

÷

\*

χ

#### HIRTEENTH CENSUS OF THE UNITED STATES: 1910

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

BULLETIN

U.S., BUREAU OF THE CENSUS WM. J. HARRIS, DIRECTOR

## POPULATION : 1910

#### SEX DISTRIBUTION

Prepared under the supervision of WM. C. HUNT, Chief Statistician for Population

[Reprint of Chapter III, pages 247-287 of Volume I of the Thirteenth Census Reports]

#### INTRODUCTION.

The distribution by sex of the white population of the United States has been ascertained at every Federal census, but statistics on this subject for the total population were collected for the first time in 1820, and for some classes of the population the statistics are available only for recent censuses.

The distribution of population by sex is a fundamental classification essential to a proper interpretation of almost any other phase of population statistics. The sex distinction is accordingly presented in other chapters in correlation with other population data, and the present chapter is devoted mainly to a consideration of the relative numerical importance of the two sexes in different sections of the country and in the principal color or race, nativity, and parentage classes of the population.

The returns as to sex are probably more accurate than those of any other characteristic of the population. There is no ambiguity of terms, no motive to misrepresent the facts, and little or no opportunity for error in recording the sex of persons actually enumerated. It is possible, of course, that the sex distribution shown by the returns may be affected by omissions or duplications which may be relatively more numerous for one sex than for the other. It is not believed, however, that these errors have any appreciable effect upon the returns.

A slight margin of error, however, probably attaches to the statistics of the sex distribution of specific classes of the population by reason of erroneous returns as regards color or race, nativity, or parentage which somewhat affect the proportion of the sexes in the several classes. It is not believed, however, that these errors are of sufficient frequency to affect appreciably the conclusions to be drawn from the statistics. Further discussion of the margin of error in sex statistics is presented in Chapter IV, relating to the age distribution of the population.

#### AREA OF ENUMERATION: 1910.

Table 1 shows the distribution by sex of the total population enumerated at the census of 1910. As explained in Chapter I, the enumeration in 1910 covered the United States proper, Alaska, Hawaii, Porto Rico, and persons in the military and naval service abroad.

13-53-19

Table 1	FOPULATION: 1910							
AREA.	Total. Male. Femal		Female.	Excess of males.	Males to 100 females,			
Total	93, 402, 151	48, 112, 480	45, 289, 871	2, 822, 809	106.2			
United States proper	91, 972, 266	47, 332, 277	44,639,989	2,692,288	106.0			
Alaska. Hawaři. Porto Rico. Military and naval	$\substack{\begin{array}{c} 64,356\\191,909\\1,118,012\\55,608\end{array}}$	45,857 123,099 557,301 53,946	18,499 68,810 560,711 1,662	27,358 54,289 13,410 52,284	247.9 178.9 99.4 3,245.8			

1 Excess of females.

Of the total population enumerated in 1910, 48,112,480 were males and 45,289,671 were females, the number of males to 100 females being 106.2. In the United States proper the ratio was 106, in Alaska 247.9, in Hawaii 178.9, and in Porto Rico 99.4, Porto Rico being the only area with a preponderance of females.

The sex distribution of the United States proper is discussed in detail in the pages which follow. The data for Alaska, Hawaii, and Porto Rico are presented in detail in the sections on those territories in Volume III of the Thirteenth Census Reports.

#### UNITED STATES AS A WHOLE.

General summary: 1910 and 1900.—Table 2 summarizes the sex statistics for 1910 and 1900, for the United States as a whole.

There were reported in the United States, in 1910, 47,332,277 males and 44,639,989 females, the males outnumbering the females by 2,692,288.

This excess was more than a million greater than that in 1900, and the ratio of males to females was appreciably higher in 1910 than in 1900—106 males to 100 females in 1910 as compared with 104.4 to 100 in 1900.

The high proportion of males in the United States is in considerable measure due to the fact that this country has received large accessions by immigration, and that among immigrants the males have at all times considerably outnumbered the females, the disproportion being particularly great among immigrants of the last decade. Among the foreignborn whites enumerated there were, in 1910, 129.2 males to 100 females, and of the total excess of males over females the foreign-born whites contributed 1,702,031, or almost two-thirds. Since most of the

248

foreign	born	are	adults,	there	18,	m	the	total	adult	
populati	ion of	the	United	States,	aı	nor	e ma	arked	excess	

of males than in the population of all ages. (S. Chapter XI, Table 3.)

