

Report on the proceedings at the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 20)

1 May, 1948 (Sat.) 12.00 - 17.30

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Mr. TAKAGAKI.
2. The Committee deliberated on the following applications filed respectively under the provisions of Arts. 6, 7, 9 and 10:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Decisions of the Committee</u>
<u>(Under Art. 10)</u>	
Chosen Muentan <i>see 25</i>	(Pending)
* Kyodo Kogyo	Designation as Zaibatsu company, <u>cancelled</u>
<u>(Under Art. 9)</u>	
* Amagasaki Shokuen Kagaku Kogyo	Concluded, <u>non-successor company</u>
* Asahi Engyo	Ditto
* Rumoe Suisan Kogyo	Ditto
Miike Gosei Kogyo	Concluded, <u>successor company</u>
Takigawa Kagaku Kogyo	Ditto
<u>(Under Arts. 6 and 7)</u>	
* ISHIKAWA, Osamu — Director, Nippon Kogyo	<u>Disapproved</u>
HAMAKAWA, Tomojuro <i>26</i> Director, etc., Mitsubishi Soko	(Pending)
MITA, Hisao * 25	Ditto Ditto
OSUMI Tatsuo <i>26</i>	Ditto Ditto

Note: As for the star-marked please see supplementary information on separate sheets.

Supplimentary statement on the main reasons on which the Committee based its decisions, 1 May, 1948

Kyodo Kogyo

Its size is small with a capital of 700,000 yen, only 10.7% of which is being held by ASANO, Seichiro. Further, from the viewpoint of its history, it is considered appropriate that it be deleted from the list of Zaibatsu companies.

Amagasaki Shokuen Kagaku Kogyo

Although Nippon Jinzo Sekiyu holds 40% of its total shares, it is capitalized with a bare million. While a minimum number of officers and staffs of Nippon Sekiyu is being admitted into its service, it engages in a totally different type of business activities. It is concluded, therefore, that it is not a successor company. The fact that Nippon Jinzo Sekiyu was not a fully qualified Zaibatsu company of Mitsui lineage, historically speaking, also pleads for the case of Amagasaki Shokuen Kagaku Kogyo.

Asahi Engyo

Asahi Keikin₂oku is an overseas company which could engage in no activities before the termination of war; the bulk of its assets was left in Chosen. In Asahi Engyo are four officers, it is true, who were previously in the service of Asahi Keikin₂oku company (As for the staff, only twelve out of the one hundred and fourteen members of Keikin₂oku are being admitted into Asahi Engyo.), but viewed from its different type of business and the characteristics of Asahi Keikin₂oku itself, designation of Asahi Engyo as a successor company would not be justified.

Rumoe Suisan Kogyo

Its enterprise, customers of its products, etc., are different from those of Nippon Jinzo Sekiyu, and, besides, its officers are not involved with Mitsui interest. Therefore, it is concluded to be a non-successor company.

ISHIKAWA, Osamu

Judging from his career as well as the position he held in Seiko Kozan, Chosen, the Committee disapproved his application.

Early attention of Comdr. Hussey to the
accompanying papers is respectfully requested
by Mr. Tsumura who wishes to come to his office,
G.H.Q. on Friday, April 30, 1948

20:18:1

Report on the proceedings at the
Appointees Examination Committee (No.19)

26 April, 1948 (Mon.) 12.00 - 17.30

27 April, 1948 (Tues.) 10.00 - 18.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Mr. OSHIMA.
2. The Committee deliberated on the applications under Art. 10 for approval of the cancellation or alteration of the designation referred to in Art. 2 (Paras. 2 and 3) of the Law filed by the following thirty-nine companies, reaching decisions of thirty-eight of them as indicated after each categories of the companies:

<u>Companies</u>	<u>Categories</u>	<u>Decisions of the Committee</u>
(Mitsui combine)		
22 Nippon Seifun ✓	A	Cancellation or alteration, <u>Disapproved</u>
34 Toyo Rayon ✓ ✓	A	Ditto
35 Toyo Koatsu ✓ ✓	A	Ditto
7 Chosen Rayon * ✓ ✓	B	Alteration to C category, <u>Approved</u>
6 Denki Kagaku Kogyo * ✓	B	Ditto
7 Kamaisui Kozan ✓ ✓	B	Cancellation or alteration, <u>Disapproved</u>
25 Onoda Cement * ✓	B	Alteration to C category, <u>Approved</u>
27 Sanko Seifun * ✓	B	Ditto
28 Sanyo Yushi * ✓	B	Ditto
32 Toa Gosei Kako ✓	B	Cancellation or alteration, <u>Disapproved</u>
39 Yuasa Chikudenchu * ✓	B	Alteration to C. category, <u>Approved</u>

2	Chuko Tanko *	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Approved</u> .
5	Daito Hoshoku	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Disapproved</u>
27	<u>Yamato</u> Tanko *	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Approved</u>
33	Toyo Gomu	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Disapproved</u>
<u>(Mitsubishi combine)</u>					
15	Mitsubishi Sekiyu *	✓	✓	A	Alteration to B-category, <u>Approved</u>
14	Mitsubishi Shintaku	✓	✓	A	Cancellation or alteration, <u>Disapproved</u>
18	Nippon Kentetsu Kogyo	✓	✓	A	Ditto
4	Daimonko Tanko *	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Approved</u>
	(Ta-Wen-Kou)				
11	Kushiro Futo Soko	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Disapproved</u>
12	Mitsubishi Magnesium	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Approved</u>
20	Nippon Kokusan Kogyo	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Disapproved</u>
<u>(Sumitomo combine)</u>					
8	Kanto Tokushu Seiko	✓	✓	B	Cancellation or alteration, <u>Disapproved</u>
21	Nippon Pipe Seizo *	✓	✓	B	Alteration to C category, <u>Approved</u>
26	Osaka Kinzoku Kogyo *	✓	✓	B	Ditto
1	Asahi Kinzoku Seiko	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Disapproved</u>
29	Sumitomo Borneo Shokusan *	✓	✓	C	Cancellation, <u>Approved</u>
<u>(Yasuda combine)</u>					
38	Yasuda Kasai Kaijo Hoken *	✓	✓	A	Alteration to B category, <u>Approved</u>

- 34 Toyo Kisen ✓ ✓ B Cancellation or alteration,
Disapproved
- 31 Teisen Kokuki ✓ C ✓ Cancellation, Disapproved
Kogyo

(Asano combine)

- 12 Kyodo Kogyo ✓ B (Pending)
- 10 ~~Osaka~~ ^{Kokura} Seiko ✓ B ✓ Cancellation or alteration,
Disapproved
- 9 ~~Osaka~~ ^{Kokura} Chikko ✓ C ✓ Cancellation, Disapproved
- 19 Nippon Kokan Kogyo ✓ C ✓ Ditto

(Nissan combine)

- 24 Nissan Kagaku Kogyo ✓ A ✓ Cancellation or alteration,
Disapproved
- 23 Nissan Doboku ✓ C ✓ Cancellation, Disapproved

(Furukawa combine)

- 19 Nippon Keikinzoku^{*} ✓ B ✓ Alteration to C category,
Approved
- 16 Nippon Denkyoku^{*} ✓ C ✓ Cancellation, Approved
- 30 Taisho Kogyo^{*} ✓ C ✓ Ditto

Note:- Respecting the decisions on the star-marked companies please see separate sheets for supplementary information.

3. The Committee also approved the application under Art. 8 (para 1) of the Law of KAMIYA, Chiwaki for retention in office of President of the Nissan K.K. until July 6, 1948.

Supplementary information on the main reasons on the basis of which the Committee gave decisions 26 - 27 / April / 1948

(Mitsui combine)

- (1) Companies transferred to "C" category (in view of their history, economic scale, the degree of influence exercised by the zaibatsu to each company, of their contribution for the formation or maintenance of zaibatsu enterprises, etc.)

Chosen Rayon

It was first planned as a sort of factory of Toyo Rayon, but incorporated into a company for the convenience of business transaction, and, although the site of the intended factory was purchased, the war abruptly came to an end, which afforded this new company no opportunity to run any enterprises whatever. Capital was only 10,000,000 yen of which 7,500,000 yen was paid up. While no full-time officers were appointed (offices were concurrently held by the staffs of Toyo Rayon) it had no other employees.

Denki Kagaku Kogyo

In the early period of its foundation there was, it is true, some interests of Mitsui involved, but soon after the company became independent and at present the Mitsui Zaibatsu has no representative officers in it and all its sectional or divisional chiefs are those who have a long service record therein; the facts

are scarcely noticeable that Zaibatsu has influenced the company in its administration of business or personnel matters.

Onoda Cement

From historical viewpoint the company is an enterprise locally developed by persons interested of Yamaguchi Pref. Capital invested by the Mitsui affiliates is comparatively small (representing 17% of the total), and taking into consideration of the fact that the company has only one dispatched officer and in view of the administrative relationship, its connections with the Mitsui zaibatsu cannot be compared with those of Nippon Cement with the Asano Zaibatsu.

Sanko Seifun

It's activities were initiated by principally with the capital of Nippon Seifun which was introduced into the company through request of IWASAKI, Seiichiro who is not connected with the Mitsui zaibatsu. Judged from its size of capital, business scale and the position held by Nippon Seifun vis-a-vis the Mitsui Zaibatsu, etc. the company is to be transferred to 'C' category.

Sanyo Yushi

The company having been established through the demand of the Military authorities, the appointment of its officers, its managements, etc. were made subject to their approval. The enterprise itself failed to develop beyond the scale of experimental stage, resulting in the company's

running into the red. Therefore, it is safely concluded that it did not contribute for the formation or maintenance of Zaibatsu enterprises.

Yuasa Chikudenchi The company was originally a personal enterprise of the Yuasa family, which, so to speak, saw the benefit of appropriation of Mitsui capital. It is maintained, therefore, that in the execution of business the company was not subject to any intervention from Zaibatsu. But colossal amount of investment by Mitsui Bussan makes it impossible to rescind the cancellation of designation.

(2) Companies designation of which were cancelled.

Chuko Tanko Viewed from the background of its history of foundation it is a subsidiary company of Kitashina Kaihatsu which having 50% of its total stocks, had actual power of management, sale of its products, etc. The company is therefore more closely related with Kitashina Kaihatsu.

