

89

90

91

92

Sold by
C. J. STEWART,
11 KING WILLIAM ST.,
W. STRAND, LONDON.



5.16.17.

Library of the Theological Seminary,
PRINCETON, N. J.

Division Puritan

Section Coll.

SOC
9148



Alexander Nowell b1507-8 died 1601-2 - an eminent
English Divine & the last surviving father of the English
Reformation.

H. Haskell

Bp. friend of (says Styrke) corrected and
overlooked this - like - 164 -

THE
REPROVFE OF
M. Dorman his proufe of certaine
Articles in Religion &c. con-
tinued by Alexander
Nowell.

With a defense of the chiefe authozitie
and gouernment of Christian Princes
as well in causes Ecclesiasticall, as ci-
vill, within their owne dominions, by
M. Dorman malitiouslie oppugned.

Imprinted at London in Fleetstrete,
at the signe of the Oliphante,
by Henry VVykes.

Anno. 1566.

СИГ
РОДОНОВА
зарубежный музей
всего мира
Москва
1970

Сборник стихов и прозы
Сергия Родонова
издан в 1970 году
всего мира
Москва

An admonition to the Reader.



Aister Dorman beinge desirous to poure out his poison against the godly gouernment of Christian Princes vslinge their lawfull authozitie in abandoninge of Poperie & Superstition, & in the revoyzing of true pietie and Religion, and hauinge a great facultie and oportunitie offered readie to his hande to accomplish his intended malice (as shal hereafter plainly appeare) would needes by hooke or crooke, bringe in this longe impertinent treatie against godly Christian Princes, emongst the partes of his processe of þ Popes supremacie: and the same hath he also prosecuteuted more largely a great deale, than the said principall materie of the Popes supremacie it selfe makinge this, more than double in quantitie to that.

The cause whiche he colouredlie counterfeiceth, why he would thus doo, is by him selfe in the conclusion of his last processe, and next before the beginning of this, expressed by these very wordes. It foloweth now that I shew to you who is and of right ought to be that Head: if first I doo you to understand, that it must necessarily be a Priest, and that so by just consequence neither lay man, woman, nor childe can be capable of that office. These be his wordes. But seinge the office, whiche he speaketh of, can be none other, than the office of beinge the onely head of Christes vniuersall Churche here in earth: whereof he hath in that whole processe intreated, all the world may see, that he had no cause, by any consequence to proue that neither lay man, woman, nor childe can be capable of that office: for thus he speaketh, as though we

AN ADMONITION TO

I had maiestined that a Christian Prince might be head
of Christes whole Churche here in earth. But we do
most certainly & plainly affirme that neither Prince,
nor Priest, nor any person earthly can be capable of a-
ny such office. Wherefore M. Dozmans head was scars-
ly capable of god reason, and remembraunce, whan he
would proue that no lay man, woman, nor childe, can
be capable thereof, as against vs, who do our selfes af-
firme the same. And all men may see, that if that were
his consideration, he might well haue spared his labour
bestowed in this processe. But he meaneth, he will say,
to proue that no Christian Prince, man, woman, nor
childe, can be capable of the office of being chiefe gover-
nour, as well in causes Ecclesiasticall, as ciuill, within
their owne dominions. I would he had than spoken
plainely accordinge to his meaninge: soz so shold he
not haue deceived the simple, and the impertinencie of
such a treatie in his processe of the Popes supremacie
might well haue appeared, and withall his meaninge
had bene manifest, that he was determined in a processe
though impertinent, to cast his venime, and poure out
his poison against godly Princes as I haue saide.

Now bisides his malice most mouing him thereto, that
he would, he thought he had god facultie, and habilitie,
that he could largely accomplish the same, by the opos-
tunitie of plentious mater and stiffe, offered vnto him,
readie framed vnto his handes. Whiche was I assure
you, what colour so euer he pretendeth, the very cause
in dede, why he would nedes prosecute this processe
so largely in this impertinent place. The case standeth
thus.

Stanissaus Hosius a Bishop of Polonie, & now Car-
dinall

Dinall of Rome also, hath wrtten an answeare to a little booke of Brentus intituled Prolegomena: In the seconde booke of the whiche De Iudicib[us] Ecclesiasticis, he hath at large prosequuted this matter against Princes chiese government in causes Ecclesiastical. This treatie of Hosius M. Dozman founde so readie framed for him, and had so god a likinge thereof, and so feared prævention by some of his felowes, dayly snatchinge vp other mens wo[rk]es, & thrusting of them abroade into the worlde for their owne, that he thought god to translate it, though impertinent to his purpose, into this his booke out of Hosius, as shall hereafter to the god Reader most plainly appeare. In dæde he hath furnished the margent of his booke with the names of the ancient Doctours, Ignatius the holy martyr, Policarpus disciple to Iohn the Euangelist, blessed Cyprian, Athanasius that stronge piller of the Churche, S. Hierome, S. Ambrose, S. Augustine, & so forth. But he was made Bacheler of Diuinite I beleauue, before he opened any of their bookes: had he furnished his margent with these notes, Hosius Polonus, Hosius Varmiensis, Hosius Episcopus, Hosius Cardinals, he had dealt simpile: for I assure you, he owe[n]t to him alone all his Doctours, all his authoritie[s], all his Diuinite in this treatie: the studie of whame alone, it may seeme, hath made him Bacheler of the same. And to the ende, the god Reader may see, that M. Dozman neeeded not to take the mater so hoate, that I called him a ^{In the preface} trällatour, and bozower, and said that I did answeare ^{of his disroufe} Hosius rather than him, I haue here in a brieze table set forth M. Dozman his whole Methode of this treatie, wherby the god Reader may easely iudge of this mater betwene vs.

AN ADMONITION TO
M. DORMANS METHODE VSLED IN
THIS HIS SECONDE PARTE.

First you must vnderstande that tow or thre of his first leaues of this treatie, whiche are the 15, 16. & 17. are spent for the most parte in a praeface, as plentisfull of railinges, reuilinges, and reproches, as bare of god reasons, authoritie, and prouesse: yet could he not goe through with these matiers though peculiarielie appertaininge to his owne proper facultie, without some boozwinge. For shorly after the beginninge, he hath boozowed of Hosius sixe doctours on a heape at once.

S. Ambrose,	S. Hierome,	Dorman.	Hosius lib. 4.
S. Basili, and	S. Augustine,	fol. 16. b.	fol. 216. b.
Theophilactus.	and Chrysostome.		217. 2.

These doth he alleage in the same ordre, and with the same wordes, and deuideth them into tow leashes as it were, by iij. and ij. just as doeth Hosius. And as he beginneth, so continueth he like a constant man: and by and by boozoweth moe textes of Scriptures of Hosius, than here be doctours.

Places of the Scripture.	Alleged by M. Dorman.	Borrowed of Hosius.
¶ Leuitici. 10. Deuteron. 17. Deuteron. 21. Ezechiel. 44.	Dorm. fol. 18. & 19. per totum.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 37. b. 98. 2.
Aggxi. 2. Malach. 2. 1. Corinth. 12. Act. 20.	Dorm. 20. b.	Hos. li. 1. fo. 39. b.
Eight textes of Scripture in order one after an other.	Dorm. 20. b.	Hos. li. 2. fo. 98. b.
¶ Ignatius ad Smyrnenses. ¶ 1. Corinth. 12. & Act. 20. againe.	Dorm. 20. b.	Hos. lib. 1. fol. 37. b.
¶ Heb. 13. Obedite iij. &c. Allea- ged without coataciō for that he founde it so in Hosius, and list not secke further.	Dorm. 20. b.	

¶ Con-

THE READER.

Histories, and Doctors.	Alleged by M. Dorman.	Borrowed of Ho- sius.
¶ Constantinus the Empe- tours alleged out of Ru- finius historic Ecclesiasti- call. lib. 1. cap. 2.	Dorm. 21. b. D. Hard. Cofut. A- pol. fol. 309. hath the same.	Hosius. lib. 2. fol. 113, a, b.
¶ S. Augustine, epistola. 266.	Dorm. 22. a.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 113. a. versus finem.
¶ Valentinian the elder Emperour.	Dorm. 22. a.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 119. b
¶ The expurgatio of Pope Sixtus.	Dorm. 22. b.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 119. b
¶ Valentinian the yōger Emperour.	Dorm. 22. b. 23. a.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 119. b
¶ S. Ambrose lib. 5. epistola. 33.	Dorm. 22. b.	Hos. lib. 1. fol. 39. a.
¶ S. Ambrose lib. 5. epistola. 32.	Dorm. 22. b. D. Hard. Cofut. A- pol. fol. 317. b. hath the same.	Hos. lib. 1. fol. 38. b.

In these tow last he hath vsed hysteron proteron
both with S. Ambrose and his authour Hosius also.

¶ S. Ambrose lib. 5, epi- stola. 34.	Dorm. 23. a.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 119. b.
¶ Basilius the Emperour.	Dorm. 23. a. b.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 118. b.
¶ Theodoric ^o king of the Gothes alleged out of the. 4. Romaine Synode Sub Symmacho pap ^z .	Dorm. 23. b.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 120. b.
¶ Aurelian ^o the Emperour alleged out of Eusebius.	Dorm. 24. a.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 121. a.
¶ The examples of Ozias and Oza.	Dorm. 24. a.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 63. b. 64. a. & 75. a.
¶ The example of kinge Sauies.	Dorm. 24. a.	Hos. lib. 1. fol. 37. b. 38. a.

These

AN ADMONITION TO
The Doctors; and Alleged by M.
Histories. Dorman. Borrowed of Ho-
sius.

¶ Constantius the Empe- rour.	Dorm. 24. b.	Hof. lib. 2. fol. no. 2.
¶ Pope Liberius out of A- thanais. ad solitariam vitā agentes.	Dorm. 24. b.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 36. b. & lib. 2. no. 2.
¶ Hosius Cordubensis out of Athanasius in the same place.	Dorm. 25. 2.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 36. b. & 37. 2. & lib. 2. fol. 43. b.
¶ Athanasius him selfe E- pistola ad solitariam vitā agentes.	Dorm. 25. b.	Hof. lib. 2. fol. 20. 2.
¶ Athanasius againe.	Dorm. 26. 2.	Hof. lib. 2. fol. 120. b.
¶ Iohn Damascene.	Dorm. 27. 2.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 37.
¶ Deuteron. 17. Ezech. 44. Agge. 2. 1. Corinth. 12. Act. 20. repeated.	Dorm. 29. 2. b.	Hof. lib. 2. fol. 97. b. 98. 2.
¶ Liberius , Hosius Cor- dubensis, and Athanasius, repeated.	Dorm. 29. b. 30. 2.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 36. b. lib. 2. fol. 43. b. & no. 2. b.
¶ S. Ambrose repeated.	Dorm. 30. 2.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 18. 2. 19. b.
¶ Chrysostome in verba Esaiz & lib. 3. de sacerdo- tio, alleaged , inuerso or- dine.	Dorm. 30. 2.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 39. b.
¶ Damascene repeated.	Dorm. 30. b.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 37. 2. b.

Dorm. fol. 30. b.
q. 2. &c.

Now M. Dorman here leauinge the Bishop of Ha-
rum his sermon, falleth in hande with the Confutation
of a piece of the Apologie, hauinge oportunitie of great
facilitie therein likewise offered him by Hosius , who
of his liberalitie hath leante him his answere thereto
also . And so much as D. Hardinge hath witten a
Confutation of the Apologie , so these twentie leaues
now folowinge M. Dorman hath the same treatise, that
his

THE READER.

his maister in his said Consultation hath. And so I must from hense forth for the most part, make my table tripartite: and in consultinge of M. Dorman, I muste answeare not onely Hosius, as afoore, but his maister D. Hardinge also: whereby the god Reader may in the meane time take a tast & prouise, what maner of thinge that Consultation of the Apologie is, vntill the full answere thereto be put in printe.

<i>Expositioes of scriptures Doctors, and Histories.</i>	<i>Vsed by M. Dorman.</i>	<i>By D. Har- dinge.</i>	<i>Borrowed of Hosius.</i>
¶ Moses a Priest, or no Priest, the 98. Psalme, and S. Augustine alleged.	Dorm. fol. 31.32. 33.34.35.	D. Hard. Confut. Apol. fol. 305. a.b.	
¶ Ioluua his example with the like treatie.	Dorm. 35.b. 36.a.	Hard. 305.b.	
¶ Numeri cap. 27. alleaged.	Dorm. 36.a.	Hard. 305.b.	
¶ Kinge Daviid his example answereared. Oza. 1. Paral. 23.	Dorm. 35.b. 37.a.	Hard. 305.b. 306.a.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 66. b. 67. 2.
¶ Fundations made by Kings.	Dorm. 37.a.		Hos. lib. 2. fo. 67. 2
¶ Solomon, Ezechias, Iosaphat, Iosias, Iosas, examples all at once answereared.	Dorm. 37.a.b. 38.a.	Hard. 307.a.b.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 66.b
¶ Davuids example particullarie answereared.	Dorm. 38.a.	Hard. 305.b. 306.a.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 66.b 67. a.
¶ Solomons example answereared particullarie.	Dorm. 38.b.	Hard. 306.a.	Hos. lib. 2. fo. 67. b
¶ Bis hoppe Grammar re- proched.	Dorm. 38.b.	Hard. 306.a.	
¶ Ezechias example answereared.	Dorm. 38.a. 39.b.	Hard. 306.a.b.	Hos. lib. 2. fol. 68.a.
¶ Ichu his example answereared.	Dorm. 39.a.	Hard. 306.b.	

AN ADMONITION TO

**Expositio[n]es of Scriptures
Doctors, and Histories.**

V[er]sed by M.
Dorman.

By D. Har-
dinge.

Borrowed of
Hosius.

¶ Iosias his example answere[n]t.	Dorm. 39. a.	Hard. 307. a.	Hof. lib. 2. fol. 68. a
¶ Josaphat and Iosas examples answere[n]t.	Dorm. 39. a.	Hard. 306. b. 307. a.	Hof. lib. 2. fo. 67. b. 68. a.
¶ Alexander Magnus his example alleged out of Q. Curtius, Iosephus, and O[rigin]es.	Dorm. 39. b.	.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 40. a.
¶ Constantinus Magnus his example answere[n]t.	Dorm. 41. 42.	Hard. 308. 309.	Hof. lib. 2. fol. 75. & 113. a. b.
¶ Theodosius the Emperour his example answere[n]t.	Dorm. 43.	Hard. 309. b. & 310. a.	Hof. lib. 2. fol. 75. a. 112. b. 113. a. b.
¶ Concilium Aquileiense alleged.	Dorm. 43. b.	.	Hof. lib. 2. fo. 112. b
¶ S. Ambrose lib. 5. Epistola. 32. alleged.	Dorm. 43. b.	Hard. 317. b.	Hof. lib. 2. fol. 112. b
¶ Chalcedon Councell.	Dorm. 44. a. b.	Hard. 315. b. 316. a. b	Hof. li. 2. fol. 114. b
¶ The thirde councell Cōstantinopolitan.	Dorm. 44. b. 45. a. b.	Hard. 316. b. .	Hof. lib. 2. fol. 113. b. 116. a.
¶ Arausican Councell.	Dorm. 45. b. 46. a.	Hard. 316. b.	Hof. lib. 2. fo. 116. a
¶ Iustinian the Emperour his example answere[n]t.	Dorm. 46. b. 47. 48. 49.	Hard. 317. b. 318.	Hof. lib. 1. fol. 83.

Here is the summe of the matter, and the maner of the Methode, whitch M. Dorman wseth in this treatise against Christian Princes: here is the difference betwene M. Dorman and Hosius: whereby all reasonable men may see, that I lied not, whan I said, that I shold in dede answere the latine Papistes, and namely Hosius, rather than M. Dorman. For what hath M. Dorman of his owne? Surely nothinge, that is any thinge to purpose hath he, but of other mens, and that shamefully stoullen, not honestly borowed. And our other

English

THE READER.

English Papistes booke, whiche are so thicke abroade,
as nre woorkes and inuentions , are all by like art and
Methode made, and compiled.

No meruaile therefore that we haue such plentie of
them, seeing M. Dozman and his felowes haue now in-
uented a way , how any that hath a litle learninge in
the Latine language, may sodenlie, and with great ease
and facilitie, become not a Bachelor of Divinitie only,
but a woorthie writer , and famous autho: and ^{Famosi.}

Specially a cunninge compiler of spanne nre wrought ^{Compilatores}
woorkes. <sup>alienorum scri-
torum.</sup>

And for my part, I do thanke M. Dozman, that he
hath so handled the matter, that in confutinge of him I
might at once answeare the reasons of that so highly
æstemed Archpapist Hosius: and withall geue the god
Reader a tast, what manner of thinge that confutacion
of D. Hardinges is, vntill the ful answeare to the same
be published, whiche to so longe a booke as it is , requi-
reth some time : and also to notifie to the worlde , that
though our aduersaries do write many bookes, there is
not yet so great diuersitie of matter , as there is of
bookes, whiche are made many, by often repetition of the
same thinges, borowed of the Latine Papistes: whose
bookes haue bene longe abroade in h world, in the hedes
of them, who do vnderstande that language, with such
euell successe of their Popishe superstition, sith the wri-
tinge thereof, as to the world is wel knowen. The like
successe may our Englishe Papistes loke so: of their
bookes, or rather latre worse: how much more simple, &
of baser estimation are their sealie translations, then
were the other originall woorkes of the learned Latine
authours. The fragmentes & patches of whose bookes,

AN ADMONITION TO THE READER.
by M. Dozman here alleged; I double nothinge but
I haue answereed to the satisfying of al indifferent and
reasonable Readers.

I haue thought god to note the printes of certayne
bookes usually here alleged, that the Reader, who list
seeke, may the moze easely finde the places out of them
alleged.

Hosius. Confutatio Prolegomenon Brentij. Parisijs apud
Gulielmum Desboyes, &c. 1560. in octavo.
Eusebi^o. &c Hist. Ecclesi. & de vita Cōstatini. &c. Froben, Basilej. 1554.
Conciliorum Tomi tres. Colonia Agripp. ex officina Iohannis
Quentel. 1551.

The places also, where M. Dozman is in this booke
answeared summatly and bryetly, are these.

Fol. 55. b. 56. 57. 58. 59. &c.

Fol. 113. 114. &c. Fol. 135. 138. &c.

Fol. 171. 172. 173. &c. Fol. 191. 193. &c.

Fol. 221. 223. &c. Fol. 237. 238. &c.

Fol. 241. 246. 247. &c. Fol. 250. 251. &c.

Fol. 275. 276. &c.

Hereof also I thought god to admonish the learned
occupied readers, that list not bestow their god time, in
long processses, which yet are necessarie for the readers
of meaner vnderstanding, specially in the answearing
of such malicieuse & venomous false sclaunders, as M.
Dozman in this treatise, without all just cause, hath hea-
ped vpon Christian Princes, & specially vpon our most
gratiouse soueraigne, vpon our lawes, & countrey, most
insuriously and vnworthele: which most odious false
reproches of an vnnaturall subiect, I could not but (as
by the duele of a true subiect I do stand bounden) with
al earnestnes and behemete labour to repell, and scorne
them most farre to remoue.

FINIS.

The faulces escaped in the Printinge would be mended
before the readinge of the Booke.

Leaf.	Page.	Line.	Faultes.	Correction.
7.	1.	þ last partes the	partes of the	
7.	2.	11. man by Lchristianitie,	man, by Lchristianitie	
8.	1.	3. shall haue	should haue	
11.	2.	27. and felowes	and your felowes	
12.	1.	8. of Church	of the Church	
15.	2.	þ last errours and ignoþace,	errours, and ignoþace	
16.	1.	20. be preacheinge	by preacheinge	
22.	2.	þ last ought to euerie	ought euerie	
26.	2.	23. at	that	
29.	2.	2. this	his	
37.	1.	13. that if all	that all	
38.	1.	3. perelous	most perelous	
40.	1.	20. by the same tēporalitie al those woordes must		
		þ spiritualitie together be blotted out		
43.	1.	22. Priestes, betwene	Priestes, and betwens	
46.	1.	1. D.Hardinghe noted	Hosius noted	
56.	2.	6. proued	he proueth	
47.	1.	29. Thus saith S. Paule	Thus saith S. Paules:	
60.	1.	17. was foȝt naught,	was not foȝt naught,	
144.	2.	24. vsech	vsech,	
263.	1.	27. thee.	the	
182.	1.	4. the marginall note is	Hosius lib, 2, fol, 96.2.	
		lackinge.		
194.	1.	16. 189 in the margent.	179.	
201.	1.	20. Popes	Pope	
204.	2.	9. and	as	
224.	2.	17. most	more	
232.	1.	28.29 Eunonius.	Eunomius	
		Bunonian	Eunomian	
241.	1.	24. where, now	where? Now	
243.	1.	26. to Dioscorus, it is so:	to Dioscorus. It is so,	
243.	2.	28. Princes	Judges	
248.	1.	31. wholle Stooode	whole Louncell Stooode	
254.	2.	1. but to the mischiefe,	but it is to þ mischiefe	
259.	2.	25. Traian	Traiane	
259.	2.	29. this Justinian	thus, Justinian	
274.	2.	3. the rulers	the rules	
275.	1.	17. cleuches	cleuches	
281.	1.	18. exemptuous	exemptions	
282.	2.	32. Shakerley	Shaklocke	
287.	1.	4. to my	to pyke my	

Other pointes in Orthographie, and pointinge the good
Reader may easly perceiue.

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com



Dorman. Folio, 15.

**THAT THE HEAD OF CHRI-
STES CHVRCHE HERE IN EARTH
MUST NEEDES BE A PRIEST.**

Nowell.



His proposition woulde either haue other proues, then are to be founde in the processe folowinge: or these proues doe require an oþer propo-
sition: For of all the sentences, au- position, and his
thoritez, and reasons, conteyned in proues, are im-
this treatise folowinge, continuinge pertinent either

M. Dorman's
to other.

syue and thirtie leaues, there is not as muche as one, that doth conclude or proue this his proposition, THAT THE HEAD OF CHRISTES CHVRCHE HERE IN EARTH MUST NEEDES BE A PRIEST. In dede, here is plentie of proues, that Priestes may preache, may deale in matters of Religion, and maie resolute men of doubtes therein. But to what purpose M. Dorman is that, to proue suche thinges as no man denieth: or uth that, to alleage suche thinges; as for proues of one heade whiche no man prieste ouer all the Churche, whiche are common to all priestes in the Churche: and therfore not declaringe any one to be Heade, but prouinge them all to be of equall authozitie: that is, all to be Ecclesiasticall mi- nisters, and therfore no Heades, but rather Seruan- tes of the Churche: whiche seeinge no man denieth, M. Dorman (as I before touched) might well haue spared this longe processe, without any his lacke or losse at al. Further, had it not beene sufficient for M. Dorman, to haue attempted to proue, That Christes Churche here in earth

A must

must of necessitie haue one chiche head: and, That the Bisshoppe of Rome is the saide one head: vndeisse he had proued also, that the saide Heade miste needes be a Priest: doubted M. Dorman whether the Pope were a priest or no: as indeede it is greatly to be doubted. Surely if he do not doubt thereof, he may well see, that he might haue spared this parte, whiche he hath made longer & larger then bothe the other. For if he can proue that the Bisshoppe of Rome, is, and ought of right to be the onely heade of Christes Church, sainge he is, by these mens iudgementes, and miste of necessitie (as beinge a Bisshop) be a Priest also: it foloweth by iuste consequence, that the saide heade of the Churche, miste needes be a Priest. Whiche thinge D. Hardinge M. Dormans maister, and others well consideringe, haue laboured onely to proue that the Bisshoppe of Rome is, and ought to be the head of Christes wholle Churche here in earth: nothinge doubting, but that they shold withal proue, as well that the saide head of the Churche was, and miste of necessitie be a Priest, and that there miste be one head of the whole Churche also: takinge it for an vndoubted matter, that the Bisshoppe of Rome is bothe a priest, and not two or thre, but one onely persone. Wherefore surely M. Dorman whether he do vndoubtedly take the Pope to be a Priest (as al other Papistes do) or haue some double therein, (as we haue verie greate and iuste cause to doubt thereof) he hath in this his particulo either one or two superfluous partes, or elles hath he leste out one parte, as necessarie as any of the other thre. That is, to haue proued that the Bisshoppe of Rome is a Priest: and so to haue made his treatise quadripartite, as conteininge fourre partes

M. Dorman's
diuision either
superfluous, or
defectiue.

of

of his Popes supremacie, For consideringe that the Bishop of Rome hath no signe, propertie, nor pointe of a Bishop or Priest, in the scriptures appointed: and hath all signes, pointes, and properties of a temporall & worldly prince, vsually accustomed: it may be doubted, lest M. Dorman by prouing him to be head of Christes Churche, shoulde seeme therewith to proue, that a temporal man, and not a Bishop or priest, were heade of the Church. For what one pointe of a temporal lord lacketh he: or what one pointe of a Ecclesiastical person hath he: what spiritualitie hath there bene in most part of Popes these many hundred yeeres, but spiritual wickednes: if onely oylinge, & shauinge (for what hath he elles?) doo make him so spirituall, they haue surely very spiritual bnguentaries & barbers at Rome. Seelinge therfore M. Dorman, In your whole processe of the Popes supremacie, there is no one point more doubtesful, than whether the Pope be a priest or no, you shoulde either not haue omitted that so necessarie a parte in your division, or elles haue made no division at all. For howe well you haue thrinen in seekinge by such distribution and division, to enlarge your treatise of the Popes supremacie, hath, I trust, longe ere nowe euidently appeered to the discrete Readers, by your former treatise, of the Necessite of one onely heade of Christes whole Churche here in esrthe. In the whiche whole processe, there is no one authoritie by M. Dorman alleaged, that maketh for the proufe of his proposition, Of the necessite of one heade of Christes whole churche, but they altogether doo make for the authoritie of every bishop in his owne several Churche or Diocesse. In the proufe whereof, as a matter to al men knownen, & by all men

The Bishop of
Romeia temporal
lorde, rather
than a priest, or
Bishop.

confestted, as M. Dorman myght well haue spared his paines: so farre better myght he haue spared his greater paines in this processe, moze then twise as longe as the other, In the mosse parte of the whiche, he tra-uaileth to proue, that to apperteine to Priestes, whiche to learned and godly Priestes was never yet by any man denide.

Dorman fol. 15.

Gregorius Nazianzenus, that auncient father, and maister to S. Hierom, in a certayne oration that he made, of the seemely order that ought to be in Christes Church, hath these wordes, In oratio
de model
in discep-
tat. tenet

Nemo delphinum vidit terram fulcanciem neque bouem, in vnda laborantem, quemadmodum nec solem in nocte crescentem, siue lunam interdu, ignis flammat emittentem, whiche is in English he to saie thus muche. There is no man that euer sawe the Dolphin, forsakinge the sea plowe the lande, or the Oxe leaue the earthe to swimme and labour in the water, no more then the sonne in the night risinge or falling, or the Moone in the daie shininge. And as these kepe the order and course to them by God and Nature appointed, the Dolphin the water, the Oxe the lande, the sonne the daie, the Moone the nighte, without entermedlinge themselues either in others function: so is there (saith he) in Christes Churche an order taken, that one shalbe a head to rule and gene counsell, some other in place of feare to goe, some handes to worke, other some eares to heare, and eyes to see, some shieperedes to feede, other some sheepe to be fedde, some in one office, some in an other. This mosse beutifull order in Christes Churche, is on our behalffes (as many as wilbe accompted members thereof) iniustably to bee obserued, onlesse in obedience towardes our Creator, we will by bruise beastes suffer our selues to be vanquis hed and ouercome. This is the order, whereupon dependeth the welfare or illfare of the whole worlde. This is that order whiche so longe as it remaineth whole

whole and not broken, so long common weales flouris he, so long v-
nitie and peace are nouris hed, so long Christes true Religion trium-
pheth: as contrariwise, the breache therof, (when the feete that
shoulde goe, will surpinge the office of the head, presume to geue
councell, the eies will heare, the eares wilbe eyes, the head will goe,
the sheepe feede their Sheperdes, the scholer teache the maister) is
in verie deede, the breakenecke of all good order and common qui-
et. This is that orderly coniunction of one member with an other,
and euerie one in his owne place, whiche although it be; and euer
hath beene, a gret mote in Satans eye: yet neuer durst he or any of
his directly impugne it. And therefore hath he by those his ministers,
whome in these our daies he hath stirred vp against Christ and his
truthe, founde out suche abyte waine, as whereby he may bothe re-
move this let whiche hindereth so muche his course, and seeme yet
neuerthelesse, to stande stoutely in the defence thereof. For what?
dooe our aduersaries trouwe you, exprestly maainteine that order is
naught, that the scholer shoulde teache the maister, the sheepe feede
their sheperde, that thinges shoulde be so iumbled togeather, and
suche a hochepot made of al estatess, that it shoulde be lawfull for e-
very man to compitroll, one an other in his office? No no, they be-
wiser then so I warrant you. For although in deede all their drif-
tes tend to that ende, yet couet they to make men beleue, that they
minde nothinge lesse. For if they shoulde openly pretend so muche,
then were the matter at an ende, and their credite vtterly losse. And
therefore for the Jauegarde thereof, they woulde cast before our eyes
such a mist, that we shoulde beleue, those that be in very deede scho-
lers to be maisters, sheepe to be sheperdes, the feete to be the heads
and the head to be the feete, and that vnder such gouernement, there
were of order no bicafe at all.

Nowell.

The title of this booke of Gregorze Parianzene sheweth, that he treateth of the order and modeſtie that is.

A. 3 to be.

VVhat order it to be kepte in Ecclesiastical disputac-
is, that Nazian-
zene speketh of
Nazian. ibidē.
Ex. I. Cor. 14.

This kynge of disputacions Ecclesiastical he shew-
eth to be the same that S. Paule calleth Prophecie, by
these very wordes. Prophetae duo vel tres loquuntur idq; vicis-
sim, & unus interpretetur, alioverò declarante primus cedat. &c.
That is to say, let y. or iiij. Prophetes speake & that by
course, and let one interpretetur or expoide, & when the
other maketh declaration let the first gene place: thus
far Nazianzen. In this prophecie or Ecclesiastical dispu-
tation, Nazianzen forbiddeth none of y Lattie to speake
and give their advise, but teacheth them modestie in

De modestia in speakinge, as both the Title of the booke belinge of Mo-
discept. teneda.

vir secundum
Cristum.

apeare moze plainly in these his wordes: sane si vir es
secundum Christum, sensuq; habeas exercitatoros &c. That is to
say, If thou be in dede a man growen in Christe, and
hast good understandinge, and the light of knowledge
both shone vnto thee, speake wisedome whiche is uttered
emongst the perfect &c. But if thou be yet but a litle one
parvulus es &c or childe, and in understandinge crepinge vpon the
vt cu sublimio. grounde, neither hable to goe forwarde with higher &
ribus progredi. greater Clerkes (or matters) become a Corinthiane, let
milke nourishe thee, why seekest thou moze stronger
meate, whiche thy stomake can not digest, to make no-

Nazianzen per-
mitte a sim-
ple man to speak
in matters of re-
ligio, if he haue
any good thing
to viter.

rishment of, by reason of thy weakenes: yet speake if
thou haue any thing to good to be kepte unspoken, and
so forth. These be Nazianzenes wordes who excepteth
none, though children in understanding, from speaking
of matters of Religion, so it be good that they shall
speak. And Nazianzen concluding this Oration, and

Si ergo mihi ob exhorting al yonge men, old men, people, Princes, sub-
superabit in-
ligentes, &c, in such Ecclesiastical disputacions to auoide
super-

superfluous and bny; profitable contentions, doth thereby uenes & senes,
geue vs to understande, that it was lawfull for the said Eccl. superua-
subiectes not onely Princes, for yonge men, not onely cuas cōcētiones
olde men, &c. soberly to say their mindes, in causes Eccl. valere finetis.
eclesiastical. Be, and Nazian. In Ser-
in maters of Religion doo preferre them selfes before all monibus de Deo
men and doo plainly play the Emperours & Tyrants, se cunctis p̄-
and will geue place to no man. But how M. Dozman ferre hominibus
and Hosius, with the rest of these Romanistes doo agree & plane impe-
with this order of Nazianzene in this place, may well rātorem & Ty-
appeare by the wordes by M. Dozman borowed out of rānum agre.
Hosius, and by Hosius taken out of a certaine Dra- Dor. fol. 23. a.
tion of Bassilius the Emperour in these very wordes. Ex Hosij lib. 2.
fol. 118.
Of you that are Laymen whether you be suche as haue dignities in Quātacūq; re-
the Common weale or none: I haue no more to say, but that in no ligione sit ex-
wise it is lawfull for you to dispute or reason of causes Ecclesiasti- sapientia laicus
call &c. these are the wordes in M. Dormans booke. Ho. The Papists wyl-
lus dothe further prosecute the same matter by these suffer no laye
wordes: A Lay man be he of never so great holines and wisedome: man to dispute
yea though he excel in al vertue yet is it not lawfull for him (as Bas- or once to speke:
silius the Emperour thinketh) once to mooue any talke of causes or of causes Eccle-
matters Ecclesiasticall because that is the proper office of a Bisshop neuer so hollye,
though he be muche inferiour in vertue and wisedome. These be wise, leare-
Hosius wordes vpon the said Bassilius the Emperours bed, and greate
Oratio: which wordes of y Prince utterly vnskillful in dignitie.
any learninge, Holy, or Prophane, Hosius doth allowe Hosius. f. 118.
as moste god and godly, and M. Dozman in this his Basilij Imperat.
booke allegeth and alloweth the same as very notable. Oratio p̄ijſimā.
And thus where Gregorie Nazianzene in this place by Dorman. f. 23.
M. Dozman alleaged, dooth permitte the simplest and How contrary
unlearnedst man in the Churche to speake in mattiers the Papists are
of Religion, & forbiddeth pride & tyzannie to the holiest to Nazianzen,
man alleaged, here by M. Doz. and.

and best learned, these men contende that it is not lawfull, no not for a Christian Prince, be he never so learned, wise, holie, and excellent in all vertue, once to moue any talke of mattiers, or causes Ecclesiasticall, sermone mouere. The whole thinge vwith all the order therof, that Nazianzena here speake keth of, is by the Pope and his cleargie not onely cleane thrust out of Christis church: But also the disorder by Nazianzena prayled, is brought into Christes church by the Papistes. Ex Aristotili artibus artes male ex c. non secus ac flagella quicdam Egyp tiaca.

Nazianzena sheweth that a kinde of captious, curios, and subtile reasoninge after Chrysippus, Aristotle, Plato, and other Philosophers artes; whiche in matters of Religion, he calleth naughtie artes, and bewitchinges of Eloquence and Philosophie, begunne in his time to crepe into the Churche. Wherof as S. Paule forewarned vs to beware, so dooth Nazianzena lament, that such subtelties, as certaine Egyptians plagues, began in his time to make an entrie into treaties of religion: who, were he nowe liuinge, and did see the Diuinitie disputationes of our Scholemen, of zones iudgement Scotistes, Thomistes, and such like, as farre infer-

our in all god learninge and eloquence to those in Pa-
zianzenes time, so farre excellinge all Aristotles and
Platoes in captious curiositte, beinge in dede nothing
elles but barbarous subtelties, and curious trifles to be
learned with much labour, and losse of time, with the
losse of god learninge, and all godlines withall, where-
with of late times our whole Religion was overwhel-
med, woulde he not, thinke you, affirme that all the
plagues of Egipte had oppressed vs at once? From the Plagues of Ae-
whiche plagues, emongst other many most abhomina- gypt take away
ble abuses, and errours, the light of the Chospell by
Goddes grace clearely shinninge in our daies, hath part-
ly deliuered vs, and shall I trusste, shortly set vs fully
frē from them. And thus these men takinge away The Papistes
that god ordre, wherof Nazianzenē here speaketh, and chardge vs with
bringinge in such disorders as are by him here condem- their oyvne
ned, are not ashamed by Nazianzenes wordes to charge
vs with Breake of all god and comely ordre. But if
M. Dorman will nedes drawe Nazianzenes wordes to
ordre broken by suche as do leauie their owne dueties,
and inuade other mens offices, as resembled by the si-
militudes of the Delphine plowinge, & the Ore swim-
minge, the Sunne by night, the Moone by day shining,
the fæte desiring the place of the head, &c. It is evident
that this disorder is by the Pope, & Papistes them selues
of all other men most vsed, & that all comelie ordre is by
them most violated & broken. With them, the Bishop, The Pope and
of one Citie & Diocesse (that is one mebze of the Bodie) his Cleargy, doo
wil nedes be the onely head of the whole Church; with
them the Delphine leauing the water, wil nedes plow
the lande; & the Ore forsakinge the lande, will swimme
in the water: that is; the Pope by his office & vocation
cause the Del-
phine to plovve,
and the Ore to
swimme.

The Pope hath being but a Bishop of one diocesse, and one of the Cler-
forsaken the of-
fice of a Bishop.
a Matth. 20.
Scitis quia pri-
cipes gentium do-
minantur illis
&c. non ita erit
inter nos. &c.
contrarie to the expresse doctrine of the *Scriptures*, and
contrary to the sentence of Nazianzene , and all other
ancient doctours, be a temporall Lord, yee Lord of al the
World, forsaking the humilitie appertainyng to an Ec-
clesiasticall minister, will tredae vpon kings & Em-
perours neckes, Will haue them kille his feete , holde his
bridle, & stay his stirroppe, like gentlemen of his stable:
forsaking the pulpette, and the office of preachinge, the
ministringe of the Sacramentes, the studie of the holy
Scriptures, & other ecclesiasticall dueties, hath in steede
of them, to dw with Castels, gunnes, horsses, Harneies,
Souldiers, Warres &c. contrarie to *v. S. Peters* doctrine
(whose successor he woulde be) forbiddinge men of the
Churh such dominion, & putting vp into the scabert the
spirituall sworde of Gods word, which me of y cleare-
gie specially are commaunded to drawe, doth draw the
temporal sworde, which *a Peter* (as he saith) his predeces-
sour, was commaunded to put vp. And not contented haue
selue to chalenge both y swordes of ecclesiasticall & tem-
poral Jurisdiction, doth plucke the temporal sworde out
of Princes hads, in such sorte, as thei may not vle it, no
not in temporall causes , against any his Popelinges,
& The Popishe though they be theues, felos, or traitours, & thus usur-
Clergy doth
clyme, exemp-
tions and im-
munitiess from
subiection to
Princes.
& The Popes
prelates haue
forsaken the of-
fices of Priestes.

v i. Petr. 5.

Neq; ces domi-
niū exercentes
aduersus cle-
ros. &c.

c Eph. 6.

The Pope put-
zeth vp the spi-
rituall sworde,
and dravveth
the temporall
sworde.

d Matth. 26.

The Pope clay-
meth both the
swordes.*& The Popishe*
Clergy doth
clyme, exemp-
tions and im-
munitiess from
subiection to
Princes.*& The Popes*
prelates haue
forsaken the of-
fices of Priestes.

the ministringe of the Sacramentes, the studie of the holy
Scriptures, & other ecclesiasticall dueties, hath in steede
of them, to dw with Castels, gunnes, horsses, Harneies,
Souldiers, Warres &c. contrarie to *v. S. Peters* doctrine
(whose successor he woulde be) forbiddinge men of the
Churh such dominion, & putting vp into the scabert the
spirituall sworde of Gods word, which me of y cleare-
gie specially are commaunded to drawe, doth draw the
temporal sworde, which *a Peter* (as he saith) his predeces-
sour, was commaunded to put vp. And not contented haue
selue to chalenge both y swordes of ecclesiasticall & tem-
poral Jurisdiction, doth plucke the temporal sworde out
of Princes hads, in such sorte, as thei may not vle it, no
not in temporall causes , against any his Popelinges,
though they be theues, felos, or traitours, & thus usur-
pinge after sondrie sorte vpon kinges & princes right
most evidently, yet doth he, & his sworne cleargie, com-
plaine vpon princes as usurpers , bitinge & whininge
both at once as they say. Neither doth the head of y Po-
pish Synagoge himselfe alone in this sorte breake all
comely ordre, & but also his Prelates dw in likewise the
same. His Cardinals originally, & by their proper office,
Persons, Vicars, & Curates, (y is inferiour membres

in the bodie) leauing the cures of their parish churches, wil needes be Princes Peeres, yea & their Superiours, that is to say, heades not onely of the bodies, but of the heades also, and other Prelates likewise leauinge their peculiar vocatiōs, some of them be Embassadours, some Lordes deputies, Lordes leauetenautes, some of them lordes Chauncelours, & judges in all tempozail causes, & affaires: all of them in al shewe and behauour alwaies more like temporall Lordes of this wold, or any thinge els, rather than spirituall ministers of Christes church: The confusion little regarding the eramples of the Sunne & the Moone. and breach of al Wherby Priests and Princes are warned (as saith M. Dozman) to keepe themselves without intermedling ey, ther in others function. And so the offices of priessles & Princes, which thei do every where affirme to be so di-

stincte and diuers, that they may by no meanes be confounded, & do cry out against temporall, lay, meere lay, and mere temporall Princes, whan they come to approche neare to their spirituall regiment, are by these spiritual men, breakinge into all ciuell, temporall, lay, meere temporall, and meere lay offices, confounded, and all ordre by them selfes utterly broken. Whiche thinge being too well knownen to be kept secrete in these daies, as not done, they beginne now a preparatio to defende as well and lawfully done. And D. Hardinge is not a-

D. Hard. Confut. Apol. fol.

bashed to affirme, That by the exaple of Moyses, beinge not one- h a ciuell Magistrate, but also a Priest, the Pope may rule temporally, but not that a king may rule spirituallly. And it had beene meete. The Pope both ly wel, if his holines had so made a medlie of his temporalitie with summe spiritualitie, but in dede the rule of the Pope and his Prelates hath bene of longe time so temporall, that they forgate al, not onely true, but even saith D. Hard,

A REPROVVE OF M.

The Pope and their owne popishe spiritualitie also wholy: who either
his Prelates by intollerable pride, disdaine, or by importune busines
wholly temporall, in worldly affaires lacke leasure, or by dull slouthful-
in nothing spir- nes haue no luste, not onely to preache, and minister
ituall.

the Sacramentes, as is by Gods lawe comauanded the, but also not to do so muche as to mumble their Masse, (wherein yet they place the whole summe of their Popishe Religion) but bequeath the same, as an office unworthy for so honorabile Prelates, to scelie soule prestes, & other sir Johns, their Chaplaines. A manifest argument, y either they esteeme not in deede, their Masse as so high, holsome, holte & spirituall a thinge, as they would haue others to beleue it is, or that if thei do so esteeme it, there is no care of any Religion at all in these spiritual Prelates, so seldomе vsing the principall poincte, or rather whole summe of their popish spiritual

VVhat is to be thought vpon the Popes and his Prelates so seldomе sayinge of Masse.

The poore pries-
ties in the Pa-
pacie haue for-
saken their of-
fice also.

superstition. The poore Prestes likewise haue left their office of preachinge by God apointed them, as well as their Prelates, & wholly giuen them selues, to masking, & mumminge, to Sozcerie, & conuringe of fire, water, bread, bowes, & other baggage, & their Deacons leauing the care of the poore, peculiarly to them appointed by the Scriptures, do nothing els but stande by the prest, when he is at Masse, & singe, or reade the Gospell in a language, whiche neither them selues, nor their hearers do vnderstande. And thus all comely ordre requisite, in the ordrely doyng of every mans peculiar office and duetie, (with the breache whereof these men falsely chardge vs) is by them selfes in deede, most shamefully violated, and utterly broken, and defaced in all pointes. And in the meane ceason whiles those presumptuous Prelates, of humble ministers of the Churche,

wil-

will needes become Princes Peeres, and Superiours,
 they disdeine that Christian princes shoulde in Chrestes
 Churche haue ought at all to doo, but thinke it reason, D. Hard. cōfut.
 that what soever they shal say, appoint, or commaunde Apolo. fol. 302. a
 in Religion (whiche yet is no part of their study) must
 by all persons, Princes and other, without any en-
 quirrie, or question, without examination, or grudge, be
 freight beleeuued, folowed, and obeyed. For this is the
 comely orde, whiche not Nazianzene, but M. Dorman
 and his felowes would haue: els sozsooth, should Prin-
 ces being but the feete (by M. Dorman's doctrine) take Christian Pri-
 vpon them to be heads, and so breake the orde, whiche
 the Papistes accompt soz comely. For who els be those,
 whome you M. Dorman doo affirme that of feete we
 would haue to be beleuued to be the heades, but Christia
 Princes: though Nazianzene doo compare the simplest,
 and unlearnest men, and verie children, and babes, in
 understandyng creeping vpon the grounde, to the feete:
 & though Hosius your authour, reasoning not agaynst
 Princes onely, but agaynst the common people also,
 thought he might feellie apply the terme of feete to the, b. & 121.
 as haing no iudgement in matters of Religion: be you
 never so loth to leaue any wordes of him not placed, in Hosius speaking
 some place of your booke; yet shoulde you haue had so of the common
 muche consideration, as to haue spared the vse of that peole, cōpareth
 terme in this place, and treatise of Princes. For we co- them, to the
 tend onely soz the superiortie due to Christia Princes, feete in the bo-
 for the whiche you late, wee will haue Princes of the dy: M. Dorman
 feete, to be the heads. Haue you suche an opinion of thinketh he
 Princes, and of the Pope, and his prelates, that your may likewise
 Pope must be the head, his Prelates the eyes, and o- compare Prin-
 ther principall partes the bodie, and Princes the feete:

A REPROUFE OF M.

In what estimation the Pope hath Christian Princes.

Paulus Epistola ad Philemonem.

Christian Princes occasioned by the Pope & his prelats to break order, haue yet broken

D. Hard. cōfut. Apolo. fol. 298.b
299.a. & 300.a.

els tell me what other feete be they, whome we woulde haue to be the headeſ, but onely Princes, that is to ſay, every one within hiſ owne dominions: But what doo I require an anſwere of M. Dorman, whome he mea- neth by the feete: the Pope him ſelf treading the Em- perour vnder hiſ feete, and admitting all kinges, and Princes to the kiffe of hiſ ſeete (thus folowing the ex- ample of our Sauour, walleſhing hiſ Disciples ſeete, like a good Vicar) anſwereth me moſte effectuallie, not in wordes onely, but in deedes alſo. If Onesimus of a ſeruaunt, or bound man, by Christianitie became hiſ maifters or Lordes brother, I doo maruell that the Pope woulde haue all Emperours, kynges, and Prin- ces, by Christianitie to be brought to ſo base flauerie, & that all Papistes doo ſo mainteine the ſame. By which examples of moſte vniuit and intollerable wronges, & preſumptiones, of the Cleargie, if Princes iuſtly occa- ſioned, ſhould either exempt them ſelues, from all Ju- riſdiction of all Ecclesiatiſcal persons, as is euerie Po- piske Preſte, Monke, Frier, Punne, exempted from the Juriſdiction of Princes: or if Princes ſhould deſire any vndue office or honour, and would claime to be preſteſ, as well as Princes, (as in deed they doo not.) Though D. Harding, M. Dorman, and other ſuche, doo moſte untruſtie reprotoe of them, as though they ſo did. If ſuch diſordre, I ſay, ſhould happen amongeſt Temporall Princes, with what colour yet could any popiſh preſte, Monke, or Frier, being exempted from all Juriſdiction of Princes, complaine, that Princes did exempte them ſelues, from the Juriſdiction of Preſteſ: Or with what face, could any popiſh Prelate, finde fault that Princes woulde be Preſteſ, ſeinge they of Preſteſ haue

haue so long claimed, and presumed to be Princes , and
Princes Peeres , and the Poope their headde , to be
Prince of all Princes ? If Princes ~~shall~~^{haue} likewise
broken into the right of p^restes , as the Poope and his
Prelates haue broken into the righte , and Jurisdiction
of Princes , yet might they by reason seeme rather to It is justice to
haue recōpent , than to haue doon w^rong : and to haue suffer, as one
caused wrong doers to suffer right , by doing to them , hath done, and
as they haue doon to others : by measuringe to them , to haue the same
as they haue measured to others : by b^reakyng^e or^der, measure whiche
with order breakers : by usurping vpon usurpers. But he hath vsed him
blessed be God , who hath geuen suche moderation to selfe to measure
Christian Princes , that they (though vpon so great
occasion by the Poope , his Prelates , and P^restes , to
them geuen) woulde not yea^t so deale with them a- with .
gayne , as they haue dealt with Princes . For had That all is not
there not been moze moderation in Princes , than was brought to dis-
in the Poope and his Prelates herein , but that comely order and con-
order noted here out of Pazlanzene , had likewise by fusion, cōmeth
them , as it hath by the Poope , his Prelates and p^restes , of the moderad-
been broken , all had or now by disordre and confusion ,
been turned vpside downe. tion of Christiaⁿ Princes .

Dorman. Fol. 16.

This is no newe or straunge practise good Christian Readers , but v^sed even from the beginning , and continued dailie , by that olde
enemie to mankinde , and wily serpent the Devill , to set vppice-
and ouerthrowe vertue . Thus cloaketh he pryme with the
name of Clenlinesse , Courteousnesse he termeth Frugalitie , Pro-
digalitie Liberalitie , Adulterie in other menne solace , in P^ries-
tles and such as haue vored the contrarie , * he couereth it with
the honourable selle of Matrimonie : Althoughe the auncien-
fathers .

A REPROVVE OF M.

fathers of Christes churche, haue not doubted, some of them to call a ad virg-

* Translated
word for word
out of the 4.
book of Hosie,
vvhose vvoordes
are these: 

it, not as doo the Devils ministers mariage, but adulterie: as dothe S. Ambrose & S. Basil b and Theophilactus: c some of them, as S. Hieronim, d S. Austin, e and Chrysostom, f not adulterie onely, as dooe the other, but sacrilege and incest.

Ambrosius, Basilius, Theophilactus, vocant hoc genus nuptiarum adulterium: Hieronymo, Augustino, Chrysostomo visum traheatur, non satis pro eo ac ipsius turpitudine meretur, facinus id appellari, si vocassent id adulterium: itaque peius quiddam adulterio, hoc est, incestum sacrilegium eas nuptias appellandas putauerunt &c. Fol. 210. b.

Nowell.

Why continue you P. Dozman, to charge vs with your owne faultes? It is no newe d^r straunge devise, but an olde practise of Sathan, and still continued in the Popishe Synagoge, to cloke all vices vnder the names of vertues. Under h^e cloke of the honour of God and his Churche, the Pope and his prelacie couer their presumptuous lordlynes and dominio by a Christ, and his holy Apostles Peter and Paule to the Clergie for biddē. b Their cruell tyzannie, they terme fatherly correction. c Their conetousnes, whereby they haue scraped together the best part of the possessions of this worlde, they mainteine vnder the pretense of Peters patrimonie, & right of holy Church. d And by selling of all holy and vnholy thinges for money, of the temple of God they haue made a denne of theves. And thus doinge, name them selues the Vicars of Christ, who cast suche marchautes out of his temple: e and the successours of Peter, the dispiser of siluer & gould. Surfeiting in fishe meates, devouring of al delicates, and bibbing of swete wynes, they haue termed fasting. True praler unto the eternall

a Math. 20.
i. Tim. 3.
i. Pet. 5.

No domio. &c.
b i. Timoth. 3.
Oportet episcopum modestum esse non percus-
sorem. ibidem.
c i. Pet. 5.
No turpiter af-
fectantes lucru.
d Math. 21.
Luc. 19.

Vos autem fe-
cistis speluncam
latronum.

e Act. 3. a. b.
Act. 8. d. 20. pe-
culia tua tecū
si in pditionē.

lapsum.
b. in o
de virgi
c. in cap
i. Cor.
d. li. 1. c
e. li. de
no vid. c
f. Epist.
Theodo
lapsum.

eternal lustyng God, haue they turned into the inuocacion of dead men, and into mindeles mumling of their mattins, and masses, mingled with fables and blalphecies. Under the cloke of celibat, & bowes of chastitie, they haue defiled them selues with whoredomes, adulteries, and other uncleanlines not to be named: contrarie to the Scriptures, willinge them to liue with their owne wiues in all chastitie. And thus as though they were men of perfect puritie, they do with all reproches pum esse irreusile such, as accordinge to the doctrine of the ^a Srip-
tures, & allowance of the auncient holy Fathers, ^b and cōciles ^c &c. ^d

1. Timoth. 3.
Oportet episco-
vnius vxoris vi-
rum. &c.

councils, do liue chastely with their owne wiues. For ^e 1. Corinth. 7.
this is that marke, that P. Dorman in his rousinge and ^f 1. Timoth. 3.
compassinge, doth chiefly shote at. And some scelle Pa-
pistes scēninge him thus dashinge in with his doctours ^g Ambros. in cū-
on an heape, doo meruaile, I belēue, that he him selfe is Paphuntius cū
not doctor also, shewing him selfe so worthe thereof, ^h cōcil. Nicæno.
but remaineth in the inferiour degréē of a Bachiler so ⁱ Sozom. l. i. c. 23.
longe. Whereas others vnderstandinge, that all this ^j Cōc. 3. Carthag.
diuinicie was learned not in sixe yéeres studie, but in ^k Conc. Ancyran.
the readinge of sixe lines, findinge the like practise ^l Cōcil. Gan-
throughout his whole booke, will iudge that he hath ^m grensc.
come, as by his diuinicie, so by his degréē in the same,
farre more easely than other men, (who haue not the
like giste of facillitie) can do. This matter P. Dorman
findinge readie framed to his hande in Hosius,
coulde not suffer it, though nothinge pertinent to this
his purposed matter, to scape him, but muste nedes
trāslate it woorde for woorde into this place of his booke,
as the learned in the Latine tongue may by Hosius his ⁿ Hosius lib. 4.
woordes by me adsoyned to P. Dorman, wel perceiue. fol. 216. b. versus
Neither hath P. Dorman onely the same iust numbre ^o finem.

A REPROVFE OF M.

of doctours but in the very same order also: & hath made them by division ter geminos iuste, as hath Hosius donne. After which sort, it is no hard matier for M. Dozman to wryte not Bachelerlike onely, but doctorlike also: yea &

It is more easie to vwrite, thā to ryde Cardinal like.

M. Dorman's disposition of matiers.

Cardinallike tw̄, if it be, as in dēde it is, his pleasure so to do, throughout all this treatie. And Hosius in dēde hath these wōrdes towardes y latter ende of his fourth booke, in his treatie of vowes, a place not vnnicete therfore. But M. Dozman hath translated the same into the beginning of his second treatie, of the head of the Church to be a Prieſte, very aptly, I doubt not, as he thinketh: but aptly, or unaptly, he cared not where he placed Hosius his authours sayinges, so he were sure to place them some where. And these notes out of Hosius by M. Dozman translated into this impertinent place, either for that they appertaine nothing to the present matier, or for y these doctours say nothinge here them selues, but onely a short tale is in their names tolde by M. Dozman, Hosius his name, whose in dēde it is, being suppressed, I might justly passe ouer in silence, vntil M. Dozman do bringe in y said doctours, speaking in their owne wōrdes: but I will in the meane time aduertise the god Readers, y the doctours here by M. Dozman out of Hosius rehersed,

Ad Vir. lapsam. as S. Ambrose, Chrysostome, & Theophilactus, wryte against Monkes & Monnes, such as our ecclesiastical ministers, thankes be to God, are none. The titles of the bookes, Ad virginem lapsam, ad Theodorian lapsam, that is, to a Monne fallen, to Theodosius a Monk fallen: to witte, by whoredome (as do our Popishe botaries vsually now)

do declare the persons & matiers, that they do wryte of, nothinge to appertaine to our ministerie. Which your authoz Hosius alleagynge those doctours, onely against Monkes.

Hosius lib. 4.
contra Breuiū.

Monkes and Nonnes, might haue taught you, list you a Basil. de vir-
haue scene any more, than the marginall note, whiche
onely you caught & runne away with, to fraine thereof
this impertinent patch of your treatie.

ginitate.

b Hieron. Ad
Demetriadē.

c Cyprian.lib.1.

* S. Basil, b S. Hierome, with al þ rest here by you named,
þ bissides thc, c s. Cyprian, d Clemēs Alexadrinus, e Epiphanius,
with many other auncient holy fathers agreeing to the
Scriptures, willinge al persons without exception to
marrie, þ without mariage can not liue chastly in body &
minde, would rather haue such as vndiscreetly had bow-
ed, to marie, than to liue in such beastly abominations,
as þ most parte of your popish votaries do. Neither the
auncient fathers onely, but the best learned of your s po-
pishe doctours of latter time, vnderstandinge the beast-
ly life that you leade, be of the same minde.

ponium.

d Clemēs Alexā-
drinus, Pedag.
lib.2.cap.10.e Epiphanius li.2.
To.1 Hæres.61.f 1. Corinath. 7.
Quis le nō cōti-
nent, nubant:Meli enī ē nu-
bere, qvri. &c.g Panormitanus
de clericis cōiu-
gatis cap. Cuna
olim.Aeneas Syluius
post pius.29.Platina. Fa-
ber Stapulensis.h August.de bo-
no viduitatis ca.io.& ex Gratia-
no dist. 27. cap.

Quidā nubētes.

fol. 217.a.

Sed August. ait,

k Further þ doctours here by you named, with a great

nihilomin⁹ has

tēdit, nec à ma-

ritis separari vx-
ores, quasi adul-

teras permittit,

And s. Augustine, whome you emongst other auncient
doctours do here name, doth expreſſly affirme, þ the ma-
trimonie of ſuche as had bowed, is true mariage: & that
those who diuorce ſuch (as vſually now you papistes do)
Amb. li.1. do offend grēouly. And your authour i Hossius cōfes-
virginib-
heophila-
us in. 1.
or.7.
piph. cō-
a Mōtan.
xref. 48.
st. lib.9.
om.1.
origines in
barba Pauli nūbz̄e mo bissides, declaring at large in their workes, þ
q̄s pl̄f fa-
iat, q̄ o-
ortet fa-
ere.

Further þ doctours here by you named, with a great
nihilomin⁹ has
vowes ought to be made aduisedly, discretly, freely, cō-
ſtantly, without all worldy respect: vpon onely loue of
chastitie, & zeale to ſerue God moze purely and quietly,

A REPROVFE OF M.

(for other bowes they allow none) doo plainly give vs to understande that your popishe bowes , wherunto by force, and fraude, by promesse of wozldy rewardē , & liuinge, yonge men, and maidens, yee boies and girles al most, beside of all discretion and constancie , haue beeне either compelled , or intised , are in dæde no bowes, (though you falsely so terme them) but holie halters, and sinnesfull snares , wherein your craftie Romishe fores haue intangled such unskilful youth. And that likewise such, as beinge of greater age , but of small discretion, and leſſe honestie and vertue, haue taken vpō them the ſaide bowes, vpon hope of wozldy rewardē , and pleauant idle life, rather then vpō any zeale to ſerue God in puritie and cleannessse, (which by their impure and uncleane life they doo plainly declare to the whole wozlde) the ſame doctours I ſay, doo give vs to vnderſtāde, that ſuch, how ſo euer you cloke the matter, doo not in dæde make any bowes to be rendered to God , but fooliſh and faithleſſe promiſſes, displeauant to God, and moſt con trary to the ancient doctours mindes : and therfore no moſe to be per formed, than was Heroddes wicked pro miſſe made to Heredias daughter , as beinge no leſſe cauſes of all filthines , than was his promiſſe cauſe of moſte cruell murther, but are to be broken , as displeauant to God, and made againſt all the ancient doctours mindes , rather than by any ſentence of theirs to be maintained . Therfore ceaſe to alleage the ſeuere ſayinges of thofe auncient Doctours , for the maintenaunce of your popishe, fooliſh, and faithleſſe promiſſes, whose wholesome councelleſ in the making therof, you woulde neuer folowe, but haue euer refuſed , and diſpiſed the ſame . Muche moze fondly doo you alleage their ſayinges,

Ecclesiſ. §.

Math. 14. 2.7.

saynges against our Cleargie of England, who never made any boewe of soole or sngle lise, & therfore be in dede no votaries at all. And we vnderstanding by the very same ^a Doctours by you here named & by ^b Ignatius, ^c Clemens, ^d Irænius, ^e Clemens Alexandrinus, ^f Gregorie Nazianzene, Epiphanius, and others, with sondrie s histories Ecclesiastical, that the holy Apostles all sauynge Paule and John, or as some saye, sauynge on-
ly John, had wiues, and that a great numbre of moe godly Bishops and Martyrs in the primitive Churche likewise were maried: yea and that moe Bishoppes, foure hundreth yeeres after Christ, in S. Hieromes time, were maried than unmaried: and that the ma-
riage of Ecclesiastical Ministers continued ever in ho-
nour in the Churche of God in the olde lawe, and in the Churche of Christ in Greece, from the beginninge to this date: and in the Churche of Germanie and Eng-
lande also, a thousand yeeres after our saviour Christ: and that therfore your forced celibate in comparison to the antiquite and continuance of the mariage of Ec-
clesiastical ministers, is but a newe inuention of Po-
pithe tyrannie: we I say, consideringe the premisses, haue chosen rather to folowe the doctrine of the holy Scriptures, the doctrine and examples of the holy Apo-
stles, and of all the said holy Bishoppes and Martyrs, of the primitive churche of Christ, in honest and ho-
nourable Matrimonie, than to be like your Poptish vo-
taries, in all abomination and detestation of most fil-
thy and damnable lise: little regarding your vilanous reuilinges, as nothing touching our lauffull mariages, maistained by Gods woord, but bewrayng the filthy焰es, smoldering within your polluted and adultered

^a Ambrosius in
verba Pauli, de-
spondivos, &c.
^b Chrysostom. in
epist. Pauli: ad
Timoth. & Tit.
& Homil. 7. in
Math. & homil.
de beato Philo-
gonio.

^c Ad Philadel-
ph.

^d Teste Eusebio.

^e Lib. 1. ca. 9.

^f Stromat. 1. 3.

^g In oratione su-
nebri patris.

^h Sozom. lib. 1.
cap. 11. de Spi-
dione, &c.

ⁱ Hieron. ad
Oceanum.

^j Alber. Crantz.
lib. 4. ca. 43.

^k Nauc. gen. 93.
Lamb. Schaph-
naburgensis.

^l Polid. Verg. In
vita regis Edgari

& de iouentor.
lib. 5. cap. 4.

^m Henr. Hunting.
li. 7.

A REPROVFE OF M.

From vñhēce the myndes, out of the whiche these stinkinge smokes of vñcleanlie talke your vñcleane woordes, most meete for suchē mōuthes, and vñriting of the Papists doth doo continually b̄reath and breake out against Goddes prodecē. D. Harding hath doubt not, will auenge his, & our commen quarel & blotted his rite, vpon his, & our commō aduersaries and enemies. whole booke of

the Confut. of

the Apolo. vith

such treaties, as

159. a. 160. b. 15.

159. a. 160. b. 238

2. 323. a. 347. a. &

in many other

places.

Dorman. Folio. 16.

This practise I saye of the Diuell their fathers, do those his mis-
sisters most diligently imitate those clāwbackes and Princes para-
sol. 7. b. 10. b. 15. sutes, whose fauour when they labour to winne, that vnder the sha-
dow therof, their heresie may finde the better entretainment, and to
the poysoning of the world the freer passage, they vse to them these
pernicious persuasions, that they be here in earth by almighty God
placed in his churche, to be the heads therof, and not membres, to be
fathers and not children, to rule in causes of Religion, and not to be
ruled, hat to them it belongeth in the right of their crowne, to ap-
prove doctrine or to condonne it, to alter at their pleasure the state
of Religion by actes of parliament, without the consent of their
Clārgie, to depose Bisshoppes and put other in their places, in their
stiles and titles boldely to write themselves gouernours in their Re-
almes in al thinges and causes, as wel Ecclesiastical as Temporal: and
yet no order al this while broken, because forsooth they be such as they
beare them in hand they are, that is to saye, the heades, the rulers,
the shepherdes, the fathers, maisters and guides in Religion.

Norwell.

*Railing repro-
ches most vsuall
to the Papistes,
do shovv what
they be who do
speake thē: not
what they be, of
whom they are
spoken.

Concerning these contumelies of wordes, where
with you here and els where continually ouerwhelme
doo shovv what vs they doo * proue nothing against vs, but do declare
the malice of your, and felowes hartes, out of the abun-
dance wherof they proceade: we thinke it no marueill
when the maister of the housholde was called Beelze-
bub, that we his pooze seruauntes are thus misnamed,
and re-

and reviled: nay we take it to sound to our praise, to be conformed to him in this part of reproches, susteined at their handes, who are the very successours and childdren of the slanderers of our Sauour.

But to the matter, we say. M. Dozman here maketh vs to speake as pleaseth him, and where he can not re-
proue y which we say, he maketh vs to say that which he may reproue. For that Princes be heades of Churche and not members, that Princes may by no meanes be ruled in causes of Religion, that they by the right of their crowne may approue or condene doctrine, and alter at their pleasure the state of Religion, &c. We M. Dozmans sayngs and not ours: soz if they be ours, let him shewe where & when we haue so laid, or wrlte. Suche kinde of phrases be proper to y Papistes onely, who doo make so of their Pope, & attribute all this, and much more to him: it is M. Dormans present proposi-
tion now in hand, that the Pope is the head of Christes vniuersal churche here in earth, of which office as before he hath pro-
missed to proue, that no lay man, womā, nor childe, can be capable. Dorman supra
(soz thus he speaketh) so is he here not ashamed moste vntruly to charge vs, as though we had affirmed, that a prince so might be. But we euer graunted y our Christian pr̄nces, though they be the chiese persons and go-
vernours in the particular Churches of their owne do- Christiā Princes
minions, be yet both the selues & their particular chur- childe and me-
ches also, childdren and members of Christes vniuersall bers of Christes
church: wherof as he saith moste vntruly, y their Pope Churche.
in earth is the head, & no member, so doth he & other his
selwes teach, y their said Pope must rule in all causes Pighius Hierar.
of Religion, & by no meanes may be ruled, that no man lib. 6. cap. 13.
may gainsay his determinations, but are of necessitie Hard. Confut.
bound to obey the without any grudge, inquisitiō or ex. Apolog. fol. 302.
aminatio at al, y it apperteineth to him in y right of his
Romaine

A REPROVFE OF M.

a Hardinge in Romaine chaire, to approue or condemne doctrine, and
in his answer to at his pleasure to alter the state of Religion, that
the Bishop of his expositions of the scriptures, & so that he can not
Saturn. Fol. 85. erre, as in whom vñ S. Peter dwelleth, are most certain,
b Epist. Sixti. 2. & Pigh. hierarch. and infallible rules, always to be folowed, that e his
lib. 6. cap. 13. judgement is more to be folowed than the iudgement of
De elect. & elec. the whole wozlde: that he is more than a man, & their
potestate. cap. lord God the Pope: that he hath all authoſtie vñ Christie
Significasti. hath. And theri most falsely thus teaching of their Pope
in glosa. doo as falsely affirme, that we woulde make Popes of
Extra Iohao. 22. cap. Cum inter. our Princes, whom, though they be the chiefe men, yet
in glosa. Et de we euer taught, and so them selues haue euer acknow-
Maior. & obe. ledged, to be but mortall men, subiect to errour & as wel
cap. vnam sanct. in glosa. as to other humaine infirmities: Whereby it may evi-
d Princes sub- dently appeare howe vntrulie they doo beare the people
iect to error, & in hande, that of Princes we would, either make e them
well as to other Popes, by attributing to them the office of the Pope, &
humaine infir- as muche authoſtie as the Pope claimeth ouer all the
mities. Churche: or at the least, petie Popes, by geuing them
e D. Hard. con- Popishe authoſtie ouer the Churche within their own
fut. Apol. fo. 298 & 299. dominions. f Whiche surmises and sclaunders howe
f VVe neither false they be: and that neither we doo attribute to our
goo about to make Princes Princes, neither our Princes doo take vpon them any
Popes, nor petie luche Popishe preeminence, either generally ouer the
Popes. whole Church, or particularly ouer the Church of their
g Christia prin- owne dominions, s but onely the authoſtie by the pra-
ces do now take-
vpo them none-
other authori-
tie, than the an-
ciet godly prin-
ces bothe of the
Iuis h and Chri-
tia church ysel.
Prince and estates of the Reialme, assembled in parlia-
mene,

ment, be remoued: though the Scriptures, prayers and seruice of God, in our natvie language to all our country men knowen, with certaine other godly thinges, belonginge to divine seruice, by the saide false usurper the Pope, and his prelates, before vnjustly remoued and taken away from the people of God in our countrey, be restored and established by the same comon authuritie: Yet is thereforee neither any true doctrine condemned, neither any state of Religion, in Goddes and our Sauiour Christes woorde and Lawe taught, or set forth, altered or chaunged, but rather are all these thinges by the authuritie of Gods woorde, stablished and obserued: the same true doctrine, & Christian Religion, the same booke of the olde Testament and the Newe, that is of the whole Scriptures & lawe of God (wherein the saide true doctrine & Religion are conteined) that were from the beginning, are stil retaineed and kepte, and are now set forth and deliuered to the people of God, y^e better, and more perfecte for edification set forth and deliuered, then they were lately before: that is to witte, in sucher sorte as Goddes people may understande them, both by readinge, and hearing of them, as is most meete it is meete that that those, that stande bounden to the keepinge of the lawe, shoulde not be ignoraunt what is the Lawe: (as on the contrary parte was before moste unreasonably done by the Papistes, to kepe Goddes people, bounden to kepe Goddes lawes, from the knowledge of the same vnder an unknowen language and tongue.) The same Christian Religion, the same Scriptures, I saie, and Woorde of God, and no other, are now kepte, retaineed, & reverenced, the same faith conteined at large in the said Scriptures, and b*re*ifly comp*re*ssed in the thre creedes, &c.

No true doctrine, norgood state of Religion altered, muche lesse condemned: but onely a false usurpar with his gainefull abuses remoued by publicke authority.

those, who doo stande bounden to keape the lawe, I shoulde know the lawe.

The same Christian Religion, scriptures, faith, wherein wee were Baptised,

A REPROVFE OF M.

That were siō or summes of our faith, the Apostles creede, the Piscens
the beginninge creede, and Athanasius creede: in the whiche faith we
are nowv kepte,
ysed, and reue-
reced.

With vnderstanding, & beleued: the same Lawe of God,
the same cōmaundements of God, to lead our liues by,
are now set forth with vnderstandinge: the same forme
of the Lordes prayer, taught by our Saviour Christ, is
now dayly vsed: the holy Sacramentes, of Baptisme, &
of the Bodie & Bloud of our Saviour, with prayars, &
other seruices of God, are according to the saide Scrip-
tures now ministred, received, & obserued duely with
reuerence in our Churches. Nothing therfore of y sub-
staice of Christian, & true Religiō is altered: no doctrine
y is in the Scriptures & Law of God deliuerned, taught,
& allowed, is refusid, much lesse (as this man saith) are
thei, at the pleasure of the Prince, either altered or con-
demned: onely the Romishe usurper, and at uses by him
obtruded, and thrust vpon our countrey, prince, & peo-
ple, are by the authoritie of Gods wozde, & by the aun-
cient lawes reuived, restored & receiued in the realme,
out of our said countrey expelled, whereunto if that por-
tion of the Cleargy, that for their p̄suade lucre haue co-
spired and sworne to the maintenance of the laid usur-
per their heade, and his abuses, agaistte their Prince,
and their countrie, will not agree: as it may be truelie
saide that such alteratio, or rather reformation, is made
without the cosent of some being a portion of y Clear-
gie, so is it most true, that true Religion, beyng by the
Pope, & his sworne seruauntes of the Cleargie, at their
pleasure before falsely altered, & corrupted, is with the
aduise of the godly learned Cleargie, according to Gods
expresse will & pleasure in his wozde declared, by pu-
blke

It is no newe
thinge, for the
Bishoppes to
withdrawn their
cosents frō actes
of Parliament,
and yet the saide
actes neuerthe-
lesse stande in
full force and
strength. Vide
Richardi. 2d. An.
20.ii.cap.3.

bliske authoritie of al þ other estates of þ Realme, truely
refourmed, & restored. And in case þ saide partial swoyne A Cleargy nor
Cleargie, will needes still continue for their priuate to be suffered.
lucre, and ambition in their conspiracie, to the mainete-
naunce of the said usurper, as their head, though vnna-
tural, against our natural Prince, and the Realme, and
to the continuance of their saide grosse abuses and er-
rors, to the deceuting of the Christian people, whiche
are by God to the gouernment of the Prince committed,
should the Prince most manifestly knowyng the same,
wittingly, and willingly suffer such a Cleargie, as per-
sons by vniuste priuilege exempt from al controlment,
still to remayne in authoritie, to the moze effectuous se-
ducinge of Goddes people, to the Princes chardge com-
mitted, and practisinge for a sozaigne usurper, againste
the naturall Prince and countrey: so it shoulde be by
M. Dorman's, ^{M. Dorman's,}
N. Dorman's will: elles is all ordre broken, and all confusion
not Nazianzenes,
brought in, by his judgement: elles are the courses of the sunne
order bro-
and Moone chaunged: elles the foote presumeth to be the head: elles ken.
Princes take vpon them in the right of their crowne, to approue or
condemne doctrine, to alter at their pleasure the state of Religion:
elles doo Princes mengle heauen and earth, holy and prophane things Dor. Post. fol.
together: elles are Christian Princes guiltie of plaine violence and 27. a.
robberie, of sacrilege and Antichristianisme, as invading by trayny
Priestes offices, yea and very Priesthooде it selfe: and we forsooth
more guiltie of beastly, and parasiticall flatteringe of the saide
princes, by M. Dorman's judgement and determination.

Dorman. Fol. 17.

These be they therefore good readers that as the prophete saith,
call bonum malum, & malum bonum, tenebras lu-
cem, & lucem tenebras, good euell, and euell good, darckenes
light, and light darckenes.

D 2

Nowell.

Nowell.

D.Harding obz. You haue hitherto shewed no god thinge , that we
 iesteth the same haue called euill: no darknes, that we haue called light:
 vnto vs in sou- neither contrarywisse. Onely you haue made euell and
 dry places. false reportes of Christian Princes, and vs: and woulde
 Cōsul. Apol. fo. haue the simple people to beleue that your euel sayings
 n. 2. 14. b. 15. 2.
 &c. are ours. But in dede who so euer knoweth your say-
 ings and doinges, may well beleue that the Prophete
 here speaketh directly of you. For touchinge euen that
 matter of the mariage of Ecclesiastical ministers, so
 sclaundrously a little before by you reviled, who so euer
 heareth the woarde of God , teachinge every man & wo-
 man (for the auoydinge of fornication as a great euill)
 to marie : and that to marie is better then to burne:
 and that mariage is not onely good and honest, but al-
 so honoorable emongst al men without exception, & that
 God will condemne whoremongers and adulterers, &
 on the contrary part, seeth or heareth how many popish
 Priestes haue continued, & do continue in fornication,
 adulterie, and other not to be named vncleanness, ra-
 ther then they will marie , as though mariage were
 greater euil then such vncleanness, who so euer, I say,
 will consider this, may knowe who they be, that do call
 god euill, & euill god. And you not content therewith,
 do with all reproches and vilanie of contumelies over-
 whelme such of the Cleargie, as havinge not the gift of
 leadinge a sole life in true chastitie of minde and bo-
 die , and fearinge Goddes dreadfull threatninges de-
 nonced, not onely to suche as live in whooredome
 and filthe life , but also to suche as doo burne with
 vnlawfull desires and lustes , and therefore fearinge
 more Goddes wrath , than mans corrupt iudgement
 and

and vnusefull scoulders, doo marie, as God dothe in his holy woord not onely permitte, but in such case also, doth will and commaunde them, and all men and women to doo.

Againe whosoever considereth how God forbiddeth Exod.20. Non
all Idols, Images, Similitudes, and likenesses of any facies. Non
thing what so euere, whiche are the wozkes of mennes coles ea. &c.
handes, and haue eies and see not, handes and feele not,
feete and goo not, &c. (for by all these names and circu- Jerem. 32 f. 34
stances, dooth the Scripture terme them, exceptinge Posuerunt Idola
none,) to be placed in his temple, or to be worshipped: calling them not onem, ve
and ende of all euill, abominable, defiling of Goddes Polluerent eam,
holy house, the tentation of mens soules, the snares of Principes & Sa-
simple mens feete: and withall readeth the horribile cerdotes & Pro-
threatninges of God to all suche as doo make, & wor- phetae eorum, &c. Sap.14 d.27. &c.
shippe such Idols, Images, Similitudes, or likenesses, Deut. 4. d.25.
doing euill before the Lorde, as saith the Scriptures. Si decepti fecer-
And on the contrary part hath seene Images, Simili- ritatis vobis ali-
tudes and likenesses of men, and women, (whiche no quam similitudinem patratus
doubt are thinges in heauen aboue, in the earth beneath, malum coram
or in the water vnder y earth, workes of mens handes, Dño Deo vestro-
haunting eyes and see not, handes and feele not) placed by vt cum ad iracu-
Popish Priestes in the temple of God, as good things, dia prouocetis,
or ornamente of the Lordes house, and the light of the testes invoco cœ-
blynde, and named Idolltes, or late mens booke: who lu & terrā citio-
them selues haue worshipped them, and caused others vos pituros esse
to worship them, with seeking of them by pylgrimage, de terra, &c.
with kneling & creeping to them, with kissinge of their Ibique servietis.
feete, with gistes and oblations, and with perfuminge Dijs q̄ hom̄ ma-
of incense, as with burnt sacrifice: and haue by the said nib⁹ fabricati
Images, and suche worshipping of them robbed Gods sūt ligno & lapi-
&c. di qui non vidēs.

D3. people.

A REPROVVE OF M.

people both of all godlines, and of their gould to, and
gete to them selues thereby infinite thousandes. And
as the Scriptures testifie, that suche Princes as haue
pulled downe such Images, haue doone that whiche is
good in the sight of the Lorde, and that those Princes
whiche set them vp, and worshipped them, haue doone
euill in the sight of the Lorde: So doo our Papistes
cleane contrary, calling them that set vp such Images,
garnishe and decke them, honour and woorschipe them,
good and catholike men, and suche as do pull them
downe, or will not woorschipe them, they call louardes
and heretiques. Who so ever, I say, will consider these
thinges on both partes, and infinite other like thinges,
in the Popishe Churche moste usuall, and heareth my
Doxman crise out: These be they good Readers, that as the Pro-
phet saith, call good euill, and euill good &c. woulde he not
thinke, that he directly pointed out, and described the
pedib⁹ meis ver-
bum tuum. &c. Popishe Sinagoge: for it is enough by these two ex-
Preceptū dñi lu-
cidū illuminans
oculos. &c.
Lex Dñi imma-
culata & cōuer-
tēs animas. &c.,
Sapientia prestā:
parvulis.
Prover. 6. c. 23.
enādatū lucerna
est, & lex lux.
John. 5. f. 39.
Scrutamini scri-
pturas.
Math. 22. c. 29.
Erratis nescien-
tes scripturas

these causes our sausour Jesus Christ, exhorteth men to
searche the Scriptures, shewing the ignorance of the
Scriptures to be the causes of errors. And on the con-
trary part dooth heare and see what the Pope and his
Prelates say, and doo: howe they teache that the sear-
ching and knowledge of the Scriptures, are the causes
of errors, ignorance, the mother of devotion: how they
hide

h̄de the light of Gods w̄ord, from the ries of ȳ simple,
to whome it shoulde shine, vnder the bushel of a straunge
language: how they burne that light of Gods w̄ord, de-
shining to the simple in ȳ brightnes of a knownen tōge,
in a light fire: and by al threatn̄ges & terrors, feare
the people of God, bound to kepe the wil of God, from
the reading of the w̄orde of God, and from knowinge of
his lawe, conuerting their soules, and vnderstandinge
of his will in his w̄orde conteined, as though it did not
conuert, but perueri mens soules: not suffering them (vntil now
of late time, that ȳ Papistes were soz very shame ther-
to compelled) as much as to haue the articles of the Chri-
stian faith, the tenne comandemēts, & the lordes prater,
In their knownen mother tongue, not to knowe how to
belue, how to l̄ue, how to pray: but compelling christias
like Popeniayes, to pronounce wordes not vnderstaaded,
and so by asking they wote not what, to be gilty ther-
for before the Lord. Againe whosoeuer readeth in the
scriptures, that me of the Cleargie are called the light
of the world, soz that they shoulde be preaching of Gods
w̄ord, ȳ true light, conuert the world fro the darkenes
of the ignozaunce of God, to the light of the knowledge
of him, & sc̄t̄h our popisly Clergie, not contented to with-
draw the light of the scriptures from ȳ people, to with-
draw also the light of their preaching, & to become blind
guides, & lead the blind into the ditch of ignorance & er-
rour first, & of damnation afterward. And so, where as
our Sauio; Christ saith, al ȳ is made manifest is light,
these me not suffering ȳ word of God, neither any thing
els to be manifest, would kepe al in darkenes, shewing
the selues to hate the light, as euil doers, fearing their
woorkes of darkenes, by the light to be made manifest.

And:

Psalm. n8.

Illuminans ocu-
los intellecu-
dans paruulis.

Math. 5. b. 13

Vos estis lux

mundi.

Luc. i. Illumina-
re ih̄esū ib̄ te-
nebris. &c.A. 16. Ut con-
veuantur a te-
nebris ad luce-Eph. 5. Omne
quod manifesta-
tur lumen est.

A REPROUFE OF M.

And thus not teaching them selues , neither opening
the eyes of the blinde, neither suffering the people to see
the light with their owne eyes; they haue set vp blinde
Images that can see nothing the selues , (it may seeme
in very mockage of y poore blinde people) to geue sight
to others, that can see something: and lame Images
that can not go one stoke , to leade & guide others , that
can go in the waye of life, as they say, soz they cal them
Late mes bookes (as I said) that is to say, their teachers
and instructors. Whosoever I say wel considereth these
thinges, and infinite mo like, and heareth M. Dozman
crie , these be they that call light darkenesse, woulde he not
thinke I saye , that he appointed mosle direly ; and
painted out mosle lively, the Popishe Synagoge : And
haue we not good occasion, yea, most iust cause, to geue
hartie thankes to God, the father of lightes, and to set
forth his excellent goodnes , who hath called vs from
the power of this Popishe devillish darkenes, vnto the
maruelous light of his gloriouſ Chospell.

Collos. i. b. 13.

Gratias agentes
Deo qui eripuit
nos de potestate
tenebrarū. &c.

& i. Pet. i. b. 9.

Ye virtutes au-
temus eius qui
de tenebris nos
vocauit in admir-
abile lumen suū.

Dorman. fol. 17.

These be they that as their Idol of Geneva (in this pointe truly) Calui. li.
giueth answer, go about to make princes iuste with God. Inst. ca.

Norwell.

Not Caluine, (whome it pleaseth M. Dozman to
call our Idol) but M. Dozman him selfe saith so of vs.
For though Caluine was by misreport abused (as shall
hereafter plainly appeare) yet in dede we doo attri-
bute no moze to Christian Princes, than he dooth: ne-
ther gaue he any lesse to them than we doo , as hereaf-
ter, where M. Dozman is in hande with Caluine twise
oz chrise

or thysse againe, shall manfekly be declared. And it is
a false sclauder, that we go about to make Princes to
tussle with God. They be the Papistes, that make the potestate.cap.
Pope to tussle with God, terming him their Lorde and
God the Pope, affirming him to be moze than a man,
that he can not erre, adnouching that he hath al power
that Christ hath. That his will standeth for reason.

These be those clawbackes, and Popishe Parasites,
that set their Pope not onely aboue all the Churche,
but also auaunce him against all that is called God, as
was before forshowed, that Antichrist shoulde be auan-
ced, and magnified.

Dorman. Fol. 17.

Finally, these are those lowsie brokers, that leading as it were
by the hand, their good and vertuous Princes, after this sweete po-
soned bait, from the most pleasant and fertile valeis of humilitie, to
the top of the high barren, and craggy mountaines of pride and ar-
rogancie, showing them when they haue them there, the riches and
ornamenteis of the Churche, the lundes and revenues thereof (by good
and vertuous Princes their predecessours and auncestours, long time
the cause of before for this entent especially thereto givuen, that the Ministers of
dowinge Christes moste holy woorde and blessed sacramenteis, beinge by ha-
c Church using of their owne, deliuereis from that comberous care of prou-
th lades. sion for them selues, that after warde the holy Ghoste who was the
procuror of suche almoise, and stirred from time to time, the devo-
tion of good men thereto, forswere through the deacie of pietie, and
coldenesse of charittie towardes the latter ende of the worlde, they
were likely to fall into: might thereby the more quietly folowe
their vocation:) promise of all the same to make them the Lordes and
maisters; if they will doo them honage, and fall downe and wor-
ship them; that is to saie, barkan to their doctrine, submite them
selues thereto, and graunie it within their Realmes and domi-



nions,

A REPROVE OF M.

unions, fauourable entretaynement.

Norwell

Seing it hath pleased M. Dorman, to place vs so neare
the most honorabile persons of our Princes and Soue-
raignes, as to lead them by the hand, he might, had it so
pleased him, for that time at the least, haue geuen vs
an other moze honest title and name, than Louise bro-
gers: for they shold be somewhat moze cleanly, that
should be placed so neare so high, and honourable Prin-
ces, by any officer in Court, vnlesse it were M. Dorn-
man. And concerning the matter: They be not the
pleasaunt and fertile vales of humilitie, that M. Dorn-
man doth speake of, but the dimme dales, or rather
dennes and donegeons of bondage and slauerie, in the
whiche they would keepe all Christian Princes thralle,
vnder their Pope and Popishe Cleargie, to be lead by
the noles, whiche waye shall please them. Otherwise,
we haue bene moste earnest at all times to admonishe
Princes of their dutie, and that they shold humble the
selues before the Maiestie of God, with farre moze dill-
gence, than euer were the popish preachers, I am sure.

Further, all men be not of M. Dormans minde, but
thinke rather that the exceſſive riches and possessions,
ſpecially dominions, princedoms, and kingdoms, giuen
to the Cleargie, as poison poured into the Church, haue
ben the caufe why they haue left the dutie of preaching,
and right ministerie of the Sacrametes, and geuen the
selues to worldy carez and covetousnes, and to all riot-
uousnes, pride, pompe, lordlynes, and mundane vanitie.
Whiche horriblie abuses of the Popishe Cleargie, prouo-
king Gods and mans iust indignation, haue beene the
caufes of ſuch their decay, as M. Dorman complaineth
of and

Venenum inſu-
ſum in Ecclesiā.

of, and not we: who, as it is well knownen, haue not at any time, encouraged Princes to conuerte the gods of the Churche to their priuate gaine, but to publique & Godly vse: as erection of schooles, enlarging of the uniuersities, maintaining of learning, soundinge of hospitalles, and releeving of the poore. Wherfore M. Dozman doth attribute all this to vs vntruly and maliciously. He might justly haue appled this allusion of the Deuils offre, to his Pope, & popish prelates: whom the Deuill hath led from the bale of humilitie of Ecclesiasticall ministers, vnto the craggie topes of proude popishe Rome, and from thence hath shewd them the ryches, dominios, pompe, and glorie of this world, which they (forlaking their ministerie) haue folowed, and catched with toothe & nayle. For sure it is, that the Pope received not all his worldly pompe, riches, and dominions of our Sauour Christe who refused the like; by the Deuill vnto him offered, denised his kingdome to be of this wozle, and forbade his true disciples the possession of suche riches, and worldly dominios, as the Pope wholy claimeth as his right, and in part hath the same in possession. Wherfore he might herein more justly bzag to be his Vlicar here in earth, who offered to such, as would worship him, all these thinges: the Vlicar of the Deuill, I say, rather than the Vlicar of Christe, who offered them to none, forbad them his true disciples. And I maruelle much that M. Dozman confesseth that the popishe Cleargye haue had all their liuinges geuen them by the liberalite of Princes: soz he scarsly agreeth herein with other Papistes, who teache that the Pope is the Lord of al the world, & that neither Constantine the great, nor Phocas, nor Lodonius Pius, nor Ma-

De Major. & o-
bedientia. ca. vnā
sanctam.
Sext. Decret. li. 3
tit. 9. ca. Pericu-
loso. in glosa.
Pigh. Heracl.
lib. 5. cap. 3.

toldis the Countesse, gave the Pope any thinge, but re-
stored him part of y whole, whiche of right was wholy
his owne: no doubte, as deliuercd him by the Prince of
this worlde, who claymeth it as his owne, and made
offer thereof to such, as would wooshippe him. Ther-
fore M. Dozman might haue doone moze discretely to
haue aduouched, that the Popish Cleargie had all their
fuelchode of their Lord & God the Pope, whose is the
worlde, and all that therein is, as the Deuils heire
apparaunt. For by this his satyng, that the riches and re-
venues of the Church were by Princes geuen to it, he may seeme
to haue broken wide open that wyndoe (with the ope-
ning whereof, he falsely chargeth vs) vnto Princes, to
take againe that, whiche is confessed to haue beeke by
them geuen: specially, seing the intent and vse, wherea-
soe it was geuen, is not perfonmed on the Papistes
partes, but the same liberaltie of Princes, is most illi-
berally and shamefully by them abused: whiche hath
beene the deale of all pietie in the Romishe Cleargie,
and is the cause, why men haue iudged it moze mete to
take part from them, rather thā to geue the moze. Hus-
rely M. Dozman, your divinitie mattiers haue striken
the remebraunce of your Canon lawe out of your head,
when you did write after this sorte.

Dorman. Fol. 17.

And that this is true good Readers, that they haue thus sha-
mefully abused and deceived their Princes, and not furnised or una-
gined by me to bring them into hatred, whom God I take to record, I
pitie much and hate nothing: I hope by his assistance who is the givere
of all good thinges, so plaineby to proke, that you, your selues shall
at the eie see it, and they (if there remaine yet in them any sparcke
of grace)

See D. Hard.
Confut. Apolo-
fol. 37. 2.

of grace) shall not be hable to denie it . The whiche that I may the better performe , I shall trulye bringe foorth , as it were into the face of the open courte , all suche evidence of importance , as either parte hath to alleage for them selfe : so trulye I truste , that the councell of the other side shall haue no cause to complaine , that either I haue suppressed and concealed , their necessarie proues one waie , or obscured their beauty in the bringinge of them foorth on the other .

No well.

You may knowe the discipole of a pitifull Maister ,
by his very stile . His maister D. Hardinge speaketh
thus : ye are accused of your fathers , of your bretherne , of Confut. Apol.
your mother , who loue you moste tenderly , and with vnspeake- fol.37.a.
able grieve of harte bemone your case . Thus muche D. Har-
dinge . W. Dormans wordes are : This is not imagined by
me to bringe them into hatred , whome God I take to recorde , I pitie
much and hate nothinge . Thus saith the scholer . And
is one egge more like to an other , than are the speaches
of the scholer and the maister . And these pitifull men ,
& boide of all hatred , are of that sorte , who of loue could
not onely (as did she in Terence ,) shut vs out of the
doores of our countrey , but also of pure pitie and tendre
loue , would rost as many of vs as they may get , quicke
at a stake , as becometh the chilbren of so tenderly lo-
vinge a Stepmother , as is the Romishe Churche : but
bitcause they are not hable ne we so to ouer reache vs ,
thankes be to God , and our gracious Soueraigne ther-
fore , yet doth as well the maister as the scholer , as all
the children of that mother , that whiche they may , at e-
very light occasion , thei doo in their bookes overwhelme
vs with all railinges , reproches , and spitefull wordes :

E 3.

no

A REPROVFE OF M.

no doubt of the abundance of their pitifull & charita-
ble heart towardes vs. For who may doubt thereof in
M. Dozman seeing he taketh God to recorde therein? yet
I woold wishe he shoulde remembre that the Lorde will
not holde him guiltlesse that taketh his name in vaine.
He doeth with as god confidence trust that he will by
Goddes helpe goe through with his lies, as he doth with
god conscience cal God to recorde of his pitie towardes
vs: he may in dede rather put his trust in the aide of
that lyinge spirite mencioned in the booke of Kinges
& Chronicles, whiche hath for this greate while inspi-
red the tongues and guided the pennes of suche Papi-
cles moste pithely, and effectuously, to the deceivinge of
suche as do delight in lies. That he will bringe all evidence
of importance that both partes haue, into the face of open Courte;
he will deale so truely that none shall haue cause to complaine, and
prove all thinges so plainlye to the eye. You may belieue him
by that experiance you haue alreadie had of him vpon
like promise. For I assure you, you shall finde him no
chaungeling, but shal at the eye see most evidently, that
as he hath begunne in his sommar treatise, of the necessi-
tie of one head of the Churche, wherein are as many
lies as there be lynes, so will he continue constante to
the ende in bringyng forth whole loades of leude lies, &
sonde fables into the open face of the Courte, without
any suppreßinge, concellinge, or obscuringe of them at
al. And hitherto, god Reader, I pray thee remembre that
M. Dozman from the beginningne hath proued nothing,
neither plainlye nor darkly, though he haue saide many
thinges very plainlye. As that we haue broken all good or-
dre, made the Delphine to plowre, the Oxe to swimme: the head,
the feete: the feete the head: that we haue cloaked vices under the
names

3. Reg. 22.

2. Paral. 18.

Ego spiritus me-
dax in ore omnium
prophetarum
cius, &c.

names of vertues: that we will haue Princes by no meanes to be ruled in matiers of Religion: that we teach that Princes in the right of their Crowne may at their pleasure condenne doctrine, and alter the state of Religion: that we call good euill, and euill good: lighte darkenes, and darkenes light: that we make Princes to iustice with God: that we make a shewe of the Riches and reuenues of the Churche to Princes, promisinge to make them Lordes thereof, if they will fall downe and worshippe vs. All this, and muche more, hath he alreadie saide, in so shorte a space, and adourned his saide sayynges with all vile reprochynge of vs. But he hath onely so saide, and of all these sayynges, hath he proued nothinge but onely by sayinge whiche euidence, I trust the Courte wil not allowe, as god and lawfull, or of any importaunce, specially seeinge I haue proued truely, and at large declared, that al these his sayynges, are false fables, lewde lies, and shamefull sclauders: and that the Pope and his Papistes them selfes haue accomplished all these disordres, vised these clokinges, so blurped vpon Christes Churche, & Christian Princes: so misnamed god and lighte, by the termes of euill and darkenes, so trusted with God, so fallen downe before the Devill, shewynge and offeringe them the Riches of the worlde: so worshippinge him, and receiuinge his offer. All which thinges, falsely by M. Dorman in wordre attributed to vs, I haue declared in daide, to appertaine to the Pope and his Papistes.

Dorman. Folio. 17.

But because an indifferent and uprighte iudge, muste alwaies haue an earnest eye to the issue, (whiche is betwene vs who shoulde governe in ecclesiasticall causes, the prince or the priest) it shall:

A REPROVFE OF M.

I shall not be amisse (because to be chiefe gouernour in thinges and causes ecclesiastical is nothinge elles but to haue the supreme iurisdiction thereto belonginge) to examine firste, in what pointes that consisteth, that so by conferringe our evidence with the same, whether it agree with every parte, with none, with some, and with whiche: we may at the length by good scanning come to the knowledge of every mans owne.

Jurisdiction therefore ecclesiastical, consisteth especially in Ecclesiastical three pointes: in autoritie to iudge ouer doctrine whiche is sound call iurisdiction and whiche is other, in the power of the keyes, that is to say, as Etio whiche our sauour himselfe hath expounded it, in loosinge and bindinge, excommunicatinge and absoluinge, in makinge rules and lawes for the gouernement of the Churche, and in the ministry of the Woerde and the sacramentes.

Nowell.

It appeareth by this euidence, stile, scanninge, and handlinge, of the matter, and specially by that earnest eie to the issue, as becometh an upright Judge, that M. Dorman hath beeene brocking or pedlyng in some suites of the law, and wasted some time therin. And that how so euer he hath trifled hitherto, he will now haue (as he saithe) an eye to the issue, and fall earnestly to his mater. But where M. Dorman had rather bise the terme of Jurisdiction, than gouernaunce of the Churche, & saith, to be chiefe gouernour is nothing elles, but to haue supreme Jurisdiction: it seemeth to me that he expoundeth a plaine woerde & mater by a darker, and that of some god purpose, yee may be sure. We can well houlde our selfes content with the termes or names, of gouernour and gouernaunce in the Church and causes Ecclesiastical, as bothe plaine enough, and neerer to that stile, whiche

which Ch^ristian Princes do by right vse. And I do confess I haue here first learned y^e the ministrerie of the Sa- cramente, & preaching of y^e woorde of God, is called Ju- risdiction: bylike what so euer perteineth to any mans office or duetie, is with M^r. Dozman his Jurisdiction: as feeding of y^e sheepe, is the Jurisdiction of the Sheapherde. Againe me thinke y^e here be moe pointes of Jurisdiction than th^ere, elles hath either M^r. Dozman, or his printer, pointed amisse: excepte, makinge of rules, and lawes, for the gouernment of the Churche, & the ministerie of the woorde and Sa- cramente, be altogether but one parte, for so it is pointed, & yet one woulde thinke them diuers pointes: elles he meaneth, y^e the making of rules for the gouernmēt of the church, is a parte of binding and loosinge, though it be not so poincted. And so he hath enlarged y^e parte, to more than retēning or remitting of sinnes, as our Sauour him selfe expounded it. But I thinke it of nature nerest to that pointe, whiche it standeth furthest frō: I meane the first, of authoritie to iudge ouer doctrine, wel, it is so placed, that it may be a parte of whiche poyncte ye will, or elles it ma- keth no matier, whether it be any poyncte or parte at all, or no: for though it haue an incertayne place here in M^r. Dozmans division, yet in the pr̄cessē of his treatie folowing, it hath no place at al, but is passed ouer with great silence, no mention at all beyng made thereof. An other mater there is in this distribution, that if all Ecclesiasticall Jurisdiction do stande in these pointes, than al that haue al these pointes, are the headeſ of the Churche: but all Bishoppes haue full authoritie in all these pointes, (as shall in the sequele appeare,) it will fall out therefore, that there be ſo many headeſ in the Churche, as there be Bishoppes. And ſo M^r. Dozman ſhall not hereby gaine ſo muche, for the Jurisdiction of

Bishoppes, as Ecclesiastical persons, against Christian Princes, as Lay persons: but he shall loose a great deale moze of his one onely head , so necessary in the whole church(as he saith)which is his first & chiefe fundatiō of all. And so is it to be feared, lest M. Dozman, whilſt in this his ſeconde propositiō, he, to incōideratly trauaileth againſt Christian princes, do, by to blunt violece, ouerthrow his firſt propositiō of the neceſſitie of one head: & ſo wretſling with Princes unwares, caſt him ſelf in his throve, & therewith ouerturne y Pope his head. And I pray theē, god Reader, conſider of al y M. Dozman ſhall bring into y face of open Court(as he ſaith)in this treaſtie of Jurisdictiō ecclesiastical, what one thing there is, y may ſerue to proue his propositiō. That the head of chriſies church muſt needs be a priēt. What one thiſge there is whiche appertaineth not as well to all Bifhoppes , as to the Bifhoppe of Rome. And ſo conſequently maketh all Bifhoppes equall with him, which if it fall out, M. Dozman had not ſo godan eye to his iſſue, as he ſaith he woulde haue . But where as M. Dozman hath here made vs a very darke diuisiō of Jurisdiction ecclesiastiſcall, hauinge as he ſaith the threē pointes, but as it appeareth, one moze is crept in unwares, and ſo where threē onely are appointed, there are ſounde fourē forþ coming, two of the which threē, or rather threē of y which fourē, he by and by after ſaith he wil not trauaile in, but will let them alone, for that there is in them no controuersie, whereas in deede the moſt controuersie is in one of them. I meane, about the authoritiē in makinge rules, and lawes for the gouernement of the churche. Whiche pointes yet with the other twoo pointes of the power of the keies: and miniftrey of the woordē and sacramentē, M. Dozman in y proceſſe paſſeth ouer in great silence, and ſo he leaueth

Dormā. fol. 20. 2

to vs behinde, as remaininge in controuersie, onely the
 first pointe of his Jurisdiction, whiche is: *the authoritie
 to iudge ouer doctrine, whiche is sounde and whiche is not.* But
 whereas knowledge in Goddes woorde, is most requi-
 site in iudginge of doctrine in mattiers of Religio, with-
 out the which, there is sure no authoritie to iudge ther-
 in, (for blinde men can iudge no colours.) I do maruaile
 that M. Dozman maketh no mention of knowledge in
 iudginge of doctrine, but onely of authoritie to iudge ouer
 doctrine. Woulde he at the firsste, beare vs in hande, that
 a Bishop, as blinde as a bætell, as ouer many are to be
 founde euen neere to the Pope, and hauinge not muche
 moze learninge almost than some asse, should onely by
 his authoritie iudge of the doctrine of a learned man,
 beyng no Bishop? Surely great learned men of your
 side M. Dozman, do affirme that in thinges concerning
 faithe, the sayinge of a meane man, is to be preferred be-
 fore the sayinge of the Pope, if he bringe better rea-
 sons out of the Newe and Olde Testament, than doeth
 the Pope: whereby it seemeth they doo not geue all to
 authoritie without knowledge, or truthe. And if
 you do meane authoritie with knowledge to iudge, I le moueretur
 woulde you had spoken more plainly. But for that meliorib^o ratio-
 your diuisioun is very obscure, in the whiche me thinkie
 I do see fourre pointes, where you make but thre, and
 for that you do geue ouer by and by two of the thre,
 or rather thre of your fourre, as beinge in no contro-
 versie, where in dede one of them is mosle in contro-
 versie: and for that the onely firsste pointe remayning,
 whereupon you do bende your whole intent, is very
 darke, and doubtfully pointed, I can not here folow the
 pointes of your diuisioun, for that in dede I do not like
 them. But seinge you haue chosen this place, wherein

Gerson.
 Panormitanus.
 De electione.ca.
 Significasti. In
 cōcernentib^o fī
 dē, etiam dictū
 vnius priuati
 esset præferēdū
 dicto Papæ, si il-
 le moueretur
 nūb^o noui & ve-
 teris Testamēti,
 q^o Papa.

to make your diuisioun of the whole, and haue here such an earnest and narrowe eye to the issue, I will here also, though not so lawlike and finely, as you haue done, yet moze trulie, and as plainly, as I can declare.

- 1 First, how y Church of God vniuersally is gouerned.
- 2 Next, how clearly, we deuide the office of Bishoppes, from the office of Christian Princes, as distinct & divers offices, & by no meanes to be confounded and mingled.
- 3 Thirdly and laste, what matiers and causes ecclesiasticall they be, wherein we do attribute and geue the chiefe authoritie to Christian Princes, and what manner of authoritie it is, that we do geue to them in the saide causes, and ouer persons Ecclesiasticall.
- 4 First we teache, that Christ the onely head & gouernour of his whole Church throughout all y wrold, hath committed & appointed y charge of particular churches, parcelles of the saide whole Church, to certaine officers & gouernours vnder him here in earth, by thē according to his holy wōrde, as by an expresse lawe, to be ruled & gouerned, as most meete is y Christes Churche shoulde be by Christes law gouerned. Moreouer concerning the same lawe or wōrde of God, & of our Sauour Christ, we teach, that it is immutable & vnchaungeable (as becometh the law of God to be) & that neither Prince, nor Priest, nor any other man, nor al men together haue any authoritie to alter or chaunge any doctrine or poincte of Religion, deliuered & appointed in that lawe by our Sauour Christ to be kepte & obserued, throughout his whole Churche, & in euery parcell of the same: but that all persons within the said churche & churches, Princes, Priestes, & al other potentates without exceptiō, of degré, estate, or vocation, do stande bounden to the obeisance of the same lawe and wōrde of God; and ought ~~#~~ every

every one in their vocation to mantein, none other but that onely Religion and doctrine, whiche is in the sayd Lawe by our Sauour Christ deliuered, & sover establisched. Wherfore the falsnes of these sclauderous saynges of M. Dozman, affirming a litle before, that we shoulde teache, that Princes may in the right of their crowne at Dorm, fol. 16. b. their pleasure approue or condemne doctrine, and alter the state of Religion, &c. dooth hereby moste evidently appeare.

Secondly, we expresa deuide the offices of Christia
and godly Princes, from the offices of Bisshoppes, and
other ministers of the Churche vnder them, as distinct
and diuers offices. And we do teache that the offices of
preaching of Gods woord, of the pronouncinge of pu-
blique praier in the Churche of Christ, the power of the
ketes, or of binding and loosinge, and of ministering the
holie Sacramentes, are by the woorde of God appoin-
ted, to be the peculer offices of Bisshoppes, and of other
Ecclesiasticall ministers : the whiche offices the saide
Bisshoppes & other ministers, as the ministers of God
and his Churche, must execute and fulfill, accordaninge
as they are taught, and commaunded by the said lawe,
and woorde of God, and our Sauour Christ, and no o-
therwyse : whiche to the ende they may doo the better,
they are bounden to be most studious of the sayde Lawe
and woorde. And we teache and preache, even in p-
sence of Princes, that neither Princes, nor any other
persons, sauing onely Bisshoppes and other Ecclesiastis-
call ministers vnder them, may entermedle with the
said offices and ministeries Ecclesiasticall, so peculiar-
ly and onely apperteining to the saide Bisshoppes, and
other ministers of the Churche. And thus we clearly
remitte unto M. Dozman twoo of the thre poinctes of

A REPROVFE Q F M.

his iurisdiction Ecclesiasticall: that is to say, the power of the keies, and the ministerie of the woerde and sacramentes, as to which, Christia Princes do not, nor ought not to make any maner of title or claime, to be the ministers therof. Nea in the execution of these thinges, we do graunte, that Princes must geue place to Bisshoppes, as to their superiours in their owne peculiar and particular offices, wherein Princes haue nothing to do: or to speake moze properlie, the Princes must geue place to Gods woerde ministred by the Bisshops, and other ministers Ecclesiasticall, and ought as well as other meane men, to submitte them selues, and humblie to heare the word of God by them preached, reverently to receiuue at their handes the holy Sacrametes, by them duely, according to the same woerde of God, ministred, and deliuered: patiently to heare their vices by them with the same woerde of God, and by the authoritie thereof rebuked: & if they do deserue by contempt against the same, to be also excommunicated, quietely to suffer the same, without all force and violence: All superiortie in the whiche thinges is properly apperteininge to Christe, whose woerde is herein obeyed, whose holy Sacramentes are herein ministred, and whose Churche is hereby gounerned. Ministerie is the Bisshops and Priestes, who are thereof also called ministers of Christes Church: which the aduersaries them selues can not denie, wherefore they all being in deede ministers of the Churche, one of them dooth vse great presumption to claime to be the head of all the Churche. Vnterto good Reader, thou maist see that we neither force the Oxe to labour in the sea, nor the Delphine to plow the lande, neither goo about to chaunge the course of the sonne or moone, neither make the feare the head, nor the head

Hofius.lib.2.

fol.71. 72.

Sacerdos vel

Christi capititis,

vel Christi cor-

poris minister.

Dor. fol.15.b.16.

b. & a.27.a.27.b.

the head the feete, neither teache that Princes may by no meanes be ruled in matters of Religion, nor that they may alter at their pleasure the state of Religion, as rulers and maisters in Religion: Neither doo we mingle heauen and earth, holy and prophane thinges together, as M. Dozman laieth to our charge: neither doo we confounde the office of spirituall governours and Temporal Magistrates. D.Hard. Cefut.
 Neither doo we appoincte the supreame pastorsehip of the Apol. fol. 7. &c. Churche to a Lay Magistrate. Neither woulde our presumptuous
 298.a.b.
 heades, that Princes shold take vpon them the office of Bisshoppes,
 and of the Pope himselfe. Neither doo we animate them so to doo: D.Hard.ibide.
 Neither make we the office of a Bisshop so indifferent and communc,
 299.2.
 that whan Bisshoppes be negligent, Temporall men may doo their steede, as Doctor Hardinge chargeth vs, but we doo leue to the Bishops and Priestes of Christes churche,
 their peculiar office whollie, and vntouched of Prince,
 or other persone. And withall thou maiest well understande, good Reader, that manie of M. Dormans reasons and allegations in this his processe, prouinge no-
 thing els but that priestes may execute and doo these offices, and ministeries Ecclesiastical, & that Princes
 may not doo them, nor intermedle with the peculiare offi-
 cies of Bisshoppes, are superfluous, and might well be spared. For we do graunt, and euer haue graunted the same. For whan we do speake of causes Ecclesiastical,
 wherin Christian princes are the chief governours, we
 meane not y Princes shold execute these peculiare offi-
 cies of priestes, as is also in the Queenes Maiesties iniu-
 cations, in a platne admonition to the simple men, that
 might be otherwise deceyued by the malitious, notified
 to al the subiectes of the Realme, that will be disposed to
 understande the truthe without cavillation.

3. But nowe to come to the third parte, touching the
 autho^r

A REPROVFE OF M.

authoritie of Princes, to ouersee that the Bishops and Cleargie, do these their offices, so peculiarily by Gods woord to them appointed, diligently and truely, according to the rule of Gods word, to commaunde them to do their dutie, to admonish the being therin slack, to reprehend the offending, depose or deprive them, being incorrigible: yea, and to punishe al others that wil in any wyle impeache Bisshoppes, or other Ecclesiastical ministers in their offices. This, we say, is the office of a chiese gouernour ouer the said persons Ecclesiastical, whiche doth apperteine to Christian Princes, every one in their owne dominions: as by the examples of al godly auncient Princes, as well of the olde Lawe, as of Christian Religion, shall hereafter moste plainly appeare. And so for that priestes may by Gods woord reprove the errors, vices, and euill manners of Princes, and may excommunicate Christian Princes, (as S. Ambrose excommunicated Theodosius) but they may go no further with any violence: and Christian Princes, may not onely admonish and blame Priestes, for their euill corrupt maners, or for not doing their offices due-ly, but may also with the aduise of the godly learned, specially being of the Cleargie, punishe, depose, and deprive Priestes that are manifest offendours. We do truly say that Christian Princes are herein, and in this sorte, chiese gouernours ouer persons Ecclesiastical.

Further, besides the office of preaching and ministring the Sacramentes, whiche are before named, as appertaining peculiarily to priestes: there ar also many other orders, matiers, and causes Ecclesiastical, touching Ceremonies, and the outward Regiment of the Churche, (which may be termed the Ecclesiastical pollicie)

Ucile)whiche is not throughout all the whole Church,in all pointes of one sort(as are those immutable Lawes of the onely head therof,Christ)but are in diuerse particuler Churches of diuers sortes , and that without any inconuenience at all, so they all agree in those vnchangable Lawes, genen by the vnuersal head, Christ.

This is to be
seen in Sozom.
Hist. Eccl. lib.7.
cap.19. And in
fondrie other
places of the
Eccl. histories.

There is also the authoritie to receiue appellatiōs, and to heare, and finally to determine controuersies, rising amongst persones Ecclesiastical , the authoritie to sommone and call Bishoppes and other Ecclesiastical persons, as men exercised in the studie of the Scriptures, to Synodes, conuocations , and councelles , in necessary cases , as in controvseries risinge about the sense of the Scriptures, and other contentious matters or causes of weight, to oder, gouerne , and protekte the sayd Bishoppes and Cleargie, being so called together, and to approue and authorise thinges for the outwarde ordre Ecclesiastical , and policie determined in suchē Synodes and councells. These be those causes Ecclesiastical that we do speake of, whiche do not perteine to Bishoppes and Priestes onely . In these cases and causes Ecclesiastical , the authoritie of a Christian prince is not onely not excluded from intermedlinge with the Bishops and Cleargie , but the Princes authoritie , is the chiese therein : whiche authoritie the Christian Prince exercising,doth not intermedle with any office belonging to Bishoppes or Priestes only(as the aduersaries of the truthe, do falsely beare men in hande) but with their owne offices,by the eramples and practise of all auncient godly Princes, as well in the olde Lawe, as in Christian Religion, proued of right to them to apperteine,as shall hereafter be plainly declared : and to

G our

our Princes also, by the ancient Lawes and Statutes
of the Realme, (as to the learned in the saide lawes is
not unknowē) of right apperteining. And where Chrl-
itian Princes are not only in , and of the Churche, but
also (as I haue saide) the chiche and præminent in the
Churche within their owne dominions : these men do
great wrong to Christian Princes every where so spea-
king of þ Church, that they make simple men to thinke

D.Hard. Cōfut,
Apol. fol. 312. 2.
298. b. 299. a

only Priestes to be of the Churche. And to mainteine
that opinion, they call Christian Princes mere lay, and
mere Tempozal Princes : as though they were no bet-
ter than Pagaine pr̄inces, neither might thei any more
medle in mattiers, or with persons ecclesiastical (whom
they call Sp̄iritual) thā may Ethnike & Pagane Prin-
ces. And it is an vntrue and absurde distinctiō to name
onely Bisshops & priestes Sp̄iritual, & Christian pr̄inces
tempozal persons, and thereby to sequestre the as mere
tempozal, from mattiers & persons Ecclesiastical, & Sp̄i-
ritual, as doth D. Hard, by these very wordes. The dūtie

D.Hard. Cōfut.
Apol. fo. 299. a.

of a Christian Prince (whom he calleth a ciuell, tēporall meere tē-
poral, and meere lay Prince) consisteth in ciuil or temporall mattiers,
and serueth to the preseruation of mens persons, or bodies. The dūtie
of Bisshops consisteth in spiritual thinges, and serueth to the preser-
uation of their soules. These be his wordes. But Bisshops
do sometime intermedle in things not very Sp̄iritual,
as whordomes, adulteries, sclaunders, subtractions of
tithes, cases testamentarie, &c. whiche in dede are no
more Sp̄iritual, thā are murthers, theftes, oppressiōs,
& other iniuries: t̄ the Prince restraining his people fro
these mischieves, helpeth therēin as much to the preser-
uation of mens soules, as do the Bisshops restraininge
them from the other mischieves serue to the said preser-
uation

vation of their soules. Wherfore they obserue not their
 distinction, neither of persons, nor of things Temporal &
 Spiritual, nor of the preservation of bodies & soules, so
 precisely, as they would haue it seeme: & they are in dede
 more careful to kepe Princes from these and such other
 their Spiritualties, than curions to absteine the selues
 from most grosse & carnal Temporalties. But in dede
 Christian Princes haue not onely as Princes, to do
 with Civil or Temporal matiers, & persons, & with the
 care of publique honestie, wealth, & peace, and preser-
 ving of mens bodies, but also as Christian Princes, they
 haue to do (as before is expressed) with Spiritual & Ec-
 clesiastical matiers & persons, & with the care of pietie
 and godlines, & preseruing of the soules of men, to their
 charge committed, for a good heathen Prince may do, &
 usually doth, as much as D. Harding speaketh of. But
 the Christian Prince is not onely a Prince, as is y Pa-
 gane prince, but also the tutour, the foster father, or mo-
 ther of the church of Christ, wherof comonly y Pagane Essay. 49.
 Prince (though otherwise such a good civil prince as D.
 Hard. describeth) is an enemy & persecutour. Wherfore
 where D. Harding saith, that the office of a Priest and a Prince D. Hard. Confut.
 be so muche distincted, as the state of the Churche differeth from a Apol. fo. 30. b.
 prophane common wealth: though I do graunt their pecu-
 liar offices to be so distincke, that neither of them may
 medle directly with the executing & doing of others of-
 fices (for neither may y Prince minister y Sacraments,
 nor preache, nor vse the power of the keys, whiche is y
 peculiar office of a priest, neither may y priest vse civil
 rule & dominion, which is the office of the Prince) and
 yet may both y priest admonish the Prince, how to vse
 his dominion, and reprehend him by Gods woord, for
 not doinge his princely office rightlie: And a good

A REPROOFE OF M.

Christian Prince, both must, and will, heare and obeys
the same. And the Christian Prince may likewyse both
admonishe and reprehende, yea, with the advise of the
godly learned, specially of the Cleargie, remove and de-
prive the priest for neglecting wilfully his office, & not
doing it according to Gods word: and the priest in that
case ought not to disobey the same. And so as it is true,
that neither of them may medle directly with the doing
of the others offices (for that they are distincke offices:)

Gladius gladiū
iuuare debet.

D. Hard. Cōfut.
Apol. fol. 299.

So is it also as true, that either of them hath an inter-
mingled authoritie ouer the other in the doinge of their
offices. Though we do graunt it to be true, that D. Har-
ding saith: that the office of Bisshops is not a thing so indifferēt,
and so cōmune, that whan Bisshops be negligent, Temporal me may
do their sted: yet may a godly Prince notwithstandinge,
whan Bisshops be evidently negligent, either by calling
vpon them, make them diligent: or if they will needes
wilfully be stil negligent, may see other, that wil be di-
lignant, placed in their rowmes. And where as there can
be no greater lacke, or necessitie in Christes Churche,
than is in y negligence, ignorance, or malice of p̄estes
and Bisshops, in not doing, or wrong doing of their of-
fices, I do much marueil at these men, who in cases of
necessitie are cōtent to suffer a womā, a midwise, to mi-
nister the Sacrament of Baptisme, which is y peculier
D. Hard. Cōfut. office of p̄estes, can speake after this sorte. Although Bis-
Apol. fo. 299. b. shops had but a title and the name of Bisshops, by changing a gar-
ment only, as you say: yet that defect in the shold not geue habilitie
to the meere lay, as to kings and Queenes to do thoffice of Bisshops.
These be D. Hardinges wōrdes. Now surely whā Bis-
shops shall haue no point of Bisshops, but y only name
and garment, the defecte and necessitie is as great,
and,

and greater in Christes Church, than is the defecte of a Priest in the Christening of a sickly childe: wherefore I wondre muche that these men, who in the one defecte and necessitie, admitte a womā to doo the peculier office, and duetie of Priestes, or Bishoppes, in as greate and greater defectes, and cases of necessitie, will not suffer man, nor weman, Ringe nor Queene, (I say not, to doo the peculier offices of Priestes or Bishopps, as doo their Midwiues) but not as muche as to prouide for luche as will and may doo their office, when they can not, or wil not doo it rightly them selues, from the whiche most necessarie prouision in luche defectes, they woulde scare godly Princes, by bearinge them falsely in hande, that to make such prouision, is the onely office of Bishopps, who be the onely cause of luche defectes: in which case of necessitie, no doubt but a Christian Prince may, and ought to prouide for conuenient remedie. Wherefore, D. Harding so bindinge the office of a Prince to the state of a prophane commune wealth onely, saith he wronge therein: for a Christian prince hath also to doo with the state of Chrestes Churche, and to ouersee that Priestes do their offices accordaninge to Goddes wōrde: (as it shall plainly appeare, that auncient godly Princes of all ages haue done) as the Priest againe(though he vse not ciuell dominion,) yet may he teache the Prince how the ciuell dominion shoulde, according to Eddes words, be well vsed. For in dæde the Priestes ought to haue more knowledge in Goddes Law(as beinge their onely studie) than the Prince, or any other Lay man. And the Prince ought to heare and obey Goddes wōrde by the Priest truly preached, and taught: yea and if you so will, obey the Priest also. Obeys I say, the Priest, as ex-

A REPROUFE OF M.

equynunge his peculiar office, and as the wiser, & better learned in Gods woorde. As the god Prince in narrow and doubtfull pointes of the lawe, will likewise heare the advise, and follow the judgement of his Judges, not onely in controversies betwene subiect & subiect, but also betwene him selfe & his subiect, as the aduise of wiser and better learned, not as his superiours, though they geue judgement with the subiect against the Prince. If men will needes haue any superiortie aboue þ Princes in these pointes, I say, in the one the woorde & lawe of God is the superiour: in the other the lawe of þ Realme or of the countrey, as made not onely by the Prince, & his authoritie, but also by the whole authoritie and consent of his whole Realme. Yet as the minister of the c. uill lawe pronouncing against the Prince according to the law, easeth not to be a subiect: even so the minister of Gods lawe pronouncing according to þ same though against the Prince, remaineth still a subiect to the Prince. For as I saide bothe the lawes are the Princes superiours, wherunto he stādeth bounde to obey, though not a like superiours. For the positive Lawes of the Realme, as mans lawes, by whome they are made, may by the same authoritie and consent, whereby they were made, be altered, repealed, or suspended for a time or place: but Gods law is alwaies aboue all men, hausinge

Verbu Domini the originall beginning from God, and therefore by no maner in xter-
meanes alterable. And as the Judges being ministers
num.

of the lawes of the Realme, can not do, or determine any thinge to binde the Prince against the lawes of the Realme, whiles they stande in strength: much more, ca not Priestes the ministers of Gods law, determine any thinge against it, euer standing in strength, and by the

Prince,

Prince, & all men to be obeyed: And as a wise Prince, is not bounden to folow the councel of an vndiscreete concellour, nor the vnlawfull advise of an unlearned or unrighteous Judge, by his office, and title neuer so great: so is not a learned, and godly Prince bounden to obey the false doctrine of an unlearned or wicked Priest, be he neuer so high a Bishoppe. And as a Prince beinge not of him selfe sufficiently learned in the lawes of his Realme to determine agaistre any Judge, that shalbe accused of erronious iudgement, may and ought to vse the advise of other Judges, and persons learned in the lawes of the Realme for the triall of the matier, the reversinge of the Judgement, & punishinge of the Judge, if the crime were wilfull: so may the Christian Prince beyng not sufficiently of him selfe learned in Goddes woorde and lawe to discerne the faultes of Bishoppes, in neglectinge wilfully the doinge of their dueties, in preachyng and teachyng of heresies, or in mainteyninge controuersies amongst them selves, to the disturbance of the Churche, vse the advise of others, specially of the Cleargie, therefore by ordre appointed, as learned in Goddes woorde, for decidinge of such causes, and may with the saide advise, correct, punishe, or depose the saide Bishoppes, as juste cause shall manifestly appeare. And so may the Prince in causes both Ciuill, and Ecclesiasticall, haue either his learned Councell with him, or his learned delegates for him. I knowe they will obiecte, that a Princes superioritie ouer his Judges, and ouer Priestes is not like: for that a Prince maye him selfe exequute the office of a Judge, but not of a Priest. But the question is not whether a Prince may be a Priest, but whether he

be the Priestes superior in causes Ecclesiastical: A Prince in that he is a Prince and soueraigne, can not be a subiect neither: and yet is he the subiectes superior. Neither may he certainly exequute the office of a iudge in his owne person, in all cases: & yet is he the Judges superior alwaies. And I haue clearely declared and confessed diuers times before, that a Prince may not exequute a Priestes office, and I shall hereafter haue occasion to repeate the same againe. Wherefore it is evident, that I do not goe about to make them like in that pointe: but in the pointes whiche are to the purpose, of prouinge the Christian Prince to be superior to Priestes, they are like, that is to say: As the Prince in that he is the chiese, ought to see to the gouernaunce of all the inferiours in the comon wealth, so ought he as a Christian Prince to see to the god gouernaunce of the Christian Churche. And as he doth folowe the aduise of Councellers in the gouerninge of the common wealth, or of Judges in ciuill controuersies, and is not therefore inferior to his councellers, or Judges, so belth he the Priestes learned and godly aduise, in Ecclesiastical causes, and is not therefore inferior to the Priest, in the said causes. For authoritie and knowledge doo not alwaies ioyne, and as a Prince may vse the aduise of such, as are well learned in the lawes of the Realme, though they be inferioris in office, against the highest Judges of the Realme, misusing them selfes in their offices agaist the Lawes: so may the Prince vse the aduise of men learned in Goddes lawes, specially of the Cleargie, though otherwise inferioris, against the greatest Priestes and Bisshoppes of his Realme, misusinge them selfes against Goddes lawes. And as a

Prince

Prince may by h̄ law remoue unskillfull or euill judges, offendinge in their Judgementes against the lawes of the Realme, and in such default of the said Judges, may with the advise of others honest, and well learned in the lawes, see Justice in ciuill matters to his subiectes to be ministred, by other good officers: so may also a Christian Prince depose ignorant or euill Bishoppes or Priestes, offendinge against the lawes of God, and in suche default of the saide Bishopps and Priestes, may with the god advise and helpe of the godly learned, specially of the Cleargie, see the trueth to be taught, & the Sacramentes duely administred in Goddes Church, by other god ministers Ecclesiasticall. And herein I say, the similitude or cōparison of the authoritie of a Prince ouer Judges, and other officers in the common wealth, and of his authoritie ouer Bishopps, and other ministers of the Church in causes Ecclesiastical standeth vpright. Now whereas the questio is about the correcting or deposing of an ignorant or euil priest, not doing his office (whch a Christian prince may, & ought to do) it is impertinet to alleage, h̄ a Prince may not exequute a p̄se-
Ces office: which is alwates graunted h̄ in daede he may not do. Neither hath it been cōtrarily pronounced by any discrete person at any time. Wherby the god reader, may well vnderstande how impertinent this treatise of M. Dormanis is: wherin soz the most parte he alleageth such places of Scriptures, or auncient Doctours, as do teach nothinge, but that Priestes may preache, vse the power of the keies, and ministre the Sacramentes, and that Princes may not do priestes offices: whch things are not denied, neither is there in them any controuer-
sie at all; wherefore in the prouinge hereof, he doth no-

h̄

thinge

all the woylde with them into a deade slepe.

^a Stanislaus O-
richouius in
Chymera sua.
fol. 97. Rex per
hotinē sit, sa-
cerdos autē. ^{xxi.}
me nascitur ex
ipso Deo.

Tantūque hic
præstat Regi,
quantū erectus
homo præstat
animanti ad pa-
stū abiecte. &c.
^b Ibidem.

Quantum Deus
præstat sacerdo-
ti, tātū sacerdos
præstat Regi.
^c Ibidem. 99. b.

Qui Regem an-
teponit sacer-
doti, is antepo-
nit creaturam
creatori.
^d Ibidem fol. 70. b

And the better to frame Christian Princes to this absolute obedience unto Priestes; some of them sticke not now to say: That a ^a King is made by man, the Priest is next farre of God, and that the Priest so farre excelleth the King; howe farre man, who goeth vpright, excelleth a brute beast, stouping greuelinge to his pastour or feadinge, &c. And againe: ^b As much as God excelleth the Priest, so much doth the Priest excel the King, &c. And againe: ^c whosoeuer preferreth a king before a Priest, he preferreth the creature before the Creator. Neither contented to say as the Popes them selfes do: that the Pope doth as farre excell the Emperour, as the sunne excelleth the Moone: he falleth to wayng in balaunce of ^d the Pope, with Peters authoritie (which is ever presupposed he hath) and doubteth not to say, ^d if the Popes amplexes were put as it were in the one scale of the balance, and these kinges of the earth, with the earth it selfe, and the seas, in the other, his amplexes yet, must of necessity ouer way.

^b Ibidem. 99. b. then i al such a force and such a weight, is there in the prince Peter: and how shold he than submitte his power to these earthly kinges? Thus farre are Stanislaus Orichouius, one of Cardinall Stanislaus Hosius Disciples wordes, truely translate. And will it nowe grieue any Christian Prince humbly to submitte him selfe to the Priest, beinge so farre aboue him, as he is aboue a beast: so farre aboue the Prince as is God aboue the Priest: (^D blasphemie intollerable) and this for every Priest, farre no solum hos' passinge the accustomed extollinge of the Pope him Reges terræ, sed selfe, as so muche more excellent than the Prince, terras. mihi. as is the Sunne greater and brighter than the Moone, crede, ea lant, & maria deprimat onely so farre excellent aboue Princes: but if you will gū pondus, &c. trie the matter by heauines and weight also, as well as

by

by fashon; he is heauter than al the kings of the earth,
With the earth it selfe, and the Seas adioyned.

