimes another Afirmation, with to before it, fupplies its Place, as having fomething affirm'd of it; as, to dance is rubolefome; to play is delightful; to confider is ufeful.

A whole Sentence is the fame; as, That the Day is broke, is covident, fince the Sun frincs. In flort, whatever will arifwer
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to the Queftion rwbo? or wwhat? will fupply the Office of the Name to the Affirmation.

The Pers'nal Name, or follows, or precedes, Erv'n as the Name itfelf purfues or leads.
The leading State of the Perfonal Name is fet before, or after the Affirmation, according to the foregoing Rules of Names; as, 1 read, beareft thou? \&c.

That Affirmation, wbich its Act extends
To Jometbing elfe, fill after it commands
A Name, to wubich that AEtion does relate;
As, Roger fpurns me with his ufual Hate.
As the Name, when it fignifies the Subject of which fomething is affirm'd by the Aftrmation, goes before the Affirmation, (except before excepted) fo a Name is always plac'd after the Affirmation, which fignifies the Thing to which the Action of the Affirmation immediately relates; as, I read a Book; the Fire Burns Robert.

Thus the following State of the Perfonal Names generally are fet after the Affirmation, and the Particles to, for, of, \&c. tho' whom generally goes before the Affirmation; as, Martin is the Man whom 1 faw laf.

Thefe Names difinguifb'd are by what and who? And whom and what? as the Examples 乃ow.
Thefe Two Names are eafily known, or diftinguih'd by afking the Queftion rubo? or what? and rubom? and what? The firf Name anfwers to the Queltion rubo? or rubst? as, who reads? Anfw. I; what burns? the Fire; on the contrary, what do I read? Anfw. the Book; whom aces the Fire burn? Anfiv. Robert.

But whon the fetion don't at all relate, T' cnotber, but in the Subjcet tcrminate,
No Name the Afirmation then requircs, To follose it, but in itfelf expires.
All the Buftle fome GRAMMARIANS have made about Verbs Neuter, is difpatch'd in thefe four Lines, that is in this ore Rule; that when the Action of the Affirmation does not extend or relate to any other Perfon or Thing, but terminates in the Subject, there is no Name requir'd after it ; as, I grieve, I rijoice, I fit, I run, I fland, \&c.

## Of the Confruction of Affirmations.

This very nearly relating to the former, feems to demand our next Confideration, both indeed being interwoven with each other.

> The Afirmation always maff agree In Number and Perfon with the Name you'll fre.

The Affirmation mult agree with the Name of which it affirms fomething in Number and Perfon: That is, if that be of the Singular or Plural, this mult be fo too ; if that be of the firt, fecond, or third Perfon, this muft be of the fame, whether the Number or Perfon be exprefs'd by the Ending or Termination, or by the nine Affirmations difcours'd of under the Head of Affirmations; as, I write or do worite, thou wwriteff or doft write, be wurites or does aurite ; wee, ye, and thay wurite or do write: Not $I$ rwritef, be wurite, \&c.

> When of two Names (tho' each be Singular)
> We ought affirm, the Affirmations are Moff juffly in the Plural feen t'appear.

But when the Affirmation relates to, or affirms of two foregoing Names, tho' they are both of the Singular Number, muft be of the Plural; as, the King and 2 quen are bafpy, not is happy.
It is a lame Allowance of a late Author of Grommar, that it may be alfo of the Singular in Engli/b, fince he is forc'd to falve the Solecifm, by undertanding other Words to make up the Defect; as in this, His Fuflice and Goodnefs rwas great; that is, fays he, His Fufice waas great, and bis Goodness was great.

An Affirmation may be (at our Eafe)
Or. Singular, or Plural, as you tleafe,
When to a NAME of Number it is join'd,
Tho' fill the Name you Singular do find.
A Name of Number, or whofe Meaning implies more than one or many, tho' it be itfelf of the Sirgular Number, the Affirmation may yet be in the Plural; as, the $M O B$ is unruly, or, the $M O B$ are unruly; the Convocation are dibating, or is debating. The Affirmation agreeing fometimes with the Nunnber of the Name, and fometimes with the Signification.

When two Affirmations are together Seen, Thon muft the Particle (to) be fet between, Except, let, bid, dare, help, and all the Nine.
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When two Affirmations follow one another, the Particle to ought to be.fet between 'em, except do, reill, Ball, may, can, with their paffing or paft Times, did, fbou'd, zvou'd, cou'd might and nraft. Add to thefe, let, bid, dare, and bolp, and perhaps fome few others.

Have, am, or be, with pafrive 2 rual'ty join'd,
Or rwith a Quality that Being does intend,
All Suffering and Being do exprefs
That the Britannick Language will confers.
Have, am, or be, join'd to a Quality, exprefs all manner of Being, or Suffering in our Tongue, which has no other way of doing it. They are fet before Qualities of all forts, and even Names.

There is no Change of the Perfonal or Numeral Terminations, when the Affirmation fignifes Command, or is preceded by if, that, tho', altbo', whetber, and fometimes by other Particles.

## Of the Comftruetion of Qualities.

## The Qualities in Englifh mofly claim <br> The Filace inmediat:ly Uefore their Name.

Tho' in Nature we think of the Name before the Quality yet in Engli/h, Qualities are generally plac'd before the Names to which they beiong, or of which they expreifs the Manner:

Exce $\xi_{f}^{t}$ an Affirmation comes bet-ween;
As in the following Example's fien.
Unlefs when an Affirmation comes between the Quality and the Name; as, $\mathcal{J}_{u f t}$ art Tbou, $O$ God! and rigbteous are thy Judgments; or, G O D is juft, and bis 7udgments are rigbtcous. Otherwife when it comes alone, without its Attendants, which it governs, it always goes immediately before its Name; as, A good Man is rarcly to be found, a good Woman much more rarely. Good Men are valuable fervels in a Commonwealth; good Women make good Wives. Good Things are only Jo in Opinion.

Foctic Dicion with peculiar Grace Allows the Name (not Profe) the foremof Piace.
The Quality rarely in Profe is fet after the Name, but in Verfe 'tis beautiful and harmonious; as, Hail, Bard divine!

But when there are more Qualities than one
That come togetber, or togetber join;
Or clfe one Quality with its govern'd Train;
Then do they follore the preceding Name.
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But when there are more Qualities than one come together tho' collaterally join'd, or one Quality with its depending Words, it generally comes after the Name; as, A Man boths wife and valiant, a Man excecding wife and valiant; a Man Rilful in many Tbings. But then we likewife fay, a ruife awd valiant Man, an excceding rvife Nan, a kiiful Man in many Tbings.

A Name and all its $\curvearrowleft$ valitics unitc, And form one Word, as all the Learned surite; But ruben thefe feveral W'ords in one confire, They then fome other Quality require.
A Name with its Qualities (or any governing Word, with its Attendants) is as one compounded Word ; on which thefe join'd Names and Qualities affume another Quality, as if they were one Word, (and thefe being join'd, another; and fo, onward) as, a Man, an old Man; a wife old Man, a vory wife old Man, thrce wije old Men. Here to the NAME Man is perfix'd $a$, which is of the Quality-kind ; and then to the Quality, old is added; and to that an; then wife, very wife; and to all thefe aggregated or incorporated Words the Quality $a$, or tbree, is prefixed.

> Two Sorts of Quaities from Names do forip, And both before their Names directly go.

There are two Sorts of Qualities (as we have obferv'd under that Head) which are deriv'd immediately from Names, and go immediately before them, fupplying the Place of almoit all the Manners of Words or Particles; the firf we call Polfifiries: And this is form'd from almoft all Names, Singular or Plural. By adding (s), or (if the Pronunciation requires it) ('s), it implies the fame as the Particle of ; as, Man's Nature, the Norture of Man; Mens Nature, or the Nature of Men; Vergil's Poems, \&c.

The fame is done when an aggregated Nome occurs, (that is, a primary Name with its Attendants; for a formative (s) of the Poficive is put after the whole agg egate; as, the King's Court, or the Court of the King; the Kins of Spain's Court, or, the Court of the King of Spain: For the $(s)$ is put after the whole Aggregate, (the King of Spain) as after one fingle Name.

A, or an, imm:diately ave flace
Before th: NAME, a Man, an Hour, a Face,
Rut if another QU A LITY come in,
'Tis mofly flac'd the a and Name between.
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The Quality $a$, or an, is generally plac'd immediately before the Name; as, a Man, an arm, a Mountain: But if any other Quality comes with it, it muft be plac'd generally between the (a) and the Name; as, a good Man, a black Hor Je. But (a) is fometimes fet between the other Quality and the Name, as many a Mlan, never a Man. (A) is always before the Singular Number, but (the) before both Singular and Plural.

## The Confruction of Particles; or, the Manners of Words.

We have fhewn under the Head of Particles or Manners of Words, that befides Nomcs, Qualities, and Affrmations, there is another Part of Speech, which denotes the Reference and Relation of Nemes to Names, Names to Afirmations, and the Connexions of Sentence or Sentence: For this Reafon we have divided them into three Sorts; the Firft fhews the Circumitances or Manners of Words, which are join'd to every Part of Speech.

> Thefe after Affirmations rwe admit, But before Qualities we mofly fet.

This firlt Sort are generally put after the Affirmation whofe Manner it does express; as, Cyntbia danced admirably ; Peter Spoke learnedly; Dorotby acted finely; Harry fought lately. But it is fet before Qualities; as, Robert was very lucky; Fobn is extremely rich, very rich.
[2] Secondly, All Names, Qualities, and Afirmations, have various States, Relations and References to each other, which are monlly exprefs'd by thefe Farticles, of, to, for, from, O ! $l y$, with, through, \&cc. Thefe are at leaft of the moft frequent Ufe; the reft we fhall treat of in a Difcourfe by itfelf, as we have before obferv'd under Particles: An Example will render the
[2] Thefe feveral States or Relation of Name to Name, are express'd in Latin, by varying the Terminations or Ending of the Name, five feveral Ways, which were call'd Cafes, a cadindo. So that there were threefcore various Endings in the Latin, and double the Number in Greek, all exprefs'd by thefe few Englifh Particles; the firft State of, or the Name itfelf, is call'd the Nomsinative Cafe. If Things were always confider'd feparately from one another, Names would have only the two Changes of Number and Gender to the QUALITIES.
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 the Ufe more plain; as, O! God! the Memorial of thy Love to Sons of Men, from the Beginning of the World, to this Day, is recorded with Thankfulnefs in the Hearts of the Religious. All thefe Particles in this Sentence fhew the Relation or Reference of Nome to Name, and their Connexion, in that Manner, with each other.> Between the Words whole Refirence they exprefs, Thefe Particles demand the certain Placy.

Thefe Particles, which denote the Dependance of one thing on another, or the Reference or Relation of one Word to another, muft naturally be plac'd betwixt them whofe Relation and Dependance it is to exprefs; as we may obferve in the following Lift.

## OF

But fince they are often confider'd with Regard to the Relation they have to one another, the giving of divers Terminations or Endings to Nemes, which are call'd Cafes, are made ufe of in fome Languages to exprefs thefe Relations.

It mult be confers'd, that the Greck and the Latin are (we think) almoft the only Languages in which the Names have what are properly call'd Cales, that is, in which thefe Relations are exprefs'd by the different Endings of the fame Words; but as there are fome fort of Virtual Cafes, or States in all Languages, (efpecially in the Pronouns or Perfonal Names, as we have obferv'd) and becaufe without that the Connexion of Difcourfe, which is call'd Conftruction, would not be well underftood ; 'tis in a great meafure neceffary, for the right underftanding of any Language whatfoever, to know what is meant by the Cafes, or States of the Names; which we hall here endeavour to explain with all the Peripicuity we are able, keeping to the old Names of them, and applying them to the new.
Of the firft State, or Nominative Cafe.

The fimple Pofition of the Name is call'd the Nominatior, which indeed is not properly a Cafe, (tho' it be a State) but the matter from which the Cafes are form'd, by the various Changes of the firf Termination, or Ending of the Name. Its chief Ufe is to be fet before the Verb or Affirmation, to be the Subject of the Propofition in Difcourfe ; Dominus regit me, the Lord governs me; Deus exaudit me, God hears me, or my Prayer.

## Of the Vocative.

When we name the Perfon to whom we fpeak, or any other Thing to which we apply ourfelves, as if it were a Perfon, the Name does by that acquire a new Relation, which is fometimes mark'd by a Termination different from that of the Nominative, and which is called Vocavive, from rocare, to call; and thus from Dominus in the Nominative, they make Domine in the Vocative ; of Antonius Antoni. But as that was not very neceffary, fince the Nomisative might be us'd in the place of the Vocative, it has happen'd, $1 / t$, That this different Termination of the Nominative is not us'd in the Plural Number. 2dly, That even in the Singula: Number, it is only us'd in the fecond Declenfion of the Latin Tongue. 3 dly, That in the Greek (where it is more common) the Nominative is often us'd for the $V_{0}$ cative, as may be feen in the Greek Verfion of the Pfalms: From whence St. Paul, in his Epifle to the Hebrewos, cites thefe Words, to prove the Divinity of CHRIST, Ag'oros cy, $\delta \theta \varepsilon \dot{\delta} 5$; where 'tis plain, that $\delta$ beoc is a Nominative for a Vocative; fince the Senfe is not, God is thy Throne, but, Tby Throne, O God, \&c. . 4 thly, In fine, Nominatives are fometimes join'd to Vocatives, as Domine, Dcus mecus! Nate mece vires, mea marina Potentia folus!

All thefe Difficulties, in this and other Cafes, in the Latin and Grcek are avoided by the Signs exprefs'd with Eare, without fludying the various Terminations of fo many Thoufands of Names; which are infifted upon, only for the Information of the Student in the general Notion of the Grammar of the Ancient Tongues, and the Analogy of Ours to them.

## Of the Gcritive Cafe.

The Cafe is fo call'd from Genus, Kindred or Family, becaufe 'tis us'd to exprefs Alliances of Blood between Perfons; befides, it imports great Variety of other Relations between Things, as well as Perfons. For the Relation of one Thing to another, in any manser whatever, has occafion'd, in the Languages that have Cafes, a new Termination in the Names or Nouns, which is call'd the Genitive (as we have faid) to exprefs that general Relation which is after diverfify'd into feveral Species, fuch as the Relations are of the Whole to its Parts, as Catut Hominis; of Parts to the Whole, as Hono cral $\sqrt{2}$ capitits; of the Subject to the Accident or Attribute, as Color Rofre, Mi-
firicordia Dei; of the Accident to the Subject, as Pucr optime Indolis; of the Efficient Caufe to the Effcct, as Ofus Dci, Oratio Ciccronis; of the Eff: f to the Caufe, as Creator Mundi; of the final Caus: to the Effect, as Potio Eoporis; of the Matter to the Compound, as Vas auri; of the Objęz to the Acts of the Soul, as Cogitatio Bclli, Contonpius Morvis; of the Poffeffor to the Things poffeffed, as Picus Miclibari, Divitice Craff; of the Proper Num: to the Commmon, or the Individual to the Sfecies as $O_{p i} i d u m$ Londini.

And as amongit all thefe Relations there is fome oppofite, which fometimes occafions Equivocal Terms, (for in thefe Words, Vulnus Acbillis, the Geintive Acbillis may fignify either the Relation of the Subject, and then 'tis taken paffively for the Wound which Achilles has received; or the Relation of the Caufs, and then 'tis taken actively for the Wound which $A$ chillis gave;) fo in that Paffage of St. Paul, Certus fum quia neque Mors, neque Vita, \&c. poterit nos Separare a Cbaritate Dei in Chrifto Jefu, Domino Nofliro, \&:c The Genitive $D_{t} i$, has been underifood two different Ways by Interpreters; thofe who have afcrib'd to it the Relation of the Object, believing, that in this Faflage was meant the Love which the Elect bear to God, in Fefus Cbriff; whilft Cthers (who have afcrib'd to it the Relation of the Subjeet) do underftand by the Paffage aforefaid, the Love of God to the Elect in Jcfus Cbrijf.

Tho' the Hebrow Names are not declin'd by Cefis, the Relation exprefs'd by the Genitive, does notwithftanding caufe a Change in the Names, tho' quite different from that of the Greek and Latin; for, in thefe Languages, the Change is in the Word gowern'd, but in the HeJrew, in the Word goverring.

In the Vulgar Tongues they make ufe of a Sign to exprefs the Relations of this Cafe, as of in Erglifh, de in Frenct, \&c. as Dous, God, of God; Dicu, de Dieu.

What we have faid (that the Geritive made ufe of to denote the Relation between the Proper Nume and the Common, or, which is the fame Thing, between the Individual and the Species, is much more common in the vulgar Tongues. For in Latin the Commsn and the Proper Name, are frequently put in the fame Case, by Appofition, as 'tis call'd, às Urbs Roma, Fluvius Thamefis, Mons Parnaffus; but we ordinarily fay, The City of Rome, the Hill of Parnafus; but we fay the River Thames, as weil as of Thames.

> Of the Dative Cafe.

There is yet another Relation, which is that of the Thing to the Benefit or Damage of which other Things have a Rela-
tion.
tion. This in the Languages which have Cafes is call'd the Dative Cafe, which is alfo ufed fo many other Ways, that 'tis hardly poffible to mention the Particulars; Commodare Socrati, to lend to Socrates; Utilis Reipublica, ufeful to the Commonavealth; Perniciofus Ecclefice, pernicious to the Cburch; Promittere Amico, to promife a Friend, or to a Friend; Vifum eft Platoni, it Seemed good to Flato; Afinis Regi, related to the King, \&c.

In Englif1 we exprefs this Cafe, or that which is equivalent to it, by the Sign to, or for, which ufually do or may come before it, tho' the fame Signs are likewife us'd to what is the Accufative and the Ablative in the Latin.

## Of the Accufative.

The Verbs or Affirmations that exprefs Action, which pals from the Agent, as to beat, to break, to beat, to lowe, to bate, have Subjects that receive thefe Things or Objects which they regard: For if I beat, I mult beat fomething; and fo of the relt. So that it is plain, that thefe Verbs or Affirmations require after 'em a Name, to be the Subject or Object of the Ackion they exprefs. And hence it is, that in the Languages which have the Cafes, the Names have a Termination they call Accufative as amo Deum, I love God; Cæfar ricit Pompeium, Cæfar vanquibed Pompey:

There is nothing in Englifß to diftinguifh this Cafe from the Nominative, or rather to diftinguifh this State of the Name from the firft ; but as we almoft ever place the Words in their natural Order, they are eafily difcover'd, becaufe the Nominative (or firf State) is generally before, and the Accufative after the Verb or Affirmation; as The King loves the Queen, and The Queen loves the King. The King is the Nominative in the firt Place, and the Accufative in the fecond; and the Queen the Accufa, tive in the firft, and the Nominative in the fecond.

## Of the Ablative Cafi.

Befides the Five Cafes already mentioned, the Latins have a Sixth, which was not invented to exprefs alone any particular Relation, but to be join'd with fome of the Particles, called Prepofitions: For the firft Five Cafes, not being fufficient to exprefs all the Relations that Things have to one another, they have in all Languages had recourfe to another Invention, which is that of contriving little Words to be put before Names, which for that Reafon are called Prepofitions. And fo as the Relation of a Thing, in which another is contain'd, is exprefs'd in Latin and Englifb by (in), it is in French by (dans), as Vinum in
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## OF has this peculiar Eminence, Always to bound of Words the general Sense.

As of fignifies the Relation between the Name that follows it, and that which goes before it, and joins the following Name to the foregoing; as, the Sons of Adam: So in all the following Inftances, and all others that may be thought of, it is obfervable, that of has the Property of limiting and determining the general Signification of the Word on which it depends.

1. Of The Part to the Whole. The Tail of the Lion.
2. Of the Subject to the Accident.
The Splendor of the Sun.
3. Of the Efficient to the Effect. The Temple of Solomon.
4. Of the End to the Means. The Preparations of the Feat.
5. Of Materials to Materiate. A Cup of Silver.

## 7

 )> The Effect to the Efficient. The Creator of the World.

The Means to the End.
The Death of the Crofs. Materiate to Material. The Stones of the Temple.

Dolio, le Vine dans le Muid, the Wine in the Vefol. But in the Languages which have Cafes, there Prepofitions are not join'd with the frt Form of the Name, which is the Nominative, but with forme of the other Cafes: And tho' in Latin there are forme join'd with the Accufative, as Amor urga Deum, Love torvalds God; yet they have invented another Cafe, called the Ablative, to be join'd with feveral other Prepositions, from which it is inreparable in Senfe; whereas an Accusative is often feparated from its Prepofitions, as when it is after a Verb Active, or an Infinitive.

That Care in Propriety of Speech is wanting in the Plural Number, fince it never has there a different Termination from that of the Dative: But because it would too much confound the Analogy, to fay that the Prepofition govern'd an Ablative in the Singular, and a Dative in the Plural, it has been judg'd fitter to fuppofe an Ablative in the Plural Number, tho' always the fame with the Dative.

And for the fame Reafon it is, that they have given an $A b$ lative to the Greek Names, which are always like the Dative; for preferving the great Analogy between thefe Two Languages, which are commonly learned by one another.
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6. Of the Obiect to the Acz. $T^{T}$ be Act to the Object.

The Love of God.
7. Offices Political.

The King of England.
8. Of the Pofifor to Pofiffon. The Flock of Malibcus.
9. Of Time to the Event.

The Time of War, the Hour of Supper.
10. Of the Contents to the Continext.
The Fifh of the Sea.

The Delight of the Eye. Rclutions Oeconomical.

The Mafter of the Houfe, Poffefion to tbe Pofefor.

The Shepherd of the Flock.

## Event to Time.

The Luxury of the Age.
The Silence of the Night.
Continent to the Contents.
A Handful of Flowers.

Two Names rwitbout a Word between, Of betwixt both moft frequently is Jeen.
When Two Names come together, of generally goes before the latter; 2s may be feen in all the foregoing Examples: But when this of fignifies Poffeffion, then it may be left out, and $s$, or es, put at the End of the firft Name, by which it becomes a Quality; as we have fufficiently prov'd already, The Houfe of Roger, or Roger's Houfe.

Except they to the fame Thing do relate,
For then the middle of is out of Date.
For Names that relate to the fame Things have no Particle between them; as, the River Thames, Chrifopher. Columbus, London City; tho' we likewife fay, the River of Thanes, the City of London, \&c.

Between Superlatives and follorwing Names,
OF, by Grammatick Right, a Station claims.
All Superlatives may have the Particle of before the following Name; as the greatef of Villains, the moft wife of Pbilofophers, the beft of Princes.

Qualities that do Partition Jignify,
Affection, Vice, or Virtue do imply;
Any Defire or Paflion of the Mind,
Follorv'd by of we generally find.
Sucb as want Knowledge, Ignorance declare,
Forgetfulnefs, or Mem'ry in this Rule are.
Qualities that fignify Partition, generally have of after them ; as, One of the French Prifoners, none of thefe, the third of Family, \&c. and thofe which fignify Affection, Paffion, or Defire of the Mind; any Knowledge, Ignorance, Memory,
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mory, Forgetfulnefs, Vice, Virtue, or any fuch Difpofition of the Soul, have of between them and the Word to which they relate, Corvetous of Gold, fearful of Tbunder, anxious of Glory', void of Grace, empty of Senfe, confcious of Guilt, ignorant of all Things, forgetful of bis Friends, mindful of his Cbildren, guilty of Bribes, weary of his Fourney, free of the Corporation, needy of Money, \&c. We fay alfo, forfaken of all Men, worthy of Happinefs, born of Royal Race, naked of Friends, depriv'd of Eftate, robb'd of Moncy. Thus after fome AFFIRMATIONS ; as, to repent of Sin, to treat, talk, write, of Happinefs, \&c.

Where Benefit or Hurt comes from the Name, TO, to direct you whither'tis aim'd, does claim.
TO or FOR import the Thing or Perfon to or for whom any Convenierce or Inconvenience is meant by the NAME, QUALITY, or AFFIRMATION ; as, a Friend to the Mufes, good for his Stomach, yiclding to bis Betters. Hence all Words that fignify the Ufe, Relation, Likenefs, doing, or giving, of one Thing to another, muft have to or for after it. Tho' to is fometimes left out, as give me, like me, tell me, near me; where to is underftood much better than exprefs'd.

In Invocation we prefix an O !
O! God, our Frailty thou do'ft furely know.
When we call on God, the King, or any one elfe, in a folemn Manner, we put O! before the Name of him we addrefs to; as, O! King, remember that thou art a Man.

When you the Inftrument or Manner how,
By which, wherewith expres's, allow
Thefe Particle's to be alruays feen
By, with, and through, and from, and alfo in.
When we exprefs the Inftrument, the Medium by which, wherewith, or the Manner how a Thing is done, you make ufe of $b y$, with, from, through, in, and the like; as, The Beams of the Sun, with incredible Speed, pafs from Heaven, through the Air to the Earth, endu'd with Light and Heat by (with, through) which it comforts us, and quickens the Plants which God bas provided for us, and given to us for our UJe, and bis Glory. He rwas fain with bis Sivord. He abides with me.

By is us'd for the efficient Caufe, (as well Principal as Inftrumental and Moral) and alfo fignifies near to, \&c. as, be ruas Main by his Enemy, by (befide or near) a Spring of Water, but wounded fir $f$ by bis arwe Fear, then by bis Encmy's Sword.

In fignifies, as it were, Prefence in a Place, and is us'd when we would either exprefs Reft; as, Mary lives in the Cellar, in the City, in the Winter, in a frange Pofure, in an ill State of Health, in Battle Array; in cid to Jtrike, in bis Cloak, in Farour, in War, ricb in Land or Monsy, in Fear, in Doubt, in good Part; be is in Efteem, be did it in Revenge, in Hope, in my Thought.

Thefe are the feveral Senfes in which the Particle IN is us'd.

On the third Sort of Particles which connect Sentence to Sentence, we have only this to remark:

> That they between thofe Sentences take Site, Which by their joining Vertue they unite.

They are plac'd between the Two Propofitions, or Sentences which they unite; as for their Names, fee Particles the third Sort. 'Tis true, we might here give, or might there have given you feveral Denominations of them, as Copulative, Difjunctive, Comparative, and the like, as fome others have done, and fo given a feveral Head or Term to every other Particle of this Kind ; but we feeing no Advantage accrue from fuch a multiplying of Terms, but the Burthen very much increafed to the Learner, have thought fit to leave out all that unneceffary Jargon.

What more may be faid of Particles, and their various Meanings and Ufe, fhall be found in our forecited Treatife of Particles.

We fhall not conclude this fhort Difcourfe of Conftruction, without adding a few Words of a Period, and of Figurative ConftruEtion; tho' we are of Opinion, that the firft is more proper to fall under the Confideration of Rbatorick, and that the Ufe of the latter is in Englifh the Effect of Cuftom, not Art: Yet fince we find others have thought fit to deliver Rules relating to both, we fhall not omit them entirely.

To compofe therefore a Period, or to exprefs a Sentence, that is compos'd of Two or more Sentences, with Art, we muft firft take care that the Expreffions be not too long, and that the whole Period be proportion'd to the Breath of the Speaker. The Expreffions of particular Sentences, that are Members of the Body of a Sentence, ought to be equal, that the Voice may repofe at the End of thefe Members by equal Intervals. The more exact this Equality is, the more Pleafure it will produce, and the more excellent the Period.
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A Period ought to confift at lealt of Tiwo Members, and at moft but of Four. A Period is at leaft to have Two Members, becaufe its Beauty proceeds from the Equality of the Members, and Equality fuppofes at lealt Two Terms. To have a Period perfeet, there fhould not be Four Members crouded into one Period, becaufe being too long, the Pronunciation muft be forc'd, which muft by confequence be difpleafing to the Ear; becaufe a Difcourfe that is incommodious to the Speaker, can never be agreeable to the Hearer.

The Members of a Period ought to be join'd clofe, that the Ear may perceive the Equality of the Intervals of Refpiration: For this Caufe the Members of a Period ought to be united by the Union of a fingle Sentence, of that Body of which they are Members. This Union is very difcernable, for the Voice repofes at the End of every Member; only the better to continue its Courfe, it ftops not fully, but at the End of the whole Sentence.

Variety may be two Ways in a Period, i.e. in the Senfe, and in the Words. The Senfe of each Member of the Period ought to differ with each other. We cannot exprefs the different Thoughts of our Minds, but by different Words of different Signification: Equal Periods are not to follow one another too near.

An Example of a Period of Two Members; As, (1.) Before I Ball fay thofe Things, (O confcript Fathers) about the Pub. lick Affairs, which are to be Spoken at this Fime; (2.) I Ball lay before you, in few Words, the Motives of the Journey, and the Return. The next confiits of Three Members; as, (1.) Since by reafon of my Age I durft not pretend to afizine the Autbority of this Poft; (2) And bad fix'd it as a Maxim, that notbing ought bere to be produc'd but 'wibat was perfected by Induftry, and labour'd by the Underfianding; (3.) I thought that my rwhole Time and -Pains Bould be transferr'd to thofe of my Friends. The laft confifts of Four Members, of which this is an Example: (1). If Impudence Bould bave as great Privalence in the Court, (2.) as Infolence has found in the Country and Defart Places, (3.) Aulus Cæcinna wou'd not lefs int this Trial give way to the 1 mpudence of Æbutius, (4.) than be has already in. Violence given place to bis Infolence.

This is fufficient to give a full Idea of the Nature and Beauties of a Period, which we have inferted merely in compliance with Cuftor, being fenfible that the Learner will be fo far from being able to make his Advantage from it, till he has arrived much beyond the Province of Grammar, that there will
be few Maffers found, who have the Education of Children, that know any thing of this Matter.

Cuftom, produced by the general Inclination of Men to fhort Speaking, has introduced feveral Figures or Forms of Conftruction, by which Words are tranfpos'd, left out, one put for another, and the like. The Figures therefore of Conftruction are thefe:
I. Tranfpofition, which is the placing of Words in a Sentence out of their Natural Order of Conftruction, to pleafe the Ear in rendring the Contexture more agreeable, elegant, and harmonicus: For when the Concurrence of rough Confonants, and gaping Vowels, renders the Sound and Pronunciation inelegant, this Figure may be us'd, but never but upon fuch an Occafion, except in V'erfe, where Tranffofition is generally more elegant and harmonious than in Profe.
II. Suppre:Soon, which is an Omiffion of Words in a Sentence, which yet are neceffary to a full and perfect Conftruction; as, I come from my Father's; that is, from my Fatber's Houfe; but Houfe is omitted. Words are fupprefs'd for Brevity or Elegance, but their Number in Engli/b is too great to be enumerated; but for our Direction, we may mind thefe Rules: $1 /$, That whatcver Word comes to be repeated in a Sentence oftner than once, to aroid the inelegant Repetition of the fame Word, it muft be left out ; as, This is my Mafer's Horfe; or, This Horfe is my Mafter's; for, This Horfe is niy Mafter's Horfe. 2dly, Words that are neceffarily imply'd need not be exprefs'd; as, I live at York: Lifo is neceffarily imply'd, and therefore need not be exprefs'd. 3 dly, All Words that Ufe and Cuftom furprefs in any Language, are not to be exprefs'd, without fome particular Reafon; as, $A$ good Man leads a good Life ; where the $2 u a=$ lity Gosd is neceffary to the fame Life.
III. Subfitution is the ufing one Word for another, or the Mode, State, Manner, Perfon, or Number of a Word for another : And the Confruction irdeed often lies in the Senfe, and not in the Words; as, The aubole Nation weve in an Uproar; where the rubole Nation is put for all the People of the Nation, Part of the Men are kill'd; Part and Nation fignifying Number, (tho' the Name be of the Number fignifying one) it puts the Affirmation in the Plural, or the Number fignifying many, but it may be in either.

## C H A P. XI.

Of Stops or Paufes in Sentences; the Ufe of Marks in Writing, and Abbreviations of Words.

FROM what has been faid of Sentences, 'tis plain, that in a full Sentence there may be Four Members, viz. Com$\mathrm{ma}($,$) Semicolon (;) Colon (:) and Period, or Full-ftop (.)$ and thefe bear a kind of mufical Proportion of Time one to another: For a Comma fops the Reader's Voice, while he may privately tell one; the Semicolon, two ; the Colon, three; and the Period, four.

The Ufe of thefe Points, Paufes, or Stops, is not only to give a proper Time for Breathing, but to avoid Obfcurity and Confufion of the Senfe in the joining Words together in a Sentence. After a Comma always follows fomething elfe which depends upon that which is feparated from it by a Comma; as,

> If Pulfe of Verfe a Nation's Temper 乃oows,

In keen Iambics Englijo Metre forws.
Where the Senfe is not compleat in the firf Verfe, and the fecond has a plain Dependance on the firt.

A Semi, or Half Colon, is made ufe of when half the Sentence remains yet behind; as,

Tho' God bids Peace rwith Promifes of Life, Men only Reafon arm for deadly Strife; By bloody Wars Earth making defolate, And facrifcing Thoufands to their Hate, \&c.
A Colon, or two Points, is made when the Senfe is perfect, but the Sentence not ended ; as,

O Lord! in thee do I put my Truf: Save me from all thofe that perfocute me, and deliver me, \&c.
The Full-Point is when the Sentence is compleat and ended; as,

> O Shame! O Curfe! O more than bellifs Stigbt! Damn'd Devils with each other never fight.

Befides thefe Points, there is a Mark that fignifies a Queftion is afked, and is put when the Senfe of that Queftion is compleat ; this is the Figure of it (?) as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Why so frolick? why fo morry? } \\
& \text { Is your Noddle full of Sherry? } \\
& \mathrm{H}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$
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When we exprefs our Wonder, or Admiration of any thing, after the Sentence, we put this Point (!) which is called a Point of Admiration; as, O Times! O Manners !

In Sentences there is fometimes occafion to interpofe another diftinct Sentence, which being left out, the Senfe of the Sentence is entire, and it is thus mark ${ }^{-d}$ ( ), and is call'd a Parenthafis; as, For to their porver (I bear Record) they were willing.

When Words cannot be writ entirely in the Line, the Syllables are parted, one ending the Line, and another of the fame Word beginning the next ; and this is mark'd at the End of the firft Line thus ( - ).

The ( $e$ ) is often left out, as well as other Vowels, for the fake of the Sound, and that is call'd an Apofropbe, and is thus exprefs'd ('); as, I am amaz'd, for amazed; Henry lov'd me, for Henry lowed me, \&c.

Accent (') being placed over any Vowel in a Word, notes that the Tone, or Strefs of the Vowel in pronouncing is upon that Syllable.

Breve ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) is a Curve, or crooked Mark over a Vowel, and denotes that the Syllable is founded quick or Thort.

Dialyfis ( $\cdot$ ) being two Points placed over two Vowels of a Word, that wou'd otherwife make a Diphthong, parts 'em into two feveral Syllables.

Index ( $\sqrt{5}$ ) the Forefinger pointing, fignifies that Paffage to be very remarkable againft which it is placed.

Afterifm (*) guides to fome Remark in the Margin, or at the Foot of the Page. Several of them fet together fignify that there is fomething wanting, defective, or immodert in that Paffage of the Author, thus, ***

Obelik( $\dagger$ ) a Dagger is us'd as well as the Aficrifm, to refer the Reader to the Margin.

Section (\$) or Divifion is us'd in fubdividing of a Chapter into leffer Parts.

Caret (^) when any Letter, Syllable, or Word happens, by Inadvertence, to be left out in Writing or Printing, this Mark, $\left(^{\wedge}\right.$ ) is put under the Interlineation, in the exact Place where it Alice is to come; as, wben ruas gone, \&ce.

Circumfex ( ${ }^{\wedge}$ ) is the fame in Shape as the Caret, bat is always plac'd over fome Vowel of a Word, to denote a long Syllable; as, Eu-pbrâ-tes.

Hypben

Hyphen (-) Connexion, is us'd to join or compound two Wor's into one, as Male-contents, Male-adminittration; or when Names or Words are purpofely left out, a Stroke or fmall Line is thus put _ to fignify the Name or Word underflood, with the initial and final Letters at the beginning or end, or both, Being plac'd over a Vowel, it is not then called Hyphen, but a Dafb for $M$ or $N$.
Crotchets [ ] or Brackets, include Words or Sentences of the fame Value and Signification with thofe they are join'd to, and may be us'd inftead of Parentbefos.

Quotation (") or a double Comma turn'd, is put at the beginning of fuch Lines as are recited out of other Authors ; as the Motto upon the Sun-Dial, "LOOK UPON ME, THAT I MAY BE SEEN.

It is grown cuftomary in Printing, to begin every Subfantive with a Capital, but 'cis unneceffary, and hinders that expreffive Beauty and remarkable Diftinction intended by the Capitals.

Let all proper Names of Men and Women, Chriftian or Sur-name begin with a Capital or Great Letter ; and indeed all Names ought to be written with the initial Letter, a Capital. The fame mult be done by any other Part of Speech, when there's a Force or Emphafis laid on it; otherwife Qualities, Affirmations, Particles, are always written with fmall Letters. The firft Word of every Epiftle, Book, Chapter, Verfe, छic. begins with a Capital ; as alfo the proper Names of Countries, Cities, Towns, and all manner of Places, Arts, Sciences, Dignities, Titles of Honour, Offices, Bills, Notes, Days, Months, Winds, Rivers, छ\%c. In Writing, you are to begin every Sentence after a full Stop, or Period, with a great Letter, and every Verfe or Line in Poetry. If any notable Saying or Paffage of an Author be quoted in his own Words, it begins with a Capital, tho' it be not immediately afier a full Stop. Where Capitals are us'd in whole Words and Sentences, fomething is exprefs'd extraordinary great.

Let not a Capital be written in the Middle of a Word, amongft fmall Letters, except in Anagrams.

IHS. Jefus, The Thrce firf Letters of his Name in Gretk.
V. D. M. Verbi Dei Minifter, Minifter of the Word of God. Philom. Philomathes, a Lover of Learning.
P. S. Pofffcript, after revitten.
N. B. Nota Bene, mark ciell. \&, et, and. Vid. Vide, fie.
Viz. Videlicet, or Videre licer, you may fee.
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i. d. idem, the fame.
i. e. id eft, that is.
q. d. quafi dicat, as if be Bould Say.
Sc. Scilicet, or Scire licet, you may knozv.
etc. et catera, the ref.
\&c. et cætera, and fo forth, or So on.
N. L. Non Liquet, it appears not.
Dit. Ditto, the Same.
Cent. Centum, an Hundred.
Per Cent. by the Hundred.
e. g. Exempli Gratia, for Example.
v. g. Verbi gratia, upon my Word.
Pag. Pagina, Side or Page.
L. Linea, Line.
lib. Liber, Boak.
G. R.
$\int$ Georgius Rex, King George.
Anno Regni, in the rear of the Reign.
N. S. New Stile.

Fra. Francis, Frances.
Cl. Clericus, a Clergyman, or Clerk.
Pr. Priett.
Deac. Deacon.
Bp. Binop.
A. Bp. Arch-Bifhop.
S.S.T.D. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Sacro - Sancłx } \\ \text { Theologix Do } \\ \text { ctoris, Doctor of } \\ \text { Divinity. }\end{array}\right.$
L.L.D. $\} \begin{aligned} & \text { Legum }\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Doctor, } \\ \text { J.D. }\end{array} \text { Joczor }\right. \\ & \text { of Laros. }\end{aligned}$
M. D. Medicinæ Doctor, Doctor of Pbyjack.
A. B. Artium Baccalaurens, Batchelor of Arts.
A. M. Artium Magifter, Mafer of Arts.
F.R. S. Fellow of the Royal Society.
Ait. P. G. Afronomy Profefor at Grefham-College.
P. M. G. Profifor of Mujfck at Grefham College.
C. C. C. Corpus Chrifti College at Oxford.
C. S. Cuftos Sigilli, the Keeper of the Seal.
C. P. S. Cuftos Privati Sigilli, Keeper of the Privy Seal.
R. Recipe, take thou.
ana. of each alike.
P. a pugil, or balf a Handful.
M. Manipulus, a Handful.
S. S. Semiffis, balf a Pound.
q. f. quantum fufficit, a fufficient 2uantity.
q. 1. quantum libet, as much as you pleafe.
lb. f. d. ob. q. libra, folidi, denarii, oboli, quadrantes, Pounds, Sbillings, Pence, IJO. Five Thoufand. Half-pence and Farthings. CCIJJ. Ten Thoufand.
I. One Thoufand.
$\overleftarrow{\mathrm{V} .}$ Five Thoufand.
$\overline{\mathrm{X}}$. Ten Thoufand.
L. Fifty Thoufand.
C. One hundred Thoufand.
CC. Two Hundred.
D. or ID. Five Hundred.
DC. Six Hundred.
M. or cIJ. A Thoufand.

IDOJ. Fify Thoufand.
MDCCXXXVI. One Thou: fand, feven Hundred and thirty-fix.
S. V. Sifte Viator, fand filld
Traveller.

The Roman Account.
The Firft Day of the Montb they Kalends call.' May, March, October, July, fix Nones fall; In the other Eight Montbs, four; eight Ides in all.

$$
\}
$$
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## C H A P. I.

## Of Accents and Quantities.

THE Art of Pronunciation is reckon'd a Part of Grammar, and is the true Utterance of Words, according to their Quantity and Accent. Quantity is the Length or Shortnefs of Syllables; and the Proportion, generally fpeaking, betwixt a long and a fhort Syllable, is two to one ; as in Mufic, two Quavers to one Crotcbict.