HB1755

1914

		1910						1900			
	Male. Femalc.	Female. Excess of males.	Per	Per cent. M				Excess of	Per	cent.	Males
Male.			Male.	Fcmale.	fe- males.	Male.	r cinale.	males.	Male.	Female.	fe- males.
<b>47, 332, 277</b> <b>42, 178, 245</b> <b>4, 885, 881</b> <b>3, 922, 332</b>	44,639,989 39,553,712 4,941,882 3,854,745	2, 692, 288 2, 624, 533 <sup>1</sup> 56, 001 67, 587	<b>51.5</b> 51.6 49.7 50.4	<b>48.5</b> 48.4 50.3 49.6	106.0 106.6 98.9 101.8	<b>38, 816, 448</b> 34, 201, 735 4, 386, 547	<b>37, 178, 127</b> 32, 607, 461 4, 447, 447	1,638,321 1,594,274 160,900	51.1 51.2 49.7	<b>48.9</b> <b>48.8</b> 50.3	104. 104. 98.
$963,549 \\135,133 \\66,856 \\63,070 \\3,092$	$1,087,137 \\130,550 \\4,675 \\9,087 \\83$	1123,588 4,583 62,181 53,983 3,009	47.0 50.9 93.5 87.4 97.4	53.0 49.1 6.5 12.6 2.6	88.6 103.5 1,430.1 694.1 ( <sup>2</sup> )	119, 484 85, 341 23, 341	117,712 4,522 985	1,772 80,819 22,356	50.4 95.0 96.0	49.6 5.0 4.0	101. 1,887. 2,369.
34, 654, 457 25, 229, 218 9, 425, 239 6, 456, 793 2, 968, 446	33,731,955 24,259,357 9,472,598 6,459,518 3,013,080	922, 502 969, 861 1 47, 359 1 2, 725 1 44, 634	50.7 51.0 49.9 50.0 49.6	49.3 49.0 50.1 50.0 50.4	102.7 104.0 99.5 100.0 98.5	$\begin{array}{r} 28,686,450\\ 20,849,847\\ 7,836,603\\ 5,341,350\\ 2,495,253\end{array}$	27,908,929 20,099,515 7,809,414 5,290,930 2,518,484	777, 521 750, 332 27, 189 50, 420 1 23, 231	50.7 50.9 50.1 50.2 49.8	49.3 49.1 49.9 49.8 50.2	102.1 103.1 100.1 101. 99.
	Male. 47, 332, 277 42, 178, 245 4, 855, 881 3, 922, 332 963, 549 135, 133 66, 856 63, 070 3, 092 34, 654, 457 9, 425, 239 6, 456, 793 9, 455, 239	Male.         Female.           47, 332, 277         44, 633, 989           42, 178, 245         39, 553, 712           4, 885, 881         4, 941, 882           3, 922, 32         3, 844, 745           963, 549         1, 087, 137           135, 133         130, 550           66, 856         4, 675           63, 070         9, 087           3, 092         83           34, 654, 457         33, 731, 955           25, 229, 218         24, 259, 357           9, 456, 793         6, 456, 513           9, 087         446, 59, 513	1910           Male.         Female.         Excess of males.           47, 332, 277         44, 639, 989         2, 692, 228           42, 178, 245         39, 553, 712         2, 624, 533           43, 885, 881         4, 941, 852         156, 001           3, 922, 332         3, 854, 745         67, 587           963, 549         1, 087, 137         1123, 588           135, 133         130, 550         4, 583, 66, 856           66, 856         4, 675         62, 181           63, 670         9, 087         53, 983           3, 092         83         3, 009           34, 654, 457         33, 731, 955         922, 502           25, 229, 218         24, 259, 357         999, 861           9, 425, 239         9, 472, 598         147, 359           9, 456, 793         6, 459, 518         12, 725           9, 646         30, 103, 020         147, 359	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

D. OF D. Mas \$ 1914

Excess of females.

Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

There is, however, a very considerable excess of males, according to the census returns, in the most important class of the native population, namely, the native whites of native parentage. In 1910 the males reported in this class outnumbered the females by 969,861, the ratio being 104, that is, 104 males to 100 females. Among the native whites of foreign parentage (that is, having both parents born abroad) the reported numbers of males and females were almost identical, while among the native whites of mixed parentage there was, according to the returns, an excess of females amounting to 44,634, the sex ratio being only 98.5. Among the negroes, who are scarcely affected at all by immigration or emigration, there was an excess of females over males amounting to 56,001, the sex ratio being 98.9.

Note regarding margin of error in statistics for the white classes.— A question may be raised as to the accuracy of these statistics regarding the sex ratios in the several main classes of the population.

It is somewhat surprising, in view of the European statistics of sex, to find so great an excess of males in the native white population of native parentage; and it is also somewhat surprising that there should be so much difference between the sex ratio for this class and that for native whites of foreign parentage, and a still greater difference when the comparison is with the ratio for native whites of mixed parentage, in which class there is a considerable excess of females.

It is probable that there is a certain margin of error in these statistics, due to incorrect returns with respect to nativity and parentage. An examination of the age statistics shows that the excess of males among the native whites of native parentage is most pronounced in the ages from about 25 to about 60. It is not improbable that some persons of foreign birth are erroneously included with those of native birth-even with those of native parentage-and that some persons of native birth but of foreign or mixed parentage are erroneously included with those of native parentage. Such errors would be more likely to occur in the case of males than in the case of females, for the reason that the former predominate among the foreign born, and for the further reason that the floating population, for which accurate information is difficult to obtain, includes many more males than females, and, in the absence of specific information, persons are commonly classified as natives of native parentage. It is possible also that the returns are affected in some slight degree by duplications; and this source of error would also be more apt to exaggerate the number of men than of women, for the reason that men are more likely to be away from home and therefore more likely to be counted twice, once where they are and again where they reside. Conversely, however, more males than females are likely to be missed altogether by the enumerators.

It is quite possible that incorrect returns of nativity and parentage may explain the excess of females among the native white population of mixed parentage, but it seems hardly possible that such errors can fully explain the large excess of males among the native whites of native parentage. This excess has been apparent almost to the same relative extent at each census in which the native whites of native parentage have been tabulated as a separate class, the number of males to 100 females in this class being 103.5 in 1890, 103.7 in 1900, and 104 in 1910. For the total native white population the sex distribution is given in the census as far back as 1850, when the number of males to 100 females in this class was 103.1. This is higher than the ratio for native whites at the last census (102.7). An excess of males in the native white population as indicated by the census returns is therefore not a recent phenomenon. Moreover, a careful study of the ratios of the sexes for individual age periods, and particularly for the younger ages, in which errors in the returns, as well as duplications and omissions, are not likely to occur frequently, together with an analysis of the statistics for different geographic divisions, leads to the conclusion stated above that the very considerable excess of males in the native white population of native parentage is not fully accounted for by errors of the kind suggested.

The statistics for the South Atlantic and East South Central divisions are particularly significant in this connection. These divisions have so few foreign-born whites and native whites of foreign or mixed parentage that it seems impossible for any large absolute numbers of these classes to be erroneously counted as native whites of native parentage, and yet there is a large absolute excess of males over females among the native whites of native parentage in both these divisions. It is true that the excess of males in the native population in any particular section of the country may be largely caused by immigration from other parts of the United States, since males migrate more freely than females; but this does not explain the excess of males in the South Atlantic and East South Central divisions, because both these divisions have lost rather than gained in population through interstate migration.

