JOURNAL

OF

THE ROYAL ASIATIC SOCIETY.

ART. 1.—On the Language of the so-called Kāfirs of the Indian Caucasus.—By the Rev. Ernest Trumpp, D.Phil., Missionary of the Church Missionary Society.

For the subject of the following Essay, I am indebted to the kindness of Colonel Herbert Edwardes, C.B., late Commissioner of Peshāwar. When I was stationed at Peshāwar (1859), I heard that Major Lumsden, at Mardān (in the country of the Yusufzies), was trying to collect a corps of Kāfirs, and that he had already succeeded in getting three men of this remarkable race. I lost, therefore, no time in soliciting Colonel Edwardes to beg Major Lumsden to send these Kāfirs to Peshāwar for a few days, in order that I might have an opportunity to examine them personally. Colonel Edwardes kindly responded to my request, and, in a few days after, I had the pleasure to see the representatives of a race, which has excited so much curiosity in travellers and Oriental scholars.

Elphinstone and Burnes have furnished us with notices on the Kāfirs, but neither of them had conversed with Kāfirs personally. It is true, Sir A. Burnes states that he had seen a Kāfir boy, of about ten years of age, at Kābul, who had not long been a captive. He has also given a list of Kāfir words, and a few sentences; but, on nearer investigation and comparison, I have found that the words dictated to Sir. A. Burnes as Kāfir words, are not Kāfir words at all, but belong to one vol. xix.

of the numerous dialects which are spoken in the valleys of the Kühistän of Käbul.

It would have been impossible for me to have any communication with such strangers, of whose language I was utterly ignorant, had it not been for Muhammad Rasūl, a Kūhistānī of Panjcore, who had brought them down from their mountain fastnesses, and accompanied them to Peshāwar. Some of the Kūhistānīs of Panjcore and Kooner, who have themselves been Kāfirs in no remote time, and are still reproachfully called nīmche Musalmān, or half-Musalmān, keep on some intercourse with their former brethren, their language being akin to that of the Kāfirs proper. (A short list of Kūhistānī words will be given in the Appendix, for the sake of comparison.)

This Muhammad Rasūl, who spoke Pushtō and a little Persian, served me as interpreter in my first intercourse with the Kāfirs; but knowing from experience (malis edoctus) how little reliance can be placed on such interpretations, I did my utmost to be able to ask them some few simple questions myself, in which I soon succeeded.

I was able to keep these three Kalirs only for a few days at Peshawar. The heat was already considerable (end of March), and seemed to incommode them in no small degree: they expressed every day their horror of the heat of the plains; and, in order not to discourage them or to weary them too much, I kept them daily only from three to four hours in my room, treating them with sweetmeats at intervals, to soothe their impatience. Had it been possible for me to keep these men for a longer space of time, I should have been able to go deeper into the details of their Grammar; but, as it is, I can give only some general outlines of the grammatical structure of their language, which, scanty and incomplete as they are, will not be without their use, I trust, for future investigations. The few days, or rather hours, they tarried with me, I employed to get out of them as many grammatical forms as possible. This was rather a hard task with men who had no idea of the reasons for which I was asking them such curious questions. I could effect this object only by means of very easy and plain sentences, in which I knew some case or tense of a verb must needs appear. The result of these sentences I afterwards collected, and based this grammatical sketch upon them. They often broke out into a hearty laugh when I asked them to repeat this or that word or sentence, or when I repeated it myself, to assure myself of the right pronunciation, which seemed to delight them greatly.

It may not be out of place here to add a few words on the look and general aspect of these Kāfirs. It has been so often stated by travellers, that I myself was led thereby to expect that the Kāfirs had more or less a European look or features. However, I was utterly disappointed in this: they had no blue eyes, nor light hair, like the Saxon race, nor a white skin either; they were in all respects like the natives of the upper provinces of India, of a swarthy colour, dark hair and dark eyes; only their faces were more reddish, which may be easily accounted for by their liberal use of wine; for when Colonel Edwardes asked them what they wished to eat and to drink, they answered, "A mashak of wine every day!" It may fairly be stated that their features betray at once their Hindū origin, and, if dressed like Hindūs, they would not be distinguished from their countrymen of the plains.

About their dress I can say but very little; they had already undergone a metamorphosis when I saw them, and were dressed in white calico, like other natives, only their boots were of Kāfir make. They were not different, so far as I am able to judge, from that rough sort of boots worn by the Khyberies and other hill tribes in their neighbourhood.

I have set down the names of the three Kāsirs whom I had thus an opportunity to examine. They are, Gāra (Hindī गारा), Laulā (Hindī लाला), and Būru (perhaps Hindī चड़ा). They seemed not much to dister in age—they were between twenty-five and thirty-sive, certainly not older than thirty-sive.

I was very desirous to know by what name they called their own country, for Kāfiristān is a mere Muhammadan appellation, signifying "the country of infidels," which, in their eyes, might be any country except their own. The name they

gave me for their country was Wāmasthān, a word, as I found, known to the Kühistanis too, who designate it by what is called in Persian Kühistan, or the highlands. The derivation of this word is rather doubtful. It seems, though, that Wāmasthān was the ancient name of the whole country, especially the highland or mountainous district, which is now known by the name of the territory of Kabul, including Balkh. Different places are mentioned under the name of Bam: Balkh itself bears the surname of Bāmī, or situated in Bāmastān or Wāmasthān. The name of the famous Bāmiān, with its enormous idols, seems to be derived from the same source. In Burnes's map, attached to his "Journey to Bokhara," a village in Kāfiristan is set down with the name of Vama, which seems to be the word in question. There is a Zend word, bāma (Sansk. भाम), light, splendour; and Wamasthan may therefore signify the country of light. These regions were formerly the seat of Buddhism, as the great ruins and inscriptions still show, and such an appellation might therefore not be improbable, though I give it with great doubt.

