

Report No. 67

(PCJ

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF MINES HELIUM ACTIVITY HELIUM RESEARCH CENTER

## INTERNAL REPORT

DESIGN OF THE JACKETED BOMBS FOR THE ISOTHERMAL BURNETT APPARATUS

BY

John E. Miller

BRANCHFundamental ResearchPROJECT NO.4330PROJECT NO.4330January 1965HD9660.H43M56no.67AMARILLO, TEXAS

•

## #915140882

## D 88069886

HD 9660 .H43 M56 No.67

Report No. 67

#### HELIUM RESEARCH CENTER INTERNAL REPORT

Sameral dealers apprelies tions

Principal stresses due to pressure

DESIGN OF THE JACKETED BOMBS FOR THE ISOTHERMAL BURNETT APPARATUS

By

John E. Miller

2. Equacions for tangential

3. Scrosses at the W

4. Stresses during hydros

Fundamental Research Branch

Project 4330

January 1965

BLDG. 50, DENVER FEDERAL CENTER P.O. BOX 25047 DENVER, COLORADO 80225



## CONTENTS

Abstract	3
Introduction	3
General design considerations	4
Principal stresses due to pressure	8
Thermal stresses	9
Causes of failure	.0
Autofrettage • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1

#### ILLUSTRATIONS

1.	Cross	section	of	one	of	the	jacketed	bombs	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5

#### TABLES

1.	Functions of the dimensions	)
2.	Equations for tangential stress and shear stress	)
3.	Stresses at the working pressures	2
4.	Stresses during hydrostatic tests	3
5.	Pressures required to start plastic deformation and burst pressures 1	4
6.	Information for various types of stainless steel tubing	5
7.	Comparison of actual design to ASME requirements • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7

## Page

#### CONTENTS

														1						
																			InI	
			÷														150			
¢1																				
											×									
															10					
								1.52												
												0d								
																		TR		
																			7.	

### DESIGN OF THE JACKETED BOMBS FOR THE ISOTHERMAL BURNETT APPARATUS

by

John E. Miller<sup>1/</sup>

## ABSTRACT

This report contains the general design considerations, the principal stresses, pressures to start plastic deformation, burst pressures, and a comparison of the actual design to what would be required under the ASME code for the jacketed bombs used in the isothermal Burnett apparatus.

#### INTRODUCTION

The only high-pressure component of the isothermal Burnett apparatus not available from a commercial source (except by a special contract covering design and construction) was the bombs or cells for  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Therefore, it was decided that it would be better to design the bombs here and have them constructed in our Machine Shop.

It was desired to have pressure jackets around  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  because this type of construction allows the most flexibility in operating

1/ Research Chemist, Helium Research Center, Bureau of Mines, Amarillo, Texas.

Work on manuscript completed January 1965.

DESIGN OF THE LACKETED BOMBS FOR THE ISOTMERMAL BUILDETT APPARATUS

John E. Millet

#### ABSTRAUT

This report contains the seneral design considerations, the principal stresses, pressures to start plastic deformation, purst preasures, and a comparison of the actual design to what would be required under the ASME code for the jacketed bumbs used in the iso-

#### INTRODUCTION

The only high-pressure component of the isothermal burnett apparesus non available from a commarcial source (except by a syecial contract covering design and construction) was the bomba of cells for V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, it was dacided that it would be better to. design the bomba here and have them constructed in our Machine Shon.

It was desired to have pressure jackets around  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  because this type of construction allows the most flexibility in operating

1/ Besearch Chemist, Helium Research Center, Suraau of Minos, Amerillo, Texas.

Work on manuscript completed January 196:

procedure. The jackets would also make it possible to check the usual methods of correcting for volume change due to elastic distortion.

The design for the inner part of the bombs (the gas cylinders) is within about 2 percent of ASME code requirements, even though the ASME code is intended for vessels with internal diameter greater than six inches and working pressure less than 3,000 psi. Internal diameter of a gas cylinder is 1 inch; working pressures is 12,000 psi (see fig. 1). The minimum wall thickness of the jacket is such that the working stress exceeds the maximum allowed by the ASME code by 61 percent. The ASME code design is based on circumferential stress and ultimate tensile strength. For thick wall cylinders made of ductile materials, the critical stress is the shear stress and failure is determined by the yield strength rather than the ultimate tensile strength. The shear stress in the gas cylinder is 40 percent of that required to start plastic deformation; the jacket is operated at 50 percent of the shear stress required to start plastic deformation.

#### GENERAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Size considerations were that 4" O.D. x 10" cylinders were as large as available space in the water bath would permit and still have room for the diaphragm cell.

It was planned that pressure measurements would be made with gas in both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  because this procedure eliminates several steps

procedure. The jackets would also make it possible to check the usual methods of correcting for volume change due to elastic distortion.

The design for the inner part of the hombs (the gas sylinders) is within about 2 percent of ASME code requirements, even though the ASME code is intended for vessels with internal diameter granter than aix inches and working pressure less than 3,000 pai. Internal diameter of a gas cylinder is 1 inch; working pressures is 12,000 pail (see fig. 1). The minimum wall thickness of the jacker is man that the working streve preceds the maximum allowed by the ASME code by 51 percent. The ASME code design is based on circumfurent al etress and ultimate tensile strength. For thick wall cylinders made of dustlie materials, the oritical stress is the shear stress and tensile strength. The shear stress in the gas cylinder is More to that required to start plastic deformation; the jacket is waterial at 50 percent of the shear stress required to start plastic defor-

#### GENERAL DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Size considerations were that 4" 0.0. x 10" cylinders were as large as available space in the water bath would permit and still have room for the disphrage cell.

It was planned that pressure neasurements would be made with gas in both V, and V, because this procedure eliminates several steps



Dimensions at Point	Inside Radius	Outside Radius
A	1.4375"	2.000"
B	1.2656"	2.000"
C	0.625"	1.250"
D	0.5625"	1.250"
E	0.500"	1.250"

<u>,</u>

FIGURE 1. - Cross Section of one of the Jacketed Bombs



required when measurements are made with gas in V<sub>1</sub> only. It also reduces the number of jacket pressure manipulations and gives the maximum ratio of jacketed volume to unjacketed volume.

The volume ratio was chosen as about 2 because the entire pressure range of the piston gage (30 to 12,000 psi) could be covered in 8 hours, and the distribution of experimental points for multiple runs is better than that for multiple runs with a lower volume ratio. Also, there may be some statistical advantages for having a high volume ratio. For low-pressure runs only, a lower volume ratio would be better, however.

The gas-side wall thickness was computed with the Lamé equation for hoop stress in a cylinder (the ASME thick wall equation is derived from the Lamé equation). Working pressure was takpn as 12,000 psi, working stress of 17,700 psi, and inner radius of 0.5 inch. This gives an outer radius of 1.1413 inch or wall thickness of 0.641 inch. The wall thickness was arbitrarily increased to 0.75 inch and the dimensions of the weld were made so that the wall thickness at the weld, including weld penetration, would exceed 0.641 inch. Weld penetration, would only have to be about 1/64 inch to meet the stated requirement, but Machine Shop personnal estimate that the weld penetrates at least 1/16 inch and maybe more. However, no allowance was made to the wall thickness to compensate for the small increase (1/16 inch) in inner radius at the plane of the weld vertex. This can be justified by (1) the wall thickness at the plane of the weld vertex has adequate strength, even if there had not been any weld penetration; (2) the gas

required when weasurements are aske with gas in  $V_1$  only. It also reduces the number of jacket pressure manipularions and gives the maximum ratio of jacketed volume to unjacketed volume.

The volume ratio was chosen as about 2 because the encise pressure range of the piston gaps (34 to 12,000 psi) could be covered in 8 hours, and the discribenion of experimental polors for multiple runs is better than that for multiple runs with a lower volume facto. Also, there may be some statistical advantance for horing a high volume ratio. For low-presence runs only, a lower volume catio would be better, however.