Yamato ~~Sen~~ Tanko It was established to meet the prevailing demand of the time which required ever-increasing output of coal, but it had to close its operation by order of the government before any amount of coal unearthed. Its capital was small (7,500,000 yen), and it is considered that the company made practically no contribution for the formation or maintenance of Zaibatsu enterprises.

(Mitsubishi combine)

- (1) Company transferred to "B" category

Mitsubishi Sekiyu From its contract with Associated Oil Co. over the appointment of officers, business management, etc., and treatment accorded by Mitsubishi Honsha, it is concluded that designation of Mitsubishi Sekiyu as "B" category company is appropriate.

- (2) Companies designation of which was cancelled.

Daibunko Tanko Its case is similar to that of Chuko
(Ta-Wen-Kou) Tanko.

Mitsubishi Magnesium Its capital is 5,000,000 yen of which only 2,500,000 has been paid up. The company had been running deeply into the red, with incomplete equipments, when the war terminated. It is concluded that it did not contribute for the formation and maintenance of Zaibatsu.

(Sumitomo combine)

- (1) Companies transferred to "C" category

Nippon Pipe Seizo The company has not substantially developed since the days it was capitalized with 3,600,000 yen. It has been under the exclusive management of Pres. Ota who was formerly in the service of Mitsui, and, besides, its business was transacted principally with Nippon Kokan of Asano interest; the controlling

influence of Sumitomo is negligible,

It is concluded however that in view of the investment of Sumitomo, the company should be transferred to "C" category.

Osaka Kinzoku Kogyo There was the investment of Sumitomo Zaibatsu, which was, however, precluded from participating in the management of the company which was under the hand of monopolistic Pres. YAMADA, Akira, connected with the company from its very start. It is however concluded that in view of the interests therein of Sumitomo and stipulations in respect to the enterprise, the company be transferred to "C" category,

(2) Company designation of which was cancelled.

Sumitomo Borneo Shokusan It was capitalized with 2,500,000 yen only, and it having been established about ten months before the termination of war, no sufficient time was accorded to operate it on any economical scale.

(Yasuda combine)

(1) Company transferred to "B" category

Yasuda Kasai Kaijo Hoken It is concluded that the company be transferred to "B" category viewed from its history, size and substance of its enterprise, etc. This step is considered appropriate when studied also from the viewpoint of its position in general insurance enterprises.

(Furukawa combine)

(1) Company transferred to "C" category.

Nippon Keikinzoku Toden Kogyo is in the company on an equal footing with Furukawa Zaibatsu in respect to the percentage of shares held and composition of staff employees, from the fact of which it is not proper to regard the company as being a quasi-direct affiliate of Furukawa Zaibatsu.

(2) Companies designation of which was cancelled.

Nippon Denkyoku Its total shares are being held by the two companies equally; Nippon Keikinzoku (referred to in immediate above) and Showa Denko. However, historically the company should be considered an affiliate of the latter which has not been involved with the interests of Furukawa Zaibatsu.

Further, the company was established only in May, 1945.

Taisho Kogyo While its total shares are being held equally by the two companies - Ito Gomei and Furukawa Kogyo - the former was held responsible for the management of the company to the practical exclusion of the controlling power of the latter.

Report on the proceedings of the
Appointees Examination Committee No. 18

23 April, 1948 (Fri.) 12.00 - 17.30

1. Attendants: All the Committee members
2. The Committee deliberated on the seventeen applications filed by the following persons respectively, reaching decisions as indicated after each positions held:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions</u>
✓ DOI, Masanosuke ✓	Standing director, etc., Nippon Shigyo	Approved
✓ FUJIOKA, Hiraku ✓	Director, Teikoku Seni	Approved
HIRAIWA, Jiroichi	Director, Nippon Saigyo	(Pending)
✓ ISHIZAKI, Kiyoto ✓	Director, Sumitomo Seimei Hoken	Approved
KIMURA, Ushinosuke	Director, Teikoku Seni	(Pending)
✓ KUMAGAI, Eiji ✓	Managing director, etc., Sumitomo Shintaku	Approved
MIURA, Shosaku	Standing director, etc., Nippon Shigyo	(Pending)
✓ NAGANO, Jiro ✓	Director, Teikoku Seni	Approved
✓ NISHIMURA, Hanjiro ✓	Standing director, etc., Sumitomo Shintaku	Approved
✓ SASAKI, Yoshihiko ✓	Auditor, Teikoku Seni	Approved
✓ SAWANO, Saburo ✓	Standing director, etc., Sumitomo Shintaku	Approved
✓ TADA, Yoshimune ✓	Director, etc., Nippon Shigyo	Approved
✓ TAKAGI, Takeo ✓	Director, Teikoku Seni	Approved
TAKAHASHI, Ryuzo	Ditto	(Pending)
✓ TSUKAGOSHI, Kyujiro ✓	Auditor, Teikoku Seni	Approved
✓ WADA, Takeniko ✓	Director, Nippon Saigyo	Approved

YAMADA, Torizo

Director Teikoku Seni

(Pending)

Note: As for the twelve cases above-stated on which the Committee's decisions were made please see also supplementary statement on the separate sheets.

Supplimentary statement on the main reasons
on which the Committee based its decisions,

(23 April, 1948)

Approved cases 12.

DOI, Masanosuke

He had been a chief engineer in Tosashi K.K. before its merger with Nippon Shigyo K.K., and even after his assumption of office of director he was responsible for production as chief of factory and, therefore, he cannot be regarded as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

FUJIOKA, Hiraku

Viewed from his long career as a journalist he cannot be considered as an appointee.

ISHIZAKI, Kiyoto

His appointment was made when Zaibatsu had ceased to exercise power of control.

KUMAGAI, Eiji

There having been no other suitable persons available on account of the "purge" he was appointed an officer through request of President Fukuyama, his senior graduate, and the appointment was made after the dissolution of the Zaibatsu control.

NAGANO, Jiro

Having been a director in Taiyo Rayon, he was transferred as such, together with its enterprise, to Teikoku Seni in accordance with the terms of the merger, and therefore he cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

NISHIMURA, Hanjiro

His case is similar to that of ISHIZAKI, Kiyoto.

SASAKI, Yoshihiko

When the fibre dept. of Nippon Yushi was incorporated into Teikoku Seni he was appointed an officer in accordance with the terms of the merger, and therefore he cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

SAWANO, Saburo

His case is similar to that of ISHIZAKI, Kiyoto.

TADA, Yoshimune

He was elected an officer following his long career as a staff in the company, and judging from the circumstances under which he discharged his duties, he cannot be considered as a representative of the

the Zaibatsu interest.

TAKAGI, Takeo

His case was similar to that of
SASAKI, Yoshihiko.

TSUKAGOSHI, Kyujiro

He is representing the interest of
KOIKE Ginko, a shareholder of Teikoku
Seni and not the interest of Zaibatsu.

WADA, Takehiko

He was promoted to the office of
director from the ranks, having served in
the company for years as an engineering
staff.

Report on the proceedings of the Appointees
Examination Committee (No. 17)

20 April, 1948 (Tues.) 10.00 - 17.30

1. Attendants: All the Committee members.
2. The Committee deliberated on the applications filed by the following twenty-two persons respectively, reaching decisions as indicated after each positions held:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions</u>
FUJIMURA, Akira ✓	Standing director, etc., Mitsubishi Jisho	Disapproved
HAMAKAWA, Yujiro	Director, etc., Mitsubishi Soko	(Pending)
HIGUCHI, Minoru ✓	Standing director, etc., Mitsubishi Jisho	Disapproved
HIRAKAWA, Kishiro ✓	Managing Director, etc., Nippon Aluminium Kogyo	Approved
ISHIKAWA, Osamu	Director, Nippon Kogyo	(Pending)
IWAKAMI, Junichi ✓	Director, Asano Bussan	Disapproved
KAMEYAMA, Makoto ✓	Auditor, Mitsubishi Jisho	Disapproved
KANEKO, Genichiro ✓	Director, Mitsubishi Jisho	Approved
KIGUCHI, Tokijiro 22	Managing director, etc., Toyo Tsushinki	(Pending)
KINOSHITA, Toraichi ✓	Standing director, etc., Nippon Aluminium Kogyo	Approved
KOMURA, Seiichi ✓	Disapproved Ditto	Approved
KOSHINA, Yoshihiro ✓	Auditor, Mitsubishi Soko	Approved
MAEDA, Tetsuro ✓	Standing director, etc., Nippon Aluminium Kogyo	Approved
MIURA, Masaki ✓	Director, Nippon Shigyo	Approved
MITA, Hisao	Director, etc., Mitsubishi Soko	(Pending)

may - application with that group.

✓ MIYAKAWA, Keizo ¹⁶ ✓	Director, etc., Nippon Kogyo	Approved
NAGAMATSU, Toshikuma ²¹	Pres. Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken	(Pending)
✓ OMASA, Masao ✓	Standing director, Saikai Kisen	Approved
OSUMI, Tatsuo ²⁶	Director, etc., Mitsubishi Soko	(Pending)
✓ SAITO, Togyo ✓	Director, etc., Nichinan Tetsuko	Approved
✓ TAKAGI, Kiyoshi ✓	Director, etc., Nippon Alminium Seizo-jo	Approved
✓ YAMADA, Sakunoshin ^{sube} ✓	Auditor, Mitsubishi Soko	Approved

Note:- As for the sixteen cases on which decisions of the Committee were made please see also supplementary note on the seperate sheets.

Supplimentary statement on the main reasons on the basis of which the Committee gave decisions, 20 April, 1948

1. Disapproved cases 4.

FUJIMURA, Akira

Judged from his long service career as an officer in a direct affiliate of Mitsubishi he is regarded as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

HIGUCHI, Minoru

Same as above.

IWAGAMI, Junichi

Judged from his career as an officer and character of Asano Bussan, which is a trading company, he is concluded to be an appointee.

KAMEYAMA, Makoto

His case is similar to that of FUJIMURA, Akira.