In Englifs, as well as in Latin and Greck, there are not only thefe long and fhort Syllables, but thofe which are either long or fhort, as the Meafure requires; as Rēcörds and Rëcōrds.
[1] Accont is the rifing and falling of the Voice, above or under its ufual Tone, but an Art of which we have little Ufe, and know lefs, in the Engliß Tongue ; nor are we like to improve our Knowledge in this Particular, unlefs the Art of $D_{C-}$ livery or Uticrance were a little more fludy'd.
[1] There are three Sorts of Accents, an Acute, a Grarve, and an Infiex, which is alfo call'd a Circumflex. . The Acute, or Sbarp, naturally raifes the Voice; and the Grave, or Bafe, as naturally falls it. The Circumflex is a kind of Undulation, or Waving of the Voice; as in pronouncing amare, to lore, you fhould pronounce it as if fpelt aamare, rifing at the firf $a$, and falling at the fecond. But tho the Latins (in Imitation of the Greeks) have fome Signs to exprefs thefe Marks, yet the Ufe of them is not known, except in the Diftinction of Adverbs: Nay, fhould fome old Romen arife from the Dead, if we believe Ruintilian, the Rules of them could not be deliver'd in Writing. Some of our Moderns (efpecially Mr. Bißoe, in his Art of Poetry) and lately Mr. Muttaire, in what he calls, Tbe Englif/ Grammar, erroneoufly ufe Accent for Quantity, one fignifying the Length or Shortnefs of a Syllable, the other the raifing or falling of the Voice in Difoourfe; which indeed moft

Of this long and fhort Syllable are all Poetic Fect in Englif (as well as all other Languages) form'd ; and tho' Horace himfelf makes ufe of no lefs than twenty-eight feveral Sorts of Feet, yet do they all, and many more, arife from the various Compofitions of long and Thort Syllables.

Before we come to the different Feet that are in Ufe in our Mother Tongue, it will be proper to lay down fome Rules of Quantity, by which we may in fome meafure arrive at fome Certainty in this Particular.

> In Words wobose Letters fill appear the fame, By diff'ring Senfe yet gaining diff'rent Name, The Sense 'tis, fill diftinguibes the Sound ;In Names That's 乃ort, which long in Words is found.

In Words that differ in the Senfe, but not in the Spelling, the firft Syllable of the Name is long, but the laft Syllable of the Affirmation is long ; as the following Examples will hew;

People have naturally, except fuch who have the Misfortune of a Monotony, or of Speaking always in the fame Tone of Voice ; which is a great Vice in Utterance, and what few are guilty of but fuch as have a fmall and acute Voice; for thofe of a groffer Conftitution feldom are fixt to one Tone.

A very learned and ingenious Author gives us this familiar and eafy Diftinction betwixt Quantity and Accent: 'It may be - oblerv'd, that the Variations of the Voice, by bigh and liow, - long and Bort, loud or foft, (however they happen to be con-- founded by fome) are all of as different Nature and Effects, as the Beats of a Drum are from the Sounds of a Trumpet, or the Reading in one unvaried Tone is from Singing. All the poffible Diverfities of Poetic Feet, together with the Changes of loud and Soft, the Drum expreffes to a Wonder: But while yet there is $\mu$ ovolovic in the Sound, there can be no place for Accents: This plain Inftrument does indeed in ore fingle Tone fhew what a Power there is in Mufical Numbers, and of the various Movement of Poctic Feet, and how the Ear is affected with the fudden Intermixture of loud and Joft Notes; but let the Trumpet tell how far fhort all thefe are of well-turn'd, and rightly-plac'd Accents: In thefe confifts the Life of Language, thefe being the Enchantments, whirh being juftly apply'd to well chofen Words, lead all the Paffons captive, and furprize the Soul itfelf in its inmoft Receffes.'
for no Words of different Senfe are exactly fpelt alike, unlefs the Name, and the Afirmation.


And fome others. But the following Rules of Quantity will be of fome Ufe ; as,

When Endings to One-Syllab'- Words are join'd, Long the firft Syllable you always find.
(1.) When an Ending is join'd to a Word of one Syllable, the firt Syllable is long; as, Peace-able, fin-ful, felf-ijh, goodnefs, toil-fome, faith-lefs, bear-ty, god-ly, \&cc.

When (er), (or), (ure), two Syllab'-Words do end, Of the firf Syllab' thy the Sound extend.
(2.) In Words of two Syllables which end in er, or, or rather in our and ure, the firft is long, as enter, Honor or Honour, venture, \&c. but we mult except defer, refer, prefer, which indeed belong to the Rule of Particles.

When (le) or (en) obfcure do end a Word, To the firft Syllable they Length afford.
As for Example, Trouble, double, Fiddle, Garden, \&c.
When Particles rvith otber Words compound, Tbe laft fill lengthen their own proper Sound.
(3.) When Particles are compounded with Words of one Syllable, the Word itfelf is long; as allure, collegue, pollute, except object, adjunit, Adivent, Ajpect, Compa/s, Concourfe, Conduit, perfect, Perfume, Prelate, Profit, Progrefs, Prologue, Reliques, Refpit, Succour, Subfance, Suburbs, Surplice. Note, that perfect and perfume, when they are Affirmations, relate to the foregoing Rule, not the Exception.

If to trio Syllab'-Words an Ending's bound,
That which before was long maintains its Sound.
If an Ending be added to a Word of two Syllables, that Syllable which was originally long continues fo; as Profit, profitable, except proteft, Proteftant.

> When many Syllables compofe a Word,
> That Vorvel's long, that from tbe laft is third;
> Except Pofition gives the laft but one (By crouding Confonants) a longer Tone.
(4.) In Words of many Syllables (as we call all that confift of more than two) the third Vowel from the laft is long, as Salvation, Damnation, \&c. except when the laft Syllable but one is long by Pofition, that is, by the coming together of many Confonants, and bearing the Vowel hard upon 'em, as Abundance, accomplifn, illuftrate; to which we may add, Affiance, Affidarit, antecedent, Armado, Balconey, Bravado, Carbonado, Catbedral, Dandalion, Horizon, obdurate, Opponent, pellucid, Precedent, (tho' erroneoufly too often fpelt Prefident) Recufant, Vagary. In thefe that follow the laft Syllable is long; as, arquiefce, comprebend, condefcend.

Some Words of many Syllables are found
Ev'n of two Vowels to extend the Sound;
The fourth, or fifth, and of the laft but one;
But fill the laft is of a rveaker Tone.
(5.) Some Words of many Syllables have two long Syllables, the fourth or fifth Vowel from the laft, and the laft but one ; tho' the Quantity of the laft be not fo loudly founded in the Delivery ; as Academy, which yet is often pronounc'd Acádemy, acceflary, Acrimony, admirable. Tho' it may be doubted whether admirable, as ufually pronounc'd, be not more properly one long and three fhort. Adverfary, Antimony, Alimony, ambulatory, amicable, anniverfary, antiquated, Apoplexy, arbitrary, Auditoory, habitable, Hierarchy, Ignominy, neceffary, Ne= cromancy, refraciory, Sedentary.

Four or more Syllables, that end in nefs, The firft and laft long Syllables confefs.
But Temperament, and all Words of four or more Syllables ending in ne/s, have the firt and laft Syllables long; as Righ. teoufnefs, Tedioufnefs, \&cc. except Forgetfulnefs, Defpightfulnefs.

Some are of doubtful Quantity by USe, And Sorten now, and now the fame produce.
Some are of a doubtful Quantity, according to the Will or Occafion of the Writer or Speaker; as, acceptable, contribute, corruptible, Confefor, Succefor, \&c. and indeed fome of the former.

Back to the Vorwels now convey your Eye, And thare the Rules of Quantity you'll' '/py;
In Words that many Syllables deny.
For Common moft they fort, and long are found,
But thofe that to fuch Confonants are bound As clofe the Lips, can ne'er extend their Sound.
Empbatic Wrards we jufly fill praduce;
But every Sign is 乃ort by facred Ufe.
The Rules of the Vowels will be found at the beginning of the Grammar ; and we here may aud to thefe Obfervations, that molt Words of one Syllable are common, except they end with filent (e), whofe Nature it is to lengthen the foregoing Vowel. All the Signs are fhort, without an Emphafis, which they feldom have; as, $a$, the, an, for, ly, with, to, from, \&c. but whatever Word of one Syllable ends with a Letter that clofes the Mouth, can never be long ; as all fuch as end in ( $m$ ), or the Sound of ( $m$ ), and in molt Mutes.

> Trwo Syllables our Englifh Feet compofe,
> But Quantities difinguifh them from Profe.
> By long and Thort in rarious Stations plac'd,
> Our Englif Verfe harmonioufly is grac'd.
> With thort and long Heroic Feet rue raife,
> But thefe to vary is the Poet's Proife.
> For the fame Sounds perpetually difguft:
> D R Y DE N to this Variety rwas juf.

Having given thefe Rules for ๑uartities in the Engliß Tongue, we muft obferve, that two Syllables make a Poetic Foot, which hitherto will not admit a greater Number, tho' in the Latin and Greck a Foot might contain fix, and thofe might be refolv'd into the fimple Feet of two or three Syllables. Heroick Verfes sonifl of five frort, and five long Syllables intermixt, but not
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 fo very ftrictly as never to alter that Order. Mr. Dryden has vary'd then with admirable Beauty, beginning his Heroick Verfe fometimes with a long Syllable, follow'd by two Shorts, and other Changes, which a Mafter only muft venture on.From hence 'tis plain, that the Learner can never imagine that any Number of Syllables is fufficient to make any kind of Verfe, for by that means there could be no Profe: So that to conftitute a Verfe, Variety of Nunbers is neceffary.

In Englifh, the Metre or Sorts of Verfe are extremely various and arbitrary, every Poet being at liberty to introduce any new Form he pleafes. The moft us'd are, firlt the Heroic, confifting of five long and five fhort Syllables, generally fpeaking; Veries of four Feet, and of three Feet, and three Feet and a Cefure, or one Syllable. Stanza's have been endeavour'd to be introduc'd, but never yet have been able to eftablih themfelves.
[2] To help the Learner to fome Means or Examples of forming new Feet in the Engli/h Tongue, we fhall here fet down
[2] But as many Ways as Quantities may be varied by Compofition and Tranfpofition, fo many different Feet have the Greek Poets contriv'd, and that under diftinct Names, from two to fix Syllables, to the Number of 124 . But it is the Opinion of fome Learned Men in this Way, that Poctic Numbers may be fufficiently explain'd by thofe of two or three Syllables, into which the reft are to be refolv'd.

Of thofe eight here fet down, the Spondée and the Dactyl are the moft confiderable, as being the Meafures us'd in the Heroic Time but of different Motion: The Spondée has an even, ftrong, and fteddy Pace, like a Trot, as I may fay; but the Dactyl refembles the nimbler Strokes of a Gallop. An inverted Dactyl is an Anapeft, a very fprightly Trot, and a Motion proper to excite and enrage. The Iambic is alfo of a light and fprightly Nature, and reigns moft in our Englifs Verfe. The Trochée is quite contrary to the Iambic, fit to exprefs weak and languid Motions; as all thofe Meafures are which move from long to mort Syllables, The Pyrrbic and Tribrach are very rapid, as the Molofs is flow and heavy.

Tho' Rhyme has been (by the Ignorance of our Fore-fathers) thought the only Effential of Englifs Verfe, yet it is in Reality the moft inconfiderable Part of it, and may be left out without any Detriment; as is plain from the Great Milton. But if you refolve

> A Spondée, Two long Syllables.

Pyrrbic, Two fhort Syllables.
Trockée, A long and fhort Syllable.
Iambic, a fhort and a long Syllable.
Thefe are of trwo Syllables.

- A Molors, Three long Syllables.

Tribrach, Three fort Syllables.
Dactyl, One long and two fhort Syllables.
Anapeft, Two Mort and one long Syllable.

## C H A P. II.

The Art of POETRY in General; and firf, of Epigram, Paftoral, Elegy, and Lyric.

HAving in the foregoing Chapter laid down the Rules of the Mechanic Part of Poetry, which is as far as the Grammar generally goes, tho' with great Abfurdity, we fhall now procced to the Art it $\int$ elf, which (by we know not what Infatuation) has never been yet taught in our Sciools. For if Poctry is to be banifh'd our Studies intirely, to what purpofe does every petty School teach the Rules of Quantity? But if we are allow'd to read the Poets; nay, if we are fo fond of them, as to teach them to Childrea before they are Mafters of the Tongue they ftudy, why mult not the beauty and Excellence of their Works be hown? By the firf we teach Boys to be mere Verfifyers, Poitofters; by the fecond we form their Judgment, and let them fee the Difficulty of being a good Poot ; which wowld deter them attempting an Act for which they find no true Genius, and at the fame time give them a juft Value for the Books they read. The common P'rofodia's make Scriblers, which is a Scandal ; the prefent Rules inftitute a Poet, which is an Honour.
refolve to write in Rhyme, you muft take a peculiar Care of obferving them exactly, for a Botch in this is unpardonable. My Lord Rofcommon, tho' he was an Enemy to Rhyme, yet was moft exact in ir, when he vouchfafed to make ufe of it. This Nicenefs muft be obferv'd in double or treble Rhymes, which yet are never properly us'd, but in Burls $\int q u e$.

For the Learner muft not fanfy, that to write a Verfe, or conclude a Rhyme, gives the Title of Poet; no, he muft underftand the Nature of the Subject thoroughly; and let his Copy of Verfes or Poem be never fo thort, he mult formi a Defign, or Plan, by which every Verfe thall be directed to a certain End, and each have a juft Dependance on the other ; for only this can produce the Beauty of Order and Harmony, and fatisfy a rational Mind. For to jumble a Company of Verfes together without any Defign, let them be never fo fmooth and flowing, is an Undertaling of no Value, and incapable of any thing Great and Aoble.

A Blockbead with a good Ear, and a tolerable Knowledge of the Language, may do thefe; but nothing but a Poet the other.

But if a Defign be necefiary in the fhorteft and leaft of our Poems, it is vaftly more neceffary in thofe of greater Length ; which without this will infallibly prove intolerably tedious, and a rude indigefted Heap. Fix this, therefore, in the Learrer's Mind, that a VERSIFYER and POET are two different Things ; the frit is contemptible, and has been fo thefe 2000 Years; but the latter honousable, in the Opinion of the Men of Senfe and Learning, in all Ages and Nations, fince the Birth of this Heavenly Art.

Before we come to the Rules of the feveral Parts of Poetry, we muft premife a Word cr two to the Teachers. The Mafter, or Miftrefs, who inftructs the Young in this Art, fhou'd thoroughly know its Nature and Parts, not only in this, which is but an Abridgment of a larger Difcousfe, that will be publifh'd foon after it, but the full Difplay of this Art in a much greater Volume.

They fou'd likewife read themfelves with Application all the beft Tranflations of the old Latin and Greck Poets, and direct their Scholars to read and fludy the fame. For tho' thefe Tranflations are far fhort of the Originals, yet are they capable, as they are, of fixing a juft and true Taite and Relifh of the Nature of Poctry in the Englijb Student; which has not been kept fo much in Viel in moft of our Modern Compofitions, but as they depart from Nature, want her Regularity of Order and Beauty. O idid's Mctamorphofis fhou'd be firft read throughly, becaufe it furnifhes all the Hiftories of the Heathen Gods, and their No:ions about them. To thefe you may add my Lord Bacon, Dantt, and other Books on that Subject. Virgil, Ovid, Herace, Fiomer, we have in part in pretty good Verfions: And in fome of thefe the Scholar fhou'd every Day take a Leffon, befides that which he talkes in the Rules of the Art ; by which
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he may come to join the Theory and Practice, which only can make a Poet, or Judge of Poetry.

We now come to the Rules of POETRY, in which I thall begin with the moft inferior Kind, and fo afcend by Degrees up to the higheft Performance in the Art.

Epigram is the lowet Step of the Temple of the MUSES, or rather the ground neareft to the firt Step of its Afcent.

$$
\text { Of } E P I G R A M \text {. }
$$

The Epigram in Shortnefs takis Dt light, And tho' all Subjecis are its 1 roper Right, $\gamma_{\text {et each }}$ of one alone can only write.
An Epigram is a fhort Copy of Verfes treating of one only Thing, with Beauty and Points: All Things are allow'd to be treated of in the Epigram, provided that Brevity, Beauty and Point are preferved.

Two Parts this little Whole muft fill compofe,
Recital of the Subject, and tbe Clofe:
To make this Porm perfer, be your Care
That Beauty, Point, and Brevity appear.
The Epigram confifts of two Parts, the Recital of the Subject, and the Conclufion. Beauty runs though the whole, but the Point is for the Conclufion only.

That you this needful Brevity may claim, Let one Thing only be your careful Aim; And in ferw Words that only Thing exprefs, But Words that Force and Energy confefs.
To attain this Brerity, you muft not aim at many Things through the whole Epigram, and then take Care to exprefs that Little as concifely as poflibly you can; that is, in fuch Words, as that to extend them into more, would enervate and lofe the Force and Strength of the Thought, and the Point or Acumen.

> Beauty's barmonious Symmetry of Parts, Which to the Whole an Excellence imparts, Adorn'd with freet Simplicity and Truth, The Diction fill polite, and ne'er uncouth: This BEAUTY Sweetnefs always muft comprize, Which from the Subject, well exprefs'd, will rifi.

The next Quality is Beauty, that is, an exact and harmonious Formation of the Whole, and the apt Agreement of all the Parts of the Poom, from the Beginning to the End, with
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2 fiveet Simplicity and $T_{r u t h}$. The Language muft be Polite, not I'ujic: The Beauty mult always be accompanied with Sruretncfs, which varies according to the Subject ; if that be delicate, foft, tender, amorous, Egc. thofe Qualities will arife from the well expreffing of the Subject, that will give Beauty and Sweetne/s. But this muft not be too vifibly fought after; avoid rather what is harfh, and an Enemy to Sweetnefs in the Language, than ftudy too much to increafe it.

The POIN T' in the Conclufion takes its Place,
'And is the Epigram's feculiar Grace;
Some unexpected, and fome biting Thought, With poignant Wit, and ßarp Exprefion fraugbt.
The third necefiary Quality of the Epigram is the POINT; and it is much infifted on by the Efigrammatical Critics, and is chiefly in the Conclufion, where it muft end with fomething biting and unexpected. There are others who ever exclude the Point from Epigram, becaufe Catullus has it not fo frequently as Martial; but here, as in other Things, we mult be guided by the Majority; and if we here exclude the Point, we may have it fpread ftill through greater Works, where it is abominable.

> From trwo to twenty Verfes it extends, But beft when trio, or four, it not tranflends.

The Number of Verfes in an Epigram is from two to twenty, or even to fifty; but the fhorter the better, becaufe it comes neareft to the Perfection of Brevity. We have not many formal Epigrams in Englifb; but then we run into a worfe Error, by fcattering the Epigrammatic Points through all our Verfes, to the Scandal of the Englifh Poets, fince that wholly belongs to Efigram. One Example fhall fuffice, and that is from Mr. Brown-on a Gentleman who took the Oaths, and made three Gods of the Trinity:

> The fame Allegiance to two Kings be pays, Srears the fame Faith to both, and both betrays:
> No wonder, if to fwear be's olwaj's free,
> Who bas two Gods to frwear by, more than we.

Here is the Brevity, Point and Beauty of an Epigram, exprefs'd by a Domeftic Example: You may find feveral Epigrams of Martial tranflated by the fame Author, and by Mr. Cowley, and fome out of Catullus, which are too long to infers is this Abridgment.

The Paforal that fings of bappy Swains, And harmlefs Nympbs that baunt the Woods and Plains, Shou'd through the rwbole difcover every-where
Tbcir old Simplicity, and pious Air,
And in the Characters of Maids and Youth, Unpractis'd Plainnefs, Innocence, and Truth.
As every fort of Poctry is an Imitation of fomething, fo is the Paforal an Imitation of a Shepherd's Life, confider'd under that Character, or rather an Imitation of rural Actions. For this Reafon there ought to be an Air of Piety, on all Occafions, maintain'd though the whole Poem ; the Perfons introduc'd being innocent and fimple, without Corruption; fuch as $S h e p-$ berds, Goatberds, Cowberds, Pruners, and the like. The Characters therefore fhould reprefent that ancient Innocence, and unpractis'd Plainnefs, which was then in the World, and which is vifible in Tbcocritus and Virgil, as may be feen in the Tranflations of thofe Poets.

> Each Paftoral a little Plot muft own, Which, as it muft be fimple, muft be one;
> With fmall Digreffons it will yet dijpenfe,
> Nor needs it always Allegoric Senfe.

Every Paforal Poom fhould have a little Plot or Fable, which may deferve the Title of a Paforal Scene; it muft be fimple, and one, yet not fo as to refufe all manner of Digreffions, provided they be little. Nor is the Poet obliged always to make it Allegoric, that is, to have fome real Perfons meant by thofe fictitious Shepherds which are introduc'd. This Rule of the Plot is every-where obferv'd by Virgil, particularly in his firft, which is the Standard of Paforals. The Plans, or Arguments of this and two or three more, will make this plain: Of the firf;

Melibæus, an unfortunate Spepherd, is introduc'd with Tityrus, one more fortunate; the former addrefes bis Complaint of bis Sufferings and Banj/bment to the latter, wwho injoys bis Flocks and Folds in this publick Calamity, and tberefore exprefles his Gratitude to the Benefactor from wwhom this Favour ficw'd: But Melibous accufis Fortune, Civil War, \&c. bidding Adicu to bis Native Home. This is therefore a Dialogue - The next -

Is a Paforal Complaint without any Dialogue; for CORYDON, in a Courtfoip wholly Paftoral, complains of the Coynefs of Alexis, recommends bimfelf for his Beauty, and Skill in playing on the rural Pipe; invites binn into the Country, pro-
mifing him the Pleafures of the Place, with a Prefent of Nuts and Apples. But finding all in vain, be refolves to quit bis Amour, and betake bimfelf again to bis Bufinefs. Here is a vifible Plan or Defign, which makes every thing depend upon the other.

In the third, Menalcas, Damotas, and Palamon, are introduc'd in this manner:-Damcetas and Menalcas, after fome Country Raillery, agree to try wwich bas the beft Skill at Song, and that their Neigbbour Palæmon Jaall be judge of tbeir Performance; who, after bearing both, declares bimslf unft to decide the Controverfy, and So leaves it undetcrmin'd.

We need give no more Examples here of the little Plot or Fable of a Paftoral; you may confult Mr. Dryden's Virgil, and the feveral Tranflations of Theocritus, by which you will confirm the Rule abundantly.

Connexions, and Tranfitions, pray take care
They are not made too frict and regular.
The Connexions fhould be negligent, and the Tranftions eafy; as may be obferv'd in thofe of Virgil; for a too frict Regularity in thefe will make the Poem fliff and formal.

The Paftoral admits of Vowes and Praife,
Of Promifes, Complaints, of Mirth and Foys,
Congratulations, Singing, Riddles, feft,
Of Parables, Sentencis, and the reft.
Pbilofophic Quefions, Riddles, Parables, ought to be eminent in this Poem, which gives a peculiar Relifh of the ancient Manner of Writing; and the Writer fhould fhow fome competent Skill in the Sutject-Matter, which makes the Charater of the Perfons introduc'd; as Virgil every-where does, but the Moderns feldom or never.

The Style muff fill be natural and clear, And Elegance in ev'ry Part appear;
Its bumble Method notbing has of fierce,
But bates the Rattling of a lofty Vorre.
The Style ought to be natural, clear and elegant, but nothing fublime or lofty, or fet off with fuch Ornaments as are not at all agreeable to the Humility of the Subject. The Sentence fhould be fhort and fmart, and the Verfification fmooth, eafy, and harmonious, without Affectation of Grandeur and Majefty, but when akin to the Subject ; as in one of Virgil's to Pollio.

Oppos'd to this, another low in Style
Makes Shepherds Jpeak a Language bafe and vile.

This Randal has done in his Paforals, and feveral others; changing Damon and Pbyllis into Tom and Befs. Nor mutt Battles and War be treated of in a Paforal: We mutt either feign Names according to the Subject, or borrow thofe which we find already in good Authors. This Poem ought never to exceed one hundred Verfes; the beft of Virgil's is but fifty, that is (in Englij) about feventy.
Of E L E G

The Elegy demands a Jolemn Style,
It mourns with forwing Hair at Fun'ral Pile,
It points the Lover's Torment and Delights, A Mifrefs flatters, threatens, and invites.
Elegy was firt made on melancholy Subjects, as on the Death of Friends, $\xi^{c}$ c. as Ovid on Tibullus, which is tranflated. In Procefs of Time, Joy, Wihhes, and almoft every Subject, was made free of the Elegy, as Complairts, Expoflulations, Prayers, Love, Vows, Praifes, Congratulations, Admonitions, Reproaches.

The Model of this Poom frou'd be made, And every Step of all its Progrefs laid, And all directed to fome certain End, And Verfe on Verfe perpetually deperd.
This and all other Poems ought to have a plan made of the whole Defign before a Line is written: For elfe the Author will not know where to begin, and where to end, but ramble in the Dark, and give us Verfes which have no Relation to each other, or at leaft have not any Dependance on each other. This is the Fault of thofe who are ignorant of Art, and are only Voriffers.

No glitt'ring Points, nor any nise Cancrit Muft load the Elegy with Forcign Weight ; Palion and Nature here avarw thbir Right, And with Difdain throw back that mean Delight.
The Epigrammatic Point muft never be here admitted ; 'ti: abominable; none of the fine Things that fome are fo fond o: in all Places, no Conceits, nor the like: Thefe give Place to the Paffions, which mult here fpeak with Nature.

> Remember that the Diction ev'ry-where
> Be gentle, clean, perfpicuous, and clear,
> Correct; the Manners all-along exprefs,
> In ev'ry Place the Palfion fill confefs.
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The Dietion of the Eleg $y$ fhould be ftandard, correct, clean, gentle, perfpicuous, clear, exprefive of the Manners, tender, full of Paffions, or pathetic ; but never opprefs'd or debauch'd with fine Sayings and exquifite Sentences. It is wonderfully adorn'd with frequent Commiferations, Complaints, Exclamations, Addreffes to Things or Perfons, Words of feign'd Perfons, or Things inanimate made to fpeal, fhort Digreffions, yet pertinent to the Subject ; nor does it receive a little Beauty from Allufions to Sayings: Examples not only from the like, but unlike, and Contraries. Sometimes Comparifons are made, fmart and fhort Sentences are thrown in, to confirm what is propofed.

No cutting off the Vowels muft be found, That wou'd defroy that finooth, that flowing Sound Which in the Elegy muft fill abound.
There fhould be no Apoftrophe's, by which when one Vowel ends a Word, and the next begins with another, the former is cut off; for that begets a fort of Roughnefs, which is not agree able to this kind of Poefy.

Some to trwo Verfes will the Senfe confine, Conijummate in the cluge of ev'ry otber Line.
The Reafon of this Opinion feems to be the fort of Verfe this Poem makes ufe of in the Latin, which feems to require a Full point or Period at the End of every Diffich compofed of a Verfe of fix Feet, and another of five, and fo begins again like a fhort Stanza. But this Rule will not always hold it Englifh, nor is it always obferved in Latio.

## The $L \Upsilon R I C$.

Sweetnefs is moft peculiar to the Ode, Ero'n when it rijes to the Praife of GOD.
The Characteriftic of this fort of Poefy from all others, is Srucetncfs: For as Gravity rules, and moft prevails in Heroic Verfe, Simplicity in Paftoral,' Tendernefs and Softnefs in Elegy, Sharpnefs and Poignancy in Satire, Humour and Mirth in Comedy, the Pathetic in Tragedy, and the Point in Epigram; fo in this fort of Poefy the Poet applies himfelf intirely to footh the Minds of Men by Sreetnefs and Variety of the Verfes, and the exquifite Elegance of the Words of the whole Song or Ode, in the Beauty and Agreeablenefs of Numbers, and the Defcription of Things moft delightful in their own Nature.
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Tb' Exprefion Bould be eafy, Fan y high, That That not fecin to creep, ner This to fy: No Words trenfpes'd but in fu bo Order all, As, tho' bard wrought, may ficm by Cbance to fall. But obfene Words do alwoys give Offence, And in all Poetry delafe the Senfe.
Songs are a Part of Lyric Poetry, for Odz indeed fignifies a Song; tho' our common Madrigals degenerate much from their Original the Ode; yet, that we may have better for the future, we here take Notice of them, and they fhou!d be moft exact in the Propriety of Words and Thoughts; but here, as well as in all manner of true Poefy, Obfcurity fhou'd with the utmoft Care be avoided.

Variety of Numbers fill bclong
To the foft Melody of Ode or Song.
The Verfe of the Lyric Poctry in the beginning, was only of one kind, but for the fake of Pleafure, and the Mufic to which they were fung, they fo varied the Numbers and Feet, that their forts are now almoft innumerable.

> Pindaric Odes are of a bigber Flight, And bappicr Force, and fierce is the Delight : The Poet bere muff be inded infpir'd With fury too, as well as fancy fir'd; For Art and Nature in this Ode muft join, To make the rvond'rous Harmany Divine.
> But tho all Seem to be in Fury dome,
> The Language fill muft foft and cafy run;
> The brigbt Tranfitions and Digreffions rife,
> And with their natural Returns furprize.

As the Language, or Exprefions fhould be elegantly foft, fo an ill or low Expreffion clogs and debafes the Beauty and Brightnefs of the Thought. This Poem is diftinguifh'd from all other Odes by the happy Tranfitions and Digreffions which it beautifully admits, and the furprizing and naturally eafy Returns to the Subjects; which is not to be obtain'd without great Judgrnent and Genius. The fuppofed Irregularity of Pindar's Numbers, has made our Ignorant Imitators pretend to be Pindaric Poets, by their wild irregular Verfes alone, though very falfely. Here the Poet that would excel, fhould draw the Plan of his Poem, and mark out the Places where thefe elegant Wandrings may properly be, and how the Returns may jufly be made to the Subject ; for without that it mult be Chaos and Odes, tranflated by Mr. Corvley; and a Poem entituled, The Female Reign; in which the Tranfitions and Returns are excellent. [a]

## C H A P. III.

## Of SATIRE and COMEDY.

Atire and Comedy being both directed to lafh and ridicule 1 Folly and Vice, may (we think) properly come into oue Chapter.

Folly and Vice of ev'ry Sort and Kind That wound our Reafon, or debafe our Mind;: All that diferves cur Laugbter or our Hate. To biting SA TIRE's Province do relate; The Iotbful Parafite, afficied Fool, Tb' Ingrateful, and the pert loquacious Tool, The lufful Drunkard, th' arsaritious Slave, The noily Bravo, and the tricking Knave: Satire, by rubolfonze Le fons, wou'd reclaim, And beal their Vices to Secure their Fame.
[a] The Ode originally had but one Streftee or Stanza, but was at laft divided into three Parts; the Strooble, Antifropbe, and Epode. For the Priefts went round the Altar finging the Praifes of the Gods and Goddefos in Verfe: So they calld their firl Entrance to the Left, Strophe, or turring to ; the fecond returning to the Right, they call'd Antifirofbe, or the Returning and the Songs they call'd Ode, or Antode; as they call'd their Entrance and Return Stropbe, and Antifloropbe. At laft ftanding ftill before the Altar, they fung the reft, and that they call'd the Epode. The Stropbe and Antifurcpbe confifted of the fame Number and Kind of Verfes, nay, almoft of Syllables; but the Epode of Verfes of a different Kind, which were fometime nore in Number, fometimes lefs; and if the Ode contained feveral Strophes and Antififophes, and Epodes, the fame Rule wa followed in all the reft.

The Odes of Horace are compofed of two, three our four Sorts of Verfe, after which the Stanzas or Strop his begin again,
tic.

Satire, like the old Comedy, takes Cognizance of, and has for its Subject $\mathcal{T}$ urpitude, or fuch things as are worthy our Laughter, or our Hatred. Whatever therefore is not ridiculous or odious, is not the Subject of Satire; as any thing that is full of Grief, Terror, I'ity, or other Tragical Paffions. Satire derides and falls on the Slothful, the larafite, Affectation, the Loquacious or Talkative, the Ingrateful, Libidinous, Drunkards, the Avaritious Ufurers, Bravo's, publick Robbers, Adulterers, $\sigma^{\circ} c$. He was in the Right, that fubjected the Diftempers of the Mind to Sotire, fince it is as much employ'd in this, as the Phycician in curing the Body. Both propofe to themfelves the Health of the Patient, Satire by Difcourfe, the Phyfician by his Potions and Pills. The Medicines of both are in themfelves unfavory and difagreeable to the Palate of the Diftemper'd on whom they make Incifions, whom they cauterize and fpare not. The Phyfician gilds his Pill, that it may go down glibly ; and Satiric Invectives muft be fweeten'd with the Mixture of Pleafantry and Wit, and agreeable Raillery, till both the Medicines are fiwallow'd, and in the Bowels perform their Operation. The Raillery and Biting of Satire correct the Perverfe, and deter others from falling into Folly and Vice.

## The Latin Writers Decency neglect,

But modern Readers challenge more RefpcEt;
And at immodeft Writings take Offince,
If clean Expreffions corier not the Senfe.
Satire foould be from all Obfcenenefs free, Not impudent, and yet preach Modifiy.
The Satiric Poct fhould not expofe Vice and Lewdnefs as Horace and Fuvenal have done, in Words and Expreffions that may corrupt the Innocent, whilft they ftrive to correct the Guilty. He muft, therefore, carefully avoid all obfcene Words and Images.

> Tho' Vice and folly be keen Satire's Aim, It muft not on their Nature bere declaim.
'Tho' the Bufinefs of Satire be to call Men from Vice and Folly, and invite them to Wirdom and Virtue, yet it is by no means to wafte itfelf on Difquifitions on the Nature of Virtue and Vice, which is the proper Bufinefs of Moral Pbilofophy. In fhort, this Poem requires for its Author, a Man of Wit and Addrefs, Sagacity and Eloquence ; and a Sharpnefs that is not oppofite to Mirth and Pleafantry.

No Parts diffinct does biting Satire know, And without certain Rules its Courre will go. Oft by Infinuation it begins, * And oft abruptly falls upon our Sins; But this Abruptnefs muft regard the Whole, Which muft its Words, and Manner too, controul.
Satire has no certain nor diftinct Parts; fometimes it begins by infinuating itfelf by Degrees; but nore commonly abruptly, and with Ardour. But tho' the beginning be abrupt, yet it ought to have a Reference and Regard to the Compofition of the whole Body of the Poem. Examples you may fee in $\mathcal{F}_{u-}$ vinal, tranflated by Mr. Drydin.

> Of well-chofe Words fome take not Care cnough, And think they bou'd be (like the Subiect) rough.
> But this great Work is more exactly made, And 乃arpeft Thoughts in fmootheft Words conv'ey'd.

Here, as well as in all Poems, there ought to be Care taken' of the fmooth flowing of the Verfe, which Mr. Dryden in his Mac Fleckno has perfectly obferved, and ought to be the Model of our Verfe in all Englifs Satires. [b]

## Of COMED $\Upsilon$.

We come now to the Dramatic Poetry, which is much the moft ufeful and difficult, as well as delightful of any: We can fcarce except a juft Epic Poem, which has not been feen the fe 1700 Years; for tho' that be more difficult becaufe of its Lengti and Variety, yet it is beyond Controverfy, lefs ufeful, and lefs capable of giving that ftrong and lively Pleafure which is to be found in a juft Trageciy. But we begin with Comedy.

* See the firf Satire of JUVENAL.
[b] Satire is allow'd to be an urbane, jocole, and biting Poem, form'd to reprehend corrupt Manners, and expofe Improbity of Life ; but yet there is no Certainty of the Etymology of its Name. Some draw it from a Sort of Plate or Charger, in which the various fort of Firft-fruits were offer'd to Ceres; thus, fay they, in Satire are handled various and different forts of Things or Subjects, with which it is, as it were, fill'd to Satiety; fo from Fulnefs or Satiety they draw Satire. Others derive it from the Dances of the Satyrs, leaping from $\sqrt{2} d e$ to $\sqrt{2} d e$, fkipping and jumping this way and that. Cr perhaps from the Satyrs themfelves, thofe Gods having of old been often introduced into this fert of Poetry.

Comely imitates common Life in its $\Lambda$ Rions and Humours, laughing at, and rendring Vice and Folly ridiculous, and recommending Virtue. It is indeed an Imitation of Life, the Mirror of Cutom, and the Image of Truth; aid whatevex Consedy follows not this Track, io unworthy of the Name.

To four efintial Things w' affign a Part Ir eivery Comedy that's writ with Art; The Fable, Manners, Sentiments are thefe, And froper Diction that muff all exprefs. The Fable is the Plot that is defign' ${ }^{2}$, To imitate the Aetions of Mankind. But without Manners thefe cannot be drawn, In thim the Temper, and the Humour's flown; As by the Sentiments thefe are madi knoron. The Diction is the Language that does Boow In Words, the Sentiments that from them fiorv.
COMEDY has Parts of Qulity, and Parts of Quantity. Of the firf kind there are fo r efential, the Fable, the Manners, the Sention nts, and the Dicion; to which two are added, which only relate to the Reprefentation, viz. the Muffic and Decoration; without the firft four Parts no Comedy can be written. For the .Foet muft neceifarily invent the Matter, or Sutject on which he write:, and that is what we call the Fable or Plot: But fince the Fuille imitates, there is a Neceffity that it mould have the Manners, that is, nicely and jufly exprefs the Tempers, Humours, or Manners of the feveral Dramatic Perfons that are repreferited in Comidv. The Sentiments are added, becaufe we mut difcover by them the Senfe and Opinion of them in Words; and becaufe the Sentincints are, and muft be expreffed more plainly by Words, the Ditzion obtains is Place in thefe four Farts of C:medy.

The Difference of the Perfin much alters the Manners, and differences them from one another. For thefe Manners which are praife-worthy in one, are far from being fo in another, being not at all convenient to his Character, and therefore to be difpiaifed. This we find in Arts themfelves; for one of the Vulgar gains Reputation by being a good Fidler or Piper; but this in a King is ridiculous and difagreeable to his Dignity.

A Woman has a juft Praife for fewing well, and working finely with her Needle ; but this being no Manly Quality, is defpicable in a Man. The Manners mult therefore be agreeable to every Man's Station, Quality, or Years, and the like. And Life is the beft Book to ftudy thefe in, when we are once Mafters of the Rules of Art. In the mean while learn thefe following Verfes out of Horace, of what is proper to the feveral Ages and Stations of Man, that you may not err againit them: They are found thus in blank Verfe, in my Lord Rofcommon's Tranflation;

Oire that bas newly learn'd to Jpeak and go,
Loves Cbildijs Plays, is foon provok'd and pleas'd,
And changes ev'ry Hour his wavering Mind.
A Youth, that firft cafts off his Tutor's Yoke,
Loves Horfes, Hounds, and Sports and Exercife;
Prone to all Vice, impatient of Reproof;
Proud, carelefs, fond, inconfiant, and profufe. Gain, and Ambition rule our riper Years, And make us Slaves to Intereft and Power,
Old Men are only walking Hofpitals, Where all Defects, and all Difeafes croud, With reflefs Pain, and more tormenting Fear; Lazy, morofe, full of Delays, and Hopes, Opprefs'd with Riches which they dare not ufe; Ill-natur'd Cenfors of the prefent Age, And fond of all the Follies of the paft.
Thus all the Treafure of our flowing Years
Our Ebb of Life for ever takes arway.
Boys muft not have th' ambitious Cares of Men;
Nor Men the rweak Anxitties of Age.
Obferve the Cbaracters of thofe that Speak,
Whether an boneft Servant or a Cheat,
Or one whofe Blood boils in bis Youthful Veins,
Or a grave Matron, or a buly Nurfe,
Extorting 'Tradefmen, carefuil Hu/bandmen.
Thefe are the general Rules for thofe Characters, that fal under them; but Humour being effential to Englif Comedy, we muft fee what that is.

Subordinate Pafion we Humour name,
By rubich our Bards barve gain'd peculiar Fame,
Each Palion does a double Face confefs,
The ftrong is Tragic, Comic is the lefs.

Humour is faid by the Critics to be a fubordinate, or a weaker Paffion, and that in Perfons of a lower Degree than thofe that are fit for $\tau_{\text {ragedy }}$; and it is more vifible in the lower fort of People, wilofe Characters are therefore fitter for Comedy. Every Faffion has two different Faces; one that is ferious, great, tersible, folemn, that is for Tragedy; and another that is low, comical, ridiculous.

Affectation is thought alfo to be a Character fit for Comedy, as being highly ridiculous, and capable of being correcied by it. Your Charaters muft always retain the fame Humour through the Play, which you give them at firf, or elfe 'tis abfurd and prepofterous.

> Expofe no fingle Fop, but lay the Load, More equally, and pread the Folly broad;
> The other Way is vulgar: Oft we fee
> The Fool derided by as great as be:
> Ill Poets fo will one poor Fop devour ;
> But to collect, like Becs, from every Flow'r
> Ingredients to compofe this precious fuice,
> Which ferves the World for Pleafure, and for Ufe,
> In Sjight of Faction will our Favour find,
> And meet with the Applause of all Mankind.

The Poet thould not pick out any one particular Fop he may meet with in his Converfation, but from the general Follies form a Character that may be of Ufe to many, and a Diverfion do all.

> All Fools in this fpeak Senfe, as if poffeft, And each by Infpiration breaks bis 'fift, If once the 'Jufines of each Part be loft, We well may laugh, but at the Poct's Cof. That filly thing Men call Sheer. Wit avoid, With which our Age fo naufooufy is cloy'd: Humour is all, Wit jiou'd be only brougbt To turn agreeably fome proper Thought.
'Tis a Breach of Character to make the Coxcombs rpeak Wit and fine Raillery, and therefore good for nothing. Humour
is the true Wit of Comedy; the fine Things, the Sbeer-Wit is only for Efigram.

The Parts of 2 uantity are likervije four; The Entrance does the Cbaraciers explore: And to the Action fometbing docs proceed, The Working up, Action and Warmth doth breed, The Counter-turn does Expectation crofs, But the Difor'ry fettles all $i^{\prime} t b^{\prime}$ Close.
The Parts of Quantity of a Comedy are four: the Entrance, which gives Light only to the Characters, and proceeds very little into any fart of the Action. 2 dly , The Working up of the Plot, where the Play grows warmer, and the Defign or Action of it is drawing on, and you fee fomething promifing. 3 diy, The full Growth of the Plot, which we may properly call the Counter-turn, deftroys the Expectation, and embroils the Action in new Difficulites, leaving you far diftant from the Hopes, in which it found you. 4 thly, The Difcovery or Unravelling of the Plot, where you fee all things fettling again on the fame Foundation. The Obftacles, which hindered the Defign or Action of the Play, once removed, it ends with the Refemblance of Truth, and Nature, and the Audience are fatisfied. with the Conduct of it.

