$\qquad$

豸ิ
$x$
$=$

6
D. APPLETON\& CO'S PUBLICATIONS.

## efurational ©irnt-sbank

## I.-THE ANCIENT LANGUAGES.

## G民REF, LATIN, AND HEBRETY.

ARNOLD'S First and Second Latin Book and Practical Grammar. By Spencer. 12 mo ..... 75
First Latin Book. By Harkness. 12mo ..... 75
———Latin Prose Composition. By Spencer. 12mo ..... 1 CO
———Cornelius Nepos. With Notes by Johnson. 12 mo ..... 100
First Greek Book. By Spencer. New Edition, Rerised. ..... 75
Greek Prose Composition. By Spencer. New Rerised Edition. 12mo ..... 75
——Second Greek Prose Composition. By Spencer. 12mo ..... 75
Greek Reading Book. By Spencer. 12mo ..... 125
BOISE'S Exercises in Greek Prose Composition. 12 mo ..... กร
BEZA'S Latin Testament. 12mo ..... 62
CEESAR'S Commentaries. Notes by Spencer. 12mo ..... 00
CICERO. De Officiis, Notes by Thatcher. 12mo. ..... 90
Select Orations. Notes by Johnson. 12 mo ..... 100

- Tusculan Disputations. By Arnold. 12mo
KUHNER'S Elementary Greek Grammar. By Profs. Edwards and Taylor. 12 mo .KENDRICK'S Greek Ollendorff. 12mo.100
HORACE. With Notes, \&c., by Lincoln. 12mo ..... 125
HARKNESS'S New Latin Reader. 12mo
SALLUST. With Notes by Butler. 12mo
LIVY. With Notes, \&ce., by Lincoln. 12mo. Map ..... 100
TACITUS'S Histories. Notes by Tyler. 12mo ..... 125
——Germania and Agricola. Notes by do. 12 mo ..... 62
XENOPHON'S Memorabilia. Notes by Prof. Robbins. A New Edition. 12mo
GESENIUS'S Hebrew Grammar. Edited by Rodiger. Translated from the best
German Edition, by Conant. 8ro

A

SHORT AND COMPREHENSIVE

## GREEK GRAMMAR,

## WITH

MATERIALS FOR ORAL EXERCISES,

FOR

SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES.

By J. T. CHAMPLIN,
professor of greek and ̀ latin in watervile college.

NEW YORK:
D. APPLETON \& COMPANY, 200 BROADWAY.


Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1852, by
J. T. OHAMPLIN, in the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the District of Massachusetts.

## PREFACE.

A Greek Grammar, appearing at the present day, after the principles of the language have been so thoroughly investigated, would hardly be expected to contain much that is new, except in form and statement. The materials for this have been taken largely from Kühner's Larger Greek Grammar, translated by Jelf, and in some parts from Krüger's Greek Grammar, while the chapter on the verb, in the syntax, is little more than a condensed translation of Wunder's admirable treatise on that subject. With these materials I have woven in such others as I have collected in the course of several years' experience in teaching the language and editing Greek authors, and have endeavored to classify, reorganize, and vivify the whole.

The simple design with which the Grammar has been prepared is, to exhibit the central and essential facts and principles of the language in the clearest, most practical, and most summary form possible. For this purpose, all theories and complications of system belonging to general grammar have been
avoided, and only their results used. At the same time, while it has been intended to introduce all the fundamental principles of the language, these have not been followed out with that copiousness of detail and illustration which would be appropriate in a larger grammar. The rule which has been followed on this point is, to amplify and illustrate the principles as far as is necessary for their clear understanding, and no further. Also, certain details which lie on the borders between grammar and lexicography, and may quite as well be left to the latter, have been omitted. In short, the aim has been to meet all the real wants of the ordinary student of Greek, without embarrassing him or distracting his attention by what is unnecessary. And should it be felt that this end has, in any good degree, been attained, the book will fully meet the expectations of the author.

As Greek is not so much studied by the young as the Latin, and as but few aspire to so familiar an acquaintance with it as to be able to speak or even to write it, it has not been thought necessary to introduce any thing by way of exercises, except simple lists of words for oral practice. If any teacher should feel the need of more extended and systematic exercises, he will readily find them in any of the Greek exercise books, as those of Arnold, Boise, or Kendrick.
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## ETYMOLOGY．

## CHAPTER I．

ORTHOGRAPHY，OR LETTERS，SOUNDS，AND SYLLABLES．

> SECTION I.

LETTERS AND THEIR SOUNDS．
§ 1．Classification of the Letters．
1．The Greek language has as signs of its sounds the fol－ lowing twenty－four letters，called the Alphabet：－

| A，$a$ | ＂${ }^{\text {A }}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alpha | a $\quad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B，$\beta, 6$ | B $\bar{\tau} \mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ | Bêta | b |
| $\Gamma, \gamma$ | Га́ $\mu \mu{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Gamma | g （hard） |
| $\Delta$ ，$\delta$ |  | Delta | d |
| E，$\epsilon$ | ＊E $\downarrow$ ¢ $\lambda$ óv． | Epsilon | ě |
| Z，$\zeta$ | Z $\hat{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{a}$ | Zêta | z |
| H，$\eta$ | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H} \tau \alpha$ | Eta | è |
| －，s，$\theta$ | $\ominus \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ | Thêta | th |
| I，＇${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ＇İิтa | Iôta | i |
| K，$\kappa$ | Kátra | Kappa | k |
| $\Lambda, \lambda$ | 几á $\beta$ ß ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Lambda | 1 |
| M，$\mu$ | M $\hat{v}$ | Mu．${ }^{\text {a }}$ | m |
| $\mathrm{N}, \nu$ | N $\hat{v}$ | Nu ： | n |
| $\Xi, \xi$ | 気 | Xi | $x$ |
| O，o | ＂ 0 بıкрóv | Omikron | ¢̆ |
| II，$\pi$ ，ш | Пî | Pi | p |
| P，$\rho$ | ＇P⿳⺈⿴囗十大 | Rho | r |
| $\Sigma, \sigma, s$（final） | इi¢رa | Sigma | $s$ |
| T，$\tau$ | Tav̂ | Tau | t |
| $\mathbf{~}, ~ v$ |  | Upsilon | u |
| $\Phi, \phi$ | $\Phi \hat{\imath}$ | Phi | ph |
| $\mathrm{x}, \chi$ | X $\hat{\imath}$ | Chi | ch |
| $\Psi, \psi$ | $\Psi \stackrel{1}{ }$ | Psi | ps |
| $\Omega, \omega$ | ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ ，$\mu$＇$\gamma$＇ | Omega | $\bigcirc$ |

2．Of these letters，seven are vowels，viz．$a, \epsilon, \eta, \iota, \circ, v, \omega$ ， of which $\epsilon$ and $o$ are always short，$\eta$ and $\omega$ always long，and $a$ ，$l$ ，and $v$ are long in some syllables and short in others （called doubtful vowels）．The sign used to designate a short vowel is－，and a long one－－，while both these signs united $(\simeq)$ designate a doubtful vowel，which may be either short or long．

3．The remaining letters are consonants，and are divided into mutes，liquids，etc．，as in other languages．

Rem．1．The letters $\xi$ and $\psi$ are double consonants，the former being composed of $\kappa \sigma, \gamma \sigma$ ，or $\chi \sigma$ ，and the latter of $\beta \sigma, \pi \sigma$ ，or $\phi \sigma$ ． The letter $\zeta$ also stands for $\sigma \delta$ in some words（as，＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text {＇} \eta \text {＇} \\ & \text { a } \\ & \zeta \\ & \epsilon\end{aligned}$ ，instead of＇$A \theta \eta^{\prime} \nu a \sigma \delta \epsilon$ ），and is regarded by many as always equal（by transposi－ tion of $\sigma$ and $\delta$ ）to $\delta \sigma$ ．

4．The vowels $\iota$ and $v$ are often preceded by some one of the other vowels in the same syllable，and pronounced with it in one combined or blended sound．These combinations are called proper diphthongs，and are nine in number，ăt，$\check{\bar{a}} v$ ， $\epsilon \iota, \epsilon v, \eta v, o \iota, o v, \omega v$ ，and $v \iota$ mostly before another vowel．Be－ sides these combinations， $\bar{a}, \eta$ ，and $\omega$ are found with $\iota$ written under them（iota subscript）instead of after them；as，$\underset{\varphi}{\boldsymbol{q}, \eta, \varphi \text { ，}}$ which are called improper diphthongs．

5．The curves＇and＇，one of which is written over the first letter of every word，when that letter is a vowel or diphthong， or $\rho$（over $\rho$ also in the middle of a word，when doubled），are
 breathing（＇）corresponds to our $h$ ，and the smooth breathing （＇）simply indicates the particular kind of effort required to pronounce a vowel unpreceded by a consonant，as distin－ guished from that required to pronounce it when it is preceded by a consonant．

Rem．2．The breathings，as well as the accent（see $\oint 6$ ），are written over the second vowel of diphthongs；as，$\epsilon ⿱ ⺌ 兀 刂 к \eta \lambda o s ;$ but when both the vowels of an improper diphthong are written one after the other（as when they begin a word which commences with a capital）， they are placed over（or rather，as is the case with all initial capitals， over and a little before）the first letter；as，${ }^{\text {＂A }} \mathrm{A} \delta \eta \eta$ ．But when the
whole word is written in capitals, the breathings, as well as the accents and all other grammatical signs, are omitted altogether ; as, $\mathrm{A} \Delta \mathrm{H} \Sigma$.

Rem. 3. The letters $v$ and $\rho$ at the beginning of a word regularly take the rough breathing, and of two $\rho$ 's in the middle of a word, the first takes the smooth and the second the rough; as, $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho, \dot{\rho} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$, $\not{a} \neq \rho \dot{\rho} \eta \nu$. The kind of breathing used in other cases must be learned from observation.

Rem. 4. The rough breathing is much less used by the Æolic and Homeric dialects than by the Attic ; as, $\ddot{v}^{\mu} \mu \mu \epsilon s,{ }_{\eta} \in \dot{\prime} \iota o s$, instead of $\dot{v} \mu$ е́s, $\eta^{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$.

## § 2. Sounds of the Letters.

1. The sounds of most of the consonants are sufficiently indicated in the alphabetical table; but it should be stated in addition, that $\gamma$ has the sound of $n g$ in anger before $\kappa, \gamma, \chi, \xi$; as, ä $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda o s$ (ang-gelus) ; also, $\tau$ before $\iota$ is always hard, never having the sound of $s$ or $s h$; as, Гa入atia (not Galashia).

Rem. 1. The Greek historians of Rome expressed the Latin $Q u$ by Ko or $\mathrm{K} v$, and the Latin $V$ by $\mathrm{O} v$ or B .
2. The Greek vowels and diphthongs are generally pronounced by English and American scholars mostly after the analogy of the English language. But vc should be pronounced like whi ; as, viós (whios) ; and many scholars give $o v, \omega v$ the sound of ou in soup, rather than of ou in our. The improper diphthongs are generally pronounced as the simple letters.

Rem. 2. In translating proper names into the Latin or English, al becomes $a$, $\epsilon \iota$ becomes $\bar{i}$ or $\bar{e}$, o九 becomes $\propto$, ov (also o in the ending of words) becomes $u$, and $v$ becomes $y$; as, Фaîठoos, Phedrus; ^икєîov, Lycèum.

## SECTION II.

## changes of letters.

## § 3. Changes of the Vowels.

1. The vowels vary in the same words in the different dialects, especially in the Ionic and Doric dialects, the former of which delights in the softer, and the latter in the harsher
sounds. Thus a common variation between them is, the use of $a$, in many words, by the Doric, in place of $\epsilon, \eta, o$, or $\omega$, by the Ionic ; as, $\tau \rho a ́ \phi \omega$ for $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \omega, \pi \rho a ́ \tau o s$ for $\pi \rho \omega ̂ \not \tau o s, ~ e t c . ~$
2. The vowels are changed for the sake of euphony or harmony (chiefly in the inflection of words) by contracting two or more which immediately follow each other into one long vowel or diphthong. In this way $\eta, \iota, v$, and $\omega$ absorb a following vowel, and are simply made long in quantity when short before ; as, i $\chi \theta \dot{v} \in s=i \chi \theta \hat{v} ;-a, \epsilon$, and o form a diphthong with a following $\iota$, the $\iota$ being subscribed under $a$; as, $\gamma \dot{\eta} \rho a i ̈=\gamma \dot{\eta} p a ;-\epsilon$ before a long vowel or diphthong, and o before $\omega, a \iota$, ol, and $o v$, are dropped; as, $\phi_{\iota} \lambda_{\text {éoıs }}^{=} \phi_{i} \lambda o i ̂ s$. There are also some other contractions, less capable of being generalized into a rule.

Rem. 1. In some cases the principle of contraction in nouns and verbs varies, and sometimes even in different declensions of the noun; as, $\epsilon a=\bar{a}$ in the second declension, $=\eta$ (but $a$ when a vowel precedcs) in the third. Other particulars about contraction will be learned from the paradigms.
3. Vowels are frequently changed by crasis (the sign of which, called coronis, is that of the smooth breathing placed over the contracted syllable), or the contracting of ${ }^{\circ}$ two words into one, when a small and unimportant word, ending in a vowel (such as the article, neuter relative, каí, $\mathfrak{\omega}, \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}, ~ \tau о i$, etc.), is closely connected with a following word beginning with a vowel; as, ávíp from ó ảvíp, $\tau a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon \in s}^{\prime}$ from $\tau \grave{c}$ ả $\lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} s, \theta a ̈ \tau \in \rho a$ from
 scribed when it belongs to the second word, but not when it belongs to the first).

Rem. 2. As will be seen from the above examples, when the vowel of the second word has a smooth breathing, that is simply retained after contraction, and no additional sign of the crasis is added; and when the vowel of the second word has the rough breathing, the coronis takes its place on the contracted syllable, and a smooth mute $(\pi, \kappa, \tau)$ at the beginning of the first word becomes rough $(\phi, \chi, \theta)$.
4. A vowel is often cut off by elision (the sign of which is the apostrophe ') at the end of a word before another word.
beginning with a vowel; as, тov̂т’ $\notin \sigma \tau \iota \nu$. It occurs most frequently in the poets, and chiefly in separate words, but often also in compound words, where the apostrophe, however, is omitted; as, àvє $\lambda \theta \epsilon i v$ from àvá and $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$.

Rem. 3. Elision generally takes place, even in prose, at the end of all the prepositions, except $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ and $\pi \rho o ́$ and sometimes $\tilde{\varepsilon} \nu \in \kappa \alpha$; of many particles and adverbs; as, à $\lambda \lambda a ́, a \not a p a, ~ \mu a ́ \lambda a, ~ a n d ~ m a n y ~ o t h e r ~ a d-~$
 forms of the pronouns ending in $a, o, \epsilon$; of $\epsilon \sigma \tau i, \phi \eta \mu i, ~ o i ̂ \delta a, ~ o i ̀ \sigma \theta a$, and verbal forms in $\mu \iota, \sigma \iota, \iota, a, \epsilon, o$; as, ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ aưrós, $\phi \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \dot{\omega}^{\prime}$. In poetry it occurs in many other cases (occasionally even in a long vowel or diphthong), and in a few cases before a consonant; and sometimes, too, the first vowel of the last word is elided, instead of the last vowel of the first word (apheresis).
5. The changes by crasis and elision take place in order to prevent the difficulty in pronunciation arising from the concurrence of two vowels in separate words, which is also effected in certain cases by adding $\nu$ (before another word beginning with a vowel) to various endings : -
a) To the end of the dative plural and many adverbs in $\sigma \iota$.
b) To the third person singular and plural of verbs in $\sigma \iota$ (also $\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau_{i}^{\prime}$ ) ; and the third person singular in $\epsilon$.
c) To the Epic $\nu v$, $\kappa \kappa$ é, and suffix $\phi \iota$.
d) Often also to $\epsilon^{i \prime k} \sigma \sigma \iota$, the demonstrative ending $i$, and $s$ to oṽ $\tau \omega$, ${ }^{\text {ä }} \chi \rho \iota, \mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota$ (or more strictly $s$ is dropped in these words before a consonant).
e) Also $\kappa$ to o ov, and sto $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa$; of which the latter becomes $\hat{\epsilon} \xi(=\dot{\epsilon} \kappa-s$ ) before a vowel and at the end of a sentence, and the former ouv before a vowel (oux when the following vowel has the rough breathing), and ov (having an accent) at the end of a sentence, and in the meaning no.

Rem. 4. The variable $\nu$, also, is regularly added to the above endings before periods and the principal punctuation-marks, and in poetry, sometimes even before a consonant in the following word, for the sake of making a short syllable long.

## § 4. Changes of Consonants in Inflection and Derivation.

1. The mutes before a mute, viz. $\pi, \beta, \phi$ (pi-mutes), and $\kappa$, $\gamma, \chi$ (kappa-mutes), before $\tau, \delta, \theta$ (tau-mutes), are changed in each case to the pi- or kappa-mute which corresponds (in the order in which they are here introduced) to the tau-mute
before which they are to come. So that when $\beta, \phi, \gamma, \chi$ would regularly come before $\tau$, the first two become $\pi$, and the last two $\kappa$; and, in like manner, $\pi, \phi$ and $\kappa, \chi$ become, respectively, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ before $\delta$, and $\pi, \beta$ and $\kappa, \gamma$ become



Rem. 1. The first mute in each of the above classes is called (in reference to the degree of aspiration with which they are pronounced) a smooth mute, the second a medial or middle mute, and the last a rough mute. And those which can stand before each other, as described above, are called cognate mutes.
2. The mutes $\pi, \kappa, \tau$ (smooth mutes) are changed respectively into $\phi, \chi, \theta$ (rough mutes), also, before a rough breathing, both in inflection and derivation, and in separate words; but $\beta, \gamma, \delta$ (middle mutes) are changed thus only in the inflection of the verb; in other cases they remain unchanged;
 (for $\left.\epsilon^{\imath \imath} \lambda o \gamma-\dot{d}\right)$.
3. A tau-mute $(\tau, \delta, \theta)$ before $\mu$ or another tau-mute is changed into $\sigma$, but before $\sigma$ is dropped; as, $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu a \iota$ (instead

4. A pi-mute $(\pi, \beta, \phi)$ before $\mu$ is changed into $\mu$, and before,$\sigma$ forms with that letter the double consonant $\psi$; as, $\tau \in ́ \tau \rho \iota \mu \mu a \iota$ (instead of $\tau \in ́ \tau \rho \iota \beta-\mu a \iota$ ), $\lambda \epsilon i \psi \omega$ (for $\lambda \epsilon i \pi-\sigma \omega$ ).
5. A kappa-mute ( $\kappa, \gamma, \chi$ ) before $\mu$ becomes $\gamma$, and before $\sigma$ (except in the preposition ${ }_{\epsilon} \kappa$ ) forms with that letter the double consonant $\xi$; as, $\beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \beta \rho \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota$ (for $\beta^{\prime} \epsilon \beta \rho \in-\mu a \iota$ ), $\beta \rho^{\prime} \xi \dot{\xi} \omega$ (for $\beta \rho^{\epsilon} \chi$ - $\sigma \omega$ ).
6. The liquid $\nu$ is changed into $\mu$ before a pi-mute ( $\pi, \beta$, $\phi, \psi)$, into $\gamma$ before a kappa-mute ( $\kappa, \gamma, \chi, \xi)$, and before another liquid ( $\lambda, \mu, \rho$ ) into the same liquid; but $\nu$ remains unchanged before a tau-mute ( $\tau, \delta, \theta$ ) and in enclitics; as, ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a$ (for ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu-\pi \epsilon \iota \rho i a$ ), ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ (for ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu-\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ ), $\sigma v \gamma к а \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ (for


REM. 2. In like manner $\nu$ in $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ is changed into $\sigma$ before $\sigma$ followed by a vowel. But $\nu$ in the preposition $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\nu} \nu$ is not generally changed into $\rho$ before that liquid; as, èv $\nu i \pi \tau \omega$.
7. The liquid $\nu$ (except in a very few cases, mostly in $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\pi a \lambda i \nu)$ is dropped before $\sigma$ and $\zeta$; and $\nu \tau, \nu \delta, \nu \theta$ are not only dropped before $\sigma$, but the preceding short vowel is lengthened, viz. $\epsilon$ into $\epsilon \iota$, o into $o v$, and short $a, \imath$, and $v$ become long; as, $\sigma v 乞 \nu \gamma_{i}^{i a}$ (instead of $\sigma v \nu \zeta \nu \gamma i a$ ), $\sigma v ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ (for $\sigma v ́ v \sigma \tau \eta \mu a$ );
 $\phi \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \sigma \iota)$.
8. When in an uncompounded word two successive syllables would regularly begin with a rough mute, the first (or the second, when the syllables belong to the inflectional ending of a verb) becomes smooth; as, $\pi \epsilon \phi i \lambda \eta \kappa a$ (instead of $\phi \epsilon \phi i \lambda \eta \kappa a$ ),
 $\tau \dot{\prime} \phi \theta_{\eta} \theta_{l}$ ).

Rem. 3. As, too, $\dot{\rho}$ is an aspirate, this letter is not repeated at the commencement of two successive syllables in reduplication, but is simply doubled and $\epsilon$ placed before it; as, ${ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \rho \dot{\rho} \dot{\eta} \neq a$ (instead of $\dot{\rho} \in \tilde{́} \eta \kappa a)$.
9. When in inflection or derivation a rough mute, at the end of a word which commences with $\tau$, is changed so as to cease to be such, the loss is compensated by changing the preceding $\tau$ to $\theta$; as, $\theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \notin \omega$ (from $\tau \rho^{\prime} \phi \omega, \phi$ having become $\psi$ by inflection), $\theta a ́ \psi \omega$ (from тАФ $\Omega$ ), $\theta \rho i \xi$ (gen. $\tau \rho \iota \chi^{\prime} s$ ). And on a like principle, ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \omega\left(\right.$ (with a rough breathing) from ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega$.

Rem. 4. The $\tau$ is changed thus, also, before the passive endings of the verbs $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \phi \omega$, ТАФ $\Omega$, TPYФ $\Omega$, which begin with $\theta$; as, ${ }^{\prime} \theta \rho \in ́ \phi-$ $\theta \eta \nu, \theta \rho \epsilon \phi-\theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma о \mu a \iota$.

## SECTION III.

## SYLLABLES.*

## § 5. Quantity of Syllables.

1. Syllables are said to be long or short according to the length of time required for their pronunciation.

[^0]2. The length of a syllable depends either upon the natural properties of its vowel, or upon its position with reference to the consonants which follow.
3. A syllable is short by nature when its vowel is $\epsilon$ or o ,
 when its vowel is $\eta, \omega$, a contracted vowel or a diphthong, or
 ${ }_{\kappa}^{\kappa} \rho^{2} \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$.

Rem. 1. In Homer, a long vowel or diphthong at the end of a word, and not receiving the poetic accent (i. e. when it is not in the arsis), is made short when the next word begins with a vowel.
4. But a syllable with a short vowel becomes long by posi--tion when two or more consonants or a double consonant ( $\zeta, \xi$, and $\psi$ ) immediately follow it.

Rem. 2. But a short vowel is not generally long by position (except in Homer) before a mute followed by a diquid (as, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{a} k \mu \eta$, $\beta \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \tau \rho v s)$, except it be in composition, or when $\beta, \gamma$, or $\delta$ is followed


Rem. 3. In final syllables, in Homer, a short vowel is made long by position when it stands before one consonant in its own word, and one or more at the beginning of the following word, and generally when both consonants (except they are a mute followed by a liquid), or a double consonant, stand at the beginning of the following word.

## § 6. Accent* of Syllables.

1. There are three accents in Greek, the acute ('), the grave ('), and the circumflex ( ${ }^{\circ}$ or ${ }^{\wedge}$ ). The acute marks a sharp and rising tone, the grave a depressed or falling tone, and the circumflex a rising, ending in a falling tone (it being composed of an acute followed by a grave accent) ; as, $\tau \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega$,

tion," says Sophocles (Gram.), "is not based upon any linguistic principle. According to Sextus it is foolish talk. In ancient inscriptions a word is divided where the line ends."

* Those who reject or disregard the written accent usually follow the Latin rule, viz. to accent the penult in dissyllables, and in polysyllables the penult if long, but otherwise the antepenult.

2. The acute may stand upon either of the last three syllables of a word; the circumflex, upon either of the last two; but the grave accent is never found except on the last syllable, and then it is merely a depressed or softened acute. Hence the grave accent, as such, is barely imaginary, it being assumed by the ancient grammarians as understood upon all syllables which have no written accent.
3. Words having the acute accent on the last syllable (ultimate) are called oxytones (as, кaкós), those having the circumflex, perispomena (as какөิs), and those having no accent at all on this syllable, barytones (as, $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a, \tau \dot{u} \pi \tau \omega$ ).
4. Words having the acute accent on the last syllable but. one (penult) are called paroxytones (as, $\tau \dot{\pi} \pi \tau)$, and those having the circumflex on this syllable, properispomena (as, $\phi \iota^{-}$ $\lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ) ; while those having the acute on the last syllable but two (antepenult) are called proparoxytones ; as, äv $\nu \rho \omega \pi{ }^{2}$.
5. The acute may be placed indifferently on long or short syllables; the circumflex, only on syllables long by nature; as, $\kappa a \lambda o^{\prime}, ~ a ̉ v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma v, \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a, \pi a ̂ s$.
6. No accent but the acute can ever stand on the antepenult, and this only when the ultimate is short both by nature and position ; as, äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi o s$ (but $\dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \pi \nu$ ).
7. No accent but the acute can stand on the penult when the ultimate is long by nature ; as, $\tau \in \dot{\epsilon} \chi \eta$ (but $\tau \in i ̂ \chi o s)$.
8. No accent but the circumflex can stand on a penult long by nature, when the ultimate is short by nature, or long only by position ; as, $\tau \epsilon \bar{\imath} \chi o s, \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, $a v ̉ \lambda a \xi$.
Rem. 1. The above rules, as will be seen, are rather rules of limitation as to the kind of accent on particular syllables, than rules for the actual place of the accent on individual words. The place of the accent on words in their unchanged form must be learned from the Lexicon, and then these, and other rules which will be given in connection with the paradigms, will be a guide for the change of accent growing out of a change of form.
9. An oxytone (except tis, $\tau_{i}^{\prime}$, who? what ?) before another word, without the intervention of some punctuation-mark, has
its accent depressed and written as grave; as, ó $\mu \in ̀ \nu$ K̂̂pos

10. The endings $a \iota$ and oc (except in the third pers. sing. opt. act., and in the adverb oikoo) are treated as short, as far as the rules of accent are concerned; as, тúmrєтal, $\chi^{\hat{\omega} \rho o \iota, ~}$ є̈ккалає.
11. The Attic endings $\omega s$ and $\omega \nu$, also, of the second and third declensions, where $\epsilon$ precedes either immediately or with but a liquid between, and the Ionic $\epsilon \omega$, allow an acute on the antepenult, since the $\epsilon$ was generally clipped or omitted in pronunciation (synizesis) ; as, à $\nu \dot{\omega} \gamma \epsilon \omega \nu$, фı $\lambda_{0}{ }^{\gamma} \epsilon \lambda \omega s, \pi$ ó $\epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu, \delta \epsilon-$

12. As a general rule, the accent remains on the accented syllable of the nominative of a noun or adjective, unless changed according to the above general rules; but on verbal forms it is generally as near the beginning of the word as allowable by the above rules.

Rem. 2. As to the place of the accent relative to other grammatical signs, the acute is written after the breathing and between the two points of the diæresis ("), while the circumflex is written above,


## § 7. Changes of Accent on Syllables.

The accent is often changed, in accordance with the preceding rules, by inflection, derivation, contraction, elision, etc.

1. When the accent remains on the same syllable, it is often changed in kind according to the preceding rules, viz. the circumflex into the acute, and the reverse, as the quantity or character of the syllables is changed; as, калós калои,

2. The accent is drawn towards the beginning of a word, when it is increased by the addition of a syllable at the beginning, or when, by some change, the reason for retaining the accent on the penult is removed; as, тúntш - т́́тифа, ßov$\lambda \epsilon v^{\prime} \omega$ - $\beta \circ$ vin $\lambda \cup \epsilon$.
3. The accent is drawn towards the end of the word, when
the ultimate becomes long, or when the addition of final syllables makes it necessary to remove the accent nearer to the

4. In derivation and composition, most compound nouns and adjectives, and all compound verbs, draw the accent as near to the beginning of the word as the laws of accentuation permit; as, $\phi \iota \lambda o ́ \theta \in o s$ (from $\theta \in o ́ s), ~ \grave{a} \pi o ́ \phi \varepsilon v \gamma \epsilon(f r o m ~ \phi \in \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega)$ ).
5. The accent of a word is not disturbed by contraction, when neither of the contracted syllables is accented; but when either of the two contracted syllables has the accent, if these be the penult and antepenult, the accent of the contracted syllable (also, a syllable formed by crasis) depends upon the quantity of the ultimate, according to the general rules (as, $\dot{v} \lambda \dot{\eta} \epsilon \sigma \sigma a$ - $\mathfrak{v} \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma a, \phi i \lambda \epsilon o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s-\phi \iota \lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, \tau a ̀ ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda a-\tau a ̂ \lambda \lambda a)$; if they be the ultimate and penult, the contracted syllable (the ultimate) has the circumflex, when the first had the acute, and the


Rem. 1. In crasis the accent of the first word is lost, and either that of the second remains, or it is changed as above.
6. When the accented vowel of a word is cut off by elision, the accent is thrown back, as an acute, upon the preceding syllable, except in monosyllables, prepositions, and the parti-
 it wholly disappears; as, $\phi^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ é $^{\prime} \omega$ (from $\phi \eta \mu i{ }^{\prime}$ ), $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v}$ (from $\pi a \rho a ́)$.
7. Most dissyllabic prepositions, when placed after their word, or used as the abridged forms with $\epsilon i \mu i$, have their accent drawn from the last to the first syllable, which is called anastrophe, and takes place chiefly in poetry ; as, 'I $\theta$ áк ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ ка́та
 $\mu_{\epsilon ́ \tau a}^{\prime}$ (for $\left.\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota\right)$.

## § 8. Unaccented Words, or Proclitics and Enclitics.

1. There are a few small words which are so closely connected with the following word as to lose their accent. Such
words are called proclitics or atonics，and are the follow－
 （prepositionsै）；oủ（oủk，oủ火），$\omega s(a s), \epsilon i$.

Rem．1．But $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{s}$ have an accent when placed after their
 où，also，is accented at the end of a sentence；as，$\pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma$ à $\rho$ oű；

2．Enclitics，on the contrary，are small words so dependent on the word which precedes them as either to throw back their accent upon that word，or，if the accentuation of the word will not allow that，lose it altogether．They are the following：－
a）The pres．indic．of $\epsilon i \mu i$ and $\phi \eta \mu i$（except the sec．pers．$\epsilon i$ and $\phi{ }^{n} \mathrm{n}$ ）．
b）The forms of the pronouns $\mu 0 \hat{v}, \mu \circ i, \mu \epsilon ́,-\sigma o \hat{v}, \sigma \circ i, \sigma \epsilon \in,-o v ̃$ ， $o i, \tilde{\epsilon}, \nu i \nu,-\sigma \phi \omega i v, \sigma \phi i \sigma t$ ，and the Ionic forms $\sigma \phi \omega \epsilon \in, \sigma \phi^{\prime} \omega \nu, \sigma \phi^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} a$ ．
c）The indefinites $\tau i s, ~ \tau i$（in all cases，including the abridged forms
 words take an accent as interrogatives）．
d）The particles $\tau \epsilon \in, \tau \circ \dot{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon, \nu v \nu, \pi \epsilon \rho, \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ ，and the inseparable $\delta \epsilon \in$ ．
Rem．2．Many of these enclitic particles unite with other small


## § 9．Rules for the Inclination of the Accent．

An enclitic being viewed as a suffix to a word，and hence a part of it，the following rules for the loss or throwing back of its accent will be seen to follow from the general laws of accent already given．

1．An oxytone before an enclitic naturally resumes the acute form of its accent，which had been depressed before， since it no longer stands at the end of the word ；as，$\theta \dot{\eta} \rho \tau$ （instead of $\theta \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \tau i s)$ ，ка入ós $\epsilon$＇́ $\tau \tau$, ，etc．

2．A perispomenon unites with an enclitic without any change of accent，as though the added enclitic were always a short monosyllable（which，however，it is not always）；as， $\phi \hat{\omega} s \tau$（for $\phi \hat{\omega} s \tau i)$ ；ка入о̂̂ $\tau \iota \nu \circ s$, etc．

3．A paroxytone unites with a following monosyllabic en－ clitic without any change of accent（as though the added
syllable were always short), but before a dissyllabic erclitic word there is no union, and consequently the enclitic retains

4. A proparoxytone or properispomenon (except such as end in $\xi$ or $\psi$ ) unites with the following enclitic, so as to receive from the enclitic an additional acute accent on the last sylla-
 $\sigma \hat{\mu} \mu a$ é $\sigma \tau i)$; but $a v ̉ \lambda a \xi \in \tau \nu \nu o ́ s$.

Rem. 1. The forms of the demonstrative pronouns which take the enclitic suffix $\delta \epsilon$, and most of the adverbial forms ending in o, which have the enclitic suffixes $\theta \epsilon \nu(\theta \epsilon), \sigma \epsilon, \theta_{i}$, take the accent (which before the last class of suffixes is always acute) on the last
 oùpavó $\theta \in \nu$. In cases where the root does not end in o, the accent of the root is retained in the forms with $\theta \epsilon \nu, \sigma \epsilon, \theta_{\iota}$.
5. When several enclitics follow each other, they are to be regarded as so many syllables added to the last accented word, and those preceding receive the accent of those following, according to the preceding rules of inclination; as, ка入ós $\gamma \in \tau i s$ (proparox.), калоí $\gamma \in \epsilon i \mid \sigma i \quad$ (proparox. and oxyt.), калоí $\gamma \epsilon$ $\tau \iota \mid \nu \in ́ s$ ciol (two proparox.), etc.
6. But enclitics often retain their accent, especially when they stand at the beginning of a sentence or clause, or are in


b) $\sigma o v, \sigma o i, ~ \sigma \epsilon \in$ after кaí, an accented preposition, and in contrasts ;

c) ov̂, oi, $\tilde{\epsilon}$, only when they have a reflexive sense.
d) Enclitics are also accented when the syllable on which the accent would be thrown back is cut off by elision; as, кa入òs $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau i \nu$,


Note. As to punctuation-marks, the period, exclamation point (as far as used), and comma are the same in Greek as in English; but the interrogation point is the same as the English semicolon (;), while the place of our colon and semicolon is supplied by a dot, like the period, placed at the top of the line $(\cdot)$.

## CHAPTER II.

## DECLENSION.

## § 10. Introduction.

1. The parts of speech susceptible of declension in Greek are the article, the noun, the adjective, and the pronoun. The verb, also, is inflected, but this is called conjugation, and will be treated of in a subsequent chapter.
2. The Greek has three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural; and five cases, the nominative, the genitive, the dative, the accusative, and the vocative. The dual denotes two, and is but little used. The cases denote the same as in Latin, except that the place of the Latin ablative is supplied partly by the genitive, but chiefly by the dative, which, therefore, means not only to, for, but by, with, at, etc.

Rem. 1. The dual has but two endings, one for the nom., acc., and voc., and one for the gen. and dat. Neuter nouns have the nom., acc., and voc. alike in each number.
3. The gender of nouns in Greek, which, as far as it depends upon the meaning, is determined by substantially the same general conceptions of gender as in the Latin, is threefold, masculine, feminine, and neuter.
4. The gender of the noun is indicated in Greek by the article, which corresponds nearly to our definite article, and invariably accompanies the noun in certain relations. The article is thus declined:-

Singulur.
Masc. Fem. Neut.
Nom. $\dot{\delta} \quad \dot{\eta}$ tó, the Gen. той $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ тov̂, of the
Dat. $\tau \hat{̣}$ т $\uparrow \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi}, t_{0}(b y)$ the Acc. тóv $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ тó, the

Plural.
Masc. Fem. Neut.
oi ai tá, the
$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, of the
roîs raîs roîs, to (by) the tov̀s tás tá, the

## Dual.

Masc. Fem. Neut.
Nom., Acc., and Voc. $\tau \dot{\omega} \tau \dot{\alpha} \quad \tau \dot{\omega}$, the two Gen. and Dat. toì taiv roiv, of, to (by) the two,

Rem. 2. The interjection $\bar{\omega}$ stands in place of the article with the vocative. The feminine forms of the article in the dual, as indeed of adjectives, are rarely found, the masculine being commonly used in this number with feminine nouns.
5. There are three declensions of nouns in Greek, distinguished as the first, second, and third declensions.

## SECTION I.

## first declension.

§ 11. Classification and Endings of Nouns of the First Declension.

1. Nouns of the first declension end in $\ddot{a}(\bar{a}), \eta, \bar{a} s$, and $\eta s$, of which those in $a$ and $\eta$ are feminine, and those in as and $\eta s$ masculine.
2. The case-endings are : -

Singular.
Nom. ${ }_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \bar{a} \quad \eta$ ās $\eta s$
Gen. $\eta s$ ās $\eta s$ ov (old $a o, \epsilon \omega$, Dor. à)

 Voc. $\begin{array}{llllll}a & \bar{a} & \eta & \bar{a} & \eta, \breve{a}\end{array}$

Plural.
Dual.

$$
a \iota \quad \bar{a}
$$

$\hat{\omega} \nu$ (old ${ }^{\alpha} \omega \nu,{ }^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, Dor. $\hat{a} \nu$ ) $a \iota \nu$ aıs (old aı $\sigma \iota, \eta \sigma \iota, \eta s$ ) a $\quad a$
$\bar{a} s$ (※ol. aıs) $\bar{a}$
$a \iota \quad a \quad a$
3. Feminine nouns (and adjectives) of the first declension are of four classes : -
a) Where $a$ is preceded by $\rho, \epsilon$, or 6 (a pure), in which (and a few others) the $a$ is retained throughout the singular.
$b$ ) Other nouns in $a$, which in the gen. and dat. change $a$ into $\eta$.
c) Nouns in $\eta$, which retain the $\eta$ throughout the singular.
d) Certain nouns in a (also a few masc. in as) preceded by $a$ or $\in$ (also, the adj. form ó $\eta$ ), which are contracted, respectively, into $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{\eta}$ ( $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\eta} s$ ), and the circumflex retained in all the cases in all numbers ; as, $\mu \nu \dot{a} a, \mu \nu \hat{a}, \mu \nu \hat{a}$.
4. The gen. sing. of masc. nouns of this declension ends in ov in the Attic dialect, except in a few cases where this dialect uses the Doric gen. in $\bar{a}$ and the Ionic in $\epsilon \omega$; as, ob $\rho \nu \iota \theta_{0} \theta_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \rho \bar{a}$ (of a fowler) ; K $a \mu \beta \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega$.
5. The vocative of nouns in $\eta s$ has $a$ in the following cases:-
a) When preceded by $\tau(\tau \eta s)$.
b) When derived from a noun and a verb; as, $\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \in \tau \rho \eta s$ $\gamma \in \omega \mu$ '́т $\rho a$.
c) All national denominations, and a few proper names;

§ 12. Paradigms of the First Declension.
Singular.
victory. shadow. muse. mina. judge. steward. Nom. víkך бкıá $\mu \circ \hat{v} \sigma a \quad \mu \nu(a ́ a) \hat{a}$ крıтŋ́s tapias Gen. víkךs $\sigma \kappa \iota a ̂ s ~ \mu о v ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \mu \nu a ̂ s ~ к \rho ı т о и ̂ ~ \tau а \mu i o v ~$ Dat. $\nu i ́ \kappa \eta \quad \sigma \kappa ı a ̣ ̂ ~ \mu о v ́ \sigma \eta ~ \mu \nu a ̣ ̂ ~ к р ı \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \mu i a ̣ a ~$ Acc. $\nu i ́ \kappa \eta \nu \quad \sigma \kappa \iota a ́ \nu ~ \mu о \hat{v} \sigma a \nu \quad \mu \nu a ̂ \nu \quad к \rho \iota \tau \eta \nu_{\nu}^{\tau} \tau \mu i a \nu$ Voc. víкך $\sigma \kappa \iota a ́ \quad \mu \hat{v} \sigma a \quad \mu \nu \hat{a} \quad \kappa \rho \iota \tau a ́ \quad \tau а \mu i a$

Plural.
 Gen. $\nu \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu \quad \sigma \kappa \iota \omega ิ \nu \mu о v \sigma \omega \hat{\nu} \mu \nu \omega ิ \nu \quad \kappa \rho \iota \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ Dat. vikaıs бкıais $\mu$ ớбаıs $\mu \nu a i ̂ s ~ к р ı \tau а i ̂ s ~ т а \mu i a ı s ~$ Acc. víkas $\sigma \kappa$ ás $\mu$ ov́бas $\mu \nu a ̂ s ~ к \rho ı \tau a ́ s ~ t a \mu i a s ~$


## Dual.



Rem. 1. The gen. plur. of nearly all nouns of this declension, and the gen. and dat. of oxytones in all numbers, both of this and the sec-
ond declension, have the circumflex accent on the last syllable; but the feminine forms of adjectives and participles in os, $\eta(\bar{a})$, ov, as they have the same accent throughout as the masculine, as far as the laws of accent will admit, so they do in the gen. plur.

Rem. 2. In nearly all other cases, the accent of the nom. remains, unless it be changed by the general laws of accentuation.

Rem. 3. Without the article ( $\delta, \dot{\eta}$, tó) the noun corresponds to the English noun both with and without $a$, and with it, to our noun with the.

EXAMPLES FOR PRACTICE.
$\psi v \chi \eta$, soul. $\phi \omega \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ voice.
ко́л $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, hair. $\kappa \omega ́ \mu \eta$, village. $\pi \check{\lambda} \lambda \eta$, gate. $\lambda \tilde{u} \pi \eta$, grief. $\gamma \hat{\eta}$, earth.

रa $\lambda \hat{\eta}$, weasel.
бтоа́, porch.
$\pi \lambda \in v \rho a ́$, side.
סóga, opinion.
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ 'ia, kingdom.

- $\mu \mathbf{i} p a$, fate.
$\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota a$, queen.
$\gamma^{\prime} \phi \quad \phi \rho a, b r i d g e$. oikia, house. по入írךs, citizen. $\pi \rho o \delta o ́ r \eta s$, traitor. $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu i ̄ \tau \eta s$, artist. $\nu \in a \nu \grave{L} a s$, young man. ${ }^{`} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu(\epsilon \in a) \hat{\eta} s$, Mercury.

Note. Let the pupil be required not only to decline these words according to the paradigms, and write them out with their proper accent, but also to give the English or Greek for the separate cases, as asked by the teacher. The teacher, in this way, may construct brief oral exercises which will be of the most useful character to the pupil. Thus: What is the meaning of $\phi \omega \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} s, \pi \dot{u} \lambda \eta, \pi \rho o \delta o ́ r a$, etc.? Or, What is the Greek for to the side, by fate, O bridge, of the citizens, etc.? Let all the lists of examples be treated in this way; and let it be understood by the pupil that he must be prepared to give the answer to all such questions as may be proposed upon them.

## SECTION II.

## SECOND DECLENSION.

§ 13. Classification and Endings of Nouns of the Second Declension.

1. Greek nouns of the second declension end in os and ov, the former masculine, feminine, or common, the latter neuter.
2. The case-endings are:-

Singular.
Plural.
Dual.
Nom. os ov
oc
Gen. ov (Ep. оьo, $\omega 0, \omega \nu$ Dor. $\omega, \omega s$ )
Dat. $\omega$
Acc. $\quad{ }^{2}$
Voc. $\epsilon$ o
ous (old oovı)
ous (Eol. ots, Dor. . ws)
ou
ou oc
oı (Ep. où)
$\omega$
ouv (Ep. ouv)
$\omega$
$\omega$
3. Some nouns (and adjectives) of this declension take $\omega$ throughout in place of the vowels and diphthongs of the above endings, and subscribe $\iota$ where it belongs to the ending. This mode of declining is called the Attic second declension, and always has the voc. like the nom.

Rem. A few nouns of this class drop $\nu$ in the acc. sing. ; as," $A \theta \omega$ $\mathrm{A} \theta \omega, \lambda a \gamma \dot{\omega} s-\lambda a \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ or $\lambda a \gamma \dot{\omega}, \tilde{\epsilon}^{\pi} \omega s-\tilde{\epsilon} \omega$, morning; others vary between this and the third declension, having cases according to each; as, $M i \nu \omega s, \gamma \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \omega s, a ̃ \lambda \omega s$; also, ' $A \pi o \partial \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ and $\Pi \circ \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the acc.
4. Nouns (and adjectives) in cos, oos, $\epsilon \circ \nu$, oov are contracted ; as, ỏ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \nu-$ ỏ $\sigma \tau o \hat{\nu}$.

## § 14. Paradigms of Nouns of the Second Declension.

Singular.


Singular．
sailing．
Nom．$\pi \lambda$ óos $\pi \lambda$ oûs
Gen．$\pi \lambda$ óov $\pi \lambda o \hat{v}$

Dat．$\quad \pi \lambda o ́ \omega \quad \pi \lambda \hat{\varphi}$
Acc．$\pi \lambda$ óov $\pi \lambda o u ̂ \nu$
Voc．$\pi \lambda \hat{o}^{\prime} \quad \pi \lambda o \hat{v}$
Plural．

| Nom． | $\pi \lambda$ о́óı | $\pi \lambda 0 \hat{\imath}$ | ỏбтє́a | ỏ $\sigma \tau \bar{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen． | $\pi \lambda$ ó ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ | ò $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ | ȯ $\sigma \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ |
| Dat． | $\pi \lambda$ óoıs | $\pi \lambda$ ois | ȯ $\boldsymbol{\text { ctéous }}$ | ȯбтoîs |
| Acc． | $\pi \lambda$ óous | $\pi \lambda$ oûs | ȯбтヒ́a | ỏ $\sigma \tau$ â |
| Voc． | $\pi \lambda$ óo七 | $\pi \lambda 0 \hat{\imath}$ | ȯбтє́a | ỏбт |

Dual．

| N．A．V．$\pi \lambda$ ó $\omega$ | $\pi \lambda \dot{\omega}$ ． | ỏбт＇́ $\omega$ | ỏбтஸ́ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G．\＆D．$\pi \lambda$ óoı $\nu$ | $\pi$ 入oîข | öбтéoı |  |

Rem．1．The voc．sing．is sometimes like the nom．；as，$\widehat{\omega} \theta$ єós， © фínos．

Rem．2．For examples of adjectives of the Attic declension，ans of contract adjectives，see under the adjectives．

Rem．3．The masc．and neut．of adjectives and participles in os $\eta$ ，ov are declined like the above nouns with these endings．

Rem．4．For the accent，see the general rules and § 11, R． 1. But the gen．sing．of oxytones in $\dot{\omega}$ retains this accent，contrary to the general usage in this declension；the accent of $\ddot{\mu} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \phi \epsilon$ ，also，from ảo $\epsilon \lambda \phi$ ós，is irregular．

EXAMPLES FOR PRACTICE． ронós，pasture． $\nu o ́ \mu о$ ，law．$\quad \lambda a \gamma \omega ́ s$, hare．
voûs，mind． єủpos，breadth． тav̂pos，bull． oikos，house．

тотанós，river．кìдòvos，danger．фáp $\mu а к о \nu$, medicine．
$\lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega}$ s，people．
póóov，rose． ${ }^{a} \nu \tau \rho o \nu$, cave． $\delta \omega \hat{\omega} \circ \nu$, gift． iцáтьov，garment．a à $\theta \rho \dot{\rho} \pi \iota \nu o s, \eta$, ov，human

## SECTION III. <br> THIRD DECLENSION.

## § 15. Classification and Endings of Nouns of the Third

 Declension.1. Nouns of the third declension have various endings in the nom., but these are not generally the true endings of the root or stem of the word, $s$ being often added in the nom., and the preceding consonant dropped or changed according to the laws of euphony, or $\tau, k \tau$ being rejected from the root or changed into $s$ or $\rho$, or the final vowel being changed. The true stem may generally be found in the gen. by rejecting the ending oos.

Rem. 1. For the changes of consonants before $s$, and other euphonic changes of consonants in declension, see $\S 4$.
2. The following are the endings of the different cases:-

Singular. Plural. Dual.
N. - or $s$
G. os, $\omega s$
D. $\check{\iota}$
A. $\nu, a$
V. generally like nom. es
3. The gen. sing. of nouns in evs, and of most in ǐs, üs (short), ends in $\epsilon \omega s$, the $\iota$ and $v$ of the nom., in the last two endings, being changed to $\epsilon$ in all the cases of all numbers, except the acc. and voc. sing. There is the same change of vowel, also, in neuters in $\breve{\imath}$ and $\breve{v}$, but the gen. sing. almost invariably ends in eos. But in words in evs, when a vowel precedes the ending, the $\epsilon$ is generally rejected by contraction in all the cases of the sing. and plur. except before $v$; as,


Rem. 2. But in the tragic and comic writers, the genitive sing. of nouns in is is sometimes cos, and in the Ionic and Doric dialects neither the $\breve{\iota}$ nor $\check{v}$ is changed in the cases. Sometimes, also, these vowels are not changed in the Attic, especially in adjectives in is,$\iota$, whose root does not end in a consonant, and in certain poetic nouns, all in $\iota_{s}$, except ${ }_{\epsilon} \nmid \gamma \chi \in \lambda v s$, which does not change $v$ in the sing.; as,
 ū̂̀ıs, $\pi \delta^{\prime} \rho \tau \iota s$, etc.
4. Nouns in evs, is, and üs (short) are all contracted into $\epsilon \iota$ in the dat. sing., and into $\epsilon \iota$ in the nom., acc., and voc. plural (but into $v \iota$ and $v s$, from $\bar{s}$ - long - in words of more than one syllable); while those in $\check{\iota}, \breve{v}, \eta$ s and os (both from $\epsilon$ ) are contracted in the dat. singular into $\epsilon$, and in the nom., acc., and voc. plural (the last two in the dual also) into $\eta$; and in the gen. sing. those in $\eta s$ and os into ous. In most cases the contracted forms are the ones chiefly used in the Attic dialect, except the acc. sing. and plur. from $\epsilon v s$.
5. Nouns in avs and ovs are contracted only in the acc. plur.; but many neuters in as, whose root ends in $\tau$, reject the $\tau$, and are then contracted with the preceding vowel in all the cases of all numbers, except in the nom., acc., and voc. sing., and the dat. plur. The nouns tò $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi a s$ and $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda a s, ~$ too, whose root does not end in $\tau$, are contracted in the dat. sing. and the nom., acc., and voc. plur. ; as, $\sigma \epsilon \in \lambda a i ̈=\sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda a$, $\sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda a a=\sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda a$.
6. When the root of masc. and fem. nouns ends in a consonant (except sometimes, mostly in poetry, where the consonant is a tau-mute before the unaccented endings $\iota_{s}$ and $v s$ ), the acc. sing. ends in the vowel $a$; but where it ends in the vowels $\iota, v, a v$, and ov (from nom. is, vs, avs, and ovs), the acc. ends in the consonànt $\nu$, except in $\beta o v ̂ s, ~ \epsilon u ̀ \rho u ́ s, ~ i \chi \theta u ́ s, \nu a u ̂ s$, and $\pi$ ódıs, which, in the Epic dialect, not unfrequently have the acc. in $a$.
7. The voc. sing. is often like the nom. ; but generally like the root, when the root is changed in the nom. by lengthening the final $\epsilon$ or o into $\eta$ or $\omega$ (which, however, is usually re-
tained in the voc. when it is accented), or dropping or changing
 (the full form of the root being rizavt, of which $\tau$ is dropped, since it cannot stand at the end of a Greek word), pic (from pís, i. e. póvs).

Rem. 3. In like manner, also, we have "A $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$, חó $\sigma \in \epsilon \delta o \nu$, $\sigma \hat{\omega} \tau \in \rho$, although the root of these words ends in a long vowel. The accent, too, in these words, is thrown back to the first syllable, contrary to the general rule.
8. Also nouns in $\tau s$, vs, avs, ovs, whose root does not end in a consonant, have the voc. like the root, the $s$ of the nom. being rejected; the word $\pi$ ais also has $\pi a \hat{\imath}$.

Rem. 4. The ending $\hat{\eta} s$ is sometimes found in the old Attic, instead of the plural ending $\epsilon \in s$, eis, from nouns in evs; as, $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \in$ $-\varepsilon i s,-\hat{\eta} s$.
§ 16. Paradigms of Nouns of the Third Declension.
Singular.
$\dot{\delta}$, animal. $\dot{\delta}$, lion. $\dot{\eta}$, nose. $\dot{\eta}$, vein. $\dot{\eta}$, flame. $\dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}$, bird.





Plural.

G. $\quad \eta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\dot{\rho} \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \lambda \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi \lambda o \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ópvi $\theta \omega \nu$



Dual.



Singular．

N．
G．
D．
A．
V．

N．
G．
D．
A．
V．
V．
o，king．tó，body．tó，ear．ó，jackal．ó，worm．$\dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}$ ，swine．


Plural．
N．ävaктєs $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a \quad \hat{\omega} \tau a \quad \theta \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon s$ кíєs $\sigma \dot{́} \epsilon s$


Dual．
N．A．V．ä $\nu a k \tau \epsilon \quad \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \epsilon \quad \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \quad \theta \hat{\omega} \epsilon \quad$ кí $\quad \sigma \dot{v} \epsilon$


CONTRACTS．
Singular．
$\dot{\eta}$ ，galley．то́，race．$\quad \dot{\delta}$, fish．$\quad \dot{\eta}$, echo．$\quad \dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}$, sheep．
N．
G．
D．
A．
V．
 ク̀ $\chi$ ต́ ois
 グXóos－oûs oiós
 ク̉ $\chi$ ôi－ồ oî

tpińpes $\quad$ fénos ì $\theta$ ú
Plural．


D．
A．
V．

трı́npєas－єוs $\gamma^{\prime} \in \in a-\eta$ ix日úas－v̂s
 $\eta_{\chi o i ̂ ~ o i s ~}^{i}$

Singular．

| N | $\delta$ ，king． | ó，cubit． | $\dot{\eta}$, state． | ó，mustard． | $\tau^{\prime}, \text { city. }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G． | $\beta$ рабı入＇́ms | $\pi \chi^{\prime \prime} \chi \in о s-\epsilon \omega s$ | $\pi$ ódeos－$\epsilon \omega$ s | бıขáтєos |  |
| D． |  | $\pi \eta \dot{\chi} \subset \bar{\epsilon}$－$\epsilon \iota$ | $\pi$ о́八є $\boldsymbol{i}$－$\epsilon ⿺$ | $\sigma \iota \nu a ́ \pi \epsilon і ̈$－єı | ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon \overline{-¢}$－є |
| A． | $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a ~-\hat{\eta}$ | $\pi \eta ิ \chi \cup \nu$ | $\pi$ тólı | бivaitı | äбтv |
| V． | $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$ | $\pi \eta \chi^{\nu}$ | тó入ı | біvaтı |  |
| Plural． |  |  |  |  |  |


G．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad \pi \dot{\eta} \chi \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \sigma \iota \nu a \pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu$
D．$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \quad \pi \grave{\eta} \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota \quad \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \quad \sigma \iota \nu a ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \iota \quad$ ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota$

A．$\quad \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon ́ a s-\epsilon i s ~ \pi \eta \not \eta \chi \epsilon a s-\epsilon \iota s$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon a s-\epsilon \iota s \quad \sigma \iota \nu a ́ \pi \epsilon a-\eta$ ä $\sigma \tau \in a-\eta$
 Dual．

| N．A．V．$\beta$ aбı ${ }^{\prime}$＇$\epsilon$ | $\pi \chi^{\prime} \chi \in \epsilon$ | $\pi о$ о́八є | $\sigma \iota \nu a ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ | $\tau \epsilon \epsilon$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢́ouv | тo入є́ou |  |  |

Singular．

|  | tó，horn． | тó，prize． | Pericles． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N． | кépas | $\gamma$ ¢＇́pas |  |
| G． | ќ́ра̄тоs－$\rho$ aos－$\rho \omega$ s | $\gamma^{\prime}$ ¢́paos－$\rho$ ws | Пєрькле́єоs－＇́ovs |
| D． |  | $\gamma$ ¢́paï－$\rho$ ¢ | Пєриклє́єї－＇́є $¢$－$-\iota$ |
| A． | кépas | $\gamma$ ¢́раs | Пєрьклєєєa－＇${ }^{\prime \prime} a-\hat{\eta}$ |
| V． | кє́pas | $\gamma^{\prime}$ ¢pas |  |
|  | Plur |  | Socrates． |
| N． | к＇́para－${ }^{\text {a }}$ a－$\rho a$ | $\gamma^{\prime \prime} \rho a a^{-\rho a}$ | $\Sigma \omega k \rho a ́ r \eta s$ |
| G． | $\kappa \in \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu$－$\rho a \dot{\omega} \nu$－$\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ | $\gamma \epsilon \rho a ́ \omega \nu-\rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ | इぃкка́тєos－ovs |
| D． | кє́paбı | $\gamma$ ¢́рабъ |  |
| A． |  | $\gamma$ ¢́paa－$\rho a$ | $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho$ át ${ }^{\text {a }}$－$\eta$（also $\eta \nu$ ） |
| V． | кє́para－$\rho a a-\rho a$ | $\gamma$ ¢́¢ $a^{\text {a }}$－$\rho a$ | इف́кратєs． |

## Dual．

N．A．V．кє́ ратє－рає－$\rho a \quad \gamma \quad$＇́ $\rho а є-\rho a$
G．\＆D．кєрáтоц－－áoı－$\rho \hat{\varphi} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \rho a ́ o \iota \nu-\rho \hat{\varphi} \nu$ ．
Rem．1．There is one noun in $\omega s$（viz．aì $\delta \omega s$ ）declined like $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \chi \dot{\omega}$ ， in the common Attic，and some others in the dialects；as，$\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\prime} s$ ．Par－
ticular anomalies and irregularities will be found in the list of anoma－ lous nouns to follow．

Rem．2．The accent of most monosyllabic nouns and adjectives， instead of remaining as long as the laws of accent will admit，accord－ ing to the general rule，upon the same syllable as in the nom．，is thrown upon the last syllable in the gen．and dat．of all numbers（see the paradigms）．But in some monosyllables the accent is thus changed，without reason，only in the gen．and dat．sing．and dat．
 which become monosyllabic by contraction（and a few longer words）， change the accent thus to the last syllable，either according to the one or the other of these classes．

Rem．3．As to the gender of nouns of this declension there are the following general rules：－
a）Masculine．All nouns in $\bar{a} \nu, v \nu$ ，as（gen．avos，avtos），$\epsilon v \mathcal{S}, \eta \xi$ ， and most in $\eta \nu, \omega \nu$（ $\omega \nu 0 s, o \nu \tau o s, ~ \hat{\omega} \tau \tau o s$ ），$\eta \rho, \epsilon \epsilon \rho, v \rho, \omega \rho, \eta s$（ $\eta \tau o s$ ），ovs， $\omega s$（ $\omega$ os，$\omega \tau 0 s, \omega \delta o s$ ），and $\psi$ ．
b）Feminine．Nouns in ás（gen．áoos），avs，ıvs，vvs，${ }^{\text {á }}$ and $\omega s$（óos）， abstract nouns in ó $\tau \eta s$ ，v́r $\tau s$ ，and most in $\epsilon i s$ ，is and $\iota \nu$ ，vs，and $\omega \nu$（ovos）．
c）Neuter．All nouns in $a, \eta, o \rho, \omega \rho, o s, \imath, v$ ，and those in $a \rho, a s$ （gen．acos，aos），and contracts in $\eta \rho$ ，except $\delta \psi \dot{\alpha} \rho, \delta \lambda a ̂ s$.

## EXAMPLES FOR PRACTICE．

$\dot{\eta} \pi i \nu a \xi$, ，ăкоs，tablet．
 $\delta, \dot{\eta} \beta_{\eta}^{\prime} \xi$ ，$\chi^{\prime}$＇s，cough． тò $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a$, aтos，mouth． тò $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a$ ，aros，possession．
$\dot{\eta} \epsilon \lambda \pi i \check{\iota}$ ，亡̌ठos，hope． $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \rho \iota \iota s, ~ \iota \delta o s$, strife． o $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \mu \eta \nu o ́ s$, month． o $\lambda_{\iota \mu \eta} \nu$, évos，harbor． ó ä $\xi \omega \nu$ ，óvos，axle． $\dot{\eta}$ ả $\eta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ ，óvos，nightingale． ó ả $\gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\omega}$ ，$ิ \nu 0 s$, elbow．
tò öpos，ovs，mountain．
$\dot{\eta}$ aiôós，oûs，shame．
$\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \theta \dot{\text { ® }}$ ，ồs，persuasion．
इофок入えेs，éous，Sophocles．
$\psi \in v o ̀ \eta$＇s，oûs，false．
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho \eta s$, ovs，full．
ó imtev＇s，é $\omega s$ ，horseman．
ó $\mu a ́ v \tau \iota s, ~ \epsilon \omega s, ~ p r o p h e t . ~$
ó $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \kappa v s, \epsilon \omega s$, axe．
тaұús，єos，swift．
ó $\mu \hat{\text { ûs，}}$ ，vós，mouse．
ó $\sigma$ ráaus，v̌os，ear of grain．
§ 17. Anomalous and Defective Nouns, chiefly of the Third Declension.

Nouns are said to be anomalous, when more or less of their cases are inconsistent with each other in form, according to the general rules of declension in the language; they are said to be defective, when they want one or more of their cases. When they have but a single form, they are said to be indeclinable. The following list contains the chief of these nouns in common use, both of the third and the other declensions.

