1	
2	
3	
4	SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE THE
5	JANUARY 6TH ATTACK ON THE U.S. CAPITOL,
6	U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
7	WASHINGTON, D.C.
8	
9	
10	
11	DEPOSITION OF: STEPHEN MILLER
12	
13	
14	
15	Thursday, April 14, 2022
16	
17	Washington, D.C.
18	
19	
20	The deposition in the above matter was held via Webex, commencing at 10:02
21	a.m.
22	Present: Representatives Luria, Aguilar, Schiff, Lofgren, and Murphy.

1	
2	Appearances:
3	
4	
5	For the SELECT COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE
6	THE JANUARY 6TH ATTACK ON THE U.S. CAPITOL:
7	
8	STAFF ASSOCIATE
9	, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
10	, SENIOR INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
11	CHIEF INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
12	, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
13	, CHIEF CLERK
14	, PARLIAMENTARIAN
15	, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
16	, INVESTIGATIVE COUNSEL
17	, PROFESSIONAL STAFF MEMBER
18	, OF COUNSEL TO THE VICE CHAIR
19	-
20	For THE WITNESS:
21	
22	JOHN ROWLEY
23	BOB DRISCOLL
24	ALFRED CARRY

1	
2	Mr We will go on the record. It's 10:03 a.m. on April 14, 2022, and
3	this is the deposition of Mr. Stephen Miller conducted by the House Select Committee to
4	Investigate the January 6th Attack on the United States Capitol pursuant to House
5	resolution 503.
6	At this time, I'd ask the witness, Mr. Miller, to please state your full name and spell
7	your last name for the record.
8	The Witness. Stephen Miller, M-i-l-l-e-r.
9	Mr And this will be a staff-led interview. My name is
10	I'm a senior investigative counsel for the select committee. With me in the room is, as I
11	introduced earlier before we went on the record,
12	for the committee, and excuse me, professional staff member for
13	the committee.
14	We do have other staff who have joined us on the platform, the Webex platform,
15	including other investigative counsel, as well as our chief clerk and others.
16	As you can see on that platform, too, we do have the official reporters, one of
17	whom will be taking the official record of this deposition at a time and it may change, but
18	there's only one taking the official record at a time.
19	Members, of course, are permitted to join the deposition, and if they do so, I will
20	let you know and announce their presence. If they have any questions, I'll turn over the
21	questioning to them.
22	I understand that you have counsel with you today, and if you could have counsel
23	introduce themselves and spell your last names as well.
24	Mr. Rowley. Good morning. John Rowley, R-o-w-l-e-y, counsel for Mr. Miller.

Mr. <u>Driscoll.</u> Bob Driscoll, D-r-i-s-c-o-l-l, also counsel for Mr. Miller. And just for

1 the record, we're at the offices of McGlinchey Stafford in Washington. 2 And good morning. Alfred Carry on behalf of Mr. Miller. My last Mr. Carry. name, C-a-r-r-y. 3 Okay. And just to confirm, you are permitted to have your 4 Mr. 5 attorneys, but there's nobody else who's allowed to join. So I'd just ask if there's anybody else in the room with you, Mr. Miller, or with you, Mr. Rowley, to identify 6 themselves. 7 Mr. Rowley. We're all in the same location at the McGlinchey law firm. There's 8 9 no one else in the room beyond the people that have just been identified. 10 . Okay. And just to confirm, this isn't being transmitted beyond the people on this platform, correct, or recorded on your end? 11 Mr. Rowley. Correct. 12 13 Mr. Very good. Then just as a few ground rules I'd like to go over before we get started, as I mentioned, there's an official reporter who's transcribing the 14 15 record of this deposition, and you and your attorney will have an opportunity to review the transcript and suggest any corrections before it's finalized. But because it is being 16 taken down by an official recorder, they can only take down verbal responses, so please 17 respond in full verbal responses. They can't take things like shaking your head or 18 19 making any kind of gestures. 20 Mr. Rowley. Mr. Mr. one clarification. You asked about recording on our 21 end, and I answered affirmative. We are recording this as you are. I want to make sure the record is clear about that and that there's no misunderstanding. 22 23 Mr. . Okay. Mr. Rowley, that's actually not permitted under the deposition rules for the House. I'm happy to go off the record and discuss that with you 24 if you'd like. That is exactly why we ask the question. 25

<u>j</u>	wir. <u>Rowley.</u> Well, let's have a quick discussion off the record then.		
2	Mr Okay. Let's go off the record.		
3	[Discussion off the record.]		
4	Mr. So let's go back on the record. It's 10:13 on April 14th. We're		
5	resuming the deposition of Mr. Stephen Miller. We just had an off-the-record		
6	conversation. I do want to confirm with you, Mr. Rowley, that you or no one else will be		
7	recording this deposition from your side?		
8	Mr. Rowley. That's correct. We had a discussion about the rules that		
9	accompanied the service of the subpoena. You provided me with your interpretation of		
10	the rules. I told you that I disagree. I do not believe that the rules as provided to us		
11	prohibit recording a conversation or the deposition on this end.		
12	Nevertheless, I indicated to you that Mr. Miller intends to be fully cooperative		
13	today, and because that is your interpretation, we will agree not to record the deposition.		
14	We would, however, at some point in time, very much like to see the transcript and, if		
15	necessary, your recording of the deposition.		
16	Mr And we will make the transcript available for your and Mr. Miller's		
17	review after, and enable you to make any suggested corrections as appropriate. And		
18	we'll be in touch with you after the deposition is concluded about that, Mr. Rowley. So I		
19	appreciate your objection is noted, and I appreciate you confirming that this will not be		
20	recorded on your end.		
21	At this time, I would ask the reporter to administer the oath to Mr. Miller.		
22	The Reporter. Do you solemnly declare and affirm under the penalty of perjury		
23	that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing		
24	but the truth?		

The Witness. Yes.

1	Mr. Okay. So Mr. Miller, as you know, you're under oath, meaning
2	that any knowing false statement you make during this deposition can constitute perjury
3	as well as violation of 18 United States Code section 1001 or other provisions for
4	providing false statements to Congress. Do you understand that?
5	The Witness. Yes.
6	Mr. So part of that is to make sure that you understand the questions
7	that I ask. I'd much rather you answer a question that you understand rather than
8	answer a question that's not clear to you. So if at any point you need clarification,
9	please ask me and I'd be happy to clarify the question for you.
10	Similarly, if you don't know the answer to a question, you can certainly say that
11	you don't know, or that you don't recall, and we'd prefer you say that than trying to think
12	of something other than that. But you are under oath, so if you do recall something, it's
13	important that you tell us that.
14	You may only refuse to answer a question to preserve a privilege that's recognized
15	by the select committee. If you refuse to answer a question based on a privilege, the
16	staff may either proceed with the deposition or seek a ruling from the chair on the
17	objection. And if the chair overrules an objection, you'd be required to answer that
18	question. Do you understand everything we've just gone over, Mr. Miller?
19	The Witness. Well, I mean to understand those instructions, but you may
20	proceed. Thank you.
21	Mr. Well, I need to make sure
22	The Witness. I'm not an attorney, so I'm not really in a position to tell you what I
23	do or don't understand about executive privilege.
24	Mr. Sure. And I'm not going to get into the legal contours of that.
25	I'm just asking if you understand those instructions.

1	The Witness. I understand the broad strokes of what you're saying. I'm not a
2	subject matter expert. Per your earlier statement, you would not want me to answer a
3	question decisively unless I can be decisive, so that's all I can say on that right now.
4	Mr Okay. And do you understand that if you do have an objection or
5	your attorney has an objection that and the chair overrules that, that you would be
6	required to answer?
7	The Witness. I don't believe it's my role to make objections, or to analyze House
8	rules or anything else. So, again, I really don't think these are questions that I'm in a
9	position to answer in a way that would be consistent with the instruction you just gave.
10	Mr Okay. Well, how about this, if you if there's something you
11	don't understand at any point, just let me know and so we can clarify, including any
12	instructions that we've gone over.
13	The <u>Witness.</u> Okay.
14	Mr. And if you do need to consult with counsel at any time on these
15	instructions, or any responses to questions, please let us know, that's no problem at all,
16	and we can give you the time necessary to consult with Mr. Rowley, Mr. Driscoll. Just
17	based on the nature of the platform we're proceeding on, I would suggest that you turn
18	off the microphone and camera to do so to make sure that we nor anybody else would be
19	able to hear you.
20	Are there any questions from your side, either you, Mr. Miller, or you, Mr. Rowley
21	before we begin?
22	The Witness. Not at this time, no.
23	Mr. Rowley. No questions, but as I indicated, I do have a statement before
24	we proceed.
25	Mr. Sounds great, and I think this would be a perfect time for you to

1	offer that statement, Mr. Rowley, so please go ahead.		
2	Mr. Rowley. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Thank you, counsel. For		
3	the record, we preserve our objection to the subpoena in this matter with respect to both		
4	documents and testimony. We believe the committee lacks the jurisdiction to issue the		
5	subpoena or demand this deposition, because this organization and membership deviates		
6	in material ways from House resolution 503, which created the committee.		
7	Similarly, we preserve our objections to the subpoena and this proceeding on the		
8	grounds of executive privilege, and note that the committee has refused to articulate		
9	detailed areas of questioning for this deposition. That has prevented us from having		
10	clear and meaningful discussions with White House counsel and counsel for		
11	President Trump regarding the important privilege issues that are implicated in this		
12	matter.		
13	Notwithstanding our objections, however, we preserve for the record, Mr. Miller		
14	has agreed to appear today as an accommodation to the committee, and he is ready to		
15	proceed.		
16	Mr Very good. Thank you, Mr. Rowley. Your objection is noted.		
17	BY MR. :		
18	Q So at this time, I'm going to ask so we can pull up exhibit No. 1 to make		
19	sure you're able to see it, Mr. Miller.		
20	And I note for the record before we go into this, that Mr. Schiff and Mrs. Luria,		
21	both members of the select committee, have joined us. Good morning to you both.		
22	So, Mr. Miller, can you see what we've pulled up as exhibit No. 1?		
23	A I can.		
24	Q Okay. And are you able to read that? We can also zoom in at any point if		

you need that.

1	A Yes, I can	
2	Q Okay. S	o this is a subpoena issued to you, Mr. Miller. And your counsel,
3	Mr. Rowley, just ment	ioned appearing here pursuant to subpoena noting some
4	objections to it as well	. But do you understand that you're here today after receiving
5	this subpoena?	
6	A l associat	e myself with the comments of Mr. Rowley.
7	Q Okay. P	art of this subpoena requires you to produce documents to the
8	select committee, incl	uding any electronically stored information. Did you understand
9	that to be one of your responsibilities pursuant to this subpoena?	
10	A Can you p	please repeat the question?
11	Q Yes. Pa	rt of this subpoena required you to produce documents and
12	information, including electronically stored information. Do you understand that those	
13	were some of your responsibilities as a result of this subpoena?	
14	A Well, per	Mr. Rowley's statement, I would dispute the word
15	"responsibilities," but I understand that that is what the subpoena called for, yes.	
16	Q And did y	ou search for records that are responsive to this subpoena and the
17	schedule that was attached to the subpoena?	
18	A Yes, that	was done in consultation and coordination with my attorneys.
19	Q And with	out getting into any discussions that you may have had with your
20	attorneys we're not interested in that have you produced to the select committee a	
21	the responsive documents and communications that you're aware of and in your	
22	possession, custody, or control?	
23	A In consult	tation and in coordination with my attorneys, that is what was
24	done, yes.	
25	Q And are y	ou aware of withholding any documents from the committee that

1 are responsive to this subpoena? 2 Α I am not. Again, in consultation with attorneys, we complied with what 3 was sought. 4 Q Very good. Thank you, Mr. Miller. I want to go through some of the accounts that you used in the timeframe that's most relevant to us, and that is, just 5 6 before the November election, so the October timeframe up and through January 20th of 7 2021. Did you have a White House email account that you used? Α During what timeframe? 8 9 Q This is roughly October 2020 through January of 2021. 10 Α Through the end of January or to what date in January? 11 Q January 20th, we can use that, the end of the administration. Α Yes. 12 13 Q Okay. So you did have a White House email account that you used in that period? 14 I did. 15 Α And I'd note for you, Mr. Miller and Mr. Rowley, that Ms. Murphy, another 16 Q select committee member, has joined us here. Thank you for being here, Ms. Murphy. 17 What was the email address associated with your White House email account? 18 19 Α I believe it was Stephen.Miller@who.eop.gov. 20 Q Did you only have one White House email account for that time period? 21 Α I did. Did you ever, during your time working at the White House, have a different 22 Q email account? 23 I don't recollect having a different email account from White House 24 Α 25 authorities, no.

1	Q	Do you still have access to the White House email account that you used
2	during that time period, again, the November through roughly through January?	
3	Α	I don't know how I could. I believe that's disabled when you leave.
4	Q	Okay. And I understand you also had a personal email account that you
5	used. Son	ne of the records that you provided are from that email account. And
6	without putting the entire account on the record, it's a Gmail account. Is that right?	
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	We have email records from one Gmail account. It has the number 20 in it.
9	Did you use any other Gmail accounts during that during that period, so, again, talking	
10	roughly November 2020 through January 2021?	
11	А	That's a fairly broadly worded question. I mean, it would seem to
12	encompass, for example, if I, you know, ever used, like, a friend's email to order a	
13	hamburger or something. So would you care to reword the question, please?	
14	Q	Yeah. Did you have any other email accounts that you used during that
15	period, personal email accounts?	
16	А	I can't answer the question the way you phrased it, because, again, I mean,
17	like how could I say that when I was having dinner with a friend, I may have said, can you	
18	email some	one about picking us up a hamburger on your way? I mean, I just
19	couldn't t	the way that you frame the question is just overbroad. I'm sorry.
20	Q	Sure. No, that's fine, and I can narrow it down here. But did you have
21	any other e	mail accounts that you created and were used for your own personal email
22	during that	period, other than this Gmail account that includes the number 20 in it?
23	Α	My best understanding and memory is that during the time period you are
24	referencing	, that was the only personal email account under my operation and control, as
25	I recall.	

1	Q Very good. Thank you.	
2	A It's possible that the it's possible that there's something I'm not	
3	remembering, but I'm doing the best that I can.	
4	Q Thank you, Mr. Miller. The follow-up question to that is, did you have an	
5	email account with the Trump campaign during that period?	
6	A I didn't. I do want to go back though to your last question just because	
7	the you know, nothing is coming to mind here, but the but at some point, I did lose	
8	the password to my email account and had to create a new one that I didn't start using	
9	until later, but it might have been created earlier, so there could be a little bit of a wrinkle	
10	in the timeline there. But, again, just wanted to preserve that fact. You may continue.	
11	Q Okay. And I appreciate your precision there. So just so I heard it	
12	correctly, you did not have a Trump campaign email account during that period, correct?	
13	A I do not believe that I did. I certainly have no memory or record of it.	
14	Q Did you have a White House-issued cell phone in that period, again, roughly	
15	October 2020 through January 20, 2021?	
16	A I did.	
17	Q Do you remember what the last four digits of your phone number were?	
18	A It's not coming to me right now.	
19	Q Okay. And this phone that you had, did you use it for emails?	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q Did you have the text message capability turned on on that phone, either	
22	through an app or through its native texting abilities?	
23	A Well, I wouldn't know the precise means through which it was, but the	
24	phones came with the texting capacity that was that was enabled and that was	
25	preserved along with all other White House records. In other words, the White House	

1	preserves emails on your phone, emails from your laptop your laptop, that's all done as		
2	a matter of	a matter of	
3	Q	Did you turn that phone in at the end of the administration on January 20th?	
4	А	I did.	
5	Q	Did you transfer any data from that phone to any personal email accounts or	
6	personal devices that you have?		
7	А	I may have copied some personal mementos in terms of photographs and	
8	things of that nature.		
9	Q	And did you search that information, anything that you would've	
10	transferred, in responding to the select committee's subpoena?		
11	А	I did, although nothing could've possibly, to my knowledge, have applied in	
12	that case because like I'm talking about it, I mean, there are like, you know, pictures with		
13	a you know, friends on a trip or something like that. But, yes, everything		
14	was every	thing was done properly with my lawyers.	
15	Q	Okay. Appreciate that.	
16	And	I note for you, Mr. Rowley, and you, Mr. Miller, that John Wood, a senior	
17	investigative counsel, has joined us here in the room today.		
18	А	Okay.	
19	Q	Did you have a campaign-issued cell phone in that period, Mr. Miller?	
20	А	No.	
21	Q	Did you ever send or receive text messages from President Trump in that	
22	period between October 2020 and January 2021?		
23	А	No.	
24	Q	Do you know if he ever sent text messages to anybody?	
25	А	I honestly couldn't say. It's certainly not something I'm familiar with.	

1	Q	Did you use any messaging applications, like Signal, Telegram, WhatsApp,
2	Facebook Messenger, on any of the devices we've covered, your personal phone or	
3	excuse me, your work phone?	
4	Α	No, I did not.
5	Q	And I know that
6	Α	Not that
7	Q	you had a personal phone as well and you've produced some records, text
8	messages fi	rom that phone in the phone number Is that the only
9	personal phone you had, personal phone associated with	
10	Α	Are you talking about the hardware, or are you talking about the phone
11	number?	
12	Q	Well, let's talk about both. So, first, did you only have one personal cell
13	phone in the period between October 2020 and January 2021?	
14	Α	Yes, I had only one same answer to both. I had only the one phone
15	number and the one physical device.	
16	Q	Great. Thank you.
17	Did	you keep any handwritten or electronic notes during your time in the
18	White Hous	se, or assisting or volunteering with the campaign between October of 2020
19	and January	y 2021?
20	Α	Say that again. Sorry.
21	Q	Did you keep any handwritten or electronic notes during that period we've
22	been talking about?	
23	Mr.	Rowley. Counsel, is the question did he make handwritten notes during that
24	period of time?	
25		BY MR. :

1	Q Yes. Did you make any, did you take any handwritten notes or electronic
2	notes during that period?
3	A Well, I'm sure that I did, but whatever I whatever notations or otherwise
4	that I took, if it was on a computer in the White House, then the White House preserved
5	it automatically; if it was in the notes, then my staff turned it over to the archive at the
6	end of the administration, whatever was present at that point in time.
7	Q Did you take any of those handwritten notes or copies of those handwritten
8	notes with you after leaving the White House?
9	A I don't believe that I did, no. I had my staff go through my office and
10	everything that get the instructions from appropriate folks at the White House about
11	what the presidential records that required, and then they put into boxes whatever it
12	was, you know, a long effort, but they put into boxes whatever needed to be turned over.
13	Q All right. Let's stop there and see if you have any questions,
14	All right.
15	Then if you could, Mr. Miller, can you briefly summarize your professional
16	background leading up to your position in the Trump administration.
17	A Yes. I do want to add one addendum to the last question, which is just that
18	the and there's obviously keepsakes and mementos and things, nothing relevant in any
19	way to your inquiry, but one copies or photocopies or what have you, you know, like a
20	news article or something with a celebratory note or what have you that one preserves
21	for historical purposes, but, again, nothing of any relevance to today's inquiry.
22	Q Okay. And I appreciate that, Mr. Miller. And if there's at any point, you
23	know, as we go through today's deposition, you recall that you have a handwritten note
24	that would be relevant to our questions or help answer these questions or the topic of
25	A Yeah, I can assure you I don't, but noted. Thank you.

1	Q	Okay. But if you do, please let Mr. Rowley know and then we can work
2	with Mr. Ro	wley to get anything that would be responsive.
3	So it	you could, please summarize your professional background leading up to
4	your time in	n the Trump administration.
5	Α	I went to high school, graduated, went to college, received a bachelor's
6	degree, gra	duated, went to Capitol Hill, served for several Members of Congress and
7	committees	s, and then I joined the Trump campaign and joined the Trump transition, and
8	then joined	the Trump administration.
9	Q	Approximately when did you join the Trump campaign?
LO	А	I began helping out in 2015, and I became a full-time paid employee in
l1	January of 2	2016, I believe.
L2	Q	What was your specific role and responsibilities when you became a paid
L3	employee a	round January of 2016?
L4	Α	It varied and evolved over the course of the ensuing year, and then, of
15	course, into	the transition and into the administration, so I don't know how much time
16	you have th	is morning.
L7	Q	Yeah, just generally, tell us, you know, where you started at and where it
L8	evolved to.	
L9	Α	Well, I'll try to give you the short version. So, I was hired as senior policy
20	adviser. T	hat remained my title throughout the campaign. I worked on providing
21	advice and	counsel on matters related to public policy, as well as the communication
22	thereof, inc	luding the speeches, and also was responsible for hiring a policy staff and
23	building ou	t a policy team, and working on policy documents and rollouts and platforms

Q In that role in the campaign early on, so before Mr. Trump became

and the like.

24

1	President, d	id you work directly with Mr. Trump, then the candidate?
2	Α	I did.
3	Q	When you joined the administration, when Mr. Trump took office, what was
4	your job title	e?
5	А	My job title was senior adviser to the President for policy. That is what it
6	would tha	it is what I believe it would read on my commission.
7	Q	Did that ever change?
8	Α	No.
9	Q	What about your responsibilities, when you joined the White House, when
10	Mr. Trump t	cook office, what were your responsibilities and how did those evolve
11	throughout	the administration?
12	Α	Well, now you're talking now you're talking about, you know, 4 years, so,
13	again, I'll jus	st try to answer it briefly, and you can feel free to follow up if you'd like.
14	would say th	nat my responsibilities were to again, similar to the campaign, to provide
15	advice and o	counsel on matters of policy running the gamut, you know, it could be any
16	particular is	sue, and, you know, from healthcare to homeland security to education policy
17	and many o	thers, and then also to work on the communication of those policies, and then
18	also on the	speechwriting process as well too.
19	Q	Did you have any formal or informal role on Mr. Trump's 2020 presidential
20	campaign?	
21	А	I would I would have to be in the campaign to characterize that, because
22	I'm just not	sure I'm not sure how to split all those hairs when you're dealing with an
23	incumbent (President. I would just state that I was the senior adviser to the President,
24	and so, I wa	s working on the campaign certainly.

Q

1	but I don't	want to put any words in your mouth, Mr. Miller, that you were kind of the
2	head speed	hwriter leading the speechwriting team, is that a fair characterization, and
3	specifically	for the period of, again, October 2020 through January of 2021?
4	Α	The speechwriting office reported to me.
5	Q	Now, who was part of that speechwriting office for that period, October
6	through Jar	nuary?
7	Α	It would be a number of people. I don't want to offend anybody by trying
8	to recall all	their names off the top of my head.
9	Q	Well, I understand that Mr. Vince Haley, Mr. Ross Worthington, Mr. Robert
10	Gabriel and	dothers were a part of that office. Is that right?
11	Α	Well, a little imprecise there. The Robert Gabriel was the director of the
12	office of the	e senior adviser, and so his role and responsibilities were to support me in an
13	administrat	tive capacity with the administration of my whole office and not just the
14	speechwrit	ing function.
15	Q	Okay. He did have some role assisting the speechwriting process though in
16	some fashi	on?
17	Α	I'm not saying that he didn't, I was just offering that clarification for the
18	record.	
19	Q	What about the others I mentioned, Mr. Haley and Mr. Worthington, they
20	were part o	of the speechwriting team?
21	Α	Correct.
22	Q	So if you could, just generally, tell us the process for preparing presidential
23	remarks.	How would you first learn of the need to prepare a speech for the President?
24	Mr.	Rowley. , at this point, I'm going to interpose an objection. We've

advised you that we -- that Mr. Miller is not going to discuss any conversations that he

had with President Trump; that President Trump has not waived executive privilege; and
to the extent that your question asks Mr. Miller to get into those areas, we'll object and I
will instruct him not to respond.

Mr. _____. Okay. So the question I asked was just how he would learn that essentially how -- whether a speech was necessary, what that process was. So the fact that it could come from the President or the chief of staff or somebody else I don't believe would be an official communication necessarily, substance of an official communication. But you're objecting to just that mere process question as well?

Mr. Rowley. I don't have an objection to the high-level -- a high-level description of the process, but to the extent that we're going to get into anything more detailed than that, then, yes, my objection stands.

12 BY MR.

Q Okay. So let me ask it again, and if you have a continuing objection, you know, please feel free to interpose. But could you just tell us, Mr. Miller, generally what the process was like when you -- let me start over.

Tell us generally how the process for preparing presidential remarks would work, and specifically, how you would learn that you and your team would have to prepare a speech in the first instance?

A Well, I mean, it could happen a number of different ways. You could have just a -- an event that would be scheduled and so you would note there for that reason, and somebody would be assigned by Vince or Ross to produce a draft, assuming that they were not the ones that produced the initial draft.

Other times there might be a specific project head on a speech, you know, maybe somebody in an office or a policy counsel, and then they would say, Hey, we're going to need to get a draft together for this thing that we're working on and here's some relevant

background material.

You know, maybe other times, there would be things that you just knew were happening because they're big things, you know, like a speech to the U.N., for example. You don't need anybody to tell you that, you know, you probably should have a draft a speech to the U.N. You know that you're speaking to the U.N. So it would just depend, just depend.

Q Okay. And tell us generally how the drafting process would work with you and your team of speechwriters?

A Well, I mean, yes, pretty much is what I described. So it would be -- as I mentioned, I had a number of responsibilities in my job, not the least of which, of course, in 2020 was dealing with the border in light of the pandemic. And so, a lot of the micromanaging, if you will, would come from within the speechwriting office itself.

And so they -- again, Vince or Ross would probably assign somebody an initial draft, assuming they weren't taking the pen themselves, and then they would probably edit that draft, and depending on what it was, they might call me for some top-level guidance at the outset. It just sort of depends on what it would be.

And then I might review the draft once it was complete. Maybe I would review an intermediary draft just depending. Again, it also depends on what my availability is, how many other projects I'm working on, my level -- what I think I can bring to that particular product. It all would just depend.

Q In that period that we've been talking about, that October 2020 through January 2021 period, did you ever take the pen on first drafts of any speeches that you recall doing?

A I cannot -- as I sit here today, I can't say, Oh, I remember this one particular thing that I took the pen on. It's probable that at some point in time during that time

period that I took the first pen on something. But I cannot sit here today and say to you that, Oh, yes, this occurs to me, it's something that I took the pen on on the initial draft.

I mean, you know, again, at this period in time -- not necessarily exactly this period in time, but just broadly speaking this general time period, for example, there were periods were in, you know, in the summer and fall when I was on daily operations calls or multiple operations calls a week dealing with border security and, again, the challenges of maintaining absolute border control during the pandemic, and so, you know, there could be a time when I'd be very busy with that and maybe not engage as much on a particular speech draft. There would be other times when, for one reason or another, I might have more time. So, again, it would all just sort of depend.

I'm sure that there was something during this time period where I would have taken the initial pen. And just going back further, you know, further in history, you know, I mean there would be things --

Q No, Mr. Miller, I don't mean to cut you off but we don't mean to get back too far on this. I mean, we're focused really on this time period, so that's -- I appreciate that and that's helpful. But what about after -- you talked about a process where the team would maybe draft a speech, edit a speech, and offer it up to you for comments sometime top level or otherwise. After a speech goes through you, would there be other people who received and signed off on the speech before it went to the President? And I'm thinking of maybe Mr. Meadows, or a communications director, but please identify anybody who would be involved.

A No, it didn't really quite work like that. The -- I mean, by that point in time, it would pretty much just be -- it would -- the draft would be circulated by staff tech.

They would determine who the relevant, for lack of a better term, "equities" in that draft would be, "stakeholders" might be another term, as well as who would be just included

1	as a matte	r of course, like, for example, I think in most cases, White House Counsel's
2	Office. A	nd then people would be free to make edits or suggestions, or not, as they say
3	fit.	
4	Q	And generally speaking, how active was the President in the speechwriting
5	process?	
6	А	It's just it's highly variable, highly variable.
7	Q	What do you mean by highly variable?
8	А	Meaning that it just depends on so many mitigating factors. So, I mean,
9	he's the lea	ader of the free world. So how much time he does or doesn't have to engage
LO	or not eng	age really just depends on the day, to be honest with you.
L1	Q	Would there be times where you sat down and went over an entire speech
L2	with the Pr	resident?
L3	А	Certainly there would be.
L4	Q	And were there times where your team and you would prepare a speech
L5	that, I gues	ss to use your words, had very little time based on what was going on in the
L6	world to si	t down and make edits to a speech with you?
L7	А	Yes, that would also happen.
L8	Q	And what about fact-checking, what did the speechwriting office have an
L9	ordinary fa	ct-checking process before it would put any type of factual claims in speeches
20	that the Pr	esident would deliver?
21	А	Yeah, I believe that Vince and Ross used at least one, maybe a couple
22	staffers to	do research and fact-checking.
23	Q	Do you know who those staffers were who did research and fact-checking
24	for Mr Wa	orthington or Mr. Haley?

I think it was -- you know, it might have varied again from time to time, and

- depending on exactly where we were in the course of the administration, but I believe
- that some of this may have been -- some of this -- may be my memory was refreshed by
- 3 some of the documents that you sent over, but William Bock, I believe, and then also
- 4 Patrick MacDonnell.

14

- Q Do you remember if Mr. Haley or Mr. Worthington or you ever used outside fact-checkers, meaning non-White House employees?
- A I do not believe so. I mean, of course, there could be a situation wherein you're trying to ascertain something, and so you're going to a -- an expert or something.
- 9 But I would say that that's different materially than outside fact-checking. It's more
- outside -- it's like going to an expert to conduct research. And I don't -- I'm
- not -- nothing particularly strikes me, of course; I'm just supposing that's something that
- would've been done from time to time.
 - Q Okay. So I want to talk to you first, or next rather, about the pre-election period, so meaning before November 3, 2020. One component of the campaign and the President's messaging involved raising questions or doubts about mail-in voting. Do you
- remember that in the pre-election period?
- 17 A Well, I believe that's a matter of public record.
- 18 Q Right. So do you recall it though?
- 19 A I mean, yes, of course I recall the widespread concern that was expressed
- both then and now about the unverified nature of mail-in balloting.
- 21 Q And who in that pre-campaign -- or excuse me, pre-election period was
- driving this messaging? Was that something coming up from the White House side or
- the campaign, Mr. Trump's reelection campaign or both?
- A It was -- I think it was a fairly universal concern.
- 25 Q And do you remember discussions with --

1	А	Not just within the Trump administration or campaign but, I mean, within, I
2	think, the w	whole of the Republican Party.
3	Q	Do you remember having conversations in the White House or among
4	campaign p	ersonnel about mail-in voting in the pre-election period?
5	А	Well, there's sort of a paradox in your question, isn't there, because if it's
6	mail-in voti	ng then what you're describing is the pre-election period is actually the
7	election pe	riod.
8	Q	Understood. Okay. So then I'll just say in the pre-November 3, 2020
9	period, do y	you remember discussions in the White House or with campaign personnel
10	about mail-in voting?	
11	А	Yeah, of course, I do. In fact, I'd be shocked if anyone in the American
12	public wasr	't talking about it. I think this was the first election in American history that
13	was conduc	cted with something on the magnitude of well, I don't actually remember the
14	number, so	I don't want to say it off hand but tens of millions of mail-in ballots in a
15	completely	untested system.
16	Q	So tell us about the nature of those conversations about mail-in voting and
17	any potenti	al issues related to mail-in voting that the White House or campaign saw?
18	А	Well, I don't recall any there's no one specific conversation, right, that
19	sticks out to	o me, because this is again, it's a it's not a discrete topic, you know. It's
20	like saying,	do you have a specific memory in the last week of when you tied your shoes.
21	You just know that you definitely tied your shoes because you'd be doing something	
22	wrong if yo	u wore shoes but didn't tie them.
23	You	'd be doing something wrong if you were running a campaign, involved in a
24	campaign, o	or involved in a reelect and you weren't talking about this completely novel,
25	untested sy	stem of mail-in balloting that had been developed on the pretext of the

1	pandemic.
2	Q Let me put it this way: We understand that there was disagreement within
3	the campaign and folks at the White House about whether to really challenge or cast
4	doubt on mail-in voting. Do you remember any disagreements about that?
5	A Well, what I do remember, and this is still an issue today, you know, I fall
6	squarely on one side of this debate, but there was you know, you talk about paradox, it
7	was the paradox that is asserted about mail-in balloting is that, on the one hand, the
8	system is uniquely prone to fraud and abuse. On the other hand, the theory goes that
9	by pointing out the same the you will depress some mail-in balloting from supporters.
10	And so, again, it creates a bit of a paradox because, of course, you want the election to be
11	secure, but you, of course, don't want to depress any voters.
12	I obviously well, not obviously, I mean, you don't know me. But I'm certainly in
13	the camp that says that we need to reform the system, and so better to talk about it.
14	Q And so this paradox that you just described, do you remember those
15	conversations where you discuss this paradox, the idea of
16	A Nothing really stands out to me. Again, I mean, because you're talking
17	about this is the
18	Q Wait, Mr. Miller
19	A day of the campaign.
20	Q Only because this is a tough system to work on, the Webex platform, it can
21	be very hard for the reporters to take down, so if you don't mind letting me finish my

So my question was, do you remember this paradox, as you described it, being a subject of conversation and perhaps disagreement within the campaign before

question before you answer, and I'll try to let you finish your answer before I ask my next

question.

November 3, 2020, or White House?

A No, like -- as I said, I mean, I do -- I told you that I remembered this as a general matter, but also, you know, again, more broadly within the party as a whole. It's still a conversation topic today. But there's no specific conversation in my mind that sticks out to me like, Oh, this person was here and this person was there and they said this, just a general awareness that there was a view by some -- no one sticks out to me in particular -- that, well, if you highlight the fraud and vulnerabilities in this novel form of voting, then maybe some people that support you will vote this way and they'll run up margins.

But, again, nothing in particular stands out to me. I don't -- I'm happy to share that view. I understand it to be clear, but I think that the need for secure elections outweighs that consideration.

Q So are you familiar with this term that was discussed in election period, so before November 3rd, about the blue wave or blue shift? Do you know what I mean when I use that term?

A Well, I think blue wave and red wave is a standard political terminology. I mean, it doesn't trigger any specific thing.

Q All right. Well, let me define it just so we're talking about the same thing. You know, there is this discussion that the President would be doing quite well on election night with in-person voting, but that there would be, sometimes referred to as a blue wave, a number of ballots that were coming in, particularly mail-in ballots or absentee ballots, that would be quite beneficial to Joe Biden after election night in the days after when those ballots were counted. Does that sound familiar to you?

