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## Generally Larger Fruit Supplies Squeeze Seasonal Price Increases

Grower and retail fruit prices are expected to advance seasonally during the first half of 1976, although they are likely to average slightly below a year earlier. Large fresh and processed fruit supplies generally continue to dominate the 1975/76 marketing season. February 1 prospects point to a citrus crop nearly as large as the record 1974/75 season. Oranges and lemons account for the decline; while grapefruit production is record high. Yearend stocks of many processed noncitrus products, particularly canned fruit, were more than ample for market needs. February 1 cold storage holdings of apples and pears were also well above a year earlier.

Per capita consumption of fruit in 1975 increased about 5 percent from 1974. Substantial increases in frozen fruits and juices resulted in a 6percent rise in per capita use of processed items. Consumption of fresh fruit rose 4 percent in 1975, following a sharp increase in 1974, with oranges, apples, pears, and peaches showing the largest gains. Looking ahead, total fruit consumption may rise slightly during 1976.

The index of prices received by growers for fresh and processed fruit has been declining seasonally since last September. In January 1976 the index stood 5 percent below the same month a year earlier as lower prices for most noncitrus fruit for processing more than offset higher prices for most fresh fruits. The index is expected to advance seasonally during the first half of 1976 , but still will likely average slightly below year-earlier levels.

The retail price index for fresh fruit, as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), has declined since the record high last July. The drop reflects the seasonal increase in supplies of fresh apples and citrus. January prices averaged slightly below year-earlier levels. As supplies of fresh fruit decline seasonally, prices are likely to advance during the first half of 1976, and will probably average slightly below the comparable 1975 period.
U.S. orange crop prospects on February 1 totaled nearly 231 million boxes ( 10 million tons), slightly below last season but 7 percent above 1973/74. Smaller crops were expected in all pro-
ducing areas except Texas. Although fresh orange movement is running behind last season's pace, processing use is substantially larger than a year ago, particularly for frozen concentrated and chilled orange juice in Florida. January on-tree grower returns for all U.S. oranges averaged 42 percent above a year earlier, reflecting a smaller crop and good processor demand. With a 7 percent smaller U.S. Valencia crop in prospect, which will be marketed during the late winter and spring, orange prices are expected to remain above yearearlier levels through the spring.

Indicated U.S. grapefruit production is a record large 70 million boxes ( 2.9 million tons), due mainly to substantial increases in Florida and Texas. The movement of fresh grapefruit into domestic marketing channels through mid-February was moderately ahead of last season. Deliveries to processing plants were near last year's level, but exports of fresh fruit have shown a strong gain from last season. On-tree returns to growers for all U.S. grapefruit in January were substantially below year-earlier levels. In view of the considerably larger crop remaining for harvest, prices are expected to remain below last year's levels.

February 1 prospects pointed to lemon supplies about 35 percent below the 1974/75 record crop, but moderately above 1973/74. Total shipments of fresh lemons through mid-February were sharply below last season, due to a drop in exports. Movement of lemons for processing use was only onethird of last season's quantity. Grower returns for fresh and processed lemons have averaged sharply
above last year's low levels and should continue so during the balance of the season because of substantially smaller remaining supplies.

Storage stocks of fresh apples were a fourth above a year ago, reflecting the record large harvest last fall. Average U.S. grower prices for fresh apples have been well below year-earlier levels all season and likely will remain lower for the remainder of this season.

Supplies of canned noncitrus fruit on January 1 totaled substantially above last season, reflecting the larger carryover last summer and moderately lower movement. Although output was larger, remaining supplies of dried prunes and raisins (allocated to the domestic market) are down moderately because of good movement to date. February 1 stocks were also lower for many frozen fruit and berries-especially strawberries, apples, peaches, and blueberries.

In response to larger supplies, wholesale prices for most canned noncitrus have declined since last spring and in January 1976, the BLS wholesale price index of canned fruit was 4 percent below the high levels of a year earlier. Prices are not expected to advance, and could decline further for some items if movement does not improve.

In January the wholesale price index for dried fruit was moderately lower than a year earlier, even though it has been advancing slightly in recent months. Wholesale prices of frozen fruit and juices have remained materially above year-earlier levels, and will likely remain firm through the winter and early spring because of moderately smaller stocks.

## RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND OUTLOOK

## GENERAL PRICE OUTLOOK

Demand for fruit continued to improve during 1975 and preliminary estimates indicate total U.S. per capita fruit consumption rose about 5 percent from 1974. Led by frozen fruits and juices, per capita use of processed items rose about 6 percent after dropping in 1974. Following a sharp increase in 1974, consumption of fresh fruit rose another 4 percent in 1975, with oranges, apples, pears, and peaches registering increases.

With the seasonal increase in fruit supplies, the index of prices received by growers for fresh and processed fruit has declined since last September. In January 1976, prices averaged almost 5 percent below the same month a year earlier. Grower prices for most fresh fruit-including lemons, oranges, pears, and tangerines-were reported above yearearlier levels, but lower prices for such noncitrus fruit for processing as apples, pears, and straw-
berries pulled down the January 1976 grower price index.


Grower prices for some fresh fruit are expected to advance seasonally during the first half of 1976 to levels above a year ago. But with lower prices for processing noncitrus, the index of prices received by growers for fresh and processed fruit during the first half of 1976 is likely to average slightly below year-earlier levels.

Table 1-Index of quarterly prices received by growers for fresh and processed fruit

| Year | ( $1967=100$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st | 2nd | 3 rd | 4 th |
| 1972 | 106 | 114 | 119 | 120 |
| 1973 | 126 | 136 | 145 | 138 |
| 1974 | 138 | 143 | 150 | 142 |
| 1975 | 136 | 152 | 156 | 140 |
| $1976{ }^{1}$ | 133 | 144 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Estimate.

Retail fresh fruit prices as reported by BLS has declined seasonally since their record high average of $187.1(1967=100)$ last July. The January BLS index was slightly below year-earlier levels. As supplies of remaining fresh fruit decline seasonally, the index for fresh fruit is likely to advance during the first half of 1976, but is likely to average slightly below the comparable 1975 period.

In response to larger supplies, wholesale prices of most processed fruit items have weakened. The BLS wholesale price index for canned fruit has steadily declined since last spring and in January was 4 percent below the high levels of the preceding year. Available data for some leading items

Table 2-Quarterly retail price indexes for fresh fruits

| Year | $(1967=100)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $15 t$ | 2 nd | 3 3rd | 4th |
| $1972 \ldots .$. | 114 | 124 | 134 | 123 |
| $1973 \ldots . .$. | 126 | 142 | 148 | 139 |
| $1974 \ldots$ | 138 | 153 | 164 | 149 |
| $1975 \ldots . \ldots$ | 150 | 171 | 177 | 147 |
| $1976{ }^{1} \ldots .$. | 152 | 163 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Estimated.
indicate that January 1 canner stocks were sharply above a year ago because of larger supplies and moderately slower movements. Thus, prices could continue to decrease for some items if movement does not improve. Wholesale prices of canned fruit juice have also weakened, and the January index was slightly below the high levels of a year ago.

A wholesale price index moderately lower than a year ago was also recorded in January for dried and dehydrated fruit, but the frozen fruit and juice price index has still remained materially above year-earlier levels. With cold storage holdings moderately smaller than a year ago, wholesale prices of frozen fruit will remain firm through the winter.

Despite the decline in wholesale prices, the retail price index for processed fruit during the second half of 1975 was relatively stable reflecting higher costs of marketing and increased per capita use, but is still moderately above a year ago. As the economic recovery continues in the months ahead, demand for processed fruit items could strengthen, which combined with the higher cost of marketing, could cause retail prices of processed fruit to remain relatively high during the first half of 1976.

## FRESH CITRUS

As of February 1, prospects pointed to a citrus crop of 14.3 million tons, slightly below the record 1974/75 season but 7 percent above 1973/74. Oranges and lemons accounted for the decrease; production of grapefruit is record high.

The subfreezing temperatures in California during December $31-J$ anuary 3 are not expected to reduce the quantity of oranges; however, the February estimate for lemon output was down slightly from January 1 prospects.

## Oranges

## Crop Down Slightly

The U.S. orange crop was forecast at 230.7 million boxes ( 10 million tons), slightly below last season but moderately above 1973/74. Smaller
output was estimated for all producing areas except Texas. Production of early, midseason, and Navel varieties accounted for 56 percent of the crop, up slightly from a year ago. The later Valencia crop was estimated 7 percent less than last season.

In Florida, prospects continued to indicate a crop of 172 million boxes, nearly as large as last season but 4 percent above 1973/74. Early and midseason oranges, at 98 million boxes, were slightly above last season and harvest was nearly 70 percent complete by February 1. The Valencia orange crop in Florida is 4 percent below last season and will be marketed during late winter and spring.

The California forecast, at 49 milliont boxes, was 11 percent below last season with both Navel and

Table 3-Citrus fruit: Production, 1973/74, 1974/75, and indicated 1975/76'

| Crop and State | Boxes |  |  | Ton equivalent |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Utilized |  | 1975/76 | Utilized |  | 1975/75 |
|  | 1973/74 | 1974/75 |  | 1973/74 | 1974/75 |  |
|  | 1,000 boxes ${ }^{2} 1,000$ boxes $^{2}$ 1,000 boxes ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | 1,000 tons | 1,000 tons | 1,000 tons |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early, Midseason and Navel variesties ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 21,900 | 28,000 | 26,000 | 821 | 1,050 | 975 |
| Florida | 92,100 | 96,600 | 98,000 | 4,145 | 4,347 | 4,410 |
| Texas . | 4,200 | 2,930 | 3,800 | 179 | 125 | 162 |
| Arizona | 450 | 920 | 750 | 17 | 35 | 28 |
| Total . | 118,650 | 128,450 | 128,550 | 5,162 | 5,557 | 5,575 |
| Valencias: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 18,500 | 27,100 | 23,000 | 694 | 1,016 | 863 |
| Florida . . | 73,700 | 76,700 | 74,000 | 3,317 | 3,452 | 3,330 |
| Texas | 2,400 | 1,610 | 2,000 | 102 | 68 | 85 |
| Arizona | 2,960 | 4,050 | 3,100 | 111 | 152 | 116 |
| Total . . | 97,560 | 109,460 | 102,100 | 4,224 | 4,688 | 4,394 |
| All Oranges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 40,400 | 55,100 | 49,000 | 1,515 | 2,066 | 1,838 |
| Florida | 165,800 | 173,300 | 172,000 | 7,462 | 7,799 | 7,740 |
| Texas | 6,600 | 4,540 | 5,800 | 281 | 193 | 247 |
| Arizona . | 3,410 | 4,970 | 3,850 | 128 | 187 | 144 |
| Total oranges | 216,210 | 237,910 | 230,650 | 9,386 | 10,245 | 9,969 |
| Grapefruit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida all | 48,100 | 44,600 | 50,000 | 2,045 | 1,896 | 2,126 |
| Seedless | 38,100 | 37,400 | 41,000 | 1,620 | 1,590 | 1,743 |
| Pink | 12,200 | 11,500 | $13,000$ | 519 | 489 | 553 |
| White | 25,900 | 25,900 | 28,000 | 1,101 | 1,101 | 1,190 |
| Other | 10,000 | 7,200 | 9,000 | 425 | 306 | 383 |
| Texas | 10,700 | 7,300 | 11,000 | 428 | 292 | 440 |
| Arizona | 2,050 | 2,770 | 3,100 | 66 | 89 | 99 |
| California | 4,650 | 6,700 | 5,700 | 153 | 219 | 186 |
| Desert Valleys | 2,360 | 3,750 | 3,200 | 76 | 120 | 102 |
| Other areas . | 2,290 | 2,950 | 2,500 | 77 | 99 | 84 |
| Total grapefruit | 65,500 | 61,370 | 69,800 | 2,692 | 2.496 | 2,851 |
| Lemons: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 14,900 | 22,200 | 17,000 | 566 | 844 | 646 |
| Arizona . | 2,900 | 7,200 | 2,300 | 110 | $274$ | $87$ |
| Total lemons | 17,800 | 29,400 | 19,300 | 676 | 1,118 | 733 |
| Limes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida | 1,050 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 42 | 44 | 44 |
| Tangelos ${ }^{4}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida | 3,700 | 4,700 | 5,500 | 167 | 212 | 248 |
| Tangerines: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida | 2,800 | 3,100 | 3,400 | 133 | 147 | 162 |
| Arizona . | 680 | 610 | 650 | 26 | 23 | 24 |
| California | 1,360 | 1,540 | 1,500 | 51 | 58 | 56 |
| Total tangerines. | 4,840 | 5,250 | 5,550 | 210 | 228 | 242 |
| Temples: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 314,400 | 345,030 | 337,400 | 13,412 | 14,582 | 14,335 |
| ' The crop year with bloom completion of harvest the follo varies. Approximate averages ar and Arizona, 75 lbs.; Flori Grapefruit-California, Desert $V$ a California areas, 67 lbs.; Florid | and ends w content of b anges-California exas, 85 Ib a. 64 lbs.; oth Texas, 80 lb |  | 76 lbs.; es-California a 90 lbs.; ${ }^{3}$ Nave ona. Early and small quant itrus fruit. | Limes-80 d Arizona, and Miscel Midseason ies of tan | lbs.; Tan <br> lbs.; Flori neous variet ieties in Flo rines in Te | os-90 lbs 95 lbs.; an in Californ and Texa <br> s. ${ }^{4}$ Exclud |

Valencia output down. Although the subfreezing temperatures in California during December 31January 3 did not reduce the quantity of oranges, the supply of quality oranges available for fresh market may be smaller.

Texas orange prospects are 28 percent above last season's small crop, while Arizona orange production is forecast 23 percent below 1974/75.

## Market Prospects and Prices

Through mid-February, shipments of fresh oranges from Florida were slightly above year-earlier levels. Both domestic and foreign shipments were higher. Florida's f.o.b. prices for early and midseason varieties were below last year's level early in the season, but since late fall they have been moderately above a year ago. Consequently, f.o.b. prices have averaged moderately higher so far this season. With a smaller Valencia crop in prospect, Florida orange prices for fresh use are expected to remain above year-earlier levels through the spring.

Florida's delivered-in prices for early and midseason processing oranges have averaged substantially above year-earlier levels due primarily to strong processor demand. In view of a smaller Valencia crop, Florida prices for processing use are expected to remain higher.

Shipments of Navel oranges for fresh use from California and Arizona through mid-February were sharply smaller than a year ago. Deliveries to processors have lagged substantially behind a year ago, but they are expected to accelerate in view of freezedamaged fruit. F.o.b. prices for fresh Cali-fornia-Arizona Navels have declined steadily from their highs early in the season. By early February prices dropped moderately below a year ago. However, the season average f.o.b. price by mid-February was $\$ 3.94$ per carton, compared with $\$ 3.73$ last season. In view of lagging movement, f.o.b.

prices for the remainder of the season could remain below last year's high level.

So far this season Texas f.o.b. prices for fresh oranges have averaged near year-earlier levels, while delivered-in prices for processing have averaged considerably higher.

Retail prices of fresh oranges have been declining steadily since the beginning of the season, but they are still above a year ago. The BLS retail price of fresh oranges for January 1975 averaged $\$ 1.12$ per dozen, compared with $\$ 1.06$ a year ago. Prices are expected to remain relatively high in view of fewer quality oranges available for fresh market.

## Grapefruit

## Record Crop in Prospect

U.S. production of grapefruit was forecast at 69.8 million boxes, 14 percent above last season and 6 percent above the previous record high tonnage set in 1973/74. The record crop is due mainly to substantial increases in Florida and Texas.

Florida growers expect to harvest 50 million boxes, 12 percent above the $1974 / 75$ season. The Texas crop was forecast 51 percent above last season's short crop. Arizona prospects are 12 percent above last season while the California crop is 15 percent below 1974/75.

Harvest of grapefruit was a third complete on February 1, slightly behind last season. In Florida, harvest was 37 percent complete, about the same as last season. Harvest is underway in Arizona and California where 13 and 6 percent, respectively, of the crop has been picked.

## Market Outlook

The movement of fresh grapefruit from Florida into domestic marketing channels through midFebruary was slightly ahead of last year's pace, while deliveries to processing plants were moderately larger. Export shipments have shown a strong gain from last season when Japan imposed an embargo on shipments of Florida grapefruit until February as a result of the discovery of Caribbean fruit fly larvae. The export market for fresh grapefruit is a key factor in determining whether this season will be a banner year for growers. Strong export shipments so far this season probably have already offset some of the pricedepressing impact of the prospective record crop.
F.o.b. prices for Florida fresh grapefruit are generally averaging below year-earlier levels. In view of the substantially larger crop remaining for harvest, prices are expected to remain below year-earlier levels. But continued strong export demand may strengthen prices somewhat. The delivered-in
price has also averaged moderately lower for grapefruit used for canned juice. Grapefruit for frozen concentrated grapefruit juice averaged substantially above a year ago.

Retail prices of fresh grapefruit have been declining steadily since last September and in January were slightly below a year ago. Prices will increase seasonally during the spring but are expected to remain below year-earlier levels.


## Lemons

February 1 prospects pointed to a lemon crop of 19.3 million boxes, about a third below the $1974 / 75$ record crop but still moderately above 1973/74. Prospects in California, at 17 million boxes, were nearly one fourth less than last year's record high. In Arizona, a crop about onethird the size of the large crop last season was expected.

Picking of the California lemon crop by February 1 was virtually complete in the Desert Valleys, over half complete in the central area, and 15 percent complete elsewhere. The Arizona crop was about 85 percent harvested. Sizes were generally small.

Total shipments of fresh lemons through midFebruary were sharply below the corresponding period a year ago. Although domestic movement was up slightly, exports declined considerably
because of a sharp decrease in sales to Europe. Larger available supplies from the Mediterranean area are chiefly responsible. F.o.b. prices for fresh lemons have declined seasonally and in mid-February were slightly above a year earlier. However, the average price so far this season is sharply above last year's low level.

Because of the sharply smaller crop, movement of lemons for processing through mid-February was only onethird of last season's quantity. Ontree returns to growers for processing lemons are substantially below year-earlier levels so far this season.

## Other Citrus

Florida's Temple crop was forecast at 5.5 million boxes, 4 percent above last season. As of February 1, harvest was a third complete compared with 29 percent last year. Fresh sales through February 15 were two-fifths larger than the comparable 1975 period a year ago, while processing use was down about onetenth. F.o.b. prices have been above year-earlier levels and are likely to continue so.
U.S. tangerine production was expected to total 5.6 million boxes, moderately above the $1974 / 75$ crop. The Florida crop that reached 210 size or larger was estimated at 5.3 million boxes, but only 3.4 million boxes of that crop is expected to be utilized. Harvest is virtually complete in Florida. The California tangerine crop was forecast at 1.5 million boxes, almost the same as a year ago, while production in Arizona is estimated at 0.7 million boxes, moderately larger than $1974 / 75$. Although fresh shipments from Florida through mid-February were substantially above last year's pace, shipping point prices so far this season averaged moderately higher.

Tangelo production in Florida was forecast at a record 5.5 million boxes, substantially above the previous high set last season. Harvest was nearly 90 percent complete as of February 1. Fresh use so far this season has been slightly smaller, but processing use is sharply above last year's level. F.o.b. prices for tangelos averaged $\$ 2.93$ per carton so far this season compared with $\$ 2.75$ last season.

## PROCESSED CITRUS

Since Florida fruit is reaching maturity earlier than usual, more oranges have been used for processing so far this season. By February 7, Florida packers processed nearly 70 million boxes of oranges, well ahead of the 56 million for the comparable period last season. Utilization of Cali-
fornia-Arizona oranges for processing so far this season was sharply lower, but more diversion of freezedamaged fruit to processing is expected.

Grapefruit processing is likely to be above last season's low level because of the record Florida crop. In contrast, lemon processing is not expected
to come up to last season's level because of the sharply smaller crop this season.

Grower returns in Florida and Texas for processing oranges are moderately to sharply higher than last season, while grapefruit are averaging below year-earlier levels. Despite a smaller crop, grower returns in California-Arizona for processing lemons are also sharply lower.

## Frozen Concentrates

This season's smaller output of Florida oranges and Temples combined could result in a smaller pack of frozen concentrated orange juice (FCOJ). The projected juice yield of 1.31 gallons of 45 degree brix concentrate per box is the same as $1974 / 75$. Even with the upward trend in utilization of oranges and Temples for FCOJ, assuming 76 percent of the 1975/76 crop, the pack of FCOJ is likely to be slightly below the 178 million gallons last season. Total imports of FCOJ for this season are also likely to be smaller than a year ago. Combined with the smaller carryin, total supplies of FCOJ would be slightly smaller during 1975/76.

The pack of FCOJ got off to a fast start this season. Florid'a packers had processed 75 million gallons through February 7, compared with only 57 million during the corresponding period a year ago. Total product movement so far this season is slightly behind last year's pace. As a result, total stocks of FCOJ on hand as of February 7 were onefifth above a year earlier.

During the first 2 months of the 1975/76 season, U.S. exports of FCOJ recorded a strong gain to 2.3 million gallons, compared with 1.4 million last season. Shipments to Europe gained substan-tially-and continued improvement in that area's economy could further enhance our exports. However, sales to Canada, our major foreign destination, were up only slightly.

Canner list prices of FCOJ have been steady at $\$ 2.20$ per dozen 6 -ounce cans (unadvertised brands, Florida canneries) since last October. However, a major processor is offering a promotional allow-

ance for shipments during February-March, reducing the effective price to $\$ 2.07$. Retail prices during the last quarter of 1975 increased steadily to 29.3 cents per 6 -ounce can in January. The January price was the highest since January 1965 and was 7 percent above a year ago. The slow movement of FCOJ could be attributed somewhat to higher retail prices. However, if movement shows no significant increase, retail prices may be relatively stable for the remainder of the packing season since larger stocks of FCOJ are on hand. In January, the USDA announced the purchase of 2.2 million gallons of 5 to 1 mix ( 58.1 degrees Brix) FCOJ for distribution to schools.

At the beginning of this season, carryover stocks of frozen concentrated grapefruit juice (FCGJ) in Florida stood at 4.2 million gallons, considerably below year-earlier levels. During the first 2 months of the 1975/76 marketing season, the FCGJ pack was down about a third from the same period of last season. However, total movement was running one-fourth larger than a year ago. As a result, processor stocks of FCGJ, as of February 7 , totaled nearly onethird below a year ago.

## Chilled Juice

Florida's net pack of chilled orange juice through February 7, at 51 million gallons, was 13

Table 4-Florida oranges used for frozen concentrate
$\left.\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c}\hline \text { Crop year } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Florida orange } \\ \text { and Temple } \\ \text { production }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Used for } \\ \text { frozen } \\ \text { concentrates }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Frozen concen- } \\ \text { (rate orange } \\ \text { juice pack }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Million } \\ \text { per box }\end{array}\right]$

[^0]percent larger than a year ago. Although the prospective orange crop is smaller in Florida, the pack of chilled orange juice during $1975 / 76$ is expected to increase because of continued growth in demand.

Average retail prices of chilled orange juice continued to increase slightly during 1975 . The January BLS retail price averaged 54.3 cents per quart, compared with 52.3 cents in January 1975. Despite higher prices, total product movement this season through February 7 was a tenth larger than a year ágo. But the larger móvement was more than offset by the larger carryin and pack--leaving stocks moderately larger than a year earlier.

Reflecting the record larger crop in Florida, the total net pack of chilled grapefruit juice through February 7 was up almost two-thirds from last season's small pack. The pack directly from fresh fruit was almost double last season's, and the pack from frozen concentrate was also up substantially. Product movement so far this season was up onefourth from a year ago, and the total available supplies of chilled grapefruit juice were more than double year-earlier levels.

## Canned Citrus

The aggregate early-season pack (October through February 7) of Florida canned citrus products, at 16.4 million cases ( $24 / 2$ 's), was one-fifth
above the same period last season. The pack of canned orange and grapefruit juice showed sharp increases through February 7. However, because of substantially smaller beginning stocks and larger movement, total canned citrus stocks as of February 7 were still moderately below year-earlie: levels.

