





LEONHARDI EVLERI  
INSTITVTIONVM  
CALCVLI INTEGRALIS  
VOLVMEN SECVNDVM

IN QVO METHODVS INVENIENDI FVNCTIONES VNIVS VA-  
RIABILIS EX DATA RELATIONE DIFFERENTIALIVM  
SECVNDI ALTIORISVE GRADVS PERTRACTATVR.

---

Editio altera et correctior.



---

PETROPOLI  
Impensis Academiae Imperialis Scientiarum

1792.



1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.

1.6.200

**INDEX CAPITVM,**  
*in Volumine secundo contentorum.*

Sectio prima, de resolutione aequationum differentialium  
secundi gradus, duas tantum variables inuoluentium.

CAP. I. De integratione formularum differentialium secundi  
gradus simplicium, pag. 3.

CAP. II. De aequationibus differentio - differentialibus, in qui-  
bus altera variabilium ipsa deest, p. 22.

CAP. III. De aequationibus differentio - differentialibus ho-  
mogeneis, et quae ad eam formam reduci possunt,  
p. 47.

CAP. IV. De aequationibus differentio - differentialibus, in  
quibus altera variabilis vnicam habet dimensionem,  
p. 73.

CAP. V. De integratione aequationum differentialium secundi  
gradus, in quibus altera variabilis vnam dimensionem  
non superat, per factores, p. 97.

CAP. VI. De integratione aliarum aequationum differentio -  
differentialium per idoneos multiplicatores instituenda,  
p. 127.

CAP. VII. De resolutione aequationis  $\partial \partial y + a x^n y \partial x^n = 0$   
per series infinitas, p. 151.

CAP. VIII. De aliarum aequationum differentio - differentia-  
lium resolutione per series infinitas, p. 183.

**CAP.**

**CAP. IX.** De transformatione aequationum differentio-differentialium huius formae

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0, \text{ pag. } 211.$$

**CAP. X.** De constructione aequationum differentio-differentialium per quadraturas curvarum, pag. 230.

**CAP. XI.** De constructione aequationum differentio-differentialium ex earum resolutione per series infinitas petita, pag. 256.

**CAP. XII.** De aequationum differentio-differentialium integratione per approximationes, pag. 282.

**Sectio secunda, de resolutione aequationum differentialium tertii altiorumque graduum, quae duas tantum variables inuoluunt.**

**CAP. I.** De integratione formularum differentialium tertii altiorisue gradus simplicium, pag. 299.

**CAP. II.** De resolutione aequationum huius formae

$$A y + B \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \cdot \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + E \cdot \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.} = 0;$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, pag. 310.

**CAP. III.** De integratione aequationum differentialium huius formae

$$X = A y + \frac{B \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C \partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D \partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{E \partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

pag. 332.

**CAP. IV.** Applicatio methodi integrandi in capite praecedente traditae ad exempla, pag. 372.

**CAP. V.** De integratione aequationum differentialium huius formae

$$X = A y + \frac{B x \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C x^2 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D x^3 \partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{E x^4 \partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

pag. 399.

**CAL**

**CALCVLI INTEGRALIS**  
**LIBER PRIOR.**

**PARS SECVNDA,**

SEV

**METHODVS INVENIENDI FNCTIONES VNIVS  
VARIABLES EX DATA RELATIONE DIFFEREN-  
TIALIVM SECVNDI ALTIORISVE GRADVS.**

**SECTIO PRIOR,**

DE

**RESOLUTIONE AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIALIVM  
SECVNDI GRADVS DVAS TANTVM VARIABLES  
INVOLVENTIVM.**

1917

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY  
RECEIVED

STATE OF ILLINOIS

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF EDUCATION  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS



---

---

# CAPVT I.

DE

## INTEGRATIONE FORMVLARVM DIFFERENTIALIUM SECVNDI GRADVS SIMPLICIVM.

### Definitio.

706.

**P**ositis binis variabilibus  $x$  et  $y$ , si vocetur  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , aequatio quaecunque, relationem inter quantitates  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  definiens, vocatur aequatio differentialis secundi gradus inter binas variables  $x$  et  $y$ .

### Corollarium 1.

707. Quemadmodum ergo littera  $p$  implicat rationem differentialium primi gradus, dum est  $p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , ita littera  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  implicat rationem differentialium secundi gradus. Sumto enim vt vulgo fieri solet, elemento  $\partial x$  constante, erit  $\partial p = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}$ , ideoque  $q = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}$ .

### Corollarium 2.

708. Quatenus ergo in aequatione proposita littera  $q$  inest, eatenus ea est differentialis secundi gradus. Si enim  $q$  abesset, ob solam  $p$  esset tantum differentialis primi gradus; ac si neque  $p$  neque  $q$  inesset, aequatio foret inter  $x$  et  $y$ , neque quicquam praeterea quaereretur.

### Corollarium 3.

709. Methodus ergo desideratur, proposita aequatione quacunque praeter binas variables  $x$  et  $y$ , etiam quantitates

A 2

tes

tes  $p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  et  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  inuolvente, inueniendi relationem inter ipsas  $x$  et  $y$ , vnde pateat, qualis  $y$  sit functio ipsius  $x$ , seu vicissim.

### Scholion 1.

710. Hoc modo litteram  $q$  introducendo aequationes differentio-differentiales a conditione illa, qua quodpiam differentiale primi gradus pro constante assumi solet, liberantur. Cum enim ad meras quantitates finitas reuocentur, quae rationem differentialium primi gradus expriment, consideratio differentialis constantis ne locum quidem habere potest. Quando ergo aequationes differentio-differentiales more solito ita exhibentur, vt quodpiam differentiale constans sit assumtum, introducendo litteras  $p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  et  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ , species differentialium penitus tollitur, dum aequatio tantum quantitates finitas complectitur. Atque etiam vicissim proposita aequatione inter quantitates finitas  $x, y, p, q$ , ea ad formam vulgarem infinitis modis reduci potest, prout aliud atque aliud differentiale pro constante assumitur, quae tamen omnes formae specie diuersae inter se perfecte conueniunt, quin etiam nullo differentiali constante assumto euolutio in formam solitam fieri potest.

### Scholion 2.

711. Primum igitur breuiter exponi conueniet, quomodo aequatio more solito per differentialia secundi gradus expressa ad formam nostram reduci queat, quodcunque differentiale constans fuerit assumtum. Sit  $\partial s$  hoc differentiale pro constans sumtum, cuius ergo ratio ad  $\partial x$ , ob  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = p$ , per  $p$  et forte ipsas variables  $x$  et  $y$  datur; ponatur ergo  $\partial s = v \partial x$ , vt  $v$  fiat quantitas finita. Iam cum in aequatione occurrant  $\partial \partial x$  et  $\partial \partial y$ , vel alterutrum saltem, loco  $\partial \partial x$  scribatur  $\partial s \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial s}$ , quia ob  $\partial s$  constans fit vtique  $\partial s \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} = \partial \partial x$ .

Erit

Erit ergo  $\partial\partial x = \partial s \partial . \frac{1}{v} = -\frac{\partial s \partial v}{v^2}$ . Simili modo loco  $\partial\partial y$  scribendo  $\partial s . \partial . \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \partial s \partial . \frac{p}{v}$ , fiet  $\partial\partial y = \frac{\partial s (v \partial p - p \partial v)}{v^2}$ .

Cum igitur  $v$  per  $p$ ,  $x$  et  $y$  detur, erit

$$\partial v = M \partial x + N \partial y + P \partial p = \partial x (M + N p + P q);$$

ob  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , sicque fiet

$$\partial \partial x = -\frac{\partial x^2}{v^2} (M + N p + P q) \text{ et}$$

$$\partial \partial y = \frac{\partial x^2}{v^2} (q v - M p - N' p^2 - P p q),$$

hique valores loco  $\partial\partial x$  et  $\partial\partial y$  substituti in aequatione tantum differentialia primi gradus relinquent, quibus omnibus ad  $\partial x$  reductis, aequatio per diuisionem prorsus a differentialibus liberabitur. Deinde vicissim huiusmodi aequatio inter  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  proposita in formam solitam, sumto quopiam elemento  $\partial s$  constante, euoluetur, si primo pro  $p$  vbique scribatur  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , loco  $q$  autem  $\frac{1}{\partial x} \partial . \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x \partial \partial y - \partial y \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2}$ , vbi quidem nullius adhuc elementi constantis ratio est habita. At ob  $\partial s = v \partial x$  constans, insuper erit

$$v \partial \partial x + \partial v \partial x = 0, \text{ seu ob } \partial v = M \partial x + N \partial y + P \partial . \frac{\partial y}{\partial x},$$

$$v \partial \partial x + M \partial x^2 + N \partial x \partial y + \frac{P (\partial x \partial \partial y - \partial y \partial \partial x)}{\partial x} = 0,$$

vnde pro lubitu vel  $\partial \partial x$  vel  $\partial \partial y$  elidi potest, neutro autem eliso infinitae formae aequivalentes exhiberi possunt.

### Scholion 3.

712. Hinc ergo praestantia formae finitae, ad quam hic aequationes differentio-differentiales reuocamus, prae more solito eas exhibendi luculenter perspicitur; cum eadem aequatio more solito infinitis modis, prout aliud atque aliud elementum constans assumitur, repraesentari possit, dum nostro more eadem aequatio semper ad vnicam formam reducitur. Quodsi ergo nostro more aequationes prodeant diuersae, certum

tum est iis quoque diuerſas relationes, inter variables  $x$  et  $y$  exprimi, cum contra ſolito more diuerſiſſimae aequationes differentio-differentiales eandem relationem indicare queant, ex quibus plerumque difficile eſt eam eligere, quae ad reſolutionem maxime ſit accommodata. Cum igitur hic eiſmodi methodus requiratur, cuius ope propoſita quacunq; aequatione inter quaternas quantitates  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$ , ratio inter binas variables  $x$  et  $y$  deſiniri queat, quoniam haec quaefſtio vires humanas ſuperare videtur, a caſibus ſimpliciſſimis erit exordindum. Caſus autem ſimpliciſſimi ſine dubio ſunt, quando in aequatione propoſita duae tantum inſunt quantitates, ſcilicet vel  $x$  et  $q$  tantum, vel  $y$  et  $q$ , vel  $p$  et  $q$ ; hoc eſt ſi  $q$  aequetur functioni vel ipſius  $x$ , vel ipſius  $y$ , vel ipſius  $p$  tantum; quos caſus in hoc capite euoluere conſtituimus.

### Definitio.

713. Formula differentio-differentialis ſimplex eſt, quando poſito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ . quantitas  $q$  aequatur functioni vel ipſius  $x$ , vel ipſius  $y$ , vel ipſius  $p$  tantum.

### Corollarium 1.

714. Triplices ergo habemus formulas differentio-differentiales ſimplices, quarum reſolutionem in hoc capite doceri conuenit, prout quantitas  $q$  vel per functionem ipſius  $p$ , vel ipſius  $x$ , vel ipſius  $y$  tantum determinatur.

### Corollarium 2.

715. Si ergo  $X$  denotet functionem ipſius  $x$ ,  $Y$  ipſius  $y$ , et  $P$  ipſius  $p$  tantum, terna genera harum formularum ſimplicium ſunt 1)  $q = X$ , 2)  $q = Y$ , 3)  $q = P$ ; in quibus continetur caſus ſimpliciſſimus  $q = \text{Conſt.}$

**Corol-**

## Corollarium 3.

716. Si has formulas more solito exprimere velimus, ob  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\partial x} \partial \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, erit  $q = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2}$ ; sumto elemento  $\partial y$  constante, erit  $q = -\frac{\partial y \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2}$ ; nullo autem sumto constante, erit  $q = \frac{\partial x \partial \partial y - \partial y \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2}$ , quibus simplicitas earum formularum haud mediocriter offuscatur.

## Corollarium 4.

717. Si elementum  $\sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$ , quod saepe fit, constans accipiatur, erit  $\partial x \partial \partial x + \partial y \partial \partial y = 0$ ; vnde potestremus valor ipsius  $q$ , vel ob  $\partial \partial y = -\frac{\partial x \partial \partial x}{\partial y}$  abit in  $q = -\frac{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2) \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2 \partial y}$ , vel ob  $\partial \partial x = -\frac{\partial y \partial \partial y}{\partial x}$  abit in  $q = \frac{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2) \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2}$ .

## Scholion.

718. Repudiata ergo penitus vulgari ratione aequationes differentio-differentiales exprimendi, quippe qua formulae in se satis simplices vehementer complicatae euadere possent, ratione hic stabilita vtamur, indeque resolutionem huiusmodi formularum simplicium doceamus.

## Problema 92.

719. Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , si  $q$  aequetur functioni cuicunque ipsius  $p$ , inuenire relationem inter ipsas variables  $x$  et  $y$ .

## Solutio.

Sit ergo  $q = P$ , denotante  $P$  functionem quamcunque ipsius  $p$ : quoniam igitur est  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ , erit  $\partial p = P \partial x$ , hincque

$$\partial x = \frac{\partial p}{P}, \text{ et } \partial y = p \partial x = \frac{p \partial p}{P}.$$

Ex

Ex quo consequimur integrando

$$x = a + f \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, \text{ et } y = b + f \frac{\partial p}{\partial y};$$

ita vt tam  $x$ , quam  $y$  per eandem nouam variabilem  $p$  determinetur. Atque cum duae nouae constantes  $a$  et  $b$  per duplicem integrationem sint introductae, hoc integrale pro completo erit habendum.

### Corollarium 1.

720. Aequatio  $q = P$ , cuius integrationem hic tradidimus, si in formam consuetam, sumto  $\partial x$  constante, resoluitur, ob  $q = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2}$ , transmutabitur in  $\partial \partial y = \partial x^2 f: \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ ; quae est aequatio differentio-differentialis, in qua ipsae variables  $x$  et  $y$  non occurrunt.

### Corollarium 2.

721. Talis quoque forma prodit, si elementum  $\partial y$  vel alia expressio differentialis, in quam ipsae  $x$  et  $y$  non ingrediuntur, veluti  $\sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$  pro constante sumatur. Hoc ergo modo omnis aequatio differentio-differentialis in quam ipsae variables  $x$  et  $y$  non ingrediuntur, integrari poterit.

### Corollarium 3.

722. Sin autem huiusmodi elementum  $y \partial x - x \partial y$  constans assumatur, vt  $y \partial \partial x - x \partial \partial y = 0$ , ob

$$q = \frac{1}{\partial x} \partial \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x \partial \partial y - \partial y \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2}, \text{ fiet}$$

$$q = \frac{(y \partial x - x \partial y) \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2} = \frac{(y \partial x - x \partial y) \partial \partial y}{y \partial x^2},$$

quae expressio, si aequetur functioni ipsius  $p = \frac{\partial x}{\partial y}$ , integrari poterit.

Corol-

## CAPVT I.

### Corollarium 4.

723. Si fuerit P quantitas constans, vt fit  $q=f$ , erit  
 $x = a + \frac{p}{f}$  et  $y = b + \frac{p^2}{2f}$ ,

vnde fit

$$y = b + \frac{1}{2}(x-a)^2, \text{ seu } y = \frac{1}{2}fxx - afx + \frac{1}{2}af^2 + b,$$

seu mutata forma constantium  $y = \frac{1}{2}fxx + Cx + D$ .

### Scholion.

724. Cum scilicet aequatio differentio-differentialis duplici integratione indigeat, si vtraque omni extensione instituat, duae nouae constantes arbitrariae introducuntur; in quo criterium, num huiusmodi integrale sit completum, consistit. Quenadmodum enim aequationum differentialium primi gradus integratio completa vnam constantem arbitrariam implicat, ita si aequatio differentialis fuerit secundi gradus, binae constantes nouae in integrale completum ingredientur, ternae autem ac plures, si aequatio differentialis fuerit tertii altiorisue gradus. Problemata autem, quorum resolutio ad huiusmodi aequationes differentiales altiorum graduum deducunt, natura sua ita sunt comparata, vt solutionis determinatio totidem constantes requirat. Ita in aequatione  $q=f$ , seu sumto  $\partial x$  constante,  $\partial \partial y = f \partial x^2$ , aequatio integralis completa  $y = \frac{1}{2}fxx + Cx + D$  duas constantes nouas C et D inuoluit, quod etiam in subiunctis exemplis patebit.

### Exemplum 1.

725. Aequationis differentio-differentialis  $a \partial \partial y = \partial x \partial y$ , in qua elementum  $\partial x$  constans est sumtum, integrale completum inuenire.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , erit  $\partial \partial y = q \partial x^2$ , hincque  $a q = p$ , et  $P = \frac{p}{a}$ . Quocirca integratio praebet

Vol. II.

B

x =

$$x = f \frac{\partial p}{p} = C + a l p \text{ et } y = f a \partial p = D + a p.$$

Cum igitur fit

$$p = \frac{z - D}{a}, \text{ erit } x = C + a l \frac{z - D}{a},$$

quae est aequatio integralis completa binas constantes C et D inuoluens.

### Exemplum 2.

726. *Posito*  $\partial x$  *constante, inuenire aequationem inter*  $x$  *et*  $y$ , *vt fiat*  $\frac{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2) \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}}{-\partial x \partial y} = a$ .

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , ob  $\partial x$  constans, erit  $\partial \partial y = \partial p \partial x$ , sicque nostra aequatio est  $\frac{(\partial x^2 + p^2 \partial x^2) \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + p^2 \partial x^2)}}{-\partial x p} \partial x = a$ , vnde fit

$$\partial x = \frac{-a \partial p}{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \text{ et } \partial y = \frac{-a p \partial p}{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Per integrationem ergo nanciscimur

$$x = A - \frac{a p}{\sqrt{1 + p p}}, \text{ et } y = B + \frac{a}{\sqrt{1 + p p}};$$

vnde concludimus

$$(A - x)^2 + (y - B)^2 = a a.$$

### Corollarium.

727. Si  $x$  et  $y$  denotent coordinatas rectangulas lineae curuae, formula  $\frac{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2) \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}}{-\partial x \partial y}$  exprimit eius radium osculi, qui ergo vt fit constans  $= a$ , aequatio integralis inuenta circulum radio  $a$  describendum indicat.

### Exemplum 3.

728. *Posito*  $\partial s = \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$  *eoque sumto constante, inuenire aequationem inter*  $x$  *et*  $y$ , *vt fiat*  $\frac{\partial s \partial y}{\partial \partial x} = \frac{a \partial x}{\partial y}$ .

Pona-



Ponatur  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , erit  $\partial s = \partial x \sqrt{(1 + p p)}$ , et ob  $\partial s$  constans

$$\partial \partial x \sqrt{(1 + p p)} + \frac{p \partial x \partial p}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} = 0, \text{ feu}$$

$$\partial \partial x = \frac{-p \partial x \partial p}{1 + p p},$$

vnde aequatio proposita abit in

$$\frac{p \partial x \sqrt{(1 + p p)} (1 + p p)}{-p \partial x \partial p} = \frac{a}{p}, \text{ feu}$$

$$\partial x = \frac{-a \partial p}{p (1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \text{ et } \partial y = \frac{-a \partial p}{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \text{ ergo}$$

$$y = D - \frac{a p}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}}.$$

At pro illa formula statuatur  $p = \frac{r}{r}$ , eritque

$$\partial x = \frac{a r r \partial r}{(1 + r r)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{a \partial r}{\sqrt{(1 + r r)}} - \frac{a \partial r}{(1 + r r)^{\frac{3}{2}}};$$

vnde fit integrando

$$x = C - \frac{a r}{\sqrt{(1 + r r)}} + a l [r + \sqrt{(1 + r r)}], \text{ feu}$$

$$x = C - \frac{a}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} + a l \frac{1 + \sqrt{(1 + p p)}}{p}.$$

### Exemplum 4.

729. Posito  $\partial s = \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$ , hocque elemento summo constante, fieri oporteat  $\frac{\partial^2 \partial y}{\partial x} = a \text{ Ang. tang. } \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ .

Si fiat vt ante  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , oriatur haec aequatio integranda

$$\frac{-\partial x (1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\partial p} = a \text{ Ang. tang. } p, \text{ feu}$$

$$\partial x = \frac{-a \partial p}{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \text{Ang. tang. } p, \text{ et}$$

$$\partial y = \frac{-a p \partial p}{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \text{Ang. tang. } p.$$

Cum nunc fit  $\partial$ . Ang. tang.  $p = \frac{\partial p}{1 + p p}$ , erit

$$x = \frac{-a p}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} \text{Ang. tang. } p - a \int \frac{p \partial p}{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \text{ et}$$

$$y = \frac{a}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} \text{Ang. tang. } p - a \int \frac{\partial p}{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

Quamobrem colligimus

$$x = C - \frac{a p}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} - \frac{a p}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} \text{Ang. tang. } p, \text{ et}$$

$$y = D - \frac{a}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} + \frac{a}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} \text{Ang. tang. } p.$$

### Corollarium 1.

730. Si  $x$  sit abscissa et  $y$  applicata curvae, radius osculi proportionalis esse debet angulo, quem curvae tangens cum axe constituit; vnde patet hanc curuam fore quandam spiralem, circa originem abscissarum se euoluentem.

### Corollarium 2.

731. Si angulus ille, cuius tangens  $= p$ , ponatur  $= \Phi$ , erit  $p = \text{tang. } \Phi$ , hincque

$$x = C - a \text{ cof. } \Phi - a \Phi \text{ sin. } \Phi, \text{ et}$$

$$y = D - a \text{ sin. } \Phi + a \Phi \text{ cof. } \Phi;$$

vnde colligitur

$$x \text{ cof. } \Phi + y \text{ sin. } \Phi = C \text{ cof. } \Phi + D \text{ sin. } \Phi - a.$$

Corol-

## Corollarium 3.

732. Vt sumto  $\Phi = 0$ , ambae  $x$  et  $y$  euanescent, sumi debet  $C = a$  et  $D = 0$ , eritque

$$x = a - a \operatorname{cof.} \Phi - a \Phi \sin. \Phi, \text{ et}$$

$$y = -a \sin. \Phi + a \Phi \operatorname{cof.} \Phi;$$

vnde quamdiu angulus  $\Phi$  est minimus, erit

$$x = -\frac{1}{3} a \Phi \Phi + \frac{1}{5} a \Phi^3 \text{ et } y = -\frac{1}{3} a \Phi^3 + \frac{1}{5} \Phi^5;$$

ideoque proxime

$$\frac{x^2}{y^2} = -\frac{2}{3} a, \text{ seu } y y = -\frac{2x^2}{3a}.$$

## Problema 93.

733. Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , si quantitas  $q$  aequetur functioni ipsius  $x$ , quae sit  $X$ , definire relationem inter binas variables  $x$  et  $y$ .

## Solutio.

Cum ergo sit  $q = X$ , erit  $q \partial x = \partial p = X \partial x$ , vnde integrando colligimus  $p = fX \partial x + C$ , atque hinc ob  $\partial y = p \partial x$  adipiscemur

$$y = f \partial x fX \partial x + Cx + D.$$

At est

$$f \partial x fX \partial x = x fX \partial x - fX x \partial x,$$

vti sumendis differentialibus sponte patet. Quare aequatio integralis completa relationem inter binas variables  $x$  et  $y$  continens est

$$y = x fX \partial x - fX x \partial x + Cx + D$$

duas constantes arbitrarias  $C$  et  $D$  inuoluens. Quae ergo erit algebraica, si ambae formulae differentiales  $X \partial x$  et  $X x \partial x$  integrationem admittant.

## Corollarium 1.

734. Quodsi ergo sit  $q = 0$ , seu sumto  $\partial x$  constante,  $\partial \partial y = 0$ , vt sit  $X = 0$ , erit aequatio integralis completa  $y = Cx + D$ .

## Corollarium 2.

735. Aequationes ergo differentio-differentiales, quas hoc modo integrare licet, sumto  $\partial x$  constante, in hac forma  $\partial \partial y = X \partial x^2$  continentur, vnde prima integratio praebet  $\partial y = \partial x f X \partial x + C$ , et altera  $y = f \partial x f X \partial x + Cx + D$ .

## Corollarium 3.

736. Sin autem differentiale  $\partial y$  capiatur constans ob  $p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , erit  $\partial p = -\frac{\partial y \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2} = q \partial x$ , et forma aequationum hoc modo integrandarum erit  $-\partial y \partial \partial x = X \partial x^2$ .

## Corollarium 4.

737. Quodsi elementum  $\partial s = \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$  sit constans, ob  $\partial x \partial \partial x + \partial y \partial \partial y = 0$ , erit

$$\partial p = \frac{\partial x \partial \partial y - \partial y \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial s^2 \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2 \partial y} = q \partial x.$$

Hinc forma aequationum hoc modo integrandarum est

$$-\partial s^2 \partial \partial x = X \partial x^2 \partial y.$$

Vel cum etiam sit

$$\partial p = q \partial x = +\frac{\partial s^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2},$$

ea erit  $\partial s^2 \partial \partial y = X \partial x^2$ .

## Scholion.

738. Hic manifestum est, quantum interfit aequationes differentio-differentiales a forma solita, vbi elementum quodpiam constans est assumtum, ab hac conditione liberare

et

et ad formam hic stabilitam reducere. Si enim proponatur haec aequatio  $\partial s^2 \partial \partial y = X \partial x^2$ , in qua elementum  $\partial s = \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$  constans sit assumtum, haud facile patet, quomodo eius integratio sit suscipienda. Nostra autem methodo, si ponamus  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , ut sit

$$\partial s = \partial x \sqrt{(1 + p p)} \text{ et } \partial \partial y = p \partial \partial x + \partial x \partial p,$$

induit ista aequatio hanc formam

$$\begin{aligned} \partial x^2 (1 + p p) (p \partial \partial x + \partial x \partial p) &= X \partial x^2 \text{ seu} \\ (p \partial \partial x + \partial x \partial p) (1 + p p) &= X \partial x^2. \end{aligned}$$

At quia  $\partial s$ , ac proinde quoque  $\partial s^2 = \partial x^2 (1 + p p)$  est constans, erit

$$\partial \partial x (1 + p p) + p \partial x \partial p = 0, \text{ seu } \partial \partial x = \frac{-p \partial x \partial p}{1 + p p},$$

ideoque

$$p \partial \partial x + \partial x \partial p = \frac{\partial x \partial p}{1 + p p},$$

ita ut fiat  $\partial p = X \partial x$ , quae aequatio iam facillime tractatur. Hic scilicet in subsidium vocari debent ea, quae supra de integratione formularum differentialium simplicium sunt tradita.

### Exemplum 1.

739. Sumto  $\partial x$  constante, si fuerit  $\partial \partial y = \alpha x^n \partial x^2$ , integrale completum inuestigare.

Cum sit  $\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} = \alpha x^n \partial x$ , ob  $\partial x$  constans, erit integrando  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\alpha}{n+1} x^{n+1} + C$ , hincque denuo integrando

$$y = \frac{\alpha}{(n+1)(n+2)} x^{n+2} + Cx + D:$$

vbi casus  $n = -1$  et  $n = -2$  seorsim sunt euoluendi.

I. Ergo si  $n = -1$ , erit  $\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\alpha \partial x}{x}$ , hincque  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \alpha \log x + C$ , vnde cum sit  $\partial y = \alpha \log x + C \partial x$ , erit denuo inte-

integrando  $y = ax/x - ax + Cx + D$ , seu loco  $C - a$  scribendo  $C$ , habebitur  $y = ax/x + Cx + D$ .

II. Si  $n = -2$  et  $\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{a \partial x}{x^2}$ , erit  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{-a}{x} + C$ , hincque  $y = -a/x + Cx + D$ .

### Exemplum 2.

740. Posito  $\partial s = \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$  constante, si fuerit

$$\frac{\partial^2 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ cof. } \frac{\pi}{c},$$

inuenire integrale completum.

Ex superioribus constat fore  $\frac{\partial^2 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = q$ , ita vt proposita sit haec aequatio  $q = \frac{1}{2} \text{ cof. } \frac{\pi}{c}$ , vnde fit

$$q \partial x = \partial p = \frac{\partial x}{a} \text{ cof. } \frac{\pi}{c}$$

et integrando

$$p = \frac{c}{a} \text{ fin. } \frac{\pi}{c} + C = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}.$$

Quare obtinebitur

$$y = -\frac{c}{a} \text{ cof. } \frac{\pi}{c} + Cx + D,$$

quae est aequatio integralis completa.

### Problema 94.

741. Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , si quantitas  $q$  aequetur functioni cuiusvis ipsius  $y$  tantum, quae sit  $Y$ , inuenire aequationem integram completam inter  $x$  et  $y$ .

### Solutio.

Cum fit  $q = Y = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ , erit  $\partial x = \frac{\partial p}{Y}$ , hincque  $p \partial x = \partial y = \frac{p \partial p}{Y}$ ; vnde conficitur haec aequatio inter  $p$  et  $y$  separata  $p \partial p = Y \partial y$ , quae integrata praebet.

$$\frac{1}{2} p p = \int Y \partial y + \frac{1}{2} C \text{ et } p = \sqrt{(C + 2 \int Y \partial y)} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}.$$

Hinc

Hinc ergo porro concluditur  $x = f \frac{\partial y}{\sqrt{(C + \int Y \partial y)}}$ , quae integratio denuo constantem arbitrariam inducit, ita vt hoc modo aequatio integralis completa inter  $x$  et  $y$  obtineatur.

## Corollarium 1.

742. Cum sit  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  et  $\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{p}$ , erit  $q = \frac{\partial \partial p}{\partial y}$ . Quare cum aequatio proposita sit  $q = Y$ , erit  $\frac{\partial \partial p}{\partial y} = Y$ , hincque  $p \partial p = Y \partial y$ , vnde praecedens integratio sponte deducitur.

## Corollarium 2.

743. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, cum sit  $q = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2}$ , aequationes hic integratae habebunt hanc formam  $\partial \partial y = Y \partial x^2$ , cuius integratio si per  $\partial y$  multiplicetur, est manifesta, fit enim

$$\int \partial y^2 = \partial x^2 \int Y \partial y + \int C \partial x^2,$$

ob  $\partial x$  constans, hincque  $\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{\sqrt{(C + \int Y \partial y)}}$ , vt ante.

## Scholion.

744. En ergo specimen aequationum differentialium, quae par idoneum multiplicatorem integrabiles redduntur, ex quo intelligitur hanc methodum etiam in his aequationibus vsus habere posse; deinceps autem locus erit hanc methodum vberius excolendi, cuius quippe vsus praecipue in aequationibus differentialibus altiorum graduum est insignis, vbi variabilium separatio nihil subsidii affert. Atque hanc ob causam iam supra hanc methodum per multiplicatores integrandi commendauimus, alterique per separationem procedenti longe antetulimus.

## Exemplum 1.

745. Posito  $\partial x$  constante, si fuerit  $a a \partial \partial y = y \partial x^2$  inuenire integrale completum.

Multiplicetur aequatio propofita per  $2 \partial y$ , vt prodeat

$$2 a a \partial y \partial \partial y = 2 y \partial y \partial x^2,$$

quae ob  $\partial x$  constans habebit integrale

$$a a \partial y^2 = y y \partial x^2 + C \partial x^2,$$

vnde colligitur

$$\partial x = \frac{a \partial y}{\sqrt{(y y + C)}},$$

quae denuo integrata dat

$$x = a l [y + \sqrt{(y y + C)}] - a l b,$$

vnde concludimus, sumto  $e$  pro numero cuius logarithmus est  $= 1$ , fore

$$b e^{\frac{x}{a}} = y + \sqrt{(y y + C)},$$

et irrationalitatem tollendo

$$b b e^{\frac{2x}{a}} - 2 b y e^{\frac{x}{a}} = C,$$

ita vt fit

$$y = \frac{1}{2} b e^{\frac{x}{a}} - \frac{C}{2 b} e^{-\frac{x}{a}};$$

at forma constantium  $C$  et  $b$  mutata, habebitur

$$y = C e^{\frac{x}{a}} + D e^{-\frac{x}{a}},$$

quae est aequatio integralis completa.

### Exemplum 2.

746. *Posito  $\partial x$  constans, si fuerit  $a a \partial \partial y + y \partial x^2 = 0$ , inuenire integrale completum.*

Multiplicatione per  $2 \partial y$  facta, aequationis

$$2 a a \partial y \partial \partial y + 2 y \partial y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

integrale est



$$a a \partial y^2 + y y \partial x^2 = c c \partial x^2,$$

vnde deducimus

$$\partial x = \frac{a \partial y}{\sqrt{(c c - y y)}},$$

quae denuo integrata dat

$$x = a \text{ Ang. sin. } \frac{y}{c} + b.$$

Erit ergo

$$\frac{y}{c} = \text{sin. } \frac{x-b}{a} = \text{cof. } \frac{b}{a} \text{ sin. } \frac{x}{a} - \text{sin. } \frac{b}{a} \text{ cof. } \frac{x}{a};$$

vel mutatis constantibus  $b$  et  $c$ , ita vt fit

$$c \text{ cof. } \frac{b}{a} = C \text{ et } -c \text{ sin. } \frac{b}{a} = D, \text{ erit}$$

$$y = C \text{ sin. } \frac{x}{a} + D \text{ cof. } \frac{x}{a}.$$

Vel retenta prima forma, habemus

$$y = C \text{ sin. } \left( \frac{x}{a} + a \right).$$

### Corollarium.

747. Hoc exemplum ex praecedente resolui potuisset, cum fit

$$e^{x\sqrt{-1}} = \text{cof. } u + \sqrt{-1} \text{ sin. } u \text{ et } e^{-x\sqrt{-1}} = \text{cof. } u - \sqrt{-1} \text{ sin. } u,$$

ac vicissim

$$\text{cof. } u \sqrt{-1} = \frac{1}{2} e^u + \frac{1}{2} e^{-u} \text{ et } \text{sin. } u \sqrt{-1} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} e^u - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} e^{-u}.$$

### Exemplum 3.

748. Posito  $\partial x$  constante, si fuerit  $\partial \partial y \sqrt{a y} = \partial x^2$ , integrale completum inuenire.

$$\text{Cum ergo sit } 2 \partial y \partial \partial y = \frac{2 \partial y}{\sqrt{a y}} \cdot \partial x^2,$$

erit integrando

$$\partial y^2 = \frac{2 \partial x^2 \sqrt{y}}{\sqrt{a}} + 4 n \partial x^2 = \frac{2 \partial x^2 (\sqrt{y} + n \sqrt{a})}{\sqrt{a}};$$

C 2

vnde

vnde colligimus

$$2 \partial x = \frac{\partial y \sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{(\sqrt{y} + n \sqrt{a})}}$$

Sit commoditatis gratia  $n \sqrt{a} = b$  et  $\sqrt{y} = z$ , v<sup>r</sup> fiat

$$\partial y = 2 z \partial z \text{ et } \frac{\partial x \sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}} = \frac{z \partial z}{\sqrt{(b + z^2)}}$$

cuius integrale est

$$\frac{x \sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}} = \frac{2}{3} (z - 2b) \sqrt{(b + z)} + C,$$

seu restituendo

$$\frac{x^2}{\sqrt{a}} = \frac{2}{3} (\sqrt{y} - 2 \sqrt{c}) \sqrt{(\sqrt{y} + \sqrt{c})} + C,$$

vbi  $c$  et  $C$  sunt binæ constantes arbitrariæ. Er. ergo

$$\frac{\partial(x+f)}{\sqrt{a}} = (\sqrt{y} - 2 \sqrt{c}) \sqrt{(\sqrt{y} + \sqrt{c})},$$

posito  $C = -\frac{f}{\sqrt{a}}$ , et sumtis quadratis

$$\frac{\partial(x+f)^2}{4 \sqrt{a}} = y \sqrt{y} - 3y \sqrt{c} + 4c \sqrt{c}$$

### Scholion.

749. Forma ergo vulgaris aequationum hoc modo integrandarum, sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, est  $\partial \partial y = Y \partial x^2$ , quæ per  $\partial y$  multiplicata manifesto fit integrabilis. Sin autem elementum  $\partial y$  capiatur constans, ob  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  et  $p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , erit  $q = -\frac{\partial y \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2}$ , hincque forma vulgaris  $\partial y \partial \partial x = -Y \partial x^2$ . Porro sumto elemento  $\partial s = \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$  constante, vt fit  $\partial x \partial \partial x + \partial y \partial \partial y = 0$ , ob  $\partial p = \frac{\partial x \partial \partial y - \partial y \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2}$ , erit vel  $q = -\frac{\partial s^2 \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2 \partial y}$  vel  $q = \frac{\partial s^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2}$ , vnde nascitur hæc forma

$$-\frac{\partial s^2 \partial \partial x}{\partial x^2 \partial y} = Y \text{ vel } \frac{\partial s^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} = Y,$$

quæ etiam per  $\partial y$  multiplicatæ integrabiles euadunt, etiamfi  
hoc

hoc iam minus pateat. Simili modo si elementum  $y \partial x$  sumatur constans, ut sit  $y \partial \partial x + \partial x \partial y = 0$  et  $\partial \partial x = -\frac{\partial x \partial y}{y}$ , ob  $\partial p = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x}$ , orietur haec forma  $y \partial \partial y + \partial y^2 = Y y \partial x^2$ , cuius membrum prius integrabile redditur, si per functionem quamcunque ipsarum  $y \partial y$  et  $y \partial x$  multiplicetur, ergo etiam per  $\frac{y \partial y}{y \partial x^2}$ , quo multiplicatore simul alterum membrum  $Y y \partial x^2$  redditur integrabile. His igitur casibus simplicissimis aequationum differentio-differentialium expeditis, qui ne vlla quidem difficultate laborant, ad difficiliores progrediamur, ac primo quidem ad eas aequationes, in quibus altera binarum variabilium  $x$  et  $y$  ipsa non inest; ita ut aequatio proposita ternas tantum contineat litteras  $x$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  vel  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$ , utriusque enim ratio fere perinde est comparata.

---

## CAPVT II.

DE

AEQVATIONIBVS DIFFERENTIO-DIFFERENTIALI-  
BVS IN QVIBVS ALTERA VARIABILIVM  
IPSA DEEST.

## Problema 95.

750.

**P**osito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , si detur aequatio quae-  
cunque inter tres quantitates  $x$ ,  $p$  et  $q$ , in quam altera  
variabilis  $y$  non ingrediatur, inuestigare relationem inter ipsas  
variabiles  $x$  et  $y$ .

## Solutio.

Cum aequatio proposita has tres quantitates  $x$ ,  $p$  et  $q$   
contineat, loco  $q$  scribatur eius valor  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ , atque habebitur ae-  
quatio differentialis primi gradus duas tantum quantitates va-  
riabiles  $x$  et  $p$  inuoluens, quam secundum praecepta prioris  
partis tractari, eiusque integrale inuestigari oportet. Integrali  
autem inuento, quod si fuerit completum constantem arbitra-  
riam complectetur, inde vel  $p$  per  $x$ , vel  $x$  per  $p$  determinari  
poterit. Priori casu quo  $p$  per  $x$  definire licet, vt  $p$  aequatur  
functioni cuidam ipsius  $x$ , quae sit  $= X$ , ob  $p = X$  fiet  
 $p \partial x = \partial y = X \partial x$ , vnde reperitur  $y = \int X \partial x + \text{Const.}$   
quae aequatio relationem desideratam inter  $x$  et  $y$  definit. Po-  
steriori casu quo  $x$  per  $p$  detur, et functioni cuidam  $P$  ipsius  
 $p$  aequatur, vt sit  $x = P$ , erit  $y = \int p \partial x = \int p \partial P$ , seu  
 $y = P p - \int P \partial p$ .

Sin

Sin autem neque  $x$  per  $p$ , neque  $p$  per  $x$  definiri queat, videndum est, num vtramque per nouam variabilem  $u$  exprimere liceat, vnde fiat  $x = V$  et  $p = U$ ; tum enim habebitur  $y = \int U \partial V$ .

### Corollarium 1.

751. Huiusmodi ergo aequationum differentio-differentialium resolutio ita instituitur, vt reuocetur ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus inter binas variables  $x$  et  $p$ ; quae si integrari queat, simul illius aequationis integratio habebitur, accedente quadam noua constante.

### Corollarium 2.

752. Si aequatio inter  $x$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  proposita ita fuerit comparata, vt  $q$  vnicam dimensionem non excedat, vel si ad talem formam reduci patiatur, orietur aequatio differentialis simplex, differentia vnius tantum dimensionis inuoluens, vbi praecepta ante tradita in vsum sunt vocanda.

### Corollarium 3.

753. Sin autem quantitas  $q$  plures obtineat dimensiones, vel adeo transcendenter ingrediatur, tentanda sunt ea artificia, quae in fine superioris partis circa resolutionem huiusmodi aequationum sunt tradita.

### Scholion.

754. Quando in aequatione inter  $x$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  littera  $q$  vnicam habet dimensionem, indeque posito  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  aequatio differentialis simplex nascitur, praecipui casus, quibus integratio succedit, sunt: 1) si aequatio haec differentialis separationem admittat, 2) si alterutra variabilium  $p$  et  $x$ , differentia-  
 lium quoque ratione habita, vnam dimensionem non superet,  
 ac

ac 3) si ambae variables  $x$  et  $p$  vbique eundem dimensionum numerum constituent, quo casu aequatio homogenea appellatur. Casus minus late patentes, cuiusmodi supra euoluimus, hic non commemoramus. Deinde si quantitas  $q$  vel pluribus dimensionibus sit implicata, vel adeo transcendenter ingrediat, casus praecipui resolutionem admittentes, quemadmodum supra docuimus, sunt: 1) si proponatur aequatio quaecunque inter  $x$  et  $q$  deficiente  $p$ , 2) si aequatio tantum  $p$  et  $q$  contineat, quos binos quidem casus iam capite praecedente tractauimus; 3) si in aequatione proposita binae variables  $p$  et  $x$  vbique eundem dimensionum numerum constituent, 4) si in aequatione inter  $x$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  altera binarum litterarum  $x$  vel  $p$  vnicam dimensionem obtineat, denique 5) si aequatio ita fuerit comparata, vt posito  $x = v^u$ ,  $p = z^{u+v}$  et  $q = t^v$ , aequatio oriatur homogenea inter  $v$ ,  $z$  et  $t$ , quae scilicet vbique eundem dimensionum numerum constituent. Secundum hos ergo casus exempla proferamus.

### Exemplum 1.

755. *Inuestigare aequationem inter  $x$  et  $y$ , vt posito  $\partial x$  constante, haec formula  $\frac{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\partial x \partial y}$  aequetur datae functioni ipsius  $x$ , quae sit  $= X$ .*

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , erit

$$\frac{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q} = X = \frac{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}} \partial x}{\partial p}, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{X} = \frac{\partial p}{(1 + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

vbi

vbi cum variables  $x$  et  $p$  sint a se inuicem separatae, integratio dat

$$\frac{p}{\sqrt{(x+pp)}} = \int \frac{\partial x}{x}.$$

Ponatur  $\int \frac{\partial x}{x} = V$ , integrali completo sumto, erit  $V$  functio ipsius  $x$ , hinc

$$p = V \sqrt{(x+pp)} \text{ et } p = \frac{V}{\sqrt{(x-VV)}}.$$

Quare

$$\partial y = p \partial x = \frac{V \partial x}{\sqrt{(x-VV)}},$$

vnde obtinetur

$$y = \int \frac{V \partial x}{\sqrt{(x-VV)}}.$$

Tum vero praeterea elicitur elementum

$$\sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)} = \partial x \sqrt{(x+pp)} = \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt{(x-VV)}},$$

cuius integrale praebet

$$\int \partial x \sqrt{(x+pp)} = \int \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt{(x-VV)}}.$$

### Corollarium 1.

756. Si  $x$  et  $y$  sint coordinatae orthogonales curuae, erit formula  $\frac{(x+pp)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{q}$  eius radius curuedinis, vnde hinc curva definitur, cuius radius curuedinis aequetur functioni cuiunque abscissae  $x$ .

### Corollarium 2.

757. Si ergo radius curuedinis debeat esse reciproce proportionalis abscissae  $x$ , fumatur  $X = \frac{aa}{ax}$ , eritque

$$V = \int \frac{\partial x}{\frac{aa}{ax}} = \frac{ax+ab}{aa}, \text{ hinc}$$

$$y = \int \frac{(ax+ab) \partial x}{\sqrt{(a^2 - (ax+ab)^2)}},$$

quae conditio praebet curuas a lamina elastica formatas.

## Corollarium. 3.

758. Si fit  $V = x^n$ , seu  $X = \frac{x}{n x^{n-1}}$ , neglecta constante addenda, oritur  $y = \int \frac{x^n dx}{\sqrt{(1-x^{2n})}}$ , quod integrale algebraice exhiberi potest casibus, quibus est vel  $n = \frac{1}{1+i}$  vel  $n = \frac{1}{1-i}$ , denotante  $i$  numerum integrum positium.

## Exemplum 2.

759. Si posito  $\partial x$  constante, oporteat esse  $\partial x (\partial x^2 + \partial y^2) + x \partial y \partial \partial y = a \partial \partial y \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$ , inuenire aequationem inter  $x$  et  $y$ .

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , nostra aequatio ob  $\partial \partial y = \partial p \partial x$  induit hanc formam

$\partial x (1 + p p) + x p \partial p = a \partial p \sqrt{(1 + p p)}$ ,  
 quae per  $\sqrt{(1 + p p)}$  diuisa fit integrabilis, oritur enim  
 $x \sqrt{(1 + p p)} = a p + b$  seu  $x = \frac{a p + b}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}}$ .

Cum nunc fit

$$y = \int p \partial x = p x - \int x \partial p, \text{ erit}$$

$$y = \frac{a p p + b p}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} - \int \frac{p(a p + b)}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}}$$

et integratione euoluta

$$y = \frac{a p p + b p}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} - a \sqrt{(1 + p p)} - b \int \frac{p + \sqrt{(1 + p p)}}{n} , \text{ seu}$$

$$y = \frac{b p - a}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} - b \int \frac{p + \sqrt{(1 + p p)}}{n} ;$$

ita ut ambae variables  $x$  et  $y$  per  $p$  definiantur.

Cum igitur ex priori eliciatur

$p = \frac{a b + x \sqrt{(a a + b b - x x)}}{x x - a a}$  et  $\sqrt{(1 + p p)} = \frac{b x + a \sqrt{(a a + b b - x x)}}{x x - a a}$ ,  
 erit his valoribus substitutis

$y =$



$$y = \frac{a(aa+bb-xx)+bx\sqrt{(aa+bb-xx)}}{bx+a\sqrt{(aa+bb-xx)}} - b l \frac{b+\sqrt{(aa+bb-xx)}}{n(x-a)}, \text{ seu}$$

$$y = \sqrt{(aa+bb-xx)} - b l \frac{b+\sqrt{(aa+bb-xx)}}{n(x-a)}.$$

## Corollarium.

760. Si constans priori integratione ingressa  $b$  euanescent sumatur, aequatio inter  $x$  et  $y$  fit algebraica, erit enim  $y = \sqrt{(aa-xx)}$ . Sin autem  $b$  non euanescat, aequatio integralis est transcendens, et logarithmos inuoluit.

## Exemplum 3.

761. Posito  $\partial x$  constanter, si debeat esse

$a a \partial \partial y \sqrt{(a a + x x)} + a a \partial x \partial y = x x \partial x^2$ ,  
inuenire aequationem inter  $x$  et  $y$ .

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , habebimus hanc aequationem  
 $a a \partial p \sqrt{(a a + x x)} + a a p \partial x = x x \partial x$ , seu  
 $\partial p + \frac{p \partial x}{\sqrt{(a a + x x)}} = \frac{x x \partial x}{a a \sqrt{(a a + x x)}}$ ,

in qua variabilis  $p$  vnam dimensionem non superat. Cum ergo fit

$$\int \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt{(a a + x x)}} = l [x + \sqrt{(a a + x x)}],$$

haec aequatio integrabilis redditur, si multiplicetur per  $x + \sqrt{(a a + x x)}$ , tum enim prodit

$$p [x + \sqrt{(a a + x x)}] = \int \frac{x x \partial x [x + \sqrt{(a a + x x)}]}{a a \sqrt{(a a + x x)}}, \text{ seu}$$

$$p [x + \sqrt{(a a + x x)}] = \frac{1}{a a} \int \frac{x^3 \partial x}{\sqrt{(a a + x x)}} + \frac{x^2}{3 a a}, \text{ et}$$

$$\int \frac{x^3 \partial x}{\sqrt{(a a + x x)}} = \frac{1}{3} (x x - 2 a a) \sqrt{(a a + x x)} + C,$$

hinc

$$p [x + \sqrt{(a a + x x)}] = \frac{(x x - 2 a a) \sqrt{(a a + x x)} + x^2}{3 a a} + C.$$

Haec multiplicetur per  $\sqrt{(a a + x x)} - x$ , vt prodeat,

$$a a p = \frac{-x x - 2 a a + x x \sqrt{(a a + x x)}}{3} + C \sqrt{(a a + x x)} - C x,$$

D 2

et

et quia  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , erit integrando

$$a a y = -\frac{1}{3} x^3 - \frac{1}{3} a a x + \frac{1}{3} (a a + x x) \sqrt{(a a + x x)} \\ - \frac{1}{3} C x x + C f \partial x \sqrt{(a a + x x)}.$$

Quodsi ergo constans  $C$  euanescat, aequatio inter  $x$  et  $y$  erit algebraica; scilicet

$$9 a a y + 6 a a x + x^3 = 2 (a a + x x) \sqrt{(a a + x x)}.$$

### Exemplum 4.

762. Posito  $\partial x$  constante, inuenire integrale huius aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$(a a \partial y^2 + x x \partial x^2) \partial \partial y = n x \partial x^3 \partial y.$$

Fiat  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , et ob  $\partial \partial y = \partial p \partial x$  habebimus

$$(a a p p + x x) \partial p = n p x \partial x,$$

quae aequatio cum sit homogenea, statuamus  $x = p u$ , eritque

$$p p (a a + u u) \partial p = n p p u (p \partial u + u \partial p), \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{p} = \frac{n u \partial u}{a a + (1-n) u u},$$

quae integrata dat

$$l p = \frac{n}{1-n} l [a a + (1-n) u u] + \text{Const.}$$

Hinc colligitur

$$p = C [a a + (1-n) u u]^{\frac{n}{1-n}}, \text{ atque}$$

$$x = C u [a a + (1-n) u u]^{\frac{n}{1-n}}.$$

Cum nunc sit

$$y = p x - f x \partial p \text{ et } \partial p = C n u \partial u [a a + (1-n) u u]^{\frac{3n-1}{1-n}}, \\ \text{erit}$$

$$y = C C u [a a + (1-n) u u]^{\frac{n}{1-n}} - n C C f u \partial u [a a + (1-n) u u]^{\frac{3n-1}{1-n}}.$$

Casu

Casu autem  $n = 1$  erit

$$l p = \frac{u u}{x a x} + C, \text{ et } u = a \sqrt{2 l \frac{p}{c}}, \text{ hinc}$$

$$x = a p \sqrt{2 l \frac{p}{c}}, \text{ et } y = a p p \sqrt{2 l \frac{p}{c}} - a \int p \partial p \sqrt{2 l \frac{p}{c}}.$$

### Corollarium.

763. Si fuerit  $n = \frac{1}{2}$ , erit

$$x = C u \sqrt{(a a + \frac{1}{2} u u)} \text{ et}$$

$$y = C C u (a a + \frac{1}{2} u u) - \frac{C C u^2}{c} + D = C C u (a a + \frac{1}{2} u u) + D;$$

ficque relatio inter  $x$  et  $y$  algebraice exprimitur, quod etiam fit, si  $n = \frac{3}{2}$ , vel  $n = \frac{5}{2}$ , vel  $n = \frac{7}{2}$ , etc.

### Exemplum 5.

764. *Posito  $\partial x$  constante, integrare hanc aequationem differentio-differentialem*

$$a \partial x \partial y^2 + x x \partial x \partial y = n x \partial y \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + a a \partial y^2)},$$

Fiat  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , vt fit  $\partial \partial y = q \partial x^2$ , et nostra aequatio inducet hanc formam

$$a p p + q x x = n p x \sqrt{(1 + a a q q)},$$

quae est homogenea inter  $p$  et  $x$ . Statuatur ergo  $p = u x$ , fietque

$$a u u + q = n u \sqrt{(1 + a a q q)}.$$

Iam vero est

$$\partial p = q \partial x = u \partial x + x \partial u,$$

vnde fit  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{q - u}$ .

At ex illa aequatione inter  $q$  et  $u$  colligitur

$$q = \frac{a u u + n u \sqrt{(1 - n n a a u u + a^4 u^4)}}{n n a a u u - 1}, \text{ et}$$

$$q - u = \frac{u (1 + a u - n n a a u u) + n u \sqrt{(1 - n n a a u u + a^4 u^4)}}{n n a a u u - 1},$$

D 3

ficque

ficque

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{u} \cdot \frac{nnccuu-1}{1+au-nccuu+ny(1-nccuu+a^2u^2)}$$

Dabitur ergo  $x$  per  $u$ , hincque etiam  $p = ux$  per  $u$ ; vnde deducitur  $y = \int p \partial x = \int ux \partial x$ .

### Corollarium 1.

765. Illa aequatio differentialis transformatur in banc

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{u} \cdot \frac{1+au-nccuu-ny(1-nccuu+a^2u^2)}{nn-1-2au+(nn-1)ccuu}$$

vnde ratio integrationis facilius perspicitur.

### Corollarium 2.

766. Notatu dignus autem est casus  $nn=2$ , quo fit

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{u} \cdot \frac{1+au-2ccuu-(1-ccuu)\sqrt{2}}{(1-ccuu)^2}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{u} \cdot \frac{1+2au-(1+au)\sqrt{2}}{1-ccuu} = \frac{\partial u(1-\sqrt{2})}{u} + \frac{a\partial u(1-2\sqrt{2})}{1-ccuu}$$

vnde colligitur

$$lx = (1-\sqrt{2})lu - (3-2\sqrt{2})l(1-au) + \text{Const. seu}$$

$$xu^{\sqrt{2}-1}(1-au)^{3-2\sqrt{2}} = C.$$

### Exemplum 6.

767. Sumto elemento  $\partial s = \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$  constante, inuenire integrale huius aequationis

$$\partial x^2 \partial y - x \partial s^2 \partial \partial y = a \partial x \partial s \sqrt{(\partial \partial x^2 + \partial \partial y^2)}.$$

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , erit  $\partial s = \partial x \sqrt{1+pp}$ , et ob  $\partial \partial s = 0$  fit

$$\partial \partial x = -\frac{p \partial p \partial x}{1+pp} = -\frac{p q \partial x^2}{1+pp},$$

existente  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , tum vero

$$\partial \partial y = p \partial \partial x + \partial p \partial x = -\frac{p q \partial x^2}{1+pp} + q \partial x^2 = \frac{q \partial x^2}{1+pp},$$

ideoque

✓

$$\sqrt{(\partial \partial x^2 + \partial \partial y^2)} = \frac{q \partial x^2}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}},$$

quibus substitutis, aequatio nostra induit hanc formam

$p - q x = a q$ , quae differentiata praebet  $-x \partial q = a \partial q$ , ideoque  $\partial q = 0$  et  $q = \frac{1}{2}$ . Hinc  $p = f q \partial x = \frac{x+a}{c}$ , qui idem valor ex aequatione  $p = (x+a) q$  sine integratione obtinetur. Tum vero est  $y = f p \partial x = \frac{x^2 + a x}{c} + b$ , quae est aequatio integralis completa binas constantes  $b$  et  $c$  inuoluens.

### Exemplum 7.

768. Sumto elemento  $\partial s = \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)}$  constante, inuenire integrale huius aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$\partial x^2 \partial y - x \partial s^2 \partial \partial y = \frac{b \partial x^2 \partial s^2 \partial \partial y}{\sqrt{(c x^2 + a a s^2 \partial \partial y^2)}}.$$

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , ob  $\partial s = \partial x \sqrt{(1 + p p)}$ , et  $\partial \partial s = 0$ , erit

$$\partial \partial x = \frac{-p q \partial x^2}{1 + p p} \text{ et } \partial \partial y = \frac{-\partial x \partial \partial x}{\partial y} = \frac{-\partial \partial x}{p} = \frac{q \partial x^2}{1 + p p},$$

ergo  $\partial s^2 \partial \partial y = q \partial x^2$ , vnde aequatio nostra fit

$$p - q x = \frac{b q}{\sqrt{(1 + a a q q)}},$$

quae differentiata praebet

$$-x \partial q = \frac{b \partial q}{(1 + a a q q)^{\frac{3}{2}}};$$

vnde concluditur vel  $\partial q = 0$  vel  $x = \frac{-b}{(1 + a a q q)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ .

Priori casu est  $q = \frac{1}{2}$ , et  $p = \frac{x}{c} + \sqrt{\frac{b}{(c c + a a)}}$ , hincque

$$y = f p \partial x = \frac{x^2}{2c} + \frac{b x}{\sqrt{(c c + a a)}} + f.$$

Posteriori casu quo  $x = \frac{-b}{(1 + a a q q)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$  fit

$p =$

$$p = \frac{-bq}{(x+aaqq)^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{bq}{\sqrt{(x+aaqq)}} = \frac{aabq^2}{(x+aaqq)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

At est

$$\partial x = \frac{+3aabq\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \text{ hincque}$$

$$\partial y = p\partial x = \frac{3a^2bbq^2\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^{\frac{5}{2}}},$$

et ope reductionum

$$y = \frac{-\frac{1}{2}bbq - aabbbq^3}{(x+aaqq)^2} + \frac{1}{2}bb \int \frac{\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^2}.$$

Est vero

$$\int \frac{\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^{n+1}} = \frac{q}{2n(x+aaqq)^n} + \frac{2n-1}{2n} \int \frac{\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^n}.$$

Ergo

$$\int \frac{\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^2} = \frac{q}{4(x+aaqq)^2} + \frac{3}{4} \int \frac{\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^2} \text{ et}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^3} &= \frac{q}{8(x+aaqq)^2} + \frac{1}{8} \int \frac{\partial q}{x+aaqq} \\ &= \frac{q}{8(x+aaqq)^2} + \frac{1}{8a} \text{Ang. tang. } aq. \end{aligned}$$

Hinc

$$\int \frac{\partial q}{(x+aaqq)^2} = \frac{q}{4(x+aaqq)^2} + \frac{3q}{8(x+aaqq)} + \frac{3}{8a} \text{Ang. tang. } aq,$$

ideoque

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{-bbq(x+1aaqq)}{8(x+aaqq)^3} + \frac{bbq}{8(x+aaqq)^2} + \frac{3bbq}{16(x+aaqq)} \\ &\quad + \frac{3bb}{16a} \text{Ang. tang. } aq, \end{aligned}$$

existente

$$x = \frac{-b}{(x+aaqq)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

vnde fit

$$x + a a q q = \sqrt{\frac{b b}{x}},$$

ita vt hoc modo aequatio inter  $x$  et  $y$  exhiberi possit. Hoc autem integrale, vt supra vidimus, tantum est particulare.

### Problema 96.

769. Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , si detur aequatio quaecunque inter  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$ , ita vt variabilis  $x$  ipsa in ea desit, inuestigare aequationem integralem inter  $x$  et  $y$ .

### Solutio.

Cum sit  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  et  $\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{p}$ , erit  $q = \frac{p \partial p}{\partial y}$ ; in aequatione ergo inter  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  vbique loco  $q$  substituatur iste valor  $\frac{p \partial p}{\partial y}$ , atque habebitur aequatio differentialis primi gradus binas tantum variables  $p$  et  $y$  inuoluens, cuius resolutionem per methodos supra expositas tentari oportet. Inuenta autem aequatione integrali inter  $p$  et  $y$ , inde vel  $p$  per  $y$ , vel  $y$  per  $p$  definiatur, quo facilius altera integratio institui possit. Si  $y$  per  $p$  commodè defini queat, vt  $y$  aequetur functioni cuiuspiam ipsius  $p$ , quae sit  $= P$ , vt sit  $y = P$ , erit  $\partial x = \frac{\partial P}{p}$ , hincque  $x = \int \frac{\partial P}{p} = \frac{P}{p} + \int \frac{P \partial P}{p p}$ . Sin autem commodius  $p$  per  $y$  definire liceat, vt sit  $p = Y$  denotante  $Y$  functionem quampiam ipsius  $y$ , ob  $\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{p}$ , habebitur  $x = \int \frac{\partial y}{Y}$ . At si neutrum succedat, nouam variabilem  $u$  introducendo, per eam vtraque quantitas  $p$  et  $y$  definiatur, vt fiat  $p = U$  et  $y = V$ , existentibus  $U$  et  $V$  functionibus ipsius  $u$ , atque hinc erit  $\partial x = \frac{\partial V}{U}$ , et  $x = \int \frac{\partial V}{U}$ ; hocque modo per duplicem integrationem integrale completum obtinebitur.

### Corollarium I.

770. Huiusmodi ergo aequationum differentio-differentialium resolutio quoque reuocatur ad aequationem differentia-

Vol. II.

E

rentia-

rentialem primi gradus, cuius resolutio si fuerit in potestate, simul illius integrale exhiberi poterit.

### Corollarium 2.

771. Si aequatio inter  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  ita fuerit comparata, vt ex ea commodè valor ipsius  $q$  elici queat, hincque  $q$  aequetur functioni ipsarum  $y$  et  $p$ , quae sit  $T$ , erit  $p \partial p = T \partial y$ , quae est aequatio differentialis primi gradus simplex.

### Corollarium 3.

772. Sin autem huiusmodi euolutio non succedat, dum littera  $q$  vel ad altiores potestates exurgit, vel signis radicalibus inuoluitur, vel adeo transcendenter ingreditur, aequatio differentialis quidem erit primi gradus sed complicata, quae methodis supra expositis erit tractanda.

### Scholion 1.

773. Cum paucis casibus aequationes differentiales primi gradus integrari queant, eosdem etiam hic notasse et per exempla illustrasse iuuabit. Interim vero et reliquos casus quasi solutos spectari conuenit, quandoquidem in aequationibus differentialibus altiorum ordinum id potissimum desideratur vt earum resolutio ad ordinem inferiorem reducatur. Perpetuo enim in Analyfi quae ordine tractationis praecedunt, tanquam penitus confecta spectari solent, etiamsi plurima adhuc desiderantur, vt hoc modo multitudo desideratorum diminuatur. Ita quamuis longe adhuc absit, quominus aequationes algebraicas omnium ordinum resoluere valeamus, dum adeo vires nostrae non vltra quartum extenduntur, tamen in Analyfi sublimiori omnium istarum aequationum resolutionem pro cognita habemus. Quod etiam vsu non caret, cum in praxi resolutio per approximationem, quam quousque luberit, exten-



extendere licet, fufficere poffit. Simili modo etiam, quoniam methodum tradidimus, aequationum differentialium primi gradus integralia proxime inueniendi, merito totum negotium, vt plane confectum, eft cenfendum, fi eo refolutionem aequationum differentialium altiorum graduum reducere potuerimus. Quare in hac fecunda parte ftatim atque aequationem differentialem fecundi gradus ad primum gradum perduxerimus, totum negotium pro confecto erit habendum.

## Scholion 2.

774. Aequationes ergo differentio-differentiales, quae hoc modo ad differentiales primi gradus reducuntur, ita funt comparatae, vt pofito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , variabilis  $x$  ipfa inde tollatur, et aequatio inter folas tres variables  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  oriatur. Cafus ergo quibus talis aequatio refolutionem admittit, duplicis funt generis, ad quorum prius referendi funt ii, quibus  $q$  vnicam obtinet dimensionem, vnde  $q$  functioni cuiusdam ipfarum  $y$  et  $p$  aequari poteft. Cum igitur fit  $q = \frac{p \partial p}{\partial y} = f$  ( $y$  et  $p$ ) quam ponamus =  $T$ , refolutio fuccedet. 1) Si  $T$  fit functio homogenea vnius dimensionis ipfarum  $y$  et  $p$ . 2) Si fuerit  $T = \frac{P}{y + Q}$ , defignantibus  $P$  et  $Q$  functiones quascunque ipfius  $p$  tantum, hinc enim fit

$$P \partial y = y p \partial p + Q p \partial p;$$

quorum etiam refertur cafus,

$$T = \frac{P}{y + Q y^n}.$$

3) Si fuerit  $T = p(Yp + Z)$ , fi quidem  $Y$  et  $Z$  funt functiones quaecunque ipfius  $y$ , quia tum aequatio

$$\partial p = Y p \partial y + Y \partial y,$$

ob vnicam dimensionem ipfius  $p$  eft integrabilis, quorum etiam

iam referendus est casus  $T = p(Yp + Zp^n)$ . Pro altero genere si quantitas  $q$  plures habeat dimensiones, vel signis radicalibus sit implicata, vel adeo transcendenter ingrediatur, aequatio inter  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$ , resolutionem admittet. 1) Si posito  $q = pu$ , ut sit  $u = \frac{p^m}{y^m}$ , aequatio resultet homogenea inter  $y$  et  $p$ , in qua scilicet  $y$  et  $p$  vbique eundem dimensionum numerum compleant, utcumque caeterum  $u$  in eam ingrediatur. 2) Si in aequatione post substitutionem  $q = pu$  inter  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $u$  orta, altera quantitas  $y$  vel  $p$  vnicam obtineat dimensionem. 3) Si posito  $y = v^m$ ,  $p = z^{m+n}$  et  $u = t^r$  aequatio oriatur homogenea inter ternas quantitas  $v$ ,  $z$  et  $t$ , huiusmodi enim aequationes supra resolueret docuimus.

### Exemplum I.

775. Posito elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si habeatur haec aequatio differentio-differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + A \partial x \partial y + B y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

cuius integrale completum invenire.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , aequatio nostra erit

$q + A p + B y = 0$ , seu  $p \partial p + A p \partial y + B y \partial y = 0$ ,  
 quae cum sit homogenea, posito  $p = v y$ , abit in

$$v v y \partial y + v y y \partial v + A v y \partial y + B y \partial y = 0,$$

vnde fit

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} + \frac{v \partial v}{v v + A v + B} = 0.$$

Sit  $v v + A v + B = (v + \alpha)(v + \beta)$ ,

ut sit  $\alpha + \beta = A$  et  $\alpha \beta = B$  erit

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} + \frac{\alpha \partial v}{(v - \beta)(v + \alpha)} - \frac{\beta \partial v}{(\alpha - \beta)(v + \beta)} = 0,$$

hincque integrando

$$ly + \frac{a}{a-\beta} l(v+a) - \frac{\beta}{a-\beta} l(v+\beta) = C \text{ seu}$$

$$y = a(v+\beta)^{\frac{\beta}{a-\beta}}(v+a)^{\frac{-a}{a-\beta}}, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$p = vy = av(v+\beta)^{\frac{\beta}{a-\beta}}(v+a)^{\frac{-a}{a-\beta}}.$$

Tum vero est

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial v}, \text{ vnde ob}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} = \frac{-v \partial v}{v v + A v + B}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = \frac{-\partial v}{v v + A v + B} = \frac{\partial v}{(a-\beta)(v+a)} - \frac{\partial v}{(a-\beta)(v+\beta)}, \text{ et}$$

$$x = \frac{1}{a-\beta} l \frac{v+a}{v+\beta} + \text{Const.}$$

Verum haec resolutio fit facilior sequenti modo :

Cum sit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} = \frac{-v \partial v}{(v+a)(v+\beta)} \text{ et } \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = \frac{-\partial v}{(v+a)(v+\beta)}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial v} + \alpha \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = \frac{-\partial v}{v+\beta} \text{ et } \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} + \beta \frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = \frac{-\partial v}{v+a}, \text{ hinc}$$

$$ly + \alpha x = l a - l(v+\beta) \text{ et } ly + \beta x = l b - l(v+a).$$

Ergo

$$v + \beta = \frac{a}{\gamma} e^{-\alpha x} \text{ et } v + a = \frac{b}{\gamma} e^{-\beta x},$$

vnde fit

$$\alpha - \beta = \frac{1}{\gamma} (b e^{-\beta x} - a e^{-\alpha x}),$$

ideoque mutatis constantibus

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{-\alpha x} + \mathfrak{B} e^{-\beta x},$$

quae integratio locum habet, si  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  sint quantitates reales et inaequales. Cum igitur posuerimus

$$v v + A v + B = (v+a)(v+\beta) \text{ erit}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} A + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{4} A A - B\right)} \text{ et } \beta = \frac{1}{2} A - \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{4} A A - B\right)},$$

hinc prout expressio  $\frac{1}{4} A A - B$  fuerit vel positua, vel negatiua, vel euanesceus, tres habebimus casus euoluendos :

1) Sit  $\frac{1}{2}A = m$  et  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}AA - B} = n$ , erit aequationis propositae integrale completum

$$y = \mathcal{A}e^{-(m+n)x} + \mathcal{B}e^{-(m-n)x} = e^{-mx} (\mathcal{A}e^{-nx} + \mathcal{B}e^{nx}).$$

2) Sit  $\frac{1}{2}A = m$  et  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}AA - B} = n\sqrt{-1}$ , ob

$$e^{nx\sqrt{-1}} = \text{cos. } nx + \sqrt{-1} \text{ sin. } nx \text{ et}$$

$$e^{-nx\sqrt{-1}} = \text{cos. } nx - \sqrt{-1} \text{ sin. } nx,$$

erit constantibus mutandis

$$y = e^{-mx} (\mathcal{C} \text{cos. } nx + \mathcal{D} \text{sin. } nx) = \mathcal{E}e^{-mx} \text{cos. } (nx + \epsilon).$$

3) Sit  $\frac{1}{2}A = m$  et  $\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}AA - B} = 0$ , seu in casu primo  $n = 0$ , ob  $e^{-nx} = 1 - nx$  et  $e^{nx} = 1 + nx$  fiet

$$y = e^{-mx} (\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{D}x).$$

### Corollarium 1.

776. Ad aequationis ergo propositae integrale inueniendum, aequationis  $vv + Av + B = 0$  radices inuestigari oportet, quibus inuentis facile erit integrale completum assignare.

### Corollarium 2.

777. Haec autem aequatio quadratica  $vv + Av + B = 0$  insignem habet analogiam cum ipsa aequatione proposita

$$\partial \partial y + A \partial y \partial x + B y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

ex qua quippe oritur scribendo  $x, v, v^2$  loco  $y, \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  et  $\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2}$ .

### Corollarium 3.

778. Formata autem aequatione hac algebraica  $vv + Av + B = 0$ , si eius factor sit  $v + \alpha$ , ex eo statim integrale particulare deducitur  $y = \mathcal{A}e^{-\alpha x}$ , similiterque alter factor  $v + \beta$  integrale particulare dabit  $y = \mathcal{B}e^{-\beta x}$ , quibus coniunctis obtinetur integrale completum  $y = \mathcal{A}e^{-\alpha x} + \mathcal{B}e^{-\beta x}$ .

Scho-

## Scholion.

779. Infra methodus facilior tradetur huiusmodi aequationes differentio-differentiales tractandi, quae adeo ad talem formam

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial y \partial x + Q y \partial x^2 = 0$$

patet, ubi P et Q sint functiones quaecunque ipsius x, quae etiam extendetur ad formam

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial y \partial x + Q y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

sumendo pro X functionem quamcunque ipsius x. Methodus scilicet ea inde haurietur, quod in huiusmodi aequationibus variabilis y cum suis differentialibus  $\partial y$  et  $\partial \partial y$  ubique univariam dimensionem constituat vel etiam nullam; eiusque ope resolutio ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus reducitur, quo ipso negotium pro confecto erit habendum. Quando autem hoc modo aequatio differentio-differentialis ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus reducitur, probe cauendum est, ne haec reductio pro integration habeatur, quippe ad quam tantum ope idoneae substitutionis est peruentum; nihilo enim minus duae adhuc integrationes supersunt absoluedae, quibus totidem constantes arbitrariae introducantur, si quidem integrale completum desideretur, quemadmodum in hoc exemplo et praecedentibus clare videmus.

## Exemplum 2.

780. *Proposita aequatione differentio-differentiali*

$$a b \partial \partial y = \partial x \sqrt{(y \partial x^2 + a a \partial y^2)},$$

*eius integrale inuestigare.*

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , haec aequatio abit in hanc

*a b q*

$abq = \sqrt{(yy + aapp)} = \frac{abp^2}{y}$ , ob  $q = \frac{p^2}{y}$ ,  
 quae cum fit homogenea ponatur  $p = \frac{z}{u}$ , erit

$$y \partial y \sqrt{(x + \frac{aa}{uu})} = \frac{abz}{u^2} (u \partial y - y \partial u), \text{ seu}$$

$$uu \partial y \sqrt{(aa + uu)} = ab u \partial y - ab y \partial u, \text{ vnde fit}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{ab \partial u}{abu - uu \sqrt{(aa + uu)}}.$$

Ponatur  $\sqrt{(aa + uu)} = su$ , erit  $uu = \frac{aa^2}{s^2 - 1}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial u}{u} = \frac{-s \partial s}{s^2 - 1}, \text{ et } \frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{-b s \partial s}{b s^2 - a s - b} = \frac{-s \partial s}{s^2 - a n s - 1},$$

posito  $\frac{a}{b} = 2n$ . Ergo

$$\frac{\partial y \sqrt{(nn + 1)}}{y} = \frac{-\partial s [n + \sqrt{(nn + 1)}]}{s - n - \sqrt{(nn + 1)}} + \frac{\partial s [n - \sqrt{(nn + 1)}]}{s - n + \sqrt{(nn + 1)}},$$

ideoque

$$y^{2\sqrt{(nn + 1)}} = \frac{C [s - n + \sqrt{(nn + 1)}]^{n - \sqrt{(nn + 1)}}}{[s - n - \sqrt{(nn + 1)}]^{n + \sqrt{(nn + 1)}}}.$$

Datur igitur  $y$  per  $s$ , vt fit  $y = S$ , hincque

$$u = \frac{a}{\sqrt{(ss - 1)}} \text{ et } p = \frac{c \sqrt{(ss - 1)}}{a}, \text{ atque}$$

$$\partial x = \frac{a \partial s}{s \sqrt{(ss - 1)}}, \text{ seu } \partial x = \frac{-s \partial s}{(ss - anns - 1) \sqrt{(ss - 1)}},$$

quae formula ad rationalitatem perduci et per logarithmos seu  
 arcus circulares integrari potest.

### Exemplum 3.

781. Posit'o  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , inuenire inte-  
 grale huius aequationis  $\frac{(pp + yy) \sqrt{(pp + yy)}}{ppp + yy - 4y} = ny$ .

Cum fit  $q = \frac{p^2}{y}$ ; erit

$\partial y (pp + yy) \sqrt{(pp + yy)} = 2np p y \partial y + n y^2 \partial y - n y p \partial p$ ,  
 ob cuius homogeneitatem ponatur  $p = uy$ , fietque

$y^3 \partial y (uu + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}} = 2nuu y^2 \partial y + n y^3 \partial y - nu^2 y^2 \partial y - nu y^4 \partial u$   
 vnde colligitur

 $\frac{2y}{y}$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{-nu\partial u}{(uu+1)\sqrt{(uu+1)-nuu-n} - nuu-n} = \frac{nu\partial u}{(uu+1)[n-\sqrt{(uu+1)}]}$$

et  $y$  per  $u$  definitur; ex quo erit  $p = uy$  et

$$\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{uy} = \frac{nu\partial u}{(uu+1)[n-\sqrt{(uu+1)}]}$$

Casu quo  $n = 1$  erit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{-u\partial u}{(uu+1)[\sqrt{(uu+1)}-1]} = \frac{-\partial u[r+\sqrt{(uu+1)}]}{u(uu+1)}, \text{ et}$$

$$\partial x = \frac{-\partial u[r+\sqrt{(uu+1)}]}{uu(uu+1)}. \text{ Est vero}$$

$$\int \frac{\partial u}{u(uu+1)} = l \frac{u}{\sqrt{(uu+1)}}, \int \frac{\partial u}{uu(uu+1)} = -\frac{1}{u} - \text{Ang. tang. } u,$$

$$\int \frac{\partial u}{u\sqrt{(uu+1)}} = l \frac{\sqrt{(uu+1)}-1}{u}, \int \frac{\partial u}{uu\sqrt{(uu+1)}} = -\frac{\sqrt{(uu+1)}}{u};$$

vnde colligitur

$$y = \frac{C\sqrt{(uu+1)}}{\sqrt{(uu+1)}-1} = C \left( r + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(uu+1)}-1} \right) \text{ et}$$

$$x = D + \frac{r+\sqrt{(uu+1)}}{u} + \text{Ang. tang. } u.$$

Inde est

$$\sqrt{(uu+1)} = \frac{y}{y-a}; \text{ et } u = \frac{\sqrt{(ay-a^2)}}{y-a},$$

ideoque

$$x = D + \sqrt{\frac{ay-a^2}{a}} + \text{Ang. cof. } \frac{y-a}{y},$$

quae formulae introducendo angulum  $\Phi$  cuius cofinus est  $\frac{y-a}{y}$ ,  
ita commodius exhibentur

$$y = \frac{a}{1-\text{cof. } \Phi} \text{ et } x = \zeta + \Phi + \text{cot. } \frac{1}{\Phi}.$$

### Corollarium 1.

782. Ex aequatione separata primum inuenta solutio particularis eruitur, tribuendo ipsi  $u$  eiusmodi valorem constantem, vt denominator euanescat, qui est  $u = \sqrt{(nn-1)}$ ; hinc  $p = y\sqrt{(nn-1)}$  et  $\partial x \sqrt{(nn-1)} = \frac{\partial y}{y}$ , vnde fit

$$ly = la + x\sqrt{(nn-1)}.$$

Vol. II.

F

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

783. Casu quo  $n = 1$ , hic casus particularis praebet  $y = a$ , pro valore quocunque alterius variabilis; fit enim  $u = 0$ , ideoque et  $p = 0$ , ita vt ex aequatione  $\partial y = p \partial x$  quantitas  $x$  non determinetur.

## Scholion.

784. Si  $y$  designet radium vectorem ex puncto fixo ad curuam quampiam ductum, et  $x$  angulum, quem iste radius cum recta quadam positione data constituit, formula

$$\frac{(pp + yy) \sqrt{(pp + yy)}}{2pp + yy - qy}$$

exprimit huius curuae radium curuedinis. In exemplo ergo proposito eiusmodi quaeritur curua, cuius radius curuedinis aequetur ipsi  $ny$ , cui quaestioni casu  $n = 1$  vtique satisfacit valor  $y = a$ , qui praebet circulum, qui etiam ex aequatione integrali colligitur  $y = \frac{C \sqrt{(uu + 1)}}{\sqrt{(uu + 1) - 1}}$ , sumendo constantem  $C$  nihilo aequalem, tum enim necesse est fit  $u = 0$  et  $p = 0$ , ficque angulus  $x$  non determinatur. Praeter circulum autem infinitae aliae lineae curuae satisfaciunt. At si  $n > 1$ , solutio particularis  $ly = la + x \sqrt{(nn - 1)}$  praebet spiralem logarithmicam, praeter quam autem etiam infinitae aliae curuae satisfaciunt; casibus autem  $n < 1$  nulla huiusmodi solutio particularis locum habet, sed formulas pro  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  et  $\partial x$  inuentas reuera integrari oportet.

## Exemplum 4.

785. Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , inuenire relationem inter  $x$  et  $y$ , vt fiat  $\frac{(pp + yy) \sqrt{(pp + yy)}}{2pp + yy - qy} = a$ .

Cum fit  $q = \frac{p \partial p}{\partial y}$ , ponatur  $pp + yy = zz$ , ob  $p \partial p = q \partial y$  erit  $q \partial y + y \partial y = z \partial z$ , seu  $q + y = \frac{z \partial z}{\partial y}$ . Aequatio



tio autem propofita induit hanc formam:

$$z^3 = a(2zx - yy - qy) = a(2zx - \frac{y^2 \partial z}{y}), \text{ feu}$$

$$zx \partial y = 2az \partial y - ay \partial z,$$

vnde fit

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{a \partial z}{2az - az}, \text{ feu } \frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{\partial z}{z} + \frac{\partial z}{2a - z},$$

quare integrando colligitur

$$yy = \frac{Cz}{2a - z}, \text{ et } pp = zx - \frac{Cz}{2a - z} = \frac{-Cz + 2azx - z^2}{2a - z}.$$

At est  $z = \frac{2ayy}{C + yy}$ , ergo

$$pp = \frac{4aa^2y^4}{(C + yy)^2} - yy = \frac{yy(4aa^2yy - (C + yy)^2)}{(C + yy)^2}.$$

Hinc igitur oritur

$$\partial x = \frac{(C + yy) \partial y}{y \sqrt{4aa^2yy - (C + yy)^2}},$$

fit  $yy = u$ , erit

$$\partial x = \frac{(C + u) \partial u}{2u \sqrt{4aa^2u - (C + u)^2}}.$$

Haec aequatio tractabilior redditur ponendo

$$u = 2aa - C + 2a \operatorname{cof.} \Phi \sqrt{aa - C},$$

fit enim

$$\partial x = \frac{-a \partial \Phi [aa - C + 2a \operatorname{cof.} \Phi \sqrt{aa - C}]}{2aa - C + 2a \operatorname{cof.} \Phi \sqrt{aa - C}}, \text{ feu}$$

$$2 \partial x = -\partial \Phi - \frac{C \partial \Phi}{2aa - C + 2a \operatorname{cof.} \Phi \sqrt{aa - C}},$$

quae integrata dat

$$2x = \zeta - \Phi - \operatorname{Ang.} \operatorname{cof.} \frac{m + \operatorname{cof.} \Phi}{1 + m \operatorname{cof.} \Phi},$$

posito  $m = \frac{2a \sqrt{aa - C}}{2aa - C}$ , vt fit

$$C = \frac{2aa \sqrt{1 - mm}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - mm}} \text{ et } \sqrt{aa - C} = \frac{ma}{1 + \sqrt{1 - mm}},$$

hineque  $yy = \frac{2aa(1 + m \operatorname{cof.} \Phi)}{1 + \sqrt{1 - mm}}$ ,

vnde fit

$$\text{cof. } \Phi = \frac{yy [1 + \sqrt{(1 - mm)}] - 2aa}{2ma} \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{m + \text{cof. } \Phi}{1 + m \text{ cof. } \Phi} = \frac{yy [1 + \sqrt{(1 - mm)}] - 2aa(1 - mm)}{m yy [1 + \sqrt{(1 - mm)}]}$$

## Corollarium 1.

786. Cum sit  $yy = \frac{2aa(1 + m \text{ cof. } \Phi)}{1 + \sqrt{(1 - mm)}}$ , erit

$$yy = aa + bb + 2ab \text{ cof. } \Phi,$$

si ponatur  $b = \frac{a[1 - \sqrt{(1 - mm)}]}{m}$ , vnde fit

$$m = \frac{aab}{aa + bb} \text{ et } \sqrt{(1 - mm)} = \frac{aa - bb}{aa + bb},$$

hincque

$$2x = \zeta - \Phi - \text{Ang. cof. } \frac{aab + (aa + bb) \text{ cof. } \Phi}{aa + bb + 2ab \text{ cof. } \Phi}, \text{ seu}$$

$$2x = \zeta - \Phi - \text{Ang. sin. } \frac{(aa - bb) \text{ fin. } \Phi}{yy}.$$

## Corollarium 2.

787. Si vt supra radius vector  $y$  cum angulo  $x$  referatur ad lineam curuam, hanc curuam circulum esse oportet radio  $= a$  descriptum. Fit autem  $\partial x = \frac{\partial \Phi (aa - ab \text{ cof. } \Phi)}{aa + b - ab \text{ cof. } \Phi}$  sumto  $yy = aa + bb - 2ab \text{ cof. } \Phi$ , hincque

$$x = \zeta + \text{Ang. tang. } \frac{a \text{ fin. } \Phi}{a \text{ cof. } \Phi - b}.$$

cuius applicatio ad Geometriam rem facit perspicuam.

## Exemplum 5.

788. Sumpto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio  $\partial \partial y (y \partial y + a \partial x) = \partial y (\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)$ , eius integrale inuenire.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$  habebimus

$$q (py + a) = p (1 + pp), \text{ et ob } q = \frac{p^2 \partial p}{y},$$

$$\partial p (py + a) = \partial y (1 + pp), \text{ siue}$$

$\partial y$

$$\partial y - \frac{p y \partial p}{1 + p p} = \frac{a \partial p}{1 + p p},$$

quae integrata dat

$$\frac{\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} = \frac{a p}{\sqrt{(1 + p p)}} + b, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$y = a p + b \sqrt{(1 + p p)} \text{ et}$$

$$x = f \frac{\partial z}{p} = a l p + b l [p + \sqrt{(1 + p p)}] + c,$$

ita vt  $x$  et  $y$  per eandem variabilem  $p$  exprimantur. Si constans  $b$  sumatur  $= 0$ , obtinetur integrale particulare

$$y = a p \text{ et } x = a l p + c = a l \frac{z}{a} + c,$$

seu in exponentialibus  $y = c e^{x/a}$ . Sin autem sumatur  $b = a$ , ob

$$p + \sqrt{(1 + p p)} = \frac{z}{a} \text{ et } p = \frac{z \sqrt{z - a a}}{a a y}, \text{ erit}$$

$$x = a l \frac{z \sqrt{z - a a}}{a a} + c \text{ seu } y y = a a + c e^{x/a}.$$

### Exemplum 6.

789. Sumto  $\partial x$  constante, huius aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$\partial y^n - y \partial \partial y = n \sqrt{(\partial x^n \partial y^n + a a \partial \partial y^n)},$$

integrale inuenire.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , erit

$$p p - q y = n \sqrt{(p p + a a q q)},$$

quae facta  $q = p u$ , vt fit  $\frac{p \partial p}{y} = p u$  ideoque  $\partial p = u \partial y$ , abit in

$$p p - p u y = n p \sqrt{(1 + a a u u)} \text{ seu}$$

$$p - u y = n \sqrt{(1 + a a u u)}.$$

Iam quia  $\partial p = u \partial y$  differentietur haec aequatio, prodibitque

$$-y \partial u = \frac{n a a u \partial u}{\sqrt{(1 + a a u u)}},$$

hinc vel  $\partial u = 0$  vel  $y = \frac{-n a a u}{\sqrt{(1 + a a u u)}}$ .

1) Casu  $\partial u = 0$  fit  $u = \alpha$ ,  $p = \alpha y + \beta$ , et  $\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{\alpha y + \beta}$ ,  
 hinc  $\alpha x = l(\alpha y + \beta) + C$ .

2) Si  $y = \frac{-n a a u}{\sqrt{(1 + a a u u)}}$ , erit

$$p = u y + n \sqrt{(1 + a a u u)} = \frac{n}{\sqrt{(1 + a a u u)}},$$

hincque

$$\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{p} = \frac{-a a \partial u}{1 + a a u u} \text{ et } x = -a \text{ Ang. tang. } a u + C,$$

vel ob  $u = \frac{\gamma}{a \sqrt{(n n a a - \gamma \gamma)}}$ , aequatio inter  $x$  et  $y$  quaesita erit

$$\frac{b-x}{a} = \text{Ang. tang. } \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{(n n a a - \gamma \gamma)}} = \text{Ang. fin. } \frac{\gamma}{n a},$$

unde fit  $y = n a \text{ fin. } \frac{b-x}{a}$ . Haec autem relatio tantum pro integrali particulari est habenda.

## CAPVT III.

DE

AEQVATIONIBVS DIFFERENTIO-DIFFERENTIALI-  
BVS HOMOGENEIS, ET QVAE AD EAM FOR-  
MAM REDVCI POSSVNT.

## Problema 97.

790.

**A**equationum differentio-differentialium homogenearum na-  
turam explicare, atque ad formam finitam ponendo  $\partial y = p \partial x$   
et  $\partial p = q \partial x$  accommodare.

## Solutio.

Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, aequatio differentio-dif-  
ferentialis vulgari modo expressa dicitur homogenea, si non  
solum ipsis variabilibus  $x$  et  $y$ , sed etiam earum differenti-  
bus  $\partial x$  et  $\partial y$ , itemque ipsi  $\partial \partial y$ , singulis vnam dimensionem  
tribuendo, omnes aequationis termini eundem dimensionum nu-  
merum contineant; veluti in hac aequatione

$$x x \partial \partial y + x \partial x^2 + y \partial y^2 = 0,$$

vbi in singulis terminis ternae insunt dimensiones. Quodsi er-  
go ponamus  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = p$ , ac  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} = q$ , littera  $p$  nullam di-  
mensionem, littera vero  $q$  vnam dimensionem negativam con-  
tinere erit censenda. Hinc aequatio differentio-differentialis  
ad formam hic receptam reducta, vt nonnisi quantitates finitas  
 $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  contineat, erit homogenea, si litteris  $x$  et  $y$  vnam  
dimensionemtribuendo, litterae  $p$  vero nullam, at litterae  $q$   
vnam

vnam dimensionem negatiuam, in singulis aequationis terminis idem oriatur dimensionum numerus. Vicissim ergo quoties haec proprietas in aequatione inter quaternas quantitates  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  proposita deprehenditur, ea aequatio erit homogenea et forma vulgari expressa manifesto homogeneitatem praeseferet.

### Corollarium 1.

791. Si ergo in aequatione tali homogenea inter  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  statuatur  $y = ux$  et  $q = \frac{v}{x}$ , omnes termini eandem potestatem ipsius  $x$  continebunt, qua ergo per diuisionem sublata, aequatio prodibit tres tantum variables  $u$ ,  $v$  et  $p$  involuens.

### Corollarium 2.

792. Criterium igitur aequationis homogeneae inter quatuor quantitates  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$  propositae in hoc consistit, ut posito  $y = ux$  et  $q = \frac{v}{x}$ , quantitas  $x$  prorsus ex calculo exterminetur.

### Corollarium 3.

793. Facta itaque hac substitutione, qua obtinetur aequatio inter ternas quantitates  $u$ ,  $v$  et  $p$ , ex ea pro lubitu vel  $p$  per  $u$  et  $v$ , vel  $v$  per  $u$  et  $p$ , vel  $u$  per  $v$  et  $p$  definiri poterit.

### Scholion.

794. Simili modo ideam homogeneitatis in aequationibus differentio-differentialibus constituimus, quo in aequationibus differentialibus primi gradus sumus vsi. In his quidem, cum differentialia sponte eundem dimensionum numerum constituere debeant, homogeneitas ex solis ipsis variabilibus  $x$  et  $y$  diiudicatur. At in aequationibus differentio-differentialibus praeter ipsas variables  $x$  et  $y$  etiam litterae  $q$  ratio in computo

puto dimensionum haberi debet, ita tamen vt ipsi vna dimensio negatiua sit tribuenda; littera autem  $p$  in hunc comptum plane non ingreditur, quae ergo vtcunque aequationi implicetur, homogeneitatem non turbat. Plurimum autem interest probe nosse indolem differentio-differentialium aequationum homogenearum, cum earum resolutio ad resolutionem aequationum differentialium primi gradus reduci possit, ita vt si haec successerit, etiam ipsarum aequationum differentio-differentialium integratio habeatur, id quod in sequenti problemate luculentius ostendemus.

### Problema 98.

795. Proposita aequatione differentio-differentiali homogenea, eius resolutionem ad integrationem aequationis differentialis primi gradus reducere.

### Solutio.

Reducta aequatione ponendo  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$  ad formam hic receptam, vt habeatur aequatio inter quatuor quantitates finitas  $x, y, p$  et  $q$ , ponatur  $y = u x$  et  $q = \frac{v}{x}$ , ac cum aequatio sit homogenea, hoc modo quantitas  $x$  penitus ex calculo elidetur, ita vt proditura sit aequatio inter ternas quantitates  $u, v$  et  $p$ , ex qua vnam per binas reliquas definire liceat. Nunc igitur cum sit  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , erit  $u \partial x + x \partial u = p \partial x$ , hincque  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{p-u}$ . Deinde ob  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , erit  $\partial p = \frac{v \partial x}{x}$ , ideoque  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial p}{v}$ ; ex quo duplici ipsius  $\frac{\partial x}{x}$  valore colligitur  $\frac{\partial u}{p-u} = \frac{\partial p}{v}$ , seu  $v \partial u = p \partial p - u \partial p$ . Quod si ergo ex illa aequatione quantitas  $v$  definiatur per binas  $p$  et  $u$ , habebitur aequatio differentialis primi gradus inter binas variables  $p$  et  $u$ , cuius integratio si fuerit in potestate, vt  $p$  per  $u$  innotescat, aequatio  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{p-u}$ , in qua variables  $x$  et  $u$  sunt

separatae, integretur, sicque  $x$  per  $u$  definiatur, vnde fit  $y=ux$ ; seu statim in hoc integrali loco  $u$  scribatur  $\frac{y}{x}$ , et habebitur aequatio inter  $x$  et  $y$  quaesita.

### Corollarium 1.

796. Totum ergo negotium reducitur ad integrationem huius aequationis differentialis simplicis  $v\partial u = p\partial p - u\partial p$ , quae si ope regularum supra traditarum expediri queat, simul aequationis differentio - differentialis integratio habetur.

### Corollarium 2.

797. Simul autem patet resolutionem. huiusmodi aequationum duplicem integrationem requirere, vnde duae quantitates arbitrariae constantes ingredientur, quibus integrale completum constituitur.

### Corollarium 3.

798. Etiam si autem integratio  $v\partial u = p\partial p - u\partial p$  non succedat, tamen ingens lucrum est rem eo perduxisse, cum supra methodus generalis sit tradita integralia omnium aequationum differentialium primi gradus proxime assignandi.

### Scholion.

799. Operae igitur pretium erit eos casus perpendere, quibus aequatio  $v\partial u = p\partial p - u\partial p$  integrationem admittit; quamobrem examinemus, qualis functio  $v$  debeat esse ipsarum  $p$  et  $u$ , vt hoc eueniat. Primum autem patet hoc fieri, si  $v$  fuerit functio homogenea vnus dimensionis ipsarum  $p$  et  $u$ , quoniam tum ipsa haec aequatio fit homogenea ac per regulas supra expositas ad integrationem perduci potest. Deinde etiam integratio succedit si fuerit  $v$  functio quaecunque ipsius  $p$ , quoniam tum altera variabilis  $u$  vnam dimensionem non superat,



rat, et aequationis  $\partial u + \frac{u \partial p}{v} = \frac{p \partial p}{v}$  integrale est

$$e^{\int \frac{p \partial p}{v}} u = \int e^{\frac{p \partial p}{v}} \frac{p \partial p}{v}.$$

Tertio integrationem abfoluere licebit, si  $v$  fuerit functio quae-  
cunque quantitatis  $p - u$ . Posito enim  $p - u = s$ , vt fit  $v$   
functio ipsius  $s$ , ob  $p = s + u$ , nostra aequatio erit  $v \partial u = s \partial s$   
 $+ s \partial u$ , ideoque  $\partial u = \frac{s \partial s}{v - s}$  et  $u = \int \frac{s \partial s}{v - s}$ , quae integratio  
adeo ad formulas simplices est referenda. Quarto manente  
 $s = p - u$ , si  $P, Q, R$  denotent functiones quascunque ipsius  
 $s$ , aequatio nostra  $v \partial u = s \partial s + s \partial u$  tractari poterit, si fue-  
rit  $v = s + \frac{P s}{Q u + R u^n}$ , tum enim fit  $P \partial u = Q u \partial s + R u^n \partial s$ :

Quinto etiam patet, si denotantibus  $V$  et  $U$  functiones quas-  
cunque ipsius  $u$ , fuerit  $v = s + V s s + U s^n$ , integrationem  
quoque fore in potestate, fit enim aequatio nostra  $V s \partial u +$   
 $U s^{n-1} \partial u = \partial s$ . Atque in genere si aequatio differentialis  
 $\partial s = Z \partial u$  fuerit integrabilis, existente  $Z$  functione binarum  
variabilium  $s$  et  $u$ , cum nostra aequatio sit  $s \partial s = (v - s) \partial u$ ,  
habebimus  $v = s + Z s$  pro omnibus casibus integrationem  
admittentibus.

### Exemplum I.

800. *Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec  
aequatio  $x x \partial y = x \partial x \partial y + n y \partial x^n$ , eius integrale inuenire.*

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , erit  $q x x = p x + n y$ ,  
vnde facto  $y = u x$ , prodit  $q x = p + n u = v$ , ita vt  $v$  sit  
functio vnius dimensionis ipsarum  $p$  et  $u$ , et aequatio nostra  
 $(p + n u) \partial u = p \partial p - u \partial p$  fiat homogenea. Cum ergo sit  
 $n u \partial u + p \partial u + u \partial p = p \partial p$ , erit integrando

$$C + n u u + 2 p u = p p \text{ et}$$

$$p = u + \sqrt{[C + (n + 1) u u]}.$$

G 2

Ha-

Habebimus ergo  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial u}{\sqrt{(n+1)u^2 + C + (n+1)uu}}$ , quae denno integrata dat

$$l x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(n+1)}} l \frac{u \sqrt{(n+1) + \sqrt{C + (n+1)uu}}}{D}, \text{ seu}$$

$$D x^{\sqrt{(n+1)}} = u \sqrt{(n+1) + \sqrt{C + (n+1)uu}}$$

hincque

$$D^2 x^{\sqrt{(n+1)}} - 2 D x^{\sqrt{(n+1)}} u \sqrt{(n+1)} = C:$$

$$\text{Sit } D = f \sqrt{(n+1)} \text{ et } C = \xi (n+1)$$

vt habeatur

$$ff x^{\sqrt{(n+1)}} - 2 f x^{\sqrt{(n+1)}} u = g, \text{ existente } u = \frac{z}{x}.$$

Casu quo  $n = -1$ , ob  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial u}{\alpha}$ , erit  $\alpha l \frac{x}{\alpha} = u = \frac{z}{x}$ , ideoque  $y = \alpha x l \frac{x}{\alpha}$ . At si  $n+1$  sit numerus negatiuus integratio etiam angulos implicabit.

### Corollarium 1.

801. Si sit  $n = 0$ , huius aequationis  $xx \partial \partial y = x \partial x \partial y$  integrale completum erit  $ff x^2 - 2fy = g$ , qui casus per se est perspicuus. Cum ex  $\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial x}{x}$  fluat

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = f x \text{ et } 2y = f x x - \frac{g}{f}.$$

### Corollarium 2.

802. Si sit  $n = 3$ , aequationis  $xx \partial \partial y = x \partial x \partial y + 3y \partial x^2$  integrale completum est  $ff x^2 - 2fxy = g$ . Idem euenit si loco  $\sqrt{(n+1)}$  scribatur  $-2$ , sit enim

$$\frac{ff}{x^2} - \frac{\partial f y}{\partial x} = g \text{ et } ff - 2fxy = g x^2,$$

utraque redit ad  $y = \frac{\alpha}{x} + \beta x^2$ .

### Exemplum 2.

803. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio  $\frac{x \partial \partial y}{\partial x} = \sqrt{(m x x \partial y^2 + n y y \partial x^2)}$ , eius integrale completum inuenire.

Ob

Ob  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , habebimus  $q x x = \sqrt{(m p p x x + n y y)}$ , quae posito  $y = u x$  abit in  
 $q x = \sqrt{(m p p + n u u)} = v$ , ob  $q = \frac{v}{x}$ .

Quia ergo est  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{p-u} = \frac{\partial p}{v}$ , erit

$$\partial u \sqrt{(m p p + n u u)} = (p - u) \partial p,$$

quae est aequatio homogenea. Ponatur ergo  $p = s u$ ; et prodit

$$\partial u \sqrt{(m s s + n)} = (s - x) (s \partial u + u \partial s);$$

hincque

$$\frac{\partial u}{u} = \frac{(s-1)\partial s}{\sqrt{(m s s + n)} - s s + s},$$

ex qua fit

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{(s-1)u} = \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{(m s s + n)} - s s + s},$$

vnde tam  $u = \frac{z}{x}$  quam  $x$  per eandem variabilem  $s$  determinatur.

### Exemplum 3.

§04. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio  $n x^3 \partial y = (y \partial x - x \partial y)^2$ , eius integrale inuenire.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , erit  $n x^3 q = (y - p x)^2 = n x x v$  ob  $q = \frac{v}{x}$ . Si iam statuatur  $y = u x$ , fiet

$$n v = (u - p)^2 \text{ et } \frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{p-u} = \frac{\partial p}{v} = \frac{n \partial p}{(p-u)^2},$$

vnde habetur  $n \partial p = p \partial u - u \partial u$ : quae facto  $p - u = s$ ; abit in  $n \partial u + n \partial s = s \partial u$  seu  $\partial u = \frac{n \partial s}{s-n}$ , hinc  $u = n l \frac{s-n}{s}$ .

Tum vero ob  $p - u = s$ , erit

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{s} = \frac{n \partial s}{s(s-n)} \text{ et } l x = l \frac{s-n}{\beta s}, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$x = \frac{s-n}{\beta s}, \text{ at } y = n x l \frac{s-n}{s}.$$

Cum ergo sit

$$s = \frac{n}{s-\beta s}, \text{ erit } y = n x l \frac{n \beta x}{s(1-\beta x)}.$$

## Corollarium.

805. Aequatio  $n x^3 q = (y - p x)^2$  facilius resoluitur, ponendo  $y - p x = z$ , vnde fit  $-x \partial p = \partial z$ ; quare ob  $q \partial x = \partial p$ , erit

$$n x^3 \partial p = z z \partial x = -n x x \partial z,$$

ideoque .

$$\frac{x}{z} = \frac{x}{z} - \frac{n}{z} \text{ seu } \frac{x-a}{ax} = \frac{n}{y-px}, \text{ ergo}$$

$$y - p x = \frac{n a x}{x-a} = \frac{2 \partial x - x \partial y}{\partial x}.$$

Quare

$$\frac{2 \partial x - x \partial y}{x x} = \frac{n a \partial x}{x(x-a)} \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{2}{x} = n l \frac{x}{x-a} + C \text{ vt ante.}$$

## Exemplum 4.

806. Sumo  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio  $(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2) \sqrt{(\partial x^2 + \partial y^2)} = n \partial x \partial \partial y \sqrt{(x x + y y)}$ , integrale inuenire.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , aequatio proposita hanc induit formam

$$(x + p p) \sqrt{(x + p p)} = n q \sqrt{(x x + y y)},$$

quae facto  $y = u x$  et  $q = \frac{v}{x}$  transit in hanc

$$(x + p p) \sqrt{(x + p p)} = n v \sqrt{(x + u u)}.$$

Cum igitur sit  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{p-u} = \frac{\partial p}{v}$ , ob

$$v = \frac{(x + p p) \sqrt{(x + p p)}}{n \sqrt{(x + u u)}} \text{ erit}$$

$$(x + p p)^{\frac{3}{2}} \partial u = n (p - u) \partial p \sqrt{(x + u u)}$$

cuius resolutio non sponte patet. Calculum autem ad angulos reuocando, sit  $p = \text{tang. } \Phi$  et  $u = \text{tang. } \omega$ , erit

$$\partial p =$$

$$\partial p = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\text{cof. } \Phi^2}, \quad \partial u = \frac{\partial \omega}{\text{cof. } \omega^2},$$

$$\sqrt{(1+p p)} = \frac{1}{\text{cof. } \Phi}, \quad \sqrt{(1+u u)} = \frac{1}{\text{cof. } \omega}, \quad \text{et}$$

$$p - u = \frac{\text{fin. } (\Phi - \omega)}{\text{cof. } \Phi \text{ cof. } \omega}, \quad \text{hincque}$$

$$\frac{1}{\text{cof. } \Phi^2} \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\text{cof. } \omega^2} = \frac{n \text{ fin. } (\Phi - \omega)}{\text{cof. } \Phi \text{ cof. } \omega} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{cof. } \omega} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi}{\text{cof. } \Phi^2} \quad \text{siue}$$

$$\partial \omega = n \partial \Phi \text{ fin. } (\Phi - \omega) = \partial \Phi - (\partial \Phi - \partial \omega) \quad \text{ergo}$$

$$\partial \Phi = \frac{\partial \Phi - \partial \omega}{1 - n \text{ fin. } (\Phi - \omega)}.$$

Posito ergo  $\Phi - \omega = \psi$ , erit

$$\Phi = \int \frac{\partial \psi}{1 - n \text{ fin. } \psi} \quad \text{et} \quad \omega = \int \frac{\partial \psi}{1 - n \text{ fin. } \psi} - \psi;$$

hinc ob  $p = \text{tang. } \Phi$ , et  $u = \text{tang. } \omega$ , obtinetur

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial n \text{ cof. } \psi \text{ cof. } \omega}{\text{fin. } \psi} = \frac{\partial \omega \text{ cof. } \Phi}{\text{fin. } \psi \text{ cof. } \omega} = \frac{n \partial \psi \text{ cof. } \Phi}{\text{cof. } \omega (1 - n \text{ fin. } \psi)}.$$

Casu  $n = 1$ , fit

$$\partial \Phi = \frac{\partial \psi}{1 - \text{fin. } \psi} = \frac{\partial \psi (1 + \text{fin. } \psi)}{\text{cof. } \psi^2},$$

$$\Phi = \text{tang. } \psi + \frac{1}{\text{cof. } \psi} = \frac{1 + \text{fin. } \psi}{\text{cof. } \psi} + \alpha,$$

$$\omega = \frac{1 + \text{fin. } \psi}{\text{cof. } \psi} + \alpha - \psi, \quad \text{et}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial \Phi \text{ cof. } \Phi}{\text{cof. } \omega} = \frac{\partial \Phi \text{ cof. } \Phi}{\text{cof. } \Phi \text{ cof. } \psi + \text{fin. } \Phi \text{ fin. } \psi}.$$

Cum autem fit  $\Phi - \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \text{fin. } \psi}{1 - \text{fin. } \psi}}$ , erit

$$\text{fin. } \psi = \frac{(\Phi - \alpha)^2 - 1}{(\Phi - \alpha)^2 + 1}, \quad \text{cof. } \psi = \frac{2(\Phi - \alpha)}{(\Phi - \alpha)^2 + 1}. \quad \text{Ergo}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial \Phi \text{ cof. } \Phi [(1 - \alpha)^2 + 1]}{2(\Phi - \alpha) \text{ cof. } \Phi + (\Phi - \alpha)^2 \text{ fin. } \Phi - \text{fin. } \Phi}.$$

### Corollarium I.

807. Si casu  $n = 1$  sumatur constans  $\alpha$  infinita, erit  $\text{fin. } \psi = 1$ , hinc  $\psi = 90^\circ$  et  $\omega = \Phi - 90^\circ$ , tum vero  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial \Phi \text{ cof. } \Phi}{\text{fin. } \Phi}$ , ideoque  $x = a \text{ fin. } \Phi$ , et  $u = -\text{cot. } \Phi$ , ergo  $y = -a \text{ cof. } \Phi$ , et  $xx + yy = aa$ .

Co-

## Corollarium 2.

808. Eodem autem casu  $n = 1$ , quo constans  $\alpha$  non sumitur infinita, fractionis cui  $\frac{\partial x}{x}$  aequatur, numerator commode est differentiale denominatoris; unde fit

$$x = a [(\Phi - \alpha)^2 \sin. \Phi - \sin. \Phi + 2(\Phi - \alpha) \text{cof. } \Phi].$$

Tum vero est

$$\omega = \Phi - \text{Ang. tang. } \frac{(\Phi - \alpha)^2 - 1}{2(\Phi - \alpha)},$$

ideoque

$$u = \frac{y}{x} = \text{tang. } \omega = \frac{\text{tang. } \Phi - \frac{(\Phi - \alpha)^2 + 1}{2(\Phi - \alpha)}}{1 + \frac{(\Phi - \alpha)^2 - 1}{2(\Phi - \alpha)} \text{tang. } \Phi}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{y}{x} = \frac{2(\Phi - \alpha) \sin. \Phi - (\Phi - \alpha)^2 \text{cof. } \Phi + \text{cof. } \Phi}{(\Phi - \alpha)^2 \sin. \Phi - \sin. \Phi + 2(\Phi - \alpha) \text{cof. } \Phi};$$

consequenter

$$y = -a [(\Phi - \alpha)^2 \text{cof. } \Phi - \text{cof. } \Phi - 2(\Phi - \alpha) \sin. \Phi] \text{ et} \\ \sqrt{(x x + y y)} = [(\Phi - \alpha)^2 + 1].$$

## Scholion 1.

809. In genere etiam integrationem absolueri licet.

Cum enim fit

$$\partial \Phi = \frac{\partial \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi} \text{ et } \frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{n \partial \Phi \text{cof. } \Phi}{\text{cof. } \omega}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\Phi + \alpha = \frac{x}{\sqrt{(1 - n n)}} \text{Ang. cof. } \frac{n - \sin. \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi};$$

unde posito

$$(\Phi + \alpha) \sqrt{(1 - n n)} = \theta, \text{ erit cof. } \theta = \frac{n - \sin. \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi};$$

hincque

$$\sin. \psi = \frac{n - \text{cof. } \theta}{1 - n \text{cof. } \theta} \text{ et cof. } \psi = \frac{\sin. \theta \sqrt{(1 - n n)}}{1 - n \text{cof. } \theta}.$$

At ob  $\omega = \Phi - \psi$ , habebitur

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{n \partial \Phi \text{cof. } \Phi (1 - n \text{cof. } \theta)}{\text{cof. } \Phi \sin. \theta \sqrt{(1 - n n)} + \sin. \Phi (n - \text{cof. } \theta)}.$$

Iam

Iam cum sit  $\partial \theta = \partial \Phi \sqrt{(1 - nn)}$ , differentiale huius denominatoris est

$$-\partial \Phi \sin. \Phi \sin. \theta \sqrt{(1 - nn)} + \partial \Phi \cos. \Phi \cos. \theta (1 - nn) \\ + n \partial \Phi \cos. \Phi - \partial \Phi \cos. \Phi \cos. \theta + \partial \Phi \sin. \Phi \sin. \theta \sqrt{(1 - nn)},$$

quod redit ad  $\frac{\partial \Phi \cos. \Phi (1 - n \cos. \theta)}$  ipsum scilicet numeratorem. Ita ut sit

$$x = a [\cos. \Phi \sin. \theta \sqrt{(1 - nn)} + \sin. \Phi (n - \cos. \theta)]$$

seu  $x = a \cos. \omega (1 - n \cos. \theta)$ , ideoque

$$y = u x = a \sin. \omega (1 - n \cos. \theta).$$

Assumpto ergo angulo  $\theta$ , quaeratur angulus  $\psi$ , ut sit

$$\sin. \psi = \frac{n - \cos. \theta}{1 - n \cos. \theta} \text{ et } \cos. \psi = \frac{\sin. \theta}{1 - n \cos. \theta} \sqrt{(1 - nn)},$$

tum vero fiat

$$\omega = \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{(1 - nn)}} - \alpha - \psi,$$

critque integrale completum

$$x = a(1 - n \cos. \theta) \cos. \omega \text{ et } y = a(1 - n \cos. \theta) \sin. \omega.$$

### Scholion 2.

§10. At si numerus  $n$  sit unitate maior, haec integratio fit imaginaria, quod incommodum ut tollatur, notandum est, aequationis  $\partial \Phi = \frac{\partial \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi}$  integrale esse

$$\Phi + \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(nn - 1)}} \int \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(1 + \sin. \psi)} + \sqrt{(n+1)(1 - \sin. \psi)}}{\sqrt{(n-1)(1 + \sin. \psi)} - \sqrt{(n+1)(1 - \sin. \psi)}} d\psi.$$

Quare si ponatur  $(\Phi + \alpha) \sqrt{(nn - 1)} = \theta$ , ut sit

$$\partial \theta = \partial \Phi \sqrt{(nn - 1)} \text{ et } \omega = \Phi - \psi = \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{(nn - 1)}} - \alpha - \psi,$$

crit

$$\frac{e^{\theta} + 1}{e^{\theta} - 1} = \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(1 + \sin. \psi)}}{\sqrt{(n+1)(1 - \sin. \psi)}} = \frac{(n-1)(1 + \sin. \psi)}{\cos. \psi \sqrt{(nn - 1)}};$$

vnde reperitur

Vol. II.

H

fin.

$$\sin. \psi = \frac{e^{\theta} + 2n + e^{-\theta}}{ne^{\theta} + 2 + ne^{-\theta}} \text{ et } \cos. \psi = \frac{(e^{\theta} - e^{-\theta})\sqrt{(nn-1)}}{ne^{\theta} + 2 + ne^{-\theta}},$$

ita vt ex angulo  $\theta$  definiantur anguli  $\psi$ ,  $\Phi$  et  $\omega$ . Cum iam fit

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{n \partial \Phi \cos. \Phi}{\cos. \omega} = \frac{n \partial \Phi \cos. \Phi}{\cos. \Phi \cos. \psi + \sin. \Phi \sin. \psi}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{n \partial \Phi \cos. \Phi (ne^{\theta} + 2 + ne^{-\theta})}{\cos. \Phi (e^{\theta} - e^{-\theta})\sqrt{(nn-1)} + \sin. \Phi (e^{\theta} + 2n + e^{-\theta})},$$

vbi iterum commode euenit, vt numerator sit ipsum differentiale denominatoris, quemadmodum differentiationem instituenti mox patebit. Hinc ergo erit

$$x = a [\cos. \Phi (e^{\theta} - e^{-\theta})\sqrt{(nn-1)} + \sin. \Phi (e^{\theta} + 2n + e^{-\theta})] \text{ seu}$$

$$x = a \cos. \omega (ne^{\theta} + 2 + ne^{-\theta}), \text{ et ob } u = \frac{x}{x} = \text{tang. } \omega \text{ fit}$$

$$y = a \sin. \omega (ne^{\theta} + 2 + ne^{-\theta}).$$

Quocirca ex angulo  $\theta$  primo quaeratur angulus  $\psi$ , vt fit

$$\sin. \psi = \frac{e^{\theta} + 2n + e^{-\theta}}{ne^{\theta} + 2 + ne^{-\theta}} \text{ et } \cos. \psi = \frac{(e^{\theta} - e^{-\theta})\sqrt{(nn-1)}}{ne^{\theta} + 2 + ne^{-\theta}},$$

quo inuento capiatur angulus  $\omega = \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{(nn-1)}} - \alpha - \psi$ , ac formulae illae pro  $x$  et  $y$  inuentae dabunt integrale completum ob duas constantes  $a$  et  $\alpha$  introductas.