But our Plays being divided into Acts, I fhall add a Word about them. There muft be no more, nor lefs, than five Acts; this is a Rule of 1700 Years ftanding at the leaff.

The firt contains the Matter or Argument of the Fable, with the fhewing the principal Characters. The fecond brings. the Affairs of Bufinefs into Act. The third furnihes Obftacles and Difficulties. The fourth either fhews how thofe Difficulties may be removed, or finds new in the Attempt. The fifth. puts an End to them all, in a fortunate Difcovery, and fettles all as it fhould be.

## of TRAGEDY.

o$N E$ only Action, thai's entire and grave, And of jult Length, the Tragic Mufe muft have The Cbject of its artful Imitation, And that rvithout the Help of the Narration, By the flrong Pow'r of Terror and Compalion, All Sorts of Pa. Sion perfictly rcfines, And what in us to Pafion elfe inclines.

As all other Farts of Poetry are Imitations, fo is Tragcdy; for the bent Criticks define it thus: - " Tragady is the Imitation " of one grave and intire Action, of a juft Length, and which " without the Affiltance of N'arration, by the Means of Terror " and Compaffion, perfectly refines in us all Sorts of Pafions, " and whatever is like them."

Thus 'raged's is the Imitation of fome one Action, and rot of a!l the Actions of a Man's Life ; and 'tis equally plain, that there is ro room for any thing in this Poem (the moft ufeful and noble of all Poefy) but what is grave and ferious. This Action muft be intive; it muft have a Brginning, Miiddle, and Ind. The Beginaing is that before which we have no need to fuppofe ary neceffary Caufe of it ; the Middle is all that this Begin. ing produces: and the End is that afier which nothing i, neceflarly fippofed to compleat the Action. It muft be of a jutt L.ngth, that ic, it mut not be fo long as that of an Heroic I vem, nor to thort as a fingle Fable. The excluding Narration, and the confring it to Terror and Compaffion, diftinguifhes it from an Heroic Poem ; which may be perfect without them, arid employs fidmiration. By the refning the Paffions, we mean not Extirpation, but the reducing them to juft Bounds and Moderation, which makes them as ufeful as neceflary. For by fhewing the Miieries that attend the Subjection to them, it teaches us to watch them more narrowly; and by feeing the g eat Miifortunes of othere, it lefiens our own,' either prefent or to come.

> There is no Adficn that does not procecd From Manneri, and the Sentiments inded. And th.refore thefe, in this Jublimer Art Of Tragedy, muf clain efential Part.

As $\tau_{\text {ragedy }}$ is the Imitation of an Action, not of Inclinations or Habits, fo there is no Aition that does not proceed from the Manners and the Sentiments; and therefore the Manners and the Sentiments are effential Parts of Tragedy, for nothing but thefe can diftinguifh an Action. The Mannuers form, and the Sentiments explain it, difcovering its Caufes and Motives.

> All Tragedies four Parts difininq do claim, Fable the firft, and Principal rwe name; Tbe Manners and the Sentiments fucced, And the laft Place to Diction is decreed.

There is no Subject of a Tragedy where thefe following Parts are not to be found ; the Fable, the Manners, the Sentiments, and the Dizion. Some add the Decoration, becaufe that denotes the Place; and every Action requiring fome Place, the Decoration is, in fome meafure, the Object of the Poet's Care, that the Place may be proper for the Reprefentation. The chief and much moft confiderable, is the Fable, or the Compofition of the Incidents, which form the Subject of the Trageay. For Action being the Object of the lmitation of this fort of Poetry, muft be the moft confiderable ; but the Action confifts of the Incidents and their Conduct, which is the Fable: The Fable muft be the moft confiderable ; and all the Beauties of Manners, Dicion, and Sentiments, can't make amends for the Defects of this. The general End that Markind propofe, is to live happily, but to live happily is an Action; for Man is either happy or miferable by his Aciions, not Manners. Tragedy only adds them for the Production of Aciions. The Fable being therefore the End of Iragcdy, as being the Imitation of the Aciion, it mult be of the greatent Importance; for fo is the End in all Things.

The Manners next, by the Dramatic Larws, As thiy of Action are the Source and Caufe, Demand our Study, and our utmofi Care; IYy thofe the Perfons their Dfigigs declare, And from each other befl difinguuilid are.

The Mamrs are the molt confiderable next to the Fable: For as Tragidy is the Imitation of an Action, fo there are no Actions without the Morners, as no Effect without a Caufe. The Manners ditinguih Character from Character, and difcover the Inclinations of the Speaker, and what Part, Side, or Courfe he will take on any important and diftcult Emergence, and let us know how he will behave himfelf before we fee the

Actions.

Actions. If Pride, Choler, Piety, or the like, be the Manners of the Hero, we may know that he will follow the Dictates of the prevailing Paffion of his Charater.

> The Sentiments obtain the ncxt Degree,
> Tho leaft in Excellence of all the Three;
> The Sentiments the Manners do odeclare,
> But muft with Truth and Likelihood cobere.

The Sentiments are next in degree of Excellence to the Fable and the Manners: For thefe are for the Manners, what the Manners are for the Fable. The Altion cannot be jufly imitated without the Manners, nor the Manners without the Sentiments. In thefe we muft regard $\mathcal{T}_{\text {ruth }}$ and $V$ crijimilitude: As when the Poet makes a Madmann fpeak juft as a Madman does ; or as it is probable he wou'd do. For this fee King Lear in Shakefpear.

> The Diction muff the Sentiments unfold, Which in their proper Language mulf be told.

The Diction or Language of Tragedy can demand but the fourth I lace in the effential Parts, and is of the leaft Importance of any of them ; yet mult peculiar Care be likewife taken of this, that every Paffion fpeak in fuch Words and Expreffions as are natural to it.

Having thus feen the feveral Parts of Tragcidy, and their Excellence in regard of each other, we fhall now proceed to give Directions neceffary to the making each of them perfect, and to the knowing when they are fo in what we read.
Firfit on a Plot employ thy careful Thougbts,
And guard tbys lff againft its ufual Faults:
Turn it with Time a thoufand Jiv'ral Ways;
That (as it ought) gives fure Succefs to Plays.

As the Plot, or Fable, is the chief Thing in Tragedy, fo our firt and principal Care ought to be employ'd in contriving this Part with that Care, that each may produce and depend upon the former. This Part being performed with Skill, has given Succefs to thofe Flays which have been defective in all the Qther Parts.

> Btfods the main Defign compos'd with Art, Each moving Scene muft bave a Plot apart. Contrive each little Turn, mark ev'ry Place: As Painters firf chalk out the future Face.
> $\gamma_{\text {et }}$ be rot fondly your own Slave for this,
> But charge bereafter wibat appears amis.

As the main Plot, or Fable, confifts of many Incidents or Scenes, the Poet muft make a Draught of thefe before he begins to write; which will appear more plainly when we come to difcourfe of the Incidents. In this Scheme we muft mark all the fine Touches of the Paffions, and all the admirable Turns that produce them. But when we come to write, we may difcover Faults in the firft Draught, which we muft correct.

> Each Tragic Action muft be both entire, And of that Length which Tragedies require. Beginning it muft bave, and Middle, and End, Each to produce the other fill muff tend. The Caufe of Undertaking and Defign Of Action, to Beginning reve confire; All the Effects and Obftacles rue find In th' Execution, to Middl' are affign'd. Tb' unrav'ling and difolving of the Jame, With fufice we the End do always name.

Every Action, that is fit for a Tragic Imitation, ought not only to be intire, but of a juft Length; that is, mult have a Beginning, Middle, and End. This diftinguifhes it from momentaneous AEtions, or thofe which happen in an Inftant,' without Preparation or Sequel, which, wanting Extenfion, may come into the Incidents, not build a Fable on. The Caufe or Defign of underftanding an AEFion is the Biginning; and the Effects of thofe Caufes, and the Difficulties we find in the Execution, are the Middle: The unravelling and diflolving thefe Difficulties, is the End.

An Explanation of this will beft appear by an Example, which we will take from the Plot of the Antigone of Sophocles. On the Death of the two Brothers, Eteocles and Polynice, Creon, who fucceeds them in the Kingdorn of Thebes, prebibits the burying the Body of the latter, becaufe be invadud bis Nati: e Country with Foreign Troops. Tbis Decree makes Antigone, who was betrothed to Hæinon the Son of Creon, bury bim, is difcorvered, and condemned to be bury'd alive: Creon could not be brought to relent by Hrmon, or Tirefias; and fo Hænson kiils bimfelf with ber: This makes Eurydice, bis Mother, deftroy birSelf; and Creon, in thefe Miferies fecing the fatal Confequence of bis Decree, repents too late, and becomes miferable.

The Beginning of this Action has no neceffary Confequence of the Death of Polyniccs, fince that Decree might have been let alone by Creon, tho' it could not have been without that Death ; fo that the Agion naturally begins with that Decree.

The Middle is the Effects produced by that Decree, the Death of Antigone, Hamon, and Lurydice, which produce the End by breaking the Obftinacy of Creon, and making him repent, and miferable. Thus the Poet canrot begin or end his Altion where he pleafes, (which is the rault of moft of our old Ilays) if he would marage his Sulject with true Oeconomy and Beauty. For there muft be the Caufe or liiginning; the Effict of that Cauf, which is naturally the Middit; and the enravelling or finifhing of it, which is the End produced by the I! iddle, as that by the Etgiming; the Middle fuppofes fomethirg before it, as its Coufe, and following, as its Efict; the li,ginning fuppofes nothing before, and the End nothing to follow, to make the Attion complete.

> The Unities of Action, Time, and Place, If ruell obforv'd, give Plays a ferfees Grace.

The Subject of a $\mathcal{T}_{\text {ragedy }}$ mould be of a juft Extent, neither too large nor too narrow, but that it may be feen, viewed, and confidered at once, without confounding the Mind, which if too little or narrow, it will do; nor make it wander to diftract it, as it will do if it be too large and exienfive. That is, the Piece ought to take up juft fo much iine as is neceffary or probable for the introducing the Incidents with their juft Prsfaration. For to make a good Tragedy, that is, a juif Imitotion, the Action imitated onght not, in Reality, to be longer than the Refrejentation; for this males the Likene/s greater, and by confequence more perfect. But fince there are Acrions of ten or twelve Hours, we muft bring fome of the Incidents into the Intervals of the Aits, the better to deceive the Audience.

Next, the Unity of Aczion is fuch, that it can never be broke without deftroying the Poem. This Unity is not preterved by reprefenting of feveral Actions of One Man; as of fuhus Cefir, of Antbory, or Erutus; for then the Poet has no Neafon to begin at any certain Place; and Shakelpear might have brought his Play down to the laft Emperor of Rome, as well as to the Death of Brutus.

But this Unity of Agion does not exclude the various UnderAcions, which are perfelly dependent on, ard contribute to the chief, ard which without it are nothing. Nor does this Exception make for our filly Under. Plots, which have nothing to do with the main Defign, but is another $1 / t:$ as 'a fius and Eurydice in Dryden's Ocdipus, which are al o ri al.le. In the Orpban the fition is One, and every Part or Uu, dir-Agion

## The Art of POETRY.

carries on and contributes to the main Aczion or Subject. Thus the different Actions of different Men are not more diftinclly different Actions than thofe of One Man at different Times. Whatever can be tranfpofed, or left out, without a fenfible Maim to the AEtion, has nothing to do there.

> The Tragic Perfon is no certain Man, The Bard PARTICULARS wou'd draw in wain;
> For to no Purtoge is that ufclefs Draught, By which no moral Lefons can be tougbt.
> Great Homer, in th' Achilles, whom be drew,
> Sets not that one fole Pcrjon in our Vierv;
> But in that Perfon to explain did cbufe
> What Violence and Anger wou'd produce.

The Poet is not obliged to relate Things juft as they happened, but as they might, or ought to have happened: That is, the Action ought to be gencial, not particular; for particular Actions can have no general Infu:nce. Thus Homer, in Acbilles, intends not the Defcription of that one indiatidual Man, but to fhew what Violnce and Anger would make all Men of that Character fay or do: And therefore Acbillis is a general and allegoric Perfon, and to ought all Tragic Letercis to be, where they fhould fpeak and act neceffarily, or probabiy, as all Men fo qualified, and in thofe Circumitances would do; differing from Hifory in this, that Trogedy confults not the Truth of what any particular Perfon did fay or do, but only the general Nature of fuch Qualities, to produce fuch $W$ ords, and fuch Actions. 'Tis true, that Tragedy fometimes makes ufe of true Names, but that is to give a Credibility to the Action, the Perfons ftill remaining general. The Poet may take Incidents from Hiftory and Matter of Fact, but then they muft have that Probability and Likelihood which Art requires; for there are many Actions which have really been done, which are not probable; and then Hiftory will not juftify the Poet in making ufe of them.

The Tragic Action, to be juft and right,
Both Terror and Compafion muft excite.
The Action that muft be imitated in Trageny, berides the former Properties, muft excite Tcror and Compalion, and not Admiration; which is a Paffion too weak to have the Effect of Tragedy. Terror and Pity are raifed by Surprize, when Events are produced out of Caufes contrary to our Expectation; that $\mathrm{is}_{2}$ _-when the Incidents produce each pther,
other, and net merely follow after each other. For if it do not neceffarily follow, it is no Incident for Tragedy.

> Two Kinds of Fables Tragedy allows, The fimple this, the implex that avorws. The fimple does no Change of Fortune know', Or in the End does no Difcov'ry Bown;
> The implex either one or both contains,
> So greater Bcauty and Perfection gains.

As the Actions which Tragedy imitates, fo are all its Fables, fimple or implex. The fimple is that, in which there is neither a Cbange of the Condition or State of the principal Perfon or Perfons, or a Difcovery; and the unravelling of the Plot is only a fingle Paffage of Agitation, of Trouble, or Repofe and Tranquillity. The implex Fable in which the principal Perfon or Perfons have a Change of Fortune, or a Difiovery, or both; which is the moft beautiful and leaft common. In the Antigone of Sophoclis, the Argument of which we have before given you, there is the Change of the Fortune of Cricon, and that produced by the Effect of his own Decree and Obftinacy; but in his Oedifus and Elecira there is both a Change and Dijcovery; the firft to Mijery, the latter to Rerocnge and Happinifs. Oidifus, with his Change of Fortune, difcovers, that he is the Son of Focafta and Laius, and fo is guilty of Incelt and Parricide. But Electra difcovers Orefes to be her Brother, and by that changes her Miferies into Happinefs, in the Revenge of her Father's Death. In the Iphigenia in Tauris of Euripides (written by Mr. Dennis in Englifß) 1,kigenia making a Difcovery that Orefles is her Erother, changes both their Fortunes from Defpair to a happy Efcape from the barbarous Altars of Taurica. But the Cbange can neither be neceffary nor probable (without which Qualities it is of no Value) if it be not the natural Refult, or at leait the Effect of the foregoing Actions, or of the Subject itfelf. As in Oedipus: For $\notin g$ gion, who comes to bring him agreeable News, and which ought to have delivered him from thofe Apprehenfions into which the Fear of committing Inceft with his Mother had thrown him, does quite the contrury, in difcovering to him who and what he is. TheFact is thus, - A Melenger from Corinth brings Oedifus Word of the Death of Polybus, and invites bim to take Pofiblion of that Kingdom; but ke, afraid of committing the Inceft the Oracle had told bim of, believing Polybus to be bis Fatber, declared be would never yo to the Place where bis Mother was. The Corinthian told bim that be did not know bimfelf, ald fo di-
fiurb'd bimfelf about notbing; and so thinking to do Oedipus a fignal Piece of Service, by delivering bint from bis Fears, informs bim, that Polybus and Merope were not bis Father or Mother ; which began the Difcovery, that calt him into the moft terrible of his Misfortunes.

> What in the Drama we D I SCOV'RY call, May in the Notion of Remembrance fall. For, by remembring, the cbief Perfons morve From Ignorance to Knowledge, which or Love Or Hatred in them alvays muft produce, And all their Happiness or Mifcry induce.

Difcovery being here ufed for a Term of Art, and therefore fignifying more than in its vulgar Acceptation, you muft know, that here it means a Difcovery, which is made by the principal Characters ; by remembring or calling to Mind either one another, or fomething of Importance to their Change of Fortune, and is thus defined. Ibe DISCOVERY is a CHANGF, which bringing us from Ignorance to Knowuledge, produces either LOVE or HATRED in thofe whom the Poet bas a Defign to make either Happy or Miferable. That is, it ought not to be in vain, by leaving thofe who remember one another in the fame Sentiments they were in before; it muft produce either Love or Hatred in the principal, not inferior Characters. But thofe Difcoveries which are immediately followed by the Change of Fortune, are the moft beautiful ; as that of Oedipus, for the Difcovery of his being the Son of Jocafta and Laius, immediately makes him of the mof happy, the moft miferable. And this Catafirophe or ending, which has a Cbange of Fortune immediately after the $D i$ icovery, will always produce Terror and Pity in the End and Aim of Tragedy. We fhall fay fomething of the feveral Sorts of Difcoveries, after the Manners, on which they have fome Kind of Dependence.

> Reject that vulgar Error, wwibich afpears So fair, of making perfect Characters,
> Tbere's no fuch T bing in Nature, and you'll draw A faultlefs Moniter, which the World ne'er faw: Some Faults muft be, which bis Misfortunes dresu,
> But fucb as may deferve Compalfion too.

The next Thing which we are to confider, are the Cbarakiers. Thofe which are to compofe a perfect $\mathcal{T}_{\text {ragredy, }}$ muft be neither perfecily virtuous and innocent, nor fcandaloufly wicked. To make a perfectly virtuous and innocent Character unfortunate, excites
excites Horror, not Terror, nor Conipacfion. To punin the Wicked, gives indeed a fort of Satisfacion, but ne:ther Terror nor Pity; which are the Bufinefs of Tragidy. For what we never think ourfelves capable of committing, we car: never pity. But the Cbaracers of a perfect Tragedy thouid be the Medium between both, but rather good than bad Thus the Dramatic Per fon fhould not draw his Misfortunes on himfeif by fur rhetige Wickednefs, or Crimes notorioufly fcorrdilsus, bat by involuntary Faults, that is, Frailties proceeding from tie Excei's of Paffion. We call them iavoluntary Faults, which are committed either by Ignorance, or Imprudence againft the naiural Temper of the Man, when he is tranfported by a riol at Pafion, which he could not fupprefs; or by fome greater or external Force, in the Execution of fuch Ordere, which he neither could nor ought to difobey. The Fault of $O$ dipus is of the firit Sort, tho he be allo guilty of the fecond. That of Ihyeftes, in the murdering his Nephews, of the fecond, viz. a violent Paffion of Anger and Revenge. That of Crefits, in the killing of his Mother for the Death of his Father, of the third; being ordered to do it by the Oracle of the Gods. 'Tis true our Oedipus is made forereignly virtuous ; but all that So, bocles gives him, are Courrage, good Fortune, and Fudgment, Qunlities equally common to the good and the bad, and to thofe who are made up of Virtues and Vices. Sopbocles has indeed fhewn him a Character that has a Mixture of Virtue and Vice. His Vices plainly are, Pride, Violence, Anger, Rafhnefs and Imprudence; fo that it is not for his Parricide and Incelt that he is punifhed, for they were the Effect of his Curiofity, Rafinefs, Pride, Anger, and Violence, and the Punifhment of them. And thofe are the Vices Sopbocles would correct in us by his Example.

> Two Ser'ral Ends the Fable may obtain, Eitber the Perfons bafty may remain, Or fink bencath the cruel Hand of Fate; Or clfe it may obtain a double State.
> Good for the Good, and Bad for those whoo err, The fingle and unbatfy fill prefer.

The Fable may have either a fingle End cr Cataftrophe, or one that is double; one that is happy, or one that is unhappy ; or one that is happy for the Good, and unhappy for the Guilty: But that which is beft, is the fingle and unhappy, for that will moft likely produce Terror and Pity.

As Incidents the Fable do compofe, So fill rive muft confder majf in Thore
Which Pity will, and Terror moft dicclofe.
All fuch Events'twixt Friends are only found,
From Otbers notbing Tragic can redound.
IWben the Friend's Hand againft a Friend is arn' $d$,
We find our Hearts on eitber Side alarn'd.
Thus ruben wee fee the Son's unballow'd Knife
Witb impious Rage afault a Parent's Life;
Wben Ignorance or Rage the Parents move,
To point the Stecl againft the Child they love,
Fear and Compaffion wo'ry Breaft weill prave.

Terror and Compafion being the chief End of Tragedy, and that being only produced by the Fable, let us confider what Incidents (for fuch compofe every Fable) are the moft productive of thofe two Paffions.

All Incilents are Events that happen between fome-body or other ; and all Lucidints that are terrible, or pitiful, happen between Friends, Relations, or the like; for what happens betwixt Enemies, can have no Tragical Effec. Thus, when a Brother is going to kill (or kills) a Brotber; a Fatber, his Son, or a Son his Futber; the Motbor the Son, or the Son the Motber; it is very terrible, and forces our Compaffion. Now all thefe Actions or Events may be thes divided, into thofe which the Actor performs with an intire Kiowledge of what he does, or is going to do; as Midea, when fhe kill'd her Children; or Oriftes, when he kill'd his Mother, and the like: Or thofe, where the Actor does not know the Guilt of the Crime he commits, or is going to commit, till after the Deed is done, when the Relation of the Perfons they have deffroy'd is difcover'd to them. Thus 'Tel gonus did not know 'twas his Father Ulyfes whom he mortally wounded, 'till he had done it. The third Sort of Incidents, and which is the moft beautiful, is when a Man or Woman is going to kill a Relation, who is not known to him or her, and is prevented by a Difcovery of their Friendhip and Relation. 'The fiyt is the worf, and the laft beft ; the fecond next in Excellence to the third, becaufe here is nothing flagitious, and inhuman, but the Sin of Ignorance; for then the Difcovery is wonderfully pathetic and moving; as that of Oedifus killing his Father Laius.

In Manners we four $\mathscr{Q}_{\text {ualities do See; }}$
Thiy muff good, like, converient, equal be.
The Manners fully mark'd, we bere call good,
When by their Words their Bent is underftood;

What Refolutions they wiill furcly take, What they will Seck, and rubat they will forfake;
LIKENESS to weill-known Charactcrs relates,
For Hiftory or $\mathcal{Q}^{\text {uality }}$ abates.
Convenient Manncrs wee thofe ever call,
Which to cath Rank, Age, Sex, and Climate fall.
Thofe Manners Poets always equal name,
Which thro' the Drama always are the fame.
We come now to the Manners, which are in the next degree of Excellence to the Fable. The Manners diftinguifh the Cbaracters; and if the Manneris be ill expreffed, we can never be acquainted with them, and confequently never be terrified by forefeeing the Dangers they will produce to the Dramatic Perfons, nor melt into Pity by feeing their Sufferings. All Dramatic Perfons therefore ought to have the Manners; that is, their Difcourfe ought to difcover their Inclinations, and what Refolutions they will certainly purfue. The Manners therefore flould have four Qualities, and they muft be, (I.) good; (2.) like; (3.) convenient; (4.) equal. Good is when they are mark'd; that is, when the Difcourfe of the Perfons makes us clearly and diftinctly fee their Inclinations, and what good or evil Refolutions they are certain to take. Like only relates to known and publick Perfons, whofe Characiers are in Hiftory, with which our poetic Characters muft agree; that is, the Poet mult not give a Perfon any Quality contrary to any of thofe which Hiftory has given him. We muft remember, that the evil Qualities given to Princes, and great Men, ought to be omitted by the Poet, if they are contrary to the Charilter of a Prince, छ$\%$. But the Vertues oppofite to thofe known Vices ought not to be impofed, by maling him generous or liberal in the Poem, who was avaritious in the Hillory. The Manners muft likewife be convenient; that is, they muft be agreeable to the Age, Sex, Rank, Climate, and Condition of the Perfon that has them: For this you may look back to what is quoted out of my Lord Rof commen's Tranflation of Horace, in what we have faid of Comedy. You muft indeed fudy Mankind, and from them draw the Proprieties of Characters or Manners: It would be well if you ftudied Moral Pbilofpby, to lead you into the Study of Mankind.

They muft be eqial; that is, they muft be conftant, or confiftent, through the whole Character; or the Variety of Inequality of the Manners (as in Nature, fo in this Draught) mult be equal. The Fearful mult not be brave, not the Brave fearful:
fearful: The Avaritious mult never be liberal, and the like. Shake/pear is excellent in this Dittinction of Characters, and he fhould be thoroughly ftudied on this Head.

> One Quality efential does remain, By rubich the greateft Beauty they obtain. The Manners muft fo regularly fiou,
> That to Necefity their Birth they owe.
> No vicious Quality muft be their Lot,
> But what is necdful to promote the Plot.

Befides the four Qualities we have mentioned, there is a fifth effential to their Beauty, that is, that they be neceffary: That is, that no vicious Quality or Inclination ought to be given to any Poetic Perfon, unlefs it appears to be abfolutely neceffary, or requifite to the carrying on of the Action; as all thofe mentioned in Oedipus were, to the promoting that Fable.

> Three forts of Difcoveries are found In tbe Dramatic Poets to abound: The firlt by certain Marks the Bus'nefs do, Whether from Chance or Nature they accrue; As Scars, or Moles, that in the Body lie, Or certain Tokens which thofe Marks fupply.

Having run through the Manners, I now return to the Difcoveries, becaufe (well manag'd) they add a wonderful Beauty to the Piece, tho' it is indeed a Beauty almof intirely unknown on our Stage. The firft fort of Difcovery is by certain Marks in the Body, either natural or accidental. Thus Ulyfes having formerly, before the Trojan War, received a Wound in his Thigh, by a Bcar, in the Mountain of Parnafus, when he returned incognito home, the Nurfe who wafn'd his Legs difcovered him by the Scar of that Wound. Tho' thefe be the leaf beautiful Difcoveries, yet they may be ufed with more or lefs Art: As that we have juit mentioned of Uli.Ges was artful and fine; but when he is fain to thew it himfeif to the Shepherds, to confirm them that he is Uly Ves, it is lefs artful.

The fecond Way is by Tokens; as, the Cafket of Things which the Prieft had found with Ion, when he was expofed, difcovers Creufa, whom he was going to kill, to be his Mother. And Orefies, when he had found out Iphigenia by her Letter, which the was going to fend to him by Pylades, is fain to tell particular Tokens in her Father's Palace, to make himfelf be believed to be Oreffes. For thefe Tokens are no greas
matter of Invention, fince the Poot might have made them twenty other Ways.

Third from Remembrance takes its pleafing Rife,
And forces the Difcov'ry from the Eyes.
The fourch fort we in Reafoning do find,
Which brings the unknown Object to the Mind.
Thus when Oreftes farw the fatal Knife
With impious Blow direeted to bis Life,
Tbus to the Goddefs in Defpair did call,
Ab! muft I then like Iphigenia fall?
The third fort of Difcovery is what is made by Remembrance; that is, when the Sight, or Hearing, of any thing makes us remember our Misfortunes, $\xi^{\circ}$ c. Thus when $U$ yygles heard Denvodocus fing his Actions at Troy, the Memory of them fruck him, and drew Tears from his Eyes, which difcovered him to Alcinous. The fourch fort of Difcoveries are made by Reafoning; as Ippisenia in Efchylus, Hitber is a Man come like me; no body is like me but Oreftes; it muft therefore be Oreftes. And in the Iphigenia of Polyides, a Greek Poet, Orefes kneeling at the Altar, and juf opening his Bofom to receive the facred Knife, cries out, 'Tis not Jufficient that my Sifer bas been facrificed to Diana, but I muft be fo too.

The fineft fort is that which arifes from the Subjeat, or In. cidents of the Fable ; as that of Oedipus from his exceffive Cu riofity, and the Letter that $I_{p}$ bigenia fent by Pylades; for it was very natural for her on that Occafion to fend that Letter. We have been forced to make mention of Greck Plays, becaufe we have not yet had any thing of this kind, but in thofe taken from thofe Poets; but our Oedipus and Iphigenia will fhew this in fome meafure.

> Tbe Sentiments bere next afume their Place, To rwbich to give their juft and proper Grace, The Poot fill muft look rvithin to find
> The fecret Turns of Nature in the Mind.
> He nuft be fad, be proud, and in a Storm, And to cach Cbaracter bis Mind conform:
> The Proteus muft all sbafes all Paffions wiwar,
> If be rwou'd bave juft Sentiments appear;
> Tbink not at all where finini.g Thougbts to place,
> But rwbat a Man wou'd fiy in Juch a Cafe.

Having done with the Fable, Incidents and Manners, we com now to the Sentiments.

The Poet here muft not be content to look into his Mind, to fee what he himfeif would think on fuch an Occafion, but ne muit put himelelf into the Fafion, Quality, and Temper of the Character he is to draw ; that is, he mult affume thofe Manners he gives each Dramatic Ferfon, and then fee what Sentiments or 'Thoughts fuch an Occafion, Paffion, or the like, will produce. And the Poet muft change the Habit of his Mind, and affume a new Ferfon, as a different Character or Perfon fpeaks, or he will make all fpeak alike, without any Diftinction of Character. But this can't be done, but by a ftrong Imagination, and great Genius.

We fhall fay no more of the Sentiments here, becaufe they are to be learnt from the Art of Rbetoric; for the Sentiments being all that make up the Difcourfe, they conifit in proving, refuting, exciting, and expreffing the Paffions, as Pity, Anger, Fear, and all the others, to raife or debafe the Value of a Thing. The Reafons of Pocts and Orators are the fame, when they would make Things appear worthy of Pity, or terrible, cr great, or probable ; tho' fome Things are render'd fo by Art, and fome by their own Nature.

> Wife Nature by V'ariety does pleafe,
> With diff"ring Paffons in a diff'ring Drefs: Bold Anger in rough baugbty Words appears, Sorrorw in bumble, and difolves in Tears. Make not your Hecuba with Fury rage, And Bociv a canting Spirit on the Stage: There froin Expre $\sqrt{z o n s}$, and affected Noife, Shew like fome Pedant that declaims to Boys. In Sorrow you muft fijter Metbods kesp, And, to cxite our 7 ears, your filf muft weep. Thofe noify Words whbich in ill Plays are found, Come not from Hearts that are in Sadnefs drown'd. To pleafe, you muft a bundred Cbanges try; Sometimes be bumble, then muft foar on bigh; In nat'ral I bougbts muft ev'ry-where abound, Be eafy, pleafunt, folid, and profound. To thefe you miuf furprizing Touches join, And fieew us a nerv Wonder in each Line.

The Diction, or Language, is that which next comes under our Confideration ; and tho it is confeffed, that it is of the leaft Importance of all thofe Parts, yet when the Elocution is proper and elegant, and varies as it ought, it gives a great, and advantageous Beauty to a Play; and therefore we will not pafs
it over in filence. Some have been betray'd by their Ignorance of Art and Nature, to imagine that Milton's Stile, becaufe noble in the Epic, was beft for Tragedy, never reflecting that he himfelf varied his Stile in his Sampfon Agoniffes. If you would therefore merit Praife, you muft diverfify your Stile inceffantly; too equal and too uniform a Manner then is to no purpofe, and inclines us to fleep. Rarely are thofe Authors read, who are born to plague us, and who appear always whining in the fame ungrateful Tone. Happy the Man, who can fo command his Voice, as to pafs without Conftraint from that which his gavc, to that which is moving, and from that which is pleafant, to that which is ferocre and Colemn. Every Pafion has its proper Way of fpeaking, which a Man of Genius will eafily derive from the very Nature of the Iaffion he writes. Anger is proud, and utters haughty Words, but fpeaks in Words lefs fierce and fiery when it abates. Griff is more humble, and fpeaks a Language like itfelf, dicjected, plain, and forrowful.

Soliloquies bad need be very few,
Extremely ßort, and Spoke in Paffion too,
Our Lavers talking to themfelves, for want
Of otbrrs, make the Pit their Confidant.
Nor is the Matter monded yet, if thus
Tbry truft a Friend only to tcll it us.
Ib' Occafion foou'd as naturlly fall,
As when Bellario confifis all.
There is nothing more common in our Plays, tho' nothing fo inartificial and unnatural, as the Yerfons making long Speeches to themfelves, only to convey their Intentions and Actions to the Knowledge of the Audience: But the Poct fhould take Care to make the Dramatic Lerfons have fuch Confidants, as may neceffarily fhare their inmoft Thoughts, and then they would be more juitly, and with more Nature, convey'd to the Audience. A lively Picture of the abfurd Characters and Conduct of our Plays, take from the Duke of Buckingham's Efay on Poctry; which being in Verfe may be got by Heart, and remembered, and fo always about you, for the Teft of any new Hero.

> Firft a Soliloquy is calmly made,
> Where ev'ry Reafon is exactly weigh'd;
> Which once perform' $d$, meft opportunely comes
> A Hero frigbted at the Noile of Drums, For ber frwett fakt, whom at firft Sight be loves, And all in Metaphor bis Pa Jion froves.

> But fome fad Accident, tho' yet unknorwn, Parting this Pair, to leave the Srwain alone;
> He frait grows jealous, yet we know not why, And, to oblige bis Rival, needs will die: But firft be makes a Speech, wherein be tells The ablent Nymph how much bis Flame excels; And yet bequeaths her generoufy now To that dear Rival, rebom be does not known; Who frait appears, (but, who can Fate withfiand?) Too late, alas! to bold bis bafiy Hand, That juft has giv'n bimelelf a cruel Stroke: At which this very Stranger's Heart is broke. He more to bis nerw Friend than Mifirefs kinds, Moft fadly mourns at being left beloind; Of fuch a Death prefers the pleafing Charms To Love, and living in bis Lady's Arms.

## Of the EPIC or HEROIC POEM.

An Epic Poem is a Difcourfe invented with Art, to form the. Manners by Infiructions, difguifed under the Allegory of an Action asbich is important, and which is related in Verfe in a delightful, probable, and wonderful Manner.

That is, it is a Fable which confilts of two Parts; firf of Truth, its Foundation, and Fiction which difguifes that Truth, and gives it the Form of a Fable. The Truth is the Moral, and the Fiztion of the Action that is built upon it. Its Importance diftinguifhes it from the Comidy, and its Relation from the Tragic Actions. The Aition here, as in Tragedy, muft be one, and all its Efifodes or Under-Actions are to be dependent on the main Aciion. It muft be intire, that is, have a Beginning, Middle and End. It muft have the Manners, that is, the Characters muft be diftinguifhed, and Manners muft be neceffary, and have thofe Qualities inferted already in Tragedy. The Incidents ought to be delightful, and to that End various, and rightly difpofed and furprizing. The Epifodes fhould be pathetic. The Sentiments will fall under the fame Rules as thofe of Tragedy, but the Diction is allow'd to be more lofty and more figurative, as being a Narration, and having Admiration, not Terror and Pity, for its End.

We need fay no more of this Pcem, the Rules at large would be too extenfive for this Treatife, and but of little Ufe; the Poem being not to be undertaken but by a Mafer, and by a $G$ crius that does not appear once in a thoufand Years.
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## The Art of PERSUASION.

"RHETORIC is the Faculty of difcovering what every Subject affords of Ufe to PEKSUASION. And as every Author muft invent or find out Arguments to make his Subject prevail, difpofe thofe Arguments, thus found out, into their proper Places, range them in their juft Order, and to the fame End give them thofe Embellifhments and Beauties of Language which are proper to each Subject; and, if his Difcourfe be to be deliver'd in Public, to utter them with that Decency and Force, which may ftrike the Hearer: So this Art of Perfuafion is generally divided into four Parts, Invention, Difpofition, Elocution or Language, and Delivery or Pronunciation.
§. 2. Invention is the finding out fuch Motives, Reafons or Arguments, as are adapted to perfuade, or gain the Adint or Belief of the Hearer or Reader.

Thefe Arguments may be divided into artificial and inartificial. The former are the proper Object of the Invention of him who writes; the latter the Author or Writer does not invent, but borrowing them from Abroad, applies and accommodates them to his Subject.

The artificial Arguments are of three Sorts, Realons or Argumentations, the Manners, and the Pafions. The firlt are to inform the Hearer's Judgment ; the fecond, to ingratiate with him, or win his Inclination or Farour ; the third, to move.

The Student, or Writer, is abundantly affifted in finding out thefe Arguments, Reafonings or Argumentations, by confulting fuch Heads, as contain, by general Confent, or the Rules of Art, fuch Proofs or Evidences under them.

Some
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Some of thefe Heads are general, others particular: The seneral contain thofe Propofitions which are common to all Subiects or Caufes; and thefe the Mafters of this Art have agreed to be two in Number, under thefe two Titles; the firft, Doflible or impofible; for whether we perfuade or difluade, traifs or difpraife, accufe or defend, we muft prove that the FaEt or Subject has been, or is pofible or impolible to be done.
'The other Title is great or fmall, and to this all Comparirons relate; as when we fhew, that this is more or lefs beneficial or pernicious, more ufeful or unufeful, more honoarable or difhonourable, more juft or equitable, unjuft and illegal, than that.

Every Subject has, befides thefe gencral Heads, common to all, others particular to themfelves, from whence all Arguments are drawn, which are peculiar to each Subject or Caufe; and for that Reafon vary according to the Variety of that.

All Cuufes, or Subjeits of any Weight, are recommended to the Reader or Heaver in one of thefe three Ways, vir. either by Perfucion or Difruafion; Praife or Difpraife; Ac ${ }^{2}-$ cufation or Difence. And indecd, a Man can Jcarce werite on airy Subject that requircs or falls under Perfuafion, but in a more or lefs important or extenfive Degree falls under one of thefe Heads.

But thele differ from each other, as in the Parts, and Office or Duty, as we have juft feen; and in the End doubly. (1.) In regard of the Tbing itfelf: (2.) and the Hearer. (1.) In regard of the Tbing; for the End propofed by the terfurfire or difuafire Difiourfe, is Frofit, Advantage or Benefit; by the Praife or Diftraife, Haricur; and Right and Equity, by the ficcufution or Difence. (2.) In regard of the Heaier, becaufe the Cbject of him who writes in Perfuafion or Difucjen, is Hope and Fear; in Praife and Difpraife, Pleafure and Deligbt; in Accufation and Defence, Climency or Severity.

The firft has to do with the future, or Time to come; the fecond moft commonly with the frefent; and the third with the paft. The Hearers, in the important Subjects, of each kind, may be confider'd thus: A Man, or Men of Power in a State, hear the $\mathrm{fr} f \mathrm{f}$; Men of Pleafure, or fuch as are chiefly led by the Ear, the fecond; and a Fudge, or Scnate, the laft.
§. 3. When the Defign of our Difcourfes is to ferfuade or difluadt, we muft confider the Matter or Sulject of our Difcourfe, or the Thing we would render eligible or odious; and
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thofe Heads from whence Motives, Reafons or Arguments are to be drawn, to bring about what we propofe.

The Subject, or Matter, is whatever can be done, either in a public or private Capacity. Thofe Subjects which have regard to a fublic Capacity, have been divided into five Heads. (1.) Furds, Rericnues, and Pecuniary Matters. (2.) Peace or War. (3.) Garrijons or Forces, which are the Defence of Countries. (4.) Trade in Commodities, exported or imported. And, (5.) the Propofal of Laws to be eftablifhed or abrogated.

Private Subjccts are whatever may be of Advantage or Detriment to Particulars.

The Heads from which Motives, Reafons or Arguments are to be drawn, under this Divifion of the Art of PERSUASION, are fix. The chief and moft peculiar to this, is the profitable or bencficial. It farther borrows from the next Kind, the bonourable; and from Accufation and Defence, the rightful or legal; and from the common or general Heads, the polable; and frames from all thefe a Judgment or Conjecture of the Event.
§. 4. We come now to Praife or Difpraife: And this fort of Difcourfe is threefold; the firft of Perfons real or imaginary ; the fecond of Facts or Deeds; and the third of Tbings.

In the Praife or Difpraife of real Perfons, the Order is either natural or artificial.

The artificial is, when, without regard to Time, we refer what we fay to certain Heads; as the Goods of Mind, of Body, or Fortune.

But the natural Method is, when we ftrictly confine ourfelves to the Obfervation of the Order of Hiftory. And this is divided into three Times. (1.) That which preceded the Birth of the Perfon, who is the Subject of our Praife or Dif. praife. (2.) The Time of his Life; and, (3.) What follows, his Death.

In the firl Time, we muft confider the Prognofics, Omens, Propbecies, and the like, if any fuch there were, and his $F a$ mily and Country; from which arifes a twofold Praife: For is thefe were really illuftrious, we fay, that fuch a Perfon has come up to the ancient Honour of his Country and Family; or has done Deeds worthy fuch a Country, and fuch a Family. On the contrary, if his Country or Family, or both, were obfcure, we muft fhew, that he has ennobled and raifed the Obfcurity of both, by his own proper Virtues and Worth.

In the next Time, which is that of his Life, we have four Things to confider ; firft, The Nature of his Body, as Health, Robufinefs, Activity, Beauty; and of his Mind, as Wit, Capacity, Fudgment, Memory, \&c. The fecond is his Fortune or Riches. The third his Education, Infitution, and Conduct of Life. The fourth his Actions, and their Circumftances and Rewards.

In the laft place, comes the Manner and Kind of his Death; the Funeral Pomp, and the like; chiefly the Lors, and the Grief that attended that Lofs; to which may be fubjoined a Confolation for it. This is the Praife of the Perfon, let it be of an Alexaider, a Marlborough, a Peterborough, or the like. From hence we may eafily gather the Iraife of what we call an imaginary Perfon, as of Bucepbalus, or the like ; but this is of little ufe, except a Sport of Fancy.

When we undertake to praife Deeds or Actions, we are to make ufe of thofe Heads of Arguments which are recurr'd to in the former Divifions; fince we praife that here, which we would recommend or perfuade in the other.