Even with a third larger pack in Florida so far this season, available supplies of canned grapefruit juice were still one-fourth smaller this season. The larger pack has been offset by a sharply smaller carryin and a moderately larger movement. Despite a larger crop, the pack of canned grapefruit juice in Texas through the end of January was about the same as a year ago. Florida f.o.b. prices of unsweetened single-strength canned grapefruit juice have been stable at $\$ 4.50$ per case (12/46 ounces) since last July. However, early in January, Florida processors announced a promotion which would reduce prices to $\$ 4.14$ per case effective from January 5 through 30.

Available supplies of Florida canned orange juice as of February 7 were moderately larger than year-earlier levels, due mainly to larger pack and lower movement. The canned orange juice pack in Texas so far this season is running sharply above a year ago. Single-strength canned orange juice prices have been stable at $\$ 5.05$ per case ( $12 / 46$ ounces) during recent months, compared with $\$ 4.35$ a year ago.

## FRESH NONCITRUS

U.S. fruit growers harvested an estimated 11.9 million tons of deciduous fruit and berries during 1975. This was 4 percent larger than the previous year and 6 percent above 1973. The greatest tonnage increases were shown in apples, grapes, and apricots, while the largest declines were for peaches, figs, and tart cherries. Bearing acreage of noncitrus fruit during 1975 continued to expand for the third straight year, increasing 4 percent from 1974 to 1.7 million acres, the highest level in the last 10 years.

With 1975 utilized production of noncitrus fruit slightly higher than the previous year, most grower prices averaged lower. Consequently, the total value of 1975 production for noncitrus fruit and berries dropped slightly to $\$ 2$ billion. Grapes, tart cherries, and pears led the decline.

While noncitrus production was only slightly larger, current reports indicate fresh utilization of the 1975 crop will be moderately larger, reflecting in part improved domestic demand for fresh fruit

Table 5-Fruits and planted nuts bearing acreage, United States, 1966-75

| Year | Citrus <br> fruit $^{1}$ | Major <br> decidu- <br> ous <br> fruits $^{2}$ | Minor <br> fruits $^{3}$ | Tree <br> nuts | Total <br> fruits <br> and tree <br> nuts |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| acres | acres | acres | acres | acres |  |
| $1966 \ldots$ | 881.1 | $1,624.4$ | 84.3 | 280.3 | $2,870.1$ |
| $1967 \ldots$ | 951.7 | $1,606.1$ | 83.5 | 287.3 | $2,928.6$ |
| $1968 \ldots$ | $1,001.5$ | $1,602.1$ | 81.9 | 298.3 | $2,983.8$ |
| $1969 \ldots$ | $1,074.6$ | $1,601.4$ | 81.3 | 315.3 | $3,072.6$ |
| $1970 \ldots$ | $1,122.4$ | $1,576.5$ | 81.4 | 340.8 | $3,121.1$ |
| $1971 \ldots$ | $1,185.7$ | $1,543.0$ | 82.8 | 363.0 | $3,174.5$ |
| $1972 \ldots$ | $1,157.8$ | $1,531.7$ | 84.7 | 381.4 | $3,155.6$ |
| $1973 \ldots$ | $1,180.6$ | $1,535.3$ | 88.1 | 396.7 | $3,200.7$ |
| $1974 \ldots$ | $1,188.7$ | $1,560.7$ | 89.2 | 416.5 | $3,255.1$ |
| $1975 \ldots$ | $1,187.5$ | $1,615.1$ | 93.9 | 435.8 | $3,332.3$ |

[^1]
and limited processor demand. Table 17 summarizes 1975 production and utilization for selected noncitrus crops.

## Apples

## Johnny Appleseed Would be Proud

The 1975 U.S. commercial apple crop increased for the third year in a row, to a record 7.2 billion pounds, 7 percent above the previous high in 1969. The crop was 11 percent more than 1974 production and 15 percent above 1973. However, economic abandonment and excess cullage totaled nearly 400 million pounds in 1975, sharply above the 50 million pounds in 1974.

The larger crop was due to the substantially higher production in Western States, up 18 percent from 1974. Washington State led the way, producing a record 2.2 billion pounds in 1975, compared with 1.8 billion a year earlier. The Central States recorded a 15 -percent increase in output. Poor weather during September, and somewhat
weaker processing demand in many Eastern States, caused utilized production to only be 2 percent above 1974.

The Red Delicious variety again increased in relative importance, amounting to 35 percent of the total 1975 production. Washington State accounted for 55 percent of the Delicious crop. Golden Delicious decreased slightly, accounting for 15 percent of the total apple crop, while McIntosh made up 9 percent (table 6).

## Remaining Supplies Up Substantially

Reflecting the record crop, supplies of apples in cold storage at the end of January amounted to 2.1 billion pounds, an increase of one quarter from a year earlier. About three fifths of these stocks were in controlled atmosphere (CA) storage, 25 percent above a year earlier. This increase reflects both the large apple crop and continued growth in CA storage. Supplies in regular storage were 24 percent higher. As expected, stocks in the Northwest contributed most to the increase. While stocks were moderately higher for the entire Eastern region, they were lower in New England.

The cold storage holdings reported in table 7 include apples for fresh and processed use. However, trade sources indicate holdings for processing use were lower than a year earlier, while supplies for fresh use for the remainder of the 1975/76 season were larger.

## Export Market

Larger apple crops in Canada and Western Europe normally would indicate U.S. export prospects might not be favorable during 1975/76. However, U.S. exports of fresh apples during JulyDecember 1975, at approximately 114 million pounds, were moderately above a year ago. Although shipments were down to Canada, our major foreign market, overall exports remained

Table 6-Apple production by leading varieties and State, 1974 and 1975

| Leading varieties | U.S. production |  | Percentage of U.S. total apple production |  | Leading producing States | State production as percentage of U.S. production by variety |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1974 | 1975 | 1974 | 1975 |  | 1974 | 1975 |
|  | Million pounds | Million pounds | Percent | Percent |  | Percent | Percent |
| Delicious | 2,117.9 | 2,623.8 | 32 | 35 | Washington | 50 | 55 |
| Golden Delicious | 1.074 .1 | 1,101.1 | 16 | 15 | Washington | 53 | 50 |
| McIntosh | 709.2 | 718.5 | 11 | 9 | New York . | 43 | 46 |
| Rome Beauty | 493.4 | 587.0 | 8 | 8 | New York | 19 | 16 |
| Jonathan. | 355.3 | 439.3 | 5 | 6 | Michigan | 48 | 43 |
| York Imperial ...... | 267.3 | 346.7 | 4 | 5 | Pennsylvania | 43 | 40 |
| Total | 5,017.2 | $5,816.4$ | 77 | 77 |  |  |  |

Table 7-Apple cold storage holdings at end of month

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds |
| 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | 516 | 273 | 135 | 73 | 43 | 17 | 5 | 16 | 1,089 | 2,090 | 1,651 | 1,161 |
| C.A. . . | 810 | 696 | 426 | 274 | 103 | 29 | 4 | 1 | 279 | 845 | 888 | 913 |
| Total | 1,326 | 969 | 561 | 347 | 146 | 46 | 9 | 17 | 1,368 | 2,935 | 2,539 | 2,074 |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regutar | 705 | 377 | 192 | 97 | 53 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 810 | 2,071 | 1,620 | 1,150 |
| C.A. . . | 859 | 767 | 586 | 357 | 145 | 53 | 5 | - . - | 256 | 1,040 | 1,057 | 1,064 |
| Total | 1,564 | 1,144 | 778 | 454 | 198 | 71 | 8 | 15 | 1,066 | 3,111 | 2,677 | 2,214 |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | 659 | 333 | 157 | 71 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 9 | 746 | 2,214 | 1,825 | 1,275 |
| C.A. | 1,015 | 882 | 610 | 612 | 170 | 44 | 10 | 1 | 281 | 1,240 | 1,290 | 1,294 |
| Total | 1,674 | 1,215 | 767 | 683 | 184 | 52 | 14 | 10 | 1,027 | 3,454 | 3,115 | 2,569 |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular | 814 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.A. . | 1,273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,087 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

C.A.--Controlled atmosphere.
strong because of aggressive promotion of the U.S. apple crop in secondary markets such as Latin America and Far East.

## Market Outlook

Fresh apple movement through mid-February was running about 15 percent ahead of year-earlier levels. With this season's larger supply and limited demand for processing apples, apple prices are averaging well below year-earlier levels. In January, the U.S. average price received by growers for fresh use was 8.5 cents per pound, 11 percent below January 1975. These lower grower prices have been reflected at the consumer level since last fall. The U.S. retail fresh apple price in January


1976 averaged 27.6 cents per pound, compared with 31.4 cents a year ago.

With remaining supplies of fresh apples substantially larger this season, particularly in the Northwest where most of the remaining supplies of fresh apples are located, prices are expected to remain moderately lower than a year ago.

The U.S. season-average price to growers for the 1975 apple crop (for all uses) has been estimated at 7.8 cents per pound, 7 percent below 1974. The total value of the 1975 U.S. commercial apple crop was estimated at $\$ 557$ million, compared with $\$ 546$ million in 1974.

## Pears

## Utilized Production Record Large

U.S. utilized production of pears in 1975 was estimated at a record 761,900 tons, 3 percent above 1974 and 5 percent more than 1973. Utilized production in the Pacific Coast States, accounting for 93 percent of the U.S. crop, was up 2 percent from 1974. The 13 -percent increase in Washington more than offset declines in California and Oregon.

Utilized output of Bartletts in the Pacific Coast States during 1975, at 527,500 tons, increased 6 percent and offset a 9 -percent drop in varieties other than Bartletts, primarily fall and winter varieties such as D'Anjou and Bosc.

Fresh utilization increased 12 percent while demand for processing pears was off slightly. Processing use accounted for 57 percent of the pear

| State | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | Pacific Coast | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons | Tons | Tons |  | Tons | Tons | Tons |
| Connecticut | 1,500 | 1.400 | 1,900 | Washington: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Bartiett | 123.500 | 126,400 | 154.500 |
| New York | 12,600 | 14,000 | 17.500 | Other | 63,800 | 86,900 | 85.500 |
| Pennsylvania. | 1.800 | 3,200 | 3,400 | Total | 187,300 | 213,300 | 240,000 |
| Michigan | 9.500 | 10,500 | 15,000 | Oregon: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Bartlett | 73,000 | 72,000 | 79.000 |
| Idaho | 1.300 | 1.050 | 1.650 | Other | 98,000 | 103,000 | 93,000 |
| Colorado | 5.510 | 4.590 | 6,000 | Total | 171.000 | 175,000 | 172,000 |
| Utah | 5,830 | 3,200 | 4,100 | California: | 317000 |  | 294.000 |
| Washington. | 187.300 | 213.300 | 240.000 | Other | 10,300 | 13.900 | 6,350 |
| Oregon | 171,000 | 175,000 | 172.000 | Total | 327,300 | 310,900 | 300.350 |
| California | 327,300 | 310,900 | 300,500 | 3 States: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Bartlett | $\begin{aligned} & 513,500 \\ & 172,100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 495,400 \\ & 203,800 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 527,500 \\ & 184,850 \end{aligned}$ |
| United States | 723.640 | 737.140 | 761.900 | Total | 685.600 | 699,200 | 712,350 |

crop, compared with 60 percent in 1974. The increase in fresh use of all pears is attributed mainly to larger sales of Bartletts.

## Stocks Moderately Larger

Although utilized production of winter pears on the Pacific Coast was moderately smaller last fall, current storage stocks are larger. Winter pear shipments got off to a slow start early this season because of the bigger holdings of fresh Bartletts. However, total movement during December and January ran substantially higher than a year ago.

Even with smaller supplies, f.o.b. prices for U.S. No. 1 D'Anjou pears at Yakima, Washington opened at the same level as the previous season. However, as the large supplies of fresh Bartletts declined, f.o.b. prices for D'Anjous pears increased late in November and have remained above yearearlier levels. By mid-February, f.o.b. prices were quoted at $\$ 7.55$ per box, compared with $\$ 6.25$ a year ago. Fresh pear prices are expected to advance seasonally during the remainder of 1975/ 76 at levels above last season.

The 1975 U.S. season average price to growers for the fresh pear crop is tentatively estimated at $\$ 157$ per ton, 14 percent below 1974. Most of the decline is attributed to the sharp drop in grower returns for fresh Bartletts, down nearly a third to $\$ 136$ per ton. On the other hand, Pacific Coast growers will receive slightly higher returns for other varieties used fresh. Average U.S. grower prices for processing pears was estimated at $\$ 129$ per ton, down from $\$ 162$ during 1974.

## Foreign Trade Continues to Lag

U.S. exports of fresh pears during JulyDecember 1975 amounted to 49 million pounds, 9 percent less than in the same period in 1974. Canada is the principal destination for U.S. pears, and exports are running slightly higher than a year ago. Exports to Europe, although relatively small in volume, are nearly double a year earlier. One important cause is the 12 percent smaller pear crop in the European Community during 1975. Fresh pears exported elsewhere declined 42 percent from 1974. The sharp drop to Brazil was chiefly responsible because they imposed a 100 percent duty on imports and a 180 day prior deposit scheme for importers.

## Strawberries

U.S. commercial strawberry production totaled 542 million pounds in 1975, up 2 percent from the previous year and 14 percent above 1973. The increase stemmed from slightly larger harvested acreage and higher average yield per acre. Production was mixed among States. Winter production in Florida, accounting for less than 4 percent of U.S. production, was 13 percent larger in 1975. However, California, which accounted for seven-tenths of the U.S. crop, registered a slight decline. Although harvested acreage continued to expand in California during 1975, it was more than offset by a decline in average yield per acre. A slight decline in production was also reported for Michigan. On the other hand, Oregon and Washington had slightly larger crops.

About two-thirds of the U.S. strawberry crop went to the fresh market. Because of a larger output, both fresh and processing utilization were, up slightly from 1974. In spite of a slightly larger crop, U.S. grower prices for both fresh and processed uses averaged $\$ 30.50$ per hundredweight (cwt.), up 6 percent from a year earlier. Lower U.S. strawberry imports were chiefly responsible for the increase. Grower price for fresh market strawberries were up 8 percent to $\$ 35.00$ per cwt., more than offsetting a slight decline in price for processing berries.

## Strawberry Imports Down Sharply

The following table shows U.S. imports of fresh and frozen strawberries during 1975. Fresh imports, mainly from Mexico, were about threetenths below 1974, their lowest level during the past 7 years. Frozen strawberry imports during 1975 were also lower, dropping 17 percent from the record high reached in 1974.

Table 9-U.S. strawberry imports

| January-December | Fresh | Frozen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million pounds | Million pounds |
| 1970 | 51.1 | 109.7 |
| 1971 | 51.3 | 84.6 |
| 1972 | 43.2 | 85.2 |
| 1973 | 38.9 | 113.7 |
| 1974 | 43.7 | 117.1 |
| 1975. | 31.2 | 97.5 |

## 1976 Crop Prospects

Acreage intentions for the Florida winter crop indicate a sizable increase in strawberry output this year. The current estimate is for a Florida harvest of 1,400 acres, 17 percent above a year earlier. The increase in acreage is attributed primarily to gains in "U-Pic" operations. However, Florida's 1976 season was off to a late start and fresh shipments through mid-February were sharply lower than in 1975. Consequently, shipping point prices opened substantially higher, but will decline seasonally with increased volume. Early season unloads of fresh strawberries from Mexico were off sharply at substantially higher prices f.o.b. South Texas points.

## Grapes

The U.S. 1975 utilized grape crop is estimated at a record 4.3 million tons, up 4 percent from 1974 and 3 percent above the crop of 2 years ago.

California's grape output of 3.9 million tons, 90 percent of the U.S. crop, was up 4 percent from 1974 and was the largest since 1965. Harvest of 2.2 million tons of raisin variety grapes was 14 percent higher than 1974. Wine variety production continued to increase to a record high 1.3 million tons, 3 percent above 1974. On the other hand, output of table varieties fell 28 percent to 419,000 tons.

Production of grapes in other States totaled 414,420 tons, a 2 -percent increase from 1974. Substantially larger Washington and Michigan crops offset declines in New York and Pennsylvania.

## Utilization of the 1975 Crop

The volume of U.S. grapes crushed for wine declined slightly to 53 percent of the crop. In California, about 2.2 million tons of grapes were crushed for wine. While slightly larger tonnages of wine and raisin varieties were crushed than last year, the quantity of table varieties declined. Only 34 percent of California's raisin varieties were crushed for wine in 1975, compared with 38 percent a year earlier and 51 percent with the large crush in 1973. Total shipments of wine from California during first 11 months of 1975 reached nearly 247 million gallons, up a tenth from the corresponding period in 1974. Should shipments remain at this rate and given the smaller crush for wine in 1975, there may be a slight reduction in inventory by this coming fall.

The second most important outlet for grapes is raisins. Nearly 1.3 million tons of the 1975 U.S. grape crop was dried, compared with about 1 million the previous 2 seasons. Onetenth of the U.S. grape crop was used for fresh market, while the remaining 8 percent was used for canning, juice, jam, and jelly. Although the quantity of grapes canned dropped somewhat, more were crushed for juice and preserved.

## Grower Prices Generally Lower

With some exceptions, prices were generally below those of 1974. In California, the average 1975 price received by growers for grapes crushed for wine was $\$ 72.70$ per ton, down sharply from $\$ 102$ a year earlier. On the other hand, California growers received higher prices for fresh grapes, an average $\$ 341$ per ton, compared with $\$ 253$ during 1974. Dried raisin varieties were estimated at $\$ 607$ per ton, up slightly from the previous year.

Concord grape prices also were down sharply. In Washington, a major Concord producing State, grower prices averaged $\$ 129$ per ton, down from $\$ 160$ in 1974. Michigan, New York, and Pennsylvania also recorded lower grape grower prices, while returns in Arkansas were up moderately.

## Canned

Total $1975 / 76$ season supply data are not available for all canned fruits since apples and pineapples are still being packed. However, an indication of the generally larger supply is provided by comparisons for 11 items for which data are available (table 28). Although the total 1975 pack of these products was down 6 percent, the substantially larger carryin stocks at the beginning of this season resulted in a 6 percent larger total supply. Larger supplies of fruit cocktail, pears, apricots, freestone peaches, and purple plums were chiefly responsible for the increase. Supplies of the leading item, canned clingstone peaches, at 30.1 million cases ( $24 / 2^{1 / 2}$ 's), were down from 30.4 million in 1974/75.

Data comparable to earlier seasons regarding canned apple products are not available for the 1975/76 season since the National Canners Association has revised the sample size and carryover date for these items. However, despite the large $197 \%$ apple crop, this season's pack of canned applesauce is expected to be smaller than 1974/75 because of the substantially larger beginning stocks.

The canning season for pineapple is still in progress. For the first 5 months of the season (JuneOctober), the pack was running moderately above a year earlier. With movement down substantially, November 1 canner stocks of pineapple were sharply above last year's low level.

Evidently, consumers are either continuing to resist some high-priced canned fruit items or consuming fruit they canned at home last season. Shipments to January 1 for the 11 items were about 8 percent below the comparable period in $1974 / 75$ and 11 percent lower than 1973/74. Thus, supplies for the remainder of $1975 / 76$ will be ample, with January 1 stocks 22 percent larger than a year earlier. Larger stocks of canned peaches, pears, fruit cocktail, apricots, and purple plums more than offset declines for tart and sweet cherries, and fruits for salad.

During January, the USDA announced purchases of substantial quantities of canned noncitrus fruit for distribution through child nutrition and elderly feeding programs. These purchases included canned applesauce, pears, peaches, and apricots, and in all cases exceeded total purchases made during fiscal 1974/75.

Total U.S. exports of canned noncitrus fruit through December of this season continued at the low level of the same period of $1974 / 75$, but was sharply below levels for earlier seasons.

Exports of canned cherries through December
were more than double the last 2 seasons, as shipments to Europe increased sharply. Canned fruit cocktail and pears also registered slight increases during this period over 1974/75, but were considerably below similiar periods during 1973/74 and 1972/73. Exports of canned peaches, pineapple, and apricots dropped to their lowest level in the past few seasons. Keen competition from larger foreign canned fruit supplies, the appreciation of the dollar against currencies of major foreign exporters of canned fruit, and slower than expected economic recovery in some major markets have all curtailed U.S. exports of canned fruit.

Wholesale prices of most items have declined as the larger supply prospects have become more evident. The BLS index of wholesale canned fruit prices peaked in the spring of 1975 and has declined since. The January index stood at 164 (1967=100), 4 percent below January 1975, but a fifth above January 1974.

Average monthly wholesale prices for the major canned fruits reported to date by BLS are shown in table 22. With the substantially larger stocks on hand, wholesale prices for some items are likely to decline further if movement does not improve.

## Frozen

The total supply of frozen noncitrus fruits and berries in cold storage as of February 1 was about one-tenth below the year-earlier volume. Smaller stocks of frozen strawberries, apples, peaches, and blueberries accounted for most of the decline, offsetting increases in cherries, apricots, and most bushberries (table 10).

Stocks of strawberries, the leading frozen fruit, were down 22 percent from a year ago. Although the 1975 California pack was slightly larger, stocks were down due to cutbacks in imports. Total imports during 1975 were off 17 percent from 1974 levels. Although imports from Poland increased moderately, supplies from Mexico, accounting for nine-tenths of our imports, were down nearly onefifth. Border crossings from Mexico since January 1 continued lower, as Mexico's harvest was delayed by cold weather. Wholesale prices for frozen strawberries advanced slightly during the last quarter of 1975 and averaged $\$ 4.29$ per dozen 10 -ounce packages, 2 percent above the fourth quarter 1974. Prices will remain firm during the first half of 1976.

The U.S. frozen cherry pack for 1975 is estimated at 123.3 million pounds, 11 percent below 1974, but total supplies for 1975/76 were only 2 percent lower because of the larger carryin at the beginning of the season. Apparent disappearance

Table 10-Stocks of frozen fruit: End of January 1973-76

| Frozen fruit | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary.
so far this season is off sharply, resulting in February 1 stocks 17 percent above the January 1975 volume.

## Dried

U.S. dried fruit production for the 1975/76 season was moderately above that of the previous season, with most items recording gains except figs.

Raisins are the predominant dried fruit, with all domestic output coming from California. Total output is estimated at 285,645 tons (dried basis), an increase of 18 percent over 1974. Combined with larger beginning stocks of raisins, total 1975/76 supplies are ample. Total shipments so far this season (September-December) were about the same as a year ago, with a moderate increase in domestic movement offsetting sharp declines in exports. The foreign crop of raisins is much larger than it has been the previous 2 years.

Because of large supplies, 1975 wholesale prices of dried raisins averaged below 1974. However, the average BLS wholesale price increased during the last quarter of 1975 and in January was $\$ 11.75$ per case ( $24 / 15 \mathrm{oz}$.), compared with $\$ 11.65$ during January 1975. The 1975 season-average price received by growers has been estimated at $\$ 607$ per ton (dried basis), up $\$ 5$ from the year before but below the \$754 high in 1973.

The output of prunes was slightly higher in 1975. An estimated 150,000 tons (dried basis) were produced in California, up 6 percent from 1974 but sharply below the 1973 crop of 205,000 tons. Total prune shipments for the first 5 months of this season through December were substantially larger than for the comparable 1974/75 period. Shipments

to domestic markets were about onefifth above a year earlier, while exports registered a 70 -percent advance. The most significant increase in exports was to France, which had a crop failure in 1975. The 1975 crop in France was only 2 percent of the average preceding 2 years. With the larger total shipments, the remaining supply of dried prunes at the end of December was moderately smaller than the preceding year. The wholesale price of dried prunes was also lower in 1975. The January 1976 BLS wholesale price averaged $\$ 8.53$ per case ( $24 / 1$ pound packages), compared with $\$ 9.57$ during January 1975. The average grower price for 1975 has been estimated at $\$ 415$ per ton (dried basis), 6 percent less than 1974.

California fig production amounted to 34,950 tons in 1975, roughly a fifth less than the previous season and 17 percent below 1973. Most of the crop was dried ( 31,800 tons of fresh equivalent). Fresh and canned use totaled 3,150 tons. Although the
crop was smaller, the below-average quality of the 1975 crop cut grower returns (for drying figs) to an
estimated \$437 per ton (dried basis), down sharply from \$684 in 1974.

## tree nuts

The 1975 estimated production of five major domestic tree nuts, at 490,650 tons, was 14 percent above the small crop of 1974. All tree nuts registered increases, except almonds. The value of utilized production is $\$ 308$ million, down slightly from 1974.