### Scholion 3.

§ 11. Cum hic praecipua pars integrationis fortuito successisse videatur, operae praetium erit in eius causam inquirere, num forte ratio integrandi clarius perspici queat. Cum igitur fit

$$\Phi = \psi + \omega \text{ et } \partial \Phi = \frac{\partial \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi},$$

hincque

$$\partial \omega = \frac{n \partial \psi \sin. \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi} = n \partial \Phi \sin. \psi,$$

aequa-



aequatio

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{n \partial \Phi \operatorname{cof.} \Phi}{\operatorname{cof.} \omega}, \text{ ob } \operatorname{cof.} \Phi = \operatorname{cof.} \psi \operatorname{cof.} \omega - \sin. \psi \sin. \omega,$$

in hanc resoluitur

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = n \partial \Phi \operatorname{cof.} \psi - \frac{n \partial \Phi \sin. \psi \sin. \omega}{\operatorname{cof.} \omega},$$

quae ob

$$\partial \Phi = \frac{\partial \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi} \text{ et } n \partial \Phi \sin. \psi = \partial \omega,$$

induit hanc formam integrabilem

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{n \partial \psi \operatorname{cof.} \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi} - \frac{\partial \omega \sin. \omega}{\operatorname{cof.} \omega},$$

ex qua elicitur

$$x = \frac{a \operatorname{cof.} \omega}{1 - n \sin. \psi} \text{ et } y = \frac{a \sin. \omega}{1 - n \sin. \psi}, \text{ ob } y = ux = x \operatorname{tang.} \omega.$$

En ergo in genere aequationis nostrae hanc integrationem.

Anguli  $\omega$  et  $\psi$  hanc inter se teneant relationem, vt fit

$$\partial \omega = \frac{n \partial \psi \sin. \psi}{1 - n \sin. \psi},$$

tum vero erit

$$x = \frac{a \operatorname{cof.} \omega}{1 - n \sin. \psi} \text{ et } y = \frac{a \sin. \omega}{1 - n \sin. \psi}.$$

Quodsi ergo ponamus  $\sqrt{(xx + yy)} = z$ , vt fit

$$x = z \operatorname{cof.} \omega \text{ et } y = z \sin. \omega, \text{ erit}$$

$$z = \frac{a}{1 - n \sin. \psi} \text{ et } \sin. \psi = \frac{z - a}{n z}, \text{ hinc}$$

$$\partial \omega = \frac{(z - a) \partial \psi}{a}. \text{ At fit}$$

$$\partial \psi = \frac{a \partial z}{z \sqrt{(n n z z - (z - a)^2)}} \text{ ergo}$$

$$\partial \omega = \frac{(z - a) \partial z}{z \sqrt{(n n z z - (z - a)^2)}}$$

vnde angulus  $\omega$  per  $z$  definitur. Ad irrationalitatem tollendam, si ponamus

$$\sqrt{(n n z z - (z - a)^2)} = s (n z + z - a), \text{ fit}$$

$$z = \frac{a(s + \cdot)}{(n + 1)s - n + 1} \text{ et } \partial \omega = \frac{n n \partial s (s s - 1)}{(s s + 1) [(n + 1)s s - (n - 1)]}, \text{ seu}$$

H 2

$\partial \omega$

$$\partial \omega = \frac{x \partial x}{x x + 1} - \frac{x \partial x}{(n+1)x x - n + 1}$$

quae integratio est manifesta.

### Problema 99.

812. Si aequatio differentio-differentialis tum demum fiat homogenea, si alteri variabili  $y$  tribuantur  $n$  dimensiones, eius integrationem ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus reducere.

### Solutio.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , ut oriatur aequatio inter quaternas quantitates finitas  $x, y, p$  et  $q$ , quae quomodo ratione homogeneitatis futura sit comparata, videamus. Primo ergo cum pro  $x$  vnam dimensionem numerando, variabilis  $y$  habeat  $n$  dimensiones, quantitati  $p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  tribuendae sunt  $n-1$  dimensiones, quantitati  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  vero  $n-2$  dimensiones. Quocirca ponamus

$$y = x^n u, \quad p = x^{n-1} t, \quad \text{et} \quad q = x^{n-2} v,$$

et ob  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , habebimus

$$x \partial u + n u \partial x = t \partial x \quad \text{et} \quad x \partial t + (n-1) t \partial x = v \partial x,$$

vnde colligimus

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{t - n u} = \frac{\partial t}{v - (n-1)t},$$

ideoque

$$\partial u [v - (n-1)t] = \partial t (t - n u).$$

At factis superioribus substitutionibus in aequatione inter  $x, y, p$  et  $q$ , per hypothesin variabilis  $x$  ex calculo extrahitur, ita ut prodeat aequatio inter tres tantum variables  $u, t$  et  $v$ , ex qua litteram  $v$  per binas  $t$  et  $u$  definire licebit. Quo valore substituto habebitur aequatio differentialis primi gradus inter binas variables  $u$  et  $t$ , ex qua  $t$  per  $u$  determinari queat; ope

ope aequationis  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{t-nu}$  definietur  $x$  per  $u$ , hincque ob  
 $u = \frac{y}{x^n}$  obtinebitur aequatio integralis inter  $x$  et  $y$ , eaque ob  
 duplicem integrationem completa.

### Corollarium 1.

813. Aequationum ergo inter  $x, y, p$  et  $q$  hoc modo tractabilem hoc est criterium, vt posito  $y=x^n u, p=x^{n-1} t$  et  $q=x^{n-1} v$ , exponens  $n$  eiusmodi determinationem patiatur, vt variabilis  $x$  prorsus ex calculo per diuisionem egrediatur.

### Corollarium 2.

814. Si fit  $n=0$ , aequatio ita est comparata, vt tribuendo ipsi  $y$  eiusque differentialibus nullam dimensionem fiat homogenea. Hoc scilicet casu sola variabilis  $x$  cum suis differentialibus dimensiones constituere censetur.

### Corollarium 3.

815. Contra vero si dimensiones ex sola variabili  $y$  aestimentur, ita vt ea cum suis differentialibus  $\partial y$  et  $\partial \partial y$  vbique eundem dimensionum numerum constituat, exponens  $n$  fiet infinitus.

### Scholion.

816. Si sola variabilis  $x$  cum suis differentialibus vbique eundem dimensionum numerum complet, ob  $n=0$  fit  $u=y$ , et in aequatione inter  $x, y, p$  et  $q$  statui conueniet  $p = \frac{t}{x}$  et  $q = \frac{v}{x}$ , quo facto variabilis  $x$  ex calculo deturbabitur, prodibitque aequatio inter  $y, t$  et  $v$ , cuius ope aequatio differentialis  $\partial y (v+t) = t \partial t$  ad duas tantum variabiles reducetur, qua resoluta erit  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial y}{t}$ , vbi cum  $t$  detur per  $y$ , integratio nullam habet difficultatem. Verum altero casu, quo variabilis  $y$

folia cum suis differentialibus pares vbique dimensiones habet, ideoque exponens  $n$  infinitus capi deberet, resolutio alio modo institui debet, quem mox docebimus, nisi forte permutando variables  $x$  et  $y$  casum ad praecedentem reducere lubuerit.

### Exemplum I.

§17. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio  $x x \partial y = a y \partial x^2 + \beta x \partial x \partial y$ , eius integrale inuenire.

Perpendatur hic ista conditio, qua sola variabilis  $x$  cum suo differentiali  $\partial x$  vbique duas constituit dimensiones, eritque  $n = 0$ . Cum ergo posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , habeamus  $q x x = a y + \beta p x$ , statuamus  $p = \frac{t}{x}$  et  $q = \frac{v}{x}$ , fietque  $v = a y + \beta t$ , vnde adipiscimur istam aequationem differentialem

$$a y \partial y + (\beta + 1) t \partial y = t \partial t,$$

ob cuius homogeneitatem faciamus  $t = y z$ , eritque

$$a \partial y + (\beta + 1) z \partial y = y z \partial z + z z \partial y \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{z \partial z}{a - (\beta + 1) z - z z}.$$

Sit  $a + (\beta + 1) z - z z = (f + z)(g - z)$   
vt fit

$$a = f g \text{ et } \beta + 1 = g - f,$$

reperieturque

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{-f \partial z}{f + z} + \frac{g \partial z}{g - z} :$$

vnde colligitur integrando

$$l y = C - \frac{f}{f + z} l(f + z) - \frac{g}{g - z} l(g - z), \text{ seu}$$

$$y (f + z)^{\frac{f}{f + g}} (g - z)^{\frac{g}{f + g}} = a.$$

Tum

Tum vero est

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial z}{(f+z)(g-z)}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{f+g} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{f-z} + \frac{1}{f+g} \cdot \frac{\partial z}{g-z}, \text{ hinc}$$

$$x = b \left( \frac{f+z}{g-z} \right)^{\frac{1}{f+g}}, \text{ seu } \frac{f+z}{g-z} = \left( \frac{x}{b} \right)^{f+g}.$$

Vnde cum sit  $z = \frac{g x^{f+g} - f b^{f+g}}{b^{f+g} + x^{f+g}}$ , erit hoc valore ibi substituto

$$(f+g) b^g x^f y = a (b^{f+g} + x^{f+g}),$$

seu posito  $\frac{a}{f+g} = c$

$$y = c \left( \frac{b^f}{x^f} + \frac{x^g}{b^g} \right)^{\frac{1}{f+g}}$$

est vero  $g-f = \beta + 1$  et  $g+f = \sqrt{((\beta+1)^2 + 4\alpha)}$ .

### Corollarium.

818. Quoniam in aequatione proposita etiam ambae variables  $x$  et  $y$  simul vbique totidem dimensiones habent, eam etiam secundum praecepta praecedentis problematis tractare licet.

### Exemplum 2.

819. Posito  $\partial x$  constante, si aequatio differentio-differentialis duobus tantum terminis constet, ut sit huiusmodi

$$\partial \partial y = c x^\alpha y^\beta \partial x^{\alpha-\gamma} \partial y^\gamma,$$

eius integrale inuestigare.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , habebitur haec forma  $q = c x^\alpha y^\beta p^\gamma$ , vbi exponentem  $n$  ita definire licet, ut posito  $y = x^n u$ ,  $p = x^{n-1} t$  et  $q = x^{n-\alpha} v$ , variabilis  $x$  per divisionem tolli possit, capi enim oportet

$$\alpha + \beta$$

$\alpha + \beta + \gamma(n-1) - n^2 + 2 = 0$ , seu  $n = \frac{-\alpha + \gamma - 1}{\beta - \gamma - 1}$ ;  
 tumque erit  $v = c u^\beta t^\gamma$ . Aequatio ergo differentialis primi  
 gradus resoluenda erit

$$c u^\beta t^\gamma \partial u - (n-1) t \partial u = t \partial t - n u \partial t,$$

ex qua cum variabilis  $t$  per  $u$  fuerit determinata, integrari  
 oportet hanc formulam  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{t-nu}$ , quo facto ob  $u = \frac{y}{x^n}$ , ob-  
 tinebitur aequatio integralis quaesita inter  $x$  et  $y$ .

Casus tantum  $\beta + \gamma = 1$ , quo  $n$  fit infinitus, pecu-  
 liarem postulat tractationem infra exponendam, nisi forte simul  
 fit  $\gamma = \alpha + 2$ , tum enim exponens  $n$  profus arbitrio no-  
 stro relinquitur, at aequatio erit homogenea.

### Exemplum 3.

820. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec  
 aequatio

$$x^4 \partial \partial y = x^3 \partial x \partial y + 2 x y \partial x \partial y - 4 y y \partial x^2,$$

eius integrale inuenire.

Hic evidens est, si ipsi  $y$  eiusque differentialibus  $\partial y$  et  
 $\partial \partial y$  binae dimensiones, ipsi  $x$  vero et  $\partial x$  singulae tribuan-  
 tur, in omnibus terminis obtineri sex dimensiones. Quare cum  
 posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , habeamus hanc aequationem

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 q &= x^3 p + 2 x y p - 4 y y, \text{ faciamus} \\ y &= x^2 u, p = x t \text{ et } q = v, \text{ prodibitque} \\ v &= t + 2 u t - 4 u u. \end{aligned}$$

At ob  $n = 2$ , aequatio differentialis nostra erit

$$\partial u (v - 1) = \partial t (t - 2 u),$$

quae

quae abit in

$$2u \partial u (t - 2u) = \partial t (t - 2u),$$

vnde deducimus vel  $t = 2u$  vel  $t = uu + c$ , quos binos casus seorsim euoluamus.

1) Si  $t = 2u$ , ob  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{1-2u}$  fit  $\partial u = 0$ , ideoque  $u = C$ , ac propterea  $y = Cxx$ , quod est integrale particulare, aequationi propositae vtique satisfaciens.

2) Sit  $t = uu + c$ , erit  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{uu - 2u + c}$ , vbi tres casus sunt considerandi:

Primo si constans  $c = 1$ , erit

$$l \frac{x}{a} = \frac{1}{1-u} = \frac{xx}{xx-1}, \text{ seu } xx = (xx-1) l \frac{x}{a}.$$

Secundo si constans  $c = 1 - ff$ , erit  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{(u-1)^2 - ff}$ , hincque

$$l x = \frac{-1}{af} l \frac{f+u-1}{f-u+1} + C,$$

ergo ob  $u = \frac{2}{xx}$ , erit

$$x = a \left( \frac{(f+1)xx-1}{(f-1)xx+1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2f}}.$$

Tertio si constans  $c = 1 + ff$ , ideoque  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{(u-1)^2 + ff}$ , quae integrata dat

$$l \frac{x}{a} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Ang. tang. } \frac{u-1}{f}, \text{ seu } \frac{u-1}{f} = \frac{2-xx}{fxx} = \text{tang. } f l \frac{x}{a}.$$

Pro ratione ergo constantis arbitrariae  $c$  integratio vel algebraice succedit, vel a logarithmis, vel ab angulis pendet, vnde forma generali exprimi nequit.

### Scholion.

821. Integrale autem particulare primo inuentum  $y = Cxx$  in nulla harum formarum, quibus integrale completum

pletum constituitur, contineri deprehenditur: nihilo vero minus satisfacit aequationi differentio-differentiali propositae. Hoc ergo exemplo magis illustrantur ea, quae supra circa hoc paradoxon sumus commentati, quod interdum aequationi differentiali satisfaciat aequatio finita, quae in integrali completo minime contineatur. Videmus igitur hoc idem paradoxon etiam in aequationibus differentio-differentialibus locum habere. Vtrum autem illa aequatio  $y = C x x$  inter integralia sit admittenda, alia est quaestio, quae nondum penitus videtur confecta; hic quidem ipsa aequatio proposita quasi factores habens est censenda, ex quorum altero illa aequatio  $y = C x x$  nascatur, verum multum abest, ut in hac explicatione acquiescere queamus. Quin potius ipsa illa quaestio, siue geometrica fuerit siue alius disciplinae, cuius solutio ad huiusmodi aequationem perduxerit, accurate perpendi debere videtur; vbi plerumque haud difficulter iudicari potest, vtrum quicquid aequationi differentiali satisfaciat, id etiam ipsi quaestioni conueniat nec ne? Veluti si descensus grauis ex altitudine  $= a$  labentis definiti debeat, et altitudo qua iam a terra distat sit  $x$ , erit ibi celeritas vt  $\sqrt{a-x}$ , et elementum temporis  $\partial t = \frac{-\partial x}{\sqrt{a-x}}$ . Hic quidem euidentis est isti aequationi differentiali satisfieri ponendo  $x = a$ , ita vt tempus  $t$  maneat indefinitum, quod tamen quaestioni neququam conuenit, quae non nisi vero integrali  $t = 2\sqrt{a-x}$  resoluitur.

### Problema 100.

822. Si in aequatione differentio-differentiali variabilis  $y$  cum suis differentialibus  $\partial y$  et  $\partial \partial y$  vbique eundem dimensionum numerum adimpleat, eius integrationem ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus reducere.

Solutio.



## Solutio.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , aequatio ita erit comparata, vt in ea ternae variables  $y, p, q$ , vbique eundem dimensionum numerum obtineant, altera variabili  $x$  in computum dimensionum prorsus non ingrediente. Quare si statuatur  $p = uy$  et  $q = vy$ , in omnibus terminis inerit eadem ipsius  $y$  potestas, qua per diuisionem sublata habebitur aequatio inter ternas tantum variables  $x, u$  et  $v$ , ex qua vniam per binas reliquas definire licebit, ita vt  $v$  aequetur functioni cuiusdam ipsarum  $x$  et  $u$ . Iam ob  $p = uy$  erit  $\partial y = uy \partial x$ , et ob  $\partial p = q \partial x$  fiet  $u \partial y + y \partial u = vy \partial x$ , vnde sequitur

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = u \partial x \text{ et } \frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{v \partial x - \partial u}{u},$$

ideoque  $\partial u + uu \partial x = v \partial x$ , quae aequatio differentialis duas tantum variables  $x$  et  $u$  complectitur. Quam ergo si integrare liceat, vt relatio inter  $x$  et  $u$  inde innotescat, superest, vt formulae  $u \partial x$  integrale inuestigetur, quo inuento erit  $ly = u \partial x$ , sicque aequatio oriatur integralis inter  $x$  et  $y$ , quae ob duplicem integrationem peractam duas constantes arbitrarias inuoluet, ideoque integrale completum exhibebit.

## Corollarium I.

823. Huiusmodi ergo aequationum integratio reducitur ad huiusmodi aequationem differentialem  $\partial u + uu \partial x = v \partial x$ , cuius resolutio si succedat, simul illarum integratio habetur, cum formulae  $u \partial x$  integratio difficultate careat.

## Corollarium II.

824. Cum sit  $\frac{\partial y}{y} = u \partial x$ , erit  $y = e^{\int u \partial x}$ , qua substitutione aequatio differentio-differentialis proposita statim reducitur ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus, erit enim,

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = p = e^{f u \partial x} u, \text{ et } \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = q = \frac{e^{f u \partial x} (\partial u + u u \partial x)}{\partial x},$$

ac tum formula exponentialis sponte ex aequatione egreditur.

### Corollarium 3.

§25. Vicissim etiam proposita aequatione differentiali primi gradus  $\partial u + u u \partial x = v \partial x$ , in qua  $v$  sit functio quaecunque ipsarum  $x$  et  $u$ , ea posito  $u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , in eiusmodi aequationem differentio-differentialem transformatur, in qua variabilis  $y$  cum suis differentialibus  $\partial y$  et  $\partial \partial y$  vbique eundem dimensionum numerum constituat.

### Scholion 1.

§26. Haec reductio aequationum differentialium primi gradus ad gradum secundum legibus analyseos aduersari videtur, interim tamen subinde vsu non caret; quodsi enim alia methodo huiusmodi aequationes differentio-differentiales tractare liceat, dum earum integralia vel per series exhibentur vel finite, simul integralia aequationum differentialium primi gradus innotescunt, quorum ratio plerumque aliunde vix perspicitur. In sequentibus autem videbimus, eiusmodi aequationes differentio-differentiales in quibus variabilis altera  $y$  vnam dimensionem non superat, per series commode integrari posse, atque adeo interdum has series abrumpi, ita vt integrale finita expressione exhibeatur. Caeterum proposita huiusmodi aequatione differentiali primi gradus  $\partial u + u u \partial x = v \partial x$ , substitutio  $u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  eo magis est notatu digna, quod sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante fiat

$$\partial u = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial y}{y \partial x}, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$\partial u + u u \partial x = \frac{\partial \partial y}{y \partial x},$$

ita vt duo termini hoc modo in vnum coalescant.

Scho-

## Scholion 2.

827. Casus hic imprimis notasse iuabit, quibus aequatio  $\partial u + uu \partial x = v \partial x$  integrationem admittit. Hunc in finem sit  $\partial u = V \partial x$  forma generalis aequationum resolubilium, et  $V$  certa functio ipsarum  $x$  et  $u$ , ac manifestum est si fuerit  $v = uu + V$  integrationem succedere. Primum ergo hoc eueniet si sit  $V = \frac{x}{u}$ , denotante  $X$  functionem ipsius  $x$  et  $U$  ipsius  $u$ . Secundo si  $V$  sit functio homogenea nullius dimensionis ipsarum  $x$  et  $u$ . Tertio si denotantibus  $X$  et  $\Xi$  functiones quascunque ipsius  $x$ , fuerit  $V = Xu + \Xi u^n$ . Quarto si denotantibus  $P$  et  $Q$  functiones quascunque ipsius  $u$ , fuerit  $V = \frac{x}{Px + Qx^n}$ . Similique modo ex aliis formis integrabilibus alii casus concludentur.

## Exemplum 1.

828. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio

$$\alpha y \partial \partial y + \beta \partial y^2 = \frac{\gamma \partial x \partial y}{\sqrt{(a\alpha + xx)}},$$

eius integrale inuenire.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , prodit

$$\alpha y q + \beta p p = \frac{\gamma p}{\sqrt{(a\alpha + xx)}},$$

quae facto  $p = uy$  et  $q = vy$ , abit in hanc

$$\alpha v + \beta uu = \frac{u}{\sqrt{(a\alpha + xx)}}, \text{ seu } v = \frac{u}{\alpha \sqrt{(a\alpha + xx)}} - \frac{\beta uu}{\alpha},$$

vnde hanc aequationem resolui oportet

$$\partial u + uu \partial x = \frac{u \partial x}{\alpha \sqrt{(a\alpha + xx)}} - \frac{\beta uu \partial x}{\alpha}.$$

Statuatur  $u = \frac{s}{\alpha}$ , fietque

$$+ \partial s + \frac{s \partial x}{\alpha \sqrt{(a\alpha + xx)}} = (1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) \partial x,$$

quae per  $[x + \sqrt{(aa + xx)}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$  multiplicata et integrata dat  
 $s[x + \sqrt{(aa + xx)}]^{\frac{1}{2}} = (1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) \int \partial x [x + \sqrt{(aa + xx)}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Fiat  $x + \sqrt{(aa + xx)} = t^{\alpha}$ , erit

$$aa = t^{\alpha} - 2t^{\alpha}x, \text{ hinc}$$

$$x = \frac{t^{\alpha} - aa}{2t^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2}t^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}aat^{-\alpha} \text{ et}$$

$$\partial x = \frac{\alpha}{2} \partial t (t^{\alpha-1} + aa t^{-\alpha-1});$$

ita vt fit

$$st = (1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) \int \frac{\alpha}{2} \partial t (t^{\alpha} + aa t^{-\alpha}) \text{ feu}$$

$$st = C + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \left( \frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} + \frac{aa t^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \right).$$

Porro est  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = u \partial x = \frac{\partial x}{t}$ ; at ex aequatione differentiali est

$$(1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) \frac{\partial x}{t} = \frac{\partial s}{t} + \frac{\partial x}{\alpha \sqrt{(aa + xx)}},$$

hincque

$$(1 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) ly = ls + \frac{1}{\alpha} l[x + \sqrt{(aa + xx)}] = l st + D$$

ergo  $y = B(st)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}$ . Quare sumto  $C = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha} A$ , habebitur

$$y = B \left( A + \frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} + \frac{aa t^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}$$

existente

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(t^{\alpha} - aa t^{-\alpha}), \text{ vel } t = [x + \sqrt{(aa + xx)}]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

ita vt aequatio inter  $x$  et  $y$  fit

$$Cy^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha}} = A + \frac{1}{\alpha+1} [x + \sqrt{(aa + xx)}]^{\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}} \\ + \frac{aa}{1-\alpha} [x + \sqrt{(aa + xx)}]^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}.$$

Scho-

## Scholion.

§ 29. Hoc idem exemplum ita est comparatum, ut alia ratione facillime resolui possit; aequatio enim

$$\alpha y q + \beta p p = \frac{\gamma p}{\sqrt{(a a + x x)}},$$

si per  $\frac{\partial x}{\gamma p}$  multiplicetur, ob  $q \partial x = \partial p$  et  $p \partial x = \partial y$ , abit in

$$\frac{\alpha \partial p}{p} + \frac{\beta \partial y}{y} = \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt{(a a + x x)}},$$

cuius singuli termini sunt integrabiles. Prodit ergo

$$p^\alpha y^\beta = C [x + \sqrt{(a a + x x)}], \text{ hincque}$$

$$y^\beta \partial y = C \partial x [x + \sqrt{(a a + x x)}]^\alpha,$$

quae aequatio de novo integrata praebet integrale ante inuentum. Forma ergo generalis aequationum hoc modo resolubilium est  $P \partial p + Y \partial y + X \partial x = 0$ , existente  $P$  functione ipsius  $p$ ,  $Y$  ipsius  $y$  et  $X$  ipsius  $x$ , quae nostro more repraesentatur per  $P q + Y p + X = 0$ . Hinc ergo perspicitur quomodo etiam aequationes differentio-differentiales ope idonei multiplicatoris ad integrationem perducere queant; quae methodus, cum in aequationibus differentialibus primi gradus insignem usum praestiterit, eo magis excolenda videtur, quod etiam ad aequationes differentiales altiorum graduum pateat, quod argumentum infra fusius pertractare conabimur.

## Exemplum 2.

§ 30. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio

$$x y \partial \partial y = y \partial x \partial y + x \partial y^2 + \frac{b x \partial y^2}{\sqrt{(a a - x x)}},$$

eius integrale inuenire.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , erit

$$x y q = y p + x p p + \frac{b x p p}{\sqrt{(a a - x x)}},$$

quae

quae factò  $p = uy$  et  $q = vy$ , abit in

$$xv = u + uux + \frac{b u u x}{\sqrt{(aa - xx)}};$$

vnde oritur haec aequatio differentialis

$$\partial u + u u \partial x = \frac{u \partial x}{x} + u u \partial x + \frac{b u u \partial x}{\sqrt{(aa - xx)}}, \text{ feu}$$

$$\frac{x \partial u - u \partial x}{u u} = \frac{b x \partial x}{\sqrt{(aa - xx)}},$$

cuius integrale

$$C - \frac{x}{u} = -b \sqrt{(aa - xx)}, \text{ feu}$$

$$u = \frac{x}{C + b \sqrt{(aa - xx)}}, \text{ ergo } \frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{x \partial x}{C + b \sqrt{(aa - xx)}}.$$

Statuatur  $\sqrt{(aa - xx)} = t$ , vt fit  $x \partial x = -t \partial t$ , erit

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{-t \partial t}{C + b t} = -\frac{\partial t}{b} + \frac{C \partial t}{b(C + b t)}, \text{ et}$$

$$l y = -\frac{t}{b} + \frac{C}{b} l(C + b t) + l c.$$

Sit  $C = n b b$ , erit

$$l \frac{y}{c} = -\frac{\sqrt{(aa - xx)}}{b} + n l \sqrt{nb + \sqrt{(aa - xx)}}$$

vbi  $c$  et  $n$  sunt constantes arbitrarie.

## CAPVT IV.

DE

AEQVATIONIBVS DIFFERENTIO-DIFFERENTIALI-  
BVS IN QVIBVS ALTERA VARIABILIS VNICAM  
HABET DIMENSIONEM.

## Problema 101.

831.

**S**umto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur aequatio huius formae  $\partial y + P \partial x \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = 0$ , vbi  $P$  et  $Q$  sint functiones quaecunque ipsius  $x$ , eam ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus reuocare.

## Solutio.

Ponendo  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , aequatio proposita induit hanc formam  $q + P p + Q y = 0$ , in qua si methodo ante exposita statuamus  $p = u y$  et  $q = v y$ , obtinebimus hanc inter  $x$ ,  $u$  et  $v$  aequationem  $v + P u + Q = 0$ , hincque  $v = -P u - Q$ . Tum vero fit

$$\partial y = u y \partial x \text{ et } u \partial y + y \partial u = v y \partial x,$$

ita vt fit

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = u \partial x = \frac{v \partial x - \partial u}{u},$$

ideoque

$$\partial u + u u \partial x + P u \partial x + Q \partial x = 0$$

substituto pro  $v$  valore.

Vol. II.

K

Qua

Qua aequatione resoluta erit  $ly = fu \partial x$ . Vel sine his substitutionibus statim in ipsa aequatione proposita ponamus  $y = e^{f u \partial x}$ , vnde fit

$$\partial y = e^{f u \partial x} u \partial x, \text{ et } \partial \partial y = e^{f u \partial x} (\partial u \partial x + u u \partial x^2),$$

et cum facta substitutione quantitas exponentialis  $e^{f u \partial x}$  ex calculo tollatur, obtinebitur praecedens aequatio differentialis primi gradus

$$\partial u + u u \partial x + P u \partial x + Q \partial x = 0,$$

a cuius resolutione integratio aequationis differentio-differentialis propositae pendet.

### Corollarium 1.

832. Haec aequatio differentialis primi gradus pluribus modis in alias formas sibi fere similes transmutari potest. Veluti si ponamus  $u = Mz$ , prodit

$$M \partial z + z (\partial M + P M \partial x) + M M z z \partial x + Q \partial x = 0;$$

vbi pro  $M$  eiusmodi functionem ipsius  $x$  accipere licet, vt terminus ipsa litera  $z$  affectus euanescat, quod fit si

$$\partial M + M P \partial x = 0 \text{ seu } M = C e^{-\int P \partial x}.$$

### Corollarium 2.

833. Similis forma prodit ponendo  $u = \frac{K}{z}$ , fit enim

$$-\frac{K \partial z}{z^2} + \frac{\partial K}{z} + \frac{K K \partial x}{z^2} + \frac{K P \partial x}{z} + Q \partial x = 0 \text{ seu}$$

$$K \partial z - z (\partial K + K P \partial x) - Q z z \partial x - K K \partial x = 0,$$

vbi secundus terminus, sumendo  $K = C e^{-\int P \partial x}$ , pariter euanescit.

### Corollarium 3.

834. Similis transformatio generalius instituitur, ponendo  $u = K + Mz$ , prodit enim

$$\partial K +$$



$$\partial K + M \partial z + z \partial M + K K \partial x + 2 K M z \partial x + M M z z \partial x^2 \\ + K P \partial x + M P z \partial x + Q \partial x = 0,$$

quae ordinata praebet

$$M \partial z + z (\partial M + 2 K M \partial x + M P \partial x) + M M z z \partial x^2 \\ + \partial K + K K \partial x + K P \partial x + Q \partial x = 0;$$

vnde secundus terminus tollitur sumendo

$$M = C e^{-\int \partial x (2K+P)} \text{ seu } K = \frac{-\partial M - M P \partial x}{2M \partial x}.$$

### Corollarium 4.

835. Adhuc generalius similis forma oritur, si ponatur  $u = \frac{K+Mz}{L+Nz}$ , vnde prodit

$$\partial z (L M - K N) + L \partial K - K \partial L + z (L \partial M - M \partial L + N \partial K - K \partial N) \\ + z z (N \partial M - M \partial N) + (K + M z)^2 \partial x + P (K + M z) (L + N z) \partial x \\ + Q (L + N z)^2 \partial x = 0,$$

quae reducitur ad hanc formam

$$0 = \partial z (L M - K N) \\ + z \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L \partial M - M \partial L + N \partial K - K \partial N \\ + 2 K M \partial x + P (K N + L M) \partial x + 2 L N Q \partial x \end{array} \right\} \\ + z z (N \partial M - M \partial N + M M \partial x + M N P \partial x + N N Q \partial x) \\ + L \partial K - K \partial L + K K \partial x + K L P \partial x + L L Q \partial x:$$

vbi pro K, L, M et N functiones eiusmodi ipsius x accipere licet, vt forma prodeat tractatu facillima.

### Scholion.

836. Quoniam huiusmodi aequationes differentio-differentiales, in quibus variabilis y vnicam habet dimensionem frequentissime occurrere solent, merito geometrae tantopere in resoluenda aequatione

$$\partial u + u u \partial x + P u \partial x + Q \partial x = 0$$

K 2

flu-

studium et operam collocarunt, quae etiam forma generaliori ita repraesentari potest:

$$\partial z + Pz \partial x + Rzz \partial x + Q \partial x = 0,$$

cuius quidem casum eximium  $\partial z + zz \partial x = ax^n \partial x$  olim Comes *Riccati* in haud spernendum Analyseos incrementum proposuerat. Inter transformationes autem huius casus praecipue notari meretur positio  $x = t^{\frac{n}{n+2}}$ , quae dat

$$\partial z + \frac{n}{n+2} z z t^{\frac{-n}{n+2}} \partial t = \frac{na}{n+2} t^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \partial t;$$

vnde ponendo  $z = C t^{\frac{n}{n+2}}$ , prodit

$$\begin{aligned} (n+2) C t^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \partial v + C n t^{\frac{-n}{n+2}} v \partial t \\ + 2 C C t^{\frac{n}{n+2}} v v \partial t = 2 a t^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \partial t, \text{ seu} \\ (n+2) C \partial v + \frac{n C v \partial t}{t} + 2 C C v v \partial t = 2 a \partial t: \end{aligned}$$

ita vt hic nulla potestas indefinita ipsius  $t$  occurrat. Si hic porro ponatur  $v = \frac{\alpha}{t} + s$ , fiet

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{(n+2)C\alpha \partial t}{t^2} + (n+2) C \partial s + \frac{nCs \partial t}{t} + 2 C C s s \partial t = 2 a \partial t, \\ + \frac{nC\alpha \partial t}{t^2} \qquad \qquad \qquad + \frac{4CC\alpha s \partial t}{t} \\ + \frac{2CC\alpha s \partial t}{t^2} \end{aligned}$$

vbi si capiatur  $\alpha = \frac{na}{4C}$ , orietur

$$(n+2) C \partial s + 2 C C s s \partial t = 2 a \partial s - \frac{n(n+4)\partial t}{8t^2}$$

quae forma simplicissima videtur.

### Theorema.

837. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si aequationi differentio-differentiali

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial x \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = 0$$

fatis-

fatisfaciant Integralia particularia  $y = M$ . et  $y = N$ , ita vt ratio  $M : N$  non fit constans, erit eius integrale completum  $y = \alpha M + \beta N$ .

### Demonstratio.

Cum valores  $y = M$  et  $y = N$  satisfaciant aequationi propositae, erit

$$\partial \partial M + P \partial x \partial M + Q M \partial x^2 = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$\partial \partial N + P \partial x \partial N + Q N \partial x^2 = 0,$$

vnde patet, ponendo  $y = \alpha M + \beta N$ , aequationi quoque satisfieri, cum fiat

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &+ \alpha (\partial \partial M + P \partial x \partial M + Q M \partial x^2) \\ &+ \beta (\partial \partial N + P \partial x \partial N + Q N \partial x^2) \end{aligned} \right\} = 0.$$

Quoniam vero hoc integrale  $y = \alpha M + \beta N$  duas constantes  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  complectitur, quas pro lubitu definire licet, id completum fit necesse est, nisi forte  $N$  fit multiplum ipsius  $M$ .

### Corollarium 1.

838. Ex datis ergo duobus integralibus particularibus huiusmodi aequationis, eius integrale completum formari potest, siquidem illa duo integralia sint inter se diuersa.

### Corollarium 2.

839. Cum posito  $y = e^{\int u \partial x}$  seu  $u = \frac{\partial y}{y \partial x}$ , prodeat

$$\partial u + u u \partial x + P u \partial x + Q \partial x = 0.$$

Si huic aequationi satisfaciant valores  $u = \frac{\partial M}{M \partial x}$  et  $u = \frac{\partial N}{N \partial x}$ , eidem quoque satisfaciet valor  $u = \frac{\alpha \partial M + \beta \partial N}{(\alpha N + \beta M) \partial x}$ .

### Corollarium 3.

840. Si ergo aequationis  $\partial u + u u \partial x + P u \partial x + Q \partial x = 0$  habeantur duo integralia particularia  $u = R$  et  $u = S$ , ob

K 3

M =

studium et operam collocarunt, quae etiam forma generaliori ita repraesentari potest.

$$\partial z + P z \partial x + R z z \partial x + Q \partial x = 0,$$

cuius quidem casum eximium  $\partial z + z z \partial x = a x^n \partial x$  olim Comes *Riccati* in haud spernendum Analyseos incrementum proposuerat. Inter transformationes autem huius casus praecipue notari meretur positio  $x = t^{\frac{n}{n+1}}$ , quae dat

$$\partial z + \frac{n}{n+1} z z t^{\frac{-n}{n+1}} \partial t = \frac{na}{n+1} t^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \partial t;$$

vnde ponendo  $z = C t^{\frac{n}{n+1}}$ , prodit

$$(n+2) C t^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \partial v + C n t^{\frac{-2}{n+1}} v \partial t$$

$$+ 2 C C t^{\frac{n}{n+1}} v v \partial t = 2 a t^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \partial t, \text{ seu}$$

$$(n+2) C \partial v + \frac{n C v \partial t}{t} + 2 C C v v \partial t = 2 a \partial t:$$

ita ut hic nulla potestas indefinita ipsius  $t$  occurrat. Si hic porro ponatur  $v = \frac{a}{t} + s$ , fiet

$$-\frac{(n+2) C a \partial t}{t t} + (n+2) C \partial s + \frac{n C s \partial t}{t} + 2 C C s s \partial t = 2 a \partial t,$$

$$+ \frac{n C a \partial t}{t t} \qquad \qquad \qquad + \frac{4 C C s s \partial t}{t}$$

$$+ \frac{2 C C a s \partial t}{t t}$$

vbi si capiatur  $a = \frac{-n}{4C}$ , orietur

$$(n+2) C \partial s + 2 C C s s \partial t = 2 a \partial t - \frac{n(n+4) \partial t}{8 t t}$$

quae forma simplicissima videtur.

### Theorema.

837. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si aequationi differentio-differentiali

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial x \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = 0$$

fatis-

satisfaciant integralia particularia  $y = M$ , et  $y = N$ , ita vt ratio  $M:N$  non sit constans, erit eius integrale completum  $y = \alpha M + \beta N$ .

### Demonstratio.

Cum valores  $y = M$  et  $y = N$  satisfaciant aequationi propositae, erit

$$\partial \partial M + P \partial x \partial M + Q M \partial x^2 = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$\partial \partial N + P \partial x \partial N + Q N \partial x^2 = 0,$$

vnde patet, ponendo  $y = \alpha M + \beta N$ , aequationi quoque satisfieri, cum fiat

$$\begin{aligned} & + \alpha (\partial \partial M + P \partial x \partial M + Q M \partial x^2) \\ & + \beta (\partial \partial N + P \partial x \partial N + Q N \partial x^2) \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Quoniam vero hoc integrale  $y = \alpha M + \beta N$  duas constantes  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  complectitur, quas pro lubitu definire licet, id completum sit necesse est, nisi forte  $N$  sit multiplum ipsius  $M$ .

### Corollarium 1.

838. Ex datis ergo duobus integralibus particularibus huiusmodi aequationis, eius integrale completum formari potest, siquidem illa duo integralia sint inter se diuerfa.

### Corollarium 2.

839. Cum posito  $y = e^{\int u \partial x}$  seu  $u = \frac{\partial y}{y \partial x}$ , prodeat

$$\partial u + u u \partial x + P u \partial x + Q \partial x = 0.$$

Si huic aequationi satisfaciant valores  $u = \frac{\partial M}{M \partial x}$  et  $u = \frac{\partial N}{N \partial x}$ , eidem quoque satisfaciet valor  $u = \frac{\alpha \partial M + \beta \partial N}{(\alpha N + \beta M) \partial x}$ .

### Corollarium 3.

840. Si ergo aequationis  $\partial u + u u \partial x + P u \partial x + Q \partial x = 0$  habeantur duo integralia particularia  $u = R$  et  $u = S$ , ob

K 3

M =

$$M = e^{fR \partial x} \text{ et } N = e^{fS \partial x},$$

integrale completum erit

$$u = \frac{\alpha e^{fR \partial x} R + \beta e^{fS \partial x} S}{\alpha e^{fR \partial x} + \beta e^{fS \partial x}} \text{ siue}$$

$$u = R + \frac{\beta e^{fS \partial x} (S - R)}{\alpha e^{fR \partial x} + \beta e^{fS \partial x}}.$$

### Scholion.

841. Maximi momenti est haec observatio, quod in huiusmodi aequationibus ex cognitis binis integralibus particularibus integrale completum assignari possit. Plerumque autem cognito vno integrali particulari, in eo signum radicale inesse solet, ob cuius ambiguitatem duo simul integralia particularia innotescunt. Ita si aequationi

$$\partial u + uu \partial x + P u \partial x + Q \partial x = 0$$

satisfaciat valor  $u = T + \sqrt{V}$ , eidem satisfaciet  $u = T - \sqrt{V}$ , unde integrale completum erit

$$u = T + \sqrt{V} - \frac{2\beta \sqrt{V}}{\alpha e^{2f \partial x} \sqrt{V} + \beta} \text{ seu}$$

$$u = T + \frac{\alpha e^{2f \partial x} \sqrt{V} \sqrt{V} - \beta \sqrt{V}}{\alpha e^{2f \partial x} \sqrt{V} + \beta}.$$

Ac si forte sit  $\sqrt{V}$  imaginarium, puta  $\sqrt{V} = X \sqrt{-1}$ , ob  $e^{\pm f \partial x} \sqrt{V} = \cos fX \partial x \pm \sqrt{-1} \sin fX \partial x$ , erit

$$u = T + \frac{(\alpha - \beta) \cos fX \partial x + (\alpha + \beta) \sin fX \partial x \sqrt{-1}}{(\alpha + \beta) \cos fX \partial x + (\alpha - \beta) \sin fX \partial x \sqrt{-1}} X \sqrt{-1},$$

seu posito

$$(\alpha - \beta) \sqrt{-1} = \gamma \text{ et } \alpha + \beta = \delta,$$

$$u = T + \frac{\gamma \cos fX \partial x - \delta \sin fX \partial x}{\delta \cos fX \partial x + \gamma \sin fX \partial x} \cdot X, \text{ vel etiam}$$

$$u = T + X \text{ tang. } (fX \partial x + \zeta).$$

Pro-

## Problema 102.

842. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, inuenire integrale completum huius aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + A \partial y \partial x + B y \partial x^2 = 0.$$

## Solutio.

Posito  $y = e^{f u \partial x}$  prodit, haec aequatio

$$\partial u + u u \partial x + A u \partial x + B \partial x = 0, \text{ siue}$$

$$\partial x = \frac{-\partial u}{u u + A u + B},$$

cui satisfit tribuendo ipsi  $u$  eiusmodi valorem constantem vt euadat  $u u + A u + B = 0$ , qui sunt

$$u = -\frac{1}{2} A \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{4} A A - B\right)}.$$

Hinc ergo cum habeantur bina integralia particularia  $y = e^{f u \partial x}$ , posito  $\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{4} A A - B\right)} = n$ , erit integrale completum

$$y = e^{-\frac{1}{2} A x} (\alpha e^{n x} + \beta e^{-n x}),$$

ac si fit  $n$  numerus imaginarius, puta  $n = m \sqrt{-1}$ , erit

$$y = e^{-\frac{1}{2} A x} (\alpha \cos. m x + \beta \sin. m x) = C e^{-\frac{1}{2} A x} \sin. (m x + \gamma).$$

Sin autem fit  $n = 0$ , prodibit

$$y = e^{-\frac{1}{2} A x} (\alpha + \beta x).$$

## Corollarium I.

843. Ad integrale ergo aequationis propositae inueniendum, resolui oportet aequationem algebraicam  $u u + A u + B = 0$ , quae oritur ex proposita

$$\partial \partial y + A \partial y \partial x + B y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

si loco  $y$ ,  $\partial y$ ,  $\partial \partial y$ , scribatur  $u^0$ ,  $u^1$ ,  $u^2$ , et elementum  $\partial x$  reiciatur, tum enim binae radices illius aequationis dabunt integrale completum.

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

844. Scilicet si aequationis  $uu + Au + B = 0$  factores sint  $(u + f)(u + g)$ , ob valores  $u = -f$  et  $u = -g$ , integrale completum erit  $y = \alpha e^{-fx} + \beta e^{-gx}$ . At si sit  $g = f$ , erit  $y = e^{-fx} (\alpha + \beta x)$ .

## Corollarium 3.

845. Si aequatio  $uu + Au + B = 0$  habeat factores imaginarios, quo casu huiusmodi formam habebit

$uu + 2fu \cos \zeta + ff = 0$ , erit  $u' = -f \cos \zeta \pm f \sqrt{-1} \sin \zeta$ , hincque integrale completum erit:

$$y = e^{-fx \cos \zeta} (\alpha \cos fx \sin \zeta + \beta \sin fx \sin \zeta), \text{ siue}$$

$$y = C e^{-fx \cos \zeta} \sin (fx \sin \zeta + \gamma).$$

## Scholion.

846. Idem integrale completum reperitur methodo consueta ex aequatione  $\partial x = \frac{-\partial u}{uu + Au + B}$ : posito enim

$$uu + Au + B = (u + f)(u + g), \text{ erit}$$

$$(g - f) \partial x = \frac{\partial u}{u + g} - \frac{\partial u}{u + f}, \text{ et } C e^{(g-f)x} = \frac{u + g}{u + f},$$

unde fit

$$u = \frac{g - C f e^{(g-f)x}}{C e^{(g-f)x} - 1}, \text{ seu}$$

$$u = \frac{-\alpha f e^{gx} + \beta g e^{fx}}{\alpha e^{gx} - \beta e^{fx}}.$$

Tum vero fit

$$\int u \partial x = -\int \frac{\alpha f e^{(g-f)x} - \beta g}{\alpha e^{(g-f)x} - \beta} \partial x = -\int \frac{u \partial u}{(u + f)(u + g)},$$

ideoque

$$\int u \partial x = \frac{f}{g-f} l(u+f) - \frac{g}{g-f} l(u+g).$$

Hinc



Hinc

$$y = e^{f u \partial x} = C (u + f)^{\frac{f}{\delta - f}} (u + g)^{\frac{-g}{\delta - f}}.$$

At est

$$u + f = \frac{\beta(g-f)e^{fx}}{\alpha e^{\delta x} - \beta e^{fx}} \text{ et } u + g = \frac{\alpha(g-f)e^{gx}}{\alpha e^{\delta x} - \beta e^{fx}},$$

vnde colligitur mutando constantem C

$$y = \frac{C e^{\frac{ffx}{\delta - f}} e^{\frac{-ggx}{\delta - f}}}{(\alpha e^{\delta x} - \beta e^{fx})^{\frac{f-g}{\delta - f}}} = C e^{-(f+g)x} (\alpha e^{\delta x} - \beta e^{fx}),$$

feu  $y = \alpha e^{-fx} + \beta e^{-gx}$ , vt ante. Hinc ergo patet, quantum subsidium afferat formatio integralis completi ex binis particularibus.

### Problema 103.

847. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio differentio - differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{A \partial y \partial x}{x} + \frac{B y \partial x^2}{x x} = 0,$$

eius integrale completum inuenire.

### Solutio.

Ponatur  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , vt habeamus

$$q + \frac{A p}{x} + \frac{B y}{x x} = 0, \text{ seu } q = -\frac{A p}{x} - \frac{B y}{x x}.$$

Sit nunc  $p = \frac{u y}{x}$ , erit  $\partial y = \frac{u y \partial x}{x}$ , et

$$\partial p = \frac{u \partial y + y \partial u}{x} - \frac{u y \partial x}{x x} = -\frac{A \partial y}{x} - \frac{B y \partial x}{x x},$$

vnde colligimus

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{u \partial x}{x} = \frac{u \partial x - B \partial x - x \partial u}{x u + A x}, \text{ seu}$$

$$x \partial u + B \partial x + u u \partial x + (A - 1) u \partial x = 0,$$

Vol. II.

L

hinc-

hincque  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{-\partial u}{uu + (A-1)u + B}$ ; cui particulariter satisfit ponendo  $uu + (A-1)u + B = 0$ .

Sit primo  $uu + (A-1)u + B = (u+f)(u+g)$ , erit particulariter  $ly = -flx$  et  $y = x^{-f}$ , fimilique modo  $y = x^{-g}$ , vnde integrale completum erit

$$y = \alpha x^{-f} + \beta x^{-g}.$$

Si fit  $g = f$ , statuatur  $g = f - \omega$  euanescente  $\omega$ , erit

$$x^{-g} = x^{-f} \cdot x^{\omega} = x^{-f}(1 + \omega l x),$$

ergo hoc casu fit

$$y = x^{-f}(\alpha + \beta l x).$$

Sit denique

$$uu + (A-1)u + B = uu + 2fu \cos. \zeta + ff, \text{ erit}$$

$$u = -f(\cos. \zeta \pm \sqrt{1 - \sin. \zeta}),$$

ergo particulariter

$$y = x^{-f \cos. \zeta} \cdot x^{\pm f \sqrt{1 - \sin. \zeta}} = x^{-f \cos. \zeta} [\cos. (f \sin. \zeta \cdot l x) \pm \sqrt{1 - \sin. (f \sin. \zeta \cdot l x)}],$$

quare integrale completum erit

$$y = C x^{-f \cos. \zeta} \sin. (f \sin. \zeta \cdot l x + \gamma).$$

### Corollarium. I.

848. Huius ergo aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + (f + g + 1) \frac{\partial y \partial x}{x} + \frac{f g y \partial x^2}{x x} = 0,$$

integrale completum est

$$y = \alpha x^{-f} + \beta x^{-g}.$$

Huius autem

$$\partial \partial y + (2f + 1) \frac{\partial y \partial x}{x} + \frac{f y \partial x^2}{x x} = 0,$$

integrale completum est

$$y = x^{-f}(\alpha + \beta l x).$$

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

849. At si aequatio proposita huiusmodi formam habuerit

$$\partial \partial y + (1 + 2 f \cos. \zeta) \frac{\partial y \partial x}{x} + \frac{f f y \partial x}{x x} = 0,$$

tum eius integrale completum erit

$$y = C x^{-f \cos. \zeta} \sin. (f \sin. \zeta l x + \gamma).$$

## Scholion.

850. Similem resolutionem quoque admittit haec aequatio differentio-differentialis

$$\partial \partial y - \frac{n \partial y \partial x}{x} + A x^n \partial y \partial x + B x^{2n} y \partial x^2 = 0.$$

Ponatur enim  $\partial y = x^n y u \partial x$ , et cum sit

$$\partial \partial y = x^n y \partial x \partial u + n x^{n-1} y u \partial x^2 + x^{2n} y u^2 \partial x^2,$$

erit per  $y$  diuidendo

$$x^n \partial x \partial u + n x^{n-1} u \partial x^2 + x^{2n} u u \partial x^2 - n x^{n-1} u \partial x^2 + A x^{2n} u \partial x^2 + B x^{2n} \partial x^2 = 0,$$

hinc

$$\partial u + x^n u u \partial x + A x^n u \partial x + B x^n \partial x = 0,$$

ideoque

$$x^n \partial x = \frac{-\partial u}{u u + A u + B},$$

cui particulariter satisfit ponendo  $u u + A u + B = 0$ , vnde  $u$  duplicem consequitur valorem constantem quorum alter sit  $u = -f$  alter  $u = -g$ . Quocirca integralia particularia erunt

$$y = e^{\frac{-f x^{n+1}}{n+1}} \quad \text{et} \quad y = e^{\frac{-g x^{n+1}}{n+1}}.$$

Sit breuitatis gratia  $\frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} = t$ , erit integrale completum

L 2

$y =$

$$y = \alpha e^{-ft} + \beta e^{-\epsilon t},$$

pro casu scilicet

$$uu + Au + B = (u + f)(u + g).$$

At pro casu

$$uu + Au + B = (u + f)^2, \text{ erit } y = e^{-ft} (\alpha + \beta t).$$

Casu autem quo

$$uu + Au + B = uu + 2fu \text{ cof. } \zeta + ff, \text{ erit}$$

$$y = C e^{-ft \text{ cof. } \zeta} \text{ fin. } (ft \text{ fin. } \zeta + \gamma).$$

Haec integratio adeo ad hanc formam extendi potest, sumendo pro  $X$  functionem quancunque ipsius  $x$

$$\partial \partial y - \frac{\partial x \partial y}{x} + A X \partial y \partial x + B X X y \partial x^2 = 0.$$

Posito enim  $\partial y = X u y \partial x$  seu  $\frac{\partial y}{y} = X u \partial x$ , fit

$$X \partial x = \frac{-\partial u}{uu + Au + B},$$

vnde posito  $f X \partial x = t$ , integrale completum se habebit vt ante. Scilicet

1) si  $A = f + g$  et  $B = fg$ , erit integrale

$$y = \alpha e^{-ft} + \beta e^{-\epsilon t},$$

2) si  $A = 2f$  et  $B = ff$ , erit integrale

$$y = e^{-ft} (\alpha + \beta t),$$

3) si  $A = 2f \text{ cof. } \zeta$  et  $B = ff$ , erit integrale

$$y = C e^{-ft \text{ cof. } \zeta} \text{ fin. } (ft \text{ fin. } \zeta + \gamma).$$

### Problema 104.

851. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si  $P$ ,  $Q$  et  $X$  denotent functiones quascunque ipsius  $x$ , integrationem huius aequationis differentio - differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial y \partial x + Q y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

ad aequationem differentialem primi ordinis reducere.

Solu-

## Solutio.

Hic singulari modo procedamus, loco  $y$  binas novas incognitas introducendo. Statuatur scilicet  $y=uv$ , et cum fit

$$\partial y = u \partial v + v \partial u \text{ et } \partial \partial y = u \partial \partial v + 2 \partial u \partial v + v \partial \partial u,$$

aequatio nostra induet hanc formam

$$u \partial \partial v + 2 \partial u \partial v + v \partial \partial u + P u \partial x \partial v + P v \partial x \partial u \\ + Q u v \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2.$$

Iam altera  $v$  ita determinetur, vt termini ipsa littera  $u$  affecti destruantur, quod fit si

$$\partial \partial v + P \partial x \partial v + Q v \partial x^2 = 0,$$

vnde per superiora  $v$  per  $x$  determinetur, quo facto superest haec aequatio

$$2 \partial u \partial v + v \partial \partial u + P v \partial x \partial u = X \partial x^2,$$

vnde cum  $v$  iam detur per  $x$ , quantitas  $u$  definiri debet. Ponatur  $\partial u = s \partial x$ , eritque

$$v \partial s + 2 s \partial v + P s v \partial x = X \partial x,$$

quae multiplicata per  $v e^{f P \partial x}$  integrabilis redditur; prodit enim

$$v \partial s e^{f P \partial x} = \partial (e^{f P \partial x} X v \partial x), \text{ ideoque}$$

$$s = \frac{e^{-f P \partial x}}{v} \int e^{f P \partial x} X v \partial x \text{ et}$$

$$u = \int \frac{e^{-f P \partial x} \partial x}{v} \int e^{f P \partial x} X v \partial x.$$

Quare cum incognita  $v$  fuerit determinata aequatione

$$\partial \partial v + P \partial x \partial v + Q v \partial x^2 = 0,$$

integrale aequationis propositae erit

$$y = v \int \frac{e^{-f P \partial x} \partial x}{v} \int e^{f P \partial x} X v \partial x.$$

## Corollarium 1.

852. Vt integratio ad aequationem differentialem primi ordinis reuocetur, ponatur  $v = e^{f t \partial x}$ , et quantitas  $t$  definiatur per hanc aequationem

$$\partial t + t t \partial x + P t \partial x + Q \partial x = 0,$$

quo facto integrale quaesitum erit

$$y = e^{f t \partial x} \int e^{-f(P+t)\partial x} \partial x \int e^{f(P+t)\partial x} X \partial x.$$

## Corollarium 2.

853. Cum sit  $(P+t)\partial x = -\frac{\partial t}{t} - \frac{Q\partial x}{t}$ , erit

$$e^{f(P+t)\partial x} = \int e^{-\int \frac{Q\partial x}{t}}, \text{ hincque}$$

$$y = e^{f t \partial x} \int e^{\int \frac{Q\partial x}{t}} - f t \partial x \int \partial x \int e^{-\int \frac{Q\partial x}{t}} X \frac{\partial x}{t},$$

vbi duplex integratio ad integrale completum perducit.

## Scholion 1.

854. Alio modo qui propius ad ante vsitatum accedat, eadem integratio institui potest. Ponatur scilicet pro aequatione proposita  $\partial y = t y \partial x + v \partial x$ , vbi  $v$  certam ipsius  $x$  functionem designet ex functione  $X$  determinandam. Cum igitur sit

$$\partial \partial y = y \partial t \partial x + t \partial x (t y \partial x + v \partial x) + \partial v \partial x,$$

erit facta substitutione

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & y \partial t \partial x + t t y \partial x^2 + P t y \partial x^2 + Q y \partial x^2 \\ & + t v \partial x^2 + \partial v \partial x + P v \partial x^2 + X \partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0,$$

cuius aequationis vtraque pars, tam ea quae per  $y$  multiplicatur, quam altera ab  $y$  libera, seorsim nihilo aequetur, vnde has duas aequationes nanciscimur

$\partial t$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial t + t t \partial x + P t \partial x + Q \partial x &= 0 \text{ et} \\ \partial v + t v \partial x + P v \partial x &= X \partial x, \end{aligned}$$

ex quarum illa  $t$  per  $x$  vt ante definiri debet, tum vero erit ex ista

$$e^{f(P+t)\partial x} v = \int e^{f(P+t)\partial x} X \partial x.$$

Iam vero ex aequatione assumpta  $\partial y - t y \partial x = v \partial x$  colligitur

$$e^{-f t \partial x} y = \int e^{-f t \partial x} v \partial x,$$

vbi si loco  $v$  valor modo inuentus substituitur, praecedens integralis forma obtinetur.

### Scholion 2.

855. Ex hac operatione sequi videtur, aequationis propositae

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial y \partial x + Q y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

integrationem necessario pendere ab integratione huius

$$\partial \partial v + P \partial v \partial x + Q v \partial x^2 = 0,$$

quandoquidem hac concessa illa exhiberi potest. Minime tamen hinc vicissim colligere licet, si posterioris resolutio vires nostras superet, etiam priorem nullo modo integrari posse, quin potius facile est infinitos casus exhibere, quibus prior integrationem admittat, cum tamen posterior irrefolubilis existat. Sit enim  $P = 0$  et  $Q = ax$ , atque certum est aequationem posteriorem  $\partial \partial v + ax v \partial x^2 = 0$ , nulla adhuc methodo resolui posse, cum posito  $v = e^{f t \partial x}$ , abeat in

$$\partial t + t t \partial x + ax \partial x = 0;$$

neque tamen hinc sequitur aequationem priorem

$$\partial \partial y + ax y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

semper esse intractabilem. Infiniti enim casus pro  $X$  assignari pos-

possunt, quibus integratio succedat. Sumta enim pro  $y$  functione quacunq̄e ipsius  $x$ , reperietur pro  $X$  eiusmodi functio, vt aequationi valor pro  $y$  assumtus satisfaciat. Veluti posito  $y = \frac{\beta x}{\alpha}$ , ob  $\partial \partial y = 0$  fit  $X = \beta x$ , atque aequationi

$$\partial \partial y + \alpha x y \partial x^2 = \beta x x \partial x^2$$

vtique satisfacit integrale  $y = \frac{\beta x}{\alpha}$ . Interim tamen hoc integrale tantum est particulare, ac dubium adhuc relinquitur, an etiam integrale completum exhiberi possit. At posito  $y = \frac{\beta x}{\alpha} + z$ , pro integrali completo inueniendo prodit  $\partial \partial z + \alpha x z \partial x^2 = 0$ , quae cum resolutionem respuat, evidens est integrale completum etiam in genere exhiberi non posse, nisi simul altera aequatio integrationem admittat.

### Problema 105.

856. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, inuenire integrale completum huius aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + \Lambda \partial y \partial x + B y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2$$

denotante  $X$  functionem quacunq̄e ipsius  $x$ .

### Solutio.

Posito  $y = uv$ , aequatio proposita in duas sequentes resoluitur

$$\partial \partial v + \Lambda \partial v \partial x + B v \partial x^2 = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$v \partial \partial u + \Lambda v \partial u \partial x + B v^2 \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2.$$

Quodsi ergo ex priore valor ipsius  $v$  per  $x$  definiatur, integrale completum ex posteriore ita se habebit, vt ob  $P = A$  fit

$$y = v \int \frac{e^{-\Lambda x} \partial x}{v} \int e^{\Lambda x} X v \partial x,$$

vbi



vbi cum duplex integratio integrale completum producat, sufficiet pro  $v$  integrale particulare prioris aequationis assumisse, id quod etiam ex solutione generali patebit. Cum igitur pro resolutione prioris aequationis formanda sit haec aequatio quadratica  $tt + At + B = 0$ , pro eius indole tres casus euolui conueniet.

I. Si  $tt + At + B = (t + f)(t + g)$ , vt fit  $A = f + g$  et  $B = fg$ , erit  $v = \alpha e^{-fx} + \beta e^{-gx}$ . Sumatur primo tantum integrale particulare  $v = e^{-fx}$ , et ob  $A = f + g$ , fiet

$$y = e^{-fx} \int e^{(f-g)x} \partial x f e^{gx} X \partial x:$$

fit  $e^{(f-g)x} \partial x = \partial R$ , et  $\int e^{gx} X \partial x = S$ , vt fiat

$$y = e^{-fx} \int S \partial R = e^{-fx} (RS - fR \partial S);$$

at est  $R = \frac{x}{f-g} e^{(f-g)x}$ , vnde colligitur

$$y = \frac{x}{f-g} e^{-gx} S - \frac{x}{f-g} e^{-fx} \int e^{fx} X \partial x, \text{ siue}$$

$$(f-g)y = e^{-gx} \int e^{gx} X \partial x - e^{-fx} \int e^{fx} X \partial x,$$

quod idem integrale prodiiisset, si altero particulari  $v = e^{-gx}$  vti effemus.

In genere autem sumto  $v = \alpha e^{-fx} + \beta e^{-gx}$ , statuatur vt ante

$$\frac{e^{-(f+g)x} \partial x}{v \partial} = \partial R, \text{ et } \int e^{(f+g)x} X v \partial x = S,$$

vt fit pariter

$$y = v \int S \partial R = v (RS - fR \partial S).$$

Fingamus

$$R = \frac{C e^{\lambda x}}{v}, \text{ et ob } \partial v = -\partial x (\alpha f e^{-fx} + \beta g e^{-gx});$$

erit

$$\partial R = \frac{C e^{\lambda x} \partial x (\alpha \lambda e^{-f x} + \beta \lambda e^{-g x} + \alpha f e^{-f x} + \beta g e^{-g x})}{v \psi}$$

Sit iam  $\lambda = -g$ , et  $C \alpha (f - g) = 1$ , vt  $\partial R$  datum adipiscatur valorem, ob  $C = \frac{1}{\alpha (f - g)}$ , erit

$$R = \frac{e^{-g x}}{\alpha (f - g) \psi}, \text{ et } R \partial S = \frac{1}{\alpha (f - g)} e^{f x} X \partial x;$$

tum vero

$$S = \alpha f e^{g x} X \partial x + \beta f e^{f x} X \partial x,$$

vnde conficitur

$$y = v \left( \frac{e^{-g x}}{(f - g) \psi} f e^{g x} X \partial x + \frac{\beta e^{-g x}}{\alpha (f - g) \psi} f e^{f x} X \partial x - \frac{1}{\alpha (f - g)} f e^{f x} X \partial x \right),$$

seu profus vt ante

$$(f - g) y = e^{-g x} f e^{g x} X \partial x - e^{-f x} f e^{f x} X \partial x.$$

II. Si  $t t + A t + B = (t + f)^2$ , seu  $A = 2 f$  et  $B = f f$ , erit ex priori aequatione  $v = e^{-f x} (\alpha + \beta x)$ . Ponatur vt ante

$$\frac{e^{-f x} \partial x}{v \psi} = \partial R, \text{ et } f e^{f x} X v \partial x = S,$$

vt habeatur  $y = v (R S - f R \partial S)$ . Cum ergo sit

$$\partial R = \frac{\partial x}{(\alpha + \beta x)^2}, \text{ erit } R = -\frac{1}{\beta(\alpha + \beta x)} = -\frac{e^{-f x}}{\beta v}, \text{ et}$$

$$S = \alpha f e^{f x} X \partial x + \beta f e^{f x} X x \partial x = f e^{f x} X \partial x (\alpha + \beta x),$$

quare

$$v R S = -\frac{\alpha}{\beta} e^{-f x} f e^{f x} X \partial x - e^{-f x} f e^{f x} X x \partial x, \text{ et}$$

$$f R \partial S = -\beta f e^{f x} X \partial x,$$

vnde conficitur

y =

$$y = e^{-fx} x f e^{fx} X \partial x - e^{-fx} f e^{fx} X x \partial x;$$

feu cum fit

$$\partial. e^{fx} y = \partial x f e^{fx} X \partial x,$$

erit succinctius

$$y = e^{-fx} f \partial x f e^{fx} X \partial x.$$

III. Si  $t t + A t + B = t t + 2 f t \operatorname{cof.} \zeta + ff$ , feu  
 $A = 2 f \operatorname{cof.} \zeta$  et  $B = ff$ , erit

$$v = e^{-fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} \operatorname{fin.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma).$$

Ponatur

$$\frac{e^{-fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} \partial x}{v v} = \frac{\partial x}{\operatorname{fin.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma)^2} = \partial R \text{ et}$$

$$e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} X v \partial x = e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} X \partial x \operatorname{fin.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma) = \partial S,$$

vt obtineatur  $y = v R S - v R \partial S$ . At est

$$R = -\frac{1}{f \operatorname{fin.} \zeta} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{cof.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma)}{\operatorname{fin.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma)};$$

hincque

$$v R S = -\frac{1}{f \operatorname{fin.} \zeta} e^{-fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} \operatorname{cof.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma) f e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} X \partial x \operatorname{fin.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma)$$

et

$$f R \partial S = -\frac{1}{f \operatorname{fin.} \zeta} f e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} X \partial x \operatorname{cof.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma).$$

Quocirca obtinebitur

$$f y \operatorname{fin.} \zeta = + e^{-fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} \operatorname{fin.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma) f e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} X \partial x \operatorname{cof.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma) \\ - e^{-fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} \operatorname{cof.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma) f e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} X \partial x \operatorname{fin.} (f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta + \gamma).$$

### Corollarium 1.

857. In hoc postremo integrali si ponamus  $f x \operatorname{fin.} \zeta = \Phi$ ,

erit

$$f e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} y \operatorname{fin.} \zeta =$$

$$(\operatorname{fin.} \gamma \operatorname{cof.} \Phi + \operatorname{cof.} \gamma \operatorname{fin.} \Phi) f e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} X \partial x (\operatorname{cof.} \gamma \operatorname{cof.} \Phi - \operatorname{fin.} \gamma \operatorname{fin.} \Phi) \\ + (\operatorname{fin.} \gamma \operatorname{fin.} \Phi - \operatorname{cof.} \gamma \operatorname{cof.} \Phi) f e^{fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta} X \partial x (\operatorname{fin.} \gamma \operatorname{cof.} \Phi + \operatorname{cof.} \gamma \operatorname{fin.} \Phi),$$

M 2

feu

feu

$$f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} y \text{ fin. } \zeta =$$

$$+\text{fin.} \gamma \text{ cof.} \gamma \text{ cof.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ cof.} \Phi - \text{fin.} \gamma^2 \text{ cof.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ fin.} \Phi$$

$$+\text{cof.} \gamma^2 \text{ fin.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ cof.} \Phi - \text{fin.} \gamma \text{ cof.} \gamma \text{ fin.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ fin.} \Phi$$

$$+\text{fin.} \gamma^2 \text{ fin.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ cof.} \Phi + \text{fin.} \gamma \text{ cof.} \gamma \text{ fin.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ fin.} \Phi$$

$$-\text{fin.} \gamma \text{ cof.} \gamma \text{ cof.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ cof.} \Phi - \text{cof.} \gamma^2 \text{ cof.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ fin.} \Phi$$

vnde patet angulum  $\gamma$  prorsus ex calculo excedere; fit enim

$$f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} y \text{ fin. } \zeta = \text{fin.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ cof.} \Phi - \text{cof.} \Phi f e^{f^x \text{ cof. } \zeta} X \partial x \text{ fin.} \Phi.$$

### Corollarium 2.

858. Cum igitur loco vnius aequationis duas formaverimus integrandas, vidimus sufficere, si alterius integrale saltem particulare fuerit cognitum. In ambobus enim praecedentibus casibus constantes  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  integrale completum praebentes ex calculo sponte euanuerunt, et casu tertio constans  $\gamma$  itidem excessit.

### Exemplum.

859. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, inuenire integrale huius aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + A \partial y \partial x + B y \partial x^2$$

$$= \partial x^n [n(n-1) x^{n-2} + n A x^{n-1} + B x^n].$$

Hoc exemplum ita est comparatum, vt ei manifesto satisfaciatur valor  $y = x^n$ , qui eius integrale particulare constituit. Ad completum ergo inueniendum, sit  $A = f + g$  et  $B = fg$ ; et cum sit

$$X = fg x^n + n(f+g) x^{n-1} + n(n-1) x^{n-2}, \text{ erit}$$

$$f e^{\xi x} X \partial x = f e^{\xi x} x^n + n e^{\xi x} x^{n-1} + \alpha, \text{ et}$$

$$f e^{f x} X \partial x = g e^{f x} x^n + n e^{f x} x^{n-1} + \beta,$$

vnde ex forma inuenta prodit integrale completum

$(f-g)$

$$(f-g)y = fx^n + nx^{n-1} + \alpha e^{-gx} - gx^n - nx^{n-1} - \beta e^{-fx},$$

seu  $y = x^n + \frac{\alpha}{f-g} e^{-gx} - \frac{\beta}{f-g} e^{-fx},$

vel mutata constantium forma

$$y = x^n + \alpha e^{-fx} + \beta e^{-gx}.$$

Si fit  $g = f$ , ponatur  $g = f + \omega$ , existente  $\omega = 0$ , et ob  
 $e^{-gx} = e^{-fx} \cdot e^{-\omega x} = e^{-fx} (1 - \omega x)$ , vnde pro  $\alpha + \beta$  et  
 $-\beta \omega$  scribendo  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$ , erit

$$y = x^n + e^{-fx} (\alpha + \beta x).$$

Sin autem fit

$$f = a + b\sqrt{-1} \text{ et } g = a - b\sqrt{-1},$$

cum fiat

$$y = x^n + e^{-ax} (\alpha e^{bx\sqrt{-1}} + \beta e^{b^2x\sqrt{-1}}) \text{ ob}$$

$$e^{\pm bx\sqrt{-1}} = \text{cof. } bx \pm \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } bx,$$

mutata forma constantium habebimus

$$y = x^n + e^{-ax} (\alpha \text{ cof. } bx + \beta \text{ fin. } bx).$$

### Scholion.

860. In genere autem si huiusmodi aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + A \partial y \partial x + B y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

confitet integrale particulare, seu valor ipsi satisfaciens  $y = t$ ,  
 integrale completum facile reperitur ponendo  $y = t + z$ . Cum  
 enim per hypothefin fit

$$\partial \partial t + A \partial t \partial x + B t \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

facta hac substitutione orietur

$$\partial \partial z + A \partial z \partial x + B z \partial x^2 = 0,$$

vnde si  $A = f + g$  et  $B = fg$ , colligitur

$$z = \alpha e^{-fx} + \beta e^{-gx},$$

M 3

ficque

ficque integrale completum erit

$$y = t + \alpha e^{-t^2} + \beta e^{-t^2},$$

quemadmodum etiam in exemplo allato inuenimus.

### Problema 106.

861. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio differentio-differentialis

$$\partial y - \frac{n \partial y \partial x}{x} + A x^n \partial y \partial x + B x^{2n} y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

existente  $X$  functione quacunque ipsius  $x$ , eius integrale completum inuestigare.

### Solutio.

Resolutio huius aequationis vt supra ex positione  $y = uv$  deriuari posset, sed alia methodo hic vtentes leui substitutione eam ad formam Problematis praecedentis reducamus. Scilicet ponamus  $x^n \partial x = \partial t$ , vt fit  $x^{n+1} = (n+1)t$ , qua substitutione functio  $X$  abeat in  $T$  functionem quandam ipsius  $t$ . Ne autem assumptio elementi  $\partial x$  constantis turbet, hanc conditionem tollamus ponendo  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , habebimusque

$$q - \frac{n p}{x} + A x^n p + B x^{2n} y = X.$$

Cum nunc fit  $\partial x = \frac{\partial t}{x^n}$ , crit  $p = \frac{x^n \partial y}{\partial t}$ , hincque sumto elemento  $\partial t$  constante

$$\partial p = \frac{n x^{n-1} \partial x \partial y}{\partial t} + \frac{x^n \partial \partial y}{\partial t} = q \partial x = \frac{q \partial t}{x^n},$$

ergo

$$q = \frac{n x^{n-1} \partial y}{\partial t} + \frac{x^{2n} \partial \partial y}{\partial t^2},$$

ficque nostra aequatio erit

$x^{2n}$

$$\frac{x^{2n} \partial \partial y}{\partial t^2} = \frac{n x^{n-1} \partial y}{\partial t} - \frac{n x^{n-1} \partial y}{\partial t} + \frac{A x^{2n} \partial y}{\partial t} + B x^{2n} y = X.$$

Sit  $X x^{-2n} = \Theta$ , quae quantitas, posito  $x^{n+1} = (n+1)t$ ,  
vt functio ipsius  $t$  spectari potest, sicque fiet

$$\partial \partial y + A \partial y \partial t + B y \partial t^2 = \Theta \partial t^2,$$

in qua aequatione elementum  $\partial t$  constans est assumtum, cuius ergo integrale per superiora datur.

I. Si  $A = f + g$  et  $B = fg$ , erit integrale

$$(f - g)y = e^{-gt} f e^{gt} \Theta \partial t - e^{-ft} f e^{ft} \Theta \partial t;$$

vbi restitutis valoribus  $\partial t = x^n \partial x$  et  $\Theta = X x^{-2n}$ , retento breuitatis gratia  $t = \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1}$ , valor ipsius  $y$  ita per  $x$  exprimitur

$$(f - g)y = e^{-gt} f e^{gt} x^{-n} X \partial x - e^{-ft} f e^{ft} x^{-n} X \partial x.$$

II. Si  $A = 2f$  et  $B = ff$ , erit integrale

$$y = e^{-ft} t f e^{ft} \Theta \partial t - e^{-ft} f e^{ft} \Theta t \partial t, \text{ seu}$$

$$y = e^{-ft} f \partial t f e^{ft} \Theta \partial t,$$

quod ergo per  $x$  ita exprimitur

$$y = e^{-ft} f x^n \partial x f e^{ft} x^{-n} X \partial x.$$

III. Si denique  $A = 2f \cos. \zeta$  et  $B = ff$ , erit integrale

$$f e^{ft \cos. \zeta} y \sin. \zeta = \sin. \Phi f e^{ft \cos. \zeta} \Theta \partial t \cos. \Phi - \cos. \Phi f e^{ft \cos. \zeta} \Theta \partial t \sin. \Phi,$$

existente  $\Phi = f t \sin. \zeta$ , seu  $\Phi = \frac{f \sin. \zeta}{n+1} x^{n+1}$ , ob  $t = \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1}$ .

Quare aequationis propositae integrale erit

$$f e^{ft \cos. \zeta} y \sin. \zeta = \sin. \Phi f e^{ft \cos. \zeta} x^{-n} X \partial x \cos. \Phi - \cos. \Phi f e^{ft \cos. \zeta} x^{-n} X \partial x \sin. \Phi.$$

### Corollarium I.

862. Si  $n = 0$ , aequatio proposita abit in eam ipsam, quam problemate praecedente tractauimus, fitque  $t = x$ , vnde etiam integrale eodem redit.

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

863. Sin autem sit  $n = -1$ , aequatio nostra fit

$$\partial \partial y + (A + 1) \frac{\partial y \partial x}{x} + \frac{B y \partial x^2}{x x} = X \partial x^2,$$

vbi ergo erit  $t = l x$  et  $e^{\lambda t} = x^\lambda$ , tum vero pro casu tertio angulus  $\Phi = f \sin. \zeta. l x$ .

## Scholion.

864. Methodus qua hic vsi sumus, huiusmodi aequationes differentiales integrandi, haud satis naturalis videtur, cum ad has quasi solas formas sit adstricta. Quoniam igitur in aequationibus differentialibus primi gradus inuentio factorum, quibus eae per se integrabiles reddantur, insignem fructum polliceri videbatur, eius quoque vsus in aequationibus differentialibus secundi gradus ostendere conemur. Hic quidem nihil tam absolutum expectare licet, quod ad omnes omnino aequationum formas pateat, sed quantillum etiam praestare potuerimus, id haud contemnendum Analyseos incrementum spectari debet. Hac autem methodo eas potissimum aequationes differentiales, in quibus altera variabilis  $y$  cum suis differentialibus vnam dimensionem nusquam transgreditur, satis commode tractare licet, hincque via perspicitur, quomodo eam magis excoli oporteat.



## CAPVT V.

DE

INTEGRATIONE AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIALIUM SECVNDI GRADVS, IN QVIBVS ALTERA VARIABILIS VNAM DIMENSIONEM NON SVPERAT, PER FACTORES.

## Problema 107.

865.

**S**umto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + A \partial x \partial y + B y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

vbi X denotat functionem quamcunque ipsius  $x$ , inuenire functionem ipsius  $x$ , per quam haec aequatio multiplicata fiat integrabilis.

## Solutio.

Ponatur  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , vt habeatur forma differentialis primi gradus

$$\partial p + A p \partial x + B y \partial x = X \partial x,$$

quae multiplicata per V, functionem quandam ipsius  $x$ , fiat integrabilis; scilicet

$$V \partial p + A V p \partial x + B V y \partial x = V X \partial x,$$

vbi cum posterius membrum  $V X \partial x$  fit integrabile, idem in priori eueniat, necesse est. At primo perspicuum est eius integralis partem fore  $V p$ , vnde id ponatur  $V p + S$  vt fit  $V p + S = \int V X \partial x$ , fietque

$$\partial S = -p \partial V + A V p \partial x + B V y \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

Vol. II.

N

28

$$\partial S = \partial y (A V - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}) + B V y \partial x,$$

quae forma integrabilis reddi potest sumendo  $V = e^{\lambda x}$ , erit enim

$$\partial S = e^{\lambda x} [(A - \lambda) \partial y + B y \partial x] \text{ et } S = (A - \lambda) e^{\lambda x} y,$$

vbi  $\lambda$  ita debet accipi, vt fiat

$$A \lambda - \lambda \lambda = B \text{ seu } \lambda \lambda - A \lambda + B = 0.$$

Tum ergo erit

$$e^{\lambda x} p + (A - \lambda) e^{\lambda x} y = f e^{\lambda x} X \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

$$\partial y + (A - \lambda) y \partial x = e^{-\lambda x} \partial x f e^{\lambda x} X \partial x,$$

quae iam per  $e^{(\lambda - \lambda)x}$  multiplicata denuo fit integrabilis, datque

$$e^{(\lambda - \lambda)x} y = f e^{(\lambda - \lambda)x} \partial x f e^{\lambda x} X \partial x.$$

Cum  $\lambda$  sit vna radix aequationis  $\lambda \lambda - A \lambda + B = 0$ , si ambas eius radices ponamus  $f$  et  $g$ , vt sit  $\lambda = f$ , erit  $A - \lambda = g$ , et aequatio integralis

$$e^{g x} y = f e^{(g-f)x} \partial x f e^{f x} X \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

$$e^{g x} y = \frac{1}{g-f} e^{(g-f)x} f e^{f x} X \partial x - \frac{1}{g-f} f e^{g x} X \partial x,$$

quae abit in formam supra inuentam

$$y = \frac{1}{g-f} e^{-f x} f e^{f x} X \partial x - \frac{1}{g-f} e^{-g x} f e^{g x} X \partial x.$$

### Corollarium 1.

866. Aequatio ergo proposita seu inde nata

$$\partial p + A p \partial x + B y \partial x = X \partial x$$

fit integrabilis si ducatur in  $e^{\lambda x}$ , existente  $\lambda \lambda - A \lambda + B = 0$ , sicque duplex habetur factor vel  $e^{f x}$  vel  $e^{g x}$ .

### Corollarium 2.

867. Ea autem per factorem  $e^{f x}$  multiplicata, eius integrale erit

$\partial y$

$$\partial y + g y \partial x = e^{-fx} \partial x f e^{fx} X \partial x,$$

ficque per integrationem ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus reducitur, quae denuo integrabilis reddiur si per  $e^{fx}$  multiplicetur.

### Scholion.

868. Multiplicatorem  $V$  ita determinari oportebat, vt formula  $\partial y (A V - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}) + B V y \partial x$  fieret per se integrabilis. Tum autem cum  $V$  sit functio ipsius  $x$ , integrale erit  $y (A V - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x})$ , vnde fiat necesse est

$$A \partial V - \frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial x} = B V \partial x, \text{ seu } \partial \partial V - A \partial x \partial V + B V \partial x^2 = 0,$$

a cuius aequationis integratione pendet inuentio factoris quaefiti  $V$ . Sufficit autem eius integrale particulare sumfisse, dummodo enim aequatio proposita integrabilis reddatur, constans arbitraria pro integrali completo reddendo ipsa integratione introducitur.

### Problema 108.

869. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial y \partial x + Q y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

vbi  $P$ ,  $Q$  et  $X$  sint functiones quaecunque ipsius  $x$ , inuenire multiplicatorem  $V$ , qui sit functio ipsius  $x$ , quo illa aequatio integrabilis reddatur.

### Solutio.

Quia aequatio per  $V$  multiplicata

$$V \partial \partial y + V P \partial y \partial x + V Q y \partial x^2 = V X \partial x^2,$$

integrabilis existit, prioris partis integrale ponatur  $V \partial y + S y \partial x$ , aliam enim formam habere nequit, ac fieri oportet

$V P \partial y \partial x + V Q y \partial x^2 = \partial y \partial V + S \partial y \partial x + y \partial S \partial x$ ,  
vbi cum  $S$  sit necessario functio ipsius  $x$ , erit

$$V P \partial x = \partial V + S \partial x \text{ et } V Q \partial x = \partial S.$$

Inde autem est  $S = V P - \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$ , quare multiplicator  $V$  defini-  
niri debet ex hac aequatione

$$V Q \partial x = V \partial P + P \partial V - \frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial x}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\partial \partial V - P \partial V \partial x + V \partial x (Q \partial x - \partial P) = 0,$$

quae ergo si resolui potuerit, vel si saltem eius integrale quod-  
piam particulare innotescat, vt habeatur multiplicator  $V$ , ae-  
quationis propositae integrale erit

$$V \partial y + y + y (V P \partial x - \partial V) = \partial x \int V X \partial x,$$

quae porro integrabilis redditur, si ducatur in  $\frac{1}{V}$   $e^{\int P \partial x}$ , ob-  
tinebitur enim integrale

$$\frac{y}{V} e^{\int P \partial x} = \int \frac{\partial x}{V} e^{\int P \partial x} f V X \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

$$y = e^{-\int P \partial x} V \int e^{\int P \partial x} \frac{\partial x}{V} f V X \partial x,$$

quo duplici signo integrali gemina constans arbitraria introdu-  
citur, integrale completum constituens.

### Corollarium 1.

870. Inuentio ergo multiplicatoris  $V$  pendet etiam a  
resolutione aequationis differentio-differentialis, quae autem  
proposita simplicior est aestimanda, quod functionem  $X$  non  
involuat, et quantitas  $V$  cum suis differentialibus  $\partial V$  et  $\partial \partial V$   
vbique vnam dimensionem constituat.

### Corollarium 2.

871. Quodsi ergo ponatur  $V = e^{\int v \partial x}$ , quantitas  $v$   
determinabitur per hanc aequationem differentialem primi gra-  
dus

$\partial v$

$\partial v + v \partial x - P \partial x + Q \partial x - \partial P = 0$ ,  
 cuius si saltem integrale particulare constet, integratio aequationis propositae absolui poterit.

## Corollarium 3.

872. Dato autem multiplicatore  $V$  vicissim ratio aequationis propositae definitur, ut hoc modo integrabilis euadat. Erit enim vel

$$Q = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{P \partial v}{V \partial x} - \frac{\partial \partial v}{V \partial x^2}, \text{ vel}$$

$$\partial P + \frac{P \partial v}{V} = Q \partial x - \frac{\partial \partial v}{V \partial x}, \text{ vel integrando}$$

$$P V = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \int Q V \partial x, \text{ seu } P = \frac{\partial v}{V \partial x} + \frac{\int Q V \partial x}{V}.$$

## Exemplum 1.

873. Definire formam aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial y \partial x + Q y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

ut multiplicata per  $e^{\lambda x}$  integrabilis euadat.

Posito multiplicatore  $V = e^{\nu \partial x} = e^{\lambda x}$ , erit  $\nu = \lambda$ , et satisfieri oportet huic aequationi

$$\lambda \lambda \partial x - \lambda P \partial x + Q \partial x - \partial P = 0,$$

vnde fit  $Q = \lambda P - \lambda \lambda + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}$ . Primum ergo hoc euenit si fuerint  $P$  et  $Q$  constantes, puta  $P = A$  et  $Q = B$ , ac tum  $\lambda$  definiri oportet ex hac aequatione  $\lambda \lambda - A \lambda + B = 0$ , qui est casus supra tractatus. Praeterea vero qualiscunque functio  $P$  fuerit ipsius  $x$ , modo fit  $Q = \lambda P - \lambda \lambda + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}$ , aequatio in  $e^{\lambda x}$  ducta erit integrabilis, integrali existente

$$e^{\lambda x} [\partial y^2 + y \partial x (P - \lambda)] = \partial x f e^{\lambda x} X \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

$$\partial y + (P - \lambda) y \partial x = e^{-\lambda x} \partial x f e^{\lambda x} X \partial x,$$

N 3

quae

quae vterius per  $e^{\int P \partial x - \lambda x}$  multiplicata et integrata, dat  
 $y = e^{-\int P \partial x + \lambda x} \int e^{\int P \partial x - \lambda x} \partial x e^{\lambda x} X \partial x.$

## Corollarium.

874. Sit  $P = A + \alpha x$  et  $Q = B + \beta x$ , erit

$$B + \beta x = A \lambda + \alpha \lambda x - \lambda \lambda + \alpha, \text{ ergo}$$

$$B = A \lambda - \lambda \lambda + \alpha \text{ et } \beta = \alpha \lambda,$$

vnde ob  $\lambda = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ , coefficientes  $A, B, \alpha, \beta$ , ita comparatos esse oportet, vt fit

$$B \alpha \alpha = A \alpha \beta - \beta \beta + \alpha^2, \text{ seu } B \alpha \alpha + \beta \beta = \alpha (A \beta + \alpha \alpha).$$

## Exemplum 2.

875. *Definire formam aequationis differentio-differentialis*

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial y \partial x + Q y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

vt per  $e^{\int v \partial x}$ , existente  $v = \frac{\lambda}{x} + \mu x^n$ , multiplicata fiat integrabilis.

Cum esse debeat

$$\partial v + v v \partial x - P v \partial x + Q \partial x - \partial P = 0, \text{ erit}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -\frac{\lambda}{x^2} + \mu n x^{n-1} - \frac{\lambda P}{x} - \mu P x^n \\ + \frac{\lambda \lambda}{x^2} + 2 \lambda \mu x^{n-1} + \mu \mu x^{2n} + Q - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \end{aligned} \right\} = 0,$$

ergo

$$Q = \frac{\lambda(\lambda - \lambda)}{x^2} - (2\lambda + n) \mu x^{n-1} - \mu \mu x^{2n} + \frac{\lambda P}{x} + \mu P x^n + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}.$$

Ponamus  $P = \frac{\alpha}{x} + \beta x^n$ , erit

$$Q = \frac{\lambda}{x^2} (\lambda - \lambda \lambda + \alpha \lambda - \alpha) + x^{n-1} (\beta \lambda + \alpha \mu + \beta n - 2 \lambda \mu - n \mu) \\ + x^{2n} (\beta \mu - \mu \mu).$$

Sit  $Q = \frac{\gamma}{x^2} + \delta x^{n-1} + \varepsilon x^{2n}$ , fierique oportet

$$\lambda \lambda - (\alpha + 1) \lambda + \alpha + \gamma = 0,$$

$$\beta (\lambda + n) + \mu (\alpha - 2 \lambda - n) = \delta, \text{ et } \mu (\beta - \mu) = \varepsilon,$$

vnde

vnde non solum pro multiplicatore litterae  $\lambda$  et  $\mu$ , sed etiam certa relatio inter litteras  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , definitur.

Veluti si sit  $\gamma = 0$  et  $\delta = 0$ , erit  $(\lambda - \alpha)(\lambda - 1) = 0$ , vnde  $\lambda = \alpha$ ; tum  $(\beta - \mu)(\alpha + n) = 0$ , ergo  $\alpha = \lambda = -n$  et  $\mu\mu - \beta\mu + \varepsilon = 0$ . Scilicet aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + \partial x \partial y (\beta x^n - \frac{n}{x}) + \varepsilon x^{n+1} y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2$$

multiplicatorem recipit  $e^{\int v \partial x}$ , existente  $v = -\frac{n}{x} + \mu x^n$ , sum:  $\mu$  ita vt sit  $\mu\mu - \mu + \varepsilon = 0$ . Erit ergo multiplicator

$$V = \frac{1}{x^n} e^{\frac{\mu}{n+1} x^{n+1}} \quad \text{et} \quad e^{\int P \partial x} = \frac{1}{x^n} e^{\frac{\beta}{n+1} x^{n+1}}.$$

Quare si ponamus  $\frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} = t$ , erit

$$y = x^n e^{-\beta t} \frac{1}{x^n} e^{\mu t} \int e^{\beta t - \mu t} x^n \partial x \int \frac{e^{\mu t} X \partial x}{x^n}, \text{ seu}$$

$$y = e^{(\mu - \beta)t} \int e^{(\beta - \mu)t} x^n \partial t \int \frac{e^{\mu t} X \partial x}{x^n}.$$

### Corollarium I.

876. Si sumatur  $\gamma = 0$  et  $\varepsilon = 0$ , erit

$\mu = \beta$ ,  $\beta(\alpha - \lambda) = \delta$  et  $(\lambda - \alpha)(\lambda - 1) = 0$ , hinc

$\lambda = 1$ , et  $\delta = (\alpha - 1)\beta$ , ideoque

$P = \frac{\alpha}{x} + \beta x^n$ ,  $Q = (\alpha - 1)\beta x^{n-1}$ ,

et aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + (\frac{\alpha}{x} + \beta x^n) \partial x \partial y + (\alpha - 1)\beta x^{n-1} y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

multiplicator  $V = e^{\int v \partial x}$ , existente  $v = \frac{\alpha}{x} + \beta x^n$ , ita vt sit

$$V = x e^{\frac{\beta}{n+1} x^{n+1}} \quad \text{et} \quad e^{\int P \partial x} = x^\alpha e^{\frac{\beta}{n+1} x^{n+1}}.$$

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

877. Hoc ergo casu, posito  $\frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1} = t$ , erit integrale

$$y = x^{1-\alpha} \int x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta t} \partial x \int e^{\beta t} X x \partial x,$$

quae forma simplicius exhiberi nequit, propterea quod in genere formula  $e^{-\beta t} x^{\alpha-1} \partial x$  integrationem non admittit.

## Scholion.

878. Cum igitur inuentio multiplicatorum, qui huiusmodi aequationem

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial x \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2,$$

integrabilem reddunt, resolutionem huius aequationis postulet

$$\partial \partial V - P \partial V \partial x + V \partial x' (Q \partial x - \partial P) = 0,$$

quae in hac forma continetur

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial x \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

videndum est, quomodo hanc formam etiam per multiplicatores tractari oporteat. Cuius multiplicator si fingatur  $V$  functio quaedam ipsius  $x$ , iterum ad praecedentem formam

$$\partial \partial V - P \partial V \partial x + V \partial x (Q \partial x - \partial P) = 0,$$

deuenitur, atque si huius multiplicator statuatur  $= U$  functioni ipsius  $x$ , hic definietur per hanc aequationem

$$\partial \partial U + P \partial U \partial x + Q U \partial x^2 = 0,$$

ita ut sufficiat alteram harum duarum aequationum resoluisse. Ac supra quidem, ubi  $y = uv$  posuimus, ad hanc posteriorem aequationem peruenimus: at mirum non est harum duarum aequationum alteram ab altera pendere, cum prior ex posteriori nascatur ponendo  $U = e^{-\int P \partial x} V$ , posterior vero ex priori ponendo  $V = e^{\int P \partial x} U$ , uti tentanti facile patebit. Quoniam igitur hoc modo difficultatem, si quae occurrit, tollere





affectedos pars integrabilis erit  $\frac{1}{2} M p p + N y p$ , vnde integrale ipsum statuatur  $= \frac{1}{2} M p p + N y p + S$ . Cuius differentiale cum ipsam illam aequationem praebere debeat, habebimus

$$\begin{aligned} \partial S &= M P p \partial y + N P y \partial y + N Q y y \partial x \\ &\quad + M Q y \partial y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p p \partial M - y p \partial N \\ &\quad - N p \partial y \end{aligned}$$

quam ergo formulam integrabilem esse oportet, quae cum tantum differentialia primi ordinis  $\partial x$  et  $\partial y$  complectatur, necesse est ut quantitas  $p$  ex calculo egrediatur. Posito ergo  $\partial M = M' \partial x$  et  $\partial N = N' \partial x$ , ob  $p \partial x = \partial y$ , primus terminus continens  $p$  ad nihilum redigi debet, ut fit

$$\begin{aligned} M P p \partial y - \frac{1}{2} M' p \partial y - N p \partial y &= 0, \text{ seu} \\ M P - \frac{1}{2} M' - N &= 0, \text{ vel } N = M P - \frac{\partial M}{\partial x}. \end{aligned}$$

Tum vero erit

$$\partial S = y \partial y (N P + M Q - N') + N Q y y \partial x,$$

cuius formulae integrale est

$$S = \frac{1}{2} y y (N P + M Q - N'), \text{ vel } S = y y \int N Q \partial x,$$

quas duas formas congruere oportet, vnde fit

$$N P + M Q - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x} = 2 \int N Q \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

$$N \partial P + P \partial N + M \partial Q + Q \partial M - \frac{\partial \partial N}{\partial x} - 2 N Q \partial x = 0,$$

quae aequatio cum illa  $N = M P - \frac{\partial M}{\partial x}$  iuncta, condiciones quaesitas determinat, proditque tum aequatio integralis

$$\frac{1}{2} M p p + N y p + \frac{1}{2} y y (N P + M Q - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}) = C.$$

### Corollarium I.

880. Si functiones  $P$  et  $Q$  dentur, indeque  $M$  et  $N$  definiri oporteat, ob  $N = M P - \frac{\partial M}{\partial x}$ , erit

$\partial N$

$$\partial N = M \partial P + P \partial M - \frac{\partial \partial M}{\partial x},$$

et functio M definitur per hanc aequationem

$$\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial P \partial \partial M}{\partial x}$$

+ (PP -  $\frac{\partial \partial P}{\partial x}$  + 2Q)  $\partial M$  + M (2P $\partial P$  -  $\frac{\partial \partial P}{\partial x}$  - 2PQ $\partial x$  +  $\partial Q$ ) = 0,  
 quae ob differentialia tertii ordinis parum iuuat.

### Corollarium 2.

881. Sin autem multiplicator  $M p + N y$  detur, ipsa aequatio ita definitur, vt sit primo  $P = \frac{N}{M} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial x}$ , vnde ex altera, quae est

$$\partial Q + \frac{Q \partial M}{M} - \frac{\partial N Q \partial x}{M} = \frac{\partial \partial N}{M \partial x} - \frac{\partial \cdot P N}{M},$$

haecque per  $M e^{-2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}}$  multiplicata, integrale dat

$$M Q e^{-2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} = f e^{-2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} (\frac{\partial \partial N}{\partial x} - \partial \cdot P N).$$

### Corollarium 3.

882. Sit hoc integrale = Z critque

$$Z = e^{-2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} (\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} - P N) + f e^{-2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} (\frac{\partial N \partial N}{M} - \frac{\partial P N N \partial x}{M}),$$

quod posterius membrum, pro P valore substituto, abit in

$$f e^{-2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} (\frac{\partial N \partial N}{M} - \frac{\partial N^2 \partial x}{M M} - \frac{N N \partial M}{M M}),$$

cuius integrale est manifesto  $e^{-2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} \frac{N N}{M}$ , ita vt sit

$$Z = e^{-2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} (\frac{\partial N}{\partial x} - \frac{N \partial M}{\partial x}) + C, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$Q = \frac{C}{M} e^{2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} + \frac{\partial N}{M \partial x} - \frac{N \partial M}{\partial x M}.$$

## Corollarium 4.

883. Propofita ergo hac aequatione

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{N}{M} + \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} \right) \partial y + y \left( \frac{C \partial x}{M} e^{2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} + \frac{\partial N}{M} - \frac{N \partial M}{M M} \right) = 0,$$

eam per  $\frac{M \partial y}{\partial x} + N y$  multiplicando, integrale fit

$$\frac{M \partial y^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{N y \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} y y \left( C e^{2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} + \frac{N N}{M} \right) = \text{Const.}$$

## Scholion.

884. Cum ergo pro M et N quascunque functiones ipfius x accipere liceat, innumerabiles hinc nacti fumus aequationum differentio-differentialium formas, quas ope multiplicatoris  $\frac{M \partial y}{\partial x} + N y$  integrare possumus. Forma fcilicet generalis, quae hoc multiplicatore integrabilis redditur, est vt vidimus

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial M \partial x} (\partial M + 2 N \partial x) + \frac{y}{M M} (2 M \partial N - N \partial M + 2 C M e^{2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} \partial x),$$

ipfo integrali existente

$$\frac{M \partial y^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{N y \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} y y \left( \frac{N N}{M} + C e^{2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} \right),$$

vbi perfpicuum est partem exponentialem constanti C affectam vtrinq; omitti posse, cum ea sola ista proprietate fit praedita. Quodsi partem exponentialem ad algebraicam reducamus ponendo  $e^{2 \int \frac{N \partial x}{M}} = L$ , erit  $\frac{N \partial x}{M} = \frac{\partial L}{L}$  et  $N = \frac{M \partial L}{\partial L \partial x}$ , hincque  $\partial N = \frac{M \partial \partial L}{\partial L \partial x} + \frac{\partial L \partial M}{\partial L \partial x} - \frac{M \partial L^2}{\partial L L \partial x}$ ; vnde ista forma

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial M}{M} + \frac{\partial L}{L} \right) + \frac{1}{2} y y \left( \frac{\partial \partial L}{L \partial x} + \frac{\partial L \partial M}{\partial L M \partial x} - \frac{\partial L^2}{L L \partial x} + \frac{C L \partial x}{M} \right),$$

quae per  $\frac{M \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{y y \partial L}{\partial L \partial x}$  multiplicata, integrale praebet

$$\frac{M \partial y^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{M y \partial L \partial y}{\partial L \partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} y y \left( \frac{M \partial L^2}{\partial L L \partial x^2} + C L \right).$$

Vel

Vel si ponamus  $\frac{\partial M}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}$ , vt sit  $M = \frac{K K}{L}$ , erit nostra aequatio differentio - differentialis

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial K}{K} + \frac{1}{2} y \left( \partial \cdot \frac{\partial L}{L \partial x} - \frac{\partial L^2}{L L \partial x} + \frac{\partial K \partial L}{K L \partial x} + \frac{\partial C L L \partial x}{K K} \right) = 0,$$

quae per  $\frac{K K}{L} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial L}{L \partial x} \right)$  multiplicata, dabit integrale

$$\frac{K K}{L} \left[ \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial L \partial y}{L \partial x^2} + y y \left( \frac{\partial L^2}{L L \partial x^2} + \frac{C L L}{K K} \right) \right] = \text{Const.}$$

### Exemplum I.

885. Sit  $K = x^m (a+x)^n$  et  $L = x^\mu (a+x)^\nu$ , erit

$$\frac{\partial K}{K \partial x} = \frac{m}{x} + \frac{n}{a+x} = \frac{m a + (m+n)x}{x(a+x)}, \text{ et}$$

$\frac{\partial L}{L \partial x} = \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x}$ ; vnde coefficientis ipsius  $\frac{1}{2} y \partial x$  erit

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\mu}{x x} - \frac{\nu}{(a+x)^2} - \frac{\mu \mu}{x x x} - \frac{\mu \nu}{x(a+x)} - \frac{\nu \nu}{x(a+x)^2} + \frac{m \mu}{x x} + \frac{m \nu + \mu \mu}{x(a+x)} \\ & + \frac{n \nu}{(a+x)^2} + 2 C x^{m-\mu-n} (a+x)^{n \nu - \mu n}, \text{ feu} \\ & \frac{\mu(m-\mu-1)}{x x x} + \frac{m \nu + \mu \mu - \mu \nu}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu(n \nu - \nu - 1)}{x(a+x)^2} \\ & + 2 C x^{m-\mu-n} (a+x)^{n \nu - \mu n}, \end{aligned}$$

vbi sequentes casus notasse iuuabit.

I. Sit  $m = \mu + 1$  et  $n = \nu$ , erit ipsius  $\frac{1}{2} y \partial x$  coefficientis

$$\frac{\mu \mu + 1 C}{x x x} + \frac{\nu(\mu+1)}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{x(a+x)^2}.$$

Hinc ista aequatio

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} + \partial y \left( \frac{\mu+1}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} y \partial x \left[ \frac{\mu \mu + 1 C}{x x} + \frac{\nu(\mu+1)}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{(a+x)^2} \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

multiplicata per

$$x^{\mu+1} (a+x)^\nu \left[ \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) y \right],$$

integrale dat

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} x^{\mu+2} (a+x)^\nu \left[ \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{1}{2} y y \left( \frac{\mu \mu + 1 C}{x x} + \frac{2 \mu \nu}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu \nu}{(a+x)^2} \right) \right] = \text{Const.} \end{aligned}$$

II. Sit  $m = \mu + \frac{1}{2}$  et  $n = \nu + \frac{1}{2}$ , erit ipsius  $\frac{1}{2}y \partial x$  coefficientis

$$\frac{\mu(\mu-1)}{2xx} + \frac{2\mu\nu + \mu + \nu + 4C}{2x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{2(a+x)^2}.$$

Hinc ista aequatio

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \partial y \left( \frac{2\mu+1}{2x} + \frac{\nu+1}{2(a+x)} \right) + \frac{1}{4}y \partial x \left( \frac{\mu(\mu-1)}{xx} + \frac{2\mu\nu + \mu + \nu + 4C}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu(\nu-1)}{(a+x)^2} \right) = 0,$$

multiplicata per

$$x^{\mu+1} (a+x)^{\nu+1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2}y \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) \right],$$

integrale dabit

$$\frac{1}{2}x^{\mu+1} (a+x)^{\nu+1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) + \frac{1}{4}y \left( \frac{\mu\mu}{xx} + \frac{2\mu\nu + 4C}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu\nu}{(a+x)^2} \right) \right] = \text{Const.}$$

III. Sit  $m = \mu$  et  $n = \nu + 1$ , erit ipsius  $\frac{1}{2}y \partial x$  coefficientis

$$\frac{\mu(\mu-2)}{2xx} + \frac{\mu(\nu+1)}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu\nu+4C}{2(a+x)^2}.$$

Hinc ista aequatio

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \partial y \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{\nu+1}{a+x} \right) + \frac{1}{4}y \partial x \left( \frac{\mu(\mu-2)}{xx} + \frac{2\mu(\nu+1)}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu\nu+4C}{(a+x)^2} \right) = 0,$$

multiplicata per

$$x^{\mu} (a+x)^{\nu+2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2}y \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) \right],$$

dabit integrale

$$\frac{1}{2}x^{\mu} (a+x)^{\nu+2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) + \frac{1}{4}y \left( \frac{\mu\mu}{xx} + \frac{2\mu\nu}{x(a+x)} + \frac{\nu\nu+4C}{(a+x)^2} \right) \right] = \text{Const.}$$

### Corollarium I.

886. Sit casu primo  $\nu = 2$ ,  $C = -\frac{1}{4}\mu\mu$ , et habebitur haec aequatio

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{(\mu+1)a + (\mu+3)x}{x(a+x)} \partial y + \frac{(\mu+1)y \partial x}{x(a+x)} = 0,$$

quae

quae per

$$x^{\mu+1} (a+x)^{\mu} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\mu a + (\mu+1)x}{x(a+x)} y \right)$$

multiplicata, praebet integrale

$$\frac{1}{2} x^{\mu+1} (a+x)^{\mu} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\mu a + (\mu+1)x}{x(a+x)} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + y y \left( \frac{\mu}{x(a+x)} + \frac{1}{(a+x)^2} \right) \right] = \text{Const.}$$

### Corollarium 2.

887. Sit casu tertio  $\mu = 2$  et  $4C = -\nu\nu$ , habebitur ista aequatio

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \partial y \cdot \frac{2a + (\nu+1)x}{x(a+x)} + \frac{(\nu+1)y \partial x}{x(a+x)} = 0,$$

quae multiplicata per  $x x (a+x)^{\nu+1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} y \left( \frac{\nu}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) \right]$ ,  
dabit integrale

$$\frac{1}{2} x x (a+x)^{\nu+1} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\nu \partial y}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{x} + \frac{\nu}{a+x} \right) + y y \left( \frac{1}{2x} + \frac{\nu}{x(a+x)} \right) \right] = \text{Const.}$$

### Exemplum 2.

888. Sit  $K = x^m (aa + xx)^n$  et  $L = x^{\mu} (aa + xx)^{\nu}$ ,  
erit  $\frac{\partial K}{\partial x} = \frac{m}{x} + \frac{2nx}{aa + xx}$  et  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{2\nu x}{aa + xx}$ ,

et aequatio differentio-differentialis hanc induet formam

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \partial y \left( \frac{m}{x} + \frac{2nx}{aa + xx} \right) + \frac{1}{2} y \partial x \left\{ \frac{\frac{\mu(2m-\mu-1)}{2xx} + \frac{2n\mu + 2\nu(m-\mu+1)}{aa + xx} + \frac{2\nu(2n-\nu-1)xx}{(aa + xx)^2}}{\frac{2Cx^{2\mu-2m}}{(aa + xx)^{2n-1\nu}}} \right\} = 0,$$

cuius in  $x^{2m-\mu} (aa + xx)^{2n-\nu} \left[ \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} y \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{2\nu x}{aa + xx} \right) \right]$   
ductae integrale erit

$$\frac{1}{2} x^{2m-\mu} (aa + xx)^{2n-\nu} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\nu \partial y}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{2\nu x}{aa + xx} \right) + \frac{1}{2} y y \left[ \left( \frac{\mu}{x} + \frac{2\nu x}{aa + xx} \right)^2 + \frac{4Cx^{2\mu-2m}}{(aa + xx)^{2n-1\nu}} \right] \right\} = \text{Const.}$$

Evoluamus hic casus, quibus aequatio differentio-differentialis  
hanc

hanc obtinet formam

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \partial y \left( \frac{m}{x} + \frac{2nx}{aa+xx} \right) + \frac{1}{2} y \partial x \left( D + \frac{E}{xx} + \frac{F}{aa+xx} + \frac{Gxx}{(aa+xx)^2} \right) = 0.$$

I. Sumatur  $\mu = m$  et  $\nu = n$ ,

$$\text{eritque } D = 2C, E = \frac{1}{2} m(m-2), F = 2n(m+1), \text{ et} \\ G = 2n(n-2).$$

II. Sumatur  $\mu = m-1$  et  $\nu = n$ ,

$$\text{eritque } D = 0, E = 2C + \frac{1}{2} (m-1)^2, F = 2n(m+1), \text{ et} \\ G = 2n(n-2).$$

III. Sumatur  $\mu = m-1$  et  $2n-2\nu = -1$ , seu  $\nu = n + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  
erit vltimus terminus  $\frac{2C(aa+xx)}{xx} = 2C + \frac{2Caa}{xx}$ . Ergo

$$D = 2C, E = 2Caa + \frac{1}{2} (m-1)^2, F = 2(mn+n+1), \\ G = \frac{1}{2} (2n+1)(2n-5).$$

IV. Sumatur  $\mu = m$  et  $2n-2\nu = 1$ , seu  $\nu = n - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  
erit vltimus terminus  $\frac{2C}{aa+xx}$ , ideoque

$$D = 0, E = \frac{1}{2} m(m-2), F = 2C + 2mn + 2n - 1, \\ G = \frac{1}{2} (2n-1)(2n-3).$$

V. Sumatur  $\mu = m+1$  et  $\nu = n - \frac{1}{2}$ , erit vltimus ter-  
minus  $\frac{2Cxx}{aa+xx} = 2C - \frac{2Caa}{aa+xx}$ , ideoque

$$D = 2C, E = \frac{1}{2} (m+1)(m-2), F = -2Caa + 2n(m+1), \\ G = \frac{1}{2} (2n-1)(2n-3).$$

VI. Sit  $\mu = m-1$  et  $\nu = n - \frac{1}{2}$ , erit vltimus termi-  
nus  $\frac{2C}{xx(aa+xx)} = \frac{2C}{aa+xx} - \frac{2C}{aa(aa+xx)}$ , vnde fit

$$D = 0, E = \frac{2C}{aa} + \frac{1}{2} (m-1)^2, F = \frac{-2C}{aa} + 2mn + 2n - 2, \\ G = \frac{1}{2} (2n-1)(2n-3).$$

VII. Sit  $\mu = m+1$  et  $2n-2\nu = 2$ , seu  $\nu = n-1$ , erit ter-



terminus vltimus  $\frac{1Cxx}{(aa+xx)^2}$ , ideoque

$$D = 0, E = \frac{1}{2}(m+1)(m-3), F = 2n(m+1), \\ G = 2C + 2(n-1)^2.$$

VIII. Sit  $\mu = m+2$  et  $\nu = n-1$ , erit terminus vltimus  $\frac{1Cx^4}{(aa+xx)^2} = 2C - \frac{1Caa}{aa+xx} - \frac{1Caaax}{(aa+xx)^2}$ , hincque

$$D = 2C, E = \frac{1}{2}(m+2)(m-4), F = -2Caa + 2mn + 2n + 2, \\ \text{et } G = -2Caa + 2(n-1)^2.$$

IX. Sit  $\mu = m$  et  $\nu = n-1$ , erit terminus vltimus

$$\frac{1C}{(aa+xx)^2} = \frac{1C}{aa(aa+xx)} - \frac{1Cxx}{a^2(aa+xx)^2},$$

hincque

$$D = 0, E = \frac{1}{2}m(m-2), F = \frac{1C}{aa} + 2mn + 2n - 2, \\ G = \frac{-1C}{aa} + 2(n-1)^2.$$

X. Sit  $\mu = m-1$  et  $\nu = n-1$ , erit terminus vltimus

$$\frac{1C}{xx(aa+xx)^2} = \frac{1C}{a^2xx} - \frac{1C}{a^2(aa+xx)} + \frac{1Cxx}{a^2(aa+xx)^2},$$

hincque

$$D = 0, E = \frac{1C}{aa} + \frac{1}{2}(m-1)^2, F = \frac{-1C}{aa} + 2mn + 2n - 4, \\ G = \frac{1C}{aa} + 2(n-1)^2.$$

### Problema 110.

889. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si  $K$  et  $L$  denotent functiones quascunque ipsius  $x$ , inuenire integrale completum huius aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}y \left( \partial \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial L^2}{\partial L \partial x} + \frac{\partial K \partial L}{\partial L \partial x} + \frac{1C L L \partial x}{h k} \right) = 0.$$

### Solutio.

Quoniam haec aequatio integrabilis redditur, si multiplicetur per  $\frac{K}{L} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \right)$ , eius integrale completum, vt su-

Vol. II.

P

pra

pra vidimus, est

$$\frac{kk}{sL} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{y \partial L}{sL \partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{cLl}{kk} y y \right] = \text{Const.}$$

quam aequationem differentialem primi gradus adhuc integrari oportet, quod cum ob constantem indefinitam maxime sit difficile, ea neglecta, primo saltem integrale particulare inuestigamus. Erit ergo ex aequatione

$$\left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{y \partial L}{sL \partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{cLl}{kk} y y = 0$$

radicem extrahendo

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{y \partial L}{sL \partial x} = \frac{Ly}{k} \sqrt{-C}, \text{ seu } \frac{\partial y}{y} + \frac{\partial L}{sL} = \frac{L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{-C},$$

unde fit

$$y \sqrt{L} = \alpha e^{\int \frac{L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{-C}}.$$

Cum igitur aequationi differentio-differentiali propositae satisfaciant hi duo valores

$$y = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{L}} e^{\int \frac{L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{-C}} \quad \text{et} \quad y = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{L}} e^{-\int \frac{L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{-C}},$$

bini coniuncti etiam satisfacient, quibus quoniam duae constantes arbitrariae introducuntur, eius integrale completum erit

$$y = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{L}} e^{\int \frac{L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{-C}} + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{L}} e^{-\int \frac{L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{-C}},$$

quae expressio valet, si  $\sqrt{-C}$  fuerit quantitas realis, sin autem sit imaginaria, erit

$$y = \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{L}} \sin \left( \int \frac{L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{C + \zeta} \right):$$

sicque habetur integrale completum aequationis differentio-differentialis propositae.

### Corollarium 1.

§90. Hinc igitur aequationis differentialis primi gradus

$$\left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{y \partial L}{sL \partial x} \right)^2 + \frac{cLl}{kk} y^2 = \frac{AL}{kk},$$

quae

quae per se satis est difficilis, integrale assignare valemus, quod est

$$y = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{L}} e^{\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C} + \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{L}} e^{-\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C},$$

si modo debita relatio constantium  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  respectu constantis  $A$  definiatur.

