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## INTRODUCTION

Little Missouri River Basin is a long narrow drainage arising in northeastern Wyoming and extending northward through Montana and South Dakota to its junction with the Missouri River in northwestern North Dakota. This drainage lies between the Yellowstone River Basin on the west and the minor tributary basins of the Missouri River on the east. Source of the Little Missouri is at the southern extremity between the Powder River drainage on the west and Belle Fourche River Basin on the east. The frontispiece report status map of this report shows the contiguous basin report areas. Final detailed Missouri River Basin reports have been prepared by the Bureau of Land Management for all of the surrounding basins, as shown on the frontispiece status map of this report.

The preliminary "Land Planning and Classification Report of the Public Domain Lands in the Little Missouri River Basin" was published by the Bureau of Land Management in June, 1954. That report proposed that the area classification method of detailed study be used for the regions of concentrated public domain land because of their important multiple use values and interrelationship of land uses. That report also stated that the public domain in this area be studied to determine its highest use and potentialities under properly integrated use. Individual examination was recommended for the scattered tracts of public domain in the balance of the basin. In the southern part of the basin public domain land is so concentrated that it was advisable to examine all types of landownership for an area of 915,634 acres divided into two parts. The Land Classification and Proposed Improvement sites Maps with this report are maps of the two study areas where all types of landownership were examined.

In addition to the study areas there are 868 tracts of public domain which include 97,143 acres. These isolated tracts of public domain were examined individually and land classification reports were completed to include each tract. The land classification report form used is shown in Appendix B of this report. Results of the examination of each tract are summarized in table 19 of this report. The description and classification summary of each tract is given on each line of table 19. Distribution of these isolated tracts by states and counties within the basin together with their consolidated classification is presented as a summary of table 19. Detailed studies of the public domain in this basin were completed in 1955.

Land classification reports for the individual isolated tracts are on file at the Montana Land Office in Billings, Montana.

Surface resources of the 915,634 acres in the area classification part of the basin were determined, evaluated and mapped in the field. Aerial photographs were utilized for field mapping, topography and cover type designation. Section corners, bench marks and other monuments were utilized for ground control points and were pin pointed on the field maps. Field maps were made showing surface resources of soil and vegetal cover by range site, vegetative type and the three principal species of plants. Soil depth, texture, permeability and parent material were determined and mapped. Range condition, Land Use Capability, erosion condition and the recommended stocking rate were also shown, along with existing land use. Drainage, landownership and all cultural features were mapped. The field maps were compiled into work maps of four townships each from which the completed color maps of the study areas were made. These color maps are with this report in the map jacket.

Little Missouri River Basin includes 6, 632, 160 acres, of which 547,366 acres are public domain. Most of the basin is in North Dakota, $3,608,800$ acres being located there with 52,615 acres of public domain. Montana has $2,169,120$ acres in the basin and 419,731 acres of public domain. Basin area in Wyoming is 461,440 acres with 66,038 acres of public domain. There are 392,800 acres of the basin in South Dakota with 8,982 acres of public domain. Total area of Federal lands in the basin is $1,818,429$ acres. Federal lands are 547,366 acres public domain, 760,850 acres of Land Utilization Project acquired lands, 85,935 acres in the Custer National Forest, 358,720 acres of the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation and 65,558 acres in the Theodore Roosevelt National Park of the National Park Service. Total Federal land area is distributed among the four States as follows:North Dakota, 1, 219, 643 acres; Montana, 516,756 acres; Wyoming, 71, 128 acres; and South Dakota, 10,902 acres.

Land Utilization Project acquired lands are the largest area of Federal lands in the basin. These lands were repurchased for conservation purposes during the drought and depression years of the 1930's under the Bankhead-Jones Act. Administration of Land Utilization Project acquired lands in the basin in Montana was transferred to the Bureau of Land Management from the Forest Service by Executive Order No. 10787 of November 7, 1958. This is an area of 13,010 acres in Fallon County.

The Forest Service continues to administer the Land Utilization Project lands in North Dakota, an area of 742,750 acres in Billings, Golden Valley, McKenzie and Slope Counties. The 5, 090 acres of Land Utilization Project land in Wyoming within the basin also remains under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service. Federal landownership is designated on the maps with this report.

This report, with the accompanying maps, presents the results of the detailed studies of the basin. Land use is shown on the Land Classification maps with this report as cultivated and grazing land. The principal range plants of the area are listed in appendix A with the symbols used on the map. Land Use Capability is shown on the maps, and for each tract in table 19, by grade from I - VIII as described in appendix $C$ of this report. Range types are described in appendix $D$. Range sites are listed and described in appendix E. Problems affecting public domain lands in the basin are a feature of this report.

## GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Location and Size

The Little Missouri River is a sediment laden muddy stream arising in northeastern Wyoming at Flag Butte near Oshoto. Falling slowly, the stream winds its way northward through the southeastern corner of Montana and across the northwest corner of South Dakota into North Dakota where it flows into Garrison Reservoir near Oakdale, 560 miles from the starting point in Wyoming.

The basin is long and narrow with badlands along the stream most of its length. This is the only major tributary stream that flows northward into the Missouri. It is located between the eastward flowing minor tributaries and the Yellowstone River drainage on the west as shown on the frontispiece map of this report. The basin ranges from 26 miles to 57 miles in width, the average being about 35 miles. The total land surface natural drainage area of 9,500 square miles has been reduced to about 9,450 square miles, by the filling of Garrison Reservoir.

In addition to the Little Missouri River Basin there are about 863 square miles of minor drainages included in the area. These are small streams which drain directly into the Garrison Reservoir north of the basin. Largest of these small streams are Skunk Creek, Bear Den Creek, Clark's Creek, Antelope Creek and Tobacco Garden Creek.

Total report area is 10,363 square miles as mapped on the Little Missouri River Basin Public Domain Map with this report.

Location of the area within fourteen counties and four states is presented on the following page. Drainage areas and stream lengths of the Little Missouri River Basin are given in table 1 on page 6.

Area and length of the Little Missouri River Basin and of its principal tributaries is presented in table l. Location of the entire area by Counties and States is as follows:
Sq. Mi. in the Area
Montana 3,389
Carter 2,431
Fallon 575
Powder River 7 Wibaux 376
North Dakota ..... 5,639
Billings ..... 810
Bowman ..... 480
Dunn ..... 808
Golden Valley ..... 959
McKenzie ..... 1,811
Slope ..... 771
Percent of TotalCounty in the Area
73.4
35.20.2
42. 3
71.141.1
39.1
94.664.562.9
South Dakota ..... 614
Harding ..... 611 ..... 22.8
Butte ..... 3
0.1
Wyoming ..... 721
Campbell ..... 58
1.2
Crook ..... 66322. 9
Total-14Counties10,36336.8

Table 1. - River lengths and drainage areas, Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming

|  | River |  |  | Portion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance <br> Above <br> Mouth <br> (miles) | Length From Source (miles) | Drainage <br> Area <br> Square Miles | of Drainage Area (\%) |
| Little Missouri River |  |  |  |  |
| Entire Drainage | 0.0 | 560.0 | 9,500 | 100 |
| Medora, North Dakota | 187.9 | 372.1 | 6,323 | 67 |
| Dam Site No. 1 | 241.3 | 318.7 | 5,292 | 56 |
| Dam Site No. 2 | 245.8 | 314.2 | 5,271 | 55 |
| Keogh Dam Site | 255.0 | 305.0 | 5,238 | 55 |
| Camp Crook, So. Dak. | 409.0 | 151.0 | 1,931 | 20 |
| Near Alzada, Montana | 467.0 | 93.0 | 831 | 9 |
| At Alzada, Montana | 472.5 | 87.5 | 640 | 7 |
| Tributaries |  |  |  |  |
| Cherry Creek | 60.5 | 56. | 357 | 4 |
| Beaver Creek | 137.5 | 120. | 793 | 8 |
| Little Beaver Creek | 306.4 | 81. | 633 | 7 |
| Boxelder Creek | 351.7 | 119. | 1,239 | 13 |
| Willow Creek | 466.0 | 42. | 204 | 2 |
| Thompson Creek | 469.0 | 49. | 180 | 2 |
| North Fork | 504.0 | 31. | 187 | 2 |
| Major Tributaries, Total |  |  | 3,593 | 38 |
| Minor Tributaries and |  |  |  |  |
| Main Drainage |  |  | 5,907 | 62 |

Compiled from Missouri River Basin River Mileage and Drainage Areas, Corps of Engineers, Missouri River Division, Omaha 1, Nebraska, June 1949.

## Topography

Much of the area is made up of badlands, hills, buttes and rough country with local changes in elevation of up to 300 feet. Several buttes rise more than 400 feet above the surrounding plain. Local relief is over 700 feet in the Short Pine Hills in South Dakota and is even more in the Killdeer Mountains in North Dakota near the mouth of the river. About twenty per cent of the area is level to gently rolling, eighty per cent being rolling to rough. Extensive areas of shale hills and badlands occur west of the stream in Carter County, Montana, and border the stream on both sides virtually from Slope County northward in North Dakota. The level valley floor of the Little Missouri River is mostly one-half to one mile wide. In places it runs between steep badlands on both sides, and in other locations widens to five miles as in South Dakota for a distance of eight miles north of Camp Crook. Several of the tributaries also have wide valleys, notably Valley Creek in South Dakota.In addition to this level land there are several considerable areas of high plains away from the river in all of the four state area. The stream of the Little Missouri River is within a definite well cut channel which meanders tortuously in the valley floor for most of the streamlength. This channel has such steep banks that the stream cannot be readily crossed by livestock or vehicles. While these channel walls are usually less than ten feet above the stream bed, their slope over most of the stream's course prevents crossings except at points where natural conditions or man-made cuts make fording feasible. This channel is sufficiently narrow and stable so that it can be readily bridged at most points. Many of the tributaries have less well defined channels and present difficulty in road construction and maintenance in parts of the basin.

At the headwaters in the Wyoming part of the basin, a sloping plain extends eastward from the Powder River Divide for several miles providing soil and slopes suitable for cropland. On the southeast side of the river along the Belle Fourche Divide, sandstone caprock breaks off sharply over shale outcrops to the valley bottoms. The Montana portion of the Little Missouri Basin is characterized by broad sloping plains bordered by shale hills which are often badlands and are sometimes capped by remnants of the formerly overlying sandstones. Drainage channels, often straight near the divides, soon deteriorate to meandering courses across the flatter lands. Differences in elevation between main
channels and the high points of the sandstone cap rock seldom exceed 500 feet. As the river progresses downstream, through the northwest corner of South Dakota into North Dakota, the slopes between the river bottom and the uplands become increasingly steep and more sharply cut. Along the lower part of the river, north of Marmarth, North Dakota, these slopes become typical badlands frequently extending several miles on either side. Large badlands areas in the basin in Montana and North Dakota are spectacular, fantastic, colorful and intriguing. Elevations vary from 4,600 feet on Flag Butte at the source of the river in Wyoming to 1,900 feet at the mouth of the stream in North Dakota where it enters the Garrison Reservoir.

## Geology

The Little Missouri River Basin is located in the central portion of the Missouri Plateau division of the Great Plains physiographic province. It adjoins the Powder River and Lower Yellowstone River Basins on the west. The basin formerly consisted of broad rolling upland surfaces that since have been extensively eroded into breaks and badlands. This is particularly true in the lower reaches from the southwest corner of North Dakota to its confluence with the Missouri River. In the upper reaches, surfaces are broader with only local dissection into breaks and badlands. The Little Missouri River flows in a comparatively broad shallow valley which deepens somewhat in the lower reaches. It is a very meandering stream of 560 miles that nearly doubles its length by its meanders. Its headwaters are at an elevation of about 4,600 feet above sea level at Flag Butte, Crook County, Wyoming, and it flows into the Missouri River at a little less than 1,900 feet above sea level, giving it an average gradient of about 4.82 feet per mile. However, the gradient varies from a maximum of about 40 feet per mile at its headwaters to a minimum of about 1.85 feet per mile in its middle reaches.

During its early geologic history, the Little Missouri River flowed into the Missouri River through the valley of Tobacco Garden Creek. Instead of turning eastward at the bend in the southeast corner of T. $147 \mathrm{~N} ., \mathrm{R} .102 \mathrm{~W} ., 5$ th P. M., North Dakota, the river probably continued northeastward through the gap of Bowline Creek and onward into Redwing Creek, Cherry Creek and Tobacco Valley Creek from which it flowed into the Missouri. During Pleistocene time glaciers moving south and westward extended to points south of the present position of the Missouri River in North Dakota as evidenced by the
presence of glacial boulders, pebbles, and deposits of glacial till. The glacier, either Iowan, a substage of the Wisconsin, or else Illinoian, dammed the waters of the Missouri, Yellowstone and Little Missouri Rivers, causing them to flow eastward. After the retreat of the ice sheet, the waters of the Little Missouri River did not return to the old water course, but continued to flow eastward past the north end of the Killdeer Mountains.

The change in course of the Little Missouri River had some effect on the action of the river itself and resulted in considerable rejuvenation of its tributaries flowing from the Killdeer Mountains area, causing rapidly increased erosion and carving of the mountains into their highly sculptured form of today with deep canyons and sharp peaks. Throughout most of its course, the Little Missouri is overloaded with sediment except during flood stages. The larger side valleys have flat to broadly rounded bottoms, but the smaller tributaries form a labyrinth of gullies.

The level of the crests between the badland gorges near the river is generally not quite as high as the adjacent upland, indicating that, before the cycle of badland erosion, the river occupied a shallower valley perhaps 300 feet deep at the most and probably much narrower than the present dissected zone. The river has now entrenched itself beneath the old valley bottom to depths varying from 80 feet where it enters North Dakota to 300 feet near the bend. East of the bend the river is flowing in its post glacial channel and the entire depth of cutting, amounting to about 550 feet, is in the upland. Thus it is apparent that the vigorous badland erosion cycle is advancing upstream.

The Little Missouri River basin is not an area of great crustal disturbance and the rocks for the most part are gently dipping. The main exceptions are the Cedar Creek anticline, a minor flexure trending northwest on the south west edge of the Williston Structural Basin and the Black Hills uplift, the center of which is the Black Hills to the southeast. The Cedar Creek anticline extends into the basin near the center at the southwest corner of North Dakota and separates the Northern portion which is in the Williston Basin from the southern portion which is on the northwest flank of the Black Hills uplift. It is on the flanks of the Black Hills uplift in Wyoming that the oldest rocks of the basin are exposed.

The rock formations exposed in the Little Missouri River Basin are of sedimentary marine and continental origin, ranging in age from upper Jurassic to recent. The surface of the basin north of the Cedar Creek anticline is developed on the Teriary Fort Union formation which consists of sandstones, shales and lignite beds. All of the younger beds have been removed except for small remnants of the White River formation capping the peaks of the Killdeer Mountains. Between the Little Missouri and the Missouri River are deposits of glacial boulders, gravel till of Pleistocene Age and present-day alluvium in the river bottoms.

On the southwest flank of the Cedar Creek anticline in Montana is a wedge-shaped section of the upland extending southeast into the basin between the Cedar Creek anticline and the Black Hills uplift from which the Fort Union formation has not been removed. This upland area contains two erosion remnants of younger formation known as the Ekalaka and Long Pine Hills areas. These hills are capped by the Tertiary Arikaree formation underlain by the White River and Fort Union formations. A few miles to the southeast and just west of Harding in Harding County, South Dakota, are the West Short Pine Hills, another erosion remnant capped by the Tertiary Arikaree and White River formations.

The southern portion of the Little Missouri Basin crosses the northwest and part of the western flanks of the Black Hills uplift in South Dakota, Montana and Wyoming. The oldest formation exposed in the basin, the Morrison formation of Jurassic age, is only exposed in Wyoming at several points along the southeast border of the basin. Subsequent formations include the Inyan Kara group, Graneros formation containing bentonite deposits, Greenhorn limestone, Carlile shale, Niobrara formation, Pierre shale, Fox Hills sandstone and Hell Creek shale, all of the Cretaceous age; and along the west side of the southern tip of the basin the Tullock member of the Fort Union formation of Tertiary age is exposed. At the southern tip of the basin the rocks trend northerly and are dipping more or less steeply in a westerly direction but swing roughly parallel with the river to a northeasterly direction with a gentle northwesterly dip where the river turns to a northeasterly direction.

In the Little Missouri River Basin, the Graneros formation of Cretaceous age contains the important deposits of bentonite and the Fort Union formation contains the important lignite beds and deposits of scoria formed from the burning of the lignite beds at their outcrops. Uranium is produced from the Lakota formation in Wyoming.


Some uranium mineralization has been found in the Cretaceous Hell Creek formation and Tertiary Fort Union, White River and Arikaree formations as described in the Minerals Section of this report.

Soils

Soils of the Little Missouri River Basin vary greatly due to variations in site and topography. A large part of the area, especially in North Dakota, is rugged badlands with many steep slopes with raw shales or clays. Soils with considerable depth and good texture occur on the valley floors of the drainages and on adjacent terraces. Rolling plains at the headwaters in Wyoming and South Dakota have considerable area of soils with good topography, ample depth, and with good texture and permeability. Some similar areas are also found on the borders of the basin in Montana and North Dakota. The Soils Map, figure l, shows the distribution and location of soil types in the area on a broad generalized basis.

Soil characteristics have been considered in the field inventory of public domain lands and of related lands in the area classification portion of the basin. The Little Missouri River Basin Land Classification Map with this report shows the soil characteristics for 915,634 acres, 450,223 acres being public domain. Soil characteristics are shown for 736 separate areas varying from 87 to 15,360 acres in size. Soil characteristics shown are depth, texture, permeability, and type of underlying or parent material. Associated land features of range site, slope and erosion condition are also given for each area as explained on the Land Classification Map.

Detailed soil surveys are available for McKenzie and Billings Counties, North Dakota. Sixty-three per cent of both McKenzie County and Billings County is within the basin. In McKenzie County, as in all of the area, land use is closely related to relief. There are few large bodies of definite cropland; large areas, because of extreme relief, are suitable only for grazing; and there are extensive areas that are an intricate mixture of crop and grazing land. The soil survey of this county, series 1933, No. 37, published in 1942, reports only 20,992 acres of good cropland. There are 698,944 acres of fair cropland and 990,144 acres of grazing land in the county for a total county area of $1,710,080$ acres. Good cropland covers 1.2 per cent of the county, fair cropland includes 40.9 per cent and grazing land makes up 57.9 per cent of the county area. Cropland makes up 42.l per cent of the county area.

Areas of 14 soil types in McKenzie County are listed below. Areas of these types are also given for cropland and grazing land. Portion of the county covered by each class and type is also given.

| Soil Type | Cropland |  | Grazing Land |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | County |  | Acres | $\%$ of County | Acres | \% of <br> unty |
| Loam | 207,232 | 12.12 | 160,384 | 9.38 | 367,616 | 21.50 |
| Silt loam | 77,376 | 4.52 |  |  | 77,376 | 4.52 |
| Silty clay loam | 112,704 | 6.59 | 1,984 | . 12 | 114,688 | 6.71 |
| Fine sandy loam | 62,464 | 3.65 | 35,072 | 2.05 | 97,536 | 5.70 |
| Very fine sandy loam | 22,976 | 1. 34 | 1,792 | . 11 | 24,768 | 1.45 |
| Clay loam | 208, 064 | 12.17 | 365,824 | 21.39 | 573,888 | 33.56 |
| Silty clay | 29, 120 | 1. 70 | 7,808 | . 46 | 36,928 | 2. 16 |
| Clay |  |  | 31,488 | 1. 84 | 31,488 | 1. 84 |
| Loamy fine sand |  |  | 105,856 | 6.19 | 105,856 | 6. 19 |
| Gravelly loam |  |  | 3,840 | . 22 | 3,840 | . 22 |
| Loamy very fine sand |  |  | 3,008 | . 18 | 3,008 | . 18 |
| Scoria |  |  | 62,208 | 3.64 | 62,208 | 3.64 |
| Rough broken |  |  | 204,352 | 11.95 | 204,352 | 11.95 |
| River wash |  |  | 6,528 | . 38 | 6,528 | . 38 |

McKenzie
County Total 719,936 42.09 $990,144 \quad 57.911,710,080 \quad 100.00$
All of the cropland in the above list is of fair quality except 20,992 acres, or 1.23 per cent of McKenzie County, which is classed as good cropland.

Fifty-nine soils are described, classified and given productivity ratings in the Soil Survey of Mc Kenzie County. Grazing capacity of these soils varies from no value to 1.3 acres per animal unit month on the best soil, Arnegard silt loam. The better soils have grazing values ranging from 1.3 acres per animal unit month to 2.5 acres per animal unit month. Distribution of soils within the area is roughlyproportional to the above listing.

The Soil Survey of Billings County, North Dakota, series of 1934, No. 25, was issued in June 1944. The map of surface features and drainage in this report shows that nearly all of the Little Missouri Basin drainage in this county is badlands. Nearly all of the badlands here are classed as relatively bare, only about four townships being considered to be relatively grass covered. The only good land in the drainage is the small part which is in the valley floor and an old high terrace. Nearly all of the smooth to rolling uplands in this county drain eastward outside of the basin. This survey lists 64 soil types in 18 series, which are about the same as in Mc Kenzie County.

The Reconnaissance Soil Survey of western North Dakota, 1908, is the only published source of soils information for the other North Dakota Counties in the area. The map with this report shows that more than half of Golden Valley County is Morton loam, nearly all of which is undulating to rolling. The balance of the county is rough broken land. Ninety-five per cent of this County is within the area. Slope County within the basin shows as being about 40 per cent Morton loam, nearly all of which is undulating to rolling. Sixty per cent shows as rough broken land. The part of Bowman County within the basin is mapped about equally between Morton loam and rough broken land with nearly half of the Morton loam being sharply rolling to hilly. Slope County has 63 per cent of its surface within the basin and 41 per cent of Bowman County is within the basin. Dunn County, 39 per cent of which is within the area, shows on the Reconnaissance Soil Survey map as being about one-half of rough broken land and one-half as being in the Killdeer Mountains for the part of the County within thebasin. Very Little Morton loam is within the basin in this County.

The Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Western South Dakota, 1909, published in l911, is the only publication available for soils information in the South Dakota portion of the Basin. The map with this report shows most of this land to be Morton and Wade loams, much of it being very rolling to hilly. There is a large area of badlands on the eastern divide
and along the state line in Harding County. There is an area of Wade clay loam in the vicinity of Camp Crook on the floor of the Little Missouri Valley. Wade and Pierre clays and clay loams are found in half of a township at the head of the drainage in South Dakota.

Soil survey information is not available for the Montana part of the area. The Geologic Map of Montana shows the following areas of parent material in Carter, Fallon, Powder River and Wibaux Counties within the Montana sector of the basin:

> Area acres

## Formation:

| Pierre shale | 818,960 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Niobrara, Carlile, Belle Fourche, |  |
| Mowry and Greenhorn shales | 174,320 |
| Alluvium | 130,240 |
| Hell Creek sandstone, shaly clay |  |
| and mudstone | 248,960 |
| Fort Union clay shale, siltstone |  |
| and sandstone | 630,880 |
| Arikaree sandstone | 32,560 |
| Fox Hills sandstone | 110,160 |
| White River clay and sandstone | 23,040 |
|  |  |
| Montana- Total | $2,169,120$ |

Shale formations dominate the area. This parent material produces clay or clay loam soils. Sandstones, which would produce sandy or loamy soils are parent material of only a minor portion of the area. Considerable areas of "scabland" range sites in the area are clay loam and silty clay loam soils with a Solenetz type profile with a definite shallow clay pan which surfaces in numerous bare spots. The clay pan spots are below the surrounding soil by a few inches to a foot or more and vary greatly in size from a square yard to an acre or more which may have the characteristics of an intermittent lake. These pan spots always fill with water during periods of rainfall or runoff and the water remains until it evaporates, as very little penetrates the clay pan. Surface and internal drainage is very slow, although some areas on slopes are provided with fair to good surface drainage. Most of these"scabland" soils are on alluvial flats in stream valleys or in badland basins. These soils are in Rhoades, Patent and Moline series or in complexes of these with other soils. The Wade-Farland silty clay loams, found on

Alluvial flats in the badlands, have numerous bareclay spots along with frequent bare 'puff" spots caused by soluble salts. These unusual soil features are termed Solonchaks. These puff spots are 4 to 12 feet in diameter and are one-half to one foot above the surrounding soil. These bare, loose, fluffy spots cover 40 to 85 per cent of this soil type.

## Climate

Because of the severe cold winters in the area the badlands are valuable shelter areas for livestock against winter storms. Summers are hot and often dry, although the bulk of the precipitation falls as rain during the growing season. Precipitation is erratic and undependable but is usually ample to produce good range vegetation and satisfactory crops on good sites. Hail storms are a feature of the region. The area of highest average annual hail damage in the country extends into the basin in North Dakota. Hail damage occurs within the basin every year, varying greatly in area and extent. It is usually limited to localized areas, but is occasionally extensive. Climatological data for thirteen stations in and near the basin are presented in table 2. Data is given for temperature, precipitation, average frost dates, average length of frost-free period, and years of record for each of the stations. Temperature figures show little variance between stations. This is probably accounted for because the higher elevations largely occur near the southern source and the lower elevations are further north near the mouth of the stream. Average frost-free days vary from 111 to 139 . Average annual precipitation also shows considerable variation, from 12. 29 inches to 17.61 inches among the 13 stations.

Winters are usually severe, blizzards and protracted cold spells being expected fare. Occasional winters are fairly open and mild. Some protracted cold spells may be accompanied by blizzards, which pile snow in huge drifts. These conditions disrupt traffic and cause livestock losses. Cold winters and hail affect agricultural practice in the area. Production and storing of winter feed crops is more common than in more favorably situated areas. Livestock are commonly kept to utilize crops which may have to be salvaged by feeding rather than to be harvested as cash crops. Dairy cattle and poultry are kept on most farms and ranches to augment the income in case of short crop production due to hail or drought.
Table 2. - Climatological Data for Stations in or Near Little Missouri River Basin, Montana,
 Climatological Data, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming Annual Summaries for several calendar years, varying with station records, 1957 and prior; U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Weather Bureau.

Climate of the area permits the production of corn and this is the third most important crop in the area on an area harvested basis. Wheat is the leading crop, followed by hay. Climatic conditions favor the production of wheat and hay. Corn is grown as an intertilled crop following small grain, often in alternate strips with grain to prevent soil blowing and damage to the small grain crop. Corn is favored for this use because of its ability to break the force of winds and also because of the value of the stalks for roughage for livestock. Operators state that the crop of corn can be produced at a cost equivalent to summer fallow with the advantage of additional feed and potential income.

Annual and seasonal rainfall is usually sufficient to produce good yields of range forage and is also usually ample to produce fair to good crops of hay, grain and flax without irrigation. Frequently there are drought periods as long as six weeks during the growing season. Cyclical droughts with seasons of low rainfall also occur in common with the rest of the Great Plains province.

Annual precipitation at three stations in Montana and at five stations in North Dakota for the period 1918 to 1957 is given in table 3. This table shows wide variation in annual precipitation among years and stations. This wide variation in precipitation is a potential measure of variation in the production of range forage and dry farmed crops.

Annual precipitation at three stations in South Dakota and at three stations in Wyoming for the period 1918-1957 is presented in table 4. Consideration of the annual precipitation figures in tables 3 and 4 shows the wide variations between years and between stations. Moisture supply is usually the limiting factor in the production of range forage and crops in this area. The variations in amounts of precipitation in different years and at different locations in the area in the same year, show how greatly production is affected by available precipitation.