¶ O merciful God, what would these me not say or do
In the blind times passed, that are not ashamed to write
thus nowe in our daies, in the whiche God hath reue-
led these Balamites, and that Babilonicall beast their
head? And soz that we beinge moued with these, and
suche like indignities, do as becommeth true Christia[n]s,
speake against their falshode, and admonish Christian
Princes according to the duitie of true subiectes, of their
right and due authoritie, against suche false usurpers
ouer the said Christian Princes, (without nevertheles
any blemishing of the special authoritie of the true mi-
nister of the Chospel) they do therforo name vs Schis-
matiques, and Heretiques, Fiire brondes of hell, Hell-
houndes, and a thousande such: and not contented ther-
with, they do perseuite vs to the death moste cruelly.
And suche Christian Princes, as do gene credit to the
truthe, and acknowledge their due authoritie, with re-
uerence to God, and his ministers, and do defende vs
their true subiectes, from the raging furie of those ra-
uening Romish wolues, vnder their tutele & proteccio[n],
they do charge with plaine violence, and robberie,
With sacrilege and Antichristianisme, as inuadinge by
tyrannie priests peculiar offices, and priesthood it self,
and misngling heauen and earth, holy and prophane
thinges together: but false sculaunders, and true proses,
be diuers thinges.

Thus good Reader, thou maest see the difference
betwene vs, and where the controuersie standeth. They
say, that in causes Ecclesiastical before rehersed, priests
only haue to do, and that Christian Princes haue no-

Dor. post. fo. 215.
27. &c.

thing to do therin; otherwise than it shall please the Priestes to appointe and authorise them. We say, that Christian Princes haue of their owne right, the chiefe authoritie and gouernmet, as wel in the said causes, as ouer the Priestes the selues. If M. Dorman haue in this processe any thing sounding for the onely authoritie of Priestes, against this chiefe gouernement of Christian Princes, in these causes, and ouer persons Ecclesiastical, it is to be answered, as being against vs; the rest, as bayne allegations, against those, who do attribute to Princes the offices of Bishoppes, that is to say, against no man, surely not against vs, and bayne proses, that the Clergie hath authoritie, to preache and expounde the Scriptures, to binde, and loose, and to minister the Sacramentes, (which no man denieth) as bayne stuffing of M. Dormans booke, may without any his losse, or our gaine, sauing onely sparinge of paper, be passed ouer with silence: the gaine, or rather lacke of losse, shalbe thine good Reader, not being by reading of such long lende impertinent trifles, occasioned to spend and loose thy good time. I hane, I confess beene somewhat long and tedious herein god Reader. But where as the aduersaries of the truthe, continually charging Christian Princes with plaine violence, and robbery, with tyzannie, sacrilege, and Antichristianisme, and with mingling of heaven and earth together, by suche most vntrue and sclauderous reportes, labouring to bring seely subiectes into euill suspition of theire good Princes, and the Princes, and vs together, for mainteining of the truthe, into the baitred of as many as wyll open their eares to suche sclauderous lies: to deliuer Christian Princes, from suche hainous reproches, our selves

Dormā. fo. 26. &
27. &c.

selfes from suche false sclaundes, and thē god Reader,
from all error in this matier, I haue beene the boul-
der vpon thy pacience. And that whiche I haue here
summarily saide touching Christian Princes authori-
tie ouer Priestes, and in matters Ecclesiasticall, I shal
particularly in this p̄ocesse p̄oue true, by the ancient
practise of Gods church, both of the Jewes & Chistias:
not leauing any one reason or allegation made to the
contrary, unanswered: to the full contention and sa-
tisfyng, of all reasonable and indifferent Readers, by
Gods grace, I doubt not.

Dorman Fol. 18.

To the first of these three what title Kinges and Princes haue. Translated word
it shal if they haue any, be seene hereafter. But for Priestes you shal
see to begin withall, an auncient commission out of the scriptures: fol. 97.b. Audi
where almighty God speakeinge to Aaron, vsed these woordes: quid dixerit dñs
Præceptum sempiternum est in generationes vestras, vt ha- ad Aaron: Præ-
beatis scientiam discernendi inter sanctum & prophananum, ceptum semp-
inter pollutum & mundum, doccatisq; filios Israel omnia le- ternū est in ge-
gitima mea: that is to say, it is a precept that I shall euer endure nerationes ve-
through all your generations to haue the knowledgement to discerne and stras, &c. begin-
put difference, betwene holy things and prophane, betwene clean ing and ending
and polluted: and that you teache the children of Israel all my com- just as doth Ho-
maundementes. sius: who cōclu-
deth th^e as doth

To whom gave almighty God here the power to iudge of do- M. Dor. Cui de-
ctrine & whom commauded he to teache? any other then Aaron and dit hic De^r dis-
his race whiche were Priestes? cendendi, cui do-
cendi facultatē?

Norwell.

M. Dorman doth here use more constancie, than he
is otherwise in this booke accustomed. Ifoz not onelie
this place of Leviticus with the same beginninge and
ending.

Nōne Aaron &
filijs eis sacerdo-
tibus?

Ex Hosio lib. 2. ending full as may be, is by him translated out of Hosius his authour, woordē for woordē: but also all his textes of Scripture folowing, of the olde testament and the new, in order as they lie there in Hosius, are here in M. Dozmanes booke orderly placed. Whiche facultie in uttering of his mattērs, he dooth not commonly use: but by the figure hysteron proteron, he maketh primos locos in Hosio nouissimōs, & nouissimos primos, He setteth lightly places in Hosius before, in his booke behinde, and contrariwyse. His plaine dea-lling here is prayse worthy. And he hath also well begonne with the Scripture, as knowing that the chiese authoſtie dooth belonge to it: and that in mattērs and conſtruouerſies of Religion, there is no ſufficient profe without the Scriptures. But M. Dozman either lacked this remeimburance, or had not this facultie at Hosius his hande, or els where, in his firſt propoſition, of the neceſſtie of one head in Christes church. In the profe wherof, he beginneth and continueth with moſte vaine alle- gations, nothing to his purpose, taken out of the bles- ſed Party, S. Cyprianē, the ancient fathers S. Ba- ſil, S. Hierome, holy Leo, &c. with reaſon naturall, with examples politiſcall, though al awhie and nothing at all to his purpoſed matter, of one head of Christes church here in earth. of the Scriptures there is long, and almoſt continuall ſilence with him in that parte, and that one onely text out of Deut. the. 17. ſo often for lacke of moſe ſtoare repeted, not onely making nothing for the cauſe, but utterly defacing and ouerſhadowing the ſame. But here Sir, you haue Scriptures vpon Scriptures out of Leuiticus, Deuteronomie, and out of other Prophetes, as wel as out of Moisés, out of the new testament alſo, as well

as well as the olde. And why so I pray you : forsooth bi-
cause your Scriptures here alleged , do prove that,
whiche no man denieth : that is, that Priestes shoulde
haue knowledge to discerne in mattiers of Religion, that
in scruples of conscience , doubtes and controuersies of
Religion, we ought to consult with y learned Priestes:
and that the Priestes ought to teache the people . For
concludinge, you do with Hosius, aske : To whome gaue
God here power to iudge doctrine ? whome commaunded God here
to teache? any other than Aaron and his race whiche were Priestes?
why, we never denied but that godly & learned Priestes
might accordaninge to Goddes wordes iudge of the since-
ritie of doctrine, and teach: elles shewe where we haue
denied it . But sir, I toulde you that I liked not this
your firste poincte of your diuision, whiche is, authoritie
to iudge ouer doctrine, whiche is sounde and which other . I said
that knowledge was in this case necessarie, whiche you
there make no mention of, but of onely authozitie. And
now the first Scripture, which you bring to prove your
saide firste poincte of authozitie , maketh no mention of
authozitie at all , but it speaketh directly and onely of
knowledge, which in this your first poincte I missed, as
by you not mentioned at all. Will it please you now to
make your argument of this your firste teste , for the
prove of your first poincte: can it be any other thā this?
It is an eternal comauendement to al your generations
that you haue knowledge to discerne betwēne holy
thinges & prophane, betwēne cleane and uncleane, and
that you teach the chldren of Israell my comauende-
mentes: Ergo , Priestes haue authozitie and power to
iudge of doctrine. For so you must needes conclude, and
so you do in dede conclude, askinge, to whome gaue God

I

here

here y power to iudge of doctrine but to Priesles: But
 M. Dozman you do not reason substantially, frō know-
 ledge, to power: and from a commaundement to be stu-
 dious and to get knowledge, to discerne and teache,
 to the possession of authoritie and power to discerne
 and teache. It foloweth not, that if Priesles be com-
 maunded to haue knowledge that they therefore haue
 knowledge, no moze than it foloweth that all are god-
 ly, that are commaunded so to be: muche lesse foloweth
 it, that Priesles vpon commaundement to haue know-
 ledge, haue authoritie or power if shes lacke knowledge.

* Osee. 4. b. 6.

*Quia tu sciētiā
 repulisti, repellā
 te ne fungaris
 mibi sacerdo-
 tio.*

Esa. 28. b. 7.

*Sacerdotes nesci-
 erūt Dominū,
 ignorauerunt
 iudicium. & Ie-
 rem. 2. b. 3. & 4.
 c. 9. Tenentes
 legem nescierūt
 me: obstupecerūt
 sacerdotes: &
 Ezech. 7. g. 25.
 Lex peribit à sa-
 cerdote & sa-
 centa huiusmo-
 di.*

Sacerdotes nescierūt Dominū, what authoritie can he haue to
 iudge colo:z: Now that the Iuishe Priesles did lose
 knowledge here commaunded, did erre them selfes, and
 bring others into error, and so lost authoritie & power
 to discerne and teach, I shal platnely proue hereaster by
 the very textes by M. Dozman alleged. And if it fall
 out that our popishe Priesles with them haue loste all
 right knowledge: I truste it will fall out that they of
 right, do reteine small authoritie, or power to discerne,
 or teache, & specially to iudge, whiche you goe about spe-
 cially to proue. You will say: If the Pope or prelates be
 ignorant, they may call their learned chapleines to the.
 I answeare so may Princes too: and the more ignorant,
 the Prelates be, the rather ought Princes so to do. You
 wil say: princes haue nothing to do with such matiers:

so may you say, in dede, but you shall never be hable to proue, þ Christian & godly princes may not rather haue to dw, with such matiers, than ignoraunt & wicked prelates. In some we do graunt that, whiche the Scripture hers alleaged doth teach, þ God hath geueþ priestes a co-maundement þ thei shold haue knowledge to discerne & teache, & that if they haue that knowledge they may discerne and teach. We graunt this I say: M. Dorman hath no nedde to proue it. But he shold proue þ whiche we do deny, & which thei do most constantly affirme, & first of al his present propositiō: *That the head of the church must needs be a Priest, & that all men, princes, and other are bounden of necessitie to obey al the Popes definitions & Judgementes, without any discussing or examining at al, as Pighius teacheth.* Or which is all one, that if the Pighius Hie-mater decreed be spiritual, & appertaininge to faith, the rarch. lib. 6. cap. 13. fol. 323. c. prince ought to obey without questio or grudge, whiche are D. Hardinges wordes, or, though Bishops be neither so wel learned, nether so godly as Lay men be, yea D. Hard. Cōfut. Apol. fol. 302. a. in principio. though they be of a shameful life, þ yet a Lay man be he of never so great dignitie, holines, wisedome, ye though he excell in al vertue, may in no wisse dispute or reason, yea or once moue any question or talke of causes Eccle- Hosi. lib. 2. fol. 118. a. gasticall. Whiche wordes piked out of an oration of the Basili oratio Emperour Basilius by Hosiarius, & by you M. Dorman pi-pissima. piked out of Hosiarius, you both do allowe as a true, & most Dorman fol. 23. b godle sayinge: as your custome is alwates to receiue all sayinges and examples not of Princes onely, but of all men what so ever they be, that do abase Christian Princes vnder Priestes, and to reiect all sayinges of Princes, Priestes, and al men, if they sounde any thing for the prerogatiue of Christian Princes. These be your sayinges: these sayinges we say are mooste false,

and wicked: as those that mainteine the Pope , and his popishe Priestes in all their fальшоde , and abusing of Christian Princes and the whole worlde . These sayinges if you goe aboute to proue, your authour Hosius and you shall finde as great scarfitie of vertes of the Scripture for you , as you now haue plentie , to proue that which neither we, nor no man els doth denie: y is, y Priestes may, and ought to teach, according to God's wорзde: and that the advise, and iudgement of Priestes, as men learned in Goddes wорзde , is in doubtes and confrouersies to be required, and folowed also, as longe as they answeare , and geue sentence accordaninge to Goddes wорзde : and other than this proue not those places of the Scriptures by you borrowed of Hosius, and here so plentifullly alleaged as shal in the processe plainly appeare.

Further I would M. Dozman had taken the whole matter with him . God in the same chapter commaunded Aaron and his rase , that they shoulde drinke no wine whan they entered into the tabernacle of testimoni. Ergo, the popishe Priestes muste drinke no wine that day they enter into the Church: specially that day they say Mass.

Item Aaron & his rase had wiues: Ergo, the popish Priestes muste haue so too . These argumentes haue both better forme and matter than M. Dормans argumente : for they doo directly conclude from those Priestes to these , in the same matters , not in diuers, as it is in M. Dормans argumente: and were the conclusions admitted , popishe Sir Johns shoulde be lesse Drunkardes , and Whoremongers than they be . And why these argumentes shoulde not conclude , as well,

well, yea rather than the others, let M. Dozman shewe
 a reason. For concerning iudgement of doctrine, which
 is sounde, whiche other wise, howe can they be judges
 thereof, whiche either haue no iudgement at all, suche
 Alles they be: or if they haue any iudgement, are the
 chiefe corrupters of all sounde, and teachers of all cor-
 rupte doctrine: otherwyse suche iudgement as is agree-
 able to Gods woord, whiche apperteineth to the office
 of a godly learned Bishop or Priest, we never dented.
 Concerning the other part of your question, whom com-
 maunded God here to teache any other than Aaron and his rase
 whiche were Priests? We doo not strue with you about
 the teaching of the people (as you your selues doo well
 knowe, and a little hereafter confess, that you doo so Dorman post
 knowe) so that they do teache Legitima Domini, that fo. 20. a.
 is, according to Gods Lawe, as is in this place of Lewis Legitima mes-
 ticus by you here alleaged, expuzzed: els if they teache
 their owne phantasies and inventions, (as the Pope,
 his Prelates, with popishe Priests, monkes, and fri-
 ers, haue of long time done) I geue you plainly to
 vnderstande, that this place maketh nothing for them,
 but onely to the condemnation of them & their doctrine,
 and the discharge of vs disobeyng them so not teaching
 Legitima Domini. And thus you make here a dubble
 fault. First in labouring by the Scriptures so diligent-
 ly to proue that, whiche we dente not, and whiche your
 selfe doth knowe and confess that we dente not. Se-
 condly, for that you bring, in the prose of this first part
 of your diuisioun, that, whiche doth apperteine to your
 thirde parte thereof, of the ministerie of the woord: &
 so you mengling togeather the partes of your diuisioun,
 before by you separate, doo make (as you terme suchs
Post. fol. 20.

A 3 Dealing)

A REPROVFE OF M.

dealing) an hotchpot thereof. Besides that, Moses in
that chapter controwleth Aaron the high priest: and he
being admonished holdeth his peace. Whiche is a shewd
place, signifying that the high Priest whē he offendeth
is subject to controulement. But your Pope, though he
go to the Devill him selfe , and brynge thousandes thi-
ther with him by his wickednes, yet may no man be so
hardy to reproue him, so that this. x. chapter of Leviticus
can not make for such a supremacie as the Pope
claimeth. And I do beleane that M. Dorman will not
like, that Aaron should be a figure of the Pope, whē he
is controuled: but onely when the figure maketh for any
Lordlinesse, then it is authenticall.

Dift. 40.
Cap. Si Papa.

Dorman. Fol 18.

These two pla- In the booke of Deut . saith he not also that if there arise any Cap. 17.
ces are likevwise harde or doubtfull question, the Priest must be consulted, that he
briefly ioyned together in Hos. that of pride will spurne against his ordinance, shall suffer death
lii.2. fo. 97. a therefore? And againe in the same booke in an other place, that vp- Cap. 22.
D. Hard, intre- pon the Priestes woordc all causes shall hange.
pon this place
Cōfut. Apo. fol.
307. who boro-
li.2. f. 57. 58. &c.

Norwell.

Here is a singuler diligēce by M. Dorman shewed,
who rather then he will omitte any thing for him, will
not sticke to rehearse one thing tenne times. This is al-
where it is also the textes of Scripture, he could finde for the prooofe of
largely handled. his first proposition of the necessite of one head of the
Churche , whiche yet repeated five or sixe tymes, he hath
by art made seeme as many, and not content therewith,
he hath placed it here in the firsste fronte of his proofoes
of his seconde proposition , that the saide head must of neces-
sarie be a Priest, to furnishe and make vp the mestre here
also:

also. And I beleane he wyl not leane it so, and good reason he hath, not to let this texte lightlie scape hym, leyng Hosius his authour, hath it here in order with Hosius. li.2. fol. the rest, as hath P. Dozman, and els where very often: and by ofteyn repetinge of this texte, and large discoursing vpon the same, hath geuen him to vnderstand, that it is a p[ri]ncipall place for their purpose. Whiche hath also caused D. Hardinge, so largely to handle the same texte. For the explication whereof, good Reader, D. Hard. Cofut. Apolo. fo. 307. that I be not to exceedingly tedious, I remitte thee to prolix. fol. 39. the leaues of my booke before, where I haue at large saide to this place, and proued it to make agaist the Popes Supreamacie.

In this place I wyl tonely say this, that we would wylle that the Priestes were so well learned that they coulde, and so Godlike that they woulde, truely discuss all harde and doubtfull questions: wee shoulde surely muche rejoyce thereof. But in this ignorance and malice of Popishe Priestes, neither doth this, nor any other place of the Scripture, forbidde a Christia Prince, to examine whether the Priestes of ignoraunce doo err in his saynges, or of malice swarue from the truthe. Yea, notwithstanding this commaundement of obedience to the highe Priest geuen here, the highe Priest him selfe was obedient, to his Lorde and Prince Moyses, whan he had offended, praiyng hym, his Lorde, Ne indignetur D[omi]n[u]s meus. &c. not to be angrie with him, as doth at large in the said booke of Deuteronomie, and in Erodus, and Pumeris, appeare. And in this very same place is mention made of the Judge also, who is in this case soyned with the highe Priestes. Besides that, the Priest is bidden to ludge accordyng to Gods woord, according to which

whiche whyles he iudgeth , no man refuseth his iudgement , as I before haue noted vpon the woordes Legitima mea . But if the highe Prieste shall saye or iudge contrary to Gods wooorde , that he is to be obeyed , neither by this , nor by any other place of the Scriptures , can be proued . The woordes out of the . 21. chapiter of Deut. are by M. Dozman very generally alleged thus . That vpon the Priestes woordes all causes shall hange . But seing Hosius hath so doone , so must it needes be with M. Dozman : the texte is thus . The whole matier shall depende vpon their woorde : and the matier is there , the inquirie of vni- knownen murther , which nowe the crowner hath moze to doo withall then the Priestes . Hosius and M. Dozman's argument , standeth thus : In a case of an vni- knownen murther amongst the Jewes , all the matier did depende vpon the Priestes wooerde . Ergo , all matiers of our Religion must depende vpon Popish priestes woordes . In dede if priestes be Crowners in Polonia , this texte serueth Hosius for one matier , better than it serueth M. Dozman : but for all matiers , it serueth them bothe a like , that is never a whitte at all . And seing this texte , speaketh specially of secret murthers , he hath not doone wisely , to leane the chalenge of the authurite of Priestes , in iudgement vpon such murthers : for seing he doth therby claime authurite unto priestes over all matiers , whereof the texte speaketh not , he shoulde not haue leste the claime of authurite in that matier , whiche it specially speaketh of . Further , that place speaking of Priestes in the plurall numb're , vpon whose wooerde all causes (as M. Dozman hath transla- ted) shall hang , and not of the highe Priest onely , doth auerthrowe the supremacie of one onely Priest , and so marreth

Deut. 21.

*Ad verbum co-
rum omne nego-
cium pender.*

*Ad verbum co-
rum omne nego-
cium pender.*

marreth all the matter. For if all causes shall hange
vpon the woorde of the P^riestes, than are no causes spe-
cially reserved for this one high P^riest, whiche is di-
rectly against the Romish Reseruata & casus Papales,
and his whole supremacie. Moreouer, where the Scrip-
tures do attribute, ought to the P^riestes word in mat-
ters of Religion, if these wordes Legitima mea, re-
quirent legem meam, verbum meum, or suche like, be
not (as they vsually are) expresse, yet are they alwaye
to be vnderstandinge, according to the examples out of the
Prophete Ezechiel, Aggeus, and Malachias ; by M.
Dormant streight hereafter alleaged. And good reason
it is, that ~~X~~ all causes shold depend vpon Gods word,
with the whiche is the P^riestes wordes do agres, we
will gladly agree with their wordes, els, we are com-
maunded to enquire of the P^riestes, not what is the
P^riestes phantasie, but what is Goddes Lawe, wherin Legitima mea
P^riestes shold haue knowledge, and thereaftir gyue legem requiret,
answere. If they do not, there is no necessarie of obey-
ing them : but there is alwayes a necessarie of obeyng
of God and his holy woorde.

Dorman.Folio. 18.

Ezechiel the Prophet doth he not witness the same ? and when Translated out
there is any controuersie (saith he) they shall stay in my iudgements of Hosius.lib.2.
and gyue iudgement. fol.97.b.

Norwell.

Ezechiel the Prophet hath these wordes in the
chapter by M. Dormant out of Hosius alleaged. Caput
autem suum non radent.&c. that is to say. They (the
P^riestes) shall not haue their heades, not haue longe
heare,

all popyshe Priestes, whiche haue not stayed in Gods iudgementes from being iudges, and from being his Priestes also at all, besides this restraint in this place may apeare by that the said Prophet in this very chapter by you here alleaged, saith further, after this sorte. *Leuitæ qui longe recesserunt a me. &c.* That is to saie: such Leuites or priestes as haue departed farre from me in the errore of the childe[n]e of Israel, and haue erred from me after their Idols, and haue borne their own wickednes, &c: these shal not approche nere to me, to haue any priesthood before me, neither shal they come nere to my sanctuary, but they shal beare their own confusione or shame, and their own wickednes, which they haue wrought: and I wil make them porters, and other the baslest officers &c. and those Priestes & Leuites the children of Hadoock, whiche haue kepte my Lawes and ceremonies, and haue not erred from me, they shall approche to me, be my Priestes, serue me, & be these Judges here spoken of. Thus farre are the very wordes of the Prophete in the same chapter by you alleged M. Dozman. Whereby it is evident, that all suche as are Priestes, or be so called, haue not by and by authoritie to iudge, but that it is further required, that they be learned, and Godlie men, and obseruers of Gods Lawes and ordinances: els if they be (as be your Popes and all his Popyshe Priestes.) Idolaters, erring from God, and his holy Lawes, corrupters of his worde, seducers of his people (suche as are in the saide Prophet, and same place described) they muste holde them selfe contented to be porters, doore keepers, and drudges, and not presume to be Judges, beyng in deede corrupters, to be iudged, and condemned also.

I knowe

*Iuxta sancta
sanctorum.*

*Pacti sunt domini
Israel, in offendiculū iniquitatis.*

I know that an vnworthe Priest ministering the holie Sacramentes doth (as S. Augustine teacheth) nothing hurt, nor hinder Goddes Sacramentes: but that ignorant or corrupt Priestes shalbe judges in doubtes of religion, neither S. Augustine, nor no other learned and godly father will allowe. And the prophete Ezechiel here appoincteth suche their offices, to be dōze kēpers, and drudges, not judges. This is the true sense of the Prophet Ezechiel in that place and chapter: then the whiche there is not in the olde Testament a moze ex-
sectuous place against your whole purpose, of sondē po-
pish Priestes to be judges, lightly to be founde S. Doz-
man, & yet must you dashe vs in the teeth with a patche ^{58.b.} Clara sunt
thereof. But in dede it is no reason to require moze of apud Aggaū &
S. Dorman, than Cardinall Hosius hath performed.

* Translated out
of Hosius fol. 98.
a. & alijs locis.

Hosius li. 2. fol.
58.b. Clara sunt
thereof.

Malachiā scrip-
tūrā verba, qui-
bus interrogare
legē à sacerdo-

Dorman Fol. 18.

* Aggeus and Malachias, prophetes. bothe, bid they vs enquire tib⁹ iubet &c.
for the law of God, at the Priestes handes, or at the kinges? No assu-
redly, they sende vs not to Kinges (whiche had they beene the chiefe
gouvernours in those matters without faile they woulde haue done) g̃aus, nō inter-
but to the Priestes: whose lippes, they promise, shall not misse to roga principes.
iggeus.2. keepe the true knowledge, because they are our lordes Angels. Hauē Lib. codē fol. 43
Malach. 2. we anysuche warrant of worldely princes? No truly. And were it Dei digito scri-
not more then necessary that we shold if princes shold rule them ptum est, labia
in matters of Religion, of whome these wordes be spoken? sacerdotis custo-
diūt sciētiā &c. Hoc ibidem, fol. 58.b. Profes-

Nowell.

These places of Aggaū and Malachias the Prophete hath Hosius S. Dorman authoritie in aboue a vbi legem ex ore
delsen places. Wherefore S. Dorman hath done right principis requi-
well not to ouer passe them with silence, but to sayne vere iust⁹ sit &c.

B. 3.

them.

A REPRO VFE OF M.

- Lib. 1. fol. 18.
& 18. them both together as Hosius in diuers places doth, &
to translate wōrde for wōrde out of his authōr, as his
manner is. But I do answeare Hosius thus, that of
these two, Aggeus speakeinge ioinly by Goddes com-
maundement of Zorobabell the Prince of Iuda, and of
Jesus the high Priest, fīue times, doth euer place first
in the rehersall the Duke Zorobabell as chiefe, before
Jesus the high Priest, & sheweth first, that the Prince
was ready to heare and to do the Lordes worke, & after
the high Priest: and declareth first, that God did stirre
up the spirite of the Prince, and afterwarde of the highe
Priest, and last of all of the people: and he exhorteth and
comforteth the Prince first, and than the highe priest, &
last of al the people. Which ordre of rehersal so often by
Goddes holy spirite kepte, doth evidently declare the
dignities of these iiii. states, the Prince, the Priest, and
the people, one after an other. Neither may M. Dozman
reject this kinde of reasoning: for he vseth the same him
selfe, streight after goinge about to proue, that Prince
Dormā fol. 19. 1. can not clayme the chiefe place of gouernement in the Churche
by the woorde gubernationes gouernementes, in S. Pavels e-
pistle to the Corinthians, for that the saide woorde is placed so
farte of from the firste and chiefe place. This is M. Doz-
mans reason, and these be his very woordes: wherefoze
I pray him by this his owne reason of placinge, to
let the Prince Zorobabell haue the chiefe preeminance,
the chiefe place aboue the highe Priest, seeinge he is
by the iudgement of the holy Ghost so often and effec-
tually, so placed before him. And whereas the worke
of the Lord wherē of the Prophete entreateth, and
whereunto he exhorteth & encorageth first the Prince,
and after the highe Priest, keepeinge that ordre conti-
nually

nually when he speaketh of them both together,) was the restoringe and reedifyng of the temple, (whiche is a very figure of the restoringe of Religion decated) it foloweth that in the reforminge and restoringe of Religion, the chiese partes are the godly Princes.

I doo know that your maister D. Hardinge saith thus:

The hearinge and determininge of doubtfull causes may be taken, that either the priefe alone herde and determined a meere spirituall controuersie, as whether a disease were the lepre or no: or that the iudge alone determined a meere Temporall matter, as what punishment this or that traitour shoulde haue: or that bothe rogeather shoulde determine a cause of mixte lawe and judgement, as in what manner the Temple ought to be repayed. etc. these be D. Hardinges wordes; and a man may maruaile why he woulde haue the determininge, whether a disease be the lepre or no, to be a mere Spirituall matter, boide of all Temporalitie: and the determininge howe the Temple ought to be repayed, to be a cause mixte with Temporalitie and Spiritualltie together. By the same temporalitie and spiritualltie together: by the same reason bosome, the popishe Cleargie hath reserved causes, of adulterie and whoredome, as matters mere Spirituall, to their owne onely cognition and judgement.

Surely in the Concil Calcedonense don. Act. 3. fa. 867. col. i. Sicut is made that Zorobabell, and Jesus were busied, aboue the buildinge of the Churche of Hierusalem, Hierusalē ædificans concerninge doctrine, and Religion, whiche are rationem non spirituall matters, and in the whiche the Prince was pate circa dogmas. But be it as D. Hardinge woulde haue it, mata adnitentes. That the saide determininge in what manner the temple ongheran-

D. Hard. Cofut.
Apol. parte
sexta. fol. 307. b.

A REPROUFE OF M.

be repaired, be a cause of mixte lawe, and iudgement: doth it
not euidently followe thereof, that the Prince hausinge
the chiese place appointed him by the holy Ghost aboue
the high Priest continually (as here in Aggeus the pro-
phete hath Zorobabell the Prince aboue the high priest
Jesus) is the chiese in the determination of that cause
of mixte lawe and iudgement: In whiche mixte cause,
seeinge there is spirituallitie, as well as temporalitie,
why did not the holy Ghost firste place the high Priest
before the Prince, in case he were in dede the chiese in
that determination. But whan D. Hardinge and other
Papistes do speake of spiritual men and maters in such
sorte, as though godly Christian Princes labouringes
accordinge to Goddes lawe, to subdue the fleshe vnto
the spirite were no spirituall men, nor may medle with
spirituall maters, or maters of Religion, but that their
Priestes onely be spirituall men, & they onely to medle
in spirituall maters: they do in so sayinge and in suche
diuision not folowe the Scriptures, who though they
teache a distinction of offices of Priestes, and other that
be no Priestes, or Lay men: yet haue the Scriptures no
distinction of spiritualitie in Priestes onely, from other
godly Christian Lay me, & specially Christian Princes,
who in all wisdome, godly knowledge, & life, that is to
say, in true spiritualitie, shoulde so farre excell the bul-
gar sorte, as thei do excell them in dignitie. To procede,
God him selfe doth not onely throughout the whole pro-
phete Aggeus place the Prince before the highe Priest
continually, when he speaketh of them both together,
but also speaking of the Prince alone, declareth his most
speciall fauour towardes him by these very wordes: I
will take the vnto me Zorobabell my servant, & make
thee

thée as my signet , because I haue chosen thée saith the Lorde God of hostes : than the whiche sayinge there is none spoken of any high priest more notable. But if M. Dozman say this is spoken in figure, & that Christ here is mente by Zozobabell: well, what so euer is alleged for the Pope or his popish priestes out of the old Testa-
ment, is plaine as a packe staffe, and true simple without figure . But it pleaseþ me right well that S. Hie-
rome & other interpreters do declare, that God in this place hath chosen the Prince to be the figure of Christes kingdome, & the high Priest to be the figure of Christes Priesthode: in whiche resemblaunce, sainge the godly Hieron. in Ag-
geum.

Prieste , argueth that the Prince who firſte figureth Christe , the vndoubted head of the Churche, whiche is Christes kingdome here in earth , is ſuperior to the high Priest . And as the Papilles can ſhewe no cauſe, why Christes kingdome in his Churche , and ouer all, ſhould be inferior to his priēthood : ſo can they ſhewe no cauſe , why the godly Prince reſemblinge Christes kingedome, either in the churche, or ouer all, ſhould be inferior to the godly highe priēte , reſemblinge his priēthode : for his kingedome is as eternall and glo-
rious, as his priēthode, and both in deede moſte glo-
rious . I knowe that D. Hard. Cofut. Harding woulde haue Christes priēthode to include his kingedome, as the greater of Apol. 305.a.
firſte includeþ the leſſe, to proue thereby a Christian Prince to be inferior to a priēt: but he onely ſaith ſo, & proueth it not, neither can he euer be hable to proue it.

Now concerning the other prophete Malachie: God there inueyeth againſt the couetousenes, diſobedience, & contempt of hiſ Maieſtie, which was in the Priestes, &

he threateneth them, that he will bringe them to beg-
gerie , curse their Blessinges , and blesse their cur-
singes , and that he will throwe in their faces the
doune of their solemnities . Wherefore I thinke
Hosius, and M. Dorman shall finde small aide in these
Prophetes for the preferrment of Priestes before
Princes, or for the Jurisdiction of Priestes in matters
Ecclesiasticall . For what Jurisdiction I pray you, lea-
ueth God to those Priestes , whose sentences he will
reuerse , whose blessings he will curse , whose cur-
singes he will blesse : that is, what so ever they binde,
the Lorde will loose : what so ever they loose, the Lorde
will binde : and he gyueth small honour to such
Judges , in whose faces he threatneth, he will throwe
the doune .

Hosius lib.2.
fol. 58.b.

Interroga sacer-
dores legē, dix-
it Aggæus, non
interroga prin-
cipes.&c.

But saith Hosius out of M. Dorman's penne, these
Prophetes bid vs to enquire of the lawe at the priestes
handes, and not at the Kinges . Vea, so woulde I bidde
any man that woulde be resolued in the lawes of this
Realme, to require it at the iudges handes, rather than
the Princes : and yet doth that not proue the Judges
to be superiorour in Jurisdiction ciuill to the Prince . I
woulde aske of M. Dorman in case he were in doubt
in his Canon lawe, whether he woulde require the re-
solution thereof of the Pope (who hath bene oftentimes
so ignorant that he knewe not his Crammer, as is by
Alphonsus de
Castro. li.1.ca.4 notable Lawier well studiéd in the saide lawes . D.
D. Hard. Cōfut. Hardinge your maister M. Dorman, doth confess that
Apol. fol. 314.b. Pectarius the great Patriarke of Constantinople , did
learne the beste wate in moste weightie controuersies
of Religion, of one Hesinthus : who though he were a
great

greate Clarke, yet was he but a pore reader in a pore Churche vnder the nouatiane Bishoppe Agelius, as witnesseth Socrates in his Ecclesiasticall Historie . Lib. 5. cap. 10. Wheresoze vntesse you will graunt the pore reader, to haue bene superiour to that great Patriarke , soz that the Patriarke (as saith your mayster) asked , and vsed his advise, you doo inconsiderately mingle together authoritie and knowledge , as though there shoulde be alwates greatest authoritie , where is the greatest knowledge : whiche if it be true , the authoritie of the Baldus.
Pope vshallie moste ignorant, doth lie in the dusse.

Papa est doctor
Againe I say , as we are contented to require the vniuersale legis Lawe at the Priestes handes : so are the Priestes non scientia , sed bonden to answeare accordinge to the law of God: from the whiche if they swarue, we are not bounden to heare, or obey them .

Nowe where you say M. Dormant , that these Prophetes doo promise that the Priestes lippes shall not misse to keepe the true knowledge , because they are our Lordes Angelles : yee doo scarsely deale sincerely herein . Hosius your authour saithe : Dei digito scriptum est . Labia sacerdotis custodiunt scientiam &c. that is to say : It is written with the finger of God: the lippes of the Priestes doo keepe knowledge . You say, the prophetes doo promise that the Priestes lippes shall not misse to keepe the true knowledge . Custodiunt .
Wherby it is euident that either your authour Hosius , or you, misseed to keepe true translation, for though one once haue the keepinge of a thinge, it foloweth not by ϵ by he hath a promise never to misse of the keeping therof . And if this (custodiunt scientiam) thei doo keepe knowledge , was written with Gods finger, as Cardinall Hosius his fingers, by writinge doo testifie, than was your

A REPROVFE OF M.

promise, that the Priestes lippes shall not misse to keepe true knowledge, written with M. Dorman's fingers onely. For Gods woorde, written with his owne finger in that Prophet, doeth declare plainly and largely, that the lippes of those Priestes did faile to keepe true knowledge, and that therfore they had no certaine promise nec to misse to keepe it: as you haue here with your fingers written. I wil reherse the woordes of the propheete moze largely, for it is to the purpose so to do, lex veritatis fuit in ore eius, &c. (saith the propheete Malachie) that is to say: þ lawe of truth was in his mouth (he speaketh of Leuie) and wickednes was not founde in his lippes: he walked with me in peace and equitie, & turned many from ini-
quite: for the lippes of the Priest shoulde or ought to keepe knowledge, (for both S. Hierome and the pro-
cessore it selfe sheweth that the woorde custodient so si-
gnifieth,) and they shall require the lawe of his mouth:
for he is the Angell or legate of the Lord of hostes. But
you (Priestes) haue departed from the way, & haue cau-
sed many to offendre against the lawe: you haue broken
the couenant of Leuie, saith the Loerde God of hostes.
Wherfore I haue made you to be in contempt & with-
out estimation rmongst al people, as you haue not kept

Accepistis facie my waies, but haue had respect to persons in iudgemēt.
vel personam in
lege.

Ex persona Dei.

Hitherto haue I rehearsed the texte of the Propheete:
upon the which S. Hierome hath these woordes: Descri-
bitur ex persona Dei, perfecti officiū sacerdotis &c.
that is to say: the office of a perfect Priest is described in
Gods person, and what manner of man the saide priest
should be, & what manner of man God would haue him
to be, who commaunded him so to be, &c. thus saith S.
Hierome of þ first parte, what priestes should be, of the
secunde

second part, what thei were in deedes, S. Hierome saith thus: Vos autem recelisisti de via &c. that is. But you haue departed from the waye ic. the sense is: I woulde haue had you done the thinges, whiche are conteined in the first part, wherof I speake by Moyse in Deutero^s Quæ priore canonicæ: Geue to Leuite his doctrine, and truthe to that pitulo continetur. rightcous man ic. But you haue declined and gone frō tur.

the right waye: notwithstandinge that I saide, thou Dicente me.

Shalt not decline, neither to the right hande, nor to the left.

To decline to the right hande, is to abysteine from meates, whiche God hath created to vse, and to condene mariage, and to offend against that, whiche is wri-

tten in an other place: be not to righteous. To turne to Eccle. 7. c. 2

the left hande, is, whan one giveth him selfe to lust and uncleanesse, and offendeth many in the Lawe, whom it

were better to haue a mille stome tied to his necke, and to be cast into the Sea, than to offend one of the little ones ic. thus farre S. Hierome vpon this place of the Prophete Malachie.

By the plaine text therefoze, and by S. Hieromes exposition it plainly appeareth, that

God maketh a comparison betwene Leuite and the oulde godly Priestes, betwene the wicked Priestes of those latter daies: and that God made them not promesse

what they shold not faile to do, but telleth them what had beene their dutie to haue doone: that is, that they

ought to haue kept knowledge. As the like phrases, Deuter. 32. Exod. 20.

thou shalt feare the Lord thy God: thou shalt walke in his waye: thou shalt not decline to the right hande,

nor to the leste: (whiche S. Hierome in the expositon of this place also, alleageth) thou shalt not steale, and other places like to this by M. Dozman here allegaged,

conteine no promesse, that we shall certenly do so, but

A REPROUFE OF M

Deuter. 12.

Hec sunt præcepta atque iudicia, quæ facere debetis.

a commaundement, and declaration what we ought to do: as by the Scriptures in other places, is evidently expressed, as in this text for exâple. These be þ preceptes and iudgementes, which ye ought to do. For as it appeareth by our continuall failing to do these thinges, that we had no certen p;omesse of God, that we should not faille to do the: so, that no p;omesse was here made, that the Priestes lippes should not misse to keepe true knowledge, (as M. Dorman saith) appeareth evidently by that immediatly after he sheweth , they had misse to kepe it, & had erred most shamefully, from the waye, both vpo the right hand, & the left, and that therfore all people should despise the, because they had deceiued the people, & had not answered according to Gods Lawe, but had caused the people, that did credit & folow them, to erre from Gods Lawe. And therfore, as before was touched, he threatneth that he will make them poore, & beggerly, will cast donge in their faces, will curse their blessings, and blesse their cursinges . Loe M. Dorman here is the authoritie and iurisdiction of your Pope and Popishe priestes, who haue likewise lost knowledge, & swarued from the right waye, bothe vpon the righte hande , by abstaining from meates, and mariage, and forbidding them to others, as vnlawfull: and vpon the left hande , by their vile life in suche impure celibat of fending many, truely and liuely by the Prophet Mala chie, and S. Hierome described : here are the causes of the beggerie and contempt , whereunto you beginne to growe, plainly and truely set foorth. Wherfore you haue dealt insincerely with the Prophets, and deceiptfully with Gods people, to drawe their saynges forze able to your purpose , to the whiche they are most contrarie:

erarle: going about moste falsely hereby to prove, that God had made a promesse that the lippes of your Popes and Popishe Prelates, and popishe sir Johns, most ignorant doultes and asses, a great parte of them, shuld not misse to kepe true knowledge: whose blindnes, ignorance, errours, seducing, of the people, and the contempt, pouertie, and decay in this world, and the curse, and high indignation of God (whereinto for their saide faultes they are moste lustly fallen) is by the Prophete Malachie most plainly & lively here depainted and set forth: Hier. in Mala. 2.
Sacerdos si ignorat dignitatē cuius opera nō exhibet,
in baine bragge of the dignitie of priesthod, who do
not perfourme the dutties thereof.

Concerning the wordes Angelus Domini, whch tenderit, negligenter, the numbe, hath translated our gentem frustra Loordes Angels, Hier. in Agg. 2.
Sacerdos si ignorat dignitatē cuius opera nō exhibet,
in messanger of the Loerde, of shewinge the will of the versus finem &
Loerde to the people, saith S. Hierome. Which interpre-
lation S. Hierome rather followeth, þ he might there-
by deliuer from their errorre, such as thought that Ag-
geus and Malachias the Prophetes, and John Baptiste
also (of whome the Scriptures do vse that phras of
Angelus Domini) were Angels in dede. For S.
Hierome vpon Aggeus witnesseth that some than, had
suche an opinion. I trusse M. Dormā meaneth not by
terming p̄lestes our Loordes Angels, to bring vs into þ
errorre, out of the whch S. Hierome would deliuer vs,
for though simple men, hearinge suche godly men, as
were the oulde Prophetes, and p̄lestes, termed Angeli-
Domini, þ Loordes Angels, by occasiō of the title & their
holiness

A R E P R O V F E O F M.

holines together , might thinke them to be Angels in
deede; yet sache is the lise of our Popish Prelates, that
no man can be brought by any title of the Angels of the
Lord, to them attributed , to thinke them therfore to
be Angels in deede, excepte it be Angeli Domini mali,
the euill Angels of the Lord. And S. Hierome saith,
that the title of the Lordes Angel, that is the Lordes
messenger or Legate, is geuen to Priestes of shewing
the Lordes will to the people , for the whiche cause the
saide Priestes ought to be learned and searchers of the
truthe, as saith S. Hierome : and this woodre Legate
of the Lord, impozeth, that the Prieste ought to say no
other thing than that whiche is geuen him in commis-
sion from the Lord. Besyng therefore our Papistes, haue
nelther them selues preached the Lordes will , nelther
would suffer the people to knowe it, but , as muche as
in them did lie, by keaping it hid from the people,vnder
a straunge vnkownen language, and by fearing of the
people by al craultie, from the seeking of the knowledg
of the Lordes will,in steede whereof they haue taught
their owne wil, the title of Angeli Domini, the lordes
Angels, messengers, or legates, ca in no sense be truly
applid to them:vnlesse they may(as I saide) be termed
Angeli Domini mali, the euill Angels of the Lord:for
that they plucke the good seede of Gods wooarde, out of
the hartes of the people, and in steede thereof, doo saue
the darnell and coele of theierronious traditions, and
superstitions , after the example of the wroost of al the
Angels. Wherfore M. Dozman needeth not to bragge
of this title, Angeli Domini , our Lordes Angels , as
apperteining to their wicked, vnlearned doltishe, and
vnde Popishe Priestes. Where M. Dozman saith, we
haue

haue no suche warrant for Princes; as be these soz
 Priestes. I would be soyl we had suche: for here they
 haue none other warrant, but a declaration that they
 hane broken all couenant and promelle with God, and
 all dutie towardes God, and man. And M. Dorman
 may (if he list seeke) finde y terme of Angelus Domini, 1. Reg. 29. d. 9.
 the Lordes Angel (as he expoundeth it;) and Christus 2. Reg. 14. d. 17.
 Domini also; the Lordes annotacted, or the Lordes & 19. cap. d. 21.
 Christe, (so; so I might late by as good right, as he ter-
 meth Priestes the Lordes Angels) not vnusuallie, & multis locis,
 in the Scriptures applied to Princes. And in the ende
 of the chapter of Aggeus by him here alledged; he may
 finde a better warrant for Zorobabell the Prince, as I
 haue before noted, than is here for the Priestes. And
 God doth promyse that kinges and Queenes shalbe the
 foster fathers, and sourcetes of his Churche, bothe to
 guide and to leade it. And the Scriptures saye that the
 hartes of Princes are in the Lordes handes, and that
 he doth turne them whither he will. Upon which teste
 S. Augustine auoucheth, that he who will not doo
 that, the whiche the truthe it selfe commaundeth him
 by the hart of the kynge, both shalbe punished in this
 worlde, and shall not be hable to shewe his face before
 God: and S. Augustine affirmeth constantly, that who
 souuer (without exception) shal despise the commaunde-
 ment of a godly Prince, in matiers of Religion, procu-
 reth him selfe iudgement or damnation. Whiche are
 farre better warrantes for Princes, than M. Dorman
 hath here any for Priestes.

Dorman Folio. 13.

But to procede, is this authoritie genen to them onelie in the

Translated out olde testamentare, they not put i rore you, in as great trusfe in the
of Hosius. lib. 2. newe? Or are they thinke you excluded and kinges admitted ther-
fol. 45. a. & . 98. a

D. Hard. hath
the same Cōfut. made his accompie, that God had placed in his Churche, first A-
Apol. fol. 298. b. postes, next to them Prophēties, then doctors, and so furth. Emongest
& . 320. b. all the whiche, although that frantick foole that preachinge not
Ex Ephe. 4. many yeeres sence at Paules Croffe, went about with his raylinge
Rhetorike, to make his audience as fools he as he was madde, in
believning that this place shoulde make against the authoritiie of
the Pope, because forsooth he coulde he saide, finde no rouane for him
there: and therefore of his charitie wised, that if any good fel-
low emongest his audience were wearie of his roome he might be
placed there: as verily I both thinke and knowe there were manie,
that wised bothe themselves & waie, and him in Bedleme emongest
his compaignons, never to come more in pulpit, especiallie in that
place, to dis honour the yniuersitie his mother, from whence he
came, by suche unreasonable not reasoning but rayling: although he
Isaie 1. coulde finde there no place for the rope, he might yet haue
with his yong sight found at the lofthat, which John Caluin could Li. 4. In
before with his olde and dimme eyes espic out, that is, that the c2. 3. scđ.
chiefest place of gouernement in Christes Churche, belonged to the
Apostles, and so to Bisshopps and Prieses their successors. Except
his braine wold serue him to saye, that Christes Churche died with
his Apostles.

No well.

Powe M. Dorman hath doone with the ould Testa-
ment, and made an ende thereof with Hosius, he doth
Hosius. lib. 2. fol. 45. a. & . 98. a. well to p;occade with him to the new Testament, and
beginning with the same terte of S. Paule to the Cor-
inthians, as doth Hosius, to take the whole creatie
With him in oder as it lieth.

No we where S. Paule hath the same terte in es-
tate

sete in his Epistle to the Ephesians, D. Hardinge noted his booke in the margent thus. 1. Corinth. 12. and ad Eph. 4. Wherefore Doctor Hardinge, who boroweth as falle of Hosius, as dothe M. Dozman, divers tyme alleaginge this S. Paules rehersall of Ecclesiastical ministers, seinge M. Dozman had catched the first note. 1. Corinth. 12. doth with discretion make his note continually ex Eph. 4. and not 1. Corinth. 12. as dothe M. Dozman. But who it is that M. Dozman here, or that his charitie and pitie (whiche he could vs of beseche) calleth frantike soole, and mad man, and woulde haue placed in Beethleem, I do not certainlie knowe. But if he haue a delight to rasse vpon suche, who by sicknes, or otherwisse, haue had sometime an idle head, he may amongst his nerest acquaintance & friendes of the pastilles, finde matter enough to gnathe vpon with that tooth, till he breake it to pieces againe, and if he according to his wilhe, that such were in Bedleem amongst their companions, all shold not be in Louaine, y now be there. And M. Dozman might peraduenture lack some of the beastes companions and friendes that he hath, vntille he would for good companie, accompany them into Bedleem him selfe. And that Preacher, whosoeuer he was, that intreated vpb that text of S. Paule to the Corinthisans, did shewe hym selfe to haue had moze discretion and learninge in his head, than you haue M. Dozman: and also moze truthe in his mouthe, than was in your penne, when you alleged this texte of S. Paule for proufe of your proposition, *that the head of the Churche must needs be a briske.* *For I beseche the good Reader, where as S. Paule doth describe & set forth the Hierarchie Ecclesiastical &*

D. Hardinge.
Apo. fo. 298.b. &
320.b.

I. Cor. n.
Ephc. 4.

I. Cor. n.

Ephc. 4.

Multa quidem
membra vnum
autē corp⁹ &c.
mox, ut non sit
schisma in cor-
porc. &c.

orders of Ecclesiastical ministers, in the firske to the Corinthians, and also in the Epistle to the Ephesians; if it had bene Goddes ordinaunce (as these men do say) that of necessarie one Prelatte, and of all other the Bishop of Rome, shoulde haue beeene the onely head of that Hierarchie or whole order Ecclesiastical, & of the whole Churche: is it credibile, that S. Paule would haue lefte him out vnnamed, as he hath done in those places? S. Paules wordes to the Corinthians are these. Et alios quidē posuit Deus in Ecclesia primū Apostolos. &c. that is to say: And God hath also ordened in þ Churche or congregation, first the Apostles, secondarily Prophetes, thirdly teachers, than them that do miracles, after that the gistes of healing, helpers, governours, diversitie of tongues &c. And to the Ephesians intreating of the same rehersal, of Ecclesiastical ministers, he hath these wordes: Et idem dedit, alios quidem. As apostolos &c. that is to say: and the very same (Chr̄ist) made some Apostles, some Prophetes, some Euangelistes, some Shepardes or Pastours, & some teachers, that the Sainctes might haue all thinges necessary to wozke, and to minister withall, to the edifyng of the body of Chr̄ist, and so forth. Thus farre are S. Paules wordes, wherby he testifieth that he hath named ministers necessarie, to the edifyng of the body of Chr̄ist, whiche is his Churche, and to the bringing of all to unitie, which he expresteth by the similitude of one body, in the whiche he would haue no Schisme. But in these rehersalles saith he any where, that God or Chr̄ist ordened, or made first Peter, as the head of the Apostles, & of all the Churche, and his successors Popes of Rome after him: than the other Apostles, and their suc-
sourc.

sours: and so forthe.

No surely he saith not thus, nor to this effect, here, nor no where elles at all. But it had beene more than necessarie, he shoulde so haue saide M. Dorman, had he mente, or vnderstandinge, that such an one onely head(as you woulde haue your Pope to be) had beene so necessarie to the redifysyng of Chistes Church, as you woulde haue it seeme that he is: which not to be necessary thereunto, by S. Paule reckoninge of necessaries, and omittinge this your head as vnecessarie, doth most necessarilly folowe. Yea S. Paule exprely sayinge, that God ordeined and made first Apostles, doth declare that the Apostles, and their successors the Bishops, are equally the chiese: for all the Apostles, and consequently their successors, are equally by S. Paule, first: and none can be before the firste. And where these men dw dreame, that this their one head of the whole Church, is moste necessarie for the auoidinge of Schismes, and dissensions, and for vnitie in the Churche, marke I pray thee god Reader, how carefull S. Paule is for the vnitie of the Churche, and what he saithe therfore in the fourth to the Ephesians, where he hath the same rehersall of Ecclesiastical ministers, and the same treatie, that he hath here. Solliciti(inquit) seruare vnitatem spiritus, in Eph. 4. vinculo pacis, vnum corpus, & vnum spiritus &c. that is to say: be ye carefull to keepe the vnitie of the spirit, in the bonde of peace: one body, one spirite, one hope of your vocation, one Lorde, one faithe, one baptism, one God, and Father of all. Thus saith S. Paule might not herz haue beene added; & one Pope the head of the whole Churche, to keepe it in vnitie: surely it might most aptely haue beene added, and shoulde of ne-

cessisse haue beene added, had S. Paule thought y such an head of y whole Churche had for y vnitie of the same beeне so necessarie, as these men say it is. Wherefore I conclude y in this treatise, of the vnitie of the Churche, no mention being by S. Paule made of any such head as necessarie for the vnitie thereof, nor in the rehersal of al necessarie ministers & officers Ecclesiasticall here, & in that. 12. chapter to the Corinthians by S. Paule made, any mention at all is made of any such head, S. Paule doth geue vs to understande, y he did not know of any such head, so necessarie to y Church, & the vnitie thercof: & y he therefore doth clearely ouerthow your first false proposition of the necessite of one head ouer Christes church here in earth, & withal your secod of one head Priest, & so cosequently your popes supremacie, wherfore neither the preacher, y said he could finde no place, in that place of S. Paule for y Pope, neither y bntuerstie his mother, fro whence he came, nadeth to be ashamed of y sermons, y most faultie place wherof, y you coulde finde; is such, as all Papistes in all their sermons & bookes too, shal never be hable truely to proue faultie. And you P. Dorman such a Bachelor of diuinitie, not speaking woordes, which often-times sone vanish, but writing workes, longer to endure: & setting thē forth as your owne, knowyng in your conscience how you had borowed, & where you had borowed y whole, & sometime by lūpes taking sicke doctours, or eight textes of Scriptures together in order as they lie in your authour, by you so shamefully robbed: & in your entries to y said places, & in your coclusions of the same, translatyng woorde for woorde out of your spoiled authour: you I say thus dealinge in writing your selfe, might haue spared to speake of shame or dishonour of an other, for a sentence in a Sermon so longe agoe, & so wel and truely spoken: much moze might you haue spared in your excesseine vehemēcie,

to cal the latde preacher frantique foole, & Wedleem madde
 man, & in Wedleem to be placed, for saying that he coulde
 finde no roume in this place of S. Paule for y Pope: which
 in dede neither he, nor any man liuinge can finde: and
 you M. Dormā shal finde farre more easely a place or two,
 or thre, for certaine frantique furious Popes in Wedleem, Cardinalis Bēb.
 or in the dougen of S. Angels castle worse thā Wedleem, de Julio.2.
 where some of them died like dogges (as their owne histo-Platina de Bo-
 ries do testifie) thā you shalbe hable to finde place for any
 Pope in these places of S. Paule, or in any other places,
 throughout the whole bodie of y scriptures. Vea M. Dor-
 man, I thinke a man shoulde hardly finde any place, not
 onely for y Pope, but for any one popish prelate or Priest
 at all in these places of S. Paule. Be your Cardinalles &
 Bishops, Apostles, or their successours, preachinge, and
 teaching as thei did: S. Paule saith, doctors & teachers are
 necessary: he saith no where, your dombe, idle, proude pre-
 lates, are necessary to y ædifying of christes church: be thei
 Bishops: be they not rather tempozall Lordes & Princes,
 which to y Apostles & their successours is by Ch̄rist, by S. 1.Perr.5.
 Peter, by y Scriptures, & ouldest Canōs to the forbidden: Math.20.
 do your Cardinalles & bishops minister y Sacramentes, Canō.Apost.
 as they did: or do they not rather sende both preaching &
 ministeringe of the Sacramentes, as thinges too base for
 their Lordly state, to lowrie Friers, & poore peltling popish
 Sir Johns: be thei prophets or Euāgelistes: be they teach-
 ers or doctours: do they wōzke myracles, cure diseases,
 haue they y giste of tōgues: for these be those, whome S.
 Paule speaketh of. No surely thei be none of all these: for
 they teache not, they fēde not, but spople, deuour, & mur-
 ther the flocke, thei do nothing to ædifying, but to destru-
 ctio of Christes bodie, which is his Church. Surely, as you
 M. Dormā, do say of Princes, I may truely say of them,
 except

A REPROUFE OF M.

Non quasi do-
minates in gre-
ge.

Math.20.

except it be this woorde, gouernours, that thei may take houlde of, there is none other place for the in S. Paules rehersall of Ecclesiastical ministers. But what manner of gouernours be they, I pray you? suche as S. Paule, suche as S. Peter (vpon whome yet they grounde, and scunde all their gouernement) such as Christe our Sauutour, (who for bidde to Ecclesiastical ministers all dominion and worldly gouernment,) do require no surely, but cleane contrary, v singe lordly dominion, princely power, tyzannious crueltie ouer the flocke of Christ. Wheresoze I do conclude, that here in S. Paule there is no place, not onely for your Pope, but also not for any your popishe Prelates, or Priestes at all. Now where P. Dozman alleageth Caluine for Bisshoppes against Princes, I do meruaile that his brayne serued him (for such phrases it pleaseth him here to vse) to pray aide of an aduersarie, & so extremely hated an aduersarie.

Caluinus Instit.
lib.4.c.3.sect.4.

And where Caluine in the place by P. Dozman here noted, intreateth vpon the fourth to the Ephesians, it is not the parte of a man findinge faulte with other mens old dimme eies, and bragging of his cleare yonge sight, to alleage him as though he had there intreated vpon the 12. chapter of the first to the Corinthians, for that is the place whiche P. Dozman is here in hande withall. And Caluine in the place of his Institution here by P. Dozman noted, onely reherseth such Ecclesiastical gouernours, as haue the peculier offices of preachinge Goddes woorde, and ministringe of the sacramentes, whiche we did never attribute to Princes. And there was no cause why, he shold place Princes emongst Apostles, Prophetes, Euangelistes, Pastours, Doctours, for of those onely speakeith Caluine in the place

place by M. Dozman here alleged. Wherfore this declarath that M. Dormans sight was not so cleare, as he maketh it: and especially , the daseling of M. Dozmans eyes, appeareth in that he is so busie with these places of S. Paule , and with Caluinnes iudgement vpon the *i. Cor. ii.*
Ephe. 4. same , who teacheth that in those places not onely no place is to be founde for his Pope, but that these places of all other, do most effectuously ouerthow the Supremacie of this one head p̄iest the Pope . And so whiles M. Dozman would gaine hereby against Princes , for the authoritie of Bishops , he loseth the Supremacie of his one head Bishop the Pope , ouer all Bishops , and ouer the whole Churche. And by his owne places selec- ted as well out of the newe Testament, as of the ould, vpon earnest studie of prouinge his consequence , that Princes can not be the chiefe gouernours in the parti- cular Churches of their owne dominios , he quite over- throweth both his first proposition , of the neceſſitie of one onely head of Christes Churche here in earth , and also his se- conde proposition , That the ſaide head muſt needes be a Priest, bringing nothing but that whiche doth indifferently & equally apperteine to all Prieſtes , and therfore not making ſpecially, for the Supremacie of one ouer all : and ſo his places by not prouing that , for the prouife whereof they were brought , bat rather the contrarie , do vtterly disproue, and ouerthowe it.

Dorman. Fol. 19.

But if a man ſhould askē this great Clerke that hath ſo narowely ſcanned the teſte, what roume be founde there for kinges : I mar- uell what his wiſdome woule anſWERE. There is but one worde in all the teſte that ſhould ſeeme to make place for any temporall ma-

ſt.

gijſtrac,

A REPROVFE OF M.

gistrate, and that hath Caluin warred with such a glōse, that it can
in no w^eise serue his purpose. The woerde is gubernationes, gouer-
nementes, placed beside so farre from the chiefest and first place, (if
it were to be understande of Temporall Magistrates,) that it occu-
pieth the seventh. But Caluin saith it may not so be vnderstande, but
that the Apostle ment by that woord such spirituall men, as were
ioyned to the Preachers, for the better order in spirituall gouerne-
ment. And he addereth a reason, why it may not be understande of
Ciuitall Magistrates: because (saith he) there were at that time none
of them Christians. By whiche woordes this very man maie see,
that if he will needs daunce after his maister Caluine his pipe,
he muste saie that there is not, nor onche no roume in this place
for Ciuitall Magistrates, but that he is excluded also from the
hope of findinge for them anie, (I meane in the gouernement in
Ecclesiasticall causes.) in any other place of the newe Testamēt.

That ciui
magistrate
should go
uerne i th
churche , :

Norwell.

This matter is slenderly handled by you M. Doz^m
man, thus to passe ouer this place, and not to shewe vs
In what part therof your Pope should be placed: which
argueth in dede, that you can here finde no place for by Caluine
him at all: elles woulde you not (I beleue,) haue so dis^r reason,
scimed the matter, and litte shronke awaie. And be-
ing not hable here to place, his Pope (as a man moze
desirous to strike his aduersarie, than to defende his
owne head,) he doth aske what roume may be founde
there, for kinges: and proceadeth to proue by Caluine
yet once agayne, that Princes can haue no place there.
M. Dozman may in dede be as bould to belie Caluine
nowe thise, as he hath beeene, and is continually, to
falsefie the holy Scriptures, and salynges of the an-
cient doctours. But why haue you not noted vs^y place
where:

Where Caluine so saith, the place before noted hath no
suche thinge, as I haue declared. But you knowinge
that Caluine by this place of the Cozinthians, and the
4. to the Ephesians, every where proueth that the Po-
pes Supremacie is a batne forged lie, naming Caluine
as against vs, and therupon makinge your discourses
and marginall notes, durst not note the places, lest they
should be founde to make with vs, against you. I will
shewe the place which I thinke you do meane. Caluin
in his Institution the fourth booke, ii. chapter and firste
section vpon the woerde gubernationes, in the. 12. chap-
ter of the first to the Cozinthians, here by M. Dorman
alleaged, and vpon this in the. 12. to the Romaines.
Qui praest. &c. Let him that ruleth rule with careful-
nes, hath the like woordes, as are by M. Dorman here
reversed, after this sorte. Non enim alloquitur Magis-
tratus, qui nulli tunc erant Christiani. &c. that is to
saye. For he speaketh not to Magistrates, of whom none
were than Christened &c. by which woordes of Caluin,
as it may seeme, M. Dorman would gather that there
is no rowme for ciuil Magistrates, not only in th^e place
of the Cozinthians, by his iudgement, but that he ex-
cludeth vs from hope of findinge for them any place of
gouvernement in Ecclesiastical causes, in any other place
of the new Testamēt. Whiche in deede neither Caluine
saith here, neither can be gathered of him, by this, or
any other place in him, that I haue redde. In this same
booke of his institutiō, Caluine erpringd y same word
gubernationes, gouvernemēts (in this very place of S. Paules
epistole to y Cor. by M. Dor. here noted) bath these word^s
Tamen si enī. &c. y is to say. Although S. Paule doo proper-
ly speake of y cousell or cōpany of graue mē. who in the sect. 4.

A REPROOFE OF M.

primitiue Churche were appointed to be ouerseers in
the ordering of publique discipline , the whiche office in
his Epistle to the Corinthisans, he calleth Gubernatio-
nes, gouernementes : yet because we do see the ende of
the Ciuill Magistrate , to tende to the same pointe
or effect, there is no doubt, but that S. Paule doth ther-
by commend to vs all kynde or sortes of iuste gouerne-
ment . Thus farre Caluine: whereby you may see that

Finem civilis
potestatis codicē
recidere.

Calu. li. 4. ca. ii.
sect. 1. & cap. 20.
sect. 4. 1. Cor. 12.
Gubernationes.

commended to vs, by this very word, Gubernationes,
gouernementes , whiche M. Dozman denieth, but also
affirmeth that the office of the godly Magistrate ten-
deth to the same ende, that is to the maintenaunce and
oversight, of publique discipline in the Churche, (soz of
that he here speaketh) whiche these men do denie .

Rom. 12. Qui
p̄xest in solici-
tudine proicit.

Wherefore M. Dozman, though Caluine say that S.
Paule in that place , and in the. 12. to the Romanes , did
not speake to Magistrates , who than were not Chi-
stened, yet doth he not exempte Christian Magistrates.

Ibidem.

Dij nūcupātūr ,
Mandatū a Deo
habent, diuina
authoritate p̄x
diti sunt. oī Dei
personā sustinēt,
cuius vices quo-
dāmodo agunt.
Ibidē. sect. 6. Se
Dei Vicarios esse
meminerint.
Item sect. 9. Dei
Vicarij sunt. &c.
Itē in. 7. c. Olex
sa. 81.

ther confesseth that S. Paule dothe by this place com-
mend them to vs, beinge the beste kinde of iust gouer-
nours : and whose office saith Caluine , tendeth to the
same ende of the orderinge of publike discipline in the
Churche. Yea, and Caluine saith, that the Magistrate
(and especially the Godly,) is Gods Vicar: whiche title
these men can suffer in no wyse to be geuen to any , sa-
uing onely their Romishe Pope. He affirmeth that the
state of the Churche is by God committed to the patro-
cine and protection of Princes : that the office of Ma-
gistrates extendeth it selfe to bothe the fables : that the
Magistrates ought to take vpon them the care of Reli-
gion,

gion, to restore it decated, or fallen downe: and that they
are in Goddes place ordeined not onely to decide world-
ly controuersies, but also to see that God be purely worshipped,
according as is appointed in his lawe: for that
they be ordeined to be the protectours, and maintaine-
rs as well of true Religion, as of publique peace and ho-
nestie. Thus saith Caluine, with much more to be said
hereafter, where M. Dorman laileth him yet againe to
our charge, as dissenting from vs. But hereby it is evi-
dent, that Caluine doth agree with vs in these pointes,
and that M. Dorman alleaginge him as charginge vs,
that we do goe about to make Princes iustle with God, and as
excludinge vs from the hope of findinge any place, either in this E-
piske of S. Paule to the Corinthians, or els where in the newe Testa-
ment for Christian Princes gouernement in cases Ecclesiasticall, and
as directly contrary to vs: doeth pipe a lie, & daunce awrie.
For if M. Dorman will daunce after Caluins pipe, he
must both confess with vs that his Popes supremacie
is, by this very place of S. Paule to the Corinthians,
here by him selfe alleaged, cleane ouerthowen: and also
that Christian Princes, as Gods vicars, haue to doe in
Ecclesiasticall causes, and with reformation of Religion.
This and much more must he confess I say, if he will
daunce after Caluines pipe. Whiche phrase I vse, for
that it pleaseith this mærie man here to vse this meta-
phor of pipinge, and dauncinge after a pipe: as he did be-
fore as leudly vse the like of strikinge vpon a wronge
tringe, and is vsually in hande with like minstrellike
phrases, and folisher termes, vised againste vs in such
places, as he him selfe, like a blinde harper, and lewde
piper, striketh most awrie, and whistleth moste leudlie.
And for that I haue fustly reproved, and derided the

A REPRO^VFE OF M.

notable foolishnes thereof, in such sorte, as it deserved, a sorte of popishe rimers haue therfore ra'led vpon me, and M. Dozman also chargeth me, as though I had geuen the firste occasion of suche lewde minstrelsie. But they do wel now all reason faileth them, to supple that defecte by railinge ryminge, as most mete for them, and suche matiers as they haue in hande: least they shold altogether seeme destitute of all both rime and reason.

Caluine in dede taketh from Princes the office of preaching, of excommunicating, or binding & losing, and of ministering the Sacramentes, and geueth all this to the Ecclesiasticall ministers: and so do we also, and do profess as doth Caluine, that þ Prince him selfe ought to be obedient to the Ecclesiasticall minister executing these his offices according to Gods worde: yea though it be against the Prince him selfe, accordinge as Theodosius the Emperour was in this case obedient to S. Ambrose. But let M. Dozman shew where Caluine deuoth vnto Christian,princes authozitie to reprove, correct, or punish Ecclesiastical persons slacke in their offices, manifestly swaruing from Goddes worde, or otherwise offenders to summon or cal the to Sinodes within their owne dominions: to be present, and president if they list, at their treaties, and to establish such orders, or ordinances as do appertaine to the outwarde regiment, and policie of the Churche within their owne dominions. For this must M. Dozman do, if he will prove Caluine to be at square with vs. But the vsage of the Magistrates of Geneua (where Caluine was) by whose authozitie Religion was reformed, and Caluine him selfe every wheres do stande clearly with vs. Yet hath

hath M. Dozman intermingled in this place one subteltie, not to be ouerpassed: the woorde Gubernaciones gouernementes, saith M. Dozman, is placed so farre from the chiefe and firste place, occupying the seventh, that it can not make for any chiefe rounte that Princes shoulde haue in Ecclesiasticall regiment.

I wil not here trouble M. Dozman with Erasmus translation, who hath translated this woorde Αυτάκεις potestates, powers, whiche is in the fourth place, and whiche woorde, as it seemeth, may be vnderstandinged of Princes well enough, to bringe Princes moze fowarde here. But I will put M. Dozman in remembrance, that Princes were not than Christened(as he for his vantage hath before out of Caluine noted) and that therfore he doth inconueniently, to seeke the chiefe place in the Churche for them, whiche than had there no place, beyng Heathen men: whiche yet maketh nothinge against Christian Princes, to wheme I doo trust M. Dozman will not denie a place in Christes Churche: though he might by as god reason not suffer Christian Princes to haue any place at all in Christes Churche for that Heathen Princes had none: as he will not suffre Christian Princes to haue any rule or gouernment in Christes Churche, for that Heathen Princes there had none: for there is like reason in bothe. Nowe seinge M. Dozman thinketh it reason, that suche order in rehersall, shoulde preue who be the chiefe in dignitie, and who inferiours, it were well that he woulde receiuie the same reason hym selfe: and either to finde, or utterly to loose his one heade of the Churche the Pope. For bothe in this place, and likewise in the fourth to the Ephesians,

S. Paule:

A REPRO^VE OF M.

S. Paule saith, that God ordeined and made firsste, not one Apostle, or Peter, but Apostles, that is al the Apostles to be æqually the firsste and chiese, every one in his charge: and not one (Peter) to be the firsste, and ouer all the other Apostles(as the Papistes do dreame) wherefore by M. Dozman his reason of order in rehersall, seeinge his Pope, or any other man for him, hath not here the firsste and chiese place in order of rehersall, he is not the chiese aboue all others in dignitie, and authoritie. And it were meete also, that M. Dozman shold not refuse the same reason of prouinge h chiese in dignitie, by suche ordre of rehersall in other places, specially suche places, as be by him selfe alleaged, as namely, in h Prophete Agg^e-us his first and seconde chapters: where rehersall beyng made toinly five times of Zorobabel the Duke or Prince, and Jesus the higb Priest, the Prince hath the chiese place, and the higb Priest the second continually, and the people the thirde(as I haue before noted) whiche place by M. Dozmans reason, proueth the Prince to haue the chiese and highest dignitie, and the higb Priest the secod. Yea it is greater reason that such ordre in the prophete Agg^e-us shold proue the Prince the higb Priest his superioriour, than that S. Paules rehersal shold proue Princes inferiour to priestes in the church of God: for that in S. Paules time Princes were Heathen, Pagans, and Idolatours estrayed from the Church of Christe, yea mortall enemies therewith, and therefore no maruaile if S. Paule in his rehersall of the officers apperteininge to the buldinge of Christes Church, had little regarde or respect to Pagane princes, who were none of the saide Churche, neither builders, but pullers downe thereof. Whiche can make no reason
against

Agg. 1. & 2.

against Christian Princes, the builders of the Church: vndelesse M. Dorman thinke it reason, because Heathen Princes had no place in Christes Church in S. Paules time, that Christian Princes shoulde therefore have no place in Christes Churche in our time (as I haue before noted) whiche if it doo not folow, (as in dede it doth not) why shoulde it moze followe, that Christian Princes haue no authoritie in the gouernement of Christes Churche, because Heathen Princes had no authoritie in the gouerninge of Christes Churche: But let vs seeke for the due places of Princes and priestes in Gods Church, not where as the one had no place (whiche is not reason) but where both the Prince and the Priest had their places, that is, where both were godly. As in the prophete Aggeus his time, the Prince was godly, and of the Churche, as well as the high Priest: the matier the prophete speakeith of, is the Lordes wozke, and buildinge of the Lordes temple, and Churche, by expresse wordes: and in the saide buildinge of Goddes temple, the Prophete beinge directed by Goddes spirite, dothe ffeue times together place the Prince aboue or before y high Priest. Likewise in Solomons time the Prince and Priest beinge bothe godly, and therefore both ha- uinge place in Goddes Churche, the Scripture saith; Time Dominum fili mi, & Regem, feare God my Proverb. 24. childe, and the Kinge: placinge the Kinge nexte unto God, as nerest in authoritie, without any comminge betweene of the Priest. Wherefore this order of placinge the Prince before the highe Priest in a rehersall, soiently and exprestely made of the Prince & high Priest together, and that so often times also, they bothe beyng godly, and of the Church, yea and in Gods workes too;

D

and

A REPROUFE OF M.

and building of his temple, declared by the prophet Agæus by your selfe alleaged, & this placinge of the godly Prince next vnto God, as next him in dignitie, in other places of the Scriptures , do by your owne reason M. Dorman, proue the superiortie of the godly Prince aboue the high Priest, and al Priestes. And the omitting of vngodly and Heathen Princes in S. Paules rehersal of Ecclesiasticall gouernours, doth nothing hinder the dignitie of godly and Christian Princes , as you without all ryme or reason, woulde reason. And as you haue herein piped like your selfe M. Dorman , so shoulde he that would daunce after your pipe:daunce like a stole.

Dorman Fol. 19.

But not in this place onely was S. Paule of that minde; that Priestes shoulde gouerne the Churche of Christie, but in that notable sermon of his also, that he made to the Priestes of Ephesus at his departure from thence , where he giueith them this exhortation .

Translated out Attendite vobis & vniuerso gregi , in quo vos spiritus sanctus posuit episcopos, regere ecclesiam dei . Looke saith he io for vwoerde , your selues, and to your flocke in the whiche the holy ghost hath placed you to rule, and gouerne the Church of God . Actuū.30
fol.35.b. &c.93.a

Can there be any plainer evidence then is this? Let them therefore either rule (as S. Paule saithe they are appointed thereto , and that by the holie ghost) or if Princes must, let vs deny saint Paule his auctoritie, and say that the spirite failed him, for surely bothe may not.

Norwell.

S. Paule is every where of one minde, but no where of your minde M. Dorman . You and Cardinall Hosius be of one minde , who do so sumpe agree together, that sometime you allege a great many of doctors (as a little before you did and hereafter often do) sometime , a great

great many moe Scriptures (as here in this present place) together in the same ordre one after an other, and that with so like or rather the same handlyng & circumstances that you & he must nedes be of one minde. The whole force of your Hostian argument standeth in this woorde Regere, whiche you, by amplificatiō dō trāslate, to rule & gouerne the Churche: but the originall woorde in the Grēke is ποιμανειν, yis, to gouerne, & ſeide as a ſhearde doth his ſheape. And i. Petri. 5. the ſame woorde is translated, Pascere, ſeide the flocke. And immediatly after S. Peter forbiddeth them to exercise dominion or lordship ouer the flocke: whereby y chiefe loueraigntie, i. e. minium exercētus aduersus clericos aduersus &c.

i. Petri. 5.
Neque ceu dominum exercētus aduersus clericos aduersus &c.

Priestes, & ſpecially that princely or imperiall lordship of the Pope ouer y flocke, is excluded, ſeeing they be not lordes ouer the flocke. ποιμανειν therefore, Regere, v el pascere: ſignifieth to guide, ſeide, cheriſh and defend the flocke. For by and by he maketh mention of raueninge woulues, whiche would inuade the flocke, fro the whiche, the ſheardes ought to defende them. But our popishe prelates (how ſo ever they haue cloaked them ſelues) haue by their fruictes & dēdēs (wereby they are to be knowē) ſhewed the ſelues, not y ſheardes, but thofe rauening woulues, of whome S. Paule there ſo zef ſhewed, & gaue warning. Now if we will graut, as M. Dozman would haue it, and as he ſaiſthe expreſſly hereafter, that the whole gouernment, & chiefe loueraigntie in the Churche is in this woorde Regere, to rule or gouerne, geuen to Priestes: doth it not than folowe, that all they, to whome S. Paule ſpeaketh this woorde, as also all they, to whome S. Peter ſpeaketh y ſame (of the which none was Bishoppe of Rome) had this whole gouernement,

and chiefe soueraigntie geuen them thereby, and so that either the Bishop of Rome hath it not, or if he haue it, that he hath it common & equall with other Bishops: and where is his supremacie than, if other also haue the whole governement, and chiefe soueraigntie: which either let M. Dozman graunt, or els geue ouer this his leude reason, grounded vpon the woorde Regere, to rule, or gouerne: as though the whole gouernement & chiefe soueraigntie were thereby ment, & therein confeined.

Further emongst al those, to whom S. Paule spake these wordes: Looke to your selues and to all the flocke, in ihe which the holy ghost, hath placed you, to rule the church: there was not one such priest, as be y popish priestes, now a daies: who looke neither to them selues, except it be to eate, drinke, sleepe, and play, nor attende to the flocke, but to spoile, & murther: so that no one woorde of that tert can appertaine to them, vntesse mindelesse muling of their mattins & masses be feeding: and spoiling, persecutyng, murthering, & deuouringe, be gouerninge of the flocke. For what other feeding or gouerning hath the Pope, & his sworne Clergie, of lōge tyme vsed: but such gouernours I am sure, were never placed by y holy ghost, in Christes church. How be it, that godly Bishops, & other Ecclesiastical ministers (such as S. Paule in this place spake vnto) by preaching of Gods woorde, rebuking, reprovinge, excommunicatinge also (whan neede shal so require,) may gouerne, and rule as well the Prince, as the people, we never dented. Neither will we sticke to graunt that the Prince his scholemaister in his office, doth rule, and ought to rule the Prince, and in that respect is aboue him also: for the maister is aboue the scholar, as he is a scholar, I am sure. Powe as we are contented.

contented to graunte that the Prince ought to heare a Bishop truely preaching , humblie to receiue the Sa- cramente at his hande, duely administringe the same, paciently to heare his vices reprehended of the Bishop by Gods woorde, yea and being excommunicate vpon iust cause, to submitte him selfe also to the Bishop, as Gods minstrelle in that behalfe , but further the Bishop may not proceade, as to depigne the Prince from his of- fice, and to place an other in his steade , or to vse such rule as the Popes, those false usurpers , haue of longe and to longe done: so let M. Dorman, and other Roma- nistes graunt to vs, that the Prince may correcte , pu- nishe, and depose Bishoppes , and other Ecclesiasticall ministers being negligent, offendours, or incorrigible, according to the qualitie and quantitie of their crime: and that the Prince may sommon them to Synoddes or councels, vse his authoritie as chiefe in ordering the assembled, and in authorising and establishing of out- ward orders for the gouernement of the Church : or let him and them proue the contrary . For hitherto he hath onely proued that Priestes ought to haue such kynde of rule and gouernement , as no man deneth them. His evidence therefore is nothing so plaine and certen as he maketh it. For where he saleteth, that we must confesse that Bishops must either rule (as S. Paul saith) or be ruled, and so that the spirit failed S. Paul herein, for surely saith he, both may not . Surely M. Dorman I had thought, that the Cour- cell might rule a good Prince , by their wisedome : and the Judge of h' Realme, by aduertisement of the Lawes: and his Phisitiains in his sicknesse , might rule him by holsome phisike: and his Scholensalver might rule him by instructions: and that the maister of a Shipppe, ther-

of named in latine gubernator, may gubernare or re-
gere, (to vse the very terme, for all is one) gouerne or
rule, and by good governing may saue both the shippes,
and the Prince also sailinge therein: and that yet the
Prince might rule them all by his authoritie, and that
therefore to rule and be ruled, thinges whiche you as-
firme surely may not both be, might surely in diuers re-
spects or comparissons both be well enough.

Dorman.Folio: 19.

And thus for the scriptures (good reader) ye see to whom of right
that part of Ecclesiastical government, whiche standeth in the alo-
wyng and condemning of doctrine doth apperteine. For that doo the
authorities by me ouer of the old Testament alledged, expressly proued
as also doo those brought out of the newe, by a necessarie consequence,
in that they give to them the whole government and chiefe souerein-
tie, of whiche this is, as is before saide, a parte.

Nowwell.

Thus you see good Readers, that the Scriptures al-
leaged by M. Dorman out of Hosius, & first those of the
olde Testament, apperteine nothing to his purpose: as
those whiche partie intreate of discerninge betweene
thinges cleane & vncleane, as meates, drinke, beastes,
fowles, fishes, offerings, diseases, priuie murthers, and
other like ceremoniall matters, nowe either cleane ab-
solished amongst Christians, or if any remayne in use,
yet not apperteining to hys office of priestes (besides that
muche matter in the processe of the said Scriptures co-
tained, is directly against many Popishe ceremonies, &
superstitios now vsed) and partly the said textes prone
such thinges, as we never denide: as that priestes ought
to haue

to haue vnderstanding in the Scriptures, thereby to instruete the people, and to resolve them of their doubtes, according to God his woordē and commāndementes: whiche doth in deede apperteine directly to the ministrē of the woordē, or office of preaching, whiche is with M. Dozman the thirde part of his diuision, and for the Dorm. fol. 20. 2. whiche him selfe confesseth, we do not strive with him neither make any quarell: and therefore he hath beene well occupied about the prouinge of that, whiche no man denied: and he also kepereth a good methode in prouing his thirde part in the treatise of the first. To conclude therefore for this parte, ye see good Readers, how vntruly & fondly M. Dozman saith, That the autorities of the olde Testament by him alleged, doo expresselie proue, to whome the allowinge and condemning of doctrine doth apperteine: mea-ning that it shoulde apperteine to p̄stes onely, where as those authoritieis intreate moze, what knowledge to iudge and teache they shoulde haue, than, what authorite-
tie or power in iudging they haue. For surely to whom soeuer it apperteineth, to iudge of doctrine, they stande bounden to haue knowledge in Goddes woordē, and to deale according to the same. Wherefore it can in no wyse apperteine to the Pope, and P̄pistes, who are partly ignorant, and whollie the corrupters thereof. Powre his places out of the newe Testament be such, that they haue not one woordē in them, of, nor for the iudging of the doctrine, whiche is sounde whiche is other. which is the first part of his diuision, and by him undertaken to be proued by these places of the Scriptures: where-
fore that he saith, he hath proued by those texies that p̄stes ought to haue the whole governement and chiefe soneraigneis is very ridiculous.

For

For if he wold thus proue the whole at once, what needed that solemyne tripartite diuisiōn so lately made, as though he wold proceade to proue the partes in ordēder? Was it made more to shew his conning in dialeſticall diuisiōn; than of any neede of the matier, ſeyng ſodenly by one woord p̄p̄ued al at once? But ſeing it is evident that thōſe teres of the newe Testament do apperteine nothing at all to his Popishe Prelates and Priſtles; as thōſe to whome neither the names, nor functions of Ecclesiasticall officers, by S. Paule reherſed, do, or can by any meanes agree; (as hath at large beene before declared) & where as the woord ποιμανεω, (whereupon M. Dorman groundeth his prouife laſte) with S. Peter (by whome the Pope doth clayme al his ſuperioritie & ſoueraigntie) is nothing els but paſcere, to feade the flocke, the whiche S. Peter doth also with all expreſſelie forbide dominion to all Ecclesiasticall persons; and confeſſeth him ſelfe equall or felowe miſtēr and elder with others, M. Dorman may be a haſmed vpon that woord, as vpon good ground, to affirme

i. Petr. 5.
Pascite quātum
in vobis est, gre-
gem Christi &c.
neque ceu domi-
nium exerce-
tes. &c.
συνπρεστού-
τερος. i. Conſe-
nior.

that the chiefe ſoueraigntie doth apperteine to priſtles: where as the woord ſoueraigntie doth ſpecially in dede apperteine to Princes; who, and not Priſtles, are caſted ſoueraignes: and to whom, as to Priſtles, dominio is not forbidden: Further what whole gouernment and chief ſoueraigntie ſoeuer, M. Dorman hath hitherto declared (as he thinketh) to pertaine to Priſtles, it is by the very woordes of his teres euident, that the ſame equally doth apperteine, firſte to all the Apoſtles; and their ſucceſſours equallity, and not to one onelie head ſpecially and principally: And this woord Regere, to gouerne, (by the whiche woord M. Dorman ſaith the whole gouer-
nemēnt

nement and chiese soueraigntie (as signified) is not here spoken to, nor of Peter, or the Pope of Rome, but to other, that is, to the Cleargie of Ephesus, so that it is commune and indifferent to all Bishoppes, and mintsters Ecclesiastical, and not peculiar to one onely head Bishop. And so M. Dorman, whiles he striueth to proue that Princes haue nothinge to do in Christes Church, hath by his diligence, cleane ouerthowen and marde at once, as well al his first matter of the necessitie of one onelie chiefe head in the Churche, as also his second and present proposition, of one head Priest, and finally his thirde treatise of the Pope, to be that one head : faring herein (as me thinke) like one plaiyng unskilfully, with a weapon called the Hurlebatte, who whē he went about to strike his aduersarie with the soze ende thereof, did with the hinder ende unwares, knocke him selfe in the nodule bende, and also with the rebounde of the sommar baullet, breke both his shinnes.

Nowe it remaineth that we eramine, howe these authoritites, brought by M. Dorman out of the scriptures of the oulde Testament and the newe, do conclude and proue his present proposition, whiche is this. That the head of Christes Churche here in earth, must needs be a Priest. First saith M. Dozman. It is written in Leuiticum cap. 10. Praeceptum sempernun est in generationes vestras, ut habeatis scientiam discernendi inter sanctum & prophanum, inter pollutum & immundum, doceatisque filios Israel omnia legitima mea: That is to saye, it is a precept that shall euer endure through all your generations, to haue the knowledge to discerne and put difference betwene holie thinges and prophane, betweene cleane and polluted: and that you teache the children of Israel all my commaundements. Ergo, the head of Christes Churche here in earth, must needs be a Priest. This is a p̄ctie

argument. For this text proueth neither head nor soote, but sheweth y office of all the Levites or Iuishe cleargie. Whiche yet if it did proue any headship, it did subuert M. Dozmanas first proposition of one head of Christes Churche, seeing all that is here spoken, perteineth to all the Levites or Iuishe Cleargie, as M. Dozman him self confesseth by these wordes: To whom gave almighty God here the power to iudge of doctrine? whom comauanded he to teache? any other than Aaron and his race which were Priesles? If you saye true M. Dozman, than mend your proposition, so as this text proueth after this sort. That al priesles haue power to iudge of doctrine, & to teache the people, & than teache vs I pray you, what this text maketh for one prieeste to be head. Againe saith M. Dozman. In the Booke of Deutero-

Dorm. fol. 18.2.

Fol. 18.2. ca. 17.
faith he not also that if there arise anie hard or doubtful question, the priest must be consulted, and that he, that of pride wil spurne against his ordinance shal suffer death therfore. Ergo the head of the whole Churche here in earth must needes be a prieest. As though, because one chiesel prieest may resolute y doubtles rising in one nation of y Iues, one chiese prieest may in likewise resolute all the doubtles rising throughout y whole world. Which reason of his, M. Dozman hath liked so wel, that he hath now vpon this text rehersed it sixe times, at the least: and I haue been dauen so often to answere it. Pea vpon this one worthycollection, sheweth his whole first treatie of the necessarie of one head of Christes whole Churche here in earth. The good Reader that list, may see a full answere to his abusing of this texts
fol. 59. sc. in my former treatie. Again, saith M. Dozman
in the same booke in an other place (it is said) that vpon the priesles
woerde, or woerde of the priesles, all causes shall hange. Ergo the
head of the whole Churche in earth must needes be a
Prieest. Why M. Dozman this concludeth not, for the
place

Deut. 21.

Ad verbū sacer-
dotum.

place speaketh of all and every P̄ſteſſe Leuſtſall, and therefore it can make nothiſe for your one head. Againe it iſ a matier of ſecret and vñknownen murther ſpecially, whiche that place ſhoweth, muſt hange vpon the P̄ſteſſe woordē, whiche noſe hangeth chiefly vpon the Crownars woordē. Wherefore iſ that place make for any head of the Churche at all, it declareth, that the Crownar ſhould be that head. Againe ſaith M. Dorman. Exechiel the Prophet doth he not witnes the ſame? fol. 18. b. ca. 44.
 and when there iſ anie controuerſie (ſaith he) they ſhall ſtate in my iudgements and gene judgement. Aggeus and Malachias, doo they not bidde vs enquire for the Lawe of God at the P̄ſteſſe bandes? whose lippeſ they promeffe, I shall not miſſe to kepe the true knowledge because they are our lordes Angelles. Ergo the head of Aggeus. 2.
Malach. 2.
 the Churche muſt needes be a Prieſt. In all theſe argumentes I graunt there be two extreſes, but every one of them lacketh a debitum medium, and I beleue they are ſo farre a ſunder, that two or thre media will ſcarſly bringe them together. For al theſe textes do ſpeakē indiſterentely of all prieſteſſe, and their lippeſ, and therfore proue no one to be the onely head of the Churche, but that all ought to be able, & ready to teache in the churche: which as we doo graunt, ſo doth it reproue the ignorant Aſſeſ, and dombe dogges, the Popiſhe prieſteſſe. Further there iſ an vñtruthe M. Dorman, where you ſay the Propheteſſe promiſſe that the prieſteſſe lippeſ ſhall not miſſe to kepe the true knowledge, for þame Propheteſſe, & in the ſame places, do declare þ the prieſteſſe lippeſ, & heads to, had loſt al knowledge. Wherefore thei make no promiſſe in that place, what their lippeſ ſhal do, but ſhewe what their lippeſ ought to do: farre otherwiſe ſuerly, þā thei diſ, or than your popiſhe aſſeſ lippeſ haue of long time diſdone: and therfore þ place reproueth your lippeſ as well as theiſ.

P 2 And

And thus you see good Readers, that these textes of the ould Testament do declare the duties of al priestes, in knowledge, and teaching of the people (whiche we denie not, but we do wiste they had, and did the same accordingly) & that in al those textes by M. Dozman alleaged, is not as much as one word sondyng towardes the prouise of his proposition, that one Prieste shoulde be the onely head of Christes whole Churche here in earth. These be his argumentes out of the ould Testament. Nowe out of the newe Testament he reasoneth thus: S. Paule declareth that Christ ordeined and made for the gouernance of his Church, first Apostles (that is al the Apostles equally to gouerne in the Churche, and likewise their successours after them.) Ergo, the onely head of the Churche must be a Priest. whiche so as good an argument, as if one shoulde thus reason. All the Senatours must rule equally, Ergo one must rule ouer all. Againe S. Paule said to the elders or Priestes of Ephesus, a citie in Asia, Attendite vobis &c. Looke to your selues and to your flocke, in the whiche the holy ghost hath placed you to rule, and gouerne the Churche of God. Ergo the head of the Churche must be some one Priest, and consequently the Bishop of Rome. which is like, as if one wold reaso thus: The Senators of Frankeford, must looke to the gouernement of their citie: Ergo the Latgraue of Hesse must looke to the gouernment of all Germany, or rather of all the worlde: for so we shoulde make it like to M. Dozmans argumēt. And it is as reasonable almost, of that whiche was spoken by S. Paule indifferently to al the elders or Priestes of Ephesus a citie in Asia, to gather a speciall præminēnce of one head priest of Rome in Italy: as if one shoulde say, it is fire, Ergo, it is water. Seing therfore M. Dozman, that never an one of these, nor all these prouises.

proues together, doo proue your proposition, that the head
of Christes Church here in earth must needs be a Priest. What
doth such a proposition standinge so starilyng in great
letters, in þ first face of your booke: for shame mā, if you
haue any face at al, blotte out, either your proposition, or
your proues: or (which is best & most meete for the) sens
them both to the donghill together. For that, whiche in
dēde these Scriptures doo proue, and teache, touchyng
the office and duetie of Priestes, we neuer denied, but
do, and euer did most gladly admitte and receive. And
thus good Reader thou seest howe M. Dozman hath in
parte substantially performed this his promise. I shall
truly bringe foorth, as it were into the face of open Court, all such
evidence of importance, as either parte hath to alleage for him, and
that so truly I trust, that the councell of the other side shall haue no
cause to complaine &c. This godly and well framed pro-
misse, set forth with these and other lawlike termes at
large, hath M. Dozman for the Scriptures very well
performed, bringinge forth al evidence of importaunce
in the Scriptures for their parte to be founde, that is
to say: bringyng forth out of one place of Hosius, eight
places of the Scriptures (& more would haue brought,
had he founde more there) in good ordre, and aray, as they
there stande, with like ingressse and outgresse, to and fro
the saide textes, word for word with Hosius. Which
trade here vised in the Scriptures, he will not faile, but
like a constant man, will continue the same in hand-
linge of the doctours, and Histories Ecclesiastall, in
this whole treatie, euen as Hosius doth, and no other-
wise, for scare of stumblinge. And as here of the Scrip-
tures, so of his Hosian handlinge of the doctoz, hath he
already giuen you a taske, bringyng forth in a ranke:

Dormā, fol. 17. b.

A REPROUFE OF M.

Dorman suprà sixe doctours, in the same ordre, places, & very woordes,
fol. 16. b. as doth Hosius : whome he likewise foloweth throughout
this whole processe . And yet disdaineth he to be cal-
led a translatour , and will needes be accompted an au-
thour of this treatie,

Dorman Fol. 19.

The nexte membre of spirituall gouernement , is the power as
Christ himself calleth it of binding and loosing . vvhiche power to
excommunicate and to absoluue our saviour gaue to his Apostles , Math. 19.
when he sayed to them: what so euer you binde in earth shalbe
bounde in heauen, and what so euer you loose on the earthe shalbe
loosed in Heauen . vvh herein and in the last whiche is to preache
and minstre the sacramentes, because these penaunce proctours pres-
tende not as yet any greate title for Princes , but seeme rather to
grounde their action in the first: I will leauing the both as either by
the scriptures in all mennes iudgementes sufficienly defended, or by
our aduersaries them selues not assaulted , examine of what minde
touchinge this controuersie , the holy doctours of Christes Churche
from time to time haue byn . Not as though mannes woordes shoulde
haue with vs more anchoritie then Goddes, or that it needeth to be
bouldred vp therewith , but for this cause onely, that if it happen
them to wrangle , as their manner is , about the true interpretacion
thereof, all men may perceiue that we giue no other , then the Fas-
thers of Christes Churche before vs haue giuen.

No Well.

M. Dorman vseth a maruaillous methode . First he
deuideth the whole Jurisdiction Ecclesiasticall into its.
partes, than he promiseth he wil orderly procede. Than
by the oulde Testament he goeth about to proue his
first parte . And by and by , by the new Testament he
proueth

proueth as he saith, the whole Jurisdiction to appertaine
to priestes. Than he maketh a shewe as though he
would proue the seconde parte: and with that by and by,
for his ease, he geueth cleane ouer both the seconde and
thirde parte, that is two of the thre, as to the which the
pecuylle procters, as he saith, doo pretende no great title.
Whyn sir, but where is the authoritie of makinge of rules and
lawes, for the governement of the Churche become: which is a
parte of your division, & no doubt pertaineth specially
to your deuided Jurisdiction, and in the which standeth
the chiese controuersie betwene vs (for we say that

Justinian the
Emperour hath
made so many
lavves Ecclesi-
asticall, that
vvere they all
joined together
they woulde
make a great
volume.

Christian Princes haue chiefly to do therwith) where
is it now become I pray you, that you here in this se-
conde rehersall of the partes of your division passe it ouer
with silence, or rather caste it cleane away with the y.
last partes, betweene the whiche you had so doutfully
placed it: It was for naught, that where there were in
deede fourre partes or pointes in your division, that you
woulde yet haue no more but thre: and the authoritie of
making rules and lawes for the governement of the Churche, which
is in deede the chiese point in controuersie, you placed
and pointed so doubtfully, that it were too much a do to
 finde to which of the thre it apperteined. For now lo
it is cleane shronke in the waitinge, and is become no
pointe, neither parte of any pointe at all, but is cleane
cast away with the two last partes, betwene the which
it was so doubtfully placed. But saith M. Dorman he
hath omitted it, for that these pecuylle procters make no great
title for Princes therein: Where as in deede our chiese title
& controuersie with the is there aboue. Now truly M.
Dorman you plaid the pecuylle proctour in so saying, &
bringing your solemine tripartite division of Jurisdiction

Ecclesi-

A REPROUFE OF M.

Dorman suprà
fol. 17. a.

Ecclesiasticall to this pointe. I pray thē god Reader call to remembrance his wōdes before about his diuisiō, howe he will bringe all the euidence of importance that bothe partes haue to shewe for them, that he will neither suppreſſe, conceile, nor obscure any thinge, that like an upright iudge he will haue an earnest eye to the issue, that he will ſo confeſſe the euidence with every pointe, that it may appeare whether it agree with any parte, with none, with ſome, or with whiche; that at the length by good ſcāning we may come to the knowledge of every mans owne. These be M. Dozmanſ owne wōdes: and yet upon ſo gloriouſ and lawlike a p̄eface & promeffe, in the proceſſe forgettinge his iſſue, and both what is his owne and other mens euidence too, leaueth out almoſte all of obliuion, or of purpose conceileth it, confoundeth, mangleth, and iumbleth al together, ſo obſcuringe all mat ters, that no man can come to the knowledge of his owne. This if thou wilt well compare together god Reader, thou ſhalt truely finde him, the ſame whiche he without cauſe obiecteth to vs, a peruiſhe popiſh proter, prating without al rime or reaſon he woteth not what, and by ſuch handlinge of his mat ters, truely making vs an hotchpot, to vſe his owne ferme. And thus in dede it muſt needes happen to thoſe, who ſpending their ſtudie and time in lawe mat ters, will ſodainely become Bacheleres of diuinitie. But as it is ſaid, it were better to be a god woman, than a beggerly Gentleman. Now whereaſ M. Dozman confeſſeth that he will not allege the doctours after the Scriptures, as though mans woorde ſhould haue with them more authoritie than Goddes, or that it neeeded to be boulered vp therewith, this ſaying hath the better grace, if it be remembred how ſtrongely he hath before fortifiſed his matter by the Scriptures. And I woulde

god

good Reader, thou wouldest compare this sayinge with
M. Dorman's dōinges and handinge of other mattiers,
as the prouise of his firsste proposition of the necessitie of
one head ouer Christes churche, wherein he beinge contented
to leaue the scriptures, soz that he coulde finde none for
his purpose, is faine to boulster vp the matter by mans
authoritie:beginning, proceeding, and endinge his ma-
tier therewith, whiche yeat (as hath beeē declared) did
nothing further or serue his purpose . But here vpon
special confidence that he hath alreadie pithily and fully
proued his matter by the Scriptures, so liberally(as he
thinketh) though vpon Cardinall Hosius his coste , by
him alleaged , he doth not a litle auance him selfe , as
hauinge Goddes wōrde in chiese vse , and estimation,
& little regardinge mans wōrde in respect of it. In dede
I must confess that M. Dorman hath beeē moze plen-
tisfull in bringinge in the Scriptures into the face of o-
pen Court, than he is otherwise accustomed to be : but
to what purpose or effect he hath brought them in, I
trust the discrete Reader doth well understande , for
those Scriptures proue nothinge , that he alleageth
them for, and we do denye none of those thinges,
whiche they proue. And notwithstandinge this sayinge
of M. Dorman here : you shall well understande , that
in this and all other contiouersties betwene the Pa-
pistes and vs , he and they all put their chiese affiance
and trusst in mans wōrde and authoritie , & vse it most,
and almost onely: well knowinge that were the matter
tryed by Gods wōrde, they shold not longe haue many
wōrdes to say. But whereas the ould doctours though
in dede holy fathers , yet as men, do vary one from an
other, & sometime by forgetfulnes, or change of opinion,

¶

from

from them selves also: as longe as the sayinges of the doctours (the Scriptures set aside) shalbe searched, and for triall of the saide cōtroversies alleged, the Papilles doubt not, but they shall still finde somethinge to say, out of their sayinges, who doo vsually say one against an other, and sometime (as I haue said) against them selves also. Wherefore what so euer M. Dorman here saith, he and they al doo knowe in dede, that were the matter tried by the Scriptures, whiche be euer constant and agreeable to them selves in vnschaungeable truth, al maters woulde shourtly be at an ende. Neither doo we refuse the sentences and expositions of the doctozs: neither wrangle we aboute the sense of the Scriptures cleane contrary to their mosle manisest sense, (as the Papilles doo) beinge assured, that although some doctozs in some places may seeme to make for them, in some matters: yet in all necessarie pointes of Religion, the most ancient, best learned, and greatest numbre of the doctors, most clearely, & wholly stande of our side, against them: as in this M. Dorman's treatie, very bare of the Scriptures, and Goddes woorde; but trusled full of doctours, and mans woorde, I haue partely declared alreadie; and shall in the processe thererof throughtly proue, and make the same mosle manisest unto the good Reader, by Goddes grace, I doubt not.

Dorman.Folio. 20.

¶ And here to begin with Ignatius that holy martyr, who for Ignatius.
the fauour of Christe was with the teeth of wilde beastes borne, and
as he writeith him selfe, sawe our sauour in fleshe: consider I
beseeche you in the prescribing of such order for obediece in Chrysies
churche.

spst. ad
philadel-
phien.

churche as whereby vnitie might be preserued; what place of preeminēce be givēth to Emperours (who are of the lastie the greatest estates.) and what to Bis hoppes, his woordes are these, Principes obedit Cæsari ; milites principibus , diaconi præs biteris sacrorum prefectis : præs biteri , diaconi & reliquus cleris, vnā cum omni populo & militibus & principibus & Cæsare , episcopo: episcopus Christo, sicut Christus patri, vt ita vnitas per omnia seruetur . Princes (saith he) obey your Emperour, souldiors your Princes, Deacons the Priestes whiche haue the charge of Religion: Priestes, Deacons, all the rest of the Clergy with the people what so ever they are, souldiors, Princes, yea the Emperour him selfe, be you obedient to your Bis hoppe : the Bis hoppe to Christe, as Christe is obedient to his Father, that so vnitie may in all pointes be obserued . Here may we see good Readers, that euen in the daies of the holy martyr Ignatius, it was then thought necessarie and expedient, that for the better obseruinge of vnitie, the Emperour him selfe shoulde obey the Bis hop . well I wote our aduersaries will not restraine this obedience to temporall gonerement, and therefore it must needes be vnderstandinge of spi- rituall and in causes Ecclesiasticall. But if the obseruinge of this obedience be the way to conserue vnitie, what shal we alas thinck of them that laboure to violat and breake the same? as doo all they that trauaille to make Princes in matters of Religion to rule , and Bis hoppes to obey .

Norwell.

No doubt Ignatius was a most holy Bishoppe, and constant Martyr: but he sayeth nothinge for you, nor against vs. For what place of præminēce shoulde Ignatius geue to Heathen Emperours in Christes churche? It is wel knownen it was longe after his time (beyng martyred in the. ri. yere of Traiane the Emperour) Hieron. de scrips or any Emperour were Christened. And how shoulde ytor, ecclesiast,

he geue a place of p^raeeminence in Chⁱslles Churche to them, who had there no place at all? And we doo not denie, but that Emperours as wel as other men, ought to be obedient to the Bishoppe, so you take withal that whiche foloweth, that the Bishop be obedient to Chⁱslle, elles will Ignatius discharge vs of obedience to a Bishoppe disobedient to Chⁱslle. For he saith in the same place that p^riestes not beleauing truely shal lose euerlastinge life, as wel as other: & woulde you M^r. Dozman, haue vs to obey & folow such P^riestes, so goyng before both in false doctrine, & into hell too? To procede we restraine not the obedience whiche an Emperour or other Prince owe^th to a godly Bishoppe, to temporall gouernement: I woulde therefore M^r. Dozman woulde restraine him selfe from such trifling but we doo enlarge it to preachinge of Goddes wo^rde, that is teachinge, exhortinge, reproouinge, comfor^tinge, &c. binding, and losinge, and ministeringe of the Sacramentes according to Goddes wo^rde: all whiche be spirituall matiers, and in all the whiche, all persons as well Princes, as other, ought to obey Goddes wo^rde deliuere^d by the ministerie of the Bishoppe, as I haue at large before declared. But seeinge that holy marty^r Ignatius speakinge of obe^dience, and makinge a rehersall of all degrēes Ecclesiasticall, frō Deacons to P^riestes, from P^riestes to Bishopps, from Bishopps to Chⁱslle, &c. make^th no mention at all of your owne heade of the whole Churche, h^y Pope of Rome, (whiche heade you say is so necessarie, and of all men without exception to be obeyed) what shal we say? did that holie marty^r not knowe your Pope, as yet in deede vnboorne? D^r did he, who forsgat not Deacons, and P^riestes, so meane men in comparison,

forget

forget this your high head of all & wherefore you shall hardly frame an argument of this sentence of Ignatius to prove your proposition, *That the head of the whole churche must needs be a priest.* And in your translation of these wordes, Obedite Episcopo, be you obedient to your bishop. You haue not vsed that discretion that I looked for at your handes: for thereby you geue men to vnderstande, that by Ignatius every Bishop in his owne Diocesse is to be obeyed: and so that it maketh nothinge for your one head Bishop or Priest, but for the obedience due to every Bishop. You might haue done better to haue translated it, be you obedient to the Bishop: for so some would haue thought that the Pope, as Bishop of al Bishoppes, had bene meant: according as by your like allegations out of S. Cyprian, and S. Hierome in your former treatise, you haue borne all men, and specially the simple in hande, that the sentences by them written of every Bishop, the onely and chiefe Bishop in their owne diocesse, were ment of your Pope, as onely and chiefe Bishop and head of all the Churche throughout the worlde.

Dorman. Fol. 20.

The same Worthy Bishop and Constant Martyr Ignatius, writing in another place ad Smirnenses, biddeth he them not to honour first God, next the Bishop as bearing his image, and then after the king.

Translated out of
Hos. li. 1. fo. 39.

Norwell.

Concerning the order in Ignatius rehearsal, wherof Positus and you do gather the preminence of Bishoppes aboue kinges, I graunt, Ignatius might well make that order: for kinges in his time, as I haue said,

D. 3 being;

being no Christian nor godly men, were onely to be
 obeyed in worldlie matters: whome beinge Pagans,
 when that godly Martyr did see muche honoured, and
 the Bishoppes, who were guides to life euerlasting, so
 little esteemed, he did well admonish the people of the ho-
 nour due to them. But if he did nove see Princes Chris-
 tians, and godly, and also so little honoured & obeyed of
 p[ri]estes their subiectes, being so wicked and proud, and
 claiminge immunitie fro all obedience to Princes, the
 blessed Martyr Ignatius would I beleue, tell an other
 tale of Christia Princes, than he hath tould of the Pa-
 gane Princes in his time: and would other where haue
 placed our wicked and prond prelates, having to much
 worldly honour, than he placeth those godly Bishops of
 his time, lacking all due honour. But if you do delight
 so muche to reason forz authozitie by order of rehersall
 vsed some where in the Decours: S. Peter him selfe
 saith, Deum timete: Regem honorificate. that is, feare
 God, honour the king. Thus saith S. Peter, without a-
 ny placing of the Bishops betweene. What say you, is
 not here a president geuen to your Pope, by S. Peter
 (as h[oly] Pope saith) his first sonder: why haue not Popes
 S. Peters successours, succeeded him in this point, spe-
 cially nowe that Princes be Christias, but haue thrust
 them selues betwene God and the king: whiche is the
 glose of the successours, and not the text of the first son-
 der S. Peter. And the like order is to be founde in such
 times, as Princes were godly Time Dominū fili mi,
 & Regem &c. that is: feare God, my child, & the king,
 saith Salomon, when kinges as wel as p[ri]estes were
 godly, & yet placed he not the high p[ri]est betwene God &
 the king. You wil peraduenture say: It is feare, not ho-
 nore

nour he speaketh of here. It is in deede such feare , as hath honour soyned with it, for the king was a worthy and godly Prince in his most doings, as ever was: els if I graunt it to be feare onely , in that respecte surely might the Pope and his Prelates not unjustly claime a place of preéminence aboue kinges : seing they are by their kyrranye more terrible, not onely than any Christian kinges, but also then all Perous, domitians, and dioclesiās . Further touching order in placing of godly kinges & Priestes, I wold not haue you forget that God him selfe by his Prophet Agḡ.us, in the place by you alleged, doth five times togerher place the Prince before the high Priest . But touching Ignatius, seinge you haue alleged. y. places of him, you shoulde haue done right well to haue added the third also, wr̄itten in the same epistle ad Philadelph. by you alleged, whiche is this. Christus patrem deprecatus est, ut non deficeret fides Apostolorum. that is to saye: Christ did praye to his father, that the faith of the Apostles shoulde not faile, whiche saying you haue prudently dissembled, for that it doth cleane subuert your chiese firmament, that you take out of the Scriptures for your Pope : affirming that Christ prayed for Peters faith onlie , that it shoulde not faile. And therupō you reasoñ, y though the successours of y other Apostles haue failed in faith, yet haue not y successours of Peter at Rome failed, neither (as you say)ca faile in faith. But Ignatius saith, y the prayer was made for y Apostles, & therfore not for Peter alone, but for al in his name: as many things were said & comaded to him by name as alone, but in dēde to al y Apostles with him. But it is evident y some of y successours of al y Apostles, Peter & other, haue failed in faith:

I meane:

A REPROVFE OF M.

I meane suche successours as haue succeeded them in
the citis and Bishoprikes, where they ordeined Bis-
hoppes. As the successours of Iohn, at Smyrna: of
Paulc, in Grecia: of James, at Hierusalē: of Mathewe,
in Ethiopia: of Andewe, in Achaea: of Philip, in Phyl-
gia: of Bartholome, in India, of Jude in Perside: &

De scripturis Ecclasticis. the successours of Peter in Antiochia: for after S. Peter

this Ignatius(as S. Hierome testifieth) was there the
thirde Bishop. All the whiche citis, and Bishops sees,
nowe are fallen from faith, to infidelitie: from Christ,
to Machomet: and therfore the effect of that prayer of
Christ, I haue praied for thee Peter, that thy faith shoulde not
faile, is fulfilled, not in certen citis, or Bishops sees,
but in the Churche of Christ, which is tied to no certen
places nor sees, (for were Rome a fylle poole Christes
Church shal remaine,) but is tied to that certen doctrine
and faith of Jesus Christe, whiche Peter and the other
Apostles beleaued them selues, professed, and taught to
other. For if Peters see and successours shall not faile
in faith, why is Antiochia, whiche was his see as well
as Rome, and where this holy marty^r Ignatius, was
thirde bishop after Peter, why is it I say, failed in faith:
what priuilege hath Rome as Peters see, more than
Antiochia Peters see: will they allege me the death of
Peter there, and his and S. Paules bloode there shed:
As though Christes death and bloodshed at Hierusalem
should not make mores for that Apostolike see of Hieru-
salem, to continue in faith, than Peter and Paules blood
for the stahlenes in faith of the see of Rome. Are not
the Scriptures full of promises for the citie and church
of Hierusalē: is not it an hundred times in the Scrip-
tures called the holy citie: is not mounte Sion extolled

above

aboue the Hoone, as the chosen mount that shall neuert 3. Reg. ii. c. 13.
 moue, & promesse made that God will still dwell there: 3. Reg. i. 4. f. 21.
 and yet that citie is nowe failed, and that mount mo- 4. Reg. i. 9. f. 31.
 ued from the faithe: and by failinge hath proued, that Isa. i. g. 26.
 those saynges of the Scriptures were not spoken of 47. a. 3. b. 12. 73. a
 the citie or mounte it selue, situate in Palestine, but of 2. 77. g. 68. 124.
 Gods and Christes Churche, wheresoever it were. a. i. & infinitis
 locis.
 What an impudencie therfore is this of the Pope and
 Papistes to bragge of Rome, & their Tarpeian Rocke,
 (wherto is no praise, no commendation nor promesse
 made in the Scriptures) as though the true faith could
 not faile there, where it hath failed at all the Apostles
 sees els, and at Peters see at Antiochia, and at the holy
 citie of Hierusalem, and the chosen mount Sion? As
 though the errors, blasphemies, and moste detestable
 wickednes of Rome, and the Romishe Bishoppes were
 vnto the wozde unknowen.

Dorman. Fol. 20.

pist. ad
 hilippens. *Policarpus, disciple to S. Iohn the Euangelist, of Priestes and
 Deacons writeth thus, Subiecti estote præsbyteris, & diaconis
 sicut Deo & Christo. Ee ye subiect to the Priestes and Deacons as
 to God and Christ. Is this any other to saie then as the Apostle saide
 before him, Obedire iis qui vigilant pro animabus vestris.
 Obey you them whiche kepe the watche for your soules?*

Norwell.

*No meruaile if Polycarpus, who in that Epistle bid. Policar. ad Phil.
 deth all men to be obedient and subiect one to another, Oes vobis ini-
 do also will men to be subiect to their godlie Priestes & Deacons of his time, as vnto God and Christ. For I
 haue oft said, that al godly Bishops and Priestes prea-*

K chinge

A REPROOFE OF M.

thinge Gods and Christes woerde , bindinge and loosinge , and ministeringe the Sacramentes accordinge to Goddes and Christes woerde , (for of suche speakeþ Polycarpus) ought of all men to be obeyed as God , and Christ : for of suche it is sayde . Qui vos audit , me audit : qui vos spernit , me spernit . That is , he that heareth you , heareth me : he that despiseth you , despiseth me . Of suche is sayde by the Apostle , obeye you them whiche keepe the watche for your soules : whiche terte beorrowed of Hosius , M. Dozman hath patched here to Polycarpus . But what maketh this for ante obedience due to suche Priestes , as will not be obedient to Gods woerde , neither doo watche for our soules , but either slumbre , and sleape , or els watche against our soules , howe to deceiue vs , and to bringe vs out of the righte waye . And if we will not obeye , they lay wayte for our liues , howe to bryngre vs to molte cruell death . And what can you alleage , why a Christian Prince may not watche and take heede , that he , and his people to his charge committed , by the negligence , or fraude of suche , either sleapie , or malicious watchement , be not deceiued , or betrayed ? And that you may knowe that I speake nothinge here besides Polycarpus his mynde , I wyll reherse you his full sentence , whiche M. Dozman hath , of good purpose you may be sure , molte misrablie mangled , his woordes are these . Subiecti estore &c . Be ye subiecte vnto Priestes and Deacons , as to God and Christe . Ye Virgins walke ye with a chaste conscience . Let Priestes be simple , mercifull in all thinges , conuerting all men from error , visitinge all sickle folkes , not neglecting wydowes , fatherlesse chilidren .

Hosiu. lib. 1.
fol. 37. b.

chldren, and poore persons : but alwayes prouidinge good thinges before God, and all men &c. Thus farre that holy Martyr Polycarpus , both declaring that we ought to obey Priestes , and what manner of Priestes they be , that we shold obeye . Of all the whiche M. Dorman hath onely nipped of the head , conteyned in these fewe woordes , Be ye subiecte to Priestes and Deacons , as to God and Christe , to make a shewe thereof for obedience to Priestes : the reste shewing what Priestes they be , whome we shoulde obey , he hath cleane lefte out . Had I lefte out so muche , so neare folowing , so apterkeyninge to the very matter and purpose , as hath M. Dorman here doone , O what outeries shoulde we haue had : I saye therefore M. Dorman , Polycarpus bath tould vs what Priestes we shoulde obeye as God and Christe , simple , mercifull Priestes , converters of all men from errors : Priestes good to all men , sick , poore , and destitute , wydowes , and faterlesse : Priestes that doo leade a godly life before all men . These be they whom Polycarpus biddeth vs to obeye as God and Christe . Whereof it consequentlie followeth , that Popes and Popyshe Priestes , wylie Fores , cruell Woulfes , bringers of all men into error , leaders of all fatte panches , neglecters of all sicke , poore , wydowes , and pupilles : yea the robbers , and spoylers of all wydowes , by their mortuaries , Trentalles , and Diriges : and Priestes of a moste vyle and abominable lyfe , before God , and all menne : such Popes I saye , and Popyshe Prelates , we oughte by a fiske consequence of that holie Martyr Polycarpus his doctrine , to auoyde , and to fise from
R 2 them,

them , as from the Deuill him selfe.

And if the seely people be not hable either to auoide the contagion of their euill life , or to beware of their deceuptes , or to escape their crueltie : what can you alleage out of Polycarpus , or any other auncient godlie father , but that a Christian Prince may watche , that the flocke of his people , to his charge committed , be not by suche scabbed and stinkyng goates corrupted , or by suche wylie Foxes beguyled , or by suche raueninge wolues , weried , and deuoured ? To conclude , I praye you M. Dorman , either appointe vs suche Pastours and Priestes , as Ignatius , Polycarpus , and the ould fathers doo speake of , and woulde haue vs to obey them : or shewe some cause why we shoulde vpon their woordes , obeye and folowe suche Priestes , as they doo shewe to goe before to the Deuill : or why Christian Princes may not punysh , and remoue suche , not Pastours , but Depastours , as doo deuoure and destrote the flocke . Powe M. Dorman fearinge you shoulde forget these his weyghtie argumentes , falleth to the recapitulation of them , though beinge in freshe memorie , as so lately treated of , and firsste to his argument out of S. Paule .

Dorman. fol. 20.

Here consider I beseeche you , that saint Paules placinge of the 1. Cor. n Apostles , and in them the Bisshoppes and Priestes their successors , in the firsste and chiefest place in Christes churche ; his callinge of them the Rulers thereof , and appointed so to be Actor. xc not by man , but by the holie Ghost , was not to deceiue vs .

Nowel

Nowell.

You shall not nedē so hartely to pray vs , we will
 gladly consider that S. Paules placinge of all the Apo-
 stles, and with them the Bisshoppes their successors,
 in the first and chiefe place , emongst all ministers of
 Christes Churche, and calling them the rulers thereof,
 was no mans, but the holy Ghostes appointment: and
 therfore made , not to deceiue vs , but to teache vs the
 truth: that is, that every Bisshoppe in his owne charge
 hath the first and chiese place , and that therfore none
 can be before the first, nor aboue the chiese. And withall
 we must needes by iust consequēcie consider , that your
 placing of one Apostle in the first and chiese place aboue
 all Apostles, and of one Bisshoppe in the first and chie-
 fest place aboue all Bisshoppes, contrary to S. Paules,
 and the holy Ghostes placing of them al æqually in the
 first and chiese place , is a placinge appointed by man,
 against the holy ghost, and therfore done to deceiue and
 beguile vs.

Dorman. Fol. 21.

Remember, that if in matters of Religion the Bisshoppes , and
 Priestes shoulde haue folowed the ciuile magistrates ordinances, it
 had beene in vayne that Ignatius and Policarpus bad the people,
 Emperours and Kinges none excepted, to be obedient and subiect to
 them . For wherein shold they be subiect, or in what thing shold
 they obey, if not in religion and matters thereunto apperteining? Reade ouer the auncient histories aswell of the Greeks as of the
 Latines: peruse the dinges of Iues and Gentiles, paganes, heathen,
 or what so euer people or nation you lik; and you shall neuer finde
 any to haue beene so barbarous or far out of ordre, that first they had
 not their religion, and next their Bisshoppes and Priestes , to whom
 they,

A REPROVFE OF M.

they wholie referred the ordre and disposition thereof.

No well.

We remembre also right well, that if in matters of Religion Priestes and Bishoppes shold haue folowed the ordinaunces of cluill Magistrates, who in Ignatius & Polycarpus times, were Pagans and Heathen men, they shold haue done against Ignatius & Polycarpus his councell: for so they shold haue received Paganitie in stede of Christianitie. But will you inserre hereof, that because Pagane Princes were not to be obeyed in Religion, therefore Christian Princes are not to be obeyed in causes Ecclesiasticall: how will you proue your argument if one shold denie it: where you trouble vs so often with this one question, *in what thing should Princes obey Bishoppes and Priestes, if not in religio and matters thereunto appertaining?* How oft shal we tell you, that Princes and all men ought to obey Bishops and Priestes truly preachinge Goddes woorde, lawfully thereby losing and bindinge, duelie according thereunto, ministeringe the holy Sacramentes: and that these be maters to Religion appertaininge? And these be offices peculiarly appertaininge to Bishoppes and Priestes, with the exequutinge whereof as Princes may not medle, so doth the oversight of them, that they do their saide offices duelie accordinge to Goddes woorde, with other causes Ecclesiasticall before rehersed, apperteine to a Christian Prince: of the whiche causes Ecclesiasticall I haue made you rehersall very ofte, enen to the wryng of the Readers. And I yet once againe do say: reade ouer all Ignatius, and Polycarpus, with all the aulde holy Fathers: reade ouer all the auncient histories

ries Ecclesiasticall, as well of the Greces, as of the Latines, as well of the Iues as of the Christians (for of your Gentiles, Pagans, and Heathen, dashed in, onely for the furniture of your eloquence, I do make small accoumpte) and you shall never finde, but that godly Christian Princes had a speciall care to mainteine true Religion, to reforme it decaied, to restoore it fallen downe, to ouersee all the Bishoppes and Cleargie, that they did their duetie, to call vpon them, to comaunde them to do their duetie, to punishe them beyng slacke in their duetie, or otherwise offendinge, and to depriue and depose them vpon their fiske deserfe, to summon them to Synodes and Councelles, to ordre and gouerne them assembled, to know, allow, and confirme by their authozitie, lawes, and rites Ecclesiasticall, in such Synodes, for the outwarde regiment of the Churche, made, and ordeined &c. You shall I say not finde in all the saide Histories Ecclesiasticall, but that godly and Christian Princes had authozitie herein aboue the Bishoppes and Priestes: and therefore were the saide Princes chiefe gouernours in those causes Ecclesiasticall, aboue the Priestes: and therefore our Christian Princes havinge the same right, that they had, are by their examples likewise proued to be the chiefe gouernours aboue Priestes in like causes Ecclesiasticall.

Dorman Fol. 21.

m. 38. in
Mat. 21. But to procede, Chrysostome calleth the Priestes the hart and stonemache of the Churche. his reason is, quia in rebus spiritualibus per eos totus populus gubernatur. because in spirituall governement all the people is governed by them.

To good

A REPROVFE OF M.

To good Readers here may you see that in Chrysostomes time, in
that pure state of the primitiue Churche, all the people was in mat-
ters spirituall gouerned by (not the Kinges or other ciuile magi-
strates) but the Bisshoppes and Priestes. Then were the Priestes in
those matters judges, and Emperours them selues subiectes. Then
had Emperours and Kinges this persuasion that they coulde garnish
their stile with none more excellent title, or name more honorable;
then to be called the children of the Churche.

No Well.

fol. 16. a.

M. Dorman shoulde haue done well to haue taken
in Chrysostome before his recapitulation, and not to
haue left him thus straggling, or poste alone. But to
Chrysostome I answeare, if the Priestes be the harte &
stomacke thei be not the head, and this argument, chrys-
ostome calleth the Priestes the harte and stomacke of the Churche.
Ergo, a Priest is head of the Churche. I trust M. Dorman
will mende, when he calleth to remembraunce his longe
and lamentable discourse out of Parianzene, against
that odious disordre and confusion, when the feete will be
the head, the eies the eares, the eares the eies, and one membre will
needes doo an others office, &c. as M. Dorman hath before at
large prosequuted. But now lo, a lamentable thinge,
the hart and stomacke will needes be the head: whiche
M. Dorman there calleth tumblinge together, and ma-
kinge of an hotch potte. But I know M. Dorman will
deride, or peraduenture fume at my glosnes, that see
not the exposition folowing in Chrysostome. Quia per
eos totus gubernatur populus; that is to saye, for by
the Priestes all the people is governed, whiche (will M.
Dorman say) doth proue the Priestes to be the head. I
haue hearde a like phrase M. Dorman, that by the eies
all

all the bodie is gouerned: and I am sure it is as naturall a phrase, as the bodie is gouerned by h̄ Stomacke, (which is yours here out of Chrysostome) yet are not the eies so; all that, the head, but in the head. I haue hearde also that by the sterne the whole shippē is gouerned, and yet is not the sterne the toppe gallant. I haue hearde also that a great Prince hath sailed in a shippē, whiche was gouerned by one of his owne subiectes, a cunninge shippē maister, and so the Prince in that iourney was gouerned by him: who also of Gubernari, the very woorde here by you vsed out of Chrysostome, is called in latine Gubernator the gouernour: whome yet we do rather call the shippē maister, than the head: and be he the head of al his mariners, yet is he not his Princes head, though he be in that case his gouernour. Pea if the Prince see he gouerne foolishly, or perilously, he may not onely (as head in dēde) warne him thereof, but pu- nishe him therefore. Loo M. Dorman you may see by Chrysostomes wordes in his time, the pure state of the primitive Churche, Priestes gouerned the people by the preachinge of Goddes woorde, and yet Christian Princes might neverthelesse gouerne the Priestes in seeinge them to do their duitie, and if they did it not, in blaminge or punishinge them therefore.

Concerninge the stile of Princes, I know no Christian Prince, but he will be contented and gladde to be called the childe of the Church. And what Bishoppe so ever he be, that disdaineth likewise to be called the childe of the Churche, I say he is a prouide Prelate, and worthy to be your Pope. You, when you speake of the Churche, woulde beare scelle soules in hande, that onely Priestes are mente, Where the truth is

S

that

that Christian Princes, and people be of the Churche as well as you: you are ministers, that is seruantes of the Churche, whether so euer ye wilbe called chilzen of the Churche, or not, chuse you: godly Princes wil not disdaine that title or name. But how proue you that y minister or seruaunt is the heade of his maisters childe, though he be admitted to wayte vpon it, to guide or gourne it: muche lesse can ye proue that he is head of his maisters whole familie, chilzen and all.

Dormant. fol. 21.

It is li. i. not. ro. is reported to haue openly professed Christ. who as Ruffinus ^{Wit. Lib. 10. c.} nesseith of him, beinge present at the firste generall council of Nice eccles. hi Translated out of Hyst^o wvoord whiche was assembled aboue twelue hundred yeares agoe, had for woerde fol. there deliuerner vnto him certaine libelles and billes of complaints, 113. b. that the Bisshoppes had one of them put vp against an other. Scribit de' Con- The whiche all as he received and put vp into his bosome: so after Cōstantin^o statino Rufin^o, that he had refused to be iudge in their causes, affirminge that it q̄ cū episcopi q̄ became not him to iudge them, to whome God had giuen power to rourtiful Nicæa cōgregati fuerant, libel. iudge him, and that therefore their querels (what so euer they in the Bi- los ei quosdā p. were) they shoulde referre to the iudgement of almighty God; as shōps ca tulisseat &c. ad hauinge no other iudge emongest men: he caused without once ope- ses. On verbum vt hic. And D. Harding ninge them to see the contentes, to be thrown into the fire, that the God the Cōfut. Apol. fol. braule and discorde he saide of Priestes, might neuer goe farther iudge of 309. b. hath the into the knowledge of men. Bisshopps causes.

But here our aduersaries (as blame them I can not seeing they will needes be patrones to desperate causes, if they be gladde to catche holde of a little) will perhappes say that I haue vndiscretely behaued my selfe in alleaginge this auctorite, whiche fardereth me not so muche one waie, as it hindreth me an other, in that by the historie it appeareth that the Emperour sat in the councell with the Bisshopps.

bishoppes. vwell, of the alleging of this place who is like to get shame, and who honestie, who to winne and who to lose thereby for our aduersaries also I am not ignorant thereof are wonte to bringe this example for them:) the triall thereof I leaue till suche time as it shalbe layed more wholy to my charge: whiche shalbe hereafter in bringinge to light such simple storie, as they haue gathered together for the confirmatiō of their parte, from the examples of such Emperours, as sence Christes time haue reigned.

Nowell.