### Corollarium 2.

891. Erit autem per  $\sqrt{L}$  multiplicando et differentiando

$$\begin{aligned} \partial y \sqrt{L} + \frac{\gamma \partial L}{\sqrt{L}} &= \frac{\alpha L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{-C} \cdot e^{\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C} \\ &\quad - \frac{\beta L \partial x}{k} \sqrt{-C} \cdot e^{-\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C}. \end{aligned}$$

Hinc

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\gamma \partial L}{\sqrt{L} \partial x} = \frac{\sqrt{-C} L}{k} (\alpha e^{\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C} - \beta e^{-\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C}),$$

vnde fit

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A L}{k k} &= \frac{-C L}{k k} (\alpha e^{\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C} - \beta e^{-\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{C L}{k k} (\alpha e^{\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C} + \beta e^{-\int \frac{L \partial x}{k}} \sqrt{-C})^2, \end{aligned}$$

ideoque  $A = 4 C \alpha \beta$  seu  $\beta = \frac{A}{4 C \alpha}$

### Scholion 1.

892. Quamuis ergo aequationem propositam ope idonei multiplicatoris integrare licuerit, altera tamen integratio maximis difficultatibus premi videbatur. Interim tamen ope substitutionis aequatio illa differentialis primi gradus tractatu facilis redditur; posito enim  $y = \frac{z}{\sqrt{L}}$ , vt fit  $\partial y \sqrt{L} + \frac{\gamma \partial L}{\sqrt{L}} = \partial z$ , oritur  $(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x \sqrt{L}})^2 + \frac{C L z z}{k k} = \frac{A L}{k k}$ , hinc  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{k} \sqrt{(A - C z z)}$ , seu  $\frac{\partial z}{\sqrt{(A - C z z)}} = \frac{L \partial x}{k}$ , quae integrata dat

P 2

l [z

$$I [z \sqrt{-C} + \sqrt{(A - Cz)}] = f \frac{L \partial x}{K} \sqrt{-C} + l B,$$

vnde praecedens integrale eruitur. Caeterum forma nostrae aequationis differentio-differentialis aliquanto commodius exhiberi potest hoc modo: Si P et R sint functiones quaecunque ipsius x, sumaturque elementum  $\partial x$  constans, huius aequationis

$$\partial \partial y - \partial y \left( \frac{\partial P}{P} + \frac{\partial R}{R} \right) - y \left( \partial \cdot \frac{\partial P}{P} - \frac{\partial P \partial R}{P R} + \frac{a a R R \partial x^2}{P P} \right) = 0,$$

bis integratae integrale completum est

$$y = \alpha P e^{a \int \frac{R \partial x}{P}} + \beta P e^{-a \int \frac{R \partial x}{P}},$$

siquidem a sit quantitas realis. At si  $a = 0$ , erit

$$y = P (\alpha + \beta \int \frac{R \partial x}{P}).$$

Sin autem sit  $a a = -c c$ , erit

$$y = \alpha P \sin. (\beta + c \int \frac{R \partial x}{P}).$$

Tum vero illa aequatio integrabilis redditur, si multiplicetur per  $\frac{1}{R R \partial x^2} (\partial y - \frac{\partial P}{P} y)$ , eritque integrale primum

$$\frac{1}{a R R \partial x^2} [(\partial y - \frac{\partial P}{P} y)^2 - \frac{a a R R}{P P} y^2 \partial x^2] = \text{Const.}$$

Hinc patet in illa aequatione differentio-differentiali commode hanc substitutionem adhiberi  $y = P z$ , qua ea transformatur in

$$\partial \partial z + \partial z \left( \frac{\partial P}{P} - \frac{\partial R}{R} \right) - \frac{a a R R}{P P} z \partial x^2 = 0,$$

quae per  $\frac{P P \partial z}{R R \partial x^2}$  multiplicata, sponte fit integrabilis. Quin etiam posito  $\frac{P}{R} = S$ , ut habeatur

$$\partial \partial z + \frac{\partial S \partial z}{S} - \frac{a a z \partial x^2}{S S} = 0,$$

multiplicator  $\frac{S S \partial z}{z \partial x^2}$ , statim dat integrale

$$\frac{S S \partial z^2}{a \partial x^2} - \frac{1}{2} a a z z = \text{Const.}$$

Scho-

## Scholion 2.

893. Vicissim ergo ex hac forma simplicissima

$$SS\partial\partial z + S\partial S\partial z - aax\partial x^2 = 0,$$

quae per  $\partial x$  multiplicata integrabilis redditur, formas magis complicatas deriuare potuiffemus, ponendo  $z = \frac{y}{x}$  et  $S = \frac{p}{R}$ .

Quae quanquam in formis generalibus satis perspicua, tamen in exemplis determinatis plerumque haec deriuatio nimis est occulta, quam vt menti occurrere possit. Veluti in casibus §. 888. euolutis, si N°. IX. sumamus  $m=2$ , et  $C=(n-1)^2aa$ , fiet  $D=0$ ,  $E=0$ ,  $F=2n(n+1)$ , et  $G=0$ , vnde habetur haec aequatio

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + 2\partial y \left( \frac{1}{x} + \frac{nx}{aa+xx} \right) + \frac{n(n+1)y\partial x}{aa+xx} = 0, \text{ seu}$$

$$\partial\partial y + \frac{n\partial x\partial y(aa+(n+1)xx)}{x(aa+xx)} + \frac{n(n+1)y\partial x^2}{aa+xx} = 0,$$

quae integrabilis redditur ope multiplicatoris

$$xx(aa+xx)^{n+1} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{y(aa+nx)}{x(aa+xx)} \right),$$

integrali existente

$$\frac{1}{2} xx(aa+xx)^{n+1} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{y(aa+nx)}{x(aa+xx)} \right)^2 + \frac{(n-1)^2 aay}{(aa+xx)^2} \right] = \text{Const.}$$

Pro integrali ergo particulari erit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial x}{x} + \frac{(n-1)x\partial x}{aa+xx} = \pm \frac{(n-1)a\partial x\sqrt{-1}}{aa+xx},$$

vnde colligitur

$$xy(aa+xx)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} = a \left( \frac{a+x\sqrt{-1}}{a-x\sqrt{-1}} \right)^{\pm} + \frac{(n-1)}{2}.$$

Ergo bina integralia particularia coniuncta dant

$$y = \frac{a}{x} (a-x\sqrt{-1})^{-n+1} + \frac{\beta}{x} (a+x\sqrt{-1})^{-n+1},$$

integrale completum. Hoc autem casu aequatio nostra ad formam simplicissimam reducetur ope substitutionis

$$y = \frac{x}{a} (aa + xx)^{\frac{1-n}{2}}$$

cuius ratio et inuentio difficiliter perspicitur.

### Problema III.

894. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, inuestigare conditiones quibus aequatio differentio-differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial x \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

integrabilis redditur, ope multiplicatoris huius formae

$$\frac{y \partial x^2}{L \partial y^2 + M y \partial y \partial x + N y y \partial x^2},$$

denotantibus litteris L, M, N, P, Q functiones ipsius x.

### Solutio.

Tribnatur denominatori huius fractionis talis forma

$$(\partial y + R y \partial x) (\partial y + S y \partial x),$$

ac leui attentione adhibita patet, integrale huiusmodi formam esse habiturum

$$V + I \frac{\partial y + R y \partial x}{\partial y + S y \partial x} = \text{Const.}$$

cuius ergo differentiale aequationem propositam producere debet. Dat autem differentiatio

$$\partial V + \frac{(S-R)y \partial x \partial \partial y + (R-S) \partial x \partial y^2 + y \partial x \partial y (\partial R - \partial S) + y y \partial x^2 (S \partial R - R \partial S)}{(S y + R y \partial x) (\partial y + S y \partial x)} = 0,$$

quae ad communem denominatorem reducta, abit in

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (S-R)y \partial x \partial \partial y + (R-S) \partial x \partial y^2 + y \partial x \partial y (\partial R - \partial S) + y y \partial x^2 (S \partial R - R \partial S) \\ + \partial V \partial y^2 + (R+S)y \partial x \partial y \partial V + R S y y \partial x^2 \partial V \end{aligned} \right\} = 0.$$

Statuatur  $\partial V = (S-R) \partial x$ , vt aequatio per  $y$  diuisibilis euadat, sicque oriatur haec aequatio

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (S-R) \partial \partial y + \partial y (\partial R - \partial S) + y \partial x (S \partial R - R \partial S) \\ + (S S - R R) \partial x \partial y + R S (S-R) y \partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0,$$

quae vt cum forma proposita conueniat, fieri oportet

P =

$P = (R + S) + \frac{\partial R - \partial S}{(S - R) \partial x}$ , et  $Q = RS + \frac{S \partial R - R \partial S}{(S - R) \partial x}$ ,  
 quos valores si functiones P et Q habuerint, aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial x \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = 0$$

per  $\frac{(S - R) y \partial x}{(\partial y + R y \partial x)(\partial y + S y \partial x)}$  multiplicata, integrale dabit

$$\int (S - R) \partial x + \int \frac{\partial y + R y \partial x}{\partial y + S y \partial x} = \text{Const.}$$

Si ponamus  $S = M + N$  et  $R = M - N$ , erit

$$P = 2M - \frac{\partial N}{N \partial x} \text{ et } Q = MM - NN + \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} - \frac{M \partial N}{N \partial x}.$$

### Corollarium. 1.

895. Quaecunque ergo functiones ipsius  $x$  loco M et N assumantur, indeque definiantur

$$P = 2M - \frac{\partial N}{N \partial x} \text{ et } Q = MM - NN + \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} - \frac{M \partial N}{N \partial x},$$

huius aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial x \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale erit

$$2 \int N \partial x + \int \frac{\partial y + (M - N) y \partial x}{\partial y + (M + N) y \partial x} = \text{Const.}$$

### Corollarium 2.

896. Si ponatur  $y = e^{Iz \partial x}$ , fiet nostra aequatio differentialis primi gradus

$$\partial z + z z \partial x + P z \partial x + Q \partial x = 0,$$

cuius propterea integrale erit

$$2 \int N \partial x + \int \frac{z + (M - N)}{z + (M + N)} = \text{Const.}$$

### Corollarium 3.

897. Si velimus, vt sit  $P = 0$  et aequatio habeatur huiusmodi  $\partial \partial y + Q y \partial x^2 = 0$ , capi debet  $2M = \frac{\partial N}{N \partial x}$  eritque

que  $Q = \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} - MM - NN$ , eiusque aequatio integralis  

$$2fN \partial x + \int \frac{\partial y + (M - N)y \partial x}{\partial y + (M + N)y \partial x} = \text{Const.}$$

### Corollarium 4.

898. In genere autem prout constans capiatur vel  
 $+\infty$  vel  $-\infty$ , obtinebitur integrale particulare vel

$$\partial y + (M + N)y \partial x = 0 \text{ vel}$$

$$\partial y + (M - N)y \partial x = 0,$$

vnde erit vel

$$y = \alpha e^{-\int (M + N) \partial x} \text{ vel } y = \beta e^{-\int (M - N) \partial x},$$

ex quibus nostrae aequationis colligitur integrale completum

$$y = e^{-\int M \partial x} (\alpha e^{-\int N \partial x} + \beta e^{\int N \partial x}).$$

### Exemplum 1.

899. Sit  $M = \alpha$  et  $N = \beta$ , erit  $P = 2\alpha$  et  $Q = \alpha\alpha - \beta\beta$ ,  
 vnde huius aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + 2\alpha \partial x \partial y + (\alpha\alpha - \beta\beta)y \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale est

$$2\beta x + \int \frac{\partial y + (\alpha - \beta)y \partial x}{\partial y + (\alpha + \beta)y \partial x} = \text{Const.}$$

In quantitatibus autem finitis integrale completum

$$y = e^{-\alpha x} (A e^{-\beta x} + B e^{\beta x}).$$

Casu autem quo  $\beta\beta = -\gamma\gamma$ , aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + 2\alpha \partial x \partial y + (\alpha\alpha + \gamma\gamma)y \partial x^2 = 0$$

bis integrata dat

$$y = A e^{-\alpha x} \sin.(\gamma x + C).$$

At si  $\gamma = 0$ , aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + 2\alpha \partial x \partial y + \alpha\alpha y \partial x^2 = 0$$

inte-



integrale est

$$y = e^{-ax} (A + Bx).$$

### Exemplum 2.

900. Si  $M = \frac{a}{x}$  et  $N = \beta x^n$ , erit  $P = \frac{a-n}{x}$ , et

$$Q = \frac{a}{x} - \beta \beta x^n - \frac{a}{x} - \frac{an}{x} = \frac{a(n-n-1)}{x} - \beta \beta x^n.$$

Ergo huius aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{(n-n-1) \partial x \partial y}{x} + \frac{a(n-n-1) y \partial x^2}{x^2} - \beta \beta x^n y \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale primum est

$$\frac{2\beta}{n+1} x^{n+1} + \int \frac{x \partial y + (a - \beta x^{n+1}) y \partial x}{x \partial y + (a + \beta x^{n+1}) y \partial x} = \text{Const.}$$

Integrale autem secundum

$$y = x^{-a} (A e^{\frac{-\beta x^{n+1}}{n+1}} + B e^{\frac{\beta x^{n+1}}{n+1}});$$

si  $\beta = 0$ , erit id

$$y = x^{-a} (A + B x^{n+1}),$$

si autem  $\beta \beta = -\gamma \gamma$ , erit

$$y = A x^{-a} \text{ fin. } \left( \frac{\gamma}{n+1} x^{n+1} + C \right);$$

### Corollarium 1.

901. Sumto  $n = 2a$ , ut habeatur haec aequatio

$$\partial \partial y - \frac{a(a+1) y \partial x^2}{x^2} - \beta \beta x^a y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

erit eius integrale completum

$$y = x^{-a} (A e^{\frac{-\beta}{2a+1} x^{2a+1}} + B e^{\frac{\beta}{2a+1} x^{2a+1}}),$$

si fit  $\beta = 0$ , erit id

$$y = x^{-a} (A + B x^{2a+1}),$$

Vol. II.

Q

at

at si  $\beta\beta = -\gamma\gamma$ , erit hoc integrale

$$y = Ax^{-\alpha} \sin. \left( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + 1} x^{\alpha+1} + C \right).$$

### Corollarium 2.

902. Ponamus  $\alpha = -1$ , vt habeamus hanc aequationem  $\partial \partial y - \frac{\beta \gamma \partial x^2}{x^2} = 0$ , cuius ergo integrale erit

$$y = x \left( A e^{\frac{\beta}{x}} + B e^{-\frac{\beta}{x}} \right),$$

vbi notandum, si sit  $\beta\beta = -\gamma\gamma$ , fore  $y = Ax \sin. \left( \frac{\gamma}{x} + C \right)$ .

### Exemplum 3.

903. Ponatur  $N = \frac{Ax^m}{\alpha + \beta x^n}$ , vt fit

$$\frac{\partial N}{N \partial x} = \frac{m}{x} - \frac{\beta n x^{n-1}}{\alpha + \beta x^n},$$

et fumatur

$$M = \frac{m}{2x} - \frac{\beta n x^{n-1}}{2(\alpha + \beta x^n)},$$

vt fiat  $P = 0$  et

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{-m}{2xx} - \frac{\beta n(n-1)x^{n-2}}{2(\alpha + \beta x^n)} + \frac{\beta \beta n n x^{2n-2}}{2(\alpha + \beta x^n)^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{m m}{4x^2} + \frac{\beta m n x^{n-2}}{2(\alpha + \beta x^n)} - \frac{\beta \beta n n x^{2n-2}}{4(\alpha + \beta x^n)^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{AAx^{2m}}{(\alpha + \beta x^n)^2} \end{aligned}$$

sive

$$Q = \frac{-m(m+2)}{4xx} + \frac{n(m-n+1)\beta x^{n-2}}{2(\alpha + \beta x^n)} + \frac{\beta \beta n n x^{2n-2} - 4AAx^{2m}}{4(\alpha + \beta x^n)^2},$$

et

et ob  $fM \partial x = \frac{1}{2} l N$ , erit integrale

$$y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (C e^{-\int N \partial x} + D e^{\int N \partial x})$$

huius aequationis  $\partial \partial y + Q y \partial x = 0$ . Vt expressio ipsius  $Q$  fiat simplicior, hoc fieri potest pluribus modis, dum numerator partis postremae per  $\alpha + \beta x^n$  diuisibilis redditur.

I. Sit  $m = n - 1$  et  $AA = \frac{1}{4} \beta \beta n n$ ; eritque  $Q = -\frac{(n-1)}{4xx}$ , tum vero

$$N = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \beta n x^{n-1}}{\alpha + \beta x^n} \text{ et } \int N \partial x = \frac{1}{2} l (\alpha + \beta x^n);$$

vnde aequationis  $\partial \partial y - \frac{(n-1)}{4xx} y \partial x = 0$  integrale est

$$y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^{n-1}}} (C + D \alpha + D \beta x^n).$$

II. Sit  $2m = -2$  seu  $m = -1$  et  $4AA = \alpha \alpha n n$ , erit

$$Q = \frac{1}{4xx} - \frac{nn\beta x^{n-2}}{2(\alpha + \beta x^n)} + \frac{\beta nn x^{n-2} - \alpha nn x^{-2}}{4(\alpha + \beta x^n)}, \text{ seu}$$

$$Q = \frac{1-nn}{4xx}, \text{ vt ante.}$$

III. Sit  $2m = -n - 2$  seu

$$m = -\frac{n+2}{2} \text{ et } 4AA = -\frac{\alpha^2 n n}{\beta},$$

fietque

$$Q = \frac{-(nn-4)}{16xx} - \frac{3nn\beta x^{n-2}}{4(\alpha + \beta x^n)} + \frac{nn'\beta x^{n-2} - \alpha\beta x^{-2} + \alpha\alpha x^{-n-2}}{4\beta(\alpha + \beta x^n)}$$

seu

$$Q = \frac{4-nn}{16xx} + \frac{nn(\alpha\alpha x^{-n-2} - \alpha\beta x^{-2} - 2\beta\beta x^{n-2})}{4\beta(\alpha + \beta x^n)},$$

Q 2

quae

quae expressio abit in

$$Q = \frac{4-nn}{16xx} + \frac{nn}{4\beta}(ax^{-n-1} - 2\beta x^{-n}) = \frac{4-9nn}{16xx} + \frac{nn\alpha}{4\beta x^{n+1}}.$$

Quare cum sit

$$N = + \frac{na\sqrt{-a}}{2\sqrt{\beta}} \cdot \frac{x^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}}{\alpha + \beta x^n}, \text{ erit}$$

$$fN \partial x = \frac{na\sqrt{-a}}{2\sqrt{\beta}} \int \frac{\partial x}{(\alpha + \beta x^n) x^{\frac{n+1}{2}}};$$

sumatur  $n = \frac{1}{3}$ , vt fiat  $m = -\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $Q = \frac{\alpha}{9\beta x^{\frac{1}{3}}}$ , et

$$N = \frac{\alpha\sqrt{-a}}{3\sqrt{\beta}} \cdot \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{3}}}{\alpha + \beta x^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \text{ hinc}$$

$$fN \partial x = \frac{\alpha\sqrt{-a}}{3\sqrt{\beta}} \int \frac{\partial x}{(\alpha + \beta x^{\frac{1}{3}}) x^{\frac{1}{3}}};$$

sicque aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{\alpha}{9\beta x^{\frac{1}{3}}} y \partial x^n = 0$$

integrale erit

$$y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (C e^{-fN \partial x} + D e^{fN \partial x}).$$

Sin autem capiatur  $n = -\frac{1}{3}$ , vt fiat

$$m = -\frac{1}{3} \text{ et } Q = \frac{\alpha}{9\beta x^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \text{ erit}$$

N =

$$N = \frac{-\alpha\sqrt{-\alpha}}{3\sqrt{\beta}} \cdot \frac{x^{-\frac{1}{3}}}{\alpha + \beta x^{-\frac{1}{3}}} \text{ et}$$

$$\int N dx = \frac{-\alpha\sqrt{-\alpha}}{3\sqrt{\beta}} \int \frac{x^{\frac{1}{3}} dx}{\alpha x + \beta x^{\frac{1}{3}}} = \frac{-\alpha\sqrt{-\alpha}}{3\sqrt{\beta}} \int \frac{dx}{\alpha x^{\frac{2}{3}} + \beta}$$

vnde aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{\alpha y \partial x^2}{9 \beta x^{\frac{1}{3}}} = 0$$

simili modo integratur.

### Scholion 1.

904. Aequationem ergo  $\partial \partial y + A x^m y \partial x^2 = 0$  his casibus integrare licuit,  $m=0$ ,  $m=-4$ ,  $m=-\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $m=-\frac{2}{3}$  et  $m=-2$ , seu  $m=-2+\frac{1}{3}$ , et  $m=-2+\frac{2}{3}$ . Quodsi vltcrius ponamus

$N = \frac{A x^\lambda}{\alpha + \beta x^n + \gamma x^{n^2}}$ , simili modo integrationem casuum istius aequationis  $m=-2+\frac{1}{3}$  impetrabimus, quibus quoque aequatio differentialis primi gradus

$$\partial z + z x \partial x + A x^m \partial x = 0$$

integrationem admittit. Haec autem casuum integrabilium investigatio nimis est operosa, quam vt eam fusius prosequamur, praesertim cum infra methodus occurrat haec omnia commodius euoluendi.

### Scholion 2.

905. Ex his colligere licet, quantus fructus ex inuentione multiplicatorum, quibus etiam aequationes differentio-differentiales integrabiles redduntur, expectari queat, etiam si exempla hic tractata tantum leue huius methodi specimen referant.

ferant. Aliquas autem saltem multiplicatorum formas hic sum contemplatus, neque vllum est dubium, quin plures aliae formae pari successu in vsum vocari queant. In hoc porro capite tantum eiusmodi aequationes differentio-differentiales tractauimus, in quibus altera variabilis  $y$  cum suis differentialibus  $\partial y$  et  $\partial \partial y$  vbique vnicam obtinet dimensionem. Verum eadem methodus quoque ad alia huiusmodi aequationum genera extenditur, quae etsi parum adhuc est exulta, tamen vfu non carebit sequens applicatio, vbi integratio aliarum aequationum differentialium secundi gradus, quae aliis methodis tractatu difficillimae videntur, ope multiplicatorum docebitur.

---

## CAPVT VI.

DE

INTEGRATIONE ALIARVM AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIO-DIFFERENTIALIVM PER IDONEOS MVLTIPlicATORES INSTITVENDA.

## Problema 112.

906.

**P**osito elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proposita sit huiusmodi aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{A y \partial x^2}{(B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x)^2} = 0,$$

inuenire multiplicatorem, quo ea integrabilis reddatur.

## Solutio.

Tentetur talis multiplicatoris forma  $2 P \partial y + 2 Q y \partial x$ , vbi  $P$  et  $Q$  sint functiones ipsius  $x$ , et producti

$$2 \partial \partial y (P \partial y + Q y \partial x) + \frac{2 A y \partial x^2 (P \partial y + Q y \partial x)}{(B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x)^2} = 0,$$

integrale. statuatur

$$P \partial y^2 + 2 Q y \partial x \partial y + V \partial x^2 = \text{Const.} \partial x^2,$$

vbi  $V$  sit functio binas variables  $x$  et  $y$  complectens. Erit ergo facta aequalitate

$$+ \partial P \partial y^2 + 2 y \partial x \partial Q \partial y - \frac{2 A y \partial x^2 (P \partial y + Q y \partial x)}{(B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x)^2} = 0,$$

$$+ 2 Q \partial x \partial y^2 + \partial x^2 \partial V$$

quae per integrationem valorem ipsius  $V$  suppeditare nequit; nisi

nisi sit  $\partial P + 2 Q \partial x = 0$ , eritque tum

$$\partial V = \frac{A y (4 P \partial y - y \partial P)}{(B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x)^2} - 2 y \partial y \cdot \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x},$$

cuius formulæ, siquidem integrationem admittat, integrale ex variabilitate ipsius  $y$  erit

$$\frac{-A P}{B (B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x)} - y y \cdot \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = V.$$

Sumto ergo  $y$  constante, necesse est fit

$$-\frac{A \partial P (B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x) + 2 A P \partial x (D + E x)}{B (B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x)^2} - y y \cdot \frac{\partial \partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{-A y y \partial P}{(B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x)^2},$$

cui satisfit, si  $\frac{\partial \partial Q}{\partial x^2} = 0$  et

$$-\partial P (C + 2 D x + E x x) + 2 P \partial x (D + E x) = 0,$$

quæ duæ conditiones an simul consistere possint, videndum est. Posterior autem dat

$$\frac{\partial P}{P} = \frac{2 D \partial x + 2 E x \partial x}{C + 2 D x + E x x}, \text{ ideoque } P = C + 2 D x + E x x,$$

vnde fit

$$Q = -\frac{\partial P}{2 \partial x} = -D - E x, \text{ hinc } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = -E \text{ et } \frac{\partial \partial Q}{\partial x^2} = 0.$$

Multiplicator ergo quaesitus est

$$2 \partial y (C + 2 D x + E x x) - 2 y \partial x (D + E x),$$

hincque obtinetur integrale

$$\frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x^2} (C + 2 D x + E x x) - \frac{2 y \partial y}{\partial x} (D + E x) -$$

$$\frac{A (C + 2 D x + E x x)}{B (B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x)} + E y y = \text{Const.}$$

feu vtrinque addendo  $\frac{A}{B}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x^2} (C + 2 D x + E x x) - \frac{2 y \partial y}{\partial x} (D + E x)$$

$$+ \frac{A y y}{B y y + C + 2 D x + E x x} + E y y = \text{Const.}$$

### Corollarium I.

907. Haec ergo aequatio  $\partial \partial y + \frac{a a y \partial x^2}{(2 y + x x)^2} = 0$ , vbi  $A = a a$ ,  $B = 1$ ,  $C = 0$ ,  $D = 0$ , et  $E = 1$ , integrabilis



bilis redditur multiplicatore  $2xx\partial y - 2yx\partial x$ , eiusque integrale erit

$$\frac{xx\partial y^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{xy\partial y}{\partial x} + yy + \frac{ayy}{y+x} = bb.$$

## Corollarium 2.

908. Si hic ponatur  $y = ux$ , ob  $\partial y = u\partial x + x\partial u$ , habebimus

$$xxuu + \frac{2ux^2\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{x^2\partial u^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{auu}{1+uu} = bb \\ - 2xxuu - \frac{2ux^2\partial u}{\partial x}$$

+  $xxuu$ , siue

$$\frac{x^2\partial u^2}{\partial x^2} = \frac{bb + (bb - au)u}{1+uu}, \text{ ergo } \frac{\partial x}{xx} = \frac{\partial u \sqrt{(1+uu)}}{\sqrt{[bb + (bb - au)u]^2}}$$

vnde tam  $x$  quam  $y$  per  $u$  determinatur.

## Corollarium 3.

909. Simili etiam modo integratio in genere perfici potest. Sit enim breuitatis gratia

$$C + 2Dx + Exx = Bzz, \text{ erit } D + Ex = \frac{Bz\partial z}{\partial x},$$

et aequatio nostra fiet

$$\frac{Bzz\partial y^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{2Bzy\partial y\partial z}{\partial x^2} + Eyy + \frac{Ayy}{B(y+z)} = \frac{K}{B},$$

quae posito  $y = uz$ , abit in

$$\frac{Bz^2\partial u^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{2Buz\partial z\partial u}{\partial x^2} + Euu + \frac{Auu}{B(1+uu)} = \frac{K}{B}.$$

At  $\frac{zz\partial z^2}{\partial x^2} = \frac{(D+Ex)^2}{BB}$ , vnde oritur

$$\frac{Bz^2\partial u^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{CE - DD}{B}uu = \frac{K + (K - A)uu}{B(1+uu)}, \text{ seu} \\ \frac{BBz^2\partial u^2}{\partial x^2} = \frac{K + (K - A + DD - CE)uu + (DD - CE)u^2}{1+uu},$$

ita vt sit, restituto valore ipsius  $z$ ,

$$\frac{\partial x}{C + 2Dx + Exx} = \frac{\partial u \sqrt{(1+uu)}}{\sqrt{[K + (K - A + DD - CE)uu + (DD - CE)u^2]}}$$

ficque  $x$  definitur per  $u$ , indeque etiam

$$y = uz = u \sqrt{\frac{C + 2Dx + Exx}{B}}$$

### Scholion.

910. Hinc patet substitutio, qua tam ipsa aequatio differentio-differentialis proposita, quam multiplicator ad formam commodiorem reduci debet. Posito enim ad abbreviandum  $C + 2Dx + Exx = Bzz$ , aequatio nostra

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{A \gamma \partial x^2}{B^2(\gamma + zz)^2} = 0,$$

ope substitutionis  $y = uz$  transformatur in

$$z \partial \partial u + 2 \partial z \partial u + u \partial \partial z + \frac{A u \partial x^2}{B B z \cdot (1 + uz)^2} = 0,$$

cuius multiplicator est

$$z B (z z \partial y - y z \partial z), \text{ seu } 2 B z^3 \partial u,$$

vel simpliciter  $z^3 \partial u$ . Cum autem sit  $\partial z = \frac{\partial x (D + Ex)}{Bz}$ , erit

$$\partial \partial z = \frac{E \partial x^2}{Bz} - \frac{\partial x \partial z (D + Ex)}{Bz z} = \frac{E \partial x^2}{Bz} - \frac{\partial x^2 (D + Ex)^2}{B B z^3} = \frac{(CE - DD) \partial x^2}{B B z^3},$$

ita vt sit  $z^3 \partial \partial z = \frac{CE - DD}{B} \partial x^2$ , vnde aequatio nostra per  $z^3 \partial u$  multiplicata induit hanc formam

$$z^4 \partial u \partial \partial u + 2 z^3 \partial z \partial u^2 + \frac{CE - DD}{B} u \partial u \partial x^2 + \frac{A u \partial u \partial x^2}{B B (1 + uz)^2} = 0,$$

manifesto integrabilem, integrali existente

$$\frac{1}{2} z^4 \partial u^2 + \frac{CE - DD}{2 B} u u \partial x^2 - \frac{A \partial x^2}{2 B B (1 + uz)} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Const.} \partial x^2,$$

cuius adeo noua integratio ob  $z$  functionem ipsius  $x$  mox in oculos incurrit, cum sit

$$z z \partial u = \partial x \sqrt{\left( \text{Const.} + \frac{DD - CE}{B} u u + \frac{A}{B B (1 + uz)} \right)},$$

vbi variables  $u$  et  $x$  sponte separantur. Caeterum hic notetur, functionem pro  $z$  assumptam satisfacere aequationi  $z^3 \partial \partial z = \alpha \partial x^2$ , cum tamen eius ratio non sit manifesta. Multiplicando autem hanc aequationem per  $\frac{z \partial x}{z^3}$  prodit,  $2 \partial z \partial \partial z = \frac{C \alpha \partial x^2 \partial z}{z^3}$ , cuius

cuius integrale est  $\partial z^2 = \beta \partial x^2 - \frac{\alpha \partial x^2}{z^2}$ , seu  $\partial x = \frac{\alpha \partial z}{\gamma(\beta z z - \alpha)}$ ,

vnde porro fit  $\beta x + \gamma = \sqrt{(\beta z z - \alpha)}$ , ideoque

$$\beta z z = \alpha + \gamma \gamma + 2 \beta \gamma x + \beta \beta x x,$$

quae est ipsa nostra forma.

### Problema 113.

911. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, inuenire formam generaliore[m] aequationum differentio-differentialium quae ope huiusmodi multiplicatoris  $M y \partial x + N \partial y$  integrabiles reddantur.

### Solutio.

Quia multiplicator ope substitutionis  $y = R u$  in formam simplicissimam  $S \partial u$  transmutari potest, hac substitutione ipsa aequatio differentio-differentialis induat hanc formam

$$\partial \partial u + P \partial x \partial u + \frac{U \partial x^2}{S} = 0,$$

cuius postremum membrum per  $S \partial u$  multiplicatum sponte est integrabile, si quidem  $U$  denotet functionem quamcunque ipsius  $u$ , dum  $R$ ,  $S$  et  $P$  sint functiones ipsius  $x$ . Cum ergo aequatio

$$S \partial u \partial \partial u + P S \partial x \partial u^2 + U \partial x^2 \partial u = 0,$$

debeat esse integrabilis, posito integrali

$$\frac{1}{2} S \partial u^2 + \partial x^2 f U \partial u = \frac{1}{2} C \partial x^2,$$

neceffe est fit

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial S \partial u^2 = P S \partial x \partial u^2, \text{ seu } P \partial x = \frac{\partial S}{2 S}.$$

Quocirca haec forma generalis

$$\partial \partial u + \frac{\partial S \partial u}{2 S} + \frac{U \partial x^2}{S} = 0,$$

per  $S \partial u$  multiplicata dabit integrale

$$S \partial u^2 = \partial x^2 (C - 2 f U \partial u),$$

R 2

quod

sicque  $x$  definitur per  $u$ , indeque etiam

$$y = uz = u \sqrt{\frac{C + 2Dx + Exx}{B}}.$$

### Scholion.

910. Hinc patet substitutio, qua tam ipsa aequatio differentio-differentialis proposita, quam multiplicator ad formam commodiorem reduci debet. Posito enim ad abbreviandum  $C + 2Dx + Exx = Bzz$ , aequatio nostra

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{A y \partial x^2}{B^2(y) + z z^2} = 0,$$

ope substitutionis  $y = uz$  transformatur in

$$z \partial \partial u + 2 \partial z \partial u + u \partial \partial z + \frac{A u \partial x^2}{B B z + (1 + u u)^2} = 0,$$

cuius multiplicator est

$$z B (z z \partial y - y z \partial z), \text{ seu } z B z^2 \partial u,$$

vel simpliciter  $z^3 \partial u$ . Cum autem sit  $\partial z = \frac{\partial x(D + Ex)}{Bz}$ , erit

$$\partial \partial z = \frac{E \partial x^2}{Bz} - \frac{\partial x \partial z (D + Ex)}{Bz z} = \frac{E \partial x^2}{Bz} - \frac{\partial x^2 (D + Ex)^2}{B B z^3} = \frac{(CE - DD) \partial x^2}{B B z^3},$$

ita vt sit  $z^3 \partial \partial z = \frac{CE - DD}{B B} \partial x^2$ , vnde aequatio nostra per  $z^3 \partial u$  multiplicata induit hanc formam

$$z^4 \partial u \partial \partial u + 2 z^3 \partial z \partial u^2 + \frac{CE - DD}{B B} u \partial u \partial x^2 + \frac{A u \partial u \partial x^2}{B B (1 + u u)^2} = 0,$$

manifesto integrabilem, integrali existente

$$\frac{1}{2} z^4 \partial u^2 + \frac{CE - DD}{2 B B} u u \partial x^2 - \frac{A \partial x^2}{2 B B (1 + u u)} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Const.} \partial x^2,$$

cuius adeo noua integratio ob  $z$  functionem ipsius  $x$  mox in oculos incurrit, cum sit

$$z z \partial u = \partial x \sqrt{\left( \text{Const.} + \frac{DD - CE}{B B} u u + \frac{A}{B B (1 + u u)} \right)},$$

vbi variables  $u$  et  $x$  sponte separantur. Caeterum hic notetur, functionem pro  $z$  assumtam satisfacere aequationi  $z^2 \partial \partial z = a \partial x^2$ , cum tamen eius ratio non sit manifesta. Multiplicando autem hanc aequationem per  $\frac{\partial x}{z^3}$  prodit,  $2 \partial z \partial \partial z = \frac{2 a \partial x^2 \partial z}{z^3}$ ,

cuius

cuius integrale est  $\partial z^2 = \beta \partial x^2 - \frac{\alpha \partial x^2}{z}$ , seu  $\partial x = \frac{\alpha \partial z}{\gamma(\beta z z - \alpha)}$ ,

vnde porro fit  $\beta x + \gamma = \sqrt{(\beta z z - \alpha)}$ , ideoque

$$\beta z z = \alpha + \gamma \gamma + 2 \beta \gamma x + \beta \beta x x,$$

quae est ipsa nostra forma.

### Problema 113.

911. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, inuenire formam generaliore[m] aequationum differentio-differentialium quae ope huiusmodi multiplicatoris  $M y \partial x + N \partial y$  integrabiles redantur.

### Solutio.

Quia multiplicator ope substitutionis  $y = R u$  in formam simplicissimam  $S \partial u$  transmutari potest, hac substitutione ipsa aequatio differentio-differentialis induat hanc formam

$$\partial \partial u + P \partial x \partial u + \frac{U \partial x^2}{S} = 0,$$

cuius postremum membrum per  $S \partial u$  multiplicatum sponte est integrabile, si quidem  $U$  denotet functionem quamcunque ipsius  $u$ , dum  $R$ ,  $S$  et  $P$  sint functiones ipsius  $x$ . Cum ergo aequatio

$$S \partial u \partial \partial u + P S \partial x \partial u^2 + U \partial x^2 \partial u = 0,$$

debeat esse integrabilis, posito integrali

$$\frac{1}{2} S \partial u^2 + \partial x^2 \int U \partial u = \frac{1}{2} C \partial x^2,$$

neceffe est fit

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial S \partial u^2 = P S \partial x \partial u^2, \text{ seu } P \partial x = \frac{\partial S}{2S}.$$

Quocirca haec forma generalis

$$\partial \partial u + \frac{\partial S \partial u}{2S} + \frac{U \partial x^2}{S} = 0,$$

per  $S \partial u$  multiplicata dabit integrale

$$S \partial u^2 = \partial x^2 (C - 2 \int U \partial u),$$

R 2

quod

quod denuo integratum praebet

$$\int \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt{S}} = \int \frac{\partial u}{\sqrt{(1 - \alpha_j \partial \partial u)}}.$$

Cum igitur haec sint manifesta, ponendo  $u = \frac{y}{R}$  ad formas magis intricatas regrediamur, ita vt iam sit  $U = \text{funct. } \frac{y}{R}$ . Nunc vero est

$$\partial u = \frac{\partial y}{R} - \frac{y \partial R}{R R} \text{ et } \partial \partial u = \frac{\partial \partial y}{R} - \frac{\partial \partial R \partial y}{R R} - \frac{y \partial \partial R}{R R} + \frac{\alpha y \partial R \partial R}{R^3},$$

vnde aequatio nostra fit

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \partial y}{R} - \frac{\alpha \partial R \partial y}{R R} - \frac{y \partial \partial R}{R R} + \frac{\alpha y \partial R^2}{R^3} + \frac{U \partial x^2}{S} = 0, \\ + \frac{\partial S \partial y}{\alpha R S} - \frac{y \partial R \partial S}{\alpha R R S}, \end{aligned}$$

quae per  $\frac{S}{R R} (R \partial y - y \partial R)$  multiplicata integrabilis redditur.

Vt igitur ad formam supra propositam accedamus, statuamus  $S = \alpha R^2$ , et aequatio

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{R} - \frac{y \partial \partial R}{R R} + \frac{U \partial x^2}{\alpha R^2} = 0$$

per  $\alpha R R (R \partial y - y \partial R)$  multiplicata integrabilis redditur. Seu haec aequatio

$$R \partial \partial y - y \partial \partial R + \frac{\partial x^2}{R R} f: \frac{y}{R} = 0$$

per  $R \partial y - y \partial R$  multiplicata fit integrabilis.

Vt via ad integrationem perueniendi magis occultetur, ponatur  $f: \frac{y}{R} = \frac{\alpha y}{R} + V$ , vt  $V$  fit functio homogenea nullius dimensionis ipsarum  $y$  et  $R$ , ac ponatur  $y \partial \partial R = \frac{\alpha y \partial x^2}{R^2}$ , vt fiat

$$R \partial \partial y + \frac{V \partial x^2}{R R} = 0, \text{ seu } \partial \partial y + \frac{V \partial x^2}{R^2} = 0,$$

quae multiplicatore  $R (R \partial y - y \partial R)$  redditur integrabilis. At cum sit  $\partial \partial R = \frac{\alpha \partial x^2}{R^2}$ , erit vt supra vidimus

$$R = \sqrt{(\alpha + 2 \beta x + \gamma x x)},$$

vnde dum  $V$  sit functio homogenea nullius dimensionis ipsarum

rum  $y$  et  $R = \sqrt{(a + 2\beta x + \gamma x x)}$ , aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{V \partial x^2}{(a + 2\beta x + \gamma x x)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = 0$$

ope multiplicatoris

$$(a + 2\beta x + \gamma x x) \partial y - (\beta + \gamma x) y \partial x,$$

integrabilis euadit

### Corollarium 1.

912. Posito autem  $R = \sqrt{(a + 2\beta x + \gamma x x)}$ , aequatio nostra per  $RR \partial y - R y \partial R$  multiplicata fit

$$RR \partial y \partial \partial y - R y \partial R \partial \partial y + \frac{V \partial x^2 (R \partial y - y \partial R)}{R R} = 0,$$

cuius integrale est

$$\frac{1}{2} RR \partial y^2 - R y \partial R \partial y + \int y \partial y (R \partial \partial R + \partial R^2) \\ + \partial x^2 \int V \partial \frac{y}{R} = \text{Const. } \partial x^2,$$

vbi est

$$R \partial \partial R + \partial R^2 = \partial . R \partial R = \partial . (\beta + \gamma x) \partial x = V \partial x^2,$$

ficque integrale est

$$RR \partial y^2 - 2 R y \partial R \partial y + \gamma y y \partial x^2 \int V \partial \frac{y}{R} = \text{Const. } \partial x^2.$$

### Corollarium 2.

913. Quia  $V$  est functio ipsius  $\frac{y}{R}$ , formulae  $\int V \partial \frac{y}{R}$  integrale habetur. Pro ulteriore vero integratione posito  $y = R u$  et  $\int V \partial u = U$ , habebitur

$$R^2 \partial u^2 - R R u u \partial R^2 + \gamma R R u u \partial x^2 + 2 U \partial x^2 = G \partial x^2,$$

feu  $R^2 \partial u^2 = \partial x^2 [G - 2 U + (\beta \beta - \alpha \gamma) u u]$ ,

hincque

$$\frac{\partial x}{a + 2\beta x + \gamma x x} = \frac{\partial u}{\sqrt{(G - 2 U + (\beta \beta - \alpha \gamma) u u)}}$$

ac porro  $y = u \sqrt{(a + 2\beta x + \gamma x x)}$ .

R 3

Scho-

## Scholion.

914. Haec ergo aequatio  $\partial \partial y + \frac{v \partial x^2}{k^2} = 0$ , existente  $R = \sqrt{(\alpha + 2\beta x + \gamma x^2)}$ , multo latius patet ea quam in praecedente problemate tractauimus, propterea quod hic pro  $V$  accipere licet functionem quamcumque homogeneam nullius dimensionis ipsarum  $y$  et  $R$ . Si enim sumatur  $V = \frac{A \sqrt{R}}{(m \sqrt{R} + k R)^2}$ , ipsa aequatio primum tractata oritur. Caeterum ex methode, qua illam aequationem elicuimus apparet, eam per restrictionem ad hanc formam occultam esse perductam, cum ea aequatio, vnde est nata

$$R \partial \partial y - y \partial \partial R + \frac{\partial x^2}{k R} f : \frac{\partial}{k} = 0$$

perspicue integrationem admittat, si per  $R \partial y - y \partial R$  multiplicetur. Est enim

$R \partial \partial y - y \partial \partial R = \partial \cdot (R \partial y - y \partial R)$  et  $\frac{R \partial y - y \partial R}{k R} = \partial \cdot \frac{\partial}{k}$ ,  
vnde facta multiplicatione habebimus

$(R \partial y - y \partial R) \partial \cdot (R \partial y - y \partial R) + \partial x^2 f : \frac{\partial}{k} \partial \cdot \frac{\partial}{k} = 0$ ,  
cuius aequationis utrumque membrum per se est integrabile. In aequatione autem inde crua integrabilitas minus perspicitur, multo magis integratio est abscondita in aequationibus sequentibus.

## Problema 114.

915. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, integrationem huius aequationis

$$y y \partial \partial y + y \partial y^2 + A x \partial x^2 = 0$$

ope multiplicatoris eam integrabilem reddentis perficere.

## Solutio.

Hic frustra tentatur multiplicator huius formae  $L \partial y + M \partial x$ ; tentetur ergo haec forma

$$3 L \partial y^2 + 2 M \partial x \partial y + N \partial x^2$$

ac



ac ponatur producti integrale

$$Lyy\partial y^3 + Myy\partial x\partial y^2 + Nyy\partial x^2\partial y + V\partial x^3 = C\partial x^3$$

eius differentiatio perducit ad hanc aequationem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial x^3\partial V = & 3Ly\partial y^4 + 2My\partial x\partial y^3 + Ny\partial x^2\partial y^2 + 2AMx\partial x^2\partial y + ANx\partial x^3 \\ & - 2Ly\partial y^4 - yy\partial x\partial y^3\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right) + 3ALx\partial x^2\partial y^2 - yy\partial x^2\partial y\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right) \\ & - yy\partial y^4\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) - 2My\partial x\partial y^3 - yy\partial x^2\partial y^2\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}\right) \\ & - yy\partial x\partial y^3\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right) - 2Ny\partial x^2\partial y^2 \\ & - yy\partial x^2\partial y^2\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial y}\right) \end{aligned}$$

quae formula vt integrationem admittat, membra quae  $\partial y^4$ ,  $\partial y^3$  et  $\partial y^2$  continent, euanescere debent: vnde primo colligitur  $L - y\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) = 0$ , vbi  $\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right)$  nascitur ex differentiatione ipsius L posito x constante. Consideretur ergo x vt quantitas constans, eritque  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial y}{y}$ , ideoque  $L = yf : x$ . Negligamus autem hanc functionem ipsius x, seu eius loco vnitatem sumamus, vt fit  $L = y$  et  $\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right) = 0$ : secundo ergo esse debet  $\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right) = 0$ . Sumamus igitur  $M = 0$ , etiam si M denotare possit functionem quamcunq; ipsius x, quandoquidem videbimus hoc modo negotium confici posse. Tertio itaque habebimus

$$-Ny + 3Ax - yy\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial y}\right) = 0;$$

sumto ergo x constante, erit  $3Ax\partial y = N\partial y + y\partial N$ , ideoque  $Ny = 3Axy$  seu  $N = 3Ax$ , vbi iterum functionem ipsius x, quae loco constantis ingrederetur, negligimus. Cum igitur haecenus inuenimus  $L = y$ ,  $M = 0$  et  $N = 3Ax$ , erit  $\partial V = -3Ayy\partial y + 3AAx\partial x$ , quae formula cum sponte fit integrabilis scilicet  $V = -Ay^3 + AAx^2$ , multiplicator nostram aequationem integrabilem reddens erit  $= 3y\partial y^2 + 3Ax\partial x^2$ , et producti integrale habebitur

$$y^3\partial y^3 + 3Axyy\partial x^2\partial y - Ay^3\partial x^3 + AAx^3\partial x^3 = C\partial x^3$$

quod ob constantem C est integrale completum.

Corol-

## Corollarium 1.

916. Huius integralis membrum primum commode in tres factores resolui potest. Si ponantur formulae  $z^3 - A$  factores  $(z - \alpha)(z - \beta)(z - \gamma)$ , ut sit

$$\alpha = \sqrt[3]{A}, \beta = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \sqrt[3]{A} \text{ et } \gamma = \frac{-1 - \sqrt{-3}}{2} \sqrt[3]{A},$$

crit integrale inuentum

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} - \alpha y + \alpha \alpha x\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} - \beta y + \beta \beta x\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} - \gamma y + \gamma \gamma x\right) = C,$$

existente

$$\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0, \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma = 0 \text{ et } \alpha\beta\gamma = A.$$

Posito enim  $\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = z$ , habetur haec forma

$$z^3 + 3Axyz - Ay^3 + AAx^3,$$

cuius factor si ponatur  $z - p - q$ , fit

$$z^3 - 3pqz - p^3 - q^3 = 0,$$

ideoque

$$p = y \sqrt[3]{A} \text{ et } q = -x \sqrt[3]{A}.$$

## Corollarium 2.

917. Sumto ergo constante  $C = 0$ , tria obtinentur integralia particularia

$$y \partial y - \alpha y \partial x + \alpha \alpha x \partial x = 0,$$

et loco  $\alpha$  scribendo  $\beta$  et  $\gamma$ ,

$$y \partial y - \beta y \partial x + \beta \beta x \partial x = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$y \partial y - \gamma y \partial x + \gamma \gamma x \partial x = 0,$$

quae posito  $y = ux$  dant  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{-u \partial u}{u u - \alpha u + \alpha \alpha}$ , et porro integrando

$$l x = l \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{(u\alpha - \alpha u + u u)}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \text{Ang. tang. } \frac{u \sqrt{3}}{u\alpha - u} + \text{Const.}$$

Scho-

## Scholion 1.

918. Aequationem autem differentialem primi ordinis inuentam difficile est denuo integrare. A potestatibus quidem differentialium, ponendo  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $y = ux$ , vnde fit  $\frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{\partial u}{p - u}$ , liberari potest, prodit enim

$$x^3 (u^3 p^3 + 3 A u u p - A u^3 + A A) = C,$$

quae sumtis logarithmis, differentiatia dat

$$\frac{\partial x}{x} + \frac{u u \partial p (u p p + A) + u \partial u (u p^3 + 3 A p - A u)}{u^3 p^3 + 3 A u u p - A u^3 + A A} = 0,$$

quae loco  $\frac{\partial x}{x}$  scripto  $\frac{\partial u}{p - u}$ , abit in

$$\partial u (u p p + A)^2 + u u (p - u) \partial p (u p p + A) = 0,$$

ac per  $u p p + A$  diuidendo, ori.ur

$$A \partial u + u p p \partial u + p u u \partial p - u^3 \partial p = 0,$$

quae ponendo  $p = \frac{q}{u}$  aliquanto fit simplicior, scilicet

$$A \partial u + q \partial q + q u \partial u - u u \partial q = 0,$$

cui autem posito  $A = m^2$ , etsi particulariter satisfacit  $q = mu - mm$ , tamen inde integratio completa erui vix posse videtur. Caeterum eadem haec aequatio inter  $p$  et  $u$  immediate elicitur ex aequatione differentio-differentiali proposita, quoniam in ea binae variables  $x$  et  $y$  vbique eundem dimensionum numerum constituunt. Posito enim  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $y = ux$ , abit ea in

$$u u x \partial p + u p p \partial x + A \partial x = 0, \text{ seu } \frac{\partial x}{x} = \frac{-u u \partial p}{A + u p p} = \frac{\partial u}{p - u},$$

quae est ipsa praecedens aequatio.

## Scholion 2.

919. Interim tamen aequatio proposita complete integrari potest, indeque etiam eae, quas ex ea eliciimus. Hoc autem profus, singulari ratione praestatur, aequationem illam adeo ad differentialia tertii ordinis euehendo. Cum enim sit

Vol. II.

S

yδ.

$$y \partial. \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + A x \partial x = 0,$$

statuatur  $\frac{\partial x}{\partial v} = \partial v$ , vt fiat

$$y \partial. \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial v^2} + A x \partial x = 0, \text{ seu } \partial. \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial v^2} + A x \partial v = 0,$$

quae sumto elemento  $\partial v$  constante, denuo differentiata praebet

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial v^3} + A \partial x \partial v = 0, \text{ seu } \partial^3 y + A y \partial v^3 = 0:$$

quae forma ita est comparata, vt si ei particulariter satisfaciant  $y = P$ ,  $y = Q$ ,  $y = R$ , etiam satisfaciat  $y = DP + EQ + FR$ . Iam vero illi satisfacit  $y = e^{-\alpha v}$ , si fuerit  $\alpha^3 = A$ ; cum igitur in Coroll. 1. ternae litterae  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  eadem conditione sint praeditae, habebitur integrale completum

$$y = D e^{-\alpha v} + E e^{-\beta v} + F e^{-\gamma v};$$

vnde ob  $A x = -\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial v^2}$ , erit

$$x = \frac{-D \alpha \alpha e^{-\alpha v} - E \beta \beta e^{-\beta v} - F \gamma \gamma e^{-\gamma v}}{A};$$

seu mutatis constantibus, ob  $A = \alpha^3 = \beta^3 = \gamma^3$ ,

$$x = + \mathfrak{A} e^{-\alpha v} + \mathfrak{B} e^{-\beta v} + \mathfrak{C} e^{-\gamma v}$$

$$y = - \mathfrak{A} \alpha e^{-\alpha v} - \mathfrak{B} \beta e^{-\beta v} - \mathfrak{C} \gamma e^{-\gamma v}.$$

Hinc ergo aequationis

$$A \partial u + q \partial q + q u \partial u - u u \partial q = 0$$

integrale completum his formulis continetur

$$u = \frac{-\mathfrak{A} \alpha e^{-\alpha v} - \mathfrak{B} \beta e^{-\beta v} - \mathfrak{C} \gamma e^{-\gamma v}}{\mathfrak{A} e^{-\alpha v} + \mathfrak{B} e^{-\beta v} + \mathfrak{C} e^{-\gamma v}} \text{ et}$$

$$q = \frac{\mathfrak{A} \alpha \alpha e^{-\alpha v} + \mathfrak{B} \beta \beta e^{-\beta v} + \mathfrak{C} \gamma \gamma e^{-\gamma v}}{\mathfrak{A} e^{-\alpha v} + \mathfrak{B} e^{-\beta v} + \mathfrak{C} e^{-\gamma v}},$$

ob  $q = p u = \frac{\partial^2 y}{x \partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 y}{x \partial v}$ , quod insigne est specimen integrationis methodo directa vix perficiendae.

Pro-

## Problema 115.

920. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, si proponatur haec aequatio  $2y^3 \partial \partial y + yy \partial y^2 + X \partial x^2 = 0$ , existente  $X = a + \beta x + \gamma x x$ , inuenire multiplicatorem, qui eam integrabilem reddat.

## Solutio.

Hic frustra tentantur multiplicatores formae

$$L \partial y + M \partial x \text{ et } L \partial y^2 + M \partial x \partial y + N \partial x^2;$$

fumamus ergo multiplicatorem huius formae

$$2L \partial y^2 + M \partial x^2 \partial y + N \partial x^2,$$

et integrale statuatur

$$Ly^3 \partial y^4 + My^3 \partial x^2 \partial y^2 + 2Ny^3 \partial x^2 \partial y + S \partial x^4 = 0,$$

vnde per differentiationem colligitur

$$\begin{aligned} \partial x^4 \partial S &= 2Ly^3 \partial y^3 + My^3 \partial x^2 \partial y^2 + N \partial y \partial x^2 \partial y^2 + MX \partial x^4 \partial y + NX \partial x^4 \\ &- 3Ly^2 \partial y^4 + 2LX \partial x^2 \partial y^2 - y^3 \partial x^2 \partial y^2 \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}\right) - 2y^3 \partial x^4 \partial y \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right) \\ &- y^3 \partial y^2 \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) - 3My^2 \partial x^2 \partial y^2 - 6Ny^2 \partial x^2 \partial y^2 \\ &- y^3 \partial x^2 \partial y^2 \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right) - 2y^3 \partial x^2 \partial y^2 \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial y}\right) \end{aligned}$$

vbi fumimus  $L$  esse functionem ipsius  $y$  tantum. Vt ergo termini  $\partial y^2$  continentes destruantur, erit

$$-L - y \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = 0 \text{ et } L = \frac{y}{2}.$$

Deinde pro destructione terminorum per  $\partial y^2$  affectorum erit

$$-2My^2 + \frac{2X}{y} - y^3 \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right) = 0,$$

et sumto  $x$  constante

$$\partial M + \frac{2M \partial y}{y} = \frac{2X \partial y}{y^2},$$

quae per  $yy$  multiplicata et integrata praebet

$$My^2 = P - \frac{2X}{y} \text{ et } M = \frac{P}{y^2} - \frac{2X}{y^3}$$

S 2

deno-

denotante P functionem quamcunque ipsius x. Iam ad terminos  $\partial y^2$  tollendos, erit

$$-5 N y y - y \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} - 2 y^3 \left( \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} \right) = 0,$$

et sumto x constante

$$2 y^3 \partial N + 5 N y y \partial y = \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} \partial y - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} \cdot y \partial y,$$

quae per  $\sqrt{y}$  diuisa et integrata dat

$$2 N y^{\frac{5}{2}} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} \sqrt{y} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} y \sqrt{y},$$

neglecta functione ipsius x addenda, quoniam irrationalitas  $\sqrt{y}$  in calculum non ingreditur. Erit ergo  $N = \frac{\partial X}{\partial y \partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y \partial x}$ , ac propterea

$$\partial S = \partial y \left( \frac{P X}{y^2} - \frac{\partial X X}{y^2} - \frac{\partial y \partial \partial X}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial y \partial \partial P}{\partial x^2} \right) + \frac{\partial X \partial X}{y^2} - \frac{X \partial P}{y^2},$$

vnde fit integrando

$$S = \frac{X X}{y^2} - \frac{P X}{y^2} - \frac{\partial y \partial \partial X}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial y \partial \partial P}{\partial x^2} + f \left( \frac{P \partial X}{y} + \frac{\partial X \partial P}{3 y} + \frac{\partial y \partial \partial X}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial y \partial \partial P}{\partial x^2} \right),$$

quae finite exprimetur si  $P=0$ , cum ob  $X = a + \beta x + \gamma x x$  fit  $\partial^3 X = 0$ . Quocirca habemus

$$L = \frac{1}{y}, \quad M = -\frac{\partial X}{y^2}, \quad \text{et} \quad N = \frac{\partial X}{\partial y \partial x},$$

atque

$$S = \frac{X X}{y^2} - \frac{\partial y \partial \partial X}{\partial x^2} + \text{Const.}$$

vnde aequatio integralis est

$$y^2 \partial y^4 - 2 X \partial x^2 \partial y^2 + 4 y \partial X \partial x^2 \partial y + \frac{X X \partial x^2}{y^2} - 2 y y \partial x^2 \partial \partial X = C \partial x^2.$$

Aequatio ergo proposita

$$2 y^3 \partial \partial y + y y \partial y^2 + \partial x^2 (a + \beta x + \gamma x x) = 0,$$

integrabilis redditur multiplicata per

$$\frac{\partial \partial y^3}{y} - \frac{\partial (a + \beta x + \gamma x x) \partial x^2 \partial y}{y^3} + \frac{\partial \partial x^2 (\beta + \gamma x)}{y^2},$$

tum vero est integrale

$y^4$

$$y^2 \partial y^2 - 2 \partial x^2 \partial y^2 (a + \beta x + \gamma x x) + 4 y \partial x^2 \partial y (\beta + 2 \gamma x) \\ - 4 \gamma y y \partial x^4 + \frac{(a + \beta x + \gamma x x)^2 \partial x^4}{y^2} = C \partial x^4,$$

feu

$$[y y \partial y^2 - (a + \beta x + \gamma x x) \partial x^2]^2 + 4 y^3 \partial x^2 \partial y (\beta + 2 \gamma x), \\ - 4 \gamma y^4 \partial x^4 = C y y \partial x^4.$$

### Scholion I.

921. Integrale hoc ita est intricatum, vt alia metho-  
do vix inueniri potuiffie videatur, verum etiam ita est compa-  
ratum, vt nulla pateat methodus id porro integrandi, vnde  
prima integratio parum lucri attuliffe est iudicanda. Quemad-  
modum autem in praecedente problemate integrale completum  
ex alio fonte haufimus, ita hic fimili modo integrale eruere  
licet, quod eo magis est notatu dignum, cum aequatio pro-  
pofita in fe fpectata folutu fit difficillima. Ponamus fcilicet  
itidem  $\partial x = y \partial v$ , et cum fit

$$\partial \partial y = \partial x \partial \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = y \partial v \partial \cdot \frac{\partial y}{y \partial v},$$

erit fumendo iam elementum  $\partial v$  conftans

$$\partial \partial y = y \partial v \left( \frac{\partial \partial y}{y \partial v} - \frac{\partial y^2}{y^2 \partial v} \right) = \partial \partial y - \frac{\partial y^2}{y}.$$

Hinc noftra aequatio induit hanc formam

$$2 y^3 \partial \partial y - y y \partial y^2 + y y \partial v^2 (a + \beta x + \gamma x x) = 0, \text{ feu}$$

$$2 y \partial \partial y - \partial y^2 + \partial v^2 (a + \beta x + \gamma x x) = 0,$$

quae denuo differentiatia praebet

$$2 y \partial^2 y + y \partial v^3 (\beta + 2 \gamma x) = 0, \text{ feu}$$

$$2 \partial^2 y + \partial v^3 (\beta + 2 \gamma x) = 0,$$

differentietur iterum, prodibitque

$$2 \partial^4 y + 2 \gamma y \partial v^4 = 0, \text{ feu } \partial^4 y + \gamma y \partial v^4 = 0,$$

quam aequationem fi aliunde refoluere, valoremque ipfius  $y$

per  $v$  exprimere liceat, erit  $x = f y \partial v$ , seu sine integratione  $x = -\frac{\partial^2 y}{\gamma \partial v^2} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha \gamma}$ . At manifestum est, isti aequationi differentiali quarti ordinis satisfacere  $y = e^{\lambda v}$ , si sit  $\lambda^4 + \gamma = 0$ . Ponamus ergo  $\gamma = -n^4$ , et quatuor ipsius  $\lambda$  habebuntur valores  $\lambda = \pm n$  et  $\lambda = \pm n \sqrt{-1}$ , vnde eius integrale completum est

$$y = A e^{n v} + B e^{-n v} + C \sin. (n v + \zeta),$$

hincque

$$x = +\frac{A}{n} e^{n v} - \frac{B}{n} e^{-n v} - \frac{C}{n} \cos. (n v + \zeta) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha n^4},$$

qui ergo valores quoque satisfaciunt aequationi inter  $x$  et  $y$  propositae, dummodo constantes  $A, B, C$ , et  $\zeta$  ita a se pendentes capiantur, vt quantitati quoque  $\alpha$  conueniant. His nempe valoribus substitutis fieri debet

$$\alpha + \beta x - n^4 x x + \frac{\partial^2 \partial^2 y}{\partial v^4} - \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial v^2} = 0;$$

vbi tantum terminos constantes considerasse sufficit, quibus accenseri debent ii, qui quadratum sinus cosinusue anguli  $n v + \zeta$  continent, quippe ex quorum combinatione quantitas constans exfurgit. Cum ergo sit

$$\begin{aligned} 2 y &= 2 A e^{n v} + 2 B e^{-n v} + 2 C \sin. (n v + \zeta), \\ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial v^2} &= n n A e^{n v} + n n B e^{-n v} - n n C \sin. (n v + \zeta), \\ \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial v^2} &= n A e^{n v} - n B e^{-n v} + n C \cos. (n v + \zeta), \\ x &= \frac{A}{n} e^{n v} - \frac{B}{n} e^{-n v} - \frac{C}{n} \sin. (n v + \zeta) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha n^4}, \end{aligned}$$

erit sumtis terminis memoratis

$$\begin{aligned} \beta x &= \frac{\beta \beta}{\alpha n^4} \\ -n^4 x x &= 2 n n A B - n n C C \cos. (n v + \zeta) - \frac{\beta \beta}{\alpha n^4}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \partial^2 y}{\partial v^4} &= 4 n n A B - 2 n n C C \sin. (n v + \zeta), \\ -\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial v^2} &= 2 n n A B - n n C C \cos. (n v + \zeta), \end{aligned}$$

ergo



ergo

$$\alpha + 8nnAB - 2nnCC + \frac{\beta\beta}{4n^2} = 0, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$C = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha}{2nn} + \frac{\beta\beta}{8n^2} + 4AB\right)}, \text{ vel}$$

$$\alpha = 2nn(C C - 4AB) - \frac{\beta\beta}{4n^2} \text{ et}$$

$$\alpha + \beta x + \gamma x x = 2nn(C C - AB) - \left(\frac{\beta}{2nn} - nnx\right)^2.$$

Manent ergo tres constantes A, B, et  $\zeta$  indeterminatae, ita vt nullum fit dubium, quin formulae pro  $x$  et  $y$  datae integrale completum exhibeant.

## Scholion 2.

922. Aequationes differentio-differentiales, quas in his duobus probematibus tractauimus, ad similem formam reduci possunt. Prior enim

$$y(y \partial \partial y + \partial y^2) + X \partial x^2 = 0,$$

existente  $X = Ax$  vel  $X = \alpha + \beta x$ , si ponatur  $y \partial y = \frac{1}{2} \partial z$  feu  $yy = z$ , induit hanc formam

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial \partial z \sqrt{z} + X \partial x^2 = 0,$$

quae ope multiplicatoris  $\frac{2\partial z^2}{\sqrt{z}} + 3X \partial x^2$  integrabilis redditur. Altera vero aequatio

$$yy(2y \partial \partial y + \partial y^2) + X \partial x^2 = 0;$$

existente  $X = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma x x$ , posito  $y = z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , fit

$$\partial y = \frac{1}{2} z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial z \text{ et } \partial \partial y = \frac{1}{2} z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial \partial z - \frac{1}{4} z^{-\frac{3}{2}} \partial z^2,$$

hinc  $2y \partial \partial y + \partial y^2 = \frac{1}{2} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial \partial z$ , sicque aequatio hanc induit formam  $\frac{1}{2} z^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial \partial z + X \partial x^2 = 0$ , quae integrabilis redditur ope huius multiplicatoris

$$\frac{16 \partial z^3}{27 z^3} - \frac{4 X \partial v^3 \partial z}{3 z^3} + \frac{2 \partial X \partial x^3}{z^3}.$$

Hinc colligimus, pro aequatione  $\partial \partial z + \frac{2 X \partial x^3}{\sqrt{z}} = 0$  fore multiplicatorem  $\partial z^3 + X \partial x^3 \sqrt{z}$ , pro aequatione autem

$$\partial \partial z + \frac{3 X \partial x^3}{4 z \sqrt{z}} = 0$$

multiplicatorem fore

$$\partial z^3 - \frac{3 X \partial x^3 \partial z}{4 \sqrt{z}} + \frac{3}{4} \partial X \partial x^3 \sqrt{z},$$

feu sub vno conspectu

pro aequatione

$$\partial \partial z + \frac{X \partial x^3}{\sqrt{z}} = 0$$

$$\partial \partial z + \frac{3 X \partial x^3}{4 z \sqrt{z}} = 0$$

multiplicator erit

$$\partial z^3 + 2 X \partial x^3 \sqrt{z},$$

$$\partial z^3 + \frac{3 X \partial x^3 \partial z}{\sqrt{z}} + \frac{3}{4} \partial X \partial x^3 \sqrt{z}.$$

Caeterum hae integrationes maxime sunt notatu dignae, cum ex aequationibus differentialibus altioribus perfici queant. Ita tum ex hac aequatione, vbi  $\partial v$  constans

$$\partial^3 y + A \partial v \partial \partial y + B \partial v^2 \partial y + C y \partial v^3 = 0 \text{ fit}$$

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha v} + \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta v} + \mathfrak{C} e^{\gamma v},$$

si fuerint  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , radices huius aequationis

$$r^3 + A r^2 + B r + C = 0,$$

ponamus  $\partial v = \frac{\partial x}{y}$ , et cum fit

$$\partial \partial y = \partial v \partial \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial x}{y} \partial \cdot \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} \text{ et}$$

$$\partial^3 y = \partial v^2 \partial \cdot \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial v^2} = \partial v^2 \partial \cdot \left( \frac{x}{y} \partial \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial v} \right) = \frac{\partial x^2}{y^2} \partial \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \partial \cdot \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} \right),$$

si iam  $\partial x$  constans sumamus, erit

$$\partial \partial y = \partial \partial y + \frac{\partial y^2}{y} \text{ et}$$

$\partial^3 y$

$$\partial^2 y = \frac{1}{y^2} \partial \cdot y (y \partial \partial y + \partial y^2) = \partial^2 y + \frac{2y \partial^2 y}{y^2} + \frac{\partial y^2}{y^2},$$

hincque per  $yy$  multiplicando

$$yy \partial^2 y + 4y \partial y \partial \partial y + \partial y^3 + A \partial x (y \partial \partial y + \partial y^2) \\ + B \partial x^2 \partial y + C \partial x^2 = 0,$$

quae integrata dat

$$yy \partial \partial y + y \partial y^2 + Ay \partial x \partial y + By \partial x^2 + (Cx + D) \partial x^2 = 0,$$

quae ergo per superiora integrari potest.

### Problema 116.

923. Definire condiciones functionum P, Q, R et L, M, N, vt haec aequatio differentio-differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + P \partial y^2 + Q \partial x \partial y + R \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrabilis reddatur multiplicatore

$$3L \partial y^2 + 2M \partial x \partial y + N \partial x^2.$$

### Solutio.

Facta multiplicatione integratio terminorum per  $\partial \partial y$  affectorum dat

$$L \partial y^2 + M \partial x \partial y^2 + N \partial x^2 \partial y,$$

quare ponatur integrale

$$L \partial y^2 + M \partial x \partial y^2 + N \partial x^2 \partial y + V \partial x^2 = C \partial x^2,$$

cuius differentiale aequari debet formulae propositae in multiplicatorem ductae, vnde oritur

$$\partial x^2 \partial V = 3LP \cdot \partial y^2 + 3LQ \cdot \partial x \partial y^2 + 3LR \cdot \partial x^2 \partial y^2$$

|                                    |                                    |                                    |                                    |                           |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                                    | +2MP                               | +2MQ                               | +2MR                               | $\partial x^2 \partial y$ |
| $-(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y})$ | $-(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x})$ | +NP                                | +NQ                                | +NR                       |
|                                    | $-(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y})$ | $-(\frac{\partial M}{\partial x})$ | $-(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x})$ |                           |
|                                    |                                    | $-(\frac{\partial N}{\partial y})$ |                                    |                           |

Vol. II.

T

Hic

Hic ergo fieri oportet

$$3LP - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) = 0,$$

$$3LQ + 2MP - \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right) = 0,$$

$$3LR + 2MQ + NP - \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial y}\right) = 0.$$

Tum vero erit

$$\partial V = [2MR + NQ - \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)] \partial y + NR \partial x,$$

quae formula integrabilis esse debet. Ex illis autem aequationibus colligitur

$$P = \frac{1}{3L} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right), \quad Q = \frac{1}{3L} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{1}{3L} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right) - \frac{2M}{3LL} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) \text{ et}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{3L} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{1}{3L} \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial y}\right) - \frac{N}{3LL} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) - \frac{2M}{3LL} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right) - \frac{2M}{3LL} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}\right) + \frac{2MM}{3LL^2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right).$$

### Corollarium I.

924. Si L, M, et N fuerint functiones ipsius x tantum, erit  $P = 0$ ,  $Q = \frac{\partial L}{\partial L \partial x}$  et  $R = \frac{\partial M}{\partial L \partial x} - \frac{2M \partial L}{3LL \partial x}$ , hinc

$$\partial V = \left(\frac{2M \partial M}{3L \partial x} - \frac{2MM \partial L}{3LL \partial x} + \frac{N \partial L}{3L \partial x} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right) \partial y + \frac{NR}{3L} - \frac{2MN \partial L}{3LL},$$

ac coefficientis ipsius  $\partial y$  debet esse constans. Quare per  $L^{\frac{1}{3}}$  diuidendo habebitur

$$\frac{C \partial x}{\partial L} = \frac{2M \partial M}{3L \partial L} - \frac{2MM \partial L}{3LL \partial L} + \frac{N \partial L}{3L \partial L} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial L},$$

et integrando

$$C \int \frac{\partial x}{\partial L} = \frac{MM}{3L \partial L} - \frac{N}{\partial L}, \text{ seu } N = \frac{MM}{3L} - CL^{\frac{1}{3}} \int \frac{\partial x}{\partial L},$$

ergo

$$V = Cy + f\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial L} - \frac{2M \partial L}{3LL}\right) \left(\frac{MM}{3L} - CL^{\frac{1}{3}} \int \frac{\partial x}{\partial L}\right).$$

Coro-

## Corollarium 2.

925. Sit  $M = S \sqrt[3]{L^2}$ , erit

$$\partial M = \partial S \sqrt[3]{L^2} + \frac{2S \partial L}{3 \sqrt[3]{L}}, \text{ et}$$

$$V = Cy + \frac{1}{3} f \frac{\partial S}{\sqrt[3]{L}} \left( \frac{1}{3} S S \sqrt[3]{L} - C L^{\frac{1}{3}} f \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt[3]{L}} \right), \text{ seu}$$

$$V = Cy + \frac{1}{12} S^2 - \frac{1}{6} C f \partial S f \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt[3]{L}},$$

tum vero

$$N = \frac{1}{3} S S \sqrt[3]{L} - C L^{\frac{1}{3}} f \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt[3]{L}} = \left( \frac{1}{3} S S - C f \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt[3]{L}} \right) \sqrt[3]{L},$$

atque  $P = 0$ ,  $Q = \frac{\partial L}{3L \partial x}$  et  $R = \frac{\partial S}{3 \partial x \sqrt[3]{L}}$ . Quare haec aequatio

quatio

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{\partial L \partial y}{3L} + \frac{\partial S \partial x}{3 \sqrt[3]{L}} = 0$$

integrabilis redditur multiplicatore

$$3L \partial y^2 + 2S \partial x \partial y \sqrt[3]{L} + \partial x^2 \left( \frac{1}{3} S S - C f \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt[3]{L}} \right) \sqrt[3]{L};$$

et integrale est

$$L \partial y^3 + S \partial x \partial y^2 \sqrt[3]{L} + \partial x^2 \partial y \left( \frac{1}{3} S S - C f \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt[3]{L}} \right) \sqrt[3]{L} + Cy \partial x^3 \\ + \frac{1}{12} S^2 \partial x^3 - \frac{1}{6} C \partial x^3 f \partial S f \frac{\partial x}{\sqrt[3]{L}} = 0.$$

## Corollarium 3.

926. Hic quidquid pro constante  $C$  assumatur, idem integrale prodire debet. Hinc si  $C = 0$ , aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{\partial L \partial y}{3L} + \frac{\partial S \partial x}{3 \sqrt[3]{L}} = 0$$

T 2

mul-

multiplicator erit

$$3L \partial y^3 + 2S \partial x \partial y \dot{\sqrt{L}} + \frac{1}{2} S S \partial x^2 \dot{\sqrt{L}},$$

et integrale

$$L \partial y^3 + S \partial x \partial y^2 \dot{\sqrt{L}} L + \frac{1}{2} S S \partial x^2 \partial y \dot{\sqrt{L}} + \frac{1}{4} S^3 \partial x^3 = D \partial x^3,$$

feu  $(\partial y \dot{\sqrt{L}} + \frac{1}{2} S \partial x)^3 = D \partial x^3.$

### Scholion 1.

927. Ex iisdem quoque conditionibus, si dentur functiones P, Q et R, definiri poterunt functiones L, M, N, quatenus quidem postrema conditio integrabilitatis patitur. Veluti si fit  $P = \frac{x}{y}$ ,  $Q = 0$  et R functio ipsius x tantum, puta  $R = X$ , vt habeatur haec aequatio

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{x \partial^2 y}{y^2} + X \partial x^2 = 0,$$

cuius multiplicator si sumatur

$$3L \partial y^3 + 2M \partial x \partial y + N \partial x^2,$$

vt integrale fit

$$L \partial y^3 + M \partial x \partial y^2 + N \partial x^2 \partial y + V \partial x^3 = C \partial x^3,$$

erit primo  $\frac{x \partial L}{y} - (\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}) = 0$ , et sumta x constante  $\frac{\partial L}{L} = \frac{x \partial y}{y}$ , hinc  $L = S y^{3n}$ , denotante S functionem ipsius x. Deinde est

$$\frac{x \partial N}{y} - y^{3n} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} - (\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}) = 0,$$

et sumta x constante

$$\partial M - \frac{x \partial M \partial y}{y} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \cdot y^{3n} \partial y = 0,$$

quae per  $y^{-3n}$  multiplicata et integrata dat

$$y^{-3n} M + \frac{\partial S}{(n+1) \partial x} y^{3n+1} = T \text{ funct. ipsius } x.$$

Ergo

$$M = T y^{3n} - \frac{\partial S}{(n+1) \partial x} y^{3n+1}.$$

Ter-

Tertio fieri debet

$$3 SXy^{2n} + \frac{nN}{y} - \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \cdot y^{2n} + \frac{\partial \partial S}{(n+1)\partial x^2} \cdot y^{2n+1} - \frac{\partial N}{\partial y} = 0,$$

unde sumta  $x$  constante

$$\partial N + \frac{nN\partial y}{y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \cdot y^{2n} \partial y - \frac{\partial \partial S}{(n+1)\partial x^2} \cdot y^{2n+1} \partial y - 3 SXy^{2n} \partial y = 0,$$

quae per  $y^{-n}$  multiplicata et integrata dat

$$y^{-n} N + \frac{\partial T}{(n+1)\partial x} y^{n+1} - \frac{\partial \partial S}{2(n+1)^2 \partial x^2} y^{2n+2} - \frac{3SX}{2n+1} y^{2n+1} = Uf : x,$$

feu

$$N = Uy^{-n} - \frac{\partial T}{(n+1)\partial x} y^{n+1} + \frac{\partial \partial S}{2(n+1)^2 \partial x^2} y^{2n+2} - \frac{3SX}{2n+1} y^{2n+1}.$$

Ex his autem fit

$$\partial V = \partial y \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2 TXy^{2n} - \frac{nX\partial S}{(n+1)\partial x} y^{2n+1} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \cdot y^n + \frac{\partial \partial T}{(n+1)\partial x^2} y^{2n+1} \\ - \frac{\partial^2 S}{2(n+1)^2 \partial x^2} y^{2n+2} - \frac{3\partial \cdot SX}{(2n+1)\partial x} y^{2n+1} \\ + X\partial x \left( Uy^{-n} - \frac{\partial T}{(n+1)\partial x} y^{n+1} + \frac{\partial \partial S}{2(n+1)^2 \partial x^2} y^{2n+2} + \frac{3SX}{2n+1} y^{2n+1} \right), \end{array} \right\}$$

quae formula vt integrationem admittat, esse oportet

$$\begin{aligned} 2y^{2n} \partial \cdot TX - 2y^{2n+1} \cdot \frac{\partial \cdot X \partial S}{(n+1)\partial x} - y^n \cdot \frac{\partial \partial U}{\partial x} + y^{2n+1} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 T}{(n+1)\partial x^2} \\ - y^{2n+2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 S}{2(n+1)^2 \partial x^2} - 3y^{2n+1} \frac{\partial \partial \cdot SX}{(2n+1)\partial x} - n UXy^{n-1} \partial x \\ + \frac{(2n+1)X\partial T}{(n+1)} y^n - \frac{(2n+1)X\partial \partial S}{2(n+1)^2 \partial x^2} y^{2n+1} - \frac{3(2n+1)SX\partial x}{2(n+1)} y^{2n} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hic ergo singulae potestates ipsius  $y$ , quatenus sunt inaequales, seorsim destrui debent. Quare potestas  $y^{n-1}$  dat  $U = 0$ ; unde etiam potestas  $y^n$  ad nihilum redigitur. Potestas  $y^{2n}$  dat

$$(2n+2) T \partial X + (2n+2) X \partial T + (2n+1) X \partial T = 0,$$

feu  $X^{2n+2} T^{2n+2} = A$ ; at potestas  $y^{2n+1}$  praebet  $\partial^2 T = 0$ ,

feu  $T = \alpha + \beta x + \gamma x x$ . Potestas vero  $y^{2n}$  postulat  $S = 0$ , nisi sit  $n = -\frac{1}{2}$ ; quo casu etiam potestates  $y^{2n+1}$  et  $y^{2n+2}$  sponte euanescent. Cum ergo sit  $U = 0$ ,  $S = 0$  et  $T = \alpha$

+  $\beta x + \gamma x x$ , hincque  $X = B (\alpha + \beta x + \gamma x x)^{\frac{2n+2}{2n+1}}$ , haec aequatio

T 3

$\partial \partial y$

$\partial \partial y + \frac{n^2 y^2}{j} + B(a + \beta x + \gamma x x)^{\frac{-n-1}{2n+1}} \partial x^2 = 0,$   
 integrabilis redditur ope multiplicatoris

$$2(a + \beta x + \gamma x x) y^{2n} \partial y - \frac{\partial x (\beta + 2\gamma x)}{n+1} y^{2n+1}.$$

### Scholion 2.

928. Quanquam plurimum abest, quominus haec methodus satis adhuc sit culta, tamen specimina in hoc capite tradita abunde declarant, quanta incrementa inde expectare queamus, vnde eius cultura maxime Geometris commendanda videtur. Quoniam igitur methodi, quibus in resolutione aequationum differentio-differentialium vti conuenit, satis luculenter sunt expositae, ad sequens caput progrediamur, vbi integrationem huiusmodi aequationum, quatenus quidem id commode fieri potest, per series infinitas ostendemus.



## CAPVT VII.

DE

RESOLVTIONE AEQVATIONIS

$$\partial y y + a x^n y \partial x^2 = 0$$

PER SERIES INFINITAS.

## Problema 117.

929.

Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, aequationem differentio-differentialem  $\partial \partial y + a x^n y \partial x^2 = 0$  per seriem infinitam integrare.

Solutio.

Querimus hic seriem secundum potestates ipsius  $x$  progredientem, quae valorem ipsius  $y$  exprimat; et quia in altero aequationis nostrae termino quantitas  $x$  cum suo differentiali  $\partial x$  nullam, in altero vero  $n+2$  dimensiones occupat, euidens est exponentes potestatum ipsius  $x$  differentia  $n+2$  ascendere vel descendere debere.

I. Ascendant primo exponentes, et fingatur series

$$y = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda+n+2} + C x^{\lambda+2n+4} + \text{etc.}$$

critique

$$\partial \partial y = \lambda(\lambda-1) A x^{\lambda-2} + (\lambda+n+2)(\lambda+n+1) B x^{\lambda+n} + \text{etc.}$$

$$a x^n y = \dots \dots \dots a A x^{\lambda+n}$$

vnde patet primum terminum solitarium evanescere debere, vt sit  $\lambda(\lambda-1) = 0$ . Quare capi oportet vel  $\lambda = 0$  vel  $\lambda = 1$ ,  
sic

ficque duplex series obtinetur

$$y = A + Bx^{n+2} + Cx^{2n+4} + Dx^{3n+6} + Ex^{4n+8} + \text{etc.}$$

$$+ \mathfrak{A}x + \mathfrak{B}x^{n+3} + \mathfrak{C}x^{2n+5} + \mathfrak{D}x^{3n+7} + \mathfrak{E}x^{4n+9} + \text{etc.}$$

substitutione ergo facta fieri oportet

$$0 = (n+2)(n+1)Bx^n + (2n+4)(2n+3)Cx^{2n+4} + (3n+6)(3n+5)Dx^{3n+6} + \text{etc.}$$

$$+ aA \quad + \quad aB \quad + aC$$

$$0 = (n+3)(n+2)\mathfrak{B}x^{n+3} + (2n+5)(2n+4)\mathfrak{C}x^{2n+5} + (3n+7)(3n+6)\mathfrak{D}x^{3n+7} + \text{etc.}$$

$$+ a\mathfrak{A} \quad + a\mathfrak{B} \quad + a\mathfrak{C}$$

vnde litteris A et  $\mathfrak{A}$  arbitrio nostro relictiis, reliquae per eas ita determinantur

$$B = \frac{-aA}{(n+1)(n+2)}; C = \frac{-aB}{2(2n+3)(2n+4)}; D = \frac{-aC}{3(3n+5)(3n+6)} \text{ etc.}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{-a\mathfrak{A}}{(n+3)(n+4)}; \mathfrak{C} = \frac{-a\mathfrak{B}}{2(2n+5)(2n+6)}; \mathfrak{D} = \frac{-a\mathfrak{C}}{3(3n+7)(3n+8)} \text{ etc.}$$

ficque habebitur integrale completum ita expressum

$$y = A - \frac{aAx^{n+2}}{1(n+1)(n+2)} + \frac{a^2Ax^{2n+4}}{1 \cdot 2(n+1)(2n+3)(n+2)^2}$$

$$- \frac{a^3Ax^{3n+6}}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3(n+1)(2n+3)(3n+5)(n+2)^3} + \text{etc.}$$

$$+ \mathfrak{A}x - \frac{a\mathfrak{A}x^{n+3}}{1(n+3)(n+4)} + \frac{a^2\mathfrak{A}x^{2n+5}}{1 \cdot 2(n+3)(2n+5)(n+2)^2}$$

$$- \frac{a^3\mathfrak{A}x^{3n+7}}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3(n+3)(2n+5)(3n+7)(n+2)^3} + \text{etc.}$$

II. Descendant iam exponentes, et ficta serie

$$y = Ax^\lambda + Bx^{\lambda-n-2} + Cx^{\lambda-2n-4} + \text{etc.}$$

habebitur

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \lambda(\lambda-1)Ax^{\lambda-2} + (\lambda-n-2)(\lambda-n-3)Bx^{\lambda-n-4} + \text{etc.}$$

$$ax^n y = aAx^{\lambda+n} + aBx^{\lambda-n} + \text{etc.}$$

vbi

vbi cum terminus  $x^{\lambda+n}$  sui similem non habeat, tolli nequit, ita vt hinc nulla aequationis resolutio obtineatur.

## Corollarium 1.

930. Geminata series pro  $y$  inuenta, quoniam litterae  $A$  et  $\mathfrak{A}$  arbitrio nostro relinquuntur, integrale completum aequationis differentio-differentialis  $\partial \partial y + a x^n y \partial x^2 = 0$  exhibet; tribuendo autem litteris  $A$  et  $\mathfrak{A}$  datos valores, integra lia particularia nascentur.

## Corollarium 2.

931. Si ponamus  $n+2 = m$ , seu  $n = m-2$ , huius aequationis  $\partial \partial y + a x^{m-2} y \partial x^2 = 0$  integrale completum ita commodius exprimitur

$$y = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} A - \frac{a A x^m}{1(m-1).m} + \frac{a^2 A x^{2m}}{1.2(m-1)(2m-1).m^2} \\ \quad - \frac{a^3 A x^{3m}}{1.2.3(m-1)(2m-1)(3m-1).m^3} + \text{etc.} \\ \mathfrak{A} x - \frac{a \mathfrak{A} x^{m+1}}{1(m+1).m} + \frac{a^2 \mathfrak{A} x^{2m+1}}{1.2(m+1)(2m+1).m^2} \\ \quad - \frac{a^3 \mathfrak{A} x^{3m+1}}{1.2.3(m+1)(2m+1)(3m+1).m^3} + \text{etc.} \end{array} \right.$$

## Corollarium 3.

932. Si exponens  $m$  fuerit positivus et unitate maior, hae series eo magis conuergunt, quo minor valor quantitati  $x$  tribuatur: aliis vero casibus in praxi hae series adhiberi nequeunt, nisi forte eae ipsae in alias conuergentes transformari possint.

## Scholion 1.

933. Dantur tamen casus, quibus hac series omni plane vsu destituuntur, quod euenit, si quispiam factorum denominatores constituentium euanescat, sicque omnes termini sequentes in infinitum excrescant, quibus casibus series in alias formas transmutari conuenit. Hic primo occurrit casus  $m = 0$  seu  $n = -2$ , quo vtriusque seriei omnes termini praeter primos fiunt infiniti, hoc vero casu aequatio, quae est  $\partial \partial y + \frac{a \partial \partial x^2}{x^2} = 0$ , cum sit homogenea, singularem integrationem admittit: inueniri enim potest potestas ipsius  $x$ , quae pro  $y$  substituta aequationi satisfacit. Ponatur scilicet  $y = x^\lambda$ , prodibitque

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)x^{\lambda-2} + ax^{\lambda-2} = 0, \text{ seu } \lambda\lambda - \lambda + a = 0$$

vnde colligitur  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right)}$ , ob quem duplicem valorem est integrale completum

$$y = A x^{\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right)}} + B x^{\frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{2} - a\right)}},$$

quae aequatio casu  $a > \frac{1}{4}$  abit in hanc formam

$$y = A x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin. [(a - \frac{1}{4})^{\frac{1}{2}} l x + a];$$

vnde patet, casu  $a = \frac{1}{4}$  fore

$$y = (A + B l x) \sqrt{x}.$$

## Scholion 2.

934. Reliqui casus ad incommodum ducentes sunt, si vel  $m = \frac{1}{2}$  vel  $m = -\frac{1}{2}$  denotante  $i$  numerum quemcunque integrum. Casu  $m = \frac{1}{2}$  prior tantum series fit incongrua, casu vero  $m = -\frac{1}{2}$  posterior tantum. Quare illo casu ponendo  $A = 0$  hoc vero  $\mathcal{A} = 0$ , series saltem vna idonea habetur, integrale particulare exhibens. Verum cognito integrali particulari quod sit  $y = P$ , inde aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + a x^{m-2} y \partial x^2 = 0$$

inte-

integrale completum eruitur ponendo  $y = Pz$ , unde fit

$$P \partial \partial z + 2 \partial P \partial z + z \partial \partial P + a x^{m-2} P z \partial x^2 = 0,$$

at per hypothefin est

$$\partial \partial P + a x^{m-2} P \partial x^2 = 0,$$

ergo prodit

$$P \partial \partial z + 2 \partial P \partial z = 0, \text{ feu}$$

$$P P \partial z = C \partial x \text{ et } z = C \int \frac{\partial x}{P}.$$

Cum autem  $P$  fit series infinita, hinc valorem ipsius  $x$  cognoscere haud licet. At casibus illis memoratis pars integralis logarithmum ipsius  $x$  inuoluit, quod vel inde intelligitur quod  $\frac{x^2}{x}$  aequiualeat ipsi  $lx$ . Quare in aequatione

$$\partial \partial y + a x^{m-2} y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

ponendo  $y = p + qlx$ , ob  $\partial y = \partial p + \frac{q \partial x}{x} + \partial qlx$ , erit

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \partial p + \frac{2 \partial x \partial q}{x} - \frac{q \partial x^2}{x x} + \partial \partial qlx + a p x^{m-2} \partial x^2 \\ + a q x^{m-2} \partial x^2 lx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

in qua partes  $lx$  inuoluentes seorsim destruantur necesse est; ita ut hae binae habeantur aequationes

$$\partial \partial q + a q x^{m-2} \partial x^2 = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$\partial \partial p + \frac{2 \partial x \partial q}{x} - \frac{q \partial x^2}{x x} + a p x^{m-2} \partial x^2 = 0,$$

vbi pro  $q$  ea binarum superiorum serierum accipi debet, quae casu oblato incommodo caret, eaque constituta ex posteriori aequatione facile quantitas  $p$  per seriem exprimitur. Huiusmodi casus in sequentibus exemplis euoluamus; tantum notemus illam operationem perinde se habere, etiamsi loco  $lx$  sumatur  $lx + a$ , ita ut inuentis  $p$  et  $q$  futurum fit

$$y = a q + p + qlx, \text{ feu } y = p + ql \beta x.$$

## Exemplum I.

935. Posito  $m = 1$ , hanc aequationem

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{a \gamma \partial x^2}{x} = 0$$

per series resolvere.

Posito  $y = p + q l x$ , capi oportet

$$q = \mathcal{A}x - \frac{a \mathcal{B} x^2}{1.2} + \frac{a^2 \mathcal{C} x^3}{1.2.3} - \frac{a^3 \mathcal{D} x^4}{1.2.3.4} + \text{etc.}$$

pro qua ponamus breuitatis gratia

$$q = \mathcal{A}x + \mathcal{B}x^2 + \mathcal{C}x^3 + \mathcal{D}x^4 + \text{etc.}$$

tum vero quaeratur  $p$  ex hac aequatione

$$\frac{\partial \partial p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{a \partial q}{x \partial x} - \frac{q}{xx} + \frac{a p}{x} = 0 :$$

figamus ergo

$$p = A + Bx + Cx^2 + Dx^3 + Ex^4 + \text{etc.}$$

eritque facta substitutione

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & 2Cx + 6Dx + 12Exx + 20Fx^2 + 30Gx^3 \\ & + 2\mathcal{A}x + 4\mathcal{B} + 6\mathcal{C} + 8\mathcal{D} + 10\mathcal{E} + 12\mathcal{F} \\ & - \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{F} \\ & + aA + aB + aC + aD + aE + aF \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

Cum iam dentur coefficientes  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$  etc. erit  $A = -\frac{\mathcal{A}}{a}$  ;  
quantitas  $B$  non determinatur, tum vero

$$C = \frac{-3\mathcal{B}}{2} - \frac{aB}{1.2} = \frac{-3a\mathcal{B}}{2.2} - \frac{aB}{1.2},$$

$$D = \frac{-5\mathcal{C}}{6} - \frac{aC}{2.3} = \frac{-5a^2\mathcal{C}}{2^2.3^2} - \frac{aC}{2.3},$$

$$E = \frac{-7\mathcal{D}}{12} - \frac{aD}{3.4} = \frac{-7a^3\mathcal{D}}{2^2.3^2.4^2} - \frac{aD}{3.4},$$

$$F = \frac{-9\mathcal{E}}{20} - \frac{aE}{4.5} = \frac{-9a^4\mathcal{E}}{2^2.3^2.4^2.5^2} - \frac{aE}{4.5}, \text{ etc.}$$

vbi pro  $B$  scribere licet 0, quandoquidem in integrali  $y =$   
 $p + q l x$  addimus partem  $aq$ , quae ex littera  $B$  oritur, ita vt fit

$$p = A + Cx^2 + Dx^3 + Ex^4 + Fx^5 + \text{etc.}$$

Hinc

Hinc erit

$$C = \frac{30 \mathfrak{H}}{1^2 \cdot 2^2}, D = \frac{-14 a^2 \mathfrak{H}}{1^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^2}, E = \frac{+70 a^3 \mathfrak{H}}{1^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 4^2}, F = \frac{-210 a^4 \mathfrak{H}}{1^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 4^2 \cdot 5^2}, \text{ etc.}$$

vbi notetur esse

14 = 3 · 3 + 5 · 1, 70 = 4 · 14 + 7 · 1 · 2, 404 = 5 · 70 + 9 · 1 · 2 · 3,  
et pro sequente 2688 = 6 · 404 + 11 · 1 · 2 · 3 · 4; estque

$$y = p + a q + q l x.$$

### Exemplum 2.

936. *Posito*  $m = -1$ , *banc aequationem*

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{a y \partial x^2}{x^2} = 0,$$

*per series resolvere.*

Posito  $y = p + a q + q l x$ , capi oportet

$$q = A - \frac{a A}{1 \cdot 1 x} + \frac{a^2 A}{1 \cdot 1^2 \cdot 2 x^2} - \frac{a^3 A}{1 \cdot 1^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3 x^3} + \text{ etc.}$$

pro qua ponatur breuitatis gratia

$$q = A + \frac{B}{x} + \frac{C}{x^2} + \frac{D}{x^3} + \frac{E}{x^4} + \text{ etc.}$$

tum vero quantitas  $p$  ex hac aequatione definiri debet

$$\partial \partial p + \frac{a q \partial x}{x} - \frac{q \partial x^2}{x x} + \frac{a p \partial x^2}{x^2} = 0.$$

Fingamus ergo

$$p = \mathfrak{A} x + \mathfrak{B} + \frac{\mathfrak{C}}{x} + \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{x^2} + \frac{\mathfrak{E}}{x^3} + \frac{\mathfrak{F}}{x^4} + \text{ etc.}$$

vnde facta substitutione prodit

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \frac{a \mathfrak{H}}{x x} + \frac{a \mathfrak{G}}{x^2} + \frac{a \mathfrak{E}}{x^3} + \frac{a \mathfrak{D}}{x^4} + \frac{a \mathfrak{C}}{x^5} + \frac{a \mathfrak{B}}{x^6} + \text{ etc.} \\ - A - B - C - D - E - F \\ - 2B - 4C - 6D - 8E - 10F \\ + 2\mathfrak{C} + 6\mathfrak{D} + 12\mathfrak{E} + 20\mathfrak{F} + 30\mathfrak{G} \end{array} \right\} = 0,$$

et coefficientes  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}$ , etc. ita determinantur, vt

V 3

fit  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{A}{a}$ ; secundus  $\mathcal{B}$  non definitur, tum vero est

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{3B}{1.2} = \frac{a\mathcal{B}}{1.2} = \frac{-3aA}{1^2.2^2} = \frac{-a\mathcal{C}}{1.2},$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{aC}{2.3} = \frac{a^2\mathcal{C}}{2.3} = \frac{5a^2A}{2^2.3^2} = \frac{a\mathcal{D}}{2.3},$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{7D}{3.4} = \frac{aD}{3.4} = \frac{-7a^3A}{2^2.3^2.4^2} = \frac{a\mathcal{E}}{3.4},$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{9E}{4.5} = \frac{a^2E}{4.5} = \frac{9a^4A}{2^2.3^2.4^2.5^2} = \frac{a\mathcal{F}}{4.5}, \text{ etc.}$$

si sumatur, id quod sine detrimento generalitatis fieri licet,  $\mathcal{B} = 0$ , ita ut fit

$$p = \mathcal{A}x + \frac{\mathcal{C}}{x} + \frac{\mathcal{D}}{x^2} + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{x^3} + \frac{\mathcal{F}}{x^4} + \text{etc. erit}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{-3aA}{1^2.2^2}, \mathcal{D} = \frac{5a^2A}{1^2.2^2.3^2}, \mathcal{E} = \frac{-7a^3A}{1^2.2^2.3^2.4^2},$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{9a^4A}{1^2.2^2.3^2.4^2.5^2}, \text{ etc.}$$

qui valores similes sunt praecedentibus.

### Exemplum 3.

937. Posito  $m = \frac{1}{2}$ , hanc aequationem

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{a \gamma \partial x^2}{x \sqrt{x}} = 0$$

per series resolvere.

Posito  $y = p + aq + qlx$ , capi oportet

$$q = \mathcal{A}x - \frac{4a\mathcal{A}}{1.3}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{16a^2\mathcal{A}}{1.2.3.4}x^2 - \frac{64a^3\mathcal{A}}{1.2.3.4.5}x^{\frac{5}{2}} \\ + \frac{256a^4\mathcal{A}}{1.2.3.4.5.6}x^3 - \text{etc.}$$

pro qua breuitatis gratia scribatur

$$q = \mathcal{A}x + \mathcal{B}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{C}x^2 + \mathcal{D}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \mathcal{E}x^3 + \mathcal{F}x^{\frac{7}{2}} + \mathcal{G}x^4 + \text{etc.}$$

tum vero quantitas  $p$  ex hac aequatione definiri debet

$$\partial \partial p + \frac{2\partial x \partial q}{x} - \frac{q \partial x^2}{x^2} + \frac{a p \partial x^2}{x \sqrt{x}} = 0.$$

Fingamus ergo

$$p = \Delta + \mathcal{A}x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{B}x + \mathcal{C}x^{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{D}x^2 + \mathcal{E}x^{\frac{5}{2}} + \mathcal{F}x^3 + \text{etc.}$$

prodi-



proditurque facta substitutione

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{a\Delta}{a\sqrt{x}} + \frac{aA}{x} + \frac{aB}{\sqrt{x}} + aC + aD\sqrt{x} + aEx + aFxy \sqrt{x} \\ - 2A - 3B - 4C - 5D - 6E - 7F \\ + 2A + 3B + 4C + 5D + 6E + 7F \\ - \frac{1}{4} + 0 + \frac{1}{4}C + 2D + \frac{15}{4}E + 6F + \frac{3}{4}G \end{aligned} \right\} = 0.$$

Hinc colligitur fore

$$A = -\frac{a}{a}, \Delta = -\frac{a}{4a},$$

et B non determinatur, porro

$$\begin{aligned} C &= -\frac{4aB}{1.3} - \frac{10}{1.3} = -\frac{4aB}{1.3} + \frac{30a}{1^2.3^2}, \\ D &= -\frac{4aC}{2.4} - \frac{10E}{2.4} = -\frac{4aC}{2.4} - \frac{17.16a^2E}{1.3.2^2.4^2}, \\ E &= -\frac{4aD}{3.5} - \frac{16D}{2.5} = -\frac{4aD}{3.5} + \frac{16.64a^2E}{1.3.2.4.3^2.5^2}, \\ F &= -\frac{4aE}{4.6} - \frac{10E}{4.6} = -\frac{4aE}{4.6} - \frac{10.250a^2E}{1.3.2.4.3.5.4^2.6^2}, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Quodsi iam ponatur  $B = 0$  ut fit

$$p = -\frac{a}{4a} - \frac{a}{a}\sqrt{x} + Cx\sqrt{x} + Dx^2 + Ex^3\sqrt{x} + Fx^3 + \text{etc.}$$

erit

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{8.4a}{1^2.3^2}, D = \frac{-100.16a^2E}{1^2.3^2.2^2.4^2}, E = \frac{174.64a^2E}{1^2.3^2.4^2.3^2.5^2}, \\ F &= \frac{-57.16.16a^2E}{1^2.3^2.2^2.4^2.3^2.5^2.4^2.6^2}, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

vbi notetur esse

$$\begin{aligned} 100 &= 2.4.8 + 1.3.12, \quad 1884 = 3.5.100 + 1.3.2.4.16, \\ 52416 &= 4.6.1884 + 1.3.2.4.3.5.20. \end{aligned}$$

### Exemplum 4.

938. Posito  $m = -\frac{1}{2}$ , banc aequationem

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{a\gamma \partial x^2}{x^2 \sqrt{x}} = 0$$

per series resolvere.

Posi-

Posito  $y = p + aq + qlx$ , capi oportet

$$q = A - \frac{4aA}{1.3} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{16a^2A}{1.3.2.4} x^{-1} - \frac{64a^3A}{1.3.2.4.3.5} x^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \text{etc.}$$

pro qua breuitatis causa scribamus

$$q = A + Bx^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Cx^{-1} + Dx^{-\frac{3}{2}} + Ex^{-2} + Fx^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \text{etc.}$$

et littera  $p$  ex hac aequatione definiri debet

$$\partial \partial p + \frac{2 \partial x \partial q}{x} - \frac{q \partial x^2}{x^2} + \frac{a p \partial x^2}{x \sqrt{x}} = 0.$$

Fingamus

$$p = \Delta x + \mathcal{A} \sqrt{x} + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{C} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{D} x^{-1} + \mathcal{E} x^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ + \mathcal{F} x^{-2} + \mathcal{G} x^{-\frac{5}{2}} + \text{etc.}$$

et facta substitutione prodit

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{A} \Delta}{x \sqrt{x}} + \frac{a \mathcal{A}}{x^2} + \frac{a \mathcal{B}}{x \sqrt{x}} + \frac{a \mathcal{C}}{x^2} + \frac{a \mathcal{D}}{x^2 \sqrt{x}} + \frac{a \mathcal{E}}{x^2} + \frac{a \mathcal{F}}{x^2 \sqrt{x}} \\ - A - B - C - D - E - F \\ - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A} + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{C} + 2 \mathcal{D} + \frac{5}{2} \mathcal{E} + 6 \mathcal{F} + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{G} \end{aligned} \right\} = 0,$$

unde sequentes determinaciones colliguntur.

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{A}{a} \text{ et } \Delta = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{4a} = \frac{A}{4a^2},$$

at  $\mathcal{B}$  non determinatur: porro

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{-4a\mathcal{B}}{1.3} + \frac{2B}{1.3} = \frac{-4a\mathcal{B}}{1.3} - \frac{8.4aA}{1^2.3^2}, \\ \mathcal{D} = \frac{-4a\mathcal{C}}{2.4} + \frac{16C}{2.4} = \frac{-4a\mathcal{C}}{2.4} + \frac{16.16a^2A}{1.3.2^2.4^2}, \\ \mathcal{E} = \frac{-4a\mathcal{D}}{3.5} + \frac{16D}{3.5} = \frac{-4a\mathcal{D}}{3.5} - \frac{16.64a^2A}{1.3.2.4.3^2.5^2}, \\ \mathcal{F} = \frac{-4a\mathcal{E}}{4.6} + \frac{80E}{4.6} = \frac{-4a\mathcal{E}}{4.6} + \frac{80.716a^4A}{1.3.2.4.3.4^2.6^2}, \text{ etc.}$$

Quodsi iam sumatur  $\mathcal{B} = 0$ , erit

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{-8.4aA}{1^2.3^2}, \mathcal{D} = \frac{+160.16a^2A}{1^2.3^2.2^2.4^2}, \mathcal{E} = \frac{-192a.64a^2A}{1^2.3^2.2^2.4^2.3^2.5^2}, \text{ etc.}$$

qui numeri vt ante progrediuntur.

Scho-

## Scholion.

939. Ex his exemplis perspicitur, quomodo series aequationem

$$\partial \partial y + a x^{m-1} y \partial x^n = 0$$

resoluentes in reliquis casibus, quibus  $m = \pm \frac{1}{i}$ , inueniri oportet; vbi obseruetur, si sit  $m = +\frac{1}{i}$ , pro  $q$  hanc seriem accipi debere

$$q = \mathfrak{A} x + \mathfrak{B} x^{1+\frac{1}{i}} + \mathfrak{C} x^{1+\frac{2}{i}} + \mathfrak{D} x^{1+\frac{3}{i}} + \text{etc.}$$

tum vero formam ipsius  $p$  tali serie exprimi

$$p = A + B x^{\frac{1}{i}} + C x^{\frac{2}{i}} + D x^{\frac{3}{i}} + \text{etc.}$$

cuius coefficientes ex superioribus vt ante definiantur. Sin autem sit  $m = -\frac{1}{i}$ , pro  $q$  sumatur series

$$q = A + B x^{-\frac{1}{i}} + C x^{-\frac{2}{i}} + D x^{-\frac{3}{i}} + \text{etc.}$$

at pro  $p$  huiusmodi formam accipi conueniet

$$p = \mathfrak{A} x + \mathfrak{B} x^{1-\frac{1}{i}} + \mathfrak{C} x^{1-\frac{2}{i}} + \mathfrak{D} x^{1-\frac{3}{i}} + \text{etc.}$$

vnde pariter singulos coefficientes vno excepto determinare licebit. Atque hoc artificium in genere est tenendum, quoties in resolutione aequationis generalis ad series peruenitur, cuius coefficientes certis casibus in infinitum excrescunt, quod plerumque indicio est, logarithmos esse introducendos. Verum etiam eadem aequatio  $\partial \partial y + a x^m y \partial x^n = 0$  aliis modis per series resolui potest, dum ea ante resolutionem in aliam formam resumat, vbi cum euenire possit, vt series certis casibus abruptatur, quibus adeo integrale reuera assignari potest, talem transformationem maxime notabilem hic explicemus.

## Problema 118.

940. Aequationem differentio-differentialem

$$\partial \partial y + a x^n y \partial x^2 = 0$$

in aliam formam transfundere, cuius resolutio per series infinitas commode institui possit.

## Solutio.

Vtatur substitutione  $y = e^{f \partial x} z$ , vbi  $p$  sit certa functio ipsius  $x$  aequationem commode resolubilem suppeditans. Erit ergo

$$\partial y = e^{f \partial x} (\partial z + p z \partial x) \text{ et}$$

$$\partial \partial y = e^{f \partial x} (\partial \partial z + 2 p \partial x \partial z + z \partial x \partial p + p p z \partial x^2),$$

vnde aequatio proposita abit in

$$\partial \partial z + 2 p \partial x \partial z + z \partial x \partial p + p p z \partial x^2 + a x^n z \partial x^2 = 0,$$

vbi  $p$  ita capiatur, vt fiat

$$p p + a x^n = 0, \text{ seu } p = x^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{-a}.$$

Ponamus ideo  $a = -c c$  et  $n = 2 m$ , vt proposita sit haec aequatio

$$\partial \partial y - c c x^{2m} y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

quae posito

$$p = c x^m \text{ et } y = e^{f \partial x} z = e^{\frac{c}{m+1} x^{m+1}} z,$$

induct hanc formam

$$\partial \partial z + 2 c x^m \partial x \partial z + m c x^{m-1} z \partial x^2 = 0,$$

in qua, cum  $x$  occupet vel nullam, vel  $m+1$  dimensiones, fingamus ipsius  $z$  valorem

$$z = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda+m+1} + C x^{\lambda+m+2} + \text{etc.}$$

quo substituto fit

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)Ax^{\lambda-2} + (\lambda+m+1)(\lambda+m)Bx^{\lambda+m-1} = 0, \\ + 2\lambda Ac \\ + mAc$$

vnde perspicuum est sumi debere vel  $\lambda = 0$  vel  $\lambda = 1$ . Consequimur ergo seriem duplicatam huiusmodi

$$z = A + Bx^{m+1} + Cx^{2m+2} + Dx^{3m+3} + Ex^{4m+4} + \text{etc.}$$

$$\mathfrak{A}x + \mathfrak{B}x^{m+1} + \mathfrak{C}x^{2m+2} + \mathfrak{D}x^{3m+3} + \mathfrak{E}x^{4m+4} + \text{etc.}$$

qua substituta fit

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (m+1)mBx^{m-1} + 2(m+1)(2m+1)Cx^{2m} + 3(m+1)(3m+2)Dx^{2m+1} \\ + mA c \quad + 2(m+1)Bc \quad + 4(m+1)Cc \\ + mBc \quad + mCc \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} + (m+1)(m+2)\mathfrak{B}x^{m+2} + 2(m+1)(2m+3)\mathfrak{C}x^{2m+1} + 3(m+1)(3m+4)\mathfrak{D}x^{3m+2} \\ + 2\mathfrak{A}c \quad + 2(m+2)\mathfrak{B}c \quad + 2(2m+3)\mathfrak{C}c \\ + m\mathfrak{A}c \quad + m\mathfrak{B}c \quad + m\mathfrak{C}c \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

vnde utriusque coefficientes sequenti modo determinantur

$$\begin{array}{l} B = \frac{-mAc}{m(m+1)} \\ C = \frac{-(3m+2)Bc}{2(2m+1)(m+1)} \\ D = \frac{-(5m+4)Cc}{3(3m+2)(m+1)} \\ E = \frac{-(7m+6)Cc}{4(4m+3)(m+1)} \\ \text{etc.} \end{array} \quad \left| \quad \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{B} = \frac{-(m+2)\mathfrak{A}c}{(m+2)(m+1)} \\ \mathfrak{C} = \frac{-(3m+4)\mathfrak{B}c}{2(2m+1)(m+1)} \\ \mathfrak{D} = \frac{-(5m+6)\mathfrak{C}c}{3(3m+2)(m+1)} \\ \mathfrak{E} = \frac{-(7m+8)\mathfrak{D}c}{4(4m+3)(m+1)} \\ \text{etc.} \end{array} \right.$$

vbi bini coefficientes A et  $\mathfrak{A}$  manent indeterminati, ita vt hoc integrale completum fit censendum.

*Aliter.* Sumta serie, in qua exponentes ipsius  $x$  decrescant, fieri debet

$$2\lambda + m = 0 \text{ seu } m = -2\lambda,$$

vt aequatio nostra fit

$$\partial \partial y - c c x^{-4\lambda} y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

X 2

quae

quae posito

$$p = c x^{-1\lambda} \text{ et } y = e^{\int p dx} z = e^{\frac{-c}{2\lambda-1}} x^{-1\lambda+1} z,$$

abit in

$$\partial \partial x + a c x^{-1\lambda} \partial x \partial z - a \lambda c x^{-1\lambda-1} z \partial x^e = 0.$$

Ponamus ergo

$$z = A x^\lambda + B x^{2\lambda-1} + C x^{3\lambda-2} + D x^{4\lambda-3} + \text{etc.}$$

et substitutione facta prodit

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \lambda(\lambda-1)Ax^{2\lambda-2} + (3\lambda-1)(3\lambda-2)Bx^{2\lambda-3} + (5\lambda-2)(5\lambda-3)Cx^{3\lambda-4} \\ + 2\lambda A c x^{-\lambda-1} + 2(3\lambda-1)Bc + 2(5\lambda-2)Cc \\ - 2\lambda A c \quad - 2\lambda Bc \quad - 2\lambda Cc \quad - 2\lambda Dc \end{array} \right\} = 0$$

vnde coefficientes ita determinantur

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{-\lambda(\lambda-1)A}{(3\lambda-2)c} = \frac{-\lambda(\lambda-1)A}{2(3\lambda-2)c} \\ C &= \frac{-(3\lambda-1)(3\lambda-2)B}{(5\lambda-4)c} = \frac{-(3\lambda-1)(3\lambda-2)B}{4(5\lambda-4)c} \\ D &= \frac{-(5\lambda-2)(5\lambda-3)C}{(7\lambda-6)c} = \frac{-(5\lambda-2)(5\lambda-3)C}{6(7\lambda-6)c} \\ E &= \frac{-(7\lambda-3)(7\lambda-4)D}{(9\lambda-8)c} = \frac{-(7\lambda-3)(7\lambda-4)D}{8(9\lambda-8)c} \end{aligned}$$

etc.

Hic vnus tantum litterae A valor arbitrio nostro relinquitur, ex quo haec series tantum integrale particulare exhibet

### Corollarium I.

941. Ex solutione priori patet, alteram seriem terminari quoties

$$(2i+1)m + a i = 0, \text{ seu } m = \frac{-a i}{2i+1},$$

alteram vero quoties

$$(2i-1)m + a i = 0, \text{ seu } m = \frac{-a i}{2i-1},$$

denotante  $i$  numerum integrum quemcunque. His ergo casibus integrale saltem particulare finite exprimi potest.

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

942. Altera solutio praebet seriem finitam, quoties fuerit vel

$$(2i+1)\lambda - i = 0 \text{ vel } (2i-1)\lambda - i = 0,$$

hoc est

$$\lambda = \frac{i}{2i \pm 1} \text{ et } m = \frac{-i}{2i \pm 1},$$

vt ante. Reliquis vero casibus haec series in infinitum excurrit.

## Corollarium 3.

943. Casus ergo, quibus haec aequatio

$$\partial \partial y - c c x^n \partial x^n = 0$$

atque adeo posito  $y = e^{u \partial x}$  etiam haec

$$\partial u + u u \partial x = c c x^n \partial x$$

integrationem saltem particularem admittit, sunt  $n = \frac{-2i}{2i \pm 1}$ , sumendo pro  $i$  numerum integrum quemcunque.

## Scholion.

944. Sufficit autem integrale particulare inuenisse, cum ex eo facile integrale completum erui possit. Cum enim in integrali insit littera  $c$ , dum aequatio differentialis tantum quadratum  $c c$  continet, perinde est siue in integrali sumatur  $+c$  siue  $-c$ . Hinc si integrale particulare sit  $y = P + c Q$ , erit etiam  $y = P - c Q$  integrale particulare, unde integrale completum erit

$$y = \alpha(P + cQ) + \beta(P - cQ), \text{ seu } y = \alpha P + \beta c Q.$$

Quo haec clarius explicentur, ad solutionem alteram aequationis  $\partial \partial y - c c x^{-2\lambda} y \partial x^n = 0$  accommodentur, pro qua ponendo breuitatis gratia  $\frac{1}{1-2\lambda} x^{1-2\lambda} = t$ , fecimus  $y = e^{t \partial x}$ , et inuenimus

X 3

c =

$$z = A x^\lambda - \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)A}{2(\lambda-1)^2} x^{\lambda-2} + \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)(3\lambda-1)(3\lambda-5)A}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot (\lambda-1)^2 c c} x^{\lambda-4} \\ - \frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)(3\lambda-1)(3\lambda-5)(3\lambda-7)(3\lambda-9)(3\lambda-11)A}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot (\lambda-1)^2 c^3} x^{\lambda-6} + \text{etc.}$$

Pro qua expressiōne distinguendo terminos per potestates pares ipsius  $c$  diuisos ab iis, qui per potestates impares sunt diuisi, scribamus  $z = P - c Q$ , ita vt iam  $P$  et  $Q$  tantum potestates pares ipsius  $c$  contineant, eritque integrale particulare vnum

$$y = e^{ct} (P - c Q)$$

et alterum

$$y = e^{-ct} (P + c Q),$$

vnde completum erit

$$y = \frac{1}{2} P (ae^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) - \frac{1}{2} c Q (ae^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct}).$$

Hinc si  $c$  fit numerus imaginarius seu  $cc = -bb$ , vt aequatio fit

$$\partial \partial y + bb x^{-\lambda} y \partial x^2 = 0, \text{ erit}$$

$$z = P - b Q \sqrt{-1} \text{ et}$$

$$e^{ct} = e^{bt \sqrt{-1}} = \text{cof. } bt + \sqrt{-1} \text{ fin. } bt, \text{ ergo}$$

$$y = P \left( \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \text{ cof. } bt + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \sqrt{-1} \text{ fin. } bt \right)$$

$$- b Q \left( \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \text{ cof. } bt + \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \sqrt{-1} \text{ fin. } bt \right) \sqrt{-1}.$$

$$\text{Sit } \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} = \gamma \text{ et } \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2} \sqrt{-1} = \delta,$$

atque integrale completum hoc casu ita exprimetur

$$y = P (\gamma \text{ cof. } bt + \delta \text{ fin. } bt) - b Q (\delta \text{ cof. } bt - \gamma \text{ fin. } bt), \text{ seu}$$

$$y = (\gamma P - \delta b Q) \text{ cof. } bt + (\delta P + \gamma b Q) \text{ fin. } bt.$$

Casus ergo hoc modo integrabiles euoluamus.

