A W CSG C

· ·

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



THESIS

A KALMAN FILTER APPLICATION TO THE ADVANCED TACTICAL INERTIAL GUIDANCE SYSTEM OF THE AIR-LAUNCHED LOW VOLUME

RAMJET CRUISE MISSILE

ЪУ

John Archie Van Devender

December 1976

Thesis Advisor:

H. A. Titus

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

T 178012



. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION N	DATE SCHOOL READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM				
	0. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER				
TITLE (and Subjuta)					
A KALMAN FILTER APPLICATION TO THE	Master's Thesis;				
ADVANCED TACTICAL INERTIAL GUIDANCE	December 1976				
SYSTEM OF THE AIR-LAUNCHED LOW VOLUME	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER				
RAMJET CRUISE MISSILE					
Tohn A Van Dovondon	S. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S)				
John A. Van Devender					
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK				
Noral Destance Justic Cabers?	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS				
Naval Postgraduate School					
Monterey, California 93940					
. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	December 1976				
Naval Postgraduate School	13. NUMBER OF PAGES				
Monterey, Galifornia 95940					
A. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(Il different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)				
Monterey, California 93940	Unclassified				
,	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING				
7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different f	ren Report)				
B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
B. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	· · · ·				
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe	r)				
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by black numbe	r)				
5. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES - KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe	r)				
- KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe	r)				
SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	e)				
8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numbe 0. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block manbed	e)				
 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number ASSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number A Montecarlo simulation is conducted to 	" ascertain performance				
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number A Montecarlo simulation is conducted to of the ATIGS system in a proposed air-la	" ascertain performance aunched cruise missile				
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by black number A Montecarlo simulation is conducted to of the ATIGS system in a proposed air-la configuration. The simulation is conducted to	" ascertain performance aunched cruise missile cted within a local-				
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number A Montecarlo simulation is conducted to of the ATIGS system in a proposed air-la configuration. The simulation is conducted to level inertial frame consisting of down- up as primary reference vectors. Effor	" ascertain performance aunched cruise missile cted within a local- -range, cross-range and				
ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number A Montecarlo simulation is conducted to of the ATIGS system in a proposed air-la configuration. The simulation is conducted to level inertial frame consisting of down- up as primary reference vectors. Effor- the relative effects associated with the	" ascertain performance aunched cruise missile cted within a local- -range, cross-range and ts are made to measure a intended pure position				

which could be expected from a linear suboptimal Kalman filtering scheme used in conjunction with the MICRAD sensor.

A KALMAN FILTER APPLICATION TO THE ADVANCED TACTICAL INERTIAL GUIDANCE SYSTEM OF THE AIR-LAUNCHED LOW VOLUME RAMJET CRUISE MISSILE

by

John A. Van Devender Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S.,University of Southern Mississippi,1968

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AERONAUTICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 1976



ABSTRACT

A Montecarlo simulation is conducted to ascertain performance of the ATIGS system in a proposed air-launched cruise missile configuration. The simulation is conducted within a local-level inertial frame consisting of down-range, cross-range and up as primary reference vectors. Efforts are made to measure the relative effects associated with the intended pure position reset provided by a micrad sensor as compared with those effects which could be expected from a linear suboptimal Kalman filtering scheme used in conjunction with the MICRAD sensor



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTE	ODUC	CT IO	N	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	• • • •	••	7
II.	BASI	C DI	ESCR	IPTIC	о ис	F TH	Е АТ	IGS	EQUI	PMEN	T UT	LIZ	ATIO	N.
11														
III.	INER	TIAI	SY	STEM	SIM	JLAT	ION.	• • • •			• • • •		••	14
	Α.	SYST	EM	CONS	IDER.	ATIO	Ns						••	14
	в.	INE	RTIA	L FR	AME (OF R	EFER	ENCE					••	15
		1.	ALV	RJ I	nple	ment	atio	n		• • • •			••	15
		2.	Sys	ten s	Simu	lati	on						••	16
	с.	GENI	ERAL	DEVI	ELOP	MENT							••	18
	D.	BASI	to s	IMUL	ATIO	N DE	SIGN						••	24
		1.	Gen	eral	Con	side	rati	ons.					• •	24
		2.	Cha	nges	in 1	Head.	ing	Velo	city	Cha	nges		• •	25
		3.	Gui	dance	e Sys	stem	Des	ign.	• • • •				••	28
		4.	Pos	itio	n Up	date	Sys	tem.	• • • •				••	28
		5.	Sta	tist	ical	For	mula	tion	.s				••	34
IV.	KALM	IAN H	FILT	ER									••	35
	Α.	INTH	10 D U	CTORY	r RE	MARK.	s						• •	37
	в.	GENI	ERAL	THE	DRY.								• •	37
	с.	SPEC	CIPI	C THE	EORY								••	38
	D.	KALN	IAN	FILT	ER RI	ESUL	rs						••	39
v.	ANAL	YSIS	5 OF	RES	JLTS								••	42
	Α.	VERI	FIC	ATIO	N OF	RES	ULTS						• •	45
	Β.	VERI	IFIC	ATIO	N OF	ATI	GS S	IMUL	ATIC	N			• •	45
	с.	EH	FEC	T	DF	POS	ITIO	N	RESE	T	ON	SIM	ULAT	ED
PERFORM	ANCE	с. <i>L</i>	15											
	D.	EFFE	ECT	OF AL	DIT	ION	ΟF	LINE	AR	SUBO	PTIM	ΑL	KALM	AN
FILTER.													• •	46
Appendi	Lx A:	RI	ESUL	TS OF	F AT	IGS	SIM	ULAT	ION	WIT	HOUT	PO	SITI	ON
UPDATE	OR K	ALMA	N F	ILTER	RING								• •	47
Appendi	LX B:	RI	ESUL	TS (DF i	ATIG	s s	IMUL	ATIO	N W	ITH	PO	SITI	ON

UPD	ATE	• • •	••	• • •	• • •	• •	• • •	••	• •	••	• • •	• •	• • •	• •		• •	• •	• •	• • •	••	•	• • •	•	•	52
App	end	ix	С:	R	ESU	LT	S	OF	1	A T I	IGS	5	2	SIM	1U I	AT	IO	N	V	II	H		K	AL	MAN
FIL	TER	INC	G A	ND	POS	IT	ION	1 0	PD	AT	E	• •		• •	• •	••	••	• • •	•••	••	•	•••	••	•	5 7
App	end	ix	D:	R	ESU	LT	s c	DF	ΑΓ	IG	5 5	SIN	1U I	LAI	CIC	DN	WI	ΤH	PC	SI	T	IOI	N	RE	SET
AN D	KA	LMA	A N	FIL	TER	IN	G A	T	X5	NO	DIS	SΕ	LE	EVE	EL.	••	• •	• • •	•••	• •	•	•••	•	•	72
App	end	ix	E:	Ρ	ART	IA	LI	JIS	ΓI	NG	OF	2	SYN	IBC	DLS	A	ND	NC	OME	ENC	CL.	ATU	JR	Е	OF
SIM	ULA	TIC) N	PRO	GRA	Μ.	•••	•••	••	• •	•••	• • •	• • •		• • •	• •	••	• • •	•••	•••	•	•••	• •	•	77
App	end	ix	F:	S	IMU	L A	TIC	DN	PR	OG	RAN	f					• •			• •	•		•	•	82

-

.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Advanced Tactical Inertial Guidance System(ATIGS) program is to demonstrate the feasibility of a low cost inertial system to be used in the Air Launched Low Volume Ramjet (ALVRJ) cruise missile for mid course guidance. Within the framework of this stated purpose lies the intent to furnish moderate accuracy in a strapdown inertial navigator with high reliability of operation.

The strapdown inertial system requires a computer to provide inertial reference , hence the possibility of extending the computer's capability by installation of filtering algorithms is seen as Kalman an area for investigation. Previous work (ref. 1,2) in this field indicates that the computational burden associated with the Kalman filter limits its usefulness when position updating systems in the missile give highly accurate measurements of actual position. Most of the aforementioned computational burden resulted from the on-line gain generation required by a non-linear model within the Kalman filter. Hence if a linear model with sufficient performance were to be incorporated and the Kalman gains generated off-line and stored, then possibly the velocity estimation errors which are largely unaffected by the position updates could be reduced.

The purpose of this study was then threefold

 Test a linear model of missile dynamics for use as a simulation tool.

2) Determine the inertial navigator accuracy within the six degree of freedom simulation when a pure position reset device is installed which provides position updates at two points along the flight path.

3) Determine improvements in missile performance if a Kalman filtering scheme were installed to estimate missile states between position updates.

by which accomplishment of the desired The means purposes was obtained were various Montecarlo simulations utilizing existing data on the proposed inertial quidance system. Extensive work, both in testing of physical equipment and in simulation, had previously been accomplished by various departments of the Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California. Hence accurate data as to component performance were available. These data were utilized to construct models of the components for computer simulation.

The simulation of a strapdown inertial guidance system requires the nonlinear computations relating observed accelerations to inertial frame coordinates. This is normally accomplished within the guidance-navigator algorithm by a suitably chosen set of state variables and their related non-linear dynamics. The essential idea behind the linearization technique used in this report is that the non-linear calculations relating accelerations and angular rates to velocity changes within the inertial frame could be accomplished upon observation of the said accelerations and rates and utilized as forcing functions for a linear model of system dynamics. This corresponds to a free inertial system with observations physically aligned in the inertial frame of reference. Thus velocity changes in the inertial frame of the strapdown guidance system would be the non-linear combination of accelerations, angles and



angle rates which are treated as inputs

The model dynamics then are simple linear equations for which Kalman filtering gains can be calculated and stored.

The proof of the above linearization technique would be in comparison of the existing empirical performance of the ATIGS system with the observed corresponding simulation of the system without Kalman filtering installed. Ref. (3) provides ample data of the drift of the ATIGS system as a function of time under actual flight conditions. The data are for a pod mounted version of ATIGS installed on an A-7 aircraft. Information from this report indicated that ground test drift of the system was on the order of 1 nautical mile (nm) per hour under controlled temperature Under free flight test conditions without conditions. temperature control, performance was degraded to 4 nm per The temperature instability was not incorporated into hour. the simulation due to current effort to provide corrective measures within ATIGS. Hence verification of the model Was assumed if simulation indicated drifts of 1 to 2 nm per hour.

Once verification of inertial-physical model was assumed, the next phase of observation of effect of pure update was commenced. Ref.2 in an unclassified position portion, contends that the optimal weighting of filtered position estimates and highly accurate measurement of position is such that the filtered estimates are ignored. This being the case, the computational burden imposed by a time varying Kalman filter may be unwarranted. The implicit assumption here is that the velocity errors incurred in an unfiltered system are not significantly decreased by the filter. Therefore the position reset feature would be sufficient to provide required accuracy at mid-course termination. This conclusion was tested by simulation of

missile flight under conditions of increased noise levels within the ATIGS system and comparison with "normal" performance obtained, which allowed visualization of the magnitude of end point error incurred under conditions of pure position reset and different noise level sensors.

The final phase of study was the filtering of the sensor outputs to provide more accurate estimates of velocity throughout the flight. No attempt was made to filter the position update measurements due to their reported accuracy (sigma=50 ft). Thus any gains in performance would have to be from the filtered estimates of position after position update and continuous filtered estimates of velocity. The primary indicator of accuracy in line with ref.2 was taken to be cross range error and cross range error covariance as a function of time.

II. BASIC DESCRIPTION OF THE ATIGS EQUIPMENT UTILIZATION

The approach selected for implementation of ATIGS within actual cruise missile, was to employ a high-speed an processor to handle transformation updating, earth rate torquing and other minor tasks in order to save on time more complex navigation and guidance requirements on the This peripheral processor would then supply the computer. central processing unit (CPU) with the necessary information that it required to compute position within the inertial quidance frame.

Thus the basic ATIGS unit involves ring laser gyros and accelerometers providing information to the peripheral processor wherein after suitable transformation, inertially referenced changes in state variables are supplied to the main navigation-guidance computer. The main computer (CPU) has an auxiliary input from external position then an measuring device, Microwave Area the Correlation The system is designed to provide fixer (MICRAD). MICRAD highly accurate position measurements at two or three preselected checkpoints along the route of flight. These position updates would then be utilized within the CPU to reset the inertial guidance estimate of position.

At present the only filtering system installed is an application to the initial alignment scheme wherein a two stage initialization process is used to align the missile inertial frame with the parent aircraft inertial frame. Filtering is not used presently during midcourse guidance due to the position checkpoint feature and the short time of flight.



A block diagram indicating proposed ATIGS utilization within the ALVRJ is shown in fig.1.

.



FUNCTIONAL BLOCK DIAGRAM OF THE ATIGS INSTALLATION WITHIN THE ALVRJ CRUISE MISSILE 1 ----Figure

1.00 6

III. INERTIAL SYSTEM SIMULATION

A. SYSTEM CONSIDERATIONS

The inertial navigation system incorporated in ATIGS consists of the Honeywell GG-1300 Ring Laser gyro (RLG) and Sunstrand Q-flex accelerometer acting as the sensors. Empirical performance data for these sensors are found in table (1). Both sensors are considered to be of the integrating type in that the output of the RLG is in the form of total angle change per pulse and the output of the accelerometers are total velocity change per pulse. The measurement is accomplished within the RLG by means of a counter system which totals the number of fringe pattern passages during each pulse period and similarly within the accelerometers, the total velocity change is proportional to the magnitude of the output pulse.

In view of the above characteristics it was felt that the inertial system as diagrammed in fig.4 could be modeled simply and linearly by using the outputs of the sensors as forcing functions vice part of the state vectors. The similarity between fig.1 and fig.4 should be noted. This would result in a net reduction in number of state variables by allowing the missile dynamics to consist of second order equations of displacement and first order equations for angular motion.

B. INERTIAL FRAME OF REFERENCE


1. ALVRJ Implementation

The proposed ATIGS application to the ALVRJ utilises a local-level co-ordinate frame for navigation to the target. The local-level frame is characterized by North,East and up as the respective axis of calculations. The non-spherical nature of the earth introduces an angle calculation which relates the local gravity vector to the position vector of the origin from the earth's center. In the ATIGS unit, the gravity vector calculation is accomplished by an inverse square gravitation model

$$G = -(KM/R^3) R$$
(1)

where

G	gravity vector
К	earth's gravitation constant
М	mass of the earth
R	position vector from earth center to vehicle

which approximates the local gravity vector to the desired degree of accuracy.

The vector output of an orthogonal set of accelerometers is the geometric sum of all forces which act upon the vehicle and of course gravity is included. Since the above calculation is dependent on position, then the gravity vector is not constant during the time of flight. Thus to distinguish between the effect of external forces applied to the missile and the change in the gravity vector an equation such as $F_a = C_a^i \cdot R_i - G$ (2)

F_a force exerted on instruments

axis(i) to accelerometer axis(a)

R_i inertially referenced acceleration G gravity vector

resolves the time varying accelerometer outputs.

ATIGS accomplishes the above procedure within the missile and calculates the proper direction and range for a direct steer to the target.