That M. Dorman talketh of desperate causes doth wel appertaine to the Popes supremacie, and other popishe pelffe, now dviuen ad accensos, and leste to the Accēsi milites, defence of suche peuishe proctours as he is. But soz that Hosius, out of whome M. Dorman hath stolen this Hosius lib. 23, of Constantine, as all the reste, vnderstandeth no En. fol. 13, gliche, I would aske of M. Dorman, who doth so much auaunce Priestes, and deppresse Princes, whether he thinketh that all those Bishops assembled in the coun- cill of Nīce were men of god iudgement or no? It see- meth they were, by that authoritie and estimation of thinges by them decreed, which they do to this day re- feine. Than why shold not their commen consent & iudgement, deferring the iudgement in their controver- sies to y Emperor as their iudge, be of god authoritie with you M. Dorman: me thinke that you, who haue stuffed this your booke with the sayinges of severall Bishoppes, and thinke it mette that they shoulde be taken for god authoritie, shoulde not reiect the con- sent of so many, so learned, so godly, so ancient Fathers, Doctours, and Bishoppes agrēinge all in one. But they agree all in one, that the Emperor ought to be

S 2

their

their iudge in their controuersies, and to him as thise
iudge, offre their billes of complainte. What will you
shamefast man, so willinge to winne, and loth to lose,
so carefull for honestie, and so fearefull of shame, and
blame, say in this case: did that whole Councell (whose
authozitie ever hath beene inviolable) erre in that one
pointe: did those so many, learned, and wise Bisshoppes
whiche vnderstode all thinges, not vnderstande this
thinge (whiche of all other thei shoulde haue best vnder-
stanted) to witte, what was the duetie of a Bisshoppe,
and what of a Prince: Will you here make Bisshoppes
(whome otherwise you auance aboue the sterres) such
doultes in this matter, that they wiste not what they
did: wil you make the Emperour whome you otherwise
so depreesse vnder all Priesstes so wise, that he knewe
both his owne, and the Bisshoppes dueties, better than
them selfes: Wel M. DORMA hitherto you haue taught
vs out of the Scriptures, of the oulde Testament and
the newe, and out of auncient doctours, and holy Fa-
thers, to require the lawe, the knowledge of doubtes,
the decidinge of controuersies, at Priesstes handes, not
at Princes. Now you do teache vs a newe lesson, that
we must learne of the Prince, of one Prince, & the same
a souldier all his daies, moze exercised in armour, than
in booke, rather then of .318. godly Bisshops, most lear-
ned doctours, holy Fathers, assemblinge, and agreeinge
together in one, what be the dueties both of Princes,
and Priesstes: and so unwares you haue constitute and
made the Emperour iudge ouer so many Bisshoppes,
whose sentence & determination you make the said Bi-
shoppes to folow, & obey, as the iudgement of him, that
knew what was their dueties, better than them selfes.

And,

And thus you see good readers, howe by M. Dozman his judgement of Bishops, be they never so many, so learned, so holy, if they geue any authoritie to Princes, or submitte them selues any thinge, be ignoraunt what they do and say, and are not to be credited. But one Bishop alone salyng any thinge for the authoritie of Bishops, and to the depreſſinge of Princes, is of au- thoritie authentical, and not to be denied or doubted of. Againe Princes though otherwile unlearned, & ther- fore not to be consulted in doubtes, yet if they depreſſe them selues, and auance Prieſtſes, are wiser and bet- ter learned, and moze to be credited than Bishoppes, as is here the Emperour Constantine: but if they ſay any thinge for their owne authoritie, demaundering their right ouer Prieſtſes, then be they Heretiques, ambiti- ous, ignorant, not to be credited: than whether Prin- ces or Bishoppes be Superioris, you must learne not of Princes, but of Bishoppes: for that is moſte indiffe- rent, because they be no parties. For where this same Emperour Conſtantine, doth by his Epiftle threaten at ſuche Bishoppes as would not obey his comauendement. Theodrit. lib. v. cap. 19.
 pertour, and doth in very many places take vpon him, as the Bishops Superior, (as ſhall hereafter at large appeare) in this point the Emperours judgement fa- lied him, will M. Dozman ſay, and that he was induc- ed hereto by the Arians, and therfore not to be credited in those places, where he taketh authoritie to him: but in the other, where he putteth it from him to the bishops, there was his judgement irrefragable. This is M. Dozmans diuinisſe, this is his Lawe, this is his Lo- gike, his rethorike and al togeather. But the truthe is

S. 3. that:

that those so many, learned, godly, ancient Bishoppes, and fathers, according to their learning & knowledge, acknowledg'd the Emperour for their Judge: and that moste worthy and wylle Prince, not willinge that matiers of greatest weight shold be hindered by such babbling of the Bishops amongst them selues, vpon a pretie and most wittie pretence of humilitie, as though he were not worthy to decide, and determine, controuer-sies amongst Bishops, did in deede decide, determine, and end them all at once, by burning al their babbling billes of complaint in a light fire at once, as worthy no better suruey: and so made a final end, and determination, of all the said Bishops controuerries, and set them a worke about matiers of moze importaunce: this is the truthe. Now in case that worthy Prince of his singular modellie, and humilitie, would not at the beginning of that councell take vpon him all due autho-ritie, yet that he thought he myght decide controuerries amongst Bishops if he would, shall euidently appear by his doinges hereafter. And that the refusall of a thing vpō humilitie, maketh nothing against the right of the so refusar, if other authozities shall faille me, I will proue it by M. Dozman hym selfe, if he dare denie it. But seinge the Emperour was by M. Dozmans iugement inferiour to every one of those Bishoppes, was it not trouwe you a bould part of him, to appoinct them all a certaine daie to come together before him, and to geue vp to him as their Judge, their billes of complainte, and he at the daie appointed to sitte downe, to take of them the said billes, and whan he had them, at once to burne all his Lordes the Bishoppes letters in a lichte fire: For thus muche doth the Stoile in the said place

by P.

Rufius Histo.
Eccl. lib. 1. ca. 2.
Sez 5. li. 1. ca. 17.

by M. Dozman alleadoged, record of him. And here it see-
meth he forgat his due obedience : for sure I am, they
delivered them not to be burned by him all at once after
that sozze: they had spente to muche labour, studie, and
time, in conceiving and writtinge of them, than to pur-
pose them to such a shozt ende. Seing therefore he bur-
ned all their billes of complainte, and determined ther-
by that they shold ceasse from all suche controuersies,
and be quiet, were his woordes never so humble, his
deede was the fact of a superior ouer the said Bishoppes,
ending at once all their controuersies . And no doubt,
had the Emperour offered his billes of complaint to all
the saide Bishoppes , they all woulde not , nor might
not haue serued his one bill , as he alone serued all
theirs. M. Dozman, who so chafeth and sumeth at vs
before , as men who will be controwled of none, but God onelie, Dor. fol. 8.b.9.^{an}
(whiche yet we never said nor thought) and threatneth
vs with one, that shall kepe vs vnder, meaninge their
Pope , doth yet well prouide here , for his popishe Bi-
shoppes , in his marginall note , that onelie God is the Sup. fol. 8.b.
Iudge , of Bishoppes causes : whiche if it be true , than will
they shifte for themselues well enough , (that I may vse M.
Dozmans owne woordes) and farre better , than we
haue shifte for our selues , who haue boorne away all
the blowes at their Lordshippes handes.

Now concerning the Emperours being and dealing
in the said councell : you see M. Dozman hath differred
the mater, as yet to colde to be handled: and reason is,
we tarie his time, vntil he ware warmer: which shalbe:
he saith hereafter, in h bringing in of our simple stroze:
whiche, he haing such great stroze of god stuffe, as you
do see, may of good right contemne and despise.

Dorman.

Yet this may I be boulde to saie in the meane season, that as Constantinus sat in the councell with the Bisshops, there was never yet Emperour nor king forbidden I dare well saie to sute, nor never I trowe shall. And ouer this, that in there being it is not very likely that he encroched any thing vpon the spirituall iurisdiction, bothe by that whiche you haue heard before, and also for this, that being on a time as S. Asulen reporteth of him, required by the Donatistes, Epist. 155

Translated out of Hosius.lib.2. fol.113.2. tweene them and Cecilian, the Arche bisshop of Carthaghe: he refused to medle therewithall, because (saith he) non est ausus de causa Episcopi iudicare, because he durst not be iudge in a Bisshops cause. But leauing this for the while, let vs examine, the doinges of other good and catholike Emperours.

No well.

Where you may be bolde and dare well saie, that there was never yet, nor never shall you trowe Emperour nor king be forbidden to sit in councell with the Bisshoppes as Constantine the Emperour saie. I see you may be bolde and dare well saie that, whiche no learned or wise man may be boulde or dare well to beleane, finding the contrarie in all histories of Constantine, and all your Popes, and popishe Prelates doinges, in all latter councels, which you may as well trowe they will leane, as that a Foxe will forsake his manners, with the casting of his heare. Powe is here a peece of M. Dorman's arte: this saying of S. Augustine touching Constantine the Emperour, Hosius hath immediatly before, the historie of Rufine, concerninge the burning of the Bisshops billes. And is not M. Dorman's setting that which was immediatly behinde, immediatly

mediatly before , worthy to be accounted an authour: Where you P. Dorman speake of incrochinge vppon spirituall iurisdiction: Constantine vsed no incroching, but his owne right. But where you dare well saye that Constantine refused , because he durst not , to be iudge in a Bishops cause , and do allege these fewe woordes of S. Austens long Epistle. Non est ausus de Episcopi causa iudicare, he durst not iudge of the Bishoppes, (Ceciliannus)his cause : though you never reade S. Augustine, yet had you put but as manie moe woordes in your Hosius next folowing, the Emperours authoritie in this cause, and ouer Bishops, whō he made his deli- gates had appeared. But seing you curtall, not only S. Austin, but Hosius your authour also, after this soꝝ, and so woulde leauē the matier soꝝ a while as you say: I dare well say, that you gladly woulde leauē this false opinion in the Readers mindes, that Constantinus the

Emperour, durst not in dēde iudge in that Bishoppes cause, and that he had no right to iudge in any bishops cause : for the simple Reader of your woordes can ga- ther none other . But though you woulde leauē the ma- tier soꝝ, I will not so leauē it, vntill I haue declared by S. Augustine, that you will be bould, & dare wel to de- ceive all the wozld , if you coulde : and that in all your writinges, without all feare of God , or shame of the wozlde , you attempte all meanes , holwe you may by mayming and manglinge the salynges of the ancient Doctours, moste plaine when they are fully alleaged, concele and hide all truthe , and maainteine the false v- surpation of your Pope , and popishe Prelates vppon Christian Princes , with all other your detestable er- rours. S. Augustine after he had declared that wicked

August. epist. 166

Ad Donatistas.

Vide etiā ep. 162

& in breuiculo

collationum cō-

tra Donatistas.

Tom. 7. col. 560.

Hosius fo. 113. a.

ex Augustin. sed

eam discutiendā

& definiendam

episcopis delega-

uit.

A REPROVFE OF M.

commaundementes of vngodly Princes are not to be ob-
eyed: of the commaundementes of godly Princes (such
as was Cōstantinus) somwhat besoze these few wōrdes
by M. Dozman here alleaged out of him , saith thus.

Augu. Epist. 166.

Quando aut Imperatores veritatiē tenent &c. that is
to say. When Emperours hould truth, they gene com-
maundemēt for the very truth against errour, y whiche
commaundemēt whosoeuer dispieth, he procureth to him
selfe iudgement or damnation : for both he is punished
amongst men, and shal haue no power to shew his face
before God, who will not do that, whiche by the heart
of the king, the truth it selfe commaundeth. These are
S. Augustines wōrdes, the whiche he largely prosecu-
teth: where you see he excepteth no man, Priest, nor o-
ther , from the obedience of the commaundement of a
godly Prince, in matters of Religion . It foloweth by-
& by aster, in S. Augustine of Bishop Cæcilianus his
very cause (whiche M. Dozman here toucheth) by these
wōrdes. Scitote quod primi maiores vestri causā Cæ-
ciliiani ad Imperatorē Constantinum detulerunt, sed
quia Cōstantinus non est ausus de causa episcopi iu-
dicare, eam discutiendam atque finiendam episcopis
delegavit. &c. that is to say : know ye that your firste
ancesters brought Bishop Cæcilianus his cause before
the Emperour Constantinus: But because Constanti-
nus durst not iudge of the Bishops cause , he did dele-
gate it to be discussed, & ended by Bishops. The whiche
was done in y citie of Rome , Melciades Bishop of that
Church being president, with many other his Colleges
or felowes. Who when they had pronounced Cæciliane
to be innocent , and had by their sentence condemned
Donatus, who had made the schisme at Carthage : a-
gainst

Praesidente Mel-
ciade Episcopo
illi Ecclesie cū
multis collegis
suis.

gaine your men came to the Emperour, and murmured
of that iudgement of the Bishops, in the whiche they
were ouercome. For how can he that hath an evill cause Litigator malus
praise the iudges, by whose iudgement he is ouercome?
Pet againe, the moste mercifull Emperour, gaue them Alios iudices E-
other iudges, Bishops, at Arelatum or Arle, a citie of Piscopos dedit.
Fraunce: and your men appealed from thē to the Em-
perour him selfe, til that he also him selfe did heare the
cause, & did prouounce Cæcilian to be innocēt, & them to
be quarrellers. Neither thei being so oft ouercome could
rest, but wered y Emperour with continual cōplaintes
upon Felix Aptungitanus, a Bishop by whom Cæcili-
ane was ordeined, saiyng that he was a traitour, and
therfore that Cæcilian coulde be no Bishop, because he
was ordeined by a traitour: vntill y matter by the Em-
perours commaundement, being heard by Elyanus the
proconsul, Bishop Felix him selfe also was tried to be
innocēt. Than Constantine y Emperour made a most
seuere Lawe against the partie of Donatus. And the
Emperours sonnes folowing their fathur, gaue the like
cōmaudemēts. Thus farre S. Austine truely translate
and muche more to that purpose, with six wōrdes of the
which long processe, gleaned and piske out, M. Dorman
would beare the simple Reader in hand, y Constantine
the Emperour, would not medle in a Bishops cause: as
a matter wherin of right he being a Prince, might not
medle. But the truth manifestly appearing in y processe,
declareth, that he both might, & did medle and iudge in
the cause of the said Bishop Cæcilianus: Wherein M.
Dorman saith he wold not medle. For that S. Austen
saith that the Emperour durst not be Judge in a
Bishoppes cause, was not for that he thought of right

Causam cognoscet & pronun-
ciaret.

Of this mater
S. Augustine in-
treateth also lib.
3. Cōtra Cæcili-
aniū Grāmaticū
cap. 69. & 70.

Traditor.

The same is also
to be seen Epist.
162. & in Breui-
culo collat. cum
Donatist. Tom.
7. colum. 560.

he might not, as the processe proueth: but for that the matter being intricate, and he not so exercised in suche contiouerries, and otherwise occupied in the moste weightie affaires of a great parte of the worlde, could not him selfe throughtly vnderstande the groundes of that matter. And therefore he delegated or committed that matter vnto Bisshoppes, as men for knowledge & leasure, melle to be his delegates or commissioners therin, by them to be tried: as if a good Prince shoulde saye to two suters, he durst not be ludge betweene them in a title of right, standing in narrowe & doubtful pointes of the Lawe, but woulde appointe the Judges, or Sergeantes at the Lawe, as men learned and skillfull in such matiers, to be his commissioners for the deciding therof.

Likewise durst not Constantine the Emperour be ludge at the first in Bishop Cæcilians cause, but afterwarde by ofte hearing of that contiouerie, the Emperour understanding the botheome of the matter, vpon appellation made from the iudgement of the Bisshop to his iudgement, he heard and finally determined the said Bisshops cause. In the whiche processe by S. Augustine declared, I praye the good Reader, note first that the Bisshop of Rome with his colleges or felowes, were the Emperours delegates, whiche proueth them inferiours to the Emperour, whose delegates they were. And that S. Augustine calleth the said Melciades, not head of Christes Churche, here in earth, as these men doo, but Melciades Bisshop of that Churche, (of Rome) for otherwyse he was not taken, nor knownen than: and he calleth the other Bisshoppes, whiche were in commission with him, his colleges or felowes. Secondly note, that whan the one partie would not houlde them

selues

Causa delegauit.

August.

Lib.3.cap.71.cōtra Cresconium
grammat. post
epicop. iudicia
partes ad judi-
cium Imperato-
ris pductæ fue-
runt.

Delegauit causā
Episcopis.

Cū collegis suis.
The Pope at
this time had
a College of

selfes content with the iudgement of those Bisshoppes, Cardinalles you the Emperour assigned and appointed them or (as the must vnderstād. Latine hath)gane them other Judges, as the Bisshoppe Imperator alios of Arle in Fraunce , and others . Whereby it is eu- iudices Episco- dent,that he, who assigned them to be judges , was su- pos dedit. perisour to the Bisshoppes, who were by him assigned. And withall, it is proued to be most false, that the Pa- pistes say, that a sentence once geuen by the Bisshop of Rome , may not by any other Bisshoppes be hearde , or examined: seinge this matier once determined by Mel- ciades Bisshoppe of Rome and his Colleges, was by the Emperour afterwarde referred to the Bisshoppe of Arle and his Colleges . Thridly note that lasse of all one of the parties appealed from the Bisshoppes to the Empe- rour him selfe, who heard the cause, and pronounced sen- tence therein him selfe . Whiche argueth the Emperour to be superior to the Bisshoppe of Rome, and all the o- ther Bisshops, from whome to him all appellation was made , and by him the matier was finally ended . And further the Emperour assigned and comaunded Aliane Imperatoris the Proconsull to heare, examine, & determine the cause iussu. of Felix the Bisshoppe of Aptunge, who was also false- ly accused by the Donatistes as a Traitor , vpon sur- Traditor- mise that he had burned the holy Scriptures or delue- red them to be burned (whiche our Papistes now do in deēde)and so it is evident , that Constantinus durst not onely him selfe be iudge in a Bisshoppes cause, but durst also make his officers judges therein, (wherin yet sure- ly Christian Princes, ought to vse the aduise of the god- ly learned and specially of the Cleargie.) And this de- termination in these Bisshoppes causes and matters Ecclesiasticall made by the Emperour Constantins,

August. epist. 166. S. Augustine every where calleth the iudgement of Constantine the Emperour: which terme our Papistes now a daies, can not abide to be attributed in such matter to any , but to Priestes onely . Let M. Dorman contra Cresconiu now goe, and with sixe wordes pliked out of this longe gram. cap. 71. processe of S. Augustine, beare the simple people in post episcopalia hande, that Constantine the Emperour refused (for that iudicia, partes ad iudiciu Constantini perducte of right he might not) to medle or be iudge in a Bisshoppes cause . And yet he may still make this bragge, fuerunt. Itē in breuiculo diction . for in dede he vsed his owne iurisdiction, of right Collationum cū due to him, as to a Christian Prince . Now may the discrete Reader vnderstande , why M. Dorman , in this treatise of Constantine is yet so coulde, why after he had of so longe a processe of S. Augustine rehersed sixe wordes, thereby to abuse the simple, he saith, he will for a while leaue this and examine the doinges of other Emperours . Were there god Reader any zeale to þ truth, any feare of God, or shame of the worlde, in these Papistes, they woulde neuer handle matters , of so manifest truth , so guilefully, falsely, and shamelessly .

Dorman. Fol. 22.

Translated out Valentinianus the Emperour, was from that desire of gouerninge valētiniā of Hos̄ woerde in Churche matters and ecclesiastical causes so far, that as Sozōne- for vwoorde, nus writeth of him, being required on the behalfe of the Bisshoppes lib. 2. fol. 119. b. that inhabited the partes of Helleſpontus and Bithinia, that he beginnige and endinge iuste woulde vouchesafe to be present with them to entreat of certaine his answer pointes in religion to be reformed: he made them this answeare, beinge in D. Hardinge. Tome, beinge one of the people, it is not lawfull to search out ſuche quired to Confut. Apol. fol. 309. b. hath thinges. But the Priestes, to whome the charge thereof belongeth, let them assemble them ſelues where they liſte. entreme dle in m. the ſame. teris of F. Nowell. ligion.

Nowell.

To this example I do answeare Hosius as I before
 answeared his formar example of the Emperour Con-
 stantinus, soz as they be both like, so will the like an-
 sweare serue them both. That the Emperour Valenti-
 nean said this more of a certaine humblenes, or soz y he
 was otherwile occupied with moste weightie affaires,
 or than that he thought in dede he might not of right
 assigne the Bishops a place of councell, or intreate with
 them of maters of Religion, shall appeare by his as-
 semblinge of Bisshopes together, and by his dealing in
 maters of Religion. His milde and gentle nature lo-
 ninge little medlinge in suche maters, may appeare by
 the saide Authours them selfes, by M. Dorman here al-
 leaged: who comparinge the said Valantinean with his
 brother Valens, do shewe, howe Valens woulde in-
 force all men to his hæresie: but that Valentinean trou-
 bled not suche as were of contrarie opinion to him,
 though beinge in dede heretiques & Arians. His great
 busines appeareth by Nicephorus his historie, who re-
 porteth his answeare thus: Mihi inquit Imperator, ne-
 gotijs occupato, & reipub. curis distento res huius-
 modi inquirere non facile est. that is: To me, saith
 the Emperour, being occupied with busines, and ouer
 charged with the cares of the common weale, it is
 not easie to searche out suche thinges. Thus you see
 howe the Emperour hauinge litle luste, or leysure to
 searche out suche thinges (soz of searchinge do all the
 Histories speake) frameth an excuse by humblenes, as
 saith Sozomenus, & by his great busines, as witnesseth fas.
 Nicephorus. And though in dede the searching of such Nicorph. li. ii.
 matiers Ecclesiasticall do belonge rather to Bishops & cap. 3. Non es
 Priestes,

Sozom. lib. 6.
cap. 6. & 21.
Niceph. lib. ii.
cap. 3.

Sozom. lib. 8.
cap. 6. Non est
fas.

Nicoph. li. ii.
cap. 3. Non es
Priestes,

A R E P R O V F E O F M.

facile. VVhicke Priestes, than to Princes (as doeth also the searchinge expoudesth vwhat of the narrow pointes of temporal lawes belongeth rather non est fas, to the Judges and Sergiauntes at lawe, than to the & cunctis, Prince) yet doeth not that proue, but that a Christian

Princes authozitie is chiese and aboue such searchers: vnlesse M. Dorman do thinke that there is alwaies greatest or least authozitie in maters, where is y greastest or least learning in the same matiers. Reason it is, I graunt, that the Chzistian Prince beinge in dede chiese in authozitie, but not beinge also chiese in learninge, shoulde haue either learned Councell with him, or learned delegates for him in causes Ecclesiastical: as I haue before out of S. Augustine declared, that Constantinus the Emperour so had. And where it is evident by histories Ecclesiastical, y said Emperour Valentinean did cal together Bishoppes before him at diuers times after the example of Constantine: did admonish the Bishoppes of their duetie, did deale in the election of Bishoppes, did summon Bishoppes to Councils, & did medle in matiers of Religion: (as shal hereafter be most manifestly declared) I may conclude, that he so spake, & was so minded, and gaue ouer of his right at that time, was more of his humble nature & persuasion, that he would not, or of his great busines, that he coulde not, than of any unlawfulnes, that he might not do it: for that he afterwarde did it, I shall at large proue, and most manifestly declare in answearinge the next place folowing: wherein M. Dorman foloweth still this mater of Valentinean.

Further M. Dorman may be ashamed stil to goe about to make so many learned & godly Bishoppes, beinge Serom. li. 6. c. 7. (as testifieth Sozomenus) of y right faith, y is, of god judgement,

sudgement, and beinge also assembled together in a multitude, so that they might vse common aduise and councell, to make them all I say, luche doultes, that they knewe neither what apperteined to them selues, nor to the Emperour: but muste learne their duetic of a Lay man, a Souldiar, exercised in warres all his life. But it is more credible that so many, so godly, so learned Bisshoppes did better knowe what was the duetic of Bisshoppes, and Princes also, by Goddes lawes, than one luche Souldiar, more occupied aboute armour, than in the booke of the Scripture: Ergo, the Emperour may be present with the Bisshoppes, to intreate of pointes of Religion, soz so you confesse, was the iudgement of all these Bisshoppes of the righte faith, and of god iudgement. Ergo againe, Bisshoppes haue no authoritie of them selves to come together in Councell, about matiers of Religion, without the Princes permission and licence, soz the whiche they sente Bisshoppe Hypatian their Embassadour to the Emperour (soz so is the storie) declaringe this to be the iudgement of all those aunctient holy Fathers, the learned, and godly Bisshoppes of Hellespont and Bythinia, with other Bisshops of the right faith in Jesus Christ: that is, to be the iudgement of all Bisshoppes, who had god iudgement.

*Sozom.li.6.c.7.
Hypatianū legū eligū qui
ab imperatore
peteret ut ipsis
ad corrigendum
dogma conue-
nire permitte-
ret.*

To conclude I pray thē god Reader, cal to remembraunce all that whiche I have a litle before answeared to the like obiection of M. Dormans, concerninge the Emperour Constantine, and those 318 Bisshoppes assembled in that great, generall, moste aunctient, and holy Councell of Nice. Whome, agreeinge in minde with this company of godly learned bisshoppes assem-

A REPROVFE OF M.

Socrat. lib. 1.

cap. 39.

Constantine was
not Christened
but immediately
before his
death.

bled at Lampsacum, for the authoritie of Princes ouer persons, and in causes Ecclesiastical, P. Dozman likewise setteth to schoole, to learne the lesson of their owne duetie, to the Emperour Constantine, a man both exercised in warres all his life, and also yet starkly Christened: (whereas other wise P. Dozman most depresseth the Prince, to the obedience of what so euer the Priest shall say.) for this mater of Valentinean, and that of Constantine, good Reader, are in all pointes, altogether one: so that who so euer knoweth the one, knoweth bothe.

Dorman Fol. 22.

This is the same Valentian, who willing the bishops to chose a meete man to the see of Millain beinge by the death of Auxentius then voided, sed to them these wordes. Talem in pontificali cō-
stituite sede, cui & nos qui gubernamus imperiū sincere no-
stra capita submittamus, & cuius monita dum tanquam ho-
mines deliquerimus, necessario velut curantis medicamenta
fusciptamus, that is to say. Choose you such a Bishop, as to whome
even we which gouerne the Empire may sincerely submit our selues,
and whose monitions, while like men we fall, as pacientes doo the
phiscions receiptes, we may necessarily receive.

Theodori
lib. 7. hist.
eccl. cap. 1

Norwell.

You do vs wronge P. Dozman, to set vs a worke
to seeke this matter in Theodozetus seventh booke,
who did write onely five bookes. But to the matter.

First what iurisdiction so euer you woulde the Bis-
hop by this place should haue geuen him ouer the Em-
perour, it is evident that it appertaineth peculiarly to
the Bishoppe of Millaine, and not of Rome.

Againe.

Againe we do not, nor euer did denie M. Dorman, but that a Prince erringe ought to submitte him selfe to the wholesome monitions of the Bishoppe, as he beinge sicke ought to submitte him selfe to the wholesome Councell of the Phisitian, for that is the similitude or comparison here vsed, (as afterwarde in dæde, Theodosius the Emperour submitted him selfe to the saide S. Ambrose) but I truste M. Dorman, you will not proue hereby, that the phisitian is therfore the Emperours superior: And to what purpose than can this place serue you, but onely to shewe your grosse ignorance, and great impudencie, in alleadginge a patche of that, as for you, whiche wholly maketh against you, and with vs: whiche may be most manifest to suche as will reade this whole historie of the election of S. Ambrose, written by Socrates, Theodozetus, and Sozomenus: out of whose writings for the similiar sortes sake I will truely note out the principall pointes.

Firste saith Theodozetus, Valentian the Emperour goinge into the west parte of the Empire, in-structed the inhabitanunce there withall justice, and begonne with the Preachers of our Religion. For whan Aurentius Bishoppe of Millaine beinge an Arian was dead, the Emperour called the Bishoppes thereabout vnto him, and spake thus to them. You as men noyished vp in the holy Scriptures, can not be ignorant what maner of man he ought to be, to whome calleth the Bi- the dignitie of a Bishoppe is to be committed: and shops together; that he ought to instructe those, that be vnder him not by doctrine onely, but by his manners and life, and so forth. And he concludeth, as M. Dorman here alleageth that he woulde haue suche a man to be

Theod.li.4.c.5.
Valentian the Emperor instructing his subjects with justice, begone
The Emperour exhorteth the Bishoppes, and telleth them what
is a Bishoppes chosen duetie.

chose Bishoppe, as to whome him selfe also beinge Emperour, might sincerely submitte him selfe; and whose monitions, as mooste wholesome medicines, he might gladly receue. Thus farre Theodozetus.

Socrates. lib. 4.

cap. 30.

Sozom. lib. 6.

cap. 24.

Theodore. lib.

4. cap. 6.

Sozom. illum q̄ deth to the Bishoppes,

citissime ordi-

nari præcepit.

Theodoritus

imperator iubet

statim iniari

& creari Epis-

copum.

Theodore. lib.

4. cap. 7.

Synodum in

Illyrico coëgit,

&c.

Theodorit. lib.

4. cap. 8.

Vos inobedientes
repertis estis. Nos
quidē ordine à
primo ad ultimū
processimus
tractatione no-
stra. ipsi vero
vosmetipſos ab-
stinetis.

Further when the people of Myllane had requested S. Ambrose, yet not Christened, to be ordeined their Bishoppe: S. Ambrose refusinge it, as an office too great, and vnmēte for him, the matter beyng declared to the said Valentine the Emperour, he sent them to goe through with the matter, and to Christen Ambrose, and to make him Bishoppe: for that it was he saide, evidently Goddes elction; rather than mans. Yea and further saith Theodozetus, that the saide Emperour hearinge dissentions aboute Religion to be in Asia and Phrigia, by his authortie called together a Councell, and sente the articles there decreed, and confirmed, to suche as were at dissention, willinge them to agree to the saide decretēs.

And yet further saithe the same Theodozetus that the saide Emperour ioinaly with Valens, and Cratian, did write to all the Bishoppes of Asia, Phrigia, Carophrigia and Pacassana, declaringe what paynes they had taken from the beginnyngē to the ende, to the procuringe of unitie, by the saide Synode: by their authortie commaundinge the said Bishoppes to keepe the decretēs of the saide Synode, and to cease from persecutinge one an other: and they do rebuke certaine for thir disobedience, and curse and condemne them, if they do not reforme them selfes.

These pointes I say, truely reported out of the selfe same histories, booke, & chapters, that M. Dozman for
him:

him alleadeth, dw evidently proue, that Valentinian thought it lawfull enough for him to assemble Bishops, and to deale in Ecclesiastical matters, whiche M. Dozman before would beare the simple in hande not to be lawfull. And the same poinctes withall dw proue, that Christian Princes, yea & good Princes (whose sayngs and doinges M. Dozman with other Papistes alleage for them) did then vse as great authoritie ouer persons and in maters Ecclesiastical, as we this day dw attribute to our Christian Princes. For, who was it, whom these ancient historyes Ecclesiastical dw testifie, to haue according to justice, instructed all his subiectes, beginnig with the Cleargie, Preachers, and Bishops: the Emperour Valentinean. Who was he, that called together and summoned the Bishoppes to the election of a new Bishop: Valentinean the Emperour. Who was he that by a solemayne oration admonished the Bishops, going to the said election, of their bonden duties: Valentinean. Who was he, that allowed the election of Ambrose, and commaunded the said Bishops forthwith to ordene him Bishop of Millane, who was a temporall man, no Clarke, no Priest, yea as yet, not Christened: the Emperour Valentinean. Whom did all those Bishoppes streight obey so commaunding them, without any exception made to Ambrose, as yet, no Clarke, no Priest, no Christian: Valentinean the Emperour. Nowe what maner of Bishop proued he thus elected, and made Bishop of a lay man, by the Emperours commaundement: Better than euer was any made by the Pope, of any of his holy shauelinges, this many hundred yeeres. Further in dissentions about Religion, who summoned the Bishops to councells: Valentinean:

A REPROVFE OF M.

lentinean the Emperour. Who by his authoritie sent
 abzoade to Bishops disagreeing, the decrees of the saide
 councel, comauiding them to be obediēt, & to receive the
 Valentinean. Who declareth what paines he had take
 in the pacification of Ecclesiastical dissentions, from þ
 beginning to thend Valentinean. Who reprehendeth,
 accuseth, condemneth such Bishops, & all other, as will
 not obey the Emperour Valentinean. To conclude who
 declareth that the Emperour Valentineā of his autho-
 ritie did al these thinges, & in what places: so scōth the
 histories Ecclesiastical tripartite of Socrates, Theodo-
 ritus, & Zozomenus, & specially Theodoretus, in þ very
 places by P. Dorman alleaged, for þ authoritie of priest
 aboue Princes. So your wates therfore P. Dorman, &
 alleadge vs hereafter Valentineā the Emperour out of
 Theodoretus, or other ecclesiastical histories of him, or
 other Princes for your purpose, that all the world may
 vnderstand, & haue in admiration your great diligēce in
 searchig, your goddlyng in choosing, your dexteritie,
 & especially your modeltie, & shamefastnes in blsing, & had-
 ling of your poules, piked out for þ prerogatiue of your
 popish Prelacie. Now godd Reader, I haue, I trust, per-
 formed þ I promisled to proue by Valentinean the Empe-
 rours assembling of Bishops to councels, & by his dea-
 ling in matters of Religion, þ his former refusall to do
 the like, whan he was required, was esther of busines
 that he tha could not, as also Picephorus exp̄essely af-
 firmeth, or of a certen loue of quietnes, & little medling,
 that he than would not, rather than of lacke of right, þ
 he might not so haue done. And that therfore the moste
 gentle Prince sought of his owne nature an excuse of hu-
 blenes, of all others excuses moſte acceptable, why at
Niceph.li.n.c.,
 that

that present, he wold not do that, which he afterwarde
so often did.

Dorman. Fol. 22.

icola^o Pa- This to beshort is he, whiche would not so much as be present Translated word
in Epist. when Sixtus the B. of Rome was charged with certen accusations, for word out of
Faustū. but rising from the councel left him to be iudged of him selfe. Hos.li.2.f. 119.b
o.i.cōcil. No well.

expurg.
ti. This is very shorȝ & substantiall stufte that you do
here knitte vp the matier wthal M. Dozman, and you cū Sixto pōtifici
haue a special grace to be neither shorȝer nor longer. thā quādā criminā
is Cardinal Hosius, but as iust of the same sile as may
possiblē be. But to the matier it self I do answere Ho-
sius thus. First Peter, Crabbe y Collectour of the cou-
cels, who hath placed amongst other thinges this frag-
ment De expurgatione Sexti Papa. 3. of the expurgation of
Pope Sīrtus the third, here by M. Dozman alleged for To. i. de expurg.
authoritie, cōfesseth that the copies of the said fragment Sixti. In p̄mō.
which he had, were so crabbed, so intollerably diuers & torē. Propt̄ ex-
false, y many times it could not be vnderstandinged what emplariū intol-
they intended, or meant: and he is shreudly afraide, lest erabile nimia-
y readers wil * shake their heads, & laugh in their sca- que & differen-
ues, whē thei shal reade such stufte. Wherefore M. Doz- tia & deprava-
man hath done right wel to be shorȝ, & to knit vp y ma- tionē &c. Vt nō
tier for his Dopes to be iudges in their owne causes (for nūquā quid in-
in dede y is the shorȝest way.) with luche shorȝ, & sub- tendat nō valeat
stantiall stufte, as is this expurgation of Pope Sīrtus y intelligi. &c.
third: a worthy matier to be had to some place of expur- He is dring very
gation, to such conuenient vse as is mete for it, as shall often to make
hereafter plainly appeare. The effect of that processe, put subsāndo- this confession.
as farre as I could gather of the wordes of thre print- moneat. &c.
ed copies, written in latine, worse I beleauie than any
Romayne Carter did speake in those dayes, is this. *Nemo ergo dicit
.

One

A REPROVFE OF M.

Crescentius (vel Crescentio) quidam times Deum quas ex nobilitate composuerat per annos vita sua, oes lying in Sicilie, neere to the landes of Marianus, the facultates suas said Marianus would haue had of Sirtus Bishop of the eccl. reliquit &c.

Marianus habuit in semetipsum & one Bassus (who was also for an other cause offended discessit ab eo. Wherfore the said Marianus being angry, & syning with

With the said Bishop) accused the Bishop to Valentian the Emperour, that he had lien with a Nonne, named Chrysogontes, or Chrysogonis. b Wherupon the

Emperour being very angry, and absteyning from communion with Bishop Sirtus, called before him and the whole Senate of Rome, into St. Peters Churche in Rome, the said Bishop Sirtus. Where Epiphanius the

Prest saide with teares: let triall of this matter be made, that the Churche be not defiled. But Maximus the exconsull (so the textes call him) answered, that it

was not lawfull to geue sentence against the Bishop. Wherupon Bishop Sirtus said, & although it be in my choise to iudge, & not to be iudged: yet let not the truth be hid. Than the Emperour Valentinean commaunded

Bassus to confess all the matter, threatening him, that if he proued not his accusation true, he shoulde be in the Churches, & Bishoppes daunger, and power, and shoulde be condemned. And the

Emperour allowing Maximus his saying, that it was not lawfull to geue sentence against the Bishop, let Sirtus the Bishop be iudge in his owne cause: and so went his waye, with toyse and spoyle, insulting vpon Marianus and Bassus the accusers, and so the Churche was quiet as afors. Upon another

other day Sirtus the Bishoppe called together all the August^a à Sixto
Priestes of the Cite, & sat in iudgement in the same Episcopo, insul-
place, where the Emperour late before: and kept a cou-
cell with the said Priestes, and condemned Bassus and Bassio.
Marianus, and deprivued them both of the Communio: a Et sedit in co-

and sent copies of their cōdemnation to all Bishoppes. dem loco, quia
When Bassus one of the accusers heard this , he tooke ibidem confiste-
the matier very grieuously, & and offerred to leaue al his feit cū omnib^o
landes to the Churche, vpon condition, that he migh be cōciliū & dāna^b
receiuued to the Communion, but he could get no grace. ut Bassum &
Marianus the other accuser laughed, and scoffed at the Marianū ita ve
matier, and saide, it is wriuen in the wordes of the Co- cōmuniōne eos
spell: for geue, and it shalbe for geuen you, whiche whan ambos vnotos
spell: for geue, and it shalbe for geuen you, whiche whan subleuaret.

Sirtus the Bishoppe heard, he said: It is wriuen in the bī ta vt oīa præ-
Gospell, who so euer sinneth in this wold it shalbe for- dia sua q̄ cōpo-
geuen: but he that sinneth against the holy Ghost shall lūsset, p annos
not be forgeuen, neither here, nor in the wold to come: vitæ suæ(vel dū
and he did not receiu them to the Communion, so that vitæ suæ) oīa ec-
they both beinge in that case , passed out of the wold. clesia derelin-
And Sirtus the Bishoppe condemned them the vi. of queret vt ad cō-
the calendes of Septembre : and sittinge in his seate, munionem re-
iudged thus, sayinge: There is no seruaunt aboue his uerteref, & non
Lord, nor disciple aboue his maister. c And for so much c Quia dixerunt

as Bassus & Marianus said of me sinner, that I had to Basius & Maria-
do with an whore , I haue read ouer the Gospelles in vns de me pec-
the place, where it is wriuen , whan Iesus late & wrote catore, q̄ scorti
with his finger vpon the earth , and because the Iues assimilarem ne-
coulde not finde how they migh condenne him , they uangelia in loco
brought him an adulteresse or whore . And Iesus an- vbi scriptum est:
swered thus. If any of you be without sinne let him cast sedente Iesu , &
a stone at this woman . And by these wordes of the scribente digito
Gospell, Bishoppe Sirtus iudged his see. This geare in terra, & quia
nō inueniebant

cū dānarent, ad- was done in þ Helian Basilike, or great Church. These
 ultera aduxerat notes did the Archidamus Priest gather together, and
 ei. Et hoc respō- he laide it vp, as it were into the librarie of the Church.
 dit Iesu: Si quis ex vobis est sine This is the processe truely (as farre as I could vnder-
 peccato, iniiciat stande luche kinde of writinges) translated wozde soz
 in istā lapidē. Et wozde, out of the treatie by M. Dorman, out of Hosius
 per hæc verba here alleged: and I haue noted in the margent the la-
 Euangelica iu- tine as it is in the two original or most auncient prin-
 dicabat fedē suā. Atū in basilica ted copies. But Ð H. Hierome, Ð Ambrose, & Ð Au-
 elementa alias, gustine, who woulde thinke that in your learned
 Heliana. Hoc dales, the Secretarie of the Pope, & Church of Rome,
 indiculū collegit the mother of the Romane or latine tongue, would, or
 Archidiaconos coulde write luche latine, to the which I thinke, there
 alias Aethida- is no brasse nor coper so course, that it may be in cours-
 mus presbyter, & ipse quasi in- nes any thing comparable thereto?
 chartarium ec- Againe concerning the matier: this authoſ testifieth
 clesiæ, alias char- þ the Bishop of Rome did iudge, & geue sentence in his
 tarum ecclesiæ owne cause: that he woulde not be pacified with Basilius
 collocavit. his accusar being paſitent, and offeringe al his landes
 (which belike were great) to the Church, for a mendes:
 that he called the accusing of him, the sinne against the
 holy Ghost, not remisible in this wozde, nor in þ wozde
 to come: & so did let the die without hope of grace. And
 þ the saide Bishop shoule be so ignoraunt of the Scrip-
 tures, to compare the strompet, with the abusinge of
 whome he was him ſelſe accused, to the adultereſſe
 brought to Christ to be iudged: and to pervert the ordres
 of the Scripture, and to ſay, þ whan Iesu ſate, & wrote
 with his finger vpon the grounde, the Iues not finding
 how to condene him; brought an adultereſſe vnto him:
 where as in dæde thei brought her, beſoþe he did write.
 And to conclude, that the Pope iudged his ſee by theſe

wozdes

wordes of our saviour . If any of you be without sinne
let him cast a stome at this woman : whiche wordes do
not cleare him, nor his see, but should rather cōdēne him
as guiltie, though not to be accused by suche, as were as
euel as him selfe. Al y which must needes be a leude for-
ged lie of Sixtus Bishop of Rome, who(as Bishops thā
were)was bilike, both wise, learned, & godly. Al this ma-
tier stāding thus, P. Dozman in h̄ ende of his treatise of
Valentinean the Emperour, as a man meaning to knit
vp the matier with some shōrt & substantiall stufse saith:
This (valentinean) to be shōrt is he, whiche would not so much as be
present whē sixtus the Bishop of Rome was charged with certain
accusations, but rising frō the councell left him to be iudged of him
selfe. This is the euidence of importaunce that these mē
haue to proue their Pope iudge in all causes, and in his
owne cause too, as well as other mens: whiche euidence
of importaunce P. Dozman promised he would bringe Doman suprā
into the face of the open Court. Woe worth y Churche, fol. 17.b.
which, leauinge the true triall of the Scriptures, vseth
such euidence, & will compell vs to be tried by such eui-
dence as this is: wherein is not so much truth, rime, nor
reason, as there is musike & melodie in this scely songe:
I toke my harpe into my hād & twange & my stringe a.
or in any other more foolish and leude rime than this is.
For the more foolish it is , the mēter a resemblaunce is
it of such leude lies, and fitter answere to such allegati-
ons, as are by these fallaries brought into the face of o-
pen court , for right god euidence , and of very great
importaunce. Now in this leude fable yet is there moze
against P. Dozman, than with him . For if the Pope
appeare before y Emperour to be tried he acknowleged
him therein for his iudge , If the Emperour gaue him

A REPROVFE OF M.

Augustus dedit choise (for so is the Latine in all the iiii. copies) to be his
in arbitrio Sexti owne Judge, it lay in the Emperour to haue not geuen
Episcopi iudiciale iudiciū suum. him that choise: which you vnderstanding M. Dorman,
haue guylefully misreported the woorde thus: he lefte
him to be iudged of him selfe: but for so muche as M.
Dorman him selfe (I thinke) thinked this expurgation
to be but slender euidence, he adiourneth for further ayde
þ Epistle of Pope Nicolas, who liued about. 860. yeares
after Christ, a man with tothe oþ naile laboringe by
this expurgation and other like baggage to proue that
no man may iudge the Pope of Rome, whiche being by
such indifferent witnesses testified, muste n̄d̄es be so,
you knowe.

Dorman. Folio. 22.

His sonne also Valentinian succedinge his Father in the Empire, Valentinian
Translated out proclaimed he him selfe chiefe gouernour in causes Ecclesiastical: the sonne
of Hosi⁹ woorde True it is, that being yet a childe, and seduced by his wicked ma-
for vwoorde, ther Iustina, to fauour the horrible heresie of the Arians: he began
fol. 38.b. & 119.b. to affect that title. But after S. Ambrose like a true Bisshoppe, and Lib. 5. epi.
Valentinianus faithfull councilor, had tolde him that it apperteigned not to him, fol. 33.
junior Imperia- to pretende any auctorite or right to meddle wth the ouersight
tor Iustinian of Gods matters, that to him belonged his palaces, and to the Priestes
impulsu cū puer adhuc esset p- the Churches, that he shoulde not auaunce himselfe but be subiect
pemodum &c. to God, and giue to him that whiche was his, referring to Cesar that Lib. 5. epi.
whiche was Cesar. fol. 32.

Norwell.

Hosius lib. 1. fol. 38. & 2. fol. 43.b gainst Brentius, out of whome you haue translate this
& 119.b. whole processe woorde for woorde, & after your custome
to obscure the mater, you haue set before that, whiche is
alter beth in S. Ambrose, being in his. 33. Epistle, & in
Hosius.

Hosius: and that whiche is before in Hosius, & S. Ambroses. 32. Epistle, like an artificer, you haue set after: els you vse Hosius his very woordes both in y entring to the materie, & in the concluding of it. And here appeareth all the colour you haue to exempt you, who would seeme an authour, frō being not onely a selie, but a dissembling, and vngratefull translatour, whiche that ho-
lie farther S. Basil doth so muche abhorre. And you vt-
terly confounding and menglinge the orde of S. Am-
broses his treatie (as may to the learned that will com-
pare you with him, platnely appeare) dw compell me
also in answering to folowe your vnozderlie orde.

Epist. I. ad Greg.
Theologum.

To your question, did valentinean the yonger proclame
him selfe chiefe gouernour in causes Ecclesiastical?

I answere, he did not: for he woulde haue bene, and other persuaded him that he was not chiefe gouernour in causes Ecclesiastical, and thereforesoe bothe would he haue taken vpon him, and others did beare him in hand farre otherwyse, than either dw our Christian Princes take vpon them, or any man goeth about to persuade them to dw. Where you say, s. Ambrose tould him, that it apperteined not to him, to pretēde anie authoritie or right to medle with the ouersight of Gods matters, this is not to mollifie, but to corrupt S. Ambrose, to make the materie seeme like our Princes doinges. For S. Ambrose willeth him, that he shold not thinke that he had any imperial au-
thoritie over Gods matters, whiche I am sure, may as well be said to any Bishop, as to any Prince. And sure I am, that our Christian Princes never tooke any such thing vpon them, but take them selues to be subiectes to God, whose imperial authoritie declared in his word (and not their own imperial authoritie) they must, and

Ambros. lib 9.
epist. 33. & Hosi-

lib. 1.39 & 2.43.

Noli te grauare
Imperator, vt
putes te in ea
qua divina sunt
imperiale ali-

quod ius habere

A REPROVFE OF M.

will obey in Gods matters : and therfore they wil not auaunce them selues, but be subiect to God, renderinge to God that whiche is his : and houldinge them selues contented with that whiche belongeth to Princes . To haue Churches or temples to be deliuered vp into their handes, they desire not, as did this Valentian : neither send they armed men by force to take possession of the said Churches , as he did ; neither expell they true preachers, and place Arians in their townes . Suche presumption therfore against God, & violence against godlie Bisshoppes, Churches, and temples, M. Dorman doth vnsiftly applie to our Christian Princes humbling them selues before God, & most farre from all violence.

Dorman. fol. 22.

Ambros. lib5.
Epist. 32.

After that he had proposed to him the exâple of his father, who not onely in woordes said, that it was not his parte to iudge amongst the Bisshopps, but establisched also a lawe, that in causes of faith and Religion , yea in the examination of the maners of Bisshoppes and Priestes, onely Bisshopps shoud be judges.

No well.

Hof. li. 1. fo. 38. b
Supra fol. 22. a

The woorde of Malètinian the elder, that it was not his part to iudge amongst Bisshopps, are the very same woordes that were of him before by M. Dorman alleaged: whiche woordes that they were spoke, by that most myld Emperour of a certen modessie , and lacke of leysure, rather than of any lacke of right to deale with the Bisshopps in Religion, I haue by Nicephorus his playne woordes, and by the Emperour Malètinian his dealing with them therein, before declared. And also by the iudgement of al the Bisshopps of Hellespontus & Bithinia,

Sozom. li. 6. c2. 7
Epist. p Helle-
sponia & Bithi-

and

and all other Bishops, who helde the true faith of our
 Sauour Jesus Christ, that is, by the judgement of all
 Bishops, that had true iudgement, I haue I say, preued
 already, that the Emperour Valentinian might be pre-
 sent with the said Bishops, to entreate of certe pointes
 of Religion, than to be reformed, for that they required
 this of him, as meete and conuenient in their iudgement
 by him to be done, besides the historie, I haue your con- Ante fol. 22. 22.
 fession, M. Dorman. And though you and other aduer-
 saries do thinke the curtesie of one Prince vnderexercised
 in Religion and bookes, moche exercised in warres & ar-
 mour, to be of better authortie against Princes right
 for Priestes usurpation, than is the staid judgement of
 so many, so learned, so godly Bishops, to the contrarie:
 the judgement I say, of Bishoppes of the right faith in
 Jesus Christ, that is, of right judgement, and Bishops
 assembled together by commune advise to ludge the
 best: yet I trust all good, wylle, learned, & indifferent
 men, will ludge the staid judgement of such, so many
 Bishoppes, so qualifid byshoppes, to be of moze au-
 thortie for the right of Christian Princes, than the
 vnskilfull curtesie, and gentle woordes of one souldiar,
 is to the contrarie: specially seinge the deedes of the
 said Valentinian, of moze effect than woordes, prouing
 his authortie in these matiers, as I haue before large-
 ly declared. Of the Lawe made by the saide Valen-
 tinian y elder, I say the same, that I said of his woordes.
 No meruaile if a gentle Prince, made a gentle Lawe.
 And in remembraunce of Constantius, and Julianus
 the Emperours vnlawful, cruell, and wicked handling
 of godly Bishops, such a lawe might seeme a necessary
 Lawe, lest the like might folowe after, as had happe-

niam, ac quicūq;
 alij filium patii
 coessentialē di-
 cunt. &c.

ned be*

A REPROVFE OF M.

ned before. But where that Lawe comprised, that onely Bishoppes should haue the examination, not of doctrine onely, but of Bishops manners also, it sheweth it selfe manifestly to be mans, not Gods Lawe. For touching manners, it is to evident that godlie Princes both of the olde Lawe, and of Christian Religion, haue both examined the maners of Bishoppes, and punished wicked Bishoppes, for their euill maners. And suche a law upon occasion, made by a Christian Prince, may upon occasion lawfullie by Christian Princes be altered. In Valentinians time whan Bishops were learned, and godlie of life, and Emperours readie to be incensed against suche godly Bishoppes, and for the moste parte vncristened (as was this Valentian the yonger) or long differing to be Christened, suche a Lawe, to staine suche Princes either vncristened, or unlearned, or vnskilfull, and withall rashe, from the examination of Christian doctrine, & godly Bishoppes maners, might be suffered: specially the Bishoppes of that time, being both them selues of a seuere life, and seuerely repressing the licentiousnes of their Cleargie, but in this corruption of doctrine, and life of our popishe Bishoppes and Cleargie now a daies, one winking, and bearing with an others both false doctrine, and wicked life, it were an hurtfull and pernicious Lawe, tending to the maine naunce and continuance of suche superstitions, errours and heresies, as the Popes and their Cleargie, haue overwhelmed Christes Churche, and Christian Religion withall. But what say I than to S. Ambrose, who beth these wordes & Law of the Emperour y fether, for authoritie against Valentian y yonger: I say S. Ambrose beth the ex ample of y modestie of y father, an aged, graue,

catholike, and christened Prince, like a wise and godlie Bishop, to stay the rashenes of a yonge Prince the sone being unlearned, and without experiance, infected with heresie, and yet not christened, takinge vpon him all lawfull and vnlawfull thinges. And the same I saye to all the residue of S. Ambroses wordes touchinge that matier, and that such examples neede not, where Princes are christened, godly, and boide of suche rashnes.

Dorman. Fol. 23.

Afier that S. Ambrose had willed him to search the scriptures, Hosius.lib.1.
where he shoulde finde that Bisshops ought in matiers of faith to be fol.38.b.39.a.
judges ouer Emperours, not they contrariwise ouer Bisshops: After D.Hard. Cofut,
that he had bidden him call to his remembraunce, if euer he so much Apol.fol.37.b.
as hard, that in a matter of faith the Lay men were judges ouer
the Bisshops.

Norwell.

S. Ambroses wordes, a little before this place by M. Ambros. lib.5.
Dormā here alleaged, are these: Si docendus est Epis. Epist.32.
copus &c. that is to say. If a Bishop must be taught by
a Lay man, what he shoulde folowē, than let the Lay man
dispute, & let the Bishop heare, & let the Bishop learne
of the Lay man. But surely, if we do examine the ordre
of the scripture or ould histories, who is it, that can de-
nre, that in a cause of faith, I say, in a cause of faith, Bi-
shops are wont to be judges of Christian Emperours,
not Emperours of Bisshops. Thus farre S. Ambrose.
And you shoulde haue done wel M. Dormā, not to haue
omitted here the intemperancie of unlearned Lay men
in S. Ambrose his time, takinge vpon them to teach the
learned Bisshops: that the diuersitie of those, and our
times, & doinges might haue appeared. And you shoulde

Ambros. ibidem
In causa fidei, in
causa inquam fi-
dei epis tolere
de Imperatorib.
judicare. &c.

P not

not have omitted the repetitiō of these wordes, in a cause
of faith, I saie, in a cause of faith, whereby is signified that
by S. Ambroses judgement, Princes might be judges
of Bishoppes maners, notwithstandinge Valentian
the elders Lawe, that suche judgement, shoulde apper-
tein to onely Bishopps, as you haue before alleadged.
And touching cases of faith and Religion, we dene not,
but that Bishopps of ould time, being better learned thā
were the Princes in matters of faith and Religion, did
teache and instruct the Princes in the truth, did iudge,
yea, and by excommunicatiō condemnē also the wicked
factes of Princes: as did not long after this S. Ambrose
vse Theodosius h̄ Emperour for his hainous murther.
We take not from learned Bishoppes (as Valentian
would haue done) the right of disputing, and of teaching

Ambros.
Si Docendus est true Religion to Christian Princes, and all other men
ēps a Laico. &c. publikely, and (whiche is necessarely soyned) of iudge-
ing what is true in Religion. For how can they teache
the truth, who cā not iudge what is the truth: we take
not from the the office of iudging also in causes of bin-
ding & losing, or of excommunicatiō and absolution, for
of these rightes of Priestes doth S. Ambrose speake.
And true it is, that all these rightes doo peculiarly ap-
pertaine to godly and learned bishoppes, suche as S. Am-
brose speaketh of, as vnto Gods and his Churches mi-
nisters. And we do confess that neither Valentian,
neither any other man had ever heard, that any godlie
Princes, or other Lay men, of them selues, without the
aduise and assistance of the godly learned, specially of
the Cleargie, toke upon them to be judges of Bishopps
in a matier of faith, as S. Ambrose testifieth, this yong
Valentian would haue done. Neither did we ener-
heare,

heare that ante good Prince would be ludge in amatier
of lawe ouer his judges, without the advise & assistance
of others learned in the Law . And what inconuenience
doth folowe hereof, I pray you. But where M. Dozman
would drawe all judgement ouer Princes in matters
of faith to bishops, by S. Ambrose his wordes, I wold
askē of M. Dozman, whether an Arian Bishop shalbe
ludge ouer the Emperour, or any other Lay man, or me
in the cause of our faith in Christ Jesu our Sauour : I
trowe not: and yet was he called a Bishop. And whe-
ther the whole Ariminense couell assembled of aboue
400. Bishops shuld be ludge in the same cause ouer the
Emperour , or other Lay men : I trowe not : and yet
was it called a generall councell in those daies , of as
many both Lay men and Bishoppes (I thinke) as
it was denised to be a councell of the other side . Leave
therefore your vaine names of Priestes, and Bishops,
as though they shoulde dispute , teache , and iudge Lay
men, in cases of faith, onlie because thei haue the names
of Priestes, and Bishops, by S. Ambroses minde: who
(as in the same Epistle by you alleaged plainly ap-
peareth) would neither suffer by his will any Arian
Bishoppe to teache or ludge , and abhorred the whole
councell of so many hundreth Bishoppes assembled in
Ariminum . And therefore geneth S. Ambrose all
men to vnderstande, that he speaking of Bishoppes to
teach, dispute, and ludge, meaneth onely of learned and
godlie Bishoppes: suche , as vntill you proue your
Pope, and popishe Priestes to be , (as you shall never
proue, whiles they be, as they be) you shall in vayne al-
leage S. Ambrose for you : or against vs . For, shall an
ignoraunt Bishoppe teache the true faithe , or be ludge

Ambros. ibidem
lib. 5 epist. 32.
Mæritò conciliū
Ariminense ex-
horreo. &c.

P 2 therein:

De maiorit. &
obedient. cap.
vnam sanct.

theresin : shall sic John lacke learninge , feache the
poore plowman in the countrey the true faithe? If you
saie he shall : I aske, howe he can : Shall Pope Boni-
face the eight teache , that it is necessary to salvation
for all men, to be vnder the Bishop of Rome , and shall
he be sudge, howe, and in what sorte all men must be
vnder hym selfe : shall he condemne all that will not
so be vnder him, though otherwyse good Christian me,
though dwellinge in Greece , in Asia , in Ethiopia,
though vnder Prester John , in the vttermost Afraca,
or els wheare, who peraduenture never heard of the
Pope , neither doo knowe , whether he be blacke or
whyte, neither the Pope of them ? Neither can there-
fore ignoraunt Priestes dispute , or teache , neither
ought wicked Priestes to be Judges of godlines : noz
any Priest, to be their head and ruler of them, of whom
he scarcely ever heard , or they of him. S. Ambrose no
doubt exempteth unlearned and wicked Bishoppes, as
well as unskilfull and wicked Princes (suche as than
was this Valentian the yonger) from iudgement,
and intermedling in maters of Religion.

Otherwyse touching myle and godlie Princes, S.
Ambrose could not be ignoraunt , what Constantinus
had before done in the causes of the Bishoppes Cæs-
lianus and Felir, before declared, and in manie other
lyke causes : neither coulde he be ignoraunt , that all
the godlie Bishoppes of Hellesponte and Bithinia,
with many others , had by their Legate Bishoppe
Hypatianus , praised Valentian this yonge Em-
perours father , that he woulde vouchsare to be pre-
sent with them , to entreate of certen pointes of Reli-
gion to be reformed . And as S. Ambrose was not
ignorant

ignoraunt of these thinges, so was he not against Theodosius the Emperour , doinge the like , that did Constantinus, and as was required of Valentianus. But neither Constantinus, nor Theodosius , nor any other godly Emperour , would take vpon them as did Constantinus the Emperour , & as this yonge Valentian would haue donne : nor would suffer any Lay men vnder them so to dw, as did those vnder the other : that is, to take vpon them the right of Priestes , to teach the Bisshoppes, being better learned than them selfes, to dispute, the Bisshoppes hearinge & houldirge their peace, as learners : soz of such speaketh S. Ambrose . Let M. Dozman lay S. Ambroses sayinges against Valentian the yonger, yonge in yéeres , and yonger in learninge, experience, and wisedome, and also infected with the Arian hæresie, and as yet not Christened , who(as S. Ambrose saith) whan he knew not as yet the Sacramentes of the faith it selfe , and was therfore vnmēte to take vpon him the iudgement of faithe , would yet without all aduise or assistaunce of any godly learned, haue taken vpon him the iudgement of the very greatest, and highest poincte of our faith : let M. Dozman I say, lay S. Ambrose sayinges against such yonge Valentians, where he can finde them: and spare to reproche godly Princes most farre from such rashenes, impietie, and unchristianitie . And if M. Dozman will say ought to the purpose, let him bringe out of S. Ambrose, or any other auncient godly Fathers of the Primitive Church proufe, that learned and godly Christian Princes, suche as be in these daies, (wherein popish Bisshoppes and Priestes be either so unlearned that they can not teach, or wickedly learned, and teach that , whiche is naught)

P 3 takinge

Ius sacerdotale.
Ambrosius. li. 5.
epistola. 32.
Si docendus est
Episcop⁹ à laico
quid sequatur,
laicus ergo dis-
putet & episco-
pus audiat: epis-
copus discat à
laico.

This appeareth
in the said. 32. &
33. epistles of S.
Ambrose.
Lib. 5. Epist. &
Histor. ecclesi-
astica.

Rufini li. 2. c. 17.

A REPROVFE OF M.

takinge to them learned & godly councellers, Clerkes, and others, or assigninge for them learned and godly delegates, may not take ordre for the reforming of such a Cleargie , and of Religion by them decaied: but that suche unlearned asses , or wicked Prelates , shoulde still remaine them selues uncontrolled , and iudge learned and godly Christian Princes, and al other learned, and godly Lay men . I truff you shall sweate well M. Dozman, or you can bringe any one sentence or woorde of S. Ambrose, or of any other auncient , learned , and godly doctor, to this purpose: whiche yet vntill you do, I geue you warninge you doo nothinge , that any wise man, or of any iudgement, will regarde.

Nowe whereas this treatie is aboute iudginge of controuersies, and you do call vs Hæritiques, and call vs to Rome, and to your Tridentine Councell there to be iudged , (where we are sure to be condemned , before we be heard) you do therein nowe no moze reasonably, than did the Arians in those dates call the true beleauers in Christ Jesu our Sauiour , before Arrius, Eusebius, Aurentius: or to the iudgement of the Arrimense Councell, which S. Ambrose here saith he did abhoze. If you aske vs how, and before what judges than we will be tried : If we shewe you that we are contented to be tried, as S. Ambrose , here by you alleged, was contented : and in this place here by you alleaged, S. Ambrose hath declared that the use of the Primitive Churche was to procede , will you heare it, Will you hould your selfes contented with it : I doubt ye will not . Well S. Ambrose whan he had declared that Aurentius had appointed suche judges for him, as he was ashamed to name , in this same Epistle hath

hath these wordes. Veniant planè si qui sunt, ad Ambrosius lib.
 Ecclesiam, audiant cum populo, nō vt quisquam ius-^{s. epist. 32.}
 dex resideat, sed v nusquamq; de suo affectu habeat ex-
 amen, eligat quem sequatur. Agitur de Ecclesiæ isti⁹
 sacerdote, si audierit illum populus, & putauerit me-
 liùs disputare sequatur fidem eius, non inuidet. O-
 mitto quia iam ipse populus iudicauit: taceo quia e-
 nim quem habet, de patre tuæ clementiæ postulapit.
 &c. that is to say. Let them come in dēde (if there be
 any suche) to the Churche, let them heare with the peo-
 ple: not that any shall sitte as iudge, but that every one
 may by his owne affection make examination, and
 chose whome he may folowe. the matier is in hande
 abouete the Bisshoppe of this Church, if the people heare
 him, and shall thinke him to dispute better (than I)
 let them folow his faith, I will not enuie him: I let it
 passe that the people them selues haue alreadie iudged:
 I will not say, that the people asked the Bisshoppe,
 whome they haue, of your clemencies Father: I will
 not say that your Father promised that all woulde be
 quiet, if he, who was chosen, shoulde take the Bisshop-
 ripe vpon him. This faithe of your fathers promisses
 haue I folowed. Thus farre S. Ambrose, and shortly
 after againe he saith: Venissem Imperator ad Consi-
 storium clementiæ tuæ vt hæc coram suggererem, si
 me vel episcopi vel populus permisissent, dicentes de
 fidei ecclesia coram populo debere tractari. that is to
 say. I would haue come Th Emperour to your clemen-
 cies Cōistorie, to haue declared these thinges presently
 before you, if either the Bisshops, or people would haue
 suffered me, sayinge, þ treatie of þ faith ought to be had
 in the Church before þ people. Thus farre S. Ambrose.
 Who

He meaneth the
Church of Mil-
laine.

Agitur de istius
Ecclesiæ sacer-
dote.

A REPROVFE OF M.

Who declareth the vse of the primitiue Church to haue
been, that in controuersies of faith, treatie, and triall,
should be had, not before the one partie, whiche multe
needes be partiall, but before the people: whiche vsuage
had continued from the Apostles time, who appeared
not before Annas and Caiphas, and the councell of the
Priestes, Scribes, and Pharisies, to geue an accompt of
their faith, but taught the truth to the people. Nay the
Apostles beinge called before them, & forbidden to teach;
and soze threatened if they did teach (as are we now like-
wise handled by their successours, the Romaine Ca-
iphas, and his Prelates) yet did they teach the people,
and gaue an accompt of their faith and hope to the peo-
ple: all heard, and many folowed them, iudgyng that
thei taught the truth. And from the Apostles likewise
continued this ordre till S. Ambrose time, and after in
the ancient Catholike Churche. Let the people heare
(saith S. Ambrose) the controuersie of faith: let the trea-
tie of faith be had before the people in the Churche: let
the people examine and chose whome they will folow:
and the people (saith he) hath iudged of this mater al-
readie. He saith not in any of these places (as say you)
let the Pope examine, but every wheare, let the people
examine. We haue likewise done as S. Ambrose teach-
eth vs, and as the Apostles gaue vs example, we haue
not gone to the Romaine Caiphas and his Consistorie,
taught by the examples of those, who wente, and came
not againe, being not heard but burned: we haue gone
to the people, who haue heard vs, and haue suffered vs
to returne againe: all haue hearde vs, many (as it was
in S. Ambrose time) haue chosen to folowe vs, many
haue iudged alreadie, that we are no heretikes, but

Ambrosius voul-
quisque eli-
git quem sequa-
tur &c.
Iā ipse populus
iudicauit. &c.

that

that you are enemies and persecutours of the truthe,
and theresoze haue forsaken you , and fledde from you,
and ioyned with vs . And yet you, who alleage **S. Am-** Hosius lib. 2.
brose against vs , crie out against vs , will you make
the folishe and inconstant vulgare people judges in
causes of faith , and Religion : we answeare : we doo
know that the vulgare people are blamed of foolishnes,
lightnes, and inconstancie . And that they are the moze
fullie blamed therefor , is longe of the Pope and his
Prelates , who by all meanes possible haue labored to
kepe Goddes people in all ignorance of his holy lawe,
and will , and voide of all god iudgement , that they
thereby might the moze easly vse their tirannie ouer
them and abuse them , like brute beastes . Howbeit we
say not Vulgus, but Populus, not the vulgare people, **Populus:**
but the people, as said **S. Ambrose**, and he that saith the
people ; meaneth not onely the vulgare people : this
woerde Populus , people , conteineth wise men , lear-
ned men , godly men , councellers , magistrates , yea
the Prince him selfe . Besoore this people do we anouch
to proue you enemies, and persecutours of the truth,
and haue so proued it alreadie , that a great parte of
this people , not onely of the vulgare , but of the best
sorte , haue alreadie iudged it to be so : and haue there-
fore forsaken you . You crie out still , that none of
the people , learned or unlearned , godly or vngodly
magistrate , nor priuate person , Prince nor subiect ,
nor all these together are competent Judges in con-
frouersies of faith , betwéene the Pope and his swoerne
Cleargie on the one side, and vs poore men on the other:
but that the sayde Pope and his Consistorie onelie
are competent judges , why than are neither you , nor

Ambrosius:
Iā ipse populus
iudicauit.

We, either plaintives, or defendauntes, but you are both judges; and witness(es), and we cōdemned men, we haue our iudgement alreadie before we be herde. But this is not S. Ambrose iudgement, this is not the Apo-
stles iudgement, it is not Peters, but Caiphas his iud-
gement, to be iudge in his owne cause, wherin he is
partie. To conclude I trust god Reader, thou doest vn-
derstande, that S. Ambrose in all this processe doth re-
prove the mannor, whiche Valentineanus the Em-
perour would haue vsed in iudgeing ouer Bisshoppes, in
causes of faith: that is, that he beinge yonge, unlearned,
vnchristened, guided by the Arians, whō were the one
partie, without assistaunce or councel of any indifferent,
godly person, shold not call Bisshops before him to his
consistorie, & there him selfe, or by some wicked lay men
his deputies, of whom(as saith S. Ambrose)some might
be Iues, or Infidelles, gene sentence against learned
and godly Bisshoppes, in the highest point of our faith,
plainely declared in the Scriptures, whiche was not to
be called into question, much lesse to be iudged by any,
& specially such. But had the saide Valentinean beeē a
wise, & godly Prince, S. Ambrose who admitteth any of
the people into the Churche, to heare disputacions in
causes of faith & Religion, and to chōse whome they wil
folowe, would neuer haue excluded the godly and wise
Prince, for that he was a lay man, from that, wherein
to he admitteth any of the people, beinge also lay men.
And that S. Ambrose, who saith, let the people heare
the treaties of faith, to be had in the Churche before them, let every one of the people examine and chōse
whome they will folow, and saith that the people hath
iudged alreadie: the same S. Ambrose who hath so faire
of

of the people , would not mislike that the godly Prince
the head of the people , the magistrate, learned , wise,
and godly , that is the Shoulders , brest , and hert of the
people , should heare treaties of the faith , should exa-
mine and chose whome they may folow : and to folow
without iudgement, were but to folow blindly , and to
goe out of the way, wherfoze it foloweth that they may
also accordaninge to Goddes woorde , ludge whome they
may folow in the saide matier , specially being a matier
to them belonging , and touchinge their owne very sal-
uation or dampnation : whiche to credite to these Pa-
pistes (specially vpon suche experiance of their doinges)
without all care, examination, triall, or iudgement, (as
they would haue it) were the parte of men to dissolute,
and carelesse of their owne health.

Dorman. Folio. 23.

And finally s. Ambrose tolde Valentinian that if he shoulde Hosius lib.1.
giue him such councell, or beinge vñmirdefull of that right which fol.39.a.
belongeth to priesthood , committe that to other whiche God had gi-
Our counselen to him that he shoulde not then tredie in the vpright parches of
trefete Bishops pro- truth and simplicite, but walke in the crooked way of adulacion
ued true flatterers and flatterie, and that at the length he shoulde (he doubted not) him
by S. Am- selfe, as he grewe to more ripenes in yeeres, well vnderstand what
brofe. manner of Bishop that were ; that woulde submitte the auctoritie
of Priestes to the iudgement of lay men. Norwell.

That , whiche you here bringe in finally , is in S.
Ambrose placed before your formar places : but you
do delight in confoundinge and obscuringe of the ould
Doctors sayinges . To the matter I haue said : that

none of our Bisshoppes do committē to Princes or o-
ther , that right, whiche belongeth to Priesthode: ne-
ther submitte they the authōritie of Priests in preach-
inge, bindinge and loosinge , and ministeringe of the
Sacramentes , to the iudgement of Lay men , but to
the iudgement of Goddes woorde: and therfore is your
marginall note againste them as flatterers , for the

a Ambr. epist. 33.
Allegatur Im-
peratori licere
ea, ipsius esse
voiuersa &c.

b & Hosius li. 1.
fol. 39. a.

Noli grauare .
Imperator ut
putes te in ea
qua diuina sunt
imperiale ali-
quid ius habere.

C Ambrosius li.
5. epistola. 32.

Ne forte etiam
Gentilis esset a-
liquis aut Iuda-
us, q ab Auxen-

teria esset elect^o, men, and peradventure Iues, or Infidels , to be judges
quibus tradere ouer learned, and godly Bisshoppes, in the highest poin-
tus de Christo tates of our faith: as for example, of the diuinite of our
triumphum. si Saviour Iesus Christe (for that is the cause of faith,
ciū cōmittere- here spoken of) they woulde haue such lay men to teach
m^o &c. quid il- godly Bisshoppes their dueties, and to dispute of Reli-
lis aliud potest gion, the Bisshoppes holding their peace would haue a
placere, nisi (p yonge, unskilful, unchristned Prince, infected with ha-
b^obit^o.) vt Christi- heresies, & guided by heretiques, to take vpon him selfe,
Diuinitas deac- getur,

without all advise or assistance of the godlie learned
of the Cleargie, the iudgement ouer suche learned and
godlie Bisshoppes, in suche high causes of our Religion:
or at the suggestion of heretiques, to appointe such Lay
men (as I haue out of S. Ambrose before noted) to be
judges thererin. And not onely Lay men, did make such
wicked suggestions to the yonge Prince, but also the
Arian Bishops and Priestes them selues, vnmindfull
of the right of Priestes, woulde committē that to the
Prince, whiche God had geuen to Priestes, and like
naughtie Bisshoppes, would throw the right of Priestes
vnder Lay men. Against suche shold Hosius have al-
leaged S. Ambrose (if any suche be, whiche God forbid
should be) not against them, who teach no such things.
Suche were those courtiers and Priestes: suche I con-
fesse, (for of suche speaketh S. Ambrose) if they were Ambros. lib. 3.
Bisshoppes, were counterfeit Bisshoppes: and Bisshops, Epist. 32. 33. 4
or not Bisshoppes, they were trulie crooked flatterers.
And so let M. Dozman call our Bisshoppes, whan he ca
proue that they make any suche suggestions, teache, or
maintaine any suche thinge. But I know that they do
fully agree with S. Ambrose, that no suche thinge is to
be taught, or suffered: and that therefore not S. Am-
brose, with whom they do agree, but M. Dozman doth
call them flatterers: who might by as good right, call
S. Ambrose flatterer, as them, fully agreeinge herein
With him. in fol. 23. of his Booke of the
Bishoppes of Yorke. Dorman. fol. 23. of his Booke of the
Bishoppes of Yorke. C. 1500. called under his name
After Isaie at these persuasions; he founde that good Emperour
so wel reclaims, that hem self reporteth of him in an epistole which
he wrote to Theodorus, that where before he persecuted hym, now he
hath the same word for world. fol. 2. p. 19. b.

A REPROVFE OF M.

soued him, where before he tooke him for his mortall enemie, nowe
he reuerenced him as his father. Vvhiche (s. Ambrose never yelding
in his or rather Gods right) the Emperour would neuer vndoubtely
haue doen, had he not well knowē that s. Ambrose was in the right
and he in the wrong.

No well.

That S. Ambrose was in the right, and Valentinian in the wrong, we doo graunt, as bath bene before declared: and in al that matter, we be of the same mind that S. Ambrose was. But whyles you goe about to doe S. Ambrose, a Bishop in deede worthy of all true commendation, to muche right, onely for that he was a Bishop, and wthall, do that molte wyle and vertuous Emperour Theodosius; for that he was a Prince, and no Priest, to muche wronge: you do not well therein

a Lib. 5. epist. 34. ^a S. Ambrose hym selfe, b Theodoretus, and c Rufinus
b Lib. 5. cap. 15. in their histories Ecclesiasticall, and Hosius your au-
c Lib. 2. cap. 17.
Hos. li. 2. f. 119. b thour, out of whom you haue scoulten this whole trea-
tie, do all thre loyntly geue the chese paise of the con-
uersion of this yonge Prince from the wronge to the
right; vnto the Emperour Theodosius.

Firste S. Ambrose writing to the Emperour Theodosius, saith:
34. Ad Theod. Valentinianus Augustus informatus fide, ac tuis in-
Imperatorem. Situtis, tantam deuotionem erga Deum nostrum in-
dauerat, atque tanto in me incubuerat affectu. &c. that

is to say: Valentinian the Emperour informed in the
Tuis institutis. faith, and your instructions, had taken vpon him so
great a deuotion toward our God, and so great loue to-
ward me, that whom before he did persecute, nowe he

Hos. lib. 2. Con- did loue &c. The same wordes hath your Hosius, which
tra Bremium you haue gullfully left out, to hysdy Emperour Theodo-
sol. 119. b sias his paises; playning at once both the translatour
and

and corruptoz. And again saith S. Ambrose. Quas clementia tuae gratias deferebas, quod eum non solu regno reddidisses, sed etiam quod est amplius instituisses hys dei & pietatis tuae disciplina. that is: what thankes did I geue vnto your clemencie, soz that you had not onlie restored him vnto his kingdome, but also that, whiche is more, you had instructed him with the learninge of Theodoret. li. 5. faith and godlines. Thus farre S. Ambrose. And Rufus. cap. 15. hath the nus in his Ecclesiasticall historie declareth, that Theodosius the Emperour not onely deliuered this Valentian the yonger, from the tyzannie of Maximus, and Theodosius fidei catholicā, quam impia mater Valentiniā violauerat, & regnū restituit.
 restored him to his kingdome: but also restored the Catholike faith, whiche was by the saide yonge Princes wicked mother violated, and corrupted. Loe M. Dobman, Theodosius the Emperour not onely the restorer of the yonge Emperour Valentian, and teacher of the right faith to him, but also the restorer of the catholike faith almoste ouerthowen. This beinge thus, what meaneid you to concile the worthy praise of this most godly Prince Theodosius: were you afraid lest it shuld be knownen, y a Christian Prince had priuately been a teacher of the faith to others, & had restored the catholike faith and true Religion publikely: whiche is the office of the Supreme governour of Christes Churche here in earth? I dit not enule S. Ambrose praise, I knowe him worthy of moze praise, than both you, and I can geue him. But yet I praise you, let Christian Princes haue their due, and deserved prayses, as well as Prelates: soz so becometh you, so often complaing of the bneaven dealing of others. And here Hos Ans your authour, teacheith you better than you do. But though you concile the due prayses geuen by S. Ambrose,

A REPROVFE OF M.

Ambrose, that learned & holy Bishop , to Emperours: I will not counceile the due prasses geuen by him in this place by you alleaged, to true Bishoppes. S. Ambrose being accused as a tyraunt , hath amōgest many others these wordes: Quid moraretur ferire sityrannum putaret. &c. that is to say: why did he (saith S. Ambrose) staye to strike, and kill me, if he thought indeed that I was a Tyraunt ? By the oulde Law, Emperors were geuen, but not usurped of Priestes: and it is commenly saide , that Emperours haue more desired priesthood, than Priestes Empire. Christ fled, that he shuld not be made a king. We haue tyranny, the tyranie of a Priest, is weikenes. When I am weake (saith S. Paule) than am I mightie &c. and shortly after he saleteth againe. Addidi quia nunquam Sacerdotes tyranni fuerunt, sed tyrannos sepe sunt passi. I said further (saith S. Ambrose) that Priestes were never tyrauntes, but they haue often suffered tyrauntes .

Thus farre S. Ambrose, truely praysing true Bishops, such as were before, and in his time : no usurpers , no desirers of empries , kingdomes , and dominions, the followers of Christ, the flier of worldy kingdoms, and dominions, strong onely in weakenes, and humilitie, neuer platyng the tyrauntes , but ofte suffering them. From the whiche example of true Bishops, howe farre that pretended Vicar of Christ, and his counterfeit popishe Prelates, most greadie desirers, most false usurpers of worldy kingdomes, & dominions, not following Christ in flyng from them, but in folowinge them, flyng from Christ ; not weake , not humble, but strong, proude, and dreadfull euē to the greatest power of this worlde: not suffering, but them selues platynge the most

Lib.5. Epist.33.

This is wthen
Prophetes wvere
by Gods speciaall
comauandement
sent to anoint
Kinges.

the most terrible tyrauntes, exceedinge in crueltie all
Perons Domitians, Dioclestians, and all others insa-
mouslie famous tyrauntes, and bloudsuckers, is to all
the wold too well knownen.

Dorman. Fol. 23.

Vvhac shold I here alleage the woordes of Basilius the Empe- Translated wordc
perour, who being present at the eight Synode, the fourth of Con- for word out of
stantinople, made there a notable oration, in the whiche to the laicie In octaua Synos
hevysed these woordes: De vobis autem laicis. &c. Of you that do, quæ fuit Co- Hosi. li. 2. fo. 118.
are lay men whether you be suche as haue dignities in the common stantinopolitana
weale or none: I haue no more to saie, but that in no wise it is law- quarta interfuit
full for you to dispute or reason of causes Ecclesiasticall. For to search Basilius Impera-
our those thinges it belongeth to the patriarches, the Bisshoppes and tor, &c..
the Priests, who haue received the office to rule, who haue the power
to sanctifie, to lose and to binde, in whose handes are the Ecclesiasti-
call and heavenly keies: nor unto vs who must be fedde, who haue
neede to be sanctified, to be bound and to be released from our bades.
For the lay man, of how great devotion and wisedom soever he be,
ye although he haue all the vertue that is possible to be in a man: yet
whilst he is a late man, he is in the place of a shewe.

No Well.

You do very well to aske your selfe the question: what shuld I alleage Basilius the Emperoures woordes? For
sure other reason to alleage them, but because Hosius fol. ns.
suis hath alleaged them, haue you none, vnlesse it may
please you to answeare your question your selfe, by your
owne woordes thus: Because I minde to keape me within the Dorman post.
limites and terme of yeeres appointed to me: These are your fol. 51. 2.
woordes, shewing you wil alleage none authozitie but
of suche fathers, and histozies, as were within the first

A a sre

A REPROUFE OF M.

Sixe hundreth yeeres after our Sauour Christ (for that
is the terme of yeeres you doo speake of) whiche you doo
well here obserue : seing this Basilius the Empereur
lived not within eight hundreth and, 60. yeeres after
Christ at y least, & an inche breaketh no square wth you.

Further, this eight Synode the fourth at Constan-
tinople is so certen, that which of. iii. Synodes, neere
together there assembled, and one cleane contrary to an
other, should be this eight generall countell, that you
doo speake of, the autho^rs, who doo write of it can scarsly
tell, and it is of suche authoritie, that Frier Crabbe, the
gatherer of all councels, general, & others, wold geue it
no place in his. iij. great tomes of coucels, but leſt it out
cleane, as vaworthy of any place at all. How much the
moze meete euidence it is for y declaratiō of your right.
And you had so good liking of this euidence your ſelſe,
that showing vs before very plainly, where in the To-
mes of the councels you had your expurgation of Pope
Sixtus, you dare not tel vs, where you had this, ſuche
ſtuffe it is. And as this euidence of it ſelſe is naught, ſo
haue you by eācēlig of a great part of it, made it worse,
ſuche as it is, Hocius hath moze truely reported it by
theſe wordes. Nullo modo vobis (laicis) licet de Ecclesiasti-
cis cauis ſermonē mouere, neq^z p̄enitus reſiſtere integratia
Ecclesiæ, & vniuersali synodo aduersari. Hęc enim inuesti-
gare & querere Patriarcharum, Ponificum, & Sacerdotium
est. &c. that is to ſaye: It is in no wiſe laufull for you
Lay me to moue any talke of cauies Ecclesiastical; nei-
ther vterly to reſiſte the integratia of the Churche, and
the vniuersall Synode. For to ſearche out thone things
belongeth to Patriarkes, Bishoppes, and priſtesses, and
ſo furth. M. Dozman leaueth quite out theſe wordes,
Neq^z p̄enitus reſiſtere integratia Ecclesiæ. &c. Neither alio-
gether.

Lib. 2. fol. n^o. 8.

Sermonem mo-
uere.
P̄enitus reſiſte-
re integratia
Ecclesiæ.

gether to resist the integritle of the Churche, & goeth on smothly as though there were no suche woordes at al: fearing some padde in that strawe. If we shuld do the like, all mens eares wold be filled with outcries. Let M. Dozman therfoze first proue þ integritle, that is to say, the wholnes and soundnes of their Romishe Church (in þ which from the head to the heele is no sanctie, but al ful of boyales and botches) and then let him at his pleasure alleage this unlearned Emperours simple saings, as a notable Dor. a notable oration: or, as Hosius termeth it, a moost godly oratio, oration. Hosius fol. 118. 2.
 against vs. The oration in dede is so notable, that M. Dozman durst not translate it, no not so muche of it, as Basilij Imperatoris Oratio piissima found in Hosius: for he hath left out the most part, ma. and part he hath mottled by translation. That oration Hos. li. 2. fo. 118. teacheth, þ a Lay man, be he of never so great holines, & wisedome, yea though he excell inwardly in all vertue, yet is it not lawfull for him once to moue any speache or talke of causes or matiers Ecclesiastical: which, M. Dozman fearing lest it shoulde seeme incredible, hath trællas fed, it is in no wise lawfull for any Lay man to dispute or reason of causes Ecclesiastical. It teacheth further that a Bishoppe though he be muche inferiour in vertue and wisedome Quantūcumq; sic to a Lay man: yea though the Bishoppe be naked of all epis irreuerentia vertue, and full of never so muche irreuerence or dishonore plenus & nudus nestie, as longe as he is a Bishoppe, and preacheth omnivirtute do rightly the woerde of truthe, shall suffer no losse of nec antistes est, his pastozall name or dignite. This part though con recte prædicatio- taininge an excessive prerogative for Bishoppes, M. uerit. &c. Dozman durst not for his life touche, but cutte of the matter before he came to it, for fears of those woordes, Donec veritatis verbū recte prædicauerit, as long as he rightly preached the woerd of truth: vpon conscience

A REPROVFE OF M.

of the continuall lying, and corruptinge of the woordes
of truthe, moste vsuall to his Romish Churche. And
as he hath cleare leste out before, Integratii Eccle-
siae, the integratice of the churche: so hath he after leste out,
Donec veritatis verbū recte prædicauerit, that is:
as longe as he shall preache the woorde of truthe rightly: scinge
in those woordes a double exception to his unsound and
unwhole Churche, and to his popishe Bishoppes, cor-
rupters of the woordes of truthe, why the woordes of
Basilus the Emperour could not serue his purpose. It
teacheth further, that many forgetting their owne o-
dre, and not remembryng that they be feete, will ap-
pointe a Lawe to the eies. This also M. Dorman
thought god to let alone, as vnfit for him in this place
for though Hosius reasoning against the commen peo-
ple, as well as Princes, as vniuste to medle in ma-
tiers of Religion, might in respecte of the people, vse
the terme of feete, yet M. Dorman did see he could not
well vse it here, against Christian Princes: though be-
fore, forgetting him selfe, he said the feete would pre-
sume to be the head. Moreouer, I thinke he did scarly
like it, that the Bishoppes are here (by Hosius owne
confession) compared to the eies, whiche are not the
head, but in the head. And therefore shall M. Dorman
hardly conclude by this notable oration, his present pro-
position, that the head of the Churche must needes be a priest, he
might more easely conclude that the eie of the Churche
must needes be a Priest. And Basilus here reckoninge
first Patriarkes, than Bishops, and that by the woerde
Pontifices, & last of al Priestes, al in the plural nūbre,
without any uniuersal patiarke, or pōrifex Maxim⁹
first named, geueth a great suspition that none such
was

was knowen in his time , eight hundredth yeres after Christ. And Hosius also unwares, I thinke, maketh the mater wozse by alleaginge these woordes of the Tole^{8. Cöcil. Tolet.} tane councell: Dum secundum carnis assumptæ my- cap.4.
 sterium ecclesiæ suæ, fuerit dignatus caput existere Christus, merito in membris eius, intentio episcoporum officia peragere cernitur oculorum . y is to say: Seing according to y mysterie of his humanite assup- ted, Christ hath vouchd sause to be y head of his church, emongst the membrs of the same , worthily is the in- tention or diligent heade of the Bishoppes , accompted to doo the offices or dutties of the eies . Here Christe is declared to be the head of the Churche, and Bishoppes to be the eies and therefore not the head : contrary to your present proposition . If M. Dorman will say, though some inferiour Bishoppes be the eies , yet the Bishoppe of Rome, the chiese Bishoppe, and Bishop of Bishops, may be the head, he may say so: but he shall never be hable to proue it . And will you see, holwe Hosius was here ouersene, who carefull to proue that Bi- shoppes (beinge now a daies very blinde of sight) were the eies in the bodie of Christes Churche, thinkinge he had well thyuen, if he could obtine so muche, doth by alleaginge , further of S. Augustine , who calleth the Hosius lib.21. holy Apostles eies , unwares proue that no Bishoppe n.8. b. ex Au- can be moze than an eie, seinge no Bishop can be grea- gust. in Psal. 87. ter than the holy Apostles . Whiche allegation of Ho- Tract.13. in Io- sius , that the Apostles be eies , occasioned me sækinge hannem. further , to finde that S. Augustine saith , that Peter Petrus erat oca- him selfe also, (by whome the Pope claimeth to be head) lus in capite. was an eie in the heade, & so consequently not the head. But M. Dorman wiser than Hosius herein, comyngeth

A REPROVFE OF M.

therre none of all this geare , but dissemblinge so many notable thinges in this notable oration of Basilius, (as he calleth it) whē he had brought the lay man, be he never so devout, holie, vertuous, and wise, into the place of a shēpe , there leauinge him like a shēpe standinge, he goeth his way: thinkinge that this similitude of the shēpe , resemblinge the Lay man , as the shēperde the Priest, will kepe the Readers , specially if they be any thinge shēpish in understanding, in such a mamering, that they will thinke that lay men, though never so holie, vertuous, and wise, soz of such lay men speaketh Basilius in this place , may finde no more faulte with a Priest, though bare of all vertue, and full of all vice (soz of such Priestes speaketh this place) thā may the shēpe with the shēperde. Yea, and that if such Priestes shoud preache to suche lay men, false doctrine, to the posloning of their soules, yet shoud thei haue no more vnderstandinge thereof, nor power to sle it, than shēpe: but must beleaue, and folow it, euen as the shēpe must, and wil be ordered by their shēperdes, though they would dñe them to poisoned pastures: and though thousandes of them die of the rotte, yet thei not at al to vnderstand it. Yea to be so shēpishe , that though the hyzelinge shēperdes , do continually kill , and murdre the shēpe, yet must they thinke them god shēperdes, & defendours of the flocke . But Hosius is better to vs than so , who soz this similitude of shēperdes and shēpe, doth allege emongst other these wordes of S. Basill, euer in this place of the treatie of this Oration, here by M. Dorman alleged. The wordes are these: Vos oues nolite pascere pastores, neq; super terminos eorū eleuemini: satris enim est vobis si recte pascimini. &c. that is to say:

You

You shépe (speakinge to the people) do not you sedde
 your shēpades, neither liste vp your selfes above their
 limittes: for it is enough, for you, if ye be well sedde.
 Thus farre, and much more S. Basil. Which I maruel
 M. Dorman did not also allege, and ioyné Basilius the
 Emperour and the Bishoppe together, as doth Hosius:
 for there is much that maketh for shēpades, iudges,
 heads, &c. I thinke these wordes rectē pascimini, staited
 him. But thankes be to S. Basill, that geueth the Chri-
 stian shépe so much skill, as to vnderstande whēn they
 be by their pastour well sedde. Which, vntill you proue
 that your Romishe depastours haue done, vntill you
 proue the integratie of your Church, the true preaching
 of the wordē of truth by your popishe Bishops, knowe
 ye that you shal in vaine alleage against vs the notable
 Orations of either Basilius that unlearned Emperour,
 or of Basilius that most learned godly Bishoppe, or of
 any other auncient godly fathers: some parte of whose
 sayinges will euer plainly proue, that they speakeinge
 of Pastours, never ment of such depastours, and shépe
 wertiars as you are.

Dorman. fol. 23..

et. ca. 18. Hitherto Basilius the Emperour, to whome I might ioyné bothe
 the doinges and sayinges of many other, were it not that euen of
 those earthly rālers who haue beeene tyrantes and persecutors of the
 Christians, we want not yet examples to beate downe these beastly
 flatterers with all. Emongst a nombre of the whiche that might
 be here brought, I shall for this time be contented to alleage one-
 ly three: Gallio the proconsul of Achaia, Theodoricus Kinge
 of the Gothes, and Aurelian the Emperour of Rome. Of
 whome the firste, although he were an infidell, yet refused he to
 heare the accusations layde at Corinithum against S. Paulē, and
 fained

A REPROVFE OF M.

said in plaine woordes: Ego iudex horum esse nolo, I will not take on me to iudge in these matters, because the accusation concerned Religion where with he had nothinge to doo. The seconde although an Arrian; yet would not prestane to be present at a certaine councell of Bis hoppes whereto he was called, but modestlie In. 4. sic
 Translate out of Hosius lib. 2. fol. 120. b. excusinge him selfe, made this answeare: that in matiers of the Rom. 1.
 Churche he had nothinge to doo, but onely to beare to wardes them his Simach
 reverence. The thirde beinge an ethnike and of the Christians a papa.
 In Chu
 cruell persecutors, when the Catholike Bis hoppes who had excommuni- matters
 nicated the heretike Paulus Samosatenus, and deprived him of vwhat el
 his Bis hoprike, resorted to him for his helpe touching the removing Prince 1.
 of the saide Paulus out of the mansion house belonginge thereto, the to doo.
 possession whereof he thenkeþ: Woulde no: take upon him the Aurelia
 knowledge of this matter. Where Bis hoppes were parties, but re- Euseb.
 ferred the iudgement thereof to the Bis hoppes of Italie and Rome. cap. 26. h

No well.

M. Doriman by a pretie figure saith he will omitt
 þ sayinges of other Christian Princes (wherof he hath
 plentie) and will proue his mater even by tirauntes
 & persecutours of the Christians. Now surely M. Dor-
 man all wise men will thinke you doo sle to such scelie
 shifte, not for plentie, but for great scarste of god
 proues. For, what iudgement could such men be of in
 Religion, that their Testimonies or doinges shoud be

Tyrauntes and persequuters of the Chrikians. of any authozitie, whome, by the very titles, that you doo adourne them withall, you doo declare to haue beeне
 boide of right iudgement? Wherby, not your stroe (as you doo bragge) but your extreme lacke and beggerie is
 bewayed to the es of al me. But what shoud you doo,
 but alleage such examples, tagge & ragge, as you loude
 in your authour Hosius, from whome you can not de- parte

Hosius lib. 2.
 fol. 121.

parte one fote, sauing in chaungeing of þ ordre of your
 steppes, by setting the leſt fote foeward, whā he doth
 the right, els you treade alwaies in his steppes: as here
 in alleaging these examples of Theodosius & Aurelia-
 nus together, as he doth, putting in yet for some varie-
 tie, Gallio þ proconsull in ſtode of Himericus with Ho-
 gus, like a learned man. But to examine these worthe
 witnesses of yours. First concerning Gallio the procon-
 full, it was of no Religion or reuerence þ he abſteined
 from the iudgement of thole matters, whiche the Iues
 brought before him againſt S. Paule, but of contempt Act. 18.
 doeth he reiect both the Iues, & their matiers from him,
 ſayinge: as the hystorie sheweth, I will not be iudge of
 quæſtiōs about wordes & names, loke you to ſuch ma-
 tiers your ſelues: & ſo he draue them from the Judgēment
 ſeate: which biſlike M. Dozman thinketh he did of mode-
 ſte, conſcience, and reuerence to them & their matiers.
 Pea and where thei fel to boſſeting one an other before
 the judgement ſeate, & Gallio as the ſtorie ſaith, cared
 for none of all thole thinges: all thiſ biſlike he did of re-
 uerence & conſcience, as M. Dozman ſpeaketh, & not of
 mēre contempt, as the holy hystorie plainly sheweth.
 For who were they, whome þ Proconsull biddeſt loke
 to ſuch matiers them ſelues: forſoþ a ſorte of Kachall
 bacabound Iues, þ were at Cozinth, whome biſlike, vpō
 Gallio hiſ testimonie, M. Dozman thinketh to be mæ-
 ter Judges in matiers of Religion, than Princes: for
 ſure þ place of the Actes maketh mention of none other. Act. 18.
 þ ſtode againſt Paule, & whome the proconsul had loke
 to ſuch matiers them ſelues. For, Crispus þ chiefe ruler
 of the Synagogue beleueed in Chritte, & was on Paules
 ſide, & Hophenes an other ruler of the Synagogue, was

of smal reputacion & little regarded of Gallio, who beyng
 well busseeted, as the historie sheweth, Gallio passed no-
 thing thereof. But had the chiese Priestes, & Princes
 of the people of the Iues stande against Paule before
 Gallio (as thei did before the presidentes Fælir and Fe-
 stus) I thinke Gallio would haue heard them & Paule,
 as well as Fælir and Festus did. And here I pray you
 note M. Dormanis argument. Gallio the proconsull a
 Pagaine, of contempt reected a sorte of raschall Iues ac-
 cusinge S. Paule: Ergo, Christian Princes may not
 medle with matiers of Religion. And if this example of
 Gallio the proconsull reiecting a sorte of raschall Iues,
 with their matters from his iudgement seate, doeth in
 M. Dormanis iudgement, make so much against Prin-
 cies, as no competent iudges in matiers of Religion: I
 would learne why the examples of Fælir & Festus pre-
 sidetes of Jurie, admitting before their iudgement seat
 y high Priest with other Priestes, & with the Scribes &
 Pharisees, al men of the Cleargie, the head and bodie to
 of the Cleargie, and hearinge, and examininge their
 matiers laid against S. Paule: (whiche were cōcerning
 Religion) why do not these examples I say, as well,
 yea and much more make for Princes, as competent
 iudges in religiō? For, are not towe so notable exāples,
 as large evidence as one: & is it not as god evidence for
 Princes authoritie, that the high Prieste and other of
 the Cleargie, stode to be tried before Fælir and Festus
 presidentes, as it is against Princes authoritie, y' Gal-
 lio the proconsull of contempt reected a sorte of vacabound
 Iues, from the iudgement place: Pea I will say yet fur-
 ther euen in the same matier: y' S. Paule being offered
 by the president Festus to be tried before y' high Priest
 and

& other the Iuish Cleargie (who by M. Dormans iudgement were his cōpetent iudges as men of the Churche, in Churche matiers) yet he refusinge them, appealed to the Emperour from them. Is not this I pray you, god M. Dorman, a better, more neerer, and apter proufe for Princes, & against your high Priest & corrupt Cleargie, such as was that high Priest with his Cleargie, than is your example of Gallio, or any other you can bring. To the contrary? And I would be full loth, that you had any against vs, so cleare, & pithie, as is this against you: I confesse, I like not any Paganine Princes iudgement in matiers of Religion: yet am I in conscience persuaded, that in such corruption and partialitie of the Cleargie, as than was, and now is, S. Paule hath geuen vs an erample to appeale from them to the iudgement, not onely of Christian, but also of Paganine Princes, rather than to be vndoubtedly by such a corrupt Cleargie most vniustly oppressed, & the truth with vs suppresseſſ. And withall I trust, I haue by this and the other examples well proued, that M. Dormans proufe of his purpose by the proconsull Gallio, is not so well to be liked of any wise man, as he him self seemed to like it, whan he braggeth that he would therewith beate downe these beastly flatterers: Whereby he hath shewed his iudgement and discretion to be somewhat brutishe, so blusteringly to bragge of so base bombardes, and slinges, as though they were duble canons, to beate downe main walles withall euen to the grounde.

His second example of Theodosius is yet more base: whereby he would haue it proued, y a Prince both barbarous, & an heretike did better know who had to intermedle in matiers of Religion, & who not, than the Bis-

shops thē selues, who called him thereunto. And wheras M. Dozman hath in Socrates, Theodoritus, & Sozomenus so many examples of Constantius, Valens, & Valentinian the yonger, Emperours, who continually intermedled in matiers of Religion, & vsed superioriticie over Bishops: if M. Dozman dw so delight in the sayinges & doinges of barbarous, & heretical Princes, such as was Theodoricus, why shold he refuse the examples of thē, though sauozinge the Arians heresie, as did Theodoricus, yet being Romaine Emperour, & therfore to be preferred before a barbarous Gothian: His third exāple of Aurelian the Emperour is yet y basest of al: for the quarell being about the posselliō of an house, y catholike Bishops, who suede to hym thereabout, did wel know y the Prince myght well deale therein: els had they vndiscretely made y suite to the Emperour. Pea & he doth evidently assigne the Bishops of Italie & of Rome, to be his delegates to determine y matier, as hauinge autho-ritie so to dw. For did not I pray you y Bishops of Ita-ly & Rome, by vertue of y the Emperours comission, put out Paulus Samosatenus, & placed Bishop Domnus: whersoere Eusebius saith: Paulus summa cū ignomi-nia per Mundanum principem ecclesia expellitur. in Dogmate cō-cordes scribant.

Aurelian interpellatus conuenientissime decreuit, illis eam domū adiudi-cari præcipiens, quibus episcopi Italiz & Romz in Dogmate cō-cordes scribant. Paulus was to his great shame put out of y Churche by a Mundane or worldly Prince. And yet the storie being thus euident, M. Dozman of his accustomed sinceritie & shamefastnes saith of his owne head, y this Prince be-ing a Chynische, & cruell persecutour of Christians (& therfore of likelihode spared not to murther Christian mens bodies) would not (biske of a scrupulous tōsēce) take upon him y knowledge of this great matier concerning y possession of an house: for thus saith M. Dozman.

But

But Eusebius in his Ecclesiastical historie saith clearely contrary. That the said Mundane Prince put out Paulus: howe would he not than medle in the matter? but belike M. Dozman did knowe better what was saide & done at that time, than Eusebius, for he doth contrary him not in this point alone. For Eusebius declareth Lib.7.cap.30. that Aureliane though a Pagane, was than very favourable to the Christians, as this his care about their matier doth manifestly declare. But M. Dozman for amplification sake, thought good, rather than he would lacke his Ampulla, to make one of a lie, saynge, that the Emperour Aurelian beinge of the Christians, a cruell persecutour, would not take vpon him the knowledge of this matier: where, by Eusebius the truth appeareth, that Aurelian as than fauourable to the Christians, & regarding y apeasing of their cōtroversies, did take vpō him the knowledge of this matier, & expelled Paulus, & put Dominus in possessiō, this is y truth. For though, as Eusebius saith, Aurelian afterward was alienated from the Christians, & intended to persecute thē, he was by death preuented, yet whā he dealt in these matiers, he was friendly to them, and not as M. Dozman saith, a cruell persecutour of the Christians. This dealing declareth that M. Dozman hath a singular zeale to boylt out the truthe: which is the end wherunto all true Christians ought to aplie therē writings, and saynges. There is yet one incommodtie more in Quibus epi Italia & Romæ in this matter of M. Dozmans: that the other Bishops of Italy are placed before the Bishop of Rome, & that he hath none other name of dignitie, but the Bishop of Rome onely. M. Dozman perhaps will saye, the Pagan Prince did not knowe or remembre the Supradict dogmate cōcordes scribant.

A REPROUFE OF M.

maste of the sea of Rome. Whyn, but me thinke yet that
the authour of the historie Eusebius, a Bishop, and so
learned a Bishop, would not, or shold not haue so pla-
ced behynd noone the Pope of Rome, had he had any
suche knowledge or opinion of his supremacie, as is
nowe a datus by M. Dorman, and other suche, so muche
bragged of. For he had not marred the historie, had he
said Aurelianvs referred the iudgement to the Bishop
of Rome, and the Bishops of Italy. And these be those
wrighty proues, for whose sake M. Dorman forsooke
Dorm. fol. 17. b his great plentie of other no simple stuffe, and evidence of
importaunce, as he calleth them, y he might haue a rowme
to bring this geare into the face of open Court, as he brage-
geth he would do.

Dorman. Fol. 24.

If Heretikes good Readers, tyrantes and Ethnikes, were yet so
modest that they would not, or of the wrath of God (which brooeth Psalm,
into fitters the proudest of them all like the herdes of a potter's pot,
as continually was represented vnto their eies, by the terrible ex-

a Borrowed of Hos. li. 2. f. 75. a
b Li. 1. f. 37-38. a
c Li. 2. fol. 63. b.
d 54. 2. amles of the two kinges Ozias and Ozias) so fearefull, that they
durft not with b Saul cut any part of Samuels coate, with c Ozias
inuade the Priestes office, and straie out of the limites of that iuris-
diction whiche God had geuen to them: what may then the kinges
and Princes of our age saie, who by thiese furious firebrandes haue
ben so farre abused, that they haue not doubted to take on them
that, whiche heretikes and miscreantes of conscience haue refused?
For this by the way is well to be noted, that as these beinge here-
tikes and Ethnikes refused to intrude themselves into Ecclesiastical
iurisdiction: so was there never Emperour sence first they became
Christened, onlesse he were him self an heretike or by heretikes set on,
that attempted to doe other wise; and that immediatly in so doing,

what

what so euer he were, as he was by heretikes maistained : so by good and Catolike Bisshoppes, such as of whose bothe vertue and lernig no man douteith, was he both earnestlie and sharply reprooved.

Norwell.

Loe good Readers after this terrible gonneshotte, and horrible batterie , wherewith as you haue hearde M. Dozman hath beaten downe the beastlie flatterers, now as reason is , he singeth to triumphe . It is ridiculous that he alleaging the iudgements of these men for his Priestes authoritie, against Princes, doth eststones by calling them Hæretikes, Tyrantes, and Ethnikes, declare them to haue lacked their right iudgement, and therfore to be most meete to be witnesses with him, and to iudge of his side. I dare say, if we shold alleage the falynges and doinges of Constantius, and Malens the Emperours, against Priestes, he wold say we did most leudly. But though the papistes being destitute of good witnessesse, do seeke the testimonies of Hæretikes , Tyrantes, & Ethnickes, we haue no neede so to do (thåkes be to God therfore) hauing plentie of examples of godly Princes to proue the truthe. It is as ridiculous that he saith, that Hæretikes, Tyrantes , and Ethnickes, either of modestie would not, or of feare of Gods wrath (presented vnto their eies by the examples of the two kinges Ozas and Ozia) durst not, or of conscience refused the intermedling with the matiers, that he hath spokēn of. For what elles but modestie, shoulde be in Tyrantes, feare of God in Ethnickes, conscience in Hæretikes, right iudgement in them all, if they say, or do any thyng that may seeme to serue M. Dozmans purpose. Besidēs that M. Dozman to make the matter moze ample , maketh of Ozias & Oza two kinges: one moze than euer God, or man, but M. Dozman, made.

Nowe

Act. 8.

Gallio abegit
eos a Tribunali.
&c. Et nihil horū
erat illi curæ.

Lib. 7. cap. 30.

Powe it is most euident that Gallio of meere con-
tempt and not of any modellie, conscience, or feare, re-
jected them and their matiers. And Aurelianuſ did in
deede intermedle in the materie, and as (Eusebius saith)
expelled Paulus Samosatenus: so farre of is it, that he
refused it of any conscience or feare, as M. Dozman ſe-
neth he had therelin: who confeſſinge him to haue been
an Eþnicke, and cruell perſecutour (and therefore not
ſparing to murther both Bishoppes & Chyſtian mens
bodies) maketh him of a ſpiced conſcience not ſo boulde
as to intermedle with a Bishopſ house. And it is moſte
creadible, that neither Gallio, nor Aurelianuſ, both
being Eþnickes, euer heard of Dylas or Dya, to take
any example by them, or if they had heard thereof, that
they cared not muche for ſuche reportes. Wherefore
M. Dozman may reſerve these terrible examples unto
a place moze meete, and conuenient for theim: for ſure
here they ſerue to no other purpose, but to ſatisfie M.
Dozmanſ phantasie, in framing of Hocius phraſes af-
ter his fond fashion. For Hocius hath the ſame wordes,

Hocius. fol. 75. 2. and like a wittie and learned Papist ſaieth, that Con-
ſtantine, Theodosius, Valentiniān, Martiān, Iuſti-
niān, and other godly Chyſtian Princes, hearing and
fearing the examples of Dylas and Dya punishment,
durſt not intermedle in matiers of Religion: whiche
woordes though falſe, yet haue ſome probabilitie, for
thoſe Princes as learned in Gods woordes, might haue
or reade thereof: and as godly Princes, might feare
Gods terrible iudgement. This M. Dozman finding, &
liking, and purpoſing to vſe the ſame fashion of phraſe,
now not dreaſing, but vterly dooſing, bringeth them
out of al fashion, applying them to Eþnickes & Ty-
raunces,

rauntes, whom it is most credible either never to haue heard, or little to haue cared for any such examples, in our histories reported of Dzias and Dza. These grosse suffurations, and carterly conueyances can with no cautele be couered. Now to the materie it selfe.

Wher as our Princes do no suche thinges as did Ho f.67.2.75.2.
Dzias, and Dza: Hosius. D. Harding, and you do in D.Hard. Cofur.
vaine threatene them with the punishment of those, whose faultes they be cleare from. None of our Princes are
guiltie of the tearinge of Samuell his garment, with king Saule, whiche P. Dozman calleth cuttinge, and aplieth it to the presuming vpon Priestes offices, so-
lowing, as I thinke, Damasene, in the place out of him by Hosius alleged: where as it is by Samuel him self. 1.Reg.15.6.12.5.
and by Chrysostome, and other the best interpreters, 27.28. Chrysost. in eius
aplied as a figure, to the tearing of Saules kingdome from him: the cause whereof was, his disobedience to God's comauandement, & not the tearing of Saules king-
dome from him: the cause whereof was, his disobedience to God's comauandement, and not the tearing of Sa-
muels coate.

Concerning straying out of the limites of that iurisdiction
whiche God hath geuen them: P. Dozman may sing this song
to his Pope and popishe Prelates, who passing the humble limites of Ecclesiastical ministerie, haue bouldly burst into the proud possession, and vnlawfull usurping
of worldy dominios, exprely in the Scriptures by our Saviour to them forbidden. The effect of all this trea-
tie is this: Gallio the proconsull an Ethnike gouernour of contempt wold not: Ergo, Christian Princes
may not. Princes beinge Hæretikes (and yet he hath brought in but one Hæretike Prince) wold not medle

Cc in mas-

in matters of Religion : Ergo, godly Princes may not medle with them. The tyzant Aurelianuſ wold not ſoſt lo. M. Dozman (though faliy) ſuppoſeth, Ergo god Princes ought not. These arguments I am ſure, wil neuer be framed into any forme of laufull Syllogisme, in any figure or mode , except perhaps it be in Bocardo : for this Logike was neuer learned in other ſchole. I might by as good, and better reaſon bringe in Constantiuſ, Valens, and Valentintan the yonger, Emperours, being continuall ſuperioritie in cauſes and ouer persons Ecclesiasticall, to proue the authoritie of Princes . But M. Dozman woulde aunſwere me , as he here doth : they were Hæretikes , or deceiued and ſette on by Hæretikes , and that therefore it is not to be regarded what they did . Why may one Hæretike ſalyng ſerue for Prieſtes p̄femience, and a numbre ſerue nothing for Princes p̄fogatiue : I ſay further, Conſtantine no Hæretike, alreaſed also by you, for your purpoſe, did intermedle in By-

August. epift. 166 Bishop Cecilianus & Bishop Fcelir his cauſes: did Sharply Theod. li. i. ca. 19 rebuke ſuch bishops as he iudged to be fauile, threatening to puniſhe them, to depoſe, and baniſhe them: which he woulde not haue threatned. unlesſe he had thought it had apperteined to his office ſo to do. Pea and the ſame Co.

Socrat. lib. 7. cap. 32. 34. Sozom. lib. 2. cap. 28. Conſtantine at the motion & ſuite of Athanaſius bringe no Hæretike, comauanded the whole councell of Bishops assembled at Tyrus, personally to appeare before him, and to geue accoſt of their doinges beſore him . And no catholike Bishop reproached him therefore. Wherefore it is not true that M. Dozman ſaith : that there was none Emperour ſince firſt they became Christened, that intermedled with Churche matters, unlesſe he were him ſelue an Hæretike, or by Hæretikes ſet on , and that he was therefore by Catholike Bifchoppeſ earnestly

earnestly and sharply reproved. Valentinian also the first, by Theodor. lib. 4. you alleaged, being no Hæretike, nor by Hæretike sette cap. 5. &c. vt sup. on, calleth together Priestes and Bishoppes, admoni- fol. 78.

Heth them of their dutie, medleth in the election of Bi-
shoppes, summoneth Bishoppes to councelles, dealeth
in matters of Religion, condemneth and curseth Bi-
shoppes disobedient. Theodosius that godly Emperour
deposed and banished the Arian Bishoppes: and so did
many moe godlie Princes, whiche were no Hæretikes,
nor by Hæretikes set on, as I could here reherse. And
do not the examples of thise and such other Princes, no
Tyrantes, no Pagans, no Hæretikes, nor by Hære-
tikes set on, not by catholikes reproved, make for Chri-
stian Princes prerogative in Ecclasticall matters?
Yea so larre of was it, that catholike Bishops reproved
Christian Emperours for intermeddling in matters Ec-
clesiasticall, that they pronoked and moued them there-
unto. All the catholike Bishops assembled at the great
councilell of Nicæ, all the catholike Bishops of Hellespōt
and Bithinia, assembled at the councilell of Lampsā.
cum sc. acknowlege the Emperour as their iudge, and cap. 7. &c.
exhortet him to treat and consult with them in ma- Supra. fol. 76. b.
ters of Religion. Yea but the Emperours refused that
offer (saith M. Dorman) and they two though Soul-
diars, and Lay men, did better iudge of the truth in this
matter, than all those. 300. and moe learned and Ca-
tholike Bishoppes. Well, than I see our cause stan-
deth in an euill case, if M. Dorman may thus ordre
the matter: that Emperours though they be Eth-
nickes, Tyrantes, or Hæretikes, if they saye oughte
that maketh or soundeth to the aduaancement of Pri-

Cc 2 stes above

A REPROVFE OF M.

Nes aboue Princes , it is of good, and authentique au-
thoritie:but if they doo take vnto them due authoritie
over Bishops,in commaunding them to do their dutie,
or punishing them not doing their dutie , then be they
Hæretikes, Tyrantes , or Ethnickes . But be they
Christia Princes, no Ethnickes, god Princes no Ty-
rautes, Catholike Princes no Hæretikes, yet be they
of no authoritie, if they take vppon them any autho-
ritie over Priestes : as being either set on by Hæreti-
kes, or reproued by catholike Bishops therefore. So the
examples of Princes be they good Princes or Tyrates,
Ethnickes or Christians, Hæretikes or Catholikes, ca-
not serue our turne:but good or badd, Ethnickes or Ha-
retikes it soozeth not , they will serue to beate downe
these beastly flatterers, that will not suffer Priestes to
lead Christian Princes by the noses whither they list.
And our case is no better with Bishoppes , than it is
with Princes . For, euery severall Bishoppes satyng
by M. Dorman aleaged, is of good authoritie to set vp
Priestes aboue Princes . But is. iij. hundreth or moe
Catholike Bishoppes doo yelde any thinge to Princes
concerning the knowledge, and iudgement of their co-
trouersies, or dealing in mattiers of Religion, their iud-
gement is not worthy a buttō : than one Princes iudge-
ment or rather curtesie (though he haue been a souldiar
al his life, & had no lesure to looke on bookeis of scripture)
genuinge place & submitting him selfe to Priestes, is to
be preferred not only before many Princes of contrary
iudgement, but also before Bishoppes , be they neuer so
many, neuer so learned, neuer so godly Bishops . The
case stading thus (for thus M. Dorman wil needes have
he may wel brag of y satyngs, & doings of the iudge-
ment,

ment, modestie, conscience, and reuerence, that euen tyrauntes, Ethnikes, Hæretiques, and miscreantes, had towardes Priestes: so feareful men thei were, any thing to presume aboue the, or to intermedle in their causes, & he may triumphe like a conquerour ouer these downe beaten beastly flaterers, who would not hane Chr̄stian Princes to be, like brute beastes, carelesse of their owne saluation, nor of the saluation of the people to their chardge committed, nor to trust therewith these popish Priestes, either so ignoraunt that they do not know, or so malicious that they will not tell any truth, to either Prince, or people.

Dorman. folio. 24.

Constantius. And here to beginne with that inconstant Constantius, who of a Catholike Emperour became a wicked Arrian: in whose time as Socrates reporteth, there were no fewer then nine faithes. vwhen he began to take vpon him the parte of Ozias, the Priestes office in decidinge questions and matters of Religion, in deposing the catholike Bisshoppes and placinge Arrians in their roomes, in prisoning some in bands hingē moe, in vexinge and disquietinge all: had not God thinke you his Azarias ready to matche vwith him?

No well.

That you set this treatie of Constantius nexte goinge before the treatie of Theodosius, and Aurelianuſ: in Hosius your authour, by your accustomed methode, next behinde in your treatie, you shew herein acuning: whiche every Bacheler hath not. And you do very well in Hosius lib. 22. to beginne with Constantius in the very same wordes fol. no. 2. that Hosius your authour doth beginne with him, and so to hould on continually with Hosius as you haue be- Conſtātius quo- nūhil in religio- ne fuit incon- gonne ſtanctius.

Cc 3.

gonne with him. You do sondly compare together king
Dzias parte , in offeringe of incense (whiche was the
proper office of the Priest , and wherewith the Prince
migh not medle) with the decidinge of questions, and
matiers of Religion (wherewith godly Princes, with
the advise of godly & learned men, specially of the Clea-
rgie, hane intermedled) & with displacinge and placinge
of Bisshoppes: which diuers godly Princes haue often-
times done, as shal hereafter plainly appeare. I might
by god reason aske of you , who so lately alleaged the
sayinges and doinges of Princes , who were hereti-
ques, Ethnikes, and tyrauntes, for god authoritie,
why you should reteach now the doinges of Constantius
the Emperour : was he any worse than an Ethnicke,
heretique, and tyraunt? But to remitte this matter to
you, I do graunt that Constantius both in decidinge of
questions and matiers of Religion, (wherein he had no
skill) without the advise of the godly, learned, and also
in deposinge of god Bisshoppes, and placinge of e-
uell in their roumes, did naught . But will you there-
fore frame this argument thereof. Constantius the
Emperour, wicked , and unlearned him selfe , did take
upon him to decide questions and matiers of Religion,
without any advise of the godly learned : Ergo , a
godly and learned Emperour with the advise of other
godly men of the Cleargie , may not intermedle with
decidinge of questions, and matiers of Religion . Yes
M. Dorman , you shall finde that such Princes haue
beene the chiese dwers in the decidinge of such questiōs
and matiers of Religion, and so shall hereafter plainely
appeare. In the meane time god Reader, I pray
the remembre that such questions and matiers of Re-
ligion

Nigton may be treated and decided in the Churche before the people , by S. Ambrose iudgement , (as hath Lib.5. epist.32. beeene before declared) the people eramininge and chouinge whome they may soloow . And that therefore there is no cause why the godly Prince shoulde be excluded , where the people are admitted : and why the godly and learned Prince may not choise , where the people may . I pray the remembrie also , that all the godly Bisshoppes of Hellesponte and Bithinia with others , by their legate Bisshoppe Hypatianus required the Emperour Valentinian to be present with them , to intreate of certaine pointes of Religion to be reformed : as hath beeene before by M. Dorman confessed : who , to auoide that inconuenience hath preferred the iudgement of one lay man the Emperour , before the iudgements of all those godly learned Bisshoppes , cleane contrary to him selfe , who will in these mattiers hane Bisshoppes not Princes to be judges . To procede , Constantius a wicked Emperour without all cause deprived godly Bisshoppes , and put wicked in their places . Ergo , say you M. Dorman , (for elles you can say nothing) a godly Prince may not vpon iust cause , cause a wicked Bisshoppe to be deposed , and a godly to be put in his place . Let king Salomon depoing Abiathar the high Preest , and placing Sadocke in his roume , answeare your argument , if it be worthe any answeare .

Sozomen lib.6.
cap.7.

erius. Dorman. fol. 24. Translated out
and vvas not there first Libereus the Pope of whome when he (med-
ltinge in mattiers of Religion,) most earnestly required that he would
subscribe against Athanasius , (promisinge on the one side greate
rewardes ,

of Hosius lib.1.
fol. 36.b.

A REPROVVE OF M.

Munera pollici- rewardes if he did, and threateninge on the other exquisite tor-
tus si fecisset, & mentes if he refused) he received this answeare. Non ita se ha- Athanasius
supplicia cōmi- bet ecclesiasticus canon, neque vnquam accepimus tales à epist ad
natus, nisi paru- patribus traditionem. Quod si omnino Imperator curam suā litarian
isset, &c . pro ecclesiastica pace interponere quætit, aut scripta à nobis tam agi
woord for woord pro Athanasio deleri iubet : delean tur quoquē ea quæ con-
beginninge and tra eum scripta sunt, sicutquē deinde ecclesiastica synodus vbi
ending iustwith nec Imperator p̄aest̄ sit , nec Comes se ingerat , nec iudex
Hosius. minetur . The rules of the Churche quoit he teach vs no such
thinge, nor we never received of our fathers any such tradition. But
if the Emperour will needes be carefull in procuringe the peace of
the Church, or commaunde that I retract those thinges which I have
written in the behalfe of Athanasius: let them also be called in that
have beene written against him, and let there be after that , an ec-
clesiasticall synode assembled, far from his palace , where neither the
Emperour shalbe present, neither his lieutenant intrude him selfe,
nor judge threaten.

No well .

Hosius lib. 1.
fol. 36.b. & 37.a.

M. Dorman now he hath (as he thinketh) longe e-
nough piked swores out of Hosius his seconde booke, lest
he should leaus that place cleane bare , leapeth backe-
warde like an hare that feareth traycers folowing her)
into Hosius first booke, and there he gathereth an hand-
full of twaine, and so returneth where he was againe.
But I do answeare Hosius here , as I did answeare
him before, alleaginge likewise by M. Dorman's penne
S. Ambrozes wordes against Valentinean the Empe-
rour : for the cast is all one . Constantius did the same,
that Valentineanus would haue done , Liberius , Hos-
sius Cordubensis, and Athanasius blamed the same do-
inges in Constantius , whiche S. Ambrose blamed in
Valentineanus beginning to do y like. Mine answeare
therefore

therefore to Hosius alleaginge by M. Dorman S. Ambrose as against vs , may serue to him here alleaginge likewise by M. Dorman, Pope Liberius , Hosius, and Athanasius, as against vs . And whan Hosius and M. Dorman can proue that our Christian Princes be such as was Constantius the Emperour, who was both wicked, & an heretique, & that our Princes do such things, and so inordinatly, as he did: than let M. Dorman out of Hosius lay the saynges of these godly men , spoken agaist Constantius & his doinges, to the chardge of our Princes, as like persones, and against their doinges, as the like doinges. Let them do it I say, whan they haue proued them like : whiche because they can neuer do, I will proue that our Christian Princes are them selfes most unlike to Constantius that wicked Emperour , & their doinges most unlike to his dedes: which donne, I trust the reasonable Reader will stonke perceiue, that the like saynges of godly fathers , can not in like maner apperteine to persons and dedes most unlike : and that therfore M. Dorman hath donne like him selfe . And it is to be noted, that where Athanasius in this sentence, here out of Hosius by M Dorman borrewed , hath these wordes : Neq; v nquam accepiimus tale in a patribus traditione, quam ipsi a beato & magno Apostolo Petro acceperunt &c. that is to say. Neither did we euer receiued any such tradition of our fathers, whiche they receiued of y blessed & great Apostle Peter. These words hath M. Dorman left out, as his authour Hosius doeti, & whiche argueth that M. Dorman looked vpon Hosius, & not vpon Athanasius, when he wrote this place . And Hosius of purpose left the out, fearing as it may seeme, lesse thise wordes of Liberius , our fathers never received

Athanas. epistola ad solitarium vitam agentes,

A REPROVFE OF M.

any such traditiō of the holy and great Apostle Peter, might geue
men occasion to thinke of our popish traditions, which
came neuer neare S. Peter, nor any other Apostle, by
many hundreth yéeres. But specially he leste them out,
for y S. Peter being alleaged here, by a Pope of Rome,
is not called Prince of y Apostles, nor head of y church,
but the holy & great Apostle: which title is vsually geue
to S. Paule also. But it had beene more than necessarie,
y against Constantius, takinge vpon him to be head of
the whole Church, & calling before him Liberius Pope
of Rome, as his inferiour, Pope Liberius shoulde haue
alleaged Peter, as the head of the whole Church: and so
consequently haue exēpted him selfe, as Peters succes-
sour, and therefore head also(as these men reason) of the
whole Churche, from such apparaunce before Constan-
tius: and to haue called him, and all those controuersies
of Religion, before him selfe: for this had beens a plaine
& shorūt way. It wilbe said peradventure, that Liberius
before such a tyraūt durst not claime his right. Surely
Liberius spake these wordes here by P. Dorman allea-
ged, to one Eusebius a chamberlaine, & gilded man (as
appeareth by that processe) who durst at that time haue
writen or spoken any thing, that he thought to be true,
to the Emperour him self. Neither could he haue offend-
ed Constantius more than he did, had he so saide. And
would y god father, which wrote, & saide the trueth in y
principall point of our faith to the Emperour him self,
thoough he thereby most grievously offended him, haue
lest this, so necessarie a thinge, & in a time so necessarie,
to be said, unsaide: And if not to the Emperour, yet to y
cowardly gilded chāberlaine, surelie would he haue said
it, had he thought it to be true. Nay, & in al those contro-
uerſies,

uersies before Constantius, it was never said by Liberius, or any other Bishop, y^e the controversies of Religion, did specially apperteine to y^e cognition, & deciding, of the Bishop of Rome: but men did call such matiers to the knowledge of all godly & learned Bishops indifferently: whiche would never haue beene so done, had me had y^e opinion of the Popes supremacie that now is. Yea Liberius him selfe yelding herein, that his owne writings should be called in by the Emperour, so y^e other contrary wrtinges might likewise be called in, doth seeme to yelde both to the Emperour very much, & also to matche him selfe, as æquall with others, & that done he saith not, let them than resort to the court of Rome, Peters chaire, there to be tried: (as say these men now a daies) but saith he, let than a fr^e councell be called, and Liberius ibide. there let Athanasius be iudged, and who so euer els is charged or accused: (not excepting him selfe, who had to dō in y^e matter, & was accused to the Emperour) & let as many, saith Liberius, as can be founde by the councell be cast out. Al these things inclosed in the sentence of Liberius, by Hosius alleaged, or soyned thereto, hath he left out. And M. Dozman could write no more, than he founde in Hosius, & therefore you must pardon him. But I wot not what mysterie there is, y^e he translatheth these wordes. Si iubeat Imperator scripta à nobis pro Athanasio deleri. If the Emperour commaunde that I retract those thinges, whiche I haue written for Athanasius. Deleri. And these wordes. Deleantur quoq; scripta cōtra eū. Let the writings against him be also called in. Why, I say, where y^e same verbe deleri & deleantr is vled in y^e Latine, y^e English should be divers: Is it onely for copie, or thinketh P. Dozman y^e things once not spoke onely, but also written

ten by the Pope, may be retracted vpon the Emperours comandement: It were god he vsed his copie & eloquence in maters of lesse importaunce. Yet hath M. Dozman his meaning, that y Empersour shold not call in Liberius wrintinges, as he did other mes, but y Liberius himselfe as Pope, might onely retract his owne wrintinges, and no man els might meddle with them. But this is the glose, & not the texture, which expesly saith, that the Emperour shold commaunde his wrintinges, as well as other mens to be disangylled. And in dede Liberius as here before y Empersour, he preferreth not him selfe nor his see, and wrintinges before other Bishops: so dealinge

Liberis epist. ad Athanasium. with other Bishops (as namely with Athanasius, out of whom this processe is taken) he sheweth no signe nor token of any preeminenſe in him selfe, or his see, but very many tokens of his æqualitie with other Bishoppes doth he shewe euery where. But to returne to Tomo. i. Athan. fa. 82. Si mecum scribas &c. etiamque mādata inhaſitanter obeam &c. Conſtatius: where it appeareth, partly by those parcels whch M. Dozman & his authour alleageth here, & hereafter of Liberius, of Hosius Cordubensis, & Athanasius, and by that longe treatie of Athanasius at large moſte plainly, y the Emperour Constantius called Synodes or coucils of Bishops into his palace, thcre vsed threatning, & force against the godly & learned Bishops, whch is not the custome of god Princes, but the violence & oppreſſion of cruel tyrauntes; the wordes of Liberius, let a councell be assembled farre from the Palace, where neither the Prince (vſinge ſuche violence) nor the intruding Lieutenant, nor the threateninge judge ſhalbe present, do nothing appertaine to our Princes, calling no Councelles of Bishoppes into their Palaces, neither them ſelues, nor their Lieutenantes or judges, either

either intrudinge, inforcinge, nor threateninge them. And where M. Dorman by alleagtinge this saynghe of Pope Liberius against Constantius, woulde bear the world in hande, that our Princes are in these pointes like to Constantius, they are the moze bounden to him therefore. And where he would also haue y simple Readeres of this place of Pope Liberius, forbidding Hæretikes, and wicked Princes, intruding leaueteanantes, threatening Judges, to be at coucels to learne this leud lesson that no Princes, Leaueteanaunt, nor Judge may be present in a councell of Bishoppes: Constantius the great (alwayes great in vertue and godlines, as well as in dominions) present and busilie occupied with the Bishoppes at the first great holy general Piscene councell: Theodosius the Emperours doinges at the second councell at Constantinople, and his Embassador Candidianus, being present for him at the thirde councell at Ephesus, Martianus the Emperour present at the fourth councell Chalcedonense, will teache them that list learne the truth, to take furth a contrarie lesson. That is: that Christian Princes may be present & intermedle with Bishops in counells, in causes of Religion, and that therefore Pope Liberius here speaketh of Emperours, Leaueteanautes, and Judges, that be Hæretiques, intruders, threateningers, and violent forcers, not to be present, and of none other.