### Exemplum I.

945. *Integrale aequationis  $\partial \partial y - cc y \partial x^2 = 0$  inuenire.*

Hic



Hic est  $\lambda = 0$  et  $z = A$ , atque  $t = x$ , vnde ob  $P = A$  et  $Q = 0$ , erit integrale completum

$$y = \alpha e^{cx} + \beta e^{-cx}.$$

Casu autem  $cc = -bb$ , aequationis  $\partial \partial y + bb y \partial x^2 = 0$  integrale completum erit

$$y = \gamma \cos. bx + \delta \sin. bx.$$

### Exemplum 2.

946. *Integrale aequationis  $\partial \partial y - ccx^{-4}y \partial x^2 = 0$  inuenire.*

Hic ob  $\lambda = 1$  est  $z = Ax$ , et  $t = -\frac{1}{x}$ , vnde ob  $P = x$  et  $Q = 0$ , fit

$$y = (\alpha e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) x.$$

Casu autem  $cc = -bb$  aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + bbx^{-4}y \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale est

$$y = (\alpha \cos. bt + \beta \sin. bt) x, \text{ existente } t = -\frac{1}{x}.$$

### Exemplum 3.

947. *Integrale aequationis  $\partial \partial y - ccx^{-3}y \partial x^2 = 0$  inuenire.*

Ob  $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ , fit  $B = -\frac{A}{3c}$ , et  $z = Ax^{\frac{1}{3}} - \frac{A}{3c}$ , atque  $t = 3x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , vnde  $P = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$  et  $Q = \frac{t}{3cc}$ . Integrale ergo erit

$$y = (\alpha e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) x^{\frac{1}{3}} - (\alpha e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct}) \frac{t}{3c}.$$

Casu autem  $cc = -bb$ , aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + bbx^{-3}y \partial x^2 = 0$$

inte-

integrale est

$$y = (a \cos. bt + \beta \sin. bt) x^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}b} (\beta \cos. bt - a \sin. bt).$$

### Exemplum 4.

948. *Integrale aequationis*  $\partial \partial y - c c x^{-\frac{1}{3}} y \partial x^2 = 0$   
*inuenire.*

Ob  $\lambda = \frac{1}{3}$ , fit  $B = \frac{A}{\frac{1}{3}c}$  et  $x = A x^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{A}{\frac{1}{3}c} x$ , ita vt fit  
 $P = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$  et  $Q = \frac{-\pi}{\frac{1}{3}c}$ . Posito ergo  $t = -3 x^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ , integrale ita  
exprimitur

$$y = x^{\frac{1}{3}} (a e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) + \frac{\pi}{\frac{1}{3}c} (a e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct}).$$

Casu autem  $c c = -b b$  aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + b b x^{-\frac{1}{3}} y \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale est

$$y = x^{\frac{1}{3}} (a \cos. bt + \beta \sin. bt) - \frac{\pi}{\frac{1}{3}b} (\beta \cos. bt - a \sin. bt).$$

### Exemplum 5.

949. *Integrale aequationis*  $\partial \partial y - c c x^{-\frac{1}{5}} y \partial x^2 = 0$   
*inuenire.*

Ob  $\lambda = \frac{1}{5}$ , est  $B = \frac{-\frac{1}{5}A}{\frac{1}{5}c}$  et  $C = \frac{+\frac{1}{5}A}{\frac{1}{5}c}$ , hinc

$$x = A x^{\frac{1}{5}} - \frac{\frac{1}{5}A}{\frac{1}{5}c} x^{\frac{1}{5}} + \frac{\frac{1}{5}A}{\frac{1}{5}c} x^{\frac{1}{5}}, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$P = x^{\frac{1}{5}} + \frac{\frac{1}{5}A}{\frac{1}{5}c} \text{ et } Q = \frac{\frac{1}{5}A}{\frac{1}{5}c} x^{\frac{1}{5}}.$$

Posito ergo  $t = 5 x^{\frac{1}{5}}$ , integrale erit

$$y = (x^{\frac{1}{5}} + \frac{\frac{1}{5}A}{\frac{1}{5}c}) (a e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) - \frac{\frac{1}{5}A}{\frac{1}{5}c} x^{\frac{1}{5}} (a e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct})$$

casu

casu autem  $cc = -bb$ , aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + bb x^{-\frac{1}{2}} y \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale est

$$y = (x^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{3}{5^{\frac{3}{2}} b}) (a \cos. bt + \beta \sin. bt) + \frac{3}{5^{\frac{1}{2}} b} x^{\frac{1}{2}} (\beta \cos. bt - a \sin. bt).$$

### Problema 119.

950. Aequationis differentio - differentialis

$$\partial \partial y + cc x^{\frac{-4i}{2i-1}} y \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale completum assignare, denotante  $i$  numerum integrum quemcunque.

### Solutio.

Sit breuitatis gratia  $t = -(2i-1)x^{\frac{-1}{2i-1}}$  vnde fit  $x^{\frac{1}{2i-1}} = -\frac{(ni-1)}{i}$ , ac posito  $y = e^{ct} z$ , pro valore ipsius  $z$  per seriem inuento

$$z = A x^{\frac{i}{2i-1}} + B x^{\frac{i+1}{2i-1}} + C x^{\frac{i+2}{2i-1}} + D x^{\frac{i+3}{2i-1}} + \text{etc.}$$

ob  $\lambda = \frac{+i}{2i-1}$ , hi coefficientes ita determinantur

$$B = \frac{i(i-1)A}{2(ni-1)c}, C = \frac{(i+1)(i-2)B}{4(ni-1)c}, D = \frac{(i+2)(i-3)C}{6(ni-1)c} \text{ etc.}$$

quibus substitutis, et introducto valore  $x^{\frac{1}{2i-1}} = \frac{-(ni-1)}{i}$ , erit

$$z = A x^{\frac{i}{2i-1}} \left( 1 - \frac{i(i-1)}{2ci} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)}{2 \cdot 4 c^2 i^2} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 c^3 i^3} + \text{etc.} \right)$$

sive hoc modo

$$z = \frac{A}{i^i} \left( 1 - \frac{i(i-1)}{2ci} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)}{2 \cdot 4 c^2 i^2} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 c^3 i^3} + \text{etc.} \right).$$

*Vol. II.*

Y

Atque

Atque hinc aequationis propositae integrale completum ita exprimitur

$$y = t^{-i} \left( 1 + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^3 t^3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)(i-4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot c^6 t^6} + \text{etc.} \right) (\alpha e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) \\ - t^{-i} \left( \frac{i(i-1)}{2 \cdot c t} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^3 t^3} + \text{etc.} \right) (\alpha e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct})$$

vbi in vtraque progressionem lex formationis singulorum terminorum est manifesta.

### Corollarium 1.

951. Hinc quoque illius aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + b b x^{\frac{-4i}{2i-1}} y \partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale completum, manente  $t = -(2i-1)x^{\frac{-1}{2i-1}}$ , est

$$y = t^{-i} \left( 1 - \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot b^3 t^3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)(i-4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot b^6 t^6} - \text{etc.} \right) (\alpha \cos. bt + \beta \sin. bt) \\ + t^{-i} \left( \frac{i(i-1)}{2 \cdot b t} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot b^3 t^3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)(i-4)(i-5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot b^5 t^5} - \text{etc.} \right) (\beta \cos. bt - \alpha \sin. bt).$$

### Corollarium 2.

952. Si  $i$  sit numerus negativus, haec integratio perinde succedit, aequationis enim

$$\partial \partial y - c c x^{\frac{-4i}{2i+1}} y \partial x^2 = 0$$

posito  $t = (2i+1)x^{\frac{1}{2i+1}}$ , integrale erit

$$y = t^i \left( 1 + \frac{i(i-1)(i+2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^3 t^3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)(i+4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot c^6 t^6} + \text{etc.} \right) (\alpha e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) \\ - t^i \left( \frac{i(i+1)}{2 \cdot c t} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i+3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^3 t^3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)(i+4)(i+5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot c^5 t^5} + \text{etc.} \right) (\alpha e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct}).$$

### Corollarium 3.

953. Simili modo huius aequationis

$$\partial \partial y + b b x b^{\frac{-4i}{2i+1}} y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

posito

posito  $t = (2i + 1)x^{\frac{1}{2i+1}}$ , integrale completum erit  
 $y = t^i \left( x - \frac{i(i-1)(i+2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot b b^2 t} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-3)(i+4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot b^4 t^3} - \text{etc.} \right) (\alpha \cos. bt + \beta \sin. bt)$   
 $+ t^i \left( \frac{i(i+1)}{2 \cdot b t} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-3)(i+2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 b^3 t^3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-3)(i-5)(i+4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 b^5 t^5} - \text{etc.} \right) (\beta \cos. bt - \alpha \sin. bt).$

## Corollarium 4.

954. In formulis sinus et cosinus continentibus si ponatur

$$\alpha = C \sin. \zeta \text{ et } \beta = C \cos. \zeta,$$

expressiones nostrae ita contrahuntur, vt fiat

$$\alpha \cos. bt + \beta \sin. bt = C \sin. (bt + \zeta) \text{ et}$$

$$\beta \cos. bt - \alpha \sin. bt = C \cos. (bt + \zeta),$$

vt iam hic C et  $\zeta$  sint constantes arbitrarie integrale completum reddentes.

## Scholion.

955. Hinc egregium adipiscimur adminiculum ad casus integrabilitatis huius aequationis differentialis primi gradus

$$\partial u + uu \partial x + a x^n \partial x = 0$$

agnoscendos, simulque integralia completa definienda; nascitur enim haec aequatio ex ista

$$\partial \partial y + a x^n y \partial x^2 = 0, \text{ ponendo } y = e^{\int u \partial x},$$

vnde ex illa vicissim haec oritur, ponendo  $u = \frac{\partial y}{y \partial x}$ . Cum igitur istius integrale assignare licuerit casibus quibus exponens  $n = \frac{-4i}{2i+1}$ , iisdem casibus integrale aequationis differentialis primi gradus assignare licebit, vbi quidem duos casus euolui conuenit, prout a fuerit vel numerus negatiuus  $a = -cc$  vel positiuus  $a = +bb$ . Hos igitur duos casus pertractasse operae erit pretium.

## Problema 120.

956. Denotante  $i$  numerum integrum siue positium siue negatum quemcunque, inuenire integrale huius aequationis

$$\partial u + uu \partial x - ccx^{\frac{-4i}{2i+1}} \partial x = 0.$$

Solutio.

Posito  $u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , haec aequatio transformatur in istam

$$\partial \partial y - ccx^{\frac{-4i}{2i+1}} y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, cuius integrale assignauimus.

Posito scilicet  $t = (2i+1)x^{\frac{1}{2i+1}}$ , est

$$y = (\alpha e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) \left( t^i + \frac{i(i-1)(i+2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot c^2} t^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-3)(i+4)(i+6)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot c^4} t^{i-4} + \text{etc.} \right) \\ - (\alpha e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct}) \left( \frac{i(i+1)}{2c} t^{i-1} + \frac{i(i-1)(i+3)(i+5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^3} t^{i-3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-3)(i-5)(i-7)(i-9)(i-11)(i+4)(i+6)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot c^5} t^{i-5} + \text{etc.} \right)$$

Ponamus breuitatis gratia

$$y = (\alpha e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) P - (\alpha e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct}) Q,$$

et cum sit

$$\partial t = x^{\frac{-4i}{2i+1}} \partial x, \text{ seu } \partial x = x^{\frac{2i}{2i+1}} \partial t,$$

erit

$$c \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{(\alpha e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct}) (\partial P - cQ \partial t) + (\alpha e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct}) (cP \partial t - \partial Q)}{x^{\frac{2i}{2i+1}} \partial t},$$

siue

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\alpha e^{ct} (\partial P + cP \partial t - \partial Q - cQ \partial t) + \beta e^{-ct} (\partial P - cP \partial t + \partial Q - cQ \partial t)}{x^{\frac{2i}{2i+1}} \partial t}.$$

At vero est

$\partial p$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = i f^{i-1} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6^2} f^{i-3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i-16)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10^2} f^{i-5} + \text{etc.}$$

$$cQ = \frac{i(i+1)}{2} f^{i-1} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-3)(i+3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 6^2} f^{i-3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i-16)(i+5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot 6^2} f^{i-5} + \text{etc.}$$

$$cP = c f^i + \frac{i(i-1)(i+2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot c} f^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i+4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot c^2} f^{i-4} + \text{etc.}$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = \frac{i(i-1)}{2c} f^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^2} f^{i-4} + \text{etc.}$$

vnde colligitur

$$\frac{\partial P - cQ}{\partial t} = -\frac{i(i-1)}{2} f^{i-1} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-3)(i-3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^2} f^{i-3} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i-16)(i-5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot c^2} f^{i-5} - \text{etc.}$$

$$cP \partial t - \partial Q = c f^i + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot c} f^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i-4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot c^2} f^{i-4} + \text{etc.}$$

Ponamus ad abbreviandum

$$P = f^i + \frac{i(i-1)(i+2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot c^2} f^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i+4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot c^2} f^{i-4} + \text{etc.}$$

$$Q = \frac{i(i+1)}{2c} f^{i-1} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i+3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^2} f^{i-3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i-16)(i+5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot c^2} f^{i-5} + \text{etc.}$$

$$R = f^i + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot c} f^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i-4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot c^2} f^{i-4} + \text{etc.}$$

$$S = \frac{i(i-1)}{2c} f^{i-1} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot c^2} f^{i-3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-8)(i-16)(i-5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot c^2} f^{i-5} + \text{etc.}$$

vt fit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{(a e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct})(-cS) + (a e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct})(cR)}{x^{\frac{2i}{2i+1}}}$$

Quare cum fit  $u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , erit nostrae aequationis integrale completum

$$\frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{2i}{2i+1}} u = \frac{(a e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct})R - (a e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct})S}{(a e^{ct} + \beta e^{-ct})P - (a e^{ct} - \beta e^{-ct})Q}, \text{ siue}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{2i}{2i+1}} u = \frac{a e^{ct}(R - S) - \beta e^{-ct}(R + S)}{a e^{ct}(P - Q) + \beta e^{-ct}(P + Q)},$$

quod ob rationem constantium  $a:\beta$  arbitrariam, est completum.

## Corollarium 1.

957. Quaternae formulae P, Q, R, S, quae singulae casibus, quibus  $i$  est numerus integer, abrumpuntur, ita a se inuicem pendent, vt sit primo

$$R = P - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \text{ et } S = Q - \frac{\partial P}{\partial t};$$

tum vero

$$\partial P + \partial R = \frac{+RiR\partial t}{t} \text{ et } \partial Q + \partial S = \frac{+iS\partial t}{t}.$$

## Corollarium 2.

958. Posito ergo vel  $\alpha = 0$  vel  $\beta = 0$ , integralia particularia algebraica aequationis

$$\partial u - u \partial x - c c x^{\frac{-i}{i+1}} \partial x = 0$$

exhiberi possunt, quae sunt

$$\frac{1}{t} x^{\frac{+i}{i+1}} u = \frac{R-S}{P-Q} \text{ et } \frac{1}{t} x^{\frac{+i}{i+1}} u = \frac{-S-R}{P+Q},$$

ideoque hac vna formula comprehendi possunt

$$\frac{1}{t} x^{\frac{+i}{i+1}} u = \frac{-S+R}{P+Q}.$$

## Scholion 1.

959. Pro variis ergo valoribus numeri  $i$  tam quantitas  $t$  quam litterae P, Q, R, S sequenti modo se habebunt: Primo scilicet si  $i = 0$ , erit  $t = x$ , atque  $P = 1$ ,  $Q = 0$ ,  $R = 1$  et  $S = 0$ ; reliquos casus in sequenti tabella repraesentemus

|                                     |                               |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $i = -1, t = -\frac{1}{2}$          | $i = 1, t = 3x^{\frac{1}{2}}$ |
| $P = \frac{1}{2}, Q = 0$            | $P = t, Q = \frac{1}{2}$      |
| $R = \frac{1}{2}, S = \frac{1}{2t}$ | $R = t, S = 0$                |

$i =$



$$i = -2, t = -\frac{3}{x^3}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{i^2}, Q = \frac{1}{c i^3}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{i^2} + \frac{3}{c c i^4}, S = \frac{3}{c i^3}$$

$$i = 2, t = 5 x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P = t^2 + \frac{3}{c c}, Q = \frac{3}{c} t$$

$$R = t^2, S = \frac{1}{c} t$$

$$i = -3, t = -\frac{5}{x^{\frac{1}{3}}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{i^3} + \frac{1 \cdot 3}{c c i^3}$$

$$Q = \frac{3}{c i^4}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{i^3} + \frac{3 \cdot 5}{c c i^4}$$

$$S = \frac{6}{c i^4} + \frac{3 \cdot 5}{c^2 i^4}$$

$$i = 3, t = 7 x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P = t^3 + \frac{3 \cdot 5}{c c} t$$

$$Q = \frac{6}{c} t t + \frac{3 \cdot 5}{c^2}$$

$$R = t^3 + \frac{1 \cdot 3}{c c} t$$

$$S = \frac{3}{c} t t$$

$$i = -4, t = -\frac{7}{x^{\frac{1}{4}}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{i^4} + \frac{3 \cdot 8}{c c i^4}$$

$$Q = \frac{6}{c i^5} + \frac{3 \cdot 5}{c^2 i^5}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{i^4} + \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{c c i^4} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2 i^4}$$

$$S = \frac{10}{c i^5} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2 i^5}$$

$$i = 4, t = 9 x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P = t^4 + \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{c c} t^2 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2}$$

$$Q = \frac{10}{c} t^3 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2} t$$

$$R = t^4 + \frac{3 \cdot 5}{c c} t^2$$

$$S = \frac{6}{c} t^3 + \frac{3 \cdot 5}{c^2} t$$

$$i = -5, t = -\frac{9}{x^{\frac{1}{5}}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{i^5} + \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{c c i^5} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2 i^5}$$

$$Q = \frac{10}{c i^6} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2 i^6}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{i^5} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c c i^5} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2 i^5}$$

$$S = \frac{15}{c i^6} + \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2 i^6} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^3 i^6}$$

$$i = 5, t = 11 x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P = t^5 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c c} t^3 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2} t$$

$$Q = \frac{15}{c} t^4 + \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2} t^2 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^3}$$

$$R = t^5 + \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{c c} t^3 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2} t$$

$$S = \frac{10}{c} t^4 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2} t^2$$

$i =$

$$i = -6, t = \frac{-11}{x^{11}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{i^6} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c c i^8} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2 i^{10}}$$

$$Q = \frac{15}{c i^7} + \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c^2 i^9} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^3 i^{11}}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{i^6} + \frac{9 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c c i^8} + \frac{5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2 i^{10}} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{c^3 i^{12}}$$

$$S = \frac{11}{c i^7} + \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2 i^9} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{c^3 i^{11}}$$

$$i = 6, t = 13 x^{13}$$

$$P = t^6 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c c} t^8 + \frac{5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2} t^{10} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{c^3} t^{12}$$

$$Q = \frac{15}{c} t^7 + \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2} t^9 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{c^3} t^{11}$$

$$R = t^6 + \frac{9 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{c c} t^8 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2} t^{10}$$

$$S = \frac{15}{c} t^7 + \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{c^2} t^9 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{c^3} t^{11}$$

## Scholion 2.

960. Dum hac formulae diligentius considerantur, noua se prodit ratio inter valores litterarum P, Q, R, S, quae in hoc consistit, vt perpetuo sit  $PR - QS = t^{11}$ , cuius veritas primo quidem per inductionem deprehenditur, tum vero etiam per relationes supra datas demonstrari potest. Si enim valores

$$R = P - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \text{ et } S = Q - \frac{\partial P}{\partial t}$$

in aequationibus

$$\partial P + \partial R = \frac{11 R \partial t}{t} \text{ et } \partial Q + \partial S = \frac{11 S \partial t}{t}$$

substituuntur, oriuntur haec duae aequationes

$$2 \partial P - \frac{\partial \partial Q}{\partial t} = \frac{11 P \partial t}{t} - \frac{11 \partial Q}{c t} \text{ et}$$

$$2 \partial Q - \frac{\partial \partial P}{\partial t} = \frac{11 Q \partial t}{t} - \frac{11 \partial P}{c t},$$

qua-

quarum illa per  $P$ , haec vero per  $-Q$  multiplicata iungim dant

$$2P\partial P - 2Q\partial Q + \frac{Q\partial P - P\partial Q}{c\partial t} = \frac{st\partial t}{i}(PP - QQ) + \frac{st}{ci}(Q\partial P - P\partial Q).$$

Ponatur

$$PP - QQ = M \text{ et } \frac{Q\partial P - P\partial Q}{c\partial t} = N, \text{ erit}$$

$$\partial M + \partial N = \frac{st\partial t}{i}(M + N), \text{ seu } \frac{\partial M + \partial N}{M + N} = \frac{st\partial t}{i},$$

hincque integrando  $M + N = C t^{st}$ . At est

$$M + N = P(P - \frac{\partial Q}{c\partial t}) - Q(Q - \frac{\partial P}{c\partial t}) = PR - QS;$$

euidens autem est pro constante  $C$  unitatem accipi debere.

### Problema 121.

961. Denotante  $i$  numerum integrum siue positium siue negatiuum quemcunque, inuenire integrale completum huius aequationis

$$\partial u + uu\partial x + bb'x^{\frac{-4i}{2i+1}}\partial x = 0.$$

### Solutio.

Posito  $u = \frac{\partial y}{y\partial x}$ , haec aequatio transformatur in istam

$$\partial \partial y + bb'x^{\frac{-4i}{2i+1}}y\partial x^2 = 0,$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, cuius integrale supra est assignatum. Scilicet posito  $t = (2i + 1)x^{\frac{1}{2i+1}}$ , inuenimus (953, 954)

$$y = C \left( t^i - \frac{i(i-1)(i+1)}{a \cdot 4 \cdot b \cdot b} t^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-7)(i+4)}{a \cdot 3 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot b^2} t^{i-4} - \text{etc.} \right) \sin.(bt + \zeta) + C \left( \frac{i(i+1)}{a \cdot b} t^{i-1} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i+3)}{a \cdot 4 \cdot b^2} t^{i-3} + \text{etc.} \right) \cos.(bt + \zeta),$$

cuius loco breuitatis gratia scribamus

Vol. II.

Z

t =

$$y = CP \sin. (bt + \zeta) + CQ \cos. (bt + \zeta).$$

Hinc ob

$$\partial t = x^{\frac{-1}{i}} \partial x \text{ feu } \partial x = x^{\frac{i}{i+1}} \partial t,$$

erit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{C(\partial P - bQ \partial t) \sin. (bt + \zeta) + C(\partial Q + bP \partial t) \cos. (bt + \zeta)}{x^{\frac{i}{i+1}} \partial t};$$

vnde cum fit  $u = \frac{\partial y}{y \partial x}$ , erit

$$u = \frac{(\partial P - bQ \partial t) \sin. (bt + \zeta) + (\partial Q + bP \partial t) \cos. (bt + \zeta)}{x^{\frac{i}{i+1}} \partial t [P \sin. (bt + \zeta) + Q \cos. (bt + \zeta)]}$$

Ponamus

$$P + \frac{\partial Q}{b \partial t} = R \text{ et } Q - \frac{\partial P}{b \partial t} = S,$$

vt fit

$$\frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{i}{i+1}} u = \frac{R \cos. (bt + \zeta) - S \sin. (bt + \zeta)}{P \sin. (bt + \zeta) + Q \cos. (bt + \zeta)},$$

erit

$$P = t^i - \frac{i(i-1)(i+1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot b^2} t^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-9)(i+4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot b^4} t^{i-4} - \text{etc.}$$

$$Q = \frac{i(i+1)}{2b} t^{i-1} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i+3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot b^3} t^{i-3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-9)(i+5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot b^5} t^{i-5} - \text{etc.}$$

$$R = t^i - \frac{i(i-1)(i-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot b^2} t^{i-2} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-9)(i-4)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot b^4} t^{i-4} - \text{etc.}$$

$$S = \frac{i(i-1)}{2b} t^{i-1} - \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-3)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot b^3} t^{i-3} + \frac{i(i-1)(i-4)(i-9)(i-5)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 \cdot b^5} t^{i-5} - \text{etc.}$$

atque ob angulum  $\zeta$  introductum hoc integrale erit completum.

### Corollarium. I.

962. Quaternarum ergo litterarum P, Q, R, S valores ita a se inuicem pendent, vt fit primo

$$R = P + \frac{\partial Q}{b \partial t} \text{ et } S = Q - \frac{\partial P}{b \partial t},$$

tum

tum vero etiam patet fore

$$\partial P + \partial R = \frac{2iR\partial t}{i} \text{ et } \partial Q + \partial S = \frac{2iS\partial t}{i}.$$

### Corollarium 2.

963. Deinde etiam colligitur fore  $PR + QS = t^i$  quae aequalitas ex praecedentis problematis formulis deducitur, sumto  $ct = -bb$ , ubi  $Q$  et  $S$  abeunt in  $Q\sqrt{-1}$  et  $S\sqrt{-1}$ .

### Corollarium 3.

964. Hic casus a praecedente etiam hoc differt, quod hic nulla dentur integralia particularia algebraica. Quicumque enim valor angulo constanti  $\zeta$  tribuatur, integrale semper finum et cosinum cuiusdam anguli inuoluit.

### Scholion 1.

965. Cum igitur aequationis

$$\partial u + u u \partial x + b b x^{\frac{2i}{i+1}} \partial x = 0$$

integrale completum, posito  $t = (2i + 1)x^{\frac{1}{i+1}}$ , fit

$$\frac{1}{2} x^{\frac{2i}{i+1}} u = \frac{R \cos. (bt + \zeta) - S \sin. (bt + \zeta)}{t \sin. (bt + \zeta) + Q \cos. (bt + \zeta)},$$

pro singulis valoribus numeri  $i$  quantitas  $t$  cum litteris  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $R$ ,  $S$  ita se habebit. Primo si  $i = 0$ , erit  $P = 1$ ,  $Q = 0$ ,  $R = 1$  et  $S = 0$ , item  $t = x$ , ita vt integrale sit  $\frac{1}{2} u = \frac{\cos. (bx + \zeta)}{\sin. (bx + \zeta)}$ ; reliquos casus sequens tabella exhibet:

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| $i = -1; t = -\frac{1}{x}$          | $i = 1; t = 3x^{\frac{2}{3}}$<br>$P = t; Q = \frac{1}{t}$<br>$R = t; S = 0$ |
| $P = \frac{1}{t}; Q = 0$            |   |
| $R = \frac{1}{t}; S = \frac{1}{bt}$ |   |

Z 2

i =

$$i = -2; t = \frac{-3}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{11}; Q = \frac{1}{61^2}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{11} - \frac{3}{661^2}; S = \frac{3}{61^2}$$

$$i = 2; t = 5x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P = 11 - \frac{3}{66}; Q = \frac{3}{6}t$$

$$R = 11; S = \frac{3}{6}t$$

$$i = -3; t = \frac{-5}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{13} - \frac{1 \cdot 3}{661^2}$$

$$Q = \frac{3}{61^2};$$

$$R = \frac{1}{13} - \frac{3 \cdot 5}{661^2}$$

$$S = \frac{6}{61^2} - \frac{3 \cdot 5}{61^2 \cdot 13}$$

$$i = 3; t = 7x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P = 13 - \frac{3 \cdot 5}{66}t$$

$$Q = \frac{6}{6}t - \frac{3 \cdot 5}{66}$$

$$R = 13 - \frac{1 \cdot 3}{66}t$$

$$S = \frac{3}{6}t$$

$$i = -4; t = \frac{-7}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{14} - \frac{3 \cdot 5}{661^2}$$

$$Q = \frac{6}{61^2} - \frac{3 \cdot 5}{661^2}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{14} - \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{661^2} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{661^2}$$

$$S = \frac{10}{61^2} - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{661^2}$$

$$i = 4; t = 9x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P = 14 - \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{66}t + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{66}$$

$$Q = \frac{10}{6}t^2 - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{66}t$$

$$R = 14 - \frac{3 \cdot 3}{66}t$$

$$S = \frac{6}{6}t^2 - \frac{3 \cdot 5}{66}t$$

$$i = -5; t = \frac{-9}{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{15} - \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{661^2} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{661^2}$$

$$Q = \frac{10}{61^2} - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{661^2}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{15} - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{661^2} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{661^2}$$

$$S = \frac{15}{61^2} - \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{661^2} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{661^2 \cdot 10}$$

$$i = 5; t = 11x^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$P = 15 - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{66}t^2 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{66}t$$

$$Q = \frac{15}{6}t^2 - \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{66}t + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{66}$$

$$R = 15 - \frac{3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{66}t^2 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{66}t$$

$$S = \frac{10}{6}t^2 - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{66}t$$

i =

$$i = -6; \quad t = \frac{-11}{x^{11}}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{t^6} - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{b b t^4} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{b^2 t^{10}}$$

$$Q = \frac{75}{b t^7} - \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{b^2 t^9} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{b^2 t^{12}}$$

$$R = \frac{1}{t^8} - \frac{8 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{b b t^8} + \frac{5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{b^2 t^{10}} - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{b^2 t^{12}}$$

$$S = \frac{31}{b t^7} - \frac{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{b^2 t^9} + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{b^2 t^{11}}$$

$$i = 6; \quad t = 13 x^{13}$$

$$P = t^6 - \frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{b b} t^4 + \frac{5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{b^2} t^2 - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{b^2}$$

$$Q = \frac{31}{b} t^5 - \frac{4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{b^2} t^3 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9 \cdot 11}{b^2} t$$

$$R = t^6 - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{b b} t^4 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{b^2} t^2$$

$$S = \frac{15}{b} t^5 - \frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{b^2} t^3 + \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 9}{b^2} t$$

## Scholion 2.

966. Forma integralis inuenta modum suppeditat, aequationem propositam

$$\partial u + u u \partial x + A x^{\frac{-4i}{i+1}} \partial x = 0$$

in speciem simpliciore[m] transformandi. Primo enim ponatur

$$x^{\frac{ii}{i+1}} u = v, \text{ seu } u = x^{\frac{-ii}{i+1}} v,$$

ac prodibit

$$x^{\frac{-ii}{i+1}} \partial v - \frac{ii}{ii+1} x^{\frac{-4i-i}{i+1}} v \partial x + x^{\frac{-4i}{i+1}} v v \partial x$$

$$+ A x^{\frac{-4i}{i+1}} \partial x = 0, \text{ seu}$$

$$\partial v - \frac{ii}{ii+1} \cdot \frac{v \partial x}{x} + x^{\frac{-ii}{i+1}} v v \partial x + A x^{\frac{-4i}{i+1}} \partial x = 0.$$

Ponatur porro  $t = (2i + 1)x^{\frac{i}{2i+1}}$ , erit

$$\partial t = x^{\frac{-i}{2i+1}} \partial x, \text{ et } \frac{\partial t}{t} = \frac{1}{2i+1} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{x},$$

vnde fit

$$\partial v - \frac{2iv\partial t}{t} + v\partial t + A\partial t = 0.$$

Sit infuper  $v = \frac{t}{t} + z$ , vt prodeat

$$-\frac{i\partial t}{t} + \partial z - \frac{2it\partial t}{t^2} - \frac{2iz\partial t}{t} + \frac{i\partial t}{t} + \frac{2iz\partial t}{t} + zz\partial t + A\partial t = 0$$

feu

$$\partial z + zz\partial t - \frac{2i(i+1)\partial t}{t} + A\partial t = 0,$$

quae ergo quoties  $i$  est numerus integer, est integrabilis. Simili modo haec aequatio

$$\partial u + uu\partial x + Ax^n\partial x = 0$$

generalius ita transformari potest: posito  $u = x^\lambda v$  et  $v = z - \frac{1}{2}\lambda x^{-\lambda-1}$ , obtinetur

$$\partial z + x^\lambda zz\partial x + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(\lambda+2)x^{-\lambda-2}\partial x + Ax^{n-\lambda}\partial x = 0,$$

quae porro posito  $x^\lambda\partial x = \partial t$ , feu  $x^{\lambda+1} = (\lambda+1)t$ , abit in

$$\partial z + zz\partial t + \frac{\lambda(\lambda+2)\partial t}{4(\lambda+1)^2 t} + A(\lambda+1)\frac{n-2\lambda}{\lambda+1} \frac{x^{-\lambda}}{t^{\lambda+1}} \partial t = 0,$$

quae aequatio est integrabilis, quoties  $n = \frac{2\lambda+1}{2i+1}$ , vnde numerum  $\lambda$  pro lubitu assumendo, innumerabiles formae exhiberi possunt. Si capiatur  $\lambda = -1$ , fit  $t = \frac{1}{2}x$  et

$$\partial z + zz\partial t - \frac{1}{4}\partial t + A t^{(n+1)t} \partial t = 0.$$



## CAPVT VIII.

DE

## ALIARVM AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIO - DIFFERENTIALIVM RESOLVTIONE PER SERIES INFINITAS.

## Problema 122.

967.

**F**ormam generalem aequationum differentio - differentialium, quas commode per series resolvere licet, exhibere, earumque integralia inuestigare.

## Solutio.

Primo alias aequationes commode per series resolvere non licet, nisi in quibus altera variabilis  $y$  cum suis differentialibus  $\partial y$  et  $\partial \partial y$  nusquam plus vna dimensione obtinet; quoniam pro  $y$  seriem infinitam substituendo in calculos nimis molestos incideremus, si vsquam plures dimensiones ingrederentur. Huiusmodi ergo aequationes in hac forma

$$\partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = X \partial x^2$$

continentur. Tum vero vt seriei pro  $y$  assumtae quilibet terminus per solum praecedentem determinetur, qui est casus resolutionis maxime notabilis, duplicis tantum generis terminos ratione alterius variabilis  $x$  inesse oportet, siquidem ad dimensiones, quas ipsa  $x$  cum suo differentiali  $\partial x$  constituit, respiciamus. Vnde primo quidem, reiecto termino  $X \partial x^2$ , aequationes hoc modo resolubiles in hac forma continentur

x x

$$x x (a + b x^n) \partial \partial y + x (c + e x^n) \partial x \partial y + (f + g x^n) y \partial x^2 = 0.$$

Pro cuius resolutione fingamus

$$y = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda+n} + C x^{\lambda+2n} + D x^{\lambda+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

et facta substitutione, sequens serierum summa ad nihilum redigi debet

$$\begin{array}{l} \lambda(\lambda-1)Aax^\lambda + (\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)Bax^{\lambda+n} + (\lambda+2n)(\lambda+2n-1)Cax^{\lambda+2n} + \text{etc.} \\ \quad + \lambda(\lambda-1)Ab^2 \quad \quad \quad + (\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)Bb \\ + \lambda Ac \quad \quad + (\lambda+n)Bc \quad \quad \quad + (\lambda+2n)Cc \\ \quad \quad \quad + \lambda Ae \quad \quad \quad + (\lambda+n)Be \\ + Af \quad \quad + Bf \quad \quad \quad + Cf \\ \quad \quad \quad + Ag \quad \quad \quad + Bg. \end{array}$$

Hic ergo primo exponens  $\lambda$  ita accipi debet, vt sit

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f = 0,$$

tum vero pro reliquis fieri oportet

$$[(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)a + (\lambda+n)c + f]B = -[\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g]A,$$

$$[(\lambda+2n)(\lambda+2n-1)a + (\lambda+2n)c + f]C = -[(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)b + (\lambda+n)e + g]B,$$

$$[(\lambda+3n)(\lambda+3n-1)a + (\lambda+3n)c + f]D = -[(\lambda+2n)(\lambda+2n-1)b + (\lambda+2n)e + g]C, \\ \text{etc.}$$

Cum igitur sit

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f = 0,$$

si ponamus breuitatis causa

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g = b,$$

erit

$$[n(n+2\lambda-1)a + nc]B = -bA$$

$$[2n(2n+2\lambda-1)a + 2nc]C = -[n(n+2\lambda-1)b + ne + b]B$$

$$[3n(3n+2\lambda-1)a + 3nc]D = -[2n(2n+2\lambda-1)b + 2ne + b]C,$$

etc.

Quia

Quia ergo nisi  $a = 0$ , pro  $\lambda$  gemini inueniuntur valores, scilicet

$$\lambda = \frac{a-c \pm \sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4ef]}}{2a},$$

binæ series pro  $y$  inueniuntur, quæ utcumque combinatæ integrale completum æquationis propositæ præbent.

### Aliter.

Proposita æquatione hac

$$x x (a + b x^n) \partial x \partial y + x (c + e x^n) \partial x \partial y + (f + g x^n) y \partial x^n = 0,$$

series quoque ordine retrogrado fingi potest

$$y = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda-n} + C x^{\lambda-2n} + D x^{\lambda-3n} + \text{etc.}$$

vnde oritur ad nihilum reducendum

$$+\lambda(\lambda-1)A b x^{\lambda+n} + (\lambda-n)(\lambda-n-1)B b x^\lambda + (\lambda-2n)(\lambda-2n-1)C b x^{\lambda-n} + \text{etc.}$$

$$+\lambda(\lambda-1)A a \quad + (\lambda-n)(\lambda-n-1)B a$$

$$+\lambda A e \quad + (\lambda-n)B e \quad + (\lambda-2n)C e$$

$$+\lambda A c \quad + (\lambda-n)B c$$

$$+A g \quad + B g \quad + C g$$

$$+A f \quad + B f$$

Hic ergo exponentem  $\lambda$  ita accipi oportet, vt fiat

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g = 0.$$

Tum vero si ponamus

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f = b,$$

determinatio coefficientium ita se habebit

$$n [(n-2\lambda+1)b-e] B = -b A,$$

$$2n [(2n-2\lambda+1)b-e] C = -[n(n-2\lambda+1)a-nc+b] B,$$

$$3n [(3n-2\lambda+1)b-e] D = -[2n(2n-2\lambda+1)a-2nc+b] C,$$

etc.

¶ *Id. II.*

A 2

Corol-

## Corollarium 1.

968. Ex priore solutione, si  $i$  denotet numerum integrum positium, series assumpta alicubi abrumpetur, si fuerit

$$in(in+2\lambda-1)b+ine+b=0, \text{ vel} \\ (\lambda+in)(\lambda+in-1)b+(\lambda+in)e+g=0,$$

hoc est

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \lambda\lambda b + \lambda(2in-1)b + in(in-1)b \\ + \lambda e \qquad \qquad \qquad + ine + g \end{array} \right\} = 0.$$

## Corollarium 2.

969. Aequatio ergo nostra integrationem admittit, si litterae  $f$  et  $g$  ita fuerint comparatae, ut sit

$$f = -\lambda(\lambda-1)a - \lambda c \text{ et} \\ g = -(\lambda+in)(\lambda+in-1)b - (\lambda+in)e.$$

Vel sumtis duobus numeris  $\mu$  et  $\nu$ , ut sit  $\nu - \mu$  diuisibile per exponentem  $n$ , si fuerit

$$f = -\mu(\mu-1)a - \mu c \text{ et } g = -\nu(\nu-1)b - \nu e.$$

## Corollarium 3.

970. Cum hinc sit

$$\mu = \frac{a-c + \sqrt{(a-c)^2 - 4cf}}{2a} \text{ et } \nu = \frac{b-e + \sqrt{(b-e)^2 - 4bg}}{2b},$$

aequatio habebit integrale algebraicum, si fuerit  $\nu - \mu = in$ , denotante  $i$  numerum integrum positium: hoc est si sit

$$in = \frac{c}{2a} - \frac{e}{2b} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(b-e)^2 - 4bg}}{2b} \mp \frac{\sqrt{(a-c)^2 - 4cf}}{2a},$$

## Corollarium 4.

971. Pro serie autem inuenienda si eueniat, ut exponens  $\lambda$  fiat imaginarius, notari conuenit esse

$$x^{\alpha+\beta\gamma-1} = x^{\alpha} \cdot e^{\beta\gamma-1 \cdot \log x} = x^{\alpha} (\cos. \beta \log x + \gamma - 1 \cdot \sin. \beta \log x),$$

vnde

vnde binæ series ita combinari poterunt, vt integrale confequatur formam realem.

### Scholion.

972. Vtraque solutio generatim spectata duplicem feriem pro variabili  $y$  suppeditat, pro gemino exponentis  $\lambda$  valore, quarum combinatio integrale completum exhibet. Solutio scilicet prior pro exponente  $\lambda$  hos duos præbet valores

$$\lambda = \frac{a-c \pm \sqrt{(a-c)^2 - 4af}}{2a},$$

solutio vero posterior

$$\lambda = \frac{b-c \pm \sqrt{(b-c)^2 - 4bg}}{2b},$$

ita vt hoc modo integrale completum duplici modo exprimi possit; quæ binæ formæ etiamsi maxime diuersæ, atque adeo interdum altera per exponentes imaginarios progrediatur, dum altera habet reales, tamen sibi æquipollentes esse debent. Quin etiam euenire potest, vt altera solutio vel etiam vtraque ad integrale completum exhibendum sit inepta, dum vnicam feriem suppeditet. Incommodum hoc pro vtraque solutione duplici modo accidere potest; pro priori nempe solutione, vbi exponentem  $\lambda$  ex hac æquatione

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = 0,$$

definiri oportet, vnicus inde pro  $\lambda$  eruitur valor, si fuerit vel  $a = 0$ , vel  $4af = (a-c)^2$ , priori casu tantum fit  $\lambda = -\frac{f}{c}$ , altero ipsius  $\lambda$  valore quasi in infinitum abeunte. Posteriori casu vero ambo ipsius  $\lambda$  valores fiunt inter se æquales, scilicet  $\lambda = \frac{a-c}{2a}$ . Idem incommodum in altera solutione locum habet, si fuerit vel  $b = 0$ , vel  $4bg = (b-c)^2$ : vnde patet fieri posse, vt altera solutio huiusmodi incommodo laboret, dum altera eo careat, quin etiam vt vtraque eodem inquinetur. Quocirca ostendi conueniet, quemadmodum etiam his

casibus integrale completum inuestigari debeat; quorsum etiam casum referamus, quo ambo ipsius  $\lambda$  valores fiunt imaginarii; quandoquidem ad imaginariam speciem tollendam singulari artificio est opus. Denique vero etiam binæ series pro  $y$  exhibendæ difficultate premuntur, quoties bini valores ipsius  $\lambda$  differentiam habent per exponentem  $n$  diuisibilem, quorum casuum euolutio etiam explicari meretur.

### Problema 123.

973. Proposita aequatione differentio-differentiali:

$$x x (a + b x^n) \partial \partial y + x (c + e x^n) \partial x \partial y + (f + g x^n) y \partial x^n = 0,$$

si eueniat, ut binæ series ascendentes pro  $y$  assumptæ vel in unam coalescant, vel altera fiat impossibilis, integrale completum per series exprimere.

### Solutio.

Assumpta serie

$$y = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda+n} + C^{\lambda+2n} + D^{\lambda+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

si eueniat ut bini valores ipsius  $\lambda$  ex aequatione

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = 0$$

vel fiant aequales, vel differentiam per  $n$  diuisibilem obtineant, valor ipsius  $y$  praeter potestates ipsius  $x$  etiam logarithmum ipsius  $x$  inuoluet. Quare pro aequationis resolutione statim ponamus  $y = u + v l k x$ , ut sit  $y = u + v l x + a v$ , denotante  $a$  quantitatem constantem quamcunque. Hinc erit

$$\partial y = \partial u + \frac{v \partial x}{x} + \partial v l k x,$$

$$\partial \partial y = \partial \partial u + \frac{\partial \partial x \partial v}{x} - \frac{v \partial x^2}{x^2} + \partial \partial v l k x,$$

quibus valoribus substitutis, aequatio nostra hanc induet formam

$x x$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial u + 2x(a+bx^n)\partial x\partial v - (a+bx^n)v\partial x^2 \\ &\quad + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial u + (c+ex^n)v\partial x^2 \\ &\quad + (f+gx^n)u\partial x^2 \\ &+ [xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial v + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial v + (f+gx^n)v\partial x^2]lkx \end{aligned} \right\} = 0,$$

vbi partem postremam logarithmo affectam seorsim nihilo acquiri oportet. Quare posito

$$v = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda+n} + C x^{\lambda+2n} + D x^{\lambda+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

exponenti  $\lambda$  ex aequatione

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = 0,$$

is valor tribuatur, qui nulli incommodo est obnoxius; critque pro reliquis coefficientibus,

$$\text{ponendo } \lambda(\lambda - 1)b + \lambda e + g = nb,$$

vt sequitur

$$[(n+2\lambda-1)a+c]B + bA = 0;$$

$$2[(2n+2\lambda-1)a+c]C + [(n+2\lambda-1)b+e]B + bB = 0,$$

$$3[(3n+2\lambda-1)a+c]D + 2[(2n+2\lambda-1)b+e]C + bC = 0,$$

$$4[(4n+2\lambda-1)a+c]E + 3[(3n+2\lambda-1)b+e]D + bD = 0,$$

etc.

His coefficientibus ita definitis, quorum primus A arbitrio nostro relinquitur, ponamus

$$u = \Delta + \mathfrak{A} x^\lambda + \mathfrak{B} x^{\lambda+n} + \mathfrak{C} x^{\lambda+2n} + \mathfrak{D} x^{\lambda+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

qui valor si in priori aequatione cum serie pro  $v$  inuenta substituat, sequentes series ad nihilum reduci oportet

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 xx(a+bx^n) \frac{\partial \partial \Delta}{\partial x^2} + \lambda(\lambda-1) \mathfrak{A} ax^\lambda + (\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1) \mathfrak{B} ax^{\lambda+n} + (\lambda+2n)(\lambda+2n-1) \mathfrak{C} ax^{\lambda+2n} + \text{etc.} & & \\
 +x(c+ex^n) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x} & +\lambda(\lambda-1) \mathfrak{A} b & +(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1) \mathfrak{B} b. \\
 +\lambda \mathfrak{A} c & +(\lambda+n) \mathfrak{B} c & +(\lambda+2n) \mathfrak{C} c \\
 +(f+gx^n) \Delta & +\lambda \mathfrak{A} e & +(\lambda+n) \mathfrak{B} e \\
 +\mathfrak{A} f & +\mathfrak{B} f & +\mathfrak{C} f. \\
 & +\mathfrak{A} g & +\mathfrak{B} g \\
 +2\lambda \mathfrak{A} a & +2(\lambda+n) \mathfrak{B} a & +2(\lambda+2n) \mathfrak{C} a \\
 & +2\lambda \mathfrak{A} b & +2(\lambda+n) \mathfrak{B} b \\
 & +\mathfrak{B}(c-a) & +\mathfrak{C}(c-a) \\
 +\mathfrak{A}(c-a) & +\mathfrak{A}(e-b) & +\mathfrak{B}(e-b)
 \end{array}$$

Cum autem fit

$\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f = 0$  et  $\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g = nb$ ,  
 expressio haec transmutabitur in hanc formam

$$\begin{array}{l}
 xx(a+bx^n) \frac{\partial \partial \Delta}{\partial x^2} + x(c+ex^n) \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x} + (f+gx^n) \Delta \\
 [(2\lambda-1)a+c] \mathfrak{A} x^\lambda + [(2n+2\lambda-1)a+c] \mathfrak{B} x^{\lambda+n} + [(4n+2\lambda-1)a+c] \mathfrak{C} x^{\lambda+2n} + \text{etc.} \\
 +[(2\lambda-1)b+e] \mathfrak{A} & +[(2n+2\lambda-1)b+e] \mathfrak{B} \\
 +n[(n+2\lambda-1)a+c] \mathfrak{B} & +2n[(2n+2\lambda-1)a+c] \mathfrak{C} \\
 & +n[(n+2\lambda-1)b+e] \mathfrak{B} \\
 +nb \mathfrak{A} & +nb \mathfrak{B}
 \end{array}$$

vbi  $\Delta$  denotat quosdam terminos seriei

$$\mathfrak{A} x^\lambda + \mathfrak{B} x^{\lambda+n} + \text{etc.}$$

praemittendos, ita vt ordine retrogrado fit

$$\Delta = a x^{\lambda-n} + b x^{\lambda-2n} + c x^{\lambda-3n} + \dots + j x^{\lambda-in}.$$

Quod principium quomodo quouis casu fit constituendum, sequentia sunt obseruanda.

I. Prin-



I. Principium hoc locum habere nequit, nisi fuerit

$$(\lambda - in)(\lambda - in - 1)a + (\lambda - in)c + f = 0,$$

cum igitur fit

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = 0,$$

inde erit

$$\lambda = in + \frac{a - c - \sqrt{[(a - c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a},$$

hinc vero

$$\lambda = \frac{a - c + \sqrt{[(a - c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a},$$

quandoquidem hi duo valores convenire nequeunt, nisi ibi signum radicale negative, hic vero positive accipiat. Aequatis autem his valoribus fit

$$in = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{[(a - c)^2 - 4af]}, \text{ seu}$$

$$innaa = (a - c)^2 - 4af, \text{ hincque}$$

$$f = \frac{(a - c)^2}{4a} - \frac{1}{4} inna,$$

vnde fit

$$\lambda = \frac{a - c}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} in.$$

Quare si aequatio proposita ita fuerit comparata, ut fit

$$ina = \sqrt{[(a - c)^2 - 4af]},$$

tum sumto

$$\lambda = \frac{a - c}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} in,$$

ac pro  $v$  sumta serie

$$v = Ax^\lambda + Bx^{\lambda+n} + \text{etc.}$$

alteram seriem  $u$  ita constitui convenit

$$u = jx^{\lambda-in} + \dots + ax^{\lambda-n} + Bx^\lambda + D'x^{\lambda+n} \\ + Cx^{\lambda+2n} + \text{etc.}$$

Hic est casus, quo bini valores ipsius  $\lambda$  ex aequatione

$\lambda$

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = 0,$$

differentiam habent per  $n$  diuisibilem, vbi notandum, seriem  $v$  a maiore valore ipsius  $\lambda$ , seriem vero  $u$  a minore inchoari debere.

II. Principium  $\Delta$  omitti nequit, nisi fuerit

$$(2\lambda - 1)a + c = 0,$$

quo casu fit  $\lambda = \frac{a-c}{2}$ : atque hic est casus, quo aequationis

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = 0$$

binae radices fiunt inter se aequales, ideoque  $f = \frac{(a-c)^2}{4a}$ . Continetur ergo hic casus in praecedente, sumendo ibi  $i = 0$ . Quare hoc modo resoluentur casus, quibus bini valores ipsius  $\lambda$  vel sunt inter se aequales, vel differentiam habent per exponentem  $n$  diuisibilem. Sicque reperitur integrale completum per duas series ascendentes  $v$  et  $u$  expressum, quarum illa  $v$  per  $lx$  multiplicatur.

### Corollarium 1.

974. Quando ergo in aequatione proposita coefficientes  $a$ ,  $c$  et  $f$  ita sunt comparati, vt aequationis

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = 0$$

radices sint  $\lambda = \mu$  et  $\lambda = \mu - in$ , denotante  $i$  numerum integrum positium, integrale completum huiusmodi habebit formam  $y = u + av + vlx$ .

### Corollarium 2.

975. Hic autem binae quantitates  $v$  et  $u$  ex his aequationibus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I. } & xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial v + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial v + (f+gx^n)v\partial x^n = 0, \\ \text{II. } & \left. \begin{aligned} & xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial u + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial u + (f+gx^n)u\partial x^n \\ & + 2x(a+bx^n)\partial x\partial v - (a+bx^n)v\partial x^n \\ & + (c+ex^n)v\partial x^n \end{aligned} \right\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

ita determinari poterunt, vt ponatur

$$v = A x^\mu + B x^{\mu+n} + C x^{\mu+2n} + D x^{\mu+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

$$u = \mathfrak{A} x^{\mu-in} + \mathfrak{B} x^{\mu-in+n} + \mathfrak{C} x^{\mu-in+2n} + \mathfrak{D} x^{\mu-in+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

Has scilicet series substituendo omnes coefficientes ex vno definire licebit.

### Scholion.

976. Logarithmo ergo ipsius  $x$  in subsidium vocato, his casibus, quos commemorauimus, integrale completum aequationis propositae per series ascendentes exhiberi potest, dum sine hoc artificio integrale tantum particulare inuenitur. Quando enim aequatio  $\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f = 0$  duas radices habet, quarum differentia per exponentem  $n$  est diuisibilis, puta  $\lambda = \mu$  et  $\lambda = \mu - in$ , priore methodo sola series, quae incipit a potestate  $x^\mu$ , determinari potest; si enim altera a potestate  $x^{\mu-in}$  incipiens pro  $y$  assumeretur, coefficientis cuiusdam termini reperiretur infinitus, vnde sequentes omnes forent quoque infiniti, quod incommodum introducendo logarithmus ipsius  $x$  feliciter tollitur. Hunc igitur vsus istius resolutionis aliquot exemplis illustrasse iuuabit.

### Exemplum 1.

977. *Aequationis differentio-differentialis*

$$x \partial \partial y + \partial x \partial y + g x^{n-1} y \partial x^n = 0$$

*integrale completum per series ascendentes exhibere.*

Hanc aequationem ad nostram formam reducendo habebimus

Vol. II.

B b

x x

$$x x \partial \partial y + x \partial x \partial y + g x^n y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

vbi ergo est  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 0$ ,  $c = 1$ ,  $e = 0$  et  $f = 0$ . Hinc  $\lambda(\lambda - 1) + \lambda = 0$ , seu  $\lambda\lambda = 0$ , ita vt bini valores ipsius  $\lambda$  sint aequales et  $= 0$ . Quare posito  $y = u + a v + v l x$ , resolui oportet has aequationes

$$\text{I. } x x \partial \partial v + x \partial x \partial v + g x^n v \partial x^2 = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$\text{II. } x x \partial \partial u + x \partial x \partial u + g x^n u \partial x^2 = 0 \\ + 2x \partial x \partial v.$$

Statuamus ergo

$$v = A + B x^n + C x^{2n} + D x^{3n} + \text{etc. et}$$

$$u = \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B} x^n + \mathfrak{C} x^{2n} + \mathfrak{D} x^{3n} + \text{etc.}$$

ac prior aequatio praebet

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} n(n-1) B x^{2n} + 2n(2n-1) C x^{3n} + 3n(3n-1) D x^{4n} + \text{etc.} \\ + n B \quad + 2n C \quad + 3n D \\ + A g \quad + B g \quad + C g \end{array} \right\} = 0,$$

vnde fit

$$B = \frac{-A g}{n}, \quad C = \frac{-B g}{4n}, \quad D = \frac{-C g}{9n}, \quad E = \frac{-D g}{16n}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Tum vero altera aequatio dat

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} n n \mathfrak{B} x^n + 4 n n \mathfrak{C} x^{2n} + 9 n n \mathfrak{D} x^{3n} + \text{etc.} \\ + \mathfrak{A} g \quad + \mathfrak{B} g \quad + \mathfrak{C} g \\ + 2 n B \quad + 4 n C \quad + 6 n D \end{array} \right\} = 0,$$

vnde colligitur

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{-\mathfrak{A} g}{n} - \frac{2B}{n}, \quad \mathfrak{C} = \frac{-\mathfrak{B} g}{4n} - \frac{2C}{n}, \quad \mathfrak{D} = \frac{-\mathfrak{C} g}{9n} - \frac{2D}{3n}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Hic autem tuto assumere licet  $\mathfrak{A} = 0$ , quoniam termini ex  $\mathfrak{A}$  oriundi, continentur in membro  $a v$ . Cum igitur fit

$$B = \frac{-A g}{n^2}, \quad C = \frac{+A g^2}{4 \cdot 4 n^4}, \quad D = \frac{-A g^3}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 n^6}, \quad E = \frac{+A g^4}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16 n^8}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

erit vt sequitur

$\mathfrak{B} =$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B} &= \frac{nAg}{n^2}, \quad \mathfrak{C} = \frac{-nAg}{4n^2} - \frac{nAg}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot 4n^2} = \frac{-4Ag}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot 4n^2}, \\ \mathfrak{D} &= \frac{6Ag^2}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9n^2} + \frac{nAg^2}{3 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9n^2} = \frac{22Ag^2}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9n^2}, \\ \mathfrak{E} &= \frac{-22Ag^3}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16n^3} - \frac{nAg^3}{4 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16n^3} = \frac{-100Ag^3}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16n^3}, \\ \mathfrak{F} &= \frac{100Ag^4}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16 \cdot 25n^4} + \frac{nAg^4}{2 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16 \cdot 25n^4} = \frac{548Ag^4}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16 \cdot 25n^4} \end{aligned}$$

sicque obtinentur sequentes valores

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B} &= \frac{nAg}{n^2}, \quad \mathfrak{C} = \frac{-6Ag^2}{1 \cdot 8n^2}, \quad \mathfrak{D} = \frac{22Ag^2}{1 \cdot 8 \cdot 2 \cdot n^2}, \quad \mathfrak{E} = \frac{-100Ag^3}{1 \cdot 8 \cdot 27 \cdot 64n^3}, \\ \mathfrak{F} &= \frac{548Ag^4}{1 \cdot 8 \cdot 27 \cdot 64 \cdot 125n^4}, \quad \mathfrak{G} = \frac{-352Ag^5}{1 \cdot 8 \cdot 27 \cdot 64 \cdot 125 \cdot 216n^5}, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

vbi numeratores 2, 6, 22, 100, 548, 3528, etc. singuli ita per binos praecedentes definiuntur

$$\begin{aligned} 6 &= 3 \cdot 2 - 1 \cdot 0, \quad 22 = 5 \cdot 6 - 4 \cdot 2, \quad 100 = 7 \cdot 22 - 9 \cdot 6, \\ 548 &= 9 \cdot 100 - 16 \cdot 22, \quad 3528 = 11 \cdot 548 - 25 \cdot 100 \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Consequenter integrale ita exprimetur

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \frac{nAg}{n^2} x^n - \frac{6Ag^2}{1 \cdot 8n^2} x^{2n} + \frac{22Ag^2}{1 \cdot 8 \cdot 2 \cdot n^2} x^{3n} - \frac{100Ag^3}{1 \cdot 8 \cdot 27 \cdot 64n^3} x^{4n} + \text{etc.} \\ &+ A \left( x - \frac{g}{n} x^n + \frac{g^2}{1 \cdot 4n^2} x^{2n} - \frac{g^3}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9n^3} x^{3n} + \frac{g^4}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16n^4} x^{4n} - \text{etc.} \right) / x \\ &+ \alpha - \frac{\alpha g}{n} x^n + \frac{\alpha g^2}{1 \cdot 4n^2} x^{2n} - \frac{\alpha g^3}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9n^3} x^{3n} + \frac{\alpha g^4}{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 9 \cdot 16n^4} x^{4n} - \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

vbi A et  $\alpha$  sunt binæ constantes arbitrariae.

### Exemplum 2.

978. *Aequationis differentio-differentialis*

$$x(1 - xx) \partial \partial y - (1 + xx) \partial x \partial y + xy \partial x^2 = 0,$$

*integrale completum per series ascendentes assignare.*

Ad formam nostram reducta est

$$xx(1 - xx) \partial \partial y - x(1 + xx) \partial x \partial y + xxy \partial x^2 = 0,$$

ita vt sit  $n = 2$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $b = -1$ ,  $c = -1$ ,  $e = -1$ ,  $f = 0$   
et  $g = 1$ , vnde aequationis  $\lambda(\lambda - 1) - \lambda = 0$  radices sunt  
 $\lambda = 0$  et  $\lambda = 2$ , quarum differentia per  $n = 2$  diuisa dat 1.

B b 2

Posito

Posito ergo  $y = u + av + v/x$ , statui debet

$$v = Ax^2 + Bx^3 + Cx^4 + Dx^5 + \text{etc. et}$$

$$u = \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x^2 + \mathfrak{C}x^4 + \mathfrak{D}x^6 + \mathfrak{E}x^8 + \text{etc.}$$

quae series ex sequentibus aequationibus determinari debent

$$\text{I. } xx(1-xx)\partial\partial v - x(1+xx)\partial x\partial v + xxv\partial x^2 = 0,$$

$$\text{II. } \left. \begin{aligned} xx(1-xx)\partial\partial u - x(1+xx)\partial x\partial u + xxu\partial x^2 \\ + 2x(1-xx)\partial x\partial v - 2v\partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0.$$

Hinc pro prioris determinatione fit

$$\left. \begin{array}{r} 2Ax^2 + 12Bx^3 + 30Cx^4 + 56Dx^5 + \text{etc.} \\ - 2A \quad - 12B \quad - 30C \\ - 2A \quad - 4B \quad - 6C \quad - 8D \\ - 2A \quad - 4B \quad - 6C \\ + A \quad + B \quad + C \end{array} \right\} = 0,$$

ideoque

$$2 \cdot 4 B = 1 \cdot 3 A, \quad 4 \cdot 6 C = 3 \cdot 5 B, \quad 6 \cdot 8 D = 5 \cdot 7 C, \text{ etc.}$$

seu

$$B = \frac{1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4} A, \quad C = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6} A, \quad D = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \cdot 8} A, \text{ etc.}$$

Ex altera vero aequatione reperitur

$$\left. \begin{array}{r} 2\mathfrak{B}x^2 + 12\mathfrak{C}x^4 + 30\mathfrak{D}x^6 + 56\mathfrak{E}x^8 + \text{etc.} \\ - 2\mathfrak{B} \quad - 12\mathfrak{C} \quad - 30\mathfrak{D} \\ - 2\mathfrak{B} \quad - 4\mathfrak{C} \quad - 6\mathfrak{D} \quad - 8\mathfrak{E} \\ - 2\mathfrak{B} \quad - 4\mathfrak{C} \quad - 6\mathfrak{D} \\ + \mathfrak{A} \quad + \mathfrak{B} \quad + \mathfrak{C} \quad + \mathfrak{D} \\ + 4A \quad + 8B \quad + 12C \quad + 16D \\ - 4A \quad - 8B \quad - 12C \\ - 2A \quad - 2B \quad - 2C \quad - 2D \end{array} \right\} = 0.$$

vnde fieri oportet

$\mathfrak{A} +$

$$2A + 2A = 0, \quad 2.4 \mathcal{E} - 1.3 \mathcal{B} + 6B - 4A = 0,$$

$$4.6 \mathcal{D} - 3.5 \mathcal{C} + 10C - 8B = 0,$$

$$6.8 \mathcal{E} - 5.7 \mathcal{D} + 14D - 12C = 0, \text{ etc.}$$

feu cum sit

$$B = \frac{1.3}{2.4} A, \quad C = \frac{3.5}{4.6} B, \quad D = \frac{5.7}{6.8} C, \text{ etc.}$$

erit  $\mathcal{A} = -2A$ , tum vero

$$2.4 \mathcal{E} - 1.3 \mathcal{B} - \frac{2.7}{2.4} A = 0, \quad \mathcal{E} = \frac{1.3}{2.4} \mathcal{B} + \frac{2.7}{4.8} A,$$

$$4.6 \mathcal{D} - 3.5 \mathcal{C} - \frac{2.51}{4.6} B = 0, \quad \mathcal{D} = \frac{3.5}{4.6} \mathcal{C} + \frac{2.51}{4.6 \cdot 6} B,$$

$$6.8 \mathcal{E} - 5.7 \mathcal{D} - \frac{2.43}{6.8} C = 0, \quad \mathcal{E} = \frac{5.7}{6.8} \mathcal{D} + \frac{2.43}{6.8 \cdot 8} C,$$

$$8.10 \mathcal{F} - 7.9 \mathcal{E} - \frac{2.13}{8.10} D = 0, \quad \mathcal{F} = \frac{7.9}{8.10} \mathcal{E} + \frac{2.13}{8.10 \cdot 10} D.$$

Dum ergo capiatur  $\mathcal{A} = -2A$ , littera  $\mathcal{B}$  pro lubitu accipi potest; nihilque impedit, quo minus nihilo aequalis statuatur, siquidem constans  $\alpha$  supra est inducta.

### Exemplum 3.

979. *Aequationis differentio-differentialis*

$xx(1+bxx)\partial\partial y + x(-5+exx)\partial x\partial y + (5+gxx)y\partial x^2 = 0$   
*integrale completum per series ascendentes exhibere.*

Quia hic est  $a = 1$ ,  $c = -5$  et  $f = 5$ , aequatio  $\lambda(\lambda - 1) - 5\lambda + 5 = 0$ , seu  $\lambda\lambda - 6\lambda + 5 = 0$ , radices habet  $\lambda = 1$  et  $\lambda = 5$ , quarum differentia 4 per  $n = 2$  diuidi potest. Posito ergo  $y = u + \alpha v + \beta l x$  statuatur

$$v = Ax^5 + Bx^7 + Cx^9 + Dx^{11} + Ex^{13} + \text{etc.}$$

$$u = \mathcal{A}x + \mathcal{B}x^3 + \mathcal{C}x^5 + \mathcal{D}x^7 + \text{etc. et}$$

aequationes resoluendae erunt

$$I. \quad xx(1+bxx)\partial\partial v + x(-5+exx)\partial x\partial v + (5+gxx)v\partial x^2 = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$II. \quad \left. \begin{aligned} xx(1+bxx)\partial\partial u + x(-5+exx)\partial x\partial u + (5+gxx)u\partial x^2 \\ + 2x(1+bxx)\partial x\partial v - (1+bxx)v\partial x^2 \\ + (-5+exx)v\partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0,$$

B b 3

vbi

vbi prior ducit ad

$$\begin{array}{r}
 5. 4 Ax^5 + 7. 6 Bx^7 + 9. 8 Cx^9 + 11. 10 Dx^{11} + \text{etc.} \\
 - 5. 5 A \quad - 5. 7 B \quad - 5. 9 C \quad - 5. 11 D \\
 + 5 A \quad + 5 B \quad + 5 C \quad + 5 D \\
 \quad + 5. 4 Ab + 7. 6 Bb + 9. 8 Cb \\
 \quad + 5 Ae + 7 Be + 9 Ce \\
 \quad + Ag + Bg + Cg
 \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}} \right\} = 0,$$

posterior vero ad

$$\begin{array}{r}
 + 2. 3 Bx^3 + 4. 5 Cx^5 + 6. 7 Dx^7 + 8. 9 Ex^9 + \text{etc.} \\
 - 5 Ax - 5. 3 B \quad - 5. 5 C \quad - 5. 7 D \quad - 5. 9 E \\
 + 5 A \quad + 5 B \quad + 5 C \quad + 5 D \quad + 5 E \\
 \quad + 2. 3 Bb + 4. 5 Cb + 6. 7 Db \\
 + Ae + 3 Be + 5 Ce + 7 De \\
 + Ag + Bg + Cg + Dg \\
 \quad + 2. 5 A \quad + 2. 7 B \quad + 2. 9 C \\
 \quad - 6 A \quad - 6 B \quad - 6 C \\
 \quad \quad + 2. 5 Ab + 2. 7 Bb \\
 \quad \quad - Ab - Bb \\
 \quad \quad + Ae + Be
 \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}} \right\} = 0,$$

|                              |  |                                     |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| Inde fit                     |  | scu                                 |
| $12 B + A(20b + 5e + g) = 0$ |  | $2. 6 B + A(4. 5 b + 5e + g) = 0,$  |
| $32 C + B(42b + 7e + g) = 0$ |  | $+ 8 C + B(6. 7 b + 7e + g) = 0,$   |
| $60 D + C(72b + 9e + g) = 0$ |  | $6. 10 D + C(8. 9 b + 9e + g) = 0,$ |
| etc.                         |  | etc.                                |

Hinc autem



$$-4\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{A}(e + g) = 0,$$

$$0\mathfrak{C} + \mathfrak{B}(2.3b + 3e + g) + 4\mathfrak{A} = 0,$$

$$2.6\mathfrak{D} + \mathfrak{C}(4.5b + 5e + g) + 8\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{A}(9b + e) = 0,$$

$$4.8\mathfrak{E} + \mathfrak{D}(6.7b + 7e + g) + 12\mathfrak{C} + \mathfrak{B}(13b + e) = 0,$$

etc.

Ex prioribus formulis litterae B, C, D, etc. per A determinantur, ex posteriorum vero secunda fit  $\mathfrak{B} = \frac{-4\mathfrak{A}}{2.3b + 3e + g}$ , ex prima autem  $\mathfrak{A} = \frac{4\mathfrak{B}}{e + g}$ , tum vero  $\mathfrak{C}$  pro lubitu assumi potest, indeque reliqui coefficientes  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$ , etc. definiuntur.

### Scholion.

980. Exemplum hoc occasionem nobis suppeditat phaenomena quaedam singularia obseruandi. Scilicet etiamsi integrale completum in genere  $lx$  inuoluat, tamen id a logarithmo liberum prodit certis casibus. Primo nempe si fit  $g = -e$ , fit  $\mathfrak{B} = 0$ , manente  $\mathfrak{A}$  indefinito, tum vero ob  $\mathfrak{B} = 0$  capi oportet  $\mathfrak{A} = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{B} = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{C} = 0$ , e.c. ideoque  $v = 0$ . Porro vero erit

$$2. 6\mathfrak{D} + 4\mathfrak{C}(5b + e) = 0,$$

$$4. 8\mathfrak{E} + 6\mathfrak{D}(7b + e) = 0,$$

$$6. 10\mathfrak{F} + 8\mathfrak{E}(9b + e) = 0,$$

etc.

vbi  $\mathfrak{C}$  altera est constans arbitraria, eritque aequationis

$xx(1 + bxx)\partial\partial y + x(-5 + exx)\partial x\partial y + (5 - exx)y\partial x^2 = 0$ ,  
integrale completum

$$y = \mathfrak{A}x + * + \mathfrak{C}x^2 + \mathfrak{D}x^3 + \mathfrak{E}x^4 + \mathfrak{F}x^{11} + \text{etc.}$$

quod adeo finite exprimitur si  $e = -(2i + 5)b$ , pro  $i$  sumendo numeros 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, etc.

Secundo si fit

$$2. 3b$$

CAPVT VIII.

2.  $3b + 3e + g = 0$ , seu  $g = -6b - 3e$ ,

$= -\frac{1}{2}A(3b + e)$ , tum vero  $A = 0$ ,  $B = 0$ ,  $C = 0$ ,

ergo  $v = 0$ . Porro vero reperitur

$D = -\frac{1}{2}E(7b + e)$ ,  $E = -\frac{1}{2}D(9b + e)$ ,  $F = -\frac{1}{10}E(11b + e)$  etc.

hincque

$y = Ax - \frac{1}{2}A(3b + e)x^2 + Ex^3 + Dx^4 + Ex^5 + \text{etc.}$

ubi  $A$  et  $E$  arbitrio nostro relinquuntur.

Tertio si fit

$4 + 5b + 5e + g = 0$ , seu  $g = -20b - 5e$ ,

fit  $B = 0$ ,  $C = 0$ ,  $D = 0$ , etc. ideoque  $v = Ax^5$ ,

primo vero

$B = -\frac{1}{2}A(5b + e)$ ,  $-B(14b + 2e) + 4A = 0$ , seu  $B = \frac{4A}{7b + e}$ ,

hincque  $A = -\frac{1}{2}A(5b + e)(7b + e)$ , porro

2.  $6D + A(9b + e) = 0$ ,

4.  $8E + 2D(11b + e) = 0$ ,

6.  $10F + 2E(13b + e) = 0$ ,

etc.

Per  $A$  ergo definiuntur coefficientes  $B$ ,  $A$ ,  $D$ ,  $E$ ,  $F$ , etc. ac  $E$  quoque arbitrio nostro relinquitur, vnde integrae completum hoc casu erit

$y = Ax^5 + Ex^3 + Ax + Bx^3 + \dots + Dx^4 + Ex^5 + \text{etc.}$

quae expressio fit finita quoties  $(2i + 5)b + e = 0$ .

Exemplum 4.

981. Si in priori exemplo fit  $e = -7b$  et  $g = 15b$ ,

aequationis  $x x(1 + bxx) \partial \partial y - x(5 + 7bxx) \partial x \partial y + 5(1 + 3bxx)y \partial x^2 = 0$

integrale completum algebraice exhibere.

Erit

Erit ergo  $\mathfrak{B} = +2\mathfrak{A}b$ ,  $A = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{D} = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{E} = 0$ , ideoque  $v = 0$  et  $u = \mathfrak{A}x + 2\mathfrak{A}bx^2 + \mathfrak{E}x^3$ , vnde pro  $\mathfrak{A}$  et  $\mathfrak{E}$  fumendo constantes quascunque, erit integrale completum

$$y = \mathfrak{A}x(1 + 2bxx) + \mathfrak{E}x^3.$$

Integralia ergo particularia erunt

$$y = ax(1 + 2bxx), y = ax^3, y = ax(1 + bxx)^2.$$

### Corollarium 1.

982. Posito  $y = e^{fz}$ , vt fit  $z = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , aequationis hulus differentialis primi gradus

$$xx(1 + bxx)\partial z + xx(1 + bxx)zx\partial x - x(5 + 7bxx)z\partial x + 5(1 + 3bxx)\partial x = 0,$$

integrale completum est  $z = \frac{5(1 + 6bxx) + 3\mathfrak{E}x^2}{5x(1 + 2bxx) + \mathfrak{E}x^3}$ .

### Corollarium 2.

983. Aequatio autem differentio-differentialis integrabilis redditur, si diuidatur per  $xx(1 + bxx)^2$ , eritque integrale

$$\frac{x\partial y - y\partial x}{x(1 + bxx)} = C\partial x, \text{ seu } \partial y - \frac{y\partial x}{x} = C\partial x(1 + bxx),$$

quae per  $x^3$  diuisa integrale praebet

$$\frac{y}{x^3} = \frac{C}{4x^4} - \frac{bC}{2x^2} + D \text{ seu}$$

$$y = -\frac{1}{4}Cx(1 + 2bxx) + Dx^3,$$

vt ante.

### Scholion.

984. Deficit autem adhuc integratio completa nostrae aequationis generalis per series ascendentes, casu quo  $a = 0$ , ideoque  $\lambda c + f = 0$ , vnde vnicus pro exponente  $\lambda$  valor definitur  $\lambda = -\frac{f}{c}$ , qui tantum integrale particulare suppeditat, atque hoc etiam tollitur, si fuerit  $c = 0$ . Quia autem his casibus

fibus  $a=0$ , coefficientis  $b$  certo adfit necesse est, ex quo integrale completum per series descendentes exhiberi poterit, cum aequatio  $\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g = 0$  duas semper contineat radices, ex quibus duplex series obtinetur. Simile autem hic incommodum vsu venire potest, quando binae radices ipsius  $\lambda$  vel prodeunt aequales, vel differentiam habent per exponentem  $n$  diuisibilem. Verum huic incommodo, feriem per  $lx$  multiplicatam introducendo, simili methodo medela affertur, qua in hoc problemate sumus vsi, ac superfluum foret istam euolutionem hic repetere. Quodsi autem binae radices ipsius  $\lambda$  tam pro seriebus ascendentes quam descendentes fiant imaginariae, ostendendum restat, quomodo integrale completum per series infinitas exprimi oporteat.

### Problema 124.

985. Proposita aequatione differentio-differentiali  
 $xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial y + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial y + (f+gx^n)y\partial x^2 = 0$ ,  
 si eueniat vt aequatio

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f = 0$$

radices habeat imaginarias, eius integrale completum per series ascendentes exhibere.

### Solutio.

Ex supra allatis (971) colligitur hoc casu statui debere

$$y = v \sin. \mu lx + u \cos. \mu lx, \text{ vnde fit}$$

$$\partial y = (\partial v - \frac{\mu v \partial x}{x}) \sin. \mu lx + (\frac{\mu v \partial x}{x} + \partial u) \cos. \mu lx, \text{ et}$$

$$\partial \partial y = (\partial \partial v - \frac{\mu \partial x \partial v}{x} + \frac{\mu \partial x^2}{x^2} - \frac{\mu u v \partial x^2}{x^2}) \sin. \mu lx$$

$$+ (\partial \partial u + \frac{\mu \partial x \partial v}{x} - \frac{\mu v \partial x^2}{x^2} - \frac{\mu u v \partial x^2}{x^2}) \cos. \mu lx,$$

qua facta substitutione, si terminos tam  $\sin. \mu lx$  quam  $\cos. \mu lx$  affectos seorsum ad nihilum redigamus, obtinebimus duas se-

quentes aequationes

$$\text{I. } \left. \begin{aligned} &xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial v + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial v + (f+gx^n)v\partial x^2 \\ &\quad - 2\mu x(a+bx^n)\partial x\partial u - \mu\mu(a+bx^n)v\partial x^2 \\ &\quad \quad + \mu(a+bx^n)u\partial x^2 \\ &\quad \quad - \mu(c+ex^n)u\partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

$$\text{II. } \left. \begin{aligned} &xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial u + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial u + (f+gx^n)u\partial x^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mu x(a+bx^n)\partial x\partial v - \mu\mu(a+bx^n)u\partial x^2 \\ &\quad \quad - \mu(a+bx^n)v\partial x^2 \\ &\quad \quad + \mu(c+ex^n)v\partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

Iam pro  $v$  et  $u$  assumamus has series ascendentes

$$v = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda+n} + C x^{\lambda+2n} + D x^{\lambda+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

$$u = \mathfrak{A} x^\lambda + \mathfrak{B} x^{\lambda+n} + \mathfrak{C} x^{\lambda+2n} + \mathfrak{D} x^{\lambda+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

iisque substitutis, prior aequatio abit in hanc

$$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda(\lambda-1)Aax^\lambda + (\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)Bax^{\lambda+n} + (\lambda+2n)(\lambda+2n-1)Cax^{\lambda+2n} & & \\ + \lambda(\lambda-1)Ab & & + (\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)Bb \\ + \lambda Ac & + (\lambda+n)Bc & + (\lambda+2n)Cc \\ + \lambda Af & + \lambda Ae & + (\lambda+n)Be \\ + Af & + Bf & + Cf \\ & + Ag & + Bg \\ - 2\mu\lambda\mathfrak{A}a & - 2\mu(\lambda+n)\mathfrak{B}a & - 2\mu(\lambda+2n)\mathfrak{C}a \\ & - 2\mu\lambda\mathfrak{A}b & - 2\mu(\lambda+n)\mathfrak{B}b \\ - \mu\mu Aa & - \mu\mu Ba & - \mu\mu Ca \\ & - \mu\mu Ab & - \mu\mu Bb \\ + \mu\mathfrak{A}a & + \mu\mathfrak{B}a & + \mu\mathfrak{C}a \\ & + \mu\mathfrak{A}b & + \mu\mathfrak{B}b \\ - \mu\mathfrak{A}c & - \mu\mathfrak{B}c & - \mu\mathfrak{C}c \\ & - \mu\mathfrak{A}e & - \mu\mathfrak{B}e \end{array}$$

C c 2

Hinc

Hinc altera aequatio facile formatur permutandis litteris latinis et germanicis atque insuper signum numeri  $\mu$  mutando.

Vtrinque ergo potestas prima  $x^\lambda$  exigit has aequationes

$$A[\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f - \mu \mu a] - \mu \mathfrak{A}(2\lambda a - a + c) = 0,$$

$$\mathfrak{A}[\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f - \mu \mu a] + \mu A(2\lambda a - a + c) = 0,$$

vnde necesse est vt fit

$$\text{tam } 2\lambda a - a + c = 0$$

$$\text{quam } \lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f - \mu \mu a = 0.$$

Inde fit  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c}{2a}$ , qui valor hic substitutus dat

$$-a\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{cc}{4a^2}\right) + \frac{c}{2} - \frac{cc}{2a} + f = \mu \mu a = -\frac{a}{4} + \frac{c}{2} - \frac{cc}{4a} + f, \text{ seu}$$

$$\mu \mu a = \frac{4af - (a-c)^2}{4a}, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$\mu = \frac{\sqrt{4af - (a-c)^2}}{2a} \text{ et } \lambda = \frac{a-c}{2a}.$$

Vnde patet hanc solutionem locum habere si  $4af > (a-c)^2$ , quo ipso casu praecedens solutio fiebat imaginaria. Hic autem quantitates  $A$  et  $\mathfrak{A}$  arbitrio nostro relinquuntur.

Terminus vero  $x^{\lambda+n}$  vtrinque postulat has aequationes

$$B[(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)a + (\lambda+n)c + f - \mu \mu a] + A[\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g - \mu \mu b]$$

$$- \mu \mathfrak{B}[2(\lambda+n)a - a + c] - \mu \mathfrak{A}(2\lambda b - b + e) = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}[(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)a + (\lambda+n)c + f - \mu \mu a] + \mathfrak{A}[\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g - \mu \mu b]$$

$$+ \mu B[2(\lambda+n)a - a + c] + \mu A(2\lambda b - b + e) = 0.$$

Sit breuitatis gratia

$$(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)a + (\lambda+n)c + f - \mu \mu a = nna = \alpha$$

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g - \mu \mu b = \beta$$

$$2(\lambda+n)a - a + c = 2na = \gamma$$

$$2\lambda b - b + e = \delta,$$

vt

vt habeamus

$$B\alpha + A\beta - \mu\mathfrak{B}\gamma - \mu\mathfrak{A}\delta = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}\alpha + \mathfrak{A}\beta + \mu B\gamma + \mu A\delta = 0,$$

vnde colligitur

$$B = \frac{-A(\alpha\beta + \mu\mu\gamma\delta) + \mu\mathfrak{A}(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)}{\alpha\alpha + \mu\mu\gamma\gamma} \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{-\mathfrak{A}(\alpha\beta + \mu\mu\gamma\delta) - \mu A(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)}{\alpha\alpha + \mu\mu\gamma\gamma}.$$

At vero ex valoribus assumtis est

$$\alpha = nna, \beta = \frac{(ae-bc)(a-c)}{aa} - \frac{bf}{a} + g, \gamma = 2na, \delta = \frac{ae-bc}{a},$$

vnde ex assumtis A et  $\mathfrak{A}$  definiuntur B et  $\mathfrak{B}$ , hincque porro C,  $\mathfrak{C}$ , D,  $\mathfrak{D}$  etc.

### Exemplum 1.

986. Sit  $c = a$  et  $f = a$ , et fiat  $\mu = 1$ , et inuestigetur integrale huius aequationis

$$xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial y + x(a+ex^n)\partial x\partial y + (a+gx^n)y\partial x^2 = 0.$$

Hic ergo erit  $\lambda = 0$  et  $\mu = 1$ , vnde posito

$$y = v \sin. lx + u \cos. lx,$$

ac pro  $v$  et  $u$  sumtis seriebus

$$v = A + Bx^n + Cx^{2n} + Dx^{3n} + \text{etc.}$$

$$u = \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x^n + \mathfrak{C}x^{2n} + \mathfrak{D}x^{3n} + \text{etc.}$$

coefficientes A et  $\mathfrak{A}$  pro lubitu accipi possunt. Ex iis primo, ob  $a = nna$ ,  $\beta = g - b$ ,  $\gamma = 2na$  et  $\delta = e - b$ , erit

$$B = \frac{-A[nna(g-b) + ana(e-b)] + \mathfrak{A}[nna(e-b) - ana(g-b)]}{n^2aa + 4nnaa} \text{ seu}$$

$$B = \frac{-A[n(g-b) + a(e-b)] + \mathfrak{A}[n(e-b) - a(g-b)]}{na(nn+4)} \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{-\mathfrak{A}[n(g-b) + a(e-b)] - A[n(e-b) - a(g-b)]}{na(nn+4)}.$$

Pro sequentibus coefficientibus habebimus

C c 3

C

$$\begin{aligned} C[2n(2n-1)a+2na+a-a]+B[n(n-1)b+ne+g-b] \\ -E(4na-a+a)-B(2nb-b+e)=0, \text{ seu} \\ 4nnCa+B[(nn-n-1)b+ne+g]-4nEa \\ -B[(2n-1)b+e]=0, \text{ et} \\ 4nnEa+B[(nn-n-1)b+ne+g]+4nCa \\ +B[(2n-1)b+e]=0, \end{aligned}$$

quarum illa per  $n$  multiplicata huic addatur, vt prodeat

$$4n(nn+1)Ca+B[(n^2-nn+n-1)b+(nn+1)e+ng] \\ +B[-(nn+1)b+g]=0, \text{ hinc}$$

$$C = \frac{-B[(n-1)(nn+1)b+(nn+1)e+ng]+B[(nn+1)b-g]}{4na(nn+1)} \text{ et}$$

$$E = \frac{-B[(n-1)(nn+1)b+(nn+1)e+ng]-B[(nn+1)b-g]}{4na(nn+1)}.$$

Porro erit

$$9nnDa+C[(4nn-2n-1)b+2ne+g]-6nEa \\ -E[(4n-1)b+e]=0$$

$$9nnEa+E[(4nn-2n-1)b+2ne+g]+6nDa \\ +C[(4n-1)b+e]=0,$$

quarum illa per  $3n$ , haec vero per  $2$  multiplicata iunctim dant

$$3n(9nn+4)Da+C[(12n^2-6nn+5n-2)b+2(3nn+1)e+3ng] \\ +E[(-4nn-n-2)b+ne+2g]=0;$$

vnde sequitur

$$D = \frac{-C[(12n^2-6nn+5n-2)b+2(3nn+1)e+3ng]+E[(4nn+n+2)b-ne-2g]}{3n(9nn+4)a}$$

$$E = \frac{-E[(12n^2-6nn+5n-2)b+2(3nn+1)e+3ng]-C[(4nn+n+2)b-ne-2g]}{3n(9nn+4)a}.$$

In genere autem ex coefficientibus quibuscunque  $M$  et  $N$  sequentes  $N$  et  $M$  definiuntur per has formulas



$in(iinn+4)Na$

$$+M[[i(i-1)^2n^2-i(i-1)nn+(3i-4)n-2]b+i(i-1)nne+2e+ing]$$

$$-M[[2(i-1)nn+(i-2)n+2]b-(i-2)ne-2g]=0$$

$in(iinn+4)Ra$

$$+M[[i(i-1)^2n^2-i(i-1)nn+(3i-4)n-2]b+i(i-1)nne+2e+ing]$$

$$+M[[2(i-1)nn+(i-2)n+2]b-(i-2)ne-2g]=0$$

### Corollarium 1.

987. Si quantitates  $b, e, g$  ita sint comparatae, ut binæ litterae sibi respondententes  $N$  et  $R$  euanescant, sequentes omnes euanescent, et integrale completum forma finita exprimetur. Ita ut  $B$  et  $\mathfrak{B}$  euanescant, fieri debet

$$2(g-b) = n(e-b) \text{ et } n(g-b) = -2(e-b);$$

vnde fit  $g = e = b$ , et ipsa aequatio proposita factorem habebit  $a + b x^n$ .

### Corollarium 2.

988. In genere autem integrale finite exprimetur, si denotante  $i$  numerum integrum quemcunque positium sit

$$g = [(i-1)nn + \frac{1}{2}(i-2)n + 1]b - \frac{1}{2}(i-2)ne,$$

tum vero

$$e = -[2(i-1)n - 1]b,$$

vnde fit

$$g = [(i-1)^2nn + 1]b.$$

### Exemplum 2.

989. Sumto  $n = 1$ , si fit  $e = -b$  et  $g = 2b$ , huius aequationis

$$xx(a+bx)\partial\partial y + x(a-bx)\partial x\partial y + (a+2bx)y\partial x^n = 0$$

integrale completum assignare.

Ex

vbi prior ducit ad

$$\begin{array}{r}
 5. 4 Ax^5 + 7. 6 Bx^4 + 9. 8 Cx^3 + 11. 10 Dx^2 + \text{etc.} \\
 - 5. 5 A \quad - 5. 7 B \quad - 5. 9 C \quad - 5. 11 D \\
 + 5 A \quad + 5 B \quad + 5 C \quad + 5 D \\
 \quad + 5. 4 Ab + 7. 6 Bb + 9. 8 Cb \\
 \quad + 5 Ae + 7 Be + 9 Ce \\
 \quad + Ag + Bg + Cg
 \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}} \right\} = 0,$$

posterior vero ad

$$\begin{array}{r}
 + 2. 3 Bx^3 + 4. 5 Cx^2 + 6. 7 Dx^2 + 8. 9 Ex^2 + \text{etc.} \\
 - 5 2x - 5. 3 B \quad - 5. 5 C \quad - 5. 7 D \quad - 5. 9 E \\
 + 5 2 \quad + 5 B \quad + 5 C \quad + 5 D \quad + 5 E \\
 \quad + 2. 3 Bb + 4. 5 Cb + 6. 7 Db \\
 + 2e + 3 Be + 5 Ce + 7 De \\
 + 2g + Bg + Cg + Dg \\
 \quad + 2. 5 A + 2. 7 B + 2. 9 C \\
 \quad - 6A - 6B - 6C \\
 \quad + 2. 5 Ab + 2. 7 Bb \\
 \quad - Ab - Bb \\
 \quad + Ae + Be
 \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{r} \\ \end{array}} \right\} = 0,$$

|                             |    |                               |
|-----------------------------|----|-------------------------------|
| Inde fit                    |    | feu                           |
| $12B + A(20b + 5e + g) = 0$ | 2. | $6B + A(4.5b + 5e + g) = 0,$  |
| $32C + B(42b + 7e + g) = 0$ | 4. | $8C + B(6.7b + 7e + g) = 0,$  |
| $60D + C(72b + 9e + g) = 0$ | 6. | $10D + C(8.9b + 9e + g) = 0,$ |
| etc.                        |    | etc.                          |

Hinc autem

$$-4\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{A}(e + g) = 0,$$

$$0\mathfrak{C} + \mathfrak{B}(2.3b + 3e + g) + 4A = 0,$$

$$2.0\mathfrak{D} + \mathfrak{C}(4.5b + 5e + g) + 8B + A(9b + e) = 0,$$

$$4.8\mathfrak{E} + \mathfrak{D}(6.7b + 7e + g) + 12C + B(13b + e) = 0,$$

etc.

Ex prioribus formulis litterae B, C, D, etc. per A determinantur, ex posteriorum vero secunda fit  $\mathfrak{B} = \frac{-4A}{2.3b + 3e + g}$ , ex prima autem  $\mathfrak{A} = \frac{4\mathfrak{B}}{e + g}$ , tum vero  $\mathfrak{C}$  pro lubitu assumi potest, indeque reliqui coefficientes  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$ , etc. definiuntur.

### Scholion.

980. Exemplum hoc occasionem nobis suppeditat phaenomena quaedam singularia obseruandi. Scilicet etiamsi integrale completum in genere  $lx$  inuoluat, tamen id a logarithmo liberum prodit certis casibus. Primo nempe si sit  $g = -e$ , fit  $\mathfrak{B} = 0$ , manente  $\mathfrak{A}$  indefinito, tum vero ob  $\mathfrak{B} = 0$  capi oportet  $A = 0$ ,  $B = 0$ ,  $C = 0$ , etc. ideoque  $v = 0$ . Porro vero erit

$$2. 6\mathfrak{D} + 4\mathfrak{C}(5b + e) = 0,$$

$$4. 8\mathfrak{E} + 6\mathfrak{D}(7b + e) = 0,$$

$$6. 10\mathfrak{F} + 8\mathfrak{E}(9b + e) = 0,$$

etc.

vbi  $\mathfrak{C}$  altera est constans arbitraria, eritque aequationis

$xx(1 + bxx)\partial\partial y + x(-5 + exx)\partial x\partial y + (5 - exx)y\partial x^2 = 0$ ,  
integrale completum

$$y = \mathfrak{A}x + * + \mathfrak{C}x^2 + \mathfrak{D}x^2 + \mathfrak{E}x^3 + \mathfrak{F}x^{11} + \text{etc.}$$

quod adeo finite exprimitur si  $e = -(2i + 5)b$ , pro  $i$  sumendo numeros 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, etc.

Secundo si fit

2. 3b

$$2. 3b + 3e + g = 0, \text{ seu } g = -6b - 3e,$$

fit  $\mathfrak{B} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{A}(3b + e)$ , tum vero  $A = 0$ ,  $B = 0$ ,  $C = 0$ ,  
etc. ergo  $v = 0$ . Porro vero reperitur

$$\mathfrak{D} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{E}(7b + e), \mathfrak{E} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{D}(9b + e), \mathfrak{F} = -\frac{1}{10}\mathfrak{E}(11b + e) \text{ etc.}$$

hincque

$$y = \mathfrak{A}x - \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{A}(3b + e)x^2 + \mathfrak{E}x^3 + \mathfrak{D}x^4 + \mathfrak{E}x^5 + \text{etc.}$$

vbi  $\mathfrak{A}$  et  $\mathfrak{E}$  arbitrio nostro reliquantur.

Tertio si fit

$$4. 5b + 5e + g = 0, \text{ seu } g = -20b - 5e,$$

primo fit  $B = 0$ ,  $C = 0$ ,  $D = 0$ , etc. ideoque  $v = Ax^2$ ,  
tum vero

$$\mathfrak{B} = -\mathfrak{A}(5b + e), -\mathfrak{B}(14b + 2e) + 4A = 0, \text{ seu } \mathfrak{B} = \frac{4A}{7b + e},$$

hincque  $A = -\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{A}(5b + e)(7b + e)$ , porro

$$2. 6\mathfrak{D} + A(9b + e) = 0,$$

$$4. 8\mathfrak{E} + 2\mathfrak{D}(11b + e) = 0,$$

$$6. 10\mathfrak{F} + 2\mathfrak{E}(13b + e) = 0,$$

etc.

Per  $\mathfrak{A}$  ergo definiuntur coefficientes  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$ , etc.  
ac  $\mathfrak{E}$  quoque arbitrio nostro relinquatur, vnde integrale com-  
pletum hoc casu erit

$$y = Ax^2lx + \mathfrak{E}x^3 + \mathfrak{A}x + \mathfrak{B}x^2 + \frac{4}{7} + \mathfrak{D}x^4 + \mathfrak{E}x^5 + \text{etc.}$$

quae expressio fit finita quoties  $(2i + 5)b + e = 0$ .

#### Exemplum 4.

981. Si in priori exemplo fit  $e = -7b$  et  $g = 15b$ ,  
aequationis

$$xx(1 + bxx)\partial\partial y - x(5 + 7bxx)\partial x\partial y + 5(1 + 3bxx)y\partial x^2 = 0$$

integrale completum algebraice exhibere.

Erit

Erit ergo  $\mathfrak{B} = + 2 \mathfrak{A} b$ ,  $\mathfrak{A} = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{D} = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{E} = 0$ , ideoque  $v = 0$  et  $u = \mathfrak{A} x + 2 \mathfrak{A} b x^2 + \mathfrak{E} x^3$ , vnde pro  $\mathfrak{A}$  et  $\mathfrak{E}$  fumendo constantes quascunque, erit integrale completum

$$y = \mathfrak{A} x (1 + 2 b x x) + \mathfrak{E} x^3.$$

Integralia ergo particularia erunt

$$y = a x (1 + 2 b x x), y = a x^3, y = a x (1 + b x x)^2.$$

### Corollarium 1.

982. Posito  $y = e^{fz} z^2$ , vt sit  $z = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , aequationis hulus differentialis primi gradus

$$x x (1 + b x x) \partial z + x x (1 + b x x) z z \partial x - x (5 + 7 b x x) z \partial x + 5 (1 + 3 b x x) \partial x = 0,$$

integrale completum est  $z = \frac{\mathfrak{A}(1 + 4 b x x) + 5 \mathfrak{E} x^2}{\mathfrak{A} x (1 + 2 b x x) + \mathfrak{E} x^3}$ .

### Corollarium 2.

983. Aequatio autem differentio-differentialis integrabilis redditur, si diuidatur per  $x x (1 + b x x)^2$ , eritque integrale

$$\frac{x \partial y - y \partial x}{x (1 + b x x)} = C \partial x, \text{ seu } \partial y - \frac{y \partial x}{x} = C \partial x (1 + b x x),$$

quae per  $x^3$  diuisa integrale praebet

$$\frac{y}{x^3} = \frac{C}{4 x^4} - \frac{b C}{2 x^2} + D \text{ seu}$$

$$y = -\frac{1}{4} C x (1 + 2 b x x) + D x^3,$$

vt ante.

### Scholion.

984. Deficit autem adhuc integratio completa nostrae aequationis generalis per series ascendentes, casu quo  $a = 0$ , ideoque  $\lambda c + f = 0$ , vnde vnicus pro exponente  $\lambda$  valor definitur  $\lambda = -\frac{f}{c}$ , qui tantum integrale particulare suppeditat, atque hoc etiam tollitur, si fuerit  $c = 0$ . Quia autem his casibus

fibus  $a=0$ , coefficientis  $b$  certo adfit necesse est, ex quo integrale completum per series descendentes exhiberi poterit, cum aequatio  $\lambda(\lambda-1)b + \lambda e + g = 0$  duas semper contineat radices, ex quibus duplex series obtinetur. Simile autem hic incommodum vsu venire potest, quando binae radices ipsius  $\lambda$  vel prodeunt aequales, vel differentiam habent per exponentem  $n$  diuisibilem. Verum huic incommodo, feriem per  $lx$  multiplicatam introducendo, simili methodo medela affertur, qua in hoc problemate sumus vsi, ac superfluum foret istam euolutionem hic repetere. Quodsi autem binae radices ipsius  $\lambda$  tam pro seriebus ascendentes quam descendentes fiant imaginariae, ostendendum restat, quomodo integrale completum per series infinitas exprimi oporteat.

### Problema 124.

985. Proposita aequatione differentio-differentiali  
 $xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial y + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial y + (f+gx^n)y\partial x^n = 0$ ,  
 si eueniat vt aequatio

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f = 0$$

radices habeat imaginarias, eius integrale completum per series ascendentes exhibere.

### Solutio.

Ex supra allatis (971) colligitur hoc casu statui debere

$$y = v \sin. \mu lx + u \cos. \mu lx, \text{ vnde fit}$$

$$\partial y = (\partial v - \frac{\mu v \partial x}{x}) \sin. \mu lx + (\frac{\mu v \partial x}{x} + \partial u) \cos. \mu lx, \text{ et}$$

$$\partial \partial y = (\partial \partial v - \frac{\mu \partial x \partial u}{x} + \frac{\mu \mu \partial x^2}{xx} - \frac{\mu \mu v \partial x^2}{xx}) \sin. \mu lx$$

$$+ (\partial \partial u + \frac{\mu \partial x \partial v}{x} - \frac{\mu v \partial x^2}{xx} - \frac{\mu \mu u \partial x^2}{xx}) \cos. \mu lx,$$

qua facta substitutione, si terminos tam  $\sin. \mu lx$  quam  $\cos. \mu lx$  affectos seorsum ad nihilum redigamus, obtinebimus duas sequen-

quentes aequationes

$$\text{I. } \left. \begin{aligned} &xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial v + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial v + (f+gx^n)v\partial x^2 \\ &\quad - 2\mu x(a+bx^n)\partial x\partial u - \mu\mu(a+bx^n)v\partial x^2 \\ &\quad \quad + \mu(a+bx^n)u\partial x^2 \\ &\quad \quad - \mu(c+ex^n)u\partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

$$\text{II. } \left. \begin{aligned} &xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial u + x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial u + (f+gx^n)u\partial x^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mu x(a+bx^n)\partial x\partial v - \mu\mu(a+bx^n)u\partial x^2 \\ &\quad \quad - \mu(a+bx^n)v\partial x^2 \\ &\quad \quad + \mu(c+ex^n)v\partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

Iam pro  $v$  et  $u$  assumamus has series ascendentes

$$v = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda+n} + C x^{\lambda+2n} + D x^{\lambda+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

$$u = \mathfrak{A} x^\lambda + \mathfrak{B} x^{\lambda+n} + \mathfrak{C} x^{\lambda+2n} + \mathfrak{D} x^{\lambda+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

iisque substitutis, prior aequatio abit in hanc

$$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda(\lambda-1)Aax^\lambda + (\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)Bax^{\lambda+n} + (\lambda+2n)(\lambda+2n-1)Cax^{\lambda+2n} & & \\ \quad + \lambda(\lambda-1)Ab & & + (\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)Bb \\ + \lambda Ac & + (\lambda+n)Bc & + (\lambda+2n)Cc \\ \quad + \lambda Ae & & + (\lambda+n)Be \\ + Af & + Bf & + Cf \\ \quad + Ag & & + Bg \\ - 2\mu\lambda\mathfrak{A}a & - 2\mu(\lambda+n)\mathfrak{B}a & - 2\mu(\lambda+2n)\mathfrak{C}a \\ \quad - 2\mu\lambda\mathfrak{A}b & & - 2\mu(\lambda+n)\mathfrak{B}b \\ - \mu\mu Aa & - \mu\mu Ba & - \mu\mu Ca \\ \quad - \mu\mu Ab & & - \mu\mu Bb \\ + \mu\mathfrak{A}a & + \mu\mathfrak{B}a & + \mu\mathfrak{C}a \\ \quad + \mu\mathfrak{A}b & & + \mu\mathfrak{B}b \\ - \mu\mathfrak{A}c & - \mu\mathfrak{B}c & - \mu\mathfrak{C}c \\ \quad - \mu\mathfrak{A}e & & - \mu\mathfrak{B}e \end{array}$$

C c 2

Hinc

Hinc altera aequatio facile formatur permutandis litteris latinis et germanicis atque infuper signum numeri  $\mu$  mutando.

Vtrique ergo potestas prima  $x^\lambda$  exigit has aequationes

$$A[\lambda(\lambda-1)a+\lambda c+f-\mu\mu a]-\mu\mathfrak{A}(2\lambda a-a+c)=0,$$

$$\mathfrak{A}[\lambda(\lambda-1)a+\lambda c+f-\mu\mu a]+\mu A(2\lambda a-a+c)=0,$$

vnde necesse est vt fit

$$\text{tam } 2\lambda a - a + c = 0$$

$$\text{quam } \lambda(\lambda-1)a + \lambda c + f - \mu\mu a = 0.$$

Inde fit  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{c}{2a}$ , qui valor hic substitutus dat

$$-a\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{cc}{4a^2}\right) + \frac{c}{2} - \frac{cc}{2a} + f = \mu\mu a = -\frac{a}{4} + \frac{c}{2} - \frac{cc}{4a} + f, \text{ seu}$$

$$\mu\mu a = \frac{4af - (c-a)^2}{4a}, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$\mu = \frac{\sqrt{4af - (c-a)^2}}{2a} \text{ et } \lambda = \frac{a-c}{2a}.$$

Vnde patet hanc solutionem locum habere si  $4af > (a-c)^2$ , quo ipso casu praecedens solutio fiebat imaginaria. Hic autem quantitates  $A$  et  $\mathfrak{A}$  arbitrio nostro relinquuntur.

Terminus vero  $x^{\lambda+n}$  vtrique postulat has aequationes

$$B[(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)a+(\lambda+n)c+f-\mu\mu a]+A[\lambda(\lambda-1)b+\lambda e+g-\mu\mu b]$$

$$-\mu\mathfrak{B}[2(\lambda+n)a-a+c]-\mu\mathfrak{A}(2\lambda b-b+c)=0 \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}[(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)a+(\lambda+n)c+f-\mu\mu a]+\mathfrak{A}[\lambda(\lambda-1)b+\lambda e+g-\mu\mu b]$$

$$+\mu B[2(\lambda+n)a-a+c]+\mu A(2\lambda b-b+c)=0.$$

Sit breuitatis gratia

$$(\lambda+n)(\lambda+n-1)a+(\lambda+n)c+f-\mu\mu a = nna = \alpha$$

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)b+\lambda e+g-\mu\mu b = \beta$$

$$2(\lambda+n)a-a+c = 2na = \gamma$$

$$2\lambda b-b+c = \delta,$$



vt habeamus

$$B\alpha + A\beta - \mu\mathfrak{B}\gamma - \mu\mathfrak{A}\delta = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}\alpha + \mathfrak{A}\beta + \mu B\gamma + \mu A\delta = 0,$$

vnde colligitur

$$B = \frac{-A(\alpha\beta + \mu\mu\gamma\delta) + \mu\mathfrak{A}(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)}{\alpha\alpha + \mu\mu\gamma\gamma} \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{-\mathfrak{A}(\alpha\beta + \mu\mu\gamma\delta) - \mu A(\alpha\delta - \beta\gamma)}{\alpha\alpha + \mu\mu\gamma\gamma}.$$

At vero ex valoribus assumtis est

$$\alpha = nna, \beta = \frac{(ae-bc)(a-c)}{aaa} - \frac{bf}{a} + g, \gamma = 2na, \delta = \frac{ae-bc}{a},$$

vnde ex assumtis A et  $\mathfrak{A}$  definiuntur B et  $\mathfrak{B}$ , hincque porro C,  $\mathfrak{C}$ , D,  $\mathfrak{D}$  etc.

### Exemplum 1.

986. Sit  $c = a$  et  $f = a$ , et fiat  $\mu = 1$ , et inuestigetur integrale huius aequationis

$$xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial y + x(a+ex^n)\partial x\partial y + (a+gx^n)y\partial x^2 = 0.$$

Hic ergo erit  $\lambda = 0$  et  $\mu = 1$ , vnde posito

$$y = v \sin. lx + u \cos. lx,$$

ac pro  $v$  et  $u$  sumtis seriebus

$$v = A + Bx^n + Cx^{2n} + Dx^{3n} + \text{etc.}$$

$$u = \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x^n + \mathfrak{C}x^{2n} + \mathfrak{D}x^{3n} + \text{etc.}$$

coefficientes A et  $\mathfrak{A}$  pro lubitu accipi possunt. Ex iis primo, ob  $a = nna$ ,  $\beta = g - b$ ,  $\gamma = 2na$  et  $\delta = e - b$ , erit

$$B = \frac{-A[nna(g-b) + 2na(e-b)] + \mathfrak{A}[nna(e-b) - 2na(g-b)]}{n^2aa + 4nna} \text{ seu}$$

$$B = \frac{-A[n(g-b) + 2(e-b)] + \mathfrak{A}[n(e-b) - 2(g-b)]}{na(nn+4)} \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{-\mathfrak{A}[n(g-b) + 2(e-b)] - A[n(e-b) - 2(g-b)]}{na(nn+4)}.$$

Pro sequentibus coefficientibus habebimus

C c 3

C

$$\begin{aligned} C[2n(2n-1)a+2na+a-a]+B[n(n-1)b+ne+g-b] \\ -E(4na-a+a)-B(2nb-b+e)=0, \text{ seu} \\ 4nnCa+B[(nn-n-1)b+ne+g]-4nEa \\ -B[(2n-1)b+e]=0, \text{ et} \\ 4nnEa+B[(nn-n-1)b+ne+g]+4nCa \\ +B[(2n-1)b+e]=0, \end{aligned}$$

quarum illa per  $n$  multiplicata huic addatur, vt prodeat

$$4n(nn+1)Ca+B[(n^2-nn+n-1)b+(nn+1)e+ng] \\ +B[-(nn+1)b+g]=0, \text{ hinc}$$

$$C = \frac{-B[(n-1)(nn+1)b+(nn+1)e+ng]+B[(nn+1)b-g]}{4na(nn+1)} \text{ et}$$

$$E = \frac{-B[(n-1)(nn+1)b+(nn+1)e+ng]-B[(nn+1)b-g]}{4na(nn+1)}.$$

Porro erit

$$\begin{aligned} 9nnDa+C[(4nn-2n-1)b+2ne+g]-6nDa \\ -E[(4n-1)b+e]=0 \\ 9nnDa+E[(4nn-2n-1)b+2ne+g]+6nDa \\ +C[(4n-1)b+e]=0, \end{aligned}$$

quarum illa per  $3n$ , haec vero per  $2$  multiplicata iunctim dant

$$3n(9nn+4)Da+C[(12n^2-6nn+5n-2)b+2(3nn+1)e+3ng] \\ +E[(-4nn-n-2)b+ne+2g]=0;$$

unde sequitur

$$D = \frac{-C[(12n^2-6nn+5n-2)b+2(3nn+1)e+3ng]+E[(4nn+n+2)b-ne-2g]}{3n(9nn+4)a}$$

$$\mathfrak{D} = \frac{-E[(12n^2-6nn+5n-2)b+2(3nn+1)e+3ng]-C[(4nn+n+2)b-ne-2g]}{3n(9nn+4)a}.$$

In genere autem ex coefficientibus quibuscunque  $M$  et  $\mathfrak{M}$  sequentes  $N$  et  $\mathfrak{N}$  definiuntur per has formulas

*in*(*iinn*+4)*N* *a*

$$+M[[i(i-1)^n n^2 - i(i-1)nn + (3i-4)n-2]b + i(i-1)ne + 2e + ing]$$

$$-M[[2(i-1)nn + (i-2)n+2]b - (i-2)ne - 2g] = 0$$

*in*(*iinn*+4)*R* *a*

$$+M[[i(i-1)^n n^2 - i(i-1)nn + (3i-4)n-2]b + i(i-1)ne + 2e + ing]$$

$$+M[[2(i-1)nn + (i-2)n+2]b - (i-2)ne - 2g] = 0$$

### Corollarium 1.

987. Si quantitates *b*, *e*, *g* ita sint comparatae, ut binae litterae sibi respondententes *N* et *R* euanescent, sequentes omnes euanescent, et integrale completum forma finita exprimetur. Ita ut *B* et *S* euanescent, fieri debet

$$2(g - b) = n(e - b) \text{ et } n(g - b) = -2(e - b);$$

vnde fit  $g = e = b$ , et ipsa aequatio proposita factorem habebit  $a + b x^n$ .

### Corollarium 2.

988. In genere autem integrale finite exprimetur, si denotante *i* numerum integrum quemcunque positium fit

$$g = [(i-1)nn + \frac{1}{2}(i-2)n + 1]b - \frac{1}{2}(i-2)ne,$$

tum vero

$$e = -[2(i-1)n - 1]b,$$

vnde fit

$$g = [(i-1)^n nn + 1]b.$$

### Exemplum 2.

989. Sumto  $n = 1$ , si fit  $e = -b$  et  $g = 2b$ , huius aequationis

$$xx(a+bx)\partial\partial y + x(a-bx)\partial x\partial y + (a+2bx)y\partial x = 0$$

integrale completum assignare.

**Ex**

Ex formulis modo inuentis colligimus

$$B = \frac{-A(g + 2e - 3b) + \mathfrak{M}(e + b - 2g)}{5a} = \frac{3Ab - 4\mathfrak{M}b}{5a} \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{3\mathfrak{M}b + 4Ab}{5a};$$

tum vero

$$C = \frac{-R(2e + g) + \mathfrak{S}(2b - g)}{5a} = 0 \text{ et } \mathfrak{C} = 0.$$

Quocirca habebimus

$$v = A + \frac{(3A - 4\mathfrak{M})b}{5a} x, \text{ et } u = \mathfrak{M} + \frac{(2\mathfrak{M} + 4A)b}{5a} x;$$

hincque integrale completum elicitur

$$y = A \sin.lx + \mathfrak{M} \cos.lx + \frac{bx}{5a} [(3A - 4\mathfrak{M}) \sin.lx + (3\mathfrak{M} + 4A) \cos.lx].$$

### Corollarium 1.

990. Sumto  $\mathfrak{M} = 0$ , habebitur integrale particulare

$$y = A (\sin.lx + \frac{3bx}{5a} \sin.lx + \frac{4bx}{5a} \cos.lx).$$

Sin autem sit  $A = 0$ , aliud habebitur

$$y = \mathfrak{M} (\cos.lx - \frac{4bx}{5a} \sin.lx + \frac{3bx}{5a} \cos.lx).$$

### Corollarium 2.

991. Posito  $y = e^{fs} \partial x$ , aequatio nostra reducitur ad hanc

$$xx(a + bx) \partial s + xx(a + bx) ss \partial x + x(a - bx) s \partial x + (a + 2bx) \partial x = 0,$$

cuius integrale habetur  $s = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  inde definiendum, quae aequatio in plures alias formas transfundi potest.

### Scholion.

992. Simili modo integratio per series descendentes instituitur, si exponentes singulorum terminorum prodeant imaginaria-

ginarii; quod seorsim expofuisse ne opus quidem erit. Atque haec fufficiunt, vt pateat, quibusnam cautelis in refolutione aequationum per series infinitas fit vtendum. Summus autem vfus iftarum euolutionum in hoc confilit, vt aequationes differentio-differentiales exhiberi queant, quarum faltem integrale particulare algebraicum assignare liceat, quos casus fupra §. 969. indicauimus. Similis porro integratio per series infinitas pari modo extendi potest ad huiusmodi aequationes

$$x x (a + b x^n + \beta x^{2n}) \partial \partial y + x (c + e x^n + \epsilon x^{2n}) \partial x \partial y + (f + g x^n + \gamma x^{2n}) y \partial x^2 = 0$$

tum autem feriei quaefitae quilibet terminus per duos praecedentes determinatur, ita vt fi bini contigui euanefcant, fequentes omnes in nihilum fint abituri. Quodfi autem terminus ab  $y$  vacuus affuerit, refolutio in series fit facilior, cui propterea non immorandum cenfeo. Veluti fi proponatur haec aequatio

$$x x \partial \partial y - x \partial x \partial y + a x^n y \partial x^2 = b x^m \partial x^2,$$

series a potestate  $x^m$  est inchoanda, ponendo

$$y = A x^m + B x^{m+n} + C x^{m+2n} + D x^{m+3n} + \text{etc.}$$

vnde fit

$$m(m-1)Ax^{m-1} + (m+n)(m+n-1)Bx^{m+n-1} + (m+2n)(m+2n-1)Cx^{m+2n-1} + \text{etc.}$$

$$-mA \quad -(m+n)B \quad -(m+n)C$$

$$-b \quad +Aa \quad +Ba$$

hincque

$$A = \frac{b}{m(m-1)}, \quad B = \frac{-Aa}{(m+n)(m+n-1)}, \quad C = \frac{-nA}{(m+2n)(m+2n-1)}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

vbi quidem multa obseruanda occurrunt, quae per praecipua fupra data expedire licet. Imprimis autem in hoc negotio iuuat, aequationem propositam ope fubstitutionis in alias trans-

Vol. II.