Table 3. - Annual precipitation at stations in or near the Little Missouri River Basin; Montana and North Dakota, 1918-1957

| Year | MONTANA |  |  |  | NORTH DAKOTA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ekalaka | Plevna | Wibaux | Avg. | Beach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dick- } \\ & \text { inson } \end{aligned}$ | Mar- W marth | Watford City | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \text { (near) } \\ & \text { Williston } \end{aligned}$ | Avg. |
| 1918 | 13.50 | - | 14.31 | 13.90 | 11.00 | 12.36 | 15.86 |  | 13.84 | 13.26 |
| 1919 | 6.32 | 7.26 | 12.09 | 8.55 | - | 8.37 | 8.17 |  | 13.42 | 9.98 |
| 1920 | - | 17.14 | 13.19 | 15.16 | 11.50 | 15.81 | 12.73 |  | 13.13 | 13.29 |
| 1921 | 11.05 | 14.00 | 14.91 | 13.32 | 16.96 | 15.76 | 14.71 |  | 17.43 | 16.21 |
| 1922 | - | 18.41 | 15.62 | 17.01 | 16. 30 | 18.20 | - |  | 20.00 | 18.16 |
| 1923 | - | 16.71 | 17.59 | 17.15 | 15.66 | 19.67 | - |  | 17.00 | 17.44 |
| 1924 | 14.70 | 13.57 | - | 14.13 | 12.24 | 15.13 | 16.27 |  | 17.43 | 15.26 |
| 1925 | 14.57 | 12.19 | - | 13.38 | 10.34 | 12.19 | - |  | 15.44 | 12.65 |
| 1926 | 11.96 | 11.60 | - | 11.78 | 10.01 | 13.11 | 13.07 |  | 12.36 | 13.13 |
| 1927 | 18.60 | 18. 34 | - | 18.47 | 19.89 | 19.62 | 20.17 |  | 19.31 | 19.74 |
| 1928 | 15.09 | 12.53 | - | 13.81 | 13.64 | 15.30 | - |  | 15.26 | 14.73 |
| 1929 | 17.61 | 14.49 | - | 16.05 | 22.36 | 17.21 | 17.77 |  | 14.65 | 17.99 |
| 1930 | 12.13 | 14.60 | - | 13.36 | 15.00 | 13.79 | 15.71 |  | 11.74 | 14.06 |
| 1931 | 10.81 | 7.79 | - | 9. 30 | 10.51 | 16.17 | 13.20 |  | 7.78 | 11.91 |
| 1932 | 14.42 | 15.47 | - | 14.94 | 20.45 | 17.24 | 17.14 |  | 17.74 | 18.14 |
| 1933 | 11.68 | 14.12 | - | 12.90 | 15.46 | 11.50 | 14.98 |  | 15.44 | 14.34 |
| 1934 | 7.09 | 6.92 | - | 7.00 | 6.21 | 7.91 | 7.70 |  | 6.13 | 6.98 |
| 1935 | 11.32 | 12.58 | - | 11.95 | 17.09 | 15.00 | 12.35 |  | 15.73 | 15.04 |
| 1936 | 6.35 | 9.29 | - | 7.82 | 6.50 | 6.72 | 6.31 | 9.41 | 18.50 | 7.00 |
| 1937 | 12.08 | 11.94 | - | 12.01 | 13.26 | 16.28 | 14.52 | 14.60 | - 9.69 | 13.43 |
| 1938 | 10.07 | 16.53 | - | 13.30 | 13.93 | 16.65 | 14.63 | 17.14 | 415.71 | 15.23 |
| 1939 | 12.91 | 17.44 | - | 15.17 | 11.73 | 15.75 | 15.09 | 12.50 | -13.48 | 14.01 |
| 1940 | 17.49 | 17.29 | - | 17.39 | 16.42 | 17.12 | 16.23 | 19.17 | 714.10 | 15.89 |
| 1941 | 20.25 | 22.61 | - | 21.43 | 21.28 | 31.16 | 19.17 | 21.59 | 17.39 | 22.25 |
| 1942 | 16.48 | 15.98 | - | 16.23 | 15.53 | 19.75 | 18.87 | 13.67 | $7 \quad 16.04$ | 17.54 |
| 1943 | 14.48 | 12.94 | - | 13.71 | 15.44 | 15.06 | 17.52 | 20.08 | 16.92 | 16.23 |
| 1944 | 16.33 | 15.24 | - | 15.78 | - | 20.63 | 18.73 | 19.58 | 17.90 | 18.98 |
| 1945 | 13.41 | 10.03 | - | 11.72 | - | 12.22 | 13.79 | 14.12 | 11.82 | 12.69 |
| 1946 | 18.41 | 17.26 | 13.55 | 16.40 | - | 14.50 | 19. 26 | 18.18 | 14.63 | 15.39 |
| 1947 | 12.99 | 14.53 | 15.44 | 14.32 | - | 18.86 | 18. 34 | 18.96 | 16.95 | 17.90 |
| 1948 | 14.46 | 15.23 | 16.06 | 15.25 | - | 16.11 | 18.81 | 16.57 | 714.33 | 16.41 |
| 1949 | - | 9.41 | 9.51 | 9.46 | 8. 80 | 10.77 | 11.64 | 11.28 | 9. 39 | 10.33 |
| 1950 | 14. 39 | 12.18 | - | 13.28 | 18.56 | 15.13 | 14.05 | - | 19.60 | 16.30 |
| 1951 | 12.55 | 12.32 | 11.40 | 12.09 | 18.56 | 16.70 | 16.42 E | 13.18 | -12.13 | 14.45 |
| 1952 | 7.45 | 6.67 | 8.50E | 7.54 | 8.89 | 11.97 | 8.82 | 13.43 | 11.38 | 10.33 |
| 1953 | 18.11 | 15.05 | 15.49 | 16.21 | 17.30 | 19.39 | 16.25 | 25.55 | 18.74 | 17.82 |
| 1954 | 12.64 | 9.13 | 17.69 E | 13.15 | 17.43 | 16.33 | 14.53 | 16.75 | 15.73 | 16.20 |
| 1955 | 15.73 | 11.53 | 9.39 | 12.21 | 12.05 | 14.65 | 10.62 E | 16. 37 | 12.09 | 12.32 |
| 1956 | 12.14 | 9.41 | 9.48 | 10.34 | 9.97 | 12.70 | - | 14.71 | 11.20 | 10.85 |
| 1957 | 13.45 | 12.04 | 16.62 | 14.48 | 17.95 | 22.15 | - | 15.48 | 11.38 | 17.99 |


| Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg. 13.67 | 13.37 | 13.57 | 13.53 | 14.22 | 15.62 | 14.80 | 16.30 | 14.32 | 14.79 |
| Avg. Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yrs 13.27 | 13.39 | 13.39 | 13.42 | 15.14 | 15.80 | 14.14 | 14.70 | 14.68 | 14.95 |
| No. of Record <br> Yrs. 59 | 45 | 22 | 42 | 45 | 66 | 48 | 45 | 79 | 59 |
| Elevation, <br> MSL, Ft. 3,425 | 2,757 | 2,670 | 2,951 | 2,824 | 2,714 | 2,714 | 2,100 | 1,877 | 2,395 |

E - figure has been partly estimated.
Climatological Data, Montana and North Dakota, Annual Summaries for the years listed, 1918-1957; U.S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau.

Table 4.- Annual precipitation at stations in or near the Little Missouri River Basin; South Dakota and Wyoming, 1918-1957

| Year | SOUTH DAKOTA |  |  | -- | W YOMINC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Orman | Redig | Avg. | Colony | Sundance | Rocky Point | Avg. |
| 1918 | 17.97 | 12. 37 | 15.17 | 18.47 | - | - | 18.47 |
| 1919 | 13.34 | 9.27 | 11.30 | 13.38 | 19.33 | 13.23 | 15. 31 |
| $1920 \quad 15.35$ | 21.66 | 15.59 | 17.53 | 18.75 | 25.40 | 19.71 | 20.06 |
| $1921 \quad 10.09$ | 9.11 | 10.23 | 9.81 | 13.28 | 17.24 | 15.40 | 14.11 |
| 1922 20.85 | 20.78 | 21.63 | 21.08 | 24.66 | 27.81 | 22.69 | 22.62 |
| 1923 | 23.61 | 17.31 | 20.46 | 29.37 | 27.25 | 24.81 | 27.15 |
| 1924 12.81 | 13.10 | 16.53 | 14.14 | 18.23 | 16.60 | 16.99 | 16.43 |
| 1925 | 12.87 | - | 12.87 | 15.02 | 23.37 | 16.59 | 17.41 |
| 1926 15.40 | 14.89 | 15.45 | 15.24 | 17.09 | - | 21.45 | 16.77 |
| 1927 24.07 | 20.39 | 20.26 | 21.57 | 24.10 | - | 26.96 | 24.89 |
| 1928 12.77 | 11.89 | 16.99 | 13.88 | 17.38 | - | 19.39 | 18.19 |
| 1929 17.83 | - | 17.85 | 17.84 | 19.12 | - | 21.37 | 20.48 |
| 193016.33 | 8.70 | 11.34 | 12.12 | 11.62 | 14.70 | 13.55 | 13.29 |
| 193111.59 | 8.75 | 11.42 | 10.58 | 10.47 | 16.38 | 14. 39 | 13.74 |
| 193214.72 | 19.80 | 16.27 | 13.59 | 14.29 | 17.32 | 17.57 | 16.39 |
| 193312.57 | 16.73 | 12.63 | 13.97 | 12.95 | 17.10 | 17.90 | 15.98 |
| 1934 6.50 | 11.38 | 9.64 | 9.17 | 7.44 | 12.33 | 15.61 | 11.79 |
| 193512.38 | 11.55 | 11.56 | 11.83 | 12.80 | 17.68 | 15.82 | 15.43 |
| 1936 4.33 | 8.07 | 6.01 | 6.13 | 6.58 | 11.69 | 10.48 | 9.58 |
| 193715.95 | 18.29 | 14.81 | 16.35 | 16.51 | 16.33 | 19.43 | 17.42 |
| 1938 14.49 | 10. 35 | 9.85 | 11.56 | 13.70 | 13.99 | 17.92 | 15.20 |
| 193914.20 | 10.49 | 8.47 | 11.05 | 11.80 | - | 17.75 | 14.77 |
| 1940 14.58 | 15.39 | 12.95 | 14.30 | 16.50 | 19.72 | 19.90 | 18.70 |
| 1941 19.88 | 24.01 | 18. 37 | 20.75 | 25.00 | 16.08 | 20.42 | 20.50 |
| 1942 15.54 | 19.19 | 14.01 | 16.34 | 17.86 | 24.56 | 18.99 | 20.47 |
| 1943 12.88 | 13.16 | 13.69 | 13.24 | 12.32 | 15.75 | 15. 36 | 14.47 |
| 194416.09 | 19.68 | 19.56 | 18.44 | 22.75 | 20.20 | 26.16 | 23.03 |
| 194512.32 | 12.63 | 10.62 | 11.85 | 13.42 | - | 17.89 | 15.65 |
| 1946 19.50 | 24.40 | 20.05 | 21.31 | 25.91 | - | 21.56 | 23.73 |
| 1947 10.84 | 14.55 | 12.74 | 12.71 | 15.92 | 14.67 | 19.47 | 16.68 |
| 1948 15.55 | 15.66 | 14.86 | 15.35 | 16.00 | 11.99 | 21.44 | 16.47 |
| 1949 12.03 | 11.17 | 9.46 | 10.88 | 15.33 | - | 17. 20 | 16.26 |
| 1950 12.71 | 12.36 | - | 12.53 | - | 13.20 | - | 12.13 |
| 1951 | 16. 35 | 11.56 | 13.95 | 18.03 | 17.96 | 15.66 | 16.55 |
| 1952 9.90E | 9. 36 | 9.59 | 9.61 | 9.51 | 12.95 | - | 11.02 |
| 1953 15.22E | 15.23 | 14.71 | 15.05 | 20.81 | 15.83 | 15.47 | 17.37 |
| 1954 11.05 | 13.25 | 10.65 | 11.65 | 10.95 | 11.58 | 10.08 | 10.87 |
| $1955 \quad 24.17$ | 14.26 E | 10.30 | 16.24 | 12.17 | 20.37 | 16.35E | 16.29 |
| 1956 9.56 | 11.69 | 12.50 | 11.25 | 11.47 | 12.11 | 12.60 | 12.06 |
| 1957 12.06E | 14.66 | 16.32 | 14.34 | 17.62 | 16.67 | 17.68 | 17.32 |
| Period Avg. 14.17 | 14.89 | 13.61 | 14.17 | 16.11 | 17. 36 | 17.97 | 16.87 |
| Avg. Record Yrs. 13.89 | 15.06 | 12.67 | 13.87 | 15.80 | 19.17 | 17.61 | 17.52 |
| No. of Record Yrs. 64 | 52 | 43 | 53 | 42 | 34 | 43 | 40 |
| Elevation, MSL, Ft. 3, 120 | 2,933 | 2,989 | 3,014 | 3,500 | 4,750 | 4, 050 | 4,100 |

Climatological Data, South Dakota and Wyoming, Annual Summaries for the years listed, 1918 - 1957; U.S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau.

Extremes and means of annual precipitation within the area, as given in tables 3 and 4 are presented in the following tabulation. Extremes are shown for the lowest and highest recording at any station in each of the four states, and also for the means of the recordings for each state and also of those for all of the stations listed. Means are shown for the stations in each state and for all the stations as listed in tables 3 and 4. Period of record extends from 1918 to 1957. Average annual precipitation for the area is 14.91 inches. Lowest for the area is 4.33 inches at Camp Crook, South Dakota in 1936. Highest record is 31.16 inches at Dickinson, North Dakota in 1941. Lowest rainfall over the area averaged 7.71 inches in 1936, and the highest averaged 21.32 inches in 1941.


Amounts of annual precipitation are compared with the long time average amounts for 19 stations in or near the area over a six year periodin table 5. The difference between the annual precipitation in the odd and even years, 1952-1957, at each station is shown in inches and as a percent of the long time average annual precipitation. The comparisons for 1952 and 1953 are contrasting as 1952 was a "dry"year and 1953 was a year of unusually high precipitation. Data in table 5 further emphasizes the variations in the production potential for range forage and non-irrigated crops in the area. In nearly all of the area soil and site conditions are such that the amount and effectiveness of precipitation are usually the governing factors for the amount of production.

Table 5. - Comparisons of annual and long-time average amounts of precipitation for stations in or near the Little Missouri River Basin in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, 1952-1957

| Station | Precipi- <br> tation <br> (inches) | Percent of Avg. (percen | Precipi <br> tation <br> t)(inches) | Percen of Avg. (percent) | Long <br> Time Avg. <br> inches) | Variation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Variation o (inches) | Percent f Avg. (percent) |
|  | 1952 |  | 1953 |  |  | Variation | 1952-1953 |
| Montana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wibaux 2E | 8.50 | 55 | 15.49 | 100 | 15.52 | 6.99 | 45 |
| Ekalaka | 7. 45 | 56 | 18.11 | 135 | 13.39 | 10.66 | 80 |
| North Dakota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beach | 8.89 | 59 | 17. 30 | 114 | 15.14 | 8.41 | 56 |
| Ryder | 14.63 | 96 | 15.47 | 101 | 15.24 | . 84 | 6 |
| Watford City | 13.43 | 91 | 25.55 | 174 | 15.94 | 12.12 | 76 |
| Belfield | 12.90 | 80 | 25.63 | 159 | 16.08 | 12.73 | 79 |
| Dickinson | 11.97 | 76 | 19.39 | 123 | 15.68 | 7.42 | 47 |
| Garrison | 11.00 | 67 | 16.18 | 99 | 15.96 | 5.18 | 32 |
| Williston | 10.38 | 77 | 18.74 | 128 | 14.66 | 7.36 | 50 |
| South Dakota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Camp Crook | 9. 90 E | 71 | 15.22E | 110 | 13.89 | 5.32 | 38 |
| Orman Dam | 9.36 | 62 | 15.23 | 101 | 15.06 | 5.87 | 39 |
| Redig | 9.59 | 76 | 14.71 | 116 | 12.67 | 5.12 | 40 |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Devils Tower | 12.51 | 77 | 18.38 | 113 | 16.31 | 5.87 | 36 |
| Colony | 9.51 | 64 | 20.81 E | 140 | 14.90 | 11.30 | 76 |
| Rocky Point | - | - | 15.47 | 126 | 17.21 | - | - |
| Average | 10.72 | 68 | 18.11 | 115 | 15.81 | 7.51 | 48 |
|  | 1954 |  | 1953 |  |  | Variation 1954-1955 |  |
| Wibaux 2E | 17.69 E | 114 | 9.39 | 60 | 15.52 | 8.30 5 | 53 |
| Ekalaka | 12.64 | 94 | 15.73 | 117 | 13.39 | 3.09 | 23 |
| Beach | 17.43 | 115 | 12.05 | 80 | 15.14 | 5.38 | 36 |
| Ryder | 18.90 | 124 | 16.39 | 109 | 15.24 | 2.51 | 16 |
| Watford City | 16.75 | 114 | 16.37 | 111 | 15.94 | . 38 | 2 |
| Belfield | 18.92 | 118 | 17.50E | 109 | 16.08 | 1. 42 | 9 |
| Dickinson | 16.33 | 103 | 14.65 | 93 | 15.68 | 1.68 | 11 |
| Garrison | 16.14 | 99 | 16.33 | 100 | 15.96 | . 19 | 1 |
| Williston | 15.73 | 107 | 12.09 | 82 | 14.66 | 3.64 | 25 |
| Camp Crook | 11.05 E | 80 | 10.35 E | 75 | 13.89 | . 70 | 5 |
| Orman Dam | 13.25 | 88 | 14.26E | 95 | 15.06 | 1.01 | 7 |
| Redig | 10.65 | 84 | 10.30 | 81 | 12.67 | 0.35 | 3 |
| Devils Tower | 12.41 | - | 23.89E | 146 | 16.31 | 14.80 | 91 |
| Colony | 10.95 E | - | 12.17 | 82 | 14.90 | 1.22 | 8 |
| Rocky Point | 10.08 | - | 16.35E | 95 | 17.61 | 6.27 | 36 |
| Average | 14.59 | 96 | 14.52 | 96 | 15.20 | 3. 40 | 22 |
|  | 1956 |  | 1957 |  |  | Variation 1956-1957 |  |
| Wibaux | 9.48 | 61 | 16.62 | 107 | 15.52 | 7.14 | 46 |
| Ekalaka | - | - | 14.80 | 110 | 13.39 | - - |  |
| Beach | 9.97 | 66 | 17.95 | 119 | 15.14 | 7. 98 | 53 |
| Ryder | 17.60 | 115 | 12.21 | 80 | 15.24 | 5.39 | 35 |
| Watford City | 14.71 | 92 | 15.48 | 97 | 15.94 | . 77 | 5 |
| Belfield | 16.03 | 100 | 25.75 | 160 | 16.08 | 9.72 | 60 |
| Dickinson | 12. 70 | 81 | 22.15 | 141 | 15.68 | 9.45 | 60 |
| Garrison | 14.47 | 91 | 12.58 | 80 | 15.96 | 1.89 | 12 |
| Killdeer | 14.38 | - | 23.19 | - | - | 8.81 | - |
| Camp Crook | 9.56 | 69 | 12.06 | 87 | 13.89 | 2.50 18 | 18 |
| Orman Dam | 11.69 | 78 | 14.66 | 97 | 15.06 | $2.97 \quad 20$ | 20 |
| Redig | 12.50 | 99 | 16.32 | 129 | 12.67 | 3.82 . 3 | 30 |
| Devils Tower | 12.87E | 79 | 15.71 | 96 | 16.31 | $2.84{ }^{\circ} 1$ | 17 |
| Colony | 11.47 | 77 | 17.62 | 118 | 14.90 | 6.15 | 41 |
| Rocky Point | 12.60 | 59 | 17.68 | 103 | 17.21 | 5.08 3 | 30 |
| Average | 12.86 | 85 | 16.99 | 112 | 15.21 | 5.32 | 35 |

E - estimated amount. Beach, Ryder and Watford City are within the basin; Belfield, Dickinson, Garrison and Williston are nearly within the basin. Compiled from Climatological Data, Annual Summaries of the tabulated States, for the calendar years 1952 1957; U.S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau.

## NATURAL RESOURCES

Principal resources of the basin are grazing land and dry farm land. Other natural resources of the area are oil, gas, lignite, bentonite, uranium, clays, shales, and the scenic badlands; the Garrison Reservoir; wildlife and fish. Steep slopes, large areas of badlands, and shallow soil limit use of most of the basin area to grazing. Soil and slope conditions are suitable for farming on a minor portion of the area. Many livestock operators utilize poor sites and small areas for the production of winter feed because no better land is available. Irrigated land is limited to a small area of bottom lands, principally on the main stream of the Little Missouri River.

## Rangelands

Badlands along the Little Missouri River are the feature of the rangelands of the area. Along the divides and near the headwaters, the plains are undulating to rolling, becoming steeply rolling as they approach the badlands and the river. Badlands of the Little Missouri River have more vegetal cover than the badlands further south and to the west. This is especially true of the bulk of the badlands which are located in North Dakota where the rainfall is considerably higher than in badland areas further west and further south. While barren slopes and raw rock cliffs and slopes are by no means uncommon in the Little Missouri River badlands, in general they are much better vegetated than other badlands. In addition to the higher precipitation as compared with other badland areas, the North Dakota badlands are less arid with greater precipitation efficiency. These factors promote soil formation as well as growth of vegetation, each of which promotes the other.

Grazing land in the basin is rolling to steeply rolling except for isolated small areas of more level land which usually have poor access. Any considerable area of land suitable for tillage is used for the production of feed crops or wheat. A small part of the 760,850 acres of Land Utilization Project land is former crop farmland which is now utilized as range land. Grass cover predominates on rangelands in the area, particularly in North Dakota. Most of the grass cover is midgrass, with some areas of short grass and on sandy sites some of tall grass. Sagebrush is the principal vegetal type in the southern part of the basin south of Ekalaka, Montana.

Considerable sagebrush grows as far north as the Chicago-Milwaukee tracks at Marmarth. Salt bush is the third type of cover found in this southern part of the basin, following grassland in area. Greasewood, conifer, and juniper cover follow in importance in the southern part of the basin. Deciduous hardwood trees and meadow types are limited to small areas in drainage ways. Waste and barren range types occupy minor areas of rough topography.

Badlands in the southern part of the basin in Montana are largely a severely dissected saline upland with considerable areas of saltbush, greasewood and other saline tolerant plants. In the lower North Dakota badlands grass predominates, not only on the level mesa tops, but also on the slopes and in the bottoms. In that part of the area the ten principal plant species are blue grama grass, blue stem wheatgrass, fringed sagebrush, threadleaf sedge, stony-hills muhly, little blue stem, sideoats grama, green needlegrass, selaginella, and scarlet globe mallow.

The Killdeer Mountains are largely covered with a browse-shrub type of cover which is mostly oak brush and snowberry with some ash and oak of post size along the drainage ways. Further south and out on the plains other common plants are needle and thread and buffalograsses, big sagebrush, Gardners saltbush, junegrass and prairie sandreed. All of the principal species of plants in all range types found during the field examination of the area and most of the minor plants species are listed in appendix A, "Principal plants growing on rangelands on the Little Missouri River Basin'".

Rangelands within the area classification portion were examined and classified by the ecological site method developed by the Soil Conservation Service of the Department of Agriculture. Thirteen range sites were found in the area classification section. These thirteen range sites were utilized in 535 different range site type areas as shown on the Land Classification map. These 535 different formula areas are distributed among a total of 736 separately. classified areas as mapped. A classification formula is given on the map for each of these 535 areas. Total number of each of the thirteen sites is as follows: Scabland, 105; clay, 103; clay-shale, 88; shallow, 62; shale, 46; ordinary upland, 45; very shallow, 24; saline upland, 20; sandy, 15; lowland, 9; thin breaks, 7; saline lowland, 6; and badlands, 5. Range site characteristics are given in the Range Site Guide, appendix E. Range sites are the first symbol in the denominator of the area formula as described on the Land Classification Map. The site names are descriptive of the topography, soil character or other principal dominant factor of their potential.

Ten range vegetal types were classified in the area classification portion of the basin. These range types were utilized in the 535 range site type formula areas as shown on the Land Classification Map. Range type designations are described in appendix D. Total number of each range type in the area classification of 915,634 acres is as follows: Sagebrush, 352; grass, 149; saltbush, 12; conifer,9; greasewood,5; meadow, 2; waste, 2; barren, 2 ;juniper, l; and mountain shrub, 1.

Range type vegetal cover by the percentage of each type is shown below for the area classification portion and for the entire basin. Percentiles were determined from the field work on the area classification portion and by field estimations on the entire basin. Nearly all of the sagebrush type contains considerable grass, and much of the land in the basin with sagebrush is marginal between a sagebrush and a grass type.

| Range Type Area | Classification Portion 915,634 acres percent | Entire Basin 6,632,160 acres percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Grass | 22.00 | 60. |
| 2. Meadow | . 75 |  |
| 4. Sagebrush | 67.00 | 15. |
| 5. Mountain Shrub | . 25 | 1. |
| 6. Conifer-pine-forest | 1. 50 | 1. |
| 8. Barren (and waste) | . 50 |  |
| 10. Decidous trees-woodland |  | 1. |
| 13. Saltbush | 5.50 | 2. |
| 14. Greasewood | . 75 |  |
| Cropland | 1.75 | 20. |

The Land Classification Map shows the following distribution of land ownership and recommended stocking for the part of the basin studied by area classification:

| Landownership | Area |  | Recommended Stocking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Acres | Percent | Animal Unit Mos. | Percent |
| Public Domain | 450, 223 | 49.2 | 71,216 | 45.4 |
| Land Utilization Proj. | 960 | . 1 | 160 | . 1 |
| State Rangeland | 46,357 | 5.1 | 8,545 | 5.5 |
| State Cropland | 1,195 | . 1 |  |  |
| Private Rangeland | 402,948 | 44.0 | 76,792 | 49.0 |
| Private Cropland | 13,951 | 1.5 |  |  |
| Total | 915,634 | 100.0 | 156,713 | 100.0 |

In the above tabulation, no stocking allowance is given for cropland. If the cropland is used for livestock feed production, or if crop by-products are used for feed, the stocking allowance for cropland may be high. If the 1.6 per cent of the study area which is cropland, 15,146 acres, were used for hay, small grain or silage for livestock feed, total production would be 45,438 animal unit months at a conservative production rate of three animal unit months per acre. This would be 29 per cent of the total recommended stocking for the 900,488 acres of rangeland. Much of the cropland is used for feed production. In case cropland is used for a cash grain crop, there is considerable crop residue of value for livestock feed. Public domain covers 49 per cent of the area which was classified for all types of landownership. This 49 per cent of the area produces 45 per cent of the range forage. Recommended stocking rate on public domain lands averages . 158 animal unit months per acre; state lands average . 184 animal unit months per acre; and privately owned lands average . 191 animal unit months per acre.

Further information regarding each area examined is presented on the Land Classification map with this report. This includes soil characteristics; depth, texture, permeability, and underlying or parent material. Symbols of the three principal plant species making up the vegetal cover are given. The range type is shown by number as listed on the map legend. Range condition as excellent, good, fair, or poor is also in the formula for each separate area on the map. The degree of erosion by sheet type, wind caused and amount of gully erosion are also shown in degrees ranging from l, slight, to 5, extremely severe,
or nearly complete. The intermediate degrees are 2, moderate; 3, severe; and 4 , very severe. The recommended stocking rate is also shown in animal unit months per acre. An animal unit month is the amount of forage required to support a mature cow or steer for one month.

## Forest Lands

Timber on public domain land in the basin is largely located in Wyoming. Ponderosa pine makes up most of the stand there. This pine timber is mostly of poor quality, being limby and usually only one or two logs high, seldom more. Some of the best timber is on isolated tracts near the Belle Fourche River Divide in the vicinity of New Haven, Wyoming. Elsewhere in the basin, timber is cottonwood along stream margins with some hardwood growth as trees or thickets in drainageways. Hardwoods are ash, elm and bur oak. They may be associated with boxelder, willow, plum, buffaloberry and choke cherry in some places, or each may grow alone. Cottonwoods and the hardwoods are of value only for posts, poles and fuel. Juniper grows on most of the area in the badlands and in hilly country. It is valuable for posts and has been largely used in the past. The Killdeer Mountains are largely covered with oak and snowberry being browse-shrub type with post size hardwoods along the drainageways. Area covered with timber, names of timber, type of products and valuations of standing timber on public domain in the area are shown in table 6. Custer National Forest land includes 85,935 acres in the basin. Annual timber cut on this land is about 250, 000 board feet of Ponderosa Pine lumber. Several small mills in Carter County, Montana, cut this timber and process it into lumber.
Table 6. - Public domain timber area, types and values, Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, 1955


| Area Classification: <br> Wyoming-Crook | 2,472 | 1,280 | 335,950 | 300,250 | $90,658.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Isolated Tracts <br> Wyoming-Crook | 1,033 | 920 | 123,850 | 46,250 | $30,562.00$ |
| Wyoming Total: | 3,505 | 2,200 | 459,800 | 346,500 | $121,220.00$ |
| North Dakota | 260 | 145 |  |  | 300 |

$3,910 \quad 2,330 \quad 527,200346,8002,000 \quad 132,600.00$
North Dakota timber is located in Billings, Dunn, Golden Valley and McKenzie Counties. Standing stumpage valuations used are: saw timber, $\$ 8.00$ per MBM; Ponderosa pine posts and poles, \$. 15 each; Juniper and Ash posts, \$. 10 each.