Liberius fa. 4510
Tom. i. Athan.
Non enim fieri
potest ut locum
in Synodo ha-
beant qui fide
impj lunt. &c.
Non comes se
iugerat nec Iu-
dex minetur.

Dorman. Fol. 25.

Thus was the Emperour answered by that great good old man, Out of Hosius and true confessor Hosius the Bishop of Corduba in Spayne, to whom lib. i. fol. 36. b. &c. at Theodoreius writer hi Athanasius was wont to saie that no man 2. 43. b. came sicke and wounded, that went not away hole and cured.

P d. 3.

Nawell

A REPROVFE OF M.

Norwell.

If it very true that P. Dozman saith: this Hosius Cardubensis was a great, good, ould man, and a true confessour, of whom Athanasius, not onely by the testis de fuga, sub Sy- monie of Theodozetus, in his historie by you noted, but riano duce pag. in his owne workes also doth geue moze comendation. p22, 323.

To him, than you haue here noted: and this amongst others. Non enim quicquam latere potest in viro illo (Hosio) tantæ claritudinis. In qua enim Synodo ille non dux & antesignanus fuit? quem non ille recta cuendo in sententiam suam pertraxit? Quæ ecclesia illius præsidentiæ non pulcherima monumenta retinet. &c. that is to say: for nothing can lie hidde in that

Dux de ante sign. manus (Hosius) being of so great fame or renowme. For

In what Synode or councell was not he captaine, and chiefe staderd bearer? Whom hath not he by defending

To sua sententiæ. and maintaining of the truth, dwalwen to his sider what Churche doth not reteine most godly monumentes and tokens of his præsidence? Thus farre Athanasius, and muche more to his further præaise. And I meruaile that P. Dozman was so spare in his comendation, and why he omitted these his true praises, that he was the capitaine and president in Synodes and councelles? Did it greaue him that he could finde no sache prayse for Pope Liberius: or feared he y it might lieme to sond shrewdly against the supremacie of the Churche of Rome, if the Bishop of Corduba and not of Rome, were the capitaine and president in counells? It may be that P. Dozman will saye, he was a capitaine and president, as deputie or legate of the Churche of Rome, and not in his owne right, for so I haue heard. But I thinke Hosius him selfe never vnderstoode that. Will you heare his owne

woordes

woordes wrtē within fourre lynes after the place here
by M. Dozman alleged, where he speaketh of Athana-
sius: whome the Emperour woulde haue had him by his
subscription to accuse, there he saith thus. Neque A-
thanasius accusationibus subscribo, quem nos & Ro-
mana Ecclesia, & vniuersal Synodus innocentem pro-
nunciauit, that is to saye: neither wil I subscribe (saith
Hosius) to Athanasius accusations, whome we, and
the Churche of Rome, the vniuersall Synode, haue pro-
nounced innocent. Thus farre Hosius Cordubensis,
showing by the ordre of these wordes, that he thought,
even as Athanasius reporteth of him: that he was the
captaine and president of the councell in dede. Whiche
wordes Hosius Warmiensis did wel here to leaue out,
lest it might be knownen, that holy Hosius Cordubensis
had no knowledge of that Supremacie of the Churche
of Rome, whiche is now defended by Hosius Warmiensis: Lib.1.fo.36.b. &
els had he had knowledge thereof, was he not very pre- 2. fol.43.b.
sumptuous, or partial to him selfe, to keape such ordre?
Nos & Romana ecclesia, we & the Romaine Churche.
And was not Athanasius also partiall with him, who In Apologia de-
keapeth the same ordre, satyng: Nec magno Hosio fuga sub Syriano
confessori, neque Episcopo Romano, neque tot Hi-
spanis, Gallis, Egypciis, Africanis Episcopis peperces-
runt, that is: neither spared they great Hosius the con-
fessor, neither the Bishop of Rome, neither so many,
Spanish, French, Egyptian, and African Bishoppes.
Is this so constante p[re]ferring of Hosius before y Bishop,
and Churche of Rome, to no purpose, specially seynge
M. Dozman doth of suche ordre b[e]le to gather a superio-
ritie of those, who be first in ordre? But if M. Dozman Dor. sup. fo. 19.
will in these places haue y greatest (as in procession of & 20.
the

the Clergie) to go behinde, thā doth Hosius b̄sides, and after the Romayne Chārche, makinge mention of the generall Synode or councell, giue a suspition that it shoulde be of greater authoritie than the Churche of Roine, whiche may by no meanes be abidden: and by the same reason, the Egypitian and Africane Bishops shoulde be greater than the Romaine Bishoppes, for that they are placed by Athanasius in the laste places.

Sug. fol. 19. & 20. Whiche I haue the rather noted, for that M. Dozman is suchē a narrowe obseruer of the order of rehersalles, both in the Doctors and Scripturs also. But howsoever the order be, very truthe it is, that this Hosius was as saith Athanasius, and as his name soundeth, an holy man, but yet a mā, whiche he, as wel as Pope Liberius before alleaged, did showe. In which respect M. Dozman shuld haue done better, to haue alleged these sayings in Athanasius name, in whose epistle (here by M. Dozman noted) they are w̄ritē, thā in Pope Liberius, & Hosius his names. For popes testimonies, as vsually triuing against p̄inces, are to be suspected in this case, & Pope Liberius (though by the extreame inforcement of Constantius I grant) did subscribe to the Arian Hæresie: and Hosius did communicate with Ursacius and Valēs, Ariane Hæretikes, as Athanasius in this same Epist. ad solita Epistle by M. Dozman here alleaged, doth testifie: the siā vitā agētcs. autoritie therefore of Pope Liberius, and Hosius Cor- dubensis, as men yeildinge to the Emperour in suche matters of Religion, are not so good in this case, as is Athanasius his authozitie: though M. Dozman, as do they that numbre, rather than iudge, do delight to make a muster of a multitude of testimonies. And it is to be noted, that Hosius Warmlensis the Cardinall,

you

your onely authour in this treatise ^{pp.} Dorman, beinge nothing moued with that great blotte of Hosius Cordubensis, cōmunicating with Hæretikes, nor with the communio of the name Hosius, commen to them tow, to purge the said Hosius of the said cryme: he vnderstanding yet, what a daungerous thing it was to the holy church of Rome, that Liberius Pope of Rome (who can not you know, erre) shuld subscribe to the Ariā hæresie: there the man like a good Cardinall of Rome, sticketh not to say, that Athanasius who testifieth, that Pope Liberius did so subscribe to the Ariā hæresie, knewe not the truthe of the matter, but beleaued vncerten and false reportes, and rumors. Of S. Hierome also, who likewise testifieth of Liberius, that he subscribed to the Ariā hæresie, Hosius saith the same, that S. Hierome as a man living in wildernes, knew not the truth. Well, they towe, the one so worthy a Bishop, the other a more worthy Preeste, both very godly, both excellently learned, the one living in the same time, that Pope Liberius did, and exercised in the same cōtroversies against the Arians, with him, the other living shortly after his time, and being a Priest of Rome: these towe, and such towe, knewe not the truthe of Liberius Pope of Rome: but one Hosius Pamienensis living nowe, a Cardinall of Rome that nowe is, knoweth the truth of that, which was done. iij. hundred yeeres before he was borne. But nowe let vs see what Hosius Cordubensis that good ould man saith.

Hos. li. 2. f. 84. b.

Dorman. Fol. 25.

This notable and auient father, this true confessor of Christes Translated word faith (for so did also Athanasius call him) when he sape that the forwoerde, oug

Emperour

A REPROVVE OF M.

of Hosius.lib.1. Emperour Constantius would needes take vpon him the gouernement
 fol.35.b. Hosi^o of the Churche whiche belonged not to him: first he proposed to him
 Cordubensis pro- the example of his brother Conſtas, who living like a vertuous Prince
 posito fratribus- emplo, quid tale within his boordes never attēpted the like; and after he wrieth thus:
 inquit a Cōſtāte factum est:&c. Ne te misceas ecclasiasticis, neque nobis in hoc genere præ- Athanas.
 cipe, sed potius à nobis eadisce. Tibi deus imperium com- epist. ad
 misit, nobis quæ sunt ecclasia concredidit. Et quemadmodū litatiā ut
 qui tuum imperium malignis oculis capitur, contradicit ordi- agentes.
 nationi diuinæ: ita & tu caue ne quæ sunt ecclasia ad te re- A necessi-
 trahens, magno crimini fias obnoxius. Date (scriptum est)
 quæ sunt Cæſaris Cæſari, & quæ Dei deo: that is to ſaie. En-
 tremedle not your ſelfe (O Emperour) in ecclasiasticall caufes, nor take
 not vpon you to commaunde vs in thofe matters, but the thinges that
 belong thereto learne you them rather of vs: To you hath God com-
 mitted th'empire, and to vs the busines and affaires of the Churche.
 And even as he that will with comptrollingrie cheake your gouer- that eu-
 nement refiſteſt the ordinaunce of God: ſo take you alſo good heſe, admoni- meddle i
 left in drawing to you thofe thinges which apperteine to the churche for Prin-
 you incurre a great and a heynouſe faulfe, Give (it is written) to
 Cæſar that whiche is his dute, and to God that whiche is Gods. matters
 the chur-

Nawell:

Hos.li.1.f.36. Why doo not you tell M. Dozman, what manner of
 gouernement of the Churche it was, that Constantius
 would needes take vpon him? why make you no men-
 tion of the violence by him, and his courtears uſed in
 Chyſtles Churche, by Hosius your authoz, and not only
 Hosi^o Cordubē- in Athanasius, plainly exprefſed: Why tell you not af-
 firs. Omittre tu gaſne what it was, that Conſtas the Emperour, Co-
 nstantia tua.&c. ſtantius his brother, never attempted, but doo ſay that
 Conſtas never attempted the like? You might haue
 bene boylde with your authour Hosius to haue tolde,
 that neither Conſtas the Emperour nor his courtiers
 uſed

bled any such force against Bishoppes, as did Constantius. Illi maiore vim
 tuus. But you would not open the truthe , lest it should sub tuo noe ex-
 appeare, that our Princes herein are like to Constantius, ercent, &c.
 not, as you would haue it seeme, to Constantius : who
 neither by themselves, nor their countrears , haue bled
 any force : and that your Pope by al crueltie, inforzinge
 all men to his poppythe Hæresie, is moste lyke to cruell
 Constantius, moste unlike to that mylde Prince Con-
 stans. And we do graunt, that Hosius Cordubensis, did
 like an holy Bishoppe warne Constantius, beinge a
 wicked and violent Prince, or Tyrant rather, and an
 Hæretiske also , that he shold not intermedle with
 Churche matiers, or persons , and that he beinge both
 unlearned , and infected with errour , shold not take
 vpon hym to teache learned and godlie Bishoppes,
 but shold rather learne of them . And it is very true,
 that all Princes learned and unlearned, godlie and un-
 godlie, shold learne of the Bishoppes , rather than
 teache them: and so learned and godly shold the Bi-
 shoppes be , that learned Lay men (not onely unlear-
 ned) might be gladde to learne of them . But will M.
 Dorman gather hereof, that men must learne of unle-
 arned Bishoppes , who can not teache : or of vngodly
 Bishoppes though learned , who will not teache the
 truthe: Would M. Dorman that Constantius shuld haue
 learned of Eusebius the Arian Bishop , or other Arian
 Bishops: If he wold, sure I am, y neither Hosius Coz-
 dubensis, nor Liberius, nor Athanasius wold so. And yet
 they, though wicked Bishoppes, were called and coun-
 ted Bishops. It is certen therereseze, y suche sentences
 as is this of Hosius Cordubensis: Do not O Emperour: inter-
 medle with churche matiers and persons, hath his qualificatiō,

Ge 2 both

A REPROVFE OF M.

both of the Emperour, and of the Churche, persons or
Bishōps. For, be the Emperour godly, (as for example
was Valentian the elder) than do all the godly Bi-
shōps of Helleponcus & Bithnia say (not, as doth here
Hosius to Constantius, intermedle not in Church ma-
tiers, nor with Church persons but) we praye you rouch/are
to be present with vs, to entreat of certen poinctes in Religion to be
reformed. If the Emperour be godly, as was Constan-

Agust. epist. 166.

tius, than do the godly Bishōps Cæcilianus & Felix
not say, (as doth here Hosius) medle not with Ecclesia-
sticall personnes, neither do they refuse the iudgement
of suchē delegates, as were by the Emperour ap-
pointed: neither the iudgement of the Emperour him
selfe, who in deede finally determined Bishōp Cæcilia-
nus his cause. The residue of Hosius wordes against
Constantius are spoken, because that he tooke vpō him,

Princeps Episco-
porum.

Dor. post. f. 26. a

as Prince of Bishoppes, or as Bishōp of all Bishōps,
as Athanasius testifieth, and as Hosius, and with him
Dor. man also hereafter doth acknowledge. Whiche
thinge seing our Princes never did (nor no man at this
day doth y like, but the Pope.) Hosius satyngs against
suchē Princes, as do take vpon the Bishōps offices, ca
not appertein to our Princes, who are so farre of from
claiming to be Bishōps of Bishōps, (as did Constantius)

In the admoni. that they will not take vpon them any pore Priestes
tion to the sim- office, nor any part therof: as they do also publickely te-
ple, deceived by stifie to y wold. Now as Hosius D. Dormas autho,
the malitious. doth for a vātage intermit & leue out wordes, & sentences
in the midle of places, out of the ancēt authours by him
alleged, as he did in the last place before of Pope Libe-
rtus, so doth he ever cut of, and make an end of his alle-
gatiō, whā any thing soloweth, y maketh against him.

Fox.

For it followeth immediatly after these wordes allegéd here out of Hosius Cordubensis, by Hosius Varmiensis; and out of him, by M. Dorman, after this sorte. Date scriptum est, quæ sunt Cæsaris Cæsari: & quæ Dei Deo. Neq; igitur fas est nobis in terris Imperium tenerè, neq; tu thymiamarū, & sacrorum potestate habes Imperator. &c. That is to say: It is write, giue to Cæsar that whiche is his dueſtie, and to God, that whiche is Goddes. For neither is it lawfull for vs (Bishoppes) to hould Empire or dominion in the earth: nec Imperium. ther thou O Emperour, hast power of offering incense, and of Goddes holy Sacramentes, or thinges. These be Sacrorum. Hosius Cordubensis wordes, who like a true man, as the text of our Sauour was of tow partes, of Cæsar's dueſtie, and Goddes: so maketh he declaration of them both: that neither Bishoppes being ministers, nor lordes of Goddes Church, may medle with worldy dominions, nor Emperours and Princes with Bishoppes offices. But you ſtat that are ſo affraſed leaſt Princes ſhould medle with Bishoppes offices, do maſteine, that your high Bishoppe may inuade Princes dominions. Now had the offices of Bishoppes, that Princes may not medle with, potestas thymiamatum & sacrarū, the power of offering incense, and of the sacramentes, or holy thinges, beene by Hosius Varmiensis added, as they were in Hosius Cordubensis expreſſed, al the worlde miſt haue ſene, that our Princes did intermedle in no ſuch matiers: & that therefore theſe places were in dayne alleaged againſt them. But Hosius Varmiensis, wilier than Hosius Cordubensis, whan he had ſaiſe for Priſtles againſt Princes, let alone that, which was for Princes againſt Priſtles, and whiche touched his Popes; and popiſhes.

Sext. Decretal.
li. 3. Tit. 16. cap.
Periculoso in
glosa. Papa toti
orbis obtinet
principatum.

Prelates worldly dominions, and pryncedomes so neare
 the qatke: who, without all cause quarrellinge with
 Princes, as vinge a poing of Antichrist; by inuadinge
 of Prelates offices, wilbe sure of a poing of Antichrist,
 by inuading of worldly dominions, by Christ him selfe,
 S. Peter, and holy Hosius Cordubensis, here to them
 forbiidden. Should we vse such manglinge, mayminge,
 mininge, & curtallinge of the auncient Fathers autho-
 rities, such dissembling of their full sentence, and true
 meaning, as doth Hosius Varmiensis here in Hosius
 Cordubensis, and elles every where, thel would fill the
 ayre it selfe with outcries against vs. Thou wylte mer-
 uaile good Reader peraduenture, that I do blame Ho-
 sius onely, and not M. Dorman, with whome I haue to
 do: surely in this treatie I haue almost nothinge to do
 but with Hosius: with M. Dorman very litle, who is
 blamelesse here, seinge he did write as much, as was in
 his authour. For though Hosius Cordubensis haue
 more than M. Dorman, yet hath Hosius Varmiensis
 no more in this place, tha he: though other where he do-
 gethe him selfe this libertie, to leaue out also, of his Ho-
 sius Varmiensis wordes, or sentences, that he thinketh
 to sounde against him. But yet here is one soule faulte
 in M. Dorman. For, where he hath but one onely sen-
 tence of Hosius Cordubensis, that ould holy Father, &
 that, as all other, borowed of Hosius Varmiensis, yet
 hath he like a kinde man, in the margent acknowledg-
 ed the authour, though so longe agoe dead, yea and that
 with his notable commendation: but hausinge this, and
 all otherplaces, yea and all this whole treatie out of
 Hosius Varmiensis, this day liuinge, taken by whole
 handfulls, yet doth he not as much, as once marke in
 his

his margent any remembrance of him, as his authour; that I can finde: not so grattfull towardes ould Hosius Cozdubensis, as vngratfull to Hosius Clarmiensis: neither so mindeful of me dead, time out of minde since, as mindelesse and forgetful of men, and so notable men, Cardinalles of ROME yet liuinge, to whome he is so muche bounden, and so deepeley indebted, for so many summes of sentences, and authozities.

Dorman. Fol. 25.

Athanafius speakinge to this purpose saith, Si istud est iudicium episcoporum, &c. If this judgement belongeth to Bisshopes, what hath the Emperour to do therewith? or if on the contrary parte these matters be wrought by the threateninges of Cesar, what neede is there of any men beside to beare the bare title of Bisshopes? when from the beginning of the world hath it beeene harde question of, that the judgement of the Churche hath taken auuthoritie of the Emperour? Or when hath this byn agnised for any judgement? Many synodes haue there byn before his time, many counsels hath the Churche holden, but the time is yet to come that euer either the Fathers went about to perswade the Prince any suche matter, or that the Prince shewed himselfe to be curiose in matters of the church. But now haue we a spectacle never scene before brought in by Arius heresie.

Translated out
of Hosius lib. 2.
fol. 120.

Nowell.

Now an other leape sozward againe into the same Hosius lib. 2.
place(out of the which he lepte backeward before) of Ho. fol. 120. Vbi pris.
sus Clarmensis(not Cozdubensis) secod booke: whch is erat.
a gardesne moste plentious of flowers, for M. Dorman
to garnishe his garlande withall. It is moste true that
Athanafius saith, y matters of Religio in controvrsie
should.

A REPRO V F E O F M.

Should be tried by the iudgement of godly and learned
Bishoppes, according to the woorde of God, and not by
the threatninge of violent Princes, accordinge to their
owne pleasure: as Constantius would haue ordered the
matter: for, so saith Athanasius, so saith M. Dozman
lib. 2. fol. no. 2. authour Hosius, though M. Dozman do partly dissem-
Constantius fa-ble the truth. But where the Bishoppes be either un-
citat quod sibi vo-learned or wicked, as haue of longe your Popes, & po-
lupe est, &c. pilhe Prelates be[n]e, godlie Christian Princes may not
Sin ista minis-with th[er]eatninges, as did Constantius the Emperour,
Cesaris confan-tur. &c. but by reasonable and peaceable meanes, assemblinge
learned and godly men together, and not accordinge to
their owne pleasure, (as did Constantius) but according
to Goddes pleasure, declared in his woorde, amende that
is amisse: as godly Princes of all ages haue done.

To your question to be answeread by the Huguenotes (for so is your pleasure now to terme vs, seeinge
the contumelies of English termes can not suffice your
malice) we say. From the beginningge of the woorlde till
this day, we never hearde that the iudgement of the
Churche, shold take authoritie of the Emperour, nor
of any other woorldy creature, but onely of the truth, of
Goddes eternall woorde, els is it no iudgement: though
you do to your Romishe Antichrist blasphemously at-
tribute this prerogatiue, v[er]y the iudgement of the Church
shold take authoritie of him. And thus much we say
to your meruelous question, as you take it to be.

To the residue of Athanasius wordes we say: that
in all the Synodes or councelles that haue bene, never
did any godly Fathers goe aboue to perswade any
Prince any suche matter, that the iudgement of the
Churche shold take authoritie of him, neither did any
godly

godly Prince euer take vpon him any such matter: neither shewed himselfe curios in Church matters, but with reverence, and god advise of the godly and learned, specially of the Cleargie, hath seene such things as were amisse, reformed accordinge to Gods holy woorde. To conclude: you do see no spectacle of Arrius heresie in our Church: & therefore is your note false & malitious, bearing the people in hande, that Arrius heresie (which brought into the Churche the ordering of all thinges after wicked Princes lusts) should haue brought into our Churches the reformatio of Religion, & abandoning of your popishe abuses and heresies, accomplished according to Goddes will, in his holy woorde declared, by the care, diligence, and authoritie of our Christian Princes: after the examples of all godly Princes of all ages before them.

Dorman Folio. 25.

And towrdes the ende of the same Epistle, of Constantius attemptinge to meddle in causes Ecclesiastical he writeth thus. Quid igitur hic quod Antichristi est omisit aut quid ille ubi ventur plus committere poterit? aut quomodo ille in aduentu suo non repeperit sibi expeditam viam ad dolos ab isto praeparatam? Si quidem iam denuo in locu ecclesiasticæ cognitionis, palaciu[m] suum tribunal earum causarum constituit, seque earum litium summū principem & authorem facit. v[er]y what is therefore saith he to be done by Antichrist, that Constantius hath omitted? or what can Antichrist do more at his comminge then he hath done already? Or how can it be that he shall not finde the oinec of way ready made by him when he commeth for all his discetfull Antichrist wyles. For euen now againe in the place of the ecclesiastical iurisdiction, he hath placed and appointed his owne palace, to be the consistory of those causes that should haue beene determined therer by, and he makeith himselfe the chiefe iudge and arbitre thereof.

Ff

And

A REPROVFE OF M.

Translated out And a little after he addeth: Quis enim videns eum in decen-
of Hosius lib.2. nēdo principem se facere episcoporum, & presidere iudiciis
fol.120.b. ecclesiasticis: non merito eam ipsam abominationem deso-
lationis dicat esse que à Daniele prædicta est: for who seeinge Daniel
him in judgement make him selfe the chiefe of the Bishoppes, and
rule in causes ecclesiasticall, may not woortherly say that he is that
abomination of desolation that Daniel propheciec of.

Nowell.

It is most true that Constantius that wicked Em-
perour resembled Antichrist in very many pointes:
whereos you do dissemble the most parte, to make the
simple to beleue our godly Princes to be like to Con-
stantius in conditions, and so with him to be guiltie of
Antichristianisme. And you do wickedly, and scanda-
rously applie the ould Fathers sayinges spoken against
Constantius, hauing so many pointes of Antichrist, to
our Princes, hauing no one poincte of Antichrist at all,
nor of Constantius neither. For y more plaine declara-
tion whereof, I wil first note y pointes of Antichrist by
you partly out of Hosius (as by him more fully some-
where out of Athanasius)gathered: & afterwarde I will
toyn to thē same other pointes, plainly in y same E-
pistle by you alleged, by Athanasius him selfe declared
to haue bee in Constantius: for y which pointes, as wel y
said Athanasius, as Librius, Hosius, Hilarius, & other
godly auncient fathers laide Antichristianisme to his
charge. All whiche pointes lacking in our godly Chri-
stian Princes, shall d'charge thē of Antichristianisme,
wherwith you do most malitiously attempt to blotte thē.

First he vld to call Bishops into his Palace, as into
a place of Ecclesiasticall Synode, or councell, or to the
place of judgement, in causes of Religion.

And

2. And there he vised threatninges and force , by him selfe or his officers, against godly & learned Bishoppes: thereby to make them to agre to his luste or pleasure in causes of Religion : and as Athanasius saith , he attempted this, not by reasoninge, concellinge, perswasinge, but by swordes, and darteres, by violence , whiping , beatinge, and killinge: whiche to do, are the offices of Pilate and Caiphas, as to suffice, is the propertie of Christians: saith Athanasius.

3. Thirdly he tooke upon him, to be Prince of Bishopps, or head Bishoppe of Bishoppes: so that all Bishoppes muste of necessitie yelde to his minde , and will . And thus farre may appeare , by the Antichristian pointes by M. Dorman noted , to haue bēne in Constantius, though to obscure the matier, he withdraweth here and there some wordes and sentences in Athanasius , and Hollas his authour, at his pleasure. Now bisides these pointes , there were in the saide Constantius other pointes of Antichristianisme by Athanasius in his said Epistle plainly declared: whereof I will note parte:

4. He would without triall, credite every false report made by naughtie me vpo godly and learned Bishopps, and therewpon, without all prouise made , or accuser tolla ad iur. vit. R. knowen, by and by cast them into exile. . fa. 437. Tametsi nullū habeat accusatorem, modo ipsi crimen singant, statim ripitur, & sententia Imperatoris ē vestigio in exilium mittitur.

5. In admittinge others into the rowmes of such godly and learned Bishopps expelled , the examination was not had accordinge to this rule of S. Paule : It behoueth ad eos E- capus et. that a Bishoppe be blamelesse, but it was onely said to them relict, non be against Christ, and be not carefull for thic maners, occioie, for that onely will suffice thee to thy commendation, portes E.

piscopum esse, and favour with the Prince, irreprehensibilem; sed hoc illi dicitur, scatias contra Christum, nec de moribus tuis sis auxius: id enim tibi sufficit ad commendationem, & ad principis amicitiam.

6. If any did offre a rounde summe of money, he was Athanas. Apol. by Constantius his officers by and by made a Bishop: ad Constantium fa. 316. no regarde was had, were he an Heathen, or no, so he Si quis plus pecuniae offerret nomine honorabatur, neque apud illos villo in discrimine erat, sive Ethnicus esset, sine non modo aurum daret.

7. Many of his chamberlaines, eunuches, or gelded men, fa. 438. & 452. & beinge most wicked, and abominable, made he chiese 459. judges in cause's Ecclesiastical, and sondrie of them he made Bishoppes also!

8. They, and other as wicked men as they, were with Fa. 441. Sed ex great pompe, and company of Souldiers, brought into palacio cum milite Bishopiques, as it had beene into seculer offices. tari manu & magnifica pompa, missus fuit: non aliter, quam si secularis magistratus ei demandatus esset. fa. 450. item

9. To such as durst alleage Scripture, or reason, or a fa. 450. At my Canon Ecclesiastical for them, he would answere: ego volo p Ca- none sit &c. but my will shalbe in stede of the Canon; either obey Aut igitur ob therefore, or be banished. temperate aut vos quoque exules esto.

10. If any Bishoppes, or other man, were he never so learned, or godly, durst speake against these thinges, Ibidem. fa. 450. Qui contra dicit, aut exilium aut he was sure for his rewarde to haue either banishment, morte reportat, or deathe.

11. The Heathen never vsed suche cruelle as did Constantius his Courtears, bearing the name of Christian men. They iniuated Churches; when the Bishop and people were at praser, and few many, & would not suffer the bodies of the slaine to be buried, without money were geuen them therfore,

Holp

12. Holy virgins, who serued Christ in true chastitie, Athanasius Apo.
they haled by the heare, and bringing them to the fier, de fuga sua. fa. 323
and threatening to burne them, whan they could not so Et ad solita. vni
bringe them to their heresie, they stripped them naked agētes. fa. 468.
in the open streate, whipped them so, both vpon their
naked bodies, and faces, that their friendes coulde not
knowe them: and whan they in these tormentes tried
vpon Christe, the Tyrantes would shote, grenne vpon
them, blasphemie, and increase their crueltie, not cea-
sing, vntil they, by most horriblie tormentes had slayne
many of them, not absteyning afterwarde from vilanie
to their dead bodies.

13. And, whiche was yet worse, if worse may be: Con. Ibid fa. 457. Bel-
stantius made all this adō, all this persecution, & cruell lū p impietate
warre, for impietie, against godlines: vpon studie and aduers' pietatē,
zeale for the Ariane heresie, against the tture faith: ma- studiū zelusq; &
king a way for Antichrist, as his forerunner, against our preludiaque Ans
Saviour Christ. So, that no Churche could be suffered tichristi, cui viā
freely to worship Christ: so ; that the Christias mour- Constanti⁹ mu-
ning, said: Is Constantius become an Heretique? The Ibidem fa.
Heathen rejoycing on the other side, and praising their 460. Omni-
Idolles, said: Constantius is become an Heathen: and bus non sine ad-
the Ariana allowe our Religion. miratione inter-
rogantibus, num
Constatīus Hæ-
retic⁹ fact⁹ esset.

These, these, so many, and many mo, so many here
to be written, so wicked, so cruell, so horriblie, so before
unheard abominations, perpetrated by Constantius, Ibidem fa. 461.
that wicked Emperour, and his more wicked Corte- Ethnici igit ido-
ars and officers, and specially by his impure chamber- la sua laudib⁹ fe-
lesnes and geldinges, moued that frē man Liberius, rebāt, dicebātq;.
holy Hosius, and Athanasius, of immortall fame, to cus factus est, &
writte of him as they did: moued them to forbide suche Ariani nostra
an Emperour, suche Leauelcanantes, suche Judges, agnoscent. &c.
suche

A REPROVFE OF M

suche Gunnches, the intermedling in matters of Reli-
gion, with suche thretringes, suche violence, such cru-
eltie, suche wickednes, suche falsehood as they vsed: mo-
ued them to tell him, that suche a palace, with suche
companie replentished was no meet place for Ecclesia-
Sticall councelles, nor a Judgement seate mette for ma-
ters of Religion: moued them to tell him, that he did
wickedly take vpon him to be Prince, or head Bishop
ouer all Bishoppes: moued them to tell him, that he o-
mitteþ nothing belonging to Antichrist, that he made
a ready way for Antichrist, as his very foreruner. These
sentences spoken by those ancient fathers against Co-
stantius, and his officers, suche men, or rather cruell
beastes, for suche causes, suche bruberries, suche thre-
ringes, suche violences, suche cruelties, suche invasions,
of true Christians, and godly Bishoppes, being at their
prayer, and seruice of God in the Churche, suche mur-
thering of holy men, suche hailing, suche nakeding, suche
scourging, suche tearing in peeces of shamefast, tendze,
and pure Virgins: and al this, for the zeale, and main-
tenaunce of such horribble Arian heresies, even against
our Sauour Jesus Christ his diuinitle. The sentences I
say, written by Liberius, Hosius, Athanasius, against
such; and for suche causes, it seemed good to M. Doz-
man to applie to our gracious Soueraigne, knowen to
the wozld, to be as farre from al Arian, and other ha-
resies, as she is farre from suche wronges, forre, and
crueltie: farre from suche horrible tormentinge of Vir-
gins, so shewing her loue to virginisrie, as is to other
Queenes vncustomed: so farre from shedding of inno-
cent blood, that she never yet shed drop of blood of her
most deadly enemies. Whose loue, and maintenance of
true Religion notwithstanding, whose abstynēce from
all

all wronges, threateninges, and violences, whose clemēcie aboue all Princes, of al times, whose vertues moste contrarie to Constantius his vices, could not stay **M.** Dozman from blottinge of his booke, w̄itten against her most lauful, and godly gouernaunce, with such sentences, suchē pointes, such notes of intrusions, threateninges, violences, hæresies, Antichristianismes, as her grace is most farre from, and are therefore moste unmeete for her grace to heare: meete for no man, but **M.** Dozman, to w̄rite.

But for so muche as **M.** Dozman here is busie with pointes of Antichristianisme, and Constantius is by **M.** Dozman noted, and by Athanasius plainly declared, to be the sorriuer, and way maker for Antichrist, seing shortly after this Constantius, the power of that Romishe Antichrist the Pope, began to springe and rise. Let vs see, whether, as I haue declared the pointes of Antichristianisme, whiche were in Constantius, to be falsly aplied to our Christian Princes: so I can proue them moste truely to be in their Antichristian Pope of Rome. And first, to the first pointe of Antichrist.

The Pope of Rome, being in dede none other, but a worldly Tyrant, doth make his Romishe Court, the iudgement seate of all controueries in Religion, and there determineth them at his pleasure. And in counseiles likewise, thinges are done and determined after his will and lust, and not according to the will of God, declared in the Scriptures: and so in this pointe of Antichrist, by Libertus, Positus, and Athanasius, declared, he agreeeth with Constantius.

Touching the second, he useth threateninges, force, and violence, by inforcement, beating, and whippinge, yet by

A REPROVFE OF M.

not by reasoning, councelling, perswadinge, to bryng
all men to his obedience, and popishe h̄eretie, euē as
did Constantius. And where as Athanasius sateth to
be beaten, is the propertie of Christians, to whippe and
persecute Christians, to be the offices of Pilate, & Ca-
phas, what would he fudge of our Pope and his Pre-
lates, were he now living?

Athana.ad solit.
vitam agentes.
fa.454.

3. Thirdly, the Pope being no Bishop at all, neither
haunſing any ſigne, nor token at all of a Bishop, by the
Scriptures appointed, and haunſing all ſignes and to-
kens of a temporall Tyrant, taketh vpon him as did
Constantius, to be Prince of all Bishops, or head Bi-
hop ouer all Bishops: and the ſame do our Papiftes
mantaine, and defende.
4. Fourthly, falſe rumours and repoztes made of god-
ly men, are by him, and his Prelates credidit, where-
pon, he, his Prelates, and Inquifidours do proceade ex
officio, and otherwyſe, and condemne innocent men
without lauſfull proue, or knowing of any acculer: euē
as did Constantius.
5. Fiftlie, learning, and innocentie of life (accordinge
to S. Paules rule) are not ſo muche required in him,
that ſhalbe made a popishe Prelate, or the Pope him
ſelſe: but his haſtred of Chylde, and true Christians, is a
ſpeciall commendation to the atteintinge of all popishe
Prelacie, euē as it was in Constantius his time:
6. Sixtli, ſo one haue money enough to offer to the
Popes holines, be he never ſo unlearned an alle, be he
of never ſo beastly a life, he is admitted to the greatest
popishe Prelacies. And ſo commenly, Princes, or noble
men ſecond sonnes, courſears, rufflers, and houloſars,
ſo they haue money to geue, become popishe Bishops,

Cardis

Cardinals. For as frster Mantuane one that wel knew the custome of the Court of Rome, saith, Venalia nobis, Templa, Sacerdotes, altaria, sacra, coronæ, ignes, thura, preces, ccelum est v enale, Deūsq;. Churches, Priestes, altars, holie thinges, and crownes, fires, incense, prayuers, heauē, & God him selfe are set a sale with vs at Rome: so of that place he exp̄essely speaketh.

7. The Popes chamberleines (euē suche as were Constantius chamberleines, I need to say no moze) are preferred to Bishoprikes before godly or learned men.

Andreas Alciatus euē in our daies, when men might thinke they would be moze circumspet, cōplianceth, and sumieth at Pope Paulus the thirde, for preferring to the Bishoprike of Come, a vile unlearned man, taken from the secret filthe of the Popes chambre, before Paulus Ioutus, being so notably learned, and eloquent a man. And it is knowen and abhorred not abyode onely, but in Rome it selfe, that Pope Julius the third, whose beastly life neither these times could stay, nor popishe wriuers could couer, created infamous persones, Cardinalles of Rome, and amongst others, one moste abominable, not onely in all honest places, but euē in the very court of Rome it selfe.

Andr. Alciat:
epist. præfixa hi-
storix Pauli Io-
uij. Quendam,
qui ex arcans
cubiculi sordib⁹
in lucem repete-
rit, pductus, &c.
In vita Iulij. 3.
addita ad finem
Platinae.

8. Divers popishe Prelates, and specially the Popes them selues, are with great Pompe, and companies of Shouldours, and armed gardes, brought into their prelacies, and papacies, as it were into seculer offices: euē as it was in Constantius his time vised to place the Asian Bishoppes.

9. If any alleage Scripture, reason, or ould Canon, against these thinges, the Pope and his Papistes saye, that the Scripture is the sense that the Pope gathereth

Gg thereof

thereof, his wil is in steed of reason, his pleasure declared in his rescriptes, is the Canon of the Church: even as said Constantius that his will and lust should stand for the Canons.

10.

If any dare gaine late these thinges, banishment, or death (even as it was in Constantius time) is his reward. And as in Constantius time not counelles, reasons, persuasions, but swordes, whippes, exiles, imprisonmentes, and deathes were vsed, as testifieth Athanasius: so nowe in these cruell daies, vpon a question or twaine, touching the Popes Supremacie, or their Transubstantiation moued, and answere not to their mynd received, in steede of reasons, counelles, persuasions: threatnings, prisons, stockes, chaines, whippes, tormentes, faggottes, fire, and most cruell deathes, are nowe the popishe persuasions, as they were y Arians.

II. 12.

Neither was this crueltie and murther vsed vppon al sortes, sexes, & ages, in Constantius his time more, thā it is now vsed by the Pope & popishe Prelates, vpon Athana.ad solit. all sortes of men and wemen, vpon striplinges & yong maidens, whome they do not onely bringe to the fire, vitā agēt. f. 468. threatening to burne them, as did the Arians in Constantius his time, but in dede do most cruelly rost them quicke, and burne them to ashes.

13.

And as all that adoe was made in Constantius his time, to bringe all men to the Arian heresie: so is all this cruell busines made nowe by the Pope and his Prelates, to bring al men to their popishe heresie, and Antichristiantie.

To conclude therefore, seeing Liberius, Hosius, and specially Athanasius, iudged Constantius to be the forerunner and way maker to Antichrist, in these pointes sei-

ng:

seling the papacie beginning to gather strength shortly after Constantius his time, and nowe growen to this greatnes, hath all those pointes of Antichristianisme, by Athanasius in that long treatie described, & a great Epist. ad solita. many moe: who can doubt, but that papacie is Anti- vitā agentes. christianisme, and the Pope Antichrist? Whiche to co- ver, M. Dorman, and other like Popelings obiecte An- tichristianitie to Christian Princes.

Dorman. Fol. 26.

Thus haue you hard good Readers, how thiese auncient fathere Liberius, Hosius, and Athanasius, reproyed the doinges of Constantius the Emperour, the first (that we reade of and yet him selfe an Arrian and prouoked thereto by that wicked broode) that tooke vpon him to medle in ecclesiasticall iurisdiction.

Nowell.

Thus you see god Readers the salyng of Ihaūcent fathers, Liberius, Hosius, and Athanasius witten agaist Constantius, that wicked Emperour, cruel Tyrant, and Arian hæretike, the enemy of our Sauour Christ, the forrunner of Antichrist, to be by M. Dorman most vnworþely aplied to our gracious Soueraigne, & most mercifull Prince, the enemy of the Arian, and all other hæresies, y lester furth, & mainteiner of Christes true Religion and Chospell. Where as in dæde all the properties in Constantius, the forerunner of Antichrist, with manie moe, and more horriblie, are this day to be seene thoroughly accōplished, fulfilled, and perfected, in their Romish Pope, as the vndoubted Antichrist, theraby to be knownen to all, that haue eyes to see, and grace to understande.

Gg 2

Dorman

Dorman. Fol. 26.

Next after him succeeded in the Empire Julian, of the historio- Julianus; graphers called apostata, for that that being once a professed Christian, he afterwarde rened his faith and became a wicked infidel. He Li. 6. hist. robbed Churches, he plucked priests fro the altars, and sent them to the eccles. ca. warres. He did sacrifice and called him self as sozomenus writeh of him by the name of Bishoppe: and finally by contempt termed the Christians Galilei, and was to them a more cruel scourge then any. that went before him. Of him it is likely that Gregorius Nazianze- Oration. nus who liued in his time would saie no lesse, then of Valens the subdit. ti Emperour plaieng not muche vnlke part he did. vvhorne in the mid- more per deft of that ruffle whiche he made in the Churche he told to his face, culf. & in per. irate that his power was subiect to his consistory and him selfe a shewe of his flocke.

Norwell.

To this I answeare. Our Prince is no Apostata, no renier of h̄ faith, no infidel, no Church robber, no plucker of Prelates from Gods altars, or tables, no sacrificer, no usurper of the name of a Bishop, no misnamer of Christians, no cruell scourge, but a moste mercifull defender of them. Wherefore, whatsoeuer is likely, that Gregorius Nazianzenus wold say of the Apostata Julianus, it is vere likely, you do meane to saye no good, nor truth, that do bring in the example of such an abominable Apostata, and his usurpations, in your treatise against our moste Christian, and godlie soueraignes lawfull authoztie. Nazianzenes saying to the Emperour Valens, our Christian Princes do wel like, and allowe: neither refusing to obey them pronoucinge Gods woord, nor to feade of the pastures of their wholesome doctrine. But yet are they not soe sheepe she- (thankes:

(thankes be to God) as to take your popishe woulues
for true pastours, nor your poisoned doctrines, for hole-
some pastures.

Dorman Fol. 26.

I can not here passe ouer in silice the answeare (I wot not whe-
ther I may call it more pleasant, more witty, or more godly) that
Theodoreetus in his ecclesiastical historie writeth to be made by one
4.c.18. Eulogius, a man for his vertues emongst his neighbours highly este-
med (the historie calleth him primarium inter suos, the chiefe
of the place where he dwelled) to an officer of Valens the Empe-
rour touchinge this matter. This Valens favoring the heresie of Ari-
tius, encroched so far upon ecclesiastical iurisdiction, that he fell to
the deprivinge of Bis hoppes, and the placinge of other in their
roomes, besides many other sondry enormities, and outrages. It hap-
pened so, that cominge on a time to a certayne towne in Mesopota-
mia called Edessa, where this Eulogius was then gouernour, and
thincking to doo there as elles where he had (that was to place
there a chaplaine of his to be Bis hop) he was by this good man and
certaine other withstand. The officer that had to doo vnder the Em-
perour, trauayled earnestly to get his consent, and emongst other per-
suasions that he vsed to induce him thereto, it chaunced him to caste
out these woordes. Coniungere cum imperatore, Be contented
man to ioyne with the Emperour. Set your harie at reste he will
haue it so. Tum ille (saith the history) placide & festiuè Num-
quid vnà cum imperio etiam ille pontificatū est consecutus?
why, answeared he coldely and pleasantly, was he made a Bis hoppe
that he was crowned Emperour? as who would say, what although
he be Emperour, yet hath not he thereby gotten auctorite to depose
Bis hoppes and ordeine newe, whiche onely Bis hoppes muste doo. so
strange a thinge seemed it then good readers in Christes Churche
Princes whiche now we see so commonly done.

Eg 3

Nowell.

A REPROUFE OF M.

No DELL.

If any Christian Prince do take vpon him at this day, that he is a Bishop as well as a kinge, than may this answeare of Eulogius, pleasantly & wittilie be vsed against him: but if there be none such, than is it vnpleasantlie & sondly brought in here by M. Dozman. This is the stroke in Theodoreetus. Valens y Emperor without all right & lawe banished at his pleasure godly Bishops, who were also his faithfull subiectes, & placed in their rounes wicked Arians. Emongst others he banished y Bishop of Edessa named Barses, a very godly & learned Bishop, with whome all the Citie was ioyned in unitie of godly doctrine. Thā he sent his captaine named Modestus, who eracted of y Priestes & Deacōs, that thei shold consent & agrē with the Emperor Valens in Religion; as though their Bishoppe being banished, y Emperor (who, euen as did Constantius before him, tooke vpon him to be head Bishop of all Bishoppes) had now become to them in steede of their Bishop. Whereupon Eulogius one of the chiese of the compaie (& not gouernour of y towne as M. Dozman deceiued vntruly reporteth) said, hath he than with y Empire gotten our Bishoprike too; for immediatly after y same Eulogius

Theodoreetus.
Principes, pres-
byteri, inq., &
Diaconi impe-
rante adduci, &c.
Athanasius ad
solitariā vitam
agentes.

Illiis autus se-
quimur.

saith further, we haue already a Bishop, & we folow his doctrine. Wherefore how pleasant, wittie, & gedy so euer this saying of Eulogius was touching wicked Valens, beinge both an Ariian heretique, & a most cruell tyzaunt, vsing his will for lawe, banishinge godly Bishops, his faithfull subiectes, & ordeining wicked Arias in their places, playing the Prince or head Bishop ouer all Bishops(as did before him Constantius) who would also by all kinde of persequution haue compelled all the people

people in Edessa to forsake the truthe, whiche they had learned of their godly Bishoppe Barres, and to consent to his hereticall doctrine, propounded to them in his name, as though he had bæne their Bishoppe in Barres stede: though I say Eulogius answeare concerninge such a Prince, might be pleasaunt, wittie and godly: yet is it vnpleasauntly, unwittely, and wickedly applied by M. Dozman to our Christian Prince, no heretique, no tyraunt, no taker vpon her of any Bishoply ductie, or office, but leauinge to the Bishoppes their preachinge, their bindinge, and losinge, or excommunicating and absoluinge, and their ministeringe of the holy Sacramentes: depositinge no godly Bishops of will and pleasure, as did Valens, but accordinge to Goddes, and mans lawes, depositinge, or rather sufferringe the law to depose popishe heretiques, sworne to their Soueraignes sworne enemis. And M. Dozman comminge in with his *as who woulde say* not onely vnpleasauntly, but moste falsely beareth the simple in hande, that Christian Princes do commonly now depose godly Bishoppes, and ordaine nus: whereas the lawe, rather than the Prince as I haue saide, depoleth suche popishe Prelates, sworne, and conspired against their Prince, with a foraigne usurper, y Prince ordaining no nus Bishopps in their rowmes, as M. Dozman lieth, but comendinge meete men by the Cleargie to be ordained, as godly Princes haue bled to do: and as the Popes them selues suffered the Kinges of Englaunde to do, so longe as they woulde suffer the Popes to take of the saide Bishoppes for their popishe Paules, both great summes of money, and also a solene athe, that they shold never be true to their Prince.

And

A REPROVVE OF M.

And thus you see , how M. Dorman coulde not (as he saith) passe ouer in silence this pleasaunt , wittie , and godly sayinge, vscd by Eulogius of Valens the wicked Arrian Emperour, vntill he had thereupon framed out a great sorte of vnsauerie, wicked, and most leud, loude lies, vpon godly Christian Princes . And he hath here vpon marked in the margent , for a pleasant , wittie , and godly answeare, that, which no more toucheth our godly Princes authoritie in matters , and ouer persons Ecclesiasticall , than our Prince is touched with desire to be a Bisshoppe . But M. Dorman measureth every bodies desire by his owne,

Dorman. Fol. 27.

Translated out
of Holl^o, woord of Constantinople (he that made warre with images). Against him
for woerde,
lib.1. fol.37.
Longe after these Emperours starte vp Leo Isaurus, Emperor
of God raised vp also his Azarias, one to warne him of his duetic, and
that was that notable learned man Iohn Damascenus. Giue (saith he) Sermon
the Apostle Paule crieth, to every one his due, honour, feare, pension,
tribute, to eche one that which they ought to haue . The charge that
kinges haue , is to see well to their common weales, the ordering of
the Churches apperteineth to the pastours and teachers . This man-
ner of inuadinge other mennes offices , I can terme it no better my
brethren then robberye and plaine violence . And a little after he
hath these woordes: Tibi ô rex in ijs quæ pertinent ad præsen-
tis vita negocia &c. As for those thinges ô king which concerne
only this present life, in those we willingly obey the . In orderinge
the state of the Churche, we haue shepherdes which haue spoken to
vs the woerde of God, that is to saye taught it vs , and haue left vs
rites and orders therefore . And in the same place he addeth. Non
recipio regem qui per tyrannidem sibi sacerdotium usurpat,
I acknowledge him for no king, that usurpeth by tirany the priesles
office.

office. And last of all to knit vp the knot in plaine woordes he saith.
Non assentior ut regum legibus gubernetur ecclesia, sed pa-
trum potius traditionibus, siue scriptis haec sint siue non scriptae.
I consent not saith he that the Churche of God shalbe gouerned by
the lawes of Kinges, but by the traditions rather of oure fathers be
they written or vnwritten.

NoWell.

Here hath M. Dorman starte backwarde againe in-
to Hosius first booke , even to the very place, where he
had before his allegations of Liberius, and Hosius Cor-
dubensis sayinges against Constantius the Emperour:
and there hath he borowed this treatie of Leo Isaurus,
and Damascenus , trustings that no man would trace
him out , fetchinge suche longe leapes forwarde , and
backwarde , as he doeth . And as before he starte for-
ward from the Emperour Valantinean, unto Basilius
the Emperour aboue. iij. hundreth yeres : so doeth he
here nowe likewise, from Constantius and Valens the
Emperours, starte forward no lesse than. viij. hundreth
yeres. But what shoulde the man do , seinge Hosius
his authour starteth no lesse: with whome M. Dorman
must needes start, or elles stande starke stil, not hable to
goe one stote without him . But as before in the treatie
of the Emperour Basilius, so here in this of Leo Isau-
rus, & Damascenus, M. Dorman hath starte aboue tow
hundreth yeres out of the compasse of vi. C. yeres af-
ter Christ, within the which compasse yet he promiseth
he would keepe him selfe . And therefore it maketh no
great matter what Damascenus, being in those latter
corrupt times, manifestly maintaining manifest Idolatrie,
doth say in this matter . But the god Reader may

Hosius libr. ii.
fol. 37. a. b.

Dorm. post fol.
ii. 2.

Mh

well

Well understand where Damascenus in his first and second sermons (out of the which are these sentences here Damasc. serm. 1. by M. Dorman alleged taken) hath these wordes in effect. We will not suffer, that any man shall teach vs a newe faith, for out of Sion shall the law procede, and the word of God out of Hierusalem, as saith the holy ghost by the Prophete. And againe: Christ did not geue the power of bindinge, & loosing vnto kinges, but to the Apostles, & their successors, &c. And againe: God saide to David: Thou shalte not builde my house, for because thou hast shedde bloud, &c. And againe: Such inuasion of an others office is þ parte of robbers & theauers. Thus is it written in Damascene & Hosius too: though M. Dorman hath as you see, mollified þ matter, translating it thus: I can terme it no better my bretherne than robberie and plaine violence &c. And againe: I acknowledge him for no king, saith Damascene, that by tyrannie usurpeth Priesthood, (which M. Dorman for his purpose termeth the Priestes office, trusting þ some would beleave him, that our Princes do take vpõ the Priestes offices, though no man would beleue him, þ they do take vpon them priesthood) these be Damascenes wordes in effect. Whiche wordes with the allegations of þ tearing of Samuels garment by king Saul, of the persecution of Jesabell, of Herode murtheringe John Baptiste, of S. Germanus cruelly beaten, & banished, & many other Bishops with him: all these thinges doth Damascene I say, lay out against Leo the Emperour. By the whiche þ god Reader may wel understand, þ this Leo tooke vpon him farre otherwise, than do our Christian Princes, who do teach no newe faith, but do mainteine the same law that proceded out of Syon, the same word of God, þ came out of Hierusalem: as Damascene:

Quia vir san-
guinum es,
Eiusmodi alie-
na functionis
iuasio prædoni-
est & latronum
ð fratres,

Sacerdotium re-
surpar.

mascene requireth. Let the Pope see, y this place touche not him : who as he hath brought in a nre faſthe, hath made nre lawes , whiche neuer proceeded from mount Syon, but frō S. Angels castell, neither are to be ſoude in the wōrde of y Lord, received frō Hierusalē, but in y Popes wōrde, infoxed vpon Christian men frō Rome: ſo by Damascene it ſemeth y ſuch nre wicked faſthes, & lawes proceeding from Rome, muſt be aboliſhed by the law, & wōrde of God, proceeding from Hierusalem. To proceſe: our Princes inuade no other mens offiſes, thei claime not the power of binding & loſinge, but do leauſ it to y Apoſtles ſuccellours: neither do they by tyzanne blurpe prielhōde, but leauſ it to the Prielles. Our Princes haue torne no Samuellies coate with Haule: haue not perſecuted with Iſabell: haue ſlaine no John Baptift, or other Haint, with Herode: haue beaten or banished no S. Germaine, or other godly Bishop. Our Princes are no theeuſes, robbers, or murtherers. Let M. Dorman lay theſe things to their charge, who are guiltie of them, and ſpecially to his Pope moſt guiltie of all others. But there are biſſides theſe ſaynges, in Da-
mascene, other thinges (will M. Dorman ſay) directly
against ſuche Princes, as intermedle with the ſtate of
the Churche, and for the traditions of the fathers, be
they written or unwritten. I knowe, there be ſuche
thinges M. Dorman, in Damascene: and ſo is there in
him maniſt maintenance of maniſt Idolatrie two.
And no maruaile if he, who liued aboue. 800. yeres af-
ter Chrift, in the whiche moſt coxupt times Prielles
had inuaded a mēre tyzanne ouer other Christians,
did ſo coxuptlie write of this matier. Though
Damascene conſented not that the Churche ſhoulde be

Damascenus.
Eiusmodi alien
or functionis
inuacio, prado-
num est & la-
trorum.

August. epist. 166 gouerned by y lawes of kinges: yet doth S. Augustine ad Donatistas. teach that, who so euer doth dispise the commandement Qui hoc facere of god and godly Emperours touchinge religion, pro- noluit, quod ei cureth him selfe dānation, because he would not do that per corregis ipsa which the truth it selfe commaunded by the herte of the veritas iussit, sibi iudicium acqui- kinge: saith S. Augustine. And Justinians lawes in rit &c, Churche matiers, are yet to be scēne in great plentie.

Dorman. Fol. 27.

And thus much heitherto good readers haue I thought good to reherce, that you may the better understande how the auncient fa- thers of Christes Churche, haue not ceased continually from time to time, to resist the vnlauffull attempt of such Princes, as beinge heretikes or enueyed thereto by heretikes (for of other perdy, it was neuer gone about, nor of all them neither) woulde contra- ry to the expresse woerde of God, the custome of Christes Churche from the beginningne continued, the alowd examples of all ages, of all common weales Christian and heathen heitherto practised, mingle heauen and earth, holy and prophane together, by vnlauffull usurpinge to them selues, the supreme and chiefe governement in causes ecclesiasticall.

No well.

Remembre your wordes before M. Dorman, taken out of the prophet Esay. Woe be to them that call euell god, and god euell: and beware. For hitherto it hath seemed god to you, to do and say euell, of god Prin- cies. And what so euer you coulde finde written in the auncient fathers, against the vnlauffull attemptes of Princes, who were wicked heretiques, & tyrautes, you do wickedly and falsely applie to the due authoritie, & iust governement of god, Christian, and moste mer- eifull Princes, and too these moste godly and necessarie

reformac.

reformatiō, and restozing of true Religio[n] (by you Pa-
plites defaced) in the Churches of their sw[on] dominios,
accomplished according to the expresse woordē of God,
and the examples of all auncient godly kinges, as well
in the ould Lawe, as of the Christian Religion.

Dorman. Fol. 27.

To come neare home to our owne time and daies, if in it any
Prince haue attempted the like, there hath not lacked also stoure of
duerse men, singuler both for their vertuous life and exquisite lea-
ring, whiche haue rather chosen to withstand the same, with the
expence of their bloud, and losse of this present life, then to the vter
destruction of both body and soule, and losse of that whiche must co-
tinue for euer, to consent thereto.

Norwell.

That amongest the whole numbre of the Cleargye,
and people of England some fewe were founde, that did
to the death sticke vnto their persuasion of the Popes
supremacie, which they had dronke in as it were, with
their mothers milke, and were from their childhood co-
tinually confirmed in the same all their life, what mar-
ueile was that? The like zeale was to be founde in the
Iues, and Ethniches, whan they were by the Ghospell
called from their shadowes, superstitions, and errours
to the true Christia[n] Religion. And in the Iues the like
remaineth this day. But this was the maruel that nei-
ther the continuance of longe custome, nor persuasione
begonne in childhood, and most deeplie rooted by con-
tinuance, nor the examples of their progenitours, nor
exhortations of their friendes, nor the terrorour of Tyr-
rauntes, losse of goodes, and of life also, by moske cruell

Wh[en] 3. death,

A REPROVVE OF M.

death, could stay either Iues, or Gentiles, as many as were appointed to saluatō, from the receyving of that true doctrine of the Ghospele (as it was termed) so invincible is the power of the truth. The same truth now by Gods grace shinning most brightlie in the Ghospell of our Saviour Christ, and reueling the errours of popery to the eies of men, and wemen, of all degrees, and ages, hath such power with the childrē of the light, that no inueterate custome of popishe errore, no continuāce of time, no examples of sofetathers, no persuasions of frendes, no threateninges of Tyranttes, no losse of goodes, nor of life by mosse cruell death, coulde staye a thousande, for every one, that you do speake of, from embrasing of the truthe. For this is the peculiar prasse of the truthe, that of it selfe, without these helpes, yea against all these lettes, it getteth the victorie in mens myndes. So that rather than they will forsake it, they will forsake auncient customes, ould persuasions, goods, landes, life and all.

Dorman. Fol. 27.

Hof.lib.2.f.106.
Likewise obiecc-
series as being ouer stale : I shall set before them their owne deare
eeth Caluine as dearling, the piller while he liued of their Religion, the verie head
agreeing vwith
the Papistes a-
gainst vs in this
pointe.

But if thiese examples please not the deinty tast of the aduersaries as being ouer stale : I shall set before them their owne deare
eeth Caluine as dearling, the piller while he liued of their Religion, the verie head
of their Churche (if they be not altogether headlesse) their idol and
their God in earth, whose doctrine and opinions at other times, and
in other thinges, they haue so rauenously devoured, John Caluin him
selfe. For if kenges and temporall gouernours (as our aduersaries af-
firme) ought euerie one of them in their Realmes, signories, and domi-
nions, to gouerne in causes Ecclesiasticall and matters of Religion:
why did then that monstrous beaste in his comentarie vpon the Pro-
phete Osee and Amos, rail vpon our late soueraigne lorde kinge

In Osee.
cap.1.

Henrie Amos.7.

Henrie the eight, calling him homo belluinus a beastlie man , and comparing him with Iehu , & home he termeth wicked and noughe? vwhy termed he the blasphemers that first buzzed into his eares that vaine desire to be called chief head of the Churche of England (for of other yow wot well he never attempted to be nor euer was called) vnder Christe here in earth? If Caluin haue taught the truthe , then haue his scholers taught vs . and yet doe feede vs with lies . If they were blasphemers that called king Henrie chiefe head of the Church of Englande vnder Christ , (whiche is to saie in effect nothing elles but to be chiefe gouernour in all causes belonging to the same) who was yet a man although laie, and thereto also of great wisdom and learning : in what degree of blasphemies shall we place them , that give this title not to lay men onely , but to women also and children without respect ?

Nowell.

We mislike no auncient examples (whiche you call stale) so they be good . But that you lacked good examples: you showe by your alleaging for you of the examples of Tyrauntes, Hæretikes, Apostatas, and Heathē men, and that you were destitute of the auncient examples of the primitive Churche , appeareth by your allegatiō of Basilius the Emperour, and of Damascenus, (who were in later and very corrupt times) and by your prayng of alde at Caluins handes , a man so haited of you, that you could not dissemble your haitred so long, as you wold haue him to stand on your sides, bewraying therein your disease , not hurting Caluin thereby : one part of whose true prayse is, that he misliketh suche as you are . Not Caluine , but Christe is the head of our Churche, whiles Christ liueth, our Church shal not be headles. Caluin noz no other sorenar is chiefe gouernour of our

Dor. sup. fo. 23.

Fol. 23.

A REPROVVE OF M.

of our Churche vnder Christe (as is that foraigne Kos-
mishe Antichrist of your Hynagogge,) but our owne na-
turall gracious foueraigne. Caluine though in deede a
wozthy man, (whatsoeuer M. Dozman saith) but yet a
man, was abused and deceived by the wilie childzen of
your worldly generation. And beinge so deceyued, did
wryte otherwyse of our late Soueraigne of famous
memory, than the truthe was, and than he would haue
done, had he not bene abused. Wherein it shall well
appeare that they, who by guylful and vntrue reportes
brought him thereunto, were moze to blame than he:
howe farre malice is worse then errour, and deceyting
worse than credulite. Let him selfe in the very places
by you M. Dozman here alleaged, be a proufe of that I
do say. Upon the seventh of Amos the Prophet: these
be Caluines woordes. Et hodie quā multi sunt in pa-
patu. &c. And this day how many be there in y popish
state, that dw heape vpon kinges all authoritie and po-
wer that thei can, that no reasoning or disputing of Re-
ligion shold be: but that this power shold remayne
in the king alone, to determine according to his mynd,
whatsoever he listed:and that his determination shuld
stande firme and sure without all controuersie. Thus
muche speaketh Caluin of Papistes only. It foloweth
immediatly: they who in the beginninge did so highly
sette vp Henry king of Englande, surely were inconsi-
derate men, they gane him the supreame power of
all thinges, and that did euer greuously wounde me.
For they were blasphemous, when they called him the
supreame head of the Churche vnder Christ. Surely
this was to muche:but let it lie buried,for that they of-
fended by incosiderate zeale. These be Caluins words.
Wherby

Et hoc contro-
versia hoc fixū
maneat.

whereby it may apeare that he inconsideratly beleaued
 suche, as gaue him this information: that with the title
 of the supreame head of the Church vnder Ch^rist, there
 was given withall to king Henrie the eight of famous
 memore, the Supreame authoritie and power of all
 thinges, to determine what pleased him, in the church:
 and that no disputing in Religion shoulde be, but what
 soever he determined, shoulde stande firme & sure, with-
 out any controuersie, or any great regarde had, either
 to reason, or Scriptures. For that Caluine thus was
 informed, and thus thought; and vpon that errorre did
 so unwoorthely write of king Henrie the eight, is partly
 by that whiche I haue of him already rehearsed, to be
 understanded, and shall be yet made moze playne. But
 you will aske, who shoulde so misinforme Caluine? Will
 you heare him selfe answere? It foloweth immediatly
 after these wordes of Caluine before rehersed, thus.
 Sed ubi Impostor ille, qui postea fuit Cancellari^o. &c.
 that is to say: but when he deceluer, which was after-
 ward Chaunceler (I speake of this last Chaunceler, that
 was Bishop of Winchester) was at Ratisponne, he did
 not contende with reasons, nor cared muche for the te-
 stimones of the Scripture: but he said, that it was in
 the kynges pleasure to disanulle thinges decreed, and to
 make new rites: that if ordre shuld be taken concerning
 fasting, the kyng might appoincte and commaunde the
 people to eate fleche, this, or that day: that the kyng
 might forbide Priesles mariages, that the kyng also
 might forbide the people the use of the cup in the Lordes
 supper: & that the kyng might do, and determine this or
 that in his kingdome. Why so? for the kyng (saith he)
 hath the supreame power, Suche was the seined deuise Commentum.

D. Steuen Gars
diner.

Statuere.

of his

Ji

of this Amosias, of whom the Prophet speaketh in this place (of Amos the Prophet) and so furth. These be Calvins very wordes: whereby he bewrayeth one of these misreporuers of our late gracious Soueraigne, the Queenes maiesties father, of moste noble memorie, and of the lawes of the Realme. Who, whan he was moske desirous that the king shold most mislike of Calutne, and suche as he was, and of their doctrine: vnder the coulour of houlding earnestly with the kinge, in talke and reasoning with them, wold attribute to the king moze than was geuen to him; or than he tooke vpon him: moze than either reason wold allowe, or was agreeable to the Lawes and state of this Realme, or the Lawe of God woud permit. Wherby, he first got an opinion of earnestnes of the kinges side, wherein was woldly faulsetie. Next he greaved muche, and as Calvin saith, swore wounded the saide learned mens mindes, beinge straungers, and beleauing that the king did so largely take vpon him, as he had reported. Thirdly, he trusted that thereupon some of them wold write some thinge against the king, whiche in dede, as you here see, came to passe. And lastly, that the kinge offended thereby, shold fall in displeasure with them, and in hatred of their doctrine, whiche no doubt, was earnestly laboured by D. Gardiner, and other woorkemen with him, in the late daies of the said kinge of noble memorie, to be accomplished. Pea, and I pray God to pardon me, if I judge amisse, where D. Steanen Gardiner Bishop of Winchester, in this matter was the moske soveraynes man vpon the kinges side in apparaunce, that could be, he did both by word and witing too much set foorth the kinges authoritie, that he might by geusing him too mucha

much, and by making the wold to beleane that he tooke
vpon him no lesse, bryngē him in to muche hairede with
all the woldē, vnder the colour of muche loue to him,
and earnestnes of his side. And if there were in the acte
of Parliament , touching the kinges authoritte in ma-
tiers Ecclesiastical, any termes or wordes offensive to
the ignorant and simple , they came in chiesly by his
meanes: who was the chief in the penning of that sub-
mission of the whole Poptishe Cleargie (than, by depen-
ding vpon the Popes authoritte contrarie to the lawes
of God and the Realme , wholly fallen in the case of
præmunire) who first gaue to the king that title of su-
preme head, moste amptie, and fally. But that such his
earnestnes , & doings were not fro the heart, he decla-
red afterward by his earnest and heartie dealing to the
contrarie: geutnge thereby to wylc men occasion to
thinke, that he therfore in talke, and report had geuen
before to the king more than was reason, and thā was
geuen him in deede by act of Parliament, (geuing onely
lausfull authoritte to him) and suchē as was by the aunc-
ient Lawes of the Realme , before of right to him apa-
pertaining, to bryngē ignorant men in opinion , that
the act of Parliament did geue him more than was rea-
son, to thende that he might seeme with reason after-
warde , to take it awaie from his children and suc-
cessours.

Nowe lette vs see the truthe touchinge Caluine.
Caluin saith, it wounded him very soore, that some gaue
the supreme power over all thinges to king Henry the
eighth, & þ they were blasphemous, whē they called him
the supreme head of the Churche vnder Christe. Is it

¶ 2 not

not evident by Calvins owne owne woordes , that he tooke it; that in calling him the supreame head of the Churche, they gaue him the supreame power ouer all, that at his will and pleasure he might do and vndoo, bynde and loose, what he listed, without any great regarde of reason , or testimonies of the Scripture : and that whatsoeuer the king shoulde so at his pleasure determine, the same shoulde remaine firme and sure without all controuersie: As the examples of D. Gardiner his argumentes alsoe cited, do manifestly declare. But moſte certen it is , that no ſuche thinge was geuen to king Henrie, with that title of Supreame head of the Churche vnder God or Christe , by the act of Parliament , whiche geueth him no ſuche authoritie ; as is vnlaufull for any Judge Ecclesiasticall , or Tempozall to execute . Neither was any ſuche thinge geuen to the kyng by the Protestantes , who by the woodes vnder God vnder Christe , adioyned in that ſtyle, euer tooke it them ſelues, and taught others that he had none authoritie geuen him, thereby to do any thinge contrarie to God or Christes lawe , vnder whome he was declared to be. Onely Doct. Steauen Gardiner Bishop of Winchester , and ſuche as he was of the Popishe Cleargie

Calvinus ibidē. (for ſaith Calvin many in the poperie do heape vpon kinges all the authoritie and power they can) did, for y causes before exprefed, pretend & reþoþe that with the title of supreame head of the churche , the supreame power ouer al,to do in the church what he listed, without any great regarde to reason, & without testimonies of y scriptures, was geuen to þy king: where as no ſuch thing was geuen him, nor he tooke no ſuch thing vpon him.

What was it therefore that wounded Caluine so gree-
uouslie: forsooth the false pretense and report of D. Ste-
uen Gardiner, and such other papistes. Who were than
blasphemous in geuinge the king the title of supreame
head, with such a sense, that he might thereby do what
he listed in the Church of Christe : D. Steauen Gardi-
ner and such like Papistes. This is the truth: and Cal-
uine himself declareth this to be the truth: those popish
Bishoppes were those blasphemers, that he speaketh of.
In dede Caluine who saith , they were inconsiderate
that gaue to the kinge the supreame power over all, did
inconsiderately beleue the false reportes of suche sub-
tile practising fores, so bearing him in hande, and there-
upon did write as he did . And do not you M. Dozman
and your maister D. Hardinge, reporting and writing,
that we would make the feete the head , and the head the feete.
That we teach that our Princes be heads of the Church and not me. Dorm. supra.
bres, to rule and not to be ruled in causes of Religion: that to them fol. 16.b.
it belongeth in the right of their crowne, to approue doctrine, or to
condemne it, to alter at their pleasure the state of Religion &c. as
maisters in Religion. And that our Princes by takinge so vpon them, Dorm. supra.
use a point of Antichristianisme, and mingle heauen and earth to- fol. 26.a.
gether. And that rice confounde the offices of spirituall gover- D. Hard. Cofus.
nours, and temporall magistrates: that our presumptuous heades Apol. fol. 7. 298.
woulde that Princes shoulde take vpon them the office of Bis hoppes, & 299.
and of the Pope himselfe. Do not I say , your Maister, and
you, thus reporting, goe about by such false sclaunders,
now to bringe men ignorant of the truth, into a like o-
pinion of our Prince, and vs, as was Caluine brought
into by D. Steauen Gardiner, and others: and thereby
as much as in you lieth, to procure learued men(though
in doctrine agreeing with vs , as in dede did Caluine)

A REPROVFE OF M.

Upon the credite of suche vntrue reportes , to write agaist our Prince and vs , as than did Caluine : But what if any so by you deceiuued , shoulde write of vs , as you moste falsely reporte : that we be beastly flatterers , hell boundes , confounders of all , minglers of heauen and earth . holy and prophane thinges together , guilie of sacrilege and Anti-christiansme . Should we therefore be so : or shoulde not you rather be (as you are) false sculauderers : and they , so beleueinge of you , shoulde be inconsiderate men , and light of credite , if they shoulde , vpon your reportes , write against vs , with whome in dede they do agree in doctrine , euен in this very poincte of doctrine , as doth also Caluine agree herein with vs : as hath part-ly heretofore , where you charge vs with him ; as contrarie to vs , and shall yet hereafter more plainly ap-peare . Touchinge the ages , and seres of Princes , where at you do also quarell : the same right , the same authoritie , (though not the same knowledge , and ex-perience) hath a yonge Prince , that hath an olde : the same right and authoritie hath an absolute Queene , as hath a Kinge . And he that saide that Kinges shoulde be nurrich foster Fathers , saithe also , that Queenes shoulde be nutrices , nurces , or foster mothers of the Churche . And he that will diligently reade the hi-storyes Ecclesiasticall shall finde that the yonge kinge Josias , and also the Emperesse Pulcheria , though a very yonge Ladie , did as prudently , and profitably gouerne , the one , the kingdome of Iurie , the other , the Empyre , and Churche of God , in the noneage of her brother , as it was lightly at any time , by any graue and god Kinge , or Emperour before , or sithen gouerned .

Herm. Sozom.
lib. 9. cap. 1. &c.

Dorman.

Dorman Fol. 28.

If Caluin, who touching the geuinge of this vnlauffull title to our late lorde and maister was vterly innocent, complained yet that euyn his conscience was wounded not a little there withall: how much more daungerously wounded ought thei to thincke themselves, who of so many horrible and bloudy woundes (whereby for the refusall to folow this example in Christes Churche neuer harde of before, so many godly, learned and innocent men in this realme haue died: some by headinge, some by hanginge, some by quarteringe and tearinge peace meale one membre from another, haue by there false and vntrue suggestions. byn the chiese and onely occasion? who yet like cruell murderinge bloudsuckers and bloudy bourreaus, carry about in their murdeyinge and malicious. mouthes the naked knife, whiche were it lauffull for them they woulde shew in the throates of every one of vs that thincke not as they doo.

Norwell.

Who wounded Caluines conscience I have before declared: euyn the sclauderous and vntrue reportinge Papistes: euyn such as is here M. Dorman, who is not ashamed vpon so light an occasion, or rather none at al, as not geuen, but sought vpon the wozde of wounding of Caluines conscience, so horribly to discourse vpon our craultie (which is none) with suche earnestnes, as the more vehemet it is, the moze ridiculous it proueth. But if we, who hitherto haue shedde no mans bloud, by cruel murthering, bloudsuckers, & bloudie bourreaus &c. what be you Papistes who haue so cruellie murthered so many thousandes by the moste terrible kinde of death that the Deuell, and you could devise, after you had of longe pined them in prison, and not set, but hanged them in Stockes, and yrons, by feete, handes, and necke, & other wise.

A REPROVFE OF M.

wise intollerably tormentted them. Remembre M. Doyma that he, who is so readie to see a mote in an other mans eie, shuld first looke to his owne beame: if so great a beame, as you haue, would suffer you to see. O that we had a man of your eloquence on our side, that he might yet in some parte set forth the raginge, raueninge, and insatiable bloudthirstie crueltie of that purpured strompet, the romishe Antichrist, your Pope, and his popishe Prelates, more redde with bloud, of men, women, and children, by them murthered, than with scarlet and purpure clothes: whose unspeakeable crueltie, no office of tonge or penne can worthely expresse.

That you speake of the chiese and onely occasion by vs

V Vynton. de veritate
obedientia,
cum prefatione
D. Boneri.

Tostallus in co-
cione, cor. Hé-
rico. 8. Aglice
impressa.

geuen, is most vntrue. No men were more fozewarde than the very pillars of your religion, as by their wordes, and workes yet extant, it doeth appeare. And whan you speake of occasion of murther, remembre fith the first claime of this supremacie by your romishe pope firsste made continuinge to this day, and now by you maintained by fire & sworde, of how many thousandes of most cruell murthers, it hath beeне not the occasion onely, but the very chiese and onely cause. Where you speake of our crueltie, that we would vsle, were it lawfull for vs, is an vnlikely conjecture: your dedes are knowē, and your most cruell hartes by your dedes: and you thereby to be the chldren of that great homicide, & murthering manqueller your fathur. Who so ever will remembre those milde fathers, D. Cranmer, D. Ridley, and M. Latimer, sometimes Bisshops of Canterbury, London, and Worcester, & in fauour with their Prince, how farre they were from all crueltie them selues, and fro mouing their Soueraigne thereto, yea how readie they

they were to moue him to all clemencie: & withall will compare in his minde thre others on the contrarie parts, with them thre: and so by their example, compare all with all on both sides: may easely vnderstande on which side the cruell bloudsuckers , and bloudie burreans were . Unlesse M. Dorman will so terme them who vsinge all others most gently, were molte cruellie murthered them selves: & by the same reason , he may terme those that so vsed them, innocent,meke, & milde, harmeles lambes , God wotte.

Dorman. Fol. 28.

But if now on the contrary parte their maister Caluin were deceauied, if they be in the right and he in the wrong, why steppeth none of them foorth, to defende and vindicate from perpetuall infamy, that prince of famouse memory whiche by his railinge wringes this wretched caytife goeth about to bringe him into? Why haue they left him so longe vndefended, who did no other thinge then whereof them selues were the authours and firste beginners? Or why at the least purge theire not them selues , of the horrible crime of blasphemie laied by him to their charges, and all suche as theire are? for if they were blasphemers that called Kinge Henrie head of the Churche of Englande , what priuilege haue these that callinge not onely him, but his sonne and daughter by the same title in effect , they shoulde not incurre the same crime ? VVhere is now their spirite of vnitie that they are wont so muche to bragge of, whiche dissent not here in any small poinct, or from any meane man, but even from the chiefeſt caterpiller (whyle he liued) of their congregatiōn: who not onely in these places before by me alleaged keepeſth as it were with their proceedinges a combat, but elles where

4.ca.ii. in his iſtitutiōn doeth merueilously discredit the ſame.

Lis

No well.

No pell.

Caluine was deceived, not in his iudgement of this doctrine (wherein he agreeth with vs) but in y crediting of the false reportes of lyng Papistes. He laieth blasphemie to the charge of such onelie, as with that title of suppreame heade, gaue to the king suppreame power ouer all in Chistes Churche to do what he liste. Those he sheweth by one, who they al were, euen you Papistes, as I haue declared. If vpon understandinge that some simple men mistooke the title of suppreame head of the Church, it was afterwarde, for their sake mollified by these wordes, chiese gouernour &c. and an admonition also to the simple by the malitios deceiued, was to the same added, al whiche notwithstanding, you here twise in one lease do quarell at the same, and in this treatie continually so do: you shewe your selfe to be of that sorte of the malitios, that will neither be admonished your selfe, nor will suffer the simple to take admonition.

Touchinge the defending of king Henrie the eight of moste noble memorie, whiche you require of vs M. Dozman, his worrhines needeth not our defence. And were there any neede of our defence, we shoulde rather haue vsed it against suche false Papistes, his vntrue subiectes, as continually out of all pulpettes, with reproches not to be named, railled vpō him, their late most gratiouys and redoubted Soueraygne. These and their railinges yet almoste soundinge in the eares of men, and abhorred of all god men, you that espyed a place or twaine in a soorenars wrintinges, coulde not heare, nor see. And you, felow to them, that thus did, false subiectes.

to

to ther god Prince, conuersant in that Cittie, and specially in that Popishe Synagogue, where bothe by w^s The Chartus-
tinges and pictures vpon the walles, the lende dispay-
ses, and moste false reproches of that moste worshipe
Prince, are moste vnworshely set out to the eies of me:
before the whiche pictures you papistes as many of you
as be Priestes, do devoutly say your blasphemous
masses: you that haue by wronge suggestions procured
privileges in printed bookes, to blotte (as muche as in
you lieth) the noble fame of our , and your moste gra-
tious Queene, and naturall Soueraigne, with spottes
of plaine violence, and robberie, of sacrilege, and Anti-
christianisme, and of minglinge of heauen and earth to-
gether: and what not elles: You I say, who shoulde be
true subiectes, and thereforeze farre from these partes,
thus doinge your selfes, do yet aske of vs , why we steppe
not forth to defende, and vindicate the fame of our late moste no-
ble Kinge deceased, against the raylinges of one straunger and fo-
raigne writer deceiued, & now likewise him selfe deceased al-
so: you, I say, do aske this, who do procure vs so much,
and too much a do in spounginge out of the spottes and
blottes, wherewith you her subiectes yet liuing, do at-
tempt to disteine the moste worshipe and noble fame
of her maiestie, yet liuinge, (immortall thankes be to
God therforze) & longe & longe by his grace yet to live.
And we do confesse, that were not her worshines a-
bove all malice, and sclaunders of Papistes, we shoulde
haue enough, and to much a do , to answeare the con-
tinuall sclaunders of such unnaturall subiectes, yet li-
vinge: so little leasure leauie you vs , to deale with the
misreportes of one straunger dead , and by the false re-
porte of suche lyngre subiectes, as you now are, whiles

he lised, deceived, and so induced so to write.

Touching the purging of our selfes of the blasphemie, I haue done it alreadie, and proued that Caluine chargeth therewith not vs, but the Papistes.

Concerninge the dissencion betwene Caluine and vs, wherewith M. Dozman chargeth vs, I say there is none: and that Caluine & we do agree in this doctrine of the chisele gouernaunce of Christian Princes in causes Ecclesiasticall. And thus I do proue it.

First Caluine vpon the prophet Oseas by M. Dozman here alleaged, saith thus: Si quispiam Rex studio gloriæ Dei propagandæ ardeat, dabit operam ut subditos oēs suos contineat in puro cultu. &c. that is to say: If a kinge haue an earnest desire to set forth the glorie of God, he will endeuour himselfe to keepe all his subiectes in the pure worshippinge of God. These be Caluines wordes. Where you see firste that Caluine teacheth that a godly Prince may and will keepe all his subiectes, without exception of any, in the true worshippinge of God. And that is the very same, that we say: and by these very wordes he alloweth, as we do, our grattous Soueraigne, goinge likewise aboue to keepe all her subiectes in the true worshippinge of God: which can be done none otherwise, but by causing of Bishoppes to do their duetie, and if they will not, to see others that will, placed.

Againe in the fourth booke of his Institution here and before by M. Dozman alleaged, Caluine hath these wordes: Vniuersale concilii indicere solus Imperator poterat &c. The onely Emperour could summon a generall councell. If any Bishoppe shoulde haue attempted it, they woulde not onely haue disobeyed, who were

Caluinus in. 7.
Hosea fol. 81.

Caluinus Insti-
tut. lib. 4. ca. 7.
Iect. 2.

were without his prouince: but a tumulte also woulde haue risen ther so: therefore the Emperour commaunded all indifferently that they shoulde be present. And againe, a litle after the same place he saith . Gregori⁹ Ibidē Scđ. 13.
 Papa Aquileiensem Episcopum iubet quidem. &c.
 Pope Gregorie , did in deede commaunde the Wyshop of Aquileia to come to Rome , to answeare in a controveſie of faith, risen betwene him and others: yet did he not so commaunde him by his owne power, but because the Emperour had so commaunded. Thus far Caluin.

And here also Caluine agreeeth with vs, that the bish⁹ of Rome hath no authoritie of him selfe, to call either a Synode or councell, or any one Bishoppe out of his owne prouince, but by the Emperours commaundement, or commission.

And againe, in the same place he safeth. Gregorius officium pastoris si non explebat. &c. Pope Gregorie though he did not throughe the office of a Bishop , yet he did it (as he might) he abstained from the gouerning of civile empire or dominion: and acknowledgēd him self with others to be the Emperours subiect : he th̄ust not him selfe into y care, or intermedling with other churches, but whari he was so compelled by necessitie. Thus farre Caluine: wherein he agreeeth with vs, iustly charging the Popes that nowe be, for not doinge the office of Bishoppes , for taking vpon them civill Dominion : for withdrawing them selues from the subiec̄tion of their lawfull Lordē and soueraigne, the Emperour : who belnge in deede his subiectes , do offer vnto their Lordē their ſc̄te to kille: whiche intollerable preſumption and arrogancie was never yet by any prince used towardes their subiectes. Further, Caluine decla-

A REPROVFE OF M.

reth that the olde Bisshoppes of Rome did never but vpon necessitie, that is as he before declared, vpon the Emperours commaundement or commission, intermedle in other Bisshops diocesses.

Ibidem sect. 10. Touching appeales, and authoritie in the hearing, and endinge of controuersies arisinge in the Churche betweene Bisshoppes: Caluin alleageth the example of Accusauerat the Emperour Constantinus, before whom the cause Cæcilianu Car- betweene Cæcilianus Bishop of Carthage, and Dona- chaginiense epis. tus, after sentence before geuen therein by Bisshoppes, Donatus a casis was brought: the Emperour assigned the materie to be heard and determined by Melciades Bishop of Rome, soyning to him as Colleges certen Bisshoppes of Italy, Fraunce, and Spayne, who pronounced vpon Bishop Cæcilianus his side. But Donatus not contented with that judgement, appealed to the Emperour Constantinus: who assigned the iudgement of the apeale to y Bis- Bishop of Arle in Fraunce, who late iudge, to geue sentece as he thought good, after the B. of Rome. Thus farre Caluine, vpon y whch processe he gathereth h. things.

Impatoris arbitrio.

Quā ex officio suo.

The first, that it was not the ordinarie iurisdicſion of the see of Rome to heare appeales in causes Ecclesiastical: both for that he suffered other Colleges, at y Emperours pleasure and assignement, to be soyned with him: and for that him selfe tooke vpo him the iudgement, rather by the Emperours commaundement, than by his owne right or office.

The second, that the Romain ſee had not the ſupreme power without appellation, ſeinge the Bishop of Arles was preferred before him. And thus ſaith Caluin, did that Emperour (Constantinus) whom y Popes do bragge to haue bestowed not onely all his diligence, but

but also almost all the riches of the Empyre, to the an-
plisynge of the dignite of their see. Thus farre Caluin
trulie translated. And S. Augustine also out of whom Epist. 166.
Caluine alleageth this processe, declareth that finallie
the Emperour him selfe ended and determined this co-
trouersie Ecclesiasticall, betwene Bishop Cæcilianus
and Donatus : as I haue before declared.

Nowe if it please M. Dozman to aske, where is that
spirit of unitie, whiche we reioyse in : (not bragge of, as
he saith) we say, it is here to be seene in that very point
of doctrine, with dissention wherein M. Dozman so
bragginglie, and falsely, chargeth vs.

We say that godly Christian Princes may, and
ought to keape all their subiectes in the true woorship-
ping of God, or in true Religion : Caluine saith so too.
We say that Christian Princes haue power to call Bis-
hops to Synodes or counsels: Caluine saith the same.
We say, whan the B. of Rome or any other Bishop,
 calleth an other before him out of his owne Province, to
answere in a cause of faith, he doth it not by his owne
power, but by the Christian Princes authozitie: so
saith Caluine also.

We say, that the Pope, and other Prelates ought
not to intermedle with ciuill regiment, and that the
Pope is subiect to the Emperour, and other Bishops to
their naturall Princes: and so saith Caluine to.

We say, that if a Bishop be oppressed by the wrōg-
full judgement of other Bishoppes (as was Cæcilianus
Bishop of Carthage) that he may appeale to a Chris-
tian Prince, vnder whome he and they do lyue:
that the saide Prince may assigne what deligates he
shall thinke good to heare the cause, specially of the

Cleargie,

Clergie, as did Constantinus: and if they can not ende it, that the said Prince may assigne other delegates, for the determining of ths matter: and that the saide delegates do not by their owne, but by the Princes authoritie deale and proceade in suche matters: and the same saith Caluine too.

And S. Augustine Caluins authour herelin, by the example of Constantinus the Emperour, glueth vs fur- ther to understande, that the Christian Prince, may if he wil, end & finally determine, such cōtroversies Eccle- siastical in his own person. Besidēs these pointes: Cal- uin calleth y Christian Prince Gods Vicar, & affirmeth that the state of the Churche, and care of Religion, to restore it decayed, to mainteine it restored, according to Gods Lawe, doth appertene to godly and Christian Magistrates and Princes, euen as we do, as hath beene before declared. These thinges do plainlye prove, that Caluine agreeeth with vs in this doctrine of the chese governement of Christian Princes in causes Ecclesia- stical: and that M. Dozman so triumpheth vpon our dissention, whiche is none, without cause. His authour Hosius, who occasioned him to seeke dissensio betwene Caluine and vs in this pointe, coulde yet finde so little matter for his purpose, that he saith, In hac re nobiscū potius quam tecum sentire videtur Caluinus. In this matter, Caluinus seemeth to agree with vs rather than with thee, speaking to Brentius. This is all that Hosius thought might be said with any shamefastnes: so farre of is it, that he glorieth as doth M. Dozman of our dissention, whiche, Hosius well perceined by Cal- uins plaine doctrine to be none in dede. For, touching Caluins woordes, it is euident how that he beinge de- cined

Insti. li. 4. ca. 20
scđ. 4. & 9.

Hosius. lib. 4.
fol. 106. 2.

celued by the false reportes of subtle Papistes, did
writte so of our late gracious soueraigntie, of his owne
greauenous wound, & of the blasphemy of others: whiche,
(had he knowen the truthe) he wold never haue done.
And that do our aduersaries well knowe, whic had ra-
ther quarell about a worde slipped from a straunger de-
ceiued, than to knowe the truthe clearly set foorth in
the actes of parliament, and to vnderstande by Caluins
plaine declarations, his agreeing both with the tenure
of the saide actes, and our doctrine also touchinge the
same. But let vs heare how Caluine yet further, (as
saith M. Dozman) doth meruailously discreadit vs.

Dorman. Fol. 28.

And in stede of manie places whiche myght be brought here
out of his Woorkes : I shall onely for this teme be contented to al-
leage one, in suchesort e as I finde it in the Frenche, because at the
writing hereof I had not , nor could get anie other copie . The place
is thus : Pour tant ceulx qui despouillent l'Eglise de ceste pui-
sance, pour exalter le magistrat, ou la iustice terriene , non
seulement corrompent le sens des paroles de Christe par
faulse interpretation, mais aussi accusent d'une grande vice,
les saintz evesques qui ont este en grand nombre, depuis le
temps des Apostres , comme si ilz eussent usurpe la dig-
nitez & office du magistrat , subz faulse couverture .

That is to saie in englyshe. Those therefore which to exalte the magistrate or earthly iustice, do spoile the church of this power (he mea-
neth and speakeþ of the ordre touching churche matters) do corrupt
not onelie the sense of Christes owne woordes by false interpretation,
but doo also accuse of a heinous faulste the holie bisshops, (wherof the
nombre is not small.) whiche haue bin sence the Apostoles time, as
though they had vsurped by false colouring the matter, the office and
dignitie of the magistrats.

Nowe chose good Readers, whether ye had rather beleue Calvin
mainteining the authoritie and iurisdiction of the Churche > or our
clawebakes and parasites which impugne the same.

No well.

M. Dozman, as at the writing hereof he could not
possiblie get any Latine copie of Calvins institution,
no moze could he at the printing of y same at Anwerpa
finde any; as where Latine booke are very scarce, and
Calvins institution, forbiddē by like by y inquisidours
in Latine, was onely suffered to be had in Frenche.

But will you knowe the matter: M. Dozman would
not onely haue you to knowe that he is a Bacheler, but
that he is, or would be a gentleman also: and therefore
he liked here to speake Frenche, and he doth commenly
call vs by a Frenche terme of reproche, Huguenotes, &
some wheare blondis Bozeaus. And can you now say,
that M. Dozman is not skilled in many languages? In
deede I can speake no Frenchz: but I find in the same
ooke, chapter, & section of the Latine institution of Cal-
vine, here by M. Dozman alleged, that the woordes in
this place by hym Englished, are by Calvne spoken
yon the woordes of our Saultour, Amen dico vobis:
quæcunq; ligaueritis sup terrā &c. that is to say: be-
rely I say to you: whatsoeuer you do binde ypon earth,
halbe bonden in heauen: and whatsoeuer you do loose
in earth, shall also be loosed in heauen. They (saith Cal-
vin vpō these woordes) whos to adourne the magistrate,
do spoile the Churche of this power (to say, of binding
and loosing before mentioned) do not onely corrupt the
sense of Christes woordes, by false interpretation: but
also condemne all holy Bishoppes &c. But we neuer
gaue this power of binding and loosing to the Magis-
trate, nor spoiled the Churche thereof, but do leave it
wholly.

wholly to the Churche. Ergo, we neither corrupt the sense of those wordes of Christ, touching bindinge and lossing: nor condemne the holy Bishops &c. Ergo, M. Dozman, where he saith that Caluin meaneth by this power the ordre touching churche matters, by such generalltē, going about to drawe the readers from the speciall matter of binding and lossing, here spoken of by Caluin, into this errorr, that Caluine shuld speake indifferētly or generally, of the ordering of al church mattiers, doth herein by a false parenthesis corrupt the sense, and true meaning of Caluins wordes, thereby to make a shew, as though Caluin did herein discēt frō vs, with whom he doth fully agrē. And M. Dozman him self cleareth this matter before, by these very wordes. The power to excommunicate and absolve, our saviour gave to his Apostles, whan he said to them: whatsoeuer you binde in earth, shalbe bondē in heaven: and whatsoeuer you loose on the earth, shalbe loosed in heaven. Wherin, and in preaching, & ministering the sacramēts, because these penitish pētors practēd not as yet, any great title for Princes; I will leue the both, as by our aduersaries them selues not assaulted. These be M. Dozmanis wordes, whereby he cōfesseth y we make no title for princes touching binding and lossinge (whereof Caluin here speaketh) neither do assaulte the same, but do leue it in the quiet possēssio of the clergie & church. And yet now y man bringeth in this notable place of Caluin in steede of manie, and that in Frenche also, and thereupon biddeþ the good readers chose who thei had rather folow: Caluin maiaining, & defeding by the scripturs, the auctoritie & iurisdicō of the church, or our clawbackes saith he, and parases, which impugne the same. These are M. Dozmanis wordes, against these clawbackes: who before acknowledgēd that they do not impugne nor assault the same. This dealing of their prōcur wyl (I beleane) make some his felowe papists, for

Dot. sup. fo. 19. b.

very shame to clawe & scratche to where it itcheth not.
And I doubt nothing but that all discreete Readers
will by this his alleging of this one place in Deade of
many, as most notable to shewe thereby the dissencion
betwene Caluine and vs, and so as he saleteth maruel-
lously to discreete vs, will credite that M. Dozman
had indeede no place at all, whereby he coulde proue
any dissencion betweene vs, and that there is no choise
left, but that whosoever will beleave Caluine, must
also beleave vs, as herein agreeing with vs, and vs
with him. And that by this one place for all alleged,
they will take the better heede to all other vs's allega-
tions: and by knowing of this one Papistes so guylfule-

Qui volunt oritur
omnes uocerit.

the dealing, wyll beware of them all. But vntill M.
Dozman can proue that Caluine teacheth that Christia-
n Princes may not ouersee the Bishops and Cler-
gie within their owne dominions, that they doo their
dutie accordinge to Gods woord: that they may not
correcce, punishe, depose or deprive the said Bishoppes,
or other of h Clergie, not doing their dutie according-
ly: that they may not summone Bishoppes and others
of the Clergie to Synodes or councells, gouerne them
assembled, approue and authorise thinges in such Syn-
odes agreed upon:that they may not receiuue appeals
in contiouersies in the Clergie, and in causes Eccles-
iasticall, assigne conuentient Delegates, or unto
them conuentient cencell, for the hearynge and de-
termininge of the same: whan I saye, M. Dozman
can proue that Caluine teacheth that Christiaan Prin-
ces may not doo these thinges, whiche we doo as-
sume they may doo, than let M. Dozman inquire of
our spirite of vnitie, and bragge of our disencion.

Buk

But sure I am that nether M. Dozman nor other pastre is hable to make any prouise thereof: and that therfore this is a causeles and molte vaine bragge of M. Dozmans.

Dorman. Fol. 29.

The one hath scripture to defende it. The other hath nothinge
 deuter. 17. to assaile it. The scripture saith that in doutefull questions we
 shoulde resorte to the Priestes, that at their woordes shoulde all mat-
 zech. cap. ters be decided, that they shoulde judge, that at their handes we
 shoulde demaunde knowledge, that their lippes be the kepers there-
 of because they are our lordes angels. Now commeth the heretike,
 iggeus.2. the peruerter of scripture, he telleth vs that we must seke it at the
 Princes handes; that he is Goddes chiefest ministre in thinges and
 causes as well Ecclesiasticall as Temporall:

Out of Hofius
lib. 2. fol. 97. b.
& 98. 2.

No well.

Here is a summarie rehersall of all that hath beene
 hitherto alleged by M. Dozman, and first out of the
 Scriptures. And it is ridiculous that he maketh his re-
 pension in Caluins name, sayinge: Caluine hath Scrip-
 ture to defende it, that is to defende M. Dozmans pur-
 pose against vs: where he can bringe no one place of
 Scripture by Caluine alleged with him or against vs.
 But in the Scriptures, not by Caluine, but by M. Doz-
 man alleged as against vs, out of Leviticus. Deutero-
 nomium, and Ezechiel, the mattiers by the Priestes to Deuter. 17.
 be decided are outwarde & ceremoniali mattiers, touch-
 inge cleanes or uncleanes of fowle, fishe, beastes &c.
 touchinge diseases, & priuie murtherers, &c. Wherewith,
 as now beinge cleane abolished, or to other men than
 Priestes apperteininge, the ministers of the new testa-
 ment.

Ll. 3.

ment haue nothing to do: either els the office of teachinge & instructinge Goddes people is by the said Scriptures to the Priestes comended, & commannded, which office we never denied to our Priestes, but ever lamented þ they gaue them selves to idlenes, & ignorance, lea-

Leuit.10. Doceant
risque filios Is-
rael omnia le-
gitima mea.

Deuter.17.

Et facies quod-
cusque dixerint
& docuerint te
iuxta legē eius.

Ezechiel.44.

Cū fuerit cōtro-
uersia stabūt in
iudicijs meis &
iudicabūt, leges
meas & pracep-
ea mea &c. cu-
stodient.

Malach.2.

so to teach the Lordes law, not their owne phantasies, and to ludge according to Goddes woorde, euer strainge their iudgementes in Goddes iudgement, it is evident that if they passe their commission, here is no comandement to blinde men to obediēce to them therein. The woordes folowinge touchinge the Priestes lippes are not in Aggeaus the prophete here by P. Dorman noted, but in Malachias. And in neither of these prophetes Ag-
geaus or Malachie, is there any promisse that the lippes of Priestes shoulde not faile to keepe knowledge, (as P. Dorman vntruely hath reportyd before) neither any affirmation that their lippes be keepers of knowledge, as he saith here, but cleane contrary, that the lippes of the Priestes had failed from all knowledge, had erred and caused the people to erre. Wherefore they are rebuked soz not do-
inge their duetie: soz (saith the prophete) the lippes of the Priestes shoulde keepe knowledge, soz that they are the Angelles, or messengers of the Lorde, but you haue donne cleane contrarie: wherefore I will make you to be in contempt saith the Lorde, &c. Wherefore P. Dor-
mā, he is no heretique, nor peruerter of the scriptures (as you terme him) but the maistainer and folower of the Scriptures, who teacheth that the Priestes thus teachinge not the Lordes law, but their owne phanta-
sies: not accordaninge to Goddes woorde, but mans in-
ventions: not stalyng in Goddes iudgementes, but caried

tarded by their owne affections: not keping true knowlede, but havinge grosse ignozaunce in their lippes, and heades too, are no meete nor competent iudges in matters of Religion. And in this case, I say, he that teacheth that godly and Christian Princes, ought to rebuke, correct and punish such Priestes, and either bring them to the doinge of their duetie, or if they be incorrigible, to see other placed that can, and will teache the people, to the said Princes charge by God committed, accordinge to Goddes Worde, is no heretique, no peruerter of the Scriptures (though M. Dorman say he so be) but the teacher of the truth, and mainteiner of the Scriptures. Neither hath Caluine (in whose name he ridiculous maketh this rehersall conclusion) any Scripture against suche teachers, but saith the same him selue in his expositiuns of the Scriptures, by M. Dorman alleged: affirminge that a godly Prince will Caluin^a in Ho-
genue his diligēce to conteine all his subiectes in the true se^b.7. fa. 81.
worshippinge of God, and right Religion. And thus much for the Scriptures by him alleaged out of the oulde Testament.

Dorman. Folia 29.

Cor. n. The scripture reaconeth in the first place in Christes churche a-
Lter. 20. postles, that is to say, Priestes. (for we may not thincke that in that Out of Hosius.
place the Apositle deseribed a forme of the churche to endure but for lib. 2. fol. 98. ap.
that onely age). The heretike will haue Princes placed aboue and
Priestes beneath. The holy ghost appointed Bis hoppes and priestes to
gouerne the stocke of Christ, that is the Churche. The Diuell in his
mēbres appointeth ciuile magistrates to rule, and Priestes to obey. so
that hereby we may most evidently see, how manifely they peruer-
and corrupt the true sense and meaninge of Gods woerde.

No well..

No well,

Now concerninge the Scriptures of the nre Testa-
ment. The rehersall of the Ecclesiasticall ministers by
S. Paule in his epistle to the Corinthians by M. Dor-
man alleged, (to the Ephesians) so diligently made,
& as M. Dorman saith, describing a forme of the Church
to endure and continue, hauing no mentio of one head
Priest of Christes whole Church ouer al others, but an
æqualitie of the Apostles, and consequently of their suc-
cessours Bishoppes, doth mosse effectuously overthrow
M. Dorman's proposition of the necessitie of one head
Priest, for the prouise wherof it was brought: and so te-
stifieth Caluine vpon these places of the Scripture, in
whose name M. Dorman bringeth in these Scriptures
as against vs.

True it is that Princes, who were than not Christened, neither haue, nor coulde haue any place, muche
lesse the chiese place in Christes Churche at that time.
But in the prophet Aggeus by M. Dorman before al-
leaged, whan Princes were godly, as well as Bishops
(and therefore might haue a place in Goddes Churche,
as well as they) you shall finde that God by the pro-
phet naminge together the godly Prince Zorobabell,
and the godly high Priest Jesus, fwe times ioynly, the
Prince is set firste, and the high Priest after continu-
ally. And there is a better vne of the degree of Princes
and Priestes in Goddes Churche whan thei were both
godly and might both haue place there: than of suche
times and places, as Princes were Heathen, & unchrist-
ned, and therefore coulde haue no place, much lesse the
chiese place in Christes Churche, to seeke as doth M.
Dorman a superioritie for Priestes, as first placed, and

of inferioritie of Princes, as not at all placed. But we will not sticke with M. Dorman to glue the first place to godly Priestes aboue Christian Princes, touchinge the offices of preachinge, the power of the ketes, and of ministeringe the Sacramentes, apperteininge special-
ly to the Apostles, Prophetes, Doctours, &c. there first reckened we striue for no superioritie to be giuen to
Princes, in the exequiting of these thinges. But whan
you come to the ouersight that Ecclesiasticall ministers
do their dueties, & to þ gouerning of the Church touch-
inge the outwarde policie, & oþre Ecclesiasticall, what
can you say against Christian Princes, as not the chiese
therein? Though they were not Christened at þ time,
as S. Paule did write this, yet in the wörde Guberna-
tiones, gouernmentes, which is in S. Paules rehersal
are the offices of god & godly Princes, commended vnto
vs, as Caluine (whom you vntruely alleage as against Caluinus lib. 4.
vs in this place) doth also confesse. Now seinge all Instit. cap. 10.
godly gouernours are there comended vnto vs (thoughe
Princes were than vngodly & Heathen) will you there-
fore reject the authoritie of Christian princes, and go-
uernours, when God sendeth them, and leauue them no
chiese place in the gouerninge of Christes Church, for þ
Heathen Princes had no place there: you might as rea-
sonable luffre Christian Princes to haue no place at all
in Christes Church, for that heathen Princes had there
no place at all. Welike you wil reiecte the gouerment of
Emperours in the Romaine comon wealth, when thei
came in place, for that they had no rowme emongst the
ould Romaine Kinges & Cöslilles. In your other place
of þ Actes: the wördes to goerne the flocke of Christ, are no Act. 10.
more to say, but Pascere gregem to feede the flocke of Christ,

¶m

that

that is to say with the fode or bread of Goddes wörde: in effect to teache them Goddes wörde, which would to God your popishe P̄iestes woulde once beginne to do. S. Peter vseth the same wörde, sayinge: fēde yea the flocke of God, as much as in you lieth &c. and by and by he addeth: not as those that vse dominion ouer the flocke. Lo sir here is feedinge (whiche your Pope and popishe Prelates vse not) commaunded: here is vsing of dominion (whiche your Pope and popishe Prelates do vse) forbiſſden. And thus wouldest thou abuse the ſimple by the wörde gouerne, which whan all cometh to al, is no moze than to ſeede Chriftles flocke, that is to ſay, to teache them: which kinde of gouerninge being common to all godly & learned Bifhops & P̄iestes, can nothings ſerue for the prouife of your proppofition, of one P̄ieste to be head gouernour: If by theſe wördes Regere Ecclesiā to gouerne the Churche, the whole gouernement, and chiefe ſouverentie be giuen, as you ſay, than haue the Bifhoppes of Ephesus in Ḡreece to whom theſe wördes were ſpokē, the whole regiment & chiefe ſouveraintie: and not your Pope. But that gouerninge of the Churche whiche is in dæde mente by this wörde Regere, to rule, that is to ſay, to ſeede, by the preaching of Goddes wörde, neither doo Chriftian Princes enuie you, nor we, thofe heretiques you talke of, would haue from you: but doo lament that your Pope and his Prelates, doo forſake the ruling by preachinge, commaunded them by the Scriptures: and doo take to them the ruling by dominion, forbiſſden them by þe Scriptures. Not we therfore, but your Pope and his Prelates thus doinge, and you to your power maintaininge the ſame, are the peruerters and corrup‐ters of the truſe ſenſe and meaninge of Goddes wörde.

Dorman supra
fol. 19. b.

And

And y godly Christian Princes may not byidle your Pope, & his Prelates usurpinge rule & dominion, to the by the Scriptures forbidden: or may not correct the learning y ruling by preachinge, by y Scriptures comauanded them: or, if thei will not be reformed, that Christian Princes may not prouide for other that can, & will teach Goddes people, to the said Princes charge committed, according to the Scripture, what Scripture, or what one wordde of Scripture can you shew M. Dorman? Now to conclude concerninge the Scriptures: if it please the god Reader, to looke backe to M. Dorman proposition (seeing him selfe wil not take the paines to do it) which he propouned in the beginning to be proued by this proesse: that is, That the head of the whole Churche in earth must needs be a Priest. Thou shalt finde in al these Scriptures nothing at all therfore, but onely that, which sheweth the office and duetie of all Priestes aequally, and therfore none to be as head aboue all the rest. And so finally all these Scriptures doo nothing serue his purpose, but cleane ouerthowle the same.

Dorman. Fol. 29.

As for the other pointe which Calvin also laieth to their charge, of accusinge of a most heynouse and grieuous faulte the auncient Bisshoppes that haue beeene sence the Apostoles time, as though they had by vnlauffull meanes usurped to them selues the office and dignitie of the Magistrate: it is also if their doctrine were true, most plaint and euident euuen at the eye. For firske if Kinges myght be the chiefe governours in matters of Religion, and Bisshoppes their ynderlinges, who seeth not then how far Ignatius that holy martyr abused bothe him selfe and vs, to bid all men without exception, euuen the Emperour him selfe by name, to be obedient to the Bis hop, to tell vs that after him nexte, the Kinge is to be honored.

Mm 2

No well.

Ignatius.

Epiſtol. ad
Philadel-
phens.

A REPROVFE OF M.

No well.

No remedie but Caluine must needes still maistaine
the materier with M. Dozman, against vs, by the doctoz,
as well as he did before, by the Scriptures.

First I haue declared that none of vs doth charge
or accuse the auncient Bishoppes, as though they in-
vinge the power of bindinge and losinge (for thererof
speaketh Caluine) did usurpe the office and dignitie of
the Magistrate, for we acknowledge it to be the office
of Bishoppes and Churche, and not the office of the
Magistrate: wherefore they usurpe not at all, in doinge
their owne office. And so hath M. Dozman begonne
his processe of doctours with a manifest vntruth. Ig-
natius speaketh of none other obedience to be by Prin-
ces, and all other, geuen to Bishoppes, but paciently to
heare, credite, and folowe them truely teachinge Gods
woorde, which was most necessarie in Ignatius time,

* Proverb. 24.

Time Dñm fili
mi & Regem.
1. Petri, 2. Deum
timete, Regem
honorificate.

Aggxi. 1. Fa-
stū est verbum
Dñi in manu
Aggxi. pphetæ
ad Zorobabell,
ducē Iuda & ad
Iesum sacerdotē
magnum. &c.

And so fve
times together
in the same or-
dre,

Time Dñm fili
Church, where than they had no place at all. And in the
respect of teachinge Goddes woerde truely, it greueth
not Christian Princes, nor vs, that the Bishop as the
teacher, be set before the Prince as the learner. Els if by
Ignatius ordre, settinge Heathen Princes after Bi-
shoppes, you would gather that absolutely the Bishop
were above the godly Christian kinge: the ordre of the
Scripture placing * of kinges nexte to God, & placing
of a godly Prince, before the chiese Bishoppe in some
one place fve times together, is of more effect to proue
the contrary.

Dorman Fol. 29.

If this be true whiche they teache, who is he that can excuse.

Liberius.

Liberius. Liberius that holie father, who for the determining of matters concerning the Churche, would haue a Synode kept where ihe Emperony should not so muche as be present: Or that reuerend father Hosius, who willed the Emperour not to entremedle in ecclesiasticall causes, nor to comptroll or commaunde the bißhoppes therein, but to learne of them in those thinges, to whose charge they were cōmitted, not to his. Or Athanasius that strōg piller of Christis church, who when he sawe that wicked Emperour Constantius, doo that which the Heretikes of this our time, perswade the Kinges and Emperours that now are to doo, as the Arians did those of their age: that is to take vpo him the determination of matters Ecclesiasticall, to make him selfe chiefe iudge bothe of the Bißhoppes and causes belonginge to the Churche, called him that abomination of desolation spoken of by Daniel the Prophet, and pronounced that for his so doinge his impietie was such, as Antichrist when he shoulde come him selfe, shoulde not be able to goe beyond: termed it a newe deuise brought in by the Arians, and finally demaunded but one example ab æuo condito, from the beginning of the worlde, where by it might appeare that the doinges of the Churche shoulde take their authoritie from the Emperour; till Arrius his time.

Nowell.

These thre, Liberius, Hosius Cordubensis, and Athanasius, do all speake of one matier and persone. Wherefore one answere might serue for them all.

First concerning Liberius, he woulde not haue the wicked Arian, and violent Emperour Constantius, pag. 169. to be present at the councell, whiche maketh nothinge Socrates. lib. 1. against the presence of godly Princes *. Soz both Constantini li. 1. cap. 8. Theodorit. li. 1. cap. 7. & 9. Sozom. lib. 1. cap. 19. foz. foz.

A REPROVFE OF M.

soze declared, and is moske evidently knownen to al leatned men, to be plainly recorded in the historiez Ecclesiasticall. Not the example therefore of a wicked hæretike, and Tyrant, shall serue to exclude godly Princes fro coucels: but y examples of godly princes present, shall serue for the presence of godly Christian princes there.

Touching Hosius Cordubensis, the like answere is to be made. He did will the same wicked Emperour not to intermedle in mattiers of Religion, who by intermeddling therewith, wold do nothing els, but pervert them.

Sozom.li.6.ca.7 But all the godly Bishops of Hellesponte & Withinia, sending bishop Hypattanus in a solemne embassage to the godly Emperour Valentian the elder, prated him that he would be present with them, to intreat of certe pointes in Religion, to be reformed, (as M. Dozman hath before acknowledged) which declareth not a Prince absolutly, but such a wicked hæretike, & violent Tyrant, as was Constantius, to be willed by Hosius, not to intermedle in Ecclesiastical causes. Athanasius that strong piller of Christes Churche, did call the same Constantius the abomination of desolatiō, and the soze rūner of Antichriste not soz that he intermedled in causes Ecclesiastical, soz than he shuld also haue called his saher Constantinus, that godly Emperour, thabomination of desolation, & as euel as Antichrist: soz he did intermedle as iudge in the causes Ecclesiastical betwene Bishop Cællianus & Donatus: and betwene Bishop Fælix & the Donatistes, and in many like causes of bishops. But Athanasius had many other most weightie causes, why he shuld so call Constantius, which I haue at large before prosequuted. As, soz that he tooke vpon him to be chiese Bishop of all Bishoppes: that he wold
haue

have his will and pleasure to stande for Canons and Lawes : that he would not by reason and Scripture, but by threatening and force compell all men to saye as he said, that he banished or murthered such , as durst alleage Scripture , or reason against him: that he likewise banished or murthered innocent and godly Bisshopps, being onelis complained vpon by such as he fauoured, without triall of their cause, or knowledge of their aunsweres : that he admitted moste wicked and vile men, Cunuches, and such lyke, to Bishoprikes, and placed them therein, with pompe and compaines of men of warre: that no other commendation in the admitting of such was looked for , but onely that they were enemies to Chist, and offered rounde summes of money : that he vilanously vsed, tourmented, and murthered holie Virgins. For these causes, & specially, for that he did all these violences , and outrages , for the maintenaunce of the Arrian heresie , whiche was directly against the persone of our Saviour Chiste, did Athanasius worthely charge him with Antichristianisme , affirminge him to be that abomination of desolation , that Daniel speaketh of . To the whiche moste horriblie violences and outrages, and to the cause thereof, the Arrian heresie , seeing we never perswaded our Christian Princes, and they are in dede moste farre from the said abominations, M. Dorman doth the to muche wrong, to lay Athanasius dispraises of Constantius to our Christian Princes , being moste unlike unto him in all deseruing thercol. As for vs, he may at his pleasure belie, when he list. If he list be occupied, he may justly applie Athanasius his satynges of Constantius , to his Romishe Pope , in whome all these vertues

Vertues of Constantius are to be founde, with great increase of other abominations besides, prouing him to be the abomination of desolation, that Daniel speaketh of, and very Antichriste in deede: as I haue before at large declared. We persuade our Christian Princes no otherwise, neither do they any other thinge, than did before them David, Solomon, Ezechias, Josias, Constantinus, Valentinianus the elder, Theodosius, Marciianus, and other most godlie kinges and Emperours, as partly hath bene, and shal moze fullie be declared: in folowing of whom, they deserue like praise with them, as like vnto them in vertues, and well doinges: and are therefore moste unwortheily charged by M. Dozman with Antichristianisme and other dispaysses of Constantius, vnto whose impietie and wickednes, their pietie and godlines is moste vnlike. Athanasius doth well terme Constantius his doing a nre deuisse, and vpon good cause doeth demaunde but one example, from the beginning of the world, wherby it might appeare, that the iudgement of the Churche (whiche M. Dozman termeth doinges of the Churche) hath taken authoritie from the Emperour. For in deede it taketh authoritie from Gods woord: and all good Princes are contented, that it so shall take: though Constantius, and such as he was, and the Popes also at this daie, would haue it to take authoritie of their willes and pleasures: and you, and suhe as you are, do most wickedly mayntaine the same.

Dorman. Fol. 30.

Or Gregorius Nazianzenus, who tolde the Emperour, that by Gregori
she lawe of Christe his power was subiect to his consistorie, and Nazianz
that

that although he were an Emperour, yet was he not Withstanding
as hepe of his flock.

Norwell.

Gregorius Pazianzenus sayeth the verie truthe. There is no Prince so great, but he ought to obete such a godly Bishop as was Pazianzene, pronoucing Gods woord, and not to disdaine to feade of the wholesome pastures of his true doctrine. Whiche yet letteith nothing but that a godly Prince, may both disobey a wicked Bishop, teachinge false doctrine, and saue his subiectes, from the leading vpō their poisoned pastures. And whereas Gregorius Pazianzenus beinge but a poore Bishop in comparison, & in an outside, doth claime the superioritie ouer the Emperour, than the whiche the Bishop of ROME can claime no moze, it may apeare that Pazianzenus was æquall with him, in suche superioritie.

Dorman. Fol. 30.

Ambrose. Or S. Ambrose, that bad the Emperour set his hart at rest, and not Out of Hosius to thinke that he had by the right of his crowne, any authoritie in lib. 2. fol. 119. b. those matters that concerned religion: that his palacie belonged to him, and the Churche to the Priests.

Norwell.

S. Ambrose saith to Valentinian the yonger, goinge about to do the same that Constantius before did, as did Athanasius before to Constantius: and therefore I say to S. Ambrose his woordes here of Valentinean, as I before said of the like woordes of Athanasius to Constantius. You do sondly compare the right whiche Valentinian claimed, w^t the right of godly Christia princes. For what right I praze you, could Valentinian the yon-

ger, yet

ger, yet not christened, haue in Christes church? Whan M. Dorman can proue that our Christian priu-
ces are borne in hande, that all thinges are lauffull for
them, & that thei haue imperial power, & authoritie over
Gods matiers, as S. Ambrose testifieth, that Valentini-
anian was borne in had: or that any prince doth so take
vpon them, as he did, that is to say, being unchristened,
as he was, unlearned & vnskilful, as he was, infected w/
the Ariane heresie, as he was, wil either him self with-
out the advise & assistance of any godly learned, iudge
of the highest pointes of our faith, or apoint not onely
unlearned Lay men, but Iues, or Infidels, to be Judge
therin: let him than I say, say the like of our princes,
as said S. Ambrose of Valentiniā the yonger, when
he can proue them like to Valentiniā in those pointes,
for the whiche S. Ambrose so said of him.

Dorman. Folio. 30.

Translated word for woerde, out of Ho.li.1.f.39.b. Or Chrysostom, who comparing the power of a King, with the Christo-
for autoritte of a priest, calleth the one a prince as wel as the other, and greater then he doe by so much as heauen is greater then the earth. Homi.5.1.1
verbis Esa addeth that God himselfe to witnesse the same, hath brought under the handes of the priest the head of the Prince. For that saith he that Heb.7.
is lesser is blessed of the greater. vvhio in an other place saith that Lib. 3. Di
the power which is geuen to priestes is such, as the like thereto was sacerdotia
neuer giuen to Angels or Archangels, seing that to none of them it
was cuer said: What so euer you binde in earth shalbe bounde in
heauen, or what so euer you loose in earth shalbe loosed in heauen.

Nowell.

Dor. sup. fo.21.a. The sentence of Chrysostome before alleaged by sp.
Ex Homel.38. Dorman, that priestes ate the hart and stomack of the church, whiche
is Math.21. he

he than liked so well, y he said therupon, Lo good Readers,
 you see how it was in Chrysostomes time &c. hath here, I wot
 not why, so misliked him that he hath in this rehersall
 conclusion made no mention thereof: peraduenture his
 proposition of one head priest came here into his heart
 or mind, & he considering the vnhandsomnes of the rea-
 son, to proue one priest to be head, by Chrysostomes sa-
 ing, that priests were the heart & stonacke (which par-
 dle, ye wot is not the head) he let it go, as not liking his
 stonacke in this place. But he hath lost nothing there-
 by, for in tossing of Hosias his authours booke, and fin-
 ding for this one place, tow or thre other of Chrysos-
 tome there noted, he liking them better thā the other, De sacerdotio &
 hath here sticked downe, not for a goose, a fether, but
 thre gese, for one: which I say, in respect of his abusing
 of Chrysostomes places.

And very true it is, y some princes in Chrysostomes
 tyme taking to much vpon them, & being to extreme a-
 gainst him, & such godly bishops as he was, he did go a-
 bout by depressing of princes, and extolling of priestes,
 as much as he could, to bring the princes of his tyme to
 a moderation. And we never denied but that in doinge
 their office, according to Gods word, as in binding and
 losing, which part of their office is specially, & by name
 by P. Dorman out of Chrysostome here noted, the godly
 priestes be aboue princes: & that princes ought to obey
 them, so doing their office, as did Theodosius y Empe-
 rour obey S. Ambrose, worthely excommunicating him.

Neither wil I be against Chrysostome, but the priest
 in this point hath a power geue him, whitch not onely
 kinges, but Angels also haue not geuen to them. But
 will P. Dorman thereof conclude that the Prieste is

A REPROOFE OF M.

the head of Angelles tw , and not onely of Princes : In
dēde he may. For it is said y Angels geue attendaunce
vpon the Popes highnes, to carie and recarie soules to
and fro Purgatorie.

Dorman. Fol. 30.

Out of Ho. li. i.
fol. 37.

Or howe were it possible if this doctrine of our aduersaries
were true, to excuse Damascenus for reprehending Leo Isaurus (as Damascen
you haue hard before) the Emperour, and many a one more of the holy
fathers, which for breuities sake I am here constrained to passe ouer
in silence.

Norwell.

Damascen. No
recipio Regem Leo Isaurus the Emperour for usurpinge and takinge
qui per tyranni-
dem sibi sacerdo-
tium usurpat. Damascene may be wel excused for reprehending
Priesthood vpon him by tyranny: as Damascene wit-
nesseth, and you M. Dorman haue alleaged.

But M. Dorman can not be so wel excused for laying
Damascenes laynges against a tyrant, and usurper of
priesthode (if Damascene say true) against godly prin-
cipes, neister tyranthes, nor usurpers of priesthood. And he
doth also moste untruely charge our doctrine, as main-
teinynge either such tyranny, or suche usurpation.

That you for breuities sake are constrained to passe
ouer in silēce many an one of the holy fathers, making
with you against vs, can no wylc man beleave , whoe
reading your processe before, shal finde that of great pe-
nurie , & scarcitie of holy fathers , you were inforced to
allege for you the doynges and laynges of vnholie Hæ-
retiques, Apostatas, Tyrauntes, and Paganes, and
thercuppon, as vpon good euidence, to make no sim-
ple bzagge.

Rowe

Dor sup fol. 33.
34.
Theodoricus.
Julianus.
Gallio.
Aurelianuſ.

Nowe as of the Scriptures, so likewise of these do-
ctors by M. Dorman here alleaged, I praye the good
Reader, consider whether there be any one that may
prove his proposition, That the head of Christes Churche here
in earth must needs be a Priest. For doo not all these places
of the Scriptures and Doctors, declare the office and
authoritie of every Bishop and Priest indifferently, &
therefore derogate from the chiese authoritie of one
head Priest ouer all? Further these Scriptures and do-
ctors by M. Dorman alleged prove nothing elles, but
that which is, and euer was graunted, and therefore did
neede no prouise, that is to say: that Bishops and Prie-
stes haue to doe in matiers Ecclesiasticall, that Princes
ought reverently to heare, credite, & obey them, doinge
their office according to Goddes woorde: that is, preach-
inge the woorde of God, vslinge the power of the ketes,
and ministering the Sacramentes, sincerely according
to the same. Whiche reuerent obedience is shewed in
deede to Goddes woorde, rather than to them: against the
whiche woorde of God, in case the saide Bishoppes doe
notoriously offend, or in doinge their office swarue fro
the same, the Christian Prince may with the god ad-
vise of the godly learned, specially of the Cleargie, by ex-
ample of all godly auncient Princes, admonish them,
punishe them, or depose, and depriue them: whiche ar-
gueth in the said Prince a superioritie ouer them. Fur-
ther whereas to summon and call Bishoppes and the
Cleargie to Synodes or Coucelles, in cases requisite, to
moderate and gouerne them assembled, to understande,
approue, and authorise thinges in suche Synodes or
Councilles agreed vpon: to receiue appeales, assigne
delegates, for the hearing, and determininge of contro-
versies.

A REPROVFE OF M.

uerries betwene Bishops, and others of the Cleargie,
& in causes Ecclesiasticall, are pointes of superioritie o-
uer the saide Bishoppes and Cleargie, and of chiese go-
vernment in the said causes Ecclesiasticall, & do apper-
teine to Christian Princes, as hath been partly alreadie
& shal hereafter be more fully and clearly declared: it fo-
lloweth necessarily, þ Christian Princes are chiese go-
vernors ouer such persons, & in such causes Ecclesiasti-
call. Against the whiche, seinge M. Dorman in this
first parte wherein he promised to bring into the face of oþe
courte all such evidence of importance, as his parte hath to alleage
for them selfes, (þor these are his wordes) hath brought no-
thinge, that is of any importaunce, or to any purpose at
all, he hath thereby in the face of open courte confessed,
that he had nothinge of any importaunce at all to bþing.
And so consequently hath he neither proued his propo-
sition, that the head of Christes Church here in earth
must needes be a Priest: neither by any iust consequēce
hereof, that a Christian Prince is not the chiese go-
uernour in causes, & ouer persons Ecclesiasticall with-
in his owne dominions.

Dorman. Fol. 30.

Leauinge therefore our aduersaries thus at square, bothe with
the olde Fathers and their newe doctours: it is high time good rea-
ders that I remembre to discharge my selfe of my promise, whiche
was to laie before your eyes, such euidence as in this matter either
part had to bringe for the selfe. vwhich as I haue for the catholikes
accordinge to my simple witt and poore knowledge alreadie done:
so shall I by Goddes grace on the contrary parte for the protestantes
and Huguenotes, faithfully endeavour to doo the like. And because
þat a xcell of all the poisoned reasons touching either this mat-
ter, or

Dorman supra
fol. 17.b.

ter, or almost any other at this day in question, the late Apologie of the Churche of England (for so is it by the authours termed) may well be called as it were the some or abridgement, as also for that there is as it shoulde seeme and sence hath beene confessed, in it common consent of all the fantasticall congregation, I meane of them that trouble Christes Church in our countrey of Englannde: I could not me thought either for their parte (which I couet to make as stroge as the naughtines of the cause will suffer doo better, or for mine owne assurance worcke more warily, then to take and cull out suche proues, as for the maintenaunce of their opinio they haue there heaped to gether. For them, because there I persuade my selfe the reader may finde, the very force and strength of all that they haue for them selues in this matter to saie: as the place where bothe of good reason they shoulde, and for their craftie conueyance I nothinge doubt but they woulde, bringe foorth of their groundes the very best, if they haue any better then other. For my parte or rather for Christe and his Churches whose quarell although farre unwoorthy, at this time I sustaine, it shall thus stande in steede, that if it fortune in your iudgements good Readers their said groundes and reasons to seeme suche, as any good man, yea happily with some of them, some of them selues may mislike: they can not yea flee to their olde startinge hole that it is but one doctours minde, as they use besing sore pressed customably to doo, whereas the booke booke by the manner of the publisinge thereof appeareth, and sence hath bene acknowledg'd to be no private mans acte.

No Well.

That we be at square either with y ould fathers, or our
nue doctoz, hath appeared euidently to be but M. Doz-
mans dreame, boide of all reason and truth. It is praise
worthoie in M. Dozman y he remembzeth his promise,
whiche you shall see him go through with all in his
Second.

A REPROVFE OF M.

