

PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 28-30 Jun 62		2. LOCATION Beloit, Wisconsin		12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical Venus <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local _____ GMT 30/0645Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION principal obj 1 1/2 hrs varied w/obj		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS multiple	9. COURSE stationary varied		
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Main obj still in sight after 1 1/2 hr. Obj had erratic, varied random movements. Described as a large star, white w/haze around it. Appeared to dim out & reappear. Obsvd to SE at 45 dgr elev. 2) sightings rptd to Beloit wixm police on 28-29 Jun a) grey obj headed NW b) bright burning obj W of Beloit headed toward Monroe c) bright burning obj fm SW to NE d) bright burning obj in SW e) bright burning obj headed W f) Obj weaving back & forth. All of these rpts had different times over a 2 day period & were rptd to Beloit Police.			11. COMMENTS Venus was at 130dgr azimuth, 35 dgr elev at time of the rpt. / This was the principal obj viewed & instigated the rpts. Balance of rpts are considered as insufficient data.		

STAFF MESSAGE BRANCH
UNCLASSIFIED MESSAGE

ACTION: CIN-17

INFO : OOP-2, OOP-CP-1, SAFS-3, DIA-8 (32)

INCOMING

CP

SMB A 191

ZCHQD634ZCYJE370

PP RUEAHQ

DE RJEDAH 84

ZFR

P 022050Z

FM CHICAGO ADS TRUAX FLD WISC
TO RJWFAL/ADC ENT AFB COLORADO
ZEN/30 ADIV TRUAX FIELD WISC
RJEDS/ATIC WP AFB OHIO
RUEAHQ/COFS USAF WASHINGTON 25 DC
RUEAHQ/OSAF WASHINGTON 25 DC

BT

UNCLASS CHOIN 001-62. SUBJECT: UFO. FOR INTELL-
IGNECE (ADCOIN, 30-0IN) AND SAFOI. REF PAR 15, AFR 210-2.
A. (1) ROUND. (2) LARGE STAR. KEL WHITE. (4) ONE. (5) NOT
REPORTED. (6) HAZE AROUND OBJECT. (7) NONE. (8) NONE. (9)
APPEARS TO DIM OUT THEN REAPPEAR ON OCCASION. B. (1) NOT
REPORTED. (2) 45 DEGREES ELEVATION, SOUTHEAST BELOIT. (3)
STILL BEING OBSERVED. (4) ERRATIC AND WEAVING, (5) STILL
BEING OBSERVED, (6) ONE AND HALF HOURS. C. (1) EYE UNAIDED.
(2) NONE. (3) NONE. D. (1) 30/0645Z. (2) NIGHT. E.
(1) 89 DEGREES WEST 42 DEGREES 30 MIN NORTH, (2) SEE

**AF "Bugged" By
UFOS At Truax**

APRO member Herb Throne of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, reported the following information which he heard on the radio on June 30 and about which he inquired and recorded: "For the past week reports of UFO have been reported to Madison, Wisconsin police. The objects were first thought to be "Echo" balloons. There have been three UFOs which fly erratically, mostly in the vicinity of Truax AFB, and they cannot be tracked on radar. Reports also came from Green county, to the west of Madison." Nothing was found in the Milwaukee Journal, and the Sentinel was inactive because of a union strike at the time, so no further confirmation was received.

AF IN: 30821 (2 Jul 62)

Pg 2 of 2

I N C O M I N G

PAGE TWO RJEDAH 84

ADDRESS BELOW. F. (1) MRS JOHN BISHOP 828 CRANSTON RD,

BELOIT, WISC. (2) NOT REPORTED. G. (1) CLEAR. (2)

A. CALN B. 260/10 C. 280/25 D. 230/25 E. 260/25

F. 270/35 G. 270/40 H. 290/15 (3) NONE# (4) 10 NM

(5) SCATTERED (6) NONE H. (1) NONE (2) UNK (3) NONE

I. NONE J. NONE UNDER WYIZBANG CONTROL K. (1) CHADS SENIOR

DIRECTOR L. (1) NONE (2) NONE M. THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

WAS SENT WITH THE REPORT OF THIS SIGHTING: AT 2230Z 29 JUNE

WE RECEIVED A REPORT FROM BASE OPS ON UFO'S SIGHTED AT OR

NEAR BELOIT WISC. THESE REPORTS WERE SENT BY BELOIT POLICE

AND THEY HAVE THE INFO RECORDED. THE SIGHTINGS WERE MADE ON

28 AND 29 JUNE.

1014 LOCAL, MRS. [REDACTED] ST, BELOIT REPORTED

A BRIGHT BURNING OBJECT WEST OF BELOIT HEADED TOWARD MONROE

WISC. 1028 LOCAL, REPORT BY BELOIT POLICE, ONE GREY OBJECT

HEADED NW TO GRATIOT WISC, WENT OUT OF SIGHT OVER DALLINGTON

WISC. 1232 LOCAL, W9 JUN, MRS. [REDACTED] REPORTED A BRIGHT BURNING

OBJECT TRAVELING FROM SW TO NE. 0140 LOCAL 29 JUNE, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] SAW A BRIGHT BURNING OBJECT IN SW AREA. 0205 LOCAL

29 JUNE, MRS. [REDACTED] ST BELOIT WISC, SAW

A BRIGHT BURNING OBJECT IN SW., WEAVING BACK AND FORTH. 0214

LOCAL, 29 [REDACTED] SAW A BRIGHT BURNING OBJECT

HEADED WEST.

BT

02/2100Z JUL RJEDAH

DEARBORN OBSERVATORY
NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY
EVANSTON, ILLINOIS

Here, on the afternoon of 29 June, the
Beloit police send in 65 reports of
objects sighted the previous night - at
(local) 10:14, 10:28, 12:32, ~~10:14~~, 0140, and
0205 - but practically no descriptions.

The Mrs. [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] ^{report} could
well have been reports, but the
other - ??? balloons,? - same object?
different objects? One "bright burning
object"? * Made on following night, June 29-30,

I should we get the original
reports from the Beloit police - or
should we just drop this whole
series as insignificant info? There
is just nothing to go on. What is
your think? Where does the responsibility
rest, according to rep, for further
investigation. One thing Sgt. Moody
report do here is to plot all these towers
and times, and see if there is any
evident that would satisfy all.
I don't have any maps of here.

Apparently there was a real object
or subjects that gave rise to these
reports.

I wish we had 7 or 8 good
men attached to your office who
could go out and get the
info. we just never get from
the field offices. yet. (and trained
if anybody ever will and me)
hit us hard in my
Congressional investigation it
could be just this sort of thing -
look of immediate capability -

I guess that you and I
will first have to look personally
into a number of key cases
next year - I guess we will
have a budget that will
begin to show this.

#4 4 July, Kingsville, Va.

I have a hunch that the
"Ecto, despite the green color, the
"35-32" course and the "change in
distribution." These are all subjective

#3

30 June, Beloit, Wis.
Another case (a) of poor reporting.

draw
But I was
was to working for
I got it and it was
the whole way. Where was
checked?