## Almonds

California's almond production, estimated at 159,000 tons (in-shell basis) in 1975, which produced 185 million pounds of shelled meats, was 16 percent below 1974's record high of 189,000 tons. However, total supplies are slightly greater than in 1974/75 because of the substantially larger inventory at the beginning of this season. Strong demand accounted for an increase of about a third in domestic movement during the first 7 months of this season (July-January). The increase was entirely attributed to large shipments of shelled products.

According to the Almond Control Board, total exports of shelled almonds during the first 7 months of the season amounted to 75.8 million pounds, an increase of 15 percent from last season. The increase reflects the dramatic surge in shipments to Japan which has bought 8.8 million pounds so far this season, compared with 1.8 million pounds a year earlier. Total shipments to West Germany, our principal market, have declined to 24.3 million pounds from 28.7 million a year ago.

Total movement of almonds is expected to continue to improve in both domestic and foreign markets as long as economic recovery continues. Thus, the carryout at the end of the season is likely to be sharply lower than last season's 87.6 million pounds (kernel weight).

The U.S. 1975 season-average almond price to growers has been estimated at $\$ 725$ per ton, compared with $\$ 900$ a year ago. Total value of the almond crop was also smaller, $\$ 115.3$ million compared with $\$ 170.1$ million in 1974 . The lower price was attributed to larger supplies as well as larger output of competitive tree nuts.

## Pecans

The 1975 production of pecans was estimated at 115,100 tons, 68 percent more than in 1974 but still 17 percent below 1973. The output of improved varieties was up a third, while native and seedling harvest was $11 / 4$ times larger. Because of a substantially larger crop, cold storage holdings of in-shell
pecans as of February 1 were considerably above year-earlier levels. But shelled pecans in cold storage, although a small quantity, was sharply below a year earlier.


In response to the larger crop, prices have been substantially lower. The preliminary estimate puts the season-average price to growers at 40.2 cents per pound, compared with 47.1 cents in 1974. Lower prices were reported for improved varieties as well as the native and seedling crop.

## Walnuts

U.S. production of walnuts in 1975 was estimated at a record-large 196,200 tons, up a fourth from 1974. Demand has been good in both domestic and foreign markets. Total walnut shipments for the first 6 months of this season (Au-gust-January) were up nearly a third from last season. Substantial increases in exports were recorded in the European markets in spite of larger crops in France and Italy.

Even with a substantially larger crop, unsold inventories held by walnut handlers as of February 1, 1976 were smaller than a year ago. The 1975 season-average price to growers is tentatively estimated at $\$ 450$ per ton (in-shell), compared with $\$ 419$ for the 1974 crop.

## Other Tree Nuts

The 1975 filbert output has been set at 11,950 tons, 78 percent above 1974's small crop. Despite the larger crop, the preliminary U.S. season
average price to growers is estimated at $\$ 590$ per ton, compared with $\$ 560$ in 1974.
U.S. production of Macadamia nuts in 1975 is
listed at 8,400 tons, up slightly from last year. Grower returns ạveraged 30 cents per pound, down from 32 cents a year ago.

## GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF FRUIT AND NUT PRODUCTION AND VALUE

Data for 1974 and preliminary 1975 utilized production and value of fruits, berries, and tree nuts grown in the U.S. are reported by States in tables 1115 of this issue.

Utilized production of fruit and berries increased moderately during 1975 to 26.5 million tons, while total farm value remained at about $\$ 3$ billion. Citrus production accounted for 55 percent of the fruit tonnage harvested, but only about a third of the total value of all fruits. Leading crops in order of tonnage were oranges at 10.2 million tons, grapes at 4.3 million tons, apples 3.6 million tons, grapefruit 2.5 million tons, and peaches 1.3 million tons. Ranking the crops by value shows oranges at $\$ 645$ million, apples at $\$ 557$ million, grapes $\$ 554$ million, peaches $\$ 290$ million, and strawberries $\$ 165$ million.

California and Florida combined accounted for about threequarters of U.S. fruit tonnage produced and nearly two-thirds of total value. In terms of value, California is by far the leading State, accounting for 44 percent of the total, followed by Florida at 21 percent, Washington at 11 percent, New York at 3 percent, and Michigan at nearly 3 percent.

Edible tree nut production, at 491,000 tons, had a total value of $\$ 308$ million. California, the leading producer, accounted for 72 percent of the output and two-thirds of the total value.

Revised data for 1975 will be published in the February 1977 Fruit Situation, along with preliminary 1976.
Table 11-Fruit and edible tree nuts: Utilized production, by States, United States, 1974

| State | Noncitrus fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apples | Apricots | Cherries |  | Cranberries | Grapes | Peaches | Peers | Prunes end plums | Strewberries | Other ${ }^{1}$ | Total |  |
|  |  |  | Sweet | Tert |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quantity | Percent of U.S. |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { t.000 } \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,000 } \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { t.000 } \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { t.000 } \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Maine | 34.5 | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 34.5 | 0.3 |
| N.H. | 30.5 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 30.5 | . 3 |
| Vt. ... | 19.0 | . | - | $\cdots$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | . $\cdot$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 19.0 | . 2 |
| Mass. | 45.4 | . | . | $\ldots$ | 46.6 | ... | 1.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.7 | $\ldots$ | 94.3 | . 8 |
| R.I. | 2.0 | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2.0 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| Conn. . | 22.5 | $\cdots$ | . $\cdot$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2.1 | 1.4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 26.0 | . 2 |
| N.Y. . . . . | 444.5 | $\ldots$ | 1.6 | 8.1 | $\ldots$ | 177.0 | 8.0 | 14.0 | $\ldots$ | 2.2 | - | 655.4 | 5.8 |
| N.J. | 60.0 | $\cdots$ | ... | - ... | 12.5 | 1.0 | 45.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2.6 | *. | 121.6 | 1.1 |
| Pa. . . . . | 240.0 | ... | 8 | 6.6 | $\ldots$ | 53.0 | 60.0 | 3.2 | ... | 2.2 | $\cdots$ | 365.8 | 3.2 |
| Ohio ... | 66.0 | ... | , | . 3 | ... | 15.5 | 7.0 | ... | $\ldots$ | 2.8 | $\ldots$ | 91.6 | . 8 |
| Ind. . . . | 19.1 | - | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . 9 | $\cdots$ | 21.0 | . 2 |
| H.1...... | 39.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 1.8 | $\cdots$ | ... | 1.6 | $\ldots$ | 42.9 | . 4 |
| Mich. . . | 335.0 | $\ldots$ | 25.5 | 103.0 | $\ldots$ | 47.5 | 35.0 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 8.8 | $\ldots$ | 577.3 | 5.1 |
| Wis. . | 30.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 5.2 | 37.8 | ... | $\ldots$ | .. | $\cdots$ | 1.9 | $\cdots$ | 74.9 | . 7 |
| Minn. . . | 12.5 | . | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 12.5 |  |
| lowa ... | 5.4 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5.4 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| Mo. | 26.5 | $\ldots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 1.5 | 1.5 | . | $\cdots$ | . 8 | $\cdots$ | 30.3 | . 3 |
| Kens. . . . | 6.4 | . | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | . | 1.5 | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 7.9 | . 1 |
| Del. . . . | 6.2 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . 6 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 6.8 | . 1 |
| Md. . . . | 32.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 9.7 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 8 | $\cdots$ | 43.0 | 4 |
| Va . | 189.2 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 16.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . 4 | $\cdots$ | 205.6 | 1.8 |
| w. Va. | 105.0 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 11.5 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 116.5 | 1.0 |
| N.C. | 147.5 | $\cdots$ | . $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 3.1 | 10.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 2.8 | $\cdots$ | 163.4 | 1.4 |
| s.c. . . . . . | 10.0 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | '4.9 | 107.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 122.4 | 1.1 |
| Ga. | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 22.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 22.5 | . 2 |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 8.8 | 20.3 | 29.1 | 3 |
| Ky. | 7.2 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 2.5 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | . 6 | ... | 10.3 | . 1 |
| Tenn. | 3.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2.0 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . 8 | $\ldots$ | 6.3 | , 1 |
| Ale. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 4.5 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 4.5 | (2) |
| Miss . . . | . | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | ... | 3.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | 3.5 | (2) |
| Ark. ... | 6.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 8.0 | 10.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 1.4 | $\cdots$ | 25.9 | . 2 |
| La. .... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 3.2 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 2.8 | $\cdots$ | 6.0 | . 1 |
| Okle. | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | . 1 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . 5 | $\ldots$ | . 6 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| Texas | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 9.0 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 9.0 |  |
| Mont. . | ... | $\ldots$ | 1.6 | . | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.6 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| Ideho | 46.5 | $\ldots$ | 2.2 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5.0 | 1.0 | 6.1 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 60.8 | . 5 |
| Colo. . . | 22.5 | $\cdots$ | . 2 | 1.2 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 6.8 | 4.6 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 35.3 | . 3 |
| N. Mex. | 2.5 | , | ... | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 2.5 | (2) |
| Ariz. | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | 12.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 12.5 | . 1 |
| Utah | 18.5 | . 6 | 5.0 | 5.8 | $\cdots$ | ... | 8.0 | 3.2 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 41.1 | . 4 |
| Wash. | 903.0 | 2.0 | 45.0 | $\cdots$ | 46 | 80.5 | 13.6 | 213.3 | 21.1 | 11.4 | 8.6 | 1,303.1 | 11.5 |
| Ore | 82.5 | $\ldots$ | 33.5 | 2.1 | 4.6 | $\ldots$ | 5.5 | 175.0 | 28.0 | 20.5 | 22.4 | 374.1 | 3.3 |
| Cally. | 220.0 | 91.0 | 28.0 | . |  | 3.787 .0 | 954.0 | 310.9 | 587.5 | 191.4 | 302.8 | 6,272.6 | $57.2$ |
| Hawalı...... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 21.9 | 21.9 | . 2 |
| U.S.* | 3,242.0 | 93.6 | 143.6 | 132.3 | 106.1 | 4,191.5 | 1,370.4 | 737.1 | 654.7 | 266.6 | 376.0 | 11.313 .9 | 100.0 |

Table 11- Fruit and edible tree nuts: Utilized production, by States, United States, 1974-Continued

| State | Citrus frums ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Total all fruits |  | Tree nuts |  |  |  | Total of all fruits and tree nuts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oranges | Grapefruit | Lemons | Other* | Total |  | Quantity | Percent of U.S. | Pecans | Other ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Total |  |  | Percent of U.S |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quantity | Percent of U.S. |  |  |  |  | Quantity | Percent of U.S. | Quantity |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $1,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Maine ...... | -. | -. | ... | -. | ... | ... | 34.5 | 0.1 | . | -.. | --. | $\ldots$ | 34.5 | 0.1 |
| N.H. . ....... | $\cdots$ | -- | -. | -. | $\cdots$ | --. | 30.5 | . 1 | $\cdots$ | .-. | -. | -. | 30.5 | . 1 |
| Vt. ....... | -. | -. | .-. | -.. | ... | $\ldots$ | 19.0 | . 1 | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | -. | 19.0 | . 1 |
| Mass. . . . . . . | -. | ... | --. | $\ldots$ | -.. | $\ldots$ | 94.3 | . 4 | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | --. | 94.3 | . 4 |
| R.I. . . . . . . . | -. | .-. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2.0 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 2.0 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Conn. ..... | $\cdots$ | --. | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 26.0 | . 1 | -. | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 26.0 | . 1 |
| N.Y. . ....... | $\cdots$ | -.. | ... | $\ldots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | 655.4 | 2.7 | $\ldots$ | ... | .-. | $\ldots$ | 655.4 | 2.6 |
| N.J. . . . . . . . | -. - | .-. | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 121.6 | . 5 | $\cdots$ | ... | -. | ... | 121.6 | . 5 |
| Pa . | $\cdots$ | -. | .-. | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 365.8 | 1.5 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -. | 365.8 | 1.5 |
| Ohio . . . . . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . . | ... | ... | ... | 91.6 | . 4 | -.. | ... | ... | ... | 91.6 | . 4 |
| Ind. . . . | -- | -.. | $\cdots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 21.0 | . 1 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 21.0 | . 1 |
| III. . . . . . . | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 42.9 | . 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 42.9 | . 2 |
| Mich | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | -. | 577.3 | 2.3 | - | - | . | $\cdots$ | 577.3 | 2.3 |
| Wis. | - | -. | $\cdots$ | - | ... | ... | 74.9 | . 3 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 74.9 | . 3 |
| Minn. | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 12.5 | . 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 12.5 | $\left(^{2}\right)$ |
| Iowa ....... | -.. | - . | . . | -.. | ... | ... | 5.4 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | --. | 5.4 | (2) |
| Mo. | -. | -.. | -. | ... | $\cdots$ | -. | 30.3 | . 1 | - | ... | ... | ... | 30.3 | . 1 |
| Kans. | $\cdots$ | --- | . . | ... | ... | ... | 7.9 | (2) | ... | ... | -. | ... | 7.9 | (2) |
| Del. | ... | ... | . . | ... | -- | -. | 6.8 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | . | 6.8 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Md. . . . . . . . | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | .-. | 43.0 | . 2 | $\cdots$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 43.0 | . 2 |
| Va . | --. | $\cdots$ | .-. | $\cdots$ | ... | -.. | 205.6 | . 8 | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | -.. | 205.6 | . 8 |
| W. Va. | --. | ... | -.. | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 116.5 | . 5 | - | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 116.5 | . 5 |
| N.C. . . . . . . | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | .-. | . . | 163.4 | . 7 | 1.1 | $\cdots$ | 1.1 | 0.3 | 164.5 | . 7 |
| S.C. | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | - . | 122.4 | . 5 | 1.2 | -. | 1.2 | . 3 | 123.6 | . 5 |
| Ga. | -.. | -.. | -. | $\cdots$ | . | --- | 22.5 | . 1 | 29.0 | . | 29.0 | 6.8 | 51.5 | . 2 |
|  | 7,462.0 | 2,045.0 | $\cdots$ | 581.0 | 10,088.0 | 75.2 | 10,117.1 | 40.9 | 1.2 | $\ldots$ | 1.2 | . 3 | 10,118.3 | 40.2 |
| Ky. | , | ... | ... | . | ,08.0 | . | 10.3 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | -. | ... | ... | ... | 10.3 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) |
| Tenn. | - - | $\cdots$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 6.3 | (2) | -. | ... | --- | --- | 6.3 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
|  | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 4.5 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 5.5 | $\ldots$ | 5.5 | 1.3 | 10.0 | (2) |
| Miss. . . . . . . | -. | $\cdots$ | . . | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 3.5 | (2) | 1.5 | $\ldots$ | 1.5 | . 4 | 5.0 | $(3)^{2}$ |
| Ark. | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | 25.9 | . 1 | . 6 | - $\cdot$ | . 6 | . 1 | 26.5 | . 1 |
| La. | - - | .-. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 6.0 | (2) | 1.5 | $\cdots$ | 1.5 | . 4 | 7.5 | (2) |
| Okla. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | . 6 | (2) | 1.2 | $\ldots$ | 1.2 | . 3 | 1.8 | (2) |
| Texas. | 281.0 | 428.0 | - | $\cdots$ | 709.0 | 5.3 | 718.0 | 2.9 | 19.0 | ... | 19.0 | 4.4 | 737.0 | 2.9 |
| Mont. . | . | .-. | ... | ... | ... | -- | 1.6 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | -. | 1.6 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Idaho | $\ldots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -. | $\ldots$ | 60.8 | . 2 | - | ... | ... | ... | 60.8 | . 2 |
| Colo | -. | - | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 35.3 | . 1 | - | ... | $\cdots$ | -.. | 35.3 | ( ${ }^{\text {( })}$ |
| N. Mex. . . | -. | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | 2.5 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 6.6 | ... | 6.6 | 1.5 | 9.1 | (2) |
| Ariz. . . . | 128.0 | 66.0 | 110.0 | 26.0 | 330.0 | 2.5 | 342.5 | 1.4 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 342.5 | 1.4 |
| Utah . ... | ... | ... | -. | . | 龶 | , | 41.1 | . 2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 41.1 | . 2 |
| Wash. | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | .-. | -.. | $\ldots$ | 1,303.1 | 5.3 | $\cdots$ | 0.3 | . 3 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 1,303.4 | 5.2 |
| Ore.. |  |  | .-. | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | 374.1 | 1.5 | $\cdots$ | 7.9 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 382.0 | 1.5 |
| Calif. | 1,515.0 | 153.0 | 566.0 | 51.0 | 2,285.0 | 17.0 | $8,757.6$ | 35.4 | $\ldots$ | 344.0 | 344.0 | 80.2 | 9,101.6 | 36.2 |
| Hawaii ..... |  | ... | -- | ... |  |  | 21.9 | . 1 | $\cdots$ | 8.2 | 8.2 | 1.9 | 30.1 | . 1 |
| U.S. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9,386.0 | 2,692.0 | 676.0 | 658.0 | 13.412 .0 | 100.0 | 24,725.9 | 100.0 | 68.6 | 360.4 | 429.0 | 100.0 | 25,154.9 | 100.0 |

Table 12-Fruit and edible tree nuts: Value of production, by States, United States, 1974


| State | Citrus fruits |  |  |  |  |  | Total all fruit |  | Tree nuts |  |  |  | Total all fruit and tree nuts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oranges | Grapefruit | Lemons | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total |  | Value | Percent of U.S. | Pecans | Other ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Total |  | Value | Percent of U.S. |
|  |  |  |  |  | Value | Percent of U.S. |  |  |  |  | $V$ alue | Percent of U.S. |  |  |
|  | $1,000$ <br> dollars | $1,000$ <br> dollars | $1,000$ <br> dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $1,000$ <br> dollars | Percent | $1,000$ <br> dollars | Percent | $1,000$ <br> dollars | $1,000$ <br> dollars | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $1,000$ <br> dollars | Percent |
| Maine | ... | ... | -.. | ... | -.. | ... | 7.314 | 0.2 | ... | ... | ... | -.. | 7.314 | 0.2 |
| N.H. | -.. | -.. | --. | -.. | -.. | -.. | 6.527 | . 2 | --. | -.. | ... | -.. | 6.527 | . 2 |
| V t. | --. | --. | --. | ... | ... | -.. | 3.952 | . 1 | -.. | -.. | .-. | -.. | 3.952 | . 1 |
| Mass. | -.. | ... | ... | ... | ... | -.. | 20.532 | . 7 | -.. | --. | -.. | -.. | 20.532 | . 6 |
| R.I. | -.. | -.. | -.. | ... | ... | -.. | 460 | (2) | -.. | -.. | .-. | -.. | 460 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Conn. | --. | --. | -.. | ... | ... | -.. | 6.147 | . 2 | --. | --. | $\cdots$ | --. | 6,147 | . 2 |
| N.Y. . | --. | -.. | -.. | ... | ... | -.. | 114.602 | 3.8 | -.. | -.. | ... | $\cdots$ | 114.602 | 3.5 |
| N.J. | -.. | -.. | -.. | .-. | ... | --. | 28,322 | . 9 | -.. | ... | -.. | ... | 28,322 | . 9 |
| Pa. . | ... | ... | -.. | ... | ... | -.. | 70.752 | 2.4 | -.. | -.. | ... | --. | 70.752 | 2.1 |
| Ohio | -.. | - | --. | $\cdots$ | ... | -.. | 22.755 | . 8 | -.. | -.. | -.. | --. | 22.755 | . 7 |
| Ind. | -.. | .-. | -.. | ... | ... | -.. | 4,980 | . 2 | --. | -.. | $\cdots$ | --. | 4,980 | . 2 |
| III. | $\therefore$ | ... | -.. | -.. | $\ldots$ | --. | 9.812 | . 3 | --- | -. | ... | -.. | 9,812 | . 3 |
| Mich. | -.. | -.. | -.. | -.. | $\ldots$ | --. | 114.734 | 3.8 | -.. | -.. | ... | -.. | 114.734 | 3.5 |
| Wis. | -.. | -.. | -.. | -.. | $\ldots$ | -.. | 18.420 | . 6 | -.. | -.. | ... | --. | 12.420 | . 6 |
| Minn. | -.. | -.. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3.350 | . 1 | ... | -.. | ... | -.. | 3.350 | . 1 |
| Jowa | -.. | -.. | -.. | -.. | -.. | --. | 1,566 | . 1 | -.. | -.. | ... | -.. | 1,566 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| Mo. | -.. | ... | -.. | -.. | ... | --. | 8.356 | . 3 | - | .-. | -.. | -.. | 8.356 | . 3 |
| Kans. | -.. | ... | ... | -.. | ... | -.. | 1.622 | . 1 | -.. | .-. | ... | -.. | 1.622 | ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| Del. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | -.. | 1.209 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | -.. | 1,209 | ( ${ }^{2}$ |
| Md. | --. | -.. | -.. | $\cdots$ | - | ... | 8.649 | . 3 | $\cdots$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | - - | 8,649 | . 3 |
| Va. | --. | -.. | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ | - | 35.697 | 1.2 | - | ... | $\cdots$ | --. | 35.697 | 1.1 |
| w. Va. | -.. | -. | --. | -.. | $\ldots$ | --. | 22.523 | . 8 | --. | ... | ... | -.. | 22,523 | . 7 |
| N.C. | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 23.699 | . 8 | 995 | - | 995 | 0.3 | 24,694 | . 7 |
| S.C. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 30,378 | 1.0 | 1.179 | ... | 1.179 | . 4 | 31,557 | 1.0 |
| Ga. | - $\cdot$. | ... | --. | - 7 - | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  | 8.055 | . 3 | 28,730 | -.. | 28.730 | 9.3 | 36,785 | 1.1 |
| Fla. | 423,345 | 123.423 | --. | 44,782 | 591.550 | 64.1 | 605,463 | 20.2 | 1.089 | -.. | 1.089 | . 4 | 606.552 | 18.3 |
| K $\boldsymbol{r}$. | - | , | ... | ... |  | ... | 2.743 | . 1 | . . | ... | , | - | 2.743 | . 1 |
| Tenn. | -.. | ... | -.. | ... | ... | ... | 1,921 | . 1 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1.921 | . 1 |
| Ala. | -.. | - | - | -.. | ... | --. | 1.728 | . 1 | 5,500 | -. | 5,500 | 1.8 | 7.228 | . 2 |
| Miss. | -.. | ... | ... | ... | ... | --. | 1.190 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ | 1.388 | ... | 1.388 | . 4 | 2.578 | . 1 |
| Ark. | .-. | ... | -.. | -. | -.. | -.. | 7.163 | . 2 | 605 | -.. | 605 | . 2 | 7.768 | . 2 |
|  | - | --. | -.. | - | $\cdots$ | --. | 3.432 | . 1 | 1,340 | - | 1.340 | . 4 | 4.772 | . 1 |
| Okla. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | -.. | 375 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 946 | ... | 946 | . 3 | 1,321 | $\left({ }^{2}\right)$ |
| Texas | 10,428 | 17.762 | --. | --. | 28.190 | 3.1 | 31,070 | 1.0 | 16.055 | ... | 16.055 | 5.2 | 47.125 | 1.4 |
| Mont. | ... | ... | ... | - | ... | $\cdots$ | 875 | (2) | . | ... | ... | - | 875 | $\mathrm{i}^{2}$ ) |
| Idaho | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 14.682 | . 5 | ... | ... | ... | -.. | 14,682 | . 4 |
| Colo. | ... | -.. | --. | ... | -.. | -.. | 7.779 | . 3 | ... | -.. | ... | ... | 7,779 | . 2 |
| N. Mex. - | -.. | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | 490 | ( ${ }^{2}$ ) | 6,732 | --. | 6,732 | 2.2 | 7,222 | . 2 |
| Ariz. | 10.026 | 4.182 | 18,067 | 3.454 | 35,729 | 3.9 | 44,729 | 1.5 | ... | -.. | ... | ... | 44,729 | 1.4 |
| Utah | - | . | , | , | 35.720 | . | 10.118 | . 3 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 10,118 | . 3 |
| Wash. ...... | ... | ... | -.. | -.. | -.. | -.. | 251,339 | 8.4 | -.. | 170 | 170 | . 1 | 251,509 | 7.6 |
| Ore. | .-. | ... | ... | -.. | ... | ... | 80.120 | 2.7 | ... | 4,154 | 4,154 | 1.3 | 84,274 | 2.5 |
| Calif. | 156,892 | 12,306 | 91,784 | 5,916 | 266,898 | 28.9 | 1,355,766 | 45.2 | ... | 235.045 | 235,045 | 76.0 | 1,590,811 | 48.1 |
| Hawaii ..... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 5,736 | . 2 | -.. | 5,238 | 5,238 | 1.7 | 10.974 | . 3 |
| U.S. ${ }^{4}$ | 600,691 | 157.673 | 109.851 | 54.152 | 922,367 | 100.0 | 3,001.132 | 100.0 | 64,559 | 244,607 | 309.166 | 100.0 | 3.310,298 | 100.0 |