D d

for-

formasse, quarum resolutio per series fiat simplicior, quod cum pluribus modis fieri possit, hoc argumentum sequenti capite diligentius pertractare visum est, idque pro forma aequationum

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

quandoquidem pro aliis formis huiusmodi transformatio raro locum inuenit.



## CAPVT IX.

DE

TRANSFORMATIONE AEQVATIONVM  
DIFFERENTIO-DIFFERENTIALIVM.

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0.$$

## Problema 125.

993.

**A**equationem differentio-differentialem

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

in qua L, M, N sunt functiones quaecunque ipsius x, sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, ope substitutionis  $y = e^{fP \partial x} z$  in aliam formam transmutare.

## Solutio.

Cum hinc sit  $\frac{\partial y}{y} = P \partial x + \frac{\partial z}{z}$ , erit differentiando

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{y} - \frac{\partial y^2}{y^2} = \partial x \partial P + \frac{\partial \partial z}{z} - \frac{\partial z^2}{z^2}, \text{ ergo}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{y} = \frac{\partial \partial z}{z} + \frac{2P \partial x \partial z}{z} + \partial x \partial P + P P \partial x^2.$$

Quare cum aequatio nostra sit

$$\frac{L \partial \partial y}{y} + \frac{M \partial x \partial y}{y} + N \partial x^2 = 0,$$

erit facta substitutione

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L \partial \partial z}{z} + \frac{2LP \partial x \partial z}{z} + L \partial x \partial P + L P P \partial x^2 \\ + \frac{M \partial x \partial z}{z} + M P \partial x^2 + N \partial x^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

seu per z multiplicando

D d 2

L  $\partial \partial z$

$$L \partial \partial z + (2LP + M) \partial x \partial z$$

$$+ z \partial x (L \partial P + LPP \partial x + MP \partial x + N \partial x) = 0,$$

vbi pro P functionem quamcunque ipsius x accipere licet, vnde innumerabiles aequationes inter binas variables x et z obtinentur.

### Corollarium 1.

994. Quodsi ergo hanc aequationem transformata integrare vel per seriem resolueri liceat, ex inuento valore ipsius z habebitur  $y = e^{f \partial z} z$ .

### Corollarium 2.

995. Aequatio transformata similis est propositae, propterea quod in ea variabilis z cum suis differentialibus  $\partial z$  et  $\partial \partial z$  vbique vnicam dimensionem occupat, perinde ac y in aequatione proposita.

### Corollarium 3.

996. Si eueniat, vt ambae aequationes, proposita ac transformata, aequae commode per series resolui possint, hoc modo plures resolutiones eiusdem aequationis exhiberi possunt.

### Scholion 1.

997. Cum aequationes commode per series resoluibiles in hac forma contineantur

$$xx(a + bx^n) \partial \partial x + x(c + ex^n) \partial x \partial y + (f + gx^n) y \partial x^n = 0,$$

vbi est

$$L = xx(a + bx^n), \quad M = x(c + ex^n), \quad N = f + gx^n,$$

vt transformata similem obtineat formam, fieri oportet  $LP = x(\mu + \nu x^n)$ , ideoque  $P = \frac{\mu + \nu x^n}{x(a + bx^n)}$ . Hinc erit

$\partial P$



$$\partial P = \frac{-\mu a - \mu(n+1)bx^n + \nu(n-1)ax^n - \nu bx^{n+1}}{xx(a+bx^n)} \partial x$$

ideoque

$$L \partial P + L P P \partial x + M P \partial x =$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (-\mu a - (n+1)\mu bx^n + (n-1)\nu ax^n - \nu bx^{n+1}) \\ + \mu\mu + 2\mu\nu x^n \\ + \mu c + \mu e x^n + \nu c x^n \end{array} \right\} : a+bx^n$$

vbi diuisio per  $a+bx^n$  succedere debet. Statuatur quotus  
 $= \mu b + \nu k x^n$ , fietque

$$\mu = a - c + ab, \quad \nu = b - e + ak,$$

ac praeterea

$$2\mu\nu - (n+1)\mu b + (n-1)\nu a + \mu e + \nu c = \mu b b + \nu a k,$$

vbi priores valores substituti praebent

$$(b-k+n)(bc-ae) = nab(b-k) + ab(b-k)^2;$$

vnde fit vel

$$b-k = \frac{bc-ae}{ab}, \quad \text{vel } b-k = -n.$$

Litterarum ergo  $b$  et  $k$  altera arbitrio nostro relinquitur, fitque  
 aequatio transformata

$$xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial z + x[2\mu+c+(2\nu+e)x^n]\partial x \partial z \\ + [f+\mu b+(g+\nu k)x^n]z\partial x^n = 0.$$

Huius autem resolutio tam per series ascendentes, quam de-  
 scendentes similes ipsius  $x$  postulat potestates: Substitutio au-  
 tem ipsa fit

$$y = x^{\frac{a-c}{a}+b} (a+bx^n)^{\frac{bc-ae}{nab} - \frac{(b-k)}{n}} z,$$

vbi ne sola potestas ipsius  $x$  ingrediatur, sumi debet  $b-k=-n$ .  
 Nihil interest quomodo hic  $b$  accipiatur, sumto ergo  $b=0$ ,

D d 3

fit

fit  $k = n$ , et substitutio

$$y = x^{\frac{a-c}{a}} (a + b x^n)^{\frac{bc-ae}{nab}} + 1 z,$$

quae ducit ad hanc aequationem

$$x x (a + b x^n) \partial \partial z + x [2a - c + (2(n+1)b - e) x^n] \partial x \partial z \\ + [f + (n(n+1)b - ne + g) x^n] z \partial x^2 = 0.$$

### Scholion 2.

998. Supra §. 970. vidimus, aequationem propositam inter  $x$  et  $y$  algebraicum admittere integrale, si fuerit

$$\frac{a}{na} - \frac{e}{nb} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{[(b-e)^2 - 4bg]}}{2b} = in,$$

quae si transformata simili modo tractetur, integrale algebraicum assignari poterit, si fuerit

$$-\frac{c}{na} + \frac{e}{nb} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{[(b-e)^2 - 4bg]}}{2b} - n = in,$$

quibus conditionibus coniunctis concludere licet, integrale algebraicum satisfacere, dummodo haec formula

$$\frac{bc-ae}{nab} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{[(b-e)^2 - 4bg]}}{2b} :$$

diuisibilis extiterit per exponentem  $n$ , hic signum . . ad ambiguitatem positivi ac negativi designandam adhibui. Quare si ponamus

$$f = \frac{(a-c)^2 - bb}{4a} \text{ et } g = \frac{(b-e)^2 - kk}{4b},$$

integrabilitas locum habet, quoties haec expressio  $\frac{bc-ae+bb+ak}{2nab}$  fuerit numerus integer, siue positivus siue negativus.

### Exemplum.

999. Proposita aequatione

$$x x (1 - x x) \partial \partial y + x (1 + 2 m x x) \partial x \partial y - \\ - m (m + 1) x x y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

*inuc-*

inuenire casus, quibus integrale algebraicum saltem particulare assignari potest.

Hic est  $a = 1$ ,  $b = -1$ ,  $c = 1$ ,  $e = 2m$ ,  $f = 0$ ,  
 $g = -m(m+1)$  et  $n = 2$ . Hinc deducimus

$$b = \sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]} = 0, \text{ et}$$

$$k = \sqrt{[(b-e)^2 - 4bg]} = \sqrt{[(2m+1)^2 - 4m(m+1)]},$$

hoc est  $k = \pm 1$ . Formula ergo numero integro aequalis est  
 $\frac{-1 \pm 2m + 1}{-4}$ , vnde geminos pro  $m$  casus nanciscimur

$$\text{vel } 2m + 2 = \pm 4i, \text{ vel } 2m = \pm 4i, \text{ hoc est}$$

$$\text{vel } m = \pm 2i - 1, \text{ vel } m = \pm 2i,$$

dummodo ergo  $m$  sit numerus integer siue positius siue negatiuus, integrale particulare algebraicum exhiberi potest. Substitutio autem aequationem transformatam praebens est

$$y = (1 - xx)^{\frac{-1-2m}{-2} + 1} z = (1 - xx)^{\frac{2m+3}{2}} z,$$

ipsa vero aequatio transformata

$$xx(1-xx)\partial\partial z + x[1-2(m+3)xx]\partial x\partial z \\ - (m+2)(m+3)xxz\partial x^2 = 0,$$

quam ex illa oriri manifestum est, si loco  $m$  scribatur  $-m-3$ . Ipsa autem haec integralia reperiuntur, ob  $\lambda\lambda = 0$ , ponendo

$$y = A + Bx^2 + Cx^4 + Dx^6 + Ex^8 + \text{etc.}$$

vnde fit

$$\left. \begin{array}{lll} 2Bxx + 12Cx^4 + 30Dx^6 + 56Ex^8 + \text{etc.} \\ - 2B & - 12C & - 30D \\ + 2B + 4C & + 6D & + 8E \\ + 4mB & + 8mC & + 12mD \end{array} \right\} = 0,$$

$$-m(m+1)A - m(m+1)B - m(m+1)C - m(m+1)D$$

Ergo determinatio coefficientium ita se habet

$$B =$$

$$B = \frac{m(m+1)}{4} A, C = \frac{(m-1)(m-3)}{16} B, D = \frac{(m-3)(m-5)}{36} C, \text{ etc.}$$

Ac si ponatur

$$z = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} x^2 + \mathcal{C} x^4 + \mathcal{D} x^6 + \mathcal{E} x^8 + \text{etc. erit}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \frac{(m+1)(m+3)}{4} \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C} = \frac{(m+3)(m+5)}{16} \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D} = \frac{(m+5)(m+7)}{36} \mathcal{C}, \text{ etc.}$$

### Problema 126.

1000. Aequationem differentio-differentialem

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

ope substitutionis  $\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{P z \partial x^2}{\partial z}$ , in aliam eiusdem formae transmutare.

### Solutio

Hic scilicet quaeritur, qualem functionem ipsius  $x$  pro  $P$  accipi oporteat, ut facta substitutione variabilis  $z$  cum suis differentialibus  $\partial z$  et  $\partial \partial z$  vbique vnicam dimensionem obtineat. Cum igitur sit  $\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{P z \partial x^2}{\partial z}$ , erit differentiando

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{y} - \frac{\partial y^2}{y^2} = -\frac{P z \partial x^2 \partial \partial z}{\partial z^2} + \frac{z \partial x^2 \partial P}{\partial z} + P \partial x^2 \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{y} = -\frac{P z \partial x^2 \partial \partial z}{\partial z^2} + \frac{z \partial x^2 \partial P}{\partial z} + \frac{P P z \partial \partial x^2}{\partial z^2} + P \partial x^2,$$

quibus valoribus substitutis fit

$$\frac{-L P z \partial x^2 \partial \partial z}{\partial z^2} + \frac{L z \partial x^2 \partial P}{\partial z} + L P \partial x^2 + \frac{L P P z \partial \partial x^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{M P z \partial x^2}{\partial z} + N \partial x^2 = 0.$$

Sumamus ergo  $L P + N = 0$ , seu  $P = -\frac{N}{L}$ , et multiplicando per  $\frac{-\partial z^2}{P z \partial x^2}$ , nanciscemur

$$L \partial \partial z - \frac{L \partial P \partial z}{P} - L P z \partial x^2 - M \partial x \partial z = 0, \text{ seu}$$

$$L \partial \partial z - M \partial x \partial z - \frac{L \partial N \partial z}{N} + \partial L \partial z + N z \partial x^2 = 0.$$

Aequatio ergo proposita ope substitutionis  $\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{-N z \partial x^2}{L \partial x}$  transformatur in hanc

$$L \partial \partial z$$

$$L \partial \partial z + \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - M - \frac{L \partial N}{N \partial x} \right) \partial x \partial z + N z \partial x^2 = 0.$$

Quodsi ergo hinc valor ipsius  $z$  erui possit, habebitur etiam valor ipsius  $y$  per  $x$  expressus.

### Corollarium 1.

1001. Si in hac aequatione transformata vicissim ponatur  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{-N z \partial x^2}{L \partial y}$ , ipsa aequatio proposita exoritur, unde hae duae aequationes ita inter se cohaerent, ut altera ex altera per similem substitutionem producat.

### Corollarium 2.

1002. Si in aequatione transformata secundum substitutionem priorem ponatur  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = Q \partial x + \frac{\partial v}{\partial v}$ , obtinebitur haec noua transformata

$$L \partial \partial v + \left( 2 L Q + \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - M - \frac{L \partial N}{N \partial x} \right) \partial x \partial v + v \partial x \left( L \partial Q + L Q Q \partial x + Q \partial L - M Q \partial x - \frac{L Q \partial N}{N} + N \partial x \right) = 0,$$

quae ergo ex ipsa proposita deducitur ponendo

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{-v \partial x^2}{L(v \partial x + Q v \partial x)}.$$

### Scholion 1.

1003. Hinc combinando ambas substitutiones, quibus in binis praecedentibus problematibus sumus vsi, substitutionem huiusmodi generalem adipiscimur

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{P \partial z + Q z \partial x}{R \partial z + S z \partial x} \cdot \partial x,$$

quae si in aequatione proposita

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0$$

substituatur, functiones  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $R$ ,  $S$  ita definiri debent, ut in aequatione resultante variabilis  $z$  cum suis differentialibus nusquam plus vna dimensione teneat. Oriuntur autem termini

quadrato  $\partial z^2$  affecti, ad quos destruendos fieri oportet

$$L\partial x(PP+QR-PS)+L(R\partial P-P\partial R)+MPR\partial x+NRR\partial x=0,$$

feu  $Q = \frac{PS}{R} - \frac{PP}{R} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{P\partial R}{R\partial x} - \frac{MP}{L} - \frac{NR}{L},$

tum vero peruenitur ad hanc aequationem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} L\partial\partial z(PS-QR)+L\partial z(R\partial Q-Q\partial R+S\partial P-P\partial S) \\ +\partial x\partial z[2LPQ+M(QR+PS)+2NRS] \\ +Lz\partial x(S\partial Q-Q\partial S+QQ\partial x)+Sz\partial x^2(MQ+NS) \end{aligned} \right\} = 0.$$

Verum facilius ad hanc aequationem generalem peruenitur, si ambae substitutiones alternatim in vsum vocentur.

### Scholion 2.

1004. Transformatio autem hic exposita eo magis est notatu digna, quod etiam si aequatio transformata resolutionem admittat, inde tamen non nisi difficulter ipsa proposita resolvatur. Cum enim reperta fuerit functio ipsius  $x$ , quae loco  $z$  substituta aequationi transformatae satisficiat, pro valore ipsius  $y$  inueniendo, insuper integrale huius aequationis  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial z} = \frac{-Nz\partial z}{L\partial z}$  inuestigari oportet, vbi et si variables  $x$  et  $y$  a se inuicem sunt separatae, tamen difficultates insignes in ipsa integratione se exerere possunt. Fieri ergo poterit, vt ope huius substitutionis, eiusmodi aequationum integralia exhiberi queant, quae directa via vix inuestigare liceat. Scilicet si eueniat, vt integrale aequationis transformatae vel ope methodi cuiusdam supra expositae inueniri, vel per seriem abruptam exprimi possit, tum etiam ipsius aequationis propositae integrale habebitur. Et si enim casu posteriori integrale tantum particulare innotescit, tamen ex eo semper in hoc aequationum genere integrale completum elici potest. Namque si aequationi

$$L\partial\partial y + M\partial x\partial y + Ny\partial x^2 = 0$$

par-

particulariter satisfaciatur valor  $y=X$ , ponatur  $y=Xv$ , fietque

$$\left. \begin{aligned} L X \partial \partial v + 2 L \partial X \partial v + L v \partial \partial X \\ + M X \partial x \partial v + M v \partial x \partial X \\ + N X v \partial x^2 \end{aligned} \right\} = 0.$$

At quia  $X=y$  per hypothesin aequationi satisficit, erit

$$\begin{aligned} L \partial \partial X + M \partial x \partial X + N X \partial x^2 &= 0 \text{ et} \\ L X \partial \partial v + (2 L \partial X + M X \partial x) \partial v &= 0, \text{ seu} \\ \frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial v} + \frac{2 \partial X}{X} + \frac{M \partial x}{L} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

unde integrando oritur

$$\begin{aligned} X X \partial v &= C e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} \partial x, \text{ porroque} \\ v &= \int \frac{C \partial x}{X X} e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}}; \end{aligned}$$

ita vt integrale completum sit,

$$y = C X \int \frac{\partial x}{X X} e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}},$$

quod ergo ex quolibet integrali particulari  $y=X$  elici potest.

### Exemplum.

1005. *Aequationem differentio-differentialem*

$$x x (a + b x^n) \partial \partial y + x (c + e x^n) \partial x \partial y + f y \partial x^2 = 0$$

*transformare ac per seriem integrare.*

Cum hic sit  $L = x x (a + b x^n)$ ,  $M = x (c + e x^n)$   
et  $N = f$ , vtendum est hac substitutione

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{-f z \partial x^2}{x x (a + b x^n) \partial z},$$

qua nostra aequatio reducitur ad hanc formam

$$x x (a + b x^n) \partial \partial z + x (2a - c + [(n+2)b - e] x^n) \partial x \partial z + f z \partial x^2 = 0,$$

E c 2.

pro

pro cuius resolutione si ponatur

$$z = A x^\lambda + B x^{\lambda+n} + C x^{\lambda+2n} + \text{etc.}$$

feri debet

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda(2a - c) + f = 0, \text{ seu}$$

$$\lambda \lambda a + \lambda(a - c) + f = 0, \text{ ergo } \lambda = \frac{-a + c \pm \sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a}.$$

Series autem abrumperetur per 970, si haec expressio

$$-\frac{c}{2a} + \frac{c}{2b} - \frac{n}{2} \pm \left( \frac{c}{2b} - \frac{n}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pm \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} = in,$$

denotante  $i$  numerum integrum positivum, hoc est

$$\text{vel } -\frac{c}{2a} + \frac{c}{2b} - \frac{1}{2} - n \pm \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} = in,$$

$$\text{vel } -\frac{c}{2a} + \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} = in.$$

Sin autem ipsa aequatio proposita hoc modo in seriem resol-  
vatur, haec abrumperetur, si fuerit

$$\frac{c}{2a} - \frac{c}{2b} + \frac{(b-e)}{2b} \pm \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} = in,$$

hoc est

$$\text{vel } \frac{c}{2a} - \frac{c}{2b} + \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} = in$$

$$\text{vel } \frac{c}{2a} - \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{[(a-c)^2 - 4af]}}{2a} = in.$$

Vnde intelligitur, integrale finitum exhiberi posse, siue nume-  
rus integer  $i$  sit positivus siue negativus. Ad hanc vero du-  
plicitatem iam prior substitutio perduxerat (998.), ita vt haec  
nova substitutio nullos novos casus integrabiles suppeditet.

### Scholion 1.

1006. Vt tamen pateat, quomodo ex valore finito  
ipsius  $z$  valor finitus ipsius  $y$  elici queat, contemplemur casum

$$xx(a+bx^n) \partial \partial y + x(3a+ex^n) \partial x \partial y - 24ay \partial x^n = 0,$$

vbi  $n = 2$ ,  $e = 3a$  et  $f = -24a$ , quae facta substitutione

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{21ax \partial x^2}{xx(a+bx^2) \partial x^2} \text{ abit in hanc}$$

$x x$



$$x x (a + b x^2) \partial \partial z + x [-a + (4b - e) x x] \partial x \partial z - 24 a z \partial x^2 = 0,$$

vbi pro serie ascendente fit

$$\lambda \lambda - 2 \lambda - 24 = 0 \text{ vel } (\lambda - 6) (\lambda + 4) = 0.$$

Statuatur

$$z = A x^{-4} + B x^{-2} + C + D x^2 + \text{etc.}$$

erit

$$\begin{array}{r} 20 A a x^{-4} + 6 B a x^{-2} \quad * \quad + 2 D a x^2 + \text{etc.} \\ + 4 A a \quad + 2 B a \quad * \quad - 2 D a \\ - 4 A (4b - e) \quad - 2 B (4b - e) * \\ - 24 A a \quad - 24 B a \quad - 24 C a \quad - 24 D a \end{array} \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{r} 20 A a x^{-4} + 6 B a x^{-2} \\ + 4 A a \\ - 4 A (4b - e) \\ - 24 A a \end{array}} \right\} = 0.$$

Cum ergo fit  $D = 0$ , sequentes termini omnes tolluntur. Tum vero est

$$16 B a = 4 A (b + e), \quad 24 C a = -2 B b + 2 B e,$$

ergo

$$B = \frac{b+e}{4a} A, \quad C = \frac{e-b}{12a} B = \frac{e-b}{48a} A,$$

hincque

$$z = A \left( \frac{1}{x^4} + \frac{b+e}{4a x^2} + \frac{e-b}{48a} \right) = \frac{A [48 a a + 12 a (b+e) x x + (e-b) x^4]}{48 a a x^4},$$

vnde sequitur

$$\partial z = A \partial x \left( -\frac{4}{x^5} - \frac{b+e}{2a x^3} \right) = -\frac{A \partial x}{2a x^3} [8a + (b+e) x x].$$

Ergo

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = \frac{-[48 a a + 12 a (b+e) x x + (e-b) x^4]}{x (a + b x^2) [8a + (b+e) x x]} \partial x,$$

feu refolendo

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = -\frac{6 \partial x}{x} + \frac{(5b-e) x \partial x}{a + b x x} + \frac{2(b+e) x \partial x}{8a + (b+e) x x},$$

hincque integrando

$$y = \frac{A}{x^6} (a + b x x)^{\frac{5b-e}{2b}} [8a + (b+e) x x].$$

E c 3

Scho-

## Scholion 2.

1007. Quod hic casu fortuito euenisse videtur, vt ex valore ipsius  $z$  inuento quantitas  $y$  commode definiiri potuerit, idem perpetuo euenire oportere, sequenti modo in genere ostendi potest. Cum enim aequatio proposita

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0$$

ope substitutionis  $\frac{\partial y}{y} = -\frac{Nz \partial x^2}{L \partial z}$  in hanc fit transformata

$$L \partial \partial z - M \partial x \partial z - \frac{L \partial N \partial z}{N} + \partial L \partial z + Nz \partial x^2 = 0,$$

si haec per  $L \partial z$  diuidatur, prodit

$$\frac{\partial \partial z}{\partial z} - \frac{M \partial x}{L} - \frac{\partial N}{N} + \frac{\partial L}{L} = -\frac{Nz \partial x^2}{L \partial z} = \frac{\partial y}{y},$$

ex qua integrando elicitur

$$y = \frac{L \partial z}{N \partial x} e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}},$$

quae inuento valore ipsius  $z$ , statim sine vltiori integratione praebet valorem ipsius  $y$ .

Cum porro fit

$$\partial y = -\frac{Nz \partial x^2}{L \partial z}, \text{ erit } \partial y = -az \partial x \cdot e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}},$$

hincque

$$y \partial y = -\frac{a L \partial z}{N} e^{-2 \int \frac{M \partial x}{L}},$$

atque hae relationes eo magis sunt notatu dignae, quod ex iis aequatio proposita nonnisi per plures ambages ad transformam reduci possit. Ipsa enim formula pro  $y$  substituta perducit ad aequationem differentialem tertii gradus, quae autem manifesto integrationem admittit, ipsamque aequationem hic inuentam suppeditat. Hinc igitur occasionem adipiscimur eiusmodi substitutiones inuestigandi, quae quidem ad differentialia tertii

tertii gradus ascendant, verum tamen per integrationem ad differentialia secunda redigi se patiantur.

### Problema 127.

1008. Aequationem differentio - differentialem

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0$$

ope huiusmodi substitutionis  $y = \frac{P \partial z}{\partial x}$  in aliam aequationem pariter differentio - differentialem transformare.

### Solutio.

Ob  $y = \frac{P \partial z}{\partial x}$ , fit

$$\partial y = \frac{P \partial \partial z + \partial P \partial z}{\partial x} \text{ et } \partial \partial y = \frac{P \partial^2 z + 2 \partial P \partial \partial z + \partial^2 P}{\partial x^2},$$

quibus formulis substitutis oritur haec aequatio differentialis tertii gradus

$$LP \partial^3 z + 2L \partial P \partial \partial z + L \partial z \partial \partial P + MP \partial x \partial \partial z + M \partial x \partial P \partial z + NP \partial x^2 \partial z = 0,$$

quam ita comparatam assumamus, vt per functionem ipsius  $x$ , quae fit  $Q$ , multiplicata integrabilis euadat. Integrabilis ergo fit haec forma

$$LPQ \partial^3 z + 2LQ \partial P \partial \partial z + MPQ \partial x \partial \partial z + LQ \partial z \partial \partial P + MQ \partial x \partial P \partial z + NPQ \partial x^2 \partial z = 0,$$

cuius integrale fit

$$LPQ \partial \partial z + S \partial x \partial z + T z \partial x^2 = C \partial x^2,$$

vnde colligitur

$$\partial \partial z (2LQ \partial P + MPQ \partial x) = \partial \partial z (\partial . LPQ + S \partial x),$$

$$\partial z (LQ \partial \partial P + MQ \partial x \partial P + NPQ \partial x^2) = \partial z (\partial x \partial S + T \partial x^2),$$

et  $z \partial x^2 \partial T = 0$ , ideoque  $T$  quantitas constans.

Inde autem fit

$$S \partial x = LQ \partial P - LP \partial Q - PQ \partial L + MPQ \partial x;$$

cx

ex quo per alteram conditionem elicitur

$$\begin{aligned} T \partial x^2 &= LQ \partial \partial P + MQ \partial x \partial P + NPQ \partial x^2 - LQ \partial \partial P - L \partial P \partial Q - Q \partial P \partial L \\ &\quad + LP \partial \partial Q + L \partial P \partial Q + P \partial Q \partial L + PQ \partial \partial L + P \partial Q \partial L + Q \partial P \partial L \\ &\quad - MP \partial x \partial Q - MQ \partial x \partial P - PQ \partial x \partial M, \text{ siue} \\ T \partial x^2 &= P \partial \partial . L Q - P \partial x \partial . M Q + P N Q \partial x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Quare cum  $T$  sit quantitas constans, ponatur  $T = a$ , atque hinc commode functio  $P$  definitur, scilicet

$$P = \frac{a \partial x^2}{\partial \partial . L Q - \partial x \partial . M Q + N Q \partial x^2},$$

hocque valore pro  $P$  assumpto, aequatio proposita ope substitutionis  $y = \frac{P \partial z}{\partial z}$  transformatur in hanc

$$\begin{aligned} L P Q \partial \partial z + \partial z (L Q \partial P - L P \partial Q - P Q \partial L + M P Q \partial x) \\ + a z \partial x^2 = C \partial x^2, \end{aligned}$$

vbi cum  $z$  constante quantitate augere liceat, constans  $C$  omitti potest. Diuidatur ergo haec aequatio per  $P Q$  et prodibit

$$L \partial \partial z + \partial z \left( \frac{L \partial P}{P} - \frac{L \partial Q}{Q} - \partial L + M \partial x \right) + \frac{a z \partial x^2}{P Q} = 0,$$

seu in postremo termino valorem ipsius  $P$  substituendo

$$\begin{aligned} L \partial \partial z + \partial z \left( \frac{L \partial P}{P} - \frac{\partial . L Q}{Q} + M \partial x \right) \\ + \frac{a}{Q} (\partial \partial . L Q - \partial x \partial . M Q + N Q \partial x^2) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

atque hic pro  $Q$  functionem quaecunque ipsius  $x$  accipere licet.

### Corollarium 1.

1009. Hinc praecedens substitutio derivatur ponendo

$$\partial \partial . L Q - \partial x \partial . M Q = 0, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$\partial . L Q - M Q \partial x = C \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

$$e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} . L Q = C f e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} \partial x + D.$$

Namque si hic capiatur  $C = 0$ , erit

$$Q =$$

$$Q = \frac{D}{L} e^{+\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}}, \text{ et } P = \frac{\alpha \partial x^2}{N Q \partial x^2} = \frac{\alpha}{N Q}, \text{ feu}$$

$$P = \frac{\alpha L}{N} e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}}, \text{ vt ante.}$$

## Corollarium 2.

1010. Sin autem ponamus

$$\partial \partial . L Q - \partial x \partial . M Q = \partial X \partial x, \text{ vt fit}$$

$$P = \frac{\alpha \partial x}{\partial X + N Q \partial x}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\partial . L Q - M Q \partial x = X \partial x + A \partial x,$$

porroque integrando

$$e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} L Q = \int e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} \partial x (X + A) + B \text{ et}$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{L} e^{\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} \int e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} \partial x (X + A) + \frac{B}{L} e^{\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}}.$$

## Corollarium 3.

1011. Ponatur  $\int e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} X \partial x = e^{-\int \frac{M \partial x}{L}} V$ , et  $A = 0$ ,  $B = 0$ , erit  $X = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - \frac{M V}{L}$  et  $Q = \frac{V}{L}$ , ideoque

$$P = \frac{\alpha \partial x}{\frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial x} - \frac{M}{L} \partial V - V \partial . \frac{M}{L} + \frac{N}{L} V \partial x}.$$

Si igitur fit  $V = \alpha$ , erit  $Q = \frac{\alpha}{L}$ ,

$$P = \frac{L L \partial x}{L N \partial x - L \partial M + M \partial L},$$

et aequatio resultans

$$L \partial \partial z + \partial z \left( \frac{L \partial P}{P} + M \partial x \right) + \frac{\partial \partial x (L N \partial x - L \partial M + M \partial L)}{L} = 0.$$

## Scholion.

1012. Haec autem nimis sunt generalia, quam vt inde quicquam ad vsum communem concludi possit. Vtunque

autem transformatio instituat, et aequatio transformata in seriem resoluatur, haec nullis aliis casibus abrumpi videtur, nisi iis quibus ipsa aequatio proposita, et inde per primam substitutionem transformata, ad seriem alicubi terminatam reducit. Ex quo perspicuum est ope huiusmodi transformationum vix vnquam novos casus integrabiles erui posse. Verum dum hactenus loco variabilis  $y$  aliam  $z$  per substitutionem introduximus, altera  $x$ , ex cuius potestatibus series formabantur, retenta, nunc etiam paucis exploremus, quomodo loco ipsius  $x$  aliam variabilem  $t$  introducendo, transformationem perfici oporteat; vbi imprimis notetur necesse est, cum ante elementum  $\partial x$  assumptum fuerit constans, iam in transformata elementum  $\partial t$  constans accipi debere. Hic igitur  $t$  scribetur loco certae cuiuspiam functionis ipsius  $x$ , quam autem ita comparatam esse debeat, vt aequatio resultans ne nimis fiat complicata.

### Problema 128.

1013. Proposita aequatione differentio-differentiali

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0,$$

loco quantitatis  $x$  aliam  $t$  introducere, quae functioni cuiuspiam ipsius  $x$  aequetur.

### Solutio.

Diuisa aequatione per  $\partial x$ , repraesentetur aequatio ita

$$L \partial . \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + M \partial y + N y \partial x = 0,$$

vt iam consideratio elementi  $\partial x$ , quod constans erat assumptum, sit exclusa. Cum  $t$  aequetur functioni cuiuspiam ipsius  $x$ , fiat inde  $\partial t = P \partial x$ , seu  $\partial x = \frac{\partial t}{P}$ , vnde nascimur

$$L \partial . \frac{P \partial y}{\partial t} + M \partial y + \frac{N y \partial t}{P} = 0,$$

ac sumpto elemento  $\partial t$  constante

$$L P \partial \partial y + L \partial P \partial y + M \partial t \partial y + \frac{N y \partial t^2}{P} = 0,$$

vbi

vbi tantum superest, vt in quantitatibus finitis, quae adhuc variabilem  $x$  complectuntur, eius loco altera  $t$  introducatur.

### Exemplum.

1014. *Proposita fit haec aequatio*

$$xx(a+bx^n)\partial\partial y+x(c+ex^n)\partial x\partial y+(f+gx^n)y\partial x^2=0,$$

in quam loco formulae  $b+kx^n$  introducatur  $t$ .

Cum ergo fit

$$t=b+kx^n, \text{ erit } \partial t=nkx^{n-1}\partial x,$$

ideoque

$$P=nkx^{n-1} \text{ et } \partial P=n(n-1)kx^{n-2}\partial x=\frac{(n-1)\partial t}{x}.$$

Quare habebimus

$$nkx^{n+1}(a+bx^n)\partial\partial y+(n-1)x\partial t\partial y(a+bx^n)+x(c+ex^n)\partial t\partial y \\ +\frac{(f+gx^n)y\partial t^2}{nkx^{n-1}}=0$$

sive

$$nk(a+bx^n)\partial\partial y+\frac{(n-1)\partial t\partial y(a+bx^n)+\partial t\partial y(c+ex^n)}{x^n} \\ +\frac{(f+gx^n)y\partial t^2}{nkx^n}=0.$$

Nunc vero est  $x^n=\frac{t-b}{k}$ , qui valor substitutus praebet

$$n(ak-bb+bt)\partial\partial y+\frac{(n-1)\partial t\partial y(ak-bb+bt)+\partial t\partial y(ck-eb+et)}{t-b} \\ +\frac{(fk-gb+gt)y\partial t^2}{n(t-b)^2}=0.$$

Verum hic ita vbique  $t-b$  occurrit, vt aequatio simplicior euadat loco  $t-b$  scribendo  $u$ , tum autem perinde est, ac si

loco potestatis  $x^a$  scripsissemus quantitatem  $u$ : neque ergo hinc quicquam lucri pro nouis seriebus eruendis redundat.

### Corollarium.

1015. Si in aequatione generali loco  $x^m$  scribere velimus  $t$ , erit

$$\partial t = m x^{m-1} \partial x \text{ et } P = m x^{m-1},$$

et aequatio resultabit, ob

$$\partial P = m(m-1) x^{m-2} \partial x = \frac{(m-1)\partial t}{x},$$

ista

$$m L x^{m-1} \partial \partial y + \frac{(m-1)L \partial t \partial y}{x} + M \partial t \partial y + \frac{N y \partial t^2}{m x^{m-1}} = 0$$

seu

$$m L \partial \partial y + \frac{(m-1)L \partial t \partial y}{t} + \frac{M x \partial t \partial y}{t} + \frac{N x y \partial t^2}{m t t} = 0.$$

### Scholion.

1016. Plura de huiusmodi aequationum transformationibus tradere haud necesse videtur, cum ex his fontibus haud difficulter omnes transformationes ad vsum idoneae derivari queant. Datur autem alia methodus prorsus singularis huiusmodi aequationum differentio-differentialium integralia exprimendi, quae per formulas integrales binas variables inuolventes expeditur, dum altera in integratione vt constans tractatur. Ita si  $P$  fuerit functio quaecunque binarum variabilium  $x$  et  $u$ , ac ponatur  $y = \int P \partial x$ , considerando  $u$  in integratione vt constantem, integrale hoc  $\int P \partial x$  erit functio ipsarum  $x$  et  $u$ , quod ita determinatum, vt euanescat posito  $x = 0$ , si deinceps statuatur  $x = a$ , obtinebitur functio ipsius  $u$  ipsi  $y$  aequalis, quae si satisfaciat aequationi cuiusdam differentiali inter  $u$  et  $y$  propositae, haec aequatio resoluetur formula  $y = \int P \partial x$ ,  
quae



quae vt eius integrale spectari poterit. Atque hoc modo innumerabilium aequationum differentio-differentialium integralia exhiberi possunt, quae aliis methodis prorsus intractabiles videntur. Quanquam autem formula  $\int P \partial x$ , spectata quantitate  $y$  vt constante, actu integrari nequit, tamen eius integrale in hoc negotio pro cognito accipi potest, quia eius valor saltem per approximationes assignari potest. Scilicet dum sumta  $x$  pro abscissa, si  $P$  denotet applicatam orthogonalem ei conuenientem, formula  $\int P \partial x$  exprimet aream eiusdem curuae abscissae  $x$  insistentem, ac posito  $x = a$ , area habetur determinata valori  $y = \int P \partial x$ , prouti cum modo definiuimus, aequalis, quae ergo, vti loqui solent, per quadraturas curuarum assignari potest, ex quo haec integrandi ratio commode appellatur constructio per quadraturas. Hic autem imprimis ad eam rationem, qua integralia in particularia et completa distinximus, attendi conueniet; vnde sollicite est cauendum, ne integralia hoc modo inuenta pro completis habeantur, nisi quatenus binas constantes arbitrarias inuoluant. Cum igitur eidem aequationi differentiali infinita integralia particularia conueniant, mirandum non est, si hoc modo pro eadem aequatione proposita plura integralia diuersa inueniamus. Hoc autem argumentum fere prorsus est nouum, neque a quoquam adhuc pertractatum, siquidem nonnulla specimina, quae equidem iam dudum dedi, excipiantur; ex quo dubitare non licet, quin ista methodus, si diligentius excolatur, aliquando forte praeclara incrementa in Analysis sit allatura.

---

## CAPVT X.

DE

### CONSTRVCTIONE AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIO- DIFFERENTIALIVM PER QVADRATVRAS CVRVARVM.

#### Problema 129.

1017.

**S**i fuerit  $y = \int V \partial x$ , denotante  $V$  functionem quamcunque binarum quantitatum  $x$  et  $u$ , quarum autem haec  $u$  in integratione ut constans spectatur, post integrationem vero statuatur  $x = a$ , ut  $y$  aequetur functioni cuidam ipsius  $u$ ; quod si iam  $u$  variabilis sumatur, inuestigare valorem ipsius  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}$ .

#### Solutio.

Cum  $\int V \partial x$  exhibeat functionem quandam binarum quantitatum  $x$  et  $u$ , cuius differentiale sumta  $u$  constante est  $= V \partial x$ , si tam  $u$  quam  $x$  ut variables tractentur, differentiale aequationis  $y = \int V \partial x$  talem habebit formam,  $\partial y = V \partial x + U \partial u$ , quae quia est differentiale verum, necesse est sit  $(\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}) = (\frac{\partial U}{\partial x})$ . At cum  $V$  sit functio data ipsarum  $x$  et  $u$ , ponatur  $\partial V = P \partial x + Q \partial u$ , eritque  $(\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}) = Q$ , ideoque  $(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}) = Q$ . Hinc considerata iterum  $u$  ut constante, erit  $\partial U = Q \partial x$ , et  $U = \int Q \partial x$ , in qua integratione sola  $x$  pro variabili habetur. Quocirca si hunc valorem  $\int Q \partial x$  ut cognitum spectemus, quippe quem per quadraturas assignare licet, erit

erit  $\partial y = V \partial x + \partial u / Q \partial x$ . Querimus autem id ipsius  $y$  differentiale, quod ex variabilitate ipsius  $u$  tantum nascitur; quod cum sit  $\partial y = \partial u / Q \partial x$  erit valor quaesitus  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = f Q \partial x$ , si nempe post integrationem itidem ponatur  $x = a$ .

### Corollarium. 1.

1018. Cum sit  $y = f V \partial x$  functio ipsarum  $x$  et  $u$ , per integrationem autem formulae  $V \partial x$ , in qua  $u$  constans spectatur, functio quaecunque ipsius  $u$  loco constantis accedere possit, functio  $y$  per se erit indeterminata, determinabitur autem statim, atque integrale  $f V \partial x$  ita accipiatur, ut euanescat posito  $x = 0$ .

### Corollarium 2.

1019. Hac conditione obseruata euanescet  $y$  posito  $x = 0$ , quicunque valor alteri quantitati  $u$  tribuatur, erit ergo etiam  $y + \partial u (\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}) = 0$  facto  $x = 0$ , ergo etiam  $(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}) = 0$ . Vnde patet  $f Q \partial x = \frac{\partial y}{\partial u}$  ita quoque accipi debere, ut posito  $x = 0$  euanescat.

### Corollarium 3.

1020. Cum  $y = f V \partial x$  erit  $(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}) = V$ , hinc

$$(\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u \partial x}) = (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}).$$

At si ponatur  $(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}) = Z$ , erit quoque

$$(\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u \partial x}) = (\frac{\partial Z}{\partial x}), \text{ ergo } (\frac{\partial Z}{\partial x}) = (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}).$$

Quare spectata  $u$  ut constante, erit

$$\partial Z = \partial x (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}), \text{ et } Z = f \partial x (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u})$$

ideoque

$$(\frac{\partial y}{\partial u}) = f \partial x (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}).$$

Co-

## Corollarium 4.

1021. Quodsi ergo post integrationes ita absolutas, vt integralia euanescant posito  $x = 0$ , ponatur  $x = a$ , tam valor  $y = fV \partial x$  quam  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = f \partial x \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right)$  erit functio determinata ipsius  $u$ .

## Corollarium 5.

1022. Simili modo vterius progrediendo erit

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} = f \partial x \left( \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \right).$$

Quare si  $L$ ,  $M$  et  $N$  denotent functiones quascunque ipsius  $u$ , erit

$$\frac{L \partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M \partial y}{\partial u} + N y = f \partial x [L \left( \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \right) + M \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) + N y]$$

totumque negotium huc redit, vt ista formula integrationem admittat.

## Scholion.

1023. Datis scilicet ipsius  $u$  functionibus  $L$ ,  $M$ ,  $N$ , quaeri debet functio  $V$  binarum variabilium  $x$  et  $u$ , ita vt spectata  $u$  constante formula

$$[L \left( \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \right) + M \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right) + N y] \partial x$$

absolute fiat integrabilis, cuius integrale, vt sit determinatum ita capiatur, vt posito  $x = 0$ , euanescat. Tum vero statuatur  $x = a$ , ac si illud integrale etiam hoc casu euanescat, erit

$$\frac{L \partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M \partial y}{\partial u} + N y = 0,$$

hincque aequationi satisfacit valor  $y = fV \partial x$ , lege indicata sumtus. Problema autem, datis functionibus  $L$ ,  $M$  et  $N$ , investigandi functionem  $V$  maxime est indeterminatum, neque methodis adhuc cognitis in genere resolui potest; ex quo conueniet id inuerso modo tractari, vt sumta functione  $V$ , alterae  $L$ ,  $M$  et  $N$  indagentur. Hinc aequationes differentio-

diffe-

differentiales consequemur, quarum integralia hoc modo assignare valebimus, quae si aliis methodis tractari nequeant, insigne lucrum suppeditant. Quodsi integrale illud

$$\int [L (\frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial u^2}) + M (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}) + N V] \partial x$$

posito  $x = a$  non euanescat, sed datam ipsius  $u$  functionem  $U$  exhibeat, valor  $y = \int V \partial x$  conueniet huic aequationi

$$\frac{L \partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M \partial y}{\partial u} + N y = U,$$

quae cum infinitis modis in alias formas transmutari possit, etiam harum integralia innotescunt, vbi simul hoc commode euenit, vt etiamsi integrale tantum particulare obtineatur, inde tamen plerumque integrale completum haud difficulter colligi queat.

### Problema 130.

1024. Inuenire aequationes differentio-differentiales formae  $\frac{L \partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M \partial y}{\partial u} + N y = U$ , vt  $L$ ,  $M$ ,  $N$  et  $U$  sint functiones ipsius  $u$ , cuius elementum  $\partial u$  hic pro constante accipitur, quarum integrale ope constructionis per quadraturas exhiberi possit.

### Solutio.

Sumatur functio quaecunque binarum variabilium  $u$  et  $x$ , quae sit  $V$ , capiaturque integrale  $\int V \partial x$  spectata quantitate  $u$  vt constante, ita vtposito  $x = 0$ , euanescat, tum vero fiat  $x = a$ , denotante  $a$  quantitatem quamcunque constantem, vt iam  $\int V \partial x$  exprimat functionem quandam ipsius  $u$  tantum, cui quantitas  $y$  aequetur, vt sit  $y = \int V \partial x$ . Cum iam sit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = \int \partial x (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}), \text{ et } \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} = \int \partial x (\frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial u^2}),$$

his integralibus pariter ita sumtis, vtposito  $x = 0$  euanescant, tum vero statuatur  $x = a$ , quaerantur functiones  $L$ ,  $M$ ,  $N$  ipsius  $u$ , vt haec formula

Vol. II.

G g

$\int \partial x$

$$\int \partial x [L (\frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial u^2}) + M (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}) + N V]$$

fiat absolute integrabilis, eiusque integrale ita determinetur, vt posito  $x = a$ , fiat id  $= U$ . Quod si fuerit praestitum, euidens est, aequationi differentio-differentiali

$$\frac{L \partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M \partial y}{\partial u} + N y = U$$

satisfacere formulam assumtam  $y = \int V \partial x$ .

### Corollarium 1.

1025. Assumptio ergo functionis  $V$  non penitus arbitrio nostro permittitur, sed ad hoc potissimum est spectandum, vt talis forma

$$\int \partial x [L (\frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial u^2}) + M (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}) + N V],$$

per se fiat integrabilis.

### Corollarium 2.

1026. Infinitae ergo hinc statim excluduntur formae ad hunc scopum ineptae, cuiusmodi sunt  $V = UP$ , existente  $U$  functione ipsius  $u$  et  $P$  ipsius  $x$  tantum; quia tum foret

$$y = U \int P \partial x, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial u} \int P \partial x \quad \text{et} \quad \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} = \frac{\partial \partial U}{\partial u^2} \int P \partial x,$$

quippe quae idem integrale complectuntur, ita vt ex earum coniunctione formula absolute integrabilis confici nequeat.

### Exemplum 1.

1027. Sit  $V = x^n \sqrt{\frac{uu+xx}{cc-xx}}$  et  $y = \int x^n \partial x \sqrt{\frac{uu+xx}{cc-xx}}$  integrali euanescente posito  $x = 0$ , tum vero facto  $x = a$ .

Erit ergo

$$\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}\right) = x^n \frac{u}{\sqrt{(uu+xx)(cc-xx)}} \quad \text{et} \quad \left(\frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial u^2}\right) = x^n \frac{xx}{(uu+xx)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{(cc-xx)}},$$

et

et integrabilis reddi debet haec formula

$$x^n \partial x \left( \frac{L x x}{(uu+xx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{cc-xx}} + \frac{M u}{\sqrt{(uu+xx)(cc-xx)}} + N \sqrt{\frac{uu+xx}{cc-xx}} \right),$$

feu

$$\frac{x^n \partial x}{(uu+xx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{cc-xx}} [L x x + M u (uu+xx) + N (uu+xx)^{\frac{3}{2}}].$$

Statuatur integrale =  $\frac{x^{n+1} \sqrt{cc-xx}}{\sqrt{(uu+xx)}}$ , cuius differentiale

cum fit

$$\frac{(n+1)x^n(cc-xx)(uu+xx) - x^{n+2}(uu+xx) - x^{n+2}(cc-xx)}{(uu+xx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{cc-xx}} \cdot \partial x,$$

feu

$$\frac{x^n \partial x}{(uu+xx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{cc-xx}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (n+1)ccuu + (n+1)ccxx - (n+1)uuxx - (n+1)x^2 \\ -ccxx \quad -uuxx \end{array} \right\},$$

cum qua si proposita comparatur, fiet

$$M u^3 + N u^4 = (n+1)ccuu,$$

$$L + M u + 2 N u u = ncc - (n+2)uu \text{ et}$$

$$N = -(n+1).$$

Hinc elicitur

$$M u = (n+1)(cc+uu), \text{ feu } M = \frac{(n+1)(cc+uu)}{u}, \text{ et}$$

$$L = -(n+1)(cc+uu) + 2(n+1)uu + ncc - (n+2)uu,$$

feu  $L = -cc - uu$ .

Quamobrem habebimus

$$\frac{(cc+uu) \partial y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{(n+1)(cc+uu) \partial y}{u \partial u} - (n+1)y = \frac{a^{n+1} \sqrt{cc-aa}}{\sqrt{(aa+uu)}},$$

G g 2

cui

cui aequationi satisfacit  $y = f x^n \partial x \sqrt{\frac{u u + x x}{c c - x x}}$ , integratione absoluta ut est indicatum.

### Corollarium I.

1028. Sumto ergo  $a = c$ , formula integralis

$$y = f x^n \partial x \sqrt{\frac{u u + x x}{c c - x x}}$$

posito post integrationem  $x = c$ , exhibebit integrale huius aequationis

$$u (c c + u u) \partial \partial y - (n + 1) (c c + u u) \partial u \partial y + (n + 1) u y \partial u^n = 0,$$

feu

$$\partial \partial y - \frac{(n + 1) \partial u \partial y}{u} + \frac{(n + 1) y \partial u^n}{c c + u u} = 0.$$

### Corollarium 2.

1029. Si fit  $n = 1$ , per integrationem inuenitur

$$\begin{aligned} f x \partial x \sqrt{\frac{u u + x x}{c c - x x}} &= \frac{1}{4} (c c + u u) \text{ Ang. fin. } \frac{2 x x - c c \sqrt{u u}}{c c + u u} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{(c c u u + c c x x - u u x x - x^4)}, \\ &- \frac{1}{4} (c c + u u) \text{ Ang. fin. } \frac{c c + u u}{c c + u u} + \frac{1}{2} c u, \end{aligned}$$

etposito  $x = c$  fit

$$y = \frac{1}{4} (c c + u u) \text{ Ang. cof. } \frac{u u - c c}{c c + u u} + \frac{1}{2} c u, \text{ hincque}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{2} u \text{ Ang. cof. } \frac{u u - c c}{c c + u u} \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial u^n} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ Ang. cof. } \frac{u u - c c}{c c + u u} - \frac{c u}{c c + u u},$$

quae formulae euidenter satisfaciunt aequationi

$$\partial \partial y - \frac{2 \partial u \partial y}{u} + \frac{2 y \partial u^n}{c c + u u} = 0.$$

### Corollarium 3.

1030. Hoc casu integrale etiam hoc modo exprimi potest

$$y = \frac{1}{4} (c c + u u) \text{ Ang. fin. } \frac{2 c u}{c c + u u} + \frac{1}{2} c u,$$

feu



feu cum eius multipulum quoduis aequae satisfaciat

$$y = (cc + uu) \text{ Ang. fin. } \frac{acu}{cc+uu} + 2cu,$$

satisfacit vero etiam  $y = cc + uu$ , vnde integrale completum est

$$y = a(cc + uu) \text{ Ang. fin. } \frac{acu}{cc+uu} + 2acu + \beta(cc + uu).$$

### Scholion.

1031. Quod valor  $y = cc + uu$  satisfaciat, ex integrali inuento concludere licet, quia enim Ang. fin.  $\frac{acu}{cc+uu}$  est functio multiplex et termino  $2\pi$  augeri potest, integrale ipsum augeri potest termino  $2\pi(cc + uu)$ . At in genere differentia binorum integralium quoque satisfacit, ergo etiam satisfacere debet  $y = 2\pi(cc + uu)$  et generatim  $y = \beta(cc + uu)$ . Ex hoc casu facilius perspicitur, quomodo valor assumtus aequationi generali satisfaciat, etiam si is per integrationem evolui nequeat. Patet autem  $n + 1$  esse debere numerum positivum, quia alioquin conditio integralis, ut posito  $x = 0$  evanescat, impleri nequit.

### Exemplum 2.

1032. Sumatur

$$V = x^{n-1} (uu + xx)^{\mu} (cc - xx)^{\nu}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}\right) = 2\mu u x^{n-1} (uu + xx)^{\mu-1} (cc - xx)^{\nu} \text{ et}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial u^2}\right) = 2\mu x^{n-1} (cc - xx)^{\nu} [(uu + xx)^{\mu-1} + 2(\mu-1)uu(uu + xx)^{\mu-2}]$$

$$\text{feu} = 2\mu x^{n-1} (cc - xx)^{\nu} (uu + xx)^{\mu-2} [(2\mu-1)uu + xx].$$

Integrabilis igitur reddi debet absolute haec formula

$$f x^{n-1} \partial x (cc - xx)^{\nu} (uu + xx)^{\mu-2} \times$$

$$(2\mu [(2\mu-1)uu + xx] L + 2\mu u (uu + xx) M + (uu + xx)^2 N)$$

feu

G g 3

$f x^{n-2}$

$$f x^{n-1} \partial x (cc - xx)^{\nu} (uu + xx)^{\mu-2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2\mu(2\mu-1)Luu + 2\mu Lxx + Nx^4 \\ + 2\mu Mu^3 + 2\mu Muxx \\ + Nu^4 + 2Nuuxx \end{array} \right\}.$$

Statuatur integrale  $x^n (uu + xx)^{\mu-1} (cc - xx)^{\nu+1}$ , cuius differentiale cum sit

$$x^n \partial x (uu + xx)^{\mu-1} (cc - xx)^{\nu} \times [n(uu + xx)(cc - xx) + 2(\mu-1)xx(cc - xx) - 2(\nu+1)xx(uu + xx)],$$

erit

$$2\mu(2\mu-1)Luu + 2\mu Mu^3 + Nu^4 = nccuu,$$

$$2\mu L + 2\mu Mu + 2Nu = ncc - nuu + 2(\mu-1)cc - 2(\nu+1)uu,$$

$$N = -n - 2(\mu-1) - 2(\nu+1) = -n - 2\mu - 2\nu.$$

At prima

$$2\mu(2\mu-1)L + 2\mu Mu + Nu = ncc$$

demta secunda dat

$$4\mu(\mu-1)L - Nu = (n+2\nu+2)uu - 2(\mu-1)cc, \text{ feu}$$

$$4\mu(\mu-1)L = -2(\mu-1)(uu + cc), \text{ hinc } L = \frac{-cc - uu}{2\mu},$$

qui valor in prima substitutus dat

$$-(2\mu-1)(cc + uu) + 2\mu Mu - (n+2\mu+2\nu)uu = ncc,$$

feu

$$2\mu Mu = (n+2\mu-1)cc + (n+4\mu+2\nu-1)uu.$$

Ergo

$$M = \frac{(n+2\mu-1)(cc+uu)}{2\mu} + \frac{(\mu+\nu)}{\mu} u.$$

Si  $n > 0$ , superius integrale euanescit posito  $x = 0$ , quare si ponamus  $x = a$ , oriatur haec aequatio

$$\frac{(cc+uu)\partial\partial y}{2\mu\partial u^2} + \frac{(n+2\mu-1)(cc+uu)\partial y}{2\mu u \partial u} + \frac{(u+\nu)\partial y}{u\partial u} - (n+2\mu+2\nu)y = a^n (aa+uu)^{\mu-1} (cc-aa)^{\nu+1},$$

cuius integrale est

$$y = f x^{n-1} \partial x (uu + xx)^{\mu} (cc - xx)^{\nu},$$

integrali hoc ita sumto vt euanescat posito  $x = 0$ , tum vero posito  $x = a$ .

Corol-

## Corollarium 1.

1033. Si capiatur  $a = c$ , vt postrema pars fiat  $= 0$ ,  
 siquidem exponens  $\nu + 1$  fit nihilo maior, formula

$$y = f x^{n-1} \partial x (uu + xx)^n (cc - xx)^\nu$$

posito  $x = c$ , post integrationem ita peractam vt casu  $x = 0$   
 fiat  $y = 0$ , erit integrale huius aequationis

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(cc+uu) \partial \partial y - (n+2\mu-1)(cc+uu) \partial u \partial y - 2(\mu+\nu)uu \partial u \partial y \\ + 2\mu(n+2\mu+2\nu)uy \partial u^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Corollarium 2.

1034. Sit  $n + 2\mu - 1 = \alpha$ , et  $n + 4\mu + 2\nu - 1 = \beta$ ,  
 fiet  $2\mu = \alpha + 1 - n$  et  $2\nu = \beta + 1 - n - 2\alpha - 2 + 2n = \beta - 1 + n - 2\alpha$ ,  
 et aequationis

$\psi(cc+uu) \partial \partial y - (acc + \beta uu) \partial u \partial y + (\alpha + 1 - n)(\beta - \alpha + n)uy \partial u^2 = 0$   
 integrale erit

$$y = f x^{n-1} \partial x (uu + xx)^{\frac{\alpha+1-n}{2}} (cc - xx)^{\frac{\beta-1+n-2\alpha}{2}},$$

posito  $x = c$ , si modo fit  $n > 0$  et  $\beta - 1 + n > 2\alpha$ .

## Scholion.

1035. Haec constructio latissime ad hanc aequationem  
 patet

$$xx(a+bx^n) \partial \partial z + x(c+ex^n) \partial x \partial z + (f+gx^n)z \partial x^2 = 0,$$

primo enim hic sine detrimento amplitudinis sumi potest  $n=2$ ,  
 ponendo  $x^n = uu$ . Tum vero vt supra §. 997. vidimus, ponendo

$$z = x^{\frac{a-c}{a}} + b \left( a + bx \right)^{\frac{b c - a e}{n a b}} + 1 y,$$

aequati) abit in hanc

$$\begin{aligned} xx(a+bx^n) \partial \partial y + x[2a-c+2ab+(2b-e+2nb+2bb)x^n] \partial x \partial y \\ + [f+ab-cb+abb+(g+(b-e+nb+bb)(n+b))x^n] y \partial x^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

vbi

vbi si  $b$  ita accipiatur, vt fit  $abb + (a - c)b + f = 0$ , prodit aequatio formae, cuius constructionem dedimus. In casibus autem specialibus difficultates occurrere possunt, quibus superandis sequentia exempla inferuiunt.

### Exemplum 3.

1036. Sit  $V = e^{m \cdot u \cdot x} x^n (c - x)^v$ , erit

$$\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}\right) = m e^{m \cdot u \cdot x} x^{n+1} (c-x)^v \text{ et } \left(\frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial u^2}\right) = m m e^{m \cdot u \cdot x} x^{n+2} (c-x)^v.$$

Integrabilem ergo reddi oportet hanc formulam

$$e^{m \cdot u \cdot x} x^n \partial x (c - x)^v (m m L x x + m M x + N),$$

cuius integrale ponatur  $= e^{m \cdot u \cdot x} x^{n+1} (c-x)^{v+1}$ , cuius propterea differentiale illi formulae aequari debet: quod cum sit

$$e^{m \cdot u \cdot x} x^n \partial x (c-x)^v [m u x (c-x) + (n+1)(c-x) - (v+1)x],$$

erit

$$N = (n+1)c, \quad m M = m c u - (n+v+2), \quad m m L = -m u.$$

Statuatur nunc  $x = a$ , et formula

$$y = f e^{m \cdot u \cdot x} x^n \partial x (c - x)^v$$

erit integrale huius aequationis

$$-\frac{u \partial \partial y}{m \partial u^2} + \frac{c u \partial y}{\sigma u} - \frac{(n+v+2) \partial y}{m \sigma u} + (n+1) c y = e^{m \cdot u \cdot a} a^{n+1} (c-a)^{v+1}.$$

Hic poni potest  $m = 1$ , ac sumto  $c = a$ , aequationis

$$u \partial \partial y - a u \partial u \partial y + (n+v+2) \partial u \partial y - (n+1) a y \partial u^2 = 0,$$

integrale est  $y = f e^{u \cdot x} x^n \partial x (a - x)^v$ , posito post integrationem  $x = a$ , dum sit  $v+1 > 0$ , et  $n+1 > 0$ , vt integrale euanesceat reddi possit posito  $x = 0$ .

### Corollarium 1.

1037. Si hic ponatur  $y = e^{f z \partial u}$ , erit

$$u \partial z + u z z \partial u - a u z \partial u + (n+v+2) z \partial u - (n+1) a \partial u = 0,$$

cuius

cuius integrale est

$$z = \frac{\partial y}{y \partial u} = \frac{f e^{u x} x^{n+1} \partial x (a-x)^v}{f e^{u x} x^n \partial x (a-x)^v}$$

Illa aequatio autem posito  $z = \frac{1}{2} a + v$ , transmutatur in hanc

$$u \partial v + u v \partial u + (n+v+2) v \partial u - \frac{1}{4} a a u \partial u - \frac{1}{2} (n-v) a \partial u = 0,$$

quae ponendo  $v = u^{-n-v-1} s$  abit in hanc

$$u^{-n-v-1} \partial s + u^{-2n-2v-3} s s \partial u - \frac{1}{4} a a u \partial u - \frac{1}{2} (n-v) a \partial u = 0.$$

### Corollarium 2.

1038. Sit porro

$$u^{-n-v-1} \partial u = \partial t \text{ seu } u^{-n-v-1} = -(n+v+1) t,$$

ut fiat

$$\partial s + s s \partial t - \frac{1}{4} a a u^{2n+2v+4} \partial t - \frac{1}{2} (n-v) u^{2n+2v+2} \partial t = 0,$$

quae ergo aequatio etiam construi potest. Vel sit

$$-(n+v+1) t = r, \text{ erit}$$

$$\partial s - \frac{s s \partial r}{n+v+1} + \frac{a a r^{\frac{-2n-2v-4}{4(n+v+1)}} \partial r}{4(n+v+1)} + \frac{(n-v) r^{\frac{-2n-2v-2}{2(n+v+1)}} \partial r}{2(n+v+1)} = 0,$$

quae posito  $s = -(n+v+1) q$  abit in

$$\partial q + q q \partial r - \frac{a a r^{\frac{-2n-2v-4}{4(n+v+1)}} \partial r - 2(n-v) r^{\frac{-2n-2v-2}{2(n+v+1)}} \partial r}{4(n+v+1)^2} = 0,$$

hicque est

$$u = r^{\frac{-1}{n+v+1}} \text{ et } z = \frac{1}{2} a - (n+v+1) r^{\frac{n+v+2}{n+v+1}} q.$$

### Scholion.

1039. Cum aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u} - a \partial y + \frac{(n+v+1) y}{u} - \frac{(n+1) a y \partial u}{u} = 0,$$

Vol. II.

H h

inte-

integrale fit  $y = f e^{ax} x^n \partial x (a-x)^v$ ; videamus, quomodo haec ipsa aequatio in alias formas transfundi possit. Sit primo  $u = a t^\lambda$ , ideoque  $\partial u = a \lambda t^{\lambda-1} \partial t$ , vnde fit

$$\frac{1}{a \lambda} \partial \cdot \frac{\partial y}{t^{\lambda-1} \partial t} - a \partial y + \frac{(n+v+2) \partial y}{a t^\lambda} - \frac{\lambda(n+1) a y \partial t}{t} = 0 :$$

finatur iam elementum  $\partial t$  constans, eritque

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{a \lambda t^{\lambda-1} \partial t} - \frac{(\lambda-1) \partial y}{a \lambda t^\lambda} - a \partial y + \frac{(n+v+2) \partial y}{a t^\lambda} - \frac{\lambda(n+1) a y \partial t}{t} = 0$$

feu

$$\partial \partial y - a \lambda a t^{\lambda-1} \partial t \partial y + \frac{(\lambda n + \lambda v + \lambda + 1) \partial t \partial y}{t} - a \lambda \lambda (n+1) a t^{\lambda-2} y \partial t^2 = 0,$$

cuius integrale est

$$y = f e^{a t^\lambda} x^n \partial x (a-x)^v. \quad 2$$

Ponatur porro

$$\frac{\partial y}{y} = P \partial t + \frac{\partial z}{z}, \text{ vt fit } z = e^{-\int P \partial t} y, \text{ erit}$$

$$\partial \partial z + 2 P \partial t \partial z - a \lambda a t^{\lambda-1} \partial t \partial z + (\lambda n + \lambda v + \lambda + 1) \frac{\partial t \partial z}{t} + z \partial t \partial P + z \partial t^2 [P P - a \lambda a t^{\lambda-1} P + \frac{(\lambda n + \lambda v + \lambda + 1) P}{t} - a \lambda \lambda (n+1) a t^{\lambda-2}] = 0.$$

Ad terminos elemento  $\partial z$  adfectos tollendos statuatur

$$P = \frac{1}{2} a \lambda a t^{\lambda-1} - \frac{(\lambda n + \lambda v + \lambda + 1)}{2 t},$$

fitque ac prodibit haec aequatio

$$\partial \partial z - z \partial t^2 \left[ \frac{(\lambda n + \lambda v + \lambda v - 1)}{4 t^2} + \frac{1}{2} a \lambda \lambda (n-v) a t^{\lambda-2} + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \lambda^2 a^2 t^{\lambda-1} \right] = 0,$$

cuius propterea integrale est

$$z = e^{-\frac{1}{2} a \lambda a t^\lambda} \frac{\lambda n + \lambda v + \lambda + 1}{t} f e^{a t^\lambda} x^n \partial x (a-x)^v.$$

Quodsi ergo fit  $v = n$ ,  $\lambda \lambda (2n+1)^2 - 1 = 0$ , feu

$$\lambda = \frac{\pm 1}{2n+1}, \text{ et } a = \pm \frac{1}{2} = \pm 2 (2n+1),$$

habebitur haec aequatio

$$\partial \partial z - a a z i^{\frac{+n}{n+1}} - 2 \partial i^{\frac{+n}{n+1}} = 0,$$

cuius integrale est

$$z = e^{-\frac{+n}{n+1}} a i^{\frac{+n}{n+1}} i^{\frac{+1}{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2} f e^{-\frac{+n}{n+1}} (2n+1) i^{\frac{+n}{n+1}} x^n \partial x (a-x)^2.$$

Vel huius aequationis

$$\partial \partial z - a a i^{\lambda-1} z \partial i^{\lambda} = 0$$

integrale est

$$z = e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}} i^{\lambda} f e^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}} i^{\lambda} x^{\frac{+1}{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{2} \partial x (a-x)^{\frac{+1}{\lambda}} - \frac{1}{2};$$

vnde occasionem arripimus huiusmodi integrationes generalius inuestigandi.

### Exemplum 4.

1040. Si fms P et Q functiones quaecunque ipsius u, et capiatur

$$y = P f e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^{n-1} \partial x (a-x)^{n-1},$$

posito scilicet post integrationem  $x = a$ , erit hic valor ipsius y integrale cuiuspiam aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M}{2u} \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} + N y = 0$$

quae quaeritur.

Ad calculum contrahendum ponamus  $\partial P = P' \partial u$  et  $\partial P' = P'' \partial u$ , item  $\partial Q = Q' \partial u$  et  $\partial Q' = Q'' \partial u$ . Hinc erit

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} = P' f e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^{n-1} \partial x (a-x)^{n-1} + P Q' f e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^n \partial x (a-x)^{n-1} \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} = P'' f e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^{n-1} \partial x (a-x)^{n-1} + 2 P' Q' f e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^n \partial x (a-x)^{n-1}$$

$$+ P Q'' f e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^n \partial x (a-x)^{n-1} + P Q' Q' f e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^{n+1} \partial x (a-x)^{n-1}$$

H h a

vnde

vnde colligitur

$$\frac{L \partial \partial z}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M \partial z}{\partial u} + N y = \int e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^{n-1} \partial x (a-x)^{v-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} LP'' + 2LP'Q'x + LPQ''x + LPQ'Q'xx \\ + MP' + MPQ'x + NP \end{array} \right\}$$

quod integrale statuatur  $= e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^n (a-x)^v$ , ita vt euanescatposito  $x = a$ , dum fit  $v > 0$ , vti etiam euanescit casu  $x = 0$  si modo  $n > 0$ . Cum igitur huius formulæ differentiale fit

$$e^{\mathcal{Q}x} x^{n-1} \partial x (a-x)^{v-1} [Qx(a-x) + na - (n+v)x],$$

eius comparatio cum forma inuenta præbet

$$LP'' + MP' + NP = na,$$

$$2LP'Q' + LPQ'' + MPQ' = aQ - (n+v), \text{ et}$$

$$LPQ'Q' = -Q, \text{ ergo } L = \frac{-Q}{PQ'Q'}, \text{ hinc}$$

$$M = \frac{aQ}{PQ'} - \frac{(n+v)}{PQ'} + \frac{P'Q}{PP'Q'Q'} + \frac{Q'Q''}{PQ'Q'Q'} \text{ et}$$

$$N = \frac{na}{P} + \frac{P'Q}{PP'Q'Q'} - \frac{MP'}{P}.$$

sicque æquatio differentio-differentialis erit cognita.

### Corollarium 1.

1041. Si velimus vt fit  $M = 0$ , erit

$$aQ - (n+v) + \frac{P'Q}{PQ'} + \frac{Q'Q''}{Q'Q'} = 0,$$

quæ per  $\frac{\partial \partial u}{Q}$  multiplicata abit in hanc

$$\frac{a \partial P}{P} + a \partial Q - \frac{(n+v) \partial Q}{Q} + \frac{\partial Q'}{Q'} = 0,$$

cuius integrale est

$$\frac{e^a Q P' Q'}{Q^{n+v}} = \text{Const. sine}$$

$$P = C e^{-ia} Q^{\frac{n+v}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\partial u}{\partial Q}}.$$

Co-



## Corollarium 2.

1042. Sit  $Q = 2 a u^\lambda$ , erit  $Q' = 2 a \lambda u^{\lambda-1}$ , et

$$P = C e^{-a a u^\lambda} u^{\frac{\lambda(n+v-1)+1}{2}}, \text{ hinc}$$

$$L = -\frac{1}{2 a \lambda \lambda} e^{a a u^\lambda} u^{\frac{-\lambda(n+v-1)+3}{2}}, \text{ et}$$

$$N = \frac{2a}{P} + \frac{Q \partial \partial P}{P F \sigma Q^2}, \text{ at' est}$$

$$\frac{Q}{\partial Q} = \frac{u^{-\lambda+1}}{2 a \lambda \lambda \partial u^2}, \text{ et ob}$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{P} = -a \lambda a u^{\lambda-1} \partial u + \frac{\lambda(n+v-1)+1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{u}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial P}{P} = -a \lambda (\lambda-1) a u^{\lambda-2} \partial u^2 - \frac{\lambda(n+v-1)-1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial u^2}{u u} + a a \lambda \lambda a a u^{\lambda-2} \partial u^2 \\ - a \lambda a [\lambda(n+v-1)+1] + \frac{[\lambda(n+v-1)+1]^2}{4}$$

feu

$$\frac{\partial \partial P}{P} = a a \lambda \lambda a a u^{\lambda-2} \partial u^2 - a \lambda \lambda (n+v) a u^{\lambda-2} \partial u^2 + \frac{\lambda \lambda (n+v-1)^2 - 1}{4} \cdot \frac{\partial u^2}{u u},$$

hinc

$$n a + \frac{Q}{\partial Q} \cdot \frac{\partial \partial P}{P} = \frac{1}{2} a a a u^\lambda + \frac{1}{2} (n-v) a + \frac{\lambda \lambda (n+v-1)^2 - 1}{2 a \lambda \lambda} u^{-\lambda}, \text{ et}$$

$$N = e^{a a u^\lambda} u^{\frac{-\lambda(n+v-1)-1}{2}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} a a a u^\lambda + \frac{1}{2} (n-v) a + \frac{\lambda \lambda (n+v-1)^2 - 1}{2 a \lambda \lambda} u^{-\lambda} \right].$$

## Corollarium 3.

1043. Hinc erit

$$\frac{N}{L} = -2 a \lambda \lambda u^{\lambda-2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} a a a u^\lambda + \frac{1}{2} (n-v) a + \frac{\lambda \lambda (n+v-1)^2 - 1}{2 a \lambda \lambda} u^{-\lambda} \right],$$

et huius aequationis

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} = y \left[ a a \lambda \lambda a a u^{\lambda-2} + a \lambda \lambda (n-v) a u^{\lambda-2} + \frac{\lambda \lambda (n+v-1)^2 - 1}{2 a \lambda \lambda} \right]$$

integrale est

$$y = e^{-a a u^\lambda} u^{\frac{\lambda(n+v-1)+1}{2}} \int e^{2 a u^\lambda} x^{n-1} \partial x (a-x)^{-1/2}.$$

Ponamus  $a = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,

$$\lambda(n - \nu) = f, \text{ seu } \nu = n - \frac{f}{\lambda}, \text{ et } \frac{\lambda\lambda(n + \nu - 1)^2 - 1}{4} = g,$$

unde fit

$$n = \frac{f + \lambda + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2\lambda} \text{ et } \nu = \frac{-f + \lambda + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2\lambda},$$

et huius aequationis

$$\partial \partial y = y \partial u^{\lambda} (a a u^{\lambda - 2} + a f u^{\lambda - 2} + g u^{-2})$$

integrale est

$$y = e^{\frac{-a}{\lambda} u^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{\lambda(n + \nu - 1) + 1}{2}} \int e^{\frac{a x}{\lambda}} u^{\lambda} x^{n - 1} \partial x (a - x)^{\nu - 1}, \text{ seu}$$

$$y = e^{\frac{-a}{\lambda} u^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{1 + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2}} \int e^{\frac{a x}{\lambda}} u^{\lambda} x^{\frac{f - \lambda + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2\lambda}} \partial x (a - x)^{\frac{-f - \lambda + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2\lambda}}.$$

### Corollarium 4.

1044. Si ponamus  $a = \frac{-1}{\lambda}$ ,

$$\lambda(n - \nu) = -f, \text{ et } \frac{\lambda\lambda(n + \nu - 1)^2 - 1}{4} = g \text{ erit}$$

$$n = \frac{-f + \lambda + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2\lambda} \text{ et } \nu = \frac{f + \lambda + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2\lambda},$$

unde huius aequationis, quae cum praecedente conuenit,

$$\partial \partial y = y \partial u^{\lambda} (a a u^{\lambda - 2} + a f u^{\lambda - 2} + g u^{-2})$$

integrale erit

$$y = e^{\frac{a}{\lambda} u^{\lambda}} u^{\frac{1 + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2}} \int e^{\frac{-a x}{\lambda}} u^{\lambda} x^{\frac{-f - \lambda + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2\lambda}} \partial x (a - x)^{\frac{f - \lambda + \sqrt{(1 + 4g)}}{2\lambda}}$$

vbi necesse est fit  $n > 0$  et  $\nu > 0$ .

### Exemplum 5.

1045. Si ponamus  $y = f \partial x (a a - x x)^{\nu - 1} \text{ cof. } a u^{\lambda} x$ ,  
 posito post integrationem  $x = a$ , ut  $y$  aequetur certae functioni  
 ipsius  $u$ , inuenire aequationem differentio-differentialem, cui ea sa-  
 tisfaciat.

Cum

Cum fit

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} = -a \lambda u^{\lambda-1} f x \partial x (a a - x x)^{\nu-1} \sin. a u^\lambda x, \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} = f x \partial x (a a - x x)^{\nu-1} [-x \lambda (\lambda-1) u^{\lambda-2} \sin. a u^\lambda x - a a \lambda \lambda u^{2\lambda-2} x \text{ cof. } a u^\lambda x].$$

$$\text{Hinc erit } \frac{L \partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M \partial y}{\partial u} + N y =$$

$$f(a a - x x)^{\nu-1} \partial x \left\{ \begin{array}{l} N \text{ cof. } a u^\lambda x - a \lambda M u^{\lambda-1} x \sin. a u^\lambda x - a \lambda (\lambda-1) L u^{\lambda-2} x \sin. a u^\lambda x \\ - a a \lambda \lambda L u^{2\lambda-2} x x \text{ cof. } a u^\lambda x. \end{array} \right\}$$

Fingatur integrale  $= (a a - x x)^\nu \sin. a u^\lambda x$ , quod euanescit posito tam  $x = 0$  quam  $x = a$ , reperiturque comparatione instituta

$$L = \frac{u^{-\lambda+2}}{a \lambda \lambda}, \quad M = \frac{2 \lambda \nu - \lambda + 1}{a \lambda \lambda} u^{-\lambda+1}, \quad N = a a a u^\lambda.$$

Quare huius aequationis

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} + (2 \lambda \nu - \lambda + 1) \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} + a a \lambda \lambda a a u^{\lambda-2} y = 0$$

integrale est

$$y = f \partial x (a a - x x)^{\nu-1} \text{ cof. } a u^\lambda x.$$

## Corollarium 1.

1046. Si ergo fit  $\nu = \frac{\lambda-1}{2\lambda}$  et  $a = \xi$ , huius aequationis

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} + a a u^{\lambda-2} y = 0$$

integrale est

$$y = f \partial x (a a - x x)^{\frac{-\lambda-1}{2\lambda}} \text{ cof. } \xi u^\lambda x,$$

si quidem post integrationem statuatur  $x = a$ , integrali ita sumto ut euanescat posito  $x = 0$ .

## Corollarium 2.

1047. Si igitur fit  $\frac{-\lambda-1}{2\lambda} = i$  numero integro, seu  $\lambda = \frac{-1}{2i+1}$ , huius aequationis

 $\partial \partial y$

$$\partial \partial y + a a u^{\frac{-1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} y \partial u^2 = 0$$

integrale est

$$y = f \partial x (a a - x x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos. \frac{1}{2} u^2 x$$

quod reuera exhiberi potest. Prodeunt scilicet casus integra-  
biles supra indicati.

### Scholion.

1048. Cum posuerimus  $y = f V \partial x$ , existente  $V$  functio-  
ne quacunque ipsarum  $u$  et  $x$ , quarum autem in hac integra-  
tione sola  $x$  vt variabilis tractatur, non opus est absolute in-  
tegrale ita determinari, vt euanescat posito  $x = 0$ , sed sufficit  
vt certo quodam casu  $x = b$  euanescat, quo facto si porro  
ponatur  $x = a$ , vt  $y$  aequetur functioni cuiusdam ipsius  $u$ , quam  
per quadraturas assignare licet, quandoquidem hic integratio-  
nem formularum simplicium nobis concedi iure postulamus.  
Atque hic valor ipsius  $y$  per  $u$  expressus integrale exhibet cu-  
iusdam aequationis differentio - differentialis

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial u \partial y + N y \partial u^2 = U \partial u^2,$$

vbi autem necesse est, vt haec formula

$$f \partial x [L (\frac{\partial \partial V}{\partial u^2}) + M (\frac{\partial V}{\partial u}) + N V]$$

integrari actu possit, quod integrale itidem ita est capiendum,  
vt euanescat posito  $x = b$ , tum vero posito  $x = a$ , id fiat  
 $= U$ .

### Problema 131.

1049. Si fuerint  $P$  et  $Q$  functiones ipsius  $x$ , et  $K$   
functio ipsius  $u$ , ac ponatur

$$y = f P \partial x (K + Q)^2,$$

integrali ita sumto vt euanescat casu  $x = b$ , tum vero statua-  
tur  $x = a$ , vt pro  $y$  prodeat functio ipsius  $u$ , inuenire aequa-  
tio-

tionem differentio - differentialem inter  $y$  et  $u$ , cui ille valor ipsius  $y$  satisfaciatur.

## Solutio.

Sit  $\partial K = K' \partial u$  et  $\partial K' = K'' \partial u$ , et ob

$$y = f(K+Q)^n P \partial x, \text{ erit } \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = f n K' (K+Q)^{n-1} P \partial x,$$

ac denuo differentiando

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} = f [n K'' (K+Q)^{n-1} + n(n-1) K' K' (K+Q)^{n-2}] P \partial x,$$

vnde si  $L, M, N$  denotent functiones ipsius  $u$ , erit haec expressio  $\frac{L \partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{M \partial y}{\partial u} + N y = f P \partial x (K+Q)^{n-2} \times$

$$\begin{aligned} & [N(K+Q)^2 + nMK'(K+Q) + nLK''(K+Q) + n(n-1)LK'K'] \\ & = f P \partial x (K+Q)^{n-2} \left\{ NKK + nMKK' + nLK'' + n(n-1)LK'K' \right\} \\ & \quad \left\{ + 2NKQ + nMK'Q + nLK''Q + NQQ \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

quae cum debeat esse integrabilis, statuatur integrale

$$= R(K+Q)^{n-1} + \text{Const.}$$

ita vt euanescat posito vt ante  $x = b$ , vbi  $R$  sit functio ipsius  $x$  tantum. Cuius formae differentiale quia est

$$(K+Q)^{n-2} [K \partial R + Q \partial R + (n-1)R \partial Q],$$

oportet sit

$$\begin{aligned} & [NKK + nMKK' + nLK'' + n(n-1)LK'K'] P \partial x \\ & + (2NK + nMK' + nLK'') PQ \partial x + NPQQ \partial x \\ & = K \partial R + Q \partial R + (n-1)R \partial Q. \end{aligned}$$

Hic ergo duplicis generis termini adesse debent, alii ab  $u$  plane liberi, alii vero functione  $K$  affecti, quos deinceps seorsim aequari conueniet. Hunc in finem ponamus

$$NKK + nMKK' + nLK'' + n(n-1)LK'K' = A + \alpha K,$$

$$2NK + nMK' + nLK' = B + \beta K, \text{ et}$$

$$N = C + \gamma K.$$

Ex binis prioribus elidendo M colligitur

$$- N K K + n(n-1) L K' K' = A + \alpha K - B K - \beta K K,$$

vnde ob  $N = C + \gamma K$ , concluditur

$$L = \frac{A + (n-B)K - (\beta - \gamma)K + \gamma K^2}{n(n-1)K' K'},$$

hincque

$$M = \frac{B + \beta K - \gamma K - n L K''}{n K'},$$

ita vt ex functione K litterae L, M et N, determinantur, dum A,  $\alpha$ , B,  $\beta$ , C,  $\gamma$  constantes quascunque denotant. Nunc autem superest vt efficiatur

$$(A + \alpha K) P \partial x + (B + \beta K) P Q \partial x + (C + \gamma K) P Q Q \partial x \\ = K \partial R + Q \partial R + (n-1) R \partial Q,$$

vnde duplicis generis terminos seorsim aequando, fit

$$P \partial x (A + B Q + C Q Q) = Q \partial R + (n-1) R \partial Q \\ P \partial x (\alpha + \beta Q + \gamma Q Q) = \partial R,$$

ideoque

$$\frac{A + B Q + C Q Q}{\alpha + \beta Q + \gamma Q Q} = Q + \frac{(n-1) R \partial Q}{\partial R}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{(n-1) R \partial Q}{\partial R} = \frac{A + (B - \alpha) Q + (C - \beta) Q Q - \gamma Q^2}{\alpha + \beta Q + \gamma Q Q}, \text{ ergo}$$

$$\frac{\partial R}{R} = \frac{(n-1) \partial Q (\gamma + \beta Q + \gamma Q Q)}{A + (B - \alpha) Q + (C - \beta) Q Q - \gamma Q^2},$$

vnde ex functione Q functio R definitur: tum vero erit

$$P \partial x = \frac{(n-1) R \partial Q}{A + (B - \alpha) Q + (C - \beta) Q Q - \gamma Q^2}.$$

Abest iam integrale illud  $R (K + Q)^{n-1} + \text{Const.}$  in functionem U, posito  $x = a$ , ac valor initio assumtus

$$y = \int \frac{(n-1) R \partial Q (K + Q)^n}{A + (B - \alpha) Q + (C - \beta) Q Q - \gamma Q^2},$$

erit integrale huius aequationis differentio-differentialis

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial u \partial y + N y \partial u^2 = U \partial u^2.$$

Corol-

## Corollarium 1.

1050. Cum pro Q functio quaecunque ipsius  $x$  accipi possit, nihil impedit, quo minus sumamus  $Q = x$ . Tum igitur quaeri oportet R ex hac aequatione

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial x} = \frac{(n-1)\partial x(x+\beta x+\gamma x x)}{A+(B-a)x+(C-\beta)xx-\gamma x^3},$$

eritque pro K functio quaecunque ipsius  $u$  assumta

$$y = (n-1) \int \frac{R \partial x (K+x)^n}{A+(B-a)x+(C-\beta)xx-\gamma x^3},$$

in quo, integrali ita sumto vtposito  $x = b$  euanescat, deinceps statui debet  $x = a$ .

## Corollarium 2.

1051. Ex functione autem K aequatio differentio-differentialis ita formatur, vt sit

$$L = \frac{A-(B-a)K+(C-\beta)KK+\gamma K^2}{1(n-1)\partial K^2} \partial u^2,$$

$$M = \frac{B-(C-\beta)K-\gamma K^2}{n\partial K} \partial u - \frac{L\partial\partial K}{\partial u\partial K}, \text{ et } N = C+\gamma K.$$

Deinde in expressione  $R(K+x)^{n-1} + \text{Const.}$  ita constituta, vtposito  $x = b$  euanescat, ponatur  $x = a$ , et functio ipsius  $u$  inde resultans vocetur  $U$ , eritque aequatio differentio-differentialis

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial u \partial y + N y \partial u^2 = U \partial u^2.$$

## Corollarium 3.

1052. Si expressio  $R(K+x)^{n-1} + \text{Const.}$  ita sit comparata, vt utroque casu  $x = b$  et  $x = a$  euanescat, seu potius hi termini integrationis ita constituantur, vt hoc eueniat, formula pro  $y$  assumta satisfaciet huic aequationi

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial u \partial y + N y \partial u^2 = 0,$$

quae si deinceps in alias formas transmutetur, earum quoque integralia assignari poterunt.

## Problemam 132.

1053. Si fuerint  $P$ ,  $Q$  functiones ipsius  $x$ , at  $K$  functio ipsius  $u$ , ac ponatur  $y = \int e^{KQ} P \partial x$ , integrali ita sumto vt euanescat casu  $x = b$ , tum vero ponatur  $x = a$ , et  $y$  aequabitur functioni ipsius  $u$ , quae satisfaciet cuipiam aequationi differentio-differentiali, quam inuenire oportet.

## Solutio.

Cum fit  $y = \int e^{KQ} P \partial x$ , erit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial u} = \int e^{KQ} K' P Q \partial x, \text{ et } \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} = \int e^{KQ} P \partial x (K'' Q + K' K' Q Q),$$

vnde fit

$$L \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial u^2} + M \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} + N y = \int e^{KQ} P \partial x (N + MK' Q + LK'' Q + LK' K' Q Q),$$

cuius integrale statuatur  $e^{KQ} R + \text{Const.}$  quae expressio euanescat posito  $x = b$ , fierique oportet

$$\partial R + K R \partial Q = P \partial x [N + (MK' + LK'') Q + LK' K' Q Q],$$

et ob rationes ante allegatas faciamus

$LK' K' = A + \alpha K$ ,  $MK' + LK'' = B + \beta K$ ,  $N = C + \gamma K$ ,  
eritque

$$L = \frac{A + \alpha K}{K' K'} \text{ et } M = \frac{B + \beta K}{K'} - \frac{1}{K'},$$

atque obtinebimus has aequationes

$$\partial R = P \partial x (C + B Q + A Q Q),$$

$$R \partial Q = P \partial x (\gamma + \beta Q + \alpha Q Q),$$

vnde colligitur

$$\frac{\partial R}{K} = \frac{\partial Q (C + B Q + A Q Q)}{\gamma + \beta Q + \alpha Q Q},$$

inuentaque functione  $R$ , erit

$$P \partial x = \frac{R \partial Q}{\gamma + \beta Q + \alpha Q Q},$$

ita vt fit



$$y = f e^{Kx} \frac{R \partial Q}{\gamma + \beta Q + \alpha Q Q}$$

Si iam expressio  $e^{Kx} R + \text{Const.}$  posito  $x = a$  abeat in functionem  $U$ , aequatio differentio-differentialis, cui hoc integrale conuenit, erit

$$L \partial \partial y + M \partial u \partial y + N y \partial u^2 = U \partial u^2.$$

## Corollarium 1.

1054. Hic pro  $Q$  scribere licet  $x$  vt ante, vnde fit

$$\frac{\partial R}{K} = \frac{\partial x (C + Bx + Ax^2)}{\gamma + \beta x + \alpha x x}, \text{ et } y = f e^{Kx} \cdot \frac{R \partial x}{\gamma + \beta x + \alpha x x},$$

et  $U$  oritur ex forma  $e^{Kx} R + \text{Const.}$  posito  $x = a$ . Valor autem ipsius  $R$ , pro ratione coefficientium  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  varias formas induere potest.

## Corollarium 2.

1055. Pro  $K$  autem quaecunque functio ipsius  $u$  accipi potest, a cuius indole aequatio differentio-differentialis pendet. Erit autem

$$L = \frac{A + \gamma K}{\partial K^2} \partial u^2,$$

$$M = \frac{B + \beta K}{\partial K} \partial u - \frac{(A + \alpha K) \partial u \partial \partial K}{\partial K^2}, \text{ et } N = C + \gamma K,$$

vnde aequatio differentio-differentialis est

$$\frac{(A + \gamma K) \partial \partial y}{\partial K^2} + \frac{(B + \beta K) \partial y}{\partial K} - \frac{(A + \alpha K) \partial \partial K \partial y}{\partial K^2} + (C + \gamma K) y = U.$$

## Corollarium 3.

1056. Cum hic etiam  $u$  ex calculo excedat, perinde est cuiusmodi functio eius pro  $K$  assumatur, quin etiam sine detrimento amplitudinis poni potest  $K = u$ , dummodo ratio elementi, quod constans assumitur, habeatur.

## Scholion 1.

1057. Si ergo sumatur  $K = u$ , atque elementum  $\partial u$  sumatur constans, vt fiat  $\partial \partial K = 0$ , hinc ista aequatio con-

strui potest

$$\frac{(A + \alpha u) \partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} + \frac{(B + \beta u) \partial y}{\partial u} + (C + \gamma u) y = U,$$

existente  $U$  eiusmodi functione ipsius  $u$ , quam descripsimus. Simili autem modo ex praecedente problemate construi potest haec aequatio

$$[A - (B - \alpha)u + (C - \beta)uu + \gamma u^2] \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial u^2} + (n - 1)[B - (2C - \beta)u - 2\gamma uu] \frac{\partial y}{\partial u} + n(n - 1)(C + \gamma u)y = U,$$

quae aequae late patere est censenda, ac si functionem quamcunque ipsius  $u$  loco  $K$  scripsissemus. Hinc enim loco  $u$  scribendo functionem quamcunque ipsius  $t$ , ac  $\partial t$  pro constante sumendo, omnes illae formae derivari possunt. Ex quo haec aequatio multo latius patet illa, quam supra in genere per series infinitas resolvimus. Plerumque autem hae aequationes ita sunt comparatae, ut earum integratio aliis methodis expediri haud possit, quocirca haec methodus omnino digna videtur, ad quam ulterius excolendam Geometrae omnes vires intendant.

### Scholion 2.

1058. In investigatione huiusmodi constructionum ita sum versatus, ut primo quasi per coniecturam formulam quandam differentialem  $fV \partial x = y$ , in qua  $V$  erat certa functio ipsarum  $u$  et  $x$ , ubi autem  $u$  ut constans spectabatur, assumeram, indeque dato ipsi  $x$  valore tributo pertigerim ad aequationem differentio-differentialem inter  $u$  et  $y$ , cui formula illa assumpta satisfaceret. Hic autem observandum est illam formulam integram non prorsus ab arbitrio nostro pendere, sed certa quadam indole praeditam esse debere, ut evolutione facta res perducatur ad aequationem differentialem secundi gradus. Quamdiu autem hanc electionem soli coniecturae permittimus, perpaucae huiusmodi formulae menti se offerunt, quae

quae ad scopum propositum perducunt: multoque minus sperare licet, vt hoc modo vnquam ad datam aequationem differentio-differentialem perueniamus, casuique potissimum tribuendae videntur constructiones, quas hic tradidimus. Cum igitur longissime adhuc simus remoti a solutione problematis, quo proposita quadam aequatione differentio-differentiali quaeritur formula illa eius integrationem suppeditans, quod problema, an vnquam solutionem sit nacturum, admodum incertum videtur; eo magis opera est adhibenda, vt saltem pro casibus particularibus inuestigationem formulae integrantis ex indole aequationis propositae deriuare conemur, sicque quodam modo viam ad solutionem directam paremus. Ad hoc autem series infinitae, per quas huiusmodi aequationes supra resolvere docuimus, vtiliter adhiberi possunt; vnde in sequenti capite methodum exponam ex serie infinita solutionem cuiuspiam aequationis differentio-differentialis continente, formulam illam integram inuestigandi.

---

## CAPVT XI.

DE

CONSTRVCTIONE AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIO-  
DIFFERENTIALIVM EX EARVM RESOLVTIONE  
PER SERIES INFINITAS PETITA.

## Problema 133.

1059.

**P**roposita serie infinita

$$A + B s + C s^2 + \dots + M s^{i-1} + N s^i + \text{etc.}$$

in qua fit  $B = \frac{om+b}{in+k} A$ ,  $C = \frac{im+b}{in+k} B$ ,  $D = \frac{im+b}{in+k} C$ , et in genere  $N = \frac{(i-1)m+b}{in+k} M$ , eius summam per formulam integram exprimere.

## Solutio.

Ponatur summa quaesita  $= z$ , ita vt fit

$$z = A + B s + C s^2 + D s^3 + \dots + M s^{i-1} + N s^i + \text{etc.}$$

critque differentiando

$$\frac{s \partial z}{\partial s} = 0A + 1B s + 2C s^2 + 3D s^3 + \dots + (i-1) M s^{i-1} + i N s^i + \text{etc.}$$

ex cuius combinatione cum praecedente oritur

$$\frac{m s \partial z}{\partial s} + b z = b A + (m+b) B s + (2m+b) C s^2 + \dots$$

$$+ [(i-1)m+b] M s^{i-1} + (im+b) N s^i + \text{etc.}$$

Deinde vero etiam simili modo est

$$\frac{n s \partial z}{\partial s} + k z = k A + (n+k) B s + (2n+k) C s^2 + \dots$$

$$+ [(i-1)n+k] M s^{i-1} + (in+k) N s^i + \text{etc.}$$

ergo

ergo ob

$(n+k)B = bA$ ,  $(2n+k)C = (m+b)B$ , etc. erit

$$\frac{n s \partial z}{\partial s} + k z = k A + b A s + (m+b) B s^2 + (2m+b) C s^3 + \text{etc.}$$

vnde manifesto conficitur

$$\frac{n s \partial z}{\partial s} + k z = k A + \frac{m s \partial n}{\partial s} + b s z, \text{ feu}$$

$$s \partial z (n - m s) + z \partial s (k - b s) = k A \partial s$$

hoc est

$$\partial z + \frac{z \partial s (k - b s)}{s(n - m s)} = \frac{k A \partial s}{s(n - m s)}.$$

Cum nunc fit

$$\frac{\partial s (k - b s)}{s(n - m s)} = \frac{k \partial s}{n s} + \frac{(m k - n b) \partial s}{n(n - m s)},$$

aequatio ista integrabilis fit multiplicata per

$$\frac{k}{s^n} (n - m s)^{\frac{n b - m k}{m n}}, \text{ proditque}$$

$$(n - m s)^{\frac{n b - m k}{m n}} \frac{k}{s^n} z = A k f s^{\frac{k}{n} - 1} \partial s (n - m s)^{\frac{n b - m k}{m n} - 1},$$

quod integrale ita capi oportet, vt posito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ ,  
quo obseruato habebimus

$$z = A k s^{-\frac{k}{n}} (n - m s)^{\frac{m k - n b}{m n}} \int s^{\frac{k}{n} - 1} \partial s (n - m s)^{\frac{n b - m k}{m n} - 1}.$$

### Corollarium I.

1060. Peculiari solutione eget casus  $m = 0$ , quo fit

$$\partial z + \frac{z \partial s (k - b s)}{n s} = \frac{\Lambda k \partial s}{n s},$$

quae per  $\frac{k}{s^n} e^{-\frac{b s}{n}}$  multiplicata praebet

$$e^{-\frac{b s}{n}} \frac{k}{s^n} z = \frac{\Lambda k}{n} \int e^{-\frac{b s}{n}} s^{\frac{k}{n} - 1} \partial s$$

ideoque

Vol. II.

K k

z =

$$z = \frac{\Lambda k}{n} e^{\frac{b s}{n}} s^{-\frac{k}{n}} \int e^{-\frac{b s}{n}} s^{\frac{k}{n}} - 1 \partial s,$$

integrali ita sumto, vt fiat  $z = A$  posito  $s = 0$ .

### Corollarium 2.

1061. Casus etiam  $n = 0$  seorsim resolui debet, aequatio enim

$$\partial z + z \partial s \left( \frac{b s - k}{m s s} \right) = - \frac{\Lambda k \partial s}{m s s}$$

multiplicari debet per  $s^{\frac{b}{m}} e^{\frac{k}{m s}}$ , et inuenitur integrale

$$\frac{k}{e^{m s}} \frac{b}{s^m} z = - \frac{\Lambda k}{m} \int e^{m s} \frac{k}{s^m} - 2 \partial s,$$

ideoque

$$z = - \frac{\Lambda k}{m} e^{-\frac{k}{m s}} s^{-\frac{b}{m}} \int e^{m s} \frac{k}{s^m} - 2 \partial s.$$

### Corollarium 3.

1062. Si fuerit et  $m = 0$  et  $n = 0$ , ob  $N = \frac{b}{k} M$ , series nostra erit geometrica, aequatio vero nostra erit

$$z \partial s (k - b s) = A k \partial s \text{ seu } z = \frac{\Lambda k}{k - b s},$$

vti natura rei manifesto postulat.

### Scholion.

1063. Imprimis hic casus notari meretur, quo est  $k = 0$ , et summa  $z$  sine signo integrali exprimi potest; erit namque

$$(n - m s)^{\frac{b}{m}} z = \text{Const.}$$

et quia si  $s = 0$ , fieri debet  $z = A$ , erit  $\text{Const.} = A n^{\frac{b}{m}}$ , ideoque

$$z = A n^{\frac{b}{m}} (n - m s)^{-\frac{b}{m}}, \text{ seu } z = A \left( \frac{n}{n - m s} \right)^{\frac{b}{m}},$$

vel

vel etiam

$$z = A \left( x - \frac{ms}{n} \right)^{\frac{-b}{m}}$$

At vero integratio etiam succedit casu quo  $k = n$ , erit enim

$$(n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 1} s z = A n f \partial s (n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 2},$$

quod integrale est = Const.  $-\frac{A n (n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 1}}{b - m}$ , et quia posito

$s = 0$ , fit  $z = A$ , erit  $0 = \text{Const.} - \frac{A}{b - m} \cdot n^{\frac{b}{m}}$ , hincque

$$z = \frac{A n}{(b - m) s} \left[ \left( \frac{n}{n - ms} \right)^{\frac{b}{m} - 1} - 1 \right] = \frac{A n}{(b - m) s} \left[ \left( x - \frac{ms}{n} \right)^{\frac{b}{m} - 1} - 1 \right].$$

Porro perspicuum est, integrationem expediri posse casu  $k = 2n$ , quo cum fit

$$(n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 2} s s z = 2 A n f s \partial s (n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 3},$$

erit hoc integrale

$$= \text{Const.} - \frac{2 A n s}{b - 2m} (n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 2} + \frac{2 A n}{b - 2m} f \partial s (n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 2} \text{ vel}$$

$$= \text{Const.} - \frac{2 A n s}{b - 2m} (n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 2} - \frac{2 A n (n - ms)^{\frac{b}{m} - 1}}{(b - m)(b - 2m)};$$

vbi Const. =  $\frac{2 A n^{\frac{b}{m}}}{(b - m)(b - 2m)}$ , ideoque

$$z = \frac{2 A n n}{(b - m)(b - 2m) s} \left[ \left( \frac{n}{n - ms} \right)^{\frac{b}{m} - 2} - 1 - \frac{(b - 2m)s}{n} \right],$$

similique modo etiam integratio casibus  $k = 3n$ ,  $k = 4n$  etc. absoluetur.

### Problema 134.

1064. Proposita huiusmodi serie infinita

$$A \mathfrak{A} + B \mathfrak{B} u + C \mathfrak{C} u^2 + D \mathfrak{D} u^3 + \dots + M \mathfrak{M} u^{i-1} + \mathfrak{N} u^i + \text{etc.}$$

K k 2

coeffi-

coefficientium lege existente

$$B = \frac{0m+b}{1n-k}A, C = \frac{1m+b}{2n+k}B, D = \frac{2m+b}{3n+k}C \dots N = \frac{(f-1)m+b}{fn+k}M, \\ \mathfrak{B} = \frac{0\mu+\eta}{1\nu+\theta}\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{C} = \frac{1\mu+\eta}{2\nu+\theta}\mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{D} = \frac{2\mu+\eta}{3\nu+\theta}\mathfrak{C} \dots \mathfrak{N} = \frac{(f-1)\mu+\eta}{f\nu+\theta}\mathfrak{M},$$

eius summam per formulam integram exprimere.

### Solutio.

Posita summa

$$y = A\mathfrak{A} + B\mathfrak{B}u + C\mathfrak{C}u^2 + D\mathfrak{D}u^3 + E\mathfrak{E}u^4 + \text{etc.}$$

consideretur series hoc modo formata

$$z = A + Bux + Cu^2x^2 + Du^3x^3 + Eu^4x^4 + \text{etc.}$$

cuius summa posito  $ux = s$  est, vt modo inuenimus

$$z = A k s^{-\frac{k}{n}} (n-m s)^{\frac{mk-nb}{mn}} f s^{\frac{k}{n}-1} \partial s (n-m s)^{\frac{nb-mk}{mn}-1},$$

integrali ita determinato, vt posito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ .

Formetur huiusmodi formula integralis

$$V = fPz\partial x = fP\partial x (A + Bux + Cu^2x^2 + Du^3x^3 + \text{etc.})$$

in qua  $u$  spectetur vt constans, pro  $P$  autem eiusmodi funcio ipsius  $x$  accipiatur, vt fiat

$$fPx\partial x = \frac{\mathfrak{B}}{\mathfrak{A}} fP\partial x, fPx^2\partial x = \frac{\mathfrak{C}}{\mathfrak{A}} fPx\partial x, fPx^3\partial x = \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\mathfrak{A}} fPx^2\partial x, \text{etc.}$$

postquam scilicet in his integralibus data lege sumtis variabili  $x$  datus quidem valor fuerit tributus. Cum igitur hinc sit

$$fPx\partial x = \frac{\mathfrak{B}}{\mathfrak{A}} fP\partial x, fPx^2\partial x = \frac{\mathfrak{C}}{\mathfrak{A}} fP\partial x, fPx^3\partial x = \frac{\mathfrak{D}}{\mathfrak{A}} fP\partial x, \text{etc.}$$

erit

$$V = (A + \frac{\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{B}}{\mathfrak{A}}u + \frac{\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{C}}{\mathfrak{A}}u^2 + \frac{\mathfrak{D}\mathfrak{D}}{\mathfrak{A}}u^3 + \text{etc.}) fP\partial x,$$

vnde patet fore

$$y = \frac{V}{fP\partial x} = \frac{fPz\partial x}{fP\partial x}.$$

Quare cum valor ipsius  $z$  sit cognitus, tantum superest, vt funcio  $P$  ipsius  $x$  conditionibus memoratis praedicta inuestigetur.



tur. In genere autem esse oportet

$$\int P x^i \partial x = \frac{\eta}{\theta} \int P x^{i-1} \partial x = \frac{(i-1)\mu + \eta}{i\nu + \theta} \int P x^{i-1} \partial x,$$

quae aequalitas cum sufficiat, vt tantum certo quodam casu, quo ipsi  $x$  datus tribuitur valor, subsistat, ponamus in genere esse

$$(i\nu + \theta) \int P x^i \partial x = [(i-1)\mu + \eta] \int P x^{i-1} \partial x + x^i Q,$$

ita vt pro terminis integralibus sit  $Q = 0$ . Differentiando ergo habebimus

$$(i\nu + \theta) P x^i \partial x = (i\mu - \mu + \eta) P x^{i-1} \partial x + x^i \partial Q + i x^{i-1} Q \partial x,$$

seu per  $x^{i-1}$  diuidendo

$$(i\nu + \theta) P x \partial x = (i\mu - \mu + \eta) P \partial x + x \partial Q + i Q \partial x,$$

quae aequalitas cum pro omnibus valoribus ipsius  $i$  aequo subsistere debeat, hinc duas adipiscimur aequationes

$$\nu P x \partial x = \mu P \partial x + Q \partial x, \text{ et } \theta P x \partial x = (\eta - \mu) P \partial x + x \partial Q;$$

vnde duplici modo colligimus

$$P \partial x = \frac{Q \partial x}{\nu x - \mu}, \text{ et } P \partial x = \frac{x \partial Q}{\theta x - (\eta - \mu)},$$

sicque alterum valorem per alterum diuidendo

$$\frac{x \partial Q}{Q \partial x} = \frac{\theta x + \mu - \eta}{\nu x - \mu}, \text{ seu } \frac{\partial Q}{Q} = \frac{\partial x (\theta x + \mu - \eta)}{x (\nu x - \mu)},$$

quae euoluitur in

$$\frac{\partial Q}{Q} = \frac{\eta - \mu}{\mu x} \partial x + \frac{\mu \theta + \mu \nu - \eta \nu}{\mu (\nu x - \mu)} \partial x;$$

hinc integrando elicitur

$$Q = x^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} (\nu x - \mu)^{\frac{\theta \mu - \eta \nu}{\mu \nu}} + \text{I per Const. mult.}$$

seu

$$Q = -x^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} (\mu - \nu x)^{\frac{\theta \mu - \eta \nu}{\mu \nu}} + \text{I},$$

vnde fit

$$P \partial x = x^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} \partial x (\mu - \nu x)^{\frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu\nu}}.$$

Cum igitur fit

$$(i\nu + \theta) \int P x^i \partial x = [(i-1)\mu + \eta] \int P x^{i-1} \partial x \\ - x^{i + \frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} (\mu - \nu x)^{\frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu\nu}} + 1,$$

si haec integralia ita capiantur, ut euanescent posito  $x = 0$ , tum vero statuatur  $x = \frac{\mu}{\nu}$ , fiet uti hypothesis nostra postulat

$$\int P x^i \partial x = \frac{(i-1)\mu + \eta}{i\nu + \theta} \int P x^{i-1} \partial x;$$

at vero in hunc finem necesse est, ut fit

$$i + \frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1 > 0 \text{ et } \frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu\nu} + 1 > 0.$$

Quae conditio si locum habeat, seriei propositae summa ita exprimetur, ut fit

$$y f x^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} \partial x (\mu - \nu x)^{\frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu\nu}} = 2 f x^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} z \partial x (\mu - \nu x)^{\frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu\nu}},$$

existente

$$z = A k s^{\frac{-k}{n}} (n - m s)^{\frac{mk - nb}{mn}} f s^{\frac{k}{n} - 1} \partial s (n - m s)^{\frac{nb - mk}{mn} - 1},$$

integrali hoc ita sumto, ut fiat  $z = A$  posito  $s = 0$ . Hoc autem integrali inuento, pro  $s$  scribatur  $ux$ , et hoc valore ipse  $z$  in illa formula substituto, quantitatem  $u$  tanquam constantem tractari oportet, quoad illae integrationes lege praescripta fuerint absolutae, tum enim pro  $y$  prodibit functio ipse  $u$ , summam seriei propositae exprimens.

### Corollarium. I.

1065. Quia in geminatis coefficientibus nostrae seriei similis lex progressionis assumitur, singulas series A, B, C, D etc. et  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ , etc. inter se permutare licet, unde hac methodo duplex formula summam seriei exprimens obtinetur.

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

1066. Etsi functio  $Q$  non in calculum ingreditur, eam tamen nosse oportet, quoniam ex eius indole termini integrationis constitui debent, ita vt pro vtroque fiat  $Q = 0$ . Hi scilicet termini sunt  $x = 0$  et  $x = \frac{\mu}{\nu}$ , dum fuerit

$$i + \frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1 > 0 \text{ et } \frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu\nu} + 1 > 0,$$

vbi  $i$  est numerus integer posituus.

## Corollarium 3.

1067. Pro functione  $Q$  casus quo vel  $\mu = 0$  vel  $\nu = 0$  seorsim sunt euoluendi. Priore quo  $\mu = 0$ , est

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x(\theta x - \eta)}{\nu x x} = \frac{\theta \partial x}{\nu x} - \frac{\eta \partial x}{\nu x x}, \text{ vnde fit}$$

$$Q = e^{\frac{\eta}{\nu x}} x^{\frac{\theta}{\nu}}.$$

Posteriori quo  $\nu = 0$ , est

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x(\theta x - \mu - \eta)}{-\mu x} = -\frac{\theta \partial x}{\mu} + \frac{\eta - \mu}{\mu} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{x},$$

ideoque

$$Q = e^{-\frac{\theta x}{\mu}} x^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1}.$$

## Scholion.

1068. Constructiones hoc modo adornandae prorsus similes sunt iis, quas capite praecedente tradidimus, cum res etiam ad formulam integram huiusmodi  $\int V \partial x$  reducatur, in qua  $V$  est functio binarum variabilium  $u$  et  $x$ , quarum illa autem  $u$  in ipsa integratione constans reputatur, post integrationem vero ipsi  $x$  datus quidam valor assignatur. Verum tamen haec constructio ad casus in superiori methodo non contentos extenditur, quandoquidem fieri potest, vt quantitas  $z$  functiones maxime transcendentes inuoluat. Vicissim autem vidimus, methodum praecedentem ad eiusmodi aequationes appli-

applicari posse, quae per séries, quales hic tractamus, euolui nequeant, unde in Analyfin ex hoc fonte haud contemnenda incrementa hauriri posse videntur.

### Problema 135.

1069. Proposita aequatione differentio-differentiati

$x x (a + b x^n) \partial \partial y + (c + e x^n) \partial x \partial y + (f + g x^n) y \partial x^2 = 0$ ,  
valorem ipsius  $y$  per formulam integralem construere.

### Solutio I.

Hanc aequationem supra (967.) ita in seriem euoluimus, vt posito

$$y = x^\lambda (A + B x^n + C x^{2n} + D x^{3n} + E x^{4n} + \text{etc.}),$$

primo exponenti  $\lambda$  tribui debeat radix huius aequationis

$$\lambda (\lambda - 1) a + \lambda c + f = 0,$$

tum vero posito breuitatis gratia

$$\lambda (\lambda - 1) b + \lambda e + g = b \text{ fit}$$

$$B = \frac{-b}{n [n a + (2\lambda - 1) a + c]} A,$$

$$C = \frac{-n n b - (2\lambda - 1) n b - n e - b}{2n [2n a + (2\lambda - 1) a + c]} B,$$

$$D = \frac{-4n n b - 2(2\lambda - 1) n b - 2n e - b}{3n [3n a + (2\lambda - 1) a + c]} C,$$

ideoque, illius seriei positis binis terminis contiguus indefinite  
 $M x^{(i-1)n} + N x^{in}$ , generaliter

$$N = \frac{-(i-1)^2 n n b - (2\lambda - 1)(i-1) n b - (i-1) n e - b}{i n [i n a + (2\lambda - 1) a + c]} M,$$

vbi cum denominator iam habeat factores, quales ante assumimus, numeratorem quoque in factores resoluamus, quo nihilo aequali posito inuenitur

$$(i-1)n = -\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda - 1) - \frac{e}{2b} \pm \sqrt{\left[\frac{1}{4}(2\lambda - 1)^2 + \frac{(\lambda - 1)e}{2b} + \frac{e e}{4b^2} - \frac{b}{b}\right]},$$

seu

$$(i-1)$$

$$(i-1)n = -\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1) - \frac{e}{2b} \pm \frac{1}{2b} \sqrt{[(b-e)^2 - 4bg]}.$$

Ponatur breuitatis gratia

$$\sqrt{[(b-e)^2 - 4bg]} = q,$$

vt fit

$$(i-1)n = \frac{-(2\lambda-1)b-e+q}{2b},$$

et nostra relatio fiet

$$N = \frac{-[(i-1)nb + \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)b + \frac{1}{2}e - \frac{1}{2}q][(i-1)nb + \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)b + \frac{1}{2}e + \frac{1}{2}q]}{inb [ina + (2\lambda-1)a + c]} M.$$

Ponamus iam  $x^n = u$ , et seriem inuentam ita repraesentemus

$$\frac{y}{x^\lambda} = A \mathfrak{A} + B \mathfrak{B}u + C \mathfrak{C}u^2 + \dots + M \mathfrak{M}u^{i-1} + N \mathfrak{N}u^i + \text{etc.}$$

horumque duplicium coefficientium lex ita se habebit

$$N = \frac{(i-1)nb + \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)b + \frac{1}{2}e - \frac{1}{2}q}{-inb} M \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{N} = \frac{(i-1)nb + \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)b + \frac{1}{2}e + \frac{1}{2}q}{ina + (2\lambda-1)a + c} \mathfrak{M}.$$

Cum igitur haec series similis sit ei, quam ante construximus, comparationem instituamus, et habebimus

$$m = nb, \quad b = \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)b + \frac{1}{2}e - \frac{1}{2}q,$$

$$n = -nb, \text{ et } k = 0:$$

$$\mu = nb, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)b + \frac{1}{2}e + \frac{1}{2}q,$$

$$\nu = na, \text{ et } \theta = (2\lambda-1)a + c.$$

Primum ergo quaeramus quantitatem  $z$ , et ne littera  $x$  ambiguitatem creet, loco litterae  $x$  in praecedente problemate usurpatae utamur littera  $t$ , sitque  $ut = s$ , et quia est  $k = 0$ , erit per §. 1063.

$$z = A(x+s) \frac{-(2\lambda-1)b-e+q}{2nb} = A(x+ut) \frac{-(2\lambda-1)b-e+q}{2nb}.$$

Vol. II.