I have taken great pains to fix the orthography of Kāfir words as carefully as possible, and pronounced the words myself repeatedly whenever I was doubtful as to their spelling. The system I have followed, to spell Kāfir words, is that of Professor Lepsius (Standard Alphabet, second edition—in the press). I have drawn up the Kāfir alphabet on the basis of the words which I was able to collect: it cannot, therefore, claim to be complete, as letters may occur in words which I have not been able to set down.

A few remarks will suffice to explain the differences of this orthography from the now frequently-employed system of Sir W. Jones. We speak here of the Roman system in reference to Sanskrit and its cognate dialects.

As regards the vowels, the system is identical with that of Sir W. Jones, the vowels having the power of one sound respectively, as used in Italian or German. The ordinary prosodial mark of length \bar{a} is employed, instead of the acute accent \dot{a} , which would thus be precluded from its proper use.

For the Kāfirī, and also for the Pushtō, another vowel sound is added, namely a. This a is well to be distinguished from a (or \check{a} , when it may be found necessary to mark it with the sign of shortness): it is a short, indistinct vowel, approaching the English u in but, or the German \check{u} . This sound is not given in Raverty's Pushtō Grammar (1st ed.), though well known in Pushtō, and even marked out by the natives themselves.

The so-called Anuswāra is not expressed by n, but by the sign $\tilde{}$ put above the so-nasalized vowel. This is quite in accordance with Sanskrit usage and the nature of the rasalized vowels. The nasality rests in the *vowel* itself, not in the addition of any n or m. This is perfectly borne out by Prākrit usage, and the way in which the modern Indian tongues (of Sanskrit origin) employ the Anuswāra, where it is frequently used, to *prevent hiatus*—well to be distinguished from the use of Anuswāra for the nasal of any class or varga,—for when it is thus indiscriminately used we substitute i (\mathfrak{F}), or n (\mathfrak{F}), n (\mathfrak{F}),

In the Consonantal System, the discrepancies will be the following:—

1. In the Guttural Class, the guttural n (\mathfrak{F}) is expressed by n, and not by ng, or any other compound,—the rule upon which this system is based being to express single sounds by single bases.

The aspirates of all vargas are expressed by the addition of h to the respective unaspirated consonant, as they cannot be considered simple sounds, even in Sanskrit, which is clearly shown by the way in which aspirated consonants are doubled in Sanskrit; as, we considered a simple sound.

2. The greatest deviation will be found in the Palatal Class:—

The English bases, ch for the simple च, and chh for च, are withdrawn, as offending against the very principles of this system. For च and ज, the English bases c and j respectively have been retained; but, for the sake of pointing out their

new functions, and preventing mistakes (in the case of j especially, as regards German or French, &c. pronunciation), they have been marked & j, &h, jh, respectively. The palatal \mathfrak{A} has been marked likewise i, as it is now commonly pronounced ih in India. There can be no doubt that the present pronunciation of \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A} as English ih and ih cannot be the original one; for as they are now pronounced, they are compound sounds, and ought correctly to be written ih, ih, or, in the Standard Alphabet, ih, ih, &c., but, for the sake of easy transcription, and grammatical and lexicographical purposes, it was deemed fit to express these (originally simple) sounds by simple bases.

The varga of the Palatal Class will therefore run thus:-

The original pronunciation of these letters has undergone a change in the course of time.

The Cerebral Class is identical with Sir W. Jones's system-

The Dental and Labial Classes are likewise the same, and offer no difficulty.

KĀFIR ALPHABET.

VOWELS.

CONSONANT SYSTEM.

1. Gutturals . .
$$k$$
 — y — n — h

2. Palatals . . $\begin{cases} \check{c} & \check{c}h & \check{j} & - & - & y & - & t\check{s} \\ ts & & & & \end{cases}$

3. Cerebrals . . t — th — t —

4. Dentals . . .
$$t - d - n r l s \ddot{s} z$$

5. Labials
$$\dots p - b - m \quad w$$

In reference to the vowels, it must be remarked that q is pronounced very quickly, so that it approaches almost to a short \tilde{i} , or the German \tilde{u} . I had first mistaken it for a short \tilde{i} , but I soon found that it was a peculiarly swift a, or, in fact, an indistinct vowel between short \tilde{a} and short \tilde{i} . This vowel differs somewhat from the short, indistinct vowel inherent to a Sanskrit consonant, and which is more or less akin to the English u in but. The sound of the Kāfir q can only be compared to the peculiar indistinct sound in Pushtō; as, \hat{i} (fem.), which can only be learned by hearing.

In regard to the consonants, it must surprise us at the first survey that many of the aspirates are missing. In all the words I have been able to collect I could only discern a few aspirates, and I have therefore no doubt that there are only a few existent in their language. This would be quite a prominent feature in the Kāfir tongue, and would bring it into closer connexion with the Iranian and Pushtō, which have already discarded the aspirates preserved in the vulgar dialects of India.

Another peculiarity observable in the Käfir alphabet is the sound ts. The letter \check{c} (Sansk. \exists) has been softened down to ts in many words, as in $m\bar{a}ts$, "a man" (Sindhī, $\pi \exists$). In other words, ts seems to have softened down from the Sanskrit compound $\exists k\check{s}$, which may also be said of z; as, $z\check{u}$, "milk," from the Sanskrit $\exists t\check{t}$. The letter w is not pronounced like the English w, but stands in the midst between v and w, and has quite the sound of the German w.

The Kāfir tongue being a pure Prākrit dialect (as will easily be seen from what follows), separated from its sister dialects since the irruption of the Muhammadan power, in the tenth century of our era, is of the greatest importance to Indian philology, as we have a very imperfect knowledge of the common dialects then in use in India. We may fairly infer that the dialect of the Kāfirs has been preserved to us

pure, or very little altered in the course of time, as the Käfirs were quite cut off from all connexion with the other Indians, and hemmed in on all sides by impassable mountains, which enabled the fugitive race to defend their independence against all assaults on the part of the savage Pushtö tribes who were settling down in their ancient seats.

I.—OF DECLENSION.

