

Park St. Mar. 29-1833.

Thanks for your kind letter dearest
Mr Chapman. If you begin to talk about
deserts & generosity in the way of cor-
respondence, you will fill me with
humiliation, your own has been so
unbounded! I just enclose this line
to assure you that there never was any
idea entertained by Mr Thompson or Miss
Rush or Mr Follen, or ourselves, of putting
your letter to Mr F. in print as a letter, or
as from you. It was simply because
the "colored school" question was so well
reasoned, well felt, & well thought in
your exposition that the idea of using
it for the enlightenment of the Address
readers was naturally suggested. But
only in an article form. I think we under

stand your individual wishes & the
grounds, for not appearing in person here,
(certainly not as controverting any
proposition of Mr. Stowe's.) When our
B. O. Society departed from your injunc-
tion it was from the imperative necessity of
adducing trustworthy testimony to be
their assertions. Our prospects contin-
to brighten; Mr. Chamerovzow's liberality &
straightforward earnestness manifest
ourselves with each letter. He has no sectarian
trammels or party ends to compass. His
faith is refreshing ^{in his power of binding together all broken limbs} to witness you are un-
intolerant of Mr. G. Dawson than I expected.
Her letter was instructive to Miss Pugh &
gratifying to Mr. Follen. It showed the
want of light on A. S. politics, but no
lack of heart. It was written when
there was a great fuss in the papers about
Women's Rights convention at Syracuse.
Ever believe me, Very affec^tly yours
Mary Estlin.

Ms. A. G. 2. 27. 15