

C E R T I F I C A T E

Ref Doc #679

Statement of Source and Authenticity

I, HAYASHI, Kaoru, Chief of the Archives Section,
Japanese Foreign Office, hereby certify that
the document hereto attached in Japanese consisting
of 4 paged^s and entitled "Report on the Discussion
regarding the Ratification of the Treaty for
Renunciation of War."
is an exact and true ^{extract} ~~copy~~ of an official document of the
Japanese Foreign Office.

Certified at Tokyo,
on this 24th day of February ¹⁹⁴⁷ ~~1946~~.

K. Hayashi
Signature of Official

Witness : K. Ulraby

TRANSLATION CERTIFICATE

I, Yukio Kawamoto, of the Defense Language Branch,
hereby certify that the foregoing translation described in
the above certificate is, to the best of my knowledge and
belief, a correct translation and is as near as possible
to the meaning of the original document.

/s/ Yukio Kawamoto

Yukio Kawamoto

Tokyo, Japan

Date 4 March 1947

"Report on the Discussion regarding the
Ratification of the Treaty for Renunciation
of War"

(1)

REPORT ON THE ~~DISCUSSION~~ ^{Investigation}
REGARDING THE RATIFICATION OF
THE TREATY FOR RENUNCIATION OF
WAR.

~~22 June, the 4th year of Showa~~
~~(T.N. 1929)~~

TO Baron KURATOMI, Yūzaburō,
Chairman of the Privy Council:-

At this time,

~~As we have been appointed members of the~~
~~Inquiry on the~~
Investigation Committee on the Ratification of
the Treaty for Renunciation of War, which has
~~recently been referred to the Privy Council for~~

~~deliberations~~ we held committee meetings on 17th
and 18th of this month and investigated the matter
~~Minister~~ heard the State
Minister and the other authorities and
officials concerned. The respective committee
members ~~expressed~~ in turn expressed their
beliefs and a very careful investigation
was made.

(2)

it most carefully; hearing of
explanations from the submitted by ministers
of State and other authorities and
expressing our own opinions by turns.

Now this process ~~is~~ conclusion of this ^{proposed} treaty
~~began~~ ^{was initiated in} April of the 2nd year of Shōwa
^{the}

(T.N. 1927), when French Foreign Minister
sent to the ~~nation~~ ^{people} of the United States
a declaration that he wanted to
~~make~~ ^{would mutually} an official pledge which ~~provided~~
~~recognise~~ ^{make} & illegal
~~for~~ war ~~as~~ an offence against law
in order to secure peace between ^{the two} ~~both~~

(3)

countries. Since then, governments
of ~~both~~^{the two} countries negotiated many times
~~for the~~^{on} conclusion of a treaty aimed at ~~the~~
~~peaceful conciliative~~
renunciation of war and ~~the~~ settlements
of international disputes, but they
did not agree easily. After several
inquiries and ~~replies~~^{answers}, in April in
the United States
3rd year of Shōwa (TN. 1928), ~~the~~^{the} Govern-
ment introduced a draft ~~treaty~~^{bill}, ~~revised~~
~~version of~~^{an amended}
French original ~~bill~~, to the Governments
of ~~the~~^{the chief main} Powers, ~~the~~^{the} four ~~big~~ countries

(4)

of Japan, Great Britain, Germany and

and

Italy, asking for their ~~views~~ opinions on it.

Thereupon the

~~French~~, French government made clear her

own contention by sending her ^{own} counter-draft

to the governments concerned. The Govern-

ments of ~~those~~ ^{the} Four Powers sent their re-

plies one after another expressing ~~to express~~ their
the general principles of the
principal approval of ^A American draft.