Table 13-Fruit and edible tree nuts: Utilized production, by States, United States, $1975^{*}$

| State | Noncitrus fruit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Apples | Apricots | Cherries |  | Cranberries | Grapes | Peaches | Pears | Plums and prunes | Strawberries | Other ${ }^{2}$ | Total |  |
|  |  |  | Sweet | Tart |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Quantity | Percent of U.S |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { r.000 } \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { r.000 } \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Maine ..... | 33.0 | - $\cdot$ | . | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | - . | -.. | 33.0 | 0.3 |
| N.H | 27.5 | - . | - . | - | ... | -.. | - . |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 27.5 | . 2 |
| Vi. .... | 16.5 | - | - | $\cdots$ | … | ... | $\cdots$ |  | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 16.5 | 1 |
| Mass. . . | 43.0 | . | $\cdots$ | ... | 40.5 | ... | 26 |  | $\cdots$ | 0.8 | $\cdots$ | 86.9 | 7 |
| R.I. | 2.1 | ... | ... | ... | - | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 2.1 | (3) |
| Conn. | 21.5 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 2.7 | 1.9 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 26.1 | . 2 |
| N.Y. ...... | 440.0 | . | 6.8 | 12.5 | $\ldots$ | 153.0 | 8.5 | 17.5 | . | 2.0 | $\ldots$ | 640.3 | 5.4 |
| N.J. ${ }^{\text {a }}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . 55.0 | ... | ... | ... | 11.0 | 1.2 | 45.0 | ... | - | 2.0 | $\ldots$ | 114.2 | 1.0 |
| Pa . | 265.0 | $\cdots$ | . 9 | 5.8 | ... | 48.0 | 55.0 | 3.4 | - . | 2.4 | $\cdots$ | 380.5 | 3.2 |
| Ohio | 76.0 | ... | - . | . 2 | -. | 14.6 | 10.0 | ... | - . | 3.3 | ... | 104.1 | . 9 |
| Ind. . . . . . . | 39.0 | ... | - | - | $\cdots$ | ... | 5.0 | ... | $\cdots$ | 2.5 | $\cdots$ | 46.5 | 4 |
| III. . . . . | 56.0 | - $\cdot$ | - | $\ldots$ | . . | ... | 13.5 | ... | ... | 1.6 | ... | 71.1 | . 6 |
| Mich. . . | 355.0 | . . | 27.0 | 91.0 | -.. | 55.0 | 27.5 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 8.2 | $\cdots$ | 596.7 | 5.0 |
| Wis. | 32.0 | $\ldots$ | ... | 4.8 | 41.2 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 2.0 | - $\cdot$ | 80.0 | . 7 |
| Minn. | 9.2 | -. | - | -.. | -.. | . | . | -.. | ... | ... | ... | 9.2 | . 1 |
| lowa | 4.6 | ... | - | . | - . | ... | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -. | ... | 4.6 | (1) |
| Mo. | 33.5 | - . | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 2.8 | 11.5 | - | ... | 1.2 | $\cdots$ | 49.0 | 4 |
| Kans. | 8.5 | ... | . | - | $\ldots$ | . | 5.5 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 14.0 | . 1 |
| Del. . | 6.8 | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | 1.6 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | -. . | . | 8.4 | . 1 |
| Md. ..... | 39.5 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | 11.5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . 9 | $\cdots$ | 51.9 | 4 |
| Va. | 197.5 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | 16.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 5 | $\cdots$ | 214.0 | 1.8 |
| W. Va | 117.0 | $\ldots$ | - | $\ldots$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | 14.0 | $\cdots$ | - | ... | -.. | 137.0 | 1.1 |
| N.C. | 137.5 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 3.8 | 15.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 2.4 | ... | 158.7 | 1.3 |
| S.c. | 11.0 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ${ }^{4} 4.8$ | 105.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | - | 120.8 | 1.0 |
| Ga. | -.. | - | - | -. | -. | ... | 47.5 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | 475 | . 4 |
| Fla. | ... | - | - | - . | - - | ... | ... | ... | - | 9.9 | 21.9 | 31.8 | . 3 |
| Ky. | 10.6 | - | $\ldots$ | - | -.. | - | 8.2 |  | $\ldots$ | 1.2 | ... | 20.0 | . 2 |
| Tenn. | 5.0 | ... | - | $\ldots$ | ... | -.. | 4.4 | ... | $\cdots$ | . 6 | ... | 10.0 | . 1 |
| Ala. | ... | -. | . | - | $\cdots$ |  | 3.5 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | . | 3.5 | (3) |
| Miss. ..... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | -. | ... | - . | - 3.5 | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | 3.5 | (3) |
| Ark. | 10.6 | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 10.5 | 17.5 |  | - | 2.2 |  | 40.8 | . 3 |
| La. | ... | , | , | - | , | . | 1.5 | . | $\ldots$ | 3.5 | ... | 5.0 | (3) |
| Okla. | . | $\ldots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | 3.4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 1.2 | - | 4.6 | (') |
| Texas | ... | ... | - | .. | ... | ... | 8.0 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | -.. | 8.0 | . 1 |
| Mont | -.. | $\ldots$ | 2.4 | - | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -. | 2.4 | ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) |
| Idaho | 47.5 | ... | 1.6 | --. | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 5.2 | 1.6 | 3.5 | $\cdots$ | - | 59.4 | . 5 |
| Colo. | 46.0 | ... | . 4 | 16 | ... | ... | 8.0 | 6.0 | . | $\ldots$ | - | 62.0 | . 5 |
| N. Mex. | 5.0 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | 5.0 | (') |
| Ariz. | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 12.3 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 12.3 | . 1 |
| Utah ....... | 24.5 | 0.5 | 2.8 | 4.0 | $\cdots$ | - | 8.0 | 4.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | -.. | 43.9 | . 4 |
| Wash. . | 1,100.0 | 3.0 | 42.3 | -.. | 6.8 | 108.5 | 19.8 | 240.0 | 20.2 | 11.6 | 12.9 | 1.565.1 |  |
| Ore. . | 80.0 | ... | 36.5 | 3.1 | 4.9 | ... | 6.0 | 172.0 | 27.5 | 20.8 | 19.8 | 3706 | 3.1 |
| Calif. .. . | 230.0 | 166.0 | 33.0 | -.. | - . | 3.924 .0 | 839.5 | 300.4 | 585.0 | 1900 | 345.2 | 6,613.1 | 55.5 |
| Hawaii | . | . | . | . . . | ... | 3.92.. | ... | ... | ... | ... | 23.1 | 23.1 | . 2 |
| U.S.s | 3.585 .8 | 169.5 | 153.6 | 123.1 | 104.4 | 4.338 .4 | 1,334.0 | 761.9 | 654.2 | 271.0 | 422.9 | 11,918.8 | 100.0 |


| State | Citrus fruit ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Total all fruit |  | Tree nuts |  |  |  | Total all fruit and tree nuts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oranges | Grapefruit | Lemons | Other ${ }^{3}$ | Total |  | Quantity | Percent of U.S. | Pecans | Other* | Total |  | Quantitv | Percent of U.S |
|  |  |  |  |  | Quantity | Percent of U.S. |  |  |  |  | Quantity | Percent of U.S. |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t.000 } \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Maine ...... | -.. | - - | -. | -.. | $\cdots$ | .-. | 33.0 | 0.1 | - | -.. | - | $\ldots$ | 33.0 | 0.1 |
| N.H. . . . . | -.. | ... | --- | --. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 27.5 | . 1 | -.. | --. | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 27.5 | . 1 |
| Vt. ....... | -.. | ... | -.. | -.. | -.. | -. | 16.5 | . 1 | -. | --. | -.. | -.. | 16.5 | . 1 |
| Mass. . . . . . . | $\cdots$ | - | --- | - | $\cdots$ | -. | 86.9 | . 3 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | -. | 86.9 | . 3 |
| R.I. . . . . . . | ... | ... | ... | - | ... | ... | 2.1 | $\left(^{3}\right)$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | - . | 2.1 | ( ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ |
| Conn. .... | ... | -.. | ... | ... | ... | -.. | 26.1 | . 1 | ... | -. | - | -- | 26.1 | 1 |
| N.Y. . . . . . | -.. | $\cdots$ | -.. | - | ... | -. | 640.3 | 2.4 | ... | -.. | --- | -- | 640.3 | 2.4 |
| N.J. . . . . . . | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 114.2 | . 4 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | - | 114.2 | . 4 |
| Pa. . . . . . . . | ... | -. | -.. | -.. | $\cdots$ | ... | 380.5 | 1.4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 380.5 | 1.4 |
| Ohio ...... | $\cdots$ | - - | ... | ... | ... | ... | 104.1 | . 4 | -.. | ... | ... | ... | 104.1 | . 4 |
| Ind. . . . . . . . | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 46.5 | $.2$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- | 46.5 | . 2 |
| 181. ......... | ... | . . | .-. | - | ... | $\ldots$ | 71.1 | $.3$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 71.1 | . 3 |
| Mich. . . . . . . | ... | -.. | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 596.7 | 2.3 | - | -.. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 596.7 | 2.2 |
| Wis. . . . . . . | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 80.0 | . 3 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -. | 80.0 |  |
| Minn. ...... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 9.2 | (3) | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 9.2 | (3) |
| lowa | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | 4.6 | (3) | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | -.. | 4.6 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Mo. . . . . . . | . | ... | ... | - | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 49.0 | . 2 | . | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | -.. | 49.0 | . 2 |
| Kans. . . . . . . | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 14.0 | . 1 | -.. | -.. | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 14.0 | - 1 |
| Del | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | - | $\ldots$ | ... | 8.4 | (') | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 8.4 | (') |
| Md. ........ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - . | ... | 51.9 | . 2 | $\cdots$ | . | - . | - | 51.9 | . 2 |
| Va. ........ | -.. | $\ldots$ | -.. | ... | -.. | $\ldots$ | 214.0 | . 8 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | 214.0 | . 8 |
| w. Va. ..... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | - | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 131.0 | . 5 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -.. | 131.0 | . 5 |
| N.C. . . . . . . | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 158.7 | . 6 | 1.1 | $\cdots$ | 1.1 | 0.2 | 159.8 | . 6 |
| S.C. . . . . . . | -.. | ... | ... | ... | - . | $\ldots$ | 120.8 | . 5 | 1.2 | ... | 1.2 | . 2 | 122.0 | . 5 |
| Ga. . . . . . . . | --. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | -.. | ... | 47.5 | . 2 | 37.5 | - | 37.5 | 7.6 | 85.0 | . 3 |
| Fla. | 7,799.0 | 1,896.0 | $\ldots$ | 642.0 | 10,337.0 | 70.9 | 10,368.8 | 39.1 | 2.5 | $\cdots$ | 2.5 | . 5 | 10,371.3 | 38.4 |
| Ky. ........ | ... | , | ... | . | , | ... | 20.0 | . 1 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 20.0 | . 1 |
| Tenn. | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | 10.0 | ( ${ }^{3}$ ) | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 10.0 | (3) |
|  | -.. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 3.5 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | 10.0 | ... | 10.0 | 2.0 | 13.5 | (3) |
| Miss. . . . . . | ... | ... | ... | -.. | - | ... | 3.5 | (3) | 3.0 | $\ldots$ | 3.0 | 6 | 6.5 | (3) |
| Ark. | --. | -.. | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ | - | 40.8 | . 2 | 1.8 | - | 1.8 | . 4 | 42.6 | . 2 |
| La. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | 5.0 | ( ') | 15.0 | $\cdots$ | 15.0 | 3.1 | 20.0 | 1 |
| Okla. | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | 4.6 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | 13.0 | ... | 13.0 | 2.6 | 17.6 | . 1 |
| Texas | 193.0 | 292.0 | $\ldots$ | ... | 485.0 | 3.3 | 493.0 | 1.9 | 25.0 | - | 25.0 | 5.1 | 518.0 | 1.9 |
| Mont. | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | - | 2.4 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -. | $\cdots$ | 2.4 | ( ${ }^{3}$ |
| Idaho | - | ... | - | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 59.4 | . 2 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | ... | .. | 59.4 | . 2 |
| Colo. . . . . . | - | -.. | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 62.0 | 2 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 62.0 | . 2 |
| N. Mex. . | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 5.0 | (3) | 5.0 | $\ldots$ | 5.0 | 1.0 | 10.0 | ( ${ }^{3}$ |
| Ariz. | 187.0 | 89.0 | 274.0 | 23.0 | 573.0 | 3.9 | 585.3 | 2.2 | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | - | 585.3 | 2.2 |
| Utah ...... | ... | ... | ... | . | 5 | $\ldots$ | 43.9 | . 2 | ... | $\cdots$ | - | -. | 43.9 | . 2 |
| Wash. | - - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | 1,565.1 | 5.9 | $\cdots$ | 0.4 | . 4 | . 1 | 1,565.5 | 5.8 |
| Ore. |  | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 370.6 | 1.4 | $\cdots$ | 12.8 | 12.8 | 2.6 | 383.4 | 1.4 |
| Calif. . | 2,066.0 | 219.0 | 844.0 | 58.0 | 3,187.0 | 21.9 | 9,800.1 | 37.0 | $\cdots$ | 354.0 | 354.0 | 72.1 | 10,154.1 | 37.6 |
| Hawaii | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 23.1 | . 1 | - | 8.4 | 8.4 | 1.7 | 31.5 | . 1 |
| U.S. ${ }^{5}$ | 10.245.0 | 2,496.0 | 1.118 .0 | 723.0 | 14,582.0 | 100.0 | 26.500 .8 | 100.0 | 115.1 | 375.6 | 490.7 | 100.0 | 26,991.5 | 100.0 |

Table 14-Fruit and edible tree nuts: Value of production, by States, United States, 1975

Table 14-Fruit and edible tree nuts: Value of production, by States, United States, $1975^{1}$-Continued

| State | Citrus fruits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Total all fruits |  | Tree nuts |  |  |  | Total of all fruit and tree nuts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Oranges | Grapefruit | Lemons | Other ${ }^{2}$ | Total |  | Value | Percent of U.S. | Pecans | Other* | Total |  | Value | Percent of U.S. |
|  |  |  |  |  | Value | Percent of U.S. |  |  |  |  | Value | Percent of U.S. |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dol/ars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Maine | $\cdots$ | -- | --. | -.. | - | -.. | 6,402 | 0.2 | --- | --. | -- | --. | 6,402 | 0.2 |
| N.H. . . . . . . | $\ldots$ | -.. | --. | -. | --. | --. | 5,610 | . 2 | --- | --. | -- | --- | 5,610 | . 2 |
| Vt. .... | ... | -. | --. | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ | 3,333 | . 1 | $\ldots$ | ... | - | -. | 3,333 | . 1 |
| Mass. | .-. | ... | -.. | ... | ... | --. | 18,749 | . 6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 18,749 | . 6 |
| R.I. . . | - | -. | --. | ... | -.. | -.. | 441 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | --. | -.. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 441 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Conn. | ... | -.. | --- | -.. | ... | --. | 5,931 | . 2 | --- | -.. | -.. | --. | 5.931 | . 2 |
| N.Y. . . | --- | --- | --. | --. | --- | - - | 93,151 | 3.1 | --- | -.- | -- | -. | 93,151 | 2.8 |
| N.J. | ... | - . | --- | --- | - . | -.. | 24,989 | . 8 | -.. | -.. | --. | -- | 24,989 | . 7 |
| Pa......... . | --- | -. - | --. | $\cdots$ | -.. | ... | 52,006 | 1.7 | -. | ... | ... | -.. | 52,006 | 1.6 |
| Ohio ...... | -.. | -. | -.. | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 24,188 | . 8 | -.. | ... | ... | ... | 24,188 | . 7 |
| Ind. . . . . . . . | --- | -. | --. | .-. | - | --. | 10,373 | . 3 | -.. | ... | - | ... | 10,373 | . 3 |
| III. | ... | ... | --- | ... | ... | --. | 14,036 | . 5 | -.. | - | - . | $\cdots$ | 14,036 | . 4 |
| Mich. . . . . . . | -.. | -- | --. | .-. | . . | -. | 78,281 | 2.6 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 78,281 | 2.3 |
| Wis. | ... | ... | -.. | -. | -. | --- | 18,335 | . 6 | -- | -. | - | -- | 18,335 | . 5 |
| Minn. | ... | -.. | -.. | -.. | ... | -.. | 2,461 | . 1 | -.. | ... | -.. | ... | 2,461 | . 1 |
| lowa | -.. | -.. | --. | -.. | -- | --- | 1,181 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | -.. | -.. | - | --- | 1,181 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Mo. . | -.. | -.. | --. | -.- | -. | -.. | 13,361 | . 4 | ... | ... | -. | -- | 13,361 | . 4 |
| Kans | -- | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | -- | 2,879 |  | -- | -. | - | -- | 2,879 | . 1 |
| Del. | - | . . | -.. | ... | - . - | ... | 1,120 | (3) | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,120 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Md. . . . . . . | -.. | - | ... | ... | ... | ... | 7.803 | . 3 | ... | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ | 7,803 | 2 |
| Va . | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | 26,858 | . 9 | --- | -.. | - - | -- | 26,858 | . 8 |
| W. Va. | ... | ... | --. | ... | --- | $\ldots$ | 20,040 | . 7 | --. | ... | ... | -. | 20,040 | . 6 |
| N.C. . . | -.. | ... | - | ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | 26,867 | . 9 | 955 | -.. | 955 | 0.3 | 27,822 | . 8 |
| S.C. | -. | .-. | - . | ... | ... | ... | 37,063 | 1.2 | 970 | ... | 970 | . 3 | 38,033 | 1.1 |
| Ga. | -- | - | .-. | $\cdots$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | -.. | 22,610 | . 7 | 32,100 | ... | 32,100 | 10.4 | 54,710 | 1.6 |
| Fla. | 444,469 | 118,823 | - | 46,831 | 610,123 | 62.7 | 625,659 | 20.7 | 1,746 | ... | 1,746 | . 6 | 627,405 | 18.8 |
| Ky. | - - - | $\ldots$ | - | - | -.. | -.. | 5,250 | . 2 | .-. | ... | -. | -- | 5,250 | . 2 |
| Tenn. | ... | ... | - | . | -.. | ... | 2,731 | . 1 | --- | -.. | --. | -- | 2,731 | . 1 |
| Ala. . | ... | ... | -. | ... | -. | -.. | 1,589 | . 1 | 7,300 | ... | 7,300 | 2.4 | 8,889 | . 3 |
| Miss. | .-. | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | -.. | ... | 1,330 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | 2,508 | ... | 2,508 | . 8 | 3,838 | . 1 |
| Ark. ....... | -.. | $\cdots$ | - - | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ | 10,266 |  |  | $\ldots$ | 1,295 |  | 11,561 | . 3 |
| La. ....... | -.. | .-. | ... | ... | ... | -.. | 4,353 | . 1 | 10,480 | ... | 10,480 | 3.4 | 14,833 | 4 |
| Okla. | --- | - | --- | -.. | - | $\cdots$ | 1,883 | . 1 | 9,063 | -.. | 9,063 | 2.9 | 10,946 | . 3 |
| Texas . | 8,680 | 16,790 | - | ... | 25,470 | 2.6 | 28,990 | 1.0 | 19,920 | $\ldots$ | 19,920 | 6.5 | 48,910 | 1.5 |
| Mont. . | -.- | ... | -.. | ... | , |  | 1,435 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | 1,435 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ |
| Idaho | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -. | --- | -.. | -.. | 13,101 | . 4 | $\cdots$ | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ | 13,101 | . 4 |
| Colo. . . . | ... | ... | -.. | -. | -.. | --. | 9,320 | . 3 | -.. | ... | .-. | $\cdots$ | 9,320 | . 3 |
| N. Mex. . | 25 | 817 | 76 | 88 | -- | $\cdots$ | 1,230 | $\left({ }^{3}\right)$ | 6,200 | ... | 6,200 | 2.0 | 7,430 | . 2 |
| Ariz. ... | 13,259 | 5,817 | 23,760 | 2,885 | 45,721 | 4.7 | 53,040 | 1.8 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 53,040 | 1.6 |
| Utah ...... | .-. | ... | -.. | -.. | ... | $\cdots$ | 8,001 | . 3 | --. | -- | - | -- | 8,001 | . 2 |
| Wash. | -.. | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | -. | $\cdots$ | 319,734 | 10.6 | --- | 208 | 208 | . 1 | 319,942 | 9.6 |
| Ore. . | , | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | 70,780 | 2.3 | $\cdots$ | 7,318 | 7,318 | 2.4 | 78,098 | 2.3 |
| Calif. | 178,794 | 16,846 | 89,466 | 6,037 | 291,143 | 29.9 | 1,343,832 | 44.4 | ... | 203,025 | 203,025 | 65.9 | 1,546,857 | 46.4 |
| Hawaii ... | -. | - - | ... | ... | ... | ... | 6,559 | . 2 | - | 5,040 | 5,040 | 1.6 | 11,599 | . 3 |
| U.S. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 645,202 | 158,276 | 113,226 | 55,753 | 972,457 | 100.0 | 3,027,151 | 100.0 | 92,537 | 215,591 | 308,128 | 100.0 | 3,335,279 | 100.0 |

Table 15-Fruit and edible tree nuts: Utilized production and value, principal States and United States, 1974 and 1975

| Year and State | Noncitrus fruits |  | Citrus fruits |  | All fruits |  | Tree nuts |  | All fruits and tree nuts |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Production | Value | Produc. tion | Value | Production | $V$ alue | Production ${ }^{1}$ | Value | Production' | $V$ alue |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t,000 } \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,000 } \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1974: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 6,472.6 | 1,088,868 | $2,285.0$ | 266,898 | 8,757.6 | 1,355,766 | 344.0 | 235,045 | 9.101 .6 | 1,590,811 |
| Florida | 29.1 | 13,913 | 10,088.0 | 591,550 | 10,117.1 | 605,463 | 1.2 | 1,089 | 10,118.3 | 606,552 |
| Washington | 1,303.1 | 251,339 | - . | ..- | 1.303.1 | 251.339 | . 3 | 170 | 1,303.4 | 251.509 |
| Michigan .. | 577.3 | 114,734 | --- | -. - | 577.3 | 114,734 | -- - | -. - | 577.3 | 114,734 |
| New York . | 655.4 | 114,602 | --- | -.. | 655.4 | 114,602 | -.. | --- | 655.4 | 114.602 |
| Oregon.... | 374.1 | 80,120 | --- | -- | 374.1 | 80,120 | 7.9 | 4,154 | 382.0 | 84,274 |
| Pennsylvania | 365.8 | 70.752 | -. | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 365.8 | 70.752 | -.. | -.. | 365.8 | 70,752 |
| Texas | 9.0 | 2,880 | 709.0 | 28,190 | 718.0 | 31,070 | 19.0 | 16,055 | 737.0 | 47,125 |
| Arizona | 12.5 | 9,000 | 330.0 | 35.729 | 342.5 | 44,729 | --- | - | 342.5 | 44,729 |
| Georgia | 22.5 | 8,055 | .-. | -- - | 22.5 | 8,055 | 29.0 | 28,730 | 51.5 | 36.785 |
| Other States | 1.492 .5 | 324,502 | --. | --- | 1.492 .5 | 324,502 | 27.6 | 23,923 | 1,520.1 | 348,425 |
| United States | 11,313.9 | 2,078,765 | 13,412.0 | 922,367 | 24,725.9 | 3,001,132 | 429.0 | 309.166 | 25,154.9 | 3,310,298 |
| 1975: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California | 6.613 .1 | 1,052,689 | 3,187.0 | 291,143 | 9,800.1 | 1,343,832 | 354.0 | 203,025 | 10.154 .1 | 1,546,857 |
| Florida . | 31.8 | 15,536 | 10,337.0 | 610,123 | 10,368.8 | 625.659 | 2.5 | 1,746 | 10,371.3 | 627,405 |
| Washington | 1,565.1 | 319,734 | -.. | --- | 1,565.1 | 319,734 | . 4 | 208 | 1,565.5 | 319,942 |
| New York. | 640.3 | 93,151 | -.. | .-. | 640.3 | 93,151 | -. - | -. - | 640.3 | 93,151 |
| Michigan .. | 596.7 | 78,281 | --- | --- | 596.7 | 78,281 | -.- | --- | 596.7 | 78,281 |
| Oregon .... | 370.6 | 70.780 | --. | --- | 370.6 | 70,780 | 12.8 | 7,318 | 383.4 | 78,098 |
| Georgia | 47.5 | 22,610 | - | --- | 47.5 | 22,610 | 37.5 | 32,100 | 85.0 | 54,710 |
| Arizona | 12.3 | 7.319 | 573.0 | 45,721 | 585.3 | 53,040 | ... | - | 585.3 | 53,040 |
| Pennsylvania | 380.5 | 52,006 | -.. | --- | 380.5 | 52.006 | --- | --- | 380.5 | 52,006 |
| Texas ..... | 8.0 | 3,520 | 485.0 | 25,470 | 493.0 | 28,990 | 25.0 | 19.920 | 518.0 | 48,910 |
| Other States | 1.652 .9 | 339,068 | . . - | ... | 1,652.9 | 339,068 | 58.5 | 43,811 | 1.711 .4 | 382.879 |
| United States | 11,918.8 | 2,054,694 | 14,582.0 | 972,457 | 26,500.8 | 3,027,151 | 490.7 | 308,128 | 26,991.5 | 3,335,279 |