The vital statistics of foreign countries indicate that more male children than female children are born in those countries, but the statistics also indicate that a higher death rate among male children in the absence of other causes soon brings about an approximate equality in the numbers of the two sexes. The vital statistics of the United States are too defective and incomplete to afford a basis for determining the relative numbers of the sexes at birth or the relative death rates. According to the census statistics, however, in the case of the native white population of native parentage equalization of the sexes does not take place.

7.0.2.3/4/14

Among the foreign-born whites the ratio of males to females was much higher in 1910 than in 1900 a fact which largely accounts for the increase in the ratio for the total population. There was a slight increase in the ratio for the native whites of native parentage and for negroes, but for the native whites of foreign parentage and for those of mixed parentage the ratio declined somewhat.

In the Indian population, according to the returns, there was an excess of males both in 1910 and in 1900, but it is possible that errors exist in these returns. Every person having any appreciable portion of Indian blood should have been returned as Indian, but some individuals showing only slight traces of Indian blood may have been returned as white, and there may be differences between the two sexes with respect to the frequency of such errors.

Table 2 shows a very great excess of males among the Chinese and Japanese. This is chiefly due to the fact that the great majority of the persons of these races in the United States are immigrants and that there has been very little immigration of Chinese and Japanese women. But even among the Chinese reported as native born there were, in 1910, 11,921 males as compared with 3,014 females. It is incredible that such a great disparity really exists in any class of population actually born in this country. At the census of 1900 the same peculiarity in the returns for the native Chinese was noted and in the Supplementary Analysis of the Twelfth Census (p. 102) was commented upon as follows:

A satisfactory explanation of these erroneous figures is found in the last report of the Commissioner of Immigration. He shows that perhaps the most important way which Chinese immigrants have devised for evading the exclusion laws is for the foreigner to enter the country from Canada or Mexico, submit to arrest for violation of the law, and on trial to present Chinese testimony that he was born in the United States and is thus by birthright a citizen to whom the exclusion law has no application. A special report on the subject to the Commissioner of Immigration in 1903 says: "By this method thousands of Chinese—upon the admission of the Chinese themselves—have been allowed not.only to enter and remain in the United States but declared to be native-horn citizens thereof, each with a vote and qualified to participate in the political affairs of this country."

This is doubtless the true explanation of the incredible figures of the census. Many Chinese immigrants, knowing that it would be to their advantage to pass as native Americans, falsely reported themselves as born in the United States.

In 1900 the number of native-born Chinese males was reported as 6,657 and of females as 2,353. It is apparent that there was no greater accuracy in the returns for this group at the census of 1910. For the Japanese reported as native born there is no such apparent error as for the Chinese, the number of males as returned in 1910 being 2,340 and the females, 2,162. Very nearly all of the small class designated as of "all other" races in the United States are males. This class in 1910 included Filipinos—144 males and 16 females; Hindus—2,526 males and 19 females; Koreans—419 males and 43 females; and Maoris—3 males and 5 females. Practically all of the representatives of these races are recent immigrants.

A perplexing problem is presented by the sex ratios of the blacks and mulattoes. In 1910 the blacks showed a slight excess of males, while among the mulattoes the females, as reported, considerably outnumbered the males. With 88.6 males to 100 females, the ratio of males to females among the mulattoes was the lowest recorded for any group in the census returns. The negroes were distinguished as blacks and mulattoes also at the censuses of 1860 and 1870, and at both the proportion of males was much higher among the blacks. It may be that the proportion of male births is higher among the blacks than among the mulattoes, but statistics are lacking either to prove or to disprove this assumption. It may be that the negro women with an admixture of white blood are anxious to be returned as mulattoes, while the men are more indifferent in this respect. There is, however, a third possibility which seems more likely to account for this peculiar sex ratio. Since a large majority of the negroes are blacks, the enumerators were likely to return as blacks the negroes whom they did not see in person. The negro women at home were more likely to be seen by the enumerators than were the men who were at work in the field or elsewhere. If this supposition be correct the proportion reported as males among the mulattoes would be smallest in the ages of active labor. Statistics upon this point for 1910 are available and are as follows:

Table 3	MULATTOES: 1910				
AGE PERIOD.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.		
Under 15 years 15 to 44 years 45 years and over	386,460 443,741 130,449	401, 235 550, 227 133, 066	96.3 80.6 93.0		

Table 3 shows that among those reported as mulattoes the numbers of males and of females under 15 years of age and 45 years of age and over are much more nearly equal than the numbers of males and of females in the active ages from 15 to 44 years, where the sex ratio reaches the remarkably low figure of 80.6. That this is a true picture of actual age and sex distribution is inconceivable. It is probable that some females who were over 45 years of age reported themselves as under this age, but it seems likely that a larger number of male mulattoes in the active ages were incorrectly returned as blacks. **Comparison with earlier censuses.**—Table 4 shows the sex distribution of the total population of the United States as reported at each census from 1820 to 1910, the census of 1820 being the first at which the negro population was distinguished according to sex.

Table 4	POPULATION.							
CENSUS YEAR.	Total.	Male.	Female.	Excess of males.	Males to 100 females.			
1910. 1900	$\begin{array}{c} 91,972,266\\ 75,994,575\\ 62,947,714\\ 62,622,250\\ 50,155,783\\ 38,558,371\\ 31,443,321\\ 23,191,876\\ 17,069,453\\ 12,866,020\\ 9,638,453 \end{array}$	47, 332, 277 38, 816, 448 2 32, 237, 101 <i>32, 067, 880</i> 25, 518, 820 19, 493, 565 16, 085, 204 11, 837, 660 8, 685, 532 6, 532, 489 4, 896, 605	$\begin{array}{c} 44, 639, 989\\ 37, 178, 127\\ {}^230, 710, 613\\ 30, 554, 570\\ 24, 636, 963\\ 19, 064, 806\\ 15, 358, 117\\ 11, 354, 216\\ 8, 380, 921\\ 6, 333, 531\\ 4, 741, 848\\ \end{array}$	2,692,288 1,638,321 2 1,526,488 1,618,510 881,857 428,759 727,087 483,444 307,611 198,958 154,757	106.0 104.4 2 105.0 103.6 102.2 104.7 104.3 103.7 103.1 103.3			

Includes population of Indian Territory and Indian reservations.
 Distribution by sex partly estimated for Indian Territory.
 Excludes population of Indian Territory and Indian reservations.