In June of the same year, the ~~U. S.~~ ^{United States} Govern-

ment introduced again a revised draft

of the Treaty and proposed that ~~the~~ follow-

(5)

~~and~~ fifteen countries should be ^{the} original powers to signatory ^{of} it. ~~They were~~ ~~besides the~~ six Powers ~~above stated~~ including Canada, the Commonwealth of Australia, New Zealand, the Union of South Africa, Irish Free State, India, Belgium, Poland and Czechoslovakia. Further-

~~the United States~~

~~U.S.~~ Government adopted purposely a policy of having principle ~~to put~~ negotiations with each ~~between~~ ~~one~~ and government concerned ~~to have a~~ with the averting purposely the conference of delegates of ^{the} governments, This time

(6)

and each
~~principle~~ ~~these~~ government~~s~~ replied ~~to~~
made
approving unanimously the proposal, by the
~~United States~~ ~~thus all the 15 countries~~
~~U. S.~~ Government, and finally such
~~finally~~ ~~reached~~ an agreement was reached. Following which
~~negotiations~~ were concluded. Then, at

Paris, France, on 27 August of the same
year the Treaty was concluded ~~by~~ ⁱⁿ the
presence of the ^{the}
¹ delegates of fifteen original signatory
powers ~~governments~~. This is the ^{aforesaid} ⁱⁿ ~~Treaty~~ here
other words the Treaty in question.
concerned.

Omissions
(~~omitted~~)

the authorities of

When our Government ~~had~~ received

(7)

the proposal from ~~the United States~~
~~U.S.A.~~ government, she
adopted ~~an~~ interpreted ~~of~~ the right
of self-defence in ~~wider~~ sense, that its
function included not only actions ^{a broader}
~~land~~
defend the home ~~territory~~ - but also
~~ones to be taken~~ to defend our impor-
tant rights and interests in China, es-
pecially in Manchuria and Mongolia. She
only considered ~~recognized, however,~~ it ~~timely~~ not to
declare such view at ~~that~~ time. Besides,
she ~~adopted a view~~ thought that such treaties

(8)

aimed originally ⁱⁿ ~~at~~ the security of general peace as the Covenant of the League of Nations and the Locarno Treaties, were not inconsistent with this Treaty, ~~in~~ ^{and in} her the reply ~~sent~~ by our government ^{the United States} to ~~U.S.~~ government, ~~the view of the~~ she that she understood made ~~former~~ ^{United States} was added, that the proposal, by ~~U.S.A~~ government the ~~latter~~, did not deny the right of self-defence to independent states, and that ^{her} the proposal was not inconsistent such at all with ^{the} duties ^{of} to secure general

(9)

peace as ~~one~~ ^{those} included in the Covenant
of the League of Nations and the Locarno
Treaties.

Omissions
(omitted.)

We, the members have
~~Our~~, committee, decided unanimously
that this matter ^{should} ~~might~~ be passed without
any revision, and report the results ^{of the investigation on the above} accordingly.

~~We can report the result of our~~ (IN: 1929)
22 June, 4th year of Showa,

investigation as above

Count ITŌ, Miyōji, Chair-
man of the Investigation Com-

(10)

mitttee, Member of the Privy
Council.

Viscount KANEKO, Kentarō,

~~████████~~ Investigation Committee, Member
of the Privy Council.

Baron TOMII, Seisho , In-
vestigation Committee, Member
of the Privy Council.

Viscount ISHIGURO, Chutoku,
Investigation Committee, Member
of the Privy Council.

(11)

EGI, Senshi , Investigation
Committee, Member of the
Privy Council.

Baron DEN, Kenjirō , In-
vestigation Committee, Member
of the Privy Council.

Kontarō
ARAI, ~~Kenjirō~~ , Investigation
Committee, Member of the
Privy Council.

Viscount SAITŌ, Makoto ,
Investigation Committee ,
Member of the Privy Council.

(12)

Viscount ISHII, Kikujiro,
Investigation Committee, mem-
ber of the Privy Council.

~~Make a copy for certification when it is stenciled~~

To Mr. Naruya Political

Jap. Doc. No. 797

Subject: 戰爭拠棄=閣下係約批准件審査報告(抜粋)
昭和四年六月二十一日

Defence Counsel. William
Phase General

Certification is attached to this.
will be lately completed.

Priority Express

(A) Copy only
(The official translation is attached to this.)

(B) Translate and copy.
(Translation for reference is not attached to this.)