2. Approved cases 12.

HIRAKAWA, Kishiro

He was, after years of service, appointed an officer in Nippon Alminium Seizo-jo, which had then had no financial connections with Mitsubishi and, therefore, he cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

KANEKO, Genichiro

Entering the company after years of government service as an engineer, he was later appointed an officer, and from his career he cannot be judged to be an appointee.

KINOSHITA, Toraichi

He was appointed an officer to represent his own interest - Kinoshita Tekko-jo.

KOMURA, Seiichi

The execution of a work programme of Mitsubishi Kogyo at Niigata having been suspended, in response to the demand of the Army, KOMURA, then Chief of the construction office there, was transferred, together with the equipments, to Nippon Alminium Kogyo which took over the contemplated work. Such being the circumstances under which he was appointed an officer and there being

Mr. KORENAGA, an acknowledged representative of the Mitsubishi interest in the company, it is considered unreasonable to regard subject person as an appointee.

KOSHINA, Yoshihiro His appointment was made after the dissolution of Zaibatsu, and the term of office was very short.

MAEDA, Tetsuro He had no connections whatever with the Mitsubishi Zaibatsu; he was appointed an officer by virtue of his long service in the company as a staff.

MIURA, Masaki He was elected an officer when vacancy occurred, as he was among the top-ranking staffs in consequence of his many years service in the company.

MIYAKAWA, Keizo He was entreated to enter the service of the company which appreciated his merits as an engineer. He was appointed an officer because of his having held a similar position in the former company he had worked for. He devoted himself in the guidance of technical matters and has never attended the board of directors, etc.

OMASA, Masao In view of his career and special circumstances under which he took office, he cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

SAITO, Togo His career as well as the duties actually discharged by him disclaims his being a representative of the Zaibatsu interest. Further, Nichinan Tekko had virtually ceased to operate when he was appointed an officer therein, and the appointment was made nominally only to meet the legal requirement on the part of the company.

TAKAGI, Kiyoshi He took office as the successor of the company's founder, and he cannot be considered representing the Zaibatsu interest.

YAMADA, Sakunoshin His appointment was made after the dissolution of Zaibatsu, and, besides, the tenure of office covers only five months.

Report on the proceedings of the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 16)

17 April, 1948 (Sat.) 12.00 - 17.30

19 April, 1948 (Mon.) 12.00 - 18.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Mr. SUGI.
2. The Committee deliberated on the applications filed by the following thirty persons respectively, reaching decisions as indicated after each positions held:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions</u>
ABE, Kenji ✓	Director, etc., Nippon Kogyo	Approved
ATSUMO, Yoji ✓	Ditto	Approved
FUKAMI, Shunzaburo ✓	Ditto	Disapproved
HAYASHI, Kazuo ✓	Standing Director, Mitsui Shintaku	Approved
HAYASHI, Kenzai —	Ditto	(Pending)
HIGA, Yoshiatsu —	Director, etc., Mitsui Shintaku	(Pending)
HIRAJIMA, Kenji ✓	Director, etc., Asano Bussan	Approved
ICHIKAWA, Teijiro ✓	Director, Nippon Kogyo	Approved
ISHIKAWA, Teiji ✓	Standing director, Edogawa Kogyo-jo	Disapproved
ISHIKAWA, Teiji ✓	Director, Nippon Kogyo	(Pending)
ISHIZUKA, Teiji ✓	Ditto	Approved
IWAGAMI, Junichi ✓	Director, Asano Bussan	(Pending)
IWASE, Tei ✓	Director, etc., Nippon Zosen	Approved
KANEDA, Masaharu ✓	Director, etc., Asano Bussan	Approved
KAWASE, Toshio ✓	Standing director, etc., Edogawa Kogyo-jo	Disapproved

<i>Shinjyo</i> KURAMOCHI, Masajiro	Standing director, etc., Asano Bussan	Disapproved
MAEDA, Koretomo	Director, etc., Nissan Norin Kogyo	(Pending)
MIMA, Yasuichi	Director, etc., Nippon Kogyo	Approved
MITAMURA, Akira	Auditor, Nichinan Tekko	Approved
MIYAKAWA, Keizo	Director, etc., Nippon Kogyo	(Pending)
<i>Murakozu</i> NAGAMATSU, Seichi	Standing director, etc., Toyo Seishi	Approved
NIWA, Minofu	Director, etc., Nomura Shoken	Disapproved
OGAWA, Sakiichi	Director, etc., Nippon Zosen	Approved
OKABE, Kusuo	Director, etc., Nippon Kogyo	Approved
SAITO, Togo	Director, etc., Manshu Kozan	(Pending)
SATO, Shunichiro	Director, Nippon Tanko	Approved
SHIGEMATSU, Yoshihide	Director, etc., Nissan Norin Kogyo	Approved
TAKAI, Giichi	Director, etc., Asano Bussan	Disapproved
TANAKA, Seikichi	Standing director, etc., Nissan Norin Kogyo	Disapproved
TERAZAKI, Yutaka	Director, Nippon Kogyo	Approved

Supplimentary note on the main reasons on the basis of which the Committee made decisions, 17 April, 1948 and 19 April, 1948

1. Disapproved cases 7.

FUKAMI, Shunzaburo

His long service record as an officer in Nippon Kogyo, a direct affiliate of the Nissan, does not justify the contention in his appeal.

ISHIKAWA, Moriji

Judged from his position in Edogawa Kogyo-jo and his career as an officer therein, the reasons of his appeal are not too convincing.

KAWASE, Toshio

Same as above.

KURAMOCHI, Masajiro

He has been an officer for years in Asano Bussan and other affiliates of the Asano Zaibatsu, the fact of which stamps him as an appointee.

TAKAGI, Giichi

Same as above.

NIWA, Minoru

His long career as an officer in Nomura Shoken does not justify the contention in his appeal.

TANAKA, Seikichi

He has held important positions for years in Nissan Norin Kogyo, and the Committee cannot accept the reasons set forth in his application.

2. Approved cases 16.

ABE, Kenji

He was appointed officer in Nippon Kogyo, his merit as an expert accountant having been recognized, and, besides, his tenure of office was for a short duration after the termination of war.

Above is also true in respect to his relation with Sanin Kogyo.

ATSUMO, Yoji

He was selected an officer as a mining engineer, and, besides, the tenure of his office was for a short duration after the termination of war.

HAYASHI, Kazuo

He was elected an officer as a senior staff of the account division. The tenure of his office covered only a month or so of the post-war period.

HIRAJIMA, Eiji

He was promoted an officer in recognition of his long service, and even after the appointment he has served as a staff of the company: he cannot, therefore, be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

ICHIKAWA, Kinjiro

He was appointed an officer in accordance with the terms of the post-war agreement between the management and labour union of the company, the fact of which proves his immunity to the charge of his being a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

ISHIKAWA, Hisashi

He was recommended to the position of officer when vacancy occurred, as he had been the top-ranking engineer. He has always been in the technical division of the company, and cannot be regarded as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

IWASE, Tei

Judged from his business career culminated in his appointment as an officer, he cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

KANADA, Masaharu

It is apparent that his long service in the company was taken into consideration in his appointment. After even the assumption of office he has continued to serve in his capacity as a staff employee, and cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

MIMA, Yasuichi

He was promoted to the position of officer from the post of Chief of the Mining Affairs of the company, and, besides, the tenure of office covered a few months only of the post-war period.

MITAMURA, Akira

When he was appointed an officer, the company had virtually ceased its operation, and the appointment was made only formally to meet the requirements stipulated by the law concerned.

NAGAMATSU, Solchi

He was introduced to the company from Yokohama Specie Bank which had business connection with it, and he cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

OGAWA, Sakiichi

His appointment as an officer was made to meet the fervent wishes of President Yamamoto of the company, and, besides, the tenure of office was short. He cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

OKABE, Kusuo

He was elected an officer as an engineer, and the actual assumption of office took place only after his repatriation from abroad following the termination of war.

SATO, Shunichiro

He was appointed an officer under the circumstances in which the company was established, and the tenure of office falling under the provisions of the Law is for an extremely short duration.

SHIGEMATSU, Yoshihide

He was elected an officer from among technical experts in forestry and even after the election he has attended to duties assigned to the Chief of the Forestry Division only.

TERAZAKI, Yutaka

As the top-ranking staff of technical side he was appointed an officer when vacancy occurred, and the tenure of office was only for a few months following the termination of war.

Report on the proceedings at the Appointees
Examination Committee

(No. 15)

13 April (Tues.) 1948, 10.00-17.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Mr. OSHIMA.
2. The Committee deliberated on the fifteen applications involving the following persons respectively, reaching at decisions as indicated after each positions held;

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions</u>
✓ FUJII, Mitsuzo	Director, Nippon Cement	Approved
✓ HARIYA, Kinji	Director, Nippon Tsushin Kogyo	Approved
✓ IMOTO, Teisuke	Standing director, Keilung Tanko	Approved
✓ INCUE, Hidehiro	Director, etc., Nippon Cement	Approved
IWAKAMI, Junichi	Director, Nippon Cement	(Pending)
✓ KAMEDA, Genjiro	Director, etc., Asano Bussan	Approved
✓ KODAMA, Kiichi	Director, etc., Saikai Kisen	Approved
✓ KOSUGI, Yoshiharu	Director, etc., Nippon Cement	Approved
✓ MINATO, Saijiro	Director, etc., Nippon Tsushin Kogyo	Disapproved
✓ KOTOYOSHI, Mitsuhiro	Director, Asano Bussan	Approved
✓ NAKAGUCHI GUCHI, Suematsu	Auditor, etc., Nissan	Disapproved
✓ OGAWA, Shigekazu	Director, Nippon Tsushin Kogyo	Approved
✓ OSAWA, Chuzo	Auditor, etc., Toyo Tsushinki	Disapproved

TOKUGAWA, Makoto	Auditor, Nippon Cement	Approved
TSUMORI, Eigoro	Pres., etc., Toyo Tsushinki	Approved

Note:- As for the fourteen cases on which decisions of the Committee were passed please see also supplementary note on the separate sheets.

3. The Committee further deliberated on an application under Art. 9 from Shikoku Ringyo K.K., designating it as the successor company.

Supplementary note on the main
reasons on the basis of which the Committee
made decisions, 13 April, 1948

1. Disapproved cases 3.

MINATO, Saijiro

He is considered to be an appointee judged from his having concurrent posts in four companies affiliated with the Sumitomo interest and his business career.