2. System Simulation

The simulation of the ATIGS mission began by approximating the local-level inertial frame defined in 1. above as a dcwn-range,cross-range and up frame of reference. Due to the limited range of the missile the gravity vector was considered constant and known, hence the simulation simplified to a simple cartesian co-ordinate space wherein the navigator assumes knowledge of initial position, target position and range to target. The correct heading to the target was assumed to be the positive x-direction with the right-hand system defining positive cross-range accordingly.

The initial position of the inertial frame of reference was taken to be the origin and instantaneous headings were taken to be the difference between the longitudenal body axis and the positive x direction.

The ALVRJ maintains a constant wings level flight and this restriction was also placed upon the simulation.





Figure 2 - SIMULATION FRAME OF REFERENCE

C. GENERAL DEVELOPMENT

Defining a set of state variables for an inertial system undergoing arbitrary two dimensional translation and making the careful restriction that small angles and very small angular rates are involved, one obtains (neglecting all noise inputs)

- x Distance from origin down-range
- X Velocity in down-range direction
- Y Distance from track centerline
- Y Velocity component vertical to centerline

Theta Angular displacement of body longitudinal axis to centerline

Due to the small angles and negligible effect of angular rates one can approximate the accelerometer outputs as

$$Z_{1}=\operatorname{accel.} \text{ in longitudenal body}$$

$$\operatorname{axis} = A_{1b} = \Delta V_{1b}$$

$$Z_{2}=\operatorname{accel.} \text{ in lateral body axis} = A_{2b} = \Delta V_{2b}$$

$$(3)$$

where the B subscript indicates body axis. The output of the single gyro is

$$Z_{3} = \dot{\Theta}_{1} * \Delta T = \Delta \Theta_{1}$$
⁽⁴⁾

Now

$$\Delta \dot{X} = \Delta V_{1b} \cos \theta_1 - \Delta V_{2b} \sin \theta_1 + V_{1b} \Delta \cos \theta_1 - V_{2b} \Delta \sin \theta_1$$

$$(5)$$

$$\Delta \dot{Y} = \Delta V_{1b} \sin \theta_1 + \Delta V_{2b} \cos \theta_1 + V_{1b} \Delta \sin \theta_1 + V_{2b} \Delta \cos \theta_1$$



$$\Delta \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{Z}_{1} \cos \theta_{1} - \mathbf{Z}_{2} \sin \theta_{1} + \mathbf{V}_{1b} \Delta \cos \theta_{1} - \mathbf{V}_{2b} \Delta \sin \theta_{1}$$
(6)
$$\Delta \dot{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Z}_{1} \sin \theta_{1} + \mathbf{Z}_{2} \cos \theta_{1} + \mathbf{V}_{1b} \Delta \sin \theta_{1} + \mathbf{V}_{2b} \Delta \cos \theta_{1}$$

which can be further approximated by

$$\Delta \dot{\mathbf{x}} \stackrel{=}{=} \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 \theta_1 - \mathbf{V}_{1b} \theta_1 \Delta \theta_1 - \mathbf{V}_{2b} \Delta \theta_1$$

$$\stackrel{\cong}{=} \mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2 \theta_1 - \mathbf{V}_{2b} \mathbf{z}_3$$

$$\Delta \dot{\mathbf{x}} \stackrel{\cong}{=} \mathbf{z}_1 \theta_1 + \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{V}_{1b} \Delta \theta_1 - \mathbf{V}_{2b} \theta_1 \Delta \theta_1$$

$$\stackrel{\cong}{=} \mathbf{z}_1 \theta_1 + \mathbf{z}_2 + \mathbf{V}_{1b} \mathbf{z}_3$$
(7)

Further utilization of small angle approximation yields $v = \dot{x}$

$$v_{2b} = \dot{x}$$
 (8)

Hence

$$\Delta \dot{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{Z}_1 - \mathbf{Z}_2 \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1 - \dot{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{Z}_3$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Z}_1 \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1 + \mathbf{Z}_2 + \dot{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{Z}_3$$
(8a)

and for unit time intervals the discrete state equations are $X(k+1) = X(k) + \dot{X}(k) + .5*\Delta\dot{X}(k)$ $\dot{X}(k+1) = \dot{X}(k) + \Delta\dot{X}(k)$ $Y(k+1) = Y(k) + \dot{Y}(k) + .5*\Delta\dot{Y}(k)$ (9) $\dot{Y}(k+1) = \dot{Y}(k) + \Delta\dot{Y}(k)$ $\Theta_{1}(k+1) = \Theta_{1}(k) + \Delta\Theta_{1}(k)$

Thus the observations can be treated as inputs to the system after appropriate substitution. The above model can be expanded to three dimensions and six degrees of freedom by the addition of one cartesian and two angular coordinates which would then consist of

$$Z(k), \dot{Z}(k), \theta_2, \theta_3$$

for a total of 9 states.

This development was accomplished without noise considerations. In the physical system noise would exist in the form of measurement noise in both the accelerometers and the gyros. Hence

$$Z_{1} = \Delta V_{1b} + \mathcal{Y}_{1}$$

$$Z_{2} = \Delta V_{2b} + \mathcal{Y}_{2}$$

$$Z_{3} = \Delta \Theta_{1} + \mathcal{Y}_{2}$$
(10)

and

 $\Delta \dot{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{Z}_{1} - \mathbf{Z}_{2}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} - \dot{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{Z}_{3} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\star} - \mathbf{y}_{2}^{\star}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} - \dot{\mathbf{Y}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}$ $\Delta \dot{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{Z}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} + \mathbf{Z}_{2} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{Z}_{3} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\star}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} + \mathbf{y}_{2}^{\star} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}$ (11)

The purpose of this approach was to utilize the outputs of the sensors as forcing functions for the linear model. Hence in the preprocessor the non-linear calculations involving observations and states can easily be accomplished such that one then obtains

$$U_{1} = Z_{1} - Z_{2}\theta_{1} - \dot{Y}Z_{3}$$

$$U_{2} = Z_{1}\theta_{1} + Z_{2} + \dot{X}Z_{3}$$

$$U_{3} = Z_{3}$$
(12)

as hypothetical and known forcing functions. Thus



substitution into the model of the system of $U_j'(\kappa)$ for $\Delta \dot{x}(\kappa)$ and $U_{\dot{x}}(\kappa)$ for $\Delta \dot{y}(\kappa)$ would result in

$$X(k+1) = X(k) + \dot{X}(k) + .5^{*}(\Delta \dot{X}(k) + \delta_{1}^{k} + \delta_{2}^{k} \theta_{1} + \dot{Y}(k) \varphi_{1}^{k})$$

$$\dot{X}(k+1) = \dot{X}(k) + \Delta \dot{X}(k) + \delta_{1}^{k} + \delta_{2}^{k} \theta_{1}(k) + \dot{Y}(k) \varphi_{1}^{k}$$

$$(13)$$

$$Y(k+1) = Y(k) + \dot{Y}(k) + .5^{*}(\Delta \dot{Y}(k) + \delta_{1}^{k} \theta_{1}(k) + \delta_{2}^{k} \theta_{1}(k) + \delta_{2}^{k} \theta_{1}(k) + \delta_{1}^{k} \theta_{1}(k) + \delta_{2}^{k} \theta_{1}(k) + \dot{X}(k) \varphi_{1}^{k})$$

$$\dot{Y}(k+1) = \dot{Y}(k) + \Delta \dot{Y}(k) + \delta_{1}^{k} \theta_{1}(k) + \delta_{2}^{k} + \dot{X}(k) \varphi_{1}^{k}$$

$$\theta_{1}(k+1) = \theta_{1}(k) + \Delta \theta_{1}(k) + \varphi_{1}^{k}$$

Hence the net result is the addition of a process noise term to the model. Analysis of this noise term proceeds with the systematic elimination of the non-linear term involving δ^{L} and Θ . This is easily justified due to the small value of x^* and Θ . Thus one is left with down-range process noise involving δ'_{i} and \dot{q} , \dot{q}'_{i} and cross-range noise terms involving δ_2 and $\dot{x} \varphi$. Clearly the non-linear terms will dominate. The conclusion that logically follows is that the linearization technique utilized above will obviously be accurate for small angles in a manner proportional to the magnitudes of the quantities and $\varkappa \varphi$. Furthermore it indicates that Kalman 249 filtering will be most effective in the estimation of angle information and of much smaller benefit in the filtering of accelerometer noise.



PARAMETER	UNIT	PERFORMANCE	UNITS
RANDOM WALK (⁰ /hr)	GG-1300-RLG	.0075	1 1hr.
BIAS STABILITY (⁰ /hr.)	SAME	.009	1
BIAS SENSITIVITY (°/hr. f)	SAME	.00037	
SCALE FACTOR (%)	SAME	.016	
BIAS UNCERTAINTY (ug)	Q-FLEX	79.0	1
SCALE FACTOR (ug/g)	SAME	63	1

TABLE 1-PUBLISHED UNCERTAINTIES OF THE ATIGS COMPONENTS

•

.







Thus the total state vector would consist of 9 states as opposed to the 15 state vector considered essential in reference 2. The above technique was inspired by Kortum in his development (ref. 6) on Kalman filter applications. The inertial computation scheme is based on several assumptions, all of which are results of the short time of flight-short range requirements of the ALVRJ application. These assumptions are

1. Constant gravity vector over a 40 nm. flight path

2. Earth torquing not required

3. Small angle assumptions for largest portions of flight

D. BASIC SIMULATION DESIGN

1. <u>General Considerations</u>

In order to verify that the nine state system would be adequate for modeling purposes, a simulation program to test performance was conceived. The actual missile flight profile includes accelerations after launch



Figure 5 - ACCELERATION PROFILE

up to a maximum of 16 g's(fig.5) from the initial conditions given in fig.6. In addition, it is to be noted that for simplicity a homing type guidance command is given to the missile dynamics. This is recognised as ineffecient in is simple in implementation and provides a practice but basis for comparison purposes. Since missile flight controls respond to airspeed and not inertial speed, the velocities used in the missile dynamics portion of the algorithm are true airspeeds. However the inertial system must always compute in inertial velocities and hence must either adjust its calculations to include this difference or accept any error that this difference may entail. It is to noted that no means of velocity measurement (i.e. be doppler, mach gauge, etc.) is to be provided. In this simulation the difference is ignored due to the large magnitude of inertial velocities obtained and the short time of flight.

2. Noise Input Design

The randcm number generators used in this simulation were of two types; Gaussian and uniform. The Gaussian generators provided the noise inputs to the various sensors and the uniform generators provided the initial conditions wind effects. The wind effects were such that constant and directions of positive cross range and bias negative down-range conditions were imposed. The mean value of wind components in each direction was 30 ft per sec. with a range of + /-8 ft per sec. maximum change per second. No attempt was made to ascertain the relevance of the chosen wind model, its purpose was purely to introduce a bias into the system equations in order that the scale factor noise term of the gyros could be exercised. The scale factor term was finally dropped from the model of the gyros but the wind bias was retained.



The Gaussian generators provided noise inputs to each of the six installed sensors. The chosen model of the accelerometer noise term was

$$\sum_{1,2,3}^{*} = EOG_{1,2,3} + WG_{1,2,3} + A_{1,2,3}$$
(14)

where

EOG random bias term held constant over the entire flight but varied prior to each sample in the montecarlo WG-scale factor term which varies through the flight

The chosen model for the gyro noise term was more complex consisting of bias terms and a random walk term. A random walk generatior is described in general terms as

$$\dot{\mathbf{E}}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{r}} \tag{15}$$

where E_r is the error at a given instant and U_r is a white noise term with a standard deviation of $\overline{U_{r}}$. The variance of E_r grows linearly with time according to the relation

$$\sigma_{E_r}^2 = t \sigma_{u_r}^2$$
(16)

with σ_{E_r} given empirically in table 1 as random walk in %hr with an uncertainty of $1\sigma_{1Hr} = .0075$. For a sample generator to be used every second

$$\sigma_{u_r} = \sigma_{E_r} / 60 \tag{17}$$

An error from each gyro is introduced into the inertial computation which is treated as a change in Θ .

$$\mathcal{Q}(t) = EO + G(t) \tag{18}$$

PHI error of each gyro per interval of time



EO constant bias term per flight

G result of random walk

3. Changes in Heading Resulting in Velocity Changes

The computation of velocity in the inertial frame consists of terms which relate the change in heading to changes in inertial velocities. For small angular rates the changes in velocity in the inertial frames show as inputs i.e. AWXX,AWXY, AWYY,AWYZ,AWZZ,AWZX.

AWXY is the change in velocity in the X(down-range) direction due to a change in direction Θ_γ . This is expressed in small angle approximations as

$$\begin{vmatrix} AWX \\ AWY \\ AWY \\ AWZ \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\Delta \Theta_z & \Delta \Theta_y \\ \Delta \Theta_z & 1 & \Delta \Theta_x \\ -\Delta \Theta_y & -\Delta \Theta_x & 1 \end{vmatrix} \qquad \begin{vmatrix} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Y} \\ \dot{Z} \end{vmatrix}$$
(19)

AWX is the total change in velocity in the x direction due to small angle change. This calculation is performed in the appropriate missile dynamics portion of the simulation where the $\Delta\Theta'_{s}$ are the results of commands from the guidance system of the inertial system. In the inertial system these quantities are treated as $\Delta\ThetaM'_{s}$ or measured changes and all velocity changes are computed based on gyro outputs.

4. Guidance System Design



specific algorithm for generation of guidance The commands was simple due to the homing type control employed. Inherent in the cross-range, down-range reference frame is the knowledge of distance remaining or "time-to-go" for termination cf midcourse guidance and initiation of terminal quidance procedures. A parameter that continues to be significant within this project is the small angle, small rate assumption. In the guidance algorithm the one second time intervals chosen for use would require an inordinately long sequencing operation if commands were given in terms of clarify this statement, rate systems require an rates. To initiation and termination command, which for one second would require a two second execution time. intervals Therefore the guidance system employed within this project determines total angle change necessary and then commands an automatic pilot to accomplish this change. Thus the forcing function to the inertial navigator equations is not an input to the rate variables but rather to the angular displacement variables. No process noise was assumed for small angles hence the actual angle change was set equal to the commanded Notice that this is basically an open-loop angle change. process wherein the inertial navigator does not predict the next state based on the commanded heading change but rather on the noisy observed heading change.

The logical question then arises as to the effect of system drift during guidance. Normal procedure would be for a heading change command system in which an error signal generated by the navigator would be driven to null by the rotation of the vehicle. System drift during the heading change operation would result in process noise inputs to the navigator equations. The above was felt to be undesireable due to Kalman filter operation characteristics wherein steady state gains are non-zero for a linear system under process noise. The method of circumventing this discrepancy was to use as the forcing function the observed heading

change for the update of the navigator. Thus in the absence of process noise an accurate indicator of measurement noise would be the difference between observed heading change and commanded heading change. This concept was to be used during the Kalman filter application.

The algorithm for command guidance is given in fig.5. The "time-to-go" concept allows for a continual estimate of distance remaining, and a simple heading calculation computes the heading change necessary for homing.

$$\theta(k) = \frac{\text{cross-range position}}{\text{final position - present position}} (20)$$

$$= \frac{Y(k)}{240000 - X(k)}$$

It should be noted that the flight profile simulation was terminated at a point where the inertially computed down-range position was greated than/or equal to the final position. This would correspond to the switchover point for terminal guidance.