The gas-side wall thickness was concated with the lara equation for hoop atress in a cylinder (the ABME thick well equation is derived irom the lamb equation). Monking pressure was taken as 12,000 refs working stress of 17,700 psi, and inter radius of 0.5 inch. This gives an outer radius of 1.1413 inch or wal) thickness of 0.641 inch. The wall thickness was arbitrarily increased to 0.75 inch and the dimensions of the weld ware used so that the wall thickness at the weld, including weld senetration, would exceed 0.641 inch. Weld pene iration, would only have to be about 1/64 inch to make the stared vaquirement, but Machine Shop personnel estimate that the weld penetrates at least 1/16 inch and maybe more. However, no allowance was made to in almor radius at the plane of the weld vertex. This can be also by (1) the wall thickness the plane of the veld vertex. This can be used for by (2) the wall thickness at the plane of the veld vertex. This can be adopted by (2) the wall thickness at the plane of the veld vertex. This can be used for the plane of the rate of the veld vertex. This can be presented to by (2) the wall thickness at the plane of the veld person (2) the part side wall and weld can be prestressed by jacket pressure so that stresses in the weld will not exceed 12,000 psi; (3) the gas cylinder can only deform 2 percent before it comes in contact with the jacket wall; and (4) joint efficiency is unity, according to the ASME code.

With respect to the jacket, it was desired to have the outer radius 2.00 inches, 1/64 inch clearance between the jacket wall and gas cylinder wall, working pressure of about 10,000 psi, hoop stress at the minimum wall thickness below the yield stress, and burst pressure greater than 12,000 psi. These requirements are based, obviously, on the problem at hand rather than the ASME code. The reason is that the jacket contains oil, so a hazardous situation would not be created even if the jacket failed. If the gas cylinder should fail, it is safer to have jackets. Three things indicate that the jacket is adequate for its intended purpose: it has been tested at 15,000 psi, which is 1.65 times its working pressure and 1.25 times the working pressure of the gas cylinder; its burst pressure is over twice the working pressure of the gas cylinder; and the shear stress at the working pressure is 50 percent of that required to start plastic deformation at the inner wall of the jacket neck (the gas cylinder is operated at 40 percent of the shear stress required to start plastic deformation at its minimum wall thickness).

The bombs were constructed of free-machining 303 stainless steel to minimize galling between the gas cylinder and the inlet gas fitting.

side wall and weld can be prestreased by jacket pressure an that stresses in the weld will not exceed 12,000 pst; (3) the gas cylinder can only deform 2 percent before it cross in concact with the jacket wall; and (A) joint stilltancy is unity, according to the ASME code.

The boobs were constructed of free-machining 303 stain)ess accounts an information of the gas critodor and the inlet gas firting.

#### PRINCIPAL STRESSES DUE TO PRESSURE

The five dimensions of interest are shown in figure 1, a crosssection drawing of the bomb. Dimensions at A are for the jacket neck, B for the jacket wall, C for the gas cylinder weld vertex (no penetration), D for the gas cylinder weld vertex (1/16" penetration), and E for the gas cylinder wall. All of the principal stresses, the shear stress, pressure to start plastic deformation, and burst pressures have been computed for these five dimensions. Principal stresses were computed with the Lamé equations. All equations are from <u>Strength of</u> <u>Materials</u>, Part I and II, by Timoshenko, D. van. Nostrand Co., Inc., third edition, 1956.

Nomenclature is:

 $\sigma_{\rm T} = \text{tangential, hoop, or circumferential stress}$   $\sigma_{\rm radial} = \text{radial stress}$   $\sigma_{\rm A} = \text{axial stres}$   $\theta = \text{shear stress at a}$  a = inner radius b.= outer radius r = some point between a and b $P_{\rm g} = \text{gas pressure}$ 

 $P_i = jacket pressure$ 

All stresses and pressures are in psi, all dimensions are in inches.

$$\sigma_{\rm T} \, {\rm at} \, {\rm r} = \frac{a^2 \, {\rm P} \, - \, b^2 {\rm P}_{\rm j}}{b^2 - a^2} + \frac{g \, j}{(b^2 - a^2){\rm r}^2}, \, {\rm Part} \, {\rm II} \, {\rm page} \, 208 \qquad (1)$$

#### PRINCIPAL STRESSES DUE TO PRESSURE

The five dimensions of interest are somn in tigure 1, a crosssection drawing of the bond. Dimensions at A are for the jacket mach, a for the jacket wall, C for the gas cylinder wald vertex (no remaretion), D for the gas cylinder weld vertex (1/16" rematration), and f is the gas cylinder wall. All of the principal streases, the sheat streas, pressure to start plastic deformation, and burst pressures have puted with the lamk equations. All equations are from <u>Streasibles</u> Materials, Fart I and 11, by Timeshanko, 0, van. Nostrand Co., inc.,

Momenciature is

 $\sigma_{\rm T}$  - tangential, houp, or circumferential stress  $\sigma_{\rm radial}$  - radial stress  $\sigma_{\rm A}$  = axial stress at a 0 = shear stress at a a = inner radius

c = some point between a and b

aunsaid ses - 3

P. - jacket pressure

All arreases and pressures are in pat, all d marsions are in inches

 $\frac{e^2}{2}e - b^2 p + \frac{(e - e_j)}{(b^2 - e_j)r^2} + \frac{(e - e_j)e^2 b^2}{(b^2 - e_j)r^2}, \text{ rate it page 208 (1)}$ 

$$\sigma_{\text{radial}} \text{ at } r = \frac{a^2 P_g - b^2 P_j}{b^2 - a^2} - \frac{(P_g - P_j)a^2 b^2}{(b^2 - a^2)_r^2}; \text{ Part II page 208}$$
(2)

 $\sigma_{A} = \frac{a^{2}P_{g} - b^{2}P_{j}}{b^{2} - a^{2}}; \text{ derived from principles stated in Part I, page 45 (3)}$ 

$$\theta = \frac{\sigma_{\rm T} - \sigma_{\rm radial}}{2} = \frac{b^2 P_{\rm g}}{b^2 - a^2}; \text{ Part II page 386}$$
(4)

Burst Pressure = -2 (yield stress)  $\ln \frac{a}{b}$ ; Part II page 388 (5) For convenience, often-used functions of the 5 principal dimensions are given in table 1.

		TABLE 1.	- Functi	ons of the	dimensio	ons		
a	Ъ	a <sup>2</sup>	b <sup>2</sup>	$b^2 + a^2$	$b^2 - a^2$	$\frac{b^2 + a^2}{b^2 - a^2}$	<u>a</u> b	$\ln \frac{a}{b}$
0.5000	1.25	0.2500	1.5625	1.8125	1.3125	1.3810	.4000	-0.9163
.5625	1.25	.3164	1.5625	1.8789	1.2461	1.5078	.4500	7985
.6250	1.25	.3906	1.5625	1.9531	1.1719	1.6666	.5000	6932
1.2656	2.00	1.6017	4.0000	5.6017	2.3983	2.3357	.6328	4576
1.4375	2.00	2.0664	4.0000	6.0664	1.9336	3.1374	.7188	3303

Equations for tangential stress and shear stress are given in

table 2.

$$\sigma_{radtal} ar r = \frac{a^2 r}{b^2 + a^2} - \frac{(r - r)a^2 b^2}{(b^2 - a^2)_{r^2}}; Fart II page 208 (2)$$

 $\sigma_A = \frac{a^2 P}{b^2 - a^2}$ ; derived from principles stated in Part 1, page 65 (3)

$$\theta = \frac{\sigma_{\rm T} - \sigma_{\rm radial}}{2} = \frac{b^2 P_{\rm R}}{b^2 - a^2}; \text{ Fart 11 page 386}$$
(4)

Burst Pressure = -2(yield stiess) in  $\frac{h}{b}$ ; Part II page 388 For convenience, often-used functions of the 5 principal dimensions are given in table 1.