NAKAKUNI, Suematsu

He is considered to be an appointee judged from his having many concurrent posts in companies of the Nissan zaibatsu and his business career.

OSAWA, Chuzo

While holding an office in Nippon Denki he was appointed to the position of auditor in many its affiliates, which reveals the potentiality of his being an appointee.

2. Approved cases 11.

FUJII, Mitsuzo

He is a hundred percent technical staff of the company, and cannot be regarded as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

HARIYA, Kinji

Having been an officer in the company from which Nippon Tsushin Kogyo evolved, he was appointed as a director in the new company, and, therefore, he is not looked upon as representing the Sumitomo interest.

IMOTO, Teisuke

The application was filed by the provisions of Para. 2 of Art. 8. His retention in office having been considered necessary for the smooth and successful execution of rehabilitation program of non-ferrous metals enterprises, he was permitted to remain in the company until the end of June, 1948. (The tenure of office requested in the application was until the end of September 1948)

INOUE, Hideteru

His appointment as a director was due to selection from among senior staffs, and, besides, his tenure of office falling under the provisions of the Law is comparatively short. And as for his appointment as a standing director, it was made after the dissolution of the Zaibatsu, and he cannot be regarded as an appointee.

KAMEDA, Genjiro

Same as above.

KODAMA, Kiichi

After a long service in the company as a staff he was appointed as an officer, when the dissolution of the Zaibatsu had been realized.

KOSUGI, Yoshiharu

His case is similar to that of INOUE, Hideteru.

MOTOYOSHI, Mitsuhiro

He entered the company's service from the Yokohama Specie Bank which had business connections with the company, and his entry was made after the termination of war.

OGAWA, Shigekazu

He was chosen from among the staffs and even after the appointment he has been working as the chief of the Accounts Division, from the fact of which he cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

TOKUGAWA, Makoto

He assumed the office of auditor for the preservation of invested interests other than the Asano Zaibatsu, and he cannot be considered as a representative of the Zaibatsu.

TSUBORI, Eigoro

He has been an officer since the the days of Meisho Denki, from which Toyo Teushinki has evolved, and, therefore, his connection with the Sumitomo Zaibatsu is considered very slight.

Report on the proceedings at the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 14)

April 12, 1948 (Mon.) 12.00 - 17.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Mr. OSHIMA.
2. The Committee deliberated on the applications involving the following fourteen persons respectively, reaching decisions indicated after each positions:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions</u>
HISATOMI, Okura	Director, etc., Saikai Kisen	Approved
HORI, Rokuemon	Ditto.	Disapproved
ISHIKAWA, Moriji	Standing director, Edogawa Kogyo-jo	(Pending)
KAWASE, Toshio	Director, etc., Edogawa Kogyo-jo	(Pending)
KODAMA, Kiichi	Director, etc., Saikai Kisen	(Pending)
KONDO, Tokuju	Standing director, Saikai Kisen	Approved
MOTOMATSU, Naoto	Pres., Saikai Kisen	Approved
NAGAI, Hideo	Director, etc., Saikai Kisen	(Pending)
OKAMOTO, Tatsuo	Director, etc., Edogawa Kogyo-jo	Disapproved
OMASA, Masao	Standing director, Saikai Kisen	(Pending)
SEKI, Yoshikuni	Standing director, Mitsubishi Seishi	Approved
SHIMODA, Fumio	Auditor, Edogawa Kogyo- jo	Approved
WATANABE, Takashi	Auditor, Taisho Kaijo Kasai Hoken	Approved

YAMANE, Harue

Standing director, Taisho
Kaijo Kasai Hoken

Approved.

Note:- As for the ten cases on which the Committee's
decisions were passed please see also supplementary
note on the separate sheets.

Supplementary note on the main
reasons on which the Committee based
its decisions

(12 April, 1948)

1. Disapproved cases 2.

HORI, Rokuemon

He was concurrently appointed to positions in three companies of the Mitsui Zaibatsu which bespeaks of his close connections with the Zaibatsu.

OKAMOTO, Tatsuo

He has a long service record as an officer in paper-manufacturing companies of the Mitsubishi interest, revealing his close relationship with the Zaibatsu.

2. Approved cases. 3.

HISATOMI, Okura

He is a representative of HISATOMI Shoji, investor and not of the Mitsui interest.

KONDO, Tokuju

He is a representative of Hakata-wan Unyu, investor and not of the Mitsui interest.

MOTOMATSU, Naoto

Same as above

NAGAI, Hideo

Same as above

SEKI, Yoshikuni

His appointment was made after the dissolution of the Mitsubishi Zaibatsu.

SHIMODA, Fumio

His appointment to the position of Standing director in Mitsubishi Seishi was made after the dissolution of the Zaibatsu, while his appointment to position of Auditor in Edogawa Kogyo-jo is regarded as merely a nominal one.

WATANABE, Takashi

He is not considered an appointee, his appointment being made after the dissolution of the Mitsui Zaibatsu.

YAMANE, Harue

Same as above.

Report on the proceedings at the
Appointees Examination Committee. (No. 13)

10 April, 1948 (Sat.) 12.00 - 17.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Mr. Hiraoka
2. The Committee deliberated on the fifteen applications involving the following persons respectively, approving ten cases as indicated after each positions held:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Results of screening</u>
HAYASHI, Kenzai	Standing director, Mitsui Shintaku	(Pending)
HIGARA, Atsushi	Director, etc., Mitsui Shintaku	(Pending)
KAWANABE, Takashi	Director, etc., Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken	Approved
NAGAMATSU, Toshikuma	President, Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken	(Pending)
NAKAKUNI, Suematsu	Auditor, etc., Nissan	(Pending)
<i>Applic Missing</i> NAKAMURA, Junzaku	Director, Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken	Approved
NAKAMURA, Yaroku	Standing director, Mitsui Kinkai Kisen	Approved
SADO, Takashi	Director, Mitsui Shintaku	Approved
SASAKI, Daikichi	Director, Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken	(Pending)
SASAKI, Hideichi	Standing director, Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken	Approved
SHIMIZU, Naosaku	Director, Mitsui Shintaku	Approved
SUEMATSU, Tomoe	Director, etc., Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken	Approved
TOMINAGA, Taneoki	Ditto	Approved
TSUNEYOSHI, Tatsuo	Ditto	Approved
YOSHIDA, Kaneshige	President, Mizuho Sangyo	Approved

3. The Committee further deliberated applications filed in accordance with the provisions of Para. 3, Art. 9, designating the five companies belowmentioned as the successor companies.

Tokai Norin K.K.
Hyogo Norin K.K.
Hokkai Norin K.K.
Kyushu Norin K.K.
Fuso Norin K.K.

Note:-

As for the ten approved cases (para. 2) please also see supplementary note on the separate sheet.

Supplimentary note on the main reasons
on which the Committee based its decisions

(10, April, 1948)

Approved cases 10

KAWANABE, Takashi

He was appointed officer in consequence of his long service in the company, and is not considered to have been a representative of the Nissan interest.

NAKAMURA, Junseku

He was appointed officer when the merger of Taiheiyō Kaijō Kasai Hoken was effected with Nissan Kasai Kaijō Hoken, and is not considered to have been a representative of the Nissan interest.

NAKAMURA, Yaroku

His appointment was made after the dissolution of the Mitsui Zaibatsu and even after that he remained in Hakodate as the Chief of Branch there.

SADO, Takashi

His appointment was made after the dissolution of the Mitsui Zaibatsu, and he cannot be considered as an appointee.

SASAKI, Hideichi

His case is similar to that of NAKAMURA, Junseku.

SHIMIZU, Naosaku

His case is similar to that of SADO, Takashi.

SUZUMATSU, Tomoe

His case is similar to that of KAWANABE, Takashi.

TOMINAGA, Taneoki

Same as above

TSUNEFYOSHI, Tatsuo

Same as above

YOSHIDA, Kaneshige

He was appointed officer after the termination of war, from among the senior engineers, with the support of the whole employees of the company, and did not represent the interest of the Nakajima Zaibatsu.

Report on the proceedings at the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 12)

6 April, 1948 (Tues.) 10.00 - 17.30

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Mr. OSHIMA.
2. The Committee deliberated on the twenty-one applications involving the following persons respectively, reaching decisions as indicated each after positions held.

(Please see also supplementary note on the separate sheet)

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions</u>
✓ AOKI, Torao	Director, etc., Nomura Kogyo	Disapproved
✓ ENDO, Kenji	Auditor, Mitsui Norin	Approved
✓ HAYASHI, Senzo	Director, Tozan Sangyo	Approved
✓ KASHIMA, Yoshinobu	Director, etc., Tozan Sangyo	Disapproved
✓ MAEKAWA, Kiyoshi	Director, Nihon Seikojo	(Pending)
✓ MATSUMOTO, Shoji	Director, etc., Kowa Boseki	Approved
✓ MIDORIKAWA, Hisaaki	Director, Nihon Kogyo	Approved
✓ MINAMI, Tahel	Director, etc., Kowa Boseki	Approved
✓ MIWA, Tsunejiro	Managing director, etc., Kowa Boseki	Approved
✓ MIYAISHI, Juzo	Director, Kowa Boseki	Approved
✓ MURAI, Hachiro	President, Nihon Kogyo	Approved
✓ NAGAOKA, Masao	Director, Nihon Kogaku Kogyo	Approved
✓ NAGASHIMA, Tadao	Auditor, Tozan Sangyo	(Pending)
OKA, Toki ✓ OKATO, Hiroshi	Director, etc., Tozan Sangyo	Approved
✓ SHIBUZAWA, Kinzo	Director, Fuji Sangyo	Disapproved

TAKAHASHI, Kiichi	Standing director, Tozan Sangyo	Approved
TAKAHASHI, Shinji	Director, etc., Nihon Kogyo	Approved
TAKEDA, Masayasu	Director, Mitsui Soko	Approved
TOKUSHIMA, Sadao	Auditor, etc., Sansai Kogyo	Approved
YANASE, Izo	Standing director, Tozan Hoji	Approved
YOSHIDA, Hisashi	Auditor, Nihon Seikojo	Approved

Supplementary note on the main
reasons on which the Committee based
its decisions (6 Apr. 1948)

1). Disapproved cases 3.