## Compiled from field cruise data, M. R. B., Bureau of Land Management.

## Irrigated Farm Lands

Irrigation in the area is virtually limited to simple diversions from the Little Missouri or its tributaries onto small areas of hayland. Stream flows are erratic andirrigation utilizes peak or flood flows, usually early in spring and occasionally early in summer, depending upon runoff. Amount of water applied and the area irrigated varies considerably from year to year depending upon the availability of run-off water for irrigation and the need for irrigation to produce hay. The supply of hay available to the affected operators also influences the amount of land irrigated. About 1,000 acres are now being irrigated in the basin. Diversions and ditches have been constructed to cover a much larger acreage. Present and past practice of irrigation in the basin may be classed as flood irrigation of bottom lands adjacent to the diversions.

Several irrigation projects have been proposed for the basin. High costs, small areas of suitable soils, the necessity for water storage, limited water supply and water with salinity and sediment have reduced their feasibility. The 1938 Bureau of Reclamation report by W. C. Sloan, Engineer, states that: 'Erratic rainfall and run-off indicate that a safe water supply for any potential Little Missouri irrigation project should include storage capacity sufficient to carry the project over two years at least, and preferably for two and one-half years. "In 1912 the Little Missouri Land and Irrigation Company proposed to develop bottom lands near Alzada, Montana, as a Carey Act Project. In 1914 construction work started on the dam and 9 miles of canal were excavated. A freshet in Cottonwood Creek damaged the dam and washed out 600 feet of the main canal, and no further work was ever done on the project. The Carey Act segregation was cencelled in 1931. A reconnaissance of this Little Missouri Project was made by the Bureau of Reclamation in 1904. Preliminary surveys were made in 1931. This survey proposed an irrigable area of 25,700 acres with a proposed reservoir on Cottonwood Creek and 30 miles of canal. The land to be irrigated was on the west side of the river between the reservoir and Camp Crook, South Dakota. Only 3, 000 acres was considered to be suitable for irrigation and costs were considered to be excessive. The State of Montana also investigated this site as a project in 1918.

Dakota Engineering and Construction Company proposed the Roosevelt badlands reclamation project near Medora, North Dakota in 1923. The Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Western South Dakota selected the wide floor and good soils of Valley Creek as the best site for irrigation development in Western South Dakota. That report proposed water storage in the adjacent Pine Hills, but there watersheds are small. Up stream Little Missouri River water might be stored and diverted onto this tributary land.

Bureau of Reclamation proposed Alzada Reservoir Site and Alzada Unit in 1946. The reservoir proposed would lie behind an earth dam in Wyoming, with 60, 000 acre feet of live storage, 10,000 acre feet of dead storage and 20,000 acre feet of super storage. Irrigation would be provided for 9,000 acres in Carter County, Montana, near Alzada. No final report has been published. Status of this proposed development in the Bureau of Reclamation program for the Missouri River Basin project in December 1958 scheduled initial construction commencing after fiscal year 1965. Water supply at the dam site varies from 400 to $1,000,000$ acre feet annually, so it would be necessary to provide considerable carry-over storage to provide for the years of low flow. The best site or most economical irrigation project in the basin may not have been located.

Little Missouri River Basin is a pioneer area in the development of water spreading. Water spreaders provide for the use of runoff waters on dry range land, and may be regarded as a form of irrigation. Water is "spread" on bottom land near a stream by means of dikes. The water is provided by diversion from a stream with a diversion dam with a canal leading to the dike system. The stream is usually an intermittent draw, but it may be a stream with a small permanent flow which normally is piped through the dam, only flood waters being spread on the land. Cost of producing an animal unit year of forage by this method varies from $\$ 60$ to $\$ 150$. This cost compares with purchasing land with an animal unit year cost of forage ranging from $\$ 250$ to $\$ 400$. Production of forage on the spreader area is dependent upon runoff in the watershed of the diversion area. Care must be taken to select a site which will benefit by water spreading. Soils heavily impregnated with salts within seepage depth of water applied may concentrate these salts on the surface and destroy the value of the development. Water spreading areas in the basin probably exceed 35,000 acres.

## Non-Irrigated Farm Lands

Area of farm land in the basin is about $1,000,000$ acres, no definite figure being available as there is no inventory restricted to the area. Most of the area is too rough for tillage. Farm land is restricted to bottom lands and limited bench and high terrace areas along the Little Missouri and its principal tributaries and to the more nearly level plains areas along the exterior divides.

Bottomlands along the Little Missouri are limited, as the valley is quite narrow over most of its length. In Wyoming the valley is largely undulating to rolling. At the Montana-Wyoming state line the valley starts to widen, being 2 to 5 miles wide to Camp Crook, South Dakota. There the valley narrows, being only about three-fourths of a mile wide to Medora, North Dakota.North of Medora the rugged high badlands reduce the valley to one-fourth to three-fourths of a mile in width. At the big bend 45 miles further northward, the river turns eastward and the valley widens to one to two miles in width to the Garrison Reservoir. The valley is sought as headquarters for ranches because of the winter protection that it affords. The valley lands are used to produce winter feed for livestock. Valley bottom and upland cropland are both utilized to produce hay and grain. Native hay, largely bluestem wheatgrass, is the leading type and considerable alfalfa is grown.Small grains produced are wheat, barley and oats. Corn, flax and rye are relatively minor crops. Wheat is the leading crop both in area and value, followed by hay.

The U.S. Geological Survey classified the Northern Great Plains in 1919 and published a report with maps in 1929. Classification for the basin has been compiled from these maps and is presented in table 7. Considering the definitions and limitations outlined in table 7 a number of different totals of farm land could be determined. Farm land might be restricted to the 733,584 acres of farming land, or be supplemented with part, or all of the farming grazing land. If one half of the land in this class is considered to be farm land, the total of farm land is $1,208,905$ acres. If all of this class is added to farming land, the area of farm land becomes $1,684,226$ acres. All, or any part, of the grazing forage land area might also be added to the farm land. If it be assumed that one-tenth of the years are very good years for production, one-tenth of the area in this class might be included in farm land or 68,134 acres. The total of farm land, based on all of the farming land plus one-half of the farming-grazing land and one-tenth of the grazing forage land is $1,752,360$ acres.
Table 7. - Land Classification of the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and

| State and County | Farming Land | Farming Grazing Land | Grazing <br> Forage <br> Land | Grazing <br> Land | Nontillable Grazing Land | Custer <br> National <br> Forest | Land in Garrison Reservoir | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carter |  | 185,680 | 254,700 | 622,000 | 409,445 | 84,015 |  | 1,555,840 |
| Fallon | 34,700 | 146,480 | 41,220 | 5,100 | 140,500 |  |  | 368, 000 |
| Powder River |  | 1,280 |  |  | 3,200 |  |  | 4,480 |
| Wibaux | 93,220 | 64,820 | 5,120 |  | 77,640 |  |  | 240, 800 |
| Montana Total | 127,920 | 398,260 | 301,040 | 627,100 | 630,785 | 84,015 |  | 2,169,120 |
| North Dakota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Billings | 31,820 | 31,160 | 26,820 |  | 428, 280 |  |  | 518,080 |
| Bowman | 43,520 | 86,860 | 39,400 |  | 137,580 |  |  | 307, 360 |
| Dunn-Ind. Res. | 6,240 |  | 10,000 |  | 239,440 |  |  | 255,680 |
| Out of Ind. Res. | 70,560 | 2,140 | 10,720 |  | 177, 700 |  |  | 261,120 |
| Total-Dunn | 76,800 | 2,140 | 20,720 |  | 417,140 |  |  | 516,800 |
| Golden Valley | 231,640 | 44,400 | 14, $200{ }^{\circ}$ |  | 323,520 |  |  | 613,760 |
| McKenzie Ind. Reservation | 5,184 |  |  |  | 95,216 |  | 2,640 | 103,040 |
| Out of Ind. Res. | 84,940 | 232, 342 | 43,200 | 960 | 681,118 |  | 13,760 | 1,056,320 |
| Total-McKenzie | 90,124 | 232,342 | 43,200 | 960 | 776, 334 |  | 16,400 | 1,159,360 |
| Slope | 115,120 | 67,160 | 29,600 |  | 281,560 |  |  | 493,440 |
| North Dakota Total | 1589,024 | 464,062 | 173,940 | 960 | 2,364,414 |  | 16,400 | 3,608,800 |
| South Dakota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Butte |  |  |  | 1,920 |  |  |  | 1,920 |
| Harding |  | 87,040 | 153,240 | 8, 320 | 140, 360 | 1,920 |  | 390,880 |
| South Dakota Total |  | 87, 040 | 153,240 | 10,240 | 140,360 | 1,920 |  | 392,800 |
| Wyoming |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Campbell | 3,200 | 1,280 | 5,760 | 6,400 | 20,480 |  |  | 37,120 |
| Crook | 13,440 |  | 47,360 | 183,360 | 180,160 |  |  | 424, 320 |
| Wyoming Total | 16,640 | 1,280 | 53,120 | 189,760 | 200,640 |  |  | 461,440 |
| Basin Area Total | 733,584 | 950,642 | 681,340 | 828,060 | 3,336, 199 | 85,935 | 16,400 | 6,632,160 | Garrison Reservoir has been made subsequent to the survey . . . . . Explanation of Classes: the successful production of small grains

Farming grazing land: Tillable land located where less favorable soil or climatic conditions prevail, causing crop failures in dry years, thus making the lands principally valuable for a combined use of farming and grazing. Grazing forage land: Tillable land having poor soil or receiving rather low precipitation, so that grain crops are failures except in good years, thus making the land mainly useful for grazing but with supplemental use for growing forage and for producing grain in very good years. Grazing land: Tillable land which owing to very poor soil or very low rainfall or a combination of these two factors can not be successfully used for growing tilled crops and is therefore valuable only for grazing. Compiled from maps including the area: Land Classification of the Northern Great Plains, Cooperation
U. S. Department of the Interior, with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1929; sheets 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, and 8. $-$

Farm land within the Little Missouri River Basin only, exclusive of the minor Missouri River drainages in the north part of the area, was reported in House Document No. 64, 73rd Congress lst Session, "Little Missouri River, Wyoming, Montana, South Dakota, and North Dakota, " 1933. This Army Engineer's publication gives the area of "cropland and plowable pasture" as $1,363,540$ acres within the basin in 1930. The figure given for "area improved in farms" was 955,650 acres in 1920. This report also listed the agricultural production of the basin as acres in the eight principal crops: corn, wheat, oats, rye, barley, flaxseed, hay and forage, and potatoes. Total area in these crops was reported as 226, 950 acres in 1910; 500, 230 acres in 1920; and 711, 100 acres in 1930. This increase in crop production of approximately 225,000 acres each decade probably terminated in 1930 with the drought years and crop areas above 700,000 acres were probably not attained again until after 1943.

Physical suitability of the surface for tillage is the principal, and nearly the sole criterion for the selection of land for farming in this area. Land sufficiently level and in a large enough block to be feasible for use of the operator is nearly always in cultivation. Some sites are eliminated because of heavy soil or salinity, but much land in these categories is utilized for native hay. Some fields and units which are not truly economic for cash crop production are utilized in order to provide feed for the livestock of the operator. In some cases the need for hay or grain, the convenience involved, or the desire to increase income or family labor leads to the use of small or otherwise unsuitable areas. Some fair to good tillable land is utilized for grazing because of preference on the part of the operator.

The amount, efficiency and season of precipitation largely determine the production on farm land in the area. Low rainfall, combined with low prices, made farming unprofitable in the dry thirties. Relief became necessary and there was considerable emigration from the area. At this time 760,850 acres in the area were purchased by the Federal Government for conservation and relief purposes. This Federal land is now in Land Utilization Projects and is called Land Utilization or LU land. This land is leased to livestock operators for grazing purposes on a conservative basis designed to improve the range and to protect the watershed. This purchased land was nearly all grazing land, very little of it having been farmed.

Big game is quite abundant in the area; so much so that it is of considerable economic importance. Ranchers desire to control the number of deer and antelope because of their use of range and hay. Some ranchers also derive income by boarding and guiding hunters. Antelope are the leading big game animal in the basin, followed by mule deer and whitetail deer. Their distribution in the area in 1955 is presented in the following list, which is based on field observation and reports from the Game Department of the several states:

| Species | Montana | North Dakota | South Dakota | Wyoming | Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Antelope | 3,500 | 3,000 | 500 | 500 | 7,500 |
| Mule Deer | 1,500 | 1,000 | 125 | 375 | 3,000 |
| Whitetail Deer | 350 | 325 | 25 | 100 | 800 |
| TOTAL | 5,350 | 4,325 | 650 | 975 | 11,300 |

Antelope spread all over the area, but usually are more numerous on the plains. Their migrations within the area and between states present a considerable problem in wildlife management. Their management should be coordinated within the area by the four states. Deer concentrate in the river breaks and badlands and also frequent the bottomlands. Twelve elk were planted in the Killdeer Mountains and they are increasing in number.

Fur bearing animals find suitable habitat in the area and have been increasing in number in recent years, largely because trapping has not been advisable. Economic conditions for nearly all of the inhabitants are such that it is not essential that they trap to increase their income; and fur prices have been so low in recent years that it is not attractive to run a trap line in this area. In the past twenty years precipitation conditions have largely favored the water associatedfurbearers in the area, including muskrat, mink, racoon, and beaver. Additional habitat for these animals has also been formed by the filling of Garrison Reservoir. Other fur bearers in the area are skunk, bobcat, fox, weasel, badger and coyotes. Bobcats have been increasing in recent years, Coyotes have been effectively controlled in the past but are reported to be increasing recently.

Upland game birds are sufficiently numerous in parts of the area to provide fair hunting during a short season. Ring-neck or Chinese pheasants inhabit cropland areas. Sagehens, Hungarian partridge, ruffed and sharptail grouse are found on the plains and in the badland areas. Some Chuckar partridge have been planted in the basin. Waterfowl are native residents as most of the basin is off the normal flyway. Some migratory waterfowl pass through the northern part of the basin. Filling of Garrison Reservoir may increase use of the area by migratory waterfowl. Native waterfowl inhabit ponds, waterholes and live streams. They include mallards, coots and teals. These native birds also have additional area with the filling of Garrison Reservoir and will probably increase in number.

Sport fishery will probably develop in Garrison Reservoir, which has a large shore line. Fish in the area were largely limited to reservoirs and ponds which have been stocked with small mouth bass, bluegill, crappie and some channel cat. The Missouri River and the Little Missouri River and their principal tributaries also afford some fishing.

## Minerals

The mineral resources of present economic importance in the Little Missouri River Basin include lignite, oil, gas, bentonite, scoria, sand, gravel and possibly uranium, particularly uraniferous lignite deposits. The relation of the mineral resources to management and disposal activities on the public domain within this area can be correlated best on the basis of whether they are locatable or leasable minerals.

## Locatable Minerals

That portion of the Little Missouri River Basin most likely to be subject to mineral locations in the southern end, including the southeast corner of Montana from and including the Ollie-Carlyle area and Ekalaka Hills south; the western part of Harding County, South Dakota and Crook County, Wyoming. High swelling bentonite deposits occur at the bottom and top of the Mowry shale and the lower part of the Belle Fourche shale, upper shale members of the Graneros formation of upper Cretaceous Age. As many of the bentonite deposits in the Black Hills area of South Dakota are becoming depleted, production in Wyoming and the Alzada, Montana districts is increasing. Therefore, mineral location activities may be expected in areas where the Mowry and Belle Fourche members of the Graneros formation outcrop at the surface or are known to be close to the surface. Such areas roughly parallel the river course on both sides.

In the Ollie-Carlyle district of Fallon and Wibaux Counties, Montana, uranium mineralization has been found in the Toungue River member of the tertiary Fort Union formation. The uranium occurs primarily as carnotite in carbonaceous trash lenses and beds within the lower half of a fine-grained sandstone bed about 90 feet thick. In North Dakota along the eastern edge of the basin in Billings County, uraniferous lignite deposits occur in the Fort Union formation which warrant economic consideration upon the final solution of existing benefication problems. These deposits are located in the north and south Belfield areas in T. 140 N., Rs. 99 and 100 W . and in the Rocky Ridge area, T. $137 \mathrm{~N} ., \mathrm{R} .100 \mathrm{~W}$. The Rocky Ridge area laps over into northern Slope County. No public domain remains in those parts of the basin described above; however, since the Fort Union formation underlies a major portion of the basin as a whole, it can be anticipated that upon solution of the beneficiation problems considerable exploration of the lignites is bound to develop. On the scattered parcels of public domain and on land where the coal is reserved by the United States location activity should be expected. On acquired lands where the United States owns the minerals, applications for leases may be expected, and all cases will require a careful land status check.

In the Ekalaka and Long Pine Hills areas of Montana sub-ore grade uranium mineralization has been found in the Hell Creek formation, the Ludlow member of the Fort Union formation, and the Arikaree formation. Further to the southeast radioactivity has been reported in the Short Pine Hills area in the southwest corner of Harding County, South Dakota. Other areas of uranium mineralization have been found in South Dakota in significant proximity to the Little Missouri Basin. In the Belle Fourche Basin, Butte County, the A.E.C.found uranium ore in the Lakota formation at 200 feet depth in the nose of the Aladin anticline and concentrations up to $0.15 \%$ showings were found in the Dakota formation. Also some commercial ore has been found in the Cave Hills and Slim Buttes areas of Harding County. In Crook County, Wyoming, the Homestake Mining Co. is producing primary uranium ore from near the base of the Lakota formation at a depth of about 300 feet. Original production was of oxidized ores (carnotite) from the Dakota formation by open pit mining while the present production is by underground methods. All areas in the vicinity of the Ollie-Carlyle, Ekalaka - Long Pine Hills, Short Pines Hills and others having similar stratigraphic sequence should be considered as probable conflict areas as a result of uranium staking activities. Also all areas in the basin underlain by the Fort Union formation will likely be conflict areas where involving public domain or private lands in which the coal is reserved to the United States. On Acquired lands the uranium can only be obtained through leasing from the Government.

Sand and gravel have been produced commercially in Golden Valley and McKenzie counties, North Dakota, and in Crook and Campbell counties, Wyoming. Now sand and gravel are classified as common variety mineral materials under Section 1 of Public Law 167, Act of July 23, 1955, 84th Congress, and may now only be disposed of under the provisions of the Disposal of Materials Act of July 31, 1947. There may be some claims located for sand and gravel prior to the passage of Public Law 167 which would have to be considered in any disposal program either for lands or for sand and gravel by applications by states and counties for right-of-way or application for sales.

## Leaseable Minerals

Lignite production in general has been decreasing for some time due to the increasing availability and use of oil and gas. In the Little Missouri River Basin the only recorded production of lignite was in Golden Valley and McKenzie Counties of North Dakota. According to the Coal Mine Inspection Department of the State of North Dakota for 1957, only one mine operated in Golden Valley County, producing a total of 1,817 tons, making the total production for the basin 2,117 tons of lignite, an insignificant production.

At the present time, oil and gas are by far the most important of the minerals in this basin. During 1957, 91 new wells were completed, including 73 oil producers, 2 oil and gas producers, 2 gas producers and 14 dry holes.

Drilling activity by states in 1957 was as follows:

| State and County | Oil | Oil \& Gas | Gas | Dry Holes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montana, Fallon | 1 |  | 2 | 1 |
| North Dakota, McKenzie | 72 | 2 |  | 9 |
| South Dakota, Harding |  |  |  | 1 |
| Wyoming, Crook | - | - | - | 3 |
| Total | 73 | 2 | 2 | 14 |

The comparative importance of the leasable minerals in the Little Missouri River Basin is shown by the receipts of the Bureau of Land Management from royalties and rentals under the Mineral Leasing Acts. The receipts include rental and production income from public domain minerals and minerals in acquired lands.

The following table, computed to include approximately only those lands of each county within the Little Missouri Basin, shows the receipts by counties for the calendar year 1957:

| State \& County | Producing Oil \& Gas Royalties (dollars) | Non-Producing Oil \& Gas <br> Rentals (dollars) | ```Coal & Other (dollars)``` | Totals (dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montana: |  |  |  |  |
| Carter | 7,773.69 | 86,663.25 | - | 94,436.94 |
| Fallon | 202,871.82 | 18,901.05 | - | 221,772.87 |
| Powder River | - | 155.00 | - | 155.00 |
| Wibaux | 115,226.76 | 1,532.04 | - | 116,758.80 |
| Totals | $325,872.27$ | 107,251. 34 | - | 433,123.61 |


| North Dakota: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Billings | - | $15,513.50$ | - | $15,513.50$ |
| Bowman | $3,512.06$ | $11,343.30$ | - | $14,855.36$ |
| Dunn | - | $6,633.15$ | - | $6,633.15$ |
| Golden Valley | - | $9,480.00$ | - | $9,480.00$ |
| McKenzie | $34,015.32$ | $39,728.40$ | 125.29 | $73,869.01$ |
| Slope | - | $7,968.00$ | - | $7,968.00$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |

South Dakota:

| Butte Harding |  | $\begin{array}{r} 25.00 \\ 17,480.00 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 25.00 \\ 17,480.00 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Totals | - | 17,505.00 | - | 17,505.00 |
| Wyoming: |  |  |  |  |
| Campbell | 890.00 | 4, 360.50 | - | 5,250. 50 |
| Crook | 1,170.00 | 28,265.00 | - | 29,435.00 |
| Totals | 2,060.00 | 32,625.50 | - | 34,685. 50 |
| Basin Totals | $365,459.65$ | 248, 048. 19 | 125.29 | 613,633.13 |

Disposal and management activities within the basin are not likely to be materially affected by mineral development with the possible exception of minorconflicts resulting from the location of bentonite and uranium claims. Minor demands may be expected for sand, gravel and scoria. Due to adequate classification for the leaseable minerals by the United States Geological Survey, no foreseeable land use or disposal problems are indicated.

## Water

Little Missouri River and its principal tributaries have highly erratic flows. Flows during freezing weather and in protracted droughts are so low that the streams are barely permanent streams. Total annual discharges also vary greatly. Variability in annual flow of both the tributaries and of the main stream is so great that any irrigation development should provide at least 2 years of carry-over storage in order to provide irrigation water for the years of low supply. In addition to these erratic flows with years of low supply, the average annual flow at several possible sites of irrigation development is too low for the area available. Further downstream where more water would be available, good sites for development are lacking.

Little Missouri River drainage is virtually lacking in any high run-off area which would produce a large volume of dependable flow. There are no high mountains with large volume precipitation accompanied by timber cover to stabilize flow over the years, such as supply the Yellowstone River and much of the upper Missouri River watershed. Vegetal cover, slope and soil characteristics on much of the watershed favor rapid run-off of the sudden heavy storms which furnish much of the precipitation. In most winters snow collects on frozen ground so that the snow melt largely runs off in spring with little penetration and with little water storage in the soil.

Stream flow measurements made by the Geological Survey are available for six gaging stations in the basin, four on the Little Missouri River and two on tributary streams. Gaging stations are located on Beaver Creek and Little Beaver Creek. Maximum and minimum flows and the annual flow characteristics for each of these gaging stations are shown in table 8 . This tabulation clearly shows the great variation in run-off.

In addition to variable flows, another unfavorable feature of the water supply is that the Little Missouri carries a large volume of sediment. This sediment is largely from the badland and near badland areas which occupy much of the basin. In House Document number 64, $73 r d$ Congress, 1 st Session, the Corps of Engineers estimates the average annual sediment discharge of the Little Missouri River to be $8,600,000$ tons. They also estimate that the proposed Cottonwood Creek Reservoir would fill with sediment in 41 years. Estimated duration of the Bullion Butte Reservoir was 58 to 61 years until filling with sediment would be complete. Bureau of Reclamation does not anticipate such rapid sedimentation at the proposed reservoir site near Alzada. In their study published March 28, 1946 at Billings, Montana, they propose only 10,000 acre feet for sediment in the capacity of the reservoir, with 60,000 acre feet of live storage and 20,000 acre feet of super storage. This study states that the stream is a very heavy sediment carrier for its size.

Another unfavorable factor of the Little Missouri water is its high salt content. This is largely caused by the high salinity of soils in much of the badlands area. Samples were taken at several sites over a number of years by the Geological Survey in order to determine the chemical quality of Little Missouri water. Results of these studies were published as Chemical Analyses of Surface Waters in the Little Missouri River Basin, 1945 to 1949, United States Geological Survey. There are subsequent supplements to this study.

Water samples taken at Alzada, Marmarth and Medora showed a range of averages of 715 to 1,208 parts per million of dissolved solids. These averages were compiled from analyses of several sets of samples taken at different times. Range in amount of dissolved solids among individual samples was from 138 to 2,190 parts per million. Per cent of sodium varied from 50 to 64 in the averages of the sets of samples. Amount of sodium in the dissolved solids varied from 10 to 88 per cent in the individual samples. Salinity of water of the Little Missouri is sufficiently high that its quality for irrigation may be subject to question for some soils and for some crops. Despite salinity, sediment and variable flows, it is probable that irrigation development in the area with storage may be justifiable in the future. Such development would be based on a new level of economy and multiple uses for irrigation, sanitation, flow stabilization, sediment control, flood control and recreation.

Table 8. - Stream flows, Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming; record years to 1954 |  | record years to 1954 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Drainage |  |
| Area |  |
| Stream | Sq. Mi. |


$85,740 \quad 1951-52 \quad 10,910 \quad 1953-54 \quad 16$
(1)

[^0]Development of irrigation, water use, water conservation and storage in the basin are complicated by the location of the basin within four states. In 1959 a water compact for the river is being studied by the four states. Wyoming apparently produces water of the highest quality and has some good dam sites for water storage, but seems to lack goodirrigation development sites with sufficient area. The proposed Alzada development of the Bureau of Reclamation would utilize water stored in Wyoming at Alzada Reservoir site to irrigate 9,000 acres of land in Montana on the Alzada Unit. Good sites for irrigation development may also be located in North Dakota and South Dakota, but an ample water supply and good feasible reservoir sites are a problem for most of the sites with suitable land. Downstream from Wyoming, salinity of the water in the river usually increases, especially after the river receives runoff from badlands areas.

Bureau of Reclamation considers the Alzada Unit and Alzada reservoir site to be the only feasible irrigation development according to their investigations as published in 1946. Alzada Dam is planned to be an earth fill structure 5,500 feet long with a crest of 62 feet. Total diversion is estimated at three acre feet per acre of irrigated land. Ir rigation use of stored water should probably be by canal from the storage, as proposed in the Alzada development, as water loss in the channel to a lower diversion might be excessive at the time it became necessary to utilize the stored water for irrigation. Salinity might also be increased in the irrigation water because of the accumulation of salts in the river channel as flow receded prior to the release of storage water.

Stream flows in the basin are erratic, so water storage and conservation are valuable objectives. Control of sediment production to reduce the amount of sediment entering Garrison Reservoir is also important. Development of irrigated land within the basin would be of value to help stabilize the livestock industry, which is the major enterprise and the major land use in the basin. Irrigation development in the area would also promote conservation of range land in the area. At present the basin is dependent upon the widely fluctuating yields of dry farmed local feed crops, yields from very limited local irrigation and water spreading areas and supplements imported from other areas to provide livestock feed for shortage periods. It is important to the progress and welfare of the basin and to their respective commonwealths that the four states within the basin reach a water compact which will permit the proper conservation use and maximum feasible development of the water in the basin at the most effecient sites.

# HISTORY OF RESOURCE USE 

## Exploration

Verendrye (Pierre Gautier de Varennes), a French explorer searching for a route to the Pacific, and his party were probably the first white men to view the area in 1738. Two of Verendrye's sons explored further in 1740-1742. They crossed the Missouri near Sanish and went southwest, looking for a site for their father's dream, a trade route to the Pacific. Had they followed the Missouri they might have found it. Instead the Little Missouri led them to the Bighorn Mountains, where they gave up the quest and returned to recross the Missouri. Trappers and traders soon followed the explorers. When Lewis and Clark ascended the Missouri in 1804, they found the English-Canadian trading post of Fort Mandan at the Five Villages at the mouth of the Knife River, and stopped to winter there, leaving early in l805. They went up the Missouri along the north boundary of the area. Clark returned eastward down the Yellowstone River, just west of the area, in 1806.

