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## PREFACE.

THE previous editions to which my indebtedness is greatest are those of Höpfner (Halae, 1 795); Hermann (Lipsiae, 1831) ; Monk (Cantabr., 1857); Klotz (Gothae, 1858); Weil (Paris, 1879); Paley (London, 1880). I have also consulted with benefit the analysis and criticism of the play by Gruppe Ariadne xiii, xiv ; and H. Hennig's dissertation de Iphigeniae Aulidensis forma ac condicione (Berol. 1870). Other obligations which relate to particular points are acknowledged in the notes.

The text is based generally upon that of Adolph. Kirchhoff (1867), which follows closely the authority of the MSS. A list of all the deviations from his text which occur in the present edition will be found in the Appendix.

I wish to take this opportunity of expressing my thanks to Mr A. W. W. Dale of this College for his kind assistance and advice.
C. E. S. H.

Trinity Hall, October 17th, 1889.
?

## INTRODUCTION.

## I.

THE Iphigeneia at Aulis of Euripides deals with a tragic episode in the history of the house of the Pelopidae, the $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \pi o \lambda \dot{v} \phi \theta o \rho o \nu$ whose fortunes furnished to the creative imagination of the Greek tragedians a theme so fertile in the intricate and awful problems of crime and retribution, of sin visited upon the children's children, of heavenly ordinance to men, and the mysterious guiding of fate. One of the last works of Euripides, the play itself in its conception and execution is worthy of the poet at his best. We feel as we read that we are in touch with one who knew the heart of man, and has from his sympathy with its varying moods received the power to arouse in us the quick emotions of pity, indignation, admiration, and awe. His characters live before us; compelling our interest, as they unfold through the changing scenes the workings of the human soul. There is here no trace of failing power or enfeebled judgment. The calm and matured reflexion of the philosophic mind is illumined by the seeing eye of the poet ${ }^{1}$; and in the handling of pathos-wherein resides the most delicate test of the artistic spirit-rarely, if ever, has the mingled tender-
 $\mu \iota \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu \chi$ र́pıга.
ness and dignity of Euripides secured him a more splendid triumph.

The legend of Iphigeneia daughter of Agamemnon, who was brought to the Grecian camp at Aulis, there to be offered upon the altar to appease offended Artemis, who would not suffer the fleet to sail to Troy on its mission of vengeance against Paris and the faithless Helen, is already sufficiently familiar in its main outline to render a detailed account unnecessary. We shall therefore pass on to institute a brief comparison between the story as adopted by Euripides and its treatment by other writers in whom it appears. We shall thus be in a position to judge more conveniently of the construction of the plot of the Iphigeneia at Aulis, and the comparison will be itself not without interest as illustrating several of the dramatic motives on which the development of Euripides' play depends.

The story of Iphigeneia as we meet with it in Greek tragedy is post-Homeric in its origin. We find in Homer no mention either of the detention of the fleet at Aulis, or of the sacrifice of Agamemnon's daughter. Iphigeneia herself is not named : nor was she apparently known to Homer as a daughter of Agamemnon, who is made to say in Iliad ix 144 :-"Three daughters have I in my stately palace, Chrysothernis, Laodike and Iphianassa ${ }^{1}$."
${ }^{1}$ It has been held that Iphianassa in this passage stands for Iphigeneia, as is the case in Lucret. i 84 Triviai virginis aram | Iphianassai turparunt sanguine foede | ductores Danaum. They are however

 $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta o l:$ and by the author of the Kypria, schol. ad Soph. El. 157 $\hat{\eta}$ ' $\dot{s} \dot{o}$
 daughters, counting Iphigeneia and Iphianassa' (for the punctuation \&c. here see Monro Fourn. of Hellen. Studies v p. 8). Euripides in this play speaks explicitly of three daughters ( $\tau i \kappa \tau \omega$ Єini rpioi $\pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o \iota \sigma \iota$ $\pi a i ̂ \delta a ́ \sigma o l \mid \tau o ́ \nu \delta \epsilon)$, cf. also Orest. 22 f. Iphigeneia however appears in El. 1023 as 'I $\phi$ ఁóvy: a secondary form which is matched by similar examples of an alternative termination in the case of some other proper names. In I. T. ${ }_{5} 62 \mathrm{ff}$. allusion is made to two daughters onlyElektra and Iphigeneia, as also in Aesch. Cho. 252 ff.

The source of the story appears to be the Kypria, a poem of the epic cycle attributed to Stasinus (Eraoivos), who flourished about 776 B.C. There we are told of a gathering of the Greek fleet under Menelaus and Agamemnon at Aulis, where take place both the sign of the sparrows (cf. Il. ii 300 ff .), and the prophecy of Kalchas ; after which the host starts on its voyage but lands by mistake at Teuthrania. They assemble a second time at Aulis, on which occasion comes about the leading of Iphigeneia to the altar as a victim to Artemis, and her rescue by the goddess ${ }^{1}$, who snatches her from the jaws of death, and carries her off to the Tauri, leaving a hind at the altar in her stead.

An allusion to the detention of the fleet is made by Hesiod op. 65 I (a passage which some critics reject) in which he speaks of "Aulis, where on a time the Achaeans, biding a stress of weather, gathered out of Hellas a goodly company bound for Troy the home of fair women."

In the Agamemnon of Aeschylus we have a graphic description of the scene at the altar, and it further appears that in the belief of the persons in the play Iphigeneia has really been slain. In fact Klytaemnestra afterwards (cf. Ag. IoI7) justifies her murder of Agamemnon as no more than a righteous avenging of her daughter, slain by her father " as a charm to lull the gales from Thrace." Again, it is not, as in this play of Euripides, a calm, but contrary winds blowing from the Strymon that keep the host inactive at Aulis. (We find later the hindrance to the voyage declared to be a calm by Kallimachus, in his hymn to Artemis 230 f .:-"when thou (Artemis) didst bind fast the winds, what time the Achaean ships sought to make their voyage to vex the Teukrians' town ").

The motive which Klytaemnestra in the Agamemnon $^{2}$ alleges

 $K v \pi \rho i \omega \nu$ (p. $455 a p$. Gaisf. Hephaest.).
${ }^{2}$ In this play (r183 f., 1456) Klytaemnestra throws out a dark hint of the vengeance that she will wreak upon Agamemnon if he lets her daughter die.
for her crime had already been hinted at by Pindar, Pyth. xi 22 ff : -" Was it then the slaying of Iphigeneia far from the land of her birth that stung the mother to an arousal of wrath dangerous in deed ?"; and in the Elektra of Sophokles Klytaemnestra, who believes that Iphigeneia has been done to death, defends her murder of the king as a merited retribution for the part he took in bringing it about. "'Twas justice took him off, not I alone ${ }^{1}$." Unlike Aeschylus, Sophokles agrees with Euripides in making a calm the obstacle to the sailing of the fleet:"Ask," says Elektra, "the huntress Artemis in quittance for what guilt she stayed those thronging winds at Aulis" (563). She goes on to mention what Agamemnon's transgression had been: after a successful shot at a stag, he had uttered a boasting speech ${ }^{2}$ which had aroused the anger of the goddess. In our play the cause of the wrath of Artemis is not stated; Kalchas simply announces the sacrifice which she requires. In an earlier work, the Iphigeneia among the Tauri, the maiden is demanded in fulfilment of a vow made by her father ${ }^{3}$, that he would devote to Artemis the fairest of a year's produce.

Without considering at length minor differences of detail in the various accounts, or following the story as it is found in later Roman writers, we may return for a moment to the miraculous rescue of the heroine as set forth in the poem of Stasinus, and adopted in this play by Euripides. We have already seen that in Aeschylus and Sophokles Iphigeneia was in the opinion of her friends actually slain. This point is important in the dramatic situation as conceived by these two poets, because it furnishes Klytaemnestra with just enough excuse for her crime to prevent our sympathies from being wholly alienated from her as an entirely abandoned and heartless woman ${ }^{4}$. It is evident however that there is nothing so far to contradict the fact of the rescue having taken place: it is only assumed that the spectators of the sacrifice were not aware of it. Similarly, in the Orestes of

[^0]Euripides, Orestes believes that his sister died at Aulis ${ }^{1}$; a belief which is only dissipated when, going on a quest signified to him by Apollo, he finds his sister alive among the Tauri as priestess of Artemis. In our play the case is different. Klytaemnestra is informed by a messenger ( 1540 ff .) of Iphigeneia's disappearance at the critical moment and the substitution of a hind as the victim; an interposition of heaven of which Agamemnon has been an eye-witness (I62I ff.) in common with the Grecian army.

## II.

## EURIPIDES' PLAY.

The plot of the Iphigeneia at Aulis is remarkable for the sustained interest which is preserved to the end, and the drawing of character is also exceptionally clear and brilliant. The dramatic story received from older tradition by Euripides loses in his hands none of its pathetic charm. Summoned to meet a bridegroom, the youthful daughter of the great chief of Greece finds awaiting her the knife of Kalchas and her father powerless to aid. The agony of the king in his wrestling with fate, the queen's distress and resentment at her daughter's wrong, the softening of Menelaus' heart at his brother's grief, have each their share in heightening the tragic effect, and throwing into bolder relief the simple courage of the heroine.

Agamemnon is the type of a man called upon to act in a crisis of great difficulty without the resoluteness and strength of will to cope with the perils around him. In the vain endeavour to extricate himself he has recourse to deception; but he is not the stuff of which intriguers are made. His consent to sacrifice his daughter is wrung from him chiefly because he feels a keen sense of responsibility to the army of

[^1]Greece ${ }^{1}$; and the disingenuous part which he plays towards Klytaemnestra is due rather to weakness, which induces him to catch at any expedient for deferring the evil day, than to brutal indifference to aught but the accomplishment of his own schemes.

Menelaus appears at first in a very unfavourable light. Persons and institutions connected with Sparta usually find in Euripides an unsympathetic exponent. He is overbearing in pressing the advantage which circumstances have given him, and so heedless of all but his private interests as to demand the death of his own niece in order to forward them. His selfishness is however not the egoism of a cold and calculating nature. It arises from his impetuous and hasty temper which does not easily brook opposition from others (cf. 311, 413, 519). It is not till his brother breaks down in complete despair that he fully realises the odious part he has been playing; not till then does the meaning of the sacrifice he requires come home to him (492). This revulsion of feeling on the part of Menelaus is finely conceived. It is surely a false estimate of Euripides' sense of dramatic effect to suppose, as some critics have done, that Menelaus in offering to forego his prospects of success merely airs a cheap generosity, knowing that after the messenger's arrival the doom of Iphigeneia is sealed.

Achilles' character is that of a high-minded and honourable soldier. Intrigue and finesse are repugnant to his nature, and he is proportionately indignant when he discovers the use which has been made of his name by the crooked policy of Agamemnon ( 936 ff .). In spite of the false position in which he finds himself his chivalrous feeling leads him to espouse at once Klytaemnestra's cause. It is no more, he declares, than his own honour demands (961). His greatest dread is a 'scene,' and he is anxious that nothing should be left untried to avoid it (ivva rò $\pi \rho \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \chi \eta$ кал $\bar{\omega} s$ Ioog), but when all fails he is ready to take his life in his hand to protect the defenceless.

The maternal solicitude of Klytaemnestra and the anxiety she displays for her daughter's welfare enhance the pathos of Iphi-
geneia's fate, and suggest at the same time a striking contrast with the conduct of Agamemnon. But withal she leaves upon us the impression that, when other passions than a mother's tenderness assert their sway, the Klytaemnestra of the Agamemnon will not be far to seek. Force and determination mark her uncompromising refusal to return to Argos at Agamemnon's request, and leave him to manage the wedding ceremony; whilst allusion has been made above (see p. ix n. (2)) to the foreshadowing of her vengeance for Iphigeneia's death.

The character of Iphigeneia herself exhibits the hand of a master. The poet has conceived the effect of a terrible and unexpected demand made upon the fortitude of a pure and noble girl, whose affections and feeling of duty give her strength in the end to taste unfalteringly the bitterness of death at a moment when life is sweetest. With supreme art he secures our sympathy by frankly showing us the human weakness which only human heroism can successfully overcome. Like the Antigone of Sophokles, Iphigeneia displays no indifference to death : her courage is not the outcome of insensibility to the horrors of her doom. When first she hears of what awaits her, the emotion she feels is that of agonised terror at her untimely fate ( $\mu \eta^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$
 $\lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu)$, and shrinks in horror from beholding the dread things of the underworld (1219). With a cry of despair like one drowning in deep waters she ends her piteous appeal: life on any terms is better than the noblest death (1252).

But her father can give no hope of escape. A necessity too strong to resist is laid upon him ( $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi a i ́ \mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ), and the word of the gods none shall withstand. Then in a lyric cry of passionate intensity Iphigeneia makes her moan for the cruel destiny that visits upon her the sin of others. Priam's exposure of his infant son, Paris and the judgment of the goddesses, the flight of Helen, and the gathering at Aulis of the avenging host: thus, link by link, is forged the adamantine chain of fate that holds at last the innocent girl in bonds that death alone can loose. Throughout the play is suggested the idea of an inexorable fate bringing upon the guiltless punishment for the wrong
doing of others ${ }^{1}$. Behind the perplexities of the hour, behind the human actors with their hopes and fears, their devices and ambitions, stands ever the shrouded form of Necessity guiding all things to their appointed end, and exacting for sin a late but certain expiation. Of this idea the culminating expression is reached in the monody of Iphigeneia (1279-I335). The crisis of the play now approaches: the army clamour for her death, Achilles is ready to resist them with the sword. But Iphigeneia has had time to conquer her first terror, and reflect calmly on the situation. Her words fall with quietness and decision on the excited hearing of her listeners. The eyes of Hellas are upon her: the opportunity offers of saving her country and winning deathless renown. When the goddess bids, when victory is in the balance, and national honour at stake, it is a craven spirit that still clings to life. She surrenders herself a willing victim.
...once again she raised her voice, "O father! if the ships are now detain'd, and all your vows move not the gods above, when the knife strikes me there will be one prayer the less to them: and purer can there be any, or more fervent than a daughter's prayer for her dear father's safety and success?" A groan that shook him shook not his resolve. An aged man now enter'd, and without one word stept slowly on, and took the wrist of the pale maiden. She lookt up and saw the fillet of the priest and calm cold eyes.
Then turn'd she where her parent stood, and cried "O father! grieve no more, the ships can sail."

Landor Iphigeneia Hellen. xi.

$$
{ }^{1} \text { See vv. } 4^{67}, 680,793,1236,1253
$$

## III.

## CRITICISMS ON EURIPIDES' IPHIGENEIA.

It is worth while to observe before we take leave of the subject that fault was found in ancient times with the character of Iphigeneia as conceived by Euripides. In the Poetics of Aristotle (xv p. $1454^{2} 3$ I) we meet with a remark that, as here depicted, the character offends against the canon of consistency ( $\tau \grave{o}$ ó $\mu a \lambda o ́ \nu$ ): "for," continues Aristotle, "Iphige-
 Iphigeneia as she afterwards appears." To understand the bearing of this criticism we must glance for a moment at the context in which it occurs. Consistency having been mentioned as one of the cardinal principles connected with character-drawing, Aristotle adds that if the poet has occasion to represent inconsistency, the character must be consistently inconsistent ( $\delta \mu a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ $\dot{a} \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \nu, 27$ ). He does not then condemn inconsistency as such, but only when inartistically handled; just as, in the same chapter, having laid especial stress on goodness of character ${ }^{1}$, he proceeds to censure the character of Menelaus in the Orestes not as being bad, but as being unnecessarily bad ${ }^{2}$. In the same way with regard to Iphigeneia, since change of attitude is not (as we have seen) to be considered in itself a fault, Aristotle means that the defect lies in the abruptness with which the change is executed. The criticism therefore which he makes is less sweeping and, it may be fairly added, less removed from appreciation of poetic excellence, than would at first sight appear. Whether we agree with Aristotle, or not, it is plain that there is a great difference between blaming the transition through which Iphigeneia is made to pass, and maintaining that the

[^2]motives for it are not adequately suggested. The question can only be satisfactorily decided for each individual after a careful and unprejudiced reading of the play as a whole. Still, when all objections have been allowed their weight, the opinion of the critic can hardly be otherwise than favourable to Euripides, in an attempt where not to greatly succeed must be to greatly fail. Of modern judgments which have been passed upon this interesting point we will quote only, in conclusion, that expressed by Schiller ${ }^{1}$, in whom the insight of a poet was combined with a keen sense of dramatic fitness. He says :-" a faithful and "charming portrait of nature is presented by this union of "weakness and strength, of fearfulness and heroic courage. "The passage from the one mood to its opposite, led up "to by natural steps, is effected without undue abruptness."

## IV.

## TREATMENT IN ART.

A few words may be added on the treatment in ancient art of the episode of the sacrifice of Iphigeneia. A situation so strikingly suited to call forth the highest powers of the artist was not likely, among the Greeks, to be wholly resigned for its illustration to the province of literature, and we hear of a celebrated picture with this subject which was painted by Timanthes of Sikyon (circ. 400 B.C.). Of the composition of his picture we know something from allusions found in ancient writers, and we shall presently have occasion to consider more particularly certain points connected with it.

It will be convenient however to notice first three other representations of the scene. We have in the first place (I) an antique painted vase (Rochette Mon. inéd. d'Antiquité pl. xxvi B), on which the sacrifice is represented. The painting consists

[^3]of a group of six figures. The demeanour of Iphigeneia is calm and resigned. Partly visible behind her is a hind, in such a position that it must receive the blow of the sacrificial knife which Kalchas raises.

Secondly (iI) the marble altar of Kleomenes (Baumeister Denkm. des klass. Altertums Abb. 806) at Florence : where, on a relief which encircles the stone, Kalchas is seen approaching Iphigeneia, whom Achilles is leading to her doom, in order to begin the ceremonial of sacrifice. Agamemnon stands by the altar overwhelmed by grief, holding his robe so as to conceal his features ${ }^{1}$. Iphigeneia stands erect and firm, prepared to meet her fate with dignity and resignation ${ }^{2}$ (avec une fermeté noble et tranquille. Roch.).

Thirdly (III) a mural painting at Pompeii (Baum. Abb. 807), in which Agamemnon is standing by a pillar on which is an antique figure of Artemis carrying a torch in each hand. [The goddess here appears in her character of "A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \mu \mathbf{~} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i \pi v \rho o s$, vide Note C.] His attitude is similar to that in (II), and, veiled by his robe, he is holding his hand before his eyes. Iphigeneia, clothed in a yellow robe ( $\kappa \rho о \kappa \omega \tau$ ós), is being raised from the ground and carried to the altar in an attitude of piteous and despairing supplication.

Fuller details of these works of art will be found in the authorities mentioned. The descriptions just given are concerned mainly with two points-the figure of Agamemnon and the demeanour of Iphigeneia. The veiled figure of the father was a feature in the picture of Timanthes, as we learn from several sources. It was the opinion of ancient critics that the artist's reason for concealing Agamemnon's face was that he had
${ }^{1}$ Cf. infr. ${ }^{1} 550$ ó $\mu \mu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \in \pi \lambda \nu \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon i$. But the picture of Timanthes, from which the attitude of Agamemnon here and in (III) seems to have been copied, was probably painted before the appearance of Euripides' play.
${ }^{2}$ Overbeck Griech. Plastik ii p. 379 thinks it not improbable that the figure of Iphigeneia on this relief is also derived, like that of Agamemnon, from the picture of Timanthes.
already expended upon the rest of the group his utmost power of expressing grief; or, that he thought it impossible to paint the agony of a father upon such an occasion : cf. Cicero Or. xxii (74) pictor ille vidit cum immolanda Iphigeneia tristis Calchas esset, maestior Ulixes, maereret Menelaus, obvolvendum caput Agamemnonis esse quoniam summum illum luctum penicillo non potest imitari. But a loftier motive, based on a truer recognition of the essential nature of art, was assigned by Lessing ${ }^{1}$ for this concealment. Timanthes felt that to depict the countenance of Agamemnon convulsed with the terrible anguish which at such a moment must have racked a father's soul, would be to excite in all who looked upon it a feeling of repulsion at the distorted features, that must inevitably weaken their sympathy with the king's distress. "In short," he says, "the artist here has made "a sacrifice to beauty; and it is an instance not how expression " may exceed the capacity of art, but how it should be subjected "to art's first law, beauty."

In the bearing of Iphigeneia herself a distinct difference will already have been observed, which corresponds to a remarkable variation in the literary treatment of her demeanour at the supreme and terrible moment.

In (III) we have before us the scene described with such splendid pathos by Aeschylus, Ag. 226 ff., where the attendants are bid to raise aloft above the altar the drooping maiden, her fair mouth stopped with gags, whilst her robe of saffron dipping flows from her to the ground ${ }^{2}$, and her sad eyes move the slayers to compassion. This is the victim whom Lucretius has described, i 85 ff ., speechless with fear, and sinking with failing limbs to the earth, as she is led all quaking to the altar. Of another mould is the Iphigeneia who stands before us in the two remaining scenes (I, II). We recognize the character as conceived in this play by Euripides. The struggle is over : the terror of death subdued by noble resolve: and Iphigeneia goes with unshrinking step to lay down her life for Hellas.

[^4]
## V.

## ENNIUS. SCHILLER. RACINE.

Versions and imitations of the Iphigeneia at Aulis have been attempted by different hands at various intervals since Euripides gave it to the world. We propose to notice here the work of three famous poets only, one of ancient, and two of modern times. The play was translated by the Roman poet Ennius, a few lines (some 25 in all) of whose Iphigenia are still extant. We are able to infer from what survives that Ennius dealt with his original in the free style ${ }^{1}$ which he elsewhere displays in adapting Greek tragedies to his own purpose. One or two fragments of his translation are quoted in the notes; see Index. Coming to modern times, we have a version made by Schiller in 1788. Schiller's Iphigenie, undertaken, according to his own account, as an exercise in dramatic writing, by which he hoped at the same time to enter into the Greek spirit, is an admirable piece of work. In the choric parts especially, he is highly successful in rendering the movement and rhythm of the original. The translation ends with the final exit of Iphigeneia (l. 1509).

There remains the celebrated Iphigénie à Aulide of Racine. This play, which appeared in 1675 , was received by the public with great favour. Voltaire praised it enthusiastically as "the tragedy of tragedies," "a thing of beauty for all ages and all peoples." Racine's plot differs from that of Euripides in several important respects. He introduces into the piece another Iphigeneia (daughter of Theseus and Helen) who appears as Eriphile (Eriphyle) a captive of Achilles, and is in the end sacrificed instead of the daughter of Agamemnon. Ériphile is in love with Achilles, and jealous of Iphigeneia, her rival in his affections. Hence when the latter attempts to escape from her doom, Ériphile prevents her by disclosing the purposed flight to Kalchas. Iphigeneia is led to the altar, where Achilles
${ }^{1}$ See l. 164 n. (end).
comes forward as her champion, and a conflict is imminent, when Kalchas declares that Ériphile herself is the victim demanded by the gods.

> Un autre sang d'Hélène, une autre Iphigénie, sur ce bord immolée y doit laisser sa vie.
> Ainsi parle Calchas. Tout le camp immobile l'écoute avec frayeur et regarde Ériphile ${ }^{1}$. (Act v sc. 6.)

An obvious criticism is suggested by this interweaving of love-intrigues with the plot. In order to secure the approbation of his audience Racine, no doubt, was obliged to bring in something of the kind. Still, in spite of the opinion of a French critic that by means of Eriphile occasion is given for "beautiful developments in Iphigeneia's character," we cannot but feel that the importation into the region of classic drama of ideas so thoroughly modern is unfortunate. Again, as compared with the Agamemnon whom Euripides has portrayed, Racine's conception of the king involves a sacrifice of dramatic effect. Odysseus (to whom Racine assigns the part of Menelaus) induces Agamemnon to consent to the sacrifice by working on his ambition ; and to this motive Agamemnon himself confesses frankly, though with a touch of shame (avec quelque pudeur), that his yielding is due. As to the character of Iphigénie herself, it will be evident from what has been already said of the play that it diverges considerably from that of the Greek heroine, nor would a comparison brief enough to be in place here be a fair treatment of the more elaborate study of the French poet. It is enough to say that here at any rate Euripides has no cause to fear the rivalry of his modern competitor.

1 The introduction of this character (l'heureux personnage d'Eriphile) Racine, in his preface, says was suggested by Pausanias; who speaks (ii 22, 7 ff.) of a daughter born to Helen before her marriage with Menelaus, and mentions the lyric poet Stesichorus (c. 600 b.c.) according to whom and others Iphigeneia is daughter of Theseus.

## VI.

## DATE OF THE PLAY.

A few years before the end of his life Euripides quitted Athens. Whether the relentless satire of Aristophanes and domestic troubles of his own were immediately connected with this step, is not known for certain; possibly one or both of these reasons may have contributed to the poet's final decision to bid farewell to his native country. Not long afterwards he went by invitation of Archelaus to reside at the Macedonian court ; and it was there he composed this play. The exact year of its representation is unknown. We are informed ${ }^{1}$ that it was brought out at Athens by the younger Euripides, son (or, according to another account, nephew) of the poet, after the death (B.C. 406) of Euripides himself. With it were produced the Bacchae and the Alkmeon in Corinth, and it was in all probability to this trilogy that the prize was given which Suidas mentions as having been awarded to Euripides after his death.

## VII.

## MANUSCRIPTS, ETC.

The authorities on which depends the text of the Iphigeneia at Aulis are two mss. of the second family, both without scholia: (1) cod. Laurentianus plut. 32. 2 in the Laurentian library at Florence, written on paper in the XIVth century (sometimes known as L), referred to as C.
(2) cod. Palatinus n. 287 in the Vatican library at Rome, written on parchment also in the XIVth century (Kirchhoff's $B$ ), referred to as P .

Both these mss. in the opinion of Wilamowitz-Möllendorff (anal. Euripidea p. 3 \&c.) are copies from the same archetype,

[^5]a ms. ( $\Phi$ ) which was in existence, he supposes, about I 300 in a Byzantine library. Of C there are 5 copies dating from the xvth century, which were used by Kirchhoff (see his preface p. $x$ ) in ascertaining the readings of $C$ for his edition of 1855 ; since the ms. itself had then been collated only by de Furia, who undertook the task for Matthiae's Leipzig edition, but executed it in a very untrustworthy manner (ut solebat, neglegentissime. Kirchh.) The new collation of both mss. which was published in 1875 by Wilamowitz l. c. shows C to be a better ms . than was formerly supposed; as the readings which the above mentioned copies exhibit as those of C prove in many cases to be due to a later corrector (c), who allowed himself an extremely free hand in his alterations. The play was first printed in the Aldine edition of Euripides (containing all the plays except the Elektra) published in February 1503 at Venice.

## VIII.

## STRUCTURE OF THE PLAY.

The following division of the play into acts and scenes, in which I follow Arnoldt ${ }^{1}$, presents a summary view of the part in the dramatic action assigned to the different characters.

Prologos, in one scene ( $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{I} 63$ ), Agamemnon and Slave.
Parodos (164-302).
Epeisodion I, in 4 scenes ( $303-54^{2}$ ) :-
Scene I (303-316) Menelaus and Slave.
Scene $2(317-414)$ Menelaus and Agamemnon. (During their colloquy the Slave retires to reappear (414) in the character of Messenger.)
Scene 3 (414-44I) Agamemnon and Messenger. (Menelaus remains on the stage as a muta persona.)
Scene 4 (442-542) Agamemnon and Menelaus.
${ }^{1}$ Die chorische Technik des Euripides p. ${ }^{5} 5$ f.

Stasimon I (543-606).

Epeisodion II, in 3 scenes (607--750):-
Scene I (607-630) Klytaemnestra. (Iphigeneia is present as a muta persona.)
Scene $2(631-685)$ Klytaemnestra, Iphigeneia, Agamemnon.
Scene 3 (685-750) Klytaemnestra and Agamemnon.
Stasimon II (751-800).

Epeisodion III, in 4 scenes (Eor-1035):-
Scene I (80ı-818) Achilles.
Scene 2 (819-854) Achilles and Klytaemnestra.
Scene 3 (855-895) Achilles, Klytaemnestra, Servant.
Scene 4 (896-1035) Achilles, Klytaemnestra.

Stasimon III (1036-1097).

Exodos, in 10 scenes (rogS-end):-
Scene I (rog8-rio5) Klytaemnestra.
Scene 2 (rio6-rirg) Klytaemnestra and Agamemnon.
Scene 3 (II20-1275) Klytaemnestra, Agamemnon, Iphigeneia. (Orestes is also present (cf. $1165,145 \mathrm{I}$ ) on the stage.)
Scene 4 ( 1276 -1344) Klytaemnestra and Iphigeneia.
Scene 5 (r345-1433) Klytaemnestra, Iphigeneia, Achilles.
Scene 6 (1434-1467) Klytaemnestra and Iphigeneia.
Scene 7 ( 1467 -1509) Iphigeneia.
Scene 8 (1510-1531) a short ode sung by the chorus ${ }^{1}$ as Iphigeneia goes to her doom.
Scene 9 ( 5 532-1620) Messenger and Klytaemnestra.
Scene 10 (1624-1629) Agamemnon. (Klytaemnestra and Orestes (cf. 1623) present as mutae personae.)
${ }^{1}$ Arnoldt ib. p. 296 f . argues on technical grounds against the genuineness of this ode.

## CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE.

Oi. b.c. Art and Literature. Political Events.
73, 4485 Aeschylus (b. 525) gains his

74, I 484 Herodotus born.
74,2483

75, I 480 Birth of Euripides (on the day, it is said, of the battle of Salamis - the 20th of Boedromion).
Pindar circ. 40 years old. (About this time Gorgias, the rhetorician, born. Prodikus, of whom Euripides was a pupil, was a few years junior to Gorgias).
Anaxagoras goes to Athens.

## 75, I 479

75,2479
76 , $147^{6}$ Phrynichus wins the prize for tragedy.
77, I 472 Aeschylus' $\Pi \hat{\varepsilon} \rho \sigma a \iota$.
$77,247 \mathrm{I}$ Birth of Thukydides (?).
78 , 1468 Sophokles (b. circ. 496) wins his first tragic prize.
Death of Simonides of Keos, the lyric poet (b. 556).
Birth of Sokrates.

Aristeides ostracised.
Battles of Thermopylae and Salamis.
Gelon defeats the Karthaginians at Himera.

Battles of Plataeae and Mykale.
Siege and capture of Sestos.

Banishment of Themistokles. Perikles' influence begins to make itself felt.
Ol. b.c. Art and Literature. Political Events.

| 78, 3 | 466 | Korax begins to teach rhetoric at Syracuse. | Victory of Kimon over the Persians at the Eurymedon. Power of the Areiopagus curtailed by the attacks of Perikles and Ephialtes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80, 3 | 458 |  |  |
| 81, I | 456 | Death of Aeschylus. |  |
| 81, 2 | 455 | Euripides produces his first play, $\Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon s$. |  |
|  |  | About this time Protagoras (b. circ. 485) begins to visit the towns of Greece, teaching and giving lectures. |  |
| 81, 3 | 454 |  | Enterprise of Perikles in the Krissaean Gulf. |
| 82, 2 | $45^{1}$ | Ion of Chios begins to exhibit tragedies. |  |
| 82, 3 | 450 | Anaxagoras leaves Athens. | Five years truce between Athens and Sparta. |
| 82, 4 | 449 | Krates and Kratinus, the comic pocts, flor. | Athenians renew the war with Persia and win a victory by land and sea at Salamis in Kyprus. <br> Treaty of Kallias with Persia. |
| 83, 2 | 447 |  | Athenians defeated by the Boeotians at Koroneia. |
| 83, 4 | 445 | The sculptors Pheidias of Athens (b. circ. 500) and Polykleitus of Sikyon. | Euboea and Megara revolt from Athenian alliance. <br> Thirty Years Peace between Athens and Sparta. |
| 84, 4 | 441 | Euripides gains the first prize in tragedy. <br> About this time Andokides born. |  |
| 85, I | 440 |  | Revolt of Samos. |
| 85, 3 | 438 | Euripides' ${ }^{\text {A }} \lambda \lambda \kappa \eta \sigma \tau \iota$. Completion of the Parthenon. |  |
|  | H. 1 |  | I |

Ol. b.c. Art and Literature.
85, 4437
86, 2435 Polygnotus, the painter, for.

87, 1432 Anaxagoras prosecuted for impiety. He retires to Lampsakus. Death of Pheidias.
87, 243 I Euripides' M $\dot{\text { ǵ } \delta \iota \iota a . ~}$ Perikles' funeral oration over those who fell in the first year of the war.
$87,3 \quad 43^{\circ}$

87, $4429 \quad$ Birth of Plato.
Eupolis, the comic poet, begins to exhibit.
88, I 428 Euripides' 'I $\pi \pi$ ódutos.

88, 2427 Aristophanes' $\Delta a \iota \tau \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} s$. Gorgias at Athens as Ambassador from Leontini.
88, 3426 Aristophanes' Baßu入ஸ́vıo, in which he begins his attack on Kleon.
Zeuxis, the painter, flor.
88, 4425 Aristophanes' 'A $\chi \alpha \rho \nu$ ท̂s.

Amphipolis founded by the Athenians.
Outbreak of war between Corinth and Kerkyra on account of Epidamnus.
Potidaea revolts and is blockaded.

Outbreak of Peloponnesian War.
Peloponnesians invade Attica.
Plague at Athens.
Perikles loses the popular favour and is fined, but is re-elected strategus.
Fall of Potidaea.
Death of Perikles.

All Lesbos except Methymna revolts.
Mytilene blockaded.
Fall of Mytilene.
Plataeae taken and destroyed by the Peloponnesians.

Demosthenes at Pylos. 420 Spartan hoplites including a number of distinguished Spartiates blockaded in Sphakteria. Kleon takes the island and carries the surviving Spartans as prisoners to Athens.

Ol.
89, 1424 Aristophanes' 'I $\pi \pi \hat{\eta} s$.

$89,2 \quad 4^{23} \quad$| Aristophanes' |
| :---: |
| edition). |

89,3 422 Aristophanes' $\Sigma \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon s$.

89, 442 I Aristophanes' El $\rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta$. 90, I 420 Euripides' 'Iкє́tı $\delta \epsilon s$.
$90,3 \quad 418$
$90,4 \quad 417$
91, I 416 Agathon, the tragic poet, flor.
91, 24 I5 Euripides' T $\rho \omega a \dot{d} \delta \epsilon$.

9I, 3 4I4 Aristophanes' "Opvitєs.
$91,4 \quad 413$

92, I 412 Euripides' ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$, 'A $\nu \delta \rho o$ - Athenian fleet at Samos. $\mu \epsilon \delta \alpha$.

Athenian defeat at Delium.
Brasidas brings about the revolt of Amphipolis and other towns in Chalkidike.

Kleon and Brasidas killed at Amphipolis.
Peace of Nikias.
Alliance between Sparta and Thebes.
Alkibiades negotiates a counter alliance between Athens, Argos, Elis, and Mantineia.
Spartan victory at Mantineia.
Hyperbolus ostracised - the last exercise of ostracism.
Envoys from Egesta ask help from Athens against Selinus and Syracuse.
Mutilation of the Hermae.
Sicilian expedition under Ni kias Lamachus and Alkibiades.
Alkibiades recalled.
Gylippus enters Syracuse and captures the fort of Labdalon.
Nikias sends for reinforcements to Athens.
Destruction of Athenian force in Sicily.
Death of Nikias and Demosthenes.
I-2

OL. b.c.
Art and Literature.
Political Events.

92, 24 II Aristophanes' $\Lambda v \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \rho a ́ t \eta$, Өєб $\mu о ф о \rho \iota a ́ j o v \sigma a \iota$.

92, 4409 Sophokles' Фıлокт ' $^{2} \eta$ S.
93, I 408 Euripides' 'Opé $\sigma \tau \eta$ s.
93, 2407 Euripides' 'I $\phi \subset \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \alpha \quad \dot{\eta}$ ढ่ $\nu$ Aủ入ió probably written during this year.

Oligarchic council of 400 established at Athens.
Alkibiades recalled by the fleet.
Overthrow of the 400.
Victory of the Athenians under Alkibiades at Kyzikus.

Alkibiades chosen $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ dेs aủтокрát $\omega \rho$.
Lysander defeats the Athenian fleet at Notium in the absence of Alkibiades, who is thereupon superseded in his command by Konon.
Athenian naval victory at Arginusae: death of the Spartan admiral Kallikratidas.

## IфIГENEIA H EN AY^IDI.

Tà $\tau o \hat{v} \delta \rho a ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi a$.
'A $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu$.
$\Pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ v́т $\eta$ s.
Xopós.
Mevé入aos.
$\mathrm{K} \lambda \nu \tau \alpha \iota \mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \tau \rho a$.
'Iф८у'́vєıa.
'AXı ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \in v{ }^{\prime}$.
"A $\gamma \gamma \in \lambda$ os.

## EYPITIDOY

## $|\Phi| \Gamma E N E I A$ H EN AY＾IDI．

АГА．${ }^{~} \Omega \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta v$ ，סó $\mu \omega \nu \tau \omega \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \circ \imath \theta \epsilon \nu$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi \epsilon . ~ П Р . ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \omega$ ．$\tau i ́$ ठє̀ каı $\nu о v \rho \gamma \epsilon i ̂ s$,

 $\kappa а \grave{\iota} \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i ̂ s ~ o ̉ \xi v ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.

ПР．इeípıos évزv̀s $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ èmtaтópov




 ＇A $\gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ ă $\nu a \xi ;$
 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ a ́ к i \nu \eta \tau о \iota ~ ф и \lambda а к а і ~ \tau є \iota \chi є ́ \omega \nu . ~$
$\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \chi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ єै $\sigma \omega$ ．АГА．ऍך $\lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \in, \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu$ ，
$\zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ òs ảкì $\delta \nu \nu o \nu$
 $\tau o v ̀ s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \nu \tau \iota \mu a i ̂ \varsigma^{\circ} \eta ๋ \sigma \sigma o \nu \zeta \eta \lambda \omega$ ．
ПР．каі̀ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ тò ка入óv $\gamma$＇є่ขтаи̂日a $\beta$ íov． 20
АГА．то̂̂тo $\delta$＇́ $\gamma^{\prime}$ є́ $\sigma \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa a \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu, ~$ каї фı入о́тıцоу，

$\tau о \tau \epsilon ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ o ́ \rho \theta \omega \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau$, à $\nu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \in \Psi \epsilon \beta i ́ o \nu, \tau о \tau \grave{\epsilon} \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$
$\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota \pi о \lambda \lambda a i ̀$
$\kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta v \sigma a ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau о \iota ~ \delta \iota є ́ \kappa \nu a \iota \sigma a \nu$.



$\delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \delta ́ \epsilon ~ \sigma \epsilon \chi a i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda v \pi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$.


$\sigma \grave{v}$ ठè $\lambda a \mu \pi \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ фáos á $\mu \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \sigma a s$
סє́ $\lambda \tau о \nu$ тє $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota s$
$\tau \eta \eta_{\nu}^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \omega ि \nu$ є̈ть $\beta a \sigma \tau a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, каì таưтà $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu а \tau а ~ \sigma v \gamma \chi є i ̂ s ~$ $\kappa а \grave{~} \sigma \phi \rho a \gamma i \zeta \epsilon \iota s$ 入úєıs $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ óтí$\sigma \omega$
 $\kappa а т a ̀ ~ \delta a ́ \kappa \rho v ~ \chi ́ \epsilon \omega \nu$,
$\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảróp $\omega \nu$ oú $\sigma \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \delta \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma$
$\mu \grave{~ o v ̉ ~ \mu a i ́ v e \sigma \theta a \iota . ~}$

$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \kappa о i ̀ \nu \omega \sigma o \nu ~ \mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu$ є่s $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$.


$\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \phi \epsilon \rho \nu \grave{\nu} \nu$
$\sigma \nu \nu \nu \nu \mu ф о к о ́ \mu о \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta і к а и о \nu . ~$

АГА. є́ $\gamma \in ́ \nu o \nu \tau o ~ \Lambda \eta ́ \delta a ̨ ~ \Theta є \sigma \tau \iota a ̉ \delta \iota ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \pi a \rho \theta \in ́ v o \iota, ~$

 $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \in \varsigma$ ท̉ $\lambda \theta o \nu$ 'E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ~ \nu \epsilon a \nu i ́ a l . ~$ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu a i \delta^{\prime} a ̉ \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda a i ̀ \kappa a i ̀ \kappa a \tau^{\prime} a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ фóvos


 ä $\psi a \iota \tau ’$ ă $\rho \iota \sigma \tau a$. каí $\nu \iota \nu \epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$, "оккоиs $\sigma v \nu a ́ \psi a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \xi \iota a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$
 $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a ̀ s ~ \kappa a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \kappa a ̉ \pi a \rho a ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$,


 $\kappa a ̉ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \kappa а і ̀ ~ к а \tau а \sigma \kappa а ́ \psi є \iota \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$

 $\dot{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda, \theta \epsilon \nu$ aủ тoùs $\mathrm{T} v \nu \delta \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \omega \varsigma \pi v \kappa \nu \hat{\eta} \phi \rho \epsilon \nu i$,

 $\grave{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$, ós $\sigma \phi \epsilon \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau^{\prime} \omega^{\prime \prime} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \nu \lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu, \quad 7 \circ$
 крívas "̋ $\delta$ ', $\omega \varsigma$ ò $\mu \hat{v} \theta o s ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ т \omega \nu$ €ै $\chi \epsilon \iota$,
 $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ̀ s \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega$ र $\chi \lambda \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota$,





 80 тєú $\chi \eta$ خaßóvtєs $\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu o ́ \pi о \rho \rho^{\prime} A u ̀ \lambda i ́ \delta o s ~ \beta a ́ \theta \rho a ~$




 $\dot{\eta} \theta \rho o \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a i ̀ \xi \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau о \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v}$,
 Кá $\lambda \chi \alpha \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \varsigma ~ a ̀ \pi о \rho i ́ a ~ \kappa є \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s ~$


90

 $\theta \dot{v} \sigma a \sigma \iota, \mu \eta ̀ ~ \theta \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \iota \delta$ ov̉к єiva८ тádє.
 Ta入Өúßıov єỉmov тávт’ ảф८́évaı $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ v$, 95
 ov̉ $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu$ ' á $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o ̀ s \pi a ́ \nu \tau a \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ 入ó óv є̌ $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ́$. кả $\nu$ ס́̀ $\lambda \tau o v \pi \tau v \chi a i ̂ s$


 $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau ' ~ ' A \chi a \iota o i ̂ s ~ o u ̛ \nu \epsilon \kappa '$ oư $\theta \epsilon ̂ \lambda o \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, $\epsilon i \mu \eta ̀ \pi a \rho ’ \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ єí $\sigma \iota \nu$ єis ФӨià $\lambda$ é $\chi o{ }^{\circ}$


 Ká $\lambda \chi a s$ 'O $\delta v \sigma \sigma \epsilon v ̀ s \mathrm{M} \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega^{\prime} s \theta^{\prime}$. à $\delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ кал $\hat{\omega} \varsigma$ є́ $\gamma \nu \omega \nu$ тóт', av̉ $\theta \iota s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega ~ \kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\varsigma} ; \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$
 $\lambda$ v́ovta каì $\sigma \nu \nu \delta o v ̂ \nu \tau a ́ ~ \mu ’ ~ \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \delta \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho o \nu . ~$

110 ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' єỉa $\chi \omega ́ \rho \in \iota \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda a ̀ s ~ \lambda a \beta \omega \nu$
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi ~ ф \rho a ́ \sigma \omega ~ \sigma o \iota ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau a ̉ \gamma \gamma є \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v a . ~$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̉ \lambda o ́ \chi \omega ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o i ̂ s ~ \delta o ́ \mu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \in i ̂ . ~ I I 4 ~$


АГА. $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \omega$ бo九 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu ~{ }^{1} 5$

$\mu \eta े \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \tau a ̀ \nu \sigma a ̀ \nu ~ i ̀ \nu \iota \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \quad 19$
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \kappa о \lambda \pi \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta \pi \tau \epsilon ́ \rho v \gamma$ ' Eủßoías
120
$\mathrm{A} v ๋ \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \dot{\jmath} \sigma \tau \alpha \nu$.
$\epsilon i s$ ä $\lambda \lambda a s$ ©̈ $\rho a s$ $\gamma$ à $\rho \delta \grave{\eta}$
таıঠòs $\delta a i ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \dot{v} \mu \in \nu a i ́ o v s$.
 ov̉ $\mu$ '́ $\gamma a \quad \phi v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \nu \mu o ̀ \nu ~ є ่ \pi a \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
бoì $\sigma \hat{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}$ ' ả $\lambda o ́ \chi \omega$;
то́סє каì $\delta \in \iota \nu o ́ \nu . ~ \sigma \eta ́ \mu a \iota \nu ’$ " ть фท́s.



$\nu v \mu \phi \in i ́ o v s ~ \epsilon i s ~ a ̉ \gamma \kappa \omega ́ \nu \omega \nu$
єủvàs є́к $\kappa \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \rho о \iota \varsigma$.
ПР. $\delta \in \iota \nu \alpha ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu a ̂ \varsigma, ~ ' A \gamma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu o \nu ~ a ้ \nu a \xi$,


АГА. oï $\mu \circ \iota, \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu a s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu$,
aiâ̂, $\pi i ́ \pi \tau \omega \delta^{\prime}$ єis ätav.

$\mu \eta \delta \not ̀ \nu \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon i \kappa \kappa \omega \nu$. ПР. $\sigma \pi \epsilon v ́ \delta \omega, \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$.