[^2]Table 16-Fruit and edible tree nuts: Utilized production and value, United States, crop year, 1973, 1974, and 1975

| Commodity | Utilized production |  |  | Value of production |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crop year |  |  | Crop year |  |  |
|  | 1973 | 1974 | $1975^{1}$ | 1973 | 1974 | $1975^{1}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { tons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { dollars } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ |
| NONCITRUS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apples, commercial | 3,113 | 3,242 | 3,586 | 545,723 | 546,275 | 556,789 |
| Apricots, 3 States | 158 | 94 | 170 | 25,834 | 25,281 | 35,343 |
| Avocados, 2 States ${ }^{2}$ | 89 | 73 | 124 | 44,371 | 49,342 | 56,121 |
| Bananas, Hawaii . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4 | 3 | 3 | 773 | 865 | 842 |
| Bushberries, 2 States .......... | 22 | 31 | 33 | 19,997 | 18,259 | 14,279 |
| Cherries, sweet | 154 | 144 | 154 | 56,395 | 64,310 | 69,876 |
| Cherries, tart. | 87 | 132 | 123 | 32,776 | 48,881 | 22,735 |
| Cranberries | 101 | 106 | 104 | 28,379 | 23,381 | 23,080 |
| Dates, California | 24 | 24 | 24 | 4,602 | 5,130 | 5,546 |
| Figs, California | 42 | 44 | 35 | 9,626 | 10,321 | 5,364 |
| Grapes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,193 | 4,192 | 4,338 | 680,079 | 580,409 | 553,865 |
| Nectarines, California ........ | 86 | 115 | 111 | 21,803 | 26,094 | 30,525 |
| Olives, California . | 70 | 59 | 66 | 27,440 | 25,389 | 21,877 |
| Papayas, Hawaii ............. | 16 | 19 | 20 | 4,180 | 4,871 | 5,717 |
| Peaches ${ }^{3}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,221 | 1,370 | 1,334 | 202,912 | 258,783 | 290,051 |
| Pears . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 724 | 737 | 762 | 99,639 | 124,707 | 107,766 |
| Persimmons, California . . . . . . | 2 | 3 | 2 | 723 | 835 | , 636 |
| Plums, California . . . . . . . . . . . | 97 | 143 | 126 | 31,137 | 39,182 | 17,262 |
| Pomegranates, California . . . . . . | 4 | 6 | 6 | 638 | 714 | 918 |
| Prunes, California . . . . . . . . . . | 613 | 444 | 459 | 94,710 | 62,480 | 62,250 |
| Prunes and plums, other States .. | 67 | 67 | 69 | 9,816 | 10,497 | 8,806 |
| Strawberries . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 239 | 267 | 271 | 131,592 | 152,759 | 165,046 |
| Total noncitrus . . . . . . . . . . | 11,126 | ${ }^{4} 11,315$ | ${ }^{4} 11,920$ | 2,073,145 | 2,078,765 | 2,054,694 |
| CITRUS: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges | 9,737 | 9,386 | 10,245 | 603,305 | 600,691 | 645,202 |
| Tangerines | 223 | 210 | 228 | 20,729 | 22,502 | 23,926 |
| Grapefruit | 2,676 | 2,692 | 2,496 | 177,055 | 157,673 | 158,276 |
| Lemons . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 844 | 676 | 1,118 | 97,302 | 109,851 | 113,226 |
| Limes, Florida . . . | 44 | 42 | 44 | 6,710 | 7,560 | 8,492 |
| Tangelos, Florida ${ }^{5}$. . . . . . . . . . | 140 | 167 | 212 | 7,812 | 9,250 | 11,092 |
| Temples, Florida . . . . . . . . . . . | 230 | 239 | 239 | 15,606 | 14,840 | 12,243 |
| Total citrus | 13,894 | 13,412 | 14,582 | 928,519 | 922.367 | 972,457 |
| TREE NUTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almonds, California | 134 | 189 | 159 | 199,660 | 170,100 | 115,275 |
| Filberts, 2 States | 12 | 7 | 12 | 7,252 | 3,754 | 7,052 |
| Macadamia nuts, Hawaii . . . . . . | 6 | 8 | 8 | 3,092 | 5,238 | 5,040 |
| Pecans . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 138 | 69 | 115 | 101,215 | 64,559 | 92,537 |
| Walnuts, 2 States . . . . . . . . . . . | 175 | 157 | 196 | 105,820 | 65,515 | 88,224 |
| ,Total tree nuts . . . . . . . . . . . | 465 | ${ }^{4} 430$ | ${ }^{4} 490$ | 417,039 | 309,166 | 308,128 |
| Total all fruit and nuts .......... | 25,485 | ${ }^{4} 25,157$ | 26,992 | 3,418,703 | 3,310,298 | 3,335,279 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{2} 1973$ indicates 1972/73. ${ }^{3}$ Production
peaches. ${ }^{4}$ Due to rounding, totals are not identical in tables 11 , excludes culls and cannery diversions for California clingstone
Table 17-Production and utilization of specified noncitrus fruit, United States, crops of 1971-75

| Cominodity and crop year | Production |  | Utilization ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Utilized ${ }^{2}$ | Fresh | Processed (fresh equivalent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Canned | Frozen | Brined | Crushed for |  |  | Dried | Other ${ }^{3}$ | Total process. ed ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wine | Juice | Oil |  |  |  |
|  | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousan tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons |
| Apricots: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971. | 187.2 | 149.5 | 17.6 | 99.5 | 6.4 | -.. |  | -. | - | 26.0 | - | 131.9 |
| 1972 | 127.6 | 127.5 | 10.1 | 93.0 | 6.4 | . . |  | - | ... | 18.0 | - | 117.4 |
| 1973 | 157.9 | 157.7 | 11.9 | 116.7 | 9.6 | . . . |  | -. . | ... | 19.5 | $\cdots$ | 145.8 |
| 1974. | 93.6 | 93.6 | 8.4 | 62.5 | 5.6 | --. |  | -.- | -. | 17.0 | -. | 85.1 |
| 1975. | 177.5 | 169.5 | 13.1 | 120.6 | 7.4 | -. . |  | ... | . . . | 28.4 | -. . | 156.4 |
| Bananas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | .-. | -.. | -. - |  | . | . . . | -.. | -. - | .-. |
| 1972 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | -.. | ... | -.. |  | --- | ..- | -.. | ... | - |
| 1973 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | -. - | -. - | -. . |  | -. . | . . | -. | -.. | - |
| 1974 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | -. - | -. - | -. - |  | -. - | . . - | . | -. - | . |
| 1975 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | .-. | -. - | -.. |  | *- | . . - | . . | -. - | -. - |
| Bushberries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 - | 34.5 | 33.8 | 2.1 | --- | -.- | -.. | - | -. | -.- | -. | ... | 31.7 |
| 1972 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 2.2 | -- - | -. - | -- - |  | -. - | -. | -.. | ... | 29.0 |
| 1973 | 21.8 | 21.6 | 1.9 | -. - | -. - | -.- |  | - | - | . . - | . . - | 19.7 |
| 1974 | 31.5 | 31.0 | 1.8 | .-. | -. - | - . |  | -.. | -. | --- | -. | 29.2 |
| 1975 | 34.4 | 32.6 | 1.9 | .-. | -. | . . - |  | -. - | -. - | . . - | . . - | 30.7 |
| Cherries, sweet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971. | 141.3 | 140.0 | 68.6 | 11.4 | -.- | 59.0 | - | --- | -. | -•• | 1.0 | 71.4 |
| 1972. | 95.2 | 95.0 | 41.7 | 7.2 | ... | 43.3 |  | -. | -. - | - - | 2.9 | 53.4 |
| 1973. | 157.6 | 153.6 | 82.8 | 13.0 | -. - | 53.9 |  | ... | -. | . . - | 3.9 | 70.8 |
| 1974 | 143.6 | 143.6 | 66.6 | 14.8 | - | 51.5 | - | -.- | --- | - . | 10.6 | 77.0 |
| 1975 | 153.6 | 153.6 | 80.0 | 8.6 | -- | 60.0 |  | -. | ... | . | 5.1 | 73.7 |
| Cherries, tart: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 . | 139.9 | 139.3 | 5.6 | 37.3 | 92.4 |  | - | -. | -- | --- | 4.0 | 133.6 |
| 1972. | 155.8 | 134.2 | 3.1 | 41.9 | 83.1 | .-. |  | -. | - - | -•• | 6.1 | 131.1 |
| 1973. | 87.6 | 87.0 | 2.6 | 23.5 | 57.5 | . . . |  | -. . | -. . | . . - | 3.4 | 84.4 |
| 1974 | 132.4 | 132.3 | 2.2 | 44.9 | 81.3 | - . | - | .. - | -. | -.. | 3.9 | 130.0 |
| 1975. | 145.2 | 123.1 | 3.6 | 40.8 | 74.6 | -- - |  | . . - | -. | - - | 4.1 | 119.5 |
| Dates: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.2 | -. | -.. | -. - | - | - - | - - | - - |  | -.- |
| 1972. | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | --. | -- | --. |  | -.. | - | . . | . . - | -. - |
| 1973. | 23.6 | 23.6 | 23.6 | -. | -. - | . . . |  | . | . | . . . | -.. | -. |
| 1974 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 23.8 | ... | -.. | ... | - | - . | -. - | ... | -. - | .- |
| 1975 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 23.7 | -•- | - . | - - |  | -. | . . | -. | ... | - . |

Table 17-Production and utilization of specified noncitrus fruit, United States, crops of 1971-75-Continued

| Commodity and crop year | Production |  | Utilization ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Utilized ${ }^{2}$ | Fresh | Processed (fresh equivalent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Canned | Frozen | Brined | Crushed for |  |  | Dried | Other ${ }^{3}$ | Total process$e d^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wine | Juice | Oil |  |  |  |
|  | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons |
| Figs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 45.2 | 45.2 | 1.4 | 3.9 | --- | --. | - | -.- | --- | 39.9 | --- | 43.8 |
| 1972 | 36.5 | 36.5 | ${ }_{4}^{4} 3.2$ | .-. | - - | - - | --- | - | . | 33.3 | -- | 33.3 |
| 1973 | 41.9 | 41.9 | ${ }_{4}^{4.4}$ | - - . | -. | . . | . . | . | - | 37.5 | ... | 37.5 |
| 1974 | 44.2 | 44.2 | ${ }_{4}^{4} 5.0$ | --- | --- | -.. | --- | . | $\cdots$ | 39.2 | --- | 39.2 |
| 1975 | 35.0 | 35.0 | ${ }^{4} 3.2$ | -. - | -.- | -. - | --- | --- | .-. | 31.8 | -•• | 31.8 |
| Grapes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 3,996.7 | 3,996.7 | 410.0 | 58.4 | --. | --. | 2,309.7 | 337.7 | --- | 880.9 | -.. | 3,586.8 |
| 1972 | 2,569.6 | 2,569.6 | 349.6 | 50.5 | -- | ... | 1,520.2 | 212.0 | .-. | 437.4 | ... | 2,220.1 |
| 1973 | 4,193.2 | 4,193.2 | 400.6 | 59.0 | -.. | --- | $2,567.3$ | 181.2 | --- | 969.3 | 14.7 | 3,792.5 |
| 1974 | 4,191.5 | 4,191.5 | 427.2 | 61.2 | -.- | -.. | 2,415.7 | 247.8 | --- | 1.023 .8 | 15.8 | 3,764.3 |
| 1975 | 4,338.4 | 4,338.4 | 430.5 | 52.7 | . . | -. | 2,312.9 | 267.5 | .-. | 1,256.6 | 18.3 | 3,908.0 |
| Nectarines: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 68.1 | -. | --- | --. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | . 9 |
| 1972 | 86.0 | 86.0 | 85.4 | -.- | -- | ... | --. | --. | -.- | -.- | -.. | . 6 |
| 1973 | 85.5 | 85.5 | 84.6 | $\cdots$ | -- | -. - | --- | - - | -- - | --- | --- | . 9 |
| 1974 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 113.6 | --- | -- | --- | -.- | --- | -.- | .-. | ... | 1.4 |
| 1975 | 111.0 | 111.0 | 110.0 | -- | --. | -. - | --- | --- | -. - | --- | --- | 1.0 |
| Olives: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 55.0 | 55.0 | . 7 | 39.6 | --- | -•- | --- | --- | 4.9 | -.- | 9.8 | 54.3 |
| 1972 | 24.2 | 24.2 | . 2 | 20.0 | -- | -. - | --- | --- | . 7 | --- | 3.3 | 24.0 |
| 1973 | 70.0 | 70.0 | . 7 | 54.3 | - | ... | - | - . - | 4.3 | -.- | 10.7 | 69.3 |
| 1974 | 58.5 | 58.5 | . 9 | 46.7 | . - - | . . - | . . - | . . - | 3.1 | .-. | 7.8 | 57.6 |
| 1975 | 65.5 | 65.5 | . 9 | 51.8 | ... | - | - - | -- - | 3.8 | . . | 9.0 | 64.6 |
| Papayas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 9.6 | -.. | --- | --- | --- | -. | --. | -.- | -.- | . 8 |
| 1972 . | 12.9 | 12.9 | 11.0 | -- | --- | -. - | -. | - | --- | -- | --- | 1.9 |
| 1973. | 16.4 | 16.4 | 14.4 | ... | --- | ... | -. | - | --- | .-. | -. - | 2.0 |
| 1974 | 18.6 | 18.6 | 17.3 | -. - | -. - | .-. | -. | -. . | -. . | .-. | ... | 1.3 |
| 1975 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 17.5 | ..- | - | . . . | . - | - | -.- | --- | --- | 2.5 |
| Peaches: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 1,440.6 | 1,370.5 | 600.0 | 698.6 | 43.0 | -.- | --. | -.- | --. | 14.9 | 14.0 | 770.5 |
| 1972. | 1,205.2 | 1,144.2 | 442.0 | 634.4 | 32.6 | --- | -- | --- | --- | 12.0 | 23.2 | 702.3 |
| 1973. | 1,310.6 | 1,221.4 | 482.7 | 662.7 | 52.4 | - | --- | ... | -- | 12.0 | 11.6 | 738.8 |
| 1974 | 1,450.8 | 1,370.4 | 468.2 | 825.3 | 39.0 | . . | . . - | ..- | . . . | 14.5 | 23.3 | 902.2 |
| 1975 | 1,423.0 | 1,334.0 | 557.2 | 720.4 | 22.4 | -. - | ... | -. | -. | 19.0 | 15.0 | 776.8 |
| Pears: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 749.2 | 706.9 | 284.5 | 388.5 | -.. | - | -.- | - | - | 4.5 | 29.4 | 422.4 |
| 1972 . | 611.7 | 608.3 | 250.7 | 341.8 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 5.3 | 10.5 | 357.6 |
| 1973. | 728.2 | 723.6 | 305.1 | 387.5 | - - - | --. | --- | -. - | -- - | 4.9 | 26.1 | 418.5 |
| 1974 | 738.2 | 737.1 | 292.8 | 394.7 | -. - | -. . | -- - | - | - | 5.1 | 44.5 | 444.3 |
| 1975 | 765.2 | 761.9 | 328.3 | 396.6 | -. | -. - | --- | ... | -. | 5.7 | 31.3 | 433.6 |

[^3]Table 17-Production and utilization of specified noncitrus fruit, United States, crops of 1971-75-Continued

| Commodity and crop year | Production |  | Utilizatlon' |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Utilized ${ }^{2}$ | Fresh | Processed (fresh equlvalent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Canned | Frozen | Brined | Crushed for |  |  | Dried | Other ${ }^{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rotal } \\ & \text { process } \end{aligned}$${e d^{2}}^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Wine | Juice | Oil |  |  |  |
|  | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tors | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons | Thowsand tons | Thousand tons | Thousand tons |
| Persimmons: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 ... | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | -.. | -. | ... | ... | -.. | -. | ... | -.. | $\cdots$ |
| 1972 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | ... | $\cdots$ | -. | -. | ... | . | -. | - | . . |
| 1973 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | -. | -.. | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | . . |
| 1974 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | . . | ... | -. | - | . . |
| 1975 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | ... | -. | ... | ... | . | . . . | -. | ... | ... |
| California, piums: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1971 .$. | 101.0 | 101.0 | 98.2 | . | . | $\cdots$ | -. | $\ldots$ | - . | - $\cdot$ | $\cdots$ | 2.8 |
| 1972 | 96.0 | 96.0 | 93.3 | ... | - . | -. | $\cdots$ | - | - - | . | $\cdots$ | 2.7 |
| 1973 | 97.0 | 97.0 | 93.8 | ... | ... | - . | - . | -- | - | . | - | 3.2 |
| 1974 | 143.0 | 143.0 | 140.0 | - | . . . | - $\cdot$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | 3.0 |
| 1975 | 126.0 | 126.0 | 123.4 | ... | -. | -. | ... | -. . | . . | . . | $\cdots$ | 2.6 |
| California, prunes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 .... | 393.0 | 393.0 | . . | - . | ... | - . | - . | - . | . $\cdot$ | 393.0 | - | 393.0 |
| 1972. | 214.8 | 214.8 | $\cdots$ | -. | -. | - . | -. | ... | . . | 214.8 | . . | 214.8 |
| 1973. | 613.0 | 613.0 | ... | - . | - | -. | --. | ... | . | 613.0 | - $\cdot$ | 613.0 |
| 1974. | 444.5 | 444.5 | -.. | - . | -. | ... | ... | ... | -. | 444.5 | ... | 444.5 |
| 1975. | 459.0 | 459.0 | -.. | . | . | . . . | ... | . . | -. | 459.0 | ... | 459.0 |
| Other prunes and plums: ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| $1971$ |  | 65.0 | 34.3 | 22.1 | 2.5 | -. | -. | ... | -. | 5.5 | $\cdots$ | 30.7 |
| $1972 .$ | 42.5 | 41.9 | 29.0 | 7.5 | 3.4 | ... | ... | ... | -.. | 2.0 | . $\cdot$ | 12.8 |
| $1973 .$ | 73.3 | 66.6 | 29.4 | 21.7 | 2.0 | ... | ... | -. | ... | 13.5 | -. | 37.2 |
| $1974$ | 67.2 | 67.2 | 34.4 | 18.7 | 2.2 | ... | ... | - | ... | 12.0 | . . | 32.8 |
| 1975. | 72.2 | 69.2 | 34.0 | 22.1 | 2.6 | - . | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | 10.6 | -. | 35.2 |
| Strawberries: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 260.4 | 260.4 | 170.2 | $\cdots$ | - . | $\cdots$ | -. | - . | -. | $\cdots$ | \&-. | 90.2 |
| 1972 | 229.2 | 229.2 | 159.9 | . | - . | -. | -. | - . | $\ldots$ | -.. | $\cdots$ | 69.3 |
| 1973 | 238.6 | 238.6 | 157.2 | ... | -. | .-. | -- | - | -. | $\ldots$ | ... | 81.4 |
| 1974 | 266.6 | 266.6 | 182.6 | ... | ... | ... | -.. | ... | ... | ... | -. | 84.0 |
| 1975 | 271.0 | 271.0 | 184.5 | . | -. | - . | -.. | . . | . . | . . | . . | 86.5 |

[^4]Table 18- Fruit and edible tree nuts: Season average prices per unit received by growers, 1974 and 1975

| Commodity | Unit | 1974 |  |  | $1975^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fresh | Processed | All | Fresh | Processed | All |
|  |  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars |
| NONCITRUS: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apples, commercial | Lb. | 0.112 | ${ }^{3} 95.90$ | 0.084 | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ | 0.078 |
| Apricots, 3 States | Ton | 448.00 | 221.00 | 270.00 | 259.00 | 166.00 | 209.00 |
| Avocados: ${ }^{\text {s }}$.... | Ton | -- |  | 675.00 | -- |  | 453,00 |
| California ${ }^{5}$. | Ton | 795.00 | -. - | 795.00 | 480.00 |  | 480.00 |
| Bananas, Hawaii | Lb. | . 131 | . . - | . 131 | . 138 | -. - | . 138 |
| Bushberries, 2 States: | Lb. | --- | -- | . 294 | -- - | -- | . 219 |
| Blackberries ...... | Lb. | . 269 | . 222 | . 222 | . 213 | . 143 | . 144 |
| Blueberries | Lb. | . 413 | . 284 | . 340 | . 418 | . 286 | . 325 |
| Boysenberries ${ }^{6}$ | Lb. | . 340 | . 323 | . 324 | . 273 | . 180 | . 184 |
| Currants. | Lb. | . 250 | . 186 | . 187 | . 200 | . 150 | . 151 |
| Loganberries | lb. | . 415 | . 402 | . 402 | . 266 | . 200 | . 201 |
| Black raspberries | Lb. | . 495 | . 509 | . 508 | . 615 | . 500 | . 507 |
| Red raspberries . | Lb. | . 400 | . 347 | . 351 | . 512 | . 223 | . 240 |
| Cherries, sweet | Ton | 561.00 | 350.00 | 448.00 | 605.00 | 293.00 | 455.00 |
| Cherries, tart. | Ton | 409.00 | 367.00 | 369.00 | 358.00 | 195.00 | 201.00 |
| Cranberries | Bbl. | --- | --- | 11.00 | -.- | -. - | $\left({ }^{4}\right)$ |
| Dates, California | Ton | 216.00 | --- | 216.00 | 234.00 | --- | 234.00 |
| Figs, California . | Ton | 434.00 | 227.00 | 234.00 | 272.00 | 149.00 | 153.00 |
| Grapes: ..... | Ton | --- | --- | 138.00 | -- | - | 128.00 |
| California | Ton | 253.00 | 115.00 | 130.00 | 341.00 | 96.20 | 122.00 |
| Nectarines, California | Ton | 229.00 | 94.00 | 227.00 | 276.00 | 138.00 | 275.00 |
| Olives, California. | Ton | 525.00 | 429.00 | 434.00 | 250.00 | 341.00 | 334.00 |
| Papayas, Hawaii | Lb. | . 140 | 3. .031 | . 131 | . 159 | . .031 | .143 |
| Peaches . . . . . | Lb. | . 131 | ${ }^{3} 151.00$ | . 094 | . 159 | ${ }^{3} 145.00$ | . 109 |
| Pears | Ton | 182.00 | ${ }^{7} 162.00$ | 169.00 | 157.00 | ${ }^{7} 129.00$ | 141.00 |
| Persimmons, California | Ton | 334.00 | - - - | 334.00 | 303.00 | - | 303.00 |
| Plums, California . . . . | Ton | 280.00 | 32.80 | 274.00 | 140.00 | 20.00 | 137.00 |
| Pomergranates, California | ron | -. | - .- | 121.00 | - - - | --- | 153.00 |
| Prunes, California | ron | --- | 440.00 | 440.00 | -- | 415.00 | 415.00 |
| Prunes and plums, other States | Ton | 177.00 | 134.00 | 156.00 | 146.00 | 90.00 | $127.00$ |
| Strawberries . . . . . . . . . . . | Lb. | . 323 | . 207 | . 287 | . 350 | . 199 | . 305 |
| CITRUS: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges. | Box | 4.34 | 2.37 | 2.78 | 4.20 | 2.27 | 2.71 |
| Tangerines. | Box | 6.03 | 1.13 | 4.65 | 6.23 | . 85 | 4.56 |
| Grapefruit . | Box | 3.23 | 1.81 | 2.41 | 3.86 | 1.48 | 2.58 |
| Lemons . | Box | 8.62 | 2.08 | 6.17 | 7.83 | 1.28 | 3.85 |
| Limes | Box | 13.45 | 1.95 | 7.20 | 15.25 | 1.70 | 7.77 |
| Tangelos | Box | 3.35 | 1.85 | 2.50 | 3.40 | 1.30 | 2.36 |
| Temples . . . . . . . . | Box | 3.85 | 2.20 | 2.80 | 3.65 | 1.55 | 2.31 |
| TREE NUTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Almond, California | ron | --- | --- | 900.00 | --. | -. | 725.00 |
| Filberts, 2 States ..... | ron | -- - | -. - | 560.00 | -. - | --- | 590.00 |
| Macadamia nuts, Hawaii | Lb. | - | --. | . 320 | --. | -. - | . 300 |
| Pecans, all | Lb. | - - | --- | . 471 | --- | -- - | . 402 |
| Improved | Lb. | --. | --- | . 524 | --- | -.- | ;464 |
| Native and seedling | Lb. | -.- | --- | . 382 | -. - | -.. | . 341 |
| Walnuts, 2 States .... | Ton | --- |  | 419.00 | -. - |  | 450.00 |

${ }^{1}$ Preliminary. ${ }^{2}$ Fresh fruit prices are equivalent returns at packinghouse door for Washington and Oregon, first delivery point for California, and at point of first sale in all other States. Processing fruit prices for all States are equivalent returns at processing plant door. ${ }^{3}$ Dollars per ton. ${ }^{4}$ Data available July 8 ,
1976. ${ }^{5} 1974$ indicates 1973/74. 'Includes youngberries. ${ }^{7}$ Excludes dried pears. ${ }^{8}$ Equivalent packinghouse door-1974 indicates $1973 / 74$.