ả $\eta \delta \dot{\omega} \omega$, óvos ( $\dot{\eta}$, nightingale), reg. ; also Gen. ảך $\delta o \hat{\nu} s$, Voc. ảך $\delta 0 \hat{\imath}$. 'Aîöns, ov ( $\delta$, Hades), reg.; also Gen. "Aïoos, Dat. "Aïo̊ı.
${ }_{a} \lambda \kappa \dot{\eta}, \hat{\eta} s(\dot{\eta}$, strength $)$, reg.; also Dat. ${ }^{a} \lambda \kappa i$.

${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \omega s\left(\dot{\eta}\right.$, threshing-floor), of the Attic sec. dec. with Acc. ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega$;
also of the third dec., Gen. wos or avos, etc.



 poov àvôpoìv.
 except D. Plur. ảpváoc.
ả $\sigma \tau \eta \eta_{\rho}, ~ \in ́ \rho o s(\delta, s t a r)$, reg. except D. Plur. à $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \sigma \iota$.
 Plur. ßóєs, G. $\beta o \omega \nu \nu, ~ D . ~ \beta o v \sigma i ~(E p . ~ \beta o ̛ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota), ~ A . ~ \beta o ́ a s ~ \beta ß o u ̂ s ; ~$ Dual ßóє, 及ooìv.
रactíp ( $\dot{\eta}$, belly), $\epsilon \rho o s$, reg. except being contracted in the $G$. and D. Sing., and having the D. Plur. in $a \sigma \iota$ (rare $\hat{\eta} \rho \sigma \iota$ ), like Өv $\quad$ árnp.
$\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$, $\omega$ тоs or $\omega$ ( $\delta$, laughter).
$\gamma \lambda a ́ \phi v$ ( $\tau$, cave), defective, for $\gamma \lambda a \phi u \rho o ́ v$.
fóvu ( $\tau$ ó, knee), G. yóvaros, other cases regular from yóvat- as
stem, or yoúvar- Epic and Ionic; except that some of the

Epic forms are contracted; as, G. yovvós, D. yovvi; Plur.

रpav̂s ( $\dot{\eta}$, old woman), G. $\gamma \rho a o ̂ s, ~ e t c ., ~ a f t e r ~ t h e ~ a n a l o g y ~ o f ~ \beta o ̂ ̂ s . ~$
But in the Ionic it becomes $\gamma \rho \eta \hat{v}$ or $\gamma \rho \eta \ddot{u} s$, and so in the other cases.
 Plur. $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \epsilon s, ~ G . ~ \gamma v \nu a i \kappa \omega ̂ \nu, ~ D . ~ \gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i, ~ A . ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa a s, ~ V . ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \epsilon s ; ~$ Dual $\gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \epsilon, ~ \gamma v \nu a u k o i v . ~ I n ~ t h e ~ c o m i c ~ w r i t e r s ~ \gamma v \nu \eta ~ i s ~ s o m e-~$ times declined as though of the first declension.
סákpvò ( $\tau o ́$, a tear), reg., and the older poetic form סákpv, from which the D. Plur. ס́ákpvat, found in Attic prose, comes. $\delta \epsilon ́ \mu a s(\tau o ́, b o d y)$, defective.
 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ t \eta s\left(\delta\right.$, master ), ov, reg., and also A. Sing. and Plur. $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \sigma^{-}$ $\tau \in a, \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon a s$.
$\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho(\dot{\eta}$, Demeter). Like the Sing. of $\theta v \gamma a ́ \tau \eta \rho$.
סópu ( $\tau$ ó, spear). Like fórv, with the additional poetic forms G. סooós, D. סopí, N. Plur. סóp $\eta$.
$\delta \hat{\omega}$ ( $\tau o ́$, house), Epic for $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu a$, $\delta \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a$.
єiкஸ́v ( $\dot{\eta}$, image), ovos, reg. ; also G. єikoûs, A. єiкஸ́, Pl. A. єikoús. Zeús (ó, Zeus, Jupiter), G. $\Delta$ cós, D. $\Delta i c ́, ~ A . ~ \Delta i ́ a, ~ V . ~ Z \in \hat{v . ~ A l s o ~}$ Z $\eta \nu$, Z $\eta \nu o ́ s, ~ Z \eta \nu i ́, ~ Z \hat{\eta} \nu a$.
$\tilde{\eta} \rho \omega$ ( $\delta$, hero), $\omega$ os, reg. ; also D. $\eta_{\eta} \rho \omega$, A. $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \omega$, A. Pl. $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \omega$ s. $\Theta a \lambda \eta \bar{s}, \mathrm{G} . \Theta a ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega$, D. $\Theta a \lambda \hat{\eta}, \mathrm{~A}$. $\Theta a \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$; later $\Theta a \lambda o \hat{v}$ and $\Theta a ́ \lambda \eta \tau o s$, $\stackrel{-\eta \tau \iota, ~-\eta \tau a . ~}{\text {. }}$
$\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \tau s, \iota \delta o s$, los, ıтos, ıбтos ( $\left.\dot{\eta}, j u s t i c e\right)$, A. $\theta^{\prime} \mu \nu \nu$; also the form $\theta^{\prime} \mu \iota s$ as the subject of an infin. in Homer.
$\theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \omega \nu$, ovтos ( $\delta$, attendant), reg. ; and also A. Sing. $\theta^{\prime} \rho \rho a \pi a, ~ N$. Plur. Ө́є́pates.


 $\sigma \iota$, A. $\theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$, V. $\theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon s ;$ Dual $\theta v \gamma a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon, \theta v \gamma a \tau \in ́ \rho o \iota \nu$.
íктì $о$, nv ( $\delta, h a w k$ ), reg. ; and also, A. iктiva, N. Pl. ikтives.
ка́рā, Ion. ка́рך (тó, the head), Gr. ка́рךтоs кра́aтоs крāтós карク́aтоs

 крāбi, A. кар $a ́ \tau a$ крáaтa and тov̀s крâтаs.
$\kappa \lambda a ́ o ̊ o s$, ov ( $\delta, b o u g h$ ), reg. ; and D. Sing. $\kappa \lambda a \delta i ́, D$. Plur. $k \lambda a ́ o ̂ \epsilon \sigma \iota$.
 $\kappa \lambda \eta \delta o ́ s)$, reg. ; but also A. $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i \nu, \mathrm{Pl}$. N. and A. $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s$.
коเขตขós ( $\dot{\delta}$ and $\dot{\eta}$, partaker), ô, reg. ; but also, N. and A. Pl. коเขผิขєs, коเขติvas.
крі̀оу ( $\tau$ ó, lily), ov, reg. ; also Plur. N. крivea, D. крiveбı.
 N. кúvєs, G. кvขติv, D. кvбí, A. кúvas ; Dual кúvє, кขขoìv. $\lambda a ̂ a s, \lambda a ̂ s(o ́, ~ s t o n e), ~ G . ~ \lambda a ̂ o s ~ \lambda a ́ o v, ~ D . ~ \lambda a ̂ ̃, ~ A . ~ \lambda a ̂ a \nu ~ \lambda a ̂ \nu ~ \lambda a ̂ a ~(r a r e), ~$ D. Pl. $\lambda \dot{a} \in \sigma \sigma \iota$.
$\lambda_{i \pi a}(\tau o ́, o i l)$, used chiefly as a Dat.
$\mu a \lambda \eta s$ (armpit), a defective Gen.
$\mu a ́ \rho \tau v s$, later $\mu$ áprvp ( $\delta$, witness), vpos, reg. from the last form; also $\mu$ áprv̀ occasionally in A. Sing.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho\left(\dot{\eta}\right.$, mother), like $\theta v \mathrm{v}^{\prime} \tau \eta \rho$, but not contracted in the Plur. except in the Dat.
$\nu a v ̂ s(\dot{\eta}, s h i p), \nu a ̄ o ́ s$, reg. like $\gamma \rho a \hat{v} s$. But Attic G. $\nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega}$, D. $\nu \eta \hat{\imath}$, A. $\nu a \hat{\nu}$; Pl. N. $\nu \eta$ そ̂єs, G. $\nu \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$, D. $\nu a v \sigma i$, A. $\nu a \hat{s}$; Dual G. D. $\nu \in o ̂ \nu$. The Ionic changes a into $\eta$; as, $\nu \eta \hat{v} s, \nu \eta o ́ s, \nu \eta i ̂, \nu \eta ̂ a ;$ it has, too, G. עeós, A. $\nu \in ́ a ;$ Pl. N. $\nu \in ́ \epsilon s, G$ G. $\nu \epsilon \omega \bar{\nu}$, A. $\nu \epsilon ́ a s$; Dual G. D. ขєồ.

 ঠ$\eta \nu, \mathrm{V}$. Oìítrov, Oì̊ımóóa.
 patos, D. òveípatı; Pl. N. and A. òveípara oैvєєpa (rare), G.


$\pi a \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho\left(\dot{\delta}\right.$, father), like $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \rho$.
$\Pi \nu v \dot{\xi}(\dot{\eta}$, Pnyx, place of meeting for the Athenian Assembly), G. Пvкvós, etc., from the stem $\pi v \kappa \nu$. Only later חथvкós, etc.
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon v \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ ( $\delta$, ambassador), ôv, reg. in Sing. ; but Pl. N. and A. $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s$, G. $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega \nu$, D. $\pi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \iota$, from $\pi \rho \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \sigma \beta v s$. $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ( $\delta$, old man), ov, reg.; but also $\pi \rho \in ́ \sigma \beta v s$, A. $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta v \nu$, V. $\pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \beta v ; \mathrm{Pl} . \mathrm{N} . \pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota$.
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \% \nu$ ( $\tau$ ó, face), ov, reg. ; also N. Pl. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi a \tau a$, D. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega^{-}$ $\pi а \sigma \iota$.
$\pi \rho o ́ \chi o o s$ ( $\dot{\eta} .$, pitcher), oov, reg. ; also D. Pl. $\pi \rho o ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota$. $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ ( $\tau o ́$, fire), $\pi v \rho o ́ s, ~ r c g . ~ ; ~ a l s o ~ P l . ~ \pi v \rho a ́, ~ G . ~ \pi v \rho \hat{\nu}$, D. $\pi v \rho o i ̂ s . ~$ $\sigma \eta{ }^{\prime} s(\delta$, moth $), \sigma \epsilon o ́ s$, later $\sigma \eta \tau o ́ s$.
бitos ( $\delta$, grain), ov, reg. in. Sing., but Plur. $\sigma i \tau a ~ \sigma \iota \tau i a ~(r a r e) . ~$ $\sigma \tau a ́ \delta ̊ \iota o \nu(\tau o ́$, stade), ov, etc. ; but Plur. more commonly orád́tol. $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu o ́ s(\delta \dot{o}$, station, stall) ; Plur. $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu o i$ and $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu a ́$. $\sigma \tau i \chi o s(i, r o w)$, ov, reg. ; also G. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \tau \iota \chi o ́ s$, D. $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \iota \chi i$, etc.
 тaढ́s (ó, peacock), reg. of Attic sec. dec.; but also N. Pl. тaoí, and some forms as if from $\tau a \dot{\omega} \nu$, $\omega \nu o s$.
тíypıs, $\iota$ os or $\iota \delta o s(\dot{\delta}, \dot{\eta}$, tiger $)$, reg. except Pl . N. tíypets, G. $\tau i-$ $\gamma \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$.


viós ( $\delta$, son), ov, reg. Also G. víéos, D. vieî, etc., like $\beta$. And Epic, G. vios, D. vil, A. via; Pl. N. vies, D. vié $\sigma \iota$ or viárı, A. vias; Dual víe.
$\dot{v} \sigma \mu i \nu \eta$ ( $\dot{\eta}, b a t t l e), \eta s$, reg. ; but also D. $\dot{v} \sigma \mu i \nu \iota$. $\chi \epsilon i \rho(\dot{\eta}, h a n d), \chi \epsilon \rho o ́ s$, reg. except $\chi \in \rho \sigma i$ Dat. Plur. Also the
 $\chi \in \lambda \iota \delta o ́ \nu(\dot{\eta}$, swallow), óvos, reg. ; also V. $\chi \in \lambda \iota \delta o \hat{\imath}$.
 $\chi \rho \omega \dot{s}(\delta$, skin), G. $\chi \rho \omega \tau o \prime s$, D. $\chi \rho \omega \tau i$ (also $\chi \rho \hat{\varphi}$, in the phrase $\left.{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \chi \rho \hat{\varphi}\right)$, etc.

## SECTION IV.

DECLENSION AND COMPARISON OF THE ADJECTIVE AND ADVERB.

## § 18. Classification of Adjectives and Participles.

1. Adjectives either have a separate ending for each of the three genders, or one in common for the masc. and fem. and one for the neuter, or one for the masc. and fem. and none for the neuter. But all participles have three endings.
2. The special feminine forms of all adjectives and participles are declined like feminine nouns of the first declension, while all masculine forms in os ( $\omega s$ Attic), together with the neuter forms in ov ( $\omega \nu$ Attic), in the same words, are declined after the second declension, and all other forms of the masculine and neuter of adjectives and participles, after the third declension.
3. The rules, therefore, which have been given for the declension of nouns apply equally to the declension of adjectives and participles.

Rem. 1. The principal difference between the declension of the participle and the adjective or noun is, that the voc. masc. of participles is always the same as the nom.
4. Most adjectives in os (with almost no exceptions in comparatives and superlatives) have three endings; yet many compound adjectives and some primitives have but two endings, especially in Attic writers and the poets.
5. Adjectives in as, $\eta \nu$, $\epsilon i s, v s$, and of those in $\omega \nu$, $\varepsilon \in \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$ and ${ }^{a} \kappa \omega \omega$, have three endings.
6. Adjectives in $\epsilon \circ \varsigma, \epsilon a, \epsilon \circ \nu$ and óos, ón (óa with a $\rho$ before o), óov may be contracted into oûs, $\hat{\eta}$ ( $\epsilon a$ in $\hat{a}$, when $\rho$ precedes), ô̂v, and then declined like contract nouns of the first and second declensions with the same endings.
7. Adjectives in $\eta s, \epsilon s ; \iota s, \imath ; \omega s, \omega \nu$ (of the Attic sec. dec.) ; $\omega \nu, o \nu$ (except $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \nu, a ̈ \kappa \omega \nu$ ), and those in ovs, ov $\nu$, compounds of voûs and $\pi \lambda o u ̂ s$, have only two endings.
§ 19. Paradigms of Adjectives and Participles.

## I. WITH three endings.

Singular.


Dual.

Rem. 1. When $\epsilon, \iota$, or $\rho$ precedes os, the fem. has $a$ throughout, as in the noun. All participles in os are declined as the above.


Rem. 2. The other two adjectives in as, $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda a s$ and $\tau \alpha ́ \lambda a s$ (G. $a \nu o s$ ), have a lengthened ending for the fem. in alva; and, in like manner, the two adjectives in $\eta \nu, \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \eta$ and ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \sigma \eta \eta$ or ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \rho \rho \rho \eta \nu$ (G. $\epsilon \nu o s$ ); have a lengthened ending in $\epsilon \iota \nu a$. All participles in as are declined like the above; also, participles in vas, $\hat{v} \sigma a$, vv; as, $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v v_{s} \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v \bar{v} \sigma a$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v ́ \nu, G$. v́vtos, vi $\sigma \eta s$.

Singular.
N. $\chi$ арítıs $\chi а р і є \sigma \sigma a \quad \chi а р i \epsilon \nu$

D. $\chi$ ррíє $\tau \iota$
A. $\chi$ apícuta
V. $\chi$ аріє $\chi а р \iota \in ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \quad \chi а р і є \nu \tau \iota$ харієбба⿱ харі́є $\chi$ хрієбба харі́єу 4*

Plural.






Dual.


Singular.

| N. $\lambda v \theta \epsilon i{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma a$ | $\lambda \nu \theta^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. $\lambda v \theta^{\prime}$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {dotos }}$ | $\lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta s$ | $\lambda v \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau$ |
| D. $\lambda v \theta^{\prime} \nu \nu \tau \iota$ | $\lambda \nu \theta \in i \sigma \eta$ | $\lambda v \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \tau$ |
| A. $\lambda v \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ | $\lambda \nu \theta \in i \sigma a \nu$ | $\lambda v \theta^{\prime} \nu$ |
| V. $\lambda v \theta$ eis | $\lambda v \theta \in i \frac{1}{}$ a | $\lambda v \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ |

Plural.
$\lambda v \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s \quad \lambda v \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a \iota \quad \lambda v \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ $\lambda v \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu \quad \lambda v \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda v \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \tau \omega$ $\lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma \iota \quad \lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma a \iota s \quad \lambda v \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \iota$ $\lambda v \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau a s \quad \lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma a s \quad \lambda v \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ $\lambda v \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s \quad \lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma a \iota \quad \lambda v \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ Dual.

N. A. V. $\lambda v \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon$ (m. \& n.) $\lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma a$<br>G. D. $\lambda v \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau o \iota \nu(\mathrm{~m} . \& \mathrm{n}.) \lambda v \theta \epsilon i \sigma a \iota \nu$.

Rem. 3. All participles in tis are declined like $\lambda v \theta$ cis ; also par-
 Some adjectives in $\epsilon \iota s^{-}$(like $\chi a \rho i \epsilon \iota s$ ) with $\eta$ or o before $\epsilon \iota s$ contract the $\eta$ or o with that ending, making $\hat{\eta} s, \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma a, \hat{\eta} \nu$, and oûs, ov̂ $\sigma \sigma a$, ov̂v (Ep. uncontracted, ó $\epsilon \iota \nu$ ).

Singular.

| N. $\lambda v^{\prime} \omega \nu$ | $\lambda$ v́ovoa | $\lambda \hat{v}$ о |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. 入vóoutos | $\lambda$ vov́oŋs | $\lambda$ vóouos |
| D. $\lambda$ v́oviє | $\lambda$ voú ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\lambda$ ט́ovtı |
| A. $\lambda$ v́ovta | $\lambda$ v́ovaav | $\lambda$ vov |
| V. $\lambda$ v́ $\omega$ | $\lambda$ v́ovo | $\lambda$ ขิov |


| Plural. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ vovtes | $\lambda$ vovoraı | $\lambda$ v́ovia |
| $\lambda v o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ | $\lambda$ vovoढ้̂ | $\lambda$ ขóvт $\omega \nu$ |
| $\lambda$ v́ovoı | $\lambda$ vov́raıs | $\lambda$ v́ovoヶ |
| $\lambda$ v́ovtas | $\lambda$ vov́бas | $\lambda$ vovтa |
| $\lambda$ v́ovtes | $\lambda$ v́ovoaı | $\lambda$ vovтa | Dual.

N. A. V. $\lambda v ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon$ (m. \& n.) $\lambda v o v ́ \sigma a$ G. D. $\quad \lambda$ vóvтoı (m. \& n.) $\lambda$ vov́бaıv.

## Singular.

Plural.



 V. $k \lambda \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \quad k \lambda \iota \nu \circ \hat{\nu} \quad \kappa \lambda \iota \nu \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon S$ к $\lambda \iota \nu \circ \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ к \lambda \iota \nu \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a$ Dual.
N. A. V. $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu о \hat{\nu} \tau \tau(\mathrm{~m} . \& \mathrm{n}) ~. к \lambda \iota \nu o v ́ \sigma a$
G. D. клєขои́ขтоьข (m. \& n.) клєขоv́баıข.

Rem. 4. All uncontracted participles in $\omega \nu$ are declined like $\lambda \dot{v} \omega \nu$, except that the part. of the second aor. starts with the accent on the last syllable in the nom. 'The fut. part. of liquid verbs, and the contracted forms of the part. of contracted verbs in $\epsilon \omega$ and $o \omega$, are declined like $\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$; but the contracted form of the part. of verbs in $a \omega$ retains $\omega$ throughout; as, $\tau \tau \mu \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma a \tau \tau \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, G. $\tau \tau \mu \omega \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \tau \mu \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta s$ $\tau \iota \mu \omega ิ \nu \tau о s$, etc.

Singular.

| N. $\eta$ joús | ท̀ठєia | ท̇ov́ | $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\chi} \epsilon \in S$ | ض̀ठєîa | 析 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. $\dagger$ ¢ 0 '́os | $\dot{\eta} \delta$ cias | ض̀óóos | $\dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ | $\hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ | ${ }_{j} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in$ |
|  | $\eta{ }_{j} \delta \epsilon i ́ a$ |  | ท̀ठ白 $\sigma \iota$ | ĵठ¢íaus | ท̀ $\delta$ '́́ $\sigma$ |
| A. $\eta \dot{\eta} \dot{v} \nu$ | j$\delta$ ¢єîa | jo $\delta$ v́ |  | ŋ̇deías | $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a$ |
| . $\grave{\text { jov }}$ | $\hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \iota \bar{a}$ | j̀ $\delta$ ט́ |  | ท̀ठeiau | $\chi^{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ ¢ |

Dual.

Rem. 5. The forms in $\epsilon a$, in the neut. plur., are not contracted in adjectives m vs, it will be observed, as they are in neuter nouns in $v$ of the third dec.; nor is the Attic ending $\epsilon \omega s$ used in the gen. sing. masc., as it is in nouns. In the Ionic dialect the fem. form is generally $\epsilon a$ or $\epsilon \eta$ instead of $\epsilon \iota a$; and in the Epic the masc. form is often used as fem.

Singular.

Plural.




V. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda v к \omega \hat{s} \lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda v \kappa v i ̂ a ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda v к o ́ s ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda v к o ́ t \epsilon s ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda v к v i ̂ a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon \lambda u к o ́ t a ~$ Dual.
N. A. V. $\lambda \epsilon \lambda v к o ́ t \epsilon$ (m. \& n.) $\lambda_{\epsilon \lambda v к v i ́ a ~}^{\text {a }}$ G. D. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda v к о ́ т о \iota \nu ~(m . ~ \& ~ n). ~ \lambda є \lambda v к v i ́ a \iota \nu . ~$

Singular.
N. $\chi \rho v ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma s \quad \chi \rho v \sigma \in ́ a \quad \chi \rho v ́ \sigma \epsilon o \nu$ $\chi \rho v \sigma o v ิ s ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \eta ิ ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o u ̂ \nu ~$
G. $\chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v} ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \eta ิ s ~ \chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v}$
D. $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\eta} \quad \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\varphi}$
A. $\chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{\nu} \chi \chi \nu \sigma \eta ิ \nu \quad \chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{\nu}$


Plural.
$\chi \rho v ́ \sigma \epsilon o \iota \quad \chi \rho v ́ \sigma \epsilon a \iota \quad \chi \rho v ́ \sigma \epsilon a$
 $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho v \sigma \omega \hat{\omega} \quad \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ रрvбoîs रpvбaîs хрvбoîs
 $\chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{\imath} \quad \chi \rho v \sigma a \hat{\imath} \quad \chi \rho v \sigma a ̂$

## Dual．

| N．A．V． | $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\omega}(\mathrm{~m} . \& \mathrm{n})$. | $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G．D． | $\chi \rho v \sigma o i ̂ \nu ~(m . ~ \& ~ n) ~$. | $\chi \rho v \sigma a i ้$. |

Rem．6．The contracted forms of adjectives in óos，ó $\eta$ ，óov are de－ clined in the same way；when uncontracted，they are declined like $\kappa \alpha \lambda$ ós．But when the feminine ending $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is preceded by $\rho$ it is con－ tracted into $\hat{a}$ ，while ó $\eta$ ，when $\rho$ precedes，becomes óa，and is not gen－ erally contracted．

II．WITH TWO ENDINGS．
Singular．
Plural．
N．єư้ous єű้ovข

| G． | $\epsilon u ̛ \nu o v$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| D． | $\epsilon ひ ้ \nu \omega$ |
| A． | $\epsilon \cup ้ \nu O v \nu$ |

V．$\epsilon$ ひ้̉นovs єびขovข

| $\epsilon$ ยู้ขo | $\epsilon ข ้ \nu O a$ | N．A．V． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\epsilon \cup ้ \nu \omega \nu$ |  | $\epsilon \cup ٌ \nu \omega$ |
| єU้DOLS |  |  |
| ¢ข้วOus | $\epsilon \cup ้ \nu O a$ | G．D． |
| $\epsilon$ ย $ข$ ขo | cüvoa | єช้ขoıv． |

Rem．7．The compounds of $\pi$ ous have ov in the neuter after the analogy of this form，but in the oblique cases they generally have the endings of $\pi 0 \hat{v}$（ $-o \delta o s$ ，oo $\iota$ ，etc．），but sometimes ov，etc．

Singular．
Plural．
Dual．
N．ì $\lambda \omega \bar{i}{ }^{i} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$


| Plural． |  | Dual． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{i} \lambda \in \omega$ | ${ }^{\dagger} \lambda \in \omega$ | N．A．V． |
|  |  | ${ }_{i} \lambda \in \omega$ |
| i $\lambda \in \omega$ S |  |  |
| ${ }^{i} \lambda \in \omega s$ | ${ }^{i} \lambda \in \omega$ | G．D． |
| ${ }^{\dagger} \lambda \in \omega$ | ${ }^{\wedge} \lambda \in \omega$ | ì $\lambda \in \underline{¢}$ ． |

Rem．8．The $\nu$ in the acc．sing．is sometimes dropped here also， as in nouns．The compounds of $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega s$ and $\kappa \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ sometimes follow this form，and sometimes the third declension ；as，$\tau \rho i к \epsilon \rho \omega s$（G．$\omega$ or
 G．$\pi \lambda$ є́as，etc．

Singular．
N．$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon ́ \pi<\nu$
G．$\pi \epsilon \in$ тороs $\pi \epsilon \in$ тороs
D．$\pi \in ́ \pi o \nu \imath \pi \in ́ \pi o \nu \imath$
A．$\pi \epsilon ́ \pi<\nu a \quad \pi \epsilon ่ \pi \sigma \nu$
V．$\pi \epsilon \in \pi о \nu \quad \pi \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu$

Plural．

| $\pi \epsilon \in \pi о \nu \epsilon s$ |  | N．A．V． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi \epsilon \pi$ о́ $\nu \omega \nu$ | $\pi \epsilon \pi$ о́ข $\omega \nu$ | $\pi \epsilon \in \pi о \nu \epsilon$ |
| $\pi \epsilon$＇тобь | $\pi$ т＇ $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ об८ |  |
| $\pi \epsilon$＇торая | $\pi \epsilon ์ \pi о \nu а$ | G．D． |
| $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu є$ ¢ | $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu а$ | $\pi \epsilon \pi$ о́voıv． |

Rem．9．Comparatives in $\omega \nu$ ，ov are declined in this way，except that the voc．masc．is like the nom．，and the ending ova in the acc． sing．and nom．，acc．，and voc．plural is often contracted into $\omega$ ，and the endings oves，ovas（nom．，acc．，and voc．pl．）into ovs；as，$\mu \in i \zeta \omega \nu$ ova，$\omega$ ，－oves，ovs．

Singular. Plural.

| N. ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda \eta \theta \eta^{\prime} s$ | $a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta$ '́s | $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \in s$ - $-i \underline{s}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \alpha^{-\eta}-\hat{\eta}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. | ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda \eta \theta_{\text {Éóos }}$-ồs |  | $\bar{a} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ - $\omega \nu$ |
| D. | $\hat{a} \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\imath}-\epsilon \hat{\imath}$ |  | à̉ $\eta \theta^{\prime} \sigma \iota$ |
| A. ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} a-\hat{\eta}$ | ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | ả $\lambda \eta \theta^{\prime}$ éas $-\in i ¢ s$ | ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} a^{\prime}-\hat{\eta}$ |
| V. | ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon_{s}$ |  | as the nom. |

Dual.
N. A. V. ${ }^{3} \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \epsilon-\hat{\eta}$
G. D. ả入 $\eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} o \iota \nu$-oìv.

Rem. 10. But when a vowel precedes $\epsilon^{\prime} a$ the contraction is into $\hat{a}$ instead of $\hat{\eta}$; as, $\hat{v} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \epsilon$ ́ $\alpha-\hat{a}$.

| Singular. |  | Plural. |  | Dual. <br> N. A. V. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. трóфıs | $\tau \rho o ́ \phi \iota$ | $\tau \rho o ́ \phi ı \epsilon s$ |  |  |
| G. | $\tau \rho о ́ \phi ı o s$ |  |  | $\tau \rho о ́ \phi \iota \epsilon$ |
| D. | тоо́фи -i | $\tau \rho$ |  |  |
| A. тро́фıข | - тоóфı |  | тоóфıa | G. D. |
| V. | $\tau \rho о ́ \phi \iota$ | $\tau \rho o ́ \phi ı \epsilon s$ | тоóфıа | трофіогу. |

Rem. 11. But compound adjectives in is, which have a noun for their last component part, as well as other adjectives thus compounded, generally follow the declension of that noun, rejecting the final s (when there is one, and shortening the final vowels in other cases) to form
 $\left.{ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \pi i s\right)$. So, also, $\mu \in \gamma \mathrm{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$, op, G. opos ( $\bar{\eta} \tau o \rho$, opos). In imitation of these forms, simple adjectives in $\iota s, \iota$ sometimes have in poetry a declension in $\iota \delta o s, \iota \delta \iota$, etc. ; and the compounds of $\pi$ ó $\lambda \iota s$ generally have this form, though the noun $\pi$ ódıs does not.
Rem. 12. Adjectives of one ending are declined like nouns of either the first or third declension, and are either masculine, feminine, or common (rarely neuter), and used mostly without a noun ; as, ó $\pi \epsilon \in \nu \eta s, \eta \tau o s$ (poor, poor man), ó, $\dot{\eta}$ фvyás, áoos (fugitive, a fugitive, whether male or female).
III. WITH IRREGULAR ENDINGS.

Singular.
N. $\pi \rho a ̂ o s ~ \pi \rho a \epsilon \hat{a}{ }^{2}$ трâov G. $\pi \rho a ́ o v$ $\pi \rho a \in i a s \quad \pi \rho a ́ o v$ D. $\pi \rho a ́ \omega$ A. $\pi \rho \hat{o} o \nu$ V. $\pi \rho a ̂ \epsilon \quad \pi \rho a \hat{\epsilon} i a \quad \pi \rho a ̂ o \nu$
$\pi \rho a ̂ o \iota ~ \pi \rho a \epsilon i ̂ s ~$ $\pi \rho a \epsilon \in \omega$ $\pi \rho$ ćots $\pi \rho а$ є́ $\tau$ трáous траєís $\pi \rho a ̂ o \iota ~ \pi \rho а є i ̂ ̀ ~ \pi \rho а є i ̂ a \iota ~ \pi \rho a e ́ a ~$ Dual.
N. A. V. $\pi \rho a ́ \omega$ (m. \& n.) $\pi \rho a \in i ́ a \quad$ (7. D. $\pi \rho a ́ o \iota \nu ~(m . ~ \& . ~ n). ~ \pi \rho a \epsilon i ́ a ı \nu . ~$

Singular．

| N．$\pi 0 \lambda u$ us | $\pi \bigcirc \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta}$ | $\pi 0 \lambda v$ ́r | $\mu$＇́＇ fas | $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ ád $\eta$ | $\mu^{\prime} \hat{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \hat{v}$ | $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda \lambda \bar{\eta} s$ | $\pi$ о入入ov̂ | $\mu \in \gamma$ ádov | $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta s$ | $\mu \in \gamma$ ádov |
| D．$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ | $\pi \bigcirc \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}$ | $\pi<\lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ | $\mu \in \gamma$ á̀ $\omega$ | $\mu \in \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ | $\mu \epsilon \gamma$ á̀ ${ }_{\text {c }}$ |
| A．$\pi 0 \lambda \underline{v} \nu$ | $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu$ | $\pi 0 \lambda u ́$ | $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ |  | $\mu^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ |
| V．$\pi 0 \lambda \hat{v}$ | $\pi$ о入入 ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\pi 0 \lambda v{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mu \epsilon \chi a$ | $\mu \in \gamma$ ád $\eta$ | $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ a． |

Rem．13．The plural and dual of these adjectives are regular， from the forms $\mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda o s, \eta, o \nu$ and $\pi o \lambda \lambda o ́ s, \dot{\eta}, o^{\prime} \nu$ ，from which，it will be seen，the entire fem．and the gen．and dat．sing．are taken．In the Epic dialect $\pi 0 \lambda v^{\prime} s$ is declined regularly like other adjectives in vs， and in the Ionic，$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ；in Homer we find a mixture of both forms．

Rem．14．Besides the above，there are some other anomalous adjectives；as，N．$\dot{\delta} \sigma \hat{\omega} s, \dot{\eta} \sigma \hat{\omega} s \sigma \hat{a}$（rare），$\tau \grave{\partial} \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，A．$\tau \grave{\nu} \nu, \tau \eta \eta^{\nu} \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ；Pl．



Rem．15．As to the accent，it is regulated by the same princi－ ples as in nouns of the same declension；but the voc．and neuter sing．of all adjectives of three or more syllables in $\omega \nu$ ，G．ovos，and some compounds in $\eta s$ ，G．єos，have the accent on the antepenult；as，


## EXAMPLES FOR PRACTICE．

In making exercises these may be taken with the nouns in the preceding examples． тá̀as，wretched．$\quad \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu,-o \nu$, better．тєтvф＇́s，having struck． $\beta a \rho v ́ s, ~ h e a v y . \quad \phi v \gamma a ́ s$, fugitive．tún $\omega \nu$ ，striking． $\tau^{\prime} \rho \eta \nu$ ，tender．$\quad$ páóoos，easy．àpyúpєos，of silver．

 iotás，stationing．סєєкvús，showing．тı日eis，placing． $\tau \iota \mu \eta \epsilon \iota$ ，honored．

## § 20．Comparison of Adjectives．

The common forms for the comparative and superlative are，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\tau \epsilon \rho o s, \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a, \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \text { (comparative), } \\
& -\tau a \tau o s, \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \eta, \tau a \tau o \nu(\text { superlative), }
\end{aligned}
$$

which are generally annexed to the positive without much change．

1. Most adjectives in os cast off $s$ and receive these endings without any further change, when the syllable before os is long by nature or position (a mute and liquid generally mak-
 pos, - $о$ ótatos. But where the preceding syllable is short (except in $\kappa \in \nu$ ós, $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu$ ós), the final o becomes $\omega$ on receiving these end-


Rem. 1. Adjectives in tos and oos, if compared without contraction, would, according to the preceding rule, simply cast off $s$ and lengthen o into $\omega$, which is often done in those in oos; but those in єos reject also the $\epsilon$ before $\omega$, and those in oos generally form the comparative and superlative by rejecting os and adding é $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s$, é $\sigma \tau a \tau o s$, which are commonly contracted with the preceding o into ov́ $\sigma$ тepos,

 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о s$, ám入oúбтатоs.

Rem. 2. The adjective $\gamma \in \rho a l o{ }^{\prime}$ regularly rejects os before annexing the comparative and superlative endings; sometimes, also, $\pi a \lambda a+o{ }^{\prime}$ and $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda a i o ́ s$ and $\phi i \lambda o s$; as, $\gamma \in \rho a i o ́ s,-a i \tau \epsilon \rho o s,-a i \tau a \tau o s ; \phi i \lambda o s, \phi i \lambda \tau \epsilon-$ pos, -тatos. So, also, $\pi \epsilon \rho a i \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, from $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a$.
 ers), ï $\sigma o s$, , $\pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o s$, generally $\eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma v \chi o s$, and sometimes $\phi$ ì $\lambda o s$, substitute a for o on adding the comparative and superlative endings; as, $\mu$ е́ $\sigma o s$, -aíте̂́os, -aíatos.

Rem. 4. Some adjectives in os change into is or $\epsilon$ and add the comparative and superlative endings to these ; as, $\lambda$ á $\lambda$ os ( $\lambda a \lambda i \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s$,


2. Adjectives in $\eta s,-\epsilon s$, G. $\epsilon o s$ (except $\psi v \delta \delta^{\prime} s$ ), as, $-a \nu$ (also $\mu$ а́кар), and most in vs, $-\epsilon t a,-v$, add $\tau \in \rho o s$ and $\tau$ atos to the neuter;
 pos, -тatos.
3. Adjectives in eıs reject the $\iota$ and then add $\tau \epsilon \rho o s$ and $\tau a \tau o s$; as, $\chi$ apíєts, $\chi a \rho!\epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, -тatos.
4. Adjectives ending in $\xi$, $\omega \nu$, ov, and $\eta s$ (gen. ov) add $\epsilon \in \tau \tau \in$ pos, é $\sigma \tau a \tau o s$, sometimes iotepos, iovaros, to the stem, which is found in the neuter, or by rejecting the genitive ending; as, ${ }_{\text {á }} \rho \pi a \xi$ (G. $a \gamma-o s$ ), á $\rho \pi a \gamma i \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s,-i \sigma \tau a \tau o s$.

Rem. 5. The compounds of $\chi$ ápıs add $\omega$ to the root before adding


5．A few adjectives in vs（chiefly $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\tau a \chi u{ }^{\prime} s$ in the com－ mon language）and pós（chiefly aixpós and é $\chi \theta \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ ）drop these endings and add $i \omega \nu$（sometimes $\sigma \omega \nu$ ）and $\iota \sigma \tau e s$ for the com－ parative and superlative ；as，$\hat{\eta} \delta u ́ s, \dot{\eta} \delta i \omega \omega \nu$（neut．$\tilde{\eta} \delta \iota o \nu), ~ \tilde{\eta} \delta \iota \sigma \tau o s ;$


Rem．6．The comparative and superlative are but rarely formed by adding $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$（more），and $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ or $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \tau a$（most），to the posi－ tive．

6．The following adjectives have anomalous comparatives and superlatives，some of them from several different roots， and generally with slightly different shades of meaning ：－

| à a aós，good， |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | крєí $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ | кра́тıбтos |
|  | $\lambda \omega^{\prime} \omega \nu$（ $\phi$＇ि $\tau \tau \rho \rho$ ） |  |
| какós，bad， | какі＇$\omega$ | ка́кıбтоя |
|  | $\chi \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu$（ $\chi \in \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu)$ | $\chi$ ¢ípıбтоs |
| ка入ós，beautiful， | ка入入i $\omega \nu$ | ка́入入ıбтоs |
| нıкрós，small， | $\mu$ ккро́тєроs（ $\mu \epsilon$＇$\omega \nu$ ） | $\mu$ ккро́татоs |
|  |  | ė入áxıбтos |
| o入íros，few， | $\eta ँ \sigma \omega \omega \nu, \eta{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ | ỏ入íyıбтos |
| тodv＇s，much， | $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ | $\pi \lambda \in і$ ívos |
| $\mu$ ézas，great， | $\mu \epsilon i \zeta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mu$ ¢＇́ $\gamma$ Lбтos |
| pááoos，easy， | ¢ợa ${ }^{\text {ch }}$ | ¢̣âotos |
| $\dot{a}^{\lambda} \lambda \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \nu$ ós，painful， |  | á $\lambda \boldsymbol{\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ t a t o s ~}$ |
|  | ${ }^{\wedge} \lambda \gamma^{\prime} \omega^{\prime} \nu$ |  |
| $\pi i \omega \nu, f a t$, | $\pi$ то́тєроs | тıótatos |
| $\pi \epsilon \pi \pi \omega$ ，ripe， | $\pi \in \pi a i t \epsilon \rho$ os | $\pi \in \pi$ aitaros． |

Rem．7．Verbals in tos are sometimes compared；as，aipetós， $-\tau \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon p o s,-\tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau a \tau o s$. Also superlatives，and adjectives having a super－ lative meaning，and by the comedians，the pronoun aủcós and even
 a king）；aủvós，à̇тótєpos，à̇тótaтos（ipsissimus）．

Rem．8．There are some comparatives and superlatives and words having a superlative meaning，which have no positive，but are derived from nouns，pronouns，or adverbs；as，$\mu \eta \kappa \iota \sigma \tau o s($ from the noun $\mu \hat{\eta} \kappa \circ s$ ），

 (from $\delta$ v́o).
Rem. 9. The Epic dialect sometimes forms the superlative by rejecting the nominative ending, os, $\eta \nu$, etc., and adding simply aros;
 i̋tatos.

## EXAMPLES FOR PRACTICE.

на́кар, happy.
$\gamma \lambda u \kappa u ́ s$, sweet.
ả $\lambda \eta \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} s$, true. $\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda a s$, black. ảझ̆̀os, worthy. койфоs, light. $\pi \iota \kappa \rho o ́ s, b i t t e r$. є́тǐ̌арıs, pleasing. $\pi \circ \rho \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \epsilon o s$, purple. $\lambda$ áخos, talkative.

фìios, dear.

そ̈бuरos, quiet. '̈ros, equal. äкратоs, unmixed.
$\gamma \in \rho a \iota o ́ s$, old. à $\pi \lambda$ óos, simple.
$\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$, fortunate. raxús, swift.
$\dot{a} \phi \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi$, elderly. $\quad \dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v} s$, sweet.
харíєıs, pleasant. aioxpós, shameful.
$\sigma \phi$ oठpós, vehement.
éx $\theta$ Өós, hostite.
$\sigma \omega \dot{\phi \rho \omega \nu}$, prudent.
$\pi \tau \omega \chi$ ós, poor.
ä $\phi \theta$ ovòs, bounteous.

## § 21. Comparison of Adverbs.

1. The positive of most adverbs is derived from the corresponding adjective (or participle) by assuming the ending ws. Or as a practical rule, since the accent of the adjective in the genitive plural is the same as that of the adverb, adverbs are derived from adjectives by changing $\omega \nu$ of the gen. plur. into $\omega$ s, without any change of accent ; as, $\sigma o \phi o ́ s ~(G . ~ P l . ~ \sigma o \phi \hat{\omega} \nu)$, $\sigma \circ \phi \omega \hat{s}$; $\phi i \lambda o s$ (G. Pl. $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ ), $\phi i \lambda \omega s$; $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega s$ (from the part. $\tau є \tau а \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \circ$ ).
2. Most adverbs derived from adjectives, however, do not assume $\omega s$ in the comparative and superlative from the comparative and superlative endings of the adjective, but use the accusative neuter singular of these forms for the positive, and the accusative neuter plural for the superlative ; as, $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s$ (from $\sigma a \phi_{\eta} s$ ), $\sigma a \phi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho c \nu, \sigma a \phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau a \tau a ;$ боф̄̂s ( $\sigma a \phi a ́ s$ ), $\sigma a \phi \dot{\phi} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$,


Rem. 1. Adverbial comparatives in $\omega$ s are not wanting (as, $\sigma a \phi \epsilon-$
 lative in $\omega s$, in classical Greek.
3. Most primitive adverbs, especially those in $\omega$, have their comparative in $\tau \epsilon \rho \omega$ and their superlative in $\tau \dot{a} \tau \omega$, rather than in the above adjective endings ; as, ${ }^{a} \nu \omega, a \dot{a} \nu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega, \dot{a} \nu \omega \tau a ́ \tau \omega$.

So, mostly, ả $\gamma \chi \iota$ or ả $\gamma \chi \chi \hat{v}$, ả $\gamma \chi 0 \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ (Ep. à $\sigma \sigma o \tau \in ́ \rho \omega$ ) or ả $\sigma \sigma o \nu$





 $\nu v к \tau i a ̈ ̀ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu, \nu v к \tau \iota a i \tau a \tau \omega$.

Rem. 2. The comparison of $\mu a ́ \lambda a, \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu, \mu a ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$, and $\hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu$ (comparative), $\eta$ 酋泞a, is quite anomalous.

## SECTION V.

## NUMERALS.

## § 22. Classification and Table of Numerals.

1. The Greek numerals are divided into cardinals, ordinals, multiplicatives, proportionals, numeral substantives, and numeral adverbs.
2. The ordinals are derived from the cardinals, as will be seen from the following table; so, also, are the numeral adverbs, by dropping (after the first three) the final letter of the cardinal and adding the ending áxıs; as, ä $\pi a \xi$ (once), dís ítwice), трis (three times), тєтрáкıs, $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a ́ k ı s, ~ e t c . ~ A l s o ~ f r o m ~$ indefinite numeral words, $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda$ а́кıs, $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu a ́ к \iota s$, etc.

Rem. 1. Numeral substantives (which express abstract numbers) end in ás, G. á8os, and are derived partly from the cardinals, and partly from the ordinals; as, évás or $\mu$ ovás (unity), סvás (duality), тplás (triad, trinity), $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ s, \pi \in \mu \pi a ́ s ~(~ \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau a ́ s, ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau a ́ s)$, é $\xi a ́ s, ~ £ ́ \beta \delta o \mu a ́ s, ~ o \partial \gamma \delta o a ́ s, ~$

 тєтрактús, хı̀ıьoтús.
3. Multiplicatives (denoting how many fold, and ending in $\pi \lambda$ óos oûs), and proportionals (denoting how many times as
many or great, and ending in $\pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o s$, rarer $\pi \lambda a \sigma i \omega \nu)$, are derived either from cardinals, ordinals, numeral adverbs, or indefinite numeral words; as, $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \lambda o u ̂ s ~(f o u r f o l d), ~ \delta \iota \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o s$ (twice as great), $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda a \pi \lambda$ ácoos (many times as great), etc. Of the same character also are סıббós and $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ s$.

Rem. 2. There are also adverbial multiplicatives in $\theta \dot{a}, \chi a$, $\chi \hat{\eta}$ ( $\chi \hat{\eta}$ ), $\chi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$; as, $\delta \iota \chi \theta \dot{a}$ 完 $\chi$ a $\delta \iota \chi \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \pi \lambda \hat{\eta}$ (in a twofold manner, separately), $\tau \rho \iota \chi \hat{\eta}, \tau \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho a \chi a$ or $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \chi \hat{\eta}, \pi a \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta}$, etc.
4. There are no distributives in Greek, but it uses instead either the cardinals compounded with $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ (as, $\sigma \dot{v} v \delta v o, b y ~ t w o s$, бúvт $\rho \epsilon \epsilon$, etc.), or àvá, єis, кađá, with the cardinal in the acc. depending upon it ; as, karà $\delta$ ín, $^{a} \nu a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon, ~ e t c . ~$

Rem. 3. Numeral adjectives in aios denote the day on which something happened; as, $\delta \in u \tau \epsilon \rho a i o s, ~ o n ~ t h e ~ s e c o n d ~ d a y . ~$
5. The following table gives only the cardinals and ordinals, as being the most used and those from which the other classes of numerals are derived. The Greek letters before the first column (with a stroke above them up to 900 , and below them afterwards) were used to denote the number expressed by the corresponding numerals. For this purpose the obsolete Bau (s), Koppa (4), and Sanpi ( ) ) were used with the other letters.

## TABLE OF NUMERALS.

Cardinals.
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

| $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ | cis (one) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\beta$ | סvóo |
| $\gamma^{\prime}$ | т $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ís |
| $\delta$ | $\tau \in ์ \sigma \sigma a \rho \in s$ |
| $\epsilon^{\prime}$ | $\pi \epsilon ่ \nu \tau \epsilon$ |
| $5^{\prime}$ | ¢́¢ |
| $\zeta$ | ¢̇лтá |
| $\eta^{\prime}$ | ókт'ө |
| $\theta$ | ¢́ $\downarrow \nu$ ¢́̇a |
| $i$ | Séka |
| $\iota a^{\prime}$ |  |

Ordinals.
$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o s$ (first)
סєút $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ роs
трі́тоs
тє́тартоs
$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau о \varsigma$
ËктоS

oै $\gamma$ бoos
є’ $\nu \nu a \tau o s(\epsilon ้ ้ \nu a \tau o s)$
סєкатоs
є́vס́́ќкатоs

| 12 | $\stackrel{\beta}{ }{ }^{\prime}$ ． | $\delta \omega$ ¢́¢єка | ठшठ́є́катоs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | ＇ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$ | $\tau \rho \iota \sigma к а і$ ¢кка | трıбкаıঠ́єкатоs |
| 14 | $18^{\prime}$ | $\tau \in \sigma \sigma a \rho \in \sigma к а і$ í¢ $є$ к | $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma а \rho а к а \iota \delta$＇́катоs |
| 15 | し＇${ }^{\prime}$ | $\pi \in \nu \tau \in к а і$ ¢́єка | $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon к а \iota$ о̀́ккатоs |
| 16 | $15^{\prime}$ | єккаі́סєка | єккаьо́є́катоs |
| 17 | $\downarrow$ | є́ттакаїঠєка | єптлакаїঠ́єкатоя |
| 18 | $\iota \eta^{\prime}$ | ȯктюкаíठєка | ȯктюкаıঠ́́ккатоs |
| 19 | $\stackrel{\theta}{ } \theta^{\prime}$ | є́ $\nu \nu$ ¢акаі＇ঠєка |  |
| 20 | $\kappa^{\prime}$ | єı゙кобь | єikootós |
| 21 | $\kappa a^{\prime}$ | єǐкоб८ єis | єiкобто̀s $\pi \rho \hat{\text { cosos }}$ |
| 30 | $\lambda^{\prime}$ | －трıáкоута | трıакобто́s |
| 40 | $\mu^{\prime}$ | $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma а \rho а ́ к о \nu \tau а ~$ | $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma а р а к о \sigma \tau o ́ s ~$ |
| 50 | $\nu^{\prime}$ | $\pi \in \nu \tau \eta ์ к о \nu \tau а$ | $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta$ кобтós |
| 60 | $\xi^{\prime}$ | ¢¢ŋ́коขта | ¢́¢ $\ddagger$ кобто́s |
| 70 | $o^{\prime}$ | ¢ßбон $\dagger$ коута | ¢¢ $\beta$ оорикобто́s |
| 80 | $\pi^{\prime}$ | óүбоך́коута |  |
| 90 | 4 | є̇ข $\nu \in \nu \eta$ ¢́коขта |  |
| 100 | $\rho^{\prime}$ | éxatóv | ¢́катобто́s |
| 200 | $\sigma^{\prime}$ | ঠıако́бıоя | סıакобıобтós |
| 300 | $\tau^{\prime}$ | трıако́бıоь | трıскобıобто́s |
| 400 | $v^{\prime}$ | $\tau \in \tau \rho а к о ́ \sigma \iota ๐ \iota ~$ | тєтракобıобтós |
| 500 | $\phi^{\prime}$ | $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о ́ \sigma \iota o \iota ~$ | $\boldsymbol{\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к о б \iota o \sigma т o ́ s ~}$ |
| 600 | $\chi^{\prime}$ | ¢́¢ако́бıo七 | ¢́¢акобıобтós |
| 700 | $\psi^{\prime}$ | є́ттако́бь๐ | єллтакобьобто́s |
| 800 | $\omega^{\prime}$ | о́ктако́бıоя | óктакобьобтós |
| 900 | $\chi^{\prime}$ | є̇ขvakóбıoı | є̇ขvaкобıобтós |
| 1，000 | ， | $\chi$ ¢ıııı | $\chi$ 入入ıобто́s |
| 2，000 | ${ }^{\text {，} \beta}$ | $\delta \iota \sigma \chi$ i入ıoı | $\delta \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda \iota o \sigma \tau o ́ s$ |
| 3，000 | ，$\gamma$ | $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi$ ìııь | трьбхı入ıобто́s |
| 4，000 | $\delta$ | $\tau \in \tau \rho a \kappa \iota \sigma \chi$ i入ıoı | $\tau \in \tau \rho а к \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda \iota о \sigma \tau o ́ s$ |
| 5，000 | ${ }^{\epsilon}$ | $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к \iota \sigma \chi$ 入入ıo | $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda \iota о \sigma \tau o ́ s$ |
| 6，000 | ． 5 |  | ¢́¢akı $\chi^{\prime} \downarrow \lambda \iota \sim \sigma \tau o ́ s$ |
| 7，000 | ， | є̇лтакı $\chi^{\text {i }}$ 入ıoı | є̇ттакıбх$\downarrow$ ¢入ıобтós |
| 8，000 | ， 7 | ó $\delta^{\text {orokı } \sigma \chi \text { ¢ } \lambda \iota o \iota ~}$ | ó $\gamma$ оокı $\chi \chi$ ८入ıобтós |
| 9，000 | $\theta$ |  | évขaкıбхı入ıобтós |
| 10，000 | $\checkmark$ | $\mu$ и́pıoı | $\mu$ ррıобтós |
| 20，090 | ${ }^{k}$ | $\delta \iota \sigma \mu$ v́pıo | ठıбرирıобтós |
| 50，000 | ，$\nu$ | $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а к \iota \sigma \mu \nu$ рьоь | тєעтакьбјขрıобто́s |
| 100，000 | $\rho$ | бєкакıбرи์рıо七 | ঠєкакıбиขрıобто́s： |

Rem．4．In the compound numbers，both cardinal and ordinal， above twenty（and sometimes even in the leens），the smaller number is generally placed first and connected with the larger by кai（occa－ sionally by $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ ），but sometimes the smaller follows without a connec－

Rem．5．In expressing eighteen，nineteen（eighteenth，nineteenth）， twenty－eight，twenty－nine，etc．，a subtractive form is sometimes used， consisting of the part．of $\delta \delta \epsilon$（want）agreeing either with the smaller
 fifty，one wanting）；ধ́vòs $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa o \sigma \tau o ́ s ~ a ̀ \nu \eta ́ \rho ~(u n d e q u i n q u a g e s i m u s ~$ vir，the fiftieth man，wanting one）．

Rem．6．In regard to fractions and mixed numbers，$\frac{1}{2}$ is expressed in general by the adjective $\eta \mu \iota \sigma v s$ ，or by $\dot{\eta} \mu \iota$ prefixed to the term des－ ignating any particular kind of weight，measure，or magnitude ；as， $\dot{\eta} \mu \tau$ ć̀ a avtov（half－talent），etc．$; \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}$ ，etc．by compounding the ordi－ nals $\tau \rho i \neq o s$, etc．with the noun $\mu \dot{\prime} \rho \iota o \nu\left(\right.$ part ）；as，$\tau \rho \iota \tau \eta \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota \nu \frac{1}{3}, \tau \epsilon-$ $\tau a \rho \tau \eta \mu$ ópıo $\frac{1}{4}$ ，etc．In expressing mixed numbers，either the ordinal next greater than the whole number is placed before the above ex－ pressions for fractions，or the cardinal before their plural forms；the former implying the antecedent wholes by expressing a subsequent
入avtov（ $2 \frac{1}{2}$ talents，lit．the third half talent，implying two whole ones besides），or，трía $\dot{\eta} \mu \tau \tau^{\prime} \lambda a \nu \tau a\left(1 \frac{1}{2}\right.$ talents，three half－talents），etc．

## § 23．Declension of Numerals．

1．The first four cardinal numbers are thus declined ：－

| N．eis | $\mu i a$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { E }}$ | రivo（ $\delta \dot{v} \omega$ ） |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G．évós | $\mu \mathrm{a}$ as | ¢̇vós |  |  |
| D．$\chi^{\prime} \nu i^{\prime}$ | $\mu \mu \hat{̣}$ | evi | סvoiv（ $\delta v \sigma i$, ovo |  |
| A．$\stackrel{\epsilon}{\tau} \nu a$ | $\mu i a \nu$ | $\underset{\epsilon}{\tau}$ ． | ¢ivo（ $\delta$ v́w）． |  |
| N．$\tau \rho \epsilon i$ is | трia |  | $\tau \epsilon \prime \sigma \sigma a \rho \epsilon s$（ $\tau \in \prime \tau \tau a \rho \epsilon s$ ） | $\tau \dot{\tau}$ ¢ $\sigma$ apa |
| G．$\tau \rho \iota \bar{\omega} \nu$ | $\tau \rho \iota \hat{\nu} \nu$ |  | $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ |  |
| D．$\tau \rho \iota \sigma i$ | $\tau \rho \iota \sigma i$ |  | $\tau_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \sigma \boldsymbol{*}$（poet．$\tau^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau$ | avı） |
| A．$\tau \rho \epsilon i$ is | трía |  | $\tau \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$＇́大apas | $\tau \dot{\tau} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ 人a． |

Rem．1．The negative compounds of cis，ov̀deís and $\mu \eta \delta \in i s$ ，are
 and are sometimes used in the plural ；as，ov̀déves，ovidév $\omega \nu \nu$ ，oủ $\delta^{\prime} v a s$.

Rem．2．＂$A \mu \phi \omega$ ，like $\delta \dot{v} o$ ，has ${ }_{\text {a }} \mu \phi$ oiv in the gen．and dat．of all genders，and has only these forms．But both this and $\delta v_{0}, \delta v^{\prime} \omega$ are
sometimes indeclinable，especially in Homer．In the Epic dialect סvio becomes סotú，סotoí，D．סotois，etc．

2．Of the other cardinals，only the round numbers from two hundred（סıaкó⿱宀㠯九o）to ten thousand（ $\mu \nu \dot{\rho} \iota \iota \iota$ ）are declined． These are declined like the plural（like the singular only when they belong to a collective noun in the singular）of adjectives with three endings in os，$\eta(a)$ ，ov，while all ordi－ nals are declined like these adjectives both in the singular and plural．

Rem．3．To express two or more thousands or tens of thousands， numeral adverbs are prefixed to $\chi^{i \lambda} \lambda \iota o \iota$ and $\mu \dot{\nu} \rho \iota o \iota$ ； $\mathfrak{e s}, \tau \rho \iota \sigma \chi i \lambda \iota o \iota$ （three thousands），$\pi \in \nu \tau a k \iota \sigma \mu \dot{\rho} \rho \iota o \iota(f i f t y ~ t h o u s a n d s) . ~$

## SECTION VI．

pronouns．

## §̧ 24．Personal Pronouns．

1．The simple substantive or personal pronouns＇́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}(I)$ ， $\sigma \dot{v}$（thou），ï（he，she，it），aùтós（he，himself）：—

Singular．

|  | $\sigma$ vi |
| :---: | :---: |
| G．${ }_{\text {¢ }} \mu \mathrm{ov}, \mu \mathrm{v}$ ，of me | бov̂ |
|  | бoí |
| A．＇̇ $\mu$＇$, ~ \mu \epsilon ', ~ m e$ | $\sigma^{\prime}$ |



N．$\dot{\eta \mu \epsilon i s, ~ w e ~}$
G．$\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$ ，of $u s$
D．$\dot{\eta} \mu i v$, to $u s$
A．$\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s, ~ u s$

Plural．

Rem．1．Aúrós with the article means the same，and is often cons tracted with the article，making $\tau a \dot{u} \tau o \bar{u}$（from $\tau o \hat{v} a \dot{\tau} \tau o \hat{\text { i }}$ ，$\tau a \dot{u} \tau \omega, \tau a u ̈ \tau \eta$ ， тaưó or $\tau a \dot{u} \tau \circ \dot{\nu}(\nu$ being often added to the neuter of the contracted form）．

DIALECTIC FORMS OF $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega}, \sigma \dot{v}, i$.
fIRST PERSON. SECOND PERSON.
Singular.
N. $\epsilon ่ \gamma \omega ่ \nu$ (Æol., Ep.) ; є่ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \gamma a$, $\tau \dot{v}$ (Dor.), $\tau \dot{v} \nu \eta$ (Ep.). єं $\gamma \omega \dot{\nu} \eta$ (Dor.).
G. $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \in,, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \hat{v}, \mu \epsilon \hat{v}$ (Ep. and Ion.); $\tau \in \hat{v}$ (Dor.) ; $\sigma \epsilon \in, \sigma \epsilon \hat{v}$ (Ep. and
 époûs (Æol. and Dor.).
 (Dor.).
N. A. $\nu \hat{\omega} \ddot{\imath}, \nu \hat{\omega} \stackrel{\nu}{\nu}$ (Ep.).
G. D. $\nu \omega ̈ \nLeftarrow \nu$ (Ep.).

Ion.) ; $\sigma \in$ îo, тєоїо (Ep.); $\sigma^{\prime} \theta \in \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (poet.); $\tau \in \hat{v} s, \tau \in o \hat{v} s$ (Æol. and Dor.) ; rios, ri$\omega s, \tau i \omega, \tau \iota v \bar{s}$ (Dor.).
$\tau i \nu, \tau \in i ้ \nu$ (Ep. and Dor.) ; тоí (Ep. and Ion.).

Dual.
$\sigma \phi \hat{i}, \sigma \dot{\phi} \omega \ddot{̈} \nu(\mathrm{Ep}).$.
$\sigma \phi \omega ̈ ̈ ้ \nu(E p).$.
Plural.
 $\stackrel{a}{a} \mu \mu \in s$ (Æol.). $\quad \underset{v}{\mu} \mu \in s$ (Æol.).
G. $\quad \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ (Ep. and Ion.) ; $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \in \nu$ (Ep.) ; ả $\mu \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ (Eol.).
D. ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \iota$ (Ep. Æol.) ; ${ }^{\prime} \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota \iota$ (※ol.).
A. $\quad \dot{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \notin a s$ (Ep. and Ion.) ; ä á $\mu \mu \in \quad \dot{v} \mu \in ́ a s$ (Ion.) ; ${ }^{\imath} \mu \mu \epsilon$ (Ep. and (Ep. \& Æol.) ; á $\mu \dot{\prime}$ (Dori.). Æol.) ; í $\mu \in ́$ (Dor.).

THIRD PERSON.

Dual.
$\dot{v} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ (Ep. and Ion.) ; $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \omega \nu$ (Ep.) ; $\dot{v} \mu \mu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ (Eol.).
${ }_{v} \mu \mu \nu \nu$ (Ep. and ※ol.).

Singular.
G. $\bar{\epsilon} o, \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{U}}$ (Ep. and Ion.) ;
 €ov̂s (Eol. and Dor.).
D. €́ô.
 $\nu^{\prime} \nu, \sigma \phi_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ (Dor. and also Attic poetry).
N. A.
$\sigma \phi \omega \epsilon, \sigma \phi \omega$
(Ep.).
G. D. $\sigma \phi \omega i \nu(\mathrm{Ep}$.$) .$ 2. From the genitive of the above
rived the possessive pronouns.
jectives in os, and are as follows: -

 you two, only Epic), éós (Dor. and Ep.), ös (his, her, its, Dor. and Ep., but the Attic generally uses éautov̂ instead of it), $\sigma \phi_{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ (generally plur., their).