## The Fur Trade

The Little Missouri River Basin has long been a boundary region. In the Indian days it demarked the hunting grounds of the Crow and Blackfeet on the west, from the Sioux on the east and the Assiniboin and Gros Ventre on the north. The Missouri River was a travel and trade route with agricultural earth lodge villages of the Mandans, Hidatsa and Arikara Indian tribes just eastward of the area. Indian troubles were brought on by the buffalo hide hunters in l850-1870. The Minnesota outbreak of 1862 induced military reprisal campaigns by Generals Henry Sibley and Alfred Sully. Battles were fought in the area known as "The Battle of the Badlands"and"'The Battle of Killdeer Mountain". These campaigns drove the hostile Dakotas to"the badlands west of the Missouri". Later, settlers came as far west as the badlands and stopped.

First use of the area by white men was for fur trading with the Indians. This was almost the sole use of the area for over a century, 1760-1875. First trading post drawing furs from the area was established at Fort Mandan about 1790. Here were the Five Villages of the Hidatsa and Mandan Indians, offering an established trade and population center at the mouth of the Knife River on the Missouri, 40 miles east of the area and 66 miles down river from the mouth of the Little Missouri. Both Hudson's Bay Company and the North West Company used this outpost. In the early days, during the English-Canadian use of the area
prior to 1812 , connection to the area and the Missouri was largely overland to the Great Lakes, thence to Quebec, and later, to Montreal, For many years Fort Mandan was the last outpost both westward and up the Missouri River. Later trading and military forts serving the area were FortsYates, Clark, Lincoln, William, Montimer, Berthold, Henry, Buford and the largest of all; Fort Union. All of these forts were located on the Missouri. Only fort in the area was Fort Dilts, near Marmarth. This was a sod earthworks constructed during an Indian battle.

Trading on the Missouri had gradually progressed upstream from St. Louis after 1790. After Lewis and Clark explored the Missouri route in 1804, a St. Louis fur trader, Manuel Lissa, followed to establish a post on the Yellowstone River west of the area in 1807. American Fur Company built Fort Clark near the mouth of the Knife River in 1826 and Fort Union at the mouth of the Yellowstone in 1831. They commenced operation of steamboats in 1831 to supply their forts and to bring furs to St. Louis.

Steamboating on the Missouri was highly profitable in the early days, rising to a peak in 1858. A single voyage to Fort Benton, Montana, often paid for the boat by earning $\$ 40,000$. Passage was $\$ 300$ cabin, $\$ 75$ deck with 10-15 cents per pound for freight. In 1867, 31 boats made the trip to Fort Benton. War between the Assiniboins and Blackfeet in 1831 threatened extinction of the upriver fur trade. Kenneth MacKensie, Director of the Upper Missouri outfit of the American Fur Company brought the hostile chiefs together at Fort Union to make medicine and to establish peace between the two tribes in order to promote trade. Peace was established under MacKensie's Treaty of 1831. From statesmanship MacKensie went to distilling, setting up a distillery at Fort Union to make the essential fluid of the fur trade among the Indians. The Government frowned upon this activity so near the point of consumption in Indian territory, so the plant was soon shut down. The doom of the river steamer was sounded in 1871 when a survey party for the Northern Pacific Railroad started from Fort Rice on the Missouri, ten miles north of the mouth of the Cannonball River. Pausing briefly at Bismarck, the railroad soon crossed the Missouri and built westward into the area in 1880.

Actual settlement first by-passed the area, going along the Missouri and up the Yellowstone west of the area along the old river and steamboat routes. Cattlemen were first attracted to the area by the protection from storms afforded by the badlands. With the confinement of the Indians to reservations in 1877, cattlemen began to build headquarters in the Little Missouri bottom-lands.

In other sections of the west, crops, settlements or railroad building made early history. In the Little Missouri, two men stand out as the historic base:President Theodore Roosevelt and the Marquis de Mores. Both came to the basin in 1883. Roosevelt bought the Chimney Butte Ranch and returned east. The Marquis stayed and spent a fortune to develop the area. He built a huge packing plant at Medora, expending one-quarter of million dollars. He developed a refrigerator car line with icing stations to ship western beef and Puget Sound salmon to eastern markets.

A third venture of the Marquis de Mores was a stage line from Medora to Deadwood-running time 36 hours, fare $\$ 21.50$ for the 215 miles. There were 15 stations, four coaches, 150 horses and the road in the venture. Failure to secure the mail contract doomed this venture to one year. All of the Marquis' ventures ended in failure. He acquired a large area of land in the area and lived as a European nobleman in the countryside. His 28 room mansion stands today as a museum at Medora. The packing plant burned in 1907 and only the brick smoke stacks stand today, monuments to a quarter million dollar poorly planned venture. The Marquis built the town of Medora, named for his American wife, on the east bank of the Little Missouri along the Northern Pacific tracks. He established a huge general store with a large and varied line.

Theodore Roosevelt returned to the badlands of the Little Missouri after the sudden simultaneous deaths of his wife and mother in 1885. Selecting a site 35 miles north of Medora in a grove of cottonwoods in the Little Missouri bottom, "T.R." built a spacious cabin of handhewn cottonwood logs. Finding a pair of locked elkhorns in the grove, he named his ranch the Elkhorn. He became the proprietor of three cattle brands, the Maltese cross, the triangle and the Elkhorn.

Coming to the west to rest from his political career, Theodore Roosevelt actually established the foundation that led him to the presidency. Here he made friendships and led the stockmen who in turn
became his'Rough Riders" of San Juan Hill fame and who secured the Vice Presidential nomination for him. Here he acquired the physique, horsemanship and endurance that made him a military leader. Here he learned of the needs and problems of the new west; here his deep interest in conservation and western expansion was born. Here he acquired vision and a sense of action in accord with need from the west, from hunting and from running cattle. Here he formulated the spirit and judgement that led the nation through a critical internal development period and led the world toward a new concept of international relations. Hunting, the round up, riding the range, organizing the stockmen, and law enforcement occupied his days. Evenings were spent reading and writing. Here he wrote "Hunting Trips of a Ranchman" (1889) and "Ranch Life and the Hunting Trail" (1888). Indirectly, the Little Missouri also probably accounts for a second President. Owing to the inspiration and guidance of his illustrious uncle, another Roosevelt, Franklin Delano, became interested in the national political forum. Theodore Roosevelt likewise inspired Eleanor Roosevelt, another relative, who became an active figure on the national and international scenes, both as the President's wife and in her own right.

Today Theodore Roosevelt is commemorated by the National Park in the area bearing his name, and by the gigantic stone carving of which he is a part, on Rushmore National Memorial in the nearby Black Hills of South Dakota. He did much to build the nation and to enhance our national prestige at the time when we were developing the west, entering the mechanical age, and emerging as a world power. Definite and outspoken, he pursued a course with a strong Army and Navy and wielded " a big stick" which has long been his symbol and tradition. Many events and periods have occurred since which have called for one with his spirit, vision, and action. Many an American has yearned for one who would pursue his course.

When Roosevelt and the Marquis de Mores came to the Little Missouri in 1883, they found an open land teeming with game, Elk, deer, and antelope were abundant and it was not difficult to find bear, cougar, buffalo and mountain sheep. Cattle grazed the open range and were handled by means of the round-up and the range rider. Pioneers, ranchers, cowboys, hunters and railroaders mingled in the towns and settlements in and near the area. Land was unsurveyed.

Early settlement for homesteading and farming by-passed the area for more attractive sites further west and to the east. Cadastral surveys are indicative of settlement and homesteading. Earliest surveys in the area were near Wibaux in 1882 and 1883. Some townships in Wyoming near the headwaters were surveyed in 1883. Surveys in the Montana part of the area were made in 1882 to 1954 , the largest number of townships being 20 in 1909. In the North Dakota portion surveys were made in 1884 to 1914 , largest number of townships surveyed being 41 in 1905 with 32 in 1900 and 26 in 1907. South Dakota surveys in the area were made from 1891 to 1895, the largest number being 12 , more than one-half, in 1895. Wyoming surveys extend from 1883 to 1921. The original surveys in the Wyoming part of the area were in 1883; all other surveys there were resurveys during 1914-1921, the largest number of townships surveyed being 13 in 1916.

Cadastral surveys in the area by date and number of townships surveyed are shown in table 9. Data is given for each County and for each State in the area for 8 years, 10 pairs of years and 4 groups of years for the period 1882 to 1954 . The groups are periods of 3 and 4 years. Large numbers of townships surveyed within the basin were made in these years: 1905, 45; 1900, 33; 1907, 26; and 1903 and 1909, 21 for each year. On a percentile basis for the area, 13 per cent of the townships were surveyed in 1905; 9 per cent in 1900, 7 per cent in 1907; and 6 per cent in each, 1902, 1903 and 1909. Cadastral rectangular surveys in the area for four periods are shown on a map of the basin in figure 2. The four periods are 1882 to 1908 , stone monuments or wooden stakes; 1908-1911, stone or steel pipe brass capped monuments; 1912 to l958, steel pipe brass capped monuments, and the river bottom resurvey of 1945 for the Missouri River Basin Program with brass capped steel pipe monuments.

Largest amount of recent resurveys, monumented with steel posts and brass caps subsequent to 1909 , were made in 1917 when 17 townships were resurveyed, or five per cent of the total. Fifteen townships, four per cent, were resurveyed in 1911; fourteen, or four per cent, in 1916; twelve, or three per cent, in 1915; and 11 or three per cent, in 1917. The original internal survey of the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation was made with brass caps in 1911 and 1914 within the basin. Total area monumented with brass cap steel posts in the area is 118 townships or 33 per cent of the basin. Rock or wooden monuments cover 238 townships, 67 per cent of the basin. These 238 townships are divided as follows:
Table 9. - Dates of most recent cadastral surveys by number of townships or partial townships for each period 1882 - 1958, by counties in the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming, 1958

| Period of Survey | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { コ } \\ & \text { an } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { g } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $$ |  | 告 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { İ } \\ & \text { م } \\ & \text { Z. } \\ & \text { U } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { O} \\ & \text { ou } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \stackrel{\pi}{0} \\ & \lambda+0 \\ & 3 H \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1882-85 |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 11 |
| 1890-93 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 7 | 7 |  |  |  | 11 |
| 1894-95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 16 | 17 |  |  |  | 17 |
| 1896-97 | 6 | 1 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| 1900 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  | 7 | 9 | 12 |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |
| 1901-02 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 8 | 11 | 4 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| 1903-04 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 7 | 2 | 16 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |
| 1905-06 |  | 4 |  | 2 | 6 | 17 |  |  | 6 |  | 18 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| 1907 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 6 |  | 18 |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| 1908-09 | 11 | 9 |  | 1 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |
| 1910-11 | 11 |  | 1 |  | 12 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| 1912-13 | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 1914-15 | 13 | 7 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 29 |
| 1916-17 | 7 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 16 | 18 | 25 |
| 1918-21 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 7 | 10 |
| 1922-24 | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 1932 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1939 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 1945 | 6 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 1948 | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 1952 | 7 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 1954 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Total | 94 | 24 | 1 | 12 | 131 | 28 | 16 | 27 | 25 | 53 | 22 | 171 | 1 | 23 | 24 | 6 | 24 | 30 | 356 | Other cadastral surveys in the area were: Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park Boundary, 1956 . Bottom lands of the Little Missouri River, Alzada, Montana, to Medora, North Dakota, l948. Compiled from Land Office Cadastral Survey Records, Bureau of Land Management, l958. Survey dates are indicative of demand on the part of locators or settlers prior to 1930. Subsequent to 1930 surveys indicate demand by lessors, or by investigational or administrative agencies. The largest number of townships surveyed in one year were surveyed in 1905 when 45 townships were surveyed in the area.



57 , or 16 per cent prior to $1900 ; 181$, or 51 per cent, 1900 to 1909 . These monuments, or traces of them can generally be found in grassland areas because the accompanying pits are distinguishable in the sod. In farming areas or open sites these old monuments have often been destroyed or have been lost by decay.

Most of the area was utilized by cattlemen prior to 1900. Actual settlement for homesteading began after 1900, being most active during the years 1904-1910. Settlement was about five years later in Carter County, Montana, and most of the settlement in Wyoming was in the period 1916-1927. Most of the homesteaders came from the eastern part of the Dakotas and Minnesota. Others came from Wisconsin, Iowa and Nebraska. Many were foreign born who stayed briefly further east after immigrating from northern Europe. Nearly all came to raise grain. Flax was a common crop for the first several years after breaking.

Development in farmland use of the area from settlement days, 1910 until 1925, is shown in table 10. Total area farmed to cereals, hay, forage and potatoes increased from 228,040 acres in 1910 to 711,190 acres in 1925. Settlement and development of the area was virtually completed in 1925 as far as farmland was concerned. Livestock production and valuations in the area in 1910, 1920 and 1925 are shown in table 11. Total animal units increased from 169,882 in 1910 to 203,551 in 1925. Valuations were greatest in 1920 when horses were still valuable and all livestock were high priced, the total being $\$ 11,150,390$. The increase in livestock reflects more intensive use of the range resource and increased use of supplementary feeds produced on farm land.

Increase in production and value of livestock products in the Little Missouri River basin during the same years is shown in the following tabulation:

Value of milk, cream \& butter $\overline{\$ 56,630}$
Value of eggs and chickens 79,220 Value of wool 289,940
All livestock products
425, 790

\[

\]

Combined values of crops produced and livestock products sold in 1925 was $\$ 11,582,720$ from the 6, 080, 000 acre area of the Little Missouri River basin. Total value of crops, livestock products and livestock sold in 1925 was $\$ 18,225,580$, according to data from House Document No. 64 of the 73 rd Congress, lst Session, 1933.

|  | Area, Acres |  |  | Production |  |  | Value Dollars |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1910 | 1920 | 1925 | 1910 | 1920 | 1925 | 1925 |
| Barley | 4,140 | 4,710 | 19,330 | 113,870 bu. | 21, 130 bu. | 424,520 bu. | 268,030 |
| Corn | 3,850 | 1,830 | 9,390 | 96,840 bu. | 12,330 bu. | $130,790 \mathrm{bu}$. | 121,170 |
| Flaxseed | 37,270 | 9,000 | 70,690 | 487, 940 bu. | 18,220 bu. | 459,160 bu. | 1,115,310 |
| Hay \& Forage | 79,890 | 216,460 | 190,350 | 70,170 tons | 75,560 tons | 167,110 tons | 1,492,510 |
| Oats | 48,450 | 18,380 | 84,670 | 1,837, 850 bu. | 102,040 bu. | 2, 275, 670 bu. | 829,040 |
| Potatoes | 1,250 | 1,960 | 1,340 | $138,640 \mathrm{bu}$. | 51,610 bu. | 107,940 bu. | 109,760 |
| Rye | 130 | 25,830 | 23,000 | 2,190 bu. | 69,810 | 286,410 bu. | 261,070 |
| Wheat | 53,060 | 222,060 | 312,420 | 1,027, 340 bu. | 589, 100 bu. | 4,211,550 bu. | 5,998,580 |
| Total | 228, 040 | 500,230 | 711,190 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,704,670 \text { bu. } \\ & 70,170 \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 864,240 \text { bu. } \\ & 75,560 \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,896,040 \text { bu. } \\ & 167,110 \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | 10,195,470 |

Table 11. - Production and value of livestock in the Little Missouri River Basin; Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming; 1910, 1920 and 1925

|  | Number |  | Sales Value, Dollars |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1910 | 1920 | 1925 | 1910 | 1920 | 1925 |


| Cattle | 82,000 | 105,610 | 115,340 | $\$ 2,444,480$ | $\$ 6,184,020$ | $\$ 3,113,410$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Horses | 35,530 | 50,590 | 52,330 | $3,409,060$ | $3,567,630$ | $1,730,010$ |
| Mules | 190 | 360 | 620 | 25,310 | 31,460 | 28,530 |
| Sheep | 253,460 | 81,360 | 135,480 | $1,295,630$ | $1,133,230$ | $1,402,180$ |
| Swine | 5,880 | 14,200 | 32,660 | 59,960 | 234,050 | 368,730 |

## Total Animal

Units
$169,882 \quad 176,382 \quad 203,551$ \$7,234,440 \$11,150,390 \$6,642,860

Sheep are one-fifth animal unit; swine are one-fourth animal unit; other livestock are one animal unit.

Compiled from House Document No. 64, 73rd Congress, 1st Session: Little Missouri River, Wyoming, Montana, South Dakota, and North Dakota, Letter from the Secretary of War. U.S.G.P. O., Washington, 1933. For the Little Missouri River Basin area of 6,080,000 acres.

## Development of Oil and Gas

Considering the early recognition of the Cedar Creek anticline and present volume production of oil in the area, it seems strange that oil discovery was delayed until 1952. Contributing causes are depth and development difficulties which largely precluded earlier development. Oil occurs here at depths below 6, 000 feet, with nearly all commercial production from below 8,000 feet. Gas was developed in the Cedar Creek field in the area in 1910. In 1936 the gas field operator, Montana- Dakota Utilities discovered oil on the south end of the Cedar Creek anticline near the present Little Beaver Creek field. They drilled 3 wells below 8, 000 feet, but were unable to develop commercial production. Carter Oil Co. tried in 1941, but abandoned a noncommercial well. Husky Oil Co. drilled in 1949 to abandon a 10 barrel per day well.

Development of the northeast Wyoming fields in 1900-1920 brought production near the southern end of the basin. Moorcroft field extends to the southern divide of the basin. Recent new discoveries and developments in northeastern Wyoming, notably in the Donkey Creek field just south of the basin, have stimulated interest and development in that area and in all of the Powder River geologic area. This interest resulted in the discovery of Bertha field in the basin in Campbell County, Wyoming in 1954.

Amerada Petroleum Co. discovered the Beaver Lodge and Tioga fields in North Dakota Williston Basin exploration in 1951. Extending southward, they discovered the Charlson field just south of the Missouri River in the area in 1952. Exploration and development followed swiftly with West Sanish, Keene, Blue Buttes and Croff fields in McKenzie County. Wibaux field was developed in Montana. Fryburg and Rocky Ridge fields were developed on the eastern divide in Billings County. Two fields were found on the Cedar Creek anticline within the basin, Little Beaver and East Little Beaver in Fallon County, Montana. Just north is Fertile Prairie field and twenty miles south Repeat field was discovered in Carter County.

In 1957, four new oil discoveries were made in McKenzie County near West Sanish field, south of Blue Butte field and south of Croff field at Bear Den. New discoveries were made at Rocky Ridge in Billings County, Exploration accelerated in 1958 with seven new discoveries in McKenzie County at Sand Creek, Keene, Clear Creek, Dimmick Lake, Blue Buttes, North Fork and Pershing. A discovery was made at Scoria in Billings County and at the southern tip of the Cedar Creek anticline in Bowman County, called Little Missouri field. Another new discovery extended the Buffalo field into the basin in Harding County, South Dakota.

Exploration and development are continuing. Location of oil fields within the basin area is shown in figure 2. The Little Missouri Basin area may soon become a major oil producing area. Unfortunately, the area is a part of the general Rocky Mountain region where oil is in surplus supply and most of the production must be shipped or piped long distances to markets. From a geological standpoint, the basin is considered as parts of the Powder River and Williston Basins, so the area loses its identity in professional oil circles.

## AREA ECONOMY

Most people in the Little Missouri Basin make a living by grain farming or ranching with some engaged in a combination of these enterprises. Forty-five per cent of the farms are cash grain operations, forty-one per cent are livestock farms, 9 per cent are general farms and 5 per cent are miscellaneous types of farms, as given in table 17. The number of people employed in all other occupations combined is less than half the number employed in agriculture. Agricultural income of the basin was derived from livestock and their products, 55 per cent, and 45 per cent was from crops in 1954, as shown in table 12. A comparison of socio-economic factors in 1950 as presented in table 20 indicates that the Little Missouri Basin is below normal when compared to the economic level of the United States. Income per family is lower, the farm operator level-of-living is lower, housing facilities are less desirable and the people generally have less education.

Economic Activity
Economic activity in the watershedis predominately agricultural. Sixty per cent of the total labor force was employed in agriculture in 1950. Occupations of persons employed in the basin in 1950 is as follows:

## Persons Employed Over

## Name of Occupation

 14 years of age1. Agriculture 4, 644
2. Wholesale \& Retail Trade 780
3. Professional and related services 421
4. Transportation, communications and other public utilities 323
5. Business and personal services excluding private households ..... 269
6. Construction ..... 225
7. Manufacturing ..... 110
8. Finance, insurance and real estate ..... 62
9. Mining ..... 33
10. Forestry and fisheries ..... 4
11. Not reporting Total ..... 925
7,796

The preceeding list is based on table 3, County and City Data Book, 1952, Bureau of The Census. Figures have been adjusted to the basin portion of the 14 counties within the watershed.

Bureau of the Census, Washington, 1956.

## Population

In 1950 there were 18,524 inhabitants in the basin. Rural farm exceeded rural non-farm population. Eight thousand and twenty-five people lived in towns or villages and 10,499 lived on farms or ranches. The population and decennial changes in population since 1910 is shown below.

| Year | Population | Population change, percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1900 | 4,570 |  |
| 1910 | 17,410 | 1900-1910 +281 |
| 1920 | 25,600 | 1910-1920 +47 |
| 1930 | 24,770 | 1920-1930 - 3 |
| 1940 | 19,494 | 1930-1940 - 21 |
| 1950 | 18,524 | 1940-1950 - 5 |

There were no urban places in the basin in 1950. Density of population in the basin averaged 1.8 persons per square mile. Beach, North Dakota with 1,461 inhabitants was the largest town, followed by Watford City, North Dakota with 1,371 people; Ekalaka, Montana with 904 and Wibaux, Montana with 739. All other towns had less than 500 people. In 1930 these towns were considerably less in population. Beach had 1, 106 people then, Watford City counted 768 persons, Ekalaka numbered 433 and Wibaux was populated with 616 .

Income

Median income per family in 1950 was $\$ 2,917$. The national median was $\$ 3,073$. Thirty-five per cent of the basin's 4, 708 families were in the $\$ 2,000$ or less median income category for that year. Twenty per cent were in the $\$ 5,000$ or more bracket in 1950 . This compares to national figures of 29.2 per cent in the $\$ 2,000$ or less category and 20.1 per cent in the $\$ 5,000$ or more group in that year. Dollar incomes within the basin are probably more effective, valuable and subject to less demand than the U.S.average. Table 20 of the appendix section shows data on several socio-economic studies of the basin in comparison with corresponding figures for the United States in 1954.

Sale values of agricultural commodities shows livestock to be the dominant product. Values based on census figures for products sold in 1949 are as follows:

## Products

1. Livestock and livestock products other than dairy and poultry
2. All crops
3. Dairy
4. Poultry
5. Forest

Total

Sale Value

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 12,995,000 \\
7,542,000 \\
475,000 \\
190,000 \\
17,000 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\$21,219,000

This list is derived from census figures of 1949 adjusted on the basis of the portion of counties within the area. Values for mineral products are not available for the basin as a whole. The approximate value of coal, oil and gas produced from public domain in 1957 was three million dollars. Royalties and lease rentals within the area paid to the Federal Government amounted to $\$ 613,633$ in 1958, as shown in the minerals section of this report.

Values of farm products sold by source type is presented in table 12 for the five counties which have the majority of their area within the basin. This 1954 information shows that livestock and livestock products sales of over ten million dollars are 55 per cent of total sales. Crops sold were in excess of eight million dollars or 45 per cent of total sales. This tabulation gives data for each of the five counties and the five county total. Carter County, Montana leads in livestock and livestock product sales with $\$ 3,886,454$, which is seven times the amount of crops sold in that county. Billings County, North Dakota, is the only other county where livestock sales predominate. Livestock and livestock sales there are nearly double those of crop sales. Sales of the two types are about equal in Slope County. In McKenzie County livestock and their products had sales totaling only seven- eighths of the amount received from crop sales. In Golden Valley County the portion was less than one-half. Dairy and poultry products furnished only 3.31 per cent of the farm sales in the five counties in 1954.

Cattle, sheep and wool sales produced over fifty per cent of farm sales. Sales of forest products from farms are negligible, contributing only $\$ 5,202$ or only three-hundredths of one per cent of the total sales.

Average sales per farm are also given in table 12 for each of the five counties and for their total. Average sales per farm were $\$ 6,492$ in the five counties in 1954, the range being from $\$ 3,859$ in Billings County to $\$ 10,272$ in Carter County. The low figurein Billings County indicates that there may be some marginal farms or ranches there, and that further consolidation of units may be anticipated there. Livestock and their products averaged sales of $\$ 3,587$ per farm, the range being from $\$ 2,003$ in the wheat producing County of Golden Valley to $\$ 8,996$ in Carter County. Crop sales per farm totaled $\$ 2,903$ on the average in the area, with a range from $\$ 1,268$ in Carter County to $\$ 4,824$ in Golden Valley County.

## Livestock Numbers and Grazing Capacity

Livestock numbers in the basin,according to the agricultural census of 1954, determined from county figures multiplied by the portions of the counties in the basin, were as follows: cattle, 235,946; sheep, 187, 657; horses, 9,459 . This is a total of 282,936 animal units which are dependent upon the grazing lands, pastures and supplementary feeds of the area. This is equivalent to 27.3 animal unit years per square mile with no allowance for wild-life or for supplementary feeds which might be shipped in. The 1954-55 field inventory indicated that the average recommended stocking rate for the grazing land only as surveyed was approximately 9.36 animal unit years per square mile. As shown in figure 3, this survey included all public domain lands and 449,305 acres of private and state owned land. The difference in quality of these lands as measured by recommended stocking rates is shown below:

| Type of Ownership Squ | Square Miles | Animal Unit Years |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | per square mile | Total |
| Public domain in classification area | 703 | 8.43 | 5926 |
| Public domain in isolated tracts | 152 | 9.84 | 1496 |
| State land in classification area | 74 | 9.81 | 729 |
| Private land in classification area | 651 | 10.19 | 6638 |
| Totals and average | 1580 | 9. 36 | 14789 |

## Crop Production and Value

Statistics for crop production are difficult to obtain for the basin. Data for this, as for virtually all other information, is compiled by counties. Since parts of 14 counties are within the basin with portions varying from. 14 of one per cent to 95 per cent of the county within the basin, it is evident that exact data is not readily available. Data is presented for the five counties in the basin which have over half of their area within the basin. These statistics are considered to be reasonably comparable to the entire basin area, and are utilized throughout the report. Table 13 shows nine crops, their value, acreage harvested and total yield. This is based upon 1954 statistics for the combined area of five representative counties comprising an area equal to 94 per cent of the Little Missouri Basin. Similar information for 1955 is presented in table 14 for each of the five counties as well as for their total.

Relative importance of the leading crops produced in the five principal counties of the area is shown in table 14. Eight crops account for nearly all production: wheat, hay, barley, flax, oats, rye,potatoes, and corn. This listing is in the order of their value as harvested in 1955. Data for hay is given as tame hay, wild hay and all hay. Wheat is the most important crop both in area and value in all of the counties except Carter County where hay precedes wheat in both value and area. Area harvested, yield and value are given for each of the important crops in each of the principal counties in the area, and also for the total of the five counties. Most of the corn crop in the area, 62 per cent, is grazed in the field or cut for fodder. Thirty-four per cent of the corn crop is cut for silage and only four per cent is harvested for grain. Data for use of the corn crop in the five principal counties in the area are as follows, according to the Census figures for 1954:

|  | Montana | North | Dakota Counties |  | Slope | Five <br> County <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carter |  | Golden | Mc |  |  |
|  | County | Billings | Valley | Kenzie |  |  |
| Corn, acres |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| harvested | 6,226 | 7,999 | 21,089 | 15,738 | 16,046 | 67,098 |
| Harvested for: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| grain, percent | 3.6 | 2.2 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 4.1 |
| silage, percent | 6.3 | 32.6 | 26.9 | 42.4 | 44.9 | 33.6 |
| fodder or grazed, | 90.1 | 65.2 | 67.4 | 55.7 | 50.0 | 62.3 |

Table 13. - Value, area harvested, and yield of the principal crops produced in Carter County, Montana, and in Billings, Golden Valley, McKenzie and Slope Counties in North Dakota, 1954

| Crop | Value (dollars) | Acres Harvested | Total Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Wheat | \$7,276, 351 | 356,747 | 3, 368,681 bu. |
| 2. Tame Hay | 2,284,300 | 119,656 | 114,212 tons |
| 3. Wild Hay | 941,820 | 78,913 | 47,094 tons |
| All Hay | 3,226,120 | 198,569 | 161,306 tons |
| 4. Barley | 607,369 | 48,932 | 672,548 bu. |
| 5. Oats | 531,338 | 45,155 | 817,455 bu. |
| 6. Flax | 494,950 | 50,420 | 178,677 bu. |
| 7. Potatoes | 297,423 | 710 | 101,858 cwt. |
| 8. Corn | 1,324, 097 | 58,213 | 973,601 bu. |
| Total | \$13,862,639 | 761,666 |  |

The respective portions of each of these counties within the Little Missouri River Basin area are: Carter 73\%, Billings $71 \%$, Golden Valley $95 \%$, McKenzie $64 \%$, and Slope $63 \%$. These are the only counties with over one-half of the county located within the Little Missouri River Basin Area.