Huguenotes.
seconde parke , with like witte, knowledge, faith, and
grace, as he hath in the first part alreadie performed it.
He doth well also seeing he hath once begonne to speake
Frenche , to continue in Frenche termes of reproche,
least he might seeme to haue vttered all his Frenche at
once . But now it pleaseith M. Dorman to respite the
Bishoppe of Harisburle his Sermon, and to set vpō the
Apologie: which he gathereth by probable conjecture,(as
he thinketh)and by confession also (as he saith) to be no
one mans acte , but the common devise of all the phan-
taſticall congregation (as it pleaseith him to terme vs)
out of the whiche Apologie he will cull (for so he spea-
keth) our reasons and proues: and that vpon a mani-
folde consideration, and caution . As, first to make our parte
as stronge as he can: Scilicet. Secondly, warely for his owne af-
furance: And thirduel, leſt when he ſhall ſore preſſe vs, we ſhould
flee to our cuſtomarie ſertinge hole, that it is but one doctors minde:
and that ſo he will conuict, not onely the Bifhoppe of
Harisburie his ſermon, but the Apologie alſo : and with
it all the aduersaries of the papacie at once, as one though
farre vnoorthie, ſuſteinynge at this time the quarell of the Ro-
mishe Churche . This the mans maniſolde circumſpection
and diſcretion here in the beginning noſtified, as he cu-
ſtomarily ueth in the proceſſe you ſhall ſee it fall out,
accordinge to that expeſience , whiche you haue had of
the ſame heretofoze. But in deede M. Dorman hath not
for the cauſes by him here alleaged, anſweared theſe al-
legations in the Apologie. For the Apologie doth onely
goe about by sondry examples brieſly touched to proue
that godly Princes of all ages did thinke , that the care
of the Churche was not impertinent to their office. The
very wordes of the Apologie are theſe: Ex historijs &
optimo-

optimorum temporū exemplis videmus pios prin- g.i.a. in his:
 cipes procurationem Ecclesiarū ab officio suo nunq
 putasse alienam. That is to say: we do see by histories &
 examples of the best ages or times, y godly Princes did
 thinke that the looking too, & care of Churches, was ne-
 ver impertinent to their princely office. This he saith
 before his proues. And in y conclusio after his proues,
 he saith againe. An ad eos dicemus religionis curam g. iii. 2.
 non pertinere: &c. that is to say: Shall we say therfore
 that the care of Religion doth not apperteine to godly
 Princes, or that a Christian Magistrate intermedlinge
 in these thinges, doth naughtly, immodestly, or wicked-
 lie? These are the wordes of the Apologie, than the
 which, what can be more modestly, or more truely spo-
 ken? And that nothing in so great breuitie, can be more
 effectuously proued, than is the said most true proposi-
 tion (euer denied by the Papistes) proued by those exam-
 ples and histories Ecclesiastical so shortly in the Apo-
 logie touched, they may wel understand, that will reade
 that parte of it. Wherefore seinge the Apologie in that
 treatie doth not so much inforce y superioritie of Prin-
 ces ouer Priestes, but is contented to proue, and doth
 proue, though briesly, yet most substancialy, that the
 care of Religion doth apperteine to Christian Princes,
 whiche these men do deny: it is not therefore to make our
 partie as stronge, as may be (as M. Dorman professeth he
 would) but to make it as weake as may be, and more
 weake, than reason would it shold be, to bring in ex-
 amples, by y Apologie briesly alleagrd, or rather touched,
 onely for proue, y care of Religion & Churche makers
 doth apperteine to godly & Christian princes, as though
 they had beene alleaged peculiarly, largely, and with al-
 so forse,

Do

forse,

A REPROVFE OF M.

forze, to proue al the authoeritie y^e Princes haue in matiers of Religion, & their whole superioritie y^e thei haue ouer Priestes. Whereas M. Dozman, & all our aduersaries do right well know, & in this little treatie it shall also wel appeare, that both we haue great store of other examples & proues for our Christian Princes chiese governement ouer Priestes, & in matiers Ecclesiastical, & y^e the examples also by the Apologie, though but briesly, & to a certaine purpose touched, & in the onely tow little leaues conteined, may be more fully, & with more forze and effect applied to the proue of godly Princes prærogative aboue Priestes, & authoeritie in Ecclesiastical matiers, than in y^e breuitie the autho^r of y^e Apologie either could, or ment to do. Not to strengthen our cause, therfore, but most to weake it, hath M. Dozman dreamed out this devise of dealinge with the Apologie in this place. And so^r that he did see those so many examples so briesly touched in the Apologie in tow or thre leaues onely, he surmiseth, and would beare the Reader in hand, that there is all, that we all can say, & as largely and effectually, as by vs alkā be said for the authoeritie of Princes ouer Priestes, & in matiers Ecclesiastical. But in dede, M. Dozman thought y^e breuitie, in the touching of this matter (which was necessarie in the Apologie touching so many maters) to be moste fitte for his purposed quarrellinge: and specially he findinge that Hosius in his booke of Ecclesiastical Judges had laboured at large to answeare all those examples of the ould Judges and Kinges of Israell, Iosuas, Dauid, Salomon, Ezechias, Josophat, Iosias, and likewise of the ould Christian Emperours, Constantinus, Theodosius, Valentineanus, and Martianus alleged of our part for the autho-

De Iudicibus eccl^{is} cœlestiasticis lib. 2.
contra Brentiū.
fol. 66. b.

Hosius fol. 75.

ritie
Hosius in his booke of Ecclesiastical Judges had laboured at large to answeare all those examples of the ould Judges and Kinges of Israell, Iosuas, Dauid, Salomon, Ezechias, Josophat, Iosias, and likewise of the ould Christian Emperours, Constantinus, Theodosius, Valentineanus, and Martianus alleged of our part for the autho-

ristie of Princes ouer persons & in causes Ecclesiastical, thought it the beste to folowe his ould vsuall custome, and his easiest ordinarie way, & to translate the whole treatie of those examples out of Hosius into his booke. After whiche sorte, a meane clarke may write, like not onely a base Bacheler, but also a profounde doctour in diuinitie, and like a great Cardinall of Rome also. What colours therefore so euer M. Dozman doeth pretend, the very causes of his, thus dealinge with the Apologie are these: falsely to beare the reader in hande, that he dealeth with vs all, and that he oppugneth all our chiese firmamentes at once, and to take his owne ease, and yet neverthelesse being but a balde cowete, to bragge of that prouide peacockes feathers. And now for these twentie leaues next folowinge in this M. Dormans treatie, all his answears are the same that be in M. Hardinges booke intituled a Confutacion of the Apologie of the Churche of Englande, and the same are to be founde in Cardinall Hosius seconde booke of the judges of the Hosius lib.2. de Churche, where they both borowed them. May I not iudicibus Ecclesiasticoz therefore truely without lie, & soberly without bragge satisfac.

say, that in answeringe M. Dozman, I do answere his Maister M. Hardinge, and Cardinall Hosius, with all other our aduersaries, countremen, or strangers, that haue the same reasons, and allegations:

Dorman Fol. 31.

The first
argument
of the pro-
cession.
toys.

The first argument therefore of theirs, to prove that lay men in that they be kinges may take on them the ordering of matters in religion, that to them belongeth the autorite and oversight thereof, is taken from the example of Moyses, who being a ciuile magistrate,

Dg 2

received

A REPROVFE OF M.

received neuterhelesse at the handes of almighty God, both the charge and ordre of all the religion and ceremonies, deliuering the same to the peoplie, and when Aarō beinge a Bis. hop had contaminat the true Religion by makinge the golden calfe, he failed not sharply to rebuke and reprehende him therefore.

The effect of all this answere touchinge Moses, and this place of the Apologie is in D. Hardigs Apologie. fol. 305. they frame, that therefore by good consequence it foloweth that the Kinges, Emperours, and other civile magistrates of our time may doees, and this place the like, thus doe we answere: that, that auctoritie which Moy- ses had ouer the Priestes, was not because he was a Prince but in weare. The an- cōfutatiō of the that he was a Priest, as appeareth moste evidently in the Psalme Psalm. 94. Nowell. Apologie. fol. where he is so called. It is Psal.

Cōfut. Apolog. fol. 305.

Psalm. 98.

Cohen, id est sa-
cerdos vel dux,
vel princeps, vel
coadiutorius &c,

The very same answere maketh D. Harding to this place of the Apologie. But you doo not say truely M. Dozman that it appeareth moste evidently in y Psalme where Moses is called a Priest, that the auctoritie, whiche he had ouer the Priestes, was not because he was a Prince, but in that he was a Priest. For firsle of a woorde of doubtfull signification, as is Cohen, in that Psalme, you can not make moste evident appearaunce, that Moses was a Priest. Secondly it beinge graunted you that in the Psalme he is called a Priest: yet can you not thereof make it likely, muchlesse moste evidently to appeare, that he had his auctoritie ouer Aaron the high Priest, with others, to reprove and correct them, not because he was a Prince, but in that he was a Priest. For if I shoulde say the contrary, that Moses had that auctoritie in that he was a Prince, what can you say out of the Psalme; or any other place of Scripture againte me? Aaron offendig, saith to Moses being displeased with him therfore.

N.

Ne indignetur Dominus meus. Let not my Lord be Exod. 32.
 angry. If I say, he called and acknowledged Moses his
 Lorde, because he was his Prince, not because he was
 a Priest, for that this name Lorde so properlie signifieth
 and imponeth, what will you say against it: or howe
 can it reasonable be, that there was a priest aboue
 the highest priest, as Aaron was: But it is no absurdit-
 tie, that a Prince be aboue the highest priest: but the
 title and name, of highest priest (as was Aaron) exclu-
 deth all superioritie of all priestes ouer him: but not of
 all Princes. Wherefore, I may rather say, that Aaron
 calling Moses his Lorde, and so confessing him superio-
 rour, so called and confessed him, as his Prince, and not
 as his higher priest: for he was y highest priest himself.

Dorman. Fol. 31.

Repli.

But against this ans were laboureth (as they say) with toothe and
 naile, the author of that booke which walketh abrode in many mes-
 handes, vnder the name of a harboreugh for faithfull subiectes.
 vvhose replie is this, that in that psalme how euer the olde interpre-
 tors haue giuen vs the woord, the hebreuext hath Cohanim, a
 woerde indifferent to signifie priestes or Princes, and that therefore
 suche as doe best understande the tongue give it thus: Moyses &
 Aaron inter ministros eius, Moyses and Aaron emongest his mi-
 nistres. And to proue that it may wel so be, the scripture he saith:
 calleth David his sonnes Cahanim, that is to saie ministres, for
 well he woteth that no man wilbe so fond to saie, that a kinges son-
 nes were priestes: yea he addeth that the beste emongest the Hebrues
 interpreting thiese wordes give it in Chorei Shemo: Moyses &
 Aaron inter eos qui inuocant nomen eius, Moyses and Aarō:
 emongest them that call upon his name. Thiese in effect be the rea-
 sons that moued the man to thinke that Moyses was no priest.

Do. 3.

To bec

A REPROOFE OF M.

To be shōrt. Vvhome he taketh for the best, or whom he accōp- The solu-
tēth for the worst in the hebrie tōge, or what his habilitie to iudge prouis th-
ereof is; I confesse in good faith I knowe not. But of this I am wel Moyseſ
assured, that S. Hierome, Pagninus and (whose translation for his re-
ligion he nedē not to suspect, Sebastianus Munsterus, emongest al mē
taken for singulerly learned in that tongue) interpret the worde to
signifie priestes. And if all this satisfie him not, the 70. interpretaurus
translate it so. For thiese are their wordes: Μωϋσης καὶ Ἀαρὼν
ἐπ τοῖς ἱερέσιν οὐτε, that is, Moyses and Aaron in the nōbre of
his priestes. And for so vndoubted a truthe was it taken with s.
Hierom, that he in the exposition of this psalme vsed thiese woordes.
Vterq; Moyses scilicet & Aaron, domini aduentum sacerdo- Hieron.
tali præconio nunciauit. Both of them, that is to say Moyses and Psalm. 91
Aaron, did with their priestly voyce denounce before hande, the com-
ming of our Lorde. Nowe touching the indifferency of the worde
Cohanim to signifie a minister or a priest, we graunt it to be true:
but that because in some one place it so signifieth, it ought therefore
so to be expounded in this, that we vterly denie. And for prooife
hereof we bring Abrahamus Esdras, emongest the olde Rabbini cal-
led Sapientissimus. He expounding this place of the psalme, calleth
Moyses and Aaron by the name of priestes. And because no man shuld
cauill about the ambiguitie of the Worde **Cohanim**, he graunteith
it to be a Worde doubtful. But to take away all such ambiguitie, and
to make vs assuredly understand when it signifieth this or that, he
giueith this rule, that being ioined and applied to the name of god,
or any thing to him belonging (as here it is) it signifieth alwaies a
priest but other wise referred to prophane thinges, a minister: as may
be answered of Davids children in the second booke of kinges the 8.
chapiter. And surely so long as he standeth vpon his bare vauntes of
the best without naming at all any: I se no cause but that we may
well rest in that interpretatio which thiese forwar, for their know-
ledge in that tongue of the learned sort accompted most excellent,
beside

Beside the nombre of the 70. interpretours haue deliuerned vna
vs, especially seing that interpretation which the very best emongest
the Hebrues he saith haue gauen vpon that place, that is, Moyses and
Aaron emongest them that call vpon his name: I thinke to him that
considereth wel the wordes that followe. Et Samuel inter eos qui
inuocat nomen eius, will seeme and proue to be euuen the very
wurst. But because you shall well perceiue that Moyses was in deede
a priest, besides the testimonies already brought furth, I shall here
allege certein other to proue the same.

Salmon. 98. First S. Auslen writing vpon the same psalme where both he and
Aaron are called priests, maketh as it were against the priesthood D. Hard, Cofut,
of Moyses a certein obiection, and afterward concludeth that Moyses hath the same.
Apolog. fol. 305.
was notwithstanding a priest. His wordes are thiese: Ibi quidē non
videtur sacerdos esse nisi Aaron. Aperiē enim in illis literis
Aaron nominatur sacerdos dei. De Moysē non ibi dicitur
quod sacerdos erat. Sed si hoc non erat, quid erat? Nunquid
maior sacerdote esse poterat? Expropriat psalmus iste quia &
ipse sacerdos erat: Moyses & Aaron in sacerdotibus eius. Er-
go erat illi domini sacerdotes. that is to say, there it semeth that
there was no other priest but Aaron: for in that place is he plaine-
ly named a priest, but of Moyses there is no suche word. But if he were
not a priest, what was he then? Could he be greater? The psalme vi-
treath that he was a priest: Moyses and Aaron emongest his priests.
They were therefore both our Lordes priests..

Here I beseeche you good readers, behold the false and vneuen dea-
ling of an heretike, the author of the harborough, of whom a litle be-
fore I made mention. He minding to elude this manifest exposition of
S. Auslen, answereth in this manner: that S. Auslen was ignorant
in the Hebrue tongue, whereby being easely deceived and wrapped
in these two places of scripture, wherein there seemed contra-
diction, he leaueth them at a iarde as he founde them, the one
to saie he was a priest, the other to saie that he was none. Vvhich
māner of interpretation and recōciling of scriptures how it is to be
liked.

A REPROVVE OF M.

Liked, he leaueth he saith to the learned reader to iudge.

For auns were to this mere cauillation of this vaine tangler before I proceude any farder, because he shall not abuse S. Austens ignorance in the Hebrue tongue to the deceauing of you good readers, you shall understande that S. Hierom was not ignorant therein, and yett doeth he so expounde the place. The 70. interpreters chosen and picked as it were out of the best learned and cunningest in that tongue (by all likelihood) that could be founde: Sanctes Pagninus and Sebastianus Munster, yea that most learned Rabbine, Abrahamus Esdras a lewe born, were not ignorant but pearlesse Paragōs therin, and yett doe all thiese expounde the Hebrue word to signifie priestes as sanct Austin doeth. And where he saith that S. Austin beinge thus wrappēd in thiese two contrarie textes, was driven to leauē them as he founde them, the one to saie he was a priest, thother that he was none: in the one he hath belied the holie scriptures, in the other he hath claudered that holie and learned Bisshop. For where, or what scripture saith, that Moyses was no priest (as he saith that one texte saide he was, an other that he was none?) Let him shewe somme such scripture, or els hath he lied vpon the scripture. He may shewe I confess where the scripture (as there vpon S. Austin made his obiection) speaking of him, calleth him not by name of a Priest: which in many other places it doth also of Aaron. Is this therefore a good reason to saie? The scripture in that place made no mention that he was a priest: therefore it saith that he was none. Yea true lie, euen as good as is this. The scripture maketh no mention that the Apostles were ever baptised: therefore it saith that they never were baptized. Or doe these textes make any iarre, the one affirming the the other denieng, to saie Aaron the priest in one place, and Moyses & Aaron his priestes in an other? But as this is a lewd lie, so to goe about to note S. Austin to the world of suche ignorance in the scriptures, as though he had not byn able to vndoe this simple knot (a knot if it be) but was forced to leauē the two places at a iarre vnreconciled.

tiled: I can call it no better but even by the name of wilful malice. As appeareth by that, that guilefully in alleging after their manner without curation (the caselier thereby to deceave the reader) this place of S. Austin: he left out thiese later wordes, Ergo erant illi domini sacerdotes, therefore they were (Moses, and Aaron) our Lordes priestes.

Exod. 28. Now here note I beseeche you diligently that are of the learned sorte, thiese wordes of S. Austin, whiche import in them thus much. It may seeme saith he, to some man, that Moses (because the scripture nameth there onely Aaron by the name of a priest and not him) were no priest: but of them that so gather I would knowe, if he were no priest what he was then, whether they can make him King, Emperour, or any thing that shoulde be greater. And although the scripture in that place doe not call him by the name of his office, yet neither doeth it therefore deny him to be priest, nor we are destitute of other places to proue the same by, as namele this psalme, wherein exprefſe he is ſo called. Vvherfore ſeing neither that place or any other, doth ſaie that he was not a priest, and there is plaine scripture that doeth call him one: I maie boldelie conclude, Erant ergo illi sacerdotes domini. Therefore they were both our lordes priestes.

This is (no dout) the true ſense of S. Austens wordes, whereby you may ſee howe greare the difficulties were, in whiche he was wrapped, and how he wounde him ſelfe out.

No well.

You ſee how ſharpeley M. Dorman interfeineth the authour of the harborough, reprobreding him for affirming that Moses was no priest, and for not allowyng of S. Augustines expositiōn upon the. 98. Psalme teaching that Moses was a priest: and for his interpreting of this Hebrewe woord (Cohanim) in the ſaide Psalme Ministros non sacerdotes, Ministers not

Pp prieſtēs,

A REPROUFE OF M.

Priestes, otherwisse than S. Austin taketh it: and soz
that the saide authour affirmeth that S. Augustine
was not learned in the Hæbreue tongue. In the hand-
ling of all whiche matiers, M. Dozman sheweth him
selfe to be a great Hæbrician, and familiarly conuer-
sant in the ould Rabins. I neede not here to stand in the
defensie of the authour of the harbarough against M.
Dozman; soz that the said authour (if he list) can well e-
nough him selfe shiste with M. Dozman, or any other
his aduersaries. And the lesse cause haue I to travell a-
gainst M. Dozman in this his so long a discourse, soz þ
it is not so muche materiall, whether Moses be taken
soz a Priest or soz no Priest, as shall hereafter appeare.
And though I can professe no knowledge in þ Hæbreue
tongue: yet I may be bould to say thus muche, that al-
though Moses, vntill he had instituted Aaron highe
Priest, did by Gods comaunderement the office of the highe
priest, sacrificing, anointing & ordering of Aaron & his
children: yet afterward it appeareth not that he offered
any sacrifice, which is the peculiar office of the Priest, but
left it to Aaron and his successors, as M. Dozman
out of Calaine hereafter alleageith: bouldest, after he
had instituted Aarōn highe Priest, he reprooued and cor-
rected Aaron, and directed him in his doings: not by the
authoritte of the highe Priesthoode, whiche now Aarōn
had, but by the authoritte of his Lordshippe and Prin-
cedome. And where as Moses in the. 98. Psalmie is ter-
med a Priest wth Aaron, that is to be referred to that
special time, wherein Moses vled the priesthood before,
and at the institution of Aaron. Els, if you do vnder-
stand that he was called afterward by this name Co-
hen, id est Sacerdos, a Priest. Sacerdos is there as
muchas

Exodus.

Exod. 32.
Leuit. 10.

muche to say as Cultor Domini, timensq; illum, &
 P̄est, that is to say, a worshipper of the Lorde, & one
 that feareth him: as Sanctes Pagninus, a man well
 learned in the Hebrew tongue, & not to be suspected as
 partial of our side, vpo y. no. Psalme, & the. i. Paral. 18.
 & in other places doth out of R. David Kimhi doeth in-
 terpretate it: Cohen, inquit, id est, Sacerdos, ac si dicat
 tu es colens Dominum, & dux Israel &c. and agatne,
 Cohanim sacerdotes, id est, cultores Domini, timen-
 tesq; illum: Cohen & Cohanim, a Priest or Priestes,
 that is to say, the worshipper of God, & the duke of Is-
 rael, & one y feareth God. Such a maner of Priest, y is
 to say, one that feared & worshipped God, one y was of
 councel with God, & a prophet of God, we wil not denie
 but that Moses was euē in the highest degrē. And we
 trust that no reasonable man wil denie y a godly Chri-
 stian Prince may be called Cohen a Priest, in y sense,
 that is, one y feareth God, worshippeth & serueth God:
 specially seing the Scripture termeth al y truely godly,
 a kingly priesthood. D. Hardig surely sticketh not to say ^{1. Petr. 2.}
 that a Christian Prince in baptisme receiueth a spiritual D. Hard, Cōfut.
 priesthood: & so he may be called Cohen, id est Sacerdos Apolo. fol 319. a.
 a priest: but yet is he not properly a priest in office. ^{Exod. 29.} Noz
 that Moses was a priest properly after y he once had in
 stituted Aaron, doeth it appeare by any sacrifice y he
 did offre, which was y propre office of the priest, & was
 executed by Aaron: besides the absurdite of tow highe
 Priestes at once, whiche shoulde folowe in case Moses
 were highe Priest too, and aboue Aaron also. Whereof
 more is to be said hereafter. But as R. David Kimhi by
 Pagninus his interpretation expoundeth a Priest,
 and also for that Moses was of the Tribe or Rase of
 Leuite, to y which the priesthood was by God pecularly

Leuit. 8.
 Obtulit Moses
 sacrificium,

assigned, he might therefore haue the name of a p̄t̄st, though he had not the proper office of a p̄t̄st, after the institution of Aaron. But nowe that M. Dorman hath bestowed so muche laboure to prove Moses a p̄t̄st, and yet hath not made it as he said most evidently to appeare, that he was a Priest in dede, though he somewhere haue the name of Cohen, or Sacerdos; if it shoulde be graunted him so certen, that Moses was a p̄t̄st, yet hath he sayd nothing to the p̄ncipall point, to make it apeare any thinge evidently, muche lesse most evidently, that he had his authozitie ouer Aaron being the high p̄t̄st, to reprove and correcle him by his Priesthood, and not by his Princedome. For surely this is no good reason, Moses was both Priest and Prince, therfore he had al his authozitie ouer the high p̄t̄st by his Priesthood, and not by his Princedome. And I trust I haue partly made the contrary evident, and will yet make it more evident, by declaringe of the authozitie of sondrie Princes, noe P̄t̄stes, ouer Bishoppes and P̄t̄stes.

Dorman. Fol. 34.

But then saith this stoute Champion, there were two highe Priests at once, which could not be by the Lawe, and also Moyses must nedes be inferior to Aaron, because Aaron and not he, is there called the high priest.

This obiection hath in dede a hōre of somewhat, although in their manner of governement, to haue manie heades. There were no great absurdite at all. But to this obiection ans wereth most fully s. Austen, himself in an other place, after this sort. Cum ergo videatur, &c. Seinge therefore that the highe priesthood, seemeth to haue begonne in Aaron, what thinkē we that Moyses was? If he were

In qua-
Sup. Le-

Lib. 3. ca-

not.

not a Priest, bōw did he then all those thingē whiche he did? If he were, bōw say we that the high priesthood beganne in his brother Aaron? Although the Psalme also where it is saide, Moyses and Aaron emongest his Priestes, doeth remoue all cause of double; affirming that Moyses was also a Priest. Were they therefore Moyses and Aaron, bothe chiese Priestes, or rather Moyses the chiefe and Aaron vnder him? yea Aaron also the chiefe in respect of the Bis hoppes longinge apparel, and Moyses the chiefe in respect of a more excellent minis-
try. For at the beginninge was it saide to Moyses of Aaron. He shall be thy director in those thingē that ar to be handled with the chief people, and thou his, in such busines as is to be done with God.

It appeareth that this obiectiōn of tow hīgh Prie-
tēs at once shreadly encombreth you M. Dorman, whā
you are d̄suen to say, that in their maner of gouernmēt to haue
many heads, were no great absurditie at all. Why M. Dorman,
if it were no great absurditie, it seemeth you graunt it
some absurditie, whiche to graunt in Goddes ordinance,
is the greatest absurditie of all. And where you say no
great absurditie at all, it appeareth you wile not what you
said at all: for if it be no absurditie at all (as being Gods
ordinance, touching Moyses and Aaron, it must needes
be) than is it neither great nor small. What meaneth
therefore your no great absurditie at all: and whiche is that:
their maner of gouernment you speake of. You goe abouke to
shew it out of S. Augustine, but you labour in vanie:
for it was with him no suche absurditie to graunt tow
high Priestes or head Bis hoppes in the Churche, as it is
with you: who will needes haue but one onely head Bi-
shoppe. In S. Augustines time all Bis hoppes were hīgh
Priestes, that is, every one in their owne diocesse: and

By Goddes lawe all Bishoppes are & quall in authorite, and therefore no one aboue all, as you say your Pope is. Wherefore both the & qualitie of Bishoppes, as every one highest in his owne diocesse by Goddes lawe, and your grauntinge of tow highe Priestes at once, (as you here doo) and of the tow, Moses to be superior to Aaron, wha is the figure of your high Priest the Pope, doo make both against one onely high Priest, and against the supremacie of that one. But it is woorthe the reading that he alleageth out of S. Augustine: Aaron the chiefe in respecte of the Bishoppes apparell and Moses the chiefe in respect of a more excellent ministerie, &c. these wordes of S. Augustine soz that M. Dorman supposed them to be darke, he doeth illustrate with a marginall glose thus: By the Bishoppes apparell understande the exequition of the thinges belonginge thereto: this is M. Dorman's glose. And who is he now that will not thinke him selfe well and clearly resolved of that doubtfull somewhat whiche M. Dorman confesseth to be in this obiectio of tow high Priestes at once, and al matters to be out of doubt seeing M. Dorman saith, that in their maner of gouernement, and in diuers respects is no great absurdite at all to have tow high Priestes and many heedes. And y respect whereby Aaron is the chieffest Priest, is the respect of the Bishoppes apparel, whereby ye must understand the exequition of the thinges belonging thereto: and in the same respect is the Pope by Aarons example, the chieffest Priest to belike. And now you understande why he is so Aaronicall in his apparel, seinge he hath his high Priesthood by Aarons example in respect of his Bishoply apparel. But is this to resolve doubts, or is it not rather to make Puoyrie white with a blacke coale, to vse suche obscurties in

R. 170

W^teade of explications of great doubtes: but M^r. Dorman
spealeth moze plainly hereafter.

Dorman. fol. 34.

Hethereto S^r. Austen by whom we learne that it is no absurdite
that two shoulde be chiefe in two seuerall respectes, the one in o- He dare not say
uerseinge and prescriving what shalbe done, the other in practising, chiefe priestes,
and putting in execution, the thinges prescribed: the one absolutely
without relation, the other in a respect by a comparison. As in the
new lawe (a figure whereof diuerte well learned men haue expoun-
ded this priesthood of Moyses and Aaron to be) Christ we see, is of his
Churche onely, simply and absolutely the head: Peter and after him
his successors, no otherwise but in comparison of other inferiour
members. Moyses as he was with God more familiar then any other,
as he received immediatly (without the helpe of any other instrument
to conuey it by unto him) from the mouth of Almightie God his ho-
ly will and pleasure: he was there is no double thereof, the high-
and chiefeſt Priest. Aaron also; as he was by almighty God chosen
to publishe to the people those thinges whiche Moyses had giuen
him in charge: as he offred the sacrifices and executed the ceremoni-
ties, he had also therein the souereinete and superioritie.

Norwell.

We ſit as M^r. Dorman ſaith, that it is no absurdite that
two ſhould be chiefe (Priestes) in tow ſeuerall respectes, the one
in uerſeinge and preſcribinge what ſhalbe done the other in put-
ting in exequation the thinges preſcribed &c. We are conſted
therewith ſeinge it is no absurdite. Let it alſo I pray
you, be likewiſe no absurdite that the Churche nowe
haue tow high Priestes and tow headeſ, be it in one, or
diuers respectes, I ſay let vs haue tow and remoue vs
away this your one onely head, that he in all respectes
bothe of preſcribinge and exequantinge, be not the
onely.

A REPROOFE OF M.

onely head of the Church, as you do make him. You wil
say, I do require this against all reason; that be your
selfe iudge of M. Dozman. These be your owne wōrdes:
Dor. sup. fol. 7. b I haue perceived that God in that people in their lawe and Priest-
hoodes shadowed ouer unto vs, like a cunninge workeman the whole
forme and proportion of his Churche, &c. And shortly after
you say, you may reason thus; it was so in the shadowe therefore
it must be so in the boodie, and in the truth signified by the shadowe.
These be your owne wōrdes M. Dozman, with many
mo to that effect, in that place. Wherefore, as you af-
firme that there were tow high Priestes in that people,
and in that law, let it be so in our Church now. Do not
I pray you, marre Goddes cunninge workmanshipe;
do not alter the forme and proportion of his Churche,
by hym selfe set forth: but let vs now also god M. Doz-
man, be it in one respect, or in dingers, haue tow highe
Priestes, one to ouersee and præscribe as did Moses, an-
other to erequite as did Aarō; & let not your one Pope
be all in all, but that if the one do erre, as did Aaron,
the other may controule him, as did Moses; if the one
leade vs to Idolatrie, as your Pope, folowinge therein
Aaron, hath done, the other may withdrawe vs from it,
as did Moses. And if the one play the tyraunt ouer vs,
as hath your Pope of longe done, the other may by his
authoritie (whiche he must by the saide forme and pro-
portion haue ouer your Pope, as had Moses ouer Aarō)
releauie and helpe vs in such oppression. Neither may
you sle to this shiste, which you shortly after are faine
to seeke at Caluines handes, that Moses example in ha-
vinge and vslinge bothe the iurisdiccons Ecclesiasticall
and Temporal, was but for a time: for Caluine so saith
to exclude your Pope and his prelates from the claime,

and

and blurpattō of them both, by Moses example. And you
 of all others may worse allege, that it was but for a
 time, y^e there were tow high Priestes: for your wordes
 of the forme and proportiō by God as a most cūning workemansha- Dor. fol. 7.1.b.
 dowed out to be obserued in our Churche, as in the Bodie and truth,
 are spoken of that time when Moses and Aaron were
 together tow high Priestes (as you say) and when Mo-
 ses had the superioritie ouer the high Priest Aaron; and
 your textes there alleaged out of Deuter. the 17. and 25.
 chapters, were written when Moses had this authoritie
 ouer him. Wherefore either let this forme and propor-
 tion of the Church by God y^e best workeman shadowed
 out to be obserued, as you doo confesse, stil remaine, that
 we may haue some Moses to correct your Aarō the high
 Priest : or if you will needes by Caluines helpe haue
 Moses be but a temporall example, and not to extende
 to Christian Princes, I pray you deale with your mai-
 ster D. Harding, y^e Moses exāple may be temporal also,
 to continue onely for that time, & not to extend to your
 Popes temporall Jurisdicō, as your maister extendeth Harding. Cofur.
 it. And with all, let Aaron, as one onely high Priest, be Apol. fol. 305.
 a temporal exāple also, for the onely nation of the Iues:
 and extende not his example to the prouise of your one
 onely high Priest ouer all nations, in the wozlde, which
 is a thinge plainly impossible to one man: deale you,
 who do finde faulte with others vneuen dealinge,
 evenly with vs in these mattiers, I pray you. And if you
 will with Caluine haue Moses example temporarie, &
 peculiar to that time onely, let also with Caluine Aa-
 rons example be peculiar to the onely Iues, & the time of
 their Church, & extende it not to all times, & Churches.
 Neither can your figure any thing helpe you, that Moses

Dq

figured

A REPROVFE OF M.

figured Christe as absolutely the highest Priest: and Aaron figured Peter as head in a respect and his successors Popes after him. For your maister D. Hardinge maketh Moses an example or figure of the Pope, as both Priest and King, and not onely Aaron, as you do of the Popes high Priesthood. And that Aaron, & the high Priests his successors were figures, net (as you saye out of your diuers surmised wel learned men, naming nor noting no man) of Peter and his successors, but of Christe him selfe that onely high Bishoppe, all the Scriptures do testifie, and S. Augustine, with all other ancient fathers do witnesseth the same. And S. Cyprian with many other godly ould doctors do make Aaron a figure or example of every Bishoppe in his owne Diocesse, and not of the Bishop of Rome ouer all diocesses. Wherefore you to resemble Moses to Christe, & your maister to resemble him to the Pope: you againe to resemble Aaron to the Pope, as head and high Bishop of al Churches, where the Scriptures and all the doctors resemble him to Christe, as that onely head and high Bishoppe: and S. Cyprian with others resemblinge him to every Bishoppe in his owne Church, not to one Bishop ouer y whole Church: to haue, I say, so many figures & examples continually to serue for your Popes, both spirituall and temporall iurisdiction, and to make all examples temporarie, whan they do proue the Princes authoritie ouer Priestes, is no euene dealing: & I trust that you who so lately blamed the vneuen dealing of the authoř of the Apologie, wil deale so euenerly with vs, as to diminish nothing of that forme and proportio by God as a most cuning workemans had ordred out in the Iuisch church, to be obserued in our church as your selfe expressly doth affirme: & so ether to let vs haue tow high Priestes,

Confut. Apol.
fol. 305.

Cyprianus lib. 3.
epistola. 9. & lib.
4. epistola. 9.

Priestes, as you say Moyses and Aaron were: or elles as the truth was in dede in the Iuishe nation & Churche, and as it is in all godly common wealthes, & Churches, let vs haue our Moyses, to say, our Prince, & our Aaron, that is, our Bishoppe and high Priest: and let him be vnder the Prince as his Lord, according as was Aaron vnder Moyses, his Lorde.

Dorman. Fol. 34.

And thus muche for answere to that obiection made of two high Priestes. But to make this matter more euident and to folowre in oratione my purpose, this is not saint Austens minde alone, that the man quā habuit shoulde so frette and fume at him therefore. For Gregorius Nazianzenus, hath of Moyses and Aaron, in plaine Woordes, that they were bothe Priestes, and alleageth to prove it (as saint Austin did) the psalme where they are so called, with diuerse other auncient writers whome because I take the case to be cleare emongest the learned I here forbearre to alleage: and am for this time contented (to giue to our aduersaries the larger scope) to put the case as though Moyses had beinge no Priest, corrected and reprooved Aaron that was one, that he prescribed to him what he shoulde doe, that he made him Priest as it appeareth by the scriptures he did. The

whiche imagined to be true, I aske this question, whether it doe therefore folow that Princes being lay men, may at this day in matters of Religion, comproll the Bisshoppes, and prescribe vnto them what ordre they shall obserue and folowe therein? whether they may also giue orders to Priestes, and consecrate Bisshoppes now, because Moyses consecrated Aaron then? No truely if you will beleue John Caluine, it is an vntrue and a false collection. For that Moyses saith he, had bothe the charges, that is of thinges as well Eccllesiasticall and spirituall as ciuile and polynke together: to that I answere that it was done first by miracle, and secondarily that that was but temporall, till such time as thinges were better staled.

Dq 2

For

for afterwarde saith he, as soone as God hadordeined a forme such Caluins an
as he woulde shoulde continue, there remained to Moyses but onely the lver to th
ciuite governement, concerninge the priesthood, it was necessarie obiectio
that he shoulde resigne that to his brother Aaron. And good reason Moyles.
why for it passeth natural power that one mans shoulde susteine both
the charges . Hethereto Caluine.

No well.

The obiection of tow high Priestes at once, hath so
much in it, & you haue saide so little against it, and to so
little purpose : that your owne discoursinge about tow
highe Priestes at once in this place , marreth all that
you here to fore haue saide for one highe Priest , or shall
hereafter say, for the Bishoppe of Rome , as that one
high Priest , and head of the whole Churche . Where-
fore it greeveth not me, though Nazianzene agree with
S. Augustine , that Moses and Aaron were bothe
high Priestes , for they and all other ancient doctours
do agree that all Bishoppes by Goddes lawe be high
Priestes, every one in their owne diocesse highest and
chiefest : and so that none is aboue them , but that
they be all equall , and therefore your Pope in ta-
kinge vpon him to be the highe Judge , ouer the Jud-
ges , and the high Bishoppe ouer all Bishoppes , is a
false usurpar.

But here M. Dornā of his largious liberalitie, thinke
you (as he saith) or of doubt, of his former dealinge for
Moses Priesthood is content for this time to haue tow
stringes to his boe, one beinge cleane against an other,
that he may shute the streighter bliske. And to put
y case that Moses was no Priest, & that he beinge no Priest
corrected, and reprooved Aaron, that was one, that he prescribed

to him what he shuld do: made him priest, as appeareth by the scriptures he did, what than (saieb he) doeth it folowe therefore that Princes being lay mens shall doo the like, that they may at this daie in matiers of Religion controulle the Bisshoppes, and praescribe vnto them what orders they shal obserue, and folowe therein, that they may geue orders, and consecrate Bisshoppes nowe, because Moses consecrated Aaron than?

To his demaunde I answere, that Princes may not doo all the thinges whiche Moses did. And we demaunde againe, may your priestes, or your Pope himselfe, or can they doo the thinges that Moses did? If they can not? It is nothing to the derogation of Princes authoritie that they may not doo al, that Moses did: whiche all your Popes and priestes may not, nor can not doo.

Touching giuing of orders, or consecrating of Bisshoppes, we neuer saide that Princes might doo suche thinges. Wherefore it is in vaine to demaunde it, as though we had said, they so might.

You would inferre thereof, that Princes may not therefoze reprove and correcce priestes offendinge, as Moses did Aaron: Whiche because they can not doo all thinges that Moses did, therefoze they can doo nothinge that he did. But by that reason your Pope may not geue orders, or consecrate Bisshoppes, as Moses did to Aaron, soz that he can not deuide the sea, bringe water out of a hard rocke, and doo all the residue of Moses his myracles. We graut that Moses did sacrifice, did anoint and institute Aaron, and made him prieste by speciaall commission, and therfore no example ordinarye to be folowed, can be taken thereof. But that the reprovinge and correcing of Aaron the high priest offending, was

A REPROUFE OF M.

myracious, and by speciall commission, M. Dozman
can never proue. But that it was ordinary and ordina-
tely to be folowed we will proue in this processe folo-
wing, by the ordinary vsage of auncient godlie Prin-
ces, as wel of the ould Law, as of our Religion, beinge
no Preestes, & yet ordinarely reþouning and coþrecting
Bishoppes and Preestes, as Moses did Aaron.

You do very often pray aside of Caluine, whom and
whose writinges you do þprofesse that of all others you
do most detest: but moze inconueniently, than now, you
never did alleage him. For first, you putting a case of
Moses as no Preest, do bring in Caluine to proue your
purpose: who saith that Moses than vþed the priesthood,
and had both the charges, as well Ecclesiastical & Spi-
rituall, as ciuill and politique together: but afterward
(saith Caluine) it was necessary that Moses should re-
signe ouer the priesthood to his brother Aaron, wherby
it is evident, that whþ he did those thinges, which you
speake of, he by Caluins iudgement, was a Preest, and
vþed the priesthoode. Caluine therfore should haue bene
alleaged by you before, where you trauell so earnestly
to proue Moses a Preest. Secondly, where Caluine ab-
horring the usurping of ciuil & tempozall dominions &
iurisdictions by your Pope and other Prelates, doth in
the place by you here alleaged, declare that they cþ not
by Moses exþple (whþch was myracious, & for a time)
claime any such thing: you alleage this place of Caluine
as against vs, with whþ herein he doth most thouroughly
agreé against you. Wherefore you might better singe
this song, that Moses authoritie to vþe both the spiritual and tem-
poral iurisdiction came to him by myracle, and was temporal, for that
it passeth naturall power that one man should sustaine boeth the
charges

charges as wel of the spirituall as of civil thinges: this songe I
say, may you sing to your maister D. Hardinge, who a. Hard. Confus.
bove all natural power and reason, gathereth of Moses Apol. 305.
myzaculous & temporall authoritie, that Priesles, & spe-
cially your Pope may rule both Spiritually & Tem-
porally. But that the authoritie vsed by Moses ouer Aarō,
which we do attribute to Princes, is not myzaculous,
but ordinarie, not temporall, but continuall, shal appear
evidently by other godly Princes of y Ties no priesles,
and by Christia Princes also ordinarily vsing the like.
It is no myzaculous nor extraordinarie thinge for M.
Dormā to b̄zg in places of Caluin, where he at large,
and most expreſſie oppugneth the Pope & his pzelates
in iust and vnozderly usurpation, as though he therein
had with them houlden against vs. But that it is no ex-
traordinarie thinge in Caluins iudgement, for godly
Princes to reproue Priesles swaruing fr̄ Gods word,
as here did Moses to Aaron, is evident by Caluin every-
where, where he intreateth of this matter, as I haue at
large before declared. Caluin therefore in this & other
places, is against your maister & you, and other your
felowes, who consenting al, do attribute to your Pope
both Temporal and Spirituall office, and rule: not a-
gainst vs, who do make y offices of a Prince & a pyleſt,
so diſtinct, that no Prince may breake into the office of
a Pyleſt, as to preache, to bynde and looſe, to minister
the Sacramentes: neither contrarie wyſe., may a
Pyleſt burst into principalite, and ciuill dominion,
and iurisdiction, as Caluine in this place by you allea-
ged, largely declareth to be expreſſie by Gods word for-
bidden him. But these men, who so carefullie auoide all
confusion of Princes and Priesles offices, that they can
not

A REPROUFE OF M.

not abide that Christian Princes shuld controulle false
priestes erring from Gods woord, and doo affirme it
to be extraordinarie presuming so to doo, which in dede
by the examples of all ancient godly Princes is pronounced
most ordinarie, and no presuming vpon Priestes office
at all: the same men can well abide that priestes shall
rule not onely spiritually, but temporally too, and not
onely controulle, but also depose and depoule Princes,
(as vsuallie, though most vnorderly their Popes haue
done) and take vpon them to be lordes of all the wold,
and high Emperours aboue al princes, as in their own
canon Lawe is recorded most contrarie to Gods word,
forbiolding the same; and Caluin in the very same place
by M. Dozman here alleged as against vs, likewise te-
stifying it to be against Gods word, and expesly there-
in forbidden.

Nowe as Calutne saith, that your Pope & his pze-
lates can not claime both Ecclesiasticall and Civil do-
minion and iurisdiction by Moses example, for that it
was but temporarie and peculiar to that one onely time:
so teacheth Calutne, that your Pope can claime no high
Priesthood ouer the whole Churche, by Aarons exam-
ple, for that it was peculiar to the onely nation of the
Iues, and their Churche, to haue one onely high Priest:
and that it can not possiblie be that there shuld be like-
wyle one head ouer all nations, and all Churches: and
so that neither Moses nor Aarons example can serue
your purpose, and yet you bring in Calutne as against
vs. The very conclusion and effect of all your dylste is,
that Priestes, though never so wicked and abominable,
may not be controuled but by Priestes (a good caution
sure, *Quando lupus lupinam non est*) & that Princes
if they

Sex. Decretal.
Lib. 3. tit. 16. cap.
Periculoso. in
Glosa.

If they controull Priestes, dw take vpon them Priestes offices: but Princes, Kinges, and Emperours, may be controullled by Priestes, rea, and may be deposed from their dominions, kingdomes, and Empires, by them: and yet dw they not herein take vpon them Princes offices, but dw, as it apperteineth to them by their priestly right to dw: soz as D. Harding teacheth, Priestes, & Conf. Apol. 30^s. specially the Pope, may rule both Temporallie and Spiritually, but Princes may not. This is your doctrine of Princes and Priestes: this is the practise of your Pope and his Prelates, moste contrarie to the doctrine of our Sauour Christ, and his holie Apostles, and all godly auncient Doctors, exprestely forbidding Priestes such rule and dominion.

Dorman. Folio. 35.

Now if it be so that this auctorite of Moyses came to him by miracle, or that he had it by especiall commision, then can we not you wot, of either of these two cases gather a necessary consequence. And thus might we answere our aduersaries good Readers, even by their owne Doctor. But cleaving to the scriptures, and auncient fathers of Christes church, we hold the first opinion that Moyses was a Priest, and that in that respect he had auctorite over the Priestes, and not as he was a Prince.

Norwell.

Why hath your maister D. Hardinge than gathred this conclusion hereof, In that Moses had both the offices, it prooweth that a Priest may haue both, but not contrariwise that a king mae haue both. And againe. Now if Moyses were both, and his chief office was priesthood, it foloweth by that example that the Pope may rule temporallie, but not that a king may rule spirituallie.

D. Hard. Conf. Apolo. fol. 30^s.

Re

These

A REPROUFE OF M.

These you wot are your masters cōclusions gathered
of this example of Moses. You shuld therfore make this
answere to your maister & not to vs. For we do graunt
that Moses did by myracle and by speciall commission,
both manie other thinges, & also soyned the exequution
of divers pointes of the Priestes office with his civill
dominion: neither do we go about to gather any necess-
arie consequence thereof, that Princes now may do y
like: whiche they nether do, nor desire to do: as doth
your Pope & his prelates, & you do mainteine the same.
But that Moses did reprove and correct Aaron erring &
committing Idolatrie, either by myracle, or speciall com-
mission, that we denie; and by the ordinarie doinges of
other godly auncient Princes no Priestes, likewise re-
proving and correcting Priestes offendyng, it shal here-
after be plainlye proved. As Eusebius and Socrates
do in their histories Ecclesiastical compare Constantine
and Theodosius the yunger, Emperours, with the same
Moses, as like to him in sondrie vertues & deder, so dare
we be bould to compaire auncient godly Princes, repro-
ving and correcting Priestes offendyng, to Moses doing
the like: and by the example of both Moses, and them,
may godly Christian Princes of our time reprove and
correcte the wicked Priestes of our tyme: yea not re-
prove them onely, but remove them too. And so doing,
shall folowe no myracious example done by speciall
comission, but the ordinarie office of all godly Princes,
in all ages most vsually practised. Now that M. Doz-
man did knowe right well that all that he had saide in
his case here put, was nothing to the purpose in dede,
him selfe euidently declareth by recouling back from his
said case, whiche of his liberalitie he had a litle before
put,

Eusebius in vita
Constant. lib. I.
fol 2. & fa. 162.
& fo. 163. & rur.
sum. 165. 167.
Socrat. lib. 7.
cap. 42.

put, to geue vs the larger scope, as he saith. But nowe he bloweth the retreat to his former opinion, that Moyses was a Priest, as vnto a safer ground to stand vpon. But thus to put the case, that Moyses were no Priest, & to proue þ same by Caluine, who saith he was a priest, and vised the priesthood, that is, to mingle fire and waſter together: and whan he hath all done after so leudo prosequuting of the case, to put the case withall the implemetes thereof cleane away, is not his liberalitie towardes vs in geuing vs the larger scope as he saith, but rather his liberalitie towardes him self, geuing him self a most large scope, to abuse both his owne time and the Readers patience also, with such leud triflinge, & found answering of vs, by our owne Doctors (as he saith) moſte evidently declaring thereby to all discrete men his owne notable dotage.

To conclude: you ſee good Readers, that it can not by an Hæbreue woordes of doubtfull ſignification, vndoubtedlie, and moſt euidently (as ſaith M. Dorman) appeare that Moyses was a Priest, ſpecially after he had once iſtituted Aaron Priest: muſche leſſe doth it appeare that Moyses had his authoritie ouer the highe Priest, not because he was a Prince, but in that he was a Priest, as M. Dorman affirmeth. You ſee alſo, that as it were apparent absurdite, one by his priesthood to be aboue the highe Priest, who by his office is hiȝest of all other Priſtesses: ſo is it no absurdite for a godlie Prince to be aboue the highe Priest, & to reprooue him offending, as Aaron confefſed Moyses to be his lord, and paciently ſustained, whan he was by him ſuſtice reprooued. And you may ſee that we haue no cauſe, muſche to care whether Moyses were a Priest, or no Priest:

K. 2

for were

*Exod. 32. c. 22.
& Levit. 10. c. 16.*

for were he no Priest, and yet both did direct and correct Aaron the highest Priest, as it maketh wholly with the Apologie, and vs so to graunt that he were a priest, and so consequently that there were at once tow highe Priestes, and of the tow, Moses to be the superiour, and Aaron the inferiour, doeth ouerthowre their doctrine of one highe Priest, and the supremacie of the same. And further you see, how unreasonablie he alleageth out of Caluine that the temporarie authoritie, whiche Moses had by speciall commission and myzacle, to be both a Priest and a Prince is not to drawe into example, as though that were against vs, who do plainly teache that the offices of Priestes and Princes are distincke, and may not be confounded; but it is most directly against his maister D. Harding & other Papistes: who by that example would establish the Popes myraculous or rather monstrous authoritie, in beinge both Priest and Prince or Magistrate: to the reprouse wherof as being directly against Gods wrod, Caluine speaketh in that place: who also teacheth that the example of Aaron as one onely high Priest, was likewise peculiar to the nation of the Iues, and not to be drawn into example for one onely high Priest ouer all nations, and Churches, nor no otherwise to be vsed, but that every Churche or Diocesse should haue his Bishop, that is, his one high Priest to governe it: and so that Caluin being so wholie and clearlie with vs, & against them, is by M. Dozman as with them, against vs most fondly alleaged.

And thus finally you see good Readers, that the examples as well of Aaron as of Moses, were he Priest or no Priest, maketh with vs and against them.

Dorman. Fol. 35.

The second
example.
Iosue.

The next example that they alleage is of Iosue, who beinge also a ciuile magistrate, received (they say) at the time that he was appointed to gouerne the people, expresse commaundement and by name, of Religion and worshipping of God. But by what wordes that woulde I faine knowe. For in that chapitre by them in their 66.b.

Hofius beginneth this treatise of the examples of the old testamente. lib.2. fol. 66.b.

Apologie alleged, can I finde no wordes whereby there might be grounded in temporall men, as we call them, or ciuile magistrates any suche auctoritie ouer matters of religion, as they labour to induce. For firste this is out of all question, that in one of these two D. Harding. Cōsentences it is which I shall here alleage, or that elles it is not there fut. fol. 303.b. to be looked for. The first of the whiche two is this: Confortare & esto robustus &c. Be of good comfort and be stronge, that thou maiest kepe and doo all the lawe, whiche Moyses my seruaunt hath commaunded thee. s̄marue not either to the right hande or to the lefte, that thou maest understande all thinges that thou doest.

Is there here good readers any auctoritie giuen to meddle with religion? was there not as much as this cometh to, saied to every one The same faith of the children of Israell, that they shoulde truly obserue the commandementes giuen to them by Moyses? Is there not as much saide Confut. Apol. so every one of vs touchinge the obseruinge of the commandementes of almighty God? and yet had neither the children of Israell then, nor we now, auctoritie ouer Religion paydrie. The other sentence is this. Non recedat volumen &c. that is to saie: let not the booke of this lawe departe from thy mouthe, but thou shalte spende thy time both night and day in the meditation thereof, that thou maest keape and doo all thinges that are written therein. Then shalt thou direct thy way and understande the same.

Where I pray you is Iosua here commaunded to meddle with religion? in that that he is bidden to study the scriptures? Now surely that is far fetcht and needeth no great refutation. For this know So saith D. Hard. 3 well will they graunte, and for a maxima and very principle is Cōfut. fol. 303.a.

A REPROVFE OF M.

it holden in their religion, that these woordes perteine to every man
a like, as well to the Cartar as to the Kinge or Duke; and make as
much for the one to be a Kinge, as they doo for the other to entre-
meddle in the order of Religion.

No well.

Whether there be nothinge to be founde in the first
chapter of Josua, for the authozitie of godly ciuill Magis-
trates in matiers of Religion, vnlesse it be in one of þ
tow sentences by M. Dorman here noted, we shal see here-
after. But those woordes which are by hym here reherred
be sufficient to proue al þ the Apologie affirmeth of Jo-
sua: which is, þ Josua receyued comandement expreſſly,
touching Religion, and the worſhipping of God, for so
much I am ſure no man but M. Dorman, wil denye to be
conteined in these woordes of that chapter: Ne declines
&c. and Non recedat volumen &c. þ is to ſay: Thou
ſhalt not ſwarue either to the right hande, or to the left
from my law: that þ maile vnderſtand al thinges that þ
doest. Let not þ booke of this law departe fro thy mouth:
but thou ſhalt ſtudie therein both night & day, that thou
maile kepe & do al thinges þ are written therein: than
ſhalt thou direct thy way, and vnderſtande the ſame. Is
not here I pray you an expreſſe comandement of God
to Iouie, touching Religion, and the worſhippinge of
God: And moze the Apologie ſaith not.

Now concerning these woordes of God to Josua twise
repeated, Vt custodias & facias oem legem, &c. & iterum.
Vt custodias & facias omnia quæ scripta ſunt in
volumine legis huius &c. þ is to ſay: That thou maile
kepe and do all the law: and that thou maile kepe and
do all thinges conteined in the volume of this lawe:
Where M. Dorman ſaith there is no moze here ſaid to

Josua,

Apologia. g.1.
pag.2.
Acceptit mādata
a nominati de
religione, deque
coleō Deo.

Josua, than to every one of the children of Israell , that
is, that thei shoulde truely obserue the comauementes
geuen to them by Moses, and be studious of the Scrip-
tures: and he addeth , that it is holden for a maxima , &
very principle in our Religion , that these wordes per-
teine to every man alike , as well to the Cartar as to
the Kinge or Duke: it is M. Dorman that so saith , and
not we. For we, seinge the wordes v^t custodias & fa-
cias, that thou maist keepe , and thou maist do all the
lawe twise repeated & spoken to the Prince, do say: that
a greater charge is giuen to y^e Prince than to every one
of the common people, & that in the one v^t facias, that
thou maist do all the law, is a comandement touching
the Princes obeing of it him selfe: and in the worde, v^t
custodias oēm legem, that thou maist keepe al the law,
is a commaundement speciall to the Prince, to haue the
said lawe in his custodie , as by the Scripture it is eul- Princeps custos
legis,

A REPROVVE OF M.

it, as in such breuistic was necessarie. And he was the shorster, for that he had a few lynes before thus written the same. Præterquam q[uod] principi fidelis à Deo mandata est cura viriusq[ue] tabulae &c. that is to say; besides that a Christian Prince hath the charge of both tables committed to him by God, to thende he may understand, that not temporal matiers onely, but also religious and Ecclesiastical causes perteine to his office. These be the wordes of the Apologie, gathered out of these wordes of God to Iosua in this place, and of suche like wordes to other godly Princes in other places. Now will you heare D. Hardinges answere hereto: it is this. If you

D. Hard. Cofut. 4pol. fol. 303. 2. meane that the kinge ought to see others to kepe both tables of the law: that may he doo either in appointinge temporall paines for the transgressours of them, or in executinge the saide paines vpon the transgressours. But as he can not excommunicate any man for not appearing when he is called, so can he not iudge all causes of the law. Lo M. Dorman here is somewhat graunted by your maister that pertaineth not as well to the Carter as to the Kinge or Duke, and that maketh more for a Kinge to intermeddle in the ordre of Religion, than it maketh for a Carter to be a Kinge, as it please you, pleasauntly (as you thinke) to play with these wordes. Here, I say, it is confessed that a Prince may either appoint temporall paines, for the transgressours of Goddes lawes of both the tables, or see the said paines exacted vpon the transgressours: this can not a Carter do, this your maister confesseth a Kinge may do. And if your Bishoppes and Prelates be transgressours of both the tables, you see y Prince may see temporall paines, as imprisonment, sequestration from their liuinge, or deprivations, if the cause so require, to be exacted vpon them. And this keeping of all

all the law, or charge of both the tables we say, is here
 by God committed & comanded to Iosua, what so ever
 you say. And what you say it forceth not, for your mai-
 ster confesseth the said charge of the keeping of both the
 tables of Gods lawe by temporall paines, to apperteine
 to godly Princes: which being by your master graunted,
 we say, proueth y Princes may intermedle in the ordre
 of religion, though you do denie it. Now y which your
 master excepteth afterward, that a kinge can not excommunicate
 any man, nor, can not iudge all causes of the lawe, we gladly
 admitte, & do thinke verely that no kinge will desire to
 excommunicate any man. And godly wise Princes which
 know they are not hable to iudge all causes of ciuill or
 mans lawes, will not presume to iudge all causes of
 Gods lawes. Which if your Pope do presume to do, he
 shalbe moze presumptuous, than either wise or godly.
 But will you also heare what Hosius answeareth to y
 like obiection y Princes haue the charge of both the ta-
 bles, and consider whether D. Harding borowed not his
 answeare of him. Nam & nos Magistratum iidem ut Hosius lib. 11.
 ille (Caluinus) custodē esse primæ & secundæ tabulae fol. 105. 2.
 legis, quod ad externā disciplinā attinet, profitemur,
 Debet enim prohibere externa scelerata, puniri sc̄otes,
 prohibere Idololatriā vestram, & blasphemias &c.
 y is to say: For we also (saith Hosius) do profess like-
 wise as doth Caluine, y the Magistrate is the keeper of Custos primæ &
 the first & seconde table, as much as apperteineth to the secundæ tabulae
 outward discipline: For the Magistrate ought to forbid
 outward wicked dedes, to punish offendours, to forbide
 your Idolatrie, & blasphemies: that the Magistrate sus-
 ter you not to set forth to y people, in steede of y worde
 of God your owne worde, & it to be worshipped of the
 unskilful people, as though it were Gods worde, onely

Tueri & pro-
mouere,

Cū impijs culti-
bus vestris.

for a few wozdes, out of y^e Scriptures, by you brought
& wrested to a wronge sense. The Magistrate ought to
defende, & set forth the godly doctrine which he hath fro.
the fathers, to fathers, as it were by hand received, and
your wicked doctrine together, with your wicked woz-
shippings ought & Magistrate to abolish & put away.
Thus farre Hosius truly translate wozde for wozde.
Lo here also M. Dozman an other maner of keeping of
the whole law or both the tables confessed by your ma-
ister his authour and yours, than appertineth to the
Carter, as well as to the Kinge. And we are well con-
tent that the Christian Magistrate Kinge or Prince do-
forbidde all outwarde wicked deedes, and punish all of-
fendours: and do forbidde Idolatries, and blasphemies,
and suffer not mans wozde to be set forth to the unskil-
full people, and by them to be worshipped for Goddes
wozde, onely for a few wozdes brought out of y^e Scrip-
tures, and wrested to a wronge sense. Wee do graunke
that the Magistrate, Kinge, or Prince ought to defende
and set forth godly doctrine receaved from the fathers,
as it were by hande, and ought to abolishe and put a-
way wicked doctrine with all wicked wozshippinges.
Herein doe we agree with your maister D. Hardinge,
herein we agree with Hosius, your maisters authour
of this sentence. But we agree not with you, who do
say, that this keepinge of the whole law, or both tables,
doeth make as much for a Carter to be a Kinge, as for a Kinge to
intermeddle in the ordre of Religion. For, to punishe out-
warde wickednes, and offendours against both the ta-
bles, to forbiddre Idolatries, and blasphemies, to see
that mans wozde be not set forth and worshipped for
Goddes wozde, to defende maintaine and set forth god-
ly doctrine and Religion, & to abolish wicked doctrine,

Religion,

Religion, & rites (all whiche your authour Hosius particularly graunteth , and your master D. Hardinge in effect, doeth graunt the same, to apperteine to the Magistrate, Kinge, or Prince) this we say, is to intermedle in the ordre of Religion: and I beleue no man but you, will denie it so to be.

And thus you see god Readers how D. Hardinge, and Cardinall Hosius do graunt that Kinges & Princes haue the kēpinge or charge of both tables , or the whole law committed unto them: as here was the godly Prince or Duke Iosua comauded to keepe the whole lawe, or to be a keeper of both the tables of the lawe, for all is one . But you will say , they are our Idolatries, our blasphemies, or wicked doctrine, Religion, and worshipping , that Hosius saith the Magistrate ought to forbide , abolishe , and put away . We know he so saith: but will you say, that the godly Magistrate ought not likewise to forbide , abolishe and put away your Idolatries , your blasphemies , your wicked doctrine, Religion , worshippinges, and rites? You will say you haue no such thinge in your Churche: giue vs leaue to say the like for our selfes, that we haue no Idolatries, blasphemies , wicked doctrine, Religion, nor rites; and giue the Magistrate , Kinge , or Prince, &c. leaue and authoritie, to forbide, abolishe, and put away all Idolatries, blasphemies, wicked doctrine, and wicked Religion, where so ever they finde it, in you, or in vs : for so acknowledgeth D. Hardinge , so confesseth and protesteth Cardinall Hosius , unlesse your exquitise, and euene dealing will giue them this authoritie ouer vs, but not ouer your selfes.

Now wheare Hosius speaketh of godly doctrine, as it were by hande receaved from the Fathers , no godly

doctrine so receiued will we refuse: & our doctrine whiche
they refuse, we doubt not to proue y^e we haue receiued
it from the best, & most ancient holy fathers, & from the
Apostles them selues the fathers of fathers: yea from
Christ, who is before and aboue all fathers, and by the
agreinge of our doctrine, with his doctrine in his holie
woorde conteined, we haue alreadie so proued the same
before both Magistrate, & priuate persons, before both
Princes, and people, that a great numbre of them are
thoroughly persuaded, that not we, but you haue, & use
y^e Idolatries, blasphemies, setting forth of mans woorde
for Goddes woorde, wicked doctrine, wicked Religion,
wicked woorshippinges, & rites, by godly Princes to be
forbidden, abolished, and cleane put away. And thus I
leaue it to thie iudgement god Reader, whether Kinges
and Princes, with other Magistrates, hauing with the
kepinge of the whole lawe, or both tables, authoritie
to punishe offenders against both the tables, hauning
authoritie to forbiddes, abolishe, and put away Idolatryes,
blasphemies, mans woorde, wicked doctrine, wicked
Religion, & woorshippinges, (which is by the adver-
saries confessed they haue,) haue not withall something
to do with intermedling in y^e ordre of religio: which M.
Dorman here denieth y^e Kinges & Princes haue. Now
by the Way: whereas God in the places by M. Dor-
man here noted, commaundeth Iosua a Sholdier and
man of warre, to stude in the Scriptures both night
and day, no doubt he willeth Princes, who enioye the
quietnes and leasure of peace, to do no lesse. And
where God saith, that the stude of his lawe dothe
bringe a man to the knowledge and vnderstandinge of
that he ought to do, and to the direction of his waies:
how these men, who do keepe all men Princes and
others,

others as muche as in them lieth, not onely from the studie, but from the reading and sight of the scriptures, as the cause of all errours; not the direction of mans wates: preferring ignorance, as the mother of devotion, before knowledge what we ought to do, here by God commeded: how they I say, do herein agree with Gods will and comaunderement, I pray the god Reader consider. And thus muche touching the tow places out of the first of Josua which it pleased M. Dozman to note.

Now, where he saith that in the one of these tow places of that chapter by him alleged, whatsoever may be said for civil Magistrates to haue any authoritie in matters of Religion is to be foud, or els it is out of al question that it is not there to be looked for. You shall understand, that a question or twayne may reasonable be moued of other places in that chapter, & that therefore it is not so cleare out of all question, as he would make it. For what saide you M. Dozman to these wordes of God in the same chapter, Sicut fui cum Mose &c. As I was with Moses, so wil I be with thee: I wil not leave thee nor forsake thee. And vpon this comission, the Princes of the people, with all the people together, to whom y said Princes were by Josua sent, do soinly say. Sicut obediimus in cunctis Mosis, ita obediemus & tibi &c. to say. As we obeyed Moses in all thinges, so will we also obey thee: onely let the Lord be with thee, as he was with Moses. Whosoever shal gainsay thy mouth, & not obey all the wordes, whiche thou shalt commaund him, let him die. These be their very wordes, which do not a like belog to the Carter as to the King: neither do make as much for a Carter to be a King, as for a King to intermedle in the ordre of Religion, as it please you to saye.

S. S. 3. Polwe.

Now sir, if they all will obey Josua in all thinges, as they obeyed Moses: and thei obeyed Moses in mattiers of Religion, (as is euident, and as is before by you confessed) why shoulde they than not obey Josua in mattiers of Religion? This is one question sir. An other question is, whosoever shal gainsay Josua his monthe and not obey all his commaundementes shal die: why shuld not than any of the Prelates or Levites, that is, any of the Clergie; gainsaying or disobeying Josua, die also? You see here is an other question, and peraduenture it will trouble your head to make a good answere thereto: and therfore the matter is not cleare out of al question. I am sure you wilbe dñeuen of this absolute vniversal proposition: as we obeyed Moses in all thinges, so will we obey thee, to make a particular, by restraining it, to masters of warre, & thinges temporal (as you cal the) & likewise of th^e vniversal, whosoever shal disobey the let the die. You wil make a restraint to whosoever of the latte shal disobey thee, let him die. But whether you may make such gloses vpon th^e woord of God, or no, is a question also: and if you may, it is out of all question, þ you will turne it like a shipmans hose, which way ye will.

Dorman. fol. 36.

^þ vvel may every man and easely perceiue how much they would haue triumphed, if thei had had but one such text to serue their purpose for kinges, as the catholikes haue for priestes out of the holie scriptures many. If thei could haue founde but one place in al the whole corps of the scriptures, where had ben said that the lips of the cōcile magistrate shoulde kepe the knowledge of Gods most holy wil and pleasure, and his mouth be the treasure of the same, as is saide of the priestes: O lord how is it likely that their lippes, mouthes, and tongues,

tongues should haue sorowed and clattered thereof long before this, that ruffle so with the example of Iosue, because (or for no cause) that he was willed to study the scriptures? dissembling in the meane sea, son the 27. chap. of the booke of Numeri, where in plaine wordes it D. Hard. Confus. is to be foande, that Iosue was subiect to Eleazarus the high priest, at fol. 305. obie- whose bidding the scripture saith he shold go furth and come in, reth the same. he and all the children of Israell.

No well.

You neede not M. Dorman to speake of triumphing and ruffling so with the example of Iosua, the Apologie speaketh modestly & soberly thereof: lesse neede haue you to talke of sowning & clattering of lippes, mouthes, & tongues; the Apologie is most breste, bistering al that it speaketh Apologia. g. i. of Iosua, in lesse than fourre lines, lisyng, þ he receiued pag. 2. Acce- comandementz of God by name of Religion, & the wo- minatim, de re- lippesng of God. Thus saith the Apologie: and here is neither triumphing, nor ruffling: much lesse of sowning & lendo Deo. clattering of lippes, mouthes, & tongues. But some sond heads are ful of ruffling & triumphing toyes, where no cause is: & haue belles & ratles, ringing & clattering con- tinually in them, when al thinges be quiet & still. But now M. Dorman hath well declarcd, (as he thinketh) but how, you haue heard, that Iosua his exaple is sim- ple, weake, farre fetcht, impertinent to our purpose, & needeth no great refutation: he doth of notable art op- pone, and sette against it, as it were a Giant against a Childe, the strongest firmament, and im pregnable bul- warke, þ he hath for þ authoritie of prelsts above Prin- ccs, that is: the lippes of the Prelsts shoulde keape the knowlege of Gods most holie wil and pleasure: and his mouth shuld be the threa- sour of the same: wherof he made metion afoze. O lord saith M. Dorman if ther could find but one the like text out of the whole Dor. sup. fo. 13. b. corps

A REPROVVE OF M.

corps of the scriptures, for kinges : how their lippes, mouthes, and tongues, would sound and clatter thereof. These be M. Dozmans wrodnes. Powe surely M. Dozman, I am right glad that we haue no like text for Christia Princes , as is this yours for P̄t̄est̄s: for as I haue before declared, whā God by his Prophet hath tould the P̄t̄est̄s what was their duetle, & what knowlege in his wōrde they ought to haue in their lippes, what guides to his people they shuld haue bene, how honest a life they shuld haue led: he doth than declare, what in dede the said p̄t̄est̄s were, that is: such as had departed from the right way, & had erred both on the right hand, and left, & therfore not to be folowed in their wrong wates, suchē as caused many to offend against the Lawe, & seducers of the people that folowed them, breakers of Gods couenant, partial, & accepters of persons: and that God had therfore brought the said P̄t̄est̄s into cōtempt amongst al people. Such is the text of ȳ Prophet Malachie, wherof you thus bragge & triumphe, suchē were the P̄t̄est̄s & their leud lippes, wherof your lippes, now dw this sound & clatter, (for I may iustly answeare you in your owne termes & wōrdes) & their lippes being such, & so boide of all god & godly knowlege, you were not ashamed with your lippes there to make a loud leud lie, satng: that the

Dor. sup. fo. 18.b Prophete doo promise that the P̄t̄est̄s lippes shuld not misse to keape true knowlege. Wherfore it is not without great cause ȳ you once again clatter & brag of these leude lippes, and of this, the which emongest others, doeth moste liuelie set foorth, and as it were, depaince your Pope, and his P̄t̄est̄s, breakers of Gods holie couenant, straiters from the right waye on both handes, seducinge of the people, and molte corrupt, and partiall menne.

I praye

I pray God we finde no such texts for our Christia processes, for if we do I assure you we will not brag nor boaste muche of them, as you do of this your notable teixe for Priestes: wherof yet had you had as much discretion in your head, and vnderstanding in the textes of the scriptures, as you had plenty of inke in your penne, neither woulde you haue wxit somuche hereof, neither shoulde your lippes, mouth, and tongue, haue sounded and clattered so muche, nor you haue made suche a ridiculous, I wot not, or rather rusful rusle, there about. And thus I trust I haue sufficiently declared that the Apologie doeth well, with great modestie, brefutte, and to good purpose, vse the example of Josua: and that the same dooth effectuallie bothe reprove mankynde vnruthes and abuses of the papistes, and also confirme the right of princes to deale in maters of Religion, and that M. Dormant without all cause deriding the vse of the saide example, hath shewed him self therewith to be moste ridiculous.

Where M. Dormant complaineth that we dissemble the Num. 27.
27. chapter of Numeri, wher in plaine words (he saith) it is foun^d that Iosue was subiecte to Eleazarus the high Priest &c. I can, I assure you, finde no suche plaine words of the subiectio of Iosue to Cleazarus: and if it will please thee good Reader to peruse with diligence that whole chapter, thou shalt, I think, finde no one word, much lesse plaine words, of eny such subiectio: but that it is plainly sub-
ject, and thrust in, as a word of the text by you M. Doz-
man. Pour M. Doctour Harding, whd speaketh of thys
place likewise, could not as by his words appeareth, in
plaine words finde, that Iosue was subiect to Cleazar.
Truthe it is, that Cleazarus the hlyge Priest did alise
Subditum et sup-
positum.
Vide Hard. Cō-
fut. Apol. fol.
305. b.

At

councell

council of the Lord for him, and that upon his word, he
 and all the Children of Israell shoulde go forth & come
 in. But that dooth no more proue that Josua was sub-
 tect to Eleazarus, than that Kinge Ezechias desired Es-
 zias to aske council of the Lord for him: and that king
 Josias sent to Holda the prophetesse a woman, to ask cou-
 cile of the Lord in matters of Religion to , and that the
 said kinges did accordinge to their councel, dooth proue
 the said Kinges to be subiecte to a pore scely Prophet or
 a scely woman, who I am sure were neyther of them
 highe Priestes : and surely we are not againt it , but
 that Princes shall heare not onely the highe Priestes
 and Bishops , but every ecclesiastical ministre , bryng-
 ing with him Gods woorde, as here Eleazarus is com-
 maunded to do. But if I would restraine Eleazarus au-
 thoritie to the onely going out, and comming home, to,
 and from the warres, whiche are woorldly affaires, and
 no maters of religion , as the verye woordes of the text
 doe seeme to sounde , and the practise afterwarde dooth
 agree to the same. What can M. Dozman , or the Pa-
 plists say thereto, who vse the selues more vnlikely and
 unreasonable restraintes against the authoritie of prin-
 ces? In whiche case Josua is yet no more subiect to Ele-
 azarus, than was Theodosius the Emperour subiect to
 the pore godly Monkie of Egyp, named Joannes: who
 gaue him knckwledge when he shoulde haue prosperous
 successe in warres. Now if it please M. Dozman that no
 restraint be here made in this chapter of Numerie,
 for that some thinge is to be said thereout for the hyghe
 Priest, I receive the condition , and pray M. Dozman
 that he wil not deale vneuenly with me,(which he doth
 blanck so muche in other men) but that the woordes in
 the

Ereditetur , &
 ingreditetur.

Theodoret.lib.
 5. cap.23.

Numeri, cap.27.

the same very place immediatly next before the wordes
by M. Dorman, for the high Priest; authoritie allegaged,
may also be free from all restraint. whch are these: Au-
diat Iosuam omnis sinagoga filiorum Israell. Let all
the Sinagoge, Congregation, or Churche of the chldren
of Israel, heare (that is to say) obey Josua. Let vs I say,
here haue no restraining to the Latry onely , nor excep-
tion of Preistes, or churche men , speciallye seeinge the
woorde Sinagoga, is no aliene nor straunger to them.
Nowe wheras M. Dorman chargeth vs with dissem-
blinge of this place in Numeri, whiche as you see, we
had no cause to disseminate: howe muche more iust cause
haue we to charge him, and other Papistes , who doo so
deepely disseminate so many thinges in the booke of Josua,
moste evidently makinge for the authoritie and chiese
gouvernement of Princes , ouer Preistes, and in matters
of Religio. As that the Preistes remoued and rayed the Iosue. 3. cap. 6.
arkie of couenant at Josua his commandement. That Iosue. 5.
he commaunded the Childdren of Israel to be circumcis-
ed: an aultar to be builded: sacrifice to be offered, acco^rd-
ing to the law of God : the Deuteronomie to be wri- Iosue. 8.
ten vpon the stonyes: Gods commaundements, blessinges
and cursings to be read to the people: and that him selfe
both exhorted the people to the obedience and seruice of Iosue. 32. & 34.
God, and feared them from all Idolatrie , and impietie
most earnestly. All this and muche more doth M. Dors-
man, and his M. Doctor Harding moste deeplye dissem- Harding. cōfut.
ble, being plainly set forth in the booke of Josua: and in Apolog. 305. b,
the meane time the one gyzeth at our handsome prouing of
our matiers, the other talketh of our farre fetching of our ma-
tiers, and dissembling of a place in Numeri. which plainly mas-
keth for vs.

A REPROVVE OF M.

Dorman fol.36..

It foloweth, that Kinge David brought home the arke restored. The 3. ex-
religion, was present not onely as to admonishe or encourage them ample.
that accompanied it, but delivred also to them psalmes and hymnes, i. Paralip-
nities, & ruled afier a sort the priests. That David brought home the
ark it can not be denied, to the house at the least of Obed Edo. Although 1. Paralip-
in an other place we read, how Dausd being stroken with a mortua- Cap.15.
louse feare, for that which so lately before he had seene happen vnto
Hosius.lib.2. fol.67.a. Oz, for the onely stayeng (being no priest) the Ark, which other wise
The same answe was in great daunger to fall: he would not presume to carry the same
reth D. Harding into the tabernacle prepared to receive it, but called vnto him Sadoc
Confut. Apol. and Abiathar the priests, Willing them in expresse wordes to carry
fol.305. it to the place appointed therfore, lest happily God might strike the The brin-
Traslated vword. forword out of this day for biddeth any Prince or Kinge to do the lyke? He appoynted once againes for doing the likeynlawful act to that, which through ging hom
Hosius.lib.2. fo.66.b, et 67.a. play on the organes, some and a great some, the scripture hath for- without
uid to be thousand, to keepe the doores. And what conclude they hereof, if Dauid had appointed players and singing men as he did not, but willed an vnlawfull
uid had appointed players and singing men as he did not, but willed act.
the chiefe of the Leuites to appoint some of their brethren thereto? 1. Par.15.
that therefore he was cheif gouernour in all causes ecclesiasticall. O
what new logike is sodenly sprong vp with their new diuinitie.

Noxell.

King David did not only bringe home the Arke to the
house of Obed, but also brought it afterward home into
the Citie of David, & placed it in the tabernacle which
he had there made for it. That he vsed the helpe of the
Leuites in the carrying of the arke (seing it appertained

to ther pecylar office so to doe) what meruaile or new
is that: Who euer denied but that the Leutes & priests
ought to doo their office: we euer graunted also, that by
the example of Dauid, (whiche you likewise haue borow- Hosius lib. 2.
ed of Hosius) me be warned not to entermedle in other fol. 67.
mens offices, nothing to them appertayning. That M.
Dormā permitteth to Christiā princes to wryte psalms
and hymnes to the glory and praise of God, it is liberal-
ly doone of him: had he alſo added with Hosius (out of Hosius lib. 2. fol.
whome he hathe ad verbum translated all this ſtuffe,) 66.b. & 67.a.
Scribimus indocti doctiꝝ poemata. paſſim. that is,
Learned and vñlearned (it maketh no mater) we wryte poesies
every where: than had M. Dorman worthely behaued him
ſelf (like my Lord Cardinall's Chaplaine) in deriding of
the Scriptures, and comparing of Dauid psalms with
vaine and vñlearned poesies, as doth Hosius ful like a
Cardinall of Rome, where he laugheth at our new Lo-
gicke ſodenly ſprung vp with our new diuinity, he doth
by his good righte and auoritie, ſelinge he is him ſelſe
knownen to be ſo vñreafonable and ſubtile a Logiſtan,
and ſo auentur and profound a Divine. He frameth our
argument in deed after his owne Logique in this maner.
Dauid. (ſaith he) appointed and eſtabliſhed to ſerue
the Temple for euer, ſome to ſing, ſome to play on the Organs, ſome
and a great ſome (the ſcripture hath iiii thousand) to keepe the dores:
and therfore Dauid was chief gouernour in all caſes ecclesiasticall:
this is the argument whiche M. Dorman ſaith is the
Apologies, and ours. But good Reader, peruse diligent-
ly the whole treatie of the Apologie concerning Kinge
Dauid, whiche is but ſhort, contayninge leſſe then ſix
little lines, and thou ſhalt there finde, neither this ante-
cedent, nor conſequence, nor ente parte thereof, where-
as the Apologie ſaith. It ſeemeth to me ſo ſcandalous

A REPROVFE OF M.

Lib. 2. contra
Bren. fol. 67.

Here it is in dede a nre & mernalous kinde of Logique,
to conolute that, in the Apologie, whereof no one wroide
can there be founde. But in dede M. Dozman findinge
mention made by Hosius of singing men, organ play-
ers, and portars, taken out of the stroke of David, wold
not omitte it, but hath likewise brought it in, to saue
harmelesse thereby, theirlike vsage in Christes church.
Wherefore it is not the Apologies and our argument,
but Hosius and M. Dozmanes devise. And he may as rea-
sonable (if he list) bring in for example, all other Iuishe
rites and ceremonies, wherewith they haue overwhel-
med the Churche of Christ: and of Christians made vs
more than halfe Iues. But the reason of the Apologie
dependeth vpon other most notable doinges in matters
of Religion, and the authoritie vised ouer Priestes by
King David, declared throughout the whole first booke
of the Chronacles, from the xliij. chapter to thende ther-
of, as shall here be declared. When all Religion was
In King Saules time neglected, and the arke of God ab-
sente, the Scriptures do not teache, that the Priestes
them selues tooke counsell about the determination,
what was in such case to be done, or that they moued
the King thereto, but that King David taking a pur-
i. Paral. 13. a. 1.
Iusti consilium
David cum uni-
uersis principi-
bus. &c.
pose of him self, to bring home the Arke, and to restore
Religion, consulted with his Princes and nobles about
the same, and was by God directed thereto: and that he
ii. Paral. 13. a. 2. &
a. 2. 4.
summoned or called together all the Priestes and Le-
uites, to say, all the Cleargie for that purpose. Here is
an example, that godly Princes do regard matters of
Religion, that God doth moue them thereto, & that they
have authoritie to summon the Clergie to Synodes or
b. 12. Vos q. estis
principes familia-
Consels, about the restorung or redressing of the same.
King David also did admonish the said Priestes and
Leuites

Leuites, of their dueties, & comaundered them to do it. An
 example y other godly Princes may do the like. King
 David was also chiese oversear in y bringinge home of
 the Arke, & in seinge all other ceremonys, & rites apper-
 telinge to Religion done, in such sort, as than pleased
 God to haue bled: in so much y the Scripture saith that
 David whan he had fulfilled the burnt offeringes, and
 peace offringes, blessed the people in y name of the lord
 Where note y the Scripture, for that chiese authoritie
 which was in king David in the ouerseeing & comau-
 ding of y Priestes to do ther sacrifices & other dueties,
 saith y Kinge David fulfilled them. An exâple, & prouise,
 that all godly Princes haue the like authoritie. David
 also appointed such of the Leuites, as shold serue con-
 tinually before the Arke of the Lorde, & prasse & glorifie
 the wörkes of the Lord God of Israel. And y Scripture
 sheweth exprely, how David appointed the Princes
 of the Leuites, as Asaph, Zacharia, Iahiel, Semirath,
 Bathathia, & a great number of other of the chiese offi-
 cers of the Cleargie, there by name expressed: & which is
 mosle of all, how he appointed Hadecke the Priest his
 office. Pea the very summe and title of the 24. chapter
 of the first booke of the Chronicles is Assignt David
 officia filiis Aarō. y is: David assignd to Aarons chil-
 dren their offices. Whiche thinge S. Ambrose did well
 understande, as appeareth by these his wordes vpon
 S. Paules firste epistle to Timothie. Multitudo erat Ambros. in. x. Tie
 sacerdotū, & magna copia Leuitarū &c. y is to say; moth. 3.
 there was a multitude of Priestes, & great plentie of y Ambrosius.
 Leuites, & every one at their cerken time serued hdluine Secundū institu-
 ceremonies, according to y ordinance of king David, who tu David hic e-
 appointed xxxiiij. sortes of Priestes, y they might serue nim. 24. classes
 by course. Thus farte S. Ambrose, Now as this Kinge constituit sacer-
 David deseruit regn.

tū leuiticarū sa-
 crificamini, &c.
 1. Paral. 15. d. 25.
 &c.

Cum cōplescer
 David offerens
 holocausta &c.
 benedixit popu-
 lo, &c.

1. Paral. 16. a. 4.
 Cōstituit David
 coram area Dñs
 de Leuitis, qui
 ministraret &c.
 Cap. 16. a. 5.

1. Paral. 16. d. 32.

A REPROOFE OF M.

L. Par. 15. d. 40. David appointed and assigned accordyng to þ which he
found w̄itten in the law of the Lorde, as is recorded in
the scriptures. All this I say did King David, and yet
did not God strike him, as dealing with things imper-
tinent to his office, as he did a little before strike Ðia,
and afterward King Ðias. An example to our godlye
princes to be folowed, and that in the folowing thereof
they shall not displease God. Seeing therefore there are
so many, so notable, and so effectuous examples of king
David, w̄itten throughout the whole booke of the firste
of the Chronacles, from the xiii. chapter to the end of
the book, contyning xxiiij. chapters, so direcely making
for vs, & against M. Dorman, his master Doctor Hardig,
thist authour Hosius, & all suche oppugners of princes
right, and maintayniers of the popes and his Priestē b-
syrpation: yet could M. Dorman see none of those so ma-
ny and so notable thinges, but dissembling them all at
Hosius lib. 2. fo. 66, & 67.

Dorman. supra
fol. 30. b.

or Princes in the Levites, (as the termes in the Scripturē be) to their offices, and therefore might muche moore rather, if he would, haue appointed singing men, players, and poynters: to the whiche offices this daye in the Popishe churche, mere temporall men (as they call them) are vsually appointed: and yet would M. Dozman haue them seeme to holte billike, by his iudgement, for Princes to deale with. But sir, first of all the Apologie hath not one woorde of singing men, or platers, appointed by one or other: wherefore as I haue saide, you do not herein confute the Apologie (whose reason you dissemble) but you go about an other purpose; and to seeke thanke of certen sortes of men, whiche I invite you not. But that Dauid did appoinct higher officers Ecclesiastical, then be either singing men, or platers, (whiche is but touched and signified by the Apologie in as great breuitie as may be) I haue at large declared. Wherby all men may understande, that king Dauid appoincted not them, was not for that he might not appointe singing men, platers, and suche other, so high and holy offices, (as M. Dozman would haue it seeme) but for that, he being busie about higher officers, and matters, committed the care of other meane matters, and officers, to meaner men, than him selfe was. And where M. Dozman mollifieth the wordes of the Scripture, Dixit Dauid principibus Leuitarum, ut cōstituerent cantores &c. by a soofte interpretation, saying: Dauid willed the chiefe of the Levites to appoincte singing men: Dauid indee commaunded the chiefe of the Levites to do it. And whether he that commaundeth a thing to be done, or they that be commaunded, & do obey, be superiours; let all indifferent men fudge.

All these thinges consideryd, & how M. Dorman deeple
dissembling so many, & so notable aces of King David,
concluding directly against him, falleth to the rebells
and numbryng of singing mesplasters, and porters, not
once mentioned in the Apologie: and so goeth about to
confute the reason of the Apologie, framinge a conse-
quence, wherof neither antecedent, nor consequent,
nor any one part thereof is to be founde in the Apolo-
gic peace; and that done, how he insulteth against vs, saying:
O what aue Logique is sodenlie sprong up wth their aue divinitie:
micht it not most iustly be replied, O what aue Log-
ique, & straunge divinitie, yea and maruellous poetrie
too, and specially wonderfull Rhetorique is this which
M. Dorman here useth. Surely it deserueth not onelio
a great O, whiche is an interiection of wondering; but
if M. Dorman vpon admiration of his owne doynges
would protract the said O at length, as the Papistes ha-
dred to do the last O, in a verle of S. Nicolas hymne, he
shuld do right wel and conueniently; soz surely the ma-
ther deserueth no lesse,

Dorman. Fol. 37.

Mosius saith the
same of the
kings, of Polio-
nia. fol. 67.

How many notable Kinges hath our little countrie had, whiche
in their daies haue establisched for the like purposes like fundacions,
by our aduersaries at this date almost all ouerthronen, of whom no
one ever by this meanes, thought him selfe any thing the more au-
torisid to gouerne in matters of religion the cleargie of his countrie.
But for this example that whiche I haue alreadie aside may suffice,
both because I thinke they leane not much thereto, for that they can
showe noe great store of Kinges, yea I maike be bound to saye none at
all by them persuaded to bulilde any churches, or to establish any fu-
ndacions of such as shoulde there continually serue God, and also for
shas-

that the place it selfe (howe ever in the apologie the conclusion con-
teineth more then the premisses) semeth not to be brought in directly to
prove any such thing. For our apologie which alleageth it, hath one-
h. Et quodammodo praeuit sacerdotibus, that is, and in a man-
ner or after a sorte he was above the priests. And therfore will I
procede to their other examples, wher in a manner they do

No well mindest me to exhort

M. Doorman proceadeth, and following Hosius word Hos. li. 2. f. 67.
by woorde, applieth all king Davids doinges to funda-
tions (of Abbeys and Colleges bylike) by our kinges so-
metyme builded, and now by vs (as he almooste alto-
gether howe. Whiche sir, the fundacion whiche David made,
was but of a tabernacle, which endured not longe: and
in the place by you alleaged, there appeareth no great
votation of the Cleargie giuen them by David, but the
reches onely, assynd the before by sposes, to lue vpon.
And al the fundation that David here made, was for the
publike seruice of God, not for private and secret mee-
tings in corners: wherefore this example of David for
your fundacions is but very simple. But how Davids exa-
ple serueth vs, hath heretoloye moche plainly aperead.
Where you burthen vs here agayne with the exalte of
Abbeys ouerthowle by vs, as you say: I haue answere
that untrue slaunder, at large before in mine answere
to M. Doorman his first proposition; the 13. leafe and so
furth, & do pray the god Reader, whiche hath not read
that place, or doth not remembre it, to resorte to me. And I
trust, he shall be satisfied therewith: soone shal I do resitam
Now, where he finally geth the Apologie, that
the conclusion intellecually more then the premisses,
it is most vaticke: so that the care of Religion doth apa-
pertene to godlie princes; (as) the conclusion in the
wch 2. Apolo-

Apologie: and that is well proued by the premisses of the example of King David, and of other godly Princes, who by their actes do shewe and proue, that the care of Religion apperteineth to them: the whiche thing for King Davids parte, I haue declared, and shall shortly shewe moze at larga of other godlie auient Princes, to the full satisfiying of the discrete Reader: as I trust. He would also soz that the Apologie saith, that King David was aboue the Priestes, quodammodo, that is, in a manner, or after a sorte, proue thereby, that the Apologie and we make no great accoempt of this example of King David, as greatly to our purpose: Whereunto I answer: that the example of King David serueth our purpose right well; and so I trust, it hath well appeared: and that the Apologie doth yet well use the worde quodammodo, after soone in Davids example. For David was not absolute lie aboue the Priestes in all thinges and offices: he absteined from bearing of the Arke, and such like thinges, specially apperteining to the Priestes, or Levites office: wherein he neither was aboue them, neither meddled he at all in the exequitione doing thereof, no more than do our binges and Palmes in the ministeringe of the sacramentes, preaching, binding & losyng, whiche be the peculiar offices of Priestes, as the ministers of God and his Church therein. But in these thinges was King David aboue those Priestes: In thinking upon matiers of Religion, & caring for the reformatio thereof: whan (as it semeth by scripture) the priestes had little regard thereto: In consulting w^t his coucil therabout: In calling of y^e priestes together therabout: In admonyng them of their dutie, and comandung thē to do it.

1. Paral. 13. a. 2.
&c.

2.
Ibidem. a.

3.

4.

& Cap. 15. a. 4.

rever

rever

In be-

In beinge the chiese ouersear in the reformation of Religion, and ouer the Priestes, and Levites, that they shoulde do their duttie. In assigninge and appointinge thy Levites and Priestes, and the very chiese of all the Cleargie, to their severall offices. In that David did all totum. Ibidem. d. 25.
6
1. Paral. 16. 2. 4. 5.
& cap. 24. p. 2

these thinges accordinge to the lawe of the Lorde. 7

In that God did not strike him as he did a little before David, and afterwarde kinge David, as intermedling with thinges impertinent to his office (as they did) but directed, assisted, and prospered him in all these his doinges: as wherefore God was right well pleased with him, as doinge the office, and duttie of a godly Prince. These are the premisses: this is the quodammodo, that the Apologie speaketh of. Whereof I doubt nothinge, but both the conclusion in the Apologie, to witte that the care of Religion appertaineth to godly Princes doth ful well folow: and our conclusion also, that godly Christian Princes be chiese governours ouer Priestes, and in maters Ecclesiasticall, doth most necessarily, & fully folow of the same. This quodammodo, will serue our turne; as nynche, as for Kinge Davids example well enough, to maintaine & establishe thereby the due right of godly Kinges and Princes, and to reprove, and condemn the false usurpatiō of wicked Popes, with their Prelates, and Priestes. 8

Dorman. Folio. 37.

Salomon (they say) builded and dedicated to God a Churche, D. Harding: CC Reg. ca. 8. made to the people an oration concerninge Religion and worship. fut. Apol. fol. pinge of God, deposed Abiathar the Bis hoppe placinge sadoc in his 306. roome.

Ezechias purged the temple, commaunded the lightes to be kindled. led.
Reg. ca. 18.

Wb. 3.

A REPROOVE OF MOG

led encense to be done and sacrifice offred, after the old accustomed manner; finally the brasen serpent, which was then worshipped by the people, to be vterly taken awaie and broken all to fiers.

Iosaphat tolke away the hilles, and wooddes where by the people was hindred from the common temple of Ierusalem. Iosaphat
Iosias warned the priestes and bis hoppes of their dwelinge. Iosias,
Joas restrained their riot and insolency; and last of all, Iehu gue Joas,
the wicked Prophetes to death. Iehu

Translated out
of Hosius lib. 2.
fol. 66, b.

These be the examples good readers whiche the aduersaries to the truth bringe for the maintenaunce of the contrarie, out of the olde Testament. Vyhicle manner of reasoninge from examples in that age vsed, if it might be at these dayes in all pointes lawfull to folow; what and how huge a numbre of inconveniences, mighе by tuse consequence thereupon be easely grounded and brought in, I neede not here to rehearse, any man but meaneley exercized in the holy scriptures may with him selfe easely conceiue.

No well

As M. Dorman was before copious & plentisul about the seueral answeiring of the thre examples of Moyses, Iosua, and Kinge David, of his liberalitie bringinge in singinge men, platers on the Orgaines, porters, & doore keapers, foure thousande, and moe, of whome the Apologie speaketh not one woorde, nor no man elles, but his anthour Hosius, and he so is he now as curtall, & briese, in the wrappinge vp of these sire examples, of Solomō, Czechias, Iosophat, Iosias, Joas, and Iehu together. And ye may not doubt, but þ both that former prolixite, and this præsent breuitie, are both vsed by M. Dorman, for that he would geue vs no cause to complaine of any Dorman supra his suppressinge, conceilinge or obscuringe of our necessarie prouies fol. 17, b. & 30. one way or other, as one that coveteth to make our partie most stronge

as he bath promised he wold do. Or els ye mull thinks
y^m. Dorman considerynge so many actes & examples, so
largly, so plainly set forth throughout so many booke
of the Kinges, & Cronicles, so effectuallie declaring the
authoritie of Princes ouer Priestes, & their intermed-
dling in matiers of Religion, as euē by those briese notes
of their dedes, (which M. Dorman could not dissemble)
is manifest enough: and perceiving that the seueral an-
swere therof would be both cumberous & tedious vnto
him, thought it good to wryape them together, & by one
general answere not onely to blemishe our said profes-
sor Princes prerogatiues in Church matiers, contained
in these examples: but also as it were with one dashe of
a sponge at once blotte out all other our euidence of the
ould Testament: Wherein if ever elles wheare, M.
Dorman hath shewed his notable discretion, muche
knowlege in dignitie, but most of all in law, & lawlike
hadling of his matiers. For this answere, (as it were
a shewe, seruing for al fete) wil serue al turnes at once,
hauinge bisides other poinces, no small commoditie, of
ease also. Let vs alleage therfore what we list out of the Hosius fol. 66. b.
ould Testament, though mooste manifest examples a^s hath the same,
against the ignoraynce of Goddes wyrde, whiche than
was, and now is in Priestes, and against their vn-
true doctrines, Idolatries, couetousnesse, uncleane-
lines, and other euell example of life. Let vs againe
alleage the examples of godly Kinges, dealinge in
matiers of Religion, admonishinge, commaundinginge,
instructinge of Priestes in their duetie, punishinge,
deprivinge of them, not doinge their duetie: exam-
ples of Priestes mariages, and honour giuen to the
wyses, and widowes of Priestes, aboue others, or an-
other.

other example in the oulde Testament, either condemning any vice by our Priestes vied, or commendinge any thing by them now forbidden: the answere is here streight readie framed, in M. Dorman's booke. These be examples good Readers which the aduersaries of the truthe, bring for the mainteinance of the contrarye out of the old Testamēt: which maner of reasoninge, if it were lawfull to follow in all pointes; in these daies, what an huge numbre of inconueniences, might therupon be grouded, any man but mealyng exercised in the scriptures, may easly conceyue. These are M. Dorman's wordes; what needeth he thā, or any other such, thus to vere their heade about other deuises, this one will serue for all.

But now on the contrary parte, concerning the supremacie of the Pope, you haue a manifest example in Aaron the high Priest, saith M. Dorman: and for his temporall rule, as well as spirituall, you haue a manifest example in Moses saith D. Harding: but for godly Princes there is no Moses, nor Aaron, nor any other. And though not for Priestes wiues, yet for their tithes, the examples of the oulde Testament are sure and manifest. Likewise candels, lampes, torches light, though it be at midday, Aaronicall habite for the Pope, Leuiticall vestimentes for Priestes and Deacons, incense, auilters, belles, banners, gould, siluer, precious stome, and such like outwarde ceremonys, and ornamente, to be in the seruice of God: you shall in Leuiticus, and other bookes, of the oulde Testament, finde scope of examples for all these abundantly: for (saith M. Dorman,) in that people, in their law, and ireshood, God hath shadowered vnto vs, like a cunninge woorke man the whole forme and proportion of his churche &c. And it was so in the shadower; therefore it must be so in the bodie, and truthe, signified by that shadower. By these and such

Dorman supra
fol. 7.8. 18.&c.
Harding. Cōfut.
Apol. fol. 305.

such like wordes can M. Dorman inforce a necessitie of Dorm. fol. 7. b.
the examples of the ould Testament, and whole platte
forme of that law to be folowed, whan it pleaseth him.
But whan any thinge contrarie to his minde shalbe
alleged out of the oulde Testament, than he affir-
meth, that by suchemanner of reasoninge, from the examples of
the olde Testament to our times by vs vsed, any man but meanly
exercised in the scriptures, may easely conceiue what a huge num-
bre of inconueniences might be brought in: and that therefore it
may not be vsed in all pointes: Now god M. Dorman, is this
that eauen dealinge with vs, that ye talkie of? And in
what pointes may I pray you, suchemanner of rea-
soning be vsed: and in what pointes not? You will make
curtesie (I knowe) to be plaine herein, but any man,
but of meane witte, and but meanly exercised in your
manner of dealinge, may easely understande, that
this manner of reasoninge is to be vsed, when it ser-
ueth your Pope, and his Papistes turne: but whan it
doeth not serue their turns, and specially if it make a-
gainst their grosse ignorance, their abominable ido-
latrie, tyrannie, and wickednes, and for the authori-
tie of godly Princes in the redresse of the same: than
suchemanner of reasoninge bringeth in an huge numbre of incon-
ueniences agaist your holy Romishe Churche. This
is your manner of reasoninge; & these be your pointes
M. Dorman, as to all the whole worlde can not be but
most manifest.

But M. Dorman will also proue to vs by certaine
instances, that suchemanner of reasoninge from the
examples of the ould Testament to our times, may
not in all pointes be vsed: as you shall heare:

Ex. 160. 3. Dorman.

Dorman. Folio. 37.

Hosius lib. 2. fol. 65. Miracula, & done, practised and graunted in that age, might without any daunger, as well be to the present estate of the Churche whiche nowe is, admiranda, non ad exemplum trahenda. If the miracles, examples significatiue, and singular priuileages, drawn, applied and accomodate, as the morall preceptes of that lawre may and ar: whie haue not then the Kinges now a daies, as many wifes as had Kinge David then?

No well.

Hosius lib. 2.
fol. 66.

This answeare you borowed of Hosius: he cōfesseth he had it out of the Canou lawe, how much y fitter it is for you. But sir, not miracles nor singular priuileges, but ordinarie and vsuall godly actes and deedes, such as are the corrections and remouinges of wicked Priestes by godly Princes, are to be accommodate and applied to our time: for godly Princes so to correct or remoue wicked Priestes, is too agreeable to naturall reason, to be a miracle: and too vsuall to be a singular priuilege. Now why had not kinges now a daies as many wifes, as had King David then? inquireth M. Dorman. This is a soze poynct I assure you, and a question inuented of a profound intelligence in the Scriptures. For sooth M. Dorman, for y our Sauour Christ hath exprely in the nue Testamēt declared that it is his holy will, that Marriage emongst his seruautes the Christians, should be reduced to the first origine, instituted by his heauenly father in Paradise, & that they should be tow in one fleshe, as were Adam and Eve, the first maried couple: therfore such examples of many wifes can take no place emongst Christians. Wherfore if you can either shewe any originall ordinaunces, that godly Princes may not medle with Religion, as I haue shewed you y originallie one man
had

March. 19.

had but one wife: or agayne, if you can shewe me any restraint made in the nue Testament, þ Christian Princes may not deale in Religion, though the godly Princes, of the ould lawe did, as I haue shewed you a restraint, that Christians may not haue many wifes, though Kinges, and some Patriarkes of the ould lawe had: or if you can shewe me so many eramples of godly Christian Princes, that haue had many wifes at once, as I haue, and will shewe you examples, of godly Christian Princes, that haue dealt in matters of Religion, than P. Dozman might your question seeme to haue beeне to some purpose: but beinge as it is, it is the meeter for you to demaunde. And because you are questioning about Martage, I woulde aske you one question also touchinge the same. Seinge that Priestes mariages, are both by the doctrine, and examples, of all the godly Priestes, of the ould lawe allowed, and in the nue Testament, not onely not restrained, but ex

```
pressly approued, by declaration of the godly wise and i. Timoþ.3.a.  
children that a Priest should haue: why haue you Pa. 2. 4.  
pistes condemned the mariage of Priestes, by God,  
both in the ould lawe, and nue Testament allowed:  
and by enforced boyles of calibate, whiche you call cha-  
stite, haue compelled them to liue so vnhastly and ab-  
ominable, as to the worlde is too well knownen: And  
why you forsaking wifes permitted to Priestes in the  
ould Testament, reteine tithes for the maunteining of  
their wifes and children appointed in the ould Testa-  
ment:
```

Dorman. Fol. 37.

Vvhy should it not be as lawfull for the Clergye (I will not onely
say to admonishe and reprehede) to put Kinges doing awisse at this

A RERROVER OF M.

time to death, as it was at that for Samuell to cut in pieces with
his owne handes, the body of Agag kinge of Amalech?

1. Reg. 15.

No well.

Concerninge admonisshinge or reprehendinge of
Princes as well as others doinge amisse by Goddes
worde , all our sermons and writinges do testifie,
that we acknowledge it to be lawfull : and therefore that
parenthesis, put in by M. Dorman, to beare the people
in hande, that we exempted Princes offendinge , from
all admonition , and reprehension: as it is very leud,
so is it more malitious, than leude . Now where King
Agag was an Heathen , an enemie to God , and his
people , and an expresse commaundement , was given
from God , that he shoulde be slaine : what similitude
or likenes, can there be betweene him and our Christian
Princes , whome to honour , and not to murder , our
Priestes, and all men, haue a commaundement , that M.
Dorman shoule devise vs such leude questions hereof?
As though it were as vnlawfull for our Christian
Princes, by the vsuall, effectuall, and most likely exa-
mples of ould godly Kinges, to intermedle in matters of
Religion : as it is vnlawfull for our Priestes , to mur-
dere Christian Princes , (whome they are comman-
ded to honour) vpon the warrant of that moche un-
likely likelihod of Samuell , killinge a wicked Kinge ,
hainge a speciall warrant of God so too do.

Dorman. Fol. 37.

Why not for them to depose kinges, as well as kinges to deprive
them? for if they bring to vs the example of Salomon , who deposed
Abiaiah;

Reg. 15.
16.

Abiathar the priest, and placed Sadoc in his roome, they shall heare
of vs againe, that Samuel by Gods vnone commaundement pronounced Saul deprived of his kingdome, and settled David in the same.

No well.

Sir your Pope, who hath depos'd so many Kinges,
and Emperours, taketh it to be lawfull for him so to
do. And surely you do shewe your selfe a very reasona-
ble man, that you wil haue it to be like lawfull, for Prin-
ces to depose Popes, as Popes to depose Princes. I
praze God we may one day see the erequitiō of the one,
as they haue of lōg practised the other: lawfullie or un-
lawfullie, it shall I trust, apeare plainly to the world,
to the publike detestation of their usurped tyranny, and
of your falshood in maintaining the same. But sir, why
your Pope may not depose Princes vpon the warrant
of Samuels example, as Princes may Popes and other
Priestes, by hērāple of Salomon, there are many cau-
ses. First it is a false surmisse of you that these exam-
ples be like: for Samuel depos'd not Saule: but onely i. Regum. 15.
declareth to him Gods sentēce, of his deposition, whiche
should shortly take effect: neither settled he David (as
you fable) in the kingdom, as Salomo settel'd Sadock;
in the high priesthood: for during all King Saules life, i. Reg. 18. &c.
after Samuels death, David liued in great feare; and 19. &c.
trouble, and fled from the face of Saule, and acknowledg-
ed him to be his Lorde, and King. Samuel onely a-
nointed David to be King, and to resigne after Saules
death: and for that, and whatsoeuer els he did therewith,
he had a speciall commission and expresse comman-
dement of God therefore.

Nowe make your argument M. Dorman: Samuell

X. 3 declared.

A REPROOFE OF M.

¶ Reg. 15. f. 28. declared to King Saule Gods determination , that he
should be depryued of his kingdom; and that an other
better than he should haue it , and so he anointed Da-
uid:and all this he had Gods expresse & special coman-
dement to do . Ergo the Pope may depose Christian
Princes, if they offend him , having not only no com-
maundement of God therfore, but Gods expresse com-
maundement being given to all the Clergie to the con-
tracte:to witte, that they shuld honour & obey Princes,
yea though they were Heathens , and vngodly ty-
rantes:and that they shuld not usurpe any such superio-
ritie ouer any men,much lesse ouer Princes,least of all
ouer godly Princes, their soueraignes:so farre of is it,
that they haue any commision or comauendement sp-
ecial,or general,to depose Christian Princes. And such
is, and very wel may be, M. Dozman's reason. But ours
is this. King Solomō vpō lust cause depos'd Abiathar
the high Priest:Ergo. A godlie Christian Prince upon
lust cause, may depriue a Bishop or Priest. And sure I
am, that M. Dozman & all Papistes with him, can not
show a restraint,in the nue Testament, why Princes
may not folowe Solomons example herein : as I haue
showed a restraint,yt priestes may not depose Princes,
besides the evident impertinēcie of Samuels example
dealing with Saule to any suche purpose , as is mani-
festlie before declared.

Dorman. fol. 38.

phinees being a priest,killed with his dagger the Israelite and Num.
the Madianite as thei filchely abusid them selues : and haue priestes
therefore at this day thincke we like iurisdiction? Or would God(is
it likely)praise him for the doing that would nowe doe the like ,as
he then did him ?

Nowell

Nowell

That the exāple of Phinees can not serue our Priests, for authoritie to murther wicked doers: as the exāples of ould godly Kinges, deposing wicked Priestes, or dealing in matiers of Religion, may serue our Princes, is too evident. For first there is a general comauendement of God, restraining all men from murther: the whiche general comauendement, and not the one p̄suade example of Phinees, all Priestes and men are bounden to obey. For the special warrant, which God gaue Phinees to murther those wicked doers, serueth him onely, and no other Priestes or me besides him: wherefore the generall Law of not killing, bindeth all men still. Now let M. Dorman shewe me likewise a generall comannement, forbidding all Princes to intermedle in matiers of Religion, or to depose a Priest being wilfully wicked: and than I will graunt that the ould kinges did intermedle in Religion, and depose the high Priest, by a speciall dispensation, & that then their example, is no moze warraunt for our Princes to do the like, than is Phinees example for Priestes to comit murther. But M. Dorman and all Papistes are not hable to shewe any such matter. Wherefore Phinees dagger murtheringe the wicked, doth no moze hinder our cause, thā M. Dorman's wooden dagger (whan he is in his ruffe) is hable to pearce thorough an alman ruet, or coſelet.

Dorman. Fol 38.

No no good readers, they teade not vprightly that so interprete the scriptures. And thus you see howe generally all these examples and auctorities, being even after this sort answered,

make

A REPROVFE OF M.

make no more for kinges to rule in matters of religion, then other places doo for the cleargie to depose kinges, or to kill them, or other doing amisse.

Norwell.

O mercifull God, who would bseleue that these Papistes were so blinde, or so impudent as to publishe suche thinges as these, to the face of the world, or as M. Dozman speaketh, to bring suche evidence into the face of ope court? Why M. Dozman do they tredre not vpzightly, that doo teache that your Pope may depose Kinges? Nowe surely than haue all Papistes treden alwize a great while, whiche haue so taught: and your Pope also, whiche hath so done; and al Christendom hath cause to curse you both, that haue gone no more vpzightly. Againe do our places good M. Dozman, by this your generall answere serue as well for Princes to rule in matters of Religion, as the other places serue for the cleargie to depose Princes? Now surely I do require no more of you, for a generall answere. And I do pray you, y (as Mendacē memorem esse oportet) you will not forget this, whan we come to your particular answeres, bat that alwates generallitie and particularitie, it may be as lawfull for Princes to rule in matters of Religion, and to depose Popes, and all other Priestes: as your Pope hath a great while taken it as lawful for him, to depose Princes. And I trulx some practise may folowe of this speculation shortly. But nowe whereas M. Dozman wold proue our reasoning from examples of the ould Lawe to our time to be absurde and unreasonale, for that some absurde thinges may likewise be gathered by other examples of the same. I woulde his
master

maister D. Harding shoulde not bryng vs in the Popes D.Hard, Cofur,
 both Tempozal kingdome and Spiritual priesthood, by theramples of Moses, taken out of the ould Lawe. And
 that M. Dozman shuld not bryng vs in his Pope to be h
 onely hysgh Piest in Christes Church, wth all his Aa
 ronical habite, Altars, Incēce, Candles, and other Ce
 remonies, by the examples of the ould Law: for that, by
 the like reason, they may as well bryng vs in offeringe
 of Calues, Bullockes, and sheape, into Christes Church
 by the examples of the ould Law: and so, as they haue
 alreadie made vs halfe Iues of Christias, to go throug
 wth the matter, & to make vs whole & perfect Iues in
 all pointes. But thus it is good Reader: euery singular
 example of shoulde Lawe & Testament, so it serue their
 turne, is authētical & effectual, than God like a cuning wo
 keman shadowed out vnto vs the wholle forme and proportio of his
 church in that people and in their lawe. For thus speaketh M.
 Dozman than, & treadeth vprightly. But be the exāples
 neuer so many, so plaine, so vnuall, so effectuall, if they
 sound against them: than may any man but meanly exercised in
 the holy scriptures easely conceiue how huge a numbre of inconve
 niences might be brought in, if it be lawfull to folow such manner
 of reasoning from the examples of that age, at theise daisies in all
 pointes. Thus speaketh M. Dozman now: and saith, we
 tredde not vprightly. The end, and final intent hereof
 (as any but meanly exercised in the practise of Papistes
 may easely concelue) is this: to alleage luche pointes &
 examples, as like them in the ould Testament for au
 thoritie, is vp:ight treading: to bryng in, or alleage any
 other, is to tredde awrie. And this is the vp:ight trea
 ding of these wile walking crooked crabbes. I knowe I
 haue heretofore good Reader, vpon occasion used the

A REPROVFE OF M.

like declaration of their dealing. But I pray thee seeing
M. Dorman doth so often times repeat the same devi-
ses, luffre me, for the simplicer sortes sake (though with
tediousnes to thee) by ofte answering thinges often ob-
jected, to serue their turne, lest by oblivion, or simple-
nes, they take them to their hurt, to be not onely not an-
swered, but at all not answerable.

Dorman. Fol. 38.

But to descend nowe more particularly to the several examina-
tion of these examples, I would gladly aske this question of some of
these our newe Rabbines, that being graunted to them by the waye
of reasoning whiche they presuppose, that is, that king David entre-
medled in thaffaires of religion, howe this argument holdeth not
withstanding: David being both a king and a Prophet had the rule
of religion. Therefore the kinges of our time must haue the like. And
in dede thus must they reason, if they will reason trulie. For so was
King David they can not all denie it. And as wel am I able to proue,
that if he had any suche power it was because he was a prophet, and
not in respect of his kingdome, as they shall euer be, to proue the con-
trary so that to make this reson of theirs haue, yea seeme to haue,
some aparence of truþe, of two thinges must they nedes doe one, that
is either proue our kinges norwe a daies prophetes also, or David in
his daies to haue bene but a king simply.

Norwell.

M. Dorman, fearing that in framing one answere
to all our examples of the ould Testament, and so lea-
ving the matter, he might seeme too much to folowe the
vncunninge Cobbler making one shewe for all sortes
of fæte, here to shew him self somewhat Rabbine like,
descendeth to his particularites. And first doubtinge
lest

left the mansould dosinges of King David, Solomon, Ezechias, and other godlie Princes in matiers of Religion, and their authozitie ouer the Priestes, so evidentlie appearing in the Scriptures, could not be hid, is contented by the way of reasoning, to graunte it: for the whiche liberalitie we haue right good cause to thanke hym. And as he before soze encombred with Moses example, sometime affirmeth him to haue bene a Priest, sometime is content to put the case he were no Priest: so likewylle he lightly passinge ouer, or rather wholie dissemblinge the manifold and most effectuous dealing of King David, in matiers of Religion, and making a ridiculous reason of singing men, platers, and porters, by no man, but him selfe only, out of his authour Ihesus mentioned, to make him selfe merise, he derideth whan he hath done, suchे straige Logique of his own: and so concludeth that King David had nothinge to do in matiers of Religion: but nowe consideringe belike, that suche testing about singers, platers, and porters, can not put out of discrete mens mindes the notorious care, and diligent dealing of King David in matiers of Religion, and his ordering, gouerning, and ruling of h̄ Priestes, of the same liberalitie, that he before put the case that Moses were no Priest, after he had by longe debating gone about to proue him a Priest, he is contented to graunt to vs nowe also by the way of reasoninge that King David intermedled in h̄ affaires of Religion, which before he denied, & did laugh very londe, at suche way of reasoninge: yea and he frameth to vs also the same answere, for King David in this case, that he did before for Moses in the like case. For as he affirmed before that Moses intermedled in Religion, not as Prince, but as Priest: so doth he nowe saye, that King

By 2 David

A REPROVFE OF M.

Dauid intermedled in matters of Religion, not as King, but as a prophet. And the same answere as to Moses, so also to King Dauid hath his maister D. Harding. And truthe it is, that Kinge Dauid was both Prophet, and King: and he did write Psalmes, and foreshowd our Sauour Christ, and many other thinges, as a Prophet rather than as a King. But that M. Dozman is so sure, that he is as hable to proue that King David tooke care of Religion, and dealt therein as a Prophet, as we are hable to proue that he did it as a godly Kinge, is but a dox and a bragge, seing he doth bring no euidence into the face of open Court, for the prouise thereof, as he promised he would. For that King David did those thinges as a good & godly Prince, and by no special privilege of his propheetie, appeareth evidently hereby. First that he being a Prophet, before he was established in the kingdome, did not yet intermedle in these thinges, vntill he was settled in the kingdome. Secondly, it appeareth also hereby, for that none of the Propheteis beinge not Kinges, did the like thinges, or vsed the like authortie, as I haue out of hscriptures declared, that King Dauid did vse: which sheweth that he vsed suche authortie as a King, & not as a Prophet. Thirdly, it appeareth most evidently by this, that Josaphat, Josias, Joas, and Jehu, kinges, and no Propheteis, did the like in the oulde Law, as did King Dauid: and Constantinus, Valentianus, Theodosius, and Justinianus, Emperours, and no Prophets, did the like in Christian Religion: as partly hath bene already, and shalbe hereafter more largely and plainly declared. Wherefore our reason standeth firme. King David as a good and godly Kinge, did intermedle in matters of Religion: Ergo, our Christian Kinges may doo the like.

Neither

Neither is there any cause in this pointe, why we shoulde proue either Kinge Dauid no prophete, or our Kinges now a daies prophetes, seinge all histories Ecclesiastical do testifie, that godly Kinges, no prophetes, in the best times and ages of the Churche, did deale in matters of Religion, and were superiours to Priestes, as farre, and muche, as we do auouche, that our Christian Princes now a daies may do.

Dorman. Fol. 38.

In Salomon also, is the case trowe ye all so cleare as they make it? For touchinge the deprivacion of Abiathar the Priest, to that I answeare, that as in breakinge the wicked packe of Adonias, Abiathar, and other their complices, who had conspired to haue put Salomon beside his kingdome: he vſed the coucill of Sadoc and Nathan, to defeat them: so vſed he their auctoritie and ministery to punish them. Nor it forceith not, that the scripture saith. Eiecit ergo Salomon Abiathar ut non esset sacerdos domini, therfore cast Salomon Abiathar out, that he shoulde no lenger be our lordes Priest, as though that therefore it were his owne deede, and coulde be done by no other, seinge that that is a phrase of speache common not in the scriptures onely, but in common talke also: as if for example D. Harding. C. 54. a man shoulde say, that Quene Mary (whose soule God assoile) defut. Apol. fol. priued Thomas Cranmer of the archebishopricke of Cantorburie 306.a. hath the (whole reaſon also against her was no leſſe then that of Abiathar against Salomon) he shoulde not say amisse. And yet was not he God wot the chiefe doer thereof, but an instrument to thinzinge with the Pope in the execution of his determination; touchinge the rootinge out of that wicked membre. so saie we in like manner that the Prince Hosius lib. 2. fol. 3. hath made such a man Bishop, when in very deede he onely commen: 96.a. Reges poided him by his letters or woordē, leauinge the free electio. notwithstanding, loni eligunt eſtandinge to them, to whomē of right it apperteineth, as this pl. 1. p. 1. p. 2. p. 3. cōſtinge of sadoc in Abiathars office may welbe vnderſtande:.

Vy. 3.

No well.

Nowell.

Were it as M. Dorman saith, that kinge Solomor
vled the coucell of Hadocke & Pathan in the deposing of
Abiathar the high Priest: what doeth that make more
against Solomons chiefe authoritie therein, than it ma-
keth against the authoritie of a Kinge, that he vseth the
aduise of his councell? But M. Dorman goeth about to
make a cleare matter obscure, and darke. For that So-
lomon vled the councell of Hadocke in the breakinge of
the wicked packe of Adonias, and Abiathar the highe
Priest, doeth appeare no wheare in the Scriptures, but
it is onely M. Dormans dreame, for God had indued
Solomon with wisedome enough to know that such a
trallour to him, was not to be sufferred to remaine
high Priest: whiche thinge a Prince of meane wisedome
may well understande. And it is not onely bisides al te-
stimone of the Scriptures, but also biside all honestie,
to devise, that Hadocke so vertuous a Priest, shold be
counceller to Solomon, that Abiathar the high Priestie
shold be depriued, & him selfe placed in his place. But
this is the historie in the Scripture.

^{1. Reg. 3.} When Abiathar the high Priest, Joab y graund Cap-
taine, with others had conspired priuile w Adonias, to
make him King: Pathan the prophet, cometh to Beth-
sabe, Solomons mother, councellinge her to gene King
Daud intelligēce hereof, & him selfe also cometh in to y
King streight after her, to assit, and helpe her. Daud
the Kinge vnderstandinge hereof, comaundered Hadocke
the Priest to come to him, and charged him, & Pathan
the Prophet, and Beniam the sonne of Joiada, to a-
noince Solomon his sonne King: which they obediently
did. And more than this, that Salomon vled y councell

of either Nathan, or Sadocke the Priest, in þ breakinge
of þ wicked packe, or in deposinge of Abiathar the high
Priest, is not to be founde in þ Scripture. Nay in that
long. 2. chapter of the third of Kinges, where it is decla-
red that Solomō deprived Abiathar þ high Priest, & did
many other notable thinges, there is not one woorde of
either Sadocke or Nathan: nor they as much as once
named: but onely this is recorded, that David his father
after godly councell gavē to his sonne Solomon, of obe-
dience to God, and of certaine other matiers, knowing
his sonne to be a wise man, exhortēd him to do in those,
& other matiers, according to the wisedome whiche God
had geuen him: and other councell than this, that Hale-
mon had to depole Abiathar the high Priest, is not to
be founde in the Scriptures, I am sure. Wherfore all
that commentarie vpon the woordes, Eiecit ergo So-
lomon Abiathar vt non esset sacerdos Domini. Is
but M. Dozmans dzeaminge glose, cleane contrary to
the terte. I meruaile that M. Dozman doeth not say
that Sadocke gaue Solomon councel to make him high
priest also, as wel as to depole Abiathar, for so he might
make, that godly Priest Sadocke, more like his ambiti-
ous Popes, which many waies procure not onely þ de-
position, but also the destruction of their p̄ædecessours,
to make the selifes a rowme. But I pray you marke M.
Dozman his phaze of speach in this case, Solomon vſed the
authoritie and ministerie of Sadocke the Priest, and Nathan the
Prophete, in deposinge of Abiathar the highe Prieſte. Autho-
ritie and ministerie both at once M. Dozman: and take
you here authortie, for councell, or simplie as it souȝt-
deth, or craftely to deceiue the simple, or leudlie that
you wiste not what you saide: for I assure you
we had more neede of your commentarie hers, than in

Eicci

A REPROVFE OF M.

Eiecit Solomon Abiathar, a great deale: as also in the processe will more appeare. Your example whiche you vse for declaration, how Solomon deposed Abiathar is very pretie: as it appeareth you learned it of your maisters notes, or talke, before he printed it, vnlesse you will say, that he learned it of you: for he likewise vseth the same. And seinge Hosius who is very brieke, and too brieke in answeringe to this notable ancient example of ould Kinge Solomon. Your maister and you do well to answere it by commune talke, and by a nue example of Quene Marie thus: as Queene Marie depriued Thomas Cranmer and yet was she God wotte not the chiefe doer thereof, but an instrument, soyninge with the Pope in the exequution of his determination &c. you shoulde not here haue made the instrument so ioynt felowe: but shoulde haue spoken plainly as afore you did, that the Pope vsed her authoritie and ministerie, that we might haue knownen in whome had beeene the authoritie, in whome the ministrie, in the Pope or in the Quene. But doeth this glose make plaine the tent, or is it not rather vtterly contrary to it, and subuerteth it. For who was deposed by Solomon: Abiathar: who was Abiathar: the high Priest of all emongst the Iues, the very figure and founder of the Popes supremacie: who was deposed by Quene Marie: Thomas Cranmer: who was he: not the high Priest of all, as was Abiathar, (I am sure by M. Doz- mans law, or diuinitie) but one of the Metropolitananes of one Iland. Further, by whose councell deposed Solomon Abiathar: by Haddock and Nathans councel: saith M. Dorman, but the Scripture saith not so: who were they: the high Priestes of all: No I troe, vnlesse there were tow or thre high Priestes together: but Haddock

D. Hard. Cofut.
Apol. 306. 2.

Was one of the P̄slestes, inferiour to the high Priest Abiathar, and Nathan was a godlie, but a pore prophete. Why, and may a Linge by the aduise of godly inferiour P̄slestes depole the high Priest of all? Surely hetherto hath M. Dorman brought the case. If you woulde therefore haue reasoned a simili in dede, you shoulde thus haue gone to worke M. Dorman. As Solomon, by the aduise of Haddocke and Nathan godly inferiour ministers, deposed Abiathar the high P̄sleste: so shoulde Queene Marie, by the aduise of Thomas Cranmer, and other godly Bishoppes, haue put downe the Pope. And would to God that, þ noble Ladie Queene Marie, and all other Christian Princes had bēne well persuaded, that she with their helpe might haue so done to that traytour of all Kinges, & kingdomes the Pope: as did Solomon to Abiathar the high Priest, traitour to him, and his kingdome: & than might M. Dorman haue taken a true similitude from Solomon to her: for surely as M. Dorman hath now framed it, it agrēth like Germans lippes, every part larring from other. On the one part the highest Priest is deposed: on the other he that is deposed, is not the highest P̄sleste. On the one parte the inferiour P̄slestes giue councell to the Kinge (for so M. Dorman will haue it) þ the highest Priest of al shoulde be deposed: on the other the highest Priest of al, þ Pope, comauandeth the Queene that an inferiour be deprived. And which is the chiese pithe of the matier in dede, on the one parte appeareth at large, vnder bull of lead for sub plumbe; more suretie, that it was the Popes will that Queene Marie shoulde see Thomas Cranmer deprived: but that Haddocke or Nathan gaue councell to Solomon that he shoulde depose Abiathar appeareth neither by wrltinge,

A REPROOFE OF M.

nor by any seale, as much as of war: nor by any matter,
nor any woorde of recorde at all. And if it did, it shoule
yet folow therof, that Princes with the aduise of their
inferiour godly Cleargie, may deprive both higher
prelates: yea & the Pope him selfe y highest of al. Whiche
I beleue M. Dozman his Canon lawe, forbidding any
man to be so bould, as to reprooue a Pope be he never so
wicked, will not well allow, although he haue by his di-
uinitie brought vs the readie way thereunto: which yet
I wott not how it soundeth somewhat against that su-
premacie of the Pope, whiche is M. Dozmans present &
principall proposition. And thus you that are desirous
to learne to frame Similitudes, so that they may soyn
smothly, and closely to your purpose, and to make it to
be well understanded, (for so M. Dozman in his conclu-
sion of this place professeith) and withall, woulde know
how to bringe your treatie directly to your intented con-
clusion, you haue M. Dozman a learned Lawyer, and
deepe Divine to resorte unto, for that purpose.

Touchinge the other phrase y we say: the Prince haith
made such a man Bis hop, when in very deede he onely comended him
by his letters leauing the free election notwithstandinge to themsta-
whome of right it apperteineth, and that so this placing of Sadock
in Abiathars office may well be understanded, saith he. It is true,
as Chimera est in intellectu, it may be so understanded,
if one will: but vntill you shewe that Sadocke and
Pathā deald in this matter, as your free electours you
speake of, doo deale in the election of your Bishop, whiche
you shall never be hable to doo, no man (but suche as
your selfe is) can understande howe these phrases are
like: or how the one shoulde make the other plaine.
But with papistes al thinges are plaine as a packstafffe

for the Popes primacie and against Christian Princes prerogatiue. But touchinge this free electiō of Bishops y M. Dozman talketh of, Hosius his authour saith thus to Sigismonde Kinge of Polonia. Cuius alius arbitrio ^{bo i. lib. 2. fol.}
^{2 b. a.} præter q̄ two, & à Canonicis eliguntur episcopi, &c. ¹
y is to say. By what other mans wil & choise, but yours (he speaketh to the Kinge) are Bishoppes both elect, or chosen by the Canons, & confirmed by the Bishoppe of Rome: Surelie neither do the Canons elect, nor the Pope conserue, but such as you name. These be Hosius wordes, who vpon the same hath made this marginall note. The Kinges of Polonia do choose or elect the Bishoppes of the kingdome. This being thus M. Dozman,
your phrase of makinge Bishoppes, will scarifie helpe
you to keepe Princes from beinge causa sine qua non,
or the chiese in makinge of Bishoppes: and that your
election, you talke of is not so free, as you make it, but
that the Prince, as the chiese in the saide election of Bi-
shoppes, as Hosius your authour saith, doeth elect them:
and as Princes are the chiese in the electiō of Bishops,
why shoulde they not be the chiese in the deſtitution, or
depriviung of them: & so in y point be chiese gouernours
ouer persons Ecclesiasticall: Neither can you except to
this example of Hosius as the foraigne vse of an other
kingedome: for the same right haue the kinges of En-
gland in the electiō of Bishops, as Hosius declareth the
Kinges of Polonia to haue. And were the electiō of Bi-
shops diuers, in diuers coultreis, y proueth such electiōs
to stand moze vpō mans constitutions, which ofte varie,
than vpon Goddes law, which is alwates, & in al places
one. Wherefore bothe your phrases of common speache, and
common talke, & your exāples of Duene Marie depriviung,

and the Prince makinge a Bishoppe , are impertinent
to your purpose: and yet you vpon the warrant of this
your leude commen talke, are boulde to say: it forceith not
that the scripture saith, to the contrary, that Salomon put
out Abiathar & made Hadecke Priest for him : wherein
the Scripture bleseth none other phrase, than it doeth in
this sentence which is toynd . Salomon made Banasa
captaine ouer his armie in the steede of Joab: which sen-
tence as it is toynd with the other in the same verse, so
is it of the same phrase and meaninge. Now where M.
Dorman reprocheth that reuerende father & holy mar-
tyr of God Thomas Cranmer , as both a traitour to
Quene Marie, and a wicked membre , I doubt not but
God will auenge the insurle done to his saintes in his
due time. And I wold that these men, who so readely
objete the molte haynous crimed of treason to others,
would once ceale them selues to mainteine that know-
traitour to all Kinges and kingdomes, their Pope. And
touchinge Bishop Cranmer, they that be of authozitic,
creadite, & experieice in greatest matiers, do well know,
how well that reuerende milde father loued Quene
Marie, & how earnestly he trauailed with king Henrie
the viij. her father, sometime soze offended with her, be-
inge lady Marie, for to winne his fauour to her againes-
whiche also stode her in god steede: & how much against
his will, and after he had longe resisted, euen to the pe-
rill of his life , he was violently caried away with that
Storme & tempest, whiche so few of any Religion coulde
escape. By the which his inforced fault, so much against
his minde, and will, he did nothing like offendre against
Quene Marie, as did some popish prælates , who bla-
shed the same also abroade to the worlde in their prin-
ced;

3. Reg. 2. c. 27. f.
35.

ed booke: of whom some say that they were Papistes, remained not onely alive, and in good estate, but in favour also. Who incensed that myl ladie (by whose clemencie them selues were sauued) to high displeasure and rigour (contrary to her good nature) against the said reverend fathur; and many other godly and learned Bishoppes, and others: who for their clemencie vsed in the tyme of their authoritie, toward the Papistes, were rewarded by them againe (as the gratitude and kindnes of that sect is) with more cruell death, than is devised for any traytours, or wicked membres. And yet the Papistes not contented, or satisfied therewith, do still persecute the said godlie learned men after their death, with all kinde of false sclaunders, and vile reproches, most meete for their mouthes, that utter them, and wel declaring the flame of malice lurkinge in their hartes, not quenched with their blood: who might be better occupied in the amedement of suche faultes in their own liues, than in charging of dead men, who can not answer for them selues, with suche crimes, as were rather the commen faultes of those violent times, than those mens peculiar offences.

Dorman fol.38.

To the example of Ezechias I answe. with the scripture, that of Out of Ho. li. 28.
 l.ca.48. all those thinges here rehersed, and what so ever els may be, he was fol.68. a.
 onely the executor, the councell and ordering thereof, comming al- Thus also an-
 waies from Esaias the Prophete: who as the mouthe commaunded Ivereth D.Har.
 the arme, that is the Prince, to doe and put in execution this or that. Confut. Apolo.
 In like manner I answe. to the exâple of Iehu who killed the wic- fol.306. b.
 ked Prophetes, but by the aduertisement and sentence as it were
 leg.ca.9 first giuen against them, by Haelizeus the Prophete, sent to Iehu as
 kinge, by him to be put in execution.