$\kappa \rho \eta \dot{\eta} a s, \mu \dot{\eta} \theta^{?} \quad \underset{v}{\pi} \pi \nu \omega \quad \theta \in \lambda \chi \theta \eta \hat{\eta}$.
ПР. єйф $\quad \mu a$ Өро́єє.
АГА. $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \eta \eta ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi o ́ \rho o \nu ~ \sigma \chi \iota \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \mu \epsilon i \beta \omega \nu$
$\lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \sigma \epsilon, \phi \nu \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau i ́ s \sigma \epsilon \lambda a ́ \theta \eta$
$\tau \rho о \chi a \lambda о i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ oै $\chi o \iota s ~ \pi а \rho a \mu \in \iota \psi a \mu$ е́ $\eta$
$\pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ к о \mu i \zeta о и \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \nu \theta a ́ \delta^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta$
$\Delta a \nu a \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu a v ̂ s$.

$\eta ้ \nu \nu \iota \nu \pi о \mu \pi a \imath ̂ \varsigma \dot{a} \nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \eta \varsigma$ ，
$\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ є’ ${ }^{\circ}$ ó $\rho \mu a, \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \epsilon \chi a \lambda \iota \nu o u ́ s$, є́ $\pi \grave{\imath} \mathrm{K} v \kappa \lambda \omega \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \nu$ i $\in i \varsigma \quad \theta v \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha a s$.
ПР．$\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma a \varsigma ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \pi \omega ̂ \varsigma ~ ধ ै \sigma о \mu a \iota, ~$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon, \pi a \iota \delta i \quad \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \quad \sigma \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\lambda o ́ \chi \omega$ ；
АГА．$\sigma \phi \rho a \gamma \hat{i} \delta a$ фú $\lambda a \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́ $\pi i \quad \delta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \tau$


$\pi \hat{v} \rho \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \theta \rho i \pi \pi \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇$A \in \lambda i ́ o v$. $\sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda a \beta \epsilon \mu o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu$ ．

160
$\theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ö̀ $\beta$ ıos єis тé $\lambda o s$ oủ $\delta \epsilon i s$
ou＇$\delta^{\prime} \varepsilon v^{\prime} \delta a{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu^{.}$

XO．$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\epsilon\end{array} \mu о \lambda о \nu \dot{a} \mu \phi і\right.$ тарактíà
$\sigma \tau \rho$.
廿̛́ $\mu a \theta o \nu$ Av̉入íסos є̇va入ías， 165
Ev́pítov $\delta \iota a ̀ \chi є v \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ $\kappa \in ́ \lambda \sigma a \sigma a$ ，$\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu o ́ \pi т о \rho \theta \mu о \nu$
Халкíठa $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu a ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o \lambda \iota \pi o \hat{v} \sigma$ ， ảز $\chi \iota a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ víáт $\omega \nu$ т $\rho \circ \phi o ̀ \nu$
$\tau \hat{a} \varsigma \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a ̂ s{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \rho \epsilon \theta \circ$ v́ $\sigma a \varsigma$ ，
＇A $\chi a \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̀ \nu$ $\omega s$ катьסоí $\mu \nu$
＇А $\chi a \iota \omega ̂ \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \lambda a ́ \tau a s ~ \nu a v \sigma \iota \pi o ́ \rho o v s$
$\grave{\eta} \mu \iota \theta \in ́ \omega \nu$ ，oùs є̇ $\pi i$ T $\rho o i ́-$
à є̇入átaıs $\chi \iota \lambda เ o ́ \nu a v \sigma \iota \nu$

व́ $\mu \epsilon ́ т \in \rho о \iota ~ \pi o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$



Eúpш́ta 反оракотро́фоv
Пápıs ó ßочкóخos à $\nu$ єै $\lambda a \beta \epsilon$ ，
反ผ̂pov тâs＇Aфроסítas，

＂Нрa Пa入入áסє т’ є’ $\rho \iota \nu$ є́ $\rho \iota \nu$ $\mu o \rho \phi \hat{a} s$ à $\mathrm{K} v \dot{u} \pi \rho ı s$ é $\sigma \chi \in \nu$ ．

$\tau \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \delta o s ~ \eta ้ \lambda \nu \theta$ о̀ ỏ $\rho о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ ，
фо८ví⿱宀丁ovoa $\pi a \rho \hat{\imath} \delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \mu a ̀ \nu$
aí $\chi \dot{v} \nu \underset{\sim}{c} \nu \in o \theta a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，

óт入oфópovs $\Delta a \nu a \hat{\omega} \nu$ Өé $\lambda o v \sigma^{\prime}$
＂$\pi \pi \pi \omega \nu \tau$ ’ ő $\chi \lambda o \nu$ i $\delta \delta^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．


$\tau$ îs $\sum a \lambda a \mu i ̂ \nu o s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi a \nu o \nu$,
Прштєбìえаóv т’ є̇тì Өа́коьs 195

фаі̂бь толvтло́коьs，


vaîs סі́бкои кє $\chi a \rho \eta \mu$ е́vov，
200
тарà $\delta$ è $\mathrm{M} \eta \rho і o ́ \nu \eta \nu,{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \rho \in о$ о
ö乌ov，$\theta a \hat{v} \mu a$ ßротоîб८，
тò̀ ảாò $\nu \eta \sigma a i ́ \omega \nu \quad \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\rho \in ́ \omega \nu$
Має́рта то́коу，＂̈ца $\delta$ є̀ $\mathrm{N} \iota-$
$\rho \hat{\eta}, \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$＇А $\chi a \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$＇
тò̀ $\mathfrak{i \sigma \alpha ́ \nu є \mu o ́ \nu ~} \tau \epsilon \pi$ тоסoî̀ $\epsilon \in \pi \omega \delta$ ．
$\lambda a \iota \psi \eta \rho о \delta \rho o ́ \mu о \nu$＇A $\chi \iota \eta \hat{\eta} a$ ，

## EYPITTI $\triangle O Y$

то̀̀ á Өét兀ц тє́кє каі̆
$\mathrm{X} \epsilon i ́ \rho \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \pi<\dot{\nu} \nu a \sigma \epsilon \nu$,
єỉסov aiŋıa入oîбı
210
$\pi а \rho a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \rho о к а ́ \lambda а \iota s ~ \delta \rho o ́ \mu о \nu ~ Є ้ \chi о \nu \tau а ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu ~ o ̋ \pi \lambda o \iota s . ~$ ä $\mu \iota \lambda \lambda a \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є̇то́vєє $\pi о \delta o i ̂ \nu$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ́ \rho \mu а$ тє́т $\rho \omega \rho о \nu$
є̀ $\lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \nu i ́ \kappa a s . ~$
ó Sè $\delta \iota \phi \rho \eta \lambda a ́ \tau a s ~ \epsilon ́ \beta o a ̂ t ~ ' ~$

$\hat{\omega} \kappa a \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ i \delta o ́ \mu a \nu$
хрvбoठaiбá入тоvs $\sigma \tau о \mu i ́ o \iota s$
 220
тov̀s $\mu$ èv $\mu$ é $\sigma o u s ~ \zeta u \gamma i ́ o u s, ~$
$\lambda \epsilon \cup к о \sigma \tau і к \tau \varphi$ т тьхі $\beta$ алıои́s，
 à $\nu \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho є \iota \varsigma \kappa a \mu \pi a \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \delta \rho o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ ， $\pi \nu \rho \sigma o ́ т \rho \iota \chi a s, \mu о \nu o ́ \chi a \lambda a$ ס＇vimò $\sigma \phi v \rho a ̀ \quad 225$ тоькı入oঠє́ $\rho \mu о \nu a \varsigma^{*}$ ois тарєтá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau о$
$\Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \delta a s ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu$ ö ö $\lambda о \iota \sigma \iota \pi a \rho ’$ ä $\nu \tau v \gamma a$ каì бúpıүүаs á $\rho \mu a \tau \epsilon i ́ o v s$.
$\nu a \hat{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \varsigma ~ a ̉ \rho ı \theta \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \eta ้ \lambda v \theta o \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho$.
каì Өє́à à $\theta$ є́ $\sigma ф а т о \nu, ~$


$\kappa a i ̀ \kappa \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \eta ̉ \nu \nu$
$\delta \in \xi \iota o ̀ \pi \lambda a ́ \tau a \varsigma ~ \not ้ \chi \chi \omega \nu$
$\Phi \theta \iota \omega ́ t a s$ о $\quad \mathrm{M} v \rho \mu \iota \delta \omega \nu$＂A $\rho \eta$ s
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \prime \kappa о \nu \tau a ~ \nu a v \sigma i ̀ ~ \theta o v \rho i ́ a u s . ~$
$\chi \rho v \sigma \in ́ a \iota \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ єіко́б८兀 кат’ а้кра $\mathrm{N} \eta$－

$\pi \rho v ́ \mu \nu a \imath s ~ \sigma \hat{\eta} \mu$＇＇A $\chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o v$.
＇А $\rho \gamma \epsilon i \omega \nu$ ठє̀ $\tau a i ̂ \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ i $\sigma \dot{\eta} \rho \in \tau \mu о \iota$
$\nu a ̂ \epsilon s$ ধ̌бтaбà тє́خas．

тaîs $\grave{\eta} \nu$ ，Ta入aòs òv $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota ~ \pi a \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$.
Kamavé $\omega \varsigma$ tє $\pi a i ̂ s$

 $\pi a i ̂ s ~ є ̀ \xi \hat{\eta} s$ є̇vau入ó $\chi є \iota ~ \theta \epsilon \grave{a} \nu$ Пaл入ád＇є’ข $\mu \omega \nu v ́ \chi o \iota s$ є้ $\chi \omega \nu \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega-$ тоі̂б८» á $\rho \mu a \sigma \iota \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu}$ $\epsilon ข ้ \sigma \eta \mu o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ фа́б $\mu a$ vavßáтals．

$\pi є \nu \tau \dot{\kappa о \nu \tau а ~ \nu \eta ̂ a s ~ є i ́ \delta o ́ \mu a \nu ~}$

тoîs $\delta$ è $\mathrm{K} \alpha ́ \delta \mu o s{ }^{\mathfrak{\eta}} \nu$
$\chi \rho \cup ́ \sigma \epsilon о \nu$ бра́коут’ є＇$\chi \omega \nu$ ả $\mu \dot{\phi} \nu a \hat{\omega} \nu$ ки́ $\rho \nu \mu \beta$ ．
Ийıтоя $\delta$＇о $\quad \gamma \eta \gamma є \nu \grave{\eta}$ s $\dot{a} \rho \chi \in$ vaîov $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \hat{v}$.
Фшкíסos $\delta^{\prime}$ àтò $\chi$ Өovós，
Иокрàs $\delta$ è $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ l’ $\sigma a s$ ă $\gamma \omega \nu$


Muкท̇vas $\delta$ è tâs $\mathrm{K} v \kappa \lambda \omega \pi i ́ a s$
$\dot{a} \nu \tau . \quad 265$ $\pi a i ̂ \varsigma ~ ’ А \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma ~ ধ ̈ є \tau \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon ~ \nu а \nu \beta a ́ \tau a \varsigma ~$
 $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \delta^{\prime}$＂A $\delta \rho a \sigma \tau o s \hat{\eta} \nu$

тayós, wis фìдos фì $\omega$,
тâs фuरov́ $\sigma a s \mu_{\epsilon} \lambda a \theta \rho a$
$\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \quad \gamma a ́ \mu \omega \nu$


Гєрךріои катєıסó $\mu а \nu$

Aìıáv $\omega \nu$ ס̀̀ $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o \lambda o \iota$
$\nu \hat{a} \epsilon \varsigma ~ \grave{\eta} \sigma a \nu$, ${ }^{\omega} \nu$ ă $\nu a \xi$ Гouvєùs
${ }^{\Omega} \rho \chi \epsilon^{\cdot} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta^{\prime} a \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \in \lambda a \varsigma$
"H入ıסos $\delta v \nu a ́ \sigma t o p \in s, ~ 280$

Ev̌putos $\delta^{\prime}$ ä $\nu a \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$.
$\lambda \epsilon \cup \kappa \eta ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \mu о \nu \delta^{\prime \prime}$ "А $\rho \eta$

$\Phi u \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \lambda o ́ \chi \epsilon v \mu a$,
$\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{EXí}^{\prime} \nu a s \lambda_{\iota} \pi \omega{ }^{\prime} \nu$,
$\nu \eta$ бoovs vavßátaıs àmpoбфópovs.

ठє $\xi \iota o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda a ı o ̀ \nu ~ \xi u ́ v a \gamma \epsilon, ~$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \sigma \sigma o \nu \quad \ddot{\omega} \rho \mu \in \iota \pi \lambda a ́ \tau a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$
є̇ $\sigma \chi a ́ \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \omega \nu$

ä८о каi vavßáтау
$\epsilon$ ' $\delta_{o ́} \mu a \nu \lambda \epsilon \omega^{\prime}{ }^{\text {. }}$

ßapßápovs $\beta$ ápıסas,
עо́ттор ои̇к àтоїбєтац,
є̀v $\theta a ́ \delta{ }^{\prime}$ oiov $\epsilon$ ióó $\mu a \nu$
$\nu a ́ \iota o \nu \pi o ́ \rho \in v \mu a$,
300



ME. äтє $\lambda \theta \epsilon \cdot \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi о ́ \tau a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i . ~$

ME. к入aioıs ä $\nu, \epsilon i \quad \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o \iota s ~ \grave{a} \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
ПР. oủ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\nu} \sigma a \iota \delta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau o \nu, \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$ " $\phi \in \rho o \nu$.
ME. oư $\delta \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \kappa a \kappa \alpha ́$.
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АГА. ${ }^{\prime \prime} a$.

ME. ov́ $\mu o ̀ s ~ o u ̉ \chi ~ o ́ ~ \tau o v ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \mu v ̂ \theta o s ~ к v \rho \iota \omega ่ т \epsilon \rho o s ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$


 $\lambda a ́ \beta \omega$.

320
 үєү $\omega$;
 ре́т८ц;
 $\chi \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.
H. I.

ME. ov̉, $\pi \rho \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \omega \gamma \in \Delta a \nu a o i ̂ s ~ \pi \grave{a} \sigma \iota \tau a ̉ \gamma \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$.
 àveís;

325
 $\lambda \alpha \dot{\theta}^{\theta} \rho a$.
 $\phi \rho \in \nu o ́ s$.
 ${ }^{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \xi_{\epsilon} \in \tau a \iota$.
АГА. тí $\delta \in \in \epsilon \tau a \dot{a} \mu a ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \phi u \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ; ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ a ̉ \nu a \iota \sigma \chi u ́ \nu \tau o v ~$ тó $\delta \in ;$
 єै $\phi v \nu$.
$33^{\circ}$

ME. $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \iota a ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho ~ \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i ̂ s, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \nu v ̂ \nu, ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota, ~$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$ aưті́ка.
АГА. єủ кєко́ $\mu \psi \epsilon v \sigma a \iota \pi о \nu \eta \rho a ́ \cdot ~ \gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \pi i \phi \theta o \nu o \nu ~ \sigma o \phi \eta^{\prime}$.
 фíतoıs.

334


 "I $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \iota \circ \nu$,
 $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu$,
$\omega_{\varsigma} \tau a \pi \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ \eta ̉ \sigma \theta a, \pi a ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma \quad \delta \in \xi \iota a ̂ \varsigma \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \iota \gamma \gamma a \dot{-}-$ $\nu \omega \nu$

339


 $\mu$ е́ $\sigma$ ou ;
 тоо́тоия
 фídos,
$\delta v \sigma \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \iota \tau \circ \varsigma$ єै $\sigma \omega \tau \epsilon \kappa \lambda \grave{\eta} \theta \rho \omega \nu \sigma \pi a ́ \nu \iota o s . \quad \ddot{a} \nu \delta \rho a \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega ̀ \nu$
 $\mu \epsilon \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} a \iota$,


 $\eta$ र̂คор какóv.
$\omega \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ є่s $\mathrm{A} \dot{\imath} \lambda \iota \nu \quad \eta \quad \lambda \theta \epsilon \varsigma$ av̉ $\theta \iota \varsigma \quad \chi \dot{\omega} \Pi a \nu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ s$

350
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$



 Sopós.
$\kappa а ̉ \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi а р є \kappa а ́ \lambda є \iota \varsigma \cdot ~ \tau i ́ ~ \delta \rho а ́ \sigma \omega ; ~ \tau i ̀ \nu a ~ \pi o ́ \rho о \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \omega ~$ $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$,

 ко́р $\nu$
'Артє́ $\mu \iota \delta \iota \kappa а і ̈ ~ \pi \lambda о и ิ \nu ~ \epsilon ̈ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \Delta a \nu a i ̂ \delta a \iota \varsigma, ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$ фрévas
 є́к $\kappa$ ข

360


EYPITIDOY
$\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho \prime a ̉ a \pi o \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'A $\chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ ís $\gamma a-$ $\mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.
$\kappa \stackrel{̣}{\theta} \theta^{\prime}$ ن́ $\pi о \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \psi a \varsigma ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \psi a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda \omega \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda a \varsigma$ үрафás,




 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ íк $\omega \varsigma$,
 'E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau ' ~ є ै \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \tau а \lambda a \iota \pi \omega ́ \rho o v ~$ $\sigma \tau \in ́ \nu \omega$,
 Sévas

 $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ óт $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ ă $\rho \chi о \nu \tau a \cdot \nu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \chi \rho \eta ̀ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \lambda a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ є $\chi$ Х $\epsilon \iota \nu^{\circ}$



АГА. ßои́до $\mu a i ́ ~ \sigma ' ~ є i \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ к а \kappa \omega ̂ s ~ a ̂ ̉, ~ \beta \rho a \chi \epsilon ́ a, ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda i ́ a \nu$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \nu \omega$
$\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \dot{\phi} a \rho a \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̉ \nu a \iota \delta e ̀ s ~ a ̉ \gamma a \gamma \omega ́ \nu, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ф \rho o \nu \epsilon-~$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$,
 $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂$.
 $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ;$
 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \varsigma$

 $\lambda a \iota s$


 ßov入ía,
 $\lambda$ є́ ${ }^{\circ}$ оs


 $\theta$ єós,

 $\nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

 vous.

395
 $\mu \in ̀ \nu \in \hat{v}$



 $\delta \iota a$.
 $\kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\varsigma}$.
XO. oi'ठ' aủ $\delta \iota a ́ \phi o \rho o \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ mápos $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$



405

АГА. $\sigma v \nu \sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o u ̀ \chi i ̀ ~ \sigma v \nu \nu o \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon ै ф v \nu . ~$




ME. $\sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \rho \omega \nu \nu \nu$ av̌ $\chi \epsilon \iota$, $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa a \sigma i ́ \gamma \nu \eta \tau о \nu \pi \rho o \delta o u ́ s$. є́ $\gamma \omega$ ' $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi{ }^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda a s$ єí $\mu \iota \mu \eta \chi a \nu a ́ s ~ \tau \iota \nu a s$,
 'Aүá $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu о \nu, \ddot{\eta} \kappa \omega \pi a \hat{\iota} \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma o \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu$ ä $\gamma \omega \nu$, 415
 $\mu \eta ं т \eta \rho \delta$ ' ó $\mu a \rho \tau \epsilon \hat{,}$, $\sigma \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{~K} \lambda \nu \tau a \iota \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \tau \rho a s \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu a s$, $\kappa a i$ таі̂ऽ 'О $\mathrm{O} \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma,(\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \phi \theta \epsilon i \eta \varsigma ~ i \delta \omega ' \nu$,

 $\kappa \rho \eta \dot{\nu \eta \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \psi u ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota ~ \theta \eta \lambda u ́ \pi o u v ~ \beta a ́ \sigma \iota \nu, ~}$

 є่ $\gamma \omega$ ठє̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \delta \rho о \mu о s ~ \sigma \hat{\eta} s \pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu \eta ̂ s \chi^{a ́ \rho \iota \nu}$

 $\pi a ̂ s \delta^{\prime}$ єis $\theta$ є́à "о $\mu \iota \lambda o s$ є’ $\rho \chi є \tau а \iota ~ \delta \rho o ́ \mu \omega$, $\sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a i ̂ \delta^{\prime}$ "' $\pi \omega \varsigma$ 'i $\delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \cdot$ oì $\delta^{\prime}$ єú $\delta a i \not \mu о \nu \in \varsigma$ є่้ $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu о і ̀ ~ к а і ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi т о \iota ~ \beta р о т о i ̂ s . ~$





Aủ $\lambda i ́ \delta o s ~ a ̀ \nu a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta . ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \nu \iota \nu ~ " ̈ \xi є \tau а i ́ ~ т о т є ; " ~$





АГА. Є่ $\pi \eta!\nu \epsilon \sigma^{\prime}, \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi \epsilon \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ єै $\sigma \omega$.
 oı̈ $\mu \circ \iota, \tau i ́ \phi \hat{\omega}$ бv́ $\sigma \tau \eta \nu o s ; ~ a ̆ \rho \xi о \mu a \iota \pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$; єis oỉ à áá $\dot{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \delta \alpha i \not \mu \omega \nu, ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma о \phi \iota \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$






 тò $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ठакр $\hat{v} \sigma a \iota \delta^{\prime} a v ̉ \theta \iota \varsigma ~ a i ̉ \delta o v ̂ \mu a \iota ~ \tau a ́ \lambda a s, ~$ є's tàs $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau a s ~ \sigma u \mu \phi o \rho a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \phi \iota \gamma \mu e ́ v o s . ~$



 Өvरатрì $\nu v \mu \phi \in v ́ \sigma o v \sigma a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda \tau а \tau а ~$






$\pi a \rho \grave{\nu} \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{O} \rho \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta \varsigma$ є่ $\gamma \gamma v ̀ s ~ a ̀ v a \beta o \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$



 vimèp $\tau v \rho a ́ \nu \nu \omega \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \phi о \rho a ̂ s ~ \kappa a \tau a \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$
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 485 ä $\lambda \lambda$ дovs $\lambda a ́ \beta o \iota \mu ’ ~ a ̉ \nu, ~ \epsilon i ́ ~ \gamma a ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ i \mu \epsilon i ́ p o \mu a \iota ; ~$




 $\epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon, \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota a \nu$ є̇ $\nu \nu 0 o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$,
$\hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̇ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̌ккать $\theta \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \gamma a ́ \mu \omega \nu$
 $̀ \tau \omega ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon i \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \in \xi$ Aủ $\lambda i ́ \delta o s$.
$\sigma u ̀ \delta^{\prime}$ ò $\mu \mu a \pi a v ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \delta a \kappa \rho v ́ o \iota s ~ \tau \in ́ \gamma \gamma \omega \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma o \nu$,
 $\epsilon i ̉ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \rho \eta \varsigma ~ \sigma \hat{\eta} \varsigma \quad \theta \epsilon \sigma \phi a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau i ́ \sigma o \iota$,

 єіко̀s $\pi є ́ т о \nu \theta a$ тò̀ ó $\mu o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ тєфико́та






 $\pi \lambda \epsilon о \nu \epsilon \xi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \cdot \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \pi \tau v \sigma a$
 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda ’ \stackrel{\eta}{\kappa} \kappa \mu є \nu$ үà $\rho$ єis à $\nu а \gamma к а i ́ a s ~ т u ́ \chi a s, ~$

ME. $\quad \pi \hat{\omega} s$; тis $\delta^{\prime}$ àvaүкá $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \gamma \epsilon \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \tau а \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu ;$
АГА. äта ${ }^{\prime}$ 'А $\chi a \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda o \gamma o s ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau o s$.
 51.5




АГА. тò $\mu а \nu \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ \nu ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a ~ ф \iota \lambda о ́ т \iota \mu о \nu ~ к а к o ́ \nu . ~ 520 ~$



АГА. тò $\sum \iota \sigma u ́ \phi \epsilon \iota o \nu ~ \sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu a \pi a ́ \nu \tau$ ’ oî $\delta \epsilon \nu \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$.
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 $\kappa a ̉ \mu ’ ~ \omega ं s ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu \quad \theta \hat{v} \mu a$, кẳ $\tau a \not \psi \in v ́ \delta o \mu a \iota$, 530

бє̀ кӑ $\mu$ ’ àтоктєі̀раутаs 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ o v s ~ \kappa о ́ \rho \eta \nu ~$

 $\xi \nu \nu a \rho \pi a ́ \sigma о v \sigma \iota ~ к а і ~ к а т а \sigma \kappa а ́ \psi о v \sigma \iota ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$.
 $\omega$ ஸ́s $\grave{\pi o ́ \rho \eta \mu a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~} \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \dot{a} \nu \hat{v} \nu \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$.

 $\mu a ́ \theta \eta$, $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu " A \iota \delta \eta \pi a i ̂ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \lambda a \beta \omega \prime \nu, 540$
 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \eta \dot{\nu}, \hat{\omega}^{\mathfrak{c}} \xi \in \in \nu \alpha \iota, \phi u \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.

XO. $\mu \dot{\kappa} к а \rho є s$ оĭ $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ a s ~ \theta є o \hat{v}$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma v ́ v a s \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́-$ $\sigma \chi o \nu$ ле́кт $\rho \omega \nu$ 'Афроסітая,
$\gamma a \lambda a \nu \epsilon i ́ a ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ $\mu a \nu \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu$ oï $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu$, ő $\theta \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$

 тò $\mu$ è $\nu$ є́ $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\prime}$ єúaí $\omega \nu \iota \pi o ́ \tau \mu \varphi$,
тò $\delta$ ' є̇ $\pi i ̀ ~ \sigma v \gamma \chi v i \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \beta \iota o т a ̂ s . ~ . ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \quad \nu \iota \nu$ á $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$, Кv́трı кал入íбтa, $\theta a \lambda a ́ \mu \omega \nu$. єї $\eta$ ठє́ $\mu \circ \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ a \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\chi$ хápıs, то́Өоь $\delta^{\prime}$ o"бьоь,
каї $\mu \in \tau \in ́ \chi o \iota \mu \iota ~ \tau a ̂ s ~ ' A \phi \rho o \delta i ́-~$
$\tau a \varsigma, \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ àmoөєímal．
Sıáфopo九 סè фv́бєıs $\beta$ рот $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ，
 $\theta \hat{\omega} s$ モ̇ $\sigma \theta \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma a \phi e ̀ s ~ a ̉ \epsilon i ́ . ~$
т $о$ оаі́ $\theta^{\prime}$ ai $\pi а \iota \delta є v o ́ \mu \in \nu a \iota$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a$ фє́povo’ єỉs $\tau a ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \rho \epsilon \tau a ́ \nu . ~$ тó $\tau \epsilon \gamma^{\text {à }}$ aîסєîo $\theta a \iota ~ \sigma o \phi i ́ a, ~$ $\tau \alpha ́ \nu ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \xi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o v \sigma a \nu$ é $\chi \in \iota$ $\chi^{\alpha} \rho ı \nu$ ن́тò $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \mu a s$ є̇ $\sigma o \rho a ̂ \nu$
 $\kappa \lambda$ є́os àrท́patov ßıoтâ．

 $\kappa \rho v \pi \tau \alpha \dot{\nu}, ~ \epsilon ่ \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime} a v ̉$ $\kappa о ́ \sigma \mu о s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \omega ̀ \nu$ ó $\mu \nu \rho \iota о \pi \lambda \eta$－ $\theta \grave{\eta} \varsigma \mu \in i \zeta \omega \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ aü $\xi \in \iota$ ．
 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$.
ßочкó̀os á $\rho \gamma є \nu \nu a i ̂ s ~ є ̇ \tau \rho a ́ \phi \eta s$
＇Iסaíaıs тарà $\mu$ óб $\chi o \iota s$,
$\beta a ́ \rho \beta a \rho a ~ \sigma v \rho i \zeta \omega \nu$ ，Фрv＞íшv

$\mu \iota \mu \imath_{,} \mu a \tau a \pi \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ．

＂̈ $\theta \iota \sigma \epsilon \kappa \rho і \sigma \iota \varsigma$ єै $\mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{a} \nu$ ，
á $\sigma^{\prime}$＇E $\lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\delta} a \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$
є̇ $\lambda \epsilon ф а \nu \tau о \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тápol－
$\theta \epsilon \nu$ סó $\mu \omega \nu$ ，òs тâs ${ }^{〔} E \lambda \epsilon ́ v a s$
є่ข á $\nu \tau \omega \pi о$ îs $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \rho \circ \iota \sigma \iota \nu$
є＇$\rho \omega \tau а \tau$ т $\epsilon$ е̋ $\delta \omega \kappa а \varsigma$,





єv่סaı $\mu \nu \nu i ́ a \iota \cdot ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v} \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$

$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{T} v \nu \delta a \rho \in ́ o v ~ \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~K} \lambda \nu \tau a \iota \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \tau \rho a \nu$ ，
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$\theta \in o i ́ \gamma$＇oi креі́⿱宀㠯 тоîs ov̉к єưठaí $о \sigma \iota \iota \nu a \tau \omega ิ \nu$.

$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu \delta \epsilon \xi \omega^{\prime} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ oै $\chi \omega \nu$
ä äo $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \rho \omega \hat{s}$ ढ̇ $\pi i ̀ ̀ ~ \gamma a i ̂ a \nu, ~$
600

$\mu \eta$ тарß $\quad \sigma \eta$ ті̀ $\nu \in \omega \sigma \tau i \quad \mu о \lambda o ̀ \nu$


таîs＇Apreíaıs
$\xi \in i v a \iota ~ \xi \epsilon i v a \iota s ~ \pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \omega \omega \mu \nu \nu$ ．］
 тò $\sigma$ óv $\tau \in \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \epsilon u ́ \phi \eta \mu i ́ a \nu . ~ . ~$





 каí $\mu$ о८ $\chi є \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ є ̇ \nu \delta o ́ t \omega ~ \sigma т \eta \rho i ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a, ~$
 aî $\delta$ ' $\epsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \omega \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ そv $\quad \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$, фоßєрòv $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ảтара́ $\mu v \theta$ о $\nu$ ő $\mu \mu a \pi \omega \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ \nu . ~ 620$ $\kappa а \grave{~ \pi а i ̂ \delta a ~ т o ́ v \delta \epsilon ~ т o ̀ \nu ~ ' А \gamma а \mu є ́ \mu \nu o \nu o s ~ \gamma o ́ \nu o \nu ~}$





 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon є \rho ', ~ ' I \phi \iota \gamma є ́ \nu є \iota a, ~ \mu а к а \rho i ́ a \nu ~ \delta є ́ ~ \mu \epsilon$ $\xi \in ́ \nu a \iota \sigma \iota \tau a i ̂ \sigma \delta \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i a \quad \sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon i \sigma a$ סós,

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \nu a ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \nu a ~ \tau a ́ \mu a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \beta a \lambda \omega . ~$


 ن́тоб $\rho a \mu о \hat{v} \sigma a \pi \rho о \sigma \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ठıà $\chi$ рóvov.




 IФ. $\chi a i ̂ \rho ' ~ \epsilon v ̉ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \mu ' ~ a ̉ \gamma a \gamma \omega ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma ' ~ є ่ т о i ́ \eta \sigma a \varsigma, ~ \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho . ~$

IФ. ${ }^{\prime \prime} a$.



ІФ. $\pi a \rho ' ~ \epsilon ’ \mu o i ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu o v ̂ ~ \nu र ̂ \nu, ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ ' \pi i ̀ ~ ф \rho o \nu \tau i ́ \delta a s ~ \tau \rho є ́ ~ \pi o u ̀ . ~$ АГА. à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ єíرì $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \sigma o \grave{~ \nu v र \nu \nu ~ a ̈ \pi a s ~ к о v ̉ \kappa ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \theta \iota . ~}$


ІФ. кӑ้тєєта $\lambda \epsilon i ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \delta a ́ \kappa \rho v ’ ~ a ̀ \pi ’ ~ o ̉ \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ; ~ 650$
АГА. $\mu а \kappa \rho a ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \pi \iota o v \sigma^{\prime}$ ảmovбía.

АГА. $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma v \sigma a ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ o i ́ \kappa \tau o ́ \nu ~ \mu ’ ~ a ̀ \gamma \epsilon \iota s . ~$

АГА. $\pi a \pi a \hat{\imath} . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \iota \gamma a ̂ \nu ~ o v ~ \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \cdot ~ \sigma \grave{\epsilon} \delta^{\prime} \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \epsilon \sigma a$. $6_{55}$

АГА. $\theta^{\prime} \lambda \omega \gamma^{\cdot}$ тò $\theta \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉к $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega \nu$ ả $\lambda \gamma \dot{\nu} \nu о \mu a \iota$.



АГА. каì $\nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \iota^{\prime} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \mu \eta े \sigma \tau \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \nu$.



 IФ. $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$.


ІФ. $\sigma \grave{v} \nu \mu \eta \tau \rho \grave{\imath} \pi \lambda \epsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma^{\prime} \grave{\eta} \mu o ́ \nu \eta \pi о \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma о \mu a \iota ;$
АГА. $\mu о ́ \nu \eta, \mu о \nu \omega \theta є i ̂ \sigma$ 'áтò татрòs каì $\mu \eta \tau$ є́роs.



АГА. $\theta \hat{v} \sigma a i ́ \mu \epsilon \theta v \sigma i a \nu ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \iota \nu ’$ є̀ $\nu \theta a ́ \delta \epsilon$.



IФ．$\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu ~ a ̆ \rho ’ ~ a ̉ \mu \phi i ~ \beta \omega \mu o ́ \nu, ~ ڤ ̂ ~ \pi a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, ~ \chi о \rho o u ́ s ; ~}$
АГА．Ђך $\bar{\omega} \omega$ $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu \ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ． $\chi \omega ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda a ́ \theta \rho \omega \nu$ є่ $\nu \tau o ̀ s ~ o ̀ \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \kappa o ́ \rho a \iota \varsigma$,










 $\pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ \pi a \rho a \delta ı \delta \hat{\omega}$ тод入à $\mu о \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma \pi a \tau \eta \prime \rho$ ． $6 g \circ$












АГА．Zєùs グ $\gamma \gamma u ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta i ́ \delta \omega \sigma$ ’ ò кúpıos．

АГА．Хєiршд＇＂$\nu$ ’ оікєє $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̀ ~ \Pi \eta \lambda i o v ~ \beta a ́ \theta \rho a . ~$


АГА. є่vtav̂ $\theta^{\prime}$ є̌ $\delta a \iota \sigma a \nu ~ \Pi \eta \lambda e ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o v s ~ \theta \epsilon o i . ~$ K $\Lambda$. Єétıs $\delta$ ' $\neq \theta \rho \in \Psi \epsilon \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ ' $\mathrm{A} \chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ a ;$
 K $\Lambda$. $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$.

АГА. тoıó $\sigma \delta \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \sigma \hat{\eta} S ~ a ̀ \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon ै \sigma \tau a \iota ~ \pi o ́ \sigma \iota s . ~$
K $\Lambda$. ov $\mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau o ́ s . ~ o i \kappa \epsilon i ̂ ~ \delta ' ~ a ̆ \sigma \tau v ~ \pi o i ̂ o \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ; ~$



K $\Lambda$. à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єv่тv $\chi \circ i ́ \tau \eta \nu$. тív८ $\delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\nu \quad$ $\eta \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \gamma a \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$;



K $\Lambda$. кäтєєта $\delta a i ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o v s ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ v ̌ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ; ~ 720 ~$
АГА. $\theta \dot{v} \sigma a s ~ \gamma \in \theta \dot{u} \mu a \theta^{\prime}$ á $\mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \theta \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \theta \epsilon o i ̂ s . ~$
K $\Lambda$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \theta o i ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ \pi o v ̂ ~ \gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i ̀ ~ \theta \eta ́ \sigma o \mu \in \nu ; ~$


АГА. oī $\theta^{\prime}$ oưv ô $\delta \rho a ̂ \tau o \nu, ~ \omega ̉ ~ \gamma v ́ v a \iota ~ \pi \iota \theta o v ̂ ~ \delta ́ ́ ~ \mu o \iota . ~$

 Kム. $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau i ́ ~ \chi \omega \rho i s ~ \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \theta ', ~ a ̀ \mu \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \rho a ̂ \nu ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ́ \nu ; ~$

$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi o \hat{v} \chi \rho \grave{̀} \tau \eta \nu \iota \kappa a v ̂ \tau a ~ \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ;$
АГА. $\chi$ '́рєє $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ " A \rho \gamma o s ~ \pi a \rho \theta e ́ v o v s ~ \tau є ~ \tau \eta \mu e ́ \lambda є \iota . ~$
K $\Lambda$. $\lambda \iota \pi o \hat{v} \sigma a \pi a i ̂ \delta a ; ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \delta ' ~ a ̀ \nu a \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota ~ \phi \lambda o ́ \gamma a ;$





K $\Lambda$. ò $\chi v \rho o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi a \rho \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \phi \rho o v \rho o \hat{\nu} \tau a \iota ~ \kappa а \lambda \omega \hat{\varsigma}$.
АГА. $\pi \iota \theta о \hat{v}$. К $\Lambda . \mu a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \nu a \sigma \sigma a \nu ~ ' А \rho \gamma є i ́ a \nu ~ \theta \epsilon a ́ \nu . ~$
 740



 тє́ $\chi \nu a \varsigma ~ \pi о р і \zeta \omega, ~ \pi а \nu \tau а \chi \hat{n}$ ขıкю́ $\mu є \nu о \varsigma$. 745



 биขаі̂ка $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \grave{\eta \nu} \kappa a ̉ \gamma a \theta \dot{\eta} \nu, \vec{\eta} \mu \eta े \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi є \iota \nu$.
 $\sigma \tau \rho$.
Sivas àp $\rho v \rho о є \iota \delta \epsilon i s$

 "I $\lambda$ lov $\epsilon l s$ tò Tpoías
Фоıßंıov $\delta a ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu$, $\tau a ̀ \nu \mathrm{~K} a \sigma a ́ \nu \delta \rho a \nu$ ' $\nu \nu$ ’ áкоv́ш
 $\chi \lambda \omega \rho о к о ́ \mu \omega$ бтєф $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega$ $\delta a ́ \phi \nu a \varsigma$ $\kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \nu$, öтаע $\theta \epsilon о \hat{v}$ $\mu a \nu t o ́ \sigma v \nu o \iota ~ \pi \nu \epsilon v ́ \sigma \omega \sigma$ à áváyкац.
$\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \mu \omega \nu$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{a} \nu \tau$.
Tpoías à $\mu \phi i ́ \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$

 765 H. I.
єip $\epsilon \sigma i ́ a \quad \pi \epsilon \lambda a ́ \zeta_{n}^{\prime}$
इıцо⿱亠䒑tioıs ó $\chi \in \tau o i ̂ s$ ，$\Delta \iota o \sigma \kappa o v ́ \rho \omega \nu$＇ $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{\lambda}$ е́và
 ..... 770єis $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a$ á ${ }^{2} a$ סopıтóvoıs$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i ́ \sigma \iota ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \chi a \iota s ~ ' А \chi a \iota \omega ิ \nu$.
Пє́ $\rho \gamma а \mu о \nu \delta є ̀ ~ Ф \rho v \gamma \omega ิ \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ..... $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \delta$ ．
入aî̀ovs $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \pi u ́ \rho \gamma o u s ~$$\kappa v \kappa \lambda \omega ́ \sigma a s$＂Арєє фо⿱і́ $\varphi$ ，775
$\lambda a \iota \mu о т o ́ \mu о v s$ $\sigma \pi a ́ \sigma a s ~ \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda a ́ s$,
$\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \mu a ~ к а т ’ ~ a ̈ к \rho а я ~$
Ө＇்бєє ко́раs тодчкдаútovsбá $\mu a \rho \tau \alpha ́ \quad \tau \epsilon$ Прьá $\mu о v$.780
á $\delta$ è $\Delta$ òs＇Eлéva кó $\rho a$［тодv́клavtos］785
oilà ai mo入ú $\chi \rho v \sigma o \iota$$\Lambda v \delta a i ̀ ~ к a i ~ \Phi \rho v \gamma \omega ิ \nu$ ä $\lambda о \chi \circ \iota$
$\mu \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \tau \alpha ́ \delta^{\prime}$ є’s ả $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda a \varsigma^{\circ}$
 ..... 790
¢̂v $\mu a$ бакрvóєу таעv́баs$\pi a \tau \rho i ́ \delta o s ~ o ̉ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v a s ~ \dot{a} \pi \pi\rangle \omega \tau \iota \in \hat{\imath ̂} ;$ठıà $\sigma \epsilon ́, ~ \tau a ̀ \nu ~ \kappa u ́ \kappa \nu о v ~ \delta o \lambda \iota \chi a u ́ \chi є \nu o s ~ \gamma o ́ \nu o \nu$,$\epsilon i$ ठخ̀ фátıs êtvuos，795
o’ $\rho \nu \iota \theta \iota \pi \tau a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \varphi$
 $\mu \hat{v} \theta o \iota \tau \alpha ́ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ т т o v s$


800
AX. $\pi o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\chi a \iota \omega ิ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \theta a ́ \delta ' ~ o ́ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \lambda a ́ t \eta \varsigma ; ~$ тís à $\nu \phi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon \in \omega s$ そŋтô̂עтá $\nu \iota \nu \pi a i ̂ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \pi u ́ \lambda a \iota s ~ ' A \chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ a ;$








 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$ ' $\pi i ̀ \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau a i ̂ s ~ \tau a \iota \sigma i \delta^{\prime}$ ' Evjpimov $\pi \nu o a i ̂ s$,



 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $А \tau \rho \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \nu ́ \sigma a \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \xi \in \beta \eta \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \delta \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. 820
 үvขaîка, $\mu о \rho ф \eta ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon u ่ \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$;
K $\Lambda$. ov̉ $\theta a \hat{v} \mu a ́ ~ \sigma ' ~ \grave{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ a ̉ \gamma \nu o \epsilon i v, ~ o i s ~ \mu \grave{̀} \pi a ́ \rho o s ~$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \varsigma^{\cdot}$ aì $\hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ öтє $\sigma \epsilon ́ \beta \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ тò $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
 $\gamma v \nu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̆ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ a ̉ \sigma \pi i \sigma \iota \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \phi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s ;$


$$
3-2
$$


AX. $\kappa а \lambda \omega ิ s$ єौ $\lambda \epsilon \xi a \varsigma$ є̇̀ $\beta \rho a \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тà каípıa. I









K $\Lambda$. $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \tau o ́ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ่ \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \phi v \kappa є \nu, ~ a i ̂ \delta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o v s ~$ $\kappa a \iota \nu o u ̀ s ~ o ́ \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota ~ \kappa a \grave{~ \gamma a ́ \mu o v ~} \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu$ évovs.
AX. ои̉тผ́тот' є’ $\mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma \tau \epsilon v \sigma a ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \sigma \eta ́ \nu, ~ \gamma u ́ \nu a \iota, ~}$







 $a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ a ̉ \mu \epsilon \lambda i ́ a ~ \delta o ̀ s ~ a u ̛ \tau a ̀ ~ к a i ̀ ~ \phi a u ́ \lambda \omega s ~ \phi ' ́ \rho \epsilon . ~$
 $\psi \epsilon v \delta \eta ̀ s ~ \gamma \in \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ каì $\pi a \theta o \hat{v} \sigma^{\prime}$ ả $\nu a ́ \xi \iota a$.


 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon a ̂ \varsigma ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega ิ \tau a \pi a i ̂ \delta a, \kappa a \grave{\iota} \sigma \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \Lambda \eta^{\prime} \delta a \varsigma \kappa o ́ \rho \eta \nu$.
 $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon$ i.
 AX. тívos; Є̇ $\mu o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o u ̉ \chi i ́ ~ \chi ~ \chi \omega \rho i ̀ s ~ \tau a ̉ \mu a ̀ ~ к a ̉ \gamma а \mu ' ́ \mu-~$ vovos.
ПР. $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \quad \pi a ́ \rho o \iota \theta \epsilon \nu$ оїк $\nu, \mathrm{T} v \nu \delta a ́ \rho \epsilon \omega$ סóvtos татро́s.

860
 оั้ขєка.



 тıvá.

865

 є́ $\phi v \nu$.

 ä $\nu a \xi$.

 $\pi o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$.

 $\nu \in i ̂ \nu$.
K $\Lambda$. $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$; $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \tau \sigma^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \gamma \epsilon \rho a \iota \epsilon \in, \mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu \nu^{\circ}$ ov̉ $\gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \epsilon \hat{v}$ $\phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i ̂ s$.


ПР. $\dot{a} \rho \tau i ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ єis $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \kappa a i ̀ \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \pi a i ̂ \delta a \cdot ~ \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau o ~ \delta '$ ov̉ фроעєî.

 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s$.
K $\Lambda$. $\pi o ̂ ̂ ; ~ \tau \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota \nu ’ ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \omega ́, ~ \tau a ́ \lambda a \iota \nu a ~ \delta ’ ~ \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \mu \notin \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ $\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.

880
ПР. $\Delta a \rho \delta a ́ \nu o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \omega ́ \mu a \theta ', ~ ' E \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ M \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ o ̋ т \pi \omega s$ $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta$.

 $\pi a \tau \eta \dot{\rho}$.
 ठó $\mu \omega \nu$;
ПР. 'iv' ả $\gamma a ́ \gamma o \iota s ~ \chi a i \rho o v \sigma^{\prime}$ 'A $\chi \iota \lambda \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi a i ̂ \delta a \quad \nu v \mu \phi \epsilon v$ боvбa $\sigma \eta$ ข. 885
 $\sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu$.
ПР. оіктла̀ $\pi a ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau о \nu ~ \delta u ́ ’ ~ o v ̉ \sigma a \iota \cdot ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ ' А \gamma а \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \mu \nu \omega \nu ~$ є̈ $\tau \lambda \eta$.

 роєî̀.
 $\sigma \mu$ évos;

890
 ура $\mu \mu$ '́va.

 то́т' $\epsilon \dot{v}$.