Figure 6 - GUIDANCE COMMANDS ALGORITHM

.



STATE VARIABLE	COORDINATE	MEAN	STD. DEVIATION
POSITION	downrange crossrange altitude	0.0 ft (0.0 m) 0.0 ft (0.0 m) 35000 ft (10668 m)	1 = 387.0 ft (118 m) 1 = 387.0 ft (118 m) 1 = 0.0 ft (0.0 m)
VELOCITY	downrange crossrange vertical	670 ft/sec (204.2 m/sec) 670 ft/sec (204.2 m/sec) 0.0 ft /sec (0.0 m/sec)	1 =6.0 ft/s (1.83 m/s 1 =6.0 ft/s (1.83 m/s 1 =0.0 ft/s (0.0 m/s
ALIGNMENT	0 ₁ 0 ₂ 0 ₃	0.0° 0.0° 0.0°	1 = 2 min 1 = 2 min 1 = 2 min

Figure 7 - INITIAL CONDITIONS AT LAUNCH


Figure 8 - ALGORITHM FOR MODEL OF MISSILE DYNAMICS WITH PURE INERTIAL COMPUTATIONS



5. Position Update System

The position update system(MICRAD) is designed to fix the missile position at various check points along the flight. Currently it is intended for the missile to navigate to each checkpoint inertially and upon arrival fix its position. The missile would then compute the course to the next check point and proceed to navigate to that point.

For purposes of this simulation and in order to reduce complexity and computer time requirements, the missile estimated position was set equal to its actual position at two discrete time steps. The inertial navigation system was thus reset at time=15 sec and time=80 sec.

The projected accuracy of the MICRAD position measurement system is σ : 50.0 ft at low altitudes. Thus a noise term was added to the measurement of position within the simulation in an effort to retain agreement with empirical data.

The magnitude of the deviation of the position fix is the fundamental argument in ref.2 for the elimination of the Kalman filter from the inertial system. The rational behind this assertion is that if two estimates of position are available (i.e. Kalman filter position estimate and a MICRAD estimate) then the weighting placed on each estimate would be heavily in favor of the more accurate estimate, logically the MICRAD fix. The optimal mix of the two estimates would then be

 $X = M X_{n} + (I-M) X_{m}$ (21)



where

 X_n = navigation estimate = X + p p = navigation estimate error X_m = measurement estimate = X + r r = measurement error M = r / (r + p)

thus

 $X = (r/(r+p))X_n + (p/(r+p))X_m$ (22)

since logically

$$r < < p$$

 $X = X_m$

and the Kalman filter estimates are ignored.

From the above, it can be seen that filtering for positon is required only in the intervals between observations and that the optimal mix of filtered position and observed position reduces to the observed position for highly accurate measurements.

6. Statistical Formulations

The primary measures of system performance for inertial navigation systems are mean of estimation error (i.e. the mean of actual position minus inertially calculated position) and variance of estimation error. The mean of estimation error reflects the result of bias within the inertial system and the variance of estimation error is an indication of system reliability. The simulation program adopted in this study evaluated the mean of position and

velocity as well as their variance at each one second interval along the flight path in addition to the estimation error mean and variance. The final position states were also computed and the mean and variance presented seperately. It was felt that this information could give a qualitative comparison of the missile performance with and without the Kalman filter installed.

The mean and variance equations for each time interval was computed using standard summation and averaging techniques. Thus

$$\overline{X} = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$$
 (23)

with the inherent assumption that the relative frequency of occurence is analagous to the probability of occurence. The variance was computed similarly with

$$S^{2} = (1/(n-1)) \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}$$
 (24)



IV. KALMAN FILTER

A. INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

Ref. 12 discusses various aspects of the philosophy of Kalman filter applications in a very concise manner. Within this discussion the practical limitations of implementation are specified; the foremost limitation being that of a prerequisite knowledge of the exact statistical description for each random signal within the system. This a priori information determines the degree of optimality of the filter.

The filter is said to be optimum in the sense that it generates an unbiased, minimum variance estimate of the states of a linear system from some noisy measurement of a subset of those states. The requirements imposed upon the designer are : exact knowledge of the system dynamics, covariances of initial conditions, and noise inputs. Departure from optimality arises when either estimates of the above quantities are used or approximations to the state equations with lesser state variables comprise the model of system dynamics.

Previous studies indicate that best results are obtained with pessimistic estimations of design parameters and linearization of non-linear systems if possible. The pessimistic estimate of design parameters reduce the sensitivity of the design to deviations within the system while linearization results in off-line gain calculations



which significantly reduce the computational requirements.

The linearization technique analysis of this study indicates that the model of the system error terms are most critical in the estimation of theta. The cross-range and down-range position error being most dependent on these terms. Since digital filtering computational requirements increase roughly as the square of state variables, effeciency dictates that the number of filtered variables be minimized. Therefore it was felt that the best usage of Kalman filter techniques would be in the estimation of theta.

This evaluation is supported by various references(6,8) most notably ref.6.

B. GENERAL THEORY

Given a plant characterized by the linear discrete equations: $X(k+1) = \bigotimes (k+1,k)X(k) + \bigtriangleup (k+1,k)U(k) + \bigcup (k)$ Z(k) = C(k)X(k) + U(k)(25) where

 $\chi(K)$ Column matrix of states $\emptyset(k+1,K)$ state transition matrix for time k to time k+1 $\Delta^{(k+1,K)}$ Forcing transfer function $\psi(K)$ Precess noise term $\chi(K)$ Matrix of observations $\chi(K)$ Measurement noise

With the appropriate assumptions concerning zero mean noise terms and knowledge of the covariance of initial conditions, the optimal estimate of the state vectors at time k can be arrived at through suitable use of a Kalman filter. This estimate will be characterized by a minimum variance of estimation error as its criteria for optimality. The Kalman filter equations are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}(k) &= \mathbb{P}(k/k-1)\mathbb{Q}(k)^{\mathsf{L}} \left[\mathbb{Q}(k)\mathbb{P}(k/k-1)\mathbb{Q}(k) + \mathbb{R}(k) \right]^{-1} \\
\mathbb{P}(k/k) &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{Q}(k)\mathbb{Q}(k)] \quad \mathbb{P}(k/k-1) \\
\mathbb{P}(k+1/k) &= \mathscr{Q}(k+1,k)\mathbb{P}(k/k) \quad \mathscr{Q}^{\mathsf{L}}(k+1,k) + \mathbb{Q}(k) \\
\mathbb{X}(k/k) &= \mathbb{X}(k/k-1) + \mathbb{Q}(k) \left[\mathbb{Z}(k) - \mathbb{Q}(k)\mathbb{X}(k/k-1) \right] \\
\mathbb{X}(k+1/k) &= \mathscr{Q}(k+1,k)\mathbb{X}(k/k) + \mathbb{A}(k+1,k)\mathbb{U}(k)
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where the notation (k+1/k) implies the estimate at time k+1 given time k.

C. SPECIFIC THEORY

The current method of filtering inertial navigation systems is by building the filter specifically around a given error model of the gyro. The most commonly used error model is a Gauss-Markov drift model described by both correlated n (ise and bias terms.

$$D = -(1/\gamma)D + r$$
 (27)

where D is the instantaneous value of error, Υ is the correlation time and r^{\prime} is a bias term. Statistically the time function has been found to be roughly equivalent to a random walk model such that $\dot{D} = w + r$ (28)

where W is a white noise term. Since the above drift is colored noise it has been handled previously by incorporating it in the state matrix such that it is part of the estimation process. The state equations would then become

 $\begin{array}{rcl}
\Theta_1 & \Theta_2 \\
\Theta_2 & \Theta_2 \\
D & W + r \\
Z & \Theta_2 + D
\end{array}$

(29)

Thus the Kalman filter would be designed for a 3 state vector vice the needed two states. Also the presence of process noise requires a non zero steady state value for the gains of all equations in the update portion of the Kalman filter. This means that improper choice of parameters will bias the estimatior for all time

The overriding consideration of this study being the desire to maintain as few state variables as possible prompted a closer look at the above method of analysis.

The inertial navigator had been modeled as a first order system earlier (sect.I)

$$\Theta I(k+1) = \Theta I(k) + \Theta M(k)$$
(30)

however the Kalman filter requires an observation matrix and the above model uses the observation matrix $\Delta \Theta M(K)$ as a forcing function therefore for filtering purposes the rate variables had to be redefined and a new state added.

$$\Theta I_{1}(k+1) = \Theta I_{1}(k) + \Theta I_{2}(k) + \Delta \Theta M$$

$$\Theta I_{2}(k+1) = \Theta I_{2}(k)$$
(31)

The new state variable ΘI_2 is to represent a small



angular rate which should be zero under normal conditions. Notice that the forcing function is solely upon the angular position and not upon the rate variable. Now the observation is the output of the gyro which is

$$\Delta \Theta M(k) = \Delta \Theta(k) + \Theta I_2(k) * \Delta t + \dot{\varphi}(k) * \Delta t \qquad (32)$$

where arphi is the noise term for the gyro given by

$$\varphi (k) = EO + G(k)$$
(33)

EO is a constant bias and g(k) is a white noise term. The noise equation then for unit time intervals are

 $\Delta \varphi(\mathbf{k}) / \Delta \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{EO} + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta \varphi(\mathbf{k})$ (34)

and the observation equation is

$$\Delta \Theta M(k) = \Delta \Theta(k) + \Theta I_2(k) + \Delta \varphi$$

= $\Theta(k) + \Theta I_2(k) + EO + G(k)$ (35)

Now $\Delta \Theta$ is a known forcing function generated by the inertial navigator therefore a new observation can be defined as

$$Z^{*}(k) = \Delta \Theta M(k) - \Delta \Theta(k)$$

= $\Theta I_{2}(k) + EO + G(k)$ (36)

thus the discrete state equations are

$$\Theta I_{1}(k+1) = \Theta I_{1}(k) + \Theta I_{2}(k) + \Delta \Theta(k)$$

$$\Theta I_{2}(k+1) = \Theta I_{2}(k)$$

$$Z^{*}(k) = \Theta I_{2}(k) + EO + G(k)$$
(37)

Now if the constant bias term EO is added to the $\Theta \underline{T}_2(\kappa)$ and redefined as $\Theta \underline{I}'_2(k) = \Theta \underline{I}_2(k) + EO$ (38)



Then it can be seen that the $\Theta I_2(\kappa)$ term takes on the significance of an estimated drift and the state equations then assume the form

$$\Theta I_{1}(k+1) = \Theta I_{1}(k) + \Theta I_{2}(k) + \Delta \Theta(k)$$

$$\Theta I_{2}(k+1) = \Theta I_{2}'(k)$$

$$Z^{*}(k) = \Theta I_{2}(k) + G(k)$$
(39)

Where g(k) is white noise and the state equations are now in standard form for Kalman filtering.

It was necessary to add one more state per gyro simulated but this brings the total state vector to only 12 which is still a net savings in state variables.

A block diagram of the algorithm is given in fig.09.

D. KALMAN FILTER RESULTS

The general Kalman equations of part B above were applied to the angular state variables in an off-line calculation by applying pessimistic estimates of initial condition variance such that

and the variance on the measurement noise to be

$$\sigma^2(\varphi) = 1.000 \times 10^{-03}$$

The resulting gains were found to be simple time



functions such that at time $T=K \bigtriangleup t$

$$G_1(k) = 1 - (2/k+1)$$

 $G_2(k) = 1/k+1$
(41)



Figure 9 - ALGORITHM FOR PROPOSED KALMAN FILTER IMPLEMENTATION

V. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

A. VERIFICATION OF RESULTS

Due to the high degree of simplification of plant dynamics, the basic plant model is felt to be weak. The linear dynamics applied to the given g profile produced a trajectory similar to the expected flight profile of the ALVRJ but (due to its simplified nature) with several defects. The descent produced a net increase in forward velocity after level off which would not be the case for a true non-linear model. This defect can be accommodated by the inertial system and hence was not felt to be detrimental to the purposes of this study.

Further work in this area would be recommended in order that basic defects in the missile such as thrust mis-alignment, process noise in control actuators and sensor misalignment be introduced into the simulation. A better tracking scheme could easily be instituted such that the guidance algorithm could institute a more efficient trajectory.

It was felt that for purposes of this study the plant model provided a reasonable approach to ALVRJ simulation. The time of flight and velocity profile are within the same general order of magnitude as the more complex simulations provided by ref.1 and correspond with physical intuition as to proper missile performance.

B. VERIFICATION OF ATIGS SIMULATION

It was felt that the simulation of the ATIGS would be accurate for purposes of this study if the error growth rate and the standard deviation growth rate incurred by the model were close to the observed quantities set forth in ref.1 and ref.3. Reference 3 stated that observed drift in the ATIGS test unit was approximately 1 nm /hr in ground test and 4 nm /hr. in airborne test. The simulation results show a numerical average drift of 3.35 nm /hr. which was felt to be in the range of the actual system. Ref.1 indicates that the simulation reported therein had a cross-range standard deviation growth rate of 1400 ft./min. between the first and second reset positions. The simulation within this study had a cross-range standard deviation growth rate of 1459.4 ft./min.

From the above correlation in performance the study proceeded under the assumption that the simulated model of the ATIGS would provide a reasonable background for analysis of position reset and Kalman filter performance in an actual installation.

C. EFFECT OF POSITION RESET ON SIMULATED PERFORMANCE

The unfiltered position reset feature of this simulation had the expected result of drastically decreasing the variance at mid-course termination. The pure inertial navigator must contend with both initial condition errors and integrated boost phase errors which combine to produce large scale variance at mid-course termination. The initial position reset occurs after boost is complete and thus virtually eliminates the initial condition and boost effect



on position. However, as expected, the velocity and angular errors of boost and initial condition still have effects on the final value of position at mid-course termination. The basic ATIGS navigator without position reset was found to have a radial uncertainty of 1608.6 ft. at mid-course termination (see table3). The addition of position reset to the basic model was found to reduce this uncertainty to However these figures reflect the 466.9 ft. inherent accuracy of the inertial navigator with the very low error terms in the sensors. If the noise terms in the inertial navigator are allowed to have their standard deviations increased by a factor of 5, thus simulating a very noisy inertial navigator, the basic ATIGS model without position reset demonstrates an uncertainty of 8646.5 ft., and after the addition of position reset,4128.0 ft. Thus it can be with a position reset very close seen that, even to mid-course termination, large uncertainty of position can accumulate due to the magnitude of the velocity errors which accrue throughout the flight.

D. EFFECT OF ADDITION OF LINEAR SUBOPTIMAL KALMAN FILTER

The Kalman filter proposed in this report had the effect of decreasing the variance of simulation behavior along the flight path for all tested situations (see table 2) . If cross-range standard deviations is taken as the criterion for performance quality, it can be seen that the filtered performance is readily superior at all noise levels. The "normal" noise level exhibits a radial uncertainty of 248.03 mid-course termination and the X5 noise level ft. at exhibits a radial uncertainty of 1083.1 ft. The fundamental reason for this increase in accuracy is shown in table 4, where the velocity errors at final update position are compared. The filtered velocity estimates, even at the

higher noise levels, are such that mid-course termination position is within much more reasonable bounds.