L I

Hoc

Hoc valore inuento tractetur in sequentibus integrationibus quantitas  $u$  vt constans, et cum quod supra erat  $y$  hic sit  $\frac{y}{x^\lambda}$ , et  $z$  quod supra erat  $x$ , erit

$$\frac{y}{x^\lambda} t^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} \partial t (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta \mu - \eta \nu}{\mu \nu}} = \mathfrak{A} f t^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} z \partial t (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta \mu - \eta \nu}{\mu \nu}},$$

vbi cum sit  $u = x^n$ , hic valor statim pro  $u$  scribi potest, vt sit

$$z = A (x + x^n t)^{\frac{-(\lambda + 1)b - c + g}{\lambda n b}},$$

et in his integrationibus littera  $x$  vt constans spectari debet. Quodsi autem fuerit

$$i + \frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1 > 0 \text{ et } \frac{\theta \mu - \eta \nu}{\mu \nu} + 1 > 0,$$

haec integralia ita capi debent, vt euanescant posito  $t = 0$ , quo facto ipsi  $t$  tribui debet valor  $t = \frac{\mu}{\nu} = \frac{b}{a}$ . Cum vnitas sit minimus valor ipsius  $i$ , sufficit vt sit

$$\frac{(\lambda + 1)b + c - g}{\lambda n b} > 0, \text{ tum vero } \frac{(\lambda + 1)ab + \lambda c - a\theta - a\eta}{\lambda n ab} + 1 > 0.$$

Nunc vero cum

$$f t^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} \partial t (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta \mu - \eta \nu}{\mu \nu}},$$

fiat quantitas constans, pro  $y$  autem eius multipulum quoduis nostrae aequationi aequae satisfaciatur, eius integrale ita exprimetur

$$y = C x^\lambda f t^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} z \partial t (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta \mu - \eta \nu}{\mu \nu}},$$

existente

$$z = (x + x^n t)^{\frac{-(\lambda + 1)b - c + g}{\lambda n b}}.$$

### Solutio II.

1071. Si coefficientes geminatos inter se permute-  
mus, vt sit

$m =$

$$\begin{aligned} m &= nb, & b &= \frac{1}{i}(2\lambda - 1)b + \frac{1}{i}e + \frac{1}{i}q, \\ n &= na, & k &= (2\lambda - 1)a + c, \\ \mu &= nb, & \eta &= \frac{1}{i}(2\lambda - 1)b + \frac{1}{i}e - \frac{1}{i}q, \\ \nu &= -nb, & \theta &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

sumaturque  $\lambda$  ex aequatione

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = 0.$$

Primo ponatur  $x^n t = s$ , et quaeratur  $z$  vt sit

$$z = A k s^{\frac{-k}{n}} (n - ms)^{\frac{mk - nb}{m^2}} f s^{\frac{k}{n} - 1} \partial s (n - ms)^{\frac{n - m}{m^2} - 1},$$

integrali ita definito, vt posito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ , qui quidem valor  $A$  est arbitrarius, tum spectata  $x$  vt constante erit

$$y = C x^\lambda f s^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} z \partial s (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta \mu - \eta \nu}{\mu \nu}},$$

integrali ita sumto vt euanescat posito  $t = 0$ ; tum vero facto  $t = \frac{\mu}{\nu}$ , si modo fuerit  $\frac{\eta}{\mu} > 0$  et  $1 - \frac{\eta}{\mu} > 0$ , ob  $\theta = 0$ ; vbi notetur  $z$  per hanc aequationem differentialem definiri

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{A k - \pi(k - b s)}{s(n - m s)}.$$

### Solutio III.

1072. Per seriem descendente aequationem propositam ita resolvimus, vt posito

$$y = x^\lambda (A + B x^{-n} + C x^{-2n} + D x^{-3n} + \text{etc.})$$

exponens  $\lambda$  definiri debeat ex hac aequatione

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)b + \lambda e + g = 0,$$

tum vero posito  $\lambda(\lambda - 1)a + \lambda c + f = b$ , sit

$$B = \frac{-b}{n \{ n b - (2\lambda - 1)b - e \}} A,$$

$$C = \frac{-n n - (2\lambda - 1)n - e - b}{2n \{ 2n b - (2\lambda - 1)b - e \}} B,$$

et generatim

$$N = \frac{-(i-1)^2 n a + (2\lambda-1)(i-1)na + (i-1)c - b}{in[ia b - (2\lambda-1)b - c]} M,$$

quae aequalitas posito  $\sqrt{(a-c)^2 - 4af} = p$ , ita per factores exhibetur

$$N = \frac{-[i-1]n a - \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)a - \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}p}{inu[ia b - (2\lambda-1)b - c]} M.$$

Quodsi iam ponamus  $x^{-n} = u$ , et talem seriem constituamus

$$\frac{y}{x^\lambda} = A \mathfrak{A} + B \mathfrak{B} u + C \mathfrak{C} u^2 + \dots + M \mathfrak{M} u^{i-1} + N \mathfrak{N} u^i + \text{etc.}$$

erit

$$N = \frac{(i-1)na - \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)a - \frac{1}{2}c - \frac{1}{2}p}{-ina} M, \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{N} = \frac{(i-1)na - \frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)a - \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}p}{inu - (2\lambda-1)b - c} \mathfrak{M},$$

et habebimus comparatione instituta cum constructione generali

$$m = na, \quad b = -\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)a - \frac{1}{2}c - \frac{1}{2}p,$$

$$n = -na, \text{ et } k = 0,$$

$$\mu = na, \quad \eta = -\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda-1)a - \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}p,$$

$$\nu = nb, \text{ et } \theta = -(2\lambda-1)b - c.$$

Hinc posito  $s = ut = x^{-n} t$ , erit

$$(z = A (t + s)^{\frac{-b}{m}} = A (t + x^{-n} t)^{\frac{-b}{m}},$$

quo valore inuento, si iam sola quantitas  $t$  pro variabili habeatur, orietur haec constructio

$$y = C x^\lambda f t^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} z \partial t (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu\nu}},$$

vbi termini integrationis ita sunt constituendi, vt vtroque fiat

$$t^{\frac{\eta}{\mu}} (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu\nu}} + 1 = 0.$$

Solu-



## Solutio IV.

1703. Hic etiam coefficientes geminati permutari possunt, vt sit

$$m = na, \quad b = -\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda - 1)a - \frac{1}{2}c + \frac{1}{2}p,$$

$$n = nb, \quad k = -(2\lambda - 1)b - c,$$

$$\mu = na, \quad \eta = -\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda - 1)a - \frac{1}{2}c - \frac{1}{2}p,$$

$$\nu = -na, \quad \text{et } \theta = 0,$$

sumtoque vt ante  $\lambda$  ex aequatione

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1)b + \lambda e + g = 0,$$

et posito  $\sqrt{[(a - c)^2 - 4af]} = p$ , sit  $s = x^{-n}t$ , et quaeratur  $z$  ex hac aequatione

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{\lambda k - z(k - bs)}{s(n - ms)},$$

ita vt posito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ , vnde fit

$$z = A k s^{\frac{-k}{n}} (n - ms)^{\frac{mk - nb}{m^2}} \int s^{\frac{k}{n} - 1} \partial s (n - ms)^{\frac{nb - mk}{m^2} - 1},$$

tum vero spectata  $x$  vt constante, erit

$$y = C x^\lambda \int t^{\frac{\eta}{\mu} - 1} z \partial t (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu^2}},$$

vbi bini termini integrationis ita sumi debent, vt vtroque fiat

$$\frac{\eta}{\mu} (\mu - \nu t)^{\frac{\theta\mu - \eta\nu}{\mu^2} + 1} = 0.$$

## Scholion.

1074. Singulae hae constructiones plerumque pluribus modis exhiberi possunt, cum non solum  $\lambda$  duplicem valorem habere queat, sed etiam formulae radicales  $p$  et  $q$  signo ambiguo gaudeant. At hae constructiones alio modo negotium conficiunt atque praecedentes, quod quo clarius appareat, consideremus aequationem

$$x x (1 - x x) \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} - x (1 + x x) \frac{\partial x \partial y}{\partial x} + x x y \frac{\partial x^2}{\partial x^2} = 0;$$

ita vt sit  $a = 1$ ,  $b = -1$ ,  $c = -1$ ,  $e = -1$ ,  $f = 0$  et  $g = 1$ ,  
atque  $n = 2$ ; vnde pro duabus prioribus constructionibus ha-  
betur  $\lambda(\lambda - 1) - \lambda = 0$ , ergo vel  $\lambda = 0$  vel  $\lambda = 2$ , tum  
vero  $q = \pm 2$ . Constructio ergo prima dat  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $m = -2$ ,  
 $b = \mp 1$ ,  $n = 2$ ,  $k = 0$ ,  $\mu = -2$ ,  $\eta = \pm 1$ ,  $\nu = 2$ ,  $\theta = -2$ ;  
vnde colligitur

$$z = (1 + x x t)^{\mp \frac{1}{2}}, \text{ et } y = C f t^{\mp \frac{1}{2} - 1} z \partial t (1 + t)^{\mp \frac{1}{2} - 1}.$$

Valeant signa inferiora, vt sit

$$z = \sqrt{(1 + x x t)} \text{ et } y = C f \frac{x \partial t}{(1 + t) \sqrt{(1 + t)}}.$$

Quae formulae quomodo satisfaciant, videamus. Sumta nempe  
sola  $x$  variabili, fit

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{x t}{\sqrt{(1 + x x t)}} \text{ et } \frac{\partial \partial z}{\partial x^2} = \frac{t}{(1 + x x t)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

hinc

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = C f \frac{x t \partial t}{t^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + t)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 + x x t)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ et } \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} = C f \frac{t \partial t}{t^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + t)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 + x x t)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

vnde conficitur

$$x x (1 - x x) \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} - x (1 + x x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + x x y = C f \frac{x x \partial t (1 - x x t)}{t^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + t)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 + x x t)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

cuius formulae integrale est  $\frac{x C x x \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{(1 + t)} (1 + x x t)}$ ; quod cum euanes-  
cat tam casu  $t = 0$  quam casu  $t = \infty$ , constructio nostrae ac-  
quisitionis

$$y = C f \frac{x \partial t}{(1 + t) \sqrt{(1 + t)}} = C f \frac{\sqrt{t} \sqrt{1 + x x t}}{(1 + t) \sqrt{(1 + t)}},$$

ita confici debet; sumto  $x$  pro constante, integratio ita insti-  
tuatur, vt integrale euanescat posito  $t = 0$ , quo factio statua-  
tur

tur  $t = \infty$ , et functio ipsius  $x$ , quae pro  $y$  prodibit, satisfaci-  
et aequationi propositae.

Sin autem secundam constructionem eligamus, sumta  
 $\lambda = 0$ , erit  $m = -2$ ,  $b = \pm 1$ ,  $n = 2$ ,  $k = -2$ ,  $\mu = -2$ ,  
 $\eta = \mp 1$ ,  $\nu = 2$ ,  $\theta = 0$ , atque  $z$  ita definiri debet ex hac  
aequatione

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{-sA + x(x+1)}{s(x^2 - 2s)},$$

existente  $s = xxt$ , utposito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ .

Valeat signum superius, et cum sit

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{-s \partial s (x+1)}{s^2 (x+1)} = \frac{-A \partial s}{s(x+1)};$$

multiplicetur per  $\frac{\sqrt{(x+1)}}{s}$ , eritque integrale

$$\frac{s \sqrt{(x+1)}}{s} = (\text{const.} - A) \int \frac{\partial s}{s \sqrt{(x+1)}}, \text{ seu}$$

$$z = A - \frac{As}{\sqrt{(x+1)}} \int \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{s}} + \frac{Bs}{\sqrt{(x+1)}},$$

quaeposito  $s = 0$  dat  $z = A$ , quicquid sit  $B$ . Deinde est

$$y = Cfs^{\frac{1}{2}-x} z \partial s (x+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ seu } y = Cfs^{\frac{x \partial s}{\sqrt{(x+1)}}},$$

qui valor quomodo satisfaciat haud facile ostendi potest; hoc-  
que magis ista methodus excoli meretur.

### Exemplum.

1075. *Constructiones aequationis differentio-differentialis*  
 $xx(1-xx) \partial \partial y - x(x+xx) \partial x \partial y + xxy \partial x^2 = 0$   
*ex praecedente problemate oriundas exhibere.*

Ob  $n = 2$ ,  $a = 1$ ,  $b = -1$ ,  $c = -1$ ,  $e = -1$ ,  $f = 0$ , et  
 $g = 1$ , pro prima constructione habemus vel  $\lambda = 0$  vel  $\lambda = 2$ ,  
vnde obtinemus.

1.) Si  $\lambda = 0$ , ut modo inuenimus

$$m = -2, b = \mp 1, n = 2, k = 0, \mu = -2, \eta = \pm 1, \\ \nu = 2, \theta = -2, \text{ vnde fit}$$

$z =$

$$z = (1 + xxt)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ et } y = Cft^{\frac{1}{2}-1} z \partial t (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-1},$$

ſicque duplex oritur constructio

$$\text{altera } z = \sqrt{1 + xxt} \text{ et } y = Cf \frac{z \partial t}{(1+xxt)(1+t)},$$

$$\text{altera } z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+xxt}} \text{ et } y = Cf \frac{z \partial t}{t \sqrt{1+xxt}}.$$

2.) Si  $\lambda = 2$ , erit ob  $q = \pm 2$ ,

$$m = -2, b = -2 \mp 1, n = 2, k = 0, \mu = -2,$$

$$\eta = -2 \pm 1, \nu = 2, \theta = 2,$$

vnde fit

$$z = (1 + xxt)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ et } y = Cx^2 f t^{+1-\frac{1}{2}-1} z \partial t (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

ſicque duplex habetur constructio

$$\text{altera } z = (1 + xxt)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ et } y = Cx^2 f \frac{z \partial t \sqrt{1+t}}{\sqrt{t}},$$

$$\text{altera } z = (1 + xxt)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ et } y = Cx^2 f \frac{z \partial t \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{1+t}},$$

Pro ſecunda constructioe generali habemus:

3.) Si  $\lambda = 0$ , permutando illos indices,

$$m = -2, b = \pm 1, n = 2, k = -2, \mu = -2, \eta = \mp 1,$$

$$\nu = 2, \theta = 0,$$

vnde poſito  $xxt = s$ , primo quaeratur  $z$  ex hac aequatione  
 $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{-zA + z(n+s)}{s^2(1+s)}$ , vt poſito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ ; tum vero erit

$$y = Cft^{\frac{1}{2}-1} z \partial t (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hinc ergo nascitur duplex constructio

$$\text{altera } \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{-zA + z(n+s)}{s^2(1+s)} \text{ et } y = Cf \frac{z \partial t}{\sqrt{t}(1+t)},$$

$$\text{altera } \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{-zA + z(n+s)}{s^2(1+s)} \text{ et } y = Cf \frac{z \partial t \sqrt{1+t}}{t \sqrt{t}}.$$

4.) Si  $\lambda = 2$  habebimus

$$m = -2, b = -2 \pm 1, n = 2, k = 2, \mu = -2,$$

$$\eta = -2 \mp 1, \nu = 2, \theta = 0,$$

poſito-

positoque  $x x t = s$ , vt ante, quaeratur  $z$  ex aequatione

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{n A - z [n + (n+1)t]}{n s (x+s)}, \text{ eritque}$$

$$y = C x^s f t^{\frac{1}{2}} z \partial t (x+t)^{\frac{-n+1}{2}};$$

ideoque etiam duplex constructio elicitur

$$\text{altera } \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{n A - z (n+s)}{n s (x+s)} \text{ et } y = C x^s f \frac{z \partial t \sqrt{t}}{(x+t) \sqrt{t(x+t)}}$$

$$\text{altera } \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{n A - z (n+3s)}{n s (x+s)} \text{ et } y = C x^s f \frac{z \partial t}{\sqrt{t(x+t)}}.$$

Ex solutione tertia colligimus primo

$$-\lambda(\lambda - 1) - \lambda + 1 = 0 \text{ seu } \lambda \lambda = 1,$$

ideoque

$$\lambda = \pm 1 \text{ et } p = \sqrt{4} = \pm 2; \text{ quare}$$

5.) si capiatur  $\lambda = +1$ , erit

$$m = 2, b = -1, n = -2, k = 0, \mu = 2, \eta = +1, \\ \nu = -2, \theta = 2,$$

hincque

$$z = \left(x + \frac{t}{xx}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ et } y = C x f t^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{-1} z \partial t (x+t)^{-1+\frac{1}{2}},$$

ita vt iterum duplex habeatur constructio

$$\text{altera } z = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(xx+t)} \text{ et } y = C x f \frac{z \partial t}{(x+t) \sqrt{t(x+t)}}$$

$$\text{altera } z = \frac{x}{\sqrt{(xx+t)}} \text{ et } y = C x f \frac{z \partial t}{t \sqrt{t(x+t)}}.$$

6.) Si capiatur  $\lambda = -1$  erit

$$m = 2, b = 2-1, n = -2, k = 0, \mu = 2, \eta = 2+1, \\ \nu = -2, \theta = -2,$$

hincque

$$z = \left(x + \frac{t}{xx}\right)^{-1+\frac{1}{2}} \text{ et } y = \frac{c}{x} f t^{\frac{1}{2}} z \partial t (x+t)^{\frac{-1}{2}},$$

vnde binæ constructiones fluunt

Vol. II.

M. m

altera

$$\text{altera } z = \frac{x}{\sqrt{(x x + t)}} \text{ et } y = \frac{C}{x} \int \frac{z \partial t \sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{(x + t)}}$$

$$\text{altera } z = \frac{x^2}{(x x + t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \text{ et } y = \frac{C}{x} \int \frac{z \partial t \sqrt{(x + t)}}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Ex solutione quarta denique concludimus

7.) Si  $\lambda = +1$ ,

$$m = 2, b = \pm 1, n = -2, k = 2, \mu = 2, \eta = \mp 1, \\ \nu = -2, \theta = 0.$$

Posito nunc  $s = \frac{t}{x}$ , quaeratur  $z$  ex hac aequatione

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{-2A + z(2 \mp s)}{2s(1+s)},$$

vt posito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ , tumque erit

$$y = C x f t^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} - 1 z \partial t (x + t)^{\pm \frac{1}{2}},$$

vnde duplex constructio

$$\text{altera } \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{-2A + z(2 - s)}{2s(1+s)} \text{ et } y = C x f \frac{z \partial t \sqrt{(x+t)}}{t \sqrt{t}}$$

$$\text{altera } \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{-2A + z(2 + s)}{2s(1+s)} \text{ et } y = C x f \frac{z \partial t}{\sqrt{t(x+t)}}.$$

8. Si  $\lambda = -1$ , habebitur

$$m = 2, b = 2 \pm 1, n = -2, k = -2, \mu = 2, \\ \eta = 2 \mp 1, \nu = -2, \theta = 0,$$

at posito  $\frac{t}{zx} = s$ , quaeri debet  $z$  ex hac aequatione

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \frac{+2A - z[2 + (2 \mp 1)s]}{2s(1+s)},$$

vt posito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ , quo facto erit

$$y = \frac{C}{x} f t^{\mp \frac{1}{2}} z \partial t (x + t)^{-1 \pm \frac{1}{2}};$$

ficque duplex oritur constructio

altera

$$\begin{aligned} \text{altera } \frac{\partial z}{\partial s} &= \frac{2A - z(1+3s)}{2s(1+s)} \text{ et } y = \frac{C}{x} \int \frac{z \partial t}{\sqrt{t(1+t)}} \\ \text{altera } \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} &= \frac{2A - z(1+s)}{2s(1+s)} \text{ et } y = \frac{C}{x} \int \frac{z \partial t \sqrt{t}}{(1+t)\sqrt{1+t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Omnino ergo sedecim constructiones fumus confecuti.

### Scholion.

1076. Periculum facimus ostendendi, quomodo hae constructiones, quae magis arduae videntur, aequationi propositae satisfaciant; atque in hunc finem eligamus constructionem posteriorem No. 4. quae habet

$$\partial z + \frac{z \partial s(1+3s)}{2s(1+s)} = \frac{A \partial s}{s(1+s)},$$

haec per  $s \sqrt{1+s}$  multiplicata praebet integrale

$$\begin{aligned} sz \sqrt{1+s} &= A \int \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{1+s}} = 2A \sqrt{1+s} + B, \text{ feu} \\ z &= \frac{2A}{s} + \frac{B}{s \sqrt{1+s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Iam ut posito  $s = 0$  fiat  $z = A$ , debet esse  $B = -2A$ , ut sit

$$z = \frac{2A[\sqrt{1+s}-1]}{s\sqrt{1+s}} = \frac{2A}{sx} - \frac{2A}{2sx\sqrt{1+1xx}}.$$

Hinc fit

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right) &= \frac{-4A}{sx^3} + \frac{2A(2+3txx)}{sx^3(1+txx)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \text{ et} \\ \left(\frac{\partial \partial z}{\partial x^2}\right) &= \frac{12A}{sx^4} - \frac{6A(2+5txx+4ttx^2)}{sx^4(1+txx)^{\frac{5}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Cum nunc fit  $y = C \int \frac{xxz \partial t}{\sqrt{t(1+t)}}$ , erit

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right) &= 2C \int \frac{xx \partial t}{\sqrt{t(1+t)}} + C \int \frac{xx \partial t}{\sqrt{t(1+t)}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right) \text{ et} \\ \left(\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2}\right) &= 2C \int \frac{z \partial t}{\sqrt{t(1+t)}} + 4C \int \frac{z \partial t}{\sqrt{t(1+t)}} \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\right) + C \int \frac{xx \partial t}{\sqrt{t(1+t)}} \left(\frac{\partial \partial z}{\partial x^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

hincque

$$x(x - xx) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} - x(x + xx) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + xxy = \\ C \int \frac{\partial t}{\sqrt{t(1+t)}} \cdot \left( \frac{2Axx}{t} - \frac{2Axx(1+4txx+3t^2xx)}{t(1+txx)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right),$$

quod integrale est

$$\frac{-4ACxx\sqrt{1+t}}{\sqrt{t}} + \frac{4ACxx\sqrt{1+t}}{(1+txx)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{t}} \\ = \frac{4ACxx\sqrt{1+t}}{\sqrt{t}} \left( \frac{1}{(1+txx)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - 1 \right),$$

et hac forma exprimi potest

$$-2Cx^2 \left[ 3z + x \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \right] \sqrt{t(1+t)}$$

vel etiam hoc modo

$$-2Cx^2 \left( \frac{x^2 + x}{1 - txx} \right) \sqrt{t(1+t)}.$$

Expressio autem ista fit = 0, primo si  $t = -1$ , deinde etiam si  $t = 0$ , vnde valor pro  $y$  inuentus

$$y = D \int \frac{\partial t}{t\sqrt{t(1+t)}} \left( x - \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+txx}} \right)$$

ita per integrationem definiri debet, vt euanescat posito  $t = 0$ ; tum vero ponatur  $t = -1$ . Vel posito  $t = -v$  erit

$$y = D \int \frac{\partial v}{v\sqrt{v(1-v)}} \left( x - \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-vxx}} \right)$$

integrali ita sumto vt euanescat posito  $v = 0$ , tum vero facto  $v = 1$ .

Exemplum hoc sufficit ad ostendendum, quomodo constructiones exhibitae aequationi differentio-differentiali satisficiant; interim vero si quantitas  $z$  transcendenter, per logarithmos scilicet exprimitur, consensum nonnisi per calculos nimium taediosos declarare licet.

Pro-



## Problema 136.

1077. Posito  $y = Cf(x+i)^{\nu-1}(a+ix)^{\lambda} \partial i$ , in qua integratione quantitas  $x$  vt constans spectatur, integrali per terminos deinceps inuestigandos definito, vt  $y$  aequetur certae functioni ipsius  $x$ , inuenire aequationes differentio-differentiales formae

$Lxx \cdot \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + Mx \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + Ny = 0$ ,  
cui ea functio satisfaciatur.

## Solutio.

Cum sit ex principiis ante stabilitis

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = Cf \lambda i (x+i)^{\nu-1} (a+ix)^{\lambda-1} \partial i \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} = Cf \lambda (\lambda-1) i i (x+i)^{\nu-1} (a+ix)^{\lambda-2} \partial i, \text{ erit}$$

$$Lxx \cdot \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + Mx \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + Ny = Cf(x+i)^{\nu-1}(a+ix)^{\lambda-2} \partial i \times$$

$$[\lambda(\lambda-1)Liixx + \lambda M ix + N(a+ix)^2] =$$

$$Cf(x+i)^{\nu-1}(a+ix)^{\lambda-2} \partial i \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Naa + 2Naix + Nixx \\ + \lambda Matx + \lambda M i i x x \\ + \lambda(\lambda-1)Liixx \end{array} \right\}$$

quae formula sumta  $x$  constante absolute integrabilis esse debet. Ponatur ergo integrale

$$C(x+i)^{\nu}(a+ix)^{\lambda-1}(Paa + Qatx)$$

denotantibus  $P$  et  $Q$  functionibus quibuscunque ipsius  $x$ , erit eius differentiale

$$C(x+i)^{\nu-1}(a+ix)^{\lambda-2} \partial i \times$$

$$[\nu(a+ix)(Paa + Qatx) + (\lambda-1)x(x+i)(Paa + Qatx) + Qax(x+i)(a+ix)]$$

$$= C(x+i)^{\nu-1}(a+ix)^{\lambda-2} \partial i \left\{ \begin{array}{l} + \nu Pa^2 \quad + \nu Qaatx \quad + \nu Qattxx \\ + (\lambda-1)Paax + \nu Paatx \quad + (\lambda-1)Qattxx \\ + Qaax \quad + (\lambda-1)Paatx \quad + Qattxx \\ \quad + (\lambda-1)Qatxx \\ \quad + Qaatx \\ \quad + Qatix \end{array} \right\}$$

M m 3

qua

qua forma cum illa comparata, adipiscimur

$$N = \nu P a + (\lambda - 1) P x + Q x$$

$$2 N + \lambda M = (\nu + 1) Q a + (\lambda + \nu - 1) P a + \lambda Q x$$

$$N + \lambda M + \lambda (\lambda - 1) L = (\lambda + \nu) Q a,$$

quarum aequationum extremae demta media praebent

$$\lambda (\lambda - 1) L = -(\lambda - 1) P a + (\lambda - 1) P x + (\lambda - 1) Q a - (\lambda - 1) Q x;$$

hincque

$$\lambda L = (a - x) (Q - P) \text{ seu } L = \frac{1}{\lambda} (a - x) (Q - P),$$

secunda autem demta primae duplo, dat

$$\lambda M = (\lambda - \nu - 1) P a - 2 (\lambda - 1) P x + (\nu + 1) Q a + (\lambda - 2) Q x, \text{ seu}$$

$$\lambda M = [(\nu + 1) a + (\lambda - 2) x] (Q - P) + \lambda (a - x) P.$$

Quare sumtis pro P et Q functionibus quibuscunque ipsius x, si functiones L, M, N ita definiantur, vt sit

$$L = \frac{1}{\lambda} (a - x) (Q - P)$$

$$M = \frac{1}{\lambda} [(\nu + 1) a + (\lambda - 2) x] (Q - P) + (a - x) P$$

$$N = x(Q - P) + (\nu a + \lambda x) P,$$

aequationi differentio - differentiali

$$L x x \partial \partial y + M x \partial x \partial y + N y \partial x^2 = 0$$

satisfaciet formula integralis

$$y = C f (1 + t)^{\nu-1} (a + t x)^{\lambda} \partial t,$$

tractata x vt constante, dummodo integrationis termini ita constituantur, vt viroque haec expressio

$$(1 + t)^{\nu} (a + t x)^{\lambda-1} (P a + Q t x) \text{ euanescat.}$$

Notari autem oportet, hos terminos non ab x pendere debere.

Primo autem patet hanc expressionem fieri = 0 casu  $t = -1$ , si modo sit  $\nu > 0$ . Deinde posito  $t = \infty$  etiam euanescet, si modo sit  $\nu + \lambda - 1 + 1$  numerus negatiuus, seu  $\nu + \lambda < 0$ .

Quocirca si sit  $\nu > 0$  et  $\nu + \lambda < 0$ , integrale

$$y =$$

$$y = C f(x+t)^{\nu-1} (a+tx)^{\lambda} \partial t$$

ita capi debet, vt posito  $t = -x$  euanescat, tum vero statuatur  $t = \infty$ , functioque ipsius  $x$  pro  $y$  resultans satisfaciens aequationi propositae.

### Corollarium 1.

1078. Quoniam functiones P et Q in formulam integram pro  $y$  assumptam non ingrediuntur, manifestum est eandem formulam satisfacere omnibus aequationibus differentio-differentialibus, quicunque valores litteris P et Q tribuantur.

### Corollarium 2.

1079. Sumto ergo  $Q = P$  eadem formula integralis

$$y = C f(x+t)^{\nu-1} (a+tx)^{\lambda} \partial t$$

satisfacit etiam huic aequationi differentiali primi gradus

$$(a-x)x \partial y + (\nu a + \lambda x)y \partial x = 0.$$

Huius vero integrale est

$$y = \frac{D(a-x)^{\lambda+\nu}}{x^{\nu}},$$

qui ergo valor quoque in genere nostrae aequationi differentio-differentiali satisfacit, id quod tentanti mox patebit.

### Corollarium 3.

1080. Hic ergo valor integralis

$$y = C f(x+t)^{\nu-1} (a+tx)^{\lambda} \partial t$$

secundum terminos definitos sumtus, congruere debet cum formula algebraica  $y = \frac{D(a-x)^{\lambda+\nu}}{x^{\nu}}$ , si modo sit  $\nu > 0$  et

$$\lambda + \nu < 0.$$

Scho-

## Scholion.

1081. Parum ergo integratio hoc problemate exhibita habet in recessu. Verum reductio formulae integralis

$$y = C \int (x+t)^{\nu-1} (a+tx)^{\lambda} dt \text{ ad } y = \frac{D(a-x)^{\lambda+\nu}}{x^{\nu}}$$

eo magis est notatu digna, ad quam illa reducitur, si integrali ita sumto ut euanescat posito  $t = -x$ , ponatur  $t = \infty$ . Posito ergo  $\lambda + \nu = -\mu$ , ut  $\mu$  et  $\nu$  sint numeri positiui, erit

$$C \int \frac{(x+t)^{\nu-1} dt}{(a+tx)^{\mu+\nu}} = \frac{D}{x^{\nu}(a-x)^{\mu}}.$$

Vel ponatur  $x+t = z$ , erit

$$C \int \frac{z^{\nu-1} dz}{(a-x+xz)^{\mu+\nu}} = \frac{D}{x^{\nu}(a-x)^{\mu}},$$

terminis illius integrationis existentibus  $z = 0$  et  $z = \infty$ . Verum etiam haec obseruatio non magni est momenti, nam posito  $a-x = ux$ , fit

$$\frac{C}{x^{\mu+\nu}} \int \frac{z^{\nu-1} dz}{(u+z)^{\mu+\nu}} = \frac{D}{x^{\mu+\nu} u^{\mu}},$$

ideoque haec formula  $\int \frac{z^{\nu-1} dz}{(u+z)^{\mu+\nu}}$  ita integrata ut euanescat

posito  $z = 0$ , si tum ponatur  $z = \infty$ , hanc induet formam  $\frac{A}{u^{\mu}}$ ,

in qua A quantitatem constantem denotat ab  $u$  non pendentem. Pendet autem ab exponentibus  $\mu$  et  $\nu$ , lege ex casibus facile obseruanda. Scilicet posito

$$\int \frac{z^{\nu-1} dz}{(u+z)^{\mu+\nu}} = \frac{A}{u^{\mu}},$$

fi

si fit  $\nu = 1$ , integrale illud praebet  $-\frac{x}{\mu(u+z)^\mu} + \frac{x}{\mu u^\mu}$ , et  
 posito  $z = \infty$ , prodit  $\frac{x}{\mu u^\mu}$ , ita vt hoc casu fit  $A = \frac{x}{\mu}$ . Si  
 fit  $\nu = 2$ , integratio quoque succedit, reperiturque  $A = \frac{x}{\mu(\mu+1)}$   
 si  $\nu = 3$  fit  $A = \frac{1 \cdot 2}{\mu(\mu+1)(\mu+2)}$ , et si  $\nu = 4$  fit

$$A = \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3}{\mu(\mu+1)(\mu+2)(\mu+3)},$$

unde in genere concludimus fore

$$A = \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (\nu-1)}{\mu(\mu+1)(\mu+2) \dots (\mu+\nu-1)}.$$

Quare integratione secundum regulam praescriptam instituta, erit

$$\frac{x}{\mu+1} \cdot \frac{2}{\mu+2} \cdot \frac{3}{\mu+3} \dots \frac{\nu-1}{\mu+\nu-1} = \mu u^\mu \int \frac{z^{\nu-1} dz}{(u+z)^{\mu+\nu}}.$$

Quod si exponents  $\nu$  non fuerit integer, valor ipsius  $A$  ope interpolationis huius formulae per factores procedentis definierit. Quadratura scilicet circuli ingredietur si exponents  $\nu$  fractionem  $\frac{p}{q}$  inuoluat, de huiusmodi autem interpolationibus alibi fusius egimus, neque hic locus est hoc argumentum vberius prosequendi. Restat vltimum huius sectionis caput, quo aequationum differentio-differentialium integratio per approximationes docebitur.

## CAPVT XII.

DE

AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIO-DIFFERENTIALIVM  
INTEGRATIONE PER APPROXIMATIONES.

## Problema 137.

1082.

**P**roposita aequatione differentio-differentiali quacunque, principia explicare, ex quibus integrationem per approximationes peti oportet.

## Solutio.

Verfetur aequatio proposita inter binas variables  $x$  et  $y$ , ac posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$  et  $\partial p = q \partial x$ , dabitur aequatio inter quatuor quantitates  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $p$  et  $q$ , ex qua  $q$  ita definire licebit, ut  $q$  aequetur functioni cuidam trium quantitatum  $x$ ,  $y$  et  $p$ , qua vocata  $= V$ , fit  $q = V$ , seu  $\partial p = V \partial x$ . Hic primo observandum est, integrationem, ut fit determinata, duplicem determinationem requirere, seu duas condiciones quasi pro lubitu praescribi posse, quibus satisficiat. Scilicet non sufficit, ut posito  $x = a$  fiat  $y = b$ , quemadmodum in aequationibus differentialibus primi gradus usu venire vidimus, sed aliam insuper conditionem adiciere licet, quae sit, ut posito  $x = a$  fiat etiam  $p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = c$  quantitati datae. His ergo determinationibus constitutis, ut posito  $x = a$ , fiat  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , totum integrationis negotium huc reducitur, ut ipsi  $x$  alium quemcunque valorem tribuendo, inuestigentur valores respondentes ipsius

ipſus  $y$  et ipſus  $p$ ; hoc enim ſi praefiterimus, aequationis propoſitae integrale perfecte definiuerimus, vt nihil praeterea deſiderari poſſit. Quod cum in genere fieri nequeat, approximationis ratio in hoc conſiſtit, vt ipſi  $x$  valor quam minimum ab  $a$  diſcrepans tribuatur, qui ſit  $x = a + \omega$ , et inquiratur, quantum valores quantitatum  $y$  et  $p$  a primitiuis  $b$  et  $c$  ſint diſcrepaturi. Hic pro principio aſſumimus, dum  $x$  ab  $a$  ad  $a + \omega$  increſcit, etiam quantitatum  $y$  et  $p$  valores tam parum mutatum iri, vt inde functio  $V$  nullam variationem notabilem patiatur. Quare ſi ponamus, ſtatuendo  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , fieri  $V = F$ , eundem valorem  $F$  quantitas  $V$  retinere cenſebitur, dum  $x$  ab  $a$  vsque ad  $a + \omega$  augetur. Cum igitur pro hoc interuallo minimo habeamus  $\partial p = F \partial x$ , erit integrando  $p = Fx + \text{Const}$ . Verum, quia poſito  $x = a$ , fieri debet  $p = c$ , erit  $p = c + Fx - Fa$ . Sit nunc  $x = a + \omega$ , atque habebimus  $p = c + F\omega$ , qui eſt valor ipſius  $p$ , valori  $x = a + \omega$  reſpondens. Denique pro hoc minimo interuallo erit  $\partial y = c \partial x$ , ideoque  $y = b + cx - ac$ , et pro valore  $x = a + \omega$  ſit  $y = b + c\omega$ , qui eſt valor ipſius  $y$  valori  $x = a + \omega$  conueniens. Quocirca ſi valores primitiui ſint  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = \frac{y}{x} = c$ , ex iisque fiat  $V = F$ , ſequentes valores interuallo quam minimo ab illis remoti erunt

$$x = a + \omega, y = b + c\omega, p = c + F\omega,$$

qui ſi porro vt primitiui ſpectentur, ex iis ſimili modo per interuallum quam minimum progredi licet, ſicque tandem progreſſus per interuallum quantumuis magnum innotefcet.

### Corollarium I.

1083. Quo minora capiantur haec interualla, eo minus  $a$  vero aberrabitur, dummodo quantitates  $c$  et  $F$  non ſint nimis magnae, ſi autem eae adeo in infinitum excreſcant, ma-

nifestum est, errorem in quantitibus  $y$  et  $p$  insignem committum iri.

### Corollarium 2.

1084. Si quantitas  $c$  vel  $F$  fiat vehementer magna, intervallum, quo  $y$  vel  $p$  crescit, pro dato accipi potest; itaposito  $c\omega = \psi$  erunt sequentes valores

$$x = a + \frac{\psi}{c}, \quad y = b + \psi \quad \text{et} \quad p = c + \frac{F\psi}{c}.$$

At si  $F$  prodeat quantitas permagna, valor ipsius  $p$  intervallo minimo  $\Phi$  augeri solet, ut sit  $F\omega = \Phi$ , eruntque valores sequentes  $x = a + \frac{\Phi}{F}$ ,  $y = b + \frac{c\Phi}{F}$  et  $p = c + \Phi$ .

### Corollarium 3.

1085. Si  $b$  sit quantitas infinita, pro valore proximo ipsius  $y$  expediet definiiri

$$\frac{1}{y} = \frac{1}{b + c\omega} = \frac{1}{b} - \frac{c\omega}{b^2} = \frac{-c\omega}{b^2},$$

quae expressio ut sit finita, etiam quantitas  $c$  infinita sit oportet; alioquin valor ipsius  $y$  respondens non solum ipsi  $x = a$  sed etiam ipsi  $x = a + \omega$  maneret infinitus.

### Scholion 1.

1086. Quoties solutio alicuius problematis pendet ab integratione cuiuspiam aequationis differentialis secundi gradus, toties condiciones problematis binas determinaciones suppeditare solent; quarum altera, dum ipsi  $x$  certus quidam valor  $x = a$  tribuitur, exiit ut  $y$  datum valorem  $y = b$ , altera vero ut etiam ratio  $\frac{dy}{dx} = p$  datum valorem  $p = c$  consequatur. Quodsi ergo in genere aequationem quandam differentio-differentialem integrare velimus, integrationem ita instituere licet, utposito  $x = a$ , fiat  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , quantitibus  $a$ ,  
 $b$



$b$ ,  $c$  ab arbitrio nostro pendentibus. Interim tamen quandoque vsu venire potest, vt posito  $x = a$ , valores ipsarum  $y$  et  $p$  non penitus ab arbitrio nostro pendeant, sed ex natura aequationis iam datos valores fortiantur, quibus casibus defectus determinationis aliis conditionibus compensatur. Veluti si proponatur haec aequatio

$$x x (a - b x) \partial \partial y - 2 x (2 a - b x) \partial x \partial y \\ + 2 (3 a - b x) y \partial x^2 = 6 a a \partial x^2,$$

quomodocunque ea per integrationem determinetur, posito  $x = 0$  necessario fit  $y = a$  et  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = p = b$ ; ita vt pro casu  $x = 0$  valores quantitatum  $y$  et  $p$  minime arbitrio nostro relinquantur. Integrale autem completum reperitur

$$y = a + b x + \frac{(A + B x) x x}{a - b x},$$

vbi etiam si constantes  $A$  et  $B$  pro lubitu assumantur, tamen semper posito  $x = 0$  prodit  $y = a$  et  $p = b$ . Huiusmodi ergo casibus mirum non est, si pro dato ipsius  $x$  valore, quantitatum  $y$  et  $p$  valores arbitrio nostro haud permittantur.

### Scholion 2.

1087. Exposita ratio aequationes differentio-differentiales per approximationes integrandi, dum per interualla minima progredimur, quemadmodum etiam in aequationibus differentialibus primi gradus fecimus, certis casibus difficultatibus inuoluitur, vt nisi remedium afferatur, in vsum vocari nequeat. Primum hoc euenit, quando  $c = \infty$ , tum enim quantumvis exiguum accipiat interuallum  $\omega$ , neque ipsius  $y$  neque ipsius  $p$  valorem cognoscere licet. Simile quoque incommodum turbat, si positis  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , functio  $V$  fiat infinita, ideoque prodeat  $F = \infty$ , quo casu valor ipsius  $p$  non

definitur. Deinde etiam casus quibus vel  $c$  vel  $F$  euanescit, seorsim tractari conuenit: etsi enim tum valores ipsarum  $y$  et  $p$  satis accurate ostenduntur, tamen quia nullam mutationem patiuntur, dum mutatio altiore ipsius  $\omega$  potestate exprimitur, ipsam mutationem inuestigari uile est, quo in progressu minus a ueritate aberreretur. Sin autem quantitas  $b$  euadat infinita, iam anin aduertimus, loco ipsius  $y$  eius reciprocum  $\frac{1}{y}$  explorari deberi. Quen admodum ergo difficultatibus ante memoratis sit occurrendum, diligentius perpendamus.

### Problema 138.

1088. Si integrationem per interualla instituendo, pro initio cuiuspiam interualli, posito  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$  eueniat, ut quantitas  $c$  sit vel euanescens vel infinita, integrationem per hoc interuallum absolueret.

### Solutio.

Praecedens approximatio dederat  $y = b + c(x - a)$ , unde si  $c = 0$ , incrementum ipsius  $y$  altiore potestate ipsius  $x - a$  exprimeretur, scilicet  $y = b + A(x - a)^\lambda$ , existente  $\lambda > 1$ , reiectisque altioribus potestatibus, quae prae hac ob interuallum  $x - a$  n.imum recte contemnuntur. Sin autem sit  $c = \infty$ , valor ipsius  $y$  simili modo repraesentari potest,  $y = b + A(x - a)^\lambda$ , existente  $\lambda < 1$ , ita tamen ut nihilo sit maior; utroque ergo casu eadem inuestigatio est, ut ex aequatione proposita  $\partial p = V \partial x$  tam coefficientis  $A$  quam exponens  $\lambda$  definiatur. Iam ex illa aequatione deducimus

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = p = \lambda A (x - a)^{\lambda-1} \text{ et} \\ \partial p = \lambda (\lambda - 1) A (x - a)^{\lambda-2} \partial x,$$

ac necesse est, eandem expressionem resultare, si in formula

$$V \partial x$$

$V \partial x$  statuatur

$$y = b + A(x - a)^\lambda \text{ et } p = \lambda A(x - a)^{\lambda - 1},$$

vnde euident est, fore

$$c = 0 \text{ si } \lambda > 1, \text{ et } c = \infty \text{ si } \lambda < 1.$$

Cum iam  $V$  sit functio ipsarum  $x$ ,  $y$  et  $p$ , ponatur vbique  $x = a$ , nisi quatenus formula  $x - a$  inest, quae relinquatur, tunc vero  $y = b$ , nisi hinc prodeat  $V = 0$  vel  $V = \infty$ ; hoc enim si eueniat, pro  $y$  valor  $b + A(x - a)^\lambda$  scribatur, simili- que modo pro  $p$  scribatur  $\lambda A(x - a)^{\lambda - 1}$ . Reiciantur au- tem formulae  $x - a$  potestates altiores prae inferioribus, sic- que pro  $V$  oriatur expressio huius formae  $C(x - a)^\mu$ , quae formulae  $\lambda(\lambda - 1)A(x - a)^{\lambda - 2}$  aequari debet, vnde tam coëfficiens assumtus  $A$  quam exponens  $\lambda$  definitur, ideoque vero proximi valores

$$y = b + A(x - a)^\lambda \text{ et } p = \lambda A(x - a)^{\lambda - 1}$$

innotescunt, qui eo minus a veritate recedent, quo minor dif- ferentia inter  $a$  et  $x$  constituitur. Casus autem quo  $\lambda = 1$  per se est perspicuus, atque in praecedente problemate per- tractatus, cum is sit solus quo quantitas  $c$  finitum nanciscitur valorem.

### Corollarium 1.

1089. Si eueniat vt posito  $c = \infty$ , quo casu esse de- bebat  $\lambda < 1$ , functio  $V$  finitum obtineat valorem, cui formu- la  $\lambda(\lambda - 1)A(x - a)^{\lambda - 2}$  aequari nequit, casus per se nihil habet difficultatis, et valor ipsius  $y$  etiam pro minimo excessu ipsius  $x$  super  $a$  reuera infinitus euadet.

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

1090. Facilius hoc perspicere licet ex exemplo  
 $\partial p = 6x \partial x$ , unde fit

$$p = c + 3xx - 3aa = \frac{2y}{x}, \text{ hincque}$$

$$y = b + (c - 3aa)(x - a) + x^2 - a^2, \text{ seu}$$

$$y = b - ac + 2a^2 + (c - 3aa)x + x^2.$$

Si ergo constans  $c$  sumatur infinita, valor ipsius  $y$  semper erit infinitus solo excepto casu  $x = a$ .

## Corollarium 3.

1091. Sin autem sumto  $c = c$ , quo casu esse debet  $\lambda > 1$ , functio  $V$  finitum habeat valorem eumque adeo constantem, posito  $x = a$  et  $y = b$ , ei formula  $\lambda(\lambda - 1)A(x - a)^{\lambda - 2}$  aequabitur sumendo  $\lambda = 2$ , et  $2A =$  illi valori constanti. Veluti in precedente exemplo fit  $V = 6a = 2A$ , hinc  $A = 3a$ , eritque proxime  $y = b + 3a(x - a)^2$ , quod etiam congruit eum integrali inuento, quod posito  $c = 0$  est

$$y = b + 2a^2 - 3aax + x^2 = b + (x + 2a)(x - a)^2,$$

quae expressio facta  $x = a$  in illam abit.

## Scholion 1.

1092. Posito  $c = 0$  functio  $V$ , si in ea scribatur  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c = 0$ , valorem nanciscetur vel infinite magnam, vel finitum, vel adeo eualescentem. Primo casu, quo fit  $V = \infty$ , ut ei aequali possit  $\lambda(\lambda - 1)A(x - a)^{\lambda - 2}$ , sumto  $x = a$ , necesse est fit  $\lambda < 2$ , existente  $\lambda > 1$ ; ut autem hinc quantitates  $A$  et  $\lambda$  definiantur, in functione  $V$  scribi oportet

$$y = b + A(x - a)^\lambda \text{ et } p = \lambda A(x - a)^{\lambda - 1},$$

itemque  $x = a$ , nisi vbi formula  $x - a$  occurrit; hoc modo  
 quia

quia per hypothesin casu  $x = a$  fit  $V = \infty$ , iste valor prohibet  $= C(x-a)^{-\alpha}$ , quo collato cum  $\lambda(\lambda-1)A(x-a)^{\lambda-2}$  reperientur  $A$  et  $\lambda$ , dum ne prodeat  $\lambda < 1$ , qui casus cum  $c = 0$  subsistere nequit. Secundo casu quo prodit  $V =$  quantitati finitae, capi oportet  $\lambda = 2$ , sin autem tertio casu fit  $V = 0$ , sumi debet  $\lambda > 2$ , ut eius valor in formula

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)A(x-a)^{\lambda-2}$$

contineatur. At si debeat esse  $c = \infty$ , fieri nequit ut vidimus, ut functio  $V$  finitum obtineat valorem, multo minus evanescentem, nisi quidem casus incongruos, quibus  $y$  perpetuo maneat infinita, admittere velimus. Tum igitur functio  $V$  necessario valorem infinitum induit cum formula

$$\lambda(\lambda-1)A(x-a)^{\lambda-2}$$

comparandum, ita ut fit  $\lambda < 1$ . Hinc igitur patet, determinationem quantitatis  $c$  non semper arbitrio nostro relinqui, sed quandoque ex indole ipsius aequationis nobis praescribi. Veluti si proponatur haec aequatio  $\partial \partial y = \frac{a \partial x^2}{(x-a)^2}$ , erit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = p = A - \frac{1}{(x-a)^2} \text{ et } y = B + Ax + \frac{1}{x-a},$$

vnde posito

$$x = a \text{ fit } c = A - \frac{1}{a^2} \text{ et } b = B + Aa + \frac{1}{a}, \text{ ergo}$$

$$A = c + \frac{1}{a^2}, \text{ et } B = b - ac - \frac{a}{a^2} + \frac{1}{a},$$

quare ne aequatio integralis omnino in infinitis versetur, litterae  $b$  et  $c$  non possunt non esse infinitae.

### Scholion 2.

1093. Non autem omnes ordines infinitorum et evanescentium in formula  $(x-a)^\lambda$ , casu  $x = a$ , contineri, iam observauimus; expressio scilicet  $x \propto x$ , casu  $x = 0$ , infinities superat potestatem secundam  $x^2$ , interim tamen infinities minor

Vol. II.

O o

est

est potestate  $x^{\lambda-\alpha}$ , quantumvis etiam exigua fractio  $\alpha$  accipiatur. Quare si in superiori solutione formulas ita instruere velimus, vt ad omnes ordines tam infinitorum quam euanescentium pateant, statui conueniet

$$y = b + A(x-a)^\lambda [l(x-a)]^\mu,$$

vnde fit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = p = \lambda A(x-a)^{\lambda-1} [l(x-a)]^\mu + \mu A(x-a)^\lambda [l(x-a)]^{\mu-1};$$

at posito  $x = a$ , pars prior est ad posteriorem vt  $l(x-a)$  ad 1, hoc est vt  $\infty : 1$ , ex quo sufficit sumsisse

$$p = \lambda A(x-a)^{\lambda-1} [l(x-a)]^\mu,$$

ex quo simili modo colligitur

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \lambda(\lambda-1)A(x-a)^{\lambda-2} [l(x-a)]^\mu,$$

quae expressio cum functione  $V$ , postquam in ea scripserimus  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , seu potius

$$y = b + A(x-a)^\lambda [l(x-a)]^\mu \text{ et } p = \lambda A(x-a)^{\lambda-1} [l(x-a)]^\mu,$$

comparari debet, vt inde tam constans  $A$  quam exponentes  $\lambda$  et  $\mu$  innotescant. Haec ergo tenenda sunt in ista inuestigatione, quo ea ad plures casus extendatur.

### Problema 139.

1094. Approximationem ante expositam accuratius persequi, vt sumtis interuallis etiam paulo maioribus minus a vero aberretur.

### Solutio.

Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , aequatio differentio-differentialis hac forma  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = V$  exhibeatur, ex qua ante  $p$  ita definiuimus, quasi  $V$  esset quantitas constans pro interuallo saltem vehementer paruo, vnde obtinuimus  $p = c + V(x-a)$ , postquam scilicet in  $V$

in  $V$  posuerimus  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , qui sunt valores primitiui per interuallum  $x - a = \omega$  retinendi. Cum autem functio  $V$  interea non sit constans, quia  $x$ ,  $y$  et  $p$  inuoluit, reuera erit

$$p = c + V(x - a) - f(x - a) \partial V.$$

Ponamus igitur

$$\partial V = P \partial x + Q \partial y + R \partial p,$$

vt fit

$$\partial V = (P + Qp + RV) \partial x,$$

et nunc quantitatem  $P + Qp + RV$  vt constantem spectemus, cuius valor prodeat ponendo  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , quo facto  $V$  in  $F$  abire supra sumimus, eritque

$$p = c + F(x - a) - \frac{1}{2}(P + Qc + RF)(x - a)^2.$$

Hinc porro ob  $\partial y = p \partial x$ , fit

$$y = b + c(x - a) + \frac{1}{2}F(x - a)^2 - \frac{1}{6}(P + Qc + RF)(x - a)^3,$$

similique modo approximationem ulterius prosequi licet. Quando autem quantitates  $P$ ,  $Q$ ,  $R$  et  $V$  formulam  $x - a$  eiusue potestates complectuntur, quam non amplius vt constantem spectare licet, eius ratio in integratione est habenda, qua fit vt in seriebus approximantibus formulæ  $x - a$  potestates non ordine ascendant. Tum igitur conueniet pro  $p$  eiusmodi seriei initium assumi

$$p = c + A(x - a)^\lambda, \text{ vnde fit}$$

$$y = b + c(x - a) + \frac{A}{\lambda + 1}(x - a)^{\lambda + 1}, \text{ et quia}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \lambda A(x - a)^{\lambda - 1},$$

huic formulæ aequari debet functio  $V$ , postquam in ea pro  $y$  et  $p$  valores assumptos, et  $a$  pro  $x$  scripserimus, nisi formula  $x - a$  ingrediatur, hoc modo tam exponens  $\lambda$  quam coefficientis  $A$  determinabitur.

Si  $c$  fit  $= 0$  vel  $= \infty$ , eius ratio potest in calculum introduci, vt ponatur

$$p = f(x - a)^n + A(x - a)^\lambda,$$

vnde fit

$$y = b + \frac{f}{n+1}(x - a)^{n+1} + \frac{A}{\lambda+1}(x - a)^{\lambda+1},$$

qui valores si loco  $x$  et  $p$  substituuntur in functione  $V$ , prodire debet

$$nf(x - a)^{n-1} + \lambda A(x - a)^{\lambda-1}.$$

### Corollarium 1.

1095. Hoc modo per interualla continuo vterius progredi licet, dummodo singula non maiora accipiantur, quam vt errores commissi maneant insensibiles; atque hac quidem correctione errores illi diminuuntur, vt interualla etiam maiora statui queant.

### Corollarium 2.

1096. Pro primo scilicet interuallo valores primitiui  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$  pro lubitu assumuntur, et valores in fine interualli inuenti praebent valores initiales pro secundo interuallo, ex quibus calculus pro hoc interuallo perinde expeditur ac primo; sicque continuo vterius est progrediendum.

### Scholion.

1097. Huius problematis duplicem solutionem dedimus, quarum prior etsi latissime patere videtur, certis tamen casibus in vsum vocari nequit; iis ergo altera solutione vti conueniet. Existunt autem tantum plerumque paucissima eiusmodi interualla, quae posteriorem methodum postulant, dum reliqua omnia ope prioris expedire licet. Euenit hoc, quando pro quopiam interuallo quantitates  $V$  et  $c$  vel euanescent vel  
in



in infinitum excrefcunt; quin etiam fieri poteft, vt quantumvis exiguum interuallum accipiatur, quantitates  $y$  et  $p$  variationibus infinitis fint obnoxiae, quarum repraefentatio determinationem prorfus fingularem requirit. Veluti fi propoatur haec aequatio  $\partial \partial y + \frac{y \partial x^2}{x^2} = 0$ , interuallum ab  $x = 0$  vsque ad  $x = \omega$ , etiamfi  $\omega$  quam minimum affumatur, infinitam mutationem in valoribus  $y$  et  $p$  indicat; id quod ex eius integrali completo perfpicitur, quod cum fit

$$y = A x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin. \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} l x + a \right),$$

hincque

$$p = \frac{A}{2\sqrt{x}} \sin. \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} l x + a \right) + \frac{A\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{x}} \cos. \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} l x + a \right),$$

feu

$$p = \frac{A}{\sqrt{x}} \sin. \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} l x + a + 60^\circ \right),$$

euidens eft fi  $x = 0$ , fore quidem  $y = 0$ , fed ipfius  $p$  valorem effe incertum. At ipfi  $x$  valorem quam minimum tribuendo,  $y$  quidem minimum retinebit valorem, fed qui pro minimo interuallo modo fit positius, modo euanefcens, modo negatiuus, ob maximam mutationem, quam  $l x$  patitur, quantitas autem  $p$  interea tranfit per omnes mutationes poffibiles. Idem luculentius perfpicitur ex hoc exemplo

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{2 \partial x \partial y}{x} - \frac{f f y \partial x^2}{x^2} = 0,$$

cuius integrale eft  $y = A \sin. \left( \frac{f}{x} + a \right)$ ; dum enim  $x$  a  $0$  ad  $\omega$  crefcit, angulus  $\frac{f}{x} + a$  ab infinito ad finitum tranfbit, eiusque finus interea omnes mutationes ab  $+ 1$  ad  $- 1$  infinities adeo fubibit. Quando ergo eiusmodi interualla occurrunt, mirum non eft, fi confuetae methodi approximandi deficiant, quippe quae hoc principio innituntur, quod mutationes per interualla minima, fint etiam valde paruae; his autem interuallis exceptis folutio praefcripta femper cum vfu adhiberi poteft.

O o 3

Ex-

## Exemplum I.

1098. *Propofita aequatione*

$$\partial \partial y + \frac{\gamma \partial x^2}{f x} = 0,$$

*eius integrationem per approximationem abfoluere.*

Cum ergo fit  $\partial p = -\frac{\gamma \partial x}{f x}$ , erit  $V = \frac{-\gamma}{f x}$ ; quare fi pro initio interualli fit  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , inde tantillum progrediendo, per folutionem priorem, ob

$$P = \frac{\gamma}{f x} = \frac{b}{a a f},$$

$$Q = \frac{-\gamma}{f x} = \frac{-\gamma}{a f}, \text{ et } R = 0,$$

habebimus

$$p = c - \frac{b}{a f} (x - a) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{b}{a a f} - \frac{c}{a f} \right) (x - a)^2 \text{ et}$$

$$y = b + c(x - a) - \frac{b}{a a f} (x - a)^2.$$

Sumto ergo  $x - a = \omega$ , pro interuallo fequente erunt valores initiales

$$a' = a + \omega,$$

$$b' = b + c \omega - \frac{b \omega^2}{a a f}, \text{ et } c' = c - \frac{b \omega}{a f} - \frac{(b - a c) \omega \omega}{a a a f},$$

vnde fimili modo valores initiales pro interuallo fequente colliguntur. Verum fi pro quopiam interuallo fiat  $a = 0$ , operatio peculiari modo inftitui debet. Pofito fcilicet pro initio huius interualli  $x = 0$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = c$ , ftatuatur

$$p = c + A x^\lambda \text{ et } y = b + c x + \frac{A x^{\lambda+1}}{\lambda+1}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \lambda A x^{\lambda-1} = \frac{-y}{f x} = \frac{-b}{f x} - \frac{c}{f} - \frac{A x^\lambda}{(\lambda+1)f},$$

cui nifi fit  $b = 0$  fatifleri nequit; prodiret enim  $\lambda = 0$  et  $A = \infty$ , vnde concludimus poni debere  $y = b + A x / x$ , vt fit

fit  $p = A/x + A$  et  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{A}{x^2} = -\frac{b - Ax/x}{x^2}$ , hinc  $A = -\frac{b}{f}$ .

Verum quo hinc  $p$  accuratius cognoscere liceat, statuamus

$$y = b + Ax/x + Bx, \text{ erit}$$

$$p = A/x + A + B \text{ et } \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{A}{x^2},$$

vnde concluditur vt ante  $A = -\frac{b}{f}$ , et  $B$  manet indeterminatum, ita vt fit

$$y = b - \frac{b}{f}x/x + Bx \text{ et } p = -\frac{b}{f}x/x - \frac{b}{f} + B,$$

nisi ergo fit  $b = 0$ , casu  $x = 0$ , quantitas  $c$  necessario est infinita. Quamobrem si interualli initio fit  $x = 0$ ,  $y = b$  et  $p = \infty$ , pro eius fine et initio sequentis erit

$$x = \omega, y = b - \frac{b\omega}{f} \text{ et } p = -\frac{b}{f} \omega.$$

### Exemplum 2.

1099. *Propofita fit haec aequatio*

$$x x \partial \partial y - 2 x \partial x \partial y + 2 y \partial x^2 = \frac{x^2 y \partial x^2}{ff},$$

quam per approximationem integrari oporteat.

Cum fit

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{y}{ff} = V, \text{ erit}$$

$$P = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{y}{ff};$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{1}{ff} \text{ et } R = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Hinc si pro cuiusque interualli initio fit  $x = a$ ,  $y = b$ ,  $p = c$ ,

ob  $F = \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{b}{ff}$ , erit

$$p = c + \left( \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{b}{ff} \right) (x - a) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{2b}{af} \right) (x - a)^2, \text{ et}$$

$$y = b + c(x - a) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{b}{ff} \right) (x - a)^2;$$

vnde calculus per interualla facile continuatur, dum ne fit  $a = 0$ .

$a = 0$ . Hoc autem casu quo  $a = 0$ , difficulter intervallum computo definitur, quia tum fieri nequit, ut quantitatibus  $b$  et  $c$  dati valores tribuantur, id quod inde facillime intelligitur, quod aequationis propositae integrale completum est

$$y = A e^{\frac{x}{f}} + B e^{-\frac{x}{f}}$$

posito enim  $x = 0$ , necessario fit  $y = 0$ , nisi coefficientes  $A$  et  $B$  infinitos capere velimus. Sumto autem  $b = 0$ , approximatio est in promptu.

# CALCVLI INTEGRALIS LIBER PRIOR.

---

PARS SECUNDA,

SEV

METHODVS INVENIENDI FUNCTIONES VNIVS  
VARIABILIS EX DATA RELATIONE DIFFEREN-  
TIALIVM SECUNDI ALTIORISVE GRADVS.

SECTIO POSTERIOR,

DE

RESOLVTIONE AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIALIVM  
TERIII ALTIORVMQVE GRADVVM QVAE DVAS  
TANTVM VARIABLES INVOLVNT.



---

# CAPVT I.

DE

## INTEGRATIONE FORMVLARVM DIFFERENTIALIVM TERTII ALTIORISVE GRADVS SIMPLICIVM.

### Problema 140.

1100.

**S**umto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, inuenire integrale completum harum formularum  $\partial^2 y = 0$ ,  $\partial^3 y = 0$ ,  $\partial^4 y = 0$  etc. atque in genere huius  $\partial^n y = 0$ .

#### Solutio.

Cum  $\partial x$  sit constans, aequatio  $\partial^2 y = 0$  per integrationem dat  $\partial \partial y = a \partial x^2$ , hincque porro integrando  $\partial y = a x \partial x + \beta \partial x$ , et tandem  $y = \frac{1}{2} a x^2 + \beta x + \gamma$ .

Simili modo ex aequatione  $\partial^3 y = 0$ , per quadruplicem integrationem reperitur

$$1.) \partial^2 y = a \partial x^2,$$

$$2.) \partial \partial y = a x \partial x^2 + \beta \partial x^2,$$

$$3.) \partial y = \frac{1}{2} a x x \partial x + \beta x \partial x + \gamma \partial x,$$

et tandem

$$4.) y = \frac{1}{6} a x^3 + \frac{1}{2} \beta x^2 + \gamma x + \delta.$$

Ex aequatione autem  $\partial^4 y = 0$ , integratio quinquies repetita dat

$$y = \frac{1}{24} a x^4 + \frac{1}{6} \beta x^3 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma x^2 + \delta x + \epsilon.$$

P p 2

At

At huius aequationis  $\partial^2 y = 0$  integrale colligitur

$$y = \frac{1}{10} \alpha x^5 + \frac{1}{24} \beta x^4 + \frac{1}{6} \gamma x^3 + \frac{1}{2} \delta x^2 + \epsilon x + \zeta,$$

ficque ad huiusmodi formas  $\partial^2 y = 0$ , quancumque fuerint gradus, progredi licet, dummodo  $n$  fuerit numerus integer positius.

### Corollarium 1.

1101. A simplicissima forma ergo incipiendo integralia sequenti ordine procedunt

| Formularum                | Integralia completa sunt   |
|---------------------------|--|
| $\partial y = 0$          | $y = a$  |
| $\partial \partial y = 0$ | $y = \alpha x + \beta$   |
| $\partial^2 y = 0$        | $y = \frac{1}{2} \alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma$                          |
| $\partial^3 y = 0$        | $y = \frac{1}{6} \alpha x^3 + \frac{1}{2} \beta x^2 + \gamma x + \delta$ |
| etc.                      | etc.   |

### Corollarium 2.

1102. Quia constantes  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  etc. ab arbitrio nostro pendent, fractiones tuto reuocare licet, eritque

| Formularum                | Integralia  |
|---------------------------|---|
| $\partial y = 0$          | $y = a$   |
| $\partial \partial y = 0$ | $y = \alpha x + \beta$  |
| $\partial^2 y = 0$        | $y = \alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma$                             |
| $\partial^3 y = 0$        | $y = \alpha x^3 + \beta x^2 + \gamma x + \delta$                |
| $\partial^4 y = 0$        | $y = \alpha x^4 + \beta x^3 + \gamma x^2 + \delta x + \epsilon$ |
| etc.                      | etc.  |

### Corollarium 3.

1103. Quoti ergo ordinis est formula differentialis, totidem constantes arbitrarie eius integrale completum complectitur, quas pro quouis casu oblatio secundum condiciones praescriptas definiiri oportet.

Scho-



## Scholion I.

1104. Ponendo  $\partial y = p \partial x$ ,  $\partial p = q \partial x$ ,  $\partial q = r \partial x$ ,  $\partial r = s \partial x$  etc. omnes aequationes differentiales altiorum ordinum ad quantitates finitas reducuntur, in quibus nulla amplius ratio eius elementi, quod constans assumitur, habetur. Atque hinc formae omnium aequationum differentialium sequenti modo representari possunt:

| Aequationum<br>differentialium | Forma generalis                      |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| I. gradus                      | $p = f: (x \text{ et } y)$           |
| II. gradus                     | $q = f: (x, y, \text{ et } p)$       |
| III. gradus                    | $r = f: (x, y, p, \text{ et } q)$    |
| IV. gradus                     | $s = f: (x, y, p, q, \text{ et } r)$ |

etc.

ubi quantitates  $y, p, q, r, s$  etc. ita, excludendo  $\partial x$ , a se invicem pendent, ut cum sit

$$\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{p} = \frac{\partial p}{q} = \frac{\partial q}{r} = \frac{\partial r}{s} = \frac{\partial s}{t} \text{ etc.}$$

sequentes relationes locum habeant

$$q \partial y = p \partial p, r \partial y = p \partial q, s \partial y = p \partial r, t \partial y = p \partial s \text{ etc.}$$

$$r \partial p = q \partial q, s \partial p = q \partial r, t \partial p = q \partial s \text{ etc.}$$

$$s \partial q = r \partial r, t \partial q = r \partial s \text{ etc.}$$

$$t \partial r = s \partial s \text{ etc.}$$

quarum formularum quaedam per se sunt integrabiles veluti

$$f y \partial r = \frac{1}{2} p p, f r \partial p = \frac{1}{2} q q, f s \partial q = \frac{1}{2} r r, f t \partial r = \frac{1}{2} s s \text{ etc.}$$

ex quibus porro ob  $f z \partial v = v z - f v \partial z$  istae concluduntur

$$f y \partial q = y q - \frac{1}{2} p p, f p \partial r = p r - \frac{1}{2} q q, f q \partial s = q s - \frac{1}{2} r r, f r \partial t = r t - \frac{1}{2} s s, \text{ etc.}$$

quarum ope ex praecedentibus deducitur

$$f s \partial y = p r - \frac{1}{2} q q, \text{ hinc } f y \partial s = y s - p r + \frac{1}{2} q q,$$

$$f t \partial p = q s - \frac{1}{2} r r, \text{ hinc } f p \partial t = p t - q s + \frac{1}{2} r r,$$

$$f u \partial q = r t - \frac{1}{2} s s, \text{ hinc } f q \partial u = q u - r t + \frac{1}{2} s s.$$

Hinc porro definitur  $f y \partial u = y u - f u \partial y$ , at  $\frac{\partial y}{p} = \frac{\partial t}{u}$ , vnde

$$f y \partial u = y u - f p \partial t = y u - p t + q s - \frac{1}{2} r r.$$

Quare si differentialia iterum introducamus, obtinebimus sequentes formulas integrales

$$f y \partial y = \frac{1}{2} y y$$

$$f y \partial^2 y = y \partial \partial y - \frac{1}{2} \partial y^2$$

$$f y \partial^3 y = y \partial^3 y - \partial y \partial^2 y + \frac{1}{2} \partial \partial y^2$$

$$f y \partial^4 y = y \partial^4 y - \partial y \partial^3 y + \partial \partial y \partial^2 y - \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 y^2$$

etc.

ita vt formula  $f y \partial^n y$  sit integrabilis, quoties  $n$  est numerus impar.

### Scholion 2.

1105. In aequationibus differentialibus secundi gradus formas simpliciores ita constituimus, vt  $q$  aequetur functioni vel ipsius  $x$  tantum, vel ipsius  $y$ , vel ipsius  $p$ , quas litteras maiusculas pro functionibus minuscularum scribendo ita representare licet, vt sit

$$\text{vel } q = X, \text{ vel } q = Y, \text{ vel } q = P.$$

Hinc simili modo pro aequationibus differentialibus tertii gradus formas simpliciores constituere possumus

$$r = X, r = Y, r = P, r = Q,$$

ita vt tantum binas quantitates variables inuoluant.

Pro quarto autem gradu essent formae simpliciores

$$s = X, s = Y, s = P, s = Q, s = R,$$

et pro quinto

$$t = X, t = Y, t = P, t = Q, t = R, t = S,$$

atque ita porro pro superioribus.

Vc-

Vcrum hae formae non omnes aequae integrationem admittunt, dum aliae ne semel quidem, aliae semel tantum, aliae per omnes integrationes vsque ad relationem inter  $x$  et  $y$  perducere possunt, cuiusmodi sunt primae quaeque in quouis gradu. Semper autem id est propositum, vt relatio inter binas variables principales  $x$  et  $y$  eliciatur.

### Problema 141.

1106. Posito  $\partial y = p \partial x$ ,  $\partial p = q \partial x$ ,  $\partial q = r \partial x$ ,  $\partial r = s \partial x$ ,  $\partial s = t \partial x$ , etc pro quouis differentialium gradu, si litterarum  $p$ ,  $q$ ,  $r$ ,  $s$ ,  $t$ , etc. quaequam aequetur functioni ipsius  $x$ , quae sit  $X$ , inuenire relationem inter  $x$  et  $y$ .

### Solutio.

Si primo sit  $p = X$ , per  $\partial x$  multiplicando erit  $p \partial x = \partial y = X \partial x$ , hincque  $y = \int X \partial x$ , qui est casus formularum differentialium primi gradus simplicium.

Sit secundo  $q = X$ , erit  $q \partial x = \partial p = X \partial x$ , hinc  $p = \int X \partial x$ , et  $p \partial x = \partial y = \partial x \int X \partial x$ , ergo  $y = \int \partial x \int X \partial x$ , seu per simplicia integralia  $y = x \int X \partial x - \int X x \partial x$ .

Sit tertio  $r = X$ , ob  $\partial q = r \partial x$  erit  $q = \int X \partial x$ , hincque

$$p = \int q \partial x = \int \partial x \int X \partial x = x \int X \partial x - \int X x \partial x,$$

ac tandem

$$y = \int p \partial x = \int \partial x \int \partial x \int X \partial x = \frac{1}{2} x x \int X \partial x - x \int X x \partial x + \frac{1}{2} \int X x x \partial x.$$

Sit quarto  $s = X$ , ac reperitur  $y = \int \partial x \int \partial x \int \partial x \int X \partial x$ , quae expressio euoluitur in hanc

$$y = \frac{1}{6} x^3 \int X \partial x - \frac{1}{2} x x \int X x \partial x + \frac{1}{2} x \int X x x \partial x - \frac{1}{6} \int X x^3 \partial x.$$

Sit

Sit quinto  $t = X$ , erit  $y = f \partial x f \partial x f \partial x f \partial x f X \partial x$ ,  
 feu

$$y = \frac{1}{14} x^4 f X \partial x - \frac{1}{2} x^3 f X x \partial x + \frac{1}{3} x^2 f X x x \partial x \\ - \frac{1}{4} x f X x^3 \partial x + \frac{1}{14} f X x^4 \partial x;$$

vnde lex vltcrius progrediendi est manifesta.

### Corollarium 1.

1107. Tot ergo habentur formulæ integrales, quoti gradus æquatio fuerit differentialis, et quia quælibet constantem arbitrariam assumit, totidem constantes in integrale ingrediuntur, quibus id completum redditur; quod idem ex priori forma, vbi totidem signa integralia implicantur, intelligitur.

### Corollarium 2.

1108. Sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, sequentium formularum more consueto expressarum integralia completa ita se habebunt

- I. Si  $\partial y = X \partial x$  est  $y = f X \partial x$ ,
- II. Si  $\partial \partial y = X \partial x^2$  est  $y = x f X \partial x - f X x \partial x$ ,
- III. Si  $\partial^2 y = X \partial x^3$  est  
 $2y = x^2 f X \partial x - 2 x f X x \partial x + f X x^2 \partial x$ ,
- IV. Si  $\partial^3 y = X \partial x^4$  est  
 $6y = x^3 f X \partial x - 3 x^2 f X x \partial x + 3 x f X x^2 \partial x - f X x^3 \partial x$ ,
- V. Si  $\partial^4 y = X \partial x^5$  est  
 $24y = x^4 f X \partial x - 4 x^3 f X x \partial x + 6 x^2 f X x^2 \partial x - 4 x f X x^3 \partial x \\ + f X x^4 \partial x$ ,

etc.

### Scholion.

1109. Formulas autem, quas supra secundo loco constitulimus, functionem  $Y$  complectentes, post secundum gradum inte-

integrare non licet. Ex tertio enim ordine formula  $r = Y$  etsi nouimus esse

$$r = \frac{\partial \partial q}{\partial y} = q \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x},$$

nullo modo integrari potest; neque etiam hinc  $q$  per  $y$  determinari potest. Nam sumpta forma  $p \partial q = Y \partial y$ , existente  $p \partial p = q \partial y$  ob  $p = \frac{y \partial y}{\partial q}$ , erit

$$\partial p = \frac{\partial y \partial y}{\partial q} + Y \partial \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial q},$$

hincque  $p$  elidendo

$$\frac{Y \partial y \partial y}{\partial q^2} + \frac{Y Y \partial y}{\partial q} \partial \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial q} = q \partial y,$$

quae quidem aequatio est secundi gradus, sed neutiquam in genere resolutionem admittit. Ex quarto genere formula  $s = Y$ , ob  $f s \partial y = p r - \frac{1}{2} q q = f Y \partial y$ , semel integrari potest, sed hinc ulterius progredi non licet. Quas autem supra pro quouis gradu formulas simpliciores ultimo loco constituimus itemque penultimo, eae tractabiles deprehenduntur: earum ergo integrationem inuestigamus.

### Problema 142.

1110. Posito ut haecenus  $\partial y = p \partial x$ ,  $\partial p = q \partial x$ ,  $\partial q = r \partial x$ , etc. litterae  $Y, P, Q, R$ , etc. denotent functiones cuiusque litterae minusculae cognominis, inuestigare integralia harum formularum simplicium

$$p = Y, q = P, r = Q, s = R, t = S, \text{ etc.}$$

### Solutio.

Aequatio prima ob  $p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  statim dat  $\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{p}$ , ideoque  $x = f \frac{\partial y}{p}$ .

Aequatio secunda  $q = P$  ob  $q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$  praebet  $\partial x = \frac{\partial p}{p}$ , et  $\partial y = \frac{\partial p \partial p}{p}$ , vnde cum  $P$  fit functio ipsius  $p$ , vtraque variabilis  $x$  ; Vol. II. Q q et

et  $y$  per  $p$  determinatur hoc modo

$$x = f \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \text{ et } y = f \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} p.$$

Aequatio tertia  $r = Q$  ob  $r = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$  dat  $\partial x = \frac{\partial q}{Q}$ , hinc  $q \partial x = \partial p = q \frac{\partial q}{Q}$ , ita vt fit  $x = f \frac{\partial q}{Q}$  et  $p = f \frac{\partial q}{Q} q$ ; vnde colligimus  $p \partial x = \partial y = \frac{\partial q}{Q} f \frac{\partial q}{Q} q$ , ergo  $y = f \frac{\partial q}{Q} f \frac{\partial q}{Q} q$ . Quare per eandem variabilem  $q$  vtraque variabilis  $x$  et  $y$  ita determinatur, vt fit

$$x = f \frac{\partial q}{Q} \text{ et } y = f \frac{\partial q}{Q} f \frac{\partial q}{Q} q.$$

Aequatio quarta  $s = R = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x}$  dat  $\partial x = \frac{\partial r}{R}$ , vnde colligimus  $r \partial x = \partial q = \frac{r \partial r}{R}$ , ita vt fit  $q = f \frac{r \partial r}{R}$ . Porro  $q \partial x = \partial p$  dat  $\partial p = \frac{\partial r}{R} f \frac{r \partial r}{R}$ , hincque  $p = f \frac{\partial r}{R} f \frac{r \partial r}{R}$ ; et quia  $p \partial x = \partial y$  habebimus  $\partial y = \frac{\partial r}{R} f \frac{\partial r}{R} f \frac{r \partial r}{R}$ , quare per  $r$  ambae variables principales  $x$  et  $y$  ita definiuntur

$$x = f \frac{\partial r}{R} \text{ et } y = f \frac{\partial r}{R} f \frac{r \partial r}{R}.$$

Aequatio quinta  $t = S$  simili modo tractata praebet

$$x = f \frac{\partial s}{S} \text{ et } y = f \frac{\partial s}{S} f \frac{\partial s}{S} f \frac{s \partial s}{S}$$

sicque facile vterius progredi licet.

### Corollarium 1.

1111. Ex formula secunda intelligitur, si  $x$  aequetur functioni ipsius  $p$ , vt fit  $x = P$ , fore  $y = fp \partial P = Pp - fP \partial p$ , quod quidem per se est manifestum.

### Corollarium 2.

1112. Sin autem fit  $x = Q$ , ob  $\partial x = \partial Q$  erit  $q \partial x = \partial p = q \partial Q$ , et  $p = f q \partial Q$ , hincque

$$y = f \partial Q f q \partial Q, \text{ seu } y = Q f q \partial Q - f q Q \partial Q.$$

Vel

Vel etiam cum fit

$y = f \partial Q (q Q - f Q \partial q)$ , erit  $y = \frac{1}{2} q Q Q + \frac{1}{2} f Q Q \partial q - Q f Q \partial q$ ,  
sive hoc modo

$$2y = Q Q q - 2 Q f Q \partial q + f Q Q \partial q.$$

### Corollarium 3.

1113. Simili modo si  $x = R$ , erit

$$q = f r \partial x = f r \partial R \text{ et } p = f q \partial x = f \partial R f r \partial R,$$

atque

$$y = f p \partial x = f \partial R f \partial R f r \partial R, \text{ seu}$$

$$2y = f \partial R (R R r - 2 R f R \partial r + f R R \partial r),$$

per praecedens Corollarium. Ergo per similes reductiones

$$6y = R^3 r - 3 R^2 / R \partial r + 3 R f R R \partial r - f R^3 \partial r.$$

### Corollarium 4.

1114. At si fuerit  $x = S$ , reperietur per similes reductiones

$$24y = S^4 s - 4 S^3 / S \partial s + 6 S^2 / S S \partial s - 4 S / S^2 \partial s + f S^2 \partial s:$$

hinc ergo per differentiationes retrogrediendo

$$24p \partial S = 4 S^3 \partial S - 12 S S \partial S / S \partial s + 12 S \partial S / S S \partial s - 4 \partial S / S^2 \partial s,$$

seu

$$6p = S^3 s - 3 S S f S \partial s + 3 S / S S \partial s - f S^2 \partial s, \text{ et}$$

$$2q = S^2 s - 2 S f S \partial s + f S S \partial s,$$

tum  $r = S s - f S \partial s$  et  $s = s$ .

### Problema 143.

1115. Iisdem manentibus denominationibus, quibus haecenus sumus vsi, inuestigare integralia harum formularum simpliciorum

$$q = Y, r = P, s = Q, t = R, \text{ etc.}$$

$$Q q^2$$

So-

## Solutio.

Pro formula prima  $q = Y$ , cum sit  $q = \frac{p^2 y}{\sqrt{2fY}}$ , erit  
 $p \partial p = Y \partial y$  et  $pp = 2fY \partial y$ , hinc  $p = \sqrt{2fY \partial y} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ ,  
 vnde colligitur  $x = f \frac{\partial y}{\sqrt{2fY}}$ , sicque  $x$  per  $y$  determinatur.

Pro formula secunda  $r = P$  ob  $r = \frac{q \partial q}{\sqrt{2fP}}$ , habebimus  
 $q \partial q = P \partial p$  et  $q = \sqrt{2fP \partial p} = \frac{p \partial p}{\sqrt{2fP}}$ , vnde conclu-  
 dimus

$$x = f \frac{\partial p}{\sqrt{2fP \partial p}}, \text{ et } y = f \frac{p \partial p}{\sqrt{2fP \partial p}}.$$

Pro formula tertia  $s = Q$  ob  $s = \frac{r \partial r}{\sqrt{2fQ}}$ , fiet

$$r = \sqrt{2fQ \partial q} = \frac{q \partial q}{\sqrt{2fQ}}$$

vnde sequitur  $p = f \frac{q \partial q}{\sqrt{2fQ}}$ . Cum vero sit  $r = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$ , erit  
 $\partial x = \frac{\partial q}{\sqrt{2fQ}}$ , et ob  $p \partial x = \partial y$  habebimus

$$x = f \frac{\partial q}{\sqrt{2fQ}}, \text{ et } y = f \frac{\partial q}{\sqrt{2fQ}} f \frac{q \partial q}{\sqrt{2fQ}}$$

Pro formula quarta  $t = R$  ob  $t = \frac{r \partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}}$ , nanciscimur  
 $s = \sqrt{2fR \partial r}$ . At est  $s = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x}$ , vnde fit  $\partial x = \frac{\partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}}$ . Est  
 vero etiam  $s = \frac{r \partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}}$ , ideoque  $q = f \frac{r \partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}}$ ; sed quoniam  
 $p = f q \partial x$ , fit  $p = f \frac{r \partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}} f \frac{r \partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}}$ , ex quo prodit  $y = f p \partial x$ .  
 Quocirca  $x$  et  $y$  ita per  $r$  determinantur, vt fit

$$x = f \frac{\partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}}, \text{ et } y = f \frac{\partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}} f \frac{r \partial r}{\sqrt{2fR}}$$

Pro formula quinta  $u = S$ , ob  $u = \frac{t \partial t}{\sqrt{2fS}}$ , adipiscimur  
 $t = \sqrt{2fS \partial s} = \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{2fS}}$ , vt fit  $\partial x = \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{2fS}}$ . Est vero etiam  $t = \frac{t \partial t}{\sqrt{2fS}}$ ,  
 ergo  $r = f \frac{t \partial t}{\sqrt{2fS}}$ . Tum  $q = f r \partial x$ ,  $p = f q \partial x$  et  $y = f p \partial x$ ,  
 ex quibus conficitur

$$x = f \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{2fS}}, \text{ et } y = f \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{2fS}} f \frac{\partial s}{\sqrt{2fS}} f \frac{t \partial t}{\sqrt{2fS}} f \frac{t \partial t}{\sqrt{2fS}}$$

Scho-



## Scholion.

1116. Hi sunt casus, quibus formulas illas simpliciores supra recensitas resolvere licet, neque methodus patet, quâ reliquæ tractari queant. Multo pauciores occurrunt casus tractabiles in formis magis compositis, vbi  $\frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n}$  æquatur

functioni binarum plurimum quantitatum variabilium, ob quam penuriam parum admodum suppetit, quod in hac sectione exponere queamus. Aequationum autem, quæ per methodos adhuc inuentas tractari possunt, hæc fere est forma generalis

$$Ay + B \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \cdot \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.} = 0,$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, quæ etiam ponendo

$$\partial y = p \partial x, \partial p = q \partial x, \partial q = r \partial x, \text{ etc.}$$

ita repræsentari potest

$$Ay + Bp + Cq + Dr + Es + \text{etc.} = 0.$$

Deinde vero etiam aequationes hac forma latius patente contentæ resolutionem admittunt

$$Ay + Bp + Cq + Dr + Es + \text{etc.} = X,$$

denotante X functionem quamcunque ipsius  $x$ . Porro quoque sequentes formæ, quæ quidem ad illas reduci possunt

$$Ay + \frac{Bp}{x} + \frac{Cq}{x^2} + \frac{Dr}{x^3} + \frac{Es}{x^4} + \text{etc.} = 0 \text{ et}$$

$$Ay + \frac{Bp}{x} + \frac{Cq}{x^2} + \frac{Dr}{x^3} + \frac{Es}{x^4} + \text{etc.} = X,$$

quarum resolutio adeo succedit, ad quantumvis gradum etiam differentialitas affurgat; in harum ergo aequationum euolutione tractatio nostra versabitur.

## CAPVT II.

DE

RESOLVTIONE AEQVATIONVM HVIVS FORMAE

$$Ay + B \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \cdot \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + E \cdot \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.} = 0,$$

SVMTO ELEMENTO  $\partial x$  CONSTATE.

## Problema 144.

1117.

Aequationis differentialis tertii gradus

$$Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} = 0,$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, integrale completum inuenire.

## Solutio.

Cum A, B, C, D sint quantitates constantes, leui attentione adhibita patet, isti aequationi huiusmodi formam  $y = e^{\lambda x}$  satisfacere; cum enim hinc sit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \lambda e^{\lambda x}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \lambda^2 e^{\lambda x}, \quad \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} = \lambda^3 e^{\lambda x},$$

his substitutis et aequatione per  $e^{\lambda x}$  diuisa, fit

$$A + \lambda B + \lambda^2 C + \lambda^3 D = 0,$$

vnde exponens  $\lambda$  determinatur, qui cum tres valores sortitur, qui sint  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , habebimus tres formulas satisfaciens  $y = e^{\alpha x}, y = e^{\beta x}, y = e^{\gamma x}$ . Verum ex natura aequationis propositae perspicuum est, si ei satisfaciant valores  $y = P, y = Q, y = R$ , etiam his utcumque coniungendis satisfactorum

$$y = \mathfrak{A} P + \mathfrak{B} Q + \mathfrak{C} R.$$

Quare

Quare ex ternis formulis inuentis nanciscimur hanc latissime patentem aeque satisfacientem

$$y = \mathcal{A} e^{\alpha x} + \mathcal{B} e^{\beta x} + \mathcal{C} e^{\gamma x},$$

quae forma cum tres constantes arbitrarias  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  complectatur, reuera erit integrale completum aequationis nostrae propositae.

### Corollarium 1.

1118. Integrale ergo completum tot constat partibus, quot radices habeat seu factores aequatio

$$A + B\lambda + C\lambda^2 + D\lambda^3 = 0,$$

cuius si factor fuerit  $a + \lambda$ , pars integralis erit  $e^{-ax}$ .

### Corollarium 2.

1119. Haec scilicet pars erit integrale huius aequationis  $ay + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0$ . Vnde si sit

$$A + B\lambda + C\lambda^2 + D\lambda^3 = (a + \lambda)(b + \lambda)(c + \lambda),$$

quaerantur valores ipsius  $y$  ex his aequationibus simplicibus

$$ay + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0, \quad by + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0, \quad cy + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0,$$

qui si sint  $y = P$ ,  $y = Q$ ,  $y = R$ , integrale aequationis propositae erit

$$y = \mathcal{A}P + \mathcal{B}Q + \mathcal{C}R.$$

### Corollarium 3.

1120. Si binae radices sint aequales, puta  $\beta = \alpha$ , consideretur differentia ut euanescens vel  $\beta = \alpha + \omega$ , et cum sit

$$e^{\beta x} = e^{\alpha x}, \quad e^{\omega x} = e^{\alpha x}(1 + \omega x),$$

euidens est loco  $\mathcal{A}e^{\alpha x} + \mathcal{B}e^{\beta x}$  scribi debere

$$\mathcal{A}e^{\alpha x} + \mathcal{B}e^{\alpha x}x = e^{\alpha x}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}x).$$

Ac

Ac si omnes tres radices fuerint aequales  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ , vt aequatio fit

$$y + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} = 0.$$

ob  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = -a$ , integrale completum erit

$$e^{-ax} (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}x + \mathcal{C}x^2).$$

### Corollarium 4.

1121. Si binae radices fiant imaginariae, vt fit

$$\alpha = \mu + \nu\sqrt{-1} \text{ et } \beta = \mu - \nu\sqrt{-1},$$

loco  $\mathcal{A}e^{\alpha x} + \mathcal{B}e^{\beta x}$  scribi debet

$$e^{\mu x} (\mathcal{A}e^{\nu x\sqrt{-1}} + \mathcal{B}e^{-\nu x\sqrt{-1}}),$$

quae reducitur ad hanc formam

$$e^{\mu x} (\mathcal{A} \cos. \nu x + \mathcal{B} \sin. \nu x).$$

### Scholion 1.

1122. Quanquam aequatio proposita triplicem integrationem postulat, antequam ad relationem finitam inter  $x$  et  $y$  perueniatur, hic tamen vna operatione, quae ne integrationi quidem est affinis, eo pertigimus. Coniectura scilicet formam collegimus aequationi particulariter satisfacientem, simulque tres huiusmodi formas sumus consecuti. Deinde ex ipsa aequationis indole intelleximus, si valores singuli  $y = P$ ,  $y = Q$ ,  $y = R$  satisfaciant, etiam formam ex iis compositam  $y = \mathcal{A}P + \mathcal{B}Q + \mathcal{C}R$  satisfacere debere, quod nisi commode euenisset, ex illis ternis valoribus nihil amplius concludi potuisset. Ex eodem ergo principio in genere huiusmodi aequationes differentiales, quoticumque fuerint gradus, vno quasi actu ita resolui poterunt, vt adeo integrale completum affigatur.

Scho-

## Scholion 2.

1123. Quoniam aequationem differentialem tertii gradus

$$Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} = 0,$$

in genere resolvere licuit, vt integrale completum esset

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x} + \mathfrak{C} e^{\gamma x},$$

existentibus  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  radicibus huius aequationis cubicae

$$A + B\lambda + C\lambda^2 + D\lambda^3 = 0,$$

hinc vsum non contemnendum pro aliis aequationibus, in quas illam transformare licet, percipiemus. Primo autem illam aequationem ad differentialem secundi gradus reuocare licet ope substitutionis  $y = e^{\int u \partial x}$ ; vnde fit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = e^{\int u \partial x} u, \quad \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = e^{\int u \partial x} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + uu' \right), \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} = e^{\int u \partial x} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 3u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u^3 \right),$$

ita vt aequatio transformata sit, diuisione per  $e^{\int u \partial x}$  facta,

$$A + Bu + Cuu + Du^3 + C \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 3Du \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + D \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$

cuius ergo ob  $u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  integrale est

$$u = \frac{\alpha \mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \beta \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x} + \gamma \mathfrak{C} e^{\gamma x}}{\mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x} + \mathfrak{C} e^{\gamma x}}.$$

Haec autem aequatio vterius ad gradum primum reducitur ponendo  $\partial x = \frac{\partial u}{t}$ , cum enim elementum  $\partial x$  sumtum sit constans, erit  $t \partial \partial u - \partial t \partial u = 0$ , seu  $\partial \partial u = \frac{\partial t \partial u}{t}$ , vnde fit  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = t$ , et  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = \frac{t \partial t}{\partial u}$ , ita vt prodeat haec aequatio differentialis primi gradus

$$A + Bu + Cuu + Du^3 + t(C + 3Du) + \frac{D t \partial t}{\partial u} = 0,$$

cuius ergo resolutio quoque est in potestate: vtriusque scilicet variabilis  $u$  et  $t$  valor per eandem variabilem  $x$  exprimi potest.

*Sol. II.*

R r

test.

test. Cum enim  $y$  per  $x$  detur, erit primo  $u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ ; tum vero  $t + uu = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2}$ , ob  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = t$ . Loco  $y$  ergo substituto valore supra inuento erit

$$u = \frac{\alpha \mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \beta \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x} + \mathfrak{C} \gamma e^{\gamma x}}{\mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x} + \mathfrak{C} e^{\gamma x}}, \text{ et}$$

$$t + uu = \frac{\alpha \alpha \mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \beta \beta \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x} + \gamma \gamma \mathfrak{C} e^{\gamma x}}{\mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x} + \mathfrak{C} e^{\gamma x}},$$

dummodo  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  sint radices ex hac aequatione

$$A + B \lambda + C \lambda^2 + D \lambda^3 = 0.$$

Observari autem conuenit, illam aequationem, posito  $t + uu = z$ , abire in hanc formam

$$A + B u + z (C + D u) + \frac{D \partial z}{\partial u} (z - u u) = 0,$$

quae latius patere videtur, quam illae eiusdem generis aequationes, quas supra tractauimus; cuius, quia ratio per methodos cognitae integrandi non constat, resolutio facillime instituitur ponendo

$$u = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \text{ et } z = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2},$$

vnde fit

$$\partial u = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial x \partial x} \text{ et } \partial z = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial y \partial \partial y}{\partial x \partial x^2},$$

ideoque

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial u} = \frac{y \partial^2 z - \partial y \partial \partial y}{\partial x (\partial \partial \partial y - \partial y^2)} \text{ et } z - u u = \frac{\partial \partial \partial y - \partial y^2}{\partial x \partial x^2},$$

sicque resultat haec aequatio

$$A + \frac{B \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D \partial y \partial \partial y}{\partial x \partial x^2} + \frac{D y \partial^2 y - D \partial y \partial \partial y}{\partial x \partial x^2} = 0, \text{ seu}$$

$$A y + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} = 0,$$

cuius resolutio est ostensa.

Scho-

## Scholion 3.

1124. Aequatio illa differentialis primi gradus

$$D t \partial t + t \partial u (C + 3 D u) + \partial u (A + B u + C u^2 + D u^3) = 0,$$

cuius integrale inuenimus, diligentiore euolutione est digna. Ac primo quidem obseruo, eam integrabilem reddi, si diuidatur per hanc formam

$$D D t^2 + D t t (B + 2 C u + 3 D u u)$$

$$+ t (C + 3 D u) (A + B u + C u^2 + D u^3) + (A + B u + C u^2 + D u^3)^2,$$

vnde concludimus et hanc aequationem

$$D z \partial z - D u u \partial z + z \partial u (C + D u) + \partial u (A + B u) = 0$$

integrabilem fieri, si diuidatur per hanc formam

$$D D z^2 + D z z (B + 2 C u) + z [A C + (3 A D + B C) u + (B D + C C) u u]$$

$$+ A A + 2 A B u + (A C + B B) u u + (A D + B C) u^2.$$

Vtrinque autem diuisor iste nihilo aequatus praebet integrale particulare, vnde cum  $t$  vel  $z$  ternos obtineant valores, singuli exhibebunt integralia particularia. Hinc operae pretium erit in genere aequationem

$$y \partial y + y P \partial x + Q \partial x = 0,$$

inuestigare, quae per formam

$$y^2 + L y y + M y + N,$$

diuisa integrabilis euadat. Per operationem autem supra explicatam inuenitur

$$\partial L = 2 P \partial x, \quad \partial M = P L \partial x + 3 Q \partial x,$$

$$\partial N = 2 Q L \partial x, \quad \text{et } P N - Q M = 0;$$

vnde colligitur

$$P \partial x = \frac{1}{2} \partial L, \quad Q \partial x = \frac{\partial N}{2 L},$$

$$\partial M = \frac{1}{2} L \partial L + \frac{3 \partial N}{2 N}, \quad \text{et } N \partial L = \frac{M \partial N}{L}, \quad \text{seu } M = \frac{N L \partial L}{\partial N},$$

R r 2

qui

qui valor ibi substitutus sumto  $\partial N$  constante dat

$$3 \partial N^2 = L L \partial L \partial N + 2 N L L \partial \partial L + 2 N L \partial L^2,$$

quae per  $\partial L$  multiplicata, transit in

$$3 \partial L \partial N^2 = \partial \cdot N L^2 \partial L^2,$$

Verum commodius, ac singulari quidem modo, illae aequationes resoluuntur, statuendo

$$N = \alpha Z^2 \text{ et } L = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial z},$$

vnde sumto elemento  $\partial z$  constante deducitur  $M = \frac{z \partial \partial Z}{\alpha \sigma z^2}$ ,

hincque

$$\partial M = \frac{z^2 z + \partial z \partial \partial Z}{\alpha \sigma z^2} \text{ et}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} L \partial L + \frac{3 \partial N}{2 L} = \frac{\partial Z \partial \partial Z}{\alpha \partial z^2} + 3 \alpha Z \partial z.$$

Ergo  $\partial^2 Z = 6 \alpha \partial z^2$ , ideoque

$$Z = \alpha z^2 + \beta z z + \gamma z + \delta,$$

$$P \partial x = \frac{\partial \partial Z}{\alpha \partial z} \text{ et } Q \partial x = \alpha Z \partial z.$$

Quocirca sumto  $Z = \alpha z^2 + \beta z z + \gamma z + \delta$ , haec aequatio

$$y \partial y + y \cdot \frac{\partial \partial Z}{\alpha \partial z} + \alpha Z \partial z = 0$$

integrabilis redditur, diuisa per hanc formam

$$y^2 + y^2 \cdot \frac{\partial Z}{\partial z} + y \cdot \frac{z \partial \partial Z}{\alpha \partial z^2} + \alpha Z Z.$$

Praeterea si  $Z$  habeat factores, vt proposita sit haec aequatio

$$y \partial y + y \partial z (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 3z) + \partial z (\alpha + z) (\beta + z) (\gamma + z) = 0$$

diuisor eam integrabilem reddens erit

$$[y + (\alpha + z)(\beta + z)] [y + (\alpha + z)(\gamma + z)] [y + (\beta + z)(\gamma + z)],$$

cuius singuli factores nihilo aequati praebent integrale particulare. Ex vnoquoque autem, more magis consueto, integrale completum ita elicitur. Ponatur

$$y = v - (\alpha + z)(\beta + z),$$



ac reperitur

$$v \partial v + v \partial z (\gamma + z) - \partial v (a + z) (\beta + z) = 0,$$

sit porro  $\partial v = p \partial z$ , eritque  $v = \frac{p(a+z)(\beta+z)}{p+\gamma+z}$ , et differentiando locoque  $\partial v$  ponendo  $p \partial z$ , orietur haec aequatio

$\partial p (a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z) = \partial z [p^3 + (2\gamma - \alpha - \beta)p^2 + (\gamma - \alpha)(\gamma - \beta)p]$   
quae dat hanc separatam

$$\frac{\partial z}{(a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)} = \frac{\partial p}{p(p+\gamma-\alpha)(p+\gamma-\beta)}$$

### Problema 145.

1125. Aequationis differentialis cuiuscunque gradus

$$A y + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + E \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.} = 0$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, integrale completum inuenire.

### Solutio.

Et huic aequationi evidens est satisfacere formulam  
 $y = e^{\lambda x}$ , cum enim hinc sit

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \lambda e^{\lambda x}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \lambda^2 e^{\lambda x}, \quad \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} = \lambda^3 e^{\lambda x},$$

et in genere  $\frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} = \lambda^n e^{\lambda x}$ , facta substitutione peruenietur ad  
hanc aequationem, postquam scilicet per  $e^{\lambda x}$  diuiserimus,

$$A + B \lambda + C \lambda^2 + D \lambda^3 + E \lambda^4 + \text{etc.} = 0,$$

ex qua valorem ipsius  $\lambda$  definiri oportet. Hinc littera  $\lambda$  totidem valores obtinebit, quoti fuerit ordinis aequatio differentialis proposita, quorum singuli aequationi aequae satisficient. Qui valores si sint  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \text{etc.}$  integralia quidem particularia erunt

$$y = \mathcal{A} e^{\alpha x}, \quad y = \mathcal{B} e^{\beta x}, \quad y = \mathcal{C} e^{\gamma x}, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Verum ex ipsa aequationis natura perspicuum est, aggregata quocunque horum valorum, ideoque etiam omnium, perinde

fatisfacere. Cum igitur aggregatum omnium

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x} + \mathfrak{C} e^{\gamma x} + \mathfrak{D} e^{\delta x} + \text{etc.}$$

tot complectatur constantes arbitrarias  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}$ , etc. quoti ordinis differentialis est aequatio proposita, quin haec forma eius sit integrale completum, dubitari nequit. Ascendat aequatio differentialis ad gradum  $n$ , ut sit

$$Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} = c,$$

atque integrale completum ex  $n$  partibus constabit, quas ex resolutione huius aequationis algebraicae ordinis  $n$ , scilicet

$$A + B\lambda + C\lambda^2 + D\lambda^3 + \dots + N\lambda^n = 0,$$

definire oportet. Singuli nimirum eius factores simplices partes illas patefacient, ita si factor sit  $\alpha - \lambda$ , ex eo integralis pars nascitur  $\mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x}$ , quae, uti manifestum est, ex integration aequationis differentialis simplicis  $\alpha y - \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0$  nascitur. Simili modo duo factores coniunctim

$$(\alpha - \lambda)(\beta - \lambda) = \alpha\beta - (\alpha + \beta)\lambda + \lambda\lambda$$

integralis portionem  $\mathfrak{A} e^{\alpha x} + \mathfrak{B} e^{\beta x}$  suppeditant, quae simul est integrale huius aequationis differentialis secundi gradus

$$\alpha\beta y - (\alpha + \beta) \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0.$$

Atque in genere si aequationis illius algebraicae factor sit

$$a + b\lambda + c\lambda^2 + f\lambda^3 + \text{etc.} = 0,$$

ex hoc vicissim formetur aequatio differentialis

$$ay + b \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + c \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + f \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.} = 0,$$

cuius integrale completum si sit  $y = P$ , id simul erit pars integralis aequationis propositae. Atque hoc modo ex singulis factoribus aequationis algebraicae

$$A + B\lambda + C\lambda^2 + D\lambda^3 + \dots + N\lambda^n = 0$$

de-

deriuabuntur singulae partes integralis quaesiti, quae iunctae eius integrale completum constituent, ita vt praecipuum negotium resolutioni huius aequationis innitatur.

### Corollarium 1.

1126. Si igitur istius aequationis algebraicae omnes factores simplices fuerint reales simulque inaequales, integratio nullam habet difficultatem. Si enim factor simplex sit  $f+g\lambda$ , integralis pars inde oriunda est  $\mathfrak{A} e^{\frac{-fx}{g}}$ .

### Corollarium 2.

1127. Si bini factores simplices sint aequales, seu factor fuerit  $(f+g\lambda)^2$ , pars integralis inde oriunda est  $e^{\frac{-fx}{g}}(\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x)$ . Si factor sit cubus  $(f+g\lambda)^3$ , inde oritur pars integralis

$$e^{\frac{-fx}{g}}(\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x + \mathfrak{C}x^2),$$

et ex factore biquadrato  $(f+g\lambda)^4$  huiusmodi pars

$$e^{\frac{-fx}{g}}(\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x + \mathfrak{C}x^2 + \mathfrak{D}x^3),$$

et ita porro pro quocunque factoribus aequalibus, vti ex §. 1120. colligere licet.

### Corollarium 3.

1128. Si factores occurrant imaginarii, bini coniuncti exhibent factorem trinomium realem, cuius forma ita representatur

$$ff + 2fg\lambda \cos. \zeta + gg\lambda\lambda,$$

vnde deducitur

$$\lambda = -\frac{f}{g}(\cos. \zeta \pm \sqrt{-1. \sin. \zeta}),$$

quo cum §. 1121. collato, fit  $\mu = \frac{-f \cos. \zeta}{g}$  et  $\nu = \frac{f \sin. \zeta}{g}$ . Ex quo

quo pars integralis ex tali factore oriunda erit

$$e^{\frac{-fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g}} \left( \mathfrak{A} \operatorname{cof} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} + \mathfrak{B} \operatorname{fin} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} \right).$$

### Corollarium 4.

1129. Si huiusmodi formae quadratum inter factores occurrat

$$(ff + 2fg\lambda \operatorname{cof} \zeta + gg\lambda\lambda)^2,$$

feu duo huiusmodi factores sint aequales, considerentur quasi infinite parum discrepantes, ut in altero loco  $\frac{f}{g}$  sit  $\frac{f}{g}(1 + \omega)$ , et ob

$$e^{\frac{-fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g}} (1 + \omega) = e^{\frac{-fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g}} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega fx}{g} \operatorname{cof} \zeta \right),$$

$$\operatorname{cof} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} (1 + \omega) = \operatorname{cof} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} - \frac{\omega fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} \operatorname{fin} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g}, \text{ et}$$

$$\operatorname{fin} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} (1 + \omega) = \operatorname{fin} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} + \frac{\omega fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} \operatorname{cof} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} :$$

ex hoc factore colligitur pars integralis

$$e^{\frac{-fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g}} \left\{ \mathfrak{A}' \operatorname{cof} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} - \mathfrak{A}' \frac{\omega fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g} \operatorname{cof} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} - \mathfrak{A}' \frac{\omega fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} \operatorname{fin} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} \right. \\ \left. + \mathfrak{B}' \operatorname{fin} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} - \mathfrak{B}' \frac{\omega fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g} \operatorname{fin} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} + \mathfrak{B}' \frac{\omega fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} \operatorname{cof} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} \right\}$$

cui prior addi debet. Hunc in finem constantes ita contrahamus ponendo

$$\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{A}' = \mathfrak{C}, \quad \frac{-\mathfrak{A}' \omega fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g} + \frac{\mathfrak{B}' \omega fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} = \mathfrak{D},$$

$$\mathfrak{B} + \mathfrak{B}' = \mathfrak{F}, \quad \frac{-\mathfrak{A}' \omega fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} - \frac{\mathfrak{B}' \omega fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g} = \mathfrak{H},$$

unde illae constantes utique determinantur, eritque pars integralis respondens

$$e^{\frac{-fx \operatorname{cof} \zeta}{g}} \left[ (\mathfrak{C} + \mathfrak{D}x) \operatorname{cof} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} + (\mathfrak{F} + \mathfrak{H}x) \operatorname{fin} \frac{fx \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{g} \right].$$

Scho-

## Scholion.

1130. En ergo vniuersam methodum huiusmodi æquationum differentialium integralia inueniendi

$$A y + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} = 0$$

ita in compendium contractam. Procedatur vt iste laterculus indicat

|           |     |  |   |  |  |           |  |
|-----------|-----|--|---|--|--|-----------|--|
| loco      | $y$ | $\left  \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right $ | $\left  \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} \right $ | $\left  \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \right $ | $\left  \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} \right $ | $\dots$   | $\left  \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} \right $ |
| scribatur | 1   | $  z$  | $  z^2$   | $  z^3$  | $  z^4$  | $  \dots$ | $  z^n$  |

vt oriatur hæc æquatio algebraica

$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + Ez^4 + \dots + Nz^n = 0$ ,  
cuius singuli factores reales, siue simplices siue duplicati, notentur, atque insuper casus quibus duo pluresue sunt inter se æquales, probe obseruentur. Tum cuiusmodi partes pro integrali quaesito ex singulis factoribus oriuntur, ex sequente tabella intelligere licet:

Factores

Partes integrales

|   |  |
|---|--|
| $f + gz$  | $\mathfrak{A} e^{\frac{-fx}{g}}$   |
| $(f + gz)^2$                                      | $(\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x) e^{\frac{-fx}{g}}$   |
| $(f + gz)^3$                                      | $(\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x + \mathfrak{C}x^2) e^{\frac{-fx}{g}}$   |
| $(f + gz)^4$                                      | $(\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x + \mathfrak{C}x^2 + \mathfrak{D}x^3) e^{\frac{-fx}{g}}$   |
| $ff + 2fgz \operatorname{cof.} \zeta + ggz z$     | $e^{\frac{-fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta}{g}} (\mathfrak{A} \operatorname{cof.} \frac{fx \sin \zeta}{g} + \mathfrak{B} \sin \frac{fx \sin \zeta}{g})$   |
| $(ff + 2fgz \operatorname{cof.} \zeta + ggz z)^2$ | $e^{\frac{-fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta}{g}} \left\{ (\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x) \operatorname{cof.} \frac{fx \sin \zeta}{g} \right\}^2$<br>$\left\{ (a + bx) \sin \frac{fx \sin \zeta}{g} \right\}^2$                        |
| $(ff + 2fgz \operatorname{cof.} \zeta + ggz z)^3$ | $e^{\frac{-fx \operatorname{cof.} \zeta}{g}} \left\{ (\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x + \mathfrak{C}xx) \operatorname{cof.} \frac{fx \sin \zeta}{g} \right\}^3$<br>$\left\{ (a + bx + cxx) \sin \frac{fx \sin \zeta}{g} \right\}^3$ |

etc.

Vol. II.

S s

Pro

Pro singulis autem factoribus diuersae litterae constantes scribi debent, vt integrale omnibus numeris completum obtineatur.

### Exemplum 1.

1131. *Aequationis differentialis quarti gradus*

$$y - \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} = 0$$

*integrale completum assignare.*

Hinc oritur aequatio algebraica

$$1 - 2z + 2zz - 2z^3 + z^4 = 0,$$

quae in hos factores resoluitur  $(1 - z)^2(1 + zz)$ , quorum prior ob  $f = 1$  et  $g = -1$  praebet hanc partem integralis  $(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}x)e^x$ , alter vero factor ob  $f = 1$ ,  $\cos. \zeta = 0$ ,  $g = 1$ , et  $\sin. \zeta = 1$ , dat  $\mathcal{A} \cos. x + \mathcal{B} \sin. x$ . Quare integrale completum, quod quaeritur, erit

$$y = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}x)e^x + \mathcal{C} \cos. x + \mathcal{D} \sin. x$$

continens quatuor constantes arbitrarias. Quod si velimus, vt posito  $x = 0$  fiat  $y = 0$ , fieri oportet  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{C} = 0$ , si etiam  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$  eodem casu euanescere debeat, ob

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}x)e^x - \mathcal{C} \sin. x + \mathcal{D} \cos. x$$

fieri debet  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D} = 0$ . Si praeterea  $\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}$  euanescere debeat, ob

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = (\mathcal{A} + 2\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}x)e^x - \mathcal{C} \cos. x - \mathcal{D} \sin. x$$

fieri debet  $\mathcal{A} + 2\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C} = 0$ . Quare his tribus conditionibus satisfaciemus sumendo  $\mathcal{C} = -\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = -\mathcal{A}$  et  $\mathcal{D} = 0$ ; ita vt sit integrale

$$y = \mathcal{A}(1 - x)e^x - \mathcal{A} \cos. x.$$

Exem-

## Exemplum 2.

1132. Aequationem differentialem quarti ordinis

$$Ay + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + E \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, integrare.

Aequatio algebraica ad integrationem perducens est

$$A + Cz^2 + Ez^2 = 0,$$

quae semper duos factores duplicatos reales habet, quorum forma duplex esse potest

$$\text{vel } (aa + 2maz + nzz)(aa - 2maz + nzz)$$

$$\text{vel } (aa + mzz)(aa + nzz).$$

Ex priori est

$$A = a^2, C = 2naa - 4mmaa, E = nn,$$

ex posteriore vero

$$A = a^2, C = (m+n)aa, E = mn:$$

semper autem terminum primum  $A$  biquadrato  $a^2$  repraesentare licet, et prior resolutio locum habet, si  $E$  sit numerus positivus, et  $2naa - C$  seu  $2\sqrt{AE} - C$  quoque positivus, ideoque  $4AE > CC$ . Posterior vero si  $CC > 4AE$ . Tum igitur videndum est, ad quamnam classem singuli factores pertineant, unde sequentes casus occurrent.

I. Si omnes quatuor factores simplices sunt reales, erit

$$A + Cz^2 + Ez^2 = (a+z)(a-z)(b+z)(b-z),$$

et haec habebitur aequatio

$$aabb - (aa + bb) \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$

cuius integrale completum est

$$y = \mathcal{A} e^{ax} + \mathcal{B} e^{-ax} + \mathcal{C} e^{bx} + \mathcal{D} e^{-bx},$$

S s 2

Ac

Ac si fit  $b = a$ , huius aequationis

$$a^2 y - \frac{2aa\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$

integrale completum erit

$$y = (\mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x) e^{ax} + (\mathfrak{C} + \mathfrak{D}x) e^{-ax}.$$

II. Si duo factores simplices sint reales, duo vero imaginarii, erit

$$A + Czz + E z^2 = (a + z)(a - z)(bb + zz),$$

et haec habebitur aequatio

$$aabb y + (aa - bb) \frac{\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$

cuius integrale completum est

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax} + \mathfrak{B} e^{-ax} + \mathfrak{C} \text{ cof. } bx + \mathfrak{D} \text{ sin. } bx.$$

III. Si omnes factores simplices sint imaginarii, duo casus sunt euoluendi :

$$1) \text{ si } A + Czz + E z^2 = (aa + zz)(bb + zz),$$

vnde huius aequationis

$$aabb y + (aa + bb) \frac{\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0$$

integrale completum erit

$$y = \mathfrak{A} \text{ cof. } ax + \mathfrak{B} \text{ sin. } ax + \mathfrak{C} \text{ cof. } bx + \mathfrak{D} \text{ sin. } bx:$$

$$2) \text{ si } A + Czz + E z^2 = (aa + 2az \text{ cof. } \zeta + zz)(aa - 2az \text{ cof. } \zeta + zz),$$

vnde huius aequationis

$$a^2 y - \frac{2aa\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} \text{ cof. } 2\zeta + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0,$$

integrale completum est

$$y = e^{ax \text{ cof. } \zeta} (\mathfrak{A} \text{ cof. } ax \text{ sin. } \zeta + \mathfrak{B} \text{ sin. } ax \text{ sin. } \zeta) \\ + e^{-ax \text{ cof. } \zeta} (\mathfrak{C} \text{ cof. } ax \text{ sin. } \zeta + \mathfrak{D} \text{ sin. } ax \text{ sin. } \zeta).$$

At si fit priori casu  $b = a$ , seu posteriori  $\text{cof. } \zeta = 0$ , huius aequationis

$a^2 y$



$$a^4 y + \frac{4 a^3 \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^4} = 0$$

integrale completum est

$$y = (A + Bx) \cos. ax + (C + Dx) \sin. ax.$$

## Scholion I.

1133. Cum igitur aequationis

$$Ay + \frac{C \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{E \partial^2 y}{\partial x^4} = 0$$

integrale assignari possit, omnes aequationes quas inde derivare licet, integrari poterunt. At haec aequatio per  $2 \partial y$  multiplicata per integrationem ad differentialem tertii ordinis reducitur

$$Ayy + \frac{C \partial y^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2E \partial y \partial^2 y - E \partial \partial y^2}{\partial x^4} = \text{Const.}$$

In integrali autem ante inuento constantes A, B, C, D ita definire licet, vt haec Const. euanescat, ideoque huius aequationis

$$Ayy + \frac{C \partial y^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2E \partial y \partial^2 y - E \partial \partial y^2}{\partial x^4} = 0$$

integrale completum erit in nostra potestate. Nunc ponatur  $y = e^{f v \partial x}$ , vt sit  $v = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$ , et ob  $\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = e^{f v \partial x} v$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} = e^{f v \partial x} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v v \right), \text{ atque}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = e^{f v \partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2v \partial v}{\partial x} + v^3 \right),$$

aequatio nostra hanc induit formam

$$A + C v v + E \left( \frac{v \partial \partial v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2v \partial v}{\partial x} + v^3 - \frac{\partial v^2}{\partial x^2} \right) = 0.$$

Sit porro  $\partial x = \frac{\partial v}{s}$ , vt sit

$$s = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial y \partial x^2} - \frac{\partial y^2}{\partial y \partial x^2}, \text{ erit}$$

$$\frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial x} = \partial s \text{ et } \frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial x^2} = \frac{s \partial s}{\partial v};$$

unde resultat haec aequatio differentialis primi gradus

$$A + C v v + E \left( \frac{v s \partial s}{\partial v} - s s + 4 v v s + v^4 \right) = 0,$$

cuius relatio inter  $v$  et  $s$  ita ex relatione inter  $x$  et  $y$  inuenta definitur, vt fit

$$v = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \text{ et } s = \frac{\partial \partial y - \partial y^2}{\partial x^2}.$$

### Scholion, 2.

1134. Retenta autem illa constante per integrationem ingressa, vt habeatur

$$Ayy + \frac{C\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2E\partial y \partial^2 y - E\partial y^2}{\partial x^2} = G,$$

in integrali completo, quo  $y$  per  $x$  exprimitur, constantes  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $D$ , quantitati huic  $G$  conformitur determinari poterunt. Nunc igitur ponatur  $\partial x = \frac{\partial y}{u}$ , vt fit  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = u$ , erit

$$\frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{u \partial u}{\partial y}, \text{ et } \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \partial \cdot \frac{u \partial u}{\partial y},$$

ideoque  $\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{u}{\partial y} \partial \cdot \frac{u \partial u}{\partial y}$ . Vnde obtinetur haec aequatio differentialis secundi gradus

$$Ayy + Cuu + E \left( \frac{u \partial u}{\partial y} \partial \cdot \frac{u \partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{u \partial u^2}{\partial y^2} \right) = G,$$

vbi consideratio elementi pro constante assumti est exuta. Nihil ergo impedit, quo minus sumamus  $\partial y$  pro constante, fietque

$$Ayy + Cuu + E \left( \frac{u \partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{u \partial u^2}{\partial y^2} \right) = G,$$

quae ergo aequatio etiam integrari potest.

