ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Jomonji Katayama Date April 2nd, 1949
Location Kamiyama mura Inugami-gun
Chairman of course Mr. G. Nagasaki a member of village council
Inspecting officer Y. Kuretsu C-E Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. G. Nagasaki a member of village council
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mr. A. Tanaka Age 56 a farmer head of block
   b. Mr. E. Tatsumi 47 a farmer head of block a repatriat from State.
   c. Mr. K. Nishimura 50 a farmer
   d. Mr. T. Nishikawa 60 a farmer member of village council.
   e. Mr. I. Nagasaki 54 a farmer
   f. Mr. J. Ikeda 45 a farmer head of Kumiai a branch of Fed. Corporation.
   g. Mr. T. Shibata 36 a merchant
3. Period: From March 30 to April
4. Attendance: 98 Male: 75 Female: 23
   a. Age group 30~40
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman
      Education The Objective of Democratic Education
      The post war reform of educational system in Japan
   b. Audio or visual aids Slide of "P.T.A."
      "How grateful children should be to their mother"
   c. Open discussion
      How P.T.A. should be?
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. R. Imai teacher of Junior High School.
Referring to the objectives of adult education, he explained the true sense of democracy and the new direction of democratic Japan.
Then he explicated how old education system has been replaced ~~and~~ by new democratic education and ~~where~~ how many defects the old education had ~~defects in~~
The new pattern of 6-3-3 system and co-education were treated very successfully.

IV Budget

The draft budget ¥10,000 for A.E course will be presented at the village council general meeting and the budget will probably be approved by the council. The details of the budget have not decided yet.

V Publicity

They distributed admission tickets urging the villagers to attend the C.E. course and notifying the details of the course.
This ticket has a special column in which villagers get stamp proving their attendance when they attend the course.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Mabuchi-mura Gamo-gun Date 5. April.
Location
Chairman of course Hattori Tojun.
Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirose.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Hattori Tojun.
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Ota Tsuneshi middle school teacher
   b. Information: Okamura Shigesaburo public official
   c. Legal Hattori Tojun village official
   d. Economics: Nakanishi Kodajiro social education committee.
   e. Labor Okamura Kinjiro president of youth association.
   f. Welfare: Abe Raishin social education committee
   g. Health: Inoue Toshiko public health nurse 1.00 pm ~ 5.00 pm.
3. Period: 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 March 9.00 am. ~ 12.00 pm.
4. Attendance: 273 total Male: _____ Female: 273
   a. Age group 18 ~ 45 years old.
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman
   b. Audio or visual aids Kamishibai
   c. Open discussion none
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The report to Adult Education committee of the Kew was from 26, February to 19 of April. Thus I visited on the 8th, but they've changed the schedule and had already finished the course in March. So I could not actually attend the meeting.
In this village the course was held only for women. all the members of the women's associations attended this meeting. And for the next schedule they are going to have just for men. This village have not only 4 speakers but about fifteen or so as an assistant instructors.

Budget:—
not decided. but not using much.

Publicity:—
Through women's association.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Samegai-mura Sakaka-gun Date 5 April.
Location Public Hall of Shiori
Chairman of course Nishikawa Bunkichi
Inspecting officer Rinka Iwasawa

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yamagishi Wamp

2. Name of committee:

a. Education: Kakimi Jinichiro middle school principal.
b. Information: Kosse Kinzo village council.
c. Legal: Nishikawa Tatsuya primary school principal
d. Labor: Maruyama Sanpeitow village official.
e. Economics: Taguchi Teizo public official
f. Welfare: Ishikawa Chuichi Minsei Iin.
g. Health: Sawa Tokio doctor

3. Period: 22 March ~ 18 April 8.00 ~ 10.00 pm.
4. Attendance: 50 Male: 30 Female: 20
   a. Age group 20 ~ 60 years old.
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Legal & Information.
   b. Audio or visual aids none
   c. Open discussion none
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (~~Yes~~) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes)? (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (~~Yes~~) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? ~~doubtful~~ (Yes)? (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

. Selection of the instructor was very poor.
. Some recreation or visual aids should be prepared.
. No discussion was held which was due to the selection of the poor speaker.

Publicity:
. Ringing of the bell throughout the block.
. members of the youth association was shouting using
. Megaborns to urge the attending.

Budget:-
not decided.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Matsuo Temple, Inf. Legal. Education. Date 7 April 49

Location Ikeda Sect. Koberi-mura, Ika-gun

Chairman of course Mr. Masaki KOMORI

Inspecting officer K. Shintani, Econ Adv.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ass. Headman of village took the Chair this evening

2. Name of committee:

a. Steering Com. — 9 chiefs of village wards

b. Exp. Com. — Health woman, 2 village officials, Welfare Com.,

c. School principal, Mid School Teacher, Director of Agr. Coop

d.

e. Organization This village consists of 9 wards and 4 places the course

f. at each place the course covers 3 evenings

g. The audience ticketa was average 70%

3. Period: 14 Mar — 20 April

4. Attendance: 30 100% Male: 14 Female: 16

a. Age group Over 30 years old.

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman

b. Audio or visual aids No.

c. Open discussion a few question on the succession of family property

d. Critique or summary

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ( ).

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (YesO) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes O) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes ?) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes O) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes O) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No O)
7. Is it effective? (Yes O) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Audience's opinion Contents of each lesson are too much, if it is presented more plainly, and stressing a few important print, and referring to some living example, the lesson would be more effective even if the time is not so long as such. (This would be applied to other villages)

2. Speakers' opinion They would like to have more lesson materials and make due preperation, the villagers used to not satisfied by their lesson as the speaker have been living in the same place and being daily acquaintance.

3. The lack of recreation in this village caused the unattractiveness of this course.

4. Village authorities and committee was not so active on the AE course activity though they present it formally. Lack of well qualified chairman of the course was fatal point, it seemed.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Public Hall Ogura Date ~~March~~ April 7, 1949.
Location Nishiagusa-mura Eshgun
Chairman of course Mr. Masao Namegue (chairman of village welfare association)
Inspecting officer Y. Kusatani (C.E. Section)

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Masao Namegue (concurrently chairman of course)
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mr S. Fujikawa Age 25 farmer
   b. Mr U. Matsumura 24 farmer
   c. Mr. T. Okumura 24 ~~official in post offi~~
   d. Mr. K. Sugumura 27 farmer
   e. Mr S. Ogawa 26 farmer
   f. Mr S. Sakaguchi 48 a priest
   g. Mrs. C. Okumura 43 farmer
3. Period: From April 3 to April 11
4. Attendance: 113 Male: 74 Female: 39
   a. Age group 20 ~ 30
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Economic Nine-Point Economic Stabilization Program Japanese Agriculture and Rural Problem
   b. Audio or visual aids
   c. Open discussion
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (V) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (~~No~~)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Masajiro Ryokota (a manufacturer of tooth brush stick.. a graduate of Nikone Economic College)

The Nine-Point Economic Stabilyzation Program, which is the supreme order to the recovery of Japanese economy and attracting our grevious concern, was explained by Mr. M. Ryokota with easy and understandable expressions. Especially he put emphasis on the nineth point, that is, the completion of food collection and he asserted we Japanese people couldn't depend on American aids any more without the complete delivery of staple foods. Pointing the data regarding the inport of staple food from States, he stated how much quantity of staple foods we are owing to United States. It was sure that he succeeded in promoting the consciousness of their responsibility in food delivery on the part of farmers.

IV. Budget

Rewards for Lecturers	¥2.000.00
Rewards for steering committee men	¥3.000.00
Wear & Tear Expenses	¥1.500.00
Miscellaneous	¥1.000.00
Travel expenses	¥2.000.00
	¥9.500.00

The above-mentioned figures will be summed up in next fiscal year.

V. Publicity

Circulars explaining the purpose and the details of A.E. Course were distributed among villagers.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Rinkoji Temple Umekayashi Date ~~March~~ April 7 1949
Location Nishiogura-mura Echi-gun
Chairman of course Mr. Masao Namagne (Chairman of nishiogura
Inspecting officer Y Kuretani C.E Section Welfare association)

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Masao Namagne
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mrs S. Tujikawa Age 25 farmer
   b. Mrs U. Matsumura 24 farmer
   c. Mr. T. Okumura 24 an official in past office.
   d. Mr. K. Sugumura 27 farmer
   e. Mrs S Ogawa 36 farmer
   f. Mr S Sakaguchi 48 a priest
   g. Mrs C Okumura 43 farmer
3. Period: From April 3 to April 11
4. Attendance: 51 Male: ~~33~~ 33 Female: 18
   a. Age group 50 ~ 60
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman
      Japanese Constitution Law
   b. Audio or visual aids
      Charts explaining the contents of Constitution Law.
   c. Open discussion
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (~~No~~)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Masao. Namogue. a leading member of village welfare association a repatriate from Manchuria.

His lecture regarding Constitution was one of the best ones I have heard since my surveillance trip had started. The attendants were mostly composed of non-educated farmers, but they were absolutely absorbed in listening to his lecture while he was speaking. Pointing the illustration, he explicated Constitution article by article and never missed the important points. It is not too much to say that his lecture was a great success.

IV Budget

Rewards for lecturers	¥2.000
Rewards for steering committee men	¥8.000
Wear & tear expense	¥1.500.
Miscellaneous	¥1.000
Travel expenses	¥2.000
Total	¥9.500.00

V Publicity

Circulars explaining the purpose and the details of A. E. course were distributed among villagers.