Most of the corn crop is cut for fodder, or grazed in the field. Yield and value for all corn has been determined from the part harvested for grain.

Compiled from Montana Agricultural Statistics, Volume VI, Montana Department of Agriculture, Helena, Montana, December 1956; and from Agricultural Statistics of North Dakota, July 1, 1954 to June 30, 1956; Department of Agriculture and Labor, State of North Dakota, Bismarck, North Dakota.

Table 14. - Area harvested, yield and value of the principal crops produced in the five principal counties of the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana and North Dakota, 1955

|  | Montana | North Dakota Counties |  |  |  | Five County Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carter County | Billing s | Golden Valley | McKenzie | Slope |  |
| Wheat: acres harvested | 16,984 | 30,307 | 65,057 | 141,514 | 78,063 | 331,925 |
| yield, bushels | 173,435 | 407, 067 | 1,016,152 | 2,534,363 | 879,491 | 5,010,508 |
| value, dollars | 345,136 | 810,063 | 2,022,142 | 5, 043,382 | $1,750,187$ | 9,970,910 |
| Tame hay: acres harvested | 38,400 | 8,766 | 4,620 | 32,377 | 17,896 | 102,059 |
| yield, tons | 26,000 | 9,575 | 4,422 | 50,576 | 15,209 | 105,782 |
| value, dollars | 520,000 | 191,500 | 88,440 | 1,011,520 | 304, 180 | 2,115,640 |
| Wild hay: acres harvested | 22,800 | 13,110 | 10,074 | 33,337 | 7,958 | 87,279 |
| yield, tons | 13,700 | 7,930 | 5,388 | 21,098 | 4,399 | 52,515 |
| value, dollars | 242,200 | 140,202 | 95, 260 | 417,318 | 77,774 | 972,754 |
| All hay: acres harvested | 61, 200 | 21,876 | 14,694 | 65,714 | 25,854 | 189,338 |
| yield, tons | 39, 700 | 17,505 | 9,810 | 71,674 | 19,608 | 158,297 |
| value, dollars | 762,200 | 331,702 | 183,700 | $1,428,838$ | 381,954 | 3,088, 394 |
| Barley: acres harvested | 5,700 | 6,719 | 14,242 | 23,909 | 10,752 | 61,322 |
| yield, bushels | 85,500 | 138,176 | 328,761 | 577,624 | 183, 193 | 1,313,254 |
| value, dollars | 66,700 | 107,777 | 256,434 | 450,547 | 142,891 | 1,024,349 |
| Oats: acres harvested | 3,600 | 8,350 | 9,162 | 22,152 | 11,452 | 54,716 |
| yield, bushels | 86,400 | 235,495 | 235,050 | 726,095 | 266, 200 | 1,549,240 |
| value, dollars | 44, 100 | 120,102 | 119,876 | 370,308 | 135,762 | 790, 148 |
| Flax: acres harvested | 400 | 1,060 | 2,936 | 13,008 | 5,913 | 23,317 |
| yield, bushels | 2, 200 | 5,602 | 17,455 | 100,946 | 25,617 | 151,820 |
| value, dollars | 5,700 | 14,509 | 452,085 | 261,450 | 66,348 | 800,092 |
| Corn: acres harvested | 7,200 | 8,491 | 20,984 | 15,442 | 17,467 | 69,584 |
| yield, bushels 1/ | 98,500 | 198,689 | 310,563 | 373,696 | 244,538 | 1,225,986 |
| value, dollars $\underline{1} /$ | 137,900 | 278, 165 | 434,788 | 523,174 | 342, 353 | 1,716,380 |
| Potatoes: acres harvested | 20 | 70 | 582 | 283 | 110 | 1,065 |
| yield, bushels | 1,166 | 3,919 | 24,262 | 55,490 | 5,007 | 89,844 |
| value, dollars | 2, 200 | 7,407 | 45,855 | 104,876 | 9,463 | 169,801 |
| Rye: acres harvested | 400 | 6,875 | 198 |  |  |  |
| yield, bushels | 4,000 | 104,545 | 3,500 | 156,515 | 82,492 | 351,052 |
| value, dollars | 3,800 | 109,772 | 3,675 | 164,341 | 86,617 | 368, 205 |
| Total: acres harvested | 94,530 | 83,256 | 127,960 | 290,690 | 155,176 | 751,612 |
| value, dollars | 1,245,992 | 1,522,449 | 3,135,962 | 7,865,762 | 2,608,844 | 16,379,009 |
| County Area, acres | 2,120,320 | 728,960 | 648,960 | 1,798,400 | 784,640 | 6,081,280 |
| Portion within basin, percent | 73 | 71 | 95 | 64 | 63 | 71 |
| Area within basin, acres | 1,555,840 | 518,080 | 613,760 | 1,159,360 | 493,440 | 4,340,480 |

$1 /$ Only four percent of the corn crop is harvested for grain; 62 percent is grazed or cut for fodder and 34 percent is used for silage. Yield and value of the entire crop has been calculated from the portion harvested for grain. Actual value is probably higher for the uses as harvested for livestock.

Compiled from Montana Agricultural Statistics, Volume VI, Montana Department of Agriculture, Helena, Montana, December 1956, and Compiled Agriculture Statistics of North Dakota, Department of Agriculture and Labor, Bismarck, North Dakota, 1956.
U.S. Highway 10 crosses the northern portion of the basin in an east-west direction, running through Medora and Beach, North Dakota. Forty-five miles to the south is U.S. Highway 12 running in an east-west direction through Rhame and Marmarth, North Dakota. Ninety miles further south is U.S. Highway 212 , running in an east-west direction through Alzada, Montana. U.S. Highway 85 runs in a north-south direction from Watford City to Grassy Butte, North Dakota. The total accumulated distance of all U.S. Highways within the basin is 157 miles. Main lines of two trans-continental railroads, the Northern Pacific and the Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific, pass through the northern half of the basin from east to west. The Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific is routed through Rhame and Marmarth, North Dakota. The Northern Pacific passes through Wibaux, Montana and Beach and Medora, North Dakota. A spur line starts at Beach, North Dakota and terminates at Ollie, Montana. The Great Northern Railway has a spur line entering the basin from the west which terminates at Watford City, North Dakota.

## Tourism

The basin is long and narrow, running for a distance of about 250 miles north and south and only about 50 miles east and west. Tourists enroute from east to west or west to east are in and out of the basin in a relatively short period of time. The basin has 157 miles of U.S. Highways, but none run the full length of the basin from north to south. In this respect the basin is at a disadvantage. Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park, both sections of which are located within the watershed, attracts tourists and rewards them with an excellent preception of an erosional phonomenon. It is made up of rugged, colorful badlands, one of the most distinctive topographic features of the West. In 1956 the park was visited by 154,694 people. Both sections of the park make up a total of 65,558 acres.

## Recreation

Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park presents outstanding scenic attractions. It is well provided with access roads, lookout points and other facilities for recreation. Two districts of Custer National Forest within the basin offer several small campgrounds which are used by local residents and tourists. Deer, antelope, upland game birds, ducks, coots and geese are species of wildlife available for hunters during specified seasons. Resident stockmen, anxious to protect their property, as well as to keep the number of antelope within reasonable limits, devote a considerable amount of their time during hunting season to guiding hunters over lands in their vicinity.

Garrison Reservoir will provide all water sports and a sport fishery of considerable importance. Bass, bream, perch and catfish have been stocked in many of the small reservoirs in the area. The Little Missouri River and its principal tributaries also afford some fishing.

## Economic Outlook

Development of oil is making rapid economic growth in the basin. Total Federal receipts from mineral leasing of public domain in 1957 were $\$ 613,633$. Producing royalties alone were $\$ 365,585$ indicating a value of $\$ 2,924,680$ produced from government land. If one half of the oil produced in the basin is not subject to Federal royalty, which is a reasonable assumption, the value of oil and gas production in the area was approximately $\$ 5,849,360$ annually in 1957. Beyond taxes and the portion of the royalties paid to the states and counties, and local costs of production which may be utilized within the basin, relatively little of this production of wealth will benefit the basin directly.

Oil and gas development contributes substantially to county taxes by taxes on improvements such as wells, gathering lines and pipe lines. The Butte pipe line, a 16 inch line conveying oil from Montana fields to the Platte pipe line, contributes substantially to the tax income of Carter County. The 12 inch gas transmission lines crossing the area to Bismarck, North Dakota and to the Black Hills cities are also substantial sources for local taxation for social, governmental, service and developmental activities of the counties within the area. Gas development in the area is old, dating back to 1910. Successful oil development is recent, starting with the Williston Basin discoveries in North Dakota in 1951. The first commercial oil production in the basin started in 1952 and has expanded rapidly since, with probable further expansion in area and production both from the extension of present recent fields and from possible new discoveries. Four new discoveries were made in the area in 1957 and 11 were developed in 1958. Twenty-four named oil fields were in production in the area in 1958 at the locations shown in figure 2.

Moderate increase may be expected in tourism and recreational economy within the basin. Future agricultural economic improvement of the area is largely limited to technical advances which may be applied in the fields of crops, breeding, management, equipment and operation. Very nearly the maximum area suitable for cultivation under present economic and land use standards is already being cropped. Sites, water supply volume and quality make additional irrigation development difficult. Towns in the area will probably continue to grow as both rural and town inhabitants and travelers will desire and support more services, commodities and higher standards.

## LAND USE

Use of land in the Little Missouri Basin may be placed in three categories. About 79 per cent is used for grazing, 20 per cent for the production of crops, and 1 per cent is reserved for recreation, the Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park.

## Rangeland Use

In 1954 about 5, 240, 170 acres, or 79 per cent of the basin area was used for grazing. A small part of this area has tree cover and produces some timber.

Use of this large area is restricted to grazing because of site topography, soil limitations and rainfall. Predominantly the surface varies from steeply rolling to gently rolling and extremely broken, The average annual precipitation ranges from 12.29 to 17.61 inches as shown in table 2. This is the highest use for this land and is in keeping with its capability.

This grazing land is used by 282,936 animal units of domestic livestock and 11,300 head of antelope and deer. In addition to the grazing land, most of the livestock are provided with some farm pasture and supplementary feed. The wildlife have access to other grazing areas, but their number is a quite constant use of the grazing lands of the basin. In addition to the big game there is a considerable use of the area by minor grazing animals, mostly several kinds of rodents.

## Cropland Use

In 1954 about 20 per cent or $1,326,432$ acres of the basin was cropland, including the areas harvested, crop failure and fallow. Wheat, hay and six other crops were predominant as indicated in table 13. Wheat and hay together occupied approximately half of the cropland, and acreage of these two was about equally divided. The acreage ratio of cash crops to forage crops was about four to one. Irrigation was largely confined to tame and wild hay. Nearly l, 000 acres was considered to be under irrigation in 1954. Most irrigation units were individually engineered and developed. This amounted to .075 per cent of the basin's cropland. A tabulation is presented on the next page showing the extent of irrigation in the Little Missouri Basin in comparison with the 17 western states and with the United States.

| Area | Acres <br> Cropland | Acres <br> Irrigated | Per cent <br> Cropland <br> Irrigated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Little Missouri Basin | $1,326,432$ | 1,000 | .075 |
| 17 Western States | $159,517,000$ | $19,434,000$ | 12.1 |
| United States | $375,000,000$ | $21,000,000$ | 5.5 |

Direct comparisons of amounts of the principal crops grown in the area are shown in table 15 on a percentage basis. Contrast is given for the 9 leading crops in area harvested, part of the county area devoted to each crop, and the percentage of the total value produced by each crop. This information is given for each of the five principal counties in the area, and also for the combined area of these five counties. Information in table 15 shows relative land use for each principal crop within each of the five counties and also the variation among the counties in 1955. Wheat is the leading crop in the area, and in the total area of the five counties and also the variation among the counties in 1955. Wheat is the leading crop in the area, and in the total of the five counties wheat is 44 per cent of the harvested area but only $5 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the total area. Value of the wheat crop is 61 per cent of total crop value in the five county area. Among the five counties, wheat varies from 18 to5 per cent of the harvested area and from eight tenths of one per cent of the county area to ten per cent. Range in value among the five counties is from 28 to 64 per cent.

Hay is the principal crop in Carter County, Montana. Tame hay and wild hay both exceed wheat in area harvested in Carter County. The value of tame hay exceeds the value of wheat. Wheat is 28 per cent of the value of all leading crops in Carter County, tame hay is 42 per cent, wild hay is 19 per cent, and all hay is 61 per cent of the total value. Range for all hay among the five counties is from $11 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent to 65 per cent in harvested area and from 6 per cent to 61 per cent of total value.

Variations in areas planted and harvested, yields, production, price per bushel and returns per harvested acre for wheat in Carter County, Montana are presented in table 16.

Table 15. - Percentages of total harvested areas, county areas and value for the principal crops produced in the five principal counties of the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana and North Dakota, 1955

|  | Montana | North Dakota Counties |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | County | Golden |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Billings | Valley | McKenzie | Slope |  |
| Wheat: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | 17.97 | 36.40 | 50.84 | 48.68 | 50.31 | 44.16 |
| \% county area | . 80 | 4.16 | 10.02 | 7.87 | 9.95 | 5.46 |
| \% total value | 27.70 | 53.21 | 64.48 | 64.12 | 67.09 | 60.88 |
| Tame Hay: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | 40.62 | 10.53 | 3.61 | 11.14 | 11.53 | 13.58 |
| \% county area | 1.80 | 1.20 | . 71 | 1.80 | 2.28 | 1.68 |
| \% total value | 41.73 | 12.58 | 2.82 | 12.86 | 11.66 | 12.92 |
| Wild Hay: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | 24.12 | 15.75 | 7.87 | 11.47 | 5.13 | 11.61 |
| \% county area | 1.08 | 1.80 | 1.55 | 1.85 | 1.01 | 1.44 |
| \% total value | 19.44 | 9.21 | 3.04 | 5. 31 | 2.98 | 5.94 |
| All Hay: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | 64.74 | 26.28 | 11.48 | 22.61 | 16.66 | 25.19 |
| \% county area | 2.88 | 3.00 | 2.26 | 3.65 | 3. 30 | 3.11 |
| \% total value | 61.17 | 21.79 | 5.86 | 18.17 | 14.64 | 18.86 |
| Barley: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | 6.03 | 8.07 | 11.13 | 8.22 | 6.93 | 8. 16 |
| \% county area | . 27 | . 92 | 2. 19 | 1.33 | 1.37 | 1.01 |
| \% total value | 5. 35 | 7.08 | 8.18 | 5.73 | 5.48 | 6.25 |
| Oats: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | 3.81 | 10.03 | 7.16 | 7.62 | 7.38 | 7.28 |
| \% county area | . 17 | 1.15 | 1.41 | 1.23 | 1.46 | . 90 |
| \% total value | 3.54 | 7.89 | 3.82 | 4.71 | 5.20 | 4.82 |
| Flax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%hvstd area | . 42 | 1.27 | 2.29 | 4.47 | 3. 81 | 3.10 |
| \% county area | . 02 | . 15 | . 45 | . 72 | . 75 | . 38 |
| \% total value | . 46 | . 95 | 14.42 | 3. 32 | 2.54 | 4.88 |
| Corn: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | 6.59 | 9.61 | 16.48 | 5.41 | 10.34 | 8.93 |
| \% county area | . 29 | 1.10 | 3.25 | . 88 | 2.05 | 1.10 |
| \% total value | 1. 30 | 1.39 | 1.66 | . 53 | 1.37 | 1.02 |
| Potatoes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | . 02 | . 08 | . 45 | . 10 | . 07 | . 14 |
| \% county area | - | . 01 | . 09 | . 02 | . 01 | . 02 |
| \% total value | . 18 | . 49 | 1.46 | 1.33 | . 36 | 1.04 |
| Rye: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% hvstd area | . 42 | 8.26 | . 15 | 2. 88 | 4.50 | 3.04 |
| \% county area | . 02 | . 94 | . 03 | . 47 | . 89 | . 04 |
| \% total value | . 30 | 7.21 | . 12 | 2.09 | 3. 32 | 2. 25 |
| Total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| area hvstd, acres | 94,530 | 83,256 | 127,960 | 290,690 | 155,176 | 751,612 |
| co. area, acres | 2,120,320 | 728,960 | 648,960 | 1,798,400 | 784,640 | 6,081,280 |
| value, dollars | 1,245,992 | 1,522,449 | 3,135,962 | 7,865,762 | 2,608,844 | 16,379,009 |
| \% co. area hvstd | 4.46 | 11.42 | 19.72 | 16.16 | 19.78 | 12.36 |
| \% co. area in basin | 73. | 71. | 95. | 64. | 63. | 71. |
| Area basin, acres | 1,555,840 | 518,080 | 613,760 | 1,159,360 | 493,440 | 4,340,480 |

Compiled from Montana Agricultural Statistics, Volume VI, Montana Department of Agriculture, Helena, Montana, December 1956, and Compiled Agriculture Statistics of North Dakota, Department of Agriculture and Labor, Bismarck, North Dakota, 1956. Data for corn is for 1954, from 1954 Census of Agriculture, Volume 1 - parts 11 and 27, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, 1956.

Table 16. - Areas planted and harvested, yields, production, prices and returns of wheat in Carter County, Montana, 1919-1955

| Year | Area Planted Acres | Area Harvested Acres | Yield per Planted Acre bu. | Yield per Harvested Acre bu. | Per <br> Harvested <br> Acre <br> + or -8 bu . <br> Break-even <br> Yield | Production (bushels) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Price } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { Bushel } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Returns per Harvested Acre |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919 | 10,700 | 3, 100 | . 7 | 2.4 | -5.6 | 7,400 | \$2. 38 | \$ 4.89 |
| 1920 | 19,000 | 18,000 | 17.5 | 18.4 | +10.4 | 332,000 | 1.31 | 24.10 |
| 1921 | 16,500 | 15,500 | 6.7 | 7.2 | - . 8 | 111,200 | . 88 | 6.34 |
| 1922 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 14.0 | 14.0 | +6.0 | 210,000 | . 92 | 12.88 |
| 1923 | 20,000 | 19,000 | 9.6 | 10.1 | +2.1 | 192,000 | . 85 | 8.59 |
| 1924 | 14,300 | 13,800 | 11.6 | 12.0 | +4.0 | 166,000 | 1.27 | 15.24 |
| 1925 | 18,400 | 17,400 | 8.5 | 9.0 | +1.0 | 157,000 | 1.43 | 12.87 |
| 1926 | 18,000 | 18,000 | 8.1 | 8.1 | $+.1$ | 146,000 | 1.16 | 9. 40 |
| 1927 | 17,100 | 17,000 | 19.5 | 19.6 | +11.6 | 334,000 | 1.00 | 19.60 |
| 1928 | 21,000 | 20,000 | 14.4 | 15.1 | +7.1 | 303, 800 | . 87 | 13.14 |
| 1929 | 20,300 | 18,900 | 8.9 | 9.5 | +1.5 | 180, 100 | 1.02 | 9.69 |
| 1930 | 18,800 | 16,800 | 8.7 | 9.7 | +1.7 | 163,500 | . 61 | 5.92 |
| 1931 | 18,300 | 7,900 | 3.0 | 7.0 | -1.0 | 55,300 | . 55 | 3.85 |
| 1932 | 20,400 | 18,700 | 13.1 | 14.3 | +6. 3 | 267,200 | . 39 | 5.58 |
| 1933 | 20, 000 | 15,400 | 7.7 | 10.0 | +2.0 | 154,000 | . 66 | 6.60 |
| 1934 | 8,700 | 6,500 | 1.7 | 2.3 | -5.7 | 15,000 | . 91 | 2.09 |
| 1935 | 21,600 | 18,000 | 5.2 | 6.3 | -1.7 | 113,000 | . 99 | 6.24 |
| 1936 | 27,600 | 400 | 0.0 | 3.2 | -4.8 | 1,300 | 1.28 | 4.10 |
| 1937 | 19,100 | 13,200 | 5.2 | 7.5 | -. 5 | 99,400 | 1.05 | 7.88 |
| 1938 | 24,200 | 22,100 | 5.2 | 5.7 | -2. 3 | 125,000 | . 52 | 2,96 |
| 1939 | 13,300 | 10,600 | 6.8 | 8.5 | $+.5$ | 90,300 | . 67 | 5.70 |
| 1940 | 16,700 | 12,800 | 4.4 | 5.8 | -2.2 | 73,700 | . 65 | 3.77 |
| 1941 | 14,400 | 13,900 | 10.8 | 11.2 | +3.2 | 155,400 | . 91 | 10.19 |
| 1942 | 12,600 | 12,100 | 14.0 | 14.6 | +6.6 | 176,700 | 1.07 | 15.62 |
| 1943 | 9, 100 | 8,400 | 7.2 | 8.9 | + . 9 | 74,400 | 1.30 | 11.57 |
| 1944 | 10,800 | 10,400 | 12.3 | 12.8 | +4.8 | 132,800 | 1.36 | 17.41 |
| 1945 | 11,700 | 9,900 | 8.3 | 9.8 | +1.8 | 97,500 | 1.49 | 14.60 |
| 1946 | 10,800 | 10,500 | 10.2 | 10.5 | +2.5 | 110,500 | 1.90 | 19.95 |
| 1947 | 18,900 | 17,900 | 14.1 | 14.9 | +6.9 | 266,800 | 2. 40 | 35.76 |
| 1948 | 23,200 | 21,200 | 12.0 | 13.2 | +5.2 | 279,200 | 1.94 | 25.61 |
| 1949 | 37, 400 | 21,700 | 2.6 | 4.4 | -3.6 | 95,600 | 1.96 | 8.62 |
| 1950 | 24,100 | 22,300 | 10.7 | 11.5 | +3.5 | 257,400 | 1.95 | 22.43 |
| 1951 | 44,100 | 37, 100 | 7.4 | 8.8 | +.. 8 | 328, 300 | 2.03 | 17.86 |
| 1952 | 43,800 | 34,400 | 6.0 | 7.6 | - . 4 | 262,500 | 2.02 | 15.35 |
| 1953 | 44,200 | 33, 200 | 7.6 | 10.1 | +2.1 | 334,100 | 2.00 | 20.20 |
| 1954 | 30,700 | 29,800 | 7.3 | 7.5 | - . 5 | 223,900 | 2. 14 | 16.05 |
| 1955 | 28,500 | 27,400 | 11.4 | 11.9 | +3.9 | 324,800 | 1.99 | 23.68 |
| 37 yr avera | $\text { e20, } 632$ | 16,984 | 8.4 | 10.2 | +2. 2 | 173,435 | 1.29 | 13.16 |

Average yields and returns are weighted averages. Seventy-seven per cent of the area of Carter County is within the Little Missouri River Basin. Area planted but not harvested may not be a total loss, as much may be cut for hay some years if prospects for grain harvest are poor. The break-even figure will vary with many conditions.

Compiled from Montana Agricultural Statistics, Volume V and VI, Montana Department of Agriculture, Helena, Montana, 1954 and 1956.

Table 16 covers a 37 year period, 1919-1955. This information not only shows the variation in production of wheat, but also indicates the probable fluctuation in the production of range forage and feed crops in the area. Yield per harvested acre varied from 3.2 bushels to 19.6 bushels. Range in production was from 1,300 bushels to 334,100 bushels, and returns per harvested acre varied from $\$ 2.96$ to $\$ 35.76$. Area planted varied from 8,700 acres to 44,200 acres. Harvested area varied from 400 acres to 37,100 acres. Price per bushel ranged from 39 cents to $\$ 2.40$. Yield and returns data for Carter County, Montana as shown in table 16 are typical for the entire basin. In the 37 year period, crops were below the 8 bushels per acre break-even figure 12 years, and exceeded that amount less than one bushel in four years. Yields were below the break-even figure or nearly so in 16 years or nearly one-half of the 37 year period. The eight bushel break-even figure may be regarded as fairly typical, but any cost of production figure will vary widely with prices, costs, units, operators and many other variables.

Five years of below break-even yields of less than 8 bushels per acre occurred in succession in the period 1934-1938. Six such years occurred in the eight year period 1934-1940. Seven were in the ten years from 1931 to 1940. Maintenance of operations over such an adverse period calls for reserves, skillful management, difficult adjustments and probable relief and support measures. These data emphasize the marginal nature of crop production in the area. Only the most suitable land should be cultivated, and that under an active conservation program.

Similarity of yields and returns for wheat in the rest of the basin over the period is shown by considering data for Fallon and Wibaux Counties in Montana over the same 37 year period. Fallon County average yields per harvested acre show yields below the eight bushel break-even figure for twelve years with only 25 years above the breakeven figure. Seven years were at or below the break-even figure in an 8 year period, 1931 to 1938. Two years had yields of less than one bushel above the break-even figure, and two more were only 9 bushel average yields. Wibaux County in Montana had eleven years of average wheat yields below the eight bushel break-even figure in the 37 years, 1919-1955. Two more years, 1930 and 1931, were an even eight bushel yield, Six of the low yields were successive, 1933-1938. Yields were at or below the eight bushel figure in eight out of nine years from 1930 to 1938 . Only 24 or 65 per cent of the 37 years exceeded an eight bushel average yield.

The 37 year average yield per harvested acre was 11.9 bushels in Carter County, 9.95 bushels in Fallon County and 11.65 bushels in Wibaux County. The average for the three counties is 11.17 bushels per acre, only 3.17 bushels over the selected break-even yield of 8 bushels per acre.

More elaborate tabulations and exhibits of wheat yield variations are available in tables 8 and 9 and in figure 4 in the Lower Yellowstone Area report of the Bureau of Land Management of May, 1958. That area is located immediately west of the Little Missouri River basin. Variations in yield are principally due to variations in precipitation and of its efficiency. This is clearly shown in figure 4 of the Lower Yellowstone detail report of the Bureau of Land Management which shows yield, precipitation, prices and returns per acre for the 37 year period 1919-1955.

Number of farms by size and type in the five principal counties in the basin area is presented in table 17. Larger farms predominate, 1,000 acre and larger farms being $43 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the total number in the combined five county area. This is the largest size class in all of the counties except Slope, where the next largest size group, 500 to 999 acres, has the first place. Large size farms, 1,000 acres and over, comprise 82 per cent of the farms in Carter County, Montana, and only 35 per cent of the farms in McKenzie County, North Dakota. Farms of 500 to 999 acres are 39 per cent of all farms in Slope County, and are only 12 per cent of those in Carter County. Farms of 220 to 499 acres are the third most numerous size group in all five counties, ranging from 25 per cent of the farms in Billings County to less than one per cent of those in Carter County. Fourth group is 100 to 219 acres, varying from 12 per cent of all farms in McKenzie County to less than one per cent in Carter County. Small farms, under 100 acres, are three per cent of the farms in the area with a range from five per cent in McKenzie County to one-half per cent in Billings County. Farms as considered here includes livestock ranches, and involve the use of both range and crop lands.

Cash grain farms are the most numerous type in the area, 45 per cent of the farms in the five principal counties being of this variety, as shown in table 17. Amount of this type varies greatly among the five counties, ranging from 8 per cent in Carter County to 73 per cent in Golden Valley County. Range livestock farms are second in type, 40 per cent of all farms being devoted to this enterprise, with a variation
from 15 per cent in Golden Valley County to 86 per cent in Carter County. General farms are the third type, being $8 \frac{1}{2}$ per cent of farms in the five county area. This type ranges from five per cent in Carter County to 11 per cent in McKenzie County. Crop and livestock farms are the most numerous type of general farms. General farms which are principally crop farms predominate among general farms only in Carter County. Miscellaneous and unclassified farms are only five percent of all farms, varying from one-half percent in Carter County to eight percent in McKenzie County.