It seems that the Nominative, singular and plural, is no longer distinguished by any termination; it ends either in a vowel, as, dai, "father," bla, "brother;" or in a consonant, as, sus, "sister," māts, "man." The plural is identical with the Nominative singular in all the examples which I have been able to gather. The Cases are expressed by means of Postpositions, as in Hindi. The Dative singular seems to be marked by a Case termination in ē. The Instrumentalis singular is identical with the Nominative singular, and not expressed by a as in Hindi. The same is the case also in Sindhi and Pushto, where the Instrumentalis differs from the Nominative singular only by a vowel change, which is discarded in the Käfir tongue. The plural has a regular Genitive in $i\tilde{a}$, and a Dative and Instrumentalis in \tilde{e} , which seems also to be allotted to the Accusative plural, as the examples will show. Much of what is said here in regard to the Cases must of course remain doubtful, on account of the scanty materials at my disposal; however, I trust that the grand features exhibited will be found correct.

PARADIGMA.

BINGULAR.

Nom. māts, a man.

Gen. māts-wā, of a man (belonging to).

Dat. māts-ē, to a man.

Instrum. mats, by a man.

Acc. māts, a man.

Loc. &c. māts dā, in a man, &c

PLURAL.

Nom. mats. men. Gen. māts-iā, of men. Dat. māts-ē. to men. Instrum. māts-ē, by men. Acc. (*māts*) *māts-ē*, men. in men, &c. Loc. māts-ē dā.

This paradigm will be corroborated by the sentences which lasked, in order to elicit the Cases—

This is the Sāhib's house. Yak āma Sāhib-wā sĕ.

This horse is of Gārah. Yak gắn Gārah-wā sĕ.

I give this thing to the Sāhib. Ei yak dắnoat Sāhib-ē blīm.

I see this man. Ei yak māts kásim.

Gārah is in this house. Gārah tiko āma dā sĕ.

Gārah is in this village. Gārah tiko glām dã sĕ.

By the Sāhib it was said. Sāhib bala.

Sentences containing the Plural.

Those men are good.

Those women are good.

Sigë mâts maista sin.

Sigë istri maista sin.

Ama sigë māts iā.

Yak kūri sigē māts-iā sē.

Yak kūri sigē māts-ē blīm.

I see these men.

Li yakē māts-ē kǎsim.

In other sentences which I asked, I noticed a deviation from the paradigm as given above, in respect to the *Genitive Case*—

What is the name of thy father?

What is the name of thy mother?

Tua daī nām kā sĕ.

Tua ārau nām kā sĕ.

Yak āma ima blā sĕ.

Tua šā drǔ šīkista sĕ.

Tua šā drǔ šīkista sĕ.

Sāhib āma dā ei.

Li diā āma dā dim.

I am bewildered at this deviation, if it be any, and if it is not to be explained in some other way. However, I thought it

best to set down these examples, that persons who may get any further chance of conversing with Kāfirs may keep this point in view. The postposition $w\bar{a}$ is apparently the Sanskrit adjective termination \overline{v}_{11} , and is used as \overline{v}_{11} in Hindi, which is properly an Adjective termination, as it has been already remarked by Lassen, in his "lnst. Linguæ Prācritieæ."

II.—OF ADJECTIVES.

I have been able to collect only a few Adjectives; but so much is clear, that the terminations of Adjectives do not change according to the gender of Substantives. To elicit this, I asked them the following sentences—

This man is good.

This woman is good.

These men are good.

Those women are good.

yak māts maišta sē.

yakē māts maišta sin.

sigē istrī maišta sin.

List of a few Adjectives.

great, auli. much, mala. little, abelik. right, thik.

Note.—I have not been able to come to any conclusion in regard to the gender of Nouns. I doubt greatly if any gender be distinguished, as I have not been able to find out any trace of it. So much is clear, that Adjectives are not subject to any change, either in regard to gender or case: the Kāfir tongue seems to agree in this respect quite with the Iranian. In all the Prākrit dialects of India, the Adjectives always agree with the Substantives in gender and case: the Kāfirī seems to incline in this, as in many other respects, more to the Iranian than to the Prākrit of India.

III. — OF PRONOUNS.

1. PERSONAL PRONOUNS.

Ei, I.

SINGULAR,		PLUI	la I	
Nom.	Ei,	I.	ima,	we.
Gen.	ima,	of me.	ť mua,	of us.
Dat. unknown.		own.	unknov	V11.
Instrum.	уū,	by me.	ima,	by us.
Loc.	yū dā	, in me, &c.	ima dá	į̃, in us.

Tŭ, Thou.

Nom.	tŭ,	thou.	vi,	you.
Gen.	tua,	of thee.	yā,	of you.
Dat.	unkno	wn.	unkno	wn.
Instrum.	tŭ,	by thee.	vi,	by you.
Loc.	tŭ dã,	in thee, &c.	vī dā,	in you, &c.

Siga, He, that.

Nom.	siga,	he, that.	siyE,	they.
Gen.	siga,	of him.	siyā,	of them.
Dat.	unknow	n.	unknow	'n.
Instrum.	siga,	by him.	sig č ,	by them.
Loc.	siya dã,	in him, &c.	sigē dā	, in them, &c.

The Käfir Pronouns deviate already very considerably from the old Präkrit forms and the present vulgar dialects of India. The Pronoun of the *first* person has been shortened from $\nabla \vec{k}$, whereas, on the contrary, in the later dialects it has been lengthened, as in the Sindhī $\tilde{a}\tilde{a}$ or \tilde{a} , I.

The Pronoun of the second person, tử, is shortened from the Prācrit तुम, and lengthened again in Panjābī, Sindhī, Gu-jarātī, and Marāṭhī.

The Pronoun of the *third* person, siga, is peculiar, and we look in vain for a corresponding form among its sister dialects:

the nearest form seems to be the Pushtō केंक, "that." I conjecture that siga, like the Pushtō कें, is derived from the Prākrit सो (instead of एसो—see Powell's Varar. p. 22), with the Adjective termination क, the ō of सो being shortened into ĭ, on account of the affixed Adjective termination.

