Contribution ID: 93b91d7b-bc41-4b44-b03f-dbded4e907cf

Date: 25/04/2017 14:53:52

BUILDING THE EUROPEAN DATA ECONOMY

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

INTRODUCTION

Data has become an essential resource for economic growth, job creation and societal progress. Data analysis facilitates better decision-making, innovation and the prediction of future events. Europe aims to exploit this potential without infringing the rights and freedoms of people or damaging economic investments made into generating data. Within this context, the Commission aims to foster an efficient, competitive single market for data services including cloud-based ones. It needs to identify the legal, economic, and regulatory challenges, and to launch a discussion with stakeholders on future action.

On 10 January 2017, the Commission adopted the "Building the European Data Economy" package consisting of a <u>Communication</u> and a <u>Staff Working Document</u>. These policy documents give an overview of issues at stake, and of the context of this consultation. Respondents are invited to read them prior to completing the questionnaire.

Purpose

The public consultation will help shape the future policy agenda on the European data economy. It will feed into a possible Commission's initiative in 2017 on Building the European Data Economy.

The objective of the consultation is to collect information on:

- whether and how local or national data localisation restrictions inhibit the free flow of data in Europe
- whether and to what extent digital non-personal machine-generated data are traded and exchanged
- the nature and magnitude of any barriers to accessing such data
- ways of tackling those barriers
- emerging Internet of Things and robotics liability challenges
- practices and issues relating to data portability, interoperability and standards

Context

The "Building the European Data Economy" package addresses restrictions on the free flow of data, including legal barriers on the location of data for storage and/or processing purposes, and a series of emerging issues relating to data such as ownership, access, reuse, portability and liability.

While the questions on liability issues in this consultation are addressed in a data economy context, a <u>separate consultation</u> separate consultation on the overall evaluation of the <u>application</u> of the <u>Product Liability Directive (85/374/EEC)</u> is being launched.

This consultation does not cover any issues related to personal data protection. These are extensively regulated elsewhere, namely in the new EU data protection rules, as well as through the review of the ePrivacy Directive. Issues of access to and re-use of public sector information are excluded from this consultation because they will be tackled under the upcoming review of the Directive on the re-use of public sector information (2003/98/EC).

The Commission has already engaged in an extensive dialogue on the data economy with stakeholders, in the form of sector-specific (e.g. manufacturing and financial sectors) and cross-sector round-tables, <u>workshops</u>, <u>conferences</u>, bilateral meetings including targeted consultations of the Member States on data economy topics, and a <u>public consultation</u> in which the data economy was one of a broader range of topics.

Targeted respondents

This consultation targets:

- Businesses of all sizes
- Manufacturers and users of connected devices
- Operators and users of online platforms
- Data brokers
- Businesses commercialising data-based products and services
- Public authorities
- Non-governmental organisations
- Researcher and research organisations
- Consumers

As data collected by sensors are used in many areas, this consultation targets all sectors. Some of the sectors likely to be concerned are manufacturing, energy, automotive, health, consumer-facing commerce, Internet of Things (IoT), etc.

Consultation period

10 January - 26 April 2017

Replies received after the closing date will not be considered.

How to respond

You can reply in any EU language, even to the online English version of the questionnaire. The questionnaire in all of the other EU languages will be available as from 1 February 2017.

Only responses received through the online questionnaire will be considered for analysis. Questionnaires sent by e-mail or on paper will not be analysed except those due to accessibility needs of persons with disabilities (see below).

All questions and sections are optional. You can pause any time and continue later. You can download your contribution once you have submitted your answers.

Given the volume of this consultation, you can download <u>a PDF version</u> before responding to the survey online. The PDF version includes all possible questions. When you fill the survey in online, you will not see all of the questions; only those applicable to your chosen respondent category and to other choices made when you answer previous questions.

The questionnaire is divided between 4 sections:

- 1. Localisation of data for storage and / or processing purposes
- 2. Access to and re-use of non-personal data
- 3. Liability
- 4. Portability of non-personal data, interoperability and standards

While you may want to contribute to the entire questionnaire, it is also possible for you to contribute only to the sections (s) that is / are relevant to you or your organisation.

Accessibility for persons with disabilities

We accept questionnaires by e-mail or by post from people with disabilities and their representative organisations.