AOKI, Torao

His career bespeaks of his close connections with the Zaibatsu interest.

KASHIMA, Yoshinobu

His long tenure of office in Tozan Sangyo and especially in Tozan Noji is indicative of his close connections with the Zaibatsu interest.

SHIBUZAWA, Kinzo

Please see the annexed sheet.

2). Approved cases 16

ENDO, Kenji

He is an applicant under Art. 8. He seems indispensable person in the work of liquidation: his case is being supported by recommendation from HGLC.

HAYASHI, Senzo

His appointment is considered not to have subjected to the approval of Zaibatsu, and further his actual term of office was only for a month, having been called up by the Army.

MATSUMOTO, Shoji

The Nakajima Zaibatsu's administration of personnel matters had no bearing whatever on his appointment.

MIDORIKAWA, Hisashi

Nitto Kogyo is considered a private enterprise of Mr. MURAI, and the appointment of MIDORIKAWA was not subject to the control of the Nomura Zaibatsu.

MINAMI, Tahei

The Nakajima Zaibatsu's administration of personnel matters had no bearing whatever on his appointment.

MIWA, Tsunejiro

Same as above.

MIYAISHI, Juzo

Same as above.

MURAI, Hachiro

He assumed the office of president of the company which evolved from his personal enterprise, and therefore he does not represent the interest of the Nomura Zaibatsu.

NAGAOKA, Masao

He was promoted from the ranks as an expert engineer and viewed from the circumstances under which he discharged his duties he cannot be regarded as an Appointee.

OKATO, Hiroshi

He was promoted from an employee, and cannot be regarded as an Appointee; his tenure of office is also short comparatively.

TAKAHASHI, Kiichi

His appointment was made when control over the personnel matters by Zaibatsu was no longer existed.

TAKAHASHI, Sinji

His appointment was through the recommendation of Mr. MURAI (See above), and he is not a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

TAKEDA, Masayasu

His appointment was made complying with the wishes of the whole employees, when control over the personnel matters by Zaibatsu was no longer existed.

TOKUSHIMA, Sadao

No agreements were existed between Sansai Kogyo and Mitsui Kozan covering the matters of appointment of officers. And in his case also there seems to have been no understanding whereby he was made to represent the interest of Zaibatsu, and, on top of that, he was, as President, able to discharge his duty similar to that pertaining to a company liquidator by the then prevailing conditions.

YANASE, Izo

On account of his thirty years' experience in forestry he was appointed officer, which had no connection with the Mitsubishi Zaibatsu.

YOSHIDA, Hisashi

He was promoted from the ranks and cannot be looked upon as a representative of the Zaibatsu interest.

SHIBUZAWA, Kinzo, Director of the Fuji Sangyo K.K.

1. He was appointed Director, the Fuji Sangyo K.K. at the Extraordinary General Meeting of Shareholders as a nominee of the shareholders in accordance with Memorandum AG 095 (29 June 46) ESS/AC, a copy of which is enclosed in documentary evidence submitted by subject person.
2. However, his application for not being an Appointee has been disapproved by the Appointees Examination Committee, which based its decision on the following points:
 - a. All the shares of the Fuji Sangyo K.K. were in the hand of family members of the Nakajima Zaibatsu,
 - b. who were alone present at the Extraordinary Meeting of Shareholders referred to in Para. 1 above.
 - c. He was obviously appointed as a nominee of the shareholders.
3. After a careful consideration also of Para. 3, (a), of Memorandum AG 091.3 (23 July, 46) ESS/AC in which the Law had ~~the~~ ground of its enactment, and a relevant part of which is copied out on the attached sheet, the Committee is convinced that its action on the case of SHIBUZAWA is not, actually, inconsistent with the contents of the Memorandum of 29 June, 1946, referred to in Para. 1, above.

AG 091.3 (23 July, 46) ESS/AC. (SCAPIN-1079).
MEMORANDUM FOR: IMPERIAL JAPANESE GOVERNMENT.

THROUGH: CENTRAL LIAISON OFFICE, TOKYO.

SUBJECT: ORDINANCES AND REGULATIONS AFFECTING THE
HOLDING COMPANY LIQUIDATION COMMISSION.

1.

2.

3. To further implement the program of deconcentration of economic power, and independently of proposals for the enactment of permanent legislation to effectuate such programs the Imperial Japanese Government is directed to take such immediate action as may be necessary, as a minimum, to insure the following:

- a. The elimination of the influence of listed families of family members through security ownership or the holding of a position of business responsibility by either such family member or his appointees in any company: provided, however, that the Imperial Japanese Government may, with the approval of the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers, permit certain families and individuals to be exempt or removed from this restriction upon a showing of necessity or good cause.

Report on the proceedings at the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 11)

5 April, 1948 (Monday) 12.00 - 17.20

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Mr. OSHIMA.
2. The fourteen applications involving the following persons respectively were examined:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Screening results</u>
✓ HIRUKAWA, Hachiro	Standing director, etc., Nihon Denki Seiki	Approved
✓ IMASEKI, Seishi	Managing director, etc., Toyo Tsushinki	Approved
✓ KIGUCHI, Tokijiro <i>application not attached</i>	Standing director, etc., Ditto	(Pending)
<i>added to list</i> KURODA, Atsushi	Director, Ditto	Approved
✓ MATSUDA, Tsutomu	Vice-pres., etc., Fuso Kinzoku Kogyo	Approved
✓ MIZUSHINA, Kiyoshi	Director, Toyo Tsushinki	Approved
✓ NAMEKATA, Kokichi	Standing director, etc., Teikoku Seimei Hoken	Approved
<i>We have</i> ✓ NEMURA, Masao TO	Director, Toyo Tsushinki	Approved
✓ SANO, Koichi	Managing Director, Tohoku Kinzoku Kogyo	Approved
<i>added to our list</i> SHIMBA, Kyukichi	Pres., etc., Ditto	Approved
<i>added to our list</i> TAKAGI, Hiromu	Pres., etc., Ditto	Disapproved
✓ TANEDA, Naotaro	Standing director, Toyo Tsushinki	Approved
✓ WATANABE, Naoyuki	Standing director, etc., Tohoku Kinzoku Kogyo	Approved
<i>added to our list</i> YONEKI, Eiichiro	Auditor, Ditto	Approved

Note:- As for the screening results please see also the separate sheet.

Supplimentary note on the main
reasons on which the Committee based
its decisions (5 April 1948)

1). Disapproved case 1.

TAKAGI, Hiromu

He held positions of main officer for a long period and later became the highest responsible officer

2). Approved cases 12.

HIRUKAWA, Hachiro

It is only recent that Nihon Denki became involved in the Sumitomo Zaibatsu, and, besides, the company was under the monopolistic power of Pres. Mukoyama who had no connections with the Zaibatsu interest, when the subject person was appointed officer recommended by the President.

IMASEKI, Seishi

He was appointed officer after the Sumitomo Zaibatsu ceased to exert control over the personnel affairs. His career reveals further that he had had no former connections with the Sumitomo Zaibatsu.

KURODA, Atsushi

He had not previously served in the Sumitomo Zaibatsu companies, and his appointment was not subject to the consent of Nihon Denki, parent company of Toyo Tsushinki.

MATSUDA, Teutomu

Tohoku Kinzoku Kogyo, as evident from certificates of the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, etc. is carrying on an important industry for rehabilitation of national economy. MATSUDA, President of the company which is now, in its relation with the current problem of the reconstruction and re-adjustment of enterprises, beset with such difficulties as labour question, the procurement of materials, etc., is considered indispensable and irreplaceable person for the time being. The Committee approved his application for retention of office under Para. 2 of Art. 8. The term of office, originally applied for six months, was made until the end of May, 1948.

MIZUSHINA, Kiyoshi

When the Toyohashi factory of Fujibo was handed over to Toyo Tsushinki, the appointment of subject person who had been chief of the factory was made one of the terms of the sale agreement of the factory: he did not, therefore, represent the Sumitomo Zaibatsu interest.

NAMEKATA, Kokichi

He was forced out of Furukawa Gomei, consequent upon its readjustment of enterprises, to be adopted as an employee of Teikoku Seimei, which appointed him later to the position of officer in recognition of his talent. He is not considered representing the Zaibatsu interest.

NEMURA, Masao

His case was similar to that of IMASEKI.

SANO, Koichi

When he was appointed officer the control of the Zaibatsu over the personnel affairs no longer existed. Furthermore, he was not the highest responsible officer, and the relationship of Tohoku Kinzoku Kogyo with the Sumitomo is considered slight.

SHIMBA, Kukichi

He was appointed officer as expert engineer, having no connections whatever with the Sumitomo Zaibatsu, the relationship of which with Tohoku Kinzoku Kogyo is considered slight.

TANEDA, Naotaro

He was appointed officer as engineer and the appointment was effected after the termination of war when the Zaibatsu control over the personnel affairs was no longer exerted.

WATANABE, Naoyuki

He was appointed officer as expert engineer and his career indicates no connections with the Sumitomo Zaibatsu.

YONEKI, Eiichiro

Subject person, who was an auditor of Tohoku Kinzoku Kogyo of pre-merger days, retained his office in the new company and had no connections with the Sumitomo Zaibatsu.