Data from Statistical Reporting Service.
Table 19-Fruit for processing: Season average price per ton received by growers for selected noncitrus fruit

| Frult, use and state |
| :--- |

 for processing, wine, and raisin varietles for dried (fresh basis).

[^5]Table 20-Fresh fruit: Average retail prices, United States, by months, 1972-76

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents |
| Apples (pound): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 22.7 | 23.1 | 24.7 | 26.6 | 28.4 | 29.3 | 27.4 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 23.8 |
| 1973 | 24.6 | 25.5 | 26.2 | 27.9 | 30.3 | 34.4 | 37.0 | 35.0 | 32.2 | 28.6 | 29.6 | 30.8 |
| 1974 | 31.8 | 32.1 | 32.7 | 33.5 | 34.5 | 37.1 | 39.9 | 39.2 | 36.6 | 31.3 | 31.4 | 31.0 |
| 1975 | 31.4 | 31.6 | 31.3 | 32.4 | 35.1 | 38.9 | 43.1 | 44.1 | 37.4 | 28.3 | 26.8 | 27.1 |
| 1976 | 27.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bananas (pound): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 . | 14.4 | 15.6 | 15.3 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 15.1 |
| 1973 | 15.1 | 15.7 | 15.1 | 16.6 | 15.6 | 17.1 | 17.6 | 18.3 | 17.2 | 17.3 | 16.7 | 15.6 |
| 1974 | 16.6 | 16.5 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 18.6 | 23.1 | 19.3 | 18.9 | 20.4 | 24.1 | 18.2 | 17.0 |
| 1975 | 19.3 | 20.9 | 22.9 | 24.6 | 25.8 | 26.0 | 25.0 | 23.1 | 21.9 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 22.6 |
| 1976 | 22.7 |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oranges (dozen): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 . . | 92.9 | 91.7 | 91.2 | 88.2 | 88.7 | 92.7 | 95.4 | 101.3 | 100.6 | 100.9 | 97.0 | 90.0 |
| 1973 | 97.1 | 97.0 | 99.8 | 101.7 | 103.2 | 101.5 | 101.5 | 110.6 | 110.6 | 118.2 | 116.4 | 106.2 |
| 1974. | 105.0 | 104.8 | 104.3 | 102.5 | 110.1 | 112.2 | 111.4 | 117.6 | 117.5 | 120.1 | 119.6 | 112.0 |
| $1975$ | $106.3$ | 108.4 | 109.0 | 108.3 | 112.6 | 113.4 | 118.5 | 122.0 | 122.9 | 122.3 | 118.0 | 115.7 |
| 1976. | 111.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grapefruit (each) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972. | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.7 | 16.4 | 17.7 | 19.5 | 20.5 | 24.2 | 24.6 | 25.2 |  |  |
| $1973 .$ | 17.2 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 17.8 | 19.5 | 21.8 | 25.0 | 24.3 | 25.3 | 18.9 | 18.1 |
| $1974$ | 18.4 | 18.3 | 17.9 | 17.8 | 18.6 | 19.8 | 20.8 | 23.0 | 25.7 | 20.2 | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| $1975$ | 18.8 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 20.2 | 22.0 | 23.3 | 26.4 | 27.6 | 26.3 | 20.5 | 18.7 | 18.4 |
| $1976$ | 18.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1972 \text {....... }$ | 34.1 | 34.5 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 34.4 |  | 34.6 | 35.1 | 35.6 | 35.1 | 35.1 |
| 1973. | 34.8 | 35.8 | 36.4 | 36.6 | 36.5 | 35.8 | 36.2 | 37.7 | 42.9 | 43.3 | 42.2 | 42.1 |
| 1974 | 42.5 | 41.4 | 40.6 | 41.1 | 40.9 | 42.0 | 40.3 | 41.7 | 43.7 | 43.6 | 44.3 | 45.2 |
| 1975 | 51.3 | 42.6 | 41.8 | 42.1 | 42.8 | 43.7 | 43.9 | 45.2 | 49.2 | 50.2 | 58.2 | 56.9 |
| 1976 | 35.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grapes (pound): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1972 \ldots .$ | -- | - | -- | - | --. | - | 62.6 |  |  |  | 57.6 |  |
| $1973 .$ | - | -. - | -- | -. - | -. - | ... | 69.1 | 54.6 | 48.6 | 55.1 | 59.0 | -. |
| $1974 \text {. }$ | . . . | -. - | -- | -.. | -. - | -.- | - | 71.1 | 58.1 | 60.6 | 63.1 | --. |
| $1975$ | --. | -- | -. - | -.- | --- | -.. | 86.3 | 67.7 | 58.6 | 57.3 | 61.9 | -- |
| $1976 \text {. }$ | -.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strawberries (pint) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 ....... | --- | -- | -.- | 48.2 | 41.8 | 46.5 | $\cdots$ | - | * | --- | --- | --- |
| 1973. | -- - | - - - | - . . | 58.7 | 48.2 | 51.1 | --- | - . - | - . | -.- | -.- | --- |
| 1974. | . . . | - - - | . . - | .-- | 49.1 | 53.2 | --- | -. | -.- | --- | -.. | -.. |
| 1975 | -- - |  |  |  | 57.6 | 54.1 |  | --- | --- | -.- | --- | --. |

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

Table 21-Processed fruit: Average retail prices, United States, by months, 1972.76

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents | Cents |
| CANNED FRUIT: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972...... | 36.8 | 37.2 | 37.5 | 37.6 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 37.7 | 37.6 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.9 | 38.0 |
| 1973 | 38.1 | 38.9 | 39.1 | 39.4 | 39.7 | 40.5 | 40.6 | 41.3 | 42.5 | 43.4 | 44.2 | 44.8 |
| 1974 | 45.5 | 46.7 | 47.3 | 47.6 | 49.3 | 48.8 | 49.9 | 54.5 | 57.6 | 58.9 | 59.6 | 60.2 |
| 1975 | 59.5 | 59.1 | 59.2 | 59.8 | 59.5 | 59.7 | 59.5 | 59.9 | 58.2 | 56.6 | 60.4 | 59.0 |
| 1976................ | 62.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fruit cocktail (No. 303 can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 . | 31.5 | 31.4 | 31.5 | 31.7 | 31.6 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.4 | 31.5 | 31.6 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| 1973 | 32.4 | 32.8 | 33.1 | 33.5 | 33.4 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 33.8 | 34.4 | 35.3 | 35.7 |
| 1974. | 36.0 | 36.7 | 37.4 | 37.8 | 38.2 | 38.7 | 39.9 | 42.6 | 44.7 | 45.2 | 45.9 | 46.2 |
| 1975 .................. . | 46.3 | 46.4 | 46.6 | 46.3 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 46.3 | 46.2 | 46.5 | 45.9 | 46.0 | 45.9 |
| 1976................... | 45.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pears (No. $2^{1 / 2}$ can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972........... | 52.8 | 53.0 | 52.9 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.2 | 53.2 | 53.4 | 53.9 | 54.2 | 54.5 | 54.5 |
| 1973. | 54.8 | 55.0 | 55.5 | 55.8 | 56.1 | 56.6 | 56.6 | 56.9 | 56.7 | 57.5 | 58.5 | 58.9 |
| 1974................ | 59.1 | 59.8 | 60.8 | 61.0 | 61.2 | 61.7 | 63.1 | 67.0 | 69.7 | 71.6 | 73.4 | 74.1 |
| 1975 | 75.2 | 75.6 | 75.8 | 76.0 | 75.1 | 75.2 | 75.3 | 74.2 | 74.3 | 73.9 | 73.9 | 73.7 |
| 1976 | 73.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CANNED JUICE: <br> Pineapple-grapefruit drink (46-oz. can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972................. | 36.6 | 36.5 | 36.8 | 36.9 | 36.6 | 36.5 | 36.9 | 36.7 | 36.8 | 36.9 | 37.2 | 37.1 |
| 1973.................. . | 37.3 | 37.4 | 37.5 | 37.8 | 37.7 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.2 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 1974 | 38.8 | 39.2 | 39.4 | 39.6 | 40.4 | 41.1 | 42.1 | 45.1 | 46.7 | 48.9 | 51.0 | 51.5 |
| 1975 | 52.0 | 52.9 | 53.8 | 54.4 | 53.7 | 54.4 | 54.9 | 55.3 | 54.7 | 55.6 | 54.7 | 55.1 |
| 1976 | 55.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHILLED JUICE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1972 \text {. . . . . . . . . . }$ | 47.4 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 47.6 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 47.8 | 47.2 | 47.3 | 47.4 | 47.6 |
| 1973. | 47.9 | 48.0 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 47.9 | 48.2 | 48.1 | 48.1 | 48.4 | 48.0 | 48.4 | 48.6 |
| 1974 | 48.5 | 48.2 | 49.4 | 49.5 | 49.9 | 50.3 | 50.1 | 51.0 | 51.3 | 51.9 | 52.1 | 52.2 |
| 1975 | 52.3 | 52.2 | 52.5 | 52.5 | 53.1 | 52.9 | 52.9 | 53.3 | 53.6 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 53.6 |
| 1976 | 54.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FROZEN: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrated orange juice $(6-o z, \text { can }):$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972............. | 24.9 | 25.0 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 25.0 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 24.8 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| 1973. | 25.0 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 25.4 | 25.1 | 24.8 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.3 | 25.5 |
| 1974 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.4 | 25.4 | 25.5 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 25.7 | 25.8 | 26.5 | 26.7 | 26.5 |
| 1975 | 27.4 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 28.1 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.2 | 28.4 | 28.6 | 29.0 |
| 1976 | 29.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrated Iemonade (6-oz. can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972............. | 14.3 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.3 | 14.4 | 14.6 | 14.6 |
| 1973. | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 14.8 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 14.7 | 14.8 | 15.0 | 15.1 |
| 1974................. . | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.2 | 16.5 | 18.0 | 18.6 | 19.4 | 19.7 | 20.6 |
| 1975................. | 21.4 | 22.7 | 23.1 | 23.8 | 23.9 | 23.6 | 22.6 | 22.8 | 22.9 | 23.0 | 23.3 | 23.4 |
| 1976.......... . . . . . . . | 23.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Data from Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

Table 22-Selected wholesale canned fruit and fruit juice prices, United States, by months, 1972.76

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | Juty | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars per dozen | Dollars per dozen | Dollars per dozen | Dollars per dozen | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { dozen } \end{gathered}$ | Dollars per dozen | Dollars per dozen | Dollars per dozen | Dollars per dozen | Dollars per dozen | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { dozen } \end{gathered}$ | Dollars per dozen |
| CANNED FRUIT: <br> Applesauce <br> (No. 303 can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 1.843 | 1.827 | 1.835 | 1.835 | 1.855 | 1.855 | 1.855 | 1.855 | 1.855 | 1.868 | 1.932 | 1.939 |
| 1973 | 1.974 | 2.006 | 2.006 | 2.006 | 2.047 | 2.047 | 2.018 | 2.047 | 2.059 | 2.607 | 2.607 | 2.681 |
| 1974 | 2.687 | 2.723 | 2.862 | 2.862 | 2.914 | 2.930 | 2.930 | 3.011 | 3.076 | 3.285 | 3.285 | 3.285 |
| 1975 | 3.285 | 3.285 | 3.221 | 3,178 | 3.200 | 3.117 | 2.978 | 2.988 | 2.988 | 2.957 | 2.842 | 2.810 |
| 1976 | 2.795 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fruit cocktail (No. $2^{1 / 2}$ can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 4.136 | 4.200 | 4.274 | 4,253 | 4.253 | 4.253 | 4.253 | 4.268 | 4.292 | 4.323 | 4.397 | 4.433 |
| 1973 | 4.477 | 4.477 | 4.477 | 4.477 | 4.501 | 4.501 | 4.501 | 4.571 | 4.685 | 4.720 | 4.720 | 4.727 |
| 1974 | 4.806 | 4.735 | 4.860 | 4.884 | 4.888 | 5.065 | 5.659 | 5.659 | 5.910 | 5.851 | 5.851 | 5.753 |
| 1975 | 5.753 | 5.753 | 5.851 | 5.851 | 5.851 | 5.851 | 5.753 | 5.851 | 5.851 | 5.779 | 5.861 | 5.763 |
| 1976 | 5.763 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (No. 21/2 Can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 3.243 | 3.258 | 3.361 | 3.355 | 3.355 | 3.384 | 3.374 | 3.428 | 3.389 | 3.405 | 3.457 | 3.486 |
| 1973 | 3.511 | 3.511 | 3.513 | 3.513 | 3.585 | 3.585 | 3.585 | 3.720 | 3.767 | 3.872 | 3.872 | 3.921 |
| 1974 | 4.069 | 4.069 | 4.069 | 4.069 | 4.069 | 4.358 | 4.951 | 5.168 | 5.188 | 5.131 | 5.131 | 5.131 |
| 1975 | 5.048 | 5.048 | 5.131 | 5.131 | 5.131 | 5.131 | 5.131 | 5.060 | 5.060 | 5.149 | 5.103 | 5.078 |
| 1976 | 5.078 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pears |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (No. $2^{1 / 2}$ can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 .... | 4.308 | 4.240 | 4.280 | 4.382 | 4.423 | 4.545 | 4.545 | 4.582 | 4.582 | 4.698 | 4.698 | 4.698 |
| 1973 | 4.726 | 4.728 | 4.769 | 4.891 | 4.891 | 4.862 | 4.891 | 4.905 | 4.904 | 4.904 | 4.904 | 5.017 |
| 1974 | 5.078 | 5.078 | 5.078 | 5.164 | 5.164 | 5.417 | 5.952 | 6.091 | 6.412 | 6.413 | 6.316 | 6.316 |
| 1975 | 6.316 | 6.200 | 6.112 | 6.112 | 6.112 | 6.112 | 5.867 | 5.785 | 5.745 | 5.740 | 5.719 | 5.699 |
| 1976 | 5.665 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CANNED JUICE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (32-oz. bottle): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 3.014 | 3.014 | 3.038 | 3.038 | . 3.085 | 3.085 | 3.085 | 3.085 | 3.085 | 3.195 | 3.232 | 3.317 |
| 1973 | 3.413 | 3.511 | 3.511 | 3.560 | 3.560 | 3.633 | 3.560 | 3.633 | 3.799 | 4.479 | 4.479 | 5.070 |
| 1974 | 5.070 | 5.152 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 |
| 1975 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.841 | 4.727 | 4.727 | 4.727 | 4.727 | 4.727 | 4.727 | 4.504 | 4.134 | 4.098 |
| 1976 | 4.098 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 ... | 4.250 | 4.250 | 4.289 | 4.171 | 4.162 | 4.162 | 4.162 | 4.162 | 4.162 | 4.113 | 4.113 | 4.142 |
| 1973 | 4.020 | 3.873 | 3.946 | 4.137 | 4.162 | 4.101 | 4.101 | 4.101 | 4.101 | 4.162 | 4.162 | 4.162 |
| 1974 | 4.162 | 4.346 | 4.346 | 4.407 | 4.370 | 4.370 | 4.370 | 4.505 | 4.664 | 4.664 | 4.664 | 4.689 |
| 1975 | 4.971 | 4.799 | 4.873 | 4.934 | 5.081 | 5.081 | 5.081 | 5.154 | 5.228 | 5.252 | 5.387 | 5.384 |
| 1976 | 5.387 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grapefruit <br> (No. 3 can): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 ... | 4.782 | 4.652 | 4.391 | 4.391 | 4.329 | 4.329 | 4.486 | 4.486 | 4.525 | 4.525 | 4.525 | 4.588 |
| 1973 | 4.588 | 4.588 | 4.588 | 4.133 | 3.996 | 3.947 | 3.898 | 3.898 | 3.898 | 4.045 | 4.290 | 4.290 |
| 1974 | 4.343 | 4.147 | 4.147 | 4.147 | 4.176 | 4.284 | 4.343 | 4.500 | 4.598 | 4.672 | 4.672 | 4.663 |
| 1975 | 4.663 | 4.663 | 4.873 | 4.476 | 4.457 | 4.267 | 4.408 | 4.653 | 4.653 | 4.672 | 4.672 | 4.672 |
| 1976 | 4.531 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6]Table 23-Frozen concentrated citrus juices: Florida stocks, packs, supplies, and movements, current season with comparison


Compiled from Florida Canners Association reports.

Table 24-Selected fresh citrus fruit prices, f.o.b. packed fresh, by months, 1972-76

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars per box | Dollars per box | Dollars <br> per <br> box | Dollars per box | Dollars per box | Dollars per box | Dollars per box | Dollars <br> per box | Dollars per box | Dollars per box | Dollars per box | Dollars per box |
| ORANGES: Florida: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 4.85 | 5.10 | 4.85 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 5.30 | 6.30 | --- | --- | 6.00 | 4.40 | 4.40 |
| 1973 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.90 | 4.75 | 4.55 | 4.80 | 4.95 | --- | -.- | -- | 5.90 | 5.30 |
| 1974 | 5.15 | 5.35 | 5.15 | 4.80 | 4.95 | 5.10 | 6.25 | -.- | -. - | --- | 5.10 | 5.40 |
| 1975 | 5.10 | 5.15 | 5.15 | 5.05 | 5.35 | 6.90 | 7.00 | *-. | - - | 6.00 | 5.60 | 6.00 |
| 1976 | 5.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.20 | --- | -. - | -.- | --- | --. | 4.80 | 4.00 | 4.10 |
| 1973 | 3.80 | 3.50 | 3.90 | 4.20 | 3.80 | -.. | -.- | - - | -.- | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.20 |
| 1974 | 3.70 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 3.90 | 3.73 | --- | --- | --- | -.. | 6.80 | 5.00 | 5.25 |
| 1975 | 4.94 | 5.60 | 5.40 | ... | -. | -.. | -.- | -.. | -.- | 5.60 | 5.10 | 5.50 |
| 1976 | 5.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972. | 6.20 | 4.65 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.20 | -.. | -.. | --- | 6.40 | 6.20 |
| 1973 | 7.50 | 7.11 | 6.51 | 7.00 | 7.25 | 5.90 | 6.25 | --- | -.. | --- | --. | 6.70 |
| 1974 | 7.40 | 8.06 | 5.80 | 5.50 | 6.60 | 6.50 | 5.95 | 6.55 | --- | --- | 10.60 | 7.20 |
| 1975 | 6.90 | 5.90 | 6.22 | 5.50 | 6.30 | 7.20 | 6.70 | 6.20 | -.. | --. | 10.70 | 8.90 |
| 1976 | 7.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 6.20 | 6.10 | 5.94 | 5.89 | 5.71 | 5.62 | 6.04 | 6.18 | 6.34 | 5.70 | 6.30 | 6.90 |
| 1973 | 7.30 | 7.30 | 7.78 | 7.64 | 6.44 | 6.15 | 6.60 | 6.60 | 7.70 | 7.40 | 7.57 | 7.50 |
| 1974 | 7.65 | 7.54 | 6.64 | 7.35 | 7.29 | 6.85 | 7.10 | 7.40 | 7.95 | 9.45 | 10.77 | 7.35 |
| 1975 | 7.00 | 7.55 | 7.29 | 7.16 | 7.44 | 7.45 | 7.10 | 6.55 | 7.75 | 7.10 | 7.74 | 8.61 |
| 1976 | 8.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GRAPEFRUIT: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972. | 5.23 | 5.35 | 5.07 | 5.38 | 6.03 | 6.21 | --- | --- | -.- | 7.08 | 5.55 | 5.44 |
| 1973 | 5.23 | 5.44 | 5.40 | 5.46 | 5.74 | 5.98 | -. | - . | --- | 6.41 | 5.77 | 5.62 |
| 1974. | 5.40 | 5.19 | 4.91 | 4.97 | 5.53 | 5.60 | -.. | -.. | -- | 5.83 | 5.62 | 5.75 |
| 1975 | 5.83 | 5.91 | 6.01 | 6.29 | 6.92 | - | - .- | -.. | 5.97 | 5.74 | 5.67 | 5.64 |
| 1976. | 5.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.80 | 4.70 | --- | --. | -.. | -.. | -.. | 8.70 | 6.20 | 5.60 |
| 1973 | 5.20 | 4.90 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.45 | -.. | -.. | -.. | -.- | 5.20 | 6.40 | 5.70 |
| 1974 | 4.80 | 4.90 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.80 | -.. | --- | --. | - - | 7.70 | 6.10 | 6.00 |
| 1975 | 6.10 | 5.90 | 6.10 | -. - | - | --- | -.- | -.- | --. | 6.60 | 5.65 | 5.70 |
| 1976 | 5.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LEMONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 8.60 | 8.50 | - | -.- | -.- | - | --. | -.- | -.- | 9.80 | 9.40 | 9.50 |
| 1973 | 9.50 | 10.10 | - | --- | -.- | -•- | -. - | -.. | -.- | 14.70 | 12.60 | 11.70 |
| 1974 | 11.25 | 10.10 | 10.20 | --- | -.- | -.. | --- | -.. | -.- | 14.90 | 11.00 | 8.70 |
| 1975 | 10.40 | 8.90 | 9.50 | 9.40 | -.- | - | - - | -.- | -.. | 19.60 | 18.20 | 13.20 |
| 1976 | 11.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| California: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 9.65 | 9.88 | 9.98 | 9.97 | 10.07 | 9.72 | 10.24 | 10.30 | 10.10 | 9.70 | 9.40 | 9.55 |
| 1973 | 10.20 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 8.55 | 9.20 | 9.90 | 10.60 | 14.70 | 14.70 | 12.50 | 12.20 | 12.20 |
| 1974 | 11.80 | 11.50 | 10.80 | 10.70 | 11.10 | 10.60 | 11.70 | 14.00 | 11.70 | 14.40 | 9.20 | 8.60 |
| 1975 | 10.60 | 9.65 | 10.10 | 10.40 | 10.90 | 11.80 | 11.90 | 12.80 | 17.20 | 17.50 | 18.20 | 15.00 |
| 1976..... | 11.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Statistical Reporting Service.