Rem. 2. In the Doric and Epic dialect we find ápós or á $\mu$ ós, our,
 $\dot{\eta} \mu$ '́ $\tau \epsilon \rho o s$ and $\dot{v} \mu \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$.
3. Reflexive Pronouns. - These are $\epsilon \mu a u \tau o \hat{v}$ (of myself, my own), $\sigma \epsilon a v \tau o \hat{v}$ (of thyself, thy own), éavтoû (of himself, his own). They are composed of aùzós joined to the three accusatives $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \dot{\epsilon}, \sigma \epsilon$, and $\tilde{\epsilon}$, the parts being always separated in Homer, and in the plural of the first and second person, and sometimes of the third, in the Attic dialect. They can have no nominative, of course, since they always express the object of one's own action, thought, or reference.

## Singular.

G. $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu a v \tau o \hat{v}-\hat{\eta} s$
D. $\epsilon \mu a v \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad-\hat{\eta}$
A. $\epsilon \mu a v \tau o ́ v ~-\eta ́ \nu$
G. $\sigma \epsilon a v \tau o \hat{v} \quad-\hat{\eta} s \quad \hat{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu a v ̉ \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$
D. $\sigma \epsilon a v \tau \hat{\varphi} \quad-\hat{\eta} \quad \hat{v} \mu i ̂ \nu$ av̀roîs (-aîs)
A. $\sigma \in a v \tau o ́ \nu ~-\eta \eta^{\nu}$

| G. ¢̇avtov | - $\hat{\eta} s$ |  | $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ à̇ $\tau \omega \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. $\mathfrak{\epsilon}$ ¢vт¢ิ | $-\hat{\eta}$ | éavooîs -aîs, or | $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ aùroîs (-ais) |
| A. éavtóv | - $\dagger$ - | éautoús -ás -á, or | ( |

Rem. 3. $\sigma$ Gavtov̂ and éautov̂ are often contracted in the different cases by dropping the $\epsilon$; as, $\sigma a v \tau o \hat{v}, \sigma a v \tau \hat{\varphi}, \sigma a v \tau \dot{\eta} \nu ; \operatorname{av} \tau \hat{\varrho}, \operatorname{av} \tau \hat{\eta}$, aúroîs, avíaîs, etc.
4. The reciprocal pronoun is $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ (of one another), an abridged compound from ${ }^{a} \lambda \lambda o \iota{ }^{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \omega \nu$. As it always refers to more than one, and implies the action of one on another, it can, of course, have no singular and no nominative.

| Plural． |  |  | Dual． |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G．$a^{3} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ | $\vec{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{ }$ ¢ $\omega \nu$ | $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ | $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda$ oıv | $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \iota \nu$ | ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda^{\prime} \lambda$ oı |
| D．ả $\lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda$ oıs | ả入入ウ́入ats | $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda o \iota s$ |  | à $\lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda a \iota \nu$ |  |
| A．${ }^{3} \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda$ ous | $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda a s$ | $\stackrel{a}{ }{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda a$ | ${ }^{\beta} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega$ | $a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda a$ | $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{ } \lambda \omega$ ． |

## § 25．Demonstrative and Relative Pronouns．

1．The demonstrative pronouns are oĩtos，öס̀（this，the for－ mer generally referring to what precedes，the latter to what follows），and $\epsilon \in \epsilon i v o s$（that）． $\bar{\delta} \delta \epsilon$ is simply the article with the inseparable particle $-\delta \epsilon$ ，and is declined like the article，which see，$\$ 10,4$ ．The others are thus declined ：－

Singular．

| N． | ovitos | aṽrך | тоиิто | ékeivos | ¢̇ккìm | ėкยìvo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G． | тои́тov | тav́rךs | тоบ́тov | èkeivou | ékeivqs | éкeívov |
| D． | тойт¢ | таข์т！ | тои́т¢ |  |  | ¢́кеiv¢ |
| A． | тоขิтоข | тaúr ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | тоขิто | ékeîvov | е̇кеі柿 | ย̇кєì̀o |
|  | Plural． |  |  |  |  |  |


| อขิтoı | aû̃aı | тav̂ta | éкeî̀ou | ėkeìval | ékeìva |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| тoữ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | тơ̇т ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | тоv่т $\omega \nu$ |  |  | ėкeiv |
| D．тойтоוя | тav̇taıs | тovíoıs | ¢̇кeívoıs | ¢́кeivals | ékeivo |
| A．tovitovs | taútas | rav | ékéivo | Ékei | ékeîv |

## Dual．

| N．A．тоv́т $\omega$ | тaúta | тои́т $\omega$ |  | ¢̇кєiva | ¢̇кєive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G．D．тov́toıข | таíтaı | тойтоь | ékeivoıv | ékeíval | Ėkeivotv． |

Rem．1．As to the dialectic peculiarities，the article，which prop－ erly belongs here，has roi and raí（oîios，also，тovizo，ravíal）in the nom．plur．in the Doric dialect，besides other peculiarities in the oblique cases，such as nouns of the first and second declensions have． ＇Eкєivos becomes кєivos in the Epic dialect and in Attic poetry，к $\bar{\eta} \nu o s$ Æol．，$\tau \dot{\eta} v o s$ Dor．In ovitos and aùrós，the Ionic inserts $\epsilon$ before the long endings ；as，$\tau o v \tau \epsilon ́ \omega$, a à $\tau \in \neq$ ，etc．Æolic $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \omega \nu$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ ．

Rem．2．Like oûtos are declined toбoûtos（so great），toooûtos （such），and $\tau \eta \lambda \iota к o \hat{\tau} \tau o s$（so old），except that oû̃os，in these words， does not take a $\tau$（and often ends in $\nu$ in the neuter singular）at the

 ${ }_{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$（other，another）．
2. The relative pronoun ös (who, which, that) is declined as follows : -

Singular.
Plural.

## Dual.

| N. ös | $\eta$ | \% | Oi | ait | $\stackrel{a}{a}$ | ¢ | $\ddot{a}$ ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. ov̂ | ìs | oî | $\hat{\omega}^{\nu} \nu$ | ¢ิ $\nu$ | $\bar{\omega} \nu$ | oiv | aiv |
| D. $¢^{*}$ | $\stackrel{\text { in }}{ }$ | ¢ิ | ois | ais | ois |  | he gen. |
| A. öv | $\eta \nu$ | \% | oưs | äs | $\ddot{a}$ |  | he nom |

Rem. 3. The article is mostly used for the relative in the Doric and ancient forms of the language and in the Tragedians; also, to a considerable extent, in the Epic language. In Homer and the Doric, $o ̈$ stands for ös ; oio in the Epic and Ionic, and sometimes öov Epic and $\tilde{\epsilon} \eta s$ Homeric, for ov̂, $\hat{\eta} s$.

## § 26. Indefinite and Interrogative Pronouns.

1. The indefinite and interrogative pronouns have the same form (tis, ris, any certain, some one ; who? which? what ?), but are distinguished from each other by their accent and position relative to the word to which they belong; the former having its accent throughout (when it does not lose it by being an enclitic) on the last syllable (and always written as grave when not a circumflex) and standing after its word, the latter retaining the accent (always written acute, except on the contracted forms) on the first syllable throughout, and standing before its word.

INDEFINITE.

| N. | ris | $\tau \grave{ }$ | tis | $\tau i$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. | тıvòs, toû |  | tivos, toû |  |
| D. | $\tau \iota \nu \grave{L}$, $\tau \hat{\iota}$ |  | тive, т $\hat{¢}$ |  |
| A. | тıvà | $\tau i$ | tiva | $\tau i$ |
| Plural. |  |  |  |  |
| N. | тเขย̇s | $\tau \iota \nu \mathrm{à}, \stackrel{\text { ätra }}{ }$ | tives | tiva |
| G. | $\tau \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu$ |  | тiv@ |  |
| D. | $\tau \iota \sigma i$ |  | тíбı |  |
| A. | тıvàs | $\tau \iota \nu a ̀, ~ a ̈ \tau \tau a$ | tivas | riva |
| Dual. |  |  |  |  |
|  | . $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon{ }^{\text {che }}$ |  | tive |  |
|  | . $\tau \iota \nu$ ồ |  | тivolv. |  |

Rem. 1. The negative compounds of tis, oürıs, $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota s$, etc. are declined like the simple tis ; as, oütıvos, oütıv, etc.
2. When the interrogative ris and other interrogative words (whether pronouns or adverbs) stand in dependent sentences, the relative ös, of is prefixed to them, making them indirect interrogatives ; as, öбтıs, ómóбos, ómoîos, ómóтєроs, ö ö etc. In ötus (who, whoever), but not in the others, the relative is declined throughout with the interrogative. Thus:-

## Singular.

| N. öroıs | ท̈тıs ötı | oïtues |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. ovitcvos, ötov |  |  |  |
|  | ¢itcıl | oiotıoı, ötoıs(rare) | aiotıot |
| A. ồтıขa | ทัข | oṽoтıvas | äбтıvas ảtıva, |

Rem. 2. The forms tis, tis have in the dialects, gen. téo, $\tau \in \hat{v}$ (Ep., Ion., and Dor.), dat. $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon, \tau \hat{\omega}$ (Ep., Ion.) ; and $\tau i s$, gen. plur. $\tau^{\prime} \omega_{\nu}$ (Ep., Ion.), dat. $\tau \in \neq \sigma \sigma \iota$ (Ep., Ion.), neut. plur. ä $\sigma \sigma a$. In ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$ the relative (which then becomes ${ }^{\circ}$ ) is frequently not declined in the Epic and Ionic, and $\tau$ is doubled in the neuter, as, ötcs, ö ${ }^{\circ} \tau \tau$, and in the oblique cases has the like dialectic endings to those of the simple ris, ris.
3. The indefinite pronoun $\delta$ civa ( $M r$. such-a-one) has but one form for all genders, and is thus declined : -

|  | Singular. | Plural. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N. | $\delta \epsilon i v a$ | $\delta \epsilon i \nu \epsilon s$ |
| G. | $\delta \epsilon i \nu 0 s$ | $\delta \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ |
| D. | $\delta \epsilon i v \iota$ | - |
| A. | $\delta \epsilon i v a$ | $\delta \epsilon i v a s$. |

Sometimes it is wholly indeclinable ; as, $\tau 0 \hat{v}, \tau \hat{\varphi}, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \epsilon i v a . ~$

## § 27. Correlative Pronouns and Adverbs.

Correlative pronouns and adverbs are those which have a mutual relation to each other in both sense and form. The correlative ideas expressed by correlative pronouns relate to quantity or quality; those expressed by adverbs, to place, time, and manner. The relation in each case is that between an interrogative, an indefinite, and a demonstrative and relative,
i. e. between a question answered indefinitely or by a demonstrative followed by a relative. The regular correlatives in Greek, it will be seen from the following, commence with $\pi$ in the interrogatives, and have the same form in the interrogatives and indefinites (being distinguished only by the accent), while the demonstratives commence with $\tau$, and the relatives (and dependent interrogatives) with $\delta$ (except $\bar{\eta} \lambda$ íкos).

## I. PRONOMINAL CORRELATIVES.

Пóros, $\pi \circ \sigma o ́ s, ~ \tau o ́ \sigma o s ~(\tau о \sigma o ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon, ~ \tau o \sigma o v ̂ т o s), ~ o ̈ \sigma o s ~ o r ~ o ́ \pi o ́ \sigma o s, ~=~ h o w ~$ great (many)? of a certain size (or quantity), so great, as ; -
 kind? of a certain kind, such, as; - $\pi \eta \lambda i$ iкоs, . . . . $\tau \eta \lambda$ iко
 .... so old, as. There are also the incomplete and rarely used correlative pronouns, $\pi$ óvтos, of what number? and ómó$\sigma \tau o s$, in whatever number, -Tootaios, in how many days? and óтootaîos, in whatever number of days, túvoos (тvpvov̂тos), so little, (each without the other correlative parts,) and mooanós, $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \delta a \pi o ́ s(\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \delta a \pi o ́ s$, ả $\lambda \lambda о \delta a \pi o ́ s, \pi a \nu \tau o \delta a \pi o ́ s)$, óтобатós, $=$ of what country? of our (your, another, every) country, of whatever country.

## II. ADVERBIAL CORRELATIVES.

$\Pi o \hat{v}$ ( $\pi o ́ \theta_{\imath}$ poet.), $\pi o v ́$ ( $\tau \dot{\partial} \theta_{\imath}$ poet.), ồ ( $\dot{u} \theta_{\imath}$ poet.) or ỡ $\pi o v$






 . . . at this (that) time of day, at which time of day; 一 $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$,
 somehow, thus (so), as ; - $\pi \hat{\eta}$, $\pi \eta \dot{\eta}$, ( $\tau \hat{\eta}$ poet.) $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ or $\tau a v i \tau \eta, ~ \grave{\eta}$
or ön $\eta,=$ in what way (direction) ? in some way, in this way, in which way ; - побáкıs, . . . тобáкıs, óбáкıs or óтобáкıs = how often? . . . so often, as often, as. Also the somewhat correlative words $\tau \in \epsilon \omega s$, so long, $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\omega} \omega$, till; $\tau o ́ \phi \rho a$, so long as, ö $\phi \rho a$, as long as.

Rem. In common prose, the ideas here, there are expressed by
 $\theta \epsilon \nu$ (Ion. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \nu)$. There are also the common demonstrative adverbs $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ (now) and $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o$ (hither), and the relative adverbs $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ and


## § 28. Strengthening of Pronouns.

1. The enclitic particle $\gamma^{\epsilon}$ ( $\gamma^{\prime}$ Dor. and Æol.), which is placed after words of all sorts to give prominence or emphasis to their meaning, is often actually joined to $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ and $\sigma \dot{v}$ for this purpose, in which case the accent is thrown back to the first syllable, in $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$, except in the gen. ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$; as, ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ (I for one, I for my part), ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \circ \gamma \epsilon, \sigma \dot{\gamma} \epsilon$, etc. The Æolic and Doric add $\nu \eta$ also, in the same way ; as, $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega \dot{\omega} \eta$.
2. The suffix - $\delta \varepsilon$ is added to certain demonstrative words to increase their demonstrative force ; as, ö $\delta \epsilon$, $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \circ \circ \circ \sigma \delta \epsilon$, $\bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon$, etc. With like effect, also, the accented iota ( $i$ long) is added to demonstrative pronouns and adverbs, and absorbs a preceding short vowel ; as, oúrovi (this here), avini, rovti (o being ab-
 тоvтó $\gamma \in i$ i), $\tau a v \tau a \gamma i$, , etc.
3. The enclitic $\pi \epsilon \rho$ is attached to all relatives to give precision and exactness to the relative meaning (just as $\tau \epsilon$ is in the Epic) ; as, ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ (precisely who); ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \sigma \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho,{ }^{\circ} \theta \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$, etc.
4. The particles $\delta \dot{\eta}, \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \circ \tau \epsilon$, oủ $\nu, \delta \eta \pi o \tau o v ิ \nu$, are often added to relative pronouns (but not to the simple relative ös), and some relative adverbs, in order to make their meaning more comprehensive, like our suffix ever in whoever, whatever, etc.; as,



## CHAPTER III.

## CONJUGATION OF THE VERB.

## § 29. Introduction.

1. Besides the active and passive, the Greek often uses the tenses of the passive, except the aorist and future, in a reflexive or intransitive sense ; in which sense, also, it has separate forms for the aor. and fut., thus forming a third voice, called the middle. The middle voice, then, expresses the action of an agent upon himself, a part of himself, or in some way in ref.
 $\kappa є \phi a \lambda \eta$, he struck his head ; $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a$, to weigh with one's self, consider.

Rem. 1. Verbs having only the middle voice are called deponent verbs; but if they have their aorist tense in the passive form (as many do), they are called deponent passives.
2. In addition to the tenses of our language, the Greek has what is called an aorist tense in each of the three voices, corresponding to the Latin narrative perfect, and nearly to our narrative imperfect, as distinguished from the progressive form with am, was, etc., which form corresponds to the Greek imperfect.
3. The Greek, also, has two forms for the aorist and future in both the active, passive, and middle, and two perfects and pluperfects in the active, besides the future perfect, which is both middle and passive.
4. These double tenses are distinguished as primary and secondary tenses, some verbs forming one and some the other, but not commonly both, even in a single tense. Pure verbs (i. e. those having a vowel before the ending $\omega$ ), with few exceptions, form only the primary tenses; while mute and liquid verbs may form both, except the second future active and middle, which is formed only by liquid verbs.

The future perfect is almost wholly confined to pure and mute verbs, and occurs but rarely even in these.
5. The present, perfect, and future are called principal tenses ; the imperfect, pluperfect, and aorist, historical tenses.
6. The modes in Greek are the indicative, the subjunctive, the optative, the imperative, and the infinitive. The subjunctive is much more largely used than in English, being often used where we use the indicative, or the potential of a principal tense, while the optative corresponds almost wholly to the historical tenses of the English potential. Hence the optative may be considered as the subjunctive of the historical tenses.
7. Besides the modes, the Greek verb also has participles and the gerundive or verbal adjective in tós and téos.
8. Only the principal tenses have the infinitive, imperative, and participle, and of these the future never has the imperative, and the perfect but rarely, since a command is always present, either as something independent or primary in its character (imper. aor.), or as subordinate (imper. pres.). Also, the future has no subjunctive, as the subjunctive is itself future in meaning; and the aorist has both the subjunctive and optative, because it is used both as a principal and an historical tense.
9. The verb, like the noun, has three numbers, the singular, the dual, and the plural; but there is no separate form for the first person of the dual in the active voice and the first and second aorist passive (which have endings of the same kind as the active), its place being supplied by the first person plural. By some grammarians the first person dual is rejected throughout the passive, as well as in the active.
10. Besides verbs ending in $\omega$ in the indicative present, there are a few which end in $\mu$, and form their present, imperfect, and second aorist active and middle with different endings from other verbs. Verbs of the first form are called verbs in $\omega$; those of the second, verbs in $\mu$.

## SECTION I.

## VERBS IN $\omega$.

## § 30. Classification of Verbs in $\omega$.

1. Verbs in $\omega$ are classified according to the last letter of the root, which may generally be found by dropping $\omega$ of the pres. indic. 'This letter is called the characteristic, as it determines the character or class of the verb.
2. When the characteristic is a vowel, the verb is called a pure verb, when it is a pi-, kappa-, or tau-mute, it is called a mute verb, and when one of the liquids $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho$, a liquid verb.
3. When the characteristic vowel is $a, \epsilon$, or $o$, this is generally contracted with the inflectional endings in the pres. and imperf., and the verb is called a contract pure verb, or simply a contract verb ; as, $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega, \phi \iota \lambda \omega$.
4. The root obtained by dropping $\omega$ of the pres. is not always the true root of the verb, but is often strengthened in mute and liquid verbs by the introduction of vowels or consonants which do not properly belong to the real root of the word. When the root of the pres. thus differs from the true root, it is called the strengthened or impure stem, and the true root, after rejecting the vowels or consonants by which it is strengthened, the pure root or stem. The characteristic, also, is called pure or impure, according as it is the final letter of the true root, or that modified by the strengthening consonant; as, $\tau u ̛ \pi \tau \cdot \omega$, - impure stem $\tau v \pi \tau$, pure $\tau v \pi$; impure characterteristic $\pi \tau$, pure $\pi$.

Rem. 1. The strengthened stem of mute and liquid verbs remains only in the pres. and imperf., the pure stem being ahways found in the second agr. or some other secondary tense (when used), or in derivative words.
5. The strengthened stem of verbs of the pi-mute class ends in $\pi \tau$, the pure stem ending in $\pi$ (except in $\beta \lambda \dot{\lambda} \pi \tau \omega$ and

 є́ко́т $\downarrow$.
6. The strengthened stem of verbs of the kappa-mute class generally ends in $\sigma \sigma$ or $\tau \tau$ (rarely in $\zeta$ ), the pure stem ending in $\gamma$ (except in $\phi \rho i \sigma \sigma \omega$, which ends in $\kappa$ ) ; as, $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$, sec. perf. $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \gamma-a$.
7. The strengthened stem of verbs of the tau-mute class generally ends in $\zeta$ (rarely in $\sigma \sigma, \tau \tau$ ), the pure stem ending in $\delta$; as, коці $\zeta \omega$, derivative коціб $\eta$.

Rem. 2. . The following rerbs ending in $\sigma \sigma \omega$ ( $\tau \tau \omega$ ) have $\delta$ (instead of $\gamma$ ) for their pure characteristic, viz. жá $\sigma \sigma \omega, \pi \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega, \beta \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega,{ }^{\epsilon} \rho^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \sigma-$ $\sigma \omega, \pi \tau i \sigma \sigma \omega, \beta \lambda i \tau \tau \omega$, and perhaps a few others. On the contrary, of those ending in $\zeta \omega$, those denoting a sound or cry (as, $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \zeta \omega$, to groan), tngether with $\sigma \tau a ́ \zeta \omega, \sigma \tau i \zeta \omega, \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \zeta \omega, \mu a \sigma \tau i \zeta \omega, \sigma \phi v{ }^{\prime} \zeta \omega$, and $\dot{a} \lambda a \pi a \dot{\zeta} \omega$, have $\gamma$ for their pure characteristic (instead of $\delta$ ); while $\kappa \lambda a ́ \zeta \omega, \pi \lambda a ́ \zeta \omega, \sigma a \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ have $\gamma \gamma$. The verbs $\pi a i \zeta \omega, \dot{a} \rho \pi a ́ \zeta \omega, \beta a \sigma \tau a ́ \zeta \omega$, and $\nu v \sigma \tau a ́ \zeta \omega$ vary between $\delta$ and $\gamma$.

Rem. 3. The most common strengthening of the stem-vowel in mute verbs is by changing $\epsilon$ into $\epsilon$, and $v$ into $\epsilon v$ in the pres. and im-

8. The stem of many liquid verbs, also, is strengthened in the pres. and imperf., either by doubling $\lambda$, by inserting $\nu$ after the characteristic, by introducing $\iota$ before $\nu$ or $\rho$, in the endings $a \iota \nu \omega, \epsilon \iota \nu \omega, a \iota \rho \omega$, and $\epsilon \iota \rho \omega$, or by simply lengthening in quantity $\iota$ and $v$ in the endings $\bar{i} \nu \omega, \bar{\nu} \nu \omega, \bar{v} \rho \omega$; as, $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ (pure stem $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$ ),



## § 31. Reduplication and Augment.

1. The additions made to the beginning of the stem of verbs in their conjugation are called reduplication and aug. ment.
2. Reduplication takes place in the perf. and pluperf. (which latter tense generally takes, also, the temporal augment $\epsilon$ before the reduplication) of all voices, and in the future perf. mid. or pass., in all verbs commencing with a single con-
sonant (i. e. not two consonants nor a double consonant) or a mute and liquid, except $\rho$, and in most cases $\gamma \nu, \gamma \lambda$, and $\beta \lambda$. It consists in repeating the initial consonant, with $\epsilon$ (Ep. $\epsilon \iota$ in some verbs) after it, which are retained in all the modes and the participle ; as, $\lambda_{v} \omega$, perf. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda v \kappa a$, subj. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{v} \kappa \omega$, infin. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-$ $\lambda v \kappa \epsilon ́ v a l$, part. $\lambda \epsilon-\lambda v \kappa \dot{\omega} \epsilon$, plup. $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime}-\lambda \epsilon-\lambda v{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.

Rem. 1. But $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega, \lambda a \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \omega, \sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ (and some other compounds of $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega$ ), ( $\rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega$ ), and $\mu \epsilon і \rho \rho \mu a \iota$, generally take $\epsilon \iota$ instead of the reduplication; as, $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \omega$, perf. єì $\lambda \eta \phi a$, plup. єỉń $\phi \epsilon \iota \nu$. But $\kappa$ тáo$\mu a t$ takes the reduplication as though it commenced with a mute and liquid; as, perf. кє́'кт $\eta \mu a \iota$.
3. Many verbs beginning with $\epsilon$, o, or a short $a$ before a consonant, instead of the regular reduplication in the perf. and pluperf., augment the vowel, as in the temporal augment, and at the same time repeat before it the unaugmented vowel with the following consonant. This is called the Attic reduplication, but is in general use. It rarely admits any additional augment in the plup. except in $\dot{\eta}_{\kappa \eta \kappa o ́ \epsilon \iota \nu}$; as, $\dot{a}^{\prime} \epsilon \in \omega$,
 second syllable of the stem is a diphthong, it is shortened (except in ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon i \delta \omega \omega$ ) in the reduplicated tenses, viz. $\epsilon \iota$ into $\iota$ (into
 àк- $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\kappa о а, ~ e t c . ~}^{\text {en }}$

Rem. 2. The verbs that take this reduplication (which they gen-
 in the perf. pass.) are (except in the dialects) chiefly the following:

 ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \iota$ and ${ }^{\circ} \mu \nu \nu \mu \iota$.

Rem. 3. The sec. aor. act. of ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ and $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega$ (éveк-) have a similar reduplication (also various other sec. aor., both act. and mid., in the Epic dialect), except that the augment is placed on the repeated vowel, and hence remains only in the indic. ; as, $\eta^{\prime} \gamma a \gamma o \nu, \eta \not \nu \subset \gamma к о \nu$ (but à ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega$, subj.).
4. The augment belongs only to the indicative of the historical tenses; and in verbs beginning with a consonant consists of $\epsilon$ (called the syllabic augment) placed before the stem
of the verb in the imperf. and aor., and before the reduplica-


Rem. 4. But when the verb begins with $\rho$, a double consonant, or two consonants which are not a mute followed by a liquid, and even with $\beta \lambda$ and $\gamma \lambda$ in some cases, and $\gamma \nu$ always, the perf., plup., and future perf have only the syllabic augment (except that $\rho$ is reduplicated in some poetic perfects), like the imperf. and aor., but, unlike those tenses, retain it through all the modes and in the participle, as they do the reduplication, when they have it. In those beginning with $\rho$, the $\rho$ is doubled after the augment (except in a few poetic forms, and where yet $\lambda, \sigma$, and $\mu$ are sometimes doubled) ; as, $\dot{\rho} \dot{i} \pi \tau \omega$,


5. The only augment which verbs beginning with a vowel or diphthong receive in any tense, consists in lengthening, in certain cases, the initial vowel, which is called the temporal augment. But here, also, the perf., pluperf., and fut. perf. retain the augment throughout, while the imperf. and aor. retain it only in the indicative.
6. The temporal augment changes $a$, $a$, a into $\eta, \eta ;$ o, ou into $\omega, \omega ; \epsilon$ into $\eta$; and simply lengthens in quantity $\iota$ and



Rem. 5. But some verbs in $a, a v$, and oo followed by a vowel (but not őopat), and a few in oc not followed by a vowel, are not augmented by any change of vowels, though $a$ is long in quantity in the
 oiкоир $\epsilon$ ' $\omega$, etc.

Rem. 6. In the following verbs in $\epsilon$, the $\epsilon$ is changed into $\epsilon \iota$ by

 (creep), $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \dot{\omega}$ (entertain), ' ' $\chi$ (have), $\tilde{\epsilon} \pi о \mu a \iota$ (follow), and aip'̇ $\omega$


Rem. 7. The o in ov, and generally the $\epsilon$ in $\epsilon v$ and $\epsilon \iota$ at the beginning of verbs, are not changed by augment. But $\epsilon v$ occasionally becomes $\eta v$, and $\epsilon \iota$ becomes $\eta$ in $\epsilon i \kappa a ́ \zeta \omega$.
7. Verbs beginning with $\epsilon$ augment the second vowel ( 0 ) ; as, imp. £́ఱ́pra\}ov ( $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \tau a ́ \zeta \omega)$.

Rem. 8. A few verbs commencing with $\omega$, ov, and some even with a short vowel, take the syllabic augment ( $\epsilon$ ) before their vowel, viz.

 "̈opya. Also some others in the Epic dialect.

Rem. 9. The verbs $\dot{\delta} \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$, à $\nu o \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega$, and $\mathfrak{a} \lambda i \sigma к о \mu a \iota$, take both the

 $\eta$, especially in the later writers, instead of $\epsilon$, as though their stem commenced with a vowel ; as, $\eta^{\eta} \beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu, \eta \partial \delta v \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu, \eta \eta^{\eta} \mu \lambda \lambda o \nu$, etc.

Rem. 11. In common prose only the augment of é $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ (except the augment $\epsilon$ of the pluperf.) can be omitted, which is more commonly $\chi \rho \tilde{\eta} \nu$, but in most kinds of poetry (rarely in the Attic) both the temporal and syllabic augment was often omitted for the sake of the metre, and for other reasons. In Ionic prose, also, the temporal augment is often omitted in all of the tenses.

Rem. 12. Sometimes the present prefixes $\epsilon$ to the root of a verb, which has the appearance of the syllabic augment; as, $\epsilon^{\prime}-\theta^{\prime} \lambda \omega\left(\theta^{\prime} \lambda \omega\right)$. Also, the present of verbs in $\mu$, and some other verbs, whose root begins with a single consonant or a mute followed by a liquid, are reduplicated in the present, by prefixing the first consonant followed by $\iota$ to the stem; as, $\delta i-\delta \omega \mu \iota$ (stem $\delta o-$ ), $\tau \iota-\tau \rho a ́ \omega$, etc.

## § 32. Reduplication and Augment in Compound Verbs.

1. Most verbs compounded with a preposition take the augment and reduplication between the preposition and the verb; but where the compound verb has no simple form in use, or expresses not merely a modification of the idea of the simple verb, but a new idea, like an original verb, the augment and reduplication are often placed before the preposition, as in a simple verb; as, à $\pi-\dot{\varepsilon} \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu(\dot{a} \pi o-\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$, to throw from), ámo$\beta \epsilon \in \beta \lambda \not \eta_{\kappa}$, etc.; but á $\mu \phi \iota \in ́ v \nu \nu \mu \iota$ ( to clothe, having no compound idea in it), aor. $\eta \mu \phi i \in \sigma a$, etc.

Rem. 1. In forming these compounds, prepositions ending in a vowel lose it, except $\pi \in \rho i$ and $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime}$, and the o of the latter of these is generally contracted, by crasis, with the augment $\epsilon$ into ou (as $\pi \rho o u ̈-$ $\beta a \iota \nu o \nu$, hut also $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \in \sigma \chi o \nu$, and the like). The $\nu$, also, in $\epsilon \nu$ and $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$, which had been dropped or assimilated before a consonant in the present, appears again before the augment; as, $\sigma v \nu-\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu(\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega)$, etc.

Rem. 2. Some verbs, on account of their partaking, perhaps, at the same time, of the nature of simples and compounds, take the augment and reduplication both before and after the preposition, viz. a $\mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon^{-}$
 $\delta_{\imath a k o \nu} \epsilon \omega, \dot{a} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \epsilon \in \omega$. Some verbs, also, vary as to the place of the augment, and some as to its being double or single.
2. In all other compound verbs, except those beginning with $\delta v \sigma$ - before a short vowel (in which case this short vowel receives the augment), the augment and reduplication come at



Rem. 3. Occasionally, also, in compounds with $\epsilon \hat{\imath}$, a short vowel following this particle is lengthened by the augment; as, eí $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \in \epsilon$,


## § 33. Tense-Characteristic, Mode-Vowel, and Personal

## Endings.

1. The tense-characteristic is the consonant which immediately follows the stem, in certain tenses, before the modevowel and personal endings; as, $\epsilon^{\beta} \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v-\sigma-\alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$.

Rem. 1. The pres. and imperf. of all voices, and the perf. and pluperf. pass., and all the secondary tenses, except the second future passive, have no tense-characteristic.
2. The tense-characteristic of the first fut. and aor. act. and middle, and the fut. perf., is $\sigma$; that of the first aor. pass., $\theta$; that of the first fut. pass., the syllable $\theta \eta \sigma$, and of the second, $\eta \sigma$; and that of the perf. and pluperf. act. of verbs of the piand kappa-mute class, a mere aspiration of the final mute, but in all other verbs $\kappa$; as, $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma-\omega, ~ \epsilon \lambda \nu-\sigma-\alpha \dot{a} \mu \nu, \lambda \epsilon \lambda \dot{v}-\sigma-o \mu a \iota ; ~ \epsilon ่ \lambda \dot{v}-\theta$ -
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon i-k-a$.

Rem. 2. It will be understood, of course, that in verbs ending in a tau-mute, this mute is dropped before the characteristics $\kappa$ and $\sigma$, and changed into $\sigma$ before $\theta$, and that the pi- and kappa-mutes suffer the usual euphonic changes before $\sigma$ and the aspiration (see § 4) ; as, $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \omega$
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \chi a$ (for $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda \epsilon \kappa-\hat{\alpha})$.

Rem. 3. The fut. and aor. act. and middle of liquid verbs do not take $\sigma$, but the fut. adds $\hat{\omega}$, ov $\mu a \iota$ (coming from $\dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \omega$, 'єо $\sigma a \iota$ ) to the pure stem of the verb, while the aor. simply lengthens this stem, by chang-
ing the final $a$ into $\eta$, and $\epsilon$ into $\epsilon \iota$, and $\iota$ and $v$ short into $\iota$ and $v$ long, before affixing the inflectional endings; as, $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}$, $\notin \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda a$. Hence they belong in character to the secondary tenses.

Rem. 4. The first aor. act. and mid. of the verbs $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$, , $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mu$, and $i \eta \mu \iota$ has $\kappa$, instead of $\sigma$, for characteristic, after the analogy of
 aorists $\epsilon i \pi a, \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa a$, and ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in a$ have no characteristic.
3. The mode-vowel and personal endings constitute that part of a verbal form which follows the tense-stem (consisting of the stem of the verb, with the augment, if any, prefixed, and the tense-characteristic affixed to it). The mode-vowel varies with the mode, and the personal ending with the person and number of the verb (as, $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}-o-\mu a l$, $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}-\omega-\mu a v$, $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime}-\epsilon-$ $\left.\sigma \theta \epsilon, \beta o v \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime}-\eta-\sigma \theta \epsilon\right)$. But often the mode-vowel is so blended by contraction with the initial vowel of the personal ending, that they do not appear as separate parts. Hence these two elements, and the tense-characteristic, will be exhibited together in the paradigms of the verb, and separated from the verbstem; as, $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v}-\sigma \eta s$ for $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v ं-\sigma \eta-\iota s$, $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime}-\sigma \eta$ for $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \epsilon-a \iota$.
4. The following things should also be observed with regard to the personal endirgs and mode-vowels, which may be seen from inspection of the paradigms : -
a) That the third person dual of the principal tenses in the indic. and subj. of all voices ends in ov, and of the historical tenses, in $\eta \nu$ (occasionally, also, the sec. pers. in Attic writers).
b) That the third pers. plur., indic., and subj. active of the principal tenses ends in $\sigma \iota$ (arising from $-\nu \tau \iota,-\nu \sigma \iota$ ), and of the historical tenses (indic. and opt.) in $-\nu$; while in the middle, the third pers. plur. of the first class of tenses ends in - vtau, and of the second in - $\nu \tau 0$.
c) The principal tenses in the sing. of the middle (indic. and subj.) end in $-\mu a l,-\sigma a l,-\tau a l$, the historical tenses (indic. and opt.), in $-\mu \eta \nu,-\sigma о,-\tau о$.
d) The mode-vowel of the subj. is always that of the indic. lengthened, viz. o into $\omega, \epsilon$ and $a$ into $\eta$, and $\epsilon \iota$ into $\eta$.
e) The mode-vowel of the opt. is always ot, except in the first aor. act. and middle, where it is a.
f) The secondary or abbreviated ending of the third pers. plur. of the imper. act. is always the same in form (except in the perf.) as the gen. of the part. of the same tense.

## § 34. Paradigms of Verbs in $\omega$.

In order to make a full paradigm of verbs in $\omega$, the forms of the pure verb are given as far as used, and the other parts, essential to exhibit the characteristic formations of the other classes of verbs, have been borrowed from verbs of those classes. For this purpose, the old device of a second future active and middle has been revived, but by taking it from liquid verbs, where the form actually exists.

## Active Voice.

## Present.

## Imperfect.

Indicative.
S. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-\omega$, I free
2. $\lambda \dot{\lambda}$-ets, thou freest
3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\epsilon t$, he (she, it) frees
D. 1 .
2. $\lambda \hat{\nu}-\epsilon \tau o \nu$, you two free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\epsilon \tau 0 \nu$, they two free
P. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-о \mu \in \nu$, we free
2. $\lambda \hat{v}-\epsilon \tau \epsilon$, you free
3. $\lambda \dot{\prime}$-oval, they free
$\epsilon-\lambda v-o \nu$, I freed (or was freeing)
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\epsilon s$, thou freedst
$\ddot{\epsilon}-\lambda \nu-\epsilon$, he freed
${ }_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{\lambda}$-єтov, you two freed
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{-} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \neq \eta \nu$, they two freed
$\hat{\epsilon}-\lambda \hat{\nu}-\frac{o \mu \epsilon \nu}{}$, we freed
$\epsilon-\lambda \dot{\psi}-\epsilon \tau \epsilon$, you freed
$\epsilon-\lambda v-o \nu$, they freed.

Subjunctive and Optative.
S. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-\omega$, I may (can, etc.) free
2. $\lambda \hat{v}-\eta s$, thou mayst free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\eta$, he may free
D. 1 . $\qquad$
2. $\lambda \dot{\lambda}-\eta$ Tov, you two may free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\eta$ tov, they two may free
P. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-\omega \mu \in \nu$, we may free
2. $\lambda \dot{v}-\eta \tau \epsilon$, you may free
3. $\lambda \hat{v}-\omega \sigma \iota$, they may free
$\lambda \hat{v}-o \iota \mu t$, I might (etc.) free
$\lambda \dot{v}$-ots, thou mightst free
$\lambda \dot{v}-\mathrm{o}$, he might free
$\lambda \dot{v}$-ourov, you two might free
$\lambda v-o i t \eta \nu$, they two might free
$\lambda \dot{v}-o \iota \mu \in \nu$, we might frée
$\lambda \dot{v}$-oוтє, you might free
$\lambda \dot{v}-o \iota \epsilon \nu$, they might free.

Present Inperative, Infinitive, and Participle.
S. 2. $\lambda \hat{v}-\epsilon$, free thou
3. $\lambda v$-ध́ $\tau \omega$, let him free
D. 2. $\lambda \dot{\lambda}-\epsilon \tau 0 \nu$, free you two
3. $\lambda v-\dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega \nu$, let those two free $\lambda \dot{v}-\epsilon \nu \nu$, to free

## Perfect.

P. 2. $\lambda \dot{\lambda}-\epsilon \tau \epsilon$, free ye (you)

$\lambda \dot{v}-\omega \nu$, freeing.

## Pluperfect.

 Indicative.S. 1. $\lambda \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime}-\lambda \bar{v}-\kappa a, I$ have freed
2. $\lambda_{\epsilon}^{\prime}-\lambda v$-kas, thou hast freed
3. $\lambda \hat{\epsilon}-\lambda v-k \epsilon$, he has freed
D. 1 .
2. $\lambda_{\epsilon-\lambda} \hat{u}^{\prime}$-кarov, you two have freed
3. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}$-kauov, they two have freed
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \dot{v}-\kappa \epsilon \omega \nu$, I had freed
${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \dot{\lambda} \dot{-}$-кєเs, thou hadst freed
モ̇ं $\lambda \epsilon-\lambda \hat{u}-\kappa \epsilon \iota$, he had freed
${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \dot{v}$-кєєाov, you two had freed
$\epsilon \cdot \lambda \epsilon-\lambda v-\kappa \epsilon i \neq \eta \nu$, they two had freed

## Middle and Passive.

## Present.

## Imperfect

## Indicative.

$\lambda \dot{v}$-o $\mu a \iota$, I ransom (or pass.)
$\lambda \dot{v}-\eta,-\in l$, thou ransomest
$\lambda \dot{u}$-єтal, he ransoms
$\lambda v$-ó $\mu \in \theta \frac{\nu}{}$, we two ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\epsilon \sigma \theta 0 \nu$, they two ransom
$\lambda v$-ó $\mu \in \theta a$, we ransom
$\lambda \dot{u}-\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}$-ovatal, they ransom
$\epsilon-\lambda v-o ́ \mu \eta \nu$, I ransomed (or pass.)
$\epsilon-\lambda \hat{v}-o v$, thou ransomedst
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \hat{v}-\epsilon \tau 0$, he ransomed
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \nu$-ó $\mu \epsilon \theta$ ov, we two ransomed $\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{u}-\epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two ransomed $\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda u-\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, they two ransomed $\epsilon-\lambda \nu$-ó $\mu \in \theta a$, we ransomed $\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you ransomed $\hat{\epsilon}-\lambda \hat{v}$-ovтo, they ransomed.

Subjunctive and Optative.
$\lambda \dot{v}-\omega \mu a \iota, ~ I ~ m a y ~ r a n s o m ~$
$\lambda \dot{v}-\eta$, thou mayst ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\eta \tau a \iota$, he may ransom
$\lambda \nu-\omega \dot{\mu} \in \theta$ ov, we two may ransom
$\lambda \dot{\prime}-\eta \sigma \theta$ ov, you two may ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\eta \sigma \theta o \nu$, they two may ranson
$\lambda v-\dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a$, we may ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you may ransom $\lambda \dot{v}-\omega \nu \tau a \iota$, they may ransom
$\lambda \nu-o i \mu \eta \nu, I$ might ransom $\lambda \dot{v}-\mathrm{o}$ o, thou mightst ransom $\lambda \hat{\text { viouro, he might ransom }}$ $\lambda \nu$-oi $\mu \in \theta o \nu$, we two might ransom $\lambda \dot{v}$-oוの $\theta o v$, you two might ransom $\lambda v$-oí $\sigma \theta \nu$, they two might ransom $\lambda v$-oí $\epsilon \theta a$, we might ransom $\lambda \hat{v}$-oı $\sigma \theta$, you might ransom $\lambda \dot{\prime}$-oı $\nu \tau$, they might ransom.

Present Imperative, Infinitive, and Participle.
$\lambda \dot{v}$-ov, ransom thou
$\lambda v-\epsilon \in \sigma \theta \omega$, let him ransom $\lambda \dot{u}-\epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$, ransom ye two $\lambda v-\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \omega \nu$, let those two ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, to ransom

## Perfect.

P. 2. $\lambda \dot{v}-\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, ransom ye
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 3. } \lambda v-\epsilon \in \sigma \theta \sigma a \nu \text {, } \\ \text { or }-\epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \nu\end{array}\right\}$ let them ransom.
$\lambda v$-ó $\mu \in \nu o s$, ransoming,

Indicative.
$\lambda \hat{\epsilon}-\lambda \bar{v}-\mu a l$, I have ransomed
$\lambda \hat{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma a \iota$, thou hast ransomed
$\lambda \dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\tau a l$, he has ransomed
$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two have ransomed $\lambda \epsilon \cdot-\lambda v-\sigma \theta o \nu$, you two have ransomed $\lambda \dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma \theta o \nu$, they two have ransomed
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \dot{c}-\mu \eta \nu$, I had ransomed
$\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon^{\prime}-\lambda \nu-\sigma o$, thou hadst ransomed
${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}-\lambda v-\tau \frac{1}{\prime}$, he had ransomed
$\epsilon \cdot \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \dot{v}-\mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two had ransomed
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma \theta o v$, you two had ransomed
$\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \theta \eta \nu$, they two had ransomed

## Active Voice.

P. 1. $\lambda_{\epsilon-\lambda \dot{v}-\kappa a \mu \epsilon \nu \text {, we have freed }}$
2. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{\prime}$-кatє, you have freed
3. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\kappa \bar{\alpha} \sigma \iota$, they have freed
${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \hat{v}-\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$, we had freed
${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \hat{v}-$ - $\epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon$, you had freed
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon-\lambda \dot{v}-\kappa \in \sigma a \nu$, they had freed.

Subjunctive and Optative.
S. 1. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\kappa \omega$, I may have freed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{i}-\kappa o \iota \mu l$, I might have freed
2. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\kappa \eta s$, thou mayst have freed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}$-кoos, thou mightst have freed

D. 1 .
2. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \hat{v}$-кोтov, you two may have $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \hat{v}$-kotrov, you two might have [freed [freed
3. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \hat{v}$-к $\eta \tau 0 \nu$, they two may have $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda v$-кoír $\nu$, they two might have [freed [ freed
P. 1. $\lambda_{\epsilon-\lambda} \lambda^{\prime}-\kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, we may have freed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda^{\prime}$-кoı $\mu \epsilon$, we might have freed
2. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\kappa \eta \tau \epsilon$, you may have freed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \hat{v}$-кoוт $\epsilon$, you might have freed
3. $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\kappa \omega \sigma \iota$, they may have freed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}$-кo七 $\epsilon$, they might have freed.

Perfect Imperative, Infinitive, and Participle.
S. 2. $\lambda \dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\kappa \epsilon$, etc., like the imperative present, in perfects with
3. the sense of the present, in other verbs it is not used.
D. 2 .
3.
$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{v-\kappa \epsilon}$ val, to have freed
First Future.
$\lambda \epsilon-\lambda v$-к $\omega$ s, having freed.

## First Aorist.

Indicative.
S. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \omega$, I shall free
2. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \iota$, thou wilt free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon$, he will free
D. 1 .
2. $\lambda \hat{v}-\sigma \epsilon \tau о \nu$, you two will free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \tau \circ \nu$, they two will free
P. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$, we will free
2. $\lambda \hat{v}-\sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, you will free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}$-бovol, they will free
"'- $\lambda \nu-\sigma a$, I freed

$\epsilon-\lambda v-\sigma \epsilon$, he freed
$\epsilon_{-}^{\prime}-\lambda \hat{v}^{\prime}$-बarov, you two freed
$\epsilon$ दो $\lambda v-\sigma a ́ t \eta \nu$, they two freed
$\hat{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$, we freed
$\hat{\epsilon}-\lambda \hat{v}-\sigma a \tau \epsilon$, you freed
$\epsilon-\lambda v-\sigma a \nu$, they freed.

Optative (Future and Aorist).
S. 1. $\lambda \hat{v}$-бo兀 $\mu, I$ would free
2. $\lambda \dot{v}$-कots, thou wouldst free
3. $\lambda_{i}$-cool, he would free
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \iota \mu$, I might free
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a t s,-\sigma \epsilon t a s$, thou mightst free
$\lambda \hat{i}$ - $\sigma a!$, - $\sigma \epsilon l \epsilon$, he might free

## Middle and Passive.

$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\mu \epsilon \theta a$, we have ransomed $\lambda \hat{\varepsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma \theta \epsilon$, you have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}^{\prime}-\lambda v-\nu \tau a l$, they have ransomed
${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{u}-\mu \epsilon \theta a$, we had ransomed $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma \theta \epsilon$, you had ransomed $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\nu \tau o$, they had ransomed.

Subjunctive and Optative.



 [ransomed
 [ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \in i \eta \neq \eta \nu$, they two might [have ransomed


 Perfect Imperative, Infinitive, and Participle.
$\lambda \epsilon \in \lambda v-\sigma o$, ransom thou
$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{u}-\sigma \theta \omega$, let him ransom
$\lambda \epsilon^{\prime}-\lambda v-\sigma \theta o v$, ransom you two $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{u}-\sigma \theta \omega \nu$, let those two ransom $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{\nu}-\sigma \theta a u$, to have ransomed

## First Future.

P. $\lambda_{\epsilon}^{\prime}-\lambda v-\sigma \theta \epsilon$, ransom ye
$\left.\begin{array}{c}\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda^{2} v-\sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu, \\ \text { or }-\sigma \theta \omega \nu\end{array}\right\}$ let them ransom.
$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\mu \epsilon \in \nu o s$, having ransomed.

Indicative.
$\lambda \dot{v}$-бoнa!, I shall ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta,-\epsilon \iota$, thou wilt ransom
$\lambda \dot{\text { í- }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon \tau a l}$, he will ransnm
$\lambda v-\sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two will ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$; you two will ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta 0 \nu$, they two will ransom
$\lambda v$-бо́ $\mu \in \theta a$, we will ransom $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you will ransom
$\lambda \hat{v}-\sigma o \partial v t a \iota$, they will ransom
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$, I ransomed
$\epsilon \cdot-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \omega$, thou ransomedst
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a t o$, he ransomed
$\epsilon-\lambda v-\sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two ransomed
$\epsilon \in-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two ransomed
$\epsilon-\lambda v-\sigma a ́ \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, they two ransomed
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, we ransomed
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{\prime}-\sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you ransomed
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \nu \tau o$, they ransomed.

Optative (Future and Aorist).
$\lambda \nu-\sigma o i \mu \eta \nu$, I would ransom $\lambda \dot{v}$-бoo, thou wouldst ransom $\lambda \dot{u}$-бoוro, he would ransom
$\lambda v-\sigma a i \mu \eta \nu$, I might ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \iota o$, thou mightst ransom
$\lambda \hat{\text { ú-aalto, he might ransom }}$

## Active Voice.

D. 1.
2. $\lambda$ '́- $\sigma o \iota \tau o \nu, ~ y o u ~ t w o ~ w o u l d ~ f r e e ~$
3. $\lambda v$-бoit $\eta \nu$, they two would free
P. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \circ \iota \mu \in \nu$, we would free
2. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma o \iota \tau \epsilon$, you would free
3. $\lambda \hat{v}$-бoเє, they would free
$\lambda \hat{\text { - }}$-altov, you two might free
$\lambda v-\sigma a i t \eta \nu$, they two might free
$\lambda \dot{v} \cdot \sigma a l \mu \in \nu$, we might free $\lambda \dot{v}$-бaıtє, you might free $\lambda \hat{v}-\sigma a \iota \epsilon \nu,-\sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu$, they might free.

Subjunctive and Imperative (Aorist).
S. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \omega$, I may free
2. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta \mathrm{l}$, thou mayst free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta$, he may free
D.1. -
2. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta \mathrm{Tov}$, you two may free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}$-antov, they two may free
P. 1. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, we may free
2. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$, you may free
3. $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \omega \sigma t$, they may free
$\lambda \hat{\nu}-\sigma o \nu$, free thou
$\lambda \nu$-á́ $\tau \omega$, let him free
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \tau o \nu$, free you two
$\lambda v-\sigma a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, let these two free
$\lambda \hat{v}^{\prime}-\sigma a \tau \epsilon$, free ye
$\lambda v-\sigma a ́ \tau \omega \sigma a \nu,-\sigma a ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, let them free.

Infinitive and Participle (Future and Aorist).
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu(t o)$ will free
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \omega \nu$, about to free
$\lambda \hat{v}-\sigma a \iota$, to free (to have freed)
$\lambda \dot{v}$-aas, freeing (having freed).

## Second Perfect.

Indicative.
S. 1. $\pi \epsilon^{\prime}-\phi \eta \nu-a, I$ appear
2. $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime}-\phi \eta \nu-a s$, thou appearest
3. $\pi \epsilon \in-\phi \eta \nu-\epsilon$, he appears
D. 1.
2. $\pi \epsilon-\phi \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu-a \tau o \nu$, you two appear
3. $\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-a \tau o \nu$, they two appear
P. 1. $\pi \epsilon-\phi^{\prime} \nu-a \mu \epsilon \nu$, we appear
2. $\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-a \tau \epsilon$, you appear
3. $\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\bar{a} \sigma \iota$, they appear
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon-\phi_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu-\epsilon \iota \nu, I$ appeared $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\epsilon ו s$, thou appearedst $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\epsilon \iota$, he appeared
'̇ $\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\epsilon \iota \tau o \nu$, you two appeared $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon-\phi \eta \nu-\epsilon i \neq \eta \nu$, they two appeared $\epsilon \pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$, we appeared $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon-\phi_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu-\epsilon \tau \epsilon$, you appeared $\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\epsilon \sigma a \nu$, they appeared.

Subjunctive and Optative.
S. 1. $\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\omega$, I may appear
2. $\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\eta \mathrm{s}$, thou mayst appear
3. $\pi \epsilon-\phi \eta^{\prime} \nu-\eta$, he may appear
$\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-o \iota \mu$, I might appear
$\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} v-o \iota s$, thou mightst appear $\pi \epsilon$ - $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \nu$-ol, he might appear

## Middle and Passive.

$\lambda v-\sigma o i \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two would ransom $\bar{\lambda} v-\sigma a i \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two might ransom $\lambda \dot{v}$ - $\sigma o \sigma \theta \theta \nu$, you two would ransom $\lambda \dot{v}$ - $\sigma a \iota \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two might ransom $\lambda v-\sigma o i \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, they two would ransom $\lambda v$-बaí $\theta \eta \nu$, they two might ransom $\lambda v$-боí $\epsilon \theta a$, we would ransom $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma o \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you would ransom $\lambda \dot{u}$-бoועто, they would ransom
$\lambda v-\sigma a i \mu \epsilon \theta a$, we might ransom $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you might ransom $\lambda \dot{v}$-बaluto, they might ransom.

Subjunctive and Imperative (Aorist).
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \omega \mu a \iota, ~ I m a y ~ r a n s o m ~$ $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta$, thou mayst ransom $\lambda \dot{v}$-б $\eta$ тal, he may ransom $\lambda \nu-\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two may ransom $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two may ransom $\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta \sigma \theta o \nu$, they two may ransom $\lambda v-\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a$, we may ransom $\lambda \hat{v}-\sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you may ransom $\lambda \dot{i}-\sigma \omega \nu \tau a l$, they may ransom
$\lambda \hat{\imath}$-बal, ransom thou
$\lambda v-\sigma a ́ \sigma \theta \omega$, let him ransom
$\lambda \dot{\prime}-\sigma a \sigma \theta o \nu$, ransom ye two
$\lambda v-\sigma a ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$, let those two ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, ransom ye
$\lambda v-\sigma a ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu,-\sigma a ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$, let them [ransom.

Infinitive and Participle (Future and Aorist).
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, (to) will ransom
$\lambda v-\sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s$, about to ransom
$\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$, to ransom
$\lambda \nu-\sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu o s$, having ransomed.

## Future Perfect.

Indicative.
$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{v}$-бoнaь, I should have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \eta,-\epsilon \iota$, thou wilt have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{\lambda}-\sigma \epsilon \tau a l$, he will have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{v-\sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu}$, we two shall have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{\dot{v}}-\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two will have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta$ ov, they two will have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda^{\prime}-\sigma \sigma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, we shall have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{v}-\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you will have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma o \nu \tau a l$, they will have ransomed.

Optative.
$\lambda_{\epsilon-\lambda v-\sigma o i \mu \eta \nu, ~ I ~ w o u l d ~ h a v e ~ r a n s o m e d ~}^{d}$ $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda^{v}$-oooo, thou wouldst have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \hat{\lambda}$-бoוro, he would have ransomed

## Active Voice．

D． 1 ．
2．$\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\eta \tau o \nu$ ，you two may ［appear
3．$\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\eta \tau o \nu$ ，they two may ［appear
P．1．$\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，we may appear
2．$\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-\eta \tau \epsilon$ ，you may appear
3．$\pi \epsilon-\phi^{\prime} \nu-\omega \sigma \iota$ ，they may appear
$\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu$－oıтo, you two might ap－ ［pear $\pi \epsilon-\phi \eta \nu$－oit $\eta \nu$ ，they two might ap－ ［pear $\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu-o \iota \mu \in \nu$ ，we might appear $\pi \epsilon-\phi \dot{\eta} \nu$－oıt $\epsilon$ ，you might appear $\pi \epsilon-\phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$－o七є $\nu$ ，they might appear．

Second Perfect Imperative，Infinitive，and Participle．
$\pi \epsilon$－$\phi \eta \nu-\epsilon$ ，appear thou $\quad \pi \epsilon-\phi \eta \nu-\epsilon \in \nu a l$ ，to appear $\pi \epsilon-\phi \eta \nu-\dot{\omega} s$.

Second Future．

## Second Aorist．

Indicative．

S．1．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\hat{\omega}, ~ I ~ w i l l ~ s e n d ~$
2．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\epsilon i s$ ，thou wilt send
3．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，he vill send
D．1．
2．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$－єito, you two will send
3．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\epsilon i \tau o \nu$, they two will send
P．1．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，we will send
2．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\epsilon i \tau \epsilon$, you will send
3．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ ，they will send
$\bar{\epsilon}-\lambda \iota \pi-o \nu, I$ left
$\epsilon-\lambda \iota \pi-\epsilon s$ ，thou didst leave
$\epsilon-\lambda \iota \pi-\epsilon$ ，he left
$\qquad$
＇ُ－入im－єтоע，you two left
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \iota \pi-\epsilon \in \tau \eta \nu$ ，they two left
${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{i}-о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，we left
є－$\lambda i \pi-\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ，you left
$\epsilon-\lambda \iota \pi-o \nu$ ，they left．

Optative（Future and Aorist）．
S．1．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o \hat{\imath} \mu \iota,-o i \eta \nu, ~ I ~ w o u l d ~ s e n d ~ \lambda i \pi$－oıนı，I might leave
2．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$－ois，－oins，ihou wouldst send $\lambda i \pi$－ois，thou mightst leave
3．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o i,-o i \eta$ ，he would send $\quad \lambda i \pi-o \iota$ ，he might leave
D． 1 ．
2．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$－oítov，－oíŋтov，you two w．s．入ím－oוтov，you two might leave
3．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o i \tau \eta \nu$ ，－oin $\tau \eta \nu$ ，they twow．s．$\lambda \iota \pi$－oit $\eta$ ，they two might leave
P．1．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o i \mu \epsilon \nu,-o i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，wewould send $\quad \lambda i \pi-o \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，qee might leave
2．$\sigma \tau \leqslant \lambda$－oit,- oí $\tau \epsilon$ ，you would send $\lambda i \pi$－oıt, you might leave
3．$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o i \epsilon \nu,-o i \eta \sigma a \nu$ ，theywould send $\lambda i ́ \pi$－oוє, they might leave．
Subjunctive and Imperative（Aorist）．
S．1．$\lambda_{i} i \omega, I$ may leave
2．$\lambda i \pi!\eta s$, thou mayst leave
3．$\lambda i \pi \eta$ ，he may leave
$\lambda_{i \pi-\epsilon}$, leave thou
$\lambda_{\iota \pi-\epsilon} \tau \omega$ ，let him leave

## Passive and Middle.

$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma o i \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two would have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{i}$-бoเซOov, you two would have ransomed
$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{v-\sigma o i \sigma \theta \eta \nu, ~ t h e y ~ t w o ~ w o u l d ~ h a v e ~ r a n s o m e d ~}$
$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma o i \mu \in \theta a$, we would have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda_{v}$-बoov $\theta \varepsilon$, you would have ransomed $\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{\lambda}$-бoועto, they would have ransomed.

Future Perfect Infinitive and Participle.
$\lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, (to will have ransomed $\quad \lambda_{\epsilon}-\lambda v-\sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$.

## Second Future.

## Second Aorist.

Indicative.
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$-oû $\mu a \iota, ~ I ~ w i l l ~ s e n d ~ f o r ~$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\eta,-\epsilon \hat{\imath}$, thou wilt send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$-єíau, he will send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o \hat{\mu} \mu \in \theta o \nu$, we two will send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\epsilon i \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two will send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta$ ov, they two will send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, we will send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \epsilon$, you will send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$-ô̂vtal, they will send for
$\hat{\epsilon}-\lambda \iota \pi$-ó $\mu \eta \nu$, Istayed (lit. left myself)
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda i \pi-o v$, thou stayedst
$\epsilon \quad \epsilon-\lambda i \pi-\epsilon \tau 0$, he stayed
$\hat{\epsilon}-\lambda \iota \pi-\dot{o} \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two stayed $\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda i \pi-\epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two stayed $\epsilon-\lambda \iota \pi-\epsilon \in \sigma \eta \eta$, they two stayed
$\epsilon-\lambda \iota \pi-o ́ \mu \in \theta a$, we stayed
$\epsilon-\lambda i \pi-\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you stayed
$\epsilon$ - $\lambda i \pi$-орто, they stayed.

Optative (Future and Aorist).
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o^{i} \mu \eta \nu$, I would send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o \hat{0}$, thou wouldst send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$-oíтo, he would send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o i \mu \epsilon \theta \circ \nu$, we two would send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$-oí $\sigma$ Oov, you two would send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$-oíनӨ $\nu$, they two would send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o \hat{\mu} \mu \theta a$, we would send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$-ỗ $\sigma \epsilon$, you would send for $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda$-oivto, they would send for
$\lambda_{l \pi \text {-oi } \mu \eta \nu, ~ I ~ m i g h t ~ s t a y ~}$
$\lambda_{i \pi}$-ooo, thou mightst stay $\lambda i$ in-oıто, he might stay $\lambda \iota \pi$-ó $\mu \in \theta_{o \nu}$, we two might stay $\lambda i \pi$-ovo 0 ov, you two might stay $\lambda_{\lambda} \pi$-oí $\sigma \theta \eta \nu$, they two might stay $\lambda \iota \pi$-oí $\mu \in \theta a$, we might stay $\lambda_{i \pi-o \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon}$, you might stay $\lambda_{i \pi}$-oıvto, they might stay.

Subjunctive and Imperative (Aorist).
$\lambda i \pi-\omega \mu a \iota$, I may stay
$\lambda i \pi-\eta$, thou mayst stay $\lambda_{i \pi-\eta \tau a l}$, he may stay
$\lambda_{\lambda} \pi-o \hat{v}$, stä thou
$\lambda \iota \pi-\epsilon \in \theta \omega$, let him stay

## Aotive Voice.

D. 1 .
2. $\lambda i \pi-\eta \pi o v$, you two may leave
3. $\lambda i$ ir- $\boldsymbol{\eta} \pi o v$, they two may leave
P. 1. $\lambda_{i \pi}-\omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, we may leave
2. $\lambda i \pi-\eta \tau \epsilon$, you may leave
3. $\lambda i \pi-\omega \sigma \iota$, they may leave
$\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \pi-\epsilon \tau о \nu$, leave ye two
$\lambda_{\iota \pi}$-'́ $\tau \omega \nu$, let those two leave

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i \pi-\epsilon \tau \epsilon,} \text { leave ye, etc. } \\
& \lambda_{\iota \pi-\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \sigma a \nu, \text {-óv } \omega \nu .
\end{aligned}
$$

Infinitive and Participle (Future and Aorist).
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\epsilon i \nu$, (to) will send $\lambda_{l \pi-\epsilon i \nu}$, to leave
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-\omega \nu$, about to send
$\lambda \iota \pi-\omega \nu$, leaving, having left.