The first person plural, ima, "we," is derived from the Präkrit ज्ञाम्म, Sindhī and Panjābī जंसी, Hindī हम् .

The second person plural, vi, is shortened from the Prākrit यो, Sindhī अंद्रे.

The third person plural, $sig\delta$, is the plural form of siga.

The Pronouns of the Kāfir tongue seem thus to be quite independent of the old Prākrit, and to follow their own way.

2. Possessive pronouns.

SINGULAR.		PLURAL,		
ima,	mine.	imua,	ours.	
tua,	thine.	$yar{a}$,	yours	
siga,	his.	sigā,	theirs	

The Possessive Pronouns are the Genitives of the Personal Pronouns, as in Sanskrit, Präkrit, and the modern tongues of India.

Ima is derived from the Prakrit मम or मह.

Tua, from the Prākrit तृह.

Siga, see above. Compare also the Hindi उस्ता.

Inua seems to be derived from the Prakrit form of चाम्हो, a form which is doubted by Lassen in his Prakrit. Gram. p. 331.

 $Y\bar{a}$ is quite peculiar, and no corresponding form is to be found in Prākrit. Compare the Sindhī $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$, and the Greek $\hat{\eta}\mu\epsilon\hat{\imath}\varsigma$.

Sigā is the Genitive plural of siga.

The few sentences which follow were asked, to ascertain the Possessive Pronouns—

My house, ima āma.

Our house, ima āma.

Your house, yā āma.

Your house, yā āma.

Their house, sigā āma.

Compare also the examples given under the head of Declension. As in Persian, Pushtō, and Sindhī, the Kāfirs can suflix the Possessive Pronouns to the Nouns; as, naugar-sin, "his servant;" tu mālavēse, "dost thou understand it?" uṣṭim, "my lip." I have not been able to get all the suflixed (Possessive) Pronouns. However, to conclude from the Sindhī, or Jat Gālī, the language of the great Jat race, which spreads from the sea as high up as Peshāwar, and which bears in many respects a close affinity to the Kāfir tongue, I should say that the suffixed Pronoun of the second person will be ē, the first pers. plur. ā, the second pers. plur. wa, and the third pers. plur. in. I mention this merely for the attention of future inquirers.

3. DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUNS.

	£831	
Yak,	TI	us.

SINGULAR.		PLURAL.
Nom. yak, this.		$yak ilde{e}$, these.
Gen.	1	yakiā, of these.
Dat.	unknown.	unknown.
Instr.)	$yak\tilde{e}$, by these.

Other Cases unknown.

Siga, That.

Nom.	siga,	that.	siy ē,	those.
Gen.	siya,	of that.	sig ā ,	of those.
Dat.	unkno	wn.	unkno	wn.
Instr.	siya,	by that.	sig ë ,	by those.
Loc.	siga di	ĩ, in that.	siyê di	t, in those, &c.

I have met also with the Demonstrative Pronoun $tik\bar{o}$, in some of the sentences which I asked. I suspect that this is some form allied to the Pushtō $\dot{\lambda}$, "this." However, I must refrain from pronouncing any opinion upon it.

Gārah is in that house, Gārah tiko āma dā sē. Gārah is in that village, Gārah tiko glām dā sē.

4. RELATIVE PRONOUNS.

I am sorry that I have not succeeded in laying hold of any form of the Relative Pronoun.

5. INTERROGATIVE PRONOUNS.

I have been able to collect only the two following forms of the same: $-k\bar{a}$, "what?" Hindī बा, Sindhī बा; as, $k\bar{a}$ $bal\bar{e}s$, "what dost thou say?" $Ts\bar{i}n$, "how many?" Compare the Pushtō $\hat{j} = ts\bar{o}$, "some," "any," and the Persian $\hat{j} = ts\bar{o}$; as, $ts\bar{i}n$ $m\bar{a}ts$ sin, "how many men are there?"

IV.—NUMERALS.

I have only been able to collect the Cardinal Numbers, the Ordinals have escaped my notice.

CARDINAL NUMBERS.

One,	āčh.	Twenty-one, vi	šāčh.
Two,	dŭ.	Twenty-two, vie	šādŭ.
Three,	trč.	Twenty-three, vi	šātrč.
Four,	tsadā.	Twenty-four, vi	šātsadā.
Five,	punts.	Twenty-five, vi	šāpunts.
Six,	šu.	Twenty-six, vi	รัสรัน.
Seven,	$sar{u}t.$	Twenty-seven, vi	šāsūt.
Eight,	ušt,	Twenty-eight, vi	કંā-ušt.
Nine,	nŭ.	Twenty-nine, vi	šānŭ.
Ten,	dős.	Thirty, vi	šā-dŏs.
Eleven,	júnis.	Forty, di	i-iši.
Twelve,	biis.	Fifty, di	i-iša-dŏs.
Thirteer	triis.	Sixty, tr	ē-viši.
Fourteen,	tsēdis.	Seventy, tr	ē-viši-dŏs.
Fifteen,	pätsis.	Eighty, tse	ŭdá-viši.
Sixteen,	ธนักร่อ.	Ninety, ts	adā-viši-dŏs.
Seventeen,	satäis	One hundred, p	unc ^x .
Eighteen,	ástais.	Two hundred, da	úšjī.
Ninetcen,	นรกี.	Three hundred, pe	atsišjī.
Twenty,	víŝi.	Four hundred, vi	iši-viši.

I was very desirous to ask the Numerals all through, but they declared that the Kāfirs only counted up to four hundred (very likely they themselves had not learned more), and I was thus compelled to desist.

The Käfir Numerals are very interesting, as they differ in essential points from the Numerals used in the different Präkrit dialects of India. Some of them are quite peculiar; as, deh, "one;" $jun\bar{s}$, "eleven;" $us\bar{u}$, "nineteen;" $pun\bar{e}$, "a hundred," and the compound numbers of $du\check{s}\check{j}i$, &c.