Please send either e-mail with your reply attached as Word, PDF or ODF document

to CNECT-CONSULTATION-DATA-ECONOMY@ec.europa.eu

or write to us at:

European Commission

DG Communication Networks, Content & Technology

Unit G1 – Data Policy and Innovation

Euroforum Building

10 rue Robert Stumper

L-2557 Luxembourg

Luxembourg

Transparency

In the survey you will be asked whether you are responding as an individual or representing the views of an organisation. We ask responding organisations to register in the Transparency Register.

We publish the submissions of non-registered organisations separately from those of registered ones.

Replies & next steps

We shall publish all contributions to the consultation unless non-publication is specifically requested in the 'About you' section of the questionnaire.

A short summary of the consultation results will be published on this page 1 month after the consultation closes. We shall issue a report with the qualitative analysis of the contributions in due course.

In case your response includes confidential data please provide a non-confidential version. Please read the Specific Privacy Statement below on how we deal with your personal data and contribution.

Protection of personal data & privacy statement

<u>Protection of personal data</u> Specific privacy statement

Contact

CNECT-CONSULTATION-DATA-ECONOMY@ec.europa.eu

About you

- * My contribution (Note that, whatever option chosen, your answers may be subject to a request for public access to documents under Regulation (EC) N°1049/2001):
 - can be published with my personal information (I consent to the publication of all information in my contribution in whole or in part including my name or my organisation's name, and I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent publication.)
 - can be published provided that I remain anonymous (I consent to the publication of any information in my contribution in whole or in part (which may include quotes or opinions I express) provided that it is done anonymously. I declare that nothing within my response is unlawful or would infringe the rights of any third party in a manner that would prevent the publication.)

	*	You	are	repl	ying	as:
--	---	-----	-----	------	------	-----

- an individual in your personal capacity
- as a self-employed individual
- on behalf of a business/ organisation

* First Name

Dimitar

* Last Name

Dimitrov

* e-mail address

dimitar.dimitrov@wikimedia.de

* Name of your organisation

Wikimedia

Website of your organisation

wikimedia.org

* Contact details of your organisation

Rue du Trône 51, 1050 Ixelles, Belgium

- * Please indicate the place(s) of operation of your business/organisation.
 - Austria
 - Belgium
 - Bulgaria
 - Czech Republic
 - Croatia
 - Cyprus
 - Denmark
 - Estonia
 - Finland
 - France
 - Germany
 - ☑ Greece
 - Hungary
 - Italy
 - Ireland
 - Latvia
 - Lithuania
 - Luxembourg
 - Malta
 - Netherlands
 - Poland
 - Portugal
 - Romania
 - Slovakia
 - Slovenia
 - Spain
 - Sweden
 - United Kingdom
 - Other

* Please specify

Worldwide

	Manufacturing and processing
	IT services, including app/software developers
	Agriculture and Food
	Health and Care
	Energy and utilities
	Automotive and Transport
	Financial services/banking/insurance
	Retail/electronic commerce
	Wholesale trade
	Electronic communications
	Media, communication, entertainment
V	Education
	Public sector
	Research
V	Other
	se specify
Ac	ccess to knowledge
	(if any) of these statements apply to you (it is possible to answer yes to several of these ments)?
	My organisation has significant business in the production and market commercialisation of sensor- equipped machines, tools, devices
	My organisation has significant business in internet-based platforms that also aim at generating data through the usage of such platforms by the various users
	My organisation is or is interested in accessing data held by an organisation which has significant business in the production and market commercialisation of sensor-equipped machines, tools, devices
	My organisation is or is interested in accessing data held by an organisation which has significant business in internet-based platforms that also aim at generating data through the usage of such platforms by the various users
	My organisation is an SME and/or a start-up

Please indicate the sector/s in which your business/organisation mainly operates:

If you	ur organisation included in the Transparency Register? It organisation is not registered, we invite you to register here , although it is not compulsory to be tered to reply to this consultation. See Why a transparency register ?
•	Yes
	No
	Not applicable

If yes, please indicate your Register ID Number.

191538712765-84

1. Localisation of data for storage and/or processing purposes

The main objective of this part of the questionnaire is to get detailed insights into the extent, nature and impacts of data localisation restrictions within the EU and what could constitute limited, justified grounds for such restrictions without unduly jeopardising the free movement of data within the EU (except for restrictions to the free movement of personal data for reasons connected with the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data. The Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) establish the free flow of personal data within the EU and set out the rules relating to that free movement).