There are here eight Heads, from which we draw Materials of amplifying and fetting off the Subject ; for to the Praife of Deeds or AEtions, it very much imports, that the SubjeEZ of our Praife did it either firft, fingly or alone, or with ferw, or chieffy, or principally, or at a neceffary Exgence of Time, Place, or Functure of Affairs, or often: Or that the Action has a great Regard to the Benefit, Reputation, and Glory of his Country: or that he, firft of all Men, gained his Country new or frefl Honours, Dignity, Power, Ecc.

When Things are the Subject of our Praife, the Method is not the fame in all : For in the Praife of Countrics, Cities, and the like, we purfue very near the fame Method, as in that of Perfons; for that which in Men is Country and Family, is ins Places the Founders, and the Princes who have there governed; that which in the former is Beauty of Body, is in thefe the Situation: What in thofe is the Virtue of the Mind, is the Fertility, Wholefomnefs, rvife Laws, \&cc.

But in the Praife of otber Things, as of Arts and Sciences, we have recourfe to the fame Heads of Argument as in the Praife of Aczions. The Honourablenefs is thewn in the efficient or productive Caufes and Antiquity ; and the Utility or Benefit from the Eficit and Aim.
§. 5. The laft kind or fort of Subject of RHETORIC, is that which accufis or difends, and the Heads of Arguments or Proofs in this vary according to the Variety of the State
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of the Caufe, which is the Subject of our Acculation or Defence.

There are four States; the firf inquires whether it be fo or not; the fecond, what it is; the third, its Nature; the fourth, its Magnitude, or baw great any Crime is.

Every Speech, or Oration of this kind, has one or more of thefe States. If there be more than One, they muft either be of the fame Kind, as if they all inquire wobether it be or not ; or they muft be of feveral Sorts; as, one of the firft, and another of the fecond.
§. 6. There are three Heads of Argument which we confult for Proofs in the firft State, which we may call the State of Guefs or Prefuription, viz. The Will, the Pouver, and Signs or Tokens.

The Vill contains the Motives and Reafoning. The Motives contain the Afficions or Palions, which are urged as the efficient Ciufie. The Rcafoning is drawn from the final Caufes; as from the Hope of Advantage and the like: And to the Pcruer of Faculty, the Strength of Eody, the Inclinations of the Mind, Riches, Capacity, Time, Place, the Profpect or Hopes of concealing the Fact, when committed, relate. Some of the Signs or Tckens precede; fome attend, and fome follow the Fact.
§. 7. In the State, which inquires by wobat Name the Fact is to be called, we muft endeavour to confirm and make out our o:vn $D$ (finition of it, and confute that of the Adverfary. As when the Accufed thall acknowledge that he had taken fuch Goods from fuch a Place, but not fole them; that he fruck Juch a Ferfon indeed, but made no ADault and Battery. Or mould he confefs the Robbery, but deny the Sacrilege, and the like; in all fuch Cafes the Nature of the Fact mult be defined, and the Adverfary confuted on that Head by a Confirmation of your own Dcfinition.
§. 8. The Siate which inquires into the Nature of the Fact, Crime or Cauf., is twofold; the firft treats of ribat is to come, and is therefore proper to Perfucfion or Difiuafion. The latter of what is already done, and is therefore agreeable to Courts of Tudicature, or Accufation and Defence. That which is properly ju:idical, has its Place either in Fudgment, or before it; we divide the firft into Rational and Ligal: The Rational relates to the Fact, the Ligal to the Senfe of the Laws, Statutes, or written Authorities.

The Rational is divided into the Abfolute and Afrumptive. The Abfolute plainly and fimply defends the Fact; as when iwe allow it done, and affert it laudully done. The A Jumptive is when the Defence in itfelf is weak, but is fupported fore the Court, as not falling under its Jurifdietion, as on the Law.

Conceffon is ufually divided into Purgation and Deprecation. Purgation is when we defend not the Fact, but the Will or Intention; as when the Guilt or Fault is thrown on Neceffity, Fortune, Ignorance, or Inadvertence.

Deprecation is when we acknowledge the Fault, or plead Guilty, and fly to Pity and Mercy:
§.9. There are four States which inquire into the Nature of the Crime, or what it is. The firf is of the written Letter, and the Opinion or Intention; as, when the Writing is one Thing, and the Intention of the Writer another; and one infifts on the Letter, and the other on the Intention of the Writer. Here Equity and the Rigour of the Law contend.

The next is Reafoning, when from what is written, we gather another Thing that is not written, becaufe founded on the fame Reafon.

The third is the Contradiction of the Law; that is, when the Law is either contrary to itfelf, or to fome other Laws.

The fourth is the Ambiguity of the Difcourle; which arifes either from the Change of the Tone or Accent, or from the Divifion of the Diction; or the various Significations of the Words. To this we may add a Species of it, the examining the Force of the Word, which differs from the former State, which inquires into the Nature of the Fact or Crime, to fee what Name is its due. We may here farther confider Exceptions to the Court itfelf: Firt, the Perfon; as when he acts who ought not to act, or with him with whom he ought not. Secondly, the Place; as when the Action is brought in a wrong Court. Thirdly, to the Time; as when we fay, we could formerly have accufed one whom we cannot at this Time. And, Fourthly, to the Thing; as when we deny that the Indiament can be grounded on this Law, or requires fuch a Punifhment for fuch a Crime.
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§ 10. The State, which inquires into the Magnitude or Greatne/s of the Crime, examines and informs us what are the greateft and moft beinous Injuries, and which are the leaft. They are fhewn to be great, either becaufe done on very flight Grounds or Prozocations; or becaufe they have drawn on in their Confequences very great Damages; or becaufe he who received the Injury, was a Man of great Merit; or becaufe the Accufed was the firft who did commit it, or the only; or with a ferw; or often; or on Purpofe; or on many other Caufes.
§. I1. Having thus curforily run over the Artificial Arguments, we come now to thofe which are call'd Inartificial; which are fuch as are not deriv'd from this Art of $P_{e r} \cdot \sqrt{u} a f i o n$, but being preffed in from abroad, are, however, artificially treated of: And thefe in the Accufation and Defence, are five. (1.) The Larws. (2.) Witnefies. (3.) Contracts or Agreements. 14.) 2uffions. (5.) Oaths. From all which, according to the Nature of the Caufe, there are different Ways of arguing.
§. 12. We come now to the other Part of Rbetorical Invention, and that treats of the Pafions. The Paffions are Commotions of the Mind, by means of which thofe who are moved, judge differently from thofe who are not; and this is attended eitber owith Pleafure or Pain.

We muft neceffarily know three Things, to be able to move the Pafrons. Who, and to whom, and for what Caufes or Reafons Mer are ufed to be moved by this, or that Paffion.
\$. 13. Anger is a certain Defirc of Revenge, accompany'd with Pain rubich rve foem to ourfelves able to execute, ccius'd by a difagreeable Contsmpt of ourfelves, or of ours.

But this Contempt is of three Sorts: Defpifing, Incommoding, and Contumelious. The firf is a meer fimple defpifing ; the Others require that One oppofe Anotber, not to advantage bimfelf, but merely to oppofe the Oiber. And incommoding is in Detign, or by depriving him of, or hindering his Advantage ; but the End of Contumclies is Sbame and Ignoniny.
§. 14. The Oppofite of Anger is Lenity, which is the Ceafing, or Remiffon of Anger.
§. 15. Love is a Paffion by which we wifh heartily well to fome One, and would do all the Good we could to that One, not for our own fake, but for his, or hers.
§. 16. Hatred and Enmity are oppos'd to Lore and FriendSip: But thefe differ from Anger in many Particulars. We are angry on account of Things which relate to ourfelves; but we bate without any Regard to our own Affairs, Intereft or Advantage: Anger is directed to Particulars; but Hatred rages againft whole Kinds; Anger is a fhort-liv'd Fury, but Hatred and Ensuty are lafting. He that is angry, endeavours to give Pain to the Perfon with whom he is angry; for he would have bim feel Evil, on whbom he wreaks his Revenge, He that bates, ftudies to bring Damage or Ruin ; but is not in Pain whether his Enemy feel it, or not.
\$. 17. Fear is a certain Pain and Trouble of Mind, arifing from the Imagination of fome impending Evil, which may either be attended with Deftrucion, or Inconvenience, or Trouble.
§. 18. Boldne§s, or Confidence, is oppofite to Fear ; it is a Hope join'd with an Imagination of Advantages, as if they were near, and all Things and Perfons, that might frike us with Fear, being far remov'd, or not at all in Being.
§. 19. Shame is a Sort of Grief, Pain, or Trmble arifing from an Opinion of Infamy, when the Evils are either prefent, or $p \cdot \rho$, or inminernt. And Impudence is that by which we defifife fuch Thinge, and receive no Trouble from them.
§. 20. Farvour is that, by which any one is faid to do a Fawur or Grace to any one, who wants it ; not for any Profpect whatever, or that he may get any Thing by it, but that he whom he relieves, may receive a Benefit. Favour is amplified or inlarged three Ways ; from the Perfon who beftows the Favour, from the Perfon to whom it is done, and from the Thing or Gift itfelf. And the fame is leflened three Ways; firt, from the Effecis; fecondly, from the Gift itfelf, and its 2ualities; and, thirdly, from the Tokens and Signs of a Mind not truly benevolent.
§. 21. That Pity, which we here only define, is the Pain of Good Men, from the Opinion of an Evil that may bring Deftruction or Trouble to one that does not deferve it; and fuch as any one may think may befal himfelf or his, and that feems to be impending over him, or comirg upon him.
\$. 22. Indignation is a Pain or ?ruble for another's Succefs. or Haptinef', who does not feem to deferve it.

In this it differs from Pity; that proceeding from the Sight of the ill Fortune of the Good, this from the good Fortune of the Bad.
§. 23. Enry is a Pain or Grief on account of real Honours or Benefits another erjoys, or which we can't obtain, exifting between thofe who are alike in Temper or Nature; not that another has them, but that we have them not.

It is contrary to Contempt, with which any one is affected againt thofe, in whom he fees not thofe Goods or Advan-
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tages, which either he has himfelf obtain'd, or endeavours to attain.
§. 24. Having thus gone thro' a fuccinet Account of the Paffions, we come to the Tbird Part of Invention, which confiders the Manners. That Difcourfe therefore, or Speech, in which the Mamners are well mark'd, we call Moral; for it difcovers the Habits of the Mind, and the Will or Inclination. In this are feen Convecnience and Probity.

The Manncirs regard either the Perfon bimfelf who fpeaks, the Audience to whom he fpeaks, or the rubole City or N'ation in which he delivers his Difcourfe.

The Manners, which ought to be confpicuous in the Specker, are threefold; Prudencr, Protity and Benero.lence.

The Mamers of the Nation are known by the Form of the Governmen:: Liberty is in a Democracy; the Difcipline of the Larws in an Arifocracy; pompous Wealth in an Oligarchy; Guards and Armis in a Monarclyy.
The Manners, in regard of the Audience, vary four feveral ways, according to their fourfold Diftinction. Ift, When they differ in the Pafions, as in Anger, Lenity, Fear, Fity, \&cc. 2dly, When they differ in the Habits, as in Virtucs, or Vices. 3 d'y, In Years or Age, which is threefold, Youth, Man's-efate, Old-age. 4thly, In Fortune, by which they are either noble or ignoble, powerful or without Power, rich or poor, fortunate or unhappy.
\$. 25. Befides thefe Seats or Heads of Arguments, which are peculiar to each Find of Caufe, we muft have recourfe to thofe which are common to All; and thofe, as we have before ob. ferv'd, are two; Poffible and Impofible, Grcat and Small, or of Importance, and of little Confequence.

We muft confider the Head of Poffible and Imposible three feveral ways - for we muft thew a Thing done or not done, that can be done, or cannot be done; or that weill be done, or swill not be done.

Done or not done is the Subject of our Proof moft in that Kind where we accufe or defind; but in Perfiufinn or Difuafion our Bufinefs is chiefly to prove, whether it can or cannot, or rvill not be done.
The Important or Great, and Small and of little Confequence, belong chiefly to Praife and Di/fraife.
§. 26. Having given the foregoing Rules for the Invention of Arguncents, we naturally now proceed to deliver the Method of difpofing or marfhalling the whole in their proper Places and Order; for Di/pofition, the fecond Divifion of this.

Art, is a proper placing, or ranging of the feveral Parts of the Speech or Difcourfe. Thefe Parts are four in number, the Beginning or Opening of the Difcourfe, the Protofition, the Proof, and the Conclufion. Others make fix Parts; as the Beginning, Narration, Propofition, Confirmation, Confutation and Conclufion: Of which the firft is to ingratiate with the Hearers, the laft to move them, and the middle to inform them.

The Order of thefe is either Natural or Artificial. We call that Natural, when the Parts are difpofed in the Order we have laid down.

The Artificial is, when the Nature of the Caufe requires us to depart from this Natural Order.
§. 27. In the Beginning or Ofening of the Difcourfe we fet forth the Aim and Scope of what we have to fay; and the Minds of the Hearers are prepared for the reft that is to come.

The Method of all Beginnings is not the fame, but vary according to the Quality of the Caryf.

For that is either bonourable or dijhonourable, doubtful or mean, plain or clear, or obfcure.

In an bonourable Caufe the Good-will, Attention, and Docility of the Hearers are prepared plainly, and without difguife or Infinuation.

In a Caufe that is difonourable, we muft take care to infinuate into the Hsarers Minds, and fubtilly prepare them to give us a Hearing: And this Beginning they call Infinuation. But this kind of Beginning is fometimes made ure of in an bonourable Caufe, and that when the Hearcrs are either tired with hearing, or prepofifj'd by the Difcourfe of him who fpoke firft.

In the dubious or doubtful we make ufe of a Beginning drawn from the Nature of the Caufe iffelf; that is, from that Face of it which is bonourable.
In a low or mean Caufe we muft endeavour to raife Attention; and in an cbfcure Caufe, a Willingncfs or Defire to be informed.

The Method of Beginnings is not the fame in the three forts of Subjects, on which we may fpeak : For in Praife and Difpraife it muft be taken from the five Heads or Arguments proper to that; from the Praife or Difpraife; from Perfuaficn or Difuafon; and from thofe Things which relate to the Hearcrs.
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In Accufation and Defence there are four Heads, from which the Beginning is taken: For the Mind of the Hearer is prepar'd, as it were, by certain Medicines, taken either from the Speaker himfelf, or from the Accufid; or from the Hearer; or from the Accufer; or from the T bings.

They are taken from the Accufed, or the Adverfary, by objecting or difproving a Crime; from the Hearer, by rendring him our Friend, or angry, attentive, or not attentive, or wil-2 ling to be inform'd: Lafly, from the Thing, by declaring its Nature.
§. 28. The Narration is a Recital of the Things done, or that feem to be done, adapted to Porfuafion.

This we make ufe of in Accufation and Defence when we do not agree with the Adverfary about the Manner of the Fact: But when we perfuade or difuade, there is feldom any Occafion for this Part; nor is there any in Praife or Diffraife, but what has its place in the Confirmation.

The Narration ought to be perfpicuous, that it may be underftood; likely or probable, that it may be believ'd; diftinguifh'd by the Manners, that it may be heard with the greater Willingne/s: But to be fo, it ought to exprefs thofe Things which relate to the Proof of our own Virtue, and the Improbity of the Adzerfary.

Care muft likewife be taken, that what is faid may be pleafing to the Judges; and it ought, befides all this, to move the Pafions.

This Fart does not always follow the Beginning, but is fometimes deferr'd to another place, and mutt always be fhorter for the Defendant than Plaintiff. We fomerimes fupport the Narration, by giving it on the Credit of others, which promotes Security. Sometimes we make ufe of sifeverations, which ftill procure Belief much ftronger; and fometimes we make ufe of both.
§. 29. The Narration being over, we propofe the State of the Speech or Difcourfe; and divide the Caufe into certain Parts, if it confilt of many States.

This Divifion is made either by Scparation or Enumeration.
In the Separation we lay open in what we agree with our Adverfary, and what is yet remaining in Controverfy.

In the Enumeration we fum up the feveral Heads, and Kinds of Things, of which we are about to fpeak.

The Beauty of the Purtition or Divijonn is, that it be full and perfect ; plain and perfpicuous; Jhort and certain; containing not more than three, or at moft more than four Farts.
§. 30 . The
§. 30. The Confirmation, and Confutation, are fometimes plac'd under the Head or Title of the Contention. The firft confirms our own Caufe by Arguments; the laft deftroys or confutes thofe of the Adverfary. We muft in the Comfirmation have Regard to the Difpofition, as well of the Arguments, as Reafoning or Argumentation.

The ftrongeft Arguments are to be plac'd in the Front or Beginning ; when the Hearers being fir'd by the Narration, are defirous to know what we have to offer for the Proof or Defence of our Caufe. And we muft take care to place a Part of the moit forcible Arguments at the end, becaufe what we hear laft makes the ftrongeft Impreffion: But thofe Arguments which carry the leaft Weight, are to be rang'd in the middle, that thofe which by their Weight may be inconfiderable, may, by their Number, feem of Importance.

Farther, If the Strength our Caufe depend on an Argument that is alien to it, we muft introduce it in fuch a manner, as may make it appear to be proper to the Caufe; but we muft fhew, that what is offer'd by our Adverfaries is indeed foreign to it.

But we muft take heed that we do not throng our Arguments, for when the Paffions are mov'd, Sentences are more taken notice of than Arguments.

If the End and Aim of the Argumentation, or Reafoning, be more to move than inform, it is call'd Amplification, or Enlarging. And fince this is employ'd partly in lengthening or drawing out the Speech, and partly in exaggerating the Matter; the latter is the Chief or Principal in this Hlace: And this is done by Argumentation, Comparifon, Reafoning on the Magni. tude or Quantity of the Things or Guilt, \&ic.

The Confutation is not always made in the fame manner; fometimes we fhew, that Falfoods are taken for Trutbs; fometimes allowing the Premiffes, we deny the Confequence drawn from them ; fometimes againft a firm and ftrong Argumentation we oppofe another, at leatt of equal, or, if we can, of a fuperior Force and Energy ; fometimes we debafe a Thing, and laugh at the Arguments of the Adverfary.

But in General, we firft attack the moft firm and valid of the Adverfary's Arguments ; that having deftroy'd them, the reft may fall of courfe.
§. 31. The Conclufion has two Parts: the Enumiratior, or Recapitulation, and the Pafions.
The Enumeration repeats the principal Arguments. But this is feldom made ufe of in Praife or Difpraife; more often in fuch
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fuch Speeches, or Difcourfes which are directed to Perfuade or Diffuade, but moft commonly in Accufation and Defence; and there the Plaintiff makes more ufe of it than the Defendant. We make the chief ufe of this when we are apprehenfive, that the Hearcrs may (by reafon of the length of the Speech) not fo well remember them, or their Force; and when the heaping together of Arguments may add Weight to the Difcourfe.

The Paflions ought to be bere more frong and vebement. There are two Virtues of a Conclufion, Brevity and Vebemence.
§. 32. Before we proceed to Elocution, or the Language, we thall here add fome other Common Heads, or Places whence the Artifts uie to draw Arguments.

The firlt of thefe is the General, or Kind; that is to fay, we muft confider in every Subject, what it has in common with all other Subjects of the fame Kind or Nature. If we fpeak of the War with France, we may confider War in general, and draw our Arguments from that Generality.

The fecond Head, or Place, is called Difference; by which we confider whatever in it is peculiar to the Queftion or Caufe.
'The third is Definition; that is to fay, we muft confider the whole Nature of the Subject. The Difcourfe, which expreffes the Nature of a Thing, is the Definition of that Thing.

The fourth is the Enumeration of the Parts contain'd in the Subject of which we fpeak.

The fifth is the Dirivation of the Name of the Subject.
The Sixth, What are deriv'a from the fame Head, or Service, which are the Names that have Connexion with the Name of our Subject; as the Word Love has Connexion with thefe other Words-to love, loving, Friendjpip, lovely, Friend, \&c.

We may likewife confider the Likenefs, or Unlikenefs in the Things of which we treat; and thefe make the feventh and eighth Place, or common Heads.

We may likewife make Comparifon, and in our Comparifon introduce every thing to which our Subject is oppos'd ; and this Comfarifon and $O_{f p o f i t i o n, ~ a r e ~ t h e ~ n i n t h ~ a n d ~ t e n t h ~ P l a c e s, ~ o r ~}^{\text {a }}$ Heads of Arguments.

The eleventh is Repugnance, i. e. In difcourfing upon a Subject, we mult have an Eye upon thofe Things that are repugnant to it, to difcover the Proofs, with which that Profpect may furrifh us.
'Tis of Importance to confider all the Circumfances of the Matter Propos'd ; but thefe (ircumflances have either preceded, or accompany'd, or foliow'd the Things in Queft on. So thefe Circumfrances make the twelfth, thirteenth, and fourteenth
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Places. All the Circumftances that can accompany an Action, are comprehended in thefe Words; wobo? what? where? with rubat Help or ADizfance, or Means? rwhy? borw? and woben? That is to fay, we mult examine who is the Author of the Aation? what the Action is? where it was done? by what Means? for what End? howw? and when?

The fifteenth Place is the Effect; and the fixteenth is the Coufe: i. e. we mult have regard to the Effcect; of which the Thing in Difpute may be the Caufe; and to the Things of which it may be the Effict.
§. 33. We come now to what we call Elocution, or the Language or Diction in which proper Words are adapted to the juft Expreffion of the Things which we have invented. It confifts of Elegance, Compofition, and Dignity: The firft is the Foundation of this Structure ; the fecond joins, or ranges the Words in fuch a manner, that the Speaker may rife with Equality ; the lalt adds the Ornaments of Tropes and Figures, to give Importance and Solemnity to what is faid.

Elegance comprehends the Purity of the Language, and the Perficuity: In the Choice of Words we muft have peculiar Regard to their Purity; that is, we muft take care that they be genuine, that is, free of our Tongue, not Foreign; that they be not obfolete, or quite out of Ufe; for both thefe will not only affect the Perfpicuity of what you deliver, but difcover either Rufticity, or great Affectation, and often give an uncouth and rough Cadence to your Sentences, which a good Style refures; and Care muft be taken to avoid vulgar and low Words, (the Language of the Mob.) This robs what yout fay of that Dignity you thould aim at. Sir Roger L'Efirange, and fome of our Divines too, have been guilty in Subjecis of Importance and Majefly. But as you mult not affect too great Brevity on one fide, fo on the other, you muft not afpire to too great a Loftinefs; both being Enemies to that Perfpicuity, which muft always be your particular Care.
Elegance is gain'd by reading the beft, or molt polite Authors, by keeping the beft Company, and by Practice ; Ufe in all Things being the beft Inftructor.
Compofition is the apt and proper Ozder of the Parts adhering to each other: and this teaches partly Things that are common to Speakers in public, Hiforians and Pocts, and parly thofe Things which are peculiar to a public Specker.
The firt Compofition regards as well the artifcial joining of the Letters, by which the Style is render'd Soft and Smooth, gentle and fowing ; or full and fonorous, or the contrary of alt there;
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thefe ; as the Ordir, which requires, that we place the Grave after the Humble or Low; and that we fet that which is of greater Dignity, and firt in Nature, before that which is lefs and of more inferior Confideration.

Compofition relates to the Poriod, but having treated at the End of GRAMMAR on that Head, and forgot to put it in its right Place in this Edition, we fhall refer you to that.

Dignity produces a figurative Manner of Speaking, both in the $W$ ords, and in Sentences; thofe which affect $\bar{W}$ ords alone, have been fo long call'd Tropes, that the Word is known almoft to the very Fijbrives. Thofe which affect Sentences have been as long, and generally known to be called Figures.
§. 34. We fhall begin the Tropes with Tranfinutation, or the the Exchange of one Name for another ; as if we fay, Peterborough conquer'd Spain; every one reads Milton; London is in an Uproar. 'Tis plain we mean, that Peterborough's Army conquer'd Spain, or be with the belp of bis Army; every one reads Milton's Works; the People of London are in an Uproar. The Relation is fo ftrong betwixt a General and his Army, an Author and his Works, a Town and is Inhabitants, that the Thought of one excites the ldea of the other, and fo changing of Names produces no Confufion

The next is Comitr benfion. This is fomething related to the former ; for by this we put the Name of a Whole for a Part; as if we fhould fay Engiund for Londun or London for England; as, the Plague is in England, when orly in London. Thus by this Trope we have the Liberty of quitting the Name of a Part for the $W$ bole, and that of the $W$ bole for a Part ; and to this we may likewife refer the Ufe of a certain Number for an uncertain Number; as an Hundred Avenues to the Houfe convey, when there may be more or lefs; an Hundred Ycars old, when he may want fome Months, or perhaps Years.

Exchange of Names is another Tropi, and akin likewife to the firft call'd Tranfmutation; for by this we apply a Name proper to one, to feveral, and common Names to particular Perfons; as when we cail a luxurious Prince a Sardanapalus, or a cruel one a Ncro. On the contrary, when for Cicerc, we fay the Orator; or for Airifotle, the Pbilos-pber; for Virgil, the Port; and the like.

Met fibor is fo well known a Word in our Tongue now, that we fcarce have need to explain it by Tranflation. It is a Trope, by which we put a tirange and remote Word for a proper Word, by reafon of its Refemblance with the Thing of,
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Allegory is the joining of feveral Metaphors together, and fo extends to feveral Words; 'tis likewife call'd Inverfion. But great. Care muft then be taken in an Allegory, that it ends as it begins; that the Metaphors be continued, and the fame Things made ufe of to the laft, from whence we borrow our firit Expreffions. The famous Speech of our celebrated Sbakefpear is extremely faulty in this Particular.

> To be, ar not to be, that is the Queftion; Whetber 'tis nobler in the Mind to Juffer The Slings and Arrows of outragious Fortune, Or to take Arms againft a Sea of Troubles, And by oppofing end them?

Here the Poet begins the Allegory with Slings and Arrorvs, and ends it in a Sea, befides the taking Arms againft a Sea.

When thefe Allegories are obfcure, and the natural Senfe of the Words not obvious, they are call'd Enigma's, or Riddles.

Diminution, or Leflening, is the next Trope, and by this we fpeak lefs than we think; as when we fay, You are not indeed to be commended, it implies a fecret Reproach, or Reprehenfion.

Hyperbole, or Excefs, reprefents Things greater or lefs than really they are; as, This Horfe is fwifter than the Wind; be goes Jlower than a Tortoife.

By Irony, we fpeak contrary to our Thoughts, but 'tis difcover'd by the Tone of our Voice; as when we fay, Robert is a very boneft Man, when we mean a Rogue.

By the Trope, call'd Abufe, we may borrow the Name of a Thing, tho' quite contrary to what we would fignify, becaufe we can't elfe exprefs it; as when we fay, an lron Candlefick, or a Silever Inkborn.

Thefe are the moft confiderable Tropes, and to one or other of thefe all others may be reduced. But before we difmifs this Point, we nuft give a few Rules to be obferv'd in the Ufe of them. Firit, therefore, we muft ufe Tropes only where we cannot exprefs ourfelves perfectly without them ; and, fecondly, when we are obliged to ufe them, they mult have
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two Qualities ; $(\mathrm{i} \ell)$, They muft be clear, and contribute to the Underftanding of what we intend ; $\left(2 d^{l} y\right)$, That they hold a Proportion to the Idea we would paint to our Readers or Hiarers.

A Trope lofes its Perfpicuity three Ways; (1.) When 'tis too remote, not helping the Hearer to the intention of the Speaker; as to call a lewd Houfe the Syrtes of 1 cutb; the Rock of $Y_{\text {cuth }}$ is nearer and more obvious; the former requiring our Knowledge and Remembrance, that the Syrtes were dangerous Banks of Sand on the Coaft of Africa. A Metapher is therefore beft taken from fuch fenfible Objects as are mof familiar to the Eye, which Images are apprehended without Inquiry or Trouble. The ill Connexion of thefe is the fecond Thing that brings Obfcurity on the Metaphor, by ufing Words which are not commonly known, but relate to Places, perhaps at the fartheft Parts of the Globe, from Terms of Art, Antiquities, or the like, which ought to be avoided. This Connerion is either Natural or Artificial. That we call Notural, when Things fignify'd by their Proper and Metaphorical Names have Natural Refemblance to or Dependence on each other ; as when we fay, A Man bas Arms of Brafs, to fignify theis Strength, this Refemblance between the Trope and Propes Name we may call Natural. The Artificial comes from Cufom; a wild untractable Temper has by Cufom been give日 to the Arab, which makes the Name Arab awake the Idea of an untractable Man.

The third Thing which renders Tropes obfcure, is a too frequent Ufe of them. Lafly, Tropes mult always be proportion'd to the Ideas they would give.
§. 35. Having faid all that we thought neceffary about the Tropes, their Nature, Virtues, Vices and Ufe, we now come to the Language of the Pafions; which is of peculiar Ufe both as well in Oratory as Potiry, both which make ufe of them in a particular Manner.

We fhall begin with the Exclamation, becaufe by that our Paffions firt fly out, and difcover themfelves in Difcourfe. Exclamation, therefore, is a violent Extenfion of the Voice; as, O Heavens! O Earth! good God! alas! and the like.

Doubling is the next, or Irrcfolution, is the Effect of Paffion, as, What fisall I do? focll I appear to thofe I once neglected? or, Ball I implore thofe who now for fake me? \&c.

Correction is a Figure by which one in Paffion, fearing he has not expreffed himfelf full enough, endeavours by a ftronges Ihrafe to correct that Error ; as, Nor was thy Muther a God.
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## difs, nor, perfilious Man! was Dardanus the Author of thy Race,

 but rugged rorky Caucafus brougbt thee forth, and the Hyrcanian Tygrefs nurs'd thee up.Omifinn, in a violent Paffion, permits us not to fay all that we would. When our Paffions are interrupted, or directed another Way, the Tongue following them, produces Words that have no Reference to what we were faying before; as, of all Men, 一meaning, the wor $/ \mathrm{t}$ of all Men.

Supprefion, is a fudden Supprefion of the Pafion, or rather the Threats of a Paffion; as - wobich I-but now we muft think of the prefent Matter.

Conceffion feems to omit what we fay; as, I rwill not Speak of the Injury you bave done me; I am nuilling to forget the Wrong you bave done me; I will not fee the Contrivances that you ntake ogainf $m e$, \&c.

Repetition is made two Ways: (1.) When we repeat the fame Words, or (2.) the fame Thing in different Words. The former, as - You defign Notbing, Notbing that is not vijible to me, what I do not fee, \&c. The fecond, as - of ourfelves wwe can do nothing rwell; subatever Good we do, is by the Divine Grace.

Redundance makes us ufe more Words than are abfolutely neceflary, and is emphatical ; I beard thee with thefe Ears, I faw thee ruith thefe Eyes.

Like Meanings, are Words of the fame Senfe, and put together to exprefs one Thing; as, be departed, be went out, be's gone.

Defrription figures the Thing in fuch lively Colours, as to make its Image appear before us.

Diffribution is a kind of Defcription, in which we enumerate the Parts of the Object of our Paffion; as Their Throat is an open Sepulibre; thyy fatter with their Tongues; the Poijon of Afps is under tbsir Lips; their Moutb is full of Curfing and Lyes, and tbeir Feet are fwift to Beed Blood.

Orpofites place Contraries againtt one another; as, Flattery begets Friends, Trutb Encmies.

Similis bring a likenefs to the Thing we are fpeaking of; as, He Bail be like a Tree placed by the Water-fide, \&c.

Comparijon. The Difference is not great between this and the former Figure, only this latter is more fprightly and emphatic; -as, The fineft Gold to them looks wvan and pale, \&c. But two Things are to be confider'd in Comparifons; firlt that we are not to expect an exact Proportion betwixt all the Parts of the Comparifon, and the Subject of which we fpeak; as when
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when Virgil compares the young Ligurian to a Pigeon in the Claws of an Hawk; adding what relates more to the Defcription of a Pigeon torn to pieces by a Hawk, than to the Subject compar'd. The fecond Thing to be obferv'd, is, That it is not neceffary that the Thing compared to, be more elevated than the Thing compar'd; as the quoted Infance from Virgil fhews.

Sufpenfion keeps the Hearer in Sufpenfe, and attentive, by Expectation of what the Speaker will conclude in ; as, O God! Darknefs is not more oppofite to Light, Froft to Fire, Rage and Hatred to Love, Tempefts to Calms, Pain to Pleafure, or Death to Life, than Sin to thee.

Reprefintation gives a Tongue to Things inanimate, and makes them Speak in Paffion; as, Hear, thou fupid Creature, bear the very Walls of this facred Pile complaining of thy Wickednefs: Have weve, fay they, fo many bundred Years been confecrated to the facred Rites of the Immortal Gods, and now at laft to be polluted with thy Inpieties? Have the moft Valiant ana the moft Wife enter'd bere with Awe and Vencration, and Ball one fo Wortblefs dare to contemn the Sancrity of this Place? \&c.

Sentences are but Reflections made upon a Thing that farprizes, and deferves to be confider'd; as, Love cannot long be conceal'd where it is, nor diffembled where it is not.

Applaufe is a Sentence or Exclamation, containing fome Sentence plac'd at the End of a Difcourfe ; as, Can Minds Divine fuch Anger entertain!

Interrogation is frequently produc'd by our Paffions to them we would perfuade, and is ufeful to fix the Attention of the Hearers; as, Let me afk you, ye Men of Athens, is it worthy the Glory of our City, or is it fit that Athens, once the Head of Greece, Jhould fubmit to Barbarians, take Meafures from a foreign Lord? \&c.

Addrcfs is, when in an extraordinary Commotion a Man turns himfelf to all fides, and addreffes Heaven, Earth, the Rocks, Fields, Things fenfible and infenfible; as, Te Mountains of Gilboa, let there be no Dew, \&c.

Prevention is a Figure, by which we prevent what might be objected by the Adverfary ; as, Eut jome will fay, How are the Dead rais'd up? And zuith webat Body do thiy come? Thbu Fool, that which thou joweft is not quickened, unlefs it dif, sce.

Communication is when we defire the Judgment of our Hearcrs; as, What would you, Genthmen, do in the Cafe? Would you take otber Meafures tban, \&c.

Confe $\sqrt{3}$ on is the owning of our Fault, arifing from a Confiderice of Forgivenels of the Perfon to whom it is acknowledg'd ; as, I confefs myrelf to have crr'd, but I am a Mar, and what is buman, is what we are all Jutject to; let him that is free from buman Error caft the firf Stone.

Confent makes us grant a Thing freely that might be deny'd, to obtain another Thing that we defire; as, I allorv the Greeks Learning; I grant them the Defcription of many Arts, the Brightnefs of Wit, the Copioujnefs of Difcourfe; I will not deny them any thing elfe they can jufily claim: But that nation were never eminent for the Religion of an Oath in their Teffimonies, or for Truth and Faith, \&c. And here it has always a Sting in the Tail: But, on the contrary, it has fometimes a healing Clofe; as, Let bim be Sacrilegious, let him be a Robber, let bim be the Cbicf of all Wickednefs and Vice, yct fill be is a good General.

By this Figure we fometimes invite our Eenemy to do all the Mifchief he can, in order to give him a Senfe and Horror of his Cruelty. 'Tis alfo common in Complains between Friends; as when Ariftaus, in Virgil, complains to his Mother:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Proceed, inhuman Parent, in thy Scorn; } \\
& \text { Root up my Trees, with Bligbts defroy my Corn, } \\
& \text { My Vincyards ruin, and my Sheepfolds burn, } \\
& \text { Let loofe thy Rage, let all thy Spite be Bown, } \\
& \text { Since thus thy Hate purfues the Praifes of thy Son. } \\
& \text { Dryd. Virg. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Circumlocution is ufed to avoid fome Words whofe Ideas are unpleafant, or to avoid faying fomething which may have an ill Effect; as, when Cicero is forc'd to confefs that Clodius was flain by Milo, he did it with this Addrefs: "The Servants of " Milo (fays he) being hinder'd from affifting their Mafter, " whom Clodius was reported to have kill'd, and believing it " true, they did in his Abfence, without his Knowledge or "Confent, what every Body would have expected from his "Servants on the like Occafion." In which he avoids mentioning the Words kill, or put to Death, as Words ingrateful or odious to the Ear.

Thus much we have thought fit to fay of the Figurative Expreffions of the Paflons; but they are indeed almoft infinite, each being to be expreffed a hundred ways. We fhall conclude this Difcourfe of The Art of Perfucaion with a few Reflections on Style, and fewer Remarks on other Compofitions, in which the Learner ought to be exercifed.
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- §. 36. What we mean by Style, is the Manner of expreffing ourfelves, or of cloathing our Thoughts in Words: The Rules already given, as to Elocution, or the Language, regard (as we fay). only the Members of Difcourfe, but Style relates to the intire Body of the Compofition.

The Matfer ought to direet us in the Choice of the Style. Noble Expreffions render the Style lofty, and reprefent Things great and noble; but if the Subject be low and mean, fonorou's Words and pompous Expreffion is Bombaft, and difcovers ivant of Judgment in the Writer. Figures and Tropes paint the Motions of the Heart ; but to make them juft, and truly ornamental, the Paffion ought to be reafonable. There's nothing more ridiculous than to be tranfported without Caufe, to put one's felf in a Heat for what ought to be argued cooly: Whence 'tis plain, that the Matter regulates the Style. When the Subject or Matter is great, the Style ought to be fprightly, full of Motion, and enrich'd with Figures and Tropes; if our Subject contain nothing extraordinary, and we can confider it without Emotion, the Style muft be plain.

The Subjects of Difcourfe being extremely various in their Nature, it follows, that there muft be as great a Variety in the Style: But the Mafters of this Art have reduc'd them all to three Kinds, which they call the Sublime, the Plair, the Mean, or the Indifferent.
§. 37. Let the Subject of which we defign a lofty Idea be never fo noble, its Noblenefs will never be feen, uniefs we have Skill enough to prefent the beft of its Faces to the V'iew. The beft of Things have their Imperfections, the Ieaft of which difcover'd, may leffen our Efteem, if not extinguifh it quite : We muft therefore take care not to fay any thing in one Place, which may contradict what we have faid in another. We ought to pick out all that is moft great and noble in our Subject, and put that in its beft light, and then our Expreffion muft be noble and fublime, capable of raifing lcfiy Ideas: And 'tis our Duty to obferve a certain Uniformity in our Stylc; tho' all we fay have not an equal Magnificence, fo far at leatt as to make all the Parts of a piece, and bear a Correfpondence with the whole.

The Danger here is, left you fall into a puffy Style, which fome call Infation, or fwell'd; for if you ftretch Things beyond their Nature, and hunt only afier great and founding Words, you feldom mind their Agreeab'enefs to the Nature of the Subject. And this has been the Fault of many of our
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modern Tragic Writers, who yet with the Vulgar have gain'd Applaufe, and fettled a Reputation.
§. 38. We come next to the lain Style; and this fimple and plain Character of Writing is not without its Difficulties, not in the Choice of Subjicts, thofe being always ordinary and common, but becaufe there is wanting in this style that lomp and Magnificence whech often hide' the Faults of the Writer, at lealt from the general Reader or Hearer. But on common and ordinary Subjects there is little room for Figures and Iropes, fo we muft make choice of Words that are proper and obvious.

When we call this Style fimple and plain, we intend rot Meannefs of Exprefion; that is never good, and Thould always be avoided: For tho' the Matter or SubjeEt of this Style have nothing of Elevation, yet ought not: the Language to be vile and contemptible; Mob Expreffions and Vulgarifms, are to be avoided, and yet all mutt be clean and natural.
§. 39. The mean or middle Style confifts of a Participation of the Sublime on one Side, and of the Simplicity of the Plain, on the other. Virgil furnifhes us with Examples of all the three; of the Sublime in the Aintids, the Plain in his Paforals, and the Menn (or Middle) in his Georgics.
§. 40. Tho the Style of an Orator, or one that fpeaks in Public, of an Hiftorian and Poet, are different, yet there are fome Differences in Style of the fame Character ; for fome are foft and eafy, others more ftrong; fome gay, others more fevere. Let us reflect on the Differences, and how they are diftinguifh'd.

The firt Quality is Eafinefs, and that is when Things are deliver'd with that Clearnefs and Perfpicuity, that the Mind without any Trouble conceires them. To give this Eafine/s to a Style, we muft leave nothing to the Hearer's or Reader's Decifion; we muft deliver Things in their neceffary Extent, with Clearnefs, that they muft be eafily comprehended; and here Care muft be taken of the Fluency, and to avoid all Roughnefs of Cadence.

The fecond Quality is Strength, and it is direetly oppofite to the firft ; it Arikes the Mind boldly, and forces Attention. 'To render a Style ftrong, we muft ufe fhort and nervous Expreffions, of great and comprehenfive Meaning, and fuch as excite many Ideas.

The third Quality renders a Style pleafant and florid, and depends in Part on the firt ; for the third is not pleas'd with too ftrong an Intention. Tropes and Figures are the Flowers of Style ; the firft give a fenfible Conception to the moft abdtrufe Thoughts ;
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Thoughts; Figures awaken our Attention, and warm and animate the Hearer or Reader, by giving them Pleafure. Motion is the Principle of Life and Pleafure, but Coldnefs mortifies every thing.

The latt Quality is Severe: It retrenches every thing that is not abfolutely neceflary ; it allows nothing to Pleafure, admitting no Ornaments or Decorations. In flort, we are to endeavour that our Style have fuch Qualities, as are proper to the Subject of which we difcourfe.
§. 41. Having faid thus much of Styles, we fhall only add a Word or two about other Exercifes, in which the Learner fhould be train'd up.: The firft and moft general is the writing of Letters; here an eafy and genteel way of conveying our Mind in the fhorteft and moft expreffive Terms, is the greateft Excellence. Bufiness requires no Ornaments, and a plain and fuccinet Information is all that is requird. Letters of Compliment muft have Gaiety, but no Affectation. Eafinefs muft fhine thro' all, and a clean Expreffion; here is no room for the Luxuriance of Fancy, or the Embellifhments of longer Difcourfes. The fame may be faid of Condolence, and even of Pcrfuafion. The moft poignant and coercive Reafons muft be us'd, and thofe that by want of Native Force require the Help of Art to recommend them, laid afide.