 AX. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \lambda \nu o \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma a \nu$ á $\theta \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta ' ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o ̀ \nu ~ o u ̉ ~ \phi a u ́ \lambda \omega s ~$ $\phi$ ф́ра.
 AX. $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi о \mu a \iota \kappa \alpha ่ \gamma \omega ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma \hat{\omega}, \kappa o v ̉ \chi ~ a ̊ \pi \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ o u ̋ \tau \omega ~ \phi є ́ \rho \omega . ~$
 $\theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \theta \epsilon a ̂ s ~ \gamma є \gamma \omega ิ \tau a ~ \tau i ́ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ є ̇ \gamma \omega ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu v ́ \nu o \mu a \iota ; ~$






 то́б七я.
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma є \nu \in \iota a ́ \delta o s ~ \delta \epsilon ́, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \hat{\eta} \varsigma \delta \in \xi \iota a ̂ \varsigma, \pi \rho o ̀ s \mu \eta \tau \in ́ \rho o \varsigma^{*}$
 $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$.

910

 $\kappa \lambda$ úєıs
 $\gamma v \nu \grave{\eta}$
 $\theta \rho a \sigma$ v́,
 $\sigma \dot{v} \mu o v$

915
$\chi \in i ̂ \rho^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a \iota, \sigma \in \sigma \omega^{\prime} \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon i ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta^{\prime}, o v ̉ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \mu \epsilon \theta a$.


AX. ن́ұ $\eta \lambda o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ноє $\theta \nu \mu o ̀ s ~ a \grave{\iota} \rho \epsilon \tau a \iota \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega$.







 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ ' A \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ \delta a \iota s, ~ \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \mu e ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a \iota ~ \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s$,

 $\pi a \rho \in ́ \chi \chi \nu$, "Арך тò кат' є́ $\mu$ є̀ коб $\mu \eta \sigma \omega$ борí. $\sigma \grave{\epsilon} \delta^{\prime}, \dot{\omega} \pi a \theta o \hat{v} \sigma a \quad \sigma \chi^{\epsilon} \tau \lambda \iota a \quad \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \iota \lambda \tau a ́ \tau \omega \nu$,
 тобо仑̂тоע оікктоע $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \tau a \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega}$, койтотє ко́ $\eta$ $\sigma \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi а \gamma \eta ́ \sigma є \tau а \iota, ~ 935 ~$






$\dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \tau \lambda a ̂ \sigma a \kappa о \nu ̉ \kappa ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \grave{a} \pi a \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \nu о \varsigma$,

 є่ $\gamma \omega$ тò $\mu \eta \delta \delta_{\epsilon} \nu, \mathrm{M} \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu, \quad 945$

 $\mu a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta i ̀ ~ ข ́ \gamma \rho \omega ̂ \nu ~ \kappa v \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon Ө \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu ~$


 $\ddot{\eta}$ 乏ímv
${ }_{\circ}^{\prime \prime} \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \phi$ v́каб' oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \lambda a ́ \tau \alpha \iota$ ү'́vo؟,) ФӨías $\delta$ є̀ тоv̌עо $\mu$ ’ ov̉ $\delta a \mu о \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau а \iota$.


 $\tau v \chi \omega ́ \nu \cdot$ öтаע $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta$ тv́ $\chi \eta$, ठıoí $\chi \in \tau a \iota ;$


960









 $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu$, фóvov кŋ入îб८v aípatos $\chi \rho a \nu \hat{\omega}$,





$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$.


 $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ тoùs aivov̂עtas, $\hat{\eta} \nu$ aiv $\hat{\omega} \sigma^{\prime}$ ä $\gamma a \nu$. 9So



 оїктєєрє $\delta^{\prime}$ خ̀ $\mu \hat{a} \varsigma^{\cdot}$ оікктрà $\gamma$ à $\rho \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu \theta a \mu \epsilon \nu$. 985
 $\kappa \epsilon \nu \eta ̀ \nu \kappa a \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi$ оע є̇ $\lambda \pi i \delta^{\prime} \cdot \epsilon i \tau \alpha ́ \sigma o \iota \tau a ́ \chi a$
 Өavov̂ ${ }^{\prime}$ є́ $\mu \eta$ خ̀ $\pi a i ̂ \varsigma, ~ " ̋ ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \phi u \lambda a ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ่ \nu . ~$









 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{a ̀ \rho}$ à $\theta \rho o ́ o s ~ a ́ \rho \gamma o ̀ s ~ \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ оїко $\theta \epsilon \nu 1000$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi a s$ тоvŋрàs каі̀ какобтó $\mu о v s$ фı $\lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$.



 $\psi \in \cup \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega \nu$ ठє̀ каì $\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ є่ $\gamma \kappa є \rho \tau о \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$





$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. како́s тís є̇бтє каі̀ 入íà тарßє̂̀ бтратóv.





 $\kappa a ̉ \gamma \omega ́ ~ \tau ’ a ̉ \mu \epsilon i ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi i \lambda o \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu a \iota$ ， $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s \tau^{\prime} a ̂ \nu$ ov̉ $\mu \notin \mu \psi a \iota \tau o ́ ~ \mu ’, ~ \epsilon i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a ~$ $\lambda \in \lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \varsigma \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o \iota \mu \iota \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \in \in \nu \epsilon \iota$. гогI
 боí $\tau^{\prime}$ à̀ $\gamma \in ́ \nu о \iota \tau о ~ \kappa a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о \hat{v} \chi \omega \rho i s ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$




AX．$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~ \sigma \epsilon \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \kappa \epsilon \varsigma$ oṽ $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，




 $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \epsilon i \sigma i ̀ \theta \epsilon o i ́, ~ \delta i ́ \kappa a l o s ~ \omega ̂ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \eta ̀ \rho, ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$


XO．тís ä $\rho$＇vífévalos $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \lambda \omega \tau o \hat{v}$ 人íßvos $\sigma \tau \rho$.
$\mu \in \tau$ á тє ф८入oұóроv кıӨápas $\sigma \nu \rho i ́ \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ $\theta$＇íтò каланоєб－ $\sigma \hat{a} \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ia $\chi$ á ，
ӧт＇àvà Пŋ́入ıov ai кал入ıтло́каноь
1040
$\Pi \iota \epsilon \rho i \delta \epsilon s$ тарà $\delta a \iota \tau \grave{\imath} \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega}$


$\Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega s$ є's $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu o \nu$ ท̉̉ $\lambda \theta o \nu$,



ó $\delta_{\text {è }} \Delta a \rho \delta a \nu i ́ \delta a s, \Delta$ ıòs
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau \rho \omega \nu$ т $\rho \dot{\prime} \phi \eta \mu a$ фì $\lambda о \nu$,
$\chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ä $\phi v \sigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda о \iota \beta a ̀ \nu$
є̇̀ кратท́ $\rho \omega \nu$ үváخoıs,
¿ Фрúyıos Гavu $\mu$ ท́ $\delta \eta$ s.

єì入८боó $\mu \epsilon \nu a \iota[\kappa \cup ́ \kappa \lambda \iota a]$ 1055

Nךре́шs є̇Хо́рєчбаข.
àvà $\delta^{\prime}$ ė $\lambda a ́ \tau a \iota \varsigma ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega ́ \delta є \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \chi^{\lambda o ́ a} \quad \dot{a} \nu \tau$. Өіабоs є’ $\mu о \lambda є \nu$ ітттоßáтаs
Kєעтav́p$\rho \nu$ є̇ $\pi i ̀ ~ \delta a i ̂ \tau a ~ \tau a ̀ \nu ~$
$\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \hat{\rho} \dot{a}$ тє B а́кхоv.
$\mu \in ́ \gamma a ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ \nu є ́ к \lambda a \gamma o \nu . ~ " ~ © ̉ ~ N \eta \rho \eta i ~ к o ́ \rho а, ~$
$\pi a i ̂ \delta a ~ \sigma \epsilon ̀ ~ \Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda i ́ a ̨ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma ~ \gamma ~ \phi \hat{\omega} s "$
$\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota s$ ó фoıßáסa $\mu о \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$
$\epsilon i \delta \omega ̀ s$ " $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ "
$\mathrm{X} \epsilon i ́ \rho \omega \nu$ є́ $\xi \circ \nu o ́ \mu a \zeta \in \nu$,


үаîà $\epsilon \in \pi \tau \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu$,
1070
$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\sigma} \sigma \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \iota \chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon \in \omega \nu$
"̈ $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ 'Нфа८бтото́ $\nu \omega \nu$

$\mu a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \omega \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ є $\chi \omega \nu$


нака́рьо то́тє סаímорєs
$\tau \hat{\alpha} \varsigma ~ є u ̉ \pi a ́ т \rho \iota \delta o s$

Пך $\lambda \in ́ \omega \varsigma \theta^{\prime}$ v́ $\mu \in \nu a i o v s$,
 $\pi \lambda$ о́канод 'Арүєі̂о८, ßалıà̀
$\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a i ́ \omega \nu \dot{a} \tau^{\prime}$ a้ $\nu-$
$\tau \rho \omega \nu$ є̀ $\lambda \theta o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ỏ $\rho \in i a \nu$
но́б $\chi$ оу а́ки́ $а т о \nu$,

ov̉ $\sigma$ úpıүүє т $\rho a \phi \in i ̂ \sigma a \nu$, ov̉ ${ }^{\prime}$.
є̇̀ $\rho \circ \iota \beta \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota$ ßочкó $\lambda \omega \nu$,
$\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \mu a \tau$ е́ ८ $\nu \nu \mu ф о ́ к о \mu о \nu$
'Ivaxíठaıs yá $\mu о \nu$.

тâ§ ảpєтâৎ $\sigma \theta$ évєı т८ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu ; ~ 1090$

סúvaбıv, à $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\rho \in \tau a ̀$ като́ть$\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \theta \nu a \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ t a \iota$, à $\nu о \mu i ́ a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu о ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ к р а т є \hat{\imath}$,
$\kappa а i ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ к о \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \gamma \omega ̀ \nu ~ \beta \rho о т о i ̂ s, ~$



 $\pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ s ~ i \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \beta o \lambda a ̀ s ~ o ́ \delta v \rho \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, Өávaтоע áкои́ $\sigma a \sigma^{\prime}$, ồ татウ̀ $\beta$ ßоv入єv́єтац.
 'Aүa $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu o \nu o s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \delta$ ', òs є̀тì тoîs aưtov̂ тéкขoıs
à $\nu o ́ \sigma \iota a ~ \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau i ́ \chi ’ ~ \epsilon र ̇ \rho \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota . ~$
IIO5





 $\pi \rho о \chi u ́ \tau a \iota \tau \epsilon \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \hat{v} \rho \kappa a \theta a ́ \rho \sigma \iota \iota \nu \chi \epsilon \rho o i ̂ \nu$, $\mu o ́ \sigma \chi \circ \iota \tau \epsilon, \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \gamma a ́ \mu \omega \nu$ às $\theta \epsilon \hat{d} \hat{a} \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu \chi \rho \epsilon \omega \nu$ 'Артє́ $\mu \iota \delta \iota, \mu$ е́ладоs aí $\mu a \tau o s ~ \phi v \sigma \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau a$.




 íoov $\pi a ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ ท ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \pi \epsilon \iota \theta a \rho \chi o v ̂ \sigma a ́ ~ \sigma o \iota . ~$



$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\phi \epsilon \hat{v}$.
$\tau i \not v \nu^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \lambda a ́ \beta o \iota \mu \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ả $\rho \chi \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu ;$ äтаб८ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \rho \omega ́ т о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \rho a ~$

 $\sigma \cup ́ \gamma \chi \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ є้ $\chi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \kappa а і ̀ ~ \tau а \rho а \gamma \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ó $\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. єौ' ${ }^{\prime}$ à̀ $\nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega$ $\sigma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ́ \omega \varsigma$, тó $\sigma \iota$.

 АГА. ${ }^{\prime \prime} a \cdot$

K $\Lambda$. ${ }^{\prime} \chi$ ’ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma v \chi o \varsigma$,
$\kappa a ́ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu o ́ ~ \mu o \iota ~ т o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega t o \nu ~ a ̀ \pi o ́ к р \iota \nu a \iota ~ \pi a ̉ \lambda \iota \nu . ~}$ АГА. $\sigma \grave{v} \delta^{\prime}$ ク้ $\nu \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \in \omega \tau \hat{a} \varsigma ~ \epsilon i \kappa o ́ \tau ’, ~ \epsilon i \kappa o ́ \tau ’ ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \lambda v ́ o \iota s . ~$





 Kム. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau ’$ oì $\delta a \kappa a \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \pi v \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ à $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma \mu \epsilon \delta \rho \hat{a} \nu$. aưтò $\delta$ è тò $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ó $\mu o \lambda o \gamma o v ̂ \nu \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau i ́ ~ \sigma o v ~$ $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon \nu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ́ . ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \eta \varsigma ~ \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.
АГА. íoov̀ $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \grave{\omega}$ тò $\gamma$ à $\rho$ à $\nu a i ́ \sigma \chi \nu \nu \tau o \nu ~ \tau i ́ ~ \delta \epsilon i ̂ ~$



 єै $\gamma \eta \mu a \varsigma$ äкоvбá̀ $\mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha ̈ \lambda a \beta \epsilon \varsigma ~ \beta i ́ a, ~$

 $\mu a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \iota a i ́ \omega s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảтобтá $\sigma a s$.
 'iттоьб८ $\mu a \rho \mu a i ́ \rho o \nu \tau$ ' є่ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v \sigma a ́ \tau \eta \nu$. $\pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \delta_{\epsilon} \pi \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta v s \mathrm{~T} v \nu \delta \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \omega ́ s \sigma^{\prime}$ є́ $\rho \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \tau o{ }_{1155}$


 єi's $\tau$ ' 'Афробít $\eta \nu$ $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu о \hat{v} \sigma a$ каі̀ тò $\sigma o ̀ \nu$

 $\sigma \pi a ́ \nu \iota o \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \theta ' \eta ́ \rho \epsilon v \mu ’ ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho i ~ т o \iota a u ́ t \eta \nu ~ \lambda a ß \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~$ ба́ $\mu a \rho \tau a \cdot \phi \lambda a v ́ \rho a \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\sigma \pi a ́ \nu \iota \varsigma ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa ’ ~ є ้ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.















 є่ $\pi \epsilon і$ ß $\rho а \chi \epsilon і ́ a s ~ \pi \rho о ф а ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \epsilon ै \delta \epsilon \iota ~ \mu o ́ \nu о \nu, ~$ I 180



 $\epsilon \hat{i} \epsilon \nu$.



 ท̉ тả $\rho ’$ ả $\sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \tau o v s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \eta ̀ \gamma o i ́ \mu \epsilon \theta ' ~ a ̆ \nu, ~$

 à $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ov̉ $\theta$ '́ $\mu \iota s ~ \sigma o \iota . ~ \tau i ́ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi \epsilon \tau а \iota ~$



 ßov́ $\epsilon \epsilon \sigma$ ', 'A $\chi a \iota o i ́, \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ Ф \rho v \gamma \omega ̂ \nu ~ є ’ \pi i ̀ ~ \chi \theta o ́ v a ; ~ ;$ $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \nu \tau i ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi a i ̂ \delta$ 'öтov $\theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \quad \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ่ \nu$.
 $\sigma \phi a ́ \gamma \iota o \nu \pi a \rho a \sigma \chi є i ̂ \nu \Delta a \nu a i ̂ ̀ a \iota \sigma \iota \pi a i ̂ \delta a \sigma \dot{\eta} \nu, \quad 1200$





 $\epsilon i ̉ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau a \iota \mu \epsilon \tau a \nu o ́ \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \mu \eta ̀ ~ \kappa \tau а \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$

 'A $\gamma a ́ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu o \nu$ ' oú $\delta \epsilon i s ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \delta ' ~ a ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \tau \epsilon i ́ \pi o \iota ~ \beta \rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu . ~$
 $\pi \epsilon i ́ \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ є̇ $\pi a ́ \delta o v \sigma^{\prime}, ~ \check{\sigma} \sigma \theta$ ' о́ $\mu a \rho \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \mu о \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́ т \rho a s, ~$ $\kappa \eta \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \tau о i ̂ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ o u ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \beta o u \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu$,









 $\epsilon$ є $\delta a i \not \mu o \nu$ ' à $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \delta o ́ \mu o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ̋ \psi о \mu a \iota, ~$
11. I.

EYPITIIDOY
















 ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \varsigma$ § $̀$ è $\sigma v \nu \delta a ́ \kappa \rho v \sigma o \nu$, iкє́ $\tau \epsilon v \sigma o \nu ~ \pi а т \rho o ̀ s ~$
 $\kappa a ̉ \nu \nu \eta \pi i ́ o l \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ как $\kappa \hat{\nu} \nu$ éqyíyveral.

 $\nu a i ́, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \in \nu \epsilon i o v ~ \sigma ' a ̉ \nu \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ dío фí $\lambda \omega^{\text {. }}$
 Є̂ע $\sigma \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{v} \sigma a$ тávтa $\nu \iota \kappa \eta{ }^{\eta} \sigma \omega$ خóyov.






 $\delta \in \iota \nu \omega \hat{s} \delta^{\prime}$ é $\chi \in \iota$ นo८ тâ̂тa то入 $\mu \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ，रúval，



 $\epsilon i ̉ \mu \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \sigma \omega$ ，$\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota s$ wis Ká̀ $\chi a s$ дér $\epsilon \iota$ ，

 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ©́s тá $\chi \iota \sigma \tau a \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ є̇ $\pi \grave{\imath} \chi$ Өóva，${ }^{1265}$ $\pi a v ̂ \sigma a i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \rho \omega \nu$ á $\rho \pi a \gamma a ̀ s ~ ‘ E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa a ́ s ’$ ồ тás $\tau$＇є̉v＂A





 $\kappa \alpha ́ \mu о і ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ข̈то

 oî＇ү $\omega$ Өavátov тô̂ $\sigma o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \lambda$ éa． фєúyє८ $\sigma \epsilon \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$＂A $\mathrm{A} \delta \eta$ тapaסoús．

IФ．ồ＇$\gamma \omega$＇，$\mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho$＇тaútòv $\gamma$ à $\rho \delta \eta ̀$ $\mu$ е́入os єís ä $\mu \phi \omega \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \omega \kappa \in \tau \dot{\vartheta} \chi \eta \varsigma$ ， 1280 коข้кє́ть $\mu$ о८ $\phi \hat{\omega} s$ oủס’ ảє入iou тódє фéryos．
$i \omega$ i $\omega$ ．
$\nu \iota ф o ́ \beta o \lambda o \nu ~ Ф \rho u \gamma \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ vátos＂I $\delta a s \tau^{\prime}$



Пápı̀，ôs＇I $\delta a \hat{\imath} o s$
＇I $\delta a i ̂ o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau ' ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau ' ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ \Phi \rho v \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon . ~ 1290$ $\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau^{\prime} \omega \ddot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \nu$ тò $\nu \quad a \dot{a} \mu i$
ßovoi ßоикó入ov трафе́дта $129^{2}$

$\kappa \rho \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \mathrm{~N} v \mu \phi \hat{a} \nu$ кєî̀та८
$\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \omega \prime \nu$ т ${ }^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \theta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
$\chi \lambda \omega \rho \circ \hat{s}, \kappa \alpha i$ ¢ $о \delta o ́ \epsilon \nu \tau a$


1300


ó $\Delta$ oòs ä ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda o s$ ，

Kúmpıs，à $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Soupi Пad入ás，
1305
＂Нра тє $\Delta$ ıòs ă $\mathbf{\nu}$ актоs
єن่ขaîбı ßaбı入íбıv，
$\kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ є̇ $\pi \grave{\iota} \sigma \tau v \gamma \nu \grave{a} \nu$ є́ $\rho \iota \nu \tau \epsilon$
$\kappa a \lambda \lambda o \nu a ̂ \varsigma, ~ \grave{\epsilon} \mu o i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \theta a ́ \nu a \tau o v . ~$.

$\pi \rho o \theta \dot{v} \mu a \tau$＇${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \nu$＂А $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \varsigma \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ " I \lambda \iota o \nu$.
ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \kappa \omega \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ \nu \tau a ́ \lambda a \iota \nu a \nu$ ，

oí $\chi є \tau \alpha \iota \pi \rho o \delta o v ̀ s$ є’ $\rho \eta \mu о \nu$ ．
${ }^{\omega} \delta \nu \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \nu ’$ є̇ $\gamma \omega \dot{\prime}, \pi \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$
$\pi \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ \nu ~ i ́ \delta o v ̂ \sigma a ~ \delta v \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \nu a \nu$ ，
фоvєv́oual $\delta \iota o ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \mu a \iota$
$\sigma \phi a \gamma a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ à $\nu o \sigma i ́ o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \nu o \sigma l o v ~ \pi a т \rho o ́ s . ~$
$\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu o \iota \nu a \hat{\omega} \nu \chi a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \mu \beta o \lambda a ́ \delta \omega \nu$
$\pi \rho u ́ \mu \nu a \varsigma$ ä $\delta^{\prime}$ Av̉ $\lambda i s \delta^{\prime} \xi \in a \sigma \theta a \iota$
тоv́бס＂єis＂óp $\mu$ ovs єis Tpoiav $\omega ้ \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu$ є̇ $\lambda a ́ \tau a \nu \pi о \mu \pi a i ́ a \nu$,

$\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \pi о \mu \pi \grave{\alpha} \nu Z \epsilon \dot{v} \varsigma, \mu \epsilon \iota \lambda i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ $a ⿱ ⺌ 兀 口 \rho a \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a \nu ~ \theta \nu a \tau \omega ิ \nu$
$\lambda a i ́ \phi \in \sigma \iota \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，
$\tau 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota$ ठè $\lambda u ́ \pi a \nu, \tau o i ̄ \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu a ́ \gamma \kappa a \nu$ ，
 $\tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \iota \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．

 ả $\nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon \nu \rho \epsilon i \nu$.
$i \omega i \omega$ ，
$\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a$ тá $\epsilon \epsilon a, \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \delta^{\prime}$ aै $\chi \in a$
$\Delta a \nu a i ̂ ̀ a \iota s ~ \tau \iota \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a ~ T v \nu \delta a \rho i s ~ \kappa o ́ \rho a . ~$



 $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda v \theta a s$.
r 339

 íठєìv aī $\chi$ v́vo $\mu a \iota$ ．
 aì $\bar{\omega} \phi$ ф́ $\rho є$ ．
K $\Lambda$ ．oủk $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \beta \rho o ́ \tau \eta \tau \iota ~ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa o ́ \tau a . ~$

 $\theta \rho o \in i ̂ s$.

EYPITIDOY
AX. $\delta \in i ́ \nu$ ' ėv 'Apreíoıs ßoâtaı Kム. тìva $\beta o \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$; $\sigma \dot{\eta}$ $\mu a \iota \nu \in ́$ щoı.
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.
 є̇ขàтíov $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ;$
 $\xi \in \in \in ;$
AX. $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a \quad \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \rho о \iota \sigma \iota . \mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ко́р $\eta \nu$ $\sigma \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \zeta \omega \nu$ є่ $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu ;$
AX. aưtò тоv̂тo. K . тís $\delta$ 'à $\nu$ ย̌ $\tau \lambda \eta \sigma \omega^{\prime} \mu a \tau o s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ $\sigma o v ̂ \theta \iota \gamma \in \imath ̂ \nu ;$
AX. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma . \mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{~} \mathrm{M} \nu \rho \mu \iota \delta \omega \nu$ ov̋ $\sigma o \iota \pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$;
 тє́кขоข.
 $\rho i \nu \omega$ ठè $\tau i ́ ;$
 каıа үа́р.
 ұато.
 ठєьขò̀ како́ข.
 $\lambda o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ єîs;
AX. єiбopậ $\tau \epsilon \cup ̛ \chi \eta$ фє́povtas тov́бס’; K $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

 ко́р $\overline{\text { s ; }}$
耳óvos;
 $\chi \theta \epsilon i s$ v́mo;
 форєî̀.
 á $\rho \pi a ́ \sigma a s$;
${ }^{1}{ }^{2} \sigma_{5}$
 то́тє;
 $\gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$.
 $\sigma a \tau \epsilon$

反ıov.

1370
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \grave{\epsilon} \tau o \hat{v} \theta^{\prime} \dot{o} \rho \hat{a} \nu \chi \rho \eta, \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \iota a \beta \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\varphi}$,


 $\lambda о \mu a \iota$

1375

 $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$.
 $\kappa a ̉ \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \mu о \grave{~} \pi о \rho \theta \mu o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \nu a \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ Ф \rho v \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \kappa а т а-~$ бкафаí, 1379



EYPITIDOY
тò $\nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ тí $\sigma a \nu \tau a s$ ỏ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \theta \rho \omega$ خá $\mu o \nu$, ò $\nu$ グ $\rho \pi a$ $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ Пápıs.



 $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \nu \rho i o \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̆ \nu \delta \rho \in \varsigma ~ a ̀ \sigma \pi i ́ \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \phi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota$,

 $\theta a \nu \epsilon i \nu^{\circ}$

 є̈тог;
 $\mu o \lambda \in i ̂ \nu$






 $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$.
$\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu \delta^{\prime \prime}$ " $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta$ as ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ єiкós, ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ ov’ $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o v s$,
 $\theta \in \rho o u$.
XO. тò $\mu$ è $\nu$ бó $, \omega^{*} \nu \epsilon \hat{a} \nu \iota, \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ́ \omega s$ є้ $\chi \in \iota^{\circ}$

AX. 'A $\gamma a \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu o \nu o s ~ \pi a \hat{\imath}, ~ \mu а \kappa а ́ \rho \iota o ́ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu ~ I 405 ~$ є $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, \epsilon i \quad \tau v ́ \chi \circ \iota \mu \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ रá $\mu \omega \nu$.
 є
 $\epsilon \in \xi \in \lambda о \gamma i \sigma \omega$ тà $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \grave{a} \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa а і ̂ a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon . ~$

1410



 $\epsilon i \mu \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega ́ \sigma \omega \Delta a \nu a i ̂ \delta a \iota \sigma \iota \delta \iota a ̀ \mu a ́ \chi \eta s$

IФ. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \tau \alpha ́ \delta{ }^{\prime}$




 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, є่ $\pi \epsilon i ́ l ~ \sigma о \iota ~ \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \delta о к \epsilon i ̂ ~ \gamma є \nu \nu a i ̂ a ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$




 $\chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ठè кaì $\sigma \grave{v}$ тoîs є̣ $\mu \circ i ̂ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s ~ \tau a ́ \chi a, ~$
 $143^{\circ}$

 $\kappa а \rho а \delta о к \eta ́ \sigma \omega ~ \sigma \eta ̀ \nu ~ є ̇ \kappa є \hat{\imath} ~ \pi а \rho о v \sigma i ́ a \nu . ~$


IФ. $\pi a \hat{v} \sigma a i ́ ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \mu \grave{~} \kappa \alpha ́ к \iota \zeta \epsilon \cdot \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \mu о i ̀ ~ \pi \iota \theta о \hat{v}$.





$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ ．$\quad \pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \epsilon i \pi a s$ ；ov่ $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ́ \nu$ ；


ІФ．$\beta \omega \mu o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon a ̂ s ~ \mu o \iota ~ \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \mu a$ тท̂s $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ к o ́ \rho \eta s . ~$
1445
K．ム．ả入入’ $\omega^{*} \tau \in ́ \kappa \nu о \nu, ~ \sigma o i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma o \mu a \iota \cdot ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ є u ̉ . ~$

K $\Lambda$ ．тí $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa а \sigma \iota \gamma \nu \eta \dot{\tau} \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \in \theta \epsilon \nu$ ；






IФ．татє́ $\rho a$ тò̀ ả $\mu o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \sigma \tau u ̛ \gamma є \iota ~ \pi o ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \sigma o ́ \nu . ~ I 455 ~$
K ．$\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o v ̀ s ~ a ́ y \omega ̂ \nu a s ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \sigma e ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̂ \imath \imath ~ \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu o \nu ~ \delta \rho a \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$





 $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta ' ~ o ’ \pi a \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta$＇́ тís $\mu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \omega$





$\pi a \iota a ̂ \nu a ~ \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\eta} \quad \sigma v \mu \phi \circ \rho \hat{a}$ $\Delta \iota o ̀ s ~ \kappa o ́ \rho \eta \nu$


трохи́таıs каӨарбі́оьбь, каі̀ татѝр є̇ $\mu o ̀ s$


ä $\gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ' $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$ 'I $\lambda i ́ o v$
$\kappa a i ̀ ~ Ф \rho u \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ є̀ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau о \lambda \iota \nu$.
$\sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon a \pi \epsilon \rho i \beta o \lambda a$ סíठoтє, ф'́рєтє.
$\pi \lambda$ о́каноя ӧ $\delta є$ катабтє́фєє ${ }^{\circ}$
$\chi є \rho \nu i \beta \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \pi а \gamma a ́ s$.
é $\lambda i \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ à $\mu \phi \grave{\imath} \nu a \grave{\nu} \nu$
à $\mu \phi \grave{\iota} \beta \omega \mu \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} А \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu$
$\tau \grave{a} \nu$ ä $\nu a \sigma \sigma a \nu$ " $А \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu$,
$\theta \epsilon a ̀ \nu ~ \mu a ́ \kappa \alpha \iota \rho a \nu \cdot ~ \omega ́ s ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu, ~ \epsilon i ̀ ~ \chi \rho \epsilon \omega ́ \nu$,
$\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mu a \sigma \iota$ Ө́ $\mu a \sigma \iota^{\prime} \tau \epsilon$


$\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \in \nu$ à $\mu \in ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$.
$\pi a \rho ’$ iєpoîs $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ov̉ $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$.
$i \omega$ i $\omega$ ̀ $\nu \in a ́ \nu \iota \delta \epsilon \varsigma$,
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi a \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu$
Xaлкíoos ảvтítтород,
ï̀a $\tau \epsilon$ סópata $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu о \nu \epsilon$ סąa

$\sigma \tau \epsilon \nu о \pi о$ о́роьбьข "̈ $\rho \mu о \iota s$.
$i \omega \hat{\gamma} \hat{a} \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega}$ Пє $\lambda a \sigma \gamma i ́ a$,
Muкпиаîaí т’ є̇ $\mu a i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \nu a \iota . ~$
ХО. калєîs тó $\lambda_{\iota} \sigma \mu a \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma є ́ \omega s, ~} \mathrm{~K} v$ -
1500
$\kappa \lambda \omega \pi i \omega \nu$ то́voע $\chi \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$;

EYPITIDOY
IФ. ${ }^{\prime} \theta \rho \in \Psi a s{ }^{`} E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \iota ~ \mu \epsilon$ фáos’ $\theta a-$ vov̂бa $\delta^{\prime}$ oùк àvaívouaı.
XO. $\kappa \lambda$ éos $\gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ouv $\sigma \epsilon \mu \eta े \lambda i ́ \pi \eta$.
IФ. i $\quad i \omega$.
$\lambda a \mu \pi a \delta o \hat{v} \chi o s$ à $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho a \Delta t-$
 aiఱ̂va каì $\mu$ oîpav oi$\kappa \eta \quad \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu . \chi a i ̂ \rho \epsilon ́ \mu o \iota$, фìov фáos. ic̀ i í.

XO. ${ }^{\imath} \delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu$ 'I $\lambda i o v$


$\beta a \lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ v a \nu, \chi \in \rho \nu i \beta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \pi a \gamma a ́ s$,




 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s \tau^{\prime}$ 'A $\chi a \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ Ө́̀ $\lambda \omega \nu$ 'I $\lambda i o v \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \mu о \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$
$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{a} \nu \Delta$ òs кópav $\kappa \lambda \grave{\emptyset} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ " $А \rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu, \theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ ä $\nu a \sigma \sigma a \nu$,

 $\chi a \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \circ \nu \epsilon i s ~ Ф \rho u \gamma \omega ิ \nu$



 Sòs ảرффi кápa $\theta$ ' є̣̀ข

АГГ. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~T} \nu \nu \delta a \rho \epsilon i ́ a ~ \pi a \hat{\imath}, \mathrm{~K} \lambda v \tau a \iota \mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \tau \rho a$, $\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$

 $\tau а \rho \beta \circ \hat{v} \sigma a \quad \tau \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\kappa} \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ фó $\beta \underset{\iota}{ }, \quad 1535$
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ тapov́ $\eta \eta$. АГГ. $\sigma \hat{\eta} \varsigma \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oủע $\pi a \iota \delta o ̀ s$ $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota$





 'A $\rho \tau \epsilon \epsilon \mu \iota \delta o s$ ä $\lambda \sigma o s ~ \lambda \epsilon i ́ \mu a \kappa \alpha ́ s ~ \tau ' ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o v s, ~$
 $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \pi a i ̂ \delta '$ ă ${ }^{\prime}$
 є่ $\pi \grave{\imath}$ бфаүàs $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi o v \sigma a \nu$ єis ä $\lambda \sigma o s$ ко́ $\eta \nu$, ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \in \sigma \tau \in ́ \nu a \zeta \epsilon, \kappa a ̉ \mu \pi a \lambda \iota \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho \in ́ \psi a s$ кá $\rho a$
 $\grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a$ $\tau \hat{\iota}$ тєкóvт८ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu$


 $\theta \hat{v} \sigma a \iota \delta i \delta \omega \mu$ ’ éкои̂ $\sigma a$ т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \beta \omega \mu o ̀ \nu ~ \theta є a ̂ s ~$ 1555

 סоро̀s тú $о \iota \tau \epsilon \pi а т \rho i ́ \delta a ~ \tau ’ \epsilon ’ \xi i к о \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ \gamma \eta \nu \nu . ~$







 $\kappa о \lambda \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ 'ै $\sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$, кра̂та́ т’ ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon \psi \epsilon \nu \kappa о ́ \rho \eta s$.
 $\lambda a \beta \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \nu 0 \hat{v} \nu$ єै $\theta \rho \epsilon \xi \in \chi^{\epsilon} \rho \nu \iota \beta a ́ s \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu о \hat{v}$,
 1570

 $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s ~ \tau ' ~ ' А \chi a \iota \omega ิ \nu a ̀ \theta \rho o ́ o s ~ ' A \gamma a \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu \tau$ т ä $\nu a \xi$, ä $\chi \rho a \nu \tau о \nu$ ai $\mu a \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \pi a \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ \delta є ́ \rho \eta s$, $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta o ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \lambda o v ̂ \nu ~ \nu \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \mu о \nu a$ I 575 Tpoías $\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma a \mu ' ~ \epsilon ’ \xi \in \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \mathfrak{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ \delta o \rho i ́ . ~$


$\lambda a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \tau^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi \epsilon \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta^{\prime}, ~ i ̀ \nu a \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ ä $\nu$.

 $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta} \varsigma \quad \sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \pi a ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \eta ै \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau о ~ \kappa \tau u ́ \pi \tau о \nu$,


 1585 фа́ $\sigma \mu$, ov $\gamma \epsilon \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v \pi i \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$.
 $i \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \delta^{\prime} \delta \iota a \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta{ }^{\prime} s \tau \epsilon \tau \eta ̀ \nu \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} a \nu$,




 $\tau а \cup ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̀ \nu \tau i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ิ s ~ \kappa o ́ \rho \eta s ~ a ̀ \sigma \pi a ́ \zeta є \tau a \iota$,


1595
 $\delta i ́ \delta \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ทi $\mu i ̂ \nu ~ ' I \lambda i o v ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \delta \rho o \mu a ́ s . ~$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \pi a ̂ ̧ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \theta a ́ \rho \sigma o s ~ a i ̂ \rho ~ \nu a u ß a ́ \tau \eta s, ~$ $\chi$ đ́рєє $\tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \nu a v ̂ \nu \cdot \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \omega ́ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\lambda \iota \pi o ́ v \tau a s ~ \tilde{\eta} \mu a ̂ s ~ A u ̉ \lambda i ́ \delta o s ~ к o i ̀ \lambda o v s ~ \mu v \chi o v ̀ s ~$ 1600




 1605

 $\dot{\eta} \pi a i ̂ s ~ \sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s$ бo८ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \dot{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \tau a \tau 0$. $\lambda u ́ \pi \eta \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ àфаípєє каì тóбєє тápes $\chi o ́ \lambda o \nu$. $\dot{a} \pi \rho о \sigma \delta$ о́кทта $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ßротoîs тà т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$,
 $\theta a \nu o v ̂ \sigma a \nu$ єîठє каi $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi т о v \sigma a \nu$ таîठa бท่ข.


 1615 $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon i \pi \omega$; $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ oủ $\phi \hat{\omega}$ $\pi a \rho a \mu \nu \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon \mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu \mu u ́ \theta o v s$, ढ̈s $\sigma o v \pi$ т́v $\theta$ ovs $\lambda v \gamma \rho o \hat{v} \pi a v \sigma a i ́ \mu a \nu ;$
XO. каі̀ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ' $А \gamma a \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu a \xi$ $\sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \epsilon \iota$,


Є' $\chi \epsilon \iota$ रà $\rho$ ő $\nu \tau \omega \varsigma$ є่ $\nu$ $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ o ́ \mu \iota \lambda i a \nu$.

 ópâ.


XO. $\chi a i \rho \omega \nu, ~ ' А \tau \rho \epsilon i ́ \delta \eta, \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ iкои̂ $\Phi \rho v \gamma i a \nu$, $\chi^{a i} \rho \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi a ́ \nu \eta \kappa є$,
$\kappa a ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~ \sigma \kappa v ̂ \lambda ’ ~ a ̀ m o ̀ ~ T \rho o i ́ a s ~ e ̀ \lambda \omega ́ \nu . ~$

## NOTES.

(Gr. Gr. stands for Goodwin's Greek Grammar to which reference is made by the pages.)

The Prologue ll. 1-163. Contrary to the usual custom of Euripides the play opens with an anapaestic dialogue instead of with a speech by one of the characters descriptive of the situation of affairs at the moment when the dramatic action begins. In the present case this explanation is postponed until the speech delivered by Agamemnon 1. 49 ff .

There is however no reason for suspecting the genuineness of the text. Aeschylus has an anapaestic opening both in the Supplices and Persae, and that Euripides himself did not invariably begin his plays with a prologue in iambics is plain from the Andromeda (Eur. frag. 114), the first lines of which are :-

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { AN } \triangle \mathrm{POME} \Delta \mathrm{~A} & \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \xi \text { i } \epsilon \rho \alpha ́, \\
& \text { } \dot{\omega} \text { s } \mu \alpha \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ i \pi \pi \epsilon v \mu a ~ \delta \iota \dot{\omega} \kappa \epsilon \iota s ~ \kappa \tau \lambda . ~
\end{array}
$$

Here too, it will be noticed, as in the Iphigeneia, the opening anapaestic verses introduce a night scene. To modern taste the dialogue which stands foremost in this play is stronger in effect than a piece of continuous narrative-a form of introduction in which Euripides according to an ancient criticism was apt to become tiresome ( $̇ \nu \nu$ toís $\pi \rho 0 \lambda$ óroıs ó ó $\lambda \eta \rho o o^{\prime}$ ). The colloquy of the king and his old servant beneath the silent stars of the night stirs the imagination, and awakens from the outset both interest in the situation, and sympathy with the crossings of motives passions and events, in which the actors are soon to find themselves involved.

Euripides has shown in this introductory dialogue much the same power of employing the influence of the hour and the scene to draw the minds of his audience into the mood of tragedy, which Shakspeare has
so strikingly displayed at the beginning of Hamlet. In both poets every detail tells : in both the result is achieved by right selection, which discards all that is superfluous, and leaves what is retained clear simple and necessary.
11. 1-48. Agamemnon, restless from anxiety, talks with the old servant in front of his tent at Aulis. This dialogue is followed (49114) by the prologue in the stricter sense, in which Agamemnon reviews the situation, confides his own painful position to the old servant, and entrusts him with a letter to Klytaemnestra at Argos contradicting a former message from Agamemnon to the effect that she was to send to Aulis her daughter Iphigeneia. Then succeeds a dialogue in spondaic anapaests ( $\mathrm{I}_{5}-163$ ) in which Agamemnon acquaints the old man with the contents of this letter, and bids him use all speed in conveying it to Klytaemnestra.
I. Só $\mu \omega \nu$ i.e. the general's tent; so $\notin \nu$ סó $\mu$ ocs Hek. 995 (of Polymestor's tent). Cf. infr. 863. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ here helps the sense, having with $\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ the force of "this which serves as my house".
3. $\pi \in \dot{v} \sigma \in \mathrm{l}$ pronounced by Agamemnon in a tone of impatienceyou shall hear when you come within talking distance. Porson's conjecture $\sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta \epsilon$ is therefore not necessary.

4 f. $\mu a ́ \lambda \alpha$ тol $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'my age is full wakeful and alert upon mine eyes'. $\dot{j} \xi^{\prime}$ implies that his faculties are not dulled by sleep, and are
 $\delta i \delta o$ 's 'lively attention'), or alert to the king's behests. The usage in English of 'keen' is very similar.
6. $\pi \circ \rho \theta \mu \in \cup ́ \in L$ intransit. Cf. I. T. 1445.

7 ff . "Sirius still high in heaven speeding his course near the Pleiades as they fare on their seven paths". Scaliger noticed an astronomical error in the placing of Sirius near the Pleiades, and several editors have followed Bremius in assigning these lines to Agamemnon, (thus making his speech extend ll. 6-II), taking $\sigma \in i \rho \operatorname{los}$ as an adjective with $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta \prime \rho$, 'what star with blazing light \&c.' But we need not press the meaning of the phrases $\epsilon \gamma \gamma \dot{\prime} s \tau$. П $\lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime} \delta$. and $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \dot{\eta} p \eta s$ too strictly. Ennius paraphrases the lines as follows:-

Ag. quid nócti' uidetur in áltisono caelí clipeo? Senex superát temo stellás cogens etiam átque etiam sublíme [noctis] iter...

$$
\text { Ennius Iphigenia i (p. } 94 \text { ed. Müller) }
$$

and Varro＇s comment on Ennius（ling．lat．vii 73 p． 146 Spengel $^{2}$ ）hic multam noctem ostendere volt a temonis motu may very well be applied to the present passage；that is to say，the poet merely intends by the mention of these well－known constellations to suggest the idea of a late hour in the night．émramópou cf．Aesch．frag． $30+\mathrm{N} \epsilon \hat{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{os}$ év $\theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau$ áppoos｜raîav кu入iv $\delta \epsilon l$＇flowing in seven channels＇．

10f．$\sigma$ byai ${ }^{2} v$ ．an effective poetic plural：cf．Lucret．iv 460 sejera
 Bacch．So ávà $\theta \dot{v} \rho \sigma o \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ．This is more common when only a particle（usủally $\delta \hat{6}$ ，cf．Aesch．P．V．I 33 quoted infra ISS）intervenes
 Hippol． 342 єैк $\tau \circ \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \pi \eta \gamma \mu \alpha \iota$ ．

12．$\sigma \dot{\text { v emphatic，as always；＂why are you astir（when all else is at }}$ rest）？＂dï $\sigma \sigma$ ets the trisyllabic form is Ionic．It occurs in tragedy，in lyrics，and（rarely）in trimeters，cf．Hek．3I úmèp $\mu \eta \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi i \lambda \eta s ~ \mid ~ ' E \kappa \alpha ́ \beta \eta s$ $\alpha \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ．Cf．the form $\alpha \in i \delta \omega$（for Attic $\not \subset \delta \omega$ ）Eur．Antiope frag．ISS тоんâ̂T＇ $\mathfrak{\alpha} \epsilon \iota \delta \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．

15．фu入aкаi＝фú入aкєs（＂the watch＂），the abstract for the concrete；
 of фpoupal，бкотаl．

18．á $\gamma \vee \omega \dot{s}$ ák $\lambda \epsilon \eta \eta^{\prime}$ ．Cf．Ovid trist．iii 425 crede mihi bene qui latuit bene vixit $\mathbb{\&} c$ ．Barnes．

20．кai $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$＇and yet＇；so freq．e．g．Troad． $7_{2}$ кaì $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ eैт $\pi \epsilon \rho \sigma a ́ \nu \gamma$＇
 as in the phrases iva какои̂，ovं $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ infr．$I_{5} S_{3}$ ，\＆c．

21．$\delta \in ́ \quad \gamma \epsilon$＇yes，but．．．＇These particles（in juxtaposition，or some－ times with a word or words standing between them）are employed in correcting or extending a previous statement（cf．Porson Or．1236）： hence they not unfrequently introduce a retort，Herc．fur． $1249 \sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$


22 ff．MSS．$\tau \grave{o ̀} \phi \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \iota \mu o \nu-\lambda v \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ．Markland omitted $\tau o ́$, （which was perhaps inserted in ignorance of the quantity of $\phi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{o}^{\tau} \tau \mu 0 \nu$ ，a possibility attested by 1.15 I q．v．）．I have written $\lambda u ́ \pi \eta$ for $\lambda v \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ，retaining Klotz＇s punctuation．＂But this Honour is perilous in its longing for glory；sweet indeed，but nigh unto sorrow everywhere＂．Honour（ $\tau$ ò $\left.\kappa a \lambda o^{\prime} \nu\right)$ is apt to be a danger owing to the noble ardour for fame which attends upon it（ $\kappa a i \not \phi \iota \lambda o ́ \tau \iota \mu o \nu)$ ．The line $\gamma \lambda u \kappa \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．is explanatory of these two aspects of $\tau \dot{\prime} \kappa a \lambda o ́ v,(\mathrm{I})$ the joy of pursuing fame，（2）the risk which that pursuit involves，（ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda a \quad \pi \alpha ́ v \tau a \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta}$

Plat. republ. 497 D). In the burlesque allusion to this passage by the comic poet Machon, (Athenaeus bk. vi pp. 243, 4), the same correction, $\lambda \dot{\prime} \pi \tilde{\eta}$ for $\lambda u \pi \epsilon \hat{\ell}$, should, I think, be made. Chaerephon, who is marketing, objects to a certain very bony joint. The butcher ( $\mu$ d $\gamma \epsilon \mathrm{c} \rho o s$ )
 $\nu^{\circ} \nu \delta \dot{\delta} \lambda \dot{v} \pi \eta \pi a \nu \tau a \chi \hat{\eta}$ i.e. "(the proverb 'the nearer the bone the sweeter the meat' may be true), but in this case the sweet is everywhere close to the sour". With the expression $\lambda \dot{\prime} \pi \eta \eta \pi \rho o \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu$. cf. Soph. O. C. 1216 入úras (gen.) Ér $\gamma v \tau \in \notin \rho \omega$.