			1,5625		
		5.6017			
					1.4375

Fquations for tangential stress and shear stress are given i

table 2.

Dimensions of:	σ	T, ba	si	glassa	θ, j	psi	128
gas side wall	1.3810	P	2.3810	Pj	1.1905	(Pg	- P <sub>j</sub> )
gas side wall, 1/16" weld penetration	1.5078	Pg-	2.5078	P j	1.2539	(Pg	- P <sub>j</sub> )
gas side, no weld penetration	1.6666	P -	2.6666	Pj	1.3333	(Pg	- P <sub>j</sub> )
jacket wall	2.3357	P <sub>j</sub>			1.6666	Pj	
jacket neck	3.1374	Pj			2.0687	Pj	
Ruska 1/8" tube	1.5022	Pg			1.2511	Pg	
Ruska 3/16" tube	2.3876	Pg			1.6938	Pg	
Aminco 9/16" tube	1.8926	Pg			1.4463	Pg	

TABLE 2. - Equations for tangential stress and shear stress

This is not true because the burst pressure for scheeled strinkess steel, a dottlin material, depends on yield strength and not ultimate tensile strength. In this case, burst pressures based on ultimate tensile strength can be in error by -19,000 pai to +34,000 pai.

Table 6 contains various information of internet for the three types of tubing used in the isothermal formatt apparatue: Rusha 1/8" and 3/16" and American Instrument En. 9/16" cube. The Freesaure Products tubing is included because they give average experimental burst pressures. Durier pressures computed with Timeshawks's equation are within 6 mercant (average) of the observed values. Moret pressures based on ultimate tenalle strength would be in error by -11 purcent to 87 percent.

		Dimensions of: 57 Pal
		gas side wall 1.3810 P - 2.3810 P
		gas side, no weld penetration 1.6666 $F_{\rm g}$ - 2.6666 $F_{\rm g}$
		jacket neck 3.1374 P
		Ruska 3/16" tube 2.3876 P

The principal stresses;  $\sigma_{\rm T}$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm radial}$ , and  $\sigma_{\rm A}$ ; and the shear stresses are listed in tables 3 and 4. These values are given at the working pressures and the hydrostatic test pressures. Inspection of the shear stress column shows that neither the gas cylinder or the jacket has been subjected to enough pressure to cause plastic deformation, which begins when the shear stress equals the yield stress (38,000 psi for 303 stainless steel).

Pressure to start plastic deformation and burst pressures are given in table 5. Burst pressures based on  $\sigma_{\rm T}$  equal to ultimate tensile strength have been computed to show that conclusions based on this criterion can be completely misleading. One might conclude that the burst pressure at -10° F is about twice as high as it is at 150° F. This is not true because the burst pressure for annealed stainless steel, a ductile material, depends on yield strength and not ultimate tensile strength. In this case, burst pressures based on ultimate tensile strength can be in error by -19,000 psi to +34,000 psi.

Table 6 contains various information of interest for the three types of tubing used in the isothermal Burnett apparatus: Ruska 1/8" and 3/16" and American Instrument Co. 9/16" tube. The Pressure Products tubing is included because they give average experimental burst pressures. Burst pressures computed with Timoshenko's equation are within 6 percent (average) of the observed values. Burst pressures based on ultimate tensile strength would be in error by -17 percent to 87 percent.

The principal atreases of or oradial and the anear streases are listed in tables 3 and 4. These values are given at the working pressures and the hydrostatic test pressures. Inspection of the shear atreas column shows that pairier the gas cylinder or the jacket has been subjected to enough pressure to cause plastic deformation, which begins when the shear stress equals the yield stress (38,000 pst for

Prossure to start pleafic deformation and burst pressures are given in table 5. Surst pressures based on o<sub>T</sub> equal to ultimate tenarie atrength have been computed to show that conclusions beend on this criterion can be completely mislesding. One might conclude that the burst pressure at -10° F is about twice as high as it is at 150° F This is not true because the burst pressure for annealed stainless steel, a ductile material, depends on yield strength and not vitimate tensile strength. In this case, burst pressures based on vitimate tensile strength can be in error by -19,000 psi to +34,000 psi.

Table 6 contains various information of interact apparatus: Rusia 1/8" cypes of tubing used in the isothernal hornett apparatus: Rusia 1/8" and 3/16" and American Instrument (o. 9/10" tube. The Pressure Feedoces tubing is included because they give average experimental purat pressures. Burst pressures computed with Tempshenko's equation are within 6 percent (average) of the observed values. Burst pressures beacd on ultimate tensile strength would be in error by -17 percent to 37 perc

IL

TABLE 3 <u>S</u>	Stresses at the	working press	ires-'
------------------	-----------------	---------------	--------

a, in.	b, in.	Pgas	Pjacket	$\sigma_{\rm T}^{}$ at a	σ <sub>A</sub>	$\sigma_{radial at a}$	θata
.50002/	1.250	12,000	0	16,570	2,290	-12,000	14,290
.5000	1.250	12,000	9,100	- 5,024	- 8,540	-12,000	3,450
.5000	1.250	0	9,100	-21,670	-10,830	0	-10,830
.5625 <u>3</u> /	1.250	12,000	0	18,090	3,050	-12,000	15,050
.5625	1.250	12,000	9,100	- 4,730	- 8,360	-12,000	3,640
.5625	1.250	0	9,100	-22,820	-11,410	0	-11,410
.6250 4/	1.250	12,000	0	20,000	4,000	-12,000	16,000
.6250	1.250	12,000	9,100	- 4,190	- 8,130	-12,000	3,870
.6250	1.250	0,000	9,100	-24,270	-12,130	0 .	-12,130
1.2656 <sup>5/</sup>	2.000		9,100	21,260	6,080	- 9,100	15,180
1.4375 <u>6</u> /	2.000		9,100	28,550	9,725	- 9,100	18,830

<u>1</u>/ All pressures and stresses are in psi. Positive stresses indicate tension; negative stresses indicate compression.

2/ These dimensions are for the gas cylinder wall.

 $\underline{3}$  / These dimensions are for the case of 1/16" weld penetration.

4/ These dimensions are for the gas side weld vertex (no weld penetration).

5/ These dimensions are for the jacket wall.

6/ These dimensions are for the jacket neck.

1/

			Pgas	in.	a, in.
		. 0	12,000		.50002/
			12,000	1.250	.56253/
					.5625
		õor, e			
			12,000		
			0		.6250
					1.26565/
					1.43756/

TABLE 3. - Stresson at the working pressures

1/ All pressures and atresses are in psi. Positive atreases inon tension; negative atresses indicate compression.

2/ These dimensions are for the gas cylinder wall .

3/ These dimensions are for the case of 1/10" weld penatration.

A/ These dimensions are for the sas side weld vertex (no weld penetration).

5/ These dimensions are for the jacket wall

6/ These dimensions are for the jacket neck.

	THE PE ST			1			
a, in.	b, in.	Pgas	Pjacket	σ <sub>I</sub> at a	σ <sub>A</sub>	$\sigma$ radial at a	θata
.5000	1.250	17,000	0	23,480	3,240	17,000	20,240
.5000	1.250	0	15,000	-35,715	-17,860	0	-17,860
.5000	1.250	15,000	15,000	-15,000	-15,000	-15,000	0
.5625	1.250	17,000	0	25,630	4,320	-17,000	-21,320
.5625	1.250	0	15,000	-37,620	-18,810	0	-18,810
.5625	1.250	15,000	15,000	-15,000	-15,000	-15,000	0
.6250	1.250	17,000	0	28,330	5,670	-17,000	22,670
.6250	1.250	0	15,000	-40,000	-20,000	0	-20,000
.6250	1.250	15,000	15,000	-15,000	-15,000	-15,000	0
1.2656	2.000	15,000	15,000	35,040	10,020	-15,000	25,000
1.4375	2.000		15,000	47,060	16,030	-15,000	31,030

TABLE 4. - Stresses during hydrostatic tests  $\frac{1}{}$ 

<u>1</u>/ All pressures and stresses are in psi. Positive stresses indicate tension; negative stresses indicate compression. Hydrostatic pressures were produced with oil.