The overall effect of the Kalman filter proposed in this study then is to reduce the end point variance of missile position at mid-course termination in a significant manner. The unfiltered updates of position are seen to be valuable when used in conjunction with the Kalman filter but do not insure adequate missile performance if high noise levels are encountered throughout the flight.

RUN TYPE	NOISE	D-RANGE ERROR GROWTH	C-RANGE ERROR GROWTH	1-sigma ERROR GROWTH D-RANGE	1-sigma ERROR GROWTH C-RANGE
ATIGS	NORMAL,	2.73 nm/hr	1.449 nm/hr	4016 ft/min	1459.4 ft/min
ATIGS	X5	68.64 nm/hr	107.9 nm/hr	14727 ft/min	10728 ft/min
ATCRS D ^{&}	NORMAL	1.849 nm/hr	1.52 nm/hr	4439.8 ft/min	1995.96 ft/min
ATCRA ^b	X5	82.634 nm/hr	83.6 nm/hr	17986 ft/min	10240 ft/min
A TIGS MICRAD	NORMAL	.439 nm/hr	.87 nm/hr	3736 ft/min	664.59 ft/min
ATIGS MICRAD	X5	21.56 nm/hr	14.373 nm/hr	18836 ft/min	3053 ft/min

INSTALLATION

TABLE 2-SIMULATION PERFORMANCE WITH TYPE OF



•	PE OF	CORMANCE WITH TY	INAL POINT PERH	11 - TABLE 3-F	Figure	
	518.9 ft	950.7 ft	1018.9 ft	671.2 ft	cx	&T KG LRANCKAD
	59.37 ft	1900.7 ft	61.62 ft	240540 ft	Υ Υ	
	68.1 ft	238.5 ft	194 ft	171.5 ft	TEATNON	E KALMAN CIVIL
_	34.036 ft	239.77 ft	63.0 ft	240000 ft	TANGON	
	1107.5 ft	1590.8 ft	2081.7 ft	3564.7 ft	3	MtčKAD ^œ
	293 ft	2716 ft	269.72 ft	238180 ft	л Ч	
	222.4 ft	246.8 ft	227.7 ft	407.7 ft	NUKWAL	Åtċkàn∝
	97.5 ft	307.4 ft	74.17 ft	239,610 ft	TANGOM	
	12891 ft	18514 ft	466 ft	8633.9 ft	5	AL LGO
	1532.1 ft	29370 ft	413.39 ft	241930 ft	L.	
	2964 ft	3801.2 ft	475.4 ft	1536.7 ft	NUKWAL	ALIGS
	816.9 ft	6029.4 ft	217.72 ft	240880 ft	TANGA	
	MEAN ERROR 1-sigma	MFANL FINAL D-RANGE ERROR 1-sigma	MEAN FOSTITGRANGE 1-sigma	MEAN FOSTFIBM FostfiBM 1-sigma	NOISE	RUN TYPE
,						

INSTALLATION


type run	noise level	velocity error std. deviation
ATIGS	NORMAL	110.4 ft/sec
ATIGS	X5	562.47 ft/sec
ATERX D&	NORMAL	104.65 ft/sec
ATERA de	X5	642 ft/sec
ATIGS, MICRAD & KALMAN	NORMAL	62.8 ft/sec
ATIGS, MICRAD & KALMAN	X5	262.47 ft/sec

Figure 12 - TABLE 4-VELOCITY ERROR OF TYPE OF INSTALLATION AT FINAL CHECKPOINT



APPENDIX A

RESULTS OF ATIGS SIMULATION WITHOUT FILTERING OR POSITION UPDATE



Figure 13 - SQRT OF DOWN RANGE VARIANCE (ATIGS ONLY)





Figure 14 - SQRT OF CROSS RANGE VARIANCE (ATIGS ONLY)





Figure 15 - SQRT. OF DOWN RANGE VELOCITY VARIANCE (ATIGS ONLY)





Figure 16 - SQRT OF CROSSRANGE VELOCITY VARIANCE (ATIGS ONLY)



APPENDIX B

RESULT OF ATIGS SIMULATION WITH POSITION RESET ONLY

.



Figure 17 - SQRT OF DOWN RANGE VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSIT RESET)



Figure 18 - SQRT OF CROSS RANGE VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSIT RESET)





Figure 19 - SQRT OF DOWNRANGE VELOCITY VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSIT RESET)





Figure 20 - SQRT OF CROSS RANGE VELOCITY VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSIT RESET)

·			-
	· · ·		

TIME	MEAN OF TRACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN OF ERROR	VAR OF ERRUR
1 X(1)	-0.638470 C2	0.405150 06	-0.63847D 02	0.40515006
X(2)	0.70000C 03	0.102220 00	0.300000 02	0.18775003
X(3)	-0.232040 C3	0.364500 06	-0.23204D 03	0.36450006
X(4)	0.0	0.0	-0.300000 02	0.187750-03
X(5)	0.350000 05	0.255550 03	C.0	0.0
X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 X(1)	0.63827C C3	0.405380 06	-0.640290 02	0.406060 06
X(2)	0.76440D C3	0.121900 00	0.297780 02	0.470810 02
X(3)	-0.20152D C3	0.364180 06	-0.231610 03	0.364260 06
X(4)	0.333980-C2	0.151320-02	-0.293720 02	0.501740 02
X(5)	0.35000D C5	0.255550 03	0.729140-02	0.445240 00
X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.145830-01	0.178100 01
3 X(1)	0.146930 C4	0.405800 06	$\begin{array}{c} -0.644710 & 02 \\ 0.293560 & 02 \\ -0.231490 & 03 \\ -0.296840 & 02 \\ -0.407340 - 01 \\ -0.110630 & 00 \end{array}$	0.408310 06
X(2)	0.9576C0 03	0.19130D 00		0.120970 03
X(3)	-0.17226C 03	0.36408D 06		0.364550 06
X(4)	0.133590-C1	0.242110-01		0.573580 02
X(5)	0.3500C0 C5	0.25555D 03		0.486460 01
X(6)	0.0	0.0		0.41220 01
4 X(1)	0.255800 C4	0.405340 06	-0.65269D 02	0.410530 06
X(2)	0.127960 04	0.341580 C0	0.28715D 02	0.424810 03
X(3)	-0.14214D C3	0.364100 06	-0.230790 03	0.365370 06
X(4)	0.30058D-C1	0.122579 00	-9.291330 02	0.674950 02
X(5)	0.35000D C5	0.255550 03	-0.272120 00	0.184670 02
X(6)	0.0	0.0	-0.352140 00	0.829830 01
5 X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.403350 C4 0.173040 04 -0.1116CD 03 0.534360-C1 0.35000D 05 0.0	0.404510 06 0.624660 00 0.364660 06 0.397380 00 0.255550 03 0.0	-0.664220 02 0.279190 02 -0.229170 03 -0.229170 03 -0.286060 02 -0.589180 00 -0.281970 00	0.41437D 06 0.11703D 04 0.36715D 06 0.744430 02 0.5020D 02 0.14592D 02
6 X(1)	0.596000 04	0.404770 06	$\begin{array}{c} -0.684140 & 02 \\ 0.268450 & 02 \\ -0.228580 & 03 \\ -0.291160 & 02 \\ -0.841830 & 00 \\ -0.223340 & 00 \end{array}$	0.424770 06
X(2)	0.218120 C4	0.992520 00		0.23409D 04
X(3)	-0.821350 C2	0.365230 06		0.36980D 06
X(4)	-0.25677C-01	0.552200 01		0.11398D 03
X(5)	0.350000 C5	0.255550 03		0.11109D 03
X(6)	0.0	0.0		0.22939D 02
7 X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(5) X(6)	0.82720D C4 0.250320 04 -0.52602C 02 0.105350 C0 0.35000D C5 0.0	0.404430 06 0.130720 01 0.363530 06 0.445850 02 0.255550 03 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} -0.725350 & 02 \\ 0.252270 & 02 \\ -0.228000 & 03 \\ -0.28720 & 02 \\ -0.115790 & 01 \\ -0.408870 & 00 \end{array}$	0.442920 06 0.351820 04 0.371340 06 0.146570 03 0.219940 03 0.373750 02
8 X(1)	0.108420 05	0.404020 06	-0.772950 02	0-470640 06
X(2)	0.269640 C4	0.151700 01	0.25005D 02	0-42745D 04
X(3)	-0.22330C 02	0.359780 06	-0.22662D 03	0-373120 06
X(4)	0.163120 00	0.107410 03	-0.287830 02	0-184000 03
X(5)	0.35000D 05	0.255550 03	-0.164620 01	0-41529D 03
X(6)	0.0	0.0	-0.56772D 00	0-68327D 02
9 X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.135400 C5 0.27608D 04 0.751 /50 01 -0.386370 0C C.3500C0 05 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.403300 & 06\\ 0.159080 & 01\\ 0.354050 & 06\\ 0.151440 & 03\\ 0.255550 & 03\\ 0.0 \end{array}$	-0.828710 02 0.244140 02 -0.225460 03 -0.288320 02 -0.211050 01 -0.360820 00	$\begin{array}{c} 0.507980 & 0.6\\ 0.451430 & 0.4\\ 0.374460 & 0.6\\ 0.242590 & 0.3\\ 0.750980 & 0.3\\ 0.100540 & 0.3 \end{array}$

ľ

È

TB	ME	MEAN OF TRACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN OF ERROR	VAR OF ERROR
10	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.16255D (5 0.272860 C4 0.373390 C2 -0.57036D C0 0.3503CD C5 0.0	0.402730 06 0.155490 01 0.347690 06 0.200250 03 0.255550 03 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} -0.882970 & 02 \\ 0.247610 & 02 \\ -0.223770 & 03 \\ -0.283810 & 02 \\ -0.247200 & 01 \\ -0.242200 & 00 \end{array}$	0.554220 06 0.435590 04 0.375950 06 0.277950 03 0.128960 04 0.130100 03
11	X(1)	0.18954D C5	0.40238D 06	-0.933980 02	0.60975D 06
	X(2)	0.272860 C4	0.155570 01	0.246390 02	0.44280D 04
	X(3)	0.66255D C2	0.341430 06	-0.222880 03	0.37840D 06
	X(4)	-0.59907D 00	0.220730 03	-0.289290 02	0.29569D 03
	X(5)	0.3500CD 05	0.255550 03	-0.247700 01	0.21086D 04
	X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.112150 00	0.16078D 03
12	X(1)	0.21652D (5	0.403330 06	-0.98778D 02	0.67697D 06
	X(2)	0.27286D C4	0.155640 01	0.24625D 02	0.44871D 04
	X(3)	0.96231D 02	0.335270 06	-0.22083D 03	0.38130D 06
	X(4)	-0.52242U C0	0.264780 03	-0.27956D 02	0.31910D 03
	X(5)	0.35000D C5	0.255550 03	-0.23709D 01	0.32617D 04
	X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.10012D 00	0.19268D 03
13	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.24351D C5 0.27286D C4 0.12556D C3 -0.81584D C0 0.35000D 05 0.0	0.40289D 06 0.15576U 01 0.32962D 06 0.20813D 03 0.25555D 03 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} -0.103470 & 03 \\ 0.251480 & 02 \\ -0.219220 & 03 \\ -9.288120 & 02 \\ 0.218950 & 01 \\ 0.262660 & 00 \end{array}$	0.75031D 06 0.43426D 04 0.38499D 06 0.37022D 03 0.46136D 04 0.22723D 03
14	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.27050D (5 0.27286D 04 0.15399D 03 -0.15110D 01 C.3500CD C5 0.0	0.403460 06 0.155840 01 0.325390 06 0.319170 03 0.255550 03 0.0	$\begin{array}{c} -0.108780 & 03 \\ 0.245540 & 02 \\ -0.218080 & 03 \\ -0.296940 & 02 \\ -0.194500 & 01 \\ 0.226290 & 00 \end{array}$	0.83267D 06 0.43597D 04 0.39014D 06 0.43777D 03 0.67919D 04 0.23846D 03
15	X(1)	0.29748D 05	0.40370D 06	-0.105840 00	0.47197D 02
	X(2)	0.27286D C4	0.15620D 01	0.243580 02	0.44022D 04
	X(3)	0.18158U C3	0.32108D 06	0.104180 01	0.51009D 02
	X(4)	-0.24488D C1	0.36792D 03	-0.300890 02	0.48802D 03
	X(5)	0.350000 C5	0.25555D 03	-0.168530 01	0.92840D 04
	X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.293150 00	0.29309D 03
16	X(1)	0.32446D C5	0.40375D 06	-0.552110 01	0.450450 04
	X(2)	0.27286D C4	0.15647D 01	0.247190 02	0.434630 04
	X(3)	0.20882D 03	0.317100 06	0.129350 01	0.57639C 03
	X(4)	-0.31367D C1	0.39920D 03	-0.294870 02	0.504130 03
	X(5)	0.35000D C5	0.25555D 03	-0.150300 01	0.123860 05
	X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.715150-01	0.314C5D 03
17	X(1)	0.35146D 05	0.40331D 06	-0.99761D 01	0.17518D 05
	X(2)	0.27286D C4	0.156640 01	0.25232D 02	0.43394D 04
	X(3)	0.23625D C3	0.31383D 06	0.205640 01	0.211690 04
	X(4)	-0.23098D C1	0.43143D 03	-0.29276D 02	0.53183D 03
	X(5)	0.350000 05	0.25555D 03	-0.101990 01	0.16113D 05
	X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.89464D 00	0.34845D 03
18	X(1)	0.37844D C5	0.403640 06	-0.149840 02	0.390460 05
	X(2)	0.27286D C4	0.156660 01	0.246890 02	0.433990 04
	X(3)	0.26302D C3	0.310540 06	0.151890 01	0.465990 04
	X(4)	-0.25728D C1	0.482640 03	-0.302300 02	0.555830 03
	X(5)	0.35000D C5	0.255550 03	-0.236950 00	0.205310 05
	X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.671280 00	0.38051D 03
19	X(1)	0.40543D C5	0.40440D 06	-0.200050 02	0.63720D 05
	X(2)	0.27286D C4	0.15673D 01	0.248580 02	0.44458D 04
	X(3)	0.29039D C3	0.39873D 06	0.195350 01	0.83571D 04
	X(4)	-0.30210D 01	0.47773D 03	-0.292370 02	0.62626D 03
	X(5)	0.35000D C5	0.25555D 03	0.329740 00	0.257160 05
	X(6)	0.0	0.0	0.462100 00	0.41637D 03