A Yeah, I don't know, again, that the -- I mean, it's possible, perhaps even probable, that someone used the words "blue wave" to describe the mail-in balloting

- phenomenon that you're describing or alluding to. But if you would say to me as a
- 2 uncued question, just what term is used to describe this, I would have said, Oh, ah-ha,
- 3 yes, the blue wave.
- 4 Q Okay. But you do understand what I'm talking about, this idea that the
- 5 President would be ahead on election night and then his returns -- or the returns that
- 6 came in after would likely benefit Mr. Biden?
- 7 A No, I'm not -- I mean, you're kind of putting words in my mouth a little bit
- 8 here. I -- what I'm telling you is I understand what you're saying. You're -- are you
- 9 asking me do I understand what you're saying, or are you asking me is this a memory of
- 10 mine?
- 11 Q Correct, yeah. Do you remember this idea, discussions not this idea --
- 12 A You were telling me you were defining the phrase blue shift, and then I said,
- 13 yes, I understand your definition, and then you said, okay, so that's your memory. So
- 14 you gave me the definition. Ask me the question, please.
- 15 Q All right. So we talked about mail-in balloting. We're talking about this
- idea that mail-in ballots could benefit Mr. Biden more than Mr. Trump in the days after
- the election. Do you remember discussions about the dangers of mail-in balloting being
- informed by the idea that they would be more helpful to Mr. Biden?
- 19 A There's a whole lot of subtext packed into that question. The -- what I
- remember, which, again, was a universal concern, is that mail-in balloting allowed
- Democrat machine politics in certain areas to -- including through illicit means, to run up
- margins. I remember that being a concern because it has been an ever-present concern
- and remains, of course, one of the predominant concerns today that's being legislated all
- 24 over the country.

Q As you sit here today, do you recall any decisions to attack or cast doubt on

1	mail-in balloting before November 3rd being based on the fact that mail-in ballots would
2	likely help Mr. Biden more than they would help Mr. Trump?
3	A Again, you keep adding extra subtext into the question, so it's a compound.
4	My answer isn't changing on this.
5	Q This is a separate question.
6	A Right, but it kind of is and it isn't. What I'm saying to you is that the way
7	that you're framing it is that the way that you're framing it is, oh, Democrats like to vote
8	by mail, so we'll attack the integrity of mail-in balloting and then somehow, what, they
9	won't vote by mail, which, of course, as I mentioned earlier, is indeed the opposite.
10	In other words, when you're raising legitimate questions about the veracity and
11	accuracy of a mail-in system where you don't have to present any identity verification to
12	vote, there's actually the opposite risk, right, which is that you in so blowing the
13	whistle, you might actually depress some of your own voters. So that's the construct of
14	thought that we had agreed on, and now you're providing the opposite construct.
15	Q Stepping away from the construct that you just described, my question is
16	simply this: Do you recall any decision whether to attack the integrity of mail-in
17	balloting, as you just put it, on the idea that mail-in balloting would likely help Mr. Biden
18	more than Mr. Trump?
19	Mr. Rowley. Counsel, decision by whom?
20	Mr Any decision.
21	Mr. Rowley. Any decision throughout the country or the White House or where
22	exactly?
23	BY MR.
24	Q Okay. Fair enough, in the White House or the campaign.

Not in the construct that you're providing. I can't -- in other words,

25

Α

- the -- it's -- you need to rephrase your question, I think. The -- if your question is, as I
- said before, that did people believe this was an urgent threat to election security that
- 3 needs to be discussed, the answer is yes.
- 4 Q Understood. So my question is this: And if you feel like you can't answer
- 5 it because you don't know the answer, that's perfectly fine, but do you recall any decision
- 6 made in the White House or the campaign about whether to attack the integrity of
- 7 mail-in balloting on the idea that mail-in balloting would likely help Mr. Biden more than
- 8 Mr. Trump?
- 9 A Not in the way that you're asking it, no. In the way that I'm asking it -- or,
- sorry, the way that I'm stating it, yes. So if you understand the difference between what
- 11 I said and what you said then we're clear.
- 12 Q Very good.
- So we could pull up exhibit Number 3, please. Can you see that, Mr. Miller,
- 14 exhibit No. 3?
- 15 A Uh-huh.
- 16 Q And is that big enough for you to read?
- 17 A I can see it, yes.
- 18 Q All right. Very good. So that's an email sent August of 2020 from
- somebody named Dick Morris. I'll start there. Who is Dick Morris?
- 20 A He's a former adviser to Bill Clinton.
- 21 Q And what was his role, if any, with respect to providing advice or information
- to the Trump reelection campaign before November 3 of 2020?
- A I don't believe he had a former role. I think that he was, shall we say, an
- interested observer who sent in ideas from time to time.
- 25 Q Did you ever speak with him in the pre -- or in the election period before

November 3rd?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	А	l believe yeah, well, so before December 3rd, yeah, I believe I had a few
3	phone calls	rith him with different policy ideas and other such things for the campaign

Q And this email from August 24th, it's to Mr. Bill Stepien, Mr. Jason Miller, you, Mr. Stephen Miller, that email address that you identified as a personal Gmail account, and Mr. McLaughlin, Mr. Fabrizio, and Ms. Hicks. And the subject is, "Mail-in Voting Ballot Fraud." Do you remember receiving this email from Mr. Morris?

Had I not seen the email, I wouldn't remember it specifically. I saw it after you sent over some preparatory materials yesterday, and so it sort of is, you know, vaguely familiar.

Q Okay. What do you remember about this email, specifically the context? Did you have a follow-up conversation you had or any -- that you -- excuse me, that you had with Mr. Morris?

Not to disappoint you, but I don't think anything in this email is very remarkable.

And we'll get through the substance of this email, but did this follow any Q conversation that you had with Mr. Morris that you recall?

Α I couldn't remember, but maybe yes, maybe no. But, again, the emails itself are, you know, I mean, just reading this here, it says, all mail-in ballots can only be accepted if they are from a registered voter, signed, and include the last four digits of their Social Security number and if the signature is verified. That position there is the position I would say of probably -- well, it's a minimum baseline position of almost every Republican official and operative and so forth in the country. So, again, nothing about that is remarkable to me, because I would consider that to be an inarguable proposition.

One of the things it says here is that the battle over mail-ins is over, and we Q

- have lost. Now we must change our advocacy to mail-ins if there are adequate checks
- to avoid fraud. And then down about halfway at the bottom of the screen there, it says,
- 3 "A position of 'count the ballots if...' is very popular and much more defensible than
- 4 simple opposition to mail-ins."

- So with respect to that last question -- or that last sentence, excuse me, what'd you understand that to mean, "A position of 'count the ballots if...' is very popular and much more defensible than simple opposition to mail-ins"?
 - A Well, as I sit here today, I can't tell you what I understood it to mean when I read it, because while I'm fairly sure that -- looking at it now that I probably did read it, I don't remember what I thought when I was reading it at the time.
- 11 Q Okay. You can take that down, Thank you.
 - Before the election occurred on November 3rd, what was your understanding of the campaign's plan to challenge election results if Mr. Trump looked like he was going to lose?
 - A That's probably a better question for Justin Clark, the campaign's attorney. Of course, it's not for me to say whether you should or shouldn't speak to him. But, you know, the -- you know, as the campaign attorney, I would imagine that that would've been -- would've been something that he would've been working on. I probably was more just focused on the campaign itself than the strategy to litigate various challenges that may arise.
 - Q Okay. So you mentioned litigation and Mr. Clark. Understood that. It's kind of a court approach. Are you aware of any other means of challenging the outcome of the election, again, we're talking before the election occurred --
- 24 A Well, I'm going to make sure you know -- sorry. Finish.
- 25 Q Yeah. And my specific question was going to be related to State legislators.

Do you remember any discussions about approaching State legislators to challenge the outcome of the election? And this is before the election occurred.

A I mean, again, not something that I was really focused on at the time. I would say to you that any campaign throughout history, if they're doing their job, is evaluating every possible means of addressing, contesting or litigating disputes that arise.

And, of course, this election would be unprecedented in that regard because, again, for the first time in American history a -- such a large share of our voting was shifted to a mail system, but not just any mail system, but States that had no history of operating a mail system of that scope and size, including, of course, in some instances or some States, I should say, with rules that also allowed for the harvesting of ballots too.

So these are radical new measures, and so I would certainly hope that a number of individuals in the campaign were both preparing for and anticipating what might be required in light of such an unprecedented means of conducting an election.

Q Do you remember any discussions about engaging State legislators as one means of challenging the outcome of the election and those discussions having taken place before November 3rd?

A Nothing sticks out to me. I can't -- I cannot pull a specific memory.

Q Do you remember that concept -- do you remember that concept coming up before November 3rd?

A I don't -- nothing comes to me as you're asking the question.

Q If we could pull up exhibit No. 4, please. Exhibit Number 4 includes comments that the President made during a news conference on September 23, 2020. And he said, we're going to have to see what happens -- and I should back up. He was asked, I believe, whether he would concede and agree to and generally a transition if he lost the election. And what the President said was, we're going to have to see what

- 1 happens. You know that I've been complaining very strongly about the ballots and the
- 2 ballots are a disaster.
- And he continues to say, get rid of the ballots and you'll have a very
- 4 peaceful -- there won't be a transfer, frankly. There will be a continuation. The ballots
- 5 are out of control. You know it. And you know who knows it better than anybody
- 6 else? The Democrats know it better than anybody else.
- 7 Did you or your speechwriting team have anything to do with the remarks that the
- 8 President delivered and that we're showing here in exhibit Number 4 with respect --
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q -- to the transition or possibility of a transition?

1			
2	[11:09 a.m.]		
3	Mr. Rowley. Objection to the extent the question calls for any discussions		
4	Mr. Miller may have had with the President.		
5	BY MR. :		
6	Q Outside of that caveat, Mr. Miller, go ahead and answer the question,		
7	please.		
8	A Can you re-ask the question, outside of that caveat?		
9	Q Sure. Did you or your speechwriting team have anything to do with		
10	preparing the remarks that the President delivered as shown in exhibit No. 4?		
11	A Do you have any records in your possession that might refresh my		
12	recollection?		
13	Q I'm just asking you if you recall.		
14	A I'm I'm not being coy. I'm actually asking you.		
15	Q No, I understand that. But I ask the questions here, Mr. Miller.		
16	So I'm just saying, do you remember whether you or your team had any role in		
17	helping or preparing the remarks that the President gave and that are shown there in		
18	exhibit 4?		
19	A Without any assistance in refreshing my recollection, looking at that, I		
20	couldn't even possibly answer that question. You're just showing me two paragraphs on		
21	September 23rd at a news conference, which by dint of their nature tend to be		
22	extemporaneous.		
23	So, again, unless you have a record to refresh my recollection, it would be		
24	impossible for me, sitting here, to say: Oh, yes, September 23rd news conference, yes,		
25	oh, I know exactly what I was doing on that day.		

1	Q Do you remember ever having a discussion with the President about in the
2	pre-election period, meaning before November 3rd, 2020 about whether he would
3	concede if he lost?
4	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Objection.
5	Mr On what basis, Mr. Rowley?
6	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Privilege.
7	Mr
8	Mr. Rowley. You're asking Mr. Miller for a conversation that he had with
9	President Trump?
10	Mr Correct. I asked him if he ever had a conversation about that
11	topic, yes.
12	Mr. Rowley. Okay. Well, I will instruct him not to respond to any content of
13	conversation he may have had with President Trump.
14	Mr And can you please specify the basis?
15	Mr. Rowley. Privilege.
16	Mr What kind of privilege, Mr. Rowley?
17	Mr. Rowley. I'm we're asserting executive privilege for any conversation
18	Mr. Miller may have had with President Trump?
19	Mr Okay. I appreciate that. You know, part of this is making sure
20	we have a record for the committee's consideration on dealing with objections.
21	And I would just note that executive privilege covers advice or communications
22	related to the President's official Article II functions. And so, in this capacity, I believe
23	we're asking Mr. Miller about conversations that he may have had first instance,
24	whether he had them but he may have had related to the President as a candidate.
25	And Mr. Miller has said that he did involve or was engaged in at least some

1	campaign-related activities.
2	Mr. Rowley. Let's go off the record for just a minute.
3	Mr. Certainly. Let's go off the record.
4	[Discussion off the record.]
5	Mr All right. Let's go back on the record.
6	It's 11:14 on April 14th.
7	And we are resuming the deposition of Mr. Stephen Miller.
8	Mr So I'll ask the question again. And, if you want to lodge the same
9	objection, please intercede here?
10	But the question is, did you have any conversations with the President about
11	whether he would concede if he if it looked like he was losing the election, the
12	November 3rd Presidential election to be specific?
13	Mr. Rowley. Same objection.
14	Mr. Okay. And that's executive privilege?
15	Mr. Rowley. Correct?
16	Mr All right. So, at this point, I think we'll move on. We may engage
17	with the chair later to get rulings on these objections, but your objection is noted, and we
18	will move on.
19	BY MR. :
20	Q Other than Mr. Trump specifically, did you talk to anybody in the White
21	House about whether or not the President would be conceding if it looked like he was
22	losing the election after election day, November 2020?
23	A Just to clarify your question, when you use the word "after" in that context,
24	are you saying conversation after, or are you saying conversation before about something
25	that would happen after?

- 1 Q The latter, so, first, conversations before the election took place, and then I'll
 2 follow up with any conversations after the election took place.
- A My answer would probably be the same to both, which is just that
 the -- without being able to think of or recall a specific conversation, it had always been
 my understanding, and I think some of the -- some of the archived documents that you
 have support this, that we were prepared for all three possibilities, the possibility of a
 concession, the possibility of a victory speech, the possibility of an indeterminate results
- 9 Q Okay. And we will get to that. And thank you for pointing that out,

 10 Mr. Miller.

statement. So that's what we had been planning and prepared for.

- 11 If we can show exhibit No. 5, please.
- 12 Can you see, that Mr. Miller, exhibit No. 5?
- 13 A Uh-huh.

- 14 Q Is that a "yes," just for the benefit of the reporters? They can't take
 15 down --
- 16 A Yes. Yes, yes, yes, yes.
- 17 Q Thank you.
- So exhibit No. 5 is an email from somebody named Eileen McGann. Do you know who Eileen McGann is?
- 20 A I believe it is the wife of Dick Morris.
- Q Okay. And Ms. McGann sends this email to you, Mr. McLaughlin. And my understanding is Mr. McLaughlin is a pollster for the campaign. Is that right?
- A Yes, I believe so.
- Q All right. So she sends it to him, as well as Mr. Miller, Jason Miller, you, as well as Ms. Hicks, Mr. Stepien, and Dick Morris.

1	What was Ms. Hope Hicks' role with respect to the campaign as you understood
2	it?
3	A She was a close advisor to the President. And for some period of time I
4	don't believe during this period of time she also had a role as in the White House, not
5	necessarily these words, but something like senior communications advisor or something
6	that's similar to that. But, at this time, it was just sort of a general, all-purpose advisor.
7	Q If we go to page 2 of exhibit No. 5
8	A I would say that with an emphasis on communication.
9	Continue.
10	Q Thank you, Mr. Miller.
11	So page 2 is the document that was attached to that email. It says: Strategy to
12	Win a Contested Election Result.
13	And it says: This memo outlines what we can do between now and the actual
14	election day to position ourselves best to prevail with public opinion in the event of a
15	disputed verdict on election day.
16	And it goes through a number of other things.
17	Do you remember receiving this memo before the election in November of 2020?
18	A Well, as I look at it as I look at it here and now, it seems vaguely familiar.
19	But, again, if you had asked me the question, without the benefit of the document to
20	refresh my memory, it definitely wouldn't have left an impression.
21	Q Do you remember if anybody asked Ms. McGann or Mr. Morris to write a
22	memo drafting a strategy to win a contested election?
23	A Not aware of any specific request, no.
24	Q And one of the things I just mentioned in that sentence, the first sentence of
25	the memo that I read, talks about "positioning ourselves to best prevail with public

1	opinion in t	he event of a disputed verdict on election day."
2	Wha	t was your understanding of the role of public opinion in challenging the
3	election afte	er the election took place?
4	А	It wasn't really something that, you know, I was very much focused on or
5	discussing.	So I would answer that just applying common sense, which would be to say
6	that, in the	event of a disputed election, which, of course, was what happened,
7	the educa	ting the public upon the those those those developments about the
8	challenges a	and the reasons for them and everything else is important.
9	Q	Important in what sense? I mean, the challenges would be happening in
10	the courts p	resumably or with State legislators. So I guess why would the public opinion
11	matter as yo	ou're thinking about postelection challenges?
12	А	I mean, the same reason Chuck Schumer does a press conference at the
13	Supreme Co	ourt, I suppose.
14	Q	Which is?
15	А	To, again, educate the public about the legal proceedings and what stake
16	they have ir	the outcome.
17	Q	And what was your involvement, if any, with the campaign's legal team in
18	the days lea	ding up to the election?
19	А	My involvement would best be characterized as having a role in the external
20	communica	tion of some of these legal challenges and developments.
21	Q	And we're talking about the campaign's legal team. The people I have in
22	mind are M	r. Justin Clark and Mr. Morgan, a few others who worked for the campaign.
23	Are those	
24	А	I do want to caution you that there is, of course, an intersection between,
25	when you're	e talking about the administration of our laws and the administration of justice

- and the administration of -- of -- or the regulation of any illegalities, there are obviously
- 2 intersects between the campaign world and the official world in many -- in many different
- 3 ways.
- 4 You know, so, obviously, you know, there's a role for the Department of Justice.
- 5 There's a role for White House Counsel's Office. It's not as though this is only
- 6 a campaign issue.
- 7 Q With respect to the campaign's legal team, though, I'm aware of Mr. Clark,
- 8 Mr. Morgan. Was there anybody else with whom you were working before the election
- 9 on the campaign legal team?
- 10 A Probably -- I mean, at some point or another, I probably -- probably spoke
- with most, if not everyone, at least at a mid-senior level.
- 12 Q Would that include Mr. Clark?
- 13 A It would.
- 14 Q Mr. Morgan?
- A Again, I don't remember. I mean, again, if you had asked me to come up
- with the name Mr. Morgan on my own and the only thing you'd given me was "can you
- think of a name that starts with an M," I never would have gotten to Morgan as we sit
- here today. But now that you say it, it does sound familiar, yes. And there's
- probably -- probably emails back and forth with him.
- 20 Q Did you help prepare any of the President's campaign speeches that he
- 21 delivered at rallies or public events?
- 22 A I did.
- 23 Q Did you coordinate with the legal team, including the people we just
- 24 mentioned, Mr. Clark or Mr. Morgan, about those speeches?
- A Not in every case. I mean, it would just depend, again, on what the

1	circumstan	ce was.
2	Q	What circumstances do you recall that would trigger their involvement, if
3	any, in cam	paign-related speeches?
4	А	In the main, if I was trying to or someone in my employ was trying to do
5	some kind o	of fact-gathering.
6	Q	Any specific issues on which you were trying to do fact-gathering?
7	Α	What time period are you talking about here?
8	Q	This is pre-election, so October, very early November.
9	Α	So then not really, no.
10	Q	Is there anything that would trigger their involvement in the speeches tha

Q Is there anything that would trigger their involvement in the speeches that you helped prepare for the President in that pre-election, September, October, early November period?

A I mean, "trigger" is probably the wrong word to use because it implies some sort of -- some ruling authority, but the -- you know, like, "Oh, this triggers it." But it would be more -- these are more soft and informal type interactions. But, in general, I mean, when you're talking about campaign speeches prior to the -- to election day, then they would mainly be about the issues in the campaign.

And so, again, if you're talking to an attorney or something about it, I mean, it's more likely going to be -- it's more likely going to be some strategic issue but not like the mechanics of how the election is administered. I mean, again, I'm sure that one can find an example of anything. But it would not have been anything for which there was a great need or regularity.

- Q Were you at the White House on election night?
- 24 A Yes.

25 Q Tell us about that. What time do you remember getting there

1	approximate	ely?
2	Α	I don't remember what time I got there.
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	Q	Were you in the residence on election night with the President?
9	Α	I believe, if I'm not mistaken, that the whole the whole election watch
10	event was ir	the residence.
11	Q	And were you in there then?
12	Α	Yeah, that's where I think that's where everybody would have been, by and
13	large.	
14	Q	I understand that other people were set up watching in the Map Room
15	Α	I mean, the yes, me and everyone.
16	Q	I understand that some other folks were set up to watch returns in the Map
17	Room and v	arious other places within the White House as well. Do you recall that?
18	Α	Right. It was a it was a full-fledged, standard variety, you know, election
19	night soiree,	, for want of a better term.
20	Q	And you mentioned earlier that your team or some team had prepared
21	election nigh	nt remarks, one of which included a concession, one of which included a
22	victory spee	ch, and the other included a kind of wait-and-see speech.
23	Do y	ou recall that?
24	А	Yes. I recall and, of course, your production has refreshed my memory.
25	I recall that	the speechwriting team produced each of the three drafts you are

1	referencing.
2	Q All right. If we could pull up exhibit No. 6.
3	While that's coming up, were you and the speechwriting team asked to prepare
4	these speeches, or is this something that the speechwriting team did on its own volition?
5	A I'm going to give this answer as sort of vague but it was just my it was my
6	understanding that this is what was what was expected. In other words, I don't
7	remember a specific person saying to me, oh, boy, you better ought to have these but
8	that I it would have struck me as unusual if we didn't is pretty much the best I can do
9	for you there.
10	Q So we have these up here.
11	And the first email in the chain is from Mr. Worthington Mr. Lyons, who I
12	understand was the staff secretary at the time. Is that your understanding as well with
13	respect to Mr. Lyons?
14	A It is.
15	Q Also included is Mr. Gabriel, you, Mr. Miller, as well as Mr. Haley, with
16	subject: Speech drafts.
17	It says: Attached. For you only. Please do not forward and do not share.
18	Three scenarios.
19	Why would a speech or speeches, draft speeches like this, be embargoed with the
20	instructions not to forward or share, as you understand it?
21	A I mean, at this time, when there's something that is that is where you're
22	going to greater lengths to avoid a leak, there would be with the possibility of a leak,

there would usually be instructions that would be, if not like that, in a similar vein or at

And did you have any role in writing or editing these speeches, or were you

least there would be a similar effort to keep something close hold.

23

24

25

Q

1	just a recipient of these speeches on the email?
2	A You know, some of this is just, again, more of a more of a sense than a
3	memory, but my sense is that I probably provided some very high-level guidance, that I
4	possibly reviewed and provided feedback on a hard copy but that I maybe even partly for
5	superstitious reasons didn't didn't work on them a lot.
6	But, you know, at this point in time, I mean, I'm sure you understand, you know,
7	you've been running 24-7 for a very long time. So, you know, it's all kind of a blur.
8	Q Do you remember ever having to approve these speeches before they could,
9	you know, be released to the chief of staff or anybody else in the White House for
10	consideration?
11	A I have no memory of any conversation with the chief of staff about any of
12	this.
13	Q What about just the approval more generally? Do you remember having to
14	sign off on these drafts?
15	A I don't I don't specifically remember signing off on them. But this also
16	would be it would be it would be quite normal if I had, you know, you know, signed
17	off on them.
18	Q And did you ever present these draft speeches to the President?
19	A You know, I honestly don't remember.
20	Q Do you know if anybody else did, like Mr. Lyons? I understand that he was
21	in charge of paper flow, more or less, on things that went to the President.
22	A I mean, there was a, you know, given, again, the unprecedented nature of

the election and how it was conducted, it was a pretty hectic evening.

The -- it's -- I would just say it's probable that some form of something in here was

either, you know, shared or repurposed in some way. But, honestly, you'd be in a better

23

24

1	position than I would be to answer that question based upon, you know, having a more
2	thorough analysis of the Archives.
3	But just to sort of refit it all, with some better attempt at clarity, my
4	understanding just kind of was the way that, like, I understand that, you know, guys lose,
5	that we needed to have these drafts. Probably did engage with them. Possibly did
6	approve them. Don't have a lot of memories around it, around this time period, and
7	don't have a lot of memories of what became of them.
8	Q Do you remember ever discussing the possibility of three speeches one
9	victory speech, one concession speech, answer one wait-and-see speech with the
10	President?
11	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Objection.
12	Mr And what's your objection, Mr. Rowley?
13	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Same objection.
14	Communications privilege.
15	Mr Executive communications privilege?
16	Mr. Rowley. Correct.
17	Mr. Okay. And I'd ask the same questions we did before. I mean,
18	this pretty clearly is about Mr. Trump as a candidate in the Presidential election, as
19	opposed to an official Article II function that he exercised and was receiving advice on.
20	Are you going persist in your objection?
21	Mr. Rowley. Yes. I understand your position, and I hope you understand ours.
22	Mr Candidly, I do not. But it is noted for the record, and we may take
23	this up with the chairman. But we will move on at this time.
24	BY MR.
25	Q So, Mr. Miller, did you ever talk about these speeches or the possibility of

1	having three draft speeches with anybody else in the White House, other than the folks	
2	who were identified on this email?	
3	A It's likely that I did just because of the fact of their existence, but let me put	
4	it to you this way.	
5	If you're on election day a senior staffer and you're thinking more about the drafts	
6	of the speech for the evening than you are about election day itself, you're doing	
7	something wrong.	
8	Q Now Mr. Trump didn't end up delivering any of these three speeches. Is	
9	that your recollection as well?	
10	A Well, it is. But I would also just say that, by way of extra context, that to	
11	some extent producing drafts like this could also be understood as a box-checking	
12	exercise. You know, a a, you know, a press availability, you know, at 3 o'clock in the	
13	morning on election evening or when everyone has one also isn't necessarily a venue	
14	where you're going to be using an exact set of prepared remarks anyhow.	
15	Q Mr. Trump did give a speech earlier the next morning on November 4th. It	
16	was around somewhere in the 1 o'clock hour, I believe, that morning. Do you	
17	remember that?	
18	A I do remember the speech, or the remarks, I should say, yes.	
19	Q Were you there for the speech?	
20	A Yeah, I was in the residence at the time, yes.	
21	Q And I understand that you were in the residence before that speech helping	
22	prepare the President for the remarks that he delivered. Is that accurate?	
23	A I was one of a number of people that were there that evening in proximity to	
24	the President, trying to trying to make sense of all of the confusing realities of, again,	
25	this mailed election.	

1	Q	Who else do you remember being there in the hour or two before the
2	speech in t	he residence with the President?
3	А	I mean, there was, like, there's dozens of people. I couldn't even begin to
4	reconstruc	t it all.
5		
6	But	, you know, I mean, it would be, you know, most of the senior staff, I mean, is
7	the answer	to the question. You name a senior staffer, it's election night, they're
8	probably th	nere.
9	Q	Okay. So let me ask. Was Mr. Meadows there?
10	А	He was there that evening, yes.
11	Q	Was Ms. McEnany there?
12	Α	Yes, I believe so. I'm not, like, forming a visual memory. But I believe she
13	was. I wo	ould be surprised if she wasn't.
14	Q	Was Mr. Stepien there?
15	Α	I think so, yeah.
16	Q	How about Mr. Giuliani? Do you remember him being there?
17	А	I believe so.
18	Q	And we understand that there was potentially disagreement is too strong
19	a word but	a difference of opinion as to whether or not the President should go out in
20	that early r	norning speech and say that he had won and declare victory versus delivering
21	more of a v	vait-and-see type of speech. Are you familiar with that discussion?
22	Α	I would rephrase it a little bit. The this is an extraordinarily dynamic and
23	fact-evolvii	ng situation. And it certainly appeared by the at least at some point in that
24	evening by	the returns that our election lead couldn't be overcome. And so there was
25	some deba	te about the interpretation of the results, A, and then, B, about the best

1	strategy for communicating those results certainly. But it was a it was a it was a
2	good-faith discussion.
3	Q Sure. I wouldn't suggest otherwise.
4	But do you remember Mr. Giuliani in particular suggesting that the President
5	should go out and declare victory in that early morning speech on the 4th?
6	A You know, I don't specifically remember that. But I would say that I have a
7	general sense. You know, again, it's so hard to sort of pull apart all these fragments
8	now.
9	But I have a general sense that he was one of the ones with a view that certainly
10	by no honest means could the could the gap be closed in the key States and that that
11	should be not his exact words but that general idea that the nature of our lead and the
12	significance should be communicated.
13	But I don't remember specific words or sentences that he said, but I know he was
14	sort enough that camp.
15	Q And do you remember Mr. Meadows having a different opinion, that it's too
16	early, we can't go out and say that we won, for example?
17	A You know, I have to be careful here, because if you had just asked me cold t
18	say "what do you remember Mr. Meadows saying," my answer to you would be I don't
19	really remember him saying anything, because that's true. You know, so it's so I'm
20	trying to trying to differentiate between my actual memories and your suggestion, if
21	that makes sense to you.
22	Q It does make sense, and I appreciate that. Some of the questions are

certainly, you know, designed to refresh your recollection. And, if you don't recall it,

Look, I do want to be -- look, I do want to -- the questions that I can answer, I

then that's completely fine as well. But in this instance --

23

24

- do want to -- I do want to try to -- to be constructive.
- I would -- I mean, if I really sort of dwell on it, all I can say is that -- that -- all
 I can say is that I feel comfortable saying that feels true and correct to my memory is
- 4 that -- is that -- is that Meadows probably wasn't where Giuliani was. But to what
- degree or shade of difference, that I couldn't remember.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q If we could pull up exhibit No. 7, please, and I'll represent to you, Mr. Miller, that this is text of the speech that the President delivered in that early-morning address on November the 4th. I know we produced this to you, but I'm not going to sit here and read the whole thing.
 - If we can go to page 2, in the remarks he delivered from the White House, the President said: This is a fraud on the American public. This is an embarrassment to our country. We were getting ready to win this election. Frankly, we did win this election. We did win this election. So our goal now is to ensure the integrity for the good of this Nation. This is a big moment.
 - And he goes on. He also mentions during the speech the need to have a case go up to the Supreme Court related to the election.
 - For that paragraph we just looked at, and then I just started reading, "this is a fraud on the American public," did you have any role in drafting those remarks?
 - A I believe -- and this is just going off of the memory of watching the same remarks, as I'm sure you viewed and millions of others have. I believe that the -- that the remarks were largely extemporized.
 - Q So do you remember drafting anything that resembled that paragraph in particular that I just read? Or do you believe that this was ad-libbed or extemporized, as you said?
- A No, I mean, looking at the -- looking at the words on the screen, my sense is,

1	is that these were the rresident's extemporaneous remarks following a briefing from
2	staff.
3	Q If we could pull up exhibit No. 8, please, this is an article that we produced to
4	you. It's from Axios from November 1st.
5	And, in the first paragraph, if you scroll down a bit,, it says that: President
6	Trump has told confidants he'll declare victory on Tuesday night if it looks like he's ahead,
7	according to three sources familiar with his private comments. That's even if electoral
8	college outcomes still hinges on large numbers of uncounted votes in key swing States.
9	And then, if you look at the very bottom of that page, skipping some of those
10	bullet points, it says: Behind the scenes, Trump has privately talked through the
11	scenario in some detail in the last few weeks, describing plans to walk up to a podium on
12	election night and declare that he has won.
13	So do you recall any discussions within the White House about the President
14	declaring victory on election night, even if it wasn't clear that he had won?
15	A Not if it wasn't clear that he had won or was likely to win. Right? In other
16	words, I don't remember anything like that.
17	But the but, again, I mean this sort of this article sort of puts a an unusual
18	spin on what would be like a fairly conventional thing to do, which is, you know,
19	everywhere all over the country, which is that every election, when people look at returns
20	and then they and their teams make a decision about whether those returns justify a
21	claim of victory. So that's a very normal thing.
22	I don't remember specifically being part of the conversations about that.
23	But but, again, that would be very standard. I think it's just it's somewhat it's a
24	somewhat novel spin on a very normal thing.

Do you remember any discussions at all, you know, related to the President

- declaring victory before the election -- excuse me. Let me rephrase that.
- 2 Do you remember any discussions in the White House or with the campaign
- 3 before the election took place about the President declaring victory, even if it wasn't clear
- 4 he had won?
- A Again, not if it wasn't clear that he had won or that he would be certified as
- 6 the winner. Right? In other words, the -- you know, I don't remember a specific
- 7 conversation really at all about this.
- 8 But, again, my general sense had been that the -- we had an unprecedented
- 9 situation involving mail-in ballots. There were not clear models on how to analyze any
- of this. And so we had to make a game-time decision about the best interpretation of
- the data. That's the extent of my -- of my understanding of the subject.
- 12 Q And I'll stop there for right now to see if any members who've joined have us
- 13 any questions.
- Okay. Hearing none, I'll see if anybody in the room has any questions for
- 15 Mr. Miller.
- We are about to switch to a slightly different period of time. I don't know if,
- 17 Mr. Miller, if you need a quick comfort break before we jump into that. But now would
- 18 make sense if we do.
- The <u>Witness.</u> Yeah, let's take it.
- 20 Mr. Rowley. Let's take a short break.
- 21 Mr. Let's go off the record, yeah, 5, 10 minutes, whatever works for you
- 22 guys. That's fine with us.
- 23 Mr. Rowley. Okay.
- 24 Mr. We'll be back on in about 5, but take as much time as you need.
- 25 Mr. Rowley. Okay. Great. Thanks.

1	[Recess.]
2	Mr. Let's go back on the record.
3	It's 12:06 p.m., and we're resuming the deposition of Mr. Stephen Miller.
4	I will note for the record that Mrs. Luria, Mr. Aguilar, and Ms. Lofgren are with us
5	as well.
6	And, Mrs. Luria, I see you've turned your camera on.
7	Mrs. Luria. Well, thank you. I just have a couple of questions, going back to the
8	exhibit that we were just looking at which was the speech that the President gave on
9	early morning of November 4th. I don't know if we can pull that back up and go to the
10	paragraph that was being discussed about fraud.
11	Mr. Yes. And that is exhibit No. 7, and we're looking at page 2 of
12	exhibit 7.
13	Mrs. Luria. So the discussion centered around this paragraph about fraud.
14	And I think, Mr. Miller, you said that this seemed something extemporaneous that
15	the President added in at the speech. But you also described that there were two
16	different camps, that the Giuliani camp was advocating for fraud. You said
17	Mr. Meadows was in a different camp. But one thing you didn't describe was what
18	camp were you in, in these discussions.
19	The Witness. I would slightly re-characterize that. There was universal
20	agreement on the fact of fraud. So there was no disagreement on that point. And
21	the and so, yes, I mean, Meadows is passionate as anybody about the fraud that took
22	place. So just, you know, one little but important clarification there.
23	The my own view just now I don't think that I really went in on at the time but
24	my own view now is that I agreed with the decision that the President made.
25	Mrs. Luria. Okay. But describe the decision. What is the decision you're

1	referring to?
2	The Witness. I mean, in other words, I agree with the framing in the text that
3	you're pointing to.
4	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Okay. So did you advocate for this particular language?
5	The Witness. Well, again, I mean, the language itself was extemporized. But
6	had I been presented with a specific draft to that effect, I would have agreed with the
7	content therein.
8	Mrs. Luria. So the sentence, if we're looking at this paragraph that's on our
9	screen right now, is sort of in the middle. The paragraph starts with "this is a fraud on
10	the American public," and the sentence that says "frankly, we did win this election,"
11	you're saying that you agree with that. He repeats it twice.
12	The <u>Witness.</u> I do, yes.
13	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> And, to this day, you still agree with that.
14	The <u>Witness.</u> I do, yes.
15	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Okay. I wanted to switch to another topic, because we talked
16	about your early on in this discussion, we talked about your job description, your roles
17	both as a White House employee and with the campaign. And, you know, my
18	understanding of the timeline discussed in, you know, the timeframe October, November
19	of 2020, you were a full-time White House employee. Is that correct?
20	The Witness. Yes, from from January 20th of 2017 to January 20th of 2020.
21	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Okay. And for the 2020 election cycle, you described that you were
22	not an employee of the Trump campaign. Is that correct?
23	The Witness. I don't believe I was in the sense that that, you know, there was
24	no employment relationship. I certainly was actively in communication with the

campaign, and I traveled with the President in my capacity as one of his longest serving

senior advisors.