Report on the proceedings of
the Appointees Examination Committee

(No. 10)

30 March, 1943 (Tuesday) 10.00-17.30

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Messrs. SUGI, WAKIMURA & OSHIMA.
2. The twenty-four applications involving the following individuals respectively were screened, of which fifteen cases were approved and one disapproved:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Screening results</u>
+ AMAMORI, Tsunejiro	Director, Nomura Shoken	Approved
AOKI, Torao	Managing Director, etc., Nomura Kogyo	(Pending)
+ DATE, Mitsukuni	Director, Teikoku Seimei Hoken	Approved
+ HIROTA, Sajiro	Auditor, Fuji Sangyo	Approved
+ KAWAKITA, Masayoshi	Director, Nomura Ginko	Approved
+ KINBARA, Yunosuke	Director, etc., Yasuda Seimei Hoken	Approved
+ KURIHARA, Jingo	Director, etc., Fuji Sangyo	Disapproved
X MATSUMOTO, Akira	Standing Director, etc., Nomura Kogyo	Approved
NAGACKA, Masao	Director, Nihon Kogaku Kogyo	(Pending)
X NAKAGOME, Kiyoshi	Managing Director, etc., Dainihon Kikai Kogyo	Approved
NAKAMURA, Yaroku	Standing Director, Mitsui Kinkai Kisen	(Pending)
NAMEKATA, Kokichi	Standing Director, etc., Teikoku Seimei Hoken	(Pending)
X NOMURA, Kiyoomi	Pres., Fuji Sangyo	Approved
+ OGUCHI, Hikoshichi	Director, Fuji Sangyo	Approved
X OKUMURA, Tsunao	Director, Nomura Shoken	Approved

SANO, Koichi	Managing Director, Tohoku	(Pending)
+ SASAKI, Kentaro	Pres., etc., Dainihon Kikai Kogyo	Approved
SHIBUZAWA, Kinzo	Director, Fuji Sangyo	(Pending)
TAKAGI, Hiroshi	Pres., etc., Tohoku Kinzoku Kogyo	(Pending)
+ TAKAGI, Yoshihiro	Director, etc., Teikoku Seimei Hoken	Approved
+ TOZAWA, Eiichi	Director, Fuji Sangyo	Approved
+ TSUCHIDA, Yoritaka	Standing Director, Nemura Higashi-Indo Shokusan	Approved
+ YAMASHINA, Tsutomu	Director, Teikoku Seimei Hoken	Approved
YOSHIDA, Hisashi	Auditor, Nihon Seiko-jo	(Pending)

As for the Committee's decisions please see also
note on the separate sheet.

Supplementary note on the Committee's
decisions at its meeting, 30 March, 1948

Individuals involved

Main points upon which the Committee
based its decisions

1). Disapproved case

KURIHARA, Jingo

He is inevitably taken for an appointee in view of his long service record as an officer since the days of Nakajima Hikoki Seisaku-jo.

2). Approved cases

AMAMORI, Tsuneijiro

He was promoted to an officer from the ranks and the term falling under the provisions of the Law is short, and further it is considered that his appointment was not subject to the prior consent of Nomura Gomei.

DATE, Mitsukuni

He was appointed in recognition of his long meritorious service as a staff employee. The circumstances under which he discharged his duties seem supporting his application for having not been an appointee.

HIROTA, Sajiro

He was appointed in accordance with a Directive of G.H.Q., representing Nihon Kogyo Ginko, and had no connections with the Zaibatsu.

KAWAKITA, Masayoshi

His case was similar to that of AMAMORI.

KIMBARA, Yunosuke

He was an applicant under the provisions of Art. 8 of the Law. It is considered essential that he should be appointed as liquidator for a term of one year, no other suitable person is being available with his inside knowledge of the company.

MATSUMOTO, Akira

After his civil service career he was appointed an officer, and he cannot be regarded as an appointee viewed from the circumstances under which he took office.

NAKAGOME, Kiyoshi

His appointment had no connections with the Mitsubishi Zaibatsu whose concern itself with Dai Nippon Kikai Kogyo was of rather slight ~~some~~ *nature*.

NOMURA, Kiyomi

His case was similar to that of HIROTA.

OGUCHI, Hikoshichi

Ditto.

OKUMURA, Tsunao

His case was similar to that of AMAMORI.

SASAKI, Kentaro

His case was similar to that of NAKAGOME.

TAKAGI, Yoshihiro

He was one of the big shareholders and chosen as an officer from among the shareholders, and, therefore, it was not right to consider him representing the interest of the Furukawa Zaibatsu.

TOZAWA, Eiichi

His case was similar to that of HIROTA.

TSUCHIDA, Yoritaka

He was appointed when the Nomura Zaibatsu had ceased to exert control over its personnel affairs, and viewed from the prevailing circumstances under which he discharged his duties, he cannot be considered an appointee.

YAMASHINA, Tsutomu

His case was similar to that of DATE.

Report on the proceedings of the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 9)

29 March, 1948 (Monday) 12.00 - 17.30

1. Attendants: All the Committee members except Messrs. SUGI and WAKIMURA.
2. The twelve applications involving the following individuals respectively were screened, of which seven cases were approved (See the note on separate sheet):

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Screening results</u>
HIRUKAWA, Hachiro	Standing Director, Nippon Denki Seiki K.K.	(Pending)
+ ICANA, Hideji	Director, etc., Ditto	Approved
X KOYA, Ryokichi	Pres., etc., Anritsu Denki K.K.	Approved
MATSUI, Torata	Pres., etc., Beppu Kagaku Kogyo K.K.	(Pending)
MATSUDA, Osamu	Pres., etc., Tohoku Kinzoku Kogyo K.K.	(Pending)
+ NAKAMIMA, Kiichi	Standing Director, Nippon Denki Seiki K.K.	Approved ✓
ONO, Takashi	Managing Director, Anritsu Denki K.K.	(Pending)
+ SHIMADA, Tsutomu	Standing Director, etc., Nippon Denki Seiki K.K.	Approved ✓
YAGI, Hiroshi	Standing Director, etc., Beppu Kagaku Kogyo K.K.	(Pending)
+ YAMADA, Takeji	Director, Nippon Denki Seiki K.K.	Approved
+ YASUKAWA, Daigoro	Ditto, Ditto	Approved ✓
+ YASUKAWA, Taichi	Ditto, Ditto	Approved ✓

Supplimentary note on actions taken
by the Appointees Examination
Committee at its sitting on March 29, 1948

Individuals involved

Main points upon which the
Committee based its approval

KOANA, Hideji

The company was founded by his late father and the shares owned by the KOANA families represent a majority of the total. He was a merely ordinary director.

KOYA, Ryokichi

He assumed presidency on behalf the founder of the company. His assumption of office and execution of duties were not influenced whatever by the Sumitomo Zaibatsu.

NAKAJIMA, Kichi

He was appointed ^{Standing} ~~Managing~~ Director when the Sumitomo Zaibatsu exercised no longer control over personnel affairs. He was, beside, not accorded such an authority as pertaining to the highestest representative officer of the company.

SHIMADA, Tsutomu

When the merger of Chuo Denki Seisakujo with Nippon Denki Seiki K.K. was effected, to realize the demand of the Military quarters, his appointment to an office in the latter was made one of the prerequisites of the merger, which had, therefore, no connection with the interest of the Sumitomo Zaibatsu. He was not the highest representative officer of the company.

YAMADA, Takeji

His case was similar to that of SHIMADA.

YASUKAWA, Daigoro

He assumed office on account of his personal tie with the ex-President of KOANA Seisakusho, representing the interest of the shareholders. He did not regularly report to the company for duty and, in consequence, did not participate in its administration.

YASUKAWA, Taichi

Consequent upon the resignation of YASUKAWA, Daigoro subject succeeded the office vacated by the former: he did not participate in the administration of the company to which he did not report for duty regularly.

Report on the proceedings of the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 8)

March 23, 1948 (Tuesday) 10.00 - 17.30

- 1). Attendants: All the Committee members.
- 2). The applications involving the following fifteen persons respectively were deliberated and decided as indicated after each positions:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions</u> *
ARIMATSU, Junichiro X	Director, Nomura Ginko K.K.	Approved
FUKUDA, Shinobu X	Auditor, Standing Director, Mitsui Fudosan K.K.	Disapproved
HANDA, Kiyoshi X	Director, Nomura Ginko K.K.	Approved
HITOMI, Yuki X	Director, Taiheiyo Tanko K.K.	Approved
HORI, Toyotaro X	Director, Nihon Kogaku Kogyo K.K.	Disapproved
KATSUYA, Toshiaki X	Standing Director, Horai Tanker K.K.	Approved
MAEKAWA, Kiyoshi	Director, Nihon Seikojo K.K.	(Pending)
MATSUKATA, Shokuma X	Director, Taiheiyo Tanko K.K.	Approved
NAGAOKA, Masao	Director, Nihon Kogaku Kogyo K.K.	(Pending)
NAKAMURA, Yaroku	Standing Director, Mitsui Kinkai Kisen K.K.	(Pending)
OHIGASHI, Tokichi X	Director, Taiheiyo Tanko K.K.	Approved
TANAKA, Osamu X	Standing Director, Taiheiyo Tanko K.K.	Approved
UMENO, Umejiro X	Director, Mitsui Fudosan K.K.	Disapproved

YOSHIDA, Hisashi Auditor, Nihon Seiko-jo K.K. (Pending)

YOSHIMURA, Mosaburo Director, Nomura Ginko K.K. Approved

* As for the decisions of the Committee please see also the supplementary notes on the separate sheet.

Supplementary notes on actions taken
by the Appointees Examination Committee at its
meeting on March 23, 1948

(Persons involved)

(Main points upon which the
Committee based its conclusions)

1. Disapproved cases

FUKUDA, Shinobu

^{was} The circumstances under which he appointed are bespeaking eloquently of the control of the Mitsui Zaibatsu over the personnel affairs having been exerted.

HORI, Toyotaro

He was apparently ^{subject} to the personnel control of the Mitsui Zaibatsu and considered to have exercised, as the manager of Nihon Kogaku K.K., authority commonly supposed pertaining to the office held by persons higher than the standing directors.

UMENO, Umejiro

His case was similar to that of FUKUDA.

2. Approved cases

ARIMATSU, Junichiro

He was an ordinary Director of a company of B category and his term of office falling under the provisions of the Law was very short, and, besides, his appointment as an officer required no prior consent of the Nomura Gomei according to the Articles of Incorporation.

HANDA, Kiyoshi

His case was similar to that of ARIMATSU.

HITOMI, Yuki

He was promoted to the position through his long service record as a mining engineer, and considered not to have been an Appointee in view of the circumstances under which he discharged his duties.

KATSUYA, Toshiaki

He assumed the office representing the interest other than the Mitsui Combines, and, further, he was not, as a Standing Director, invested with authority to act representing the company.

MATSUKATA, Shokuma

He was representing the interest of KIMURA-Gumi and had no way connected with the Mitsui Zaibatsu.

OHIGASHI, Tokichi

His case was similar to that of MATSUKATA.