Vel si ponamus  $yy = p$  et  $uu = q$ , sumto elemento  $\partial p$  constante, prodibit haec aequatio

$$Ap + Cq + E \left( \frac{2pq \partial \partial q + 2q \partial p \partial q - p \partial q^2}{\partial p^2} \right) = G.$$

Vel si in illa aequatione ponatur  $u = r^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , erit

$$Ayy + Cr^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} E r^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial \partial r}{\partial y^2} = G.$$

Quarum formarum integratio sine hoc subsidio maxime ardua videtur.

Pro-

## Problema 146.

1135. Proposita aequatione differentiali ordinis cuiuscunque  $a^n y + \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} = 0$ , vbi elementum  $\partial x$  constans est affumtum, eius integrale completum inuestigare.

## Solutio.

Aequatio algebraica solutioni inferuiens est  $a^n + z^n = 0$ , pro cuius resolutione duos casus considerari conuenit, prout signum vel superius vel inferius valeat.

I. Valeat superius, vt haec proposita sit aequatio

$$a^n y + \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} = 0,$$

et formulae  $a^n + z^n$  factores reales sunt

$$a a - 2 a z \cos. \frac{\pi}{n} + z z, \quad a a - 2 a z \cos. \frac{3\pi}{n} + z z,$$

$$a a - 2 a z \cos. \frac{5\pi}{n} + z z, \text{ etc.}$$

quorum vltimus est vel  $a a - 2 a z \cos. \frac{n\pi}{n} + z z$  vel

$$a a - 2 a z \cos. \frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} + z z,$$

prout vel  $n$  vel  $n-1$  fuerit numerus impar, atque illo quidem casu loco factoris quadrati  $a a + 2 a z + z z$  eius radix  $a+z$  sumi debet.

Hinc istius aequationis integrale completum est

$$\begin{aligned} y = & + e^{a x \cos. \frac{\pi}{n}} (\mathcal{A} \cos. a x \sin. \frac{\pi}{n} + \mathcal{B} \sin. a x \sin. \frac{\pi}{n}) \\ & + e^{a x \cos. \frac{3\pi}{n}} (\mathcal{C} \cos. a x \sin. \frac{3\pi}{n} + \mathcal{D} \sin. a x \sin. \frac{3\pi}{n}) \\ & + e^{a x \cos. \frac{5\pi}{n}} (\mathcal{E} \cos. a x \sin. \frac{5\pi}{n} + \mathcal{F} \sin. a x \sin. \frac{5\pi}{n}), \\ & \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

cuius

cuius expressionis, si  $n$  sit numerus impar, vltima pars sit  $\mathfrak{A} e^{-ax}$ . Quod integrale etiam ita potest exhiberi

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{\pi}{n}} \operatorname{cof} (ax \sin \frac{\pi}{n} + a) + \mathfrak{B} e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{3\pi}{n}} \operatorname{cof} (ax \sin \frac{3\pi}{n} + b) \\ + \mathfrak{C} e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{5\pi}{n}} \operatorname{cof} (ax \sin \frac{5\pi}{n} + c) + \mathfrak{D} e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{7\pi}{n}} \operatorname{cof} (ax \sin \frac{7\pi}{n} + d) \\ + \text{etc.}$$

quae forma eousque continuari debet, quoad similes termini recurrant.

II. Si valeat signum inferius, propositaque sit haec aequatio

$$a^n y - \frac{d^n y}{dx^n} = 0,$$

formulae  $a^n - z^n$  factores reales sunt

$$a - z, \quad aa - 2z \operatorname{cof} \frac{2\pi}{n} + zz, \quad aa - 2az \operatorname{cof} \frac{4\pi}{n} + zz, \\ aa - 2az \operatorname{cof} \frac{6\pi}{n} + zz, \text{ etc.}$$

quorum si  $n$  numerus par, vltimus est  $a+z$ , sin autem impar  $aa - 2az \operatorname{cof} \frac{(n-1)\pi}{n} + zz$ .

Quare aequationis huius integrale completum est

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax} + e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{2\pi}{n}} (\mathfrak{B} \operatorname{cof} ax \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} + \mathfrak{C} \sin ax \sin \frac{2\pi}{n}) \\ + e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{4\pi}{n}} (\mathfrak{D} \operatorname{cof} ax \sin \frac{4\pi}{n} + \mathfrak{E} \sin ax \sin \frac{4\pi}{n}) \\ + e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{6\pi}{n}} (\mathfrak{F} \operatorname{cof} ax \sin \frac{6\pi}{n} + \mathfrak{G} \sin ax \sin \frac{6\pi}{n}), \\ \text{etc.}$$

quod integrale etiam ita exprimi potest

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax} + \mathfrak{B} e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{2\pi}{n}} \operatorname{cof} (ax \sin \frac{2\pi}{n} + b) \\ + \mathfrak{C} e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{4\pi}{n}} \operatorname{cof} (ax \sin \frac{4\pi}{n} + c) + \mathfrak{D} e^{ax \operatorname{cof} \frac{6\pi}{n}} \operatorname{cof} (ax \sin \frac{6\pi}{n} + d), \\ \text{etc.} \quad \text{quae}$$

quae forma eousque est continuanda, quamdiu termini a prioribus diuersi procedunt.

## Scholion I.

1136. Pro variis ergo exponentis  $n$  valoribus integralia sequenti modo se habebunt, ac primo quidem pro aequatione  $a^n y + \frac{d^n y}{dx^n} = 0$ .

I. Aequationis  $a y + \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = 0$  integrale est  
 $y = \mathfrak{A} e^{-ax}$ .

II. Aequationis  $a^2 y + \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = 0$  integrale est  
 $y = \mathfrak{A} \cos. (ax + a)$ .

III. Aequationis  $a^3 y + \frac{d^3 y}{dx^3} = 0$  integrale est  
 $y = \mathfrak{A} e^{\frac{1}{2} ax} \cos. (\frac{ax\sqrt{3}}{2} + a) + \mathfrak{B} e^{-ax}$ .

IV. Aequationis  $a^4 y + \frac{d^4 y}{dx^4} = 0$  integrale est  
 $y = \mathfrak{A} e^{\frac{ax}{\sqrt{2}}} \cos. (\frac{ax}{\sqrt{2}} + a) + \mathfrak{B} e^{-\frac{ax}{\sqrt{2}}} \cos. (\frac{ax}{\sqrt{2}} + b)$ .

V. Aequationis  $a^5 y + \frac{d^5 y}{dx^5} = 0$  integrale est  
 $y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax \cos. 36^\circ} \cos. (ax \sin. 36^\circ + a)$   
 $+ \mathfrak{B} e^{-ax \cos. 72^\circ} \cos. (ax \sin. 72^\circ + b) + \mathfrak{C} e^{-ax}$ .

VI. Aequationis  $a^6 y + \frac{d^6 y}{dx^6} = 0$  integrale est  
 $y = \mathfrak{A} e^{\frac{ax\sqrt{3}}{2}} \cos. (\frac{1}{2} ax + a)$   
 $+ \mathfrak{B} \cos. (ax + b) + \mathfrak{C} e^{-\frac{ax\sqrt{3}}{2}} \cos. (\frac{1}{2} ax + c),$   
 etc.

Simili autem modo pro altera forma

Vol. II.

T t

$a^n y$

$$a^n y - \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} = 0,$$

integrationes ad valores simpliciores exponentis  $n$  accommodatae ita se habebunt

I. Aequationis  $ay - \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = 0$  integrale est

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax}.$$

II. Aequationis  $a^2 y - \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = 0$  integrale est

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax} + \mathfrak{B} e^{-ax}.$$

III. Aequationis  $a^3 y - \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} = 0$  integrale est

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax} + \mathfrak{B} e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax} \operatorname{cof.} \left( \frac{ax\sqrt{3}}{2} + b \right).$$

IV. Aequationis  $a^4 y - \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} = 0$  integrale est

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax} + \mathfrak{B} \operatorname{cof.} (ax + b) + \mathfrak{C} e^{-ax}.$$

V. Aequationis  $a^5 y - \frac{\partial^5 y}{\partial x^5} = 0$  integrale est

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax} + \mathfrak{B} e^{ax \operatorname{cof.} 72^\circ} \operatorname{cof.} (ax \sin. 72^\circ + b) \\ + \mathfrak{C} e^{-ax \operatorname{cof.} 36^\circ} \operatorname{cof.} (ax \sin. 36^\circ + c).$$

VI. Aequationis  $a^6 y - \frac{\partial^6 y}{\partial x^6} = 0$  integrale est

$$y = \mathfrak{A} e^{ax} + \mathfrak{B} e^{\frac{1}{2}ax} \operatorname{cof.} \left( \frac{ax\sqrt{3}}{2} + b \right) \\ + \mathfrak{C} e^{-\frac{1}{2}ax} \operatorname{cof.} \left( \frac{ax\sqrt{3}}{2} + c \right) + \mathfrak{D} e^{-ax},$$

sicque quousque libuerit progredi licet

### Scholion 2.

1137. Quamuis methodus, qua hic sum usus, expedite integralia aequationum in proposita forma contentarum suppeditet, a principiis tamen integrationis omnino abhorret. Cum enim aequatio differentialis est altioris gradus, leges integrationis postulant, ut toties seorsim integretur, antequam ad relationem finitam inter binas variables perueniatur, et dum

fin.

ſingulae integrationes conſtanteſ arbitrarium recipiunt, hoc de-  
 mum modo integrale completum obtinetur. Haſtenus autem  
 vna quaſi operatione integrale poſtremum eruiſimus, cum omni-  
 bus conſtantibus, quibus id completum redditur; reuera ſcili-  
 cet ſola coniectura vtentes plura integralia particularia ſumus  
 adepti, atque naturam aequationis commode permittit, vt ex iis  
 integrale completum formare liceret. Verum ſi leges integran-  
 di ſtriſte obſeruare velimus, propoſita verbi gratia aequatione  
 differentiali quarti gradus, quadruplici integratione opus erit,  
 quarum prima ea reducatur ad aequationem differentialem  
 tertii gradus, tum vero iſta per nouam integrationem ad ae-  
 quationem differentialem ſecundi gradus, quae denuo integra-  
 ta ad gradum primum perducatur, haecque tandem iterum in-  
 tegrata relationem quaeritam inter binas variabiles patefaciat.  
 Atque hoc modo etiam aequationum hic tractatarum formam  
 reſoluere licet, vt per continuas integrationes ad gradus ſim-  
 pliciores redigatur, quibus tandem eadem integralia, quae  
 hic eliciimus, inueniantur. Cum autem haec methodus laſius  
 pateat, quam ad formas hic conſideratas, eiusque ope haec  
 aequatio generalior integrari queat

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx}{x} + \frac{Cx^2}{x^2} + \frac{Dx^3}{x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

denotante  $X$  functionem quamcunque ipſius  $x$ , cui reſoluendae  
 praecedens methodus minime ſufficit, nouam methodum ſta-  
 tim ad hanc formam generalioreſ accommodabo.

## CAPVT III.

DE

INTEGRATIONE AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIALIUM HVIVS FORMAE

$$X = Ay + \frac{B\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D\partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

## Problema 147.

1138.

Proposita aequatione differentiali

$$X = Ay + \frac{B\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D\partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + \frac{N\partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, et significante  $X$  functionem quamcunque ipsius  $x$ , inuenire functionem ipsius  $x$ , per quam haec aequatio multiplicata integrabilis euadat.

## Solutio.

Sit  $P\partial x$ , iste multiplicator quem quaerimus, et cuius prius membrum  $X$  eo integrabile reddatur, huius rationem ex altero membro definiri oportet. Facile autem intelligitur, formam huius multiplicatoris  $P$  eiusmodi fore  $e^{\lambda x}$ , ita ut quantitas  $\lambda$  definiri debeat. Sit ergo  $e^{\lambda x}\partial x$  multiplicator, atque hanc formam

$$e^{\lambda x}\partial x \left( Ay + \frac{B\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D\partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + \frac{N\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} \right),$$

integrabilem esse oportet, cuius integrale propterea statuatur

 $e^{\lambda x}$ 

S I T



$$e^{\lambda x} \left( A'y + \frac{B'\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C'\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{M'\partial^{n-1}y}{\partial x^{n-1}} \right),$$

ita vt huius differentiale cum illa forma congruere debet,  
quod cum fit

$$e^{\lambda x} \partial x \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left( \lambda A'y + \frac{\lambda B'\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\lambda C'\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{\lambda M'\partial^{n-1}y}{\partial x^{n-1}} \right) \\ & + \left( A'\partial y + \frac{B'\partial\partial y}{\partial x} + \dots + \frac{M'\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} \right) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

neceffe est fit

$$A' = \frac{A}{\lambda}, \quad B' = \frac{B - A'}{\lambda}, \quad C' = \frac{C - B'}{\lambda}, \quad D' = \frac{D - C'}{\lambda}, \dots$$

$$\dots M' = \frac{M - L'}{\lambda}, \text{ atque } M' = N. \text{ — Hinc erit}$$

$$A' = \frac{A}{\lambda},$$

$$B' = \frac{B}{\lambda} - \frac{A}{\lambda^2},$$

$$C' = \frac{C}{\lambda} - \frac{B}{\lambda^2} + \frac{A}{\lambda^3},$$

$$D' = \frac{D}{\lambda} - \frac{C}{\lambda^2} + \frac{B}{\lambda^3} - \frac{A}{\lambda^4},$$

$$M' = \frac{M}{\lambda} - \frac{L}{\lambda^2} + \frac{K}{\lambda^3} \dots \pm \frac{A}{\lambda^n}, \text{ et}$$

$$0 = \frac{N}{\lambda} - \frac{M}{\lambda^2} + \frac{L}{\lambda^3} \dots \mp \frac{A}{\lambda^{n+1}},$$

vbi ex vltima aequatione quantitas  $\lambda$  erui debet, quae aequatio induit hanc formam

$$A - B\lambda + C\lambda^2 - D\lambda^3 + E\lambda^4 \dots \pm N\lambda^n = 0,$$

vnde cum  $\lambda$  sortiatur  $n$  valores, totidem quoque multiplicatores inueniuntur.

T t 3

Videa-

Videamus, quomodo hae determinationes pro singulis valoribus exponentis  $n$  se habeant.

I. Si  $n = 1$ ; erit  $A - B\lambda = 0$ , tum vero  

$$A' = \frac{A}{\lambda} = B.$$

II. Si  $n = 2$ ; erit  $A - B\lambda + C\lambda^2 = 0$ , tum vero  

$$A' = \frac{A}{\lambda} = B - C\lambda \text{ et } B' = \frac{B\lambda - A}{\lambda^2} = C.$$

III. Si  $n = 3$ ; erit  $A - B\lambda + C\lambda^2 - D\lambda^3 = 0$  tum vero

$$A' = \frac{A}{\lambda} = B - C\lambda + D\lambda^2,$$

$$B' = \frac{B\lambda - A}{\lambda^2} = C - D\lambda \text{ et}$$

$$C' = \frac{C\lambda^2 - B\lambda + A}{\lambda^3} = D.$$

IV. Si  $n = 4$ ; erit  $A - B\lambda + C\lambda^2 - D\lambda^3 + E\lambda^4 = 0$ , tum vero

$$A' = \frac{A}{\lambda} = B - C\lambda + D\lambda^2 - E\lambda^3,$$

$$B' = \frac{B\lambda - A}{\lambda^2} = C - D\lambda + E\lambda^2,$$

$$C' = \frac{C\lambda^2 - B\lambda + A}{\lambda^3} = D - E\lambda,$$

$$D' = \frac{D\lambda^3 - C\lambda^2 + B\lambda - A}{\lambda^4} = E.$$

V. Si  $n = 5$ ; erit  $A - B\lambda + C\lambda^2 - D\lambda^3 + E\lambda^4 - F\lambda^5 = 0$ , tum vero

$$A' = \frac{A}{\lambda} = B - C\lambda + D\lambda^2 - E\lambda^3 + F\lambda^4$$

$$B' = \frac{B\lambda - A}{\lambda^2} = C - D\lambda + E\lambda^2 - F\lambda^3$$

$$C' = \frac{C\lambda^2 - B\lambda + A}{\lambda^3} = D - E\lambda + F\lambda^2$$

$$D' = \frac{D\lambda^3 - C\lambda^2 + B\lambda - A}{\lambda^4} = E - F\lambda$$

$$E' = \frac{E\lambda^4 - D\lambda^3 + C\lambda^2 - B\lambda + A}{\lambda^5} = F$$

atque ita porro.

Intento autem hoc multiplicatore  $e^{\lambda x} \partial x$ , prius aequationis membrum fit  $\int e^{\lambda x} X \partial x$ , et aequatio proposita, quae est differentialis gradus  $n$ , per integrationem reducitur ad hanc vno gradu simpliciore

$$\int e^{\lambda x} X \partial x = e^{\lambda x} \left( A'y + B' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C' \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + M' \frac{\partial^{n-1} y}{\partial x^{n-1}} \right).$$

### Corollarium 1.

1139. Integratione ergo hac prima instituta, aequatio proposita vno gradu deprimitur, et definitis coefficientibus  $A'$ ,  $B'$ ,  $C'$ , etc. ex superioribus formulis, aequatio integralis hac forma exhiberi potest

$$e^{-\lambda x} \int e^{\lambda x} X \partial x = A'y + B' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C' \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + M' \frac{\partial^{n-1} y}{\partial x^{n-1}}.$$

### Corollarium 2.

1140. Cum prius membrum  $e^{-\lambda x} \int e^{\lambda x} X \partial x$  sit functio ipsius  $x$  constantem arbitrariam inuoluens, si eius loco ponatur  $X'$ , haec aequatio similem formam habet atque ipsa proposita, ideoque eadem methodo iterum integrari et ad gradum differentialitatis  $n - 2$  reduci potest, quae huiusmodi formam habebit

$$X'' = A''y + B'' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C'' \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + L'' \frac{\partial^{n-2} y}{\partial x^{n-2}}.$$

### Corollarium 3.

1141. Hoc modo vterius progrediendo tandem ad aequationem differentialem primi gradus peruenietur

$$X^{(n-1)} = A^{(n-1)}y + B^{(n-1)} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x},$$

quae simili modo ad aequationem finitam  $X^{(n)} = A^{(n)}y$  reducitur, qua relatio inter ipsas variables  $x$  et  $y$  exprimitur.

Scho-

## Scholion.

1142. Haec igitur est methodus huiusmodi aequationes differentiales altiorum graduum successiue per gradus integrandi, ubi tot opus est integrationibus, quoti gradus differentialis fuerit ipsa aequatio proposita. Totum ergo negotium situm est in inuentione successiua coefficientium, quos ex praecedentibus ope multiplicatoris definiri oportet. In genere quidem lex, qua ii continuo ex antecedentibus determinantur, non ita est perspicua, vt inde forma integrabilis, extremi perspicui possit; verum quia ex capite superiori nouimus, casu quo primum membrum  $X$  euanescit, etiam vltimum integrale lege satis simplici contineri, idem hic vsu venire merito suspicamus, eamque legem facillime agnoscemus, si pedetentim a gradibus inferioribus ad altiores progrediamur. Ac primo quidem casu, quo aequatio est differentialis primi gradus  $X = Ay + B \frac{y}{x}$ , multiplicator erit  $e^{\lambda x} \partial x$ , posito  $A - \lambda B = 0$ , vt sit  $\lambda = \frac{A}{B}$ , et cum sit  $A' = \frac{A}{x} = B$ , integrale erit

$$\int e^{\lambda x} X \partial x = B e^{\lambda x} y \text{ seu } e^{-\lambda x} \int e^{\lambda x} X \partial x = B y.$$

Ad hanc similitudinem aequationes graduum altiorum euoluamus, ac formam integralis vltimi inuestigemus.

## Problema 148.

1143. Proposita aequatione differentiali secundi gradus

$$X = Ay + B \frac{y^2}{x^2} + C \frac{y^2}{x^2},$$

per duplicem integrationem relationem inter  $x$  et  $y$  inuestigare.

## Solutio.

Sit  $e^{\lambda x} \partial x$  multiplicator hanc aequationem per se integrabilem reddens, eritque  $A - B\lambda + C\lambda^2 = 0$ , tum sumatur

$$A' = \frac{A}{x} = B - C\lambda \text{ et } B' = \frac{B\lambda - A}{\lambda^2} = C,$$

posi-

positoque

$$e^{-\lambda x} f e^{\lambda x} X \partial x = X',$$

aequatio semel integrata est

$$X' = A' y + B' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}.$$

Huius iam multiplicator sit  $e^{\mu x} \partial x$ , eritque  $A' - B' \mu = 0$ , ac statuatur  $A'' = \frac{A'}{\mu} = B'$ ;positoque  $e^{-\mu x} f e^{\mu x} X' \partial x = X''$  habebimus  $X'' = A'' y$ , quae est aequatio bis integrata relationem quaesitam inter  $x$  et  $y$  exprimens.

Cum igitur hic sit  $A'' = B'$  et  $B' = C$ , erit  $A'' = C$ . Deinde loco  $A'$  et  $B'$  substitutis valoribus, aequatio  $A' - B' \mu = 0$  induit hanc formam

$$B - C \lambda - C \mu = 0, \text{ seu } B - C (\lambda + \mu) = 0,$$

ex qua cum sit  $\lambda + \mu = \frac{B}{C}$ , patet  $\lambda + \mu$  aequari summam binarum radicum aequationis  $A - B \lambda + C \lambda^2 = 0$ . Quoniam igitur  $\lambda$  eius vna est radix,  $\mu$  necessario eius alteram radicem denotat. Quare si ex aequatione proposita, vti in capite praecedente fecimus, hanc formemus aequationem  $A + Bz + Cz^2 = 0$ , eius radices erunt  $z = -\lambda$  et  $z = -\mu$ . Seu si factores eius statuamus  $C(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)$ , litterae  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  praebebunt valores  $\lambda$  et  $\mu$ . Hinc cum sit

$$X' = e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x \text{ erit}$$

$$X'' = e^{-\beta x} f e^{(\beta - \alpha)x} \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x. \text{ At}$$

$$f e^{(\beta - \alpha)x} \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} e^{(\beta - \alpha)x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x;$$

vnde concluditur

$$X'' = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x.$$

Quocirca aequationis propositae integrale completum est

$$Cy = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x$$

vbi litterae  $a$  et  $\beta$  ita sunt capiendae, vt fit

$$A + Bz + Cz z = C(a + z)(\beta + z).$$

### Corollarium 1.

1144. Si bini hi factores sint aequales, seu  $\beta = a$ , erit

$$X'' = e^{-ax} f \partial x f e^{ax} X \partial x = e^{-ax} x f e^{ax} X \partial x - e^{-ax} f e^{ax} X x \partial x,$$

ideoque casu

$$A + Bz + Cz z = C(a + z)^2,$$

aequationis nostrae integrale est

$$Cy = e^{-ax} (x f e^{ax} X \partial x - f e^{ax} X x \partial x).$$

### Corollarium 2.

1145. Si bini factores sint imaginarii, quod euenit si

$$A + Bz + Cz z = C(ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + z z), \text{ erit}$$

$$a = f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta) \text{ et}$$

$$\beta = f(\cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta) \text{ hinc}$$

$$e^{ax} = e^{f x \cos. \theta} (\cos. f x \sin. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. f x \sin. \theta) \text{ et}$$

$$e^{\beta x} = e^{f x \cos. \theta} (\cos. f x \sin. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. f x \sin. \theta), \text{ atque}$$

$$\beta - a = -2 \sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta.$$

### Corollarium 3.

1146. Quo haec facilius substituere queamus, fit brevitas gratia

$$e^{f x \cos. \theta} = m, \cos. f x \sin. \theta = p, \text{ et } \sin. f x \sin. \theta = q,$$

vt fit

$$e^{ax} = m p + m q \sqrt{-1}, \text{ et } e^{\beta x} = m p - m q \sqrt{-1}.$$

Hinc fit

$$f e^{ax} X \partial x = f m p X \partial x + f m q X \partial x \sqrt{-1} \text{ et}$$

$$f e^{\beta x} X \partial x = f m p X \partial x - f m q X \partial x \sqrt{-1}.$$

Tum

Tum vero est

$$e^{-\alpha x} = \frac{p - q\sqrt{-1}}{m}, \text{ et } e^{-\beta x} = \frac{p + q\sqrt{-1}}{m}.$$

### Corollarium 4.

1147. Ex his colligimus

$$e^{-\alpha x} \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x = \frac{p}{m} \int m p X \partial x - \frac{q\sqrt{-1}}{m} \int m p X \partial x \\ + \frac{q\sqrt{-1}}{m} \int m q X \partial x + \frac{q}{m} \int m q X \partial x;$$

et sumto  $\sqrt{-1}$  negatiuo, prodit  $e^{-\beta x} \int e^{\beta x} X \partial x$ , quae forma inde subtracta relinquit

$$- \frac{q\sqrt{-1}}{m} \int m p X \partial x + \frac{p\sqrt{-1}}{m} \int m q X \partial x;$$

hocque residuum diuidi debet per

$$\beta - \alpha = -2\sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } \theta.$$

Vnde integrale colligitur

$$Cy = \frac{q}{m \text{fin. } \theta} \int m p X \partial x - \frac{p}{m \text{fin. } \theta} \int m q X \partial x.$$

### Corollarium 5.

1148. Restituantur pro  $m$ ,  $p$ ,  $q$  valores assumti, atque aequationis nostrae, si fuerit

$$A + Bz + Cz z = C(ff + 2fz \text{cos. } \theta + z z)$$

integrale erit

$$Cy = e^{-fx \text{cos. } \theta} \left( \frac{\text{fin. } f x \text{fin. } \theta}{\text{fin. } \theta} \int e^{fx \text{cos. } \theta} X \partial x \text{cos. } f x \text{fin. } \theta - \frac{\text{cos. } f x \text{fin. } \theta}{\text{fin. } \theta} \int e^{fx \text{cos. } \theta} X \partial x \text{fin. } f x \text{fin. } \theta \right),$$

quae ergo expressio aequialet illi, si  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  valores imaginarios obtineant.

### Problema 149.

1149. Proposita aequatione differentiali tertii gradus

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3}$$

per triplicem integrationem eius integrale completum inuenire.

V v 2

Solu-

## Solutio.

Posito multiplicatore  $e^{\lambda x} \partial x$ , debet esse

$$A - B\lambda + C\lambda^2 - D\lambda^3 = 0,$$

tum fumatur

$$A' = B - C\lambda + D\lambda^2, \quad B' = C - D\lambda \quad \text{et} \quad C' = D,$$

positoque

$$e^{-\lambda x} \int e^{\lambda x} X \partial x = X',$$

aequatio semel integrata praebet

$$X' = A' y + B' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C' \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}.$$

Huius porro multiplicator statuatur  $e^{\mu x} \partial x$ , ut sit

$$A' - B'\mu + C'\mu^2 = 0,$$

fumaturque

$$A'' = B' - C'\mu \quad \text{et} \quad B'' = C',$$

et posito

$$e^{-\mu x} \int e^{\mu x} X' \partial x = X'',$$

aequatio secunda integralis est

$$X'' = A'' y + B'' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x},$$

cuius multiplicator erit  $e^{\nu x} \partial x$ , fumendo  $A'' - B''\nu = 0$ , et posito  $A''' = B''$ , erit aequatio integralis tertia

$$e^{-\nu x} \int e^{\nu x} X'' \partial x = A''' y = D y,$$

quaeri ergo oportet quantitates  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ . Est vero primo

$$A - B\lambda + C\lambda^2 - D\lambda^3 = 0, \quad \text{tum}$$

$$B - C(\lambda + \mu) + D(\lambda\lambda + \lambda\mu + \mu\mu) = 0,$$

et ob

$$A'' = C - D(\lambda + \mu) \quad \text{et} \quad B'' = D,$$

erit tertio

$$C - D(\lambda + \mu + \nu) = 0;$$



ex qua postrema aequalitate patet,  $\lambda + \mu + \nu$  aequari summae radicum aequationis primae, cuius  $\lambda$  est vna radix. Quod autem  $\mu$  et  $\nu$  sint reliquae radices, hoc modo ostenditur. Consideretur aequatio

$$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 = 0,$$

cuius si vna radix sit  $z = -\lambda$ , seu  $\lambda + z$  vnus factor, diuidatur per eum aequatio, ac prodibit

$$Dz^2 + (C - D\lambda)z + B - C\lambda + D\lambda\lambda = 0,$$

quo est ipsa aequatio secunda  $C'z^2 + B'z + A' = 0$ , cuius radices sunt  $z = -\mu$  et  $z = -\nu$ , seu factores  $(\mu + z)$ ,  $(\nu + z)$ , vti in problemate praecedente ostendimus. Quare si formulae

$$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3,$$

factores sint

$$D(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z),$$

pro integrali vltimo inueniendo ponatur

$$e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x = X', \quad e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X' \partial x = X'', \quad \text{et}$$

$$e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X'' \partial x = X''',$$

eritque  $Dy = X'''$ . Verum per reductionem integralium est, vti supra vidimus

$$X'' = \frac{1}{\beta - \alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x,$$

hincque porro

$$f e^{\gamma x} X''' \partial x = \frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)} e^{(\gamma - \alpha)x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - \frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x \\ + \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)} e^{(\gamma - \beta)x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x - \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x,$$

vbi bini postremi termini contrahuntur in

$$\frac{1}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\gamma - \beta)} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x.$$

Quamobrem integrale quaesitum est

V v 3

Dy

$$Dy = \frac{e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)} + \frac{e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)} + \frac{e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)}.$$

## Corollarium 1.

1150. Si formulæ  $A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3$  duo factores fuerint aequales, puta  $\gamma = \beta$ , erit

$$f e^{\beta x} X'' \partial x = \frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)^2} e^{(\beta - \alpha)x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - \frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)^2} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x \\ + \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} x f e^{\beta x} X \partial x - \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} f e^{\beta x} X x \partial x,$$

ideoque integrale hoc casu erit

$$Dy = \frac{e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)^2} + \frac{e^{-\beta x} x f e^{\beta x} X \partial x - e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X x \partial x}{\alpha - \beta}.$$

## Corollarium 2.

1151. Si omnes tres factores sint aequales, seu  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ , erit

$$e^{\alpha x} X'' = f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x = x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - f e^{\alpha x} X x \partial x, \text{ et}$$

$$e^{\alpha x} X''' = f e^{\alpha x} X'' \partial x = f \partial x f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

$$e^{\alpha x} X''' = \frac{1}{2} x x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - x f e^{\alpha x} X x \partial x + \frac{1}{2} f e^{\alpha x} X x x \partial x,$$

vnde integrale hoc casu erit

$$Dy = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\alpha x} (x x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - 2 x f e^{\alpha x} X x \partial x + f e^{\alpha x} X x x \partial x),$$

seu

$$Dy = e^{-\alpha x} f \partial x f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x.$$

## Scholion.

1152. In genere etiam nulla integralium reductione adhibita integrale nostræ aequationis ita exprimi potest, vt sit

$$Dy = e^{-\gamma x} f e^{(\gamma - \beta)x} \partial x f e^{(\beta - \alpha)x} \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x,$$

posito

$$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 = D(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z),$$

vbi

vbi imprimis notatu dignum occurrit, quod ternas litteras  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  quomodocunque inter se permutare licet, ita vt haec integralis expressio sex modis variari possit. In problemate etiam praecedente, vbi duo tantum factores occurrunt

$$C(\alpha + z)(\beta + z) = A + Bz + Cz^2,$$

aequationis

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}$$

integrale completum ita exhiberi potest

$$Cy = e^{-\beta x} \int e^{(\beta - \alpha)x} \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x,$$

ac permutatis litteris  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  etiam hoc modo

$$Cy = e^{-\alpha x} \int e^{(\alpha - \beta)x} \partial x f e^{\beta x} X \partial x.$$

Quarum formularum aequalitas si fuerit perspecta, id quod tentanti facile patebit, praecedentium quoque variationem declarat. Sit enim  $e^{-\alpha x} \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x = X'$ , erit pro superiori formula

$$Dy = e^{-\gamma x} \int e^{(\gamma - \beta)x} \partial x f e^{\beta x} X' \partial x,$$

cui cum aequalis sit ista

$$Dy = e^{-\beta x} \int e^{(\beta - \gamma)x} \partial x f e^{\gamma x} X' \partial x,$$

erit etiam pro  $X'$  valore restituto

$$Dy = e^{-\beta x} \int e^{(\beta - \gamma)x} \partial x f e^{(\gamma - \alpha)x} \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x,$$

quae a prima hoc tantum differt, quod litterae  $\beta$  et  $\gamma$  sunt permutatae. Quod autem etiam litterae  $\beta$  et  $\gamma$  cum  $\alpha$  permutari queant, hoc modo difficiliter ostenditur, ex reductione autem in solutione adhibita, atque adeo ex ipsa solutionis indole per se est manifestum.

### Problema 150.

1153. Proposita aequatione differentiali quarti gradus

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + E \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4},$$

sumto

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, et denotante  $X$  functionem quamcunque ipsius  $x$ , eius integrale inuestigare.

### Solutio.

In subsidium vocetur formula algebraica ex aequatione proposita facile formanda

$$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + Ez^4 = P,$$

quae in factores suos simplices resoluatur, vt fit

$$P = E(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z)(\delta + z),$$

et multiplicator aequationem nostram integrabilem reddens erit  $e^{\lambda x} \partial x$ , fumendo  $\lambda$  aequali vni litterarum  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ ; fumatur ergo  $\lambda = \alpha$ , vt fit multiplicator  $e^{\alpha x} \partial x$ , atque posito  $e^{-\alpha x} \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x = X'$ , aequatio semel integrata erit

$$X' = A'y + B' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C' \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D' \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3},$$

vbi  $A', B', C', D'$  ita determinantur, vt fit

$$A' = \frac{A}{\alpha}, B' = \frac{-A + B\alpha}{\alpha^2}, C' = \frac{C\alpha^2 - B\alpha + A}{\alpha^3}, D' = \frac{D\alpha^3 - C\alpha^2 + B\alpha - A}{\alpha^4},$$

seu

$$A' = \frac{A}{\alpha}, B' = \frac{B - A'}{\alpha}, C' = \frac{C - B'}{\alpha}, D' = \frac{D - C'}{\alpha},$$

vel etiam

$$A = A'\alpha, B = B'\alpha + A', C = C'\alpha + B', D = D'\alpha + C'.$$

Ex quibus determinationibus liquet, si ponatur

$$A' + B'z + C'z^2 + D'z^3 = Q,$$

hanc formulam  $Q$  nasci ex formula  $P$ , si haec per  $\alpha + z$  dividatur, ita vt fit

$$Q = \frac{P}{\alpha + z} = E(\beta + z)(\gamma + z)(\delta + z).$$

Eodem ergo modo secundam integrationem instituemus ope multiplicatoris  $e^{\beta x} \partial x$ , et posito

$$e^{-\beta x} \int e^{\beta x} X' \partial x = X'',$$

erit

erit aequatio integralis

$$X'' = A'' y + B'' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C'' \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2},$$

coefficientibus  $A''$ ,  $B''$ ,  $C''$  ita sumtis, vt fit

$$A'' + B'' z + C'' z^2 = \frac{P}{(a+z)(\beta+z)} = E (\gamma + z)(\delta + z).$$

Hinc porro ope multiplicatoris  $e^{\gamma x} \partial x$  integrando, si ponamus  $e^{-\gamma x} \int e^{\gamma x} X'' \partial x = X'''$ , inueniemus

$$X''' = A''' y + B''' \frac{\partial y}{\partial x},$$

existente

$$A''' + B''' z = \frac{P}{(a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)} = E (\delta + z).$$

Ac tandem ope multiplicatoris  $e^{\delta x} \partial x$ , posita forma

$$e^{-\delta x} \int e^{\delta x} X''' \partial x = X'''' ,$$

integrale vltimum reperitur

$$X'''' = A'''' y \text{ existente } A'''' = E.$$

Haec igitur omnia colligendo, integrale quaesitum erit

$$E y = e^{-\delta x} \int e^{(\delta - \gamma)x} \partial x \int e^{(\gamma - \beta)x} \partial x \int e^{(\beta - a)x} \partial x \int e^{ax} X \partial x,$$

quae expressio iam sine vllis ambagibus ex resolutione formae principalis

$$P = A + B z + C z^2 + D z^3 + E z^4,$$

in factores scilicet

$$P = E (a + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z)(\delta + z),$$

confici potest, vbi notandum quomocunque ordo litterarum  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  permutetur, pro  $E y$  semper eundem valorem prodire debere.

### Corollarium I.

1154. Cum fit  $X' = e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X \partial x$ , erit vti iam vidimus

Vol. II.

X x

X''

$$X'' = e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X' \partial x = e^{-\beta x} \left( \frac{e^{(\beta-a)x}}{\beta-a} f e^{ax} X \partial x - \frac{e^{\gamma x}}{\beta-a} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x \right),$$

feu

$$X'' = \frac{e^{-ax} f e^{ax} X \partial x}{\beta-a} + \frac{e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{a-\beta}.$$

### Corollarium 2.

1155. Porro ob  $X''' = e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X'' \partial x$ , erit simili modo reductionem instituendo

$$X''' = \frac{e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)} + \frac{e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(a-\gamma)} \\ + \frac{e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)} + \frac{e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\beta-\gamma)},$$

quae reducitur ad hanc formam

$$X''' = \frac{e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)} + \frac{e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)} + \frac{e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)}.$$

### Corollarium 3.

1156. Hinc simili modo euoluitur valor  $X''''$ , vbi quidem sufficeret primum membrum eruisse, quippe ex quo ob permutabilitatem reliqua sponte formantur. Hoc modo integrale nostrae aequationis reperietur hac forma expressum

$$E y = \frac{e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)(\delta-a)} + \frac{e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)(\delta-\beta)} \\ + \frac{e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)(\delta-\gamma)} + \frac{e^{-\delta x} f e^{\delta x} X \partial x}{(a-\delta)(\beta-\delta)(\gamma-\delta)}.$$

### Scholion.

1157. Si duae pluresue radices sint aequales vel imaginariae, integralia inuenta transformationem postulant, eam deinceps inuestigabimus. Atque haec postrema quidem forma magis

magis apta videtur, vnde transformationes repetantur. Ita pro factorum aequalitate si sit  $\delta = \gamma$ , bina postrema membra tantum reductionem postulant, ad quam inueniendam ponatur  $\delta = \gamma - \omega$ , et penultimum membrum erit  $-\frac{e^{-\gamma x} / e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{\omega (\alpha - \gamma) (\beta - \gamma)}$ ; pro ultimo autem notandum est, esse

$$\frac{1}{\alpha - \delta} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \gamma + \omega} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \gamma} - \frac{\omega}{(\alpha - \gamma)^2}, \text{ et } \frac{1}{\beta - \delta} = \frac{1}{\beta - \gamma} - \frac{\omega}{(\beta - \gamma)^2},$$

hincque

$$\frac{1}{(\alpha - \delta)(\beta - \delta)} = \frac{1}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)} + \frac{\omega(\alpha\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{(\alpha - \gamma)^2(\beta - \gamma)^2}, \text{ vnde}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\alpha - \delta)(\beta - \delta)(\gamma - \delta)} = \frac{1}{\omega(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)} + \frac{\alpha\gamma - \alpha - \beta}{(\alpha - \gamma)^2(\beta - \gamma)^2}.$$

Tum vero pro numeratore erit

$$e^{-\delta x} = e^{-\gamma x} (1 + \omega x), \text{ et } e^{\delta x} = e^{\gamma x} (1 - \omega x),$$

ideoque

$$e^{-\delta x} f e^{\delta x} X \partial x = e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x + \omega e^{-\gamma x} x f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x - \omega e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X x \partial x,$$

atque hinc bina vltima membra ob terminos per  $\omega$  diuisos se destruentes, abeunt in hanc formam

$$\frac{(2\gamma - \alpha - \beta) e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)^2 (\beta - \gamma)^2} + \frac{e^{-\gamma x} x f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x - e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X x \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma) (\beta - \gamma)},$$

quae expressio etiam ex priori forma elicitur. Eodem modo problema in genere resolui potest.

### Problema 151.

1158. Proposita aequatione differentiali cuiuscunque gradus

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, et denotante  $\lambda$  functionem quamcunque ipsius  $x$ , eius integrale inuestigare.

X x 2

So-

## Solutio.

Formetur ex hac aequatione formula algebraica

$$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n = P,$$

quae in factores simplices resoluatur, ut sit

$$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z) \dots (\nu + z),$$

quorum numerus est  $n$ . Quodsi iam simili modo per singulas integrationes continuo progrediamur, tandem ad hanc aequationem integram extremam perueniemus

$$Ny = e^{-\nu x} f e^{(\nu-\mu)x} \partial x f e^{(\mu-\lambda)x} \partial x f \dots f e^{(\beta-\alpha)x} \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x,$$

seu cum factores inter se permutare liceat, erit etiam

$$Ny = e^{-\alpha x} f e^{(\alpha-\beta)x} \partial x f e^{(\beta-\gamma)x} \partial x f \dots f e^{(\mu-\nu)x} \partial x f e^{\nu x} X \partial x.$$

Haec vero expressio per similes reductiones, quibus supra sumus vsi, in sequentes partes resolui potest, ad quas commodius repraesentandas sit breuitatis gratia

$$(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)(\delta - \alpha) \dots (\nu - \alpha) = \alpha',$$

$$(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)(\delta - \beta) \dots (\nu - \beta) = \beta',$$

$$(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)(\delta - \gamma) \dots (\nu - \gamma) = \gamma',$$

$$(\alpha - \nu)(\beta - \nu)(\gamma - \nu) \dots (\mu - \nu) = \nu',$$

hincque erit

$$Ny = \frac{1}{\alpha'} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\beta'} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x \\ + \frac{1}{\gamma'} e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x + \dots + \frac{1}{\nu'} e^{-\nu x} f e^{\nu x} X \partial x.$$

Ne autem opus sit ad valores  $\alpha'$ ,  $\beta'$ ,  $\gamma'$ , etc. inueniendos, tot factores in se inuicem multiplicare, cum sit

$$\frac{1}{N(\mu+z)} = (\beta+z)(\gamma+z)(\delta+z) \dots (\nu+z),$$

cui-



euidens est, hanc formulam praeberere valorem  $\alpha'$ , si in ea statuatur  $z = -\alpha$ ; hoc autem casu fractionis  $\frac{P}{N(x+\alpha)}$  tam numerator, quam denominator euanescit, ex quo eius valor erit  $\frac{\partial P}{\partial z}$ . Quare cum sit

$$P = A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n, \text{ erit}$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = B + 2Cz + 3Dz^2 + \dots + nNz^{n-1},$$

quae expressio vocetur Q, vnde patet fore

$$\alpha' = \frac{Q}{N} \text{ posito } z = -\alpha,$$

$$\beta' = \frac{Q}{N} \text{ posito } z = -\beta,$$

$$\gamma' = \frac{Q}{N} \text{ posito } z = -\gamma,$$

etc.

Vel cum his valoribus substitutis aequatio integralis per N diuidi queat, sequentes va ores colligantur

$$B - 2C\alpha + 3D\alpha^2 - 4E\alpha^3 \dots \pm nN\alpha^{n-1} = \mathfrak{A},$$

$$B - 2C\beta + 3D\beta^2 - 4E\beta^3 \dots \pm nN\beta^{n-1} = \mathfrak{B},$$

$$B - 2C\gamma + 3D\gamma^2 - 4E\gamma^3 \dots \pm nN\gamma^{n-1} = \mathfrak{C},$$

⋮

$$B - 2C\nu + 3D\nu^2 - 4E\nu^3 \dots \pm nN\nu^{n-1} = \mathfrak{N},$$

quibus constitutis erit integrale quaesitum

$$y = \frac{1}{N} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{N} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{N} e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x + \text{etc.}$$

quoad omnes factores fuerint in computum ducti.

Corollarium 1.

1159. Cum sit

$$\alpha' = \frac{\mathfrak{A}}{N}, \beta' = \frac{\mathfrak{B}}{N}, \gamma' = \frac{\mathfrak{C}}{N}, \text{ etc. erit}$$

$$\mathfrak{A} = N\alpha', \mathfrak{B} = N\beta', \mathfrak{C} = N\gamma', \text{ etc.}$$

X x 3

Hinc

Hinc ob

$P = A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z) \dots (\nu + z)$ ,  
erit

$$\mathfrak{A} = \frac{P}{\alpha + z} \text{ posito } z = -\alpha,$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{P}{\beta + z} \text{ posito } z = -\beta,$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = \frac{P}{\gamma + z} \text{ posito } z = -\gamma,$$

et ita porro.

### Corollarium 2.

1160. Regula ergo huius aequationis propositae

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

integrale completum inueniendi ita se habet. Formetur inde formula algebraica haec

$$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n = P,$$

cuius quaerantur omnes factores simplices, qui sint

$$\alpha + z, \beta + z, \gamma + z, \delta + z, \text{ etc.}$$

quorum multitudo numero  $n$  est aequalis, tum pro singulis his factoribus sequentes quantitates constantes definiantur  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}$ , etc. ut sit

$$\mathfrak{A} = \frac{P}{\alpha + z} \text{ posito } z = -\alpha, \text{ seu}$$

$$\mathfrak{A} = B - 2C\alpha + 3D\alpha^2 - 4E\alpha^3 \dots \pm nN\alpha^{n-1},$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = \frac{P}{\beta + z} \text{ posito } z = -\beta, \text{ seu}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = B - 2C\beta + 3D\beta^2 - 4E\beta^3 \dots \pm nN\beta^{n-1},$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = \frac{P}{\gamma + z} \text{ posito } z = -\gamma, \text{ seu}$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = B - 2C\gamma + 3D\gamma^2 - 4E\gamma^3 \dots \pm nN\gamma^{n-1},$$

his omnibus inuentis erit integrale quaesitum

$$y =$$

$y = \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\gamma} e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x + \text{etc.}$   
 quae forma tot constat partibus, quot fuerint factores simplices in formula P.

### Corollarium 3.

1161. Cum hoc modo integrale tot constet partibus, quoti ordinis est aequatio differentialis proposita, et vna quaeque pars per integrationem vnam inuehat constantem arbitriariam; manifestum est integrale ope huius regulae inuentum fore completum.

### Scholion.

1162. Integratio ergo huiusmodi aequationum differentialium nulla amplius laborat difficultate, si modo formulae illius algebraicae P omnes factores simplices, seu quod eodem redit, huius aequationis algebraicae

$$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n = 0,$$

omnes radices numero  $n$  assignari queant. Hic vero duplicis generis casus occurrunt, quibus haec integratio vehementer impeditur, quando scilicet vel duo pluresue eorum factorum simplicium inter se sunt aequales, vel imaginarii, quo quidem posteriori casu hoc tantum incommodi accedit, quod partes quaequam integralis inuenti imaginaria inuoluant, quae autem facta reductione se mutuo destruunt. Priori vero casu partes ex factoribus aequalibus oriundae adeo fiunt infinitae, sed ita diuersis signis affectae, vt coniunctim nihilominus quantitatem finitam referant, cuius valorem nonnisi per plures ambages elicere licet, vbi probe notandum est, vtroque casu inuentionem reliquarum integralis partium, quae factoribus inaequalibus conueniunt, reutiquam hinc turbari. Methodum autem huic fini accommodatam in sequenti problemate explicabo.

Pro-

## Problema 152.

1163. Proposita aequatione differentiali cuiuscunque gradus

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} + E \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^4} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

si forma algebraica inde facta

$$P = A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + Ez^4 + \dots + Nz^n$$

duos pluresue factores simplices inter se habeat aequales, partem integralis inde oriundam inuestigare.

## Solutio.

Sint primo duo factores  $\alpha + z$  et  $\beta + z$  inter se aequales, seu  $\beta = \alpha$ , reliquus vero factor formae  $P$  sit  $= Q$ , ut habeatur

$$P = (\alpha + z)(\beta + z)Q = (\alpha + z)^2 Q,$$

posito autem  $z = -\alpha$ , abeat  $Q$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Iam initio saltem litterae  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  vt diuersae spectentur, excepta quantitate  $\mathfrak{C}$  quae vtrinque sit eadem, atque pro binis integralis partibus ex his binis factoribus oriundis habebimus

$$\mathfrak{A} = (\beta - \alpha)\mathfrak{C} \text{ et } \mathfrak{B} = (\alpha - \beta)\mathfrak{C}.$$

Partes autem integralis inde oriundae littera  $v$  designentur, vt fit

$$(\beta - \alpha)\mathfrak{C}v = e^{-\alpha x} \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - e^{-\beta x} \int e^{\beta x} X \partial x;$$

vnde differentiendo colligimus

$$(\beta - \alpha)\mathfrak{C} \partial v = -\alpha e^{-\alpha x} \partial x \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \beta e^{-\beta x} \partial x \int e^{\beta x} X \partial x,$$

ad hanc addatur prior per  $\beta \partial x$  multiplicata, fietque

$$(\beta - \alpha)\mathfrak{C} \partial v + (\beta - \alpha)\mathfrak{C} \beta v \partial x = (\beta - \alpha) e^{-\alpha x} \partial x \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x,$$

quae per  $\beta - \alpha$  diuisa, et per  $e^{-\alpha x}$  multiplicata, ob  $\beta = \alpha$ , integrale praebet

$$\mathfrak{C} e^{\alpha x} v = \int \partial x \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x.$$

Quo-

Quocirca loco binarum partium ex factoribus aequalibus  $\alpha + z$  et  $\beta + z$  oriundarum scribi oportet hanc formulam

$$v = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\alpha z} \int \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x,$$

vbi  $\mathcal{E}$  oritur ex forma  $\frac{P}{(\alpha + z)^2}$  posito  $z = -\alpha$ .

Ponamus iam formulam P tres habere factores simplices aequales, vt sit  $\alpha + z = \beta + z = \gamma + z$ , quosquidem initio vt diuerfos spectemus.

Ponamus ergo  $P = (\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z)Q$ , abeatque Q in  $\mathfrak{M}$ , posito  $z = -\alpha$ , ac pro integralis partibus habebimus

$$\mathfrak{A} = (\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)\mathfrak{M}, \quad \mathfrak{B} = (\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)\mathfrak{M}, \\ \mathfrak{C} = (\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)\mathfrak{M}.$$

Hinc si summam trium integralis partium, quam quaerimus, littera  $v$  denotemus, erit

$$\mathfrak{M}v = \frac{e^{-\alpha x} \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)} + \frac{e^{-\beta x} \int e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)} + \frac{e^{-\gamma x} \int e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)}.$$

Cum nunc sit

$$\frac{1}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)} + \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)} + \frac{1}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)} = 0,$$

erit differentiando

$$\mathfrak{M} \partial v = \frac{-\alpha e^{-\alpha x} \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)} - \frac{\beta e^{-\beta x} \int e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)} - \frac{\gamma e^{-\gamma x} \int e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)},$$

ad quam si prima per  $\alpha$  multiplicata addatur, fit

$$\mathfrak{M} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \alpha v \right) = \frac{e^{-\beta x} \int e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{\gamma - \beta} + \frac{e^{-\gamma x} \int e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{\beta - \gamma}.$$

Haec aequatio denuo differentietur, vt prodeat

$$\mathfrak{M} \left( \frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\alpha \partial v}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{-\beta e^{-\beta x} \int e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{\gamma - \beta} - \frac{\gamma e^{-\gamma x} \int e^{\gamma x} X \partial x}{\beta - \gamma},$$

Vol. II.

Y y

ad

ad quam illa per  $\beta = \alpha$  multiplicata, si addatur, oritur

$$\mathfrak{M} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2\alpha \partial v}{\partial x} + \alpha \alpha v \right) = e^{-\gamma x} f e^{\gamma x} X \partial x = e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x;$$

vnde iam omnia incommoda sunt sublata. Multiplicetur nunc per  $e^{\alpha x} \partial x$ , et integratio dabit

$$\mathfrak{M} e^{\alpha x} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \alpha v \right) = f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x,$$

quae per  $\partial x$  multiplicata denuo fit integrabilis, proditque

$$\mathfrak{M} e^{\alpha x} v = f \partial x f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x.$$

Quocirca si forma P factorem habeat cubicum  $(\alpha + z)^3$ , quaeratur quantitas  $\mathfrak{M}$ , vt fit

$$\mathfrak{M} = \frac{P}{(\alpha + z)^3}, \text{ posito } z = -\alpha,$$

et integralis pars hinc oriunda erit

$$\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}} e^{-\alpha x} f \partial x f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x.$$

Simili modo si formula P quatuor habeat factores aequales, vt fit  $P = (\alpha + z)^4 Q$ , capiatur  $\mathfrak{M} = \frac{P}{(\alpha + z)^4}$ , seu  $\mathfrak{M} = Q$ , posito  $z = -\alpha$ , et integralis pars inde nata erit

$$\frac{1}{\mathfrak{M}} e^{-\alpha x} f \partial x f \partial x f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x:$$

sicque etiam casus, quibus formula P adhuc plures habet factores aequales, facile resoluentur.

*Nota.* Tota haec solutio est vitiosa, propterea quod licet quantitates  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , etc. quae ponuntur aequales, vt diuersae spectentur, tamen pro singulis membris quantitas  $\mathfrak{M}$  eundem valorem retinere assumitur. Quodsi enim litterae  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , etc. infinite parum a se invicem discrepare concipiantur, etiam in valoribus littera  $\mathfrak{M}$  indicatis differentiam infinite paruum agnoscere oportet, vnde cum singulae partes integralis frant infinitae, iisque euolutis membra infinita se mutuo tollant, ex differentis infinite paruis litterae  $\mathfrak{M}$  partes quoque finitae emergunt. Correctionem horum errorum petere licet ex seq. Probl. 154, dum factores aequales in aequationem peculiarem coniciuntur. Malui autem hunc correctionis laborem industriae lectorum relinquere, quam hoc opus a tali errore liberare, saepe enim plus prodest errores, in quos etiam exercitatus incidere contingit, conseruari, quo melius harum rerum studiosi addiscant quanta circumspicione cauendum sit, ne in ratiocinando hallucinemur.

Corol-

## Corollarium 1.

1164. Notatu hic omnino est dignum, quod hae formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \partial v + \alpha v \partial x, \quad \partial \partial v + 2 \alpha \partial x \partial v + \alpha^2 v \partial x^2, \\ \partial^3 v + 3 \alpha \partial x \partial \partial v + 3 \alpha^2 \partial x^2 \partial v + \alpha^3 v^3 \partial x^3 \end{aligned}$$

et in genere haec

$$\partial^n v + \frac{n}{1} \alpha \partial x \partial^{n-1} v + \frac{n(n-1)}{1.2} \alpha^2 \partial x^2 \partial^{n-2} v + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1.2.3} \alpha^3 \partial x^3 \partial^{n-3} v + \text{etc.}$$

si semel per  $e^{\alpha x}$  multiplicentur, successiue toties integrationem admittant, quot unitates continet index  $n$ , ita vt postremum integrale sit  $e^{\alpha x}$ .

## Corollarium 2.

1165. Ratio autem huius phaenomeni inde est manifesta, quod si formula  $e^{\alpha x} v$  continuo differentietur, sumto elemento  $\partial x$  constante, formulae illae differentiales per  $e^{\alpha x}$  multiplicatae prodeant, ita vt sit

$$\partial^n . e^{\alpha x} v = e^{\alpha x} (\partial^n v + \frac{n}{1} \alpha \partial x \partial^{n-1} v + \frac{n(n-1)}{1.2} \alpha^2 \partial x^2 \partial^{n-2} v + \text{etc.})$$

## Corollarium 3.

1166. Aequae memoratu dignum est alterum phaenomenum, quod solutio ista nobis offert; sumtis scilicet numeris quibuscunque  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , etc. sequentes aequalitates semper locum habere, vt sit

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\alpha-\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha-\gamma)} + \frac{1}{(\beta-\alpha)(\beta-\gamma)} + \frac{1}{(\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta)} &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{(\alpha-\beta)(\alpha-\gamma)(\alpha-\delta)} + \frac{1}{(\beta-\alpha)(\beta-\gamma)(\beta-\delta)} + \frac{1}{(\gamma-\alpha)(\gamma-\beta)(\gamma-\delta)} + \frac{1}{(\delta-\alpha)(\delta-\beta)(\delta-\gamma)} &= 0, \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

quotcunque numeri hoc modo capiantur.

Y y 2

Corol-

## Corollarium 4.

1167. Si formula P in factores simplices resoluta ponatur

$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z) \dots (\mu + z)(\nu + z)$ ,  
 expressio integralis prius inuenta (1158.) quae erat

$Ny = e^{-\alpha x} f e^{(\beta-\gamma)x} \partial x f e^{(\gamma-\delta)x} \partial x \dots f e^{(\mu-\nu)x} \partial x f e^{\nu x} X \partial x$ ,  
 ob factores aequales nulla implicatur difficultate, forma autem posterior, qua integrale in partes ex singulis factoribus ortas distributum exhibetur, et quae ad usum multo magis accommodata videtur, eo difficiliore egebat evolutione.

## Scholion.

1168. Phaenomenum Corollario 3. observatum eo maiorem attentionem meretur, quod etiam ad Arithmetica vulgarem transferri potest, ubi usu adeo insigni non cariturum videtur, praecipue quod eius demonstratio minime sit, obuia, sed ex profundioribus Analyseos penetralibus repeti debeat, ex quo haud alienum fore arbitror, si huic insigni Theorematis arithmetico hic locum concedam, idque eo magis, quod solutio problematis hic exposita sine demonstratione istius Theorematis minime foret perfecta.

## Theorema Arithmeticum.

1169. Si habeantur numeri quotcumque  $a, b, c, d$ , etc. ex iisque dum a quolibet singuli reliqui subtrahantur, formetur sequentia producta

$$(a-b)(a-c)(a-d)(a-e) \text{ etc.} = \mathfrak{A}$$

$$(b-a)(b-c)(b-d)(b-e) \text{ etc.} = \mathfrak{B}$$

$$(c-a)(c-b)(c-d)(c-e) \text{ etc.} = \mathfrak{C}$$

$$(d-a)(d-b)(d-c)(d-e) \text{ etc.} = \mathfrak{D}$$

etc.

fem-



semper habebitur

$$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} + \text{etc.} = 0.$$

### Demonstratio.

Consideretur secundum principia in Introductione ad  
 Analysin infinitorum tradita haec fractio

$$\frac{Z}{(z-a)(z-b)(z-c)(z-d)\text{etc.}}$$

vbi Z denotet eiusmodi functionem rationalem integram ipsius z, in qua summa potestas ipsius z minor sit numero factorum denominatoris; haecque fractio resolui poterit in has fractiones simplices, quibus ea iunctim sumtis sit aequalis, scilicet

$$\frac{A}{z-a} + \frac{B}{z-b} + \frac{C}{z-c} + \frac{D}{z-d} + \text{etc.}$$

Ad quam resolutionem sumamus illum numeratorem  $Z = z^n$ , existente n numero integro minore quam denominator continet factores, atque hi numeratores ita definiuntur, vt sit

$$A = \frac{a^n}{(a-b)(a-c)(a-d)\text{etc.}}$$

$$B = \frac{b^n}{(b-a)(b-c)(b-d)\text{etc.}}$$

$$C = \frac{c^n}{(c-a)(c-b)(c-d)\text{etc.}}$$

Cum igitur istae fractiones negative sumtae nempe

$$\frac{A}{a-z} + \frac{B}{b-z} + \frac{C}{c-z} + \frac{D}{d-z} + \text{etc.}$$

ad fractionem propositam adiectae in nihilum abeant, si z sit numerorum propositorum a, b, c, d, etc. vltimus, quorum adeo multitudo maior est quam n + 1, ponatur

$$(a - b)(a - c)(a - d) \dots (a - z) = \mathfrak{A}$$

$$(b - a)(b - c)(b - d) \dots (b - z) = \mathfrak{B}$$

$$(c - a)(c - b)(c - d) \dots (c - z) = \mathfrak{C}$$

$$(d - a)(d - b)(d - c) \dots (d - z) = \mathfrak{D}$$

etc.

$$(z - a)(z - b)(z - c) \dots (z - y) = \mathfrak{Z}$$

vt fractio proposita sit  $\frac{z^n}{\mathfrak{Z}}$ . Atque hinc perspicuum est, summam omnium harum fractionum esse

$$\frac{a^n}{\mathfrak{A}} + \frac{b^n}{\mathfrak{B}} + \frac{c^n}{\mathfrak{C}} + \frac{d^n}{\mathfrak{D}} + \dots + \frac{z^n}{\mathfrak{Z}} = 0$$

dum sit  $n + 1$  minor numero terminorum. Sumto ergo  $n = 0$  oritur casus Theorematis.

### Corollarium I.

1170. Haec si transferantur ad numeros  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}$ , etc. supra (1160.) definitos, vbi aliquod leue discrimen in factorum constitutione probe est notandum, intelligetur esse

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{d} + \text{etc.} = 0 \\ & -\frac{\alpha}{\mathfrak{A}} - \frac{\beta}{\mathfrak{B}} - \frac{\gamma}{\mathfrak{C}} - \frac{\delta}{\mathfrak{D}} - \text{etc.} = 0 \\ & +\frac{\alpha^2}{\mathfrak{A}^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{\mathfrak{B}^2} + \frac{\gamma^2}{\mathfrak{C}^2} + \frac{\delta^2}{\mathfrak{D}^2} + \text{etc.} = 0 \\ & -\frac{\alpha^3}{\mathfrak{A}^3} - \frac{\beta^3}{\mathfrak{B}^3} - \frac{\gamma^3}{\mathfrak{C}^3} - \frac{\delta^3}{\mathfrak{D}^3} - \text{etc.} = 0 \\ & \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

donec perueniatur ad hanc formam

$$\pm \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{\mathfrak{A}} \pm \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{\mathfrak{B}} \pm \frac{\gamma^{n-1}}{\mathfrak{C}} \pm \frac{\delta^{n-1}}{\mathfrak{D}} \pm \text{etc.}$$

eius summa non amplius est euanesceas, sed aequalis fractioni  $\frac{1}{\mathfrak{Z}}$ .

Co-

## Corollarium 2.

1171. Hoc etiam ex evolutione formae in Theoremate adhibitae colligere licet; etenim si ea statuatur

$$\frac{z^{n-1}}{(z-a)(z-b)(z-c)\dots(z-y)}$$

existente omnium litterarum  $a, b, c$ , etc. numero  $= n$ , quia hic numerator  $z^{n-1}$  tot habet dimensiones, quot sunt factores in denominatore, pars integra in hac fractione contenta est unitas; quae etiam facta resolutione conseruatur, et in applicatione ad casum memoratum abit in  $\frac{1}{k}$ .

## Scholion.

1172. Post huius Theorematis demonstrationem demum clare a posteriori ostendi potest, quemadmodum integrale supra (1160.) exhibitum aequationi differentiali ibidem propositae satisfaciatur. Notatis enim expressionibus §. 1170, cum supra inuenerimus integrale

$$y = \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x + \text{etc.}$$

erit continuo differentiando

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - \frac{\beta}{\beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x - \text{etc.}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{\beta^2}{\beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x + \text{etc.}$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} = -\frac{\alpha^3}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - \frac{\beta^3}{\beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x - \text{etc.}$$

etc.

vsque ad

$$\frac{\partial^{n-1} y}{\partial x^{n-1}} = +\frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{\beta} e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x + \text{etc.}$$

vnde sequens forma differentialis resultat

$\partial^n y$

$\frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} = \frac{\alpha^n}{\mathfrak{A}} e^{-\alpha x} \int e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{\beta^n}{\mathfrak{B}} e^{-\beta x} \int e^{\beta x} X \partial x + \text{etc.}$   
 $\left( \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{\mathfrak{A}} + \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{\mathfrak{B}} + \frac{\gamma^{n-1}}{\mathfrak{C}} + \text{etc.} \right) X,$   
 quod postremum membrum abit in  $X$ .

Si iam omnes hae formae singulatim multiplicentur per  
 quantitates  $A, B, C, D, \dots, N$ , quoniam est  
 $A - B\alpha + C\alpha^2 - D\alpha^3 + \dots + N\alpha^n = 0,$   
 $A - B\beta + C\beta^2 - D\beta^3 + \dots + N\beta^n = 0,$   
 propterea quod  $\alpha + z, \beta + z, \gamma + z, \text{etc.}$  sunt factores  
 formae

$$A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n,$$

manifesto obtinebimus

$$Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n} = X,$$

quae est ipsa aequatio differentialis initio proposita.

### Problema 153.

1173. Proposita aequatione differentiali cuiuscunque gradus

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

si expressio algebraica hinc formata

$$P = A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n$$

duos habent factores simplices imaginarios, factore duplici  
 $ff + 2fz \cos \theta + zz$  contentos, inuestigare partes integralis  
 hinc oriundas.

## Solutio.

Sint  $\alpha + z$  et  $\beta + z$  hi duo factores imaginarii, vt sit  
 $\alpha = f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta)$  et  $\beta = f(\cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta)$ , ob  
 $(\alpha + z)(\beta + z) = ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + zz,$

ac statuatur  $P = (ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + zz) Q$ , existente

$$Q = A' + B'z + C'z^2 + \dots + N'z^{n-1}.$$

Cum igitur integralis partes ex binis illis factoribus simplicibus imaginariis ortae sint

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} e^{-\alpha z} f e^{\beta z} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} e^{-\beta z} f e^{\alpha z} X \partial x = v,$$

hos valores imaginarios ad realitatem perducere oportet. Erunt autem  $\mathfrak{M}$  et  $\mathfrak{N}$  quantitates imaginariae resultantes ex forma

$$(f \cos. \theta \mp \sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta + z) Q,$$

si loco  $z$  scribatur

$$-f \cos. \theta \mp \sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta.$$

At facta hac substitutione fit

$$Q = A' - B'f \cos. \theta + C'ff \cos. 2\theta - D'f^3 \cos. 3\theta + \text{etc.} \\ \mp \sqrt{-1} B'f \sin. \theta \pm \sqrt{-1} C'ff \sin. 2\theta \mp \sqrt{-1} D'f^3 \cos. 3\theta \pm \text{etc.}$$

Ponamus breuitatis gratia

$$A' - B'f \cos. \theta + C'ff \cos. 2\theta - D'f^3 \cos. 3\theta + \text{etc.} = \mathfrak{M} \text{ et} \\ -B'f \sin. \theta + C'ff \sin. 2\theta - D'f^3 \sin. 3\theta + \text{etc.} = \mathfrak{N},$$

vt sit  $Q = \mathfrak{M} \pm \mathfrak{N} \sqrt{-1}$ , vbi signorum ambiguum superius valet pro litteris  $\alpha$  et  $\mathfrak{M}$ , inferius pro litteris  $\beta$  et  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Hinc ergo erit

$$\mathfrak{M} = -2 \sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta (\mathfrak{M} + \mathfrak{N} \sqrt{-1}) \text{ et} \\ \mathfrak{N} = +2 \sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta (\mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{N} \sqrt{-1}),$$

ideoque

$$z \sqrt{-1} \cdot f \sin. \theta = \frac{-e^{-\alpha x} f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x}{M + N \sqrt{-1}} + \frac{e^{-\beta x} f e^{\beta x} X \partial x}{M + N \sqrt{-1}}$$

Est verò

$$e^{\alpha x} = e^{f x \cos. \theta} [\cos. (f x \sin. \theta) + \sqrt{-1} \sin. (f x \sin. \theta)] \text{ et}$$

$$e^{\beta x} = e^{f x \cos. \theta} [\cos. (f x \sin. \theta) - \sqrt{-1} \sin. (f x \sin. \theta)].$$

Sit brevitatis gratia angulus  $f x \sin. \theta = \Phi$  erit

$$z \sqrt{-1} \cdot v (M M + N N) f \sin. \theta =$$

$$\frac{-M + N \sqrt{-1}}{M M + N N} e^{-f x \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi) f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi)$$

$$+ \frac{M + N \sqrt{-1}}{M M + N N} e^{-f x \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi) f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-f x \cos. \theta}}{M M + N N} \{ (M \sin. \Phi + N \cos. \Phi) f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x \cos. \Phi -$$

$$- e^{-f x \cos. \theta} 2 \sqrt{-1} (M \cos. \Phi - N \sin. \Phi) f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x \sin. \Phi \}$$

Quocirca habebimus integralis partem quæsitam

$$v = \frac{\{ e^{-f x \cos. \theta} (M \sin. \Phi + N \cos. \Phi) f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x \cos. \Phi \} - \{ e^{-f x \cos. \theta} (M \cos. \Phi - N \sin. \Phi) f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x \sin. \Phi \}}{(M M + N N) f \sin. \theta}$$

existente  $\Phi = f x \sin. \theta$ .

### Corollarium 1.

1174. Præcipuum igitur opus hic consistit in inuentione formulæ imaginariæ  $M + N \sqrt{-1}$ , quæ colligi debet ex quantitate  $Q$ , dum loco  $z$  scribitur valor imaginarius

$$-f (\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta),$$

unde hoc commodi nascitur, ut loco  $z$  scribi oporteat,

$$(-f)^n (\cos. n \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. n \theta).$$

### Corollarium 2.

1175. Cum sit  $Q = \frac{P}{f + z f \cos. \theta + z^2}$ , etiam ex hæc forma per eandem substitutionem formula imaginaria  $M + N \sqrt{-1}$

inue-

inueniri potest, vbi autem notandum est, hac substitutione tam numeratorem P quam denominatorem euanescere. Ex quo manifestum est, valorem illius formulae rite obtineri ex hac fractione

$$\frac{\frac{2P}{x^2}}{2f \cos \theta + 2z} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - f^2 \sin^2 \theta}}{2f \sin \theta + 2z}$$

Corollarium 3.

1176. Quoniam igitur est

$$\frac{2P}{x^2} = B + 2Cz + 3Dz^2 + 4Ez^3 + \dots + nNz^{n-1}$$

si statuamus

$$\mathcal{P} = B - 2Cf \cos \theta + 3Df^2 \cos^2 \theta - 4Ef^3 \cos^3 \theta + \dots + nNf^{n-1} \cos^{n-1} \theta$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = -2Cf \sin \theta + 3Df^2 \sin 2\theta - 4Ef^3 \sin 3\theta + \dots + nNf^{n-1} \sin^{n-1} \theta$$

$$\text{vbi facta substitutione fiat}$$

$$\frac{2P}{x^2} = \mathcal{P} + \sqrt{1 - f^2 \sin^2 \theta} \mathcal{Q}$$

habebimus

$$\mathcal{M} + \sqrt{1 - f^2 \sin^2 \theta} \mathcal{N} = \frac{\mathcal{P} + \sqrt{1 - f^2 \sin^2 \theta} \mathcal{Q}}{2f \sin \theta}$$

ideoque

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{\mathcal{P} + \sqrt{1 - f^2 \sin^2 \theta} \mathcal{Q}}{2f \sin \theta} \text{ et } \mathcal{N} = \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{2f \sin \theta}$$

Corollarium 4.

1177. Immediate ergo ex quantitate P indeque derivatis P et Q, posito  $f x \sin \theta = \Phi$ , integralis pars ex factore duplici  $ff + 2fz \cos \theta + z^2$  nata erit expressa

$$\frac{2 \int x \cos \theta \left\{ (\mathcal{P} \cos \Phi - \mathcal{Q} \sin \Phi) f e^{f x \cos \theta} X dx \cos \Phi \right\}}{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}} = \frac{2 \int x \cos \theta \left\{ (\mathcal{P} \sin \Phi + \mathcal{Q} \cos \Phi) f e^{f x \cos \theta} X dx \sin \Phi \right\}}{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{P} + \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}}$$

Scholion.

1178. Quotcunque ergo forma

$$P = A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \text{etc.}$$

habuerit factores duplices, pro singulis ope horum praeceptorum partes integralis facile definiuntur, et quia hinc inuentio partium, quae factoribus simplicibus conueniunt, siue ii sint inaequales siue aequales, non turbatur, omnibus partibus in vnam summam coniectis habebitur integrale completum aequationis differentialis propositae. Verum tamen haec praecepta non sufficiunt, si factorum duplicium bini pluresue inter se fuerint aequales; huiusmodi enim casus peculiarem exigunt euolutionem similem eius, qua pro casu duorum pluriumue factorum simplicium inter se aequalium sum vsus. Ne autem hanc tractationem nimis protraham, sufficiet casum pro duobus factoribus duplicibus inter se aequalibus euoluisse, cum inde methodus ad plures facile extendatur.

Problemata 154.

1179. Proposita aequatione differentiali cuiuscunque gradus

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n}$$

si expressio algebraica inde formata

$$P = A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n$$

habeat factorem duplicem quadratum

$$(ff + 2fz \cos \theta + zz^2)^2$$

partem integralis ei conuenientem inuestigare.

Solutio.

Ponamus ergo  $P = (ff + 2fz \cos \theta + zz^2) Q$ , sitque

$$Q =$$



$$Q = A' + B'z + C'z^2 + \dots + N'z^{n-1},$$

ac primo imaginaria non curantes statuimus:  $\circ$

$$\alpha = f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta) \text{ et}$$

$$\beta = f(\cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta),$$

vt fit

$$P = (\alpha + z)^2 (\beta + z)^2 Q.$$

Iam ex iis quae supra (1163.) de binis factoribus simplicibus aequalibus docuimus, ponamus formam

$$\frac{P}{(\alpha + z)^2} = (\beta + z)^2 Q, \text{ posito } z = \alpha, \text{ abire in } \mathfrak{A},$$

at hanc formam

$$\frac{P}{(\beta + z)^2} = (\alpha + z)^2 Q, \text{ posito } z = -\beta, \text{ in } \mathfrak{B},$$

quibus quantitatibus  $\mathfrak{A}$  et  $\mathfrak{B}$  inuentis, ibi ostendi fore integralis partes hinc oriundas

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha x} f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\beta x} f \partial x f e^{\beta x} X \partial x = \mathfrak{V},$$

quas, cum iam imaginaria inuoluant, ad realitatem reduci oportet. Faciamus vt in problemate praecedente

$$\mathfrak{M} = A' - B' f \cos. \theta + C' f^2 \cos. 2\theta - D' f^3 \cos. 3\theta + \text{etc.}$$

$$\mathfrak{N} = -B' f \sin. \theta + C' f^2 \sin. 2\theta - D' f^3 \sin. 3\theta + \text{etc.}$$

vt quantitas  $Q$ , posito  $z = -\alpha = -f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta)$ , abeat in  $\mathfrak{M} + \mathfrak{N} \sqrt{-1}$ , at posito

$$z = -\beta = -f(\cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta),$$

in  $\mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{N} \sqrt{-1}$ .

Cum iam fit  $(\beta - \alpha)^2 = (-2\sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta)^2 = -4ff \sin. \theta^2$ , cui quoque  $(\alpha - \beta)^2$  aequatur, erit

$$\mathfrak{A} = -4ff \sin. \theta^2 (\mathfrak{M} + \mathfrak{N} \sqrt{-1}) \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{B} = -4ff \sin. \theta^2 (\mathfrak{M} - \mathfrak{N} \sqrt{-1}),$$

ideoque

$$-4ff \sin. \theta^2 (M M + N N) v = (M - N \sqrt{-1}) e^{-\alpha x} f \partial x f e^{\alpha x} X \partial x \\ + (M + N \sqrt{-1}) e^{-\beta x} f \partial x f e^{\beta x} X \partial x.$$

At posito  $f x \sin. \theta = \Phi$ , est ut vidimus

$$e^{\alpha x} = e^{f x \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi),$$

$$e^{-\alpha x} = e^{-f x \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi),$$

$$e^{\beta x} = e^{f x \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi),$$

$$e^{-\beta x} = e^{-f x \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi),$$

unde illius aequationis alterum membrum abit in

$$+ e^{-f x \cos. \theta} [M \cos. \Phi - N \sin. \Phi - N \sqrt{-1} \cos. \Phi - M \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi] \\ \times f \partial x f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi)$$

$$+ e^{f x \cos. \theta} [M \cos. \Phi - N \sin. \Phi + N \sqrt{-1} \cos. \Phi + M \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi] \\ \times f \partial x f e^{-f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi),$$

vbi partes imaginariae sponte se destruant, ita ut obtineatur

$$v = \frac{\begin{cases} -e^{-f x \cos. \theta} (M \cos. \Phi - N \sin. \Phi) f \partial x f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x \cos. \Phi \\ -e^{-f x \cos. \theta} (N \cos. \Phi + M \sin. \Phi) f \partial x f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x \sin. \Phi \end{cases}}{2(M M + N N) f f \sin. \theta^2}$$

seu hoc modo

$$v = \frac{-e^{-f x \cos. \theta} \{+(M \cos. \Phi - N \sin. \Phi) f \partial x f e^{f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x \cos. \Phi\} \\ + (M \sin. \Phi + N \cos. \Phi) f \partial x f e^{-f x \cos. \theta} X \partial x \sin. \Phi\}}{2(M M + N N) f f \sin. \theta^2}$$

quae expressio ab imaginariis penitus est liberata.

*Nota.* Etiam haec solutio insigni correctione indiget diligentiae lectorum relicta.

## Corollarium I.

2180. Quoniam formula imaginaria  $M + N \sqrt{-1}$  nascitur ex quantitate  $Q$ , si loco  $z$  scribatur

-f

$$-f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta),$$

eadem positione quoque reperietur ex forma

$$\frac{p}{(f^2 + x^2 \cos. \theta + x^2)^2}$$

verum hic tam numerator quam denominator prodit euaneſcens

**Corollarium 2.**

1181. Orietur ergo quoque idem valor ex formula

$$\frac{2p}{4x^2 (f^2 \cos. \theta + f^2) x (1 + \cos. \theta^2) + 3f^2 x \cos. \theta + x^2}$$

vbi cum idem incommodum de nouo recurrat, orietur quoque ex hac formula

$$\frac{2p}{4x^2 (f^2 (1 + \cos. \theta^2) + 3f^2 \cos. \theta + x^2)}$$

**Corollarium 3.**

1182. Statuatur hic primo in denominatore

$$z = -f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta)$$

fietque haec formulae

$$\frac{2p}{4x^2 (f^2 (1 + \cos. \theta^2) + 3f^2 \cos. \theta + x^2)} = 0$$

Deinde cum fit

$$\frac{2p}{4x^2} = C + 3Dz + 6Ez^2 + \dots + \frac{n(n-1)}{2 \cdot 3 \dots n} Nz^{n-1}$$

ponamus breuitatis gratia

$$P = C - 3Df \cos. \theta + 6E f^2 \cos. 2\theta - \dots + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} N f^{n-1} \cos. (n-2)\theta,$$

$$Q = -3Df \sin. \theta + 6E f^2 \sin. 2\theta - \dots + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} N f^{n-1} \sin. (n-2)\theta,$$

ut sit facta substitutione

$$\frac{2p}{4x^2} = P + Q\sqrt{-1},$$

ideoque

$$1 - \sqrt{-1} \dots + \dots \sqrt{-1} \dots = \frac{P - Q\sqrt{-1}}{4x^2}$$

et consequenter

$\mathcal{M} =$

$$\mathfrak{M} = \frac{-\mathfrak{P}}{4ff^2z^2 - \mathfrak{P}^2} \text{ et } \mathfrak{N} = \frac{-\mathfrak{Q}}{4ff^2z^2 - \mathfrak{P}^2}.$$

Quos ergo valores in parte integralis inuenta substituere licet:

### Corollarium 4.

1183. Facta autem substitutione, factor duplex quadratus  $(ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + zz)^2$  hanc præbet integralis partem

$$v = \frac{2e^{-fx \cos. \theta}}{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P} + \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{Q}} \left\{ + (\mathfrak{P} \cos. \Phi - \mathfrak{Q} \sin. \Phi) f \partial x f e^{fx \cos. \theta} X \partial x \cos. \Phi \right\} \\ \left\{ + (\mathfrak{P} \sin. \Phi + \mathfrak{Q} \cos. \Phi) f \partial x f e^{fx \cos. \theta} X \partial x \sin. \Phi \right\}$$

ubi  $\Phi$  denotat angulum  $fx \sin. \theta$ .

### Scholion.

1184. Si hanc expressionem cum ea, quam problemate præcedente inuenimus, comparemus, vix actuali simili evolutione. erit opus pro casibus magis complicatis. Ita si quantitas

$$P = A + Bz + Cz^2 + Dz^3 + \dots + Nz^n,$$

factorem habeat duplicem cubicum

$$(ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + zz)^2,$$

quantitates  $\mathfrak{P}$  et  $\mathfrak{Q}$  ita definiuntur, vt sit

$$\mathfrak{P} = D - 4Ef \cos. \theta + 10Fff \cos. 2\theta - 20Gf^2 \cos. 3\theta + \dots \\ + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1.2.3} N f^{n-3} \cos. (n-3)\theta,$$

$$\mathfrak{Q} = -4Ef \sin. \theta + 10Fff \sin. 2\theta - 20Gf^2 \sin. 3\theta + \dots \\ + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{1.2.3} N f^{n-3} \sin. (n-3)\theta,$$

quibus inuenis, erit integralis pars hinc nata

$$\frac{2e^{-fx \cos. \theta}}{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P} + \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{Q}} \left\{ + (\mathfrak{P} \cos. \Phi - \mathfrak{Q} \sin. \Phi) f \partial x f \partial x f e^{fx \cos. \theta} X \partial x \cos. \Phi \right\} \\ \left\{ + (\mathfrak{P} \sin. \Phi + \mathfrak{Q} \cos. \Phi) f \partial x f \partial x f e^{fx \cos. \theta} X \partial x \sin. \Phi \right\}$$

neque iam ulterior progressu ulli amplius difficultati est obnoxia. Quocirca aequationis hoc capite propositae resolutionem

nem ita concinne mihi equidem absoluisse videor, vt nihil amplius desiderari possit. Interim hoc argumentum maxime illustrabitur, si haec praecepta ad exempla particularia accommodabimus; cui instituto sequens caput est destinatum. Ante autem insignem proprietatem circa huiusmodi aequationes generales proponam, quae in Analyfi ingentem vsum habitura videntur.

### Problema 155.

1185. Proposita aequatione differentiali cuiuscunque gradus

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + N \frac{\partial^{m+n} y}{\partial x^{m+n}},$$

si formula algebraica inde nata

$$P = A + Bx + Cx^2 + Dx^3 + \dots + Nx^{m+n}$$

duobus factoribus constet  $P = QR$ , vt sit

$$Q = \mathfrak{A} + \mathfrak{B}x + \mathfrak{C}x^2 + \dots + \mathfrak{R}x^m \text{ et}$$

$$R = a + bx + cx^2 + \dots + nx^n,$$

integrationem illius aequationis ad integrationem binarum aequationum simpliciorum reuocare.

### Solutio.

Si formam integram primo (1158.) perpendamus, haud difficulter inde colligimus, postquam hanc aequationem integrauerimus

$$X = \mathfrak{A}v + \mathfrak{B} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \mathfrak{C} \frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \mathfrak{R} \frac{\partial^m v}{\partial x^m},$$

indeque valorem ipsius  $v$  per  $x$  et  $X$  definauerimus, valorem ipsius  $y$  pro aequatione proposita ex hac aequatione erutum iri

$$v = ay + b \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + c \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + n \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

cuius ratio adeo in promptu est posita; dum ex hac aequatione valores pro  $v$  eiusque differentialibus substituantur. Prodit enim

$$\begin{aligned} X = & \mathfrak{A} a y + \mathfrak{A} b \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \mathfrak{A} c \cdot \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \mathfrak{A} d \cdot \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.} \\ & + \mathfrak{B} a \quad + \mathfrak{B} b \quad + \mathfrak{B} c \\ & + \mathfrak{C} a \quad + \mathfrak{C} b \quad + \mathfrak{C} c \\ & + \mathfrak{D} a. \end{aligned}$$

Cum autem per hypothesin sit  $P = QR$ , seriebus  $Q$  et  $R$  in se multiplicatis, necesse est fieri

$$A = \mathfrak{A} a, \quad B = \mathfrak{A} b + \mathfrak{B} a, \quad C = \mathfrak{A} c + \mathfrak{B} b + \mathfrak{C} a, \text{ etc.}$$

sicque haec postrema aequatio ad ipsam propositam reducitur.

### Corollarium I.

1186. Si tantum ad factores simplices respiciamus, prioris aequationis integrale per huiusmodi terminos exprimitur

$$v = \Gamma e^{-\alpha x} / e^{\alpha x} X \partial x \text{ etc.}$$

posterioris vero aequationis integrale per huiusmodi

$$y = \Delta e^{-\beta x} / e^{\beta x} v \partial x \text{ etc.}$$

### Corollarium 2.

1187. Quodsi iam in singulis terminis posterioris integralis substituamus singulos prioris, fiet

$$y = \Gamma \Delta e^{-\beta x} / e^{(\beta - \alpha)x} \partial x / e^{\alpha x} X \partial x,$$

quae forma ad hanc reducitur

$$y = \frac{\Gamma \Delta}{\beta - \alpha} (e^{-\alpha x} / e^{\alpha x} X \partial x - e^{-\beta x} / e^{\beta x} X \partial x),$$

cuiusmodi termini per integrationem aequationis propositae immediate inveniuntur.

1188 A 5

Corol-

## Corollarium 3.

1188. Si hic fuisset  $\beta = a$ , sine vlla reductione statim prodiiisset forma

$$y = \Gamma \Delta e^{-ax} f \partial x f e^{ax} X \partial x$$

supra pro casu duorum factorum simplicium aequalium inuenta. Interim cum totum negotium ad resolutionem in factores vel simplices vel duplices reales redeat, ipsa aequatio proposita modo ante exposito facillime expeditur.

## CAPVT IV.

APPLICATIO METHODI INTEGRANDI IN CAPITULO  
PRAECEDENTE TRADITAE AD EXEMPLA.

## Problema 156.

1189.

Proposita hac aequatione differentiali

$$X = a^n y + \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n}$$

eius integrale completum inuenire.

## Solutio.

Hic ergo est  $P = a^n + z^n$ , vbi primo obseruetur, si  $n$  sit numerus impar, factorem simplicem esse  $a+z$ , ex quo nascitur pars integralis

$$\frac{1}{2} e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X \partial x,$$

existente  $\mathcal{A}$  valore ex forma  $\frac{P}{a+z}$  emergente, si ponatur  $z = -a$ , qui ergo valor cum sit etiam  $\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = n z^{n-1}$ , ob  $n-1$  numerum parem, erit  $\mathcal{A} = n a^{n-1}$ , ideoque haec integralis pars

$$\frac{1}{n a^{n-1}} e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X \partial x.$$

Reliqui factores omnes in hac forma continentur

$$a a - 2 a z \cos. \theta + z z, \text{ existente } \theta = \frac{(2i+1)\pi}{n},$$

vbi  $i$  denotat numerum integrum quemcunque et  $\pi$  angulum duobus secus aequalem. Comparata hac forma cum Probl.



153. et Coroll. 1. fit  $f = -a$ , et ob  $z = a(\cos.\theta + \sqrt{-1}.\sin.\theta)$ ,  
ex forma  $\frac{z^n}{z}$  colligitur

$$\Psi = na^{n-1}\cos.(n-1)\theta \text{ et } \Omega = na^{n-1}\sin.(n-1)\theta;$$

cum igitur fit

$$\cos.n\theta = -1 \text{ et } \sin.n\theta = 0, \text{ erit}$$

$$\Psi = -na^{n-1}\cos.\theta \text{ et } \Omega = na^{n-1}\sin.\theta.$$

Quare posito  $f x \sin.\theta = -ax \sin.\theta = \Phi$ , integralis pars ex  
quolibet factore duplici oriunda est

$$\frac{2e^{ax\cos.\theta}}{na^{n-1}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (-\cos.\theta \cos.\Phi - \sin.\theta \sin.\Phi) f e^{-ax\cos.\theta} X dx \cos.\Phi \\ (-\cos.\theta \sin.\Phi + \sin.\theta \cos.\Phi) f e^{-ax\cos.\theta} X dx \sin.\Phi \end{array} \right\}$$

scu

$$\frac{-2e^{ax\cos.\theta}}{na^{n-1}} [\cos.(\theta - \Phi) f e^{-ax\cos.\theta} X dx \cos.\Phi - \sin.(\theta - \Phi) f e^{-ax\cos.\theta} X dx \sin.\Phi]$$

et pro  $\Phi$  valore restituto

$$\frac{2e^{ax\cos.\theta}}{na^{n-1}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \cos.(\theta + ax \sin.\theta) f e^{-ax\cos.\theta} X dx \cos.(ax \sin.\theta) \\ + \sin.(\theta + ax \sin.\theta) f e^{-ax\cos.\theta} X dx \sin.(ax \sin.\theta) \end{array} \right\}$$

Iam pro  $\theta$  successiue substituantur anguli  $\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}, \frac{4\pi}{n}$ ,  
quoadiu ipso  $\pi$  sunt minores, omnesque hae formae in vnam  
summam coniectae, quibus casu quo  $n$  est numerus impar in-  
super addi oportet formam primo inuertam

$$\frac{1}{na^{n-1}} e^{-ax} f e^{ax} X dx, \text{ ubi } \dots$$

dabunt integrale quaesitum.

### Corollarium I.

1190. Casu quidem quo  $n$  est numerus impar, vlti-  
mus valor ipius  $\theta$  foret  $\pi$ , quem autem hic omitte iussimus,

inde autem ob

$$a x \sin. \theta = 0 \text{ et } \cos. \theta = -1,$$

prodiret vltima pars integralis

$$\frac{2 e^{-ax}}{n a^{n-1}} \int e^{ax} X \partial x,$$

dupla eius quam capi conuenit; cuius ratio est, quod sumto  $\theta = \pi$  formula  $aa + 2ax + zz$  non amplius ipsa est factor, sed eius radix quadrata  $a+z$ , ex quo hunc casum seorsum erui necesse erat.

### Corollarium 2.

1191. Si est  $X = 0$ , formulae integrales abeunt in constantes arbitrarias, et ex factore

$$aa - 2ax \cos. \theta + zz$$

oritur haec pars integralis

$$\frac{-2 e^{ax \cos. \theta}}{n a^{n-1}} [A \cos. (\theta + ax \sin. \theta) + B \sin. (\theta + ax \sin. \theta)],$$

quae reducitur ad hanc formam

$$A e^{ax \cos. \theta} \cos. (\zeta + ax \sin. \theta),$$

denotante  $\zeta$  angulum constantem quemcunque, vti iam supra inuenimus.

### Problema 157.

1192. Proposita hac aequatione differentiali

$$X = a^n y - \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n}$$

eius integrale completum inueniro.

### Solutio.

Forma algebraica hinc nata  $P = a^n - z^n$  factorem semper habet  $a-z$ , vnde nascitur pars integralis  $\int e^{ax} / e^{-ax} X \partial x$ ,

existente  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{P}{z-a}$  posito  $z = a$ . Cum ergo sit quoque

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = -n z^{n-1}, \text{ erit } \mathcal{A} = -n a^{n-1},$$

ideoque haec pars integralis

$$\frac{-1}{n a^{n-1}} e^{ax} \int e^{-ax} X \partial x.$$

Deinde si  $n$  sit numerus par, hincque  $n-1$  impar, factor quoque erit  $a+z$ , qui praebet integralis partem

$$\frac{1}{n a^{n-1}} e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X \partial x.$$

Reliqui factores omnes ipsius  $P$  sunt duplicis formae  $aa - 2az \cos. \theta + zz$ , existente angulo  $\theta = \frac{2i\pi}{n}$ , qua cum generali supra usurpata  $ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + zz$  comparata, fit  $f = -a$ , et ex forma  $\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = -n z^{n-1}$  quaeri oportet formulam  $\mathfrak{P} + \mathcal{Q}\sqrt{-1}$ , posito  $z = a(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta)$ , vnde colligitur

$$\mathfrak{P} = -n a^{n-1} \cos. (n-1)\theta \text{ et } \mathcal{Q} = -n a^{n-1} \sin. (n-1)\theta,$$

seu ob  $\cos. n\theta = 1$  et  $\sin. n\theta = 0$ , fit

$$\mathfrak{P} = -n a^{n-1} \cos. \theta \text{ et } \mathcal{Q} = +n a^{n-1} \sin. \theta.$$

Posito iam angulo  $-ax \sin. \theta = \Phi$ , ex §. 1177. oritur pars integralis

$$\frac{2 e^{ax \cos. \theta}}{n a^{n-1}} \left\{ (-\cos. \theta \cos. \Phi - \sin. \theta \sin. \Phi) \int e^{-ax \cos. \theta} X \partial x \cos. \Phi \right\} + \left\{ (-\cos. \theta \sin. \Phi + \sin. \theta \cos. \Phi) \int e^{-ax \cos. \theta} X \partial x \sin. \Phi \right\},$$

quae ut ante reducitur ad hanc formam

$$\frac{-2 e^{ax \cos. \theta}}{n a^{n-1}} \left\{ \cos. (\theta + ax \sin. \theta) \int e^{-ax \cos. \theta} X \partial x \cos. (ax \sin. \theta) \right\} + \left\{ \sin. (\theta + ax \sin. \theta) \int e^{-ax \cos. \theta} X \partial x \sin. (ax \sin. \theta) \right\}.$$

Hic iam pro  $\theta$  successiue scribantur anguli  $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ ,  $\frac{4\pi}{n}$ ,  $\frac{6\pi}{n}$ , etc. quamdiu sunt maiores quam  $\pi$ , haecque partes omnes cum primum

num inuenta atque etiam altera, si  $n$  fuerit numerus par, in unam summam collectae dabunt integrale quaesitum seu valorem ipsius  $y$ .

### Corollarium.

1193. Cum factor duplex generalis  $a^2 - 2a \cos \theta + z^2$  casibus  $\theta = 0$  et  $\theta = \pi$  non praebet ipsos factores simplices reales  $a - z$  et  $a + z$  sed eorum quadrata, haec ratio est, cur pars integralis inde eruta prodeat dupla eius, quam capi oportet.

### Problema 158.

1194. Proposita hac aequatione differentiali

$$X = y + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

eius integrale completum inuestigare.

### Solutio.

Forma algebraica hinc nata est

$$P = 1 + z^2 + z^4 + z^6 + \dots + z^n,$$

cuius omnes factores scrutari oportet. Cum igitur sit

$$P = \frac{1 - z^{n+1}}{1 - z},$$

formae  $1 - z^{n+1}$  factores capi conuenit, ex-

cluso  $1 - z$ ; vnde primo patet, si fuerit  $n + 1$  numerus par, factorem simplicem fore  $1 + z$ , ex quo nascitur pars integra-

$$\text{lis } \frac{1}{2} e^{-x} \int e^x X \partial x, \text{ existente } Q = \frac{P}{1+z} = \frac{1 - z^{n+1}}{1 + z}, \text{ posito}$$

$$z = -1. \text{ Erit ergo quoque } Q = \frac{(n+1)z^n}{2z}, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}(n+1), \text{ vt haec pars integralis sit } \frac{1}{n+1} e^{-x} \int e^x X \partial x,$$

Facto-

Factorum autem duplicium forma est  $1 - 2x \cos. \theta + x^2$ ,  
 sumto angulo  $\theta = \frac{2i\pi}{n+1}$ , ita vt pro §. 1176. sit  $f = -1$ .  
 Consideretur forma

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = \frac{1 - (n+1)x^n + nx^{n+1}}{(1-x)^2},$$

quae posito  $x = \cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta$ , abire sumitur in  
 $\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1}$ , sicque erit

$$\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} = \frac{1 - (n+1) \cos. n\theta + n \cos. (n+1)\theta - (n+1) \sqrt{-1} \sin. n\theta + n \sqrt{-1} \sin. (n+1)\theta}{1 - 2 \cos. \theta + \cos. 2\theta - 2 \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2\theta}$$

Cum vero sit

$$\sin. (n+1)\theta = 0 \text{ et } \cos. (n+1)\theta = 1, \text{ erit}$$

$$\sin. n\theta = -\sin. \theta \text{ et } \cos. n\theta = \cos. \theta, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} = \frac{n+1 - (n+1) \cos. \theta + (n+1) \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta}{1 - 2 \cos. \theta + \cos. \theta^2 - 2 \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta (1 - \cos. \theta)}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} = \frac{n+1}{1 - \cos. \theta} - \frac{1 - \cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta}{1 - \cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta}$$

multiplicetur huius fractionis numerator et denominator per  
 $-\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta$  et prodibit

$$\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} = \frac{-(n+1) [1 - \cos. \theta - 2 \cos. \theta^2 - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta (1 - \cos. \theta)]}{1 - \cos. \theta},$$

ita vt fit

$$\mathfrak{P} = -\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(1 + 2 \cos. \theta) \text{ et } \Omega = \frac{1}{2}(n+1) \frac{\sin. \theta (1 - \cos. \theta)}{1 - \cos. \theta},$$

vnde fit

$$\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{P} + \Omega \Omega = \frac{(n+1)^2}{1 - \cos. \theta}.$$

Tum vero posito angulo  $-x \sin. \theta = \Phi$ , colligitur

$$\mathfrak{P} \cos. \Phi - \Omega \sin. \Phi = \frac{-(n+1) [\cos. (\theta - \Phi) - \cos. (2\theta - \Phi)]}{1 - \cos. \theta},$$

$$\mathfrak{P} \sin. \Phi + \Omega \cos. \Phi = \frac{+(n+1) [\sin. (\theta - \Phi) - \sin. (2\theta - \Phi)]}{1 - \cos. \theta},$$

cum autem sit

$$\cos. a - \cos. b = 2 \sin. \frac{a+b}{2} \sin. \frac{b-a}{2}, \text{ et}$$

$$\sin. a - \sin. b = -2 \sin. \frac{b-a}{2} \cos. \frac{a+b}{2},$$

fit hinc

$$\mathfrak{P} \operatorname{cof.} \Phi - \Omega \operatorname{fin.} \Phi = \frac{-(n+1) \operatorname{fin.} \frac{1}{2} (\gamma \theta - 2 \Phi)}{2 \operatorname{fin.} \frac{1}{2} \theta} \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{P} \operatorname{fin.} \Phi + \Omega \operatorname{cof.} \Phi = \frac{-(n+1) \operatorname{cof.} \frac{1}{2} (\gamma \theta - 2 \Phi)}{2 \operatorname{fin.} \frac{1}{2} \theta},$$

ex quo integralis pars quaesita erit

$$\frac{-\gamma}{n+1} e^{x \operatorname{cof.} \theta} \operatorname{fin.} \frac{1}{2} \theta \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{fin.} \frac{1}{2} (3 \theta + 2 x \operatorname{fin.} \theta) f e^{-x \operatorname{cof.} \theta} X \partial x \operatorname{cof.} (x \operatorname{fin.} \theta) \\ - \operatorname{cof.} \frac{1}{2} (3 \theta + 2 x \operatorname{fin.} \theta) f e^{-x \operatorname{cof.} \theta} X \partial x \operatorname{fin.} (x \operatorname{fin.} \theta) \end{array} \right\}$$

Pro  $\theta$  ergo successiue substituantur anguli

$$\frac{\pi}{n-1}, \frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{6\pi}{n+1}, \text{ etc.}$$

quamdiu sunt minores quam  $\pi$ , haecque partes omnes in vnam summam colligantur, cui si  $n+1$  sit numerus par, addatur insuper  $\frac{\pi}{n+1} e^{-x} f e^x X \partial x$ , sicque obtinebitur valor ipsius  $y$ .

### Corollarium 1.

1195. Si aequatio proposita in infinitum progrediatur, vt sit  $n$  numerus infinitus, anguli  $\theta$  priores omnes sunt infinite parui ideoque numero infiniti, quoad numerus par  $2i$  ad  $n+1$  rationem finitam habere incipiat, tum autem pro  $\theta$  sequentur omnes anguli finiti in progressionem arithmetica incrementales, cuius differentia est  $\frac{\pi}{n+1}$ , vsque ad  $\pi$ , quorum numerus itidem est infinitus.

### Corollarium 2.

1196. Quamdiu angulus  $\theta$  est infinite paruus, integralis pars ex eo oriunda hanc induit formam

$$\frac{-\theta \theta e^x}{n+1} [(3+2x) f e^{-x} X \partial x - f e^{-x} X x \partial x],$$

quae

quae cum per cubum infiniti sit diuisa, etiam multitudo infinita huiusmodi formularum pro euanescente est habenda.

Corollarium 3.

1197. Quodsi fuerit  $X = 0$ , vt huius aequationis

$$0 = y + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + \frac{\partial^n y}{\partial x^n}$$

integrale sit inuestigandum, erit eius pars quaecunque

$e^{x \cos. \theta} [A \sin. \frac{1}{2} (3 \theta + 2 x \sin. \theta) + 2 \cos. \frac{1}{2} (3 \theta + 2 x \sin. \theta)]$ ,  
 seu simplicius

$$A e^{x \cos. \theta} (\cos. \zeta + x \sin. \theta).$$

Cum igitur si  $n$  sit numerus infinitus, pro  $\theta$  angulus quicunque accipi queat, erit istius aequationis integrale particulare quodcunque

$$y = A e^{x \cos. \theta} (\cos. x \sin. \theta + \zeta),$$

sumendo pro  $\zeta$  etiam angulum quemcunque.

Scholion.

1198. Num autem huius aequationis differentialis in infinitum excurrentis

$$X = y + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

denotante  $X$  functionem quamcunque ipsius  $x$ , integrale commodius exprimi possit, quam per partium illarum innumerabilem euanescentium summam, quaestio est altioris indaginis, neque adhuc ad hunc scopum Analyteos fines satis videntur promoti. Casibus quidem, quibus  $X$  est functio rationalis integra, puta

$$X = a + b x + c x^2 + d x^3 + e x^4 + \text{etc.}$$

res nullam habet difficultatem, cum sumto

$y = a + \beta x + \gamma x^2 + \delta x^3 + \epsilon x^4 + \text{etc.} + v$ ,  
 hi coefficientes  $a, \beta, \gamma$ , etc. semper ita definiiri queant ut  
 facta substitutione prodeat talis aequatio

$$0 = v + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

cui particulariter satisfacit valor

$$v = A e^{x \cos \theta} \cos. (x \sin. \theta + \zeta),$$

sumtis pro  $\zeta$  et  $\theta$  angulis quibuscunque. Verum ex dato  
 eiusmodi valore ipsius  $X$  inuenitur

$$a = a - b, \beta = b - 2c, \gamma = c - 3d, \delta = d - 4e, \epsilon = e - 5f, \text{etc.}$$

Verum in genere cum fiat

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

euidens est semper, posito  $y = X - \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + v$ , aequationem il-  
 lam transformari in hanc

$$0 = v + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

### Corollarium.

1199. En ergo praeter expectationem integrationem  
 completam huius aequationis differentialis in infinitum excur-  
 rentis

$$X = y + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

pro qua iam nouimus esse

$$y = X - \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + A e^{x \cos \theta} \cos. (x \sin. \theta + \zeta),$$

quod postremum membrum ob angulos  $\zeta$  et  $\theta$  arbitrarios in  
 infinitum multiplicari potest. Haecque forma maxime com-  
 plicatae illi ex solutione oriundae aequivalere est censenda.

### Problema 159.

1200. Proposita hac aequatione differentiali

$$X = y + \frac{n \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{n(n-1) \partial^2 y}{1.2. \partial^2 x^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \partial^3 y}{1.2.3. \partial^3 x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

vbi



vbi quidem  $n$  fit numerus integer affirmatiuus, vt terminorum numerus fit finitus, eius integrale completum inuestigare.

### Solutio.

Formula algebraica hinc consideranda fit

$$P = 1 + \frac{n}{1} \cdot \frac{x}{a} + \frac{n(n-1)}{1 \cdot 2} \cdot \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \text{etc.} = (1 + \frac{x}{a})^n,$$

quae ergo meros habet factores simplices inter se aequales  $x + a$ . Cum igitur fit  $\frac{P}{(a+x)^n} = \frac{x}{a^n}$ , ex §. 1163. statim colligitur integrale quaesitum

$$y = a^n e^{-ax} f \partial x f \partial x f \partial x \dots f e^{ax} X \partial x,$$

quoad signorum integralium numerus aequetur exponenti  $n$ . Hanc autem formam sequenti modo in integralia simplicia resolvere licet, ope reductionis generalis qua esse nouimus

$$f \partial x f V \partial x = x f V \partial x - f V \cdot x \partial x,$$

vnde fit

$$f \partial x f e^{ax} X \partial x = x f e^{ax} X \partial x - f e^{ax} X x \partial x,$$

$$f \partial x f \partial x f e^{ax} X \partial x = \frac{1}{2} x^2 f e^{ax} X \partial x - x f e^{ax} X x \partial x + \frac{1}{2} f e^{ax} X x^2 \partial x,$$

$$f \partial x f \partial x f \partial x f e^{ax} X \partial x = \frac{x^3 f e^{ax} X \partial x - 3x^2 f e^{ax} X x \partial x + 3x f e^{ax} X x^2 \partial x - f e^{ax} X x^3 \partial x}{1 \quad 2 \quad 3},$$

etc.

Cum igitur signorum integralium numerus fit  $= n$ , concludimus fore

$$y = \frac{a^n e^{-x}}{1 \cdot 2 \dots (n-1)} [x^{n-1} f e^{ax} X \partial x - \frac{(n-1)}{1} x^{n-2} f e^{ax} X x \partial x + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{1 \cdot 2} x^{n-3} f e^{ax} X x^2 \partial x - \text{etc.}],$$

vbi cum singula integralia constantem arbitriam implicent, manifestum est, hoc integrale esse completum.

## Corollarium 1.

1201. Si ergo effect  $X = 0$ , aequationis differentialis propositae integrale completum foret

$y = e^{-ax}(Ax^{n-1} + Bx^{n-2} + Cx^{n-3} + Dx^{n-4} + \text{etc.} \dots + Mx + N)$ ,  
vbi constantium arbitrariarum  $A, B, C$ , etc. numerus vtiqve est  $= n$ .

## Corollarium 2.

1202. Si numerus  $n$  fuerit infinitus, simulque quantitas  $a$  capiatur infinita, vt sit  $a = n c$ , aequatio integranda in infinitum excurreret, eritque

$$X = y + \frac{\partial y}{c \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.1. c^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.1.1. c^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

aequatio autem integralis ad hunc casum applicata nullam lucem foeneratur.

## Corollarium 3.

1203. Quaecunqve autem  $y$  functio fuerit ipsius  $x$ , constat si loco  $x$  scribatur  $x + \frac{1}{c}$ , eam abire in

$$y + \frac{\partial y}{c \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.1. c^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.1.1. c^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

quae cum esse debeat  $= X$ , vicissim patet,  $y$  aequari ei functioni ipsius  $x$  quae nascitur ex  $X$ , si ibi loco  $x$  scribatur  $x - \frac{1}{c}$ .

## Scholion 1.

1204. Quod quo facilius appareat obseruo, si proposita fuerit quaecunqve eiusmodi aequatio

$$X = Ay + B \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + C \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + D \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

semper sine vlla integratione integrale particulare per approximationem hoc modo inueniri posse: statuatur

$$y = \alpha X + \beta \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + \gamma \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x^2} + \delta \frac{\partial^3 X}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

facta-

factaque substitutione habebitur

$$\begin{aligned} X &= A \alpha X + A \beta \cdot \frac{\partial X}{\partial x} + A \gamma \cdot \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial x^2} + A \delta \cdot \frac{\partial^3 X}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.} \\ &+ B \alpha \quad + B \beta \quad + B \gamma \\ &\quad + C \alpha \quad + C \beta \\ &\quad \quad + D \alpha \end{aligned}$$

sicque coefficientes  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , etc. definiuntur, vt sit  $\alpha = \frac{1}{A}$ , reliqui vero

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \frac{-B\alpha}{A} = \frac{-B}{A^2}, \\ \gamma &= \frac{-C\alpha - B\beta}{A} = \frac{-C}{A^2} + \frac{BB}{A^3}, \\ \delta &= \frac{-D\alpha - C\beta - B\gamma}{A} = \frac{-D}{A^3} + \frac{2BC}{A^3} - \frac{B^2}{A^4}, \\ \epsilon &= \frac{-E\alpha - D\beta - C\gamma - D\delta}{A} = \frac{-E}{A^4} + \frac{3BD + C^2}{A^4} - \frac{2B^2C}{A^4} + \frac{B^3}{A^5}, \\ &\quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

quae si accommodentur ad casum problematis, fiet

$$y = X - \frac{n \partial X}{1 \cdot a \partial x} + \frac{n(n+1) \partial^2 X}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot a^2 \partial x^2} - \frac{n(n+1)(n+2) \partial^3 X}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot a^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

Hinc casu quo  $n = \infty$  et  $a = n\epsilon$  colligitur

$$y = X - \frac{\partial X}{1 \cdot \epsilon \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 X}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \epsilon^2 \partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^3 X}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \epsilon^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

quae expressio etsi in infinitum excurrens manifesto definit eam ipsius  $x$  functionem, quae nascitur ex  $X$ , si loco  $x$  scribatur  $x - \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . Quodsi iam hanc nouam functionem signo  $X'$  indicemus, ponamusque  $y = X' + v$ , aequatio Corollarii 2, abit in hanc

$$0 = v + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{1 \cdot 2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

cuius integrale particulare quodcumque est  $v = A e^{-n\epsilon x} x^m$  existente  $n$  numero infinito, et  $m$  numero integro posituo.

### Scholion 2.

1205. Haec me deducunt ad sequentem speculationem circa serierum summationem. Sit nempe series quaecumque

$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad \dots \quad x$$

$$A, B, C, D, \dots T,$$

cuius terminus indici  $x$  respondens fit  $T$  functio quaecunque ipsius  $x$ . Statuatur summa omnium horum terminorum

$$A + B + C + D + \dots + T = y,$$

at perspicuum est  $y$  fore eiusmodi functionem ipsius  $x$ , ut si in ea loco  $x$  scribatur  $x - 1$ , proditura sit eadem illa summa  $y$  termino ultimo  $T$  multata, scilicet  $y - T$ . At loco  $x$  scribendo  $x - 1$ , functio  $y$  abit in

$$y - \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2 \partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.2.3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

unde oritur haec aequatio

$$T = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.2.3 \partial x^3} - \frac{\partial^4 y}{1.2.3.4 \partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

quae semel integrata posito  $\int T \partial x = X$ , fit

$$X = y - \frac{\partial y}{1.2 \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.3 \partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.2.3.4 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

quam quomodo integrari conueniat videamus, dum eam aliquanto generaiorem reddemus.

### Problema 160.

1206. Proposita hac aequatione differentiali

$$X = \frac{n y}{a} - \frac{n(n-1) \partial y}{1.2 a^2 \partial x} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \partial^2 y}{1.2.3 a^3 \partial x^2} - \text{etc.}$$

eius integrale completum inuestigare.