This table shows an excess of males at every census. The absolute amount of the excess was greater at each census than at that preceding, except at the census of 1870. The decline in the excess of males during the decade 1860–1870 must be attributed to the loss of life among men, occasioned by the Civil War, and to the falling off of immigration during this period.

From 1820 to 1830 there was a slight decrease in the ratio of males to females, from 1860 to 1870 a rather marked decrease, and some decrease from 1890 to 1900. The ratio increased steadily from 1830 to 1860 and from 1870 to 1890. The ratio was lowest at the census of 1870, 102.2 males to 100 females, and highest at the census of 1910, 106 to 100.

Aside from the effect of the Civil War in decreasing the proportion of males, the preponderating cause of the variation in the sex ratio of the total population from census to census seems to have been variation in immigration. When the immigrant stream dwindled, the proportion of males in the total population declined; when the stream increased in volume the proportion of males rose.

The statistics of the sex of immigrants were not entirely complete until 1869. Table 5 shows the statistics as to the sex of immigrants, by decades, from 1870 to 1909, together with the ratio of the number of immigrants to the mean population for the decades, the sex ratio among the immigrants, and the sex ratio in the total population at the succeeding census. It must be borne in mind that the statistics for immigration given in this table represent gross and not net immigration. During recent years particularly there has been a very considerable return current. The proportion of males is greater in the gross immigration of recent years than it is in the net immigration. In computing the figures showing the ratio of immigration to population, the mean population for each period has been assumed to be half of the sum obtained by adding the population as determined by the census at the beginning of the period, to that at the end of the period.

Table 5		IMMIGRANTS. <sup>1</sup>		:	Sex ratio	
DECADE.	Total.	Male.	Female.	Immi- grants to 100 mean popu- lation.	Num- ber of male to 100 fe- male immi- grants.	in total popu- lation at suc- ceed- ing cen- sus.
<b>1900–1909</b> 1890–1899 1880–1889 1870–1879	8, 202, 388 3, 694, 294 5, 248, 568 2, 742, 137	5,710,052 2,275,035 3,211,681 1,673,137	2, 492, 336 1, 419, 259 2, 036, 887 1, 069, 000	9.8 5.3 9.3 6.2	229.1 160.3 157.7 156.5	106.0 104.4 105.0 103.6

<sup>1</sup> Data compiled from official sources: 1870-1903 for "immigrants arriving"; 1904-1905 for "aliens admitted"; 1906-1910 for "immigrant aliens admitted."

The table shows that from 1870 to 1889 the increase in immigration was reflected in an increase in the proportion of males in the total population. During the decade 1890–1899 both the immigration and the sex ratio decreased. From 1900 to 1909 there occurred the largest immigration, both absolute and proportional, ever recorded, and at the same time the ratio of males to females among the immigrants rose.<sup>1</sup> A considerable increase in the proportion of males in the total population might be expected as a result. This proved to be the case, and the increase in the sex ratio was more rapid during this decade than during any other decennial period covered by the census figures.

Table 6 shows the sex distribution of the population of the United States by color or race, and in the case of the whites by nativity and parentage, the statistics being presented in each case as far back as available. As already stated, the sex of the total population was not reported prior to 1820, but that of the white population was recorded at every census.

Owing to the numerical preponderance of the whites, the changes in the sex distribution of the white population from census to census have been substantially the same as for the total population. From 1790 to 1860, inclusive, the number of males to 100 females in the white population was at no census higher than 105.3 nor lower than 103.2. In 1870 it dropped to 102.8, for the reasons already stated, but it rose to 105.4 in 1890 and to 106.6 in 1910.

Differing from all other races, the negroes have shown an excess of females over males at every census beginning with that of 1840, although there was an excess of males in 1830 and 1820. The ratio of males to females was decidedly lower in 1870 than at any other census. It is generally recognized that the census of 1870 in the Southern states was very defective, and that the total number of persons of all races enumerated was considerably short of the correct number, the deficiency being particularly great in the case of negroes. It would appear also, that the enumeration of males was more deficient than that of females among the negroes.

At every census, except that of 1870, the Indians have shown an excess of males. No attempt, however,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The ratio of 229.1 to 100 in gross immigration, as shown in the table was much greater than that in the net immigration.

was made to secure a complete enumeration of Indians prior to 1890, and it is doubtful whether the figures for 1860, 1870, and 1880 are of any material value in showing the true sex ratio of the total Indian population. Reference to the possible inaccuracy of the more recent returns has already been made.