T 1 P	4E	MEAN OF TRACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN CF ERROR	VAR OF ERROR
20	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.432410 C5 0.272860 C4 0.317180 C3 -0.339630 01 0.345260 C5 -0.947160 C3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.404990 & 06\\ 0.155940 & 01\\ 0.307490 & 06\\ 0.517940 & 03\\ 0.691450 & 05\\ 0.275580 & 06 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.266240 & 02\\ 0.237210 & 02\\ 0.291270 & 01\\ -0.288450 & 02\\ -0.199630 & 01\\ -0.511410 & 01 \end{array}$	0.10945D 06 0.454210 04 0.13383U 05 0.64793U 03 0.32443D 05 0.20169D 04
21	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.45939D 05 0.272860 C4 0.343540 C3 -0.374820 C1 0.334340 05 -0.12380C 04	$\begin{array}{c} 0.404590 & 06\\ 0.157170 & 01\\ 0.307290 & 06\\ 0.559470 & 03\\ 0.275880 & 06\\ 0.253040 & 01 \end{array}$	-0.336480 02 0.238320 02 0.387210 01 -0.290930 02 -0.999400 01 -0.108810 02	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15762D & 06 \\ 0.44817D & 04 \\ 0.19430U & 05 \\ 0.643610 & 03 \\ 0.42972D & 05 \\ 0.23754D & 04 \end{array}$
22	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.486380 05 0.272860 C4 0.369650 03 -0.419010 C1 0.321560 C5 -0.1238C0 C4	0.404570 06 0.157620 01 0.308020 06 0.574420 03 0.276000 06 0.253040 01	-0.383450 02 0.253310 02 0.477450 01 -0.292670 02 -0.209020 02 -0.109340 02	0.214370 06 0.452760 04 0.267950 05 0.69080D 03 0.577580 05 0.237390 04
23	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.513370 C5 0.27296C G4 0.394750 C3 -0.477210 01 0.309580 C5 -0.123860 C4	0.404620 06 0.158020 01 0.308780 06 0.592420 03 0.276130 06 0.253040 01	-0.425980 02 0.251020 02 0.486130 01 -0.288080 02 -0.318580 02 -0.109780 02	0.200560 06 0.454800 04 0.351820 05 0.696040 03 0.775650 05 0.244690 04
24	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.54034D 05 0.27286C C4 0.420160 C3 -0.53818D 01 0.29720D C5 -0.123800 C4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.475990 & 02 \\ 0.246090 & 02 \\ 0.575020 & 01 \\ -0.292960 & 02 \\ -0.429520 & 02 \\ -0.112070 & 02 \end{array}$	0.355560 06 0.45630D 04 0.44724D 05 0.72370D 03 0.102160 06 0.24577D 04
25	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.567340 05 0.272860 C4 0.444680 C3 -0.5885C0 C1 0.284820 C5 -0.123800 C4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.404960 & 06\\ 0.159010 & 01\\ 0.313600 & 06\\ 0.618970 & 03\\ 0.276390 & 06\\ 0.253040 & 01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.530400 & 02 \\ 0.244470 & 02 \\ 0.617890 & 01 \\ -0.301540 & 02 \\ -0.542040 & 02 \\ -0.112960 & 02 \end{array}$	0.439830 06 0.459270 04 0.559280 05 0.752610 03 0.131760 06 0.248020 04
26	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.594330 05 0.272860 C4 0.468520 03 -0.632440 C1 0.272440 C5 -0.123800 C4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40504D & 06\\ 0.15806D & 01\\ 0.31734D & 06\\ 0.63776D & 03\\ 0.27653D & 06\\ 0.25304D & 01\\ \end{array}$	-0.589170 02 0.241260 02 0.591490 01 -0.306630 02 -0.556400 02 -0.115750 02	0.53406D 06 0.46614D 04 0.68963D 05 0.83156D 03 0.16625D 06 0.250440 04
27	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.62131D C5 0.27286C 04 0.49153D C3 -0.71409D 01 0.26006D C5 -0.12380D C4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.40549\mathrm{C} & 06\\ 0.158450 & 01\\ 0.322260 & 06\\ 0.666380 & 03\\ 0.276670 & 06\\ 0.253040 & 01 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.647900 & 02 \\ 0.240990 & 02 \\ 0.491480 & 01 \\ -0.309320 & 02 \\ 0.714290 & 02 \\ -0.120050 & 02 \end{array}$	0.63830D 06 0.46300D 04 0.83759D 05 0.85693D 03 0.20597D 06 0.259420 04
28	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.648250 05 0.272860 C4 0.514670 C3 -0.742300 C1 0.247670 C5 -0.123800 C4	0.405530 06 0.158120 01 0.328120 06 0.666180 03 0.276820 06 9.253040 01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.715500 & 02 \\ 0.235430 & 02 \\ 0.456600 & 01 \\ -0.305070 & 02 \\ -0.893940 & 02 \\ -0.119250 & 02 \end{array}$	0.75141D 06 0.468380 04 0.100520 06 0.940830 03 0.25109D 06 0.26205D 04
29	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.675280 C5 0.272860 C4 0.537210 00 0.6806C30 C1 0.235250 C5 -0.1238CD C4	0.40560D 06 0.158400 01 0.33450D 06 0.69771D 03 0.27698D 06 0.253040 01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.778970 & 02 \\ 0.239490 & 02 \\ 0.416820 & 01 \\ -0.308500 & 02 \\ -0.101380 & 03 \\ -0.120500 & 02 \end{array}$	0.87475D 06 0.474870 04 0.11820D 06 0.87746D 03 0.30157D 06 0.265420 04

τı	ME	MEAN OF TRACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN OF ERROR	VAR OF ERROR
30 1	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.702260 05 0.272860 C4 0.558520 C3 -0.875540 01 0.222510 C5 -0.123800 04	0.406460 06 0.158640 01 0.343150 06 0.695960 03 0.277140 06 0.2253040 01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.844740 & 02 \\ 0.235340 & 02 \\ 0.307230 & 01 \\ -0.307990 & 02 \\ -0.113620 & 03 \\ -0.124370 & 02 \end{array}$	0.100620 07 0.462170 04 0.137540 06 0.933590 03 0.357610 06 0.272820 04
31	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.729240 C5 0.272860 C4 C.5794C0 03 -0.904100 C1 0.210530 C5 -0.123800 C4	0.40699D 06 0.15866D 01 0.5351D 06 0.71383U 03 0.27730D 06 0.25304D 01	-0.92006D 02 0.22850D 02 0.17802D 01 -0.31328D 02 -0.12637D 03 -0.13060U 02	0.11461D 07 0.46269D 04 0.16001D 06 0.10419D 04 0.41925D 06 0.27831D 04
32	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.756220 C5 0.27286D C4 C.60028D C3 -0.99273D C1 0.198150 05 -0.12330D C4	0.408420 06 0.159240 01 0.365260 06 0.746670 03 0.271470 06 0.253040 01	-0.99297D 02 0.23274U 02 0.110450 01 -0.30754D 02 -0.13935D 03 -0.12888D 02	0.12953D 07 0.46125D 04 0.18425D 06 0.10384D 04 0.48655D 06 0.28301D 04
33	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.783210 C5 0.272860 C4 0.620C70 C3 -0.108740 02 0.185770 C5 -0.123800 04	0.409430 06 0.159850 01 0.377420 06 0.754580 03 0.277455 06 0.253040 01	-0.10607D 03 0.23543D 02 -0.24065D-01 -0.31872D 02 -0.15210D 03 -0.12627D 02	0.14531D 07 0.45861D 04 0.20958D 06 0.10644D 04 0.55969D 06 0.28818D 04
34	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.810190 C5 0.27286D C4 0.63863D 03 -0.123100 C2 0.17339D 05 -0.12380D 04	$\begin{array}{c} 0.407820 & 06\\ 0.160270 & 01\\ 0.391840 & 06\\ 0.760890 & 03\\ 0.271830 & 06\\ 0.274830 & 06\\ 0.253040 & 01 \end{array}$	-0.11255D 03 0.23607U 02 -0.18543U 01 -0.32080U 02 -0.16478D 03 -0.12723U 02	0.161950 07 0.459870 04 0.237470 06 0.110050 04 0.638340 06 0.286910 04
35	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.83718D C5 0.27286U C4 0.65603U 03 -0.12754D C2 0.16101U C5 -0.12380D C4	0.40970D 06 0.16078D 01 0.40723D 05 0.77755D 03 0.27801D 06 0.25304D 01	-0.11880D 03 0.23826D 02 -0.420130 01 -0.324730 02 -0.17735D 03 -0.12424D 02	0.17959D 07 0.46319D 04 0.26742D 06 0.11389D 04 0.72261D 06 0.27374D 04
36	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.864170 05 0.272860 C4 C.672700 C3 -0.137130 C2 0.148630 C5 -0.123800 C4	$\begin{array}{c} 0.410200 & 06\\ 0.160750 & 01\\ 0.424490 & 06\\ 0.304840 & 03\\ 0.273200 & 06\\ 0.253040 & 01 \end{array}$	-0.124400 03 0.241710 02 -0.658760 01 -0.321110 02 -0.189760 03 -0.123970 02	0.198400 07 0.471540 04 0.299130 06 0.114360 04 0.812720 06 0.295230 04
37	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.89116D C5 0.27286D C4 0.68948D 03 -0.13636D 03 0.136250 C5 -0.12380D C4	0.41027D 06 0.16070D 01 0.44299D 06 0.80144D 03 0.27840D 06 0.25304D 01	-0.12951D 03 0.243940 02 -0.82471D 01 -0.321150 02 -0.202160 03 -0.123940 02	$\begin{array}{c} 0.21768D & 07 \\ 0.45297D & 04 \\ 0.33415D & 06 \\ 0.12344D & 04 \\ 0.90876D & 06 \\ 0.30127D & 04 \end{array}$
38	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.91814D C5 0.27286D C4 0.70556U C3 -0.144190 C2 0.12387D C5 -0.12380D 04	$\begin{array}{c} 0.410240 & 06\\ 0.160900 & 01\\ 0.462440 & 06\\ 0.790430 & 03\\ 0.278600 & 06\\ 0.253040 & 01 \end{array}$	-0.136290 03 0.231390 02 -0.108090 02 -0.332120 02 -0.214660 03 -0.126030 02	0.237940 07 0.460650 04 0.371820 06 0.126050 04 0.101160 07 0.308460 04
39 I	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	C.945130 C5 0.272860 04 0.720410 03 -0.154220 C2 0.111490 05 -0.123800 C4	0.410850 06 0.161050 01 0.483060 06 0.809320 03 0.278300 06 0.253040 01	-0.143100 03 0.233180 02 -0.146690 02 -0.340590 02 -0.227610 03 -0.133070 02	0.25933D 07 0.46340D 04 0.41286D 06 0.13575D 04 0.11209D 07 0.30845D 04

τu	ME	MEAN OF TRACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN OF ERROR	VAR OF ERROR
40	X(L)	0.972110 05	0.411270 06	-0.150280 03	0.281430 07
	X(2)	0.272860 C4	0.161200 01	0.228530 02	0.458710 04
	X(3)	0.734950 C3	0.505090 06	-0.181160 02	0.456610 06
	X(4)	-0.159560 C2	0.323130 03	-0.332780 02	0.136700 04
	X(5)	0.991050 C4	0.279010 06	-0.241150 03	0.123570 07
	X(6)	-0.123800 04	0.253040 01	-0.137600 02	0.306650 04
41	X(1)	0.999090 C5	0.41131D 06	-0.157790 03	0.30444D 07
	X(2)	0.272860 04	0.16103D 01	0.227880 02	0.460100 04
	X(3)	0.749120 C3	0.52813D 06	-0.21344D 02	0.50247D 06
	X(4)	-0.161820 C2	0.81756D 03	-0.336730 02	0.13763D 04
	X(5)	0.867280 04	0.27923D 06	-0.254900 03	0.13565D 07
	X(6)	-0.123800 C4	0.25304D 01	-0.13742D 02	0.31074D 04
42	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.10261D 06 0.273140 C4 0.7627CD C3 -0.17117D 02 0.74375D C4 -0.12315D C4	0.40740D 06 0.15739D 04 0.55171D 06 0.81380D 03 0.279390 06 0.76148D 04	-0.164810 03 0.227310 02 -0.247270 02 -0.335450 02 -0.269130 03 -0.147290 02	$\begin{array}{c} 0.320240 & 0.7\\ 0.466010 & 0.4\\ 0.549860 & 0.6\\ 0.137260 & 0.4\\ 0.148190 & 0.7\\ 0.306460 & 0.4 \end{array}$
43	X(1)	0.105320 06	0.41166D 06	-0.173440 03	0.354210 07
	X(2)	0.274550 C4	0.919570 04	0.202900 02	0.539910 04
	X(3)	0.775400 C3	0.578990 06	-0.283500 02	0.602070 06
	X(4)	-0.171670 C2	0.823630 03	-0.333860 02	0.149C00 04
	X(5)	0.622150 C4	0.290730 06	-0.285220 03	0.160450 07
	X(6)	-0.12009C 04	0.445860 05	-0.174480 02	0.270940 04
44	X(1)	0.108C7D C6	0.44512D 06	-0.18462D 03	0.38183D 07
	X(2)	0.28157D C4	0.41348D 05	0.169320 02	0.557840 04
	X(3)	0.78720D 03	0.60649D 06	-0.328791 02	0.65745D 05
	X(4)	-0.17435D C2	0.81133D 03	-0.33875D 02	0.14879D 04
	X(5)	0.50980D C4	0.36006D 06	-0.304190 03	0.17206D 07
	X(6)	-0.10461D C4	0.20080D 06	-0.20487D 02	0.24576D 04
45	X(1)	0.110940 C6	0.554890 06	-0.199730 03	0.410870 07
	X(2)	0.297860 04	0.779560 05	0.130620 02	0.574030 04
	X(3)	0.7986C0 03	0.634030 06	-0.37769D 02	0.714250 06
	X(4)	-0.182240 C2	0.783220 03	-0.32590 02	0.150120 04
	X(5)	0.423140 04	0.612890 06	-0.225440 03	0.18320 07
	X(6)	-0.687030 C3	0.374680 06	-0.22017D 02	0.242490 04
46	X(1)	0.11356D C6	0.765420 06	-0.214360 03	0.441880 07
	X(2)	0.31303D C4	0.643200 05	0.174440 02	0.614780 04
	X(3)	0.80895D C3	0.660090 06	-0.425480 02	0.772320 06
	X(4)	-0.19896D 02	0.742290 03	-0.341050 02	0.144360 04
	X(5)	0.37116D C4	0.126350 07	-0.344670 03	0.194340 07
	X(6)	-0.35271D C3	0.312440 06	-0.164480 02	0.258650 04
47	X(L)	0.117120 06	0.96728D 06	-0.222760 03	0.474110 07
	X(2)	0.324550 C4	0.23238D 05	0.247390 02	0.658410 04
	X(3)	0.819040 03	0.68568D 06	-0.464020 02	0.832440 06
	X(4)	-0.204650 G2	. 0.69501D 03	-0.339860 02	0.147270 04
	X(5)	0.348580 C4	0.17774D 07	-0.357350 03	0.204400 07
	X(6)	-0.988610 02	0.11275D 06	-0.890170 01	0.226910 04
48	X(1)	0.12035D C6	0.10572D 07	-0.227460 03	0.508620 07
	X(2)	0.32820D C4	0.46651D 04	0.272280 02	0.706850 04
	X(3)	0.82785D 03	0.71165D 06	-0.505870 02	0.894460 06
	X(4)	-0.21847D C2	0.66774D 03	-0.342660 02	0.151910 04
	X(5)	0.34272D C4	0.20032D 07	-0.364150 03	0.212920 07
	X(6)	-0.18376D C2	0.22632U 05	-0.470460 01	0.181370 04
49	X(1)	0.12361D 06	0.107420 07	-0.229350 03	0.545460 07
	X(2)	0.32905D C4	0.273110 01	0.290070 02	0.734690 04
	X(3)	0.83589D C3	0.737510 06	-0.541870 02	0.961190 06
	X(4)	-0.226140 C2	0.695550 03	-0.334710 02	0.158390 04
	X(5)	0.34181D 04	0.205450 07	-0.368080 03	0.220640 07
	X(6)	0.1986CD C0	0.225630 01	-0.315820 01	0.165510 04

.