VI. Punctuality

They start each course 8.30 P.M. So far as punctuality is concerned, they were satisfactory.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Nakano-mura Gamo-gun Date 7. April
Location Primary school
Chairman of course Miura Chuzo
Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirose.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kokaji Seishichi
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Mizukami Waichiro shool teacher
   b. Information: Nishimura Kogen budist priest
   c. Legal: Tanaka Yoshitaro village council
   d. Economics: Sotaro Mitsui "
   e. Labor: Miura Chuzo: "
   f. Health: Murata Hiroshi village official in charge of health
   g. Welfare: Maruiya Kaiyu. budist priest
3. Period: 5/ march to 6/ April 7.00 pm x 10.00 pm.
4. Attendance: 30/100 Male: 30 Female: 0
   a. Age group 18 x to years old. average 40 years old.
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health.
   b. Audio or visual aids none
   c. Open discussion many questions presented about personal hygiene & innoculation etc. about 1½ hours.
   d. Critique or summary good
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory (✓)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (NO)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

As the meeting being held at one place the audiance are unexpectedly few. Very sorry to have no women attendants.
the main obstacle of being unsuccessful is that the course was held at only one place and also the cooperation of social education committee was very poor.
Should use some visual aids and also include some recreation.
Only 3 persons attended the whole 20 lectures.

Budget:

travel expense 2000.-
pay for speakers 1400.-
tear + wear 4500.-
(including text books).
) total 7900.-

Publicity

Posters.
Kairanban.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kashiwabara mura Sakata-gun. Date 7th April.
Location Osuo Public Hall.
Chairman of course Majiro Asaki.
Inspecting officer Dr. Ryoko Iwamura.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Majiro Asaki.
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Nakagawa Tetsuo. mayor. Matsuura Hisao. President of education committee. President of Public Hall.
   b. Information: Asaki Majiro. village official in charge of education.
   c. Insurance: Matsuura Hisao
   d. Labor: Shuichi Nakano. chairman of village council.
   e. Legal: Asaki Majiro. Nakamura Naoji. Ishino Ryoko. village officials
   f. Welfare: Nakamura Naoji. Osawa Masaaki. village official in charge of welfare.
   g. Health: Uemura Kaoru. Ajami Yamada. Public Health Nurse. Teacher.
3. Period: 1.30 pm. ~ 12.30 pm. 12, 20, 23, 26, 29, 4, 7, 10 March ~ April.
4. Attendance: 20 Male: 4 Female: 16
   a. Age group 18 ~ 60 years old average 30
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman all the course.
   b. Audio or visual aids charts.
   c. Open discussion several questions presented but nothing peticular.
   d. Critique or summary enthusiastic conf.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Both speakers & audiance are very enthusiastic.
Some of the audiance are willing for this kind of program.
Village officials are eager to carry on this kind of course further in the future.
May be it was the bad weather that hindered the attending but it was unexpectedly few.
Village official promised to hold this again in this block.
Major and village council resigned that day and village people were much worried about such things than adult education.
A.E.C was quite effective in other blocks but this one was an exception

Budget:-
not decided, but will be payed to the speakers & for the refreshment & travel expense.

Publicity:-
Distributed the mimeograph to all blockheads.
Blockheads are all committee members and they with the youth association and women's association are cooperating together.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Asakuno-mura - Gamo-gun Date 6, April.
Location Suzu village hall & Kamina temple.
Chairman of course Hojo Asao (major)
Inspecting officer Ryosai Hirose.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Morishima Kisotaro (school priapal)
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Iyushi Ishikuro (teacher) Hashima Yosokichi
   b. Information: Takagi Hisamichi president of youth asso.
   c. Legal: Hojo Asao major
   d. Economics: Morishima Kisotaro assistant major
   e. Labor: Hashii Kotaro. public official
   f. Health: Fukumoto Iku. public Health Nurse
   g. Welfare: Yasuda Kōsuke Minsei in
3. Period: 10, March to 6 April
4. Attendance: ~~29 34; 57~~ Male: 29; 45 Female: 5; 12
   a. Age group (1) 60 ~ 20 years old (2) 62 ~ 18 years old.
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman (1) Welfare & Infomation.
      (2) Economics & Labor.
   b. Audio or visual aids Slides & Kamishibai.
   c. Open discussion bright.
   d. Critique or summary good.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (~~No~~)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Desired for more attendance.
Major is a communist and resently very many people are turning to communistic idea. ?! In general the cultural standard is very low in this village.

Budget:-

Total 14,500.00

pay for speakers	6,500.-
travel expense	3.000.-
tear + wear	2.000.-
rent for meeting hall	2.000.-
text + books	1.000.-

Publicity:-

Posters.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Hirao — Keikaiji Temple Date 5 Apr. 49
Location Ōgi-mura, Shiga-gun
Chairman of course Mr. Kajiro Kobayashi
Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Kokichi Kosaka
2. Name of committee:
   a. (Information) Mr. Kinji Tsukamoto
   b. (Education) Mr. Tetsudo Fujishi
   c. (Economy) Mr. Yaichi Horii
   d. (Labor) Mr. Osamu Maeda
   e. (Public welfare) Mr. Shigeru Horii
   f. (Legal and government) Mr. Isamu Yamada
   g. (Public health) Mr. Kyuichiro Tango
3. Period: 9 Mar. — 4 ~~Apr.~~ May.
4. Attendance: 90 Male: 76 Female: 14
   a. Age group 40 — 45 (average)
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Information (Well-informed people) Economy (Agricultural Corporative Association) Legal and government (Family Law)
   b. Audio or visual aids None (movie show on 9 Mar.)
   c. Open discussion Concerning the Family Law (succession of property; family registration)
   d. Critique or summary Period is long, and program is comparatively better than other locations.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes X) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes X) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes X) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes fairly X) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes X) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes X) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes X) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes X) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Publicity: a. On 9 Mar. movie show was held, and about 500 village people gathered. At that time they were notified the holding of adult education course.(at the primary school)
   b. Posters were expressed. (But No posters were seen.) They were made by village office.
   c. Adult education course pamphlet was distributed to each house.
2. Budget: ¥10,000 (Remuneration to instructors is ¥300 per person.
3. Attendance rate:
   Attendance: 90. male: 76 female 14
   Number of attendants to anticipated: 140 } Attendance rate: 64.3%
4. Punctuality: late for one hour.
   Punctuality is said difficult in farm villages, but village people will keep time to catch the train. The first step to democracy in farm villages is punctuality.
5. Instructor of legal and government was excellent in art of speaking.
6. Village authorities said that the activities of young men's association were becoming gradually vigorous.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Yasuigawa — Myokyoji Temple Date 4 Apr. 49
Location Shingi-mura, Takashima-gun
Chairman of course Mr. Tamizo Tani
Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Hikojiro Tago
2. Name of committee:
   a. (Information) Mr. Tsunetaro Shimizu
   b. (Education) Mrs. Chizuko Kuwahara
   c. (Economy) Mr. Saemon Kaneko
   d. (Labor) Mr. Tashichi Goto
   e. (Land q.) Mr. Kikuji Hayashi
   f. (Public health) Mrs. Nobu Maegawa
   g. (Public welfare) Mr. Shiro Okada
3. Period: 1 Apr. — 5 Apr.
4. Attendance: 154 Male: 111 Female: 43
   a. Age group 40 — 45 (average)
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Information b. Education c. Labor d. Public health e. Economy
   b. Audio or visual aids None.
   c. Open discussion None.
   d. Critique or summary It is not approvable that this village has entirely changed the adult education course schedule due to the consultation of preliminary allocation of rice delivery.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes O) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes O) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes O) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes O) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? comparatively (Yes O) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes O) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Publicity: a. Village authorities announced the holding of adult education course when the primary school literary exhibition was held on 9 Mar.
   b. Primary and junior high school boys and girls made posters.
   c. Distribution of adult education course pamphlet to each house.
   d. Through the meetings of young men's association and women's association.
   e. Through "Kenraku-in"
2. Budget: a. ¥3,000 (for the fiscal year 48-49)
   b. Deficit sum will be paid from the social education expenses for this fiscal year.
3. Attendance rate:
   Attendance: 154 male 111 female 43 } attendance rate: 81.1%
   number of attendants anticipated: 190 }
4. Four meeting halls are prepared for this course.
5. Village authorities stated that the activities of women's association were becoming gradually vigorous. She assembled for discussion of women's mental attitude before marriage on 3 Apr. On the contrary, the activities of young men's association were said to be generally at a low ebb.
6. Punctuality: late for one hours
7. Farm village people seem to show their interest in matters concerning their village only. It is important for them to ~~direct~~ turn their * eyes to the more general and extensive problems.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Hozaka — Primary School Date 2 Apr. 49
Location Mitani-mura, Takashima-gun
Chairman of course Mr. Tsujikawa Seitaro; Inoue Kan
Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Inoue Kan
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mr. Tsunejiro Fuketa
   b. Mr Rinzo Sakagami
   c. " Kyuji Matsumiya
   d. " Kameshiro Kanimoto
   e. " Akira Izumi
   f. " Isuru Nakai
   g. " Kyuichiro Fujimoto
3. Period: 25 Mar. — 2 Apr.
4. Attendance: 134 Male: 44 Female: 90
   a. Age group 30 — 35 (average)
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Information b. Legal and government i c. Education
   b. Audio or visual aids Charts, posters and movies (CIE 69; CIE 23; CIE. 27)
   c. Open discussion None. (No time for discussion.)
   d. Critique or summary The utilizing method of visual aids was very effective.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes○) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes○) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes○) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes○) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes○) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes○) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes○) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Publicity: ① "Renraku-in" meetings were held three times.
② Expression of poster. (posters were seen)
③ In written form (three times to each house)
④ Through village assembly and welfare commission.

Budget: ¥7,000

- Remunerations to instructors: ¥2,000
- Travelling expenses and paper expenses ¥3,000
- expenses for movies: ¥2,000

Attendance rate: 89.3%

① attendance: 134
② Number of ~~anticipates~~ attendance anticipated: 150.

Punctuality: Opening time was exactly kept.

Course No. 1 village office, Nagahara, Labor, Legal, Audience 62 M 38 F 24
No. 2 PH. Local, Ko Welfare, Informm 86 47 39
No. 3 PH Iuba, Also Education, Health 78 42 36

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Labor, Legal.