Another important aspect is to find out to what extent businesses store or process data in multiple geographical locations within the EU and what are the reasons for this multiple location and, respectively, local storage or processing. The Commission also seeks respondents' views on the perceived impacts of the removal of data localisation restrictions within the EU. The Commission welcomes replies particularly from businesses, including SMEs, and public sector organisations.

Which of these statements apply to you in relation to data storage or processing?

My organisation is a data service provider
My organisation operates its own data infrastructure without using third-party services
My organisation is a user of third-party data services
My organisation is a scientific research organisation
None of the above
I don't know

Do you know about legislation or administrative rules or guidelines (including those adopted in the context of public procurement) requiring to store or process data in your or other EU countries (pleas see part 2 of the Staff Working Document linked to on the consultation webpage for the summary of data localisation restrictions identified so far)?
© Yes
No
For your own organisation's purposes, do you store or process your data in multiple locations within th EU?
Yes
O No
When providing IT-related services (e.g. cloud, applications, software, infrastructure, hosting, Over-The Top, etc.), have your customers demanded that their data is stored or processed locally (in the same country as their relevant business establishment)?
O Yes
O No
I don't know
In your opinion, should data localisation restrictions be removed within the EU?
Yes
O No
I don't know
In your opinion, what grounds would justify keeping data localisation restrictions within the EU?
Public security
Law enforcement needs
Public policy (such as immediate availability of data for supervisory authorities)
Public health (please note that patient data may already be covered by a free movement provision
under the General Data Protection Regulation)
Other
What kind of action at EU level do you consider appropriate to address the restrictions?
The EU should not address the issue
A legislative instrument
Guidance on data storage / processing within the EU
Increasing the transparency of restrictions
Other
I don't know

2. Access to and re-use of non-personal data

This part of the questionnaire aims to understand the data trading practices of businesses, and how all businesses, in particular SMEs, and other stakeholders access and trade non-personal data, and what are the perceived barriers to such trading and re-use of such data. The Commission seeks the views of businesses and other respondents on ways to enhance access to and re-use of data and data trading in Europe today.

2.1. Accessing data

This section is addressed to businesses and organisations of any size, and especially SMEs and start-ups which are seeking access to non-personal or anonymised data for running their businesses or developing new businesses. For consumer access issues, please see section 4.1 on data portability for non-personal. The aim is to find out whether and to what extent businesses and organisations have access to the data they need to develop or conduct their tasks, and furthermore to find out what role existing legislation plays in today's data markets, and whether there is a need to revise or introduce legislation to support the European data economy.

Do you currently depend to a significant extent on data resources that you acquire from others (for products or services you offer, for your internal business processes)?

- Yes
- No

From what sources do you currently or would you need to acquire non-personal or anonymised data for the purposes mentioned in the previous question?

- Mainly from public sector sources
- Mainly from other commercial or technical sources
- Other

Please specify

1000 character(s) maximum

commercial, public and technical sources

If you are acquiring non-personal or anonymised data produced by others, what are the remuneration conditions for accessing the data?

- For free
- Against payment
- Against the provision of a service
- Against some other form of (indirect) remuneration

Please specify

1000 character(s) maximum

We do not regard data as property, but as facts. It is our principle to not pay for data. We support our volunteer communities, also financially, in their efforts to free up non-personal data. Additionally, we would like to point the Commission's attention to the fact, that data collected by sensors is not automatically non-personal.

Have you had difficulties in acquiring data from other business actors (i.e. limited or no access to the data) or have you been exposed to business practices that you consider unfair with respect to access to such data?

- Yes
- O No

What is the nature of the difficulties?

- Denial of data access
- Prohibitive prices
- Terms and conditions you consider unfair
- Unforeseen termination of access that did not allow you to adapt your business model
- Other

Please specify

1000 character(s) maximum

Database Directive of 1996 which hinders the re-use of data.

Does current legislation applicable to you regarding unfair contract terms or unfair commercial practices in business-to-business relations sufficiently address such problems related to access to the data?

- Yes
- No

What are the reasons for the problems?