TIME	MEAN OF TPACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN OF ERROR	VAR OF EPROR	
50 X(1	0.126870 C6	0.10747007	-0.230730 03	0.58426D 07	
X(2	0.329050 C4	0.27401001	0.287410 02	0.736220 04	
X(3	0.842950 C3	0.6231006	-0.500630 02	0.103000 07	
X(4	-0.229240 C2	0.69858003	-0.339440 02	0.60890 04	
X(5	0.341830 04	0.20546007	-0.371140 03	0.22845D 07	
X(6	0.1986C0 00	0.22563001	-0.295030 01	0.16854D 04	
51 X(1) 0.130120 0¢	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.107520 & 07\\ 0.274160 & 01\\ 0.788650 & 06\\ 0.703480 & 03\\ 0.205460 & 07\\ 0.225630 & 01 \end{array}$	-0.232430 03	0.62434D 07	
X(2	0.329050 C4		0.286270 02	0.73184D 04	
X(3	0.849220 C3		-0.626420 02	0.11017D 07	
X(4	-0.237650 02		-0.344370 02	0.16306D 04	
X(5	0.341850 C4		-0.374280 03	0.23674D 07	
X(6	0.198600 00		-0.333410 01	0.18237D 04	
52 X11) 0.133390 06	0.107630 07	-0.233600 03	0.66543D 07	
X(2) 0.329050 C4	0.280310 01	0.290090 02	0.72086D 04	
X(3) 0.854570 C3	0.811390 06	-0.672270 02	0.117370 07	
X(4	-0.250270 02	0.627810 03	-0.342250 02	0.160600 04	
X(5	0.341370 C4	0.205470 07	-0.377260 03	0.245370 07	
X(6	0.198660 C0	0.225630 01	-0.261760 01	0.181390 04	
53 X(1) 0.136650 06	$\begin{array}{cccc} 0.107530 & 07\\ 0.280700 & 01\\ 0.831770 & 06\\ 0.618040 & 03\\ 0.205480 & 07\\ 0.225630 & 01 \end{array}$	-0.234710 03	0.708300 07	
X(2) 0.329050 C4		0.20904D 02	0.73190D 04	
X(3	0.65951C C3		-0.706030 02	0.124860 07	
X(4	-0.257660 C2		-0.332120 02	0.16369D 04	
X(5] 0.341890 C4		-0.379550 03	0.254210 07	
X(6	0.198600 00		-0.196220 01	0.182C9D 04	
54 X(1	0.13991D C6	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.107600 & 07\\ 0.280220 & 01\\ 0.852040 & 06\\ 0.619390 & 03\\ 0.204320 & 07\\ 0.110630 & 05 \end{array}$	-0.234800 03	0.75271D 07	
X(2	0.329050 C4		0.294970 02	0.737560 04	
X(3	0.063750 C3		-0.735310 02	0.132850 07	
X(4	-0.261750 C2		-0.330500 02	0.169130 04	
X(5	0.34153D C4		-0.381580 C3	0.263380 07	
X(6	-0.7266CD C1		-0.210510 01	0.18620D 04	
55 X(1	0.143170 06	0.107730 07	-0.235630 03	0.799040 07	
X(2	0.329390 04	0.228150 04	0.20780D 02	0.746420 04	
X(3	0.867380 03	7.869720 06	-0.76610D 02	0.140820 07	
X(4	-0.266380 02	0.583620 03	-0.331960 02	0.164680 04	
X(5	0.341180 C4	0.203710 07	-0.384130 03	0.272860 07	
X(6	0.20065D C0	0.226500 01	-0.299580 01	0.193350 04	
56 X(1) 0.146440 C6	0.108310 07	-0.236730 03	0.846330 07	
X(2) 0.329390 C4	0.228150 04	0.287100 02	0.736820 04	
X(3) 0.870C10 C3	0.889410 06	-0.806840 02	0.149350 07	
X(4) -0.273800 02	0.586210 03	-0.342250 02	0.183120 04	
X(5	0.340830 C4	0.204430 07	-0.306960 03	0.282450 07	
X(6) -0.726510 C1	0.110840 05	-0.266450 01	0.189660 04	
57 X(1	0.149700 C6	0.108900 07	-0.237740 03	0.894760 07	
X(2	0.329730 C4	0.454230 04	0.285540 02	0.733780 04	
X(3	0.871880 03	0.707950 06	-0.844150 02	0.158360 07	
X(4	-0.289840 02	0.595720 03	-0.333290 02	0.184660 04	
X(5	0.339720 C4	0.207220 07	-0.389850 03	0.292350 07	
X(6	-0.147350 02	0.221330 05	-0.311690 01	0.193760 04	
58 X (1	0.152570 C6	0.109890 07	-0.240040 03	0.945670 07	
X (2	C.33041D 04	0.901150 04	0.279020 02	0.754260 04	
X (3	0.872250 C3	0.925890 06	-0.884940 02	0.167590 07	
X (4	-0.295030 C2	0.562700 03	-0.340610 02	0.188830 04	
X (5	0.339000 C4	0.209860 07	-0.393290 03	0.302770 07	
X (6	0.199C0D 00	0.23083D 01	-0.376340 01	0.203500 04	
59 X(1	0.156250 C6	0-112550 07	-0.241820 03	0.998160 07	
X(2	C.330410 04	0.901170 04	0.281340 02	0.755750 04	
X(3	0.871530 C3	0.942270 06	-0.921970 02	0.176990 07	
X(4	-0.308010 02	0.561470 03	-0.336150 02	0.186190 04	
X(5	0.337500 C4	0.211970 07	-0.396610 03	0.313570 07	
X(6	-0.221970 02	0.329360 05	-0.286860 01	0.208890 04	
TI	ME	MEAN OF TRACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN OF ERROR	VAR DF ERROR
----	--	---	--	--	--
60	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.159530 C6 0.331420 04 0.870680 C3 -0.32270 C2 0.336C50 C4 -0.147350 C2	0.117010 07 0.155110 05 0.956800 06 0.548930 03 0.219030 07 0.221200 05	-0.243970 03 0.279760 02 -0.955720 02 -0.330610 02 -0.399870 03 -0.365110 01	0.10521D 08 0.747950 04 0.18731D 07 0.20473D 04 0.32497D 07 0.225240 04
61	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.16281D 06 0.33210D C4 0.86757D C3 -0.33096D 02 0.33532D C4 0.197840 C0	0.12411D 07 0.13744D 05 0.96637C 06 0.56530D 03 0.22345D 07 0.23273D 01	$\begin{array}{c} -0.245930 & 03 \\ 0.285620 & 02 \\ -0.996450 & 02 \\ -0.341800 & 02 \\ -0.403280 & 03 \\ -0.317130 & 01 \end{array}$	0.11079D 08 0.77134D 04 0.19808D 07 0.20743D 04 0.33675D 07 0.214940 04
62	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.166110 C6 0.332100 C4 0.8664030 03 -0.346920 C2 0.334660 C4 -0.147330 02	0.13475D 07 0.197440 05 0.972280 06 0.556126D 03 0.22571D 07 0.220520 05	-0.246640 03 0.289220 02 -0.103110 03 -0.332720 02 -0.406780 03 -0.382690 01	0.11652D 08 0.76911D 04 0.20871D 07 0.20161D 04 0.34890D 07 0.230750 64
63	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.169400 C6 0.332760 C4 0.859190 C3 -0.356650 02 0.333130 C4 -0.147340 02	0.14812D 07 0.23877D 05 0.97667D 06 0.556565D 03 0.23141D 07 0.22118D 05	$\begin{array}{c} -0.247660 & 03 \\ 0.289090 & 02 \\ -0.106240 & 03 \\ -0.334620 & 02 \\ -0.410550 & 03 \\ -0.372800 & 01 \end{array}$	0.12242D 08 0.77265D 04 0.21991D 07 0.21036D 04 0.36145D 07 0.227960 04
64	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.172700 C6 0.333450 C4 0.852600 03 -0.369120 C2 0.331650 C4 -0.1474CD C2	0.16408D 07 0.27923D 05 0.98007D 06 0.58490D 03 0.23449D 07 0.22161D 05	-0.248370 03 0.291930 02 -0.110460 03 -0.343750 02 -0.414780 03 -0.473170 01	0.12841D 08 0.76757D 04 0.23135D 07 0.22464D 04 0.37427D 07 0.23533D 04
65	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.176010 06 0.334120 04 0.845220 C3 -0.384110 02 0.3298CD C4 -0.222C2D 02	0.18511D 07 0.31889D 05 0.980480 06 0.59749D 03 0.237970 03 0.328840 05	-0.250100 03 0.282930 02 -0.114510 03 -0.342940 02 -0.419820 03 -0.533830 01	0.13459D 08 0.77395D 04 0.244410 07 0.22229D 04 0.387790 07 0.25318D 04
66	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.179320 06 0.335140 C4 0.836160 03 ~0.405620 02 0.327580 C4 -0.221980 02	0.21268D 07 0.37626D 05 0.97955D 06 0.600750 03 0.24510D 07 0.32704D 05	$\begin{array}{c} -0.251430 & 03\\ 0.290220 & 02\\ -0.118380 & 03\\ -0.343030 & 02\\ -0.424350 & 03\\ -0.372210 & 01\\ \end{array}$	0.14079D 08 0.76263D 04 0.257390 07 0.23232D 04 0.40182D 07 0.242530 04
67	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.18265D C6 0.336160 04 0.82439D C2 0.42205D 02 0.32574D 04 -0.147290 C2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.245780 & 07\\ 0.431670 & 05\\ 0.974380 & 06\\ 0.654220 & 03\\ 0.251600 & 07\\ 0.220550 & 05 \end{array}$	-0.25172D 03 0.295080 02 -0.123410 03 -0.349680 02 -0.428860 03 -0.534660 01	0.14722D 08 0.77986D 04 0.27070D 07 0.231350 04 0.41657D 07 0.21566D 04
68	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.185950 C6 0.336940 C4 0.811290 C3 -0.43311C 02 0.324640 C4 -0.726290 C1	0.28508D 07 0.46743D 05 0.96663D 06 0.68225D 03 0.25534D 07 0.11048D 05	-0.251920 03 0.298120 02 -0.12881D 03 -0.351680 02 -0.433740 03 -0.435750 01	0.15386D 08 0.79400D 04 0.28448D 07 0.23242D 04 0.43204D 07 0.264270 04
69	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.189330 06 0.337170 C4 0.797040 03 -0.453280 C2 0.322720 04 -0.31202C 02	$\begin{array}{c} 0.332580 & 07\\ 0.484790 & 05\\ 0.955050 & 06\\ 0.714950 & 03\\ 0.262460 & 07\\ 0.487430 & 05\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.252730 & 03\\ 0.288240 & 02\\ -0.133520 & 03\\ -0.343810 & 02\\ -0.438250 & 03\\ -0.438250 & 03\\ -0.467290 & 01 \end{array}$	0.16061D 08 0.78388D 04 0.29972D 07 0.237230 04 0.44808D 07 0.29113D 04

TIME		MEAN OF TRACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN OF ERROR	VAR CF ERROR
70)	X(1)	0.19268D 06	0.39124D 07	-0.25353D 03	0.16762D 08
	X(2)	0.33859D C4	0.61769D 05	0.29463D 03	0.32198D 04
	X(3)	0.780340 C3	0.93831D 06	-0.13876D 03	0.31360D 07
	X(4)	-0.46996D 02	0.880520D 03	-0.35018D 02	0.24563D 07
	X(5)	0.31957D C4	0.27275D 07	-0.44295D 03	0.46489D 07
	X(6)	-0.23729D C2	0.37862D 05	-0.44295D 03	0.283880 04
71	X(1)	0.196C4D C6	0.46816D 07	-0.254140 03	0.17485D 08
	X(2)	0.339680 04	0.73028D 05	0.293440 03	0.82616D 04
	X(3)	0.761520 C3	0.91718D 06	-0.144360 03	0.32923D 07
	X(4)	-0.494140 C2	0.91931D 03	-0.349630 02	0.25596D 04
	X(5)	0.317220 C4	0.28009D 07	-0.448420 03	0.48239D 07
	X(6)	-0.31202D C2	0.48729D 05	-0.623880 01	0.30807D 04
72	X(1)	0.19941D 06	0.56681D 07	-0.25527D 03	0.18224D 08
	X(2)	0.34110D C4	0.85566D 05	0.29259D 02	0.84165D 04
	X(3)	0.74092D C3	0.87129D 06	-0.14921D 03	0.34553D 07
	X(4)	-0.51226D C2	0.107170 04	-0.34181D 02	0.26899D 04
	X(5)	0.31381D 04	0.27165D 07	-0.45507D 03	0.50113D 07
	X(6)	-0.37127D 02	0.54370D 05	-0.70607D 01	0.33077D 04
73	X(1)	0.20280D C6	0.68308D 07	-0.255280 03	0.18984D 08
	X(2)	0.34279D 04	0.92671D 05	0.308950 03	0.86818D 04
	X(3)	0.71777D 03	0.85765D 06	-0.153020 03	0.36218D 07
	X(4)	-0.54962D 02	0.12942D 04	-0.333130 02	0.26972D 04
	X(5)	0.31121D C4	0.30079D 07	-0.469950 03	0.52061D 07
	X(6)	-0.147270 C2	0.22045D 05	-0.469920 01	0.31195D 04
74)	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.2062CD 06 0.34346D 04 0.63195D 03 -0.57593D 02 0.30847D C4 -0.40208D C2	$\begin{array}{c} 0.81435D & 07\\ 0.55347D & 05\\ 0.815620 & 06\\ 0.153960 & 04\\ 0.30849D & 07\\ 0.643210 & 05 \end{array}$	-0.25497D 03 0.30149D 02 -0.15565D 03 -0.329340 02 -0.46483D 03 -0.30780D 01	0.19768D 08 0.88255D 04 0.37322D 07 0.26758D 04 0.54037D 07 0.31255D 04
75)	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(6)	0.20953D (6 0.34461D 04 0.66569D 03 -0.60841D 02 0.30649D 04 -0.88640D 01	0.83896D 07 0.10599D 06 0.76987D 06 0.21368D 04 0.32165D 07 0.16235D 05	$\begin{array}{c} -0.18589D & 03\\ 0.31924D & 02\\ -0.15335D & 03\\ -0.32101D & 02\\ -0.46204D & 03\\ -0.32560B & 01\\ \end{array}$	0.197120 08 0.831290 04 0.398390 07 0.269800 04 0.562890 07 0.319320 04
76	X(1)	0.21295D C6	0.10032D 08	-0.183760 03	0.20491D 08
	X(2)	0.345010 C4	0.11357D 06	0.327070 02	0.840540 04
	X(3)	0.633290 C3	0.71338D 06	-0.156010 03	0.41645D 07
	X(4)	-0.635260 C2	0.28317D 04	-0.327940 02	0.28358D 04
	X(5)	0.30561D 04	0.327560 07	-0.464870 03	0.58407D 07
	X(6)	-0.8862CD 01	0.16187D 05	-0.239460 01	0.31407D 04
77)	X(1)	0.216370 C6	0.11978D 08	-0.180550 03	0.21283D 08
	X(2)	0.34540D 04	0.12104D 06	0.332350 02	0.83097D 06
	X(3)	0.55732D C3	0.65229D 06	-0.158500 03	0.43523D 07
	X(4)	-0.67983D 02	0.38071D 04	-0.317740 02	0.28990D 04
	X(5)	0.30480D C4	0.33080D 07	-0.467260 03	0.60589D 07
	X(6)	-0.73176D 01	0.1158D 05	-0.239460 01	0.32032D 04
78	X(1)	0.21959D C6	0.112870 08	-0.629790 02	0.21427D 08
	X(2)	0.34370D C4	0.967260 05	0.344210 02	0.81284D 04
	X(3)	0.56556D C3	0.592550 06	-0.146470 03	0.44917D 07
	X(4)	-0.718330 02	0.458840 04	-0.314070 02	0.29946D 04
	X(5)	0.30659D C4	0.331310 07	-0.417750 03	0.61981D 07
	X(6)	-0.5024CD 02	0.811840 05	-0.127070 01	0.33552D 04
79	X(1)	0.22282D 06	0.10085D 08	-0.518280 02	0.22348D 08
	X(2)	0.34458D 04	0.10661D 06	0.344550 02	0.85058D 04
	X(3)	0.52412D 03	0.52395D 06	-0.143470 03	0.46802D 07
	X(4)	-0.78346D 02	0.643936D 04	-0.312220 02	0.29084D 04
	X(5)	0.30632D 04	0.34064D 07	-0.367540 03	0.62382D 07
	X(6)	-0.43045D 02	0.71282D 05	-0.232210 01	0.39080D 04

í.