24 ff . $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ 'the service of the gods'; cf. I. T. $467^{\circ} \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \theta \in o \hat{u}$. divétpeqte, סtékv. gnomic aorists (Gr. Gr. ${ }^{252}$ ) as Solon xii 18 ä $\nu \epsilon \mu_{0}$ $\nu \epsilon \phi \in \lambda a ̀ s a i \psi a \quad \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \delta a \sigma \epsilon \nu$, and often in tragedy. $\delta \iota a \kappa \nu a i \epsilon \nu \nu$ is a strong word, 'to shatter'; cf. Aesch. P.V. 93 where the bound Prometheus speaks of himself as aiкiaıбьข $\delta \iota a \kappa \nu a \iota o ́ \mu \in \nu о$ s.

28 f . The genitive àpıттéws depends upon $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$, not upon ä $\gamma a \mu a \iota$. 'I admire not this in one who is a chief'. The same construction is common with $\theta a v \mu a ́ s j$. émi $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ 'to the enjoyment of \&c.', $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ expressing the terns or conditions; cf. (with Monk) Hippol. 459 X $\rho \hat{\eta} \nu$
 be compared in particular Soph. Trach. 126-140, where the same thought is expressed with great beauty of language.

32 f . The emphatic $\sigma \dot{v}$ opposed to $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ helps the contrast between divine and human purpose. For rd $\beta$ oud. cf. infr. 386,1270 , and Orest. 2 IO $\tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda\langle a \nu \pi a \rho \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$ ('by his excessive languor'). The neut. article with a participle is often used as the equivalent of an abstract substantive by Sophokles and Thukydides. It is found less cominonly
 with the expression here Thukyd. i go тò $\beta$ ou入 $\delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu . . . \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{\eta}$.
34. $\lambda$. $\phi$. $\dot{\mu} \mu \pi \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma a s$ 'having kindled'. This is better than to suppose that $\phi \dot{d} o s \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi$. means 'increase the flame' as Bothe, Klotz take it. To 'unfold the light' is a poetical equivalent for making it visible; as in Hippol. 6or (compared by Weil) $\dot{\eta} \lambda i o v a v a \pi \tau u \chi a i$, the unfoldings of the sun, mean "the sun's unclouded orb".
35. $\delta$ © $\lambda \tau 0 \nu$ for the accus., ( $\gamma \rho$ d́ $\phi \epsilon \iota$ 'mark', 'scratch'), cf. I. T. 584 f.



39. Schiller renders by "die Lampe", but $\pi \epsilon u ́ \kappa \eta \nu$ here is the
 writing by a covering of wax ; cf. Herod. vii 239 ( $\dot{o} \Delta \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\rho} \eta \tau 0 s) \delta \in \lambda \tau i o \nu$ $\delta i \pi \pi v \chi \circ \nu \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \partial े \nu \kappa \eta \rho \partial े \nu$ aủrov̂ $\epsilon \xi \in \kappa \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon$, (i.e. "scraped out its wax", which was kept in place by a raised border), $\kappa a i \notin \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau a \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \hat{\varphi} \xi \dot{\xi} \lambda \lambda \psi \tau o \hat{u}$

 ovpos) of these tablets were often joined together so as to open and shut like a book, with the prepared surfaces inside, vide $1.98 \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \delta \in \lambda$ tou
 The writing was done upon the wax with a sharp-pointed instrument called $\gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon i ́ o \nu$ (cf. also Plato Protag. 326 D ímo $\gamma \rho \dot{\alpha} \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s} \gamma \rho a \mu \mu a ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$ rpa $\alpha \hat{i} \delta \iota$ ) like the Roman stilus. $\pi \epsilon \in \delta \varphi$ ' on the ground'; cf. Orest. 1433
 is a locatival dative denoting the place of action, more common in epic

40. kafd̀- $\chi^{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ v. on l. 11. The phrase is Homeric, cf. Od. iv

 (Gr. Gr. 295) adding a further explanation. Sometimes in this constr. the art. precedes the infinitive, e.g. Soph. O. T. $1232 \lambda \epsilon \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \nu \nu$
 the ó see Gr. Gr. 309.

46 ff . то́тє the time ("at her marriage") to which tóte refers is gathered from the context, as in Med. r $40 \mathrm{I} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi a ́ j \epsilon \epsilon, \tau_{o} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$ S.
 claim when the promises are fulfilled). $\pi$ 廹 $\pi \epsilon t$ the present tense (historic or descriptive present) in relating past events is common. It is
 $\delta i \delta \omega \mathrm{~s}$; with adverbs referring to past time, cf. Herakl. 967 oûs ăprı кaivels: or even in combination with a past tense, cf. Hek. 266 кél $\eta \gamma$ дà $\rho$
 is intended to describe, not a past event, but a continued character or state, as Ion $1560 \eta{ }^{\prime \prime} \delta \epsilon \tau i \kappa \tau \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ 'this is your mother'.
$\phi \in \rho \vee \eta$ 'v i.e. as a part (v. infr. 869) of the bride's portion. So $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \sigma \nu \tau i \delta a \quad \phi \epsilon \rho \nu \eta$ 'д 'a dowry of handmaids', Aesch. Suppl. 967. In the heroic age it was the bridegroom who brought gifts to the father of the bride; but see Med. 232 where Medea says, in language appropriate to the later custom of the father giving his daughter a dowry on marriage, $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi \rho \eta \mu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu \dot{i} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} \mid \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu \pi \rho \dot{l} \alpha \sigma \theta a u$.
 $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \nu$ 'loyal and brave'.

49 f. Leda is called the daughter of Thestius also in Hel. I33. Ovid Heroid. viii 75 (quoted by Klotz) agrees with Eur. in making Phoebe a daughter of Leda; the usual accounts mention only Helen and Klytaemnestra. Lucilius 15 .
${ }_{51}$. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \lambda \beta$. 'counted the foremost in fortune of the land of

 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} \alpha$.
 death from each one to the rest should he not win the maid"-i.e. each threatened that, if he did not win her, he would kill his successful rival. छुviiotaro is here 'took shape', 'arose'; and the phrase is equivalent to a verb of threatening, to which ëкабтós $\tau \iota s$, the antecedent to öбтוs gathered from the sense of the clause, forms the nominative, $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon$
 ${ }_{\delta} \sigma \tau \iota s$ is often left to be supplied when it can be easily inferred from the

 finitives joined by $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ are explanatory of $\tau \grave{o} \pi \rho \hat{\rho} \gamma \mu a \dot{\alpha} \pi$. $\varepsilon i \chi \epsilon$. In translating, English requires the disjunctive particles 'whether...or';
 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ Éâбail $\tau \epsilon$. infr. 969 .

57 ff. єi $\boldsymbol{\eta} \hat{\lambda} \lambda \theta \in \nu$ 'came into his mind', as infr. 1374. Cf. Aesch. P. V. 1002 єio $\epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \in \tau \omega \sigma \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \pi 0 \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$. Herc. fur. 302. $\delta \epsilon \xi$ 名迫 the cus-
 $\hat{\eta} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \pi \iota \theta \mu \nu$ 'the hand-plights wherein we trusted'. Cf. Soph. Trach. II81. $\delta \imath$ ' द̇ $\mu \pi \dot{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ 'with burnt-sacrifice'; cf. Bacch. 441 סi' alōoûs 'with respect'. Soph. Ant. $394 \delta i{ }^{2}$ ' $\partial \rho \kappa \omega \nu$.
63. Tòv éxovta the husband, as $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \varphi$ infr. 715 .
66. $\pi \omega$ s lends an ironical force to $\epsilon \hat{\nu}$, 'a fine trick in its way'. For the combination cf. Hel. 712 єvే $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \mathrm{s} \kappa \tau \lambda$. The same ironical colour
 $\pi \iota \theta a \nu \grave{\partial} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$.
69. öтоv $\pi$ voal ф'́polev $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'whose breathings of love should guide her with fond constraining'. ö oov genit. depending on 'Aфроס. $\pi \nu \nu a i$, for which phrase cf. Aesch. Ag. 1206, where Kassandra says of her

away', is used in Aesch. Cho. 1023 of strong emotion overpowering the
 seems by its position intended to qualify the idiomatic sense of $\phi$ ' $\rho \in \iota \nu$ : see Androm. 479. [Several conjectures have been proposed for örou. Weil adopts Lenting's öтoו: Monk Boissonade's ö' $\varphi$, translating "to whomsoever the fond gales of love might carry her".]

73 f . For $\mu \notin \nu \nu$ answered by $\tau \hat{\epsilon}$ cf. Soph. Phil. 1426 Пápı $\mu \notin \nu . .$.
 florid taste of the orientals in personal decoration is often alluded to by Greek and Roman poets; cf. with this passage Hor. Carm. iii 325 iam nec Lacaenae splendet adulterae | famosus hospes.
76. '̇к $\delta$. $\lambda a \beta \omega \nu \mathrm{M} \epsilon \nu$. 'when he found Men. from home'. Menelaus had gone to Crete for the purpose of offering sacrifice to Zeus.
78. őpkovs Tvvס. 'the oath of Tyndareus', i.e. exacted by him. For this use of the attrib. genit. cf. Orest. 618 $8 \nu є i \rho a r^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda o v \sigma a \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \mu \epsilon ́ \mu-$ $\nu o \nu o s$, 'sent by the shade of Agamemnon'. (Distinguish öpкоs $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ 'an oath by the gods', object. genit.) Thukydides (i 9 ) is sceptical, from the point of view of a historian, about the story of the ö $\rho \kappa о s T \nu \nu \delta \alpha \rho \epsilon \omega$. In his opinion the expedition against Troy was organized by Agamemmon and commanded by him in virtue of his ascendancy in Greece at the time ( $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau$ ró $\tau \epsilon \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho o u ̋ \chi \omega \nu$ ).
80. Quoted by Aristotle rhet. iii 1I, p. I4II ${ }^{\text {b }}$ 29, except that the best MSS. give $\pi 0 \sigma i \nu$ for $\delta o \rho i$. This is of course no ground for disturbing the reading here, since Aristotle, in common with other ancient writers, is often not verbally exact in his citations. The fact that the line was known to Ar. furnishes a strong argument in favour of this speech of Agamemnon, the genuineness of which has been questioned by some critics.
84. $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \alpha$ is F. W. Schmidt's correction of MSS. $\kappa \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha$-which may have arisen from a gloss $\kappa a \tau \alpha ́$ on the phrase $\mathrm{M}_{\epsilon \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega} \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu$. Cf. Soph. O. T. $904 \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v}, \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$. [Several other readings $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ $\epsilon i \tau \alpha \& c$. have also been suggested.]

88 ff. [See Introd. p. ix.] Aủ入i $\delta a \operatorname{supr} . ~ 14$ Av̂入ıv: for similar double forms in the accus. Barnes cites $\Theta \epsilon \mu i \delta a, ~ Ө \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \nu$ \&c. aiv $\hat{i} \lambda \epsilon \nu$ 'announced the divine will', said both of the god himself, as Thukyd. i $25 \dot{\text { ó }} \boldsymbol{\delta \varepsilon}$ (sc. $\dot{\delta}$ $\theta \epsilon \partial \dot{s})$ aủroîs à $\nu \epsilon i ̂ \lambda \epsilon \pi a \rho a \delta o u ̂ \nu a \iota$, or, as here, of his $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta s$. Observe that the force of the verb varies somewhat with the following infinitives; with $\theta \hat{\nu} \sigma a \iota$ the sense of 'bidding', with é $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \epsilon i \nu a \iota$ that of 'predicting'
is most prominent ; cf. I. T. $85 \sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ eitaas è̀ $\lambda \in \hat{i} \nu . . . \kappa \alpha a i ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a ~ \delta \rho a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau ' ~$

 eival. (Compare the use of the present in the direct utterance of a
 For the combination ë $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l-\epsilon โ v a \iota$ see infr. 358. aimhola xp. cf. infr. $546 \gamma a \lambda a \nu \epsilon$ '́a $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \epsilon \nu 0$ ( 'having (experiencing) a season of quiet'.
 taken with ày. in the sense of 'having obtained an oracular reply', as in the disputed passage Aesch. Pers. $829 \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \in \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0$. "Kalchas the seer announced a word revealed to us from heaven in our distress". On the other hand $\dot{d} \pi . \kappa \epsilon \chi \rho$. might be taken as a poetical equivalent of
 $\kappa \in \chi \rho \eta \mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ ous. Herod. vii $\mathbf{I} 34$ \&c. The fact of $\dot{d} \pi \lambda . \chi \rho$. having been just used is scarcely an objection to this view, as the Greeks do not go out of their way to avoid such recurrences, but the former interpretation appears on the whole more natural.
 ing a place where honours and rites were paid to them; thus the Eumenides, signifying their contentment with the cult offered to them




 $\pi a \rho a \kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \in \nu \sigma \mu^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \kappa \circ \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ where, as here, $\delta \dot{\eta}$ adds emphasis ; "then it was that..." סé̀ trov $\pi \tau$. v. on supr. 39. ©́s $\gamma \alpha \mu \circ \nu \mu \hat{v} \eta \eta v$ : (Gr. Gr. 301) "in the belief that she is to marry..." (cf. infr. $3^{62}$ ).
102. oüveк(a) 'that', òтı. So ò ioúveкa, Soph. O. T. 1271, \&c.
 є $v \nu \eta$ í infr. 1355 . Sophokles has $\nu \nu \mu \phi \epsilon i a$ (sc. iєpá) meaning 'afffanced bride' Ant. 568.

ro8. aîbls 'afterwards', )( $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$. $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \iota \nu$ not here pleonastic with $\alpha \hat{v} \theta i s$, but in the sense of reversal with $\mu \epsilon \tau . \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s$. Cf. Soph. Phil. $1270 \mu \epsilon \tau a \gamma \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.

II2 f. So in I. T. 760 Iphigeneia tells Pylades the contents of the
 $\pi \tau v \chi a i s$ | $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi \phi \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \sigma$ бol-in order that if it were lost through perils of
the sea, he might still give the message by word of mouth. In modern plays, when it is necessary for the audience to be aware of the purport of a letter, dramatists are often content with the rather clumsy device of making the actor read aloud the words as he writes.
118. oúvтova usually 'intense', 'vehement', here= "in harmony with" ( $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \phi \omega \nu \alpha$ ). These lines were transposed by Reiske.
115. $\pi \hat{\mu} \mu \pi \omega$ (sc. $\delta \epsilon \in \lambda \tau o u s$ ) constructed as in supr. 98 , infr. 360. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho . ~ \delta . ~ ' i n ~ a d d i t i o n ~ t o ~ m y ~ f o r m e r ~ m i s s i v e ~ ' . ~ . ~$
120. $\pi \tau \epsilon \in \rho v \gamma^{\prime}$ Eủßolas $\kappa \tau \lambda$. Grammatically Aû入ıv $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda$. may be ( 1 ) in apposition to $\pi \tau \epsilon \in \rho$. Evं $\beta$., or, (2) as Hermann takes it, in the accus. governed by $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ (cf. Herc. fur. $109 \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \theta \rho \alpha \quad \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta \nu$, infr. 75 Iff f.) defining the place, Aú入is, which was less accurately described by a neighbouring district, $\pi \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho v \gamma^{\prime}$ Eủßoias-which phrase Herm. interprets as "prominens angulus Euboeae". It seems on the whole better to construe as ( I ); the poet possibly chose the expression $\pi \tau$. E $\dot{v} \beta$. because the nearness of Euboea, owing to the narrowness of the Euripus (40 yards) at this point, suggested the conception of the bay of Aulis as a projection from Euboea which stretches its length so close alongside, received into and nearly encircled by the coast-line of the opposite continent; "an embosomed wing".
121. $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \dot{\kappa} \sigma \tau \alpha v$ because Aulis was defended by its position from the rapid and changeable currents of the Euripus (cf. I. T. $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i \delta i \nu \alpha \iota s$ äs
 writers often allude to the turbulence of the waters in this strait which rendered navigation dangerous, cf. Plato Phaedo 90 c á $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega ิ s ~ \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$

 properly the song which was sung by the procession that attended the bride and bridegroom to their home (cf. infra 1036), but denotes sometimes the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \iota o \nu$, as Pind. Pyth. iii $\mathrm{I}_{7} \mathrm{ff}$. Here it is used generally for the marriage festivities, "wedding ", as also in infr. 430. For the metrical form of the line cf. Hek. $97 \pi \epsilon \mu \psi a \tau \epsilon$, $\delta a i \mu о \nu \epsilon s, ~ i \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$. The dactyl preceding an anapaest, causing a sequence of 4 short syllables, is in ordinary or legitimate anapaests generally avoided, though
 àé $\chi o v$. (There however the metre passes into spondaic anapaests 1. 122 \&c.)

124 f . kai $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ introduces an objection, as the English "And how...?" cf. Phoen. 1347 каl $\pi \omega ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon ้ \nu o \iota \tau ’ ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu \delta \epsilon ~ \delta v \sigma \pi о \tau \mu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \mu a ; ~ s o ~$
 when it follows the interrogative see infr. $3^{27}$, n. $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \alpha$ фvō̂v cf.

127. тó $\delta \epsilon$ кal $\delta \epsilon \iota v o ́ v$ 'this is a danger indeed'. A reference to Agamemnon's words 97 -107 makes it evident that the old man's question here is not to the point, because Achilles knows nothing of the plot. On the other hand there is nothing incongruous in the fact that the old man, whose readiness of apprehension is something impaired by years, should fail to grasp at once the whole situation. He does not realize that the marriage-engagement, which formed the pretext for bringing Iphigeneia to Aulis, has never been broached to Achilles, although it is to marry him that she is now on her way from Argos. But in order to appreciate his action later in the play it is well that the audience should bear in mind that Achilles himself is entirely guiltless of all this intrigue; accordingly, the poet by the old man's question avails himself of an artistic device for re-stating a fact on which he wishes to lay especial stress. [In Racine's Iphigénie a question of a similar form to that of the old man at this point is put by Arcas:-"Verra-t-il (Achille) à ses yeux son amante immolée?" There is however a difference in the situation. Achilles was already in love with Iphigeneia, but at the time when Ag., yielding to Odysseus' appeal to his ambition, consented to the sacrifice, he was absent from the camp with his father Peleus, "d'un ennemi voisin redoutant les efforts". He found himself able to return sooner than had been anticipated, and therefore his opposition, as Arcas reminds the king, is a fresh difficulty that will certainly have to be encountered.]
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon \nu} \beta a p \beta \alpha$ pois (of the phantom-Helen). For the antithesis between övoua

132. $\lambda$ ék rpois added after the verb, when the expression is already complete to the ear, as is often the case in Greek. It defines in a more concrete way the previous phrase $\nu \nu \mu \phi$. єis à $\gamma \kappa$. civás. Cf. infr. 543
 riage ', cf. infr. 729, 736.
 Agamemnon, who by promise of thy daughter to the goddess' son as his bride didst purpose to bring ( $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon s$ ) her to be offered for the Danaans'. The "promise" to which фari $\sigma a s$ alludes was not of course made to Achilles in person, but summarises the contents of Agamemnon's letter
to Klytaemnestra. She would naturally conclude that it had been so made. The words, if they stood alone, would certainly be ambiguous, but are clear enough after what Agamemnon has said 128-131. Cf. infr. 936 where Achilles speaks of Iphigeneia as $\epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \phi a \tau \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha$. It is not necessary to write $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}$ ér $\quad \dot{\lambda} \mu a \mathrm{as}$ with Markland. The old man, who is Klytaemnestra's slave and devoted to her interests throughout, is greatly shocked by the project disclosed to him. The fact that Agamemnon has abandoned that project makes it possible for him to speak his mind more freely than would otherwise be proper from a slave to his master, and he intends his words not merely as a criticism of the discarded plan, but also as a warning against the element of recklessness in Agamemnon's character betrayed by the confession he has just made. Hence he designedly uses the present $\tau 0 \lambda \mu$ âs.

136 f . The words $\sigma \phi$ áyov $\Delta$ avaois bring before Agamemnon's mind all the difficulties of his position. He feels his resolution fail him. If Iphigeneia should arrive, how can he baulk the army of the victim, whose death will secure their success? He will be sure to yield to their pressure, cost him what it may ( $\pi i \pi \tau \omega \delta \delta^{\prime}$ eis ä $\left.\alpha a \nu\right)$. There is yet a chance that his daughter may be stayed from coming, if the old man will but hasten with the letter. E' $\mathcal{\xi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a v$ aor. referring to a moment just
 919 छvv $\hat{\kappa} \kappa a$ 'I understand'. This tense is very common with verbs
 In these cases the aorist is used because the access of feeling expressed by the verb has already taken place before the speaker can describe in words the change in his mental attitude.
 by the tragedians in the general sense of "putting in quick motion". Thus Sophokles can say toias $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \lambda$ d́s 'such the threats they

 employed by Eur. e.g. Orest. 607 (shortening sail); Med. 524 (running before a gale) ; Herc. fur. 837 (shaking out reefs); ib. 478 (anchoring).

14 I f. 民そou kp $\mathfrak{\eta}$ vas. The simple accus. after such words as $\theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon i \nu$,

 with $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, or accus. with $\epsilon i$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$. ${ }^{2} \xi 00 . . . \theta \in \lambda x \theta \hat{\eta}$ s. for the change of mood cf. infr. 998.


Hippol. 724 є $\cup \phi \eta \mu$ os i $\sigma \theta$. . The old man feels hurt by a suggestion that he would so much fail in his duty as to loiter unduly by the way.
144. Tópov $\sigma \chi$ бот̀̀ ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon$ ' $\beta \omega \nu$ 'as you pass a spot where ways

146. тарацєєчацє́vๆ. In metaph. sense Soph. O. T. 501 бофía $\delta^{\prime}$
 Phoen. irgo $\dot{\alpha} p \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ d'xous. bxois is a dat. of accompaniment (Gr. Gr. 235), cf. Androm. roro кuavéass ǐmтоıs $\delta \iota \phi \rho \in \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$.

 $\chi^{\alpha \lambda}$ icouvs $\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{2}$, where toús was clearly inserted to mend the metre by some one with views of his own as to the scansion of xa入cpoús. $\kappa \lambda \lambda_{n} \theta \rho \omega \nu$ refers to the women's apartments in the palace at Argos; see
 Schn.) $\dot{\alpha} \pi a i s{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \dot{\alpha} \kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau 0 s$ 'the girl in her maiden bower'. Kvк $\lambda \omega$ $\pi \omega \nu$ Өv $\mu$ '̀ $\lambda a s$ i.e. built by the Cyclopes; cf. infr. $534 \tau \epsilon \ell \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ Kvк $\lambda \omega$ -
 Mykenae is called K $v \kappa \lambda \omega \pi i(a \pi \delta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota$. It was the belief of antiquity that the massive architecture of these cities, Mykenae, Tiryns \&c. was the work of the Cyclopes, cf. infr. $1500 \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i$ is $\pi b \lambda \iota \sigma \mu a \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \omega \rho, \mathrm{~K} v \kappa \lambda \omega \pi i \omega \nu$ आóvov $\chi \in \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$; As to the appearance of the different styles in the Cyclopean architecture, see Schliemann Mycenae pp. 29, 30, and the illustration which follows (p. $3^{2}$ ) of the imposing Gate of the Lions at Mykenae. A general idea of the various styles \&c. can also be formed from the wood-cuts in Guhl and Koner p. 59 f. Oupétas not here probably 'altars', but 'homes', (as غ̇ $\sigma \tau i(a)$, cf. [Eur.] Rhesus 235


 belief by falsehoods'. Compare also the use of ämıoros, 'discredited',

${ }^{157}$ f. тóסє фŵs ' yonder light'; i.e. the breaking dawn, cf. El. 102
 cognate accus. to $\lambda_{\epsilon v \kappa a l \nu \epsilon!\text {; to " whiten a light" meaning to "cause a }}$ white light to appear"; (cf. infr. 298). Klotz less well makes $\phi$ ŵs an accus. after $\lambda \alpha \alpha^{\mu} \pi \pi_{0} \sigma \sigma$ in transit. sense. Greverus proposed to place a
 chariot and horses of the Sun are familiar images; see, on the growth of the idea, Cox Mythology of the Aryan nations p. 425 f. Cf. with this



164-302. Parodos. The old man having set out with his letter, the chorus, consisting of women from Chalkis in Euboea (cf. 168 $\overline{\mathrm{X}} a \lambda \kappa i \delta \alpha \pi \dot{\sigma} \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \pi \rho \circ \lambda \iota \pi o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha)$ enter, and explain the reason of their appearance in the Grecian camp. Curiosity to see the host under Agamemnon and Menelaus, report of which has gone out far and wide, brings them from their retirement to feast their eyes on the imposing military and naval array at Aulis. They name some of the chiefs whom they have seen there, and then proceed in the second part of the Parodos to tell the number of the ships brought by different leaders. Their statements agree generally with the account given in the Catalogue, Iliad ii, but exhibit some variation in the details. [In the Iphigenia of Ennius the chorus is composed, not of women, but of Greek soldiers, a fragment of whose words, in which they express their disgust at long continued inaction, is quoted on infr. 8r5. In this deviation from Euripides it is not unlikely that Ennius took as his model a soldier-chorus in the Iphigeneia of Sophokles.]
"I came to the sandy shores of Aulis by the sea, I sped my bark through the pouring waters of Euripus, and left behind me Chalkis on the narrow strait, my city, nurse of the ocean-neighbouring streams of Arethusa's famous fountain'.
r70. 'ApeӨov́бas the most famous Arethusa was in Sicily, but there were several other fountains so named, cf. Eustath. p. 1746, 58


172. 'AXaî̀v $\tau \epsilon$ with a word so repeated $\delta \epsilon$, not $\tau \epsilon$, is usually
 accordingly edits $\delta \epsilon$ in this passage. The chorus however in their expression are coupling together two things, both of which they wish to see, the fleet and army of the Achaeans. $\pi \lambda a a^{\tau} \alpha \alpha_{s}$ vavoımóp. see infr. 236 , n.
 калє́ovtaı $\dot{\eta} \mu i \theta \epsilon o \iota$. So Jason's crew are called $\dot{\eta} \mu i \theta \in o \iota ~ b y ~ P i n d a r, ~$


 size of the fleet given in round numbers, cf. Aesch. Ag. $45 \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o \nu$ 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$ $\chi$ 〔 $\lambda \iota o \nu a u ́ r a \nu$, and infr. 354. Thukyd. i io 4 speaks of a fleet of 1200
 exactly, reckoned according to the Catalogue (II. ii), was 1186.
178. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \boldsymbol{\tau}$. ' $E \lambda \hat{\epsilon} v a v$ ' in quest of Helen '; for this meaning of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \ell$ cf.
 quest of the golden fleece'. $\tau$ áv cf. infr. 757.
180. $\delta$ ßoukódos. Paris after his birth had been exposed on Mt Ida, owing to a dream of his mother Hecuba that she had brought forth a firebrand. The shepherd by whom the infant had been exposed, happening to return to the spot some days afterwards, and finding it still alive, took it to his home and reared it in his own family. Cf. Tennyson, Oenone "Paris, to thee king-born, | a shepherd all thy life, but yet king-born " \&c. Cf. also infr. 1285 ff.

## 182. Cf. infr. 1294 f.

185. The term ä $\lambda$ oos is used of places consecrated by the presence of a divinity (cf. supr. 9I, n.) without implying necessarily that they were grown with trees.
186. ठ́po $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v \alpha$ ' in haste', aor. partic. ópvvp. In lyric passages we have also the form ${ }^{0} p \mu \in \nu 0 s$, Soph. O. T. 177. Cf. Aesch. Ag. 429 देvo opuévols.

187 f. фoıví $\sigma o v \sigma a \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'my cheek with blushes dyed'. English does not permit a literal rendering ('reddening') of this and many similar expressions in which the Greeks speak of the effects of emotion as due to the action of the person in whom they appear; cf. infr. 1434 סaкр́óos $\tau \epsilon \gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ кópas. The same idiom is seen in such
 ing in public exposed to the gaze of the soldiers in the Grecian camp (v. infr. 1341). So in Aesch. P. V. i32 ff. the shyness of the Ocean nymphs is overcome by their curiosity to learn the meaning of the unwonted sounds that have reached their ears, $\kappa \tau \dot{u} \pi o v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \chi \dot{\omega}$

 217.
189. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi$ ( $\delta$ os ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho v \mu a$ a $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'the strong place of the shield-bearing Danaans and tents of the armed host '. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i s$, equivalent here to $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota \sigma$ $\tau a l$ (cf. Phoen. $78 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \theta \rho o i \sigma \alpha s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \delta{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\left.\rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu\right)$, is the sign of the men-at-arms (cf. Aesch. Ag. $825 \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota \delta o \sigma \tau \rho \delta \phi o s ~ \lambda \epsilon \omega \bar{s})$ as opposed to the sailors, and distinguishes the épvua of the army from the fortified line of ships (v. ${ }^{771}, 2$ ). It was usual when the ships were hauled up on shore to dispose them in a line capable of defence in case of attack, cf.

Thukyd. viii $55 \pi \rho o \sigma \beta a \lambda \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\tau \grave{s} s \nu a \hat{s}$ द́ $\rho u ́ \mu a \tau \iota$. Paley understands $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi$. ${ }^{\prime} \rho \nu \mu \alpha$ as $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i \sigma \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \phi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon ้ \nu 0 \nu$.
192. $\sigma v \boldsymbol{v e ́}^{\delta} \delta \rho \omega$ 'sitting in council together'; cf. Soph. Aias 749 є̇к

194. tâs $\Sigma$. $\sigma$ тéфavov 'the son of Telamon, a crown of glory to
 289. For this metaphorical use of $\sigma \tau \notin \phi \alpha \nu 0 s$ cf. Meleager Anth. Pal. v

 glory'.

196 ff . $\dot{\eta} \delta o \mu \epsilon \in \mathcal{v}$ ovs is in agreement with both $\Pi \rho \omega \tau \epsilon \sigma$. and $\Pi \alpha$ $\lambda a \mu \eta \delta .$, though placed between them. This is an instance of the $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ 'Aлкцаขскbे, so called because Alkman used it, we are told, with a rather wearisome frequency ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa о \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ); see Valcknaer on Lesbonax p. 79. The construction is found also in Homer, (as Od. x $5^{\text {I }}$ 垙 $\nu \theta a$
 in the fragments of Alkman, K $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \rho \tau \epsilon \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \delta \delta \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon s, i \pi \pi \dot{\prime} \tau \alpha \iota$
 Nauplius and Klymene, is not mentioned by Homer. He appears first in the Kúmpıa, a poem of the Epic cycle attributed to Stasinus, where he is the author of the stratagem by which is detected the feigned
 is said to have invented the game of $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o i$, which seems to have been played on somewhat similar principles to our draughts, cf. Soph. Palamedes frag. 380 đ́ $\phi \eta \hat{v} \rho \epsilon \ldots \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma o u ̀ s ं \kappa u ́ \beta o u s ~ \tau \epsilon, \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \grave{\partial} \nu$ áprías äкоs. A number of other inventions are also ascribed to him by different writers, and we find his name used almost as a proverb for ingenuity of
 бoфóv $\tau 0 v$. $\mu \circ \rho \phi$ aíбı $\pi 0 \lambda v \pi \lambda$ ók. the 'mazy figures' formed by the varying arrangement of the draught-men as the game proceeded. In Od. i 107 the suitors of Penelope are discovered killing time in the
 form of the game no definite account can be given; for what is known of the later varieties see Becker Charikles p. 252 ff .



1122. See Gr. Gr. 359.
203. v $\eta \sigma \alpha i \omega \nu$ ó ${ }^{\prime} \in ́ \omega \nu$ refers of course to Ithaka; c. Il. iii 200

 $\theta \nu \alpha \tau \omega \nu$ ．

205．кá $\lambda \lambda_{\text {ıotov＇}}$ Ax．So Nireus＂the goodliest man of all the Danaans＂has a word of notice Il．ii 673 （Nipeús，òs кá $\lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o s ~ d ̀ \nu \grave{\eta} \rho$
 was of small account as a warrior，and his following scanty，nor does Homer find occasion to mention him again．See Mr Gladstone＇s remarks on the passage，Studies on Homer iii 406．We are not surprised therefore to find that the ladies of Chalkis do not pass over Nireus quite unregarded．These last words $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$＇$A \chi \alpha \iota \omega \bar{\omega}$ form a beautiful harmony with the closing line of the strophe；on the one side the deathless goddess Aphrodite in her triumphant loveliness，on the other the mortal Greek in his manly beauty the fairest of the Achaean host．

206．i $\sigma$ d́v $\epsilon \mu \nu v$ cf．the Homeric description of fleetness，ä $\mu a \pi \nu o \hat{\eta}$ 今 à $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu о г о$（Od．v 46）．


 meaning．

211．крокá入aıs＇shingle＇；cf．Eustath．p．855， 51 tàs alyıa入itióas




214 ．$\pi \rho$ òs ä $\rho \mu a$ i．e．racing against a chariot．
217 ff．Eumelus，grandson of Pheres，and son of Admetus and Alkestis（Iliad ii $\gamma_{14}$ ）is mentioned Il．ii $\gamma_{63}$ ．In that place his mares

 Il．xvii $430 \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau i \gamma \iota \quad \theta 0 \hat{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \alpha i \epsilon \tau 0 \quad \theta \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ ．The dative of the agent is not often found with a present tense of the passive，though common with the perfect（Gr．Gr．234，3）．Cf．Soph．Aias $539 \pi \rho \rho o \sigma \pi o ́ \lambda o o s$ фи入á $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$ ．

222 ff．$\beta$ a入ıoús cf．［Eur．］Rhesus 356．$\beta a \lambda c a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \omega$＇̀locs＇with
 were harnessed abreast，of which the two in the middle were under the yoke（jurious 1．221）．The two outside horses drew only by the trace （ $\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho a ́)$ and were hence called $\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho a i o c ~ i ̌ \pi \pi o c$ ，$\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho a \phi o ́ p o l(\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho o \phi o ́ \rho o l)$.

Each time during the race that the turning-post ( $\kappa \alpha \mu \pi \tau \eta \rho$ ) had to be rounded for the backward journey ( $\delta \iota a u ́ \lambda o v ~ \theta a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu ~ к \hat{\omega} \lambda o \nu$ Aesch. Ag. 344), the charioteer, -whose object was to make as close a turn as possible, both to save distance, and to avoid losing the inside place-, would rein in his near $\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho a \phi b \rho o s ~ i \pi \pi o s$, and bring round his off horse on a curve over against the turning of the $\delta \rho o{ }^{\mu} \mu$ os ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta \rho \rho \iota s$ ка $\mu \pi \alpha \hat{i} \sigma \iota$ $\delta \rho o ́ \mu \omega \nu)$. That is to say, the turn at the $\kappa a \mu \pi \tau \eta \rho$ being regarded as forming a small curve at the end of the course, the larger curve which is described by the off horse lies outside it at an equal distance at all

 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa \epsilon[\mu \in \nu \circ \nu$, where the charioteer is described as making this close turn round the distance-post ( $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta \eta \sigma \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ ) during the race.

229 f . "Keeping alongside the chariot-rail by the wheels of the car". ävrug is the rail running round the top part of the body of the chariot. kal oúpıyүas here кal is explanatory, introducing a more exact definition of Achilles' position. The $\sigma \hat{v} \rho \iota \gamma \xi$ was the hole in the nave $\left(\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta\right)$ of the wheel to receive the axle ( $\left.{ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \nu\right)$, which was then secured in its place by the linch-pins ( $\epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda a \tau \alpha$ ), (cf. Hippol. 1234). In Parmenides 18 (Mullach) the $a^{\mu} \xi \omega \nu$ and $\sigma \hat{v} \rho \iota \gamma \xi$ have a different meaning, denoting respectively the pin and pipe of a hinge, $\pi 0 \lambda \nu$ -
 same relative position.

231-302. The chorus now pass on to the ships and their leaders. To these are devoted two strophes and antistrophes followed by an epode, which form the second part of the Parodos. Hermann, who arranges ll. $277-302$ as a third strophe and antistrophe ( $277-288=$ 289-302), supposes the text to have suffered severe mutilation, and gives in his edition a conjectural restoration of this strophe and antistrophe, supplying the lacunae by aid of Il. ii 748 ff .

232 f. á $\theta$ é $\sigma$ фatov 'marvellous'; only here in Tragedy. Buttmann lexil. 66 p. 359, following Hesychius, explains the word as an excessive
 this derivation is scarcely convincing, and does not seem natural in the Homeric application of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \phi$. to such words as $\delta \mu \beta \rho o s$, oivos \&c.
 $i \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha l, \dot{\alpha} \theta \in \sigma \phi a \tau o \nu$ (of the monster Typhoeus).
$\gamma \nu v a l k \in t o v$ is in agreement with $\delta \psi \iota \nu-\delta \mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, which form a single

H. I.
234. MsS. $\mu$ eid $\lambda \nu$ ov $\dot{\alpha} \delta o \nu a \dot{\nu}$ ' 'pleasure, honey-sweet'; the expression is strange in itselt, while $\mu$ eidevov both in form and meaning is open to suspicion. I have printed Bothe's $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \nu o \nu$, which suits the antistrophic verse, although it does not remove the other difficulties.
adoováv is an accus. in apposition to the idea contained in the
 $\sigma \tau 0 ́ \chi o \nu \quad \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \nu \nu$, cf. infr. 83 r f.

236 f. $\pi \lambda$ áras genit. 'of the fleet'; the part for the whole. $\pi \lambda{ }^{2} \tau \eta$ (lit. the blade of an oar) can be used for ( r ) the oar itself (cf. к $\omega \boldsymbol{\pi} \eta \eta$ );
 of ships, as here; (3) the voyaging of the ship, cf. Eur. frag. 229
 Mvp $\mu \delta \omega^{2} \nu$ "Ap ${ }^{\prime}$ s i.e. the force of Myrmidons from Phthia; cf. Androm.
 the Homeric account II. ii 684 f. where, speaking of the Thessalian


239. Xpuréaus $\delta^{\prime}$ ' єк. 'with their presentments in gold'. elkó dat. of accompaniment, cf. Soph. El. 704 f. This dative is usually found with a verb implying motion, cf. supr. 146. кat' äkpa at the extremities ; i.e. at the sterns, where an image of the tutelary deity of the vessel was often placed. Cf. infr. 275 .

242 ff. i $\sigma$ ท' $\rho \in \tau \mu$ ol $\nu$. 'a like number of oared ships'. This appears to be the meaning of ionंp. (not "ships similarly oared", i.e. "similar ships"), though the number in I1. ii 568 of the Argive contingent is 80
 47, n.
248. Here again the account in Il. ii is somewhat different, since there (1. 552) M $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \dot{\prime} s$ son of $\Pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \dot{s}$ is the leader of fifty Athenian ships. $\varepsilon \xi$ ฑ̂s next, that is, to the vessels of Sthenelus.

250 f. $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \tau 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ä $\rho \mu$. $\mu \omega v$ v́xoıs i.e. 'set in a winged car drawn by steeds with uncloven hoof'. áp $\rho a$ includes both the chariot and the horses, and can therefore have the two epithets $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho$. and $\mu \omega \nu \nu^{\prime} x$. applied to it ; cf. also Eur. Phaethon кроívas $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon \cup \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \tau \epsilon \rho о ф о ́ \rho \omega \nu$
 latest edition do not notice this form). For the winged chariot assigned

 terminations; possibly it is here neuter owing to the influence of eüg.
$\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \alpha$. It may however have been originally a gloss, which has ousted some other word from the text.
252. єv̈ $\sigma \mu$ óv $\tau \in \phi \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha$ in apposition to $\Pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \delta a$, cf. infr. $345, \mathrm{n}$.
254. $\pi \epsilon v \tau$ jiкоvтa. So in Il. ii there are 50 ships, each manned with 120 кои̂poc Boı $\omega \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$ (1. 500 f.).

258 f . $\alpha \mu \phi l \nu \alpha \hat{\nu} \nu$ кópv$\mu \beta a$. The ornamented part of the stern that rose in a curve above the helmsman's seat was known as the $a ̈ \phi \lambda a \sigma \tau o \nu$ or кó $\nu \mu \beta$ os: cf. Hom. Il. ix $24 \mathrm{I} \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \iota \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \nu \eta \hat{\omega} \nu$ áтокó $\psi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ăкра ко́ $\rho \cup \mu \beta a$, and supr. 239. ó $\gamma \eta \gamma \in \vee \eta$ 's This title was assumed by the Thebans in virtue of their claim to be descended from the offspring of the dragon's teeth sown by Kadmus at Thebes ( $\epsilon \nu \theta^{\prime} \dot{o} \gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \mid \sigma \pi a \rho \tau \omega \hat{\omega}$ $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \chi u s$ єै $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \tau \lambda$. Herc. fur. 3).
261. "And there were vessels from the land of Phokis, and there too the son of Oileus with ships of Lokris equal to them in number". 1. 261 begins as though $\nu \hat{\alpha} \epsilon s \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \& c$. were to follow in the next line, but the construction is slightly changed as the sentence proceeds. roí $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ 'them' for 'their ships' by a brachylogy common in Greek


265. Kvk $\lambda \omega \pi$ las cf. supr. 1 52, n.
 Hennig observes, it is improbable that Menelaus would have been introduced in so curt a fashion, with no mention of his name or allusion to the number of his ships. He concludes that we have here the work of an interpolator, who, in compiling from Iliad ii, found Adrastus mentioned (572) near Agamemnon (576), and took him for one of the Greek leaders in the war against Troy. It appears at least equally probable that the word is due to a copyist who corrected something he did not understand from his own imperfect recollection of Homer. As the matter stands, it seems on the whole best to retain the mss. reading. We should no doubt expect a priori to find Menelaus mentioned by the chorus, but so brief an allusion as would be given by admitting $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ós into the text would be even more surprising than complete omission. [Mr Palmer Hermath. xiv p. 297 ingeniously suggests är $\rho \in \sigma \tau o s:$ comparing infr. 32 I q.v.] tayós 'chieftain'; only here in Euripides.
272. $\pi \rho \hat{\beta} \xi \iota v . . . \lambda \alpha \beta_{o \iota}$ 'take righteous vengeance on the one who forsook her home'; $\pi \rho \hat{\rho} \xi \iota \iota, \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ are often used of recovering a debt. For the metaphorical use here cf. Aesch. Eum. $624 \tau \delta \nu \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ \phi o ́ \nu o \nu ~$ $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi a \nu \tau a, ~ ' v e n g e a n c e ~ f o r ~ h i s ~ f a t h e r ' s ~ m u r d e r ' . ~$
275. The Alpheus, represented under the image of a bull, was the sign at the stern of the vessel ( $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu \nu a s$ genit.). The bull is often the
 K $\eta \phi i \sigma o v$ тarpós. Cf. also Verg. Georg. iv 371 (of the Eridanus) et gemina auratus taurino cornua voltu.
277. Aiviáv $\omega \nu$ cf. Soph. El. 706.

280 ff . In Homer Il. ii 620 Thalpius, son of Eurytus, is named as
 a repeated action is implied, cf. Herakl. 86 д̀ $\nu \quad \mu a \tau i \sigma \epsilon, \gamma^{\prime} \rho \rho \nu, \mid \mathrm{Mv} \mathrm{\kappa} \mathrm{\eta}-$



283 ff . $\lambda_{\epsilon v \kappa \eta} \rho \epsilon \tau \mu \mathrm{ov} \delta^{\prime \prime}$ "Ap $\kappa \tau \lambda$. i.e. '(Eurytus) was leader too of the force of Taphians, of whom Meges was king'. "Ap as in supr. 237. These people inhabited the Echinades, the largest of which was called Taphos (Od. i 417 ). The meaning here is that they joined the contingent from Elis, and the combined force was under the command of the Elean leader. It is singular that we find in Homer the islands themselves described as lying off Elis (though in fact they are off





 to the left wing of those near whom he was stationed, making the junction with his vessels posted at the end of the line, a fleet of twelve handy ships'. So Weil. The two extremities of the line of ships were occupied by Achilles on the right wing, (cf. supr. 235); and Aias on the

 next but one to the end, with whom he kept in touch ( $\sigma v \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \omega \nu$ ), but his left was without support. For this reason the extremities of the line were the posts of danger and honour. evjorpoф. answering the helm readily, and therefore easily handled in manœuvres.

296 ff . "Wherewith if one engage his foreign crafts he shall not win a safe return". тробар $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ in hostile sense, like $\pi \rho о \sigma \mu l \gamma \nu \nu \mu$ :
 given to a species of boat used in Egypt; cf. Herod. ii 96, where the construction of these craft, and the mode of working them on the Nile
is described. In Aesch. Suppl. 882 the epithet $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi i \sigma \tau \rho o \phi o s$ (in the
 II6I oú $\delta$ ' a $\boldsymbol{\pi r o i} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota \beta i o \nu \mid \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \tau \sigma \xi \psi \mu \eta \tau \rho \ell$, 'shall not return alive to his mother'.