TANAKA, Osamu

His assumption of office took place after the dissolution of the Mitsui Honsha and the term of office was short. Besides, he was not the highest responsible person in the company.

YOSHIMURA, Mosaburo

His case was similar to that of ARIMATSU.

Report on the proceedings of the
Appointees Examination Committee

(No. 7)

March 22, 1948 (Monday) 12.00 - 13.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee member except Mr. Genima

2. The applications, submitted under Arts. 6 and 7 of the Law, involving the following sixteen persons were deliberated, decisions reached being indicated after each positions:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions</u>
+ FUKUDA, Mikio	Auditor, K.K. "Nissan"	Approved
+ ISHIKAWA, Shinya	Director, K.K. "Nissan"	Approved
+ KAMIYA, Chiwaki	Director, Pres., Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken	Disapproved
KOYA, Ryokichi	Pres., Anritsu Denki K.K.	(Pending)
+ KUWAMOTO, Kiyoshi	Auditor, Director, Anritsu Denki K.K.	Approved -
+ MATSUMOTO, Arata	Pres., Anritsu Denki K.K.	Approved -
MIDORIKAWA, Hisashi	Director, Nippon Kogyo K.K.	(Pending)
+ MINATO, Hirokichi	Auditor, K.K. "Nissan"	Approved -
+ MURAI, Hachiro	Pres., Nippon Kogyo K.K.	(Pending)
ONO, Takashi	Managing Director, Anritsu Denki K.K.	(Pending)
+ SEKIGUCHI, Zenkichi	Auditor, Director, Anritsu Denki K.K.	Approved
+ SUGAHARA, Kenji	Director, Sumitomo Ginko	Approved
+ TAKAHASHI, Tsunesuke	Director, K.K. "Nissan"	Approved
TAKAHASHI, Shinji	Director, Nippon Kogyo K.K.	(Pending)
+ TAUCHI, Michitaro	Director, K.K. "Nissan"	Approved
TOKUSHIMA, Sadao	Auditor, Sansei Kogyo K.K.	(Pending)

Supplementary note on actions taken
by the Appointees Examination Committee at
its meeting on March 22, 1948

Persons involved

Main points on which the Committee based
its decision

1). Disapproved case

KAMIYA, Chiwaki

Viewed from his long service record in the Company the counter-evidence submitted is not too convincing to justify his claim.

2). Approved cases

FUKUDA, Mikio

In line with the policy of dissolving the company all the officers having retired, subject was elected at the Special Stockholders' General Meeting, which was approved by the H.C.L.C.

ISHIKAWA, Shinya

His case was similar to that of FUKUDA.

KUWAMOTO, Kiyoshi

His assumption of office was not dependent on the approval of the Sumitomo Zaibatsu or its affiliated companies.

MATSUMOTO, Arata

There was no participation of the Sumitomo's capital when he first assumed the office of Director and even in later period he was not backed by the Zaibatsu.

MINATO, Hirokichi

His case was similar to that of FUKUDA.

SEKIGUCHI, Zenkichi

He was not an officer on standing duty.

SUGAHARA, Kenji

He was a so-called "Out-side-of-company" Director and his appointment was made after the Sumitomo Zaibatsu ceased to exercise control over the personnel affairs.

TAKAHASHI, Tsunesuke

His case was similar to that of FUKUDA.

TAUCHI, Michitaro

Ditto

Report on the proceeding of the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 6)

March 16, 1948 (Tuesday) 12.00-17.30

1. Attendants: All the Committee members
2. The applications, submitted under Arts. 6 and 7 of the Law, involving the following persons were deliberated, decisions reached being indicated after each position:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>	<u>Decisions reached</u>
FUKUDA, Mikio	Auditor, Nissan K.K.	(Pending)
+ HANZAWA, Toshio	Director, Mitsui Bokusai K.K.	Approved
+ IMOTO, Teisuke	Standing Director, Keelung Tanko K.K.	Disapproved
ISHIKAWA, Shinya	Director, Nissan K.K.	(Pending)
ISHIKAWA, Tadaharu	Director, Mitsui Bimei K.K.	(Pending)
MINATO, Hirokichi	Auditor, Nissan K.K.	(Pending)
+ OKUNO, Kei	Director, Mitsubishi Shoji K.K.	Approved
+ OTA, Hidemitsu	Director, Keelung Tanko K.K.	Approved
TAKAHASHI, Masasuke	Director, Nissan K.K.	(Pending)
+ TAKI, Ensei	Standing Director, Beppu Kagaku Kogyo K.K.	Approved
+ TAKIUCHI, Rikuzo	Auditor, Nippon Aluminium K.K.	Approved
+ TANIDA, Toshio	Director, Mitsubishi Kisen K.K.	Approved
+ TAFENO, Shosuke	Auditor, Beppu Kagaku Kogyo K.K.	Approved
TAUCHI, Michitaro	Director, Nissan K.K.	(Pending)

~~WATANABE~~
~~M...~~
WATANABE, Hisashi

Director, Beppu Kagaku
Kogyo K.K.

Approved

YONEZAWA, Kajiro

Director, Mitsui Mokuzai
K.K.

Approved

X
6
(cf. Supplementary note on separate sheet)

Supplementary note on actions
taken by the Appointees Examination
Committee at its meeting on March 18, 1948

Persons involved

Main points on which the Committee
based its conclusions

(1) Disapproved case

IMOTO, Teisuke

He was considered a despatched officer of the Mitsui Kozan K.K.

(2) Approved cases

HANZAWA, Toshio

Entering the company as an employee he was appointed officer, June 1946, when the Mitsui Honsha had already dissolved and ceased, it was observed, to function to control over the personnel affairs.

OKUNO, Kei

He was appointed officer by order of seniority, May 1946, when the Mitsubishi Zaibatsu had dissolved, with the consequence that no control was exerted by its Honsha or by the Mitsubishi Shoji, it was observed.

OTA, Hidemitsu

He did not go to his post in Formosa to discharge his duties, for which he was reprimanded, having been placed on the waiting list.

TAKI, Ensei

When the Beppu Kagaku Kogyo K.K. was established in response to the demand of the military authorities with investment of both the Taki Seihi-jo and the Sumitomo Kagaku Kogyo K.K., he assumed the office of Standing Director representing the interest of the former company.

TAKIGUCHI, Rikuza

He assumed the office of Auditor in the Nippon Aluminum K.K., as a representative of the Taiwan Denryoku K.K. and, therefore, had no connections whatever with the Mitsubishi Zaibatsu.

TANIDA, Toshio

His case was similar to that of OKUNO

TATENO, Shosuke

His case was similar to that of TAKI

WATANABE, Hisashi

His case was similar to that of TAKI

YONEZAWA, Kajiro

His case was similar to that of HANZAWA

Report on the proceedings of the
Appointees Examination Committee

(No. 5)

March 15, 1948 (Monday) 12.00-17.30

1. Attendants: TAKIGUCHI, Yoshitoshi, appointed March 12 to make up the nine-men panel of the Committee, was present for the first time, with other members.
2. The application, under Arts. 6 and 7 of the Law, involving the following persons respectively were deliberated, the results of which are indicated after each position:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position held</u>	<u>Results</u>
ARIMATSU, Bunichiro	Director and Managing Director, Nomura Ginko	Pending
✓ ENDO, Kenji	Auditor, Mitsui Norin K.K.	Disapproved *
HANDA, Kiyoshi	Director, Nomura Ginko	Pending
✓ HIROTA, Hisakazu	Director, Fuso Kinzoku Kogyo K.K.	Approved *
KAWAKITA, Masaki	Director, Nomura Ginko	Pending
✓ KAWAMATA, Katsuji	Standing Director, Nissan Jukogyo K.K.	Approved *
✓ KIYOTAKI, Kojiro	Director, Sumitomo Ginko	Approved *
✓ KOTO, Tsuneteru	Vice-pres. and Pres., Nomura Kensetsu Kogyo K.K.	Approved *
MATSUI, Torata	Pres., Beppu Kagaku Kogyo K.K.	Pending
MINAKAMI, Seijiro	Director, Nippon Denshi Kogyo K.K.	Pending
✓ NAKANO, Kazuo	Pres. Nakajima Sangyo K.K.	Disapproved *
✓ ONISHI, Koza	Auditor, Fuso Kinzoku Kogyo K.K.	Approved *
SUGAMARA, Kenji	Director, Sumitomo Ginko	Pending
TAGI, Ensei	Standing Director, Beppu Kagaku Kogyo K.K.	Pending

- 2 -

TOKUSHIMA, Sadao	Auditor and Pres., Sensei Kogyo K.K.	Pending
YAMAUCHI, Seitaro	Director, Nippon Denahi Kogyo K.K.	Pending
YOSHIMURA, Mosaburo	Director, Nomura Ginko	Pending

The main points of the seven cases, star-marked, on which the Committee based its decision are set forth on the separate sheet.

Report on the proceedings of the Appointees
Examination Committee (no. 4)

March 8 (Monday) 12.00 - 17.50

1. Attendants: All the Committee members.
2. The six applications, involving the following persons, for approval of retention in office were deliberated by the Committee:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Tenure of office</u>
✓ <u>AMAGASA, Ginjiro</u>	Liquidator, Nippon Shoken Toshi K.K.	Until 31 Jan. 1949
✓ <u>BUNYA, Koichi</u>	Managing director, Yamato Gomu Kako Kogyo K.K.	One year
✓ <u>KUMADA, Yoshiro</u>	President, Nomura Kogyo K.K.	Until 31 May 1948
✓ <u>MOTOMURA, Ichiro</u>	Liquidator, Sanki Koku Kogyo K.K.	One year
✓ <u>NAKANO, Kazuo</u>	President, Nakajima Sangyo K.K.	Until 6 Jul. 1948
✓ <u>UCHIYAMA, Tanzo</u>	Auditor, Sanki Koku Kogyo K.K. and Tokyo Kokan K.K.	One year

It (1) disapproved BUNYA's application on the ground that there is another representative officer in the company although he is aged and weak and that there are some other eligible directors who may replace the applicant, (2) reserved its decision on the case of NAKANO which requires further examination, and (3) approved the remaining four applications.