- Inadequate legal framework for unfair contract terms in B2B relations
- Inadequate legal framework for unfair commercial practices in B2B relations
- Difficulty to enforce the existing rules concerning B2B relations
- Other

Please specify

1000	abarastar/a	I manyimayum
1000	character(s) IIIaxIIIIUIII

In contract terms especially overriding of exceptions, limitations and freedoms of contract.

freedoms of contract.
When acquiring data from other economic operators or when negotiating such acquisition: To what extent do you consider to be in a situation of equal bargaining power when negotiating data usage
licences?
To a great extent
To some extent
To a minor extent
Not at all
I don't know
When acquiring data from other economic operators or when negotiating such acquisition: How often d you consider having been exposed to a situation that in your view would amount to an abuse of
dominant position (as defined in competition law)?
Never
Rarely
A number of times
Often
I don't know
Does current competition law and its enforcement mechanisms sufficiently address potentially anti- competitive behaviour of companies holding or using data?
To a great extent
To some extent
To a minor extent
O No
I don't know
Please explain
1000 character(s) maximum

Have you entered contracts in which certain data was defined as a trade secret? Yes No
2.2. Holding and supplying data
This section is addressed mostly to businesses that hold non-personal or anonymised data not subject to significant data processing ("raw" data), in particular data collected by sensors embedded in machines, tools and/or devices and who are in a position to share them. The aim is to get more information about data licensing practices.
Do you believe existing EU legislation sufficiently protects investments made into data collection by sensors embedded in machines, tools and/or devices?
Yes
O No
Only in some scenarios
I don't know
If you/your organisation hold/s raw data or data sets, do you license its usage to others?
No / to a minor extent
Only to sub-contractors that perform tasks closely related to the organisation's business processes
 Only to companies within an economic group (e.g. parent and subsidiaries in a corporate group /holding; affiliate, etc.)
Only within IT innovation environments, collaborating with other companies on concrete projects
Yes, to a wider range of players based on paying licences
My company makes certain datasets accessible as open data (accessible online, e.g. through a web API), licensing conditions allow many re-use options and re-use is free of charge, at least for non- commercial re-use of the data
✓ Other
Please specify

1000 character(s) maximum

Everything is freely licensed for the reuse including for commercial purposes without asking for permission (Creative Commons Zero and public domain)

What are the reasons for this? The data have been generated in view of onward sale I would like to generate additional revenue from the data I have amortised the costs of data generation already and would like others to innovate or benefit from the data By the nature of the data it is important that they are re-used as widely as possible (e.g. data on available means of transport; data that can have the character of a quasi-standard in a certain field) I am legally obliged to license the data To what extent does the intended use of the data by your business partner influence the price you request for the data use? Not at all To a minor extent To a major extent (e.g. lower licence fees are requested for non-commercial use of the data) Which type(s) of data do you share and which type(s) of data do you not share? 1000 character(s) maximum We share all non-personal data and refrain from collecting personal data in general. Are you including the value of at least some of the data you hold as a business asset in your balance sheets? Yes No Please explain why. This is not required by the applicable accounting/financing reporting standards I am not sure how to measure the value of the data I have or do consider that this would prove difficult Considerations of commercial strategy I have not given this a thought Other

2.3. Possible solutions

Sections 2.3.1 and 2.3.3 are directed at all respondents, including consumers and businesses. Section 2.3.2 is directed at businesses that deal with data collected by sensors embedded in machines, tools and/or devices. The aim is to receive input on what a possible future EU framework should look like to support a thriving, diverse and innovative European data economy.

2.3.1. General objectives for a future EU framework for data access

To what extent do you agree with the following statements (1=not at all,2=to a minor extent, 3=neutral/I don't know, 4=to some extent, 5=to a great extent):

	1	2	3	4	5
Trading of non- personal machine- generated data should be enabled to a greater extent than it is today.	•	•	•	©	•
The sharing of non-personal machine-generated data should be facilitated and incentivised.	•	•	•	•	•
Investments made into data collection capabilities and data assets should be protected.	•	•	•	•	•
Sensitive business and confidential data should always be safeguarded.	•	•	•	•	•
Lock-in effects in the data market should be minimised, especially for SMEs and start- ups.	•	•	•	•	•