Table 6				PER	CENT.	Males
TION AND CENSUS YEAR.	Both sexes.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Fe- male.	to 100 females.
Total population: 1910	91, 972, 266 76, 994, 575 62, 947, 714 50, 155, 783 38, 558, 371 31, 443, 321 23, 191, 876 17, 009, 453 12, 866, 020 9, 638, 453	$\begin{array}{c} 47, 332, 277\\ 38, 816, 448\\ 32, 237, 101\\ 25, 618, 820\\ 19, 493, 565\\ 16, 085, 204\\ 11, 837, 660\\ 8, 688, 532\\ 6, 532, 489\\ 4, 896, 605\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44, 639, 989\\ 37, 178, 127\\ 30, 710, 613\\ 24, 636, 963\\ 19, 064, 806\\ 16, 358, 117\\ 11, 354, 216\\ 8, 380, 921\\ 6, 333, 631\\ 4, 741, 848\\ \end{array}$	61.5         61.1         61.2         60.6         61.2         50.9         60.6         51.0         50.9         50.8	48.5 48.9 48.8 49.1 49.4 48.8 49.0 49.1 49.2 49.2	106. 0 104. 4 105. 0 103. 6 102. 2 104. 7 104. 3 103. 7 103. 1 103. 3
White: 1910	$\begin{array}{c} 81,731,957\\ 66,809,196\\ 55,101,258\\ 43,402,970\\ 33,589,377\\ 26,922,637\\ 19,553,068\\ 14,195,805\\ 10,637,378\\ 7,866,797\\ 5,802,073\\ 4,306,446\\ 3,172,006 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 42, 178, 245\\ 34, 201, 736\\ 28, 270, 379\\ 22, 130, 900\\ 17, 029, 088\\ 13, 811, 387\\ 10, 026, 402\\ 7, 255, 544\\ 6, 366, 213\\ 3, 995, 809\\ 2, 988, 130\\ 2, 995, 805\\ 1, 615, 434\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 39, 553, 712\\ 32, 607, 461\\ 26, 830, 879\\ 21, 272, 070\\ 16, 560, 239\\ 13, 111, 150\\ 9, 526, 666\\ 6, 940, 261\\ 6, 771, 165\\ 3, 870, 988\\ 2, 873, 943\\ 2, 111, 141\\ 1, 556, 872\\ \end{array}$	61.6         61.2         61.0         50.7         51.3         61.0         50.7         51.3         51.1         60.9         60.8         51.0         51.9         50.9	48.4 48.8 48.7 49.0 49.3 48.7 48.7 48.7 48.9 49.1 49.2 49.0 49.0 49.1	$106.6 \\ 104.9 \\ 105.4 \\ 104.0 \\ 102.8 \\ 105.3 \\ 105.2 \\ 104.6 \\ 103.8 \\ 103.2 \\ 104.0 \\ 104.0 \\ 103.8 \\ 103.$
1910	$\begin{array}{c} 68, 386, 412\\ 56, 595, 379\\ 46, 979, 391\\ 36, 843, 291\\ 28, 095, 665\\ 22, 825, 784\\ 17, 312, 533\end{array}$	34,654,467 28,686,460 23,318,521 18,609,265 14,086,509 11,619,157 8,786,968	$\begin{array}{c} 33,731,966\\ 27,908,929\\ 22,660,870\\ 18,234,026\\ 14,009,156\\ 11,206,627\\ 8,525,565 \end{array}$	50.7 60.7 60.7 60.5 50.1 60.9 60.8	49.3 49.3 49.3 49.5 49.9 49.1 49.2	102.7 102.8 102.9 102.1 100.6 103.7 103.1
1910. 1900. 1890.	49, 488, 575 40, 949, 362 34, 475, 716	25, 229, 218 20, 849, 847 17, 536, 950	24, 259, 357 20, 099, 515 16, 938, 766	51.0 50.9 50.9	49.0 49.1 49.1	104.0 103.7 103.5
1910 1900 1890	18, 897, 837 15, 646, 017 11, 503, 675	9, 425, 239 7, 836, 603 5, 781, 571	9, 472, 598 7, 809, 414 5, 722, 104	49.9 50.1 60.3	60. 1 49. 9 49. 7	99.5 100.3 101.0
Foregrisorn white:           1910           1900           1880           1870           1860           1850	$\begin{array}{c} 13,345,545\\ 10,213,817\\ 9,121,867\\ 6,559,679\\ 5,493,712\\ 4,096,753\\ 2,240,635 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7,523,788\\ 5,515,285\\ 4,951,858\\ 3,521,635\\ 2,942,579\\ 2,192,230\\ 1,239,434 \end{array}$	5,821,767 4,698,532 4,170,009 3,038,044 2,551,133 1,904,523 1,001,101	66.4 54.0 54.3 53.7 53.6 53.6 53.5	43.6 46.0 45.7 46.3 46.4 46.6 44.7	129.2 117.4 118.7 115.9 115.3 115.1 123.8
1910.         1900.         1880.         1880.         1880.         1870.         1860.         1860.         1860.         1850.         1850.         1850.         1850.         1850.         1850.         1830.         1830.         1830.         1820.         1820.	$\begin{array}{c} 9,827,763\\ 8,833,994\\ 7,488,676\\ 6,580,793\\ 4,880,009\\ 4,441,830\\ 3,638,808\\ 2,873,648\\ 2,328,642\\ 1,771,656\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,885,881\\ 4,386,547\\ 3,735,603\\ 3,253,115\\ 2,393,263\\ 2,216,744\\ 1,811,258\\ 1,432,988\\ 1,466,276\\ 900,796 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,941,882\\ 4,447,447\\ 3,753,073\\ 3,327,678\\ 2,486,746\\ 2,225,086\\ 1,827,550\\ 1,440,660\\ 1,162,366\\ 870,860 \end{array}$	49.7 49.7 49.9 49.4 49.0 49.9 49.8 49.9 50.1 50.8	60.3 50.3 50.1 50.6 51.0 50.1 50.2 50.1 49.9 49.2	98.9 98.6 99.5 97.8 96.2 99.6 99.1 99.5 100.3 103.4
1910 1870 1860	7,777,077 4,295,960 3,863,467	3, 922, 332 2, 115, 367 1, 936, 536	3, 854, 745 2, 180, 593 1, 916, 931	60.4 49.2 50.3	49.6 50.8 49.7	101.8 97.0 101.0
1910 1870 1860	2,050,686 584,049 588,363	963, 549 277, 896 280, 208	1,087,137 306,153 308,165	47.0 47.6 47.6	53.0 52.4 52.4	88.6 90.8 90.9
Indian: 1910 1900 1890 1880 1870 1860 1860	$\begin{array}{c} 265,683\\ 237,196\\ 248,253\\ 66,407\\ 25,731\\ 44,021 \end{array}$	135, 133 119, 484 125, 719 33, 985 12, 534 23, 924	$130,550 \\ 117,712 \\ 122,534 \\ 32,422 \\ 13,197 \\ 20,097$	$50.9 \\ 50.4 \\ 50.6 \\ 51.2 \\ 48.7 \\ 54.3$	49.1 49.6 49.4 48.8 51.3 45.7	103. 5 101. 5 102. 6 104. 8 95. 0 119. 0
1910           1900           1880           1880           1870           1880	71, 531 89, 863 107, 488 105, 465 63, 199 34, 933	66, 856 85, 341 103, 620 100, 686 58, 633 33, 149	4,678 4,522 3,868 4,779 4,566 1,784	93.5 95.0 96.4 95.5 92.8 94.9	$     \begin{array}{r}       6.5 \\       5.0 \\       3.6 \\       4.5 \\       7.2 \\       5.1 \\     \end{array} $	1,430.1 1,887.2 2,678.9 2,106.8 1,284.1 1,858.1
1910 1900 1890 1830 1870 11 other;	72, 157 24, 326 2, 039 148 55	63,070 23,341 1,780 134 47	9,087 985 259 14 8	87.4 96.0 87.3 90.5 ( <sup>3</sup> )	12.6 4.0 12.7 9.5 ( <sup>3</sup> )	694. 1 2, 369. 6 687. 3 ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>2</sup> )
1910	3, 175	3,092	83	97.4	2.6	(2)