301, 2. "But some things I heard at home about the gathered host, and keep them in mind ". к $\mathrm{v}_{\text {vovora though present in form has }}$ the force of a perfect, "know by hearsay"; cf. Troad. 682 र $\rho a \phi \hat{\eta} \delta$ '

 trouble '. $\sigma \dot{u} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau o s$ is a technical term for an extraordinary meeting of the $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$. The ordinary meetings ( $\kappa \cup \rho i a \iota, \nu 6 \mu \iota \mu o \iota)$ took place four times during the presidency ( $\pi \rho u \tau a \nu \epsilon i a)$ of each $\phi u \lambda \eta$. Hence the word here has the connotation, "gathered for a special purpose"; cf. Soph. Ant. $159 \sigma \dot{v} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0 \nu \lambda \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \nu$, with Prof. Jebb's note. In infr. $5^{1} 4$ the word $\sigma u ́ \lambda \lambda o \gamma o s$ expresses simply the fact that there was an "assemblage of armed Achaeans", and goes no further. In these two lines the chorus explain how it is that they are so well posted in their information about the army. Their ai $\sigma \chi \chi^{v} \nu a \nu \epsilon_{0} \theta a \lambda \eta$ 's would not have suffered them to acquire it all by detailed questioning in the camp.

303-542. First Epeisodion. Menelaus, who has been watching the road to Argos for any signs of the approach of Iphigeneia (cf. 328), has met and stopped Agamemnon's messenger with the second letter, and taken it from him. The old man attempts to make Menelaus restore the letter, and some sharp words are exchanged between the pair. Agamemnon enters ( 317 ) and an animated scene ensues.
304. $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta_{\epsilon}$ 'stand back!' The old man is trying to wrest the letter from Menelaus' hands. This is clear from what follows ( $\delta$. 309 ff .). $\delta \in \sigma \pi$ ótalб८ ' your master'; cf. 309, n.
305. "The reproach you bring is an honour to me"; cf. Bacch.

306. For the form of the threat cf. Aesch. Suppl. $925 \kappa \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} o s s a ̈ \nu, \epsilon i$廿aúocias.
307. $\eta \geqslant \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega}$ " $\phi \in \rho \circ \nu$ For the prodelision of the augment at the same place in the line cf. infr. 639 öбous $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ " "tєкоע.
308. "No, nor was it right for you to be carrying..." i.e. my action is in the present case justified by the circumstances.
309. äd入oıs i.e. Agamemnon,-the generalising plural, though a single person only is meant, as infr. 490 к $\tau \epsilon(\nu \in \tau \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu a$ (cf. 396,736 , 1104). For the euphemism (the old man not caring to put his meaning
too bluntly) cf. Androm. $577 \chi \alpha \lambda \hat{a} \nu \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \dot{a} \pi \rho i \nu \kappa \lambda a ́ \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \nu \nu \alpha ́ ~(i . e . ~$ $\sigma \epsilon$ ), cf. also infr. 659; El. 222 (ä $\lambda \lambda$ dous).
310. ov̉k ảv $\mu \in \theta \in \notin \mu \eta \nu$ 'I will not let go'. An object expressed would have stood in the genit. case, depending on the idea of separation. After the active ( $\mu \in \theta \in s 3^{1} 3$ ) the object would be in the accus. The optat. with ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \nu$ here expresses a settled determination, as in Alkest.


31I. тáxa кaӨaıцás $\omega$. The future with $\tau a ́ \chi a$ is an idiomatic formula in threats (as in $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \tau a ́ \chi \alpha$ like the colloquial English 'you'll soon see', see infr. 970, Phoen. ${ }^{254}$ ) cf. Androm. 263 ' $\xi a \nu a \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \omega$
 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ oi $\mu \omega \dot{\zeta} \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$. Aesch. Eum. 597 ä $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \in \rho \in i ̂ s ~ \tau a ́ \chi \alpha, i b . ~ 729 . ~ A r . ~$ Thesm. 853 ชै $\psi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\chi} \alpha$.
313. $\mu$ aкpoús has the force here of 'over long' (long, considering your position); in the same way o $\lambda$ íros may mean 'too few', cf. Thukyd. i 50 ò $\lambda$ l $\gamma \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu u ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$. Cf. also infr. $557 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$.
314. At this juncture Agamemnon appears in sight, and the old man appeals to him for help. With Agamemnon's first words (317) the metre changes to trochaic tetrameters catalectic, whose livelier movement suits his hurried entry ( $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\partial} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \rho_{0} \mu \circ v \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \tau \delta \nu$ 'A $\gamma \alpha$ $\mu \notin \mu \nu \nu \nu a$ schol. ad 317, Matth.). In Orest. 729 the hasty entrance of Pylades is marked by a similar change in the metre.
316. oúס́́v ' no whit'. Gr. Gr. 215. (Cf. $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a l \tau$, , to use in a certain way'; тó $\delta \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a$ Plat. Phil. 36 c \&c.) The adverbial ouv $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is freely used as a more emphatic form of the simple negative,
 $\dot{\eta} \pi \iota \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a$.
318. кupเш́тєpos $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 'has a better right to utterance'. Agamemnon's question was addressed to the old man, who had appealed to him, but Menelaus asserts his right to be heard first; cf. $320 \beta \lambda \epsilon \psi 0 \nu$ $\epsilon i s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$, where $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ is emphatic. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is an epexegetical infin.; cf. Orest. ${ }^{11} 53 \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha / s \gamma v \nu a \iota \xi i \nu \dot{\alpha} \xi i \alpha \sigma \tau v \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ ' worthy of detestation'. Soph. O. T. 1204.

32I. The point of the question (as Vater observed) lies in the play


 modern reader this etymologizing is apt at first sight to appear incongruous, and beneath the dignity of tragedy. But there is in it
nothing of the "ill-conceal'd delight of the punster". To Greek

 play on similar sounds, but a recognition that for the bearer of the name there had been set a sign and a warning, could he but have read it, from some mysterious source ( $\pi \rho o \nu o i a \iota \sigma \iota \tau o \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \notin \nu \nu v$ ), of his own relation to the things that were to be. For those who believed that language, "invented by a god or by one like unto them", was bound to thought by so close and mysterious a tie, the affinities of words had a significance that has disappeared from the later world.
324. $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon$ is frequently added after $\pi \rho_{i} \nu$ in negative sentences, cf. Soph. Trach. 415 oư, $\pi \rho \ell \nu \gamma^{\prime} a^{\alpha} \nu \epsilon i \not l \pi \eta s \kappa \tau \lambda$. (Cf. Aesch. P. V. 48 I , Theb. 1048, Ar. Frogs 78.)
325. $\hat{\eta}$ रáp in surprised enquiry, cf. Orest. 739. Aesch. P. V. 757. Soph. Ant. 44.
326. "Yes $(\gamma \epsilon)$ I opened it and know to your sorrow the mischief you wrought by stealth". The accus. какá is governed by oî $\delta$ supplied from oto $\theta a$ in the line preceding. For $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ in stichomuthia where the speaker is answering the thought implicit in a previous remark, cf.
 $\phi \in ́ \gamma \gamma o s ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda l o u ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon$. (i.e. yes, but for you I should not have been alive today). El. 273.
 cutch him?" When кal follows an interrogative, the speaker expresses no incredulity as to the fact expressed by the verb ; his enquiry relates

 the article (cf. Ar. Ach. $\sigma_{4} \hat{\omega} \kappa \beta \alpha \dot{\tau} \alpha \nu \alpha$ тồ $\sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau o s . ~ " C i t y ~ o f ~ E k b a-~$ tana! What a get-up!"), or without, cf. Or. 412 ǒ $\mu o c ~ \delta \omega \omega \gamma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Compare with the phrase here Troad. 624 aiaî, $\tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu o \nu, \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi a \gamma \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$.
330. "Because the whim provoked me"; кעi\}єเข usually of painful irritation, "to gall".
 house" means "manage my own affairs"; cf. (with Monk) Androm.
 $\Sigma \pi \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \nu$ кратєî̀ ; ধ́áбoual passive. This form of the future can have in tragedy either a middle or passive sense. Other exx. of the passive meaning are Orest. 440 oï $\sigma \tau a \iota$. Alkest. $322 \lambda \epsilon \xi \circ \mu a \iota . ~ H e l . ~ 142 \sigma$
$\delta_{\delta} \delta a \xi 6 \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. Herakl. $334 \mu \nu \eta \mu \nu \nu \epsilon$ ú $\sigma \epsilon \tau a l$. (Cf. also Pind. Ol. viii 45 «р $\rho \xi \tau \tau a c$.)
332. $\pi \lambda$ áyca фpoveîs 'crooked is thy dealing' \&c. The alliteration of the repeated article adds bitterness to the taunt, cf. Aesch. P. V. 941. Soph. O. T. 37 r. So with a similar effect, Orestes, sneering
 $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \nu$ (Eur. Orest. 742). $\nu \hat{v} v$ of the time just past, av̉ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \ell_{\kappa \alpha}$ of the near future; as "just now" and "presently". Cf. infr. 1105 avizix' ev่peध $\dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha u$. For $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ referring to the immediate past, cf. I. T. ${ }^{227}$, $\tau \dot{o}$ $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ப่тєîko $\nu$.
 (Tim. p. 154) gave $\epsilon \hat{v} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \dot{\delta} \mu \psi \epsilon \cup \sigma a u$. Hermann remarked on Mathiae's
 "Thou hast urged evil counsel with subtle skill; hateful is a clever tongue". To M.'s accusation of shifty conduct Agamemnon retorts that Menelaus had induced him by skilful sophistry (cf. $97 \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha$
 feelings to a desperate remedy. For the meaning of oopos here cf.
 oopòv $\delta^{\prime}$ ở $\sigma o \phi i a$. This distinction between "cleverness" and "wisdom" had in Euripides' time been very clearly exemplified by some of the later sophists, who, after the degenerate turn taken by the sophistic movement, devoted themselves to making a reputation by the cultivation of a style of discourse whose aim was merely controversial success. To non-plus an opponent by evading the issue or catching at words constituted the triumphs of the "eristic" rhetoric. Its novelty and superficial effectiveness attracted considerable attention, as we might infer from the frequent allusions in the writings of Euripides, whose interest in philosophy disposed him to view the eristics with little favour.
334. "True, but a mind inconstant..." $\nu 0$ ôs is emphatic, opposed to $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \alpha$ in the preceding line. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ for these particles in retort cf.
 fur. 55.
 $\kappa \alpha \tau a \tau \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega}$. "Seek not thou to turn from the truth, nor for my part will I insist too far". In Plato Timaeus 63 c кататє $\nu \dot{\partial \mu \epsilon \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ occurs with the meaning "offering resistance": cf. Eur. Hek. 132. There seems no instance to support $\sigma^{\prime}$, which Böckh deleted. $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ ov̈ $\tau \epsilon$
have each their proper force; for the combination cf. Soph. Ant. 686

337. äpXєLv $\Delta$ avatidaıs 'to be the leader of the Greeks against Ilion'. This passage has been cited as an instance of äp $\rho \in \iota \nu$ in the sense of "to rule" governing a dative, a construction found (though rarely) in tragedy, cf. Androm. $266{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \ddot{\alpha} \rho \xi 00 \sigma \iota$ : and twice in Homer (Ebeling). The addition of $\pi \rho$ os " $\mathrm{I} \lambda \iota o \nu$ clearly shows the usual meaning to be proper here.
338. If a distinction between $\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is intended here ( $\beta$ ou入ó $\mu \in \nu 0$ s with conscious purpose; $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu$ with no disinclination), we must suppose Men. to be speaking with caustic irony:-"as to appearances not desiring it, but as to intentions ready enough" i.e. as to really wishing it, well-you had no scruples to overcome. But more probably the poet in this place treats the words practically as synonyms. In fact $\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \nu$ often occurs in tragedy where only by a strained interpretation can it be distinguished from $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. The latter word Aeschylus seldom uses at all, while in Eur. it is particularly common. Yet in many places Euripides has $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$ where purpose is evidently implied, cf. infr. 770. Androm. 1095. See also note on 1. 340. For
 $\chi \circ \hat{v} \mu \in \nu$.
 the whole phrase would be $\tau \hat{\varphi} \beta o u \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (cf. Plato lawes viii 850 A $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi \in \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \beta 0 u \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega)$ ) with the expression here compare
 $\nu a v \tau \backslash \lambda \omega \nu$.

342,3. $\pi \rho l a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \iota \lambda . ~ к \tau \lambda . ~ ' t o ~ b i d ~ f o r ~ p o p u l a r i t y ~ a g a i n s t ~ a l l ~$ comers'. $\epsilon \kappa \mu \notin \sigma o v$ is explained by Brodaeus as equivalent to the Lat. in medio positum, of a prize for which all may contend. These lines give a lively and effective sketch of the policy and conduct of a candidate for popular favour. Nor have the methods of courting $\tau \dot{\partial} \phi \iota \lambda \dot{\tau} \tau \iota \rho \bar{\nu}$ greatly altered since Agamemnon's time. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda \omega ́ v$ with accus. in sense of 'taking in exchange', as Lat. muto; cf. infr. 363. The Greek fulness of expression inserts $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ ous, though it is already implied in the verb of exchanging, and therefore not necessary to the sense.
345. ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \in \lambda \eta_{n} \theta \rho \omega \nu \sigma \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \iota o s$ is explanatory of $\delta v \sigma \pi \rho b \sigma \iota \tau o s$, "difficult of access, because you kept at home and were rarely seen"; cf. the account given of Nikias by Plutarch $\delta v \sigma \pi \rho o ́ \sigma o \delta o s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu ~ к a i ~ \delta v \sigma \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon v \kappa \tau о s$

 effeminacy'; cf. supr. ${ }^{252}$.

347. $\beta^{\prime}$ ' acov cf. 334. This is Menelaus' first point in his case against Agamemnon. He complains that there is no depending on him.
349. "This is the first point in which I reprove you, the first in which I found you fail". iva, where; cf. infr. 459.

350 f. $\chi^{\omega}$ Пav. $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ s ~ s c . ~ \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$, cf. Troad. 863 jo $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \grave{\eta} \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
 Androm. $6_{41}$ $\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ oú $\delta \grave{v} \nu \epsilon$ c. infr. 968.

354 f. $\mu \eta$ … $\dot{\mu} \mu \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha s$ the participle with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is equivalent to a protasis (Gr. Gr. 271) $i \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon a s$, ' you were in distress at the thought of not occupying \&c.' $\quad x \backslash \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ cf. I. T. 10 $\chi<\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \nu \alpha \omega \nu$

356. $\tau i v a \pi$ тópov $\kappa \tau \lambda$. $\delta \epsilon$ is in both mSS. inserted by the second hand. Nauck conjectures $\tau i \nu^{\prime} \dot{a} \pi o \rho \omega \hat{\nu}(\dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \rho \omega \nu$ Weil) $\epsilon \ddot{\rho} \rho \omega \pi \delta \rho \rho o \nu$;

In Greek a double question is not uncommon; cf. Hel. 1270 fl $\delta \dot{\eta}$
 Thesm. Iroo. Anth. Pal. v 25.]
 see supr. 90 ff. Cf. also Aesch. Cho. 279-284.
359. фpévas accus. of specification or respect (Gr. Gr. 215); cf. Hom. Il. ix $559 \gamma \epsilon \gamma \eta \theta \in \delta \epsilon \tau \in \phi \rho \epsilon \nu a \pi o u \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$.

360 f. ${ }^{\text {d }} \sigma \mu \mathrm{evos}$ Menelaus in his vexation is unjust to Agamemnon,the manner of whose yielding is described by Aeschylus thus (Ag. 217)
 and we have had in this play Agamemnon's own account of the matter supr. 97 \&c. But it is quite in keeping with Agamemnon's character, as drawn by Euripides, that he should have given his consent to a course of action proposed to him as a way out of a difficulty without fully considering all that was implied in that action. (This is perhaps illustrated by what Klytaemn. says of Agamemnon's sacrifice of his
 So "you welcomed the means of deliverance", says Men., "shut your eyes to the price that bought it, and shuffle out of the payment now". oư $\beta$ ía is not added pleonastically to $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} v$, as in such phrases as $\pi \rho \partial{ }_{\mathbf{s}}$ $\chi$ ápll $\tau \epsilon$ кoủ $\beta i \neq$ Soph. frag. 26, and the like, but is an answer to an exclamation or gesture of dissent on the part of Agamemnon at the

362. $\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota v$ cf. Bacch. 224 (Gr. Gr. 215 . 2).
363. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \hat{\lambda} \eta \psi \mathrm{a}$, $\kappa \tau \lambda$. "you are caught sending a different message to the effect that, \&c." $\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$ supplementary partic. in agreement with the subject of the verb, cf. infr. $406 \delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon i s \gamma \epsilon \gamma \omega$ s.
364. $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \in ' j u s t ~ s o ' . ~[I t ~ i s ~ p o s s i b l e ~ t h a t ~ L . ~ D i n d o r f ' s ~ c o n j . ~$ $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon$ may be right, since the two words are frequently confused by copyists : see Porson Phoen. 878.]
365. "This very sky above us was witness to your conduct then". $\tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$, i.e. $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota s(360)-\gamma \alpha \mu 0 \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$. But the expression is awkward; $\tau a \dot{\delta} \delta$ often enough refers to what precedes, but not to anything so remote as in this case it must. It is not an objection to $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta}<v \sigma \epsilon \nu$ that the message was written, since a similar looseness of phrase is some-
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mid o ै \psi \epsilon \epsilon$.
367. Є̈Xovtєs sc. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$, cf. Thukyd. iii 72, r. Wecklein's conj. Є่ $\gamma \kappa о \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ for $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \pi о \nu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \iota$, is tempting, but there is perhaps hardly sufficient reason for altering the text.
 being of themselves unable to keep their city safe'. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta i \kappa \omega s$ because their misfortunes arise from their own feebleness, not from external troubles ( $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \mathrm{s} \pi \mathrm{o} \lambda$. á $\sigma \nu \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \tau o u$ ).
370. 'Eג ${ }^{\text {'EáSos causal genit. cf. infr. } 677 .}$

373. $\mu \eta \delta \not ̇ \nu \nu a ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho \epsilon i o u s ~ P C . ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ v{ }^{\prime}$ à $\nu \chi \rho \in ́ o u s \mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{2}$, which is obviously corrupt, nor has the reading yet been satisfactorily restored. I have adopted $\not \subset \rho a$ (Nauck) and $\gamma \in \nu$ ous (Monk) in default of anything better.
374. "Mind must the general have, since any man with shrewdness is governor of a state". To direct an army, according to Menelaus, requires greater ability than to manage political affairs.
376. кaбเช $\dot{\eta}$ тot $\sigma \iota$ is emphatic.
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \dot{u} \chi \eta$. With the form $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \mathrm{~s}$ in the comparative cf.


38i f. $\delta \in เ v$ d фvoâs cf. supr. 125. aipat. ö $\mu \mu \alpha$ 'face flushed with
 Kallim. hymn to Zeus $12 \kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu \circ$ Ei入 $\epsilon \iota \theta v i \eta s$.
 tences is employed more freely by Aeschylus and Sophokles than by Euripides. His use of it is moreover restricted to the simple forms $\hat{\omega} \nu$
$\hat{\eta} s$ ots（not ö $\sigma \omega \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \& \mathrm{c}$ ．），nor does he employ it in clauses where the verb is in the subj．or optat．（cf．Soph．Trach． 399 subj．）；see Förster quaest．de attract．p． 70 f ．It is doubtful whether there is any instance of this attraction in Homer．In the passage which Kühner cites for it
 єن̀puóra Zè̀s｜$\delta \hat{\omega} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．$\hat{\eta} s$ is better explained as an ablatival genit． expressing the source or origin．

386．т̀̀ $\lambda_{\epsilon} \lambda_{0}$ оү $\sigma \mu$ ．$\pi$ apefs＇casting discretion to the winds＇．Thomp－
 $\gamma \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \circ v$（＇on any principle of sound reason＇）there，nor $\lambda \epsilon \lambda$ ．here need be taken as passives．Cf．infr． 922 入є ${ }^{2}$ orı $\sigma \mu$ évol．

388．Monk＇s conj．$\mu \in \tau \varepsilon \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \eta \nu$ ย $\dot{\cup} \beta$ ou入ià（in support of which he
 but a very slight change，but the MSS．reading is possible Greek，and does not seem to call for alteration．

389．какòv $\lambda$ éx os＇a bad wife＇；cf．supr．гоз．

39 If ．какó申povєs＇misguided＇；so какผ̂s фро⿱亠䒑oùvєєs（＇mistaken＇） Med．250．Cf．Aesch．Theb． $874 \dot{\delta} \dot{\sigma} \phi \rho \rho 0 \boldsymbol{\prime}$ ．For the olengthened be－ fore $\phi \rho$ cf．Suppl． 744 （ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \delta \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ），and see on infr． 636 ．

The suitors＂swore the oath in their zeal to win the bride，but it was Hope－a god，I think－－that e＇en brought it to pass，rather than you or strength of yours＂．Ag．is protesting against the assumption of Menelaus that his interests are entitled to rank before everything－ even the reluctance of a parent to sacrifice his child．＂You push＂，he says，＂your claims too far．You may demand from the suitors the strict fulfilment of their bond（oûs $\lambda \alpha \beta \dot{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \epsilon \varepsilon \epsilon, 393$ ），but not my daughter＇s life＂．If we understand the passage thus，the objections which have been taken by critics to its logical coherence appear to be avoided．o $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mu}$ at $\mu \hat{\epsilon} v$ an answering clause with $\delta \epsilon$ ，＇but you may not agree＇or the like，is left to be understood．The effect is to lay a certain emphasis on the

 185 an sua cuique deus fit dira cupido？

395．$\pi a \nmid \varepsilon ́ v \tau a s ~ c f . ~ A e s c h . ~ A g . ~ i 198 ~(s i ~ v e r . ~ l e c t) ~ o. ̈ \rho к о s, ~ \pi \hat{\gamma} \gamma \mu a$ $\gamma \in \nu$ aliws $\pi a \gamma \epsilon \nu$ ．

396．кои̉ Lenting（Androm．307），mss．kal．＂And your fortunes shall not prosper in despite of justice by vengeance wrought by you
on a worthless wife, while me days and nights consume with tears, \&c." Retaining кai the same sense would be given if we suppose the negative force of the preceding oúk to be carried on, but the very slight change to кou makes the sentence much easier and more natural. tò бóv "your interest"; cf. Plat. Gorg. 455 C. Soph. El. 25 I тò $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu$


400 f. For $\dot{\rho}$ á $\delta \iota a$ Stadtmüller (Fleck. Jahrb. Bd. 133 p. 472) proposes каi $\rho \iota a$ : but the sense "easy to understand" seems defensible and appropriate. $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ kà $\hat{s}$ a common formula, cf. Or. 5 II. Aesch. Ag. 173. \&c. v. infr. 672.

404 f . кєкт $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \nu$. There is no evidence in Attic inscriptions of a pluperf. without the syllabic augment (see Meisterhans Grammat. d. att. Inschriften ${ }^{2}$ p. 135) ; cf. however $\kappa \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \tau 0$ Bacch. I 102, and $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ Soph. Ant. 4II with Prof. Jebb's note. In meaning $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau$. has the force of an imperfect, and the tense is here used, as often, to express what the speaker now recognizes to be the fact. In these cases ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} p \alpha$ is often added, cf. infr. 882, 944. M. "Ah me! I find, poor wretch, that I have no friends". A. "Yes, you have, when you do not seek your friends' (roùs фìoous) destruction".
406. $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega$ s cf. supr. 363, n. Orest. 802.
407. MSS. $\sigma u \nu \sigma \omega \phi \rho 0 \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma o<~ \beta o u ́ \lambda o \mu ' a ̉ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\sigma v \nu \nu 0 \sigma \epsilon i ̂$. text Plutarch de discr. adulat. et amic. p. 64 C. Cf. Soph. Ant. $5^{23}$ oüro九 $\sigma v \nu \in \chi \theta \epsilon i \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma u \mu \phi i \lambda \epsilon i \nu \quad$ धै $\phi u \nu$. It has been held that the form of the line in Plutarch is due merely to a confused reminiscence of this verse of the Antigone, but we find more than once distinct echoes of Sophoklean expressions in Euripides, cf. Herc. fur. 1or-104. El. 379. ovvvooteiv of "frenzy" as opposed to $\sigma \omega \phi \rho 0 \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ "sober sense" (cf. $\nu 0 \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} 411$ ).
409. For $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\epsilon}$ following $\mu \epsilon$ without special emphasis, cf. Soph.


## 4 II . For $\delta \epsilon \in$ in stating an objection cf. infr. 1458.

414. It is a general rule in tragedy that a line is not divided between a person already on the stage and a fresh arrival. In this case the hurried entry of the messenger with tidings of the near approach of Klytaemn. and her suite excuses his interruption of Menelaus while still speaking. Similarly Odysseus in Soph. Phil. 974 excitedly interrupts Neoptolemus. Cf. also Hel. 1514, where the messenger (though not with his first words) exhibits his impatience to tell his news by striking in before Theoklymenus has time to complete his line.

 of $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ( $\check{\omega} s \tau_{\iota}$ Herm. $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau^{`} \ddot{a} \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta s$ Hennig) and make the clause dependent upon і̀ $\mu \boldsymbol{\rho} \tau \epsilon \hat{i}$. It seems preferable to take $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ as merely prefacing a parenthetical remark, "therefore, may'st thou have joy when thou seest him"; nor is this unsuited to the somewhat stiff and involved style in which the messenger expresses himself.
415. $\delta \omega \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu$ ёк $\kappa \eta \mu$ os cf. infr. 805,982 (Gr. Gr. 228 N. 2). Cf.


420 ff . "But, as they were on a long journey, now beside a fountain's gracious stream they are easing their delicate feet, ladies and steeds alike". àa廿úxovar is a general term for the refreshment afforded to the travellers after a long drive by walking on the grass round a spring (cf. 422 ), and to the horses by standing in its waters. $\dot{\omega}=\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \ell$, in causal sense. $\mu a \kappa \rho d{ }^{2} \nu$ ' $\epsilon \tau$. the more usual meaning of the phrase is 'making a long speech' (Aesch. Ag. 1297, \&c.).
 à $\boldsymbol{\text { Pti }} \boldsymbol{\delta \omega \rho \eta \sigma a i a t o . ~ F o r ~ e x x . ~ f r o m ~ A e s c h . ~ a n d ~ S o p h . ~ s e e ~ R u t h e r f . ~ N e w ~}$ Phryn. p. 43 I.
429. द̀v $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota ~ k \lambda \epsilon \epsilon v o l$ should I think be taken together, "judged famous with one consent, and the observed of all observers". $\epsilon \nu \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$, 'in the opinion of all men', cf. Hipp. 988 oi $\grave{\text { en }}$ бoфoîs $\phi$ av̀रou. Eur. frag. 349. $\pi \epsilon \rho(\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o l$ like $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o s$ Hek. 355 ; cf. also Phoen. 551.
 divinities to whom offering was made before marriage (cf. 1114). On the audience who are in possession of the situation the tragic irony of the phrase would not be lost.
435. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi l$ тoเv( $\delta(\epsilon)$ id quod proximum est, cf. Ar. Plut. 56 ö $\sigma \tau l s \in \hat{\epsilon}$
 the sacrificial rites with the baskets" (cf. ämápXer $\begin{gathered}\text { al } \tau \rho i \chi a s) \text {, i.e. by }\end{gathered}$ taking from the basket ( $\tau \dot{\delta}$ кадоû̀ ò̉d̀s éxov Ar. Peace 948) the barleymeal, which was then sprinkled over the victim and altar.
436. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a v o v \sigma \sigma \theta$ the plural though following $\epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \rho \chi o v$ since the messenger is including both Ag. and Men. in his address (cf. infr. I $368 \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho$, , $i \sigma a \kappa o v i \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ ), while at $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \tau \rho \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \xi \epsilon$ he turns to Menelaus.
438. $\lambda \omega$ тós cf. infr. $1036,576$.
 its course". At this point the messenger retires leaving the brothers alone.
 in Aesch. Ag. ${ }^{217}$ quoted on supr. 360.
444. ข̇ $\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ cf. supr. 67. $\sigma 0 \phi \sigma \mu a ́ r \omega \nu$ cf. infr. 744.

447 ff . aủroîs sc. Toîs $\delta v \sigma \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma$. The vulgar can "weep, and tell their unhappiness; while to the man of noble birth come miseries none the less, but we" (the high-born) "have dignity to rule our lives and are in bondage to the mob". Writing $\tau a u$ úa for the usual $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ we have a clear and pointed sense, 'the $\gamma \in \nu \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ are as much the prey of ${ }_{a}{ }^{\circ} \nu \lambda \beta a$ as the low-born, but must hide their sorrow from the public gaze'. Noblesse oblige. Most edd. follow Musgrave in transposing
 refer to the following clause $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu-\delta o u \lambda \epsilon \mathcal{v}^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu$. In 1.450 both MSS. give $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu 0 \nu$, Plutarch Nikias v p. 526, 4 has oै $\gamma \kappa 0 \nu$, which is probably the true reading, since its likeness of sound to oo $\chi \lambda \omega$ makes the coupling of the two words strongly ironical. Cf. Ennius Iph. viii plebés hoc regi antéstat : in luctú licet | lacrumáre honeste plébi, regi nón licet.
455. $\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \omega$ PC. An easy correction would be $\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega}$, which some edd. adopt, but the change of mond is not uncommon, cf. supr. 442. Soph. Trach. $973 \tau i \pi \alpha ́ \theta \omega ; \tau i \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \sigma_{\sigma} \mu a \iota$;
456. '́ $\pi \mathrm{i}$ какоі̂s $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \theta$. 'coming in the midst of the troubles, \&c.' $\epsilon \pi l$ with dat. of the attendant circumstances, cf. infr. 54 I .
459. \&va (where) 'a matter wherein.'
461. "Aı $\delta \eta s . . . \nu v \mu \phi \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ Antigone in a similar case says of herself, 'AХє́ $\rho о \nu \tau \iota \nu \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \cup ́ \sigma \omega$ (Soph. Ant. 816, cf. ib. 654); and, since $\nu \nu \mu \phi \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ can be said also of the man, ducere uxorem (Ion 819 $\nu \cup \mu \phi \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s, \& c$.), it might here be used of Death as the bridegroom. Cf. Shaksp. Rom. and Jul. iv 5 death is my heir; | my daughter he hath wedded. But the meaning appears rather to be "attend hermarriage" (v.458); cf. Meleager
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. The idea which is thus conceived of the presence of the god of death also finds expression in ancient art. On a fragment of a relief which deals with the story of Medea, Hymen the god of marriage, who presides over the nuptials of Jason and Glauke, bears in his hand the symbols of Death, signifying the approaching fate of the bride. See Wecklein, Medea Einleit. p. 20.
462. iкєтєv̂бal. For the aor. infin. after oîmaı cf. Plato Protag.

466. ov̉ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau a ́$ is explained by è $\tau \iota \gamma$ á $\kappa \tau \lambda$., $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} s$ being pathetically added to imply that the child's manner when his sister was taken from him would be eloquent enough to his father; cf. infr. 1245 $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda i \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, with 1243 .
472. крáros 'victory'. So in the plur. к $\rho a ́ \tau \eta$ Soph. Ant. 485.
 Aesch. Ag. 1372.)
478. àvтафض̂ка sc. סáкрvov.
480. oủk $\epsilon$ is $\sigma$ ह̀ $\delta$. $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'not one to cause thee dread, nay, I will place myself in thy position now'.

482 f. àv. тov̉цóv 'prefer my interest to your own' (cf. supr. 396). $\tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{d}$ in the next line balancing $\sigma \dot{\epsilon}$ hardly differs from $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu^{\prime}$, cf. Androm.

486. єi-i $\boldsymbol{\mu \epsilon i \rho \rho \mu a l . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ i n d i c a t i v e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ p r o t a s i s ~ f o l l o w e d ~ b y ~}$ $d \nu$ with optat. in the apodosis, see Gr. Gr. 273 f .
 the remarkable passage in Soph. Ant. 904 ff. (cf. Herod. iii 1 19). See Patin Eurip. i p. 21 .
489. $\hat{\eta}$ is the older Attic form of the rst sing. imperf. of $\epsilon i \mu l: \hat{\eta} \nu$ is however required by the metre in several places in Euripides, as infr. 944, Hippol. roI2; cf. Rutherf. l. c. p. 242 f. In Aesch. and Soph. the verse always admits $\hat{\eta}$.
 $\chi \theta 0$ obs.
492. èvvoov $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v \varphi$ the dative as though $\epsilon i \sigma \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \hat{\epsilon} \mu o 九$ had preceded; cf.

498. "Whatever is thy concern in the oracles about thy daughter, let them not concern me; I make over to thee my part therein". тoù $\mu \partial \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \rho \rho s=m y$ claims. Men. says that any rights which he has as the person on whose behalf the expedition started he will resign, and Ag. may consider only himself and his own interest in deciding what to do. $\theta$ '́r $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ara кóp $\eta$ s object. genit. cf. infr. 842. Med. 54 I .
500. I have followed Weil in placing a question mark after $\lambda 6 \gamma \omega \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha=$ at enim, cf. Hippol. 966, 7.
502. $\tau \rho$ о́тol 'ways'; with a suggestion of $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ in the sense "turns".
503. $\beta e \lambda \tau i \sigma \tau o$ oss seems best taken as neut. "adopt the best course as occasion arises" ( $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i)$.

504 f. Compare with these two lines Ion 735-7.
 'suggest', a sense commoner in the middle. In the following words, $\tau а \rho a \chi \grave{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \ldots \pi \iota \kappa \rho \alpha^{\nu} \mathrm{Ag}$.'s thoughts carry him back to the stormy passions by which ere now in the history of his family brothers had been
estranged. At 5 II he reverts abruptly to the crisis of the moment. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in ́ \pi \tau v \sigma \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'I loathe this nature of kinsman's bond with its bitterness to both', i.e. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o \iota \nu \pi \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu$ oṽ $\sigma \alpha \nu$.
 is used elliptically, introducing a sudden turn of thought.
515. vtv i.e. Iphigeneia.
516. rov̂̃o 'in that point' (Gr. Gr. 214 N. 2). Ėкєivo refers, as often (cf. $5^{22}, 1133$ ), to what follows.
517. то̀ $\pi 0$ îov; For the art. cf. Aesch. P. V. 249. Soph. O. C. 893.

519 ff . $\theta$ ávn It is not surprising that Men., who in order to further his own plans could require the life of his own niece, should speak lightly of making away with the seer. Ag. (520) does not reply directly to this proposal, but relieves his feelings by a bitter reflexion on the person who stands in the way of his schemes. So Oedipus (Soph. O. T. 387 ) in his irritation at the words of Teiresias flings at him an insinuation of corrupt motives; and Kreon in a like situation
 while agreeing $(\gamma \epsilon)$ with Ag.'s strictures, by the addition of $\pi a \rho o ́ v$ presents again his suggestion that matters would be simplified if Kalchas were put out of the way. "Yes, and good for nought and profitless-when with us".
523. ôv $\mu \dot{\eta}$ a conditional relative with indefinite antecedent. For the optat. in the apodosis after the indic. ( $\phi \rho \alpha{ }_{c}(\epsilon \epsilon s)$ in the protasis, cf. supr. 486.
$5^{24}$. . Lıov́фєtov Odysseus' mother, Antikleia, had been married to Sisyphus before she was taken to wife by Laertes; cf. Soph. Phil. 417 o $\dot{\cup} \mu \pi \delta \bar{\lambda} \eta \tau$ оs $\Sigma \iota \sigma \dot{\prime} \phi o v \Lambda a \epsilon \rho \pi i \varphi$, 'the son of S. foisted upon Laertes'.
526. motkì $\operatorname{los}$ 'subtle in device'; so Hesiod (fr. xxxii 4) calls
 plebis, a prose expression. It is a peculiarity of Euripides' style (especially evident in this play and the Bacchae) to use the prosaic $\mu \epsilon \tau$ á, c. genit., instead of the poetic $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$, with much greater frequency than the other tragedians. (Tycho Mommsen.) The two prepositions are used as synonyms by Soph. in the parodos of the Antigone ( 1 I 5 f.) in consecutive lines. In Aesch. the preference for $\sigma v v^{\nu}$ is very decided.
 agree with you. For this use of $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ see on supr. $39^{2}$.
 H. I.
marking more clearly the antithesis $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \nu)(\psi \in \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\delta} \mu a \mathrm{~L}$. Observe in this line the exception to Porson's canon. The same occurs in two other passages of similar rhythm, Hek. 724 oúdè $\psi$ qưóuev. Androm. $346 \alpha^{i} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \psi \in \dot{\psi} \sigma \epsilon \tau a l . \quad$ (Cf. also Ion r.)

53 r . ôs $\xi v v$. $\sigma \tau p a r o{ }^{2} v$ 'and he carrying away all judgment of the army, \&c.' Cf. Kallim. ep. 47 ( $=$ inc. v Schn.) $\epsilon i \pi a$, каi $\dot{\alpha} \mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \ell^{\prime} \mu \epsilon$


534 f . It is a question whether we should understand $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}$ with छvдaptáaovol. I think it on the whole best to take both it and катабк. with $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, which in tragedy often $=\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$ (cf. Valckn. Phoen. 6 and Kuster's note there cited):-"will destroy and raze to earth the city, Cyclopean walls and all"; cf. Med. 164 aủvoîs $\mu \in \lambda a ́ \theta \rho \rho o s s ~ \delta \iota a k \nu a \iota o \mu e ́ v o u s . ~$
537. $\dot{\eta} \pi \dot{\delta} \rho \eta \mu a \mathrm{a}$ an unusual use of the perf. pass. of $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \rho \bar{\epsilon} \omega$ in the sense of the active.
 ö $\pi \omega s \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \ldots \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$.

54I. '்̇ $\pi$ ' 'with '. In $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ как $\hat{s}$ s the same double sense which is sometimes found with $\epsilon \hat{\varepsilon} \pi \rho \dot{\theta} \tau \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, 'doing well', and 'faring well' (Plat. Gorgias $507 \mathrm{c}, \& \mathrm{cc}$.), is perhaps suggested.
542. í $\mu \in i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma เ \gamma \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$. The chorus of Chalkidian women have, as mere strangers, no interest in not informing Klytaemn., but their silence is necessary to the progress of the play.

543-606. First Stasimon. "Love", sing the chorus, "makes glad the life of man, but lawless excess brings sorrow in its train. Mine be it to keep the golden mean. Some are by nature prone to err, but the path of duty is clear, leading men to virtue and a glory that grows not old. Thus it is that states are preserved ( 57 r ). [Of $\sin$ none can see the end.] Paris (573), a shepherd on lonely Ida, was distracted by mad longings, and lured Helen from her husband's home. Hence the arming of heroes and beginning of strife."

In the strophe ( $543-557$ ) we have an exact description of the thoroughly Greek conception of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ and $\tau \dot{\partial} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota o \nu-$ the reasonable mean between joyless asceticism and abandoned license. Compare Med. $\sigma_{27} \mathrm{ff}$. a passage which exhibits a striking parallelism both in thought and expression.

543 ff . "Blessed are they who in measure due have their share in marriage bliss when Aphrodite's sway is mild, and enjoy a quietness unruffled by mad desires; for, where desire is, there does Love bend his bow with arrows twain of charm, and one is for fate of happy days, and
one for life＇s undoing．＂रa入avciá Xp．see on supr．88．Cf．Plato

 the construction $\theta \epsilon o \hat{u}-\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \rho \omega \nu$＇Aф $\rho$ ．see on supr． 132.

552．viv neut．referring to $\tau$ ò $\delta \epsilon$ ，not to＂E $\rho \omega s$ as the sense of the passage shows．Cf．Schiller ；Jener bringt selige Freuden，｜dieser mordet das Glück：｜reizende Göttin，den zweiten｜wehre vom Herzen zurück！

557．$\pi$ о $\lambda$ 入áv cf．supr． 3 13 n．In Ar．Frogs 1046 Aeschylus is made to say to Euripides，in allusion to the supposed matrimonial
 ＇$\pi \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \theta \eta \tau о ~(s c . ~ \grave{\eta}$＇Aфродітŋ）．

563．тó $\tau \epsilon$ yúp $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．＇A modest temper is itself wisdom，and has the rare grace of discerning by reflexion where duty lies＇．

569．кavà Kúmpıv кр．with regard to secret amours，i．e．by shunning them．

57 I ．$\delta \mu v \rho\left\llcorner\frac{\pi}{} \lambda \eta \theta \eta\right.$＇s＇discipline（ $\kappa \delta \sigma \mu o s$ ）in its countless forms＇in the case of men is opposed to the single virtue of chastity in which is comprised the $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ of women．This distinction is in harmony with the Greek view which considered the province and duties of women to be properly confined within a very limited sphere．

572．$\mu \in l \zeta \omega$ proleptic．
573．＂Thou didst come，O Paris，to the place where thou wast reared a herdsman among white heifers on Ida＇s mount＂．ท̂ंt epic form．

576．＂Breathing on reeds an imitation of the Phrygian pipe of Olympus＂．The Olympus to whom was ascribed the invention of the pipe was a mythical personage，who lived（according to one account）in Mysia before the Trojan war．The Olympus who invented the enharmonic scale（évapuóvıov $\gamma^{\prime} \nu 0 s$ ）and established the aú入ós by the side of the $\kappa \iota \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ in Greek music（ $\mathrm{v} . \operatorname{infr}$ ．1036， 7 where both instru－ ments are mentioned），was a real musician of Phrygian family who flourished circ． 650 B．C．He was thus a little later than Terpander， who won the prize in a musical contest circ． $6_{7} 6$ b．c．In Greek literature the historical and legendary Olympus are often not clearly distinguished． As to the Phrygian mode itself，we find many allusions to the extra－ ordinary effect produced on the mind by its mournful and passionate

 public $39^{8} \mathrm{c}$ ff．，is well known．

581．ä－$\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ cf．infr． 884.
582．е̇ $\lambda \epsilon \phi a v \tau 0 \delta$ ．$\delta o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ the palace of Menelaus at Sparta．There is in Homer an allusion to this palace，wherein one might see the
 （Od．iv 72 ff ．）．
 ü $\pi \nu 0 \nu$ ．

585．For $\tau \epsilon$ answered by $\delta \epsilon \in$ cf．Plato Euthyphro 3 e $\sigma \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega-$


588．＇E入入á $\delta \alpha$ as adj．in agreement with ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \iota \nu$.
590．At this point the chariot conveying Klytaemn．，Iphigeneia， Orestes，and their attendant suite come into sight，entering probably by the $\pi$ d́pooos．The chorus prepare to salute them on their arrival．
 ${ }^{6} \lambda \beta$ ov．

597．Toîs oủk єứ．＇in the eyes of＇；cf．Med． 509 тod入aîs $\mu$ a－ карiav．

598－606．I follow the opinion of several editors in regarding these lines as an interpolation．The metre（as presented by the mss．）is bad， and the synapheia of the system is interrupted after $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ raiav．The sense，moreover，which is in itself hardly appropriate to the position of the chorus，is awkwardly expressed．A reference to the Appendix will show the readings of the mss．
$607-750$ ．Second Epeisodion．Arrival of the party from Argos． They alight from the travelling chariot，and Agamemnon has to endure （ $640-685$ ）and parry as best he may the questions prompted by the affection of Iphigeneia on meeting her father；and the enquiries（695－ 730）which a mother＇s solicitude impels Klytaemn．to urge with refer－ ence to her daughter＇s marriage．After a vain endeavour to persuade （731－741）Klytaemn．to return to Argos，Agamemnon is left alone to lament the failure of his schemes．
 The birds from whose flight omens were taken，were called strictly olwol（see infr．1347），but the distinction is not always observed；cf．
 the signs of birds＇；so Theokr．xvii $72 \mu$＇́ras aietòs aitolos öpuls．Tóvó is explained by the line following，being assimilated，as usual，in gender to ô $\rho \nu 1 \theta a$ ．
612. єúdaßoúpevol addressed, not to the chorus, but to Klytaemn.'s attendants.
614. The delicacy and feminine weakness of Iphigeneia thus incidentally dwelt on heighten the effect of the noble fortitude which she displays at the end.
615. $v \in a ́ v \iota \delta \epsilon s \nu \bar{\nu} \bar{\nu} \nu \delta \epsilon s$ by synizesis.
620. aं $\pi \alpha \rho a \alpha^{\mu} v \theta o v$ when not reassured, 'when none is by to soothe'.
623. $\pi \omega \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi} \delta \alpha \mu \epsilon l_{s}$ óX $\varphi$ i.e. overcome by the motion of the chariot.
 In Or. 294 Euripides similarly uses $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \nu \pi \tau \epsilon$ 'unveil thyself'.
 $\mu \eta \tau \rho \partial_{s} \pi 0 \delta i$.

631-6. Iphigeneia throws herself into her father's embrace (63I2). Klytaemn. in a less demonstrative manner greets her lord (633-4), and as he turns to respond to the queen's greeting Iphigeneia would have him yet spare all his attention to herself ( $\epsilon \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$.), asking pardon for the importunity of her affection ( $\delta \rho \gamma \omega \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} s \delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\eta}$ ). The repetition of words has caused this passage to be held unsound, but it is in the situation a very natural and pathetic touch.
636. Notice in this line the vowels lengthened before $\delta \rho$ and $\chi \rho$, which is contrary to the usual practice in tragedy. A vowel is generally made long before $\gamma \mu \gamma \nu, \delta \mu \delta \nu, \gamma \lambda \beta \lambda$, but before other combinations of a mute and liquid it remains short, especially if in the final syllable of a word. The exceptions in the latter case are few; in El. 1058 the mss. give $\alpha \rho a \kappa \lambda \dot{o} o v \sigma a \kappa \tau \lambda$. Cf. Alkest. 542. Aesch. Pers. 682. For statistics on this question, see Kopp Rhein. Mus. 1886 pp. 247 ff.