## Passive Voice.

## First Future.

First Aorist.

## Indicative.


2. $\lambda \nu-\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta,-\epsilon \iota$, thou wilt be freed
3. $\lambda v-\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$, he will be freed
D. 1. $\lambda v-\theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \theta o v$, we two shall be freed
2. $\lambda \nu-\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two will be freed
3. $\lambda v-\theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$, they two will be freed
P. 1. $\lambda v-\theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, we shall be freed
2. $\lambda v-\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you will be freed
3. $\lambda v$ - $\eta^{\prime} \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota$, they will be freeil
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \stackrel{\sim}{u}-\theta \eta \nu, I$ was freed
$\vec{\epsilon}-\lambda v^{\prime}-\theta \eta s$, thou waist freed
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda \dot{v}-\theta \eta$, he was freed
$\epsilon \cdot-\lambda \dot{\prime}-\theta \eta \tau o \nu$, you two were freed
$\epsilon-\lambda v-\theta^{\prime} \tau \eta \nu$, they two were freed
$\dot{\epsilon}-\lambda v^{\prime}-\theta \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$, we were freed
$\epsilon \cdot \lambda \dot{v}-\theta \eta \tau \epsilon$, you were freed
$\epsilon$ '- $\lambda \dot{\prime}-\theta \eta \sigma a \nu$, they were freed.

Optative (Future and Aorist).
S. 1. $\lambda v-\theta \eta \sigma o i \mu \eta \nu, ~ I ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~ f r e e d ~ \quad ~ \lambda v-\theta \epsilon i \eta \nu, ~ I ~ m i g h t ~ b e ~ f r e e d ~$
2. $\lambda v-\theta$ 'ंбoьo, thou wouldst be freed $\lambda v$ - $\theta$ cins, thou mightst be freed
3. $\lambda v$ - $\theta$ jooiro, he would be freed $\quad \lambda v$ - $\theta$ in, he might be freed, etc.
D. 1. $\lambda v-\theta \eta \sigma o i ́ \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two would be freed
2. $\lambda v-\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \iota \sigma \theta o \nu$, you two would be freed $\lambda v$ - $\theta$ єi $\eta$ тоу, $-\theta \epsilon i \tau о \nu$
3. $\lambda v$ - $\theta \eta \sigma o i \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, they two wouldbe freed $\lambda v-\theta \epsilon i \eta \tau \eta \nu,-\theta \epsilon i \tau \eta \nu$
P. 1. $\lambda v-\theta \eta \sigma o i j \epsilon \theta a$, we would be freed $\lambda v-\theta \epsilon i \eta \mu \in \nu,-\theta \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu$
2. $\lambda v-\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you would be freed $\lambda v$ - $\theta \epsilon i \eta \tau \epsilon, \theta \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$
3. $\lambda v$ - $\theta$ 'joolvto, they would be freed $\lambda v$ - $\theta \epsilon i \eta \sigma a \nu,-\theta \epsilon i \epsilon \nu$.

Subjunctive and Imperative (Aorist).
S. 1. $\lambda v-\theta \hat{\omega}, I$ may be freed
2. $\lambda v-\theta_{i!} \mathrm{s}$, thou mayst be freed
3. $\lambda v-\theta \hat{\eta}$, he may be freed
$\lambda v^{\prime}-\theta \eta \tau \iota$, be thou freed $\lambda \nu-\theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$, let him be freed

## Middle and Passive.

$\lambda_{l \pi}-\omega \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$, we two may stay $\lambda_{i \pi}^{\prime}-\eta \sigma \theta 0 \nu$, you two may stay $\lambda_{i} \pi-\eta \sigma \theta \circ \nu$, they two may stay $\lambda_{\iota \pi}-\dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a$, we may stay $\lambda i \pi-\eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, you may stay $\lambda_{i} \pi-\omega \nu \tau a \iota$, they may stay
$\lambda_{i}^{i} \pi-\epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$, stay ye two $\lambda \iota \pi-\epsilon \in \theta \omega \nu$, let those two stay
$\lambda_{i \pi} \pi-\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, stay $y e$, etc. $\lambda_{\iota \pi}-\epsilon \in \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu,-\epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$.

Infinitive and Participle (Future and Aorist).
$\sigma \tau \in \lambda-\epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$, (l0) will send for $\lambda_{\iota \pi}-\epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, to stay
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda-o v$ и $\mu \nu \circ s$, about to send for $\lambda \iota \pi$-ó $\mu \in \nu o s$, staying, having stayed.

## Passive Voice.

D. 1.
2. $\lambda v-\theta \hat{\eta} \tau о \nu$, you two may be freed $\lambda \dot{v}-\theta \eta \tau o \nu$, he ye two freed
3. $\lambda v$ - $\theta \bar{\eta} \tau о \nu$, they two may be freed $\lambda v$ - $\theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$, let those two be freed
P. 1. $\lambda v-\theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$, we may be freed
2. $\lambda v$ - $\theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, you may be freed $\quad \lambda v^{\prime}-\theta \eta \tau \epsilon$, be ye freed, etc.
3. $\lambda v-\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, they may be freed $\lambda v-\theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \sigma a \nu,-\theta \epsilon \in \tau \tau \omega \nu$.

Infinitive and Participle (Future and Aorist).
$\lambda v-\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, (lo) will be freed $\quad \lambda v-\theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$, about to be freed $\lambda v-\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$, to be freed (to have been freed) $\lambda v$ - $\theta$ eis, freed.

## Second Future.

Second Aorist.
Indicative.
 [Endings like First Fut. and First Aor. in all the modes and part.]

VERBAL ADJECTIVES TO $\lambda \dot{v}-\omega$.
$\lambda u ̈ \tau o ́ s, ~ \dot{\eta}$, óv, freed or freeable $\quad \lambda v$-тє́os, to be freed.
perfect passive and middle of mute and liquid verbs.

S. 1. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \mu \mu a \iota$
2. $\lambda e^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota \psi a \iota$
3. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \tau a \iota$
D. 1. $\lambda \in \lambda \epsilon i \mu \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$
2. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \phi \theta \circ \nu$
3. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \phi \theta \circ \nu$
P. 1. $\lambda \in \lambda \epsilon i \mu \mu \epsilon \theta a$
2. $\lambda$ é $\lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \epsilon$

indic., are affixed with a like change of consonants, in accordance with the general laws of euphony (see $\S 4$ ). The third pers. plur. of the pluperf. has ${ }^{3} \sigma a \nu$ with the part. perf., as the perf. has civi.

## Active Voice of Contract Verbs．

## Present and Imperfect．

Indicative．

| S．1．$\tau \bar{\jmath} \mu \hat{\omega}$ |  | $\phi \iota \lambda \omega$ | є่фì ${ }^{\text {douv }}$ | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2．$\tau<\mu$ âs | є̇тímas | $\phi \iota \lambda \in i s$ | ＇́ $\phi$＇$\lambda \in \tau$ | $\delta \eta \lambda 0 i s$ |  |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{a}$ | ө́тípa | $\phi i \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$ | $\bar{\epsilon} \phi i \lambda \in \iota$ | $\delta \eta \lambda 0 \hat{\imath}$ |  |
| D．1． |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2．$\tau \iota \mu \mathrm{a} \tau 0 \nu$ | є̇тıцаิтоע | $\phi \iota \lambda$ eitov |  | $\delta \eta \lambda$ оиттоע |  |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu \mathrm{a} \tau 0 \nu$ | є̇тıца́тךข | $\phi$ ¢ $\lambda$ ¢iто⿱ | ＇́ф $\backslash \lambda \epsilon i \tau \eta \nu$ |  |  |
| P．1．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \omega \bar{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ | $\phi \iota \lambda 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \in \nu$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \backslash \lambda 0 \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ | є＇ठो $\eta \lambda 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \in \nu$ |
| 2．$\tau \iota \mu \mathrm{a} \tau \epsilon$ | є่тıцаิтє | фıлєitт | $\dot{\epsilon} \phi$ ¢ $\lambda \in i \tau \epsilon$ | $\delta \eta \lambda о$ ข̂т $\epsilon$ | $\epsilon ่ \delta \eta \lambda$ о̂̃ $\epsilon$ |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ | $\epsilon$ єтiц | фı入ov̂бヶ | ＇́фi入ouv | $\delta \eta \lambda 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ | є̇òn่ |
|  |  | unctive a | Optative． |  |  |
| S．1．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}$ | $\tau \iota \mu \omega{ }_{\sim} \mu \iota$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega}$ | $\phi \iota \lambda 0 i \mu \iota$ | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega$ | $\delta \eta \lambda o i \mu \iota$ |
| 2．$\tau \iota \mu \mathrm{a}$ s | $\tau \iota \mu \omega{ }_{\sim}$ | $\phi i \lambda \hat{l} s$ | $\phi \iota \lambda$ ois | ¢ $\quad$ 入 $\lambda$ ois | oŋn ${ }^{\text {ois }}$ |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{a}$ | $\tau \iota \mu \hat{¢}$ | $\phi \subset \lambda \hat{\eta}$ | фi $\lambda 0 \hat{\imath}$ | $\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{\imath}$ | $\delta \eta \lambda 0 \hat{\imath}$ |
| D．1． |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2．$\tau \iota \mu$ âtov | $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau 0 \nu$ | $\phi$ ¢лоitov | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ | $\delta \eta \lambda$ oitov |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu$ âтov | $\tau \iota \mu \dot{Q} \tau \eta \nu$ | філйтоע | $\phi \iota \lambda$ oír $\nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \hat{\tau}$ о | $\delta \eta \lambda$ vít $\eta$ |
| P．1．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ | $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \omega \hat{\mu} \in \nu$ | $\phi \iota \lambda о i \mu \in \nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \omega \mu \nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda 0 i ̂ \mu \in \nu$ |
| 2．$\tau \iota \mu \mathrm{a} \tau \epsilon$ | $\tau \iota \mu \hat{¢} \tau \epsilon$ | $\phi \stackrel{\lambda}{\bar{\eta} \tau \epsilon}$ | фıлоíт | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon$ | ठך入оітє |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ | $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \epsilon \nu$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \omega \sigma \iota$ |  | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \iota$ | $\delta \eta \lambda$ oíc ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
|  |  | Attic O | ative． |  |  |





Imperative．

| S．2．$\tau i \mu a$ | $\phi i \lambda \epsilon \iota$ | $\delta \eta \lambda^{\prime}$ ov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu a ́ t \omega$ | $\phi \lambda \lambda \in i \tau \omega$ | $\delta \eta \lambda$ оv́т $\omega$ |
| D．2．$\tau \iota \mu \mathrm{a} \tau o \nu$ | $\phi i \lambda \epsilon i \tau o \nu$ | ठŋ $\lambda$ оиิтov |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda$ ov́ $\frac{1}{}$ ע |
| P．2．$\tau \iota \mu \mathrm{T} \tau \epsilon$ | $\phi \backslash \lambda \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ | $\delta \epsilon \lambda$ оит $\epsilon$ |
| 3．$\tau \iota \mu a ́ \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ or | $\phi i \lambda \epsilon i \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ or | $\delta \in \lambda$ оút $\omega \sigma a \nu$ or |
| $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ | $\phi<\lambda о$ ¢ $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda$ ои́ $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ ． |

Infinitive and Participle（Present）．

| $\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \nu$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \hat{\omega} \sigma a, \hat{\omega} \nu$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu, o \hat{v} \sigma \pi, o \hat{\nu} \nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, ôv $\sigma a$, ô̂ $\nu$ |
| G．$\hat{\omega} \nu \tau o s$ | G．ouv | G．ov̂ |

## Middle and Passive of Contract Verbs．

Present and Imperfect．
Indicative．

| － | ＇่̇ $\tau \mu \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ |  |  | $\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{v}$ | є่ठ̀ $\lambda \lambda$ oú |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau \iota \mu \hat{a}$ | $\epsilon ่ \tau \tau \mu \omega$ | $\phi \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta},-\epsilon \hat{\imath}$ | є́ $\phi$ ı $\lambda$ oû | $\delta \eta \lambda 0 \hat{\imath}$ | є́ò $\eta \lambda 0 \hat{v}$ |
| тıй̀atà | є̇тгиаิто | філеítaı |  | $\delta \eta \lambda o v ิ \tau a \iota$ | ¢ $¢$ ¢ $\eta \lambda$ oût |
| $\tau \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta о \nu$ | є̇ $\tau \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \in \theta$ 人 | фı入ov́ $\mu \in \theta$ ov | є́фı入ov́ $\mu \in \theta_{0}$ | $\delta \in \lambda$ ov́ $\mu \in \Theta$ | є＇ठ̀ $\eta \lambda$ оv́ $\mu \in \theta^{\circ}$ |
| $\tau \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta o \nu$ |  | $\phi\rangle \lambda \in i \sigma \theta o \nu$ | ${ }_{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta o \nu$ | $\delta \eta \lambda o v ิ \sigma \theta 0$ | द́ò $\lambda \lambda$ ovo $\sigma \theta o \nu$ |
| $\tau<\mu \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta \nu$ | є̇тน $\mu a ́ \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \in i \sigma \theta o \nu$ | $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi \iota \lambda$ ci＇$\theta \theta \eta \nu$ | ठो入ov̂б ${ }_{\text {o }}$ | є́ò $\dagger \lambda$ oú $\sigma \theta \eta \nu$ |
| $\tau<\mu \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a$ | є̇т $\tau$ ¢́ $\mu \in \theta a$ | фıлоv́ $\mu \in \theta a$ | є́фı八ой $\mu \epsilon \theta a$ | $\delta \eta \lambda o v ́ \mu \in \theta a$ | ¢＇ठ̀ $\dagger \lambda$ ov́ $\mu \in \theta a$ |
|  |  | $\phi \lambda \lambda \epsilon і \bar{\sigma} \theta \epsilon$ |  | $\delta \eta \lambda o v ̄ \sigma \theta \epsilon$ | є̇ò $\eta \lambda 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ |
| $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a$ |  | $\phi \stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{ }$ ồutaı | ＇́фı八 | ¢ |  |


|  |  | $\phi \iota \lambda \omega \mu a{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\phi$ ¢ $\lambda$ oi $\mu \eta$ | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \mu \mu \iota$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta}$ | фı入оío | － | סп入оїo |
| uâraı |  | $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$ | $\phi \stackrel{1}{ }$ | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \tau \sim a$ |  |
| $\mu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta_{\text {ov }}$ | $\tau \tau \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \in \theta_{0}$ | $\phi \stackrel{\lambda}{ }{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \theta_{0}$ | фıлоípe | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\mu} \in$ |  |
| Oov | $\tau \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta$ о | $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta_{0}$ | фı入oî $\sigma$ O | $\delta\rangle \lambda \omega \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | ठ $\eta \lambda 0 i \sigma \theta$ |
| ov | $\tau \iota \omega \dot{¢} \dot{*} \theta \eta \nu$ | $\phi \downarrow \lambda \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ |  | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | ठך入oí ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 相 |  | $\phi \iota \lambda \omega \mu \mu \theta a$ | філоіц $\mu$ Өa | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\mu} \in \theta a$ | 入oíu |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ¢ | фıлоìvo | $\delta \eta \lambda \omega \nu \tau a$ |  |

Imperative．

S．2．$\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}$
3．$\tau \mu a ́ \sigma \theta \omega$
D．2．$\tau \mu \hat{\mu} \sigma \theta o \nu$
3．$\tau \iota \mu a ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$
P．2．$\tau \mu \hat{a} \theta \epsilon$
3．$\tau \iota \mu a ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \nu$
$\phi \quad \lambda$ oû
$\phi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \theta \omega$
$\phi \iota \lambda \in i ̄ \sigma \theta o \nu$
$\phi \iota \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega \nu$
$\phi \stackrel{\lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta}{ }$
$\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\phi \iota \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \nu$
$\delta \eta \lambda o \bar{v}$
$\delta \eta \lambda o u ́ \sigma \theta \omega$
$\delta \eta \lambda o v ̂ \sigma \theta o \nu$
$\delta \eta \lambda o v ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$
$\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \theta \varepsilon$
סŋ $\eta \lambda a v ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\delta \eta \lambda o v ิ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$ ．

Infinitive and Participle（Present）．

| $\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ | $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ | $\delta \eta \lambda o v ิ \sigma \theta a \iota$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau \iota \mu \omega \mu \in \nu$ оs，$\eta$ ，ov |  | $\delta \eta \lambda \circ u ́ \mu \in \nu 0$ ，$\eta$ ，ov． |

## § 35. Remarks on the Paradigms.

1. The endings for mute and liquid verbs (except some variations in the tense-characteristic, for which see $\$ 30$ ) are the same through all the tenses as for pure verbs, except in the future of liquid verbs, which is given in the paradigm as the second future. Certain changes, however, take place in particular cases in the characteristic consonant of these verbs, when it comes before another consonant in the ending. For which see § 4.
2. Contract verbs have all the primary tenses like other pure verbs, but are contracted only in the pres. and imperf. Out of the pres. and imperf., however, the short characteristic vowel is generally lengthened; viz. a (unless preceded by $\epsilon, \iota$, or $\rho$, when $a$ is simply lengthened in quantity) and $\epsilon$ into $\eta$, and o into $\omega$; as, $\delta \eta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ ( $\delta \eta \lambda o ́ \omega$ ), $\tau \epsilon \tau i \mu \eta \kappa a$ ( $\tau \iota \mu a ́ \omega)$, $\epsilon \dot{\prime} \phi \lambda \eta \sigma a$ ( $\phi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon$ ) ; but $\pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\omega} \rho \bar{\kappa} \kappa a$ ( $a$ being preceded by $\rho$ ).
3. Verbs in ow are always contracted, those in aw except in the Epic dialect, and those in $\epsilon \omega$ except in Epic and Ionic. But monosyllabic verbs in $\epsilon \omega$ (except $\delta \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$, to bind, which may be contracted in all its forms) are contracted only when two $\epsilon$ 's would come together ; as, $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon}-\epsilon \iota s \pi \lambda \epsilon i s$, etc. When uncontracted, contract verbs are inflected like any other pure verb; as, $\phi_{i} \lambda^{\prime} \omega, \phi_{i \lambda} \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota, \phi_{i} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon l$, etc.
4. The verbs ऍá $\omega, \pi \epsilon \iota \nu a ́ \omega, \delta \iota \psi a ́ \omega, ~ \kappa \nu a ́ \omega, \psi a ́ \omega, ~ \sigma \mu a ́ \omega, ~ a n d ~ \chi \rho a ́ \omega ~$ take $\eta$ in the Attic dialect in all cases where other verbs in $\alpha \omega$ are contracted into $a ;$ as, $\delta \iota \psi \hat{\eta}, \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu, \pi \epsilon \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, etc.
5. The verb $\dot{\rho}$ 'ró $\omega$ takes regularly, in Attic writers, $\omega$ and $\omega$, where other verbs in $o \omega$ take ov and $o \iota$; as, infin. $\dot{\rho} \iota \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu$, opt. ค่ $\iota \varphi \varphi_{\eta} \nu$, etc.
6. Certain futures in $\check{a} \sigma \omega$, $\epsilon \sigma \omega$, and $\bar{i} \sigma \omega$ (penult short), from stems of two or more syllables in $\epsilon \omega, a \zeta \omega$, and especially $\iota \xi \omega$, generally drop the $\sigma$ in the indic., infin., and part. in the Attic dialect, then contract the $a$ and $\epsilon$ with the $\omega$, and take the circumflex ending - $\hat{\omega}$, -ô̂ $\mu a$, , like contract verbs; as, $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \hat{\omega}$ (for
 - eîs, -єî, etc.
7. The pluperf. act. has sometimes the following variations from the paradigm :-
a) $-\eta$ for $-\epsilon \nu$ in the first pers. sing. indic.
b) - $\epsilon \sigma \sigma a \nu$ for the more common - $\epsilon \sigma a \nu$, in the third pers. plur. indic.
c) -oi $\eta \nu,-\eta s$, etc. in the opt. of the second pluperf. instead of the more common -ot $\mu$, -ots, etc.
8. The ending $-\epsilon \iota$, in the second person sing. pres. and fut. indic. middle and pass., is used together with the form $-\eta$ by most Attic writers, and, is regularly used by Aristophanes, and very generally by Plato. In the verbs $\beta$ oú $\lambda o \mu a t$, oio $\mu a t$, and ${ }^{\prime} \psi \neq \mu a \iota$ the form $-\epsilon \iota$ is always used, $-\eta$ being used only in the subj. The original form (found in the Ion. and Æol.) was $-\sigma a l$, as it was - $\sigma$ o in the historical tenses. Afterwards $\sigma$ was dropped and the vowels contracted.
9. Instead of the periphrastic form of the third pers. plur. indic. mid. and pass. of the perf. and plup. of mute and liquid verbs, the older Attic writers sometimes use the endings ătal, ăro (common, also, in Ion. and Epic writers in pure verbs), aspirating the preceding pi- or kappa-mute of the root; as,

 $\mu \in \nu о \iota$ єi $\sigma i)$.
10. Instead of the periphrastic form of the subj. and opt. perf. and pluperf. mid. and pass., the verbs $\kappa \tau \dot{a} о \mu a \iota, \mu \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$, $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$, and $\kappa а \lambda \not{ }^{\prime} \omega$ have regular endings for these modes; viz.
 -o七o), $\varphi_{\varrho} \tau \circ$, etc.
11. On the contrary, a periphrastic form, consisting of a participle with the proper form of $\epsilon i \mu i$, $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu о \mu a \iota$, $\delta \iota a \gamma i \gamma \nu о \mu a \iota$,
 used as auxiliaries, is sometimes found instead of the regular terminational form, but expressing the additional idea of some-
thing being in the state or condition implied by the participle; as, àт兀 $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a s{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota$ (he has having dishonored, has in dishonor,
 all modes and voices.
12. In like manner, also, $\mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with the pres., fut., or àor. infin. forms a periphrastic future to express what is about,
 is destined to profit, what would surely profit.
13. As to the dialects, the following table exhibits briefly the more common dialectic forms of the endings, especially the Ionic, Doric, Æolic, and Epic forms: -

## Indicative Active.

| S. 1. - $\epsilon \iota \nu$ (plup.) | Ion. - $\epsilon a$ (also - $\epsilon a s,-\epsilon \epsilon, 2 \mathrm{~d}$ and 3d pers.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. -єis (pres. and fut.) | Dor. - $¢$; ※ol. - $\epsilon \iota \sigma \theta$. |
| D.3. $-\tau \eta \nu$ | Dor. - $\tau \bar{a} \nu$. |
| P. 1. - $\mu \in \nu$ | Dor. - $\mu$ ¢s. |
| 3. -ov ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (pres. and fut.) | Dor. -оขть ; Æol. -o七бı. |
| - $a \sigma \iota$ (perf.) | Dor. -avtı. |

In the imperf. and aor. act. and middle, the Epic, Ionic, and Attic poets use the forms - $\sigma \kappa \circ \nu,-\sigma \kappa o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ preceded by either $\epsilon$ or $a$ to express a reiterated action ; as, є $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa \circ \nu,-\epsilon s,-\epsilon$, etc.

Indicative Middle and Passive.
S. 1. $-\mu \eta \nu$
P. 1. $-\mu \epsilon \theta a$
3. - $\nu \tau$ (imp. and 2d aor.) Ion. -aтo ( $\epsilon$ being placed before it in place of the connecting vowel in pure verbs).

- $\eta \sigma a \nu$
S. 1. $-\omega$

2. $-\eta s$
3. $-\eta$

Dor. $-\mu \bar{u} \nu$.
Poetic $-\mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$; Жol. $-\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$. Æol., Dor., Epic, - $\epsilon \nu$.

## Subjunctive.

Also, the Epic may use $o$ and $\epsilon$ as connecting vowels; in. stead of $\omega$ and $\eta$.
S. 1. -o८q
2. -ols
P.3. -o८vto, -a८ขтo

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P. 3. }-\nu \tau \omega \nu \\
&-\sigma \theta \omega \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\epsilon \iota$
- $\epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ (sec. aor.)
$-\epsilon \nu a \iota$ (perf. act.)
- $\eta \nu a \iota$ (aor. pass.)

Optative.
Æol. -оך
Æol. and Ep. -oっvOa.
Ion. -oata, -alato.

## Imperative.

Æol. - $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau}$
Æol. - $\sigma \theta$ ov.

## Infinitive.

Ep. ${ }^{-\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota,-\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu$; Æol. $-\eta \nu$; Dor. $-\epsilon \nu$. Ion. $-\epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$; Dor. ${ }^{\prime} \notin \nu$.
Æol: - $\eta \nu$; Dor. $-\eta \mu \epsilon \nu,-\epsilon \tau \nu$. ,
Ep. and Dor. - ${ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a$, , $-\bar{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu,-\eta{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \tau \nu$; Æol. - $\eta \nu$.

## Participle.

| $s,-a \sigma a$ (aor. act.) | Æol. -aıs, -aıбa. |
| :---: | :---: |
| - ف́s (perf. act.) | Æol. - $\omega \nu$. |
| -vîa (perf. fem.) | Dor. -ov̀ $\sigma a$ or -eía. |

14. In verbs in $a \omega$, the Epic repeats $a$ before a contracted $a$ or $\underset{\alpha}{\alpha}$, and $o$ or $\omega$ before or after $\omega, \omega$; as, ópáas (for ó $\rho a ̂ s$ ),
 changes $a$ into $\epsilon$ (as does the Doric, also, sometimes), and in those parts of the verb where $a$ is followed by o, may change the o also into $\omega$; as, ó of́ $\omega$ (for ó óá $\omega$ ), é $\chi \rho$ '́ $\omega \nu \tau o$ ('่̇ $\chi \rho a ́ o \nu \tau o) . ~$
15. In verbs in $\epsilon \omega$, the Epic changes the $\epsilon$ into $\epsilon \iota$ (as, $\pi \nu \epsilon i \omega)$; the Æolic into $\eta$ (as, $\dot{a} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \omega$ ), and the Doric into ८ (as, ádıкi $\omega$ ); and where $\epsilon$ is followed by $o$, the Doric changes them into $\iota \omega$; as, $\mu \in \tau \rho \iota \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \nu \circ$ (for $\mu \in \tau \rho \in о ́ \mu \in \nu \circ s$ ).
16. In verbs in $o \omega$, the Ionic contracts oo into $\epsilon v$; as, ảğєє́$\mu \in \theta a(\mathfrak{a} \xi \stackrel{\xi}{\xi} \circ o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta)$.

## § 36. Accent of the Verb.

1. The general rule here is, that the accent, both in simple and compound verbs, is removed as far from the final syllable as the laws of accentuation will permit (see $\oint 6$ ).

Rem. 1. Monosyllabic verbs having their vowel long by nature are all perispomena, except $\phi \dot{\eta} s$ and $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$.
2. In the indicative, the accent is not drawn back, in accordance with the above rule, beyond the augment in compound verbs ; as, $\pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi{ }^{\circ}$ ( not $\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu$ ).
3. In the imperative, there are excepted from the general
 the form in ov of the second aor. mid., which is a perispomenon in simple verbs, and mostly, also, in compounds (but not in verbs in $\mu t$ compounded with dissyllabic prepositions), at least by the Attics; as, $\lambda a \beta o \hat{v}$, and $\dot{a} \nu a \beta a \lambda o \hat{v}$.
4. In the optative, the endings $a \iota$ and oc are long, and hence do not allow the forms to which they belong to be proparoxytones, as in other cases.

Rem. 2. The opt. mid. of verbs in $\mu l$ takes the accent on the penult even when the last syllable is short, except ${ }^{a} \gamma a \mu a \iota, \delta \dot{v} v a \mu a \iota,{ }_{e} \pi i-$ $\sigma \tau a \mu a \iota, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho \iota a ́ \mu \eta \nu$, and a few others, which follow the general rule.
5. The infinitive of the first aor. act. and of the perf. mid. or pass. has the accent on the penult; the infin. of the second aor. act. and middle, with all infinitives ending in - $\nu a \iota$, place the accent (which is of the kind required by the general rules) on the syllable which has the connecting vowel (see the paradigms).
6. The participle, in all its forms, usually retains the accent, so far as it can be done according to the general rules of accent, upon the same syllable as in the nom. sing. masc.
7. The participle of the perfect passive has the accent on the penult.
8. The participle of the second aorist active and those in $\omega s$ and $\epsilon \iota s$, as well as those in $\epsilon \iota s$, as, ovs, and vs from verbs in $\mu l$, are oxytones in the masc. and neuter, and properispomena


## § 37. Formation of the Tenses.

1. From the stem of the present are derived the pres. act. and middle or pass., by simply annexing the proper endings (as shown in the paradigms), and the imperf. act. and mid. or pass., by annexing the endings and prefixing the augment.
2. From the pure stem (see $\S 30$ ) the fut. act. and mid. of pure and mute verbs (i. e. the first fut.) is derived by annexing the tense-characteristic ( $\$ 33$ ) $\sigma$ and the proper endings.
Rem. 1. For the lengthening of the characteristic vowel of contract verbs, in the pure stem, and the Attic form of certain futures from verbs in $\epsilon \omega, a \zeta \omega$, and $\iota \zeta \omega$, see $\oint 35,2$ and 6 ; and for the fut. of liquid verbs (second fut. act. and mid.) see § 33, R. 3.

Rem. 2. The following contract verbs (also a few in $\check{v} \omega$ and $\grave{\iota} \omega$ ) retain the short vowel in all the tenses, viz. $\theta \lambda a ́ \omega, \kappa \lambda a ́ \omega, \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \omega, \gamma \in \lambda a ́ \omega$,



Rem. 3. The verbs $\pi \nu^{\prime} \epsilon, \pi \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega, \theta^{\prime} \epsilon$ take $\epsilon v$, instead of $\eta$, out of the pres. and imperf., and $\rho \in \epsilon$ takes sometimes $\epsilon v$ and sometirnes $v$; but кá $\omega$ ( $\kappa a i \omega$ ) and $\kappa \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \omega$ ( $\kappa \lambda a i \omega$ ) take $a v$ for $\eta$. Several of these verbs, and some others, as, $\pi \nu \nu^{\prime} \omega, \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega$, $\phi \in \epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega$, $\kappa \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \omega, \pi a i \zeta \omega, \pi v \nu \theta^{\prime} \nu \quad o \mu a l$, often have their future in - $\sigma o \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota$ (called the Doric future), and $\pi i$ $\pi \tau \omega$ and $\chi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime} \zeta \omega$ always do, while $\chi^{\prime} \epsilon \omega$, $\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \omega$, and $\pi i \nu \omega$ have no characteristic in the future; as, $\pi \epsilon \sigma o \hat{v} \mu a t$ (from $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ) ; $\pi i o \mu a \iota$ (fut. mid.
 ${ }^{\epsilon}(\chi \in a)$.
3. The first aorist of the active and middle of pure and mute verbs has the pure stem and the tense-characteristic $\sigma$, like the future, with the augment prefixed in the indic.

Rem. 4. For the first aor. of liquid verbs, see $\oint 33$, R. 3. But
 $\pi \in \pi a i \nu \omega$, and those in $\iota a \nu \omega$ and $\rho a \iota \nu \omega$ take long $a$ (without $\iota$ subscribed) instead of $\eta$ in the end of the root in the first aor. ; as, ápat ( $\bar{\eta} \rho a$ in the indic. on account of the augment). Those in alv $\omega$ and alp $\omega$ vary between $\eta$ and $\bar{a}$.
4. The first perfect active has the pure stem, as found in the future, and prefixes to it the reduplication or temporal augment (which remains in all the modes and part.), and has $k$ or the rough breathing (i. e. a aspirated) for the tense-characteristic (see $\oint 33,2$ ).

Rem. 5. A few first perfects of mute verbs with a monosyllabic stem, change $\epsilon$ of the stem into o; as, кє́клофа ( $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \tau \omega)$ ), $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \pi о \mu \phi a$



Rem. 6. In the first perf. active (also the plup. act., and perf. and plup. mid. and pass., and the first aor. and first fut. pass., - the second aor. and fut. pass., also, when used) liquid verbs with a monosyllabic stem change $\epsilon$ (of the pure stem) into a, and $\kappa \rho^{i} \nu \omega, k \lambda i \nu \omega, \pi \lambda \dot{\prime} \nu \omega$, $\tau \epsilon i \nu \omega$, and $\kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \omega$ (stem $\tau \epsilon \nu$ and $\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu$, and hence have $\epsilon$ changed into $a$ also) drop their $\nu$ before the ending ; as, $\sigma \tau \notin \lambda \lambda \omega$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \tau a \lambda \kappa a$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \sigma \tau a \lambda \mu a \iota$,
 of these verbs in $\nu \omega$ and most other verbs in $\nu \omega$ have no first perf. active in good Attic writers.
5. The first pluperfect active is formed from the tensestem of the first perfect, by simply adding the proper ending, and prefixing the augment according to the rule.
6. The first aorist passive is formed from the pure stem, as found in the first fut. active, by adding to it the characteristic $\theta$ with the proper ending, and prefixing the augment, which remains only in the indic.

Rem. 7. But several pure verbs in the first aor. pass. (also in the perf., pluperf., and first fut. pass. and verbal adjective) add $\sigma$ to the stem before the tense-characteristic and endings. These are : -
a) The verbs which retain a short vowel out of the pres. and im-
 á $\rho o ́ \omega, ~ \lambda v ́ \omega$.

 кє $\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$, àкоv́ $\omega$.
c) $\delta$ рá $\omega$. $\theta \rho a v i \omega, ~ к \lambda \epsilon i \omega, ~ к \rho o v i \omega, ~ \chi \rho i ́ \omega, ~ a n d ~ s o m e ~ o t h e r s, ~ w h i c h ~ t a k e ~ \sigma ~$ in some of the above tenses, but not in the perf.

But in some of the verbs in all these classes, the $\sigma$ is not always used in these passive forms, and is regularly dropped before another $\sigma$ in the second pers. sing. perf. and plup.; as, $\tau \epsilon \tau \in \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma a l$ (from $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in-$ $\lambda \in \sigma \mu a \iota)$.
7. The first future passive is formed from the first aor. pass. by substituting the tense-characteristic $\sigma$ for the final $\nu$, and adding the proper endings.
8. The perfect and pluperfect passive are formed from the pure stem, by adding the proper endings, and prefixing the augment and reduplication according to the rules already given.

Rem. 8. For the euphonic changes in the final mute, in mute verbs, before the endings $\mu a t, \mu \eta \nu$, etc. in the perf. and plup. pass., see §4. But when two $\gamma$ s or $\mu$ s would come before $\mu$, the last of the two is dropped. So, also, is $\sigma$ before $\sigma$ or between two consonants in the endings; as, $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu a \iota$ (for $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma \mu a \iota$, from $\bar{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda{ }^{\prime} \hat{\prime} \gamma \chi \omega$ ),
 $\left.\pi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \theta \omega\right), \pi \epsilon \in \phi \nu \nu \epsilon($ for $\pi \epsilon \in \phi a \nu \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ), etc.

Rem. 9. The characteristic $\nu$ in liquid verbs is commonly changed into $\sigma$, but occasionally into $\mu$, and in a few cases is dropped before $\mu$ in the perf. and plup. pass. endings; as, $\eta^{\prime} \delta v \sigma \mu a \iota$ (from $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\delta} v \omega$ ). But the $\nu$ reappears before other letters in the endings, as, $\tau, \sigma, \theta$, whether in these tenses or the aor. and fut. pass. ; as, $\pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \phi a \sigma \mu a \iota, ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu \tau a \iota$, є́фáv $\theta \eta \nu$.

Rem. 10. The verbs $\tau \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \omega, \tau \rho^{\prime} \phi \omega$, and $\sigma \tau \rho^{\prime} \phi \omega$ change $\epsilon$ of the root into $a$, in the perf. pass. (but not in the aor.) ; as, $\tau$ ' $\tau \rho a \mu \mu a l, \tau \epsilon$ $\theta \rho а \mu \mu a \iota$, ё $\sigma \tau \rho а \mu \mu a \iota$.
9. The future perfect is formed by adding oopac to the stem of the perf. and lengthening the characteristic vowel, when short in the perf.
10. The verbal adjectives in tós and téos are formed by adding these endings to the pure stem, as found in the first
 $\theta \eta \nu)$; $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau$ ós ( $\epsilon-\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma-\theta \eta \nu)$.
11. The second aorist (of all voices) is derived from the pure stem by adding the proper endings and prefixing the augment, which, however, remains only in the indic.

Rem. 11. In deriving the pure stem from the stem of the pres. the strengthening consonants (see § 30 ) must be dropped in mute and liquid verbs, and the strengthening vowels and diphthongs before the characteristic be shortened, generally $\eta$ and $a \iota$ into $\breve{a}$, $\epsilon \iota$ and $\bar{\imath}$ into $\check{\iota}$,
 є̇ $\tau \rho \stackrel{\iota}{ } \beta \eta \nu(\tau \rho i ́ \beta \omega)$, є́ $\chi a ́ \rho \eta \nu(\chi a i ́ \rho \omega)$.
Rem. 12. Mute verbs with a monosyllabic stem and $\epsilon$ for a stemvowel, often, and liquid verbs always, change the $\epsilon$ into $a$ in the sec-



Rem. 13. The second aor. is not formed from verbs with a regular derivative ending (as, $a \omega, \epsilon \omega, o \omega, \epsilon \nu \omega, a \iota \nu \omega, \nu \nu \omega, a \zeta \omega$ ), nor often in verbs having a tau-mute for characteristic, nor when it would be distinguished from the imperf. only by the quantity of the penult. But in the last case the second aor. pass. is sometimes found, since here it differs from the imperf. ; as, $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \eta \nu$; $\epsilon \in \lambda i \nu \nu \eta \nu$.

Rem. 14. Only a few verbs have both aorists in the act. and mid., but more in the pass. In the active, the second aor. is but little used in regular verbs, and in the passive, the tragic poets generally prefer the first aor., though not so generally the prose-writers. T $\rho \in \pi \bar{\prime} \pi$ is the only verb which has both aorists in all the voices, or even in the active and passive at the same time.
12. The second perfect, also, is derived from the pure stem, and has the same endings, and reduplication or augment, as the first perfect.

Rem. 15. But the short $a$ of the pure stem in the second aor. is lengthened into $\eta$ (or $\bar{a}$ when preceded by $\rho$ ) in the second perf., $\epsilon$ is changed into $o$, and $\check{\iota}$ (coming from $\epsilon \iota$ of the pres.) into o $o$, while $\breve{v}$ is changed back to $\epsilon v$, as in the present, and $\check{\iota}$ (not from $\epsilon \iota$ ) becomes long

 $\phi \breve{v}^{\gamma}$-).

Rem. 16. It is from the partiality of this tense to the sounds o and
 arisen.
13. The second pluperfect is derived from the second perfect, like the first pluperfect from the first perfect, and the second future passive from the second aor. pass., like the first fut. pass. from the first aor. pass.

## EXAMPLES FOR PRACTICE.

These examples may be inflected, the tenses formed, and exercises constructed on them.
$\kappa \omega \lambda \dot{v} \omega$, hinder.
$\theta \dot{v} \omega$, sacrifice.
$\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$, trust.
крои́ш, knock.
$\kappa \lambda \in \dot{i} \omega$, close.
е̇ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \omega$, pursue.
àठıкє́ $\omega$, wrong.
$\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon \epsilon$, seek.
оікодонє́ $\omega$, build.
$\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \omega$, accomplish.
є́ $\rho \omega \tau a ́ \omega$, ask.

| прá $\omega$, hunt. |
| :--- |

є́ $\sigma$ тá $\omega$, entertain.
$\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \dot{\omega}$, give wings to.
$\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \omega$, send.
$\lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \omega$, leave.
$\tau \rho i \beta \omega, r u b$.
$\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega$, write.
ả $\lambda \epsilon i \phi \omega$, anoint.
$\beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \omega$, injure.
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \tau \omega$, steal.
pintc, throw.
$\pi \lambda_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \omega$, weave.
$\lambda ' \hat{\prime} \gamma \omega$, say.
ả $\lambda \lambda \dot{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$, change.
кпри́б $\sigma \omega$, proclaim.
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, d o$.
тá $\sigma \omega$, arrange.

єं $\rho$ єíoे $\omega$, rest upon.
$\pi \epsilon^{i} \theta \omega$, persuade.
àvúr $\omega$, perform.
ко $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}}$, bring.
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \mu \iota a ́ \zeta \omega$, praise.
є’छєєá̧ढ, examine.
$\sigma \tau_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$, send.
крivш, judge.
$\kappa \lambda i \nu \omega$, lie down.
〕そ̇́vo, provoke.
фаiv, appear.
aı $\rho \omega$, raise.
$\phi \theta \epsilon i p \omega$, destroy.
à $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$, repel.

## SECTION II.

## VERBS IN $\mu l$.

## § 38. Regular Verbs in $\mu$.

1. Some pure verbs, with the characteristic $\epsilon, a, o$, or $\check{v}$, lengthen these vowels ( $a$ and $\epsilon$ into $\eta$, o into $\omega$, and $\breve{v}$ into $\bar{v}$ ) in the indic. pres., imperf., and second aor. active, and annex to them directly, without a connecting vowel, in both the active and middle or passive, endings somewhat different from those of verbs in $\omega$. But the other tenses are formed as in other pure and contract verbs (see paragraph 4).

Rem. 1. The lengthened characteristic vowel, however, becomes short again in the dual and plural, except in the second aor. of $\ddot{i} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ and $\sigma \beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \nu v \mu \mu\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \eta \nu\right.$ and $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \beta \eta \nu\right)$, where it remains long in all numbers, and in the imperat. and infin.; as it does also in certain second aorists formed after the analogy of verbs in $\mu \mathrm{c}$ from the verbs $\beta$ aiv $\omega$, $\phi$ बáv $\omega$,
 § 39,2 ).
2. Verbs of this class, also, beginning with a single consonant and having a monosyllabic stem, take a reduplication in the present and imperfect, consisting of the first consonant with $\iota$ (as, $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$, stem $\theta \epsilon$, the cognate $\tau$ being used instead of $\theta$ in the reduplication, according to $\oint 4,8$ ); but where the root begins with $\sigma \tau$ or $\pi \tau$, the pres. and imperf. receive an aspirated $\iota(i)$ instead of the reduplication; as, $i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ (stem $\sigma \tau a$ ).

Rem. 2. Besides those beginning with a single consonant, a few other verbs in $\mu \tau$ take the reduplication; as, $\kappa i \chi \rho \eta \mu \nu, \pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu$, and $\pi i \mu \pi \rho \eta \mu$. In the last two, $\mu$ is introduced between the augment and the stem, for the sake of euphony, which, however, is dropped, for the same reason, when the verbs are compounded with $\epsilon \nu$ and $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$, and $\nu$ becomes $\mu$ before $\pi$; as, ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \rho \eta \mu \iota, \sigma \nu \mu \pi i \pi \lambda \eta \mu \tau$, but $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi i \mu-$ $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$.
3. In the subjunctive the short characteristic vowels $\epsilon, a$, and $o$ are contracted with the endings, and in the optative they receive an $\iota$ between them and the ending, the syllables thus formed, in both cases, taking the accent.

Rem. 3. The ending $\theta \iota$ of the sec. pers. imper. act. is used in the second aor. only in the form $\sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \theta_{c}$ in regular verbs in $\mu l$, but is retained in several second aor. and syncopated perfects formed after the analogy of verbs in $\mu l$ (see RR. 1 and 6 ) ; as, $\beta \hat{\eta} \theta_{l}, \gamma \nu \bar{\omega} \theta_{l}$, $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \theta_{l}, \delta \epsilon ́$ $\delta_{l} \theta_{l}$, etc. And of these, $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta_{l}$ and $\beta_{\hat{\eta}} \theta_{l}$ in composition are contracted into $\sigma \tau a$ and $\beta a$, but chiefly in poetry; as, $\pi a \rho a ́ \sigma \tau a$, катá $\beta a$.

Rem. 4. The full ending oal (sec. pers. pres. mid.) is generally retained in the indic., the $\sigma$ being regularly rejected and the vowels suffering contraction only in the subj. The ending $\sigma o$ is usually contracted only in the second aor.; in the imperative pres. and indicative imperf. the full form is generally used in most verbs, and regularly in бíóoual.

Rem. 5. The optative active often drops the $\eta$ in the endings of the dual and plural, and in the third pers. plur. uses $-\epsilon \nu$ for $-\eta \sigma a \nu$.
4. There are some peculiarities of verbs of this conjugation, in the parts which follow the common conjugation, which should be noticed : -
 are commonly used in the sing. instead of the second aorists $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \eta \nu, \hat{\eta}_{\nu}, \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \delta \omega \nu$, but are used in the plural (and in the mid., except $\dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu)$ only by a few Attic writers.
b) The perf. and pluperf. of $i \sigma \tau \eta \mu c$ have the augment aspirated, which in the plup. is often $\epsilon i$ instead of $\varepsilon$; as, $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$, є $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ or $\epsilon i \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$.

Rem. 6. For the syncopated form of the perf. and plup. of $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa a$ and other verbs, see § 39,3 .
c) The characteristic vowel is lengthened in the perf. act. and in the future and aor. act. and middle, as in pure verbs, except that $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ and $i \eta \mu \iota$ lengthen it into $\epsilon \iota$ in the perf. ; as, $\tau^{\prime} \Theta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa a$, $\epsilon \hat{i} a$. The $\epsilon t$ in these verbs remains, also, in the perf. and plup. pass. ; but in other cases the characteristic vowel is short throughout the mid. and pass.; as, $\delta \in \dot{\varepsilon} \delta o \mu a t$, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a ́ \mu \eta \nu, ~ \dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \in \eta \nu$,

5. Verbs in $\mu \iota$ frequently or regularly borrow many forms from their primitives in $a^{\prime} \omega, \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$, ó $\omega$, and ${ }^{\dot{v}} \omega$ : -
a) In the imperf. sing. $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota$ is regularly declined like a contract in ó ( ( $\dot{\epsilon} \delta i \delta o o v \nu, o v s, o v)$, and $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ and $i \eta \mu \iota$ often like a contract in $\epsilon \omega$; as, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau i \theta^{\prime}$ ouv, etc.
b) In verbs in $v \mu$ the subjunctive and optative are regularly formed from a pres. in $\dot{v} \omega$, and often other forms of the pres. and imperf. act., but not usually in the middle ; the second aor., both act. and mid., is aimost wholly wanting.
c) The optative imperf. and second aor. mid. and pass. of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu,{ }^{i} \eta \mu \iota$, and $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota$ often takes the form -ou $\mu \nu,-\circ \iota$, ,oıто, like the regular conjugation.
Rem. 7. The perf., pluperf., and second aor. act. of ior $\eta \mu$ have an intransitive meaning, to stand.
6. The following are the paradigms of ivtク $\mu$, to station, riөn $\mu$, to put, $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mu$, to give, $\delta \epsilon i \kappa v \nu \mu$, to show, with the second aor. mid. $\dot{\epsilon \pi \rho} \boldsymbol{a} \dot{\mu} \eta \nu, I$ bought, to supply the place of the second aor. mid. of i $\sigma \tau \eta \mu$, which is not used, and the second aor. act. ${ }_{\epsilon} \delta \overline{\mathrm{c}} \nu($ from $\delta \dot{v} \nu \omega), I$ entered, to complete the paradigm of verbs in $v \mu$.

## Active Voice．

Present Tense．
Indicative．

| I place． | I put． | $I$ give． | I show． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S．ī $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ | тi ${ }^{\prime} \eta \mu \iota$ | $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \iota$ | $\delta \epsilon i к \nu \bar{v} \mu \iota$ |
| ごすтךs | тi $\theta^{\prime}$ s | סióos | סeíkvīs |
|  | $\tau i \theta \eta \sigma \iota$ | סíonot | סcívṽo |
| D．－ | 佰 |  |  |
| İтато้ | тi $\theta$ ¢тоע | סíóoтov | סєiкขvтоע |
| ®̈татоу | тi $\theta_{\text {¢ }}$ тор | סíóoтор | סєiкขvто⿱ |
| P．$\overbrace{\sigma} \sigma \tau \mu \epsilon \nu$ | $\tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \in \nu$ | סíóo $\mu \in \nu$ | $\delta \in i ́ k \nu \nu \mu \in \nu$ |
| ¿̈бтатє | $\tau i \theta \in \tau \epsilon$ | бі́ठотє | $\delta \in i k \nu v \tau \epsilon$ |
| írâo $\iota$ | $\tau \iota \theta \in i \sigma \iota$ or тı $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \bar{a} \sigma \iota$ | ठıסov̄ $\iota$ or סıסóā́七 | ठєıкขvิб८ or $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu u ̛ a ̄ \sigma \iota$ ． |
|  |  |  |  |
| S．$i \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ | $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega}$ | $\delta \iota \delta \omega$ | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu \sim \cup \omega$ |
| i $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ S | $\tau \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{s}$ | $\delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega}$ s | $\delta \in i \kappa \nu u ̛ \eta s$ |
| $i \sigma \tau \hat{\eta}$ | $\tau \iota \theta \bar{\eta}$ | $\delta \iota \delta \hat{\iota}$ | סєıkขvi！ |
| D．－ |  |  | － |
| $i \sigma \tau \eta$ ¢ | $\tau \iota \theta \bar{\eta} \tau o \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta \omega$ тоע |  |
| $i \sigma \tau \eta$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\tau \iota \hat{\eta} \tau о \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta \omega$ тоע | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu$ úqтov |
| P．$i \sigma \tau \omega \mu \in \nu$ | $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta \omega \overline{\mu \epsilon \nu}$ | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu v ์ \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ |
|  | $\tau \iota \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ | $\delta \iota \delta \omega \bar{\tau} \epsilon$ | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu \cup ̛ \eta \tau \epsilon$ |
| โ $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ | $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ | $\delta \iota \delta \omega \bar{\sigma} \iota$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup$ vo |

Imperative．

| S．（i゙бтaөı） | （ $\tau i \theta \in \tau \iota)$ | （ $\delta i ́ \delta \circ \theta i$ ） | （ $\delta \in i \times 1 \times \nu \theta_{l}$ ） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| İ $\sigma \tau \eta$ | $\tau i \theta \in \iota$ | סídov | $\delta$ ¢ikvvi |
| iбтát $\omega$ | $\tau \iota$ ¢́t $\tau \omega$ | ठıót¢ | סєıkи̃́t¢ |
| D．īтатоע | тi $\theta_{\text {¢ }}$ тоע | סíóotov | סеiкıvtov |
| iбтát ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \omega \nu$ | ס८ठót ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\delta \in \iota K \nu \cup ̛ T \omega \nu$ |
| P．їбтate | $\tau i \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ | סíठotє | סєiкขvtє |
| iбтát $\omega \sigma a \nu$ or í $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ | $\tau \iota \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ or $\tau \iota \epsilon \in \nu \tau \omega \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ or סıס́óvт $\omega \nu$ | ठєєкขข＇т $\omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup \cup \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ． |
| Infinitive． |  |  |  |
| iotával | тı日̇̇vą | ठ̇८óvaı | סєıkıv̇val． |
| Participle． |  |  |  |
| iotás | riteis | oitooús | Seıkıús． |

## Middle and Passive．

## Present Tense．

Indicative．

| S．ïттацаı | тiөєцaı | סióoua | סєíкvขцаı |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Їтабаı | $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma a t$ | סiôoraı | סєíknvoaı |
| （iota） | （ $\tau i \theta \eta$ ） |  |  |
| ̈ттãaı | тiөєтая | סíootaı | $\delta \epsilon i k \sim v \tau a \iota$ |
| D．i $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \in \theta_{0} \nu$ | $\tau ו \theta^{\prime} \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta \delta$ ¢ $\in$ Oov | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup \cup \mu \epsilon \theta о \nu$ |
| Ï $\sigma$ a\％$\theta$ ov | $\tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta o \nu$ | ठíoootov | $\delta \in i ́ \kappa \nu v \sigma \theta o \nu$ |
|  | $\tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | 8íoootov | $\delta \epsilon i \ll \nu v \sigma \theta o \nu$ |
| P．iorá $\mu \in \theta a$ | $\tau ө$ ¢́ $\mu \in \theta a$ | $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu \cup \cup \mu \in \theta a$ |
|  | $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ |  |  |
| ívтavtal | $\tau i \theta \in \nu \tau a \downarrow$ | סíoovtaı |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| S． $\mathfrak{i \sigma \tau} \hat{\omega} \mu a \downarrow$ | $\tau ө \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ | $\delta \iota \delta \omega \mu a \iota$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \dot{v} \omega \mu \mathrm{a}$ |
| ívtn̂ | $\tau \bullet \theta \hat{\eta}$ | ठıઠิ¢ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu u ̛ ̣$ |
| iбт $\uparrow \tau a \iota$ | $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\eta}$ rat |  | $\delta \in ⿺ 𠃊 ⿴ 囗 十$ |
| D．i $\sigma \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta$ O $\nu$ | $\tau \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta_{0} \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta_{0} \nu$ | $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \nu \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta$ Ov |
| $i \sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta \nu$ | $\tau \iota \theta \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup ̛ \eta \sigma \theta o \nu$ |
| $i \sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | $\tau \iota \theta \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu \cup \cup \eta \sigma \theta o \nu$ |
| P．i $\sigma \tau$ ¢́ $\mu \in \theta a$ | $\tau \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a$ | $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \in \theta a$ |
| $i \sigma \tau \eta \bar{\eta} \theta \epsilon$ | $\tau \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ | $\delta \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \sim \sim \cup \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ |
|  | $\tau \iota \theta \omega ิ \nu \tau a$ |  |  |

Imperative．

| S．iftaco | тi $\theta \in \sigma$ o | Síoovo | $\delta$ eíkuvoo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （ïт ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | （ $\tau i \theta 0 v$ ） | （ íióov）$^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | $\tau 1 \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \theta \omega$ | סıঠórө | $\delta^{\circ} \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \dot{v} \sigma \theta \omega$ |
| D．$\overline{\text { İ } \tau a \sigma \theta o \nu}$ | $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta 0 \nu$ | ठícoofov | $\delta \in i ́ k \nu v \sigma \theta o \nu$ |
| $i \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$ |  | $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v \dot{\sigma} \theta \omega \nu$ |
|  | $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ | $\delta i \delta o \sigma \theta \epsilon$ | $\delta$ ¢íkuvate |
| i $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ or iбवá $\sigma \theta \omega \nu$ | т $\ell \theta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$ | $\delta \epsilon i k \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v \dot{\sigma} \theta \omega \nu$ ． |
| Infinitive． |  |  |  |
| iбтa⿱日aı | $\tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ | סióor $\begin{gathered}\text { at }\end{gathered}$ | $\delta \in i ́ k \nu v \sigma \theta a t$. |
| Participle． |  |  |  |
| ioтápevos | $\begin{gathered} \tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 \Omega \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\delta \iota \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \sim \cup \cup \mu \epsilon \nu о s$. |

Active Voice.

## Imperfect.

Indicative.

| S. īTท |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| íTทs | є̇тiӨns | є̇ठíóns |  |
| İ $\sigma \tau \eta$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{i} \dot{\theta} \eta$ | $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \delta i \delta \delta \omega$ | є́ठєiкขข |
| D. |  |  |  |
| ̈\%тarov | е̇тiӨєтov | ésí́otov |  |
| iбтátך $\nu$ |  | є่ठ८ठо́тך |  |
|  | є̇тi $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \in \nu$ |  | є่ $\delta \in i ́ \kappa \nu \nu \mu \in \nu$ |
| İбтатє | є́тi $\theta \in \tau \epsilon$ | є́סíóotє | є́ठєікрขтє |
|  | éri ${ }^{\prime} \in \sigma \alpha \nu$ | ćsíiooval | є́ठєíkvvбav. |

Optative.

| S. iotaín | $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \eta \nu$ | סıסoí $\nu$ | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu$ voıu¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iotains | $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \eta s$ | סıoin' | סeikvvóols |
| iotaíך | $\tau \iota \theta$ ¢ín | Sıooín | Selkviou |
| D. |  |  |  |
| iotaintov | $\tau<\theta \epsilon i \eta \tau 0 \nu$ | Sıठoíntov | Seikvioutov |
| i $\sigma \tau \alpha \stackrel{\prime}{ }$ ¢ $\tau \eta \nu$ | $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \eta \tau \eta \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta o ı \eta \tau \eta \nu$ | $\delta \epsilon i к \nu v o i ́ t \eta \nu$ |
| P. ívzaín $\mu \in \mathcal{\nu}$ | $\tau \in \epsilon$ ín $\mu \in \nu$ | ठıסoí $\eta \mu \in \nu$ |  |
| i.бтаíךтє | $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta \tau \epsilon$ | бıооі́ךтє | סєıкขข์oıтє |
| iбтaì ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | $\tau ө \theta$ ¢ínбaע |  | $\delta \in \iota K \nu v$ ט́olev. |
| (See also § 38, R. 5.) |  |  |  |

Second Aorist.
Indicative.

|  | ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \eta \eta$ | ${ }^{\epsilon} \delta \omega \nu$ | ${ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \bar{u} \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \theta^{\prime} \eta$ ¢ | $\epsilon{ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \omega$ S | ${ }_{\text {ćous }}$ |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢̈ }}{ } \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \boldsymbol{\eta}$ | $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \theta \eta$ | $\epsilon$ ¢ $\delta$ \% |  |
| D. |  |  |  |
|  | $\cdots \theta \epsilon \tau о \nu$ | є'סотоу |  |
|  | ${ }_{\epsilon} \theta^{\prime} \in \in \tau \eta \nu$ | є́סо́т ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | ย่రั่тท |
| P. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \eta \mu \in \nu$ | ${ }_{\epsilon} \theta^{\prime} \in \mu \in \nu$ |  | $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \bar{v} \mu \in \nu$ |
|  | ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\prime}$ | ¢́'отє | є้ठüтє |
| $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ |  | ¢'Oобау |  |

## Middie and Passive．

## Imperfect．

Indicative．

| S．i $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \theta \in \mu \eta \nu$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ïraoo | ย̇тi $\theta \in \sigma$ o | ¢̇ठíióoro |  |
| （i¢ $\sigma \tau \omega$ ） | （ėtioou） | （Ėóióou） |  |
| ïтaтo | є̇тiӨєто | ย̇סíoroтo ． |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| İтā䊅 |  |  |  |
|  | $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ |  |  |
| P．iбтáme $\theta a$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ |  |  |
| でттауто |  | ¢́̇íóovto |  |
| Optative． |  |  |  |
|  | $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta o i \mu \eta \nu$ | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu \nu 0 i \mu \eta \nu$ |
| íraio | $\tau$ төEio | סiôoîo | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu$ voıo |
| íraîto | $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mathrm{i} \tau$ o | סıӧоіто |  |
| D．$i \sigma \tau a i \mu \in \theta o \nu$ | $\tau \backslash \theta \in i \mu \in \theta_{0} \nu$ | סıбоí $\in \theta_{0} \nu$ | $\delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \nu o i \mu \epsilon \theta o \nu$ |
| iбтaí\％Oov | $\tau \bullet \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta o \nu$ |  |  |
| $i \sigma \tau a i \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ | $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ | $\delta \iota \delta o i ́ \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
| P．iбтaí $\epsilon \theta a$ | $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \mu \in \theta a$ | סıооí $\epsilon \theta a$ |  |
| ívтaîo ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\tau \theta \theta \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta \epsilon$ | ठıठoî̃ $\theta$ ¢ | $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu \cup \cup o u \theta \theta \epsilon$ |
| iotaìzo | $\tau ө \theta \in i ้ \nu \tau o$ | סıठoìvo |  |

Second Aorist．
Indicative．

|  | ${ }^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu$ | ¢̇ठó $\mu \eta \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inflected | inflected | inflected |
| like | like the | like the |
| iбтá $\mu \eta \nu$ ． | Imperfect． | Imperfec |

## Active Voice.

Subjunctive.
$\sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ inflected
like the Present.

| $\theta \hat{\omega}$ inflected | $\delta \hat{\omega}$ inflected | $\delta \dot{v} \omega$ inflected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| like the | like the | like |
| Present. | Present. | $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \nu \cup \cup \omega$. | Optative.

$\sigma \tau a i \eta \nu$ inflected $\theta \S \S i \eta \nu$ inflected $\delta o i \eta \nu$ inflected $\delta \hat{v}-\eta \nu,-\eta s,-\eta$, like the like the like the $-\eta \tau o \nu,-\dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$, Imperfect. Imperfect. Imperfect. $-\eta \mu \in \nu$, etc.