2.3.2. Access for public sector bodies and scientific research

followin	agree to an obligation to license the use of (non-personal) data you hold for any of the gurposes (subject to conditions)?
■ Fo	r the establishment of statistics by public statistical offices
■ Fo	r government agencies for the prevention of public health or other specified risks
	r government agencies in order to address other societal challenges (e.g. improving urban anning, manage supply of energy)
■ Fo	r scientific research that is funded from public resources
V ○	her
	ould not agree to such an obligation for any purpose
Please sp	ecify
1000 ch	aracter(s) maximum
lice	all non-personal data should be licensed under a Creative Commons Zero nse or equivalent and therefore be free of protection. We must not assume
that	all data collected by sensors is automatically non-personal.
	all data collected by sensors is automatically non-personal. th access be given at no cost or only the cost related to making the data available?
	h access be given at no cost or only the cost related to making the data available?
Could su	th access be given at no cost or only the cost related to making the data available?
Could sud Yell Note: N	th access be given at no cost or only the cost related to making the data available?
Could such a could	ch access be given at no cost or only the cost related to making the data available? It is a solution of public health or other specified risks, government agencies to address other societal challenges (e.g. improving urban planning, manage supply of
Could such a suc	ch access be given at no cost or only the cost related to making the data available? Insider there should be action at EU level to address access to such data for the entities and in the previous question (the establishment of statistics by public statistical offices, ment agencies for the prevention of public health or other specified risks, government agencies to address other societal challenges (e.g. improving urban planning, manage supply of scientific research that is funded from public resources)?
Could such a could	ch access be given at no cost or only the cost related to making the data available? It is a consider there should be action at EU level to address access to such data for the entities and in the previous question (the establishment of statistics by public statistical offices, ment agencies for the prevention of public health or other specified risks, government agencies to address other societal challenges (e.g. improving urban planning, manage supply of scientific research that is funded from public resources)? The entities are the data available?
Could such a could	th access be given at no cost or only the cost related to making the data available? It is a consider there should be action at EU level to address access to such data for the entities and in the previous question (the establishment of statistics by public statistical offices, ment agencies for the prevention of public health or other specified risks, government agencies to address other societal challenges (e.g. improving urban planning, manage supply of scientific research that is funded from public resources)? The EU should not address the issue as, but only voluntary measures (e.g. industry self-regulation)

2.3.3. Access for other commercial entities

The following questions ask for an assessment of a number of potential measures that might help to make more data held by one commercial entity available for re-use by another commercial entity.

Would you agree with the following statement: More data would become available for re-use if the
Commission would issue guidance on how access, use and re-use of data should be addressed in
contracts (data usage licences) - based on existing legislation (in particular the Trade Secrets
Protection Directive, copyright legislation and the Database Directive)?

Yes

Sometimes

No

I don't know

Please explain.

1000 character(s) maximum

More data would become available for re-use if the Commission would abolish the sui generis right in the Database Directive. Such a guidance would not help.

What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question?

1000 character(s) maximum

Would you agree with the following statement: The optimal solution for making data collected by sensors embedded in machines, tools and/or devices available for re-use is to leave it entirely to the parties to decide (by contract) who should have the right to license the usage of these data, how and to whom.

Yes

Sometimes

No

I don't know

Please explain.

1000 character(s) maximum

Free access and reuse of data boosts competition in the DSM. The question of reuse of data is not only an economic one. Talking about personal data, there are already applicable rules in the GDPR and in the current ePrivacy Directive.

What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question?

1000 character(s) maximum

It would stifle innovation and create an unfair playing field to start with.

Would you agree with the following statement: More data would become available for re-use if more data holders used Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) to facilitate access to the data they hold, and these APIs were designed and documented in a way easy to use by third party application developers.

0	Vac

Sometimes

O No

I don't know

Please explain.

1000 character(s) maximum

Creates better access and more flexibility.

What would be the best way to achieve this?

- Promoting knowledge about the benefits of using APIs
- Providing technical guidance on how to design developer-friendly APIs
- Introducing API labelling systems (to measure e.g. documentation, developer availability, access licence costs, etc. of existing APIs)
- Other

What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question?

1000 character(s) maximum

Wider access to data, easier, faster and safer reuse.

Vould you agree with the following statement: More data would become available for re-use if legislation would define a set of (cross-sector or sector-specific) non-mandatory contract rules for B2B contracts, possibly coupled with an unfairness control in B2B contractual relationships) for allocating rights to access, use and re-use data collected by sensors embedded in machines, tools and/or devices were defined.
YesSometimes

Please explain.

No.

I don't know

1000 character(s) maximum

Legislation and non-mandatory do not go together. We are struggling to ${\it understand}$ the statement.

What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question?

1000 character(s) maximum

Would you agree with the following statement: More data would become available for re-use if a set of recommended standard contract terms were to be drafted in close collaboration with stakeholders.