Tensile strength is taken as 143,000 paint at -10" Y, 90,000 paint to 80" Y and 70,000 per at 150" F. The values at -10" and 80" are taken from NBS samograph 13 page 98. for 303 annealed stainless steel. The value at 150" is taken as 4 times the allowable stress (17,700 pai) for 302 stateless steel as given in the ASME code: Atl of the modern failure theories are based on yield strength, however.

Vield arrang 14 38,000 ont, NES Monograph 13, page 96.

Eurst pressure = -2 (yield strongth) in a/b. See cable h for comparison to observed values for suce tublay.

			, d 283	. 121 . d	
			000, 75	1.230	
		15,000			

TANKS W. - Strasegs during bydynsing by an anti-

1/ All pressures and stresses are in pair Positive stresses indicate tension; regative atresses indicate compression. Hydrostatic pressures were produced with oil.

EI.

Tabe we		Inst.	Pressure	required to	produce	the assumed	stress, psi
		1/8"	$\sigma_{\rm T}^{\rm i}$ = tens	ile strength	at $a^{1/}$	$\theta = yield^{2/2}$	$\frac{3}{\text{Pressure}}$
		,02.a	1.000	TEMPERATURE	DEGREES	S.F.	
a	b	P jacket psi	-10	80	150	-10 to 150	-10 to 150
.5000	1.250	0	103,500	69,500	50,700	<b>31</b> ,900	69 <b>,6</b> 00
.5000	1.250	9,070	119 <b>,2</b> 00	85,100	66,300	41,000	19,000
.5000	1.250	15,000	129,400	95,400	76,500	46,900	
.5625	1.250	0	94,800	63,700	46,400	30,300	60,700
.5625	1.250	9,070	109,900	78,700	61,500	39,400	
.5625	1.250	15,000	119,800	88,600	71,300	45,300	21,550
.6250	1.250	0	85,800	57,600	42,000	28,500	<b>52</b> ,700
.6250	1.250	9,070	100,300	72,100	56,500	37,600	
.6250	1.250	15,000	110,000	81,600	66,000	43,500	35,000
1.2656	2.000		61,200	41,100	30,000	22,800	34,800
1.4375	2.000	.6480	45,600	30,600	22,300	18,400	25,100
.5781	2.000	ner oueres in	the rune				94,300
.5156	2.000	E cons, allow	able works		14,750	PAL 0 100" 7	103,000

TABLE 5. - Pressures required to start plastic deformationand burst pressures

1/ Tensile strength is taken as 143,000 psi at -10° F; 96,000 psi at 80° F; and 70,000 psi at 150° F. The values at -10° and 80° are taken from NBS Monograph 13, page 98, for 303 annealed stainless steel. The value at 150° is taken as 4 times the allowable stress (17,700 psi) for 302 stainless steel as given in the ASME code. All of the modern failure theories are based on yield strength, however.

2/ Yield stress is 38,000 psi, NBS Monograph 13, page 98.

3/ Burst pressure = -2 (yield strength) ln a/b. See table 6 for comparison to observed values for some tubing.

,						
			Preessure re	,		
	150				- d	
			119,200			
				15,000		
	42,000	57,600				
						.6250
						1:4375
					2.000	5781

the allowable stress (17,700 pai) for 302 stainless steel as given in the ASME code. All of the modern failure theories are based on yield strength, however.

Burst pressure = -2 (yield strength) in a/b. See table 5 for comparison to \*

IADLE 0 IIIOIIR		Valious cyp	CO OI BLAIM		- Cub - Mg	
Tube vendor	Rusk Inst. Co	ka Ameri Drp. n	ican Instru- ment Co.	Press	ure Prod Inc.	ucts
Nominal tube size	1/8"	3/16"	9/16"	1/4"	3/811	1/2"
a, inches	.028	.060	.1562	.0600	.1225	.1550
b, inches	.0625	.09375	.2812	.125	.1875	.25
σ <sub>T</sub> /P	1.5022	2.3876	1.8926	1.5988	2.4894	2.2489
pressure rating, $psi^{1/2}$	15,000	15,000	20,000	12,300	7,500	8,450
max. $\sigma_{\rm T}$ , psi <sup>2</sup> /	<b>22,53</b> 0	35,800	37,850	19,660	18,670	19,000
stainless steel no.	316	316	304 (1/4)	304	304	304
yield strength, psi	38,000	38,000	75,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
pressure to start plastic deformation	<b>3</b> 0,380	22,430	51,860	26,930	<b>2</b> 0,060	21,550
calculated burst pressure	61,000	<b>33,</b> 900	88,180	51,380	29,800	33,460
observed burst <sup>3/</sup> pressure	the Tak Cety	tectors be	Land to press	5 <b>3,</b> 000	<b>33,</b> 000	35,000
ln a/b	80296	44629	58789	73397	42572	47804
a/b	.4480	.6400	.5555	.4800	.6533	.6200

TABLE 6. - Information for various types of stainless steel tubing

1/ Pressure ratings quoted by the tube vendor.

- <u>2</u>/ Under the ASME code, allowable working stress is 18,750 psi @ 100° F. The first three entries exceed this by 20%, 91%, and 102%, respectively.
- 3/ The observed burst pressures are given in the Pressure Products Incorporated, sales catalog. The calculated values are within an average of 6% of the observed values.

odes (English, German, etc.) hase designs for ductile materia

	Rusi Inst. C			
Nominal tube size	1/8"			
a, inches				
b, inches				
GT/L				
pressure rating, pail/				
		33,800		
stainless steel no.	316			
yield strength, psi				
pressure to start plastic deformation				
calculated burst pressure				
observed burst 3/ pressure				
ln a/b				

Table 7 gives a comparison of the actual dimensions, working stresses, working pressures, and hydrostatic tests to what would be required by the ASME code. For the gas cylinder,  $\sigma_T$  in the wall is 6 percent lower than the maximum allowed by the code;  $\sigma_T$  in the weld is 2 percent higher. In the jacket,  $\sigma_T$  in the wall exceeds that allowed under the code by 20 percent;  $\sigma_T$  in the jacket neck exceeds it by 61 percent. Working stress in the 1/8" and 3/16" tubing exceeds 17,700 psi by 2 percent and 62 percent, respectively. The 5/16" tube is 1/4 hard and has a yield strength of about 75,000 psi (about twice as high as that for the annealed tubing). No specific provisions are made for working stress for hardened stainless steel in the ASME code.

Actual hydrostatic test pressure for the gas cylinder is 2,100 psi less than that required by the ASME code; that for the jacket exceeds code requirements by 550 psi.

Inspection of the safety factors based on pressure to start plastic deformation and burst pressure indicates that operating the jacket at 9,070 psi is as safe as using the Ruska 3/16" tube at 12,000 psi. Safety factors, defined as ratio of ultimate tensile strength to working stress, fall in between those for plastic deformation and burst pressure; therefore, they do not serve as a guide to anything useful as far as ductile materials are concerned.