Date Feb 17 -47

Sign Japanese Document Committee

Note

樞密顧問官

荒

井

賀太郎

樞密顧問官子爵

齊藤

井

實

樞密院謀長男爵

三郎

殿

777
戦争拠棄ニ關スル條約御批准ノ件

審査報告

今回御諮詢ノ戰爭拠棄ニ關スル條約御批准ノ件ニ付本官等審査委員タルノ命ヲ受ケ本月十七日及十八日委員會ヲ開キ國務大臣其ノ他當局諸官ノ辯明ヲ聽キ各員交々所懷ヲ述ヘ以テ最モ慎重ニ之力審議ヲ遂ケタリ抑々本案條約ノ成立ハ昭和二年四月佛國外務大臣カ米國國民ニ對シ該二國間ノ平和確保ノ爲相互ニ戰爭ヲ違法トスル公約ヲ爲サムコトヲ希望スル旨ノ宣言ヲ送リタルニ端ヲ發シ爾來兩國政府間ニ於テ戰爭ノ拠棄及國際紛爭ノ平和的解決ヲ目的トスル條約ノ締結ニ付商議ヲ重ネタルセ其ノ意見容易ニ合致セス數次ノ照復ヲ經タル後昭和三年四月米國政府ハ主要強國タル日、英、獨、伊ノ四國政府ニ示スニ佛國原案ニ修正ヲ加ヘタル條約案ヲ以テシ之ニ對スル各國政府ノ意見ヲ徵シ次テ佛國政府ハ別ニ條約對案ヲ關係國政府ニ送リテ自己ノ主張ヲ明ニシタリ該米國政府提案ニ對シ右四國政府ハ相尋テ原則トシテ之ニ贊同スル旨ヲ回答シタルカ米國政府ハ同年六月更ニ改訂條約案ヲ提出シ且加奈陀、濠洲聯邦、新西蘭、

南亞聯邦、愛爾蘭自由國、印度、白耳義、波蘭、「チエツコスロバキア」
ノ諸國ヲ加ヘ合計十五國ヲ以テ條約ノ原調印國ト爲サムコトヲ提議シタ
リ而シテ米國政府ハ故ラニ列國政府代表者ノ會同ヲ避ケ自國政府ヲ中心
トシテ關係國政府トノ各別ノ交渉ニ依リ商議ヲ進ムルノ方針ヲ執リタル
ニ各國政府ハ孰レモ右米國政府ノ提議ニ同意スル旨ヲ回答シテ遂ニ協議
成立シ次テ同年八月二十七日佛國巴里ニ於テ原調印十五國政府代表者ノ
參列ノ下ニ條約調印ノ式ヲ舉ケルニ至レリ是レ即ナ本條約ナリ

中 略

帝國當局ニ於テハ當初米國政府ノ提案ニ接スルヤ國家自衛權ノ作用ハ自
國領土ノ防衛ノ爲ニスル行動ノミナラス帝國力支那國殊ニ滿蒙地方ニ有
スル重大ナル權益ヲ防衛スル爲執ルコトアルヘキ行動ニモ及フトノ廣義
ノ解釋ヲ採リ唯此ノ際斯クノ如キ宣言ヲ爲ササルヲ以テ時宜ニ適スルモ
ノト認メ又國際聯盟規約及「ロカルノ」諸條約ノ如ク一般平和ノ保障ヲ
以テ本來ノ目的ト爲スモノハ本條約ニ矛盾スルモノニ非ストノ見解ヲ採
リ米國政府ニ對スル回答中ニ同國ノ提案ハ獨立國家ニ對シ自衛ノ權利ヲ

拒否スルモノニ非ス且國際聯盟規約及「ロカルノ」諸條約中ニ包含セラ
ルルカ如キ一般平和ヲ保障スル義務ト何等抵觸スルモノニ非スト了解ス
ル旨ヲ附言シタリ

中 略
本件ハ此ノ儘之ヲ可決セラレ然ルヘキ旨全會一致ヲ以テ議決シタリ
右審査ノ結果ヲ報告ス

昭和四年六月二十二日

審査委員長 伊東己代松 治

審査委員 権密顧問官伯爵 金子堅太郎

権密顧問官子爵 富井政章

権密顧問官男爵 石黒忠惠

権密顧問官 田江木千之

権密顧問官男爵 健治郎

樞密顧問官

荒井

賢太郎

樞密顧問官子爵

齋藤

實

樞密顧問官子爵

石井

菊次郎

樞密院議長男爵倉富勇三郎

殿