Mrs. <u>Luria</u>. And, during the timeframe of October, November 2020, immediately surrounding the election, how much of your time would you say was spent on your official duties as an employee of the White House and the U.S. Government versus the time that you spent working on campaign-related issues?

The <u>Witness.</u> Could you repeat the timeframe that you're asking about?

Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> I'm just talking about the time immediately surrounding and following the election, so October and November of 2020.

The <u>Witness.</u> Well, it was a mix. As I mentioned earlier in today's conversation, although I don't believe you may have been here for the portion, so I will repeat this, although it may be redundant for some.

You know, one of the -- you know, one of the big things that I was working on shortly before the election was border control during the pandemic, title 42, repatriation, international cooperation, et cetera. So that certainly was a big part of my portfolio.

Then, obviously, in my role overseeing the speechwriting office, that included all the content the speechwriting office produced. It certainly included a lot of the materials that you're talking about.

I will also say that I traveled -- I mean, I would say there's probably only a couple of staffers maybe who traveled more than I did over the course of the 4 years including the -- with the President, including the time period that you're referring to.

Mrs. <u>Luria</u>. Okay. So I just was trying to get a rough estimate from you. What percentage of your day was spent on campaign activities during this timeframe?

The <u>Witness.</u> I mean, it would have -- it would have obviously increased as you get closer to an election. But that's, you know, that's true for all White Houses. You know, it would be true for, I'm sure, you know, Valerie Jarrett and David Axelrod and so

1	on and so forth, you know.	The clo	oser you get to an election, the more that that
2	becomes the predominant a	ctivity.	So I can't, like, give you, like, a fixed percentage.

Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Okay. But you are also telling me that you had no official role in the campaign, yet it took up some percentage of your time, which increased --

The <u>Witness.</u> Yeah, I mean, I was in the -- I was in the position -- again, far from the only one. I mean, Valerie Jarrett would be someone else who was in a similar position, many others throughout history have been -- in my capacity as a close as a close senior advisor for the President that occupies a different space both practically and legally than certainly a noncommissioned officer but even a more junior commissioned officer in the sense that you are -- you are involved in strategic conversations with the President on a daily basis, regardless of what's happening that day.

So, obviously, when the election is, what's happening that day in the life of the leader of the free world, then that's going to consume a lot more of your time.

And, of course, the whole American political system reflects this reality, too.

Congress is out of session. Bills are not being debated. I mean, the whole machinery of government changes around this time.

Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> So you've used a very unusual term, "commissioned officer." So, you know, I myself was a commissioned officer in the military for 20 years. And I understand what a commission is, but I've never heard that term used for someone in the executive branch.

Can you explain that choice of words?

The <u>Witness.</u> That is the term is that used to describe the distinction -- I did not come up with it. I don't know who came up with it. It might actually go back -- I could be wrong about this -- but I think it could go back to the Eisenhower era potentially. But the -- there's been a long delineation in the White House that does have legal

significance -- I'm not a lawyer. So I'm not the one who would be the expert to opine on it.

But between being a, you know, what is referred to as a commissioned officer versus a noncommissioned officer and the dividing line is, if you are the lowest, you know, rank of commissioned officer is a special assistant to the President, known as SAPs; then a deputy assistant to the President, or DAP; then an assistant to the President, or an AP.

And then, within AP, then further delineation between chief of staff, deputy chief of staff, senior advisor; directors of policy councils almost invariably are APs. So the director of the Domestic Policy Council, the director of the National Security Council, the director of the Economic Council, et cetera, that would all be both commissioned officers and A-Ps or APs.

The -- and the -- things come along with that in terms of it's reflected in your access to different spaces, in terms of what's known as the order of procession in official events. I mean, the State Department has a whole, like, elaborate list of where everyone in the executive branch is, you know, ranked for official and formal events and everything else. It's just a whole bunch ado.

I'm not one who -- I'm a very informal person when it comes to things of that nature. So I'm not one who -- I just think of myself as serving the public. So I would find it distasteful to stand on rank and title if you have the enormous privilege of being able to work in the White House.

But, nonetheless, they have come up with a whole system of delineation. And the term that I -- that we all were very familiar with was referring to commissioned officers in the White House versus noncommissioned officers in the White House and that dividing line that separates them all being SAPs.

1	Who originally came up with that term? I don't know. I would certainly agree						
2	that, as a stylistic matter, would it be my term of choice? Probably not, because, yes, to						
3	your point, it could lead to confusion when you're referring to actual officers in the						
4	United States Military. And I myself would never would never want to compare those						
5	kinds of service.						
6	Obviously, working in the White House, we have long hours. But that's but it						
7	doesn't even belong in the same breath as serving in the United States Military, although,						
8	of course, there are a number of military officers that do go on to also serve in						
9	government in the White House and have that dual experience.						
10	I guess the only observations that I would offer on this subject is that not me,						
11	I'm not the one but the White House Counsel's Office and other attorneys that						
12	specialize in executive law through time have drawn important distinctions about what						
13	commissioned officers versus noncommissioned officers can and can't do with respect to						
14	campaign activity and whatnot.						
15	I know that does have both important legal and practical implications. But I'm,						
16	again, not the expert on that.						
17	Mrs. Luria. Okay. Thank you for elaborating on that topic.						
18	And, just to clarify, did you receive a commission on paper signed by the President						
19	for your role as his senior advisor?						
20	The Witness. Yeah, we do a they do a swearing-in ceremony for assistants to						
21	the President. I don't know if they I should know. I really should. But I don't know						
22	if they do actual, like, they hand people commissions for special assistants and deputy						
23	assistants to the President.						
24	But for APs, at the beginning of the administration, we did an actual, formal						
25	swearing-in ceremony where we take an oath, and we subsequently get a formal						

1	document which, yes, I believe would you call our commission.
2	Mrs. <u>Luria.</u> Well, thank you.
3	And I don't have any further questions right now.
4	Mr. Thank you, Ms. Luria.
5	Does anybody else have any questions before I proceed?
6	And I note for you, Mr. Miller, that Mr. has joined us. He's our chief
7	investigative counsel.
8	BY MR.
9	Q So, after the election took place on November 3rd, 2020, I want to talk abou
10	your role with respect to campaign activities a little bit on what Mrs. Luria just touched
11	on.
12	So what types of responsibilities did you have with respect to the campaign after
13	the election?
14	A After November 3rd, I would characterize my campaign activities thusly: A
15	communications role, so not a formal one, but I would do some media in order to
16	expound on election issues, and then also the product review that we have already
17	discussed at some length today.
18	Q With respect to media, how would let me back up.
19	Were you asked to do certain media interviews, for example?
20	A I think I well, let me answer that question in two ways.
21	There was probably a sort of general standing, open request, if you will, so: Hey
22	if you're available, to be a surrogate, for lack of a better term, than and you have some
23	like, some baseline media experience, then make yourself available.
24	But I also consciously I consciously volunteered myself. I wanted very much to
25	be, in addition to everything else I was doing, to be providing that service to the

1	campaign, although my opportunities to do so were somewhat limited by personal events						
2	that were taking place at this time. But I tried as best I could to make myself available						
3	nonetheless.						
4	Q Did Mr. Trump ask you to appear on TV for campaign messaging after						
5	November 3rd, 2020?						
6	A I don't recall him or any person in the administration sort of saying: Hey,						
7	whatever.						
8	Again, my my my whole memory of this is just sort of like what I said. I had a						
9	sense that there was sort of an open "hey, if you're available, you should do it" and then						
10	me wanting to.						
11	I'm willing to bet, I'm willing to bet that if you talk to enough people, there						
12	probably were specific times when people in the campaign reached out to me and said:						
13	Hey, are you available for this; are you available for that.						
14	I'm sure that there were that there were specific I'd be shocked if there wasn't						
15	specific times in which in which there was a need to fill a an interview, you know, get						
16	a body in a chair, for lack of a better term.						
17	But nothing immediately sticks out to me in that regard. I just know that I						
18	volunteered myself.						
19	Q And with whom would you coordinate related to your media appearances?						
20	A Well, the name's escaping me right now.						
21	Q Mr. Miller, are you thinking of somebody who worked with the campaign as						
22	opposed to the White House?						
23	A Well, in my mind right now I'm trying to remember the name of the						
24	campaign booker. But it's not coming to me.						
25	Q Okay. Was it Mr. Murtaugh or somebody who worked with Mr. Murtaugh?						

1	A He was at the more senior level. I mean, of course, I talked to talked to						
2	Tim on a fairly regular basis. I talked to Jason Miller on a fairly regular basis, no relation.						
3	The but if it was a specific interview, it would have been I probably would have just						
4	reached out to the booker, to be honest with you.						
5	Q What about within the White House? Did you coordinate your media						
6	appearances for the campaign with anybody in the White House?						
7	A I don't believe I did. I mean, again, I'm always happy to be I'm always						
8	happy to be reminded of somebody that I don't remember, but I don't remember						
9	anything, no.						
10	Q Okay. And I'll ask two people specifically. Did Mr. Meadows ever ask you						
11	to appear in the media in some form or fashion related to the campaign after the						
12	election?						
13	A Not that I recall. Again, I'd be happy to have my memory refreshed in						
14	some way, but nothing comes out nothing sticks out to me on thinking about it, no.						
15	Q Same question. Did you ever coordinate with Ms. McEnany related to your						
16	appearances in the media after the election?						
17	A No. But I probably had some casual, friendly conversations with her, again,						
18	nothing that sticks out. But just, you know, because we're working in the same building,						
19	I probably said: Oh, hey, you know, I'm going to do this interview.						
20	But the but, again, my best memory and recollection is that, to the extent that I						
21	was doing campaign surrogacy, then it would have been coordinated with the booker for						
22	the campaign.						
23	Q I understand. I'm going to draw your attention to November 12th, 2020.						
24	And I understand that you participated in a meeting on that date with Jared Kushner,						
25	Hope Hicks, Johnny McEntee, Justin Clark, the President, and the Vice President, and						

1	Mr. Giuliani, who may have appeared by phone.						
2	Do you remember the meeting that I just mentioned on November 12th?						
3	A Let's go back to the beginning because that was a lot of information. So						
4	you're saying a meeting on November 12th. And where was the meeting?						
5	Q I believe it was at the White House. And it involved Jared Kushner, Hope						
6	Hicks, Johnny McEntee, Justin Clark, Rudy Giuliani, the President, and the Vice President.						
7	A I don't remember being in that meeting. That doesn't mean I wasn't. I						
8	wouldn't be surprised if I was.						
9	This what I'm about to say to you is not intended to sound flip. But, you know,						
10	for 4 years, I had a lot of meetings in the Oval Office. And so it, you know, it just sort						
11	of I never I couldn't tell you one thing I was doing from November 12th.						
12	Q Do you remember being in meetings with Mr. Giuliani at all in the						
13	post-election period?						
14	A I certainly remember talking to him on occasion. I certainly remember						
15	running into him at on the peripheries of some White House meetings. You know, I						
16	was going somewhere. He was going somewhere. We were, you know, in the holding						
17	area at the same time or, you know, running into each other before or after one of our						
18	respective meetings.						
19	I probably had a few meetings where we were getting updates on campaign						
20	litigation, strategy. And none, again, specifically stick out to me, which, again, is not						
21	intended to sound dismissive. It just is what it is.						
22	Q Tell us the nature of your conversations with Mr. Giuliani when you did talk						
23	to him. What did you guys talk about?						
24	A Honestly, it would be exactly what you would think, which is that both of us						

1	taiking about whatever the cases of challenges were of the day. So it would just be				
2	dependent on, you know, what was going on that particular day.				
3	Q Did you ever talk to him about whether to raise election challenges on the				
4	basis of fraud as opposed to an irregularity, like a change in the law because of COVID?				
5	A Well, it's sort of a technical nuance. I can't I can't remember a				
6	conversation with him getting into that specific nuance, no.				
7	But I will say to you that I don't see the two now, legally, that's your				
8	department. But, as a practical matter, I don't see the two as being that substantively				
9	different. I see them as being part of the continuum of wrongdoing.				
10	Q Part of the reason I asked that question is because Mr. Giuliani was, in fact,				
11	asked I think in at least one court case whether the campaign was alleging fraud. And,				
12	in sum and substance, Mr. Giuliani told the court no.				
13	And so I guess I'm wondering did you ever talk to Mr. Giuliani about why the				
14	campaign was not making or not raising challenges related to fraud specifically?				
15	A No. I do remember some news stories about what you're talking about.				
16	So that sort of does come to me. I don't remember ever having a conversation with him				
17	about it.				
18	You know, the reality is that I would have been I would have been glad to have				
19	had such a conversation. I would have been glad to be an attorney and to be a				
20	campaign attorney and to have been in that particular fight. My that would have				
21	been quite an honor.				
22	As it so happens, my job at the time, not solely but in the main, was in more				
23	around, again, we're communicating these information about these challenges, these				
24	legal challenges, and information about an electoral process to the public. And so I				

would talk to people to try to glean or gather information that would inform that effort.

•		
_		

[12:30 p.m.]

3 BY MR.

Q So part of that is you were out in public, as were other surrogates, to use a term you've used, talking about election fraud or purported fraud or reports of fraud in the election. And Mr. Giuliani, at least in one case, was saying that's not what we're raising in the courts, or in that court, I should say, to be precise.

So did you ever talk about the fact that what was being discussed publicly wasn't always the same as what was being raised in court?

A Again, I have some memories of news reports sort of pulling at that, or poking at that. The -- I think, you know -- again, I'm not an attorney, but spent a long time around attorneys, still do. Sometimes they work for me; sometimes they don't.

But the -- but my -- my sort of, like, law school 101 answer to that would be that it's my understanding that the -- that the terms that are used in courtrooms are specific to what statutes are in play, what precedents apply in that circuit, or if -- you know, applicable to the Supreme Court, what -- what is that judge's -- that district court judge's own history, what is he receptive to, what is the case law?

And so a lot goes into decisions that are made about word choices in a particular legal brief, and I just wouldn't have given myself huge amount of thought to -- I used to think, Well, WHY is this -- you know, why is this phraseology being used in this particular courtroom and this specific point in time?

I mean, you know, for example -- and correct me if I'm wrong here, but I think that a -- I think that a case was won in Wisconsin quite recently on the issue of -- of how the absentee system was administered in the State and finding that it was unlawfully administered because the -- they overrode State legislature's conditions for mail-in

1	balloting and decreed a bunch of people to be, you know, confined at home who weren't
2	confined to home, and the people, you know, were able to get mail-in ballots without first
3	sending in a separate a separate mail-in ballot application, or to be or to become to
4	become an absentee voter before requesting a ballot, and so there were a lot of these
5	issues at play, which, in a courtroom, in arguing those issues, there might be words that
6	you would use that would apply to those statutes in that State that, maybe when you're
7	talking about publicly, you would use a different term that would be more that would

be more suited for a -- for a public discussion than for a legal argument.

Q Well, let me ask you this: You said in that answer quite a bit, but one of the things you said is that you would coordinate with the lawyers to find, or to get updates on status of certain challenges.

A I don't know that -- I don't know that I said coordinate. At least I don't think I said that.

Q Okay. So you said that you spoke with Mr. Giuliani or others to get information related to challenges in the courts.

A Yeah. So what I said was that, in -- and apologize. Did not intend to interrupt you, but -- but it might save you some time. The -- or save all of us some time so we can not --

- Q So let me ask you, Mr. Miller --
- 20 A -- debate about a --

21 Q Let me just rephrase it, then.

You know, how were court cases and the decisions coming out of the courts rejecting some of the fraud claims used to inform the public messaging that you had helped coordinate as a communications person working for the benefit of the campaign?

A The way that Judge Reed said it, the -- one of my primary duties in

- overseeing the White House Speechwriting Office would have been to -- to provide guidance and strategic direction to make any set of remarks as effective as possible in
- 3 prosecuting a case.

- To do that, it would make sense to go to attorneys -- more often than not, really

 Justin Clark -- and say, Give us the latest facts that you are arguing in court that we can

 incorporate into our speech product.
- 7 That's the behavior that I am describing to you.
 - Q Okay. And there were a number of election challenges after the election, almost all of which -- I think 60 or -- 61 or thereabouts rejected claims related to purported fraud in the election.
 - And so how did those decisions coming from the courts affect, if at all, the messaging that you were working on for the campaign?
 - A Yeah. I would just -- I don't mean to be difficult, but I would just -- would just mildly correct your statement, which is that the -- as you yourself pointed out, the issue before the courts in many cases was not, Here is 100,000 ballots in Maricopa County. The signatures don't look anything like the names on the ballots. Your Honor, you take a look at these signatures. You tell us.
 - A lot of these cases that you're talking about -- I'm not an expert, but my understanding is -- were -- the judges would do everything in their power to sidestep these questions. And the -- and, in every case where people actually have gone and said, Okay, let's actually go get ballots. Let's actually go look at the signatures on the envelopes and look at the signatures in the voter file, that what people have found is shocking.
- 24 Q And that's your understanding, correct, Mr. Miller?
- A As I sit here today and talk to you, that is but a fraction of my total

understanding, which I'd be happy to expound on further at your leisure.

Q But yeah. I mean, I'm just looking at -- there is a case on

November 20th -- and I'm going to ask you if you're familiar with it -- where, in the

Northern District of Georgia, a Federal judge heard a case about signature match, one of
the things you just mentioned, and said there was no standing, like you mentioned, but
said -- he went on and looked at the merits and said, Even assuming that there is
standing, the court nonetheless finds that the Plaintiff wouldn't be entitled to the relief
he seeks. That was a ruling on the merits looking at the evidence that was presented.

So my question is, first, are you familiar with that case and cases like it, because there were a number; and two, how did those decisions on the merits of election fraud-related claims inform the communications coming out of the campaign?

A Well, I would love to -- to have my prep materials in the case that you're describing, because I am -- I am not a lawyer, but -- and I usually prefer to be more humble than this, but I'm confident that, if I read the case in detail, I would have a shockingly effective rebuttal to your statement.

So you have me at a bit of an unfair disadvantage, and so, we will save that debate for another day. But I'm confident your assessment probably has some significant holes in it.

But what I will say is that the -- if you look at just one example in Georgia, if they had the same rejection rate in the 2020 election as they had in the last State-led election before the consent decree was entered into by the State with respect to signature matching, that President Trump would have won Georgia going away. That's just one example.

Again, we can have this debate if you want to, and I'm happy to, but --

Q No, no. 1 --

1	A I don't know that that's something you want to do.
2	Q Yeah, no. To be clear, I don't want to have a debate with you. That's not
3	at all my intention.
4	My question was: Are you familiar with that case or cases like it, where judges
5	did decide election fraud-related issues on the merits as they described them?
6	A I I do not believe that you can find a case anywhere in the country where a
7	judge has conducted a forensic audit, as has been done now by State legislatures,
8	including in Wisconsin, which have found some results, again, which can only be
9	described as as as shocking. But that's how you have to do it.
LO	And the judges, to your point, they are they're dismissing cases on procedural
11	grounds, and I don't think that again, I'm not familiar with that case, and you did not
12	include it in our prep materials. So, again, it puts me at somewhat of a disadvantage
L3	here.
L4	But the but, again, it's if you actually took take any take any county that
L5	did not do signature verification. So, you know, take take, for example, you know
L6	Q Mr. Miller, we're getting a little far afield with the question, and so I'm just
L7	going to move on from that point, but I appreciate it. Just in the interest of time and not
L8	keeping everyone here until the late hours of the night, I do want to just keep us to the
L9	questions that we're asking.
20	So if we could pull up exhibit No. 10.
21	Can you see that, Mr. Miller?
22	A Yes, I can. Thank you.
23	Q Okay. So this is an email that you provided, and it starts at the bottom,
24	you sent email to Mr. Clark, Mr. Trainer, Mr. Morgan, Mr. Jim O'Connell, copying

Mr. Murtaugh, Mr. Worthington, and Mr. Haley. Subject, information for potential

1	remarks	, slas	sh, pressers.			
2	Α	And t	then you ask:	Can we please get for t	he follov	wing tonight, or excuse
3	me get the following tonight for all key States, numerical analysis of why we have					
4	won/wil	ll wir	n; active or plan	ned litigation and argur	ments th	erein; evidence, anecdotal or
5	systemic	c, of	voter irregulari	ties, fraud, or unlawful	voting.	And you said, Concision and
6	clarity ke	ey.				
7	J	ustir	n Clark respond	s on the 4th, just about	5 minut	es later or so, 6 minutes later,
8	saying, The team is working on it now. We'll have it to you as soon as possible.					
9	S	So do	you remembe	r making this request to	Mr. Cla	rk and others on
LO	Novemb	er 4	th?			
l1	Д	4	l do.			
L2	C	Q	What was the	purpose of seeking this	informa	tion?
L3	Д	4	I think it's exac	tly what it says there, w	/hich is t	hat I to collect the
L4	arguments, the evidence, all of the information that we'll need to prosecute the case, for					
L5	and as th	he sı	ubject line says,	, potential remarks/pres	ssers.	
16	C	Q	Was there any	specific remarks or pre	ss confe	rence that you had in mind
L7	that w	hen	you sought this	s information?		
18	A	4	Nothing that I	can think of right now.	You kn	ow, analyzing my
L9	own a	naly	zing my own wi	riting as I sit here today	and just	sort of getting inside my own
20	brain, I r	mear	n, looking at it,	it sure seemed like I wa	s asking	for something for general
21	purpose	s for	to be ready	for anything, just how it	appear	s to me reading it.
22	C	Q	And, at this po	int, on November 4th, v	which is	the day after the election,
23	you're a	skin	g for evidence c	of voter irregularities, fra	aud, or ι	ınlawful voting.

Do you recall having seen any actual evidence of voter irregularities, fraud, or

unlawful voting yourself before making this request?

24

Α	What do	ou mean	by that?
---	---------	---------	----------

Q Did you have any evidence, documentary or otherwise, about voter fraud that you remember having before you made this request on November the 4th, or were you seeking it for the first time, in other words?

A Well, I mean, I already knew -- well, I don't want to note -- I don't think that's what I knew, what, and when. What I would say to you is that, certainly around this time period, I was very well familiar with the fact that multiple States had violated their own election laws in administering the election.

We talked to some length about Wisconsin. We talked not at length, but we talked a little bit about Georgia today. Pennsylvania obviously is a State that violated their own election laws. We talked about the signature matching issues, the consent decree.

So there were already -- even before -- you're talking about November 4th. Even before you get really into the detail of the -- again, it's also -- I think that's in the time they're already -- you know, that's taking things sort of at random out of my memory, but people getting kicked out of camp rooms and election being improperly administered according to the rules in the precinct, you know, in the States, and endemic concerns about everything from a lack of citizenship verification, to a lack of voter ID, to identity verification, to the facility verification, and just so on and so forth.

So there is -- you know, I mean, the answer is just, you know, where do you even -- where do you even begin? We had one of the worst administered election systems in the world. You know, strategists voted. They knew within a few hours who won the election.

Q So, as you sit here today, do you remember having any of that information that you just ticked off before you made this request on November 4th?

1	A I'm not going to add anything to the answer I already gave, which is that			
2	these are all things that I was aware of around that time. And, of course, as time went			
3	on, you continue to learn more.			
4	Q Do you recall ever receiving a response from Mr. Clark with the information			
5	you requested, or anybody else?			
6	A Some documents that you sent us refreshed my recollection about some			
7	sort of a repository that the campaign had created to store some of this information.			
8	don't specifically remember what was in the repository or to what extent that I used it.			
9	But I do remember, again, also your emails refreshed my recollection, or			
10	your your the the documents that you sent us in advance of today refreshed my			
11	recollection, and also seeing broadly through talking points, documents, other things that			
12	had been that had been sent along. So, you know, all of that, you know, I think would			
13	answer your question.			
14	Q If we can go to exhibit No. 11.			
15	This is an email from Mr. Murtaugh to you, Mr. Worthington, and Mr. Haley on			
16	November 4th at 6:57 p.m., which is shortly before the email we just looked at. And this			
17	has it's a forward of Michigan/Pennsylvania transparency issues.			
18	somebody named T. Elliot Gaiser. Who is Mr. Gaiser?			
19	A So I don't remember a lot about Gaiser. I do know that, in some of the			
20	production that you sent me, I was flipping through it, and I saw his name somewhere.			

Q You don't know who Mr. Gaiser is, though?

A Well, so, I mean, to be precise, you sent some documents yesterday. I browsed through them. In one of these subject lines, I saw -- I think I saw his name somewhere. It's just -- it is not ringing a bell as I sort of sit here today. I'm apologizing

to you for that, but that's it.

1	Q	Okay.	Well, it	t looks like Mr. Gaiser, who is i	ncluded on this email, so this
2	may be the one that you're thinking of, his signature block is senior associate counsel,				
3	Donald J. T	rump for	Preside	nt, Inc.	
4	А	Right.	Yeah.	That's the that's the signat	ure block that I saw when I was
5	browsing tl	hrough th	nis, yep.		
6	Q	Okay.	But yo	u don't remember dealing witl	n him other than seeing this
7	today, do you recall ever dealing with him during the post-election period through				
8	January 20	th, for ex	ample?		
9	А	lt's not	ringing	a bell, but that doesn't mean t	hat that I that I didn't. It's
10	just, you know, my memories are conversations with others, like we mentioned earlier,				
11	Tim Murta	ugh, Justi	n Clark.		
12	Q	This inf	formatio	on that's here about Michigan l	ack of transparency,
13	Pennsylvan	ia lack of	transpa	arency, do you remember requ	esting this information, or
14	what's you	r underst	anding o	of why you received it?	
15	Α	We're	this is,	what this is November 4th?	I mean, we're talking about
16	the day aft	er an unc	lecided,	contested, unfinished election	. I don't know what kind of
17	operation \	we're run	ning if I	wasn't getting information.	
18	Q	And, w	hen you	got information like this, did y	ou have any role in
19	fact-checki	ng it to m	nake sur	e it was accurate or deciding it	's not accurate?
20	Α	Not rea	ally. I r	mean, in other words, I'm the	e the fact-checking would run
21	in the oppo	site dire	ction, rig	ght? In other words, I might s	end something to them and
22	say, Hey, d	oes this l	ook righ	t to you, not the other way in	reverse.

25 A Fact-checking was -- the -- the campaign is the authoritative source talking to

Okay. But you personally didn't have any role in fact-checking other than

Q

asking other people to do it?

23

1	people on the ground, assembling information, sending it to me. I would have no					
2	reason to fact-check them.					
3	Q Do you know whether Mr. Worthington or Mr. Haley ever fact-checked this					
4	information related to issues, or purported issues, in Michigan or Pennsylvania?					
5	A Again, I I think that it would have been reverse, right? I think that, as a					
6	general matter, if we were if we were to be discussing issues about, you know,					
7	observers being kicked out of rooms and counts being shielded and, you know, improper					
8	practices or counts being stopped or suitcases being carried in the room, or anything like					
9	that, it would have been us to the campaign saying, What do your people on the ground					
10	have? What do they know? Tell us.					
11	I don't know I don't know what system we would have had for fact-checking					
12	that, because these kinds of these kinds of objections are based upon testimonial					
13	observation.					
14	Q So, if the campaign decided that, or reached a conclusion that something					
15	wasn't supported factually, one of these allegations about fraud in the election, you					
16	would have to rely on their determination as opposed to doing your own or having the					
17	White House speechwriting team do its own factual assessment. Is that fair?					
18	A I would I would caution against overly categorical construction in general					

everything. I would just say that the -- I would go, or my staff would go to the campaign or the campaign's attorneys and say, Tell us what's happening. And they would be the ones in an authoritative position to know.

Q Did you ever receive information about irregularities or purported fraud in

when you're talking about -- about, you know, you know, one size fits all, one rule for

A As I sit here today, I don't remember him sending directly to me, Hey,

the election directly from Mr. Giuliani?

- Stephen, here is some information. I had many -- many casual conversations with him.
- We were, you know, you know, coming and going from similar places or what have you.
- 3 The -- and I'm sure that work product that he had inevitably would have been transmitted
- 4 to people who, in turn, would have transmitted it to me.

So if -- I would have seen material, but I don't remember anything where Rudy said, Hey, Stephen, here is, like, a specific thing to you. That -- it doesn't mean it didn't happen. It just means that, you know, you're talking about one of the most intricate, far-reaching things that you can envision, which is a -- you know, our system of elections in 50 States where every State has their own rules and procedures.

Q So, if Mr. Giuliani or anybody on his team needed to get information to you about purported fraud or irregularities in the election, would that type of information fall into what we were just discussing, the information that you would rely on the campaign to vet and check before it gets to you and your team?

A Because -- you know, it's tough because, you know, we're -- you know, we're talking about a hypothetical here rather than, you know, actual memory, right? In other words, I'm not thinking of anything specific. I'm just sort of applying what would have been best practices.

So what I would say to you is that, if it's material from a legal challenge, something that is actually in a filing, then it speaks for itself. It doesn't require any additional evaluation. If it's something that's, you know, more vague, like, oh, well, there was, you know -- there is issues with poll watchers in this precinct, then we might say, okay, we need more details. Who do we follow up with? Let's go ask some more questions, find some more stuff out. But, again, I'm talking to you just in very vague generalities, because I'm not remembering anything specifically that speaks to your question.

Q If we can go to exhibit No. 12, please.

1	This is an exhibit, or a document, that you sent to us, Mr. Miller. It's dated
2	November the 4th at 8:37 p.m., and it's an email from you to Mr. Worthington, Mr. Clark,
3	Mr. Jason Miller, Mr. Murtaugh, and Mr. Haley. It looks like it's responding to this email
4	about Michigan, Pennsylvania transparency issues.
5	Mr. Worthington asked a few questions about is there anything to the claim about
6	extremely high Wisconsin turnout number, and then cites and includes a Twitter link, and
7	includes an earlier email from just a few minutes before about Philadelphia.
8	A So
9	Q I'll ask you first: Why do you remember why you added Mr. Clark and
10	Mr. Miller to this email chain?
11	Mr. Rowley. Counsel, it may very well be that Mr. Miller recalls this email, but
12	this is something that he probably has not seen in more than a year and a half until
13	Wednesday well, until yesterday. So if we can take a look and see the entire
14	document rather than just to have his attention directed to a particular clause, that
15	might that might be of assistance.
16	BY MR. :
17	Q Yeah. This is an email that we just looked at in exhibit No. 12 at the
18	beginning, the Michigan lack of transparency, and then Mr. Worthington's response that
19	we were just looking at, if you go up slightly, as well as another response from
20	Mr. Worthington. And then the final email is you, Mr. Miller, forwarding it to, or adding
21	Justin Clark and Jason Miller to the chain.
22	So do you remember this?
23	A I don't specifically remember it, no, but it seems almost certain that I would
24	have added them in order to have them evaluate Ross' questions.

Do you recall ever hearing back from them on these claims?

25

Q

1	A Idon't. Idon't recall, no.	
2	Q In this, Mr. Worthington asked about a claim related to high turnout in	
3	Wisconsin, and then includes a Twitter site.	
4	Do you remember whether people on the speechwriting team, including	
5	Mr. Worthington or Mr. Haley or others, were, in fact, obtaining information about	
6	election fraud from public sources like Twitter or other social media?	
7	A Well, I think that we were all consuming news to stay abreast of the latest	
8	developments. How could you how could you not be?	
9	Q And so, that would include I mean, I see the Twitter hyperlink here, but	
10	that would include news that's sent around on social media, like Twitter? Is that what	
11	you're saying?	
12	A I mean, certainly one place that you would go to get breaking news would be	
13	social media.	
14	Q All right. If we can go to exhibit No. 13, please.	
15	And this is an email from Dick Morris, which includes a long series it appears to	
16	be a statement by the President, as reflected by the opening words there, statement by	
17	the President, or a draft statement by the President. And he asks, looks like,	
18	Mr. Meadows, Ms. Hicks, Mr. McLaughlin, and you, Mr. Miller, with the subject line,	
19	Please give to the President at his request.	
20	And then you, Mr. Miller, send it to Mr. Worthington and Mr. Haley. This is on	
21	November 5th. And you, in your email, say, "Incorporate some of this. Standby."	
22	Do you remember this email?	
23	A Not until not until I saw it in the in the production did it sort of did it	
24	sort of vaguely trigger a recollection.	
25	Q Do you remember what you meant when you asked Mr. Worthington or	

- 1 Mr. Haley to incorporate some of this and stand by?
- A I don't remember what I meant. I can -- I can engage in speculation. As I
- sit here today looking at it, I can speculate, but I don't remember, no.
- Q If you can offer some insight as to why you think you might have been doing that based on what you recall happening at the period, that would certainly be helpful for
- 7 A I can offer you speculation, not insight.

thought in here, or whatever.

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

us.

- 8 Q Okay. That's fine. Please go ahead, and then we'll receive it as such.
- A Thank you. My speculation in reading it would be that something in that
 document was liked -- some parts of it was liked by somebody. Don't remember who.

 Somebody read it and said, Hey, there is a good line in here, or, Hey, there is, like, a good
 - And so, there was -- then I'm also speculating that there was some other thing -- don't know what -- as I sit here today, I couldn't tell you, but there is some other things of relevance we were working on. I thought to myself, Well, hey, if somebody liked a line in here, or lines, plural, in here, then why not incorporate it into some of this thing that you're already doing.
 - And then we can say to the person, whoever that was, who liked a piece of this, Hey, good news for you. We incorporated some of it into this thing that we're doing.
- That would be my speculation based upon what I see in front of me.
- 21 Q And if we could pull up exhibit No. 14, please.
- Can you see exhibit No. 14 there, Mr. Miller?
- 23 A I can, yes.
- Q Okay. And, if we go to page 2 of this, we'll show you the top of that. It's an attachment to this email called election update.