Distinction between blacks and mulattoes for negro population not made in 1880 or 1900; distribution by sex for black population (6,337,980) and mulatto popu-lation (1,132,060) not available in 1890.
 Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.
 Per cent not shown where base is less than 100.

Among the native whites (including both those of native parentage and those of foreign or mixed parentage), as shown by Table 6, the males have at every census, according to the returns, outnumbered the females, the excess being somewhat greater in 1850 and 1860, and less in 1870, than at any later census. From 1890 to 1910 there was substantially no change in the sex ratio for this class as a whole. This uniformity, however, was-if the returns may be accepted as correct-the resultant of divergent changes as between the native whites of native parentage on the one hand and the native whites of foreign or mixed parentage on the other hand. For the first of these groups the ratio of males to females increased slightly from census to census, while for the second it declined.

Among the foreign-born whites the males have at every census greatly outnumbered the females. In 1850 the ratio was 123.8 males to 100 females, but it fell to 115.1 in 1860. From that time until 1900 there was no very great change in the sex ratio, but in 1910 the ratio was very much higher than at any of the five preceding censuses. It may be noted that among the foreign-born whites enumerated in 1910 who were reported as having arrived in the United States after January 1, 1901, there were no less than 166.1 males to 100 females.

Comparison of United States with other countries .---Table 7 shows the sex distribution of the population, so far as enumerated by sex, of each of the great continents of the world, in comparison with that in the United States. The statistics for the various countries included in the totals in most cases relate approximately to the year 1900. The returns for Asia cover less than half and those for Africa only about onesixth of the estimated total population of those continents, respectively.

Table 7	POPULATION ABOUT THE YEAR 1900, SO FAR AS ENUMERATED BY SEX.							
CONTINENT.	Total.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.				
Total Europe. Asia. Africa Australia. America (North and South) United States Excluding United States	<b>894</b> , 389, 076 373, 140, 498 374, 962, 735 19, 452, 934 4, 555, 662 122, 277, 247 75, 994, 575 46, 282, 672	<b>449, 285, 844</b> 183, 460, 507 191, 288, 591 9, 960, 826 2, 389, 344 62, 186, 676 38, 816, 448 23, 370, 228	<b>445, 103, 132</b> 189, 679, 991 183, 674, 144 9, 492, 108 2, 166, 318 60, 090, 571 37, 178, 127 22, 912, 444	100.9 96.7 104.1 104.9 110.3 103.5 104.4 102.0				

Somewhat over one-half of the estimated population of the world had been enumerated with distinction of sex about 1900, and the results showed a slight excess of males. Europe is the only continent to show an excess of females. This excess is to be attributed largely to emigration to other continents. Australia, with its large proportion of males, like America, shows the result of immigration.

Table 8 shows, for individual countries, the sex distribution of the population, the figures in most cases relating to a date not far from 1900.