Imperative.

| S. $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \theta_{\iota}$ | $\theta$ és | dós | $\delta \hat{v} \theta_{l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\tau} \omega$ | $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \omega$ | סót $\omega$ | $\delta \dot{v} \tau \omega$ |
| D. $\sigma \tau \bar{\tau} \tau \circ \nu$ | $\theta_{\text {Éto }}^{\prime}$ | סótov | ¢仑ิтov |
| $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ | $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ |  | ठข́t ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| P. $\sigma \tau \bar{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ | $\theta_{\text {¢́ }}$ ¢ $\epsilon$ | סо́тє | ठиิтє |
| $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\tau} \omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ | $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \omega \sigma a \nu$ or $\theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ | ठо́т $\omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ or סóvt $\omega \nu$ | ठút $\omega \sigma a \nu$ or ठи́ขт $\omega \nu$. |
| Infinitive. |  |  |  |
| $\sigma \tau \eta ิ \nu a \iota$ | $\theta \in i v a l$ | §oûvat | Sôvaı. |
| Participle. |  |  |  |
| $\sigma \tau a ́ s$ | $\theta$ eis | Sou's | dús. |

Rem. 8. The following table exhibits the chief dialectic variations of verbs in $\mu l$ : 一

## Indicative Active.

S. 3. $-\sigma \iota \quad$ Dor. $-\tau \iota$, sometimes $-\nu \tau \iota$.
P. 3. $-\nu \sigma \iota \quad$ Dor. $-\nu \tau \iota$; Ion. $\bar{a} \sigma \iota$, used also in certain cases by the Attic.
$-\sigma a \nu$
Æol., Dor., Epic, simply $\nu$ added to the radical vowel.
In the indic. act. sing., the Æol. lengthens the radical vowel $a$ into $a t$, o into ol, and the Beotic $\epsilon$ into $\epsilon \iota$.

Indicative Middle and Passive.
P. 3. - $\nu \tau а \iota,-\nu \tau o$ Ionic -atal, -aтo.

The Æol. and Epic lengthen the radical vowel $\epsilon$ into $\eta$ in the indic. mid. and pass. of verbs in $\mu l$.

## Middle and Passive.

Subjunctive.
$\pi \rho^{\prime} \omega \mu a \iota$
like iбт $\omega \mu \mu$.
$\theta \omega ิ \mu a \iota$
like the
Present.
Optative.
$\pi \rho ı a i \mu \eta \nu$
inflected
like
iбтаí $\eta \nu$.
( $\pi \rho i a \sigma o) \pi \rho i \omega$
like the
Present.
$\sigma \tau \dot{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$
$\sigma \tau a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$
$\theta \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu$
inflected
like the
Imperfect.
Imperative.
( $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma o$ ) $\theta_{o v}$
like the
Present.
Infinitive.
$\theta_{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$
Participle.
$\theta^{\prime} \mu \in \nu=s$
$\delta_{0 i \mu \eta \nu}$
$\delta \omega ิ \mu a \iota$
like the
Present.

like the
Present.

8órөau.


Subjunctive.
S. 2. $-\hat{\eta} s$
3. $-\hat{\eta}$


- $\hat{\omega}$ Epic $-\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota,-\dot{\omega} \eta \sigma \iota,-\dot{\omega} \eta$.

The Epic and Ionic use, also, the uncontracted forms in the subjunctive, as in contract verbs, and lengthen and repeat the radical vowel as in those verbs (see § 35,14 ).

Infinitive.
$-\nu a \iota \quad$ Eol. $-\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$; Dor. $-\mu \epsilon \nu,-\mu \epsilon \iota$; Epic $-\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota,-\mu \epsilon \nu$ : examples for practice.
$\zeta \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma v \mu \mu$, yoke.
кіхрпрє (root $\chi \rho a)$, lend.
òviv $\quad$ нı (root ova), aid.
8iva ${ }^{2}$ ar (mid.), able.
סí̧ך $\mu \mathrm{a}$ ( $-\epsilon \omega$ ), look for.
$\zeta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \nu v \mu \iota(\zeta \epsilon)$, boil.
$\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu v \mu \iota(\pi \eta \gamma)$, fasten.
р́шンvиц ( $\dot{\rho}$ ), spread.
$\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \nu \mu \iota(\sigma \tau \rho o)$, strengthen.
ค́ $\eta \gamma \nu v \mu \iota(\dot{\rho} \eta \gamma)$, break.
§ 39. Irregular Verbs in $\mu$.

1. Inflection of in $\quad$, to send, $\epsilon i \mu i$, to be, $\epsilon i \mu c$, to go (often future), $\phi_{\eta \mu i}$, to say, say yes, assert, кєiцaı, to lie down, and $\hat{j} \mu a \iota$, to set (the two last perfects middle with the sense of the present).
I. í $\eta \mu \iota, I$ send, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \in \mu a \iota, I$ go (send myself). Present Tense.

Indicative Active.
Ind. S. ${ }^{i} \eta \mu \iota$
ins
in $\quad \iota$
D.
iєtov
īєто
P. $i \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$
$i \in \tau \epsilon$
iêīı or iẫı.

Indicative Middle and Passive.
S. $i \in \mu a \iota$
ï $\epsilon a \iota$, ï
ієтаи
D. $i \epsilon \mu \in \theta o \nu$
$i \epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu$
$i \epsilon \sigma \theta_{0 \nu}$
P. $i \epsilon \mu \epsilon \theta a$
$i \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$


Subj. $i \hat{\omega}$, like $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega}$ from $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$. Subj. $i \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$, like $\tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$.


Inf. iéval.


## Imperfect.

## Indicative Active.

 Indicative Middle and Passive.


Opt. iei $\eta \nu$, like $\tau i \theta$ ei $\eta \nu$. $i \epsilon ́ \mu \in \theta_{0 \nu}, i \epsilon \sigma \theta o \nu, i \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, P. $i \notin \mu \epsilon-$ $\theta a, ~ i ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, ${ }^{\prime} \in \nu \tau \sigma$.
Opt. $i \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu$, like $\tau i \theta \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu$.
Second Aorist.

Indicative Active.
Ind. S. ${ }^{\eta} \nu$
خैs
$\grave{\eta}$

Indicative Middle.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { S. ( } \left.{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \eta \nu\right) \text {, є } \check{\mu} \mu \nu \\
& \text { єíoo } \\
& \text { eito }
\end{aligned}
$$

D.

ส̃тоע, єiтov

P. $\check{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu$

єँтє, єitє ढ̈ซav, єíซav.
D. $\epsilon i \mu \in \theta o \nu$
$\epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta 0 \nu$
$\epsilon \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$
P. $\epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \theta a$
$\epsilon i \sigma \theta \epsilon$
єіिто.

Subj. $\AA$, inflected like the Pres- Subj. |  |
| :---: | , inflected like the ent. Present.

Opt. $\epsilon i \eta \nu$, like the Imperfect. Opt. $\epsilon \mu \mu \eta \nu$, like the Imperfect.
Imp. $\tilde{\epsilon}^{z}, \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \omega$, like the Present. Imp. ( $\left.\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma o\right)$ o ${ }^{\tilde{v}}$, like the Present.
Infin. eival.
Part. $\epsilon i ̋, \epsilon i \sigma a, ~ \epsilon ̃ \nu$.
Perf. єika.
Plup. єiккєь.
Fut. $\eta^{\sigma} \sigma \omega$.
First Aor. $\eta^{\eta} k a$. Infin. $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a u$.
Part. $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \in \nu \bar{\varsigma}, \eta$, ov.
Perf. єíuat.
Plup. єiцд $\quad$.
Fut. є́ $\eta^{\prime} \sigma о \mu a \iota$.
First Aor. $\epsilon_{i} \theta^{\prime} \eta \nu$.
II. $\epsilon i \mu i$, , $I$ am, єij, , go, will go.

Present Tense.
Attic Forms.
Dialectic Forms.

| Indic. S. $\epsilon i \mu i$ | ¢ije |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\epsilon i$ | ( $6 i{ }^{\text {is }}$ ) $\epsilon$ i |  |
| '̇ $\sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} i$ | cī\% | évií (Dor.) |
| є̇ $\sigma \tau$ ט́v | ítov |  |
| є่ $\sigma$ тóv | 'ıтоу |  |
|  | ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \in \nu$ |  |
| '̇бт'́ | ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon$ |  |
| cioíl |  |  |
| Subj. S. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | i $\omega$ | ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \omega, \epsilon \iota \omega$ (Ion., Ep.) |
| ท3s | 4 \% | ${ }_{\ell} \neq \sigma \theta a(E p$. |
| ? | 敞 | ${ }_{\imath}^{\prime} \eta \sigma \iota(\mathrm{Ep}$. |
| D. ท̉ | * ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ Tov |  |
| ท̇тoע | * $\downarrow$ ¢ |  |
| P. $\boldsymbol{\otimes} \mu \boldsymbol{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{*}{*} \omega \mu \in \nu$ | $\Xi \mu \epsilon s, \stackrel{\imath}{\iota} \omega \mu \in \nu$ (Dor.) ${ }^{\prime}(1) \mu \in \nu$ (Ep.) |
| $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ | ${ }^{\prime} \eta \tau \tau$ |  |
| む $\sigma \iota$ | ${ }^{*} \omega \sigma$ | $\epsilon{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \omega \sigma_{\iota}$ (Ion.) |


| Imp．S．${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \theta \iota\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma(\sigma) 0\right)$ | ${ }^{\prime \prime} \theta_{l}(\epsilon i)$ | ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \sigma \sigma$（Ep．） | ＇̇бo（Dor．） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| є゙ $\sigma \tau \omega$（ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \omega$ ） | ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \omega$ |  |  |
| D．${ }^{\text {ËбTo }}$ | ı̈тov |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\#}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ | $\stackrel{\text { it }}{ }$ \％$\nu$ |  |  |
|  | 行 |  |  |
| $\vec{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega \sigma a \nu,{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ ，oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ | ǐ $\tau \omega \sigma \nu$ ${ }^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$. |  |  |

Infin． $\mathfrak{\text { cival lévaı }}$
 є́oíva Dor．），є̇ò（Ion．）
G．ö öros G．ióvtos $\epsilon$＇is also in some oblique cases （Dor．）．

## Imperfect．

| Indic．S．$\eta^{\eta} \nu(\dot{\eta}, \vec{\eta} \mu \eta \nu)$ ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} s,{ }_{\eta}{ }^{3} \sigma \theta a$ $\bar{\eta}, \bar{\eta} \nu$ |  $\eta \neq \iota s, \eta \eta^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \iota \theta a$ $\vec{\eta} \in \iota, \vec{\eta}^{\prime} \in \iota$ |  $\epsilon \neq \eta s, \epsilon \nexists \sigma \theta a$（ ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \nu, \eta \not \eta \nu, \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa$ （Ion．，於 Do |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D．$\hat{\eta} \tau 0 \nu, \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau о \nu$ |  |  |  |
| $\eta ँ \tau \eta \nu, \eta ँ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ |  |  | ${ }^{\prime} \tau \eta \nu$ ，${ }^{\prime \prime}$ \％ov（Ep．） |
| P．${ }^{\eta} \mu \in \nu$ | $\vec{\eta} \in \epsilon \mu \in \nu, \hat{\eta}^{\mu} \in \nu$ | ${ }^{\dagger} \mu \mathrm{Es}$（ $\mathrm{Dor}$. ） | $\vec{\eta} \bigcirc \mu \in \nu$（Ep．） |
| ${ }^{\dagger} \tau \epsilon, \eta{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$ | $\bar{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon, \bar{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ | є́aтє（Ion．） |  |
| $\bar{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ | $\eta \nmid \epsilon \sigma a \nu$ | є̈ $\sigma a \nu$, є̈aбa （Ion．） | ぞi$\imath a \nu$, गे $\sigma a \nu$, $\ddot{\eta} i o \nu,{ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu(\mathrm{E} ., \mathrm{I}$. |
| Opt．S．$\epsilon^{\prime \prime \eta} \nu$ | ioı $\mu$ ，ioinv（iєi ${ }^{\text {l }}$ ） |  |  |
| Eins | lous，ioins |  |  |
| cil |  |  |  |
|  | loutov |  |  |
|  | ioín $\nu$ |  |  |
| P．$\epsilon^{\Downarrow} \eta \mu \epsilon \nu, \epsilon^{i} \mu \in \nu$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}<\mu \epsilon \nu$ |  |  |
|  | Їотє |  |  |
| єїךбav，єi¢ | lotev |  |  |


 indic．third sing．

III．$\phi \eta \mu i ́(\phi a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega), I$ say，say yes，assert． Present．

| Ind．S．$\phi \eta \mu i$ | D． | P．$\phi$ a $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ $\mathrm{rin}^{\prime}$ | фатóv | фat＇ |
| $\phi \eta \sigma i$ | фатóv | фабí |

Subj．S．$\phi \hat{\omega}, \phi \hat{\eta} s, \phi \hat{\eta}$, D．$\phi \hat{\eta} \tau o \nu, \phi \hat{\eta} \tau o \nu$, P．$\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu, \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon, \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma \tau$.


Imperfect．


＂${ }^{\prime} \phi \eta$
D． $\qquad$ є＂申атоу
є́фáтŋ»
P．$\epsilon \neq a \mu \epsilon \nu$
є̈фатє
є＂фаба⿱亠䒑
 $\phi a i(\eta) \tau \epsilon, \phi a i \eta \sigma a \nu$ or $\phi a i \epsilon \nu$ ．
Fut．$\phi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ ．Aor．$\epsilon \phi \eta \sigma a$ ．
Imperf．Mid．̇̀фá $\mu \nu$ ．
IV．кєîر aı，I lie down（lit．I have laid myself down）． Present．

| Ind．S．кєîmat | D．$\kappa \in \dot{\prime} \mu \in \theta_{0} \nu$ | P．$\kappa \in i \mu \in \theta a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| кєîбaı（ $\kappa$ êaı Ep．） | кєî̃ $\theta$ v | $\kappa \in \hat{\sigma} \theta \boldsymbol{\theta}$ |
| кєítaı | кєî̃ $\theta_{0} \nu$ | кєî̀taı |

Subj．$\kappa^{\prime} \omega \mu a \iota$ ，like $\lambda \hat{v} \omega \mu a \iota$.
Imp．S．кєíoo
$\kappa \in і ̈ \theta \omega$
D．$\kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta 0 \nu$
$\kappa \epsilon \div \sigma \theta \omega \nu$
P．$\kappa \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ кєі́ $\sigma \omega \sigma a \nu$

Inf．$\kappa \in і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota$.
Part．кєí $\mu \in \nu 0 s, \eta, o \nu$ ．

> Imperfect.

## S．є́кеí $\mu \eta \nu$ <br> є̈кєєбо <br> є̈кєเто


є̈кєเซӨод

P．є̇кєí $\epsilon \theta a$
 ฮ̋кยเขто

Opt．кєоí $\mu \nu$, like $\lambda \nu \sigma^{i} \mu \eta \nu$ ．

## จ． $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu a \iota, ~ \kappa a ́ \theta \eta \mu a \iota, * ~ I ~ h a v e ~ s e a t e d ~ m y s e l f, ~ s i t . ~$


Impf．$\eta \mu \eta \nu, \bar{\eta} \delta \sigma$, 玄 $\sigma \tau o$ ，etc． 3 plur． $\bar{\eta} \nu \tau 0$.
Imper．$\hat{\eta} \sigma o, \eta_{\eta} \theta_{\omega}$ ，etc．Infin．$\hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a t . ~ P a r t . ~ \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \nu o s$.
Also，
 etc．），$k a \theta \hat{\eta}$, ，$\kappa a \theta \hat{\eta} \tau a u$ ，etc．

 $\mu \eta \nu$ ？），каӨої，кадоїто（каА习习习т ？）．

2．There are several pure verbs（or with a pure root）which form the second aor．act．（and sometimes middle）by dropping the mode－vowel before the endings，after the analogy of verbs in $\mu$ ；but the remaining tenses are like verbs in $\omega$ ．The synopsis and inflection of these aorists are after the form of the second aor．of iot $\eta \mu$, ，i．e．they retain the long vowel of the indic．，whatever it is，etc．（See $\$ 38$, Rem． 1 and 3．）

3．A few pure verbs in the Attic dialect（chiefly in poetry）， in imitation of the Epic dialect，drop the connecting vowel before the endings（except in the third person plur．）in the perf．and pluperf．act．，and thus form these tenses after the analogy of verbs in $\mu$ ．They are called second perfects and pluperfects，or syncopated perfects and pluperfects．The sin－ gular of the indic．is not used except in $\delta \hat{\delta} \delta \mathbf{\delta} a$ ．Thus we have



Rem．1．The verbs which have this form of the perf．in some of its parts，more or less frequently，in the Attic dialect，are ri $\gamma \nu 0 \mu a \iota$
 $\sigma \kappa \omega$（ $\beta \epsilon \beta \rho \dot{\omega} s$, part．），$\pi i \pi \tau \omega$（ $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \dot{\omega} s$, part．）．

Rem．2．In the part．the characteristic vowels $a$ and $\epsilon$ are regu－ larly contracted with the ending $\omega \boldsymbol{s}$ in the Attic dialect，$a \dot{\omega} \dot{s}$ ，$\epsilon \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{s}$ into $\omega$ s．In this case they generally retain the $\omega$ in the endings in all
＊$\kappa \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \mu a \iota$ is generally used in prose．
 But when uncontracted, they sometimes retain this form in the masc. and fem., and sometimes are declined like the regular perf. participles in $\omega$ s.
4. An old perfect (second perfect) of the obsolete $\epsilon^{*} i \delta \omega$ (video, I see), oìa (I know, i. e. have seen), follows the analogy of verbs in $\mu$, and is thus inflected in the perf. and pluperf. : -

## Perfect.

| Ind. S. oida | D. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oiota (oîoas) | '̈\%tov |  |
| 0îi $\epsilon$ | '̈\%Tov |  |



Opt. S. $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon i \eta \nu$, $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon i \eta s$, $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon i \eta$, D. $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon i \eta \tau o \nu$, $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon i \eta \eta \tau \eta \nu$, P. $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$,


Imp. S. ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta_{\iota}$
ı̈ $\sigma \tau \omega$
D. ı́ттov

८้ $\sigma \tau \omega \nu$
P. Ïбтє
¿бт $\sigma \sigma a \nu$

Inf. $\epsilon i \delta \in \in \nu a l(i \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a t, i \delta \mu \in \nu, i \delta \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \nu)$.
Part. єiòós, vîa (iòvîa Ep.), ós, G. ótos.
Pluperfect.

| S. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \delta \epsilon \tau \nu, \eta \nmid \delta \eta$ | D. | P. ${ }^{n} \delta \in \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu, \eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\eta ้ \delta \eta \bar{n}, \vec{\eta} \delta \eta \eta \sigma \theta a$ |  |  |
|  | $\eta \partial \delta \epsilon i \tau \eta \nu, \eta \nmid \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ |  |

Or (Epic and Ionic) :-
S. 1. $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \in a$ (Ion.), $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \in i \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Ep.)
2. $\eta \in \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \iota s$ or $\eta \in \epsilon i \partial \eta s$ (Epic)

P. 2. $\grave{\eta} \delta \dot{́} a \tau \epsilon$ (Ionic)
3. ï $\sigma a \nu$ (Epic).

Fut. Act. єiôjō (Ionic). Middle єiloouat, I shall know.

## SECTION III.

## ANOMALOUS VERBS.

## § 40. Anomalies in Meaning.

1. Where the future middle of a verb has a passive meaning.

Rem. 1. This rarely occurs in liquid verbs, but in some mute verbs, and often in pure verbs. Thus are used, regularly, the futures
 mid. and fut. pass. ; as, $\zeta \eta \mu o^{\prime} \omega$, $\omega_{\phi} \phi \epsilon \lambda^{\prime} \omega, \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \omega, \phi \circ \beta^{\prime} \omega$; ä $\rho \chi \omega, \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \omega$, ${ }_{\alpha} \nmid \gamma \omega, \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma \omega, \tau \rho i \not \beta \omega, \beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \omega, \tau a \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega, \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$, etc.
2. Where active verbs have their future in the middle form, but with an active sense, verbs of this class express mostly some bodily or mental operation, and have, many of them, an active fut., but not generally in good authors.

Rem. 2. There belong here, of regular active verbs, the following


 $\tau \omega \theta$ áco $\mu a \iota$. Also the following irregular verbs have the future middle in an active sense, almost without an exception: á $\mu a \rho \tau \dot{a} \nu \omega, \beta a i v \omega$,
 $\kappa \lambda a i \omega, \lambda a \gamma \chi \dot{a} \nu \omega, \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\nu} \nu \omega, \mu a \nu \theta \dot{a} \nu \omega, \nu \in \in \omega(s w i m)$, ${ }^{\circ} \mu \nu \nu \mu \iota$, ópá $\omega, \pi a i \zeta \omega$,


Rem. 3. The following vary between the active and middle future, and yet more commonly use the latter : ${ }_{a}^{\alpha} \delta \omega, \dot{a} \rho \pi a ́ \zeta \omega, \gamma \eta \rho{ }^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega, \beta \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega$,
 $\chi \omega \rho \rho^{\prime} \omega, \beta i o ́ \omega, \tau i \kappa \tau \omega$, and $\phi \theta a ́ \nu \omega$.
3. Most deponent verbs have the aorist, and nearly all the future, in the middfe form ; but some have these tenses either wholly or in part in the passive form.

Rem. 4. The following have both a middle and passive future:
 $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda a \sigma \sigma o ́ o \mu a \iota$. But $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho a \mu a \iota$ has only the fut. pass. $\epsilon_{\rho} \rho a \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$.

Rem. 5. The following have the anrist wholly in the passive





Rem. 6. The following fluctuate between the pass. and mid. aor-
 following, the middle aor. is more used than the passive : $\beta \rho u \chi$ áo $\mu a \iota$,
 following the middle aor. is less used than the pass. : äya $\mu a \iota$, aidéo-


## 4. Many deponent verbs have a passive meaning.

Rem. 7. Especially deponents which have an aorist middle often have, also, the passive aor. with the passive meaning; as, á $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$, $a i k \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$, aiтıa $\hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$, ảmo入oү $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \beta \iota a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \delta \in \chi \theta \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota, \delta \omega \rho \eta \theta \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota$, є́ $\rho \gamma a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, ~ i a \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \lambda \omega \beta \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \mu \iota \mu \eta \theta \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota, \pi \rho \circ \phi a \sigma \iota \sigma \theta \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota$, $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota, \omega \nu \eta \theta \bar{\eta} \nu a \iota$.

Rem. 8. Also several perfects of deponent verbs have both an active and passive meaning ; as, $\eta \gamma \omega \omega i \sigma \theta a \iota, \eta \geqslant r i a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota, \beta \epsilon \beta \iota a ́ \sigma \theta a \iota, \delta \epsilon \delta \omega-$
 $\sigma \theta a \iota, \mu \epsilon \mu \eta \chi a \nu \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota, \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota, \kappa \epsilon \chi a \rho i \sigma \theta a \iota, \epsilon \notin \nu \hat{\eta}-$

5. The second aor. and second perf. of some transitive verbs are intransitive, especially the following: aorists, $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \nu \nu$,



## § 41. Anomalies in Form.

1. Many liquid verbs, and some mute verbs, in forming certain tenses, add an $\epsilon$ to the root of the present; as, $\mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda \omega$ ( $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ - present stem), fut. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ (stem $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$-).
2. On the contrary, some verbs drop $\epsilon$ of the present in forming the other tenses; as, סокє́ $\omega$ (ঠокє- present stem), fut. ठós $\omega$ ( $\delta о к$-).
3. The ending ă $\nu \omega$ (in a few $\nu \omega$, aiv,$\stackrel{v}{\nu} \omega$ ) is added to the stems of a number of verbs in the pres. and imperf. The remaining tenses are formed partly from the pure stem, and partly from the pure stem strengthened by $\epsilon$; as, pres. $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \alpha-$ $\nu \omega$, aor. ${ }_{\epsilon} \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau o \nu$, fut. $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \eta \sigma^{\prime} \sigma$.
4. In several of the verbs of the above class, before adding $\breve{a} \nu \omega, \eta$ and $\epsilon v$ of the pure stem are shortened into $a$ and $v$, respectively, in the pres. and imperf., and $\nu$ (which is often changed according to the laws of euphony, $\S 4$, before the
following consonant) is inserted before the characteristic. Hence we have from the roots $\mu \eta \theta-, \lambda \eta \beta-$, $\tau \epsilon \cup \chi^{-}, \mu a \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \omega, \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{a}^{-}$ $\nu \omega$, тuүरáv $\omega$.

Rem. 1. In this way certain associate forms of verbs in use arose ; as, $\phi v \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \nu \omega$ to $\phi \epsilon v^{\gamma} \omega, \lambda \iota \mu \pi a ́ v \omega$ to $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \omega \omega$.
5. Several stems ending in a vowel add $\sigma \kappa \omega$ to the pres. and imperf., and some of them, at the same time, change their characteristic vowel ( $a$ into $\eta, \epsilon$ or o into $\imath$ ); as, á $\rho \in ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$ (ả $\rho \epsilon-$ ),

6. Some of the verbs in $\sigma \kappa \omega$ (also some other verbs in $\omega$ ) take the reduplication of verbs in $\mu \tau$ in the pres. and imperfect; as, $\delta \iota \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$ ( $\delta \rho a-$ ), $\beta \iota \beta \rho \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega(\beta \rho \omega-)$, тıт ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega$.

Rem. 2. Some of the verbs in $\sigma \kappa \omega$ have an independent meaning, some a causative, and some an inceptive or inchoative meaning ; as,
 old, etc.
7. Certain tenses from obsolete presents are associated, simply on account of the correspondence of their meaning, with presents in use of quite different stems. Thus the forms
 with the pres. ópáa, and serve as tenses to it ; just as in English we associate together go, went, gone, though from different roots.
8. A number of the verbs in $\mu \iota$ add $\nu v \mu c$ to the stem, when it ends in a consonant, $\nu \nu \nu \mu \nu$, when it ends in a vowel; as, ${ }_{a}^{a} \gamma \nu \nu \mu \iota$, ${ }^{*} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \iota$ (i. e. ö $\lambda \nu v \mu \iota, \nu$ being assimilated to $\lambda$ for euphony).
9. Less common are some other anomalies, such as metathesis, by which a vowel changes places with a following liquid in certain tenses, and is lengthened in the change; and syncope, by which a short vowel (generally $\epsilon$ ) is rejected in some tenses or forms of the verb.

Rem. 3. Metathesis takes place in the perf. and first aor. pass. of the verbs $\beta a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$, ка ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \omega$, ка́ $\mu \nu \omega$, $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$, and $\theta \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \omega$, and the stems $\beta a \lambda, \kappa a \lambda, \kappa a \mu, \tau \epsilon \mu, \theta a \nu$, become in these tenses $\beta \lambda \eta, \kappa \lambda \eta, \kappa \mu \eta, \tau \mu \eta$, $\theta \nu \eta$; hence, $\beta \epsilon^{\prime}-\beta \lambda \eta-k a, \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime}-\kappa \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime}-\theta \eta \nu$, etc.

Rem．4．Syncope occurs ：a）Especially in several second aorists，


 tion with the reduplication（see paragraph 6）；as，$\gamma$ i $\gamma \nu \rho \mu a \iota$（ $\gamma \in \nu$－，and hence properly $\gamma\left(-\gamma^{\prime} \nu-o \mu a \iota\right), \pi i \pi \tau \omega(\pi \epsilon \tau-)$ ，$\mu i \mu \nu \omega$ from $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega$ ，$\pi i \pi \rho a \sigma \kappa \omega$ from $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\alpha} \omega$ ；also in the perfects $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \tau \omega к a(\pi \epsilon \tau о-)$ and $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \tau a \mu a \iota$ from
 is rejected by syncope，as is done generally in verbs in $\mu \iota$ ．

10．The following alphabetical list contains most of the anomalous verbs of the above classes in use in the best Attic writers．It has not seemed best to encumber the list with those of less common occurrence，or belonging to the dialects， nor with mere defective verbs，all of which may be better learned from the Lexicon，as they occur．Mere irregularities in the formation of particular tenses will be found treated of under the sections devoted to that subject．

| Present． | Future． | Aorist． | Perfect． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \nu \nu \mu \iota(b r e a k)$ | $\vec{a} \xi \omega$ |  | ¢̆ā ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Passive |  | ¢＇áरqๆ | （＂єаулац） |
| aip＇́ ${ }^{\text {a }}$（take ė－） | aip ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \％$\omega$ | cìov | ท̆р $¢$ ка |
|  | aip $\Theta$ Өंбораı | $\underline{i p} \hat{\rho}^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
| aioӨávouaı（perceive） |  | $\grave{\eta} \sigma \theta$ о́ $\quad$ خ | $\ddot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \mu a$ |
| $\dot{a}^{\lambda} \chi^{\prime} \xi^{\prime} \omega$（ward off） | （ả入 $\lambda \in \xi^{\prime} \eta \sigma \omega$ ） | （ $\left.{ }^{\prime} \lambda \dot{\prime} \dot{\xi} \xi \eta \sigma a\right)$ |  |
| Middle |  | $\dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \xi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| d＾íткоцаı（be taken） | à $\lambda \omega$ ف́боцаı | ¢́á入 $\omega \nu, \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ |  |
|  |  | ท̈щартоע |  |
| Passive |  | а́ $\mu a \rho \tau \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ |  |
| $\dot{\text { a }}$ ¢ $\beta \lambda i \boldsymbol{i} \kappa \omega$（miscarry） | （ $\left.{ }^{\mu} \mu \beta \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \omega\right)$ | ${ }^{\prime} \mu \beta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha$ |  |
|  | $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$ | ${ }_{\eta} \mu \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{i} \epsilon \sigma a$ |  |
| Middle | ả $\mu$ фı＇є́ооає |  |  |
| $\dot{a} \nu \bar{a} \lambda i \tau k \omega$（spend） |  | à ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ¢ $\lambda \omega \sigma a$ | àvá入 $\omega$ Ka |
|  |  | $\mathfrak{a} \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma$ | à $\nu^{\prime} \lambda \omega \kappa \kappa$ |
| Passive |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { à }}{\text { ád }}$ ¢ $\omega \mu$ aı |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \eta \lambda \lambda \omega \theta \eta \nu$ | $\stackrel{\text { à }}{\text { ¢ }}$ ¢ $\lambda \omega \mu \mu \iota$ |
| àme $\chi$ Oávopaı（be hated） |  |  |  |


| ápétк¢（please） | ápévo | $\eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \in \sigma a$ | （ảрク́рєка） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{a v} \xi\left(\frac{a}{\nu}\right) \omega$（ increase） |  | $\eta$ び $¢ \eta \sigma a$ | $\eta \ddot{\xi} \chi_{\eta \kappa}$ |
| Passive | $a v \xi^{\prime} \eta\left(\theta_{\eta}^{\prime}\right) \sigma о \mu a \iota$ | $\eta u \xi^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
| ${ }^{a} \chi$ Oouaı（be vexed） |  | $\cdots{ }^{\prime} \chi \theta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ | －？ |
| $\beta$ aivo（go） | $\beta$ ®＇боили | $\stackrel{\beta}{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\beta} \eta$ | $\beta \beta^{\prime} \beta \eta$ ка |
| $\beta \iota \beta$ ¢́¢ $\kappa \omega$（to eat） | （ $\beta$ ¢́өооцаı） | （ $\left.{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \beta \cdot \rho \omega \sigma a\right)$ | $\beta є \beta$ ¢ока |
| Passive | （ $\beta \rho \omega \theta$ ض＇$\sigma о \mu a \iota)$ | ${ }_{\epsilon} \beta \rho \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \nu$ | $\beta^{\prime} \leqslant \beta \rho \omega \mu a \iota$ |
| $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau a ́ v \omega$（spring） | $\beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$ | （ $\beta$ ）$\epsilon \beta \lambda$ á $\sigma \tau \eta$ ка |
| $\beta \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$（come） | $\mu 0 \lambda o v{ }^{\prime} \mu a \iota$ |  |  |
|  |  | （ $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \omega \nu\right)$ | $\beta \beta_{\epsilon} \beta \lambda^{\prime} \kappa \kappa \alpha$ |
| ßо́бкш（ feed ） | $\beta \circ \sigma \kappa \eta=\sigma \omega$ |  |  |
| ßoúdouaı（will） |  | ${ }_{\epsilon} \beta$ ¢ov $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ | $\beta \epsilon \beta$ оú入 $\eta \mu \mathrm{\mu}$ ¢ |
| үан＇ө（marry） | $\gamma a \mu \hat{\omega}$ | $\stackrel{\text { ¢̈ }}{ } \times \boldsymbol{\prime} \mu \mathrm{a}$ |  |
| Middle | $\gamma a \mu$ ט̂ $\mu a \iota$ | ＇̇ $\gamma \eta \mu$ á $\mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| $\gamma \eta \rho a ́(\sigma \kappa) \omega$（become old） | $\gamma \eta \rho \frac{1}{\sigma} о \mu a \iota(\sigma \omega)$ | є̇クŋ́para | $\gamma \in \gamma \eta$ рака |
|  <br> ［come） | $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma$ о $\mu$ a |  | $\gamma \in \gamma^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \eta \mu a \iota$ |
|  | $\gamma \in \nu \eta \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ |  | ¢＇́̌ova |
| $\gamma เ \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega$（know） | $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma}$ оцає | $\stackrel{\Pi}{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \nu$ |  |
| Passive | $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ о ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \theta \eta \nu$ | ${ }^{\prime} ¢ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu a \iota$ |
| סákv（bite） |  | є́¢aкоข | －？ |
| Passive | $\delta \eta \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ |  | $\delta^{\prime} \delta \dot{\delta} \eta \gamma \mu a \iota$ |
| סapӨávo（sleep） | барӨп́бонаı（？） | ढ́áap $\theta$ о | $\delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta \eta$ к $\alpha$ |
| ঠıбра́бкш（run off） | боа́гоцає |  | ঠ́є́¢оа̄ка |
| ঠокє́ف（srem） | $\delta \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega$ |  |  |
| （＇̇）$\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \omega$（ will） | （ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ）$\theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\prime} \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \eta \sigma a$ | $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta$ ка |
| єimeì（say ${ }^{\text {c } \rho \cdot)}$ | ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \bar{\omega}$ | єimov（ $-\pi a$ ） | єөр $¢$ ка |
| Passive |  |  |  |
|  | єірท́боцаи |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {¢ }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ áv $\omega$（drive） | ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \lambda \hat{\omega}$ | $\ddot{\eta} \lambda a \sigma a$ | ${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \lambda$ 人aka |
| Passive |  | $\grave{\eta} \lambda a ́ \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
| Middle |  | $\dot{\eta} \lambda a \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| （ $¢ \rho \circ \mu a \iota)(a s k)$ | є́¢ $\quad$ ¢оораи |  |  |
| ¢¢¢ $\rho$ ¢ $\omega$（ go forth） |  |  |  |
|  |  | $j \lambda \theta o \nu$ | $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \eta^{\prime} \lambda \nu \cup \theta a$ |
|  | ¢＇$\delta$ оцаı | ёфауо⿱ |  |
| Passive |  | （ $\eta$＇$\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \eta \nu)$ |  |


| $\epsilon \cup ์ \delta \omega$（sleep） | $\epsilon \tau ์ \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| єن́рíбка（find） | $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | $\epsilon \chi^{\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ | $\epsilon \cup \cup \rho \eta \kappa \alpha$ |
| Passive |  |  | єűp $\dagger \mu a \iota$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \sigma \chi{ }^{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \eta \kappa a$ |
| Passive |  | （ $\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \chi \chi^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu$ ） |  |
| Middle |  | ＇̇ $\sigma \chi$ о́ $\mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| É $\psi \omega$（cook） | ¢́ $\psi \dot{\eta} \sigma$ о $\mu$ a८ | $\eta \chi^{\prime} \psi \eta \sigma a$ | －？ |
| Passive |  | $\dot{\eta} \psi \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ | $\eta{ }^{\prime} \psi \eta \mu a \iota$ |
| $\zeta \epsilon$ úyvupı（join） | $\zeta \epsilon \cup \mathfrak{\xi} \omega$ |  | －？ |
| Passive |  | $\eta \nu(\dot{\epsilon} \zeta ¢ \epsilon \dot{\sim} \chi \theta \eta \nu$ ） |  |
| Middle | ऽєv̇乡ouaı |  |  |
|  | $\zeta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ |  |  |
| Passive |  |  |  |
| Middle |  | $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \zeta \omega \sigma$ á $\mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| $\dot{\eta} \beta \dot{( })$（ $\sigma$ ）$\omega$（become a man | $) \dot{\eta} \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | $\tilde{\eta} \beta \eta \sigma a$ | $\tilde{\eta} \beta \eta \kappa \alpha$ |
| $\theta \iota \gamma \gamma a ́ \nu \omega$（touch） | Oi $\xi^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{al}$（－$\omega$ ？） |  | －？ |
| $\theta \nu \eta$ ¢кк八（to die） | $\theta a \nu o \hat{\mu} \mu a \iota$ | ¢ $\theta$ avov | тє́ $\theta \nu \eta \kappa$ a |
| iкує́олає（come） | ¿\％оцає | iкópך | $\hat{i} \gamma \mu \boldsymbol{\sim}$ |
| i入áбкорає（propitiate） | i入ắ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Passive |  |  | （ǐ $\lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \iota)$ |
| ка́ццఎ（weary） | каноиิ $\mu$ a | є̈кацо⿱ | кє́кцрүка |
|  | кєра́б $\omega$（？） |  | кє́кра̄ка（？） |
| Passive |  | є่крล̆̈ $\theta \eta \nu$ | кє́кроа̄ $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Middle |  |  |  |
| корє́ขขvцц（satiate） | （корє́б洨） | ¢̇кóp $\in \sigma a$ | （кєко́р $\quad$ ка） |
| Passive |  | є́корє́ $\sigma \theta \eta$ | кєко́рє $¢ \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\kappa \rho є \mu \hat{\omega}$ | є̇крє́ $\mu$ a $\sigma$ a | ？ |
| Passive |  |  | （кєкре́ $\mu$ аиаı） |
| $\lambda a \gamma \chi$ á $\omega$（get by lot） |  |  | є̇ı $\lambda \eta \chi$ a |
| Passive |  | ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda{ }_{\eta} \chi \chi \theta \eta \nu$ | $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \eta \eta \gamma \mu a \iota$ |
| $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \omega$（take） | $\lambda \eta \dot{\psi} \boldsymbol{\sim}$ |  | $\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta \phi$ a |
| Passive | $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ | є $\lambda \dot{\eta} \phi \theta \eta \nu$ | $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda \eta \mu \mu \alpha^{\prime}$ |
| Middle |  | ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta$ ó $\mu \eta \nu$ |  |


| $\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ \nu \omega$（concealed） | $\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ |  | $\lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \theta a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle |  | є̇入aӨó $\mu \eta$ | $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \lambda \sigma \mu a$ |
| $\mu a \nu \theta a ́ \nu \omega$（learn） | $\mu a \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ о aı $^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |
| $\mu a ́ \chi o \mu a \iota$（fight） | $\mu а \chi о \hat{\mu} \mu$ ¢ | $\underline{\epsilon} \mu a \chi \in \sigma$＇́ $\mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| $\mu \epsilon ่ \lambda \epsilon \iota$（it concerns） | $\mu \in \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \iota$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \in \nu$ | $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \kappa \in \nu$ |
| $\mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$（intend） | $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | $\epsilon{ }_{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma a$ | ？ |
| $\mu \epsilon ่ \lambda о \mu a \iota$（care） | $\mu \in \lambda \eta$ ¢ $\sigma о \mu a \iota$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
| $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$（remain） | $\mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}$ |  | $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta к \alpha$ |
| $\mu i \gamma \nu v \mu \iota($ mix $)$ | $\mu i \xi \omega$ | ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \iota \xi \alpha$ | （ $\mu \dot{\prime} \mu \prime \chi \chi a)$ |
| Passive | $\mu \iota \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \mu i \chi \chi \eta \nu \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \eta \nu$ | $\mu^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ |
| $\mu \iota \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \omega$（remember） | $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \alpha$ |  |
| Passive | $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ | $\mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \mu a \iota$ |
|  | $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma}$ о $\mu$ аь |  |  |
| $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega$（distribute） | $\nu \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega}$ |  | $\nu \in \nu$ ¢́ $\mu \eta \kappa a$ |
| Passive |  | $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \nu$ | $\nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \eta \mu \mathrm{a}$ |
| ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ¢\％（swell） | ó $\zeta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | ¢ ${ }^{\prime} ¢ \eta \sigma \alpha$ | （\％ठ $¢ \delta$ ） |
| oiz\％ruc，oil $\omega$（open） | ol＇g |  | ¢¢¢ ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |
|  |  |  | ¢ै¢ ${ }^{\text {che }}$ |
| oıо ${ }^{\text {a／a（think）}}$ | oińбонає | $\omega_{\bullet}{ }^{\prime} \eta \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
|  | оiхそ́борає |  | （ $\stackrel{\omega}{*} \chi \chi \eta \mu \alpha) ~_{\text {（ }}$ |
| ò $\lambda \iota \sigma \theta a ́ \nu \omega$（slip） | ò $\lambda \iota \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \omega$（？） | $\omega{ }^{\omega} \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \circ \nu$ | （ $\omega \lambda i \sigma \theta \eta \kappa \alpha)$ |
| ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \iota$（destroy） | ỏ入ิ | $\ddot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma a$ |  |
| Middle | ỏ入ov̂ $\mu a \iota$ | $\omega \lambda$ о́رпр | ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \omega \lambda \lambda a$ |
| o＇$\mu \nu v \mu \iota$（swear） | ò $\mu \mathrm{ov} \mu \mathrm{a} \iota$ | $\omega \mu \sim \sigma a$ | о $\boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\text { ¢ }}$ мока |
|  |  | ${ }^{\circ} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}(\sigma) \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ |  |
|  | － |  | －？ |
| Passive |  | ¢رоо́рхөпр | －？ |
| Middle |  |  |  |
| ópá $\omega$（see，ò $\pi$－，i¢－） | ӧчорає | cîoov | ¢́ضрака |
| Passive |  | $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \phi \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
| Middle |  | єiठó $\mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| ȯ $\sigma$ ¢раілораı（smell） |  | $\omega{ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ¢ $\rho^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| óфєî̀ $\omega$（owe） | ó $\phi \in \iota \lambda \eta$＇$\sigma \omega$ | $\omega$ فो $\dagger$ ¢ $\lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$ |  |
| ỏф入ıбка́ขต（owe） | oj $\phi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ | ¿ $\phi \lambda$ о | ${ }_{\omega}{ }^{\prime} \phi \lambda \eta \kappa \alpha$ |

（ $\omega$ Н $\phi \eta \sigma \alpha$ ）

| $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \omega$ (suffer $\pi \eta \theta-, \pi \epsilon \nu \theta-$ ) | $\pi \epsilon$ 'íouaı |  | $\pi \epsilon \in \pi о \nu \theta a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\pi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \omega, \tau \hat{\omega}$ |  | ( $\pi \epsilon$ тє́така) |
| Passive |  |  | $\pi \in ́ \pi \tau a ̆ \mu a \iota$ |
| $\pi \epsilon$ 'тоцаı (fly) | $\pi \tau \eta \dot{\sigma}$ о $\mu$ a |  |  |
| Passive |  | $\epsilon ้ \pi \tau \eta \nu$ |  |
| Middle |  | є̇ $\pi \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$ |  |
| $\pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \sim \mu$ (fasten) |  |  | $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \eta \gamma a$ |
| $\pi i \nu \omega$ ( ${ }^{\text {rink }} \pi \epsilon$-, $\pi 0^{-}$) | тiopal | ¢゙TıOV | $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \omega \kappa \alpha$ |
| Passive | $\pi \bigcirc Ө \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu a \iota$ | $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \bar{\delta}{ }^{\prime} \eta \eta \nu$ | тө́тонає |
| $\pi \iota \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$ (sell) |  |  |  |
| Passive | тєтра́боцаь |  | $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \rho \bar{a} \mu a \iota$ |
| $\pi i \pi \tau \omega$ ( fall $\pi \epsilon \tau-$, $\pi \epsilon \tau 0^{-}$) | $\pi \epsilon \sigma \cup \hat{\nu} \mu a \iota$ | ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \pi \in \sigma \sigma \nu$ | $\pi \epsilon \in \pi \tau \omega \kappa \alpha$ |
| тvıӨávouaı (ask) | $\pi \epsilon$ v́бораь |  | $\pi \epsilon \in \pi v \sigma \mu a \iota$ |
| ¢¢ $\epsilon$ (flow) | ¢оэ́боцаı |  |  |
|  | $\dot{\rho} \in \dot{\text { v́бouaı }}$ |  |  |
| $¢_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \nu \nu \mu \mathrm{c}$ (tear) |  |  |  |
| Passive |  |  |  |
| Middle |  |  |  |
| $\dot{\rho} \iota \pi \tau \epsilon \in \omega, \stackrel{\rho}{\rho} i \pi \tau \omega$ (hurl) | $\dot{\rho} i \psi \omega$ |  |  |
| Passive |  | ¢¢¢ $¢ ¢ \dot{\rho} i \phi(\theta) \eta \nu$ |  |
| ¢ீ¢ขvข¢ (strengthen) |  |  | - ? |
| Passive |  |  |  |
|  | $\sigma \beta \beta^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ | ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \beta \beta \epsilon \sigma a$ |  |
| Passive | $\sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ о $\mu \iota$ | ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \beta \beta_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \eta$ |  |
| Intransitive | $\sigma \beta \bar{\eta}^{\prime}$ ооцає | ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \beta \nu$ |  |
| $\sigma \kappa \in \delta$ ávvv ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (scatter $)$ | $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta \hat{\omega}$ | ¢̇бкє́ס̆ă $\sigma$ a |  |
| Passive |  | є̇ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta$ á $\sigma \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
| $\sigma к о \pi \epsilon \omega$ (consider) | $\sigma к є ́ \psi о \mu а \iota ~$ | ${ }_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime} \phi \theta \eta \nu$ | єँ $\sigma \kappa \epsilon \mu \mu a \iota$ |
| $\sigma \pi \in ́ \nu \delta \omega$ (offer a libation) | $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \omega$ | ¢゙ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma a$ | ( $¢ \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota<a)$ |
|  |  |  | Є'бтєє $\sigma \mu a \downarrow$ |
| $\sigma \tau о \rho \in ́ \nu \nu v \mu \iota ~(s p r e a d) ~$ | $\sigma \tau \circ \rho \bar{\omega}$ | ¢̇бтóp¢є $\sigma$ a |  |
| (See $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \dot{\nu} v)^{\prime \prime}$.) |  | ('̇бтор'́ $\sigma \theta \eta \nu)$ | є̇бтópєб $\mu$ aı |
| бтрผ́vıv ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (spread) | $\sigma \tau \rho \omega ் \sigma \omega$ |  |  |
| Passive |  |  | Є' $¢ \tau \rho \omega \mu a \iota$ |
| Middle |  | ̇̇ $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ |  |


| $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$ (cut) | $\tau \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega}$ | '̇ $\tau \in \mu$ о | $\tau \in ' \tau \mu \eta \kappa a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passive | тєт $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma$ о $\mu \boldsymbol{\iota}$ | ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \tau \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \theta \eta \nu$ | тє́т $\quad$ ¢ ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| $\tau \iota \nu \omega$ ( $p a y$ ) | $\tau \hat{\iota} \sigma \omega$ | є̈тıба, тíбаı | тє́тıка |
| Passive |  | $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ |  |
| Middle |  | є่т८бá $\mu \eta \nu$ |  |
| тıтрผ́бкผ (wound) | $\tau \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ | ย゙т $\rho \omega \sigma$ a | -? |
| Passive | $\tau \rho \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu a \iota$ | є̇ $\tau \rho \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
| тлє́ $\chi$ (run $\delta \rho a \mu$-) | ঠраноขินaı |  | $\delta \in \delta \rho а ́ \mu \eta к а$ |
| ' Passive |  |  | $\delta \epsilon \delta \rho a \mu \eta \bar{\eta} \theta a \iota$ |
| тvүХávอ (happen) | тєv́\}ouaı | ¢゙Tvðov | тєтúХךка |
| $\tau \cup \cup \pi \tau \omega$ (strike) | $\tau \cup \pi \tau \eta \sigma \omega$ | (ส้тขтоข) | - ? |
| Passive |  | ย่тúтпท | тє́тขциає |
| $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ (bear є̇ขєк-, оі-) | oil $\sigma \omega$ | $\eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \in \gamma<0 \nu$ | évท̇ขoхa |
| Passive |  | $\dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\chi} \chi \theta \eta \nu$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Middle | oı'боцаı |  |  |
| $\phi \theta a ́ v \omega$ (anticipate) | $\phi \theta \eta$ оораı |  | є'фөaка |
| $\chi$ хíp (rejoice) | $\chi$ хıрŋ́ $\sigma \omega$ | € $\chi$ áp ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | кєХа́рךка $(-\mu \sim \iota)$ |
| $\chi$ ¢ $\omega \nu \nu v \mu \iota$ (to color) | - | ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ $\chi$ ¢ | ——? |
| Passive |  |  | кє́ $\chi \rho \omega \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \omega(\dot{\omega} \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega)$ | ${ }^{\prime} \omega \omega \sigma a$ | ( $\epsilon$ ¢Ка) |
| Passive | $\dot{\omega} \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ | $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\omega} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ | ${ }^{\epsilon} \omega \sigma \mu \boldsymbol{\omega}$ |
| Middle |  |  |  |

## CHAPTER IV.

## UNINFLECTED WORDS, OR PARTICLES.

## § 42. Adverbs.

1. Adverbs express the relations of place (as, $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta}$, everywhere), time (as, ขúкт $\omega \rho$, by night), manner (as, oűt $\omega$, thus), modality (affrmation, negation, certainty, uncertainty, conditionality, etc.; as, $\nu a i ́, ~ y e s, ~ o v ̀, ~ n o t, ~ \mu \dot{\eta} \nu, ~ t r u l y, ~ \pi o v ́, ~$ doubtless, ï $\sigma \omega s$, perhaps, and the like), and intensity and

2. Besides the ending $\omega s$, in which adverbs derived from nouns and participles (see $\oint 21,1$ ) end, there are other endings of adverbs, most of them arising from the endings of the different cases of nouns, pronouns, and adjectives, as the genitive, dative, and accusative.
3. The genitive ending appears in adverbs ending in $-\eta s$ and
 the third declension; as, $\pi \rho o \iota$ ós (from $\pi \rho o i \xi$ ), and probably évrós (within) and ékrós (without). Also those in $\xi$ were probably derived from old genitives in -aкos by dropping o and combining $\kappa$ and $s$; as, $\pi \dot{v} \xi($ with the fist), $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi, \bar{\jmath} \lambda \lambda a \dot{\xi} \xi$, $\mu \circ v \nu a ́ \xi$, , etc.
4. The dative ending appears in adverbs ending in $\iota, \epsilon \iota, \omega$, $o \iota, a \iota(\eta \sigma \iota, \bar{a} \sigma \iota), \eta$ or $a$ (the iota having been lost), and $\epsilon$; as,



 $\tau \bar{\eta} \lambda \epsilon$, ' $\psi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$, etc.
5. The accusative ending appears in adverbs ending in $o \nu$, $-\eta \nu-a \nu,-a ̆$ (sing., or plur. neut.) ; as, $\delta \eta \rho o ́ \nu, \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu, \pi \lambda \iota \nu \theta \eta \delta o ́ v$;


Rem. 1. The neuter sing. or plur. of many adjectives in use as such is often used adverbially; as, $\pi o \lambda \dot{v}$ or $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ́ a ́(m u c h), ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu ~$ (only), etc.

Rem. 2. The three endings of adverbs, $-\theta \epsilon \nu,-\theta \iota$, and $-\delta \epsilon(-\sigma \epsilon)$, correspond, respectively, to the gen., dat., and acc. endings, denoting whence, where, and whither, as, oupavó $\theta \in \nu$ (from heaven), oùpavó $\theta_{\iota}$ (in heaven), oủpavóvó (to heaven), ä̉ $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ (to another place).

## § 43. Prepositions.

1. The prepositions are used partly with the oblique cases of nouns and pronouns, which they generally stand before, and partly in composition.
 besides, and besides), is found without a case to govern in Attic prose.
Rem. 2. The improper prepositions ävєv, ẽ̃ $\downarrow \in \kappa a$, ä $\chi \rho \iota, \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota$, never appear in composition.
2. The cases which stand with the different prepositions
 out of, out, àvтi, instead of, ävєv, without, ëvєка or єїขєка (generally placed after its case), on account of, ä $\chi \rho \iota$ and $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota$, until, and some other words, such as $\chi$ ápıv, סík $\nu$ (acc. of nouns), having the force of prepositions. b) The dative alone with ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$, $i n, \sigma \dot{v}(\xi \dot{v})$, with. c) The accusative alone with $\epsilon$ is ('ss, and sometimes $\dot{\omega} s$ before designations of persons), into, to, àvá, on, in, upon. d) The genitive and accusative with $\delta \iota a ́, ~ к a \tau a ́, ~ i \pi \epsilon ́ \rho, ~$ $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́ . \quad$ e) The genitive, dative, and accusative with $\pi a \rho a ́, ~ a ̉ \mu \phi i$, $\pi \in \rho i, \pi \rho o ́ s, ~ i \pi \pi o ́, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i$.

Rem. 3. The principal meanings of the prepositions which govern two cases are :-

1) $\delta$ oá with the gen. through; with the acc. through, on account of, by means of, through the efficiency or fault of.
2) katá with the gen. down from, against, on account of, concerning; with the acc. by, in, upon, to, according to, by virtue of, in respect to ; of time, about ; also, the way and manner.
3) imép with the gen. above, over, for, instead of, on account of; with the acc. over, above, of space, time, measure, and number.
4) $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ with the gen. with; with the acc. after.

Rem. 4. The principal meanings of the prepositions which govern three cases are : -

1) à $\mu \phi$ i with the gen. and dat. around, about, on account of; with the acc. about, of place and time.
2) $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ with the gen. concerning, on account of; with the dat. and acc. about, in respect to.
3) $\pi a \rho a$ with the gen. from by, from near by; with the dat. at, by; with the acc. to, near.
4) $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} s$ with the gen. from before ( $\pi \rho \dot{\Delta} s \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\omega} \nu, b y$, before the gods); with the dat. by; with the acc. to, against, according to, for the benefit of.
5) $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi i$ with the gen. upon, at, on, by, after, under, during, for; with the dat. upon, on, at, by, after, concerning, on account of, from, in order to, under condition that, in the power of; with the acc. upon, against, after.
6) umó with the gen. under, by, from, on account of; with the dat. under, by; with the acc. under, during.

Rem. 5. In composition, the prepositions usually have some one of their primitive local meanings; as, -

2) $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$, with, together : $\sigma v \mu \pi о \rho \epsilon \dot{\in} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, $\sigma u \lambda \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$. [ $\sigma$ वával.
3) $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\prime}$, with (participation), around: $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a l, \mu \in \theta_{l}-$

5) $\pi \rho o ́$, , before: $\pi \rho о т \alpha ́ т \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \rho \circ ß a i \nu \epsilon \nu$, , троаı $\rho \in і$ ї $\theta a \iota$.
6) à áó, from, away: àmori $\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, à $\pi \epsilon \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a l$.

8) єis, into : єi $\sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
9) àvá, up, through, back: ảvaßaivєıv, ảvax $\omega \rho \in i ̂ \nu$.
10) кaтá, down through, completely: катаßaiveıv, кататєцтра́vaı.
11) $\delta t a ́$, through, over: $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a l$, סıaßaiv $\varepsilon \iota \nu$.

13) à $\mu \phi \dot{\prime}$, around, two-sided : à $\mu$ фі́ттоноя.
14) $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́$, around, over: $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \alpha \rho \eta$ ク́s, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \circ \rho \hat{a} \nu$.
15) тapá, to, near by, along by: $\pi a \rho \epsilon і \nu a l, ~ \pi a р а к а \lambda \epsilon і \nu, ~ \pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \epsilon i v . ~$
16) $\pi \rho^{\prime} \dot{\prime}$, towards, to : $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a l, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \nu a \iota$.



## § 44. Conjunctions.

Conjunctions are of two classes:-
I. Coördinate conjunctions, or such as connect sentences (or words, when the sentences are abridged) that merely have a common reference to each other, while each expresses a complete sense by itself. These are : -

1. Copulative conjunctions; as, кaí, and, also, $\tau^{\prime} \dot{\prime}$, and (the former implying a more intimate connection, the latter a looser connection, or a mere succession, without any internal connection) ; $\tau \grave{\ldots} \ldots \tau^{\prime}$, both . . . . and, on the one hand . . . on the
other, тє̀ кaí (written together), both . . . . and, каí . . . . кaí, both . . . . and also.
2. Adversative conjunctions; as, $\delta^{\prime}$, but (to which the concessive $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ often corresponds in the related sentence), $\mu^{\prime}$ ย́rol, $y e t, a ̉ r a ́ \rho, b u t$, still, ả $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}, b u t$ on the contrary, but, yet, while, кaírol, and yet, кaiтє $\rho$, although (with a part.), ö $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, nevertheless, av̉ (after kai or $\delta_{\epsilon}$ ), and on the contrary, on the other hand, again.
3. Disjunctive conjunctions; as, $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, or (aut, vel, ve, sive),

 övテє and $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \mu^{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ (occasionally with the simple $\tau \tau^{\prime}$ or кaí in the second member), neither . . . nor, oú $\delta$ é and $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{\prime}$, nor also, and not, also not, even not, not at all.

Rem. 1. The conjunction $\eta^{\prime}($ than $)$ is also used with comparative words, and those denoting separation, difference.
4. Causal conjunctions; as, $\gamma$ á, for, for example.
5. Deductive conjunctions; as, oủv, consequently, therefore, äpa, then, тoivv̀, then, so then, тoizap (тoíyapтou, тotyapoûv), for that very reason, wherefore; also $\delta \dot{\prime}$ (now, hence) is deductive.
II. Subordinate conjunctions, or such as connect a subordinate to a principal sentence. They are :-

1. Causal conjunctions; as, ötı, $\delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota$, $\dot{\text { s }}$ (also the temporal conjunctions öтє, ómótє, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \dot{\prime}$, and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$ used causally), because, since, when, while ; also ärє with a participle. But with verba sentiendi et declarandi, ötı and $\dot{\omega}$ introduce objective substantive sentences, and are explicative in their character.
2. Hypothetical or conditional conjunctions; as, $\epsilon i$, if ; also the temporal conjunctions $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$, after that, as, $\pi \rho i \nu$,
 ally have a hypothetical force.
3. Final conjunctions (expressing an end or purpose); as,
 that not, in order that not.
4. Consecutive conjunctions (denoting a sequence or succession) ; as, $\omega$ s and $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, so that.
5. Comparative conjunctions; as, $\dot{\omega}$ and $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, as.

Rem. 2. Adverbs of place and time, also, are used to connect sentences, and hence fill the office of conjunctions; as, ov̂, $\hat{\eta},{ }^{\imath} \nu a,{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \partial a$, $o \hat{i}$, and other relative adverbs of place (see the correlative adverbs,

 the case of a relative or correlative pronoun, which express time. Besides, relatives and direct interrogatives (see the correlatives, $\S 27$, I.) serve to connect sentences with others, forming what are called relative or adjective sentences.

Note. Interjections are mere articulate sounds expressive of emo-
 done! etc. They have no grammatical relations, and hence require no treatment.

## CHAPTER V.

## FORMATION OF WORDS.

## § 45. Formation by Derivation.

1. Verbs are derived from roots (whether found in nouns, adjectives, verbs, or other parts of speech) by adding the endings (and sometimes with some change in the root) ${ }^{\prime} \omega$, $\epsilon \omega$, ó $\omega$, $\epsilon \dot{v} \omega, \dot{a} \zeta \omega, i \grave{\zeta} \omega$, $a^{i} \nu \omega$, and $\dot{v} \nu \omega$.
2. These endings have more or less distinct meanings. Especially, those in ó $\omega$, aiv $\boldsymbol{\nu}$, and $\dot{v}^{\prime} \omega$ generally have a causative meaning (as, $\lambda \epsilon u \kappa a i v \epsilon \nu$, to whiten, make white); and those in $\sigma \epsilon i \omega$ and tá $\omega$ express a desire or longing for something (desideratives) ; as, $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon i \omega, I$ desire to fight.
3. Nouns denoting persons (personal appellatives) are derived from verbal roots by the endings as, $\eta s, \tau \eta s ;$ os; $\eta \rho, \omega \rho$, สús; as, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ ós, a general, $\rho \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \rho$, an orator, etc.
4. Abstract and other common nouns which are not personal appellatives, are derived from verbal stems by the endings $a$,
$\epsilon \iota a, \sigma \iota a, \eta, \mu \eta$ ；os，$\mu$ ós $^{\prime} \sigma \iota s, \mu a ;$ as，$\beta \lambda a ́, \beta \eta$ ，injury，$\pi$ oí $\eta \mu a, ~ a$ poem，$\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu o ́ s$, bond，etc．

5．Nouns are derived from adjectives by the endings $a$ or $\eta$ ，
 （ $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ ），$\tau a \chi u ́ \tau \eta s$（ $\tau a \chi u ́ s$ ），etc．

6．Nouns are derived from other nouns by various endings． Of these may be noticed：－

1）Patronymics，i．e．names of persons derived from their parents or ancestors．They ent in áons（from names of the first declension only）and ions（which becomes ciôns or oiôns when the stem of the primitive ends in $\epsilon$ or o）；and the corre－ sponding feminine endings ás（gen．áoos），is（gen．iooos）；as，
 Bopeás（Bopéas），Tavta入is（Távta入os），etc．

Rem．1．There are also the rare and poetic patronymic endings
 （＇Акрі́тоз）．

2）Gentile nouns，i．e．nouns denoting one＇s country．They
 （a person belonging to Abdera），＇H $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta s$（belonging to Epirus），＇A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quaîos，etc．}\end{aligned}$

3）Diminutives．－These receive the endings $\omega \nu, \iota^{\circ} \nu, i_{i \delta} \iota \nu$, ápoov，vípıov，í $\sigma o \nu$ ，i $\sigma \kappa \eta$ ，is，and denote a small or dear object of the kind denoted by the primitive ；as，oikióoo（a little house，from oikía），$\pi$ o入íर ${ }^{\prime}$ lov（ $\pi$ ó̀ls），etc．

7．Adjectives are derived from the different parts of speech by the endings os，єos，tos（as ios，alos，єios，olos，̣̂os），«кós，lakós， los，tós，téos，ıuos，à ás，quós，ıvos（ìvos，ìos，ıvós，єivós），入ós（as
 $\omega^{\omega} \delta \eta s$ ，and $\mu \omega \nu$ ．

8．Of the above adjective endings，those in eos denote the material of which any thing is made ；as，$\chi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \sigma s$, of gold；




## § 46. Formation by Composition.

1. When the first word of a compound is an indeclinable word, it is not changed, except that most dissyllabic prepositions ending in a short vowel lose that vowel before a vowel in the following word (but not $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, and sometimes $\dot{a} \mu \phi i$ and $\pi \rho \dot{\prime})$;
 crasis on account of the aspirate).