Name Giounura A.E Course No 1 Date 2nd April 49
Location Village office, Nagahara, Giounura, Yasugun
Chairman of course Jokan Rukuhara, Priest
Inspecting officer Geo T. Hashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Shigeji Sumi, Mayor
2. Name of committee:
   a. Labor, Shoshei Tanaka, assistant Mayor
   b. Economics Salunobei Fukutani, farmer's Cooperative Chief
   c. Education Osamu Fujiwara, Junior high teacher
   d. Legal Shigenobu Takeuchi, village officer
   e. Health Masahiko Ohashi, Doctor
   f. Welfare Shinji Murata welfare committee
   g. Informm Kachiro Umekage, village officer
3. Period: 2030—2400 (12/3 —— 14/4)
4. Attendance: 62 Male: 38 Female: 24
   a. Age group 35—45 Average
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor, Legal
   b. Audio or visual aids CIE 36, 74, 24. also slides of "Our Community living," "progress of new Japan" I
   c. Open discussion farm helpers and labor laws
   d. Critique or summary people want to hold the course in 8 district instead of 4 as it is conducted now Seshas—Evening Can access to Learn
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (~~Yes~~) (No)

Publicity, Sign boards, pasters, circular letters,
Budget, ¥21.000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? 80% (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? 80% (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Each Community has own public Hall in Center, so Convenient that make them easily accessible.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Nishioji-mura Gamo-gun Date 2 April.
Location Kitahata
Chairman of course Yamada Yoichi. (major)
Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Hisamura Wakaji

2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Kambayashi Yohie principal of Primary school.
   b. Information: Wakabashi Daisuke village official
   c. Legal: Sakashi Shinryo village official
   d. Labor: Hisamura Wakaji assistant major.
   e. Welfare: Seki Shinobu Minsei Iin:
   f. Health: Tetsu Nakamura P.H. Nurse
   g. Economics: Yamada Yoichi major.
3. Period: 5. March ~ 30. April: 7.00 pm ~ 10.00 pm.
4. Attendance: 44/60 Male: 26 Female: 18
   a. Age group 24 years old ~ 44 years old average 30
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Information, Legal, Labor.
   b. Audio or visual aids Slides & Kamishibai.
   c. Open discussion none
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Publicity was very well done having published a village official gazett and distributed.
Committee members were very cooperative.

Budget:-
Having the social education budget of this year have not planned for special budget.

Publicity:-
Through gazett & & porters.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Maibara-cho Sakata-gun. Date 1. April 2. March.
Location Temple maibara.
Chairman of course Rihei Kume.
Inspecting officer Mr. Rinkes Terassuma.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Rihei Kume
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Shigeichi Ugikawa Middle school principal.
   b. Legal: Buichi Murakawa clerk of the court.
   c. Information: Bunsaku Nishigawa newspaperman
   d. Health: Umeno Nakai P.H. Nurse.
   e. Welfare: Genzo Kuchimura Mimei Din.
   f. Economics: Rihei Kume town official assistant major.
   g. Labor: Eigoro Watsukawa employee of the factory
3. Period: 22, 24, 26, 28, 30 March 1 April.
4. Attendance: 26/60 Male: 13 Female: 13
   a. Age group 20~30 = 10 30~40 = 10 40~50 = 6
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health & Economics (Mibara) Legal & Welfare (maibara night) Education & Info. -mation (Asasuma).
   b. Audio or visual aids none
   c. Open discussion many questions were presented especially on "Rice collection" "eugenic law." & "Birth control."
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory (✓)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The program is very poor. No cooperation among the committee members. The instructors are very poor. They are just reading the text books. Way of presentation was very poor. Publicity very poor. Recommended to replan and overdo this course again.

Publicity:
oral message through block heads
No posters

Budget:
5000.- total.
travel expense & pay for the instructor.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kaizu primary School Date 1 Apr. 49
Location Kaizu-mura, Takashima-gun
Chairman of course Mr Shinryu Fujihara
Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Umano Hichirohei
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mr. Nakamura Zenya
   b. " Hayase Shinjiro
   c. " Funeno Ichisaburo
   d. " Otani Kojiro
   e. " Iwasaki Yonejiro
   f. " Tanaka Iwakichi
   g. " Sasaki Kyutaro.
3. Period: 17 Mar. — 8 Apr.
4. Attendance: 70 Male: 6 Female: 64
   a. Age group 35 (average)
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Labor problem. b Public health; c. Well-informed people.
   b. Audio or visual aids None (C.I.E movies: The way to medical science; The story of local autonomy; Town is also a school had been held on 24).
   c. Open discussion None. (Women are passive and have no opinion.)
   d. Critique or summary The attendance of male was very bad. I pointed out the village authorities to encourage the attendance of male.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes O) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes O) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes O) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes O) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes O) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes O) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Publicity: ① Through administration committees
② The use of poster.
③ Notification to each house by women's association members.

Budget: ¥1,000 (travelling expenses).
Remunerations are not paid to intructors.

Punctuality: late for one hour and a half.

Attendance rate: 15.6%
① Number of participants anticipated: 450
② Attendance 70.

This village seems to have a strong feudal ided. I emphasized that the first step toward democracy is to assemble actively at a certain meeting. I demanded the village authorities to make a greater publicity for this course.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Education, Health

Name Onomura A.E. Course Date 1st April 1949

Location Ono primary school, Onomura, Kokagawa

Chairman of course Gentaku Shirasu, Priest

Inspecting officer Geo. T. Okashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Masakichi Okumura, Mayor
2. Name of committee:
   a. Labor, Meijiro Yasumi, village officer
   b. Economic, Isamu Aoki, " "
   c. Education, Kanejiro Usui, primary principal
   d. Legal, Tsunejiro Nakamura, village officer
   e. Health, Yaichiro Yamamoto, Doctor
   f. Welfare, Juichiro Nakamura, Welfare officer
   g. Informa, Eisuke Fujimoto, Secretary Assistant Chief
3. Period: 1430 — 1830 (6/3 — 7/4)
4. Attendance: 73 Male: 60 Female: 13
   a. Age group 25 — 40 Average
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Public Health, Education, the former stressed on "tapeworms" etc. latter on purpose of democratic education
   b. Audio or visual aids CIE 21.61.73
   c. Open discussion
   d. Critique or summary people feel very little interest for local instructor's lectures, want to hear someone unknown.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (~~No~~)

Publicity Circular letters

Budget ¥5.000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~NO~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? very much (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? 90% (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? 90% (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Education speech was thoroughly effective and instructive as he is a well experienced old standing school principal.

Village Authorities and Social education Committee want to conduct A E C again from after 10 August till the end of September while farming is not busy.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Asahimura Higashiazai-gun Date 1, April.
Location Higashi onoe Temple.
Chairman of course Shibata Yasutaka
Inspecting officer Dr. Risuke Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kiyokawa Kanichi
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Sugimoto Keijo middle school principal.
   b. Information: Nakamura Masaaki president of youth association.
   c. Legal: Yamazaki Yoshikazu village official
   d. Labor: Terayama Seizo assistant mayor.
   e. Economics: Sakai Ryoji president of agricultural cooperation
   f. Welfare: Keiso Suda Minsei Iin
   g. Health: Takeda Tenjio village doctor
3. Period: 22/march ~ 1/April. 7.00 ~ 9.00 pm.
4. Attendance: 30 /40 Male: 17 Female: 13
   a. Age group 18 ~ 35 years old average youth.
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health.
   b. Audio or visual aids charts.
   c. Open discussion bright discussions on health was were brought up "T.B." "Kesais."
   d. Critique or summary good.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No) No.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Instructor's speech was a little dull.
More attendants are expected.

Budget:-

$800.00 total.

. travel expense; text; publicity; pay for instructors;

Publicity:-

Posters by school children are poted in the streets.
Oral publicity by block heads and youth association.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Minami Funaki ; Fujie ; Imazaike Date 31 Mar. 49
Location Honjo-mura, Takashima-gun
Chairman of course Mr. Koichi Aiba
Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Haito Sukezaemon
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mr. Shoichi Inoue ; Mr. Masanobu Ishida
   b. Mr. Tsuya Uehara
   c. Mr. Hikotaro Takeuchi
   d. Mr. Tomoichiro Hayafuji
   e. Mr. Shoji Umemura
   f. Mr. Shinichiro Aoi ; Mr. Shizuko Kawamoto.
   g. Mr. Unosuke Konai ; Mr. Genzaburo Hayafuji
3. Period: 10 Mar. — 31 Mar.
4. Attendance: 411 Male: 260 Female: 151
   a. Age group 45 — 50 (average)
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Economy b. Labor. c. Legal and government d. Information e. Education ; f. Welfare ; g. Health.
   b. Audio or visual aids Charts. movies and slides.
   c. Open discussion ~~None~~ time for discussion.
   d. Critique or summary Program was very good; administration was very smooth
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes ○) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes ○) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes ○) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes ○) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes ○) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes ○) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes ○) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Publicity: ① publication of pamphlet in which the object of adult education course ~~and~~ are (and schedule written
② making posters by junior high school boys and girls.
③ Village office also informed of the holding of this course.
④ Distribution of attendance list

2. Budget: ¥ 16,655

Travelling expenses	¥ 2,256.
Rent for rooms	¥ 6,850
wear and tear	¥ 4,000
business instruments	¥ 300
Provisions expenses	¥ 3,249.

Remunerations to instructors: ¥250 per one.