Table 17. - Farms by size and type in the five principal counties of the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana and North Dakota, 1954

|  | Montana | North | Dakota | Counties |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carter County | Billings | Golden Valley | McKenzie | Slope | County Total | Total <br> Percent |
| Number of farms: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All farms | 432 | 359 | 424 | 1,203 | 447 | 2,865 | 100 |
| By size: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 100 acres | 4 | 2 | 11 | 58 | 12 | 87 | 3. 04 |
| 100 to 219 acres | 4 | 16 | 22 | 139 | 17 | 198 | 6.91 |
| 220 to 499 acres | 19 | 90 | 96 | 267 | 73 | 545 | 19.02 |
| 500 to 999 acres | 52 | 108 | 135 | 321 | 173 | 789 | 27.54 |
| 1000 acres and over | 353 | 143 | 160 | 418 | 172 | 1,246 | 43.49 |
| By type of farm: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash-grain | 35 | 120 | 308 | 562 | 238 | 1,263 | 44.61 |
| Dairy | - | - | 5 | 16 | 10 | 31 | 1.10 |
| Poultry | - | - | - | 5 | - | 5 | . 18 |
| Livestock other than dairy or poultry | 357 | 196 | 63 | 377 | 145 | 1,138 | 40.20 |
| General farms: | 19 | 30 | 29 | 136 | 29 | 243 | 8.58 |
| Primarily crop | 13 | 2 | - | 41 | - | 56 | 1.98 |
| Primarily livestock | - | 12 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 28 | . 99 |
| Crop and livestock | 6 | 16 | 26 | 87 | 24 | 159 | 5.61 |
| Miscellaneous and unclassified | 2 | 12 | 15 | 97 | 25 | 151 | 5.33 |
| Part of county within the basin area, percent | 73 | 71 | 95 | 64 | 63 | 71 |  |

Compiled from 1954 Census of Agriculture, Volume 1 - parts 11 and 27, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C., 1956. Part within the basin area was computed by the Bureau of Land Management.

Considerable data regarding farm characteristics, farm groupings, farm values, farm products values, livestock numbers and sales, and areas of nine crops harvested in the area for three years, 1944, 1949 and 1954 are presented in table 21, appendix $G$. This data concerns land use and economics and is for the five principal counties in the area: Carter County in Montana, and Billings, Golden Valley, McKenzie and Slope Counties in North Dakota. Land area in farms, average size of farms and value of land and buildings per farm and per acre are given. Number of farms with irrigation and the area irrigated are also shown. Farms are divided by type, into four types with three sub-types under general farms. Cash grain farms were the most numerous type in the five counties in 1954, with 1,263 . Livestock farms were second in that year with 1,138 . These positions were the reverse of 1949 when livestock farms led with 1,236 , cash grain farms being second with $1,188$. General farms dropped from 316 in 1949 to 243 in 1954. In 1949, 82 per cent of the general farms were crop and livestock farms. In 1954, only 65 per cent were of this sub-type while those that were primarily crop increased to 23 per cent from 11 per cent in 1949.

Commercial farms were grouped into six classes by volume of sales, ranging from Class $I$ with over $\$ 25,000$ of sales to Class VI with $\$ 250$ to $\$ 1,199$ in sales annually. Class III with sales of $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$ was most numerous in 1949 with 825 farms from a total of 2,815 in the five counties. Class IV, with sales from $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$ was the leader in 1954 with 794 out of a total of 2,705 farms. Class I farms were the least numerous, followed by Class VI. Class V farms with sales ranging from $\$ 1,200$ to $\$ 2,499$ were in third place both years with the same number, 527.

Value of all farm products sold remained quite stable during the three years, with 17 million dollars in 1944 , 19 million in 1949 and $18 \frac{1}{2}$ million in 1954. The year of 1949 was unusually dry with precipitation only two-thirds of normal, or nearly five inches below the long term average in the North Dakota portion of the basin. Crop yields were low, but prices were higher than in 1944. Livestock sales were greatly increased, being forced by the shortage of range forage, so total sales were hi ghest of the three years in 1949. Crop values were lowest in that year, 7.6 million, and livestock sales were highest, 11.5 million dollars. Crop values were highest in 1944 when precipitation was 27 per cent above normal in the area. In that year crops returned 10.3 million dollars in the five counties. In 1954, with precipitation $1 \frac{1}{4}$ inches above normal, they produced 8.3 million dollars. Livestock and livestock products produced 11.5 million in 1949 and 10.3 million dollars in 1954. Eighty-six per cent of this amount was produced by livestock sales in 1954 and 91 per cent in 1949.

Area of crops harvested in the five counties was reduced to 656,150 acres in 1949 from 717,618 acres in 1944 . This reduction was due to the drought of 1949 . In 1954 harvested area increased to 766,171 acres of cultivated crops. In addition to cultivated crops, wild hay was cut on 127,301 acres in $1949,130,983$ acres in 1944 and on 87,273 acres in 1954. Area of wild hay cut varies from year to year because of volume of the wild grasses and also because of value and need for hay. Need for hay and its high cost stimulated cutting of wild hay in the dry year of 1949 despite the low yield per acre. Area of wheat harvested declined in 1954 from the 1949 and 1944 areas because of governmental controls. This caused increases in areas of alternate crops, expecially other grain and flax. Production of tame hay has steadily increased in the area along with the increasing number of livestock. Increasing value of livestock and greater appreciation of the value of care and proper feeding is also responsible for the increased production of tame hay. Number of animal units in the five counties was 213,646 in 1944, fell off to 176,443 in the dry year of 1949 , and increased to 254,982 in 1954.

## Woodland and Forestland Use

Ten per cent or about 621,590 acres of the basin area is covered with a woodland type of vegetation. Virtually all of this is open or fringe stands which are also used for grazing. Approximately 3 per cent is forested and 1.5 per cent is reserved for national forest. The wooded areas are of two types; deciduous hardwoods, located mostly along the drainages, and ponderosa pine in hilly areas. The principal components along drainages are black chokecherry ( Prunus virginiana-melanocarpa), wild plum (Prunus americana), buffaloberry (Shepherdia canadensis), willow (Salix spp.), eastern poplar or river cottonwood (Populus deltoides), boxelder (Acer negundo), ash(Fraxinus pennsylvanica laceolata), and elm (Ulmus americana). Cottonwood grows as a fringe of trees along the larger streams. Rocky Mountain juniper grows alone on rough land and in the badlands.

About half of the forested area, 85, 000 acres, is within the boundaries of the Custer National Forest. About 94 per cent of the timber is ponderosa pine(Pinus ponderosa). The remaining 6 per cent is classified as ash, eastern poplar, and elm. The Custer National Forest within the basin is in four areas; Long Pines, Ekelaka Hills, Chalk Butte, and Short Pines. It has been estimated that if markets could be obtained these forests could sustain an annual cut of $1,000,000$ feet board measure.

The Forestry Branch of the Division of Range and Forest Management, Bureau of Land Management, administers the timber which is located on public domain and is outside of the national forest. This amounts to about 85, 000 acres, the bulk of which is in Crook County, Wyoming. Most of the area is a poor growing site with open stands of ponderosa pine( Pinus ponderosa). Intermingled with the poor sites are better sites growing trees of larger size and fair quality.

Recreation Land Use
The Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park covers one per cent of the drainage. The total acreage of 65,558 acres in in two units and the Elkhorn Ranch site. The South Unit, located in McKenzie, County, North Dakota, just north of U.S. Highway l0, contains about 42, 832 acres. The North Unit, containing about 22, 486 acres is also located in McKenzie County, North Dakota, about 13 miles south of Watford City. A third area, containing 240 acres is known as the Elkhorn Ranch site. This area is located along the west bank of the Little Missouri River about midway between the north and south units. The park is made up largely of the badlands formation, colloquially described as"Hell with the fires out". The park was set aside to provide public enjoyment of an interesting formation and to commemorate the site of Theodore Roosevelt's ranching enterprise in North Dakota. Much of the basin is well adapted to hunting and camping. Garrison Lake affords all types of water sports.

## Indian Land Use

Part of the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation is located in the extreme northern portion of the basin. It covers approximately 358,720 acres or 5.8 per cent of the basin. This land is used for grazing, hay production and a small area of cultivated crops. This land is under the administration of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Indian pppulation is composed mostly of the Gros Ventre tribe. Construction of Garrison Reservoir split the reservation and eliminated the sheltered Missouri River bottom lands, creating serious problems for the Indians and the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

## LANDOW NERSHIP

Surface area of the Little Missouri Basin area is 6, 632,160 acres or 10,363 square miles. This includes 552,160 acres north of the Little Missouri River Basin which drains directly into the Missouri River. The basin proper covers 6, 080, 000 acres.

Land within the area is owned by individuals, corporations and public agencies. Landownership within the area by states is shown in table 18. Ranchmen have had considerable difficulty in securing an economic operating unit. Figure 3 shows landownership by Federal managing agencies in comparison to State and private landownership. Approximately 73 per cent or $4,813,731$ acres is owned by state or private interests. Federal ownership of $1,818,429$ acres accounts for about 27 per cent of the total area.

## Federal Landownership

Federal landownership by type and agency in the Little Missouri River Basin area in 1954 is shown in the following list:

| Type-Agency | Area <br> Acres | Percent of <br> Total Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Public domain- Bureau of Land Mgt. | 547,366 | 8.25 |
| Land Utilization Project Land- <br> Bureau of Land Management | 13,010 | .20 |
| Land Utilization Project Land- <br> Forest Service | 747,840 | 11.28 |
| Custer National Forest:Forest <br> Service, U.S.D.A. | 85,935 | 1.29 |
| Fort Berthold Indian Reservation- <br> Bureau of Indian Affairs | 358,720 | 5.40 |
| Theodore Roosevelt National Mem- <br> orial Park- National Park Service | 65,558 | 1.00 |
| TOTAL | $1,818,429$ | 27,42 |



1/All other Federal Lands are associated with the scattered tracts of public domain except 960 acres of Land Utilization Project repurchased land in Montana. Data includes 552,160 acres of minor Missouri River drainages north of the Little Missouri River Basin with 6,080, 000 acres. Compiled from Bureau of Land Management field data.

Figure 3. Land Administration, Landownership and Area Examined, Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming 1954


## Public Domain

The Little Missouri Basin contains 547, 366 acres of public domain, 96 per cent being in the southern half of the basin. Originally this was part of the Louisiana Purchase of 1803. Broadly speaking it is land unwanted and unselected by the settlers. The Little Missouri River Basin Public Domain Map with this report shows some areas of intense concentration of public domain and other areas with scattered isolated tracts of public domain. A tract of public domain is considered to be isolated if it is not over 1520 acres in area and if the contigious tracts are all patented land. The field survey of $1954-55$ separately classified the land under Isolated Tracts and in areas of concentration. Area classification was used for the concentrated area of public domain along with associated lands in other landownerships as shown on the Land Classification and Potential Improvement Sites Maps with this report. Isolated tracts are widely scattered pieces of public domain, surrounded by private, state or other public lands. These isolated tracts were individually classified. Table 19 of this report presents an outline of the description, location and classification of each tract in the area and provides recommendations for their future management. The field examination of each tract was reported on a land classification form41090, a sample of which is shown in Appendix B. These completed reports are filed in the Montana State Office of the Bureau of Land Management at Billings for the tracts in Montana and North and South Dakota. The Wyoming classification reports are filed in the Wyoming State Office at Cheyenne.

## Custer National Forest

By Executive Order No. 908, July 2, 1908, the Otter National Forest was renamed the Custer National Forest. By Executive Order No. 326, June 13, 1920, the Sioux National Forest merged with the Custer National Forest. There are 85,935 acres within the Little Missouri Basin. Further details are given elsewhere in this report.

Land Utilization Project Acquired Lands
The Little Missouri Basin contains 760,850 acres of this land in Federal ownership acquired for relief and conservation purposes in the drought years of the 1930's. Lands in this category are also called Title III lands because they were purchased under Title III of the Bank-head-Jones Farm Tenant Act of 1937. These lands make up the largest
area of Federal landownership in the basin. They are utilized only for grazing. These lands have been organized into grazing units of economic size which are administered on a conservative basis to permit range improvement. The Forest Service of the Department of Agriculture administers nearly all of this land in the basin, 742, 750 acres in Billings, Golden Valley, McKenzie and Slope Counties in North Dakota and 5, 090 acres in Campbell County, Wyoming. Only the Land Utilization Project land within Montana is presently administered by the Bureau of Land Management. This amounts to 13,010 acres in Fallon County. Land Utilization Project land in Montana was transferred to the administration of the Bureau of Land Management by Executive Order No. 10787 of November 7, 1958. Total area of grazing land administered by this Bureau within the basin is 560,376 acres. Land Utilization Project lands were administered by the Soil Conservation Service for many years prior to the transfer of administration to the Forest Service.

Indian Reservation Land
The Fort Berthold Indian Reservation was created on April 12, 1870 by an Executive Order signed by President U.S. Grant. The Little Missouri Basin contains 358, 720 acres of this reservation.

## National Park Service Land

The Theodore Roosevelt National Memorial Park was created in 1947 to commemorate this rugged President who contributed so much to National conservation. This park includes the old Elkhorn Ranch where Roosevelt built his spacious cabin of hand-hewn cottonwood logs in 1884. Additional areas contain the most beautiful and rugged badlands in the Little Missouri River Basin. The Park is utilized solely for recreational purposes under the administration of the National Park Service. Park headquarters are on the Little Missouri River four miles north of Medora, North Dakota. The Park covers an area of 65,558 acres, all within the basin.

## PROBLEMS

Problems in the Little Missouri Basin fall into three groups. The first group deals with problems observed during the field survey and are mainly concerned with public domain. Problems of administration and policy are the second group. General problems common to the entire basin area are the third group. Livestock operations in the area are well stabilized. Nearly all individual allotments are completely fenced. This greatly reduces trespass and management problems and practically limits most livestock problems to the individual operators.

Problems observed during the field survey
Forage depletion Field men found 293, 776 acres of rangeland that appeared to be in poor or fair condition. These condition classes indicate that the state of health of the rangeland is below a condition that could and should be expected under good management practice with normal rainfall. The range inventory study shows that the livestock grazing capacity has been reduced by about one-half on 285,162 acres and by about three-fourths on 8,614 acres. It will be difficult to restore this range area to good condition. Range improvement will require several to many years of careful management.

Excess Stocking Careful study of range forage on the area inventoried showed that 6.1 acres per month was required for the complete sustenance of one animal unit on public domain on an average over the area. All available evidence indicated that, on the average, these lands were being stocked at the rate of one animal unit per month on every 3.7 acres, or nearly twice the rate at which these lands should be utilized to maintain their condition. The 6.1 acre rate per animal unit month is also necessary to protect the watershed and to minimize erosion and sediment production.

Erosion Field men found 363,554 acres of land, 35.9 per cent of the area examined, in a state of erosion described as moderate to severe. This degree of erosion is defined as land with 25 to 50 per cent of the topsoil removed, with bare spots common and occasional gullies, shallow to deep, occuring at intervals of more than 100 feet apart. Moderate difficulty is encountered in traveling over the area in a car. Ordinarily gullies must be "headed" or passed around at the "head" or top, in order to cross.

Field examination found 14,088 acres, 1.4 per cent of the area examined, in a state of erosion described as severe to critical. This degree of erosion is land with 50 to 100 per cent of the topsoil removed. Frequent gullies are found at intervals of less than 100 feet. Active erosion is in process as shown by bank, head, and bottom cuttings. Corduroyed surface of the lands and deep gullies makes car travel impossible.

Barren lands Inventory of the 915,634 acre area, as shown on the Land Classification Map, showed 1,636 acres of barren badlands hills with little to no vegetation. An additional 3,457 acres was found in type number 7. This type is defined as land with large areas of very sparse forage or land with timber and brush so thick that it has slight value for grazing domestic livestock. The lands in this group with little or no vegetation pose a problem of severe erosion by wind and water. This, in turn resolves into a problem of sedimentation or dust.

Rodents and Fires Infestation of rangeland by prairie dogs was found at six different locations comprising a total of 1,217 acres. The largest single infested area found on public domain was 180 acres. These rodents are capable of spreading at a phenomenal rate. They can completely denude large areas among their 'towns'.

Forest and prairie fires are a problem. Some of these spread to serious proportions and result in unwarranted drain uponforest products, grass, wildlife, soil humus and seed crops.

Trespass Apparent agricultural trespass on public domain was found on 936 acres involving 22 tracts. Most of this was in Montana. All trespass was reported to the Bureau of Land Management District Manager.

Cadastral Survey Problems Field men experienced difficulty in locating section corners. In many places on the old surveys the old rock corners have been lost or obliterated. There are approximately 238 townships of old original surveys made prior to 1910 in the Little Missouri Basin, many of which are not properly monumented. Resurveys with the new accompanying "brass capped" monuments are needed outside of the grassed areas where durable pits mark the corners. Definite boundary identifications between subdivisions are necessary for the proper administration of the areas leased under Section 15 of the Taylor Grazing Act; for the determination of boundaries between Government
and privately owned lands; and for the information necessary in connection with the sale of timber, isolated tracts, trespass cases and for the identification of land leased for oil and gas development. Figure 3 shows the present areas of cadastral resurveys and old surveys within the basin area.

Problems of Administration and Policy Administrative and policy problems include adjudication of grazing privileges, fencing programs, public relations with states, private companies or individuals, conflicts in land use and isolated tracts. In some respects these are the most serious problems concerning the public domain. With the exception of isolated tracts these problems are largely beyond the scope of this report. Appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management are studying and working on these problems.

Isolated tracts Intermingled tracts of public domain among large areas of private and state lands presents a problem to the Federal land administrators. There are 868 of these tracts in the Little Missouri Basin. Ownership of private lands around these isolated tracts often brings substantial advantages to the owner who is in competition with other private owners in obtaining use of Federal land. These are cases where the adjoining landowner enjoys all the advantages of ownership of the isolated tracts without the accompanying responsibilities of management costs. Preference rights of adjoining landowners to buy these tracts often makes disposal very difficult. Most of the isolated tracts in the Little Missouri Basin present conservation and management problems that are infeasible of application by the Bureau of Land Management.

There are forested isolated tracts with 1,438 acres of timber which contain an estimated 1050 MBF of yellow pine saw timber, 191, 250 yellow pine posts and poles and 48,550 juniper and ash posts. Estimated stumpage value of these timber products is $\$ 41,942$. Economical utilization of these products is largely dependent upon coincident utilization of identical products on the adjoining privately-owned lands. A lack of knowledge as to procedure necessary to secure authorization for use or impatience on the part of prospective users may result in either trespass use or in leaving the products unused.

Drought Drought is an ever recurring problem. Tables 3, 4 and 5 of this report indicate the extreme variations in rainfall in the area. Climatic conditions of this kind, with alternating wet and dry seasons were responsible for waves of optimism and pessimism among the settlers. During the wet years immigrants swarmed in, and the belief gained ground that "rainfall follows the plow". . . . , but within a few years came the dry cycle, "with the heavens like brass". Drought burns crops and pastures, and if it continues over several years there is considerable emigration.

Distribution of livestock water From a range management point of view there are an inadequate number of water facilities. Forage which would normally be converted to weight gain in livestock is wasted when used as energy for excessive travel between forage and water. Existing stock dams are frequently dry due to a shortage of runoff. This leads to improper distribution of livestock and causes isolated spots of little used, and over used areas near water.

Flood Threat Floods on the Little Missouri have occured in the spring months due to general rains, ice jams, rapid runoff from snow melt or a combination of all of these. Marmarth, North Dakota, near the geographic center of the basin, has had nine major floods since 1907. Senate Document No. 134, 81st Congress, 2nd Session, Little Missouri River and Tributaries at Marmarth, North Dakota, a letter from the Secretary of the Army, states:"The most damaging flood, for which data are available, occured from a general rain in 1929. River stage rose to 16 feet on the highway bridge gauge and 26 of the town's 62 blocks were flooded to a depth of 3 to 4 feet. Damage to residential and business property amounted to about $\$ 30,000$ and the city water supply was polluted. Higher recent stages have resulted from ice jams, notable that in March of 1947 when a stage of 21.7 feet was recorded at the highway bridge gauge. Several houses in the Browning addition north of the railroad were inundated by the March 1947 flood, which caused damage estimated at $\$ 3,600$. The water rose at several locations to within less than 3 inches of the top of the existing levee around the main section of town. A slightly longer duration of the ice jam would have resulted in the levee being over-topped and disastrous flooding of the majority of the town. Much emergency work and evacuation of the townspeople have been required and general suffering and hardship have been endured." Future average flood damages are estimated at \$11,004 based on November 1948 price levels. The 1948 population of Marmarth • was about 600. The town is near the confluence of Little Missouri River and Little Beaver Creek.

Effective management and economical administration of public domain in the Little Missouri Basin are dependent upon the orderly execution of two primary action programs. The first is a disposal program of all public domain deemed inexpedient for retention due to location and resources. Second is an intensive management program covering all remaining public domain lands.

Disposal Program - Isolated Tracts Table 19 of this report lists all isolated tracts proposed for sale and private management. There are 857 tracts listed in separate sections recommended for sale with a total area of 96,193 acres. Disposal of these tracts is recommended in accordance with existing laws on the motion of the Bureau of Land Management. Eleven isolated tracts are recommended for retention in Federal ownership. Three of these, comprising 169 acres, are recommended as suitable for water storage for the Garrison Dam. Three others, recognized as suitable for multiple use, include 446 acres. One tract of 40 acres is considered to be suitable for municipal recreation. Two others are recommended for transfer, one of 15 acres to the U.S. Army and one of 40 acres to the U.S. Department of Agriculture for administration with adjacent Land Utilization Project Land. One tract of 40 acres is utilized for cemetery purposes. One tract of 200 acres should be retained for use for waterfowl.

Proposed improvements and management In view of the problems mentioned previously, it is suggested that the following practices and structures be investigated and considered in detail:

1. Proper land use and land management. Field inventory of the public domain and related lands established recommended stocking rates as shown in table 19 and on the Land Classification map with this report. Where actual use exceeds this number, proper adjustment is recommended.
2. Soil stabilization. Soil stabilization is accomplished by reducing erosion. Methods of reducing erosion are good management to promote proper cover and range improvement, seeding of depleted areas, construction of additional water facilities and fencing programs. These recommendations are in effect proper soil conservation. Seeding is recommended for 370 acres on sites shown on the Proposed Improvement Site Map. Sites are proposed for five check dams.
3. Construction of additional water spreaders. The Potential Improvement Sites map accompanying this report shows potential sites for additional water spreaders. This is a multiple-benefit practice as pointed out in 1950 by the President's Water Resource Policy Commission, Committee on Evaluation of Constructed Projects. Their evaluation is as follows: "The Alzada water-spreading system project on range lands of southeastern Montana consists of a series of earth dikes to retard surface runoff and consequent soil erosion, and to increase production for forage by providing supplemental water through spreading of flood flows. A small experimental development on heavy soils, the system covers 980 acres, of which 760 acres receive benefits from water spreading. Where the soil received heavy flooding, the original vegetation of sagebrush and cactus has given way to a thick stand of western wheat grass. The dikes and increased plant cover have effectively controlled sheet and gully erosion. The increase of 350 per cent obtained in grazing capacity has a value, based on livestock prices of the past 8 years, adequate to return costs of construction and of maintenance in a 40 -year period, with an annual return of 13 per cent on the original investment of $\$ 3,300$. The improvement has resulted in an increase in appraised value per animal unit of land investment from $\$ 100$ to $\$ 190.1$ Appendix $H$ of this report contains estimates of economic returns from Bureau of Land Management range waterspreading systems at Alzada, Montana.

In the study of sediment sources in the Cheyenne River Basin, Richard F. Hadley of the U.S. Geological Survey recommends water spreading as the most effective means of sediment control where suitable sites are available. Water spreading is recommended for 120 acres as shown on the Proposed Improvements Sites Map.
4. Detention dams. Detention dams should be constructed at suitable sites to aid in flood control, reduce sediment production, and to contribute to water spreading and to livestock water supplies.
5. Additional water facilities. Potential sites for additional water facilities as recommended by field men are delineated on the Potential Improvement Sites map with this report. Additional livestock water will conserve range forage and increase livestock weight production by reducing distances traveled for water. Proper water spacing varies with forage supply, terrain, livestock prices, availability of supply and costs of development. Livestock water may
be supplied from reservoirs, wells, spring developments, streams, and even by hauling water to range locations. Sixty-eight reservoir sites have been recommended for investigation at locations shown on the Proposed Improvement Sites Map.
6. Rodent Control. Poisoning of habitat centers is recommended for rodent control. Sites where rodents should be controlled covering 190 acres are shown on the Potential Improvement Sites Map in the jacket of this report.
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Table 19.- Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties
Within the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, 1955 - Continued

| Twp. <br> South | Rang East | Sec. | Subdivision | Acres | General Land Character | AUM's | Present <br> Land Use 1/ | Land Capability Classification 2/ | Principal Suitability | Proposed <br> Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carter County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 56 | 32 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ : $N \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$ | 240.00 | Steeply rolling to rough | 43 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 56 | 33 | N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ | 160.00 | Steeply rolling, rough | 37 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 56 | 34 | $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ | 80.00 | Gently rolling | 20 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 56 | 35 | E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 120.00 | Gently sloping to rolling | 30 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 57 | 8 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ | 40.00 | Sloping to gently rolling | 8 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 57 | 10 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ :SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ :SE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 280.00 | Gently to steeply rolling | 28 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 57 | 11 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ | 40.00 | Gently rolling, sloping | 5 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 57 | 18 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ :S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 120.00 | Gently to steeply rolling | 12 | 1 | VII | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 57 | 19 | NE $\frac{1}{4} ; \mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SE} \mathrm{E}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | 240.00 | Steeply rolling, rough | 24 | 1 | VII | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 57 | 20 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2}$ NEE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 320.00 | Gently to steeply rolling | 36 | 1 | 70/VI:250/VII | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 57 | 23 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 40.00 | Sloping to gently rolling | 3 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 57 | 24 | NW $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ | 40.00 | Gently rolling, undulating | 6 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 58 | 15 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 40.00 | Gently rolling | 6 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 58 | 17 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ :S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ | 120.00 | Gently rolling to flat | 27 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 58 | 18 | SE $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ | 40.00 | Gently rolling to flat | 11 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 58 | 20 | W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ : NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ : NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\text { SW } \frac{1}{4} \text { SW } \frac{1}{4}$ | 200.00 | Gently rolling to flat | 43 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 58 | 21 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SW} \frac{1}{4}$ | 80.00 | Gently rolling to flat | 20 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 58 | 22 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 40.00 | Gently rolling | 10 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 58 | 27 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{NE}^{\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4}}$ | 80.00 | Gently rolling to flat | 12 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 59 | 15 | E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ | 160.00 | Gently rolling | 27 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 59 | 22 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ :S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 120.00 | Gently rolling | 17 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 59 | 33 | Lot 4 | 39.29 | Gently rolling | 8 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 60 | 9 | S $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4}$ | 280.00 | Gently to moderately rolling | 51 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 60 | 10 | W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ | 80.00 | Gently to moderately rolling | 18 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 60 | 13 | E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ | 80.00 | Gently to steeply rolling | 10 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |
| 8 | 60 | 22 | S $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}: \mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}:$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ : $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ | 280.00 | Undulating to rolling | 57 | 1 | VI | 1 | Private |

SW $\frac{1}{4}$ : $N \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$ 240.00 Steeply rolling to rough
 200.00 80.00 40.00 ㅇ․
 .
57


 Undulating to rolling
Undulating to rolling
Undulating to gently rolling
Rolling to undulating
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently rolling, undulating
Gently rolling, undulating
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently rolling, undulating
Gently rolling to steep
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently rolling, sloping
Gently rolling, undulating
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Steeply rolling, rough
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently sloping, undulating
Undulating to gently rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Steeply rolling, rough
Gently sloping to rolling
Gently to steeply rolling




 $\infty \infty \infty \infty \infty \infty \infty \times \infty$ a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties Within the Little Missouri River Basin，Montana，North Dakota，South Dakota and Wyoming， 1955 －Continue d

 U
N
号
u莒等


 | 4 |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{4}{4}$ |
| $\stackrel{y}{4}$ |莒等 Private