Nowell.

That Kinge Ezechias as well in the suuation of his enemies, by whome he was sore oppressed : as also in his greuous and deadly sicknes , was by the Prophet Esaias both counselled, and comforted, no man denieth. That he was also godlie enstruced in the Lawe of God by the saide Prophet we also consele , as those that euer did graunt, that it is the bonden duitie of Ecclesiasticall ministers , to instructe as well their Princes , as all others , in the knowledge of Gods Lawe. And otherwyse of Kyng Ezechias , and Esaias , the Prophet speaketh the Scripture , neither in the booke of Kinges , nor of the Cronicles , nor Ecclesiasticus . Soz that the saide Kinge had any aduertisement, much lesse authoritie from Esaias , in those his particular actes, by him done in the reformation of Religion , and namelie in the destroyng of the brasen Serpent , M. Dozman can bringe no one woord of euidence out of the Scripture into the face of open Courte , as he promised he woulde.

Further, Esaias was not of the lyne or stocke of the Priestes, or Levites , but of the bloud Royall : for Priestes in those daies forgettinge their durtie , God stirred vp, not onely men of noble birth , but also sheperdes, yea, and women , by the spirit of prophecie: as he did Holda in the tyme of Josias , to rebuke, admonishe, and teache, as well the saide Priestes, as also to stirre vp the Kinges and their people , to the true knowledge of God , and right Religion , beinge by the Priestes , either neglected, or corrupted. And God hath likewise in our daies, in like ignozaunce, and wickednes of

nes of proude Popishe Priestes declared his holy will
to the simple. Concerning the peculiar tyme of ^a 2. Paral. 29. a.
Byng Ezechias, the Scriptures doo teache that Religⁱon was whollie corrupted, and that it was restored; ^b 5. g. 34. Sacer-
dotes pauci erat
not by the Priestes, but by the godlie Prince Ezechias. ^c 2. Paral. 30. a. 3. c.
^d 15. d. 22.
For of the Priestes of that time the Scriptures doo
testifie, that they were vnsanctified, and could not do ^e Sacerdotes sancti-
their dutie: and that the King had much adoo to bryng ^f facati nō fuerūt.
them to any goodnes. And thereforeze the Kinge tooke ^g Sacerdotes rādē
vpon him to reforme religion, he called the Priestes ^h sanctificati sunt.
and Levites before him, ⁱ b. admonisheth them of their ^j Audite Levitæ
dutie, tould them hولو they shold doo it, appointes ^k sanctificānī. &c.
everie of them their office, ^l c. and commaunded them to ^m Ezechias iussit,
do it, even as the father doth admonishe & cōmaunde ⁿ p̄cepit, & iuxta
his children: ^o d. yea, and by expresse name he calleth the ^p mādatū Ezechiae
saide Priestes and Levites his children. And the saide ^q iuxta imperium
Priestes and Levites, did obete the sayde commaundementes ^r d. 2. Par. 30. b. 11.
of the Byng, whiche were agreeable to the co. ^s Filij mei nolite
maundementes of God. ^t negligere.

And the said Ezechias sent Epistles, and godlie ex-
hortations, æquall with Sermons by postes, and cur-
rers, to the ignorant and wicked people. And the peo-
ple at the saide Kings Ezechias commaundement, de-
stroyed the wicked altars, where Idolatrie was com-
mitted, and cast the rubbith thereof into the brooke Ce-
tron. And, whiche was moste of all, the said Ezechias ^e 2. Para. 31. d. 20.
also destroyed the brasen Serpent. ^f All these thinges Fecit Ezechias,
saith the Scripture, did King Ezechias, and God pro- ^g vniuersa quę dixi:
spered him. But M. Dormā saith, al this is but a figure, ^h mus. &c.
and phrase of speache. King Ezechias, (saith the Scrip- ⁱ Dor. fol. 39. b.
ture) in all these thinges ^j f commaunded the Priestes ^k f 2. Paral. 30. a. 2.
Leuites to doo their dutties, and that he had muche ^l c. 15. d. 22.

ADM.

a do to bryng the said Priestes to any goodnes ; but M.
Dozman saith he was but the arme, and that he did the
commaundement of Elias the Prophet : and yet is not
M. Dozman hable to bryng into the face of the Courte,
any one woord out of the Scriptures, whereby it may
appeare that Ezechias was in any one of these parti-
cular thinges adnertised by the saide Prophet : muche
lasse, that he tooke any authozitie of him , but that he
did them onely of his owne godly motiō; and by the au-
thozitie, whiche he had of God so to do. But admit yet
he were adnertised by Elias the Prophet in these ma-
ters of Religion: doth it folowe that he was the Kings
superiour therfore any more , than it foloweth that
Princes conseillours aduertising them by good counsel,
Should therfore be superiours to their Princes. For E-
lias was not the highe Priest, to whom M. Dozman
giueth al autozitie: but Azarias was þ high Priest, who
with all other Priestes in all thinges were at the com-
maundement of King Ezechias . And if M. Dozman
would so haue it, that the Prince by the advise of infe-
riour godly ministers giuen according to Gods wordes,
may likewise call before him, instrute , appoincte and
commaunde the high Priest, and all other Priestes, in
all thinges and matiers of Religion, accordinge as did
King Ezechias, our striffe with him in this point, and
many others, shal sone be at a poynct. For than I trut-
that as aulters, whereupon Idolatrie was committed,
were subuerted, and that brasen Serpent, notwithstanding,
it was set vp by Moses , at the commaundement
of God, and had continued so many hundred yeres ; in
the daies of so many godly kinges and holy Prophetes,
and notwithstanding that so many miracles were done
before

before it, and so manie cured from the venemous, and deadly stingings of the firie Serpentes, was yet by the godly king Ezechias, onely for that Idolatrie was committed vnto it, vitterly destroied, and that by the advise of Elias (saith M. Dozman) I trust, he will likewise allowe, that our Christian Princes, by the counsell of their ministers, though inferiors in worldy estate, yet aduertising them according to Gods woord, may likewise destroie Popishe aultars, & deuelishe Images, by no Moses, nor man of God els, by no comandement of God, but cleane contrarie to Gods expresse comandement, set vp by the Deuill him selfe, and his mebbes: and before the whiche no miracles haue euer bene wrought, but either by the operation of Sathan, or fensed, as wrought, by Popish fables: but moze abominable Idolatrie hath there bene wrought before them, and vpon their aultars, tha euer was before the brasen Serpent, and vpon the aultars whiche King Ezechias destroied. Howe concerning Iehu, though Elias, by 4. Reg. 9. one of the children of the Prophetes, did anointe him King, and gaue him knowledge of Gods will, touching the destruction of wicked King Achabbes seide: yet can not M. Dozman shewe out of the Scriptures, as much as one woord, that Elias should counsell him to kill the wicked Prophete, but that he did it of his owne godly seruent zeale: and if he could proue, that it were done by Elias counsell, who was no high Priest, but a poore, though a godly Prophet, yet, I thinke M. Dozman would be loth to graunt, that Christian Princes may vpon like aduertisement, kill all the wicked Baalites of our time, the Idolatrous Popishe Priestes. Howe wheare as both D. Hardinge, and M. Dozman

A REPROVFE OF M.

Harding. cōfut. do not onely make the same ansuere to the example of
Apolog. 306. king Czechias, but also to the ende thereof dw ioyne li-
kewise the example of Iehu, with the ansuere to the
same, being thereto nothing occasioned by the Apologie:
it may appeare thereby, besydes many other euident
tokens, that they towe dw vse the same instructions.

Dorman. Fol. 39.

Ho. li. 2. fol. 63. How Iosias warned the Priests of their office and duerie, it
D. Hard. Confu. appeareth in the fourth booke of the Kinges, where he required Cap. 22.
Apolog. fo. 307. the priestes to demaunde at Goddes handes councell, what he and
his people shoulde doo: so that whatsoeuer he did also, he can be cou-
red no otherwise to haue doen, but as a minister, vpon the adverie-
sement and relation of the priestes.

Norwell.

What an holowe and false dealinge of a craftie
Papist is this? Where the Scripture saith: Et p̄cepit Iosias Helciae sacerdoti. &c. that is to say. King
Iosias commaunded Helcias the highe Priest, with o-
thers, Priestes and Scribes, to aske counsell at the
Lordes handes, M. Dorman hath translated it: he re-
quired the Priestes &c. lest anie woordē of authoritie
over the Priestes, shoulde seeme to be attributed to the
the Prince, and speciallie over the highe Priest: for
whiche cause he also hath suppressed Helcias the highe
Priestes name exp̄ressely mentioned in the texte: and
hath hid it vnder the commune name of Priestes, as
though Iosias had to do with some meane sorte of
Priestes, and them also, as his superiours belike,

f. 32

had

had gentle required: that it shoulde not be knownen
to the people, that Kinge Iosias gaue any thinge in
commaundement to the highe Priest to be done. But
that whiche foloweth in M. Dorman here, passeth all
falsehooде. Wherfore saith he, whatsouer king Iosias did,
he can be counted none other wise to haue done, but as a minister vpon
the aduertisement and relation of the Priestes. Here is a
smoothe tale forsoothe. But what aduertisement gaue
the Priestes from the Lordes mouth, or how came they
by it? Thus saith y Scripture. Helcas y highe Priest, ^{4. Reg. 22. c. 14.} lerut itaq; Hel-
with the other Priestes and Scribes, (by the comau-^{cias sacerdos &}
dement of the king) went to a woman, Holda the Pro-^{Ahiam, &c. ad}
phetise of her to learne the Lordes will. The Scripture
saith thus: whereby it may appeare that none of the
Priestes in those daies knew it the selues. Where you
before said, that Esaias y Prophet comaundered the King
Czechias, as the mouthe comaundereth the arme, you
may here likewise late of Holda the Prophetisse, that
she as the mouth comaundered King Iosias as y arme. ^{4. Re. 22. c. 12. 13. 14.} Precepit Rex
And what were your Priestes by the Kinge comaundered Helcas sacerdoti
to goe? Surely they were the secte rather thā the head, & Ahiam, &c.
or mouth: unles, for that they went to a woman to Ite & consulite
learne, and that not of any their owne good wil or mo- ^{Dominum sup-}
ction, but at the godly Kinges comaundement, they ^{me, & super pos-}
must needes be the heades and chiese doers: onely for
that they were Priestes forsooth. And the godlie
Kyng, who at the first sight of Gods woordz, was so
moued with true repentaunce, and by and by intended
a reformation of his, and their liues, and of Religion, ^{4. Reg. 22. c. 11.}
according to Gods wil, and thereupō sent for y Priestes, ^{Ibidem. c. 13. &c.}
comaundered them to enquire at Gods handes: yea and

Aaa 2. afterward

afterward was faine him selfe to warne them of their office, and duetle, and to teache them the same also.

4. Reg. 23. 2. 4. And he commaunded the said highe P̄iest, and the other P̄iestes, to cast out of the temple all the vessels, & instrumentes of Idolatrie, consecrate to the seruice of secundi ordinis Baal: he destroied the altars & Images, whereunto I. &c. vt proiecēt idolatrie was committed: and he put downe all the Idole tēplo Dñi oī latrōis P̄istes, and throughtlie in all pointes refor-
med Religiō. The Scriptures planely affirming, that Josias commaunded, and did all these thinges, yet must he be but a minister to suche wicked & igno;āt doultes, as those P̄iestes were. **M. Dozman,** you should rather, as you before said, that Esaias as the mouth cōmaunded Ezechias the King as the arme, so here also haue saide, that the wo-
man Holda the Prophetisse, was the mouth, and Josias

Dor. sup. fo. 18. b
Aggxi. 2.

Malach. 2.

Esa. 28. b. 7.

Hierom. 2. b. 8.
& 4. c. 9.

Ezechiel. 7. g. 26

as the King the arme. For here **M. Dozman,** your La-
bia sacerdotis, your P̄iestes lippes, and mouth failed
and missed of the kepinge of true knowledge, contra-
rie to that, whiche you falsely before said, that those lippes
had a promise by the Prophetes, not to misse to kepe true knowlege.

For now loe in steade of Interroga sacerdotes legem.
& labia sacerdotis custodient scientiam, are come in
place, sacerdotes nescierunt me dominū, ignorauerūt
iudiciū: tenentes legē nescierunt me, obstupescent sa-
cerdotes & lex peribit a sacerdote: in steade I say of
these textes: Inquire for the Lawe of the Priestes: & the lippes of
the Priestes shoulde keape knowlege: which textes were before
by you untruly applied, are now these textes truly come
in place: the P̄iestes haue not knownen the Lorde, they
haue bene ignorant of iudgement, they that held þ lawe,
did not know me, (the Lorde) the P̄iestes shall ware
amased, and the Lawe shalbe lost from the Priest.

Theſe

These I say & such other terkes , are here come in place: and now are the Priestes driven to enquire and learne the knowlege of the Lo:de , at the lippes and mouth of a woman. And where þ Scriptures attribute as much authoritie ouer Kinge Josias , and these Priestes , the high Priest and all, to the prophetise Holda; as they do to the Prophete Esaias, ouer Kinge Ezechias : thereforze by your formar reasons , you may conclude, that a woman in these daies, was the chiese in reformation of Religion , as was in those daies the prophete Esaias. But neither Esaias nor Holda þ prophetise were high Priestes, thereforeze were not the high Priestes chiese in the reformatiō of Religion. But neither can Holda the prophetise, for declaring how al thysgs should be done, nor the godly Kinge, for commaundinge and seinge all thinges done accoordinge to Goddes will , be the chiese, but onely ministers in these matters by M. Dozmans iudgement: but the Priestes, knowinge nothinge, doinge nothinge of them selfes, but onely goinge & asking counsell of a woman, & that not of them selfes, but by þ Kinges commaundement, and doinge all other thinges likewise at the said Kinges commaundement, as the Scriptures exprely do declare, must nedes be the chiese me in doinge of all those thinges, forsooth. So easie it is for a Priest, be he never such an idle, wicked, and doulfishe, lotbar, to be the chiese doer, though he do nothing, but by the commaundement of the Prince: and so harde, yea and impossible a thinge is it, for a Prince , be he never so godly, wise, and diligent, and vse he never so muche authoritie, by M. Dozman his iudgement , to be chiese doer in any mater of Religion or godlines: but he must nedes be a minister to such leud maisters , and an arme

Aaa 3 to be

A REPROOFE OF M.

to be commaunded by lache mouthes, and a foote to
suche foolish heades. So with M. Dorman to comaund,
is the office of the inferiour, & to obey the commaundement,
is the office of the chiche doer: yea and fire is wa-
ter, and water fire: so it may serue to auaunce, leude,
ignorant Priestes, aboue godly and wise Princes, such
as were those Priestes, & that Prince. But M. Dorman
doeth herein right well, and euен as he shoulde do: for
the moze foolish and ignorant they be, the sooner may
thei be such heades, as were many of his Popes, beinge
heades voide of all vnderstandinge and wisedome in all
thinges apperteininge to God and godlines: beinge in
dæde unworthe to be, I will not say, the armes, as M.
Dorman speaketh, but the heales, in any god or godly
bodie. Wherefore blessed be God, who, as in the igno-
raunce, of the Leuiticall Priestes, bragginge in their
blindnes, that the law shold not faile frō the Priestes,
sent the Prophetes his seruantes, beinge not onely no
Priestes of the Leuitical tribe, but also pore shepardes
and simple women some of them, to teache his holy wyl
vnto his people: so hath he in like ignorance of the
pronde popish prelates and Priestes likewise in their
blindnes, bragging of their knowledgement and iudgement,
called simple shepardes, & other persons of meane asta-
matiſ in this world, euē as he did Amos the Prophet,
and Holda the prophetise, with such other, by such scelie
ones, in our daies to instruct his people, to confounde
the worldy wisedome, & sterne stoutnes, of such pronde
popishe prelates.

Dorman. Fol. 39.

Now as for Iosophat and Iosas, if the one ouerthrew the woodis
and hilles where the people lurched from the temple, the other
warned the Priestes to see better to the reparacion of the same: what
great

Capita sine. sen-
ts, & cerebro.

Hierem. 18. c. 18.

Mosius lib. 2.
fol. 67. b.

greate matter is this I pray you? or howe doeth this proue that D. Harding, Cō-
kinges ought to haue, the chiefe rule ouer the Churche? If Princes fut. 306. b.
that haue byn in times past, had so well looked in this point to their Trāslate vwoord
duerie as of right they oughte, and all good men w̄is he they had: if for woorde out
they had scoured your luskes corners, and overthrowen the wooddes, fol. 68.b. & .69.2.
the blinde cellers and rotten barnes, in whiche you firste vtryed In lucis, hoc est,
your posoned doctrine: neither had that made them rulers of the in tenebris, in
Churche but faithfull and trusy ministers, nor you byn here nowe, opacis locis, in
so trouble the Churche of God as you doo. As if on the other side, they angulis &c. &
had also folowred the example of Ioaſ in callinge vpon the reparatio- lucos ac lucis
n of Goddes houſe, neither woulde any good man haue founde
faulce therewithall, nor any wiſe man haue thought, that this ſhould
haue made them the chiefe gouernours in Religion: nor finally ſo
many Churches liuen at this day flat on the gronde, ſo many mona-
ſteries in whiche God was ſerved and the poore relieved, made ſta-
bles for horses, houſen for ſheep, or ſties for ſwine.

No well.

Thus faſt the Scripture of Kinge Iſophat.

Iſophat destroied the hill aultars & groues, where 2. Paral. 17.1.6.
Idolatrie was committed. Excelsa, & lucos

In the thirde yere of his raigne he made a viſitation abſtulit.
of his people and ſent the Priſtles & Levites to preach, Ibidem. b.7.
and to teach all the people the law of God.

He refuſing the falſe doctrine of Baall, Propheteſ of 2. Paral. 18. b.6.
Baall, deſired to heare the true Prophete of the Lorde &c.,
though he were but one, and ſimple to ſee two.

He yet once againe doeth viſite his people, and re- 2. Paral. 19.2.4.
uoked them to the Lorde their God.

He appointed the Priſtles & Levites in Hierusalē Ibidem. c.9.
their offiſes, geuing them in comaundement that thus Praecipit eis di-
and thus they ſhould do faithfully with a perfect harte cenſic ageſis.
In the feare of the Lorde. &c.

¶

A REPROOFE OF M.

6. He teacheth the Priestes their duetie, and naniely
Ibidem. c. ii. emongst them he appointeith Amarias the high Priest
to his office.

7. In time of aduersitie, he commaundeth fasting and
2. Paral. 20. 2. 3. prayer throughout all his Kealme.
4. &c.

All these circumstaunces most manifestly declaring
Kinge Jehosophat his intermedlinge in matiers of Re-
ligion, and chiese authoritie ouer the Priestes, & name-
lie ouer the high Priest him selfe, W. Dozman doth dis-
semble, and passe ouer with these wordes: Iosophat over-
threw the wooddes and hilles where the people lurked from the
temple. vwhat great matier is this I pray you? and this he doeth
to make our cause as stronge as may be, like a true man of his
promesse, you may be sure. And lest the Reader shoulde
be occasioned to finde these so many, and so notable ex-
amples of the Kinges Josias & Iosophat, he hath omis-
ted the notinges of the places of the Scriptures, where
these historiez of Jehosophat and Josias are to be sound,
whiche he hath alsoe done in no other erample.

Touching king Joas, y Scriptures teach thus of him.

1. Joas determined to repaire the Temple of y Lord,
2. Paral. 24. 2. and for that cause he assembled together the Priestes &
4. &c. &c. Leuites, and gaue them in commaundement that they
shoulde spedely goe there about.

2. But the Leuites (to say the Cleargie) were negli-
4. Reg. 24. gent thereabout, yea, and abused the money geuen ther-
2. i. 6. &c. to by the devotion of the people, to their owne vse, pro-
fite, and pleasure. Wherefore Kinge Joas called for
the high Priest Josada and blamed him, for that he did
not see the saide Leuites do his commaundementes.
Whereupon the Leuites and Priestes were forbiddon
any more to medle with y money, geuen by the people:

and

and moze diligence and circumspection was vsed by Josada the high Priest: and much money was gathered to the repayringe of the Temple, the whiche the Kinge & the high Priest deliuered to þ masters of the workes. And the workes beinge finished, the reste of the money was brought to the Kinge, who made thereof vesselles for the seruice of the Lorde in the temple.

5.

All this doeth M. Dozman thus expresse: Ioa war ned the Priests to see better to the reparacion of the Temple. VVhat great matier is this I pray you?

Though this historie in dede doo concerne the repairing of the outward Temple, yet that the restoringe of true Religion, and suppreßinge of false Religion, and Idolatrie, is therein conteined, the plaine texte doeth plainly declare, referringe all those doinges to the wordes, and constitution of Moses, and makinge expresse mention, how wicked Athalia had taken away al the ornametes of the temple, and garnished therewith the temple of Baall.

And further, that al, as well the buildinge, as reparinge of þ temple of Iherusalem, is a continuall figure of the restorung, and mainteininge of true Religion, is by the commune consent of all interpreters testified. Wherfore Kinge Ioa beinge chise herein, and rebuking the negligence as well of the high Priest, as the coueteousnes & abuse of the whole Cleargie, and is no where blamed for so taking upon him, but obeyed, euen of þ god oulde father Josada the high Priest: it geueth a manifest erample of the right of Princes in matiers of Religion, & superiortie ouer the high Priestes & Cleargie. Where M. Dozman folowinge Hosius his authour Hosius lib.2. fol. 62. b. & 69. a. woz be soz wozde, compareth our flight, and hidinge of

Bbb

our

our selfes in wooddes, blinde cellars, and rotten barnes, as he termeth them in the time of the moste bloudie popishe persequition there to saue our liues, and to serue our God, whiche for feare of moste cruell death, we durst not do openly, to those wicked groves, wherein Idolatrie was committed emongst the Iues: & would haue had those our luke corners (as he calleth them) so secured that none of vs shoulde now haue bane liuinge to trouble their Romish Church: he sheweth therein, that his charitable getlenes, whiche he beareth towardes vs, where-

Dorman supra.
fol. 17. b.

Hosius lib. 2.
fol. 68. 69.

D. Hard. Cofut.
Apol. fol. 106.

Eusebius lib. 10.
cap. 8.

of he spake before, protestinge, that he hateth vs nothinge, but piuech vs very much. But of our corners in such case of persecution how so euer Hosius, D. Harding, and M. Dozman do speake, thus do the true histories Ecclesiasticall speake of the like.

In the like persecution vsed by Licinius the tyrant, & his Insidelles against Christiās, as was by the Pope & his Papistes lately exequuted against vs: Eusebius, after he had declared howe holy Bisshoppes, and other learned godly men, were, as though they had bēne most wicked offendours, put to moste cruell deathes, saith, Dum hæc geruntur, rursus piorum virorū fuga oritur: iterumq; agri, iterum solitudines, sylva, & mones seruos Dei fuscipiunt. y is to say: when these cruell doinges and persequitions were in hande, than were godlie men diuen againe to site: fieldes, wildernes, wooddes, & hilles, againe receiue & couer y seruantes of God. The same wordes doth Eusebius reherse againe wordē by wordē, in the beginning of his secōd booke of the life of Costantine, declaring a farre contrary iudgement of fieldes, wildernes, & wooddes (whiche he calleth y receiptes, & couertures of the seruautes of God, in the

time

time of persequution) to M. Dormanis opinion of them, Hosius fol. 68. &
 terminge them with Heslius, lyses corners. And in y pro-
 cesse of that booke Eusebius sheweth, howe y extremitie
 of the persequitions was suche, that no woddes, nor
 wildernes coulde hide the seruantes of God, from the
 crueltie of the persequutoz: but y they were faine to
 forsake their native countrey, and to flie into farre and
 straunge countreies, euē emongst the barbarous people
 to sauē their lives: reproving the extremitie of y cruell
 persequutoz their countrey men, by the gentilnes of y
 barbarous nations, that received, and sauēd them. Nether let M. Dorman object to me, that this was the per-
 sequition of the Heathen, which is now ceased. For the
 cruell persequutio of Popes & their Prælates hath now
 of longe bēne so manifest, that such as otherwile dor fa-
 uour them, are d̄xuen to confesse it: as doth emongst o-
 thers S. Bernard most exprestly by these wordes. Vide Barnar. in cōuer-
 tur iā cessasse persequutio, imo iam incipit persequutio ab
 illis, qui in Ecclesia primas obinēt. Amici tui & proximi tui
 aduersus te steterent. Egressa est iniquitas à senioribus, iudi-
 cibus, vicarijs tuis, qui videntur regere populum tuum. Nō
 possum⁹ iā dicere, vt est populus, sic est sacerdos: quoniā nō
 ita est populus vt sacerdos. Heu Heu Domine De⁹ ipsi sunt
 in persequitione primi, qui videntur in Ecclesia tua primatū
 diligere, & gerere principatū, &c. y is to say: Persecutiō
 sēmeth nowe to be ceassed, nay nowe beginneth perse-
 quition by them, who haue y chiese places in y Church.
 Thy friendes & thy kinsfolkes, or neighbours dor stāds
 against thee. Wickednes hath proceded frō the elders,
 judges, thy vicars, who dor seeme to rule thy people.
 Nether can we nowe say, as is y people, so is the Priest:
 for y people be not so, as is the Priest. Ah ah Lord God,
 thei be y chiese in persequunting, who dor seeme to loue y

69. Lucas istos
& luciscos.
Euseb. ibidem
fa. 182.

ymacie, or chiese place; and to haue the p̄spective. Thus doth S. Barnarde notifie, who be the persecutors, not the heathen now so muche, as the chiese of Churchemen them selues.

But no place is more evident either for our poore refuges, in time of persecution, or against the popishe gloriouse Churches, in comparison whereof M. Dozman derideth our blinde cellars, and rottē barnes, as it pleaseth him to terme them, than is that in Hilarius against Aurentius the heretike, likewise bragginge of his Catholike Churche, and gay temples, as do our Papistes now. His wordes are these: Male vos pariterum amor cepit: male Ecclesiam Dei in tectis & dificijsq; veneramini: male sub ihs pacis nomen ingeritis. Anne ambiguum est, in ihs Antichristum esse sessulum; Montes mihi, & siluae, & lacus, & carceres, & voragini sunt tutiores: in illis enim Prophetae, aut manentes, aut demersi, Dei spiritu prophetabant. that is to say: You be naughtislie in loue with walles: you do naughtislie reverence the Churche of God in houses and buildinges: you do naughtislie vnder the cloke of these thinges, bragge of the name of peace. Is there any doubt but that Antichriste shall sitte in these Temples: Mountaines, and wooddes, and lakes, and prysons, and depe dungeons, or caues, are more safier for me: for in them the Prophetes either remayninge, or imprisoned, did propheticie by the inspiration of Goddes spirite.

To M. Dozman, that holse, and most ancient father, and learned doctor Hilarius, reprooueth this affection in you, who do likewise so highly esteeme your highe-

Synagoges,

Hilarius contra
Aurelius.

Synagoges, and gloriouſ temples, iudging and eſte-
ming the Church of God by ſuch ſumptuous buildings;
who but Hilarius affirmeth þ Antichrist ſhalbe founde
ſitting in ſuch gloriouſ temples, and the truē pore Pro-
phetes of God ſhalbe found in hilles, wooddes, and wil-
dernes (whiche you do despife and deride) & that there-
ſoſe not onely hilles, wooddes, and wildernes, ſaith Hi-
larius, but also priſons, and donegeons (whereinto you
caſt the ſealie ſervantes of God.) are to him moze ſafe,
than your highe, huge, and moft gloriouſ Popiſhe Sy-
nagoges. Wherefore if you will finde out the hill aul-
tars, and groues, wherein Idolatrie was committed e-
mongest Christians, as it was emongest the Iues, you
muſt not ſeeke in the wooddes, blynde cellars, and ro-
ten barnes, whiche, in feare of your extreame crueltie, &
tyrañie couered vs, where no Idolles were erected, no
Idolatrie was committed: but you muſt ſeeke upon the
toppes of the hilles, where your Popiſh chappels, with
Deuillish Idolles, and aultars in them, were ſtanding,
and horribile Idolatrie committed: or you muſt go to
your huge high Abbeys, and Friar houses, buildest on
height like mountaines, manie whereof had alſo groues
and wooddes about them; there ſhall you finde lufke
corners enoughe, anguler aultars, where angular maſ-
ſes were ſaid, and anguler Idolatrie was daylie com-
mitted: and other lufkishe ſecret corners, wheare ſuſe
woozkes of darkenes were perpetrate, and committed,
as are not meete to come to anie light of relation, noz
ſhall not ſo me in deede. And if you liſt ſee an expreſſe
Image of ſuſe groues, as theſe godly Ringes cut vp, &
deſtroyed, whiche the unlearned can not ſo wel knowe,
at theſe dayes, as they know hilles, you haue a paterne-

A REPROVFE OF M

of one of them out of antiquitie, described by Eusebius
In his second booke of the life of Constantine, by these
very wordes: Erat autem nemus irriguum & amplū.
In hoc erant varia ex lapidū sculpturis posita Deo-
rum, ut putabant, simulachra, quibus cum candelis
cereis accensis pro consuetudine sacrificauit, that is:
ther was a groue, which had a riuier running through
it. In it there were divers Images of the Goddes (as
they tooke it) caruen in stones, to the whiche Images
he did sacrifice, with many candels light, as their cu-
stome is. Thus farre Eusebius. Where you may see no
rotten barne, nor blinde cellar, nor such a woodd ne-
ther, as couered vs from your furious tyzanne, descri-
bed: but such a large groue, with a ryuer in it, or by it,
as commenly your Abbes, and Frer houses stode in:
not a couer of feare (as were our woodds) but a place
of hotnes (as thought your Monkes and Friers) stan-
ding full of Idols and Images of stone, before whome
was plentie of war candels burning, and sacrifice done.
Would you not thinke god Reader, that Eusebius had
seen before his eies one of our Idolatrious Abbeys,
when he did write this? And soz these & such like most
wicked Idolatries, and other detestable deedes, moze
meeter for swyne, and other brute beastes, than for me,
perpetrated in such groves, and luske corners, as these
were, by those brutishe babes, of that stroge strompet,
and holte where the Romishe Churche, are the lasde
luske corners, and dennes, by Gods last judgement
Scoured, as we do see at this daie come to passe, and
some of them are in dede left for Horses, Shepe, and
Swyne, as lesse noysome brute beastes, than were
those monstrous Monkes, and filthie Friers, that pos-
setted

settled them before: with the enuse whereof M. Dorman
yet nowe the thirde time goeth about to burthen vs.
But so; the auoidinge of tediouesnes, I do remitte thes
Reader, that either hath not read, or remembreth not my full answere thereto, soz his further satissfaction to FOL. 13. &c.
re sorte to the same in my booke before. In the first part
of mine answere.

Dorman. FOL 39.

And thus may you see good readers, that all thiese examples
alleged by our aduersaries are to no purpose, as of the whiche
some, as of Kinge David (who was not a Kinge onely but a Pro-
phete also) conteine a manifest fallacie, other that of Iosue, that
he shold receive especiall commaundement of almighty God to
meddle with Religion, an impudent lie: some as of Salomon, Eze-
chias, and Iehu, a figure or phrase of speche, as by the scriptures
I haue proued, and as of Iosias may be also truly answered, who
enterprised nothing, before he had caused the Priests first, to goe
and consulte what he shold doe: and other some suche, as of Io-
saphat and Iosas, as no man euer denied to kinges, yea manie wif he
that in the practisinge thereof, they had in times past, and at this
date also woulde, shewe them selues muche more forwarde then
they haue or doe.

Norwell.

And thus may you see good Readers, suche an im-
pudent Papist, as you, nor any man els did euer see be-
fore: who as he passed ouer in silence before those ma-
nifold most plaine and effectuouis examples of godlis-
singes, intermedling in matters of Religion, and vsing
of their right, superioritie, and authoritie over Peple:
so nowe with greater silence soz his purpose passinge
them:

them ouer here, saith, they are to no purpose. But so
that brenitie with silence of their notable authozitie, is
more to M. Doymans purpose than myne, I am not
purposed to be so shorūt nor secret.

M. Dozman doth bouldry without blushinge to cou-
ter his fallacie, affirme that King David did vse his so
manifest and manisould authozitie ouer Priestes, and
in matters of Religion, as hath bene before declared, by
the priuilege of his prophecie: whiche to be a fallacie, is
proued manifestly by that, þ many other godlie thinges
no Prophete, haue likewise ordinarelie vised the lyke
authozitie, as both hath bene largelie, and yet shalbe
more fullie, and manifestlie proued and declared.

And notwithstanding, that the holie Scripture ex-
preßlie declareth that God commaunded Josua to keepe,
and to doo the whole Lawe of the Lorde, and to studie
the booke of the same Lawe day & night: that he myght
of him selfe understande howe to directe his wates, and
gouerne Gods people, and that the people of God pro-
mised obedience to him in all thinges, even as they
were obediēt before, to Moses, (which was in matters
Ecclesiasticall, as well as Civill) and that whosoever,
(without exception) shold gainsayle Josue, and not doo
all his commaundementes, shold suffer death: all the
whiche notwithstanding, and Josue practising also au-
thozitie ouer the Priestes, and in Churche matters, as
is plainly in the historie of Josua recorded, M. Dozman
dare yet charge vs by name, but in dede the holie Scri-
ptures, with a most impudent lie: as though Josua had
D. Hard. Cōfut.
Apolo. fol. 303.
Ho. li. & fo. 106. a
received no especiall commandement touching Reli-
gion. Whereas, as well his maister D. Hardinge, as
their authour Cardinal Hosius, do both confess, that a
godlie

godlie Prince is the keeper of both the tables of Gods Lawe, may, and ought to punishe , the transgreſſours thereof, forbiſt, abolishe, and put away, Idolatries, blaſphemies, mans woord preached for Gods woſde, may and ought to maſteine and ſet forth godlie doctrine, & to forbiſt, and abolishe wicked doctrine, and woſhippinges: all theſe thinges expreſſely confeſſeth Hocſius your authour , the ſame in effeſte, acknowledgeth your maſter D. Hardiŋ, whiche thinges to do, what is it elles, but to medle with Religion ? You may therefore moſe iuſtly chardge your authour , and maſter , with an impudent lie, than the Apologie, and in dede either they, whoſo plainely and particularly do affirme that godlie Princes be the keepers of both the tables , and ought to maſteine and ſet forth true doctrine, and abolishe falſe doctrine, and woſhippinges &c. that is, may medle in Religion, or you, that ſay they maynot, do lie moſt impudentlie.

And where M. Dozmā vnder the colour of a figure, attempteth to delude the Scriptures expreſſely teſtiſing, that King Solomon depoſed the high Prieſt Abiaſhar, & placed an other, to wit Hadocke, in his roſome: deſcrling thereby his auhoritie ouer them both: and by the like figure would blemiſhe king Ezechias woordes to the Prieſtes, as the woordes of the father ſpeakinge to his children (for he expreſſely calleth the Prieſtes his chiſloře) wold blemiſh the ſame King Ezechias his commaunding of the Prieſtes, his instructing of them, his putting downe of Idolatrie, his breaking downe of the brasen Serpent, and his reforming of all partes of Religion , by the like figure: together with Kinge Iehu his iuſtice, in killing the falſe Idolatrious Prieſtes: and

3. Reg. 1.

Ccc

King

King Josias his authoritie, in putting downe the like Idolatries, and Idolatrous Prelates, and in sendinge of Helcas the highe Prelate, with the other Prelates on his message, in matters of Religion, to Holda the Prophetesse, and in commaunding them in all thinges concerning Religion. M. Dozman going about to delude al thise most plaine satynges, and doings of these godlie Princes, expresly declaringe their authoritie ouer Prelates, and in matters of Religion, by a pharse or figure of speache, he sheweth him selfe to be very Poeticall, phantasizing, and seling figures where none bee. And by the same poetricall he saith: that King Ichosaphat his sendinges of the Prelates & Cleargie to preache in his visitation, his teaching of them their daities, assigrning to them their offices, & commaunding of the to do the same: and king Joas his rebuking the negligence of the Cleargie, and repressing their auarice, and abuses, yea and blaming Iotada him selfe the highe Prelate: thiese thinges saith M. Dozman, are such, as no man ever deserved to kinges, to do: but would wylle they were more soverarde than they be, in doinge of them: but all the world knoweth the contrarie: that crowarde Prelates, can by no meanes abide, such soverardnes in Princes. Al thise so plaine and effectuous satynges and doings, of so many godlie Kinges, moste manifesterly declaringe their right to intermedle in matters of Religion, and their superiortie ouer the high Prelates, and Cleargie, doth M. Dozman briesly without naming of any, but by the figure retentia, passing them ouer in silence, as before, so likewise here, most impudentlie terme figures & phrases of speache. But I pray God that such figures & phrases may one day, as truely without al figure be executed.

ented by our Christian Princes, in all good and godly
resformation of Religion, and in bridling of the p^ræsum-
ptuous, ignorant, and wicked Popish Cleargie: as they
were by those godly Princes trulie, without all figure,
or scinting, done and accomplished. We should than see
a farre better figure of true Religion, & Christes church,
than we haue had hitherto, in the popishe Churche.

And where amongest other thinges he saith, that he
hath before p^roued his assertiōn by the Scriptures, and
namely cōcerning, Solomon, Ezechias, & Iehu, that is
in deede so figurately and poeticallie spoken by M. Dor-
man. For if it may please y^e god Readers to resort back
to those his scripturall p^roues, whiche are before, you
shall finde his marginal notes 3. Reg. i. Eccle. cap. 48. 4 Reg. And in this
cap. 9. as though in those places it were declared, that
<sup>Dor. befored
fol. 38. b.</sup>
Solomon had counceal or authoritie of Sadock & Pathā,
^{189. &c. 183.}
to depose Abiashar the highe Priest, & to place Sadock
in his rowme: as though Ezechias had counceal or au-
thoritie of Elaias the Prophet, to breake downe the bra-
sen Serpent: and as though Iehu had counceal or autho-
ritie of Elizeus to kill the Idolatrious Priestes. But
whan you seeke the places noted, you shal finde neither
there, nor els where in the Scripture any such thing, or
any one word: & therfore he being cōtēcted only to make
his marginal notes of y^e, which is no where to be foud, &
so leauing his scriptural p^roues, whiche he here brag-
geth of, and trusting that you would beleau him vpon
his bare woord, as he is no lesse worthy, he falleth
there to the prouinge of his figure, by phrasēs of com-
mune speche, and commune talke (for thus he speakeþ) as
whoē woulde saie that Queenē Marie depryued Thomas Cran-
mer, and yet was she God Wotte, not the chiefe doer there-
of, but an instrument ioyninge with the rope in the execution

Ccc. 2.

of his

Dor. sup. fol. 38. b
M. Dormans
Scriptures are
phrases of com-
mune talke.

A REPROVVE OF M.

of his determination. And so say we in like manner, that the Prince hath made such a man Bishop, where he hath onely commended him by his letters, and so yeat left the electio free. These be M. Dozman his owne wordes, and these be his proues: other than these out of Scripture, or no Scripture, for these matters hath he none. And thus muche for the summa-
rie rehersall of his proues, that these most notable ex-
amples, of so manie godlie Kinges, shuld be to no purpose.

Now to M. Dozmanes second answere, for I beleauie
him selfe did not muche like this.

Dorman. Fol. 39.

And last of all you may see, that all were it so that euerie exam-
ple had plainlye concluded their intention; that yet it is no good rea-
son to say, that therefore our Kinges now a daies must haue the like
authoritie: no more then this, that if Moses had byn no Priest, it shuld
folowe that other temporall gouernours might consecrate Bis-
shoppes, because he did beinge none consecrate his brother Aaron,
or that because David had many wifes, therefore our Kinges also
may: or the cleargie put to death Kinges because Samuel did, or Prie-
stes kille adulterers after the example of Phinees, or one spoile an-
other (I meane of them that be of contrarie opinions in religion) be-
cause the children of Israel spoiled the Egyprians.

Exo. 3.

Norwell.

These lead inducions brought in for the summarie
of his second answere, nothing hinder our reasoninge
from the examples of godlie Kinges of those daies to
Christian Princes of our time: for though our Princes
(no nor Priestes, nor the Pope him selfe) may not, nor
can not doo divers thinges, which Moses by special p-
rilege and comissiō myzaculously did: yet may Christia-
Princes

Princes do such thinges as he & all other godly Kinges
usually did, without such priuilege: which is, to reforme
Religion, and to repreue and correct Priestes, that do
not their dutie. And what though Christian Princes
may not haue many wiues as David had, seinge that
the multitude of wiues at once is exprely forbidden to
Christians, by our Saviour Christe in the que Testa-
ment: What letteth that but they may reforme and re-
stote true Religion, and orde the Priestes, as did King
David: whiche to do is forbidden to godly Princes nei-
ther in the ould Testament, nor in the newe: but by the
usuall examples of godly Princes in both the ould Te-
stament, and Christian Religion proued to be lawfull.
Priestes may neither put to death Kinges, nor other
men, for the speciall priuilege of Samuell and Phinees
serueth but for them selfes alone, and can not discharge
either Priestes or any other men, from the generall co-
maundement of God, thou shalt not kill, and honour &
obey the Kinge. But speciall or generall commaunde-
ment forbidding godly Princes to intermedle in the re-
formation of Religion, or to repreue and correct wicked
Priestes, in the Scriptures haue you none. Likewise
of the example of the childzen of Israell spoilinge the E-
gyptians (which example not mentioned any where be-
fore M. Dozman here in his conclusion adioineth for a
surplusage) it is no good reason (saith M. Dozman) to say
that therefore one may spoile another of conyariie opinions in Re-
ligion: whiche doctrine I wilche he would better teache
his Papistes, that they might once cease from spoilinge
of vs, not of our godes onely molke covetouslie, but of
our liues also most cruelly. But to the matier I say, the
generall comaundement of God: Thou shalt not stcale,

Ccc. 3. bindinge.

binding al men, is a let why this special example of the Israelites, by special dispensation spoiling of Egyptias, may not be folowed of vs. If you will therefore make our reasoning, from þ due doinges of ould godly Kinges in matiers of Religion, & controuling of leud P̄iestes, not doinge their duetle, like to your leud ex̄amples, shew where God hath made any restraint by generall or speciall commaundement, that our Kinges may not folow them in dealinge in matiers of Religion , and control-linge of ignorant or wicked P̄iestes, as I haue shewed restraintes & causes, why they may not folow them in hauing many w̄ives: and why P̄iestes may not folow Samuell and Phinces in puttinge wicked Kinges to death, or killinge adulterers : and why we may not folow the Israclites spoilinge the Egyprians, by spoiling one an other: whiche if you, nor all Papistes with you, can not do, leue of once to goe about, by such sophistrie and fallacie to bleare the eies of the simple people : and withall to make your selfe not onely for your foolishnes, ridiculous to all wise and discrete men: but also for your falshode , and most impudent shamelesnes, most odious to all god and true men,

Dorman. Fol. 40. in the 1600. 1610. 1620. 1630. 1640. 1650. 1660. 1670. 1680. 1690. 1700. 1710. 1720. 1730. 1740. 1750. 1760. 1770. 1780. 1790. 1800. 1810. 1820. 1830. 1840. 1850. 1860. 1870. 1880. 1890. 1900. 1910. 1920. 1930. 1940. 1950. 1960. 1970. 1980. 1990. 2000. 2010. 2020. 2030. 2040. 2050. 2060. 2070. 2080. 2090. 2100. 2110. 2120. 2130. 2140. 2150. 2160. 2170. 2180. 2190. 2200. 2210. 2220. 2230. 2240. 2250. 2260. 2270. 2280. 2290. 2300. 2310. 2320. 2330. 2340. 2350. 2360. 2370. 2380. 2390. 2400. 2410. 2420. 2430. 2440. 2450. 2460. 2470. 2480. 2490. 2500. 2510. 2520. 2530. 2540. 2550. 2560. 2570. 2580. 2590. 2600. 2610. 2620. 2630. 2640. 2650. 2660. 2670. 2680. 2690. 2700. 2710. 2720. 2730. 2740. 2750. 2760. 2770. 2780. 2790. 2800. 2810. 2820. 2830. 2840. 2850. 2860. 2870. 2880. 2890. 2900. 2910. 2920. 2930. 2940. 2950. 2960. 2970. 2980. 2990. 3000. 3010. 3020. 3030. 3040. 3050. 3060. 3070. 3080. 3090. 3100. 3110. 3120. 3130. 3140. 3150. 3160. 3170. 3180. 3190. 3200. 3210. 3220. 3230. 3240. 3250. 3260. 3270. 3280. 3290. 3300. 3310. 3320. 3330. 3340. 3350. 3360. 3370. 3380. 3390. 3400. 3410. 3420. 3430. 3440. 3450. 3460. 3470. 3480. 3490. 3500. 3510. 3520. 3530. 3540. 3550. 3560. 3570. 3580. 3590. 3600. 3610. 3620. 3630. 3640. 3650. 3660. 3670. 3680. 3690. 3700. 3710. 3720. 3730. 3740. 3750. 3760. 3770. 3780. 3790. 3800. 3810. 3820. 3830. 3840. 3850. 3860. 3870. 3880. 3890. 3900. 3910. 3920. 3930. 3940. 3950. 3960. 3970. 3980. 3990. 4000. 4010. 4020. 4030. 4040. 4050. 4060. 4070. 4080. 4090. 4100. 4110. 4120. 4130. 4140. 4150. 4160. 4170. 4180. 4190. 4200. 4210. 4220. 4230. 4240. 4250. 4260. 4270. 4280. 4290. 4300. 4310. 4320. 4330. 4340. 4350. 4360. 4370. 4380. 4390. 4400. 4410. 4420. 4430. 4440. 4450. 4460. 4470. 4480. 4490. 4500. 4510. 4520. 4530. 4540. 4550. 4560. 4570. 4580. 4590. 4600. 4610. 4620. 4630. 4640. 4650. 4660. 4670. 4680. 4690. 4700. 4710. 4720. 4730. 4740. 4750. 4760. 4770. 4780. 4790. 4800. 4810. 4820. 4830. 4840. 4850. 4860. 4870. 4880. 4890. 4900. 4910. 4920. 4930. 4940. 4950. 4960. 4970. 4980. 4990. 5000. 5010. 5020. 5030. 5040. 5050. 5060. 5070. 5080. 5090. 5100. 5110. 5120. 5130. 5140. 5150. 5160. 5170. 5180. 5190. 5200. 5210. 5220. 5230. 5240. 5250. 5260. 5270. 5280. 5290. 5300. 5310. 5320. 5330. 5340. 5350. 5360. 5370. 5380. 5390. 5400. 5410. 5420. 5430. 5440. 5450. 5460. 5470. 5480. 5490. 5500. 5510. 5520. 5530. 5540. 5550. 5560. 5570. 5580. 5590. 5600. 5610. 5620. 5630. 5640. 5650. 5660. 5670. 5680. 5690. 5700. 5710. 5720. 5730. 5740. 5750. 5760. 5770. 5780. 5790. 5800. 5810. 5820. 5830. 5840. 5850. 5860. 5870. 5880. 5890. 5890. 5900. 5910. 5920. 5930. 5940. 5950. 5960. 5970. 5980. 5990. 6000. 6010. 6020. 6030. 6040. 6050. 6060. 6070. 6080. 6090. 6100. 6110. 6120. 6130. 6140. 6150. 6160. 6170. 6180. 6190. 6200. 6210. 6220. 6230. 6240. 6250. 6260. 6270. 6280. 6290. 6300. 6310. 6320. 6330. 6340. 6350. 6360. 6370. 6380. 6390. 6400. 6410. 6420. 6430. 6440. 6450. 6460. 6470. 6480. 6490. 6500. 6510. 6520. 6530. 6540. 6550. 6560. 6570. 6580. 6590. 6600. 6610. 6620. 6630. 6640. 6650. 6660. 6670. 6680. 6690. 6700. 6710. 6720. 6730. 6740. 6750. 6760. 6770. 6780. 6790. 6800. 6810. 6820. 6830. 6840. 6850. 6860. 6870. 6880. 6890. 6900. 6910. 6920. 6930. 6940. 6950. 6960. 6970. 6980. 6990. 7000. 7010. 7020. 7030. 7040. 7050. 7060. 7070. 7080. 7090. 7090. 7100. 7110. 7120. 7130. 7140. 7150. 7160. 7170. 7180. 7190. 7200. 7210. 7220. 7230. 7240. 7250. 7260. 7270. 7280. 7290. 7290. 7300. 7310. 7320. 7330. 7340. 7350. 7360. 7370. 7380. 7390. 7390. 7400. 7410. 7420. 7430. 7440. 7450. 7460. 7470. 7480. 7490. 7490. 7500. 7510. 7520. 7530. 7540. 7550. 7560. 7570. 7580. 7590. 7590. 7600. 7610. 7620. 7630. 7640. 7650. 7660. 7670. 7680. 7690. 7690. 7700. 7710. 7720. 7730. 7740. 7750. 7760. 7770. 7780. 7790. 7790. 7800. 7810. 7820. 7830. 7840. 7850. 7860. 7870. 7880. 7890. 7890. 7900. 7910. 7920. 7930. 7940. 7950. 7960. 7970. 7980. 7990. 7990. 8000. 8010. 8020. 8030. 8040. 8050. 8060. 8070. 8080. 8090. 8090. 8100. 8110. 8120. 8130. 8140. 8150. 8160. 8170. 8180. 8190. 8190. 8200. 8210. 8220. 8230. 8240. 8250. 8260. 8270. 8280. 8290. 8290. 8300. 8310. 8320. 8330. 8340. 8350. 8360. 8370. 8380. 8390. 8390. 8400. 8410. 8420. 8430. 8440. 8450. 8460. 8470. 8480. 8490. 8490. 8500. 8510. 8520. 8530. 8540. 8550. 8560. 8570. 8580. 8590. 8590. 8600. 8610. 8620. 8630. 8640. 8650. 8660. 8670. 8680. 8690. 8690. 8700. 8710. 8720. 8730. 8740. 8750. 8760. 8770. 8780. 8790. 8790. 8800. 8810. 8820. 8830. 8840. 8850. 8860. 8870. 8880. 8890. 8890. 8900. 8910. 8920. 8930. 8940. 8950. 8960. 8970. 8980. 8990. 8990. 9000. 9010. 9020. 9030. 9040. 9050. 9060. 9070. 9080. 9090. 9090. 9100. 9110. 9120. 9130. 9140. 9150. 9160. 9170. 9180. 9190. 9190. 9200. 9210. 9220. 9230. 9240. 9250. 9260. 9270. 9280. 9290. 9290. 9300. 9310. 9320. 9330. 9340. 9350. 9360. 9370. 9380. 9390. 9390. 9400. 9410. 9420. 9430. 9440. 9450. 9460. 9470. 9480. 9490. 9490. 9500. 9510. 9520. 9530. 9540. 9550. 9560. 9570. 9580. 9590. 9590. 9600. 9610. 9620. 9630. 9640. 9650. 9660. 9670. 9680. 9690. 9690. 9700. 9710. 9720. 9730. 9740. 9750. 9760. 9770. 9780. 9790. 9790. 9800. 9810. 9820. 9830. 9840. 9850. 9860. 9870. 9880. 9890. 9890. 9900. 9910. 9920. 9930. 9940. 9950. 9960. 9970. 9980. 9990. 9990. 10000. 10010. 10020. 10030. 10040. 10050. 10060. 10070. 10080. 10090. 10090. 10100. 10110. 10120. 10130. 10140. 10150. 10160. 10170. 10180. 10190. 10190. 10200. 10210. 10220. 10230. 10240. 10250. 10260. 10270. 10280. 10290. 10290. 10300. 10310. 10320. 10330. 10340. 10350. 10360. 10370. 10380. 10390. 10390. 10400. 10410. 10420. 10430. 10440. 10450. 10460. 10470. 10480. 10490. 10490. 10500. 10510. 10520. 10530. 10540. 10550. 10560. 10570. 10580. 10590. 10590. 10600. 10610. 10620. 10630. 10640. 10650. 10660. 10670. 10680. 10690. 10690. 10700. 10710. 10720. 10730. 10740. 10750. 10760. 10770. 10780. 10790. 10790. 10800. 10810. 10820. 10830. 10840. 10850. 10860. 10870. 10880. 10890. 10890. 10900. 10910. 10920. 10930. 10940. 10950. 10960. 10970. 10980. 10990. 10990. 11000. 11010. 11020. 11030. 11040. 11050. 11060. 11070. 11080. 11090. 11090. 11100. 11110. 11120. 11130. 11140. 11150. 11160. 11170. 11180. 11190. 11190. 11200. 11210. 11220. 11230. 11240. 11250. 11260. 11270. 11280. 11290. 11290. 11300. 11310. 11320. 11330. 11340. 11350. 11360. 11370. 11380. 11390. 11390. 11400. 11410. 11420. 11430. 11440. 11450. 11460. 11470. 11480. 11490. 11490. 11500. 11510. 11520. 11530. 11540. 11550. 11560. 11570. 11580. 11590. 11590. 11600. 11610. 11620. 11630. 11640. 11650. 11660. 11670. 11680. 11690. 11690. 11700. 11710. 11720. 11730. 11740. 11750. 11760. 11770. 11780. 11790. 11790. 11800. 11810. 11820. 11830. 11840. 11850. 11860. 11870. 11880. 11890. 11890. 11900. 11910. 11920. 11930. 11940. 11950. 11960. 11970. 11980. 11990. 11990. 12000. 12010. 12020. 12030. 12040. 12050. 12060. 12070. 12080. 12090. 12090. 12100. 12110. 12120. 12130. 12140. 12150. 12160. 12170. 12180. 12190. 12190. 12200. 12210. 12220. 12230. 12240. 12250. 12260. 12270. 12280. 12290. 12290. 12300. 12310. 12320. 12330. 12340. 12350. 12360. 12370. 12380. 12390. 12390. 12400. 12410. 12420. 12430. 12440. 12450. 12460. 12470. 12480. 12490. 12490. 12500. 12510. 12520. 12530. 12540. 12550. 12560. 12570. 12580. 12590. 12590. 12600. 12610. 12620. 12630. 12640. 12650. 12660. 12670. 12680. 12690. 12690. 12700. 12710. 12720. 12730. 12740. 12750. 12760. 12770. 12780. 12790. 12790. 12800. 12810. 12820. 12830. 12840. 12850. 12860. 12870. 12880. 12890. 12890. 12900. 12910. 12920. 12930. 12940. 12950. 12960. 12970. 12980. 12990. 12990. 13000. 13010. 13020. 13030. 13040. 13050. 13060. 13070. 13080. 13090. 13090. 13100. 13110. 13120. 13130. 13140. 13150. 13160. 13170. 13180. 13190. 13190. 13200. 13210. 13220. 13230. 13240. 13250. 13260. 13270. 13280. 13290. 13290. 13300. 13310. 13320. 13330. 13340. 13350. 13360. 13370. 13380. 13390. 13390. 13400. 13410. 13420. 13430. 13440. 13450. 13460. 13470. 13480. 13490. 13490. 13500. 13510. 13520. 13530. 13540. 13550. 13560. 13570. 13580. 13590. 13590. 13600. 13610. 13620. 13630. 13640. 13650. 13660. 13670. 13680. 13690. 13690. 13700. 13710. 13720. 13730. 13740. 13750. 13760. 13770. 13780. 13790. 13790. 13800. 13810. 13820. 13830. 13840. 13850. 13860. 13870. 13880. 13890. 13890. 13900. 13910. 13920. 13930. 13940. 13950. 13960. 13970. 13980. 13990. 13990. 14000. 14010. 14020. 14030. 14040. 14050. 14060. 14070. 14080. 14090. 14090. 14100. 14110. 14120. 14130. 14140. 14150. 14160. 14170. 14180. 14190. 14190. 14200. 14210. 14220. 14230. 14240. 14250. 14260. 14270. 14280. 14290. 14290. 14300. 14310. 14320. 14330. 14340. 14350. 14360. 14370. 14380. 14390. 14390. 14400. 14410. 14420. 14430. 14440. 14450. 14460. 14470. 14480. 14490. 14490. 14500. 14510. 14520. 14530. 14540. 14550. 14560. 14570. 14580. 14590. 14590. 14600. 14610. 14620. 14630. 14640. 14650. 14660. 14670. 14680. 14690. 14690. 14700. 14710. 14720. 14730. 14740. 14750. 14760. 14770. 14780. 14790. 14790. 14800. 14810. 14820. 14830. 14840. 14850. 14860. 14870. 14880. 14890. 14890. 14900. 14910. 14920. 14930. 14940. 14950. 14960. 14970. 14980. 14990. 14990. 15000. 15010. 15020. 15030. 15040. 15050. 15060. 15070. 15080. 15090. 15090. 15100. 15110. 15120. 15130. 15140. 15150. 15160. 15170. 15180. 15190. 15190. 15200. 15210. 15220. 15230. 15240. 15250. 15260. 15270. 15280. 15290. 15290. 15300. 15310. 15320. 15330. 15340. 15350. 15360. 15370. 15380. 15390. 15390. 15400. 15410. 15420. 15430. 15440. 15450. 15460. 15470. 15480. 15490. 15490. 15500. 15510. 15520. 15530. 15540. 15550. 15560. 15570. 15580. 15590. 15590. 15600. 15610. 15620. 15630. 15640. 15650. 15660. 15670. 15680. 15690. 15690. 15700. 15710. 15720. 15730. 15740. 15750. 15760. 15770. 15780. 15790. 15790. 15800. 15810. 15820. 15830. 15840. 15850. 15860. 15870. 15880. 15890. 15890. 15900. 15910. 15920. 15930. 15940. 15950. 15960. 15970. 15980. 15990. 15990. 16000. 16010. 16020. 16030. 16040. 16050. 16060. 16070. 16080. 16090. 16090. 16100. 16110. 16120. 16130. 16140. 16150. 16160. 16170. 16180. 16190. 16190. 16200. 16210. 16220. 16230. 16240. 16250. 16260. 16270. 16280. 16290. 16290. 16300. 16310. 16320. 16330. 16340. 16350. 16360. 16370. 16380. 16390. 16390. 16400. 16410. 16420. 16430. 16440. 16450. 16460. 16470. 16480. 16490. 16490. 16500. 16510. 16520. 16530. 16540. 16550. 16560. 16570. 16580. 16590. 16590. 16600. 16610. 16620. 16630. 16640. 16650. 16660. 16670. 16680. 16690. 16690. 16700. 16710. 16720. 16730. 16740. 16750. 16760. 16770. 16780. 16790. 16790. 16800. 16810. 16820. 16830. 16840. 16850. 16860. 16870. 16880. 16890. 16890. 16900. 16910. 16920. 16930. 16940. 16950. 16960. 16970. 16980. 16990. 16990. 17000. 17010. 17020. 17030. 17040. 17050. 17060. 17070. 17080. 17090. 17090. 17100. 17110. 17120. 17130. 17140. 17150. 17160. 17170. 17180. 17190. 17190. 17200. 17210. 17220. 17230. 17240. 17250. 17260. 17270. 17280. 17290. 17290. 17300. 17310. 17320. 17330. 17340. 17350. 17360. 17370. 17380. 17390. 17390. 17400. 17410. 17420. 17430. 17440. 17450. 17460. 17470. 17480. 17490. 17490. 17500. 17510. 17520. 17530. 17540. 17550. 17560. 17570. 17580. 17590. 17590. 17600. 17610. 17620. 17630. 17640. 17650. 17660. 17670. 17680. 17690. 17690. 17700. 17710. 17720. 17730. 17740. 17750. 17760. 17770. 17780. 17790. 17790. 17800. 17810. 17820. 17830. 17840. 17850. 17860. 17870. 17880. 17890. 17890. 17900. 17910. 17920. 17930. 17940. 17950. 17960. 17970. 17980. 17990. 17990. 18000. 18010. 18020. 18030. 18040. 18050. 18060. 18070. 18080. 18090. 18090. 18100. 18110. 18120. 18130. 18140. 18150. 18160. 18170. 18180. 18190. 18190. 18200. 18210. 18220. 18230. 18240. 18250. 18260. 18270. 18280. 18290. 18290. 18300. 18310. 18320. 18330. 18340. 18350. 18360. 18370. 18380. 18390. 18390. 18400. 18410. 18420. 18430. 18440. 18450. 18460. 18470. 18480. 18490. 18490. 18500. 18510. 18520. 18530. 18540. 18550. 18560. 18570. 18580. 18590. 18590. 18600. 18610. 18620. 18630. 18640. 18650. 18660. 18670. 18680. 18690. 18690. 18700. 18710. 18720. 18730.

Melchisedech doeth farre surmount either the matter, the Priest or
priesthood of theirs.

No well.

M. Dormans memorie cometh vpon him a pace, soz
as it serued him immediatly before, with an example,
of spoilinge carst not thought vpon, so doeth it now
minister to him a whole nue answeare: whiche, in
case all the other saile him, will yet he trusteth, serue
the turne. But it may so fall out with M. Dozman,
and his plentie of answeares: as it did with one, that
had many occupations and yet coulde thysse by none
of them, soz that of so manie, there was never an
one god. And I pray thee god Reader, consider
whether M. Dozman, by this variable multitude of an-
sweares, do not after a sorte confesse, that him selfe
doeth like never an one of them, as sure enough to
sticke to. One while, Moses is a Prieste: an other
while, in a case no Prieste. One while, Kinge David
did not intermedle in Religion at all: an otherwhile,
it beinge graunted by the wye of reasoning that he did inter- Dorm. sup. fol.
medle in the affaires of Religion, yet may not our Kinges 36.b. & 38.a.
door likewise, soz that they be no Prophetes as he
was. One while all other godly auncient Kinges,
whiche were no Prophetes, did not medle with Reli-
gion at all: an other while, though they did, yet may
not our Kinges doo so likewise: no moze than haue
many wylles as they had: whiche is a reason, not
suche as made the gole as goeth the prouerbe, but
suche as M. Dozman dreamed of. And thus, with
Moses was a prieste, he was no Prieste: Kinge Da-
vid did not intermedle at all in matiers of Religion,
Kinge

King David did intermedle in them, but as a Prophet, not as a Kinge : other auncient godly Kinges did not this, they did this , hath M. Dozman dailed out a longe processe . And as though he had not yet bene various, and diuers enough,in p^ræmeditate and fore studie^d an-sweares, he addeth one other , sodenly ministered unto him by his memorie: the effect whereof is this. The Iuishe Priesthoode beinge altogether carnall and fleashly might well be subiect to Kinges , but the Priesthoode of the nre Testament is so much more excellent , than that priesthood was , that it may not in any wise be subiect to Kinges . In dede seinge the priesthood of the nre Testament is so spirituall and excellent (as M. Dozman saith) it was great pitie ; that such a sorte of carnall and fleashlie lubbars , as were the popishe Priesteres, had any thinge a do with the ministerie ther-of. And I thinke that was one cause , why they by little and litle drew their ministerie from the spirituall ser-dinge of Goddes people by the preaching of his wozde, and other spirituall seruice , to all carnall ceremonies, superstitions, & the outwarde elementes of this world, not onely Iuishe like, but Pagane and Heathen like also : that seinge they could not be fitte ministers for so spirituall a Priesthoode, as Christe had instituted, they might devise a Priesthood fitt for them selfes . And yet and Godwill, these holy Priesteres, which were alwaies most abiectly subiect to all carnall lustes, and serued the beggerly elementes of this wozde , might not be sub-ject to their godly Prince. I graunt in dede y Christes Priesthoode is infinitely more ercellent , than was the Priesthoode of the ould lawe . But I do denie that the popishe Priesthoode hath any excellencie , or godnes in it at all . And M. Dozman doeth not well consider the
difference

Differencē betwēne the Iues and vs , by the matter , and obiect , the head , authour , and chiese Priest , (whiche saith he) is none other than Christe him selfe the eternall Priest . For the Scriptures do teach that the godly Iues did eate of the same spirituall incate , and drinke of the same spirituall rocke , which is Christ , as we do . And Christe beinge an eternall Priest (as M. Dorman truly saith) he was also a Priest in the ould law , soz y eternitie of his Priesthood reacheth from ende to ende . And he hath hearde I thinke , that the lambe was slaine from the beginningge of the worlde . Wherefore the godly Iuishe Priestes had Christe him selfe , the matter , the obiecte , the head , authour , and chiese Priest , as we haue . But I graunt , as I said , y the Iuishe Priesthood is infinitly inferieur to Christes Priesthood , and that it was but a figure of Christes Priesthood , and that it was but temporall , where Christes is eternall : and that whan one Iuishe Priest was dead , he had an other that succeeded hym to continue the Priesthood : but Christe being the eternall Hebr. 5. & 7. Priest , and his sacrifice also eternall , once soz all eternitie offered , is euer present , and needeth no successor , nor vicar generall . But your Pope who will needes be his vicar , as though he were absent : and your popishe Priestes , as though he were dead , or his sacrifice ceased , and as though they were his successors , wil needes often offre that his sacrifice , whiche the Scriptures especially say , shalbe no more offered . Wherefore you Papistes thus dealinge as though Christ his Priesthood , and sacrifice were not eternall , unlesse it were continually maintained by you , do manifest infurie & contumelie to Christes Priesthood , & sacrifice : & do blasphemously diminishe the excellencē thereof , makinge it like to the

Pope

Iuishe

Hebr. 7. & 9. w.

Iuishe Priesthood, whiche had nede of suche deputtes, vicars, and successours. And thus where you shoulde by preachinge of the ghespell, as becometh Christes ministers, haue declared the vertue, excellencie, and eternall
Sacerdotes, & sa-
cristie of Christes Priesthood and sacrifice: You, leauinge
crifci, ex pres-
byteris.

your office, are become sacrificers your selues, to the
great derogation, & vtter destruction of Christes priest-
hood, and sacrifice, as much as in you daeth lie. Whiche
your pretended Priesthood, and surmised sacrifice, is
not as you say, more excellent than y Iuishe Priesthood,
and sacrifice: but infinitely worse, and in dede abomi-
nable, as a monstre compounded of Judaisme, Paga-
nisme, and Christianitic. For you have Aarons Iuishe
apparell, and smirring with oyle, and many other Iuishe
ceremonies: and you haue besides, moe Ethnisch rites,
and specially abominable Idolatrie: of Christianitic
you haue leste, but some patches yet and shewes. But
your chiese office standeth in surmised sacrifices, in
grosse Idolatries, in mindles mutteringes, & in dombe
ceremonies. Wherefore it is no meruaile that you
bragge of so holy, spirituall, and excellent a Priesthood
by you possessed, that you may by no meanes be inser-
tore to your godly Princes.

Dorman. Fol. 40.

Vvhiche thinge S. Petre did not obscurely signifie by these
woordes: vos estis regale sacerdotium you are a kingly priest. i. Cap. 2
hood. as who shoulde say the priesthood before was not kingly, for
that that Kinges ruled ouer priestes, but now is the priesthood king-
ly, for that so it be subiect even Kinges them selues.

Nowell.

Loo sir here is suche a texte now come to his memo-
rie,

rie, for the discharge of popishe P^riestes from all subiec^{ti}on to Christian P^rinces, that he is contented to geue ouer all that longe plea, whiche he before made for the Iuishe P^riestes, and to leauie them in the b^riers, and in subiec^{ti}on to their Kinges. Now surely M^r. Dozman you might haue done very well, and haue spared your selfe and me, and the pore Readers (whiche are muche encombed with vs) of muche labour, and tediousnes, had this at the firste come to your remembraunce; and had you confessed, that it was S^t. Peters minde, and that not obscurely by him signified, that the Priesthood of the ould lawe was not kingly, for that the Kinges ruled ouer those Priesies. For I assure you, you haue made a longe and tedious adoe, to proue that those P^rinces ruled not ouer those P^riestes: but nowe seeing those P^riestes were so unhappie, to haue such a carnall and fleshly Priesthood, and so to remaine remedles vnder the subiec^{ti}on of their godly Kinges, let them goe, on Goddes name. Well, but the Priesthood is kingly nowe (saith M^r. Dozman) for that Kinges thenk felfes are subiect to it. Yet is here a double double remaininge: for firste, it seemeth those Iuishe P^riestes might haue bene releaued of their seruitute had they considered this g^ere well: but it is not giuen to every man, to see so farre in a milne stⁿone, as doeth M^r. Dozman. For euē in the ould Testament God saith to the Is^raelites by Moses, after this sorte: Si ergo audieritis vocē mēā &c. Vos eritis mihi in regnū sacerdotale, & gens sancta. Hæc sunt verba quæ loqueris ad filios Is^rael. y^{is} to say: If you heare my voice (saith y^{is} Lord) you shall be unto me a priestly Kingedome, & an holy nation. These be the wordes whiche y^{is} shalt speake to y^{is} childre of Israell. Thus farre is the texte. Lo M^r. Dozman here

is as muche for the priestly Kingdome of the oulde lawe,
out of Goddes owne mouth, as you haue out of S. Peters,
for your kingly Priesthood of the nre. And in dede
all the oulde doctours affirme , that S. Peters wordes
in that place by M. Dorman alleaged , are nothinge els
but an allusion to this place of the oulde Testament,
and a very repetition and rehersall of Goddes wordes
there: and so it is also noted in the coatations of all the
bookes , that I haue seene, both in the ould Testament
and the nre. But byslike M.Dorman his booke was not
marked in the margent , or elles he beinge moze than
halfe a sleepe, did not marke it : or elles he did not looke
vpon the booke , but alleaged this texte out of his me-
morie, as he saith . For doubtlesse had he noted it , he
woulde not so haue giuen ouer the priestly Kingedome
of the ouuld law , but he woulde thereby haue defended
their pore oulde Iuishe Priestes from all subjection to
Princes, as well as his popishe Priestes. And thus you
see it is nether god for M. Dorman, vpon confidence of
his memorie, to make suche discordinge descante vpon
the plaine textes of the Scripture, nor for vs to credite
M.Dormans memorie too muche.

The seconde double and farre worse (if worse may
Exod.19.2.3 &c. be) for M. Dorman's parte, is . That the wordes , if
Hac annuntiabis you heare my voice you shallbe vnto me a priestly Kingedome ,
domui Iacob . and an holie nation , or people, are spoken by Moses from God.
Hac loqueris ad filios Israell: to all the whole people of Israell: whiche, I trowe, were
1. Petr.2. Vose. not all Priestes. Likewise are these wordes of S.
stis gen' electū, Peter . You are a chosen generation a kingly Priest-
regale sacerdo-
tiū, gens sancta,
populus acqui-
sitionis, spoken of all the Godly , that dwelled as straungers here
and there throughout Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia,
Asia,

¶ Ibla and Bithinia: to whom this Epistle, (as doeth in þ beginning of it appere) was written, who were not al Priestes, I beleave. For though M. Dozman thinketh that the title of a Kinglie Priesthoode doeth apperteine to his Popishe Priestes alone, yet I trust he will not daue them, muche lesse them alone, called the holie nation, the peculiar people, the choosen generation of God: for it were a strange phrase to say, the generation, nation, or people of Priestes. And though M. Dozman like the phrase as proper enough to Priestes: yet is it not a true phrase, be it never so proper, to call them a chosen generation, a holie nation, or peculiar people of God, being in dede the vipersous generation, wicked nation, and peauishe people of proude popishe Priests. And here falleth out also an other unlooked for mischiel against M. Dozman, that those woordes, whiche he would (obtrecto collo as they say) violentlie wrest to his popishe Priestes onely, do indifferently apperteine to all godlie men, as well of the Laicie, as of the Clergie, as well of the Iues, as of the Christians, as by Gods owne mouthe expreſſie spoken, and S. Peters penning expreſſie written too, and of them all indifferelie. And that would I here proue by the agreable interprætations of the anciēt Doctors, as well vpon the said place of the ould Testament, as vpon this place of S. Peter by M. Dozman alleaged, sauing that I do thinkē that his maisters D. Hardinges instructions myght schoole him best in this point: whoe alleaginginge both these places out of the xir. of Exodus, and of the firſte of S. Peter, the ſeconde chapter, hath these woordes:

According to the ſame meaning whereaſt the people of Israel were D. Hard. Cofus called Regnum ſacerdotiale, a Priestlie kingdome: S. Peter wri. Apolo. fo. 305. a.

ring to Christias turned the ordre of the woordes, calling the church
of Christ Sacerdotium Regale, a kinglie priesthood.

To M. Dom: your maister, D. Harding teacheth
you, that the people of Israel, (not onelie the Priestes)
were called Regnum sacerdotale a Priestlie kingdom; and that S. Peter vised the same woordes, though tur-
ned in ordre, of Christias (not of Priestes onelie) calling
the Church of Christ, Sacerdotium regale, a kinglie
Priesthood, as before God called the people of Israel a
priestlie kingdom. And thus your duble dotage, & pride
culpons ignorance, supposinge and teachinge the saide
woordes of S. Peter to appertaine neither to the godlie
Iues, nor to lay men being true Christians, but onelie
to your Pope, & popishe Priestes, is by y manifest testes
them selues, with not onely the ancient Doctours,
but D. Harding your maisters expositio therupon, de-
fected in such sort, y though it be not to your blushing
(being shamelesse) yet may it lustly be to the shame of
all your couellers & helpers about you, that shuld moze
narrowlise haue ouersene you, and looked better to such
your grosse, and beetell blynde oversightes at the least,

Now to proceade, if by Gods owne woordes, and S.
Peters expresse testimonie, and D. Hardinges plaine
confession, all godlie Christians, though Lay men, be
partakers of this knglie priesthood, I trust that godly
Christian Princes are not destitute of the same; & that
consequently, popishe Priestes are not so princelie, but y
Christian Princes having besides their worldly king-
dome, a princely priesthood, and priestlie kingdom, may
be bound to tell Priestes of their duitie neglected, or to
punishe them not amending. But the Pope & his Pri-
lates, haue so long vised them selues so Lordlie & prin-
cely over

rely ouer Princes, that M. Dorman thinketh it a mer-
vailous, and molte inconuenient thinge, that Princes
shoulde haue ought to do with them: and therefore what
soeuer cometh, though but madlie in his memoze, or
sodenlie to his sight, sounding of any rule, dominion,
or authorisite, he streight stremeth it to serue the vsur-
ped tyzannie of the Pope, and his Prelates, to pzone
them not onely Priests, but princely Prelates also for-
sooth. Pea and suche princelie Prelates, as shoulde haue
Kinges them selues subiect to their becke.

And is it not well done of M. Dorman trowe you,
vpon a good liking of this text, to forslake al his former
answers, that ould Princes had no rule ouer y Prelates
of the ould Lawe, so longe before by him sticke unto,
and so largelie and labourouslie defended, and sodenlie
now to confesse, that the Kinges ruled ouer the fulle
Prelates, as hausing a carnall and fleshlie priesthood.
And all this doth he, vpon confidence of so sure a pillar
to leane unto, as is this his glose and comment vpon
S. Peters woordes, whiche do moste plainly make
godlie Princes æquall with godlie Prelates, in the pos-
session of the kingleie priesthood, and priestlie king-
dome: and consequentlie do make godlie Princes infi-
nitlie superiours to all Popes & popishe Prelates, who
haue no part, neither of godlie priesthood, nor priestlie
kingdome: but haue onely a surmised priesthood, and are
vsurped tyzannie, taken vp them selues, moste exprellis
against Gods most holie woordes.

And thus M. Dorman, who hath bene so longe stum-
bling in the slippery myre of his vncerten answers, is
now at the last by log seeking falle quite ouer the eares
into the dirtie donge of doultishe dotage, and most ha-
mefull and shameles impudencie.

A REPROVFE OF M.

Dormā, fol. 42.

Vvhiche neither is any suchē greate absurditie (if we indiffe-
renlie vew the matter) as some men woulde haue it seeme to be, con-
sidering that Ignatius disciple to S. Iohn the Euangelist, that all ^{should} That ki-
the auncient fathers, doo moste plainelie affirme the same: neither ^{should} subiect
yet anie great reason wh^y thiese woordes shoulde be to any man ^{priestes} absurdia
cause of offence, seeing that when all is counted, this honour of go- ^{at all,}
uernement resteth not in the priestes, but goeth farder to God him-
selfe whose ministers they are: as contrariwise the dishonor, the
contumelies and reproches docente unto them, are doen also to Christ as
himselfe witnesseth. Qui vos spernit me spernit, he that dispi- Luce.
seth you despiseth me.

Molius, fol. 45.

Norwell.

Here you must beleauie him agayne vpon his word,
as he is no lesse worthy, that Ignatius disciple to S. Iohn the
Euangelist, and all auncient fathers, doo moste plainelie affirme the
same: that is, that kinges shoulde be subiect to priestes: and the
same to be no absurditie at all. No doubt, they affirme it ee-
uen as plainelie, as S. Peter euene nowe affirmed it,
according as you haue heard: that is, the cleane con-
traarie. For that all true Chzillians, as well Laymen,
as priestes, are partakers of the kingleie priesthoode.
(whiche is the same þ Peter saith) Ignatius no doubt,
and all auncient fathers, wil agree with S. Peter therin.
Concerning the reason, wh^y it shoulde be no inconuenience, nor
cause of offence to any, that Princes shoulde be subiect to priestes, for
that this honour of gouernement resteth not in the priestes, but goeth
farder to God himselfe, whose ministers thei are. I pray you god
M. Dormā, by the same reason to persuade þ Stubberne &
rebellious Pope, his prelates & priestes, once to submit the
selues to their Princes, for þ the hono^r of gouernement
resteth.

resisteth not only in y^e Princes, but goeth further to God. Rom.13.
whose ministers they are, & of the worthynesse of the said
ministerie, God doth vouchesau to communicate to th^e
the name of the Goods, rather then to P^riestes. Psalm.85.

Touchinge the dishonour, the contumelies and re-
proches done to P^riestes, that redounde also to Ch^rist,
as he him selfe witnesseth, salyng : He that despiseth
you, despiseth me. Rom.13.

Here it is also written in the holie
Scriptures : that he, that resisteth the superiorour po-
wer, resisteth God, whiche I am sure is spoken of
Princes, and other Magistrate^s: wherefore your Pope
and his Popishe Prelates, so longe rebellinge against
these Princes, are to be warned hercose. But as so^r
this texte by you alleaged, it was not spoken to your

Pope, nor Popishe Prelates. It was spoken to those Luc.10.
who shoulde teach the Gospell, whiche they had receiv- Math.28.
ed of Ch^riste : and in dede, they that despise those, Docere q^{uod} prece-
pi vobis,

that teache Ch^ristes Gospell, despise Ch^riste, whose Gospell it is. And this one exception is enough to de-
barre the Popes, and all his P^riestes, from the clay-
minge of this texte, as apperteyninge to them, who do
not onely, not preache Ch^ristes Gospell, but also most
cruelly murder them that do preache it. For I wyll
not here encumber you with the rest of the exceptions : Luc.10.
as that they, to whom this texte was spoken, were
sente as Lambes emongst W^{olv}ues, but your Pope &
his Prelates come like W^{olv}ues emongst Lambes. And
what shoulde I speake of no purses, nor wallettes, no
hawkes, nor staves, to those y haue huge chestes full of
treasure, saundalles of gould, and sette with preci-
ous stones, Halberdes, Morispikes, yea Pistolettes,
Halsehakes, Gonnes, and double Cannons, &c. I pray

Eee you

you search the text better M. Dozman: I thinke you shal not finde the men you speake of , mentioned in all that chapter, where this text is.

And where your Pope and his Prelates have so honorable rowmes, and lvinges giuen them, by the liberalitie of Princes, and others , with muche reverence exhibited vnto them, farre passing the double honour, that S. Paule saith a godlie Prelate is worthie of, though it be but senglis , and simple deserved of their partes : Is this honour too little , that you count your Pope dishonoured, vntesse the greatest Princes of the earth do hould his bridle, stay his stirroppe, kisse his feete (whiche honour none the poorest subiect exhibitheth vsuallie to the highest Prince) would his holines be kisse any lower , would he haue his feete vpon all Emperours , Kynges, and Princes neckes, as he once serued the noble and most valiant Emperour Frederike Anobarbe ? Are not suche wealthy lvinges, and Lordlie estates , as your Popishe Prelates haue of Princes giftes , honour enough vnto them: but that they must also in matters of Religion , whiche toucheth the health of their soules, & heauenlie kingdome, beleauie and folowe whatsoever they say, though moste manifestlie repugnaunt to Gods holie woordes , without whies or whates, without any searchinge , or discussing: or elles they be dishonored, and reproched with contumelies , and the same dishonour , and Godwill, must redounde to Christe also : Is it not enough for your Pope, by his extreame tyrannie, and his Prelates, by their false treason , to haue deposed so manie godlie Christian Emperours, Kinges, and Princes: and to haue deprived them of their worldly kyngdomes , vntesse

Pigh. Hierarch.
lib.6. cap.13.
Ho li.2 fo 118.
Dor. sup. fo.23.
D.Hard. Cōfut.
Apolo. fol 302.
3.304.

lesse they may be suffered to deprive them of the kingdome of heauen also : Dr cl's, the Pope and his Prelates are dishonoured, and their dishonour also doth redounde to Christ him selfe sooth . Well, I trust that Christian Princes on dale will awake from their long sleepe, and looke about them , howe they may honour Ornare ex suis virtutibus. your Pope, and popish Prelates, thus pitifully by you complayning, that they lacke their due honour, and are dishonoured by vndue contumelies and reproches: vnto whome we wilhe that they may amende , and become worthye of some parte of that honour, whiche they too muche haue, or elles be honoured, as they in deede doo deserue.

Dorman. fol. 40.

The which thing I wold to God our aduersaries which glorie so much of the name of Christians, vaine them selues of the knowlege for vvoerde, out of the gospel, would not thinke scorne to learne by the ex ample of ^a of Hos.lib.1. fol. Pagane and Infidel, I meane Alexander the great. VVho although he ^{40,2:} were by religio an ethnike, by nature intollerable proud, so that not contented as Quintus Curtius writheth of him, to be borne of the race of mortall men, he conueighed his petigrue from the Gods, nor sufferinge but commaundingre also, that vpon peine of his indignation all mens shoulde call him the sonne of Jupiter : and to increase the more that naughtie humour of his, and to poure as the prouerbe is, oile into the fier, by fortune so happy, that the whole worlde was in a manner, by the dene of his sworde conquered and broughte vnder, that at his name the proudest Tyrants trembled, and barbarous nations stooped : he yet all this notwithstandinge, beinge such and so mightie a Monarche, when on a time he shoulde entre into

Cee 2.

the

A REPROVFE OF M.

the citie of Ierusalem, as soone as he once perceiued Iaddus the highe Iosepus
priest comminge to wardes him, fell downe and reuerenced him. antiqu. 11
vwhereat whan Parmenio one of his trustie friendes marueilinge
not a little, hadde daunded of him, why he whom all other men cap. 16
woorshipped, and had in reuerence, did worship the prince of lib. 5
Iewis he priesles: his answe was, that he worshipped not him, tra Cell
but God whose minstre he was. reuere-
covrteyn-
high pr.

Norwell.

This stuffe is borowed out of singe you haue thriuen so euill by the Scripture, to take
Hosius stoorc. you to Quintus Curtius, as god an authour for that
lib. 1. cōtra Brē- blisstle whereof you are Bachelor, as possible may-
gium fol. 40. bz. Wherefore you haue done right well, so painfu-
ly to penne, and to floozishe with your fine Rhetorical
flowres this Curtian historie. The effecte whereof is.

Nanceras ge-
neratione, 40.

That Emperours, Kinges, and Princes, must
fall downe, vpon their knees, I doubt, or flatte vpon
their faces, for it is not expressed in the texte, before
our holie Father the Pope, and reuerence, and woos-
hippe him: and then say you, they wooship not him,
but God. Why sir, but Frederike the Emperour folo-
winge your Curtian example, and fallinge flatte vpon
his face before the fete of Pope Alexander the thirde,
and folowinge your lesson also, and salyngge, he exhi-
bited not that great submission, to Pope Alexander,
but to S. Peter, was soz the saide salyng well fauou-
redle soulted in the necke by the Pope with his feete,
who repliynge saide: Both to me, & to Peter. Where-
fore I thinke it better after this loue curtesie, that you
speake of, is maide, to vse the Popes owne phrase and
figure of speache, rather than yours of not wooship-
pinge.

ynge him, but God: vntesse a man haue a lust to haue his nose beaten against the grounde, as flatte to his face, as a pancake.

In some, you see good Readers, what honour is, that the Pope and his prelates lacke nowe adates, by the whiche lacke, Christe is also dishonoured, as is finallie concluded by a cozollarie out of Quintus Cor-

Paulus Diaconus lib. 13.

ius. But I maruell muche that M. Dorman omitted the example of Cornelius, fallinge downe at Peters feete, and woorschipping him: for this beinge Scripture, had bene moze mete for him, beinge a Bachelor of Divinitie: and it toucheth the case directly, that was thus done to S. Peter, the Popes firste p^rædeces-
sour, as due to him also by succession pardie. Verad-
venture M. Dorman either remembred not, or elles
feareinge that Cornelius example woulde not so muche
further the case, as Peters example, for biddingge any
suche woorschipping to be doone to him, would hinder
it: and not onely disgrace his Curtian cozollarie, but
utterly controule the intollerable arrogancie of the
Pope, wha beyng I will not saye how muche infer-
ior to S. Peter, but vsually, scarsely an honest man,
admitteth Emperours and Kinges, muche superiours
to a Centurion, or poore pettie Captaine, as was Co-
nelius, not onely to a lowe curtesie, but also to the kis-
singe of his shooe. M. Dorman might also haue used
the example of Ittila that barbarous & cruell Tyrant,
humilitie, towardes Pope Leo: for that toucheth the
case directly, and was as easilie to be founde in Hos-
ius in the very same place. But M. Dorman like a rea-
sonable and shamesalle bozower, woulde not take all
at once, or elles feared he shoulde be espyed, if he made
suche

A REPROOFE OF M.

such haooke: and therefore thought it better to pike and
gleane here and there.

Dorman. Fol. 40.

By this whiche heitherto good Readers you haue hardē, for as-
much as it appeareth, that our aduersaries stand altogether destitute
and as it were naked, of such prouisio out of the scriptures, as with
the vaine title whereof, they persuaded them selues their parte to
be well boulstred, and them selues agaist all that would mainteine
the contrary, sufficiently furnished: it remaineth nowe, that I first
bringe foorth to you such examples, as hauninge happened in Christes
Churche sence his departure hence and his Apostles, they allage for
them selues: then after, that I refell the same, and proue that they
make as little or lesse for their purpose, as doo the other before
brought foorth of the scriptures.

Example

brought

the prot-

stante,

No well.

You see god Readers, what a spoile of vs y man hath
made, & how naked he hath leste vs of all prouision out
of the Scriptures, and now he leauing vs starke naked
on that side, maketh his transiſion from this spoile like
a large conquerour, to an other spoile, and to his refel-
lunge of our examples and proues taken out of histo-
ries Ecclesiasticall of the Christian Churche: whiche he
professeth he wil proue to make as little for our purpose,
as do the other before brought out of the Scripture.
And I thinke you shal finde it partly as he saith, that he
will refute the one, as he hath alreadie done the other
parte, and that you shall finde him no changelinge, in
handlinge of his matters.

Dorman. Folio 41.

And first for Constantinus the great, although by consent of all
historiographers it be well knownen and moſte apparent, (as before
hath byn shewed) how farre he was of all other, from that vnau-

full

Late victor.
Salmacida spo-
lio.

full desire of entremeddling in maters of Religion; yet forasmuch as the impudency of these men is such, that they are not ashamed to abuse his name amongest other, for the maintenaunce of their opinion, and that they labour to make him a pillar to sustaine and holde vp their rotten buildinge, allegainge his callinge together of the Councell of Nice, his sitting there presently with the Bis hoppes and fathers, his admonisshinge them how to procede, that is accordinge to the doctrine of the Prophetes and Apostles: I will firste answeare thereunto, and after procede to the rest.

Constantinus the truthe is, called together to the rootinge out and vtter extirpation of the heresie of Arrius, that great and famouse councell of Nice, as after him did diuerse other good Emperours, diuerse other. But neither of this acte of his, or that of theirs can any man rightly gather, that either the one or the other had ouer maters of Religion any thinge thereby the mere auctoritie.

Nowell.

Constantine the Emperour had no vnlauffull desire of intermedling in maters of Religion, but he did most laufullly and often intermedle therein, as shal hereafter at large appeare. We may not disdaine to haue impudicie and shamelesnes laide to our charge, by so shamefasse & maidenlie a man, as is M. Dorman. And where the controuersie is, whether Christian Princes haue authoritie as wel ouer persons Ecclesiastical as in maters Ecclesiastical, can your shamefassnes M. Dorman serue you to denie, but that this sommoninge and callinge of Bishops by Emperours, by you here confessed, proueth the one part, y is, y the Emperour hath authoritie ouer Bishops, who are persons Ecclesiastical? You say it can not be gathered of this sommoning of Bishops to councelles by Emperours that they had any authoritie ouer maters of Religion.

But

A REPRO^VE OF M.

But sir, we are neither in hande with treatise of masters, but with sommoninge of persons Ecclesiastical: We shall come to maters Ecclesiastical shorly, and in due time, when they shal beginne to be entreated of in the Councell beinge assembled. And I doubt nothing, but it shall plainly appeare by these histories Ecclesiastical, whiche you affirme to make nothinge for our purpose, that Christian Princes had, and vsed chiefe authoritie, as wel ouer persons , and in maters Ecclesiastical: and withal it shal fall out, who be impudent or shamelesse, we or you.

Dorman. Folio. 41.

But even as the handes or armes reachinge a thinge far of, or A similitude when it is brought nearer to sight, nothing thereby the more autorised to judge of the value and goodness thereof, to dispose how it shalbe ordered, but that remaineth still in the head by whose councell and commaundement the handes and armes reached it shiner: even so in this case maie it be said.

No well.

M. Dorman, when examples of Princes authoritie ouer persons Ecclesiastical are in hande, woulde draw vs from persons , to maters Ecclesiastical. And he woulde proue by this similitude here, that the Emperour is but an hand or arme in this matter, onely commaundered (by what head you shall shorly heare) to reache thinges far of, and to bringe them nearer sight. And so he maketh the Bishops (whom other men call persons) in his phrase of speache, and similitude, to be thinges farre of, by the Emperours calling of them together, as by the handes, brought nearer sighte.

Againe

Againe the eter that must see and vse these thinges
 brought neerer, can be none other (I trowe) but the Bis-
 shoppes, and learned men: soz S. Augustine saith even
 of Peter him selfe, who was y chiese, Petrus erat ocul-
 us in capite, Peter was the eye in the head. And so the
 Bishoppes in S. Dormās similitude, are both the things
 that are broughnt neare from farre of, and the eyes also
 to the sight and vse of whome the things are broughnt.
 Soe that it musste nedes be a verie pretie similitude,
 which yet liked M. Dorman so well, that he could not
 leauie it unmarked in the margent. Nowe if M. Dorman
 will say that he speaketh of matiers Ecclesiastical, and
 not of persons: surely he speaketh of somoning of Bis-
 hoppes to councells. And if he will nedes speake here of
 matiers: howe matiers in the counsell to be treated of,
 shold be farre of, or howe they may by the Emperour
 be broughnt neerer to sight. M. Dorman's sight may serue
 him to decerne, better than mine. If it perhaps may
 please M. Dorman, to make the Emperour in the coun-
 sell, as the speaker in the lower house of the parlamēt,
 to moue matiers, and so to bring them to sight: we are
 not yet so farre sozward, but about y assembling one-
 lie, and it were perisous also to gene the Emperour so
 highe an office, lest perhaps he might chalēge the chiese
 towne and authoritie in the house. But M. Dorman
 doth prosecute his similitude.

Dorman, Fol. 46.

In Christes Churche there is, and as it hath bene before proued
 necessarily must be one head.

No well.

Before proued, necessarelie, q; he, nay miserablie pro-
 ued for the Pope and Papistes parte, & shamefully too,

Fle as ever

August. tract. 13
in Iohannem.

A. R E P R O V F E O F M.

as ever was any thing proued, and as ridiculous and effectuously to make spot, as ever did M. Dozman anie thing in all his life, when he platheth his part best.

Dorman. fol. 41.

As there is an head, so is there a bodie, armes, legges, and other membris answering to the same.

Norwell.

This is well and truely said, and is a malo; veris
mette for the matier.

Dorman fol. 41.

Emongest the whiche, Princes and kinges haue of our elders no
amisse, bene termed the hedes and armes to aide and succour the head.

Norwell.

This minor would haue bene proued, & it shuld haue
bene shewed what elders they be, & where they so haue
said, at the least it would haue bene noted by a markes
in the margent, where we might haue sound some fur-
ther proufe hereof, than M. Dozman's onely saying. For
I might with better reason say that Constantine was
the head, that commanded the armes or handes, selinge
it is by the historie moste evident, (and is also by M. Doz-
man confessed) that he sommoned and called all the Bis-
hops to the counsell of Nice.

Dorman. fol. 41.

If nowe the Bisshoppes of Rome for the time being (for they
are vnder Christ the true heades of this churche, as hereafter by
Goddes grace I shall make it moste manifestlie appeare) haue e-
mongest so manie enemies and backe friendes as Christ and his go-
spell had, bene glad to bid their handes doe their duetie, to call to
the Emperours and Kinges for helpe whiche had so longe hindred.
if by

if by this meanes they compelled them to come in for feare of the temporall sword, who feared not the spirituall: if they vsed this rigorouse meanes where lenitie could haue no place: who is so voyde of witte to thinke, that princes had thereby auctoritie ouer Religion?

Norwell.

Powe you see the head of the Churche (that should commaunde this arme the Emperour) is the Bishoppe of Rome: and that will he make hereafter moste manifestlie appeare. No doubt as manifestlie, as he before proued effectuallie, that there must of necessitie be one head of Christes universall Churche: and that shall you by Gods grace see moste manifestlie appeare. But in the meane season S. Augustine (as I haue noted a little before) calleth Peter not the head, but the eye in Iohannes, in the head. Wherefore I maruell that the Pope, who hath all his headshippe of S. Peter, should be greater than Peter him selfe. And if this head (saith he) commaunded Emperours and Kinges (as the head biddeth the handes and called to them for helpe in the assemblinge of suche counsels: if by this meanes he compelled those to come in for feare of the Temporall sword, who feared not the spirituall: if they vsed this rigorous meanes &c. Who is so voyde of witte (saith M. Dorman) to thinke that princes had thereby auctoritie ouer Religion? Hus relie M. Dorman there is no man so voyde of witte, I thinke, but he will thinke it is good reason, that you shuld haue shewed by some one or other example, whā, where, & by what wordes, the Bishops of Rome haue commaunded Emperours and Kinges in the assemblie of counsels (as the head biddeth the handes, or armes doo their dueties) seinge the same is a mater of suche weight, and so much making for you, and against vs: &

Ifs 2

not

not to haue gone to worke with so manie Pſſes , and
neuer an one of them proued , ſeing you haue graunted
alreadie moſt plainlie, without any yf at all , that the
Bifhops were assembled and called to counſelles by the
Emperours ſommoning , whiche ſoundeth direcely for
his authoritie, and contrarie to that you now ſay . And
ſpecially ſhould you haue ſhewed where , and what co-
maundement Conſtantine the Emperour received from
the Bifhop of Rome, for the assemble of this great Pi-
tene counſell , ſeing it is the ſpeciall example that you
haue chosen noſe to treate of : at the leaſt you ſhould
haue noted in the margent (as you do manie triftlinge
thinges) where we might haue ſought for it : and not
thus continuallie to laie your credite to gage to vs , to
trull you ſtill vpon your poore honeſtie , & that, though
you ſay thinges moſte contrarie . But I beleauue that
there is no man vntelle he be voide of all witt , and ex-
perience of your propertie, and too forgetful of your pzo-
mes made (that you would bring in all your euidence into the face
of open court) but he will thinkie if you could haue brought
anſe euidence into the face of open Court for this ma-
tier, you would haue done it : and that therfore onelie
you haue not done it, because you had none to ſhew : as
ſhal hereafter moſt plaiſnely appeare . Surelie your au-
thour Hosius often making mention of the Nicen coun-
cel, doeth graunt it to haue bene assembled by the Em-
perour Conſtantinus : but noe where (that I can
yet finde) doeth he make mention of anſe the Bi-
fhoppe of Romes comuaundement, or dealing therein,
whiche you may be ſure, he would not haue omitted,
could he haue ſounde any ſuche euidence . For your eu-
idence is ſuche, as no man but you , would bzyngē in-

Dot. ſup. fo. 17.

Ho. li. 2. fo. 113. a
& li. 4. fo. 187. a

Your

Your similitude may be applied to any persons or purposes, at pleasure. Your yfes, are false surmises, oft supposed, never proved. Your graunt is plaine, that Emperours summoned and called Bisshoppes to Councels: which as I take for a confession of their authoritie ouer Bisshoppes, being persons Ecclesiastical: so will I further proue the same, by those histories Ecclesiastical, whiche you say make nothinge for our purpose.

Eusebius, after he had shewed y^e the dissentiōēs emongst the Christians, and specially Bisshoppes, about the heretie of Arius, and the feast of Easter, were exceedinge great, in so muche that they were like to murther eiche other: & y^e no mortall man could finde any remedie for so great a mischeave, but y^e it was God onely, who might and that easilie remedie those cuels, he saith thus: Solus inter eos qui terram incolebant Constantinus comparuit, qui Deo ad bona huiusmodi perficiēda ministaret. that is: Emongst them, that dwelled vpon the earth, saith Eusebius, onely Constantine appeared, who might be Goddes minister in the finishinge of so god thinges. These are Eusebius his owne wordes. And here may you see P. Dorman, that had the Emperour Constantine not intermedled in those maters and controverties of Religion; as you would haue it, they could by Eusebius his judgement not haue bene compouded by any other man. And behoulde how farre your iudgement swarued fro the Judgement of Eusebius, whom you alleage for your authour: you would haue the Emperour to haue nothinge to do at all in matiers of Religion: Eusebius saith that no other mortall man at all, but onely the Emperour, could goe thorough with the compoundinge of the greatest controverties, that ever

Eusebius de vita
Cestātini lib.3.

fa. 188.c. & 189.a.

Nec quisq; esset
hoīm qui malo
huic medelā in
uenire posset.

A REPROVFE OF M.

yet were in our Religion. And that neither the Bishop of Rome, nor other Bishoppe, nor any mortall man, but the Emperour Constantinus alone, & none but he was Goddes minister, not in medlinge onely with these thinges, (whiche you forbid him) but in goinge through with them, and finishinge them. And sarelie, had the Bishop of Rome bene than taken for the onely head of Chrestes Church in earth, Eusebius would never haue thus spoken of Constantine, with such silence of y salde Bishoppe. And though this be but Eusebius his entrie into y treatise of the Nicene councell, & first of y sommoning of the same, yet may it serue not onely for y autho-
ritie of the Emperour in the said sommoning of the Bis-
hops to the Councell, & beginning with the controuer-
ties Ecclesiastical, but also for the going through with
them, & perfect determining & finishinge of the said con-
troversies & matiers Ecclesiastical. Eusebius procedeth.

Eusebius de vita & saith: Constantinus vbi dictorum rumorem perce-
Cōstantini lib. 3. pit. &c. Whan Constantinus the Emperour saith Eu-
sebius, vnderstood of the contentions Ecclesiastical, and y

Secum ipse hanc
reputans &c. his letters sent to them of Alexandria, had prokited no-
thinge: he winge & consideringe the matter with hym
selfe, said, y this battel against the obscure enimie trou-
blinge the Church was by an other to be finished. And

Proinde quasi
agmen Dei ad
expeditionē du-
cur Synodum
Accumenicū col-
legit & episco-
pos, vt vndique
acceleraret, ho-
norificis literis
conuocauit.
Edictum hoc.

Whan
it came to the said Bisshoppes, they al,
with al readines & speade, came together. Thus far are
Eusebius wordes, truelie translated. See p. Dozman,
Eusebius saith not that Constantine assembleth the
Councell

Concell vpon the Bishop of Romes cōmaundement,
as you surmise, but vpon the weighinge and considera-
tion of the matier with him selfe: and that as well to
wey a matier of such weight, as also to commaunde so
many Bishops, is the propertie of the head rather than
y arme, as you would make the Emperour, in your simi-
litude. Further you see y Eusebius cōpareth those thre
hundreth godly & learned Bishops, whiche came to the
Pisene councell, to an armie of Houldiars, & calleth the
Goddes armie: but the Emperour Constantine he com-
pareth to the Captaine of the armie, who gathereth the
Houldiars together, & leadeth or guideth them. And the
Emperours letters of summoning of the couell he cal-
leth Edicta, & Mandata, Proclamations, insunctions, Edicta.
Mandata.
præcepts or cōmaundements: and he saith y the Empe-
rour cōmaunded the Bishops to make haste to come to-
gether. And the Bishops vpon the knowlege of y Em-
perours commaundement, did by & by make all possible
hast. And Theodozetus saith: y there came together, as
many as were hable to sustaine the labour, & trauaile of
the tourney: & that such, as were not hable, sent some for
these excuse, & in their place. And y Emperour, saith he,
whā he vnderstood y al y Bishops were assembled, came
last of al him selfe. Will you M. Dorman here say, y the
Captaine is inferiour to the Houldiars: or y he who ge-
ueth out Edicta, & Mandata, præcepts, or cōmaunde-
mēts, is inferiour to them, to whome they are geuen, &
who do readely obey y same: And whā one cōmaudeth a
sort of hundreth persons to assemble, and y with hast, to
a place & at a time, by him selfe appointed, wherunto y
most parte muste come by longe tourneys, with great
trauaile, charges, & daungers, & with great tediousnes, &
charges

Lib. 3. de vita
Cōstāt. fa. 189. a.
& lib. 4. fa. 210. b.
Euseb. de vita
Constant. lib. 3.
fa. 189.

Mox oēs velut
carcere recluso,
cū omnianimi
alacritate accurā
rerunt.
Theodoret. li. 1.
histor. Ecclesiast.
cap. 7.

charges also there remaine: & none to be absent, but such
 Quotquot via
 laborem pot-
 tent perficere,
 as for age, sickenes, or other lawfull impediment could
 not indure the traualle of the iourney: and he that com-
 maunded all other, not comminge vntill he vnderstode
 all other, according to his comauement, were come:
 Will you I say, haue him that so comauedeth, or them
 that are so comauanded, superiours in this case? will
 you haue the that so attende, or him vpon whome they
 attend, to be superiour? In the sommoning of a parlia-
 ment, are the nobles and Bishoppes sommoned thereto,
 the chiese, or the Prince that sommoneth them, god M.
 Dorman: In geuing out of a Proclamatiō, is y Prince,
 in whose name, and by whose authoritie it is made su-
 periour: or his subiectes, to whome it is made? And
 whereeras this, sommoninge of Bishops by Emperours
 (by M. Dorman confessed) is in such effectuoue sorte, &
 with such authoritie, by the saide Emperours made (as
 in the histories appeareth) not to a temporall Court, to
 entreate and debate of temporall matiers, but to a cou-
 cill, to deliberaue of matiers Ecclesiastical, is there no
 probabilitie, that he who so sommoneth such Ecclesi-
 astical persons, to the treatie of Ecclesiastical matiers,
 in a Court Ecclesiastical, shoulde haue authoritie him
 selfe, as ouer the said persons Ecclesiastical, (which is
 most manifest) so also in the saide Court, and matiers
 Ecclesiastical: euuen as the Prince, sommoninge his
 Nobles and Bishoppes to a temporall Court, for tem-
 porall causes, hath authoritie not onely ouer those per-
 sons, but also in that Court, & in those matiers? which
 I say here, for that M. Dorman is so desirous to drawe
 vs from the consideration of Princes authoritie, ouer
 persons Ecclesiastical in sommonsinge of them, here so
 plainly,

plainely, and pithely proued, to their authoritie in matiers of Religion, not yet touched. But for the authoritie of Princes in matiers of Religion, you shall haue most evident euidence in due place hereafter.

Now peculiarte touchinge the Bishop of Rome: as there is not one word in these, or any ancient historie Ecclesiastical, soundinge of any his biddinge or commandinge of the Emperour in this case, as you doo surmisse: so is there evident declaration, that the Emperour by his owne onely authoritie called them together: and that the Bishoppe of Rome was with others summoned to the saide councell, and causes shewed, why he came not him selfe, but sent his Deputies for him. For as Eusebius saith, that of all earthly men Constantinus alone was to be founde, that might serue God in this matter: so saith Socrates, that onely Constantinus the Emperour by bringing together that assemble of Bishoppes, made a certaine Image and shewe of the cōpanie of the Apostles. The Emperour him selfe likewise before the whole numbre of Bishops, being in the councell assembled, saith: Hoc mihi praeter spē accidit, q̄ tantum sacerdotum Christi numerū congregauit. that is to say: This thinge hath happened to me besides my hope, that I haue gathered together so great a nombrē of the Priestes of Christ. The whole Nicene Councell also, in their Epistle written to the Churches of Alexandria, Egypt, Lybia, and Pentapolis, doth tellle the same, by these very wordes: By the grace of God, and the most godly Emperour Constantine, who hath gathered vs together from diuers cities, and provinces: the great and holy Synode is assembled at Nicæa. These be the wordes of the whole Councell.

Ggg

Now

Socrates lib. v.
cap. 8. fa. 269. b.
Solus à seculo
Cōstātin⁹ Imper-
ator, hāc nobis
Apostolici chori
Imaginē, consti-
tuit.

Sozom. lib. i. ca.
19. fa. 338. c.

Socrat. lib. i. c. 9.
Per gratiam Dei
& piētissimum
Imperatore Cō-
stantinum, &c.

Now you see, Eusebvs saith, Constantine alone was to be found, who might serue God herein. Socrates saith, onely Constantine assembled the Councel. The Emperour him selfe, before the whole Councell saith, I called together this your holy Synode or Councell. The whole councell it selfe testifieth, that it was assembled by the grace of God, and by the godly Emperour. None of all these, nor no other, maketh any mention, that the Bishop of Rome had herein any authoritie; or any thinge at all to do. And all men may easelie see, that if the Bishoppe of Rome his authoritie herein, had bene chiefe (as M. Dozman furniseth) it shold not with such silence haue bene passed ouer, by all these writers. Sozomenus declareth the same sommoninge of this Councell

Sozom. li. i. c. 17. more largely, thus. Cum vero institutum hoc Imperatoris concepta spe non respondisset &c. that is to say: Whan this attempte of the Emperour went not so fowarde accordinge to his hope, and they, who were at contētion, could not be agreed, and he, who was sent to make peace, was now returned, the Emperour called together a Synode or Councell to be kept at Nicæa in Bithinia: and he did write to all the presidentes of ecclesiasticall prassi. Churches (that is to say to all Bishoppes) euery where, that they shoulde be present, at the day appointed.

And the Bishoppes of the Apostolike sees were also called to the saide Synode, or Councell: Macarius the Bishoppe of Hierusalem, and Eustathius Bishop of Antiochia, and Alexander Bishoppe of Alexandria: but Julius the Bishoppe of the Romaines, because of his age, came not; but in his name and stede, Titus (or Victor) and Vincentius Priestes of the Churche of Rome were present: Thus saire Sozomenus truly
S. J. O. P. translate;

translate. And of the Bishoppe of Rome Socrates w^t. Lib. i. cap. 8. fa^t
nesleth the same. Here may you see M. Dozman, first
the Emperours care, and intermedling also in the grea-
test matiers and controuersies of Religion, that were
betwene Alexander Bishoppe of Alerandria and Arius
the Archeheretique: for thereof speaketh Sozomenus.
You see also that whan he coulde not sette them at vni-
tie, he called together all h̄ p̄sidentes of Churches,
or Bishoppes, whiche were enough to shew that the
Bishoppe of Rome also was called; seinge he was p̄s-
sident of a Churche, or a Bishoppe: but if that will
not serue you, he saith further, that the Bishoppes
of the Apostolike sees were also called to the same Cou-
cell: and he reckeneth Iourne, Hierusalem, Antiochia,
Alerandria, and Rome in this very ordre: which well
serueth you M. Dorman, who are wonte of the ordre
in a rehersall to make a great matter, and of the firsste
place therein to gather the chiese p̄seminence.

Besides that, the Bishop of Rome hath there no other
title but onely, Bishop of the Romaines: which is very
inconuenient at such a pointe of time, wherein his
universall iurisdiction, (had he than had any) shoulde
chiesly haue bene exercised. And Sozomenus and So-
crates doo shewe, that age (not authoritie) stated the
saide Bishop of Rome, that he came not, at the Empe-
rours commaundement, as did the other Patriarkes,
but sente his Deputies for him. The case standinge
thus, you come in M. Dorman, and say: If nowe the Bi-
shop of Rome as the head did call upon the Emperour and bidd him
as the hande to doo his duetie: these are your wordes: where
it is most euident, that the Emperour did call vpon the
Bishoppe of Rome, and did bidde him doo his duetie in

A REPROOFE OF M.

Euseb. lib. 3. de vita Constantini. fa. 192. &c. & lib. 4. fa. 210. &c.
Theodor. lib. 1. cap. 7.
Sozom. lib. 1. cap. 17. 19. & 21. cap. 28. 29.
Synodū. cōuocabat, cōgregabat, coegit, quasi exercitum Dei, ar- mavit, in expedi- tionē duxit, eque- nire, adesse, iussit, mox, sine aliqua dilatiōe, statim, visis li- teris suis, ut ma- turarent, literis Imperatorijs vr- gens & impellēs Synodū ut ma- turarent &c.
Euseb. lib. 10. histor. cap. 5, fol. 154. b.
Maturauit, ac- curretū, cōcur- rerunt oēs velut carcere recluso, cū omni animi alacritate, &c.

comminge to the Councell, and that by age he was ex- cused that he came not. The wordes and termes vſed in the Emperours sommoninge and callinge of the Bis- hoppes together, to this and other Councelles (for he assembled diuers other Synodes) are these: The Em- perour called together, gathered together, assembled, he armed, as it were, the Synode of many Bishoppes, he led the Synode of the Bishoppes, as it were a Cap- taine, that ledde Goddes armie into warrefare: he com- maunded them to come together, & to be present with- out any delay, forthwith, vpon the sight of his letters: hastely callinge vpon, and d̄rsuinge (as it were) for- warde the Synode, with the Emperours letters to make hast. These & such like be the Phrases & wordes, vſed by those authours Ecclesiastical, and by the Empe- rour him selfe: whiche, whether they be wordes of com- maundement, as geuen from the head: or of the arme, commaunded to do his duttie, as M. Dorman saith, let the reasonable Readers iudge. And the wordes & phra- ses of the Bishops parte, are these, and such like. Whē the Bishoppes had intelligence of the Emperours wil, and commaundement, by and by, withall spide, and rea- dines, they came together: or as the Latine wordes do- sounde, they came runninge together, as it were men letten out of a prson, or running a race. Whether these phrases agree so peculiarielie to either heades, or eies, as M. Dorman in his similitude would haue it, or in simili- tude of speach, do appertaine rather to ȳ inferior me- bres, and partes of the bodie, let the discrete Reader also iudge. Thus, for that M. Dorman hath charged vs with such impudencie, that we are not ashamed to vse the name of this godly Emperour Constantine for the maintenaunce of our opinio &c.

I haue

I haue thought god of infinite places in the histoires Ecclesiasticall, to touche some, whiche do declare the said Emperours authoerite ouer Bisshoppes in sommoning them to councelles, and amongst them, the Bishop of Rome: so that all men may see, how that false usurpar of Rome, hath creapt of late into the possession of the ancient, and original right of Christian Emperours and Princes. And withall, haue, I trust discharged vs of such impudencie, as M. Dorman chargeth vs withall, for the vsing of the example of Constantinus the Emperoor, for this first point of sommoning of Bisshoppes to councelles. And I shall not faile to doe the like in al other pointes, wherein M. Dorman likewise, and more behemently also, chargeth vs for vsinge the name, and authoerite of the said godlie Emperour Constantinus to our purpose.

Dorman. Fol. 41.

Nay but Constantinus (saith our apologie) did not onelie call together the councell of Nice, but he sat in the same with the Bishops, nor sat there barelie as a cipher, but warned them howe to procede by ihe scriptures. Here marke diligentlie I beseeche you good readers, either the manifest malice of them that wittinglie mangle the holie histories, or intollerable felishenes whiche allege that that they never them selues sa we, but onely haue by report of others: or last of all their grosse ignorance, that thinke they haue to doe with them, who without anie farder searching of suche places as are by them allegaged, will streight waies giue full credit to their bare honesties. Eusebius who writeith the historie of Constantinus, and whole discours of his life, witnesseth, that comming into the coun-

cell last of all, hauing prepared readie for him a seate lower then with anie of the rest: he would not before sit down in the same, then first of Ho.li.2,fo.13. D. Hard. Cofut. Apolo. fo. 314. a. both borowedit hath the same.

Egg. 3 hs had.

A REPROOFE OF M.

he had aske of the Bisshaps and they graunted him licence so to doe the bish
The verie same doeth sacraes report of him, and euē he ~~out~~ before 1
of whome the apologie alleageth this example, Theodoretus himselfe had ask
whose wordes are these. Minore vero sede quam alijs possum so to de
in medio eorum sedit, primo tamen petens sibi hoc episcopo- Li. I. cap.
rum iussione concedi. And having (that is to saye) appointed for Hist. eccl.
him a place or seate meaner then any of the other, beset him down trip. lib
in the middest of them desiring yet first, that by the commaundement cap. 5.
of the Bisshoppes it might be graunted him so to doe. If this be true
(as if the histories and olde recordes doe not witness the same then
let me never be farder beleued) what meaneth this lieng generation
to bring in for exaple, to sustaine and vphold their wicked doctrine,
this vertuous emperor Constantinus? then whence if they would
have laied all their heades together for that purpose, they shoulde
never haue founde one, whose doinges and whole life had made
more for vs, or more agaist them.

Looke vpon
D. Hard. Cōfut.
Apolo. fo. 314.

If he were chief of the councell, and ruled all as they saie, why
had he in that place (where by all likelihood there lacked nothing
that pertained to semelie ordre) a seate lesse statelie then his inferi-
ours? Places are I knowe of their owne nature thinges indifferent
and of no greate account. Yet haue they at all times, in all ages,
and emongest all men, bene taken for meanes to distinct accordinge
to their worthines in degree, one from an other, so that it can be to
no man doubtful; but that, if of the councell gathered and assembled
together he had bin the head and chiefe, there shoulde haue bin pre-
pared for him, if not a seate suche as might by the maiestie above
the rest well haue declared the same: yet at the least suche a one, as
I shoulde no by the basenes thereof compared with other, well and
plainelic haue proued the contrarie. If the wholesome and order of
religion belonged to him being the empērour: why then in that place
whither they were all for that purpose (to entreate of religion)
assembled, had the head of that parle no place but by licence? vVhy
skd

affed he leane of the Bisshoppes to site in the counsell and not rather
they of him? ¶

Nowell.

I thinke verely that I haue declared sufficiente evi-
dence to proue the Emperours superioritie ouer the bi-
shops in the calling of them to the counsele, Now where
M. Dozman doeth so greaously yet againe charge vs,
with either manifest malice, as mangling the holie histories: or in-
tolerable foolishnes, that we doe alleage that, whiche we never
sawe: or grosse ignorance, that knowe not with what maner of
men we haue to doe: to witt, with suche profound and cir-
cumspect clerkes, as M. Dozman is one: who also calleth vs
a lieng generation, and heapeþ al kindes of reproches up-
pon vs: I doubt nothing but that al the said reproches,
shall in thend redound vpon his owne heade.

First M. Dozman of a low chaire maketh here an high
matter, wherunto, with the rest of his obiectio[n]s, I haue
thought best to ground mine answere vpon the full and
plaine recital of those histories, whiche M. Dozman, & his
maister D. Hard. haue in dede, most miserably magled.
Sozomenus in his Ecclesiastical histore, after he hath
declared how the Emperour refusing to heare y contro-
versies emongst the Bisshopps, burned all their biles of Sup. fo. 69. 70.
complaint (wherof I haue before entreated) saith thus. &c.
Imperator die determinauit, quo quæ in questione venerat,
solui deberet &c. h̄ is to say. The emperour determined,
or finally assignd a day, wherin the matters h̄ were in
questio[n], shuld be resolu[n]d vpon. But before h̄ day appoin-
ted came, the Bisshopps assembling in a seueral place by Episcopi separa-
them selues, did accuse Arius, & proponinge furth their cōgregati. &c.
myndes and opinio[n]s, did dispute. Thus farre Sozome-
nus. And in the xiiij. chapter folowinge, he saith:
Sozome. histor.
ecclesiast. li. i. ca.
17. c. & 19. a.
Determinauit
diem.
Episcopi

Episcopi vero assidue conuenientes, Ariunt in meedium adducebant &c. that is to saye; and the Bishops assembling stll together, had Arius before them, & made diligent inquirie vpon his propositions. But they tooke heede, that they did not too hastily geue sentence vpon ante side, or part. And when the day determined was come, wherein according to y appoinctmet, the doubtes shold be resolued vpon, the Bishops came together into the Palace, because it was the Emperours pleasure to be present at their consultation. When the Bishops therefore were assembled together into one place, the

Ad caput cõuenit? Emperour passing throughe the midle of the Bishops, in throno quodam qui ipsi paratus erat consedit. &c.

Multa posita subsellia. Hic vero thronus maximus erat, & reliquias sedes excelebat.

Lib. 3. de vita Constan. fa. 189. Intus comparsabant vocati. Cum vero in decenti esset ornata vniuersa synodus.

Eusebius agreinge with Sozomenus in the day appointed, and in the description of the house and seates vpon both sydes, saith: that the Bishops beinge called, came in, and euerie one of them did take to him a convenient place. And whan the whole Synode was in a comely order, all kept silence, lookinge for the Emperours comming. And first (saith Eusebius) came in some one of those, that were about the Emperour, than an other, than the thirde. And those that went before the Emperour, were not armed men, and of the garde, as was wonte, but of his friendes onelie. And whan a signe being geuen of the Emperours comming in, they all were risen, than came he in the midle, as it were

were a certen heauenly Angel, shinting with purpure,
gould, and p;ecious stones &c. Whan he came to the bp-
per end of the orders or rewees of the Bisheps, he staled
first, and a little chaire made of golde matter, being set, *Priusquam ab epis-*
he late not downe, afoore the Bisshoppes desired him, or annueret, quod
made a signe to hym, and after the Emperour all the & reliqui post
*Bisshoppes late likewise. Thus farre Eusebius truelie Imperatorē vni-
versi fecerunt.*

Now Theodozitus, whome both D. Harding and
M. Dozman alleage as most for their purpose, saith thus
Cum autem vniuersi conuenissent &c. that is to say. *Theodorit. li. 2*
Whan all the Bisheps were come together, there was
præpared, by the King a large place in the Palace of *Instructio subseq-*
Court, set full of seates, and vnderseates, which myght
suffise the numb're of the Bisheps: and so whan he had
done due honour or reverence to the said Bisshoppes, he
commaunded them to entre in, and to cōsult of the ma- *Iussit eos intro-*
ster appointed. And he him selfe, with a fewe, came in
last of all: and late downe in a little chaire there set, *ire, &c.*
askinge leaue first of the Bisheps, and than al that god-
lie company late downe also. These be Theodozetus
his woordes. In the whiche processe of these histories,
holwe many thinges making notable, for the Empe-
rours superioritie ouer the said Bisshoppes. M. Dozman
and his maister D. Harding hauyng by guylful silence dis-
sembled, taking houle of the lownes of the chaire, and
the Emperours curteſie in sittinge downe, the discrete
Reader may of hym selfe easely consider: and withall
iudge, who they be that mangle the holie histories.
But for the Reader of meane understanding his sake, I
will out of these histories Ecclesiasticall answere the
Principall pointes of their quarellinges.

Vhh

M. Dozman

A REPROOFE OF M.

M. Dorman here saith. The Emperour came into the coun-
cel last of all. D. Harding frameth thereof this question.

D. Hard. Cōfut.
Apolo. fo. 314. a
Iussit epos in-
troire. &c.

Vocati
compargenerunt.

Now thinke you that the supreme head of the churche shoule
have come in last and have sitten beneath his subiectes &c. The
doxitus answereth: the Bishoppes were commaunded
by the Emperour to goe in first, and to consult. Euse-
bius answereth: they were called in first, and appeared,
and that they tooke their places, and settled them selfes
in good ordre, and with silence waited for the Empe-
rours comminge, and with great reverence stode vp
whan he came in, and passed through them all: and in
this sort, it is vsual for the inferiours to come first, and
to attend: and for the superiour to come after, at his lea-
sure, and pleasure. Neither saith he as D. Harding fel-
lenth, beneath them, but aboue them though in a lowe
chaire. The lownes of the whiche chaire, as D. Har-
ding wold not suffice it to escape him, so is it the highest
point of all with M. Dorman, who translateth Theo-
doritus after this sort.

Constantinus having, that is to say appointed for him, a place or
seate, meaner than anie of the other; he saue him doynge in the mid-
dest of the. &c. Thus he translateth the wordes of Theo-
doritus: and after he saith, If this be not true, than let me ne-
uer be farder beleaued. But, M. Dorman, Theodoritus ma-
keth no mention of suche appointing, as you do speake
of e2 meane. For you wold beare the ignorant in had,
that by the Bishops, or some for the, that meaner seate
was to the Emperour, as a meaner man, and their in-
ferior, appointed: but those godlie & humble Bishops,
(most unlike to your popish Prelates) had thei appoin-
ted it, it shoule haue bene higher than any of theirs: as
doth appeare by the processe of the historie, declaring &
humilia-

humilitie of those godly and most lowlye holy Bishops; wherein they did strike, as muche with the said Emperour, in all submission to him, as do now your Pope & his Prelates in all pride and presumption, contend to be aboue al Kinges and Emperours. Wherfore the historie hath no such mention of any appointing of such a lower chaire for the Emperour, but that the chaire for the Emperour, as all the other seates for the Bishops also, were set by the Emperours owne appointment, in a large place in his Palace, whither he comauanded the Bishops (who before had their conference in an other place) to come, for y he wold haue the hearing of y matters him selfe. And as those godlie Bishops, in all other thinges shewing humilitie, would not, so durste no Bishop nor any other ma, appoinct y lowest chaire to the Emperour in his owne Palace; and Court, or do any thing, tending to the abasing of the honour of soe high & glorious a Prince, as ever the world had. But Lib. i. cap. 7.
Theodozetus (whome both D. Hardinge & you alleage as most for your purpose) saith exprely, that the Emperour appointed the place, with all the seates therein. And if the Emperour appoincting all y seates, appoincted y lowest for him selfe, what could any ma (saueinge only a proud Papist) gather therof, but the great humilitie, of so great a Prince, and Emperour: the same may I say concerning his curtesie in sitting downe.

But M. Dozman as before he was busie with the appoincting of a meaner seate for y Emperour, as a meaner ma, so is he also busie in lisyng y Bishops comaundermet vpon the Emperour: wheras in dede no such either appoinctmet, or comaundermet, is in Theodozetus, or any other good ancient historie, to be sounde. And though I will not hinder him from his delight herein,

Vph 2 yet

yet would I aduertise him thereupon, not to vse too often such solemne protestations: that if the histories, and ould recordes doe not witnes the same, than let me (saith M. Dozman) never be farder beleaneed. These are your wordes. And if you, thus doinge, will needes thus protest, you may happen to obteine your request, and such credit, as you both desire and deserve. For neither Theodozetus maketh mention of any such commandement, and your maister D. Harding, who had rather finde than lese, could finde no such commandement, as you talke of: as may by these his wordes appeare. Thinke you that the

D. Hard. Cofut.
Apolo. fol. 314.

supreme head of the Churche, shold haue staled to sit, until they had, as it were geuen him leauē?

Loe M. Dozman, your maister speaketh of leauē, not of comandement; and he also qualifieth that terme of leauē, as being too much; thus: until they had, as it were, geuen him leauē. It were good therefore that you learned to vse your maisters termes, rather than your owne insolent phrase, that the Emperour desired first, that by the comandement of the Bisshops, it might be graunted him to sit downe wheras it to be graunted him by the consent, permission, leauē, or licence, of the Bisshops, is both more agreeable to the right phrase of speache, and the verie truth it selfe: for as it appeareth nowheare in Theodoritus, or any other good writer, that any comandaundement was geuen to the Emperour by the Bisshoppes, so is it evident by Theodozitus, Eusebius, Socrates, & Sozomenus, y sondry comandaundementes were genen by the Emperour to the Bisshops, and by them most humble obeyed.

And truthe it is in dede, y the Emperour coming in, all the Bisshoppes stode vp reverentlie, and he passing through y midle of the vp to his thronē or chaire stading at tha

Theodoritus.
lib. I. cap. 7.
ἘΠΙΤΓΕ ΦΑΙ
ΤΣΩΤΣ ἐ-
ΠΙΟΝΩΤΣ
ΘΟΑΣ.

at the uppemost parte of all, in the middest, besyng now at his chayze, and reade to sit downe, seinge so many reuerend fathers standing, the most gentle Emperour maketh curtesie to sitte, whiles they stode: and after some stay, made by hym, and requestes by the Bishops, that it woulde please him to sitte, he at the last satte downe, they yet standinge, with reverence, and not sit-
 tinge downe vntill they were commaunded (as saith Sozomenus) to sitte. And seinge that all the histories do testifie, that the Emperour sate firste, and the Bi-
 shoppes after; and that by the Emperours commaunde-
 ment, saith Sozomenus: if M. Dorman, or D. Harding
 will gather any superioritie, of the ordre of sittinge, it
 must nedes be the Emperours, who sate firste: not the
 Bishops, who sate after. Wherefore M. Dorman most
 impudently asketh, why the head of that payle had no place,
 but by licence: and why he and not they asked leue to sitte? where
 it is most euident by the histories, that all the Bishops

came thither, and entered into the place of the Council
 by the Emperour his commaundement, and sate not
 before they were by him commaunded to sitte. And
 if these men will gather of the godly Emperour his
 curtesie in sittinge, any other thing, than his humilitie
 of minde, they shall surely gather otherwise, than did
 those godly Bishops them selves, p̄ſent with the Em-
 perour at that holy Councell, gather thereof. Eusebius
 (whome you likewise alleage M. Dorman) saith thus.

Talis erat Constantinus cōmuniter quidē erga quos-
 us, singulariter vero curā suā ecclesiae Dei impen-
 debat. &c. that is to say: Such was Constantinus the
 Emperour commenly towardeſ all men, but he did sin-
 gularly ſet his care vpon the Church of God, & because

Hhh. 3

many

Sozom.li.1.c.19.

Synodus federe
iussa est.

Euseb.lib.3. de
vita Constant.
fa.189.

Theodor. lib.1.
cap.7.

Tum una cū illo
totus ille diuinus
cætus consedit.

Sozom.li.1.c.19.
Synodus federe
iussa est.

Euseb. de vita
Constant. lib.1.
fa.169. a.

A REPROVFE OF M.

Quasi cōmūnūs many were in diuers places at dissencion; he, as ater-
quidā episcopūs tains common Bishoppe by God appointed, called to-
ā Deo cōstitutūs, gether Synodes or Councels of the ministers of God.
ministrorū Dei
Synodos cōuo- Neither did he disdaine to be p̄esent, and to sit in the
cauit. middest of them, and to be made a felow of the Bishops.