3. Attendance rate:

	male	female	total	Number of attendance anticipated	Rate
Minami Funaki	98	66	164	154	106.4%
Fujie	67	43	110	112	98.3%
Imazaike and Yokoehama	95	42	137	156	87.8%

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Labor

Name Nakasatomura AE Course Date 31st March 49

Location Nakasato village office, Nishigawara, Nakasato, Yadagun

Chairman of course Tomayoshi Nishikawa, village officer

Inspecting officer Genro Kashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mitsuo Namura, assistant mayor
2. Name of committee:
   a. Labor, Genjiro Isseda ryoshi, Junior high teacher
   b. Economics Yoshio Tani, Agricultural technician
   c. Education Shinjiro Ogawa, School board Committee
   d. Legal, Senji Kobe, Buddhist priest
   e. Health Masazo Hirose, Doctor
   f. Welfare Yoshihide Funaichi, Priest
   g. Information Tokuzo Takaya, village officer
3. Period: 2040—2400 (15/3 — 30/4)
4. Attendance: 245 Male: 170 Female: 75
   a. Age group 25—35 Average
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Democracy and Labor laws concerned.
   b. Audio or visual aids Slides of "Our Community, the local autonomy" and rightful labor movement presented.
   c. Open discussion
   d. Critique or summary This village is conducting AEC six only 1 place, so it is not much effective, advised to condense it in each small Communities and they agreed to follow the suggestion from 19th April.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? 3 (Yes) (~~No~~)

Publicity posters, Leaflets

Budget ¥10,000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? 80% (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? 80% (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Toriimoto-mura, Sakata-gun. Date 31st March.
Location Heijiro Nakatsuji assistant major ↓
Chairman of course Sozen Temple.
Inspecting officer Mr. Rinko Teramura.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ryozo Iwasaki.
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Torao Okuda. principal of primary school.
   b. Information: Ryozo Iwasaki. village official.
   c. Health: Kanjiro Nishikawa. doctor Tsuneko Uchiura L.H. Nurse.
   d. Labor: Shinji Teramura. commerce
   e. Economics: Torao Iwaso. director of agricult. coop
   f. Legal Mango Okubo. village official
   g. Welfare: Shunsai Tsukamoto. Minseiin.
3. Period: 25, 26, 31 March 1, 2 April from 9 o'clock to 5.00pm
4. Attendance: 20/20 Male: 14 Female: 6
   a. Age group
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman all the subjects.
   b. Audio or visual aids none
   c. Open discussion none
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The meeting is held at 10 different places. (The biggest block has about 100 households, and the smallest just 20 or 23) all the speakers go to the block (rotate) and give the 20 lectures in one day from 9 o'clock to 4 o'clock in the afternoon. There are no recreation program except at one place where the movie picture "friendship" was shown. Discussion very poor.

Budget:
5000.- (travel expense
text books
pay for instructors.

Publicity:-
Through youth association & women's association.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ichiba — Keihoji Temple Date 30 Mar. 49
Location Kutsuki-mura, Takashima-gun
Chairman of course Mr. Ryuichi Takeuchi i Shizuo Nakagawa
Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Toshiji Matsuura.
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mr. Hachiji Tamaki i Heizo Kondo
   b. Taido Yamamoto i Nagashige Matano
   c. Taro Kobara.
   d. Shuzo Kumase
   e. Shizue Obachi
   f.
   g.
3. Period: 8 Mar. — 4 Apr.
4. Attendance: 106 Male: 76 Female: 30
   a. Age group 35 — 40 (average)
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman ① Democracy and Labor union ② ~~Labor~~ Laws related to labor. ③ ~~Court~~ Family Law ④ Court system ⑤ Tax problem
   b. Audio or visual aids charts, posters and slide (Research for the world miracle)
   c. Open discussion ① The equality of both sexes. ② the inheritance of property.
   d. Critique or summary The headman of village himself is very enthusiastic to education problem, so administration was smooth.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (YesO) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes O) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes O) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes O) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes O) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes O) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes O) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Publicity: ① Through "Chuzai-in" conference.
② Through young men's movement.
③ Through school children
④ Expression of poster; distribution of pamphlet.

2. Budget: ¥30,000
This village adult education committee received 10,000 yen from estimated budget in the form of contribution by instructors, and bought slide and films. This served the encouragement of attendance and recreation.

3. Attendance rate:

male	female	total	participants anticipated	rate
69	24	93	142	65.5%

4. Junior high school now under construction is scheduled to be opened on 1 May.

5. The construction of C.P.H. consisted of 32 "tsubo" is scheduled. Construction expenses are estimated at 100,000. Materials and labor will be offered by village people.

6. Headman of village expressed actively his hope of continuing the course program in future.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Sakaraagawa mura Ayaragawa Date 30th March
Location Shinnokaisha Temple
Chairman of course Ayaki Tanaka
Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yasuhei Tanaka
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Iwao Tukao primary school principal
   b. Information: Yasuhei Tanaka assistant major
   c. Economics: Tokeo Suzuki president of youth association
   d. Legal: Tomemonke Ogawa middle school teacher
   e. Labor: Kenshiro Tai school teacher
   f. Welfare: Hisao Machizuki village official in charge of welfare
   g. Health: Kenzo Morita middle school teacher
3. Period: from 26th March to 10th April 11 times 8.00 pm ~ 10.30 pm
4. Attendance: 70/84 Male: 30 Female: 40
   a. Age group about 8 years old average 34 years
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education, Information
   b. Audio or visual aids Charts & slides
   c. Open discussion none
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Selection of speakers are very good.
2. Adult Education Committee is not enforcing the public to attend and therefore attendants are rather less than expected.
3. But attendants are very enthusiastic and the speakers too.
4. Much better if there will be some merrey recreation brought up.

Budget:-
total ¥500.00.
4000.00 committee expenditure
3500.00 tear & wear : publicity, *
travel expense.
extra: bought slides ~~&~~ projector and several films.

Publicity:-
through P.T.A. Youth association
Women's association * Social education committee.
Posters were drawn by school children & are posted at all the corners of the streets & sign boards.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Tasumura Higashi asai-gun Date 30 March
Location Hyozo ↓ Shimizu ↑
Chairman of course Takabatake Temple.
Inspecting officer Mr. R. Terasawa

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Hyozo Shimizu
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Taizo Nakagawa principle of the school
   b. Information: Shozo Tsukasi village official
   c. Economics: Masayoshi Kawamura president of Agri Assoc.
   d. Legal: Hyozo Shimizu village official
   e. Labor: Teruji Tamata director of agricultural association.
   f. Health: Junzo Iwasaki doctor
   g. Welfare: Juko Nakagawa village official
3. Period: 25.26.27.28.29. March 1:30 ~ 4:30 pm
4. Attendance: 26/60 Male: 21 Female: 5
   a. Age group 18 ~ 50 average 25 ~ 30 years old
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education & Health
   b. Audio or visual aids Slides shown. & 6 charts used.
   c. Open discussion very bright: (1) 6 days system (2) Coeducation (3) 6.3.3 school year system (4) Tuberculose (5) Home hygiene (6) Sportsmanship.
   d. Critique or summary all the questions were well answered
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (∨) Unsatisfactory (✓)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The meeting started very late. It was to start at 7.00 pm but actually started at 8.00 pm. Very few attendants were present.
I should say it was not very successful. After the course was over we had a round table discussion with attendants & speakers.
They want to have such course during summer season when farmers are not busy and when the climate is better.

Budget:-
not decided.

Publicity:
Oral publicity by the presidents of associations & by block heads

Administration Comittee

Teitaro Saji	mayor
Shigenobu Ueno	president of youth association
Genzaemon Ono	vice president " "
Hiroyasu Shigemasa	village council
Kyogo Maeda	fire guard
Shitaro Inoue	block heads
Tomoe Kashimoto	president of women association.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kitakiyusamura Yasuyuki Date 29. March.
Location Jyoji Temple.
Chairman of course Mikuo Inaoyuka
Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Inaoyuka Mikuo.
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Shuichi Nimura principal of primary school
   b. Information: Saiun Kishi social education committee
   c. Legal: Mikuo Inaoyuka committee of New Constitution Dissemination
   d. Economics: Koji Yawatuka principal of the middle school.
   e. Labor: Kayame Miyamoto labor employ bureau.
   f. Health: Isshin Kawamura doctor
   g. Welfare: Taisou Kakeyasu Minsei Jin.
3. Period: 7. March ~ 7th April 4 times 8.00 pm ~ 12.00 pm.
4. Attendance: 65/35 Male: 45 Female: 20
   a. Age group 20 ~ 65 years old average 40 years
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Legal.
   b. Audio or visual aids slides + charts
   c. Open discussion Usual
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (V) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Attendants were all very enthusiastic but the speakers were not very smart in speaking.
Attending rates ~~was~~ were about average 60% since the beginning of the course.

Budget:—
1. 2,000.00 total.
publicity printing; pay for lecturers; pay for rent;

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ikeda Meeting Hall (Econ & Welfare) Date 29 Mar

Location Ikeda, Misono-mura, Kanzaki-gun

Chairman of course

Inspecting officer

13 village sections
10 places for course, whole course is to be presented at each place
covering 3 times, 6 hours. 4 times already presented
average attendance 70%

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman:
2. Name of committee: Each section chief is the management committeeman.
   a. Welfare - official in charge of village welfare
   b. Econ - Coop Councilor
   c. Health - Doctor attached to National Hospital
   d. 4H - Social Education Committee, a priest
   e. Educat-n - Teachers of prim & middle school
   f.
   g.
3. Period: 2 Mar. - 29 April
4. Attendance: 60 95% Male: 30 Female: 30
   a. Age group Young man & women meet
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman
   b. Audio or visual aids no
   c. Open discussion One young man expressed his communistic opinions. See ~~back~~ attached paper. back.
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No O)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No O)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes ?) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes O) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No O)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes O) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes ?) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1 Committee & Village authorities have been approching this programme very earnestly.

2. Speakers are not so well qualified, regrettable to say. And this would cause the ineffectiveness of the course, though it was well organized and had many attendants. Welfare speaker presented his lesson through merely reading text book. And Econ speaker often missed the points.