Rem. 1. Here belong the inseparable particles $a$-, or $a \nu$ - before a vowel (denoting negation or privation, like the English in-, un-, or -less, but sometimes having a collective sense, together, as in ä̃as, án oo$\chi \circ s$, and then evidently a contraction from á $\mu \alpha$, or intensive, as in ${ }_{a} \tau \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}, v e r y$ tight), $\delta v s,-\nu \eta$ (English mis- or un-), and apı-, $\epsilon \rho \iota-, \delta a-$, $\zeta a-, \lambda a-$ (very, much, all intensive); as, ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \iota \beta \hat{\omega} \lambda a \xi$, very fertile, etc.
2. When the first word of a compound is a noun, the second word, when it begins with a vowel, is generally joined directly to the stem of the first, but sometimes to the nominative after rejecting $s$ (especially when $s$ follows $v$ ); but when the stem of the first ends, and that of the second begins, with a consonant, o (and sometimes $\iota$ ) is introduced between them;
 тонєiv ( $\phi \lambda \in \beta$-о-тонєiv).

Rem. 2. The o is sometimes introduced, also, when only the first ends in a vowel, or the second begins with a vowel (as, $\mu$ ovoєións) ; sometimes, too, $\omega$ is used for o, and sometimes, especially in the early forms of the language, $a, \eta, \iota, \epsilon \iota, o \iota, \sigma, \sigma \iota$ are introduced as connecting syllables; as, óòoınópos, $\tau \in \lambda \in \sigma \phi$ ópos, etc.
3. When the first word of a compound is a verb (which is not very often the case in the common language), the second word, when it begins with a vowel, is generally joined directly to the pure stem of the verb when it ends in a consonant, but inserts $\sigma$ when it ends in a vowel, and sometimes, also, when it ends in a pi- or kappa-mute (as, $\pi \epsilon \theta a \rho \chi \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \pi a v \sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \mu \circ s, \pi \lambda \dot{\eta}^{-}$ $\xi \iota \pi \pi o s)$. On the contrary, when the second word begins with a consonant, $\sigma \iota$ is inserted between the parts, when the first ends in a vowel or $\rho$, and sometimes when it ends in a pi- or kappa-mute, but in other cases, $\epsilon$, o, or $\iota$ : as, $\lambda v \sigma$ itiovos, $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon-$

4. When the second word begins with a short vowel, this is lengthened in several compound nouns, viz. $a$ and $\epsilon$ into $\eta$, and


5. The second word generally remains unchanged at the end when it is a verb and the first word a proper preposition; as, $\mathfrak{c}^{\epsilon} \kappa \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu, a ̉ v a \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, etc.
6. Many verbal substantives, also, especially abstracts, remain unchanged when compounded with a preposition; also $\beta o v \lambda \eta$ ', סík,$\sigma \kappa \in \nu \eta$, and óoós; as, $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \pi \lambda \iota \sigma i a$, etc.
7. Adjectives, also, may remain unchanged, whatever be


8. With the exception of the three last-named cases, compounds are usually changed at the end by receiving a derivative ending, either nominal or verbal, according as a noun or a verb is to be formed from the compound stem ; as, immo-
 кós, etc.

## S Y N T A X.

Note. - The different parts of a sentence, and the different kinds of sentences, are supposed to be already known. See my English Grammar, § 38.

## CHAPTER I.

## WORDS AS SUBJECI', PREDICATE, ATTRIBUTE, AND OBJECT.

## §47. The Substantive or Noun.

1. The noun is used in all the four relations of subject, predicate, attribute, and object.
2. As subject, it is in the nominative case when its verb is in a finite tense, but in the accusative when the verb is in the infinitive.

Rem. 1. The nominative sometimes stands without a verb, when in the progress of a sentence the structure is changed (anacoluthon).
3. The noun as subject is sometimes omitted, particularly where it is implied in the predicate (verb), or may be easily supplied from the context; as, oivoxoєv́є (he pours out wine, i. e. $\delta$ oivoхóos). So, also, $\delta$ к $\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho v \boldsymbol{\xi}(t h e ~ h e r a l d) ~ a n d ~ \delta ~ \sigma a \lambda \pi \iota \gamma к \tau \eta ' s ~$ (the trumpeter) are regularly implied in the corresponding verbs, and not expressed. Nouns to be supplied from the context are chiefly $\theta$ єós (as with $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \chi \in \iota, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota$, etc.), $\hat{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a$, $\pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a, \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$, or a word implied in some other word in the connection and readily supplied by the mind.

Rem. 2. With most impersonal verbs the accompanying infinitive or substantive sentence is the subject, but with those relating to certain operations of nature (as, víct, it rains), $\theta$ cós or Zєús may be supplied.
4. The noun does not by itself form a complete predicate, but often forms a part of the predicate with the verb to be, and other verbs which express an incomplete predicative idea; such as verbs meaning to become, grow, remain, appear, be named, called, appointed, chosen, regarded (as something);

5. The noun as attribute is always either in apposition (the same case) with another noun (sometimes with $\omega$ s intervening), or in the genitive case, or in some oblique case governed by a preposition. In either case it attributes or ascribes some quality to a noun (like the attributive adjective) as something belonging to it, and not merely predicated of it ; as, oi $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\delta \epsilon ́ \nu \delta \rho o v$ картоi (the of-the-tree fruit, as opposed, say, to the fruit of the vine, or any other kind of fruit); Kpoíros, $\delta$ $\beta a \sigma \iota-$ $\lambda \epsilon u ́ s$ (king Crœsus) ; $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ơoós (the to-the-city way).

Rem. 3. The noun which the attributive gen. depends upon is sometimes understood, especially some case of oikos, as in the phrase

6. The noun as an object expresses either the direct or the indirect or circumstantial object of transitive verbs. As the indirect or circumstantial object, it is generally attended by

Rem. 4. What would regularly be the subject of a subordinate clause is often made either the subject or object of the principal clause;
 me, instead of, it is evident to me that Polus; and so in other imper-
 the Magian, that he was not, etc. (instead of, he feared that it was not the Magian, etc.).

Rem. 5. The noun in the vocative case is not used in any of the above relations, but merely in a direct address to something, and stands independent in a sentence. The nominative is sometimes used in very much the same way, especially with the pronoun ov̀ros (which is also
used thus alone) ; as, $\widehat{\omega}$ oviros Alas (ho there, Ajax!). But strictly some form of the verb cival, or some other verb, is to be supplied with such nominatives, or they are in apposition with a pronoun (either expressed or understood) of the second person.
7. Abstract and material nouns are sometimes used in the plur. to denote the different parts, kinds, exhibitions of the abstract or material idea (as, кpı日ai, different kinds of barley, фidiat, friendships). Proper names, also, may be used in the plur. either to denote several persons of the same name or of like qualities (as, 'A ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \eta \pi i \delta a \iota$ ). On the contrary, the sing. of national denominations is often used to designate the entire nation; as, $\delta \Pi \epsilon \rho \rho \sigma \bar{\prime}$, the Persian.

## § 48. The Pronoun.

1. Pronouns, like nouns, may be used as subject, attribute, or object, or in the predicate, though rarely in the last relation.
2. Pronouns, too, as attributes, generally agree with their noun like an adjective, and rarely express the attributive relation when standing alone or with a preposition in an oblique case.
3. The pronoun as a subject is often understood; especially the indefinite $\tau i s, \tau \iota \nu \epsilon$, and both the personal and possessive pronouns of the first, second, and third persons, which are regularly used only when emphatic, as in contrasts, etc.

Rem. 1. The personal pronouns are $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}, \sigma \dot{v}, a \grave{u} \tau o ́ s$. Instead of the regular possessive pronouns Attic writers sometimes use the gen. of the personal pronouns ( $\mu \circ \hat{v}, \sigma o \hat{v}, a u ̀ \tau o \hat{v}, \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \nu \hat{\omega} \nu, a \grave{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) to express the simple possessive idea; but the possessive idea is often expressed reflexively by the possessive pronoun with the addition of the gen. of aitós, or else by the gen. of the substantive-reflexive pronoun, which latter usage is the more common in the sing. and in the



Rem. 2. The enclitic forms of the personal pronouns, $\mu o \hat{v}, \mu o i, \mu \dot{\epsilon}$, are less emphatic than the longer forms, the latter being regularly used in antitheses and other emphatic positions.
4. As an object, the reflexive pronouns are used when the subject of the sentence, or else the subject or object of the
principal sentence, is to be represented as the object of its own action, thought, or reference; but the oblique cases of aùrós, or a demonstrative pronoun, are used, when this is not the case, or when the writer exhibits the action or thought rather as his own, than as that of the preceding subject or object; as, ó àv̀̀ $\rho$ àméктєเขєข є́avtóv, the man killed himself;

 himself).

Rem. 3. The pronoun o $\hat{\tilde{v}}$, o $\hat{,}, \tilde{\epsilon}$, etc. is but little used in Attic Greek, but when it is, it generally has the reflexive meaning, rather than that of a simple pronoun of the third person. The other personal pronouns, also, (especially the enclitic forms,) sometimes have a reflexive sense, especially as the subject of an infin.; as, oì $\mu$ ai $\mu \epsilon$ ӓкпкоє́ขаи.
5. The reflexive pronoun of the third person is sometimes used for those of the first and second persons, and sometimes for the reciprocal pronoun; as, $\delta \in \hat{\imath} ~ \grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ a ̀ v \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ £ ́ a v \tau o u ́ s ~$
 (against themselves, against each other).
6. 'o aùrós means the same (idem); without the article it serves as the pronoun for the third person, but often has the meaning of ipse (he himself). When without the article and connected with a noun or pronoun, it means very, self. When connected with $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa a \sigma \tau o s$, it always precedes that word, contrary to our usage, which always is each for himself (not himself each).
Rem. 4. From the exclusive meaning (self, very) of aùrós, it often
comes to mean only, alone, even, of himself, and the personality or
essential totality of something, as distinguished from a part (see
Il. 1. 4).
7. Oítos and ékeivos differ very much as hic and ille do in
Latin, while oũtos differs from ôס $\epsilon$ by being somewhat less
pointedly demonstrative, and hence generally referring to
something just said, while öס $\begin{gathered}\text { usually refers to something just }\end{gathered}$
to be said, often meaning, therefore, the following. There is
the same distinction, also, between the double demonstrative forms through the whole list of correlative pronouns and adverbs (see the list, § 27 ).

Rem. 5. The demonstratives öठ̊ and oûtos may sometimes be
 Creon). From its pointed demonstrative sense, öô $\bar{\epsilon}$ sometimes refers to
 speaker).

Rem. 6. The neuter of a demonstrative (especially oĩtos) often refers to a word or sentence which is to follow, and sometimes to a sentence which precedes, as a sort of equivalent or apposition to it;

 үаүо́нєขоу.

Rem. 7. A demonstrative pronoun, too, may be used, as in our language, either for the sake of perspicuity or emphasis, in referring to a word which is too far separated from its verb by intervening clauses, or is to be rendered specially prominent, thus resuming the

 tive is common when the relative clause precedes; as, ô $\sigma a \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \chi \theta \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ . . . $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \pi \rho o \lambda a \beta \epsilon i \nu . . . . \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$, etc.
8. The interrogative tis is regularly used in direct questions, but sometimes, also, instead of örtıs, in indirect questions, when a writer, in order to impart greater animation to the discourse, asks an indirect question as though it were di-
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \rho а к т a \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~(f o r ~ i t ~ i s ~ n o t ~ p r o p e r ~ t o ~ e x a m i n e ~ w h a t ~ h a s ~$ been done by others) ; oủk oỉסa ö õтıs є́ $\sigma \tau i \nu$ (I know not who it is ; direct, who is it ?).

Rem. 8. There is the same distinction between all the direct and indirect interrogatives, both pronouns and adverbs (see the list in § 27).
9. The indefinite ris ( $a$, a certain, some, somebody, some one) retains its indefinite meaning with adjectives and adverbs of quantity and quality, and, according to the circumstances, either weakens or strengthens their meaning; as, où $\delta$ eis $\tau \iota s$ (no one in particular, almost no one) ; єis ris (a certain one) ; cis тıs ধ̈кaotos (each particular one ; see Soph. Ant. 262) ; $\mu$ ккрós

тıs (somewhat small), $\sigma \chi$ ¢ $\delta$ óv $\tau \iota$ (something near) ; $\pi$ óvos $\tau \iota s$ (something how large? about how large ?).
Rem. 9. From the indefinite sense of $\tau i s, \tau i$, it sometimes comes to mean (like our somebody), great, distinguished; as, $\eta$ üXєıs tis tiva (you claim to be somebody); סокєî $\tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$ (he imagines he is saying something, i . e. something to the purpose). Sometimes, too, it is used in referring vaguely or modestly to the speaker or the one addressed; as, $\theta a \nu o v o \sigma^{\prime}$ ỏ $\bar{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} \tau \iota \nu a ́$ (dying she will destroy some one, i. e. the speaker).
10. The relative pronoun (ơs) has nothing peculiar in its usage (save in the matter of agreement, which will be treated under that head), except that it retains its original demonstrative meaning in ồs $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \ldots$. . ồs $\delta \hat{c}$ (some . . . others), кaì ös (and he), ôs кaì ös (this one and that one), and $\bar{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$ ös (said $h e$ ), even in common prose.

Rem. 10. The indirect interrogative öбtes is also used as a relative, but only in speaking of the character or class of something ; as,
 (such a man, who saved the sacred city); $\mu$ кка́pıos of $\sigma \tau \iota$ s ov̇ซià kai ขồ̀ є̌ $\chi \in \iota$ (whoever).

## § 49. The Article.

1. The Greek article ( $\delta, \dot{\eta}$, tó) was originally a demonstrative pronoun, and retains much of its demonstrative force in common usage. It is properly only an attributive, and is used almost wholly like the English the.

Rem. 1. In the Epic dialect it is regularly used as a demonstrative or relative, and to some extent in the Ionic and Doric, and even

 took from the cities, these have been divided), тáv. . . . $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a i a \operatorname{a\lambda á}-$ $\sigma \tau a$ סáua $\sigma \boldsymbol{\nu}$ (whom, etc.). It retains the strictly demonstrative meaning in Attic prose, only in the phrases $\dot{o} \mu \grave{\nu}$. . . . $\dot{\delta} \delta_{\delta \epsilon}^{\prime}$ (the one . . . the other), кai тóv (and he), тòv кai тóv (this man and that; so also тò кaì tó, this thing and that), тó $\gamma \epsilon$ (this), $\pi \rho \grave{o}$ тồ (before this), $\tau \hat{\omega}$ (for this reason) ; or when standing immediately before one of the relatives
 etc.).
2. The article imparts particularity or individuality to what without it is vague and general ; as, $\dot{\eta} \gamma v v^{\prime}$ (the woman,
i. e. some particular woman indicated by the connection; but $\gamma v \nu \dot{\prime}$, simply, a woman).

Rem. 2. Even proper names, though properly without the article, since of themselves they indicate individuals, may take the article thus, when they refer to persons as well known, or as previously named, and now referred to again; as, àvaßaiveı oủ̀ ó K $\hat{v} \rho o s$ (i. e. the Cyrus who has been before named, and is the general subject of the discourse).

Rem. 3. Even the attributive (whether adjective or noun in apposition) of a proper name takes the article only when some speciality is to be imparted to it; but the names of rivers usually take the article and are placed before the general term for river as adjectives; as, $\delta^{\circ}$ Adus тотанós, the Halys river. So, too, often, of hills, countries, and islands.
3. The idea of individuality expressed by the article sometimes approaches the possessive, and sometimes the distributive idea; as, тò $\mu$ '́pos (the usual part, one's own part); кai
 friends, i. e. the friends we need, our friends) ; $\epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ à $\nu \tau i$ $\delta a \rho \epsilon \iota к о \hat{v} \tau \rho i a \dot{\eta} \mu \iota \delta a \rho \epsilon \iota \kappa a ̀ \tau о \hat{v} \mu \eta \nu \grave{o} s \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \eta$ (three halfdarics the month to each soldier).
4. The article, also, like the English the (but much more extensively), is used to denote the species or class, as an abstract individuality; as, ó $\pi a i ̂ s ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \theta \eta p i \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \delta v \sigma \mu \epsilon \tau a \chi \epsilon!-~$ pıotótaros (the child is the most difficult to train of all animals).

Rem. 4. Hence abstract nouns and designations of persons, offices, arts, and sciences take the article when they are spoken of as a collective individuality, or in contrast with something else, but are without it when spoken of in their most abstract or ideal relations; as, $\dot{\eta}$ à $\rho \in \tau \eta$ ( the assemblage of qualities called virtue; but à $\rho \in \tau \dot{\eta}$, virtue in its vaguest sense, without any notion of a collective individuality); $\theta$ cós (a god, god; but ó $\theta$ cós, the god, the deity) ; ${ }^{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s(a \operatorname{man}$, man; $\delta \stackrel{\partial}{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$, the man, mankind).

Rem. 5. But the article is often omitted where it might be used according to the above rules, especially in familiar relations and where frequent usage has worn off the speciality of the term; as in $\pi a \tau \eta \rho, \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \rho, \gamma v \nu \dot{\eta}$, and other designations of kindred ; also, in such terms as $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s, ~ c i t y, ~ \tilde{\eta} \lambda \iota o s$, sun, $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u ́ s$, king, etc.

Rem. 6. The article, too, is regularly omitted with a noun in the predicate, since the idea expressed by a noun in the predicate is al-
 cannot think that you call the science of geometry rhetoric).

5．Adjectives and participles used as nouns regularly take the article and express the class，and may sometimes be ren－ dered into English by he who，those who，etc．；as，ó oo申ós （the wise man，i．e．as a class，as opposed to the foolish man）；
 катпүорєi（not the one who wishes，but the one who has the power）．

6．Adverbs，prepositions followed by their case，infinitives， sentences，also words and letters referred to merely as such，may take the article（which is always the neuter тó，－rarely $\tau$ á，－ unless it refers to some noun implied after the adverb or prep－ osition，when it is of the gender of that）before them，which gives them the force of nouns，or if a noun follows the adverb， the adverb preceded by the article has the force of an attribu－ tive；as，тò $\pi \rho \circ \dot{\sigma} \theta \theta \epsilon \nu$（the formerly，the former time），тò $\pi a \rho a ́ \pi a \nu$ （altogether），$\tau \dot{\alpha} \stackrel{\mu}{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$（formerly，lit．the things formerly said or done），rà $\mu$ á入ıбта（in the highest degree），тò кат＇＇$\mu$＇́ （as to that pertaining to me，as far as $I$ am concerned）， $\boldsymbol{\text { ò }}$ $\pi \rho \omega ิ т o \nu ~(t h e ~ f i r s t, ~ a t ~ t h e ~ f i r s t), ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ \tau o ~(a f t e r ~ t h i s, ~ l i t . ~ t h e ~}$ after this）；oi $\stackrel{\epsilon}{ } \nu \quad \stackrel{a}{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$（supply ${ }^{\prime} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$, the men of the city）； so also with oi ả $\mu \phi i \tau \iota \nu a$（some one and his associates or follow－ ers），and oi $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \theta a \dot{\partial} \delta \epsilon$ ，etc．；oi $\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s ~(t h e ~ m e n ~ o f ~ f o r m e r ~$ times），ó vv̂̀ ßaбi入єús（the present king）；тovito $\delta^{\prime}$ éarì ov̉ $\mu$ óvov
 etc．

Rem．7．The words most commonly understood with the article， in its above－named usage with adverbs and prepositions with their case，are $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$ or ${ }^{\mu} \nu \neq \rho \omega \pi$ os（especially in the plural），$\gamma \hat{\eta}$ or $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a$ ，and $\pi \rho \bar{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$ or $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \mu a$ ．One of these last（in the plural），also，is properly understood with $\tau$ à followed by a genitive without a preposition；as， $\tau \grave{a} \tau \bar{\eta} s \pi_{0} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$（the affairs of the city）．Xios，also，is often understood with the article；as，$o$ Фi入intov（the son of Philip）．Also any noun which has just been used may be omitted，and simply the article re－ peated in its place．

7．When a noun which takes the article has an adjective， participle，pronoun，a genitive case，or other qualifying word belonging to it as an attributive（ $\$ 47,5$ ），the article is placed
before these qualifying words, which may stand either immediately before or immediately after the noun (which, in this latter case, sometimes has an article before it besides that of the attributive), and in both cases express a combined idea with the noun, and contrast it with other objects of the same class;
 with a bad man), $\delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ 设 $\mu$ os or ( $\delta$ ) $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$ $\delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $A \theta \eta$ $\nu a i ́ \omega \nu$ (the Athenian people), ó $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \Pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda є \mu o s ~ o r ~(~(~ \delta) ~ \pi o ́-~$ $\lambda \epsilon \mu$ os ó $\pi \rho \frac{\partial}{s}$ roùs חє́ $\rho \sigma a s$ (the Persian war), etc.

Rem. 8. The article may be separated from its word, also, by $\mu$ é $\nu$,
 oblique case used as the object in a sentence; as, $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \mu \grave{\iota} \nu a ̈ \nu \delta \delta \rho a$.
8. When an adjective belonging to a noun having the article does not hold an attributive relation to it, but properly belongs to the predicate after some form of cipi understood, it does not take the article before it, but either stands before the noun and article, or after them both; as, $\delta$ ảv̀̀ $\sigma$ oфós or $\sigma o \phi o ̀ s$ ó àvip (the man is wise, or when he is wise, since he is wise, etc., as the connection indicates).
 as in the last case, mean the top, the middle, the extreme; but when they stand in the attributive relation, they have their proper adjective meaning; as, $\dot{\eta} \pi$ mólıs $^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \eta$ (the middle of the city), but $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta$ mó入ıs, the middle city, the central city, etc. So, also, ó $\mu$ invos mais or mais $\dot{\delta}$ $\mu$ óvos means the only son, while ó $\pi$ aîs $\mu$ óvos or $\mu$ óvos ó $\pi$ ais means the son alone.
9. Several nouns (or other words used substantively) standing independent of each other, and connected by каi or $\tau \grave{\epsilon} . .$. каí, have the article repeated before each; but when they all express one combined idea, the article is placed before the



Rem. 10. According to the same principle, the article is repeated with each, or placed only before the first, of two attributives belonging to one noun; as, ó äptotos mais ó ajaantrós (the best, the beloved child) ; but oi $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi a v \tau \in s$ ä̀ $\lambda$ oı $\phi$ ì $\bar{\lambda}$ o (all other friends together; where the last attributive and noun express a combined idea, which is qualified by the first attributive).
10. When a personal or demonstrative pronoun, or $\pi a ̂ s$,
 noun having the article, it is commonly placed either before or after the noun and article, but $\pi a ̂ s, ~ a ̈ \pi a s$, and ṑ $\lambda o s$ often take the article before them as other attributives, and the demonstrative pronouns expressing quality or quantity (roôv-
 $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s ; ~ \delta \dot{a} \nu \eta \eta_{\rho}$ ovitos (or the reverse, and so in the other exam-
 but always ó rooôtos (etc.) àvíp; and often $\dot{\eta} \pi \hat{a} \sigma a \quad \gamma \hat{\eta}$ (the


Rem. 11. With the indefinite words ${ }_{a} \lambda \lambda o s, ~ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o s, \pi o \lambda u{ }_{s}$, and odíyos, the article is used precisely as with the corresponding words
 both with and without the article sometimes means besides, or is wholly
 oi $\tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \frac{1}{}$, the one or the other of two parties; $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$, many, oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i$,


## § 50. The Adjective.

1. The adjective is properly used only as an attribute and

2. The neuter ad ective, however, is sometimes used substantively, and hence in all the relations in which a noun may be used. Hence the neuter sing. expresses the quality abstractly, while the neuter plur. expresses it concretely, or as exhibited in different parts or kinds, or under different conditions ; as, тò калóv, the beautiful ; тà калá, beautiful things. The adjective and participle, also, in the neuter sing. is often used to express the idea in the most comprehensive form ; as, rò kparov̂̀, the ruling power.

Rem. 1. The neuter sing. of adjectives in -tкóv (and some others) denotes a collective idea; as, tò vavtıóv (the naval force); tò עéo (the youth), etc. But the neuter plural of such adjectives denotes a connected series of events ( $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ or $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma} \mu a \tau a$ being understood) ; as, т̀̀ Tүoїкú, the 'Trojan war; тà 'E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa$ á, Grecian affairs, interests, or history, etc. So also with the neuter plur. of the possessive pronouns; as, $\tau \grave{a} \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho a$, our affairs, $\tau \grave{a} \epsilon \notin \mu \dot{a}, m y$ affairs.
3. Masculine and feminine adjectives (and participles), also, are sometimes used as substantives, the noun being under-
 rich, etc., where ${ }_{a}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$ or ${ }^{a} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ is understood. Also, $\dot{\eta}$
 $\dot{\eta} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i a$ ( $\phi \iota \lambda i a$, oikov $\mu \epsilon \nu \eta$, ä $\nu v \delta \rho \rho o s$ ), with $\chi$ '́ $\rho a$ or $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ understood;
 $\chi \in i \rho)$, etc.
4. When two adjectives qualify one noun, they are connected by кai, or $\tau \grave{\epsilon} . \ldots$. . кai when each qualifies it independently and equally (and if there be more than two adjectives, only the last two have the connective) ; but when one or more adjectives form a combined idea with a noun, they may be qualified by an additional adjective (most frequently a pronoun or numeral) without a conjunction; as, $\sigma о \phi o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̀ \gamma a-~$



Rem. 2. But $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda$ oi is generally connected with the following adjective by a conjunction, contrary to the usage in English.
5. Where a quality is equally applicable to the idea of the noun and the action of the verb, the Greek, as well as the Latin, often uses an attributive adjective, where we use a predicative adjective, or an adverb or adverbial phrase; as, $\eta_{\eta} \in \rho i \eta \delta^{\prime}$ à $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \beta \eta$ (she early ascended, since she was early and her ascent early), etc.

Rem. 3. Many adjectives of place, time, manner, and causality are

 will go; but $\epsilon ่ \gamma \omega$ ต̀ $\mu$ óvov єỉ $\mu \iota$ means, $I$ will only go (and nothing else), etc. But where the quality is applicable only to the predicate, the adverb is always used.
6. The adjective in the comparative represents its quality as belonging to some object in a higher degree than it exists in some other object with which it is contrasted (hence implying only two objects or parties) ; while the superlative represents it as existing in something in the highest degree in which
it exists in any object with which it properly comes into comparison.

Rem. 4. The comparative is sometimes strengthened by such

 sionally even by $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, which then means far.

Rem. 5. The superlative is often found strengthened by nearly all the words which strengthen the comparative, except $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ and $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$; also by каí (even), каï $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ (even in the highest degree), $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \nu$,
 as, $\dot{\omega}$ т $\tau \dot{\chi} \downarrow \sigma \tau a$, as quickly as possible) ; by tis àvip (one man, for a sin-
 cause, as far as one man can be, of all the greatest evils) ; also èv $\tau 0$ is (imprimis, especially, by far), where the article must either refer to the dat. plur. of the superlative understood, or to some neuter noun of general import, as $\chi \rho \dot{\mu} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$. The negative is also used with the superlative, instead of a direct superlative of the opposite meaning; as,
 tive greatest).
7. When two qualities of the same subject are compared, both adjectives (or adverbs) are put in the comparative and connected by the comparative particle $\eta$; as, oi $0 \xi \in \epsilon$ is $\mu a \nu \iota \kappa \omega$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \hat{\eta} \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \in \iota o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ (more mad than brave); but when the same quality is compared in two subjects, they are connected by $\eta$, as before, but only the first adjective is in the compara-
 firm friend.

Rem. 6. In the last case, the genitive of the latter of the two attributes compared (or, by a shortened process, of the abject itself, not unfrequently, instead of the quality) may be used, instead of $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ and the same case as that of the noun in the first member (see $\oint 54,1$ ) ; as,
 . than we) ধ̀vt
8. When the thing compared is considered disproportionately great or small considering that with which it is compared, the comparison is expressed by the comparative and $\hat{\eta} \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (rarely $\ddot{\eta}$ or ${ }^{\eta} \dot{\omega} \stackrel{s}{ }$ ) with the infinitive, when the object with which the comparison is made is an action; and by
 $\kappa а к \grave{a} \hat{\eta} \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{a} \nu a \kappa \lambda a ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ (the evils were too great to be be-
 oav, the Athenians had suffered evils in Sicily too great for tears.
9. The comparative is often used without any object expressed with which the comparison is made, when either that object is easily supplied from the context or is sufficiently implied in it, or when the idea simply is that some quality exists in an object in a greater or less degree than common or
 citizens have the better views, i. e. better than public men); oủ $\chi \in i ̂ \rho o \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ k \iota s ~ a ̉ к o v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu ~(i t ~ i s ~ n o t ~ w o r s e ~ t o ~ h e a r ~ o f t e n, ~ i . ~ e . ~$ worse than it would be to hear but once, = no disadvantage). Often this kind of comparison may be translated into our language by too, very, somewhat, etc.
10. When a subject is compared with itself under different circumstances, the comparative or superlative of an adjective is used with the gen. of the reflexive pronouns; as, $\beta \in \lambda \tau i \omega \nu$



Rem. 7. The superlative is sometimes used with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \vec{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ in-
 lit. the last of the rest). So in Latin (Tac.), ii ceterorum Britannorum fugacissimi. In such passages, the meaning the rest or others seems to pass into that of all, since it includes all except the subject of the comparison.

Note. As the verb will be fully treated of hereafter, it does not require a separate treatment here. It need here only be said, that some forms of civa (principally the pres. indic.) are often omitted, leaving the subject without a predicate; particularly in general statements and proverbs; with the verbal in téos and nouns and adjectives denoting necessity and duty (as, àvá $\gamma \kappa \eta, \theta^{\prime} \mu \iota s$, єikós, etc.) ; and with



## CHAPTER II．

## AGREEMENT．

## § 51．General Rules of Agreement．

1．The verb agrees with its subject in person and num－ ber，and the adjective（participle，pronoun，and numeral）in gender，number，and case；as，ò̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ；ó coфòs ảv $\eta$ p； $\dot{\eta} \gamma \nu \nu \eta ̀ \sigma o \phi \dot{\eta} \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ，etc．

2．The noun in apposition，or in the predicate，necessa－ rily agrees with the subject only in case；it agrees with it in gender and number only when it is a personal appellative，and hence either has separate forms for the mas－ culine and feminine，or is of the common gender ；as，K $\rho^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ ，

 $\tau \iota$ кá入入os，a woman，a paragon of beauty．

3．After verbs signifying to be，exist，become，grow，remain， appear，to be called，named，appointed，chosen，regarded as something，and the like，the adjective or noun in the predicate agrees with the subject，whether it be a noun or pronoun，

 chosen general；aũrך $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \pi \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta} s$ ，this is the fountain of
 are laws，which，etc．

Rem．1．But where the pronoun，as subject，refers to some gen－ eral idea，as thing，affair，etc．，or where it relates to the nature of something，it commonly stands in the neuter without regard to the
 $\grave{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$（ what is virtue？i．e．what is its nature？）．

4．Verbs，adjectives，and nouns agreeing with two or more subjects are in the plural（or in the duil when the two subjects are viewed as a pair）．As to the gender of the plural adjec－ tive here，it is of the same gender as the subjects，when they
are of like gender with each other; but in the masculine, when the nouns are personal appellatives and of different genders; and with other nouns, generally in the




Rem. 2. But the verb and adjective (the attributive adjective regularly) often agree with the nearest of several nouns, or with one which is viewed as prominent above the rest, instead of agreeing with

 $\Sigma \tau \rho \circ \mu \beta \iota \chi i ́ \delta \eta \nu$ кaì $\tau a ̀ s \nu a v ̂ s a ̉ \pi \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta o ́ \tau a$.

Rem. 3. Sometimes, too, irregularly, nouns connected by $\ddot{\eta}$ or $\stackrel{\imath}{\eta}$. . . . ${ }^{\eta}$, ov̈тє . . . . oüтє (either . . . . or, neither . . . . nor), have their predicate in the plural, and one or more plural nouns of the masculine or feminine gender have their predicate in the singular; as,
 à $\rho \chi$ aí тє каì $\gamma \dot{\text { á }} \mu \mathrm{a}$ ८.
5. When the subjects are of different persons, the verb is in the plural (except occasionally, when it agrees with the nearest subject), and of the first person, when one of the subjects is of that person, but of the second when one of them is of the second person and none of the first ; otherwise in the
 каі̀ aủroì $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon ;$ vj $\mu \epsilon i s$ кaì aùròs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
6. The gender and number of the relative pronoun, and the person and number of its verb, are commonly determined by those of the nouns and pronouns to which the relative refers (antecedent), according to the above principles; but its case depends upon the office which it sustains in its own sen-


Rem. 4. The antecedent of the relative is often omitted, when it is some general word or demonstrative pronoun, or may be easily supplied from the context; but the gender and number of the relative is the same as if they were expressed; as, $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \bar{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ k a \grave{\imath} \grave{\omega} \nu$


## § 52. Special Rules of Agreement.

1. The gender and number of the predicative adjective (rarely of the attributive adjective, but often of the part. in a remote attributive relation) and of the relative or other pronoun, and the number of the verb, are often determined, rather by what is implied in the nouns to which they refer (i. e. by the sense), than by their grammatical form, particularly after words used in a collective sense ; as, $\hat{\omega}$ s ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a \nu \dot{\eta} \pi \lambda_{\eta} \theta \dot{v} s$, thus spake the multitude.
2. Upon this principle a masculine plural is often used referring to a collective noun of any gender, or to the name of a city or country used for its inhabitants, or the name or designation of an individual used for its class, since in all these cases several persons are implied; as, тò $\sigma \tau \rho a ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu a$

 Corcyra, being their benefactor) ; ó $\Lambda a ́ \mu a \chi o s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon \beta o \eta ̀ \theta \epsilon \iota ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \omega \nu \dot{u} \mu \circ v \tau 0 \hat{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} a v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Lamachus came to their aid from his own left wing, i. e. of his own men) ; ả $\lambda \eta \theta_{\eta} \hat{\eta}^{\eta} \nu \phi i \lambda o s, ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \rho \iota \theta \mu$ òs ov่ $\pi 0 \lambda$ 's's (he was a true friend, of whom - i. e. of such as who - the number is small).

Rem. 1. For the same reason a plural is often used with the col-



 $\lambda_{o} \theta \epsilon \nu$ (me coming up they saluted, one in one way and another in

3. An adjective in the predicate, or a relative or demonstrative pronoun, referring rather to the general idea thing, affair, kind, race, art, act, etc. than to the specific idea of the word with which it is grammatically connected, is often put in the neuter (neuter sing., unless sometimes when referring to two nouns) without reference to the gender or number of the noun; as, $\dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \dot{\prime} \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \nu \partial \rho \omega \pi o \nu$, $\hat{o}$ (which race) $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota$




 or ignorance, or both these things together) ; oủठє $\epsilon$ ia mapaiveбıs

 $\pi{ }^{\prime} \sigma \chi \in \iota \tau \grave{a} \mu \in i \zeta \omega$ (both these things, i. e. envy and fear, implied in the verbs $\phi \theta$ oveí and $\phi о \beta \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota)$.

Rem. 2. In like manner the verbal adjectives in -tós and -тeós and some others, are generally placed in the neuter plural in the predicate, when the verb is impersonal, or in other words has for its subject an
 thus we should never make ourselves inferior to a woman.
4. A subject in the neuter plural generally has a singular verb, unless sometimes when individuals are implied in the neuter, or a plurality of parts is to be indicated; as, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \zeta \hat{\omega} a$



Rem. 3. So, too, generally, with a part. in the accusative absolute ; as, סóğav (sometimes סógavta, also) rav̂ta, these things having been approved.
5. As the dual is plural compared with the singular, and is used only when two things are viewed as paired together, and only enough to indicate this connection, a plural predicate or qualifying word often refers to a dual subject; while a dual predicate or qualifying word sometimes refers to a plural

 $\tau \eta \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \mu \phi \omega \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi \psi \nu \tau \epsilon s$ єis $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda \omega$.

Rem. 4. For the same reason a plural is often connected with $\delta v(\omega$, since this by itself sufficiently indicates the duality of the subject; as, $\delta \dot{v} \omega$ víćes.
6. Feminine duals almost always take an attributive in the masculine form, the feminine form of the adjective, article, and pronoun being but little used in the dual; as, $\tau \grave{\omega} \chi \in \hat{\rho} \rho \in ;$

7. The predicate of a sentence, as well as the relative pronoun of the following clause (when there is one), properly agrees with the subject of the sentence ; but sometimes, by a species of attraction, the predicate (or part. in the participial construction), or the following relative, agrees with the noun in the predicate; or more frequently, the relative agrees with the noun in the predicate of $\mathfrak{i t s}$ own clause ; as, $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ -






Rem. 5. The neuter article, too, before a phrase which is quoted and used as a noun, is sometimes attracted into the gender of the noun
 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ (for $\tau$ ò $\kappa a ̀ \delta, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. ).
8. A superlative having a partitive gen. depending upon it generally agrees in gender with the subject, rarely with the genitive ; as, $\dot{\eta} \lambda \dot{v} \pi \eta \quad \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \pi \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$.
9. Since the possessive pronoun, and various other adjectives derived from the names of places and meaning belonging to, are equivalent to the gen. of the corresponding pronouns or nouns, the noun in apposition with the genitive idea contained in these pronouns and adjectives is always in the genitive case; as, $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{s}$ rov à $\theta \lambda i o v$ ßios (the life of me the wretched) ; 'A $\theta \eta \nu a \hat{\imath} o s ~ \grave{\omega} \nu$ (being an inhabitant of Athens) $\pi o^{\prime}$ -
 themselves, i. e. their father).
10. When the antecedent (whether expressed or understood) of a relative is in the genitive or dative, the relative, if it would regularly be in the accusative (rarely when it would be in anyoother case), is altracted into the same case as its antecedent; as, $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ roîs $\theta \eta \sigma a v \rho o i ̂ s$ oîs ó $\pi a \tau \eta ̀ \rho$ кaté $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \nu$


Rem. 6. This applies not only to the relative ós, but to the other platives (see § 27). Indeed, the relatives oios, öros, óvテ८ซoùv, and
$\dot{\eta} \lambda i$ isos are attracted into the case of the antecedent noun in the principal clause (the correlative demonstrative being suppressed) even when they would regularly stand in the nominative, as predicate, with the verb $\epsilon i v a l$. Besides, the subject of the relative sentence is attracted into the same case as the relative, the verb cival is suppressed, and both the relative and subject are often transposed to the principal clause and placed before the antecedent; as, रарí̧ouaь oi $\varphi$, $\sigma \circ i$
 with such a man as you are.

Rem. 7. But the relative, instead of being attracted into the case of the antecedent, is sometimes attracted into the case required by the subordinate clause which immediately follows it, and sometimes remains unchanged, while the antecedent is attracted into its case;



 $\mu \in \iota \nu a \nu$ oi $\pi \rho o ́ \gamma o \nu o c$.
11. When the noun to which the relative refers is transposed to the relative clause, (which is chiefly done when the relative sentence is emphatic, in which case, also, it sometimes has a demonstrative in the principal clause referring emphatically to the transposed noun,) it is placed after the relative more or less closely, and the relative may be regarded as agreeing directly with the noun, like our what or whatever ;

 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o i ̀ ~ \nu o \mu i \zeta o v \sigma \iota ~(n o t ~ i n ~ w h a t ~ m a n n e r) . ~ . ~$

Rem. 8. Before the plural relatives oil, oitunes without an antecedent expressed, ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ is generally used instead of the plural, and in all tenses; as, eै $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ oì $\grave{a} \pi \epsilon \in \phi \cup \gamma o \nu$ (there were those or some who fled),
 with different verbs, the relative is found in all cases, without any change of $\ddot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$. In imitation of this formula, we find ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ or $\bar{o} \pi \eta$ (there is some way in which = in some way), ' $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ö $\tau \epsilon$ (there is when $=$ some time), etc.

# CHAPTER III. <br> THE OBLIQUE CASES. - OBJECT. 

## SECTION I.

the genitive.

## § 53. Genitive of the Cause, Ground, or Origin.

1. Verbs of proceeding from, becoming, arising from, having become or arisen, being produced or created, take a genitive of that whence they proceed, etc. (genitive of origin or author). Such verbs are $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, фîval, єivaı ( $\phi \in \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$, $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a l$, poetic) ; as, $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a l$, to be born of noble parents; so, too, $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a i ~ \tau i \nu o s$, to be called the son of some
 counsel comes from the best, etc.

Rem. 1. But more commonly, in prose, the preposition $\mathfrak{c}^{\kappa}$, sometimes $\dot{a} \pi \sigma^{\prime}$ or $\delta \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime}$, is connected with the gen. with this class of verbs;


Rem. 2. In poetry, we find the causal gen. used thus to denote the origin or author of some state, after passive and intransitive verbs of all kinds, instead of the regular construction with $\dot{i} \pi o^{\prime}, \pi a \rho a ́, \pi \rho o s^{\prime}$,
 on the head by my daughter.
2. Veros of smelling, emitting or breathing forth an odor, take the genitive of the thing, and sometimes of the spot, which emits the odor, and hence is the cause of the smell; such as $\pi \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu, o ̋ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \rho o \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$; as, $\mu \nu \rho \rho o v ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, to be redolent with perfumes.
3. Verbs denoting sensation and perception generally take the gen. of the source of the perception. Such

 каì où $\phi \omega \nu \in \hat{v} \nu \tau$ os àkóv.

Rem. 3. 'The thing heard, etc., as distinguished from its cause or source, when expressed is regularly in the accusative with these
verbs (as, $\sigma u v i \eta \sigma \iota \Rightarrow$ ö $\pi a \quad \theta \in \hat{a} s$, he perceives the voice of the goddess); but it is often put in the genitive, it being conceived at the same time
 my speech).

Rem. 4. As obedience implies listening to one's directions, the
 gen. in this sense, and occasionally $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (and the opposite $\boldsymbol{a} \pi \epsilon \iota-$ $\theta \in i \nu)$, instead of the dative; also, the adjectives каті́кооs, í $\pi \dot{\eta} к о о s$;
 ả $\rho \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
4. Also verbs of seeing, learning, considering, knowing; judging, examining, inquiring, saying ; congratulating, admiring, praising, blaming, take the gen. (sometimes with $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ) of that (generally a person) about, of, for, or in which one sees, blames, etc. something. Such verbs are



 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$, and in the first place he considered about them;
 ing on their part, how great and what a country they had.

Rem. 5. The action, quality, circumstance, or whatever it is which is examined, praised, etc. in something, is either in the acc., or is expressed by a subordinate clause, or by a participle agreeing with
 about the archer it is not well to say, that, etc.; $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ ' $\mathrm{O} \mu$ 'pov $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$. But with $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ and ${ }^{a} \gamma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ both the person and the quality, etc. are sometimes in the gen.; as, $\theta a v \mu a ́ s \omega ~ \Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime}-$ тous $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ бoфías, I admire Sucrates for his wisdom.
5. Verbs expressing grief, pleasure, sympathy, etc. take a gen. (sometimes with $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ) of that at or on account of which the feeling is exercised. Such verbs are $\dot{d} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \hat{\nu}$,



Rem. 6. But verbs expressing sorrow, sympathy for, and many other verbs denoting affections of the mind, often take the accusative (see $\$ 57,3$ ), instead of the gen., the occasion of the feeling being viewed more as an object; as, ó K $\hat{v} \rho o s ~ a ̀ k o v ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta o v s ~$
 being grieved, etc. at something.
6. Adjectives, also, expressing the idea of misery (especially in exclamations), and interjections implying the same, take the gen. as the cause of the wretchedness; as, $\bar{\omega} \tau a ́ \lambda a \iota \nu a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ! O wretched from your sufferings! oٌّ $\mu \circ \iota \delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ! aíâ как $\hat{\iota} \nu$ ! So in many other exclamatory expressions ; as, $\grave{\omega}$ Пó $\sigma \iota \delta o \nu, ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \mu a ́ k p o u s!~ O ~ N e p-~$ tune, for the length!
7. So verbs expressing other strong feelings, as of anger and annoyance, take the gen. (sometimes with $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{i}$ or $\dot{\epsilon} k$ ) of the occasion of the feeling, such as $\chi^{0 \lambda o v o \sigma \theta a l, ~ \chi ' ~}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon$ $\sigma \theta a \iota, \mu \eta \nu_{i \epsilon \iota \nu}, \theta_{\nu \mu o v} \sigma \theta a \iota$, котєîv, ä $\chi \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ e t c . ; ~ a s, ~}$ $\Delta a \nu a \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \in \chi \circ \lambda \omega \mu \in \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \circ$, being angry on account of the Greeks.

Rem. 7. But many verbs expressing anger and many other verbs of feeling often govern the dat. (sometimes in addition to the gen.), which is frequently used in a causal relation (see $\$ 60,1$ ); but the meaning with the dat. is, to be angry, etc. at something, rather than :on account of.

Rem. 8. The gen. of the infin. with the article is often used to denote the aim or intent of an action, as its final cause; as, $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \iota a \phi \in \dot{\gamma} \gamma \in \iota \nu$ т $\grave{\nu} \lambda a \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$, that the hare may not escape.
8. Words denoting kinowledge, skill, experience, practice of or in something (and their opposites) ; capability, power, fitness, or talent for something, take the gen. of that in which the knowledge, skill, etc. are exhibited, as the cause or occasion of its existence. Such words are, ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o s$,


 etc.; verbal adjectives in -ıkós, and all others in which the notion of any capacity is implied ; as, $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \omega \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, acquainted with human affairs ; ov̇ठ’ äpa $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a-$
 pable of instructing the courts. Also many other adjectives of an active meaning; as, $\gamma$ á $\mu o \iota ~ o ̀ \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho o \iota \phi i \lambda \omega \nu$, marriages which ruin friends.
9. Verbs of caring for, looking out for, being concerned for, and their opposites, take the gen. (sometimes with $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ or $i \pi \epsilon_{\rho} \rho$ ) of that (person or thing) which occasions or calls forth the care or concern; such as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu \mu \dot{\mu} \lambda \in \sigma \theta a l$ or $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i}-$





Rem. 9. mé $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\epsilon \iota}$, which is regularly impersonal, and takes the dat. of the person concerned, and the gen. of that which concerns it (as, $\left.\mu^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon} \mu \operatorname{loi}^{\prime} \tau \iota \nu o s\right)$, is sometimes personal in poetry, and even in prose
 Some of the verbs of this class, also, sometimes take an acc., but in a strictly transitive sense; as, фpovri $\xi_{\epsilon \iota \nu}^{\tau \iota}$, to examine something.
10. Verbs of pouring libations or drinking to one, take the gen. of the person (or something connected with a person) in honor, or out of regard to whom the act is per-
 of the good Damon; кai фıлотךбias $\pi \rho \circ \tilde{\pi} \pi \iota \nu \epsilon \nu$, and drank for his health, i. e. drank his health. So $\chi \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \theta a$, è̇ $\pi \downarrow \epsilon \bar{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota$, etc.
11. Verbs signifying a desire or longing for take the gen. of that which is desired, as the cause or occasion of


 $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$.

Ren. 10. The verbs $\pi_{0} \theta \epsilon i \nu, \phi i \lambda \epsilon i \nu, \dot{a} \gamma a \pi \epsilon i \nu, \sigma \pi \in ́ \rho \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ do not take a gen., but an acc. (the last two a dat. in the sense contented with) ; also, some other verbs of this class occasionally.
12. The following classes of verbs, which take their object (generally a person) in the acc. or dat., often take, also, a genitive (in some cases with $\dot{a} \nu \tau i, \pi \epsilon \rho i$, , $\begin{gathered}\nu \epsilon \kappa a\end{gathered}$ or $\epsilon \in$ ) denoting the cause or ground of the act or state, viz. verbs of envying, grudging ; of requital, revenge ; accusing, condemning ; prosecuting, sentencing ; such as, $\phi$ Өovєì, $\mu \epsilon \gamma a i p \epsilon \iota \nu$; ríбa$\sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \theta \theta a, \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ (acc. pers., gen. of thing) ; aitıâ $\theta a \iota$,

 $\lambda \epsilon i \nu,{ }_{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (dat. of pers., gen. of thing) ; also, $\phi \in \dot{u} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (to be accused) and à $\bar{\lambda} \nu a \iota$, to be convicted, with gen. of thing only; as, $\tau 0 \hat{v} \chi \rho o ́ \nu o v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o u ̀ ~ \phi \theta o \nu \hat{\omega} ; \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a$ $\pi a \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ (to prosecute one for illegal measures) ; крìє-
 Qvvos, liable to, chargeable with, guilty of; but in the sense devoted to, e้voxos takes the dative.

Rem. 11. As katá in composition regularly takes the gen. of the person, verbs of judicial proceeding, when compounded with this preposition, take the person in the gen., and the charge, crime, etc. in the accusative; as, $\operatorname{kai} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nexists \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \omega \rho i ́ a \nu \kappa a \tau \eta \gamma \circ \rho \in \hat{\imath}$. Sometimes, too, with some verbs of this class, an accusative of the kindred idea or its attribute is found instead of the gen. of the thing ; as, ${ }^{\circ} \sigma a$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \iota s$ aitıâтaí $\tau \iota \nu a \tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$, whatever accusations any one brings against any one of these. Two genitives, also, are sometimes found with катךүорєì.

Rem. 12. The fine or punishment, also, is sometimes put in the gen. (especially $\theta a y$ árov) with the above verbs, the fine being considered as the equivalent of the offence, and hence, strictly, the gen. of price; as, Oavátov крivєıv, etc.

## § 54. Genitive of Mutual Relations.

1. Adjectives in the comparative, and in the positive when they have a comparative force, as is the case especially with numeral adjectives in -ávoos, $-\pi \lambda o u ̂ s, ~ a n d ~ \delta \epsilon u ́ \tau \epsilon o o s, ~ e t c ., ~ v ̃ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s, ~$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ s$, ois тóros, etc. ; also, other words denoting difference
 $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \pi a \lambda \iota \nu\right)$ take the gen. of that with which the comparison is made, as expressing a mutual relation with the subject of the comparison (i. e. each implying the other) ; as, $\delta$ vios



Rem. 1. Instead of the gen. after comparatives and comparative words, the comparative particle $\eta^{\prime \prime}$, with the same case after it as be-
 $\hat{\eta} \tau \circ \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \vec{a} \lambda \lambda o \iota \sigma \iota$ (see $§ 50,6)$. So, also, the neuter comparatives

verbially) are followed by numerals in the same case with 1; as,
 years (lit. having lived seventy years more).

Rem. 2. Where we say not greater or less than any *ng, the
 $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau о \nu, ~ e t c . ~}^{\text {. }}$

Rem. 3. For the superlative with the gen., see $\oint 50,1 \mathrm{C}$ and R.7.
2. Verbs of superiority, surpassing, prominence, minence, and their opposites, inferiority, submission, posterior $1 y$, imply a comparison, and hence take the gen. of the objact with which the comparison is made; such as; $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \chi \in \epsilon \nu$,




 $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \dot{\nu} \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$.
3. Also verbs of being superior to, being lord over, governing, take the implied opposite, viz. that which is gov-




 $\nu \in \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v} ; \dot{\epsilon} \sigma a \tau \rho a \dot{\pi} \pi \epsilon v \epsilon a v ̉ \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \omega \rho a ̂ s$ (governed


Rem. 4. Some of the verbs in both this and the preceding paragraph govern the acc. sometimes, being taken in a proper transitive sense (as крatєiv, in the sense to conquer) ; and many verbs meaning to govern take the dat., especially in the early writers, the sense being to act the king to, give commands to, be a leader or guide to, etc. This is particularly the case with àvá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau a \tau \epsilon i \nu$ (almost invariably), $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \theta \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu, \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu 0 \nu \epsilon \dot{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$, and $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ (see §59, 4).
4. Verbs of shooting or aiming at a mark, real or imaginary, also of failing, missing, being deceived in something, take the gen. of that aimed at, as the correlative notion;

$\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ (with acc. in the sense to hit), lív val, $\tau \iota \tau \dot{v} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\dot{\text { á } \mu a \rho \tau a ́-~}$



 mean straight for or up to a mark or limut, take the gen. of the liinit; as, $i \theta i s \nu \eta \hat{\omega} \nu$, straight to the ships.
5. Verbs of striving after, reaching after, and obtaining take the object striven after in the gen. Such verbs




 $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \varepsilon \iota \nu \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.

Rem. 6. But $\lambda a \gamma \chi^{a} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau v \gamma \chi^{a} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, and $\kappa v \rho \epsilon i \nu \nu$ in the sense to find, meet with, gain, generally take the accusative.
6. Verbs of meeting with, approaching, which imply a striving or reaching after something as an aim, take that object in the gen. ; such as à $\nu \tau \hat{a} \nu$ (to meet, partake of, enjoy), ímavtâ , à $\pi a \nu \tau \hat{a} \nu, \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota a ̂ \nu, a \dot{a} \nu \tau \iota \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ; \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma 0 a \iota, \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, etc.; as, à $\nu \tau \hat{a} \nu \mu a ́ \chi \eta s ; \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \sigma a \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$.

Rem. 7. When these verbs have only the simple notion of meeting or drawing nigh to they take the dat., which is the common construction of $\dot{v} \pi a \nu \tau a ̀ \nu$ and $\dot{v} \pi a \nu \tau a \dot{a} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ in Attic writers (see § 59,2 ).
7. Verbs of remembering, reminding, and forgetting take a gen. (but sometimes the acc. in a more strictly transitive sense) of the related idea of the person or thing remembered or forgotten; such as $\mu \mu \nu \nu_{\eta}^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \nu, \mu \tau \mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \epsilon-$
 poetic, $\lambda_{\eta} \theta \dot{a} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, to cause to forget, Epic) ; as, $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau$ o $\gamma$ à $\rho$ $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s ; ~ \tau o v ̂ \mu \grave{\iota} \nu \phi \theta o ́ \nu o v \in \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \in \lambda \eta \tau \tau$. So also the corresponding adverbs $\lambda a ́ \theta \rho a, \lambda a \theta \rho a i ́ \omega s$, and $\kappa \rho u ́ \phi a$.

Rems 8. Those verbs of this class which have a causative meaning, as to cause to remember or forget, to remind, may take with the gen. an acc. of the person reminded, etc.; as, $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \not \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma^{\prime} \nu \tau$

8. Words expressing relationship, connection, dependence, equality, contraposition, and community in (and their contraries) take the gen. of the related object. Words of








Rem. 9. "I oos, koıvós, and ó ouoios more commonly take the dat.,
 $\chi o s$, and some others, take the dat. ( $\$ 59,2$ and 4 ) when they are used more strictly as adjectives, in the sense like to, serving, friendly to, etc. The verb $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o \mu \epsilon i \nu$ takes also the gen. of the person, when the person is named; as, ós $\gamma \in \kappa \in \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu o{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta \kappa a s{ }_{\mu} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Phi i \lambda \omega \nu o s$ $\chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$. In later Greek, the acc. of the thing inherited, and even of the person, is found with this verb.
9. Verbs of beginning something, and of ceasing and stopping, take the gen. of the thing begun. Such verbs are
 ( $\pi a v \in \epsilon \iota \nu$ with acc. pers. and gen. of thing), $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \hat{a} \nu, \lambda \omega \phi \hat{a} \nu$ (sometimes with acc. of person, also), ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \iota \nu$ (to stop), ảvaiveiv (to rest, cease from) ; as, $\mu \circ \lambda \pi \hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \dot{a} \rho \chi \in \iota \nu$, to begin a song; oúò $\boldsymbol{\pi} a \dot{v} \sigma \in \tau a \iota$ रó $\overline{\chi o v}$, nor will he cease from anger.
10. Verbs of buying and selling, exchanging and bartering, also verbs and adjectives of valuing, take a gen. (often with àvti, or else $\pi$ pós and the acc., with verbs of exchanging) of the object bought, etc. (called genitive of the price). Such words are $\dot{\omega} \nu \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ a ̉ \gamma o \rho a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ \pi \rho i a ́ a \sigma \theta a l, ~ к \tau a ̂ \sigma \theta a t, ~ \pi a \rho a \lambda a \mu \beta a ́-~$

 or $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{i}$ and the gen.), $\mathfrak{a} \xi \iota o v ิ \nu, \vec{a} \xi \iota o v ิ \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ \grave{a} \pi a \xi \iota o \hat{\nu} \nu, \vec{a} \xi \iota o s(\vec{a} \xi i \omega s)$,
 he would sell it?) ; $\epsilon \lambda v \sigma \in \nu \dot{a} \pi \sigma^{i} \nu \omega \nu$, he released them for
a ransom; $\beta$ oòs ả́sios, worth an ox; $\tau \not \mu \hat{a} \nu$ тiví (or $\tau \iota \nu a ́)$ т८vos, to fine one something.
11. The verbs cival (which is often understood in this connection) and $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s e n s e ~ t o ~ b e l o n g ~ t o, ~ p e r t a i n ~ t o, ~ e t c ., ~, ~$ and adjectives denoting sacred to, peculiar to, suitable to (as íòos, oikєios, кúpıos, iєpós, $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \omega \nu$ ), take the gen. (sometimes with $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$, on the part of, belonging $\cdot$ to, in connection with cival) of the possessor or that to which the thing belongs or is peculiar, etc. (called the possessive genitive); as, $\tau 0$ v. $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau o v s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$, much virtue belonged to Socrates;
 (I take it to be the part of a just citizen, eiva being understood) ; $\pi$ o $\lambda \lambda o \hat{v}$ र póvov $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \\ \sigma \\ \iota\end{gathered}$, it is an affuir of a long time; oi $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ кivòvvou $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $\phi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa$ ó $\omega \nu$ lì̀oo, but the dangers belong to the leaders.
12. As space is the necessary condition of an action, and is always implied in it, we find the gen. of the place in various expressions, and of the way with verbs of motion, chiefly in poetry, and the latter chiefly in Epic poetry (called the geni-
 ó $\rho \in \omega \nu$ (a cloud did not appear in all the earth or mountains); $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \in \delta i o \iota o$, to run through the plain; líval tov̂ $\pi$ póco, to go forward (óôov̂ being understood).
13. In like manner, also, time, as a necessary condition of an action, is often expressed in the gen. (sometimes with prepositions to define it more closely) both as a point and as a space (called the genitive of time) ; as, oủкét $\tau \circ \hat{\imath} \lambda \circ \iota \pi \circ \hat{v}$

 $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ (within ten days, for ten days).

Rem. 10. Here belong such genitives as éapos (in spring), $\theta^{\prime}$ épous (in summer), $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu o s$ (in winter), $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ (by day), vuктós, $\delta \epsilon i \lambda \eta s$,
 $\lambda o i \pi o v(i n ~ l a t e r ~ w r i t e r s ~ m o r e ~ c o m m o n l y ~ c o n c e i v e d ~ a s ~ m e r e ~ e x t e n t ~ o f ~$


## § 55. Genitive of Position, Separation, and Privation.

 genitive of position.1. Adjectives of being opposite, corresponding to in position, being near to, take a gen. of the spot or person;

 Achceans.
2. So, also, with adverbs expressing position, proximity to, or distance from. Such as ả àta, ả้ $\nu \eta \nu$, à $\nu \tau i a$, ảvtiov, ảvtıкрv́,




 crept up nearer to the tomb; $\theta a \nu a ́ \tau o v ~ ' ่ \gamma \gamma \dot{v} s$, near to death.
 sometimes take the dative (see $\oint 59,2$ ).
3. So, too, with the adverbs of place, $\pi \circ \hat{v}, \pi o v ́, \pi \dot{\eta}, \pi \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \nu, ~ \odot \hat{v}$, $\hat{\eta}$ (ivva, $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ poetic), ovi $\delta a \mu o \hat{v}, \pi a \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta}$, $\pi a \nu \tau a \chi o \hat{v}$, etc., where the genitive is strictly of the partitive character; as, ${ }^{\prime} \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$
 of their country. Also with the adverbs of time, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ áкıs,

4. Also, to denote a state or moral position (in what respect) with the adverbs (also adjectives) $\epsilon \hat{\nu}, \kappa a \lambda \omega \dot{s}, \mu \in \tau \rho i \omega s, \dot{\omega} s$, $\pi \hat{\omega} s,{ }_{0}^{\circ} \pi \omega s, \hat{\eta}, \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \pi \eta$, oṽ $\tau \omega s, \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon, \dot{\omega} \sigma a v i \tau \omega s$, and others, joined with $\not{ }^{\prime} \chi \in \iota \nu$ ( $\eta$ グкє $\nu$ Herod., but rare in Attic writers), and occasionally with $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu a \iota$ and $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$; as, $\epsilon \mathcal{\cup} \pi 0 \delta \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \chi \epsilon \nu \nu$, to be well off as to the feet ; $\pi \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda a ~ \tau a ́ \chi o v s ~ \epsilon ̈ \chi \epsilon \iota, ~ h o w ~ t h e y ~ a r e ~ r e-~$ lated to each other in respect to velocity.