ESSAYS have, in thefe latter Ages, mightily prevail'd ; and here, as in Letters, all mult be eafy, free, and natural, and written juft as you think, fometimes leaving the Subject, and then returning again, as the Thoughts arife in the Mind. At leaft this has hitherto been the Practice; and Montaigne, who has got no fmall Reputation by this way of Writing, feldom keeps many Lines to the Subject he propofes : Tho' it is our Opinion, that my Lord Bacon is a much better Pattern; for indeed they feem to us to be fudden Reflections one fome one particular Subject, not very unlike the common Themes given to Scholars in the Schools, with this Difference, that the Author of thefe is fuppos'd to have gain'd much from Obfervation and Reflection on thofe Heads, and that therefore his Difcoveries may be of Value; whereas the propofing fuch particular Moral Subjects to Boys, is requiring Impertinencies from them, who have no Fund of Obfervation to furnifh out the Entertainment.

As for the Subjects of Poetical Exercifes, we have given fufficient Rules for them, in our Art of Poetry.

The End of the Art of Perfuafion.

LOGIC;
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# The Art of REASONING. 

> PARTI.

## C H A P. I. <br> Of Particular Ideas.

LOGIC is the Art of Reafoning. The Art is divided into four Parts ; the firft treats of Ideas ; the fecond of Fudgments; the third of Metbod; and the fourth of Reaoning, or Argumentation.
An Idea, in General, we define-The immediate Object of the Mind; or that Thought or Image of any Thing wowich is innanediatcly fet before the Mind.

All Ideas become the Objects of our Mind, or are prefented to the Judgment by the 1'erception of the Senfes, which we call Senfation; or by the Meditation of the Mind; which we call Reflecition.

1. Ideas are either Simple or Compound. We call :hofe Simple, in which the moft fubtle Penetration of the Mind itfelf cannot difcover any Parts or Plurality; and we call thofe Compounded, which are made up or compos'd of two or more of thofe which are Simple. Examples of both we fhall fee hercafter.
2. There are Ideas of Subfances, we know not what obfcure Subject, in which there are the Properties of Things which we know; and Ideas of Modes or Manners, which are the Qualities or Attributes of Subfances, which we cannot conceive capable of fubfifting alone without their Subfances.
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3. There are certain Relations between Subfiances and Subfances, Modes and Nods, and Mods and Subfis nces; the Confideration of one including the Confideration of the other, from whence thefe Relations derive that Naine.
4. There are Ideas, which are to be confider'd as the Images of fomething exiftent, and which convey themiclves to, and fix themfelves in the Mind, without any Operation $n \leqslant$ its own. But there are others, which by the $A$ ind are join'd to new Ideas at Pleafure, and feparated from them by Abftracion.
5. Farther, there are Idias of a larger or lefs Extent, or join'd to more or fewer Idcas; whence we call them Singular, Particulur, or Univeral.
6. There are fome Ideas that are clear and plain, and others that are obfcure. All clear Ideas are fimple, as are thofe of the compounded, all whole Parts are diftinctly plac'd before, or reprefented to the Mind.
7. There are fome Illeas that are perfect, or adequate; and others that are inadequate, or iniperfect?. Thofe we call perfect, or adiquate, which contain all the Parts of the Things whofe Images they are, and offer them fo to the Mind; thofe are inadequate, or imperfect, which only contain and offer fome Parts of the Things of which they are the Images. We call Ideas Images of the Things, becaufe there are fome Things without us, which are like, and anfwer to them.

To thefe particular Heads of Idcas all others may be referr'd. Thefe therefore we fhall particularly examine.

## C H A P. II.

## Of Simple and Compound Ideas.

1. TTERY many of the fimple Ideas we have from or by our Senfes, and very many from the Attention of the Mind turn'd inwards on itfelf, without regard to Senfation.
z. To the firf we muft refer all our Senfations; the chief of which may be reduc'd to five Claffes, Forms or Heads, according to the five Parts of the Body, which are affected by them. For they come to us by the Means of our Eyes, our Ears, our Nofe, our Tongue or Palate, and by the Touch or Feeling of all the other Parts of the Body. Colours are fimple Ideas, (we mean Colours themfelves, and diftinet from colour'd Bodies,

Bodies, which have Parts) as Blue for Example, of which the Mind can difcover no manner of Parts.
3. The Idcas of Sounds are likewife fimple, as well as thofe of Smell, Tafte, Touch. We fpeak here of One fimple particular Senfation, confider'd diftinctly from the Variety of Sounds, Smelis, Tofies, and Touches. Thus-if any one fmell to a Rofe without mixing any other Scent, he will bave a Senfation in which he can diltinguifh no Parts; and this holds of the other Senfations.
4. Pain and Plcafure are the chief and moft eminent Senfations we have, whofe Kinds and Sorts vary according to the Part or Member affecled; but there are no Parts to be diftinguifh'd in Pain and Pleafure, which we can conceive to be feparated from each other. We fpeak not of the Duration of Pain or Pleafure, which evidently has Parts, -but of the fimple Senfation of a Prick with a Nordle; for Example, none can conceive any Parts of it, the Concourfe of which fhould produce Pain.
5. In the Idea of Motion, which comes to us by our Senfes, when confider'd in general, we can conceive no Parts, tho' we may of its Duration, of the Line it defcribes, and its Quicknefs or Slownefs.
6. Thus in many fimple Ideas, which arife from Reffection, we fhould in vain feek for Parts, as in Wolition, or Willing, \&c. The fame may be faid of Exifence confider'd in general, tho' there are vifible Parts in the Duration.
7. Compound Idtas, we have faid, contain or comprehend feveral fimple Ideas, which may be diltinguifhed and feparately confider'd. Thus the Ideas of all Bodies are compound; becaufe in them we can confider fome Parts without the others, or diftinctly from the others. If we confider a Body, we clearly and plainly diftinguin the bigber and lower, the fore and bind, the left and right Part of it; and can diftincily think of one without the others. If we confider the Iciea of Pity, we find that it confifts of the Ideas of Mifery, of a miferable Perfon, and of one who grieves for him. Such are the Ideas of all Virtues and Vices, tho they come to us by Rcflecion of the Mind.
8. Tho' we fhall not, in this Part of Logick, or the Art of Reafoning, treat of thofe Judgments we pafs upon Iaccs, yet it is of Importance to remember never to pretend to def ne what cannot be defin'd without making it more olffure ; for a Definition ought always to be made ufe of to make the Subject of our Difcourfe more plain and clear than the bare Name of the

Things would make it ; but in fimple Ideas, we cannot better explain them, than by their very Name, or fome fynonymous Words, the Knowledge of which depends on the Tongue we ufe, and the Senfe of him we fpeak to. The contrary Method has made the Ariftntclians fill us with unintelligible Jargon; as defining of Motion, they fay, 'tis an Act of a Eeing in Pozver, as in Porver; nor have the Nioderns much mended the Matter, by defining it the Cbange of Situation. The firlt labours with inexplicable Obfcurity, and the Terms of the latter are not more clear or known than the Word Motion itfelf.
9. Definition, indeed, has only to do with compound Ideas, for it's an Enumeration, or reckoning up of the feveral fimple Ideas of which that confifts.

## C H A P. III.

## Of Ideas of Subftances and Modes.

"ANother fort of Ideas are thofe of Subftances and Modes; for we confider all Things feparately, and by themfelves, or elfe as exifing in other Things fo much, that we can't allow them Exiftence without 'em. The firft we call Subftances and Subjects, the latter Modes and Accidents; as when we reflect on Wax and fome Figure, as Roundnefs, we confider the Wax as a Thing which may fubfit without that Roundnefs, or any other particular Figure; we therefore call W'ax a Subfance. On the contrary, we confider Roundnefs fo inherent to the Wax or fome other Subftance, that it can't fubfift without it, for we are not capable of conceiving Roundinfs diftinctly and feparately from a round Body. This therefore we call a Mod;, or Accident.
2. We always confider Bodies cloath'd, as I may fay, in fome certain Modes, except when we reflect on the Abftract, or General. The Subfances the Grammarians exprefs by the Nane ; the Modis may be render'd by the 2ualities as Wax and Roundnefs is exprefs'd by round W ax.
3. We have, befides, certain compound Ideas, which confift only of Modis; and others which are compounded, or made up only with a fort of Species, or kind of Modes. As a Furlong, as far as it expreffes a Menfuration of the Road; for it comprehends uniform Modes, as Pascs or Fert: Others confift of feveral Sorts of Modes; as the Idea of Pity, which has been already defin'd, and of the other Paffions, and Virtues and Vices.
4. We have, farther, Idias compounded of a Collection of Subftances of a like Nature ; fuch is the Idea of an Army, of a City, of a Flock, confifting of many Soldiers, Citizens, oi Sbrep, Sc. or they are compos'd of a Collection of Ideas of unlike Subftances; fuch is the Idea of the Matter of which a Houfe, a Ship, or a Defart is compounded. And in thefe Ideas we confider not only Subftances, as they are fuch, but alfo as attended with certain Modes, which produce Ideas that are very much compounded.
5. We define Subftance in general, Things fubfiffing by themfilves, but then they are confider'd abftractly, or without regard to any particular Subftance actually exifting; and in that Senfe it is fufficiently plain what is meant by the Word Subfance; but fince there is no Subftance confider'd in generai which has any Exiftence but in our Ideas, where we confider exifting 〔ubftances, the Matter is alter'd. The Ideas of fingle or particular Subftances are very obfcure; nor do we underftand any thing by their feveral Names, but certain, we know not what, unknown Subjects, in which there are certain Properties which conftantly co-exift. Thus if any one fhould $a . k$ what that Subitance is which we call Body, we can only fay, that it is an unknown Subject, in which we always difcover Extenfion, Divifibility, and Impenetrability.
6. 'Tis plain, that nothing more obfcure can be meant, than what is exprefs'd by thefe Terms, extended Subfances. For all that is here meant, is, that there is an unknown Subject, one of whofe Properties is to confift of other unknown Subjects or Subftances plac'd clofe to each other, and of that Nature, that we have no Idea of any one of thole Subftances of which we fay a Body confifts. For we cannot affirm of any Idea, that it is the Idea of any one Subftance of which a Body is compos'd, firce we have no Idea of corporeal Subfances, which does not comprehend or contain innumerable Subftances. If therefore we exprefs what we underftand by the Name of coxporeal Subfance, we mult fay, that it is a Comprffition of unknown Beings, fonie of whore Propertics we know.
7. The fame we may fay of other Subftances, as of the Spiritual, (we examine not here whether or not there be any more) as whoever will confider with Attention, and not fuffer himfelf to be amus'd and deceiv'd by empty Words, will experience. We find in our Mind various Thoughts, whence
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we form the Idca of Spirits; but we are ignorant of what that Subject is, in which thefe Thoughts are.
8. It will be of great Ufe to as perfect a Knowledge of Things as we are capable of obtaining, to diftinguifh in thofe Subjects which we call Subftances, thofe Things, without which we can conceive thofe Subjects or Modes from thofe without which we cannot conceive them. For when we think with Attention on thofe Subjects, we fhall find that there are fome Things fo effential to them, that we can't deprive them of, without changing their Nature; and other Things which may be taken away from the Subject, and not deftroy its Nature.
9. Modes are commonly divided into internal, which we conceive, as it were, inherent in the Subftance; as, Roundint $f$ s, \&cc. Or external, as when we fay any Thing is defir'd, lov' $d$, bebcld, and the like; which we call Relations.
13. There are likewife Modes which are alfo Subftances; as, Apparel, Hair, \&ce without which the Subiect can fubfiit, and they can likewife be without the Subject. As for thefe Ideas, which are compos'd of Modes and Subftances varioufly join'd together, fome are call'd real, as being the Ideas of Things that either really $d o$, or are at lealt believed to exilt ; others rational, that is, when the Mind compounds various Ideas together ; as when we confider a Stick reaching up to the Stars themfelves.
11. In compound Ideas we ought carefully to obferve how manifold, and of how many Ideas they confift ; as we fhall more plainly fee upon the Head of the Obfcurity and Perfpicuity of Ideas.

## C H A P. IV. Of Relations.

THere are, befides Subfances, and Modes which are inherent in Subfances, certain external Denominations, which tho' they add nothing to the Subfance, yet depend on fome Mode or Manner of it ; and thefe we call Relations, by which the Confideration of one Thing includes the Confideration of another. Thus when we call any one a Father, on this Exprelion depends this, that he whom we
call fo has begot Children, and fo comprehends and includes the Confideration of Children.
2. Every Idea, confider'd in a certain Manner, may be the Foundation of a Relation, that is, may lead us by fome Property of its own to the Confideration of fome other Idea. So that all Exitence may be divided into the Creator and the Creature; for the Name of the Creator includes the Thought of the Creature; and fo on the contrary.
3. Relations are innumerable; for they may be between Subftances and Subftances, Modes and Modes, Modes and Subitances, Relations and Subftances, Relations and Modes, Relations and Relations; for there is nothing that cannot excite our Thoughts on fomething elfe, fince we can compound or join our Ildeas together as we think fit. But avoiding too nice a Scrutiny, we fhall only make our Obfervations on thofe of the greateft Moment, which regard Relations confider'd in general.
4. We very often confider Ideas as abfolute, or including no Relations, which yet have neceffarily a Reference to others. Thus we cannot call any thing Great or Large, but that the Idea which anfwers that Word mult be relative. For we call thofe Things great, in a certain Kind, which are the greate/t among thofe Things of the fame Nature, which we have known. We call that Hill or Mountain great, which is as great as any Hill that we have ever feen. That Kingdom is large, which exceeds the Bounds of our own Country, or of thofe Countries we have known, $\varepsilon^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. That Tower we call bigh, which is higher than moft of the fame Kind that we hav known. In Number we call that great, than which there are not many greater in the fame Kind: Thus fixty thoufand Men in Arms in Greece we call a great Army, becaufe Greece fcarce ever had a greater; but it had been little in Perfa, wher much larger were affembled. Thus likewife as to Time, we call it long or thort with Reference to another. We call a hundred Years Life, a long Life ; Facob calls his (130) fhort, becaufe his Anceftors liv'd fo many longer. Sicknefs, Pain, and Expectation, make that Time feem long, which to one in Action, Health, or Pleafure, feems fhort. That Burden is heavy to a Child, a weak Woman, an old Man, the Sickly, which is light to a Man in Health and Vigour. Thus in the Ornaments of the Mind, we call that Wit great, that Learning profound, that Memory tenacious, that Prudence confummate, which we find excel, after the Manners of our Country, all that we know among us; tho' by Foreigners L 4
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they may be thought but of a moderate Size. Thus Great Learning has a very different Signification in the Mouth of a Man of Letters, and of an ignorant Perfon; it is of a much larger Extent in the former than in the latter.
5. In fhort, all the Modes both of Mind and Body, that admit of Increafe or Diminution, are the Prototypes of Relative Ideas. But this is to be obferved with the utmof Attention, becaufe their Number is very large, which, if confounded with abfolute Ideas, will give rife to great Errors, and render us incapable of underftanding the Difcourfe of others.
6. Here we muft, in fhort, remark, that the Judgments that we make, are only the Perceptions of the Relations between various Ideas; in which Relations our Mind does acquiefce. Thus when we judge that two times two make four, or that two times two do not make five; our Minds obferve the Relation of Equality which is between two times two and four, and the Inequality which is between two times two and five; which Perception, as evident, the Mind does acquiefce or is beff fatisfy'd in, or gives itfelf no farther Trouble to confider of its Truth. But of this more at large in the Second Part.
7. Reafoning alfo is a like Perception of the Relations join'd with that Acquiefcence of the Mind. But it is not a Perception of the Relations which are among various Things, but of thofe Relations which the Relations themfelves have among themfelves. Thus, when we gather from this, that four is a fmaller Number than fix, and that twice two equals four, that twice two is a lefs Number than fix; we perceive the Relation of Inequality, which is between the Relation of the Number twice two and four, and the Relation of four and fix; acquiefcing in which Perception, we conclude it a lefs Number than fix. But this belongs to the third and fourth Parts. Yet we thought it proper to make this fhort Remark here, that the Diftinction we brought in the beginning of various Relations fhould not be look'd on as empty and vain; for unlefs we retain this, we know not what our Mind does in Judging and Reafoning. All our Ideas may be referr'd to Subjlances, Modes, and Rebations.

## C H A P. V.

Of Ideas which are offered to the Mind witbout any Operation of its oron ; and of thofe, in the forming which fome Operation of the Mind does intervene.

THERE are certain Ideas which are only confider'd by the Mind, without any manner of Addition; fuch are all Simple Ideas, which have not any Dependence on the Will and Pleafure of the Mind, and in fpite of that, are always the fame. 'Thus the Mind has no Command over Pleafure, or Pain. Now the other fimple Ideas, which we have enumerated before, we find to be of that Nature, as that if the Mind endeavour to detract any thing from them, they utterly perifh, and ceafe to be; nor can it add any thing, without the Deffruction of their Simplicity.
2. To this fame Head we may refer thofe Compound Idicas which offer themfelves to the Mind, without our thinking of the Matter, fuch as the Ideas of Things that exift; which Things affect our Senfes, and excite certain Ideas of themfelves in our Mind.
3. Thefe Ideas are term'd Real, becaufe they proceed from Things exifing without us. On the contrary, there are other Compound Ideas, which are not brought to the Mind from abroad, but are compounded by that, according to its Pleafure. 'Thus, by joining the Ideas of balf a Mon, and balf a Horfe, the Idea of a Centaur is form'd; which is done in no other manner, than by the Mind's Will to have the Image of a Centour the Object of its View ; or by confidering at once the Body of a Man from the Wairt to the Head, and the Body of a Hor/e with the Head and Neck cut off: For fuch is the Force of the Human Mind, that it can join whatever is not contradictory, by its Contemplation, and refcind whatever it pleares. Thefe Ideas, thus compounded by the Mind, we call Phantaffic.
4. As the Mind can confider thofe Things together, which in Reality, and without itfelf, are not join'd together in one Exiftence ; fo can it confider thofe Things feparately, which do not in Reality exift feparately. And this fort of Contemplation, which is called Alffraction, is of great Uf fo to the accurate Confideration of Compound Ideas. For we cannot, if they confift of a larger Number of Parts, diftinctly fee them in our
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Mind all together ; 'tis therefore an Advantage to us, that we can examine fome of them feparately, a little delaying the Confideration of the reft.
5. Abfrafion is made principally three Ways: Firf, Our Mind can confider any one Part of a Thing really diftinit from it, as a Man's Arm, without the Contemplation of the reft of his Body. But this is not properly Abfrasticn, fince the Arm is, without the Interpofition of the Mind, feparated diftinct from the Borly, tho' it cannot live, that is, be nourifh'd, increafe, or move in that Separation.
6. Sccondly, We think by Abfraction of the Mode of a Sub. fance, omitting the Subftance iffelf, or when we feparately confider feveral Modes, which fubfift together in one Subject. This Alffraction the Geometricicons make ufe of, when they confider the Length of a Body fepara:ely, which they call a Line, omitting evidently the Confideration of its Breadth and Depth. And then its Length and Breadth together, which they call the Surface. By the fame Abfraction we can diftinguifh the Determination of a Motion, towards what Place directed, from the Motion itfelf.
7. Tbirdly, We, by Abffration, omit the Modis and Relations of any particular Things, if from it we form a Univerfal Idea. Thus, when we would undertand a Thinking Being in general, we gather from our Self.Confcioufnefs what it is to bink, and, omitting the Confideration of thofe Things which have a peculiar Reference to the Human Mind, we think of a thinking Being in general. By this Means particular Ideas become general.
8. That we may not err in judging of the Ideas mention'd in this Chapter, we muft make thefe Obfervations: Firff, That thofe Iteas which offer themfelves to the Mind without any Operation of its own, muft of neceffity be excited by fome external Caufe, and fo are plac'd before the Mind as they are. But we mult take heed that we do not think that there is always in thofe Things themfelves which excite thofe Ideas, any Thing like them, becaufe it may happen that they are not the true and real Caufes, but only the Occafions by which thofe Iaeas are produc'd. And this Sufpicion ought to heighten by what we experience in our Dreams, when by the Cccafion of the Motion of the Brain there are the Images of Things fet before us, which are not prefent themfelves, and often have no Exifence in Nature. Wherce we may gather from fuch like Idcas, that the Caufe or Occafion of their Production has an external Subfiltence, and not in the Mind.
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9. Secondly, As to thofe Idcas which are compounded by the Mind, we eafily imagine, firt, that the Originals of fuch Idcas may poffibly fomewhere exift ; and then, that they really do, unlefs we are manifenty convinced by Experience, that they never did reaily exift conjuncly, and fo join together. And on the contrary, tbat thofe Things which the Mind confiders feparately by 13 ffration, do really exilt in that feparate State: As the Mathematical Point without any Parts ; and Linis confifting oniy of thofe Points join'd together, without Breadth or Depth, and Surfaces without Depth; whereas Demonftration fhews the contrary, and thofe Terms are only made ufe of by the Mathematicians for the fake of the Inftruction of the Learners of that Art.
10. We mult here farther warn you againft another Error too frequent among the School Min, that is, not to make thofe really diftine: Things, or different Beings, which we have diftinguifh'd by Abftraction.

## C H A P. VI.

Of Individuals, Particular and Univerfal Ideas.

1. THAT we have faid of Alferacion leads us to the Confideration of Ideas, as they are individual, par ticular, and univerfal; for they are made farticular and univerfal from individual, by Abfraction; in which Matter we proceed in this Manner: When we confider ourfelves in our Mind, or any one Man before us, then we have the Idea of an Isdividual, or an individual Idea. But if we omit thofe Things which are peculiar to us, or that one Man, and confider what is common to us and many others; fuch as to be born in the fame Country, to be of the fame Party, and the like, then is the Idea of fome particular Nation, or Family, Egc. placed before us: But, laftly, if omitting thefe particular Difinctions common to us and a certain Number of Men, we confider what is common to us and all Mankind, we have then an univerfal Idea.
2. The Names that fignify individual Ideas, are called proper; as Alexander, Cafar. But thofe which fignify particular and univerfal Ideas, are called appellative, or common; as, a Briton, a Cbriftian, a Man.
3. Farther-We may diftinguifh in thofe Ideas certain Progerties which are confantly united in them, and external Sub-
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jcats agreeable to thofe Ideas, or fuch as the Ideas agree with. Thus in the Idea of Man we difcover or fee a thinking Mind, and a Body confifting of certains Organs; but this Idca agrees with the Inhabitants of Europd, Afia, Africa, and Amcrica.

## C H A P. VII.

## Of the Perfpicuity and Obfourity of Ideas.

'BE F ORE we can pafs any certain Judgment of an Idea, it is firft neceffary that it thould be clear and perpicuous; otherwife, if we fhould happen to pafs a right Judgment on a Thing that is not known, or at leaft not fufficiently clear, it muft be attributed to Chance, and not to Knowledge. The Obfcurity and Clearnefs of Ideas are thercfore worthy our Confideration in the firt of Reafoning.
2. We call that a clear liden, when all it comprehends is fo difinctly plac'd before our Mind, that we can eafily diftinguifh it from all others.
3. All fimple Ideas are clear, fuch as Senfations; fuch therefore is the Idea of Light: For when we have that Idea before us, we fee all that is in it, nor can we confound it with any other. We may fay the fame of Sounds, Scents, Taftes, Pleafure, Pain, $E^{\circ} c$. which can rever be confounded or mingled with each other. And thefe Senfations increafe in their Clearnefs in Proportion to the Livelinefs of their ftriking on the Organ proper to them ; for by how much the more vehemently the Mind is ftiook, with fo much the more Attertion it applies to the Subject, and fo this lively Idea is more clearly diftinguih'd from all others.
4. Thefe fimele Ideas are alfo perfpicuous or clear, which the Mind receives without the Interpofition of the Body : Examples of which we have given under the Head of $\sqrt{m} \mathrm{mple}$ and compound liteas. But as we can confider the Parts of a compound ldea feparately, fo we view them fingly, or one by one as fimple Ideas, of which they are compounded: Thus alfo all abfract Ideas are clear, tho' the Subject in which they exif be unknown. We can in all Subftances, of which we know any Properties, felect fome Property, which being by Abfraction feparated from all the reft, becomes fimple, and by confequence clear, altho' it exift in a Subject which we do not krow. Thus Hu-
manity, generally confider'd, is made a fimple Idea, and therefore indivifible.
5. But thefe fame Ideas are often made obfcure when they are confidered without Abfraction, together with other Ideas that are obfcure, and co-exift in the Subject: Thus when the Queftion is not, what Humanity or Reafon is in general, but what Reafon is in Stephen, or in Thomas, and what is its numerical Difference.
6. Thefe compounded Ideas are clear, all whofe Parts, or fimple Ideas of which they are compounded, are perfectly known to us. But thofe we call obfcure, of which we only know fome Parts. Thus when we know all the Units of which any Number confifts, we certainly know the Number; but if we have gone through but fome of the Units, we cannot know how much the whole is; and have therefore a confus'd Idea of it.
7. Whenever, therefore, we are to judge of any thing, we muft firt diftinguifh all its Parts, if it confift of Parts, and then give Judgment: Elfe we fhould do as if we fhould give the Sum Total of an Accompt, and not know the particular Numbers or Figures which make it up. But more of this in the Third Part.
8. But if in the Things which fall under our Confideration we cannot fufficiently diftinguifh their Parts, and give a certain Enumeration of them, we muft then fairly confels, that either they are not in the Number of thofe Things to which the Knowledge of Man can extend, or that it requires more Time to examine into the Matter.
9. It much conduces to the Clearnefs of an Idea compounded by ourfelves or others, if the Farts which compofe it are always of the fame Number, and in the fame Order ; otherwife, if the Number of the fimple Ideas of which it's compofed, can be increafed or leffened, or their Order inverted, the Memory; and fo the Mind, is confounded. Thus, if any one has with Care caft up any Sums, and placed them in any certain Order, as often as he has a mind to remember them, he eafily does it, if there has been no Abftraction or difplacing in the Accompt. But on the contrary, the former Computation and Difpofition is deftroy'd, if the Numbers are difturb'd, and thrown out of their Places.
10. In fhort, the Nature of Per.picuity or Clearnefs, is fuch when it is at its height, that it compels our Affent. We cannot have the leaft Doubt but that Pleafure is different from Pain, or that twice Two make Four. On the contrary, we find a
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Yower in our Minds of fufpending our Judgment, when there is any Obfcurity in the Idea. But 'tis certain, that we often rafhly yield our Affent to obfcure Ideas. But ftill we have Liberty to deny it ; which we cannot do to an Idea which has a complete Perfpicuity or Clearnefs.

## C H A P. VlII.

## Of Adequate and Inadequate, or Perfect and Im-

 perfect Ideas.1. E have obferved in the firf Chapter, that Ideas are the Images of Things which are without us, by the Force or Occafion of which they are excited in us; but they may be the Images of the whole Thing that excites them, or only of a Fart. When they reprefent the Whole, they are call'd adequate, or perfect ; when but a Part, they are call'd inadiquate, or imperfect. Thus, if we fee only the fquare Surface of a Cube, then the Idea of a fquare Figure, not of a Cuve, is in our Mind; which, therefore, is cali'd an inadequate or imperfcit lidca. On the contrary, if we behold a Triangie drawn on a Piece of Paper, and think of a Triangle in Plane, we have an adiquate or perfect Idea in our Mind.
2. All fimple Ideas are adequate or perfect, becaufe the Faculty (be it what it will) that excites them, repretents them intire. Thus the Pain that we feel fignifies, that there is fome Faculty of fome Being without us, which excites that Idea in us againft our Will. Eut we muft proceed no farther, for a fimple Idea reprefents a fimple Cbject ; but it does not inform us where it is, or whether that Faculty be united to any others. We may therefore, without Fear of Error, gather from any Senfation, that there is fomething out of our Mind which is by Nature adapted to excite it in us.
3. The Ideas of Modes are alio adiquate or perfect, except of thofe Modes which are likewife Subftances. For when we underftand no Modes feparately exifting, they are only confidered by us feparately from the Subitances by way of Alffraction; but all abfiract Ideas are at quate or perfec, fince they reprefent all that Part of the Sulject which we then confider. Thus the Idea of Roundnefs is perfeez or adiquiate, becaufe it (ffers to our Mind all that is in Roundne/s in general. The Idea
of a Triangle in general is adequate or perfect, becaufe when it is before my Mind, I fee all that is common to Triangles that can be.
4. Of the fame kind are all Ideas, of which we know no original or external Object really exitting out of them, by the Occafion of which thofe Ideas are excited in us, and of which we think them the Images. Thus, when a Dog is before us, it is the external Cbject, without us, which raifes the Idza in our Mind; but the ldia of an Animal in general, has no external Object to excite it ; it is created by the Mind itfelf, which adds to, and detracls from it whatever it pleafes; whence it muft of neceffity be adequate or perfeec.
5. But here again, we muft take heed of what we have before cautioned, that is, that we do not fuppofe that there are any fuch Objects really exifting without us, becaufe the Mind has been pleafed to entertain itfelf with the Ideas: For that would be as if a Painter that had drawn a Centour, or Hundred-handed Enceladus, fhould contend, that there were fuch Beings really exiftent in Nature.
6. The Ideas of all Subftances are inadequate or imperfect, which are not form'd at the Pleafure of the Mind, but gather'd from certain Properties which Experience difcovers in them. This is fufficiently evident from what we have faid of Sulfances in the third Cbofter. For there we have fhewn that we only know fome of the Properties of Subfances, not all; and therefore their Ideas muft be imperfica or inadequate. Thus we know that Silver is white, that it can be melted, and be diminifhed by the Fire as it melts; that it can be drawn up to Wire, and diffolved by Aqua fortis, \&c. but we are wholly ignorant of the inward Difpofition or Conflitution of the Particles of which Silver confifts, and from whence thofe Properties proceed. Thus the Idea of Silver not reprefenting to the Mind all the Properties of Silver, is inadequate or imperfect.
7. Here the greateft Danger is, left we confound inadiquate or imperfect ldeas with the ad quate or perfect. For we are too apt to fanfy, that when we know a great many Properties of any Thing, and cannot difcover any more by all our Induftry, we have the whole Subject. Thus fome ingenious Men of our Times, imagin'd they had difcover'd all the Properties of the Mind, becaufe they could find nothing in it but Thoughts ; ard therefore faid, the Mind was only a binking Sulfazuce; and fo they contend that there is nothing elfe in Body but Extenfon, Impenetrability, and Divififility, becaufe
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caufe they could difcover nothing elfe; but they could never yet fhew us what thofe Subftances were, whofe Properties were to think, to have Parts, $\mathcal{F}_{c}$. There is no Exiftence of Subfance in general ; and tho' we underftand this Word in general, it does by no means follow, that we undertand it when it is fpoke of any particular Subject, which we muft be fure to have a particular Regard to.

The End of the Firft Part of the Art of Reasoning.


THE

## [233]

## 

# T H E <br> Second Part of LOGIC; <br> O R, 
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## of JUDGMENTS.

## C H A P. I.

Of Judgment in the Mind, and exprefs'd in Words.

HAVING confider'd Ideas and their Properties particularly, we come now to treat of $\overline{7}$ udgments, in which various Ideas are compared with each other. We muft firf accurately diftinguifh the $\mathcal{F}$ udgment as it is in the Mind, from the Words in which it is exprefs'd, if we would know what it is.
2. Judginent, as it is in the Mind, and unwritten, is a Perception of the Relation that is between two or more Idicas. Thus when we judge that the Sun is greater than the Moon, having compar'd the two Ideas of the Sun and Moon, we find that the Idea of the Sun is greater than that of the Moon, and our Mind perfectly acquiefces in this Perception, nor makes any farther Inquiry into the Matter. When we judge two Members to be unequal, by having obferv'd the Inequality of their Idzas, our Mind gives itfelf no further Trouble in their Examination in that refpect, but only confines to its Memory that thofe two Members were found to be unequal.
3. We mult here obferve, That our Mind can give its Af. fent to obfcure Ideas, as well as to thofe which are clear; or acquiefce in a Thing as perfectly difcover'd, which yet it has no perfect Knowledge of, and can commit this to the Memory as 2 Thing perfectly known. Thus we may judge the fixed Stars
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lefs than the Moon, by comparing the obfcurc Ideas of thofe Stars and the Moen, and then take it for a Point not to be argued againf, as clear and evident. The Mind has alfo a Faculty of fufpending its Afient, till by an accurate Examen of the Ideas, the Subject becomes clear and evident; or if it be of fuch a Nature that we cannot arrive at a perfpicuous Perception, we contifue in Doubt or Sufpenfe, and commend it to the Memory as a dubious Matter. This Faculty which we obferve in our Mind, of giving our Afient to obfcure Idcas, or denying it, is call'd Liberty.
4. But we cannot make ufe of this Faculty, when the Subject of our Thoughts has the laft and greateft Perfpicuity that can be. For Example, we can by no means in the World perfuade ourielves, that twice Two do not make Four, or are not equal to Four ; or that the Part is no lefs than the Whole, and the like Maxims of the moft evident Truths; for as foon as ever we hear them, the Mind cannot deny its Affent, but neceffarily acquiefces, without finding in itfelf the leaft Defire or Inclination of making any farther Inquiry into the Matter.
5. This is a Judgment as it is in the Mind, which when exprefs'd in Words, we call a Propofition, in which fomething is always affirmed or denied. That Part of the Propofition of which fomething is affrmed or denied, is call'd the Subject; the other Part, which is faid by the Negation or Affirmation, is call'd the Attribute. Thus when we fay that Poverty is to be reliev'd, or Porverty is no Vice; the Word Poverty is the Subject; to be relicu'd, and Vict, are the Attributes. But befides thefe two Parts, we mult confider the Cofula, or Conneefive Word, by which, when 'tis alone, 'tis affirmed that there is fome Relation between the Subject and the Attribute; but by adding a negative Particle, that fame Relation is deny'd: In the prefent Inftances we affirm in the firf, that there is a Relation between the Idea of Poverty, and the Idea of Relicf; fo that the Idea of Poverty in our Mind includes the Idea of Reliff; and in the latter Inftance we deny that the Idea of Porverty excites in us the Confideration of any thing bafe or wicked.
6. Propofitions are fometimes expreffed in many Words, and fometimes in few. Henry rages, is an intire Propofition, for 'tis the fame as if we fhould fay, Henry is raging.
7. Profofitions are either fimple or compound; the fimple are exprefs'd in one Word; as, God is good: The compound in many, as God, who is good, cannot dilight in the Mifery of Man.

## C H A P. II.

## Of Univerfal, Particular, and Singular Propofitions.

1. $J$ E have in the former Part divided Ideas into Unirosrfal, Particular, and Singular, and faid that the Words by which they were expreffed, might be ranged under the fame Heads. Hence the Propogticns have the fame threefold Divifion.
2. When the Subject is univerfal, or taken in its whole Extent, without excepting any fubordinate Species or Sort, or any other individual, which is contained under it, than is the Propofition called univerfil. This Univerfality is exprefed by the Word all, when the Propofition is affirmative ; and by that of none or sio, when it is negative; all Men are free, is an univerfal affirmative Propofition, and no Man is frce, is an univerfal Negative.
3. But when the Subject has fome Mark or Note by which we fhew, that not all the Sorts or Species, or Individuals, which are compriz'd under that Word, are meant ; then is the Propofition particular; as, fome Man is free. By the Word fome we intimate that we do not here underftand all that is fignified by the general Word, Man, but that we only defign a Part by the Word fome.
4. Singular or individual Propofitions are thofe in which we affirm only of fome one individual Perfon or Thing ; as Alixander was choleric. Thefe Propofitions have a great Affinity to the Univerfals in this, that the Subject of both is taken in its full and whole Extent. Hence the individual Propofitions in the common Rules of Argumentation are taken for Univerfals.
5. To pafs over the trifling of the Schools, which make Logic the Art of Difputing, not Reofoning, and have more regard to make the Student talk of any thing pro or con, than to find out the Truth, we muft obferve, that an Obfervation flowing from what we have before faid of Subitance, is of more Importance for the Difcovery of the Truth, the only juft End of Reafoning. That is, that univeifal Propofitions, when of the Kinds or Species, or of the Generals and Particulars of Subfances, cannot be with any Certainty made agreeable to the Things themfelves; becaufe fince we do not know the Effences of them, we cannot affirm, that all Subfances in which
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which we difcover fome certain Attributes equally to co exift, are in thofe of which we know nothing alike, or the fameAs for Example: We difcover and obferve, that there are certain fingular Attributes conftantly co-exifting in all Men; yet who can affure us whether all their Minds are alike, fo far as that, what Difference betwixt Particulars is vifible, arifes from external Caufes in refpect of the Mind, as from the Body, from Education, and the like; or that there is really fome real Difference between them in the Subflance of the Mind itfelf? The Difference of the Wit and Genius of Men feem to perfuade the latter Opinion, which is obfervable in two Brothers who have had the fame Education; but fince we know not whether the Brain in both is difpofed in the fame manner, the Diverfity of the Wit and Ingenuity may proceed from that Caufe.
5. Thus fuch as with Affurance affirm, that the inmoft Effence of all Bodies is the fame; if they are in the right, they owe that more to Chance than to any clear Knowledge of the Matter : For there might be a plain Difference betwixt the inmoft Effence of various Bodies, altho' they agree in having feveral of the fame Attributes, which we do know. We fhould therefore take a particular Care, as to thefe general Propofitious of Subftances, not to give up our Affent to fuch who pretend to have a perfect and clear Knowledge of their inmoft Effence.
6. The Miodes, whofe intire Effence is known to us, fall under a different Confideration ; for we may form general Affertions of them, of indubitable Truth. Hence it is that Geometry, which is wholly converfant with the Modes, is built on the moft certain Foundation, and delivers univerfal Rules of all Figures and Magnitudes, which cannot be deftroy'd or oppofed.

## C H A P. III.

Of what is Trutb and Falbhood, and whether there be any certain Difference between them.

"BY Reafoning to find out the Truth, being the juft Aim of this our Art, we fhall pafs over the feveral Claffes of Propofitions fet down by the common Logicians, and which are of little Confequence in any thing, but of no man-
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ner of Ufe to this more important End. We fhall therefore here treat of the Truth and Falfhood in general of all Propofitions, that we may learn to diftinguifh the one from the other.
2. That Propofition is true, which is agreeable, or anfwers to the Nature of the Thing, of which any being is affirm'd or deny'd. Thus when we fay that 4 is the one fourth Part of twice 8, that Propofition is true, becaufe agrecable to the Na ture of thefe Numbers. If we fay that twice 4 is equal to twice 3, the Propofition is falfe, becaufe it is not anfwerable to the Nature of thefe Numbers.
3. Whoever will fpeak ferioufly what he thinks, will confefs, that he neceffarily believes, that there is no Medium between Truth and Falfbood. It is certain, that all Propofitions, confider'd in themfelves, appear to us either true or falfe; for 'tis a Contradiction to be agrecable or confentanecus, and not consentancous and agreeable to the Tbings. There are indeed fome probable Propofitions, or furpected of Falfity; but this has nothing to do with the Nature of Propofitions, which is in itfelf determinately true or falfe; but to our Knowledge, which is not (in refpeet of there Propofitions) fufficient to enable us to determine with Certainty. Of which hereafter.
4. There have been fome who have afferted, that this only was certain, that notbing ruas certain, and that Trutb had no Criterion or certain Mark to be known from Fal/bood in any thing elfe but that one Maxim. But fince they cou'd not deny but that they held this Maxin for a certain 'i ruth, there muft be, even according to them, fome Mark of Truth, by which they excepted that Maxim from the Uncertainty of all other Propofitions. And they were of Opinion, that they had found the Marks of Uncertainty in all thefe Things, which the other Philofophical Sects held for undoubted Trutbs. They therefore determined pofitively of all 'Things at the fame time that they pretended to doubt of all things, while they afferted, that all that was faid by others, was uncertain. We cannot therefore condemn the Pyrrbonians and Acadimics, as denying that Truth was not at all known to us, while they thought they did truly judge of the Uncertainty of all Things; in which they were as dogmatic and pofitive as any of the other Philofophers.
5. But that we may fatisfy ourfelves, we muft make it the Object of our Inquiry to know, that what we affirm of Things is confentaneous or agreeable to their Nature. If we
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will give ourfelves the Trouble to look into our own Minds, we fhall find that there are fome Things which compel our Affent, but other Things of which we can fufpend our Judgmenr. When we clearly and diftinclly difcover the certain Relation between two Ideas, we cannot but acquiefce in that Perception, or think ourfelves obliged to make farther Inquiries about it. Thus the Relation of Equality between twice 4 and 8 , is fo manifeft and evident, that we cannot entertain the leaft Doubt of the Matter.
6. But fhould any Man affirm, that there were Inbabitants in the Moon, after a long Confideration of this Propofition we fhall find that we are by no means compell'd to give our Affent to it; the Reafon of which is, that we do not diftinctly and plainly difcover any neceffary Relation between the Moon and any manner of Inhabitants ; but that we can doubt of that Relation, 'till it be made evident to our Underfanding.
7. Hence we may gather, that Evidence alone can remove all our Doubts. What remains is, that we inquire whether it follows, that that Propofition is true, of which we have no reafon to doubt.
8. We muft firf in this Queftion obferve, that it is intirely fuperfuous among Men, becaufe whatever Judgment we make of it, we cannot change our Nature. We neceffarily give our Affent to thofe Things which are evident, and we fhall always preferve our Faculty or Power of doubting in thofe Things which are obfcure.
9. Secondly, If Evidence fhould be found in Propofitions that are falfe, we muft neceffarily be compelled into Error, fince we neceflarily give our Affent to Evidence. Hence would follow this impious Pofition, That God, who made us, is the Author of our Errors, fince he has thus put us under a Necefity of falling into them. But it is only confiftent with a wicked Nature to oblige us to be deceiv'd, of which in the leaft to fufpect God, would be the Height of Impiety.
10. Tbiraly, We neceffarily love Truth, and hate Error; for there is no body who is not defirous of knowing the Truth, and no body is willingly deceiv'd. But who can prevail with himfelf fo much as to fufpect, that we are made in fuch a Manner by a Beneficent Deity, that we fhould love that with the greateft Vehemence, which we either could not obtain, or not know whether we obtain'd it or not ; which is much the fame?