Private


320／VI：80／VII
 55ラら5555 110／VI：90／VII
VII
VI
$65 / \mathrm{VI}: 15 / \mathrm{VII}$

145／VI：95／VII
VI
VI
VII

55<br>555

160／VI：240／VII$110 / \mathrm{VI}: 90 / \mathrm{VI}$
VII
VI
65／VI：15／VII
 VI NN～오 옥
 mo o N゙ッニコ Gently to steeply rolling
Gently sloping Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling
 Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling
 Gently to steeply rolling Gently rolling to rough Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Steeply rolling，rough 20.00
40.00
80.00

 NW $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: W \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$
$S E \frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$ $S_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} ; \mathrm{SE}^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4}:$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}$
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}: N \frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW N $\frac{1}{2} N E^{\frac{1}{4}: N W ~} \frac{1}{4}$ $\mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}: \mathrm{NE}_{\frac{1}{4}}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ ：SE $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SW} \frac{1}{4}$ ： －1
M
－1
3
3
0 N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ $E \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$
$S W \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ ：
$N \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$
Lots 1,2
Lots $1,2,3$
W $\frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$
 P．M．Montana P．M．Manta
Twp．Range
South East

Table 19.- Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties
Within the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, 1955 - Continued
Proposed
Proposed

- Management Land Capability Principal
Principal -
Private
Municipal Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private N
 N Private
Private Private Private Private
Private


요
어N N N 으N N

Gently to steeply rolling Bottom land Gently rolling Sloping to gently rolling Sloping to gently rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling
Rolling to steep \& broken

옹ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ



 Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Steeply rolling to rough
Gently sloping, undulating
Steeply rolling to rough
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Steeply rolling to rough
Gently to steeply rolling $W \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
Lots $1,6,7,12: N E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
$S E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
$W \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$
$S E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4}$ $\Rightarrow M \underset{\sim}{N}$

Carter County

 9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9
9

Lots $3,4: S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$ :NW $\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ . Lot NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}:$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}:$ NW $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
Lot 1 North

P. M. Montana
Twp. Range South East
Table 19. - Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties
Continued
Land Capability Principal
Proposed
Management
Classification $2 /$ Present Private

Private Private Private Private Private | 0 |
| :---: |
| 0 |
|  |
|  |

 $\stackrel{ \pm}{\stackrel{y}{c}}$






 N N

 N
 $\stackrel{ \pm}{\stackrel{0}{\sim}}$




ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ
ㅇㅇㅇ 서 N ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ N ㅏ
55
40/VI: $80 /$ VII
VI
15/VI: $45 / \mathrm{VII}$ 19.39/VIII
VI
VI
VI
VI
VII

$\qquad$

SW $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
$W \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$



बの Private Private Private Private Private Private 2
0
0
0 $\stackrel{y}{4}$ Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private范岂 Private
 Private Private
岂 Private

 480．00 Steeply broken thin breaks 265.16 Steeply broken thin breaks 640．00 Steeply rolling to rough Gently to steeply rolling Steeply rolling，steep cliffs

Steeply rolling，broken
Gently sloping，undulating Steeply rolling，thin breaks Gently rolling，undulating Steep，thin breaks，rough Steep，broken shale hills
Steep，broken rough hills Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently rolling，undulating Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling




[^1] Land Capability Principal ．
Table 19. - Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties
Continued Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private
范華 Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private




N

Choppy sand hills, broken
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently rolling, undulating
Gently to steeply rolling
Steeply rolling to broken
Gently rolling, undulating
Gently to steeply rolling
Steeply rolling scoria hills
Gently to steeply rolling
Rolling scoria hills, rough

Gently rolling, hurnmocky
Gently sloping, rolling
Steeply rolling to rough
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently sloping to rolling
Gently sloping to rolling
Gently sloping to rolling
ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ응

ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ


윽NNNㅇNN Montana Twp. Range
North East Carter County

| 2 | 60 | 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 60 | 10 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 60 | 12 | E $\frac{1}{2} W \mathrm{l} \frac{1}{2}: S \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 60 | 13 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 60 | 14 | $N \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}$ SEE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 60 | 18 | Lots 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11 |
| 2 | 60 | 19 | Lot 7, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 60 | 26 | S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 60 | 28 | $\mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 60 | 31 | Lots 1,11, 12 |
| 2 | 61 | 18 | Lots 1, 2. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 61 | 21 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | 61 | 32 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 3 | 58 | 21 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 3 | 58 | 23 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 3 | 58 | 28 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 3 | 58 | 33 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |

[^2]Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties Private Private Private N
岂
品 Private
 Private Private Private Private

 \begin{tabular}{l}
0 <br>
4 <br>
0 <br>
$\vdots$ <br>
4 <br>
\hline

 

0 <br>
$\pm$ <br>
0 <br>
$\vdots$ <br>
\hline <br>
\hline

 Private Private 

U <br>

+ <br>
0 <br>
0 <br>
H <br>
H <br>
H <br>
\hline

苟 

$\pm$ <br>
号 <br>
号 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

 preatid

荡苟
号
a


 $\begin{aligned} 40.00 & \text { Gently sloping to rolling } \\ 80.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently sloping to rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently sloping to rolling } \\ 142.41 & \text { Steeply rolling，hummocky } \\ 150.51 & \text { Gently sloping to rolling } \\ 30.62 & \text { Gently rolling to rough } \\ 200.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 80.00 & \text { Gently sloping to rolling } \\ 120.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 240.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Steeply rolling to rough } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently sloping to rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently sloping to rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently sloping to rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Steeply rolling to broken } \\ 38.68 & \text { Steeply rolling，broken } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently rolling to sloping } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently rolling to sloping } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently rolling，hurnmocky } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Gently rolling to sloping } \\ 200.00 & \text { Gently rolling to sloping }\end{aligned}$


Fallon County

 Mmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmytrinin in
Table 19.- Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private apentid Private $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & + \\ 3 & \vdots \\ -1 & 1 \\ H & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ Private


## I: $400.18 /$ VII VII VII VII VII VII VII VII VII VI VII <br> 80/VI:240/VII


Thin breaks to badlands Thin breaks to badlands
440.23 Steeply rolling
639.32 Thin breaks to rough badlands 640.40 Thin breaks to rough badlands spuetpeq ys̊nox of sअeəaq utul Thin breaks to rough badlands Thin breaks to badlands




Thin breaks and badlands
ㅇㅇㅇ

ㅇ
Thin breaks and badrand
Table 19. - Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties Continued Principal
Private
 Private
Private
Private Private


30/VII:50/VIII
$2-$
10/III: 30/VII

01
07
9



Thin breaks, rough broken


| 31 | Lots $1,3,4$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1 | W $\frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | Lots $1,2,3,4: S \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 5 | SE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 6 | Lots $4,5,7$ |
| 8 | NW $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 11 | N $\frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$ |

Steeply rolling to rough spuerpeq of syeaxq utyl Rolling to undulating
Thin breaks to badland


P. M. Montana

Twp. Range
South East Sec.
Powder River County
Subdivision
$\circ$ ㅇㅇㅇ

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 80.00 } & \text { Steeply rolling to rough } \\ 39.37 & \text { Steeply rolling to rough }\end{array}$

 119.72
> $\begin{array}{llll}9 & 54 & 24 & \mathrm{~N} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4}: \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}: W \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4} \\ 9 & 54 & 25 & \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}\end{array}$

## North East

## Wibaux County

North Dakota
Fifth P. M.
Twp. Range
North West
Bowman County
$\begin{array}{rrr}13 & 60 & 8 \\ 16 & 60 & 14 \\ 16 & 60 & 34 \\ 16 & 61 & 18\end{array}$
8
14
34
18
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$
$\begin{array}{llll}16 & 60 & 34 & \text { SE } \frac{1}{4} \text { SW } \frac{1}{4}: \text { SW } \frac{1}{4} \text { SE } \frac{1}{4} \\ 16 & 61 & 18 & \text { Lot } 4\end{array}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
40.00 & \text { Sloping to gently rolling } \\
200.00 & \text { Steeply rolling to rough } \\
40.00 & \text { Steeply rolling to rough }
\end{aligned}
$$



31
1
2
5
6
8
11
Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties

落
 Thin breaks，rough broken
Thin breaks，rough broken Thin breaks，rough broken
Steeply rolling，undulating Steeply rolling to rough Steeply rolling to rough Steeply rolling to rough
 spuetpeq of sมeәxq पగ̣ч $L$ Steeply rolling to broken Gently to steeply rolling 8ิน！ाIOI Kโdəə7s of KโfuəD Steeply rolling to rough Gently to steeply rolling
 पุดnox of ठิu！tiox Kโdəə7S Steeply rolling to rough 8uitiox KTdəəてs of Kโ7uəゆ Steeply rolling to rough


 Sloping，undulating Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling
 Gently to steeply rolling ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㄱㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㄴㄱㄴㅅㅇㅇ
 N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}:$
NE $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ $N \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$家 $S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}:$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$
Lots $5,6,7$
N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NW } \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4} \\
& \text { Lot } 1,2 . N E \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4} \\
& \text { Lot } 4 \\
& S \frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4} \\
& \text { NW } \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4} \\
& E \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4} \\
& S E \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4} \\
& S E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4} \\
& \text { Lots } 3 \text { and } 4 \\
& \text { Lot } 13 \\
& \text { Lot } 1 \\
& \text { Lots } 3,4: W \frac{1}{2} W \frac{1}{2} \\
& \text { Lot } 3 \\
& \text { NE } \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$


n in
in in in in in in o
$\circ \circ$ 은 ㅇ $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ -1\end{array}$ 응 00 00 00 001 동

N

0
$N$
$a$
$N$ 0
$N$
$n$ $\stackrel{0}{0}$ $a$
$\sim$
$\sim$ $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{N}} \underset{\sim}{0} \mathrm{~N}$ $\begin{array}{lll}a \\ & 0 \\ n & n \\ n\end{array}$ 0
$N$ $\begin{array}{ll}a \\ n & a \\ N\end{array}$ $a$
$N$
$N$ $\begin{array}{ll}a & a \\ N & n\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}a & 0 \\ N & n \\ \cdots & \\ \end{array}$ $a$
$N$ $a$
N
N
0
$n$
$n$
Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties Within the Little Missouri River Basin，Montana，North Dakota，South Dakota and Wyoming， 1955 －Continued
 Private Private苋 Private范 Private $\stackrel{y}{0}$
品
0
0范






范

Private
Private Private


```
N
```

ゴコーコーコーコーコーコーコーゴ | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ |

20／VII：17．53／VIII
50／VII：30／VII

$60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | | 12 | $1-2$ | IV |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 10 | 1 | VII |
| 8 | 1 | VII |
| 4 | 1 | $20 / \mathrm{VII}: 17.53 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 6 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $60 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 17 | 1 | VI |
| 24 | 1 | VI |
| 18 | 1 | $40 / \mathrm{VI}: 50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | $100 / \mathrm{VII}: 20 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 8 | 1 | $50 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 25 | 1 | VI |
| 36 | 1 | VI |
| 6 | 1 | VII |
| 12 | 1 | $75 / \mathrm{VII}: 45 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 20 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 30 | 1 | VII |
|  |  |  |
| 29 | 1 | VII |
| 16 | 1 | VII |
| 2 | 1 | $10 / \mathrm{VII}: 30 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 16 | 1 | $90 / \mathrm{VII}: 230 / \mathrm{VIII}$ |
| 26 | 1 | $15 / \mathrm{IV}: 25 / \mathrm{V}: 40 / \mathrm{VI}:$ |
|  |  | $22.30 / \mathrm{VII}$ | Gently sloping to level

Steeply rolling to rough \＆broken
Steeply rolling to rough \＆broken
Steeply rolling to rough \＆broken
Steeply rolling to rough \＆broken
Steeply rolling to rough \＆broken
Steeply rolling to rough \＆broken
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently rolling to badlands
Gently rolling to badlands
Gently rolling to badlands
Steeply rolling to rough
Steeply rolling to rough
Steeply rolling to rough
Steeply rolling to rough
Steeply rolling to rough －
 Private華 Private Private N
 Private
范范
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16
27
16

24
10
6
16
6
4
18
5
4
8
10
22
27
31
16
31
50 Steeply rolling to rough to rough badlands Steep coulees．Thin breaks Thin breaks to rough badlands Thin breaks to rough badlands Thin breaks to rough badlands Thin breaks to rough badlands Thin breaks to rough badlands Thin breaks to rough badlands Thin breaks to rough badlands解

 Thin breaks to rough badlands Gently to steeply rolling River sand bar
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling




Lots 10,11
Lot 10
Lots $1,3,6,10,12$
Lots 3 and 4
Lot 2
Lot 11
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
Lot 3
Lot 4
Lot s $1,2,3,4 . S E \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}:$ E $\frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}:$
SW $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
N $\frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
N $\frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$
SW $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
SW $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
W $\frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
$S W \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
$S E \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
$S E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$ ．Lots 3 and 5
Lots $1,5,9$
NE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}:$ NE $\frac{1}{4}: N \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}:$ Lots 8 and 9
Lot 2
NE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}:$ Lot 2,4
SW $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}:$ Lot 5
NW $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}: W \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$

$n$
0
0
0
105
105
105
in
in ${ }_{-1}^{10}$
$\stackrel{10}{\circ}$
o으응 00
Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties

Private Private Private Private Private毕等号
 Private Present Land Capability Principal Proposed ๆuวuวseury Suitability
 $\Lambda$ 60／VII．25．71／VII 300／VII：340／VIII 240／VII：240／VIII
220／VII： $100 /$ VIII
VII
45／VLI： $35 /$ VIII
VII
 $\begin{aligned} 40.00 & \text { Gently to steeply rolling } \\ 159.90 & \text { Steeply rolling to rough } \\ 85.71 & \text { Thin breaks to badlands } \\ 640.00 & \text { Thin breaks to badlands } \\ 160.00 & \text { Thin breaks to badlands } \\ 40.00 & \text { Thin breaks to badlands } \\ 480.00 & \text { Thin breaks to badlands } \\ 320.00 & \text { Thin breaks to badlands } \\ 160.00 & \text { Steeply rolling to rough } \\ 80.00 & \text { Steeply rolling to rough } \\ 280.00 & \text { Steeply rolling，shale blocks }\end{aligned}$ SW $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
Lots $3,4 . S \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$
Lots $1,2,3,4$
All
$W \frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$
$S E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
$N \frac{1}{2}: S W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$
$S \frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4}: W \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$
$N \frac{1}{2} N \frac{1}{2}$
NW $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ $N W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$ －

 131
131
132
132
132
132
132
132
132
132
132

Private Private Private Federal Federal Federal Private Private Private Private Private Private afentidd






 $\begin{aligned} 40.00 & \text { Rough and broken，breaks } \\ 160.00 & \text { Very rough and broken } \\ 80.00 & \text { Very rough and broken } \\ 116.26 & \text { Undulating to rolling } \\ 14.00 & \text { Undulating to rolling } \\ 39.20 & \text { Undulating to rolling } \\ 305.22 & \text { Rough，thin breaks } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rough badlands to thin breaks } \\ 120.00 & \text { Rough badlands to thin breaks } \\ 120.00 & \text { Rough badlands to thin breaks } \\ 321.13 & \text { Rough steep badlands } \\ 201.46 & \text { Rough steep badlands } \\ 160.00 & \text { Rough steep badlands }\end{aligned}$

| 146 | 97 | 4 | SW $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 146 | 97 | 28 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 146 | 97 | 30 | W $\frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 93 | 34 | Lots $1,2,3,4$ |
| 147 | 94 | 20 | Lot 4 |
| 147 | 94 | 28 | Lot 1 |
| 147 | 94 | 30 | Lots $3,4 . \operatorname{E} \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 95 | 2 | SE $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 95 | 8 | $S \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 95 | 10 | W $\frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 96 | 4 | E $\frac{1}{2} E \frac{1}{2}: S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 96 | 6 | $\operatorname{Lots} 1,2,3 . S \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 96 | 10 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |

Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties Within the Little Missouri River Basin，Montana，North Dakota，South Dakota and Wyoming， 1955 －Continued
Private Private
 Private Private Private Private





 | $\pm$ |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
|  |


 Private岂

Private
 Private Private
105／VII：55／VIII
30／VII：10／VIII
250／VII：66． $46 / \mathrm{VIII}$
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII
VII

$311.73 /$ VII： $60 /$ VIII
$46.96 /$ VII：20／VII
VII
$235 /$ VII： $85 /$ VIII
$125 /$ VII： $35 /$ VIII
$36.46 /$ VII：15／VIII
VII
VII

 311．73／VII：60／VIII 46．96／VII：20／VII 125 ／VII： 35 ／VIII
36.46 ／VII： 15 ／VII $\stackrel{3}{5}$



 Very rough and broken Very rough and broken Very rough and broken Very rough and broken
Very rough and broken
 Very rough and broken Very rough and broken
Very rough and broken
Rough broken thin breaks



 Rough breaks and badlands
Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands
Rough thin breaks，badlands

－응ㅇㅇ응ㅇ
n
0
0
0
0
0
$i$

| $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{y}{*}$ |
| $\stackrel{y}{*}$ |
|  |


| 147 | 96 | 12 | E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 147 | 97 | 8 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 97 | 18 | Lots 1，2，4．E $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E} \frac{1}{2}: \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 147 | 97 | 30 | Lots 1 and 2 |
| 147 | 97 | 32 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{NE}^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 4 | Lot 6 |
| 148 | 95 | 7 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 8 | S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：N $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 9 | Lots 1，2，3．NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SW} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 17 | N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{E} \frac{1}{2}$ ：SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 18 | Lot 2．E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 19 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 20 | $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2}: \mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2} S \frac{1}{2}$ ：SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 21 | All－Lots 1，2，3，4．W $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 28 | Lots 1，2．NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 29 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{E} \frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lots } 1,2,3 \text {. } E \frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: W \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4} \text { : } \\ & \text { NE } \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
| 148 | 95 | 31 | Lots 2，4， 5 |
| 148 | 95 | 34 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 1 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{SE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}: \mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4} \text { : } \\ & \text { NE } \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SW} \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 3 | Lots 1 and 2 |
| 148 | 96 | 5 | Lots 1，2．S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：NW $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 6 | Lots 2，3，4，5，6，7，8．SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： |

SW $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}: \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SW}^{\frac{1}{4}}$
Dunn County苋
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 | $\pm$ |
| :---: |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 品 |
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Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties
$\qquad$ Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Rough breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Rough，broken thin breaks

Thin breaks and badlands
Thin breaks and badlands




267．43 Thin breaks and badlands
38． 30 Thin breaks and badlands
Кㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ
승
$\cdots$ 는
n 160.
40.
80.
270.
80.
40.
354.
120.
120.
80.
80. 83.
120.
160. 0
$\mathrm{H}^{\circ}$
in $\stackrel{\circ}{N}^{\circ}$
407.
296. 472.
161. Lots $3,4 \quad 11: S E \frac{1}{4} S W$ 直

Lots $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,11 . S \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$ ：
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: \mathrm{NE}^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{SW}^{\frac{1}{4}}$
Lots $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9$ ：W $\frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$ Lots $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8: S E \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4}$ Lots 1，2，3．SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Lots $1,2: S \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4}: \operatorname{Lot} 4$ Lots 1 and 2 Dunn County 96
96
96
96
96
96
96
96
 N $\frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$

 $\square$

| 148 | 96 | 11 | S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148 | 96 | 17 | Lots 1，2，3，4．N $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}: \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 18 | E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 19 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 21 | Lot 5．S $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 22 | W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 23 | N $\frac{1}{2} S E \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 24 | E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 25 | S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 26 | Lots 7 and 9 |
| 148 | 96 | 28 | N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ： $\mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 29 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ ：W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 30 | Lots 1，2，3，4．E $\frac{1}{2} W \frac{1}{2}$ ：W $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E} \frac{1}{2}$ ： $\mathrm{E} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 31 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}:$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：$N E \frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 96 | 34 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 97 | 1 | Lots $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,11$ ．S $\frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$ ： SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: \mathrm{NE}^{\frac{1}{4}} \mathrm{SW} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 97 | 2 | Lots 1，2，3，4，5，6，7，8，9：W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 97 | 3 | Lots $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8: S E \frac{1}{4}:$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：$N E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 97 | 4 | Lots 1，2，3．SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ：NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 148 | 97 | 5 | Lots 1， $2: S \frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：Lot 4 |
| 148 | 97 | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lots } 1,2,3,4,5,6 . S E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4} \text { : } \\ & \text { SW } \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
| 148 | 97 | 9 | Lots 1 and 2 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \\
& 11 \\
& 12 \\
& 13 \\
& 14 \\
& 15 \\
& 19 \\
& 22 \\
& 23 \\
& 24 \\
& 25 \\
& 26 \\
& 27 \\
& 28 \\
& 29 \\
& 30 \\
& 31
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 19. - Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lots } 2,3,4 \text {. SE } \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} \\
& \text { Lots } 1,2,3,4,5,6: E \frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: W \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4} \text { : } \\
& \text { NE } \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} S W=\frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

 Thin breaks and badlands
Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands spuerpeq pue syearq uṭl Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands
Thin breaks and badlands
Thin breaks and badlands Thin breaks and badlands
 Brushy undulating, rough N응영

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lots } 4,5,10,11,12 \\
& \text { Lot } 4 \cdot S E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} \\
& \text { Lot } 2 \\
& \text { E } \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} \\
& \text { All }
\end{aligned}
$$


40. 00 Top Sentinel Butte
37.15 Rolling to rough


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lots } \\
& \text { NE } \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} \\
& N \frac{1}{2} N W \frac{1}{4}: W \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\underset{m}{N} \underset{\sim}{N}
$$


Golden Valley County $\qquad$
Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties Within the Little Missouri River Basin，Montana，North Dakota，South Dakota and Wyoming， 1955 －Continued
Management
Private
Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private Private
Private
U．S．Army
U．S．D．A．
Private Present Land Capability Principal Classification 2／Suitability Proposed $1 /$



## Rough and steep


ำ～～べき

Rolling to rough
Rough badlands to breaks Rough badlands to breaks Rough badlands to breaks Rough badlands to breaks
Rough broken badlands Rough broken badlands Rough broken badlands Mostly rough badlands

| 200．00 | Thin breaks to badlands |
| ---: | :--- |
| 80.00 | Rolling to hilly，rough |
| 14.95 | Steeply rolling |
| 40.00 | Steeply rolling to rough |
| 40.00 | Level to rough |


W $\frac{1}{4}$
ots $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$ ．SE $\frac{1}{4}: S \frac{1}{2}$ Lots $1,2,7,8,9,10,11 . S E \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4}: N \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
Lots
$E \frac{1}{2} E \frac{1}{2}$
$S E \frac{1}{4}$
$N W \frac{1}{4} N$
Lots NW $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NW} \frac{1}{4}: E \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$
Lots $2,3,4,5,6,12$


$-$
Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties
Within the Little Missouri River Basin，Montana，North Dakota，South Dakota and Wyoming， 1955 －Continued
Present Land Capability Principal
Proposed
Management
Private Private Private $\stackrel{ \pm}{\stackrel{y}{4}}$
 Private

 Private


 | $\pm$ |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |



 Private


 | $\pm$ |
| :--- |
| N |
| D |
| ～ |
| ～ |

 | $\pm$ |
| :--- |
| $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ |
| $\sim$ | N

H
4
4





 Present Classification 2／

 olling

General Land Character


Nearly rolling
Gently rolling
Gently to steeply
Gently to steeply rolling
Gently to steeply rolling

 Gently to steeply rolling Steeply sloping，rolling Gently to steeply sloping
Gently to steeply sloping Gently to steeply sloping


 64.
80.
66.
60.
40.
20.
40.
39.
1.
40.
62.
40.
40.
00.
20. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}:$ N $\frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ ：
NW $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
Lots 1,2 ， Lots $1,2,3,4$
Lot 1
SW $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
E $\frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
Lots 6 and 10
Lots 8 and 9
Lot 6
Lot 3
Lot 3
NE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$ Lots $1,2,3,4$
SE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
Lots $1,2,3,4$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$
 E $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
 ○かㅇNN a에N Fifth P．M．
Twp．Range
North East

Harding County
－けーけーNNNNNM

잉ㅇㅇㅇㅇ 잉ㅇㅇ $\underset{\sim}{\circ}$ No N $\stackrel{O}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{O}$
Fifth P．M．
Twp．Range
North East S
Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain，by Counties Proposed
 N Private N
宸苋苋
范范華苟 Private華岂苋 N



 $\stackrel{0}{0}$
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}$
$\stackrel{y}{c}$
0
0
 Private
Private


# Shale and Scoria Hills Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steply rolling <br>  Steep scoria hills，shaley Steep scoria hills，shaley Gently to steeply rolling Gently sloping to rolling Gently sloping to rolling  <br>  <br>  <br> 79.84 66.48 <br> 80.00 40.00 76.76 <br> 13.80 24.50 <br> 59.00 40.00 

 Gently to steeply rolling
 Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Steeply rolling to rough Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling Gently to steeply rolling

응ㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇㅇ $\infty$ 200.
40. 160／VI：40／VII
VI
VI
VI
VI
VII
VI
VI
$30 / \mathrm{VI}: 10 / \mathrm{VII}$
VI
VI
VI
VI
260／VI：120／VII：
$100.03 / \mathrm{VIII}$
190／VI：180．29／VII： 70．09／VILI

Harding County
1
7
8
17
23
24
30 $\xrightarrow[\sim]{n} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}} \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~N}}$

Harding County

$N N N N N N N m m m-n N N N M m$
NNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


 N N N H N $0 N \sim N N$ $\begin{aligned} 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 79.72 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 39.63 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 200.00 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 80.00 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rough to mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rough to mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rough to mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rough to mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rough to mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough \& mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough \& mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough \& mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough \& mountainous } \\ 160.00 & \text { Rolling } \\ 120.00 & \text { Rolling } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rough and mountainous } \\ 160.00 & \text { Rough and mountainous } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 40.00 & \text { Rolling to rough } \\ 37.48 & \text { Mountainous } \\ 37.87 & \text { Mountainous } \\ 37.94 & \text { Mountainous } \\ 38.08 & \text { Mountainous }\end{aligned}$


# Private 

 Private Private Private Private PrivatePrivate
Private วายィケมd ววセムTIC Private Private ว¥セム！ュd ววセム！エC วれセム！エC
 วายィ！xc วれセム！มc
 əายム！ォd Private
Private Private Private




NNNNNサM○MNサNMN0NNNNN

## Mountainous Mountainous Mountainous Mountainous Mountainous Mountainous <br> Mountainous Rolling to rough <br> Rough to mountainous <br> Rolling to rough Rough to mountainous Rough to mountainous Rough to mountainous Rough to mountainous Rolling to rough Rough and mountainous Rough and mountainous Rough and mountainous Rough and mountainous Rough and mountainous Rolling to rough   Rolling


 Lot $4: S E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}:$ NW $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
SW $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
NW $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
NE $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$
N $\frac{1}{2} N \frac{1}{2}: S W \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
$S E \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$
SE $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$ Lot 4
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW
 Lot 1






Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private
Private

$\xrightarrow[\substack{-1 \\ i}]{\substack{\text { in }}}$
 Present Land Use

40.00 Rolling to rough 40.00 Rolling

Rolling to rough
 Rolling to rough Rolling to rough Rolling to rough Rolling to rough


Rolling to roug Rough to mou Rough to moun


 Rough to mountainous







 snout equnow of yonoy
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Table 19. - Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties Continued Private范
 Private
 Private Private Private Private afentid afentid
 Private Private
 Private Private華 0
0
0
0
0 Private afenticd afentid Private Private Private







 1
100
0
3
0
0
0
0
0



| 2 | NW $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2 | SW $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 3 | SE $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 11 | NW $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 11 | SW $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 23 | SW $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 23 | SE $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 24 | SE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 25 | NW $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 4 | SE $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 4 | SW $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 4 | SE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 4 | SW $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 6 | Lot $5: S E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 6 | SE $\frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 6 | NE $\frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 20 | NW $\frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 23 | Lot 3 |
| 30 | Lot 1 |
| 33 | Lot 1 |
| 36 | Lot 1 |
| 36 | Lot 2 |
| 1 | Lots $5,6,7$ and 11 |
| 1 | Lot 12 |
| 1 | SE $\frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 1 | N $\frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 2 | Lot $5: S E \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: N E \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}$ |