1	I don't want to put words in your mouth, but this appears to be a draft speech
2	prepared for the President about remarks related to the election.
3	If we go back to the email itself, this is an email from Mr. Worthington on
4	November 5th, in the morning, to Mr. Miller Mr. Jason Miller, to be clear; Mr. Stepien;
5	and copying you and Mr. Haley. Subject, close hold, with the attachments of election
6	update revised, see attached.
7	Do you remember the draft speech here, election update, and working on this?
8	A Again, as I as I look at it now, I mean, I and I read it now, looking at it in
9	front of me, there is elements of this that that are familiar to me, but I couldn't tell
10	you and you may have a you may have a question or two that but I couldn't tell yo
11	as I sit here who asked for it, what became of it, what it was used for, or was it created
12	for a contingency? Was it created for a plan? Was that plan changed? That, I just
13	don't remember.
14	Q Do you remember being asked to prepare remarks for the President to give
15	about an election update in the days after the election?
16	A No. I mean, I'll refer you to my earlier answer.
17	Q Don't recall anything specifically about that?
18	A Right. In other words and, again, in the interest of trying to be
19	constructive, when I read it, I say, Yeah, hmm, that sentence seems familiar to me. Tha
20	sentence seems like something my eyes have seen before. Yeah, there is elements of
21	this that have a that that I that I feel like I've read or looked at it before, but I just
22	can't remember, as I mentioned, the answer to each of the the questions that I posed.
23	Q All right. Let's look at exhibit No. 15, please.
24	Can you see exhibit 15, Mr. Miller?

l can.

1	Q This has the same subject line forward, close hold. It's from		
2	Mr. Worthington, attaching the same file originally, if you look at the bottom of the email		
3	chain.		
4	If you go down just a bit,		
5	With an attachment of election update revised. It looks like Mr. Jason Miller		
6	responded, Team throwing a big blinking caution light here. A lot of new data coming		
7	out last night that we need to analyze this AM. Many of the stats used here in these		
8	draft remarks are already outdated. This is not about broader thematic speeches. This		
9	is about cold hard data points and legal challenges.		
LO	And you respond to him, That's why we sent it to you, to put in the latest facts and		
l1	data. I don't consider this a thematic speech. It has a brief intro and conclusion, but		
L2	the entire middle is where we are in each State and issues of voter fraud. It would		
L3	benefit greatly from more facts and data from the campaign on the races and the legal		
L4	challenges.		
L5	So do you remember this exchange with Mr. Miller and others about the draft		
L6	election update?		
L7	A Again, if you could ask me cold, describe to me your emails in the morning of		
L8	the 5th, or whatever time or date it was sent yeah, I see it's one there at 5:55. I'm not		
L9	sure when the reply is. Could be that Steve replies later.		
20	But regardless, I wasn't able to say, Oh, yes, I remember. But looking at it now,		
21	again, you know, as, you know, like when someone reads to you, like, the first two-thirds		
22	of a song lyric, you know, the last fifth can kind of come to mind, it does look like a thing		
23	that is familiar obviously obviously I wrote those words, and it's familiar to me.		

So -- and that's exactly why we show you documents, is, you know, to not

ask you these questions cold. But I appreciate that, had you not seen this, you wouldn't

Q

24

1	recognize it. But now that you have seen it, do you remember this exchange that you
2	had with Mr. Miller about these election update revised remarks?
3	A What I remember again, heavily informed by the benefit of reading these
4	words right in front of me, so how much of it is memory and how much of it is me just
5	reading the words right now, it's hard to say more the latter than the former is having
6	a speech some time or another and wanting the campaign to insert the latest, newest
7	figures and facts into the draft, again, this being a highly evolving and dynamic situation.
8	Q And looks like you were relying on the campaign, similar to what you said
9	earlier, to provide the facts and what could or not could or not be used in a speech like
LO	this. Is that accurate?
l1	A Yes. That would have been the correct and proper flow of information.
12	Q Could we pull up exhibit No. 16, please.
L3	This is an email that you provided to us, Mr. Miller. And if you go to the bottom
L4	of page 1, this starts the chain.
15	You, on November the 6th, ask, Has anyone done or could they could any do a
L6	mathematical analysis to show how astronomically unlikely the perfectly tailored Dem
L7	vote results are in particular precincts and States?
L8	Mr. Miller responds a few minutes later or a minute or so later, it appears. He
L9	says, Yep. Alex Cannon, working with Eric Trump, conducting that as we speak.
20	And then you say, This will be very powerful.
21	Do you remember the context for this email, why you wrote it?
22	A Not specifically, no, but but but, again, I mean, when you're talking
23	about, you know, this time period in general, we have an un we have an undecided,

contested, open election, and so, every day is about getting the latest information, latest

24

25

facts, the latest figures.

And and I do you know, looking at my email there, I do remember not this
email specifically, but I do remember being interested in getting an analysis done still
am, for that matter I think some has been done in this area about the and we know
a little bit more about how this happened now, particularly with some of the scholarship
that's been done by Mollie Hemingway and others, but about the about the anomalous
turnout results in very specific precincts in very specific States that were not replicated in
other similar cities in nonswing States.

Q And do you remember the basis for your belief at the time that certain results -- certain vote results were astronomically unlikely, or was that just conjecture and you're seeking information to either validate or invalidate that thought?

A Well, just what I've said. The -- again, you know, I would have enjoyed, had our preparatory materials been vote counts and vote tallies from all the precincts and had a chance to have that conversation with you today -- and I'd welcome the opportunity to do that -- the -- but -- but, not having them in front of me, my -- my recollection is that it was obvious or striking to any objective observer that, again, there were voting habits, behaviors, turnout patterns in specific precincts that were not replicated in other precincts that were otherwise similar but for the fact that they were not in swing States.

And that's something that, again, a lot of scholarship has been done since then, including by Mollie Hemingway.

- Q Of course, whatever scholarship you're talking about in saying since then, you didn't have when you wrote this email, right, and said that this could be powerful information?
 - A Well, looking at an email on November 6th, is let's get this analysis done.
 - Q Right. But you didn't have Ms. Hemingway's analysis at that point, correct?
- 25 A Well, what I had -- you know, she subsequently wrote a book called

1	"Rigged," but	
2	Q Okay.	
3	A what I had at that point in time was my eyes and my brain and just	
4	common sense, and looking at results and seeing how again, how anomalous they were	
5	relative to other precincts in nonswing States.	
6	Again, I don't have the data in front of me, so I can't you know, I would have	
7	been happy to have done that or would have been happy to have given it we could sit	
8	down and look at it together, but that's that that's not the purpose that your bosses	
9	have asked you to carry out, as much as I might wish that it were.	
10	Mr. Rowley. Counsel, just before	
11	BY MR. ::	
12	Q For the record, you don't have any information about what we've been	
13	asked to look into specifically, so I'm going to go to exhibit No. 17.	
14	And this is a text message exchange that you had with Ms. McEnany. We	
15	produced this to you.	
16	Mr. Rowley. Counsel? Counsel, just before we get into that, it's coming up	
17	onto, according to my clock, 1:12 p.m. When when would you like to break for lunch?	
18	Mr. Let me just do this exhibit, if that's okay with you, Mr. Rowley, and	
19	then happy to break for lunch.	
20	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Okay.	
21	BY MR.	
22	Q So, Mr. Miller, this is a text message exchange between you and	
23	Ms. McEnany. And I'd note you confirmed earlier that your personal cell phone number	
24	ended in, correct?	
25	A Correct.	

1	Q Do you have these messages in your possession, custody, or control still?
2	A My phone was provided to my attorneys subsequent to the the arrival of
3	the subpoena. At great time and expense, we obtained an outside firm to do a forensic
4	analysis and extraction, and then that formed the basis of the document production to
5	you.
6	I don't know what more I can add to the question than that.
7	Q Okay. And, to the best of your knowledge, then, you don't have these
8	messages any longer, is that correct, based on what you just said and the process you just
9	described?
10	A Again, all I can say is that we rigorously complied with our purported
11	obligations under the subpoena.
12	Q And so, to the best of your knowledge, you don't have these, because you
13	didn't turn them over and would have, correct?
14	A I mean, that would be a reasonable assumption to draw from the facts and
15	circumstances.
16	Q I just want to note for the record
17	A I don't have them in front of me, so, I mean, I certainly do not believe that I
18	would have had possession of it.
19	Q Okay. Thank you, Mr. Miller.
20	A I'll just say, my phone is in the room, but I'm not scrolling through it right
21	now.
22	Mr. Rowley. Counsel, as we previously discussed, counsel did a forensic analysis
23	of the phone. We we produced all the messages that were responsive to the
24	subpoena, and you now have those.
25	Mr Thank you, Mr. Rowley.

1	BY MR.
2	Q Mr. Miller, just to follow up on that, do you recall deleting any information
3	after you received the subpoena from the select committee?
4	A No. I did not.
5	Q Do you remember deleting any information once you first learned that you
6	were going to be subpoenaed by the select committee?
7	A No.
8	Q All right. So, in this exchange, Ms. McEnany asks, or sends you a message
9	that says, CNN just called it for Joe.
10	You asked, What's the plan?
11	She then responds, says again, AP called it for Biden, and says, that's a great
12	question. She adds, I was told no people on TV. Campaign won't even share info with
13	Tim Murtaugh. And she asks, Let me know if you catch wind of POTUS wanting to
14	speak.
15	So you asked, What's the plan?
16	Did you ever learn what the plan was about messaging after in the day or so
17	after the election had been called for Mr. Biden by the networks?
18	A No. I mean, nothing I don't and there is sort of a specific plan, but at
19	the same time, divining my intention from the exchange that you're showing me
20	here and this is mostly this is mostly messages from Kayleigh, but divining the
21	meaning of those three words, I probably had a narrower thing in mind as in, like, you
22	know, like, what's the plan right now from the communications standpoint, is presumably
23	the subtext of the whole message.
24	You know, in other words, are we going out on TV? Do we have any surrogates
25	to lend out? Do we have any talking points? Do we have the holding statement?

- 1 Like that would have been the full -- guessing, but that would have been the full subtext
- 2 of the message.
- Q Understood. And she responds three messages after yours saying, I was
- 4 told no people on TV.
- 5 Did you ever learn why the campaign or White House decided not to have
- anybody on TV in those hours or so after the election had been called by the networks for
- 7 Mr. Biden?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q Do you know why the campaign won't share information or wouldn't share
- information with Mr. Murtaugh around this time, on November 7th?
- A Well, I want to be careful here not to suggest that -- the -- I do not
- independently know whether the campaign would or wouldn't share info with
- 13 Mr. Murtaugh. I can -- I can hypothesize, but -- not about that. I can hypothesize
- about a hypothetical, if you want to give me one, but I don't know if what she's saying is
- 15 correct or not.
- 16 Q Understood. If we can go --
- 17 A Not -- not that she wouldn't believe it to be correct. You know, it's -- in
- these kinds of moments, people oftentimes have information that's conflicting or
- inaccurate or something to update, or whatever it is. So I don't know exactly what she
- 20 heard at that moment that prompted her to send that to me.
- 21 Q All right. If you go to page 2 of the exhibit, there is one more message that
- 22 I neglected to read earlier, and I apologize. It says -- you write, Will do. We have a
- draft in case it's needed.
- And that was in response to her asking you to let her know if the President
- 25 wanted to speak.

1	Wha	it were you referring to here? You have a draft in case it's needed. What
2	kind of rem	arks did you prepare after or for in the event that the election was called for
3	Mr. Biden?	
4	Α	I don't remember, honestly. The I don't know what draft I'm referring to
5	in that mess	sage or not.
6	Q	Do you think it's something that we had already went over here today with
7	you in some	e of the exhibits we've shown you?
8	А	Could very well be.
9	Q	But you don't know for sure?
10	Α	No, I don't. And
11	Q	Okay.
12	Α	And I would only say also that, again, just reading my text messages now,
13	there is a	gain, the subtext of my message to her, looking at it now, knowing me, is kind
14	of like me b	eing like, don't you know, don't stress. Just be calm. We'll have our
15	issues cover	red. Sort of see, like, the general tone of, like it's quite possible that I
16	didn't really	anticipate there be a need for a draft of anything, but I'm just saying, like,
17	Look, as far	as, you know, me and you are concerned, don't don't you be worried. It's
18	probably so	rt of the subtext there.
19	Mr.	All right. At this point, I think it would be appropriate to break, so
20	let's go off t	he record.

[Recess.]

1	
2	[1:56 p.m.]
3	Mr It's 1:56, and we are resuming the deposition of Mr. Stephen Miller.
4	BY MR.
5	Q So, Mr. Miller, I'd like to go to November 9, 2020. And we understand that,
6	on November 9, you were in a meeting with Mr. Stepien, Representative Scott Perry,
7	Representative Jim Jordan, Mark Meadows, Kayleigh McEnany, and maybe others as well.
8	Do you remember being in a meeting with those people on or about November the 9th?
9	A I'm thinking. Hold on. Vaguely. Vaguely.
10	Q What do you remember about a meeting with Representative Scott Jordan
11	and the others around that time?
12	A You'll have to refresh my memory. I just I do have some vague
13	recollection of a meeting, and my mind's eyes sees Perry, but I just don't I'm not pulling
14	anything else.
15	Q Okay. Well, I can tell you, it's been publicly reported that this is a
16	description of the meeting. The group settled on a strategy that would be a blueprint
17	for supporters in Congress, hammered home the idea that the election was tainted,
18	announced legal actions being taken by the campaign, discussed fraud. And
19	Mr. Jordan's spokesperson said that the meeting was to discuss media strategy, not
20	necessarily contesting the election wholesale. And that's not a quoted language, but
21	that is generally a description that we're familiar with. Does that refresh your
22	recollection as to any meeting that you may have had with Mr. Jordan or Mr. Perry?
23	A No, but, I mean, it makes sense that we would've been discussing
24	congressional relations given the participants.
25	Q Okay. Can you discuss that topic? I mean, what do you remember of

1	outreach to Members of Congress related to the election in the leadup to January 6th,	
2	any point between November and January 6th?	
3	A Wait, so, sorry so you've moved on from the meeting. You said anything	
4	from November 3rd to January 6th about what?	
5	Q Outreach or discussions with Members of Congress, including this meeting, if	
6	you can recall what happened at this meeting.	
7	A I unfortunately, and I do apologize, I have no other I have no specific	
8	recollections from that meeting. I would also note what's the date of that meeting?	
9	Q November the 8th.	
10	A Okay.	
11	Q Or the 9th, I'm sorry.	
12	A Okay. I would also just note as context that the that during the time	
13	period that you're focused on a lot today I was out of the office, not during that meeting,	
14	but for a good portion of the time period that you just asked about between the 3rd and	
15	the 6th because	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	Q I'm sorry to hear that and the issues that you went through, Mr. Miller,	
24	But I appreciate that context for, you know,	
25	identifying issues and where you were or weren't during certain times.	

But, with respect to this question, do you recall having meetings with Members o
Congress related to the election after November 3rd?

A I recall having a few conversations that was more just in the realm of fact finding, just sort of what are you guys doing, what are you guys working on, might have sent some notes of encouragement, good job, like what you're doing, appreciate it, that kind of thing. But I was not myself, not because I wouldn't have wanted to be, but just the virtue of all the things that I just said as well as what my job was, I was not myself involved in the work with Members of Congress pertaining to the Electoral Count Act.

Q Okay. And the meetings or conversations that you just mentioned, when do you recall having them with Members of Congress or their staffs?

A There weren't necessarily meetings. I mean, they might have just been just casual conversation with a Member of Congress who might have been in the building or something to that effect. I don't remember -- there's no specific meeting or plan or strategy that I can remember being involved in when it came to the organizing congressional strategy.

Q Who are the Members or the staffs that you recall talking to about the election?

A Well, as you mentioned, the -- and, again, I don't remember the details from it, but I think it was evidently at a meeting with Congressman Perry and Jordan. And I think that it was probably -- based on that spokesperson's statement you mentioned, probably more of a communication strategy than a -- than a legal -- a legislative strategy.

And I know from my text messages that were produced to you that I sent some notes of encouragement to Members of Congress, applauded them for their leadership on the issue. But I don't really think there's much else beyond that, and, again, I wasn't in the office, I mean, for a large period of this time period in question,

- particularly -- particularly during the time period in which it was punted over to Congress.
- Q So let's take those one by one. Representative Perry, could you describe
 whether you spoke to Mr. Perry or Representative Perry about issues related to the
 election or the Joint Session of Congress on January 6th?
 - A No, I cannot remember a single, specific conversation with Congressman

 Perry on this matter.

Q What about generally, not specifically but just generally, did you talk to Mr. Perry about the Joint Session --

A I can't remember anything. I mean, if you told -- if you told me that you had a spy following me for 3 months and that he says that I never spoke to Perry, I would say, well, I believe him. I just don't remember anything specifically. Like I said, I have some vague recollection that I was in the Oval Office during this meeting. I don't know if your notes are based on a calendar, which may or may not have been followed, contemporaneous notes, which would record who was actually there. I also wouldn't be surprised to find out that I wasn't at the meeting, but it sounds familiar, but I don't remember any conversation specific or otherwise with Congressman Perry.

Q What about Representative Jordan, do you remember any general or specific conversation you had with him about election-related issues or the Joint Session of Congress?

A Not the contents, no. And, again, during the time in which either we're just -- one can presume that there would've been more planning related to the congressional aspect of this, I just was completely out of pocket. But I don't remember -- I'm friends with Jim, have been for a while, so I'm sure that we had casual conversations. I just -- nothing springs to mind.

Q Do you ever remember talking to him at all about objecting to the

1 certification of the vote during the joint session of Congress? 2 Α I don't, no. I don't remember that, no. Okay. And we understand that Representative Perry was involved or may 3 Q have known, excuse me, Jeffrey Clark, an individual who worked at the Department of 4 Justice in the Civil Division. Do you know the name of who I'm referring to when I say 5 Jeffrey Clark? 6 Α I do. 7 Q How do you know Mr. Clark or who he is? 8 9 Α Well, I know of him. I don't know him personally. I probably have met 10 him at some point in time. The -- I know that he was an official at Department of 11 Justice, but I don't really remember interacting with him much, if at all, during the time 12 period in question. 13 Q Do you remember Mr. Perry ever talking to you or anybody in the White House about Mr. Clark? 14 15 Α No, I do not. Do you remember when Mr. Clark was being considered to take on the 16 Q Acting Attorney General position at the Department of Justice? 17 Α I remember hearing about it; from whom I do not remember and when I do 18 19 not remember. But I have some vague sense that this had been something that had 20 been discussed, but I wasn't present, to my knowledge, in any of those discussions. 21 And what specifically did you remember hearing about Mr. Clark? Nothing beyond just that I had some vague, imprecise recollection that this 22 Α 23 is something that had been discussed. But I just, you know -- I mean, I'm really reaching to even get that like far to say that, you know, that's sort of there 24 25 somewhere in -- somewhere in my mind but barely.

1		Q	Do you remember whether Mr. Perry had any role in introducing Jeffrey
2	Clark to	o Pres	ident Trump?
3		Α	I do not, no.
4		Q	Do you remember seeing or hearing that Mr. Clark was at the White House
5	in Dece	embe	or January?
6		Α	No, but, again, if it was during the dates that I described, I was in the
7	hospita	al.	
8		Q	And other than those dates when you weren't there, you don't remember
9	seeing	him i	n the White House or hearing that he was in the White House. Is that right?
10		Α	Well, I don't remember seeing him, and I probably wouldn't recognize
11	him	l prob	ably at that time would not have recognized him in the picture. I would
12	now.	At th	at time, I probably would not have recognized him in the picture.
13		Q	And you said and I don't want to put words in your mouth, and I apologize
14	if I'm n	ot red	calling correctly, but I think you said that you have spoken with Mr. Clark or
15	met M	r. Claı	k before. Is that right?
16		Α	No. What I well, I probably could've been more precise so maybe I
17	should	apolo	ogize, but I what I was saying, just because, again, I'm wanting you to feel as
18	I'm tall	king to	you that I'm trying to be constructive. I was just saying that it would be
19	likely t	hat I p	probably, since I end up meeting most people at most departments and senior
20	levels,	that I	probably would've interacted with him at some point, I just can't remember
21	that po	oint.	
22		Q	Do you remember whether John McEntee had any role in introducing
23	Mr. Cla	ark to	President Trump?
24		Α	I do not, no.

Do you know if Mr. McEntee had any role in seeking Mr. Clark's appointment

- to become Acting Attorney General?
- A I do not know, but he was obviously the head of PPO, so him being involved in such conversations would've been standard.
 - Q Just before we leave Mr. Clark, Mr. Clark drafted a letter in the end of December. It was a proof-of-concept letter, is how it was described to the State of Georgia and potentially other States as well about their authority and the possibility that they, meaning the State, that's something related to the election. Were you aware of that letter that Mr. Clark or the Department of Justice drafted at the end of December?
- 9 A No.

- Q Do you know of anybody who was involved in that letter?
- 11 A I have no memory of it.
- 12 Q All right.
 - A And I don't want to harp on this, but, again, the -- I'm going through great mental effort to try to recover nascent memories from long ago during a time period in which, of course, I went through a very great family trauma. So I'm doing the best that I can to try to recover these memories, but it's not an easy task.
 - Q Certainly appreciate every effort you make to do that, Mr. Miller.
- 18 I'll stop there to see if anybody has any questions on what we've just gone over.
- 19 Okay.
 - Now, we've talked a little bit about a litigation, I'll call it a strategy, but efforts to bring litigation and challenge the election results, whether related to fraud or something else. But I understand also that there was a track related to State legislators and engaging with State legislators that we touched on very briefly earlier today. Are you familiar with the effort to contact State legislators and have them do anything related to the election results after November 3rd?

1	A I mean, it is worded sort of broadly like that, you know, anything.
2	The I'm aware of the primacy the State legislators have in the election certification
3	process under our constitutional system, and so I certainly understood, although, again,
4	no not one specific memory sticks out to me, that conversations with State legislators
5	was one avenue of the election integrity effort. But I don't know the details of that.
6	Q And when do you remember first hearing about State legislators being one
7	avenue of the election integrity effort, as you just put it, with respect to the Presidential
8	election in November of 2020?
9	A I don't know. It would've been it would've been sometime after
10	November 3rd, but nothing specific springs to mind.
11	Q Okay. If we go to exhibit No. 26, this is an article that was published in The
12	Atlantic. We did produce it to you. It's rather long, so I don't know if you had an
13	opportunity to read all of it, but I'll direct you to page 24. We're bringing it up now for
14	your benefit, Mr. Miller.
15	Go down just a bit, Okay.
16	There's a paragraph that starts "Trump may test this." And it says: According
17	to sources in the Republican Party at the State and national levels, the Trump campaign i
18	discussing contingency plans to bypass election results and appoint loyal electors in
19	battleground States where Republicans hold the legislative majority. With the
20	justification based on claims of rampant fraud, Trump would ask State legislators to set
21	aside the popular vote and exercise their power to choose a slate of electors directly.
22	And I'll represent to you, Mr. Miller, that this was published at the end of
23	September, so roughly six weeks or so before the election. Do you remember ever
24	hearing anybody talking about this idea before the election took place on November 3rd

I don't remember it. That doesn't mean that no one else remembers it.

25

Α

1	don't remember it.	
2	Q	Okay.
3	А	Yeah, but I would also note that the that I would I would disagree
4	strongly wit	th the framing in the article on the left, which obviously has a, shall we say,
5	negative til	t.
6	Q	Who do you think would know about this, based on your experience working
7	alongside p	eople with the campaign in the White House?
8	Α	Well, I have no reason to believe any of that is correct, so it would be
9	layering sup	pposition on top of supposition.
10	Q	Right. But if we wanted to verify, for example, whether this was or was not
11	true, who d	o you think we should talk to based on your experience working with the
12	campaign o	r the White House?
13	Α	Again, I let me try it this way because the my answer may have
14	been may	have been too casual. The that paragraph there is alien to me, and it
15	doesn't ring	g true to anything in my knowledge or experience. So I have no memory of it.
16	Obviously, I	cannot speak to other people's memories. Should I to be that was sort of
17	a pointless	additional observation for me to make. That paragraph is alien to me, so I
18	can't really	speculate on who you would ask about it because it doesn't ring true to me.
19	Q	Okay. And I'm not just talking about the specific words used in that
20	paragraph,	but this concept that's explained, the Trump campaign would engage with
21	State legisla	ators to have Trump electors meet and cast their votes in States where he may

A Yeah, that doesn't sound like anything that I was familiar with at all. And all the conversations that I was ever a part of were we're going to win the election, period, full stop, but obviously there's concerns about mail-in balloting; God-willing those

have lost.

1	concerns won't come to pass, and then, obviously, we'll have a team of election lawyers
2	on election day to deal with the challenges. So and, I mean, I apologize for drawing
3	this out, but I'm not aware of any proposal or discussion that resembles anything in that
4	paragraph.
5	Q Okay. If we could pull up exhibit 27, please. This is an email that you
6	produced to us, Mr. Miller. And if you go to the bottom of page one, it's an email
7	from or excuse me, the very bottom is an email from Ross Worthington. It says: This

is the latest -- on the top of page 2. We need latest numbers/projections. Much of

this is from talking points provided yesterday. The vote integrity anecdotes mostly

10 came from Justin.

And then you respond on November 5th, going back to page 1: Do we want to call on State legislators to get involved? Do we have more information on ineligible voters voting?

And then Mr. Haley responds that, if there are substantial doubts about the integrity of the final outcome in various States, then State legislators would be well within their prerogative under U.S. Constitution, and it would be their solemn responsibility to intervene and pick a Trump slate of electors notwithstanding what slate is certified by the Secretary of State in that State.

So I want to go -- my first question about this document is going to be your response to Mr. Worthington. You say: Do we want to call on State legislators to get involved?

What did you mean by that?

A Can you scroll down to the bottom again, please?

Q Of course. The very top of page 2. This is the extent of the beginning that we have from Mr. Worthington.

A All right. Scroll back to where you're asking about. Thanks. So, I mean, I don't remember sending this specific email, but it would seem to me, upon looking at it, that it's pretty straight forward. As, I guess, Vince lays out subsequently in his email, under our Constitution system the State legislators have primacy over the certification of our elections State by State under our Federal system.

So, you know, the -- it's different than other systems in other countries in terms of how Federal elections are handled. Ours are done State by State through the legislators. They establish the time, manner, and place of elections and so forth.

So presumably what I'm saying here is, in light of the evidence of fraud and whatever that original attachment was, should we get State legislators to get involved?

And, remember, this is on November 5th here. So we're talking about before anything has been -- you know, it is a completely undecided election. So getting the legislators involved in fraud on the front end would make a lot of sense.

Q Okay. And you just mentioned this idea of the primacy of State legislators in election issues, and you, I think, read back part of Mr. Haley's email. You think that's what you're talking about though, to be very clear, when you say "do we want to call on State legislators," this idea that they have primacy in certain election-related issues?

A Well, that's me saying that now. The -- you know, Vince's email obviously comes after my question. But in my reading of this email, I'm just saying that it lays out correctly who has that prerogative under the Constitution. My -- there probably wasn't that much subtext packed into my insight. Mine was just more, in light of the rules the legislators have, they often get involved one way or another. I don't think there was -- I provided more subtext now than I think was probably in my head at that point in time.

Q Okay. And, following Mr. Haley's email where he raises this issue, do you remember ever talking to Mr. Haley or Mr. Worthington, Mr. Miller or Mr. Stepien, all of

- whom are on his email, about this idea of State legislators having primacy and potentially stepping in to pick up Trump's slate of electors?
 - A No. The thing that -- so, let me be clear: Vince is proposing his own idea here that he'd be best to speak to. The -- you know, so whatever he had specifically in his mind that's, you know, for him to say. The -- I don't think I -- I could be wrong. I don't think I did anything with the message that he sent.
 - The point that I'm making is, if you are interested in what's going on inside my head, in my brain, it is my view now and was my view then that State legislators have an appropriate role in adjudicating issues pertaining to electoral fraud.
 - Q And was one of those potential roles or functions that would be available to State legislators, as you understood it then and as you understand it now, that they could appoint electors to vote for the electoral college as they see fit?
 - A Yeah. I mean, there may be different laws in different States that I'm not privy to. I'm not an expert on it. And so, you know, there may be different rules. But my understanding then now is that a State legislator can -- has the power to certify whatever it regards to be the lawful and correct slate of electors.
 - Q And do you --

- A -- in that State that I'm not familiar with, but, you know, in the most general sense of the term. As a hypothetical, if a court ruling was won that changed the election result, they could convene and, you know, certify a new slate of electors as, you know, one hypothetical.
- Q Do you remember having any conversations about this idea that State legislators could choose their own slate of electors with anybody in the White House or in the campaign after November 3rd?
- A Not in any real depth. I mean, because, again, the -- this wasn't within my

1	portfolio of responsibility, so, you know, some of the communications between me and		
2	my staff about it might just be, you know, just us just generally discussing theories, you		
3	know, whatever it may be. But I was not directly involved in it in this particular line of		
4	effort, so not really much I can add to it.		
5	Q You mentioned you don't remember having these specifically. Do you		
6	remember having discussions like that generally though with anybody in the		
7	White House?		
8	A Not really, no. I mean, the I'm sure that this was something that, again,		
9	came up in casual conversation, but the you know, if you but if you ask me about, you		
10	know, just in general conversations about the role of State legislators and what they can		
11	do, I mean, there is not anything of any great specificity coming to mind beyond what I've		
12	already said.		
13	Q Do you remember ever talking to the President about State legislators and		
14	their authority after the election?		
15	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Objection.		
16	Mr. What's the basis of your objection?		
17	Mr. Rowley. Communications privilege.		
18	Mr Executive communications?		
19	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> That's right.		
20	BY MR. ::		
21	Q I'll move on. We'll note that for the record, and we'll move on to		
22	something else.		
23	Do you remember ever talking to Mr. Mark Meadows		
24	A Sorry, just to interrupt for a second. I need to have a quick sidebar on my		
25	end, so can we go off the record for a second, please?		

1	Mr. Absolutely. Take your time. We're off the record.
2	The <u>Witness.</u> Thank you.
3	[Discussion off the record.]
4	Mr All right. Let's go back on the record. It's 2:26, and we are
5	resuming the deposition of Mr. Stephen Miller.
6	BY MR.
7	Q Can we pull up exhibit No. 28, please. And this, Mr. Miller, as you'll see, is
8	an email that you sent to us as part of your production. It's from Vince Haley, dated
9	November 5th, at 4:43 p.m. to you. And it says: Stop the vote counting.
10	And then Mr. Haley says: The President tweeted, quote, stop the count, end
11	quote, earlier today. And then he goes on and offers his thoughts on it.
12	But, at the last paragraph there on the bottom of the screen, it says: We should
13	not fear delay. A delay strategy taking us to January 6th would likely lead to competing
14	slates from the States with House ultimately deciding the election, parentheses, with a
15	House breakdown by States favoring us, I believe, which should be confirmed, close
16	parentheses.
17	Do you remember receiving this email from Mr. Haley?
18	A Not until I saw it in the production, then it didn't seem familiar to me.
19	Q Do you remember ever discussing with Mr. Haley or anybody else in the
20	White House this idea about competing slates of electors and what consequence that
21	would have for the Joint Session on January the 6th?
22	A Well, let me take a step back and just sort of say something globally, which is
23	that the Vince, who worked for me for years, close friend, a man of great honor, sent a
24	lot of emails about a lot of things with a lot of ideas, in many cases of which they were
25	just, you know, his thoughts of the day, stream of conscious, that kind of thing, and really

nothing came of it. And not that there's anything wrong with this email; maybe it's the greatest email ever sent. But ultimately Vince had no operational role whatsoever in any of the subject matters in his email.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So, just to sort of bracket it, it's important to keep in mind, it's just, you know, a guy sending an email of what's going on in his mind on that particular day, just to make that point.
- 7 Q So you anticipated what my next question was going to be, was whether Vince had any role in shaping strategies with respect to the State legislators and election challenges.
 - Α No, he did not. And I certainly don't mean my answer to be, again, pejorative towards one of my close friends and longtime colleagues and employees. since, of course, you're not familiar with the -- all these relationships and everything else, right, I think it's important for me to just share with you that Vince, which is, I think, charming and endearing, you know, loved to send emails with what's, you know, on his mind that day. But he's not the one in charge of any of this.
 - Appreciate that background and with respect to Mr. Haley's role. So the question that prompted that was whether you had any conversations with anybody in the White House, Vince or otherwise, about this idea that competing slates of electors could somehow have an effect on the outcome of the Joint Session of Congress on January the Do you recall any such conversations? 6th.
 - The conversation that I recall is a conversation that I had with Justin Clark in preparation for a TV interview, which the -- which Justin Clark told me that they were going to be -- what's the right word here -- developing or convening ultimate slates of electors in the event that some of the various challenges were successful. So I do remember that conversation. That's the only conversation that I remember.

1	Q	That conversation, I understand you went	on TV, on FOX, on December the
2	14th, which	is the same day the electoral college met.	Is that the media appearance
3	you're talkii	ng about that was the basis for your conver	sation?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that conversation happened in relation to that interview. That's the only specific conversation I remember having about ultimate slates of electors, and then I, of course, did the TV interview and shared that information.

Q That's very helpful, and I appreciate that as a guidepost for timing. please be as specific as possible as to the conversation you had with Mr. Clark, what did you say, what did he say, how it came to be that you're even talking to him in the first place?

Well, all these answers will be quite mundane. So the -- I had an interview scheduled, I believe, on FOX and friends. The -- I was eager to get back into the mix of things, because, again, you know, we had this -- the first of what would be two very traumatic experiences during this time period as a family. And I was getting, you know, a little bit back into the swing of things for a little while, so I was eager to get, you know, to step up to the plate and do my job and wanted to be sharp, have all the latest facts and figures for the interview.

And so I believe it was by phone, I called Justin or -- or he called me. Maybe I had, you know, told someone to have him call me. Either way, we ended up on a phone call, and I probably said something to the effect of, Justin, they're probably going to ask me about the electoral college today. What is the latest on that? What is the official answer?