According to <u>Chemical Engineering Handbook</u> by J. H. Perry, all other codes (English, German, etc.) base designs for ductile materials

Table 7 gives a comparison of the actual dimensions, working atreases, working pressures, and hydrostatic rests to what would be required by the ASME code. For the gas cylinder,  $u_T$  in the wall is 6 percent lower than the maximum allowed by the code;  $v_T$  in the weld is 2 percent higher. In the jacket,  $v_T$  in the wall exceeds that allowed under the code by 20 percent;  $v_T$  in the isolat neck exceeds it by 61 percent. Working stress in the 1/8" and 3/16" tubing exceeds if by 61 percent has a yield strength of about 75,000 pei (about twice as high as that for the amesied tubing). No specific provisions are made for working stress for nariened statules areal in the ASNE code.

Actual hydrostatic test pressure for the gas cylinder is 2,100 pet less than that required by the ASME code; that for the jacket esceeds code requirements by 550 pst.

Luspection of the safety factors based on pressure to start plantic deformation and burst pressure indicates that operating the jacket at 9,070 pei is as safe as using the Ruska 3/16" rube at 12,000 pei Safety factors, defined as ratio of ultimate tensile strength to working stress, fall in between those for plantic deformation and burst pressure; therefore, they do not serve as a guide to anything useful as far as ductile materials are concerned.

According to <u>Chemical Engineering Handbook</u> by J. H. Ferry, all other codes (English, German, etc.) hase dealgra for ductile materials

to be much more	Gas Cylin	der	Ja	cket	ng praes	Tubing	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	wall	weld	wall_	neck	1/8"	3/16"	5./16"
inner radius, in.	0.500	0.5625	1.2656	1.4375	.028	.060	.1562
actual outer radius, in.	1.250	1.250	2.000	2.000	.0625	.09375	.2812
ASME outer radius	1.1413	1.284	2.229	2.532		000 SM	
actual $\sigma_{\rm T}^{}$ , psi	16,570	18,090	21,260	28,550	18,030	28,650	22,710
ASME $\sigma_{T}^{1/2}$	17,700	17,700	17,700	17,700	17,700	17,700	17,700
working pressure	12,000	12,000	9,070	9,070	12,000	12,000	12,000
ASME W.P. $\frac{2}{}$	1 <b>2,8</b> 00	11,740	7,580	5,6 <b>4</b> 0	11,780	7,410	9,350
hydrostatic test	17,000	17,000	15,000	15,000	17,000	17,000	17,000
ASME hydro. $test^{3/2}$	19,100	19,100	14,450	14,450	19,100	19,100	19,100
P to start plastic deformation	31,900	<b>30,3</b> 00	<b>22,8</b> 00	18,400	30,380	22,430	51,860
burst pressure	69,600 <u>4</u> /	60,7004/	<b>34,8</b> 00	25,100	61,000	33,900	88,180
P to start plastic deform. W.P.	2.66	2.52	2.51	2.03	2.53	1.87	4.32
Burst P W.P.	5.804/	5.064/	3.84	2.77	5.08	2.82	7.35
70.800 <sup>σ</sup> T	4.27	3.91	3.33	2.48	3.93	2.47	3.12

TABLE 7. - Comparison of actual design to ASME requirements

1/ For maximum temperature of 150° F.

<u>2</u>/ For actual a and b and allowable stress = 17,700 psi @  $150^{\circ}$  F.

- <u>3</u>/ ASME hydrostatic test pressure =  $(W.P.)(1.5)(\frac{18,750}{17,700}) = (1.589)(W.P.)$  for maximum design temp of 150° F and hydrostatic tests below 100° F.
- 4/ Burst pressure computed from dimensions with no allowance for the pressure jacket. Actual burst pressure would be higher.

	Liew	b199 /			
ASME outer radius	CIAL.I				
		27,750			
	12,000				
		11,740			
P to start plastic deformation					
Burst F.					

TABLE 7. - Comparison of actual design to ASME requirements

1/ For maximum temperature of 150 T.

2/ For actual a and b and allowable strugs = 17,700 par " in F.

3/ ASME hydroscatte test pressure =  $(M, P.)(1.5)(\frac{M}{17},700)$  = (1.589)(W.P.) for

A/ Everat pressure computed from diversions with no allowance for the pressure lacket. Actual burst pressure would be higher.

on yield strength and not ultimate tensile strength. This would seem to be much more logical, especially at very high working pressures. The ASME code (1959) states in Par. UW-9 that with respect to design of welded joints: (a) the types of welded joints for arc and gas welding are listed in table UW-12; (b) Welding Grooves - the dimensions and shape of the edges to be joined shall be such as to permit complete fusion and complete joint penetration. In Par. UW-12 Joint Efficiencies: (a) The joint efficiencies depend on the type of joint and on the degree of examination. In Par. UG-27 (b): E = joint efficiency for or the efficiency of appropriate joints in cylinderical shells and any joint in spherical shells, ...Where there is no joint normal to the direction of stress under consideration (e.g. no longitudinal joint when circumferential stress formulas are used), E = 1.

The ASME code recommends the following dimensions for single V butt joints or groove welds: maximum thickness to be jointed: 3/4inch; thickness between bottom of V and bottom of plate:  $1/16 \pm 1/32$ inch; angle between vertex and side of V:  $30^{\circ}$  to  $37^{\circ}$ . In general, welds that have been machined flush are stronger than those that have not because possible stress risers are eliminated. Welds made with stainless steel base metals should be stress-relieved or normalized.

The welds in the bombs for the Burnett apparatus were made with an electric arc; staintrode D welding eutectic was used for the filler (95,000 psi tensile strength). Three or four passes were required to deposit the filler. The welds were stress-relieved after they had been machined flush to the surface. The dimensions of the groove differ from the

on yield atrength and not ultimate causile atrength. This would seem to be much nore logical, especially at very high working pressures.

The Moha Code (1999) strikes in this of welded joints for arc and design of welding are listed in table UM-12; (b) Welding Grooves - the dimensions and shape of the edges to be joined shall be such as to permit complete fusion and complete joint penetration. In Par. UM-12 Joint Efficiencies: (a) The joint sificiencies depend on the type of joint and on the degree of examination. In Far. UG-27 (b): 8 = joint afficiency for or the efficiency of appropriate joints in cylindorical abelia and any joint in apherical shells, ...Where there is no joint normal to the direction of stress under consideration (e.g. no longitudinal joint when circumferential arress formulas are used), E = 1.

The ASSE code recommends the rollowing dimensions for single V butt joints or groove welds: maximum thickness to be jointed: 3/4 inch; thickness between bottom of V and bottom of plate: 1/16 \$ 1/32 inch; angle between vertax and side of V: 30° to 37°. In general, welds that have been machined flush are stronger than those that have not because possible stress risers are eliminated. Welds made with stainless steel base metals should be stress-relieved or normalized.

The welds in the bombs for the Burnett apparatus were made with an electric arc; staintrode D welding sutectic was used for the filler (95,000 psi tensile strength). Three or four passes were required to deposit the filler. The welds were stress-relieved after they had been machined flush to the surface. The dimensions of the groove differ from the

BI

dimensions recommended in that the thickness from the bottom of the groove to the bottom of the plate is 1/8" instead of 1/16"; the angle between the vertex and the side of the V is 22° instead of 30°.