## GENITIVE OF SEPARATION.

5. Verbs denoting removal, separation, rising from, departure, and most verbs of motion, may take the gen. of the point of departure; such as àmíćval ( $\beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, àvaঠ̂îval,
 poetic), $\phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu, \vec{a} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi a \rho a \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu, \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon i \nu$ (rarely), єौкє $\nu_{\nu}$, íтєі-

 $\dot{v} \pi \circ \chi \omega \rho \in \hat{i} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \delta i o v$, to retire from the plain.
6. Verbs of beginning from, leaving off, ceasing, driving away from, keeping off, delivering from, deviating from, and adverbs which express separation from something, may take the gen. (sometimes with $\boldsymbol{a} \pi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ or $\hat{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$; and transitives may take, also, the acc. of the direct object) of that whence the motion, real or supposed, began; such as í̂́val, $\mu \in \theta_{\iota} \epsilon^{\prime} v a l$,


 ally with acc. and dat.), $\lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu,{ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \rho 0 \hat{\nu} \nu, \dot{a} \pi a \lambda \lambda a \dot{\epsilon} \tau \tau \epsilon \nu \nu, \dot{\rho} \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\sigma \dot{\omega} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu ;$ as, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu^{\prime} \epsilon_{i}^{\imath} \rho \gamma \in \iota \nu$, to exclude me from mine;
 eye by blinding, the verb being taken in a pregnant sense); $\sigma \omega \hat{\theta} \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \kappa a \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$, to be saved from evils.

Rem. 2. So, also, in imitation of this local separation, we occasionally find the point.whence a space of time commences in the gen. without a preposition; as, $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ 光 $\tau \epsilon \ddot{̈} \tau \circ \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu$ (in the second year from these things; either before or after, as the case may be).

## GENITIVE OF PRIVATION.

7. As implying a species of separation, verbs, nouns, and adjectives expressing the idea of being without, wanting, being deserted, and transitive verbs (which may take besides an acc. of the direct object) which express actions that produce a state of want, destitution, etc. of something, take the gen. of that of which there is a want, etc.;
 $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, poetic), àmopєiv, $\pi^{\prime} \varphi \in \sigma \theta a \iota, \delta \epsilon i \bar{\nu}, \delta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ (sometimes, also, gen. or acc. alone, or two genitives), $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu,{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota-$ $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \sigma \pi a \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ and $\chi \rho{ }^{\prime}$ (generally with a dat. or acc.

$\pi$ év $\quad \mathrm{s}$, 廿i入ós, and most adjectives compounded with a priva-
 $\nu \omega \nu$, unhonored with praises ; $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \nu \delta \in \iota a \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, a want of money.
8. So, also, adverbs which express privation, absence, want,
 עóo $\phi \iota \nu, \chi \omega \rho i s, \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu, \delta i \chi \alpha$; as, $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \delta a i \mu o \nu o s$, except the divinity.

## § 56. Partitive Genitive and Genitive of Material.

PARTITIVE GENITIVE.

1. The partitive genitive, which denotes the whole as distinguished from its parts, in its strictest sense is only a species of the attributive genitive, and, like that, is governed by the noun which it limits. But as the noun here must be one which expresses a part of a whole, the partitive genitive is governed only by a noun expressing a part of a whole; as, $\sigma{ }^{\prime} \mu \mu a t o s \mu^{\prime} \rho o s$, a part of the body.

Rem. 1. The attributive genitive, besides the partitive use, expresses the author or cause, and the owner, possessor, or subject. It is possessive or objective, according as it expresses simply the cause or owner, or at the same time the cause and the object of the state or action indicated; as, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò $\tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \circ \phi i a s$ кá $\lambda \lambda o s$, the beauty of wisdom (i. e. which belongs to wisdom as the subject or possessor); $\dot{\eta} \tau \eta{ }^{\eta} s$ бoфias $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu i a$, the desire of wisdom (i. e. excited by and terminating upon wisdom).

Rem. 2. Sometimes two genitives, expressing different relations, are connected with one noun ; in which case, one of the genitives forms a combined idea with the noun, and the other limits this combined idea; as, кóp $\eta$ s $\nu v \mu \phi \epsilon \epsilon \circ \nu$ "Aıסov, the damsel's chamber of marriage to Hades.

Rem. 3. In like manner, as adjectives of an active meaning take the gen., so those derived from verbs which govern two accusatives may take two genitives; as, $\theta \in a ̂ s$ ö $\bar{\omega} \omega s$ і ікоí $\mu \eta \nu \in \dot{v} \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta}-$ रopos, offering prayers to the goddess.
2. The partitive genitive, also, is connected with adjectives (especially superlatives), pronouns, numerals, and participles (with the article) used as substantives; as, $\theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \circ \dot{v}$ -
 $0 i \in \hat{\mathcal{U}} \phi \rho \circ \nu \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \in S \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$, the wise part of men.

Rem. 4. As the partitive construction is used in some cases where it is not required, as in the phrases, $\delta i a \operatorname{\gamma vvaik} \hat{\omega} \nu$, divine of women,

 same case with the part., instead of being in the gen.; as, $\nu \hat{\varphi} \nu \tau \grave{\omega}$
 brothers (instead of of our brothers), Creon has honored one and dishonored the other.
3. The partitive gen. is used with $\epsilon_{i v a l, ~ \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l, ~ r i \theta \epsilon v a l, ~}^{\text {i }}$
 accounted of, and any verb whose action extends to only $a$
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \omega \nu \kappa a \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ civaı (to be one of the greatest evils);
 $\xi v \nu \in \lambda$ '́ $\gamma о \nu \tau 0 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda i \theta \omega \nu$ (they collected some of the stones).
4. With verbs of participating, sharing in, communication, community (with which, however, $\mu$ '́pos or $\mu$ oîpa is sometimes expressed in the acc.) ; such as $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota$, , $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau i \quad \mu о \iota$, $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota$,

 (rarely), $\sigma v \nu a i p \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$; as, кaì ả $\rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \chi \in \iota \nu$, to partake of both offices and honors.
5. With verbs of actual or imaginary contact, taking hold of, being in dependence on or connected with, since the contact is only with a part of the object (but when the action relates to the whole, the object stands in the acc.) ; such as $\theta_{\imath} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$,
 ढ̇тı $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, àvтı入a (i ${ }^{\circ} \chi^{a \nu a ̂ \nu}$ poetic), $\gamma \lambda i \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ \tilde{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, and $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \iota$ (rarely); as,
 $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \dot{\omega} \mu \in \theta a$, let us take hold of the work; то仑 vó $\mu$ оv $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$, to abide by the law, i. e. obey the law.

Rem. 5. In poetry, verbs of entreating, such as $\lambda$ í $\sigma \sigma o \mu a l$, iкєтєvi$\epsilon \iota \nu$, ikveí $\theta a u$, etc. are used not only with the gen. youvol (the linees), but by analogy, with other genitives, since the suppliant touched the
knees of the image in making entreaties, whence the usage extended to other modes of making entreaties; as, aièv 'ُ $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \in \tau O$ $\gamma \circ \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$, always entreated me by the knees; $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \chi \circ \mu a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\theta \in \hat{\omega} \nu, I$ beseech thee by the gods.
6. Verbs of eating and drinking take the genitive when the idea is of eating and drinking of something, or a part of something (but the acc. when the idea is that of simply eating or drinking in general, or consuming the whole). Such as $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \theta_{i}^{-}$ $\epsilon \iota \nu, \phi a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \gamma \epsilon \cup \in \sigma \theta a \iota, \gamma \epsilon \cup \in \epsilon \nu$ (acc. of pers. and gen. of thing, or two acc.) ; as, $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \sigma \theta^{i} \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \rho \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, to eat of flesh; $\gamma \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu a ̀$
 give one wine to drink).

## GENITIVE OF MATERIAL.

7. The gen. of the material (sometimes with $\dot{\epsilon} \xi, \dot{a} \pi \dot{o}^{\prime}$, or $\delta \iota a ́$; and sometimes the instrumental dat. instead of the gen.) is found with verbs of making, forming, etc.; as, $\chi а \lambda \kappa о \hat{v} \pi \circ \kappa \epsilon \in-$
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon i s$, crowned with ivy.
8. With verbs and adjectives of filling or being full ; such as $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \omega, \pi \lambda \eta \rho o ́ \omega, \pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota, \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ́ \omega$ (mostly poetic), $\gamma^{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \iota \nu, \beta \rho^{\prime}$ -
 $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} p \eta s, \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ́ s, \pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma \iota o s, \delta a \sigma u ́ s$, etc.; as, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \in \dot{v} \pi o \rho \hat{\eta}-$ $\sigma a \iota$, to be well supplied with all things; $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu$ оs oì $\nu$ о七о $\delta$ ©́mas, having filled the cup with wine ; $\delta a \sigma$ v̀s $\delta \epsilon \in \nu \rho \omega \nu$, thick with trees.

Rem. 6. Transitive verbs of this class may take an acc. in addition to the gen., of course, and some of the verbs and adjectiveś, especially $\beta p_{i} \theta_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ and $\delta a \sigma$ ús, may take the dat. instead of the gen.
9. With verbs of satisfying, enjoying, being satisfied or benefited; such as ả $\sigma a \iota$, ä $\sigma a \sigma \theta a \imath$, корє́ $\sigma a \sigma \theta a \imath$, àmо入av́ $\epsilon \nu$, à àavрє́-
 to satisfy Mars with blood; $\epsilon \dot{v} \omega \chi o \hat{v} \tau o \hat{v}$ hó $\gamma o v$, enjoy the speech.

Rem. 7. Verbs of enjoying sometimes take the acc. instead of the gen., and sometimes they take $\grave{a} \pi \hat{o}^{\text {or }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa$ before the gen. The gen.
of material is extensively used in the earlier forms of the language, especially in the Epic, the primitive mind viewing materials as causes; as, $\lambda$ ov́є $\sigma \theta a \iota$ по $\tau a \mu \circ$ io, to be washed of a river.

Rem. 8. The genitive absolute expresses the relations of either the causal gen., the gen. of place, or the genitive of time. For its usage see § 71, 3 .

## SECTION II.

## THE ACCUSATIVE.

## § 57. Verbs with one Accusative.

1. As the accusative denotes the immediate or suffering object of a transitive verb, most verbs take but one acc., the remote or circumstantial object (when required or expressed) generally being in another case, or constructed with prepositions.
2. Any verb, whether active or intransitive, may take an acc. of the cognate noun, and of the kindred idea, or of their attribute or equivalent idea (called the accusative of kindred signification) ; as, $\tilde{\eta} \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu a ́ s$ (cognate), $\chi a i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ (kindred idea), $\mu^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} a$ (sc. $\left.\chi a ́ \rho \mu a\right) \chi^{a i} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ (attribute of cognate noun), $\chi a i \rho \omega$ тồto (thus) or $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota \nu$, to grieve at the affair, i. e. to grieve the grief suitable to the affair (equivalent idea).

Rem. 1. Verbs which take an attributive or kindred notion to the cognate idea often receive a modified or augmented meaning beyond their common meaning (called the pregnant or intensive meaning) ;
 to cause routs by breaking the ranks; â סı'́ßa入入es, which you slanderously stated.
3. Verbs denoting a state or feeling, whether of mind or body, may take an acc. of the state or feeling, or that wherein the state or feeling consists (acc. of kindred signification); such as verbs of being pleased, angry, envying, pitying; thinking, caring, willing ; conceiving, knowing; living, faring well or ill, dying; condition in life, serving; flowing,
springing forth，shining ；and bodily condition，as sitting， falling，sleeping，etc．；as，ov̀ò̀̀ $\delta \in i ́ \sigma a \sigma a$ ，fearing nothing（no fear）；$\theta a \rho \rho \rho \in i v \tau \iota$ or $\tau \iota \nu a$, to brook something or some one；$\epsilon i$－ $\delta \in ́ \nu a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，to know the nature of affairs （instead of，know the knowledge）；хор $7 \gamma \epsilon i \nu \Delta \iota o \nu v i \sigma u, ~ t o ~ s e r v e ~ a s ~$ choregus at the Dionysiac festival．

Rem．2．For the gen．with some verbs of this class，see $\oint 53,7$ ， 9 ，and 11 ．

4．Verbs of motion take the space or way passed over in the acc．；and in poetry，the place or object reached by the motion is sometimes in the acc．；as，$\mu$ олєì $\gamma^{\prime} \phi \quad$ poav，to pass the bridge； é $\lambda a ⿱ ⺌ 兀 \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \delta \rho o ́ \mu o \nu, ~ t o ~ r u n ~ a ~ r a c e ; ~ \beta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi o ́ \delta a, ~ t o ~ g o ~ a ~ s t e p ~ o f ~ t h e ~ e$

 mus．

Rem．3．But in prose（and generally in poetry），the acc．denot－ ing the limit or object reached by a motion is governed by preposi－ tions；as，àvá，kađá，єis，$\pi \rho o ́ s$, etc．

5．Verbs of doing any thing，or performing any act，may take an accusative of the deed or thing done（often of kin－ dred signification）；such as verbs of finishing，learning，eat－ ing，laboring，fighting，acting wrongly or impiously，uttering， swearing，shouting，lamenting，confessing，accusing，etc．；as， $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma a$ ，to finish the works；à $\sigma \kappa o u ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\phi$ Óóvo，exercis－
 words．

6．Verbs of producing or effecting any thing take an acc． of the effect or result（often of kindred signification）；such as verbs of making，inventing，creating，exhibiting，engraving， working，pouring，digging，etc．；as，$\tau \epsilon \cup \cup \chi \omega \nu ~ \theta ' \omega \rho \eta к а, ~ m a k i n g ~ a ~$
 they pour a draught；oìvov кє́pळขтaь，they mix wine．

7．Verbs of transmitting，delivering over，receiving，per－ cciving，possessing，holding，inhabiting，acquiring，and the like，take an acc．（often of the kindred signification）of the
gift, payment, thing received, held, etc.; as, $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho a \delta i \delta \omega \sigma \iota$;
 acquired all these things.
8. The extent of time over which an action extends is put in the acc. (generally without prepositions) after all classes of verbs; as, $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu a \quad \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, remaining one month; тồтov


Rem. 4. Of this nature are the adverbial accusatives of time, $\eta \eta_{0 \text { os }}$,



 єis "'tos, and the like.

Rem. 5. When the time is in the gen. it is regarded as the cause
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \rho a s$ (on this day, the time being considered as a necessary condition of the action). See $\S 54,13$.
9. Quantity or amount of space, time, distance, value, weight, etc. is put in the acc. (generally without a preposition) after all verbs susceptible of such an object; as, $\lambda$ eineto סoupòs दं $\rho \varpi \dot{\eta} \nu$, he left an intervening space of the distance of a spear's cast ; є̇тopev́rato divo otaסiovs, he proceeded two stadia;
 є̈خкоута трі́тоу $\dot{\eta} \mu \tau \tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \nu \tau о \nu$, weighing a talent and a half (also with the cognate $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \rho^{\prime}$, the weight of a talent and a half).

Rem. 6. Here, also, belong the adverbial accusatives, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda a \dot{a}$



10. The accusative (properly of the equivalent idea) is used after adjectives and intransitive and passive verbs of all kinds, but particularly those which denote quality or feeling, to define their meaning more closely, or to denote in what respect it is taken (called accusative of nearer definition, or by synecdoche); as, кá $\mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ тois ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o$ ús (as to the eyes, in the
 ei $\mu$, , a quality pertaining to some place or relation is expressed




Rem. 7. The acc. of the part affected or concerned seems to be of this nature ; as, $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \epsilon i s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \in \phi a \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$, struck on the head.
Rem. 8. Here, also, belong certain neuter accusatives denoting some particular case or way in which any verbal notion operates, and which from frequent usage have acquired almost wholly an adverbial




 $\dot{a} \mu \phi$ ó $\tau \in \rho a$ (in both ways, by both); тò єis $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \epsilon$ '́, as far as pertains to
 often have a purely adverbial idea.
11. The accusative often stands in apposition with a whole sentence, expressing its substance, effect, or purpose ; as, $\dot{\rho} i \psi \epsilon \iota$ à $\pi \grave{o}$ $\pi \dot{\prime} \rho \gamma o v, \lambda v \gamma \rho o ́ \nu$ ő $\lambda \in \theta \rho o \nu$, he will throw you from the tower, - a sad death. But when the noun in apposition refers more to the subject of the sentence, it is regarded as in the
 $a{ }^{\prime} \sigma \chi \rho o \nu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma \sigma \nu$.

Rem. 9. Here belong, also, т оómov (manner), тov̂тò тò̀ т $\rho o ́ \pi o \nu$,


 $\tau \in \lambda \in u \tau a i ̂ o \nu$, etc.
12. The accusative (instead of the gen., see $\S 53,8$ ) is sometimes found after adjectives derived from or compounded with verbs ; as, $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ кака̀ $\xi v \nu i \sigma \tau \omega \rho$, conscious of many evils; $\tau \rho i ß \omega \nu$ тà $\tau o 九 a ́ \delta \epsilon$, skilled in such things.
13. The adverbs of protestation $\mu a ́$ and $\nu \dot{\eta}$ take the accusative; as, $\mu$ à $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ кv́va, by the dog.

Rem. 10. Má and ȯ̀ $\mu a ́$ are used in negative sentences, or where a negative is implied by the context ; $\nu \dot{\eta}$ and $\nu a \grave{\imath} \mu a ́$, in affirmative sentences.

Rem. 11. Sometimes an acc. stands apparently independent, but is really governed by some word which was in the mind of the writer; as, $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \delta \grave{\eta}, \sigma \grave{\epsilon} . . . . \phi \hat{\eta} s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. (supply $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega)$, thee now, thee, I mean, sayest thou, etc.

## § 58. Terbs with two Accusatives.

1. Transitive verbs which take the accusative of a personal object (or some object viewed as a person), may take in addition an acc. of the cognate noun or kindred idea ; as, $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$ $\sigma \epsilon$, or $\delta \iota \delta \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \omega$ $\sigma \epsilon \gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \mu a \tau a$.
2. This construction is chiefly confined to the following classes of verbs:-
1) The verbs which are employed in expressions that denote the saying or doing of any good or evil to one; such as є́ $\rho \gamma a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \pi o \epsilon i \nu, \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, etc.; $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ้ \nu$, etc.; as, $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ как⿺𠃑 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ тooồvтєs, doing us many evils.
2) Those used in entreating, praying, asking, etc. some-

 asks of him ten talents.
3) Those used in speaking of teaching or reminding one of something; such as $\delta \iota \delta \dot{d} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v \epsilon \iota \nu$, à $\nu a \mu \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (with which, however, as well as with $\dot{\dot{\pi} о \mu \mu \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \nu \text {, the gen. of the }}$ thing is more common), etc.; as, $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \sigma \in \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu a \tau a, I$ teach you letters.
4) Verbs of concealing and dividing or distributing into
 etc.; as, סıaı $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \chi \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a \tau \rho i a \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$, to divide the coat into three parts.
5) Verbs of advising, persuading, challenging, compelling ;

 thing.
6) Verbs of depriving, taking away from, purifying, stripping, putting on and off, clothing, throwing around; àфaıpєі-

 $\mu \epsilon$, he deprives me of my honor.

Rem. 1. Some of these verbs sometimes have a different construction from that here given, but in a somewhat different sense. Thus,
when the person is in the dat., the additional idea is implied of its being for the advantage or disadvantage of the person; so the gen. of the person or thing after $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \nu$, à $\pi о \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \nu$, and àфaıрєíन $\theta a \iota$ implies rather a separation (see $\S 55,5$ ) than a simple deprivation.
3. Two accusatives, also, one a direct, and the other a predicative or appositional object (often an adjective), are used after the active or middle of such verbs as take two nominatives in the passive (see $\oint 51,3$ ) ; such as verbs of making, choosing, estimating, explaining, recognizing, calling, naming, giving, receiving, teaching, etc.; as, $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v ่ \epsilon \iota \nu$ тıvà $\sigma$ oфóv, to instruct one so that he is wise; aipeïoӨai $\tau \iota \nu a$ बтрaтท $\begin{array}{r}\text { ó } \nu, \text { to choose one general. }\end{array}$

Rem. 2. As in the partitive construction with ë́кабтos, éкáтєроs, etc. (see § 56, R. 5 ), the whole is sometimes put in apposition with its parts, instead of in the gen., so, mostly in poetry, especially Epic poetry, the part often follows in the acc. in apposition with the whole,
 oठóvт $\omega \nu$, what a word escaped you, viz. the inclosure of your teeth! = what a word escaped your lips!

## SECTION III.

## THE DATIVE.

## § 59. Dative of the Person.

The dative is used of a personal object (or something viewed as a person) when the person is represented as sharing or interested in the action, and not as the direct object of it, like the acc., nor as the cause or antecedent of it, like the gen. Hence the dat. is commonly used after words where the relation may be expressed by to or for, or is similar to that expressed by these words. In other words, the dat. is used after words which express or imply a transmission to, community or connection with, likeness to, unlikeness to, or regard to.

1. The dat. of the person is used with verbs which express the transmission of something to one ; such as giving, allow-
ing to, granting, presenting, paying, selling, giving a share

 $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \sigma \tau i \mu \circ$ (it is allowed me) ; $\mu \in \tau a \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \phi i \lambda o \iota s, ~ t o ~ i m p a r t ~ t o ~$ friends.
2. With words (whether verbs, nouns, adjectives, or adverbs) denoting simple community or communication, or even
 $\tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ (to reconcile to), $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$; єimєì, $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, \lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}, \lambda \eta \rho \epsilon i \nu$, $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \nu \nu, \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (to speak or act with, pray to) ; ảmavtầ, ímavтầ, $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \nu$, etc. Also the corresponding nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, especially those compounded with oviv and $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́$; such as кoıvós, $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}, \sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma o ́ s$, and $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta ́ s$ (gen. and dat.), $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \phi \omega \nu o s, \mu \in \tau a i o s ; \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o s, \pi \epsilon \lambda \lambda a s$, ${ }^{a} \gamma \chi \iota$, and the
 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, women not sharing with men; $\pi \dot{\varepsilon}^{\prime}-$
 $\sigma v \nu \in \rho \gamma$ òs кai $\sigma v \nu a \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta$ 's, fellow-procurer and promoter with him of this confidence.
3. With verbs and adjectives denoting hostile communication, as contending, litigating, emulating, reproaching, blaming, being angry at, envying; such as $\epsilon^{\rho} i\left\langle\zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu, \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a t, \pi о \lambda \epsilon-\right.$
 $\sigma \theta a \iota, \chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \phi \theta o v \epsilon i \nu ; ~ a l s o ~ t h e ~ a d j e c t i v e s ~ a ̀ v \tau i o s, ~ \epsilon ̇ v a v t i o s, ~}$

 є́ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a ̣$ каì $\nu o ́ \mu o \iota s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu a \nu \tau i o s . ~$
4. With verbs and adjectives denoting communication as superior or inferior, as ordering, counselling, inciting, entreating, following, accompanying, retiring, yielding, serving, obeying, trusting; such as $\sigma \eta \mu a i \nu e \iota \nu, ~ \grave{~} \pi \iota \tau a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$,






5. With words denoting likeness and unlikeness, similarity and dissimilarity, agreement and disagreement ; such as '̇oぃќ-

 ovvalveiv; סıá申ตvos, סıáфopos, and many words compounded




Rem. 1. Some of the verbs and adjectives introduced in the preceding paragraphs are sometimes constructed with the gen., as may be seen by referring to the treatment of that case (see especially $\$ \oint 54$, R. $9 ; 55$, R. $1 ; 56,4)$. Also, transitive verbs of the above classes may take an acc. of the direct object in addition to the dat.

Rem. 2. Instead of the dat. after adjectives and adverbs of likeness, comparison, etc., we sometimes find a coördinate sentence intro-
 not done as Homer did. Also, after "'oos and of $\mu$ ooos, the dat. of the object is often used instead of the dat. of the attribute; as, кó $\mu$ a Xapit $\epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ónoía (hair like the Graces, instead of, hair like the hair of the Graces, see $\S 50$, R. 6).
6. With words denoting a moral likeness or fitness, as well as those denoting what is pleasing, agreeable, etc., and the contrary ; such as $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, áp $\rho o ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ (with an accom-

 $\pi \in \iota \nu ; \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ a ̉ \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \kappa \in \tau a \iota$.
7. With verbs and adjectives of helping, favoring, benefiting, and averting; such as, ảp $\eta_{\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ a ̉ \mu u ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \epsilon ' ~}^{\xi} \epsilon \iota \nu$, ả $\lambda a \lambda \kappa \epsilon i \nu$,
 $\chi \rho a \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon i \nu$, and many verbs compounded with $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$; as, $\sigma v \mu \phi^{\prime}$ '$\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, etc.; also the adjectives $\grave{\omega} \phi^{\prime} \lambda \iota \mu o s, \chi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \mu o s$, $\phi i \lambda o s$, etc.; as, $\tau$ oîs $\theta a \nu o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \pi \lambda o v ̂ \tau o s ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ v ~ \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$, wealth avails nothing to the dead.
8. The dat. is used, also, with $\epsilon_{i \nu a \iota}$ and $\gamma^{i} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, denoting the person possessing, or rather having something; as, $\tau \boldsymbol{\varphi}$

9. In general, the dat. is used to denote that for whose advantage, benefit, protection, etc. (or their opposites) any thing is done (called the dative of the advantage or disadvan-
 affairs for the benefit of Philip; $\beta \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \tau \nu i$. So also with all sorts of nouns and adjectives which, from their own meaning or the context, are conceived as bringing good, harm, or hiindrance to any person or thing; as, $\chi$ є $\rho \sigma i \nu$ пóvos, labor for the hands.
10. The dat. is used to denote the person (or thing viewed as a person) in respect to, or on whose part, or in whose view, wish, or desire a thing is so ; as, $\vec{a} \xi$ ıos člך $\theta a v a ́ r o v ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$
 $\lambda a \xi \iota \nu \in \dot{\rho} \eta^{\prime} \kappa a \mu \in \nu$ (in the guards, on the part of the guards).

Rem. 3. This usage with a participle corresponds very nearly to our mode of expression with one and a participle ; as, "to one entering Rome, the dome of St. Peter's is seen at a distance." But in Greek, this dat. is used not only with participles of going, coming, standing and the like, but with various others with which the usage is not allowable in our language, especially with those denoting willing,
 you wishing = if you wish. So in the phrase $\omega$ s $\sigma v \nu \in \lambda$ óvt $\operatorname{\epsilon i\pi \epsilon i\nu }$, to speak like one summing up all in a word $=$ to speak briefly. In this and other cases, both with and without the part., the $\omega s$ indicates the private character of the view, opinion, etc.; as, és $\gamma$ є́ $\rho \circ \nu \tau t$, for an
 can judge.

Rem. 4. The dat. of the personal pronouns, especially of the first and second persons, is often used in this way with but little apparent meaning (called the ethical dative) ; as, $\widehat{\omega} \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \in \rho$, $\omega s$ ка入ós $\mu \circ \iota$
 тov̀s à $\gamma a \theta$ oùs $\tau \grave{a} ~ \pi \epsilon \zeta \zeta \kappa a ̀ ~ \rho a \delta i ́ \omega s ~ \nu \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~(I ~ t h i n k, ~ I ~ w o u l d ~ h a v e ~ y o u ~$ know).
11. The dative of the agent is often used with the perf. pass. (rarely with other pass. forms) instead of $\dot{v} \pi$ ó with the gen., and regularly with verbal adjectives in tós and téos (except in a few cases where the acc. is used, the meaning of the verbal being equivalent to $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ and the infin., and the construction, in such cases, being the same as though the idea
were expressed thus) ; as, $\omega$ s $\mu \circ \iota \delta \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \tau a t$, as has been shown
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu, v i r t u e ~ i s ~ t o ~ b e ~ p r a c t i s e d ~ b y ~ y o u, ~ o r ~ t h e r e ~ i s ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ p r a c-~$ tising of virtue by you.
Rem. 5. Besides the dat. of the agent, the impersonal verbal in
 is derived, like the Latin gerund with est; but the personal verbal (as those may be which are derived from transitive verbs) agrees with its subject, like the Latin gerundive, and takes only the dat. of the agent.
12. The dat., also, denotes the accompanying object (chiefly personal), either with the pronoun au̇rós agreeing with it (meaning together with), or, chiefly in military movements, with verbs of going or coming, with which the force, array, army, men, ships, etc. stand in the dat.; as, ai $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ éá $\begin{gathered} \\ \\ \text { a }\end{gathered}$ aủroîs ả $\nu \delta \rho a \dot{\sigma} \iota \nu$ (together with the men) ; $\dot{a} \nu \in \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\varphi}$, they retired with the army.

## §60. Dative of the Thing.

1. The dative of the thing denotes the cause, ground, or reason of an action, with all sorts of verbs and expressions, but especially such as denote a feeling or state of mind, the cause being considered rather as instrumental than originating (in which latter case the gen. is used, see $\oint 53$ ) ; as, $\dot{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu \quad \phi \dot{\beta} \beta \varphi$, , they departed through fear, i. e. by means of. fear; aio $\chi \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o i s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ' \nu o v s$, ashamed at what

 $\kappa \tau \epsilon i ̂ v, \chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega ิ s} \phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, etc.
2. The actual means or instrument by or with which any thing is done is put in the dat.; as, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \pi \tau \rho \omega$ є $\bar{\lambda} \alpha_{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \kappa \epsilon$, he-struck him with his sceptre; $\beta$ ád $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ i itoıs, to throw at with stones; $\chi \rho a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\varphi}$ v̈ठaтı, to use the water (the thing used being regarded as the instrument or means).
3. The dat. is used with comparatives, superlatives, and
words which imply a comparison, or distinction, to denote the excess, difference, or respect in which a thing differs from, is inferior to, or preëminent above others; as, $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$ $\mu \iota a ̂$, to be too late by one day; i$\sigma \chi \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$, to be strong in body (in respect to the body); $\pi$ ovi raxús, swift in respect to the feet $=$ swift of foot, etc.
4. The dat. is used to denote the standard according to which a judgment or opinion is formed, especially with verbs of measuring, judging, deciding, etc.; as, $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \tau a i ̂ s$ $\chi$ ápı $\sigma \iota$, judging by the gratification; $\tau \hat{\eta} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \iota \iota o$ $\mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, inferring from the garment; گ̀nuov̀ $\tau \iota \nu a \quad \chi \iota \lambda i a \iota s$ $\delta \rho a \chi \mu a i ̂$, to fine one in (i. e. upon the basis of) a thousand drachme, etc.
5. The dat. is used to denote the material, when it is not conceived of as an antecedent, but rather as an instrumental condition of the thing made; as, ä $\rho \mu a \chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\varphi}$ каіे $\dot{a} \rho \gamma \dot{v} \rho \varphi$ $\epsilon \mathcal{U} \eta^{\prime} / \sigma \kappa \eta \tau a \iota$, the chariot is finely wrought with gold and silver.
6. The dat., also, but chiefly in poetry, denotes the place of an action, but rather as its scene than the condition; as, $\mu v \chi \hat{\omega}$ Өa入á $\mu \circ \circ$, in the privacy of the chamber.

Rem. In prose, prepositions are generally used with the dative of the place.
7. The dat., too, denotes the time at or in which, and the manner, circumstances, etc. under which a thing happens; as,
 within the first day) ; rais $\gamma v \mu \nu o \pi a \iota \delta i a t s$, at the gymnopadic
 din ; ó à̀тòs єiцi $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$, I myself am of the opinion, etc.

## CHAPTER IV.

THE VERB.

## SECTION I.

## the tenses.

## §61. The Present.

1. The present tense expresses a limited present, i. e. an action actually occurring at the time of speaking; as, what are you doing? I am writing.
2. It expresses an unlimited present, i. e. general truths, which, being always true, are always present truths; as, time and tide wait for no man; God is love; when the spring comes the birds sing.
3. It often expresses what is past, the speaker or writer, from the vividness of his conceptions, viewing a past event as present. This is called the historical present, and is employed in lively narrative, where the mind is so absorbed in the events that they seem to be present.
4. It sometimes expresses what is future, the act being so firmly resolved upon, or looked upon as so certain, as to seem to be in process of execution, and hence present; as,
 i. e. will descend). This is especially the case with the verb $\epsilon_{i}^{i} \mu$, the present of which regularly has a future meaning.
5. Verbs of hearing, seeing, learning; as, ảkov́ $\omega$, $\kappa \lambda \dot{v} \omega, \mu a \nu \theta \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$, also $\mathfrak{a} \delta \iota \kappa \bar{\iota}, \phi \in \dot{\prime} \gamma \omega, \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$, and some others which express an idea that is abiding in its nature, are often used in the present to denote what is past, but which continues to the present, the idea having something of the nature of a general
 you not hear, have you not heard, a general report).
6. The verbs $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \kappa \omega$ (I am present) and o'ðооаı (I am gone)
may generally be best translated as perfects, I have come, $I$ have gone.

Rem. The present participle always refers to the time of the finite verb with which it is connected ; as, $\tau a \hat{\imath} \tau a \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$.

## § 62. The Perfect.

1. The Greek perfcct (like the English) expresses not merely a past completed action, but its continuance in effect to the present time of the speaker, and this in all the modes; as, $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \phi \epsilon v \gamma a$, I have escaped and remain in that state $=I$ am free.
2. Hence the idea of the present continuance of an action is often more prominently expressed by the perfect than that of its completion; as, $\tau \epsilon^{\prime} \theta \nu \eta \kappa a, I$ am dead (literally, I have died). In this sense, too, the perfect often has a future meaning, like the present (see $\oint 61,4$ ) ; as, ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda_{o} \lambda a, l$ am a dead man, i. e. am sure to die, shall certainly die.
§ 63. The Aorist.
3. The aorist expresses an action merely as past, without any implication of its continued effects or existence; as, ${ }^{\prime}$ y $\rho a \psi a, I$ wrote (in time past, but wholly indefinite).
4. The aor. often expresses customary action, or what is wont to be done, which we express by the present ; in which case it ranks among the principal tenses; as, ovito

5. The dramatic writers often use the first pers. sing. of the aor. in dialogue to express a present sentiment or feeling with more energy, by representing it as already confirmed by experience. This happens especially with the verbs

6. So, also, instead of commanding a present action, the Greek sometimes uses the aor. in inquiring why it has not been done; as, $\tau i$ oủv oủk $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$ '́s $\mu o \iota$; why then have you not said it to me? = say it to me immediately!
7. As only the indic. of the aor. has the augment, the sign of past action, so in this mode alone does it express wholly what is past, the remaining modes expressing mostly the bare action, and only in certain connections what is past.
1) The imperative expresses the bare idea of the action of the verb without any reference to time, and hence has nothing of the idea of the continuance of the action, which is expressed by the imper. pres.
2) The participle expresses almost wholly a past action, and, joined to a finite verb which refers to the future, expresses the idea of the future perfect.
3) The subjunctive expresses partly the idea of the simple future and partly that of the future perfect; of the last only when it stands in connection with conjunctions of time and condition, or relative pronouns and adverbs.
4) The optative retains the meaning of the past only when it is connected with a past tense ; otherwise, it refers to the future ; connected with $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$ it never has a past meaning.
5) The infinitive expresses sometimes a past action, and sometimes the bare action of the verb without any notion of time or continuance.

Rem. The aor. corresponds nearly to the English imperfect without a periphrasis, e. g. I loved (not was loving), but may often be translated by the perf. and pluperf.

## $\oint$ 64. The Imperfect.

1. The imperfect expresses the continuance of an action in a particular point of past time, defined or implied in the connection, and corresponds nearly to the English progressive form of the imperf., e. g. I was loving.
2. Hence, in narrating past events, the imperf. is used only where there is an obvious continuance of action, or a delineation rather than a mere narration; in other cases the aor. is used. In this way, according to the nature of the events or circumstances to be related, the one tense or the other is used, giving variety and liveliness to the discourse.

Rem. It is not, however, to be inferred from the above, that an action expressed by the aor. is necessarily any shorter than that expressed by the imperfect, but merely that it is related simply as a past event, without any reference to its duration. That is to say, the imperf. represents an action as continued, the aor. without any reference to its continuance.

## § 65. The Pluperfect.

1. The pluperfect expresses a past action as taking place before another past action, and continuing in its effects up to the time of that action; as,
 time defined in the context).
2. But when the previous completion of an action is obvious from its connection, and is not to be particularly marked, but only its simple antecedence to another action, the pluperf. is not generally used, but the aor. in its stead; as, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta} \delta^{\delta \epsilon}$
 instead of, when they had passed.

## §66. The Future.

1. The first and second future, commonly called the simple future, expresses the futurity of an action, but without defining at what time in the future it will
 (but whether immediately or after some hours or days is left undetermined).

Rem. 1. After an historical tense the fut. may be translated by should, would, etc.
2. The periphrastic future, formed by $\mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with a pres. or fut., and occasionally, an aor. infinitive, corresponding to the Latin fut. part. with sum, expresses the immediate future, since it represents an action as on the point of being done, or as destined immediately to
 point of leading you).
3. The third future, or future perfect, expresses the antecedent completion and continuance of an
 the present fear will have been removed and remain so; nearly $=$ will be removed, but more emphatic.

Rem. 2. To express the same idea in the active, the future $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \epsilon \\ \sigma\end{array}\right)$ with a perf. part. is used. But this is not of very frequent occur-
 having received, you will have received, you will receive).

Note. The pres., the perf., and the fut. are called principal or leading tenses, the imperf., the pluperf., and the aor. historical tenses. But the historical present belongs to the subordinate tenses, and the aor. employed to express general truths (see $\oint 63,2$ ), to the leading tenses.

## SECTION II.

## THE MODES.

## § 67. The Indicative.

1. The indicative of all the tenses is used to express ideas and interrogatories exhibited as realities or facts.
2. The Greek, unlike the Latin, always uses the indic., and not the subj., in indirect or dependent questions; also in causal, explanatory, and objective sentences (introduced by
 leading clause which is in one of the principal tenses; as,

 ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$.
3. But when these sentences depend upon or limit a verb in an historical tense, the optative is often used (for which see under the optative) instead of the indic.; still the indic. (particularly of the pres. and fut.) is not unfrequently found here also. So, too, even in oratio obliqua, a relative sentence dependent on a verb in an historical tense, and forming an actual part of the reported discourse, generally has its predi-
cate in the indic., where in Latin the subj. is always used;




Rem. 1. Relative sentences are introduced either by some case of the relative (or correlative) pronouns ös, ốrtıs, oios, ómoios, öَos, ónóros, or by the relative adverbs denoting where, whence, whither, and

4. After verbs signifying to care, to effect, to see, or take care (that), the subordinate sentence with öncos (expressing a purpose or object.) has its predicate in the fut. indic., where the Latin uses $u t$ with the subj., such sentences being really indirect questions. But sometimes the subjunctive or the optative with $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$ (for which see under the optative) is found after

5. The indic. is also used after conjunctions or adverbs of time, in all sentences which express a definite reality
 reference being to the actual coming on of darkness) ; $\sigma \chi \in \delta \partial \nu$

 sponding to the Latin eo tempore quo, quando (in the time in which, when), or the temporal cum (quum); óváкıs, óтобáкıs, quoties, $\dot{\omega} s, u t$

 post id tempus quo, postquam and postea, cum, in which sense $\dot{\omega}$ is also used; i $\pi \rho i v$, ante id ternpus quo, antequam, priusyuam.
6. The conjunction $\pi \rho i \nu$, however, is used only with the indic. of an historical tense, and this, of course, only when an actual past event is spoken of; as, ov̀ $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau o ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon-$

 ferring to an actual matter of fact). In all other cases, either the infin., or the subj. or optat. either with or without ${ }_{a} \nu$, is used with $\pi \rho^{i} \nu$, which will be further treated of under these divisions.
7. When relative, conditional, and temporal sentences (es-
pecially the latter) refer to something in the indefinite present, they do not have the indic., but mostly the subj. with ä $\nu$ (for which see under the subj.). It is very rare, too, that the indic. fut. is used in temporal sentences, and only when some particular action is referred to, which is looked upon as certain to occur.
8. It follows, also, from the nature of the indic., that it is used in sentences expressing a consequence or result (i. e. those introduced by $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, rarely $\dot{\omega} s$, not used in their relative sense) only in reference to a result actually occurring, or which has actually occurred, and not merely conceived of;



Rem. 3. The infin. with $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ will be found under the section on the infin. For the indic. in conditional sentences, see Sec. III. For the indic. in sentences expressing a wish, see Sec. IV. For the indic. of an historical tense with $\stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu$, expressing repeated or customary action, see $\S 73,3,2)$. For the very rare use of the indic. in sentences expressing an aim or purpose (final sentences), see Sec. V.

## § 68. The Subjunctive.

1. The Greek subjunctive always refers to what is future; hence the future tense has no subjunctive. But it differs from the fut. indic. in expressing a future event as contingent or merely probable, while that expresses it as a cer-
 say, will probably say).
2. Although this use of the subj. in directly affirming or denying the future probability of an event is quite limited in independent sentences, even in Epic Greek, and in the later forms of the language was supplanted by another form of speech (for which see $\S 69$, II.), still this is its meaning in all cases where it occurs, in dependent as well as independent sentences.

Rem. 1. Before enumerating these cases it should be stated that the particle (modal adverb) ${ }^{\circ} \nu \nu$ which accompanies the subj. does not materially alter the meaning of the sentence, but only increases the idea of contingency or conditionality expressed by the subj.
3. The subjunctive, without $a \nu$, is used (mostly in independent sentences) : -

1) As the so-called deliberative or interrogative subjunctive, when one expresses his secret doubts what he ought to do, and throws himself, as it were, on the advice of those around, though the decision necessarily depends upon himself. Such questions would naturally be mostly in the first person; as, $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oûv $\mu a ́ \chi \omega \mu a \iota \theta \nu \eta \tau \delta s \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon i a q ~ \tau u ́ \chi \eta ;-\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ $0 \tilde{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{s} \hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$;

Rem. 2. This appeal to the opinion or desire of those present is expressed more emphatically by the addition of $\beta o u{ }_{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota, \beta o v \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon$, or
 do you wish that I should do this? ßoù $\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau$ ò ò $\bar{\lambda}$ oע $\pi \rho \bar{a} \gamma \mu a \dot{a} \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, means, shall we give up the whole thing? would you?
2) As the so-called adhortative subjunctive (where we use let, let us), which is generally in the first person (rarely in the second or third), and often with the introductory words ${ }^{\imath} \theta \ell,{ }^{*} \gamma \gamma \epsilon$, ${ }^{a} \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$, which make the appeal little less than a command; as, $\mu \dot{a} \theta \omega \mu \in \nu$ (let us learn, we should learn);
 see that you learn).
3) As the so-called dehortative or prohibitive subjunctive, used mostly in the first person plur. and the second person sing. and plur., rarely in the third person, and still more rarely in the first person sing., and in all these cases, except that of the first person plur., only in the aor. tense. The negative here is $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ( $\mu \eta \delta \delta \dot{\prime} \nu, \mu \eta \delta \varepsilon i s$ ) ; as, $\bar{\omega} \xi \in i v o l, \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \bar{\eta} \tau^{3}$ aं $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$ (that I may suffer no wrong, let me suffer no wrong);
 not inform of $=d o$ not inform of.
4) As the so-called subjunctive of anxiety or fear, which is affirmative with $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$, asserting the existence of a fear, and negative with ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$, denying its existence; in the latter case in all persons (commonly of the aor.), in the former, which is of rare occurrence in any person, no examples are found of the third person of the present ; as, $\mu \grave{\eta}$ àүроккóтє $\rho \circ \nu \dot{\eta}$
(let it not be too uncivil = I fear it may be thought too uncivil) тò ả $\lambda \eta \theta$ Є́s єinciv ; oű $\sigma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \delta \hat{\omega}$, do not fear that I shall betray you.

Rem. 3. As will be seen, there is implied in both affirmative and negative sentences of this kind a verb expressing the idea of fear, care, or anxiety, which is occasionally expressed, and in this case the present is used as well as the aor., and in all persons; as, $\epsilon \dot{u} \lambda a \beta o \hat{v}$ (fear, beware) $\mu \grave{\eta}$ фavŋ̂̀s какòs $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega}$ s.
4. The subjunctive is used, rarely with ${ }^{\mu} \nu$, in sentences denoting an aim or purpose ; as, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{\xi} \xi a \theta^{\prime}$, $\dot{\omega} s \in i \delta \hat{\omega}$ тò $\pi \hat{a} \nu$;

5. The subjunctive is used, generally with ${ }_{a}^{a} \nu$, in rel-


6. The subjunctive is used, almost wholly with ${ }_{a}^{a} \nu$, in temporal sentences, so much so that ä้ is generally united with the temporal conjunction into one word ; making




7. The subjunctive is used in the conditioning part, called the protasis, of conditional sentences, almost wholly with $\stackrel{a}{\Delta} \nu$ (which, contracted with the conditioning $\epsilon i$, becomes


8. The subjunctive in these last three cases generally expresses an assumed or probable future idea, or general thought or truth, and jwhen this idea appears as antecedent to that of the principal clause, it is in the aor. subj. The verb in the principal clause must be in one of the principal tenses; as, ou
 me to go hence, before I shall give satisfaction.
Rem. 4. The $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ is sometimes omitted by the poets when it would be used by prose-writers, but without changing the sense; as, áp' $\gamma \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$,


9. In the like cases to those above, when the verb of the principal clause is in an historical tense, in direct discourse the optative without ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ is uniformly used in place of the subj., and in indirect discourse (oratio obliqua), partly the subj. with äp and partly the opt. without ä้ ; as, oi






## § 69. The Optative.

The optative expresses the same uncertainty and indeterminateness of an impending action as the subj. does, but with reference to an historical tense, instead of a principal tense, answering to the past tenses of the potential mode in our language. Hence the optative may be called the subj. of the historical tenses, which it regularly follows in the subordinate clause, and corresponds to in its inflectional endings.

Rem. 1. As a substitute for the subj. the optative retains the same reference to the future as that mode has. But it has the same time as the verb of the principal clause, where it is used in place of the indic., as it often is in order to give a clause a closer dependence upon the leading clause, giving it more decidedly the form of indirect discourse ; as, $\grave{\eta} \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu \sigma \epsilon$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is oivós $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ (who is he? but changed to
 (or $\epsilon \lambda \hat{\prime} \lambda o \iota$, with the same difference as above).
I. The optative without $\not{a} \nu$ in subordinate sentences, closely dependent upon a past tense (see the preceding Rem.) or an opt. in the principal clause is used: -

1. In sentences denoting a purpose or aim (for examples of which see Sec. V.).
2. In relative, temporal, and conditional sen-tences:-
1) After the indicative of an historical tense, in
which case the subordinate sentence does not generally denote a single definite action, but one always recurring under certain circumstances, and hence, generally, repeated; as, kaì oûs
 $\pi \dot{v} \theta \circ \iota \tau 0$, є̇ $\pi \dot{\eta} \nu \in \iota$ (whomsoever he saw $=$ as often as he saw any .... when he learned $=$ as often as he learned).
2) Temporal sentences take an optative after a nother

 (what he should obtain by lot, this should do).
3) In the oratio obliqua, when the relative, temporal, or conditional sentence is viewed as a part of the indirect or


4) When a conditional sentence (and occasionally a relative sentence) takes the optative, after an optative in the principal clause, both clauses express, not the reality, but the
 трòs 乃ià крive九ข $\theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda o \iota s$, he would soon speak the truth, if you should condemn him to torture ; $\hat{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s ~ \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ (whatever ruler the city should appoint), $\tau \circ \hat{v} \delta \bar{\epsilon} \chi \rho \dot{\eta} \kappa \lambda \hat{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$.

Rem. 2. But occasionally a conditional sentence has the optative, while the principal clause has the indic., i. e. the subordinate clause expresses the mere possibility of an event, and the principal clause the


 tional sentences, see Sec. III.
3. In objective sentences after the conjunctions $\begin{gathered}\circ \\ \tau \\ \iota\end{gathered}, \dot{\varrho} s$, ${ }_{\circ}^{\prime \prime} \pi \omega s, o ̈ \pi \omega s{ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta}, \mu \dot{\eta}$, and words signifying to think, perceive, say, show, care, effect, fear, and the like; as, $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ àmєкрivaro, öть




Rem. 3. For the use of the indic. and subj. in this class of sentences, see § $67,4$.

 come ; direct question, at whose call do I come?)

Rem. 4. But after a verb in the present tense, such questions are expressed partly by the indic. and partly by the deliberative subj. And even after a past tense, the indic. is sometimes used instead of the optative. See R. 1; also Xen. Cyr. I. 6. 12-14.
II. The optative with ${ }_{a} \nu$ in both independent and dependent sentences.

1. The optative (especially of the aor. and pres., but rarely of the pluperf.) is often used with $\vec{a} \nu$ to express the presumption or assumption that something may or will be, and this, too, in many cases which we should express as definite
 supposing it to take place) èvòs äp $\rho$ ovtos $\hat{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$.

Rem. 5. The optative with ${ }_{a} \nu$, both in dependent and independent sentences, always has a future reference, since, even in speaking of events which belong to the present, it does not represent them as realities, but only as probabilities, and hence, if ever to become realities, to become so in the future.
2. The optative with ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ is often used in independent sentences as a modest expression of a command or refusal, and in interrogatories as a wish or desire also; as, $\lambda \epsilon$ ' $\quad \circ \iota \mu$, ${ }_{a}^{a} \nu$ (I would speak, $=$ give me an opportunity to speak), oỉ
 further, $=$ don't urge me to speak further) ; tì $\gamma$ àp $\gamma$ '́ $\nu o \iota \tau$ ' $\vec{a} \nu$ (what $I$ should like to know could be, etc.) $\bar{\pi} \lambda$ коs $\mu \epsilon i ̂ \zeta o \nu ~ \hat{\eta}$ фi入os какós;
3. The optative with $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$ is used, also, in its usual sense, in all subordinate sentences in which the indic. of a principal sentence can be used, when, instead of a definite present or future assertion, a bare assumption or possibility is to be expressed, hence in objective sentences with öt८, ตٌs, ${ }_{0} \pi \pi \omega s$, in relative sentences, and in indirect ques-


 $\nu \circ \iota \in \nu \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \tau \dot{a}$ ő $\pi \lambda a \pi a \rho a \delta \circ \hat{\iota} \epsilon \nu$ (objective).
III. The optative without ${ }_{\mu} \nu$ stands in independent sentences only in a wish.

1. The wish that something may or may not happen is expressed by the simple optative without ${ }_{\mu} \nu$, often with the addition of $\epsilon i, \epsilon i \gamma a ́ \rho, \epsilon_{i} \theta_{\epsilon}(H o m e r i c ~ a i l ~ \gamma a ́ \rho, ~ a i l ~ \theta \epsilon) ~ ; ~ a s, ~ \hat{~} \pi u \hat{\imath}$, $\gamma \epsilon$ 'עоぃо $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \cup \chi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, would that you had been descended more fortunate from your father! For the other modes of expressing a wish, see Sec. IV.
2. A particular use of the optative with äv (Epic ќ́, $\kappa^{\prime} \dot{\varphi} \nu$ ) is found in a few cases in Homer and Herodotus, where it refers to the past. Homer uses it in the principal clause of a conditional sentence, where the Attic writers always use the indic. of an historical tense. Herodotus, on the contrary, uses it to express a presumption that something has occurred; as,
 (we should have pronounced it a fabrication) ; ả $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$


## § 70. The Infinitive.

The general principle here is, that the Greek uses the infinitive with all verbs which express or imply the will or the ability for performing an action.

1. We may enumerate, as examples of the first class, verbs signifying to will, not to will, to seem (to be willing), to be resolved, to be of opinion, to desire, to wish, to be obliged, to be necessary, to advise, to dissuade, to compel, to cause, to be the occasion, to prevent, to ask, to forbid, to command, to allow, to be proper or fit, to happen, to come to pass; and of the second, verbs signifying to be able, to be fitted for, to be practicable, to be just (סikatos), to be brought about, to be ready, to be determined, to venture, to learn (i. e. to become
fitted for something），to understand（i．e．to be fitted for），to

 رа⿱宀

Rem．1．Of course，it is immaterial whether these ideas are ex－ pressed by a single verb or by an adjective（often agreeing with the subject，where we use the impersonal form）or noun with $\epsilon i \mu i$ ；as， ó रóvos $\beta$ paxùs（i．e．too short，not sufficient for）ảझics $\delta \iota \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ $\tau \dot{a} \pi \rho a \chi \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau a$ ．So，too，the same verb would naturally have different constructions in different meanings．In like manner，verbs signifying to fear，to be ashamed，to dread，when they mean little more than to be unwilling，take the infin．；as，фoßoûpaı àठıкєì $\sigma \epsilon$ ．The Greek， therefore，uses the infin．in nearly all cases where the Latin uses $u t$ ， quo，quominus，and quin with a finite verb．

2．As words denoting to be able，to be fitted for，take an
 which have substantially this meaning，are used with the infin．， both in the nom．and the acc．；as，$\tau$ oıồ $\tau$ ós è $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ，oios mávias єis àpєтخ̀̀ $\dot{\delta} \rho \mu \hat{a} \nu$ ，he is of such a character as to incite all to
 ктiбa九．But the demonstrative is often omitted，leaving the relative alone，in which way oióv $\tau^{\prime}$ єivaı（to be able）arose； also ö $\tau \iota$（öбov）$\mu^{\prime}$ eióćvau（as far as I know）．
 $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with the infin．as follows．It is used with the indic．to express an actual occurrence either present or past ；but with the infin．to de－ note that a person or thing has the requisite power to effect a certain action or result，so that it may happen，but not that it must ；as，$\hat{\eta} \nu$

 toùs $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu$ iovs $\dot{a}$ ко $\dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，calling to each other they made noise enough for the enemy to hear them，but whether they did or not is not said．

3．Almost uniformly，also，the infin．（rarely，if ever，except by the later writers，ö ot and $\dot{\omega} s$ with the indic．or opt．）follows the verbs signifying to think，to believe，to suppose，to judge， to hope，to promise，to swear，to deny．But after verbs signi－ fying to say，to announce，to hear，the construction with the infin．and with ö $\tau \iota$ and $\dot{\omega}$ is about equally common；but with vorbs signifying to know，to perceive，the construction with the
infin. is the least frequent; as, ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \zeta \omega$ каì $\phi i \lambda o \nu$ aùtò $\nu$

 $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$.

Rem. 3. These and similar verbs are also constructed with the participle, for which see § 71.
4. While the verb doкєi» generally takes the infin. the similar verb $\phi$ aive $\theta a \iota$ is generally constructed with the part., except occasionally in the passive sense $I$ am believed, when it takes

5. The infin., also, sometimes stands with the verbs signifying to endeavor, to care, to look out, effect (that something take place) ; but, as we have seen above, $\S 67,4$, these verbs
 $\dot{a} \pi \circ \chi \omega \lambda \in \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \tau o ̀ \nu \grave{c} \pi \pi o \nu$.
6. The passive verbs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \dot{a} \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, $\dot{\delta} \mu \circ \lambda o \gamma \epsilon \bar{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$, and the like, when personal, take the nom. with the infin., but when impersonal, the acc. with the infin.; as, $\delta$ ' $A \sigma \sigma$ v́pios

 and the like, are always personal.
7. When the infin. and the verb on which it depends have the same subject, this subject is not expressed before the infin. as it often is in Latin; as, $\dot{\delta} \mu \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \hat{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \epsilon i \nu$ (I confess that $I$ erred ; Latin, fateor me pecasse). But when this subject is emphatic, as in contrasts, it is expressed after the principal verb, sometimes in the nom. and sometimes in the acc.; as,

8. So, also, where the subject of the infin. is different from that of the governing verb, but is expressed as an object of the governing verb, it is never repeated before the infin. as


9. When the governing verb and the infin. have the same subject, an adjective, adjective pronoun, or participle serving
as a predicative explanation of this subject, is always in the case required by the governing verb (or participle); as, ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \eta$ -



 though belonging to the predicate after cival, agrees with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\phi a \sigma \kappa o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, which is at the same time the subject and the governing verb).
10. When a predicative explanation connected with the infin. refers to the object of the governing verb, it is sometimes in the same case as that object, and sometimes in the acc., as though the subject of the infin. were expressed and it agreed


11. The infin., like a neuter noun, but without becoming so entirely a noun as to lose the construction and government of a verb, may take the neuter article in any case of the sing. before it, and be governed as a noun. With regard to qualifying words connected with an infin. having an article, the following should be observed : -

1) The subject of such an infin. is always in the acc.; as, $\tau o ̀ ~ \tau \grave{o} \nu \pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \tau a \chi \grave{\iota} \mu a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu o ́ v ~ \epsilon ̀ \sigma \tau \iota$.
2) The object of such an infin. is in the case usually gov-
 (in being anxious for nothing).
3) A personal predicative explanation connected with an infin. with the article is in the nom., when it refers to the sub-
 àòın $\theta$ ìvaı; would you not think.... because you are a stranger?
4) The attributive qualification of such an infin., as of all forms of the verb, is an adverb, and a predicative adjective
 $\pi \epsilon \phi \epsilon ข \gamma$ ย́vau, $\eta$ Ə $\delta \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu$.

Rem. 4. The poets often use the infin. with the article where the prose-writers use only the simple infin.
12. The infin. after certain adjectives expresses an action as a nearer definition of the adjective, or as indicating the point of view in which its meaning is to be taken. Such infin. are mostly in the active, even where the passive seems to be required, the action being referred to the object of the princi-
 truth, to my friends I was pitiful to behold, i. e. for them to behold = to be looked upon). Here also belongs the phrase $\theta a \hat{v} \mu a$ i $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta$ al. But there are few cases of the infin. after nouns.
13. The infin., also, follows such adjectives, when they are in the comparative with $\eta$ (than) after them; in which case $\omega$ s or $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ is sometimes added to the infin.; as, тò $\gamma$ à $\rho$ עó $\sigma \eta \mu a$


14. The Greek often uses, not only a simple infin., but also a whole infin. sentence, whether having its own subject or one continued from the governing sentence, to express the end or object of an action after a sentence or a verb which expresses the meaning of a sentence.

1) The simple infin. is used especially when the end of the action is to be presented as a gift or present ; by the poets, also, after verbs of going, coming, existence; as, ov̉火 ả̀ $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu$
 kill him) ; $\mu a \nu \theta$ á $\nu \in \iota \nu \eta^{\eta} \kappa о \mu \in \nu$ छُ́voc $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
2) The end of an action may be expressed by a whole infin. sentence after all kinds of sentences, but the infin. sen-

 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ), that, in order that, so that, to the end that; as, $\pi \hat{a} \nu$


Rem. 5. When ' $\epsilon \phi^{\prime} \hat{\omega}^{\dot{j}}$ or ${ }^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\varphi} \tau \epsilon$ is used, as it sometimes is (especially by Herodotus and 'Thucydides), in the sense on condition that,


 aùr $\eta$ s.
15. In intermediate sentences, which express a limitation or qualification of the general sentiment, or some word in the connection, where we use so with the infin., or as with the indic. or potential mode, the Greek, chiefly after verbs signifying to say, to hear, to conjecture, to judge, to seem, to remember, uses the infin., generally with $\dot{\omega}$ before it. This $\dot{\omega}$ properly refers to oũr (generally omitted), so that the full sense is, so to speak (judge, etc.) as some one (the speaker) does; as,


 $\mu^{\prime} \nu($ as $I$ conjecture), $\dot{\eta} \delta u ́ s$.
16. In like manner єivau (in Herodotus with $\dot{\omega} s$ ) often stands independent of the rest of the sentence and apparently without much meaning, after $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$ (mostly in affirmative sentences),
 $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi a \nu$, etc. Also $\delta \epsilon i \nu$ (sometimes with $\omega s$, rarely $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ) with

 $\pi \circ \stackrel{\eta}{\sigma} \sigma a, \tau \dot{o} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \in \rho \circ \nu \in \hat{i} \nu a \iota$ (for the day, for the present)

 omitted, leaving the gen. alone.
17. The infin. also (with an acc. when its subject is different from that of the governing verb) is used after $\pi \rho i \nu$ or the less common $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu \eta$ $\eta$; as, $\dot{\eta} \gamma v \nu \grave{\eta} \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \phi \rho o v ́ \delta \eta, \pi \rho i ̀ \nu \in i \pi \in i ̀ \nu$


Rem. 6. For the cases in which the indic. or the subj. and optat. are used with $\pi \rho i \nu$, see $\S \S 67,6 ; 68,6 ; 69$, I. 2.
18. When the discourse of another is related by the acc. with the infin. and not by ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$ and a finite verb, the infin. construction is often continued into the subordinate sentences
after relative words and temporal and conditional conjunc-



19. The infinitive often expresses the substance of a command, a request, or $a$ wonder (in this case usually taking the article before it), without any particular verb of commanding, asking, or wondering upon which it depends (called the imperative use of the inf.) ; as, $\theta a \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu, \Delta \iota o ́ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \varsigma, \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \grave{\imath}$ T $\rho \dot{\omega} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ $\mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ (taking courage .... to fight =fight); $\theta$ єò̀ $\pi$ олîтaи,

 man should riot in insolence, should breathe freely !).

Rem. 7. For the use of ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ with the infin. see $\oint 73$.

## § 71. The Participle.

1. The participle, which is' much more used in Greek than in English, has both a dependent and an independent construction, - dependent, when it agrees with either the subject or object of the sentence in gender, number, and case ; independent, when it agrees with some noun in the gen. (genitive absolute), or stands in the acc. neuter (acc. absolute), either alone or with a neuter adjective, in both cases independent of the rest of the sentence in which it occurs.
2. The dependent participle expresses either an objective relation or an attributive relation, - objective, where it is equivalent to the infin., or a subordinate sentence intro: duced by that, in our language ; attributive, where it merely describes or defines the subject or object of a sentence like an adjective or a noun in apposition, except that it retains the idea of time.
I. The objective dependent participle is used either invariably, or mostly, or often, after the following verbs: -
1) To happen, to appear, to be evident, to show, to prove, to conceal, to anticipate, $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \phi a i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$, фavєคò єivau, $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$
 $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda_{0 s} \epsilon \hat{i}$ катаф $\rho \circ \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ uov (you are evident despising me, or as we say, it is evident that you despise me).
2) To begin, to cease, to leave, to bear, to endure, to continue, to labor, to become weary of, to give up, ä $\rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a u$, $\pi a v{ }^{\prime} \epsilon-$

 $\mu \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{s}$ оiктєipav (I could never cease pitying, or to pity).