The numbers are formed regularly from one to twenty, viši (Sansk. fänfa, Sindhī चोह); from twenty to thirty the units are postposed, as in English; above twenty, the tens are formed by addition and multiplication; as, viši-dös, "twenty (and) ten," = thirty; dū-iši (=dŭ-viši), "two times twenty,"=forty; dū-išū-dös, "two times twenty (and) ten,"=fifty; trē-viši, "three times twenty,"=sixty; trē-viši-dös, "three times twenty (and) ten,"=seventy; tsadā-viši, "four times twenty,"=cighty;" tsadā-viši-dös, "four times twenty (and) ten,"= ninety.

Punč, "one hundred," is quite peculiar. I suppose that this is likewise a compound of punts-iši, contracted into punč, "five times twenty;" for there is no such word as punč to be found, neither in Sanskrit, Prākrit, nor any other cognate dialect.

In the same manner, I suppose, $du\check{s}\check{f}\bar{\iota}$, "two hundred," is formed: it must be contracted from $d\check{o}s$ - $i\check{s}\bar{\iota}$, "ten times twenty." Likewise $patsi\check{s}\check{f}\bar{\iota}$, "five hundred," from patsis- $i\check{s}\bar{\iota}$, "fifteen times twenty:" $v\check{t}\check{s}\bar{\iota}$ - $vi\check{s}\bar{\iota}$, "four hundred," or "twenty times twenty," seems to prove this conjecture.

It is remarkable that twenty is the numeral with which the multiplication is effected. This circumstance reminds us most forcibly of a similar case in French, where the number eighty is likewise represented by a multiplication with twenty—quatre-vingt, or four times twenty. M. Elphinstone's remark on the Kāfir Numerals is thus borne out by facts.

PERS.

V.—OF VERBS.

1. SUBSTANTIVE VERB.

Lam.

Present Tense.

PLURAL.

SINGULAR.

1. Ei süm,	Lam.	Ima simiš,	We are.	
2. Tŭ sis,	Thou art.	Wi sik,	You are.	
3. Siga sĕ,	He is.	Sigë sin.	They are.	
Imperfect.				
1. Ei sū,	I was.	Ima sūmiš,	We were.	
2. <i>T</i> 'ŭ sūns,	Thou wast,	Wî sūs,	You were.	
3. Siga sĕ,	He was.	Sigē sīn,	They were.	

Future.

1.	Ei šálam,	I shall be.	Ima šálamiš,	We shall be.
2.	Tŭ šåles.	Thou wilt be.	Wī šáles.	You will be.

Tǔ šắles, Thou wilt be. Wī šắles, You will be.
 Siga šắlese, He will be. Sigē šắlan, They will be.

Imperative.

Từ šáles, Be thou. Wi šéles, Be ye.

Note.—I have not been able to hit on the Infinitive of this Verb.

The conjugation of this Verb is peculiarly interesting. It deviates from all other forms in all the present Prākrit tongues of India, and seems to have struck out for itself quite a peculiar course. The forms exhibited above remind us most forcibly of the *Latin* Substantive Verb, whereas they differ widely from the ancient Prākrit forms. We can easily distinguish the terminations of the Verb—

PERS.	PRESENT SINGULAR.	PRESENT PLURAL.
1.	-m.	—miš.
2.	 s.	- k.
3.	— <i>å</i> .	n.

The termination of the 2d pers. plur. is peculiar to itself, and is not met with, as far as I know, in any of the Prākrit dialects.

The terminations of the Imperfect differ from those of the Present; but, as I have not been able to ascertain if a regular Imperfect is to be met with in other Verbs, I must leave it as I found it. In the Present, the \check{a} of the Sanskrit form with has been thrown off, and the has been lengthened into $\check{s}\check{u}m$ or $\check{s}\check{u}m$, like as in Latin. In the Imperfect, the Sanskrit form with has been altered to wi, and the \check{a} been changed into \check{u} —a change which is also observable in other examples—and the Anuswāra has been dropped, so that we have $s\check{u}$.

The terminations of the Future are—

PERS.	SINGULAR.	PLURAL.
1.	─lăm.	—lamiš
2.	-les.	—les.
3.	-lese.	-lan.

The 2d pers. sing. and plur, are not distinguished here, except by the Personal Pronoun; but in the *Compound Future* we always find *lik* for the 2d pers. plur., as will be seen hereafter.

2. INTRANSITIVE VERB.

Infinitive, $\tilde{A}na$, To come.

Present Tonge

	1 100011	e renoc.	
PERS. SINGU	LAR.	P1.	URAL.
1. Ei am,	l come.	Ima álamiš,	We come.
2. Tu ei,	Thou comest.	Wī ālik,	You come.
3. Siga āe,	He comes.	Siyễ ālan,	They come.

Preterite.

- 1. Ei āgā sim, I am come. Ima āgā simis, We are come.
- 2. Tu $\bar{a}g\bar{a}$ sis, Thou art come. $W\bar{\imath}$ $\bar{a}g\bar{a}$ lik, You are come.
- 3. Siga āgā sĕ, He is come. Sigē āgā sin, They are come.

Compound Future.

PERH.	8. SINGULAR.		
1.	Ei-koi ālam,	I shall come.	
2.	Tu-koi-ālas,	Thou wilt come.	
3.	Siga koi-álase,	He will come.	

VOL. XIX.

Compound Future.

PERS.

PLURAL.

- 1. Ima koi-alamis, We shall come.
- 2. Wī koi-ālik, You will come.
- 3. Sige koi-ālan, They will come.

Imperative.

SINGULAR.

PLURAL.

Ei, Come thou.

Alik, Come ye.

This Verb is apparently irregular in the Present tense. The 1st pers. sing. is certainly the root \bar{a} , "come," with the termination m. In the 2d pers. sing. we should expect, instead of tu ei, rather tu eis. In the 3d pers. sing. a nasal has been interposed for euphony's sake, $\tilde{a}e$ instead of \bar{a} -e.