- Yes
- Sometimes
- O No
- I don't know

Please explain.

1000 character(s) maximum

More data would be reusable if access is free and legally safe. If this is reflected in the standard contract terms then we would agree.

What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question?

1000 character(s) maximum

More data would be reusable if access is free and legally safe. If this is reflected in the standard contract terms, then we would agree.

Would you agree with the following statement: More data would become available for re-use if a company holding data which it protects through technical means against illicit misappropriation had civil law remedies against such misappropriation (e.g. the right to seek injunctions, market exclusion, or to claim damages). Yes Sometimes No I don't know
Please explain.
1000 character(s) maximum
Again, more data would be reusable if access is free and legally safe. Injunctions and damage claims would scare off competition.
What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question? 1000 character(s) maximum
Would you agree with the following statement: More data collected by sensors embedded in machines, tools and/or devices would become available for re-use if both the owner or user of the machine, tool or device and the manufacturer share the right to license the use of such data. Yes Sometimes No I don't know
Please explain.
1000 character(s) maximum
Data such as facts and ideas are not and should not be protected by intellectual property rights or related rights!
What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question?
1000 character(s) maximum
Stifling competition and innovation.

١	Nould you agree with the following statement: More data would become available for re-use if the
	companies active in the production and market commercialisation of sensor-equipped machines, tools
	or devices were awarded an exclusive right to license the use of the data collected by the sensors
	embedded in such machines, tools and/or devices (a sort of sui generis intellectual property right).

Yes

Sometimes

No.

I don't know

Please explain.

1000 character(s) maximum

Again, data such as facts and ideas are not and should not be protected by intellectual property rights or related rights!

What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question?

1000 character(s) maximum

Would you agree with the following statement: More data would become available for re-use if the persons or entities that operate sensor-equipped machines, tools or devices at their own economic risk ("data producer") were awarded an exclusive right to license the use of the data collected by these machines, tools or devices (a sort of sui generis intellectual property right), as a result of the data producer's operation, to any party it wishes (subject to legitimate data usage exceptions for e.g. manufacturers of the machines, tools or devices).

Yes

Sometimes

No

I don't know

Please explain.

1000 character(s) maximum

Again, data such as facts and ideas are not and should not be protected! The sui generis on database in the EU failed completely. There is no reason to think that a new sui generis could expect a different faith.

What impacts (if any, including economic) on competition and innovation would you expect from the solution described in the previous question?

1	000 character(s) maximum

To what extent would you agree to an obligation to license for the re-use of data generated by machines, tools or devices that you have commercialised under fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) terms?

- To a large extent
- To some extent
- To a minor extent
- Not at all

To what extent would you agree to an obligation to license for the re-use of data generated in the context of your online platform through its users under fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory (FRAND) terms?

- To a large extent
- To some extent
- To a minor extent
- Not at all

3. Liability

This part of the questionnaire aims to understand the level of awareness, as well as the respondents' experiences and issues related to liability for products and services coming out of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies and autonomous systems. The questions are also meant to gather evidence for a proper assessment of the adequacy of the Product Liability Directive (85/374/CEE) to respond to IoT and robotics liability challenges. The Commission seeks the views of producers and users of IoT technologies and autonomous systems in this section.

3.1. Extra-contractual liabilities: IoT and robotics products and services

Questions for producers/suppliers/manufacturers

deal with.
 Non-embedded software/mobile apps Advanced and new sensor equipment Smart medical devices Robots, e.g. for care, surgery, industrial robots, other Automated cars Smart objects, i.e. thermostats, fridges, watches, cars Drones Other
As producer of IoT/robotics devices, did you ever experience problems in not knowing in which categor (product/service) to classify the device in order to comply with a specific liability regime on provision o services or manufacturing of products?
 Yes, to a significant extent Yes, to a moderate extent No, I never experienced this problem I don't know
Do you, as a producer, take into account the possibility of being held liable for potential damages when pricing IoT/robotics devices?
YesNo
Have you ever been held liable for damage caused by your IoT/robotics defective device?
YesNoI don't know
As a producer, do you have a specific insurance for IoT/robotics products to cover your liability in case of compensation?
YesNoI don't know
Questions for consumers/end-users

As a producer/supplier: please indicate which new IoT and/or robotics technological developments you