3. A young man expressed his communistic opinion covering various subjects and Mr. Shintani, inspector gave him pertinent answer. At 10:~~o'clock~~ p.m, the meeting was once dissolved and around 10 persons who were interested in the discussion had stayed over until 1:30 A.m. That young man's opinion follows:

1. I can't agree with the enforcement of 9 P.E. programe which is for the benefit of capitalist and at the sacrifice of labor
2. Capitalistic reconstruction of Japan would inevitably invite war in future.
3. Induction of American Captial would colonize Japan
4. U.S.S.R is not totaritearian country, Stalin is the representative regime of people
5. Production of U.S.S.R has been increasing rapidly, Japan should follow such exist

4.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kishizuruqi; Juhachigawa and Shozakae Date 29 Mar. 49
Location Ado-cho, Takashima-gun
Chairman of course Mr. Isoichi Hioki; Mr. Tojuro Jimura
Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Harutaro Hioki
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mr. Yosuke Yamasaki; Tojuro Jimura
   b. Ichitaro Nakamura; Rikuzo Ito
   c. Ichisaburo Hioki; Kinjuro Endo
   d. Chiko Kimura; Seitaro Shimura
   e. Yoshiko Aoki; Kikue Yasuhara
   f. Yasoichi Nakamura; Kazumasa Kikumoto
   g.
3. Period: 14 Mar. — 29 Mar.
4. Attendance: 256 Male: 107 Female: 149
   a. Age group 40 (average)
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Education. b. Legal and government c. Public health d. public welfare e. Economy f. Labor g. Information
   b. Audio or visual aids charts. recreation — school childrens music
   c. Open discussion none
   d. Critique or summary Expert committee members were enthusiastic.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes O) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes O) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes O) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes O) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes O) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes O) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Publicity a. Through liaison personnel of each "Buraku".
   b. Distribution of adult education course pamphlet.

2. Budget: ¥ 30,000 (Meeting hall expenses; expenses for charcoal and tea.; Remunerations to instructors and administration committee.)

3. Attendance rate.

	male	female	total	attendants anticipated	Rate
Nishiyuragi (Myogangi Temple)	63	80	143	270	53%
Juhachigawa (Jokakuji Temple)	23	35	58	75	77.3%
Shozakae (Fukuinji Temple)	21	34	55	70.	78.6%.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Sakata-mura Sakata-gun Date 22 March.
Location Nagasawa meeting hall.
Chairman of course Masuya Yonemi
Inspecting officer Dr. Ruiko Teramura.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Taizo Tsukada.
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Keiichi Yamada school principal.
   b. Information: Taizo Tsukada school teacher
   c. Soken Fujishika (Labor) middle school teacher
   d. Legal Genshichi Tsukami. village official.
   e. Economics: Genzo Mori agricult. technician. Jodo Matsubara teacher
   f. Health: Hisako Kawasaki P.H. Nurse
   g. Welfare: Nihei Endo Minsei Jin.
3. Period:
4. Attendance: 42/44. Male: 37 Female: 7
   a. Age group 60 ~ 18 years old average 40 years old
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor & Information
   b. Audio or visual aids none
   c. Open discussion one question about communism was brought up.
   d. Critique or summary none.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes fair) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

absent rate was high. Expected one from each household but just about 1/3 was present. No visual aids used which made the course dull & unattractive. Recommended for some method to attract the people & publicity.

Budget:

1,000.- total.

700.- will be given to the blocks where the attendants were most as a prize, rest for travel expense, text, expense for tear & wear.

Publicity:

oral publicity by youth association & block heads.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Okinaga-mura Sakaka-gun Date 29 March.
Location Shinjo Temple
Chairman of course Sukeza Shoji.
Inspecting officer Asst. Rinkei Iwamura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yoshikiko Nagawa.
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Shuichiro Matsui High school teacher
   b. Information: Kaiyu Hasabusa Buddist priest
   c. Economics: Kogan Tachibana Buddist priest & teacher
   d. Labor: Seisuke Miyawa former P.T.A president
   e. Legal: Masahiko Wantanabe chairman of village council.
   f. Health: Kane Okayashi. farmer.
   g. Welfare: Shoken Hirose. Buddist priest Mitsei Jin.
3. Period: 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 28, 29, 30 March.
4. Attendance: 178/110 Male: [redacted]/100 Female: [redacted]/78
   a. Age group 18 ~ 65 years old average 40 years old.
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman all subjects.
   b. Audio or visual aids movie picture 3 films. "Day of a good child" "Defeat T.B".
   c. Open discussion one question was presented about communism.
   d. Critique or summary very enthusiastic.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

This course was held very enthusiastically. The committee members are cooperating fully and thus the program is well carried. Speakers presentations were effective & also recreation was placed between them & attracted the people.
It was very good here. One question was brought up by one young man asking about communism & democracy.

Meeting held at 7 blocks.

Publicity :-
Through women's, youth, & P.T.A organizations & block heads.
Before the lecture starts the bell was rang throughout the village. The day when the lecture was to be held was decided to be the holiday so that everybody could attend. It may be the model to other villages.

Budget:
Have no definite budget just for this course. Spending the money from social education budget.
The speakers will not be payed.

Number of attendance.
23/11 135/200. 23/11 65/180 24/11 35/50
7/11 65/43 24/11 81/135 27/11 55/100 29/11 178/110.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Hozaji Temple Date March 28 1949
Location Minamikawase, Kawase-mura Inugami-gun
Chairman of course Mr. T Ueda
Inspecting officer ag Kusatani C.E Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. R. Nasu (a priest)
2. Name of committee: Mr. S. Miyauchi (Treasurer of village office)
   a. Mr S Furukawa (a farmer)
   b. Mrs K Wakabayashi (a member of welfare committee)
   c. Miss T. Kitagawa (a leader of girls' organization)
   d. Miss T Kitamura (a nursery teacher)
   e. Mr T Kawamura (an official of village office)
   f. Mr S Higuchi (an official of village office)
   g. Miss T Okano (an official of village office)
3. Period: From March 27 to April 27
4. Attendance: 175 Male: 115 Female: 60
   a. Age group 20 ~ 30
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman The Purpose of Democratic Education Public Health
   b. Audio or visual aids No visual aids
   c. Open discussion Pupils sometimes go to Nara and Kyoto on school excursion. Then they are eager to see "Daibutsu" or to visit famous shrines. But the teachers won't take pupils to such places. Why is it?
   d. Critique or summary We have received a directive from G.H.Q regarding the mass visit to shrines. So teachers can not take their pupils to shrines. But it belongs to their free will that they visit shrines personally.
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (~~Yes~~) (~~No~~) not so enthusiastic
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Toshio Kikita. a teacher of junior high school.
With easy and understandable expression, his lecture was fully comprehended by the attendants. Frequent jokes woven into his lecture made the attendants burst into laugh, which kept their attention on his lecture all the time.

Mr. Nobuo Aoki a teacher of junior high school.
He explicated the principles of democracy one by one. Though his speech technique was rather good, he failed to catch the minds of the attendants. Because his speech went too abstract, and didn't appeal to their general concern.

Mr. T. Nomura. doctor.
He pointed out the recent grievious tendency of the prevalence of V. D. in post-war Japan. He warned the young people not to suffer from this disgraceful disease.

IV. Budget.
¥5.000.00 will be appropreated in next fiscal year for adult education course. This amount might be added if necessary.

V. Publicity.
Posters urging villagers to attend the A.E. course have been posted on bulletin boards.
Poster contest will be held in primary school in the first term of next school year.

VI Punctuality
Only one ~~forth~~ tenth of the proposed number of attendants gathered at the place at the appointed time (0600 P.M.) This is because 0600 P.M. is too early for villagers to attend the course Some farmers are said to be still in farm at this early hour. I suggested chairman of A.E. committee to step down the starting hour to 0730 or 0800 P.M. so that villagers may attend the course without any inconvenience.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kamikawana-mura Higashisagi-gun Date 27 th of March.
Location Kamikawana Primary school.
Chairman of course Binichi Nakamura
Inspecting officer Mr. Rinko Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Binichi Nakamura
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Shoichi Nakamura primary school principal.
   b. Information: Masaru Miyake " " Teacher
   c. Economics: Sakichi Ueda middle school teacher.
   d. Labor: Tsukami.
   e. Welfare: Hoto Tamura. Minsei Iin.
   f. Health: Shizuka Mikami. doctor
   g. Legal: Yoshio Oshikawa village official Tanaka policeman.
3. Period: 2, 3 April. 1.00 pm ~ 5.00 pm.
4. Attendance: _____ Male: _____ Female: _____
   a. Age group _____
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman all subjects.
   b. Audio or visual aids Slides.
   c. Open discussion ~~none~~
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory (*)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes ?) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes ?) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

As I received the schedule I was planning to visit this village on some of the days when the course is held. Then I got a message from District office that they've changed the schedule, so I went on Sunday the 27th.
But when I went there was nobody in the school, while waited, the teacher and the village official came and told that again they've changed the schedule to 2 or 3 of April.

The administration of this course is very poor.

a. It is better to hold the meeting not at one place but at several places (hooks. where people are easier to attend.
b. Better to hold the meeting at night than during day, for people are busy already.
c. Some recreation program should be planned.

Recommended to hold the committee meeting again and replan this course.

The village officials say that the busy season have started already, & how they would like to hold this kind of meeting more complete during summer season.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Yuda-mura Higashi-ama-gun Date 26th March
Location Konkoji meeting hall
Chairman of course Sukejiro Tanaka
Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Major Mr Kawamoto
2. Name of committee:
   a. Education: Hachiro Ito principal of primary school
   b. Information: Teiji Tokuhara
   c. Legal: Kondo masami village official
   d. Labor: Tanaka Sukejiro village official
   e. Economics Higuchi Tomeo
   f. Health: Ikuji Ko doctor
   g. Welfare: Umemoto Sadaki village official
3. Period: 8.30 p.m. to 11.30 p.m.
4. Attendance: 65/65 Male: 50 Female: 15
   a. Age group 65 over 18 years old. 45 average
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman
      Health, Welfare, Labor & Economics
   b. Audio or visual aids Charts & Slides.
   c. Open discussion Several questions brought up. (not periculed)
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (√) Fair (√) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

① Major, the committee, and the village people are all very cooperative and thus very successful.