640-676. The dialogue which follows is a masterly example of tragic irony. It will be observed that Agamemnon attempts to satisfy his daughter's more pressing enquiries by answers that may be ambiguously understood either of her marriage or sacrifice.
642. єv̂...é $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{r}$ in $\sigma$ as 'thou didst well to bring', i.e. 'I thank thee for bringing me'; cf. Med. $472 \epsilon \hat{\iota} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \eta \sigma a s \mu 0 \lambda \omega_{\omega} \nu$.
643. тоиิто i.e. $\epsilon \hat{v}$.
 64I ( $\kappa \alpha l$ रà $\rho \pi a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \sigma \epsilon$ ).
648. "Smooth to kindly aspect the furrows of thy brow". $\gamma \mu \mu a$, countenance, corresponds here to our word "expression". . $\mu \in ́ \theta \in s$ ódpóv cf. Alkest. $777 \pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \dot{\pi} \omega \xi \nu \nu \omega \phi \rho \nu \omega \mu \xi \nu \omega$.
649. "Lo! I have the joy I have in seeing thee, my child". A euphemistic expression, where more is meant than meets the ear. Cf.


65 I . d $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{r o v \sigma i}$. By this Ag. may intend Iph. to understand either his voyage to Troy or her own marriage ; more probably, perhaps, the former. The next line is corrupt as it stands, but the general sense is preserved. Ag. cannot command his voice as he pronounces the words $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \circ \hat{0} \sigma^{\prime}$ àmovoia, and Iph. with a vague feeling of alarm says "I cannot tell whereof you speak \&c."
654. єv่фpavê v. on infr. 834.

656. 'é $\pi \boldsymbol{\imath}$ тékvous 'with your children'.
657. "That is my wish; but my grief is that I cannot wish it".
659. ä $\lambda \lambda$ dovs a studied ambiguity, cf. Med. ror6. (v. supr. 309.)
 state whose beginning is expressed by the aor. partic. (Cf. Shaksp. Rom. and Jul. iv 3 poison...which the friar | subtly hath minister'd to have me dead.) Often however the force is merely that of a perfect.
664. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha$ alpets the present as in Med. 938 àralpouev, cf. infr. 670 , and on 1028 .
$66_{5}$. The line is corrupt, as the two metrical solecisms show.
 meet thy father, O my daughter, once again" (i.e. in the realms of Hades), though not itself a very melodious line gives what was probably the sense of the original.

667 . $\pi \lambda$ oûs i.e. across the River of Death to the underworld, where she will remember how her father delivered her over to the altar.
670. ov̉ $\pi 0 v$ 'can it be that...?' an affirmative answer is expected, though the question formally implies incredulity. So too, occasionally, in the stronger form oü $\tau i \pi$ rov, cf. Hel. 54 I .

672 . $\theta \in \mathscr{q} \mu \epsilon$ vos $\in \hat{v}$ cf. Herc. fur. 605 єv่ $\theta \epsilon \in \theta \theta a u$, Bacch. 49. Cf. also supr. 40 r .
674. $\xi$ ìv iepoîs 'with help of holy rites'; cf. supr. 358.
${ }^{675}$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \xi \in$ is fut. perf. formed from perf. act., as $\tau \in \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \xi \boldsymbol{\xi} \omega$ from $\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa a$. Aesch. Ag. 1279 ( $\left.\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \dot{\eta} \xi{ }_{\xi}{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \varepsilon \nu\right)$.
677. тoû $\mu \eta \delta$ ¿̀v фpovєiv cf. supr. 370.

Racine in his Iphigénie (ii 2 ) has a scene in which he imitates with considerable success the fine irony of the foregoing dialogue:-

Ag. Les dieux depuis un temps me sont cruels et sourds. | Iph. Calchas, dit-on, prépare un pompeux sacrifice? | Ag . Puissé-je auparavant fléchir leur injustice! | Iph. L'offrira-t-on bientôt? Ag . Plus tôt que je ne veux. | Iph. Me sera-t-il permis de me joindre à vos vœux? | Verra-ton à l'autel son heureuse famille? | Ag. Hélas! Iph. Vous vous taisez? Ag. Vous y serez, ma fille. Adieu.
678. ó $\phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ к o ́ p a ı s ~ b y ~ m a i d e n s ~ o n l y, ~ i . e . ~ a n d ~ n o t ~ b y ~ m e n . ~ G r e e k ~$ feeling was opposed to girls appearing much in public; cf. Herakl. 43

680. áтоьк $\eta$ ' $\epsilon \iota \nu$ 'dwell far from', as in Herc. fur. 557.
 $\tau a ́ \delta \epsilon$, 'I entreat you to bear with me in this thing', i.e. $\epsilon l$ к $\alpha \tau$. ä $\gamma a \nu$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. Sorrow and tears were inauspicious on a $\mu а к \alpha ́ \rho i o \nu ~ \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho$. (Cf. Aesch. Ag. 636 f.)
690. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha_{0} \mu^{\theta} \eta^{\prime} \sigma a s \mu_{0} \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ is often used of the loving care of parents for their children; thus Megara says (Herc. fur. 280) $\pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oủ $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \mid \alpha^{\alpha} \tau \iota \kappa \tau о \nu, \dot{\alpha} \mu \sigma \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha$; cf. Med. 1030.
694. Tヘ̂ Xคóvఱ $\sigma \nu \nu\llcorner\sigma \mathrm{X} v a v \in \hat{\imath}$ 'will join with time in assuaging'


695 f . "As to the name, I know to whom it is that you betrothed our child, but of what lineage and whence he comes, \&c." катаı $\bar{\epsilon} \hat{\nu} \nu=$ є̇ $\gamma \gamma v a ̂ \nu, 703$.
699. Oivஸ́vŋs Aegina, mother of Aeacus, gave her name to the island, formerly known as Oenone, of which Aeacus was the first king.
700. катє́бхє 'occupied', 'acquired'; so the dead are said $Ө \eta$ 'каs $\kappa а \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \iota \nu$ Aesch. Ag. 45 I.
703. ท่ $\gamma \gamma u ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{cf}$. Or. 1079 кат $\eta \gamma \gamma u ́ \eta \sigma \alpha$. At Athens є́ $\gamma \gamma u ́ \eta \sigma \iota s$ (formal betrothal) of the bride by her guardian (kúpos) was a necessary preliminary to a legal marriage. The natural кúpoos was the father; in case of his death or absence the duty devolved upon the brother of the bride, or her grandfather on the father's side.
 ed his son to so wise a trainer.

713 . á $\mu$ фicf. infr. 1294.
717 . The time of the full moon was auspicious for weddings; cf. Pindar Isthm. vii 44 (the marriage of Peleus and Thetis) $\epsilon \nu \delta \iota \chi \propto \mu \eta \nu i \delta \in \sigma-$ $\sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \rho a l s$.
718. $\pi \rho \circ \tau^{\prime} \hat{\lambda}_{\epsilon} \in \alpha$ the sacrificial rites preliminary to a marriage, which was regarded as a $\tau \epsilon$ 六os (cf. Aesch. Eum. 835). Before the wedding
ceremony the favour was sought not only of Hera (as patron goddess of marriage, $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} a$ ), but also of Artemis (v. supr. 433), and the Fates. Hence $\theta \epsilon \underline{q}$ is ambiguous, and Agamemnon's answer, though literally true, still conceals his real meaning.
721. For the form of the expression cf. infr. 1 i82.
722. At marriages an exception was made to the custom which excluded women from being present at feasts. It would seem however from this passage that they were to some extent separated from the men.
724. ка入ิ̂s ávaykaíns $\tau \epsilon$ ''tis well-as it must be so', i.e. we cannot do better under the circumstances. Cf. infr. 1409.
725. oíन日' oív ô Spâoov 'do thou what I advise'; a colloquialism, which seems best explained, like the English "I'll tell you what do", as arising from the speaker's eagerness leading him to end his sentence with an imperative, in place of continuing the construction oif $\sigma$ ' oûv ó-

726. In reply to Ag.'s $\pi \iota \theta o \hat{u}$ 'obey me (in this)', Klyt. says 'In what? I am accustomed to be persuaded (on all occasions) by you'. Cf. Plato Gorgias 462 D $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \iota$ oûv, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta}$ т $\tau \mu \hat{Q} S$ тò $\chi a \rho l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\sigma \mu \kappa \rho \delta \nu \tau i ́ \mu o \iota \chi \alpha \rho i \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$; $\sigma \in \theta \in \varepsilon$ the genit. after $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is an Ionicism, found in Herodotus (vi 12 \&c.), but not in Attic prose. In Thukyd. vii 73 ( $\pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \alpha \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \epsilon \lambda \lambda \pi l \xi \epsilon \iota \nu a ̈ \nu \nu \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \alpha u ̛ \tau o u ́ s)$ the gen. $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ depends upon $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha$, which is governed by $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ (cf. Soph. Aias 529 ), 'obey any command of theirs'.
730. For the omission of the participle with $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \omega$ cf. Soph.

 of the bride to carry a torch in the procession which conducted her ( $\delta a i ̈ \delta \omega \nu \ddot{\nu} \pi \sigma \quad \lambda a \mu \pi o \mu \epsilon \nu a ́ \omega \nu$, Hom.) to the bridegroom's house; cf. Troad.
 1458.
733. $\nu \nu \mu \phi$ ioıs the bridal pair; cf. Aesch. Theb. $757 \nu \cup \mu \phi$ ious (Laius and Iokasta).
 in the midst of a crowd of soldiers'.
737. кal тás $\gamma$ ' $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'yes, and (it is $\kappa a \lambda \delta \nu$ ) that the maidens at home should not be unprotected'.
740. Klytaemn. quits the stage abruptly in indignation at Agamemnon's cavalier treatment of her maternal feelings. The next line (74I) has all the appearance of an interpolation designed to smooth
over this abruptness. Klotz attempts to defend $\nu v \mu \phi . \pi \alpha \rho \theta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \nu o \iota s$ as "virgins at their wedding", but this meaning cannot be got out of the Greek.
 ̇̇ $\lambda \pi$ ( $\delta$ os (Gr. Gr. 222) cf. Med. 1010. Ar. Thesm. 870.
744. $\sigma о ф Ґ \zeta \rho \mu \iota$ 'I am making clever plans'; cf. the term $\sigma 0 \phi \iota \sigma \tau \eta s$ applied to Prometheus in Aesch. P. V. 62. Eur. uses the word in one other place in Bacch. 200 in the sense of making clever reasonings (rationalizing) about the gods. '่̇ $\pi \boldsymbol{i}$ roîб $\phi \iota \lambda \tau$. 'against those I love best'.
748. 'єं૬เซторท' $\sigma \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'to enquire the end of the goddess' wish, for me no happy chance, and grievous to the land of Hellas'. The words $\mu \delta \chi \theta 0 \nu$ 'E. are added by Agamemnon in a tone of despair : a goddess to whom such an offering was $\phi$ inov could not be really kindly disposed to the Greeks.
750. $\ddot{\eta} \mu \eta ̀ \tau \rho \in ́ \phi \varepsilon เ \nu$ 'or (if she be not $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \kappa a j \gamma a \theta \dot{\eta}$ ) not to have a wife at all'. Hermann and others read $\gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ for $\tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$, but the change does not appear necessary.

751-800. Second Stasimon. The chorus presage the success of the Greek expedition to Troy; and picture the Trojans viewing from their walls the enemy's approach, and the dismay of the women at the prospect of captivity. They too must suffer for the transgression of Helen.

75 If. "Now to Simois and the whirl of silvery waters will come the gathered host of Hellas on ship-board and in arms,-to Ilion, the plain of Troy where Phoebus wrought, where Kassandra, as I hear, decked with a garland of green-leaved bay, tosses loose her yellow tresses, whene'er the throes of prophecy breathe from the god upon her". Фo九 $\beta \dot{\eta} \ddot{\circ}$ 人 cf. Hel. I509 ff. See Dict. Biogr. s.v. Laomedon; Hor. Carm. iii 32 I.
757. $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ K $\alpha \sigma$. the famed Kassandra, cf. supr. 178.

760 f . Kassandra is called $\mu \alpha \iota \nu \alpha^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \not ้ \nu \partial \epsilon 0 \nu \kappa \delta \rho \eta \nu$ El. 1032. With the above description of the prophetic influence cf. Verg. Aen. vi 50 ff . Aesch. Ag. 215.
768. Tàv têv $\Delta$ собк. 'the (sister) of the \&c.'

77 I . Sopıróvols for the agreement of the adj. v. on supr. 233.
 is said $\theta$ ávarov $\epsilon \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ Alkest. 50 (Paley). Cf. also Choeph. 32 ff. infr. 1036 ff. The lines which follow $(776-783)$ have suffered cor-
ruption. The MSS. readings as printed by Kirchhoff (who regards the passage as spurious) will be found in the Appendix.
 корифà $\nu$ Гop $\gamma$ bos í $\sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
782. є'न $\epsilon \tau \alpha l \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'shall know that she deserted her husband', i.e. her crime shall come home to her by suffering.
785. é̀ $\lambda$ ís 'boding' may be of good or evil, or undetermined, according to the context. Cf. with this passage Statius Theb. 129 dum spes nulla necis.
 iovoîs $\mu v \theta$. 'as they converse at the loom'. For the Ionic contraction $\mu v \theta \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ cf. Hippol. 167 dúv $\tau \in \nu \nu$ (lyr.); Med. $422 \dot{v} \mu \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota(\mathrm{lyr}$.); Aesch. P.V. $122 \epsilon i \sigma o \iota \chi \nu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ (an.), ib. $645 \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota$ (iamb.).

790 ff . "What man then, tightening amid my tears his grasp of my abundant tresses, will pluck me, as a flower is plucked, from my perishing country?" $\mathfrak{\rho} v ิ \mu \alpha$ cogn. acc. to tavúvas, cf. supr. ${ }^{1} 57 . \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi \lambda \omega \tau \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath ̂}$ cf. $\lambda \omega ́ \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha, \lambda \omega \tau i \zeta о \mu \alpha \iota$.
793. Tàv... үóvov sc. ov̂ $\sigma \alpha \nu$.



800. $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀$ кaıpòv ä $\lambda \lambda \omega$ s cf. Hek. 489 ä $\lambda \lambda \omega$ s... $\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$.

8or-1035. Third Epeisodion. Achilles going in search of the king to acquaint him with the impatience of the army meets Klytaemn. She addresses him as the bridegroom elect of her daughter; and the natural surprise of Achilles at this has led them already to suspect a trick, when the old man interrupts their conversation (855), and informs them of Agamemnon's treachery. Klyt. throws herself on Achilles' generosity ( $900-916$ ), and he promises to aid her (919-974).
804. oúk $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi$ loov because the delay was a more serious matter to those who had wives and children at home than to the unmarried. ä豸vyєs $\gamma a ́ \mu \omega v$ cf. supr. 419.
809. 'El ${ }^{2}$ á $\delta(a)$ the acc. as in Soph. O.C. 942 aúroùs...äע є́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \in \sigma o l \mid$ ऽ $\hat{\eta} \lambda o s$.

Sio. Achilles, being himself ä ® $^{\xi} \xi \gamma^{\alpha} \alpha \omega \nu$, explains what the grievance is which in his own case warrants his urging upon Agamemnon that something ought to be done. This justification ( $\delta i$ каьo $)$ of his insistence is introduced by $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho, 8 \mathbf{1} 2$.

8I3. $\mu \epsilon \in V \omega$ ' $\pi l \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau a i ̂ s ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'mid the faint breezes of the Euripus';
he refers to the calm (cf. $10 \sigma \iota \gamma a l \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ ), during which only light airs, useless for sailing purposes, were playing over the water. It is not


815 f. moîov xpóvov cf. Aesch. Ag. 278 . éкцєєрŋ̂бal of time, like emetiri, cf. Tac. H. i 49 (Galba) quinque principes emensus. "How long the measure of our waiting for the voyage to Ilion?" Cf. the complaint of the soldier-chorus in Ennius Iphig. iii em, néque domi nunc nós nec militiaé sumus; | ímus huc, nunc illuc: cum illuc véntumst, ire illínc lubet ; | incerte errat ánimus: praeter própter vita vívitur.
 $\kappa а \lambda \nu \pi \tau о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs (fr. 439).
823. oîs $\mu \eta$ خ̀ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'persons whom you have not met', cf. supr. 384.
832. ápxท́v the acc. as in supr. 234.
 often occurs with equal abruptness; cf. Troad. 904 ท้̈ $\nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta a \nu o u ́ \mu \in \theta a$, infr. II4I, 1146.
842. $\lambda o ́ y o s ~ \gamma a ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ ' t a l k ~ o f ~ m a r r i a g e ', ~ o b j e c t . ~ g e n i t . ~ v . ~ s u p r . ~ 498 . ~$ Cf. also Plato lawes 773 в $\mu \hat{v} \theta o s \gamma a ́ \mu o v$.
846. ov̉ $\psi \in v \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ 'both of us are perhaps not mistaken in what we say', i.e. we may be at cross purposes, and may help each other ( $\kappa o \iota \nu 6 \nu$ ) to clear up the misunderstanding.
847. $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega$ үá $\mu$ ovs $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v e c \nu$ is properly said of the man 'to court', but the word is here used with intention by Klytaemnestra to emphasize the strangeness of the position in which she finds herself. So when Medea replies to Jason (Med. 606) $\tau i \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a ; \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma a \mu о \hat{v} \sigma a \kappa \alpha i$ $\pi \rho \circ \delta o \hat{v} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon$; the wording of her question is a pointed reflexion upon the conduct of her faithless husband.

85 I . óp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ois}$ ö $\mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ 'with unshrinking gaze', rectis oculis. Cf.

853. тóठє sc. тò $\chi a l \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
855. At this moment the old man is heard calling through the half-opened door (cf. $857 \pi \alpha \rho o i \xi a s)$ of the king's tent, while remaining
 Aesch. Cho. $45^{6} \sigma \epsilon \in \tau o \iota \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \omega$.
859. épòs $\mu$ èv oúxi 'not mine'; cf. supr. 392. The following words need not imply that Achilles is already possessed by a feeling of hostility to Agamemnon, but merely that since the slave is speaking from inside Agamemnon's tent, he cannot be one of Achilles' retainers.
861. ойvєка Wecklein cur. epigraph. p. $3^{6}$ holds that oüvєка (as a
preposition）is due to copyists，and that the form eiveka should be restored in tragedy．There is however enough evidence from verse－ inscriptions（see Meisterhans ${ }^{2}$ p．177）to justify the orthography oüvєка．

864．The phrase oüs（ $\dot{\omega} s, a \ddot{a}) \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ 白 $\lambda \omega$ often occurs when the speaker＇s wish is obvious from the context（v．infr． 1025 ），or where（as here）he prefers not to be explicit．

865．$\sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Monk for the MSS．$\hat{a}^{2} \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$ ．（Markland and Böckh pro－ pose $\dot{\alpha} \nu o i \sigma \epsilon \iota, \dot{\partial} \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．）Achilles refers to the old man＇s rather high－flown invocation $\hat{\omega} \tau u ́ \chi \eta \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．＂Your speech will save them－in the distant future＂．o＇$\gamma \kappa \boldsymbol{}$ i．e．＇there is a certain pompousness about it＇．
 my protection；cf．supr． 58 ．
 ＇ever so long＇．

872．ขv̂v $\pi \circ \theta$＇ท่ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ тотє here emphasizes $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ ，＇now pray＇；cf． Soph．Phil．816 N．$\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} ; \Phi . \mu \notin \theta \epsilon s \pi o \tau \epsilon ́$.

873．The aor．（instead of pres．or fut．）after $\mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ is a rare but not unknown construction；cf．Med． $342 \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ．In Soph．O．T． 967 there is a possible example，ктaveì being the reading of the best MSS．；and a certain instance in Aesch．P．V． 625 （ $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu$ ）．［In infr． $880 \kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \varepsilon \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \mathrm{\nu}$ is the reading of both P and C．］

874．аं $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \tau v \sigma a$ cf．supr． 136.
877．тоиิто cf．supr． 516.
878．ék tivos $\lambda$ óyov；＇for what reason？＇；cf．Androm．548．The order is $\tau i s \dot{s} \dot{\lambda} \lambda \sigma \tau \tau o ́ \rho \omega \nu(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu) \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ aủ $\tau \delta \nu$ ；

882．$\epsilon$ is＇I $\phi$ ．＇against Iphigeneia＇；$i$ is is used with almost the same meaning which it bears in the phrase $\lambda \epsilon$＇$\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ є＇s $\tau \iota \nu a$ ，＇to talk at a person＇，El．329．\＆c．ท̂v cf．supr． 404.

884．$\tau i v$＇$\in \mathbb{X} X \in \pi \rho$ ．＇what was the meaning of its pretext which brought me \＆c．＇The pretext itself is said конi乡єь the person affected by it，as supr． 58 I the $\kappa \rho / \sigma \iota s$ is said $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ Paris to Hellas．

886．＇̇ $\pi^{\prime}$＇ $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \hat{\epsilon} \theta \rho \omega$＇to meet destruction＇．
889．єiँтєр ä入入o si quid aliud．See Append．
892．oủk $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\omega}$ as bearer of the letter K1．identifies him with its contents．$\xi v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \dot{\omega} \omega v$ i．e．bidding me as the former message did．

894．кヘ̣̂ra тஸ̂s．These particles are combined in Androm． 339 $\kappa \underset{q}{\boldsymbol{q}} \tau \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s} \pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \ldots \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \tau \alpha i$ ．Cf．also Plato Krito 43 B． $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in$ belongs to $\phi \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ ，since you were（actually）the bearer．

897．тò $\delta^{\prime}$＇́ $\mu$ óv ктл．＇my own part therein I take not lightly＇．

Ach. perceives of course from 1. 885 that his name has been trifled with.
899. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega ิ$ oű $\tau \omega$ 'quite indifferently'.

900 f . For the plur. followed by the sing. nom. $\theta \nu \eta$ rós cf. on suppr. 834. $\gamma \in \gamma \omega ิ \tau a$ the masc. as though $\sigma \epsilon ́$, instead of $\tau \grave{o} \sigma o ̀ \nu \gamma \dot{\nu} \nu v$, had preceded. Compare Racine (iii 5) une mère à vos pieds peut tomber sans rougir.
904. $\mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu$ 'falsely'; cf. Soph. El. 1298 är $\eta \hat{\eta} \mu a ́ \tau \eta \nu \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega s$ sc. $\lambda \in \chi \theta \in i \sigma \eta$.

907 f . öбтıs oủk ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \nu v a s$ 'inasmuch as you did not defend her'. Klytaemn. is putting the case as though it had already arisen ; cf. infr. 940. ả $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ रov̂ $\nu$ 'yet at any rate'; so Aristot. rhet. p. $1398^{\text {b }} 2$ I

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́$ after $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$ cf. infr. 1239.
 they will', i.e. when their sympathies are enlisted; and, Kl. implies, in this matter they will follow your lead, our safety is therefore in your hands $\hat{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon ̇ \tau о \lambda \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$.
 ن̇тєє $\rho \in ́ \chi \circ \iota . . . . . \chi \in i ̂ \rho a$.
917. SєLvòv rò riktelv ' wondrous it is to be a mother'. So Soph.

918. For $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ added after an adj. which could be followed by the simple infin. see Gr. Gr. 297 N. 5.
919. $i \psi \eta \lambda . \mu$. $\theta$. aï $\rho \in \tau a t$. The meaning would seem to be "my mind is excited", the emphasis falling on the first part of the compound $\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda_{o ́} \phi \rho \omega \nu$. (Thus in Aesch. oló $\phi \rho \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \in \tau \rho a=$ "a lonely rock"; cf. also óp $\theta_{o ́ \pi} \pi$ ous $\pi$ áros Soph.) The expression will then be like that in Soph. O. T. $914 \dot{\nu} \psi 0 \hat{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ aí $\rho \in \iota$ $\theta u \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ Oioímous, and gives a better sense than the usual interpretation "is raised aloft to noble thoughts". Achilles says his soul is stirred by Klytaemn.'s appeal, but his training, (he goes on to explain), has been such as to deter him from giving way to emotion before looking well how the matter lies in all its bearings. On either view the addition of $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega$ is difficult. It is perhaps not intended to do more than emphasize the idea of motion in all $\rho \in \tau \alpha l$ ' is lifted high', cf. Aesch. Ag. $853 \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ 'sent us forth'.

 $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\omega} \omega \mathrm{s}$ qualifies both $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \alpha \lambda a \hat{\nu}$ and $\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \nu \nu$ ．

 ＇wisely＇；cf．supr． $544 \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma v v^{2} a s=\sigma \omega \phi \rho o ́ v \omega s$.

933 f．ã $\delta \dot{\eta}{ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．＇as far as a young man may（i．e．with my sword in the last resort）I will see thee righted，with thus much of pity investing thee＇i．e．I pity thee enough to do all I may in aid；rooovirou－ $\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ being a parenthetical reference to the $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \circ$ ó $\eta \boldsymbol{y}$ to which he has just alluded．$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ oiktov is a curious phrase ；cf．however $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$
 word $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu$ itself is a favourite with Eur．，a feature in his style which evidently did not escape Aristophanes，cf．Frogs 1322，Thesm． 914.

 thus used periphrastically，cf．Ion 563 ．

943．$\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{s}=\theta a v \mu a \sigma i \omega s$ ćs，cf．Soph．fr． 963 $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$

 then at once am I proved \＆c．cf．supr．404．द̇v àvסpá⿱宀匕v in numero virorum＇counted a man＇．$\sigma \hat{\varphi} \pi$ $\pi$ ó $\sigma \epsilon t ~ d a t . ~ o f ~ t h e ~ p e r s o n ~ i n t e r e s t e d-~$ if he shall use my name to achieve her death．

946．á入áotopos $\gamma \in \gamma \omega$ śs cf．Troad． 767 （of Helen）$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta e ̀ ~ \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$
 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．

95I．Lit．＂no，not so far as a finger of his，so as to touch her robes＂．äк $\kappa a \nu \nu$ ．and $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ ocs are both emphatic；and $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \beta a \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ is best taken as intransitive．

952 f．$\pi$ ólıs a city indeed，i．e．worthy of the name（as divńp is



955．тpoxúras，èvápgéval cf．infr． 1470 f．，supr．435．Cf．Racine （iii 7）Achille．Votre fille vivra，je puis vous le prédire．．．｜les dieux auront en vain ordonné son trépas：｜cet oracle est plus sûr que celui de Calchas．

956 ff ．＂Who is your seer，－a man who tells a few truths with much that is false when fortune favours，but whene＇er she fails him his vogue is gone at once－？＂Cf．Thukyd．iii 82， 8.
 confidence Achilles says in Homer Il. ix $395 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha i$ 'A $\chi a i t i o ̀ s ~ c i \sigma i v ~ a ̀ \nu ~ ' ~$


963 . "Kl. was influenced most of all by me to give her daughter to me in marriage". '̇ $\mu 0$ i, i.e. by my rank and fame, cf. supr. ror.



967. évтратєvó $\eta \eta \nu$ cf. supr. 834 n . тò koıvóv the common interest.

968 f . vôv $\delta \in \epsilon$ 'but, as it is,' \&c. द̀v є̀̀ $\mu a \rho \in \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \tau \lambda$. 'they trouble not themselves whether they treat me well or ill'; cf. supr. 56 .
 ' murder-stains of blood'.
 Heimsoeth would read $\phi i \lambda o s$ here for $\theta \epsilon 6 s$, but Achilles alludes to Klytaemnestra's supplication 900 , $\mathbf{I}$, made as though to a superior being.
977. $\mu \eta \lambda_{\text {lap. }}$ lav. It was a current notion that excessive praise was unpropitious as being likely to provoke the $\phi \theta 6 \nu 0 \mathrm{~s} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, v. Orest. 116 r . Herakl. 202. Cf. also Verg. Ecl. vii 27 aut, si ultra placitum laudarit, baccare frontem | cingite, ne vati noceat mala lingua futuro.


981. тараф'́pováa 'intruding' a sorrowful story; cf. тaparv́pet émos Aesch. P. V. 1065 (cited by Paley). For the sense of $\pi a \rho d$ in compos. 'inopportunely', cf. also Plato Timaeus $50 \mathrm{E} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aùrov̂ $\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu$ -

983. E'X $\chi$ t rol $\sigma X \eta \hat{\mu} \alpha$ 'it shows well'; cf. Troad. 470. This appeal of Klytaemnestra to "good form" is adapted to the line taken up by Achilles in his answer to her request. кäv äm $\boldsymbol{\omega} \theta \in \boldsymbol{v}$ ñ 'far


987. Fol... $\gamma$ ápoos for the two datives ( $=$ roîs $\gamma$ ámoss) cf. [Eur.]
 cf. on supr. 607.
994. "She shall come, with modesty in her frank regard".
 vívetat a fine phrase, which can hardly be adequately rendered in English; "dignified is her proud reserve" gives the general sense.

997．örov $\gamma \in$ סvvaróv＇only so far as is admissible＇，i．e．reserve should give way when circumstances require．Cf．infr． 1344.

999．öveioos ápa0ts＇the reproach of the vulgar＇，i．e．of those who have not learnt（by education）consideration for the feelings of others；

 ＇gossip＇．
 ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu 0 \mathrm{o} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．＇shall attain a like result as if no prayer were made＇．

1005．＂Be assured that you have heard one thing＂，i．e．$\mu \grave{\eta} \psi \cdot \mu$＇ द̀ $\rho \in i ̂ v$.

1008．$\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega}$ s qualifies $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．
1oII．aû̀rs with $\beta$ ．фpoveiv＇to come to a better mind＇．
1012．kakós $\tau i_{s}$ éctu＇he is something of a coward＇．
roI3．кататa入alougtv a metaphor from wrestling．In Med． 585

 $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os $\alpha$ ä $\theta \in \lambda \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \rho l o s$.

1or4．廿uxpá cf．Shaksp．All＇s Well iii I oft it hits｜where hope is coldest，and despair must fits．Cf．also Alkest． $353 \psi u \chi \rho a ̀ \nu \mu \notin \nu$ otual $\tau \in \varrho \rho \psi \iota \nu$.
 persuaded him to grant your desire \＆cc．＇

1022．kpav日évtcv neut．sc．$\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ ：so Soph．El． 1344 te入ov－

 see on supr．383．For the phrase itself cf．supr． 864 n ．

1028．$\phi \nu \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon v$ for this use of the tense implying the speaker＇s assurance of a future event by representing it as already present，cf．infr． 1267．Cf．also Thukyd．iv io（ $\tau 0 \hat{\nu} \chi \omega \rho i o v ~ \tau \delta ~ \delta \nu \sigma \epsilon \mu \beta a \tau o \nu) ~ \xi ̇ v ́ \mu \mu a \chi o \nu$ үіүขєта．．



1036－1097．Third Stasimon．Joyful music and the presence of the gods graced the marriage－feast of Peleus and Thetis：and to them was prophesied a son famous at home and in war．Alas for Iphi－ geneia：dirges are her wedding－chant，dirges for a stricken victim． Unholy deeds are rife，unregarded is the jealousy of the gods．


775 n . $\lambda \omega \tau 0 \hat{v} \Lambda$ ívos The Libyan lotus was often used for making pipes. [The epithet, according to Eustathius, arose from the inventor
 of'; observe the use here of the three preps. $\delta \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{v} \pi \delta$ expressing the idea of musical accompaniment.

104I f. Tapà $\delta a \iota \tau i \theta \in \omega \hat{\nu}$. The gods sang the nuptial hymn at the marriage of Peleus and Thetis. Xpvocooávסa入ov 'Xvos 'the print of golden-sandalled feet'; the adj. being poetically in agreement with

1049. "And he of the Dardan line, Phrygian Ganymede, the darling pride of Zeus, was there to draw libation from wine mixed in the depths of golden bowls".
 cf. El. 180 єi入cк $\delta \partial \nu \kappa \rho \circ \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \pi \delta \delta a$, with I. T. 427-429. I have however followed Weil in bracketing кúклıa here as a gloss, when the line will correspond with 1077 of the antistrophe.

1056 f . रápous éxópevaav 'celebrated the nuptials with the dance'.

10ј8. ávà $\delta$ ' $\bar{\lambda} \lambda$ átaıs $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'leaning on silver firs, with fresh leaves wreathed about their heads'. These $\epsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \alpha$, , (or stone pines $\pi \epsilon \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \iota$ ), formed the traditional weapons of the Centaurs. Hesiod scut. Herc.
 supr. 754.

1062 ff . $\mu \in ́ y a \quad \delta^{\prime}$ décék ${ }^{\prime}$ ayov 'and loud they cried' (i.e. the Centaurs) ; $\mu \epsilon \in \gamma \alpha=\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \phi \omega \nu \eta \eta$, cf. Hesiod scut. Herc. $379 \mu \epsilon \in \gamma \alpha \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta^{\prime}-$ jovtes. So too, in the Nuptiae Pelei et Thetidos of Catullus, the Parcae clarisona...voce | talia divino fuderunt carmine fata | ...nascetur vobis expers terroris Achilles \&c. In the following sentence the construction
 $\phi$. . Cf. El. 449 (of Achilles' father) $\tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \nu$ ' $E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \iota \phi \omega \bar{s}$, and v. also infr. 1502.
 the accus. instead of the more usual dat. after кєкори $\theta \mu \epsilon \nu \rho$.

1085. Gúpıyץ the shepherd's pipe ; cf. Anth. P. vi 177 (Theokr.?)

1086. $\rho 0 \iota \beta \delta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \sigma \iota \beta$. 'the whistling of the herdsmen'.
1087. $\nu \cup \mu ф$ о́коцоv ктл. 'but reared by thy mother's side to be one day decked as a bride at the wedding of one of the sons of Inachus'. H, I.
$\nu \nu \mu ф о ́ к о \mu о s ~ i n ~ p a s s . ~ s e n s e, ~ i n ~ w h i c h ~ t h e ~ v e r b ~ \nu \nu \mu ф о к о \mu є i ̂ ̀ ~ a l s o ~ o c c u r s, ~$ cf. Med. 985. 'I $\nu$ axídas the Argives, cf. Hor. Carm. iii 19, 1.
1090. $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi 0 v$ cf. (with Firnhaber) Ar. Av. 1321 тò $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ á $\gamma a \nu o ́-$
 v 6 enrich the time to come with smooth-fac'd peace.

1098-1629. Exodos. Klytaemnestra, who now knows the real intentions of Agamemnon and has informed Iphigeneia, comes to upbraid her husband with his treachery. Her speech of vehement reproach (1146-1208) is followed by an appeal of Iphigeneia (12111252) to the paternal feelings of Agamemnon, and his reply ( 1255 -1275) that he is powerless to refuse what the national interest requires.

IIor. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta$ o $\lambda \alpha$ s ó $\delta u p \mu$. In music $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o \lambda \eta$ is a transition effected gradually from one mode to another, one $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu$ os to another, \&c. "As she sounds in many a key the modulations of despair" will perhaps render the metaphor, though it fails of the beauty of form which makes the original a memorable line.
1102. ßov $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \dot{\prime} \epsilon \tau a \mathrm{a}$ ' is resolved upon'.
1103. Klytaemnestra here catches sight of Agamemnon. The meaning of her next words is:-"It seems ( $\alpha^{\prime} \rho a$ ) I was speaking of Agamemnon who, as I now perceive ( $\tau 0 \hat{v} \delta \epsilon$ deictic), is close by" $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu \in\lceil\chi \circ \nu=\epsilon \in \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$.

IIO5. ávóбta $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'wickedly practising against his own child '.
 (sc. ̇̇б $\sigma i$ ) $\phi \rho \circ \nu \in i ̂ \nu$.
iIIo. marpòs $\mu$ éra proleptic, 'to join her father'.
 a $\rho \gamma \mu a \tau a$. "And in their hands the barley meal to cast upon the cleansing fire"; see on supr. 435. The ceremony was one of preliminary purification; cf. Demosth. кaтà Nєaipas, p. I371, 17 ötav


III3 f. $\mu$ ó $\sigma$ Xol cf. supr. 1083. The word would of course in the minds of the spectators point to Iphigeneia. 'Aprépıסı cf. supr. 718. $\phi v \sigma \dot{\eta} \mu a r a$ in appos. with the idea of slaughter conveyed by âs $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i \nu$ $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$. Cf. supr. 234.

III5. óvó $\mu a \sigma \iota \nu=\lambda$ brots, the frequent antithesis of word and deed.



III6. єv̂ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu$ 'praise' or 'approve'.
1117. oív $\theta a$ matpòs á $\mu \dot{\mu} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$ lit. 'thou knowest concerning thy father what he intends (to do)'. $\pi a r \rho o$ s is genit. of connexion: ${ }_{a}^{a}$ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota s c . \pi \rho a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$, which is readily suggested by the context, cf. Med. $758 \pi \rho \alpha \xi^{\xi} \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega$. Soph. O. C. 1634.
1120. Iphigeneia in obedience to her mother's summons comes out from the tent with Orestes, who is present upon the stage during the following scenes, v. infr. 1165, 1241 ff., 1451.
 $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \grave{o} \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$.

II25. "I may treat them all as foremost", i.e. I know not with which to begin. The next line Monk seems right in rejecting as an interpolation.
1127. єis êv ${ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ 'agree' (are at one); cf. Hippol. 273 єis raủròv ך̈кєєร.

II29. $\gamma \in \nu \nu a\left(\omega_{s}\right.$ ' frankly'.
rı30. $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \mu(\epsilon)$ the accus. for the more usual dat. cf. Herc. fur. II70, Aesch. P. V. 86 (quoted on supr. 32I).
1131. т $\boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ 'and mine'; the art. repeated because Klytaemnestra adds $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ as a fresh point for Agamemnon's consideration.
1132. $\tau \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ova}$ 'cruel are thy words'; cf. infr. I $6_{5} \tau \lambda \eta \mu$ óv $\omega$ s.
r135. ä $\lambda \lambda \lambda a \ldots d \lambda \lambda \alpha$ Klytaemnestra's bitterness of feeling shows itself in the manner in which she assimilates her answer to the antithetical form of Agamemnon's sentence. Cf. Soph. O. T. 547 -552.
1139. ó voûs $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'This very thought is at the present crisis ( $\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota)$ thoughtless'. She refers to Agamemnon's assumption of ignorance.
ri43. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ кá $\mu \eta s \lambda_{\epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu}$ ironical, 'be not aweary of speech ': cf. Aesch. Eum. 88 r.

Ir44 f. тò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu . \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'What need is there for me by falsehood to add effrontery to my evil hap?'

II50. Táviadov this Tantalus was the son of Thyestes. Homer says nothing of the previous marriage to which Klytaemnestra here alludes.
1151. $\sigma \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho o \sigma \omega \dot{\rho} / \sigma a s \pi a ́ \lambda \omega$ 'didst add ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma o \rho i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu)$ my babe to the share which the lot assigned thee when the captives were divided'. The mss. reading $\pi \rho o \sigma o v \rho i \sigma a s ~(\pi \rho o \sigma o v \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} a s \mathrm{P}$ ) might be understood as
"having driven", the metaphor being from a favouring wind as in
 scarcely have chosen the word on this occasion. I have therefore adopted Hartung's correction. An ingenious suggestion is $\zeta \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Musgrave) $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma o v \delta i \sigma a s ~ \pi \epsilon \delta \omega$ (Scaliger), 'dashed living to the ground', in defence of which it is urged that the cruelty of killing the innocent children of a formidable enemy was not unknown in those violent times ; cf. e.g. the reasoning of Menelaus in Androm. 519 ff . Still had this been what Klytaemnestra said, would she not inevitably have gone on to draw in some way a comparison between Agamemnon's action then and the deed which he now meditates?



II56. "Thou next ( $\alpha \hat{v}$ ) hadst me to wife".
II57. oû 'in which estate'; cf. supr. 97.
1164. tpıoi As to the number see Introd. p. viii.
1169. $\mu$ にOOóv 'to pay for'; the accus. as in supr. 234: v. El. 23 1. Cf. also I. T. 566 (Orestes says of his sister's death) как $\hat{s}$ रuvaıкds $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \ddot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \rho \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \tau 0$.

II72. '́keî i.e. before Troy. Scá here 'during'; in supr. ${ }_{3} 6$ סıa xpobov the prep. signifies the completion of an interval, 'after' a time.
1179. This line was rejected by Monk. It appears ineptly made up from what precedes. Hermann proposed $\pi \rho \delta \partial \sigma o \hat{v}$ $\delta o ́ \mu o \iota s$ as a remedy.

II80 ff. "There was need but of some slight pretext for me and your daughters left at home to receive you as it is fitting you should be received ". ' $\pi \in \epsilon$ i, as often, is used elliptically, '(I do well to be angry) since \&c.' $\epsilon^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \epsilon . . . \delta \epsilon \xi^{\prime} \rho_{\mu} \in \theta$ a i.e. all that you had to do (to prevent the sacrifice) was to invent some easy excuse, and we shall receive you (if you save Iphigeneia) on your return with loyalty and affection. $\epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota$ refers to what she has already said- $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$., while $\delta \epsilon \xi$. is taken up by what follows- $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$. $\delta \in \in \xi \iota v$ the addition of the cogn. accus. increases the emphasis, cf. Ar. Plut. Іо $\mu \epsilon \mu \psi \iota \nu \delta \iota \kappa a i a \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \mu \phi о \mu a \iota$ $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu$. These words of Klytaemnestra call up before us the return of Agamemnon from Troy to the fearful doom which awaited him at home, and the vision of Klytaemnestra receiving him, in the tragic phrase of Aeschylus, after years of absence, fortunate at last, and triumphant,- - $s \delta \omega \mu^{\prime} a^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \pi \tau o \nu$.
1186. $\tau \mathfrak{i} \sigma 0 \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'What is the good that you will ask for yourself from heaven when you are butchering your child?'
 here $=$ 'be loyal to'.
1192. Kai emphasizes the verb.
 consider this?' Cf. Med. 872 द́ $\mu a v \tau \hat{\eta}$ ठıà $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \dot{a} \phi \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \eta \nu . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~$ constr. of $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ cf. Ion 572 то仑̂то кä $\mu$ ' Є́ $\chi \in \iota \pi o ́ \theta$ os.
1195. Sıaф'́pєLV 'parade' your sceptre; the word has a tinge of contempt.
1199. ท̂v see Gr. Gr. 268 N. I. Aesch. Suppl. 244 ס<каєov $\hat{\eta} \nu$ aequum evat.

12IO. MSS. oú $\delta \epsilon i s ~ \pi \rho d s ~ \tau \alpha ́ \delta ' ~ a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon i ́ \pi o l . ~ T e x t ~ B u r g e s . ~ T h e ~ d a t . ~$ ( $\tau 0 \hat{o} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ ) after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon i \nu$ generally denotes the person contradicted, and $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \epsilon$ may therefore have been written as a gloss over $\tau 0 \hat{i} \sigma \delta \epsilon$. If it then found its way into the text, displacing $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma \delta^{\prime}$, the disappearance of $a^{\prime} \nu$ would be nearly inevitable.

1212 f . $\pi \in \in \tau \rho a s, \kappa \eta \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \kappa \kappa \lambda$. Cf. Shaksp. Merch. of Ven. v i Therefore, the poet $\mid$ did feign that Orpheus drew trees, stones, and floods; | since naught so stockish, hard, and full of rage, | but music for the time doth change his nature.
1214. $\dot{\epsilon} v \tau$. äv $\eta \mathfrak{j} \lambda \theta_{o v}$ ' I would have had recourse to'; $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a \hat{v} \theta a=\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$

1216. iкєт $\quad$ piav the branch of olive carried by suppliants, called
 it fillets of wool were twined (not tied, cf. Suppl. $3^{2} \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \partial \partial \nu \ddot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \sigma \nu$ $\phi u \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s)$, which were termed $\sigma \tau \notin \phi \eta$ (Aesch. Cho. 1035) or $\sigma \tau \xi \mu \mu a \tau a$. The latter word is used in Androm. 894 as iкєтпpia is here- $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \mu a \dot{\sigma} \omega \nu$
 both passages is to the custom of placing the suppliant-branches on the altar (cf. supr. 911), for which see Aesch. Suppl. 48I ff. yóvaotv the dat. for the more usual genit. as in Troad. 1208. Cf. also infr. 1449.
1220. $\pi \rho \dot{\tau} \tau \eta \sigma^{\prime}$ є́кá $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \kappa \tau \lambda$. Cf. Lucret. i 93 nec miserae prodesse in tali tempore quibat $\mid$ quod patrio princeps donarat nomine regem. So Racine (iv 4) Fille d'Agamemnon c'est moi, qui, la première, | seigneur, vous appelai de ce doux nom de père.

1230. $\pi o ́ v \omega \nu$ cf. supr. $690 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu 0 \chi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a s \pi a \tau \eta \rho_{\rho}$. El. $1145^{\circ}$.
1233. $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \sigma \epsilon ~ \Pi \epsilon ́ \lambda о т о s ~ s c . ~ i к \epsilon \tau \epsilon u ́ \omega . ~ C f . ~ P h o e n . ~ 1665 . ~$.
1234. $\hat{\eta} \pi \rho i v \kappa \pi \lambda$. 'who in time past didst bring me forth with pangs of travail'. Klytaemnestra in Aesch. Ag. 1417 speaks of

1237. $\eta^{\lambda} \lambda \theta_{\mathrm{E}}$ sc. Paris. The sense is, as Hermann gives it, cur, quod ille Spartam venit, mihi est pereundum?