And then he said something, you know, again, probably in the ballpark of what I presumably ended up saying on TV, which is that the -- that we are in the process of convening alternate slates of electors so that in the event that any of these challenges are

2	a more eleg	gant term. That's about it. Not much more than that.
3	Q	Do you remember Mr. Clark ever expressing any concerns or his own
4	thoughts o	n the effort to
5	А	He actually seemed pretty excited about it.
6	Q	Let me just finish. Again, just
7	А	I'll describe his mood as being positive.
8	Q	Just for the reporter's benefit, if you don't mind, do you remember Justin
9	Clark ever e	expressing any concerns or opinions about this effort to have alternate electors
10	meet in Sta	tes that Mr. Trump had lost?
11	Α	No. In the conversation that I had with him, he was in a good mood. He
12	seemed op	timistic and positive. Sorry for interrupting you, but that was what I was
13	going to say.	
14	Q	Okay. And you understood this effort, based on your discussion with
15	Mr. Clark, a	s one that's like a backup plan essentially where if a court or a State legislator
16	were to make any decisions related to the election, these electoral votes would be in	
17	place. Is that right?	
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Did your understanding of the purpose of these alternate slates of electors
20	have anything to do with the Vice President, his authority during the Joint Session of	
21	Congress on January 6th alone?	
22	Α	No.
23	Q	Are you familiar with somebody named John Eastman?
24	Α	John Eastman, you said?
25	Q	Yes.

successful that then there will be these ultimate slates that can be put into use, for lack of

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Do you remember him being involved in any effort related to the alternate
3	electors that	t we've just been talking about?
4	А	No. I know what I've read in news reports. I was not involved in those
5	conversation	ns at the time. Based on what I've read publicly, that's probably
6	because a	side from the fact that, again, my responsibility was focused separately on the
7	external con	nmunication side, but I think that also overlaps pretty heavily with when I was
8	out of the of	fice.
9	Q	Do you know whether the President had any calls with Mr. Eastman and
10	Ms. McDanie	el from the RNC or anybody else about these alternate electors meeting to
11	cast votes fo	or the President?
12	А	I have no knowledge of any of that.
13	Q	I'll pause there for just a moment to see if anybody has any questions on
14	what we've	just been over.
15	We ເ	understand that the President met with the Vice President, Mr. Stepien,
16	Mr. Miller, N	Ar. Clark, Eric Herschmann, and others to discuss outreach to State legislators.
17	Do you knov	v anything about a meeting like that?
18	А	What date was that meeting?
19	Q	Any meeting. I believe it would've been early December.
20	А	Yeah, I was hoping maybe that might trigger something, but that doesn't.
21	don't remen	nber that, no.
22	Q	How about in late November, a meeting like that?
23	А	No.
24	Q	Ever hear of a meeting like that outside of those timeframes?
25	А	With that group, no, it doesn't ring a bell.

1	Q	And, at certain points, including in late November and into early December,
2	the Preside	nt invited various State legislators to the White House and met with them,
3	including St	ate legislators from State legislators, excuse me, from Pennsylvania,
4	Michigan, a	nd others. Do you know anything about those meetings?
5	А	Not about them. I know of them but not about them, no.
6	Q	Do you know why the President wanted to meet with State legislators from
7	some of his	contested States?
8	А	Well, not specifically, no, but, again, the there's three, you know, avenues
9	for pursuing	g election challenges: legislatures, courts, Congress. And so this would've
10	been one o	f those lines of effort, if what you say is correct, so it would have obviously
11	been part t	hat. But, yeah, I don't have any specific knowledge about it.
12	Q	Did you ever contact, whether by phone, email, or in person, State
13	legislators t	o talk about the November 2020 presidential election?
14	Α	I don't believe so.
15	Q	Do you know who Representative Mark Finchem from Arizona is?
16	Α	The name sounds familiar, but not much more than that. The name sounds
17	familiar.	
18	Q	Don't recall any meetings or conversations or emails that you would've had
19	with him in	that period?
20	А	Nope.
21	Q	What about Senator Doug Mastriano, a Pennsylvania State Senator?
22	А	Same thing, I know of the name. Of course, I also mingled with more
23	contempor	aneous events. If he's the person I'm thinking of, I think he's also maybe
24	running for	a higher office somewhere. Although, maybe he's not the person I'm
25	thinking of;	but if he is, so I might also know the name from that too. But I didn't have

- any, at least as I recall, have any conversations with him or participated in any
 conversations about him at the time.
 Q Also, at that time, around that time, Mr. Giuliani was appearing before State
 - Q Also, at that time, around that time, Mr. Giuliani was appearing before State legislators, not all of which had official hearings, but there would be meetings with certain people in hotels and otherwise, to present testimony related to the election. Do you know why Mr. Giuliani was doing that?
 - A Well, I believe he was one of the attorneys working on the election. So, yeah, I have no knowledge of what you're saying, but if you're asking me to answer just as a -- like a subject-matter question, then it's because he was an attorney working on the election.
 - Q And that would be consistent with that track of challenge that you mentioned earlier, the State legislature track as opposed to like the court or Congress track. Is that fair?
 - A Well, again, I don't know -- I don't know about what -- I wasn't around for any of the meetings that you're describing. I'm just sort of answering in the general fact that State legislatures are one remedy.
 - Q If we can go to exhibit 32, please. Exhibit 32, this is an email that you also provided to us, Mr. Miller. It's from Alexa Henning. Earlier we talked about the booker for the campaign, I think whose name may have escaped you. It is -- do you think --
- 20 A That's right; it's Alexa. Yeah.
- 21 Q Okay. That's the person you were talking about earlier?
- 22 A Yeah.

Q All right. And this is on December the 14th. Looks to be like briefing materials along with information about when and where you'd be appearing on FOX.

That's the interview that occurred after you spoke with Mr. Clark about the alternate

1	electors?
2	А

A Correct.

Q Do you know who prepared the materials, the briefing materials that you received? And if we can go to page 3, we'll show you the first page of these. Do you know whose job it was to prepare these materials?

A No.

Q One point in here, the -- obviously the date of certification for the electoral college vote in Congress is January the 6th, during the joint session, and that date is mentioned in some of these briefing materials. When you went on FOX, you mentioned that the -- and I'm paraphrasing, but the only date in the Constitution is January the 20th, and that that was kind of one -- one of the reasons that the challenges were going on and the need to have these alternate electors.

Is there any significance in your mind between January 20th and January 6th with respect to this issue that you talked about on FOX & Friends and the alternate electors?

A I don't know if I'm hearing your question correctly. Can you ask it again please?

Q Yes, and I'll try to do so in a little bit clearer manner. January 6th is the date Congress certifies the electoral college count. In your appearance, though, you talked about the only date that was really mattering for the Constitution as being January 20th. I'm trying to understand if you had any specific purpose behind January 20th in mind when you mentioned it on FOX & Friends?

A Yes. I mean, very simply that the -- there was an effort at the time to portray the clock as having run out on election challenges. I was simply reminding people that there was still quite a bit of time left to continue with those challenges.

Q Any followup there? All right.

1	If we can go to exhibit 33 then. This is a document you provided to us as well,
2	Mr. Miller. It's dated December the 2nd. It's from you excuse me, the original em
3	is December 2nd from Mr. Herschmann to you with an attachment of
4	State-v-States-complaint-2020-11-30 version four draft.
5	You then write back to Mr. Herschmann and say: Confirmed. And attached,
6	we go to the next page of this exhibit, was appears to be a draft filing bill of complain
7	in the United States Supreme Court about State of A v. The Commonwealth of
8	Pennsylvania. Do you recall this email and this attachment?
9	A Only because I reviewed the albeit cursorily, but because I reviewed the
10	exhibit prior, after you sent it.
11	Q Okay. And, after having now reviewed it and the benefit of maybe
12	refreshing your memory, what do you remember about this? Why did Mr. Herschmar
13	send you a draft filing in the Supreme Court?
14	A I don't recall why he sent it to me. I do know that he was working on the
15	matters and working on these issues, but I don't recall specifically why he sent it to me,
16	although I'm sure he had a good reason.
17	Q Do you remember why you said confirmed? Was it important that you
18	confirm receipt to the best of your memory?
19	A I said confirmed or he said confirmed?
20	Q You said confirmed.
21	Mr. Rowley. Can you show him the exhibit?
22	BY MR. :
23	Q Of course. Page 1 there, right there.
24	A Well, it probably suggests that we had talked prior and he had told me to k

on the lookout for something, and so that was why I would have said confirmed.

- Q Do you recall him asking you to do anything in particular with respect to drafting or editing, revising in any way this draft pleading in the Supreme Court?
- 3 A Well, I'm obviously not qualified to do legal work on a legal filing, not being
- 4 an attorney myself, although I have been noted to play one on TV, but -- that was a joke.
- 5 I've never impersonated an attorney, to be clear. But it's possible that he was asking me
- 6 for some top-line feedback. I just don't remember.
- 7 Q Okay. Maybe the next exhibit will assist with that, if we go to exhibit No.
- 8 34. This is a slightly different email exchange, so far as I read it, but it looks like
- 9 somebody named Michael Farris sent Molly Michael an email with suggested edits to a
- draft filing in the Supreme Court that looks similar to, if not the same, as the one we just
- 11 looked at.
- Then Ms. Michael sends it to you as well as Mr. Herschmann. And then you, Mr.
- 13 Miller, send it to Mr. Worthington and Mr. Haley. Then, at the top of the exchange,
- 14 we --
- 15 A Sorry. Sorry. Go back down to the bottom and just go from the bottom
- 16 to the top.
- 17 Q Of course. So, Mr. Farris, Michael Farris, on December 4th, at 8:49 a.m.,
- sends an email to Ms. Michael with edits to -- offered suggestions related to this legal
- 19 filing. We'll just go to page --
- 20 A Hold on. Sorry. Stay at the bottom for one second, please. So Michael
- 21 Farris on December 4th sends an email to Molly Michael and says: Molly, this text
- should be inserted as a replacement for the existing opening -- then I can't see the
- rest -- in the document you sent me yesterday. So Farris is saying to Molly: This is a
- replacement to what you, Molly, sent me.
- 25 That's what we're seeing at the bottom there, right?

- 1 Q That appears to be right to me. And then Ms. Michael sends it to you and
- 2 Mr. Herschmann.
- A Okay. All right. So then Molly forwards that to me and Eric Herschmann.
- 4 Okay. Keep going.
- 5 Q And then you, Mr. Miller, send it to Mr. Worthington and Mr. Haley.
- 6 A Gotcha. Next.
- 7 Q And then, at the very top, this is Mr. Worthington sending to you and
- 8 Mr. Haley a complaint -- or excuse me, a document with compare in the file name and
- 9 said -- and Mr. Worthington said: Updated in track changes compared to last night's
- 10 version. It essentially adds all material on the revised preamble to the old one in a
- manner that maintains some coherence. And then if we go to page 3 of this, that is the
- attachment that we understand existed with that email.
- 13 So does this --
- 14 A Can you go back to Ross' email real quick. I apologize. I just want to drill
- on that for a second, please.
- 16 Q Sure. Take your time.
- 17 A Thanks. Is there a way to move the screen so that I can see the edges of
- the message, or is that on my end that I have to move that screen?
- 19 Q We can see the entire thing on ours, so maybe on your end.
- 20 Mr. Rowley. No, we have the video blocking it.
- The Witness. Okay. There. I might move this over here. Okay. Yeah,
- that's on my end. All right. So, okay, it says, updated in track changes compared to
- last night's version. It essentially adds all material in the revised preamble to the old
- one -- in the revised preamble to the old one in a manner that maintains some coherence.
- 25 Okay. So, sorry, thank you. Now ask your questions, please.

Q Yep. And attached to this is the draft bill of complaint in the Supreme Court like we just looked at. So, I guess, what's your understanding of the speechwriting team's involvement in drafting or editing this Supreme Court filing?

A Okay. Well, now, having read all of this and then really drilled on some of the process, complex grammatical structure there, it is the following: It looks like we were sent a draft of some predecisional deliberative legal filing and were asked to revise the preamble, so sort of the -- the rhetorical structure and framing that would set the tone for the document as a whole, and it appears that that's what was done.

Q Do you remember why the speechwriting team would be involved in that as opposed to the campaign legal team or White House Counsel's Office or lawyers somewhere else?

A From time to time, over the course of the last, you know, 4 or 5 years, what have you, depending on your start and end point, speechwriters have been asked to provide their services to improve the flow or argument structure of a document that maybe was written by a lawyer or a subject-matter expert but needed some sharpening, some clarifying from a rhetorical standpoint particularly in its, you know, in its opening. So this would not have been anything unusual, just -- it didn't come up frequently, but it would have come up from time to time.

Q Now, you received this email from Molly Michael. Our understanding is that Molly Michael would send documents or emails at the President's request. Do you know if this one came from Ms. Michael because the President asked it to go to you and your team?

A I have no memory, because I will tell you that -- and I guess you probably have some way of verifying this, but I probably had a lot of email exchanges with Molly

- for a lot of different reasons. So the -- I can't -- I can't remember specifically why

 she -- why she sent it to me, but -- but it would've been, like I said, not routine but

 certainly normal for us to be asked to -- for our team to be asked to provide some

 additional wordsmithing to a draft of documents that would not be considered routine

 speech products.
 - Q And, without getting into any specific conversations you may or may not have had with the President, do you remember sharing the speechwriting team's draft or edits to this complaint with the President?
 - A Let me answer the question like this; this might help you some: So, again, I can't remember who asked us to edit it. I mean, I wouldn't be surprised if it was Eric's idea, as an example. But what I can say is that the -- that Molly would have ultimately made the decision about where it should have gone to next, and I don't think I probably -- I would've just trusted her. I don't think I would've followed up with her on the question.
 - Q So you think this probably went back to Ms. Michael as opposed to you delivering a draft or somebody on your team delivering a draft to the President directly?
 - A Go back up to the top again. So it goes from Ross to me. Hmmm. Well, I mean, it's always possible I just sent it right back to Eric Herschmann too. I just don't -- I don't remember honestly. The --
- 20 Q Okay.

- A It's also possible that I verbally asked Ross to send it to Eric Herschmann. I just don't --
- Q So this draft has State of A in the place of an actual name of a State, State of A versus the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. What do you recall at that time, in early December, about a -- the potential for a lawsuit to be filed directly in the Supreme Court

related to the election?

A Well, I mean, evidently, by this point in time, having read a draft brief, I was certainly aware of the possibility. I don't know if I read the whole brief, but, I mean, I certainly received a copy of the brief. As I opened it, I mean, I would've seen that. I probably had a couple conversations with Eric about it. Nothing -- again, nothing specific sticks out to me, but, yeah, I was certainly aware of it.

- Q Do you know why the White House was working on this litigation at all?
- 8 A It's probably a better question for Eric Herschmann.
 - Q You don't know?

A Right, I don't. But, again, Eric, another person for whom I have extraordinary respect, Eric's role seemed to be -- well, I don't want to caret (ph) for him, but he was sort of my source for this kind of stuff, so he would know better than I would.

Q Okay. Did you think it was odd at all or do you remember thinking it was odd that, you know, you and the White House would be working on a bill of complaint to be filed by one State against another State, even if that State that files it is yet unknown?

A Not in the least. I mean, the theory of original jurisdiction is, well, it's right there in the Constitution, so, you know, the -- I mean, I don't have the exact sentence in front of me, but the idea about the Supreme Court having original jurisdiction over disputes between sovereign States. So, you know, it was a pretty commonsensical approach, and I remain disappointed the Supreme Court didn't pick it up. So, no, I mean, nothing about it would've struck me as odd certainly.

1		
2	[2:57 p.m.	
3		BY MR. :
4	Q	Do you know anything about the effort to get a State signed on as the
5	plaintiff?	Ultimately, Texas did through Attorney General Paxton. Are you aware of
6	that effort	?
7	А	I don't know that it was I don't know how Paxton came to be the one who
8	was the le	ad on the State, no, the State lead, no. I just know that Paxton is someone fo
9	whom I ha	ve endless admiration.
10	Q	Do you remember if this was sent to any other attorneys general, aside from
11	Attorney 0	General Paxton, to get their
12	Α	These are all questions Eric would have to answer.
13	Q	Okay. And you because you don't know.
14	Α	No.
15	Mr	. I'll pause there and see if anybody has any questions on that.
16		BY MR.
17	Q	Yeah, Mr. Miller, this is Investigator
18	counsel fo	r the Select Committee. Nice to meet you. Thank you for taking the time.
19	You	u mentioned that it wouldn't be necessarily out of the ordinary for the
20	speechwri	ting team to work, you know, spruce up language and documents that maybe
21	lawyers ha	nd written or subject-matter experts.
22	Но	w about specifically bills of complaint? Did you ever see bills of complaint
23	come thro	ugh the speechwriting office before?
24	Α	Not that I recall.
25	0	And you mentioned that you know it might not have been unusual or didn

1	strike you as unusual to work on a complaint that was state of A versus other states	
2	because of original jurisdiction. But was it unusual just because the speechwriting office	
3	had not worked on a bill of complaint before?	
4	A No, because, as I mentioned, it was something that we did infrequently.	
5	But each one tended to be sui generis.	
6	So, as an example, I was called upon to work on some legal materials related to	
7	the what's the term I'm looking for here the Ukraine matter with Congress that were,	
8	you know, would normally be worked out by White House counsel's office but I was asked	
9	to lay my eye to it, you know.	
10	So there were products that I had done from time to time that were outside my	
11	core lane, or that others in my employ had worked on, but they were almost all sui	
12	generis. And so each one was sort of like a unique product, like working on a letter to	
13	Nancy Pelosi, for example.	
14	So that's my answer to your question.	
15	Q Right. But did you work on any other court filings? It sounds like you	
16	worked on maybe some legal materials that had to do with Congress. But any other	
17	court filings?	
18	A I don't remember any, but I don't want to definitely say that I didn't.	
19	Mr Thank you.	
20	BY MR. :	
21	Q Mr. Miller, there's a meeting on December 21st, I believe, in the Cabinet	
22	Room in which the President and the Vice President met with Members of Congress, as	
23	well as others related to the joint session on January the 6th.	
24	Do you know anything about the meeting that occurred on the 21st with the	
25	President and Vice President and Members of Congress?	

1	Α	I don't remember anything, no.
2	Q	Okay. And just to see if this refreshes your recollection, we understand
3	that Repres	entatives Biggs, Brooks, Gaetz, Gohmert, Gosar, Greene, and Hice were all in
4	attendance,	I believe, Members of the House Freedom Caucus.
5	Does	s that refresh your recollection as to any meeting in the White House around
6	December 2	1st?
7	А	Nothing's coming to mind. Sorry.
8	Q	If we can pull up exhibit 36, please, this is an email that you provided to us,
9	Mr. Miller.	It was from you to Justin Clark, Matt Morgan, and Jason Miller. Subject
10	line: Sena	tor Tuberville.
11	And	your comments in the email were: Has asked for a compendium on election
12	fraud in the	upcoming congressional certification. Says to get it all to Stephen Boyd, his
13	new Chief o	f Staff.
14	Do y	ou recall sending this email?
15	А	Upon reading it, I recall it.
16	Q	Okay. Tell us about the conversation you had with Senator Tuberville that
17	apparently l	ed to you sending this.
18	А	I think that that I think that it was partly a courtesy phone call, because I
19	don't think	had ever spoken with him before. You know, he was a newer Senator.
20	And so I wa	nted to establish the relationship.
21	But i	n the context of that phone call, I do remember specifically him asking for
22	a well, the	e compendium, I guess, is the right word. I do remember him asking for it,
23	and I do ren	nember acting on that request.
24	Q	What else did you talk about with Senator Tuberville during that call?

That's really the only part of the phone call that I really remember. I mean,

25

Α

1	I don't reme	ember the words. But, I mean, obviously, there would have been some
2	general discussion about election fraud, some general discussion about his passion for the	
3	subject, wh	ich then would have prompted his request for the compendium, which I was
4	both eager	and happy to provide, or to get so that it could be provided.
5	Q	Did he say anything about whether he planned to object during the joint
6	session on J	anuary 6th?
7	Α	I don't recall, but it wouldn't surprise me if he did.
8	Q	Do you remember him saying anything to the opposite, that he did not plan
9	to object or	January the 6th?
LO	Α	No, not that, no. I mean, the it seems likeliest that he was asking for the
11	compendiu	m to evaluate what it is that he would do.
12	Q	If we go to exhibit number 37, this is the email you provided to us from you
L3	to, looks like	e Mr. Boyd. Is that Senator Tuberville's chief of staff, to the best of your
L4	recollection?	
L5	Α	It is.
16	Q	If you go to exhibit number 2 or, excuse me exhibit number 37, page 2.
L7	Thank you,	
L8	It lo	oks like there's some State-specific headers, as well as various allegations
L9	related to purported fraud or irregularities.	
20	ls th	is your response to Senator Tuberville's request for a compendium of
21	election-related issues?	
22	Α	Well, I mean, can you help refresh my recollection? Is that document
23	attached to an email?	
)Д	0	Yes Well there is this attachment that you provided to us And there's

an attachment mentioned in this email.

1	А	Oh, well, yeah, yeah, sorry. Okay. Yes, that's what it is, yes, correct
2	Q	Do you recall sending anything else to Senator Tuberville in response to this
3	request, oth	er than this email and attachment?
4	Α	I do not.
5	Q	Are you aware of any other conversations between Senator Tuberville, or
6	Senator-elec	ct Tuberville, and anyone else in the White House about the joint session of
7	Congress on	January the 6th?
8	А	Sorry. Say that one more time, please.
9	Q	Are you aware of any conversations between Senator-elect Tuberville and
10	anyone else	in the White House about the joint session that was going to take place on
11	January the	6th?
12	А	I'm not.
13	Q	Do you know whether the President ever spoke to Senator Tuberville about
14	the joint ses	sion?
15	Α	I've seen some allusions in news reports that represent the sum extent, total
16	of my knowl	edge of the subject.
17	Q	And are you talking about conversations that the President had with Senator
18	Tuberville o	n January the 6th itself? Is that what you're talking about?
19	Α	Yeah, I've seen what's been reported in the news. I have no knowledge to
20	validate or i	nvalidate it or anything else. I mean, the reports themselves I didn't even
21	remember.	I just know it's been reported there was a phone call.
22	Q	And to get this information in response to Senator Tuberville's request, you
23	reached out	to Mr. Clark and Mr. Morgan and Mr. Jason Miller. Why them and not Rud
24	Giuliani, for	example, or members of Rudy's legal team?
25	Α	The my consistent point of contact in the whole election integrity effort

- 1 had always been Justin Clark, because I understood him to be the campaign's attorney.
- 2 Q Did you have concerns about Mr. Giuliani and the information that he was
- A No. But he -- I also didn't have any -- I wasn't in charge of Justin, mind you.
- But Justin also had a responsibility to answer my questions in a timely fashion, the virtue
- 6 of his paid role on the campaign.

obtaining or putting out there?

- And so, if I wanted to get something, you know, done, I'm going to go somebody
- 8 in a staff role who is going to have an obligation to get me something and I can then
- 9 criticize and say I want it this way, I want it that way, so on and so forth. I mean, this's
- just -- that's just the way I would do business.
- 11 Q Go to exhibit 38, please.
- These are text messages, spreadsheets that you provided with text messages you
- exchanged with various other people. Understanding that this could be hard to see, but
- we're going to pull it up.
- 15 The first exchange you provided is from somebody named Leslie Rutledge. Who
- is that?

- 17 A She's the attorney general of Arkansas.
- 18 Q And then the next exchange was Mark Brnovich. Who's that?
- 19 A The attorney general of Arizona.
- 20 Q And I think we just lost your video, Mr. Miller.
- A Oh, oh, no, I just -- oh, I see. Sorry. Hold on. That's my bad. I knocked
- the wire. Okay. We'll get that going back again. Okay.
- Q Okay.
- 24 A You good?
- Q We're good.

1	So why were you in touch with the attorney general of Arkansas and Arizona in
2	December of 2020?
3	A I don't remember. You know, I've had a number of conversations on many
4	subjects with Leslie Rutledge and Mark Brnovich. I do not remember how that specific
5	introduction came to be. Part of it could be a timeline confusion. There was a
6	meeting there was an event where attorneys general came to the White House and I
7	got to meet a number of them in person.
8	Leslie Rutledge and Mark Brnovich, I believe, I think were there. And I had a
9	chance to meet them and exchange cell phone numbers. And so, they so they
10	probably, and not wrongly, viewed me as a point of contact they could use to get
11	information to where they would like it to go.
12	Q I believe that meeting with attorneys general occurred roughly December
13	11th or so, if it's the right one I'm thinking about. And they're all attorneys general who
14	had signed onto the Texas v. Pennsylvania lawsuit.
15	Does that sound right to you, Mr. Miller?
16	A Sort of. You know, really straining here, but I think there was some event
17	organized by the Office of Public Liaison or something that was that was broader than
18	that. In other words, it wasn't just it was wasn't just for the 17 attorneys general
19	who signed onto the lawsuit. But there was some other purpose for which AGs were
20	convening. It probably included most, if not all, of those 17.
21	But, anyway, my timeline right here then, I would have met the AGs before these
22	text message were exchanged.
23	Q Okay. If we go to page 2, there's also messages that you exchanged

Josh Hawley. Is that right?

- 1 A It is.
- 2 Q Tell us about your communications with Senator Hawley in December.
- A I think it's what you see here. The -- what's the date of this message?
- 4 Sorry.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q No, that's fine. The first message is December the 16th, and the remaining three are from December the 31st.
- A Okay. So the -- so the first message, I mean, it's just -- you know, and I do now, you know, vaguely remember sending it, which is me as executive, quite well, framing out some of what I think are some of the key issues.

And he was someone that I view then and view now as the leader on election integrity. And so -- and so he's somebody that I very much -- and I had a preexisting relationship with him. He's always been one of the lawmakers that I've admired most greatly, and whom I've had a chance to get to know over the preceding 4 years.

And so for all those reasons, he's someone who was on a short list of people that I would have wanted to share my thoughts with about election integrity which would cover the first message.

And then the other three, the -- my recollection is that the -- that he had said or done something publicly that I thought was very heroic. And so, even though I was, you know, indisposed at this time for the worst possible reason, I felt a moral obligation to send him a note of encouragement in some free moment that I had. And that appears that's what I did there. Don't think that I spoke with him around that time.

- Q Do you remember ever having any conversations on the phone or face to face about the joint session of Congress on January the 6th?
- A No. With Josh Hawley? No.
- Q One of the reasons I ask is because the article that you sent to him, this

1	blaze.com link, is about congressional Republicans objecting that's my quick		
2	synopsis during the joint session on January 6th.		
3	Does that refresh		
4	A Again, my memory is		
5	Q your recollection?		
6	A that we have to go through the public records here to see what he said on,		
7	you know, at that point in time that I saw that said, Hey, let me send this guy a note,		
8	saying, Great job, Senator, because, again, I've been an admirer of him and his work for		
9	some time and have had the privilege to get to know him personally.		
10	So I don't remember what that that specific thing that he said was, if anything,		
11	that I need to send him a note. But then, obviously, I wanted to then also send an		
12	article that would be helpful for whatever the subject matter was that we were that he		
13	was being complimented on.		
14	So that pretty much explains the whole thing. At this point in time on the 31st,		
15	the subject of the of the Electoral Count Act and the lodging of challenges in the course		
16	of the Electoral Count Act, I think, was all being discussed quite publicly in all sides and all		
17	corners, and so it would make sense that I'd send him an article about it.		
18	Q Okay. And I'd represent to you, Mr. Miller, that the day before on		
19	December 30th, Mr. Hawley, or Senator Hawley excuse me announced his intent to		
20	join an objection to at least one of the electoral slates during the joint session of		
21	Congress.		
22	Did you have any role in talking to him about whether he would object before he		
23	made that decision?		
24	A I didn't but you have but you have very helpfully made my recollection		

prove correct.

1	Q	And did you talk to him before or after that announcement about his
2	objections?	
3	Α	I have no memory of that, but that but then, the time on there works
4	perfectly.	He made a courageous public statement. I lauded him for it. Sent him a
5	helpful artic	le.
6	Q	And the next set of text message is from Sarah Sanders.
7	White Hous	e spokesperson?
8	А	It is.
9	Q	And then beyond that is a set of messages that you exchanged with Marsha
10	Blackburn.	Is that Senator Blackburn?
11	Α	It is.
12	Q	Okay. If we could look at those very quickly, you said on the 2nd of
13	January, 8:4	2 p.m.: Thank you for your leadership on the election audit.
14	She	responded: Thanks. We're pushing the commission.
15	And	then you say: It's an excellent plan.
16	Whe	n you said, "Thanks for your leadership on the election audit," what did you
17	mean by ele	ection audits?
18	А	Well, it must have been something to do with the commission. So the I
19	mean, again	, I'm what's the date of that message that I sent Marsha?
20	Q	It's January the 2nd.
21	Α	Yeah, so, again, this is you know, so, I'm in the hospital at the time. And,
22	you know, I	feel feel some sense of remorse because all of my colleagues are at work,
23	and they're	at the White House and they're, you know, in this great election integrity

effort. And, of course, you know, I'm completely -- completely, irrevocably out of

pocket. So I'm just trying to do my own small thing to cheer people on, and that's what

24

1 you're seeing here. 2 Q And that commission that's mentioned and that you referred to, is that Senator Cruz's proposed election audit commission? 3 That is my assumption upon reading it, yes. 4 I'm going to stop to see if anybody has any questions for Mr. Miller. 5 Q I just want to state for the record that I'm a huge fan of Marsha Blackburn as 6 Α 7 well, too. 8 At this point, if you don't mind, if we can take a 5-minute break, 9 we're about to transition into a new topic, but a very quick break. 10 Is that okay with you, Mr. Miller, Mr. Rowley? Mr. Rowley. Yeah, that's fine. 11 12 Mr. Miller. Yes. Thank you. 13 . Okay. We'll go off the record. Thanks. Mr. [Recess.] 14 Let's go back on the record. 15 Mr. It's 3:26. 16 We're back on the record of Stephen Miller. 17 At this point I'm going to turn things over to Mr. to ask a few questions. 18 19 BY MR. 20 So, Mr. Miller, we're going to move towards the end of December and into 21 early January, after January 6th. And just as a preface, I understand that you for some of these things. Again, I apologize you having to go through that. 22 So my questions do understand --23 Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you. 24 Α 25 Q And congratulations on the newborn.

1	А	Thank you very much.
2	Q	Do you remember President Trump's tweet on December 19th where he
3	talks about	the rally on January 6th in Washington D.C.? And he says: Be there. Will
4	be wild.	
5	А	I remember just because it's been it's been discussed some but it doesn't
6	stick out to	me.
7	Q	Around that time, was the possibility of having President Trump appear at
8	the January 6th rally a topic of conversation in the White House?	
9	А	Not that I was present for.
10	Q	And in the days leading up to January 6th, did you have any role in planning
11	the rallies o	r organizing the rallies?
12	Α	What was the first word you said? I heard "organizing." What was the
13	word before	e that?
14	Q	Planning.
15	Α	No.
16	Q	Did you work with any of the organizations that planned or prepared or
17	organized the rallies?	
18	Α	No.
19	Q	And in the days leading up to January 6th, did you have an understanding of
20	what the rallies were intended to be about?	
21	Α	In all honesty, the I was so disconnected from things in the late December,
22	early Janua	ry period, my memory is that when that when Ross and Vince told me that

Now to be clear, I'm not saying that I hadn't been. I'm just saying that I had been

they would be presenting me with a draft for the rally, that was the first time I had ever

23

24

25

really even become aware of it.

1	so otherwise occupied that when they said it to me, it struck me as new in that moment.	
2	Q Do you remember about when you received that first draft?	
3	A Well, I know well, I think I know now, because of going through some of	
4	these emails. I mean, I believe the answer to that is January 5th.	
5	And I will note, to be very explicit about calendar and timeline issues, that I	
6	was	
7		
8	So we had left	
9		
10	Q Understood. During that period leading up to January 6th, were you aware	
11	of any conversations about whether there would be encouraging people to go to the	
12	Capitol on January 6th?	
13	A No.	
14	Q How about any discussions about whether President Trump himself would	
15	go to the Capitol on January 6th?	
16	A No.	
17	Q When did understanding that you might have found out about the	
18	President's speech around January 5th, did you hear any discussions about the President	
19	speaking at the Capitol on January 6th?	
20	A No. What just to maybe save you a bit of time, what I what I would say	
21	is that, because it's going to come up regardless, I suspect, and you're going to address it	
22	anyways, is that I just remember I think it was Ross, maybe it was Vince not sure	
23	which one, but this is all I remember one of them saying to me something like, Oh, I	
24	think there might be, like, a plan for there to be a, you know, a peaceful walk to the	
25	Capitol, you know, at the conclusion of the remarks.	

1	And	I I don't know who had told him that or where that came from. I got the
2	impression	he might have been talking to an advanced person, but that's it. That's the
3	extent of m	ny memory on that subject.
4	Q	Understood. I'd like to note for the record that Representative Schiff has
5	joined the	Webex.
6	ln t	he days leading up to January 6th, if you heard about the possibility of a walk
7	to the Capi	tol, were there any discussions about the potential for violence on
8	January 6th	n?
9	Α	No. So, again, during this whole time period I was
LO		So I wasn't around for any of that.
l1	To t	he extent that on the that on the 5th or the 6th, if there were any
12	conversation	ons at all, I don't remember any specifically, but they would have been more in
L3	the vein of	because we just got through the Black Lives Matter riots, they would have
L4	been more	in the vein of being worried about left-wing agitators and things of that
15	nature.	
L6	Q	Right.
L7	А	I don't remember anything specific. I just remember that kind of being in
L8	the air a lit	tle bit.
L9	Q	So you do remember some conversation about the potential for unrest or
20	violence?	
21	Α	Not in that way, but I remember there being some discussion about would
22	the city do	enough to ensure that rally goers were protected. I sort of vaguely
23	remember	that being like a little bit in the air, but nothing concrete.
24	Q	Were you monitoring social media following President Trump's December
5	19th tweet	monitoring reactions to it specifically?

1	A No. I didn't get I did not get the Twitter application. Well, I think I
2	didn't start using Twitter until after I left the White House. I think that maybe it was
3	downloaded onto my phone but no, I don't think it was. I think it was I don't think it
4	was even downloaded onto my phone. But I didn't I didn't start using Twitter until
5	after I was out of the White House. Obviously, on my laptop I would check it out from
6	time to time.
7	But so certainly, not saying I didn't use social media, because I did, but I wasn't
8	following social media after the rally, no.
9	Q Sorry. I said after President Trump's December 19th tweet.
10	And how about any other social media accounts and just thinking about
11	monitoring for reactions to that tweet specifically?
12	A No.
13	Q Was anyone in the White House, to your knowledge, monitoring websites
14	like thedonald.win to see reaction to the December 19th tweet?
15	A Sorry. What that was word you said?
16	Q The website thedonald.win.
17	A Yeah, I'm not familiar with that expression.
18	Q So you're not
19	BY MR.
20	Q Can I jump in real quick?
21	That's a website, Mr. Miller. It was formed after there's a web page called
22	The_Donald on Reddit that moved to a new location that became thedonald.win.
23	Have you ever heard of that website?
24	A I have not.
25	Q Do you know if anybody in that White House ever mentioned that website to

1	you or knew about the website?
2	A No.
3	Q Do you know if anybody in the White House, to your knowledge, or in the
4	campaign, was aware of discussions on social media or internet forums, the internet at all
5	about the potential for violence on January the 6th?
6	A No. Again, I want to underscore that that the today's also important so
7	I'll say this anyways, that on the 5th and 6th, after I
8	extent that I was aware of any conversations at all, I think, again, having just lived through
9	in D.C., as I'm sure you did, the violence and unrest in the summer of 2020, there was a
10	general sense of, Hey, I sure hope that, like, the city of Washington is doing what it needs
11	to do here to make sure that, you know, there's no, you know, BLM or other, you know,
12	left-wing agitators that set upon any of the speech goers.
13	But that was the extent of what I was, you know, aware of. Even that was just
14	sort of, you know, probably like a couple of conversations in passing that I might have had
15	or overheard.
16	BY MR.
17	Q Do you remember, when you got back to the office, anything that President
18	Trump might have said about what would happen with certification on January 6th?
19	A You mean, like, when I got back to the office after ? No,
20	nothing comes to mind.
21	Q And then once you learned about the speech, what was your
22	understanding
23	A Let me. Hold on a second. Let me just go back a second. The because
24	I want to be very clear about the question that you're asking, can you ask the question
25	again clearly, please?