Neque dedigna- and to dispense vnto them al such thinge as made to the
e⁹ est adesse, &c. peace of God &c. Thus farre Eusebius: And by and
Dispensare. by againe in the same place he saith, Medius inter eos,
quasi vnu ex multis sedebar, that is: he late in the
midle of them, as one of the numbre. All these are Euse-
bius his w̄rdes trulic trāllate. Where you may note,
that Eusebius saith, the Emperour had a singular care
of the Churche of God: and he saith not that the Bishop
of Rome, but the Emperour, as he were a common Bi-
shop, by God appointed, did assemble Synodes & Coun-
cels of Bishoppes to the pacifying of controvries: and
that he sittinge in the midle did dispense to all the Bi-
shops such thinges, as apperteined to the peace of God,
Whiche by a similitude of the mistres, dispensing & deui-
dinge to her handmaidenes, their fare or w̄rke, M.
Dorman would haue drawen to an argument of supe-
rioritie, had it bene spoken of the Bishop of Rome, as it
is spoken of the Emperour. But to ouylte these things
apperteining to the Emperours authoritie, note here
good Reader that Eusebius, saith, that the Emperour
disdained not to be p̄esent with the Bishops, & to sit in
the middest of them, as to be made a felow of y Bishops,

Non dedignat
&c. quasi vnu
ex multis, &c. as though he were one of the numbre. Whiche phrasēs
of speach are comonly vsed, whan the superiour disda-
neth not the company of his inferiours: & do shew that
in dede he was aboue them, to whome by humilitie he
made him selfe æqual and felowlīke: as I do report me,

to all the learned. The same Eusebius reporteth of the Emperour Constantine, that being at a longe sermon, *De vita Costantini*, he stode longe emongst a multitude of other hearers ^{lib. 4. fa. 208. c.} being rounde about him: and beinge by the Bishop who preathed twise or thise earnestly and humblie prayed, that he would sitte downe, and rest him in the Rovall chaire, whiche was there set for him, he would not, but refused it, and continued standinge to the ende. These are Eusebius wordes. And would any man but M. Dozman, gather hereof, that the Emperour may not sit, whan a Bishop preacheth, but muste stande before the Bishop as his superior: where as now every meane man that hath a stcole, sitteth, though an Archbischoppe preacheth.

Rufinus in his historie Ecclesiastical testifieth, that *Lib. 1. cap. 7. scil.* Helene the Empresse, this Emperours mother, bidding ^{237. b.} holly virgins to dinner with her, did her selfe in the habite of a waitinge maide, or seruaunt, set meate and drinke on the borde before them, and serued them with water to their handes. Will M. Dozman hereof gather that the Empresse was inferiour to them? Sure he may as reasonablie, as he, of the lowe chayre, and the courtesie whiche Constantine the Emperour vised in his sittinge downe, doth gather, not the humilitie of the Emperours minde; but the diminution of his au thorite. Nowe though I trusse I haue satisfie all reasonable men concerninge this chayre: yet for that I thinke, I do by M. Dozmanes owne wordes, perceiue some possiblitie to satisfie him also, I wil say somewhat more of this chayre:

M. Dozmanes wordes are these: It can be to no man doubtfull, but that if Constantinus had bene the heade and chiefe of the
council,

A REPROVVE OF M.

Councell, there shoulde bene prepared for him, if not such a seate, as might by the Maiestie aboue the rest well haue declared the same, yet at the least such an one as shoulde not by the basenes thereof compared with the other, well and plainlye haue proued the contrarie. These are your wordes M. Dozman: and will it satisfie you than, if I do shew that Constantines chayze had a Maiestie in it aboue the rest, or at the least had no such basenes, as you speake of in it? Will it please you than to heare, and consider Sozomenus hereof before noted.

Sozom. li. i. c. 19 The Emperour saith Sozomenus late downe in a certayne thronē prepared for him, at the head of all the Councell: whiche thronē was the greatest, and excelled the other seates, and he calleth the Bishoppes seates in comparison to it, subsellia, vnder seates: and he saith the Emperour late firsste downe in the saide great highe thronē, and than commaunded the Synode to sitte. Looke you vpon the olde recordes you talke of, and you shall finde it so. What, do I denie than that he late in a lower chaire also? No that do I not, for the other Historiographers do say so. But dare you denie this, that Sozomenus saith of this thronē of Maiestie: I belene your face wold serue you to do it, but I thinke they all said true. And whereas first the Emperour had a thronē meete for his maiestie, so great, and high aboue the rest prouided for him, in the whiche also he satte downe, as saith Sozomenus: yet for that, in suche a great compaines of 318. Bishoppes, some sittinge further of could not heare the Emperour, whā he spake: neither he againe heare some of the Bishops sittinge further of, whan they did speake, and that great thronē could not well be remoued, an other lesse chayze was brought, whiche might be set in place

place moste conuenient to heare : whiche may appeare
probable by these wordes of Sozomenus : Et vnum- Sozomen^o lib. i.
quēq; sicut audire poterat, alloquebatur . that is , he cap. 20.
spake to euery man, as he might heare. If this declara-
tion like you not, denise you such as both may like you,
and sauē the authoritie of the auncient Histories, and
ould recordes , whiche your selfe so much braggeth of,
cryng: if it be not so in the ould recordes , let me never be farder
beleaued : whiche I also in this case may moste safely say.
Further M. Dorman , that little chayre you speake of,
was not so base as you would make it:but as Eusebius
himselfe (whom in this case you alleage for the lownes
of the chaire) witnesseth, it was of gould, whiche is not Ex materia arc.
so base a metall M. Dorman , but I thinke you had ra- rea.
ther haue had it, though lasse, than one of the Bishops
bigger chaires of wod , for well you wot man pardie,
(thus your Maister, and you vse to speake) a legge of a
Iarke is worth the whole bodie of a kiete.

And now sir, some Maestrie appearinge in y Empereour his thzone, as you required, wherin he also did first sit downe, and after commaunded all the Bishoppes to sitte (as Sozomenus saith) & also no moze basenesse appearinge in that lesser chaire, than is in gould emongst other metalles: & the Emperour sittinge downe therein also firsle, and the Bishoppes after: your Maister and you had no great cause to make so highe a matier of this lowe chaire, and about curtesse in sittinge downe, as you haue done: much lesse cause had you, to charge vs so greauously with either manifest malice, as mangling the holy histories, or intollerable foolishnes, or grosse ignorance: onely for that the Apologie, In so great, and professed, breuitie, & shortenes, made no mention of your scoles,

III and

and curtesies. Pour selfe in the meane tyme, omittinge
and dissemblinge, the attendaunce of. 318. Bisshoppes
vpon one man the Emperour, by his commaundement,
at his owne Palace, in place and tyme by hym appoin-
ted, and there with silence waitinge vpon his cōminge,
with reverence risinge vp at his comminge, and stan-
dinge, vntill he were sette, and then by him commaun-
ded to sitte. And you, like a man starke blinde, neither
perceiuinge the height of the great throne, nor the glit-
tering of the gould of the other chaire, could onely espie
the lowenes of it, whiche you terme also the basenes of
it: and not seinge the reverent risinge vp, and humble
standinge of so many, graue, learned, & ancient Fathers,
could marke one mans curtesie onely: and deepeley dis-
semblinge so many notable thinges, manifestly decla-
ring the Emperours superioritie ouer the saide Bi-
shoppes, doo so largely prosequente suche small trifles as
about stoles, and curtesies: and all this you may doo,
Without the blame of either manifest malice, in maglinge wit-
tingly the holy histories, either of intollerable foolishnes, or grosse
ignorance (wherewith you charge vs) but you doo in all
pointes, euен as M. Dorman shoulde doo, and no other
wise.

Dorman fol. 42

D. Hard. Cōfut. Yea but he warned the Bisshoppes, how they shoulde procede in
Apol. fol. 313. b. the Councell, that is, by the doctrine of the Prophetes and Apostles.

If they woulde here haue dealt truly, and vprightly with vs; and
not rather haue followed their father in lienge and patchinges: they
woulde not haue rehered Gloria patri without Sicut erat, nor
taken a piece that seemeth to make for them, leauinge out that
which maketh against them. But because they are frowne to be true

to their occupation and so may not: I, who i thonke God therefore am none of the company, will take the paines to stope, and doo it for them. It foloweth in Theodoretus after he had mentioned the oration which Constantine had in the Councell: Hæc & his familia, tanquam filius amator pacis, sacerdotibus veluti paribus offerebat. These wordes and such like, as a sonne that loued peace, he offred vp to the Priestes as to his fathers.

Lo good readers, was not here crow you a great president for our Emperours and Kinges to meddle with the ordre of Religion?

Nowell.

Pea here is such a præsident, as will for all æternite
keape wicked popishe Priestes in subiection to godly
Princes: though M. Dozman as he before would haue
disgraced the notable wordes and factes of the Emperour
Constantinus, euidently declaring his superioritie
over the Bishoppes, by trifling about a low chaire, and
a little curtesie, so would he now deface the Emperours
authozitie, declared in the admonition of the said Bi-
shoppes, to proceade accordinge to the Scriptures, and
in the Bishops obediency to the same, by these wordes
of Theodoretus, that the Emperour as a sonne that loued peace,
spake to the Bishoppes as to his fathers. And here agayne bi-
nde many other reproches he chargeth vs with lying and
parching, for by the Apologie affirmeth that the said Em-
perour admonished the Bishoppes how they shold pro-
ceade in the disputations of matiers of Religion: and by
they shold therein folow the doctrine of the holy ghost,
for by the booke of y Gospell, of the Apostles, & the Pro-
phetes do sufficiently declare Gods will vnto vs, saith
the Emperour Constantine. Thus much of Costatines

A REPROVFE OF M.

historie alleageth the Apologie: of all the whiche, M.
Dorman can not proue one wōrde vntrue, and yet he
chargeth vs therfore with lyinge.

Touching patchinge and rehersing of Gloria patri
Without Sicut erat, we could not haue escaped y Winchester taunt (so well it liked him) vntille the Apologie
(whiche is not a quarter so bigge as are those histories
of Constantine) had rehearsed the whole booke, for if
any wōrde at all had bene lefte out, M. Dorman could
not haue bene excluded from his obiectinge vnto vs, of
patchinge, and leauinge out of Sicut erat. The Emperours
wōrdes as Theodozitus reporteth thē, are these. Lau-

Lib. I. cap. 7.

Imperato se
mutud.

In disputationi-
bus rerum diu-
inarum.

Plane instruunt
nos.

ει κη περι το
θειοφροσυνη.

Amans pater-
ni nominis.

Φιλότατος.

datiss. rex mentionem habuit de concordia &c. The
Binge wōrthe of all praise, spake of concoerde, and a-
greement, and said, that nothinge was moze vnmēte,
than for the Bishoppes to impeache and accuse one an
other, and to geue their enemies an occasion of pa-
tisme, and laughter at them: specially in disputations
of diuine matters, in the whiche they had the doctrine
of the moste holy gholte prascribed or set before their
eyes. For the bookes of the Gospell, and of the Apo-
stles, and the oracles or Prophecies of the ould Pro-
phetes, do plainly instruct vs, what we shoulde thinke
of Goddes will, or of diuine thinges. Wherfore lainge a
side all enemylike discorde, let vs take the explications of
questiōs out of the sainges of the diuine spirite. These
& such like thinges spake the Emperour, as a Sonne lo-
ninge the fatherly name, to the Bishops as to fathers,
desirous to confess the unitie of the Apostolike doctrine:
Wherunto y greatest part of the cōpany agreed, &c. these
are Theodozitus his wōrdes trulie trāslated. Wherby
the discrete Reader may see, that the Apologie hath said

no vntruth, neither could in that breuitie reherse the whole circumstance: and that M. Dozman had no cause to move this ridiculous question. Loo god Readers, was not here frowe you, a great president for our Emperours and Kinges, to medle with the ordre of Religion? Yea M. Dozman, this most notable admonition giuen to the Bishops by the Emperour Constantine, and by them obeyed, is an æternall president to all godlie Princes, likewise to call all popishe Priestes, pratinge of their owne inuentions, to the triall of controuersies & questions in Religion, by the doctrine of the holy scriptures, whiche do plainly declare God his will vnto vs: as doth that godly Emperour there affirme, and all those godlie Bishops to the same consent, and agree. So that it is by that most holie and great councell soz euer concluded, and determined, that questions and disputacions of Religion must so be guided, controuersies in causes Ecclesiasticall, must so be determined, even by the doctrine of the holie Scriptures: and that the holie Scriptures do plainly instruct vs, what is to be thought of Gods will, and diuine thinges or matiers of Religion.

Confut. Apolo-
fol. 314. a.

D. Harding, by interpretinge of the Greeke woordes περὶ τὸν Θεόν, of the godhead, would beare the simple Readers, in hand, that the Emperour ment that questions about the godhead onely shold be tried by the Scriptures. Whereas he might as well haue translated it, of matters of Religion, or diuine matiers: and the scholes call all manner disputations of Religion, disputacions of diuinitie; and the commen phrase of speache hath received the same. And the plaine woordes before, In disputationibus rerum diuinarum habent sanctiss. περὶ Θεῶν Spiritus doctrinam præscriptam. that is, In disputa-

A REPROVFE OF M.

and, περὶ τὰς ἀδικίας τῶν θεοφόρων, they haue the doctrine of the
Dīs, in this holte ghost set before them. And likewise the plaine
place of Theodo- wōrdes folowing. Samamus ex dictis diuini spiritus
dorius signifi- explicationes quæstionum, that is. Let vs take the ex-
eth all one. plications of the questions out of the saynges of the
holte ghost. These wōrdes going before, and after, do
declare that Cōstantine ment that al quæstions, dispwu-
tations, and controversies in Religion, shuld be by the
Scriptures explicated & defined: and that D. Hardings
restraine to matters of the godhead is fruulous , and
to no purpose. And M. Dozman reading these the Em-
perours admonitios to the Bishops, to solowe in their
disputatiōs of diuinistic matters, þ holte Scripture pxe-
scribed unto them and set before them : and that expls-
cations of diuinistic quæstions , must be had out of the
Scriptures, and that the booke of the holte Scriptures
do plainly instructe vs: and finding ihat all the godlie
Bishops, of that great & holte coucel, assented therunto,
and obeyed the Emperours either aduertisement, or co-
maundement, sealing him selfe soze greaved therewith,
as with a very cut throte to al poperie, depending one-
lie vpon mens false traditions , and not findinge what
to say to it, though it were but lendlie & colorable, as he
is wonte, turneth him selfe to his customed artes of rat-
ting and scoffing, charging vs with lies, (whom he kno-
weth to haue said nothing but truthe) and with the re-
versing of Gloria patri, without Sicut erat, for that the apo-
logie reherseth not , Sicut filius, &c. that he spake as a
sonne, to the Bishops, as to fathers: whereas the Apo-
logie in that breuittie, was driven not onely to omitte
suche impertinent circumstances , but also to leave out
thinges verie material and effectuouſ for our purpose.

210

211

Pow

Now this sicut erat whan al is done is nothing elles,
but that the Emperour speake reverentlie to those godlie aunciente
Bishoppes, as sonne vnto his fathers. Whiche Sicut erat, song
out of all tune by M. Dorman, he followeth thus wthy-
neume. Lxxvii Leo good Readers, was here trowe you a great pre-
sident for our Emperours, and Kinges, to medle with ordre of Rel-
igion? Yea suche a president M. Dorman, in the Empe-
rours calling the to the Scriptures, and their obeiyng
of the same, as will presse your Pope, and al his popish
Prelates, and Priestes, to the very ground. But soz-
sooth it is a great president for your purpose (as you
trowe M. Dorman) that the Emperour Constantine
should be inferiour to the Bishoppes, for that he as a
sonne, speake vnto them, as fathers: whiche phrasel proueth
nothing elles but humilitie in the Emperour, and not
that he was an inferiour, but a gentle reformer of the
said Bishoppes. Whan soever anie of the culde Empe-
rours of Rome speake to the Senatours, do they not
call them fathers? And what Prince will not some-
time call any of his subiectes being verle oulde & graue,
his father? And is the ould subiect, therefore the Prince
superiour: here be slender reasons. Surely Con-
stantine partly for that there were in that councell so
many verly, as wel soz age, as soz learning, & vertue, re-
verend men, & partly of his most gentle & humble nature,
called the fathers, & vslid the like fathers (as reason was
he shoulde do) but that doeth no more proue him their in-
feriour, than that he calleth them brethen and felowe
seruauntes, proueth hym a quall to them: these be but
phrasles of courteous sprache: & such humble behaviours
are signes declaringe the gentlenes, and humblenes,
and not the degree or state of suche as doo vse them.

Lxxvii

Let Christian Princes after the example of Constantius once bring your Pope and his Priestes from their false traditions to the Scripture, by them to be tried; & compel them to confess, that Gods will is in the Scriptures plainly declared, (as did the Emperour Constantine with labour obtaine of those Bishoppes) and it shall not offend vs if the Princes so doing, speake to your Pope and Prelates, and deale with them, as fathers, as did Constantine: yet shall not the Princes therfore be shew inferioris, but their gentle reformers: nor shall thereby declare any your superioritie, but shewe their owne curtesie and humilitie. But these Papistes, the childe of that generation (to vse M. Doymans forme) that loue to sit uppermoste at seastes, & to haue the chiese seates in the Synagoges, and loue to be called and counted Rabbi (of whome our Sauour Christ geueth vs warning to beware) being drunken w pride & ambition, can not see in these factes of that most great, but wchall most humble Prince, the Emperour Constantinus, a manifesit imitation of our Sauour Christe, who whan he was master, and the greatest of all others, behaued hym selfe as his disciples seruaunt, and washed their feete, and commaunded his disciples to doo the like. Whiche his commaundement obeyed, & example folowed by the vertuous Emperour Constantine, these Papistes, who can skill of nothing but pride and presumption, drawe to a proue of minoztie and inferioritie. And I do graunt that Constantine the Emperour with his low chaire, as king leue to sit downe, and talking as a sonne to the Bishops as his fathers, was herin inferior to the said Bishoppes, as was Christ to his Apostles: that is, in humilitie onely; who was of humili-

ie

Math. 23.4.6.
Mark. 12.
Luc. x.

tie readie to folow his councell, who willed all Christians to behauē them selues towardes all elders, as to fathers: and whiche is more, readie to obete him, whoe cominaundēd all godlie to become not onely as sonnes, but as little childzen in humilitie: And that the Emperour did this of mērē humilitie; and no inferioritie, Eu-
sebius, who was there present, and knewe better the Constat. fa. 159.
truthe of the matter, than do our Poptishe conjecturers, & lib. 4. fa. 208.
doeth in plaine woordes testifie, as I haue before de-
clared. But M. Dorman and his maister D. Hardinge,
whoe do make so great and highe matters of a lowe
chatre, a little curtesie, and gentle speache, going about,
by suchē curtesie of the moste gentle Prince, to proue
his minoritie, them selues dw depelie dissemble & with
great silence passe ouer manie matters of most weight,
at large declared in all those Ecclesiasticall histories, &
evidentlie prouing the Emperours authoritie ouer Bis-
hops, and in causes Ecclesiasticall: as that he sommo-
ned the saide Bishoppes to the councell, and commaun-
ded them, and amongst them the Bishoppe of Rome, to
come together without delaie from so farre countreis,
into a place, and at a time by him selfe appointed. And
when the Bishopps the selues assembling could dw little
good, he appointed them a day of finall determination
of the matiers: at the whiche, he though but one man,
went not to their customed place of assemble, but called
the. 318. Bishopps into his Palace, soz that it pleased him
to be present at their treaties him selfe: and when they
were come to Court, he cominaundēd them to goe into
the appointed place before, & to consult vntill he came,
and the Bishopps there with silence waiting soz his co-
ming, with reverence rysing at his coming, and stan-

Bkk ding,

A REPROVFE OF M.

ding, whiles he passed through the middest of them, to the uppermost end of al the couell, he sate in the middle of them, (as dw Princes now vuallic in the parliament house) and than commaunded the Bisshopes to sit : & being by one Bisshop, in the name of the whole councell moste hono[r]able saluted, he spake to them all, and gentle blamed them for their dissensions, and exhorted them to unitie and agreement, and willed them to fall to the explication of their quæstions, and determination of their controv[er]sies, by the holse Scriptures, set before their eyes: wherein, saith he, Gods will is plaine. He declared, and the Bisshoppes folowed and obeyed the same: and in their treaties and disputations he ordered them, praising the Bisshopes, that did soberlie and learnedlie dispute, rebuking, and repreßing such as misuled them selues in reasoninge, and declaringe his owne mynde, godlie, and learnedlie, emongest them in the highest pointes of our faith, he brought them by little and litle to some consent, and at the last to full agreeement. And surely a mad wooke had those Bisshoppes, by their dissensions made, had not the gouernance, of that godlie and moste wise Prince, moderated them. Whoe, when he had brought them to an happie unitie, confirmed and authorised the thinges by them agreed vpon, and the originall and autentike copie of the deccres of the saide councell, subscribed with all the Bisshopes handes, he reserved in his owne keapinge and he sent copies thereof vnto all Bisshoppes that were absent, and to all notable Christian Churches, with commaundement, that they shold be obeyed: whch doone, as he commaunded the Bisshoppes to come together to
the coun-

the councell, so gaue he them leaue to departe home frō
the councell. These and many mo thinges concerninge
that onely councell declaring the Emperours autho-
ritie ouer the Bishops, & in matiers ecclesiastical being at
large & most plainly declared by the histories ecclesia-
stical of Eusebius, Rufinus, Socrates, Theodoretus,
Sozomenus, M. Dormā, with his master D. Harding
omitting, & most deepe lie dissimbling, & contrarie to the
plainē histories falsoyly surmising, that the Bishop of Rome
had commaunded the Emperour, as the head commaundeth the hand,
in the sormoning of the saide Nicene councell: these men, I
say, thus dealing, would yet seeme so cleare from all
mangling of the holie histories, from all patchinge, lyng, and
leaving out of Sicur erat, that they may freely charge o-
thers therewith: and doo thinke that they deale like
true men, swozne to their Popishe occupation, so longe
as they do sing their Gloria patri, of low stooles, cur-
tesies, and gentle wordes vsed by the Emperour. (whiche
proud Papistes, that can no skill of curtesie, take for an
argument of subiectiōn) nothing passing though Sicur
erat, the very truth as it was in dede by them left out,
without either singyng, satyng, or any mention at all
thereof, by them to be made.

And to the ende that the great dissimulation, and
hyding of the truthe, whiche these Papistes do vse, and
their vnjust charging of vs, with that, wherein them
selues are moste guyltie, may the more playnelie ap-
peare; and withall, that the good Reader that liste,
may haue further intelligence, of godlie Princes au-
thoritie ouer persons and in matiers Ecclesiastical, in
the p̄imatiue Churche, of infinite other notable things
In the histories Ecclesiastical at large declared, and

prouing the Emperour Constantinus his chiese autho:tie
tyme ouer persons & mattiers Ecclesiastical , I haue noted
some, & them , with these circumstancies of the Nicene
coucel, haue I deuided into certen commune places, no-
ting the authour, booke, chapter, or side of the lease, in
case the booke be not deuided into chapters, both for the
commoditie of the Reader, that list in the originals see y-
truthe more larglie set forth , and to the manifesse re-
proue of M. Doymā his shameles vntruthe, salyng, that
no Emperour is to be founde, whose doings and whole life make more
for the Rapistes, and agaistvs.

1. The Emperour Constantinus : as a certen comune

a Lib.1.de vita Constant. fa.169. Bishop, by God therto appointed (as saith Eusebius)
Quasi cōmūnis quidā cōsūtitutus. as he assembled this great and holie Nicene council, so
did he assemble divers other Synodes and councells. As

Lib.1.de vita Constant. fa.169. at b Arelatum or Arle in Fraunce, at Cæsaria in Palestina,
b Euseb. lib.10. at Hierusalem, at c Tyrus, fram whence he remo-
ued the Synode to Aelia , and d from whence at the suite
cap.5. of Athanasius, he commaunded the whole councell to

c Theodorit.lib.1. cap.30. appeare before him, as before their fudge, to render an
accempt of their doinges . And of very many places,

d Socrates lib.1. cap.32.34. where it is intreated of his autho:tie in sommoninge
councilles, I haue thought good to note these.

Sozom.lib.2. cap.25.28. Euseb. lib.1.de vita Constant. fa.169.lib.3.fa.188.&c.189.191.192.
Lib.4.fa.20.21.Lib.Histor.Euseb.lib.10. cap.5.

Socrat.lib.1.cap.8. Sozom.lib.1.cap.17.lib.2.cap.25.28.

Theodorit.lib.1.cap.7.9.30.

2. The Emperour Constantine vsed hym selfe in the
councell as the Bishops superiour.

Euseb.de vita Constant.lib.1.fa.169.lib.3.fa.130.&c.192.

Socrat.lib.1.cap.8.

Theodorit.lib.1.cap.7.9.&.12.13.28.

Sozomenus.lib.1.cap.19.&c.20.lib.2.cap.27.28 xi.

He con-

He confirmed the decaees of the Councell.

Euseb. de vita Constantini. lib. 3. fa. 192. 200. lib. 4. fa. 208.

Socrat. lib. 1. cap. 8. & 12.

Sozom. lib. 1. cap. 20.

He had the keapsinge of the decaees of the Synode.

Sozom. lib. 2. cap. 21.

He gaue the Synode or Councell leaue to depart.

Euseb. de vita Constant. lib. 3. fa. 192.

Theodorit. lib. 1. cap. 13.

He did write to Bishoppes and Churches for the
keapsinge of unitie in true Religion, and redressinge of
thinges, with commaundement.

Euseb. de vit. Constant. lib. 3. fa. 191. 192. 199. 200.

Socrat. lib. 1. cap. 9.

Theodorit. lib. 1. cap. 10. 13.

Sozomenus lib. 1. cap. 21. lib. 2. cap. 4.

The Emperour Constantine was of singular learn-
inge, and vertue, and in high fauour with God.

Euseb. de vita Constant. lib. 4. fa. 206. 208.

Socrat. lib. 1. cap. 9.

Theodorit. lib. 1. cap. 10. & 12.

In so much that God did oft reueale vnto him by vi-
sions, the conspiracie of his enemies, and knowlege
of many thinges to come.

Euseb. de vita Constant. lib. 1. fa. 169.

Sozom. lib. 1. cap. 8.

His care about true Religion, and diligence in set-
tinge it forth was so great, that he was accepted as a
certaine commune Bishoppe, by God appolniced: as the
most shille and cleare proclamour, and letter forth of
Gods will, and as a Saviour and Physician of soules.

And he is p̄ferred in these thinges, before v Bishops.

Euseb. de vita Constant. lib. 1. fa. 169. lib. 2. fa. 183. lib. 3. fa. 198. 199. præco.

lib. 4. fa. 207.

Socrat. lib. 1. cap. 9.

Theodorit. lib. 1. cap. 24.

Euseb. li. 1. de vita Constant. fa. 169.
Quasi cōmūnis
most shille and cleare proclamour, and letter forth of
Gods will, and as a Saviour and Physician of soules, tū Cōstāt. fa. 169.
quidā episcopēs
à Deo constitutus &c.
Vocaliss. Dei
Quasi seruatos
ac medicus ani-
marum.

10. His settinge forth of true Religion by proclama-
tions, lawes, and otherwise , besides the places aboue
noted, is declared in these places.
Euseb. de vita Constant. lib.2. fa.179.181. lib.3. fa.191. lib.4. fa.204.
207.208.211.212.
Theodorit. lib.1. cap.10.14.
Sozom. lib.1. cap.8.16.
11. He appointed by his law the sonday ; and daies of
Partyzs to be keapt . Euseb. de vita Constant. lib.4. fa. 205.
207. And his authoritise in the policie, and outwarde
gouvernement of the Churche, was such that he said as
the Bishoppes, in prechinge and ministeringe of the
Sacramentes, were the Bishoppes of the inwardre Ec-
clesiall thinges , so was he by God appointed, the
Bishoppe of the outward Eccllesiastical thinges.
12. He giueth a forme of praser to the soldiars.
Euseb. de vita Constant. lib.4. fa.206.
13. He instructeth great multitudes, by godly exhorta-
tions.
Euseb. lib.4. de vita Constant. fa.208.
14. He represeed Idolatrie, false Religio, and heresses,
by lawes, and otherwise.
Euseb. de vita Constant. lib. 2. fa. 181. & 183. 201. lib. 4.
fa. 207.
Sozom. lib.1. cap.8. lib.2. cap.4.32.
15. 1. He dealt in controversies in Religion, betweene Bi-
shops & other Ecclesiastical persons, as their superior.
Euseb. Histor. lib.10. cap.5.
Euseb. de vita Constant. lib.2. fa.184. & 185. lib.3. fa.199.
Socrat. lib.1. cap.7. & 8. 32. 34.
Theodorit. lib.1. cap.14.19. cap.25.27.
Sozomenus lib.2. cap.22.23.25.27.28.30.31.
16. He rebuked , and reproved Bishoppes and other of
the Cleargie that did amisse.
Euseb. de vita Constant. lib.1. fa.169. & lib.2. fa.184. & 185.

Socrat.

Socrat. lib. 1. cap. 7. 34.

Theodorit. lib. 1. cap. 13. 19. 28.

Sozom. lib. 1. cap. 15. lib. 2. cap. 4. 23.

17. He disputeth in matters of Religion.

Theodorit. lib. 1. cap. 10. & 11.

18. He intermedleth with authoritie in the election of
Bishoppes.

Eusebius de vita Constant. lib. 3. fa. 199. 200.

Sozomen. lib. 1. cap. 19.

19. He remoueth, or deposeth Bishoppes, and other Ecclesiastical ministers.

Theodorit. lib. 1. cap. 19. 20. 28.

Sozomenus lib. 1. cap. 20. 21. lib. 2. cap. 22. 28. 31.

20. He restoreth, or confirmeth in their place, Bishops
or other Ecclesiastical ministers.

Theodorit. lib. 1. cap. 14. 25. 31. & lib. 2. cap. 1.

Sozom. lib. 2. cap. 22. 27. lib. 3. cap. 1. & 2.

21. Finally, what authoritie and iurisdiction so euer Bishoppes had ouer their Cleargie in outwarde gouernment, they had it by Constantinus the Emperour his grant and decree as testifieth Nicephorus lib. 7. cap. 46. towardes y ende of the chapter: so that what authoritie so euer Bishops haue herein, they do holde it of Christian Princes: who beinge godly, and wise, will suffer them to holde it, as longe as they do well use it: for vpon that condition, godly Princes gaue firste it.

These of an infinitenumber of notable things declared at large in y Ecclesiastical histories I thought god to note y y god Reader, that would see the original, might haue some direction for the auoidinge of the seditiones of longe seekinge. And I trust y god Reader, y either well considereth the circumstaunces of the Nicene councell, declaringe Constantine the Emperour his authoritie
qui

Over the Bisshopes there assembled, and in treatises of Religion there had, partly by me before notisid, or will peruse the places of the histories Ecclesiasticall, by me here noted, more largely declaring the same, with more matier to the like effect, may well perceiue how vnjustly M. Dozman chargeth vs, alleaginge the example of Constantine for our purpose with great impudencie, by

Dorm. fol. 41.1. these very wordes. The impudencie of these men is such, that they are not ashamed to abuse Constantinus the Emperour his name emongst other, for the maintenaunce of their opinion, and that they labour to make him a piller to sustaine and hold vp, their rotten buildinge: And againe he saith: vwhat meaneth than this leinge generation, to bringe for example, to sustaine and vphould their wicked doctrine, this vertuous Emperour Constantinus than whome if they would haue laide at their heades together for that purpose, thei shoulde never haue founde one, whose doinges and oþer life had made more for vs, or most against them. These be his wordes:

Fol. 42.2. But I trust I haue without either impudencie or lying, shewed many, most plaine, both doinges and sayinges of Constantinus the Emperour, most evidently against them, and for vs: that is, for the authoritie of Christian Princes aboue Priestes, and in matiers Ecclesiasticall. Whereas M. Dozman in all those manisould, and large histories Ecclesiasticall of Eusebius, Rufinus, Socrates, Theodozitus, and Sozomenus, treatinge of Constantinus wordes, dôdes, and life, hath not, nor can not allege any one act, sentence or worde, sounding to the prude of his proposition, that the head of Christes Church þersin earth must needs be a priest. Whiche miserable proposition, set out for a shewe in great letters in the firſte fronte of his booke, M. Dozman hath lefte poſt alone, cleane deſtitute, forsaken, and succourles. Wherefore his

his great bragges made vpon Constantine the Emperour heade, are but the crakes of cowardes, and like to the busie barkinge of cowardly cures, who shew than most fearenes, whan they be sorely afraide. For in all the said histories Ecclesiasticall he can finde nothinge, but one low chayre, a little curtesie, and gentle speache of a most humble Prince, that may seeme to sounde to the lausinge of his Pope and popish prælatie, from subiectio vnto Christian Princes, whereunto all h̄ wordes and actes as well of the godly Bisshoppes assembled at the Nicene Councell, as of the Emperour Constantine him selfe, with the whole practise of Christes Churche duringe his whole life, do, by very many examplez, and eternall præsidentes, insolublie for euer binde them. And moze obedience than thase godly Bisshoppes exhibited to Constantine the Emperour, we require not in our Bisshoppes: nor moze authozitie than Constantinus vased ouer those Bisshoppes, and in thase causes Ecclesiasticall, we do not attribute to our Christian Princes ouer persons, and in causes Ecclesiastical: and therfore we do not swarue from the example and practise of the primitive Church in Constantines time.

And thus you may see god Readers the very cause why M. Dorman did chuse to answeare the Apologie, which he knew in so great breuitie to be inforced to omitte infinite maters of weight, makinge for our purpose most effectuouly: besides the whiche vantage he did see an occasion, by h̄ same breuitie should be offered him, to vse his naturall occupation of quarelling & railinge, whiche he is not onely sworne too, but boorne to also. As he here practiseth against the Apologie, for that it wrtinge scarce halfe a score lines of the Emperour Constantine

A REPROOFE OF M.

Mantine serued not his phantasie in mentioninge of
stoles and curtesies, whiche trifles his maister and he
so largely prosequutinge, and so deepe dissemblinge,
and silie with silence passing ouer so many and weightie
maters, beinge against them, thereby to supprese
the truth: I doubt nothinge, but those manisoulde re-
proches of bare honestie, impudencie, intollerable foolishnes,
grosse ignorance, manifest malice, manglinge of the holy histo-
ries, rehersinge of Gloria patri without Sicut erat, patchinge
and lienge: Wherewith, and with many other like, M.
Dorman hath vnjustly here charged vs, doo returne
home againe from whence they came to M. Dorman as
their right owner and true possessor.

Dorman fol. 43.

Vell he was as the histories beare witness, the first christian Emperour that openly professed the faith and name of Christ (for of Phillip the histories make no great accompt) and before that time the church was gouerned, either by infideles and tirantes, as Nero, Domitianus, and such other: or by priestes, or by none. And this was gouerned the very cause that they woulde so faine haue wonne to their parte, before the first Christian Emperour.

No well.

Whether Constantinus were the firme Christian Emperour or no, is not much materiall. Diuers histories do shew that there were Kinges & Princes Christened before Constantinus. Pea there is an Epistle of Eleutherius Bishop of Rome to Lucius Kinge of this Ilande, long before Constantinus his time, yet extant, wherein he expressely calleth the saide Kinge Vicarium Christi,

Epistola Eleu-
therij Papæ ad
Lucium,

Christi, Chⁱstes Vicar, and that in Church masters: soz he exhorteth him to rule his kingdome accordaninge to Gods w^rde. And so in this Realme there was a p^rince both Christened, and gouernour of the Churche before Constantine. And before Constantine his time little go- uerninge, besides the office of the Bishoppes and Deacons, was requisite in Christes Church being euer un- der the Crosse and persecution: what so did nede besides the Sensours or Elders, whiche after your popishe su- perstitution, soz lacke of shauinge and greasinge, were lay men, did well accomplish. Your reason is leude and to no purpose, that the Church was before Constantinus his time gouerned without Emperours. What than: The Church of God was before Christes time gouerned without the Apostles too: will you therefore relect them, whan God sendeth them: by that reason your Pope and popishe Prelates and Priestes are surdest of from the gouern- ment of the Churche, soz bothe before Constantinus time, and longe sithen his time, it was gouerned with- out suche usurpinge Popes, proude Prelates, and su- perstitious popishe Priestes, as now be. Neither are your Priestes, Praesbyteri, Sensours, or Elders, such as gouerned in the primitiue Churche: and suche Se- nsours or Elders, as than gouerned the Churche, you do nowe abhorre as mere lay men.

I conclude therfore, that before Constantine his time , and longe after his time also , the Churche before Constantinus was gouerned, nether by usurpers Popes, nether by presumptuous not Prelates, but Princes rather, ne- ther by superstitious shauelinges, such as now swarme, but were than in the primitiue Churche utterly un- known.

<sup>n^o time, and long
after, gouerned
nether pope, pos-
tish prelate, nor
prieste, such as
now vould go-</sup>

^{uerne all,}

A REPROVFE OF M.

Dorman. Folio. 43.

The next example that they bringe, is of Theodosius the Emperour, that he not onely sat emongest the Bis hoppes, but was also the very chiefe of the conference, betwene the Catholikes and the Ari-D. Hard. confut. ans. That Theodosius did in this matter nothinge of him selfe, Apolog. fo. 28. a. but all by the councell of Nectarius the B. of Constantynople, had & 314. & 315. a. not our aduersaries, as they did before in the example of Constantine, mangled the historie, any man might easely haue perceiued. For Histor. ec both translated out of Hist. li. 2. fol. 113. a. woorde ned, and you shall finde, that Theodosius called to him Nectarius 9. cap. 18. for woorde. then B. of Constantynople, asked of him his aduise, what order were best to be taken, for the appeasinge of that schisme whiche then so miserably troubled the Churche: and finally embrased him selfe, and commaunded all other to receive the same doctrine, not whiche him selfe had determined to be true, but whiche Nectarius and the other catholike Bis hoppes had delinuered and commendid to him.
* In exordio capitilis illius, ex quo nonnulla profert &c. ad verbum.

NoWell.

M. Dorman here (as vsuallie alwaies) contrarie to his promesse, suppresseth and conceleth our euidence of Theodosius his doinges, as shal hereafter plainlye appeare: i being as euell troubled with this historie of the Emperour Theodosius, as he was before with y other of Constantine, answereith a like to both: y is, directly to neither of both: but briesly and lightly touchinge that, Which so nere the quicke toucheth the papistes: & passing ouer the chiese matters soz y authoritie of Princes ouer Priestes, and in matters of Religion, with silence, he seeketh other shiftes and conjectures, to elude them altogether. He saith, that the Emperour did nothinge of him selfe but vised the Councell of Nectarius the Bis Hop of Constantynople, in all that he did in this matter.

Whiche

Whiche answere his maister and he bozowed of Hossius, Ho. li. 2. fo. 13. a
as thei are wont. But whether Theodosius vsed autho- D. Hard. Cofur.
ritic ouer persons, & in matiers Ecclesiastical as chiese Apolo. fol. 314. b
gouvernour, and that without the councell of Pectarius & 315. a
or no, let the histories Ecclesiastical be judges. First of Socrat. lib. 5.
all both Socrates and Sozomenus in their Ecclesiasti- cap. 7. & .8.
call histories doe testifie, that Theodosius the Empe- Sozom. lib. 7.
rour dealed in matters of Religion, and betweene the Imperator cura
Catholiques, and the Arians, and that he commaun- impēdebat, at ut
ded Demophilus the chiese of the Arians, either to a facta pace cōcor
gree with the Nicene faith, or to depart fro the church: diam institueret
and that the Emperour called together to a Synode at &c.
Constantinople. 150. Bishops, both that the Nicene Significant igi-
faith might be confirmed, and also a Bishop of Consta- tur Demophilo
tinople might be ordained, (for that sea was than ba- qui Arianæ relis
cant) before Pectarius, here by M. Dorman mentioned, gioni præterat
was Bishop, or knownen to the Emperour. For he was Imperator nihil
chosen Bishop, by those Bishoppes, who were by the cunctatus syno-
Emperour assembled. And for that the said electio was dum episcoporum
notable, & effectuallie declareth the authozitie of Pectarius conuocauit,
ces ouer Bishops therein, I will rehearse Sozomenus
the authours owne woordes, touching þ same at large,
whiche are these. Imperator præcepit vt diligenti in- Sozo. lib. 7. ca. 7.
quisitione quam max. fieri posset. &c. that is to say:
the Emperour commannded that as honest and good a
man, as possible might be fonde, should be sought out,
to whome the highe Priesthood of that citie might be
committed. But the Bishoppes were not of the same
mynde: for every of them desired to haue some one of
their friendes to be ordained Bishop there. These be
Sozomenus woordes truely translate. And shoultie af-
ter it followeth in Sozomenus. Cum sacerdotibus Im- Sozom. li. 7. ca. 8
perator

A REPROVFE OF M.

perator mādasset vt in charta quadam nomina eorū,
quos singuli dignos qui ordinarentur iudicarent, cō-
scripta darentur, ac sibi ipsi potestatem vnum ex om-
nibus eligendi reservasset. &c. that is to saye. When
the Emperour had commaunded the Bishops that the
names of the, whome every Bishop iudged worthy to
be ordained, shold be wriuen in a paper, and deliuered
to hym, and had reserved to him selfe power or autho-
rity to chose one of al those (whose names wriuen shuld
so be deliuered vnto him) the Bishop of Antioche, whā
he had first wriuen those, whome he thought good, last
of all (to gratifie Diodorus Bishoppe of Tharsis) he
wrote Pectarius. Than the Emperour reading ouer
the names of them that were wriuen, staid at Pecta-
rius name: and falling into a studie, latyng his finger
vpon the last name, tooke earnest deliberation with hym
selfe. Than readinge ouer againe all the names from
the beginning of the bill, at the last he chose Pectarius.
All the Bishoppes wondered, and asked who was that
Pectarius, what was his exercise, and whence he was?
And whan it was knownen that he was not yet Chri-
stened, they did yet more wondre at the Emperour iud-
gement. And shortly after saith Sozomenus: Ista autē
haud sine diuina dispositione acciderunt. These
thinges did not happen without Gods disposition. For
the Emperour, whē he heard that he was not yet Chri-
stened, continued firme and steedfast in his determina-
tion, though manie Bishoppes were, and spake against
it. And when all the Bishoppes gaue place, and agreed
to the Emperours determination, Pectarius was
Christened, and haing yet the mysticall vesture vpon
him, was by the commune consent of the Synode or
counsell,

Quod ne myste-
riorum quidem
adhuc factus es-
set particeps.

Nondum ini-
giatus.

Mysticam vestie
induxit.

counsell, ordeined Bishop of Constantinople. Manie also did beleauue that these thinges were thus done by Gods oracle or revelation, made to the Emperour. But Dci oracule, I will not discusse whether this be so, or not. But yet I beleauue that this came not to passe without Goddes Non extra Deum appointment: For when I consider the vnlooked for outcom^e, ordination, and the thinges that afterwardes followed, I thinke that this man, as most meeke, god and honest was by God appointed to that bishoprike. Thus farre are Sozomenus his owne woordes frulfe translated. Which I haue nowe at large repeated: for that M. Do^m man would beare me in had that the Emperour Theodosius in matters Ecclesiasticall, did nothinge of hym selfe but all by the counsell of Pectarius.

1. But here may you see moche evidentlie, that before Pectarius was Bishop, or Chrystened, or it knownen, what manner of man he was, the Emperour tooke care of Religion, and peace makinge in controuersies betweene the Catholikes and Arians.
2. And that he called. 150. Bishops together to a counsell at Constantinople, to the extirpinge of the Arian heresie, and the confirminge of the Nicene faith.
3. And that the Emperours iudgement, in commandinge the best and metest man to be chosen Bishoppe of Constantinople, was farre better and rather to be followed, than the mindes of the partiall Bishoppes, who wold euerie of them haue some one of their own friendes chosen Bishop, and so shold never haue agreed vpon one, had not the godlie Emperour moderated their partall studes.
4. That the Emperour commaunded the Bishoppes to write euerie man those, whome he did thinkie moche meeke

A REPROVVE OF M.

mēte for that rowme, and to bring them unto him.

5. That h̄ Emperour reserued vnto him selfe autoritie, to choise out of al h̄ numbre by them to be named, one, to be ordene Bishop of Constantynople: which in that diuersite of the Bishops iudgementes, or rather partall studies, was most necessarie.

6. And you see howe he did choose Pectarius, though he were last of al writen, contrarie to the mindes of the Bishops, who, if they would haue had him chosen, would rather haue written his name first.

7. You see that whan it was knownen, that Pectarius (though els a good man was not yet Christened, that the Emperour did persist and continue in his purpose of hauing him Bishop, though many of the Bishoppes were loze against it.

8. You see that at the last, all the 150. Bishops submitte them selues to the Emperour, and allowe his election, and ordene Pectarius by the Emperour elected, Bishop of Constantynople.

9. You see the iudgemēt of the authour of h̄ Ecclesiastis call histoyrie Sozomenus, and of manie other godlie mē in those dates, that this election of the Emperour, contrarie to the Bishoppes mindes, was not onely good, godlie, and profitable to the Churche, but also thought to be done by speciaall revelation shewed from God to the Emperour.

10. Finallie you see, that in this assemble, and this election, Theodosius did take vpon him emongst the Bishops as their superior, & chfētē gouernour: and that neither in these mattiers Ecclesiasticall, nor in those controverties of Religion, betweene the Catholikes & Arians, he did any thing by the councell of Pectarius, for he dealt

he dealt therein before Nectarius was either Bishop, or Christened, or knownen to the Emperour, or to the Bishoppes there assembled: and therfore M. Dozman's glose touching Nectarius' Bishops advise, ca nothing hinder the chieke authoritie of Theodosius the Emperour ouer the Bishoppes, and in matters Ecclesiastical, declared by actes done before Nectarius was in place, or knownen to the Emperour. And soz that M. Dozman did see that the Apologie in y brensite could not declare these & many other like examples of Theodosius, and other Christian Princes, he had the better mind to deale with the Apologie.

Powe where he safeth, that the beginning of the. 18. chapter of the. ix. booke of the histozie tripartite testifieth that the Emperour called to him Nectarius, ysed his advise, and finallie embraced himselfe and commaunded all other to receive the same doctrine, not whiche him selfe had determined to be true, but whiche Nectarius and other Catholike Bishoppes had deliuered and commended to him. These are M. Dozman's wordes. But the histozie of Socrates, in the fift booke and. x. chapter out of the whiche that. xvij. chapter of the. ix. booke of the tripartite is take, in the very beginning of y chapter, testifieth, that the Emperour did intende a redresse in Religion, and assembled the coucil &c. before it ma- keth mention of Nectarius: so that the origene and be- ginning of this redresse came of the Emperour him self, and not of Nectarius.

The woordes of Socrates in his Ecclesiasticall hs-
torye are these. Turbabantur autem & aliae ciuitates,
Arianis ex oratorijs profligatis. &c. that is to saye.
Other cities also were troubled, the Arians being driven
out of their oratoories or Churches: in the whiche
thing

Socr.lib.5. cap.10

The Emperours syng one may much meruaile at the Emperours counsell. For he would not suffer as much, as did in him lie, that cities shoule be filled with tumultes: but after a short time, he caused a Synode or counsell, of all heretaries or sectes to be assembled, supposinge that it wold come to passe, that if the Bishops myght conserre together presentlie, they shoule fall to concorde and unitie.

The Emperours purpose in calling the couzell prosperous. This purpose of y Emperours, I thinke was the cause that the matter went prosperously forward with him. Hitherto are Socrates his owne woordes trulie trans-

late: and hitherto you see the Emperours counsell, and purpose praised by the authour as godlike, & prosperous; & a counsell of all sortes of Bishops by his owne authority assembled, without any advise take of Nectarius, or any other Bishop in the historie mentioned: and that therefore, where M. Dozman saith, that the Emperour did all by the counsell of Nectarius, and that he did in this matter nothinge of hym selfe, and referreth vs to the beginning of the chapter, he safeth all this of hym selfe, and without the booke, as they say, the cleane contrarie appearing in the beginning of that chapter: and that the Emperours counsell, and doinges, without any counsell of Nectarius, were good and prosperous. In dede it foloweth afterward in y chapter after this sort. Accersit igitur ad se Imperator Nectarii. to say: The Emperour therfore sent for Nectarius the bishop, & consulteth with him, how it may come to passe y Churcian me shuld not thus dissent emongst the selues, but

The Emperours advise in proceeding. that the Church myght be lypned in unitie. And y Emperour said to him, y it shuld be necessary, y the questione for the which the churches were at variance, shoulde be discussed; & that all discord taken away, peace myght be

restored.

refroyed to the churches. Pectarius when he heard this Nectari^o with-
 was in great perplexitie & doubt: & he called vnto him our counsell.
 Agelius the Bishop of the Novatians, as agreeing with The Novatians
 him in h^e faith, & certifieth him of h^e Emperours mynd. were a sect of
 But Agelius though otherwisse religious, yet was he Hæretikes.
 not able to dispute of controversies in Religiō. Where-
 fore he appointed a certen Reader (whom he had vnder A Bishop not so
 him) named Sisinius, who shoulde take vpon him to dis- learned as his
 pute. And shortly after it followeth: this Sisinius con- Lecturer.
 sidering that schismes were not only not quieted by di-
 sputationes, but also that hæresies were by them made
 more contentious, gaue to Pectarius this couisel: that all
 subtil disputatiōes should be auoided, & that they shuld
 vse the expositiōes of the old fathers for their testimo-
 nies, & that the Emperour shuld demand of the captein,
 or chiefe hæretikes, in what estimation they had those
 Docros, who were before this diuisiōn, whether they
 judged theē to pertein to h^e church, or did repel theē, as no
 Christians: & shortly after, Hijs à Sisinius auditis, Nes-
 cari^o fastinanter ad aulā poperat &c. that is to saye.
 Whā Pectarius had heard this counsell of Sisinius, he
 made speede to the Court, & declared to the Emperour
 what couisel was geuen him. The Emperour gladly fol-
 lowed this couisel, & handled the matter prudētly. Thus
 farre word by word, euen as Socrates wrketh of all h^e
 circumstances of this matter, onlie some places imperti-
 nent (for auoinding of too muche prolixitie) here & there
 intermitted. And thus the Emperour vsinge this coun-
 sell, set the hæretikes at variance amōgst them selues,
 as in the historie followeth. Whereby you may see
 good Readers, that both Pectarius Patriarke of
 Constantinople, and Agelius the Bishop, being both at

A REPROVFE OF M.

their wittes ende, Sisinius, an inferiour meane minister in respect, guideth them by his counsell: and that therefore wiseome in governing of Churches, and ordering of matter's ecclesiastical, is not feld to dignitie's, myters, and sees, as these men would haue it: & that Princes by the wise and godlie advise of inferiour ministers may take order, not only with several Bishops, but with Synodes or counsels. You see also, where M. Dozman would haue the Emperour Theodosius inferiour to Bishop Pectarius in Ecclesiastical matters, only for þ he vsed Pectarius coulſel (for so he falleſſe ſurmiſeth) þ by the ſame reaſon, & moze truſte tw, it ſhould folowe that the Patriarke Pectarius was inferiour to Agelius Bishop of the Pouatians: and that both Bishop Agelius and the Patriarke Pectarius, ſhould be inferiours to poore Sisinius, the lectorar of the Pouatian Churche, for that they vsed his counsell in deede: & ſo did the Emperour tw. But M. Dozman talkinge of mangling, is not ashamed both to mangle, and falſeſſie the hiftorie, and to ſaye it was Pectarius his counsell, where his maſter D. Hardinge could not diſemblle a matter ſo euident, but confeſſeth that it was Sisinius his aduife, and councel, though they both diſemblle that this Sisinius was a Pouatian heretike. Now in caſe M. Dozman wil reaſon thus: though Sisinius was the firſt authour of þ counsell, yet for that Pectarius heard it of him, and brought it to the Emperour, it was now become Pectarius owne counsell: I will than praile M. Dozman to deale evenlie with vs, & for ſo muſche as the Emperour heard it of Pectarius, and brought it to the Synode of all the Bishops, let it by the like reaſon be not Pectarius, but the Emperour his owne counsell.

For

Confut. Apolo.
fo. 28.2. & .314.b

For it is as god reason þ Pectarius shold geue place
to the Emperour, seinge he was but a messenger, as
that Sisinius shold in that couell, whereof him selfe
was the authour, geue place to Pectarius, being but a
learner. And lest some Papist shold surmisse that Sisi-
nius was some one of Bishoppe Pectarius his inferi-
our cleargie, ouer whome he had some authoritie, and
might lawfully vse his learninge and aduise as his
owne, (for whiche cause neither D. Hardinge, nor M.
Dorman will be acknowen who this Sisinius was)
it is evident by the historie, that Sisinius was a Po-
uitian, of contrarie Religion to Pectarius in some
pointes, and accompted an heretike, and none of Pe-
ctarius Churche, how muche lasse colour had M. Dorman
either to make st. Pectarius his councell, and to
say that the Emperour did all by the councell of Pecta-
rius, or therfore to make the Emperour inferiour to
Pectarius, for that he vsed his Councell: seinge that
reason concludeth, that both Pectarius and the Empe-
rour were Sisinius the pore lecturers inferiours, for
that they both in dede vsed his councell. Now were
it so as M. Dorman surmiseth, that it was the coun-
cell of Bishoppe Pectarius (as in dede it was not) and
that the Emperour vsed his councell: is the Emperour
therfore inferiour to Pectarius the Bishoppe, because
he vseth his councell? I meruaile, why the historie doth
not than say; þ Pectarius the Bishop called the Empe-
rour as his inferiour, but that þ Emperour called him
the Bishop: The Emperour vsed the councell of other
wise men his subiectes also in other maters (as do al the
Princes the aduise of their Councillours) yet were they
not therfore his superiours. And who is more mete to

be of the Princes counsell in matiers of Religion; than a godly learned Bishop: whch we never denied, nether doeth it mislike vs. Nay it pleaseth vs right well, that M. Dozman graunteh that the Prince with the advise of one godly learned Bishop, may take order in whole assemblies and counselles Ecclesiasticall, as here did the Emperour Theodosius by M. Dozmanes confession: specially seeing the said Bisshoppe was not the Bisshoppe of Rome, to whom they geue all power absolutely: But M. Dozmane would hereof insinuate to the simple Reader, that all the advises of Bisshoppes in matters Ecclesiasticall; what so ever they be, are to be solewed of Princes, and that Princes, without the advise of Bisshoppes, may in Ecclesiasticall matteres do nothinge at all. But, as it foloweth not, that a Prince muste of necessitie folow the advise of every ciuill cousteller in matiers ciuill, unlesse the counsell be god: no moze foloweth it, that a Prince should of necessitie folow the advise of every Bisshoppe in matters Ecclesiasticall, for that he is a Bisshoppe, but for that the counsell is god and godly: and least of all foloweth it, that the Prince for any such councell geuen or taken, should be therefor inferior to the one, or to the other. This is proved true by the example of Theodosius the Emperour here, who cleane contrarie to the minde of these Bisshoppes, did proceade in the election of Pectarius. And whan Pectarius was chosen & placed, by the Emperour folowed not any his councell; in respect of his persone, in that he was Archebisshoppe, or Patriarke; but for that the councell was god, and profitable, falleth out evidently hereby, that the saide councell was not Pectarius the Archebisshoppe of Constantinople: his coun-

cell,

cell, ney any other Bishops, but the advise of a meane Ecclesiastical minister, yea and of one of the Novatian heresie, and yet not therefore releged, but folowed as godcouncell, notwithstandinge the counceller his meane estate, beinge in daede no Bishoppe; but an inferiour poore officer, in an inferiour poore Churche. And therefore it is plaine, that not the dignitie of the person, or name of a Bishoppe, but the godnes of the councell was by the Emperour here respected: and that after this example, Christian Princes may deale not onely with severall Bishoppes, but with whole assemblies and Synodes of Bishoppes, by the advise of the learned of the Cleargie, though they be of meaner degree, and in daede no Bishoppes.

Now foloweth in the historie that, which is mosse peculiar to our cause, after this sort. Imperator autem ubi confusam illorum dispersionem cognovit, that is: when the Emperour perceived their confused disius, and that they had their confidence in disputation onely, and not in the expositions of the ould fathers, he went to an other councell, and commaunded that every Religion shold declare the summe of their faith in writing, which every of them did. And whan a day was appoincted them, the Bishoppes of euery Religion, beinge called to the Courte, came thither together: Pectarius and Agelius Bishypes of the Homousiane faithe, were present: And Demophylus Bishoppe of the Arian secte; and Cunonius him selfe, Bishoppe of the Cynonian secte; and Cleusius Bishoppe of the Macedonian secte. When these came together the Emperour received them: and takinge the wrytinge of euerie secte, goinge aside he prayed to God most

That is, that Christe in his deitie is of the same substance with God the father.

most earnestly, that he would healpe hym in that chosinge out of the truth. Than readinge the severall writinges of every secte, he reproued and fare in pieces all the other writinges, as bvinginge in a seperation of the holy Trinitie: and that onely writinge, whiche contained the Hornouiane faith he praised; and imbaled or receaved. Hereof was occasion geuen, that the Novatians did prosper agayne, and had licence to keape their assemblies within the Cittie. And the Emperour mervaulinge at their agreeing in faith, with his, decreed by a lawe, that they might resorte to their oratories or Churches without feare, and enioye the pruileges, that others of the same faith did enioye. Thus farre Socrates, wrode by wrode, as neere I could possiblie translate it.

Here I beseche the god Reader, consider, that whan the counsel, brought from Sisinius the lecturer by Pectarius to the Emperour, and by hym vsed, did healpe nothinge to concorde, but let them at more variance: the godly and wise Prince wroke an other way (whiche neither Pectarius, Agelius, nor Sisinius, for ought that appeareth in the historie, did before thinke vpon) and he commaunded the Bishoppes to write the summe of their faith, he called them to his palace, at a day appointed, he receaved their writinges of them, he went a side, & praised earnestly for Goddes ayde in the chosinge out of the truth, & folowing the same, he did condemne & feare all the heretikes writinges, and allow the onely writinge, that contained the truth: and he decreed by a law, that the Novatians (though otherwise taken for heretikes) onely for that they agreed in the truth of Christes diuinitie, & one substance with God the father, should

Should haue their Churches, assemblies, and priuileges. And withall note the sinceritie of M. Dozman, who is not ashamed to say that the Emperour did nothinge of him selfe in this matter, but all by the counsell of Nectarius Bishoppe of Constantinople. Wherby he doth not onely mangle, and falsefie the historie, but also maketh that godly Emperour, and the god Bishop Nectarius, both most notable hypocrites : as though so godly an Emperour as was Theodosius , (beinge the iudge) & so godly a Bishop as was Nectarius (beinge a partie) had colluded together: & as though , all the Emperours painefull doinges, and feruent prayers to God, to healpe him in chosinge the truth, had bene nothinge elles but hypocrisy : and as though he beinge determined to do onely, as Nectarius one of the parties in that case , had put in his head , and deliuered to him (as saith M. Dozman) shoulde come colorable forth , as alded by God through pzaier, to discerne and judge the best . And this deuise liketh not onely M. Dozman , but also his maister D. Hard, Colur. both. For in the popish Synagogue, and specially in the Court of Rome such packinge is called the determination of the holy Ghost. But the historie it selfe sheweth, that as the Emperour did call all those Bisshoppes to the councell, and chose Nectarius Bisshoppe without the other Bisshoppes advise , yea against their advise: so did he now without Nectarius advise , by Goddes healpe by pzaier obtained , choose the soundest doctrine: and did all other thinges of himselfe , and of his owne authozitie, by the wisedome and grace, whiche was given him from God . Yet do I thinke verely that some Papilles will say that this historie of Theodosius by

me so largely alleaged doth in one point make very much against vs : for that (will they say) we do not esteme the expositons of the ould fathers , as did those heretikes at that time . But they shall thererin do as they are wonte to do , that is malitiously belie vs . For we do not reiect the expositons of those ould fathers , whiche are in this historie spoken of , who were asore that time , that is . xi. hundreth yeares agoe and more , which ancient Fathers expositons make on our side , against the nue false gloses of the Papistes : but we do reiect those false gloses of nue popish steppe fathers , vnboorne longe after these fathers , here spoken of , were dead : for that the saide gloses are cleane contrarie to the teixe of Goddes woorde . And we haue holsome counsell , and god warrant in Goddes woorde it selfe , so to do . For thus emongst other faith the prophet Ezechiel .

Ezechiel. 20.

Abominationes patrum eorum ostende eis . &c . & mox . In præceptis patrum vestrorum nolite incedere , nec iudicia eorum custodiatis , nec in Idolis eorum polluamini . Ego Dominus Deus vester , in præceptis meis ambulate , & iuditia mea custodite , & facite ea . that is to say . Shewe them the abominations of their fathers . &c . and shortly after . Do not walke in the præceptes of your Fathers , nether keape thyt iudgementes , nether be ye defiled with their Idolles . I am the Lorde your God , walke in my præceptes , and keape my iudgementes and do them .

Maiorum , qui recte præcesserunt , vestigijis insisterem pulcherrimi . Cic.

Thus Goddes woorde warneth vs . Yea Pagans and Heathen men did well see , that the steppes of those fathers onely were to be folowed ; who did goe rightly before vs .

Dormant.

Dorman fol. 43.

And truly maruell had it byn if he had other wise doen in mat-
ters of Religiō any thing, to the prejudice of that auctoritie, which
Bishoppes and Priestes of right ought to haue in those matters: who
at other times had so ofien declared his mende perswaded to the con-
trary, and namely in that councell that he caused to be assembled at

Concilium Aquileia: where in the sommons of that synode he openlie pro- D. Hard. Cefus.
louiclien- fied, that controuersies arising vpon matters of doctrine, can not be Apol. fol. 315. b.
better tried, then by beinge referred to the Bishops, that they quoth hath the same.
he, from whome the very groundes and principles of doctrine haue both borovved
proceeded, may if there fall out any doubtes, dissolute the same. For lib. 2. fol. 112. b.
the whiche woordes, beinge afterwarde rehersed in the councell, it vvoerde for
appeareth how greatly S. Ambrose praised him, when he said open- vwoorde.
lie. Beholde what ordre the Christian Emperour hath taken, he will
not doo any iniurie to the Priestes, he referreth to the Bishoppes
the interpretation of all doubties.

Nowell.

M. Dorman leauinge those so many, & most plaine
matters in the histories Ecclesiasticall, evidently decla-
ringe the authoritie of the Emperour Theodosius ouer
Priestes, and in matters of Religion, to y which he can
say nothing directly, now seeketh vncertaine conjectures,
thereby to make some shewe of somewhat, whereas in
deede he can say nothinge at all, to any purpose. The
place whiche he alleageth out of the councell, houlden at
Aquileia, out of the rescript of the Emperour Gratia-
nus, Valentineanus, and Theodosius is an obscure,
darke, and vncertaine place, and written in such Latine,
as is not likely to be vsuall in those learned daies.
And for that, that no plaine sence coulde be gathered
thereof, Peter Crabbe the collector, or some man-

A REPROUFE OF M.

els for him, hath by a marginall note holpen the blinde
erte, with the addinge of one woorde to the terte; and
changeinge of an other in the text; both whiche marginall
emendations, Hosius, (of whome P. Dozman, and
his Maister borowed this place) by his Cardinalles au-
thoritie hath taken in, and incorpozate into the terte it
selfe, and goeth smoth away with the mater, as though
it had bene saide by the text, that the iudgement of con-
troversies were the Bisshoppes, whiche is not there to
be founde.

In the margent
is added.
Judicium.

Experiri.
Quam si consti-
tuissimus in in-
terpretes ipsos
Antistites.

Now whereas the woordes of the terte are these:
Neq; controversiae dubiae sententiæ rectius poterant
experiri q; si aborte altercationis in interpretes ipsos
constituissimus Antistites, which sentence, though ob-
scure, can haue none other sence, but y the Emperours
(whose woordes those shold be) haue appointed the Bi-
shops to be interpreters of the controversies: & the Em-
perours to be the chiese gouernours, who had the au-
thoritie so to appointe. P. Dozman to his commoditie
hath translated those woordes thus, That controversies aris-
inge vpon matiers of doctrine, can not be better tried, than by be-
ing referred to the Bisshoppes. This is P. Dozmanus inter-
pretation, & qualefyng of the mater, by change of per-
sons & numbers, y by such absolute referring of matiers
to Bisshops, he might suppresse y authoritie of Princes
included in y woorde constituisse, we haue assigned
or appointed. Likewise he translateth, à quibus profi-
ciscuntur instituta doctrinæ. From whence the very groundes
and principles of doctrine haue proceeded. that is to say, from
the Bisshops, but in dede y very groundes & principles
of doctrine haue nat proceeded from the Bisshoppes, but
from God, and his holy woorde: he might therfore more
truly

tralitie haue saide; from whome instructions of doctrine; vñ in doctrine haue proceeded. For Bishoppes laid not the groundes and principles, but haue builded their doctrine thereupon, and thereby haue taught vs.

Where he saith it appeareth how greatlie s. Ambrose prai-
fed him (Theodosius) for those woordes. It may in dede ap-
peare to all the learned, that M. Dozman never read
that councell, noz S. Ambroses woordes there. For
they shall well perceve, that the Emperour, whoe is
spoken of in this councell severallie, was not Theodo-
sius, but Gratianus: for both in the treatise of the coun-
cell, and in the epistle of the council to the Emperours,
not Theodosius, but Gratianus is specially named, by
these woordes. Cōpletum in te est, clemētissime princēps, Gratiane, q̄ scriptura diuina laudauit. Et rursum. Imperator noster Gratianus iussit orientales ve-
nire, ipse Imperator nobis dixit. Et rursum: non cre-
dimus religiosum Imperatorem aliud dixisse, quam
scriptit. That is to say. That is fulfilled in the D Gra-
tian, most merciful Prince, whiche the divine scripture
doeth prasse. And agayne, Gratian our Emperour,
commaunded the Bishoppes of the East Churche to be
present, the Emperour saide it to vs. And again. We
do not beleauie that the godlie Prince spake otherwises
than he did write. These woordes goinge before, and
after the place by M. Dozman here alleaged, being vn-
doubtedly spoken of Gratian, do declare that the Em-
perour, whose woordes S. Ambrose doeth prasse, was
Gratian, whoe in that councell had the molte to do,
and not Theodosius, as M. Dozman surmisleth.
And thus it may appeare howe these Papistles, do not
lوك-lypon the originales, but borow one of an others

fa. 395. col. 2.
& deinceps.

A REPROVFE OF M.

notes, that whiche they thinke will serue their turne.

And no meruaile that M. Dorman hath falled herein, for his authour Hosius was also herein deceived. Whom (not S. Ambrose, nor the councell of Aquileia) M. Dorman hath folowed woord for woorde, in all this treatise of Theodosius, as in all places els.

And where the woordes of S. Ambrose are these. Imperator ipsos interpretes constituit Episcopos, that is: the Emperour hath constitute or appointed the Bisshoppes to be interpreters. M. Dorman translateth them thus. The Emperour referreth to the Bisshoppes the interpretation of all doubtes, of his liberalitie puttinge in, all doubtes, and of his wisdome, as afoze, so here againe, dissemblinge the Emperours authoritie, whiche the woerde constituit, he did constitute or appointe, doeth import. This place therefore beinge of it selfe obscure, and the marginall notes, beinge by Hosius taken into the text, and the whole being falsified by M. Dorman's dissembling translation, or libeरall addition: and Hosius, (for what shold I speake of M. Dorman) so sclenderlie looking vpon the matter, that he tooke Theodosius, for Gratianus, this place I saye, therfore can haue in it no mater of weight.

Howbeit we will never denie, but that Emperours or other Christian Princes, may constitute or appoint suche Bisshoppes, as they knowe to be learned, and of sound faith, to be interpreters of doubtes: for this is all, that can be gathered of this place. But as the Emperour, did appointe Bishoppe Valeriane, S. Ambrose, Eusebius, with other learned and Catholike Bisshoppes present at that councell, to be interpreters, so would they not appoint Paladius, or Secundianus,

Bianus, or any other Bishop beinge an haeretike, to be
any of the saide interpreters. No more will any godly
Christian Prince constitute or appoincte any Popish
Prelates, the corrupters of Religion, to be interpre-
ters in controwersies of Religion. But in the Empe-
rours appointinge of the Bishoppes to be interpre-
ters, I can not see but that the superioritie remaineth
in the appoincter, and the inferioritie in the appointed.
These woordes of S. Ambrose, whiche he spake in
that councell of Aquileia, and are there wylle or
chysse repered, are worthie the notinge. Ambrosius Concil. Aquili-
dixit: Superioribus temporibus concilium sic factum
est. &c. that is to saye. Ambrose (the Wyshoppe) saide
thus: in former times, the councell was so ordred,
that the Bishoppes of the East Churche shoulde haue
their councell in the East, and the Bishoppes of the
West Churche shoulde haue their councell in the West:
we being Bishoppes of the West partes, are assembled
at the citie of Aquileia, accordinge to the Emperours Iuxta Imperia-
commaundement. Further the Lord Depulte of Italie toris preceptum.
wrote his letters, that if they of the Easte would Praefectus Italiæ,
come together, they myght: but because they knewe
this custome, that the councell of the East Bishoppes
shoulde be kept in the East, and the councell of the
West Bishoppes in the West, therefore they thought
they need not to come. Thus farre are S. Am-
brosie woordes spoken in this councell, by M. Doz-
man here out of Hosius alleaged, trulie translated:
the effect whereof, is agayne repered in the sayde coun-
sell. And thinke you good Readers, that had those
Bishoppes thought some one Priest to haue bene
head of the whole Churche, or the Wyshoppe of
Rome

Rome in those daies had bene taken for the saide head
of the whole Churche, as well of the Easte as the
West, that S. Ambrose wold haue made suche a diuis-
sion of the Lowe Churches; had he taken them both to
be vnder suche an one head, the Bishop of Rome, with-
out any mention of hym, at all? And that he wold
haue saide exprestly, that the Bisshoppes of the West
Churche were assembled in councell at Aquileia by the
Emperours commaundement, and haue made men-
tion of the Lorde President, or Deputie of Italie his
letters to the East. Bisshoppes, without any mention
made of the Bishoppe of Rome by S. Ambrose, or in
all that councell, by any other Bishoppe, at all, had
they thought the saide Bishoppe of Rome to haue had
any authortie, as head, either in the whole Churche or
in the West Churche, where that councell was kepte?
Wherfore, as in the constituting of the Bisshopps to be
interpreters, so in the assembling and somoninge of this
councell at Aquileia, being in the West Churche, by the
Emperour, the Emperours authortie therein is ex-
prest plainely: and rather contempt than any autho-
ritie of the Bishop of Rome, is by continuall silence of
him, in a councell holden in the West Churche, and so
nere Italie, not obscurelie signified.

And about the same time, the Bisshoppes of the East
Churche, beinge by Damasus than Bishop of Rome,
and the Synode there called, not by his, or the coun-
cels autortie, but by the Emperours letters, to agreeat
Synod, than kept at Rome, they wold not appearre,
but refusel that journey as unprofitable, as witnesseth
Theodositus: So that it is euident, y the West churche
at that time acknowledg no lute nor seruice to the Bis-
hop of

Bishop of Rome. Pea, and the said East Bishops make declaration of the election, and consecration of Petrus Archebishop of Constantinople, Flavianus Archibishop of Antiochia, and Cyrilus Archebishop of Hierusalem made, without any the consent of the Bishop of Rome.

Dorman. fol. 43.

If Theodosius had taken upon him to iudge in matters of faith, being a lay man, could s. Ambrose thinke you that florished vnder D. Hird. Cen. him haue byn ignorant therof? If he could not, would he haue prai- Apolog. fo. 317. b sed him for that he did not? Would he haue asked of Valentinianus hath the same. the yonger beginning in his youthe (although he after repented) to translated out of encroache vpon the spirituall limites and iurisdiction: Quando au- of Hos. lib. 1. fo. 38. b. & li. 2. fol. disti clemetissime Imperator in causa fidei Laicos de Epis- 112. b. woord for copo iudicasse: vyben did you euer heare most gentle Emperour, woorde. that in matters of faith lay men haue iudged of the Bishoppes do- Non respondere inges? Might he not haue answered, (if it had bene as our aduersa- potuisse: audi- ries saie) I haue not hard onely but knownen also by experiance, that ui quod fecerit mine owne felowe in the empire Theodosius, hath doen so. so that hoc imperij mei hereapon we may be bould probablie to conclude (s. Ambrose vertue, cōsors &c. wisdome, learning, long experiance and great practise in Christes churche wel considered,) that Theodosius attempted no such matter, nor did anie thing in religion without the councell of such bishops as being catolike, enstruced him what he shoulde doe for thaduā- cement and setting forwarde of Christes catolike faith.

*qui iudicat in cunctis in rebus ecclesiasticis
quoniam est in rebus ecclesiasticis Norwell.*

This conjecture of Hollus liketh M. Dorman so well, that where as he hath made thereof a large dis- Dor. sup. fo. 23. course before, he is yet nowe againe in hande with it. But I remitte the Reader to the former place where Dor. sup. fo. 88. *Dor* *M. Dorn. &c.*

A REPROVFE OF MORTALITIE

M. Dozman alleaged this, and to myne answere to the same, wherein I trust I haue fullie satisfied this vaine conjecture. Here it shalbe enough to saye, that though Valentinean the yonger had never heard that anie godlie Lay men, Prince, or other, had taken vpon them to ludge of Bishoppes in a matier of faith, without any assistance or advise of the godlie learned, as Valentinean did attempt to do, yet did both Theodosius at that time deale with Bishoppes in matters of Religion as chiese gouernour therelin, and Constantine, and Valentinean the elder, had likewyse dealt, as I haue before declared. Of whose full dealing therin as chiese, both S. Ambrose, & Valentinean the yonger had often heard, though they had never heard of anie suche unfull dealing, as Valentinean the yonger attempted to haue bene vised by them, being righteous, good, and godlie Princes. But whereas M. Dozman leauinge Theodosius his owne actes beinge moske manifest, whereby the plaine truthe of his doinges may appearre, falleth to conjectures, vpon S. Ambrose his praysing of Gratian the Emperour, & vpon his questiions indured to Valentinean the Emperour: I will set Theodosius his owne doinges before the good Readers eyes plaine, and briesne, and so leauue it to his iudgement, whether he dealt with Bishoppes, and in matters of Religion, as a chiese gouernour therelin, or no.

Theodosius by his owne authoritie, without any mention of the authoritie of the Bishoppe of Rome, or anie other Bishoppe, assembled Synodes, and Councilles, and firste.

The second generall councell at Constantynople,
Socrates, lib. 5, cap. 8,

Theo-

Theodoritus lib. 5. cap. 7.

Sozomenus lib. 7. cap. 7. & 9.

He assembled likewise an other councell at Constantinople.

Socrates lib. 5. cap. 10.

Sozomenus lib. 7. cap. n.

The councell of Aquisletia in the West Churche, Concil. Aquilej. he with the Emperours, Gratian, and Valantinean Tom. i. Concil. fa. 394. &c. the yonger, assembled by their commaundement, with out anie mention of the Bishop of Rome at all, as appeareth in that whole councell it selfe.

He dealeth in the councell as superiour to the Bisshoppes.

Socrates lib. 1. cap. 10.

Sozomenus lib. 7. cap. n.

He confirmeth the decesses of the councell.

Socrates lib. 5. cap. 8.

Sozom. lib. 7. cap. 9. 16.

He reduced Valantinean the yonger to the right faith, and restored the catholike faith, and Religion defaced, by Valantinean the yonger, and his mother.

Rufinus lib. 2. cap. 17.

Theodoritus lib. 5. cap. 15.

Ambrosius lib. 5. Epist. 34.

He dealeth in the election of Bisshoppes, with more, both authoritie, and syncretisitie, than do the Bisshoppes them selues: and that, as it was thought, by Gods speciall direction.

Sozom. lib. 7. cap. 7. & 8.

Theodoritus lib. 5. cap. 23.

He remoueth Bisshoppes that were haeretiques.

Socrates lib. 5. cap. 7. & 10. 20.

Theodoritus lib. 5. cap. 16.

Sozom. lib. 7. cap. 5. 6. n. 17.

He alloweth godly Bisshoppes.

A REPROOFE OF M.

Socrat. lib. 5. cap. 7. & 10. & 20.

Sozom. lib. 7. cap. 9.

8. He indgeth betweene the Catholske and Hæretike Bisshoppes.

Socrat. lib. 1. cap. 10.

Sozom. lib. 7. cap. 12.

9. He reprehēdeth Bisshops, even the Bishop of Rome, and that shaplie, and defendeth Flavianus Bishop of Antiochia, against the Bisshps of Rome, and endeth their contouerries, whiche had continued. 17. yeeres, and after that longe dissention, restorēth peace to the Churche.

Theodoritus lib. 5. cap. 23. 24.

Of these manifest Actes of Theodosius the Emperour, plainelte declared in the histories Ecclesiasticall, is his authoſtſte ouer Bisshops, and in causes Ecclesiasticall to be considered, rather than by thosē balne and vncerten conjectures, vpon S. Ambroses woordes spoken to other Emperours: whereunto from the plaine truthe, P. Dorman, by alleaging not S. Ambrose, but Hosius, would bring vs. And I doubt nothing, but the discreet Reader will hereby vnderstande, that P. Dorman thā lateth mangling of the histories to our charge most, whā he intendeth most to play vntagler hym self.

Dorman. Fol. 44.

Hosius treateth It foloweth in the Apolōgie, In the councell of Calcedon the ci-
of the Chalce- uile magistrate condemned for heretikes by his sentence: Diocorus,
don. coucil. li. 2. Iuuenalis, Thalassius, being all Bisshops, and iudged them worthy
fol. 114. b. &c. to be degraded. Here would I faine knovē in what place, or where
and D. Harding they finde this historie written. If they saie in the 5. booke and tenth
Confut. Apolo. fo. 315. b. & 316. a chap. of Socrates historie, as the place is in the margin coated, I must
answreth as nedes tell them that the place having byn there sought for, can not be
dosh. M. Dorman. founde. And as littel hope is there of finding the same els, where, if a

man

man made beleue vehemently presumptions. For if in that councell, Iuuenalis and Thalassius had bene at all condemned by any magistrat either ecclesiasticall or ciuile, as well shoulde it of all likelihood have byn mencioned in the actes and recordes of the councell of Calcedon, as was the condonation of Dioscorus, they being all accused, and partakers of one crime. True it is (although in the place by them alledged there be no such thinge) that in the actes yet of the councell we finde a record, where the ciuile magistrates consented, that Dioscorus had well deserved to be of his bis hopricke depriuied, and of all priestly dignitie degraded. But he w^t beseeche you diligently to consider: if to the Bis hoppes, to whom God had committed the charge to give that sentencē, it shoulde so seeme good. And these are not my wordes, but his that was sente from the whole councell to Dioscorus, (who then after the manner of all heretikes fled from the face of the councell, and lurked I wot not where) Ioannes the Bis hop of Germanicia who after he had tolde him in what termes he spode, that was condemned by the whole councell, he added this clause, Si hoc placuisset sanctissimis episcopis, quibus hanc inferre a domino deo creditum est, if it so seemed good to the holy Bis hoppes to whom God had committed the power to give that sentencē.

This sentencē afterward (he said Dioscorus continuinge in his obſtinacie) was by the whole councell allowed, and by the legates of the Bis hop of Rome in his name pronounced, no mans name subscribed, or consented thereto, besides the onely Bis hoppes. And thus much for Dioscorus: for of Iuuenalis and Thalassius, till they shoulde be degraded, I can say nothinge. Although this in the meane season I may boldly say, that if they (the ciuile magistrates I meane) gave any such sentencē, it is very likely that they would qualifie it (as you harde before that they did in Dioscorus) with this adiection, if the Bis hoppes thincke good to whom that matter belongeth. v which

If they did, what haue they then gotten by the alleginge of such a sentence I pray you? No well.

Wheras the p̄inter by negligence set this marginall note (Socrat. lib. 5. cap. 10.) against the treatie of the Chalcedonense couell, whch shoulde haue bene set thre lines higher against the treatie of Theodosius the Emperour, where the Apologie bath these wordes (Theodosius Imperator ut ait Socrates) whereby the printers errouer could not be vñknowen to M. Dozman, who, as he professeth seeking there, could not chuse but finde the said treatie of Theodosius the Emperour, and so might be certiffied, touchinge that marginall note, if he list: Yet it pleased him to make a mater hereof, declaringe thereby to any discrete Reader, what adowe he would gladly make, had he luke occasion geuen him thereto. But where he affirmeth that there is as little hope to finde the matter touching Iuuernalis and Thalasius, els where, as there, that is, that it is vnpossible to be founde any wheare (for there in dede it is not possible to finde it) and that if any such thinge may be found of them, yet that it as well, as the other of Diocorus, is so qualifid; that we can get nothinge by the alleaginge of it. His maister D. Hardinge also maketh the same answeare. But what credite is to be geuen herein, specially to M. Dozman either his diligence, as one that hath sought in all places, & can not finde it, or to his truth, as one that will not conceile whasoever he findeth, thongh direly for vs, & against him selfe, both hath heretofore suffisently, and may in this place also very well appeare. For both the concell of Chalcedon, whiche he p̄tendeth he hath searched, and Euagrius

Socra. lib. 5. cap.
v.

Confut. Apol.
fol. 316. a.

In the very place by M. Dozman here alleged, that is; in the second booke & forth chapter of his Ecclesiastical history, hath these wordes. Gloriosissimi iudices & Chalcedon. col. 10.
 amplissimus senatus dixerunt de recta quidem & ea- lij. Act. 13. fa. 83.
 tholica fide; perfectius sequente die conueniente con- col. 2. Euag. li. 2. ca. 4.
 cilio, diligentiorem examinationem fieri oportere per- Euag. li. 2. ca. 4.
 Spicimus. Quoniam vero Flavianus. &c. y is to say; decreuerunt.
 The most glorious Judges, & honorable Senate (mea- The Judges and
 ning the ciuill Magistrates present at that coucill) said Senate were lay
 thus, or decreed these thinges as Euagrius hath. Con- men and not of
 terneninge the right and catholike faith we do consider y
 more diligent inquisition and search is to be made, the
 next day, whan the councel cometh together. But soz so
 much as Flavianus of godly memorie, & Eusebius the
 most reverend Bishop, by the search of thinges done, &
 examinations, & by the very wordes of certen, who were
 in that Synode the chiese, confessinge that they haue Frusta eos da-
 erred, & haue without cause condemned, & deposed these nasse. Euagrius depo-
 men, who being in no error about the faith, are decla- fuissit. Euag. li. 2. ca. 4.
 red to be unjustly deposed: It seemeth to us, and God, to Euag. li. 2. videtur
 be right and reason, (if it shall so please our mooste holy nobis & Deo ac-
 and gracious Lo: de the Emperour) that Dioscorus the ceptū & iustum
 most reverend Bishop of Alexandria, and Iuuenalis the esse.
 most reverend Bishop of Hierusalem, and Thalassius y
 most reverend Bishoppe of Caesaria in Cappadoce, and
 Eusebius the most reverend Bishop of Ancyra, & Eu-
 stathius the most reverend Bishop of Berste, and Ba-
 silius the most reverend Bishop of Seleucia in Isauria, Potestatem. &
 Who had than the chiese authoritie, and principate of principatū tunc
 the Synode, be put to the same punishment, (of damnation and deposition) & that they by the holy councell ac- Synodi tenuerūt
 cordinge to the Canons or rules, be deprivued from their Diocorus, Iu-
 uenalis, &c.,
 Bishoplie.

Bishoppes dignitie: so that all thinges done, be referred
 to the Emperour. And as Euagrius saith, all thinges
 were done, accordinge as it was decreed by the Empe-
 rours Maestrie &c. Then the Bishops of h' Cast & other
 Bishops wth the cried: this is a full & righte busi-
 ment, God send longe life to the Senate, many yeres to
 the Emperour, Christ hath deposid Diocezus, Christ
 hath deposid the homicide, or murtherer. This is a full
 sentence, full is the Senate, full is the Coucell. But h'
 reuerende Bishoppe of Illerike said: we haue all erred,
 we al alake perdone. Thus saide are h' wordes, as wel of
 the councell of Chalcedone, as of Euagrius in the booke
 & chapter by M. Dozman here noted, truely translated,
 And shortly after it followeth in Euagrius. Hisce à san-
 cta synodo confirmatis, &c. When these thinges were
 confirmed by h' holy Synode, & divers other thinges done,
 they whiche were deposid with Diocezus (h' is Juuen-
 nalis, Thalastus, Eusebius, & the other Bishops as a-
 fore) the Synode so praying & the Palace (that is to say
 the Emperour) graunting, obtained remission. These
 nem consequenti are the very wordes of Euagrius also (in the same
 chapter by M. Dozman here alleged) truely translate.
 The wordes of the Bishop of Romes Legates, here for
 brevite omitted, I will speake of hereafter: for so M.
 Dozman occurrenth me.

Lib.15. cap.4.

Niccephorus also, besides these, might haue certified
 M. Dozman how Juuenalis and his felowes were par-
 doned. And so the god Readers may see, that there is
 not so little hope of findinge these thinges, as M. Doz-
 man would beare them in hande.

And seeinge this iudgement, geuen not onely vpon
 Diocezus, but also vpon Juuenalis, and Thalastus,
 with

With other Bishoppes, who were the chiese at the Cypelene councell, by the ciuill Magistrates, is written in the very same booke and chapter of Euagrius, whiche is in this place by M. Dorman alleaged, and in the councell of Calcedon, whiche he prætenteth he hath narrowly searched, the god Reader may well understande, that he had no cause to vse such straunge dinge, as he by these his wordes declareth. Here woulde I faine know in what place, or where they finde this historie written. I muste needs tell them, that in the place of Socrates historie Socra. li. 5. cap. 10. in the margent coated it is not, for it hath bene sought for, and can A diligent search not be fonde, and as little hope is there of findinge the same elles cher and true where if a man may because vehement presumptions. For if in that reporter. councell Iuuenalis, and Thalasius had bene at all condemned by any Magistrate either ecclesiasticall or ciuill, as well shold it of all likelihoode haue bene mentioned in the Actes and recordes of the councell of Chalcedone, as was the condonation of Diocorus. These are M. Dorman's wordes. Yea he repeateth them in effect yet once againe afterward, for feare of forgettinge so notable a warninge to vs by him geuen. And haue you in dede god M. Dorman, sought in the booke ¶ 10. chapter of Socrates for his historie of the Chalcedonense councell, & for that you finde it not there, because it can be founde no where, nowe surely it may well be your dede, for no wise, or learned man would haue sought for it there: it beinge well knownen, that Socrates, though he did write seuen bookes, yet did he not in the last of them reache so far as to the Chalcedonense councell, by a great deale: & yet M. Dorman sought for it longe before in the fift booke. Bylike M. Dorman is a bacheler of diuinitie onely, and not of histographie too. And no meruaile is you could not finde it in his place coated in the margent,

A REPROOFE OF M.

of the Apologie, seeing you could not finde it in the place
by your selfe noted. For who coated your booke in the
margent against your treatie of this matter thus (E-
uagrius lib.2.cap.4.) for there it is in dede, as well in
the Actes and recordes of the councell to be found, as I
haue at large shewed: and if you did reade that chapter,
you muste needes see it. What sincerer is this than to
say as you do: that it is not mentioned in the Actes and recordes
of the Councell, that there is as little hope of findinge this historic
els where (as in Socrates) if a man may beleave vehement presump-
tions, that is: that it is impossible, to finde it any where.
Why man it was vnder your nose in the Actes and re-
cordes of the Councell, whiche you talke of, and before
your eyes in Euagrius his seconde booke and. 4. chape-
ter, by you coated. If you looked vpon either the coun-
cell or the place by you coated; (as you praefende you
searched them both diligently) you were in case as was
the butcher, who holding his knife in his mouth, sought
for it, and after longe seekinge, could not finde it. If you
looked not in your mouth, vpon the bookes, I would say,
I commende your diligence: you might as well haue
letten your margent goe stark naked, as so couldly to
haue coated it. If you can not finde a thinge in Hostius,
(who if he haue lost all that you haue founde, hath losse
his whole bookes) you take it for a vehement præsump-
tion that it can be sounde no wheare. But in dede the
Papistes haue no more nether care, nor conscience, then
hath a curre dogge, in either seekinge, or findinge of
the truth, or in confessinge of it, if they happily finde
it, but goe on by hooke or crooke, by omittinge, dissem-
blinge, corruptinge, deprauinge, manglinge, beleing of
the oulde doctours, and writers, to maintaine their pre-
sumed

Coated.

sumed vntruthes, which thei are purposely determined; they care not howe, to mainteine, so they may main-
teine them. Now wheare M. Dorman saith, that in
case we can finde any such record, that he may bouldly
say, that it, with the other mater of Dioscorus, is so qualified,
that we shall get nothinge by the alleginge of such a sentence:
I trust the discreat Readers consideringe the Historie,
and processe by me before out of the councell Calcedo-
nence, and Euagrius truly wrode soz wrode trailla-
ted, do se the qualification of the mater right well.

1. And firsste, who were the moste glorioius Judges,
who appointed the times, and dates, whan maters of
faith, and whan other matiers were to be entreated
of: euen the ciuill Magistrates, who were there in the
Emperours behalfe, and had the firsste and chiese place,
and authoritie in the councell alwaies, whan it pleased
them to be present.

2. Againe you see that the said Judges decreed these Euagrii. Ij qui à
things for so Euagrius termeth it. senatu erant ista
decreuerunt.

3. Thirdly you see, that vpon due proues alleaged,
the saide Magistrates folowinge the phrase of the A,
possles determination in their councell, do say thus:
It seemeth god and right to God and vs, that not one,
ly Dioscorus, but also Junenalis', and Thalasius,
with the other Bishoppes should be put from their li-
vinges, (as they had serued others) and by the holie
councell be deprived of the degréé and dignitie of Bi-
shoppes, for that they had deposid Flauian, and Eu-
sebius as though they had bene heretikes, who in
deede were in no error, and therfore they (who de-
posid them) shewed them selves in so doinge to be her-
etiques.

Videtur nobis &
Deo, acceptū &
iustum esse.

4. You see that all these thinges were referred to the

Cū cuncta ut ab Emperours iudgement, as both the councell and Euagrius do declare, and that accordaninge as he decreed, all decretal erant, perficerentur.

5. You see that whole numbres of Bisshoppes call this sentence of the Magistrates, a iudgement, and deposition of Diocorus, and do allowe this sentence of the Magistrates, as a just sentence, and iudgement, yea, as the sentence and iudgement of God: and none gaine saith it.

6. Finally you see, that they, who were deposed, were (no doubt vpon their submission) restored againe. But Euag. li.2.ca.4. how restored: the Sy node or councell (saith Euagrius) Obsecrante Sy- so praying, and beseechinge: and the Palace (that is to nodo annuente say the Senatours, or the Emperour him selfe) grauntinge it.

These thinges belinge thus: yet, saith M. Dorman, that he may bouldly speake of such qualefyng of the matier, that we shall gatne nothinge for Christian Princes authoritie in counelles, by the alleageinge of such a sentence. And he would qualifie all this most notable sentence, and iudgement of ciuile Magistrates, by terminge it consentinge. But I doubt nothinge but all reasonable men may see here suche a qualefyng, for Princes authoritie ouer Bisshoppes, and councilles, that the substance thereof can be plucked out of their mindes, by no qualification of yours, M. Dorman. Yet you bringe in for your further qualificatiō, these wordes of one man, the Bisshoppe of Germanicia, whiche he spake in a corner to Diocorus: Si hoc placuerit sanctissimis Episcopis &c. that is to say: if it seemed good to the holy Bisshoppes, to whome it is by God committed to gene that sentence. But your qualiffying here,

by

by taking this little patch of the whole sentence, is very
guilty. The place is thus. Iohannes episcopus Germanicus dixit. Ante tres dies secundum præceptionem Concil. Chalcedon. Act. 3. pag. 846.
piissimi & Christi amici nostri Imperatoris &c. that
is to say: these dates agoe, at the commandement of the
moste godlie, and friend of Christ, our Emperour, the
most magnificent and glorioys judges, and most holie
Senate, with the holie and great Synode, made exami-
nation of those faultes, whiche were by the religious
Bishoppes Eusebius, laid to thys charge, and determin-
inge, published sentence against these, if it should so Euagrius
please the moste holie Bishoppes, to whome it is from lib. 2. ca. 4.
God committed to geue the saide sentence. And the Saith the Synod
holie and great Synode, considering what becommeth confirmed the
it, hath thus longe gyuen respite to thys Religious sentence already
nes etc. These are the woordes of Iohannes Bishoppes giuen by the
of Germanicia, beinge sent from the Councell to dedit inducias
Dioscorus.

Of all this you rehearse a dozen woordes in the lat-
ter end, leaving out both the Emperours commannde-
ment, and the examination of the ciuill Magistrates, &
their decerning, and pronoucing of sentence in Diosco-
rus his cause, as Judges: whiche might convict you of a
lie, saying they consented. And thus doing, you say these
are the woordes of him, that was sent from the whole
councell to Dioscorus, it is so: these are as many of his
woordes, as like you. But the Judges or Senatours,
who speake not in a corner, as this mad to Dioscorus,
but before the whole councell, did with authoritie, pro-
nounce it, as a sentence, and judgement, & all the coun-
cell alloweth it, as a iust sentence, and as Gods iudg-
ment, and they do referre all the matters not to the

Ppp 3 councell,

A REPROOFE OF M.

Concil. Chalced. councell but to the Emperour, whoe, as the whols
act. i. fa. 845. councell conseleth, permitted the councell to haue the
col. 2. Imper- hearing of that matter. Now the determination be-
cor pmiss. &c. ting made, and sentence pronounced by the Judges, who
were ciuill Magistrates, if they permitted yet vnto the
Bishops of the councel to deale with Dioscorus, & vpon
his submission to procure pardon for him by their hun-
ble sute to the Emperour, as they did for Iunenatis, &
Thalassius, or vpon his stubburnesse, to let the sen-
tence gloue take place, as God shuld put in their minde:
What ca that make against vs, vntesse you would beare
men in hande, that we do affirme that Bishops haue
nothing at all to do in councels: But we never denied,
but that the aduise, and judgement also of godlie Bi-
shops, in condemning of haeretiques, and haeresies, and
in other mattiers of Religion, is to be thought to come
from God, as long as their iudgement doth not repugne
to Gods. But that doth nothing exclude the authoritie
of Princes in the said councels. For, if one would like-
wise say, that such a matter shalbe enacted if it like the
Lordes of the Parlyamet, and if it like not the Lordes
of the Parlyament, to whome God hath committed
the giuinge of sentence there, it shall not be enacted.
This were in deede a true sayinge: but what maketh it
it against the Prince chiese prerogative in the Par-
lyament? Trulie nothinge at all. No more doeth
this, whiche is here spoken of the Bishoppes likynge,
and sentence, hinder any thinge the Princes authori-
tie in that councell, but that they do appointe times
oftreaties, do decree and determine thinges, giue and
pronounce sentence against Wyshoppes, yea, and the
greatest Bishoppes, (suche as were Dioscorus and
Iunena-

Iuuenallis) beinge offendours, and determininge what
is good for the Bisshoppes assembled in councell to do,
whome it is meete for them to deprie, or depose, refet-
ringe all to the Emperour. And that Bisshoppes offend-
ours being pænitent, and submittinge them selues,
obtayne pardon, the Synode or Councell soe praiyng
and beseeching, & the Palace (that is to saye the Prince)
grauntinge it. And no doubt had Diuersorius submitted
him selfe, as did Iuuenallis and Thalastus, and the
councell therupon had humble sued to the Emper-
our for his pardon, as they did for the others, he had
also escaped that bitter condemnation and deprivuation,
whiche he fell into. And therefore might Johannes
Bishoppe of Germanicia, well saye, Si hoc placuerit.
&c. If it soe pleased the mooste holie Bisshoppes vnto whome God
hath committed to geue that sentence. Thereby to moue Diuers-
orius to submission to the councell, and soe by the suite
of the councell, to obtayne grace at the Emperours
handes.

Pow concerning Paschalinus and other the Bishop
of Rome his Legates doinges and saynges, vled or
vsurped in that councell, I do not denie but that they
spake many thinges soundinge for the prærogative of
the Bishop of Rome, whose Legates they were. But
partlie they did it so couertlie, partlie the Synode was
so occupied about other weightie matiers, y they either
obserued not their woordes, or thought it not good by
quarrelling about the to hinder those matiers of weight
whiche they had in hende. But those weightie matiers
being once ended, they byydled the Bishop of Rome, by
their decree made in the, 16. Session of this councell,
declar-

declaring the Bishop of Constantinople to be of æqual authoritie with him. Whiche decree they made man-
gree the Popes Legates: and as it may appeare they
were the rather occasioned and prouoked thereto by the
malapert behaviour of the saide Legates in that coun-
cell. But of this I will intreate at large hereafter.

Nowe of what value or authoritie the salynges of
the Popes owne Legates glaunsinge towardes their
masters superioritie (to the whiche the Popes did than
though secretlie, and covertly, beginne by sorgerie and
all vnlawfull meanes to aspire) can be, any reasonable

Concil. Chalced. man may easely iudge; even of their wrestinge of the
Act. 3. fa. 847. Scripturæ to onely Peter, whiche either apperteineth
Euagri. li. 2. ca. 4
Paschasius dixit
Cū glorioſissimo
Apostolo Petro
qui petra & ba-
ſis vel crepido ē
catholice ecclē-
ſia, & fundamē-
tum orthodoxa
fidei.
The coucil ter-
meth the twen-
tie times Glorio-
ſissimos iudices,
the most glori-
ous judges.

Scripturæ to onely Peter, whiche either apperteineth
not to him at all, but to Christus onelie, or to other Ap-
postles as well as to him. But the verie truthe of the
matier, not couertlie, nor slylie touched, in wordes one-
lie, (as doeth Paschasinus the Popes purposed encroa-
ching) but in most manifester deedes and actes of this
councell, clearly declared, mosse plainelie sheweth that
the Emperour, and the ciuill Magistrates in his place,

were the Judges, and had the chiese authoritie in that
councell, as I sufficienly haue declared, and will
more particulerlie hereafter note, where the learned
Reader may be more fully satisfied.

Touching the pronouncing of the sentence, & truthe is,
that every Bishop did give his iudgement severally, as
well as the Popes Legates by these wordes: Alienum
eum iudico ab omni episcopali dignitate & ministerio.
Alienum esse definio. Alieno eum ab &c. Alienū
esse decerno. &c. That is to say: I do iudge him to be
put from all Bishoplike dignitie, and ministerie;
saith

Act. 3. fa. 848.
Maxim^o Antio.
Stephan^o Eph.
Lucian^o Byzz.

saith Marimus Bishoppe of Antiochia. I define him to be dispatched of all Priestlie dignitie, sayth Stephanus Bishop of Ephesus. I doe alienate him from his sacerdotall ministerie saith Bishop Lucianus of Wyria. I deserne him to be estrangued from all sacerdotall ministerie saith Peter Bishop of Corinth: which sentences giuen by the whole Councell, and by their subscriptions confirmed, as plainly appeareth in y Actes of the counsell, any of the Secretaries of the councell might as wel in the name, and by the authoritie of the whole councell, haue pronounced y sentence, as Paschalinus the Popes Legate. The sentence of the whole councell of the holyc Apostles at Hierusalem was pronounced by S. James, not by S. Peter, of whom y Pope claimeth to be head of y whole Church. And if it being graunted that Paschalinus as president of the Councell in the place of the Bishop of Rome, who had the firste place enoughe the Patriarkes, did pronounce the sentence, what maketh that so? this usurped authoritie of the Pope nowe claymed: who can therby claime no other preheminence than other presidentes of other more ancient equitables had. It is recorded in this same Chalcedonense councell, that Dioscorus Bishop of Alexandria had the Principe in the Ephesene councell: in case the Bishop of Rome had likewise in his legates the principate in y Calcedonense councell, what can he claime thereby, more than Dioscorus might? Or what shall let him therefore, but he may come to Dioscorus his accoupt: For sure the Bishops of Rome now do deserue as much, and more than ever did Dioscorus. But seing M. Dorman maketh so much of pronouncing of sentences: this whole councell was knit vp, and the last sentence thereof was pronounced by the judges, who were ciuil magistrates: which sentence also quite ouerthroweth y Bishop of Rome his su-

Act. 3. fa. 838.

Act. 1. fa. 831.
Principatum
Si hodi tenuer-
runt Dioce-
rus, Juuinalis.
&c.

Act. 15.

Dqq premacie,

A REPROUFE OF M.

premacie, for it maketh the Bishop of Constantinople of equal pauiilege & authority with him. In dede it is true that Paschasius & Lucentius the Popes Legates were very busse when the ciuill Magistrates and Judges were present, buttill Lucentius being well taken vpp by the sayde Judges, was put to silence. And after their depar-
ture Paschasius began to take al vpon him againe, but Anatolius Archebishop of Constantinople, nowe and
then interrupteth him: and the other Byshoppes do ioyne
together, and match Leo Byshop of Rome, and Anatolius Byshop of Constantinople: and they ioyning the
two S̄es of Rome, and Constantinople together, call
them both Maximas sedes, & sanctiss. Ecclesias: the
greatest S̄es, and holiest Churches. Wherē M. Do-
man sayth further that no manis name was subscribed,
or consent asked to the deprivation of Dioscorus, byssides
the onely bishoppes. I pray you marke his sineritie here-
in. I haue declared before howe the Senatours determi-
ned, that Dioscorus shold by the Synode be deposed by
these very wordes of the councell, and of Euagrius.^a Vi-
detur nobis & deo & deceptuni & iustū else, vt Dio-
corus religiosiss. Epis̄cop̄ Alexandriae, Iuuenalīs &
Thalasiū. &c. That is to say: It seemeth god & righ-
teous to vs and to God, that Dioscorus the most reli-
gious Byshop of Alexandria, Iuuenalīs, and Thalasiū. &c. be put to the same peialtie by our mooste mightyne
Lorde, and besides that, be by the holy Synode put from
their bishoply dignitie. &c. b and al things which are done,
to be put to the iudgement of the Emperours highnesse.
^c And when y Senatōrs had thus determined what they
would haue the Byshoppes of the Synode to doe, the By-
shoppes crying that this iudgement was iust and right,
the Senatours departed out of the Synode: ^d and so the
Synode for that day brake vp, and the fift action ended.

Act. 1. fo. 741.
col. 1.

Act. 3. fo. 835.

Act. 3. fo. 848.

a Cōcil. Calce-
don. Act. 1. fo.
831. col. 2.

Euagrius li. 2.
cap. 4.

b Omnid' quæ
acta sunt, ad
sacrum apice
referendis.

c Euagrius li. 2.
cap. 16. iustum
est hoc iudicium
d Hoc itaque
conuentu sic
soluto, ad aliū
in quo soli
sanctiss. con-
uenenter epis-
copi. &c.

The

The next day that h^y Synode was kept, onely Bishops, (the minde and determination of the Magistrates now being to them knowen) canie together: and there final-lye they according to the Magistrates determination a-fore declared, and iudgement by them given, did depose and deprive Dioscorus. What meaneth M. Dozman therfore to say, that no mans consent was asked to that deprivation of Dioscorus besides the only Bishops, see- ing that in that Session there were none but Bishops onely present: and seeing not onely the consent, but the judgement also of the ciuil Magistrates was in the first Session before giuen, and therfore needed not to be as- ked: And the iudgement of the Magistrates was not lightly esteemed, for the innocent and godly Bishops cryed; Iustum est hoc iudicium, this iudgement is iust, it is Christes iudgement: & the offenders cryed for mer- cy, saying: Omnes errauimus, omnes veniam petimus. We haue al erred, we al pray for pardon: whiche pardō (saith Eugrius) they obeyed, h^y Synode suing for it, & the pallace, that is the Prince graunting it. This being thus, yet is not M. Dozman alhamed to terme it a con- sent, and to write, that no mans consent besides the Eishops once- hy was asked. Whereas it is evident, that the ciuil Ma- gistrates did giue not onely their consente, but their judgement also: and that the Bishop did all things by the appointment of the Senatours, and according to the iudgement and decrees of the Emperour. Now for the fuller declaration of the Emperours, & ciuil Judges authoirtie over the Bishoppes, and in that councell of Chalcedon, I haue, as afore, noted out certaine brieke pointes, touching their said authoirtie, with directions where they are to be founde.

Obsecrante
synodo, anou-
ente palatio
revocationem
cōsequitūt.

Eug. lib. 2.
cap. 4.

Cum cūcta re
ab Augustiss.
vertice decreta
erant, perfice-
rentur.

i. It is expressly declared in the beginning of euery ses-
sion

Secundum dei
gratiā et sau-
ctionem, pra-
ceptum pra-
ceptionē ius-
lionē piissimi
domini nostri
Imperatoris,
sancta & mag-
na synodus
congregata.

tion & in sundry other places, by the Calcedone councell
was sommoned and assembled, by the Emperours,
Valentineā, and Marcians authortie and commaun-
dement, as is to be seene in the sayde councell.

Act. 1. fa. 736. fa. 740 fa. 741. col. 2. 846. col. 1. fa. 847. col. 1. fa. 860.
col. 2. &c. 861. col. 2. fa. 863. col. 2. et Act. 16. fa. 935. col. 1.

And in a great number of other places.

And it is recorded in the sayde Calcedonese Synode, 2.
that the Bishop of Rome was by the Emperours com-
moned to Coucels, in the same tenure that the Bishop of
Alexandria, and other Bishops were sommoned.

Act. 1. fa. 748. col. 1.

The Emperour was the chiese in the councell, and 3.
all things were referred to him.

Act. 1. fa. 831.

The Emperour permitted vnto the councell to haue 4.
the audience of Dioscorus his cause.

Act. 1. fa. 845. col. 2.

The ciuill Magistrates, are first alwayes named,
and named the Judges, & sate in the middle as Judges,
the Popes Legates wthy others sitting on their leste
hand, & Dioscorus B. of Alexandria wthy other Bishops
sitting on their right hand, & they as Judges ordered the
Bishops, and matters of the councell, as appeareth
every where in the whole first, seconde, and last action,
and throughout the whole councell.

They appointed the tymes of ecclesiastical treaties,
the councell such to them for respect, or more leasure,
and they doe graunt it.

Act. 1. fa. 831. col. 1.

Act. 2. fa. 833. col. 1. et 834. col. 2.

Whyles the ciuill Magistrates were presente, the
Popes Legates dealt not as chiese gouernours: as ap-
peareth.

Act. 1.

Act. 1. fa. 741. col. 1.

And after that throughout the whole first and seconde action. In the thirde action when the ciuill Magistrates were absent, the Popes Legates begonne to take vpon them, as apeareth.

Act. 3. fa. 835. col. 2.

The ciuill Magistrates controll Lucentius þ Popes Legate, so; that he sitting with other Bishops in the places of Judges, vslid the parte of an accuser, and so they put the sayde Legate to silence.

Act. 1. fa. 741. col. 1.

The ciuill Magistrates, as Judges, pronounced sen- 9.
tence, vpo Dioscorus, Iuuenalis, Thalasius, and other Bishops: and the counsel alloweth their sentence, as a god and iust judgement, and by Christ given.

Act. 1. fa. 831.

The Emperour confirmeth þ decrees of the councell. 10.
Act. 3. fa. 864 865. 866.

The Emperours doe absollue Flauiane Archbishop 11.
of Constantinople, Eusebius, & Thedozetus, who were condemned by the seconde Ephesene councell, dis-
nulling that decree of their condemnation.

Act. 3 fa. 865 col. 1.

The Bishoppes offenders sue to the Emperour for 12.
mercy.

Act. 2. fa. 834 col. 2. 835. col. 1.

The councell entreateth for the Emperours pardon 13.
to the offenders, the Emperour graunteeth it.

Euagrius lib. 2. Cap. 24.

The Emperours make lawes for men of the cleargy 14.
and remoue clerkes offenders.

Act. 3. 863. 866.

The councell Chalcedonense confirmed to the Bis- 15.
hop of Constantinople the second Patriarkate, & next Act. 15.
place

A REPROVFE OF M.

place of dignitie to the Bishop of Rome, and made him
equall in all priuileges and dignitie with the B. of
Rome, against the saide Bishops of Rome, and his Le-
gates wil, which sheweth y the council may determine
without his cosent: & the ciuil magistrates do confirme
the same, as appeareth in the. rbi. action of that councell
at large. Yea this. rbi. Action is such a cauthrofe to the
Pope, and all Papistes, that they, who doe allowe this
whole councell besides, doe reject it utterly, for that it
maketh so evidently against their Popes blurped Ti-
rannie, which it most plainlye discloseth to al the world.
Such authoritie haue these men to retaine and reject of
mosle auncient councelle what pleaseth them: and
doe yet in the meane tyme thinke it reason that ouerye
sentence contayned in their latter lende conuenticles,
and false conspiracies, rather than councels, shoulde of
necessitie bynde all men. And for that P. Doorman hath
before alleaged, and doth here, & hereafter also alleage
this councell, yea and this very. rbi. Action of it, as with
them against vs, most falsely and impudently: I wyll
hers doe him so muche pleasure, as to note out certayne
poynts of that. rbi. action truely translate, and that done
leauie it to the iudgemet of the discrete reader, whether
they make for their Pope and his popish Priests, or for
Christian princes authoritie

Act. 16. sa. 93. col. 1. Fyrf, when the Bishop of Romes Legates being
desired by the other Bishops in number aboue. 600. that
they woulde communicate with them about certayne
things touching the Constantinopolitane Church, did
refuse so to doe, the Bishops of the councell moued tho
matter to the Judges, who were Ciwil Magistrates,
and the saide Judges commmanded the holy councell
to consider the sayde matters.

The

2. The Councell of the.600. Byshops , notwithstanding
ding the wilfull absence of the Byshop of Romes Le-
gates, made a decree, agreeable to a former decree made
in the first Constantinopolitane councell, that the B.
of Constantinople shoulde haue equall prsulges and
dignitie, or (as it is termed) maiestie in causes Ecclesi-
astical with the B. of Rome, which quite ouerthoweth
that Supremacie whiche he now falsely claymeth and
bsurpeth ouer all Byshops. ibidem.
3. The Legates of the B. of Roome grieued herewith,
at the next assemble come to the Judges, who were Cis-
till Magistrates with these wordes . If your Magnificence
woulde commaund vs, we haue certaine things to shew you. The
most glorious judges sayde : declare what ye will. Then the Lega-
tes declared, how the Emperours Lordes of the whole labouring for
the Catholike and right faith , had rouched safe to order that all
Churches shoulde holde one fayth : and that no schismes shoulde arise
among the Priests of God . Howbeit yesterday after that your
power, or bignesse rose, and went out of the concell, and our low-
nesse folowed your steppes, certaine actes are sayd here to be made,
which we thinke are besides the Ecclesiasticall Canons and disci-
pline. We desire therfether, at your magnificence would command
thosethings to be read againe.
4. Then Beronianus Secretarie of the Council read
the decree which the Councell of the.600. Byshops had
made, agreeable to the decree before made by the coun-
cell of Constantinople of .150. Byshops, that the B. of
Constantinople was in pruileges and dignitie equall
with the B. of Rome.
5. When the B. of Romes Legates repyned at thys
decree, and the whole stode in defence of it : the moste
glorious Judges sayd : let eyther part bring forth their
Canons.

Act. 16. fa. 935.
col. 2.
Note thā and
now the di-
versitie of po-
pish phrases.

Vesta po-
testas surrexit,
nostra humili-
tatis sequuta
est.

The civil ma-
gistrats high-
nesse, and the
Popes Legates
lovvnesse, by
their owne
confession.

Act. 15. fa. 936.
col. 1.

Act. 16. fa. 938.
col. 1.
Vtraque pars
canones pro-
ponant, &c.

Whiche

A REPROVFE OF M.

Act 15. fol. 93^s.
col. 1.

Which was done accordingly of both parts. Where
is to be noted that the B. of Romes Legates broughte
forth for them onely the 6. and 7. Canons of the Nicene
councell, so that the 44. forged Canon of the Nicene
councell, alleged by Pope Zozimus his Legates in
the Africane councell, which maketh most evidentlye
for the B. of Romes Supremacie, is here not allegaged,
nor mentioned by the Popes Legates, which evidently
argueth that them selues knew it was falsified : else
woulde they not surely in that distresse haue left so ne-
cessarie an helpe untouched.

Act 16. fol. 94^o
col. 1.

Omoem pri-
matu & preci-
puum honore
conseruari. &c

In conclusion, after long debating of the matter
on both sides, the Judges, who were civil Magistrates,
giue definitiue sentence, that they understand by the alligati-
ons of both parties the first rowme amongst the primates, & chiche
honour according to the Canons, to be conserued to the Archby-
shop of olde Rome : and that the Archebyshop of the City Empe-
riall of Constantinople being new Rome, ought to haue, and is wor-
thy of the same honour of Primacie, and power to ordyne Metropo-
litane Byshops. &c. as hath the B. of Rome. This is their sen-
tence definitiue. Against the whiche though the Lega-
tes of the B. of Rome did repine, yet did all the Comi-
cell of 600. and moe Byshops, with one consent above
the same as a luff sentence and decree. And so the coun-
cell was ended.

Post. fol. 62. 2.

Now M. Dornian taketh occasion of these wordes :
Omnium quidem primatum, & precipuum licet ahi-
equiz Romae Episcopo conseruari sit. That is to saye
That the whole first place amongst the Primate's and
chiche honour is conserued to the Archbyshop of Rome :
to beare the simble in hande, that this his Popes Su-
premacy, whiche he woulde now usurpe ouer al Bisshops,
is therby ment : and to that ende he expoundeth Omnia
Primum

Primatum conseruari, all soueraigntie to be confirmed to þ Archbyshop of old Rome. But the leudnesse of this surmisse, is bewrayed by the playne wordes of the decree of the Judges and ciuill Magistrates, here giuing to the Archebishop of Constantinople newe Rome, like and the same honour of Primacie and power, with the B. of olde Rome. And by the former playne wordes of the decree of the whole councell, determining that the most holy See of newe Rome Constantinople, shall enjoye equal priuileges, and like maiestrie in ecclesiastical matters, as hath the most holy Church of the elder Rome, & to be the seconde after it.

These playne wordes I say, declaring the See of Constantinople to haue equal authoritie and Primacie with the See of Rome, doe shewe that Rome had no other preheminence but only to be in the firste place in order, and Constantinople in the seconde, whereby the falsonesse of M. Dozman's surmisse, that þ B. of Romes Supremacie ouer all Bisshops and the whole Church, as he woulde nowe vsurpe, shoulde by any wordes in this decree be ment.

Further in case the Supremacie whiche the B. of Rome chalegeth, ouer al Bisshops & the whole Church, were hereby ment, as M. Dozman falsely surmiseth, what cause had eyther the Popes Legates then to repine at that decree, or Popes and Papistes nowe to reject þ decree, if þ the sayd decree did graunt all that they woulde haue. But such is M. Dozman's not dreading now, but manifest malicious falsifying of the truth.

These things most manifestly appearing in the pro-
cess of this Councell, and in the history of Euagrius,
with many other matters of waight and substance, as
well declaring the chiese authoritie of the ciuill Ma-
gistrates

Act. 16. pag.
940. col. 1.
Eisdem Pri-
matus hono-
ribus & ip-
sum dignum
est. &c.

Act. 16. pag.
936. col. 1.
Aequis senio-
ris Romæ pri-
uilegijs frui,
& in ecclesia-
sticis, sicut
illam maiesta-
tem habere
negotij, & se-
cundam post
illâ existere.

gistrates ouer the byshops assembled, and in matters treated in this Calcedonense Councell: as also manifesterly belzeving the usurped supremacie of the B. of Rome ouer all other Byshoppes, unto whom the B. of Constantinople is in this councell declared to be equal: howsoeuer M. Dozman woulde vntruelye qualifie the waight of the ciuill magistrates iudgement, by the light terme of cōsenting, I doubt nothing, but the substance of the matter shal haue the due waight of authoritie in the reasonable readers mynde alwayes.

Dorm. in. fol. 44.

The next proufe that they bring, is out of the thirde councell Constant of Constantinople, where Constantinus (they say) did not onelie set amongst the Byshoppes, but subscribed also with them to the Councell.

To this I aunswere, that this being graunted that Constantinus sat in the Councell and subscribed also thereto, neyther weakeneth our parte, nor strengtheneth theirs. For who euer yet denyed that Christian Emperours might not be present at the Councells, yea and subscribe therewithal? The thing wherein we diffrē from them is, in the onely manner of subscribing. For we say that Bishoppes scribēing the Byshoppes subscribed, as defining and iudging the Emperours, as following and consenting: that the Byshoppes are necessary parsonnes in the Councelle, as without whom they can not be kept, that the Emperours are ornamētes & not of the substance. The which difference of their presence, and the cause thereof there, if it were by no place else to be proved, this onely testimonie whiche I will here alledge of the Councell of Calcedon, written by the whole consente of Papā Leo the fathers and others there assembled, to Leo then Pope, where they all professed that he (as the heade) was in his Legats that there supplyed his roome, of all the rest (as membres) the gouernour: and that the Emperours governed there ad ornandum, to be an ornament: would be sufficient to perswade.

This

Trālated out
of Heli^r vword
for vword. li. 2.
fol. 116. a.
D. Hard. Con-
fut. Apol. fol.
306. b. hath
the same.

one. Con-
stantinopol.
Act. 13.
ote the
ference
ewene the
shops and
e Empe-
urs sub-
scriptions in
the olde
uncells.

This thing woulde also most manifestly haue appeared, if our aduersaries had faithfully alleged the wordes which the emperour vsed in subscribing, which were after the subscriptio of all the Bisshops, a hundred & seuentie in number) these, Legimus & cōsensimus. We haue ready & giue our caset. Whereas the subscriptio of euery Byshoppe was Definiens subscripti, I defining haue subscribed. And thus shoulde they if they will nedes glory of the Emperours subscribing haue alleged this example, that thereby might haue bene perceyued the manifest difference beween cōsenting in the one, and defining in the other: that men might yet at the least haue wondred, if Emperours and Kings bare the swyty in Religion, and ruled all, if the Byshops and Priests were their underlyng and gouerned by them, what shoulde then meane that straunge manner of subscribing in them that shoulde leade and rule, to saye they subscribe consenting, where as the bisboppes that shoulde be rulēd by them, write that they subscribe determining, and defining.

And thus muche touching the diuersite of subscribing, beween the Byshops and the Emperours: whereby may easly be iudged, what authoritie the Emperour and ciuill Magistratē had in the Councell. As for the other difference wherin they and we varie that the Emperours presence in the Councell, is not of the substance thereof, as is the Byshops, they I thinke them selues, (except they wil say that the first Councel assembled after Christes ascension in Ierusalem, was of no force: or of lesse, as thoughē there were not all the parsons necessarie for the holding thereof) will not deny. No more than we doe this, that Emperours haue used to be present at such councelles, as haue bene kept, and subscribed also thereto, but always as for the furer confirmation, and trustye execution (and yet not that with the better sort neyther, but with such as regarded more, and stode in greater awē of present punishment in this world, than of Gods indignation in an other) of such things, as were agreed upon there: that they seeing the Emperours and Rulers of the world (in worldly matters) assenting therunto: that the which they feared not in the Byshops and Priests, Propter conscientiam,

Translated out
of Hosius.lib.
2.116.2.

This is taken
out of Hosius
lib.2. fol.118.b
&.119.2.b.

they might feare in the ciuill Magistrates, propter iram.

Nowell.

Hosius fol. 116. a
Hard. Confut. Apol. 316. b

This answere of the diuersitie of subscriving, as all other thinges, M. Dorman hath borrowed of Hosius: & so hath his Maister D. Harding also. And M. Dorman as before, so here also findeth fault, that the Apologie wryting onely thre lynes of this thirde Constantinopolitane councell, hath not alleaged the wordes vsed in subscriptions as well by the Imperour, as by the Bishops, so fully, as to him had seemed god. And for the commoditie of this his vsuall and most reasonable quarrell, (as he vntreasonably pretendeth) being offered him by the great breuitie of the Apologie, he chieflie chose to answere certayne places of it. But that the Apologie onely for breuitie sake and vpon no fraude, ommitted the same, may appeare by this, that the Apologie by the necessitie of the same breuitie, omitteth verye manye things most playnely making for hauchoritie of Christia Princes: as may appeare by these notes y here folow.

Sexti Synodi
Act. i.
Franciscus Iouerius in sexti synodi propugnaculo,
acknowledgeth the same

The Emperour Constantinus late in the middle, and was president of the councell, and the Legates of the Bishop of Rome late vpon his leste hand. This is a matter of suche waight, that M. Dorman, who maketh so high matters of lowe stoles, and courtesies in sitting (as before appeareth) would never haue let it scape him, had he bene of councell in the wryting of the Apologie. And yet the simple Apologie onely saith, The ciuill Magistrate, late amongst the Bishops. And never a worde more of this sitting. No doubt the Author of the Apologie handleth his matters slenderly, in comparison of M. Dormans diligent doings.

The Judges in that councell were lay men, who odered

dered the whole councell vnder the Emperour.

3. Agatho the Bishop of Rome wryteth most humblye to Constantinus the Emperour, as to his superior, and gracious Lorde.
4. And which is cutch:ote of all other, this councell condemneth Honorius Bishop of Rome for an Heretique: wherby it followeth that the Pope may not onely erre, but also be an Heretique, and may therfore be condemned. Which to say, is with these men, more than peccatum in spiritum sanctum.

All this which I haue sayde of this councell, as it is most manifest in the long processe of that councel: so is it confessed by Franciscus Jouerius Doctor of Divinitie in the vniuersitie of Paris, and collectour of the sumes of all councells, in his defence of this Constantiopolitane councell. For many, euen Catholikes, sayth Jouerius, offended with these shreude poyntes in that councell, woulde haue thrust it out of the dozes, rather than suche a mischiefe against their holy Father shoulde througher it fall out. But as Jouerius confesseth it to be a thing vndoubted, y the sixt councel condemned Pope Honorius, yea and iustly condemned him also, so doth Cardinal Hosius and other Papistes, to salue this matter, say, that the Actes of this sixt councell, as muche as do touch Pope Honorius, are falsified. And what else, if they touche a false Pope, but they must needes be falsified God wotte. And some other Papistes, for the moze safetie, woulde haue this whole councell blotted out, as witnesseth Jouerius, as they all doe blotte out the xxvij. Canon of the Chalcedonense councell agreed vpon by aboue 600. Bishops, onely for y it maketh against their Popes supremacie. And these be they, who doe object vnto vs dissentions, agreeing so well them selues: and who doe thinke it reason that every sentece of their

In Sixti Syno-
di propugna-
culo. fol. 68.
fa. 1.

Lib. 2, fa. 116. b

A REPROVFE OF M.

late conspiracles, rather than councels, though manifest against Gods worde, shoulde binde vs, whereas themselues, vpon light occasions, doe reſet whole ancient generall Councils.

Nowe where as the Apologie omitteth all these things touching the Emperours chiefe gouernement in that Council, and the condemning of Pope Honorius by that Councell, with other matters of moze weyght, than are M. Dorman, definiens, and consentiens, we shoulde haue moze iust cause to coplaine vpon the Apologie, than hath M. Dorman in case so manye matters might haue bene placed in so fewe, that is, in thre onelye lynes. Nowe I trusste M. Dorman's difference betwene definiens and consentiens subscripti, will hardely answere these points of that Councell euidently declaring h^e Emperors superioritie ouer Bishops, and in the gouerning of the said Council, in any reasonable mans iudgement.

Further, this custome of the subſcribing of the Bishops, definiens subscripti, defining haue ſubſcribed, was uſed by no Bishop in any of the firſt thre general coucelles, the Nicene, Constantinopolitan, or Ephelene Councilles, which are of moft estimation and autho-ritye, but was brought in afterwarde, by a newe inuenſion (as the Bishops continually moze, and moze, encroched vnto them autho-ritie, and tolke away as fast from Princes) and therfore is ſuch maner of subſcription of leſſe autho-ritie. And if M. Dorman will proue any ſuperioritie of Bishops ouer the Emperour by thys ſubſcription uſed in this Councell at Constantinople, I will reprooue it by the thre firſt generall Councilles, where no ſuche ſubſcription was uſed. And what can any Bishop define I pray you in matters of Religion, unleſſe

unlesse he can shewe it to agree wyth Gods holy word,
 which if he can doe, it is defined therein well enoughe
 without his definition: And if the Pope and Byshops
 will without the warrant of Gods worde subscribe de-
 finiens subscripti: I defining haue subscribed. I trust, it
 shal happē to them, as it did to Dioscorus Archebyshop
 of Alexandria, and to Iuuenalis Archebyshop of Jeru-
 salem, and to Dominus Archebyshop of Antiochia, & to
 Thalasius Archebyshop of Cesaria, with other their co-
 plices, who vsing first (that I can reade of) this insolent
 forme definiens subscripti, I defining haue subscribed, in
 that their wicked second Ephesene Synode, had their
 definitions vndone, & condemned, as well by the Chal-
 cedonense councell assembled by the Emperours Va-
 lentinean and Martian, as by the sayde Emperours
 them selues as wicked subscriptions, defining agaist
 Gods worde. And in case matters confirmed in the Sixt
 Councell, which is (as I haue sayde) rejected of manye
 Papistes, be of god authoritie, for that. 289. Byshops
 subscribed therewto, euery one, definiens subscripti.
 Why doe these Papistes reiecte the. 28. Cannon of the
 Calcedonense Councell making the Byshop of Con-
 stantinople equal in dignity with the Bishop of Rome,
 seing the same was confirmed by. 600. and moe godlye
 Byshops, euery of thē subscribing definiens subscripti,
 I defining haue subscribed: Or why doe many euuen
 the chiese of the Papistes reiecte the condemnation of
 Pope Honozius in the same Sixte Council, confirmed
 by the same subscriptiō definiens subscripti, of. 289. By-
 shops: Is such subscription onelye of waight, when it
 maketh agaist Princes, and of no waight when it ma-
 keth agaist Popes: I may therfore reasonably con-
 clude, that that forme of subscribing definiens subscripti,
 not

Ut testatur
 Con. Calcedō.
 Act. 1. fa. 83o
 col. 1.

Chalcedon.
 Concil. Act. 1.
 fa. 865.

A REPROVFE OF M.

not vsed in the best Councelles, vsed in wicked Coun-
cels, refused by Papistes when pleaseth them, is sondly
vsed by M. Dozman, thereby to proue any superioritie
of Wyshops, or inferioritie of Emperours, or any other
matter of waight. He may as well proue the Wyshop
of Rome his vniuersall Dominion ouer the Church, as
doth Hosius, by the subscription of his Legates craftely
begonne in that Calcedonense Councell, and boldelye
after vsed in later times, Paschasius⁹ vice beatiss. atque
Apostolici vniuersalis Ecclesiae Papæ vrbis Romæ
Leonis. &c. subscripti. Ego Donatus obtinens locū
Domini mei Adriani Pontificis & vniuersalis Papæ
subscripti. That is : I Paschasinus in steade of the moste
blesed and Apostolike vniuersall Pope of the Churche of Rome,
or Pope of the vniuersall Churche of Rome Leo. I Donatus holding
the place of my Lorde Adriane, Wyshop and vniuersall Pope, haue
subscribed. Where this Worde vniuersalis in the first sub-
scription standeth so indifferentlye, that it may be re-
ferred eyther to the word Ecclesiae, or Papæ : in the lat-
ter it playnely maketh the Wyshop of Rome vniuersall
Pope, which Gregorie the first, though byshop of Rome
himselfe, sayth, is a proude, and wicked tytle, sauouring
of ambition and Antichristianisme. And in dede the Pa-
pistes may proue what they list by suche subscriptions
of Wyshops, creping in, in those latter corrupt tymes,
by the affectiō, or ambitiō of euery Wyshop. But in case
Definiēs subscripti or decernens subscripti (which some
doe vse) I defining, or decerning haue subscribed, be (as
it ought to be) vnderstandinge, that I vpō diligent search
of Gods worde, and considerate wayng of the matters
with other Wyshoppes of the Councell, discerning or
indgung in my conscience, this to be true, haue in token
therof subscribed, I would not be against such subscrip-
tions. Neyther is the Emperours subscription last vp-
on

Hosius lib. 2.
fol. 119. a.
Concil. Calce-
don. Act. 3. fa.
8; 8. col. 1.