#### THERMAL STRESSES

Any residual stresses in the cylinder produced during welding should have been dissipated by the stress-relieving step. The only other cause of high stress is that due to temperature differences across the gas cylinder wall. These could be produced when the cylinder is initially charged and after gas is expanded from  $V_1$  into  $V_2$ . Timoshenko gives equations for computing thermal stress when there is a constant temperature difference in the cylinder wall. These equations are in Part II, page 232.

$$(\sigma_{\rm T})_{\rm r} = a^{\rm E\alpha} \left( \frac{{\rm T}_{\rm i} - {\rm T}_{\rm o}}{2(1 - \mu) \ln b/a} \left[ 1 - \frac{2b^2 \ln b/a}{b^2 - a^2} \right]$$
(6)

$$(\sigma_{\rm T})_{\rm r} = b = \frac{E\alpha (T_{\rm i} - T_{\rm o})}{2(1 - \mu) \ln b/a} \left[ 1 - \frac{2a^2 \ln b/a}{b^2 - a^2} \right]$$
(7)

where for stainless steel:  $E = 29 \times 10^6$  psi

 $\alpha = 9.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ in/in/}{}^{\circ}\text{F @ room temp}$  $T_{i} = \text{temperature at a, }{}^{\circ}\text{F}$  $T_{o} = \text{temperature at b, }{}^{\circ}\text{F}$  $\mu = .305$ 

The thermal stress is highest at the inner wall (a) when  $(T_i - T_o)$  is negative, as when the bath is at 150° F and gas is

dimensions recommended in that the thickness from the bottom of the groove to the bottom of the plate is 1/8" instead of 1/16"; the angla between the vertex and the dide of the V is 22° instead of 30°.

#### THERMAL STRESSES

Any residual stresses in the cylinder produced during welding should have been dissipated by the atress-relieving step. The only other cause of high stress is that due to temperature differences across the gas cylinder wall. These could be produced when the cylinder is initially charged and after gas is expanded from  $V_1$  into  $V_2$ . Timoshenko gives equations for computing thermal stress when there is a constant temperature difference in the cylinder wall. These gustions are in Part II, page 232.

$$\sigma_{\rm T} = a = \frac{E_{\rm T} \left( {\rm T}_{\rm L} - {\rm T}_{\rm O} \right)}{2(1 - \mu) \ln b/a} \left[ 1 - \frac{2b^2 \ln b/a}{b^2 + a^2} \right]$$
(6)

$$(\sigma_T)_{T} = b = \frac{E_2 (T_1 - T_0)}{2(1 - \mu) \ln b/a} \left[ 1 - \frac{2a^2}{b^2 - a^2} \right]$$
(7)

where for stainless steel:  $E = 29 \times 10^{\circ}$  psi  $\alpha = 9.2 \times 10^{-6}$  in/in/°F @ room temp  $T_{i} = temperature at a, °F$  $T_{0} = temperature at b, °F$ 

The thermal stress is highest at the inner wall (a) when  $(T_1 - T_0)$  is negative, as when the bath is at 150° F and gas is

admitted to the bombs at 70° F. The actual situation is that the inlet gas will usually be above room temperature and will be warmed even more before it enters  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Also, the inner walls of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  will be at 150° F initially; therefore, it would be impossible to have an 80° F temperature difference from a to b. Taking  $(T_1 - T_0)$  as -80° F should give the maximum possible thermal stress. This turns out to be  $(\sigma_T)_T = a = 19,800$  psi due to thermal stress. If this is superimposed on  $\sigma_T$  due to pressure at 12,000 psi, the shear stress would be 24,200 psi, or 64 percent of that required to start plastic deformation.

The actual thermal stress should be a small fraction of 19,800 psi. If  $(T_i - T_o)$  is positive, the thermal stress at a will be negative - indicating compression. This would tend to cancel the hoop stress caused by internal pressure.

#### CAUSES OF FAILURE

The isothermal Burnett apparatus was designed for use with inert gases and light hydrocarbons, but not oxygen or hydrogen. The outside of the bombs is in contact with a water - antifreeze solution and the jackets contain oil. None of these things is corrosive to stainless steel. The gas cylinder is subjected to alternating stresses (tension and compression) but the fatigue strength is 34,000 psi and the working stress plus reasonable thermal stress does not exceed the fatigue strength. The maximum operating temperature is 150° F. Stress calculations indicate that working pressures are far below that required to

admitted to the bombe at 70° F. The actual situation is that the inlet gas will usually be some coom temperature and will be warmed even more before it enters  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$ . Also, the inner walls of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  will be at 150° F initially; therefore, it would be impossible to have an 80° F temperature difference from a to b. Taking  $(T_1 - T_0)$  as -80° F should give the maximum possible thermal stress. This turns out to be  $(\sigma_T)_{T=0} = 19,800$  pai due to thermal stress. If this is augerimposed on  $\sigma_T$  due to pressure at 12,000 pai, the shear stress deformation.

The actual thermal stress should be a small fraction of 19,800 psi. If  $(T_1 - T_0)$  is positive, the thermal stress at a will be negative - indicating compression. This would tend to cancel the hoop stress caused by internal pressure.

#### CAUSES OF FAILURE.

The isothermal Burnett apparatus was designed for use with inert gases and light hydrocarbons, but not oxygen or hydrogen. The outside of the bombs is in contact with a water - antificeeze solution and the jackets contain oil. None of these things is corrosive to stainless steel. The gas cylinder is subjected to alternating stresses (tension and compression) but the fatigue strength is 34,000 psi and the working strength. The maximum operating tress does not exceed the fatigue strength. The maximum operating temperature is 150° F. Stress calculations indicate that working pressures are far below that required to

start plastic deformation. The cylinders are not subjected to severe shocks. The above considerations eliminate the following common causes of failure:

plastic deformation due to excess pressure

fatigue failure due to residual stress or cold work

fatigue failure due to alternating stresses above the fatigue strength

severe impact

stress corrosion

carbide precipitation

intergranular corrosion

hydrogen embrittlement

#### AUTOFRETTAGE

Subjecting a thick-wall cylinder to a pressure between the pressure to start plastic deformation and the burst pressure will cause plastic deformation beginning at the inner wall; but not through the wall to the outer radius. When the cylinder is depressured, the inner wall does not return to its original position. The outer part of the wall is still in an elastic state, however, and it tries to force the inner part of the wall back to its initial position; this creates a favorable compressive stress on the inner wall. The next time the cylinder is subjected to pressure, the pressure required to start plastic deformation will be higher. This is because of the compressive stress on the inner wall and increased yield strength of the material at the inner wall. Using this procedure on a stainless steel cylinder start plastic deformation. The cylinders are not subjucted to severe shocks. The above considerations eliminate the following common causes of failure:

plastic deformation due to excess pressure

- fatigue failure due to resident stress or cold work
- fatigue failure due to alternating stresses above the fatigue strength

severe impact

stress corrosion carbide precipitation intergranular corrosio

#### AUTOFRETTACE

Bubjacting a thick-wall cylinder to a pressure between the presaure to start plastic deformation and the burst pressure will cause plastic deformation beginning at the inner wall; but not through the wall to the outer radius. When the cylinder is depressured, the inner wall does not return to its original position. The outer part of the wall is still in an alastic state, however, and it tries to force the inner part of the wall back to its initial position; this creates a cylinder is subjected to pressure, the preseure required to start plastic deformation will be higher. This is because of the compressive at reas on the inner wall and increased yield strength of the material at the inner wall. Using this procedure on a stainless steel cylinder at the inner wall. Using this procedure on a stainless steel cylinder would possibly double the effective yield strength and burst pressure. The only serious consequence is that the pressure distortion coefficient could not be computed with certainty because of changed dimensions and mechanical properties. would possibly double the effective yield strongth and burst pressure. The only serious consequence is that the pressure distortion coefficient could not be corputed with certainty because of changed discusions and pechanical properties:

. .

#### Addendum to IR No. 67

"Design of the Jacketed Bombs for the Isothermal Burnett Apparatus"

By

#### John E. Miller

After the above report was distributed, it was discovered that an incorrect assumption was made in computing the pressure required to start plastic deformation at the inner wall of a cylinder. This pressure is usually called  $P_v$  in the recent literature.

This addendum contains the corrected values of  $P_y$ , pertaining to the jacketed bombs and the associated tubing. Also included is a comprehensive bibliography on the subject of behavior of cylinders subjected to pressure. Underlined numbers in parentheses in this addendum refer to items in the included bibliography.