### Solutio.

Formetur inde haec quantitas algebraica

$$P = \frac{n}{a} - \frac{n(n-1)z}{1.2 a^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)z^2}{1.2.3 a^3} - \text{etc.} = \frac{1 - (1 - \frac{z}{a})^n}{z},$$

seu  $P = \frac{a^n - (a - z)^n}{a^n z}$ , cuius factor duplex quicumque hanc

habe-

habebit formam

$$a a - 2 a (a - z) \operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta + (a - z)^2,$$

existente angulo  $2 \zeta = \frac{2i\pi}{n}$ . Abit autem haec forma in

$$2 a a (1 - \operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta) - 2 a z (1 - \operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta) + z z,$$

vel  $4 a a \sin. \zeta^2 - 4 a z \sin. \zeta^2 + z z,$

quae cum generali  $ff + 2 f z \operatorname{cof.} \theta + z z$  comparata dat

$$f = 2 a \sin. \zeta, \text{ et } \operatorname{cof.} \theta = -\sin. \zeta,$$

vnde

$$\theta = 90^\circ + \zeta, \text{ et } \sin. \theta = \operatorname{cof.} \zeta,$$

existente  $\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{n}$ . Iam ad partem integralis hinc ortam inueniendam consideretur forma

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \frac{-a^n + [a + (n-1)z](a-z)^{n-1}}{a^n z z},$$

in qua posito

$$z = -f(\operatorname{cof.} \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta), \text{ seu}$$

$$z = 2 a \sin. \zeta (\sin. \zeta - \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{cof.} \zeta) = a(1 - \operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta),$$

vt fit

$$a - z = a(\operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta),$$

prodit

$$\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} = \frac{-1 + [n - (n-1) \frac{\operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta}{-4 a a \sin. \zeta^2 (\operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta)}] [\operatorname{cof.} 2 (n-1) \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 (n-1) \zeta]}{-4 a a \sin. \zeta^2 (\operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta)}.$$

Cum autem fit

$$\operatorname{cof.} 2 n \zeta = 1 \text{ et } \sin. 2 n \zeta = 0, \text{ erit}$$

$$\operatorname{cof.} 2 (n-1) \zeta = \operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta \text{ et } \sin. 2 (n-1) \zeta = -\sin. 2 \zeta,$$

ideoque

$$\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} = \frac{-n + n \frac{\operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta}{-4 a a \sin. \zeta^2 (\operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta)}}{-4 a a \sin. \zeta^2 (\operatorname{cof.} 2 \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta)},$$

quae reducitur ad hanc formam

*Vol. II.*

C c c

$\mathfrak{P} +$

$\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} = \frac{n}{4 a a \sin. \zeta^2} (\text{cof. } 2 \zeta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. 2 \zeta - \text{cof. } 4 \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. 4 \zeta)$ ,  
 unde concluditur

$$\mathfrak{P} = \frac{n}{4 a a \sin. \zeta^2} (\text{cof. } 2 \zeta - \text{cof. } 4 \zeta) = \frac{n}{2 a a \sin. \zeta} \sin. 3 \zeta,$$

$$\Omega = \frac{-n}{4 a a \sin. \zeta^2} (\sin. 2 \zeta - \sin. 4 \zeta) = \frac{n}{2 a a \sin. \zeta} \text{cof. } 3 \zeta,$$

ficque est

$$\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{P} + \Omega \Omega = \frac{n n}{4 a^2 \sin. \zeta^2},$$

et posito

$$\Phi = 2 a x \sin. \zeta \text{ cof. } \zeta = a x \sin. 2 \zeta, \text{ fiet}$$

$$\mathfrak{P} \text{ cof. } \Phi - \Omega \sin. \Phi = \frac{n}{2 a a \sin. \zeta} \sin. (3 \zeta - \Phi) \text{ et}$$

$$\mathfrak{P} \sin. \Phi + \Omega \text{ cof. } \Phi = \frac{n}{2 a a \sin. \zeta} \text{cof. } (3 \zeta - \Phi).$$

Quocirca integralis pars hinc oriunda erit

$$\frac{4 a a \sin. \zeta}{n} e^{a a x \sin. \zeta^2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sin. (3 \zeta - \Phi) \int e^{-2 a x \sin. \zeta^2} X \partial x \text{ cof. } \Phi \\ + \text{cof. } (3 \zeta - \Phi) \int e^{-2 a x \sin. \zeta^2} X \partial x \sin. \Phi \end{array} \right\},$$

vbi pro  $\zeta$  successiue scribi debent hi anguli

$$\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n}, \frac{4\pi}{n}, \text{ etc.}$$

quamdiu sunt angulo recto minores, at si  $n$  sit numerus par ad has partes insuper addi oportet

$$- \frac{2 a a}{n} e^{a a x} \int e^{-2 a x} X \partial x,$$

ficque colligetur verus valor ipsius  $y$ .

### Corollarium I.

1207. Si est  $X = 0$ , pars integralis ex quolibet angulo  $\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{n}$  nata induit hanc formam

$e^{a a x \sin. \zeta^2} [A \sin. (3 \zeta - a x \sin. 2 \zeta) + B \text{cof. } (3 \zeta - a x \sin. 2 \zeta)]$ ,  
 seu hanc

$$A e^{a a x \sin. \zeta^2} \sin. (\alpha + a x \sin. 2 \zeta),$$

denotante  $\alpha$  angulum quemcunque constantem.

Co-

## Corollarium 2.

1208. Inuento integrali particulari quocunque  $y = V$  quod aequationi propositae satisfiat, si ponamus deinceps  $y = V + v$ , oriatur haec aequatio

$$0 = \frac{nv}{a} - \frac{n(n-1)\partial v}{1.2.a^2 \partial x} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\partial^2 v}{1.2.3.a^3 \partial x^2} - \text{etc.}$$

ex quo integrale completum erit

$$y = V + A e^{a x \sin. \zeta^2} \sin. (a + a x \sin. 2 \zeta),$$

ultima hac parte secundum omnes valores ipsius  $\zeta$  multiplicata.

## Corollarium 3.

1209. Si fumamus  $n = \infty$  et  $a = n$ , vt haec prodeat aequatio differentialis in infinitum excurrans

$$X = y - \frac{\partial y}{1.1 \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.2 \partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.2.3.4 \partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^4 y}{1.2.3.4.5 \partial x^4} - \text{etc.}$$

erit  $y$  terminus summatorius progressionis, cuius terminus generalis indici  $x$  respondens est  $T = \frac{\partial X}{\partial x}$ . Quamdiu ergo angulus  $\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{n}$  est infinite paruus, ob  $\Phi = 2i\pi x$ , integralis pars quaelibet est

$$4i\pi \cdot e^{\frac{ii\pi\pi x}{n}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sin. \left( \frac{2i\pi}{n} - 2i\pi x \right) f e^{-\frac{-2ii\pi\pi x}{n}} X \partial x \cos. (2i\pi x) \\ + \cos. \left( \frac{2i\pi}{n} - 2i\pi x \right) f e^{-\frac{-2ii\pi\pi x}{n}} X \partial x \sin. (2i\pi x) \end{array} \right\},$$

et omiffis euanelcentibus

$4i\pi [\cos. (2i\pi x) f X \partial x \sin. (2i\pi x) - \sin. (2i\pi x) f X \partial x \cos. (2i\pi x)]$ ,  
 si iam hic pro  $i$  successiue omnes numeri integri 1, 2, 3, etc. substituuntur, omnium formularum hoc modo resultantium summa dabit verum et completum valorem ipsius  $y$ .

## Scholion.

1210. Pro aequatione autem proposita methodo ante indicata integrale particulare per seriem differentialium inueni-

re licet, ponendo

$$y = AX + \frac{B \partial X}{\partial x} + \frac{C \partial \partial X}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D \partial^2 X}{\partial x^3} + \frac{E \partial^3 X}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

facta enim substitutione reperitur

$$A = \frac{a}{n}, \quad B = \frac{n-1}{2n}, \quad C = \frac{n(n-1)}{24an}, \quad D = \frac{n(n-1)}{24a^2n}, \\ E = \frac{-(n(n-1)(n(n-1)-1))}{720a^3n}, \text{ etc.}$$

cuius quidem seriei difficile est legem progressionis in genere assignare. Verum pro casu  $n = \infty$  et  $a = n$ , qui imprimis in doctrina progressionum est notatu dignus, hi coefficientes ita se habent.

$$A = 1, \quad B = \frac{1}{2}, \quad C = \frac{1}{24}, \quad D = 0, \quad E = -\frac{1}{720}, \text{ etc.}$$

vnde ea ipsa forma oritur, quam olim in genere pro termino summatorio dedi. Concesso autem hoc termino summatorio qui fit  $= V$ , probe notari conuenit, aequationem  $y = V$  tantum esse integrale particulare aequationis propositae, completum vero facile exhiberi, si modo ad  $V$  addantur omnes huiusmodi formulae  $A \sin.(a + 2i\pi x)$ , pro  $i$  scribendo successive omnes numeros 1, 2, 3, 4, etc. ubi pro quolibet angulus  $a$  pro arbitrio affumi potest. Quod autem singuli hi valores aequationi

$$0 = v - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \partial v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^3 v}{120 \partial x^4} - \frac{\partial^4 v}{720 \partial x^5} + \text{etc.}$$

satisfaciant, ita facillime ostenditur. Posito breuitatis gratia  $2i\pi = m$ , ut sit  $v = \sin.(a + mx)$ , et facta substitutione fieri debet

$$0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sin.(a + mx) \left( 1 - \frac{m^2}{6} + \frac{m^4}{120} - \text{etc.} \right) \\ \cos.(a + mx) \left( -\frac{m}{3} + \frac{m^3}{24} - \frac{m^5}{720} + \text{etc.} \right) \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sin.(a + mx) \frac{1}{m} \sin. m \\ \cos.(a + mx) \frac{1}{m} (\cos. m - 1) \end{array} \right\}$$

Cum autem sit  $m = 2i\pi$ , manifesto est tam  $\sin. m = 0$  quam  $\cos. m - 1 = 0$ .

Pro-



## Problema 161.

1211. Propofita hac aequatione differentiali

$$X = y + \frac{n(n-1)}{1.2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{1.2.3.4} \cdot \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

eius integrale completum inueftigare.

## Solutio.

Quantitas algebraica hinc formanda est

$$P = 1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{1.2} \cdot \frac{z z}{a^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{1.2.3.4} \cdot \frac{z^4}{a^4} + \text{etc.}$$

quae ad hanc formam manifesto reducitur

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{z}{a}\right)^n + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{z}{a}\right)^n = \frac{(a+z)^n + (a-z)^n}{2 a^n},$$

cuius factor quicunque trinomialis est

$$(a+z)^n - z (\dot{a} \dot{a} - \ddot{z} z) \cos. 2 \zeta + (a-z)^n,$$

fumendo

$$2 \zeta = \frac{(2i+1)\pi}{n}, \text{ seu } \zeta = \frac{(2i+1)\pi}{2n}.$$

Haec autem forma abit in

$2 a a (1 - \cos. 2 \zeta) + 2 z z (1 + \cos. 2 \zeta) = 4 a a \sin. \zeta^2 + 4 z z \cos. \zeta^2,$   
 qui factor generalis repraesentetur hoc modo

$$a a \text{ tang. } \zeta^2 + z z,$$

ficque comparatio cum forma generali

$$f f + 2 f z \cos. \theta + z z$$

praebet

$$f = -a \text{ tang. } \zeta \text{ et } \theta = 90^\circ,$$

vnde fit

$$\Phi = -a x \text{ tang. } \zeta, \text{ (1177.)}$$

et valor pro  $z$  substituendus

$$-f (\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sin. \theta) = a \text{ tang. } \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1},$$

C c c 3

quo

quo pacto

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \frac{n(a+z)^{n-1} - n(a-z)^{n-1}}{2a^n}$$

abire ponitur in  $\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1}$ ,

vnde fit

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} &= \frac{n}{2a} [(1 + \text{tang. } \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1})^{n-1} - (1 - \text{tang. } \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}] \\ &= \frac{n}{2a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}} [\text{cof. } (n-1)\zeta + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } (n-1)\zeta - \text{cof. } (n-1)\zeta \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } (n-1)\zeta], \end{aligned}$$

ideoque  $\mathfrak{P} = 0$  et  $\Omega = \frac{n \text{ fin. } (n-1)\zeta}{a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}$ . At ob  $n\zeta = \frac{2i+1}{2}\pi$ ,

hincque  $\text{cof. } n\zeta = 0$  et  $\text{fin. } n\zeta = \pm 1$ , prout  $i$  fuerit numerus par vel impar, erit  $\text{fin. } (n-1)\zeta = \pm \text{cof. } \zeta$ , ideoque

$\Omega = \frac{\pm n}{a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}$ . Quocirca ob  $\text{cof. } \theta = 0$ , integralis pars ex

hoc factore oriunda est

$$\pm \frac{2a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}{n} (\text{cof. } \Phi fX \partial x \text{ fin. } \Phi - \text{fin. } \Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } \Phi),$$

feu ob  $\Phi = -ax \text{ tang. } \zeta$ ,

$$\pm \frac{2a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{fin. } (ax \text{ tang. } \zeta) fX \partial x \text{ cof. } (ax \text{ tang. } \zeta) \\ - \text{cof. } (ax \text{ tang. } \zeta) fX \partial x \text{ fin. } (ax \text{ tang. } \zeta) \end{array} \right\},$$

vbi pro  $\zeta$  successive substituantur anguli

$$\frac{\pi}{2n}, \frac{3\pi}{2n}, \frac{5\pi}{2n}, \frac{7\pi}{2n},$$

quandiu sunt recto minores, pro quibus ibi alternatim  $+$  et  $-$  scribi oportet; haeque partes omnes in vnam summam collectae dabunt valorem completum ipsius  $y$ , dummodo pro  
ultima

ultima parte ex angulo  $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{4}$  oriunda, quod euenit si  $n$  numerus impar, eius tantum semiffis capiatur.

### Corollarium 1.

1212. Accommodemus haec statim ad casum  $n = \infty$  et  $a = nc$ , vt proposita sit haec aequatio differentialis

$$X = y + \frac{\partial \partial y}{1.2.c^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.3.4.c^4 \partial x^4} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.2.3.c^6 \partial x^6} + \text{etc. in infinitum.}$$

Cum igitur hic valores ipsius  $\zeta$  sint infinite parui, erit

$$\text{cof. } \zeta = 1 \text{ et tang. } \zeta = \zeta = \frac{(4i+1)\pi}{8n},$$

hinc  $ax \text{ tang. } \zeta = (4i+1)cx \cdot \frac{\pi}{8}$ ,

pro quo angulo scribamus  $\omega$ . Ergo pars integralis quaecunque

$$\pm 2c(\sin. \omega fX \partial x \text{ cof. } \omega - \text{cof. } \omega fX \partial x \sin. \omega),$$

vbi signa ambigua sibi mutuo respondent.

### Corollarium 2.

1213. Si tantum angulus  $\frac{\pi}{4}cx$  ponatur  $= \Phi$ , integrale vniuersum ita erit expressum

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{X}{c} = & + \sin. \Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } \Phi - \text{cof. } \Phi fX \partial x \sin. \Phi \\ & - \sin. 3\Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } 3\Phi + \text{cof. } 3\Phi fX \partial x \sin. 3\Phi \\ & + \sin. 5\Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } 5\Phi - \text{cof. } 5\Phi fX \partial x \sin. 5\Phi \\ & - \sin. 7\Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } 7\Phi + \text{cof. } 7\Phi fX \partial x \sin. 7\Phi \\ & \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

quae formulae in infinitum sunt continuandae.

### Corollarium 3.

1214. Si ponamus  $c = b\sqrt{-1}$ , vt habetur haec aequatio infinita

$$X = y - \frac{\partial \partial y}{1.2.b^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.4.b^4 \partial x^4} - \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.2.6.b^6 \partial x^6} + \text{etc.}$$

ac

Si autem angulum  $\frac{\pi}{2}$   $b x$  vocemus  $\psi$ , erit integrale completum

$$\begin{aligned}
 y &= +e^{-\psi} \int e^{\psi} X \partial x - e^{\psi} \int e^{-\psi} X \partial x \\
 &- e^{-3\psi} \int e^{3\psi} X \partial x + e^{3\psi} \int e^{-3\psi} X \partial x \\
 &+ e^{-5\psi} \int e^{5\psi} X \partial x - e^{5\psi} \int e^{-5\psi} X \partial x \\
 &\text{etc.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Scholion.

1215. Si pro aequatione Corollarii r. methodo supra exposita quaeramus integrale particulare per differentialis ipsius  $X$  expressum, huncque in finem ponamus

$$y = AX - \frac{B \partial \partial X}{c^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{C \partial^2 X}{c^4 \partial x^4} - \frac{D \partial^3 X}{c^6 \partial x^6} + \frac{E \partial^4 X}{c^8 \partial x^8} - \text{etc.}$$

reperiemus hos coefficientium valores

$$A = 1, B = \frac{1}{1,2}, C = \frac{1}{1,2,3,4}, D = \frac{1}{1,2,3,4,5,6},$$

$$E = \frac{1}{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8}, F = \frac{1}{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10}, \text{ etc.}$$

Hicque valor si ponatur =  $V$ , vocato angulo  $\frac{\pi}{2} c x = \Phi$ , erit integrale completum

$$\begin{aligned}
 y &= V + A \sin. (\alpha + \Phi) + B \sin. (\beta + 3 \Phi) + C \sin. (\gamma + 5 \Phi) \\
 &+ D \sin. (\delta + 7 \Phi) + \text{etc.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Problema 162.

1216. Proposita aequatione differentiali

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &= y + \frac{n(n-1)}{1,2 a^2} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{1,2,3,4 a^4} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} \\
 &+ \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)(n-5)}{1,2,3,4,5 a^6} \cdot \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}
 \end{aligned}$$

eius integrale completum inuestigare.

Solutio.

Quantitas algebraica hinc formanda

$$\text{all } R = 1$$

$$P = 1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{1 \cdot 2 a^2} z + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 a^4} z z + \text{etc.}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^n + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^n,$$

cum ex praecedente nascatur, si ibi loco  $z z$  scribatur  $z$ , summo angulo  $\zeta = \frac{n-1}{2n} \pi$ , factor quicumque erit  $o a \operatorname{tang} \zeta^n + z$ , ita ut huius formae omnes factores simplices sint reales. Hoc ergo factore cum formula  $a+z$  comparato, erit  $a = o a \operatorname{tang} \zeta^n$ , et summo  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{P}{a+z}$  posito  $z = -a$ , erit integralis pars ex hoc factore oriunda  $\frac{1}{2} e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X dx$ . Quia vero  $P$  evanescit posito  $z = -a$ , erit quoque  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}$ ; at est differentiando

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \frac{n}{2 a \sqrt{z}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^{n-1} - \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^{n-1} \right].$$

Quia igitur poni oportet  $\frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} = \operatorname{tang} \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1}$ , erit

$$1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} = \frac{\operatorname{cof} \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{\operatorname{cof} \zeta} \quad \text{et} \quad 1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} = \frac{\operatorname{cof} \zeta - \sqrt{-1} \cdot \operatorname{fin} \zeta}{\operatorname{cof} \zeta}$$

hincque

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{n}{4 a \cdot \operatorname{tang} \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1}} \cdot \frac{2 \sqrt{-1} \cdot \operatorname{fin} \cdot (n-1) \zeta}{\operatorname{col} \zeta^{n-1}} = \frac{n \operatorname{fin} \cdot (n-1) \zeta}{2 a a \operatorname{fin} \zeta \operatorname{col} \zeta^{n-1}}$$

Iam obseruetur esse  $\operatorname{fin} \cdot n \zeta = \operatorname{fin} \cdot (2i+1) \frac{\pi}{2} = \pm 1$ , (vbi signum superius valet si  $i$  numerus par, inferius si impar,) tum vero  $\operatorname{col} \cdot n \zeta = 0$ , vnde fit  $\operatorname{fin} \cdot (n-1) \zeta = \pm \operatorname{col} \cdot \zeta$ , ex quo conficitur

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\pm n}{2 a a \operatorname{fin} \zeta \operatorname{col} \zeta^{n-1}},$$

et pars integralis quaesita habebitur

$$\pm \frac{2 a a \operatorname{fin} \zeta \operatorname{col} \zeta^{n-1}}{n} e^{-a a \operatorname{tang} \zeta^n \cdot x} \int e^{a a \operatorname{tang} \zeta^n \cdot x} X dx,$$

Nunc igitur ipsi  $\zeta$  successiue tribuantur hi valores

$$\frac{\pi}{2n}, \frac{3\pi}{2n}, \frac{5\pi}{2n}, \frac{7\pi}{2n}, \text{ etc.}$$

quoad angulum rectum non superent, atque omnes istae partes

tes in vnam summam collectae, dabunt integrale completum seu valorem ipsius  $y$ .

### Corollarium 1.

1217. Si ponamus  $n = \infty$  et  $a = ne$ , aequatio proposita in infinitum excurrit, eritque

$$X = y + \frac{\partial y}{1.2 e^2 \partial x} + \frac{\partial \partial y}{1.2.3.4 e^4 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.3.4.5 e^6 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

et forma algebraica inde nata

$$P = 1 + \frac{x}{1.2 e^2} + \frac{x^2}{1.2.3.4 e^4} + \frac{x^3}{1.2.3.4.5 e^6} + \text{etc.} = 1 + e^{-\frac{x}{2e}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{x}{e}},$$

quae omnes factores simplices habet reales, et ob  $\zeta$  infinite paruum erit tang.  $\zeta = \zeta = \frac{e^i + 1}{2} \pi$ , indeque factorum forma generalis

$$z + \frac{(i+1)^2}{4} \pi \pi c c, \text{ seu } 1 + \frac{z^2}{(2i+1)^2 \pi \pi c c}.$$

### Corollarium 2.

1218. Ponatur breuitatis gratia angulus

$$\frac{e^i + 1}{2} \pi = \theta, \text{ erit}$$

$$a a \text{ tang. } \zeta = \theta \theta c c, \text{ tem vero}$$

$$\text{cof. } \zeta = 1 \text{ et } \frac{\partial a \sin. \zeta}{n} = \theta' c c,$$

ex quo integralis pars quaecunque erit

$$\pm 2 \theta c c e^{-\theta c c x} \int e^{\theta c c x} X \partial x,$$

vbi pro  $\theta$  successiue omnes hos angulos scribi oportet

$$\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{2}, \frac{7\pi}{2}, \frac{9\pi}{2}, \text{ etc.}$$

### Corollarium 3.

1219. Perinde hic est siue  $ce$  negatiue siue positive capiatur, hinc istius aequationis differentialis infinitae

$$X = y + \frac{\partial y}{1.2 b \partial x} + \frac{\partial \partial y}{1.2.3.4 b^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.3.4.5 b^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

inte-

integrale erit

$$y = 2 \theta b e^{-\theta b x} \int e^{\theta b x} X \partial x,$$

loco  $\theta$  scribendo successiue omnes hos angulos, ambiguitate signi iam sublata

$$+ \frac{\pi}{n}, - \frac{\pi}{n}, + \frac{2\pi}{n}, - \frac{2\pi}{n}, + \text{etc.}$$

vnde si  $X = 0$ , integrale particulare quoduis est

$$y = A e^{-\theta b x}.$$

### Problema 163.

1220. Proponitur aequatione differentiali

$$X = \frac{n \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \partial^2 y}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 a^2 x^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \partial^3 y}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 a^3 x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

cuius integrale completum inuestigare.

### Solutio.

Eti haec aequatio in  $\partial x$  ducta sponte semel integratur, praestat tamen hanc formam retinere, vnde fit

$$P = \frac{n x}{a} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) x^2}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 a^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) x^3}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 a^3} + \text{etc.}$$

quae manifesto ita exhiberi potest

$$P = \frac{y^2}{a} \left[ \left( x + \frac{y^2}{a} \right)^n - \left( x - \frac{y^2}{a} \right)^n \right],$$

cuius quidem statim vnus factor se offert  $z$ ; reliqui vero in hac forma continentur

$$\left( x + \frac{y^2}{a} \right)^2 - 2 \left( x - \frac{y^2}{a} \right) \cos 2 \zeta + \left( x - \frac{y^2}{a} \right)^2,$$

sumto angulo

$$2 \zeta = \frac{2i\pi}{n} \text{ seu } \zeta = \frac{i\pi}{n},$$

haec vero forma abit in

$$2 \left( x - \cos 2 \zeta \right) + \frac{2x}{a} \left( x + \cos 2 \zeta \right),$$

vnde patet in genere factorem fore  $a x \text{ tang. } \zeta + z$ , quae etiam primum illam complectitur sumto  $i = 0$ . Hinc pō-

D d d 2

sito

sito  $a a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^n = x$ , integralis pars huic factori respondens erit

$$e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X \partial x,$$

si posito

$$x = -a a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^n \text{ seu } \sqrt{x} = a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta \sqrt{-1},$$

capiatur

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{a}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{a}\right)^n \right] + \frac{n}{\sqrt{x}} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{a}\right)^{n-1} + \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{a}\right)^{n-1} \right]. \text{ At}$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{x}}{a}\right)^n = \frac{\operatorname{cof.} n \zeta^n + \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{sin.} n \zeta^n}{\operatorname{col.} \zeta^n}, \text{ et } \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{a}\right)^n = \frac{\operatorname{cof.} n \zeta^n - \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{sin.} n \zeta^n}{\operatorname{col.} \zeta^n},$$

quamobrem fiet

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\operatorname{sin.} n \zeta^n}{2 a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta \operatorname{col.} \zeta^n} + \frac{n \operatorname{cof.} (n-1) \zeta^n}{2 a \operatorname{cof.} \zeta^{n-1}} = \frac{\pm n}{2 a \operatorname{col.} \zeta^{n-1}},$$

ob  $\operatorname{sin.} n \zeta^n = 0$  et  $\operatorname{cof.} n \zeta^n = \pm 1$ , prout numerus  $i$  fuerit vel par vel impar. Quocirca integralis pars quaecunque ita erit expressa

$$\pm \frac{2 a \operatorname{cof.} \zeta^{n-1}}{n} e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X \partial x,$$

existente  $\alpha = a a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^n$ . Iam angulo  $\zeta$  successive tribuantur hi valores

$$\frac{0\pi}{n}, \frac{1\pi}{n}, \frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n},$$

quoad angulum rectum  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  non excedant, haeque formulae omnes cum suis signis in vnam summam coniectae dabunt valorem completum pro  $y$ .

### Corollarium I.

1221. Prima igitur integralis pars nascitur ex angulo  $\zeta = 0$ , vnde ea erit  $+\frac{2a}{n} \int X \partial x$ , cuius autem loco ob rationes



tiones supra allegatas circa factores simplices, eius tantum dimidium sumi debet, vt haec prima pars sit  $= \frac{a}{n} fX \partial x$ , quod etiam inde patet, quod posito  $z = \sigma$  fiat manifesto  $\frac{p}{n} = \frac{n}{n}$ .

## Corollarium 2.

1222. Idem tenendum esset de parte vltima, siquidem ex valore  $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{n}$  nascatur, quod euenit si  $n$  sit numerus par. Quia vero hoc casu fit  $\cos. \zeta = 0$ , haec tota integralis pars per se euanescit.

## Corollarium 3.

1223. Si esset  $X = 0$ , quaelibet pars integralis foret  $A e^{-a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^2 x}$ , denotante  $A$  quantitatem constantem arbitriam; foretque adeo haec aequatio

$$y = A e^{-a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^2 x}$$

integrale particulare aequationis, dummodo capiatur angulus  $\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{n}$ .

## Scholion.

1224. Hinc posito  $n = \infty$  et  $a = n\sqrt{b}$ , integrari potest haec aequatio differentialis in infinitum excurrens

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{b}} = \frac{\partial y}{1. b \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1. 1. 3 b^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1. \dots 5 b^3 \partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^4 y}{1. \dots 7 b^4 \partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

vel etiam haec per vnam integrationem ex ista nata

$$\sqrt{b}.fX \partial x = \frac{\partial y}{1. 1. b \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1. \dots 3 b^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1. \dots 5 b^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

Cum enim sit angulus  $\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{n}$  infinite paruus, erit

$$\cos. \zeta = 1, \text{ et } a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta = a \zeta = i\pi\sqrt{b},$$

ideoque

$$a = a a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^2 = i i \pi \pi b,$$

habebitur pars integralis quaecunque

$$\pm 2\sqrt{b} \cdot e^{-ij\pi b x} f e^{ij\pi b x} X \partial x,$$

unde parte prima ex  $i = 0$  nata ad dimidium reducta, ob rationes supra allegatas, erit integrale completum

$$\frac{y}{\sqrt{b}} = f X \partial x - 2e^{-\pi b x} f e^{\pi b x} X \partial x + 2e^{-4\pi b x} f e^{4\pi b x} X \partial x - 2e^{-9\pi b x} f e^{9\pi b x} X \partial x + 2e^{-16\pi b x} f e^{16\pi b x} X \partial x - \text{etc.}$$

### Exemplum.

1225. Sit  $n = 6$  et  $a = 1$ , ut integranda proponatur haec aequatio

$$X = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{10 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{\partial x^3}, \text{ seu}$$

$$f X \partial x = 6y + \frac{10 \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}.$$

Valores ergo pro angulo  $\zeta$  et inde pendentes sunt

$$\zeta = 0, 30^\circ, 60^\circ$$

$$\text{Cos. } \zeta = 1, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2},$$

$$a = 0, \frac{1}{3}, 3,$$

ex quibus colligitur integrale quaesitum

$$y = \frac{1}{6} f X \partial x - \frac{1}{18} e^{-\frac{1}{3} x} f e^{\frac{1}{3} x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{27} e^{-3x} f e^{3x} X \partial x,$$

quod etiam aequationi satisfacere tentanti patebit.

## CAPVT V.

DE

INTEGRATIONE AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIALIUM HVIVS FORMAE

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx^3 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{Ex^4 \partial^3 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

## Problema 164.

1226.

Proposita aequatione differentiali huius formae.

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx^3 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + \frac{Nx^{\lambda+n} \partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

desinare functionem ipsius  $x$ , per quam ea multiplicata fiat integrabilis.

## Solutio.

Attendenti mox patebit, simplicem potestatem ipsius  $x$  hoc praestare. Sit igitur integrabilis haec aequatio

$$Xx^\lambda \partial x = Ax^\lambda y \partial x + Bx^{\lambda+1} \partial y + \frac{Cx^{\lambda+2} \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{Nx^{\lambda+n} \partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

cuius integrale sit

$$\int Xx^\lambda \partial x = A'x^{\lambda+1}y + \frac{B'x^{\lambda+2} \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C'x^{\lambda+3} \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{M'x^{\lambda+n} \partial^{n-1} y}{\partial x^{n-1}}.$$

Cum igitur huius differentiale illi debeat esse aequale, sequentes nanciscemur determinationes

A =

quo pacto

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \frac{n(a+z)^{n-1} - n(a-z)^{n-1}}{2a^n}$$

abire ponitur in  $\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1}$ ,

vnde fit

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} &= \frac{n}{2a} [(1 + \text{tang. } \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1})^{n-1} - (1 - \text{tang. } \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1})^{n-1}] \\ &= \frac{n}{2a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}} [\text{cof. } (n-1)\zeta + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } (n-1)\zeta - \text{cof. } (n-1)\zeta \\ &\quad + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } (n-1)\zeta], \end{aligned}$$

ideoque  $\mathfrak{P} = 0$  et  $\Omega = \frac{n \text{ fin. } (n-1)\zeta}{a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}$ . At ob  $n\zeta = \frac{n+i}{2}\pi$ ,

hincque  $\text{cof. } n\zeta = 0$  et  $\text{fin. } n\zeta = \pm 1$ , prout  $i$  fuerit numerus par vel impar, erit  $\text{fin. } (n-1)\zeta = \pm \text{cof. } \zeta$ , ideoque

$\Omega = \frac{\pm n}{a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}$ . Quocirca ob  $\text{cof. } \theta = 0$ , integralis pars ex

hoc factore oriunda est

$$\pm \frac{2a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}{n} (\text{cof. } \Phi fX \partial x \text{ fin. } \Phi - \text{fin. } \Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } \Phi),$$

feu ob  $\Phi = -ax \text{ tang. } \zeta$ ,

$$\pm \frac{2a \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}{n} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{fin. } (ax \text{ tang. } \zeta) fX \partial x \text{ cof. } (ax \text{ tang. } \zeta) \\ - \text{cof. } (ax \text{ tang. } \zeta) fX \partial x \text{ fin. } (ax \text{ tang. } \zeta) \end{array} \right\},$$

vbi pro  $\zeta$  successiue substituantur anguli

$$\frac{\pi}{2n}, \frac{3\pi}{2n}, \frac{5\pi}{2n}, \frac{7\pi}{2n},$$

quamdiu sunt recto minores, pro quibus ibi alternatim  $+$  et  $-$  scribi oportet; haeque partes omnes in vnam summam collectae dabunt valorem completum ipsius  $y$ , dummodo pro  
ultima

ultima parte ex angulo  $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  oriunda, quod euenit si  $n$  numerus impar, eius tantum semiffis capiatur.

## Corollarium 1.

1212. Accommodemus haec statim ad casum  $n = \infty$  et  $a = nc$ , vt propofita fit haec aequatio differentialis

$$X = y + \frac{\partial \partial y}{1.2 c^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.3.4 c^4 \partial x^4} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.2.3.4.5 c^6 \partial x^6} + \text{etc. in infinitum.}$$

Cum igitur hic valores ipsius  $\zeta$  sint infinite parui, erit

$$\text{cof. } \zeta = 1 \text{ et tang. } \zeta = \zeta = \frac{(2i+1)\pi}{2n},$$

hinc  $ax \text{ tang. } \zeta = (2i+1)cx \cdot \frac{\pi}{2n}$ ,

pro quo angulo scribamus  $\omega$ . Ergo pars integralis quaecunque

$$\pm 2c (\sin. \omega fX \partial x \text{ cof. } \omega - \text{cof. } \omega fX \partial x \sin. \omega),$$

vbi signa ambigua sibi mutuo respondent.

## Corollarium 2.

1213. Si tantum angulus  $\frac{\pi}{2}cx$  ponatur  $= \Phi$ , integrale vniuersum ita erit expressum

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z}{2c} = & + \sin. \Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } \Phi - \text{cof. } \Phi fX \partial x \sin. \Phi \\ & - \sin. 3\Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } 3\Phi + \text{cof. } 3\Phi fX \partial x \sin. 3\Phi \\ & + \sin. 5\Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } 5\Phi - \text{cof. } 5\Phi fX \partial x \sin. 5\Phi \\ & - \sin. 7\Phi fX \partial x \text{ cof. } 7\Phi + \text{cof. } 7\Phi fX \partial x \sin. 7\Phi \\ & \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

quae formulae in infinitum sunt continuandae.

## Corollarium 3.

1214. Si ponamus  $c = b\sqrt{-1}$ , vt habetur haec aequatio infinita

$$X = y - \frac{\partial \partial y}{1.2 b^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.4 b^4 \partial x^4} - \frac{\partial^3 y}{1.2.4.6 b^6 \partial x^6} + \text{etc.}$$

ac iam angulum  $\frac{1}{2} b x$  vocemus  $\psi$ , erit integrale completum.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{b} = & + e^{-\psi} f e^{\psi} X \partial x - e^{\psi} f e^{-\psi} X \partial x \\ & - e^{-3\psi} f e^{3\psi} X \partial x + e^{3\psi} f e^{-3\psi} X \partial x \\ & + e^{-5\psi} f e^{5\psi} X \partial x - e^{5\psi} f e^{-5\psi} X \partial x \\ & \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Scholion.

1215. Si pro aequatione Corollarii 1. methodo supra exposita quaeramus integrale particulare per differentialia ipsius  $X$  expressum, huncque in finem ponamus

$$y = AX - \frac{B \partial^2 X}{c^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{C \partial^4 X}{c^4 \partial x^4} - \frac{D \partial^6 X}{c^6 \partial x^6} + \frac{E \partial^8 X}{c^8 \partial x^8} - \text{etc.}$$

reperemus hos coefficientium valores

$$A = 1, B = \frac{1}{1.2}, C = \frac{5}{1.2.4}, D = \frac{61}{1.2.4.6},$$

$$E = \frac{1385}{1.2.4.6.8}, F = \frac{50521}{1.2.4.6.8.10}, \text{ etc.}$$

Hicque valor si ponatur  $= V$ , vocato angulo  $\frac{1}{2} c x = \Phi$ , erit integrale completum

$$y = V + A \sin.(\alpha + \Phi) + B \sin.(\beta + 3\Phi) + C \sin.(\gamma + 5\Phi) \\ + D \sin.(\delta + 7\Phi) + \text{etc.}$$

### Problema 162.

1216. Proposita aequatione differentiali

$$X = y + \frac{n(n-1)}{1.2} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{1.2.3.4} \frac{\partial^4 y}{\partial x^4} \\ + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{1.2.3.4.5} \frac{\partial^6 y}{\partial x^6} + \text{etc.}$$

eius integrale completum inuestigare.

Solutio.

Quantitas algebraica hinc formanda

$$R = 1$$

$$P = 1 + \frac{n(n-1)}{1 \cdot 2 a^2} z + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 a^4} z^2 + \text{etc.}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^n + \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^n,$$

cum ex præcedente nascatur, si ibi loco  $z$  scribatur  $z$ , sumto angulo  $\zeta = \frac{n-1}{2n} \pi$ , factor quicumque erit  $\theta a \text{ tang. } \zeta^2 + z$ , ita ut huius formæ omnes factores simplices sint reales. Hoc ergo factore cum formula  $a+z$  comparato, erit  $a = \theta a \text{ tang. } \zeta^2$ , et sumto  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{P}{a+z}$  posito  $z = -a$ , erit integralis pars ex hoc factore oriunda  $\int e^{-ax} f e^{zx} X \partial x$ . Quia vero  $P$  evanescit posito  $z = -a$ , erit quoque  $\mathcal{A} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}$ ; at est differentiando

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} = \frac{n}{4 a \sqrt{z}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^{n-1} - \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^{n-1} \right].$$

Quia igitur poni oportet  $\frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} = \text{tang. } \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1}$ , erit

$$1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} = \frac{\text{cof. } \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } \zeta}{\text{cof. } \zeta} \quad \text{et} \quad 1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} = \frac{\text{cof. } \zeta - \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } \zeta}{\text{cof. } \zeta}$$

hincque

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{n}{4 a \cdot \text{tang. } \zeta \cdot \sqrt{-1}} \cdot \frac{2 \sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{fin. } (n-1) \zeta}{\text{col. } \zeta^{n-1}} = \frac{n \text{ fin. } (n-1) \zeta}{2 a a \text{ lin. } \zeta \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}$$

Iam obseruetur esse  $\text{fin. } n \zeta = \text{fin. } (2i+1) \frac{\pi}{2} = \pm 1$ , (vbi signum superius valet si  $i$  numerus par, inferius si impar,) tum vero  $\text{cof. } n \zeta = 0$ , vnde fit  $\text{fin. } (n-1) \zeta = \pm \text{cof. } \zeta$ , ex quo conficitur

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\pm n}{2 a a \text{ fin. } \zeta \text{ col. } \zeta^{n-1}},$$

et pars integralis quaesita habebitur

$$\pm \frac{2 a a \text{ fin. } \zeta \text{ cof. } \zeta^{n-1}}{n} e^{-a a \text{ tang. } \zeta^2} \cdot x \int e^{a a \text{ tang. } \zeta^2 \cdot x} X \partial x,$$

Nunc igitur ipsi  $\zeta$  successive tribuantur hi valores

$$\frac{\pi}{2n}, \frac{3\pi}{2n}, \frac{5\pi}{2n}, \frac{7\pi}{2n}, \text{ etc.}$$

quoad angulum rectum non superent, atque omnes hæc partes

tes in vnam summam collectae, dabunt integrale completum seu valorem ipsius  $y$ .

### Corollarium 1.

1217. Si ponamus  $n = \infty$  et  $a = nc$ , aequatio proposita in infinitum excurrit, eritque

$$X = y + \frac{\partial y}{1.2.c^2 \partial x} + \frac{\partial \partial y}{1.2.3.4.c^4 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.3.4.c^6 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

et forma algebraica inde nata

$$P = 1 + \frac{x}{1.c^2} + \frac{x^2}{1.2.3.4.c^4} + \frac{x^3}{1.2.3.4.c^6} + \text{etc.} = \frac{1}{1.c^2} + \frac{-x^2}{1.c^2},$$

quae omnes factores simplices habet reales, et ob  $\zeta$  infinite parum erit tang.  $\zeta = \zeta = \frac{2i+1}{2n} \pi$ , indeque factorum forma generalis

$$x + \frac{(2i+1)^2}{4} \pi \pi c c, \text{ seu } 1 + \frac{x^2}{(2i+1)^2 \pi \pi c c}.$$

### Corollarium 2.

1218. Ponatur breuitatis gratia angulus

$$\frac{2i+1}{2} \pi = \theta, \text{ erit}$$

$$a a \text{ tang. } \zeta^2 = \theta \theta c c c, \text{ tum vero}$$

$$\text{col. } \zeta = 1 \text{ et } \frac{\partial a \text{ fin. } \zeta}{n} = \theta c c,$$

ex quo integralis pars quaecunque erit

$$\pm 2 \theta c c c e^{-\theta \theta c c x} \int e^{\theta \theta c c x} X \partial x,$$

vbi pro  $\theta$  successiue omnes hos angulos scribi oportet

$$\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{2}, \frac{7\pi}{2}, \frac{9\pi}{2}, \text{ etc.}$$

### Corollarium 3.

1219. Perinde hic est siue  $cc$  negatiue siue positue capiatur, hinc istius aequationis differentialis infinitae

$$X = y + \frac{\partial y}{1.2.b \partial x} + \frac{\partial \partial y}{1.2.3.4.b^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1.2.3.4.b^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

ipte-



integrale erit

$$y = 2 \theta b e^{-\theta b x} \int e^{\theta b x} X \partial x,$$

loco  $\theta$  scribendo successiue omnes hos angulos, ambiguitate signi iam sublata

$$+ \frac{\pi}{2}, - \frac{3\pi}{2}, + \frac{5\pi}{2}, - \frac{7\pi}{2}, + \text{etc.}$$

vnde si  $X = 0$ , integrale particulare quoduis est

$$y = A e^{-\theta b x}.$$

### Problema 163.

1220. Proposita aequatione differentiali

$$X = \frac{n \partial y}{a \partial x} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) \partial^2 y}{1. 2. 3 a^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4) \partial^3 y}{1. 2. 3. 4. 5 a^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

cuius integrale completum inuestigare.

### Solutio.

Etsi haec aequatio in  $\partial x$  ducta sponte semel integratur, praestat tamen hanc formam retinere, vnde fit

$$P = \frac{n z}{a} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2) z^2}{1. 2. 3 a^2} + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4) z^3}{1. 2. 3. 4. 5 a^3} + \text{etc.}$$

quae manifesto ita exhiberi potest

$$P = \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \left[ \left( x + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^n - \left( x - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^n \right],$$

cuius quidem statim vnus factor se offert  $z$ ; reliqui vero in hac forma continentur

$$\left( x + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^n - 2 \left( x - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right) \cos 2 \zeta + \left( x - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a} \right)^n,$$

sumto angulo

$$2 \zeta = \frac{\pi i}{n} \text{ seu } \zeta = \frac{i \pi}{n},$$

haec vero forma abit in

$$2 \left( x - \cos 2 \zeta \right) + \frac{2z}{a^2} \left( x + \cos 2 \zeta \right),$$

vnde patet in genere factorem fore  $a \sqrt{z} \tan \zeta + z$ , quae etiam primum illum complectitur sumto  $i = 0$ . Hinc pō-

D d d 2

sito

fito  $a a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^n = a$ , integralis pars huic factori respondens erit

$\int e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X \partial x$ , si posito

$$z = -a a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^n \text{ seu } \sqrt{z} = a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta \sqrt{-1},$$

capiatur

$$\mathcal{Q} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{a \sqrt{z}} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a}\right)^n - \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a}\right)^n \right] + \frac{n}{a a} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a}\right)^{n-1} + \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a}\right)^{n-1} \right]. \text{ At}$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a}\right)^n = \frac{\operatorname{cof.} n \zeta + \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{sin.} n \zeta}{\operatorname{cof.} \zeta^n}, \text{ et } \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{z}}{a}\right)^n = \frac{\operatorname{cof.} n \zeta - \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{sin.} n \zeta}{\operatorname{cof.} \zeta^n},$$

quamobrem fiet

$$\mathcal{Q} = \frac{\operatorname{sin.} n \zeta}{2 a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta \operatorname{cof.} \zeta^n} + \frac{n \operatorname{cof.} (n-1) \zeta}{2 a \operatorname{cof.} \zeta^{n-1}} = \frac{\pm n}{2 a \operatorname{cof.} \zeta^{n-1}},$$

ob  $\operatorname{sin.} n \zeta = 0$  et  $\operatorname{cof.} n \zeta = \pm 1$ , prout numerus  $i$  fuerit vel par vel impar. Quocirca integralis pars quaecunque ita erit expressa

$$\pm \frac{2 a \operatorname{cof.} \zeta^{n-1}}{n} e^{-ax} \int e^{ax} X \partial x,$$

existente  $a = a a \operatorname{tang.} \zeta^n$ . Iam angulo  $\zeta$  successive tribuantur hi valores

$$\frac{0\pi}{n}, \frac{1\pi}{n}, \frac{2\pi}{n}, \frac{3\pi}{n},$$

quoad angulum rectum  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  non excedant, haeque formulae omnes cum suis signis in unam summam coniectae dabunt valorem completum pro  $y$ .

### Corollarium I.

1221. Prima igitur integralis pars nascitur ex angulo  $\zeta = 0$ , unde ea erit  $+\frac{1}{n} \int X \partial x$ , cuius autem loco ob rationes

tiones supra allegatas circa factores simplices, eius tantum dimidium sumi debet, vt haec prima pars sit  $= \frac{a}{n} fX \partial x$ , quod etiam inde patet, quod posito  $z = 0$  fiat manifesto  $\frac{p}{z} = \frac{n}{z}$ .

## Corollarium 2.

1222. Idem tenendum esset de parte vltima, siquidem ex valore  $\zeta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  nascatur, quod euenit si  $n$  sit numerus par. Quia vero hoc casu fit  $\cos. \zeta = 0$ , haec tota integralis pars per se euanescit.

## Corollarium 3.

1223. Si esset  $X = 0$ , quaelibet pars integralis foret  $A e^{-a a \text{ tang. } \zeta \cdot x}$ , denotante  $A$  quantitatem constantem arbitriam; foretque adeo haec aequatio

$$y = A e^{-a a \text{ tang. } \zeta \cdot x}$$

integrale particulare aequationis, dummodo capiatur angulus  $\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{n}$ .

## Scholion.

1224. Hinc posito  $n = \infty$  et  $a = n\sqrt{b}$ , integrari potest haec aequatio differentialis in infinitum excurrens

$$\frac{x}{\sqrt{b}} = \frac{\partial y}{1 \cdot b \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 b^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1 \cdot \dots \cdot 5 b^3 \partial x^3} + \frac{\partial^4 y}{1 \cdot \dots \cdot 7 b^4 \partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

vel etiam haec per vnam Integrationem ex ista nata

$$\sqrt{b} \cdot fX \partial x = \frac{y}{1} + \frac{\partial y}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 b \partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{1 \cdot \dots \cdot 5 b^2 \partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^3 y}{1 \cdot \dots \cdot 7 b^3 \partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

Cum enim sit angulus  $\zeta = \frac{i\pi}{n}$  infinite paruus, erit

$$\cos. \zeta = 1, \text{ et } a \text{ tang. } \zeta = a \zeta = i\pi\sqrt{b},$$

ideoque

$$a = a a \text{ tang. } \zeta = i i \pi \pi b,$$

habebitur pars integralis quaecunqve

$$\pm 2\sqrt{b} \cdot e^{-i\pi\pi b x} \int e^{i\pi\pi b x} X \partial x,$$

unde parte prima ex  $i = 0$  nata ad dimidium reducta, ob rationes supra allegatas, erit integrale completum

$$\frac{y}{\sqrt{b}} = \int X \partial x - 2e^{-\pi\pi b x} \int e^{\pi\pi b x} X \partial x + 2e^{-4\pi\pi b x} \int e^{4\pi\pi b x} X \partial x - 2e^{-9\pi\pi b x} \int e^{9\pi\pi b x} X \partial x + 2e^{-16\pi\pi b x} \int e^{16\pi\pi b x} X \partial x - \text{etc.}$$

### Exemplum.

1225. Sit  $n = 6$  et  $a = 1$ , ut integranda proponatur haec aequatio

$$X = \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\int X \partial x = 6y + \frac{\partial \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}.$$

Valores ergo pro angulo  $\zeta$  et inde pendentés sunt

$$\zeta = 0, 30^\circ, 60^\circ$$

$$\text{cos. } \zeta = 1, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2},$$

$$a = 0, \frac{1}{3}, 3,$$

ex quibus colligitur integrale quaesitum

$$y = \frac{1}{6} \int X \partial x - \frac{1}{12} e^{-\frac{1}{3}x} \int e^{\frac{1}{3}x} X \partial x + \frac{1}{24} e^{-2x} \int e^{2x} X \partial x,$$

quod etiam aequationi satisfacere tentant. patebit.

## CAPVT V.

DE

INTEGRATIONE AEQVATIONVM DIFFERENTIALI-  
LIVM HVIVS FORMAE

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx^3 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{Ex^4 \partial^3 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

## Problema 164.

1226.

Proposita aequatione differentiali huius formae.

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx x \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx^2 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{Nx^n \partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

desidero functionem ipsius  $x$ , per quam ea multiplicata fiat integrabilis.

## Solutio.

Attendenti, mox patebit, simplicem potestatem ipsius  $x$  hoc praestare. Sit igitur integrabilis haec aequatio

$$X x^\lambda \partial x = A x^\lambda y \partial x + B x^{\lambda+1} \partial y + \frac{C x^{\lambda+2} \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{N x^{\lambda+n} \partial^n y}{\partial x^{n-1}}$$

cuius integrale sit

$$\int X x^\lambda \partial x = A' x^{\lambda+1} y + \frac{B' x^{\lambda+2} \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C' x^{\lambda+3} \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{M' x^{\lambda+n} \partial^{n-1} y}{\partial x^{n-1}}$$

Cum igitur huius differentiale illi debeat esse aequale, sequentes nanciscemur determinationes

A =

$$A = (\lambda + 1)A', \text{ hinc } (\lambda + 1)A' = A;$$

$$B = (\lambda + 2)B' + A', \quad (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)B' = (\lambda + 1)B - A$$

$$C = (\lambda + 3)C' + B', \quad (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3)C' = (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)C - (\lambda + 1)B + A$$

$$D = (\lambda + 4)D' + C', \quad (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3)(\lambda + 4)D' = (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3)D$$

$$\dots - (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)C + (\lambda + 1)B - A$$

$$N = M'$$

integralis enim termini sequentes, qui inuoluerent differentialis gradum  $\partial^n y$  altioresque, euanescere debent, quia alioquin integratio non successisset. Cum igitur in integrali littera  $N'$  euanescat, peruenimus ad hanc aequationem

$$0 = A - (\lambda + 1)B + (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)C - (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 3)D \dots \dots \dots \pm (\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \dots \dots \dots (\lambda + n)N$$

ex qua aequatione exponens  $\lambda$  potestatis quaesitae  $x^\lambda$  definiri debet. Formetur ergo talis expressio algebraica

$$P = A + B(z - 1) + C(z - 1)(z - 2) + D(z - 1)(z - 2)(z - 3) + \dots \dots \dots + N(z - 1)(z - 2)(z - 3) \dots \dots \dots (z - n),$$

huiusque quaerantur omnes factores simplices, ut sit

$$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z)(\delta + z) \text{ etc.}$$

factorum horum numero existente =  $n$ . Iam ex quolibet factore  $z + \alpha$  ad nihilum reducto, valor  $z = -\alpha$  dabit potestatem  $x^\alpha$ , per quam proposita aequatio multiplicata integrabilis euadit, ita ut eius integrale sit futurum

$$x^{-\alpha-1} \int x^\alpha X dx = A'y + \frac{B'x \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C'x^2 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\Gamma'x^3 \partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + \frac{Nx^{n-1} \partial^{n-1} y}{\partial x^{n-1}}$$

vbi

vbi differentialium gradus vnitatem est inferior. Ita autem hæc æquatio integrata per propositam determinatur, ut sit

$$A = (\alpha + 1) A'$$

$$B = (\alpha + 2) B' + A'$$

$$C = (\alpha + 3) C' + B'$$

$$D = (\alpha + 4) D' + C'$$

etc.

donec perueniatur ad vltimum coefficientem N, qui vtroque est idem.

### Corollarium 1.

1227. Quia æquatio integrata similis est ipsi propositæ, ea per eam potestatem ipsius  $x$  multiplicata denuo fiet integrabilis. Ad hanc enim potestatem inueniendam considerare oportet et hanc formam algebraicam

$$Q = A' + B'(z-1) + C'(z-1)(z-2) + D'(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) + \dots \\ \dots + N(z-1)(z-2)\dots(z-n+1),$$

cuius si fuerit factor simplex quicumque  $z + \mu$ , erit  $x^{+\mu}$  illa potestas ipsius  $x$ , æquationem integrabilem reddens.

### Corollarium 2.

1228. Quodsi ipsa æquatio proposita per potestatem  $x^{+\alpha}$  multiplicata reddita fuerit integrabilis, hic probe notari conuenit, quantitatem  $Q$  ex integrata formatam ita pendere a priori  $P$  ex ipsa proposita formata, ut sit  $Q = \frac{P}{\alpha + z}$ , quandoquidem per hypothesin  $\alpha + z$  est factor ipsius  $P$ .

### Scholion 1.

1229. Ad hanc insignem proprietatem demonstrandam, quod scilicet sit  $P = (\alpha + z) Q$ , tantum opus est, ut quantitas  $Q$  per  $\alpha + z$  multiplicetur; verum quo conclusio clarius

Vol. II.

E e e

in

in oculos occurrat, pro singulis terminis ipsius  $Q$  multiplicator bipartito est repraesentandus; ac pro primo quidem termino loco  $a+z$  scribatur  $(a+1)+(z-1)$ , pro secundo  $(a+2)+(z-2)$ , pro tercio  $(a+3)+(z-3)$ , pro quarto  $(a+4)+(z-4)$  etc. ita ut cuiusque termini productum binis partibus exhibeatur, quam operationem hic totam apponam

$$Q = A' + B'(z-1) + C'(z-1)(z-2) + D'(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) + \text{etc.}$$

|                |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Multipl. $a+1$ | $z-1$ | $a+2$ | $z-2$ | $a+3$ | $z-3$ | $a+4$ | $z-4$ |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|

$$\text{Prod.} \frac{(a+1)A' + A'(z-1) + B'(z-1)(z-2) + C'(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) + \text{etc.}}{+(a+2)B'(z-1) + (a+3)C'(z-1)(z-2) + (a+4)D'(z-1)(z-2)(z-3)}$$

In solutione autem vidimus esse

$(a+1)A' = A$ ,  $(a+2)B' + A' = B$ ,  $(a+3)C' + B' = C$ , etc. quocirca hoc productum hac forma exprimitur

$A + B(z-1) + C(z-1)(z-2) + D(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) + \text{etc.}$   
 cui valor ipsius  $P$  est aequalis, sicque demonstrata est insignis illa proprietas memorata, quod sit  $Q = \frac{P}{a+z}$ .

### Corollarium 3.

1230. Quodsi ergo valor ipsius  $P$  in factores simplices resolutus ita repraesentetur

$$P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)(\delta+z) \text{ etc.}$$

et ex factore  $a+z$  aequatio proposita per  $x^a$  multiplicata integretur, tum vero ex integrata simili modo valor  $Q$  formetur, erit

$$Q = N(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)(\delta+z) \text{ etc.}$$

### Corollarium 4.

1231. Aequatio ergo integrata, postquam ad formam propositae fuerit perducta, ut posito



$$x^{-\alpha-1} \int x^\alpha X \partial x = X'$$

habeatur

$$X' = A'y + \frac{B'x \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C'x^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D'x^3 \partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

haec denuo integrabilis reddetur, si multiplicetur per quampiam harum potestatum  $x^\beta$ ,  $x^\gamma$ ,  $x^\delta$ , etc. quae etiam ipsam propositam integrabilem reddissent.

### Scholion 2.

1232. Antequam continuationem harum integrationum ulterius prosequar, conueniet eum casum formae propositae generalis seorsim enolui, quo prius aequationis membrum  $X$  in nihilum abit. Hoc enim casu hoc commodi vsu venit, vt statim sine integrationibus repetitis integrale completum exhiberi queat, idque simili modo quo supra in Capite II. sum vsus. Huic quidem casui quia iam multo facilius tractari potest, proprium caput assignare nolui, ne praeccepta nimis multiplicari videantur.

### Problema 165.

1233. Proposita hac aequatione differentiali cuiuscunque ordinis

$$0 = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx^3 \partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

vbi variabilis  $y$  cum suis differentialibus nusquam plus vna dimensione, altera vero  $x$  adeo nullam obtineat, eius integrale completum inuenire.

### Solutio.

Particulariter huic aequationi satisfieri perspicuum est, si  $y$  certae ipsius  $x$  potestati aequetur, ponamus ergo esse  $y = x^\mu$ , et facta substitutione, cum vbique per  $x^\mu$  diuiderimus, peruenimus ad hanc aequationem

$$0 = A + \mu B + \mu(\mu-1)C + \mu(\mu-1)(\mu-2)D + \text{etc.}$$

E e e 2

vnde

vnde exponentem  $\mu$  determinari oportet. Vel si secundum præceptum præcedentis Problematis ex æquatione proposita hanc formemus formulam algebraicam

$P = A + B(z-1) + C(z+1)(z-2) + D(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) + \text{etc.}$

eamque in factores simplices resoluamus, ut sit

$P = N(\alpha+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)(\delta+z) \text{ etc.}$

evidens est posito  $\mu = z - 1$ , primæ æquationi satisfieri sumendo

$\mu = -\alpha - 1$ , vel  $\mu = -\beta - 1$ , vel  $\mu = -\gamma - 1$ , etc.

ita ut quisque factor suppeditet integrale particulare. Cum igitur factorum numerus æquetur gradui differentialium summo, hinc colligetur integrale completum æquationis propositæ

$y = \mathcal{A}x^{-\alpha-1} + \mathcal{B}x^{-\beta-1} + \mathcal{C}x^{-\gamma-1} + \mathcal{D}x^{-\delta-1} + \text{etc.}$

vbi tantum observari convenit, si factorum illorum simplicium duo pluresue fuerint inter se æquales, integralis formam simili modo immutari debere, quo supra Capite II. sum vides. Scilicet cum æquationes ibi tractatæ ad præsentem formam revocentur, si ibi loco  $x$  scribatur  $l x$ , inde has regulas haurimus.

1.) Si forma  $P$  factorem habeat  $(\alpha+z)^2$ , inde nascitur pars integralis

$x^{-\alpha-1}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}l x).$

2.) Si forma  $P$  factorem habeat  $(\alpha+z)^3$ , pars integralis inde orta est

$x^{-\alpha-1}[\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}l x + \mathcal{C}(l x)^2].$

3.) Si forma  $P$  factorem habeat  $(\alpha+z)^4$ , pars integralis inde orta erit

$x^{-\alpha-1}[\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}l x + \mathcal{C}(l x)^2 + \mathcal{D}(l x)^3].$

Si

Si factores occurrant imaginarii, partes inde oriundae per solitam imaginariorum reductionem, facile ad formam realem revocabuntur, ut in corollariis docebo.

**Corollarium. 1.**

1234. Si forma  $P$  duos habeat factores simplices imaginarios in formula  $ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + zz$  contentos, hac cum producto  $(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)$  comparata erit

$$\alpha = f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta) \text{ et } \beta = f(\cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta),$$

unde fit

$$x^{-\alpha} = x^{-f \cos. \theta} x^{-\sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta} = x^{-f \cos. \theta} e^{-\sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta \log x}$$

Est vero

$$e^{-\sqrt{-1} f \sin. \theta \log x} = \cos. u - \sqrt{-1} \sin. u,$$

ideoque habetur

$$x^{-\alpha-1} = x^{-f \cos. \theta} \left( \frac{\cos. (f \sin. \theta \log x) - \sqrt{-1} \sin. (f \sin. \theta \log x)}{x} \right)$$

Quare cum  $x^{-\beta-1}$  simili modo exprimitur, mutato signo ipsius  $\sqrt{-1}$ , ex factoribus duplici  $ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + zz$  haec nascitur pars integralis

$$x^{-f \cos. \theta - 1} [A \cos. (f \sin. \theta \log x) + B \sin. (f \sin. \theta \log x)],$$

quae etiam ita potest repraesentari

$$x^{-f \cos. \theta - 1} \cos. (a + f \sin. \theta \log x),$$

denotante  $a$  angulum constantem arbitrium.

**Corollarium 2.**

1235. Simili modo si factores aequales involuat, ut fit

$$(x + z)^2 (\beta + z)^2 = (ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + zz)^2,$$

litterae  $\alpha$  et  $\beta$  eisdem quos ante sortientur valores imaginarios, ex quorum reductione colligitur haec pars integralis inde oriunda

$$x^{-\alpha-1} \cos. (a + f \sin. \theta \log x)$$

Ecc 3

12

$x^{-f \cos. \theta - 1} [A \cos. (a + f \sin. \theta/x) + B/x \cos. (b + f \sin. \theta/x)]$ ,  
 quatuor constantes arbitrarias  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $a$  et  $b$  continens.

### Corollarium 3.

1236. Hinc ergo evidens est, quomodo ex factoribus formae  $P$ , siue sint simplices siue duplices, siue inaequales siue aequales, singulas integralis partes assignari indeque totum integrale completum formari conueniat.

### Scholion.

1237. Totum ergo negotium huc redit, vt quantitas algebraica ex aequatione differentiali formata

$$P = A + B(z-1) + C(z-1)(z-2) + D z-1(z-2)(z-3) + \text{etc.}$$

in suos factores reales vel simplices vel duplices resoluetur, in quo plerumque maxima difficultas versatur, quoniam huiusmodi formae minus tractari sunt solitae. Cum vero haec resolutio isti aequationi cum generali, quam hoc capite enolneresuscipi, est communis, quicquid hic praestare licuerit, potius in aequatione generali ostendi conueniet; ad quam resoluendam propterea reuertor. Id tantum hic obseruasse necesse duco, quod si pro aequatione generali

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx \partial \partial \partial y}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

vndecunque innotuerit integrale particulare, puta  $y = V$  existente  $V$  certa functione ipsius  $x$ , tum posito  $y = V + v$  perueniri ad hanc aequationem

$$0 = Av + \frac{Bx \partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx \partial \partial v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx \partial \partial \partial v}{\partial x^3} + \text{etc.}$$

cuius integrale completum per praeccepta huius problematis inventum si loco  $v$  scribatur, habebitur integrale completum illius aequationis, quo pacto certe insignis calculi compendium obtinetur.

Proble-

## Problema 166.

1238. Proposita aequatione differentiali gradus cuiuscunque  $n$  huius formae

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{Nx^n \partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

eius integrale per integrationem  $n$  vicibus repetitam inuenire.

## Solutio.

Ex hac aequatione formetur haec quantitas algebraica  $P = A + B(z-1) + C(z-1)(z-2) + \dots + N(z-1)(z-2)\dots(z-n)$ , cuius quaerantur omnes factores simplices, nullo habito respectu siue sint reales siue imaginarii, vt ea hoc modo exprimatur

$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z) \dots (\mu + z)(\nu + z)$ , factorum numero existente  $= n$ . Quo facto, initio huius capituli vidimus, quemlibet factorem puta  $\alpha + z$  praebere potestatem  $x^\alpha$ , per quam nostra aequatio fiat integrabilis, atque adeo ostendimus, integrale inde ortum, si compendii gratia ponamus

$$x^{-\alpha-1} \int x^\alpha X \partial x = X',$$

fore

$$X' = A'y + \frac{B'x \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C'x^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{N'x^{n-1} \partial^{n-1} y}{\partial x^{n-1}},$$

ita vt sit  $A' = \frac{A}{\alpha+1}$ , caeterique coefficientes ita se habeant, vt ibidem docuimus; hic autem sufficiet ad primum potissimum respexisse. Absoluta iam prima integratione, si eadem lege ex aequatione semel integrata formemus quantitatem

$P' = A'y + B'(z-1) + C'(z-1)(z-2) + \dots + N'(z-1)(z-2)\dots(z-n+1)$ , cuius resolutio in factores iam ex prima forma  $P$  constat, postquam §. 1229. demonstrari esse

$P'$

$P' = N (\beta + z) (\gamma + z) (\delta + z) \dots (\mu + z) (\nu + z)$ ,  
 ita ut sit  $P' = \frac{P}{z}$ . Hinc ergo simili modo factor  $\beta + z$   
 suppeditabit multiplicatorem  $x^\beta$ , quo haec aequatio integrabilis  
 redditur, ac posito

$$x^{-\beta-1} f x^\beta X' \partial x = X'',$$

ut sit

$$X'' = x^{-\beta-1} f e^{\beta-a-1} \partial x f x^\alpha X \partial x,$$

integrale erit

$$X'' = A'' y + \frac{B'' x \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C'' x^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \dots + \frac{N x^{n-1} \partial^{n-1} y}{\partial x^{n-1}},$$

existente hic  $A'' = \frac{A'}{\beta+1} = \frac{A}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)}$ . Quodsi hoc modo  
 tot integrationes successiue abfoluantur, quot unitates continen-  
 tur in indice  $n$ , sicque omnes factores simplices formae  $P$  in  
 vsu vocentur, tandem ad hanc peruenietur aequationem  
 $X^{(n)} = A^{(n)} y$ , quae est ipsa integralis desiderata. Cum autem  
 hic futurum sit

$$A^{(n)} = \frac{A}{(\alpha+1)(\beta+1)(\gamma+1)\dots(\nu+1)},$$

euidens est denominatorem nasci ex forma  $\frac{P}{N}$ , si loco  $z$  scriba-  
 tur unitas; tum autem sumto  $z = 1$ , prima forma manifesto  
 dat  $P = A$ , ita ut denominator iste fiat  $= \frac{A}{N}$ , ideoque  $A^{(n)} = N$ ,  
 quod etiam inde patet, quod omnium aequationum ultimi ter-  
 mini habeant eundem coefficientem  $N$ , quo ergo in postrema  
 integrali ipse primus terminus  $y$  debet esse affectus. Deinde  
 vero est

$$X^{(n)} = x^{-\nu-1} f x^{\nu-\mu-1} \partial x f x^{\mu-\lambda-1} \partial x \dots f x^{\beta-a-1} \partial x f x^\alpha X \partial x,$$

vbi cum numeri  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , etc. vtrunque inter se permutari  
 possunt, integrale quaesitum etiam hoc modo repraesentari  
 potest

$$N y = x^{-a-1} f x^{a-\beta-1} \partial x f x^{\beta-\gamma-1} \partial x \dots f x^{\mu-\nu-1} \partial x f x^\nu X \partial x.$$

Corol-

## Corollarium 1.

1239. Totum ergo negotium huc redit, ut forma algebraica

$P = A + B(z-1) + C(z-1)(z-2) + D(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) + \text{etc.}$   
in suos factores simplices resoluitur, quibus inuentis ut sit

$$P = N (a + z) (\beta + z) (\gamma + z) \dots (v + z),$$

hinc integrale quaesitum facile exhibetur, et quidem pro factorum varia permutatione pluribus modis, qui autem omnes eundem valorem expriment, ut ex sequentibus clarius patebit.

## Corollarium 2.

1240. Cum haec forma integralis inuenta tot involvat integrationes, quoti gradus fuerit aequatio differentialis proposita, totidem quoque constantes arbitrariae ingerentur, quemadmodum in doles integrationis completae postulat.

## Scholion.

1241. Quoniam integrale inuentum pluribus integrationibus est inuolutum, ad usum faciliorem conueniet hanc formam in parte resolui, quae singulae vnicum tantum signum integrale contineant. Hanc autem resolutionem simili modo instituere licet, quo supra sumus vsi, atque hic quidem totum negotium ad huiusmodi formulam reuocatur

$$\int x^{m-n-1} \partial x f x^n X \partial x,$$

quae manifesto ita reducitur, ut sit

$$\frac{1}{m-n} x^{m-n} \int x^n X \partial x - \frac{1}{m-n} f x^n X \partial x$$

vbi tamen obseruandum est, si fuerit  $m=n$ , peculiari reductione opus esse, hocque casu fore

$$\int \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^n X \partial x = \int x \cdot f x^n X \partial x - \int x^n X \partial x \int x.$$

Vol. II.

F f f

Hac

Hac ergo regula utemur in resolutione sequentium problema-  
tum, quibus successiue omnes gradus differentialium percurra-  
mus, præcetermissio quidem gradu primo, cum æquationis

$$X = Ay + \frac{Nx \partial y}{\partial x}, \text{ ob}$$

$$P = A + N(z - 1) = N(\alpha + z),$$

integrale fit

$$Ny = x^{-\alpha-1} \int x^\alpha X \partial x,$$

quod nulla reductione indiget.

Problemata 167.

1242. Proposita hac æquatione differentiali secundi  
gradus

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Nx^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2},$$

eius integrale per formulas integrales simplices eoluere.

Solutio.

Cum fit

$$P = A + B(z - 1) + N(z - 1)(z - 2),$$

statuatur

$$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z),$$

critque integrale per methodum præcedentem inuentum  $Ny = X''$   
existente

$$x^{\beta+1} X'' = \int x^{\beta-\alpha-1} \partial x \int x^\alpha X \partial x,$$

quæ forma euoluitur in hanc

$$\frac{x^{\beta-\alpha}}{\beta-\alpha} \int x^\alpha X \partial x - \frac{x^{\beta-\alpha}}{\beta-\alpha} \int x^\beta X \partial x,$$

sicque crit

$$Ny = \frac{x^{-\alpha-1}}{\beta-\alpha} \int x^\alpha X \partial x + \frac{x^{-\beta-1}}{\alpha-\beta} \int x^\beta X \partial x.$$

Hinc



Hinc autem casum excipi oportet, quo  $\beta = \alpha$ , tum enim fit  
 $x^{\alpha+1} X'' = f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x = l x f x^{\alpha} X \partial x - f x^{\alpha} X \partial x / x$ ,  
 pro hoc igitur casu habebimus

$$N y = x^{-\alpha-1} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x, \text{ seu}$$

$$N y = x^{-\alpha-1} (l x f x^{\alpha} X \partial x - f x^{\alpha} X \partial x / x),$$

vbi quidem prior forma praeferenda videtur.

### Corollarium 1.

1243. Si ambo factores simplices sint imaginarii, ponatur

$$(\alpha + z)(\beta + z) = ff + 2fz \operatorname{cof.} \theta + zz,$$

eritque

$$\alpha = f(\operatorname{cof.} \theta + \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta}) \text{ et}$$

$$\beta = f(\operatorname{cof.} \theta - \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta}),$$

indeque

$$\beta - \alpha = -2f\sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta}.$$

Tum vero

$$x^{\alpha} = x^{f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} [\operatorname{cof.}(f \sin. \theta / l x) + \sqrt{-1. \sin.}(f \sin. \theta / l x)],$$

$$x^{-\alpha} = x^{-f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} [\operatorname{cof.}(f \sin. \theta / l x) - \sqrt{-1. \sin.}(f \sin. \theta / l x)],$$

quae formulae mutato signo ipsius  $\sqrt{-1}$  ad  $x^{\beta}$  et  $x^{-\beta}$  transferuntur.

### Corollarium 2.

1244. Ponatur breuitatis gratia angulus

$$f \sin. \theta / l x = \Phi,$$

et facta substitutione habebimus

$$N x y = \frac{x^{-f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} \operatorname{cof.} \Phi - \sqrt{-1. \sin.} \Phi / x^{f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} X \partial x (\operatorname{cof.} \Phi + \sqrt{-1. \sin.} \Phi)}{-2f\sqrt{-1. \sin.} \theta} \\ + \frac{x^{-f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} \operatorname{cof.} \Phi + \sqrt{-1. \sin.} \Phi / x^{f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} X \partial x (\operatorname{cof.} \Phi - \sqrt{-1. \sin.} \Phi)}{2f\sqrt{-1. \sin.} \theta}$$

F f f a

vbi

vbi partes imaginariae se sponte destruant, fietque

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-f \cos \theta}}{f \sin \theta} (\sin \Phi f x^{f \cos \theta} X \partial x \cos \Phi - \cos \Phi f x^{f \cos \theta} X \partial x \sin \Phi).$$

Corollarium 3.

1245. Forma ergo haec realis modo inuenta aequi-  
valet illi imaginaria implicanti

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\beta - \alpha} f x^\alpha X \partial x + \frac{x^{-\beta}}{\alpha - \beta} f x^\beta X \partial x,$$

si fuerit

$$(a + z)(\beta + z) = ff + 2fz \cos \theta + z^2,$$

ponaturque  $\Phi = f \sin \theta / x$ , quae reductio semel facta etiam  
in sequentibus usum praestabit.

Problema 168.

1246. Proposita hac aequatione differentiali tertii gradus

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx}{x}y + \frac{Cx}{x^2}y^2 + \frac{Nz}{x^2}y^3,$$

eius integrale per formulas integrales simplices euolvere.

Solutio.

Cum hic sit

$$P = A + B(z-1) + C(z-1)(z-2) + N(z-1)(z-2)(z-3),$$

ponatur

$$P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z),$$

et cum per integrationem generalem prodeat  $Ny = X'''$ , no-  
teretur esse  $X''' = x^{-\gamma-1} f x^\gamma X'' \partial x$ , siquidem valorem ipsius  
 $X''$  ex binis factoribus  $a+z$  et  $\beta+z$  iam inuenimus; hinc  
enim per problema praecedens habetur

$$X'' = \frac{x^{-\alpha-1}}{\beta-\alpha} f x^\alpha X \partial x + \frac{x^{-\beta-1}}{\alpha-\beta} f x^\beta X \partial x:$$

vnde

vnde colligitur

$$\int x^\gamma X'' \partial x = \frac{x^{\gamma-a} \int x^a X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)} - \frac{\int x^\gamma X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)} \\ + \frac{x^{\gamma-\beta} \int x^\beta X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)} - \frac{\int x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)}$$

Est vero

$$\frac{1}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)} + \frac{1}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)} = \frac{1}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)}$$

quod quemadmodum cum per se liquet, tum vero ex Theoremate §. 1169. demonstrato perspiciitur. Quocirca integrale quaesitum ita obtineretur expressum

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a} \int x^a X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)} + \frac{x^{-\beta} \int x^\beta X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)} + \frac{x^{-\gamma} \int x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)}$$

### Corollarium 1.

1247. Si forma P duos habeat factores aequales, ut sit  $\beta = a$ , quia tum est

$$X'' = x^{-a-1} \int \frac{1}{x} \int x^a X \partial x, \text{ erit}$$

$$x^\gamma X'' \partial x = \frac{x^{\gamma-a}}{\gamma-a} \int \frac{1}{x} \int x^a X \partial x - \frac{1}{\gamma-a} \int x^{\gamma-a-1} \partial x \int x^a X \partial x, \text{ at}$$

$$\int x^{\gamma-a-1} \partial x \int x^a X \partial x = \frac{x^{\gamma-a}}{\gamma-a} \int x^a X \partial x - \frac{1}{\gamma-a} \int x^\gamma X \partial x;$$

vnde colligitur

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a}}{\gamma-a} \int \frac{1}{x} \int x^a X \partial x - \frac{x^{-a}}{(\gamma-a)^2} \int x^a X \partial x + \frac{x^{-\gamma}}{(\gamma-a)} \int x^\gamma X \partial x.$$

### Corollarium 2.

1248. Similis forma oritur, si sumatur  $\gamma = \beta$ , tum enim fit

$$\int x^\gamma X'' \partial x = \frac{x^{\beta-\alpha}}{(\beta-\alpha)^2} \int x^\alpha X \partial x - \frac{\int x^\beta \sqrt{x} \partial x}{(\beta-\alpha)^2} + \frac{x}{\alpha-\beta} \frac{\int x^\beta \sqrt{x} \partial x}{x} \quad 1247$$

ideoque

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-\beta}}{\alpha-\beta} \int x^\beta \sqrt{x} \partial x - \frac{x^{-\beta} \int x^\beta \sqrt{x} \partial x}{(\beta-\alpha)^2} + \frac{x^{-\alpha} \int x^\alpha X \partial x}{(\beta-\alpha)^2}$$

### Corollarium 3.

1249. Quodsi autem omnes tres factores inter se fuerint aequales  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ , erit

$$\int x^\gamma X'' \partial x = \int x^\alpha \int x^\alpha \int x^\alpha X \partial x;$$

ideoque hoc casu integrale via succinctorie exprimitur

$$Nxy = x^{-\alpha} \int x^\alpha \int x^\alpha \int x^\alpha X \partial x.$$

### Corollarium 4.

1250. Si duo factores sint imaginarii, scilicet

$$(\alpha + z)(\beta + z) = ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + z^2, \text{ ob}$$

$$\alpha = f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta}) \text{ et}$$

$$\beta = f(\cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta}),$$

postremum quidem nostri integralis membrum manet reale ob

$$(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma) = \gamma\gamma - 2\gamma f \cos. \theta + ff,$$

at bina priora fient, posito  $\Phi = f \sin. \theta / x$ ,

$$\frac{x^{-f \cos. \theta} / \cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1. \sin. \Phi} / f \int x^{f \cos. \theta} X \partial x / (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1. \sin. \Phi})}{-2f \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta} [\gamma - f \cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta}]}$$

$$+ \frac{x^{-f \cos. \theta} \cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1. \sin. \Phi} / f \int x^{f \cos. \theta} X \partial x / (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1. \sin. \Phi})}{f \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta} [\gamma - f \cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta}]},$$

$$,$$

quae reducuntur ad hanc formam realem

$$\frac{x^{-f \cos. \theta} [\sqrt{f \sin. \Phi - f \sin. (\theta + \Phi)}] / f \int x^{f \cos. \theta} X \partial x / (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1. \sin. \theta}) [\gamma \cos. \Phi - f \cos. (\theta + \Phi)] / f \int x^{f \cos. \theta} X \partial x / f \sin. \theta (\gamma\gamma - 2\gamma f \cos. \theta + ff)}{f \sin. \theta (\gamma\gamma - 2\gamma f \cos. \theta + ff)}$$

## Scholion.

1251. Quod ad factores imaginarios atinet, integrallium inde natorum reductio facilius in genere instituetur, vnde in his differentialium gradibus determinatis, ei non amplius immorabor. Factores autem aequales hic data opera pro singulis gradibus accuratius persequi est visum, quia supra nimis cito ad evolutionem generalem properanti in insignem errorem illabi contigit, quem statim feliciter euitassem, si eadem methodo ibi essem vltus. Huiusmodi autem vitium circa factores imaginarios hic non est persistendum, cum in hoc negotio nihil sub specie infinite parui negligendum occurrat. Ex hoc autem fonte errores illi, quos supra commisi, sunt nati: quod vitium subtile quo clarius ob oculos ponatur, vna cum necessaria emendatione hic euoluam. Quaestio scilicet pro casu praesenti hac redit, vt valor harum duarum formularum

$$\frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma + \beta)}$$

definiatur, casu quo  $\beta = \alpha$ , et ambo membra in infinitum excrecent; hunc in finem pono  $\beta = \alpha + \omega$ , existente  $\omega$  particula euanescente, et cum sit

$$x^{\beta} = x^{\alpha} x^{\omega} = x^{\alpha} e^{\omega \log x} = x^{\alpha} (1 + \omega \log x),$$

hincque

$$x^{-\beta} = x^{-\alpha} (1 - \omega \log x),$$

habebimus

$$\frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{\omega(\gamma - \alpha)} - \frac{x^{-\alpha} (1 - \omega \log x) f x^{\alpha} X \partial x (1 + \omega \log x)}{\omega(\gamma - \beta)}$$

Quia nunc est

$$\frac{1}{\gamma - \alpha} - \frac{1}{\gamma - \beta + \omega} = \frac{1}{\gamma - \beta} - \frac{\omega}{(\gamma - \beta)^2}$$

prius membrum induit hanc formam

$$\frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} \vee \partial x}{\omega(\gamma - \beta)} - \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{(\gamma - \beta)^2};$$

posterioris vero euolutum istam

$$\frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{\omega(\gamma - \beta)} + \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x - x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x / x}{\gamma - \beta},$$

sicque valor quaesitus casu  $\beta = a$  concluditur

$$\frac{x^{-\alpha} (f x^{\alpha} X \partial x - f x^{\alpha} X \partial x / x)}{\gamma - a} - \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{(\gamma - a)^2}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\gamma - a} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x - \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{(\gamma - a)^2} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x,$$

cuius formulae posterius membrum, quod in vitiosa illa methodo erat omisum, inde resultat, quod hic ad discrimen inter expressiones  $\gamma - a$  et  $\gamma - \beta$  respeximus, quam necessarium cautionem supra negleximus.

### Problema 169.

1252. Proposita hac aequatione differentiali quarti gradus

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cxx \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx^2 \partial \partial \partial y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{Nx^3 \partial \partial \partial \partial y}{\partial x^4},$$

eius integrale per formulas integrales simplices euoluere.

### Solutio.

Formata hinc expressione algebraica

$$P = A + B(z-1) + C(z-1)(z-2) + D(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) + N(z-1)(z-2)(z-3)(z-4),$$

statuatur

$$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z)(\delta + z),$$

et

et per praecepta generalia est

$$Ny = X^{IV}, \text{ existente } X^{IV} = x^{-\delta-1} f X''' x^\delta \partial x,$$

siquidem  $X'''$  ex tribus prioribus factoribus determinetur, quemadmodum in problemate praecedente est factum. Valorem scilicet ibi pro  $Nxy$  inuentum hic per  $x^{\delta-1} \partial x$  multiplicari oportet, vnde oritur

$$f x^\delta X''' \partial x = + \frac{x^{\delta-a} f x^a X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)(\delta-a)} - \frac{f x^\delta X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)(\delta-a)} \\ - \frac{x^{\delta-\beta} f x^\beta X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)(\delta-\beta)} - \frac{f x^\delta X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)(\delta-\beta)} \\ + \frac{x^{\delta-\gamma} f x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)(\delta-\gamma)} - \frac{f x^\delta X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)(\delta-\gamma)}$$

vbi ob rationes supra demonstratas tres postremi termini con-

lescunt in  $+\frac{f x^\delta X \partial x}{(a-\delta)(\beta-\delta)(\gamma-\delta)}$ , ita vt sit integrale quaesitum

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)(\delta-a)} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^\beta X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)(\delta-\beta)} \\ + \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)(\delta-\gamma)} + \frac{x^{-\delta} f x^\delta X \partial x}{(a-\delta)(\beta-\delta)(\gamma-\delta)}$$

siquidem omnes factores sint inter se inaequales. Casus autem quibus duo pluresue sunt aequales, in corollariis explorabimus.

### Corollarium I.

1253. Si fuerint duo factores aequales nempe  $\delta = \gamma$ , seu si fit

$$P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)^2$$

ex eadem forma pro  $X'''$  ante inuenta oritur integrale

Vol. II.

G g g

N x y

$$\begin{aligned}
 Nxy &= \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)^2} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)^2} \\
 &+ \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)^2} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)^2} \\
 &+ \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)};
 \end{aligned}$$

vbi membra negativa ita representari possunt

$$\frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)} \left( \frac{1}{(\gamma - \alpha)^2} - \frac{1}{(\gamma - \beta)^2} \right).$$

### Corollarium 2.

1254. Si fuerint tres factores aequales, vt fit

$$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)^2,$$

ideoque  $\delta = \gamma = \beta$ , ex formula §. 1248. inuenta colligitur integrale

$$\begin{aligned}
 Nxy &= \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)^3} - \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)^3} \\
 &- \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)^2} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{\alpha - \beta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

### Corollarium 3.

1255. Si omnes quatuor factores fuerint aequales, vt fit

$$P = N(\alpha + z)^4, \text{ existente } \delta = \gamma = \beta = \alpha,$$

ex forma pro tribus aequalibus §. 1249. inuenta fit integrale

$$Nxy = x^{-\alpha} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x.$$

Corol-



## Corollarium 4.

1256. Si habeatur  $\beta = a$  et  $\delta = \gamma$ , vt bini factores sint aequales scilicet  $P = N (a + z)^2 (\gamma + z)^2$ , ex §. 1247. vbi factores erant  $(a + z)^2 (\gamma + z)$ , colligitur integrale

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a}}{(\gamma-a)^2} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^a X \partial x - \frac{x^{-\gamma}}{(\gamma-a)^2} \int x^{\gamma-a-1} \partial x f x^a X \partial x \\ - \frac{x^{-a}}{(\gamma-a)^3} \int x^a X \partial x + \frac{x^{-\gamma}}{(\gamma-a)^3} \int x^{\gamma} X \partial x + \frac{x^{-\gamma}}{(\gamma-a)^2} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x,$$

quae ob

$$\int x^{\gamma-a-1} \partial x f x^a X \partial x = \frac{x^{\gamma-a}}{\gamma-a} \int x^a X \partial x - \frac{x}{\gamma-a} \int x^{\gamma} X \partial x$$

contrahitur in hanc formam

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a}}{(\gamma-a)^2} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^a X \partial x + \frac{x^{-\gamma}}{(\gamma-a)^2} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x \\ - \frac{2x^{-a}}{(\gamma-a)^3} \int x^a X \partial x - \frac{2x^{-\gamma}}{(\gamma-a)^3} \int x^{\gamma} X \partial x.$$

## Problema 170.

1257. Proposita hac aequatione differentiali quin- gradus

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx^2 \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Cx^3 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{Dx^4 \partial^2 y}{\partial x^4} + \frac{Ex^5 \partial^3 y}{\partial x^5} + \frac{Nx^6 \partial^4 y}{\partial x^6},$$

cuius integrale per formulas integrales simplices euoluere.

## Solutio.

Cum hic sit quantitas algebraica formanda

$$P = A + B(z-1) + C(z-1)(z-2) + \dots + N(z-1)(z-2)(z-3)(z-4)(z-5)$$

G g 2

statua-

statuatur

$$P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)(\delta+z)(\epsilon+z);$$

ac si hi factores omnes sint inter se inaequales, ex integrali praecedente nouam instituendo integrationem prodibit integrale quaesitum

$$\begin{aligned} Nxy = & \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{(\beta-\alpha)(\gamma-\alpha)(\delta-\alpha)(\epsilon-\alpha)} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)(\delta-\beta)(\epsilon-\beta)} \\ & + \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\alpha-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)(\delta-\gamma)(\epsilon-\gamma)} + \frac{x^{-\delta} f x^{\delta} X \partial x}{(\alpha-\delta)(\beta-\delta)(\gamma-\delta)(\epsilon-\delta)} \\ & + \frac{x^{-\epsilon} f x^{\epsilon} X \partial x}{(\alpha-\epsilon)(\beta-\epsilon)(\gamma-\epsilon)(\delta-\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Casus quo duo pluresue factores sunt aequales, in corollariis euoluemus.

### Corollarium I.

1258. Si fuerint duō factores aequales, ut sit

$$P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)(\delta+z)^2, \text{ ideoque } \epsilon = \delta$$

ex praecedente problemate colligitur integrale

$$\begin{aligned} Nxy = & + \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x - x^{-\delta} f x^{\delta} X \partial x}{(\beta-\alpha)(\gamma-\alpha)(\delta-\alpha)^2} \\ & + \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x - x^{-\delta} f x^{\delta} X \partial x}{(\alpha-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)(\delta-\beta)^2} \\ & + \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x - x^{-\delta} f x^{\delta} X \partial x}{(\alpha-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)(\delta-\gamma)^2} \\ & + \frac{x^{-\delta} f x^{\delta} X \partial x}{(\alpha-\delta)(\beta-\delta)(\gamma-\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Corol-

## Corollarium 2.

1259. Si fuerint tres factores æquales, vt fit

$$P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)^2, \text{ ideoque}$$

$$\epsilon = \delta = \gamma$$

ex corollario 1. problematis præcedentis colligitur

$$\begin{aligned} Nxy &= \frac{x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x - x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)^2} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)(\gamma-a)^2} \\ &+ \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x - x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)^2} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)^2} \\ &+ \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

## Corollarium 3.

1260. Si quatuor factores sint æquales, vt fit

$$P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)^3, \text{ ideoque}$$

$\epsilon = \delta = \gamma = \beta$ , erit per §. 1254

$$\begin{aligned} Nxy &= \frac{x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x}{(\beta-a)^4} - \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)^4} - \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)^3} \\ &- \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\beta-a)^2} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{a-\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Ac si omnes quinque sint inter se æquales seu

$$P = N(a+z)^5, \text{ erit integrale}$$

$$Nxy = x^{-a} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^a X \partial x.$$

## Corollarium 4.

1261. Si P habeat duos factores quadratos, vt fit

$$P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)^2(\gamma+z)^2, \text{ ideoque } \delta = \gamma \text{ et } \epsilon = \beta,$$

erit ex §. 1253. integrale, reductione necessaria facta,

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x - x^{-\beta} x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\beta - a)^2 (\gamma - a)^2} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} x^{\gamma} X \partial x + x^{-\beta} x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\beta - a) (a - \gamma)^2 (\beta - \gamma)} \\ + \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(a - \beta) (\gamma - \beta)^2} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x + x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(a - \beta) (\beta - \gamma)^2} \\ + \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a - \gamma) (\beta - \gamma)^2} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x + x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(a - \gamma) (\beta - \gamma)^2},$$

quae porro redigitur ad hanc formam

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x}{(\beta - a)^2 (\gamma - a)^2} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(a - \beta)^2 (\gamma - \beta)^2} - \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(a - \beta)^2 (\gamma - \beta)^2} - \frac{2x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(a - \beta) (\gamma - \beta)^2} \\ + \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a - \gamma) (\beta - \gamma)^2} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a - \gamma)^2 (\beta - \gamma)^2} - \frac{2x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a - \gamma) (\beta - \gamma)^2}.$$

### Corollarium 5.

1262. Si P habeat et factorem quadratum et cubicum, ut sit

$$P = N(a+z)^2 (\gamma+z)^2, \text{ ideoque } \beta = a \text{ et } \epsilon = \delta = \gamma,$$

ex §. 1254. colligitur integrale

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^a X \partial x}{(\gamma - a)^2} - \frac{3x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x}{(\gamma - a)^2} \\ + \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a - \gamma)^2} - \frac{2x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a - \gamma)^2} - \frac{3x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(a - \gamma)^2}.$$

### Scholion.

1263. Ex his formulis parum constat, quemadmodum eas ulterius pro maiori factorum numero continuari oportet, si quidem factorum aliquot inter se fuerint aequales; inter-

integralium enim partes, quae factoribus inaequalibus respondent, legem seruant manifestam. Quae autem partibus aequalibus respondent, adhibita certa reductione commodius exprimi possunt. Veluti pro casu corollarii I. si breuitatis gratia ponatur  $\alpha - \delta = p$ ,  $\beta - \delta = q$  et  $\gamma - \delta = r$ , forma  $x^{-\delta} f x^{\delta} X \partial x$  ducta est in

$$\frac{x}{(p-q)(r-p)pp} + \frac{x}{(p-q)(q-r)qq} + \frac{x}{(r-p)(q-r)rr}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{(q-r)qqrr + (r-p)pprr + (p-q)ppqq}{(p-q)(q-r)(r-p)ppqqrr},$$

cuius fractionis numerator est

$$-(p-q)(q-r)(r-p)(pq + pr + qr),$$

ita ut haec fractio reducatur ad istam

$$\frac{-pq - pr - qr}{ppqqrr} = -\frac{x}{pqr} \left( \frac{x}{p} + \frac{x}{q} + \frac{x}{r} \right).$$

Quando ergo est

$$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z)(\delta + z)^2,$$

integrale ita se habet

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)(\delta - \alpha)^2} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)(\delta - \beta)^2} + \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)(\delta - \gamma)^2}$$

$$+ \frac{x^{-\delta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\delta} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \delta)(\beta - \delta)(\gamma - \delta)} - \frac{x^{-\delta} f x^{\delta} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \delta)(\beta - \delta)(\gamma - \delta)} \left( \frac{x}{\alpha - \delta} + \frac{x}{\beta - \delta} + \frac{x}{\gamma - \delta} \right).$$

Pro casu autem  $P = M(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z)^2$  habebitur

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x}{(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)^2} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \beta)(\gamma - \beta)^2}$$

$$+ \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)} \left( \frac{x}{\alpha - \gamma} + \frac{x}{\beta - \gamma} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)} \left( \frac{x}{(\alpha - \gamma)^2} + \frac{x}{(\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \gamma)} + \frac{x}{(\beta - \gamma)^2} \right).$$

Tum

Tum vero pro casu  $P = N(a+z)(\beta+z)^2$  fit

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x}{(\beta-a)^2} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^\beta X \partial x}{a-\beta}$$

$$- \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^\beta X \partial x}{a-\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{a-\beta} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^\beta X \partial x}{a-\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{(a-\beta)^2}$$

$$- \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^\beta X \partial x}{a-\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{(a-\beta)^2}$$

At pro casu  $P = (a+z)(\beta+z)(\gamma+z)^2$  integrale ita se habet

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x}{(\beta-a)^2 (\gamma-a)^2} + \frac{x^{-\beta} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^\beta X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)^2}$$

$$- \frac{x^{-\beta} f x^\beta X \partial x}{(a-\beta)(\gamma-\beta)^2} \left( \frac{1}{a-\beta} + \frac{2}{\gamma-\beta} \right) + \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)^2}$$

$$- \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)(\beta-\gamma)^2} \left( \frac{1}{a-\gamma} + \frac{2}{\beta-\gamma} \right)$$

Denique pro casu  $P = N(a+z)^2(\gamma+z)^3$  est

$$Nxy = \frac{x^{-a} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^a X \partial x}{(\gamma-a)^3} - \frac{x^{-a} f x^a X \partial x}{(\gamma-a)^3} \cdot \frac{3}{\gamma-a}$$

$$+ \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)^3} - \frac{x^{-\gamma} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)^3} \cdot \frac{2}{a-\gamma}$$

$$+ \frac{x^{-\gamma} f x^\gamma X \partial x}{(a-\gamma)^3} \cdot \frac{3}{(a-\gamma)^2}$$

vnde indoles harum formularum iam magis fit perspicua, si-  
mulque patet partem integralis ex aliquot factoribus oriendam  
non pendere ab aequalitate reliquorum. Quocirca iam pro-  
blema generale aggredi licet.

Pro-

## Problema 171.

1264. Proposita aequatione differentiali cuiuscunque gradus huius formae

$$X = Ay + \frac{Bx \partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{Cx^2 \partial \partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{Dx^3 \partial^3 y}{\partial x^3} + \dots + \frac{Nx^n \partial^n y}{\partial x^n},$$

ex qua forma algebraica hac lege formata

$$P = A + B(z-1) + C(z-1)(z-2) + D(z-1)(z-2)(z-3) + \dots \\ \dots + N(z-1)(z-2)\dots(z-n),$$

omnes factores habeat inter se inaequales; valorem ipsius  $y$  completum per formulas integrales simplices exhibere.

## Solutio.

Sint primo formae  $P$  omnes factores simplices reales

$$P = N(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)(\gamma + z) \dots (\nu + z),$$

factorum numero existente  $= n$ , et ex antecedentibus patet, ex quolibet factore nasci integralis partem. Ad has partes inueniendas, eliciantur sequentes valores

$$1.) \text{ posito } z = -\alpha \text{ fit } \mathcal{A} = \frac{P}{\alpha + z}, \text{ seu } \mathcal{A} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z},$$

$$2.) \text{ posito } z = -\beta \text{ fit } \mathcal{B} = \frac{P}{\beta + z}, \text{ seu } \mathcal{B} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z},$$

$$3.) \text{ posito } z = -\gamma \text{ fit } \mathcal{C} = \frac{P}{\gamma + z}, \text{ seu } \mathcal{C} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z},$$

etc.

Cum igitur sit

$$(\beta - \alpha)(\gamma - \alpha)(\delta - \alpha) \dots (\nu - \alpha) = \frac{P}{N},$$

littera  $N$  ex superioribus formis per diuisionem tolletur, fietque integrale quaesitum

$$xy = \frac{1}{\alpha} x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\beta} x^{-\beta} f x^{\beta} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\gamma} x^{-\gamma} f x^{\gamma} X \partial x + \text{etc.}$$

quoad singuli factores fuerint exhausti.

*Sol. II.*

H h h

Quodsi

Quodsi iam forma P. factores habeat imaginarios, partium inde ortarum imaginariarum ad realitatem reductio sequenti modo instituetur. Quoniam bini factores simplices imaginarii praebent factorem duplicem realem, ponamus

$$(\alpha + z)(\beta + z) = ff + 2fz \cos. \theta + z z,$$

ita ut sit

$$\alpha = f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta) \text{ et } \beta = f(\cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta),$$

vnde primum valores literarum A et B definiantur, quarum cum utraque deriuetur ex forma  $\frac{z^2}{z^2}$ , illa posito  $z = -\alpha$  haec vero posito  $z = -\beta$ , in ipsa forma  $\frac{z^2}{z^2}$  loco z vbique scribatur

$$f(\cos. \theta \pm \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta),$$

prodeatque  $\mathfrak{P} \pm \Omega \sqrt{-1}$ . Ac perspicuum est fore

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1} \text{ et } \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{P} - \Omega \sqrt{-1},$$

vbi notandum est, quantitates  $\mathfrak{P}$  et  $\Omega$  esse reales. Deinde cum sit

$$x^{m+n\sqrt{-1}} = x^m e^{n\sqrt{-1} \log x} = x^m [\cos. (n \log x) + \sqrt{-1} \sin. (n \log x)],$$

si breuitatis ergo ponamus angulum  $f \sin. \theta \log x = \Phi$ , erit

$$x^\alpha = x^{f \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi),$$

$$x^{-\alpha} = x^{-f \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi),$$

$$x^\beta = x^{f \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi),$$

$$x^{-\beta} = x^{-f \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi).$$

Quare pro binis partibus

$$\frac{1}{\mathfrak{A}} x^{-\alpha} f x^\alpha X \partial x + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{B}} x^{-\beta} f x^\beta X \partial x,$$

ob  $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{P} + \Omega \Omega$  habebimus

$$\frac{x^{-f \cos. \theta}}{\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{P} + \Omega \Omega} \left\{ (\mathfrak{P} - \Omega \sqrt{-1}) (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi) f x^{f \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi) X \partial x \right. \\ \left. + (\mathfrak{P} + \Omega \sqrt{-1}) (\cos. \Phi + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi) f x^{f \cos. \theta} (\cos. \Phi - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \Phi) X \partial x \right\}$$

quae



quae forma ob partes imaginarias se tollentes reducitur ad hanc

$$\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2x^{-f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} (\mathfrak{P} \operatorname{cof.} \Phi - \Omega \operatorname{fin.} \Phi) f x^{f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} X \partial x \operatorname{cof.} \Phi \\ + 2x^{-f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} (\Omega \operatorname{cof.} \Phi + \mathfrak{P} \operatorname{fin.} \Phi) f x^{f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} X \partial x \operatorname{fin.} \Phi \end{array} \right\}}{\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{P} + \Omega \Omega}$$

Talisque forma ad integrale accedit, quoties forma P huiusmodi habet factorem duplicem  $ff + 2fz \operatorname{cof.} \theta + z z$ .

### Corollarium 1.

1265. ~~Esti autem~~ factorum simplicium ipsius P quidam sunt imaginarii, eorum qui sunt reales euolutio inde non perturbatur, sed ex singulis partes in integrale inferendae a natura reliquorum factorum minime pendent.

### Corollarium 2.

1266. Pars integralis ex binis factoribus imaginariis seu vno factore duplici oriunda aliquanto succinctius representari potest, si ponatur

$$\mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{O} \operatorname{cof.} \zeta \text{ et } \Omega = \mathcal{O} \operatorname{fin.} \zeta,$$

sic enim ea fiet

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}} x^{-f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} [\operatorname{cof.} (\zeta + \Phi) f x^{f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} X \partial x \operatorname{cof.} \Phi \\ + \operatorname{fin.} (\zeta + \Phi) f x^{f \operatorname{cof.} \theta} X \partial x \operatorname{fin.} \Phi],$$

vbi  $\zeta$  et  $\theta$  sunt anguli constantes,  $\Phi$  vero variabilis ob  $\Phi = f \operatorname{fin.} \theta. l x$ .

### Problema 172.

1267. Si pro aequatione differentiali in praecedente problemate proposita quantitas algebraica P inde formata duos habeat factores simplices aequales, integralis partem inde oriundam inuestigare.

H h h 2

Solu-

## Solutio.

In forma ergo ante exhibita

$$P = N (\alpha + z) (\beta + z) (\gamma + z) (\delta + z) \text{ etc.}$$

ponamus esse  $\beta = \alpha$ , quoniam vero tum utraque integralis pars oritur infinita, altera signo +, altera signo - affecta, ita vt iunctim sumtae partem constituent finitam, ad hanc eligendam statuamus  $\beta = \alpha - \omega$ , denotante  $\omega$  quantitatem eiusnescentem, eritque

$$\mathcal{A} = -N \omega (\gamma - \alpha) (\delta - \alpha) (\varepsilon - \alpha) \text{ etc. et}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = +N \omega (\gamma - \beta) (\delta - \beta) (\varepsilon - \beta) \text{ etc.}$$

Ponatur iam

$$\frac{P}{(\alpha + z)(\beta + z)} = \frac{P}{(\alpha + z)^2} = Q,$$

vt fit

$$Q = N (\gamma + z) (\delta + z) (\varepsilon + z) \text{ etc.}$$

ac manifestum est fieri

$$\mathcal{A} = -\omega Q, \text{ posito } z = -\alpha \text{ et}$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \omega Q, \text{ posito } z = -\beta = -\alpha + \omega,$$

vnde intelligitur valorem ipsius Q posteriorem excedere priorem suo differentiali  $\partial Q$ , si fiat

$$z = -\alpha \text{ et } \partial z = \omega,$$

ita vt fit

$$\mathcal{B} = \omega (Q + \omega \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}), \text{ posito } z = -\alpha,$$

hincque

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}}{\omega} = \frac{Q}{\omega} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} = \frac{Q}{\omega} + \frac{1}{\omega} \partial \frac{Q}{\omega}, \text{ existente } \frac{1}{\omega} = -\frac{1}{\omega Q}:$$

tum vero cum fit

$$x^\beta = x^\alpha x^{-\omega} = x^\alpha (x - \omega / x) \text{ et } x^{-\beta} = x^{-\alpha} (x + \omega / x),$$

binæ partes integralis quaesitæ erunt

$$-\frac{1}{\omega Q} x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x + \left(\frac{1}{\omega Q} + \frac{1}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{Q}\right) x^{-\alpha} (1 + \omega l x) f x^{\alpha} X \partial x (1 - \omega l x),$$

vbi cum membra per  $\omega$  diuisa se destruant, resultat

$$\frac{1}{Q} x^{-\alpha} (l x f x^{\alpha} X \partial x - f x^{\alpha} X \partial x l x) + \frac{1}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{Q} x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x$$

feu

$$\frac{1}{Q} x^{-\alpha} f \frac{\partial x}{\partial z} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{Q} x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x,$$

siquidem tam in valore  $\frac{1}{Q}$  quam in  $\frac{1}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{Q}$  vbique loco  $x$  scribatur  $-a$ . Cum vero sit  $Q = \frac{1}{(a+z)^p}$ , hi valores inde facile inueniuntur.

### Corollarium 1.

1268. Quodsi ergo quantitas algebraica  $P$  ex aequatione differentiali formata factorem habeat quadratum  $(a+z)^2$ , inde in integrale transferenda est haec portio

$$\frac{(a+z)^p}{P} x^{-\alpha} f \frac{\partial x}{\partial z} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x + \frac{1}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{(a+z)^p}{P} x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x,$$

posito  $z = -a$ ; dum si hic factor  $a+z$  esset solitarius integralis pars inde oriunda foret

$$\frac{a+z}{P} x^{-\alpha} f x^{\alpha} X \partial x, \text{ posito } z = -a.$$

### Corollarium 2.

1269. Cum sit  $Q = \frac{P}{(a+z)^p}$ , casu  $z = -a$  fiet  $Q = \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial z^2}$ ; verum quia hic ipsi  $z$  iam valor determinatus est tributus, hinc  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}$  colligere non licet, sed prima est vtendum qua sit  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} = \frac{(a+z) \partial^2 P - \partial P \partial z}{(a+z)^2 \partial z}$ , cuius fractionis cum numerator et denominator casu  $z = -a$  euanescat, erit pro eodem casu

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} = \frac{(a+z) \partial^2 P - \partial P \partial z}{\partial z^2} = \frac{(a+z) \partial^2 P}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial z^2}.$$

H h h 3

Corol-

## Corollarium 3.

1270. Hoc valore inuento, quia est eodem casu  
 $z = -\alpha$ , quantitas  $Q = \frac{\partial \partial P}{\partial z \partial z}$  erit

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{Q} = -\frac{\partial Q}{Q^2 \partial z} = -\frac{\partial \partial z \partial^2 P}{\partial^3 \partial z^3 P^2}, \text{ seu}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{\partial \partial z}{\partial} \partial. \frac{1}{\partial \partial P},$$

ex quibus formulis, si factores ipsius P non sint euoluti, partes integralis facilius reperiuntur.

## Problema 173.

1271. Si pro aequatione differentiali praecedente  
 quantitas algebraica P inde formata factorem habeat cubicum  
 $(\alpha + z)^3$ , integralis partem inde oriundam inuestigare.

## Solutio.

Ponamus ergo esse

$$P = (\alpha + z)^3 (\gamma + z) R, \text{ existente } \gamma = \alpha - \omega,$$

vbi  $\omega$  pro quantitate euanescente assumitur. Quod ergo ante  
 erat Q, id hinc fit  $Q = (\gamma + z) R$ , et facto  $z = -\alpha$ , erit  
 $Q = -\omega R$ , si etiam in R ponatur  $z = -\alpha$ . Deinde cum sit

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} = R + \frac{(\gamma + z) \partial R}{\partial z} = R - \frac{\omega \partial R}{\partial z},$$

eodem casu erit

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{Q} = -\frac{1}{\omega \omega R} + \frac{\partial R}{\omega R \partial z} = -\frac{1}{\omega \omega} \cdot \frac{1}{R} - \frac{1}{\omega \partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{R}.$$

Quocirca ex factore quadrato  $(\alpha + z)^2$  per praecedens pro-  
 blemà haec obtinetur integralis pars

$$-\frac{1}{\omega R} x^{-\alpha} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^\alpha X \partial x - \left( \frac{1}{\omega \omega R} + \frac{1}{\omega \partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{R} \right) x^{-\alpha} \int x^\alpha X \partial x,$$

cuius ambo membra in infinitum excrescunt ob  $\omega = 0$ .

Adiciamus autem partem ex tertio factore

$$\gamma + z = \alpha - \omega + z,$$

oriun-

oriundam, quae ob  $\frac{P}{\gamma+z} = (a+z)^2 R$  est

$$\frac{1}{(a+z)^2 R} x^{-\gamma} f x^\gamma X \partial x, \text{ posito } z = -\gamma = -a + \omega.$$

Quod si iam R ut ante is fuerit valor, qui oritur posito  $z = -a$ , augendo hunc valorem particula  $\omega$ , loco  $\frac{1}{R}$  scribi debet

$$\frac{1}{R} + \frac{\omega}{\partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{R} + \frac{\omega^2}{1.2 \partial z^2} \partial \partial. \frac{1}{R} \text{ etc.}$$

si quidem valorem  $z = -a$  et hic retineamus: vnde haec integralis pars ob  $a+z = \omega$  erit.

$$\left( \frac{1}{\omega R} + \frac{1}{\omega \partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{1.2 \partial z^2} \partial \partial. \frac{1}{R} \right) x^{-a+\omega} f x^{a-\omega} X \partial x;$$

sicque manifestum est, hunc ipsius  $\frac{1}{R}$  valorem vsque ad secundam potestatem ipsius  $\omega$  continuari debuisse, atque eadem lege hic alteram partem  $x$  inuoluentem exprimi conueniet. Ad quod obseruo, si habeatur huiusmodi formula  $x^\omega f x^{-\omega} V \partial x$  secundum potestates ipsius  $\omega$  euoluenda, id hac ratione commodissime fieri. Posito

$$v = x^\omega f x^{-\omega} V \partial x, \text{ ut sit } x^{-\omega} v = f x^{-\omega} V \partial x,$$

erit differentiando  $\partial v = \frac{\omega v \partial x}{x} = V \partial x$ , quare posito

$$v = T + \omega T' + \omega^2 T'' + \omega^3 T''' + \text{etc.}$$

habebitur, terminos secundum potestates ipsius  $\omega$  disponendo,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \partial T + \omega \partial T' + \omega \omega \partial T'' + \omega^3 \partial T''' + \text{etc.} \\ & - V \partial x - \omega T \frac{\partial x}{x} - \omega \omega T' \frac{\partial x}{x} - \omega^3 T'' \frac{\partial x}{x} - \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \right\} = 0$$

ideoque

$$T = f V \partial x, T' = f \frac{\partial x}{x} f V \partial x, T'' = f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f V \partial x, \text{ etc.}$$

Consequenter cum in applicatione sit  $V = x^a X$ , erit pars integralis ex factore  $\gamma + z = a - \omega + z$  nata

$$\left( \frac{1}{\omega R} + \frac{1}{\omega \partial z} \partial. \frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{1.2 \partial z^2} \partial \partial. \frac{1}{R} \right) x^{-a} (f x^a X \partial x + \omega f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^a X \partial x + \omega^2 f \frac{\partial x}{x} f \frac{\partial x}{x} f x^a X \partial x),$$

qua

qua cum parte ex  $(a+z)^2$  nata iunctim sumta, omnia membra infinita se mutuo destruunt, et pro quantitatis  $P=(a+z)^2 R$  factore cubico  $(a+z)^3$  in integrale ingreditur haec pars

$$\frac{1}{K} x^{-a} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} \int x^a X \partial x + \frac{1}{\partial z} \partial \cdot \frac{1}{K} \cdot x^{-a} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} \int x^a X \partial x \\ + \frac{1}{\partial z^2} \partial \partial \cdot \frac{1}{K} \cdot x^{-a} \int x^a X \partial x,$$

si modo in quantitate  $R = \frac{P}{(a+z)^2}$  vbique scribatur  $z = -a$ .

### Corollarium 1.

1272. Methodus in solutione huius problematis adhibita facile ad quotcumque factores aequales extendi potest. Si enim fuerit  $(a+z)^m$  factor quantitatis  $P$ , atque in hac fractione  $\frac{(a+z)^m}{P}$  suisque differentialibus, postquam fuerint euoluta, ponatur  $z = -a$ , partes integralis inde natae ita se habebunt

|                       |  |   |  |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| Factor<br>quant. P    | $a+z$                                      | $(a+z)^2$   | $(a+z)^3$  |
| Pars in-<br>tegralis. | $\frac{1}{P} x^{-a} \int x^a X \partial x$ | $\frac{(a+z)^2}{P} x^{-a} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} \int x^a X \partial x$<br>$+\frac{1}{\partial z} \partial \cdot \frac{(a+z)^2}{P} \cdot x^{-a} \int x^a X \partial x$ | $\frac{(a+z)^3}{P} x^{-a} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} \int x^a X \partial x$<br>$+\frac{1}{\partial z} \partial \cdot \frac{(a+z)^3}{P} \cdot x^{-a} \int \frac{\partial x}{x} \int x^a X \partial x$<br>$+\frac{1}{\partial z^2} \partial \partial \cdot \frac{(a+z)^3}{P} \cdot x^{-a} \int x^a X \partial x.$ |

### Corollarium 2.

1273. Si fuerint duo pluresue factores duplices inter se aequales, sumtis

$$\alpha = f(\cos. \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta) \text{ et}$$

$$\beta = f(\cos. \theta - \sqrt{-1} \sin. \theta),$$

partes pro  $(\alpha+z)^2$  et  $(\beta+z)^2$  seorsim euolutae methodo supra

pra adhibita non difficulter coniungentur, et ad realitatem reducentur.

Scholion.

1274. Simili methodo, qua hoc caput est pertractatum, in evolutione capitis III. huius sectionis vti oportebat, neque tum vllum periculum in errores prolabendi fuisset per timefcendum. Superfluum autem nunc foret, errores ibi commiffos hic emendare, cum non solum methodus plane efferet eadem, fed etiam aequatio hic tractata facile in formam ibi confideratam transmutari queat et viciffim. Quod si enim in aequatione capitis III.

$$X = Ay + \frac{B\partial y}{\partial x} + \frac{C\partial\partial y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{D\partial^2 y}{\partial x^3} + \frac{E\partial^3 y}{\partial x^4} + \text{etc.}$$

statuatur  $x = l\psi$ , vt fit  $\partial x = \frac{\partial\psi}{\psi}$ , functio autem X abeat in functionem ipsius  $\psi$  quae fit V, proueniet aequatio eius formae quam hic tractauimus. Dum autem ibi elementum  $\partial x$  pro constanti est habitum, ad hanc conditionem exuendam ponamus

$$\partial y = p\partial x, \partial p = q\partial x, \partial q = r\partial x, \partial r = s\partial x, \text{etc.}$$

vt haec aequatio resultet

$$X = V = Ay + Bp + Cq + Dr + Es + Ft + \text{etc.}$$

Nunc autem ob  $\partial x = \frac{\partial\psi}{\psi}$  adipifcimus, elemento  $\partial\psi$  constante sumto

$$p = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\psi\partial y}{\partial\psi}$$

$$q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \frac{\psi\psi\partial\partial y}{\partial\psi^2} + \frac{\psi\partial^2 y}{\partial\psi^2}$$

$$r = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = \frac{\psi^2\partial^2 y}{\partial\psi^3} + \frac{3\psi\psi\partial\partial y}{\partial\psi^3} + \frac{\psi\partial^3 y}{\partial\psi^3}$$

$$s = \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{\psi^3\partial^3 y}{\partial\psi^4} + \frac{6\psi^2\psi\partial^2 y}{\partial\psi^4} + \frac{3\psi\psi\psi\partial\partial y}{\partial\psi^4} + \frac{\psi\partial^4 y}{\partial\psi^4}$$

$$t = \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} = \frac{\psi^4\partial^4 y}{\partial\psi^5} + \frac{10\psi^3\psi\partial^3 y}{\partial\psi^5} + \frac{15\psi^2\psi\psi\partial^2 y}{\partial\psi^5} + \frac{15\psi\psi\psi\psi\partial\partial y}{\partial\psi^5} + \frac{\psi\partial^5 y}{\partial\psi^5}, \text{etc.}$$

Quare aequatio inter  $\psi$  et  $y$  erit haec

$$V = Ay + \frac{By^2z}{y^2} + \frac{Cyy^2z^2}{y^2} + \frac{Dy^2z^2y}{y^2} + \frac{Ez^2z^2y}{y^2} + \frac{Fyy^2z^2z}{y^2} + \text{etc.}$$

$$+ C + 3D + 6E + 10F$$

$$+ D + 7E + 25F^2$$

ad hanc formam reduci  
 cuius integrationem hic docuimus. Imprimis autem notandum est quantitatem algebraicam P hinc formandam

$$P = A + (B + C + D + E + F)(z - 1)$$

$$+ (C + 3D + 7E + 15F)(z - 1)(z - 2)$$

$$+ (D + 6E + 25F)(z - 1)(z - 2)(z - 3) + \text{etc.}$$

ad hanc formam reduci  
 $P = A + B(z - 1) + C(z - 1)^2 + D(z - 1)^3 + E(z - 1)^4$   
 $+ F(z - 1)^5 + \text{etc.}$

quae quantitas algebraica ab illa, qua in capite III. ad integrationem sumus vsi, hoc tantum differt, quod ibi littera z id quod hic formula z - 1 expressimus; ex quo etiam ambarum integratio facillime altera ad alteram reducitur.

### Conclusio libri primi.

.1275. Atque haec fere sunt, quae ad librum primum de calculo integrali pertinere sunt visa, vbi methodum tradere institui, functiones vnus variabilis ex data quacunque differentialium cuiusque ordinis relatione inuestigandi; quod opus mihi equidem ita pertractasse videor, vt vix quicquam eorum, quae adhuc de hoc argumento ab aliis sunt inuenta et in medium allata, sit praetermissum.



005643668