1239 f. $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ тov̂to $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'this at least, as a memorial of you',

 found occasionally in Attic poetry; cf. Soph. O. C. 1443, and perhaps [Eur.] Rhesus 829 .
1242. iкќт. тarpós $\kappa \tau \lambda$. ' entreat of thy father that thy sister may

1249. "Summing all in one I will carry the argument". Gvv
 $\mu \dot{\chi} \not \eta \nu$ \&c.) stands here for the usual $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$, Herakl. 253 \&c.

1253 f. In order to estimate justly the part which the chorus plays in scenes of lively emotion it is important to bear in mind that the Greek tragedies were written to be acted, not, primarily, to be read. Now it may be observed that, when an actor has been able to excite and maintain the keen interest of his audience during a speech of any length, there follows upon its close a slight restless movement through the house as people recover themselves from the sustained effort of attention. There is therefore a brief interval in which the effect of any weighty utterance would be weakened or lost. It is by the help of the chorus that this interval is bridged ; and the modes of expression which they adopt, together with the range of sentiments to which they generally confine themselves, are therefore worthy of remark. We find them at these critical moments interposing a short sentence, which designedly contributes nothing either striking or novel or essential to the development of the action, but is, on the other hand, not wholly disconnected with the matters of which they are witnesses. Often, as here, they simply repeat in a quiet manner the "note" of the situation; or they touch upon the moral issues at stake, viewing them however, as suits their character of spectators of the action, not so much with reference to the individual case as in connexion with the abiding principles by which the world is ordered. We thus perceive that on both the artistic and moral sides their position is in living accord with the aims of the drama; and so far from being offended by
"the common-places of the chorus" we should in this very characteristic recognize an economy of the most discerning and effective nature. It is interesting to note that Shakspeare in his use of comic scenes to relieve the intense strain of tragedy works on a theory essentially similar to that of the Attic dramatists.

1255 f. "Loving my own children, I understand what moves compassion, and what does not. I were mad else "; i.e. $\mu a \iota \nu 0 i \mu \eta \nu$ à $\tau i \mu \eta$
 accus. following the adjective cf. Soph. Ant. 786. Hippol. 574 ф $\rho \in ́ v a s$ éríббuтos.

1257 f. $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ̂ s \ldots \mu \eta$ cf. Aesch. Ag. 206 ßapєîa $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ кク̀ $\rho$ тò $\mu \eta ̀$

1260. ö $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$ ävakтєs=óm $\bar{i} \tau a \iota$ as distinguished from the $\nu a v \tau \iota \kappa \partial s$


1264. "There rages I know not what passion in the Hellenic host". It seems highly probable that 'Aфpodit $\eta$ is meant to suggest $\dot{\alpha} \phi \rho o \sigma u ́ \nu \eta$. The Goddess of Love is regarded as responsible for the evils which followed the judgment of Paris; and the same fanciful connexion between the words is made explicitly by Hecuba (Troad. 990), who says of Aphrodite that her name ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ a á $\phi \rho o \sigma u ́ \nu \eta s$ ä $\rho \chi \in \iota$. (Cf. Aristot. rhet. p. $1400^{\text {b }} 22$.)
 'the rape of Grecian wives'. The phrase is like that used by Helen

1267. ктєívoval cf. supr. 1028.
1268. $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$. Though $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon i ̂ a ~ \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ in Soph. O. T. 409 means to discharge or fulfil the divine commands, the contrary sense "make of none effect", which is required here, may be justified by such phrases
 meaning is plain from the context, and no confusion would be created.
1270. "Nor am I guided by his desire"; cf. supr. 1214. For the phrase $\tau \delta$ $\beta$ oud. see on supr. 33.
 stated.
1273. ő öov év $\sigma 0$ ' 'as far as in thee lies'; cf. Alkest. 278.

1279-1335. When her mother's indignation and her own pleading fail to move Agamemnon, Iphigeneia bursts forth into a lyric cry of despair. To the hardness of her lot is added the bitterness of the
thought (129I) that all the suffering which she must undergo is nothing but the avenging stroke which the sin of others has drawn down upon the innocent (cf. Introd. p. xiii). Then with passionate intensity as she concludes, 一 $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu o \iota \nu a \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi a \lambda \kappa \epsilon \mu \beta 0 \lambda \alpha \dot{\delta} \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.-she gives utterance to the wish that the Greeks had never sailed. Fate has nothing but sorrows in store for the race of men.
 us both' i.e. my fate harmonizes with thine in unhappiness. The metaphor is the same as in $\sigma v \nu \alpha q \delta \epsilon \iota \nu, \sigma u \nu \omega \delta \delta s$ s.
1288. 'Iסaios 'was called the child of Ida', i.e. because he was exposed there.
1291. $\ddot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon \nu \mathrm{sc}$. Прі́a $\mu \mathrm{s}$.
1292. After this line there follows in the MSS. 'A $A \in \xi a \nu \delta \rho o \nu$, which was recognized as a gloss by Monk.
1299. $\theta \in a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \delta \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ ' f o r ~ g o d d e s s e s ~ t o ~ c u l l ' ; ~ t h e ~ m i d . ~ \delta \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ i s ~$

1304. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l \pi \delta \dot{\theta} \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau \rho \cup \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma a$ 'vain of the love which she inspires'. $\pi 6 \theta 0 s$ is used here in the sense of $\chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota s$ supr. $555^{\circ}$
 єن̉uopфlas: v. also supr. 183.
 truly with a maiden's bane though with glory to the sons of Danaus is the offering which Artemis received before the voyage to Ilion'. $\quad ठ \nu о \mu a$ because the taking of Troy is looked upon as sure to follow the release of the fleet. By кópa Iphigeneia means herself. The word oivos, though not found elsewhere in Euripides, is used by Aeschylus with reference to Helen, Ag. 734. The reading of these lines must however be regarded as uncertain; see append.
1316. $\delta v \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in v a v a f t e r ~ t h e ~ a n a l o g y ~ o f ~ H o m e r ' s ~ \delta u ́ \sigma \pi a \rho ı s ~ I l . ~ I I I ~ 39 . ~$


I319ff. "I would that never had Aulis here received into these her havens the sterns of bronze-beaked ships, the fleet that sped the host toward Troy; and O that Zeus' breathings on the Euripus had not been adverse to the voyage, Zeus who doth so temper the winds to men that some have joy in the drawing sails, whilst for some there is sorrow, and for some constraint: here they are speeding on their way, and there they are furling sail, and there again they wait".
 stern, cf. El. $1022 \pi \rho u \mu \nu 0 \hat{\chi} \chi \circ \nu$ Aû $\lambda \iota \nu$.

1323．The words here need not be held to contradict the view taken in this play（cf．supr．iof．Introd．pp．ix，x）that the cause of the fleet＇s detention was a calm．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a i a \nu \pi o \mu \pi \alpha \dot{ } \nu$ expresses the opposite idea to ovipia $\pi o \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$（v．supr．352）by a kind of oxymoron．

1326．Toîs $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ is understood before $\lambda a i \phi \in \sigma \iota \chi$ ．Cf．Soph．Trach．II where a similar ellipse of $\alpha$＂$\lambda \lambda o \tau \epsilon$ occurs．

1330．äp’ $\mathfrak{\eta} v$ cf．supr． 404.

 nestra，＇for false delicacy（ $\dot{\beta} \beta \rho \delta \tau \eta \tau)$ ）in view of what has now befallen us： there is no use in reserve，if we can but persuade him＇－to save your life，she was about to conclude，but Achilles breaks in while her sentence is still unfinished．The metaphor in $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa \delta \tau a$ is from the fall of the dice；cf．El． $6_{39}$ rpòs rò $\pi i \pi \tau 0 \nu$ ．

1346．In order to avoid the use of the cogn．accus．$\beta$ onj with the
 follows，it is not harsh to take $\beta$ ońv as the accus．to $\lambda \in$＇́ $\gamma \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ；（or some such verb），understood．

1347．＂Thou hast begun with words that presage ill＂；cf．supr． 607 n．

1350 f．$\sigma \omega_{j}^{\prime} \omega \nu \nu$＇trying to save＇；cf．El． 1024 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ä $\lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$

 observe the $\epsilon$ lengthened before $\tau \lambda$（see on supr．$\sigma_{3} 6$ ），making the first syllable of $\notin \tau \lambda \eta$ long，which is very unusual．

1354．＂Who taunted me as the slave to my hopes of marriage＂． With a like sneer does the Kreon of Sophokles retort to the pleading of
 （Ant． 756 ）．The article（ $\tau \delta \nu$ ）with the predicate recals the actual words



## 1355．єن̉vท่้ cf．supr． 103 n ．

I 359 f．тov́ $\delta \in \mathfrak{\epsilon}$ i．e．the attendants carrying Achilles＇arms．фpєv⿳⿵人 cf．supr． 327 ．Klytaemn．＇Blessings on you for your generous feeling！＇ Ach．＇Well，I shall have my reward＇，i．e．a chivalrous action is its own reward．


1364. aip€ $\begin{gathered}\text { eis } \text { ék }^{2} v \text { 'chosen-a willing servant'. By the sarcastic }\end{gathered}$ addition of $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa(\omega \nu$ Ach. implies that the alternatives in Kl.'s question do not exclude each other. With the form of this line cf. Hel. 1633

1366. Éधeipas for the genit. cf. infr. 1459. тi Xpy see on supr. 636.
1367. ís тov̂ $\delta$ ' oúvєк (a) кт入. 'Be sure that as far as that goes (i.e. holding her fast) she shall not \&c.' In this constr. $\dot{\omega}$ s is ellip-


1368. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \eta{ }_{\eta}^{\xi} \epsilon \mathrm{L}$. 'But indeed it will come to this' i.e. to an actual struggle. єiбakov́бate the plural because here Iphigeneia addresses herself to Achilles as well ; cf. supr. 436 f. n.

At this crisis Iphigeneia declares herself willing to die. The natural shrinking from the horrors of death which found relief in the lyric outburst $12 \% 9 \mathrm{ff}$. has given way before a courageous resolve sustained by the feelings and considerations inspired by a generous spirit. Like Makaria, the heroine of the Heraklidae, she has recognized that duty bids her suffer, and henceforward to quit life with dignity is her
 $\lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \beta i o \nu$ (Herakl. 533); unlike Makaria, she reaches this sublime decision, not immediately upon hearing of the dread ordeal through which she is required to pass, but only after a struggle with less heroic feelings. On this point in the character of Iphigeneia something has been already said in the Introduction.
1370. Td $\delta^{\prime}$ á $\delta$. кaptє $\rho \in \hat{v}$ ' to none of us is it easy to resist where resistance is vain'. She intends these words, in which delicacy of feeling prompts her to use the general $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ in preference to anything more definite, as an excuse for Agamemnon's behaviour.
 $\delta \iota a \beta \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ i.e. have my conduct unfavourably represented to them.


 M $\eta \delta \iota \kappa \eta$ §, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ aủ $\alpha \dot{\alpha}$.
1378. 'Eid $\alpha$ s $\dot{\eta} \mu \in \gamma / \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'Hellas the great all looks to me'. $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta$ is here used as $\mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$, cf. Aesch. Eum. $44 \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \varphi$. Cf.


I 379 ff . "On me depends the passage of the ships across the sea, and the overthrow of the Phrygians; with me it rests to check the bar-
barians, should they make any attempt upon our women in the future, from carrying off these any more from prospering Hellas, when once by death they have made atonement for Helen's marriage-tie which Paris violated ". The reading however of 11. 1381, 2 is far from certain (see append.); with the general tenor cf. Androm. 103 'I $\lambda i \notin$ aime $\nu \hat{q}$ Mápıs oủ $\gamma$ á $\mu o \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda a ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu ’ ~ a ̈ r a \nu \mid a ̉ \gamma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau ' ~ \epsilon u ̉ \nu a i a \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ s ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \mu o u s ~ ' E \lambda \epsilon ́ v a \nu . ~$
 ' $\sigma \tau i \kappa \tau \lambda$.
1392. $\delta \iota a ̀ \mu a ́ x \eta s \mu 0 \lambda \in i ̂ v . . . A p y \in l o s s ~ c f . ~ i n f r . ~ 1415 f$.
1394. крєlo $\sigma \omega \nu$ ópâv cf. Orest. 805 à $\nu \dot{\rho} \rho \ldots \mu \nu \rho i \omega \nu \kappa \rho \in i \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ $\dot{j} \mu a i \mu \omega \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\imath} \kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \phi i \lambda o s$. Nauck and Weil accept Dobree's correction $\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.

1398 f. Compare Herakl. 590-592.
1400 f. $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ p \omega \nu \delta^{\prime \prime}$ "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ as...cikós. These words are quoted by Aristotle polit. i 2 p. $1252^{\text {b }} 7$ $\delta \iota \delta \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ oi $\pi o \iota \eta \tau a l$ ' $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho \omega \nu . . . \epsilon i \kappa \delta s^{\prime}$,
 Greek view, of which Iphigeneia is here made the mouth-piece, that the 'barbarians' were $\phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ $\delta o \hat{\lambda} \lambda o \iota$, in contrast to Hellas the upholder and exponent of the vital principle of liberty, was the foundation of that contemptuous superiority felt by the Greeks themselves to external
 Orest. irif. (The whole passage will repay reference.)
1404. тò $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau u ́ X \eta s$ see Gr. Gr. 201 N. 4. vorধî 'iṣ at fault'.
1406. ' $\epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$, єi $\tau \dot{\prime} X \neq \iota \mu \iota$ ' meant to make me happy, -were I but to gain thee for my wife'; cf. Hom. Od. xviii 138 каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ є́ $\gamma \dot{\omega}$ тот'
 meant to prosper, had I not done wickedly).

1409 f. rò $\theta \in о \mu a \chi \in \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$. 'abandoning strife against the will of heaven, which is too strong for thee, thou hast reckoned fairly the good that fate has left to choose'. The antecedent to $\delta$ is the notion rò $\theta \in \hat{c} 0 \nu$ implicit in $\theta \epsilon o \mu a \chi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$. This verb occurs also thrice in the Bacchae: not elsewhere in Euripides. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau a ́$ and $\tau \dot{a} \nu a \gamma \kappa a i ̂ a ~ a r e ~$
 course, where choice is limited perforce'; i.e. to yield with a good grace (cf. infr. 1502 Өavô̂бa $\delta^{\prime}$ oủk ả áaivoual). Most edd. follow Monk in bracketing these two lines. But it is characteristic of both speeches of Achilles (1405-1416 and 1422-1433) that admiration of Iphigeneia's splendid devotion conflicts in his mind with a natural distress that it should actually be required. At once attracted and
repelled by the noble act which the heroine meditates, he approves the sublime conception, but cannot stifle a protest against the peculiar horrors of the stern fulfilment. Hence the almost indignant tone of 1. I43r-áф $\rho \circ \sigma u ́ \nu \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \hat{\eta} \theta a \nu \in i \hat{v}$. Why then does he speak of her death as rávaүкаîa (14Io)? It is natural, if we consider the fearful odds against any effort of his doing more than momentarily delay it. He can hardly expect single-handed to avert the ultimate accomplishment of the sacrifice desired by Artemis, to which Agamemnon has consented, and the army-including even his own personal following (i352 f.)-are determined to exact. It is the effect of these various reflexions which occasions the want of logical arrangement noticeable in these two speeches of Achilles, and accounts for the obvious difference in style from that of 919-974.
1417. In both mSS. a lacuna after $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ is indicated ( $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ $\left.\tau \dot{d} \delta^{\prime} \lambda \in i ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ P C\right)$; the second hand has added the words oú $\delta \epsilon \dot{\nu}$ ouvoèv $\epsilon u ̉ \lambda \alpha \beta o u \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta\left(\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{2}\right)$ in order to complete a line of which no probable restoration can now be made.
 word $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a$ here was perhaps suggested by the phrase $\tau \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \iota \dot{a} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu a$ : it has therefore a different force from that in Ion 1225 фó $\nu$ o $\nu \tau \iota \theta \in i \bar{\sigma} \alpha \nu$ (equiv. to фovev́ourav 'committing murder'), where $\tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ has its common poet. meaning 'cause' or 'make'; cf. Med. $384 \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$.
1425. ő $\mu \omega s \delta(\epsilon \in)$ sc. $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi \omega$. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \gamma \nu 0 i \not \eta s \tau a ́ \delta \epsilon c$ cf. Med. 64.
1426. "So then that thou mayest be assured of my intentions, they are spoken now". $\tau a^{\prime} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ ' $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ cf. supr. $844 \tau \dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \sigma 0 \hat{v}$ ' what I hear from you'. For $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \alpha$ Weil reads $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \in \tau \alpha \iota$.
1430. The predominance of $\sigma$ in this line mars its euphony. The same fault occurs in several other verses of Euripides (see I. T. 765. Med. 476 ), and was laughed at by the comic poets ; cf. Eubulus 26 and 27 (ed. Kock).
1436. $\pi a v \hat{\sigma} \alpha l \mu \in \mu \grave{\eta}$ кáкı'̧є 'forbear, make me not a coward'; i.e. by your tears. Hermann explains this singular phrase as a mixture of two constructions $\pi a \hat{v} \sigma a l ~ \mu \epsilon к а к \iota \zeta \omega \nu$ and $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \kappa \iota \zeta \epsilon$. The mid. какі $\zeta \in \sigma \theta a \iota=$ ' to turn coward', Med. 1246. Ion 984. The act. in prose means to 'abuse'; for exx. see L. and S. s.v. какi乡н.
1437. $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov' $\delta \grave{v} \nu$ aं $\delta \iota \kappa \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ' of my dealing thou shalt take no wrong'. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ would have been more usual. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is emphatic, sc. however thy father may treat thee. On the form $\alpha^{\delta} \delta \iota \kappa \eta{ }_{j} \sigma \in$ see supr. 331 n .
 refers to the $\pi \lambda 6 \kappa \alpha \mu o s \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \eta \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \circ$ (Aesch. Cho. 7) laid in token of
 custom cf. Alkest. 8i8 f. (cf. also Ar. Ach. 1024 є $\uparrow \tau a \lambda \epsilon v \kappa \partial ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \mu \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota$; i.e. how is it you are not in mourning?). It is very probable that this line ( r 439 ), which violates the rule of stichomuthia that generally preserves equality in the number of lines of each reply to that of the speech preceding it, was made up by some one from 1499 and inappropriately inserted here.
1441. oủ $\sigma \dot{v} \gamma \in \mathrm{sc}$. $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\omega} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} s \mu \epsilon$. (Distinguish the deprecatory $\mu \grave{\eta}$

1443. No barrow will be raised to her, because she is to be burned upon the altar. Compare I. T. 82 I (Iphigeneia is speaking of the lock of hair sent to her mother) $\mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon i \hat{a} \gamma^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \tau i \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau o s \tau o \dot{v} \mu o \hat{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \psi$ : where by $\tau a ́ \phi o s$ a cenotaph at Argos is meant.
1444. $\tau i \delta \eta$ '; $\kappa \tau \lambda$. i.e. is not the mere fact of a person's death considered (as regards the displaying by the survivors of the outward signs of mourning) as equivalent to their burial? Klytaemn. asks why, though she cannot lay a lock of hair upon the grave, she should refrain from cutting it when her daughter is dead-the кoupà $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \iota \mu \circ$ Orest. $45^{8}$-as a last symbol of affection and grief.
1451. X $\alpha$ ip $\mathcal{L} \boldsymbol{\nu} \gamma(\epsilon)$ 'yes, bid them farewell; and see that thou rear
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a \nu$ тoî $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\chi \theta \rho \delta \nu$.

1456. See Introd. p. ix fin. áy $\boldsymbol{\omega} v a s$ so Medea, meditating the destruction of her rival, says $\notin \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \in s \tau 0 i ̂ s \nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i \nu \nu \mu \phi i o c s$ (Med. 366).
1458. סó $\lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \delta(\bar{\epsilon})$ 'But it was by treachery' \&c. $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ introduces an objection to Iphigeneia's plea for Agamemnon. Cf. supr. 732, 153.

1465. oủ $\mu \eta ̀ \mu o ́ \lambda \omega$. On oú $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the subj., expressing emphatic negation, see Gr. Gr. 292.
1466. oủk $\dot{\alpha} \xi \mathfrak{i} \omega \mathrm{s}$ ad aras $\mid$ deductast, non ut sollemni more sacrorum | perfecto posset claro comitari Hymenaeo, | sed casta inceste nubendi tempore in ipso | hostia concideret mactatu maesta parentis. Lucr. i 95. 1467. oủk $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \omega$ ' 'I forbid'; cf. oủ $\phi \eta \mu$.
1468. The accus. $\kappa 6 \rho \eta \nu$ is governed by $\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \cup ф \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha a \hat{a} \nu a$ which


Soph．Trach．50．I＇т $\epsilon$ є $\phi \eta \mu$ ia＇let the command go forth for silence＇； cf．infr． 1564 ．

1471 f．See supr． 955 n．III2．
 from left to right；cf．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \xi$ cos．Hartung cites in illustration of this



1477 f．$\sigma \tau \in ́ \phi \in a$ cf．Lucr．i 87 cui simul infula virgineos circumdata comptus \＆c．$\pi \lambda$ о́каноs ő $\delta \epsilon$ катaбт́́фєьv＇here is my lock of hair to lay upon the altar＇；this clause is parenthetic．For the infin．following


 take an accus．of the person in whose honour the dance is performed．

1486．Ө́́ $\sigma \boldsymbol{\phi} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon_{\xi} \xi \lambda_{\epsilon}(\psi \omega$ a fine and graphic phrase，led up to by the preceding words aipa⿱⺌兀 $\theta \dot{\mu} \mu a \sigma i \quad \tau \epsilon$ ：the oracle is thought of as a written behest at once fulfilled and washed away as the blood of the victim flows．

1487 ff．wis $\delta \alpha \alpha^{\kappa} \rho v a ́ \gamma \in \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．＇my tears shall be for thee－and I will give them now，for at the altar is no place for tears＇；cf．infr． $1560 \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\eta}$
 $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，my tears flow，no longer for my own fate but for the grief it will cause to you．

1492 ff ．＂Join with me in singing the praise of Artemis who hath worship in the land over against Chalkis，where now in the strait－ mouthed haven of Aulis by reason of me the angry spearmen are impatient for the end＂．＂Aprє $\mu \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \pi \pi o p o v$ ．The name of the goddess，as Paley remarks，is put here for her temple \＆c．，cf．Aesch． Theb． 582 日єoùs roùs $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s \mid \pi o \rho \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ．The allusion to Chalkis is natural in an appeal to the chorus of Chalkidian women．Sópara the weapons put for the men who use them；cf．supr． 189 n ．（ $\dot{a} \sigma \pi i s$ ）：infr．
 of $\delta \iota^{\prime} \xi \mu \epsilon^{\prime}$ because she is thinking of the terms in which Kalchas＇fatal announcement was made（ $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \hat{\imath} \lambda \epsilon \nu$＇ $1 \phi \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu-' A \rho \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \delta \iota \theta \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota, 90$ ）；and we are reminded of the thrilling dread with which the name of the victim was awaited from the lips of Kalchas on a similar occasion：－ gelidusque per ima cucurrit｜ossa tremor，cui fata parent，quem poscat Apollo．Verg．Aen．ii 120 ．The meaning of $\mu \notin \mu \rho \nu \epsilon$ will then be that the army，knowing now whose death will release them，are eager to
give Artemis her victim and make sail for Troy (-quae sibi quisque timebat | unius in miseri exitium conversa tulere).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.
1499. $\theta \in \rho a ́ \pi v a l ~ ' h o m e ' ; ~ c f . ~ H e r c . ~ f u r . ~ 370 ~ П \eta \lambda ı a ́ \delta \epsilon s ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \nu a l ~ ' h o m e-~$ steads on Pelion'.



1502 f. фáos cf. supr. 1063 n. $\theta a v o v ̂ \sigma a ~ \delta ' ~ o v ̉ k ~ a ̀ v a i ́ v o \mu a l ~ ' I ~ d o ~$ not chafe at leaving life'; cf. Aesch. Ag. $583 \nu \iota \kappa \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$ os $\lambda$ óroıб兀 $\nu$ oủk àvalvo $\mu a \iota$.
 mine'. Є̈ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ is euphemistic, as in $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$ Є̈ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ \& c., contrasting the free vigorous life beneath the sun with the feeble shadowy existence in the underworld ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \in \rho \theta \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ oú $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu, 125 \mathrm{r}$ ). With this last farewell to the light of day Iphigeneia is led away to the altar, and Klytaemnestra retires within the general's tent (whence she is summoned by the messenger 1532), leaving the stage free for the chorus, who thereupon sing two ко $\mu \mu$ ol ( $1510-153 \mathrm{I}$ ). During this interval the events which are presently narrated $\mathrm{I}_{532} \mathrm{ff}$. are supposed to be taking place.
 her head and sprinklings of lustral water, as she goes to bedew with the dews of flowing blood the altar of the murderous goddess and her own fair throat at the moment of slaughter'.
1522. к $\lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ 'let us celebrate' ( $\kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \xi \omega$ ): cf. Ar. Birds $95^{\circ}$ $\kappa \lambda \hat{p} \sigma \circ \nu, ~ \grave{\omega} \chi \rho v \sigma b \theta \rho о \nu \epsilon, \tau a ̀ \nu \tau \rho \circ \mu \epsilon \rho a ́ \nu, \kappa \rho v \epsilon \rho a ́ \nu$.

1524 ff . 'O Lady, by the death of a human victim made propitious, send thou on its way to the Phrygians' land the Hellenic host, and grant that Agamemnon may encircle the Grecian lances with a crown of fame, and his own brows with a glory that shall never pass out of mind ".

1532-1612. A messenger now enters bringing to Klytaemnestra tidings of the strange dénouement which has taken place at the altar of Artemis. The narration ( 540 ff .) of the scene before the ceremony, the terrible preparations of the priest, and the miraculous disappearance of the victim, is powerful in its clearness and simplicity. The messenger concludes by declaring to Klytaemnestra that her daughter has been rescued by the gods, who 'preserve those whom they love'. (See further Note B.)
1536. $\mu \eta$ - ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ кєьs 'lest thou art here with tidings for me of some fresh mishap \&c.' For the indic. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \epsilon \iota s$ cf. Thukyd. iii. $53 \phi \circ \beta о \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta a \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$.

I 549 f . The averted head and features veiled by the robe were characteristic of the figure of Agamemnon in Timanthes' picture of the Sacrifice of Iphigeneia; see Introd. p. xvi f.
 being in agreement with the subject of the infinitive $\theta \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$. Cf. Hek. 539 f .
 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a s \in i s \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho a \nu \mu 0 \lambda \epsilon i \nu$.
1559. $\pi \rho \frac{1}{s}$ tav̂̃a with imperatives is always more or less defiant in tone, Aesch. P. V. 992 \&c.
1567. ко入є $\omega \nu$ '̇ $\sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ 'from (within) the scabbard'. Musgrave proposed to read $\delta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ (which is adopted by Weil) depending upon ${ }^{*} \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu,{ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ being then equivalent to ${ }^{\ell} \sigma \omega$. In support of this reading is cited schol. Ar. Peace 948 which mentions the concealment of a sacrificial knife among the meal ( $\tau a i \hat{s} \delta \lambda a i ̂ s$ ) in the basket ( $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$ $\kappa \alpha \nu \hat{\varphi})$.
1569. ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \rho \epsilon \in \epsilon$ old Attic aorist of $\tau \rho \in \neq \chi \omega$, see instances in L. and S. s.v. $\tau \rho \epsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega$. The accus. $\beta \omega \mu_{\partial 0}^{\dot{j}} \nu$ is perhaps to be explained as governed by the phrase $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \dot{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \psi-\epsilon \theta \rho \epsilon \xi \in$, having the force of $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \delta \rho a \mu \epsilon$. It has been objected that ${ }^{*} \theta \rho \epsilon \xi \xi$ (for which Weil reads $\xi \beta \rho \epsilon \xi \in \epsilon$ 'sprinkled') is out of place here, since 'running' is not appropriate in the performance of a sacred function. It appears however that the circuit of the altar on these occasions was made at a quick pace, cf. Ar. Peace 956 (quoted supr. 1473) $\pi \epsilon \rho h_{1} \theta_{l} \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \epsilon \omega s$.

1570 f. Өךрокто́vє cf. Ar. Lysistr. 1262 (dor.) бךрокто́vє. Anacr. 1
 $\theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$. On the combination of the titles appropriate to the LightGoddess and Goddess of the Chase by which Artemis is here invoked see Note C.
 blow' in order to deliver a $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \iota \rho i a$. For $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu$ we should perhaps adopt Markland's $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \in \nu \nu \nu$.
1581. $\alpha \mathfrak{l} \phi \nu \eta s(=a ̉ \phi \nu \omega)$ is a late form. Hence Weil reads $\dot{o} \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \alpha^{a} \phi \nu \omega$, supposing ai申 $\phi \nu \eta$ s to have been a gloss upon ${ }^{\prime \prime} \phi \nu \omega$.
 colloquial mode of expression, which is appropriate enough to a man of the messenger's position in extreme bewilderment. Cf. infr. 1590.
1586. $\mu \eta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \delta \rho \omega \mu \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v \mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ is out of place here. It is probable that the line is considerably corrupted.


1590. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ סokeîs Xaip $\omega \nu$ a colloquialism ('with you can't think what joy'). $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s $\delta о к \epsilon i \hat{s}$; is often thus used by Aristophanes, and occasionally by Euripides, cf. Hippol. $446 \pi \hat{\omega} s$ бокєîs каӨúßpıбєข. Hek. ııбо.

1592 f. See append. The reading of this passage has been partially corrected by Musgrave. Before $\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{a} \tau \epsilon$ Weil inserts $\lambda a 0 \ell \theta^{\prime}$.
1594. MSS. $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$. Herwerden $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$. If right, $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\kappa \delta \rho \eta s$ must be explained as equivalent to $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \eta \geqslant \geqslant \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \delta \rho \eta \nu \kappa a l \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$. It is however very questionable whether this use of $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, though occasionally found in epic poetry, is admissible in tragedy.
1596. Again an evidently corrupt line. For $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ Egger with some probability proposed $i \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ : and other attempts have been made to restore the remainder of this verse, but none seems plausible enough to warrant its insertion in the text.

1598 f. Oápoos aîp $\epsilon \mathrm{cf}$. Soph. Aias 75 oủ $\sigma \hat{\imath} \hat{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda\{a \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \hat{i} s ;$ For the 2 nd pers. sing. of the imperatives (aî $\rho, \chi \omega \dot{\omega} \rho \epsilon \iota$ ) following $\pi \hat{\alpha} s \tau \iota s,-a$ usage probably colloquial in its origin-cf. Ar.
 Bacch. 173 i' $\tau \omega \tau \tau s$, $\epsilon i \sigma \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.

1613-1620. These lines as given in the mss. are unmetrical.
 spondaic anapaests, but Dindorf seems right in objecting that this metre is inappropriate to this place. I have thought it best on the whole to give 1l. 1613-1629 as exhibited by the MSS., with the exception of Porson's correction ‘єủ $\epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ for $\nu \epsilon a \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} 1623$, Barnes'


$\pi \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon i \pi \omega$; $\kappa \tau \lambda$. 'By what name am I to address thee? What is my assurance that this story is not falsely told to soothe me, in order that I may cease from my bitter grief for thee?' So strange is the tale of the messenger that Klytaemnestra fears that a pious fraud is being practised upon her to hide the terrible reality. But at this moment Agamemnon is seen approaching, and he presently confirms the messenger's report that Iphigeneia has been rescued by heaven, and announces that his troops are on the eve of departure for Troy.
1623. $\mu$ órXov Orestes.

## NOTE A.

## 11. $919-974$.

The latest contribution to the study of this speech of Achilles as a whole is a somewhat minute discussion by H. Stadtmüller in Fleckeisen's Fahrb. 1888 pp .665 ff . The results at which the author arrives are briefly as follows. He considers that the first 15 lines of the speech (919-93I) are sound, and that they have a special appropriateness as pointing the distinction between the Euripidean Achilles,-in whose character we are shown heroic ardour tempered and controlled by $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ —, and the Achilles of Homer with his fiery and ungoverned nature. In dealing with the remainder of the speech Herr Stadtmïller thinks a somewhat drastic handling necessary in order to restore what he supposes to have been the original text ; and his method involves a free transposition of lines combined with several excisions. In 11. 973, 4
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \omega \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \varsigma \sigma^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma o \mu \in \nu$.

## NOTE B.

$$
\text { 11. } \mathrm{I} 532-\mathrm{I} 629 .
$$

The lines which follow the final exit of Iphigeneia have been the subject of much critical discussion since Porson declared his opinion that the whole of this concluding portion of the play was a late interpolation (certe post Aeliani tempora suppositam. praef. ad Hecub. p. xxii). There are two and a half verses cited from this play, as was first pointed out by Musgrave ( 1761 ), by Aelian (de nat. animal. vii 39) $\dot{o}$


 $\sigma \phi \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ Өv $\quad$ aré $\rho a$,
which verses are not found in our mSS. Different opinions have been formed as to the proper inference to be drawn from this circumstance.

It has been held ( I ) that the concluding portion of the play was altogether different from that which we now possess, and that in the original the goddess Artemis was introduced by the poet to justify the ways of heaven to men by foretelling the rescue of the heroine, and the manner in which it would be accomplished. Or, that (2) the lines quoted by Aelian come from a lost prologue to the play spoken by Artemis before the entrance of Agamemnon. Or again, (3) that they belong, as Weil thinks, not to the prologue itself but to a kind of introduction composed by some one after the time of Euripides. Part of a similar false prologue to the Rhesus is preserved in the argument to the play which bears that name. It is possible (4) that Aelian was himself mistaken, and cited as belonging to the Iphigeneia of Euripides verses which in fact came from a tragedy by some other poet. It must be acknowledged that many lines occur in this part of the play (especially from $57^{2}$ onwards) which, as given in the MSS., are not such as Euripides could possibly have written. On the other hand, the speech of the messenger is well-conceived; the style of his narrative throughout is clear; and, in particular, the description of the scene at the altar seems far too terse and graphic to have been the work of an interpolator. In the absence of decisive evidence, we may perhaps be justified in believing that we have left to us the remains, sorely corrupted it is true, of the genuine work of Euripides.

## NOTE C.

## 11. $1570-\mathrm{I}$.

 We have in this invocation an evident identification of Artemis goddess
 EEAHNH. This identification is however merely a return to a much earlier conception, not a confusion of two deities at first distinct. Artemis was originally goddess of the moon; and in that character she is properly equipped with bow and arrows, which are symbolical of the moon's rays. Thus we find later that the terms $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu o ́ \beta \lambda \eta \tau o s$ and 'A $\quad$ т $\epsilon \mu \tau \delta \delta \beta \lambda \eta \tau$ were used synonymously of those who were supposed to be suffering from the effect of these rays striking upon them. From the fact of her being represented with a bow Artemis was then by a


$$
9-2
$$

 and-by the usual mythological extension-as guardian of wild animals (cf. Aesch. Ag. 140 ff.). The tendency soon became to distinguish Artemis the huntress from $\Sigma \mathrm{E} \Lambda \mathrm{HNH}$, in whom the Moon was worshipped as a divinity but without especial reference to any attributes or powers. The distinction appears to have clearly established itself, and was maintained for a while, but in the time of the tragedians the divergent aspects under which the goddess gradually came to be regarded had been already re-united, and Artemis appears again in her primitive function. (See Welcker Griech. Götterlehre II pp. 398 f.) The first instance of this reconciliation occurs in Aeschylus,
 $\kappa o ́ \rho \eta s$. Compare also Soph. Trach. 214 "A ${ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \nu-\epsilon \in \lambda a \phi a \beta o ́ \lambda o \nu, \dot{a} \mu \phi i \pi v \rho o \nu$ where the epithets are combined in a similar fashion to that which we find in the passage under discussion; the adjective which designates the huntress being followed by one appropriate to the moon as the giver of light by night ( $\dot{\mu} \mu \dot{\phi} \pi v \rho o s$ ' with a torch in each hand', as Artemis was sometimes represented in ancient art ; cf. Introd. p. xvii). It is with reference to this latter office that Artemis the Light-Goddess obtained her titles $\phi \omega \sigma \phi \sigma^{\prime} \rho o s \phi i \lambda o \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \alpha \delta o s ~ \& c$. There is also mention made in Pausanias I 3I, 4 of an altar in Attica to "A $\tau \tau \epsilon \mu / s \sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi o ́ \rho o s: ~ t h e$ epithet being descriptive of the radiant light of the moon. We may observe in conclusion that Iphigeneia is made by Aeschylus Sophokles and Euripides alike the victim of Artemis. But, on the one hand, in Sophokles El. 566 ff . it is the huntress whose anger and wounded pride must be pacified,-and in the same connexion, though the reference in this case is to an omen which concerns the protectress of wild animals, Kalchas in the Agamemnon of Aeschylus announces that Artemis ( $\pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ "A $\rho \tau \epsilon \mu \iota \nu, 202$ ) requires the sacrifice of the maiden's life. On the other hand, in the Tauric Iphigeneia of Euripides it is the goddess of light ( $\phi \omega \sigma \phi o ́ \rho \omega \theta \in \hat{\alpha}, 2$ I) to whom Agamemnon, according to the account of the affair at Aulis given in the prologue by the heroine, has devoted his daughter; while in the Iphigeneia at Aulis both attributes of the one divinity are combined in the titles by which Artemis is implored to receive the Grecian offering.

## APPENDIX.

## Table of deviations from Kirchhoff's text.

The following is a list of those passages in which the text of this edition departs from that exhibited by Kirchhoff in his edition of 1867 . In several cases the alterations admitted have been already mentioned or discussed in the notes; with regard to the rest, I have thought it advisable in view of the general scope of the book merely to record them here in tabular form for the convenience of the reader. A few places in which the orthography or punctuation differs from that adopted by Kirchhoff have not been set down; where the variation is otherwise than trifling it has been previously noticed in the commentary. In cases where the account of the MSS. reading given below differs from that in Kirchhoff's critical note, the information is derived from the collation of Wilamowitz-Möllendorff: see Introd. vii, p. xxi.

Kirchheff.
v. 7 ПР. et v. 9 АГА. auctore Bremio del. Kirchhoffius

v. $23 \lambda v \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
v. $42 \tau i \pi 0 \nu \epsilon i \hat{s}$;
v. $72 \kappa \rho i \nu \omega \nu$
v. $77 \mu \dot{\rho} \boldsymbol{\psi}$
v. 84 ка́та
v. 149 ПР. そ̌ $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$. АГА. $\kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \rho \omega \nu \quad \delta^{\prime} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\xi} \rho \mu a$. hunc versum post $\mathbf{I}_{52}$ auctore Hermanno posuit K.
v. $150 \quad \ddot{\eta} \nu \gamma$ á $\rho \nu \nu$
v. 171 ஸ́s...íoí $\mu a \nu$
v. 194 toîs
v. $234 \mu \epsilon i \lambda \iota \nu 0 \nu$

The present edition.

тò del. Marklandus
$\lambda u ́ \pi \eta$ editor
del. c deinde Blomfieldius
крìzas PC
$\delta \rho \delta \mu \psi$ Markl.
$\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau a$ F. W. Schmidtius (krit. stud. II. p. 244)
om. тádє PC manus pr. $\epsilon \in \neq \rho \mu \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \iota s$ Weckleinus
$\eta \nu \nu \nu \nu \mathrm{PC}$
ஸ́s катьбоíнад G. Dindorfius
$\tau \hat{a}$ Brodaeus ( $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ )
$\mu$ é̀ıcov Bothius
 $\ddot{a}^{\prime} \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon$
v． 286 post $\lambda \iota \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$ lacunae sig－ num posuit $K$ ．
v． 317 post hunc versum deesse alterum，item Aga－ memnonis，auct．Herm． significavit K．
v． 333 $\epsilon \hat{v} \kappa є \kappa \delta \mu \psi \epsilon \cup \sigma a \iota \cdot \pi о \nu \eta \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$
v． 336 oű̌o七

v． 367 є่ко́vтєs
v． $373 \mu \eta \delta \dot{\prime} \nu^{\prime} a ̈ \nu ~ \chi \rho \epsilon ́ o u s ~$
v． $407 \sigma v \nu \sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma o l ~ \beta o u ́ \lambda о \mu$＇， $\dot{a}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủ $\sigma u \nu \nu 0 \sigma \in i ̂$
v． 416 ढ่ $\nu o ́ \mu a \xi a s$
vv． $448,449 \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \quad \tau^{\prime}$ et ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu 0 \lambda \beta a$ （Musgravius）
v． $445 \sigma \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega}$
v． 5 IO $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$
v． 515 ＂A $\rho$ ros

v． 53 I ois
v． 538 фú入 $\alpha \xi \circ \nu \mathrm{K}$ ．
v． $547 \mu \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$
v． 580 öт८
v． $585 \delta \epsilon ́ \delta \omega \kappa \alpha s$
v． $587 \quad{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \iota \nu$
v． 589 Tpoías $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho \gamma a \mu a$ ．
v． $59^{2} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ dubitans servat K．
v． $602 \nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i \mu 0 \iota \mu 0 \lambda o ̀ \nu$
v． $603 \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\partial} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \kappa \nu$ оу＇ $\mathrm{A} \gamma \alpha \mu \hat{\mu} \mu$－ povos
v． $604 \mu \eta \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$
v． 626 тò N $\eta \rho \eta i \delta o s$
v． $632 \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega}$
v． 664 макра́ $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$

v． $675 \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\xi} \xi \epsilon \iota$
v． 694 бuvloхavei．
v． 724 бvעєข＇́ $\gamma \kappa \alpha \iota$
v． $73+\sigma \grave{v} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
v． 776 ff．$\lambda a \iota \mu о \tau \delta \mu$ оus кєфа入às｜ $\sigma \pi a ́ \sigma a s, \pi b \dot{\lambda} \iota \sigma \mu a$ Tpoías ｜$\pi$ е́ $\rho \sigma a s$ ката́краs $\pi \delta$－ $\lambda \iota \nu$ ．
v．78I $\pi$ тли́клаuтоs
v． 782 द̇ $\sigma \epsilon i \tau a l$
$\pi о \nu \eta \rho a ́ \cdot$ Monkius oüтє Herm． $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \mu \omega$ e Kirch．coniect．

$\mu \eta \delta \in \nu^{\prime}$ ä á $\rho a$（Nauckius）$\gamma \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu o u s$ （Monk．）
 de discr．adulat．et am．p． 64 C ف’vó $\mu a \zeta \in s$ Markl．
$\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu 0 \lambda \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau^{\prime}$ et $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ MSS．
$\sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \omega \mathrm{PC}$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o \iota \nu$ Markl．
$\gamma$ addit $\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{2}$
коủ $\delta$ év $\gamma \in$ Х $\rho \eta \sigma \tau o ́ \nu$ Canterus òs MSS．
фú $\lambda a \xi a \iota$ W．Headlam
$\mu a \nu$ dó $\delta \omega \nu$ Weckl．
ö $\theta_{\iota}$ Hartungius
$\tau^{\prime}$＇$\delta \omega \kappa \kappa \alpha$ Blomf．
${ }^{\prime} \in \rho$ ıs exempl．Hervag．altera
transposuit Blomf．
del．Herm．
$\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau i \mu 0 \lambda o ̀ \nu$ Herm．
тд̀ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \grave{\nu} \nu\left(\mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{C}^{2}\right) \tau \epsilon \in \kappa \nu 0 \nu$＇ $\mathrm{A} \gamma a \mu \epsilon \mu$－ póvio Herm．
$\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\eta}$ Heathius
$\tau$ ò $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ N $\eta \rho \hat{\eta} \mathrm{\eta} \delta o s$ Portus
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta a \lambda \hat{\omega}$ Porsonus
$\mu а к \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu$ PC（ $\gamma^{\prime}$ add．manus inter－ polatrix）
${ }_{\epsilon} \neq \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ Nauck．
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \xi \epsilon \iota s$ Elimsleius
$\sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \chi \nu a \nu \in i$ ．Anglus
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \nu \in ́ \gamma к о \iota$ L．Dindorf．
$\hat{\eta}$ ò̀ Herm．
$\sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s ~ \kappa є \phi a \lambda a ́ s ~ W e i l i u s ; ~ d e l . ~ i d e m ı ~$
Toolas et $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \nu$ tum $\pi$ є́ $\rho \sigma a s$ $\pi o ́-$ $\lambda \iota \sigma \mu a$ transposuit
seclusit Weil．
є ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \in \tau \alpha \iota$ Herm．
v． 795 E゙TvХєข
v． 796 ठ̋ $\rho \nu \iota \theta^{\prime} i \pi \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega$
v． 811 ä $\lambda \lambda$ os
v．8i $\gamma \delta \hat{a} \delta^{\prime}$
v． $840 \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu o c s$
v． 844 тà тapà $\sigma o \hat{u}$
v． 863 Klytaemnestrae tribuit K．
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu$
v． 865
v． 880
v． 889
v． 900
v． 902
v． 909
v．9II $\gamma \in \lambda \hat{a}$ ．

v．1017 $\epsilon i$
v． 1025 aủ $\bar{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu{ }^{2} \nu$
v． $1028 \phi \cup \lambda a ́ \xi 0 \mu \in \nu$
v． 1035 ठiкацos $\omega \nu \nu \alpha \nu \eta ̀ \rho ~ K$.
v． $104 \mathrm{I} \epsilon \nu$
v． 1055
v． 1056 f．$N \eta \rho \epsilon \in \omega$
v． 1058 ह̇ $\lambda$ átalбı
v． $1063 \pi a \hat{\imath} \delta \epsilon s$ ai $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda a \ell$

v． $1066 \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \xi \omega \nu 6 \mu \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$
v． 1076 f．$\tau a ̂ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \pi a ́ \tau \rho ı \delta o s ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o \nu ~ \mid ~$ N $\eta \rho \eta \dot{\delta} \delta \omega \nu$ 光 $\theta \epsilon \sigma a \nu \pi \rho \omega$－ tas
v． 1073 E้ $\nu \delta u \tau^{\prime}$
v． $1080 \quad \epsilon \pi \pi i \kappa \alpha ́ \rho a$
v． 108 I $\gamma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota a ̂ \nu$
v． $1087 \nu \nu \mu ф о к 6 \mu о \nu$
v． 1089 ff ．aiôoûs $\mid \ddot{\eta}$ rò $\tau \hat{a} s$ ápecâs
 $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi$ о ；
v． 1093 סúvauı
v．I I $30 \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$
v．II38 $\tau i \mu^{\prime} \eta$ グ $i ́ \kappa \eta \sigma a s$ ；
v．II4 ${ }^{2} \nu \alpha к а \lambda \dot{u} \psi о \mu \in \nu$
v．II5I $\pi$ робои́pioas
v．II $53 \Delta$ ós $\gamma \epsilon$
v．I I68 $\gamma \in \operatorname{t} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{0}$
v．II7 I f．$\ddot{\eta} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta \ldots \gamma \in \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta$
v．І І $6^{6} \kappa \alpha ́ \theta \omega \mu a \iota$