The *Plural* looks rather suspicious, and more like a *Future* than a *Present* tense. However, I have set it down here as I got it out of the Kāfirs, and beg to turn the attention of future inquirers to this point.

The Preterite is apparently a compound of āgā (Hindī आगिया), "come," and the Present tense of the Substantive Verb, "I am." We find here sim instead of sim, which may be easily explained, sim having been changed into sim on account of the preceding long vowels ā.

The Future Compound is very curious, and I do not know what explanation to offer. The koi is prefixed as an unchangeable particle, like & in Pushtō.

3. TRANSITIVE VERB.

To do (Infinitive unknown).

Present Tense.

PLURAL.

PERS. SINGULAR.

1. Ei kálam, I do. Ima kálamiš, We do.

2. Tu kális, Thou dost. Wī kálik, You do.

3. Siga kále, He does. Sigē kálan, They do.

Preterite

(properly Passive Voice, as in all Prākrit dialects and in Pushtō).

PERS. SINGULAR.

- 1. Yû krč, By me has been done.
- 2. Tu krč, By thee has been done.
- 3. Siga kre, By him has been done.

PERS. PLURAL.

- 1. Ima krč, By us has been done.
- 2. Wī krĕ, By you has been done.
- 3. Sigē krē, By them has been done.

Compound Future.

PERS. SINGULAR. PLURAL.

- 1. Ei koi-kálam, I shall do. Ima koi-kálamiš, We shall do.
- 2. Tu koi-káles, Thou wilt do. Wī koi-kálik, You will do.
- 3. Siga koi-kálese, He will do. Sigē koi-kálan, They will do.

Imperative.

Kŭ, Do thou.

Kŭk Do ye.

In the Present tense l has been substituted instead of r in k'alam, a change which frequently occurs in Sindhī too. The root \overline{q} is common to all Prākrit dialects, and also in the Pushtō, where the Present tense, 1st person, is za kram.

The Present and Future tenses are identical, with the exception of the 3d pers. sing. Present, which is kále, and the 3d pers. sing. Future, kálese. The Future is distinguished from the Present by the Particle koi, in like manner as the Pushtō Future is distinguished from the Present by &; as, Present tense, is za kram; Future, is distinguished from the Present by &; as, Present tense, is za kram; Future, is distinguished from the Present by &; as, Present tense, is za kram; Future, is distinguished from the Present by &; as, Present tense, is za kram; Future, is distinguished from the Present by &; as, Present tense, is za kram; Future, is distinguished from the Present by &; as, Present tense, is za kram; Future, is distinguished from the Present by &; as, Present tense, is za kram; Future, is distinguished from the Present by &; as, Present tense, is za kram; Future, is za kram; "I shall do."

The Prākrit, and all the dialects derived from it, have no Preterite Active Voice, but this tense must always be expressed in Transitive Verbs by the Passive Voice. Krē is therefore the Participle Past Passive, and resembles closely the Pushtō Participle Past Passive

For the sake of analogy with the other Participles Past Passive which end in a (which is, as remarked above, nearly pronounced i, or like the German i), I felt strongly inclined to write it kra, but the \check{e} sound appeared to me too decisive to write it otherwise.

6. ANOTHER TRANSITIVE VERB.

To say (Infinitive unknown).

Present Tense.

		3. 7 000700	A 010001	
PRRS.	SING	ULAR,	PLUR	AT.
1. <i>Ei</i>	bálim,	I say.	Ima bálimiš,	We say.
2. Tu	bál Es,	Thou sayest.	Wī bálik,	You say.
3. Sig	ja bále,	He says.	Sig& bálín,	They say.

Preterite (Passive Voice).

PERS.

SINGULAR.

- 1. Yū bala, By me has been said.
- 2. Tu bala, By thee has been said.
- 3. Siga bala, By him has been said.

PERS.

PLURAL.

- 1. Ima bala, By us has been said.
- 2. Wi bala, By you has been said.
- 3. Sigē bala, By them has been said.

Future.

PERS.

SINGULAR.

PLURAL.

- Ei balålam, I shall say.
 Tu balåles, Thou wilt say: Wi balåles, You will say.
- 3 Siga balálese, He will say. Sige balálan, They will say.

Imperative.

Bále, Say thou.

Bálik, Say you.

A few Sentences, containing some forms of Verbs, which were frequently interchanged between me and the Kāfirs—

Ei műlawim. I understand.

Tu mālawēse? Dost thou understand it?

Yû pắruza. It has been comprehended by me.

Niši. Sit (Imperative).

Ei níšim. I sit.

Tua wèrī maišṭa sẽ. Thy word is good. Ei na mālawim. I do not understand.

Timu bakase. Look here.

Kā balēs.What dost thou say?Yū dā bale.Speak to (towards) me.Yếnu tāp sẽ.It is warm to-day.Tu kā bala.What didst thou say?

VI .- A few Adverbs and Conjunctions.

timu, here. o, and.

kūņe, from whence. tā, now, then; Hindī तो,

dã, in, to. Sindhi πi.

nā, not.

We will now give a little story which I asked the Kāsirs to pronounce, to their infinite delight—

Āčh māts o naugarsin āčh āma dā pāmanik sīn. Sāhib lawā dā baļa: Bāwe paišta bākase nālī āc nāe. Lawā baļa: Nālī āc. Sāhib baļa: Tu tā pāmanik sūs, tu kā mulāta? Lawā baļa: Yū dā bisās āgā siga, bisās wā prišti tribala siga, yū dūs kūšū arā, yū mūlāta, nālī āc.

TRANSLATION.

One man and his servant were sleeping in a house. The Sāhib said to the servant: "Go forth, see (if) rain come or come not." The servant said: "Rain comes." The Sāhib said: "Now thou wert sleeping,—what is known by thee?"

The servant said: "To me a cat was come; the cat's back wet was, by me the hand (was rubbed on it), by me it was known rain comes."

ANALYSIS.