② Using of visual aids (with special slides), the lectures, and every-thing was well prepared.

③ Having the meeting at 10 different blocks but at every were the attending rate is almost 100%.

④ It may be said as model A.E.C. compared to other villages.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Osaka village Higashi Nagasugura Date 22 March
Location Hirai Temple
Chairman of course Shigeo Amano
Inspecting officer Otis R Testerman

I. Administration

1 Name of chairman: Shigeo Amano youth organization president

2. Name of committee:

a. Education: Shigeo Yaeka. Shimokawa principal
b. Information: Tojiro Sasahara: mayor
c. Legal: Kokichiichi Miyasaka: assistant mayor
d. Economics: Yoshiyo Nakagawa: agricultural association
e. Labor: Kaap Shibe: village official in charge of labor
f. Welfare: Iyeso Sebe: Minsei Jin
g. Health: Sukejiro Kakeuchi. Shunichi Nakajima 9:30 - 12:00 PM doctor

3. Period: 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31. March 2, 4, 6 April.

4. Attendance: 167/ 100% Male: 90% Female: 10%

a. Age group youth 50% old 20% middleage 20% women 10%

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Information, Education, Health, Welfare.

b. Audio or visual aids none.

c. Open discussion open.

d. Critique or summary "Wish to continue this kind of program" among the youth

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory ( )

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (~~Yes~~) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes ?) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (~~Yes~~) (not fully)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

This program is handled entirely by youth association. The village major and the assistant major, being the instructor are not very enthusiastic or cooperating in this course. although No visual aids are used and no recreation exercises followed. This program is carried rather poorly. More women attendants are desired.

Budget:

Total: $4,400.00

Travel expense 650.-
pay for instructors. 3000.-
pay of room rent 800.-

Publicity:

oral publicity by youth organization + woman organization.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name South Primary School (Nat. Legal. Labor) Date 26 Mar

Location Notogawa-cho, Kanzaki-gun

Chairman of course

Inspecting officer K. Shintani

I. Administration — Organization is very well done

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Kitaro SUGITA (Public Welfare Committee)
2. Name of committee:
   a. Two teams of instrctrs are oriented, and each
   b. team covers all lessons, for instance, the instructors
   c. in charge of Education are principal of South primary school
   d. and east primary school, and in case of information, instructor
   e. of A team is Ken information official and B team is chief of
   f. Notogawa police. So far as qualification of instructor
   g. concerned, first rank and celebrated persons are collected.
3. Period: 14 Mar – 12 Apr. At 4 places 3 days at each place.
4. Attendance: 15 Male: [illegible] Female: none
   a. Age group over 30 years
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Principal of the school is one of the committeemen, and worked as chairman
   b. Audio or visual aids Chart for labor, only (See back)
   c. Open discussion none
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No O)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No O)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No O)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No O)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No O)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes ?) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes ?) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. No. of attendants was too few.
   a. Daytime, especially when it is fine, farmers are busy and would not like to attend.
   b. Town inhabitants are not much interested in the course, this is usually the case at all towns.
2. Preparation and arrangement were maid pretty well, though.
   a. Attached card which certifies the attendant at each lesson is handed to the attendant and at the end of the course it is the certification of completion of the course if the audience attended whole course.
   b. On each appointed day, school pupils' attraction is prepared by the school such as drama, song etc as refreshment. But on the day I visited this was not realized on account of the too few attendants.
   c. Publicity also made throughly by poster and letter of circulation.
   d. Though the attendants were too few, but the committee have been working enthusiastic.

Name of lecturers

【講師】

第一班（東・西小學校會場）

教育部	能登川南小學校長	安孫子誠治郎氏
情報部	滋賀縣弘報事務擔當者	中西五平氏
法政部	草津高等學校教官	鷲見又一氏
經濟部	滋賀銀行能登川支店長	岡治常太郎氏
勞働部	長濱職業安定所長	大辻賢三氏
厚生部	能登川町民生委員	杉田喜太郎氏
衛生部	能登川病院副院長	小田原健氏

第貳班（南・北小學校會場）

教育部	能登川東小學校長	矢島正信氏
情報部	能登川警察署長	古田治平氏
法政部	八幡高等學校教官	原田泰氏
經濟部	東能登川町協同組合長	吉田義美氏
勞働部	八日市職業安定所長	塚本鉄藏氏
厚生部	能登川町民生委員	小川秀雄氏
衛生部	滋賀縣保健婦會長	大槻由江氏

2nd group　　1st group

Certification for Attendance to A.E course

能登川町主催

公民教育講座出席證

大字 Section

號 No.

Name

出席表	
第一日	1st day
第二日	2nd day
第三日	3rd day

200 400 300 400

會場校	第一日	第二日	第三日
東小學校	三月十九日	三月二十三日	四月五日
	經濟・厚生	情報・法政・勞働	教育・衛生
西小學校	三月十五日	三月二十二日	四月十一日
	情報・法政	教育・衛生・勞働	經濟・厚生
南小學校	三月十四日	三月二十六日	四月十二日
	教育・衛生	情報・法政・勞働	經濟・厚生
北小學校	三月二十二日	三月二十六日	三月三十日
	經濟・厚生	教育・衛生	情報・法政・勞働

【注意】
※各會場共午後一時開會ハ　します
※御出席の節は受付係に本証　提出下さい
※出席者は成人であればどなたでもよろしい

3,000—
1,500人

修了證

第一回能登川町主催公民教育講座の全課程を修了したことを証する

昭和二十四年四月　日

神崎郡能登川町長宮川多治郎

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Kōmyōji-temple, for Legal lesson

Name (Wada & Kawa-magari Section) Date 30 Mar.

Location Wada Section, Kita-gokasho, Kanzaki-gun.

Chairman of course Kozo KOSUGI, Public Welfare Committee.

Inspecting officer K Shintani

I. Administration Adm. Com. — Chief of village section 2. Interested qualified person 4.

1. Name of chairman:
2. Name of committee: Exp. Com.
   a. Legal — Village official 2. Director of Youth Assoc.
   b. Econ — Director of P.T.A
   c. Welfare — Chairman of Public Welfare Com.
   d. Health — Village doctor
   e. Labor — Teacher of primary school
   f. Educatin — Principal of school
   g.
3. Period:
4. Attendance: 60 (90%) Male: 40 Female: 20
   a. Age group Young man & women most
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Chief points of lessons are presented befor the lesson by Chairman Chairman leads the course and discussion.
   b. Audio or visual aids Slide 2 for lesson 1 for attraction very well used, effective, and chart also
   c. Open discussion many question regarding newly established law presented by the audience, and answered to the points. very good question and answer
   d. Critique or summary Chairman summurized the point of lesson
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No O)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? very (Yes O) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes O) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes O) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes O) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? very (Yes O) (No)
7. Is it effective? very (Yes O) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. The presentation of the course at this village would be model one in this prefecture, though the attendants is not 100%.

a. The chairman is very well qualified, who is working full time for this programme, and also has good understanding and character.

b. Village authorities are working cooperatively with the chairman.

c. The presentation of each lesson (3 lessons of legal) is very well made, the speakers are well trained and consolidated.

d. Audiences are ernestly joining to this program and presented various questions around presented items of the lesson, which were not destrative nor antagonistic, sort of constructive or trying to understand

e. Slide which is only visual aids exept chart at village section level was used very effectively.

f. The committee has appealed to no measure to force the villagers to attend the meeting, villagers spontaneously attended. At some village 100% attendant obtained but in many cases some forcible measures or other always applied, and though they attend to the meeting they could not be so earnestly join the programme.

Adult Education Course Inspection

1, April

Ryokai Hirose.

I made a third visit to Azuchi village since Adult Education Course started. This is the sixth visit here from the beginning. Each time they change the schedule that I could never attend the course.
According to the last program the course was to be held on 26, 27, and 28 of March. And on the 26th (Education and Welfare) the first day lectures were given but attendants were just 13 from all the village. And so they canceled the whole.
According to the recommendation I made I thought they have replanned the course. But again to-day major says that they are so busy and have no time to meet the committee together. But promised to meet and discuss the matter and report back to M.G. by the 7th.

(1) will hold the A.B.C. as soon as possible.
(2) at night
(3) at each blocks (that will be 10)
(4) cooperation of village official & committee & public.
(5) wish to buy slide projector.

Adult Education Inspection
Kirihara - mura

28 - March

Ryokai Hirose

According to the plan made by the village the course was to be held at just one place that is at the primary school hall and during daytime.
The village has 17 blocks and 1096 house holds (and this village consists of many special blocks „Etai")
And thus the plan made by the village is not complete and could not expect the succes of this Adult Education.
Held a conference with the village official & others and recommended to make up the plan that could have more ~~sss~~ effect.
They promised to hold a administration conference as soon as possible and make up a detailed, and effective plan, that is to hold the lecture at each block and during night-time.
The visit will be made again after the replanned schedule is sent.

28/march.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Minakuchicho A E Course Public Health Date 28th March 49
Location Shrine office, Nakahata, Minakuchicho, Kokagun,
Chairman of course Kosho Sakagami, Assemblyman, priest
Inspecting officer Geo. T. Kashii

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ko Kato
2. Name of committee:
   a. Labor Kaichiro Kanamitsu, Employment security Chief
   b. Economic Tomio Fushiki Post master
   c. Education Kaisyu Kawanabe, High school principal
   d. Legal Naoji Watanabe Koke Sesion high principal
   e. Health Masako Asabi Doctress
   f. Welfare Kenjiro Okuyama, District office Chief
   g. Inf. Shuichi Nishii Publicorder Committeeman
3. Period: 14.40—18.50 (12/3—28/4)
4. Attendance: 48 Male: 36 Female: 12
   a. Age group 35—57 average
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman the public health was presented minitutely and kindly easy enough to make them understand, the woman instructor was fully capable
   b. Audio or visual aids CIE No 74, 29 3 6 these shows very much enjoyed by people
   c. Open discussion Health problems, How to use public health office
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (~~No~~)

publicity — sent out Circulating letter to house to house and steering Committee made efforts to encourage them to attend the course.