1

.

TI	٩E	MEAN OF TPACK	VAR OF TRACK	MEAN OF ERROR	VAR CF ERROR
80	X(1)	0.225910 C6	0.827150 07	0.28912D-01	0.61467D 02
	X(2)	0.344140 C4	0.112710 06	0.40562D 02.	0.826020 04
	X(3)	0.489960 03	0.633200 06	0.29756D 00	0.540870 02
	X(4)	-0.866640 02	0.633430 04	-0.29738D 02	0.269230 04
	X(5)	0.307540 C4	0.346540 07	-0.31137D 03	0.634900 07
	X(6)	-0.775660 01	0.113330 05	0.111180 01	0.33950D 04
81	X(1)	0.229210 06	0.87198D 07	0.105570 02	0.813860 04
	X(2)	0.342920 C4	0.95646D 05	0.410650 02	0.802960 04
	X(3)	0.431010 C3	0.40237D 06	0.927540 00	0.291620 04
	X(4)	-0.988650 02	0.10200D 05	-0.281770 02	0.291270 04
	X(5)	0.311150 04	0.337050 07	-0.263000 03	0.644240 07
	X(6)	-0.951220 G1	0.17427D 05	0.223690 01	0.352610 04
82	X(1) X(2) X(3) X(4) X(5) X(5) X(6)	0.232040 C6 0.338560 C4 0.3741CD 03 -0.113660 03 0.326820 C4 -0.168430 C2	0.485970 07 0.560730 05 0.322690 06 0.135140 05 0.287080 07 0.251380 05	0.146710 02 0.376290 02 0.478950 01 -0.280520 02 -0.401900 02 0.772270 01	0.30051D 05 0.759020 04 0.109900 05 0.26934D 04 0.596670 07 0.288680 04
83	X(1)	0.234810 C6	0.176510 07	0.124140 02	0.630940 05
	X(2)	0.334250 C4	0.340230 05	0.352010 02	0.707930 04
	X(3)	0.302750 C3	0.216080 06	0.763250 01	0.251960 05
	X(4)	-0.123740 C3	0.201270 05	-0.279570 02	0.280620 04
	X(5)	0.34690D C4	0.253820 07	0.279380 03	0.537130 07
	X(6)	-0.907740 C1	0.137570 05	0.132440 02	0.272000 04
84	X(1)	0.23785C C6	0.944410 05	0.218920 02	0.100310 06
	X(2)	0.331240 04	0.176540 05	0.360850 02	0.644570 04
	X(3)	0.20925C 03	0.105700 06	0.102790 02	0.436540 05
	X(4)	-0.148C00 C3	0.331500 05	-0.282860 02	0.266710 04
	X(5)	0.353120 C4	0.218470 07	0.405330 03	0.495660 07
	X(6)	-0.198010 02	0.294270 05	0.163680 02	0.259420 04
85	X(1)	0.239610 C6	0.166260 06	0.307370 03	0-60902D 05
	X(2)	0.328050 C4	0.955470 03	0.922180 02	0-239820 04
	X(3)	0.741750 C2	0.518400 05	-0.975580 02	0-49468D 05
	X(4)	-0.168270 C3	0.673910 05	-0.522890 02	0-187150 04
	X(5)	0.23782U 04	0.112580 07	-0.116540 04	0-28286D 07
	X(6)	0.479920 00	0.260020 01	0.113220 02	0-137810 04

,

APPENDIX C

RESULTS OF ATIGS SIMULATION WITH POSITION RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING

APPENDIX C



Figure 21 - SQRT OF DOWN RANGE VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSITION RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING)



Figure 22 - SQRT OF CROSS RANGE VARIANCE (AFIGS WITH POSITION RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING)





Figure 23 - SQRT. OF DOWN RANGE VELOCITY VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSITION RESET. AND KALMAN FILTERING)





Figure 24 - SQRT. OF CROSS-RANGE VELOCITY VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSTION RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING)

APPENDIX D

RESULTS OF ATIGS SIMULATION WITH POSITION RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING AT X5 NOISE LEVEL



Figure 25 - SQRT• OF DOWN-RANGE VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSIT RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING AT X5 NOISE LEVEL)





Figure 26 - SQRT• OF CROSS-RANGE VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSIT RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING AT THE X5 NOISE LEVEL)



Figure 27 - SQRT• OF DOWN-RANGE VELOCITY VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSIT RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING AT THE X5 NOISE LEVEL)





Figure 28 - SQRT. OF CROSS-RANGE VELOCITY VARIANCE (ATIGS WITH POSIT RESET AND KALMAN FILTERING AT THE X5 NOISE LEVEL)

APPENDIX E

PARTIAL LISTING OF SYMBOLS AND NOMENCLATURE OF SIMULATION PROGRAM

- X(i,j) i-th missile state at time j
- THETA(i,j) i-th angular state variable at time j
- XI(i,j) estimated i-th state at time j
- THETA(i,j) estimated i-th angular state at time j
- XBAR(i,j) mean of i-th state at time j
- XBVAR(i,j) variance of i-th state at time j
- XMEAN(i,j) error mean of i-th estimated state
- XVAR(i, j) error variance of i-th estimated state
- A(j) thrust acceleration at time j
- AWXY
- AWYY
- AWYZ
- AWZZ
- AWXXvelocity changes due to angular rotationDELVXchanges in wind componentsDELVY
 - 82



BETA1 BETA2 BETA3	body referenced accelerations
YIX YIY YIZ	inertial referenced accelerations
XPOS YPOS	micrad sensed positions
G 1 G2	Kalman gains for filter
OMEGAX OMEGAY	extra states for Kalman filter
XINT	dummy variable for output
PSI	change in drift angle
XFIN(i)	final value of i-th state per track
NTERM	maximum time steps allowed
VXO	velocity of wind down-range
VYO	velocity of wind cross-range
IX	seed number for random number generators
DTHTAX	change in thetax
dthtxm	measured change in thetax
ZNI (j)	total tracks through time j

XIFIN(i) final value of estimated i-th state per track XBFIN(i) mean of XFIN(i) XIBFN(i) mean of XIFIN(i) XBFV(i) variance of XFIN(i) N number of gyros simulated NNA number of accelerometers simulated size of ensemble IENSB SIGEO std.deviation of gyro bias std.deviation of gyro random walk SIGW SIGK std.deviation of gyro scale factor SIGEG std.deviation of accelerometer bias SIGKG std.deviation of accelerometer scale factor SIGT std.deviation of initial condition on

theta

84

APPENDIX F

SIMULATION PROGRAM



///F	EXE ORT	C F .SY	OF SI	R T I N	C	LG DD	P	, R	E	GI	0	N =	= 1	8	0	K																						
CCC		X (1	,3	J)]	сH	E		AC	т	U	A	Ĺ	Ρ	03	53	T	I	0	N	A	L) N	G	I	H	E	D	01	N N	RA	N C	ΞE	
CCC		X (2	, J	F)							E	5 _ i	ί	R	S	<u>P</u>]	ĒĒ	D]		1	Ţ	H	Ε,	D	01	N N	Ŗ.	A N	G	E	D	Ţ	RE	СТ	I	DN	
CC		х (3	1	Į)					(E S S	5 F	Â	Ņ	G I	S E	N P	05	53	ET.	I	0 U	N		T.I	JA	ىد	V	Ľ	ΓC	JC	ι <u>Τ</u> .	Γ. Χ				
CCC		XI (k,	,J)						E				R					Lr Z		Ô	M		JT	EI)	S	r A	T	ES	5	A	LO	NG	1	CHF	r 4
CC		XBA	R						-	ΓH	E		 1 A	T	R		6/ X	0	F	1)) 1 E	A	R . N	A f V	IG I A	LU	JE	S.	12	F	<u>]</u>	Η	E	М	ON	Ţ	<u>-</u>	
CCC		XME	AN	I						CA TH	R E	L C I N C	1 A	T	R	I)			11 ਯ		10	N	R T	AC EC		RI	20	r	GE	N N	E	R A	T	ED	T. R	11	(
č									1	11	A	14.5	2	U	r		ĽΠ	L	1	. 1	-	11.	A	T.L		A												
		DIM	EN	IS IS	I(N C]	NA	() म	3) F A	"	르(구	00	; {	3)	, W	G ت	() דיז	3)	4	P	S	I	$(\frac{1}{5})$	50))	2	GA	M	(3	3)	1	ZN	I (15	50)	,
		DIM	ËN T #	IS	Ī	ON	; 7		1	50	<i>i</i>)'	, j	ζF	I	N	`{		, 11	X		ÌI	N	ر اک	6)	יי לי	YI	- (1		3	,]		N	Γ,	15 M 3	0)	, , ,	Ϋ́Ρ
		REA	L×	88		ХB	ÂÌ	R (6	1	5	ŝ)	5	X	B	V V		(6		15	50	Ĭ	, 2	(6	, 1	5	5)	,	xj	Č (6	,1	55)		
C		DIM	Ē	15	I	ÔN	1	ER	R	(6)	5,	,	1	Δ	* 1	H L	. (0,	,	5	0)															
CC		THI	S	S	E	CT	I(H) N P] N 1	R E м т	A	DS F1	202	I	N F	5	C E 2 V	IE	1		A R	IN			5 v	N I FI	EC	E	S S F F	A	RY	(г. ы	SI	PE	CI	F		T
č		11	NN T T	IA	11	Ť	H I	Ĕ	NU	J M F	B	Ē	R R r F	0 0 11 5	F	1	Â	ÇĊ	E		ĘŔ	0.7	M	EJ	Ē	R	ਤ 5 1 ਸ਼ਾ	Ē	ĒR		SI	ĒH	U	LA	ŤĪ	01	4	
č		RFA	יד	, 5	5	20 20	01	- N	1	ม เกิ	12	-		- N	5	R	51		<u> </u>	4 1	-	-1	14	51			<u>ب</u> د ب											
C		11 11 11	SI	G	É	011	-	IS	7 -	ГН	E	1	5ì	V	I	Ă: A 1		0	N	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \right)$) F) F	• : •	T T	H I H I	2	GI R	Y R A N	0 D	E	BI	AS WI	5 1 T	ĸ	C	ON	IST		ITT
č			51	. o	K I	11	1	FO	R	Т Тн	HE	ΞÎ	С Э Я С	Y	RT	O.S	5 7 T	. o	N	0)F		ት : ጥ :	нт	7	S	7 4	L	ন্	ੈ ਜ	AC	Т.Т.	0	e C	16	Y	ະຕາ	• •
č		11	SI	G	E	GII GII		ĪS	-	ÎΗ	E	Î		V	Ī	A ! A !	ΓĪ	ŏ	N	0)F		Ī.	HI		AC		Ē	Ē.	ŝ	B	ĪĀ	S	F	AC	ር ም (าส,	
C C		11	SI	Ğ	T	ĭ	:	ĪŠ		ГH	E	j T	ĎĒ	ÉV	Ī	A	ΓÎ	ŏ	N	Č	F	•	Ť	ΗĪ	Ē	I	NI	T	ĨA	Ľ	(ĊŌ	N	DĨ	ΤĬ	Ō	N	
č		REA	D	(5		31	01	S	I	GE	:0	, .	51	G	W		51	G	K		SI	G	Ξ	G,	, S	I	GK	G	, 5	;I	GI	C						
CC		11	SI	G	́М.	IC	H .	I	S	T	H	E	Ē)E	A	I	AI	I.	01	Ń	0	F		Τł	ΗE		20	S	I1	I	01	1	1	EA	SU	RI	EME	EN
С		REA	.D ((5	2	31	0)	S	I	GM	II	C																										
		WRI WRI	TE	E {	6	,4	2($\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \right\}$	N S	, N I G	I N E	$\frac{A}{O}$		E SI	N G	S: W	3 , S	3I	GE	ζ,	S	I	G	ΕC	3,	SI	ΕG	K	G,	S	I	ΞT						
		WRI CAL	TEL	5 (0	6 V	,5 FL	01) W	S	IG	M	I	2																									
		IND IND	X1 X2	2=	1																																	
		I ND N D E	BC	} = 5 =	1																																	
		YP= NTE	O. RM	. 0 1 =	1	00																																
		IA = IB =	3																																			
		I X = V X O	: 1]) = 3	30	1	1																																
		DO)=: 02	20	J	0 =1	,	ЙΤ	EI	RM	1																											
		DO XME		 (K: K	= 1 , J) :)	5 =0		0																												
		XVA XEA	R	(K (K	1	J) J)	= 1	0.	0	~																												
	01	CON	AP IT]		Ŭ	É)	=0	• (0																												
C	02	CON			U m	ے ہ	-	47		ក្ខាដ		11	29	n	h	C	~ 5	T 5	गन	2 7	5	T	0	N	P	R	าส	т	T. 1	2								
č) =	= 2	1	0	±.	112		11	I IX	0.		-	п						- J.	-		74	-	111	- 1	-										
		** (1	1	4		~																																