є̈ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \nu$ Musgr．$\sigma$ post $\Lambda \eta$ ク́ $\delta a$ add． Elmsl．
${ }^{\circ} \rho \nu \iota \theta_{\iota} \pi \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \ell \nu \omega$ Markl．
${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ e Kirch．coniect．
$\phi \rho \alpha ́ \zeta^{\prime}$ Nauck．
$\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \notin \nu o u s$ Herm．
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \sigma o \hat{0}$ Dobraeus
restituit Achillei Herm．（＇id quod codices exhibent＇．Wilamowitz－ M．）
$\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda c \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$ Matthiae
$\epsilon$ is $\mu \epsilon \in \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \alpha \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Monk．
$\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{PC}$
$\epsilon i \not \pi \epsilon \rho \rho{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇，$\epsilon i \kappa \dot{s} s$ Weck1．
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$ Herm．

$\delta e ̀$ Hensius
$\pi \epsilon \lambda$ as Markl．
ő $\tau \iota \delta \grave{\text { g（Reiskius）} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{C}}$
$\hat{\eta}$ Weil．
$\alpha \hat{v} \tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Monk．
$\phi \cup \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ PC
$\pi$ a $\alpha \dot{\alpha}$ coniecit Kirchh．
$\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ add．Vitelli
seclusit Weil．
transposuit Weil．

$\pi a i ̂ \delta a$（Kirchh．）$\sigma \epsilon ̇$（Weil．）$\Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a$ ． $\lambda(\alpha$（Kirchh．）
$\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ Weil．

$\tau a ̂ s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota \delta o s ~ \mid ~ N \eta p \hat{\eta} \delta$ bs $\tau^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$, rá $\mu o \nu$ Weil．N $\eta \rho \hat{\eta} \delta o s$ PC $\tau^{\prime}$ add．Herm．
$\epsilon \quad \nu \delta \dot{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ Dind．
$\hat{\omega} \kappa$ кра Herm．
$\beta a \lambda \iota a ̀ \nu$ Scaliger
у цифбконог Reisk．
 $\tau \iota \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o \nu$ ；Weil．

סúvaбıц Both．
$\mu^{\prime}$ Markl．
$\tau i \nu{ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta i \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha$ ；Herm．
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \lambda \wedge ́ \psi \omega \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mathrm{CP}^{2}$
$\pi \rho o \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma a s$ Hartung．
$\Delta \iota{ }^{\prime} s \tau \in$ Markl．
$\gamma$ for Fixius
$\epsilon i$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota . . . \gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Elmsl．
$\kappa \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$ Elmsl．
v． 1185
v． 1189
v．II93
${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \theta a$
oủ räp＇
¿v＇$\alpha \cup \cup \tau \omega ิ \nu \pi \rho o \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s$
v． 1207
$\nu \hat{\varphi} \mu \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \kappa \tau \alpha ́ \nu \eta$,
v． 1210
v． 1244
$\pi \rho \dot{s} \tau \alpha^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ à $\nu \tau \epsilon i \pi 0 \iota$
v． 1267
v． 1293
$\nu \eta \pi i 0 u s \epsilon$
ràs．．．кrє $0 \hat{0} \sigma \iota$
＇A $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi a \nu \delta \rho o \nu$ dubitans ser－ vat K．
v． 1297
v． 1309
v．I3IO
$0 \hat{v}$
râs ка入入ovâs
 баıбьข，فै кбрає
v． 13 II $\pi \rho \delta \theta u \mu a ́ \sigma^{\prime}$
v．I339 тóv $\tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \theta \epsilon a ̂ s ~ ' A \chi ı \lambda-$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ a$
v． 1341
$\phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon s, \tau \in \in \kappa \nu 0 \nu$
v． 1348
$\nu \iota \nu$ ．｜｜коủסєis évaltía
v．I349
єं $\gamma \dot{\omega} \tau \iota$
v． 1372
$\delta \iota a \beta \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} s$
v． 138 r
tàs
v． 1382
v． 139 r
v． 1395
v． 1425
$\epsilon \beta o u \lambda \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$
$\gamma \epsilon$
v． 1438
v． 1444
$\gamma \epsilon$
v． 1460
v． 1479
v． 1491
v． 1496
v． 1502
v． 1509
v． 1513
$\beta a \lambda \lambda о \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu,-\pi a \gamma a i ̂ s$
v． 1514
$\gamma \in \delta a l u o \nu o s$
v． 1516 $\theta a \nu 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \in \dot{u} \phi \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \sigma \omega ́ \mu a-$ tos

v． 1529
＇E入入á ${ }^{\circ}$
v． 1530
ка́ра é̇̀ $\nu$
v． 1536 ${ }^{2} \kappa \eta$ р

v． 1557 єu่тvХєiิтє
v． $1558 \delta \omega \dot{\rho} \rho 0 u$

єita Monk．
$\hat{\eta} \tau a ̈ \rho{ }^{\prime}$ Musgr．
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \quad \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$（Mehlhornus）$\pi \rho o \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ （Elmsl．）
 soethius
$\tau 0 і ̂ \sigma \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \dot{a} \nu \tau \epsilon i \pi 0 \iota$ Burgesius
$\nu \eta \pi$ íoo $\sigma \iota$ Monk．
$\tau$ d́s $\tau^{\prime}$ Herm．ктєívovo兀 PC
del．Monk．
каi PC
$\tau$ âs del．Matthiae


$\pi \rho o \theta \dot{\mu} \mu a r^{\prime}$ Elmsl．
$\tau \delta \nu \gamma \in \tau \hat{\eta} s \theta \in \hat{\alpha} s \pi a i ̂ \delta a$ Herm．
transposuit Lentingius
$\sigma \phi \epsilon$ ．（Herm．）｜｜коu̇סєis $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \sigma \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu a \nu$－ Tiov（p）
${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ Markl．
$\delta \iota a \beta \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ Monk．
$\tau a ́ \sigma \delta^{\prime}$ Pors．
$\delta \lambda \epsilon \theta \rho \psi \gamma \alpha \mu \mu \nu, \delta \partial \nu$ Herm．
 tung．
$\tau$ ì inserit p
$\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ Herm．
$\sigma \grave{v}$ Elmsl．
$\tau \ell \delta \dot{\eta} ; \tau \grave{\partial} \theta \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu\left(\delta \grave{\eta} \mathrm{PC} \delta \not{\mathrm{P}^{2}}\right.$ ）
${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \omega \gamma \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ Markl．
ta áás Reisk．
$i \grave{\omega} i \grave{\omega} \nu \in \alpha ́ \nu \iota \delta \epsilon s$ ，Herm．

$\mu \epsilon$ Elmsl．
$\ell \grave{\omega} ~ l \omega ́$ ．cum Nauckio Iphigeniae tribui as
$\beta a \lambda o \mu \notin \nu a \nu,(M a r k l)-.\pi a \gamma a i ̂ s ~ C ~$ סıalpovos Markl．
¢́a 0 ỗa Markl．del．$\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s$ Schmidt．
$\sigma \epsilon$ post $\tau \epsilon$ posuit Seidlerus
＇E $\hat{\text { ® }}$ 入á $\sigma \iota$ Markl．
$\theta$＇inserit Scaliger
ŋ̈кєє PC
$\pi \rho о \hat{\kappa} \kappa \nu$ Dindorf．
єủrvxoît Aldus
סopòs Piersonus
 роктоує,
v. 1573 ' $A \gamma \alpha \mu \notin \mu \nu \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \nu a \xi \quad \theta^{\prime} \dot{\delta}-\quad \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o ́ o s ~ ' A \gamma \alpha \mu, \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \omega \nu \tau$ ' $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \xi$ Weil. $\mu o \hat{v}$,
 єi $\sigma$ ท̆ $\epsilon$
v. $1582 \kappa \tau \dot{\pi} \pi o \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \hat{a} s \tau \tau s, \eta \not \eta \theta \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ $\stackrel{\mu}{\nu} \nu \sigma \alpha \bar{\omega} s$,

v. 1592 ó $\bar{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \theta v \sigma i a \nu, \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta}$ $\theta \epsilon \delta \mathrm{s} \mid \pi \rho \circ \hat{\theta} \theta \eta \kappa \epsilon \beta \omega \mu i \alpha \nu$, ध́ $\bar{\lambda} a \phi o \nu$ ó $\rho \epsilon \epsilon \delta \rho \delta \mu о \nu$;
v. $1594 \mu$ á̀ $\iota \sigma \tau a$
v. 1595 цıаívo
v. 1597 'I $\lambda$ iov $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ '

v. $1608 \dot{\alpha} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$
v. i6io $\delta$ è

v. $1623 \nu \in a \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$
v. 1625 хроьı́á $\gamma \in \tau$ वảuà

 Weil.
$\delta^{\prime}$ á $\rho^{\prime}$ ' iє $\epsilon \in u ́ s, \pi \hat{a} s$ Weil.
$\beta \omega \mu i a \nu$ et $\theta v \sigma^{i} a \nu$ transposuit Musgr. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ante $\epsilon^{\prime} \lambda a \phi o \nu$ posuit Weil.
$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{a} \nu \tau i$ Herwerdenus
$\mu \iota a ́ \nu \eta$ apogr. Paris.
'Iスiou toòs Herm.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s$ ús $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ Matthiae
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \pi \tau a \tau o$ (vid. Pors. Med. i)
бウ Bremius
i $\lambda \beta \iota \xi o i \mu \in \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu^{\circ}$ Herm
$\epsilon \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \nu \bar{\eta}$ Pors.
om. $\gamma \in$ Barnesius

## INDEX I．

$\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho 6 \tau \eta$ s， 1343

à $\epsilon i ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau o s, I_{53}$ I
$\dot{\alpha} \theta \in \sigma \phi a \tau o s, 232$
ä $\theta \rho \eta \sigma o \nu, 1416$
＂Aı $\delta \eta s, 461$
aimatóppuтоs， 1515
$\dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu, \mathrm{I}_{2}$
aí $\phi \nu \eta$ s， I 58 I
а́кйратоs， 1083
$\dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \dot{u} \sigma \tau \alpha \nu, 12 \mathrm{I}$
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \omega \rho, 878,94 \sigma$
ả入入á at enim， 500
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}-\gamma \alpha ́ \rho, 5 \mathrm{II}$
à入入à $\gamma 0 \hat{\nu}, 908$
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\eta}, 8_{47}$
ä $\lambda$ бos， 185
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} s, 999$
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，I44
${ }^{\alpha} \nu \nu$ omitted，I 199
ávaìpoual， 1503
$\alpha^{\nu} \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon$ with pres．and future， 90
${ }_{\alpha} \nu \theta \in \sigma \phi b \rho o s, I_{544}$
ả้＜кєтєút $\omega \mathrm{S}$ ， 1003
ä $\nu 0 \sigma$ оs как $\omega \nu, 982$
ávтітороs，I494
ă้ $2 \tau \cup \xi, 229$
äтарá $\mu v \theta_{0}$ оs， 620
$\alpha^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon, 304$
$\alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu,{ }_{5} 55$
á $\pi \mathbf{\beta} \boldsymbol{\beta} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \neq \pi \epsilon \iota \nu,{ }^{1} 378$
аंтоькท́ $\epsilon \epsilon \nu, 680$
ȧтoíбєтаı עó $\sigma \tau o \nu, 298$

а́токалєiv，I354
аं $\pi 0 \lambda \omega \tau \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath}, 79^{2}$
аं $\pi 0 \pi \rho \delta$ ， $\mathbf{I} 286$

$\alpha^{\prime} \rho \delta \eta \nu, 1589$
＇A $\rho \in \theta$ ov $\sigma a$ ，fountains so named， 170
${ }^{*}$ A $\rho \eta$ s M $v \rho \mu \delta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$, troops， 237
＂Tá申ıos， 284
${ }_{a}{ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，with dat．， 337 n ．
$\dot{a} \sigma \pi a i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu,{ }^{2} 587$

dं $\sigma \chi \alpha \lambda \hat{a} \nu, 920$
＇A A $\rho \in u ́ s(a ̆ \tau \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau o s), 321$
Aủ̀ída and Aû̀cv， 88
＇Аф 1
＇Афробіт $\quad$ s $\pi \nu$ оаі， 69

$\beta a \lambda$ cós， 222
$\beta$ ápıঠєs， 297
роикбोоs，of Paris， 180
$\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota)(\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu, 338$
$\gamma \in$ after $\pi \rho i \nu, 324$
$\boldsymbol{\gamma \in v \sigma a l a \tau o , 4 2 3}$
$\gamma \hat{\eta}$ ，city， 535
$\gamma \eta \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta$＇s， 259
סalбouєע $\dot{\cup} \mu \in \nu a l o v s, 123$
бакрирроєіि， 889
$\delta \epsilon \in$ ，objecting，${ }^{5} 53,732, \mathbf{I}_{45}$
$\delta \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon, 2 \mathrm{I}, 334$
$\delta \epsilon \in \mu a s$, periphrastic，937，417
of $\epsilon \mathfrak{\xi} \iota\}, 58$
סıaípovos $\theta$ câs， 1514
סıaкขaiєıv， 27
$\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu a ́ \chi \eta s \mu 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu(\tau \iota \nu \iota), 1392$
סıađa入ầ，I 340
סíкalos，loyal， 48
$\delta \circ \lambda \iota \sigma \varphi \rho \omega \nu, 1301$
$\delta \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \nu \alpha \nu$, г $з$ г 6

$\bar{\epsilon}$ before $\tau \lambda, 1351$
є́áбо $\mu \alpha$ ，33 I
（є่ $\gamma \gamma$ ú $\eta \sigma \iota s$ ）， 703

$\epsilon \theta \rho \in \xi \in, 1569$
$\epsilon i$ with subj．， 1240
$\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \nu \tau \iota \nu a$ ，＇come into his mind＇， 57， 1374
єккоойаи，г $32,729,736$
є́клоүіకомаи， 1410
є́к $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \circ \nu, 342$
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \eta \bar{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ ，of time， 816
є́кторє $\stackrel{\nu}{\nu}$, train， 209
є́ктир $\dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \nu$ ，гоךо
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \in ́ \pi \tau 0 \lambda \iota s, 1+76,1511$
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \phi а \nu \tau \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \tau о \mathrm{~S}, 5^{82}$
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda i \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu(\tau \iota \nu \alpha ́), 1480$
$\epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon}$ following $\mu \epsilon, 409$
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \lambda$ ока́s， 936
$\epsilon \nu$ ，of person judging， $4^{29}$
̇̇̀ ooi，penes te， 1273
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota \kappa \alpha \nu \hat{a}, 1471$
є̇ $\nu \dot{\prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \chi \epsilon \in \rho \nu \beta \epsilon \varsigma, 955$

$\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\varphi}, ~ І ~ І о б ~$
$\epsilon_{\epsilon} \xi a \lambda \epsilon i \neq \epsilon \iota \nu(\theta \epsilon \in \sigma \phi a \tau a), 1486$
$\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o v \sigma a \quad \chi a ́ \rho ı s,{ }_{5} 6_{4}$
єं $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ када人， 435
є̇छомı入єїбӨaı， 735
є̇ $\pi \epsilon \cup \not{ }^{2} \mu \epsilon і \bar{\nu}, 1468$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta \chi$ Єiे,${ }_{5} 5_{4}$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ ，of the terms， 29 ；in quest of，
178 ；with， $54^{1}$
є̇ $\pi \iota \delta \rho о \mu \alpha i ́, ~ I 597$

غ̇лта́тороs， 8
$\epsilon \epsilon \rho \in ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu,{ }^{\prime} 39$
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \xi \omega, 675$
єびסpooos， 1517

єひ̈Ө $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ रos， 579
єủщท่кєєร тúxal， 595
єüфๆца $\theta \rho 6 \epsilon \iota, 143$
${ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \omega$ ，with aor．partic．， $6_{59}$
$\hat{\eta}$ Ist sing．impf．$\epsilon i \mu i, 4^{8} 9$
خ̂ ráp， 325
$\dot{\eta} \mu i \theta \in о \iota,{ }^{1} 73$
$\dot{\eta} \pi б \rho \eta \mu a \iota, 537$
Өavaтóєıs， 1287
$\theta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu)\left(\beta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, 33^{8}\right.$
$\theta \epsilon о \mu a \chi \in i \nu, 1409$
$\theta \in \rho a ́ \pi \nu a \iota$, I 499

$i$ before $\chi \rho,{ }_{13} 66$
ікєт $\quad$ рíà， 1216
loúo $\eta \mathrm{s} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \tau \dot{\chi} \eta \mathrm{s}, 441$
imтоßátas， 1059
$i \sigma a ́ v \epsilon \mu \circ \nu, 206$
кai，explanatory， 230 ；following interrogatives， 327
$\kappa \alpha i \mu^{\prime} \nu, 20$
каєขоирүєіิ，2， 838
каì $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}, 124$
какізєєข， $1+36$
како́фрорєs，misguided， 391
ка入入єко́кау пло́ка $\mu о \nu$ ，Іово
$\kappa а \lambda \lambda \iota \pi \alpha ́ \rho \theta \in \nu о s,{ }_{5} 574$
карабокєіे，I433
катабє $\delta о и ̆ \lambda \omega \tau \alpha \iota, 1269$
катаıขєî̀＝є่ $\gamma \gamma v a ̂ \nu, 695$
катата入аієьข， 1013
кậтa $\pi \hat{\omega} s, 894$
катабкафаі́， 1379
$\kappa а \tau a \tau \epsilon<\nu \epsilon \iota \nu, 336$
$\kappa а т \eta \nu \theta \rho a \kappa \omega ̈ \theta \eta, 1602$
кєкраү $\mu$ о́s， 1357
$\kappa є \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu, 404$
$\kappa є \chi a \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \nu, 200$
$\kappa \in \chi \rho \eta \mu \in ́ v o \iota s$ ，having obtained an oracular reply， 89
$\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \rho a$ ，women＇s apartments， 149
$\kappa \lambda \dot{o} \quad u \sigma a$ ，with perf．force， 301
$\kappa \nu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, provoke， 330
ко́рицва， 258

крáтоs，victory， 472
крока́入ац， 2 I I
$\mathrm{K} v \kappa \lambda \omega \dot{\pi} \pi \omega \nu \quad \theta \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a s, \mathrm{I}_{5} 2$
ки́pıos， 703
$\lambda a \mu \pi a \delta o u ̂ \chi o s, 1505$
$\lambda \in \lambda о \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \in \nu 0 \iota, 922$
$\lambda \epsilon \lambda 0 \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ v \omega \omega, 102 \mathrm{I}$
$\lambda$ éxos，bride，103， 389
$\lambda \dot{́} \epsilon \nu(\theta \notin \sigma \phi a \tau \alpha)$ ，neglect， 268
$\lambda \omega \tau$ ós，438，1036
$\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \alpha ̀ \nu \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，of a journey， 420
макро́s，over long， $3^{\text {r }} 3$
$\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ and ка́入入ıбта confused， $3^{6} 4$
$\mu a \nu \tau o ́ \sigma v \nu o \iota ~ \dot{a} \nu a ́ \gamma к \alpha \iota, 761$
$\mu \epsilon$ followed by $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\epsilon}, 409$
$\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，no $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ following，392，859；
answered by $\tau \epsilon, 73$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ ，Euripides＇use of， 526

$\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，generic， 384
$\mu$ ǹ ov，with inf．， 42
$\mu \iota a \iota \phi 0 \nu \epsilon i ้ \nu, \mathrm{I}_{3} 6_{4}$
$\mu \hat{v} \theta o \iota$, fables， 799
$\mu \nu \rho \iota o \pi \lambda \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s, 57 \mathrm{I}$
$\mu \dot{\omega} \nu v \chi O S, 250$
ขá́фрактоs， 1259
$\nu \epsilon 0 \theta a \lambda \dot{\prime} s, 188$
עє申о́ßо入os， 1284
$\nu \nu \mu \phi \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota, 458,46 \mathbf{1}$
$\nu$ v̂̀，just now， 332
छuváopos，uxor， 50
$\xi v \nu a \rho \pi \dot{a}\{\epsilon \iota \nu, 53 \mathrm{I}, 535$
${ }_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ before $\phi \rho, 39 \mathrm{I}$
ö $\delta \epsilon$ ，with infinitive， 1478
oโ $\sigma \theta^{\prime}$ ò $\delta \rho \overline{0} \sigma o \nu, 725$

ö $\pi \lambda \omega \nu \alpha^{\prime \prime} \nu \alpha \kappa \tau \epsilon s$, I 260

о́рєєбро́ $\mu \mathrm{os}, 1593$
ठор $\quad$ is，omen， 607

oú $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，with subj．， 1465,1504
ой $\pi 0 v, 670$
$\pi a \gamma \epsilon ́ v \tau a s$, of oaths， 395
таранєі $\psi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota, 146$
$\pi \alpha \rho a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，intrude， 98 I
$\pi \epsilon \iota \theta \dot{\omega}$, means of persuasion， 104
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，use of by Eur．， $93+$
$\pi \epsilon \rho\left(\beta_{0} \lambda_{0}\right.$ ， 1477
$\pi \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \iota, 196$
$\pi \epsilon$ v́к $\eta$ ，tablet， 39
$\pi \lambda a \dot{\gamma}$ ıа фро⿱㇒日єiv， 332
$\pi \lambda$ ќo $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu, 1373$
токкілоs，of persons， 526
$\pi 0 \lambda \dot{\mu} \mu 0 \chi$ Oоs， 1330
$\pi о \rho \theta \mu \in \dot{\varepsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，intransit．， 6
moús，periphrastic， 627
$\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha, 34 \sigma$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \rho \mu \dot{\zeta} \xi \epsilon \nu, 296$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda \kappa v \sigma \alpha l, 1452$
$\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta s, 449$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a, ~ I 559$
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\omega} \rho \iota \sigma \alpha$ s， 1 I 5 I
$\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \iota a, 718$
$\pi \rho о т \in \lambda i \zeta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu, 433$
т ро́фабчข， 362
$\pi \rho \grave{\partial} \chi \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$（in your hands）， 36
т $\rho 0 \chi$ ט́т $\alpha$ ८， 955 ，III2，I472
$\pi \tau \epsilon ́ \rho v \gamma^{\prime}$ Eủßoias， 120
$\pi \omega s$ ，ironical， 65
$\pi$ ûs סокєîs ；I 590
$\sigma \epsilon \iota \rho о$ о́óos， 223
$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̀ ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \tau a \iota, 996$
$\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ́ \tau \eta s$, I 344
$\sigma \iota \gamma \alpha i \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega \nu$ ， 10
$\sigma \kappa \hat{\lambda} \lambda a,{ }^{1629}$
бофі广о $\mu$ аи， 744
бофіб $\mu а \tau а, 444$
бoфós，in bad sense， 333
$\sigma \tau$ ধ́фavos，metaphorical， 194
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \eta s, 1058$
$\sigma ט ́ \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \tau 0 \mathrm{~S}, 301$
$\sigma ט ́ v \in \delta \rho o s$, in council， 192
$\sigma \nu \nu \epsilon \pi a \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu,{ }^{1} 492$
бuעıбхขаขєî， 694
бuข 0 оєิे， 407

бuvб由фрорєіข， 407
oúvtova，in harmony with，in 8

$\sigma \dot{\psi} \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$（and $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu), 1350 \mathrm{n}$.
tayós， 269
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ \sigma \delta \epsilon, 435$
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \lambda \beta \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu 0 \iota, 5 \mathrm{I}$
ráxa，with fut．in threats， 31 I
$\tau \epsilon$ answered by $\delta \epsilon, 585$ ；explana－ tory， 345 ；irregularly placed， 203
$\tau \epsilon-\tau \epsilon$ ，where English requires
disjunctive particles， 56

$\tau i \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota \in \hat{v}, 672$
$\tau$ д̀ $\pi 0$ î̀ $; 517$
тò $\sigma$ óv， 396
тóтє，determined by context， 46
$\tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \dot{u} \chi \eta s, 1404$
тоư óv，$^{2} 4^{82}$
viakivetvos， 1298
$\dot{v} \mu$ évalos， $123,430,437,624,1036$

ข่ $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i \hat{\nu}, 67,444$

v́mópoфоs， 1204
$\dot{v} \psi \eta \backslash o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu, 9^{19}$

фáos ả $\mu \pi \epsilon \tau \dot{a} \sigma a l, 34$
фaú入 $\omega \mathrm{s} \phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu, 897$
$\phi \epsilon \rho \in \iota \nu$ ，carry away， 69
$\phi \epsilon \rho \nu \dot{\eta}, 47$
$\phi \iota \lambda о \psi v \chi \in i ̂ v$, I 385


филакаl， 15
фиб $\dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$, III4
$\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa є \mu \beta \circ \lambda a ́ s, 1319$
$\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$（experience）， $88,54 \sigma$
$\chi \rho \cup \sigma \epsilon \circ \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \delta a \lambda o s, 1^{2}{ }^{2}$

хрибобаíдалто今， 219
ஸ́div $\epsilon \nu, 1234$
山்ठis，I 235
$\dot{\omega} s$ ，elliptic constr．with， 1367 ； causal， 420
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ，in stichomuthia， 326 ；where simple infin．could stand， 918

## INDEX II.

abstract for concrete, 15
accus. cognate, $157,79 \mathrm{I}$; after $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$, II30; after $\theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \& c$., 141 ; in apposition to sentence, 234, 832, III4; of specification, 359 ; following adjective, 1255 ; following verb and its accus., 1468 ; followed irreg. by dat., 492
adjective, agreeing with compound phrase, 233 ; proleptic, 572
anapaests, spondaic, II5 ff. ; cf. 123
aorist, gnomic, 25 ; infin. after oif $\mu a, 462$; after $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda e \iota \nu, 873$; of moment just past, 136 assimilation, 607
attraction, Euripides' use of, 383
betrothal, ceremony of, 703
brachylogy, 262
chariot and horses of the Sun, 159
chorus, remarks upon, 1253
construction, changed as the sentence proceeds, 26 I ; confusion of two, $143^{6}$; elliptic with $\dot{\omega}$, I367
Cyclopean architecture, $\mathrm{I}_{5} 2$
dative, of accompaniment, 146 cf. 239 ; of agent with pres. partic.
passive, 218 ; irreg. following accus., 492; locatival, 39; of person judging, 597
divinities, habitation of, 91
double question, 356
driving, in the chariot race, 223
Ennius (quoted), 7, 447, 81 5
eristic rhetoric, 333
euphemism, 309, 519, 649, 507
florid taste of the orientals in dress, 74
future, combined with delib. subj., 442, 455; mid. as pass., 33I; with ráxa in threats, 3 II
genitive, attributive, 78 ; causal, $327,370,1277$; of connexion, 302 ; objective, 842 ; partitive, 20, 340 ; after $\pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell,{ }_{726}$
gnomic aorist, 25
Greek view of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma u ́ v \eta, 543$
hendiadys, 53
historic present, 47, 245
imperative, 2nd sing. following $\pi$ âs tıs, 1598
imperf., of fact just realized, 404 infin., without article, 490; epexegetical, 275, 3 I8

Ionic forms, $12,423,789$
line divided between two characters, 414
metaphors, from nautical affairs, I39; from dice-playing, 1343; from music, rior ; from wrestling, ror 3
mute and liquid, quantity of vowel before, 636
optative, with $\alpha \nu \nu$ following indic. in protasis, 486 ; with ${ }^{2} \nu \nu$ of settled resolve, 3 Io
participle, with ${ }^{\prime \prime \nu} \nu$ equiv. to an apodosis, 96 ; with $\mu \dot{\prime}$ equiv. to a protasis, 355 ; accus. where dat. might have been expected, 1556 ; aor. with é $\chi \omega, 659$; neut. with art. for abstract subs., 33, 386, 1270; omitted with $\tau v \gamma-$ $\chi^{a ́ \nu} \omega, 730$; supplementary, 363 play upon words, 321
pluperf. without syll. augm., 404 plural, generalising, 304, 309; poet. use of, 10

Porson's canon, exception to, 530 present, historic, 47, 245; implying certainty, 1028, 1267 prodelision, 307, 639

Racine's Iphigénie (quoted), $\mathbf{1 2 6 ,}$ 677, 900, 955, 1220
relative attraction, 383 ; conditional, $5^{2} 3$
rivers, the bull as a type of, 275
schema Alcmanicum, 196
sing. and plur., interchange of, $834,929,967,1368$
Sophokles, echoes of, 407
subj. with $\epsilon l$, 1240; delib., combined with future, 442, 455
synizesis, 615
tmesis, II, 40
torch, carried by mother of the bride, 732
tragic irony, $6_{40}, 6_{77}$
winged chariot of deities, 250
women, Greek views concerning, 57I, 678
writing materials, 39

## INDEX III.

Adrastus, 268
Aegina, 697
Aias, 192 ; son of Telamon, ib.
Ainianes, 277
Alpheus, 276
Arethusa, 170
Asopus, 697
Chiron, 208
Diomedes, 199
Dioskuri, 769
Echinades, 286
Epeioi, 281
Eumelus, 217
Eurotas, 179
Eurytus, 282
Ganymede, 1053
Gouneus, 278
Hermes, I302
Hermione, I 20 I
Ida, $128_{4}$
Inachidae, 1088
Kadmus, 256
Kapaneus, 246
Kassandra, 757
Laertes, 204

Leda (Thestias), 49
Leitus, 259
Meges, 284
Mekisteus, 244
Meriones, 20 I
Nestor, 273
Nireus, 204
Oenone, 699
Olympus (the musician), 577
Orpheus, i2II
Palamedes, 198
Pelion, 705
Perseus, I500
Phoebe, 50
Phyleus, 285
Pleiades, 8
Protesilaus, 195
Salamis, 194
Simois, 75I
Sirius, 7
Sisyphus, 524
Sthenelus, 247
Talaus, 245
Talthybius, 1563
Tantalus (son of Thyestes), II 50
Taphioi, 284
Thronium, 264

## THE PITT PRESS SERIES.

## COMPLETE LIST.

## GREEK.

| Aluthor | Work | Editor | Price 2/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aeschylus | Prometheus Vinctus | Kackham |  |
| Aristophanes | Aves-Plutus-Ranae | Green 3/6 | $3 / 6$ cach |
| , | Vespae | Graves | 3/6 |
|  | Nubes |  | 3/6 |
| Demosthenes | Olynthiacs | Glover | $2 / 6$ |
| Euripides | Heracleidae | Beck \& Headlam |  |
| ,, | Hercules Furens | Gray \& Hutchinson 2/- |  |
| , | Hippolytus | Hadley 2/- |  |
| " | Iphigeneia in Aulis | Headlam 2/6 |  |
| , | Medea |  | 2/6 |
| ", | Hecuba | Hadley $2 / 6$ |  |
| " | Helena | l'earson 3/6 |  |
| " | Alcestis | Hadley $\quad 2 / 6$ |  |
|  | Orestes | Wedd |  |
| Herodotus | Book v | Shuckburgh,$\quad$ 3/- each |  |
| ," | " VI, Vili, ix |  |  |  |
| Homer | OMy Vifil-yo, 1x 1-8y | Edwards $\quad 2 / 6$ | 2/6 each 2/6 cach |
|  | Odyssey Ix, x |  |  |
| " | " $\mathrm{xXI}^{\text {x }}$ | ." 2/- |  |
| " |  | Edwards 2/-each | $2 / \begin{array}{r} 2 /-1 \\ 2 /- \text { each } \end{array}$ |
| " | lliad Vi, xxif, xxili, xxiv lliad ix, |  | Lawson 2/6 |
| Lucian | Somnium, Charon, etc. | Heitland |  |
|  | Menippus and Timon | Mackie | 3/6 |
| Plato | Apologia Socratis | Adam 3/6 |  |
|  | Crito | ", | $2 / 6$$2 / 6$ |
| ", | Euthyphro |  |  |
|  | Protagoras | J. \&'A. M. Adam +/6 |  |
| Piutarch | Demosthenes | Holden 4/6 |  |
| ", | Gracchi | 61. |  |
| ", | Nicias | 5 |  |
| " | Sulla | $61-$ |  |
| ", | Timoleon | Jebbb $+1-$ |  |
| Sophocles | Oedipus Tyrannus |  |  |  |
| Thucydides | Book III | Spratt 5/- |  |
| " | Book vi | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { Holden } & \text { In the Press } \\ 5 / \end{array}$ |  |
| " | Book VII |  |  |  |

GREEK continued.

| Author | Work | Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Xenophon | Agesilaus | Hailstone | 2/6 |
| ", | Anabasis Vol. I. Text | Pretor | 3/- |
| " | " Vol. 1I. Notes | , | $4 / 6$ |
| " | ,, I, II | " | 4/- |
| " | ", I, III, IV, V | " | 2/-each |
| " | , II, VI, VII |  | 2/6 each |
| ", | Hellenics I, II | Edwards | 3/6 |
| ", | Cyropaedeia I | Shuckburgh | $2 / 6$ |
| " | ,, II |  | 2/- |
| ", | ", III, IV, V | Holden | 5\% |
| " | " . VI, VII, ViII |  | 5/- |
| ", | Memorabilia I | Edwards | $2 / 6$ |
| " | ,, 11 | " | 2/6 |

## LATIN.

Bede
Caesar
$"$,
$"$,
$"$,

Cicero

## "

"
,"
39
97

9
,
3)

99

19
3)
,
"
" Somnium Scipionis
Cornelius Nepos Four parts
Horace
正 BK
Odes and Epodes
Odes. Books I, III
Books II, IV ; Epodes
Satires. Book I
Satires
Duff
De Bello Civili. Com. I
Com. III
Peskett
39
Actio Prima in C. Verrem
De Amicitia
De Senectute
De Officiis. Bk III
Pro Lege Manilia
Div. in Q. Caec. et Actio

Prima in C. Verrem
Ep. ad Atticum. Lib II
Orations against Catiline
Philippica Secunda
Pro Archia Poeta
, Balbo
" Milone
," Murena
Plancio
, Sulla

Epistles. Bk I
Odes. Books I, III ," ",
Holden

7/6
Peskett $\quad 1 / 6$ each 2/- each 3 /-
$3 /-$
$2 / 6$

Heitland
1/6
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Reid } & 3 / 6\end{array}$
", 3/6
Holden $2 /-$
Nicol $\quad 1 / 6$
Heitland \& Cowie 3/-
Pretor 3/-
Nicol $\quad 2 / 6$

Peskett 3/6
Reid $2 /-$

$$
1 / 6
$$

2/6
$3 /-$
Reid $\quad 4 / 6$
Pearman $\quad 2 /-$
Shuckburgh I/6 each

THE PITT PRESS SERIES.

## LATIN continued.

| Author | Work | Editor Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Livy | Book I | Edwardis | In the Press |
| ", | , Il | Conway | 2/6 |
| " | " IV, vi, ix, xxvii | Stephenson | 2/6 each |
| " | " V | Whibley | 2/6 |
|  | ,", xxi, xxil | Dimsdale | 2/6 each |
| Lucan | Pharsalia. Bk I | Heitland \& | IJaskins $\mathrm{s} / 6$ |
|  | De Bello Civili. Bk viı | Postgate | $2 /-$ |
| Lucretius | Book III | Duff | $2 /$ |
| Ovid" | Fasti. V Book vi | Sidgwick | $2 /$. |
| ,, | Metamorphoses, Bk I | Dowdall | 1/6 |
|  | ,, Bk viII | Summers | 1/6 |
| Phaedrus | Fables | Flather | 1/6 |
| Plautus | Epidicus | Gray | 3/- |
| ", | Stichus | Fennell | 2/6 |
| ", | Trinummus | Gray | 3/6 |
| Quintus Curtius | Alexander in India | Heitland \& | Raven 3/6 |
| Sallust | Catiline | Summers | $2 /-$ |
|  | Jugurtha |  | 2/6 |
| Tacitus | Agricola and Germania | Stephenson | 3/- |
|  | Hist. Bk I | Davies | 2/6 |
| Terence | Hautontimorumenos | Gray | 3/- |
| Vergil | Aeneid I to XII | Sidgwick | 1/6 each |
| , | Bucolics | , | ı/6 |
| , | Georgics I, II, and III, IV | " | 2/-each |
| , | Complete Works, Vol. I, | xt | 3/6 |
| " | " ", Vol. II, | otes " | 4/6 |

## FRENCH.

The Volumes marked * contain Vocabulary.

| About | Le Roi des Montagnes | Ropes | 2/- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biart | Quand j'étais petit, Pts I, II | Boïelle | 2/- each |
| Boileau | L'Art Poétique | Nichol Smith | 2/6 |
| Corneille | La Suite du Menteur | Masson. | 2/- |
|  | Polyeucte | Braunholtz | $2 /-$ |
| De Bonnechose | Lazare Hoche | Colbeck | 2/- |
| * " | Bertrand du Guesclin | Leathes | $2 / 6$ |
|  | Part II |  | 1/6 |
| Delavigne | Louis XI | Eve | 2/- |
|  | Les Enfants d'Edouard |  | 2/- |
| De Lamartine | Jeanne d'Arc | Clapin \& Ropes | ז/6 |
| De Vigny | La Canne de Jonc | Eve | 1/6 |
| *Dumas | La Fortune de D'Artagnan | Ropes | 2/- |
| *Enault | Le Chien du Capitaine | Verrall | 2/- |

## FRENCH continued.

| Author | $\dot{W}_{\text {orle }}$ | Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Erckmann-Chatrian La Guerre |  | Clapin | 3/- |
| " | Waterloo | Ropes | 3/- |
| " | Le Blocus | ," | 3/- |
| ", | Madame Thérèse | ," | 3/- |
| " | Histoire d'un Conscrit |  | 3/- |
| Gautier Guizot | Voyage en Italie (Selections) | Payen Payne In the Press |  |
|  | Discours sur l'Histoire de la |  |  |
|  | Révolution d'Angleterre | Eve | 2/6 |
| ${ }^{*}$ Malot | Remi et ses Amis | Verrall | 2/- |
|  | Remi en Angleterre |  | $2 /$ - |
| Merimée | Colomba (Abridged) | Ropes | $2 /$. |
| Wichelet | Louis XI \& Charles the Bold |  | 2/6 |
| Moliere | Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme | Clapin | 1/6 |
| ," | L'Ecole des Femmes | Saintsbury | 2/6 |
| " | Les Précieuses ridicules | Braunholtz | 2/- |
| " | ". (Abridged Edition) | , | 1/- |
| " | Le Misanthrope | " | 2/6 |
|  | L'Avare | " | 2/6 |
| Perrault | Fairy Tales | Rippmann | I/6 |
| Piron | La Métromanie | Masson | $2 \%$ |
| Ponsard | Charlotte Corday | Ropes | $2 /$ |
| Racine | Les Plaideurs <br> (Abridsced Edtition) | Braunholtz | 2/- 1/- |
|  | Athalie | Eve" | $2 /-$ |
| Saintine | Picciola | Ropes | $2 /-$ |
| Sandeau | Mdlle de la Seiglière |  | 2/- |
| Scribe \& Legouvé | vé Bataille de Dames | Bull | 2/- |
| Scribe | Le Verre d'Eau | Colbeck | 2/- |
| Sédaine | Le Philosophe sans le savoir | Bull | 2/- |
| Souvestre | Un Philosophe sous les Toits | Eve | $2 /$ |
| * "," | Le Serf \& Le Chevrier de Lor | aine Ropes | $2 /-$ |
|  | Le Serf |  | 1/6 |
|  | A Primer of French Verse |  | 3/- |
| Spencer <br> Staël, Mme de | Le Directoire | Masson \& Pr | 2/- |
|  | Dix Années d’Exil (Book II chapters $\mathrm{I}-8$ ) |  | 2/- |
| Thierry | Lettres sur l'histoire de France (xIII-xxiv) |  | 6 |
| Villemain | Récits des Temps Mérovingiens, I-III | Masson \& R | 3/- |
|  | Lascaris ou les Grecs du xv ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Siè | cle Masso | $2 /-$ |
| Voltaire | Histoire du Siècle de Louis |  |  |
|  | XIV, in three parts Mas | son \& Prothe | 6 each |
| Xavier de Maistre | \{La Jeune Sibérienne. Le $\}$ |  |  |
|  | $\{$ Lépreux de la Citéd'Aoste $\}$ | Masson | I/6 |

## GERMAN.

The Volumes marked * contain Vocabulary.

Ballads on German History Wagner ..... 2/-
German Dactylic Poetry

## ENGLISH.



ENGLISH continued.

| Author | Work | Editor |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| West | Elements of English Grammar | Price |
| ", | English Grammar for Beginners | $2 / 6$ |
| Cälos | Key to English Grammars | 1/- |
| Chort History of British India | $3 / 6$ net |  |
| Mill | Elementary Commercial Geography | $1 / /$ |
| Eartholomew | Atlas of Commercial Geography | $1 / 6$ |
|  |  | $3 /-$ |


| Robinson | Church Catechism Explained |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jackson | The Prayer Book Explained. Part I | $2 /-$ |
| $2 / 6$ |  |  |

## MATHEMATICS.



London: C. J. CLAY and SONS, CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE, ave maria lane.
GLASGOW: 50, WellingTon Street.

# Tye cambrious Bible for Schools and Colleges． 

General Editors：
J．J．S．PEROWNE，D．D．，Formerly Bishop of Worcester， A．F．KIRKPATRICK，D．D．，

Lady Malgaret Pioflissor of Divinity．
Extra Fcap．8vo．cloth，with Maps when required．
New Volumes．
I and II Chronicles．Rev．W．E．Barnes，D．D．2s．6d．net．
Psalms．Books II and III．Prof．Kirkpatrick，D．D．2s．net．
Psalms．Books IV and V．Prof．Kinkpatrick，D．D．2s．net．
song of Solomon．Rev．Andrew Harper，B．D．1s．6d．net．
Book of Isaiah．Chaps．I．－XXXXIX．Rev．J．Skinner， D．D．2s． 6 d．net．
－Chaps．XI．－IXXVI．Rev．J．Skinner，D．D．2s． $6 d$ ．net． Book of Daniel．Rev．S．R．Driver，D．D．2s．6d．net．
Epistles to Timothy \＆Titus．Rev．A．E．Humphreys， M．A．2s．net．

## Che smaller

## Cambinge zible for 戸rbouls．

Now Ready．With Maps．Price 1s．each volume．
Book of Joshua．Rev．J．S．Black，LL．D．
Book of Judges．Rev．J．S．Buack，LL．D．
First Book of samuel．Prof．Kirkpatrick，D．D．
Second Book of Samuel．Prof．Kirikpatrick，D．D．
First Book of Kings．Prof．Lumby，D．D．
Second Book of Kings．Prof．Lumby，D．1）．
zzra \＆Nehemiah．The Rt．Rev．H．E．Ryle，D．D．
Gospel according to st Matthew．Rev．A．Carr，M．A．
Gospel according to St Miark．Rev．G．F．Macliear，D．D． Gospel according to st Luke．Very Rev．F．W．Farrar，D．D． Gospel according to St John．Rev．A．Plummer，D．D．
Acts of the Apostles．Prof．Lumby，D．D．

## Tbe Cambrioge Guxek Testament for Sectools and Collenes． General Editous：J．J．S．PEROIVNe，D．D．， J．ARMITAGE ROBINSON，D．D． New Volumes．

Epistle to the Philippians．Rt．Rev．H．C．G．Moule，D．D．2s．6d． Epistle of st James．Rev．A．Carr，M．A．2s． $6 d$ ．
Pastoral Epistles．Rev．J．H．Bernard，D．D．3s． $6 d$.
Book of Revelation．Rev．W．H．Simcox，M．A．5s．
Z酉onoon：C．J．CLAY and SONS，
CAMBRIDGE WAREHOUSE，AVE MARIA LANE． Glaggow：50，WELLINGTON STREET．欮ipzig：F．A．BROCKHAUS．
fabo work：THE MACMILLAN COMPANY．

$$
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$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ El. $528 \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \Delta i ́ \kappa \eta \nu \iota \nu \epsilon i \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$, oúk $\epsilon \in \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu o ́ \nu \eta$.
    ${ }^{2}$ He said, we are told, oú $\delta \grave{\grave{\eta}}{ }^{\prime}$ " $\Lambda \rho \tau \epsilon \mu$ s. Tzetzẹs ad Lykophr. 183 .
    ${ }^{3}$ So Cic. de off. iii $25 . \quad{ }^{4}$ Cf. Eur. El. 29.

[^1]:    

[^2]:    
    2 The difference of reading which the MSS. here exhibit does not affect the present argument.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ In a note appended to his translation of the play.

[^4]:    1 Laokoon ch. ii.
    

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Schol. ad Ar. Ran. 67 in Bekker's Aristotle p. 1573 (584).