1	Q	Sure.	Do you remember any conversations in the White House about what
2	President Tr	ump ha	d said about what might happen with the certification on January 6th?

A Okay. Well, so, I think, in general, there was a lot of discussion and curiosity about what would happen with the certification in Congress because, you know, as we discussed earlier today, there was -- there was a lot of interest in what Members of Congress would be doing and how that would go and everything else.

So, I mean, nothing specifically sticks out to me during this time. But, I mean, obviously there's a great deal of attention and curiosity about how the challenge would go in Congress. I know that Leader McCarthy, for example, was leading the effort in the House. I don't remember the exact tally. But I know that a large number of House Republicans, you know, voted against certification of a number of States.

And so, yeah, so there was a lot of attention to that obviously, but not any one thing sticks out in my mind.

Q Understood. So then what was the intended purpose of President Trump's speech on January 6th?

A Thank you. I'm glad that you asked that question, because it gives me an opportunity to state for you, for your benefit, and for history's benefit, I think, an important point which is that, although it should, in my view, be obvious, I will say nonetheless, which is that this was one in a series of speeches that was drafted and written, like, for example, another one being the speech in Georgia, for the purpose educating the public about election fraud and about generating public support for election reform.

Any suggestion, insinuation, implication, or conspiracy that suggests some other darker or more nefarious purpose is, in a word, preposterous.

Q Was that election reform a future hope or specific to the 2020 election?

1	Α	Both.
2	Q	You said both?
3	Α	Yes.
4	Q	So it was one of the purposes then to
5	Α	Support for election reform, meaning that the in that case, right, the
6	President w	as talking about about the about the Electoral Count Act. But then also
7	in that spee	ch he talked about voter ID and citizenship verification, et cetera. So that's
8	what I mear	n by both.
9	But i	t was an attempt at rhetorical persuasion in the tradition of the presidential
LO	voting call.	
l1	Q	And how much did that persuasion have to do with encouraging Members of
L2	Congress no	ot to certify the 2020 electoral results?
L3	Α	I think that the audience for the speech was both the general American
L4	public for th	em to hear the facts concerning election, and then also for Members of
L 5	Congress an	d their staffs to, likewise, hear a discussion or presentation on the facts and
16	concerns re	garding the election.
L7	Q	Okay. So why don't we pull up exhibits 40, and we can start looking at
L8	some of the	language and drafts that come through.
L9		BY MR. :
20	Q	Before we do that, how do you know that was the President's purpose for
21	the speech	on January the 6th?
22	Α	I have I will answer the question this way: I've had the privilege of
23	working wit	h the President as a candidate, the President, as a former President for 6
24	years now.	I have worked on speeches in the country's highest moments, and some of

its most challenging times. I don't claim to know the President as well as, perhaps, his

1	own children	but I do know the man and I know him well. I've been deeply honored by
2	the opportun	nity to have gotten to know the 45th President.
3	It is a	radical impossibility. It's extreme and as outlandish as any you can dream
4	up or imagine	e to think that that any of us, the President, myself, any White House
5	employee at	any level of the government would have wanted anything other than a day
6	of peace and	harmony.
7	Q	Did he tell you his purpose for that speech?
8	Α	I think it was it was understood. I don't think it had to be said. I mean, I
9	think that the	e you know, it was a rally. Like I said, we had already done one in
LO	Georgia. W	e did other speeches, not necessarily in rally context, maybe in press
l1	conference s	ettings. But it didn't have to be said. It was blindingly obvious that the
L2	purpose of th	ne speech was to build support for the election contest.
L3	Q	Understood that you're saying it didn't have to be said. But was it said?
L4	Did the Presid	dent tell you his purpose for the speech on January 6th?
L5	Α	As I stated earlier, you know, we're not going into those conversations
16	regardless, b	ut it's
L7	Q	Are you objecting to that on executive privilege grounds?
L8	Α	Well, I'll let my lawyers decide how they want me to answer that question.
L9	Why	don't you ask the question again?
20	Q	Yeah, did the President tell you his purpose for the speech on January the
21	6th?	
22	Mr. <u>R</u>	owley. Counsel, we've made it clear that we object to any conversations
23	that Mr. Mille	er may have had with President Trump.
24	Mr.	. Is that an executive privilege assertion, Mr. Rowley?

Mr. Rowley. That is, yes.

1	Mr And just for the record because, again, this may be something that
2	we take up for the chairman for a ruling, but for the record, we understand from many
3	witnesses that this was not an official function. This was not related to the President's
4	Article II official any capacity that he would exercise under Article II of the Constitution
5	as President of the United States, but rather as a candidate and a person participating in
6	an otherwise public event and does not implicate that.
7	But we will note the objection and we will move on.
8	The Witness. And I, you know, I would note the speech does contain a lot of
9	material about matters of public legislation and public import and the administration of
10	the law and the faithful execution of the law.
11	But I understand that I'm not here to persuade you, although I would find of
12	anyone would need to be so persuaded. But I can assure you that any suggestion,
13	insinuation, implication, or conspiracy that the speech served any purpose other than to
14	promote a better understanding of the subjects in the speech is ludicrous.
15	Mr. Thank you, Mr
16	BY MR.
17	Q Could we pull up exhibit 40 then, please?
18	Mr. Miller, exhibit 40, I don't have to explain it. I'm not sure if this was explained
19	earlier. But on some of these email stamps, they're in UTC time, and this is one of those
20	where you have to subtract 5 hours from the timestamp on it.
21	So this was sent on January 5th at 3:30 p.m. from Mr. Worthington to yourself,
22	cc'ing Mr. Haley. And it's attaching a document entitled, "Stop the Steal Rally."
23	We'll scroll down to the draft which is the attachment on page 1. Is this the first
24	draft of the January 6th speech that you saw on January 5th?
25	A What time was it sent at?

1	Q	3:30 p.m.
2	А	I believe it was.
3	Q	Okay. So on the bottom of the first page of the draft, leading on to the
4	second page	e, there's the last sentence:
5	"I kr	ow that everyone here will soon be marching" and onto the next
6	page "up	to the Capitol to peacefully and patriotically make your voices heard. We
7	will not rem	nain silent while the Democrats and the media try to steal this election."
8	Did	Mr. Worthington draft that language?
9	А	I believe so.
10	Q	Were there any discussions about knowing that people would soon be
11	marching u	p to the Capitol?
12	А	Only what I said earlier. That's my that's the sum and extent of my
13	knowledge	on the subject.
14	Q	And after you read this language, do you remember any conversations about
15	the security	implications of having the public march up to the Capitol on the day Congress
16	was certifyi	ng the vote?
17	А	No.
18	Q	Okay. If we scroll well, you see, if you can see on the next paragraph, it
19	starts with:	
20	l wa	nt to thank the more than 140 Members of the House of Representatives.
21	And	you're also thanking the Senators who are arguing against certification, and
22	the last line	of that paragraph is: "History will record that these Senators bravely stood
23	up to Stop t	he Steal."
24	And	then the next paragraph is: "Today we hope that majority in both Houses of

Congress will join these Senators in showing courage, wisdom, and strength."

1	Do you remember who drafted that language?
2	A Well, I can save you some time in that I believe that the that the whole
3	draft was produced by some combination of Ross, Vince, and their subordinates.
4	Q Great. And did you have discussions about noting that the Senators and
5	Members of Congress specifically would stand up to Stop the Steal?
6	A I just think, I mean, I think that was the list that Ross was given of who was
7	on record as planning to object to certification pursuant to the Electoral Count Act.
8	Q Understood. If we go down to the end of the draft, it's page the last
9	page, the second to last full paragraph.
10	"I want to thank you all once again for being here today. Now go make sure that
11	Congress hears your voice, sees your peaceful protest, and knows that here in this
12	country the American people rule."
13	Did you have any conversations about having a directive to the people to go
14	march to the Capitol?
15	A No. Again, not beyond the whatever he said on the subject.
16	And, again, at the time I was I was just coming out of the hospital. So I
17	probably only would be able to provide a cursory review of the draft as it was.
18	Q As a general
19	A Not that, by the way, not that I wouldn't have loved to have given more than
20	a cursory review. It's just that was the situation as it stood at the time.
21	Q Understood. But as a general matter, how many speeches did you work on
22	for the President where he directed people to go to a physical location on the same day
23	to do something?
24	A I couldn't know. There there were a lot of speeches over a long period of

time.

1	Q Was it common for the President to tell people who were listening to his
2	speeches to, you know, head to Congress to protest?
3	A Well, I wouldn't do anything about this I mean, this wasn't a not a
4	normal day. Obviously, every once every 4 years there is an electoral count. So I don't
5	know if there was an appropriately analogous situation, but nothing about it struck me as
6	even unusual in the slightest.
7	Q Okay. If we go up a page sorry to go out of order here a little bit. On
8	the bottom of page 7, the paragraph starting, "so today," right there sorry. I got my
9	page numbers wrong. Yeah, right there.
10	"So, today, in addition to challenging the certification of the election, I'm calling
11	on Congress and the State legislatures to quickly pass sweeping election reform so that
12	the outrageous fraud we've seen in this election can never happen again."
13	Just as a general matter, do you know who is fact-checking claims about election
14	fraud in this speech?
15	A My understanding based on some document review is that it may have been
16	William Bock.
17	Q And who is William Bock?
18	A I don't remember his exact job title, but he was employed in the
19	speechwriting office.
20	Q Did you ever work with William Bock's father, also named William Bock, on
21	election challenges?
22	A Not that I remember.
23	Q Okay. Thank you.
24	So after this draft, do you remember providing any edits to Mr. Haley or
25	Mr. Worthington?

1	A My recollection is that, as I mentioned, you know, I'd just gotten back from
2	the hospital. So I was still somewhat recovering from that, for lack of a better term.
3	I became aware that this event was tomorrow, which, you know, based on talking
4	to Ross and Vince, I mean, was just another, you know, routine event in the series of
5	events that we've been doing. Nothing about it struck anyone as remarkable. They
6	produced a standard draft based on the kind of remarks that had been made up to that
7	point in time.
8	And, so, I provided some very top-level feedback. Don't remember what it was.
9	And then I believe they sent me another draft some hours later. But, again, I can't
10	underscore enough how how unremarkable it all it all was.
11	Q All right. Why don't we pull up exhibit 44 then? And I'll start describing it
12	to you while it's getting pulled up.
13	On January 5th there's an email exchange, if we scroll down to the bottom right
14	there's good at 5:11 p.m. where Austin Ferrer and I'm sorry if I'm mis-pronouncing his
15	last name, F-e-r-r-e-r, asked Vince and Ross if there's a draft available for POTUS' speech
16	tomorrow morning and the subject is the 11:00 a.m. speech.
17	And we can scroll up a little bit more, a little more.
18	The email I want to focus on is stuck between the two pages unfortunately. So
19	at the bottom you see looks like it got covered over by oh, no. Sorry.
20	So the email's from Madison Porter on January 5th at 5:28 p.m., and it goes to
21	Mr. Haley and Mr. Worthington and Mr. Ferrer, cc'ing the staff secretary and Ms. Molly.
22	And Ms. Porter says:
23	Ross and Vince, we got some feedback from POTUS. Read the remarks. Will
24	give you a call.

Do you know what feedback the President had wanted to provide to Mr. Haley or

1	Mr. Worthington?
2	A I do not.
3	Q Do you know if anyone actually spoke to Ms. Porter about the feedback?
4	A With Madison? No, I don't know. But, I mean, if she said we'll give you a
5	call, she probably did give them a call. But I don't know that she did.
6	Q And just as a general matter, if the if the President had not yet seen a draft
7	of this speech, was it common sorry. Let me rephrase.
8	If the President had not yet seen a draft of this speech, in general, was it common
9	for him to provide input before seeing the draft?
LO	A Yeah, certainly. I mean, again, this goes back hours. It depends a lot on
L1	his schedule, what's going on in the world that day, but nothing unusual at all about
L2	him in fact, it's quite routine for him to mention to someone, for example, in this case,
L3	purportedly someone on staff sec, and say, Hey, I got some ideas for tomorrow, kind of
L4	thing.
L5	Q We also understand that it might have been a discussion between yourself
16	and Mr. Haley and Mr. Worthington at sometime around, you know, this period about the
L7	draft. Is that accurate?
L8	A It's just, yeah, just what it said. I remember I remember having a very
L9	top-level conversation with some very general guidance. But, again, it seemed
20	relatively it seemed relatively pro forma in the sense that we had done a few remarks
21	along these lines before, and so, there was no need to reinvent the wheel.
22	Q Okay. Then let's pull up exhibit 45.
23	So exhibit 45 also has the same UTC problem. But this is a draft that
24	Mr. Worthington sends to yourself, cc'ing Mr. Miller. Although the date says

January 6th at 1:40 a.m., If you subtract 5 hours, it's January 5th at 7:40 p.m. the subject's

"Revised," and the attachment's the "Save America March." 1 2 Do you remember receiving a second draft of the speech around then? 3 No. I mean, not, like, specifically then, but I do remember that some follow-up draft was sent at some point in time that evening. 4 Great. So if we go to exhibit 46, I'll represent to you that this is a red line 5 6 we created between exhibit 45 and exhibit 40, so the 7:40 p.m. draft and the 3:30 p.m. 7 draft. Red cross-outs were in the original 3:30 p.m. draft, and blue texts are additions to 8 the draft. 9 Α So this is your self-created track change to the document? Correct. If we scroll down a little bit to this first paragraph, the last 10 Q 11 sentence is: 12 Our country has had enough. We will not take it anymore. Together we will 13 Stop the Steal. Do you remember why the switch from in the 3:30 p.m. draft, the focus of 14 15 stopping the steal being on the Members of Congress, to here it is, together, and we will Stop the Steal? 16 Α Which -- wait. From what line to what line? 17 So in the first draft, in the 3:30 p.m., if you remember, we can scroll down a 18 Q 19 little more and look at the deleted text. 20 But you want to know -- I can read through the strike. You want to know 21 why it went from which line to which line? Well, you don't see the strike here. The strike from the 3:30 was, if you 22 Q 23 remember when I showed you the 3:30 p.m. draft, mentioning the 140 Members of the House, the 24 25 Members of the Senate who are objecting to certification, and it was thanking them for

- standing up to Stop the Steal. And now here, it says we will Stop the Steal. And, in
- fact, if we scroll down a little bit, we can show you the red line that's on page --
- A So did the thank you to Members of Congress move to a different part of the speech?
- 5 Q No, so --

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 6 A It's just relocated.
- Q Right. We'll keep scrolling down to page 2, the draft, a little more. Right there. So you'll see that "history will record that these 14 Senators bravely stood up to Stop the Steal" has been deleted and instead in the opening paragraph, we have "together, we will Stop the Steal."
 - So were there discussions about switching the focus of who would Stop the Steal from Members of Congress to a "we"?
 - A No. But what I will say is, just analyzing these changes, as I sit here today, as somebody who, for lack of a better term, could be described as an expert on speechwriting, I will tell that you the second version of the speech is -- is a superior draft in terms of its linguistic structure because the opening with the thank you to Members of Congress is a very dry, a very distant way to open a speech.
 - So it just makes sense, from a rhetorical standpoint, move that deeper into the speech. Open with language that is more inclusive and more inviting for the people who have, you know, stood there all day in the cold and everything else for you to talk to them. So it's just a better construct in terms much just pure speech craft.
 - Q So the rhetorical flourish then was to invite the people listening to the speech to participate in stopping the steal?
- A No. The -- I mean, if you really want to get technical about it, in American political rhetoric, the third person is the device choice for communicating political ideas.

1	This has been true from the beginning of the republic, you know, from the
2	Declaration of Independence, right? We hold these truths to be self-evident; the
3	Constitution, We, the people; Gettysburg Address, you know, We here do highly resolve
4	that these dead shall have died in vain.
5	In fact, the [inaudible] of the Gettysburg Address is 272 words, 10 of which are the
6	word "we," believe it or not.
7	Lincoln's famous speech about landing on the moon, "We choose to land on the
8	moon," "we choose," he said it several times, "not because it is easy but because it is
9	hard"; the President's signature tag line, "We will Make America Great Again."
10	That's my answer to your question. It is the standard and correct construct for
11	American and political rhetoric that our political culture is accustomed to and expects.
12	Q Understood. But for all those messages, it sounds like part of the reason is
13	to have everybody feel like
14	A No one hearing Kennedy's speech thought they were going to be on the
15	moon
16	Q If I can finish the question. Would you disagree, though, that in all the
17	examples you gave, part of the reason of using "we" is to have the
18	participant sorry the listeners feel as if they're participating in whatever action the
19	speaker is promoting?
20	A No. As I was saying, and I apologize for cutting you off, and I'm glad we're
21	having this conversation, because external analysis that's been done on this speech, not
22	yours, in fairness, but some external analysis I've seen in some settings has been
23	remarkably detached from the reality of how political rhetoric is constructed.
24	You know, turning again to my example about Kennedy's famous speech about,

you know, about how we choose to go to the Moon, or we choose to land on the Moon,

- the -- nobody listening to that speech thought they were going to be in the Lunar Module.
- They understood it to be in the abstract collective, which, again, is the -- is the baseline of
- 3 American political rhetoric.

Likewise, with the phrase that "We, the people," that starts the Constitution, and then it begins, of course, in the preamble to describe all the reasons for founding the republic. The -- most of the people that were reading that document were not in the room, doing any of those things.

So, no, I definitely don't think that's the correct way to understand that. If using the word "I" in the first person in American political rhetoric, in many contexts, is seen as gauche. Obama was criticized for his heavy use of the first person. So we were keen not to as a general matter to be guilty of the same faux pas.

1				
2	[4:04 p.m.]			
3	BY MR. :			
4	Q Understood.			
5	So, for the next language I want to ask is at the top of the page, so if we scroll up a			
6	little bit more, Sorry. I was unclear. If you keep going down a little bit. It			
7	was already at the top of the page, right there.			
8	So we have you'll see, in addition: Now it's up to Congress to confront it's up			
9	to Congress to confront this egregious assault on our democracy. We have come to			
10	demand that Congress do the right thing and only certify the electors who have been			
11	lawfully slated.			
12	And you'll see it was changed from certify the electoral college vote to electors			
13	who have been lawfully slated.			
14	Would this have to do with the State legislative option in discussion we were			
15	having earlier?			
16	A Let me read this real quick.			
17	So now it's up to Congress to confront this egregious assault on our democracy.			
18	We have come to demand that Congress will begin considering whether to do the right			
19	thing and only certify the electoral college vote.			
20	Well, what was the end of that sentence? I mean, it's hard I don't was			
21	that what was the end of that sentence? We will only certify the electoral college			
22	vote? Congress will begin considering oh, I see. Yeah. Whether to certify the			
23	electoral college vote.			
24	So I think it's pretty straightforward. The I mean, it is changing from from a			
25	sort of vague, imprecise to something more something more specific to			

1	describe again, this is a speechwriting convention. Rather than leaving the audience			
2	guessing what you're talking about, use of more precise language, we understand what			
3	the what the event is in question. And it's just it's just a better way to write the			
4	sentence.			
5	Q Was your understanding or whoever is drafting this' understanding that the			
6	electors in front of Congress at the time had not been lawfully slated?			
7	A It was the view of everybody that the electoral slates had been predicated			
8	on fraud, and, therefore, the remedy was for the States to examine that fraud and, based			
9	on those findings, to certify new electors.			
10	Q Thank you.			
11	So, if we can start scrolling towards the end of this draft. Just keep scrolling.			
12	You'll see all these bullet points as we go. I'll represent to you these are specific,			
13	you know, like, points about claims of election fraud.			
14	And if we can scroll all the way to the end,			
15	But do you know who added these claims?			
16	A Sorry, I don't. I'm not being very much help to you here. I mean, I don't			
17	really have a memory that specific.			
18	Q That's okay.			
19	And let's look at the concluding paragraph, right before thank you.			
20	And so, see, the last paragraph, which we you remember in the 3:30 p.m.			
21	draft, has the instruction to go make sure that Congress hears your voice and you can			
22	see it crossed out sees your peaceful protest and knows that, here in this country, the			
23	American people rule, has been changed to: Thank you again to every citizen here today			
24	and all across the country for standing strong. Now it's up to the men and women of			
25	Congress to do the right thing by their conscience, by their country, and by our			

1	Constitution.			
2	Were there discussions about taking out this instruction for people to go to			
3	Congress and protest?			
4	А	Wasn't that, though isn't that the second reference to the same in the		
5	speech?	sn't that a redundancy, if I'm not mistaken?		
6	Q	There is a reference earlier to "I know that people will be peaceful" and		
7	Α	I mean, again, I'm just I'm just guessing here, which I probably shouldn't be		
8	doing, but	I'm just guessing it was stricken because of redundancy. I don't first of all,		
9	I'm not eve	n the one editing this. You're asking about somebody else's edits, right?		
10	Let's not forget that.			
11	But	you're showing me a redline off of one person's draft and another person's		
12	redraft. S	o I'm speculating on their reason sort of as your, you know, expert witness		
13	who under	stands how speechwriting works, but my guess is it was just redundant.		
14	Q	Okay. Let's move on, then, to exhibit 48, which should be a draft that you		
15	sent at 10:	22 a.m. I think is the email attached to it? I'm sorry. 47.		
16	So t	his is an email from, I believe scroll down Mr. Worthington forwarded to		
17	himself on	January on January 14th, but it is an email from you to Mr. Worthington and		
18	Mr. Haley and Robert Gabriel. This is at 10:22 a.m. on January 6th, saying: Start			
19	inputting tl	nese changes ASAP.		
20	And	then, at 10:23 a.m., you say: Then have a new version with red highlights		
21	marking PC	TUS edits sent back to him ASAP as well.		
22	Did	you have a discussion with the President about making changes to the draft on		
23	the mornin	g of January 6th?		
24	Mr.	Rowley. Objection. Same objection. Executive privilege.		

Not asking about the content of the conversation. Do you still have

an objection? 1 2 Mr. Rowley. Same objection, yes. Mr. And that is executive privilege objection? 3 Mr. Rowley. Correct. 4 Mr. . Thank you. 5 BY MR. 6 Well, we'll note -- let's pull up exhibit 51, then. 7 Q 8 Exhibit 51 is the daily diary of the President on January 6. And, if we scroll down 9 a little bit, we'll see that there is a meeting entry -- up a little more. Sorry. It's around 10 -- around 9:52 a.m. 10 It's reflected in the diary that you -- the President talked with his assistant and 11 12 senior advisor for policy, Stephen Miller, at 9:52 to 10:18 a.m. on January 6th. 13 Do you remember meeting with the President, Mr. Miller? Α Do I remember meeting with the President? 14 15 Q Yes. Α Are you looking -- is that a meeting log, or is that the phone call log? 16 Q Do you remember speaking with the President on the morning of 17 January 6th? 18 19 Α I do. 20 Q Okay. Great. 21 And, if we go back to exhibit 47, would you agree that you received some edits 22 from the President as to whatever the latest draft was as of 10:22 in the morning on 23 January 6th? Mr. Rowley. Same objection. 24 25 Mr. Executive privilege objection?

1	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Yes, sir.
2	Mr. Well, we're going to let the language stand that it says POTUS.
3	BY MR. :
4	Q And, if we go to exhibit 48, this is the attachment to exhibit 47, which seems
5	to be a redlined that you sent to Mr. Haley and Mr. Worthington.
6	And, on page 1 of this draft, we have the language that, at the end of the scroll
7	down a little bit. I'm sorry. This is after the paragraph that starts "For years."
8	So, at the end of the, but this year, paragraph in blue, which are additions, in fact:
9	It, the election, was so corrupt, we could even say we can say world history. No Third
10	World country would even attempt what they have done, and we caught them.
11	Did you make that edit to this speech, Mr. Miller?
12	A Well, is that the is the redline that you're looking at in the is that the
13	email that I sent?
14	Q Yes.
15	A Then yes.
16	Q Okay. Why did you add this language, Mr. Miller?
17	Mr. Rowley. Objection to the extent that the question could potentially
18	implicate any conversations that Mr. Miller had with the President.
19	BY MR. ::
20	Q Putting aside the possible conversations you had with the President, can you
21	elaborate about why you added this language?
22	A Can I elaborate on what?
23	Q Why you added this language, you know, putting aside the substantive
24	conversations you might have had with President Trump?
25	A I'm just I think it's fairly apparent, just that this is building out the case and

- 1 laying out the argument about what the injustice was that took place. And it's just -- it's 2 a elaboration on the point that precedes it. 3 And, given what you know about world history and world events, is this a
 - true statement, or is it a rhetorical statement?
- It's a true statement. I don't think that you want me to elaborate on it Α 6 because we'll get back to the conversation we were having earlier, and there is no need 7 for that, unless you want to.
- Q No. I -- understood. 8

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- So, at the end of the next paragraph that starts "Now it is up to Congress," we see the addition: Today, we will see whether Republicans stand strong --
 - I should say, by the way, just to be clear, if something could be true and a rhetorical flourish at the same time. In other words, obviously -- obviously it's designed to -- designed to be rhetorical, right? The -- you know, no one pretends to have reviewed every election in the history of the world, but what's -- right. So that obviously is intended as such.
 - What's true is the fact that, in the world that we're living in today, it's hard to imagine a country that would ever agree to conduct its elections as we conduct ours. just to -- just to be clear there. Both can be true at the same time.
- 19 Continue.
 - Not to -- not to get back into the conversation you had earlier, but just to be clear for the record, because I take your point. Something can both be rhetorical and believe -- did you believe that the statement was true when you wrote it?
 - Α Yes. Understanding -- understanding, as a rational listener would, that when one says "we could even say world history," they are not literally trying to imply they have cataloged every -- every election conducted since the beginning of time and

1	compare it to them. I mean, obviously have a they have elections in North Korea that			
2	are not elections. So the that was not how it was understood or how it was meant to			
3	be heard.			
4	Continue.			
5	Q Thank you.			
6	So, if we go start reading the blue insert at the end of the next paragraph, it			
7	starts with: Today we will see whether Republicans stand strong for the integrity of our			
8	elections, and we will see whether Mike Pence enters history as a truly great and			
9	courageous leader.			
10	Did you add that do you know why that language was added?			
11	A All I will what I can say to you is that that that edit clearly, by just reading			
12	it, is a discussion of the role of the Vice President in administering the Electoral Count Act.			
13	Q And this is the first reference to Vice President Pence that we've seen in a			
14	draft so far. Were there conversations in general at the Speechwriting Office about			
15	what role Vice President Pence would play on January 6th?			
16	A Not that I recall, no. But, I mean, again, the you're talking about you			
17	know, this January 6th was my, you know, first day back at the office. My first full day			
18	back at the office.			
19	Q And do you know if President Trump said anything on the morning of			
20	January 6th about what Vice President Pence would end up doing?			
21	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Objection. Same objection.			
22	Mr. For the record, that's the executive privilege objection?			
23	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> That's correct.			
24	BY MR.			

And, in general, was there any discussion about using this speech to

25

Q

1	encourage Vice President Pence to refer electoral votes back to the States some other		
2	action that could be taken?		
3	Mr. Rowley. I'm not sure I understand your use of the term in general.		
4	BY MR. :		
5	Q If putting aside substantive conversations that you might have had with		
6	President Trump, were there other discussions on the morning of January 6th about using		
7	this speech to encourage Vice President Pence to refer electoral votes back to the States?		
8	A There nothing specifically comes to mind. There may have been some		
9	offhanded conversations about about what would be happening that day and whether		
10	or not to include any material about it in the speech, but nothing that specifically comes		
11	to mind within the the confines of the question that you asked.		
12	Q Do you remember who was talking about what Vice President Pence might		
13	do on January 6th?		
14	A No. Again, within the within the confines of the question that you asked,		
15	nothing nothing really comes to mind. But but it wouldn't have surprised me, like I		
16	said, if there had been some some informal conversation on the subject.		
17	Q And then, if we scroll down a little bit, there is a comment bubble on		
18	page the third page of the draft.		
19	The next comment bubble. Sorry. Keep going down.		
20	So you see this comment bubble pointing at this long paragraph, but it says:		
21	POTUS mention Detroit 137 percent.		
22	Just reading those words, is it your understanding that the President that this		
23	note represents that the President specifically wanted to mention Detroit allegedly		
24	having 137 percent electoral turnout?		
25	Mr. Rowley. Same objection. Executive privilege. Presidential		

1	communications.
2	Mr Thank you.
3	BY MR. :
4	Q So we'll just close up quickly by pulling up exhibit 49.
5	Is this the draft that then you turned around to President Trump after having
6	Mr. Haley and Mr. Worthington incorporate your edits?
7	A Wait a minute. I'm only I'm only looking at the first few paragraphs here.
8	Can you give me some more context for the document that I'm looking at?
9	Q Yes. This is an undated draft. It came from the National Archives. We
10	could go through it. You see the red highlights. We'll keep going a little more. And,
11	if you remember from the email that you sent to Mr. Worthington and Mr. Haley, which
12	we could pull up, you know, if you need, you say: Put the President's changes in red.
13	So we see the sentences that we talked about. It was so corrupt, we could even
14	say world history. Today we will see whether Republicans stand strong.
15	Would you
16	A I mean, all I can say is that I looking at it now, my best guess is that this is a
17	close final draft.
18	Q Great. Thank you.
19	Mr. Mr. , I'll turn it back over to you lest you have any followup.
20	BY MR. :
21	Q Just to follow up on that, Mr. Miller, was it your understanding that
22	Mr. Worthington or Mr. Haley or some combination of the two actually went through and
23	incorporated the edits that you requested them to incorporate?
24	A Well, whether it was them specifically, but probably would have been, but,

I mean, standard practice would have been that, if -- if I'm transmitting edits to a draft,

1	that somebody on my staff would input the edits and then recirculate a draft.
2	Q And initial versions of the draft circulating occurred on Mr. Worthington and
3	Mr. Haley's, you know, private accounts, meaning their I think their Gmail accounts.
4	Do you know why that was, as opposed to their White House accounts?
5	A I think it's a fairly complex legalistic answer that I'm probably I'm not
6	qualified to provide.
7	Q Well, why would you use your personal account, for example, for some
8	things related to the campaign, as opposed to your White House account?
9	A Like I said, probably be better off discussing that with White House Counsel's
10	Office and others. There is some real complex interactions between between the
11	various rules that govern campaign material versus versus being an assistant to the
12	President versus being his senior advisor, you know, being a commissioned officer versus
13	being noncommissioned versus being a campaign staffer.
14	I just all I can say is that I rigorously tried to adhere to a system that is that, in
15	these instances, is extraordinarily complex and that 10 serious, objective people looking
16	at the same set of facts can give 10 different answers.
17	Q Understood.
18	Mr And, just for the record, on the issue of the objections raised about

Mr. And, just for the record, on the issue of the objections raised about your communications or the possibility of your communications with the President related to this speech and the drafting of this speech, I would just note that, you know, the -- two of the speechwriters used personal accounts in order to do this.

We understand that this was not an official function the President participated in, that this was, in fact, more of a campaign function or a private function put on an outside -- by an outside group, and that the National Archives, as a result of litigation in which executive privilege was asserted, turned over drafts of the speech.

1	So, to the extent there was such an executive privilege, it certainly would not
2	apply. But the select committee's position is it doesn't apply in the first place.
3	BY MR. :
4	Q Moving on, Mr. asked you a few questions about the Vice
5	President his role on January the 6th. Are you aware of a disagreement between the
6	President and the Vice President in the days leading up to January 6th with respect to the
7	Vice President's role presiding over the joint session as President of the Senate?
8	A More aware of it now than I was at the time.
9	Q What do you remember from the time about that?
10	Mr. Rowley. Same objection to the extent that the question at least potentially
11	implicates any conversations that Mr. Miller had with President Trump.
12	Mr. Okay.
13	Outside of communications that you had specifically with Mr. Trump and I'm
14	assuming that's based on executive privilege?
15	Mr. Rowley. Yes.
16	Mr. Okay. And, just for the record, this would be a communication
17	between the President and the Vice President about the Vice President's role as head of
18	the Senate, a separate constitutional duty that exists outside of Article II.
19	Does that change at all your objection, Mr. Rowley?
20	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> It does not.
21	Mr. Okay.
22	BY MR. :
23	Q So, outside of specific communications that you had, Mr. Miller, with the
24	President on this issue, what do you recall about the disagreement between the
25	President and the Vice President with respect to his role as President of the Senate on

- 1 January the 6th?
- 2 A Like I said, not a -- not much of a great deal. The -- I've seen a lot of things
- said or written about it subsequently. Some of that can, of course, bleed into your
- 4 memory.
- 5 But, you know, I was sleeping on a couch in a hospital for basically the -- the week
- leading up to this, so much of -- much of what I've heard about it comes from a lot of the
- 7 same news articles I'm sure that you've read as well, too.
- 8 Q Did you ever speak to anybody in the White House at the time about this
- disagreement between the President and the Vice President, other than the President,
- based on the objection from your counsel?
- 11 A Maybe had a brief conversation about it with Eric Herschmann.
- 12 Q Tell me about that. What do you remember him saying to you about this
- 13 disagreement?
- A l just remember him saying that -- that he had a -- I'm trying to remember.
- 15 I don't want to get this wrong. Sort of something to the effect of thinking that it would
- be counterproductive, I think he thought, to -- to discuss the matter publicly.
- 17 I remember him weighing in on -- on his view of it privately, or his legal analysis of
- it, in fact had a reason to believe he didn't concur with the legal analysis that -- that the
- 19 Vice President could issue various constructions as the presiding officer. I just recall him
- thinking that it would be -- it would be -- it wouldn't -- you know, that just -- it wouldn't
- advance the ball to -- to discuss it. But that's about all I remember.
- 22 Q Did he tell you the nature of the disagreement?
- 23 A No. That was about the extent of the exchange of the matter --
- 24 Q Just that there was --
- 25 A -- as I remember it.

1 Q I'm sorry for interrupting you. 2 Just that there was a disagreement between the President and the Vice President about the Vice President's role --3 No, no, no, no. 4 5 Q -- on January 6th? 6 Α No, no, no. I'm saying -- that's not what I said at all. What I said was 7 that -- no, Eric did not talk to me at all about conversations between the President and the Vice President, did not share anything like that with me that he had been privy to, 8 9 that he had then came over to talk about. 10 I'm saying something much narrower, which is that -- you asked me if I remember 11 any conversations at all that day in which the President was not a party, and I say that I 12 remembered a brief sidebar with Eric Herschmann, whose view was that -- that it would 13 be -- that it wouldn't be an effective technique to -- to -- to litigate the matter publicly. But that was sort of the extent of the -- of the conversation. 14 15 And it probably -- the nature of the conversations being what they are, it was probably more sentences than that, but that -- that, in sum and effect, what was -- what 16 was discussed by him. 17 18 Q Okay. Appreciate that. 19 Just to clarify, though, you said it wouldn't be an effective technique to litigate the 20 matter publicly. Just can you define what you understood the matter to be in that 21 conversation with Mr. Herschmann? Α The -- the matter being what the Vice President's duties, rights, and 22 23 obligations were under the Electoral Count Act.