The equation usually used to estimate  $P_v$ , is (9), (14), (24):

$$P_{y} = \frac{\sigma_{y.01\%}}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (\frac{b^{2} - a^{2}}{b^{2}})$$
(8)

where  $\sigma_{y.01\%}$  is the yield strength for 0.01% offset in a simple tensile test. For stainless steel, the yield strength is usually given for 0.2% offset, but one of the papers (9) in the bibliography gives experimental values for both 0.01% and 0.2% offset for several types of stainless steel. The average ratio of  $\sigma_{y0.2\%}$  to  $\sigma_{y0.01\%}$  for the austenitic stainless steels is 1.33; therefore, if  $\sigma_{y0.2\%}$  is 38,000 psi for 303 stainless steel, the value of  $\sigma_{y0.01\%}$  can be estimated as 28,500 psi. The same

#### Addendum co 11 No. 67

.

"pesign of the Jacketed Bomba for the laothermal

#### Jallin . S mici

After the above report was distributed, it was discovered that an incorrect assumption was made in computing the pressure required to scart plastic deformation at the incer well of a cylinder. This pressure is usually called P. in the recent licerature.

This addandum contains the corrected values of  $P_y$ , pertaining to the jackated bomba and the associated inbing. Also included is a comprebeneive bibliography on the subject of behavior of cylinders subjected to pressure. Underlined numbers in parentheses in this addendum roter to items in the included bibliography.

The squarion usually used to estimate F , is (9), (14), (26):

(0) 
$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (0)

where  $\sigma_{y}$ , but is the yield strength for 0.01% offert in a simple consile test. For staioless steel, the yield strength is usually given for 0.27 offset, but one of the papers (9) in the bibliography gives experimental values for both 0.01% and 0.2% offset for several types of stainless steel. The average tatio of  $\sigma_{y0}$ , 2% to  $\sigma_{y0}$ , 01% for the sustantia of less steel. The storage tatio of  $\sigma_{y0}$ , 2% is 30,000 set for 201 staioloss steel, the value of  $\sigma_{y0}$ , 2% is 30,000 set for 101 staioloss procedure may be used to estimate yield strength at 0.01% offset for 304 and 316 stainless steel; 26,300 psi and 28,500 psi respectively. The recalculated values of  $P_y$  are given in table 8. The recalculated values are lower by a factor of about 2.3.

The values of  $P_y$  in table 8 should be used in preference to the following parts of IR No. 67:

- 1. Entries in table 5 (page 14) under column heading: " $\Theta$  = yield stress at a"
- Table 6 (page 15); the line for "pressure to start plastic deformation"
- 3. Table 7 (page (17); the lines for "P to start plastic deformation" and "P to start plastic deform./W.P."

Also, statements concerning the shear stress-pressure to start plastic deformation relationship on pages 4, 7, 11, and 20 should be disregarded.

On page 22, the statement is made that autofrettage could possibly double the burst pressure of a stainless steel cylinder. According to (9), (10), and (11), autofrettage (or prestressing) doesn't have much influence on burst pressure, although it is possible to double the elastic breakdown pressure.

A method for computing strain in the plastic region is given in (24); this method is used in HRC IR No. 73 to compute a bore deformationbore pressure curve for the gas cylinder of the jacketed Burnett bomb. In this particular case, the cylinder can be subjected to a bore pressure procedure may be used to eacthwarm yield attempth at 0.012 effect for 30% and 316 statelets steel; 26,305 pal and 28,500 pal respectively. The recalculated values of 5 are given in table 8. The recalculated values are lower by a factor of about 3.3.

The values of Py in table 5 should be used in preference to the

- 1. Entries in table 5 (page 14) under column heading:
  - "G = yiald stress at a"
- 2. Table 5 (nage 15); the line for "pressure to start plastic deformation"
- 3. Table I (page (17); the lines for "F to start plantic defor-

Aise, statements concerning the shear stress-pressure to start plastic deformation relationship on pages 4, 7, 11, and 20 should be disregarded.

On page 22, the statement is made that sutofrattage could possibly double the borst pressure of a stainless staol cylinder. According to (2), (10), and (11), sucntrattage (or prestrating) doesn't have such influence on corst presence, although it is possible to double the slastic breakdown pressure.

A method for computing strain in the plassic region is given in (24); this method is used in HMC 12 55. /3 to compute a bare deformationbare pressure rvive for the gas cylinder of the jacketed Barneth bank. In this particular case, the cylinder can be subjected to a bore pressure that is twice as high as the initial elastic breakdown pressure without causing an appreciable uncertainty in the distortion correction coef-

Burst pressures computed with equation (5) on page 9 are in fairly good agreement with experimental burst pressures for stainless steels (9), (Pressure Products Sales Catalog also); but it may not give good results for other kinds of metals. Another burst pressure equation, which is supposed to give burst pressures to within 15% for a wide variety of materials, is given in (9).

John E. Miller

John E. Miller Research Chemist May 12, 1965

chat la tutce as high as the inicial clastic breakdown pressure without causing an appreciable uncertaics) in the distortion correction costc

4

Suret pressures computed with equation (5) on page 9 are in fairly good agreement with experimental burst pressures for stainless steels (2), (freesure Froducts Sales Catalog also); but it ray not give good results for other kinds of metals. Another burst pressure equation, which is supposed to give burst pressures to within 15% for a wide variety of taterials, is given in (2).

John E. Hiller Research Chemist May 12, 1905

TABLE 8. - <u>Recalculated values of pressure required to start plastic</u> deformation: P

					-
of wright Persbraues	Stainless Steel	Estimated	. Alexa, 9, 7	Ly paper 5	$\frac{p^{1}}{p}$
Description	Type No.	σy.01%, psi	a, in	b, in	,, por
Gas Cylinder	303	28,500	0.500	1.2500	13,820
Jacket	303	28,500	1. <b>2</b> 656	2.0000	9 <b>,8</b> 60
Ru <b>ska 3/16"</b> tube	<b>3</b> 16	28,500	0.0600	0.09375	9,715
Ruska 1/8" tube	316	28,500	<b>.</b> 0 <b>28</b> 0	.06 <b>2</b> 5	1 <b>3,</b> 150
Aminco 9/16" tube	304 <sup>2</sup> /	56 <b>,3</b> 90	.1562	.2812	<b>22,</b> 500
Pressure Prod. 1/4" tube	304	<b>26,3</b> 00	.0600	<b>.12</b> 50	11,690
Pressure Prod. 3/8" tube	304	<b>26,3</b> 00	.1 <b>22</b> 5	.1875	8,700
Pressure Prod. 1/2" tube	304	<b>26,3</b> 00	.1550	<b>.2</b> 500	9 <b>,3</b> 50

 $\underline{1}/P_{y}$  is computed from equation (8).

.

17

2/ The American Instrument Company 9/16" tube is 1/4 hard.

readure recolce		TABLE 8 H
	The state of the s	

Description			
Gas Critnder			
	`		
Pressure Frod. 1/4"			

1/ E is computed from squartow (8).

