

THE OFFICE OF THE BEE.

Is situated in the same Building with that of the auction
stores of TRICOU, Domingo Camerer, 110 Chartres St.

Transit or Subscription ten dollars per annum, payable
half yearly in advance. No subscription less than a year.

No bond or deposit required; the arrears
to be paid, and the person who has contracted the sub-
scription must have given thereof, in writing, a week pre-
vious to the expiration of the half year.

Advertisements are published in English and French,
at the rate of one dollar per square, for each language, the
first insertion, and fifty cents for each continuation. Ten
lines or less makes a square. No advertisements received
unless they appear in full page.

Advertisements which are not inserted as to the number
of insertions, will be continued until stopped by the re-
quest of the persons who send them.

A liberal deduction will be made to those who contract
by the year.

No description from the country will be received unless
an agent in this city be designated, to whom application
may be made for payment.

Letters must in all cases be post paid.

STATE OF LOUISIANA.—Parish Court for the parish

and city of New Orleans.—I hereby certify that on

June 29th, 1833, judgment was entered in this Court in the cause of M. V. D. Brown vs. his husband, in the words and figures following:—“The Plaintiff, Yves Giesen, vs. her husband.—The case came on the day for trial before the court, by consent of parties—Maurice & Gratiel, Esq. for plaintiff; A. H. Esq. for H. F. Leblanc, Esq. for defendant.—When after being testimony and statement of counsel being read, the document produced by plaintiff, the court being satisfied that the sum and cause of action were fully established, and that the facts of defendant are in disorder, may entitle him to damages, it is ordered by this court that plaintiff be awarded of property from her said husband, and that he be decreed to pay her—let, the sum of \$750, being the price by him received by her from the estate of plaintiff’s father, and further by him from the said estate, the six promissory notes received by him from the said estate, to wit: four notes of \$34, 01, 2, 3, 4 & 8 each and to pay the costs of this suit.

New Orleans, July 6th 1833.

(Signed.) CHARLES MAURIN, Judge.

Judgment recorded in Judgment Docket G, page 119.

In witness whereof I am his hand and seal affixed to this seal of the court, the 6th day of August, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty three, and in the sixth year of the Independence of the United States.

Sept 16—3 J. OLLIE, Dan. Clerk.

\$2000 REWARD.

THE above reward will be paid for the apprehension and delivery into the custody of the Sheriff of this Parish, of JAMES M. CROSEY, late dealer in China and Gosses Ware, an according debtor, charged with having committed a robbery, together upon the Bank of the State of Ohio, at Cincinnati, on the 10th of March, apparently about thirty days ago, of such a sum as high, dark brown hair, yellow complexion and freckled, very small eyes, much inclined to smile when speaking, and has been a resident in this city for the last three years.

New Orleans, 12th Sept 1833.

RICHARD REFL,

Cashier of the Louisiana State Banks

President of U. S. Branch B. & Co.

THOS C. MAGOFFIN,

Cashier Bank, Louisiana.

Sept 16—6 N. T. C. E.

WANTED TO HIRE.—An active and intelligent
man, who may be had by applying at the office of the

Marygo Hotel, corner of Victory street and the Rail

road, on the 2d of September, 1833.

Partners wanted.—An industrious man, of

business habits, having a capital \$1500 or \$2000

in cash, may have an opportunity of making a profitable

investment. Address W. D. through the Post Office, Sept 11.

NOTICE.—William F. & Elias D. Hyde, brothers

doing business under the firm of W. F. & E. Hyde & Co., have this day taken N. Delaplaine into co-

partnership. The whole Dry Goods business will be con-

ducted by them under the firm of W. F. & E. Hyde & Co.

W. F. HYDE.

E. D. HYDE.

N. DELAPLAINE.

MINERAL WATERS.

GAZOIS DRINKS.

MEDICINAL Waters of fall, such as Vichy,

Sedius Purgative magnesia &c 50 cents a bottle.

Gazos Seitz Water, and plain carbonated water at 12

cts a bottle, to subscribe (the bottles to be returned)

We particularly call the attention of the public to the ge-

ousal salt water which is generally used instead

of common water.

RIVET, SEYBOLD & CO.

No. 35, St. Peter street, between Chartres and Leecey,

Sept 16—10 RECEIVED for schommer Croele, from Havens, and

12 cases Haras sweet-sauces of Canderia,

all made out of fresh fruits and well assorted.

ALSO 42 dozen boxes Mamay and Gomera jelly.

PACALET & MICHON,

August 20 corner Chartres and Toulouse streets.

SUPERFINE CORKS.—60 thousands Bordenian

corks, for sale by HENRY LATAPIE & CO.,

63 Old Louis st.

1200 BBLs FLOUR, for sale by JULIUS LE BLANC & CO.

August 31 103 Royal street.

WANTED.—To hire 5 or 6 negroes to cut wood and

2 days with their drivers. Apply at the office of

the Bee, 12th Street, between Chartres and Leecey.

RECEIVED for schommer Croele, from Havens, and

12 cases Haras sweet-sauces of Canderia,

all made out of fresh fruits and well assorted.

ALSO 42 dozen boxes Mamay and Gomera jelly.

PACALET & MICHON,

August 20 corner Chartres and Toulouse streets.

WANTED.—For schommer Croele, from Havens, and

12 cases Haras sweet-sauces of Canderia,

all made out of fresh fruits and well assorted.

ALSO 42 dozen boxes Mamay and Gomera jelly.

PACALET & MICHON,

August 20 corner Chartres and Toulouse streets.

STATE OF LOUISIANA.—Parish Court for the

parish and city of New Orleans.—Present the Hon. J.

F. Canoge, Judge per—No 546—F. W. Shryve vs.

John M. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner, his security.

Mr. Crose, collector of the state tax for said parish,

has filed a bill of complaint against him, in the year 1833, jointly with his wife, Mary Steiner