Gregor. lib. 4.
epistola. 30. &
34.

on sight and biew of the same, legimus & consentimus, we haue read and giuen our consent, any more prejudiciale to the Emperours authoritie, than it is to the Princes authoritie, last of all to giue their royll assent, or consent vnto actes of Parliament, long before debated and agreed vpon by the Lordes and Commons: neither doth the one any more proue the Emperour inferiour in authoritie to the Wyshops of the Councel, than doth the other proue princes inferiours to the Lordes and Commons of the two houses of the Parliament, who are in dede the Princes subiectes. The wordes therefore defining or decerning (for all is to one effecte) are to be referred to the diligent search, beating & wayng of the matter, & not to any autho- ritie or superioritie of Wyshops ouer Christian Prin- ces. If they meane otherwise by such subscribing, they meane naught, but false encroaching and usurping, long, and to long by the Pope and his Prelates nowe practised.

For the wordes Mandamus, precipimus, iubemus, decernimus, iudicamus, confirmamus, permittimus, that is to say, we will, we commaunde, and charge, we de- cerne, iudge, confirme, permit, bled by Emperours, in summoning of Councils, & by the ciuil Magistrates, being Judges in ordering, and gouerning of coucels, and by Emperours licencing of Councils to deale in matters Ecclesiastical confirming of Councilles, and licencing Wyshops to departe, as they commaun- ded them to come, with other many most manifest wor- des, actes, and matters in auncient councels at large declaring their superioritie, and by me partly touched in the processe before, can not by any latter vndercrea- ping subscriptions, of encroaching Prelates, be disa-

Sll nulled

A REPROVFE OF M.

nulled, or at all hindred.

Quibus sicut
caput mebris
ad ornandum.

Touching M. Dozmanes other difference that the Emperours presence is not of necessarie, or substance of the councell, as is the Bishops, but for an ornament onely. Which thing he goeth about to proue by running backe to a place of the Calcedonense Councell, I trust the god reader, that hath perused a fewe the last leaues of myne answere nexte before to the Calcedonense Councell by him alleged shall be fully satisfied, concerning these wordes, that the Bishop of Rome shoulde be ouer them as the heade ouer the members and that the Emperours governed to be an ornament. And whosoeuer wil reade and consider the processe of the sayde Calcedonense councell, wherin the Civil Magistrate being Judges, did with chiefe authoritie governe and rule the Bishop of Rome his Legates, and that whole Coucile: or whosoeuer will reade and consider the processe of the first Nicene great, and most holy councell, shall well know that this distinction that Bishops be of the substance of the Councell, and by the Emperours & Princes are in councell onely for an ornament, is an untrue distinction. For though that Councell was assembled and gathered of Bishops, who otherwise were very vertuous men, yet were they at suche variance amongst themselves, that they could not possiblie haue agreed, had not the excellent wisdom, and great authoritie of Constantine the Emperour stayed them, and finished their quarrellings, and by chiefe gouernance ordered them, & the whole councell. And sure he that will well consider the processe of that councell, shal well know, that the saide Bishops had brought that councel to a pore substance, and in deede to a thing of naught, had not the necessarie helpe, and ayde of the Emperour bene, whose presence was not onely for an ornament as sayth M. Dozman,

but

but of meere necessarie there requisite. In so much, that Euseblus who knewe the case somewhat better than doth M. Dozman, affirmeth, that Constantine the Emperour alone of all mortall men was to be founde that coulde bring those horriblie dissentions of the Church to a good ende. And this is matter of more effect, to proue the Emperours presence, or his Deputies for him, to be of necessarie in councells, with chiese authoritie, than is any forged flatterie of the Popes headshippe, or the Emperours presence ad ornandum, thrust into an Epistle of the councell Chalcedonense to the Bishop of of Rome by Rusticus his owne Deacon, or some other Romishe Surneier of the sayde councell. And as leude is M. Dozmanes distinction of fearing of Bishops and Priestes for conscience, and fearing of ciuill Magistrates for wrath. For whereas the worde of God commaundeth obedience to ciuill Magistrates, as wel for conscience, as for wrath: by this distinction M. Dozman woulde spoyle the ciuill Magistrate of the one of them, & the chiese one too, contrarie to the Scriptures. And as leudly attributeth he feare to his Bishops: whose behauour in dede is such, that they are rather as cruell Tyranttes to be feared, than to be loued as godly Bishops. Nowe M. Dozmanes reason against Emperours presence, as not necessarie, nor of substance in councells, for that the councell of the Apostles at Jerusalem was of god force, though the Emperour was not there, I like well ynough. I trust we may also haue councells of god force and authoritie without the presence of the Bishoppe of Rome, for sace neyther he, nor anye his Deputies were there. For though Peter were there, yet was he not then Bishop of Rome, and therfore it followeth that the Bishop of Romes presence, is not of y necessarie, nor substance,

Lib. 3. de vita
Constant. pag.
188. &c. 189.

Vide Act. 1.
Concil. Chal.
fa. 23. col. 2.

Rom. 13.

A REPROVFE OF M.

Sicut caput
membris pia-
erat.

nor for the ornament neyther of the councell, but to the
mischiese and evill of al god councell, and Godly coun-
cels. And I pray M. Dozman to shewe vs who was in y
holy councell of the Apostles, as the head ouer the membres,
where with he is so busie. Surely who so euer he was,
he was not bishop of Rome: for there was then no Bi-
shop of Rome. Wherefore seing it pleaseth M. Dozman
to take example of that councel of the Apostles, to shew
therby, what persons are of the necessitie and substance
of councels, and what persones onely for ornament. I
trust the Bishop of Rome his presence at councelles is
neyther of necessitie, nor of the substance of y councels,
nor as any ornament, much lesse is he necessarie, as the
heade to rule, and to be ouer all other Bishops as the
membres: who in dede is neyther head nor membre in
any god or godly councell, what place and rule so euer
he kepe and beare in the Synagoge of Antechrist.

Dorman. fol. 45.

Translated
word for
word out of
Hosius. lib. 2.
fol. 116. a.

Quod aliquot
ante seculis,
etiam in Au-
rasicano secu-
do cœilio fac-
tum legimus,
a viris quibus-
dam illustri-
bus. &c.
ad verbum.
D. Harding

Con. 2. A
Thus denye we not that many yeres before this, in the secōd cōcēl Aurasicane subsciibed to the doings of the councell, those notable rasicanum
laymen (the example also of whome our aduersaries obiect against
vs) Petrus, Marcellinus, Felix and Liberius. But ô
Lorde God, I wōulde once at the length, they woulde alleage suche
things as they bring against vs, simply, truly, and as they finde
them in the originals with their circumstāces. Then shoulde they
haue tolde vs, that as these men subsciibed to the councell: so was
it by licence of the Fathers. Then would we haue asked of them, why
bring you then to vs that example, that maketh not onely not at all
for you, but also muche against you.

But howe I proue this perhappes you will aske. Forsooth by the
actes of the same Councell, about the ende thereof. Where I finde
these wordes spoken by the Fathers. Et quia definitionem
antiquo-

lowe the
ay mē sub-
ribed in
be couancell
aurasian.

antiquorū patrum nostramque, que suprascripta est, non solum religiosis, sed etiam Laicis medicamentum esse & desideramus & cupimus: Placuit, vt etiam illustres ac magnifici viri, qui nobiscum ad præfatam festiuitatē conuenerunt, propria manu subscriberent. And for as muche saye they as our desire is, that those things which be aboue written, defined of olde tyme by the auncient fathers, and nowe presently by vs, shoulde be not to the cleargie onely auailable, but to the profite and health of the Laytie also: it pleaseſt vs, that those noble men that haue bene assembled with vs at this present councell or solemnitie, shoulde alſo with their owne hands, subscribe to the actes thercof.

answereth as
doth Hosius.
Confut. Apol.
316. b. 317. a.

Here note I beseeche you good Readers this worde, placuit, it hath pleased vs, or we are content. Is this thinke you a plorie of ſpeech meete for them that can doe no other? If theſe lay men had ſubſcribed, by their owne right, as hauing an intereſt therento, woulde the Bifhops in the councell haue euer ſhewed themſelues ſo preſumptuousliy foolish, as to ſay to them, it pleafeth vs that you ſubſcribe: who might haue anſwered them by the rule of the lawe, Eius est velle cuius est nolle, what tell you vs that you are contented who can neyther will nor choſe, he may onely ſay he will or is contented, that can ſay the contrarie, that is, that he will not, or is not contented. Dooch not this place argue moſt maniſtly againſt them, that the order touching matters of religion, was all in the Bifhops handes, as to whose doings they coulde not ſo muche as witneſſe their conſent by ſubſcribing, unleſſe the Bifhops had firſt conſented thereto? yea truely doth it, except we haue of thoſe wiſe and learned Fathers that opinion, that we thinke they were all ſtarke foolis. As anye man might haue of our counterfeiſt Bifhoppes in Englaſtnd, if they ſhoule assemble together and agree, that euerye Baron within the realme ſhoule haue a voyce in the Parliamente house.

This therefore being preſuſpoſed, as till they be hable to ſhewe the contrary it muſt, that thoſe Bifhops had their right wiſes, and

Sff 3 knewe

A REPROVFE OF M.

knewe what they did, this argument holdeth verye well: The Fa-
thers assembled in the councell Aurasican were contented, that
laymen that were there shoulde subscribe to the councell with the
clergie. Ergo they might haue chosen. And then how maketh
the auctoritie of this example for them? Nay who feeth not how
much it maketh agaist them?

Nowell.

All this adoe maketh M. Dorman, about the worde
placuit, it pleased vs, that they shoulde subscribe. And you
may see what vantage M. Dorman hath of his studye
in lawe. Hosius who alleageth this place, and of whom
M. Dorman hath borowed, as all other things, so this
also, coulde not see this placuit or else he had not such a
lyking of it, as had M. Dorman, for he maketh no such
matter of it. But M. Dorman as a lawier, maketh of
it a lawlike terme, and of very great force, and cometh
in with a rule of h law. Eius est velle, cui² est nolle, He
may say he will, that can say he will not. And herevpon, he sha-
peth an aunswere, which the ciuill Magistrates might
haue made to the Bishops, saying, What tell you vs, that
you are contented, who can, neyther will, nor choose? Ah god M.
Dorman, all this adoe needed not betweene those ciuill
Magistrates, and those Bishops who came together as
frendes, (as appeareth in the beginning of the Coun-
cell) and therefore they would not so stand at the staues
ende for a worde, & a frendly worde too: neyther would
the ciuill Magistrates be displeased with the Bishops
placuit, as you would haue them. Had there bene a co-
tronuersie betweene these Bishoppes and the noble men,
whether they being ciuil Magistrates, should haue sub-
scribed or no: and therevpon the Bishops, as ending
the controuersie, had pronounced, Placuit ut etiam il-
lustres. &c. It pleaseeth vs that the noble men shoulde also subscribe,
to the Actes of the Councell, and the noble men had agreed
to

Lib. 2. fo. 115.a

Council. Auras-
ican.

The pro-
sts exam-
ple takē of
of the coun-
cell Auras-
ican makēt
against the

to the same: then might your placuit haue seemed, to haue bene iudicably spoken. But what had you wonne cherby, sauing that it was lawful for noble men, & ciuill Magistrates, present at counsels to subscribe to þ same, euē by the iudgement of the Bishops, which is nothing against vs, but directly with vs. And had there bene in dede controuersie amongst them, it might haue hapned to some of the Bishops, as it did in the Councell ^{Chal. Concil. Cal. Act. 15.}

cedonense to your Popes Legates (who as ^þ ou says, haue the chiefe stroke) contrary to whose ^þ placuit, and with their displicuit, contrary, I say, to the sayd Legates mindes, and pleasure, yes, to ^þ eir great displeasure, the ciuill Magistrates iuged, and gave sentence, al the Councell of 600. Bishops besides, allowing the same. But seeing no such controuersie was, but great agrément betwene them, why shuld those noble men for the word placuit, if pleaseth vs, by the bishops spoken, be so displeased, þ they should say to the Bishops: What tell you vs, that you are contented, who can, neither will, nor choose? Might not the Bishops, to such a froward saying, haue unanswered reasonably: I pray you be not discontented with vs, for that we are contented with you: and let not an vsual word, by sinistre construing, be drawen to a wrong sense, and occasion of offence betwene vs. But M. Dorman here (upon what cause it appeareth not) in discoursing vpon his word placuit, toke such a displicuit with our Bishops, þ he would nedes call them Stark fooles: but some, no Stark fooles, may think M. Dorman not þ hymself mad, whē he sayned that if our Bishops assembling together, would agree that every Baron within this Realme should haue a voyce in the Parlyament house, they might be counted st. rke fooles. In dede M. Dorman, it can not come but of an excellent witte, such as you haue, to surmisse such a devise, therbyon to call them Stark fooles. You had

a great

A REPROVFE OF M.

a great lust to bter your tearmes, when you soughte such occasions therto. You say further, that in case those Byshops, assembled at Aurasia, had their ryght wittes, this argument holdeth: *The fathers assembled in the Cōuccell, were contented that lay men should subscribe to the Cōuccel: Ergo they might haue chosen.* M. Dozman, what opinion, you haue of those fathers I knowe not, bnt some madde men doe thinke, that wylle men haue not their right wittes: and the argumēt is such, as one out of his right wit, might righte well haue made. Here is the like. The fathers assembled in the Cōuccell Nicene, were cōtent that the Emperour Constantinus, should come in amongst them, & sit in the middle of them. Ergo they might haue chosen, whether he so shoulde haue done or no. And this also: The Fathers of the Lampsacene Cōuccell were contented that the Emperour Valentinianus the elder, should be present with them to intreat of certaine pointes in Religion to be reformed, as M. Dozman confesseth. Ergo they might haue chosen. And so it followeth y eyther y fathers of the Nicene Council might haue kept the Emperour Constantinus Magnus out of y Cōuccell, whch was kept within his owne Pallace: or the fathers of the Lampsacene Cōuccell might haue compelled the Emperour Valentinianus to haue come unto their Cōuccell, though he refused so to do. They M. Dozman, who catch at such minutes as is this your placuit, and do make exclamation thereat, wyth O Lorde God, as though they had found some notable matter for the maintenance of their Poperye, and frame thereof, as upon god groundes, such arguments to proue those to be of chiefe authoritie, who are content, or not miscontent, that a thing be done, they wil play at small game, rather than sit out.

I woulde you woulde helpe vs, to frame our argumen-
tentes. Surely we haue better matter than this your
placuit, had we the grace to frame it in such formes, as
you can deuise. The Emperours Constantinus, Theo-
dosius, Marcianus, willed, commaunded, charged the
Byshops, and amongst them the Byshop of Rome, that
they vpō the sight of these letters without delay, should
come vp to a place, and at a tyme, by them appoynted,
(as hath bene before largely declared) Ergo the Empe-
rours had both velle and nolle: and it was at the Em-
perours pleasures, whether the Byshops shoule come
or no, as those who were at the Emperours communi-
demēt. It pleased the Emperours, when the Councells
were done, to gine the Bishops leauue to goe home. Ergo
they myght haue chosen. It maye be that W. Dozman
will yet in these casles, gine the Byshoppes the superio-
ritie, quia placuit ipsis venire & redire, for that it plea-
sed them to come, and to goe home agayne: bnesse to
saue them from being inferiours, he woulde surmisse,
that thei came vpon comauendement, as doth the Beare
to the stake, with their displicuit, not placuit. Againe it
pleased the Emperour Constantinus to commaunde,
and charge all the Councell, and whole number of Bi-
shoppes, assembled at Tyrus, to appeare before him, to
glue accomptes of their doyngs, in their owne persons.
Ergo the Emperour had the velle & nolle in his polver.
It pleased h Emperour Marcianus to permit, or gine
leauue vnto the 600. Bishops in the Cōcūel of Calcedon,
to haue the audience of Dioscorus Archbyshop of Alex-
andria his cause. Ergo the Emperours myght haue cho-
sen. In the same Calcedonense Councell, the Popes Le-
gates, desire leauue of the ciuill Magistrates, who were
Judges, that they myght moue them in a matter done

Sozom. lib. I.
cap. 17.

Supra fol. 210.

Euseb. de vita
Constantini
lib. 3. fa. 192.
Theodo. lib. I.
cap. 13.

Socrates. lib. I.
cap. 32. 34.
Sozom. lib. 2.
cap. 25. 28.

Concil Chal-
ced. Act. I fa.
845 col. 2.

A REPRO VFE OF M.

in that Councell, and the ciuill Magistrates gaue them leauie. Ergo they might haue chosen. The Popes Legates prayed the said ciuill Magistrates, that they would commaunde the things the day before done, to be read againe, and they were contented they shoulde be read. Ergo they might haue chosen.

In that Councell al things, are referred to the Emperours arbitriment, and ordering. Ergo the Emperour hath velle and nolle in the matters of þ Councell.

And to returne to your owne terme, though the woorde placuit be sometime vsed of such as be in anchorite, that is rather of humilitie, than of inforcing of authozitie: for authozitie is better expressed by þ wordes sanximus, mādamus, præcipimus, iubemus, we order, decretē, commaund charge, &c. continually vsed by Emperours, and ciuill Magistrates, in Councils, setdom, or no where by bishops. Wherfore þ woorde placuit once vsed in a little bie Councell, can make nothing against the manifest authozitie of Emperours, and ciuill Magistrates, most evidently expressed, not onelye by most playne woordes of chiese authozitie, but also by notable actes vsed in all generall Councelles, of auncient and god tyme, as I haue before partly declared.

Dorman. fol. 46. 47.

It followeth that Iustinian being a Christi in Emperour, depos-
sed yet notwithstanding two Popes, Siluerius and Vigilius. Iustinian.
Hereby our aduersaries thinke to haue not a litle helpe to proue
the superioritie of Emperours and Kings, ouer Bysops, and Priests.
Trulyc that Iustinian did this, it is but barely affirmed, nor anye
place in the Apologie is there coated where a man that doubted
might see it proued. And therefore with the same auctoritie might
it be denied, with the which it is proposed to be belied. True it is,

þas

Hosius trea-
teth hereof
ibid. 2. fol. 83.

that Theodora the Empresse as some write, being altogether giuen to the heresie of Eutiches, after she had long trauayled firste with Siluerius, and after Vigilius both Byshoppes of Rome, to haue Menna the Catholike Archebishop of Constantinople depriued of his Byshopricke, and the heretike Anthimius remoued by Agapetus before restored againe, and could not obtaine at their bandes bir wicked purpose : did vpon displesure conceyued by this repulse, procure by the meanes of Belitarius, Iustinians chiefe Capitan, the banishment firste of the one, and after of the other. Whosouer deposed them, or who souer banished them : true is it, that this was the cause thereof and no other. Which being as indeed it is most true, let vs now graut to our aduersaries that it was not the Empresse, but the Emperour himselfe that deposed them : and let vs see how they be able to prove thereby, that Emperours and Kings may degrade Priestes, and depose Byshops. If they will deale vprightly they must to prove it reaso thus : Iustinian otherwise a Christian Emperour, but in this point a cruell heretike, tiranously deposed two Popes, Siluerius and Vigilius, only because they woulde not do wrong (that is depriue him of his Byshopricke) to a Catholike Byshop, and restore an heretike lawfully before depriued. Ergo the Emperour is aboue the Pope. Ergo kings be aboue Byshops. Is not this a proper kinde of reasoning trowe you ? Might they not haue reasoned after this sorte that Nero deposed S. Peter, that Traian put downe Clement, with a number of such lyke examples ? For to say that Iustinian was a Christian where as these were infidels, is but a mist cast into the obiection to dasell our eyes. For who seeth not, if he be not already blinde, that this dede (if it shoulde haue bene Iustinian) to maintaine and defende an open heretike, against a faithfull and true Catholike, had bene the act of a tyrant and infidell, not of a Christian and good Prince, and that it is no better reason to say and conclude that he deposed them, and therefore iustlye, than it shoulde be to say that he defended the Heretike Anthimius, and therefore rightfully.

Ttt.2.

Nowell

Hovvbeit thyse
geare is chief-
ly borrowed
of Peter Crab,
by him out of
the Pontificall
Beatiss. Silue-
rius fiduciam
habens in Do-
mino, & beato
Petro, rescrip-
tit, dicens: Do-
mina Augusta
ego rem istam
nunquam ero
facturus, ut
reuoem ho-
minem ha-
reticum, & sua
nequitia dam-
natum. &c. in
simili latini-
tate papali.
Tom.2. Con-
cil. pag.1.&2.

Nowcll.

M. Dozman chargeth the Apologie, for that it barely affirmeth, that Justinian the Emperour deposed two Popes without any coiting at all, and therefore it might as easely be denied, as it w. is affirmed, sayth M. Dozman. And by and by, he, who is so offended, that thre lines in the Apologie goe uncoated, hath written thre score lines and moxe vpon the same matter, and hath sent them abroade, without eyther Coate, or Jerken, stark naked into y wyde world. And he thought it as good so to doe, as to sende them out in y Popes luerie, least they might be take to be scarce fust and true, by their luerie getting discredit with all god & true men: for in dede all this procelle he had out of Frier Peter Crabbe his collection, by him taken out of the Popes Pontificall, a booke stuffed with infinite beggerly baggage: the latine therof, who list read, shal finde very papall and a meete besture or case for so gud stusse. And yet suche as it is, M. Dozman was (besides the cause alleged) afraid to note it, for feare of matter, therby like to fall out against himself. For had he seene Paulus Diaconus, Platina, Ptecephorus, or Pauleinus, who intreate all of this matter, he would not have left his margent so naked for shame, seeing he chargeth an other therewith in this very place. Now it is recorde in the sayd Pontificall, *that Sylnerius, being the sonne of Hormisda Pope of Rome, was by ambition, briberie, force, and feare, made Pope, and not by anye ordinarie meanes. Wherefore vpon this grounde, I do make myne argument, otherwise than M. Dozman appointeth, and doe say: that Justinian a Catholike, and godly Emperour, fustly, and lawfully deposed Siluerius, a Pope so unlawfully made, and ordayned, who

Tom. 2. Con-
cil. pag. 1. 2. &c.

* Ex lib. Pon-
tificali.
Siluerius ex Pa-
tre Hormisda
Episcopo Ro-
mano levatus
est sine deli-
beratione de-
creti, per the-
odatum tyra-
num corrup-
tum pecunie
dato, sub vi &
metu, non se-
cundum morē
antiquum. &c

was

was Symoniacus, non Apostolicus, sed Apostaticus, a
Simontacke, not Apostolike, but an Apostata, not one
lye by Gods law, but by the Popes owne & Canons to
be deposed. And therefore **M. Dozman** doth vnder our
name, vntruely, & vniallyl charge the godlie Catholike
Emperour Iustinian, as an Heretique and cruell Tyrant
in this pnyt, or fact. Againe it is recorded in hys same bPonti-
ficall, and also in other histories, y certayne, thoughe
false witnessesse, suborned by Vigilius the Deacon, tes-
tified that Siluerius woulde haue betrayed the Cittie of
Rome and Belisarius to the King of the Gothes. And
that Belisarius therevpon, did depose him, and sende
him into exile. And that therefore neyther Iustinian the
Emperour, nor Belisarius the Captaine, but the false
witnessesse, and specially Vigilius who was Pope after
him, were to blame therefore, and that Siluerius was
vpon suspition of treason deposed: wherfore it is not
so certayne, as **M. Dozman** maketh it, that it is most true,
that Syluerius was deposed, only for that he woulde not at the Em-
presses pleasure, depose Menna the Patriarke and restore Ambi-
minus, and for no other cause. Further here is in your Ponti-
ficall a shewd example of a Pope made and ordained,
by the commandement of the king Theodatus, with-
out the conseit of the cleargie. And c Pauclerus, Sabell-
icus, and Platina doe testifie, that vntill that tyme, the
Popes were made by the Emperours authoritie.

Touching the other Pope Vigilius. It is recorded in
the same Pontifical, that he being a Deacon, conspired
with the Empresse, against Siluerius, and by false wit-
nessesse procuring him to be deposed, by false witnessesse, by
falsehode, ambition, treason, & force, came to the Pope-
dome himselfe, and he receyving Siluerius late Pope,
as it were, into his tutele, by famine or otherwise cruel-

a Distin. 69. ca.
Si quis.

b Nicolphor. li.
17. cap. 13.

c Ex lib. Pontifici-
cali falsi testes
dixerunt, nos
multis vicibus
inuenimus

Silueriu Papā
scripta huic-
modi mitten-
tent ad Regem
Gothorum:
veni ad portā
qua vocatur
asinaris iuxta
lateranas, &
Civitatem ti-
bi trado, & Be-
lisarium pa-
tricum. &c.

d Naucle. 9
generatione. 13.

Platina in vita
Siluerij. theo-
dato iubente
Pontifex crea-
tur, cum antea
non Regū sed
Imperatorum
authoritas in-
terueneret. &c

e Tom. 2. Cō-
cil pag. 1. 2. &c
In li. pontificali
Augusta Con-
cilio vla cum
Vigilio Dia-
cono. &c.

ly murthered him. And he was besides these popely acts, accused to Justintian the Emperour, of the murther of his notarie, and of a Wydwoves sonne also. And therfore Vigilius, as he procured Syluerius to be deposed, so was he deposed himselfe: and as an ambitious man, a Simoniacke, a suborner of a false witnessse, a Traytour, an inuader, a murtherer, and (whiche you M. Dozman will confesse the iustest cause of al,) accursed, and damned of Pope Syluerius, most iustly deposed. And Naucletus and Platina doe exprestly say, that Pope Vigilius was called into the judgement, for that by his scand, Pope Syluerius was exiled, and for murther also. Wherefore M. Dozman, this is not so cleare a case as you make it neyther, that it is most true that Vigilius was deposed onely for that he woulde not depriue Menna, and restore Anthimius, and for no other cause. And you doe in this point vnder our name, vntruely and vnjustly charge the Catholike and godly Prince Justiniian as an heretique, and cruel tirant in this point and fact also.

This being thus, M. Dozman ruffleth exceedingly withis Ergos. Justiniian in this poyn特 a cruell heretique, tirannously deposed two Popes, Siluerius, and Vigilius. Ergo the Emperour is aboue the Pope. Ergo kings be aboue Bisshoppes. Is not this a proper kind of reasoning, trow you? might they not haue reasoned after this sorte, that Nero deposed S.Peter, that Talian put downe Clement, with a number of such like examples? For to saye that Justiniian was a Christian, where as these were infidels, is but a myst cast into the obiection, to dasell our eyes. No good M. Dozman, not so, but this Justiniian a Christian, Catholike, righteous, and godly Emperour, (not an heathen, and most cruel tirant, as was Nero) deposed Siluerius & Vigilius (not S.Peter & S.Clement,) two Popes both entring in by ambition, Simonie, force, and violence

Tom.2. Con-
cil. fol.3. fa.1.
In epistola Sil-
uerij Papæ ad
Vigilium Pa-
pam.

Naucletus ge-
neratione.19.
Platina in Vi-
gilio.

Fol.47.2.

lence, and the one accused to be a Traitor, the other a knowne murtherer : and iustly deposed them, so uniusually both entering into their papacie, and ussing the same. Ergo Christian and Godly Princes may likewise depole Popes and Bishops, who likewise uniusually enter into, or wickedly use their ecclesiastical office.

And where al your reason dependeth herevpon, that these Popes were without iust cause deposed, and therefore they were uniusually deposed, by the Emperour : I trust you will graunt, that where iust cause and deserte is in Popes or Bishoppes, to be deposed, they maye by Christian Princes be iustly deposed : else is the greatest parte, of your necessarie processe left untouched.

Dorman fol. 47.

But seeing this example will not serue our aduersaries turne, let vs assay to make it serue ours. And first let vs examine what should be the cause, why Iustinian shoulde be so earnest with these two Bishops of Rome, to depose the B. of Constantinople, & to restore the heretike that stooode deprived? was he not Emperour of all the worlde? had he not by the meanes thereof, as our newe doctours beare vs in hande, the chiefe gouernement ouer al matters spirituall and temporall? was on the other side the auctoritie of the Bishoppes of Rome at that time such, that it extended, I will not saye out of their owne diocese to any other Bishops in the Latine Church but to Constantinople the chiche of the Grike?

Here are they taken howsoeuer they answere. For first if the Emperour had bene of that auctoritie that they saye the laye Magistrates are, why did he not then by his owne mere and absolute power displace the one and place the other? Might he not as well haue deposed one Bishop at Constantinople as two at Rome? But if on the contrarie part they aunswere, that the Pope was he that must necessarilie place and displace, euen at that tymc and in the Grike Churche, and not the Emperour: whye then shoulde it be

be lawfull at this tyme for Emperours or Kinges to doe that, which
was not lawfull to be done then? Or why shoulde it not now be law-
full for the Bisshoppe of Rome, which at those dayes was not un-
lawfull?

Thus myre you see good Readers howe this historie wholly and
truely alleaged, maketh not onely not agaist vs, but also much with
vs, if it had bene true that the Apologie sayeth, that Justinian had
deposed those two Popes.

Nowell.

This example well serueth our turne, howsoever
you woulde haue it to the contrarie: and that I doubt
not, but al reasonable readers doe well see.

You examine, what shoulde be the cause, whyc Justinian
shoulde be so earnest, with these two Bisshoppes of Rome, to de-
pose the Bisshoppe of Constantinople: and you woulde by suche
examination gather, that the Bishop of Romes power
extended to Constantinople, and vnto the depoziting of
the Patriarkes there. But sir examiner, no such thing
is to be founde in the deposition of the witnessses. For
who saith that the Emperour Justinian was so earnest,
with those Popes therabout? You saide euuen now, that
the Empresse Theodoza was so earnest with those two
Bishoppes of Rome, you saide not that the Emperour
was earnest, neyther sayth the Pontifical your Alcozan
so, neyther sayth Sabellicus, Pauclerus, nor Platina
so, neyther sayeth the Apologie so. The mosse parte
of the witnessses saye, that Vigilius a false Deacon,
and not Justinian, was so earnest, therby to crepe into
the Popedome himself: but you would find, that Justinian
shoulde be so earnest, with these two Bisshoppes of Rome, to de-
pose the Bisshoppe of Constantinople. You laboured, euuen now,
to proue he was not earnest wyth them there about:
and

and the Apologie I thinke will graunt you, that he was not, and sure I do graunt the same. But the Apologie sayth that Justinian deposed two Popes : It is true : will you therfore surmise that the Apologie sayth, that Justinian was earnest with two Popes of Rome to depose the Bishop of Constantinople : you may well say so : for you maye well saye, what you list, and no credit wonne no: losse : for so sayth no man else, that I can heare of. But the Apologie, as it sayth that Justinian deposed two Popes, without any helpe of the Bishoppe of Constantinople, so meaneth it, that he might, if he so woulde, vpon like just cause, haue deposed one Bishop of Constantinople without their helpe. Where you speake, as thoughe the Apologie had sayde, the Emperour could not : neyther the Authour of the Apologie, no: any wise man else so sayeth, thoughe M. Dozman doe fondely so surmise. But the Pontificall sayth, that the Empresse Theodoza was verye earnest wyth these Popes, to depose Dennis the Patriarke, & to restore Anthimus the Heretique : but Platina tellet by whose setting on she was so earnest. Instigante Vigilio Diacono, Theodora Augusta Syluerio imperat, &c. At the instigatio or setting on of Vigilius the Deacon (sayth Platina) Theodoza the Empresse comman ded Syluerius, and that with threatnings, that Dennis being expulsed, out of the Cittie of Constantinople, he woulde reuoke Anthimus. By this examination of witnessesse, it appeareth what shold be the cause, why (not Justinian as you M. Dozman, by your examination would finde, no: Theodoza the Empresse neyther of her owne mynde, as you beare the readers in hand, but) Vigilius the Deacon was so earnest with the Empresse, not so much to put Dennis out of his bishopricke

Tom. 2. Con-
cil. fa. 1. & 2.

Pl. 111 de vita
Syluerij.

of Constantinople, as to finde a quarell to put Siluerius out of his Bishoprike of Rome. And why soorth: That he himselfe might be placed in his towne. And so in this examination it falleth out in seeking of causes, that one ambitious and false captiue, sought earnestly to put out an other, that he might crepe into this place: and with all, the vertues of the Romish Popes, ambition, bzbberie, falshode, force, & murther with such other vnuall ornamente of the fitters in that see, doe in the same examination come to light. And yet sayeth P. Dorman: Here are they taken, whatsoeuer they answere: such a nec hath this man set for vs: and he asketh the question: Whye the Emperour coulde not as well by his auctoritie depose me Bishop at Constantinople as two at Rome? To the which I aunswere, he might, had he had like cause: had there bene as false practisers, and ambitious clearkes, in the Greeke cleargie, as was in the Romishe Courte: had there bene one Greeke Deacon, as ready, and hable by false suggestion to delude the wise Emperour Justinian, as there was a Romaine Deacon, ready to tattle venemously in a womans eare: had there bene in the Patriarke Vennas, that godly and vertuous Bishop, suche bngodlynesse and vice, as were in these two Romaine Popes: had there bene such ambition, corruptiō, bzbberie, falshode, treason, force, murther, in Vennas, as was in Siluerius, and Vigilius: why I praye you, might not Justinian the Emperour as well haue deposed one Bishop at Constantinople as he deposed two at Rome? to aunswere you by youre owne question. For was he not as hable, and of as good auctoritie at Constantinople, where his chiese habilitie, and auctoritie was, where him selfe was also personally presente, against one Bishoppe of Constantinople, as he was against two

at Rome, where he had lesse power and authoritie, than at Constantinople, and where he was not presente, as he was at Constantinople: But he deposed two Bishoppes of Rome: Ergo he might, had he had like cause, haue deposed one at Constantinople. If you will saye he might not: what meane you? woulde you make one Bishop of Constantinople of greater autho-
 rite, & harder to be deposed, than two Popes of Rome?
 Take heede what you doe man, for Gods sake, but it is
 not so straunge a thing for Emperours, to depose By-
 shops of Rome, or of Constantinople, or of other Sees,
 as P. Dorman woulde haue it seeme, as I also haue
 partly before declared. But you will vrge the words
 of the Pontificall, and of Platina who say that the Em-
 presse woulde haue had Siluerius, and Vigilius to re-
 move Nenna, and to reuoke Anthimius, &c. why, what
 is that else, but that she woulde haue the testimonie of
 those men, who were in the cleargie of great estimati-
 on, and authoritie, with Anthimius, and against Nen-
 na, thereby to induce the Emperour hir husbande to
 depose Nennas. For where Platina saith that the Em-
 presse, was in hand with Siluerius, that Nenna shoulde
 be thruste out of the Citie of Constantinople: all the
 woldre maye knowe that he shoulde be but a meane
 thereto: and that the Patriarke of Constantinople
 coulde by no meanes, but by the Emperours authori-
 tie be expelled the Cytie. And this Anthimius was be-
 fore displaced by Agapetus Bishop of Rome being at
 Constantinople: but howe displaced, by him? not so,
 be you sure, that the Bishoppe of Rome, who was there
 but one of King Theodatus his Legates (which is also
 a shrewd president against you P. Dorman) did beare
 the chiese sway in the Church, and Citie of Constanti-

Supr^a 224.1.
&c.

Vt pulso uen-
na ab urbe
Constanti. &c.

Nicephor^r li.
17. cap.9.
Naucleus ge-
neratione. 18.

A REPROVFE OF M.

He is in diuers
writers named
Anthim^o, An-
thimus and
Anthemius.

nople, otherwise than by Justinian the Emperours ap-
pointment : who by his commaundement assembled a
Synode of Wyshops, and hearde Agapetus and Anthi-
minus dispute, and allowed Agapetus his doctrine, and
disallowed the doctrine of Anthimus : and that done,
desired Agapetus to ordayne a Chatelike Wyshop at
Constantinople, who ordayned this Menas. And so
mighty Theodora the Empresse deale with Syluerius,
and Vigilius, to perswade the Emperour against Men-
nas, with Anthimus, and by the Emperours consent
and authoritie depose or ordayne a Wyshoppe, I denye
not. And well might the Empresse attempt that way,
seing that the Emperour hit husbande had so great fa-
vour to Agapetus first, and to Syluerius and Vigilius
also a greate whyle. Pea and if it will please you to
loke vpon Nicophorus, you maye finde that this Vigilius
Wyshop of Rome did insolently and proudly exco-
municate Menas Wyshop of Constantinople, and that
Menas excommunicated the Wyshop of Rome againe,
and that Justinianus the Emperour tooke parte wyth
Menas against the wyshop of Rome, and that therevpo
he fledde into the Church. What preferment I praye
you had the B. of Rome then aboue the Bishop of Con-
stantinople, when eyther of them excommunicate other?
And if Nicophorus iudged it a proude acte of the B. of
Rome to excommunicate the B. of Constantinople, he
was not of your iudgement, who doe thinke that the
B. of Rome might well depose the B. of Constanti-
nople. And you may note if you will, that as here when
the Emperour faoured Menas the Wyshop of Con-
stantinople, in a iust cause against Vigilius the Wy-
shop of Rome, the Wyshop of Constantinople had the su-
perior and better parte, or hande : so before, when the
same

Nicephorus
lib. 17. cap. 21.

same Emperour sauoured Anastasius byshop of Rome
in the truth, against the Bishop of Constantinople An-
thimus, the Bishop of Rome had the superioritie : and
that therefore the superioritie standeth absolutely in
the truth not in H̄es, and that amongst men, the
chiese authoritie is in the godly Emperour:

Dorm.in.fol.48.

*Yea but say they you can not denye, that the Emperour made
lawes of matters of religion, that he absteined not euen in matters
of the church, from thiese termes, Sancimus, iubemus, we or-
deine, we comauand, with such like. Trulie this can I not denie,
and if I would there be whole cōstitutiōs of his eccl̄y to be brought
against me : as that where he cōmaundeth that none be made Bi-
shop that hath a wife, and of them that haue had, such as haue had
only one, the same no widow, neither deuorced from hir husband,
neither forbidden by the holie canons : and also that where he cō-
maundeth that of Priestes no other be received to that order, but
such as vel coelibem vitam agunt, vel vxorē habue-
runt, aut habent legitimam, & eam vnam & primā,
neque viduam, neque diuortio separatā a viro, aut
alioqui legibus aut sacris interdictā canonibus,
that is to saie : as either leade a single life, or haue had a lawefull
wife, or presently haue, and that one and the first, no widowe, none
diuorced from hir husband or otherwise by the lawes or holie ca-
nons forbidden: and that of deacons also, where he giueth cōmaun-
dement, that if he that shoulde be Deacon, haue no wife present-
ly, he be not otherwise promoted, excepte being firſte asked of him
which giueth the orders, whether he can fro thenceforth liue with-
out a wife, he answer yea. In so much that the Emperour plainly
pronounceþ, that he that ministreth to him the ordres can not dis-
pence with him to mary after, & that if he should so doe the Bishop
which suffred it shoulde be depoſed.*

¶¶¶

Nowell.

A REPROVFE OF M.

Nowell.

M. Dorman is driven to confess that Iustinianus the Emperour did make lawes, in matters of Religion, and that he ab-stayned not cuen in the matters of the Churc from these termes, Sanxcimus, iubemus, &c. we ordayne, we commaund, with such like, as decernimus, constituimus, precipimus, mandamus. &c. we decerne or decree, we constitute, we determine, we commaunde, we charge, with all other termes of chiefauthoritie, in law making possible to be vsed: the which seeing Iustinian did use ordinarily, in making of ecclesiastical lawes, it is thereby most evidently declared, that the Emperour Iustinian had vsed the chiese gouernement ouer persons, and in matters ecclesiastical. But M. Dorman thinketh that he doth well shifte off all this, by making mention of a constitution of his, made concerning the mariage of ecclesiastical Ministers: that as he began this treatie, so he may ende the same with some inuictiue agaynst the lawefull mariage of Ecclesiastical ministers. But touching the briberies, symmonies, ignorance, couetousnesse, concubines, in the same lawe to priestes soz-bidden, M. Dorman speaketh never a worde. But seeing I haue sufficiencly layde before, in the beginning of this treatie, concerning the mariage of ecclesiastical ministers, there is no cause why, I should now againe trouble the reader therwith, specially seeing it is a matter altogether impertinent, to this his treatie, of the beade of the Churc to be a Priest.

Thus much I may by the way admonishe the good Reader, that suche constitutions as Iustinian made, touching this matter, concerned those, who had solene-lye promised in their owne persons before their Byshop never to marry, and therefore pertayne not to our cleargie

Supra fo. 9. 10.
&c.

clergy of England, who haue made no such promesse. And it is by the aduersaries confessed, and in the lawe it selfe it euidently appeareth, that suche constitutions and ordinances be not Goddes, but mannes lawes : whiche (as it vsuallye happeneth to other humaine constitutions) maye by man be broken againe, vpon iust causes : suche as the popishe Notaries, by their moste vyle lyfe, haue gyuen greate, and too great, to Princes, and all other men that doe loue honestie, of what Religion so euer they be. In somuche that the Duke of Barre, latevlye in his visitation of the cleargie of his owne Dominions, vnderstanding their filthie concubinate, and beastly life not to be named, wherevpon hanest learned Catholikes also doe abhorre their felowshyp (as he saith) in an oration in his name made to the late Councell at Trident, and nowe extant in prynt, confesseth the same : and therevpon maketh his humble sute to the Coucell, that sicing Priestes are not by gods lawe bounden to liue a sole life, and seeing that in the P:imitine Church maried men were Priestes and Bis:hops, as by the histories ecclesiastical it appeareth (saith he) it might now also be permitted, that all ecclesiastical ministers that woulde, myghte marrie ; that suche filthie abominations myghte be thereby remoued. And to thy sute he ioyneth that the Sacrement might be ministred in both kindes. And as I before noted, the most honest and best learned of the Papistes, yea suche as haue bene Popes them selues, vpon knowledge of the beastliness of their sayde Popishe Notaries, haue willed that it were so permitted. And M. Dorman if he woulde, might haue learned the same, by his booke of lawe, and the same booke of the lawe too, out of the which he hath take this place. Where it is agreeable to gods lawe

Impressum
Colonię apud
baeredes Ar-
noldi Brück-
manni. 1565.
cum gratia &
privilegio Ce-
sarice Maiest.

Supra fol. 10.

A RETROVVE OF M.

1. cor. 7.
Authent. col. 1
de non elig.
secundo nub.
tit. 2. in glosa,

lawe confessed, Quod nubere est consultius quam viri.
that it is better to marrie than to brynre : specially so beastlye,
as your popishe Cataries doe.

Now whereas M. Dozman of all the ecclesiastical
lawes, by Justinian the Emperour made, which are ex-
ceeding many, maketh mention onely of his constitu-
tion concerning the mariage of Ministers, and touching
the first place of his Pope, for that he perceyned those
onely to be to his purpose : I will doe him this plea-
sure, to helpe hym out with the Kalender of part of Ju-
stinian's lawes ecclesiastical : wherby al reasonable men
may well understande his chiefe authoritie ouer per-
sons and in causes ecclesiastical.

Col. li. i. ti. 17.
Legum auto-
ritas & diu-
nas & huma-
nas res beate
disponit.

Justinian the Emperour declaring that the autho-
ritie of the lawes doth well dispose & order ecclesiastical
and divine matters, as well as worldly and humaine
things, both caused y auncient lawes ecclesiastical made
by the emperors his predecessours to be collected & gathe-
red together, and partly mended & corrected the same,
according as times, and occasions required : & made o-
ther newe lawes ecclesiastical hym selfe, declaring his
chiefe authoritie in ecclesiastical matters. Now vp
on the occasion that many offered willingly to leade a
sole lyfe in the ministerie ecclesiastical in those dayes,
which, for the lacke of cares and troubles in that kinde
of lyfe, seemed then to be expedient, Justinian thought
god amogst many others, to make also a constitutio or
law ecclesiastical concerning the ministers of y Church,
to abstaine fro marriage, or to remayne with one onely
wife at the most : as both by the place, by M. Dozman
here alleged appeare : wherewith I wil first beginne.
The lawe out of the which M. Dozman tooke his teste
here alleged, is thus in effecte. Sancimus quoties
opus fuerit episcopum ordinari, &c. That is to say, we
doe

Authent. col. 9
cit. 15. De sac-
tiss. episc. &c.

þde decrete that as ofter as it is necessarye, that a Wy-
shoppe be ordained, the Clarkes, and chiese men of the
Cittie, whereof he shall be Bisshoppe, the holye Gospell
being set before them, shal take it vpon the peril of their
soules, that they haue chosen them, neither for any gift
or reward, nor any promise or frendship, or any other
cause: but knowing them to be of the right and catho-
like fauour, and of honest lyfe, and to be learned, and to
haue no wife, nor children, neyther concubine: but if
any of them beso;e had a wife, that he had but one, and
the same þ first, neyther Wydow, nor married to other
husbande, nor otherwise forbidden by the lawes or ho-
ly constitutions: and that they know the person elected
to be Bisshop, to be no courtier, or officiaall, or if he haue
so bene, that he hath sith þ time continued no lesse than
fiftene yeres in a monasterie, & that he is no lesse than
fifte and thirtie yeres olde: and if anye be ordained a
Bisshop, without the foresayde obseruations, we com-
mannde him by al meanes to be deposed, and deprived
from his Bisshoprike: and he; þ shal presume to ordaine
any Bisshop without the sayde obseruations, shal be sus-
pended from his holy ministrie one yere, and his goods
to be allotted to the Church wherof he is Bishop. And a-
bove al things he decreath that it be obserued, that none
be ordained Bisshop, by mediation of Gold, Siluer, or o-
ther thing, vpon payne of the depuyuation, as well
of the giuers, as of the receyuers, and mediatours
of suche synone. Thus farre is the verle summe and
pith of Iussitius hys Lawe concerning the election
and ordayning of Bisshoppes, wodē soz Wodē truely
translated: though soz tediousnesse I haue intermitned
here and there things impertinent to this place. The
whiche Lawe, were it this daye obserued, there shoulde

Neque curiale
neque officia-
lem esse.

Iubemus hunc
omnibus mo-
dis episcopatu-
depelli.

no such ambitious persons, briuers, yokers, courtiers, Russlers, Symonakes, ignorant Asses, Concubinaries, and other suche vilitous personnes, as doe nowe vsually aspire to the best Wyshoprikes in the Romishe court, eyther be admitted to Wyshoprikes, or continue in the possession of them: neyther shoulde there eyther Pope or popish bishop be left in place. Touching Clerkes and priestes the law is this. We permit none to be ordyned clerkes, unlesse they be learned, and holde the right sayth, and be of honest lyfe, and neither haue had, nor haue a concubine, or naturall children, but living chaste, or hauing a lawfull wife, and the same one, and the firste, neyther widdowe, nor diuorced from hir husbande, nor otherwyse forbydden by the lawes, and holy Canons.

Caste viuetes,
aut uxorem
legitimam, et
ipsum uom, et
primam ha-
bentes.

Diagonissan.

And we doe not permit a Priest to be made vnder thirte & five yeares of age, neyther a Deacon or Subdeacon vnder ffe & twentie, nor a Reader vnder eightene yeares: nor a Diaconisse (or widow to serue in the Church) vnder fortie yeares old. One that is to be made a Deacon if he haue not a wife (as is aforesayde) already toynd to him, let him not be ordyned, except he being asked before of him that doth ordaine him, doe promise that he can liue honestlie without a lawfull wyfe. And he that ordyneth him, shall not be able in the tyme of the ordynaing, to permit to the Deacon, or Subdeacon, to take a wyfe after his ordination. And the Wyshoppe who doth permit it, shall be put out of his Bishoprike. And if a Priest or a Deacon, or Subdeacon, after hys ordination doe marrie a wife, or haue a concubine, let him be put out of the cleargie. Thus farre touching the place by M. Dorman here alleaged, concerning priestes, Deacons, and other clerkes, & diaconisses, or wydowes, appointed.

appointed to serue the Church, truely translated out of
the same lawe of Justinian. But of all these things M.
Dormā could see nothing else, but only that which tou-
ched y celibate of ecclesiastical ministers. And he thin-
keth that the intent & promise of a learned, honest, dis-
crete and graue man, of the age of thirtie & five yeares,
or vpwarde : or of an auncient woman, of the age of so-
tie yeares or more, touching not marying, vpon the on-
lye purpose more freelye to serue God, will serue to the
maintenaunce of their guileful inducing and incising,
or violente inforsing of vndiscrete and buskynfull yong
men, and maydens, and almost boyes, and gyrls, into
the sinfull snares, of their pernicious popish bowes :
or to the defence of the most false and faulchlesse promes-
ses made by others of more age, but of little discretion
and honestie, onely vppon hope of worldy rewardes
and licencie, and of an easie and ydle life, to be lead into
al vitiosnesse, & uncleanlinesse, most manifestly knowne
to the worlde, by the continuall practise, of most part of
popishe Notaries. And neither the ambition, corruption,
briberie, symonie, leud youth, courtlye life, keping of
concubines, nor any other most unhonest behaviour,
most vsuall in the Romish cleargie, contrary to this lawe
of Justinian, & contrary to all mans and gods lawes, any
thing moueth the mans minde : onely the hauing of a
lawfull wife moueth M. Dormans conscience : though
it be by Justinian in this lawe confessed to be lawfull
being also moste agreeable to Goddes lawe, and in the
bookes of Justinians lawes, acknowledged to be better
than to burne, as all the beastlye popishe Notaries,
for the moste parte doe. And the Papists who doe
allege thys lawe, doe contrarye to thys lawe di-
vorce ecclesiasticall persones from their wyues, and

Vxorem legi-
timam haben-
tes.

i. Cor. 7 et
Authent col. x.
tit. 2. in gloria.
Nubere est
consultius
quam vii.

also deprive them from their lyvings : and in so manye
pointes breaking themselves, both this Lawe, and
also Gods lawe, doe allege one poynte thereof against
suche ecclesiasticall ministers, whome the sayde Lawe
toucheth not thing; as those who made no such promise,
as in that Lawe is appointed, and doe therfore nothing
against that Lawe : but doe moste agreeable to Gods
law, rather marry than so filthilye to burne and lyue,
as these popish Votaries doe.

Thus you may see god Readers, how many matters
directly against the Papistes are contained in this very
place of Justintian, by M. Dorman here alleaged, al the
which M. Dorman deeply dissembled, and for feare lest
they might apeare, he durst not as much as nose y book
or place, where he had that, which he alleageth of pointes;
and celibate of ecclesiasticall ministers : t yet he talketh
of places not coated in y Apologie. And as these Lawes
ecclesiastical made by Justiniā the Emperour, do prone
his chiefe authoritie in matters, & ouer persons ecclesi-
astical : so are there an huge number of lawes besides,
that doe yet more effectually proue the same, as I shall
hereafter declare. But I wil first say something to that
pretie popish Hist, wherby M. Dorman trusteth to elude
& frustrate all the Emperours authoritie, in his lawes
ecclesiastical most evidently to all men declared.

Dorman, fol. 48.

But although this be true, that the Emperour Justiniā not onely
in these matters which touched the cleargie, but in many other also,
hath entremedled: yet hath he alwayes so tempered the matter, as
he hath shewed him selfe to be a follower not a leader, & minister to
execute, not a gouerner to prescribe. The which thing his owne words How Justi-
n in all suche places where he entreated of suche matters placed as it man made
were for the nones, to take away al such sinistre suspicion, do mani- lawes in

fifti

atters of
the Churche. ftestly declare. For either he hath these words: Sequētes ea quae
sacris definita sunt canonibus: folowing the definitio of the
holy canons; or thiese, Sacras per omnia sequētes regulas,
in all points folowing the holy rules, or such like: wherby he woulde
haue testisfied to the worlde, that he meaneth by his penall lawes,
seuerely to execute the canons of the Churche, and nothing leſſe than
to make newe him ſelue.

Nowell.

I woulde haue the god reader to knowe, fro whence
this diuinitie of M. Dormans doth come.
In the glose of þ law by Iustiniā the Emperour made,
concerning the ecclesiastical matters, which M. Dormā
here speakeſt of, vpō the firſt word of the law Sancim⁹,
we dr̄ d̄cree, it is by ſome Lawier, no Ciuilian but mere
Canonift, & the Popes owne ſwoyne man, be you ſure,
by theſe very words thus gloſed: Ad quid pro deo in
troumittit ſe Imperator de spiritualib⁹ vel ecclēſiaſticis,
cū ſciat ad ſe nō pertinere. &c. Wherfore a Gods name
doth the Emperour intermeddle in ſpiritual, or ecclēſiaſtical
matters, whē he knoweth they belong not to him?
This is the mans furniſh queſtion: but he anſwered ſo
berly thus. Respon. Dic, quod nō imueniō de nouo, vel
conſtituendo ponit: ſed recitando ſacras regulas, contra
quas ſi quis fecerit imponit poenas: &c. vel, Dic q̄ autho-
ritate papæ facit, vel, Dic, hoc faciendo ſtatutum reipub-
tuetur: cū interſit reipub. eſſe ſacerdōtes honestos; a qui-
b⁹ peccatorū poenitentiā petamus. &c. þ is to ſay. The an-
ſwer: ſay þ he putteſt theſe, not inuenting the a new,
or deſcreaſing and ordaining, but reheatring þ holy rules,
againſt the which if a man do, he layeth penalties upon
them. Or else ſay: þ he doth it by the authortie of the
Pope, or else ſay: þ the Emperour in ſo doing, doth de-
ſend & maintaine the ſtate of the comon wealth; for it: this is Richard

Authent. col. i
tit. 6 QUOMO-
DO oporteat e-
pilcopos.

Pro Deo. It
was happy he
ſayd not Pro
Diabolo, for
he was very
angry.

Here be two
Dicks and but
one Richard.

Ponit.

Vel dic quod
autoritate pa-
pæ facit. This
Dic is Suresby.

A REPROVFE OF M.

ts for the common wealth y Priests shold be honest ,
 of whome we may aske penance of our sinnes . Thus
 farre this Canonist in his glose , out of the whiche M.
 Dorman had his diuinitie which he sheweth in this aunc
 swere , translate almoste worde for worde out of the saide
 glose : so that his law stode him here in god stede . But
 M. Dorman hath omitted the last of these thre answeres ,
 which is most worthy the noting : that Priesteres can not
 well be kept in honest behauour , but by y seuerre lawes
 of godly Princes . And if such lawes of godly Princes
 were profitable therfore in Iustinian his tyme , they are
 nowe in this outragious dissolutenesse of our Popishe
 Notaries , surely more than necessary . And whereas this
 gloser M. Dorman's authour , hath called so many dickes ,
 lusty lads together , only to remoue out of the way this
 blocke of one Sancimus , we doe decree , vsed by Iustinian ,
 you may well vnderstand how it grieueth the Papistes
 to see so many Sancim^o , ordinamus , decernim^o , cōstitui
 mus , definimus , volumus , precipim^o , mandam^o , iubem^o ,
 & rursum , interdicimus , prohibemus , nō permittim^o . &c .
 that is to say : we decree , ordaine , decerne , constitute , de
 termine , define , we wil , we comand , we charge : and a
 gaine , we forbid them , we do not permit or suffer them :
 with other termes or wordes of like effect continually vs
 ed by Iustinian the Emperour in his so many lawes ,
 made to binde al estates of the Church , as Patriarkes ,
 Archbisshops , Bisshops , Rulers of Monasteries , Monkis ,
 and al other gouernors of the cleargie , & amongst them
 the B. of Rome himselfe by name . Surely it appeareth
 that Pope John of Rome , who lived at that time , was
 not so offeved as was this canonist , with the Emperour :
 the which Pope in an epistle to the sayd Emperour wri
 ten , doth much praise the Emperour as most godly , wise ,
 and

Cod.1.epistola
 Inter claras .

and well doing the dutie of a Godly Christian prince; specially, for that he tooke great care of Religion and of Church matters, and was the authour of the peace & b. ntie of the Church, & made lawes for the remouing of heretiques. &c. as in the sayd Pope Johns epistle plaine lyt appeareth: whereby you may vnderstande, that the sitters in that holy see, haue in processe of time chaliged their minde. Powe it is very ridiculous, y^e M^r. Dozman wh^e of this one word placuit, it please^t vs, once vsed by bisshops, maketh such a matter for their authoritie ouer Princes & ciuill Magistrates, notwithstanding that all words & termes of authoritie possible to be vsed, are by Iustiniā vsed in his lawes, yet sayth that Iustiniā shewed him selfe a folower not a leader, a minister to execute, not a gouerner to prescribe. And though I might safely leauie it to the discrete readers iudgement, whether these words of y^e Emperoz, we decree, we ordaine, we define, we wil, and comānd, permit or suffer, we do not suffer, we forbide with other like, vsed to patriarches, archbisshops, bisshops, & al gouernors in y^e cleargie, yea to the B. of Rome him selfe, be y^e words of a folower, & minister, as M^r. Dorman saith, or of a leader, & gouerner: yet for the meane reader his more ful instrucciō and satisfaction, I will here rehearste a forme or swaine of lawes made to binde the chiese and highest Prelates of the Church.

Iustiniā the Emperour going about to bridle the avarice & excessive taking of sumes of mony, vsed by the Prelates in the oderneyng of bisshops, & other ecclesiastical ministers, maketh this law. Iubemus beatiss. archiepiscopos, patriarchas, hoc est, senioris Romæ, Cōstātino-poleos, et Alexādrię, et Theopoleos et Hierosolomorū. &c. that is to say, we do comānd the most blessed archbisshops, & patriarches, y^e is the patriarch of the elder Rome, and of Constantinople, & of Alerandzia, & of Antiochia, and

Dor. fol. 45. &
supra. fol. 255.

Sancimus, ordinamus, decernim⁹ consti-tuimus, desinim⁹, volum⁹, præcipimus, mandamus, iubemus: et contra, Inter-dicimus, prohibemus, non permittimus.. &c.

Authent. co. 9.
tit. 15 de sanctis. Episcopis
col. 718.

Theopol. 1.
Antiochiz.
The Pope cō-maunded by
the Emperor;

and of Hysrusalem , that if their custome be no lesse than tweentie pounde in golde to be givien in the ordyning of Byshops, and Clearkes , that so muche be onely givien as the custome is , but that no more be givien above xx. pound in golde, and so forth. Here is the begining of an ecclesiastical law, made by the Emperour Iustiniā, to binde h̄ Pope or Byshop of Rome & al other Patriarkes : and the Emperour vseth as well to the B. of Rome, as to the other Patriarkes, this worde luber-
mus, we do comaund you. And yet sayth god M. Dozman the Emperour intermedleth in causes Ecclesiastical, as a folower, not a leader : as a minister not a gouernour . And in this pro-
celle of that long lawe he vseth often the wordes permit-
timus, nō permittimus, interdicimus, &c. We suffer you
to doe this, this we suffer you not to doe, but doe forbid
it you. &c. And he breaketh their ecclesiastical customes,
if they tended to excesse taking, and he bindeth them
to a certeine summe in al their ordinations of byshops :
and appointeth a penaltie to the transgessours of hys
lawe, at his will & pleasure, as in the layd law at large
appeareth : which is not the doing of a folower, & minis-
ter as M. Dozman sayth, but of a leader & gouernour.
And it is most false, that M. Dozman sayth : that Iustiniā
made no new lawes : but executed the old canōs only. For in this
one law there are very many pointes which M. Dozman
nor any other cā shew in any former canō, or constitutiō.
The same Iustiniā in an other ecclesiastical law spea-
keth thus. Definimus vt nemo deo amabilium episcoporum
foris à sua ecclisia plus q̄ per totū annū deesse audeat:
nisi hoc per imperialē fiat iussionem : tunc(enim solū e-
rit inculpabile) sacratissimos patriarchas vniuersiusque
dioecesos cōpellentes, deo amabiles Episcopos suis in-
harrere sanctis. ecclesijs. that is to say : we do de fine that
none of the Bisshopps of God beloved, be so boldē, as to
be

Authent. col. i.
tit. 6. Q̄ omo-
do oporteat
episcopos.

be absent from their Church moze than one yeare; bnt
lesse it be done by the Emperours commaundement (for
then onely it shall be blamelesse,) compelling the molle
holie Patriarkes of every diocesse, to cause the Bisshops
of God beloued, to remaine vpon their Churches. &c.

Thus farre Justinian. And I would that M. Dorman,
who made vs before such a dw, of the Bisshops subscriving de-
finiens subscripti, i defining haue subscribed: and of their
saying, placet, it pleaseth vs, woulde note this the Empe-
rours defining, commanding, licensing, forbidding: for
this same lawe is in an other place penned, by h worde
interdicimus, we forbide the bisshops. &c. the which Empe-
rour also setteth a penaltie in the same lawe vpon such
Bishops, as shall transgresse the sayde lawe & amongst
other penalties, deprivatio also. And in an other eccl-
esiasticall lawe, he hath these wordes. Iubem⁹ vnumquē
que beatū archiepiscopū, & Patriarcham, & metropoli-
tā, sacerdos. Episcopos. &c. that is: we comaund euery one
of the blessed Archbisshops, Patriarks, & Metropolitans,
once or twise every yeare, to cal together the most holy
Bishops being vnder them, and diligently to examine
all causes. &c. In the which lawes this is to be noted a-
mongst other notable things: that mentio is made that
the Patriarkes of Rome, Costatīnople, Alexandria, &c.
haue every one of them seuerall diocesses, or patriarke-
ships, & iurisdictions: which diuisiō was made by order,
taken in the first Councils. Wherby the false claime
that the Bishop of Rome maketh to an vniuersall au-
thoritie ouer the whole Church, and that also by Gods
lawe, and Godwill, is most manifest.

Againe the same Justinia in an other law speaketh
thus. Interdicim⁹ sacerdos. episcopis: we do forbide h molle
holie bisshops, p̄iestes, deacons, subdeacons, to play at the

Dormā fol. 44
& supra. 250.
&c.

Authent col. 9
tit. 15. de sanc-
tiss. episcopis.

Authent. col. 9
tit. 15. de sanc-
tiss. episcopis.

Authent. col. 9
tit. 15. de sanc-
tiss. episcopis.
Ad tabulas
ludere.

A REPROVFE OF M.

tables, or to take parte with, or loke vpon them y play :
or to loke vpon any kinde of spectacles, or games : and if
any doe offendre herein, we commaund him to be suspen-
ded from the venerable ministerie for thre yeare s. &c.
After this sort and forme, are there a great multitude
of ecclesiastical lawes, made by Iustinian : which I am
sure no man of reason, will iudge to be done as by a fo-
lower, and minister(as P. Dozman sayth)but rather, as
by a leader and gouernour. But with P. Dorman not
long before, one seely worde placuit, it pleased vs, When
it was by the waye spoken by certame Bishops, was a
worde of maruellous force and auctorite, and the men
might haue chose whether they would or no, like Lezde :
but Emperours in their lawes continually to will , to
commayne, to charge Archbishops, Patriarkes, Me-
tropolitanes , yea, and the Bishop of Rome by name,
as well as others, to doe this, to forbid them, that they
dare not be so bolde as to doe that , without the Empe-
rours leau, or comiaundement, and to define, assigne, &
apoint penalties vnto them,in case they shal doe other-
wise, all this is with P. Dorman the forme and phrase
of a follower not of a leader, of a minister not of a gouernour, to pre-
scribe : for with P. Dorman every tryfeling worde ser-
ueth for the auctorite of Bishops, but al words can not
serue princes auctorite, but were there no other presi-
dents nor proues, sauing only Iustinianas lawes, they a-
lone are abundantly sufficiēt, to proue y chiefe auctorite
of christia princes ouer persōs, & in causes ecclesiastical.
And had not P. Dorman rather be a popish canonist, thā
a right ciuiliane, he could not but graunt the same.

Now touching P. Dorman's qualification & tempe-
ring of this matter by these wordes , somewhere vised
by the Emperour Iustinian, Sequentes lacas regulas:
sequentes

Dormā fol. 45
& supra. 255.
etc.

sequentes ea, &c. following the holy rules, following the things defined by the holy canons. Truth it is, that he somewhere beth these wordes: but that he doeth so in all places where he maketh constitutions of ecclesiastical matters, as M. Dorman sayth, is most vntrue.

Now where M. Dorman would haue hym simple to think that by these words the holy rules, the holy canons, the Popes decretēs & decretals, or his Romish constitutions were ment, and that Christian Emperours, & princes, might do no other thing, than is by him in them determined & appointed: I haue thought it expediet to make it plaine, what is truely ment by these words. Iustinian the Emperour in his Authentiques speaketh thus. Sancim⁹ igitur sacras per omnia sequentes regulas dū quispiam ad ordinationem episcopatus adducitur, considerari prius eius vitam secundum sanctū apostolum, si honesta et inculpabilis, & vndique irreprehensibilis sit. &c. that is to say. We following in al points the holy rules, doe ordaine or decree, that when any is brought to be ordained a bishop, ȳ his life be first (according to the holy Apostle S. Paule) considered, whether it be honest and inculpable, and euery where irreprehensible: and so forth as is contained in S. Paules first epistle to Timothe. Here it is mosle manifest, that Iustinian calleth the holy Scriptures sacras Regulas, the holye rules, that he followed in al points. And ȳ glose there reckeneth out fourtene points to a bishop appertaining by the holy rules, which Iustinia here speaketh of, which are al contained in the. ix. chapter of S. Paules first epistle to Timothe. Wherby also it is manifest, ȳ the holy scriptures & word of God are here ment by the holy rules, which Iustinia in al points followed in his ecclesiastical lawes making. And in ȳ same title yet more plainly he saith thus. Hac

Col. i. tit. 6.
quomodo o-
porteat epis-
copos.

i. Timoth. 3.

autē de deo amabilibus episcopis, secundū diuinās con-
 stituentēs regulas, et religiosos clericos cū multa fieri in-
 quisitione secundū diuinās regulas sancimus. &c. that
 is to say: we having ordayned these things, concerning
 Bishops beloued of God according to the diuinē rules,
 doe also decrete that the religious clearkes be made with
 great inquisitiō or triall, according to the diuinē rules.
 Thus far Justiniā. Loe here you may see that the rules
 which he before called sanctas or sacras, holy rules, here
 he calleth diuinās regulas the diuinē rules, so that there
 can no doubt remaine, but that Justiniā the Emperour
 by the holy & diuinē rules, meaneth the holy scriptures,
 but no words are more plaine thā these: Bene autē vni-
 uersa geruntur et cōpetenter, si rei principium fiat de-
 cens et amabile deo. Hoc autē futurū esse credimus, si
 sacrarū regularū obseruatio custodiatur, quā iusti et lau-
 dandi et adorandi inspectores et ministri dei verbi tra-
 diderunt Apostoli, et sancti pāttes custodierunt, et ex-
 planauerunt. &c. that is to say: All things are wel done
 & conveniently, if such a beginning as is comely, & plea-
 saunt to God, be made. And we do beleue y this wil be
 done, if that the holy rules be kept: the whch holy rules
 the iust, and praise worthy, & honorabile eueresters, and
 ministers of the worde of God the Apostles delivereſ, &
 the holy fathers haue kept & explained. Thus far Justi-
 nian: whereby it is evident y by the holy rules he mea-
 nth the holye scriptures written by the Apostles, and
 that the Fathers ought to be keepers and expounders
 thereof. But the Pope hath made decrees contrarie to
 these holy rules: yea he hath altered & utterly abolished
 many of h old canons, as wel those, which are called of h
 Apostles, as other most auncient canons, & hath made
 other most contrary to them: and yet requireth this ca-
non

non breaker of vs, the keping of the canons, & Godwil.
Now wheras Justinian by his lawes, commaundeth
a Bishop or Priest, who is ordained, contrarie to the
sayd holy lawes of the scriptures, or being lawfully or-
dained, behaueth hym selfe contrarie to the same, to be
deposed, and deprived of his Bishoprike; it pleaseith
vs right well, that M. Dozman alloweth, that Christian
Princes may doe the lyke to Popishe Bishoppes,
so that the Princes therein follow the holye rules of the
Scriptures, forbidding any to be a Bishoppe or Priest,
that is not learned, nor discrete, sober, chaste, harber-
rous and without blame of lyse, & that unlearned and
yde asses, quarellers, dronkardes, fighters, filthe
whoremongers, concubinaries, and wicked Idolaters,
be not suffered by christian Princes to remaine in Bi-
shoprikes, or in the cleargie, which if it be obserued, we
shall haue a god riddance of a great rable of Popishe
shauelings shortly. Further, wheras every edict, re-
script, proclamation, or Lawe of the Emperour was in
those daies by a peculiar terme called sacra, the Emperour Sacra
rours holy writ: if M. Dozman wil haue any thing be-
sides the sacred scriptures, ment by these wordes sacras
regulas holy rules, what letteth, but y the Emperour
may meane the former constitutiōē ecclesiastical of aunc-
ient Emperours his predecessours, which are peculiari-
ly called sacra, & the which he also gathered together in
to his boke: But in case M. Dozman will needes draw
the wordes vsed by Justinian the Emperour, we following
things defind by holy canons to constitutions, and ordinā-
ces made in auncient councells, if so little hindereth vs,
y it maketh altogether with vs, for those holy canons,
that he speaketh of, had their confirmation, and autho-
ritie by Christian Princes, as I haue before declared

A REPROUFE OF M.

particularlie in certayne counsels : and therefore the auhoritie of the Empereour in ecclesiasticall matters is thereby confirmed, not hindered.

Mozeouer those constitutions be suche holye canons, as were made before Justinian his tyme : insin wherof these men doe boldly break, who so earnestly cal vs on vs, for the keping of their canons, neyther holy nor auncient, but new dancelish deuises made for the maine tenaunce of their owne wickednesse, & superstition, contrarie, both to the holy rules of the Scriptures which Justinian speaketh of, and contrarie to the auncient canons made in the olde counsels : and contrarie to all Justinian his constitutions, & lawes, with one little braunch whereof yet though he nothing to vs appertayning, this Popishe canonist chargeth vs : passing ouer in y meane season, with dissembling eyes, the corruptions, bryberies, and symonies, the whoredomes, concubinates, and most filthy life, the ignorance, ydlenesse, wicked playes, and pastimes, the usurpation of temporal dominion and iurisdiction, with a thousand moe abominations, in the Romishe court and cleargie most usuall and ordinarie.

² Cōstit. 13. Dorman fol. 48. The firste fower generall councelles defined the popes sanctissimus veteris Romæ papa, primus omnium superioritic, sacerdotū sit. We ordaine according to their definition (the not Phocas first fourc generall councells) that the most holy Pope of olde Rome, as the profe the chiche Priest. Nowell.

This is word for word borrowed out of his maister D. Hardinge his first booke : but like a wise man where his maister

master put Justinian and Phocas both in the terte; M. Dorman hath remoued Phocas into the margent of his booke, else all is one euerye worde; touching Justinian and Phocas ioyntly. He that list see this matter fully answered maye resorte to the Bishoppe of Sarisbarie his replie to D. Harding, where he shall finde both thys obiection, and the aunswere to it. Now I doe thinke that M. Dorman here referring the definition of the Popes superioritie, vnto the fourre first generall counsels, shall mislike þ Popes holynesse, (get he intelligence thereof,) for that he nowe, vpon better advise, wyl needes haue it to be defined by the holye Scriptures: fearing lest if it shoulde come to light, that his authoritie were defined by mans authoritie, it might be fined and censed also againe by the same. And in dede it is without all controuersie, that the first generall counsels, for god order sake and quietnesse, ordeined certaine sees to be primacies, patriarchall, or principall sees: the Bishopps wherof shoulde be the chiese, and aboue al other Bishopps within the precinct, compasse, & jurisdiction of the provinces, to them assigned. And after that Constantynople wared great & equal with olde Rome, the Bishop of that see was ordeyned, and made the seconde Patriarke. Nowe though these Patriarkes were all of equall authoritie, yet for auoyding of strife in assemblies, and meetings at Synodes and Counsels, euerye one of them had hys place in order assigned him. And soij that olde Rome (as it is in the Calcedonense counseil declared) was the auncient seate of the Empste, the Bishoppe of that see was ordeyned to be in order the first primate, or Patriarke: the Bishop of Constantynople bicause it was newe Rome, the nexte: the Bishop of Alexandria, the thirde: the Bishop of Antiochia,

Concil. Con-
stantinop. 1. et
Chalcedon.

Act. 16.

Act. 15.

the

In the 8. diuin.
sco pag. 241.
242.

A REPROUFE OF M.

Tom. i. Cōcil.
de accusatione
Polychronij
Hierosolymi-
tani. pag. 608.

the fourth: and the Bishop of Hierusalem, by the rising and flourishing of Constantinople, the first; and in deue such order was necessarie to be taken, for both the Byshoppes of Hierusalem first, and afterwarde the Byshoppes of Constantinople did straine for the first place. Now that the greatnessse and flourishing of the Cityes was the cause of this order, and not the holynesse or worthynesse of the firste Byshoppes, that satte in those Sees (as the Popes fasselye clayme by Saint Peter) is moste evident hereby, that Antiochia where Peter was firste, goeth behinde Alexandria, where Marke was firste: and Hierusalem the mosste holy Citie, where Iames was first, and also both Alexandria, and Antiochia, goe behinde Constantinople, of late before being but a meane, and poore Citie, onely for that it was by the presence of the Emperours (who after Constantinus Maginus made their moste resience there) growen to be moste great and flourishing.

Nowe the Emperour Justinian according to this order taken in the olde councelles, doth ordaine that the Pope or Byshop (for all is one) of olde Rome, according to the definitions of the Canons, shoulde be the formeſt or chiefe of all Priſtles: That is to ſaye, the firſte in order of the Primates or Patriarkes. For it is plaine by all Justinians lawes Eccleſiaſticall, where he ſpeaketh of thys matter, as I haue partly before declared, that Justinian the Emperour meante of no other chieftie: for he assigneth enerie Patriarke his owne Diocelle or Primacie ſeuerallye: But cheſe Popishe Sophifters wouulde reaſon vpon Justinians wordes, that their Pope ſhoulde be heade and gouernor of all other Primates or Patriarkes, and byſhops, and of the whole Churche of Christ in earth: which is as reaſonable gathered, as if that

Authent. col. i
Tit. 6. Quo-
modo oportet episcopos.

It were agred that the frenche King in order and dignitie should be the first of all other Christian Kinges, one wold thereof gather, that the Frenche King were the head and chiese gouernour of all other Christian Kinges, and whole Christendome. But the Emperour himselfe though in deede in dignitie the first and chiese of Christian Princes, doth yet claime no interest at all in other Christian kingdomes, whiche owe him no fealtie, muche lesse doth he claime the chiese headshippe, and gouernaunce ouer them, and their kingdomes.

This ambition therefore toucheth no Christian Princes, but is reserved for the Romish Antichrist, the Prince of this worldes generall Tirrane, and Bailliffe errant here in earth.

Dorman. Fol 49.

EPISTOLA IN-
TER CLARAS C.
DE SUM. TRI.
& FID. CA.
THOL.

The Pope
confessed by
Iustiniā the
Emperour
to be the
head of all
Churches.

Finallie howe in all like matters Iustinian is to be understande, if nothing elles his epistle written to Iohannes then B. of Rome is able sufficiently to enstruct vs. vwhere he most manifestlie protesteth, to suffer nothing that apperteineth to the estate of the churche, to passe yea although the truthe thereof be perspicuous and out of all double, without the bringing thereof first to the knowledge of his holinesse, and he addeth for a reason quia caput est omnium sanctarum ecclesiarum, because he is the head of all the holie churches that be.

No well.

The Epistola inter claras, whiche you here allege, with y matier therabout by you noted, is not so clara as Cod. li. i. De Co. you wold make it. For as Azo, & Greg. Haloander, doe tellis it is not to be scene at all in diuers booke. And gloſa, whosoeuer marketh Iustinians Lawes, continually

Z 22 proceſſa

A REPRO VFE OF M

proceedinge in lawlike forme, & with lawlike termes,
Sancimus, decernimus, definimus, iubemus, &c. we
decree, we ordeine, we define, we comaunde: & withall,
wel considereth that epistle of John h^t Bishop of Rome,
wherin he most miserablie both pleadeth, & beggeth for
the authoritie of his Romish see: and how h^t said epistle
is divided into tow partes, & how the Emperours letter
is inclosed in the middle of the Popes letters, one part of
the Popes letters going before h^t Emperour his letter,
& the residue going behinde, he may haue iust occasion
much to muse, how that long epistle of the Popes, & dis-
course about his see, should creape in emongst Justinian
his lawes, & may probable conjecture it to be thrust in
by some Papist, to thend that in h^t title of the most high
Trinitie, and the catholike faith, there might be some
thing placed, that sounded for the Popes supremacie, &
it might also be take for an article of h^t catholike faith,
and worthy to be set next the treatie of the most blessed
Trinitie: wheras in deede that epistle of Pope John it
is more mete, & worthy to be placed emongst the Popes
extrauagantes, than in Justinians lawes. And wheras
you do allege first, that the Emperour protesteth that he wold
suffer nothing apperteining to the estate of the church to passe, with-
out the bringing therof first to the Bishoppes of Romes knowlege:
sure the Emperour did wel, to let such learned men (as
were communely the Bishoppes of Rome at those daies)

Ad notitiæ vestre^g sanctitatis, &
innotescat vestræ him selfe passe in forme of Lawe. So will all wise and
good Princes, let suche matters as they do intend to
make proclamations or lawes of, come to the knowlege
of their councell before they passe them; but what mar-
keth

heth that for your purpose M. Dorman, or against vs:
 The Emperour Constantine might haue vsed the like
 woordes to Hosius Cordubensis: Valentinianus, and
 Theodosius the Emperours might haue vsed the like
 to S. Ambrose: any godlie Emperour may vse the like
 to any notable learned Bishop, that he would not suffer any
 Ecclesiastical matter to passe without his knowlege. But know-
 lege to consider & geue coucel, & authoritie to determine
 and make lawes, are not all one. But you will saye the
 pith of the matter foloweth in the cause why Justinian
 wold so referre all matters Ecclesiastical, to the Bishop
 of Rome: which you alleage thus. Quia caput est omniū
 ecclesiarum, because he is the head of all the holie churches that
 be. These woordes are not so in my booke M. Dorman,
 neither in any ould, or new print, þ I can find, but thus.
 Quæ caput est omniū sanctarū ecclesiarum: þ whiche
 is the head of all holie churches. And in the glose also it
 is expounded thus. Quæ Romana ecclesia, the whiche
 Romane churche is the head of all churches. Thus is þ
 text: thus is the glose. But this glosor M. Dorman, as a
 man now vpon his own ground, & law, bringeth vs fro
 the church to be head, to the Pope to be head, & therupon also
 maketh his marginal note thus. The Pope confessed by Iusti-
 nian the Emperour, to be the head of all churches. No sir, not so
 confessed by Iustinian the Emperour, but by M. Dorn-
 man the glosar. And so farre of is it, that Iustinian the
 Emperour doeth confess it, that your Pope John dare
 not chalenge it to him selfe, but to his see or churche, in
 the same epistola inter claras, by you here aleaged, by
 these very wordes. Romanæ sedis reverentiam obseruatis,
 & ei cuncta subiectis &c. quā esse oīm vere ecclesiarū caput,
 & patrum regulæ, & principum statuta declarant &c. that
 is to say: you (saith Pope John to Iustinian) do obserue

Cod. li. i. De Sa-
 ma Trinit. tit. i.

A REPROUFE OF M.

the reurence of the Romane see; & do byslng al thinges
Quam esse, nor in subiection to it: the whiche (see or Churche) both the
quem esse, as M. rulers of the fathers, & the statutes of Princes dw de-
Dorman would clare to be verelie the head of all Churches. Thus farre
haue ih,

are the Bishop of Romes wordes. Wherby you may
see M. Dorman, that it is neither confessed by the Em-
perour Iustinian, nor yet chalenged by the Pope him
selfe, that the Pope shoule be the head of all Churches,
but h the Church of Rome shoule be the head. And this
Pope John being not so well learned (that is , not so
well practised in falsehood) as are our holie fathers now,
maketh his chalenge for the headship of his Churche,
not by the authoritie of h Scriptures (as dw false Popes
& Papistes now a daies) but by the rules of the fathers,
and statutes of Princes. And you herein as a Lawter,
haue well folowed the Lawe, leauing the Scriptures,
and going about to proue your Pope head of all chur-
ches by Iustinians Lawes, by you for that purpose fal-
sified. The whiche Pope, by the statutes of Princes,
should most iustly lose the authoritie, by the statutes of
Princes to him graunted, for the vniust abuse of the
same, contrarie to the said statutes of Princes : and for
claiming, and falsely usurping muche more than euer
was graunted or geuen him. But you will (I thinke)
replie. If the Emperour Iustinian did confess the churche
of Rome to be head of all Churches: Ergo wthall, he
confessed the Pope of Rome to be head of all Churches.
Whiche is like, as if one would say: Because London
is the head of all the cities in Englannde: therfore the
Mator of London is head of all the cities in Englannde,
and both may be well your reasons M. Dorman.

Now that the Churche of Rome (for that the citie
of Rome

of Rome was the seate of the Empire; and so the head
cittie of all other) might also be called the head of all
Churches, that is the chiefe Church, by a certen phrase,
vsed by Justinian, we will not greatly denie: but who
soever leeth the same phrase and very woordes vsed in
Pope Johns epistle, may wel thinke that they were by
some Popishe artificer thrust into Justinian the Em-
perour his epistle also. But be it, Justinian called the
Churche of Rome head of all Churches, what proueth
that phrase any moze for that vniuersall rule and au-
thoritie of the Pope ouer all Churches, whiche he nowe
clameth, than this phrase which I speake of before: Lo-
don is the head of all cities in England, proueth that
Londō, or þ Matoz thereof, hath an vniuersall power &
authoritie ouer all the cities of England? But of such
phrases as these, the Popishe Sophisters make many
captious clenches, and perelous paralogismes.

Now, that Justinian the Emperour ment not that
elther the Bishop or Churche of Rome should haue any
headship ouer other Churches, otherwise than to be the
first Bishop, and Churche in ordre and place, is evident
by Justinian the Emperour him selfe, who deuideth the Authent. col. 7.
Patrarkes and their diocesses (for so the Emperour in Tit. 6. Pag. 75.
his Lawes termeth them) or their Patrarchates (as patriarchas uni-
the glose there termeth them) severally: and doeth by uscuiusque dia-
ceseos.
In glosa. id est, the said Patrarkes, the Bishop of Rome, as well as Patriarchatus.
the other Patrarches, euerse one of thē to looke to the
lauffull ordeining of Bishoppes vnder their several ju-
risdiction, accoordinge to the saide Emperours lawes:
Whiche both openeth the matter of these severall juris-
dicti ons, and that theresoze the Bishoppe of Rome had
not an

A REPROVYF OF M.

not an uniuersall iurisdiction ouer all churches, or the whole churche: and also that the Emperour Justinian was the Bishop of Romes superior.

Cod. De sacro-
sanctis ecclesijs
omni invoca-
tione.

Authent. vt de-
terminatus sit
numerus Cleri-
corum.

Nouel. Cōſt. 83.

Cod. De sacro-
sanctis ecclesijs.
Decernimus.

Et Nicephori
lib. t. cap. 28.

1. Yea the same Emperour Justinian in his lawes declareth that the churche of the citie of Constantinople, enioyeth the same p̄rogative that the churche of the elder Rome doeth enioye, and he nameth the Patriarke of Constantinople bntuersal Patriarke, whiche both doo quite ouerthowre your Popes supremacie, and uniuersall power ouer the whole churche.

2. Justinian the Emperour ordeined or decreed that the see of Justiniana prima in þ provinces subiect to it, shuld haue the place that the Apostolike see of Rome had in the provinces vnder it, and as Nicephorus saith that it shoulde be head to it selfe, with full power. The like he did of the see of Justiniana secunda, whiche also cleane ouerthoweth that supremacie of the Bishop of Rome, and uniuersall power ouer the whole churche nowe by the Papistes surmised.

These are sufficient wtnesses, that Justinian the Emperour never ment, that your Romishe Pope nor thurche shoulde haue any chieftie or headshippe ouer all churches; as is nowe by that usurper claimed: and that the Bishop of Rome to be the chiefe of all Priestes, and the churche of Rome to be the head of all churches, is with Justinian nothing elles, but to haue the chiefe or first place in ordre, and not to haue an uniuersal rule or power ouer all Bishops, and Churches, as is nowe falso claiimed by that usurper.

Authent. col. 1. 2. I may also adde here, that Justinian the Emperour eit. 6. Quomo- declareth in his lawes, that the Pope hath no tempo- do oportat e- rial iurisdiction of the Empire; and that he ought not giscopos. to intermedle in Tempozall matiers. Thus muche concer-

concerning Justinians lawes touching the Pope.

Euag. li. 4. Now Justinian the Emperour, assembled the By-
cap. 38. shops to the councell at Constantinople, called the fist
Nicep. li. 17 generall council, and gouerneth the councel. In which
cap. 27. & Concil. 5. councell not the Bishop of Rome, but Mennas, the Pa-
triarche of Constantinople was præsident.
Act. 1. &c. Concil. 5. 5. He confirmeth the decree of the councell.
Act. 1. fa. 61. 62. 63.

Act. 1. Paulus Bishop of Apamæa with manie other By-
In libellis Shops & men of the Cleargie, do acknowledge the Em-
Pauli epis- perour his chiefe authoritie in Ecclesiasticall matters.
copi Apa-
mæarum & monachorum secundæ Syriæ. &c.

Tom. 2. 6. And Mennas the Patriarche and præsident of the cou-
Cœcil. fa. 21. sell, doth exprestly declare before the whole councell, that
22. 23. &c. & no controversies moued in the hōle Church ought to be
Act. 4. fa. 87 determined without the Emperour his minde and cou-
sol 2. Nihil eorū maundement.
quæ in sanctis. ecclesia mouetur, conuenit fieri p̄pter opinionem & iussum ip-
bus Imperatoris.

And as Justinian y Emperour made lawes touching
the Primates, and Patriarches, and emongst them the
Bishop of Rome: so made he lawes concerning other
Ecclesiastical persons and matters.

Nouellariū. 7. As touching the nūber of the Cleargie, that it shal
Const. 3. be certen, & according to the revenues of every churche.

No. Cōst. 16. 8. Cōcerning remouing of Clearkes frō one Churche
to an other, for supplyng of defectes.

No. Cōst. 83 9. Concerning the correction of Clearkes.

No. Cōst. 6. 10. Cōcerning y ordesning of Bishops, & the charges
of the same.

No. Cōst. 57 11. Concerning seruice of the Church, y it be not done
in private houses.

x. Cont.

A R E P R O V F E O F M.

12. Concerning executes and seruets about the dead, & repressing of the insatiable auarice & vysbervise of p̄fes̄es than beginning to growe great.
- Constit. 59. 13.
- Conſtit. 131. 13. Concerning p̄fes̄es of Churches.
- Conſtit. 58. 14. Concerninge residences of Bishoppes, and other Ecclesiasticall miniters.
- Nicepho. lib. 17. 15. The Emperour Iustinian as did before him Conſtantinus, and Iustinus, and after him Mauritius Emperours, ordeined certen holidates to be kept, and he made Psalmes, and comauanded them to be song in churches: whiche was obſerued afterward as an inviolable law.
- Nouel. const. 5. 16. Concerning Monasteries, Monkes and their gouernours he maketh lawes, evidently declaring his chiefe 79. &c. Conſtit. 133. authoritie ouer thole persons also, who now by Popish p̄fes̄es are exempt from all subjection to Princes.
- Cod. lib. 1. &c. 17. And he did not onelie make Ecclesiasticall lawes him ſelue, but alio hath gathered together a great number of Ecclesiasticall Lawes, made by his p̄ædeceſſours godly Emperours, Conſtantinus, Valentianus, Gratianus, Archadius, Honorius, and Martianus, to be ſcene in the Cod. to long here to be reherſed. Whiche lawes of Iustinian and thole other godlie Emperours, who ſo ever will perufe & wel conider, thal never after doubt, but that Christian Emperours, fro Conſtantinus Mag- nus, the firſt Christian Emperour knownen, unto this Iustinianus the Emperour his time, by the ſpace of aboue towre hundreth yeeres, in that part of the p̄fma- tive Churche (whiche in comparison to the moſt corrupt Romishe Synagogue that nowe is, was moſt pure) had the chiefe authoritie ouer persons, and in matters Ecclesiasticall: howſoever theſe wilie Romishe foxes haue creapt out of their earthes, into the poſſeſſion of the Diſe right,

eight, now in these later corrupt and blinde dales.

And I thinke that the multitude of Lawes Ecclesiastical made by Chistian Princes, being to M. Dorman as a great Lawyer, not unknowe, caused him that when he had once in his diuision of iurisdiction Ecclesiastical made mention of authoruite in makinge rules and lawes, for the governement of the Churche, he durst never after speake of it againe, but passed it ouer in silence in this whole treatie of iurisdiction Ecclesiastical, as though it were no part thereof, and as though he had nothinge to do therewith.

Sup. fol. 18. 2.

Dorman. Fol. 49.

To conclude therfore, touching the examples brought from the doinges of the Emperour Justinian, what so ever they be, I ans were that he did those thinges as folowinge the olde canons and rules of concrelles before, deuising nothinge himselfe, but by his lawes ad- ding to them terrore, to cause them to be of all men the better obserued, or elles that what so ever heordeine himselfe and put furth in his owne name, he did first communicate with the B. of ROME (as in the epistle before alleaged he promised he would) and procured it to be ratyfied by his auctorite. And these answers I hope you haue hard by the Emperour himselfe in the places by me before alleaged, sufficientlie proued.

Nowell.

You doe well to repeete your Canonistes shyltes againe in your conclusion: but you doe still omitte the third shill, that godlie Princes doe make lawes to keape vngodlie priests in some ordre and honestie of life.

Now whosoeuer considereth in Justinian the Emperours lawes his great and chise authortie; contynue

A A A nuaallie

A REPROUFE OF M

nuallie shewed and declared by commaunding , char-
ging, permitting , forbidding , all Patriarkes, Arch-
bischoppes, Bishoppes, &c. and amongst them the Bis-
hop of Rome by name : and by vsing all other termes
and woordes, possiblie to be vsed, for the moste effectu-
ous declaration of his chiese authoerite and gouerne-
ment ouer the saide persons , and matiers Ecclesiasti-
call, concerning the whiche the said lawes are by Justi-
nian made : and marketh howe he breaketh , and dis-
wolleth the ould conveleous customes of the cleargie by
nue constitutions by him selfe made : and withall no-
teth the penaltties by the saide Emperour appointed
upon all Ecclesiastical persons, that should transgresse
his said lawes, wil easilie understande, that Justinian
his chiese authoerite, is nothing impaired nor hindred
by his conference with Pope John , as with a learned
man, soz advise sake, (not soz any ratifieng of the impe-
riall lawes by his authoerite, as M. Dozman and o-
ther Papistes do lie) neither by his solowing of the ho-
lie rules, that is to saye, of the holie Scriptures, nor of
the formar lawes, by the auncient Emperours his pre-
decessours before made , nor of the holie Canons al-
wayes by the authoerite of Christian Princes confir-
med and established. For what can these thinges more
hinder the chiese authoerite of the Emperour Justinian,
than it doeth hinder other godlie Christian Princes
chiese authoerite , or the chiese authoerite of the highe
councell of Parlyament, that they do solowe the holie
Scriptures , or the good advise of learned men , or the
præsidentes of auncient lawes, in the making and set-
ting forth of nre constitutions , ordinances , or
lawes ?

Dormant

Dorman. Fol. 49.

The substance and verie strength of our aduersaries reasons you
 haue hetherto haerde. There remaineth one or two testimonies mo,
 brought of late by M. Haddon in answere to the learned epistle of
 Hieronimus Osorius, as that S. Paule saith that euerie soule shoulde
 be obedient to the higher powers : in whiche woordes they saye
 that neither Bisshop Priest nor Monk is excepted, and that S. Pe-
 ter willeth all men to be subiect to euerie humaine creature for
 Goddes sake, whether it be to the Kinge as to the chiefeſt and ſo
 furth. The whiche reasons (if reasons theire maye be called that co-
 ſift of mere folie) because they are ſo childiſhē that euerie child may
 in a manner anſwere them, and ſo fooliſhē that he is more then a
 foole that is by them moued : as lothe to ſpende ſo muche time in
 vaine, or trouble your eares and eyes for nothing, I paſſe ouer.
 Onelie this I ſaie, that even as Priſtēs and all without exception,
 owe obedience to their Prince in thofe thinges that conerne his
 turifdiction, I meane thinges temporall: ſo on the other ſide ment
 neither S. Peter nor S. Paule, to give them any preeminence in mat-
 ters ecclēfiaſtically. For in thofe thinges, they call as fast apon obe-
 dience to be exhibited towardes the cleargie, namelie S. Paule,
 who addeth the reaſon to be, for that thei are the watchmen, which
 marche to giue the account for our ſoules. The whiche woordes
 can no more be underſtande of ciuile magiſtrates (who could then
 full euell be called watchmen for other, being them ſelues fast a
 fleape and drowneſed as it were, in the dead ſleep of iñfidelitie):
 than their other place of obedience towardes the king, can be under-
 ſtande of matters concerning religion. Vvhiche anie man that
 hath but halfe an eye maie caſclic perceave it can not, if he caſt but
 a quarter therof to that time, in which S. Peter wrote thofe woordes:
 which was in the reigne of Nero, whom by all likelihood (being to
 Christ and his littel flocke an veter enemie, and extreame persecu-
 tor) he would never make or name to be, (a cruel gredie, and rau-
 age-

A A A 2 nouse

Rom. 13.

E Cap. 2.

Hebr. 13.

A REPROUFE OF M. D.

nouse Wolfe) the gouernor and leader of the meke and simple shepe. To bid them obete him in matters of religion, had bene to bid them to disobeie Christe, to refuse him and cast him of. VVherefore that obedience must be resynded, which it can be to no other things, then such as onely consist in ciuile and politike gouernement.

No well.

Dor. sup. fo. 17.b
I shal truly bring alleage for the, by their proctor M. Dozman, here brought to foot, into the into the face of open Court, as he promised, dw appear to face of opē court al the discreet readers, to be suche as are most meete for all such euidēce suchē men and matiers, and specially meetest of all o^r of impottaunce, thers, for their trustie procto^r M. Dozman: who now in as either parte hath to alleage the coēclusion is determined to dw a wozke of supereross for the selues, &c gation, in consulting also of D. Haddon. And M. Dozman might in deede well haue passed ouer D. Haddon his reasons, seing his wisdome iudged them to consist of mere folie, and to be so childy h, and folis he, that he is more than a foole that is by them moued. For the whiche causes though he saith, he will passe them ouer, yet notwithstanding his wyldome saith vnto the said reasons, all that his reason could serue him to say. And what phrase or figure of Rhetorike it is, that M. Dozman here useth, I can not say. Now D. Haddons woordes touching this maier, are these. Euangelii potestates distinguens, pri-
mam collocat authoritatem regalem; & subter eam reliquas subiicit, authoribus Petro & Paulo, quodri-
vus nominib^z ad Romanæ sedis regnum abutimini
that is: The Ghospel making a distinction of powers setteth the kingly autho^rtie in the chies place, and pla-
seth other autho^rties vnder it, by the autho^rtie of Pe-
ter and

ter and Paule, whose names you do abuse to the maintenaunce of the kingdome of the Romaine see.

These are D. Haddons woordes: wherein I pray you what chldishnes doth your grauitie finde? What folly and foolishnesse findeth your wisdome in them? Sure I am, no wylle man can finde in them any other thing than the very trathe: soz certenlie that whiche D. Had-
don here saleteth, is to be founde in Peter and Paule. Rom.13.
Chrysostome vpō S. Paules woordes to the Romaines, Tit. 3.
saith, that neither Bishop, nor Priest, nor Monke, is
excepted from the obedience to the Prince. You may
therefore, if it please you, & in dede you do deride Chrys-
ostomes chldishnes, folly, and foolishnes rather than
D. Haddons: whose woorthines ca not by your vnwo-
rthe woordes be any thing blemished.

Now M. Dozman thinketh because Princes were
Heathen and unchristened whan S. Paule and S. Pe-
ter wrote those epistles, that obedience to Princes by
them taught, is to be geuen to Christian Princes in no
moe thinges, nor further than it was to be geuen to
Pagane Princes, who reigned, whan they dtd write
the said epistles. By the like reason a leward childe
mght answere his fater that would teach him the ar-
ticles of his faith, that Honora parentem; was spoken
when parentes for the moste part were Pagans, and
that therfore his fater passeth his comission, to deale
in suche matters. But M. Dozman, Gods lawe is not a
law for certen daies, yeeres, or ages, but for all times.
And if S. Paule and S. Peter willed all men without
exception to obey Pagane Princes, muche more it in-
forceth, y they shuld obey Christian Princes. You say,
that Princes than could full enill be calld warche men, for other
A Aaa 3 being

A REPROVFE OF M.

being them selues fast a sleape, and drowned in the dead sleape of infidelitie. You say true: but bilike your Popes of Rome, (who are by your iudgement in al thinges to be obeyed) were than broad wakynge? But if your Popes of Rome were then in as dead a sleape as the Emperours, and more dead tw, for then they had no being, what scrueith your reason against Christian Princes, whan God after did sende them, more than it doeth against your Popes? As S. Paul and S. Peter exprestie do commaunde all men to be obedient to the higher powers, and speciallise to the king, as the chiese: had they in like manner said, let euery soule be obedient to all Ecclesiastical gouernours, and speciallise to the Popes of Rome, as to the chiese, if we shold haue made an exception to Popes, for that they were unknownen, and bennete to be called watchemen, whan S. Paul and S. Peter sayte, I beleauie you would quicklie replie, that Gods woord foreshewed of them to come, and bindeth men of all ages, by a perpetuall lawe, to obey them whan they come, and be in place. I praye you let vs haue the same reason at your handes for Christian Princes, and let them, making lawes, and gevinge commaundement for the maintenaunce of Christes Religion, be obeyed, though Pagane Princes wold do no such thing: let not the goodness of Christian Princes present, be hindred by the naughtines of Pagane Princes passed, god Dorman. And if we can not haue this reason at your handes, I trust we shall haue it at moze reasonable mens handes.

Lib. 5. in proce.
anno. Socrates in his historie Ecclesiastical hath these
woordes. Ipsos quoq; Imperatores hac historia con-
tinua complectimur, propterea quod ab illis, posteaq;
Christiani

Christiani esse cœperunt, res ecclesiasticæ pendent, & maximæ Synodi ex illorum sententia, & congregata sunt & congregantur, that is to say: we do in this continuall historie let looth the Emperours them selues also, because that Ecclesiastical matiers do depend vpon them, after they once became Christians, and the greatest Synodes or counells both haue ben, and are gathered according to their mind and determination. Thus saire Socrates: who though he would graunt vnto M. Dozman, that church matiers depended not so much vpon Nero, in whose time S. Paule & S. Peter did write of obedience to Princes, yet affirmeth he, y aler Princes were Christened, Ecclesiastical matiers depended vpon them.

Now is S. Augustin touching this mater, very plaine, August. epist. 106
 Who saith thus: Imperatores si in errore essent (quod absit) pro errore suo contra veritatem leges darent, per quas iusti & probarentur, & coronarentur, non faciendo quod illi iubarent, quia Deus prohiberet. &c. Quando autem Imperatores veritatem tenent, pro ipsa veritate contra errorem iubent, quod quisquis contemserit, ipse sibi iudicium acquirit. Nam & inter homines patnas luit, & apud Deum frontem non habebit, qui hoc facere noluimus quod ei per eorū Regis ipsa veritas iussit. &c. that is to say: Emperours if they were in errors (which God forbad) would make lawes for their error against the trath: whereby godly men might both be tried and crowned, by not doinge that which thei shoulde commaunde, because God forbiddeth it. But whan Emperours shoulde the trath, thei do gene commaundement for the trath it selfe against error, & which commaundement whosoeuer shall despise, he purchaseth to him selfe iudgement or damnation thereby: for both he shalbe punished amongst men, and shall not be hable

Frontem non
habebit.

beatis fratrum

A.D. 5.

hable to shew his face before God, who would not do
that, whiche the truthe it selfe hath by the heart of the
king commaunded him. Thus farre S. Augustine de-
claring that though no obediencie were to be geuen to
Pero (in whose time S. Paule and S. Peter did write
of obedience to Princes) commaunding wicked thinges
against Gods commaundement, yet that obediencie is
to be geuen to godlie Emperours and Princes, comau-
ding for the truth in Ecclesiastical matters, (for of the
he speaketh) according to Gods commaundement, and
that whosoever doth disobey the godlie Prince so com-
maunding, procureth to him dānation: for that in such
cause the truth it self speaketh by the heart of the king.
And what can be said more for your Priestes, than is
here by S. Austin said for Princes. If you would gather
of those tyme, whan S. Paule and S. Peter did write
of obedience to Princes, who thā were wicked and un-
godly, that vngodlie Princes are not to be obeyed in
matters Ecclesiastical: I answeare, no more are vngod-
lie Priestes: and I prove it by S. Peter, who wrtinge
of obedience to Princes, disobeyed wicked Priestes,
Annas, and Caiphas, commaundinge against God.
Had not S. Peter, it is better to obey God than man,
even to Priestes, and to the highe Prelatte? And what
can you say more to wicked Princes, than said S. Pe-
ter to wicked Priestes: And as we do graunt, that ne-
ther Pero nor no Christian Prince is to be obeyed, if he
shall commaunde against God: so dare you denle, but
that neither Annas, nor Caiphas, nor other wicked
Priestes are to be obeyed, if they shall commaunde a-
gainst Christ: But as godlie Priestes are to be obeyed,
teaching according to Gods commaundement, so are

Christian

Christia Princes likewise to be obeyed making lawes, or geuing commaundementes according to Gods lawe and commaundement, in Ecclesiasticall matiers by S. Augustines mynd. So that neither Priestes nor Princes, in that they be Priestes, or Princes, but in that they say or commaund lawfull, and godlie thinges, are in matiers ecclesiasticall to be obeyed.

Where you do graunt vnto vs, that Princes are to be obeyed in ciuill and tempozall matiers, and thinges, of all persons, we thake you: you seeme to graunt your Popes usurpation in taking ciuill dominion vpon him, and to agree herein with the ciuill lawe, whiche forbiddeth Priestes, and the Pope him selfe, intermeddling in ciuill rule and dominio. But you do scarsle agree with your Canon lawe: in the booke wherof you may find written, Papa totius orbis obtinet principatum, that the Pope hath the Princeedom of the whole wrold. And lib. 3. tit. 16. cap. 5. it is contrarie also to the exemptuous and immunities, Periculoso. in which, Monkes, Friers, Nonnes, and popish Priestes, gloso. do claime from Princes iudgements and lawes, euen in most tempozall & ciuill matters, as robberies, murthers, & treasons. And it is contrarie to your maister D. Harding, who teacheth that the Pope may rule Temporallie, though a Prince may not rule spirituallie. I would wishe therfore, you would see your Canon lawe booke mended, your licentious popishe immunitiess restrained, and you M. Dorman to be frendlie aduertised.

Sext. Decretal.
lib. 3. tit. 16. cap. 5.
Periculoso. in
gloso.

D. Hard. Cons.
Apolog. fol. 305.

Dorman. fol. 50.

Thus having I trust good readers satisfied both you and my preesse, it foloweth now that I shewe who is that Priest, that ought to be the head of Christes churche here in earth.

W^Wb^b

Newell

A REPROOFE OF M.^o

Nowell.

Supra. fol. 15. b. Your promesse was to prove: That the head of Christes churche here in earth must needes be a priest. Whiche your misserable proposition after you had once written it with great letters in the first fronde of your booke, you never after respected, or regarded, but leauinge it post alone vsterlie destitute and naked, you fall to prouinge that Priestes shold haue knowlege to discerne in matters of Religion, that they may instruct and teache the people, and direct them in scruples of their cosciences, and deale in churche matters, whiche was never denied to learned Priestes: and whiche apperteining to all learned Priestes & qualite, can prove no one Priest to be head ouer al other. Your promesse was to prove by iust consequence that neither Lay man, woman, nor child can be capable of the office, to be head of Christes Churche here in earth. A wooy this promesse, and well performed in prouinge that, whiche no man ever denied. And where you sylte slipinge from your promesse, of prouinge of your head Priest, ment to promesse, and to prove that Christian Princes be not the chiese gouernours in the Churches within their owne dominions, you leauinge that also, haue proued that Princes may not preache, not vse the power of the keies, or bynde and loose, not minister the Sacramentes, and take vpon them the execusion of Priestes offices, as though these thinges needed ante proues: as though any man had denied these thinges. The satynges of the ancient Doctours written against Princes that were Heretikes, and Tyranttes, who by force oppressed the Churche, and the truthe with it, you do malitiouslie heape together, and fassie appiles to godlie Christian Princes, & speciallie to
que

Dor. fol. 15. 2.

our gracious soueraigne ducle doing her Princele of-
fice in maintaininge the truthe, and being moste merci-
full, euen to her moste deadlie enemis. And being de-
stitute of good testimonies, you do bringe in Pagans Dor. sup. fo. 23.
and Heathen Princes, Hæretikes, Tyranttes, and 24. Gallio, Au-
Apostatas, witnessess moste mete for your Pope, and relian, Theodo-
you. And for lacke of ancient witnessess, you bringe in ricus, Julian.
Basilus the Emperour, Leo Isaurus, Damascene, Sup. fo. 23. 27. &c.
With others, sare without the compasse of yeares, Dor. fol. 51. 2.
Wherunto, by promesse, you do binde your selfe; and
for like lacke of all antiquitie, you haue filled a great Sup. fo. 17. 19. 27.
part of your booke with John Caluins testimonies. 28. 29. &c.

And all these haue you by your accustomed arte, cur-
tailed, depzaued, and falleſſed, as I haue particularlie
In their severall places declared.

Again, your promesse was, that you wold trulie bring forth Dor. sup. fo. 17. b.
into the face of open court all such euidence of importance, as either
part hath to alleage for them selues; so trulie you trush, that the cou-
cell of the other side shall haue no cause to complaine, that either you
haue suppressed or concealed their necessarie proues one waie, or ob-
scured their beautie in bringing of them foorth an other waie.
This is your promesse also, The last part whereof (for
I will speake first of it) you haue not trulie performed;
for you p̄tendinge to haue chosen the reasons of the
Apologie, as moste pitthe for our part, did onelie in-
tend to abuse the great, and necessarie breuitie thercof,
so occasions of continuall quarellinge with it, for o-
mitting of certen circumstances, which you p̄tend are
lacking, but the same in dede both being vnnecessarie,
and also impossible to haue bene recorded in so great
breuitie. And yet haue you curtailed, mangled, and
concealed the best part of the saide euidence, though
but shorlie showed, and haue conueied in sted thereof,

A REPROVFE OF M.

your owne baggage, as our euidence , as I haue in the
processe of mine answere to you so dealing, at large de-
clared: and so haue you geuen vs iust cause of complaint
of the breache of your promesse, and of your vnapright
dealing in that behalfe.

In dede touching the first part of your promesse, you
haue well endeououred your selfe to perfoyme it: for you
ooke it for a certaintie, that of al other writers of your
side in these dayes, Hossius was the chiche, and his rea-
sons and allegatiōs of all other you ludged to be of most
weightie importaunce. Wherfore you haue wisely out
of his answere to Brentius , specially the second booke
therof, intituled De Iudicibus ecclesiasticis, borowed,
may I say, or scullen all this your treatie, without a-
ny altering at all , sauing onely the transposing of the
places, by setting before in your booke, that whiche is
after in Hossius , and contrariwise , in whiche facultie
you are no simple artificer. And there was never since
bookes were first written , I trowe , ante one wozke so
miserable mangled, mengled, transposed, transuerced,
peruerted, as is Hossius his answere made to Brentius,
speciallie þ second booke thereof, here by you tossed, & tur-
molled : neither haue you hereby yet obteined þ whiche
you sought, to keape your stealing secret . Yea & some-
time also(as men of your occupation by vse of pikinge
ð) you war boulder in borowing, and by whole hand-
fulls take halfe a dozen Doctorz, and as mante or moe
textes of the Scriptures together , in order as they do
lie in your Hossius. And in deed M. Dorman, had you,
thus doing, simple professed your selfe to be a transla-
tor of Hossius his second booke (as you in deed are) as
did your felow M. Shakerley of his first booke, though
it had bene not so Bacheler like, but lasse to your ho-
nor,

nour, yet had it bene a great deale moze for your hono-
rie. Well, having Hosius on your side, were it by tra-
nlation or otherwise, you thinke you may safely saye,
that you haue performed your promesse, in bringing in all suche evi-
dence of importaunce, as your side hath to alleage for you. For
what can your side say, that is of any importaunce, that
Hosius hath left unsaid? For, your Hosius is the Pa-
triarche of Papistes, and worthely for his doinges crea-
ted a Romalne Cardinall: all whose laiynges and wry-
tinges you English Papistes do not only learne with-
out the booke, vt pueri magni dictata Magistri, as scho-
lers do their maisters latins or lessons, but also do ther-
with stusse al your bookes, as many of you as do take
pen in hand. But you M. Dormā do farre passe al other
in bouldnes of boozowing, for in comparison of you, that
Esopes though, or lacke daw, that chose and piked of
evere bird a fether, to adourne him selfe withal, though
otherwise a ridiculous paterne of proule pikers, yet is
he in comparison to you, decking your selfe with y spoile
of one onelie Peacocke, very shamesake, & modest: whiche
Peacocke, had he again al the gay fethers, that you haue
by whole handfulls, plucked out of his proud taile, he
shuld leauue you moze bare, tha enver was yet any baill
rowte. And as you haue handled Hosius in this treatise,
so haue you vsed your master D. Hardinge in y residue
of your booke, of a part of whose booke, you haue with
great facilite, and gaſte also made yours.

And yet you thus doinge, would ſeme like to thole
Woortheie auſtient writers, who tooke ſome benefite of
the bookeſ of former anthourſ: and you are not alſo disproufe-
med in the defence of this your moſt mankeſt and ſha-
meleſſe theſte & robborie, to make mention of Cicero,
Plato, Socrates, and Aristotle, whome you reſemblē

nothing so herre, as doth Iache a napes in his Jarke,
resemble a goodlie man comely apparelled. Pea, and
you do accompt the most iust blaming of such your rob-
berie, to be the maintenaunce of a paradox, and straunge opinion,
suche as is meete onelie for Idioies and fooles to mainaine: & you
come in with nihil est dictum, quod non dictum prius, for a
defense of your sayngs the same, woorde for woorde,
whiche was so lately before, both said, and written also
by others, that if your memorie failed you to reherse it,
you might looke vpon the booke, and reade it: and by co-
pyeng of it out wholly, make vs an whole new booke,
so become a wortlie writer, and a famous authour, and
God will. And for that I chaunced to name you, & your
felowes, thus dealing, scelle translatours, you sumyng
therat, do say: that so to thinke, is but a found coniecture, smo-
king out of mine idle braine. And you haue for an answere,
In your smoking sume noted out of your late disprooche
of my booke an huge numbre of lies, even suche as is
this, that I called you scelle translatour, whiche you as
verelie are, as you are M. Dozman: unlesse you thinke
I haue made a lie by diminution, where I more truelie
might haue called you a shamefull, & shamelesse, rather
than a scelle translatour.

And though you charge my former answere to you
with manie lies, (for what is more easie, or vsuall, to a
lier, than lynglie to say, that an other man lieth) yet
that I haue as truelie written in the rest of the saide an-
swere to you, as I did in naming you a scelle transla-
touer, and in sayng I did answere Hossius (of whom
you haue borrowed all you haue in this treatise) rather
than you, the learned, who can iudge colours, and the
hue of lies, and truthes, do, I doubt nothing alreadie
perceve, and well knowe: and the vnlearned shall by
judicione & iudicium Gods

God's grace shortly understande the same, and wthal; that in your saide disprouse there is nothing elles bat baine smokes, yole talkes, and false fables, and th deede a verie recantation of that, whiche you had before written: as I shall in tyme conuenient make most manifest to all that will understande. Trustinge that in the meane tyme, all reasonable me will beare with me, in þ I do not in printed booke, which can not be revoked, comitte my dolings to the due & iudgement of alsortes of me, learned & unlearned, indifferēt & partiall, friedes & foes, so sodenlie, and in such post pale, as dw our Englishe Papistes: who dw ſeeke onelie to ſcrue the preſent tyme, and turne, and by hauſte ſending abroade of other mens woorkes, for their owne, to procure, or reſcine, with their fauourers, credite, and an opinion of great Cleackes, and to make a muſter of manie booke, and a ſhowe of readie defence & maintenaunce of their popiſhe matters, the naughtines and falſhood of the whiche they knowe right well, that tyme the triar of truthe will reuaile unto the worlde. But their prayſe whiche by hauſte writing, they purchafe with their fauourers, I thinke them not: my busynes and age, is not fitte nowe for ſuche poſt hauſte: neither is my minde thereby either to haſſerde my ſelue, or to abuse others: and I dw looke rather for the deliberate allowance of the diſcreate reader in due tyme, for writing truthe: tha for the hauſte prayſes of rashē iudges, for writing tyt-melte: knowing that the coniouerſies betweene vs, and the Papistes, ſhall finallie be tried not by hauſte writing, nor by multitude of booke, but by the ſubſtantiall and plaine ſettinge foorth of the truthe before the eyes of thone, that can (as I before ſaid) iudge of the couours & hues of truthe and falſhood. And I doubt noa thing.

thing but my dealing with M. Dozman, shall be a monument of the falshooде and impudencie of Papistes, when poperie shalbe extinguished.

Dorm. fol. 50. b. Now M. Dozman thinketh he hath quitté him selfe like a man, & saith that he hath remoued, and tumbled blockes, and stumbling stones out of the wye, wheras in dede he hath done nothing els, but with the leauer of his translatiō tumbled such blockes & stubbling stones, as were by Hosius lasde in latine mens wates, into the pathes of our countrey men: whiche any other euill willed lothering labourer in the Popes workes, might easely haue done, as wel as M. Dozman. And now sir, the mā is come vnto his principal poict of the Popes supremacie ouer the church: whiche mischievous blocke, & stumbling stone he will bring walowing, with more ease & spead by his maister D. Hardinge his prouision, than he did the other by Hosius his helpe. And will you see M. Dozmans profitable instrutions of yong men, such as right well doth become such a Bachelor of Divinitie: who not contented by the exāple and paterne of his formar treatise, only to haue taught such as are a litle learned in y latine lāguage, doth also by this treatise instruct such clerkes, as know only their English tongue, how they may both very timely, and with shōrt studie, & small paines, become worthy wriſters, & famous authours: the one by trāslatiō out of latine bookeſ, the other by transpositiō of English bookeſ, & by the onely ſkil of y ſcheme & trope, whiche is termed Hysteron proteron: the uſe of the whiche was never thzoughblie knownen vntil M. Dozman became a writer. And as I haue before declared M. Dozmans method in his handling of Hosius, in y formar treatise, so am I by promies bōden to ſet forth y forme of his dealing w D. Hardinge, in the residue of this his booke.

A forme

A forme of M. Dormans Methode, and disposition, or rather misposition of the matiers conteined in his Maister D. Hardings booke, which was printed a fewe wekes, before this his booke came abrode. With the Bishop of Sarisburie his answere to them bothe.

Authorities and places of the Doctors and Councelles.	Alleged by M. Dorman in his. 3. partie, and firstie principall Ar- ticle.	Borrowed of his Master D. Har- dinge out of his fourth Ar- ticle.	Answered by the Bishop of Sarisburie in his replie to Mai- ster Hard. his 4. Article.
¶ The treatie, that S. Peter vvas called head of Christes Church, and so eslewher, that that title belongeth to the Pope.	Is prosecutued by M. Dorman in the fourre first leaues, that is, fol. 51. 52. 53. 54.	In three of his last leaues, of that treatie . that is, fol. 91. 92. 93.	In the Diuisio. 31. pag. 301. 302. 303. &c. and Diuisio 32. pag. 307. 308. &c.
¶ S. Augustine, sermon. 24. de tempore.	Dorm. fol. 51 b.	Hard. fol. 93 b.	Sarū. Art. 4. Diuis. 32. pa. 307. 309. &c.
¶ Chrysostom. Homil. in Math. 55. 12.	Dorm. fol. 52 a.	Hard. fol. 93 a.	Sarū. Diuis. 32. pag. 307. 308. &c.
¶ Athanasi with other Bishoppes of Egipt, Thebaida and Libia.	Dorm. fol. 55 b.	Hard. fol. 76 b. 77. a.	Sarū. Diuis. 6. Pag. 231. 232. &c.
¶ Nicene coucill falsely in Athanasi name alleged.	Dorm. fol. 56 a.	Hard. fol. 78 a. b.	Sarū. Ibidem.
¶ S. Ambrose in 1. Tim. 3.	Dorm. fol. 56 b.	Hard. fol. 92 a. b.	Sarū. Diuis. 31. pag. 301. 302. &c.
¶ S. Augustine Ad Bonifacium contra duas epist. Pelagian. lib. 1. cap. 1. And againe S. Augustin. lib. 2. de baptismo contra Donatistar.	Dorm. fol. 56 b.	Hard. fol. 80 a. b.	Sarū. Diuis. 14. pag. 248. &c.
¶ Theodoritus epistola ad Leonem.	Dorm. fol. 56 b. 57. a.	Hard. fol. 81 a.	Sarū. Diuis. 16. pag. 252. &c.
¶ Irenaeus lib. 3. cap. 3.	Dorm. 57. a.	Hard. fol. 79 b.	Sarū. Diuis. 9. pa. 243
¶ Ambrose de vocacione Ecclesiarum.	Dorm. 57. a.	Hard. fol. 80 a.	Sarū. Diuis. 13. pag. 247.

S. Aug.

A V R E P R O V I S O F M.

Authorities and places of Doctors and Councelles.	Alleged by M. Dorman.	Borrowed of D. Hardinge.	Answeared by the B. of Sa- rum.
¶ S. Augustine epistola. 362.	Dorm. 57.a.	Hard. 80.a.	Sarū. Diuis. 14. pag. 248. &c.
¶ Chrysostome epistola ad Innocentium Tomo 5.	Dorm. 58.a.	Hard. 84.b. 85.a.	Sarū. Diuis. 21. pag. 264. &c.
¶ In hoc tētius B. of Romes excommunicatio of Arch- dius the Emperour.	Dorm. 58.b. 59.a.	Hard. 87.a.	Sarū. Diuis. 24. pag. 279. 280. &c.
¶ S. Augustine lib. i. cōtra epist. duas pelag. ad Boni- facium. againe.	Dorm. 60.a.	Hard. 80.a.	Sarū. Diuis. 14. pag. 248. &c.
¶ Theodoritus epistola ad Leonem. againe.	Dorm. 60.b.	Hard. 81.a.	Sarū. Diuis. 16. pag. 252. &c.
¶ Appeals made to the B. of Rome.	Dorm. 61.a.	Hard. 83.b. 84.a.	Sarū. Diuis. 20. pag. 260. 261. &c.
¶ Councelles not to be houlden, nor Bis hoppes condemned without the licence of the Pope.	Dorm. 61.a.	Hard. 84.a. 88.a.	Sarū. Diuis. 20. pag. 261. & Diuis. 26. pag. 284. &c.
¶ Nicene councell falsely alleged in Athanasius his name, againe.	Dorm. 61.a.b.	Hard. 78.a.b.	Sarū. Diuis. 6. pag. 231. 232. 1&c.
¶ Excommunication of Bis- hoppes offending by the B. of Rome.	Dorm. 61.b.	Hard. 84.a. 87.a.	Sarū. Diuis. 20. pag. 261. &c. & Diuis. 24. pag. 279. 280. &c.
¶ Cōcill of Ephesus, and Cyrillus as the Popes' de- putie there, alleged.	Dorm. 61.b.	Hard. 88.b.	Sarū. Diuis. 26. pag. 284. & pa. 309. ver- 1° finē de Cyrillo.
¶ Calcedonense Councell.	Dorm. 61. b. 62. a.	Hard. 79.a. 87.a. 88.b. 90.b.	Sarū. Diuis. 7. pag. 240. & Diuis. 26. pag. 286. & Diuis. 30. pag. 295. 296. &c. 1°
¶ The Councell of Nice falsely alleged yet againe, in Athanasius his name.	Dorm. 62. a.b.	Hard. 78.a.b.	Sarū. Diuis. 6. pag. 231. 32. &c. 1°

Anacles.

Authorities and places of Doctors and Councelles.	Alleged by M. Dorman.	Borrowed of D. Hardinge.	Answeread by the B. of Sa- rum.
¶ Anacletus and Clemens with other very good e- vidence.	Dorm. 63. a. b.	Hard. 75. b.	Sarū. Diuis. 3. pag. 222. &c.
¶ Restitutions of Bishops by Popes, Athanasius to Alexandria, Paulus to Cō- stantinople. &c.	Dorm. 64. b.	Hard. 89. 2.	Sarū. Diuis. 27. pag. 287. & 288.
¶ The Bishop of Romes Delegates, Cōmissioners, and Vicars.	Dorm. 64. b.	Hard. 86. b. 27. 2.	Sarū. Diuis. 23. pag. 277. & 278.
¶ S. Gregorie.	Dorm. 64. b. 65. & 66. per totum.	Hard. 76. 2.	Sarū. Diuis. 4. pag. 224. 225. &c. pag. 234.

And so as M. Dorman beganne his treatie with the prouise that S. Peter was called head of the Church, which is cō Methode, keined in thre of D. Hardinges last leaues: so doth he end his treatie with S. Gregorie in his tow last leaues, which is in the beginning, and seconde lease of D. Hardinges treatie. And thus you see M. Dorman his Methode standeth whollie in the Scheme and trope which is termed hysteron proteron. The fourth Article with D. Harding is the first with M. Dorman, and the first with D. Hardinge is the fourth with M. Dorman. The prouises are by like arte disposed. The first parte of his treatie, is the last with D. Hardinge: & the last part of his treatie is the first, and this hysteron proteron, as in his former treatie he vsed with Hosius continual-
ly: so faileth he not, to keape the same with his Master D. Hardinge throughout this whole treatie, makinge prima nouissima, & nouiss. prima, the first the last, & the last the firste continuallie: as the Reader that will
marke

A REPROOFE OF M.

marke the numbres of the leaues of his , & his maisters booke, which I haue diligently noted, shal wel perceue. And as he hath vsed this fourth Article of his maister his booke , so hath he likewise vsed the other thre Articles folowinge. His second Article is his maisters fist, his thirde is his maisters seconde, and his fourth is his maisters first. And as þ Articles, so also are the proues the same that his maister hath, bat yet disposed or moze truely transposed, or if you will, misposed, by the same arte, & his owne pecular proper trope hysteron protoron, as are the Articles, & as you haue an experimēt of þ proues of this his first & his maisters fourth Article.

If you now aske me, why I haue not answeared these thinges at large, I answeare thei are alreadie answeared, & better than I can answeare them , & I haue shewed you where. If you list reade M. Dozmanes reasons, and haue not his booke, I haue tolde you how and where you may without your coste finde them in his maisters booke, so that one booke may serue you, in stede of tow. If you would be resolued concerninge his reasons, I haue shewed you where you may be satisfied , & better satisfied than by my writinges, in the W. of Sarum his replie : & here I spare your purle againe, þ you be not drüen to bie an other nedeles booke. If M. Dozman either to make a muster & shewe of many bookes of their parte, or for his glorie, or gaine, or for all these together, woulde of a piece of his maisters booke, by transcription make an other nue booke , as bigge almost, as his maisters whole , & set it forth to the worlde as his owne, within so few weekes after the first printinge of his maisters booke: yet am I not of that opinion, but do regarde how god, rather þā how many bookes be wri-

ken of our parte: and I haue little delight in such glorie,
small skill, and lesse likinge of such lucre, & least leasure
of al to wryte worse againe, that which is before better
written: & by such meanes without all pitie, to my pore
countremens purses, by causinge them to bie the same
worse, which they haue alreadie better: and whiche is
more, without regard of the mispendinge of their god-
time, more precious than money, to occupie them about
the readinge of thinges triflingly repeated, whiche they
haue alreadie read well written.

But you will say there be some fewe thinges yet,
whiche M. Dorman hath peculiar, not written by his
Master, nor answere by the B. of Sarum. There are
in daide some such few things, but not so few, as foolish.
And I thinke I shoulde by answearinge that little leude
troufe, thynlie here and there intermengled, incurre a
greater blame by such vellication, and nipping of them
here and there, where thei are to be founde, than by vt-
ter silence of them.

But I wil not yet keape silence so whollie, but that
I wil name them, and in naming them, answere them
so as may serue for such trifles, and may satisfie all rea-
sonable Readers.

There are besides that plentie of borrowed wares,
before named, these fewe and little percelles piked elles
wheare, by M. Dorman.

First, certaine proues y Peter was at Rome, whiche
we denie not, & therefore needeth no proue, neither be-
ing proued, needeth any answere. But how is it pro-
ued I pray you: sooth by Tertullian, S. Hierome,
Optatus, and S. Augustine, all in order alleaged out
of one lease of Hosius; that this parte also may not be
Dorm. fol. 76
Hos. li. 2. fol. 76

A REPROVVE OF M.

vnlike the rest:

Item other proues of his Popes supremacie by the
Dormā fol.52.a. testimonies of Pope Leo, of Pope Innocētus, of Pas-
sū, a.62.b.63.64. chalinius, Lucentius, & other the Popes legates, as god
indifferent witnessies, whereas neither his Maister, nor
Hard. fol.76. b. he can dissemble the exception which is iustlie taken a-
Dorm. fol.63.b. gainst the testimonies of Popes, as vnlawfull witnes-
ses in their owne causes.

Fol.54.55.2.55.b Item other proues of his Popes supremacie, by Vin-
centius Iurinensis, and Justinian the Emperour, both
beinge by him falsofied. For whereas the one calleth
Rome the head of the worlde, the other calleth h Church
of Rome the head & chiese of all Churches, P. Dorman
in stede of Rome, & the Church of Rome, hath put the
Bishoppe of Rome, making him the head of the world,
and of al Churches: which was neuer w̄itten nor ment
by Vincentius, or Justinian.

Fol.57.b. Item proues of his Popes supremacie by confessi-
ons & adictes of Constantinus, whiche are falsely four-
ged in his name, as are likewise the epistles to Marcus
Liberius, and Fœlir, Popes of Rome, most shamelesly
counterfeit in the names of Athanasius & the Bishops
Artic.4.Dimi.6. of Ægypt, Thebaida, and Lybia: as is largelie & plainly
pag.231.232.&c. by the B. of Sarum declared, & proued: with the whiche
soured fables yet, as though it were with god euidece,
Dorm. fol.55.b. often for lacke of better stufte repeted, both P. Dorman,
g.6.a.61.a.b.62. and his Maister D. Hardinge would boulster vp their
a.b. Popes usurped tyzanie. And it is very god reason, that
Hard.76.b.77.a such buildinge shoud with such buttresses and pillars,
78.a.b. be stasēd and vpholden: to saue the Romish usurpar frō
utter ruine, now imminent, as longe yet, as possiblie
may be, by such sealie shistes, as popishe witnes can in-
uente

uente and devise: but neither doo suche trifles neede any
answeare, neither hane I the leasure that hath M. Dozman,
to answeare thinges alreadie answeared , and to
writte againe , that was before better witten : neither
liste I seeke either gayne or fame by thrustinge of other
mens wrothes into the worlde for mine owne: as is M.
Dormans delight to do.

FINIS.

¶ Imprinted and allowed accordinge to the order set
forth in the Queenes Maiesties
Injunctions.

que l'ordre n'ait pas été déclaré à la Cour de cassation
et que le juge n'ait pas été nommé par le procureur de la République
à moins d'assurance qu'il soit, et qu'il soit dans l'ordre : mais
qu'il soit dans l'ordre : mais il est à dire que lorsque le juge
n'a pas été nommé par le procureur de la République, il
est dans l'ordre : mais il est à dire que lorsque le juge

est dans l'ordre : mais il est à dire que lorsque le juge

LIVRE

de la discipline des ecclésiastiques
et de la discipline canonique
des ecclésiastiques



P