Table S			POPULAT	NON.					POPULATI	ON.	
COUNTRY.	Date of census.	Total.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	COUNTRY.	Date of census.	Total.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
Europe Austria-Hungary: Austria Hungary. Belgium Bulgaria. Denmark 1 Finland. France. Great Britain: England and Wales Ireland. Scotland. Greece. Haly. Luxemburg Maltese Islands. Norway. Poland (Russian). Portugal Russia. Servia. Servia. Servia. Spain Switzerland. Mala Coylon Cyprus. HongKong. India. Japan 2. Philippine Islands <sup>3</sup> . Russia 4.	1900 1900 1900 1905 1901 1901 1901 1901	$\begin{array}{c} 26, 150, 703\\ 19, 254, 559\\ 6, 693, 548\\ 4, 035, 575\\ 2, 449, 540\\ 2, 712, 562\\ 33, 450, 788\\ 56, 367, 178\\ 32, 527, 843\\ 4, 455, 775\\ 32, 527, 843\\ 4, 455, 775\\ 32, 547, 213\\ 2, 631, 952\\ 32, 475, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 32, 457, 253\\ 33, 315, 443\\ 3, 31$	$12, 852, 693\\9, 552, 152\\3, 324, 834\\2, 057, 092\\1, 103, 448\\1, 342, 082\\18, 916, 889\\27, 737, 247\\15, 728, 613\\2, 200, 040\\2, 173, 755\\1, 324, 942\\16, 155, 130\\2, 122, 602\\1, 087, 603\\4, 712, 090\\2, 520, 602\\1, 087, 603\\4, 712, 090\\2, 531, 600$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,298,015\\ 9,672,407\\ 3,368,714\\ 1,978,483\\ 1,256,092\\ 1,370,480\\ 2,55,735\\ 2,298,348\\ 1,307,010\\ 2,558,735\\ 2,298,348\\ 1,307,010\\ 16,320,123\\ 14,541\\ 92,748\\ 2,583,535\\ 1,152,429\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,532\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,532\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,532\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,530\\ 2,583,535\\ 1,152,429\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,530\\ 2,583,535\\ 1,152,429\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,530\\ 2,583,535\\ 1,152,429\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,530\\ 2,583,535\\ 1,152,429\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,530\\ 2,583,535\\ 1,152,429\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,530\\ 2,583,535\\ 1,152,429\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,831,530\\ 2,583,535\\ 1,152,429\\ 4,600,163\\ 2,748\\ 1,207,752\\ 2,313,1207\\ 3,491,034\\ 10,779,221\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 96.7\\ 99.1\\ 98.7\\ 99.1\\ 95.0\\ 97.9\\ 96.9\\ 97.9\\ 996.9\\ 93.6\\ 97.4\\ 99.0\\ 94.6\\ 101.4\\ 99.0\\ 106.5\\ 91.5\\ 91.5\\ 91.5\\ 91.5\\ 91.5\\ 95.9\\ 105.8\\ 95.4\\ 95.4\\ 113.6\\ 104.4\\ 265.2\\ 103.8\\ 102.0\\ 106.2\\ 111.5\\ \end{array}$	Africa Algeria (Northern Territory) Cape of Good Hope 5 Egypt Bypt Cape of Good Hope 5 Bypt Bypt Bypt Bypt Bypt Seychelles Islands Transvaal and Swaziland 7. Australia New South Wales New Zealand Queensland South Australia Tasmania Vietoria Western Australia America Argentina Bermudas 5 Brazil Canada Chile Chile Chile Chile Chile Chile Chile Chile Chile Marthnique Mexico Newfoundland Porto Rico Trinidad United States Windward Islands (Grenada and dependencies)	1906 1904 1907 1901 1904 1904 1904 1901 1901 1901 1901	$\begin{array}{c} 4,720,974\\ 2,409,804\\ 11,287,359\\ 200,713\\ 142,673\\ 200,713\\ 19,258\\ 289,952\\ 1,559,133\\ 7772,719\\ 503,206\\ 362,604\\ 172,475\\ 1,201,341\\ 184,124\\ 3,954,911\\ 184,124\\ 3,954,911\\ 17,535\\ 14,333,915\\ 5,371,315\\ 5,371$	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 484, 400\\ 1, 218, 940\\ 5, 667, 074\\ 204, 540\\ 122, 865\\ 81, 571\\ 9, 825\\ 170, 611\\ 712, 456\\ 405, 992\\ 280, 092\\ 184, 422\\ 280, 092\\ 184, 422\\ 89, 624\\ 603, 883\\ 112, 875\\ 2, 088, 919\\ 8, 606\\ 7, 237, 932\\ 2, 751, 708\\ 8, 606\\ 7, 237, 932\\ 2, 751, 708\\ 8, 606\\ 7, 237, 932\\ 2, 751, 708\\ 8, 606\\ 7, 237, 932\\ 2, 751, 708\\ 112, 875\\ 305, 948\\ 90, 373\\ 6, 752, 118\\ 111, 311\\ 472, 261\\ 144, 491\\ 38, 816, 448\\ 29, 986\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,236,574\\ 1,190,864\\ 5,620,285\\ 80,848\\ 61,103\\ 9,433\\ 119,341\\ 646,677\\ 366,727\\ 223,174\\ 178,182\\ 82,851\\ 597,458\\ 71,249\\ 1,865,992\\ 7,095,983\\ 2,619,607\\ 1,025,058\\ 974,098\\ 867,206\\ 333,543\\ 97,319\\ 6,855,141\\ 105,726\\ 8687,206\\ 333,543\\ 97,319\\ 6,855,141\\ 105,726\\ 8687,206\\ 333,543\\ 97,319\\ 6,855,141\\ 105,726\\ 8687,206\\ 337,178,127\\ 33,452\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 111.1\\ 102.4\\ 100.8\\ 117.8\\ 153.2\\ 133.6\\ 104.2\\ 143.0\\ 110.2\\ 110.7\\ 125.6\\ 103.5\\ 103.5\\ 103.5\\ 103.5\\ 103.5\\ 103.5\\ 103.5\\ 103.5\\ 103.5\\ 105.4\\ 102.0\\ 9.9, 9.6\\ 105.3\\ 9.8, 6\\ 105.3\\ 9.8, 6\\ 105.3\\ 9.8, 6\\ 89.6\\ \end{array}$
<sup>1</sup> Exclusive of Faroe <sup>2</sup> Legal population.	Islands	3 ( 4 ]	Civilized pop Includes Cau	ulation. casns, Siberi	a, and Ce	ntral Asia. <sup>5</sup> All races, 6 All races,	natives	excepted.	7 Europ 8 Civil I	eans only. oopulation.	

The only European countries which show an excess of males are Bulgaria, Greece, Luxemburg, Russian Poland, and Servia; and of these there are only two, Servia and Luxemburg, in which the proportion of males to females reaches the point shown by continental United States in either 1900 or 1910. Probably emigration largely accounts for the low proportion of males in European countries generally. In the migratory movements of recent times males have far outnumbered females. It is but natural, therefore, that countries, such as Australia and the United States, in which the foreign-born or immigrant element constitutes a large proportion of the total

#### DIVISIONS AND STATES.

(See Chap. VII, Table 31.)

population, should show a high proportion of males.

Sex ratio of the total population.—Table 9 shows, by geographic divisions, the ratio of males to 100 females in the total population at each census from 1890 to 1910. The absolute numbers on which these figures are based appear in Table 21 (pp. 264 to 271), which gives the number of males and females, and the sex ratios, by principal classes of the population, for each of the last four censuses.

The most noticeable feature brought out by this table is that the proportion of males increases from east to west. No division east of the Mississippi River had in 1910 more than 106 males to 100 females, while in every division west of the river the proportion of males was higher than this figure, and in the Mountain and Pacific divisions the ratio reached 127.9 and 129.5, respectively. Migration of native population from east to west has been mainly responsible for these differences; the sections which have been most recently settled have attracted more men than women.