Do you remember why you got into this conversation with Mr. Herschmann?

I mean, well, for the simple matter that the -- that the material was in the

24

25

Q

Α

- 1 speech. For no other reason.
- 2 Q So it came up in the context of editing the President's speech on January the
- 3 6th?
- 4 A It just came up in the conversation where Eric knew it was in the speech, and
- 5 so he had a -- a sidebar with me about it.
- 6 Q Did he suggest --
- 7 A So, in other words, you asked me a question about conversations that I had
- that were not with the President in which the President was not a party to those
- 9 conversations. That's what I can recall and recollect.
- 10 Q Understood. Thank you, Mr. Miller.
- So one of the edits that you just went over with Mr. was an addition that
- says: We will see whether Republicans stand strong for the integrity of our elections,
- and we'll see whether Mike Pence enters history as a truly great and courageous leader.
- And, just to make sure I'm clear, was Mr. Herschmann saying it may not make
- sense to include that language about the Vice President and his role in the speech?
- A Well, I do a lot of psychology, I think, here for Mr. Herschmann, but I
- 17 would -- his argument, in not so many words, would have been something to the effect of
- that -- that this will not -- that the private -- well, I'm just assuming here, but he -- he
- 19 probably would have preferred a private to a public persuasion technique. That's all.
- 20 Q I see. Okay. And --
- A But you'd be better off asking him to explain what his thinking was.
- Q My last question -- and that makes perfect sense that we would ask him
- that. But my question -- my last question on this is, was it your understanding he was
- saying -- Mr. Herschmann being the he -- was saying it doesn't make sense to talk about
- 25 the Vice President and his role in the speech that the President was going to give on the

- 1 Ellipse on January the 6th?
- 2 A I understood his comments to mean -- to not be a legal analysis but a tactical
- analysis, that -- that the -- he was not saying to me -- and, if I misunderstood him, then I
- 4 misunderstood him.
- But I do -- I do not think he was saying to me: I do not agree with the analysis
- that the Vice President has these duties, obligations, or rights.
- 7 I understood the -- him to be saying the -- the -- the crux of his point to be
- 8 that -- that making the case publicly versus privately may not have the -- the same
- 9 persuasive effect.
- That's what I thought he was saying.
- 11 Q Did you have any discussions with John Eastman?
- 12 A I don't remember having any, no.
- 13 Q Do you remember John Eastman being at the White House in early January?
- A Well, again, I mean, if you're talking about the date of, like, say, you know, J1
- through J5 or even like -- well, I was in the hospital on New Year's Eve. I mean, you
- 16 know, late December through January, I just wasn't around.
- 17 Q What about when you were there? Do you remember seeing him at the
- 18 White House?
- 19 A I have one unremarkable recollection of seeing him walking out of an Oval
- 20 Office meeting before I was getting ready to go into a -- either a different meeting, or I
- 21 might have also been there just to visit with -- I might have been there to visit with outer
- Oval staff, too. So I don't actually remember why I was there, one or the other, but I
- saw him walk out. I don't believe that I shared words with him.
- 24 Q When was that?
- 25 A I don't remember, to be honest with you.

1	Q Was it after the period you spent in the hospital, New Year's Eve, early
2	January?
3	A I I don't remember I really don't when it was. The yeah, I just I
4	just had this memory of of seeing him walking out of the the Oval and and also
5	remembering that I was there for some other purpose or meeting.
6	Q Do you do you think it was in January of 2021?
7	A No. It was probably it was probably either in December or January.
8	can narrow it down to 2 months for you.
9	Q Well, no, that's helpful.
10	And you don't remember him saying anything to you or anybody else that you
11	overheard?
12	A No, I didn't have I didn't have any if I did have any exchange with him,
13	would have been more than like hello, but I don't think I had any words with him at all.
14	Q Do you remember asking anybody, you know, what was he doing there?
15	Why is he walking out of the Oval?
16	A No. I don't think that I did.
17	Q Do you remember ever finding out why he was there later?
18	A All I all I have is supposition. I don't think I ever asked anybody.
19	Q Okay. What's the supposition that you have based on your experience
20	working in the White House around that time?
21	A Well, my supposition would be that that he was there to present some of
22	the legal work that he had been doing. That would be obviously the most logical thing
23	That seems to me a safe a safe thing to suppose.
24	Q All right. So let's talk about January 6th, the day itself.
25	Approximately what time we won't hold you to anything in particular, but

1 approximately what time do you recall getting to the White House that morning? 2 Α I don't know. It was my -- it was my first day back after a rather 3 extraordinary and unpleasant experience, so I was still a little bit dazed from it all. But, you know, the -- I wouldn't -- you know, I would never wish on anybody the -- the 4 experience that we went through, which and I won't get into here. But the likeliest 5 6 answer is probably sometime around 9. There is an entry that you went over with Mr. in the daily diary for the 7 8 9 approximately 9:52 in the morning. You spoke with the President for approximately 10 25 minutes or so. 11 Were you at the White House already when you had this conversation with the President? 12 Α Yes. 13 Q And was that a call? It looks like the President was in the residence at the 14 15 time. Α Yes. It was a phone call. 16 Q Without getting into the substance, do you remember what the 17 conversation was about that you had with the President? 18 19 Α I would just broadly characterize it as about -- one, it's sort of a general 20 touch base, what's going on, what's happening, so on, so forth. And then -- and then 21 naturally some discussion of the -- of the upcoming event. The upcoming event being the rally on the Ellipse? 22 Q 23 Α Right. Did it include anything about the upcoming event being the joint session of 24 Q

25

Congress?

1	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Objection.
2	Mr Objection being executive privilege?
3	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Yes, that's correct.
4	Mr Okay. Note that objection, and we will continue.
5	BY MR.
6	Q Did you ever go up and see the President in the residence that morning
7	before he came down?
8	A I did not.
9	Q And it looks like from your emails and from some of these logs that you were
10	busy working on this speech that the President was going to deliver on the Ellipse later
11	that day. Is that fair? Is that one of the things you did that morning when you got to
12	work?
13	A Yeah. And I don't know how busy I was with it. It was a pretty it was a
14	pretty light lift in the scheme of things compared to some of the more intricate things
15	that we've done. And it was, like I said, all pretty routine and pro forma.
16	The nothing about it was interesting or remarkable.
17	Q In the conversation that you had with Mr. Herschmann about the Vice
18	President
19	A Well, just say nothing about the process that I that morning was
20	interesting or remarkable. It was my normal normal morning process is what I was
21	saying.
22	Q Understood.
23	The conversation that you had with Mr. Herschmann about the Vice President, his
24	role on during the joint session, did that happen on the morning of the 6th?
25	A It did.

1	Q	Did you make any edits to this speech based on Mr. Herschmann's
2	suggestions	s or comments?
3	Α	I do not believe so.
4	Q	Well, do you remember why you didn't?
5	Α	I considered the matter settled at that point in time.
6	Q	Meaning the speech had been more or less finalized, from your perspective?
7	Α	Yeah. Correct.
8	Q	Did you raise that issue that Mr. Herschmann commented on with anybody?
9	А	Not that I recall. I think that that conversation that I had with Eric stayed
10	between m	e and Eric.
11	Q	Do you remember talking to anybody that morning and I'll just divide the
12	morning or	the day, rather, into pre-rally and post-rally. But, pre-rally at the White
13	House, do y	ou remember talking to anybody about the joint session of Congress or
14	expectation	ns for the joint session later that day?
15	А	Not not anything concrete. I mean, again, not not beyond, you know,
16	what wha	at what needs to be discussed just to complete the speech draft.
17	Q	Did you talk to anybody about the possibility that the President would be
18	going to the	e Capitol that day before the rally on the Ellipse on January the 6th?
19	А	Nothing that I remember, no.
20	Q	So I believe and, if I'm not mistaken, the speech includes that language.
21	Did you eve	er did you ever ask anybody Mr. Meadows, Mr. Ornato, anybody
22	А	So, just to sort of save you some time here
23	Q	Yeah.
24	А	the what I remember, one, my earlier observation, I have some recent
25	memory of	Ross saying to me maybe it was Vince, probably Ross, probably

discussed that. And then I have very, very scant, like imprecise memories of some discussion whether or not the President would or wouldn't be accompanying anybody, but I nothing really sticks out in my mind.	vant to
walk to the Capitol afterwards, and that I got the impression, though I can't say exactly why, that he was getting this from the advance team. And and so I had that. I discussed that. And then I have very, very scant, like imprecise memories of some discussion whether or not the President would or wouldn't be accompanying anybody, but I nothing really sticks out in my mind.	actly
 why, that he was getting this from the advance team. And and so I had that. discussed that. And then I have very, very scant, like imprecise memories of some discussion whether or not the President would or wouldn't be accompanying anybody, but I nothing really sticks out in my mind. 	
discussed that. And then I have very, very scant, like imprecise memories of some discussion whether or not the President would or wouldn't be accompanying anybody, but I nothing really sticks out in my mind.	We
And then I have very, very scant, like imprecise memories of some discussion whether or not the President would or wouldn't be accompanying anybody, but I nothing really sticks out in my mind.	
whether or not the President would or wouldn't be accompanying anybody, but I nothing really sticks out in my mind.	
8 nothing really sticks out in my mind.	n as to
	but
O De very lineary any deliver allocate the desirion to make a to the Comital and	
9 Q Do you know anything about the decision to not go to the Capitol, or t	the
10 President's decision not to go to the Capitol on January the 6th?	
11 A I do not.	
Q Did you talk to Mr. Scavino that morning before the rally?	
A I'm sure that I did, because well, I'm not sure that I I probably did,	,

Q Do you remember him saying anything about his expectations for what might happen in Washington that day, or during the joint session?

But nothing -- I don't have like a sharp memory of talking to him that morning.

because I hadn't seen him in a while, so probably would have been eager to catch up.

A I do not.

Q What about Mr. Meadows? Did you talk to him that morning before the rally?

A Yes. I believe that I was with him briefly, but -- but I don't think we had -- if it was, like, a one-on-one conversation, I don't think it was more than -- than in passing.

Q What do you remember him saying, or what did you say to him?

A The -- the only conversation that -- that I recall with him is subsumed under

4	the same objection that my attorney made earlier.	
2	Q So it's part of a meeting with or a conversation with the President?	
3	A Right.	
4	Q Did you talk to Mr. Meadows outside of that meeting with the President?	
5	A Not that I remember. But that doesn't mean it didn't happen.	
6	Q Do you remember any follow up that Mr. Meadows asked to do specifically	y
7	following either conversations with him or the meeting with the President?	
8	A Nothing occurs to me.	
9	Q And you said in a one-on-one fashion, and you also mentioned this meeting	g
10	with the President, but do you ever remember meeting with Mr. Meadows otherwise	
11	that morning otherwise in a group setting? Excuse me.	
12	A Not outside the not outside not outside the context of what has been	
13	objected to by my attorneys.	
14	Q Understood.	
15	During that conversation, which included the President understanding I may	
16	draw an objection here, but, during that conversation, did Mr. Meadows ask you to do	
17	anything or take any actions?	
18	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Objection. Same objection.	
19	Mr. And what's the objection?	
20	Mr. Rowley. The one I've been making all day. Executive privilege.	
21	Mr Executive privilege. Okay. And we'll note that for the record,	
22	and we will move on.	
23	BY MR. :	
24	Q Looks like, based on the daily diary, that we have and that we've shown yo	u

in exhibit No. 51, the President was speaking with Members of Congress that morning.

1	Do yo	ou know anything about his conversations with Members of Congress?
2	Α	I do not.
3	Q	Did you have any conversations with Members of Congress or their staff on
4	the morning	of January 6th before the rally?
5	Α	Not that I remember.
6	Q	And it looks like the President spoke with David Perdue, Senator from
7	Georgia, and	maybe Mitch McConnell, or asked to reach Mitch McConnell. Excuse me.
8	Do yo	ou remember ever speaking to Senator McConnell or Senator Perdue or their
9	staff that morning?	
10	Α	No, I do not.
11	Q	So I understand that you were present for an Oval Office meeting at
12	11:11 a.m.	Is that right?
13	Α	I don't know if it was exactly at 11:11. The is that what the is that what
14	the notes tal	ken show, or where is that specific number coming from?
15	Q	Yep. And we can pull it up. It's exhibit 51. But, as that's coming up, I'll
16	represent to	you there is an entry in the President's daily diary that's been produced by
17	NARA, the A	rchives, to the select committee, for an entry that the President met with
18	Donald Trum	p, Jr., Eric F. Trump, Ivanka M. Trump, Lara Trump, Kimberly Guilfoyle, and
19	Stephen Mill	er at 11:11 a.m., but the time is not specifically confirmed.
20	So do	you remember a meeting like that around that time in the Oval Office?
21	Α	Just further point of clarification. The the 11:11 notation is is that is
22	that purport	ed to be and given I have some familiarity with how calendars are kept at
23	the White Ho	ouse, is the or schedules are made, I should say. Is the 11:11 purported to
24	be somebod	y in the outer Oval, like, making a note that people entered the room at this
25	time? Beca	ause his actual calendar would normally be numbers ending in five and zero.

1	Q I'll represent to you, Mr. Miller, that this is based on information collected by
2	White House record keepers. And so, based on this recollection, it's not necessarily
3	always or not always the case that it would be based on this planned schedule, but
4	based more on events that occur.
5	So my question to you, though, is: Do you remember around the 11 o'clock
6	hour
7	A Yeah. Apologize. I just wanted to the reason why I say is because I
8	believe that I walked into a meeting that was already in progress, and I believe that I left a
9	meeting that had not yet concluded.
10	Q Okay. Well, let me ask you about that meeting. What happened in that
11	meeting? And that's notated here on the record it's from the Archives occurring
12	approximately, or starting approximately 11:11?
13	Mr. Rowley. Objection. Presidential communications privilege.
14	BY MR.
15	Q Other than what the President said, what do you remember happening in
16	that meeting?
17	A I don't think it's possible to split that hair, because it was it was all a
18	conversation with the President.
19	Q All right.
20	And I'd note for you, Mr. Rowley, that these records were produced based on
21	litigation that went all the way to the Supreme Court that included an assertion of
22	executive privilege, and we did get these records as a result of a favorable outcome for
23	the select committee.
24	I'd also note that, during this meeting, there was Kimberly Guilfoyle present, who

is not in any way associated with the White House in an official capacity. It has nothing

1	to do with the President's Article II authorities.
2	In light of that, do you still intend to assert an executive privilege objection over
3	what happened in this meeting?
4	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> I do.
5	Mr. Okay. And that is something that we will raise with the
6	committee to potentially seek a ruling from the chairman about.
7	BY MR.
8	Q Is there anything that you can provide about this meeting that does not
9	implicate a communication that you or others in the room had with the President?
LO	A Well, I think I already said, because the I believe that the that the
L1	one-on-one sidebar I had with Eric Herschmann occurred on the outskirts or, you know,
L2	just just around the site or location of the meeting. As I mentioned, I entered it after,
L3	and I think I left before it was concluded.
L4	Q Understood.
L5	So your interaction with Mr. Herschmann was before you went into this meeting
16	that happened around 11:11, or just is notated here starting at 11:11?
L7	A Yeah. I believe I believe it was sort of right before I joined the
L8	conversation.
L9	Q We understand that, in that meeting, the President had a phone call with the
20	Vice President. Without getting into the substance of that, do you remember the
21	President calling the Vice President that morning?
22	Mr. Rowley. We're going to we're going to object to that. And, again,
23	counsel, I think it's pretty clear at this point that that I'm objecting to any conversations
24	that may have occurred between Mr. Miller and the President or during which Mr. Miller
25	was present. And this you know question goes to that as well so still objecting.

1	Mr. This question is not asking for the substance of any
2	communications, just a mere fact of a communication. Like in the attorney-client world,
3	the mere fact of a communication is not privileged. And so, if we keep it to that, do you
4	still persist in your objection?
5	Mr. Rowley. I think that I think that it would be very difficult for for
6	Mr. Miller to answer that question without disclosing something about a communication
7	that occurred in his presence with the President. So, yes, I do object.
8	Mr Okay. So the my question is I'm going to ask my question
9	specifically.
10	Do you recall the President having a phone call with the Vice President during that
11	meeting you attend in the Oval Office on January the 6th, without getting into any
12	substance? Just a yes or no.
13	Mr. Rowley. I'll allow him to answer that that question.
14	The Witness. Yes.
15	BY MR. :
16	Q Do you remember approximately how long that conversation lasted, without
17	getting into the substance of that conversation?
18	A No, I don't. I might not have also been there for the entirety of said
19	conversation.
20	Q Why did you leave the Oval Office during that meeting, or while it was still in
21	progress potentially?
22	A Well, I think I might have been going in and out just because I was in the
23	process of finishing up the remarks.
24	Q When did you when do you remember finishing the remarks for the
25	President's speech on the 6th?

1	А	Well, I'm sure my emails would be a better guide of that than I would be, but
2	that was, yo	ou know, just you know, that was around the time in which we were
3	finalizing th	e draft, but ultimately you'll be able to check the email records to see exactly
4	when the la	ast email was sent.
5	Q	Did you go with the President to the rally?
6	А	I was with the staff in the staff van on the so, when the President
7	departed, h	e was in the Presidential limo. I was in the staff van, and then I decamped
8	from the st	aff van to to the event.
9	Q	And where did you go when you got there?
10	А	I went backstage. And then, at some point during the speech, I had to take
11	a phone cal	l, or perhaps several. I had some personal matters to attend to, and so I
12	returned to	the staff van for some period of time in the middle of the speech. And then
13	I came back	for the conclusion.
14	Q	When you were in the I understand there is a tent, as in like a waiting area
15	before the	President went on and delivered his remarks. Is that right?
16	А	Right.
17	Q	Were you in that tent with the President and other members of his family?
18	А	I was yes. I was in the I was in the tent with the full the full group.
19	Q	Were you still working on the speech at that point when you got to the tent?
20	А	No, I don't believe so. I think it was I think it was a closed product for
21	that point i	n time.
22	Q	Did you have any role in uploading it? And I may not be using the correct
23	term here,	but uploading it into the teleprompters?
24	Α	No. That's done by a professional teleprompter operator.

What was the President's mood so far as you could tell based on your

25

Q

1	observation	ns in the tent?
2	А	Resolute.
3	Q	Do you know to what end? Resolved for what?
4	Α	No. Just in the sense that focused, committed, devoted. I mean, you
5	know, those	e would be the words that I would use.
6	Q	Do you remember him being frustrated based on anything that had
7	happened t	hat morning?
8	А	Backstage, before the speech, my recollection is that he was in a like I said
9	a focused a	nd resolute state of mind.
10	Q	Not frustrated so far as you could tell?
11	А	No.
12	Q	You said you went to take some phone calls, I believe in the staff van that
13	accompanie	ed the motorcade. Were any of those calls related to the joint session or
14	objections o	during the joint session of Congress?
15	А	No. I believe they were personal in nature.
16	Q	And I don't at all mean to to pry into that, and I don't want to. Were any
17	of them rela	ated to your job duties that took place on the 6th?
18	А	No. At least I don't think they were. I mean, in other words, is it possible
19	someone ca	alled me on the phone about something to do with something? Sure. But I
20	went to the	phone I went into the car to make some personal phone calls, and that's
21	what I did.	
22	Q	Did you hear the President say during the rally that he was going to go to the
23	Capitol and	be with the people who were there on in Washington on the 6th?
24	Α	I can't say for certain, but I believe that I was still on a call during that
25	portion of t	he remarks, but I couldn't swear to it.

1	Q	When you got back to the tent after your calls, did you have any discussions
2	with any ot	her White House staff or campaign staff or the Secret Service about what
3	would happ	en after the President's speech?
4	А	No.
5	Q	Do you remember talking, when you're in the tent, with anybody about the
6	President g	oing to the Capitol, as he mentioned doing in the speech?
7	А	Not that I remember.
8	Q	We understand that the President asked his lead Secret Service detailee
9	agent whet	her he could go to the Capitol.
LO	Are	you familiar with any requests he made like that?
11	А	Not not specifically, no. I'm not surprised to hear that, but nothing
L2	specifically	rings a bell.
L3	Q	How about generally, just that topic coming up on the afternoon of the 6th
L4	Α	No. I mean, in other words, the I don't have any memory about it at all,
L5	but but, l	ike I said, I mean, it's certainly possible that there was some conversation.
L6	wasn't priv	y to it.
L7	Q	Did you return to the White House in the motorcade, the staff van?
L8	Α	l did.
19	Q	Where did you go when you got back?
20	Α	To my office.
21	Q	I don't think we've asked you this, but where was your office, Mr. Miller?
22	Α	On the second floor of the West Wing facing the residence.
23	Q	Is the second floor the same floor as the Oval Office, or is it the floor above
24	the Oval Of	fice?
25	А	The floor above.

1	Q And one of the entries here on the President's daily diary shows that the
2	President met with his valet.
3	Do you know what the valet is?
4	A Broadly speaking, I do.
5	Q All right. Can you explain that to me?
6	A Well, probably not the best person to explain it to you, but the as you
7	know, the Military Office, through successive administrations, has been responsible for
8	large components of the administration of the White House complex, including
9	the what is known as the Navy mess, obviously the a lot of security components in
10	the White House, which we certainly won't get into here, and the and then also
11	personal services of the President, like, for example, a valet that would, you know, for
12	traveling, make sure that his luggage is there, or that his that his clothes are there, or
13	that he has meals and so forth.
14	I mean, there is a whole military division known as the Presidential Food Service,
15	as an example, all a bucket underneath the you know, the White House Military Office.
16	Q I see. So it's somebody associated with the Military Office as opposed to,
17	like, the Usher's Office?
18	A That is my understanding, that the valet role is the is a military role, not
19	the not the not the White House usher. But, if you told me I had that backwards, I
20	mean, it wouldn't shock me.
21	Q Okay. No, that's fair. And I'm just going based on what you understand.
22	So you go back to your office. And what do you do? What do you remember
23	doing when you returned to your office on the afternoon of January 6th?
24	A Almost all personal business for the rest of the day.
25	Q When did you first find out that there was violence at the Capitol?

1	A I don't honestly recall.
2	Q How did you first find out?
3	A I think I you know, I wasn't paying very close attention. You know, for all
4	the personal reasons that have been discussed and gone through, probably not in detail,
5	but but I think at some point I saw something on a on a news telecast that indicated
6	there had been some unrest at the Capitol.
7	Q Do you remember somebody pointing it out to you, or you just remember
8	seeing it on the news?
9	A The latter.
10	Q And bear with me just a moment, but we do have, you know, the President's
11	remarks that he delivered at the rally on the Ellipse. It's exhibit No. 53.
12	Mr. Rowley. Counsel, let me just ask Mr. Miller. We've been going about an
13	hour and a half at this point. Would you like to have a break?
14	The Witness. Yeah. Let's go ahead and take a short break if we could, please.
15	Mr. Rowley. Is that okay?
16	Mr That sounds great. Let's go off the record.
17	[Recess.]
18	Mr. Let's go back on the record. It is 5:11. We are resuming the
19	deposition of Mr. Stephen Miller.
20	BY MR. :
21	Q I wanted to go back to the speech that the President delivered very quickly
22	on the Ellipse on January the 6th.
23	We have done a similar exercise that we showed you in comparing different
24	versions of the speech, including what we believe to be the final version, notes prepared,

with the version that Mr. Trump actually delivered.

1	Is it your understanding that Mr. Trump ad-libbed or provided some
2	extemporaneous remarks in addition to the prepared remarks during his speech on the
3	Ellipse on the 6th?
4	A Yes. As would be standard for a more informal address, the President
5	extemporized at length about the subject at hand.
6	Q One of the things he did say, I'll represent to you, during that speech is that
7	Actually, I just spoke to Mike. I said, Mike, that doesn't take courage. What takes
8	courage is to do nothing. That takes courage.
9	And he said he added: And Mike Pence is going to have to come through for
LO	us. And, if he doesn't, that will be a sad day for our country, because you're sworn to
L1	uphold the Constitution.
12	So my first question related to this is: The part that the President said about his
L3	conversation with Vice President Pence, quote, "Mike, that doesn't take courage. Wha
L4	takes courage is to do nothing, that takes courage," is that consistent with what you
L5	heard in the Oval Office meeting that morning?
16	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Objection.
L7	Mr. What's your objection, Mr. Rowley?
L8	Mr. Rowley. Same objection I've been making. Presidential communications
L9	privilege.
20	Mr. Okay. So this now is the President, who is the holder of the
21	privilege, talking to the world about his phone call with the Vice President, and I'm just
22	asking Mr. Miller whether that's consistent with what he remembers.
23	Mr. Rowley. No. You're asking Mr. Miller what he remembers about a
24	conversation that occurred in the in the Oval Office.

Correct. And, if the answer is no, I won't prod further. But, if

1	the answer is yes, it is confirming what the Vice President, or excuse me what the
2	President himself told the world, and he, of course, is the holder of the executive privilege
3	and certainly at the time of January 6th.
4	Mr. Rowley. I certainly don't have any objection to to anything that
5	President Trump said publicly, but I do object to your question to Mr. Miller about a
6	conversation he may or may not have overheard in the Oval Office with the President.
7	Mr. Your objection is noted, and that will be something for further
8	committee consideration.
9	I'll ask Mr. briefly: Do you have any further questions about the speech?
10	Mr. Yes. Thank you, Mr.
11	BY MR. ::
12	Q Mr. Miller, it is our understanding that there was a last-minute addition to
13	the teleprompter of a sentence about Vice President Pence that has been described as
14	particularly angry.
15	Do you remember last-minute substantive additions to the speech?
16	A I'm not sure what you're talking about.
17	Q So, beyond the drafts that we looked at, any last- minute additions that
18	would have happened when the speech was already being uploaded into the
19	teleprompter that were not just, you know, fix a period here, spelling here, pronunciation
20	here. Do you remember any substantive additions to the speech?
21	Mr. Rowley. Again, if the question implicates any conversations that Mr. Miller
22	may have had with the President, I object on the same grounds as previously. But I have
23	no no objection to him answering the question to the extent it may not implicate
24	conversations with the President.

The Witness. So, with that in mind, I do not recollect a conversation,

1	or sorry a a line being added to the speech like you have characterized.
2	And and, to be more precise, I don't really recollect any particular line that was added
3	then. Not saying one wasn't, but I don't recall one being added at that moment in time.
4	BY MR. :
5	Q Thank you.
6	And then, at the beginning of President Trump's remarks so I believe you were
7	still there for them he says: We will never give up. We will never concede. It
8	doesn't happen. You don't concede when there is theft involved.
9	Is that another instance that we were talking about before of using the "we" as a
10	rhetorical point in the speech?
11	A Yeah. So, I mean, it is the it is the correct way to frame the thought for
12	all the reasons that I stated earlier.
13	Q So what, then, is the hope of using "we" there with the crowd in terms of
14	never conceding? Do you want people to feel as if they are part of this movement to
15	never concede?
16	A Again, I mean, we can we can keep trotting this ground. My answer isn't
17	going to change, and and I would encourage each of you to hear the sincerity with
18	which I am explaining this.
19	In public speaking, in public oratory, but particularly in the American tradition, the
20	third person is the preferred grammatical device for conveying important thought for all
21	the reasons I went through, through all the history that I ran through. There is a reason
22	why the President's speeches ended not with "I will make America safe again, I will make

America strong again, I will make America proud again, I will make America wealthy again,

I will make America great again," but we will do these things, because aspirational

rhetoric is conveyed in the third person.

23

24

1	[5:18 p.m.]
2	BY MR. :
3	Q Correct. And I think you made this point earlier, the point of using
4	aspirational rhetoric is to have the people, whether it's abstractly or a little more
5	concretely, feel as if they are participating in this aspiration, correct?
6	A Well, that's not how I would put it. The abstract certainly I'm not sure
7	what concrete would mean in this regard. There's no action for any individual in the
8	audience to take as concerns concession.
9	Look, I am aware of the fact that a that a certain judicial opinion has been
10	written with some wildly conspiratorial thoughts about the use of the word "we."
11	Anyone who has even the mildest experience in professional speechwriting, let alone the
12	presidential level, would read the passage of that opinion and conclude that they
13	are they're painfully divorced from reality. And there's just there's just no way
14	you're going to get me to assign even the mildest iniquitous thought to the use of the
15	third person in an American political speech.
16	Q So let's move off the "we" then and focus on the never conceding part.
17	think earlier this afternoon you were talking about a time you appeared on FOX $\&$
18	Friends. I believe it was December 14th. And Mr. asked you about mentioning
19	January 20th, and you said something along the lines, and I'm paraphrasing so please
20	correct me if my memory is failing me, that January 20th is the only date mentioned in
21	the Constitution, and you did so to remind people there was still a lot of time before the
22	election was final.
23	So in terms of January 6th, did you envision there being the possibility of anything
24	else happening afterwards that would go along with what President Trump said here in

terms of we will never concede?

1	A Well, I mean, I think that the and there's two different questions there,										
2	right. I mean, the not conceding is a fact. It's not conceding. Hillary Clinton, you										
3	know, wrongly, but Hillary Clinton said the election was stolen from her in 2016 rather										
4	recently, so that would be an example of Hillary Clinton not conceding.										
5	But then your other question to me was what did I envision. I don't really know										
6	entirely how to answer that question. I don't I mean, to crawl back into my mind and										
7	that day and say what did I exactly think would be the each step in the process moving										
8	forward, I couldn't say. Again, I was familiar with different plans, and we talked about										
9	the commission that Ted Cruz had. We talked about other we talked about other										
10	potential avenues in the course of our conversations. I don't know that I have anything										
11	to add to it.										
12	Q Just to be more direct, when it was your view that the election could										
13	continue to be contested even after January 6th and up until January 20th?										
14	A It was my view, as stated in the interview, that election challenges, in various										
15	forms, could continue until the Constitution required there to be either a continuation of										
16	an existing administration or the transfer of power to a new administration.										
17	Q Okay. Mr										
18	BY MR.										
19	Q Just a quick follow-on to that, Mr. Miller. Did you expect any successful										
20	court or State actions after the President's speech on the 6th and before January 20th?										
21	A I was aware of the fact that avenues for successful outcomes were										
22	narrowing, but I did not judge them to be closed, no.										
23	Q Did you know anyone in the White House who expressed the opinion that										
24	there could be a successful challenge to the outcome after the President's speech on the										
25	6th and before January 20th?										

1 A I don't think we had a specific conversation like that, no.

Q To go back to the post-Ellipse period when you went back to the

White House, you said you learned of the violence at the Capitol watching TV. Do you

remember what you saw when you first learned --

A Well, what I said specifically was, I mean, because -- not that it matters greatly, but to be precise, is that I caught out of the corner of my eye on a -- some news channel where at the time appeared to be in the images that I saw unruly and rowdy behavior was what I was seeing. That was sort of what would first -- what first caught my attention in that moment.

Q Okay. And we're going to try to do this as precisely as possible, so bear with me, again, I'm down to the minute to the extent that that's even an option. But do you remember what the image was? I mean, were people already inside the Capitol when you first saw what you described as an unruly and rowdy behavior on the news?

A No, I don't even know if what I was seeing was live versus the replay of something else. The -- you know, I was still -- I was still -- like I said, I was still trying to sort of reorient myself from what had been one of the most, you know, unpleasant experiences of my life.



A I was kind of out of it that afternoon. The -- I don't have a precise minute-by-minute. I just know that, you know, as I saw an image on the TV screen that

1	I in my off	fice that I wası	۱'t	I was on t	he p	hone at th	ne time, l	bel	lieve,	personal	ph	ıone
---	-------------	------------------	-----	------------	------	------------	------------	-----	--------	----------	----	------

- 2 call, sort of saw an image of it and I just -- I think I saw people, you know, a crowd outside
- 3 the Capitol acting inappropriately. That's sort of, you know, the extent of what my
- 4 recollection is.

8

9

10

11

12

- Q Do you remember going to talk to anybody or calling anybody when you first 6 saw what was happening at the Capitol?
- 7 Α I do not, no.
 - Q I'm going to put up for you exhibit No. 55, please. This is a tweet from the President issued at 2:24 p.m. on January the 6th. The tweet reads, Mike Pence didn't have the courage to do what should have been done to protect our country and our Constitution, giving States a chance to certify a corrected set of facts, not the fraudulent or inaccurate ones which they were asked to previously certify. U.S.A. demands the truth.
- Do you remember this tweet coming out sometime in the afternoon of January 14 the 6th? 15
- Α Yeah, I think I remember seeing it after it was published, yes. 16
- Did you have any role in drafting this tweet or editing this tweet? 17 Q
- Α I did not. 18
- 19 Q Did you ever talk to the President about this tweet before it went out?
- 20 Α I did not.
- 21 Q Did you talk to anybody about this tweet after it went out, when you saw it or learned about it? 22
- 23 Α No, not that I -- not that I can recall.
- 24 Q And when you did see this tweet, was it before or after, if you can 25 remember, you saw those images of what was happening at the Capitol in the news?

1 A I don't know.

Q Did you do anything after you saw this tweet? Did you talk to anybody, say we need to do something about what's happening at the Capitol?

A No. I mean, this was not -- domestic law enforcement around the United States Congress was not within the purview of my responsibilities. And so, I mean, if anything, I thought it would be counterproductive for me to give in to that natural human tendency to try to just like rush into some room somewhere to be another voice when it's outside your area of responsibility and expertise.

I made a habit of trying to be in rooms when I felt that I had an obligation or responsibility to be there, but not just for the sake of being there, because that, if anything, in a moment that can be -- that can require careful decision-making, that can be a counterproductive instinct.

Q You mentioned not having a role with respect to domestic law enforcement.

I understand that other people were working on National Guard and other law enforcement responses to the Capitol, but you do have a role with respect to communications. And so in that role, did you do anything with respect to putting out a message about what was happening at the Capitol?

A Well, two things: One is to clarify, my role is domestic law enforcement when it comes to the security of the United States Capitol. Obviously, as we talked about way earlier, I worked on homeland security policy with the Department of Homeland Security as an example, and other domestic policy areas. But in this vein, I had no operational responsibility.

To your second question, this is an important point. The -- I was not, and had never been a member of the White House communications staff.

Q Okay. But you did work on messaging that the President would deliver to

the country publicly --

A Speechwriting in the main, so not exclusively. Speechwriting in the main, and I was privileged to oversee that office. And the main is a reactive input as opposed to comms and press which is a proactive input. So in other words, the typical flow of events -- I'm not saying this applies every time -- would be a person in a press or communications responsibility -- and there is a difference there, but no point getting to that now -- would initiate an event, or an activity, and then it would flow into speechwriting. It would be an inversion of roles, by and large, for the speechwriting office to initiate the communications activity.