11. Fourthly,
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11. Fourthly, If we fhould err in Things that are evident, as well as in thofe which are not fo, we fhould fometimes in the evident Propofitions find Contradistions, which are commonly found in thofe which treat of Things that are obfcure. On the contrary, evident Things are always agreeable to each other, when frequently evident Things difagree with thofe that are obfcure: Whence we may conclude, that Evidence cannot deceive, but Error is confin’d to Oblcurity.
12. Evidince is, therefore, the Criterion or Mark of $\mathcal{T}_{\text {ruth }}$; and thofe Things we ought to think true, to which we neceflarily give our Affent. For this is likewife the Mark or Characteriftick of Truth, that it neceffarily compels our Affent. Whatever, therefore, we fee evidently agreeable to the Things of which we fpeak, that we muft think true. On the other hand, when we find any Propofition evidently contrary to the Nature of the Thing under our Confideration, we may jufly declare that to be falfe.
13. But to decide peremptorily in a Matter that is obfcure, is very rafh and inconfiderate, as we have obferv'd in the Firt Part, of the Clearnefs or Oefcurity of Ideas, which we thall not repeat. But fince thofe Things which are really oblcure, are often afferted to be evident, whoever would avoid that Error, ought, as much as he can, to furpend his Judgment, and nicely to examine whether he be not influenced by fome Inclination, or Paffion, or Party, when the finding out the Truth ought to be his whole Ain!; and then he will never give his Affent to Things that are falfe and obfcure.

## C H A P. IV.

Of the feveral Steps or Degrees of Perfpicuity in Propofitions, and of Verifimilitude, or Probability.

1. $P$ Ecaufe all that we believe is not built on any evident Knowledge, the Philofophers have obferv'd in our znowledge feveral Degrees; all which however may be reluced to thefe two, Science and Opinion.
Science is a Knowledge deriv'd from the Introfpection or ooking into the Thing iffelf of which we difcourfe, and which xcludes all manner of Doubt. But it may arife from a fimple Intuition
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Intuition or View of the Ideas; as when we confider this Propofition.-The whole is greater than a Part, and the like ; whofe Truth is known by Evidence alone, without any Reafoning on the Point: Or by deducing certain Confequences, and thofe more remote from evident Principles; fuch as are innumerable Geometrical Demonftrations, neceffarily deduced by a long Chain of Arguments from their firft Principles.
3. Opinion is the Affent of the Mind to Propofitions not evidently true at the firft Sight, nor deduc'd by neceffary Confequence from thofe which are evidently true, but fuch as feem to carry the Face of Truth. Thus 'tis probable, that the Writers of the Life of Alexander magnify'd too much his Exploits. 'Tis not probable, or likely, that he ever receiv'd the Queen of the Amazons, or pafs'd the Mountain Caucafus.
4. Some here add Faith or Belicf, which is an Affent given to any one that tells any Thing which we have not feen ourfelves, nor found out by any Argumert or Ratiocination. But that Faith or Belief depends either on fome neceffary Conclufion deduc'd from evident Arguments, or only on a probable Opinion, and fo may be referr'd to one of the two Heads already mention'd.
5. Ta thefe we might add Doubting, or a doultful ADent ; tho' this be likewife a Species or Sort of Opinion, and ufes to be contain'd under the general Name of Opinion. For the Afient is doubtful when the Probability is weak, which when flrong, produces firm Ofinion. But to make thefe clearer to the Underftanding, we will make a gradual Rifing from Probability to Evidence.
6. Since, as we have feen in the former Chapter, thofe are cali'd true Propofitions, which agree with the Nature of the Things of which they are fpoken; and thofe probable, which only feem to agree to the Nature of the Thing under Confideration; that Probability may be greater or lefs, and fo produces either a ftronger or weaker Opinion. But it is built, fummarily confider'd, on our Knowledge and Experience, whether true or falfe.
7. But to rife from the lowef to the highen Probability, we muft frft obferve, that the loweft Degree of Probability is built on the Relation of anoth re, where that is the only Motive or Belief; in which yet many 'Things are to be confider'd.
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8. If the :'erfon who gives the Relation be wholly unknown to us. altho' what he tells is not incredible, yet we cannot give an intire Credit to him, when there are no other Circumflances to add a Weight to his Narration, becaufe we have had no other Experience of his Cridibility, or whether he be worthy of Beliet or not. But if we have fome flight Knowledge of him, we are the more ready to believe him, efpecially it he be a noted Man of great Authority with many, tho' we know no: whether he has gain'd that Fame and Authority by his Merits or not. Nay, we rather believe a rich Man, of indifferent Qualifications, than a poor Man, becaufe we fuppofe the former more converfant with Perfons fikill'd in Affairs, than the latter. An honeft Countenance, and Difcouríe full of Probity, eafily win our Affent.
9. If any one with whom we are better acquainted tells us any thing, the more known that is, the more Inflances we have of his Veracity, the more ready he finds us to have Affurance in the Truth of what he tells us, tho' he may deceive us even in that very Narration. 'Tis with Difficulty we can perfuade ourfelves that we are deceiv'd by a Perion whom we have known generally to be a Man of Veracity, fince Men who have got a Habit of fpeaking Truth, or any other Habit, feldom act contrary to the conftant Difpofition of their Mind.
10. There are, befides, various Circumftances which add Force to the Teftimony of others; as if it were a Thing of that kind in which he could fcarce be deceiv'd; as if Men of Sabriety and Temper fhould tell us, that they had feen, touch'd, and accurately examin'd fome particular Thing, and not with a tranfient curfory View. The Yrobability is heighten'd, if the Belief of their Hearers be of no Advantage to them; or if they incur a confiderable Danger by telling it, which they might avoid by faying nothing of the Matter; if to thefe the Number of Witneffes be increas'd, the Probability will be fo ftrong, that unlefs the Narration be oppofite to the Nature of the Thing, we can fcarce be able to deny our Affent.
11. Secondly, What here affects our Minds, is drawn from the very Nature of the Thing, and our own Experience. Whoever will tell us Stories that are impoffible, can never gain our Belief, as long as the Narration labours under that Character ; for that is the Mark of Falhood.
12. 'Tis firf of all Things neceffary, that what is fpoken fhould be thought poffible: If we have never feen it, nor heard that any other has experienc'd the like, tho' the Matter
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itfelf be not actually impoffible, yet it will find but little Credit with us: For Example, - If any one fhould tell us, That he had feen in the Indies a Brilliant Diamond as big as a Man's Head ; tho' in this our Mind can difcover nothing plainly impoffible, or contradictory, yet fhould we fcarce believe it, becaufe we never ourfelves faw one fo large, or ever heard of any one elfe who had.
13. When we ourfelves have feen any thing like it, or have known others who have feen the like, we then confider how feldom, or how often it has happen'd; for the more frequent a Thing has been to our Eyes, or thofe of others to our Knowledge, the eafier Credit it finds with us; and on the contrary, the feldomer, the more difficultly believ'd. Thus if any one tell us, that he has feen a Stone Bridge over a River one or two hundred Paces long, he will find no Difficulty in gaining our Belief: But we give Credit more hardly to him who fhall tell us that he has feen a Bridge of folid Marble, four Miles in Length over an Arm of the Sea, and another Bridge of four hundred Foot in Length, of only one Arch, as they fay there are in Cbina.
14. By the Teft of the fame Experience we examine the Circumftances of the Manner of doing any thing, the Circumflances of the Perfons, Place and Time; and if thefe agree with what we know, they add a Force to the Relation. We farther are apt to confider and weigh the Caufes or Motives which mov'd him, to whom the Action is attributed, to do it. For if the Thing be fingular, uncommon, and out of the way, we can fcarce believe that it fhould be done without folid and weighty Reafons, of which while we are ignorant, the Matter of Fact muft at leaft remain dubious in our Minds. But if thefe folid and cogent Reafons are known, we ceafe to doubt, or at leaft we eafily believe the Matter of Fact, if withal it appear that the Agent knew thefe Reafons and Motives. Thus we eafily believe the many Prodigies or Miracies of the Old Teitament, done by God, becaufe they were of the moft momentous Importance to preferve at leaft one Nation uncorrupted by Idolatry, which could not have been done without thofe Miracles. But we can fearce perfuade our Minds to believe, that God, after the Chriftian Religion was eftablifh'd, fhould work Miracles on every triffing Occafion, as the Legends of the old Monks and modern Papifts pretend.
15. We muft feek the third Motive of our Belief in ourfelves: For there are fome Events, the Truth of which cannot appear to any, but fuch whofe Minds are firt qualify'd by

Logic ; or, the Art of Reafoning. 243 fome certain Knowledge: As for Example, thefe are fome Events of Ancient Hiftory: There was a King of Macedon, whofe Name was Alexander, who fubdu'd Afia, baving voanquif'd King Darius. Thefe are fo well known to thofe who are converfant with the Greck and Roman Hiltory, that they can have no Doubt of the Truth ; but it is not fo evident to a Man who is wholly unacquainted with Hiftory; for the former has read many Writers of various Nations and Times, all concurring in the fame Account ; he knows the Series of the whole Hiftory with which thefe are connested, and came to that Knowledge by degrees, by much Reading. To fatisfy another in this Point, he muft lead him up the fame Steps by which he mounted, elfe he will find it difficult to make one obftinate believe him.
16. In this Probability of Relations, the fewer or more of thefe Circumftances occurring, make it the weaker or ftronger. Nay, when they all, or the greateft Part meet, fo great is the Force of the joining of thofe Circumftances, that they affect our Mind like the bigheft Evidence. For Example; he who reads the Reman Hifory, can no more doubt but that there was fuch a Man as Julius Cafar, and that he vanquifh'd Pompey, than that two Lines drawn from the Centre to the Circumference are equal.
17. As Evidence is the Criterion or Characteriftic of Truth in Things of Specularion, which depend on Reafoning, fo in Mattirs of Fait the Concourfe of fo many Circumftances is an undoubted Proof and Mark of Truth. 'Tis certain, that we can no more deny our Affent to thefe concurring Circumftances, than to the bigheft Evidince; they therefore either perfuade and reconmend the Truth, or (which is abfurd) God has fo form'd us, that we muft neceflarily be deceiv'd.
18. There is likewife a Probability which depends only on our own Reafoning, or Experience, without the Intervention of any thing elfe, and omitting thofe Circumfances, which we have enumerated. And here we may diftinguifh fuch various Steps and Degrees of Probability, that when we come to the higheft, it is no longer a mere Probability, but manifeft Truth, and compels our Affent without any Referve or Doubt.
19. I. When we confider Things, of which we have fome manner of Knowledge, but not a clear and perfect one, we may make a probable Judgment of them, better than if we were wholly ignorant of the Subject ; but this Probability is fo weak, that we may be perfuaded we have been in an Error. But when the Subject is perfectly known to us by Experiment,
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we may make more certain Judgment of fome Property of that Subject, which is not fo thoroughly underfood by us. Thus a Goldfmith, or Refiner, who has often melted Gold, and work'd it in divers Ways, can make better Judgment of fome Things which belong to that Metal, than a Man who has never been employ'd about it.
20. II. He who has fome time doubied of a Thing, and judges not of it but afier a ferious and long Scrutiiy, will make jufter Judgment of it than he who (without Experience) gives a rah and precipitate Judgment. 'Tis certain, we believe ourfelves more, after we have made a thorough inquiry into it, than when we are obliged to make a hafty and unpremeditated Judgment. We call not that a diligent Inquiry or Scrutiny, which leaves us in no manner of Doubt; for the Nature of the Thing of which we judge, does not always allow fo nice an Introfpection, as to free us from all manner of Doubt ; but fuch an Inquiry we call diligent, which is all that the Nature of the Thing will admit. Thus we can examine few, or rather no Subftances, fo far, as to affure ourfelves that we have a certain Knowledge of moft of its Properties. This makes all Natural hilofophy (which is not built on Experiments) a mere conjectural Amufement.
21. III. If we have been ufed to fuch Experiments before we give our Judgment, and have frequently given the like Judgments of other Things which have been approved by Experiments, taking thence a certain Affurance of a particular Faculty of finding out the Truth, we hope that with little Pains we have hit the Point ; yet this Affurance is often very fallacious, and leads us into Errors.
22. IV. Our Judgments of Things are either more certain or uncertain, as the Experiments were made a fhorter or longer Time from that in which we call them to Mind. For when our Memory of any Experiment is frefh, as well as the whole Courfe and Reafons of the Operation, our Judgments then feem more probable to us. But when we retain but a faint Memory of the Inquiry, then we are apt to entertain Doubts of our Diligence in the Courfe of the Operation, and we dare not maintain our Judgments with any manner of Confidence.
23. V. When Experience has difcover'd certain Properties in the Thing which we examine, which are commonly unknown, and only can be found out by Ratiocination, our Guets feems to us the more probable or likely, the more it agrees with thofe known Properties, If our Inquiry be which
which of the three Hypothefes of the Difpofition of the Solar Vortex in which our Earth is, be moft probable, that of Ptolemy, Tycho, or Copernicus; that of the laft is preferr'd to the other two, becaufe it accounts for all the Appearances in the Planets and fix'd Stars about us; whereas the other two leave many unaccounted for. In fuch Inquiries as thefe, the Simplicity of the Hypothefis is of very great Weight ; for the fewer Things we are obliged to fuppofe, for giving an Account of the Appearances, fo much the more plaufible is the Hypothefis, provided that by it we are able to account for all Things relating to it.
2.4. VI. When the Subject of our Inquiry is the Object of our Senfes, when we have apply'd our Senfes rightly difpos'd, then it is no longer a fimple Probability, but an indubitable Truth. There are feveral Cautions to be us'd in this Affair, which are to be learn'd in Natural Philofophy. We muft further obferve, that our Senfes were given us, not to arrive at a perfect Knowledge of the Nature of Objects, but only of what is neceffary to the Prefervation of our Lives.
25. But we give more Credit to fome of our Senfes than to others; thus we confide more in our Sight than our Hearing, becaufe the Objects of our Eyes ftrike ftronger on them than thofe of the Hearing on the Ears. But when feveral Senfes concur in the Difcovery of any Thing, as when we not only fee, but hear and touch, then there can be no other Doub remain of the Truth. Thus, if we fee, hear, and embrace our Friend, we cannot have the leaft Doubt of the Truth or Reality of what we do. Therefore this Conviction of the Senfes is no more to be refifted, than the Evidence arifing froma Reafoning.
26. From all that we have faid it is plain, that there is this Difference between a nlight or weak Probability, and it ftrongeft or higheft Degree, that we cannot deny our Affent to this, but we may in that fufpend our Judgment, of give it.
27. But the Ufe of thefe probable Propofitions is different in common Life, and in Philofophical, and merely Speculative Inquiries. For in common Life we very rarely depend on evident Arguments, but eiteem it a fufficient Warraut of our doing any thing, if back'd by no contemptible Probability. For fhou'd we not undertake any Action 'till we had the utmoit Evidence of what we ought to do, we might foon perifh; and yet common Prudence will not allow us always to act on the lightef Probabilities. We ought, as much as
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28. But, in Philofophical Things, we proportion our Affent to the Degree of Probability ; fo that to a weak Probability we give a weak Affent, a ftronger to one that is of greater Force, and a full and perfect one to that which comes up to Evidence. For to acquiefce intirely, as in Truth, in a Yropofition which is obfcure, by reafon of fome Appearance of Truth, is to throw ourfelves into manifeft Danger of E.rror.
29. But we muft not in all Things require a Matbomatical Evidence, fince that can only have place in abftracted or general, and adequate or perfect Ideas, all whofe Relations and Parts we know: But we ought in Matters of Fact to acguiefce in a Moral Ervidence, or the higheft Step or Degree of Yrobability, as we have defrribed it in this Chapter.

## CHAP. V.

## Of doubtful, fufpected of Faljity, and falfe Propofitions.

1. Hofe Things are dubious in general, in which there are no evident Marks of Truth or Falhood. We fometimes difooer fome few Circumftances in Things which ufe to produce Probality, without being join'd to any others which may excite any Sufpicion in us. Such are many ancient Hiltories, which we cannot reject, becaufe we find in them fome Things which have the Appearance of Falhood; nor yet admit as undoubted, becaufe they have not Evidence of Truth. Thus the Cbincfe Hiftory of their moft ancient Kings, efpecially of Fohi, who liv'd foon after Noah, we cannot be certain of its Truth, nor accufe them of Falhood. In like manner, we could neither condemn as falfe, or affert as true, that there are in the Univerfe many Inhabitants more than Mankind, and that fome Planets are the Refidence of happier, and others of more unhappy Natives.
2. There are fometimes certain Circumflances which ufe to attend a Falfehood, mixt with others, that are not improbable;
bable; but in fuch a manner, that the latter are either more numerous, or of greater Weight. There occur in the Fables of the Greeks the moft ancient Accounts or Reports of that Nation ; there are many manifeft Lyes or Falhoods, yet if we narrowly inquire into them, we fhall obferve many Circumftances, which fhew that it is highly probable that moft of thofe Things happened to the old Inhabitants of ancient Greece, which gave occafion to the Rife of thofe Fables; fo that thofe Things which are told by the Poets are not all falfe, but that it is very difficult to diftinguifh the Truth from the Falhood.
3. There are other Things in which the Reafons for our believing the Truth or Fallhood are equal. Many Authors pafs this Judgment of the Giants, and Gigantic Bones, which are faid to be found in many Places. Of the fame Kind are moft of thofe Stories of the Apparitions of evil Spirits, $E^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
4. Secondly, Thofe Propofitions are fufpetted of Fallhood, in which there are more and more weighty Marks or Signs of Falhood than of Truth, tho' even thofe Signs be not forcible enough to compel our Affent. Thefe Signs are oppofite to thofe of Probability, from whence they may be eafily gather'd.
5. We muft obferve here the fame Cautions which we have deliver'd about the probable Propofitions: 'That is, that we doubt of the Doubtitu, and maintain our Sufpicion of thore which are fufpected of Falfhood. It would be equally rah and inconfiderate, to confound them either with thofe which are evidently falfe, or evidently true. Nor ought they to be confounded with each other, as if where-ever there were any light Occafion of Doubt, there were a Necefity of fufpecting Falfhood.
6. We may juftly call in doubt thofe Propofitions which are oppofite to any Matbenatical or Moral Evvidence. It is therefore falfe, that a Human Body, fome Feet in L.ength, can be contain'd in a thin Bit of Bread; and of the fame Nature would that Propofition be, which fhould deny that there were eves fuch a City as Rome.
7. But tho' this be the Nature of falfe Propofitions, yet is it not always equally known; and for that Reafon, mifled by the Liberty of giving our Affent to obfcure Ideas, we often affert that as a Truth, which is falfe: Yet we can never own that for a Truth, the Falfity of which is fully known to us; for Trutb and Faljbood are oppofite.
8. The Univerfal Origin of the Error (and in which all others are contain'd) of believing that which is falfe to be true,
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is deriv'd from that Liberty we have mertion'd ; by means of which we give our Affent to Things that are obfcure, as if they were perfpicuous or plain: But there are other particular Caufes of this Error, which are fomething lefs general, and which are worth our Notice, that we may be aware of them.
9. Firft, Sometimes thofe who are to deliver their Judgment think not of fuch Reafons, or Arguments, which yet are in the Nature of the Thing. If Judgment be given then, it is four to one but he errs. Thus, fhould any one attempt to judge of the Elevation of the Pole, without proper Inftruments, unlefs he had Information of it fome other way, he may well be deceiv'd ; or if he hit on the Truth, it will be more by Chance than any Certainty deriv'd from his Art. The fame may be faid of determining of Nations without knowing the Hiftory of them, and the like.
10. Seconaly, The Ignorance of thofe who argue, is another Occafion of Error, who often have not improv'd their Wit and Judgneent by Study and Application. Thefe will not give their Afient, tho the moot weighty and forcible Reafons are produc'd, which would prevail with Men of Judgment and Skill, becaufe they have never learnt to reafon well, nor ever apply'd their Minds to underftand the Rules of Art. Thus we every Day find, that moft Mechanic Tradefmen, who employ their Time in Manual Operations for the Support of Life, reafon very foolifhly on thofe Things which are out of their own Employments, admitting very filly and trifing Arguments as folid; rejecing thofe which are really fo, as vain and of no Force. This is mon obfervable in Religion and Party-Matters, in which the Mob liftens to any thing that is prodigious with thirtty Ears. Nay, Men of higher Stations, Men of Quality, who wafte their Lives in Luxury and Pleafure, neglect their Judgment fo far, that they fcarce know or remember any thing befides what they learn from that Initructrefs of Fools, Experience ; and are eafily drawn into the moft abfurd Opinions, by the Addrefs of cunning Men, who have Art and Knowledge; of which we have too frequent Examples, both Ancient and Modern.
11. The Third Caufe of Error is, That Men often will not make ufe of thofe Arguments of Truth and Fal/bood, that are or may be known; which arifes from Paffions. Impatience of Labour (for Example) will not let them give themfelves the Fatigue of obferving the long Connexion of various Reafons and Arguments, which all make their Dependance on each other, or wait for the neceflary Number of Experiments, which
which a thorough Knowledge requires; and fo they pafs their Judgment, before they are thoroughly acquainted with the Subject. Another Reafon of this precipitate Judgment, is our Luft of Fame and Reputation, which we are over-hafty to enjoy, while we would feem to be learned, before we really are fo. The Hate of fome particular Man or Sect makes us condemn them, without Inquiry, or hearing their Arguments on any Account whatever. Of this (not to go fo far back as the Heathens) we have frequent Examples, both among the Ancient and Modern Cbrifizians.
12. The Fourth Source of Error is the fallacious Rules of Probability, which may be principally referr'd to four Heads or Clafies, which we tranfiently noted in our Difcourfe of Probubility.
13. The Firft is doubtful Opinions, which when admitted as certain, produce various other Errors, when they prove to be falfe themfelves. Thus, allowing that thofe were real Miracles which are told us by the Monks of former Ages, as being done at the Tombs or Images of fome Saints, it follows, that they are in the right who make Pilgrimages to fuch Shrines, and workhip fuch Images. And from thefe many more Errors would enfue, for many Confequences are deduc'd from one Principle.
14. The Second is of recciv'd Opinions, which are fuppos'd to be evidently certain, from our having found them from our Childhood admitted by all thofe with whom we have liv'd or convers'd, and whom we have lov'd. For 'tis no eaíy Matter to eradicate, or even render doubfful, an Opinion that has taken Root in us in our moof tender Years, before we could form a Jodgment of them. But Experience has thewn us, that very many Opinions, which have been generally, even univerfally receiv'd, by the greateft and mo:t extenfive Nations and People, are guilty of the greatef Falfhood; and whence, by Confequence, is born a numerous Race of Fictions. Thus, when moft of the Romans believ'd that Romulus and Remus were nurs'd by a Wolf; that Folly being admitted, it prepar'd their Minds for the Reception of many other fuch Trifles. Thus Trogus Pompeius would enforce the Belief, that one of the moft ancient Kings of Spain was fuckled by a Hart, from what the Romans held about Romulus and Remus.
15. The third may be referr'd to the Pafions, which prepare us for the Belief of certain Opinions, or arm us againft giving Credit to others. That often feems to us probable, to have which true may be of Confequence to our Intereft; for
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ve eafily believe what we defire, and as eafily hope that others think as we do. This is eafily difcover'd in our Wars; we fcarce ever believe the blunders of our own Generals, or the Defeats of our own Armies; on the contrary, we magnify our Vitsories, and the Sloth or ill Conduct of our Enemies. And in thefe Things we are fo pofiefs'd with Paffion, that we grow angry at thofe who would gently endeavour to fhew us, on how weak a Bottom we have built thofe Opinions. Thus in panic Fears, or any general Terror, every little Report is fufficient to throw a People into Contternation and Defpair.
16. In Speculative Opinions, we believe thofe true, from the Truth of which we derive Advantage, or imagine we do. There are, and have been, many among the Heathens, Ferws, Mahomutans, and not a few Cbrifians, who pretend to believe, or really do, feveral Things, the Belief of which conduces to their Benefit. If any Doubts or Scrupics arife in their Minds about thefe Opinions, which we cannot difbelieve without Trouble or Danger, we ftifle them in their very Birth, by turning our Mind to, and employing it on, fome other Object. We eafily are perfuaded to believe thofe Things which will bring us Honour and Reputation, but with greater Difficulty the contrary: Nay, Men are apt to betray this Paffion of the Mind fo far in Difcourie, that tho' they profefs that they fee and know the Truth, yet they difcover a Willingnefs to believe the contrary, provided they could be defended by any Authoriy.
17. When any fuch Opinion is admitted by the Choice of any affion, that fame Pafion will eafily perfuade us, that whatever is agreeable to that Opinion, and of Ufe to its Confirmation, is moft true. Thus the Romans having allowed and receiv'd the fupcrftitious Opinion of Prodigies, they believed any thing of the fame Kind, efpecially in Times of Diftrefs or Difficulty: And the Pafifts having declared for Image. Worhip, or the Pope's Supremacy, with Eagernels caich hold of any Opinion which may conduce to the Proof of them. But there are infinite Numbers of this Sort of Error, which has its Source from our ? Pafions.
18. The Fourth ill Reafon of Probability, is drawn from 'Authority, in our too great Credulity in that. We frequendly find Men, who indeed ought to know perfectly well the Human Underfanding, and the Human Faculties, giving Credit to another who affumes an Infallibility, tho' he has but very vain and empty Reafons for his rafh Prefumption. Certainly Men ought never to yield their Afent to fimple Authority, unfup-
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ported by Reafon, when the Point is of Things which we can only know by their Relation, esen when that Relation has the Marks of Truth.
19. We muft lafly obferve in all thefe Particulars, that there is a certain Heap or Complexion of Caufes, which throw us into Error; and that we rarely fall into it by the Force of one alone. Want of Argument; Ignorance in our Inquiries into thofe which we have; a Neglect of them, by which we are unwilling to confider them ; fallacious Reafons of Probability; taking dubious Opinions on Truft for evident Truths; Vulgar receiv'd Opinions; the Pafrons of the Mind; weak Authorities; all thefe fometimes break in upon our Mind at once, and fometimes in divided Bodies, and fo with Eafe bear us down into Error.
20. Againft all this there is one general Caution, which we have already laid down; and that is, That we never give our full Affent to any Propofition, whilft it is dubious or obfcure; but we fhould, as long as we can, deny our Affent, and proportion our Belief of Probability to the Degree, or Approach to Certainty or Truth.
21. But there are fome other particular Antidotes to be drawn from our Confideration of the Caufes which lead us into Error; that is, we ought, with our utmoft Care and Application, to examine, on our Inquiry into the Truth or Falfhood of any Propofition, whether our Inclination do admit or reject it, on account of fome of thofe Caufes which we have laid down. If we find then never fo little Reafon to fufpect any fuch Thing, we ought to fufpend our Judgment as long as pofibly we can, and examine farther into the Matter, and to confult fome other, who has not allow'd of this Opinion, from which alone great Help has been deriv'd.

## C H A P. VI.

Of Faith, or Belief.

1. TTE have faid that Faith or Belief may be referr'd to Science or Opinion, fo that what we have faid of thefe two may likewife be applied to Faith.
2. Faith or Belief, in general, is faid to be that Affent we give to a Propofition advanced by another, the Truth of which we gather, not from our own immediate Reafoning or Experience,
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rience, but believe it difcover'd by another. It may be diftinguifh'd into blind and Seeing. That we call blind Faith, by which we give our Affent to a Propofition advanced by another, of whofe Veracity we have no certain and evident Reafon or Proof ; and this Belief or Faith is altogether unworthy of a wife Man. The feeing Faith is that by which we give our Affent to a Propofition advanced by one who can neither deceive, nor be deceived ; but the more evident the Proof of this is, fo much the more ftrong and vigorous is the Faith or Belief.
3. Faith has likewife been diftinguifh'd into Dirine and Human. By the firft we believe what is affirm'd by God; by the latter, what is told us by Man. When we are equally convinced they are the Words of God, as of Men, the Divine Faith is ftronger than the Human; becaufe we have vafly fironger Reafons to believe, that God can neither deceive, or be deceived, than thofe which would perfuade us the fame of any Man. But when there is any Doubt, whether or no any Propofition is declar'd by God; or that God has commanded, that we fhould believe fuch a Thing; the Faith can be no fronger than the Reafons on which it is founded. Yet fometimes the Reafons or Motives of believing Men, are of fuch Weightand Force, that being perfectly underfood, they equal a Mathematical Evidence; and then the Human Faith is as folid and unfhaken as the Divine, becaufe, on both fides, we find an equal Neceffity of giving our Affent.
4. But fince that which is properly call'd Divine Faith is immediately directed to God himfelf affirming fomething, no Man can pretend to fuch a Faith, but a Prophet, to whom God has immediately fpoken. But all our prefent Faith depends on the Teftimony of Men, of whofe Veracity, however, we have the moft certain Proofs, tho' much of their Force depend on our Knowledge of Hittory.
5. From hence we find, that all Faith or Belief has its Foundation on Reafoning, which cannot deceive us, when it neceflarily compels our Affent. Thofe to whom God immediately reveal'd his facred Will, believ'd him for certain Reafons, and not with a blind Affent; that is, becaufe they knew he could not deceive. We at this Day believe them, or rather their Writings, for certain Reafons, which oblige us to believe all undoubted Hiftories.
6. We might here go to farther Particulars about Faith in Revelations, which are neither unprofitable, nor unpleafant; but fince they more properly belong to Divinity, we fhall pafs them by.

## C H A P. VII. Of Divifion.

'WHEN we difcourfe of any compounded Thing, or Idea, we ought to confider its Parts feparately ; elfe, while we confound the diftinct Parts and Properties, we produce Obfcurity: But this is avoided by Divifon, which enumerates the diftinct Parts of the Thing that is the Subject of our Confideration.
2. Divijuon is defin'd, The Diffribution of the Whole into all it contains; but the Whole has a double Signification, whence alfo Divifion is double.
3. That is a Whole, which confifts of integral Parts; as thofe Subftances which are compofed of various Parts, fuch as the Human Body, which may be divided into its feveral Members; and this Divifion is call'd Partition.
4. But there is ancther Whole, which is properly a certain abitract Idea, which is common to more Things than one, as the Univerfals; or a compounded Idea, which comprehends the Subftance, and its Accidents, or at leaft moft of its Accidents. The Parts of this Whole are called fubjective, or inferior.
5. This Whole has a triple Divifion. The firft is, when the Kind or General is decided by its Species, or Particulars, or Differences; as when Subfance is divided into Body, and Spirit into Extended and Thinking. The fecond, when any thing is divided into feveral Claffes or Forms, by oppofite Accidents, as when the Stars are divided into thofe which give their own proper and unborrow'd Light ; and thofe of opake Bodies, which reflect the Light of the Sun. The third is when the Accidents themfelves are divided according to the Subjects in which they inhere; as when Goods are divided into the Goods of the Mind, Body and Fortune.
6. There are three Rules of a good Divifion: The firt is, That the Mimbers of the Divifion intirely exbauts the whole Thing that is divided. Thus, when all Numbers are divided into equal and uniqual, the Divifion is good.
7. The fecond Rule is, That the Mcmbers of the Divifion ought to be oppsfite; as the Numbers equal and un:qual are. But this Oppofition may be made by a fimple Negation ; as, corporeal, not corporeal; or by pofitive Members; as, cxitended, tbinking. And this laft Divifion is efteem'd the better of the
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 two, becaufe by it, the Nature of the Thing is better made known.8. The third Rule is, That one Member of the Divifion ougbt not to be 10 contained in another, that the other can be affirm'd of it ; tho' otherwife it may be in fome manner included is it, without any Vice or Fault in the Divifion. Thus Extenfon (Geometrically confider'd) may be divided into a Line, Surface, and Solid; tho' the Line be included in the Surface, and the Surface in the Solid; becaufe the §urface can't be call'd the Solid, nor the Line the Surface. But Numbers would be very faultily divided into equal, unequal, and the fixth, becaufe fix is an equal Number.
9. For the Sake of Order and Perfpicuity, when we have found the Divifion, we mult take Care to conceive it, fo, that it do not produce Confufion and Obfcurity. When we examine into the Nature of any thing, - the Divifion muft not be made into too many, or too generol Memlers; for by this Means diftinct Things would be confounded together. Thus fhould any one, who was about to inquire into the Nature of all the Bodies which are known to us, divide them into thofe which are in this our Earth, thofe without it, and then, without any other Subdivifion, proceed to his Inquiry into their Nature, he muft without doubt find himfelf confounded.
10. The Members ougbt by no Mcans, unlefs the Subject neceffarily require it, to be too unequal. Such a Divifion is theirs who divide the Univerfe into Heaven and Earth; for the Earth, in comparion of that vaft Expanfe in which the lanets and fixt Stars are contain'd, which is call'd Heaven, is lefs than a Point. For 'tis plain, that fuch a Divifion would difturb the Mind, whether we were fearching after Truth, or teaching Truth difcover'd to another.
ir. But we muft take heed on the other hand, left, rubile we endeavour to make the Parts equal, we do not, as we may fay, offer Violence to the Nature of Things, by joining thoje which are really Separate, and Separating thofe which are really join'd togetbor. We muft, therefore, have a nice Regard to the Connexion of Things, left we violently break afunder thofe Things which are clofely united; and join thofe together which have no manner of Connexion with one another.
11. We muft farther take Care not to make our Divifion too minute, left the Number of the Parts burden the Memory, and deftroy the Attention ; which is a Vice utterly to be avoided by thofe who would reafon well.
12. Another Fault of Divifon is, when inftead of dividing real Parts of a Thing, we only enumerate the different Signification of Words.

## C H A P. VIII.

Of Definition; and firft, of the Definition of the N A M E.

1. Efnition is double; one of the Thing, and one of the Name. The firt we efteem the Nature of the Thing; the fecond explains what Signification we give to any Word or Name; of the laft here, referring the firft to the next Chapter.
2. Since we do not always think to ourfelves only, but are oblig'd frequently to convey the Sentiments of our Minds to others, either in Words fpoken or written, or be inform'd in the fame Manner of thofe of other People, which otherwife we know rot; we may lead others, or be led ourfelves, by others, into Errors, by the Ambiguity of the Terms or Words that are made ufe of by either, unlefs we explain what we mean by fuch ambiguous Words, by others that are not ambiguous.
3. We mean not here by Definition of the Name, the declaring the Ufe, or Signification of Words according to Cuftom: We feek not in what Senfe others ufe any Word, but in what Senfe we fhall make ufe of it in our future Difcourfe.
4. We fhall obferve, that the Signification which we defign to give any Word, depends intirely on our Will and Pleafure; for we may affix what Idea we pleafe to any Sound, which in itfelf fignifies nothing at all. But the Defnition of the Thing fignified by any Sound, has not this Dependence on our Will and Pleafure; for fince its Nature is certain and determin'd in itfelf, our Words cannot make any manner of Alteration in it.
5. Seccndly, Since the $D$ efinition of the Neme is intirely at our Will and Pleafure, it cannot be call'd in queftion by any one elfe. But then we are to give always the fame Senfe to the fame Word, to avoid Miitakes, for which End we define our Terms.
6. Tbirdly, Since the Definition of the Name is not to be calld in queftion, 'tis plain, it may be made ufe of, like an undoubted ol felf evident Maxim, as the Geomet ricians do, who, more than all Men befide, make ufe of fuch Definitions; but
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we mult take care, left we think, therefore, that there is any thing in the Idea affixed to that defined Term which may not be controverted. It is an undoubted Principle, that fome one has defined fome Word in fuch a Manner ; but what he thinks of the Thing, is no undoubted Principle. Thus, if any one fhould define Hent to be that which is in thofe Bodics wibich beat $u s$, and that it is like tbat Heat wibich we feel; no Man could find fault with the Definition, as far as it exprefles what he means by the Word Heat; but this does not hinder us from denying, that there is any thing in the Bodies that warm us like what we feel in ourfelves.
7. From what has been faid, 'tis plain, that the Definition of the Name is of great Ufe in Philofophy; yet we cannet conclude from thence, that all Words ought, or indeed can poffibly be defin'd; for there are fome fo clear (to fuch who underftand the Language we ufe) and of fuch a Nature, that they cannot be defin'd; as the Names of all fimple Ideas, as we have Shewn under that Head.
8. Moreover, where the received Definitions are fufficiently clear, they ought not to be chang'd, becaufe thofe who are accuftom'd to the receiv'd Ufe, will underitand us better, and we ourfelves run not fo great a Rifque of Inconftancy in not preferving our Definition. It is manifeft, that Words are better underfood, to which we have been long us'd to affix certain Ideas, than thofe to which new ones are to be join'd, and we better remember the Senfe of One, than of Two.
9. From hence likewife it follows, that we fhould, as little as pofiible, depart from the received Senfe, when we are neceffarily obliged to forfake it in fome meafure; for we fooner, and with more Eafe, accuftom ourfelves to Significations of Words that are near, or related to thofe which are already admitted, than thofe which are plainly remote, or us'd in a quite contrary Serife.
10. But this, as we have hinted, muft be obferv'd above all Things, that we always keep to the Definition which we have once made; elfe we confound our Hearers or Readers, and fall into feeming Contradiction, which renders our Difcourfe unintelligible.

## C H A P. IX.

## Of the Definition of the THING.

THE Definition of the Name depends intirely on our Will and Pleafure, but the Definition of the Thing we have no Power over; for we can by no means affirm that to be in a Thing or Idea which we confider, which is not in it. Definition is ufually divided into accurate, and lefs accarate; the firft is properly Definition, the fecond $D_{t} \int_{\text {cription. }}$.
2. A Definition, properly fo call'd, explains the Nature of the Thing defin'd, by an Enumeration of its principal Attributes; of which thofe that are common to others with the Thing defined, is call'd the Kind or General; but thofe which are peculiar to the Thing defin'd, the Difference. Thus a Circle may be defin'd, a Figure wobofe Circumference is everywhere equi-diftant from tbe Centre; The Word Figure is the Kind or $G$ neral, as being a Name common to all other different Figures, as well as to a Circle; the reft are the Diference, fince they diftinguifh a Circle from all other Figures.
3. But Defiription is an Enumeration of many Attributes, and even thofe which are accidental. Thus, if any one is defcrib'd by his Deeds or Actions, or his Sayings or Writings; as if we fhould, initead of naming Arifotle, fay, The Philofopher, who obtains a Monarchy among the Scbool-men without a Partner.
4. Individuals cannot be defin'd, becaufe tho' we know not their effential Properties by which they differ from others of the fame Species, we muft remember likewife, that the inmoft Nature of Subftances is unknown, and therefore they cannot be defin'd. Hence 'tis plain, that only the Modes whofe whole Nature is known to us, can only be explain'd by a certain and properly call'd Definition.
5. There are three common Rules of a Difnition; the firt is, that the Definition foould be adequate to the Thing defined; that is, agree to all thofe Thing which are contained in the Species which is defined. The fecond, Thit the Definition Dould be proter to the Tbing defined; for when the Definition makes us know the Thing defined from all other T'hings, it muft be proper and agreeable to the Thing defin'd. The third, fince we make ufe of a Definition to make known a Thing to another, which he knew not before, The Defnition ought to be slear, and more eafy and obrious than the Thing defined.
6. Here
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6. Here we muft again admonifh the Reader, not to confound the receiv'd Definition of the Name with the Definition of the Thing. For this Reafon the Definition of the Thing cannot be expreffed in Words plainly fynonymous; as if any one fhould afk what is the Supreme Deity? And we fhould anfwer, the Supreme God: fince the latter explains no more the Nature or Attributes of that God, than the former.
7. From thefe Obfervations we find, that Definition can only have place in compound Ideas, and is only the Enumeration of the chief fimple Ideas of which they are compounded; but fimple Ideas cannot be defin'd, becaufe there can be no Enumeration. He who knows not what that is which we call Heat, will only learn it by Experience, or fome fynonymous Words, or fome Word of another Language, or by Circumlocution, by which the Thing is fhewn, not desin'd; as if we thould fay, That it was a Senfation, which we find when we fit by the Fire, or walk in the Sunpine: Ey this we fhould fhew what Thing it was to which we gave that Name, but never explain its Nature. For, fhould any one want that Senfe by which we have that Senfation, he would no more underftand what we meant, than a Man born blind what was a Green Colour, by telling him it was that Senfation we have when we behold the Grafs in the Fields.
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## C H A P I.

Of METHOD, both of Refolution and Compofition.