YesNo
As a consumer/user have you ever experienced a software security problem (e.g. failure of the software cyber-attack) when using your IoT/robotics product?
YesYes, but I do not know the exactly problem or cause.No
As a consumer/user of an IoT/robotics device, how easy it is to update the software of your device?
 Easy I can manage It is too inconvenient, complex, difficult My device is automatically updated/patched by the manufacturer or developer I do not have to update it Other
As a consumer, what (if anything) makes you reluctant to buy IoT/robotics products or services?
 They are technologically too complicated to use Price I am not interested Privacy risks Software security problems, Cyber security risks Legal uncertainty: I didn't know whether I would receive a compensation in case of damage In case of damage, it is difficult to understand where the cause of damage lies No reluctance at all Other
Do you think IoT/robotics products and services should be equipped with an event data recorder to track what the device was doing when the damage occurred?
YesNoI don't know

As a consumer, have you suffered damage due to a defective IoT/robotics device?

indicate them.
1500 character(s) maximum
In your opinion, who should bear the liability in case of damages caused by defects or malfunctioning of a smart device which combines tangible goods (a car), digital goods (an app) and services (e.g data services)?
The producer of the physical device
The provider of the digital good (software and/or app)
The producer of the physical device jointly with the provider of the digital good (software and/or app)
The attribution of liability is better dealt through contracts on a case-by-case basis
To be established on a case-by-case basis based on the best positioned to avoid risks
To be established on a case-by-case basis based on the entity generating the highest risks
Other
As end-user (consumer/company) active in the data economy, have you directly experienced/entered into agreements, or are you aware of contracts that reduce substantially the liability of providers of IoT products/services/robots? 1000 character(s) maximum
What type of contractual liability limitations have you faced (e.g. on errors, accuracy and reliability of data, defects, functionality and availability of service, risk of interception of information, cyber-attacks)? 1000 character(s) maximum
Which exclusions (damage to property, financial loss) or limitations of damages (e.g. caps) connected in any way with the use of IoT products/services/robots have you experienced or are you aware of? 1000 character(s) maximum
1-7

In the EU country where you live, are there specific rules on liability for damage caused by the new technological developments, such as IoT/robotics products? If you are aware of such rules, please

can adequately be dealt with through contracts?
O Yes
Partially
O No
3.2. Possible options and a way forward (both for consumers/end users and producers of IoT /Robotics devices)
Do you think a risk management approach in which the party that is best placed to minimise or avoid the realisation of the risk (e.g. the manufacturer of the IoT device, or the software designer) could be a way forward?
O Yes
O No
I don't have information about what a risk management approach would entail and would thus prefer not to answer
I don't know
In your opinion, who should bear the liability in case of damages caused by defects or malfunctioning of a smart device which combines tangible products, digital products and services? 1000 character(s) maximum
What type of liability, contractual or extra-contractual, is, in your opinion, the most consumer-friendly way to deal with damages caused by defects or malfunctioning in smart devices, which combine tangible products, digital products and services?
Contractual
Extra-contractual
None of them
I do not know
Do you think that the liability in relation to smart devices combining products and services require an ad hoc approach at EU level?
1000 character(s) maximum

Do you think the attribution of liability in the context of IoT/Autonomous systems products and services

Independently of who is considered liable, should there be a liability cap, i.e. an upper bound to the compensation of damages?
Yes, for all IoT products
Yes, but only for specific products in the experimentation/testing phase
 Yes, but only for specific products abiding by strict safety standards
O No
I do not know
What is your opinion on the idea of best practices guidelines and/or expected care and safety standard that, if fulfilled, would automatically exclude/limit liability?
I agree, for all IoT products
I agree, but only for specific products in the experimentation/testing phase
I agree, but only for product performing automated actions or taking independent decisions
I do not agree
I do not know
Is there a need for mandatory cyber insurance?
Yes, for all IoT products
Yes, but only for specific products in the experimentation/testing phase
Yes, but only for product performing automated actions or taking independent decisions
O No
I do not know
Do you feel protected by the current legal framework (both Business-to-Business and Business-to-Consumer) for algorithms, e.g. in case it can be proven that an accident has been caused by a bug in the algorithm?
O Yes
O No
I don't know
Should some sorts of standard certification or testbedding be envisaged for algorithm based services?
Yes
O No
I don't know