Budget — ¥10.000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? 100% (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? 100% (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Ratio of attendance was very high, showing 120% of number of homes in this community

Subject of labor changed to Education, due to absence of instructor, I do inspector spoke of importances of Labor union for peaceful, efficient industry for 40 minutes.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Education

Name Minamachicho A.E. Course No2 Date 28th March 45
Location Gauriginji temple, Matsue, Minamachicho, Kakagun
Chairman of course Kyoho Sadagami, priest
Inspecting officer Gerd. Grashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ko Kato
2. Name of committee:
   a. The same as sheet No 1
   b. do
   c. do
   d. do
   e. do
   f. do
   g. do
3. Period:
4. Attendance: 60 Male: 28 Female: 32
   a. Age group 25 — 40
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman was very good and effective
   b. Audio or visual aids CIE No 74.29.36 were shown
   c. Open discussion General problems
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (~~No~~ 2)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? 90% (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? 90% (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Town authorities asked to encourage Mr. Kanemitsu, Minakuchi Employment Security office chief, the instructor of labor to attend meetings as he consented.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Labor, Economics, Health

Name Idyozumura A.E Course Date 29th March 49

Location Kozujistemple, Rekuji, Nyozumura, Yasugun

Chairman of course Denjiro Sudaidyashi

Inspecting officer [illegible]

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Daigo Aoki

2. Name of committee:

a. Labor, Shuhei Koja, Junior high teacher

b. Economics, Ryokichi Kimura, Comer Economy teacher

c. Education Denjiro Sudaidyashi, Junior high teacher

d. Legal, Sotaro Kimura, accountant-Yagiso Ltd
will take this place as he ran for mayor in election

e. Health Shinryo Ltd, Health officer

f. Welfare Ryokichi Morita, Welfare Committee men

g. Inspector Kozan Imaeda, Senior high teacher

3. Period: 20.00—24.00 (4/3—18/4)

4. Attendance: 85 Male: 55 Female: 30

a. Age group 35—50 average

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor, Economics were presented convincingly

b. Audio or visual aids none

c. Open discussion on rural livelihood under democratic system

d. Critique or summary they want to keep the education steady when family is not busy

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes 2) (~~No~~)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? 100% (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? 90% (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Topics of discussion were very popular, every one enjoyed the meeting to full extent.

Village officers say, Communist request them to debate on Social Security Act of public welfare, also protest against M G T Circular.

"Democracy VS. Communism".

民主主義と共産主義について

滋賀軍政部發表

アメリカの國民は總ての國家總ての國民が自分達の思ふような政治をし上品な滿足な生活の出來る世界を希望し、その爲に努力する決心を固めている、とりわけ對等な立場から自由意志に基いて出來た眞の協定の上に立つこの世の平和、公正な永續的な平和を希望し、その爲に不退轉の努力をしている。

この目的に向つて進む際にアメリカ合衆國竝にアメリカ合衆國と同樣な考へ方の國家は目的において相反し、生活觀に於て全く異る國家組織と直接に對立しているのである。この國家組織では人類に自由と安全と他より余計な便益とを與へられると稱するところの誤れる考へ方と結びついている。この考へ方に惑わされて多くの人々は自分の自由を犧牲にし、其の結果は唯僞瞞と嘲笑貧困と暴虐だけしが得られないことを知つて悲しんだのである。

この誤つた考へ方とは共產主義である。

共產主義は人間は弱く不滿足なものであるから自分で自分を治めることは出來ない。從つて强力な支配者が必要であるという信念に基礎をおいているのである。

反對に民主主義は人間といふものは、道義的智的能力竝に不可分の權利を持つて自ら理性的に公明正大に治めることが出來るという觀念に基礎をおいているのである。

共產主義は人を正當な理由なく逮捕し裁判によらずして處罰し國家の手段として强制勞働を課している、何を知らすべきか、ぞんな藝術を生むべきか、その指導者に從うべきか、ぞんな思想を自分の思想とすべきかという枠を示しているのである。

民主主義では政府は各人の利益の爲に作られており、各人の權利とその權能の行使の自由とを保護する責任があるとするのである。

共產主義は社會の惡い處は暴力による他、是正出來ないというのであるが、民主主義では社會正義は平和的な改革によつて達成出來得ることを實証して來たのである。

共產主義は世界の社會的對立は根深く戰爭は避ける事が出來ないというが民主主義は自由な國家は紛爭を公正に解決し、永續的な平和を維持する事が出來るというのである。これらの共產主義と民主主義の相異点はアメリカ合衆國だけに關するものではない。

世界各地に於て人々は物質的な福祉と人間的な品性と神を信じ神を救う權利に係る問題であるということを自覺し始めている。

これらの相違点を述べるのは、信念の問題を信念の問題として論するのでなく共產主義の考へ方から起る行爲が、自由な國家の世界復興と永續的平和を招來しようと努力に對する脅威となつているからである。

兵主村役場

一

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Omi Public Hall, Education & Information Date 25 Mar

Location Omi, Takatoki-mura, Ika-gun

Chairman of course Taiei Arai, Ass Headman of village

Inspecting officer K Shintani (Econ)

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Arai
2. Name of committee:
   a. ~~Information~~ Education — Mr. Yoshida (Principle of middle school)
   b. ~~Education~~ — Mr. Takeda (teacher of primary school)
   c. Information
   d.
   e.
   f.
   g.
3. Period: 22 March - 17 April
4. Attendance: 52 100% Male: 32 Female: 20
   a. Age group All variety, young women 5. old man 20
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman
   b. Audio or visual aids Slide L-10,164 L-10,266
   c. Open discussion none
   d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes O) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes O) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes O) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes O) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes O) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full very conviction for this program? very (Yes O) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes O) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. This village bought the slide apparatus and slides with the budget of 10.000 yen for the use of this course. As the course is presented at village ward level where the use of film projector is unable, the slide is the only and practical visual aid.

2. The attendant ratio is always 100% as well as the inspected case. such satisfactory result is caused from:

   a. The villagers' living customs and conditions which has been fostered through many years, and such situations are far beyond the reach of committee's endeavour. So the success or not of the presentation of the course depend much upon the villagers living tradition, at least the attending ratio.

   b. Village authorities are working very earnestly and with full conviction.

3. One of audience told me that it was most desirable that the lesson should be presented interestingly with humor and living example, dry and difficult talk would be forgotten from their memory no sooner than they left the meeting.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Meeting Hall, Labor & Public Welfare. Date 24 Mar
Location Yomura, Shiozu-mura, IKa-gun
Chairman of course Shutaro TSUJI (Former Village Headman)
Inspecting officer K. Shintani (Econ)

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. TSUJI
2. Name of committee:
   a. Mr. Hayashi (Village Official in charge of Public Welfare)
   b. Mr. OKADA (-do- in charge of Economy & Labor)
   c.
   d.
   e.
   f.
   g.
3. Period:
4. Attendance: 30 Male: 25 Female: 5
   No of house 63, only 50% attendented
   a. Age group ~~Young men the most~~
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Chairman presented before the lesson what are points of lesson which was very effectively made.
   b. Audio or visual aids None
   c. Open discussion None, though chance was given
   d. Critique or summary Chairman stated that what were refered in the lesson should be brought in the daily life of villagers
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (YesO) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes○) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No○)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No○)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes○) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes○) (No) very
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No○)
7. Is it effective? (Yes○) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Speaker or instructor and chairman are working earnestly and their presentation is very good and very understandable, but village administrative authority such as headman & ass. headman seemed to be not so interested in the course.

Viewed from various exemple, the course could be well oriented and put into work well only through village administrative system, so it would be most practical and effective that the village headman or ass. headman took the post of the chairman of the course, though it could not be the ideal way of the organization.

2. This village has afflicted by the flood last year & damaged of its arableland of 20 choku, which have caused the unstability of daily life, being not rehabilitated since. This was one of the reasons why this village was not so earnest in the presentation of the course.

3. Attendance ratio of 50% would be the lowest case in whole Ika-gun and is very regrettable, of which inspecter suggested village authority to take pertinent measure so that 100% could be expected hereafter.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Minami-Goke nosho-mura, Kanzaki-gun Date March 26
Location Shichisi, Minamigokanosho-mura (C.P.H.)
Chairman of course Gennosuke Kusunoki
Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Masanori Nakano
2. Name of committee:
   a. Masanori Nakano (Welfare)
   b. Tokuju Kawasaki (Health)
   c. Etsuzo Yoshida (Legal)
   d. Gennosuke Kusunoki (Labor)
   e. Fumio Tsuji (Education)
   f. Kingo Isobe (Economics)
   g. Kaoru Tsukamoto (Information), village officer
3. Period: March 26, April 9, 30
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 25 Female: 15, totalling 40
   a. Age group Youth: 25, manhood: 10, aged 5
5. Program used: Information & Welfare
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman several charts were well prepared
   b. Audio or visual aids Magic Lantern: "People's Assembly" "Ringing Bell on Hill"
   c. Open discussion owing to shortage of time, discussion was not conducted
   d. Critique or summary Lectures and audience were both enthusiastic and the chairman, village mayor was most earnest in dealing with AES and the effect was very good
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget : ¥12,000

Publicity : ① 10-posters per hamlet totalling 70 copies.
② circulating notices

Steering Committee ; Besides village committee,
Each hamlet has its own steering committee
dissenicating the importance of the AEC