3. With the completion of screening referred to in Para. 2 immediately above all the applications excepting

for approval of retention in office filed to date under the provisions of Art. 8 of the Law have now been deliberated, and the Committee proceeding to examine the cases of persons who applied for approval of their not being the appointees, cleared the following three:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>
SAKAI, Ichiro	Director, Nisshin Kasai Kaijohoken K.K.
SUETSUNA, Sokichi	Standing director, Yubetsu Tanko Tetsudo K.K.
TSUCHIYA, Shinpei	Director, Nisshin Kasai Kaijohoken K.K.

March 9 (Tuesday) 12.00 - 16.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee members.
2. Explanatory statement was made on the current budget allocation for the Committee and its Secretariat which was followed by talks on arrangements expediting the future proceedings of the Committee Meeting.
3. Subsequently, from 2 p.m. the Committee deliberated on the four applications submitted under the provisions of either Art. 6 or 7 of the Law, involving persons below-mentioned:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Positions held</u>
ENDO, Kenji	Auditor, Mitsui Norin K.K.
OKADA, Seiichi	Director, Hokkaido Sekitan Niyaku K.K.
MATSUURA, Sanpei	Ditto.
TOKUSHIMA, Sadao	President and Auditor, Sansei Kogyo K.K.

- 3 -

It approved OKADA and MATSUURA's applications respectively, reserving its decision on the cases of the other two.

3/22/48
1130
RA

Report on the proceedings at the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 3)

March 1, 1948 (Monday) 13.00 - 18.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee Members
2. The ten applications, involving the following persons respectively, for approval of retention in office were deliberated by the Committee:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Proposed position</u>
AMAGASA, Ginjiro	Liquidator, Nihon Shoken Toshi K.K.
— ASAKURA, Makoto	Auditor, Mitsubishi Honsha
— BABA, Kyoichi	Auditor, Mitsui Honsha
BUNYA, Koichi	Managing Director, Yamato Gomu Kako K.K.
— ISHIGURO, Toshio	Liquidator, Mitsui Honsha
— KATO, Masaharu	Auditor, MITSUBISHI Honsha
KIMURA, Ichiro	Liquidator, Sanki Koku Kogyo K.K.
KUMADA, Yoshiro	President, Nomura Kogyo K.K.
NAKANO, Kazuo	President, Nakajima Sangyo K.K.
UCHIYAMA, Tanzo	Auditor, Sanki Koku Kogyo K.K. and Tokyo Kokan K.K.

3. Supplementary explanation was made by the staffs of the Mitsubishi and Okura Honsha respectively on the circumstances under which control was exerted over the personnel affairs of each affiliated companies.

March 2, 1948 (Tuesday) 13.00 - 18.00

1. Attendants: All the Committee members
2. The four applications involving the following persons respectively for approval of retention in office were re-submitted to the Committee which approved them after deliberation:

<u>Applicants</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Term of office approved</u>
ASAKURA, Makoto	Auditor, Mitsubishi Honsha	One year
BABA, Kyoichi	Auditor, Mitsui Honsha	Until March 31, 1948
ISHIGURO, Toshio	Liquidator, Mitsubishi Honsha	One year
KATO, Masaharu	Auditor, Mitsubishi Honsha	Six months

3. Explanatory statement was made by the Secretariat on fifteen applications submitted in accordance with Art. 7 of the Law.

4. Supplementary explanation was made by the staffs of the Yasuda Honsha on the circumstances under which control was exerted over the personnel affairs of companies under its affiliation.

1430
27 Feb 48
ⓑ

Report on the proceedings at the
Appointees Examination Committee (No. 2)

23 February, Monday (13.00 - 18.00)

Attendants: All the Committee members
excepting Dr. Torajiro TAKAGAKI
Members of Secretariat

1. The explanatory statements were made, in connection with the actual handling of personnel affairs in the Zaibatsu Honsha, by staffs of the Sumitomo, Furukawa and Nissan respectively, whose presence was requested by the provision of Art. 14 of the Law.
2. Six applications for approval of retention in or assumption of the position of liquidator (or auditor) were deliberated by the Committee, which approved all the six cases unanimously. The applicants involved were:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Proposed position</u>	<u>Proposed Term of office</u>
IIDA, Seiichi	Auditor, Mitsubishi Shoji K.K.	One year as from 5/2/1948
TAKAGAKI, Katsujiro	Liquidator, Mitsubishi Shoji K.K.	ditto
TAKAHASHI, Seigo	Liquidator, Mitsui Bussan K.K.	ditto
TANIMURA, Junzo	Liquidator, Mitsubishi Shoji K.K.	ditto
WAKIMOTO Takaichi	Auditor, Mitsui Bussan K.K.	ditto
YAMAMOTO, Kensuke	Liquidator, Mitsui Bussan K.K.	ditto

3. The Committee decided to extend its examination period for further thirty (30) days starting on 27 February by virtue of the proviso of Art. 15 of the Law, the delay in the setting-up of the Committee as well as a large number of the applications received was being responsible for the decision made.

24 February, Tuesday. (13.00 - 18.00)

Attendants: All the Committee members
Members of Secretariat.

1. The explanatory Statements were made, in connection with the actual handling of personnel affairs in the Zaibatsu Honsha, by the Staffs of the Asano, Okura and Fuji respectively.
2. The gist of talks with Comdr. A.R. Hussey at the GHQ, 24 February, 12.30, was reported to the Committee inviting its attention to the United Nations interest in Japan.

Notification of the screening results
by the Appointees Examination Committee
between the period of February 27 to 31
March, 1948 (No. 1)

20 April, 1948

Prime Minister

ASHIDA, Hitoshi

1. The tabulation in Par. 3 below was based on the screening results conducted by the Appointees Examination Committee in the light of provisions of the Law for Termination of the Zaibatsu Family Control (Law No. 2 of 7 January, 1948)

2. The documents connected with the applications of those persons, who are listed in Par. 3 and whose screening has been completed, are available for public inspection at the Secretariat, the Appointees Examination Committee, Room No. 412 of ex-Nissan-Kan, 1-chome, Tamura-cho, Minato-ku, Tokyo-to.

3. The screening results.

Total number screened 65

Applications filed under	Approved cases	Disapproved cases	Total number screened
Arts. 6 & 7	43	6	49
Art 8	14	2	16
Total	57	8	65

Persons whose applications under Arts. 6 and 7 were approved:

1 Nisshin Kasai Kaijo Hoken K.K.

SAKAI, Ichiro TSUCHIYA, Shinpei

2 Yubetsu Tako Tetsudo K.K.

SUETSUNA, Sokichi

3 Hokkaido Sekitan Niyaku K.K.

MATSUURA, Sanpei OKADA, Seiichi

4 Mitsubishi Kisen K.K.

TANIDA, Toshio

5 Mitsubishi Shoji K.K.

OKUNO, Kei

6 Keilung Tanko K.K.

OTA, Hidemitsu

7 Mitsui Mokuzai Kogyo K.K.

HANZAWA, Toshio YONEZAWA, Kajiro

8 K.K. Sumitomo Ginko

KIYOTAKI, Kojiro

9 Beppu Kagaku Kogyo K.K.

TAGI, Ensei TATSUNO, Shosuke

WATANABE, Hisahi

10 Fuso Kinzoku Kogyo K.K.

HIROTA, Hisakazu ONISHI, Koza

- 11 Nomura Kensetsu Kogyo K.K.
KOTO, Tsuneteru
- 12 Nissan Jukogyo K.K.
KAWAMATA, Katsuji
- 13 Nippon Alminium K.K.
TAKIGUCHI, Rikuzo
- 14 Horai Tanker K.K.
KATSUYA, Toshiaki
- 15 Taiheiyo Tanko K.K.
HITOMI, Yuki
OHIGASHI, Tokichi
MATSUKATA, Shokuma
TANAKA, Osamu
- 16 K.K. Nissan
FUKUDA, Mikio
MINATO, Hirokichi
TAUCHI, Michitaro
ISHIKAWA, Shinya
TAKAHASHI, Tsunesuke
- 17 K.K. Nomura Ginko
ARIMATSU, Junichiro
YOSHIMURA, Mosaburo
HANDA, Kiyoshi
- 18 Anritsu Denki K.K.
KUWAMOTO, Kiyoshi
SEKIGUCHI, Zenkichi
MATSUMOTO, Arata
- 19 Anritsu Denki K.K. and other two companies
KOYA, Ryokichi
- 20 K.K. Sumitomo Ginko
SUGAHARA, Kenji

21 Nippon Denki Seiki K.K.
NAKAJIMA, Kiichi OANA, Hideji
SHIMADA, Tsutomu YAMADA, Takeji
YASUKAWA, Daigoro YASUKAWA, Taiichi

Persons whose applications under Arts. 6 and 7
were disapproved:

22 Keilung Tanko K.K.
IMOTO, Teisuke
23 Mitsui Norin K.K.
✓ ENDO, Kenji
24 Nippon Kogaku Kogyo K.K.
HORI, Toyotaro
25 Mitsui Fudosan K.K.
FUKUDA, Shinobu UMENO, Umejiro
26 Nissan Kasai Kaijo Hoken K.K. and other one company
KAMIYA, Chiwaki

Persons whose applications under Art. 8 were approved:

27 Mitsui Bussan K.K.
✓ TAKAHASHI, Seigo ✓ WAKIMOTO, Takaichi
✓ YAMAMOTO, Kensuke
28 Mitsubishi Shoji K.K.
✓ IIDA, Seiichi ✓ TAKAGAKI, Katsujiro
✓ TANIMURA, Junzo

29 Mitsubishi Honsha
✓ ASAKURA, Makoto ✓ ISHIGURO, Toshio
✓ KATO, Masaharu

30 Mitsui Honsha
✓ BABA, Kyoichi

31 Sanki Koku Kogyo K.K.
KIMURA, Ichiro

32 Sanki Koku Kogyo K.K. and other one company
UCHIYAMA, Tanzo

33 Nippon Shoken Toshi K.K.
AMAGASA, Ginjiro

34 Nomura Kogyo K.K.
KUMADA, Yoshiro

Those persons whose applications under Art. 8
were disapproved:

35 Yamato Gomu Kako K.K.
BUNYA, Koichi

36 Nakajima Sangyo K.K.
NAKANO, Kazuo