Who should be liable for defects or accidents caused by products embedding open algorithms, i.e. algorithms developed through cooperative platforms?
☐ The producer ☐ The user
The participants to the cooperative platform jointly
Nobody
Other
4. Portability of non-personal data, interoperability and standards
4.1. Portability of non-personal data
This section is directed towards all respondents, including consumers, organisations and businesses. The objective of this section is to explore business situations where portability of non-personal data can unlock opportunities and/or eliminate blockages in the data economy, as well as the effects of such conditions on all the concerned actors.
Are you using or have you used services which allow you to port or retrieve non-personal data that you had previously provided?
Yes
O No
I don't know
Please specify the context.
Cloud computing
✓ Online platform
✓ Other
Please specify.
500 character(s) maximum
Apps, APIs

How satisfied are you with the conditions under which you can port data?
Very dissatisfied
Dissatisfied
Neutral
Satisfied
Very satisfied
I don't know
Please briefly list your reasons of dissatisfaction
1000 character(s) maximum
Due to the sui generis right in the database directive porting of data becomes complicated.
What advantages does/would portability of non-personal data bring to you/your business?
Build value deriving from these data
Trade data on data trading platforms
Give access to third parties to the data
Switch easily service provider without losing these data
Other
Is your business offering portability of non-personal data to its business or individual clients?
Yes
O No
Please describe the conditions under which data portability is granted to your clients and how this influences your business model.
1000 character(s) maximum
Via APIs, Creative Commons Zero, machine and human readable online platforms.
Are you aware of other good examples of services offering data portability? Please specify.
1000 character(s) maximum

switching providers?
O Yes
O No
 I was not interested in switching providers
Do you see a specific need for businesses to receive non-personal data in a machine-readable format,
as well as the right to licence the use of such data to any third party (i.e. the right of data portability
under article 20 GDPR extended to any user and to non-personal data)?
O Yes
No
I don't know
If you have further comments on portability rights, please insert them below.
1000 character(s) maximum
Data should be available in a machine readable format, however we do not
accept the premises of the existence of a data right and thus a need to
license it.
What are the possible effects of introducing a portability right for non-personal data regarding cloud
services? Please consider positive and possible adverse effects, and consequences for your business and, more generally, for the user of the cloud service as well as the service provider and other
concerned actors.
1500 character(s) maximum
Tool Character(3) maximum
What are the possible effects of introducing a portability right regarding non-personal data generated by sensor-equipped machines, tools and/or devices? Please consider positive and possible adverse
effects, and consequences for your business and, more generally, for the user of the services as well
as manufactures, service providers and other concerned actors.
1500 character(s) maximum

If you are a business user of cloud services or online platforms: Have you experienced difficulties in

What are the possible effects of introducing a portability right for non-personal data regarding online platforms? Please consider positive and possible adverse effects, and consequences for your business and, more generally, for the business user of the platform, consumers, intermediary (data) services, the online platform and other concerned actors.

1500 character(s) maximum
4.2. Interoperability and standards
This section is primarily directed towards businesses and organisations. The objective of this section is to get the stakeholders' opinions on the best approaches to technically support data portability an access to data.
As a provider of cloud services, do you provide "standard-compliant" solutions?
Yes
O No
As a user of cloud services, do you give preference to "standard-compliant" solutions?
Yes
O No
For which reasons would/do you use a "standard-compliant" cloud solution
Data portability of non-personal data
Service interoperability
Privacy, data protection compliance & Security
Cloud management
Service Level Agreement
Other
What do you consider as a priority for facilitating access to data and to improve its technical and semantic discoverability and interoperability?
Common metadata schemes (including differentiated access, data provenance, quality)
Data catalogues
Use of controlled (multilingual) vocabularies
Common identifiers
Other

What technical instruments should be used for promoting/implementing your priorities suggested in the previous question?
Definition of new standards
Improvement of existing standards
Recommendations
What legal instruments should be used for promoting/implementing your priorities suggested in the same question? EU regulation Guidelines Support actions Other
Do you see the need for the definition of a reference architecture recommending a standardised high-level framework identifying interoperability interfaces and specific technical standards for facilitating seamless exchanges across data platforms?
O Yes
O No
Additional contribution
Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position paper. The maximal file size is 1MB.
Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.
b130a86c-d572-45ec-94f8-a7588ab4cd89/Data_Economy_Consultation_Position_Paper.pdf
If you wish to add further information - within the scope of this questionnaire - please feel free to do so here. 2000 character(s) maximum