HAving confider'd our fimple I'erceptions, and the feveral Sorts of our Judgments, and thewn how in them ...o Thould conduet ourfelves to avoid Errors; it remains, that we fhew in what Manner our Judgments fhould be difpos'd, that we may the fooner, and with the greater Safety, arrive at the Knowledge of Truth. This Part of Logic is call'd Metbod, which, contrary to the Cuftom of the Schocls, I fhall treat with Diligence, as-more conducive to the Knowledge of Truth than the following Part of Argumentation, on which, however, they were more prolix.
2. Since moft Truths which fall under our Examination depend on the Knowledge of others, from whence they are deduced by a certain Chain of Confequences, it is not fufficient to have deliver'd the Rules by which we know to what Propofitions (feparately confider'd) we may give our Affent; we muft alfo fhew, how they are to be difpos'd among themfelves, in regard of each other, that by them we may defcend as it were by fo many Steps to Truth, plac'd, according to the old Proverb, in the Bottom of a Well.
3. Metbod is twofold; one is of Refolution, by which Truth is generally fought after; the other of Compofition, by which the Truth now found out is taught or imparted to another.
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4. In the Method of Refolution we proceed from fome particular known Truth, to others which belong to fome particular or fingular Thing. In the Method of Compofition we propofe fome certain general Truths, from which we deduce particular Truths.
5. If in the Method of Refolution we propofe any Maxims, it is not immediately in the beginning, and all together, and but once, but only as they are necefiary for the finding out the Truth ; on the contrary, in the Method of Compofition they are propos'd all together in the beginning, before there is any need of them.
6. Thefe two Methods differ from each other, as the Methods of fearching our Genealogy, defcending from the Anceitors to their Poiterity ; or on the contrary, by afcending from the Pofterity to the Anceftors. Both of them have this in common, that their Progreffion is from a Thing known, to that which is unknown: Thofe Things which are known, by both are fet in the Front, or firft Place, that by them we may (by certain Confequences deduced from them) be able to arrive at thofe which are not known ; and then all this Chain of Confequences in both, confift of Propofitions connected with each other.
7. And thefe following Things are fummarily requir'd in Both, that Error may be avoided. Firft, That no Propoficion be admitted as truc, to which you can deny your Affent, or which is not evident. Next, the Connexion of the following Propofition to the foregoing, in every Step of the Progreffion, be likewife evident or neceffary ; otherwife, if in a long Chain of Propolitions we admit but one Propofition or Confequence that is doubtful or falfe, whatever was directly deduc'd from thence, mult of neceffity be either dubious or falfe.
8. To make this plainer, we fhall firt propofe an Example of the Method of Refolution, and then one of that of Compofition. Let us fuppofe this to be the Queftion, Wbetber on the Suppofition of Mun's Exifence, we can prove, that God does exift? To refolve this, our Method muft be thus: (ı.) Human Kind, which now inhabit the Earth, did not always exif, all Hiftory whatever ftill fixing a Beginning to Mankind: This they do not only affert in exprefs Words, but by the whole Series and Courfe of what they treat, make it manifeft, fince there is no Hiftory which pretends to give us an Account of more than about 6000 Years. (2.) If human Kind did not always exift, but had a Beginning, there is a Neceffity that there fhould te fome other Caufe of its Exittence; for from nothing, nothing can arife. (3.) Whatever that Caufe is, it muft have at leaft all thofe Properties, which we find in ourfelves; for none can give what he has not himfelf. (4.) Farther, there is a Necefifity that there fhould be in this Caufe Properties which are not in
fince he could do that which we cannot do ; that is, nake Man exift, who before had no Being, or that the Mind and Body of Man fhould begin to exitt, which Power we by no means find in ourfelves. (5.) We find that we have the Power or Faculty of Underfanding and Willing, and a Body which can be mov'd various ways. (6.) Therefore, there mult be thofe Properties, and many far more excellent in the Caufe of Human Kind, fuch as the Power of drawing out of nothing or making fomething to exift, which had before no Exiftence at all. (7.) But this Caufe either exifts ffill, or has ceas'd to be. If he does not Atill exift, he did not exift from Eternity ; for whatever exitted from Eternity, can neither by itfelf, or by any other Caufe, be reduced to Nothing. (9.) If it did not exith, it mutt have been produced by fome orher; for whatever has a Beginuing, mutt be generated by fome other. Then would the fame Queftion return of the Producer, which may be thus generally refolved : All Things that are, had a Beginning, or they had none. Thofe which had a Beginning, were produc'd by Caufes which had none; therefore, if there be any Thing that does exit, there are eternal Caufes. (10.) It muft therefore be confefs'd, that there is fome eternal Being, which has in itfelf all thofe Iroperties which we find in ourfelves, and infnitely more, whether he immediately created us by himfelf, or by any other Nature ; which is not here the Quefion. (11.) If this Caufe of Human Kind do ftill exift, the fame Reafoning would return which we ufed in the 9 th and 10 th Steps of our Progrefiion. (12.) Therefore, it neceffarily follows from the Exittence of Human Kind, that God does exift, or fome eternal Caufe, which mediately or immediately created Mankind.
9. Thus by the Me:hod of Refolution we prove, or rather find out the Exiftence of a God. And we may teach or convey this Truth thus found out to others, by the Method of Compofition, in this manner : (1.) All Beings have a Beginning of Exiftence, or they have none. (2.) Nothing can come out of nothing, or begin to exift by its own Power, when it had no Exiftence. (3.) All thofe Things, therefore, which had a Beginning, muft be produced by fome Being that had no Beginning, (4.) Human Kind had a Beginning. (4.) It was therefore produced mediately, or immediately by fome eternal Caufe. (6.) That Caufe we call God; and therefore Human Kind were created by God.
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10. All thefe Propofitions, as we have obferved, ought in both Methods to be nicely examined, that none be admitted as certain and known, which is not fo; and that no Confequence be flid in, which is not neceffary. Having fo done, we may know that we have found the Truth, or are taught the fame by others.
in. There are fome Helps to be had for the more eafy Performance of this Tafk, and which are to be taught more diftinctly, or with greater Care and Confirmation, becaufe on them depend the whole Eafinefs and Certainty of fuch Reafons or Arguments as are alledg'd. Firf, what ought to be the Difpofition of the Mind for the more happy Difcovery of Truth: Secondly, we fhall deliver the Rules of the Method of Refolution; and, Thirdly, thofe which belong to the Method of Compofition.

## C H A P. II.

## Of the Necefjity of Attention, and the Means of obtaining it.

1. $T \mathrm{E}$ have more than once afferted, that Evidence is the the Main, or Criterion of Truth. But this Knowledge is not enough to direct our Inquiry after Truth becaufe that Evidence is not always to be had, nor does the Mind difcover it fometimes, without a long Labour and Fatigue. We muft, therefore, inquire by what Means we may obtain this Evidence in our Thoughts.
2. It is not enough that we can form Ideas of ail things, which we can conceive in our Minds to come at the Knowledge of Truth, but the Mind muft confider them with the greateft and moft lively Attention, if we would obtain a thorough Knowledge of them.
3. We have fhewn, that our Judgments are the Perceptions of certain Relations, in which the Mind does acquiefce, and that our Errors of Judgment arife from it, when it does acquiefce in obfure Perceptions, as if they were clear, before it has with fufficient Care examin'd into their Nature.
4. In Judgments of the Mind we fhould ufe the fame Method as in Judgments of the Eyes, which approach the obfcurer Objects nearer, and employ the Help of artificial Lights, narrowly looking into them; fo Chould the Mind in Judgments reftrain
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reftrain its Affent, till it has with the utmof Attention confider'd according to the Nature of the Thing into which it inquires. Hence it appears of how great and neceffary ufe Attention is, which is only a long and uninterrupted Confideration of any one Idea, without the Interpofition of any others.
5. We find that we are much more attent, and with greater Eafe apply our Thoughts to the Confideration of thofe Things which affect us by the Intervention of our Senfes, certain Images of which are before the Mind, and fuch as excite fome Affection or Paffion, than to thofe whith came into the Mind without any of thefe Things. Thus we are attent in the Confideration of any enlighten'd Body, in fome Image of a corporeal Thing offer'd to the Inquiry of the Mind; and in the Confideration of a Thing that may bring us Advantage or Damage, which frikes us with Fear or Defire.
6. Every one who has try'd it before Ufe has bred a Facility, knows, that 'tis much more difficult to fix the Mind on abftract Ideas for any Time. The Reafon of the Difference is plain, becaufe the Mind in other Things finds Affiftance from the Intervention of the Body, as 'tis affected with more fprightly and lively Senfations and Images, which will thruft themfelves on it whether it will or not: On the contrary, in abftract Contemplations, and which derive nothing from the Body, corporeal Motions obitruct the Attention while they perpetually recal the Mind to Bodies, at the fame time that the Object of th.e Mind has nothing in itfelf that can much affect it, or en.gage the Attention ; nay, when the Mind is employ'd in thefe abitra\& Confiderations, it muft with all its Force banifh all corporeal Images, which croud perpetually upon it. Nor can this be perform'd without Pain, fince the Law of Nature has oblig'd the Mind to be in Pain, when Force is offer'd to the Eody.
7. Having laid down this, we muft try whether or no we cannot increafe the Attention by the Help of the Senfes and Imaginative Faculty, even in Things that are merely incorporeal. By what Art this may be done, we fhall thew hereafter; but above all Things we fhould take care that the Inconvenierce do not arife, which ufually follows the Commotions of the Mind by the Senfes, Imagination, or Paffions; that is, when the Mind is fomething more vehemently affected, it is turn'd in fuch a manner to the Object which afferts it, that it takes notice of nothing elie. Then is this Motion fo far from afilting the Attention to Ideas of incorporeal Things, that, on the contrary, it proves an Obftacle to it.
8. Hence
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8. Hence this important Confequence in our Inquiry after Truth is drawn, that they, who would ferioully apply themfelves to the Search after Truth, Mould avoid, as much as they poffibly can, all the more ftrong and vehement Senfations; fuch as great Noifes, Light too frong and glaring, Pain, Pleafure, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$. They fhould likewife take care that their Imagination be not too vehemently moved by any Objec, which fhould infeet it fo far, as to make them think of it whether they will or not; for by this means the Attention will be frequently interrupted. Firf they ought not to be accuftomed to the flonger Emotions of the Paffions; for thofe who experience frequently thefe Perturbations, contract fuch a Habit of Mind, that they can fcarce think of any thing elfe but the Objects of the Paffions, or thofe things which have fome Connexion with them; but fince, for Reafons which we fhall not touch on here, no Man can be intirely exempt from them, they muft make it their Endeavours to feek fome Affiftance from thofe unavoidable Evils to their Irquiries after Truth.
9. The Senfes may be of advantage to the promoting the Attention, if we make ufe of them as the Gcom:tricions do, who exprefs invifible Quantities by Lines, Numbers, and Letters; for by this means the Mind more eafily adheres to, attends, and is fix'd to the Thing which it inquires after; for while the Eyes are fix'd on the Figures, the Mind contemplates the Thing whofe Signs they are. And this is done with the more Safety, becaufe there is no Danger of confounding the Figures with the Thing he feeks, there being no Relation between them, but what he makes. Thus the Siwiftnefs and Duration of any Motion can be examined by the Defcription of certain Figures, which the Geometrician can never believe to be the Thing that is the Subject of his Inquiry.
10. By this means we may, without Danger, make ufe of our Senfes in Ratiocination. That is, that we may not be opprefs'd by the Multitude of the Relations that are to be confidered, they may be exprefs'd on Paper by certain Words. Befides, we give more eafy Attention to Propofitions already exprefs'd, and fet down on Paper, than to their Ideas. We can review more often, and with more Eafe, our Marks in long Arguments, when we have fix'd the Signs of them on Paper, than when we have them only in our Minds.
11. But thefe ought to be look'd on as Helps, which may be made ufe of by young Beginners, but fhould not be offer'd to thofe of riper Underftanding, -left they fhould accuftom themfelves too much to them, fo that it render them incapable
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of underftanding any thing without the Affiftance of fome corporeal Image.
12. The Faculty which brings the Images of corporeal Things to the Mind, is moft ftrictly united to the Senfes; and therefore belongs to what is faid of the Senfes, and yet affords a particular Help to affifting the Attention. For Example, when we in filent Contemplation compare the Ideas with the external and corporeal Objects, we may obferve the like in the Operations of the Eycs, as in the Actions of the Mind.
13. If we are to explain to others what we have found out, they will give more Attention to a Comparifon, than to a bare and naked Expofition of the Thing ; they will fooner apprehend and underftand us, and remember it better. Hence arofe the Manner in the remotef Antiquity of ufing Fables, which was long in Vogue among the oriental Nations.
14. But here we muft beware of the Error of the Ancients in this Particular, which was, while they with too much Zeal fought the Attention of the Unfkilful, they had recourfe to fo many Figures and Phrafes drawn from corporeal Things, that they offer'd to their Minds fcarce any Thing but the Ideas of corporeal Beings: So that the Truth being overwhelm'd with thofe Figures, was perfeilly hid, ard cannot, without the utmoft Difficulty, be freed from them by the Learned themfelves.
15. We muft farther be very cautious of avoiding an Error too common to the Ancients and Moderns, who fanfied the Comparifon, or fome other Figure, which was only to illuftrate the Things, was really an Argument to prove them.
16. That the Paffions often are Enemies to the Knowledge of Truth, no body can doubt, and we have hewn; many have made a Doubt whether they are ever of any Ufe to it; yet fince they are not evil in their own Nature, they may, by good Management, be of great Help to the increafing the Attention; nay, perhaps we may fay, that this is never extremely harp without fome Paffion. Thus we may make a happy Ufe of the Defire of Glory, if we keep it within its due Moderation. When this Paffion is alone, it is dangerous; other Paffions are therefore to be excited in $U s_{\text {, }}$ which fhould hinder us from fuffering ourfelves to be borne down by the Defire of Glory : And this is the very Defire of knowing the Truth, which is in the Minds of all Mankind; for there is no Man that loves to be deceiv'd, nor any Man that is pleas'd with Ignorance.
17. But we mult, even here, take care that the Defire of finding out the Truth be not the only Caufe of our Judgments;
for the Paffions never give any Light to the Judgment, but only excite our Inquiry after what is advantageous for us to know: But the Judgment ought not to be given as long as we can with-hold it, in Things of which we can have an evident Knowledge.

## C H A P. III.

## Of the Capacity of the Mind, and the Means of inlarging it.

1. TT E call that Mind capacious that has many Tdeas before it at once ; and the more of thofe it can have a diftinet Perception of at once, the larger or more capacious is the Mind; and the fewer, the more narrow we efteem it. The Capacity therefore of the Mind is inlarged, by contracting a Habit or Cuftom of confidering many Ideas at once, without Confufion. We mean not all together, and at once, that in one numerical individual Moment, and one only Perception of the Mind, many Things can be diftinctly underitood, fince 'tis certain that few Things can be diftinctly view'd together. But this Expreffion is to be allow'd the Latitude of meaning a very fhort Time; and the Reafon we ufed the Term together, is, that there is no external Menfuration of Time, to divide the Rapidity of the Mind's Motion from one Thought to another.
2. If any one fhould demand, whether the Minds of all Men were alike, except what Difference is made by Education? we fhould only anfwer, That we do not certainly know, but that Experience gives us a certain Confirmation of two Things.
3. That fome have fo unhappy a Genius, that it is with Difficulty they conceive the Connexion of two Propofitions, unlefs they fall on Subjects with which their Experience has been converfant ; but are perfectly blind in Contemplation, nor can in the leaft difcover any Difference betwixt a good and bad Ratiocination. Others again have a Mind fometning larger than this, and can by one View of the Mind comprehend more than one Connexion of Propofitions; but if the Deduction of Confequences be fomething longer than ordinary, they cannot extricate themfelves. But then there are fome happy Genius's, which can with Eafe, if not at one View, yet in a very little Time, and few Thoughts, comprehend a long Chain of Fropofitions. Number of Propofitions which would abfolutely confound fome others.
4. It is apparent from Experience, in the fecond place, that the Capacity of the Mind can be inlarg'd by a frequent Ufe of thinking of many Things at once. 'Tis fufficiently known, that the young Learners of Geometry, Aritbmetic, or silgebra, are at firt difturb'd with the Number of Ideas to be confider'd together ; nor can they, without a very painful Attention, underfand what they read, or are taught, by reafon of the Number of Ideas which are to be confider'd: As for Example, - Thofe who at firt endeavour to leara the Rule of Divififor, are confounded or puzzled by the manifold Comparifon of the Dcvifor and Dividend; and they are furprized to confider how the Mafter that teaches them fhall be able at one View, or at leaft with very few, to comprehend the Connexion of fo many Propofitions as are form'd in a long Arith. metical Operation; yet the fame Students of this Arr, after they bave apply'd themfelves to the Study of Accounts for fome Months, comprehend many Operations with Eafe in their Mind, when before they could not take one. Whence 'tis evident, that the Capacity of the Mind will admit of an Increafe.
5. If it fhould farther be afk'd, whether the Capacity of all Men could be improv'd by the fame Miethod; we may anfwer, That Experience has Shewn us, that all fuch who can that way improve their Niinds, have by it inlarged their Capacity; for there are fome, who, from their fritt Application, could never make any Progrefs in thefe Studies; but among thofe who are nor wholly incapable of thefe Studies, fome make a fwifter and greater Progrefs than others, even from the Beginning, whether this be the Effect of the Nature of the Mind or the Body.
6. To come to the Point iffelf; whoever has a Defire to inlarge the Capacity of his Mind, muft make it his Endeavour to have his Attention at his Command, fo as to apply it when and to what he pleafes, which may be obtain'd by the Means propos'd in the former Chapter. For he that cannot be attentive to a few, will much lefs be capable of underfarding many together, and not be confounded by the Multiplicity of the Objecis.
7. But fince the Capacity of the Mind, as we have feen, is a Faculty within us by Nature, whatever we do to acquire it, as we have exprefs'd it, comes only to this, that by frequent
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Exercife we render its Ufe eafy to us. We muft only examine on what Objects it is chiefly exercis'd.
8. Objects are of two kinds; one are Mathematical, the other cannot be treated mathematically. Whatever can be examin'd in a Geometrical Method (which we fhall deliver when we fhall treat of the Method of Compofition) are Mathematical ; and of this kind are all Things of which we can have a perfect Knowledge, that is, whatever belongs or relates to Modes.
9. All who have apply'd themfelves to the enlarging the Capacity of the Mind, tell us, that it is acquir'd by the Confideration of thefe Things. And 'tis certain, that in Aritbmetic (to inftance one Part of the Mathomatics for all) the manifold Parts of the Objeft are fo diftincly noted; and fo clearly perceiv'd, that provided the Attention be apply'd, there is no manner of danger of our being confounded. In Computation or Accompts, there are, firft, as many Oljects as Unis ; next, certain Names are impos'd (for Brevity's fake) on certain Collections of Units, without producing any Confufion, how great foever the Collection of Uiits may be; as one Hundrcd, a Thoufand, an Hurdied thoufaid, a Millior, \&cc. Lafly, there are long Comparifons of Numbers made in the grofs, without coming to any one particular, or alone, but of many collectively together, and at one. For whether we add or fubtract, multiply or divide, to which all Arithmitic is reduc'd, many Numbers are confider'd at once, except only the Number Two, which confits only of two Units; but in the Computation of that, there is not any need of Art.
so. In Computation therefore, we exercife the Faculty of diftinctly underftanding many Things together, which we call the Capacity of Genius; for we fhould fill rememter, that this Capacity we fpeak of, ought always to be join'd with this diftinct Perception, fince a confus'd Underfanding of 'Things is of no Ufe to the finding out of Truth.
11. The Confideration of Subftances cannot be mathen:a!ically difcufs'd; and we fhould in vain imagine, that in thefe the Capacity of the Mind could ever be acquir'd; for fince we have no clear Knowledge of particular Subftances, much lefs can we know with Perfpicuity a Coilection of Subflances together ; we can only confider their Properties, aiid the Relations that there are between them.
12. Hence we may gather, that the Mind cannot be render'd more capacious by the Confideration of Genus and Species of the old Philofophers, who rang'd all Subftances under thofe Heads, becaure it is an uncertain Divifion of anknown Oljects.

## C H A P. IV.

## Of the Laves of the Method of Refolution.

'BEfore we proceed to the Laws of the Method of Refolution, we muft recal to our Memory certain Maxims on which they are built. The firt is what we have more than once taken Notice of, wiz. That we muft confider Evidence in every Step or Degree of our Progreflons in our Reafoning or Arguments; unlefs we would run the Rifque of falling into Error.
2. The next is the Confequence of this, That we ought to reafon on thofe Things only, of wwich weve bave clear and perfiticuous Ideas; or on obfcure Things, only fo far as rue know thcin. Whence we may gather, that our Reafoning ought to be only converfant about the Properties and Modes of Subftances and abftract Ideas, and not about the inmoft Nature of Things extremely obfcure.
3. The third Maxim is, That awe ought always to begin from the fimple and eafy, and to dwell on them a rebile, before ree procced to Things compounded and more difficult; For we ought firft to have a clear Perception of fimple Ideas, elfe we can never have a fufficient Knowledge of the Compounded.
4. Thefe general Maxims are the common Principles of both the Method of Refolution and Compofition. For in both Me thods are equally requir'd Evidence in the Degrees or Steps of Progreffion, Choice of the Subject of our Inquiries, and the Knowledge of Things fimple before thofe that are compounded; as will appear from what follows. But now we fhall proceed to thofe Laws which are peculiar to the Method of Refolution.
5. The firt is, That we muft clearly and perfectly underfana? the State of the Queftions propos'd. If we propofe any thing as the Subjeet of our Inquiry, it is neceffary, to avoid rambling from the Point, that we have a diftinct Knowledge or Idea in our Mind of the Thing we examine. If the Quettion be propos'd by others in certain W'ords, we ought, before we proceed to the Solution, to have a ditinct and clear Knowledge of the Meaning of every Word in which it is exprefs'd.
6. Having now a diftinct Knowledge of the Subject of our Inquiry, and the Ideas which are contained in the Queftion being now to be compared, another Law is, That with fome Force and Efjort of the Mind, one or more middle Idcas muft be difcover'd, which Bould be like a common Meafure or Standard,
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by whbore Hclp the Relations betwecn the ldeas to be compar'd be found out.
7. But when the Queftions are difficult, and fand in need of a long Difcuffion, the third Law is, Tbat we cut off all that bas no neceffary Rclation to the Trutb fought after, from the Thing wwhich is the Suljcict of our Confderation.
8. When the Queftion is reduc'd to its narroweft Bounds, that is, when we difinilly perceive the Matter in difpute, having rejected all that does not neceflarily belong to it, the fourth Law is, That the compounded $\mathcal{Q u}^{2} f$ fion be divided into Parts, and thofe to be Separately confider'd in Such Order, that rue begin ruith thofe wibich confift of the nore fimple Ideas, and never proceed to the more cempounded, till zve difinctly know the more fimple, and by Reflciion have render'd thene eafy to our Confaderation.
9. When by Reflection we have obtain'd a diftinct Knowledge of all the Parts of the Queltion, and manage it with Eafe in our Minds, thus the fifth Law is, That certain Signs of our Ideas, comprckended in efablifh'd Figures, or in the ferweft Words that can be, be imprinted in the Mmory, or mark'd on Pafer, left the Mind bave any more Trouble about them. This Lave ought chiefly to be obey'd when the Queftions are difficult; and confift of many Heads, tho' it be not innufeful even in thofe that are more eafy. By the Help of this Law the Reafoning is fooner concluded, than if they were conceiv'd in many Words and other Signs; and we thus likewife fooner difcover the Connexion of the Parts.
10. When thofe Things which are neceffary to the Queftion are clear to us, and marked with compendious Signs, and difpofed in Order; then mulf the Ideas (by the fixth Law) be compared with each other, either by Reffection alone, or by exprefs Words. When more Things than one are to be compared, the Memory and Judgment receive great Afiffance from Writing, which are eafily otherwife confounded, and we can make but an ill Judgment of Things confufed.
11. If, after we have compared all the Ideas, whofe Signs we have committed to Paper, we cannot yet find out what we feek, then the feventh Law fuggefts, That we cut off all the Propofitions, which after a full Examination we find of no Ufe to the Solution of the Quefion; then wee may again proceed in the fame Order in the reff, wowich is delivered in the fix preceding Larws.
12. If, after we have repeated this Examination as often as it is neceffary, nothing of what we have marked feems to conduce duce to the Solution of the Queftions, we muft confefs, that as to us, it is not to be refolv'd, fince whatever we could difcover in its Parts, prove infufficient to folve it. We ought therefore to throw it intirely afide, or confult fome Perfon more knowing in the Subject, or better fkill'd in Inquiries.
13. Thefe are the Laws of the Methcd of Refolution, all which are not to be obferv'd in all Queftions; for one or two of them are fufficient for fimple Queftions, or thofe which confift of but few Propofitions. But when they are very much compounded and intricate, we muft often come to the laft, and that to be repeated more than once. But this being a Matter of very great Importance, we fhall difcourfe of them feparately in feveral Cbafters.

## C H A P. ${ }^{\text {. V. }}$

## Of the tbree Maxims on wobich all Method is built.

1. Thall fay nothing more than we have already on the firt Maxim about preferving Evidence in every Step or Degree of Knoweledge; but we could not but take Notice of it in this Place, both to make appear the Connexion of thofe that follow with it, and alfo becaufe it cannot be too much inculcated to Men who have been ufed to give their Affent to Things that are obfcure.
2. The next, which is the Confequence of the former, is, That we ought not to reafon on Things of which we bave no clear Ideas, or of obfcure Tbings, as far as they are obfcure. We muft not take this Maxim in a Senfe that fould exclude the Nature of all Things which are yet unknown to us from our Inquiries; for this would be directly oppofite to our Defign, by which we aim to open a way to the Difcovery of Truths unknown to us.
3. But we are of Opinion, that a Philofopher ought not to reafon on obfcure Things, in a double Senfe: The firf is, That he ought not to chufe fuch Objects of his Contemplation, which, it is plain, cannot be difoovered by evident Demonftrations. (1.) 'Thus, as feveral Gcometricians have demonftrated, the fquaring of the Circle, and the doubling the Cube, cannot be found out. (2.) Thus we cannot difcover what is the inmoft Nature of Things; all we can know of that, is, that Experience has fhewn us, that there do co-exift in Subftances certain
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Properties: We fhould therefore reject the Inquiry into Subftances, and only confider their Properties. (3.) If we cannot find out the inmoft or whole Nature of any one created Subffance, much lefs muft we pretend to difcover the Subftance of that Supreme Nature which created all the reft. We may gather, as it were by Experience, from thofe Properties which we fee in the Creatures, that they are in the Creator, fince no body can give what he has not; yet we cannot conceive how all the real Properties of all-Creatures can co-exit in God.
4. The other Senfe of this Maxim is, That no certain Confequence can be drawn from a Principle that is unknown or uncertain. Tho' this be a Maxim allowed by all Philofophers, both ancient and modern, yet have they all offended againtt it, perfuading themfelves that they do know their Principles to be clear and certain, which yet are often very uncertain, and many times not known at all. Thus all that we have any clear Perception of in our Minds, is the Property of Thinking; and therefore we cannot pofitively affirm, that there is any other in it; nor, on the other fide, can we deny that there is, becaufe there may be fome of which we are ignorant.
5. But it is here neceffary to take Notice (left any one fhould wreft what we mean by our Mind into another Senfe) that what we fay is not to be underftood as if we could not deny Contradictions. For 'tis one thing to deny that any Particular is not in a Subject befides what we fee, and another to deny that the fame Thing can be, and not be, in the fame Subject at the fame Time. Thus we cannot affirm, that there is nothing elfe in our Mind befides the Faculty of Thinking, becaufe we difcover nothing elfe in it; but we may, without danger of Error, deny that the Mind, whilft it is thinking, is deftitute of Thought, fince we clearly perceive that one of thefe two Propofitions is neceffarily falfe.
6. To obferve the fecond Caution which we have mentioned, we muft neceffarily examine with our utmoft Diligence into the Principles laid down, before we proceed to the Confequences of them. We are taught by the third Maxim, That we muft begin with the fimple and eafy Tbings, and dwell on them fome time, before we froceed to the compounded and difficult. Thus we learn Arithmetic; the Student muft be perfectly acquainted with, and fix in his Memory the firf four Rules of Addition, Subtraction, Multiflication and Divifon, before he can, to any purpofe, proceed to the Rule of Tbree, and the following Rúles,

## C H A P. VI.

## Of the firft Rule of the Metbod of Refolution.

1. A L L our Judgments being only the Perceptions of Relations, in which Perceptions we acquiefce, it is manifeft, that when we inquire into any thing which is unknown to us, we only feek after an unknown Relation. When therefore we fay in the firt Rule, that we muft perfecily and clearly know the State of the Quefion propos'd ; 'tis the fame thing as if we fhould tell you, that you are to take particular Care left you fuppofe that Relation the Object of your Inquiry, which does by no means come under our Confideration; for unilefs the fought Relation be mark'd with fome certain Note, we flall neither know what we feek, nor know it when found out.
2. But if fuch a Relation be plainly and clearly known, you may fay, How can we then make any farther Inquiry about it? But then, fay we, can there be any Defire of knowing any thing of which we have no manner of Knowledge? None at all. That which is fought, therefore, ought neceffarily to be ditinguifh'd from all things elfe, that we may know it when we find it, and fo far know it, before we make any Inquiry about it. No Queftion can ever be folved, whofe Terms are not in fome meafure known to us. Thus for Example, we in. quire, What thofe two Numbers arr, between which there is fuch a Relation, as if you take a Unit from one, and add it to the other, they Boll be equal; but, on the contrary, if you add the Unit taken from the other to that from nubish you finbtraked, the Number foall be double to the other? 'Tho' the Numbers between which there is this Relation be not known, yet they are fo far known, that that Relation ought to be between them, whence they are acknowledged as foon as ever they are found out.
3. When a Queftion is conceived in Words, thofe Worc's ought to be diftincly undertood; or the Ideas which are fignified by every Word ought to be throughly known to us. All Equivocation in the Terms muft therefore be intirely removed, left, for ohe Queftion, as many arife as there are different Senfes of the Propofition; nor can we apprehend what Senfe he that propofes it (if propofed by another) gives his equivocal Propofition.
4. If we cannot underfand all the Senfes of the Words in which a Queftion is conceiv'd, we can never know whether we have given it- a Solution in the Senfe in which it was pro-
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pofed, which often happens in general Queftions, and the Occafion of which is not fufficiently known: Thus we can only guefs at the Places in old Authors, which cannot be folv'd but by the Series of the Context.
5. When we have rendered the Terms in which any Queution is conceived as plain and clear to us as we can, we muft apply our Attention to the Confideration of the Conditions, if there be any in it. If we underftand not them, the Queftion remains obfcure; for they often fhew us the way to folve the Queftion. If there be none expreffed or underftood, then is the Queftion general, in which we muft obferve thofe Things which we have already delivered on that Head: But if the Conditions are not expreffed, but underftood, tho' neceffary, it can never be folved, if we have not the Opportunity of afking the Propofer of it what they are. If the Conditions added to the Queition be fuperfluous, and of no Ufe, they mult be diftinguifhed from thofe which are neceffary; for without this, we often run after things of no moment, and leave thofe which are of Importance and neceffary, without any Notice.
6. This Quefion may be propofed - To find out two Numbers, one of which defygred by the Letter A, 乃oall be two Units greater than anotber defign'd by the Letter B; So that taking a Unit from B, and adding it to A, A fall be doubled. The Condition of the Queftion is conceived in the Words So.that, \&ec. thofe therefore muft have our Attention, becaufe without them the Queftion is not underfood: For the Queftion is not fimply, how a Number may be found out greater by two Units than another, but fuch Numbers in which that occurs which is in the Condition, which are 7 and 5.
7. 'The neceffary Condition would be cmitted in this Queftion, Whetheir a Man, by futting bis Fing:r in bis Ear, could be render'd fo immorjeable, as not to be akle to walk till his Finger be taken out of bis Ear? A Queltion propofed in thefe Words would be deny'd, becaufe the putting the Finger in the Ear cannot render any one immoveable. But this Difficulty is removed ky adding, That the Man fall be fo placed, that his Arm Ball cmbrace a folid fixt Pillar, woben be puts a Finger of that Arm into his Ear.
8. Farther, fometimes there are idle Conditions annexed to the Queftion propofed, which conduce nothing at all to the Matter; as if we fhould propofe, To make a Man, anointed with fruect Oil, and crorun'd with a Garland, not able to lie fill, tho' be fee not any thing that can move bim. Should any one fop at, and confider the meaning of this part, which fays,
anointed with fweet Oil, and crowin'd with a Garlant, he would fpend his Pains to no manner of purpofe, fince thofe Words have nothing to do with the Matter: But this is done by putting a Man into a Ship driven on by the Winds ; or if he fall from a Tower, or any other high place; for he will of neceflity be moved, tho' he fee not what it is that gives that Motion, fince he is driven on by a Matter that does not fall under the Senfe of Seeing.
9. Nor is this only to be regarded in fuch Queftions as are only feign'd for the Exercife of the Mind, for the like Cafes occur in Things drawn from the Critical Art, and from Natural Philofophy, and all other Parts of Learning. Thus if we examine, what any particular Word does fignify generally confidered? The Anfwer, tho' true, is very rarely of any confequence to the Solution of the particular Queftion of, what that Word does fignify in any one certain place. If, therefore, any one defires to know the latter, he ought not to propofe the Quettion in general Terms, but to repeat the Place in which the Senfe of that Word, which is fought, occurs; for Words often vary their Sunfe by their Situation to another, which when they ftand alone, they do not fignify.

## C H A P. VII.

The Explanation of the fecond and third Rules of the Metbod of Refolution.

1. L. L Queltions may be referred to two Kinds, or Sorts; that is, Simple or Compounded. All that is neceffarily required to the Solution of the firft, is a diligent comparifon of the Ideas of which they are compofed. Thus when 'tis faid, that a Circle has this Property, that all the Lines that are drawn from its Centre to its Circumference, are equal: If any one doubt of the Matter of Fact, and would inquire into the Truth or Falmood of that Maxim, he need only compare the Idea of a Circle, with the Idea of this Property.
2. But a Compounded Queftion cannot be folv'd without comparing the Ideas of which 'tis compos'd, with fome third Idea, or many Ideas, for no Man can find out the unknown Relations which are the Subject of this Inquiry, by an immediate Comparifon of the Ideas of the Queftion propofed. There
${ }_{27} 6$ Logic ; or, the Art of Reafoning.
is, therefore, a Neceffity of finding out fome third Idea, or more, with which the Terms of the Queftion muft be compared ; but thefe Ideas ought to be clear and perfpicuous, at leaft, as to their Relation by which they are compared with others. And hence is drawn the fecond Rule of the Method of Refolution.
3. Examples will make this Matter more plain. If this Queftion was propofed, Whetber a $q$ biff ougbt to fuffer Death? Since the Idea of a Thief cannot be immediately compared with the laft Punifhment, no natural Connexion being between thofe two Ideas; fo that the Idea of a Thief fhould neceffarily excite the Idea of that capital Punil?ment: We can't folve that Queftion without the Intervention of fome third Idea, with which both the others fhould be compared, and that is of Vindicative Yuffice, or the Knorwledge of the Law. And when we have made this Comparifon, we fhall fay, 'Tis Juftice, for the Good of the Commonwealth, that the Thief be put to Death, or undergo fome milder Punifhment.
4. If again we put the Queftion, Whether a Boy of fifteen, being guilty of Thoft boould be put to Death? The former Queftion is contain'd in this: for we muff firt inquire, whether any Thief deferve Death, before we fee whether fuch a Thief thould fuffer in that manner. For unlefs the firt Queftion be folv'd, the latter never can. But having found, by the Laws, that a Thief at Man's Eftate, by the Law, is to be put to death, we mulf farther inquire, whether a Thief of fffteen be liable to the fame Punifhment. Here, therefore, would be another Comparifon, not of the Boy with the Punifhment, but of the Punihment that is to be inflicted, with Juftice, or the Law.
5. There may, in this very fame Queftion, occur feveral other Ideas, which muft be compared, becaufe the Benefit of the Commonwealth is not a fimple Thing; but here, for the fake of Inftruction, we make the Idea of Juttice a fimple Idea, and of the higheft Clearnefs and Perfpicuity. We farther fuppofe, that there is no Inquiry into the Circumftances of the Fact, which yet moft commonly come into the Confideration of the Thing.
6. But if the Queftion was, What Punifoment Bould be inficted on Peter, whbo, without the Awward of Larw, had by Force taken arway what be pretends is bis Due? Then, at firft hearing very many Things offer themfelves to our Confideration. (1.) We muft nicely examine, whether he were really the Creditor or not, of him from whom he had taken this Thing; in which

Inquiry his Affirmation is to be compared with the Bond, Writing, or other Inftruments, if there be any, or with the Affidavit, or Oath, or Witneffes, E${ }^{\circ} c$. (2.) Next, we muft examine whether the Sum he lent be as great as he pretends, which is by comparing his Oath with the Words of the Deeds, or Inftrument, or of the Witneffes, $\mathcal{V}^{\circ} c$. (3.) We muft inquire whether he took it away, or not. (4.) Whether by Force, where we muft hear Witneffes, whofe Evidence muft be compared with manifold Ideas to make out the Truth. (5.) We muft examine, whether the Laws condemn all manner of Force on fuch an Occafion, where we muft compare the Fact with the Words of the Laws. (6.) What Punifment the Laws inflict on that Force, which we here fuppofe to have been ufed, without the Intervention of the Sentence of the Judge. Before, therefore, we can folve this Queftion, What Punijbment Peter muft undirgo? we muft many ways compare the middle Ideas with the Terms of the Queftion.
7. But if in this Comparifon we take in Ideas that are not very clear, there is the greateft Danger imaginable of Error, of which if any one flip in, all the following Propofitions are either falfe, or nothing to the Purpofe, and the Conclufion muft be abfolutely falle.
8. The third Rule is, To throw away severy Thing from the Quefion to be confider'd, which doth not neceffarily belong to the Trutb that is fought after. This Rule is of manifeft Advantage and Ufe; becaufe whoever does not obferve it, either wanders wide of the Matter, and finds not what he feeks, or forms his Judgment by foreign Ideas, and gives his Mind a profitlefs Fatigue. Thus, in the former Queftion, if we fhould inquire, whether Peter were a Denizen or Foreigner, or what are the Laws of other Countries on that Head, or the like, 'tis plain there could nothing be drawn thence to the Solution of the Queftion.
9. We make ufe of this Caution in Queftions that are conceived in many Words, either by the Ignorance or Defign of him who propofes them, to make them the more intricate; or thofe which are taken out of any Writing, which the Writer never defigned to propofe with Clearnefs and Perfpicuity.
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## C H A P. VIII.

An Explanation of, the fourth, fifth, fixth and Seventh Rules of the Method of Refolution.

"WHEN we have taken away from the Queftion propofed all that did not, or appeared not neceffarily to belong to the Thing inquired after, if it yet remains compounded fo far as to fall under two or more Heads, fince we cannot with Attention examine feveral Things at once, by the fourth Rule we are obliged, (1.) To divide the Quefion into its feveral Heads. (2.). To examine thofe Heads Separately, in Juch a Manner, as to begin with thofe which confift of the more fimple ldeas. (3.) And never to proseed to thofe Heads wbich are more compounded, 'till we bave by our Confideration made them more fimple, perfpicuous, and eafy to our felves.
2. The Neceffity of this Rule is manifeft in the Solution of compounded Queftions; for, firft, if we confound their feveral Heads, we can never have diftinet Ideas of them ; for Diftinction and Confufion are inconfiftent. By that means we can never compare the Ideas with each other, as they ought to be compared to find out the Truth; which if we fhould otherwife hit on, it would be more the Effect of Chance, than our Skill or Underfanding.
3. We fometimes give the fame Judgment of feveral Ideas, tho' generally fpeaking, the fame Judgment will not agree to feveral. But if we form a Judgment of various Things mixt together, without confidering each fingly, we give a general Judgment of different Things, which is feldom free from Error in fome thing or other. We may difcover that an Author has negleĉted his Rules, when, upon a diligent Perufal of his Works, we cannot (tho' the Argument he writes on be not unknown to us) reduce what he fays to certain Heads: And this we may find in feveral of the ancient as well as modern Writers; who for that Reafon are not read withont Difficulty and Pains.
4. The fame Inconveniencies arife from the Neglect of the fecond and third Cautions of this our fourth Rule. Having faid fomething of this in the fifth Cbaftcr, we fhall only add here, that when we are grown familiar and acquainted with the more fimple Principles of the Queftion propoled, fo far as to have them difinctly in our Minds, we never, in the leaft Confequences drawn from them, affirm any thing contrary to them. On the contrary,
contrary, when we take but a tranfient View of the more fimple, and pafs on fo fwiftly to the more compounded, we furely forget them, and the laft prove often contradialory to the firt.
5. The fifth, fixth, and feventh Rules feldom come into Ufe in any Art but Algubra, Examples taken from whence would foon and clearly declare their Ufe: But they being too difficult for thofe who are, unacquainted with them, and becaufe we are of opinion that the fame Rules can beneficially be adapted to other Arts, we fhall draw our Examples elfewhere.
6. When we go about the Solution of any propofed Queftion, and to fet down in Writing what feems to us may be anfwered to it, it will be of the greateft Ufe imaginable to write the Heads of the Queftion down in the feweft Words that may be, efpecially if they are many, left while we confider of one, the reft, as it often happens by the Multiplicity of the Quetions, llip out of our Mind. By this Means even an unhappy Memory which with difficulty retains many Heads, would find a great Affiftance; and the Mind, unincumbered with other Things, with lefs Pain attends the Confideration of Particulars. 'Tis very feldom that all the Parts of a compounded and dificult Queftion, which muft be confidered, offer themfelves together, and at once. Moft commonly we muft confider fome time before we difcover all; and then, if we write not all that down which we have firf found out, while we feek others, that nips out of our Memory. But becaufe it would be very troublefome to write down many Things, therefore the various Relations which are to be confidered, may be exprefied by fome certain Words.
7. Hence arife two Advantages which are not by any Means to be defpifed. The firlt is, that before we write down more fuily what we have found out on any Queftion, either by Confideration, or that help'd by Reading, by this Art we eafily conceive the Order of the Things to be written, and change it with equal Eafe, if perchance we find any thing amifs in it: The other is, that both the Order and Parts of our Treatife are fo fixt in our Memory, by reading over fometimes what we have written, that when we come afterwards to fet down our whole Differtation, we do not depart from that Order, nor omit any thing which is worthy of our Confideration. Otherwife by having too great a Confdence in our Miemory, we fit down to write with our Order and Heads of our Difoourfe only in our Mind, many things which occur to us while we are writing, like thofe which we have thought, infenfibly divert us from the right Track which we defigned to purfue, and make us omit
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what we fhould have difcourfed of, and meddle with thofe Things which have nothing to do in the Queftion before us.
8. When we have, according to the fifth Rule, exprefs'd the Order we have conceived with certain Marks and Signs, then, according to the fixth Rule, we diligently confider every Propofition that is to be examined. There are never more than two Terms of one Propofition to be compared, before we find what Relation is, or is not, between them. This thus found out, fhould in few Words be written down, that the Memory be unburden'd of it, and that we may without any Pains read over our Traces, and fee what we have found out, and what is the Connexion of our Arguments.
9. When we have written down all the Propofitions that were to be examined, and have not, however, found out what we fought; the feventh Rule ordains, that we with greater Application perufe what we have written, and cut off whatever we find of no Ufe to the Solution of the Queftion; and commands us then to examine any thing that may feem of Ufe, according to the former Method: For we often, on the firlt View, imagine feveral Things to be plainly neceffary to the Solution of the Queftion, efpecially in thofe which are intricate, which afterwards we find on our Experiments, by an accurate comparing of the Ideas, to be of no manner of Ufe ; and on the contrary, that fome Things, which at firf feem'd of no Importance to the Queftion, on a repeating the Examination, to be of that Ufe, as to open the Way to our Difcovery of Truth. And this every one will better know by Experience, than by any Examples brought from others.
10. Lâtly, If on a frequent Repetition we can difcover no way of folving the Queftion propofed, we ought to dafh it out with our Pens, as beyond our Power. Or, if in our Inquiries we have difcover'd, that there are no Ideas in it by which it can be folv'd, we ought to Thew, that it is infolvable in its Nature, that no body throw away their Time any more about it.
11. Perhaps fome may object to this Method, that it is difficult: But then they muft reflect that there is no eafier, and that all thefe Rules are not made ufe of in Truths more eafy to be difcovered, but only in thofe which are more difficult and intricate. But it is much more difficult without this Method to find out the Truth, and to know it when difcovered, than to ufe this Method, and gather the Certainty of our Difcoveries.