Table 19. - Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties
Within the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, 1955 - Continued Private N 0
0
0
0
0
0
0





 N | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |  |




 | $\pm$ |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |
| $\substack{2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ \hline}$ |

 | 0 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 4 |






0
岂
I
0
0
$u$
 10/VI: $30 /$ VII Present




 $\begin{aligned} 2 & \text { NW } \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} \\ 4 & \text { Lot } 5 \\ 6 & \text { Lot } 10: S W \frac{1}{4} \text { NE } \frac{1}{4}: \text { NW } \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} . \\ 11 & \text { Lot } 4 \\ 12 & \text { Lot } 3 \\ 18 & \text { NW } \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} \\ 18 & \text { NE } \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4} \\ 18 & \text { NE } \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4} \\ 14 & \text { Lot } 3 \\ 15 & \text { Lot } 9 \\ 19 & \text { Lot } 6 \\ 20 & \text { Lot } 4 \\ 20 & \text { Lot } 6 \\ 20 & \text { Lot } 7 \\ 29 & \text { Lot } 7 \\ 33 & \text { Lot } 14 \\ 34 & \text { Lot } 1 \\ 34 & \text { SW } \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4} \\ 2 & \text { Lot } 4 \\ 6 & \text { Lot } 5 \\ 7 & \text { Lot } 2 \\ 7 & \text { Lot } 3 \text { and } 4 \\ 19 & \text { Lot } 3 \\ 6 & \text { Lot } 7: S W \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4} \\ 8 & \text { N } \frac{1}{2} N E \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4} \\ 8 & \text { SW } \frac{1}{4} N W \frac{1}{4}: N W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4}\end{aligned}$



Table 19．－Description，Area，Classification，Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Dornain，by Counties Private Private Private | 0 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 4 |

 ゴロatid วまentid
 prestid azentid afenild
苂 วาセィIIC
 ว⿻上丨icd
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 Present Land Capability

 $-$ AUM Land Use


| 57 | 64 | 19 | Lot 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | 64 | 20 | S $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 28 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 30 | NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & S E \frac{1}{4} N E \frac{1}{4}: S W \frac{1}{4} S E \frac{1}{4}: S E \frac{1}{4} S W \frac{1}{4} \text { : } \\ & W \frac{1}{2} S W \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 3 | $\mathrm{S} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{NE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 3 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 10 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 10 | S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 11 | $E \frac{1}{2}: E \frac{1}{2} W \frac{1}{2}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 14 | N $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 15 | $\mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2}: \mathrm{N} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SW} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 15 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 15 | SW $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 22 | NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 22 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ ：SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 64 | 24 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 65 | 24 | SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 68 | 2 | S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 68 | 3 | Lot 5 |
| 57 | 68 | 21 | Lot 1 |
| 57 | 68 | 22 | Lot 3 |
| 57 | 68 | 22 | Lot 4 |
| 57 | 68 | 23 | SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 68 | 26 | Lots 1 \＆2：S $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 68 | 27 | Lot 1：NW $\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{SE} \frac{1}{4}$ ：SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| 57 | 68 | 30 | Lot 6 |


Table 19. - Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties


| 90.99 | Rolling |
| ---: | :--- |
| 194.86 | Rolling |
| 40.00 | Mountainous |
| 119.12 | Rolling |
| 40.00 | Rolling |
| 200.00 | Rolling to rough |
| 40.00 | Rolling to rough |
| 77.67 | Rough |
| 80.00 | Rolling to rough |
| 160.00 | Rolling to rough |
| 40.00 | Rolling to rough |
| 80.00 | Rolling to rough |
| 40.00 | Rolling to rough |
| 40.00 | Rolling |
| 40.00 | Rolling to rough |
| 20.57 | Rolling |
| 80.00 | Rolling |



Lot 8: NE

E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}: W \frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ : $N W \frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$

 Lot $\frac{1}{2} S^{\frac{1}{4}}$

 Twp. Sixth P. M.
Table 19.- Description, Area, Classification, Suitability and Proposed Management of Unreserved Public Domain, by Counties Within the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, 1955 - Continued

| umber <br> Tracts | Total Area Acres | Land Capability |  | Classes in Acres |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Recommended } \\ \text { Stocking } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { Animal } \\ \text { Unit Mos. } \end{gathered}$ | Recommended Management |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Federal | Private |  |
|  |  |  |  | Number |  |  | Number |  |
|  |  | I to V | VI |  |  | VII | VIII | of Tracts | Acres | of Tracts | Acres |
| 356 | 40,577. 38 | 2,538 | 27,677 |  |  | 9,441 | 921 | 8,347 | 5 | 686 | 351 | 39,891. 38 |
| 55 | 8,052. 25 | 670 | 2, 330 | 4,981 | 71 |  | 1,485 |  |  | 55 | 8, 052.25 |
| 4 | 320. | 200 |  | 120 |  |  | 64 |  |  | 4 | 320. |
| 4 | 239.37 | 10 |  | 229 |  | 61 |  |  | 4 | 239.37 |
| 419 | 49.189. | 3,418 | 30,007 | 14,771 | 992 | 9,957 | 5 | 686 | 414 | 48,503. |
| 96 | 10,387. 34 | 288 | 1,651 | 6,532 | 1,916 | 1,589 |  |  | 96 | 10,387. 34 |
| 81 | 16,687. 53 |  |  | 15,284 | 1,404 | 2,687 | 3 | 169 | 78 | 16,518.53 |
| 13 | 2,678. 25 |  | 230 | 2,448 |  | 561 |  |  | 13 | 2,678. 25 |
| 5 | 374.95 | 8 | 15 | 352 |  | 130 | 2 | 55 | 3 | 319.95 |
| 195 | 30,128.07 | 296 | 1,896 | 24,616 | 3,320 | 4,967 | 5 | 224 | 190 | 29,904.07 |
| 75 | 6,905. 41 | 362 | 4,927 | 1,211 | 405 | 1,462 | 1 | 40 | 74 | 6,865.41 |
| 75 | 6,905.41 | 362 | 4,927 | 1,211 | 405 | 1,462 | 1 | 40 | 74 | 6,865.41 |
| 179 | 10,920.14 | 50 | 3,230 | 7,351 | 289 | 1,541 |  |  | 179 | 10,920.14 |
| 179 | 10,920.14 | 50 | 3,230 | 7,351 | 289 | 1,541 |  |  | 179 | 10,920.14 |
| 868 | 97, 142.62 | 4,126 | 40,060 | 47,949 | 5,006 | 17,927 | 11 | 950 | 857 | 96,192.62 |


| ppendix A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal plants growing on range lands of the Little Missouri |  |  |
| River Basin. Symbols of these plants are shown on the Land Classification Map, Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, 1958, with this report. |  |  |
| Map S | Symbol Scientific Name | Common Name |
| Grass |  |  |
| Ada | Agropyron dasystachyum | Thickspike wheatgrass |
| Asp | Agropyron spicatum | Bearded bluebunch wheatgrass |
| Asm | Agropyron smithi | Bluestem wheat grass |
| Asc | Andropogon scoparius | Little bluestem |
| Bcu | Bouteloua curtipendula | Sideoats grama |
| Bgr | Bouteloua gracilis | Blue grama |
| BRO | Bromus spp. | Brome |
| Bte | Bromus tectorum | Wheatgrass brome |
| Bda | Buchloe dactyloides | Buffalograss |
| Cmo | Calamagrostis montanensis | Plains Reedgrass |
| Clo | Calamovilfa longifolia | Prairie sandreed |
| Dst | Distichlis stricta | Inland saltgrass |
| Hju | Hordeum jubatum | Foxtail barley |
| Kcr | Koeleria cristata | Prairie junegrass |
| Mcu | Muhlenbergia cuspidata | Stonyhills (plains) muhly |
| Pca | Panicum capillare | Common witchgrass |
| POA | Poa spp. | Bluegrass |
| Pse | Poa secunda | Sandberg bluegrass |
| PUC | Puccinellia spp. | Alkaligrass |
| Spa | Schedonnardus paniculatus | Tumblegrass |
| Sai | Sporobolus airoides | Alkali sacaton |
| Sco | Stipa comata | Needle and thread |
| Svi | Stipa viridula | Green needlegrass |

Grasslike Plants

| Cel | Carex eleocharis | Needleleaf sedge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cfi | Carex filifolia | Threadleaf sedge |
| Car | Carex spp. | Sedge |

Forbs and Weeds

CHE Chenopodium spp.
Comandra umbellata

Echinacea angustifolia
ERI Erigeron spp.
ERO Eriogonum spp.
Ela Eurotia lanata

Helianthus rigidus
ALF Medicago sativa

PHL Phlox spp.
Selaginella spp.
SOL Solidago missouriensis
Scc Sphaeralcea coccinea
Forbs (Few to several or many miscellaneous forbs)
Wds (Few to several or many miscellaneous weeds)

Goosefoot
Common comandra

Blacksamson echinacea
Fleabane (wild daisy)
Eriogonum
Common winterfat

Stiff sunflower

Alfalfa

Phlox

Selaginella
Missouri goldenrod
Scarlet globemallow

Forbs

Weeds

Trees and Shrubs

Aca Artemisia cana
Afr Artemisia frigida
Atr Artemisia tridentata
Aga Atriplex gardneri
Cna Chrysothamnus nauseosus

Silver sagebrush
Fringed sagebrush
Big sagebrush
Gardner saltbush

Rubber rabbitbrush

Map Symbol Scientific Name Common Name

Trees and Shrubs

Gsa Gutierrezia sarothrae

Jsc Juniperus scopulorum
Opo Opuntia polyacantha OPU Opuntia spp.

Ppo Pinus ponderosa
Sve Sarcobatus vermiculatus

Broom snakeweed

Rocky Mountain Juniper
Plains pricklypear Pricklypear

Ponderosa pine
Black greasewood

Nomenclature from'Standard Plant Names', H. P. Kelsey and W. A. Dayton: published by Horace McFarland Company, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 1942.

# APPENDIX B <br> UNITED STATES <br> DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR <br> bureau of land management <br> <br> LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT 

 <br> <br> LAND CLASSIFICATION REPORT}

## I. SUMMARY

1. Region

State
County Serial
2. Type of application R. -------------- Mer. Applicant
3. Land description: T

Acres
4. Location and accessibility
5. Elevation
6. Annual precipitation
7. Topography
8. Soil
9. Vegetation
10. Type and extent of erosion
11. Present land uses
12. Potential land uses
13. Present improvements
14. Needed improvements
15. (a) Value of land, $\$$
(b) Value of \$
Total value, $\$$
(c) Value of
(b) Va------------------, \$
16. Conflicts
17. Does the land contain: (a) Mineral
(b) Hot springs
(c) Water needed by public?
(d) Is it occupied by natives (Alaska)?
18. Findings and recommendations:

| Prepared b | (Titie) | (Date) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approved |  |  |
|  | (Title) | (Date) |
| Approved |  |  |

19. Classification

Signed $\qquad$

## II. LAND USE FACTORS

A. Crop Factors:
20. Soil
21. Precipitation
22. Growing season
23. Area adaptable for crop production: Total acres
(a) By irrigation
(b) By dry-farming
24. Principal crops and average yields on similar lands in locality $\qquad$
25. Crop failures in locality
(a) Frequency
(b) Causes
26. Extent of farm land abandonment in locality
27. Water supply:
(a) Source
(b) Quality
(c) Adequacy
(d) Dependability
28. Feasibility of irrigation development (construction of facilities, water rights, costs, and returns) :
29. Minimum acreage required for average farm family unit
30. Agricultural rating: (a) Good
(b) Fair
(c) Poor
31. Comments:

## B. Grazing Factors:

32. Vegetation (type association)
33. (a) Density
(b) Condition
34. Principal forage plants
35. Nonforage plants
36. Present grazing capacity
37. Stock water available: Stream
38. Is this water needed by public?

39. Treatment, structures, or other changes or improvements necessary for best use and management
40. Name and address of lessee
41. Comments:
C. Timber:
42. Acres timbered:
43. 

| Species | Unit | Volume | Unit Value | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

44. Total value of timber
45. Quality and condition
$\qquad$
46. Accessibility $\qquad$
47. Demand
48. Comments:

## D. Minerals:

49. (a) Metallic $\qquad$
(b) Nonmetallic
50. Extent of development, leases and claims
51. Comments:

## E. OTher Land Use:

52. Does the land have value or adaptability for airfield, wildlife conservation, hunting, community, industrial, homesite, business, recreation, or other?
53. Type and ownership of improvements on this and adjacent lands
54. Comments :
III. APPRAISAL (55-60).-List evidence of value considered (sales, tax assessments, opinions, rentals, etc.) and state conclusions. Improvements should be appraised separately.


Names and addresses of adjoming owners:

Comments: (This diagram may also be used for a wide variety of larger or smaller scales than the one inch equals one mile as implied. The examiner making the inventory and appraisal selects a scale adapted to the tract or tracts being examined. Data exterior to the tract which is usually shown consists of access, drainage, water supply, adjacent ownership, use and lease or operating unit information. Pertinent adjacent features affecting the use, location or value of the tract are also shown on this diagram.)

| Class | Suitable for | Topography |  | Characteristic ::ative Vccetation | Soilcharacteristics |  |  |  |  |  | Vulnerability to Frosion | Requisite Special Practices |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Slope } \\ \text { (percent) } \end{gathered}$ | Character of Surface |  | Texture | Jepth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Relative } \\ & \text { Salinity } \end{aligned}$ | Fcrility | Productivity | Drainage |  |  |
|  | Best type of farming land | 0 to 2 | Level or nearly level | Tall and mid-grasses, thrifty sagebrusin, deciduous trees | Medium; Friable | $12^{\prime \prime}$ or more; subsoll 36" or more | Neglicible | High | Good to lifgh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Good to } \\ & \text { Fxcellent } \end{aligned}$ | Low | ::one to minor |
| II | Farmine with simple conservation practices | 0 to 10 | Irregular | Tall, wid, and short grasses; big sagebrush, deciduous trees | Light to Heavy; Friable | (3" or more; subsoll $3 t^{\prime \prime}$ or more | $\begin{aligned} & : l e_{\text {Eligible }} \\ & \text { to slight } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cood to } \\ & { }^{11} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ch}} \end{aligned}$ | :oderate to High | Good | Slight to moderate | :Hnor to sirple practices |
| III | Farming with complex conservation practices | 0 to 10 | Irregular | Tall, mid, and short grasses; big sacebrush, rabbitbrush, greasewood, coniferous, and deciduous trees | Light to Heavy; Frisble | (") or more; sub$301124 "$ or more | Slight to moderate | Fair to Good | Modsrate to High with management | Often poor; may be needed | Moderate to High | Complex practices essential |
| IV | Limited or occasional cultivation; best for permanent hay or pasture | 0 to 15 | Irregular or stony | Tall, mid, and short grasses; big sagebrush, rabbitbrush, greasewood, condferous, deciduous trees, saltbush, winterrat | Sandy to Clay; porous or tight | $t^{\prime \prime}$ or mors; may have shallow hardpan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Negligible } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { critical } \end{aligned}$ | Door to Good | Poor for row crops; best for hay and pasture | Not justifiable if needed | Modarate to High or nil | Complex and intensive practices with good management |
| v | Range or woodland; farming only if irrigation water becomes available | 0 to 5 | Smooth to irregular; may be stony or wet | Tall, mid, and short grasses; big sagebrush, rabbitbrush, greasewnod, coniferous, and dsciduous trees | Light to Heavy; Friable | Good permeability to 24." depth | Negligible to moderate | Good to High | Moderate to High | Usually not a problem | Low | None to minor or drainage |
| VI | Range and woodland only | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \text { to } 20 \\ & \text { (greater } \\ & \text { only on } \\ & \text { good } \\ & \text { soils) } \end{aligned}$ | Irragular to rough or rocky | Tall, mid, and short grasses; big sagebrush, rabbitbrush, greasewood, coniferous, deciduous trees, saltbush, winterfat | Very <br> Light to Heavy | Shallow to moderate; perneability excessive to poor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Negligible } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { moderate } \end{aligned}$ | Fair to Good | Light to Moderate; | Not practicable if a problem | Moderate | Proper management with siaple restrictions |
| VII | Range and woodland with severe restrictions | 0 to 100 | Rough, rocky, or eroded | Tall, mid, and short grasses; big sagebrush, rabbitbrush, greasewood, coniferous, deciduous trees, saltbush, winterfat, mountain browse and annuals | Any: <br> lay be tight clay or open sand or gravel | orten shallow, poorly devsloped | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Negligible } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { critical } \end{aligned}$ | May be Poor | Poor to Light | Seldom a problem or not practicable | Iligh | Proper management with complex restrictions and intensive practices |
| VIII | Watershad, wildife and recreation | Generally steep or swanpy | Extremely rough, barren or inaccessible | Often only annuals or scanty perennials; may be dense coniferous timber | Usually poorly develop--d | Very shallow or nil | May be excessive for plant growth | Usually very low | Usually very low or nil | Orten poor;not Justifiable if a pro blem | High (unless a swamp) | Complete protection |



## Appendix D

## Range Type Designations

As shown on the Land Classification Map, Little Missouri River Basin, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming, 1958, with this report.

| Type No. | Type Characteristics | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Grass | Buffalo-grama, bluestem wheatgrass, Junegrass, needlegrasses, prairie sandreed, bunch grasses, alpine grassland, sedges. | Grassland |
| 2-Meadow | Meadow grasses and sedges; bluestem wheatgrass; high density; high production. Land usually level or nearly so, with extra moisture from overflow, runoff, or seepage, or in a high precipitation area. | Wet or dry meadows |
| 3-Weeds | Perennial weeds; untimbered areas | Frequently is a result or overuse or other site damage. |
| 4-Sagebrush | Big sagebrush, silver sagebrush, other sagebrush by appearance or aspect | Big sagebrush Silver sagebrush Sand sagebrush |
| 5-Mountain Shrub | Browse and shrubs(except sagebrush)usually makes up most of the vegetal cover; at least dominates the aspect of the type. | Mountain mahogany, ceanothus |
| 6-Conifer | Woodland type in the Missouri River Basin at lower elevations is usually Ponderosa Pine;at least, in aspect. At high elevations, may be lodgepole pine, Engleman spruce, Douglas fir; may also contain browse, shrubs, grasses and weeds, Big sagebrush, Green needlegrass, Ponderosa pine. | Pine, fir, or spruce cover or aspect |


| Type No. | Type Characteristics | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-Waste | No grazing or slight value for grazing; not barren. Cover not palatable, or prevents use; may not be accessible. | Large areas of very sparse forage. |
| 8-Barren | Lake beds, sand dunes, saline flats, lava flows, rock peaks, and slopes. | To be differentiated from waste land. |
| 9-Juniper | Rocky Mountain Juniper; outside of the Missouri Basin, this type is called Pinion-Juniper and includes Pinon and Digger Pine, Utah and other Juniper. | May have stand of grass and shrubs, or may be almost pure Juniper. |
| 13-Saltbush: Atriplex | Atriplex dominant, separate from Desert Shrub; Gardner saltbush | Atriplex is sufficiently dominant to show type. |
| 14-Greasewood | Sarcobatus is dominant, at lease in aspect;stream margins; saline flats. | Overflow areas with saline soils. |

## TECHNICIANS GUIDE TO RANGE SITES, CONDITION CLASSES, AND RECOMMENDED STOCKING RATES

Utilized by the Missouri River Basin Investigations Field Group of the Bureau of Land Management for the inventory studies of the Little Missouri River Basin, 1954-1955. Compiled from the Technicıans Guide for Miles City, Montana and vicinity, produced by the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. D. A. October 1953. CS, clay-shale is a site which has been added because of its prevalence in this basin.

PART 1: Key Species and their responses to grazing as judged from climax


The symbol "-" means the species has less than $2 \frac{1}{2} \%$ coverage or is not in the climax for the site. The symbol "d" means the species is a decreaser on this
 areas with water spreading systems. Map separately and label separately as Water Spreading System); SL - Saline Lowland is p4 - p5, S2 - S4 with fl to f3 overflow and/or wl to $\mathbf{w} 2$; Sa - Sands is L to C texture, $1-3$ depth; Sy - Sandy is S texture, 1 to 3 depth; OU - Ordinary Upland is F to M texture, $1-3$ depth, Cl-Clay is V - H textures, 1 - 3 depth; Sw-Shallow is 4 depth, (deeper rooting generally impossible because of no deeper moisture storage or a restrictive layer) without $f, w, S$ or $p$ factors. $S c$ - Scabland (solodized-solonetz with B horizon exposed in spots on not less than $20 \%$ of the area) is $X$ depth, $H$ - $S$ texture. 3-4 upper and 1-3 lower permeability, p $4-p 5$; SS - Savannah Site (originally had isolated trees) is $1-3$ depth, with $F$ to $C$ textures at margins of forest climates and soils; or with $r, v$, or ctextures in grassland climates 4 to 7 upper permeability with 1 to 4 lower permeability, TB = Thin Breaks is al surface on slopes over 15 percent; Gr - Gravel is 2 , 6 to 7 permeability; VS - Very Shallow is 5 depth, except shale site, (Usually has some joints in the base rock that develop deep soil pockets which are commonly marked by tall grass, shrubor tree growth); SU - Saline Upland is p4 - p5, S2 to S4, 1 - 2
 is better than shale but not as good as clay; Bl - Badlands is rough broken and intermingled with Class VIll land.

Part 11: Recommended Stocking Rates Based on Precipitation Belt, Site, and Range Condition in Percent. For Sands, Sandy Ordinary Upland, Clay and Savannah Sites use the values in line with the precipitation belt of the site. For Wet Lands double the values for the $25^{\prime \prime}-29^{\prime \prime}$ belt. For Lowland use values of next higher precipitation belt. For Saline Lowland go up $\frac{1}{2}$ precipitation belt except on $p 5$ areas go down one or more belts. For Shallow and Scabland sites go down $\frac{1}{2}$ precipitation belt. On Gravel, Very Shallow, Thin Breaks, and Saline Upland use values of next lower precipitation belt. On Shale or Badland go down two or more precipitation belts.

| Precipitation | Range Condition Percentages |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Belt | 100 | 75 | 50 | 25 |
| (Inches) | (Animal Unit Months Per Acre) |  |  |  |
| $30-34$ | 1.2 | .9 | .6 | .3 |
| $25-29$ | 1.0 | .75 | 5 | .25 |
| $20-24$ | .8 | .6 | .4 | .2 |
| $15-19$ | .6 | 45 | .3 | .15 |
| $10-14$ | .4 | .3 | 2 | .1 |
| $5-9$ | .2 | .15 | 1 | .05 |

The range soil categories are described with determinant Standard Symbols for Conservation Surveys of the S. C. S. published in 1951

## Appendix F

Table 20. - Comparison of Agriculture, Population, and Education, Labor Force and Housing of the Little Missouri River Basin in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming with the United States, 1950

|  | Value |  | Deviation of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Easic Economic Factors | Expressed | United | Mistle | Basinfrom |
| Bas | States | River Basin | United States |  |
| (percent) |  |  |  |  |

Agriculture:
Farms operated by tenants Farm-operator family level-of-living index 1/ Total sales all farm products: per commercial farm, dollars per farm, all farms, dollars

Population and Education:
Population, non-white
Age
School yrs. completed, persons 25 yrs.old and over Persons 7 to 13 yrs. old, enrolled in school
Persons 14 to 17 yrs.old, enrolled in school Persons 25 yrs. old and over who completed less than 5 grades Persons 25 yrs.old and over who completed high school or more

## Labor Force:

Employed in agriculture
Employed in manufacturing Males 14 yrs. and over
Females 14 yrs. and over

| Percent | 26.8 | 13.6 | -49.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Figure | 122.0 | 118.0 | -3.3 |
| Avg. | $5,954.0$ | $6,800.0$ | +14.2 |
| Avg. | $4,097.0$ | $6,423.0$ | +56.8 |


| Percent | 10.5 | 0.1 | -99.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Median | 30.2 | 27.7 | -8.3 |
| Median | 9.3 | 8.8 | -5.4 |
| Percent | 95.7 | 95.6 | - |
| Percent | 83.7 | 77.2 | -77.7 |

Percent $11.1 \quad 6.3 \quad-43.2$
Percent 34.3 27.4 -20.1

| Percent | 12.2 | 60.0 | +391.8 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 25.9 | 1.4 | -94.6 |
| Percent | 78.7 | 84.9 | +78.8 |
| Percent | 28.9 | 20.6 | -28.7 |
|  |  | (continued) |  |

Table 20. - Comparison of Agriculture, Population, and Education, Labor Force and Housing of the Little Missouri River Basin in Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wyoming with the United States, 1950 - Continued

|  | Value <br> Expressed <br> as | United <br> States | Little <br> Missouri <br> River Basin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Deviation of <br> Basin from <br> United States <br> (percent) |
| :---: |
| Basic Economic Factors |

1/"The four items on which the farm operator level-of-living indexes are based are the following:(1) percentage of farms with electricity; (2) percentage of farms with telephones; (3) percentage of farms with automobiles; and (4) average value of products sold or traded in the year preceeding the census per farm reporting(adjusted for changed in purchasing power of the farmers dollar)."

Data has been compiled from statistics for each county within the basin area. County figures have been reduced in proportion to the area of the county within the basin, from which the total figures in this table were derived. Data is reliable only on a proportionate county basis and not strictly on a definite locale-boundary basis within the basin area.

Compiled from Bureau of the Census, County and City Data Book, 1952, U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C., 1953.

Table 21.- Farm characteristics and income, livestock numbers and sales, and harvested area of nine crops in the five principal counties of the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana and North Dakota, 1944-1954


Table 21. - Farm characteristics and incorne, livestock numbers and sales, and harvested area of nine crops in the five principal counties of the Little Missouri River Basin, Montana and North Dakota, 1944-1954-Continued


Compiled from 1954, 1950 and 1945 Census of Agriculture, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D. C 1956, 1952 and 1947. Part within the basin area, total and averages have been computed by the Bureas of Land Management.

Estimates and computations made by Tom I. Dudley, former District Manager, Bureau of Land Management and W. P. Shanahan, Appraiser, Federal Land Bank.

ALZADA WATERSPREADERS:

Cost
Original construction 1944 and 1946
760 acres receive benefits from waterspreading
Carrying capacity prior to construction
Carrying capacity, 1948
1948140 acres ripped
1948 Maintenance work and new construction
1950 Ripping \& reseeding 60 acres
1950 Maintenance work and new construction
Total cost to date
1951900 acres receive benefits from waterspreading and ripping. Carrying capacity 564 AUM's or 47 animal units year long.
Total cost per acre
Total cost per animal unit of increased carrying capacity
120 AUM's 420 AUM's
\$3300 460 482

Compared to present purchase price of $\$ 250$ to $\$ 300$ per animal unit, land investment for partially improved land leaves a decided saving per animal unit by land development.

## KLINTWORTH WATERSPREADERS: <br> KLINTWORTH

Construction - $1948 \quad 195$ acres benefited by waterspreading and ripping.
Carrying capacity prior to construction 36 AUM's or 3 head year long.
Carrying capacity estimated July 18, 1951 - 180 AUM's or 15 head year long.
Original cost of construction, 1948
Ripping, seeding \& new construction of one dike(1951).
Total cost per acre Total cost
b land development.

238
$\$ 1220$
6.37

Total cost per animal unit of increased grazing capacity, $\$ 101.67$, compared to recent sales of partially improved land at $\$ 250$ to $\$ 300$ per head land investment.

## HALE WATERSPREADERS:

580 acres benefited by waterspreading.
Carrying capacity prior to construction - 120 AUM's or 10 head year long.
*Carrying capacity computed July 19, 1951-785 AUM's or 65 head year long.
Original cost of construction \$3087
Maintenance and new construction
Total cost $\quad \begin{array}{r}563 \\ \hline \$ 3650\end{array}$
Total cost per acre
6. 30

Total cost per animal unit of increased carrying capacity 66.37
*Based on actual use figures submitted by Mr. C. Kent Hale, the operator.
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[^0]:    Wibaux, Mont. $311 \quad 3,780 \quad 0 \quad 19,760 \quad 42,450 \quad 1948-49 \quad 1,360 \quad 1940-41 \quad 16$
     minor tributaries and a considerable number of diversions for irrigation and water spreading. USGS Water
     1956. Other Water Supply Papers for the area 1939-1956.

[^1]:    E $\frac{1}{4}$ ：

[^2]:    
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