C
03 04 05	A $(2) = 6 \cdot 0$ A $(3) = 10 \cdot 0$ A $(4) = 14 \cdot 0$ A $(5) = 14 \cdot 0$ A $(6) = 10 \cdot 0$ A $(7) = 6 \cdot 0$ A $(7) = 6 \cdot 0$ A $(8) = 2 \cdot 0$ A $(9) = -1 \cdot 0$ DO 03 I = 10, 150 A $(1) = 0 \cdot 0$ DO 04 J = 1, 6 XBFIN (J) = 0 \cdot 0 XIBFN (J) = 0 \cdot 0 XIBFV (J) = 0 \cdot 0 A (I) = A (I) * 32 \cdot 2
	START THE MONTECARLO SIMULATION
	DO 200 NI=1, IENSB TIMEX=1.0 OMEGAY=0.0 OMEGAX=0.0
	THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION IS TO COMPUTE THE INITIAL CONDITION FOR THE ACTUAL TRACK OF THE MISSILE.
	CALL RANDU (IX, IY, XFL)
10	$\hat{\mathbf{X}}(1,1) = \mathbf{XFL} + 2240.0 - 1120.0$ CALL RANDU (IX, IY, YFL)
	IX=IY IF((XFL**2+YFL**2).GT.1.0)GO TO 10
	X (2,1) = 700.0 X (3,1) = YFL * 2240.0 - 1120.0
	GENERATE THE INITIAL CONDITIONS ON THETA
	CALL SNORM (IX, T, N) DO 11 J=1.N
11	THETAI $(J, 1) = 0.0$ THETA $(J, 1) = T(J) * SIGT$
	THE INITIAL SETTING OF THE INERTIAL NAVIGATOR IS
	ACTUAL VELOCITY AND ALTITUDE
	XI(1,1) = 0.0 XI(2,1) = 670.0
	XI (3, 1) = 0.0 XI (4, 1) = 30.0
	XI(5, 1) = 35000.0 XI(6, 1) = 0.0
	$\begin{array}{c} DTHTAX=0.0\\ DTHTAX=0.0\\ DTHTA=0.0\\ \end{array}$
	THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION IS TO PRODUCE THE ACTUAL
	TRACK OF THE SIMULATED MISSILE FOR COMPARISON WITH OTHER ESTIMATES OF POSITION
	WIND EFFECTS ARE COMPUTED FIRST
	C=0.0
	THE VARIOUS RANDOM INPUTS FOR MEASUREMENT DEVICES AFE GENERATED

CCCC

0000

00000



18	GENERATE GYRO BIAS "EO" CALL SNORM (IX,EO,N) DO 18 KN=1,N EC (KN) = SIGEO*EO (KN)
19	GENERATE THE RANDOM SCALE FACTOR "ZK" CALL SNORM (IX,ZK,N) DO 19 KN=1,N ZK (KN) = SIGK*ZK (KN)
	GENERATE RANDOM INPUTS TO ACCELEROMETER PACKAGE
20	GENERATE ACCELEROMETER BIAS "EOG" CALL SNORM (IX, EOG, NNA) DO 20 KN=1, NNA EOG (KN) =SIGEG*EOG (KN)
30	GENERATE ACCELEROMETER SCALE FACTOR "KG" CALL SNORM (IX, WG, NNA) DO 30 KN=1, NNA WG (KN) = SIGKG*WG (KN) DO 100 J=1, NTERM ZNI (J) = ZNI (J) + 1.0 JF1=J+1 CALL RANDU (IX, IY, VY) IX=IY CALL RANDU (IX, IY, VX) VY=30+ (VY*16.67-8.33) VX=30+ (VX*16.67-8.33) IX=IY AWXX=X (6, J) *DTHTAX AWXY=-X (4, J) *DTHTAY AWYY=X (2, J) *DTHTAY AWYZ=X (6, J) *DTHTAZ AWZZ=-X (4, J) *DTHTAZ AWZZ=-X (4, J) *DTHTAZ AWZX=-X (2, J) *DTHTAX AY=A (J) *THETA (2, J) THETA (1, JP1) = THETA (2, J) +DTHTAY THETA (2, JP1) = THETA (2, J) +DTHTAZ
	$\begin{array}{l} x (1, JP1) = x (1, J) + x (2, J) - vX + .5 * (A (J) + AWXX + AWXY) \\ x (2, JP1) = x (2, J) + A (J) + AWXX + AWXY \\ x (3, JP1) = x (3, J) + x (4, J) + .5 * (AY + AWYZ + AWYY) + vY \\ x (4, JP1) = x (4, J) + AY + AWYZ + AWYY \\ x (5, JP1) = x (5, J) + x (6, J) + .5 * (AWZX + AWZZ) \\ x (6, JP1) = x (6, J) + AWZX + AWZZ \end{array}$
	GAM IS THE NOISE INPUT FOR EACH ACCELEROMETER
	$ \begin{array}{l} GAM (1) = EOG (1) + WG (1) * A (J) \\ GAM (2) = EOG (2) + WG (2) * C \\ GAM (3) = EOG (3) \end{array} $
	GENERATE THE BIAS TERM DUE TO RANDOM WALK
50	CALL SNORM (IX,G,N) DO 50 JI=1,N G (JI) = SIG W *G (JI)
	PSI IS THE CHANGE IN THE ANGLE BETWEEN THE COMPUTED COORDINATE PLANE AND THE ACTUAL COORDINATE PLANE
51	DO 51 JI=1,N PSI(JI) =EO(JI) +G(JI) DELVX=VXO-VX DELVY=VYO-VY
	COMPUTE INERTIAL POSITION
	DTHTXM=DTHTAX+PSI (1) + DTHTAX *ZK (1) DTHTYM=DTHTAY+PSI (2) + DTHTAY *ZK (2)

С

CCCC

0000

C C

000 0000

CCC

CCC

DTHTZM=DTHTAZ+PSI(3)+DTHTAZ*ZK(3)
THE GAINS G1 AND G2 ARE THE KALMAN GAINS GENERATED AS A FUNCTION OF TIME
G1=1.0-2.0/(TIMEX+1.0) G2=1.0/(TIMEX+1.0)
THE COMMANDED HEADING CHANGE IS SUBTRACTED FROM THE OESERVED HEADING CHANGE
DLYJ = DTHTYM - DTHTAY DLXJ = DTHTXM - DTHTAX
THE FILTER UPDATE EQUATIONS FOLLOW
THETAI $(1, J) =$ THETAI $(1, J) + G1* (DLXJ - OMEGAX)$ CMEGAX=OMEGAX+G2* (DLXJ-OMEGAX) THETAI $(2, J) =$ THETAI $(2, J) + G1* (DLYJ - OMEGAY)$ OMEGAY=OMEGAY+G2* (DLYJ-OMEGAY)
THE FILTERED UPDATES ARE USED TO PREDICT THE NEXT STATE IN THE NAVIGATOR
THETAI (1, JP1) = THETAI (1, J) + DTHTA X+OMEGAX THETAI (2, JP1) = THETAI (2, J) + DTHTAY+OMEGAY THETAI (3, JP1) = THETAI (3, J) + DTHTZM TIMEX=TIMEX+1.0
SENSED ACCELEROMETER INPUTS IN THE BODY AXIS FRAME
IN THE X (BODY FRAME) DIRECTION
EETA1=A (J) - DELVX + DELVY * THETA (2, J) + GAM (1)
IN THE Y(BODY FRAME) DIRECTION
BETA2=DELVX*THETA (2, J) + DELVY+GAM (2)
IN THE VERTICAL (BODY FRAME) DIRECTION
BETA3=0.0
THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION IS TO GENERATE THE INERTIAL ESTIMATES OF POSITION BASED ON A PURE INERTIAL COMPUTATION
AWXXI IS THE ACCELERATIONS DUE TO HEADING CHANGE AFFECTING THE X DIRECTION FROM THE ANGLE CHANGE THETA X. SIMILARLY AWXYI IS THE ACCELERATIONS AFFECTING THE X DIRECTION DUE TO THETAY AWXXI=XI(6,J)*DTHTAX AWXYI=-XI(4,J)*DTHTAY AWYYI=XI(2,J)*DTHTAY AWYYI=XI(2,J)*DTHTZM AWZZI=-XI(4,J)*DTHTZM AWZXI=-XI(2,J)*DTHTAX DTHTXM=0.0 DTHTXM=0.0 DTHTAX=0.0 DTHTAZ=0.0
SENSED ACCELERATIONS IN THE BODY FRAME ARE CONVERTED TO THE INERTIAL FRAME.
YIX=BETA1-BETA2*THETAI(2,J) YIY=BETA1*THETAI(2,J)+BETA2 IF(J.EQ.15)GO TO 48 IF (J.NE.80)GO TO 49

CCCC



	GENERAT	ſΕ	тH	E	RA	NE	01	1 3	ER	R	OR]	I N	I	Η	E	P	03	5I	ΓI	01	1	MI	EAS	UR	ΕM	ENT
48 49	CALL SN XFOS=XE CALL SN YPOS=YE XI(1,J) XI(3,J) CONTINU XI(6,J)	10F 02 10F 202 = X 1E = X	(M) (**S (P) (P) (P) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	IX IG IG S+ S+	MI MI X X X	PC PC C 1, 3,	S J J	, 1) , 1))																		
	CCMPUTH AND VEI	E I LOC	CHE CIT	Y Y	ΝΞ	RI	!I!	ΑL	Ε	S	ΤI	M	ΑT	ES	5	01	F	PC	S	ΙT	IC	N					
	XI(1,JE XI(2,JE XI(3,JE XI(4,JE XI(5,JE XI(6,JE VXO=VX	21) 21) 21) 21) 21) 21)	= X = X = X = X = X		123456	J) JJ J) J) J) J) J)	+ } + } + } + } + } + }		(2+4+6ZZ	ÁÁÍ	J) WX J) WY J) + A	+ . X : Y : W 2	5+5+5X	* A* A* E	(Y /X (Y /Y (A	IVIZU	X+ I Y I Z Z Z	AV AV	Y Y A	XI YI XZ	+ 1 + 1 X 1	AW: AW: E)	X Y Y 2	XI) ZI)			
88	IF (XI) IF (XI) IF (DABS REM=240 IF (REM. CONTRL= DTHTAY= CONTINU	(1) (5) () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	JP JP (1 ()0.	1) (1) (3) (.GLP() JP()/R-	EE1) 1GENT	4(5() J) HE1	00 00 10 10	00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1) 1 8 2) D D 50 , J	ТН Н •)	HT G G	A) X= 0	X = - Τ		45 HE 8	38	}_ \ (ΤΗ 1,	EIJI	EA 21	('	1,0	I P 1)	
	THIS SE	ECI	.IO	N	GΕ	NE	ER I	AT.	ES		ΤH	E	R	ΞÇ	្រប	I	RΕ	D	S	ΓA	TI	IS	T :	ICS	5		
89 90 91 100 101	DC 89 F ERR(K) (F XMEAR(K) (F XEARAR(K) XEARAR(K) CONTINU GO 91 J XIF(TO 1) XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU XIFFIN(CONTINU CONTINI CO	1 <th>(K) = x = (1 + 1) + (1 +</th> <th>J) XMA XBAB XBA XA VA J135 6,113 (35</th> <th>-XA EA(XA) (VA.) (VA.) (JJJ (JJJ (JJJ) (1)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>J J + J +) +</th> <th>) + (+) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</th> <th>EKX1R ·</th> <th>R R , J (K (K)</th> <th>(H) () () ()</th> <th>() () **</th> <th>** 2 TC</th> <th>* 2 8 0</th> <th>9</th> <th>C</th> <th></th>	(K) = x = (1 + 1) + (1 +	J) XMA XBAB XBA XA VA J135 6,113 (35	-XA EA(XA) (VA.) (VA.) (JJJ (JJJ (JJJ) (1)			J J + J +) +) + (+) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EKX1R ·	R R , J (K (K)	(H) () () ()	() () **	** 2 TC	* 2 8 0	9	C										
	THIS SE	s)= EC1	LY:	. (⊃ N	c0	ME	י) סיפ	rΞ	s	т	ΗE	: I	FI	N I	AL	1	V A	LI	JE	S	TI	ΑT	IS	STI	cs		
1 10 1 20 1 21 2 00	DO 120 XEFIN (XIBFN (XIBFN (XERR1=) XERR3=Y XERR3=Y IF (XER XBFV (1) IF (XER XBFV (3) CONTINU		= 1. = XII = XIII = XIIII	6 FBBBF) 3 V	NNN 00 100	J) 135111 +1) +1+		KFI KI KI KI KI KI KI KI KI KI KI KI KI KI	IFFI))0*0*	* * NNN	J) (1 (3 (5 12 20 2	21															

CCC

CCC

LIST OF REFERENCES

- Levsen, L.D. and Nuffer, H.D., "Advanced TactCal Inertial Guidance System (ATIGS) Benchmark System Description", <u>Naval Weapons Center Technical Note 404-150</u>, v. 1, p. 1,50, January, 1950.
- Nazaroff,G.J., "Inflight Updating of Strapdown Inertial Midcourse Guidance Systems", <u>Naval Weapons Center</u> <u>Technical Note (conf)</u> <u>1.0+144</u>, v. 1, p. 1,22, September,1972.
- Ball, W.F., "The Application of Ring Laser Gyro Technology to Low-Cost Inertial Navigation", <u>AGARD-CCP-176</u>, v. 1, p. 15-1, 15-7, December, 1976.
- Britting, KR., <u>Inertial Navigation Systems Analysis</u>, p. 50,57, wiley- Interscience, 1967.
- 5. Gelb, A. and others, <u>Applied Optimal estimation</u>, p. 122,155, M.I.T. press, 1974.
- Kortum, W., "Design and Analysis of Low-Order Filters Applied to the Alignment of Inertial Platforms", <u>AGARD-1s-32</u>, v. 1, p. 7-18, 7-23, March 1976.
- Russell, W.T., "Inertial Guidance for Ballistic Vehicles", <u>Space Technology Reprint</u>, v. 1, p. 24-01,24-35, 1959.
- Wauer, J.C., "Practical Considerations in Implementing Kalman Filters", <u>AGARD-1s-32</u>, v. 1, p. 2-1,2-11, March 1976.
- 9. Kirk, D. E., Optimal Estimation: An Introduction to the

<u>Theory and Applications</u>, unpublished notes, Naval Postgraduate School, 1975, pp. 1-1, 4-80

10. Aerospace division, Honeywell, Inc., Flight program Requirements Document, Attachment no.004, by Avery A. Morgan, 4-1,4-67

11. Mitschang, G.W.<u>An Application of Non-Linear</u> <u>filtering Theory to Passive Target Location and</u> <u>Tracking</u>, Ph.d., Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, 1974, pp.60-75

12. Hutchinson, C.E. and D'Appolito, J.A., "Design of Low Order Kalman Filters for Hybrid Navigation Systems", <u>AGARD-cp-54</u>, v. 1, p. 21-1, 21-4, 1970.



INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1.	Defense Documentation Center	2
	Cameron Station	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22314	
2.	Library, Code 0212	2
	Naval Postgraduate School	
	Monterey, California 93940	
3.	Department Chairman, Code 67Be	2
	Department of Aeronautics	
	Naval Postgraduate School	
	Monterey, California 93940	
4.	Professor H. A. Titus, Code 62Ts	10
	Department of Electrical Engineering	
	Naval Postgraduate School	
	Monterey, California 93940	
5.	LT John A. Van Devender, USN	1
	c/o Mrs. W. S. Van Devender	
	Route 1	
	Hattiesburg, Mississippi 39401	
6.	Mr. Bill Ball	4
	Naval Weapons Center	
	China Lake, California 93356	