Q But in fairness, Mr. Miller, I think you described earlier, too, that you were a senior adviser to the President not limited to the speechwriter. You had other jobs and were a trusted adviser to President Trump, right?

A And I had -- yes, and I had, like I said, a policy portfolio which did not include this issue. So, again, not to be redundant, but the one thing that I've learned, again, over time and through experience is that there is a natural impulse that people have to just, I want to be in the room, and that's fine. That's laudable.

But in general, meetings are more effective when you're being in the room because you have a particular expertise to bear on the situation. Nothing that I saw out of the corner of my eye on the television screen read, now, here's a thing that I have the skill set and expertise on about which I must weigh in.

Q So did you ever in the afternoon of January the 6th weigh in or encourage anybody in the White House to get the President to make a statement about what was happening at the Capitol?

A I don't believe that I had any conversation with anybody about that, no.

Q Were you aware of other people trying to get the President to make a

1	statement c	on twitter of on a video of otherwise about what was happening at the
2	Capitol?	
3	Α	Not contemporaneously. The I only know about what's been reported in
4	newspapers	s and things, which I would not be qualified to comment on, since I'm not a
5	fact witness	5.
6	Q	Yeah, absolutely. And we don't want to get your understanding based on
7	what you re	ead, just what you experienced or heard about happening at the time.
8	Α	Appreciate that.
9	Q	And just to be clear, as a follow-up to that question, did you hear about any
10	efforts that	anybody in the White House or in the campaign made to get the President to
11	issue a state	ement or a draft of what was happening at the Capitol in the afternoon of
12	January the	6th?
13	Α	Not that I remember. I'm fairly confident that what that what I what
14	I've heard a	nd seen is all from third-party accounts.
15	Q	All right. And if we could pull up exhibit 56. Based on that, I think we'll be
16	able to mov	ve through this pretty quickly. This is a tweet that the President sent out
17	about 14 m	inutes after the tweet regarding Mr. Pence that we just looked at. This
18	tweet says,	"Please support our Capitol Police and law enforcement. They are truly on
19	the side of	our country. Stay peaceful."
20	Did	you have any role in drafting, editing, or sending out this tweet for the
21	President?	
22	Α	No.
23	Q	Were you aware of any efforts that Ms. Ivanka Trump made with respect to
24	this or any o	other statement that the President issued on January the 6th?

No.

Q	Did you ever hear about them?
А	Nothing contemporaneous, no.
Q	Did you ever hear about them from people who were involved or there at
the White H	House that day as opposed to reading about them in the press?
А	No.
Q	Was your office near Mr. Cipollone and Mr. Feldman's office on the second
floor?	
Α	They were close by but not near, so you would you would exit my hallway,
walk the en	d, turn left, walk the end of that hallway. Admittedly, the West Wing is small
so they're r	not very long hallways. And then you would be in their outer office, and then
they had do	oors beyond that door. Both of them were people that I'd developed
personal fri	endships with, so I would walk on over all the time to, you know, match wits.
Q	And do you know where the President was in the afternoon of January the
6th?	
Α	I do not.
Q	Do you remember hearing that he was in the Oval or in the Oval dining room
for at least	some stretch of the afternoon?
Α	All of my awareness of that, again, comes from third-party news accounts.
Q	Okay. Are you aware that people were going to meet with the President in
the afterno	on of January the 6th to address or try to address the events that were
unfolding a	t the Capitol?
Α	Not at the time, no.
Q	If we can go to exhibit 57, please. So this is a document that we received
from the Ar	chives. I understand that it's like a pocket card or a card that is used for
	the White H A Q floor? A walk the enso they're r they had do personal fri Q 6th? A Q for at least A Q the afterno unfolding a A Q

taking notes. Does that look like it could be that type of note or pocket card that's used

1	at the White House, or was used at the White House?
2	A The only thing that I can say about this, because the first time I ever saw that
3	was when it came in the press, is that is that if you ask me if the person photocopied a
4	pocket card, could that look like a photocopy of a pocket card, then I would say yes to
5	that.
6	Q Okay. And so you just mentioned though, my next question, which is had
7	you ever seen this before? It sounds like you had not. Is that right?
8	A No.
9	Q The writing on this is, one X, civilian gunshot wound to chest at door of
10	House Chamber. Do you recognize the writing on that pocket card or the photocopy of
11	the pocket card?
12	Mr. Rowley. Objection. We're not going to get into a handwriting analysis.
13	Mr. Miller's not a handwriting expert.
14	Mr. Yeah, I'm not asking him to weigh in definitively, just so see if he
15	recognizes it as somebody it could be, so we will take it for what it is.
16	But do you think you recognize that whose handwriting that could be?
17	Mr. Rowley. The same objection.
18	Mr Are you instructing him not to answer?
19	Mr. Rowley. Well, I'm objecting to this because, as I said, he's not a handwriting
20	expert. And he can answer if he recognizes this, but only this.
21	BY MR.
22	Q So based on looking at this, do you recognize the handwriting?
23	A I do not.
24	Q When did you first or when do you remember first hearing that somebody

had been shot in the Capitol on the afternoon of the 6th?

- 1 A I actually don't know. I'm not sure. No specific memories.
- 2 Q Do you remember being at the White House when you learned about it?
- A I'm not sure.
- 4 Q Do you remember any action that anybody took as a result of somebody
- 5 being shot in the Capitol on January 6th?
- 6 A Nothing to which I was privy, no.
- 7 Q Can we go to exhibit No. 58, please. This is a tweet the President issued at
- 8 3:13 p.m., so roughly a half an hour after the one we just looked at. And it says, "I'm
- 9 asking for everyone at the U.S. Capitol to remain peaceful. No violence. Remember,
- we are the party of law and order. Respect the law and our great men and women in
- 11 blue. Thank you."
- Did you have any role in drafting, editing, or sending out this tweet?
- 13 A I did not.
- 14 Q Do you know who did?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Now, as we saw in the President's daily diary, in the 4:00 hour, the President
- went to the Rose Garden and filmed a video that was later released addressing the events
- at the Capitol, and telling people to go home. Do you remember that video, the process
- of preparing for that video?
- A No, I was not involved in that.
- 21 Q Do you know who was involved in drafting any remarks for the President to
- give in the afternoon of January the 6th in that video?
- 23 A I do not.
- Q Okay. If we could pull up exhibit 59. These are remarks. They say, "I
- urge all of my supporters to do exactly as 99.9 percent of them have already been doing,

1	express their passions and opinions peacefully. My supporters have a right to have their
2	voices heard, but make no mistake, no one should be using violence or threats of violence
3	to express themselves especially at the U.S. Capitol. Let's respect our institutions.
4	Let's all do better. I'm asking you to leave the Capitol Hill region now and go home in a
5	peaceful way."
6	Did you or any speechwriter with whom you worked have any role in drafting
7	these remarks?
8	A Not to my knowledge or awareness.
9	Q Do you know who did draft these remarks for the President?
LO	A I don't know. I don't remember. If somebody on my staff worked on
l1	them, I don't recall that.
12	Q Okay. But you were never asked to weigh in or offer any opinions about
L3	remarks that the President would give via video?
L4	A I don't remember seeing these until my preparation for today.
L5	Q If we can go to exhibit 60, please. This is a tweet that the President sent
16	out at 6:01 p.m. on January the 6th, and it says, "These are the things and events that
L7	happen when a sacred landslide election victory is so unceremoniously and viciously
L8	stripped away from great patriots who have been badly and unfairly tweeted for so long.
L9	Go home with love and in peace. Remember this day forever."
20	Did you have anything to do as far as drafting, editing, or sending out this tweet?
21	A No.
22	Q Do you remember this tweet going out on the afternoon of the 6th or
23	evening of the 6th?

Well, I mean, I remember seeing it after it went out.

Did you have any conversations with anybody in the White House about

Α

Q

24

1	this?	
2	А	Not that I can remember.
3	Q	Okay. Did you have any calls or email communications with anybody who
4	worked on	the Hill, either members or staff members, on the afternoon during the
5	afternoon	or evening of January the 6th?
6	Α	Not that I remember.
7	Q	Are you aware of any effort to reach out to members or their staffs about
8	the resu	ming the joint session and persisting in objections to the electoral college
9	certificatio	n on January 6th?
10	А	I was not involved in that. Again, not that I wouldn't have been happy to
11	have had t	hat been in my portfolio, but it was not in my portfolio, legislative affairs.
12	And then a	lso, as I mentioned earlier, I had just been reintroduced into the White House
13	that day.	So for both those reasons, I just wasn't involved in that.
14	Q	Okay. And very specifically, I know, you know, we had looked over your
15	text messa	ges earlier, and you had some communications, personal or otherwise, with
16	Senator Ha	wley and Senator Blackburn. Did you remember talking to either of them on
17	the 6th?	
18	А	No.
19	Q	And you also had interacted with Senator Tuberville and his staff member,
20	whose nan	ne escapes me right now, his chief of staff. Did you have any contact with
21	Senator Tu	berville or his staff on the 6th?
22	Α	I do not believe so, no.
23	Q	What about Leader McCarthy, do you remember ever talking to him or his
24	staff during	g the events of January the 6th?
25	Α	No.

1	Q	I understand that he reached out to various folks in the White House,
2	including th	ne President, and I believe Jared Kushner, maybe others. Did you ever hear
3	about any o	of those communications, either secondhand, and hearsay is fine here, but any
4	communica	tions that you
5	А	No, I only know what what you have also maybe heard or seen that's beer
6	publicly rep	ported.
7	Q	And do you know that only from public reporting or from your
8	communica	tions with people in the White House?
9	А	No, I only know that from public reporting.
10	Q	And without getting into the substance of communications, do you know
11	whether th	e President was in touch with anybody on the Hill, meaning members or their
12	staffs, durir	ng the afternoon or evening of the 6th?
13	Α	Nope, I have no personal knowledge of it.
14	Q	Did you ever hear about any of the communications that he had with
15	members tl	nat afternoon?
16	Α	Only the same same reports that you also have read publicly. I'm not I
17	can't I ca	nnot validate or invalidate.
18	Q	Okay. So just based on public reporting?
19	Α	Yep.
20	Q	Did you ever hear that the President was reluctant or careful about what he
21	wanted to s	say or not say in the afternoon or evening of January the 6th with respect to
22	the events	at the Capitol that day?
23	А	Nope, I was not part of any such conversations.
24	Q	Do you remember approximately what time you left the White House that
25	afternoon o	or evening, Mr. Miller?

1	A I do not, but my best guess is that I wouldn't have wanted to be home too
2	late, for all the reasons that you might imagine, given the personal experiences that we've
3	been through. I probably would've endeavored to try to get home at something
4	resembling a reasonable hour.
5	Q Do you remember if you left before or after the President went up to the
6	residence for that the rest of the
7	A I don't know either way.
8	Q Okay. Did you talk to Dan Scavino in the afternoon of the 6th about the
9	events at the Capitol?
LO	A I don't remember having a conversation with him. Nothing springs to
11	mind. Again, you know, we were friendly so it wouldn't have been odd if we had, but I
L2	don't remember anything or it would not have been odd if we had. I don't remember
L3	anything. We're good friends, but, yes, continue.
L4	Q Okay. Did you talk to Rudy Giuliani on the 6th at all?
15	A No, not that I remember. No, I don't believe so at all.
16	Q What about Steve Bannon, have you ever talked to Steve Bannon?
L7	A Last time I spoke to him would've been a number of years ago now.
L8	Q Okay. Not you didn't speak to him on the 6th?
L9	A No. You'd have to go back in time several more years.
20	Q What about Eric Herschmann, it seems like you may have had a good
21	relationship with him. Did you talk to him about what was happening at the Capitol on
22	the 6th?
23	A No. The last conversation I remember with him was the one that I told you
24	about. And this is but just to have it correct for the record, Dan and I are very good

friends.

1	Q	Do you remember any conversations you had with anybody at all who
2	worked in t	he White House on the afternoon or evening of January 6th about the events
3	at the Capit	ol?
4	А	Say it one more time, please.
5	Q	Yeah. Do you remember any conversations you've had with anybody who
6	worked at t	he White House about the events of January 6th and what was happening at
7	the Capitol	that day?
8	А	I don't remember any conversation that day except for up until the
9	conversatio	on you're probably going to ask me about, or maybe allude to, which is
10	pertaining t	to remarks on the 7th. But outside that context and I don't want to jump
11	ahead of yo	our line of questioning, because I know that you've spent some time thinking
12	through the	e order.
13	Q	No, that's quite all right. We're happy to get there. But that conversation
14	happened o	on the 7th, the next day, the one you're referring to?
15	А	No, no. I believe that because you asked about the 6th. I believe I had
16	a conversat	ion on the 6th about remarks that would be given on the 7th, so but like I
17	said, I don't	want to jump ahead of you.
18	Q	Okay. With whom did you have that conversation?
19	А	Well, so my recollection is that I had a conversation with Jared about a
20	follow-on se	et of remarks on the 7th. And I don't remember do you guys still have me?
21	Just making	sure you do. I lost you.
22	Q	Yes.
23	А	I can't see you, but as long as you can see and hear me. All right. There

we go. Yeah. So I remember having a conversation with Jared about doing a follow-on

set of remarks on the 7th to contextualize the events of the 6th, and he provided -- again,

24

- memories are vague here, but some top-level guidance, and then I used that to help assemble a draft.
- Q Do you remember what time approximately you had this communication with Mr. Kushner?
- A I don't. So, you know, for that reason, I'm not 100 percent certain that it
 happened on the 6th. But if you asked me to guess, I would say it was a conversation on
 the evening of the 6th.
- 8 Q Was it in person or by phone?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 9 A My memory is that it was by phone.
- 10 Q And what was your understanding of the need to issue another statement, 11 to put in your words, contextualize the events of the 6th?
 - A Well, I don't want to -- I don't want to speak for Jared. The -- I don't think that he elaborated at length on his internal thinking. The guidance that I got I think was just pretty general, which is just to -- which is just to put together a set of remarks to try to calm everything and everyone, and just have a calming effect on the Nation and to reassure people that there would be an orderly process for preparing for a new administration based upon the outcome of the electoral count. Now, I don't know exactly when, of course, the timeline of when the electoral count is included, but the conversation -- you've -- if it happened before included, I suppose, was presupposing what the result of that would be based on, of course, where it was going.
 - Q Did he make any specific recommendations that you can recall?
- A No, just attitudinal, like I said.
- Q Do you want to follow up?
- 24 I'll pause there to see if anybody has any questions about what we've gone over.
- 25 Okay. Do you remember having -- without getting into the substance of any

1	communica	nions you had, but do you remember having any communications with the
2	President, either in person or on the phone, on the afternoon or evening or night of	
3	January 6th?	
4	А	I believe that I'm not 100 percent certain, but I believe that there was a
5	brief conve	rsation with the President on the evening of the 7th just to inform him of the
6	plans for re	marks the next day.
7	Q	And you just said, Mr. Miller, the evening of the 7th. Did you mean the
8	evening of	the 6th?
9	Α	Sorry, yes. Thank you.
LO	Q	Okay.
l1	Α	The evening of the 6th for the next day.
12	Q	Was that an in-person meeting or
L3	Α	I believe it was a phone call.
L4	Q	I'm not going to ask you to get into the substance at this point, but do you
15	remember	the conversation that you had with the President in that phone call?
16	Α	Not a lot of detail, no. But I think it was a relatively I mean, I don't know
L7	how brief, l	out I think it was a fairly circumspect and brief phone call, so nothing about it
18	that warrar	nts to discuss it, really stands out to me.
19	Q	And do you remember if you took that call while you were at home or while
20	you're still a	at the White House?
21	Α	If I'm remembering correctly, which I may not be, then I was on my cell
22	phone.	
23	Q	Meaning you're no longer in the White House?
24	А	Yes. If I'm remembering correctly, I was on my government cell phone,

which means that the White House switchboard probably patched it through to my cell.

1	Q Okay. I'm going to ask a question fully expecting an objection, but what do
2	you remember from that phone call in your conversation with President Trump on the
3	6th?
4	Mr. Rowley. And, Counsel, I don't want to disappoint, so I object, and I instruct
5	the witness not to respond on the grounds of communications privilege.
6	Mr. Executive communications privilege?
7	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Yes.
8	BY MR.
9	Q Your objection is noted, and we will move on.
10	Was that the only call you remember having with the President any time after the
11	rally on January 6th?
12	A It is, yes.
13	Q Mr. Scavino had a number of phone calls with the President after the rally or
14	the Ellipse and into the next or late the next excuse me, late that night and perhaps
15	early the next morning. Did you ever talk to Mr. Scavino about his communications with
16	the President that day?
17	A No, I did not.
18	Q Not related to that, can we take a just a brief sidebar, 2 or 3 minutes here?
19	Mr. Of course, yep. Take your time.
20	The Witness. Just as good a time as any.
21	Mr. We'll go off the record.
22	The <u>Witness.</u> Thank you.
23	[Discussion off the record.]
24	BY MR. ::
25	Q Then let's go back on the record. It's 5:57, and we are resuming the

- deposition of Mr. Stephen Miller.
- 2 Did you speak with anybody from the campaign or what's left of the campaign, I
- guess, at that point, about what was happening at the Capitol on January the 6th?
- 4 A Not that I remember, no.

- Q Okay. Do you remember -- and just specifically, do you remember talking to Jason Miller at any point on the 6th?
- A I don't. It doesn't mean that I didn't, but I don't remember it.
 - Q My understanding is that the President, whose Twitter had been locked down on the 6th, released a statement through Dan Scavino's Twitter account early the next morning on the 7th, also discussing the need for, in my words, not his, but an orderly transition to the next administration. Did you have any role in crafting the message that the President would send through that tweet on Dan Scavino's account?
 - A Point of clarification, is it possible that the tweet that you're referring to is a partial transcript of the video remarks that were recorded then released on the 7th?
 - Q I remember a tweet, at least that's what I'll represent to you. I don't know whether there is also a partial transcript. Maybe you can help us with that one.
 - A Yeah, well, I mean, the -- look, I could be wrong. The -- my memory -- not even memory, but my impression maybe is that some portion of the remarks that he recorded, the transcript was pushed out as a statement from Dan's account. I mean, you can obviously -- you can verify that one pretty quickly despite doing a side-by-side.
 - So why does that matter? Only because if it is a partial transcript, then, obviously, I worked on it in the context of having worked on the remarks on the 7th. If there's not significant overlap between the two, then the answer would be no.
 - Q Understood. I appreciate that clarification. I do want to pull up exhibit

 No. 61. And as we're pulling that up, what do you remember about the next day?

1	What time	e did you get to work, and what were your responsibilities as you got to work,
2	what sort	of business, if you will?
3	А	I don't really remember a great deal about when I got to work or what I did
4	that day.	Obviously, there's a great deal going on, but I do remember, of course,
5	recording	the remarks that you have at the present exhibit.
6	Q	Okay. Very helpful. So exhibit No. 61, which we've now pulled up, and a
7	least type	d on the top there, remarks of national healing, is this the remarks that you or
8	your team	drafted for the President to deliver on the 7th?
9	А	That is correct.
10	Q	And who within your team drafted it? Was it you specifically?
11	А	I think not 100 percent sure I think that this is an example of one of the
12	remarks w	here I took the first pen. It's also possible that someone else sent me a draft,
13	and mayb	e I edited it pretty heavily to the point where I might have had a bigger hand in
14	it, either c	r.
15	Q	And after you were done with either the drafting or editing process, did you
16	share it wi	th anybody in the White House before it went to the President?
17	А	I'm assuming, not because I remember but because it would've been
18	standard p	process I'm assuming it would have gone to staff tech (ph) next. But
19	sometime	s if we were really crunched for time, we might not be able to do that, but that
20	would be,	you know, the few and far between.
21	Q	Without getting into the substance of any conversations, did you meet with
22	the Presid	ent to go over what he would say on the 7th?

I don't think we had a separate meeting, no. I think that we -- I think -- I'm

session and the meeting were one and the same gathering.

Α

23

24

1	Q And looking at exhibit No. 61, do you recognize the handwriting on this?
2	Again, we won't hold you to it because you're not a handwriting expert, but do you
3	recognize it?
4	Mr. Rowley. Objection. Counsel, you have provided us with several exhibits
5	that include line strikeouts as well as handwriting. And I'm going to instruct
6	the Mr. Miller not to answer questions that have to do with who made those strikeouts
7	or whose handwriting that is, because I think that that's another way of potentially
8	backing into communications privilege.
9	Mr. So whether or not Mr. Miller recognizing handwriting, you're saying
10	executive privilege assertion?
11	Mr. Rowley. I think so, because you're provide you have provided us with
12	several samples of handwriting, and I think it's another way of backing into the same
13	issue.
14	Mr. So we were provided this document by the National Archives as a
15	part of litigation involving the former President and official records over an executive
16	privilege objection for this document specifically, and the question I'm asking is whether
17	or not Mr. Miller recognizes handwriting.
18	Mr. Rowley. And I'm going to object to that because whether he recognizes
19	handwriting or not could potentially disclose who made the handwriting and who struck
20	out certain lines. This is not the only exhibit you provided us that contains handwritten
21	remarks. And for that reason, I simply can't allow you to back into what otherwise
22	would be a privilege communication.
23	Mr. Okay. And Mr. Rowley, just to create the record then, because
24	this will be something that we take up with the committee members.
25	The question I'm going to ask simply asks for a yes or no. So do you think that

1	you recognize the handwriting that's shown here in exhibit No. 61, without identifying		
2	who that person might be, Mr. Miller?		
3	Mr. Rowley. And I'm going to object to that for the reasons I stated a moment		
4	ago.		
5	Mr I'm just asking for a yes or no. I'm not asking to identify anybody		
6	at all, just whether he recognizes it.		
7	Mr. Rowley. I understand that. And for the next document, you may ask him		
8	the same question and his answer may or may not be different, so for that reason, I'm		
9	going to stand in my objection.		
10	Mr. And your objection, to be clear, is executive privilege of whether		
11	Mr. Miller might recognize the handwriting shown on exhibit 61 without identifying who		
12	the handwriting might belong to. Is that correct, Mr. Rowley?		
13	Mr. Rowley. By the process of elimination, yes, that's my objection.		
14	Mr Understood. So we will move on. Your objection is noted		
15	despite the fact that we did receive these over a litigated executive privilege objection.		
16	BY MR. ::		
17	Q What do you remember of the President filming and delivering remarks on		
18	January the 7th?		
19	A I think it was a relatively uneventful recording session, smooth, professional		
20	to the point. The remarks were recorded. There was some, you know, brief discussion		
21	beforehand with the President, in a way that would be normal for an event of this sort.		
22	Some moderate edits were made to the document. It was recorded and that was that.		
23	Q Do you remember him offering any extemporaneous or off-the-cuff		
24	remarks? And if you don't, you know, that's fine, but if you do remember as you sit here		
25	today.		

1	A In the remarks themselves?		
2	Q Correct, on the 7th.		
3	A As recorded, nothing specifically, no. I mean, there might have been a an		
4	accent here or there to something. But I think it was which would be standard for		
5	most straight-to-camera video remarks in the formal variety as opposed to more informal		
6	video. But from a formal variety, like something they recorded, I believe, in the I think		
7	it was in the diplomatic reception room. I could be wrong, but I think that's where it		
8	was recorded. It would be normal to, more or less, follow the script that had been		
9	settled on.		
10	Q Do you remember any other actions that you participated in with respect to		
11	either dealing with the events of January 6th or addressing them after the fact?		
12	A That's obviously a fairly broad question. The I will answer it this way:		
13	My memory, my activities on that time, which we've gone through in some depth here,		
14	have already been covered, which is the either the receipt of the original speech, some		
15	of the editing therein, the delivery of the speech, the preparation for the January 7th		
16	remarks, the execution of the January 7th remarks. Those are the big events, moments		
17	that I remember from that time period.		
18	Q I'll stop there and see if anybody has any questions about what we've gone		
19	over with Mr. Miller?		
20	Bear with us just a moment, Mr. Miller.		
21	Thank you for your indulgence, Mr. Miller. Okay. Can you hear us and see us		
22	okay?		
23	Mr. You're on mute, Mr. Miller.		
24	The Witness. Yes, I can. Thank you. I can hear and see you.		
25	BY MR. :		

1	Q Okay. And we're still on the record. Quick point about the January 6th		
2	Ellipse speech. So we understand that some combination of either Mr. Herschmann or		
3	Mr. Philbin or both reached out to you or your team to ensure that the Ellipse speech		
4	goes through adequate fact-checking. Do you remember any fact-checking that occur		
5	with respect to the January 6th speech for the Ellipse?		
6	A Could you give me some timeline verification there?		
7	Q I believe		
8	A Can you try to let me know when you're talking about?		
9	Q I don't know for certain. I'll represent that to you. But I would assume it's		
10	in the days leading up to January 6th, or the morning of January 6th itself.		
11	A Yeah, that's what I would've thought. So for that reason then, no, I would		
12	not have been privy to it, because if it's the days leading up to it, I would've been out of		
13	pocket for the reasons I have already gone over. I cannot imagine I could be wrong I		
14	cannot imagine my good friend Pat or Eric, with whom I was friendly, thinking it rose to		
15	the level of, you know, bothering me at the hospital to talk about fact-checking or		
16	political or other public address on January 6th.		
17	Q Even outside that time period, do you remember Mr. Herschmann or		
18	Mr. Philbin making that request of the speech for the rally on the Ellipse?		
19	A No, because by the time that by the time I was back in the office, I mean,		
20	I according to the records that we've gone over today, I received the first draft at like		
21	3-something in the afternoon, 3:30-ish. I left the hospital around a little before 2:00		

Q Are you aware of any effort to fact-check the speech that the President gave on the Ellipse?

p.m., so, I mean, I'm -- I'm -- but, you know, the process of producing the draft is

effectively done, the initial draft, about the time that I was resurfacing.

1	Α	A I've seen, I think I don't know if they're about the January 6th speech per	
2	se, but I think I've seen some document somewhere in the Archives production or		
3	something that looks like a fact-check, but I don't think I was tracking it at the time.		
4	Q	So other than seeing whatever document you're mentioning, are you aware	
5	of any specific effort that Vince or excuse me, Mr. Haley or Mr. Worthington or you or		
6	anybody else on the speechwriting team did to fact-check that speech?		
7	A Not beyond that, but I will say, again, these things were done as a matter of		
8	course.	We hired somebody a while ago for whom that was part of the job description.	
9	Q	But you don't know if that person, for example, in fact, fact-checked this	
10	speech?		
11	А	Like I said, I think I saw some document maybe it was just for a different	
12	speech th	at I'm thinking of, if not this one somewhere in the production that looked	
13	like a fact-check of something. It looks like it was done by Will Bock. But, no, nothing		
14	immediately comes to mind.		
15		BY MR.	
16	Q	And in terms of the fact-checking process, Mr. Miller, was it your impression	
17	that the January 6th speech incorporated old points or, sorry, "old" is the wrong word,		
18	points that were made in earlier speeches by President Trump about supposed election		
19	fraud?		
20	Α	Well, yeah, I believe that the again, part of the reason why the like I said,	
21	there was	nothing really remarkable to me about the first draft that I reviewed because	
22	we were o	covering ground that we'd already covered before about election fraud.	

Obviously, we added in the newest and latest information. That was part of what I'm

And are you aware about the fact-checking process for those earlier claims

sure Ross and Vince endeavored to do.

Q

23

24

1	that made their way into the sorry, those earlier points that made their way into the		
2	January 6th speech?		
3	A By and large, that was at a couple levels lower down the staff level from me.		
4	Q Understood.		
5	BY MR. :		
6	Q All right. So before we end for the day, have you talked to anybody about		
7	your subpoena or appearance here before the select committee for testimony other than		
8	your lawyers? We don't want to get into any conversations that you had with your		
9	lawyers.		
10	A Not in any substantive way, no. I've had obviously, there's people in my		
11	life that I'm that are not my attorneys that are, like, broadly aware of the fact well,		
12	first of all, my subpoena was first reported in The New York Times. So, you know, long		
13	before I ever even retained counsel or even let alone obtained a copy of the subpoena,		
14	The New York Times was ahead of me on that one. So there's obviously people out		
15	there who know I have a subpoena if they consume news, and there's been periodic		
16	reporting since then. I mean, for example, there was an ongoing lawsuit, as I'm sure		
17	you're aware, to do with records, so people are aware of that. So obviously, the		
18	conversations will come up from time to time about these things, and I wouldn't be		
19	interested to recount them, but I haven't discussed anything in any substantive depth		
20	with anybody, no.		
21	Q With respect specifically to your testimony today, has anybody suggested		
22	that you provide or not provide any specific testimony?		
23	A No.		
24	Q Again, excluding your lawyers, of course.		

And has anybody suggested that you answer any questions posed by the

1 committee or staff in any particular way other than your attorneys? 2 Α No. Q What about document production, has anybody encouraged you to produce 3 4 or not produce certain documents to the select committee? 5 Α No. Have you talked to former President Trump about your appearance before 6 Q the select committee? 7 8 Α I have not. 9 Q Have you talked to Mr. Worthington or Mr. Haley? 10 Α Not about my appearance, no. Like I said, they read the news, I read the news, so people are aware for that reason; but, again, nothing substantive, no. 11 12 Q Did you talk to them about any testimony they may have provided? 13 Α No. In fact, I haven't talked to them on any level at all about their testimony, no. 14 15 Q What about -- anybody else --I mean, just to underline it very clearly, the conversation that I'm thinking of 16 is just that I read in the news, I think, or heard somewhere that Ross had received a 17 And I called him to just let him know that he ought to retain counsel, that I 18 subpoena. 19 could be helpful if he wanted recommendations for counsel, and to let me know if I could

be of any help in coming up with any other issues that might be necessary to deal with at

an administrative level, but nothing substantive.

20

1			
2	[6:17 p.m.]		
3	BY MR.		
4	Q What about anybody else who worked in the White House at that time?		
5	Have you talked to them about their testimony or your testimony before the select		
6	committee?		
7	A No. I haven't talked to anybody about the substance of testimony.		
8	Q And same question related to document production. Have you talked to		
9	anybody else who worked in the White House December, January, the time period we've		
10	been talking about, about the production of documents or your production of		
11	documents?		
12	A No, I have not. I'm not well, I have not substantively, no.		
13	The the you know, in a case here or there, I might have asked for an update in		
14	somebody's case to know, like, where they are in their process with the committee but		
15	nothing substantive.		
16	Q Did you make any recommendations to anybody about what testimony the		
17	should provide or what documents they should provide to the select committee?		
18	A No. My message throughout this is: You should listen to your lawyers,		
19	and I should listen to my lawyers.		
20	Q Have you talked to Mr. Meadows at all since leaving the White House?		
21	A He's on my board of directors.		
22	Q Have you ever talked to him about the events of January 6th?		
23	A Not that I can recall. I mean, I'm sure that we I'm sure we've had		
24	conversations at some point in time to do I mean, which really had conversations about		
25	election fraud broadly speaking, election integrity, election reform, but nothing		

1	specifically about January 6th comes to mind.		
2	Mr. I'll pause there and see if anybody has any questions.		
3	BY MR.		
4	Q Mr. Miller, did have you any conversations with people who have been		
5	subpoenaed by the select committee about organizations that would help fund their lega		
6	defense?		
7	A Can you ask the question again, please?		
8	Q Sure. Have you had any conversations with individuals who were		
9	subpoenaed by the select committee about organizations that might help pay help		
10	defray the costs of their legal defense in responding to a subpoena?		
11	A Without getting into any specifics, I've had conversations with people about		
12	how they could avoid going into poverty as a result of their legal bills, which I'm sure, as		
13	you know, is a an acute concern.		
14	Q Right. But were there any organizations that you knew of that were		
15	helping to defray the legal costs of individuals subpoenaed by the select		
16	A Yes, I talked to people what options might be, what organizations might be		
17	able to help them. I did.		
18	Q Which organizations?		
19	Mr. Rowley. Now, a point of clarification, your question goes to whether or not		
20	Mr. Miller had conversations with other people who have appeared before this		
21	committee who about how they're having their legal fees paid? Is that the question?		
22	Mr Conversations with other people who are subpoenaed about possible		
23	ways to defray legal costs through certain organizations.		
24	Mr. Rowley. What's the possible relevance of a question like that?		
25	Mr. In terms of figuring out how and why people answer questions and		

- 1 respond to subpoenas in certain ways, if there's some sort of organization. You know, 2 we're not saying there's anything wrong with that whatsoever. It's an 3 information-gathering point. Mr. Rowley. Well, I think -- I think that question is inappropriate. And I, as I 4 5 say, I believe it's irrelevant, and it goes beyond the scope of anything that the committee 6 is investigating here. 7 . Are you instructing Mr. Miller not to answer? Mr. Rowley. I'm not instructing him to answer, to not answer that question. 8 9 10 information that is not relevant to your investigation, and it's very curious that you inquire along those lines. 11 Mr. Mr. Miller? 12 13 The Witness. Well, I think the only conversations that I had on this score that I can recall with another person who was subpoenaed about paying for the bills was with 14 15 specifically -- it's typically -- not just saying to them let me know if you need help and I'll see what I can come up with, but in terms --or what I -- what ideas I can have, but in 16 terms of specifically suggesting avenues, I think I suggested to Ross specifically that he 17 explore three possible avenues although whether he did any of them, I don't know. 18 19 One was to -- one was to talk to -- to the -- to Mike Schlack (ph) and his 20 organization. One was to talk to CPI out of their -- they don't do this themselves but to 21 see if they knew of anybody that might. And then I also said that he should check, as well, with the Office of the 45th President to see whether or not they would be able to 22
- So I proposed three avenues of exploration to him.
- 25 Mr. Thank you.

render any assistance.

1	Mr. Okay. So, at this point, I think we are done with the questioning		
2	for the day. I appreciate your time today, Mr. Miller.		
3	Because of a number of objections that we have on the record, we are going to		
4	have the deposition stand in recess, subject to the call of the chair.		
5	And we will be in touch with Mr. Rowley about any additional followup that will be		
6	necessary pursuant to the subpoena based on resolution of any of those objections.		
7	Is there anything at this point that, Mr. Rowley or Mr. Miller, you'd like to add to		
8	the record?		
9	Mr. <u>Rowley.</u> Nothing further.		
10	Thank you for your courtesies today.		
11	Mr. Sure. We will be in recess, subject to the call of the chair.		
12	And we're off the record.		
13	[Whereupon, at 6:23 p.m., the deposition was recessed, subject to the call of the		
14	chair.]		

1	Certificate of Depor	nent/Interviewee	
2			
3			
4	I have read the foregoing pag	ges, which contain the correct t	ranscript of the
5	answers made by me to the questions therein recorded.		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10		Witness Name	
11			
12			
13			
14		Date	
15			