Chairman: The AEC chairman, the village mayor and the chief of the village social aducation were much interested in social education and they were making ~~much~~ vivid activities, but tonight the PTA had a troublesome question to consult, and so the audience was not so large as usual. The PTA conference tonight seems to be unavoidable concerning the issue of the case of headmaster of the primary school.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Seta-cho, Kurita gun Date March 25
Location Oe, Setacho (at Saitokuji Temple)
Chairman of course Takashi Yoshida
Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration
1. Name of chairman: Tanetoshi Nakatani, formerly teacher
2. Name of committee:
a. Takashi Yoshida (Information), Town Mayor
b. Tanetoshi Nakatani (Education)
c. Kazuo Nakatani (Legal)
d. Kuzaemon Matsuda (Labor)
e. Masanobu Isoda (Health)
f. Eryu Shishido (Welfare)
g. Masae Matsuda (Economics)
3. Period: March 25, 26, 27
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 50 Female: 60 Total 110
a. Age group Youth: 45 Manhood: 50 Aged 15
5. Program used: Information & Education
a. Presentation of problem by chairman was clearly and well delivered
b. Audio or visual aids None
c. Open discussion A special day will be devoted to discussion and certificate given to each attendant at the end of the course
d. Critique or summary Lecturers were very active and well informed
6. How was it received:
a. Enthusiastic (○) Fair (\) Unsatisfactory (\)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget ; ¥15,000

Publicity: (1) 10-posters per hamlet (5-hamlets in all)

(2) Regional steering Committee (5-members per hamlet and 2 others tatalling 27) dessemiate AEC to every door

(3) Through schol childrens' pictures etc

Recreation ; Tonight, none, but in other meeting places, paper screen show or "20-doors" were performed

Meeting places ; 3-meeting places in all

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Notogawa-cho, Kanzaki-gun Date March 23
Location Notogawa Higashi Primary School
Chairman of course Tajiro Miyagawa, Town Mayor
Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration
1. Name of chairman: Kitaro Sugita
2. Name of committee:
a. Seijiro Abiko (Education)
b. Gohei Nakanishi (Information, Rice milling trader
c. Matarichi Washimi (Legal)
d. Tsunetaro Okaji (Economics)
e. Kenjo Otsuji (Labor)
f. Kitaro Sugita (Welfare)
g. Ken Odawara (Health)
3. Period: March 19, 23, April 5
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 125 Female: 75 total 200
a. Age group Youth: 50 Manhood: 80, aged 70
5. Program used: Labor, Legal, Information
a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor, Legal and Information
b. Audio or visual aids None, but several charts and tabulation were well prepared
c. Open discussion At the end of the course, a day is devoted to discussion
d. Critique or summary Lectures were well informed men and audience enjoyed them
6. How was it received:
a. Enthusiastic ( ) Fair ( ) Unsatisfactory ( )
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget: $2,5000

Publicity: (1) 140 - posters set up on the street

(2) Through school childrens' pictures

(3) Through school childrens' dissemination

(4) Through officers of terminal organs

(5) 1 - leaflet per family

1 - attendance-card per family

To give certificates to those who have attended the AEC

Recreation: School childrens' palys & dancing — very well performed

Primary school: well cooperated

General atmosphere: Town mayor and the AEC chairman and the chief of Women's Association and primary school headteacher made full cooperation with the AEC course and the AEC course is worth recommendation

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kusatsu CPH, Kurita gun Date March 22
Location Idommachi, Kusatsu-cho
Chairman of course Shigezo Tsukado, Town Mayor
Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Masao Idazui, Middle school teacher
2. Name of committee:
   a. Masao Idazui (Legal)
   b. Shinoji Inose (Labor)
   c. Yae Ichikawa (Education)
   d. Michiro Yawata (Economics)
   e. Kamenosuke Mori (Information), Village officer
   f. Tadashi Taniguchi (Health)
   g. Sato Furukawa (Welfare
3. Period: March 7, 14, 17, 22, 24, 31; April 4, 11, 14, 18, 25; May 2, 9
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 180 Female: 170 350/800
   a. Age group Youth; 100, manhood; 200 aged; 50
5. Program used: Legal & Education
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman The lectures were clearly and well delivered
   b. Audio or visual aids None
   c. Open discussion
   d. Critique or summary Lecturers and audience were both enthusiastic and the atmosphere was warm
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (○) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1.) Budget ; ¥ 3,0000

2.) Publicity ; (a) Posters & leaflelets.
(b) Through school children
(c) Through every street leaders

3.) On streets, posters are well set up and attractive

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Idonjo-mura, Takashima-gun Date March 20
Location Shinkoji Temple, Yokoshama, Idonjo-mura
Chairman of course Koiichi Aiba, Middle school teacher
Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Koiichi Aiba
2. Name of committee:
   a. Tokuzaemon Komai (Education)
   b. Tamejiro Idamano (Welfare)
   c. Kinjiro Doi (Information) Cho Koiichi Aiba
   d. Shoshichi Hayafuji, Sobei Doi, Koiichi Aiba (Legal)
   e. Kenjiro Idatta (Economics)
   f. Yukiko Itakura (Labor)
   g. Yuso Taga, Kimi Okuyama (Health)
3. Period: March 10, 20, 30 [Remark 5-meeting places]
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 97 Female: 50 Total: 147 Expected: 156 94%
   a. Age group Youth: 36, Manhood: 90, aged: 21
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Legal & Information lectures were clearly and well delivered
   b. Audio or visual aids Magic lantern: Information—"American Library" Talkie: Health—"Road to medicine" "Talks on Local Autonomy"
   c. Open discussion Education—Owing to shortage of time discussion was not held
   d. Critique or summary Lecturers and audience were fast asleep and the effect was very excellent
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair (\) Unsatisfactory (\)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1.) AEC budget: ¥16,655

2.) Publicity: 1-leaflet (printed) per family
1-Attendance card per family
Posters put up in 6-hamlets
AEC Steering Committeemen, youth Corp & SB members disseminate this's purport to every house

3.) punctuality

4.) Attendance Rate: 97% (average to date)

5.) Repurcussion: Audience has been much more interested than expected and the AEC in this community level is making much contribution to enhancing the general cultural aspect

6.) The AEC is worth recommendation. This is owing to the enthusiastic attitude of the village mayor and Kinjiro Aoi, the village officer taking charge of social education and others

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kaizu-mura, Takashima-gun Date March 17
Location Kaizu Primary School, Kaizu, Kaizu-mura
Chairman of course Shinzyu Fujiwara, Vice Mayor of the village
Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Enrin Iwatani, Budhist Priest, member of Minsei committee
2. Name of committee:
   a. Idachitaro Nishizaki (Education)
   b. Idaru Uchida (Information), Chief of Womens' Society
   c. Shinzyu Fujiwara (Legal)
   d. Yashizo Yoshida (Economics)
   e. Kanzo Ishiida (Labor)
   f. Ensin Iwatani (Welfare)
   g. Naoyuki Iiida (Health)
3. Period: March 17, 20, 23, 26, 29, April 1, 5, 8
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 5 Female: 215
   a. Age group 100 in twenties & thirties, 100 in forties & fifties, 15 in over fifties
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education & Information lectures were delivered
   b. Audio or visual aids None
   c. Open discussion Omitted due to shortage of time, discussion was not held
   d. Critique or summary Chairmen made clear the salient points and the audience seemed to have well understood the speeches
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (○) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory (✓)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1.) Budget for the AEC is around $ 1,000

2.) Method of publicity:

(i) Through members of Womens' Society

(ii) Through Primary School children

3.) The chairman of the Womens' Society here makes vivid activities and accordingly almost all of the audiences tonight were women.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kataoka-mura, Ika-gun Date March 16
Location C PH. Yanagase, Kataoka-mura
Chairman of course Satazo Ote, headman of village office
Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Jinichi Taniguchi, vice-headman of village office
2. Name of committee:
   a. Kaichi Tagawa (Economics)
   b. Sakuji Ogawa (Welfare)
   c. Jinichi Taniguchi (Legal)
   d. Giichi Nakamura (Health)
   e. Taichiro Higashino (Labor)
   f. Satazo Ote (information), headman of the village office
   g. Idachiro Taniguchi (Education)
3. Period: March 16, 17, 18, 30, 31
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 23 Female: 35
   a. Age group 12 in twenties, 37 in thirties, 9 in forties or over forties
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Welfare, health, legal and labor lectures were delivered
   b. Audio or visual aids None
   c. Open discussion was not held Owing to shortage of time, discussion
   d. Critique or summary Speeches were fairly good and health lecture was most excellent being delivered a well informed doctor
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (○) Fair (\) Unsatisfactory (\)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kataoka-mura, Ika-gun Date March 16
Location C PH. Yanagase, Kataoka-mura
Chairman of course Satao Oto, headman of village office
Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Jinichi Taniguchi, vice-headman of village office
2. Name of committee:
   a. Kaichi Tagawa (Economics)
   b. Sakuji Ozawa (Welfare)
   c. Jinichi Taniguchi (Legal)
   d. Giichi Nakamura (Health)
   e. Taichiro Higashino (Labor)
   f. Satao Oto (information), headman of the village office
   g. Idachiro Taniguchi (Education)
3. Period: March 16, 17, 18, 30, 31
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 23 Female: 35
   a. Age group 12 in twenties, 37 in thirties, 9 in forties or over forties
5. Program used:
   a. Presentation of problem by chairman Welfare, health, legal and labor lectures were delivered
   b. Audio or visual aids None
   c. Open discussion Owing to shortage of time, discussion was not held
   d. Critique or summary Speeches were fairly good and health lecture was most excellent being delivered a well informed doctor
6. How was it received:
   a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair (\) Unsatisfactory (\)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (~~Yes~~) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

As recreation, primary school children made a great contribution to the AEC gathering playing childlike comic plays and dancings

The place is one of the remotest ~~parts~~ parts in Shiga Prefecture near the boundary of Fukui Prefecture and is a mountain village famous for charcoal production and cultural aspect ranks lowest so the AEC course seems is timely hit.

The village has been one of the places where no doctors live, but now a physician in the Kinomoto Health Center lives in the village and thanked by the villagers for his his kind treatment

Today wedding ceremony was conducted in one family in the hamlet and so some audience could not present at the Course

The budget for the AEC totals ¥ 8,000 and publicity method is to put the attendants' seal impressions on their names when present.