## C H A P. IX.

## The Rules of the Method of Compofition.

wwE hope 'tis plain, from the Comparifon we made between the Methods of Refolution and Comiofition, in the firft Chapter of this Part, what we mean by Comprotion. That is, that after we have found out the Principles of any Truth, or whole Art or Diccipline, we mult feek fome Order, by which the Connexion of its Parts may be eafily underftood, and the Thing itfelf fo prov'd, that having granted the Beginning, you nuft of necefiary Confequence grant alfo all that follows.
2. There has been no better Way found out, than that the general Principles be firft propofed, and, if Neceffity require, be proved; and that their Confequences be fo difpofed, that: thofe which follow feem to flow as much as pofibly they can from thofe which went before. Befides the gaining by this Means the Order and Force of a Demonftration, we avoid a great Inconvenience of teaching or conveying any Knowledge, which is the Nieceffity of Repetition: For if we fhould begin from Particulars to come at laft to the Generals, we mutt be forced to rcpeat what we know of its General, when we fpeak of every Particular, becaufe without the Knowledge of the General, you can never have a certain Knowledge of the Particular.
3. But we mult here put you in Mind, that this Method can only be preferved in thofe Things whofe Principles we perfectly know; as for Example, Gcometry, which is wholly employ'd in the Confideration of abftract Modes, of which our Mind has clear and adequate Ideas; but when the Inquiry is into Subftances, as in Natural Philooophy, we cannot make ufe of the Method of Compgfition, becaufe the Kinds of Subfances are not known to us, nor can we find out their inmont Effences.
4. This Method of Compofition has been by none fo juftly and accurately obferved hitherto as by the Matbematicians, whofe Prirciples are perfectly known; we can therefore draw its Rules from none better, than from the Teachers of Geometry.
5. Since they defign'd to propofe nothing that could be contradicted, they thought they could obtain this chiefly by three Ways. (I.) By offering nothing but what was couched in Worảs
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Words or Terms perfealy underfood: And for this Reafon they always carefully defne the Words they make ufe of; of which we have fpoken in the Second Part. (2.) By building only on cvidenit and clcar Principles, fo that they could not be controverted by any one who underfood them. They, therefore, firf of all propound their Maxims or Axioms, which they demand to be granted them, as being felf.evident, and in need of no Proof. (3.) By proving demonfratively all their Confequences; and for this Reafon they only make ufe of, in their Arguments or Froofs of Definitions, Axioms that have been granted, and $\bar{p}$ ropofitions which they have already proved, which are Principles to thofe Things that come laft.
6. To thefe three Heads may be referred all the Obfervations of the Gcometricians, in the Demonftration of thofe Truths which they have difcovered.
7. Thefe are the Laws or Rules of Definitions: (1.) Never to u'e any Wrord doubtful, or the leaft cbscure, ruitbout a Defnition. (2.) To make ufe of no Words but fuch as are of a very known signification, or furb as bave been already explained.
8. The Rule of their Maxims or Axioms is, To allorv nothing for a Maxim or Axiom, but zubat is moft evideni.
9. Thefe are the Laws or Rules of their Demonffrations. (1.) To prove all Propsfitions that bave the leaft Obfcurity, and to admit notbing to the Demonfration of them but corafituted Defnitions, granted Axioms, Propofitions already provod, or the Confrutaion of the Figure wobich is under Confuleration, woben any fuch. Thing bappens to be done (2.) Never to abufe the Ambiguity of a Word, by not affixing thofe Defnitions by which they are explaincd.
10. Thefe are Rules which the Geometricians have thought neceflary to be obferved, to give thofe Truths which they defigned to prove, the laft and greateft Evidence.

## C H A P. X.

## The Explanation of the Rules of Definition.

1. $J^{\text {E have already difcourfed of the Definition of Names; }}$ but it being a Thing of no fmall Confequence, and without which the Geometrical Method cannot be underfood, we fhall add fome few Things on the fame Subject, avoiding as much as poffible a Repecition of what we have faid.
2. The
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2. The firt Rule forbids us admitting any Word that is the leaft obfcure avithout a Definition. The Necefity of this Rule is built on this Foundation: I. That to prove any Thing with Evidence, there is a Necefity that what rue fay be perfecaly underflood. For how can that Demonftration be evident, which we do not fully undeffand? But there are a great many Words which cannot be perfently undertood unlefs they are defined, fince the Ufe of the Tongue from whence they are taken, has not fix'd any certain and determinate Senfe upon them, and fo leaves them obfcure ; as we may find in ftudying the Art of Criticifm. But when Words of this Nature are made ufe of in the delivering, efpecially the Principles of Arts or Sciences, we underftand neither the Principles themfelves, nor the Confequences drawn from them, nor the Order of the Argumentation, or the Connexion of the Propolitions; whence it follows, that we cannot certainly conclude, whether what is faid be true or falfe.
3. II. The Definition of Words has this Effect on ourfelves, that it makes us more conftant and confiferit with ourfelves by giving always the fame Senie to the fame Word. For when we have not a diftinct Notion of that Signification which we have at firit given to a Word, we are apt by Inadvertence to recede from it, efpecially in long Difputes, and when the Difcourfe is of Things of different Kinds; for on thefe Occa. fions we ourfelves are not fufficiently confcious of what we mean, and of the Order of our Argumentation; much lefs can another underfand us. But if we define our Terms or Words, their Signitication makes a deeper Impreffion on our Minds, and by that we are the more eafily brought into the right Path, if in our Difcourfe we have by Accident flray'd from it.
4. The fecond Rule of Definitions forbids us to make ufe of any Words in them, whore Signification is not diftinclly known, or clready explained. The Reafon of this is plain ; for how can that which is obfcure be explained by what is obfcure?
5. But to avoid too great a Multiplicity of Definitions we muft never make ufe of obfcure Words but when we cannot find any others; elfe we fhall be obliged to make Definitions of Definitions.

## C H A P. XI.

An Explanation of the Rules of Maxims or Axioms.

'THERE are fome Propofitions of fo great Perficuity and Evidence, and fo univerfally known, that as foon as we hear the Words that exprefs them, we perfectly know and allow their Truth; as, That Nothing cannot produce Something. No Caufe can give rubat it bas nut itfelf. Thefe, and others of the fame Nature, have no need of Demonftration, becaufe no Demoniftration can be more evident than they are. And whatever has not this Evidence, is not to be admitted as a Maxim.
2. But we muft be cautious of believing that there are none clear and evident but thofe which have never been deny'd, becaufe there are feveral that have been of old deny'd, by the Violence of fome of the ancient Sects, efpscially the Pyrrbonians and Academics, which are now beyond Controverfy. For, fhould the Majority of Mankind confpire to deny that One is lefs than Truo, no Man in his Senies can deny that Truth.
3. There are two Rules of Maxims or Axioms, which contain all that belongs to this Matter. The firft is, Whenever we piainly and eridently fee that any Attribute agrees roith any Subject, as we fee that of the Whole being bigger than its Part, rwe bave not need of any long Confderation of the Attribute and Sulject, for the Mind to dijcover that the Idea of the Attribute bas a Coniexion rwith the Idsa of the Subject; we may rwell, therefore, give the Name of a Maxim to fuch a Propofition. But this may be put into fever Words: Whatever Propofition exprefes the immediate clear Comparifon of two Ideas, wuithout the Help of the third, is an Axiom.
4. The other Rale oppofite to the former, is thus expreffed. When the bare Conjidiration of the Ideas of the Sulject and the Attribute are not Jufficient to dijcover the Agrecment of the Attribute to the Subject, fuch a Propofition is not to be admitted as an Axiom, but muyf be demonfrated by the Help of other Ideas. In fewer Words, thus: Every Propofition, the Proof of rwbich requires fome third Idea, befides the Attribute and the Subject, is not an Axiom. Or fhorter yet: A Trutb rwbich does not ari/e from an immatiate Comparijon of two Ideas, is no Axiom.

## C H A P. XII.

## An Explanation of the Rules of Demonftration.

1. HERE are two Things requir'd in a right Demonfration; firft, that every Propofition of which it confifts, confider'd feparately, be true ; the fecond, that the Confequences drawn from other foregoing Things, neceffarily flow from them; or that all the Confequences be contain'd in the Antecedents or Premiffes ; both which will be certainly gain'd, by following ftriclly the two Laws deliver'd in the 9tb Cbapter.
2. All the Propofitions will be true, if none are admitted except Definitions, which cannot be call'd in quettion; or Maxims or Axioms, which mult always be evident; or Propofitions already demonftrated, which by Demonftration are freed from all Doubts, or the Conftruction of Figures, if we make ufe of any. If therefore we reduce the former Rule to Practice, all the Fropofitions of which we make ufe, will be free from any manner of Doubt, fince we can by that Rule make ufe of oniy thofe Things which we bave reckoned up.
3. The Confequences likewife will be truly drawn, if we fin not againft the fecond Rule, which orders us to avoid all manner of Ambiguity in our Words: For no Man in his Wits can believe falfely, that any Propofition foliows from another, or is contain'd in another, if he have a perfect Knowledge of both: Almoft all the falfe Confequences that are made, depend on Words ill underfood; thofe that are not fo, are fo evident and obvious, that no Man of a found Head can fall into them.
4. To avoid fome Eirors, we nult remember, I. Not to prove a Thing to be true, without giving the Reafon of that Truth. II. Not to prove that whbich does not need a Proof. III. Not to argue from Impofibility. IV. Not to demonfirate by Reajons too far fetch'd.

## [286]

#  <br> THE <br> Fourth Part of LOGIC; O R, <br> The Art of REASONING. 

## Of the Socratic Method of Diputing.

sINCE 'tis certain, that the Aim of every honef Man is to find out the Truth, and to convey the Truth thus found out to others; and not to make a vain Shew of his own, and expofe the Slownefs of Apprehenfion of another: It follows, that the Art of Squabling, which has fo long obtained in the Schools, and which only Mr. Locke condemns under the Name of Logic, and which has nothing in it but an empty Oftentation of Wit, is abfolutely unworthy of a Man of Wifdom. But fince Truth cannot be diftinctly known or prov'd without Art, it is neceffary, to do this rightly, that we apply ourfelves to the Study of this Art. 'Tis often likewife neceffary, to filence the Sopb:位rs, who boaft their Knowledge of that of which they are really ignorant, to make ufe of a great deal of Diligence, that, by making them fee their Ignorance, they may be better inform'd.
2. Greece, which always was pefter'd with abundance of thefe Sophifts, was never more plagu'd with them than about the Time of Socrates, when Philofophy began to find a more than ufual Cultivation. This great Man, form'd by Nature for the confounding the Pride of this fort of Men, has fhewn us a Way by which we may attain the fame End againtt them in our Times, if they happen to fall in our Way: And though this Way ought to have been purfu'd by former Ages, yet has it been intirely neglected ; perhaps becaufe this Pride of feeming to know more than we really do, had got the Afcendant of the Followers of Socrates themfelves, which made them take to
the fubtle Arts of the Sopbifts, and reject the moft admirable Method of a Man of that confummate Wifdom.
3. But we defign to revive with fome fhore Explanation th:s Method, both in Confideration of the Reafon we have given, and alfo becaufe it is molt agreeable to that Candor and Sincerity which every honeft Man ought to propofe. 'Tis true, this Method requires a Genius, and Acutenefs of Wit ; but without thefe Qualities, the Mind cannot in any other Art be provided for extempore Difputes.
4. The firft Rule of this Method orders the Man who is to make ufe of it, To conduct bimfolf in fuch a Manner, as if be defir'd to learn fomething of bim with whom be argues. And indeed every one of us ought to have a Difpofition to hear and allow the Truth, let it come from what Hand foever. Nor ought any Man to think fo well of himfelf, as to imagine he cannot be informed by another, or at leait be excited to think of a Thing of which perhaps he thought not before. But befides that every Man owes this Duty to himfelf, fuch a Difpofition of Mind, which appears in the Countenance and Words, is moft adapted to create in the Minds of thofe who hear us, an Opinion of our Modefty, which goes a great and fure Way to perfuade them.
5. Secondly, Before we proceed to any Objections, We ougbt, if the Perfon with wobom we argue make ufe of any obfiure or doubtful Words, to afk bin to explain rwhat be means by them: For it often happens, that Men have ufed themfelves to fome Words which they do not perfectly underftand themfelves; and then they will, by fuch modeft Queftions, difcover their Ignorance much better than by a direst Oppofition, which often raifes the Paffions. If the Perfon happen to be a Man of Sincerity, and Lover of Truth, he will own that he did not fufficiently underfand the Matter, and then the Difpute is at an end. But if we meet with a pertinacious and obftinate Perfon, who will obtrude his Words upon us without defining them, we ought to proceed no farther in the Difpute, till he has made plain what it is he means. We ought to prefs him with little Queftions, not as the Effect of his.want of Skill in Arguing, but our Dulnefs of Apprehenfion of what he underftands and delivers in his Speech. In the mean while, we mult not admit any one thing that is obfcure, though it fir up his Anger ; which yet may be done by a happy Addrefs, of telling him, that we are ready to yield to Truth, but that we firlt ought to know it ; fince no Man in his Senfes can give his Affent to a Propofition which he does not underftand. But if
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we can by no Means prevail with him to fpeak plainly, we muft put an end to the Difpute; for thence it is evident that he knows not what he would be at. By this Means, thofe that hear us will difcover the Man's Vanity who talks of Things which he does not underftand, and many times leaves a Sting in the Mind of a Man otherwife too pertinacious.
6. Thirdly, If we bring him at laft to fpeak plainly and clearly what he means, We muft afl bim 2ueftions on the Particulars of all the Parts of the Doctrine be adivances, and their Confequences; not as reproving them, but for a fuller and more clear Information of the Matter; So thai be fould appear the Infructor, and we the Learners. The Abfurdity of the Doctrine will appear from thefe Queftions, if it labour with any, much better than by an open Oppofition, provided it be done with Dexterity, and the Queftions pretty numerous, and be obliged feveral Times to repeat the fame Thing, left he fhould afterwards deny that he had faid fo. Here, that the Explanation may be the more ample, it would not be amifs to make ure of Examples and Similtudes, and afk him, whether he means this or that? The more copious we are' in this Particular, the more evident will the Falfity of the Opinion appear.
7. The perfpicuous Expofition of any Dofrine, with its Confequences, if it be not true, fhews generally its Abfurdity: But if this be not fufficient, then we muft afk him, on aibat Arguments or Proofs be kuilds bis Opinion? And we muft ufe the fame Conduct in regard of the Arguments as to the other Parts. We are to inquire of him with whom we difpute, as if we were by him to be inform'd of a Point of which we are ignorant; but we muft not allow him the leaft Obicurity. In fhort, we muft hear the whole Series of his Argumentation in fuch a manner, that there remain no Difficulty either in urderflanding his Doetrine, or the Foundation on which it is built.
8. When we have done this with Diligence, the Perfon who propofes his Doctrine, muft plainly fee its Fality, or on what Proofs it depends. If Paffion blind his Eyes, yet the Hearers will excufe any farther Difpute with a Man who is angry, that we receive not his Opinion tho' labouring with Abfurdity.
9. We fhall give one Example of this Method on a Modern Contioverfy, by which it will be better explained, betwixt a Thoririf, ard another, difputing upon the Efficacy of the Divine Providence.
10. A. Irionder you are fo obfinate, as to deny that God bas an Efficacious Operation in the Sins of Men, wwich the Scriptures in many Places So openly and plainly tefify.
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B. I only deny'd that I underfood how this is done. Perhaps my Dulnefs makes that a Difficulty to me, which is obvious to another. But I would willingly be inform'd by you, becaufe I can neither believe nor condemn what I do not underfand; what, therefore, do you mean by an Eficasious Operation in the Sins of Men? do you mean that he makes them fin ?
A. Far be it from me, for fo God would be the Author of Sin. ${ }^{1}$ Tis Man commits Sin, not God.
B. Do you mean, that God mekes Mcn to commit Sin, or forces Men to commit Sin?
A. I roould not barie expref $s^{\prime} d$ this in fo rude a Manncr ; but God, in a dark and unknown Manner, fo jermits Sin, that it mufl neceflarily be comnitted.
$B$. You us'd before the Word Operation, now you ufe Permit ; pray do they mean the fame Thing ?
A. There Words do not absolutely mean the fame Thing, lut they muft be join'd togather, fo that what God does 乃oould be called an efficacious Permiffion; for God neitber makes Sin, nor does be fimply permit it.
$B$. You therefore mean, that God permits fomething, and does fomething, fo that in necefiarily follows?
A. That is whbat Imean.
$B$. Perhaps then God does, in this, what he does, who cutting down the Dykes, lets the Waters in to overflow the Fields. For he docs fomething in breaking the Dyke, and he permits fomething in fuffering the Sea to pafs through the Breacio.
A. My Mind cuuld not bave been exprcfs' ${ }^{\prime}$ by a more. batty Similitude.
$B$. But according to our common way of Speaking, we fhould fay, that he who made a Breach in the Dyike, had let in the Waters; nor would any one accuie the Dyke or the Sea of any manner of Fault ; but you, if I mifake you not, accufe Man of the Fault, and fay Man, not God, committed the Sin. Wherefore your efficacicus Permifion feems unintelligible to me.
A. Do yiu not obferve, that, as to the Things thenfelves, there is a vaft Difference betzween them? For Men arc crdurwed with Underftanding and Will, which the Dyke and the Sea bave not; and, for that Reafon, that is a Crime in Man, ubichs is not so in the Sea and the Dyke.
B. But I akk of you, whether that which God does or permits, has that Efficacy (for that Word you have likewife ufed) that Men can no more not fin when that has ordered it, than the Sea rot overflow the Fields through the Breach which affords a free Paflage ?
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A. You bave my Meaning.
B. According therefore to you, there is the fame Relation in that Senfe between God and Sin, as there is between the Man who made a Breach in the Dyke, and the Deftruction of the Fields.
A. There is, as to the Event; for both are cqually neceflary.
B. The Action therefore of both, according to the Cuftom of Speech, may be expreffed in the fame Manner: That is As he who broke down the Dyke is called the Caufe of the L.ofs of the Fields, becaufe he did that which neceffarily produc'd that Lofs; fo God is the Author of Sin, fince he has put Man under a Neceffity of Sinning.
A. I told you bcfore, that I ruill not make ufe of thofe rude Exprefions.
B. But either I do not underfand what you fay, or it comes to that Point ; for we muft not regard the empty Sounds of Words, which fignify nothing, but mind the ldeas to which they are annex'd.
A. What! you'll frefcribe Rules to me of Speaking, as if I did not know borw to bild a Dificurfe?
ri. If the Dialogue once comes to this, there muft be an end of it ; and hence it will appear, that he (defigned by the Letter A) either knows not what he means, or elfe has a greater Regard to Words than Things. That Opinion is look'd on as fufficiently confuted, which its Defender is afham'd to exprefs in clear and intelligible Words. Having in the former Dialogue fufficiently explain'd the firft and fecond Rule, to explain the third, we fhall fuppofe the fame Difpute again.
12. A. You fufficicutly underfand, that my $O_{i}$ inion is, that God bas to do with Evil; that he is not a mere bare Spectator, but is fo far an Agent, that on bis acting Man commits Sin.
B. If God did nothing before the Sin, would not the Sin be committed?
A. No, for nothing is done rwithout the Efficacy of the Divine Prisvidence.
B. What ! do you believe that Man alone cannot violate Laws ?
A. That be can, I deny, wwhen I deny that any thing can be done rwithout the Efficacy of tbe Divine Providence.
B. God, therefore, helps us to do wickedly in the fame Manner as he helps us to do well ?
A. You mijake, for in Evil wee mu/t difinguilb the Action, end the Vicioufnefs of the Action. God belps us to the doing the Action, but not to the Vice. But, in good Actions, be belps us to the Good that is in the Actions.
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B. I beg you, inform me, what you mean by the Words an Action, and what by the Vicioufnefs of an Action?
A. I will make it plain to you by this Example: In the Hatred of our Neighbour, there is the AEtion of the Hatred, wwbich in itfelf is indifferent, and is only call'd bad, when dircofed to anz unlarvful Object, and good rwben to a lawful. Next, there is the Relation of that Aciion to the Object, which is Evil. God does not concur to this Relation, tho' there is a Necefity of bis concurring to the Action, without aubich it could not be done.
$B$. By what you have faid, I fuppofe you mean, that God firft generates in the Mind of Man Hatred in general; which is in itfelf neither Good nor Evil: Then there comes another Relation of the Hatred to the Object, as in the Example to our Neighbour. Do I underftand you?
A. Partly you do, but not entirely; for I do not think there is any Juch Exiftence as Hatred in general, which Joould afterwards be determined to a certain Objee; this is contrary to Experience.
$B$. Does God then create that very Hatred that is directed againft our Neighbour ?
A. Moft certainly the Hatred, but not the Relation.
$B$. But does that Hatred exift without that Relation?
A. Not at all; for the very Moment that it is created in our Minds, 'tis the Hatred of our Neigbbour.
$B$. According therefore, to you, God creates fuch an Hatred which co-exifts in fuch a manner with a vicious Relation, that it cannot be feparated or diftinguifh'd from it but by Ab ftraction.
A. He does fo.
B. Can this Hatred, thus generated in the Mind of Man; be by the Man directed to a lawful Object, as Vice, for Example ?
A. It cannot ; for the Action of God being paft, the certain Event muft neceflarily follow.
B. I befeech you, Sir, if a Man fhould put a Burthen on another's Shoulder, which he that bore it could not afterwards throw off, and by that Means he fhould break his Ribs, would not he that put on fuch a Burthen be look'd on as the Breaker of his Ribs, if he had known the Event of his Action?
A. Moft certainly.
$B$. Should a Mian pufh another, walking by a River-fide, into the Water, who fhould there be drown'd, fhould we not fay that he who thruft him in drown'd him?
A. Certainly.
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B. Yet there are fome Men who would fay, that you are in an Error in this Particular ; that the impofing and the thrufing was produced by both; but not the breaking the Ribs, and the drowning, as God generates the Hatred which is directed again't our Neighbour without that evil Relation.
A. 'Iis inded moft evident, that the Men infanced, wevere guilty of the Fraclure and the Drowning; but the Mattcr is otherrwife rwith God, who is not obliged to give an Account to poor mijerable Men of bis Adminijiration.
$B$. But if he did, what you would wickedly perfuade us, either all Sinners mult be acquitted of any Crine, or God himfelf, who compels the Sins, condemn'd.
A. Don't you knorv, that God's Ways are not our Ways, nor his Thoughts ours ? Shall the Pot complain, that it wwas not made in fucb and fuch a Manner?
13. Hence it is evident to all that hear it, that the $T$ bomi $\beta$ (noted by the Letter $A$ ) either knows not what he means, or makes God the Author of Sin.

## The End of L OGIC.
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THE

Ufeful COMPANION.


HIS Table is divided into Ten Circles; the outward Circle is the 12 Signs, divided each into 30 Degrees, in all 360 .

The fecond Circle is divided into 365 Days and 6 Hours, being the Days of the Months throughout the Year.

The Third is a Circle containing the Time of the Sun's Rifing and Setting for every Day in the Year.

In the Fourth are the Degrees of the Sun's Declination, for every Degree of the Ecliptick.

The Fifth has the fix'd Feafts and Terms.
The Sixth, the Goiden Number.
The Seventh, the Dominical Letter.
The Eighth, the Day of the Month on which Eafer falls.
The Ninth, the moveable Feafts; with the Number of Weeks, as they fall before or after Eafcr.

The Tenth, the moveable Terms, with the Time of their Beginning and Ending.

## The UJe of it is thus.

TO find the Day of the Month, you muft obferve that againft the firf Day of Fanuary ftands a Point, another againft the 8 th, alfo againft the 15 th, the $2 z \mathrm{~d}$, and the 29 th, and $f 0$ in every Month are four or five Points. Now, if the Dominical Letter be $\lambda$, all the Days in the Year againft which thofe Points ftand, are Sundays; if $B$, Saturdays; if C, Frid. ys, if $D$, Thurflays; if E, IV゙ediefdays; if F. Tuefdays; if $G$, Mondays. If therefore you would know on the third Wednefday, in January, what Day of the Month it is, (the Dominical I-etter being $A$ ) you muft count the firft Day of fanuary, againft which the Point ftands, Sunday; the 8th, Sunday; and the 15 th , Sunday; and the Wednefday following, being the third V゙ednsd $\dot{y}$, is the I 8 th Day; but if the Dominical Letter had been $E$, then you mult have begun fanuary with $W^{\top} e d n e \int d a y$, and then the third Wedncfday had been the 15 th Day, and fo of the reft.

> To know rwhat sign the Sun is in.

Look out the Day of the Month, and againft it, in the Circle of Signs, ftands the Degree in which the Sun is on that Day.

> To knorv the Sun's Rifing and Setting.

Find the Day of the Month, and againft it in the third Circle, is the Hour of the Sun's Rifing, and oppofite to it in the fame Circle is his Setting. As, if you would know the Time of the Sun's Rifing on the Tenth of March, you will find againft it in the third Circie 6, and oppofite to it in the fame Circle 6 , fo that on the Tenth of March the Sun Rifes and Sets at 6;
but againft the Ioth of April you will find 5, and oppofite to it 7 ; and fo on the roth of $A$ pril, the Sun rifes at 5 , and fets at 7 .

To know the Sun's Declination.
Againft the Day of the Month, in the fourth Circle, flands the Degree of the Sun's Declination, as on the 1oth of March ftands a Cypher, then being no Declination; but on the it th of Fuune ftands ${ }_{2} 3$ Degrees North Declination, and againft the 11 th of December ftands 23 Degrees South Declination.

To find the fixt Feafts and Terms.
In every Month, from the Day on which a Feaft falls, a fmall Line is drawn to the 5 th Circle, where you will find the Name of the Feaft, as from the 25 th of December, a Line is drawn to the fifth Circle, where you find Cbrifmas, another from the 26 th, where you find Steften, a third from the 27 th, where you find Fobn, E'c.

> To find the moveable Feafs.

In the fixth Circle find the Goiden Number for the Year; in the feventh find the Dominical Letter for the fame Year, next fellowing the Golden Number, and under in the eighth Circle you have the Day on which Eafter fallis ; as if the Golden Number be 16, and the Dominical Letter $D$, you find 16 in the fixth Circle, and $D$ in the 7 th Circle next following 16 , and under $D$ in the eighth Circle you find March the 22d, which is the Day on which Eaftur falls that Year.

The reft of the moveable Feats depending on Eaficr, you have in the gth Circle their Names and Diftances from Eaftr before and after; as Siptuagefima, nine Weeks before Eafier; Trinity Sunday, eight Weeks atter Eafer, \&:c.

## To find the Roman Indiction.

To the Year of our Lord add 3, and divide the Product by 15, the Remainder is the Indiction, counted from September.

To find the Dominical Lettcr.
Add to the Year its Fourth, and 4; divide thofe three Numbers by 7 , and fubftract what remains from 7 , the Remainder is the Dominical Letter, counting A 1 , B $2, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{D}_{4}, \mathrm{E}_{5}$, F 6, G 7.

To find the Cycle of the Sun.
Add to the Year of our Lord 9, (for our Saviour was born when the Number was 9) which divided by 28, the Quotient is the Number of Revolutions of the Cycle, and the Remainder is the Cycle of the Sun.

## To find the Golden Number.

To the Year of our Lord add I, (for fo much was the Prime when Chrift was born) which divide by 19, the Remainder is the Golden Number.
To find the Epact.

Multiply the Prime by 11 , and divide the Product by 30 , the Remainder is the Epact ; or add 11 to the Epact of this Year, fo have you the Epact of the next ; or fee the Age of the Moon the I Ith Kalends of April, for that is the Number of the Epact.

## To find the New, Full, and 凤uarters of the Moon.

Add to the Day of the Month the Epact, and the Number of Months from March, to the Month you are in, including both Months, the which take from 30, and the Remainder is the Day of the Change or new Moon. But if the Sum of Addition exceed 30 , fubtract from 59, and the Remainder is the Day of the Change; to which, if you add 15 . Days, you have the full Moon ; and by adding 7 Days and nine Hours to the new or full Moon, you have the firt or laft Quarter.

## To find the Mioon's Age at any Time.

Add to the Day of the Month, the Epa\&t, and the Number of Months from March, to the Month you are in, including both Months, fo have you the Moon's Age. But if the faid three Numbers added together exceed 30, you mult take away 30, as oft as you can, and the Remainder is the Moon's Age; this is when the Month hath 3 I Days: But if the Month hath but 30 Days, (or lefs, as in February) you muft take away but 29, and the reft is the Age of the Moon.
Example.

I defire to know the Age of the Moon the firft Day of $\mathcal{F a}$ zeary 1713 . Now, becaufe the Epact changeth not till the ift of March, I add the Epact of the Year before, which is 3, and the Day of the Month 1, together, which makes 4 ; then Fanuary being the inth Month from March added thereunto, makes 15, which is the Age of the Moon, the faid firf Day of Fanuary 1713 . You thus knowing the Moon's Age in any Month at Pleafure, and are defirous to know what Age fhe will be the fame Day of the Month the next Year, 'tis but adding 11 to her prefent Age, and you have your Defire, and to that Age add II, fo have you her Age the fecond Year enfuing, and fo infinitely; remembering to reject 30 , as above. Likewife, if you add 19, as before 11, you have the Moon's Age the laft Year, remembering to catt away 30 .

To find the Moon's Soutbing.
Multiply her Age by 4, and that Product divide by 5, the Quotient will be the Hours, and the Remainder of the Divifion the Minutes that the Moon is South ; to which add 3 Hours, and you have the Time of high Water at London-Bridge, any Day in the Year for ever.

> A Rule to know the Sun's Rifing and Setting.

The firlt of Tanuary the Sun Rifes 4 Minutes after 8, and Sets 4 Minutes before 4 , which is 12 Hours ; and fo many Minutes as the Sun rifes after any Hour, fo many Minutes it Sets before, to make juft 12 Hours. If it Rifes at 8, it Sets at 4 ; if at 6 , it Sets at 6 ; if at 7 , it Sets at 5 . In the midit of May it Rifes at 4, and Sets at 8. It Rifes in the Err, , and it Sets in the Weft, and at Noon, or $120^{\circ}$ Clock, it is full South. Set your Face to the North, your Back will be South, your RightHand $E a f$, and your Left-Hand $W^{W} e f$.

To find the Moon's Rijng and Sctting at any Time.
Before the Full, add the Quantity of her Shining, to the Time of the Sun's Setting, fo have you the Moon's Setting, and for her Rifing, add the faid Quantity of her Shining to the Sun-rifing, and you have the Moon's Rifing. But after the Full, fubtract the Length of her Shining from the Hour of the Sun-rifing or Setting. See the Table.

Seek the Moon's Âge in the firit or third Column, and in the Middle, right againt her Age, you will find the Quantity of her Shining in Hours and Minutes; if it is her Increafe, the thines fo many Hours and Mi nutes after Sun fet ; if her Decreafe, fhe Shines fo many Hours and Minutes before Sunrifing.

To know the Time of her Setting; add the Hours and Minutes againt her Age, to the Hour of the Sun-fetting, and that is the Time of her Setting; for her Rifing, add the fame to the Time of the Sun rifing. Do thus all the Increafe. After the Full, fubtract the Hours and Minutes in the Table, from the Hour of the Sun's Rifing or Settiug ; and if the Subtraction cannot be made, add 12, and then fubtract, and the Remainder fhews the Time of the Moon's Rifing or Setting.
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To know rubat 'tis n' Clock by the Moon's foining upon a Sun-Dial.
See what the Shadow of the Moon, up. n the Sun. Dial, wants of 12 , which take from the Time of her coming to the South, the Remainder is the Hour of the Night; but if the Shadow be pait 12, add thofe Hours to the coming to the South, and the Sum is the Hour of the Night.
To find the Lengils of the Day and Night.

Double the Hours and Minutes of the Sun's Rining, fo have you the Length of the Night ; and doubiing the Hours and Minutes of his Setting, gives the Length of the Day.
Of Days, Weeks, Months, and Years.

The Day is either Natural or Artificial ; the Natural Day is the Space of 24 Hours, (including both the Dark and Light Part) in which 'Time, the Sun is carry'd by the firt Mover, from the Eaft into the $V i e f$, and fo round the World into the Eaf again. The Arr ficial Day confifts of 12 Hours, i.e. from the Sun's Rining to its Setting, and the Artificial Night is from the Sun's Setting to its Riing. The Day is accounted with us, for 'rayment of Money, between the Sun's Rifing and Setting; but for Indiciments for Miurder, the Day is accounted from Midnight to Midnight ; and fo likewife are Fanting Days.

The Hebrerus and Cbaldeans begin their Day at Sun-rifing, and end at his new: Rifing.

The Jowis a.d titilions, from Sun-fet to Sun fet. The Romons at Midnight. The Egoyptians, from Noon to Noon; which Account Aftronomers follow.

A Week confifts of 7 Mornings, or 7 Days, which the Gentiles call'd by the Names of the 7 Planets, (whom they worfhipped as Gods) the Firft the Day of the Sun; the Second the Day of the Moon, $\varepsilon^{\circ} c$. In a Week God made the World, i. e. in Six Days, and refted the Seventh.

All civiliz'd Nations obferve one Day in Seven, as a fated Time of Worfhip; the Turks and Mabometans keep the Sixth Day of the Week, or Fridcy; the Fequs the Seventh, or Saturdoy ; the Clriffians the Firft, or Sunday.

Of Months there are various Kinds; a Solar Month is the Space of 30 Days, in which Time the Sun runneth through one Sign of the Zodiack.

A Lunar Month is that Interval of Time which the Moon fpendeth in wandering from the Sun, in her oval Circuit through the 12 Signs, until fhe return to him again, (being fometimes nearer, fometimes farther from the Earth) i. e. from the firlt Day of her appearing next after her Change,
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to the laft Day of her being Vifible, before her next Change, which may be Greater or Leffer, according to her Motion.

The ufual or common Months are thole fet down in our Almanacks, containing forne 30 , fome 31 , and February but 28 Days, according to thefe V'erfes.

> Thirty Days bath September, April, June, and November; February Trventy-cigbt alone, All the reft bave Tbirty-one. But when Leap-Vear comes the Time, Then February bas Trwenty-nine.

A Year is the Space of Time that the Sun runs through all the 12 Signs of the Zodiack, containg 12 Solar Months, 13 Lunar Months, 52 Weeks, 365 Days, 6 Houre, and 6 Minutes; which fix Hours, in four Years Time, being added together, make one Day, which we call Leap-Year; which Day is added to February, making that Month every fourth Year 29 Days, which other Times is but 28 .

## To find the Leap.Year.

Divide the Year by 4, and if there be no Remainder, it is Leap Year ; but if there remains 1,2 , or 3 , then one of thofe are the firt, fecond or third after Leap-Year.

The remarkable Days, fixed Feafts, and Terms.
1 Jan. Circ. or New-Ye.Day. 21 Sep. St. Matthczu Apoftle.
6 Jan. Epiph. or Twelf. Day. 25 Jan. Conv. of St. Paul.
30 Jan. K. Cb. I. Mart. 1648.
2 Feb. Purif. Virg. Mary.
24 Feb. St. Mat. (in Lp.Ye. 25 25 Mar.An.V.M. or Lady-day. 25 Ap. St. Mark Evangelift.
I May St. Pbil. and $\mathcal{F} a c . M . D$.
I June St. Barnab. Long.Day. 24 June St. Fobn Bap. Midfum. 29 June St. Peter and Paul. 25 July St. Fames Apoftle.
24 Au. St. Bartholonerw Apoft.

29 Sep. St. Michael Archangel.
18 Oct. St. Luke Evangelift.
28 Oct. St. Simon and Jude.
1 Nov. All Saints.
5 Nov. Porvder Treafon.
30 Nov. St. Andrew Apofle.
2 I Dec. St. Thomas Apoftle.
25 Dec.Chrift's Nat. or Cbr.D.
26 Dec. St. Stephen.
27 Dec. St. Fobn Evangelif.
28 Dec. Innocents.
13 Jan. St. Hillary.
20 Jan. Oct. Hill. ift Return.
23 Jan .

23 Jan. Hillary Term begins. 27 Jan. Quind. Hill. 2 d Ret.
3 Feb. Craf. Pur. 4 th Ret.
9 Feb. Octab. Pur. 3 d Ret.
12 Feb. Hillary Terme ends.
14 Feb. Valentine.
10 Mar. equal Day and Night.

23 Ap. St. Gcorge.
24 June Sheriffs of Lond. Elec.
${ }_{1} 5$ July St. Szvitben.
19 July Dog-Days begin.
${ }_{1}$ Aug. Lammas.
27 Aug. Dog-Days end.
2 Sep. Fire of London 1666. 10 Sep. Equal Day and Night.

28 Sep. Sheriffs of Lond. fworn. 29 Sep. Ld. Mayor of Lond. El. 25 OAt. Tres Michacl. Ift Ret. 23 Oct. Michaiel. Term begins. 25 Oct. Crifpin.
27 Oct. Menf. Mich. 3d Ret.
29 Óct. Ld. Mayor of Lond. fw.
2 Nov. All Souls.
3 Nov. Craf. Anim. 3 Ret.
11 Nov. St. Martin.
12 Nov. Craf. Mar. 4th Ret. 18 Nov. Oct. Mar. 5 th Ret.
25 Nov. Quin. Mar. 6th Ret. 28 Nov. Nichacl. Term ends.
II Dec. Shorteft Day.

A TAble of the Revolution of Eafter, fhewing, the King's Reigns, the Prime, Epact, Dominical Letter, Eafer-Day, the Terms, and moveable Feafts and Fafts, for ever, by Infpection.

| Year of our LORD. |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \dot{0} \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ \dot{3} \\ \dot{y} \end{array}\right\|$ | Kings. | Year of our LORD. | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 00 \\ 0 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |  | Kings. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. |  | Will. | 1007 |  | Mar. |  | Will. |
| 1598 | Apr. |  | Conq. | 1599 |  | Apr. |  | Conq. |
| Prime 3. | May |  |  | Prime 4. |  | May |  |  |
| Epact 3. | June |  |  | Epact 14. |  | June |  |  |
| Dom.Le.A. | July |  |  | Dom.Le.G |  | July |  |  |
| EafterA.r 6. | Aug. |  |  | EafterAp.8. |  | Aug. |  |  |
| Eafter Ter. | Sept. |  |  | Ea.Te beg. |  | Sept. |  |  |
| beg. May.3. | O't.t. | 14 |  | $A_{p} .25$. ends |  | Oct. |  |  |
| ends 29. | Nov. | 1 |  | May 21. |  | Nov. |  |  |
| Trin. Term | Dec. |  |  | Trin. Term |  | Dec. |  |  |
| begJ̃un.ı6. | Jan. |  |  | beg. Jun. 8. |  | Jan. |  |  |
| ends 'faly 5.1 | Feb. |  |  | ends 27. |  | Feb. |  |  |

## $F \quad I \quad N \quad I \quad S$.

$\cdots$



[^0]:    [21] The French exprefs the foft (c) by this figure (ç) for Diftinction, which Character would be of ufe if it were introduced among us; tho' it mult be confefs'd, that there is fo much the lefs need of a new Character, as the Rule is fo general as to admit of no Exception. Some affect to imitate the French Way of Spelling here, and write Publique for Publick, not confidering that they ufe ( $q u$ ) becaufe they have no $(k)$.
    [22] The

[^1]:    But if the Breath do not even reach fo far, but be intercepted at the top of the Throat, by moving the hinder Part of the Tongue to the hinder Part of the Palate, $(k)$ or hard ( $c$ ) is form'd, and the Greek ( $\kappa$ ), \&c. The $W_{v} J / b$ always give their ( $c$ ) this hard Sound. Thefe three Confonants we call abfolute Mutes; for they give no manner of Sound in themfelves, nor indeed can give any, becaufe the Breath no way gets into the free Air, for it neither gets out by the Noftrils, nor by the Mouth.

    If the Breath, cqually divided bctween the Noftrils and the Mouth, be intercepted by the clofing of the Lips, the Confonant $(B)$ is form'd, the Greek ( $\delta$ ), the Arabian Dal, \&cc. But if the Breath be intercepted in the Throat by the hinder Parts of the Palate and Tongue $(G)$ is form'd, the Greek $(\gamma)$, \&c. The $\mathbb{F}$ el/b always give this hard Sound to their ( $G$ ) And thefe we call Half-Mutes, for they make a little Sort of Sound in the Nofe, which can be heard by itfelf without the Affiftance of the Sound of any other Letter.

    If the whole, or, if you pleafe, the greater Part of the Breath be divided to the Nofrils, only in its Paffage ftriking the Air that remains in the Concave or Hollow of the Mouth, the Lips being juft clos'd, $(M)$ is form'd, the Greek $(\mu)$, the Arabian Mim, \&c. But if the Clofure or Interception be made in the Fore.