Ach, "one," Sansk एक; mats, "a man," Sindhi माच्; o naugarsin, "and his servant." Naugar is a Persian word, which they picked up during their stay in the plains, as well as the word Sāhib صاحب, by which a European is now denoted in India, or a lord. Ama, "house," origin unknown; pamanik, "sleeping" (it is perhaps corrupted from the Sanskrit खपुक्); láwā, "a slave-boy" (compare the Hindī होंड); bāwe, "go,"—it seems to be contracted from ba and awe, like bakuse from ba and kase. This would show that ba is prefixed to the Imperative, like & to the Imperative in Persian. Paišta seems to signify "forth," "out;" nālī, "rain," properly water-Sansk. नार, Hindi नाला. Sindhi नारो; mulata is the Participle Past Passive from the form, as given above, Ei malawim, "I understand," origin unknown; yũ dẫ, "to me," or "towards me." The postposition da governs the Oblique Case, as may be seen in yū, which is the Oblique Case of Ei. Bisās, "cat," origin unknown (compare the Pushtō ييشو pišn, which is apparently related to it); bisās-wā (Genitive); prišti, "back," Sansk. yi; tribala, "wet," origin unknown; $y\bar{u}$ (Instrumentalis), "by me;" dus, "hand," Persian נששי; kušu ara; the exact meaning of these words is unknown to me. ·

APPENDIX I.

List of Kāfir words, compared with those given by Sir A. Burnes.

BURNES.
Tala.

Father, daī. Sansk. धातु, Pers. دائی. Tala Mother, áyau. Compare the Sindhī अड़ी. Hai.

Brother, blā. Sanskrit भाता, Sindhī भाउ,

Hindī भाई.* Bura.

Son, saggā. Hindī सम्मा, Sansk. खन्नीय. Dabla. Daughter, sŭ. Sansk. मुना, Prākr. मुन्ना. Dable.

Sister, sus. Sansk. खसा. Sosi.

Man (generally), māts. Sindhī माचु.

Man, wirē. Sansk. and Sindhī चीर. Nawista. Woman istrī. Sansk. स्रो Mushi.

Woman, istri. Sansk. स्त्री.

God, dē. Sansk. देव. Yamrai, Doghum.

Name of the god or idol of the Kāfirs, Adrik

Pānō; Adrik=সবৃষ (?), Pānō = Sans. মাড:
it would thus signify "the Unseen Being."
In regard to pānō, see the "Kapur di Giri
Inscriptions," where the word pāṇa also
occurs.

Temple, but-tsida. Hindī "idol;" tsida is unknown to me.

King, suranwāli. Probably derived from भूर, "a hero," and the termination चाला. Pasha

Chieftain, bādur. Pers. بهادر. Salmanash. Horse, gűrű. Hindī पोज़.

* Blā is formed on the same rule as plār, "father," in Pushtó. The Sanskrit form has first been changed to bhrā—t being elided, according to the common Prākrit rule, between two vowels, and this again to bhlā (l and r interchanging), and in Kāfir to blā, as the Kāfirī seems to avoid aspirated consonants: in Sindhī and Hindī the aspirate has been retained.

	BURNES.
Cow, gā. Sansk. गो, Hindī गाइ.	Goa.
Dog, kuri. Sansk. बुर्जुर.	Tun.
Body, tsit.	
Breath, sa. Sansk. भ्राम, Sindhi साहु.	
Hand, dus. Pers. دست.	Ehapalpain
Foot (knee), kur. Hindi मोड़.	Kur.
Mouth, aši Sansk, आसं.	Ash.
Lip, ušt. Sansk. जोष्ट.	
Nose, nāsuri. Sansk. नासा; nāsuri is appa-	
rently a diminutive form.	
Finger, ắnu. Sansk. ਕੜ੍ਹੀਨ.	Azun.
Eye, ansi. Sansk. ऋधि, Hindī आम्ब.	Achau.
Hair, drŭ.	Kesh.
Head, इंत्. Sansk. शिरम् .	
Tooth, dont. Sansk. दना, Hindi दाना.	Dint.
Ear, karna. Sansk. करी, Hindi कान्.	Kar.
Village, glām. Sansk. ग्राम.	
—— pātala.	
House, āma.	Ama.
Mountain, dã. Sansk. घर.	Da.
River, gul. Sansk. कुल्पा.	Gulnucka.
Water, ábu. Pers. آب, Pushtō فريد obah.	An.
Fire, ana. Sansk. अग्रु, Hindi आग्.	Ai.
Tree, kanta. Sansk. कारक, Hindi कारहा.	Ushtun.
Grass, šuts.	Yus.
Wood, dau. Sansk. दू:.	
Bread, au,	Eu.
Milk, zŭ. Sansk. स्त्रीरं, Pers. شير.	Zor.
Flesh, ánda.	
Sword, kātā. Sindhī काती, Sansk. root कृत.	${\it Tarw}$ āle.
Bow, dra. Sansk. root द्रुग .	Shindri.
Arrow, kan. Sindhi कानु, Sansk. काग्रं.	Kain.
Shield, báda,	Karai.
Road, virtšu.	

nurnes.

Kamis.

Cloth, préna

Boot, kölara.

- wātsa.

Grape, drāš. Sansk. द्राधा.

Wine, tin.

Nut, imlu.

Peach, áru.

Apricot, tsīra.

Pomegranate, amar. Pers. أنار

Year, kāl. Pushtō الل, Sansk. काल

Month, mās. Sansk. मास.

Day, dos. Sansk. दिवस्

To-day, yenu. Compare Pushto ", "to day."

To-morrow, šākiũ.

Yesterday, dős. Sanskrit द्वास् , Zend zyö, Persian دی.

Night, satr. Sansk. श्रान्तरी.

Spring, wusunt. Sansk. वज्ञान.

Summer, nina.

Autumn, suru. Sansk. शाद.

Winter, zē. Sansk. हेम-ना, Pushtō र्क.

Heat, tāp. Sansk. ताप.

Cold, āléhega.

Snow, šim. Sansk. हिम.