Rem. 1. Some verbs of these two classes, as $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\prime} \nu \in \iota \nu, \phi \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota$, $\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$, $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \nu$, $\delta \iota a \gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\delta \iota a ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, when followed by a participle, may generally be best rendered into English by the corresponding adverb, and the participle, as though it were a finite verb in the same tense; as, "̈ $\lambda a \theta \epsilon \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \omega \nu$ rò̀ $\phi o \nu^{\prime}$ áa, he was unconsciously nourishing his murderer (literally, nourishing his murderer, he knew it not).
3) To rejoice, to be pleased, to be vexed, to be indignant,



4) To see, to overlook, to allow to take place, to perceive, to remember, to learn, to hear, to know, to be conscious of, to

 etc.; as, oủk $\epsilon \not \epsilon a ́ \nu \theta a \nu o \nu \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \omega \nu \delta \hat{v}$ äta (he did not know that he was cherishing).

Rem. 2. Many of the above classes of verbs take the infin. also (see $\oint 70,1,3$ ), but with a somewhat different meaning. The participle expresses simply a condition of the subject or object of a verb, whereas the infin. expresses the object itself of a verb; as, aioxívoцaı
 тav̂тa $\lambda^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \nu(I$ am ashamed to speak $=$ at the speaking of $)$. And so in other cases. For such of these verbs as are used with ö ${ }^{\circ} \iota$ or ${ }^{\circ} s$, see Sec. V. The part. in this relation is used with $\omega$ s but rarely, and
 assured that I know nothing of what you relate (lit. regard me as knowing).
II. The dependent participle is used, also:-

1) As an attributive which depends upon or qualifies a subject or object like a noun in apposition. This, too, can rarely be translated by a part., but generally either by a relative, temporal, conditional, causal, or final sentence. But often the idea of time, condition, cause, end, is expressed by particles

 (who was it, I should like to know, who spoke these words?);


 der).
2) With the particle $\dot{\text { s }}$ in the sense with the impression, conviction that, under the pretext, appearance that, and (with the fut. part.) with the purpose, particularly with the fut. part.


 as if they were going to fight).
3) In dialogue, when one inquires the ground or explanation of something which the other has just stated, where we more commonly use an independent sentence; thus, A. ó $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \mu \grave{s}$
 killed him. B. In the commission of what crime did he detect him?).
III. We come now to the independent participle, which is either in the gen. or acc., corresponding to the Latin ablative absolute, and, like the dependent participle, expresses the relations of time, condition, cause, etc., which ideas are also more emphatically expressed sometimes by the addition of certain particles, particularly $\omega$ s.
4) The genitive absolute, consisting of a part. joined to a noun in the gen., is used with $\dot{\omega}$ to denote that the idea expressed by it is to be regarded as the substance of some conception, opinion, or conviction, implied in the connection; as,
 will tell the truth). ' $\Omega$ s is also sometimes used thus, even when

 The part. here expresses what is called the conceived or assumed ground.

Rem. 3. The noun belonging to the part. is sometimes omitted, when it may be easily supplied from the connection, leaving the part.

2) The accusative absolute consists of a neuter singular
 $\mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} o \nu, \delta o \kappa o \hat{v} \nu, \delta o ́ \xi a \nu$, or the participles of passive verbs used im-
 impersonal expressions formed from a neuter adjective with the part. of $\epsilon i \mu i$, e. g. ádívarov, aí $\chi \rho o \grave{\nu}$ ơ $\nu$, and may generally be rendered into English by a temporal or causal sentence having the same time as the finite verb which it stands connected


 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\eta} \sigma \circ \nu$ ( $j$ ust as if there were still a necessity of battle).

Rem. 4. The dat. of the participle agreeing with a noun sometimes appears to be independent, and may be conveniently rendered so into English, but the noun always refers to a person interested in the action more or less remotely, and hence cannot be absolute, but expresses the ordinary relation of the dat. to a verb; as, $\dot{a} \pi o \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau \iota$ $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\epsilon}{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota \Pi \rho \circ \mu \eta \theta \in \dot{\prime} s$ (while he was perplexed, in his perplexity).

Rem. 5. For the use of $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$ with the part. see $\oint 73,5$.

## § 72. The Imperative.

As the Greek imperative does not differ materially from the imperative in English, we simply add here : -

1. That the negative particle for the imperat. is $\mu \eta^{\prime}, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon^{\prime}$, $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i s($ not ov̉, etc.) ; as, $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu o \iota a \dot{a} \tau \tau i \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$.
2. That a negative command or prohibition cannot be expressed by the imperat. of the aor., but either by the imperat.
of the pres. or the subj. of the aorist (see $\oint 68,3,3$ ) ; as,
 ё́tı Tрoias.
3. That the Greek expresses a command not merely by the imperat., but also sometimes by the opt. with ${ }^{*} \nu$ (see $§ 69$, II. 2), and sometimes by different kinds of questions, and occasionally by the infin. (see $\$ 70,19$ ).
4. A command is expressed in the way of a question:-
1) By the fut. indic., sometimes with and sometimes with-
 look to it immediately).
2) By ov with the indic. of the pres. or aorist; as, $\tau i$ ov̉

3) By ov̉ and the optative with $\stackrel{a}{\nu}$; as, oủk à̀ $\nu \phi \dot{a} \nu$ ous $\lambda \epsilon \in$ $\gamma \omega \nu$ (would you not speak first? = speak first).
4) By the deliberative subjunctive (see $\$ 68,3,1$ ).
5) A prohibition is expressed in the way of a question by $\mu \eta$ or ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the fut. indic.; as, $\mu \eta$ خ̀ $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ тои̂тo (you will not do this, will you? = do it not) ; ov $\mu \dot{\eta} \cdot \lambda a \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \iota s$ (will you not cease talking? = cease talking). But without a question, also, ov̉ $\mu \eta$ with the subj. expresses a prohibition ; as, ov $\mu \eta \gamma^{\prime} \nu_{\eta} \tau a \iota ~ \tau о \hat{u} \tau о$ (let this not take place).

Rem. In dependent questions after oî $\theta a$ (also in other dependent sentences) the imperative seems to stand for the future, or $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ with the infin.; as, oīo $\theta^{\prime}$ oủv ồ $\delta \rho a ̂ \sigma o \nu$; (knowest thou what thou shouldst do?).

## § 73. The different Forms of the Verb with the Particle ä้.

The particle $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$, which expresses a presumption or probability of an action, may be used with all the modes of the verb, except the imperat., but not in all the tenses. It is used: -

1. With the subjunctive of all tenses in relative, temporal, and conditional sentences ; but it is sometimes omitted in each of these classes of sentences, especially by the poets,
where the sense would admit of its being used (see $\oint 68$, R. 4). It is occasionally used with the subj. in sentences denoting the end or purpose.
2. With the optative of all times (except the future) in both independent and dependent sentences, in which the optat. has the same function as the subj., except that it follows an historical tense. But it never stands with the optative where that stands in a closely dependent relation (see $\oint 69$, R. 1) upon the principal clause, nor after another optative. Hence it is not used in the protasis of conditional sentences, nor in sentences expressing a wish (see examples under Sections III. and IV.).
3. With the indic. of the historical tenses (never of the principal tenses) in order to express the conceived possibility of an action. Here there are two cases.
1) In the apodosis of conditional sentences, when the indic. of an historical tense stands in both the protasis and apodosis (i. e. the clause containing the condition, and that containing the consequence of the condition, or what is based upon it). In sentences of this kind the protasis, if in the imperf., should be translated into English by the imperf. subj. or potential, and if in the aor., by the pluperf., while the apodosis should be translated, in the first case, by the imperf., and in the second, by the pluperf. potential ; as, єi тav̂тa oûtcs $\epsilon \hat{i} \chi \epsilon$, $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \mu \circ v \nu$ à̀ $\tau v p a \nu \nu \epsilon i \nu \nu$ (if this were so, all would
 $\mu \eta \sigma a \nu \vec{a} \nu$ тvpav $\bar{\epsilon} i \nu$ (if these things had been so, all would have desired to be king). Here it will be seen that the reality both of the condition and its consequence is denied, since the condition on which the whole depends is merely assumed as something which might have been, but was not.
2) In this case, too, which is not so common as the preceding, ä $\nu$ is used with the indic. of an historical tense (chiefly the imperf.) in the apodosis of a conditional sentence, but after an optat. in the protasis. Here the condition is ex-
pressed as possible (not unreal), while the consequence is expressed in a modest way as a possibility instead of a reality. We generally render the verb with $\nsim \nu$ by would, wont, accustomed, and the like. The condition in this, as well as in the previous case, is sometimes expressed by a part. or conjunc-
 $\pi \rho o \delta \rho a \mu o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \sigma a \nu$ (if any one might pursue . . . would stop, were in the habit of stopping).
4. With the infinitive of all the tenses, except the future, in order to express the action as a conceived possibility. Here there are two cases to be considered, according as the sentence containing the infin. with $\ddot{a} \nu$ stands unconnected with another sentence, or as it is connected with a conditional sentence either expressed or implied.
1) When the infinitive sentence stands independent of a conditional sentence. Here the infin. with $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$, whether of the pres. or aor., corresponds to the optat. with ${ }_{a} \nu \nu$ in the like relation, and always refers to the present; as, $\nu о \mu i \zeta \omega$ тоṽто $\mathfrak{a} \nu$ $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (or $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ), I think this would happen, $=\gamma$ ' $\gamma \nu 0 \iota \tau \circ$ (or $\gamma$ '́vouto) à̀ $\tau о \hat{\tau} \tau 0$, ©́s $\nu о \mu i \zeta \omega$. But it may often be translated by the future.
2) Where the infin. sentence is connected with a conditional sentence. Here, if the conditional sentence has the opt., the infin. expresses the same idea as the optative would in its place, and hence the same as in the preceding case ; but if the conditional clause has the indic. of an historical tense, then the infin. stands where the indic. of an historical tense would be used in direct discourse, - the infin. pres. for the imperf. indic., and the infin. aor. for the aor. indic., and



 happened).
5. With the participle of all the tenses, except the fut.,
and may be used, as with the infin., in all cases where the participle, if resolved, would form a sentence which would take $\not{a} \nu$ either with the optative or the indic. of an historical





Rem. 1. For $\dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{S}$, which is often found with the participle with ${ }^{\prime} \nu$, see § 71.
6. With reference to the position of $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$, it should be observed: a) that it is never the first word in its sentence (except sometimes in short parenthetical clauses); b) that when it follows its verb it generally stands immediately after it, but when it precedes it, as it always does the subj., it is often widely separated from it; c) that it generally comes immediately after conjunctions, relative pronouns, negatives, and adverbs which express or imply a conjecture (as $\pi \hat{\omega} s$, âpa, etc.), and also many other adverbs which turn or modify the sense.
7. The particle $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$ is often found twice (and sometimes more than twice) in the same sentence, especially with the optative : a) When its power is to be extended, not only to the verb, but also to some other word in the sentence (mostly pronouns, adverbs, and negatives, so that oúk. ä ${ }^{2}$, for instance, has the meaning of the Latin haud ; as, o $\dot{v} \kappa \not{a} \nu \nu \gamma v \nu a \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu \eta \eta_{\sigma \sigma o \nu \epsilon s}$ $\kappa а \lambda о{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$, not surely should we be called). b) When it is separated too far from its verb, it is repeated immediately after
 c) But ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}} \nu$ is often found with a relative or conjunction without its verb, when it may be readily supplied from the context;


Rem. 2. In the Epic language $\kappa^{\prime} \notin{ }^{\prime}$ or ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{\nu} \nu$ is used for ${ }^{\prime} \nu$, and in the Lyric language, the Doric $\kappa \dot{a}, ~ \kappa a ́ \nu$. But Homer sometimes uses ä $\nu$ for $\kappa^{\prime} \nu$, and sometimes, to make the contingent idea stronger, uses them both together.

# SECTION III. 

CONDITIONAL SENTENCES.
 a long $a$ ) is the conditional particle, and is connected with the hypothetical clause (usually standing first, and hence called the protasis) of a compound conditional sentence. The simple $\epsilon i$ stands with the indic. or opt., but rarely, and only by certain writers, with the subj.; with which mode $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu, \vec{\eta} \nu, \stackrel{a}{ } \nu$ is regularly used, and with no other.

## § 74. The Indicative in Conditional Sentences.

1. As the English often uses if with the indic. of all the tenses, so the Greek uses $\epsilon i$ with the indic. of all the tenses when a case is to be assumed as a fact for the sake of the conclusion to be drawn from it, whether it really be a fact or
 what is base - as is assumed - they are no gods); $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\xi} \eta ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$
 (if he wished to consider - as he pretended to - the best interest of the state).

Rem. Here, in expressing unfavorable feelings or judgments, $\epsilon i$, as implying less positiveness, and hence as a politer expression,
 pened, instead of that they have happened).
2. But where $\epsilon i$ stands with the indic. of an historical tense, while the principal clause has $\not{a} \nu \nu$ with the indic. of an historical tense also (which historical tense, in both cases, is generally the imperf. or aor.), the existence of the condition and the admissibility of the consequence are both denied, as is done by our imperf. and pluperf. potential, as has been already stated. See $\$ 73,3,1$.
3. But it should be stated further : -

1) The Greek often uses the imperf. with $\stackrel{a}{a} \nu$, partly in conditional sentences and partly in principal sentences, where we use the pluperf. potential, when the object is to indicate the continuance of a past action in the assumed point of
 lit. was not likely to rebel), $\epsilon i$ ä $\lambda \lambda o \nu \in i \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$.
2) Of course, different tenses may be used in the different members of the compound sentence, according to the sense, as is seen in the above example. So, too, the modes sometimes vary from their usual order of sequence, in order to exhibit the idea in the different clauses in different lights, so that an opt., sometimes in the protasis and sometimes in the apodosis, is not unfrequently found connected with a subj. or the indic. both of a principal and an historical tense ; as, $\epsilon i$ тоѝто $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota s$, d́ $\mu a \rho \tau a ́ \nu o \iota s$ ä (if you say this, you would err).
3) The condition is often expressed by a participle, by a noun with a preposition, or by a particle; and sometimes the conditional clause is not expressed, where it is readily supplied from the connection; as, тóтє $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ à $\nu \theta a \nu \grave{\omega} \nu$ (i. e. $\epsilon i$




## § 75. The Subjunctive in Conditional Sentences.

We have here merely to repeat what has already been stated ( $\$ 68,7$ and 8 ), that the subj. with ${ }^{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu, \eta \eta \nu, a ̈ \nu$ (rarely with $\epsilon i$ ) is used in conditional sentences only when it refers to a probable future event, and stands connected with a principal clause referring either to the present or future ; as, kai $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \rho$ ',
 $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau о и ิ \tau o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu \delta \delta \rho a . ~$

Rem. But $\epsilon i$ with the fut. indic. has nothing of the idea of mere probability in it, but is simply an assumed future (if it shall be so, as I assume it will be).

## § 76. The Optative in Conditional Sentences.

In addition to what has already been said on the use of the opt. in conditional sentences (see $\oint 69,2$ ) it may here be added : -

1) That when the opt. with äy stands in the apodosis, and the opt. with $\epsilon i$ in the protasis, both the condition and the consequence are represented as possible, and not, as in the indic. of the historical tenses with $\epsilon i$ and $a ̈ \nu$, as contrary to
 mapeins, this would take place, as I think, if you should be present.
2) As the Greek, in its delicate mode of representation, often expresses positive convictions or facts as mere possibilities by the optative, so it often expresses in the same way, as possible, mere conceptions of the imagination; as, $\phi$ ai $\eta^{\eta}$ à $\dot{\eta} \theta a \nu o \hat{v} \sigma a ́ \gamma$ ', $\mathfrak{i} \phi \omega \nu \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda a ́ \beta o \iota$, even the dead would speak, if she could assume her voice.

Rem. Whether the optative with $\epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ and $a \not \partial \nu$ should be used, or the indic. of the historical tenses with $\epsilon i$ and $a \stackrel{a}{ } \nu$, depends upon whether the case is viewed as possible or impossible.

## SECTION IV.

## § 77. Sentences expressing a Wish.

1. There are the four following ways of expressing a wish: a) by the simple optative (rarely the subj.) ; b) by the indic. of an historical tense ; c) by $\omega \phi \epsilon \lambda o \nu$ with an infinitive; in which three cases the sentence is generally introduced by
 optative, in a question.
2. The first two cases are nothing but conditional sentences, without the principal clause expressed. The wish is expressed by the opt., as in conditional sentences, when the speaker regards the realization of the wish as possible; by the indic. of an historical tense, when he regards it as impossible, - the imperf. when as a present impossibility, the aor. when a past impossibility; as, $\widehat{\omega} \delta \dot{v} \sigma \pi \sigma \tau \mu^{\prime}, \epsilon_{\imath}^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \mu \eta \pi о \tau \epsilon$ $\gamma \nu o i \eta s$, ôs $\epsilon \hat{i}$ ( $O$ that I had never known who thou art!); $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta a$ ovvacòs (would that you were able, as you are not)
 would that I had then known you.
3. The verb $\ddot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda o \nu$ with an infin. is used (especially in poetry) to express a wish which cannot or has not been fulfilled (i. e. either present or past), and the infin. is sometimes omitted. The negative here is $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (not ov'); as, $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi o \tau^{\prime}$ ${ }_{\omega}{ }^{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \circ \nu \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ т $\grave{\nu} \Sigma \kappa \hat{v} \rho o \nu$, would that I had never have left, lit. I never ought to have left.
4. For the expression of a wish whose fulfilment is regarded as possible, by the opt. with ${ }_{a}^{a} \nu$, see $\S 69$, II. 2.

## SECTION V.

## FINAL SENTENCES.

Note. The conjunctions (originally relative adverbs) which are
 the negative forms ìva $\mu \dot{\eta}$, $\dot{\omega} \mu \eta^{\prime},{ }_{o} \pi \omega \omega \rho{ }^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$, and sometimes merely $\mu \eta^{\prime}$. These sentences express the end or purpose for which that is done which is expressed by the principal sentence. The predicate of such sentences is most commonly in the subj. or opt. ; rarely in the indic. of an historical tense, and very seldom in the fut. indic.

## § 78. The Subjunctive and Optative in Final Sentences.

1. After a principal tense, or one which has the meaning of a principal tense, the subj. is always used, except in a very few cases, where the fut. indic. is used (see $\oint 67,4$ ) ; but after an historical tense, or one which has the meaning of an historical tense, sometimes the optative, and sometimes the subjunctive is used, - the optative, when the coincidence of the beginning of the purpose with the action of the principal sentence is to be indicated; the subjunctive, when the reference is not to the time of the beginning of the purpose, but to its existence, and it is to be distinctly indicated that the end has not been attained, but is still in view ; as, $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ av̂ $\theta \iota s$, $\dot{\omega} s \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$


kavoєv, ï $a \operatorname{\mu \grave {\eta }} \mathrm{~K} \hat{v} \rho o s \delta \iota a \beta \hat{\eta}$, that he may not pass, i. e. when he has reached it.

Rem. Since the Latin always uses the pres. subj. after a principal tense, and the imperf. subj. after an historical tense, in final sentences, it is evident that the Romans constructed such sentences only with reference to the time of the beginning of the purpose, and not of its continuance.
2. It has already been stated $(\$ 68,4)$ that ä may be used with final sentences, but it is rarely used with $\tilde{\imath} \nu a$ or the sim-


## § 79. The Future Indicative in Final Sentences.

This occurs (and very rarely too) only after ö $\pi \omega \omega$ ( $\dot{\omega}$ ) or ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega \omega s{ }^{\prime}$ (see $\oint 67,4$ ), and differs from the subj. or opt. in sense only by expressing less uncertainty ; as, $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \dot{v}, \mu \grave{\eta}$
 (how you shall not, that you shall not).

Rem. The particle ôt $\pi \omega$ s sometimes refers to some such word as öpa, ópâtє (see to it), to be supplied, when it is called the hortatory ö $\pi \omega$.
§ 80. Indicative of Historical Tenses in Final Sentences.
After the indic. of an historical tense in the principal sentence, the indic. of an historical tense is also used in the final sentence, when a purpose is to be expressed which has not been accomplished or cannot be accomplished. In this case ìva, $\oplus s$, ön $\pi \omega s$ may be best rendered by in which case, in what way, etc.; as, oủkoûv ধ̇ $\chi \rho \eta \hat{\nu} \sigma \epsilon \Pi \eta \gamma a ́ \sigma o v ~ \zeta \epsilon \hat{v} \xi a \iota ~ \pi \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v, ~ o ̈ \pi \omega s$
 wing of Pegasus, in which case thou wouldst have appeared more tragical to the gods).

Rem. Final sentences are properly objective sentences, like those introduced by ơ $\tau \iota$ and $\dot{\omega}$ (see $\S 69,3$ ).

## CHAPTER V.

## PARTICLES.

## § 81. Adverbs.

1. Adverbs of place, time, and manner limit or qualify verbs, as adjectives do nouns; as, mo入入áкıs ̇̇ $\theta a v ́ \mu a \sigma a, ~ I ~ h a v e ~$ often wondered; $\epsilon \mathcal{̉} \lambda^{\lambda} \hat{\gamma} \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$, you say well.

Rem. 1. For the classification and endings of adverbs, see $\$ 42$.
2. Adverbs of intensity limit or qualify adjectives and other adverbs; as, $\pi a ́ \nu v ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ́, ~ v e r y ~ m a n y ; ~ f a ̊ o i ́ \omega s ~ \pi a ́ v v, ~ v e r y ~$ easily.
3. Modal adverbs do not so much limit any word in a sentence, as modify the whole thought; since they exhibit it either as affirmative or negative, as certain or doubtful, or as of an interrogatory character.
4. The affirmative adverb is vai, and the negative ov (oúк, oúx, oúxi) or $\mu \dot{\eta}$, - the former being the direct and absolute negative, and the latter only a conditional and contingent negative, and hence generally used with the subj., opt., imperat., infin. (especially with the article), part. (particularly if resolvable into a conditional clause), and in all other cases where the action or quality to be denied is not conceived as a

 $\phi \epsilon \epsilon s$, if you do not write; $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \omega \nu$, if one does not believe. (but ov̉ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \nu$, one who does not believe) ; $\tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\eta} \phi \epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (the article giving a subjective character to the infin., and hence requiring $\mu \eta$ ).

Rem. 2. There is the same difference between the negative adverbs and pronouns compounded with these two negatives; as, ovoó

5. Two negatives in Greek, belonging to the same verb, strengthen the negation. This occurs especially in the following cases : -

1) A negative and a negative pronoun; as, oủ oưbeis, $\mu$ '̀

2) Verbs of a negative import, or made so by an accompanying negative, or implying fear, doubt, regularly take $\mu$ ' (and sometimes $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov̉) before a following infin. (and sometimes in a clause introduced by ö $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$ and $\dot{\omega} s$ ); as, à àapvov̂ $\mu a \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ '̇ $\pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ I ~ d e n y ~ t h a t ~ I ~ k n o w . ~$
3) Verbs of a negative import preceded by a negative, or implying another negative (as in questions), regularly take $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ov with the following infin. or part., making a double double negative; as, ov̉к àmapvov̂ $\mu a \iota ~ \mu \grave{~}$ ov̉к $\mathfrak{\epsilon ̇ \pi i \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota , ~ I ~ d o ~ n o t ~ d e n y ~}$ that I know.

Rem. 3. With a verb in the indic., subj., or opt. after verbs denoting fear, anxiety, or with such a verb understood, oủ $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ mean not that (as, I do not fear that), and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ou, that not or lest not (as, I fear that he may not) ; while the simple $\mu$ ' means whether not (as, I fear whether he has not); thus, ov $\mu \grave{\eta} \lambda a \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s, I$ shall not apprehend that
 ס́́́ooкка $\mu \grave{\eta}$ àmoӨáv $\eta$, I fear whether he may not be dead.
6. Adverbs denoting certainty or uncertainty (mostly the former) are $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ( $\delta a i$ ), $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$ (now, surely, as is well
 forsooth, I dare say, mostly ironical) ; $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ( $\mu a ́ \nu$ ), $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, тоi, ${ }^{\prime}$ º七 (truly, indeed) ; $\pi$ ' $\rho$, entirely, precisely ; $\gamma^{\prime}$, indeed, for one, myself.

Rem. 4. The particles $\pi \epsilon \rho$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon$ are often mere suffixes (see §28), but retain the same meaning as when they are not joined on to their word; roi is an old form of the possessive pronoun of the second person (instead of $\sigma o i$ ), but is generally a mere intensive particle, and may be rendered by indeed, certainly, you know, sir, etc.
7. The single interrogative adverbs are $\hat{\eta}$ ( $\bar{\eta} \pi \circ v$ ), ov่, oủkov̂v (implying a belief in the affirmative of the question, and hence soliciting an affirmative answer) ; $\mu \omega \nu, \mu \eta$ (implying a belief in the negative); $\hat{a}^{\rho} \rho a$ (denoting doubt), âa ov (implying an affirmative belief), and $\mathfrak{a} \rho a \mu \dot{\prime}$ (negative).
 . . . . $\eta^{\prime}$, âpa . . . ${ }^{\eta}$ ’ (whether . . . . or) , $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$. . . . $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}$ (whether not . . . .
 than that? is there? does there? nonne?).

Rem. 5. For the correlative interrogative words, both pronouns and adverbs, both direct and indirect, see $\$ 27$.

Rem. 6. Of the above modal adverbs, the following are regularly placed after one or more words in their sentence: ${ }_{a} \nu, a \not p a, a \hat{v}, \delta a i$,
 $\pi o v ́, \pi \dot{\omega} s, \pi o \tau \epsilon \in, \pi \dot{\omega}$. Also the conjunctions $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}, \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, \mu^{\prime} \nu, \mu \in ́ \nu \tau o \iota$, тoívuv, $\tau \in ́, ~ o u ̉ \nu, ~ \gamma o u ̂ \nu, ~ v u ́ \nu . ~$

## § 82. Prepositions.

Note. For the meaning and usage of prepositions with the different cases, see $§ 43$.

1. As the prepositions were originally adverbs, in the earlier forms of the language, as in Homer and Herodotus (but rarely in the Attic, and almost wholly in poetry), the preposition is very commonly separated from its verbs, and merely
 ward off destruction).

Rem. 1. While thus, in the early language, a preposition may be
 Xapád $\rho \eta \nu$ ), in the later language we sometimes find a verb, noun, or adjective repeated without its preposition; as, $\sigma v \mu \pi \sigma \nu \epsilon i \nu ~ к a i ̀ ~ \phi ' \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau a ̀ s ~$ $\sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho a ́ s$, to labor and bear together the calamities.
2. As motion implies a subsequent state of rest, and rest a previous motion, the construction (generally being of the intensive or pregnant character) is often adapted to this antecedent or subsequent state, rather than to the principal idea; a preposition with the dat. being used for a preposition with the acc. (especially $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ for $\epsilon i s$ ), or the reverse, or $\dot{a} \pi \delta^{\prime}, \epsilon \in$, or $\pi a \rho a ́$ with the gen., instead of $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ or $\pi a \rho a$ with the dat. ; as, $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$
 סpoo (the acc. instead of the dat.) ; oi $\dot{\epsilon} k \tau \hat{\eta} s$ à $\gamma o \rho a \hat{s}$ àté$\phi u \gamma o \nu$ (instead of oi $\epsilon\rangle \tau \eta \hat{\eta}$ ả $\gamma o p a ̂)$, those in the market-place fled
 $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath})$, whoever of those with the king came. In the same manner $\dot{a} \pi \dot{c}_{o}$ and $\dot{\epsilon} k$ are used for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i ́ t$ with verbs of hanging;
the idea hanging from being expressed, instead of hanging on.

Rem. 2. The same species of attraction occurs, also, in adverbs; the forms denoting whither or whence being used in place of those denoting where, and the reverse.
3. Several nouns having a common dependence upon a preposition take it severally, when they are independent of, or contrasted with, each other, but only before the first, when they are regarded as expressing a combined whole; as, kai
 is omitted before $\xi^{\xi} \dot{\nu} o u s$, because a mere appendage of $\phi i \lambda o u s$, but repeated before $\delta i a u \tau \eta \nu$, because expressing a new and independent idea).
Rem. 3. A preposition which would regularly be repeated before a relative pronoun, or in the second member of comparative sentences, after $\dot{\omega} s, \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho, \vec{\eta}$, or before a word in the questions and answers of


Rem. 4. On the contrary, in poetry (especially Epic poetry), two prepositions are sometimes found together; as, $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́, ~ a ̀ ~ a ̀ \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \rho i$
 connection with $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu \in \kappa a, \chi$ д́pıv.

Rem. 5. A preposition, also, is sometimes used superfluously before a case which would regularly be governed by another word; as,
 a friend above his country.
4. The preposition, which regularly stands immediately before the noun with its accompanying attribute, is sometimes separated from it by particles (such as $\gamma^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime} \nu, \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, oủv, etc.) or oijaı; and is sometimes placed after it (but not in Attic prose, except $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ), in which case the accent is drawn from the last to the first syllable (anastrophe, §7,7).

Rem. 6. Prepositions have the accent drawn to the first syllable, also, when they seem to be used as compounds with cival, to be; as,
 $\pi \epsilon \in \rho \iota, \tilde{v} \pi 0,{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu L$. But strictly, in such cases some form of $\epsilon^{i} \nu a \iota$ is understood, and the prepositions have an adverbial meaning.
5. When the idea of the preposition in a compound verb is prominent and somewhat distinct, it may take the same case
as when it stands alone ; as, $\epsilon i \sigma \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$, or $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$, 1 entered upon the charge or into the action; кaтךүорєiv. $\sigma$ ô, to bring a charge against you; '่̇ $\pi \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \nu$ тoúte, to give attention
 having been carried across the Leucadian isthmus.

Note. Conjunctions and interjections require no further treatment than they have already received. See § 44.

## AP PE ND IX.

## VERSIFICATION.

Note. Only a few of the more common metres are here introduce, and such as occur in continuous narrative, or at least in a continuous series of lines, in the Greek poets more commonly read in our colleges. For the less common and more complicated metres, see Mink's Greek and Roman Metres. For the quantity of syllables, see § 5 .

## I. DACTYLIC HEXAMETER, OR HEROIC VERSE.

1. A line in this measure consists of six feet, which may be either spondees (consisting of two long syllables, - -) or dactyles (consisting of a long followed by two short syllables, - - ${ }^{-}$); but the last foot but one is generally a dactyle, and the last, regularly a spondee (the last syllable, however, being sometimes short).

Rem. The metrical accent in this measure is on the first syllable of each foot (called the arsis, the remainder of the foot being called the thesis) ; and the casura (or pause at the end of some word near the middle of the line, which divides a foot) is generally in the third foot, but sometimes in the fourth.
2. The rule for the cæsura, then, in this measure, is, to make a pause at the end of the first word which divides a foot, after the completion of the second foot (but if there be no such division, there is no cæsura). Thus:-



3. In scanning a line of poetry (whether hexameter or any other kind), regard the feet rather than the words, observing the metrical and not the word-accent, and paying no attention to the end of the words, except at the cæsura, and the end of the line. Thus:-



## II. IAMBIC TRIMETER.

1. A line in this measure consists of six feet, of which the fundamental foot is the iambus ( ${ }^{-}$), but admitting in certain places either the dactyle, the spondee, the tribrach ( $\sim^{-}$), or the anapœst ( -- , the reverse of the dactyle).

Rem. 1. Here, also, as in the hexameter and most other metres, the last syllable in a line need not be of the quantity required by the character of the closing foot, but may be long when that requires a short syllable, and the reverse.
2. The tribrach may be used instead of the iambus in any of the six places or measures, the spondee in the odd places (first, third, and fifth), the dactyle in the first and third, and the anapast chiefly in the first place (except in proper names, when it is admissible in any place except the last).

Rem. 2. This is the form of the measure in the Tragedians, which is the only form with which we are here concerned.

Rem. 3. This verse is called trimeter, because a metre or measure is considered as consisting of two feet (a dipody), and hence, while it has six feet, it has but three measures. It is sometimes called acatalectic (complete), to distinguish it from that which wants the last syllable (catalectic).
3. In scanning this verse, place the principal accent on the last syllable of the odd feet (i. e. of the first foot of each dipody) and a slight accent on the last syllable of the even feet (the first of which may be represented by the acute accent and the second by the grave), and make a pause for the cæsura at the end of the first word which divides a foot after completing the second foot, or the first dipody. Thus : -

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Патєрá } \epsilon \epsilon \rho a i{ }^{-} a \nu-\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau i k \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho a ̀ .
\end{aligned}
$$

III. ANAPESTIC DIMETER (ACATALECTIC).

1. This verse consists of four feet, or two measures, in which the anapæst is the fundamental foot, but admits either a dactyle (but not before an anapæst in the same dipody) or a spondee in its place.
2. This verse occurs in systems, at the beginning, or end, or in the middle of the choruses of the Greek Tragedies, interspersed with lines of but two feet (monometers), and closing with a line having three feet and a syllable over (parcmiac).
3. In scanning this verse, place the principal accent, as in the iambic dimeter, on the last syllable of the first foot of each metre, with a slight accent on the second, and make the cæsural pause generally at the end of the first dipody (which is more properly a diaresis than a cæsura), but sometimes after the first syllable of the second dipody. Thus:-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N} \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \delta \phi \rho v \grave{\omega} \nu|\mid v \pi \epsilon \rho a i \mu a \tau о є ̀ \nu \\
& \mathrm{P} \in \text { Oosaío } \chi \nu \nu \epsilon i
\end{aligned}
$$

Rem. 1. The above is a short anapæstic system from the Antigone of Sophocles (526-530). The monometer has no cæsural pause, nor has the concluding line (parcemiac).

Rem. 2. In this kind of verse the last syllable has no license of being long or short contrary to the requirements of its foot, but its quantity is affected by the consonant or consonants which commence the first word of the next line, as in other cases of position.

Rem. 3. Sometimes, even in the Tragedians, we find several parœmiac lines in a system, besides a freer use of dactyles and spondees than is allowed in the regular systems. See Soph. Elect. 186-193.

Note. In the Homeric hexameter, $\epsilon$ (and occasionally $a$, $o, \iota$, and $v$ ) before a vowel or diphthong in the same word is often pronounced with this vowel in one syllable (as, $\Pi \eta \lambda \eta \ddot{i} a \delta \epsilon \omega$ ), or the final vowel of one word is pronounced in one syllable with the first vowel of the following word (synaresis or synizesis) ; as, ধ่ $\begin{gathered}\text { © } \\ \text {-ou . Synæ- }\end{gathered}$ resis, also, is found, to some extent, in the iambic, anapæstic, and other metres of the Attic dramatists.

## PROF. W. S. TYLER. The Germania and Ayricola of Caius Cornetius

Tucitus. With Notes for Collezes. 12mo. 62 cents.

"We ryelcome the book as a useful addition to the classical literature of our country. It is very correctly and elegantly prepared and printed. Thirteen pages are occupied by a well-written life of Tacitus, in which not merely outward events are narrated, but the character of the historian, both as a man and a writer, is minutely and faithfully drawn. The notes to each of the treatises are introduced by a general critique upon the merits and matter of the work. The body of the notes is drawn up with care, learning, and judgment. Points of style and grammatical constructions, and historical references, are ably illustrated. We have been struck with the elegant precision which marks these notes; they hit the happy medium between the too much of some commentators. and the too little of others."-North American Review.

## PROF. W. S. TYIDR.

## The Histories of Caius Cornelius Tacitus.

## With Notes for Colleges. 12mo. \$1.

"Prefixed to the text is an "Essay on the Style of Tacitus," abridged from Döderlein's Prolegomena. The text itself is founded on Orelli (Zurich, 1S45). The notes occupy about 200 pages, and evince the same scholarship, taste, and skill, which characterized Professor Tyler's edition of the Germany and Agricola. Then follow an Index of persons and places, and an Index to the notes-useful adminicula, which have heretofore seldom found place in American text-books. Altogether, the edition is one of the best specimens of a classical text-book that we have ever seen. It will doubtless take its place at, once in all our colleges."-DFethodist Quarterly Revieno.
"This edition of the 'IIstories of Tacitus' cannot fail, we think, to receire the hearty welcome of all who are interested in classical learning, especially of those who are devoted to Latin studies. Professor Tyler, the editor, has already giren to the public Tacitus's Germania and Life of Agricola, and the manner in which these were prepared was a sufficient guaranty of his success in the work now before us. He has adopted the most approved texts, and has incorporated into the notes and the accompanying essays, grammatical suggestions, and collateral information, which are well fitted not only to aid the youthful student, but to awaken thought and interest in the mind of the maturer scholar."-Providence Journal.

## GESENIUS.

## Hebrew Grammar.

Fourteenth Edition, as revised by Dr. E. Rodiger. Translated by T. J. Conant, Professor of Hebrew in Madison University, N. Y. With the Modifications of the Editions subsequent to the Eleventh, by Dr. Davies, of Stepney College, London. To which are addled, a Course of Exercises in Hebrew Grammar, and a Hebrew Chrestomathy, prepared by the Translator. One vol. 8vo. Price $\$ 2$.
"The profound and philosophical character of this work deserves a far more extended and critical notice than can ve undertaken in the columns of a newspaper. The high reputation of Gesenius as a philologist and grammarian, and the fact that the present is a translation of the fourteenth edition, are the best evidences of the estimate placed on his labors by the savans of Europe, where, indeed, Gesenius has long ranked as the first Hebrew scholar of his time. The American editor in addition to the apparently faithful translation now offered, has also enriched the work by very valuable exercises in the difficulties of the language.
"To those who desire to master a language rich in poetry of the most sublime description, and interesting by the many ligh and holy associations connected with if. we recommend this work with entire confidence. To the student who undertakes the acquisition of the Hebrew tongue, we promise in Mr. Conant's work a sure guide, and an ample reward for his toil.
"The mechanical execution is beautiful."-Richmond Times.

# prof. C. A. JOHNSON. Select Orations of M. Tullius Cicero. 

With Notes, for the Use of Schools and Colleges. 12mo. \$1.


#### Abstract

"I regard Professor Johnson's edition of Cicero's Orations as better adapted for the use of our schools than any other that has appeared in the English language. . The inmense advances of philological science in the last quarter of a century, imperatively demand a class of books for young students, of a higher character than most of those we have hitherto been content to use. An edition of Cicero like Johnson's has long been wanted; and the excellence of the text, the illustrations of words, particles, and pronouns, and the explanation of various points of construction and interpretation, bear witness to the Editor's familiarity with some of the most important results of modern scholarship, and entitle his work to a large share of public favor. "Very respectfully yours, "Thonas Chase, Tutor in Latin in Harvard University. "Cambridge, April 19th, 1851."


## PROF. THOMAS A. THATCHER.

Cicero De Officiis.
With English Notes. Chiefly selected and translated from the Editions of Zumpt and Bonnell. 12mo. 90 cents.


#### Abstract

"I have examined with much pleasure Prof. Thatcher's edition of Cicero de Officils, and am convinced of its excellence. The Notes have been prepared with great care and good judgment. Practical knowledge of the wants of the student has enabled the Editor to furnish just the kind of assistance required; grammatical difficulties are removed, and the obscurities of the treatise are explained; the interest of the learner is elicited, and his industry directed rather than superseded. There can be but one opinion with regard to the merits of the work, and I trust that Professor Thatcher will be disposed to continue his labors so carefully commenced, in this department of classical learning.

> "Professor of Latin Language and Literature in Penn. College."


## PROF. J. A. SPENCER.

## C. Julius Caesar's Commentaries on the Gallic

War. With English Notes, Critical and Explanatory; a Lexicon, Geographical and Historical Indexes, \&c. 12mo. \$1.

[^1]
## PROF. J. L. LINCOLN. <br> Worles of Horace. <br> With English Notes, Critical and Explanatory. 12mo. Maps. \$1 45.


#### Abstract

The text of this edition is chiefly that of Orelli; and the Notes, besides embodying whatever is valuable in the most recent and improved German editions of IIorace, contain the results of the Editor's studies and experience as a College Professor, which he has been gathering and maturing for several years with a view to publication. It has been the aim of both the publishers and the Editor to make this edition in all respects suitable to the wants of $\Delta$ merican schools and colleges.


"I received a short time since a copy of Lincoln's Horace, directed to the Professol" of Latin in Amherst College; and after an examination of it, cannot but express my belief that it is one of the most valuable contributions to classical literature that our age and country have produced. The notes are just what they should be, grammatical, critical, and tasteful; and the impression, particularly of the text, is about the most beautiful Latin page on which my eye ever rested.
"Yours very truly,
"W. S. Tyler.

## PROF. J. I. LINCOLN.

## Titus Livius.

Chiefly from the Text of Alschefski, with English Notes, Grammatical and Explanatory; together with a Geographical and Historical Index. With an accompanying Plan of Rome, and a Map of the Passage of Hannibal. 12mo. \$1.

The publishers believe that, in the edition of Livy, a want is supplied which has been universally felt; there being, previous to this, no American edition furnished with the requisite apparatus for the successful prosecution of the study of this Latin author.

## OPINIONS OF CLASSICAL PROFESSORS.

## From Professor Anderson, of Waterville College.

"A careful examination of several portions of your work has convinced me that, for the use of students, it is altogether superior to any edition of Livy with which I am acquainted. Among its excellencies you will permit me to name the close attention given to particles-to the subjunctive mood-the constant references to the grammars-the discrimination of words nearly synonymous, and the care in giving the localities mentioned in the text. The book will be hereafter used in our college."

From Professor Johnson, of New-York University.
"I can at present only say that your edition pleases me much. I shall give it to oue of my classes next week. I am prepared to find it just what was wanted."

From Professor Kingsley, of Yale College.
"I have not yet been able to read the whole of your work, but have examined it enough to be satisfied that it is judiciously prepared, and well adapted to the purpose intended. We use it for the present year, in connection with the edition that has been ased for several years. Most of the class, however, have procured your edition; and it is probable that next year it will be used by all."

## From Professor Tyler, of Amherst College.

"The notes seem to me to be prepared with much care, learning, and taste; the grammatical illustrations are unusually full, faithful, and able. The book has been used by our Freshman class, and will, I doabt not, come into general use in our oolleges."

From Professor Packard, of Bowdoin College.
"I have recommended your edition to our Freshman Class. I have no doubt that your labors will give a new impulse to the study of this charming classic."

## D. Appleton \& Co.'s Educational Publications.

## PROF. ASHEL C. KENDRICK.

## Greek Ollendorff;

## Being a Progressive Exhibition of the Principles of the Greek Grammar. Designed for beginners in Greek, and as a Book of Exercises for Academies and Colleges. 12 mo . \$1.

"Among the many elementary books published for the use of schools, we have not met with one that has pleased us as much as Professor Kendrick's Greek Ollendorff. It seems exactly fitted for the purpose intended, viz., by instilling into the minds of the young the more simple elementary principles of the language, thus to prepare them for a more extensive and familiar acquaintance with the ancient Greek classics. Its simplicity is perfectly delightful. The unfamiliar character of the letters, and the long course of study required to give a boy even a very imperfect knowledge of the Grammar, have deterred many a beginner from prosecuting the study of Greek, who, with the attractive volume before us, would have taken hold of it at once, and mastered all its difficulties. This is not only the best possible book to be put into the hands of boys, but it seems to us, that any person more advanced in years, and wishing to acquire some knowledge of Greek, even without a teacher, may, by the aid of this volume, accomplish his object.
"The sounds of the letters and diphthongs, and the use of the breathings and accents, are so briefly, yet so familiarly explained, that, although the author recommends that this portion of the work should be deferred by the younger pupils to a second, or a third perusal, yet such a recommendation seems to us to be hardly necessary.
"A knowledge of the Greek Grammar has generally been considered as an indispensable qualification of the pupil before he begins to read Greek. The doing away with the necessity of this at the beginning, is very encouraging to the learner, while at the same time it gives the author an opportunity to introduce gradually, and almost imperceptibly, sufficient grammar to illustrate the examples as they occur; and then, by repeating these examples with variations almost innumerable, the principle is indelibly impressed upon the mind.

In the older Greek books intended for schools, the examples given have been faulty, from their involving too many principles at the beginning. The mind of the pupil cannot comprehend so many things at once; he gets confused, and becomes, after a while, discouraged. Whereas, in the Greek Ollendorff of Professor Kendrick, every principle of the Grammar is introduced by degrees, and only when it is wanted for application. It teaches rules by examples, rather than examples by rules.
"Another advantage of this volume over the older school books of the kind, is the examples of double translation, introduced at the very beginning, and made a part cf the regular daily exercise.
"The book is well printed in good type, and on go id paper, for which the Appletons deserve due credit.
"We conclude by recommending this work to the favorable attention of teachersN. Y. Recorder.

## PROF. JAMES R. BOISE.

## Excreises in Greek Prose Composition.

Adapted to the First Book of Xenophon's Anabasis. One volume 12 mo . Price 75 cents.
*** For the convenience of the learner, an English-Greek Vocabulary, a Catalogue of the Irregular Verbs, and an Index to the principal Grammatical Notes, have been appended.
" A school-book of the highest order, containing a carefully arranged series of exercises derived from the first book of Xenophon's Anabasis (which is appended entire), un English and Greck Vocabulary, and a list of the principal modifications of irregular vcrbs. We regard it as one peculiar excellence of this book, that it presupposes both the diligent scholar and the painstaking teacher; in other hands it would be not only useless, but unusatile. We like it also, because, instead of aiming to give the Iupil practice in a varicty of styles, it places before him but a single model of Greeb composition, and that the rery author who combines in the greatest degree purity of languare and idiom, with a simplicity that both invites and rewards imitation."-_Christian Regutei:

## D. Appleton \& Co.'s Educational Publications.

## Dr. Arnold's Classical Series.

FIRST LATIN BOOK: re-modelled, re-written, and adapted to the Ollendorff Method of Instruction. By Albert IIarinness. One vol. 12 mo . 7 ch cts.
Several improvements have been introduced by Mr. II., and an effort made to simplify and render more clear the elementary portions of the work of Dr. Arnold. It is a capital book.

## II.

A FIRST AND SECOND LATIN BOOK AND PRACTICAL GRANiMAP. Revised and carefully corrected, by J. A. Spencer, A. M. One vol. 12 mo . 75 cts.
A most admirable rolume, based on the true principles of learning a language, viz., those of imitation and repetition. The pupil is put to work at once at Exercises in Latin, involving the elementary principles of the language; words are supplied; the mode of putting them together is told the pupil; and by imitating and repeating, all the tirne adding to his stock of words and ideas, the docile boy has the Latin clements in. delibly impressed upon his memory, and rooted in his understanding.
III.

LATIN PROSE COMPOSITION: a Practical Introduction to Latin Prose Composition. Revised and corrected by J. A. Spencer, A. M. 12 mo . \$1.
Very exact, copious, and scientific; Latin synonymes are carefully illustrated, differences of idiom noted, cautions as to niceties pointed out, and every help afforded towards attaining a pure and flowing Latin style.
IV.

CORNELIUS NEPOS; with Practical Questions and Answers, and an Imitative Exercise on each Chapter. Revised, with Additional Notes, by Prof. Joinsson, Professor of the Latin Language in the University of the City of NewYork. 12mo. A new, enlarged edition, with Lexicon, Index, \&c. \$1.
Very excellent, especially on account of the Exercises formed on the model of the text, by which the pupil becomes more thoroughly acquainted with the author and the language in general. A good vocabulary is attached.
$\nabla$.
FIRST GREEK BOOK, on the Plan of the First Latin Book. Revised and corrected by J. A. Spercer, A. M. 12mo. 7 č cts.
A new and very admirable volume prepared by Prof. Spencer from the work of Dr Arnold. It is equally good with the First Latin Book, and carries out the same principles to their legitimate results.
VI.

GREEK PROSE COMPOSITION : a Practical Introduction io Greek Prose Composition. Revised and corrected by J. A. Spencer, A. M. One vol. 12mo. 75 cts.
Exact, clear, direct, and copious. It is intended for use at a rather early stage, viz., dus ectly following the First Greek Book, or simultaneously with the Greek leading sook.
GREEK PROSE COMPOSITION. Part II. A Practical Introduction to Greek Prose Composition Part II. (The Particles.)
In this volume the Particles are treated in full, and the student carried as far forward as is possible in the art of composition in Greek.
viIf.
GREEK READING BOOK, for the Use of Schools; containing the substance of the Pracucal Introduction to Greek Construing, and a Treatise on the Greek Particles; and also a Copious Selection from Greek Authors, with Enclish Notes, Critical and Explanatory, and a Lexicon, by J. A. Spencer, A. M. $12 m 0$. $\$ 125$.
A capital volume, having admirable Introductory Exercises on the Forms and Idioms of the Language ; a choice collection of passages (of length) from standard authors: notes, clear, and precise; and a copious lexicon at the end. It is fully equal to any one of the series.

## D. Appleton \& C'o.'s Educational Puillications.

# THOMAS ARNOLD, D.D. 

## The History of Rome.

## Reprinted entire from the last London Edition. Three volumes in one.

 8vo. \$3."Arnold's History of Rome is a well known standard work. Full and accurate as Niebuhr, but much more readable and attractive; more copious and exact than Knightley or Schmitz, and more reliable than Michelet, it has assumed a rank second to nong in value and importance. Its style is admirable, and it is every where imbued with the trmh-loving spirit for which Dr. Arnold was pre-eminent. For Colleges and Schools this History is invaluable; and for private, as well as public libraries, it is indispensabls."

## THOMAS ARNOLD, D.D. Lectures on Modern History.

## Edited, with a Preface and Notes, by Henry Reed, LL. D. 12 mo . $\$ 125$.

Extract from the American Editor's Preface:-"I" preparing this edition, I have had in view its use, not only for the general reader, but also as a text-book in education, especially in our college course of study. * * * * The introduction of this work as a text-book I regard as important. because, as far as my information entitles me to speak, there is no book better calculated to inspire an interest in historical study. That it las this power over the minds of students I can say from experience, which enables me also to add, that I have found it excellently suited to a conrse of college instruction. By intelligent and enterprising members of a class especially, it is studied as a textbook with zeal and animation.
"These Lectures, eight in number, furnish the best possible introduction to a philosophical study of modern history. Prof. Reed has added greatly to the worth and interest of the volume, by appending to each lecture such extracts from Dr. Arnold's other writings as would more fully illustrate its prominent points. The Notes and Appendis which he has thus furnished are exceedingly valuable."-Evening Posto

## PROF. FREDERICK KOHLRAUSCH.

## A History of Germany ;

From the Earliest Period to the Present Time. Translated from the last German Edition, by James D. Haas. With a Complete Index, prepared expressly fur this Edition. 8vo. \$1 75.
*Messrs. Appleton:
"Gentlemen,-Having adopted Kolrausch"s History of Germany, as a text-book for an advanced class in history, I take great pleasure in stating that I hare found no work, in a wide range of historical instruction, both ancient and modern, devoured with more avidity by my pupils, or resulting in their greater profit. Next to the history of our own country and that of England, I know of none so important to be familiarly understood by our American youth, as the History of Germany; in its bearings on modern civilization, the Protestant Reformation, the progress of literature, the adrancement of the Arts and Sciences, and high classical scholarship, as well as also our own very origin and language.
"The history of a nation with whose past and present we especially, not to say the Whole civilized world, have such vital connections, though unknown perchance to a great extent to our educated mien of a preceding generation, onght now to be introduced every where at once into all our high schools, as an essential part of a course of liberal elncation.
" Yours, \&c.,
"B. W. Dwigit

[^2]
## W C. TAYLOR.

## A Manual of Ancient and Modern History; Comprising-

I. Anorent History, containing the Political History, Geographical Position, and Social State of the Principal Nations of Antiquity, carefully digested from the Ancient Writers, and illustrated by the discoveries of Modern Travellers and Scholars.
II. Modern History, containing the Rise and Progress of the principal European Nations, their Political History, and the changes in their Social Condition: with a History of the Colonies founded by Europeans.

> By W. Cooke Tarlor, LL. D., of Trinity College, Dublin. Revised, with Additions on American History, by C. S. Henry, D. D., Professor of History in the University of N. Y.; and Questions adapted for the Use of Schools and Colleges. One handsome vol. 8vo., of 800 pages, $\$ 225$; Ancient History in 1 vol., $\$ 125$; Modern History in 1 vol., $\$ 150$.
"This is by far the best text-book of History ever issued. It is intended mainly for the use of schools; but it cannot fail to be of the highest service to all who wish to study or read IIstory systematically. It is in two parts; the first presenting the political bistory, geographical description, and social state of all the leading nations of antiquity; and the second giving, still more fully, an outline of modern history upon the same plan. It will be exceerlingly usefnl to all classes. Those who wish to enter upon a thorough study of history, will find in it an invaluable guide to their researches. It will give them an admirable framework whereon to build their structure of historical knowledge Those who wish merely to become familiar with the most important events in the annals of the various nations that have existed, or do still exist, will find in it all they wish to know, completely digested and well arranged.
"The work was originally prepared by Dr. Taylor, of Trinity College, Dublin, whoso eminent qualifications for so important a task, are well known. A chapter on American History, to render it complete, has been added by Prof. C. S. Henry, of the University in this city, who has also exercised a general editorial supervision over the work.
"The book is well calculated to introduce the study of history more generally into the colleges and higher schools of this country; and will do much, we doubt not, to excite a wider and deeper interest in this greatly neglected, but most important branch of education. It is well printed, and admirably calculated for universal circulation."

## M. GUIZOT.

## General History of Civilization in Europe,

From the Fall of the Roman Empire to the French Revolution. With Notes, by C. S. Henry, D. D. 12mo. 75 cents.

[^3]
## oLlendorfe. <br> Vew Method of Learning to Read, Write, and

 Speak the French Language: with an Appendix, containing the Cardinal and Ordinal Numbers, and full Paradigms of the Regular and Irregular, Auxiliary, Reflective, and Impersonal Verbs. By J. L. Jewetr. One volume 12mo. \$1.刁-z马z A Key to the Exercises, in a separate volume. 75 cents."New Method of Leapiting the French Language-This Grammar must supursede all others now used for instruction in the French language. Its conception and arrangement are admirable,-the work evidently of a mind familiar with the deficiencies of the systems, the place of which it is designed to supply. In all the works of the kind that have fallen under our notice, there has been so much left unexplaineu or obscure, and so many things have been omitted-trifles, perhaps, in the estimation of the author, but the cause of great embarrassment to the learner-that the $y$ have been comparatively ralueless as self-instructors. The student, deceived by their specious pretensions, has not proceeded far before he has felt himself in a condition similar to that of a mariner who should put out to sea without a compass to direct him. He has encountered dificnlty afier difficulty, to which his Grammar afforded no clue; when, disappointed and discouraged, he has either abandoned the study in disgust, or if his means permitted, has resurted to a teacher to accomplish what it was not in his power to effect by the aid
of his 'self-instructor.'
"Ollendorff has passed his roller over the whole field of French instruction, and the rugged inequalities formerly to be encountered, no longer discourage the learner. What were the difficulties of the language, are here mastered in succession; and the only sur'rise of the student, as he passes from lesson to lesson, is, that he meets none of these
" $T$ The value of
Bddition of an orte work has been greatly enhanced by a careful revision, and the for the use of teachers or for self-instruction essential to its completeness either as a book

## ollendorff. <br> New Method of Learning to Read, Write, and

 Speak the French Languaige: with the Lessons divided into Sections of a Proper Length for Daily Tasks, and numerous Corrections, Additions, and Iruprovements, suitable for this country, by V. Value; to which is added Value's System of French Pronunciation; his Grammatical Synopsis; a New Index, and Short Models of Commercial Correspondence. One volume 12 mo . Price $\$ 1$.
## 

"Mr. Value has tanght practically Ollendorff's system for many years, and has bestowed much thought and labor on the improvement of this edition. The longer lessons have been judiciously subdirided; much attention has been bestowed upon the arrangement of the exercises relating to the subjunctive mood: besides the system of pronunciation, we have an excellent grammatical synopsis, which appears to us, on a brief examination, very happily constructed and of great value. In his preface the author mentions several minute corrections made in this edition, which show the care and accuracy bestowed upon it."-Churchman.

## "Messrs, D. Appleton \& Co.:-

"Gentlemen,
"I have carefully perused your new edition of Ollendorff by Mr. Value, and find it contains all the improvements the original work so much required. It is evidently the result of long research, time, and labor, founded upon experience and a thorough knowlerlge of the system, and in my estimation far superior io any work of the kind which I have yet met with. I intend adopting the use of it in my classes.
" Yours, respectfully,

[^4]8
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# Citurational ©int-sionk II.-THE MODERN LANGUAGES. 

## FREYCH.

CHOUQUET'S French Conversations and Dialogues. 18mo........................ $\$ 50$
Moung Ladies Guide to French Composition, 12mo ..... 75
COLLOT'S Dramatic French Reader. 12mo ..... 100
COUTAN, A. Poetical French Reader. 12mo ..... 100
DE FIVA'S Elementary French Reader, 16mo ..... 50
Classic ditto. 12mo. ..... 100
FRENCH and English-English and French Dictionary. Based on Spiers and others. Royal Sro. Uniform with Adler's German Dictionary.
OLLENDORFF'S New Method of Learning French, Edited by J. L. Jewett. 12mo 100Method of Learning French. By V. Value. 12mo.100
KEY to each Vol. ..... 75
OLLENDORFF'S First Lessons in French. By G. W. Greene. 1Smo ..... 50
COMPANION to Ollendorff's French Grammar. By G. W. Greene. 12mo ..... 75
ROEMER'S Elementary French Reader. 12mo ..... 100

- Second French Reader. 12mo ..... 100
LE NOUVEAU Testament. 32 mo ..... 37
ROWAN'S Modern French Reader, 12mo. ..... 75
SURRENNE'S French and English Pronouncing Dictionary. 12mo ..... 150
———Pocket French and English Dictionary. 18mo
French Manual. 1Smo. ..... 50
VOLTAIRE'S Charles XII. Edited by Surrenne. 18mo... ..... 50
GERIIAN.
ADLER'S German and English, and English and German Dictionary, Compiled from the best authorities. 1 Vol. large 8ro. Half Russia. ..... 500
ditto. Abridged Edition. 12mo. Half Russia. ..... 175
- Progressive German Reader. 12 mo . ..... 100
OLLENDORFF'S New Method of Learning German. Edited by G.J.Adler. 12mo ..... 00
KEY to ditto ..... 75
OLLENDORFF'S New Grammar for Germans to Learn the English Language. By P. Gands. 12 mo ..... 100
KEY to ditto, 12 mo ..... 75
OEHLSCHLAGER, J.C. A Pronouncing German Reader. 12mo. ..... 100
EICHHORN'S Practical German Grammar. 12 mo . ..... 100
ITALIAN.
FORESTI'S Italian Reader. 12 mo ..... 100
OLLENDORFF'S New Method of Learning Italian. Edited by F. Foresti. 12mo. ..... 150
KEY to ditto ..... 75
SPANISH.
OLLENDORFF'S New Method of Learning Spanish. By M. Velazquez and T. Sim- moné. 12 mo ..... 150
KEY to ditto ..... 75
VELAZQUEZ and SEOANE'S Spanish and English, and English and Spanish Dictionary, uniform with "Adler's German Lex." Large 8ro
Ditto ditto. Abridged.
VELAZQUEZ'S New Spanish Reader. With Lexicon. 12mo. ..... 125
OLLENDORFF'S New Method of Learning English applied to the Spanish.: By Profs. Palenzuela and Carenno. 12mo. ..... 200
KEY to ditto ..... 75
BUTLER'S Spanish Teacher and Colloquial Phrase Book. 18 mo . ..... 50



[^0]:    * As to the division of Greek words into syllables, the English analogy is more commonly followed, in this country, than any other. "Syllabica-

[^1]:    "The press of Messrs. Appleton is becoming prolific of superior editions of the classics used in schools, and the volume now before us we are disposed to regard as one of the most beautiful and highly finished among them all, both in its editing and its execution. The classic Latin in which the greatest general and the greatest writer of his age recorded his achievements, has been sadly corrupted in the lapse of centuries, and its restoration to a pure and perfect text is a work requiring nice discrimination and sound learning. The text which Mr. Spencer has adopted is that of Oudenorp, with such variations as were suggested by a careful collation of the leading critics of Germany. The notes are as they should be, designed to aid the labors of the student, not to supersede them. In addition to these, the volume contains a sketch of the life of Cresar, a briep Lexicon of Latin words, a Historical and Geographical Index, together with a map of the country in which the great Roman conqueror conducted the campaigns he so graphically describes. The volume, as a whole, however, appears to be admirably suited to the purpose for which it was designed. Its style of editing and its typographical execution reminds us of Prof. Lincoln's excellent edition of Livy-a work which some months since had already passed to a second impression, and has now been adopted in most of the leading schools and colleges of the country.-Providence Journal.

[^2]:    "Brookliv, Jun. 24th, 1852."

[^3]:    «To say any thing of the great value of this admirable work of Mr. Guizot is quite unnecessary. It is already well known to all the literary men of our country and the world, and its intrinsic merits have made it a text-bouk in many literary institutions of the United States. Written in a clear and lively style, it has every where proved highly attractive."-Commercial Advertiser.
    "The present work was originally given to the world in a series of lectures prononnced at the old Soborne Cullege in Paris; and from the date of its publication, ranked its author high among the greatest philosophic historians. His explanations of 1 istorical phenomena are beautiful. lucid and logical, and we do not know a better work $i^{\text {lan }}$ the present to guide the inquirer in his studies of the annals of nations-such a fi: model does he here present of weighing. judsing. and appreciating details" is spier \& Enquirer.

[^4]:    "J. ROEMER,
    "Professor of the French Language in the $N$. Y. Free Academy"