Table 25-Citrus fruit: United States exports of selected fresh and process items, by areas of destination, 1970/71-1975/76'

| Item and season | Canada | Europe |  |  |  | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | United Kingdom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Original } \\ & E C^{2} \end{aligned}$ | Other | Total |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { boxes }^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { boxes }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { boxes }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { boxes }^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { boxes } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { boxes } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { boxes }^{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| Fresh fruit: Oranges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970/71 | 4,638 | 112 | 992 | 108 | 1.212 | 1,974 | 7.824 |
| $1971 / 72$ | 5,135 | 130 | 1,223 | 146 | 1,499 | 2.993 | 9,627 |
| 1972/73 | 4,363 | 117 | 980 | 130 | 1,227 | 3,297 | 8,887 |
| $1973 / 74$. | 4,813 | 308 | 1,247 | 308 | 1,863 | 3,442 | 10,118 |
| 1974/75. | 5,723 | 571 | 3,216 | 991 | 4,778 | 4,989 | 15,490 |
| 1974/75 thru Dec. | 851 | 4 | 17 | 261 | 282 | 502 | 1,635 |
| 1975/76 thru Dec. | 931 | 22 | 6 | .-. | 28 | 331 | 1,290 |
| Grapefruit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970/71. | 2,180 | 10 | 314 | 27 | 351 | 158 | 2,689 |
| $1971 / 72$ | 2,087 | 30 | 438 | 27 | 495 | 2,438 | 5,020 |
| 1972/73 | 1,892 | 69 | 525 | 35 | 729 | 2,674 | 5,295 |
| $1973 / 74$. | 1,450 | 44 | 611 | 55 | 710 | 4,317 | 6,477 |
| 1974/75 | 1,483 | 100 | 934 | 38 | 1,072 | 3.693 | 6,248 |
| $1974 / 75$ thru Dec. | 473 | 19 | 243 | 15 | 277 | 167 | 917 |
| 1975/76 thru Dec. | 500 | 57 | 550 | 15 | 622 | 451 | 1,573 |
| Lemons and limes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970/71. | 455 | 39 | 1.121 | 349 | 1.509 | 1,889 | 3,853 |
| 1971/72 | 425 | 24 | 1,217 | 425 | 1,666 | 2,453 | 4,544 |
| 1972/73 | 599 | 54 | 1,571 | 590 | 2,215 | 2,946 | 5,760 |
| $1973 / 74$ | 531 | 72 | 1.487 | 731 | 2,290 | 2,847 | 5,668 |
| 1974/75 | 576 | 80 | 1.717 | 569 | 2,366 | 2,665 | 5,607 |
| 1974/75 thru Dec. | 95 | 7 | 281 | 29 | 317 | 413 | 825 |
| 1975/76 thru Dec. | 93 | 2 | 63 | 13 | 78 | 363 | 534 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { gallons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { gallons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.000 \\ \text { gallons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { gallons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { gallons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,000 \\ \text { gallons } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I,000 } \\ & \text { gallons } \end{aligned}$ |
| Canned juice, s.s.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Orange: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970/71 | 5,017 | 137 | 3,015 | 2,123 | 5,275 | 639 | 10,931 |
| $1971 / 72$ | 5,251 | 45 | 2,170 | 881 | 3,096 | 595 | 8,942 |
| 1972/73 | 5,525 | 83 | 2,868 | 879 | 3,830 | 774 | 10,129 |
| 1973/74 | 5,621 | 46 | 2,571 | 650 | 3,267 | 1,195 | 10,083 |
| 1974/75 .... | 5,724 | 20 | 2,459 | 460 | 2,939 | 1,071 | 9,734 |
| 1974/75 thru Dec. | 899 | .-. | 204 | 135 | 339 | 110 | 1,348 |
| 1975/76 thru Dec. | 834 | -. - | 489 | 127 | 616 | 237 | 1,687 |
| Grapefruit: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970/71. | 3,182 | 136 | 1,291 | 229 | 1,656 | 281 | 5,119 |
| 1971/72. | 3,575 | 28 | 982 | 124 | 1,134 | 241 | 4,956 |
| 1972/73 | 3,437 | 14 | 904 | 142 | 1,060 | 360 | 4,857 |
| 1973/74 | 3,362 | 18 | 898 | 157 | 1,073 | 530 | 4,965 |
| 1974/75 | 3,540 | -. - | 733 | 94 | 827 | 383 | 4,850 |
| 1974/75 thru Dec. | 787 | -. - | 32 | 12 | 44 | 52 | 883 |
| 1975/76 thru Dec. | 416 | -- | 173 | 16 | 189 | 73 | 678 |
| Orange juice concentrate: Hot pack: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970/71 | 111 | 47 | 616 | 387 | 1,050 | 256 | 1,417 |
| 1971/72 | 128 | 7 | 617 | 209 | 833 | 349 | 1,310 |
| 1972/73 | 54 | 32 | 329 | 291 | 652 | 464 | 1,170 |
| 1973/74 | 56 | 94 | 395 | 332 | 821 | 518 | 1,395 |
| 1974/75 | 63 | 26 | 237 | 233 | 496 | 372 | 931 |
| $1974 / 75$ thru Dec. | 8 | -.. | 30 | 28 | 58 | 50 | 116 |
| 1975/76 thru Dec. | 21 | 12 | 69 | 13 | 94 | 49 | 164 |
| Frozen: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970/71 | 3,836 | 526 | 719 | 2,424 | 3,669 | 203 | 7,708 |
| 1971/72 | 4,408 | 327 | 1,362 | 1,557 | 3,246 | 271 | 7,925 |
| $1972 / 73$ | 5,122 | 635 | 2,140 | 2,800 | 5,575 | 310 | 11,007 |
| $1973 / 74$ | 6,158 | 511 | 1,325 | 3,067 | 4,903 | 912 | 11,973 |
| 1974/75 | 7,056 | 588 | 1,668 | 2,555 | 4,811 | 769 | 12,636 |
| 1974/75 thru Dec. | 1,015 | 30 | 52 | 230 | 312 | 61 | 1,388 |
| 1975/76 thru Dec. | 1,128 | 68 | 371 | 567 | 1,006 | 165 | 2,299 |

[^7]Table 26-Apples, commercial crop' : Utilized production, 1973, 1974 and 1975

| State and area | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | State and area | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds |  | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds |
| Eastern States: |  |  |  | Central States Cont'd.: |  |  |  |
| Maine | 55.0 | 69.0 | 66.0 | Wisconsin | 50.0 | 60.0 | 64.0 |
| New Hampshire | 44.0 | 61.0 | 55.0 | Minnesota | 20.0 | 25.0 | 18.5 |
| Vermont | 28.0 | 38.0 | 33.0 | lowa | 10.4 | 10.8 | 9.3 |
| Massachusetts | 76.0 | 91.0 | 86.0 | Missouri | 51.0 | 53.0 | 67.0 |
| Rhode Island | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 | Kansas | 15.0 | 12.7 | 17.0 |
| Connecticut | 30.0 | 45.0 | 43.0 | Kentucky | 9.8 | 14.4 | 21.1 |
| New York | 720.0 | 889.0 | 880.0 | rennessee | 3.1 | 7.0 | 10.0 |
| New Jersey | 100.0 | 120.0 | 110.0 | Arkansas | 6.0 | 13.0 | 21.1 |
| Pennsylvania | 500.0 | 480.0 | 530.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware | 12.0 | 12.5 | 13.5 | Total | 881.3 | 1,115.1 | 1,280.0 |
| Maryland | 70.0 | 65.0 | 79.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ riginia | 400.0 | 378.4 | 395.0 | Western States: |  |  |  |
| West Virginia | 225.0 | 210.0 | 234.0 | Idano | 130.0 | 93.0 | 95.0 |
| North Carolina | 210.0 | 295.0 | 275.0 | Colorado | 115.0 | 45.0 | 92.0 |
| South Carolina | 17.0 | 20.0 | 22.0 | New Mexico | 38.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Utah | 52.7 | 37.0 | 49.0 |
| Total | 2,491.0 | 2,777.9 | 2,825.7 | Washington | 1,860.0 | 1,806.0 | 2,200.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Oregon . | 167.0 | 165.0 | 160.0 |
| Central States: |  |  |  | California | 490.0 | 440.0 | 460.0 |
| Onio | 100.0 | 132.0 | 152.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Indiana | 63.0 | 38.2 | 78.0 | Total | 2,852.7 | 2,591.0 | 3,066.0 |
| lllinois. | 83.0 | 79.0 | 112.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Michigan | 470.0 | 670.0 | 710.0 | United States | 6,225.0 | 6,484.0 | 7,171.7 |

[^8]Table 27-Apples, commercial crop' : Production by varieties, United States, 1973, 1974, and 1975

| Variety | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Million pounds | Million pounds | Million pounds |
| Cortland | 125.6 | 145.3 | 164.8 |
| Delicious | 2,174.2 | 2,117.9 | 2,623.8 |
| Golden Delicious | 975.5 | 1,074.1 | 1,010.1 |
| Gravenstein | 84.1 | 85.2 | 91.0 |
| Jonathan | 379.3 | 355.3 | 439.3 |
| McIntosh | 487.4 | 709.2 | 718.5 |
| Northern Spy | 82.1 | 92.6 | 118.4 |
| R. I. Greening | 68.5 | 117.0 | 180.0 |
| Rome Beauty | 511.9 | 493.4 | 587.0 |
| Stayman | 237.2 | 247.1 | 278.4 |
| Winesap | 168.0 | 166.1 | 193.1 |
| Yellow Newtown | 162.5 | 138.0 | 141.5 |
| York Imperial | 341.7 | 267.3 | 346.7 |
| Other | 440.5 | 524.9 | 585.3 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 6,238.5 | 6,533.4 | 7,568.9 |

[^9]Table 28-Canned noncitrus fruit: Canners' stocks, packs, supplies, and shipments, current season, with comparisons

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Item } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { season' } \end{gathered}$ | Carryin | Pack | Total supply | Shipments to January 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 1 \\ \text { stocks } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { season } \\ \text { shipments } \end{gathered}$ | Carryout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 equivalent cases $24 \mathrm{No} \cdot 2^{1 / 2}$ 's |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total-11 items: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72... | 17,746 | 57,230 | 74,976 | 34,643 | 40,333 | 60,235 | 14,741 |
| 1972/73... | 14,741 | 51,896 | 66,637 | 36,487 | 30,150 | 59,134 | 7,503 |
| 1973/74... | 7,503 | 55,900 | 63,403 | 38,055 | 25,348 | 57,695 | 5,708 |
| 19/4/75. | 5,708 | 65,133 | 70,841 | 37,080 | 33,761 | 57,081 | 13,760 |
| 1975/76... | 13.760 | 61,493 | 75,253 | 34,010 | 41.243 |  |  |
| Apricots: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72 | 1,696 | 3,262 | 4,958 | 3,071 | 1,887 | 4,397 | 561 |
| 1972/73. | 561 | 3,041 | 3,602 | 2,194 | 1,408 | 3,304 | 298 |
| $1973 / 74$ | 298 | 4,094 | 4,392 | 2,618 | 1,774 | 3,925 | 467 |
| $1974 / 75$. | 467 | 1,987 | 2,454 | 1.697 | 757 | 2,218 | 236 |
| 1975/76. | 236 | 4,421 | 4.657 | 1,905 | 2,752 |  |  |
| Cherries, RSP: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72. | 102 | 1,041 | 1,143 | 480 | 663 | 900 | 243 |
| 1972/73.. | 243 | 1,299 | 1,542 | 1,171 | 371 | 1.533 | 9 |
| 1973/74.. | 9 | 579 | 588 | 505 | 83 | 583 | 5 |
| $1974 / 75$. | 5 | 1,188 | 1.193 | 784 | 409 | 1,135 | 58 |
| 1975/76.. | 58 | 1,273 | 1.331 | 994 | 337 |  |  |
| Cherries, sweet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72.. | 388 | 536 | 924 | 376 | 548 | 609 | 315 |
| 1972/73. | 315 | 393 | 708 | 335 | 373 | 518 | 190 |
| 1973/74. | 190 | 503 | 693 | 351 | 342 | 566 | 127 |
| 1974/75. | 127 | 623 | 750 | 273 | 477 | 460 | 290 |
| 1975/76. | 290 | 412 | 702 | 262 | 440 |  |  |
| Fruit cocktail: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72... | 3,453 | 13,334 | 16,787 | 6,994 | 9,793 | 12,451 | 4,336 |
| 1972/73. | 4,336 | 11,855 | 16,191 | 7,620 | 8,571 | 13,855 | 2,335 |
| 1973/74 | 2,335 | 13,384 | 15,719 | 9,108 | 6.611 | 14,479 | 1,240 |
| 1974/75 | 1,240 | 14,907 | 16,147 | 8,092 | 8,055 | 13,082 | 3,065 |
| 1975/76 | 3.065 | 13.677 | 16,742 | 7.800 | 8,942 |  |  |
| Fruits for salad: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72.... | 220 | 784 | 1,004 | 392 | 612 | 779 | 225 |
| 1972/73. | 225 | 724 | 949 | 396 | 553 | 737 | 212 |
| 1973/74 | 212 | 799 | 1,011 | 483 | 528 | 806 | 205 |
| 1974/75. | 205 | 876 | 1,081 | 398 | 683 | 627 | 454 |
| 1975/76. | 454 | 583 | 1,037 | 428 | 609 |  |  |
| Mixed fruits: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72 | 158 | 695 | 853 | 583 | 270 | 739 | 114 |
| 1972/73 | 114 | 752 | 866 | 581 | 285 | 767 | 99 |
| 1973/74. | 99 | 736 | 835 | 599 | 236 | 776 | 59 |
| $1974 / 75$ | 59 | 959 | 1,018 | 648 | 370 | 908 | 110 |
| 1975/76........ | 110 | 708 | 818 | 402 | 416 |  |  |
| Peaches, sliced clings: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72.. | 34 | 308 | 342 | 233 | 109 | 292 | 50 |
| 1972!73. | 50 | 359 | 409 | 243 | 166 | 324 | 85 |
| $1973 / 74$. | 85 | 189 | 274 | 222 | 52 | 252 | 22 |
| 1974/75. | 22 | 304 | 326 | 205 | 121 | 241 | 85 |
| 1975/76.... | 85 | 212 | 297 | 166 | 131 |  |  |
| Peaches, clingstone: ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72....... | 6,763 | 21,839 | 28,602 | 13,623 | 14,979 | 24,712 | 3,890 |
| $1972 / 73 \ldots$ | 3,890 | 21,233 | 25,123 | 15,505 | 9,618 | 23,532 | 1,591 |
| $1973 / 74 \ldots$ | 1,591 | 21,615 | 23,206 | 15,314 | 7,892 | 21,819 | $1,387$ |
| 1974/75... | 1,387 | 28,983 | 30,370 | 17,292 | 13,078 | 26,009 | 4,361 |
| 1975/76. | 4,361 | 25,691 | 30,052 | 14,196 | 15,856 |  |  |
| Peaches, U.S. freestone: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72... | 1,194 | 3,923 | 5,117 | 2,460 | 2,657 | 4,174 | 943 |
| 1972/73. | 943 | 2,783 | 3,726 | 2,438 | 1,288 | 3.530 | 196 |
| 1973/74. | 196 | 2,899 | 3.095 | 1,555 | 1,540 | 2,890 | 205 |
| 1974/75. | 205 | 3,448 | 3,653 | 1,777 | 1,876 | 2,639 | 1,014 |
| 1975/76. | 1,014 | 3,293 | 4,307 | 1,502 | 2,805 |  |  |

See footnotes at end of table.
Continued.

Table 28-Canned noncitrus fruit: Canners' stocks, packs, supplies, and shipments, current season, with comparisons-Continued

| Item and season ${ }^{1}$ | Carryin | Pack | Total supply | Shipments to January 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { January } 1 \\ \text { stocks } \end{gathered}$ | Total season shipments | Carryout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 equivalent cases 24 No. $2^{1 / 2}$ 's |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pears: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72 | 3,288 | 10,309 | 13,597 | 5,589 | 8,008 | 9,909 | 3,688 |
| 1972/73 | 3,688 | 9,063 | 12,751 | 5,535 | 7,216 | 10,320 | 2,431 |
| 1973/74. | 2,431 | 9,841 | 12,272 | 6,636 | 5,636 | 10,499 | 1,773 |
| 1974/75. | 1.773 | 10,692 | 12,465 | 5,213 | 7,252 | 8,751 | 3,714 |
| 1975/76 | 3,714 | 9,776 | 13,490 | 5,785 | 7,705 |  |  |
| Purple plums, U.S.: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72.. | 450 | 1,199 | 1,649 | 842 | 807 | 1,273 | 376 |
| 1972/73. | 376 | 394 | 770 | 469 | 301 | 713 | 57 |
| $1.973 / 74$ | 57 | 1,261 | 1,318 | 664 | 654 | 1,100 | 218 |
| 1974/75. | 218 | 1,166 | 1,384 | 701 | 683 | 1,011 | 373 |
| 1975/76. | 373 | 1,447 | 1,820 | 570 | 1,250 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Season beginning July 1 for RSP cherries, and June 1 for all other items. ${ }^{2}$ California only.
Source: Prepared from reports of National Canners Association and Canners League of California.

Table 29-- Canned pineapple and juice: Canners' carryin, pack, supplies, shipments, and stocks, current season with comparisons

| Item and season ${ }^{1}$ | Carryin | Pack |  | Supply |  | Shioments |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } 1 \\ & \text { stocks }{ }^{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | To <br> Nov. 1 | Total season | To <br> Nov. 1 | Total season | To <br> Nov. 1 | Total season |  |
|  | 1,000 equivalent cases, 24 No. $2^{1 / 2}$ 's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canned pineapple: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1971 / 72$ | 7,787 | 11,564 | 17.705 | 19,351 | 25,492 | 6,359 | 16,829 | 12,992 |
| 1972/73 | 8.663 | 11,647 | 16,540 | 203,310 | 25,203 | 8,050 | 18,191 | 12,260 |
| 1973/74 | 7.012 | 9,886 | 14,981 | 16,898 | 21,993 | 8,394 | 16,804 | 8,504 |
| 1974/75. | 5,189 | 8,546 | 13,913 | 13,735 | 19,102 | 7,248 | 14,297 | 6,487 |
| 1975/76. | 4,805 | 9,222 |  | 14,027 |  | 6,137 |  | 7,890 |
|  | 1,000 equivalent cases, 24 No . 2 's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single strength pineapple juice: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72 ...... | 5,300 | 10,448 | 13,641 | 15,748 | 18,941 | 4,824 | 12,836 | 10,924 |
| 1972/73. | 6,105 | 9,486 | 12,328 | 15,591 | 18,433 | 6,515 | 14,334 | 9,076 |
| $1973 / 74$. | 4,099 | 8,664 | 11,350 | 12,763 | 15,449 | 5,723 | 11,601 | 7,040 |
| 1974/75 | 3,848 | 6,127 | 8,448 | 9,975 | 12,296 | 4,457 | 9,569 | 5,518 |
| 1975/76 | 2,727 | 6,440 |  | 9,167 |  | 3,671 |  | 5,496 |
|  | 1,000 equivalent cases, 6 No. 10 's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concentrated pineapple juice: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971/72 | 779 | 795 | 1,420 | 1,574 | 2,199 | 462 | 1,188 | 1,112 |
| 1972/73 | ${ }^{2} 1,011$ | 573 | 1,080 | 1,584 | 2,091 | 503 | 1,176 | 1,081 |
| 1973/74 | 915 | 971 | 1,540 | 1,886 | 2,455 | 771 | 1,653 | 1.115 |
| 1974/75 | 802 | 907 | 1,126 | 1,709 | 1,928 | 432 | 1,209 | 1,277 |
| 1975/76 | 719 | 1,129 |  | 1,848 |  | 520 |  | 1,328 |

[^10]Prepared from reports of Pineapple Growers Association of Hawaii

Table 30-Fresh fruit: Retail price, marketing margin, and grower and packer return per pound, sold in New York City, indicated months, 1974 and 1975


Table 31-Fresh fruits: 1975 representative truck rates for selected fruits ${ }^{1}$

| Commodity, area, and city | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars per package |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apples (Tray packed carton) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yakima, Washington area to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | N.A. | N.A. | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 |
| Chicago | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | N.A. | N.A. | 1.55 | 1.55 | 1.55 |
| Dallas | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | N.A. | N.A. | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| I_os Angeles | . 80 | . 70 | . 75 | . 80 | . 80 | . 80 | . 80 | N.A. | N.A. | . 85 | . 85 | . 85 |
| New York City | 2.00 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 2.05 | 2.05 | N.A. | N.A. | 2.20 | 2.20 | 2.20 |
| Hudson Valley, New York area to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | . 70 | . 70 | . 65 | . 65 | . 65 | --- | -- | --- | --- | . 60 | . 60 | . 60 |
| Boston | . 45 | . 42 | . 38 | . 38 | . 38 | --- | --- | --- | --- | . 40 | . 40 | . 40 |
| New York City | . 40 | . 38 | . 32 | . 32 | . 32 | - - | -•• | --- | --- | . 35 | . 35 | . 35 |
| Pittsburgh .... | . 60 | . 55 | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 | ... | . . | ... | -. - | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 |
| Western and Central New |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| York area to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York City | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 | --- | -- | --- | --- | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 |
| Pittsburgh. | . 45 | . 45 | . 45 | . 45 | . 45 | --- | -. | --- | --- | . 45 | . 45 | . 45 |
| Grapefruit (4/5 bu. ctn.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lakeland, Florida area to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atianta | . 32 | . 32 | . 32 | . 32 | --- | --- | --- | --- | -.. | --- | . 30 | . 30 |
| Boston | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | . 90 | . 92 |
| Chicago | . 82 | . 82 | . 82 | . 82 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | . 78 | . 88 |
| New York City | . 85 | . 85 | . 85 | . 85 | -. | -. - | -- | --- | -- - | -- - | . 78 | . 88 |
| Pittsburgh. | . 85 | . 85 | . 85 | . 85 | - - | -- | -- | --- | -. - | - - | . 85 | . 88 |
| Grapes (23 lb. lug) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresno area to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta . | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | -.. | -. | - - | 1.10 | 1.33 | 1.10 | 1.07 | . 94 |
| Chicago | . 86 | . 86 | . 86 | . 86 | --. | -- | -- - | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.00 | . 95 | . 94 |
| Dallas | . 73 | . 73 | . 73 | . 73 | --- | --- | -- - | . 77 | . 80 | . 76 | . 70 | . 74 |
| New York City | 1.20 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.23 | -- - | -- - | -- | 1.36 | 1.60 | 1.36 | 1.24 | 1.27 |
| Lemons (7/10 bu. ctn.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southern California area to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | 2.18 | 2.18 | 1.85 | 1.85 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.62 | 1.65 | 1.60 | 1.38 |
| Chicago | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.65 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 1.50 | 1.35 |
| New York City | 2.25 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.30 | 2.40 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.90 |
| Oranges (7/10 bu. ctn.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southern California area to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chicago | 1.45 | 1.45 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.65 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 1.50 | 1.35 |
| Dallas. | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.22 | 1.22 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.05 | 1.05 |
| New York City | 2.25 | 2.25 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.30 | 2.40 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.90 |
| Oranges (4/5 bu. ctn.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| L.akeland, Florida area to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atlanta | . 32 | . 32 | . 32 | . 38 | . 38 | -- | --. | --- | --- | ..- | . 32 | . 30 |
| Cnicago | . 82 | . 82 | . 82 | . 82 | . 82 | -- - | -- | -- | -- | -- - | . 80 | . 88 |
| Dallas .... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York City | . 88 | . 88 | . 88 | . 88 | . 88 | - | -. - | --- | - | --- | . 80 | . 90 |
| Pittsburgh .... | . 88 | . 88 | . 88 | . 88 | . 88 | - - | - | -- | -.- |  | . 90 | . 90 |

[^11]Table 32-U.S. monthly average price indexes for fruit

| Item | 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1976$ <br> Jan. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Annual | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |  |
|  | $(1967=100)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wholesale price index: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh fruit .... | 157.8 | 148.7 | 153.1 | 161.8 | 167.4 | 167.7 | 185.7 | 163.0 | 154.1 | 151.3 | 141.1 | 148.0 | 151.5 | 154.7 |
| Citrus fruit | 137.9 | 129.6 | 130.3 | 127.6 | 132.2 | 143.1 | 150.2 | 141.8 | 145.9 | 127.1 | 150.3 | 135.8 | 141.1 | 129.6 |
| Other fruit | 164.8 | 155.5 | 161.2 | 174.0 | 179.9 | 176.4 | 198.3 | 170.6 | 156.9 | 159.9 | 137.7 | 152.3 | 155.2 | 165.1 |
| Dried fruit | 213.4 | 222.6 | 220.5 | 219.7 | 210.9 | 210.9 | 211.7 | 210.4 | 212.4 | 212.4 | 213.9 | 207.4 | 207.4 | 207.8 |
| Canned fruit and juice. | 173.8 | 175.2 | 174.8 | 175.1 | 174.7 | 175.7 | 175.1 | 174.0 | 173.5 | 172.9 | 172.5 | 171.5 | 170.8 | 169.5 |
| Canned fruit ....... | 168.3 | 170.4 | 170.2 | 170.4 | 170.1 | 171.0 | 170.9 | 168.7 | 167.2 | 166.0 | 165.7 | 164.7 | 164.3 | 163.6 |
| Canned fruit juice . . . | 184.1 | 184.1 | 183.2 | 183.7 | 183.1 | 184.3 | 183.0 | 183.7 | 185.3 | 185.9 | 185.2 | 184.2 | 182.9 | 180.7 |
| Frozen fruit and juice.. | 156.5 | 154.8 | 155.2 | 155.2 | 155.2 | 155.2 | 155.2 | 154.9 | 154.9 | 154.9 | 159.9 | 161.1 | 161.1 | 161.1 |
| Consumer price index: Fresh fruit .......... | 161.1 | 146.3 | 150.5 | 153.4 | 162.7 | 169.1 | 180.6 | 187.1 | 179.1 | 164.0 | 149.4 | 145.8 | 144.9 | 144.9 |
| Index of fruit prices received by growers ${ }^{1}$.. | 146 | 135 | 132 | 140 | 141 | 154 | 161 | 161 | 147 | 157 | 144 | 139 | 138 | 129 |

'index for fresh processed.