Sun, sū. Sansk. सुर्थी.

Moon, mas. Sansk. माम्.

Word, weri. Sindhī वाई.

Stur, istā. Sansk. aiti.

Name nam. Sansk. नाम.

Wasunt.

We stmik.

·Shuri.

Zuin.

Tapi.

Yos.

Zim.

Achama.

Soo.

Mas.

Tara.

APPENDIX II.

List of Kühislani (Kooner) Words.

Father,	$bar{a}$.	Tooth,	dānt.
Mother,	aī.	Ear,	Xār.
Brother,	$lar{c}.$	Cow,	$g\bar{o}lang.$
Son,	pušlin.	Dog,	šuri.
Daughter,	wát a k.	Grape,	dāšek.
Sister,	tsádak.	Man (generally),	adami.`
Hand,	astim.	Woman,	$tsear{\imath}b.$
Foot,	lañ.	House,	gōšim.
Knee,	kuta.	Horse,	gōra.
Mouth,	$d ilde{o}r.$	Milk,	šīr.
My mouth,	$dar{o}rim.$	Mountain,	$d ilde{a}r$.
Nose,	nāst.	Kühistän,	dār-watan.
Finger,	angur.	River,	gal.
Eye,	ān j .	Water,	wårek.
Hair,	šąt.	Man,	wirek.
Head,	sir.		

APPENDIX III.

Some additional words, communicated by E. Norris, Esq.

By the kindness of T. Villiers Lister, Esq., of the Foreign Office, I have received a short list of Kafir words, procured at Teheran from a woman of the tribe residing in that city. The gentleman who forwarded the list found the woman unable to furnish any information upon the structure of her language, and it may be suspected that she gave a Persian term now and then, when one from her own language was not remembered. The whole list contains barely a hundred words, and only a small proportion of them are synonymous with any of those furnished by Dr. Trumpp. But, as any addition to our very meagre knowledge of this tongue must be of interest, the whole list is given. I begin with the words which are common to the two lists, and add some analogous words from those supplied by Sir Alexander Burnes in the Bengal Journal for April 1838. The list is given as sent by the writer, who has obviously adopted the ordinary English spelling.

	TRUMPP.	BURNES.
God, kantaur.	De.	Yamrai, Doghum.
Man, goorata.	Māts.*	Naursta.
Woman, meeshee.	$Istrar\iota.$	Mashi.
Father, taula.	$Daar{\imath}.$	Tala.
Mother, mor.	Λrau .	11ai.
Brother, berār.	Bla.	Bura.
Sister, sous.	Sus.	Sosí.
Son, dāvala.	Saggā.	Dabla.

^{*} Mach is given by Burnes for "man," in the language of Chitral. See "Journey into Bokhara," vol. ii. p. 209, edition of 1834.

	TRUMPP.	BURNES.
Daughter, davalčē.	Su.	Dabli.
Hand, dosht.	Dus.	
Foot, pay.	Kur.	Kur.
Head, shay.	Ša.	
Mouth, aush.	⊿lši.	18h.
Nose, nāsoo.	Nāsuri.	
Eye, ajcen.	Ansi.	Achan.
Bread, au.	Au.	Eu.
Milk, ou.	Zu.	Zor.
Wine, chookara.	Tin.	
Fruit, drauss.	$Drar{a}$ š (grape)	
Nut, veeza.	Imlu.	
Fire, ee.	Ana.	Ai.
Water, yoor.	Abu.	A n .
Snow, zem.	Šim.	Zim.
Cow, ko.	$Gar{a}$.	Goa.
Dog, soon.	Kuṛi.	Tun.
Horse, gooah.	Guru.	
Uncle, taula.		Kench tala.
Sky, dilo.		Dillcutu.
Rain, waush.		$W\bar{a}sh.$
Tree, ooshtou.		Ushtun.
Wheat, goon.		Gum.
Cheese, kela.		Kila.
Sheep, waumee.		Vami.
Goat, wausay.		Vasru.
Ass, necar.		Ghudá (horse?)
Gold, toon.		Soné.
Silver, nokrah.		Chitta.
Iron, cheemah.		Chima.
Door, dou.		Do.

Room, hanām. Stone, deren. Wood, dev. Charcoal, ammaree. Basin, yanloo. Pitcher, shaw.

APPENDIX.

Chair, shooneshay.
Bedelothes, eesha.
Carpet, satrunjee.
Bottle, boghāseh.
Knife, kaurd.
Sack, terjeh.
Spade, keshau.
Plough, kolbah.
Light, dieu.
Grandfather, icad.

Grandfather, jood. Grandmother, joodeh. Aunt, meteh.

Eyebrow, aubroo. Skin, poos.

Grapes, kishmish.
Apples, paula.
Walnuts, yoon.

Eggs, roe.

Sour milk, toora-

Rice, broujah. Ghee, annau.

Meat, ana.

Fox, makon.

Kid, choon.
Cat, peeshee.

Hare, lanysha.

Calf, vatsala.

Earth, boom.

Nail, aushee.

Love, sheeau.

Hatred, thiau.

Good, khoob. Bad, abarec.

Drunkenness, chokrapecay.

III, námajch.
White, kashceree.

Black, kaujee. Yellow, tilyanee.

Blue, sheen.

To kill, jeeyaus.

To beat, veryaus.

To walk, koordan teeyaus.

To sleep, poorshah keeyaus.

Taraskeen, a silver idol in the form of a bird, which has a temple, and is worshipped once a year.

Mauday, an idol of wood, formed like a woman.

Yamree, an idol of wood, in the form of a man. (The Yamrai of Burnes.)

Kashau, a wooden idol, in the form of a man.

Several of the words sent from Teheran are Indian or Persian, and the analogy, on the whole, is rather with those of Burnes's list than with those given by Dr. Trumpp, whose statement in p. 1. that the words dictated to Sir A. Burnes belong to one of the numerous dialects which are spoken in the valleys of the Kühistän of Käbul, may be true of these also.