2/ The AvierIcan Instrument Company W/16" cube is. 1/4 hard.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Blair, J. S. "Stresses in Tubes Due to Internal Pressure". Engineering (London), v. 170 (1950) page 218.
- 2. Brown, W. F., and F. C. Thomson. "Strength and Failure Characteristics of Metal Membranes in Circular Bulging." Trans. ASME, v. 71, page 575 (1949).
- 3. Buxton, W. J., and W. R. Burrows. "Formula for Pipe Thickness". Trans. ASME, v. 73, 1951, page 575.
- 4. Cooper, W. E. "The Significance of the Tensile Test to Pressure Vessel Design." Welding J., v. 36, pp. 49s-56s (1957).
- 5. Constantino, C. J. "The Strength of Thin-Walled Cylinders Subjected to Dynamic Internal Pressures." Trans. ASME, March 1965, pp. 104-108.
- Constantino, C. J., M. A. Salmon, and N. A. Weil. "Effect of End Conditions on the Burst Strength of Finite Cylinders." J. Appl. Mech., March 1964, pp. 97-104.
- 7. Crossland, B., and J. A. Bones. "The Ultimate Strength of Thick-Walled Cylinders Subjected to Internal Pressure." Engineering (London), Jan. 21, 1955, page 80.
- 8. \_\_\_\_. Inst. Mech. Eng. (London), v. 172, pp. 777, (1958).
- 9. Faupel, J. H. "Yield and Bursting Characteristics of Heavy-Wall Cylinders." Trans. ASME, v. 78, 1956, pp. 1031-1064.
- 10. "Residual Stresses in Heavy-Wall Cylinders." J. Franklin Institute, v. 259, 1955, pp. 405-419.
- 11. \_\_\_\_\_. "Some Considerations of the Mechanics and Design Limitations of Autofrettage." J. Franklin Institute, June 1960, pp. 474-489,

#### All DOT OUT AND A

. . . . .

- Misir, J. S. "Stresses in Tubes Des to internal Pressure". Englasering (London), v. 170 (1956) rage 218.
- Brown, W. F., and F. G. Thumann. "Strength and Failure Characteristics of Heral Nembranes in Circular Sulping." Trans. ASME, v. 71, page 373 (1959).
- Buxnon, V. J., and V. S. Surceva. "Formula for Pipe Trickmass". Trans. ASME. v. 23, 1951, page 575.
- 4. Cooper, V. E. "The Significance of the Tenalle Neet to Pressure Vessel Design." Walding J., v: 35, pp. Ave-26s (1957).
- 5. Constantino, C. J. "The Strength of Thin-Walled Cylinders Subjected to Dynamic Internal Frassures." Trans. ASME, March 1965, pp. 104-105.
  - 6. Constanting, D. J., H. A. Sainon, and H. A. Weil. "Effort of Ent Conditions on the Strangth of Finite Cylinders." J. Appl. Hech., March 1964, pp. 91-104.
- 7. Greasland, D., and J. A. Homes. "The Ultimete Strength of Dilse-Mailed Gylinders Jubjected as Incernal Treasure." Inglomerian (Goston), Jan. 21 1935, page 80.

  - 9. Sampel, J. N. "Vield and Butsving Characteristes of Meavy-Sail Cylinders." Trans. ASHR., v. 78, 1955, pp. 1031-1064.
  - 10. \_\_\_\_ "Residual Stresses in Heavy-Sell Cylinders." J. Frastin
  - "Some Considerations of the Machanics and Design Mainterions of Autofratings." J. Franklin Institute, June (960, pp. 4/4-483.

- 12. \_\_\_\_\_. "Designing for Shrink Fits." Machine Design, v. 26, page 114, (1954).
- 13. Faupel, J. H., and D. B. Harris. "Stress Concentration in Heavy-Walled Cylindrical Pressure Vessels." IEC, v. 49, No. 12, Dec. 1957, page 1979.
- 14. Faupel, J. H., and A. R. Furbeck. "Influence of Residual Stress on Behavior of Thick-Wall Closed-End Cylinders." Trans. ASME, v. 75, pp. 345-354 (1953).
- 15. Jorgensen, S. M. "Overstrain and Bursting Strength of Thick-Walled Cylinders." Trans. ASME, April 1958, pp. 561-570.
- 16. Love. A. E. H. "Mathematical Theory of Elasticity." Dover 4th edition (1944).
- 17. MacGregor, C. W., L. F. Coffin, and J. C. Fisher. "Partially Plastic Thick-Walled Tubes." J. Franklin Inst., v. 245 (1948) pp. 135-158.
- 18. \_\_\_\_\_. "The Plastic Flow of Thick-Walled Tubes with Large Strains." J. Applied Physics, v. 19, March 1948, pp. 291-297.
- 19. Manning, W. R. D. "Strength of Cylinders." IEC, v. 49, No. 12, Dec. 1957, pp. 1969-1978.
- 20. Ranoc, T., and F. R. Park. "On The Maximum Numerical Value of the Tangential Stress in Thick-Walled Cylinders." J. Appl. Mech., March 1953, pp. 134-137.
- 21. Salmon, M. A. "Plastic Instability of Cylindrical Shells With Rigid End Closures." J. Appl. Mech. Sept. 1963, pp. 401-409.
- 22. Schneider, R. W. "Prestressing a Two-Layer Pressure Vessel by Plastic Deformation." J. of Engineering for Industry (ASME), Feb. 1965, pp. 97-103.

 "Designing for Shrink Firs." Muching Design, v. 16, page they (1954).

2 11 4

- 13. Faupel, J. H., and D. B. Harris. "Stress Concentration in Heavy-Valled Cylindrical Fressure Vessals." 180, v. 49, No. 12, Dec. 1337, rage 1979.
  - 14. Faupel, J. H., and A. R. Parbeck. "Influence of Fauldual Stress on Banavior of Thick-Wall Closed-End Cylinders." Trans. ASR. v. 75, pp. 345-356 (1953).
  - 16. Love. A. E. H. "Mathematical Theory of Flasticity." Dover 4th edicion (1944).
    - 17. MacGregor, C. W., E. F. Coffin, and J. C. Fisher, "Partially Flattic Thick-Walled Tubes." J. Franklin Inst., V. 265 (1968) pp. 135-158.
      - 18. \_\_\_\_ "The Flastle Flow of Thick-Walled Jubes with Large Stining."
        - 19. Mamning: W. R. D. "Strangth of Cylinders." IEG. v. 69, bo. 12.
      - 20. Samee, T., and F. H. Park, "On The Maximum Mumarical Salas of the Tangeorial Stroke in Thick-Walled Cylinders," J. Appl. Hack., Harch 1953. pp. 134-137.
    - 21. Salion, M. A. "Plaatte Tustability of Cylindeleal Thelis With S. and End Closures." J. Appl. Mach. Sept. 1903. pp. 401-409.
    - 22. Schneider, S. W. "Presiressing a Two-Laver Pressure Vessel by Plassic Deformation." J. of Engineering for Industry (ASHE), Feb. 1905. pp. 97-103.

23. Steele, M. C., and John Young. "An Experimental Investigation of Over-Straining in Mild Steel Thick-Walled Cylinders by Internal Fluid Pressure." Trans. ASME, v. 74 (1952), pp. 355-363.

.....

- 24. Svennson, N. L. "The Bursting Pressure of Cylindrical and Spherical Vessels." J. Appl. Mech, March 1958, pp. 89-96.
- 25. Timoshenko, S. "Strength of Materials, Part II," D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., 3rd edition (1956).
- 26. Weil, N. A. "Bursting Pressures and Safety Factors for Thin-Walled Vessels." J. Franklin Inst., Feb. 1958, pp. 97-116.
- 27. W. M. Kellog Co., "Design of Piping Systems," Chapters 1 and 2. Wiley, 2nd edition (June 1964).

23. Steels, Mc.C., and John Young. "An Experimental Lovest gallon of Over-Straining to Mild Steel Dulek-Halled Dulinders by Internal Fluid Pressure." Trans. Asha: v. 74 (1952), op. 335-163.

e i é Brec

- 24. Svansson, M. L. "The Burgeting Freesens of Colondatical and Schertcal Vessels." J. Appl. Nach, Paral 1958, pp. 89-95.
  - 25. Itmoshemko, S. "Strangth of Materials, Fart IT," D. Van Hoatrand Co., Inc., 3rd edition (1935).
- 26. Weil, N. A. "Arracing Pressures and Safety Escore for Diln-Malled Vessels." J. Franklin Lost., Feb. 1913, pp. 97-118.
  37. W. M. Kallog Co., "Design of Fights Systems." Chapters I and P.
  Villey, 2nd edition (June 1966).