Table 33-United States monthly average fruit prices received by growers

| Commodity and unit | 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1976 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. |
| Apples for fresh use (cents/lb.) | 9.50 | 9.90 | 11.30 | 11.00 | 14.50 | 15.30 | 14.40 | 11.90 | 11.70 | 9.30 | 8.70 | 8.70 | 8.50 |
| Pears for fresh use , (\$/ton) . ........ | 153.00 | 136.00 | 145.00 | 178.00 | 280.00 | ... | 300.00 | 186.00 | 157.00 | 150.00 | 172.00 | 181.00 | 187.00 |
| Peaches for fresh use (cents/lb.) ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 21.60 | 19.00 | 16.20 | 14.80 | . . . | ... | ... | ... |
| Strawberries for fresh use (cts/lb.) . Oranges for: (\$/box) | 52.50 | 43.50 | 48.50 | 42.50 | 33.40 | 35.60 | 35.40 | 35.10 | 38.10 | 31.70 | 38.10 | -•• | ... |
| Fresh use...... | 2.58 | 3.05 | 2.90 | 2.63 | 3.03 | 3.65 | 3.36 | 2.69 | 3.90 | 2.91 | 2.77 | 3.22 | 3.13 |
| Processing . . . . | 1.17 | 1.15 | 1.26 | 1.35 | 1.62 | 1.63 | 1.54 | -. 21 | -. 21 | . 69 | . 91 | 1.51 | 1.72 |
| All............ | 1.29 | 1.34 | 1.49 | 1.61 | 1.80 | 1.86 | 1.90 | 1.37 | 2.02 | 1.76 | 1.51 | 1.82 | 1.83 |
| Grapefruit for: $(\$ / b o x)^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh use. | 2.82 | 2.93 | 3.17 | 3.49 | 4.11 | 3.92 | 4.36 | 3.33 | 2.82 | 2.78 | 2.52 | 2.50 | 2.37 |
| Processing | . 87 | . 81 | . 85 | . 87 | . 75 | . 01 | -. 04 | -. 01 | . 06 | . 05 | . 34 | . 71 | . 68 |
| All . . . . | 1.69 | 1.70 | 1.72 | 1.77 | 2.39 | 1.13 | 1.61 | 2.40 | 2.08 | 2.07 | 1.50 | 1.60 | 1.38 |
| Lemons for: $(\$ / \text { box })^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh use | 4.92 | 3.80 | 4.33 | 4.69 | 5.30 | 6.20 | 6.35 | 7.45 | 11.85 | 12.41 | 12.40 | 8.74 | 5.60 |
| Processing . .... | . 31 | -. 09 | . 09 | . 08 | -. 08 | -. 08 | -. 83 | -. 83 | -. 83 | -. 83 | . 1.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 |
|  | 1.31 | . 85 | 1.39 | 1.86 | 2.34 | 3.09 | 2.87 | 4.89 | 8.28 | 7.78 | 7.81 | 4.60 | 2.66 |
| Tangerines for: $(\$ / b o x)^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fresh use . . | 3.21 | 4.66 | 4.43 | 4.90 | 5.02 | -.- | -.- | -.- | .-. | 7.85 | 5.75 | 5.13 | 4.44 |
| Processing . . . . | -1.03 | -. 62 | -. 51 | -. 47 | -. 63 | -.- | -.- | ... | -. | -2.30 | -1.53 | -1.17 | -1.22 |
| All. | 1.70 | 2.56 | 2.95 | 3.09 | 3.62 | -.. | -. | --. | - . | 5.37 | 3.65 | 3.35 | 2.20 |

[^12]
# U.S. GRAPEFRUIT: TRENDS AND OUTLOOK 

by<br>Ben W. Huang<br>and<br>Andrew A. Duymovic


#### Abstract

Total grapefruit production is expected to increase in the years ahead as both bearing acreage and yield continue to rise. Utilization of grapefruit has changed greatly, reflecting changes in both domestic and foreign markets. Exports are likely to continue to increase. Larger per capita grapefruit consumption, mainly frozen concentrated and chilled juice, is expected in the years ahead while fresh consumption likely will remain steady. Average on-tree returns for grapefruit have fluctuated sharply from year to year, but over the time there has been an upward trend.


KEYWORDS: Fresh grapefruit, processed grapefruit, production, acreage, yield, utilization, exports, consumption, prices.

Grapefruit is the second leading citrus crop in the United States, with a farm value of $\$ 158.3$ million in $1974 / 75$. Although the total value is relatively small compared with such leading fruits as apples, oranges, and grapes, the grapefruit industry has undergone much the same changes as the other leading fruits. The industry has been characterized by a rapid expansion in supply, and significant changes in demand for grapefruit products. This article reviews these changing conditions during the past two decades, and to some measure, considers those changes as a basis for assessing industry prospects.

## Expansion in Acreage and Production

Total bearing acreage of grapefruit trees in the United States reached a peak of approximately 173,600 acres in 1974/75, an increase of 16 percent from the mid-1950's. Florida dominates with twothirds of the total U.S. bearing acreage, down from threefourths in 1954/55. Florida's bearing acreage reached a peak of 112,400 acres in 1956/57 but was cut back by a freeze in 1957/58 to 95,000 acres. Another freeze in December 1962 caused a further decline to 83,000 acres in 1963/64. With extensive new plantings following the two freezes, particularly the one in 1962, bearing acreage has increased steadily each year and reached a record of 115,700 acres in the 1973/74 season.

Texas, the second major grapefruit producing State, shows an irregular trend in bearing acreage
of grapefruit trees. As a consequence of the severe 1951 freeze, bearing acreage was virtually wiped out, dropping to 17,900 acres from 56,000 acres in the previous year. Thereafter, Texas had a steady expansion to a peak of 45,300 acres in 1961/62. Following another severe freeze in 1962/63, the bearing acreage was reduced to 35,000 acres. A recent tree survey indicates that the total bearing acreage was 33,100 acres as of January 1, 1975, down from the high of 45,000 acres recorded in $1968 / 69$. The decrease was mainly caused by a hard freeze in December 1973.

Although California and Arizona are two relatively small grapefruit producing States, the rate of increase in bearing acreage of grapefruit trees has been greater than for Florida and Texas. California's bearing acreage has trended upward to 15,800 acres in 1974/75, compared with only 8,200 acres in 1954/55. However, the rate of increase in bearing acreage in Arizona is not as rapid as California, but it has increased 55 percent since 1954/ 55.

The expansion in the bearing acreage of grapefruit trees during the last several years can be traced to several factors. The heavy plantings during the 1960's replaced trees damaged by the severe freezes in Florida and Texas in 1962. Higher grower prices also served as an important incentive. In addition, the greater consumer acceptance of processed grapefruit items also stimulated plantings. Institutional factors were also important


Figure 1
since there were indications that some investments in citrus groves were used as tax shelters for nonfarm investors prior to the enactment of the 1969 tax reform law. Immediately after the 1969 tax reform law was enacted, the rate of new plantings of grapefruit trees declined.

In view of the current nonbearing acreage of young grapefruit trees, bearing acreage is expected to continue to increase in the years ahead. Gains will be faster in Texas than in the other producing States as large plantings of the new variety "Star Ruby" and other varieties in recent years gradually come into bearing. The expansion of bearing acreage in Florida may taper off some during the later 1970's because of continued urbanization. Since almost onethird of total grapefruit acreage in California is still nonbearing, bearing acreage there will also increase in the years ahead.

With the increase in bearing acreage and continued improvement in technology, management, and cultural practices, U.S. grapefruit production has increased 40 percent from the 1955/57 average to the 1973/75 average. During the mid-1950's and early 1960 's, production fluctuated between 1.6 and 1.9 million tons, until the $1962 / 63$ season when severe freezes in Florida and Texas caused a decline in total production of 18 percent from the previous season to 1.43 million tons. A further decline to 1.38 million tons-lowest in two decade-s-was recorded the following season. However, recovery since 1963/64 has been dramatic. A record
U.S. crop of 2.7 million tons was produced in the 1973/74 season, and this is expected to be surpassed by the 1975/76 crop. Figure 2 shows grapefruit production by the four major producing States from 1955/56 to 1974/75.

The increase in U.S. grapefruit production over the years was not due entirely to the increase in bearing acreage, but also due to the increase in yield per acre as well. Over the last 20 years, U.S. grapefruit yield per acre fluctuated from the low of 10 tons in 1963/64 to the high of 15.7 tons in both 1966/67 and 1971/72. Greatest variations occurred as a result of weather.

Yield per acre trended upward in Florida and was generally higher than in the other three producing States. During the last 20 years Florida grapefruit yield reached a record high of 21.3 tons per acre in 1966/67, up from the low of 13.3 tons in 1954/55. In recent seasons yield has remained relatively stable at 17 tons per acre. Yield per acre in Texas did not exceed 10 tons until 1970/71. The fluctuations in yield per acre were generally small in California where weather conditions are more stable. There is no apparent trend pattern on yield per acre in Arizona.

With continued improvement in technology and cultural practices, and more trees planted per acre, yield per acre is expected to continue to rise. Thus, combined with the continued increase in bearing acreage, larger grapefruit output undoubtedly can be expected in the years ahead in the absence of
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Figure 2
severe weather. While a continued increase in grapefruit production can be expected in Florida, the rate of increase will not be as rapid as that in the early 1970's. The recent larger plantings in Texas of the Star Ruby and other varieties likely will lead to substantial increases in grapefruit output in the years ahead. Production in Arizona and California will also increase somewhat, although the gains are likely to be relatively small.

## Shifts in the Domestic Market

Striking shifts have occurred in the grapefruit market over the last 20 years. Although sales for fresh market went up approximately 13 percent, the share of total grapefruit sold fresh has been declining steadily. Comparing the 1956/58 average with the 1973/75 average, the proportion of grapefruit sales for fresh use declined from 52 to 44 percent.

Grapefruit sales for processing use have trended upward and now represent approximately threefifths of the market, versus a little less than half in the late 1950's. Processing use includes frozen, chilled, and canned, but there have been shifts in the relative importance among these items. Data on the utilization for these three products are available only for Florida, which produces approximately 75 to 80 percent of the U.S. grapefruit crop, and accounts for 80 percent of processed grapefruit. Figure 3 shows the relative changes within the
processed grapefruit products during the last 20 years.

The increase in consumption for processed fruit is expected to continue in the years ahead as the convenience aspects appeal to the more affluent buyers. Consequently, processing grapefruit will continue to increase its share of the grapefruit market. In addition, the prospective improvement in technology and development of new products could further enhance the utilization of grapefruit for processing. Thus, even though total grapefruit output is expected to increase in the years ahead, the proportion sold fresh is likely to continue to decline. However, grapefruit sales for fresh market will increase in absolute terms.

Among processing uses, a larger proportion of grapefruit likely will be used for frozen concentrated and chilled grapefruit juices; about the same amount will go for chilled grapefruit sections and salad; while use in other processed products such as canned juice may continue to decline somewhat.

## Export Market Strong

World production of grapefruit has been expanding rapidly during the last two decades. The United States is the leading producer, but its share of the world grapefruit output has dropped from approximately 90 percent in the mid-1950's to 75 percent in recent years as other countries have expanded production, improved quality, and


Figure 3
improved methods of marketing. Comparing the 1955/57 average with the 1972/74 average, foreign production of grapefruit increased almost five times, while the U.S. production increased only 50 percent. Countries such as Israel, Argentina, South Africa, and Cyprus have had tremendous increases since the mid-1950's.

Although rising production around the world has intensified competition for U.S. grapefruit in the world markets, our exports of fresh grapefruit have increased dramatically during the last 20 years from 2.2 million boxes ( 80 pounds per box) in 1955/56 to 6.3 million in 1974/75. This was mainly attributed to a substantial increase in shipments to
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Japan, which has now replaced Canada as our leading export market. After Japan adopted a liberalized trade policy toward fresh grapefruit on June 30, 1971, our total exports almost doubled from 2.7 to 5 million boxes between 1970/71 and 1971/72 and hit a record of 6.5 million boxes in the 1973/74 season. In recent years, approximately one-tenth of our grapefruit crop has been exported. Exports to Japan reached a record 4.3 million boxes in 1973/74, accounting for two-thirds of our total grapefruit exports.

Exports of fresh grapefruit to Canada in recent years, at 1.5 million boxes, were almost the same as in the mid-1950's. Our sales have trended downward since a record 2.6 million boxes were exported in 1968/69. Canada now accounts for approximately onefourth of our total grapefruit exports compared with almost three-fourths in the mid1950's mainly because of sharp increase in exports to Japan.

The substantial increases in grapefruit production in the Mediterranean countries have affected our exports to the European market. Israel and Cyprus not only produce a high quality grapefruit, but their export prices under the government subsidy program are generally substantially lower. In addition, the European Community (EC) has developed a complex tariff structure in which different countries or groups of countries pay different tariff rates depending on the concessions that the EC has granted. Israel, a major U.S. competitor in the West European market, is subject to a preferential
tariff of 0.8 percent, compared with a rate of 4 percent on grapefruit imported from the United States. As a consequence, our grapefruit exports to Europe have decreased from an annual average of 775,000 boxes in 1961-65 to 671,000 boxes in 1971-75 (figure 5).

Canada is still our leading market for two major processed grapefruit items-canned juice and frozen concentrated juice. Europe is our principal outlet for canned concentrated grapefruit juice (hot pack), taking more than half of the total exports. Table 1 indicates our exports of processed grapefruit products for the period 1955/56 through 1974/ 75.

The outlook for fresh grapefruit exports is promising, although the U.S. faces increasingly stiff competition in Western Europe from the Mediterranean producing countries. However, the opening of our fresh grapefruit markets in East Germany and Poland could potentially enhance our exports there. Also, the increases in exports to Japan are likely to continue as long as tariff and nontariff barriers are not imposed. Increasing competition from the developing countries such as Argentina and Cuba could reduce our shipments to Canada.

Exports of our processed grapefruit juice are also expected to expand in the years ahead. Israel, our principal competitor in foreign markets, relies most heavily on the fresh market. The United States is not only producing more grapefruit than any other country, but is the world's largest processor. Higher consumer incomes, increasing
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Table 1-U.S. Exports of processed grapefruit products

| Crop year ${ }^{\prime}$ | Grapefruit juice |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Frozen concentrate | Single strength | Canned concentrate |
|  | 1.000 gallons | 1,000 gallons | 1,000 gallons |
| 1955/56 | 70 | 6,583 | 70 |
| 1956/57 | 93 | 6,339 | 113 |
| 1957/58 | 151 | 5,067 | 134 |
| 1958/59 | 161 | 5,380 | 189 |
| 1959/60 | 134 | 4,726 | 145 |
| 1960/61 | 193 | 5,999 | 272 |
| 1961/62 | 264 | 7,360 | 173 |
| 1962/63 | 193 | 5,631 | 138 |
| 1963/64 | 181 | 2,757 | 185 |
| 1964/65. | 201 | 4,186 | 192 |
| 1965/66 | 251 | 3,109 | 165 |
| 1966/67 | 284 | 5,358 | 153 |
| 1967/68 | 355 | 4,472 | 188 |
| 1968/69 | 728 | 5,226 | 206 |
| 1969/70 | 913 | 6,049 | 360 |
| 1970/71 | 952 | 5.119 | 276 |
| 1971/72 | 1,045 | 4,956 | 288 |
| 1972/73 | 1,461 | 4,857 | 344 |
| 1973/74 | 1,155 | 4,965 | 310 |
| 1974/75 | 1,214 | 4,850 | 213 |

' Year beginning November 1 .
awareness and acceptance of grapefruit products, and improved storage and distribution systems abroad are expected to contribute to larger exports. Furthermore, promotion for processed grapefruit products abroad could further increase our exports.

## Trends In Per Capita Consumption

Annual per capita grapefruit consumption, fresh and processed combined on "a fresh weight equivalent basis, showed a generally erratic trend during the last two decades. Consumption remained at approximately 17 pounds in the mid1950's and then steadily declined to 11.5 pounds in 1962/63 when freeze damage resulted in the lowest production since 1939/40. Thereafter, consumption gradually recovered to a record high of 21 pounds in 1972/73 and has remained relatively stable at that level since.

The increase over the years has been in processed consumption-from 7.8 pounds in 1956/58 to 12.3 pounds in 1973/75, increasing from approximately 44 to 59 percent of total per capita grapefruit consumption on a fresh equivalent basis. In contrast, consumption of fresh grapefruit has decreased onefifth from 10.1 pounds in the 1956/58 average to 8.4 pounds in 1973/75. The rise in per capita processed grapefruit consumption over the past two decades was led entirely by the increases in juices.

Within the processed items, per capita consumption has changed significantly during the last two decades. Figure 6 shows the relative changes in per capita grapefruit consumption.

The shift to processed grapefruit consumption is closely associated with changes in consumer taste and preferences and living habits. Consumers are constantly seeking foods that are convenient and

## GRAPEFRUIT CONSUMPTION PER PERSON
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time saving. Processed grapefruit are both, plus they enjoy year-round availability and easily substitute for fresh products. In addition, the great increase in chilled grapefruit juice can partly be attributed to mass merchandising through chain store dairy cases. Futhermore, the renewed consumer interest in nutrition and diet foods contributed to the resurgence in per capita canned grapefruit juice consumption.

The total demand for grapefruit will increase in the years ahead due mainly to the population growth and continued increase in disposable personal income, but civilian per capita consumption is not likely to increase significantly from current levels. Among the grapefruit items, per capita consumption of canned juice will continue as a leading item with a slight decline from the current level. Frozen concentrated grapefruit juice consumption is likely to gain in importance. Because of convenience, the rate of increase in per capita chilled grapefruit juice consumption is expected to surpass that of frozen concentrated grapefruit juice. Consumption of other minor processed grapefruit products will probably remain insignificant. With per capita processed grapefruit product consumption expected to increase, per capita fresh consumption is not likely to change significantly from the current level.

## Grower Prices

Annual average on-tree grower returns for grapefruit are closely tied to production. However, supplies of competing fruits-and demand factors such as general economic activity here and abroad related to disposable personal income, unemployment, rate of inflation, exports, and population growth-also influence grapefruit prices. Regional differences in grower returns (on-tree) are due mainly to crop size, variety, quality, and utilization.

During the last two decades, on-tree returns for grapefruit reached a record high in 1963/64 for all four producing States, reflecting a substantial decrease in output as a result of a hard freeze in December 1962 in Florida and Texas. U.S. grape fruit prices for all uses averaged $\$ 2.20$ per box in

1963/64, compared with only $\$ 0.67$ in $1955 / 56$. However, grapefruit prices declined as production gradually recovered. Another freeze which hit Florida and Texas in the 1967/68 season caused prices to rise substantially above the previous season's levels. During recent years, prices have remained relatively high, in part influenced by the high rate of inflation. Comparing the 1956/58 average with the 1973/75 average, grapefruit prices received by growers for all sales (fresh and processing) doubled.

There are large differences among producing States with respect to the level of on-tree grapefruit prices. Florida grapefruit prices for fresh market are generally higher than those of Texas fresh grapefruit. A possible explanation is that Texas grapefruit have a limited marketing area and Florida grapefruit are available for longer periods than those from Texas. However, prices for California fresh grapefruit are generally above Florida's levels. The principal reason is a larger share of California's fresh grapefruit is marketed during the summer which is off-season for Florida grapefruit shippers. Thus, the lesser competition and a small quantity of grapefruit available for fresh market contribute to higher prices for Califormia fresh grapefruit. The marketing pattern for Arizona fresh grapefruit is generally similar to California and its prices move with those of California.

In general, grapefruit on-tree returns for processing use are substantially lower than for fresh market. Florida grapefruit prices for processing use are higher than those from other producing States due mainly to stronger processor demand. On the other hand, grapefruit prices for processing use in Arizona and California are very low because grapefruit used for processing are generally considered as little more than a salvage operations. However, in Texas, a relatively large quantity of grapefruit goes to processing outlets, but packer demand is still not very significant. Consequently, Texas grapefruit prices for processing use have generally been below Florida's level during the last two decades. But there was a marked similarity in the movement of on-tree returns between fresh market and processing use for all producing States.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 45^{\circ}$ Brix basis and includes frozen concentrated tangerine juice used in processing. ${ }^{2}$ Includes tangelos, temples, and honey, tangerines, ${ }^{3}$ Estimated.

[^1]:    'Oranges, tangerines, temples, tangelos, grapefruit, temons, and limes. ${ }^{2}$ Commercial apples, peaches, pears, grapes, cherries, plums, prunes, and apricots. ${ }^{3}$ Figs, nectarines, olives, avocados, dates, persimmons, and pomegranates. ${ }^{4}$ Walnuts, almonds, and filberts.

[^2]:    ' Does not add due to rounding.

[^3]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^4]:    For all items except bananas and Callfornia-apricots, dates, persimmons, plums, and prunes, some quantities canned, frozen, or otherwise processed are included in other
    utilization categories to avoid disciosure of individual operations. ${ }^{2}$ Some totals do not add due to rounding. ${ }^{3}$ Tart cherries, juice, wine, and brined; sueet cherries, frozen juice, etc., and olives, chopped, minced, brined and other cures. ${ }^{4}$ Inciudes canned figs. ${ }^{5}$ Michigan, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.

[^5]:    Data from Statistical Reporting Service.

[^6]:    Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Season beginning September 1 for fresh grapefruit; West Germany, Italy and Netherlands. ${ }^{3}$ Box weights, pounds; November 1 for all other items. ${ }^{2}$ Belgium-Luxembourg, France, oranges, 70; grapefruit, 80; Iemons, 76. ${ }^{4}$ Includes tangerines.

[^8]:    In orchards of 100 or more bearing trees.

[^9]:    ' Commercial crops refer to the total production of apples in orchards of 100 or more bearing trees. Data include small quantities of mature fruit not harvested and excess cullage of harvested fruit not included in data in table 26.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Season beginning June $1 .{ }^{2}$ Revised data.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Reported from a sample of shippers and/or truck brokers in specified areas for shipments during the first week of month.
    N.A. = Not available.

[^12]:    'Equivatent on-tree returnns.