Table 9	MALES TO 100 FEMALES IN THE TOTAL FOPULATION.					
	1910	1900	1890			
United States New England Middle Atlantic East North Central. West North Central South Atlantic East South Central West South Central West South Central Mountain. Pacific.	<b>106.0</b> 99.3 103.3 106.0 109.9 101.2 101.9 107.2 127.9 129.5	$\begin{array}{c} 104.4\\ 97.7\\ 100.9\\ 104.7\\ 109.7\\ 100.0\\ 101.9\\ 106.7\\ 128.0\\ 128.2\end{array}$	105.0 96.9 100.4 105.4 111.2 99.5 101.7 107.6 141.7 142.0			

The table also shows a lower ratio of males to females in 1910 in the South Atlantic and East South Central divisions than in any of the other divisions except New England. This fact is attributable in part to the large negro population of these divisions, and in part to the fact that they have received very little foreign immigration. The New England division is the only one which showed an excess of females in 1910. This is the more remarkable in view of the fact that over one-fourth of the total white population of this division was of foreign birth. The proportion of females among the foreign born in New England, however, is much higher than in any other geographic division because of the opportunities for female labor, particularly in the textile mills. Moreover, the New England states have sent large numbers of their sons to the more western states.

252

There are five geographic divisions in which the number of males to 100 females was higher by at least one in 1910 than in 1900, namely, the New England, Middle Atlantic, East North Central, South Atlantic, and Pacific divisions. In each of these divisions the percentage of foreign-born whites in the total population was higher in 1910 than in 1900. The influence of the foreign-born element upon the sex ratio is most apparent in the Middle Atlantic division, where the ratio increased from 100.9 in 1900 to 103.3 in 1910.

Migration of natives within the country during any decade as well as immigration of foreigners is an important factor in the changes which take place in the sex ratios of geographic divisions. The number of natives born in divisions other than that in which they were residing at the date of the census increased during the decade 1900-1910 by 2,194,769. The increase in the number of the foreign born during this decade was 3,174,610. It may be noted that, if the excess of males among the native migrants is as great as it is among the foreign immigrants, an increase in the number of interdivisional native migrants might very well cause a much greater divergence of sex ratios than would be occasioned by an equal increase in the number of the foreign born, since each native who migrates from one division to another affects diversely the sex ratio of two divisions, the one left and the one entered, while a foreign immigrant can affect the ratio of one division only. As regards the Mountain and Pacific divisions the increase in the number of domestic immigrants during the decade 1900-1910 exceeded the increase in the number of the foreign born, and for these divisions domestic immigration was probably a more important factor in the maintenance of a high ratio of males to females than foreign immigration. It should be borne in mind that the ratio of males to females tends to decline in any division where, as in the Mountain and Pacific divisions, the excess of males is greater than that which would result naturally from natality and mortality in the population. The maintenance of such high ratios unchanged indicates a continuance of immigration in which males predominate numerically. Moreover where the population is increasing, as it is in the divisions mentioned, the maintenance unchanged of any given ratio higher than the natural ratio indicates a progressively increasing net immigration of males, any net emigration of females from these divisions being quite improbable.

At the census of 1900 attention was called to the fact that the differences in the proportions of the sexes among the several geographic divisions were growing less. Thus, in 1890 the difference between the sex ratio of New England and that of the Pacific division was 45.1, while in 1900 it was only 30.5. In 1910, however, the difference between these two divisions was almost the same as in 1900, namely, 30.2. For the time being, at least, the disparity between the extreme divisions as regards sex distribution shows no tendency to diminish.

In Table 10 the states are arranged in the order of their sex ratios, as shown by each of the last three censuses, and the ratios are presented in each case. The absolute numbers appear in Table 21. The map on page 254 shows graphically these differences among the states in the proportion of males to females in the total population for 1910, and the map following page 287 shows the proportion by counties, for 1910.

Table 10	Malaa		Malas		
	to 100		males		males
071.77	10 100	0.000	10 100		10 100
STATE.	ie-	STATE.	.10-	STATE.	te-
	mates:		males:		males:
	1910		1900		1890
United States	106.0	United States	104.4	United States.	105.0
Dist. of Columbia.	91.3	Dist. of Columbia.	90.0	Dist. of Columbia.	90.7
Massachusetts	96.7	Massachusetts	95.1	Massachusetts	94.5
South Carolina	98.5	Rhode Island	96.5	Rhode Island	94.7
Maryland	98.9	North Carolina	98.3	North Carolina	97.6
North Carolina	99.2	Maryland	98.4	Maryland	97.9
Rhode Island	99.3	South Carolina	98.4	Connecticut.	98.1
Georgia	100.1	New York	98.9	New Hampshire.	98.2
New Hampshire.	100.9	Georgia	99.1	New York	98.6
Virginia.	100.9	New Hampshire.	99.6	South Carolina	98.9
Alabama	101.0	Virginia	99.7	Virginia.	99.1
New York	101.2	New Jersev	100.0	New Jersey	99.5
Mississippi	101.6	Connecticut	100.0	Louisiana	100.0
Louisiana	101.7	Alabama.	100.5	Alahama	100.2
Tennessee	102.1	Louisiana.	101.1	Georgia	100.3
Connecticut	102.3	Mississippi	101.5	Maine	101.2
New Jersey	102.9	Maine.	102.2	Mississippi	101.5
Kentucky	103.0	Tennessee	102.2	Tennessee	101.8
Maine.	103.2	Ohio	102.3	Ohio.	102.2
Ohio	104.4	Kentucky	103.1	Kentucky	102.9
Delaware	104.6	Pennsylvania	103.5	Pennsylvania	102.9
Indiana	105.0	Vermont	103.9	Delaware	103.2
Missouri	105.1	Delaware	104.0	Vermont	103.8
Vermont	105.3	Indiana	104.4	Indiana	104.1
Pennsylvania	105.9	Utah	104.9	West Virginia	104.8
Arkansas	106.0	Illinois	105.3	Illinois	106.4
Iowa	106.6	Missouri	105.6	Florida	106.6
Illinois	106.8	Arkansas	106.1	Missouri	107.1
Michigan	107.3	Michigan	106.6	Wisconsin	107.7
Texas	107.4	Wisconsin	106.6	Arkansas	108.0
Wisconsin	107.4	Texas	107.4	Iowa	108.4
Florida	110.0	Iowa	107.6	Michigan	108.9
Kansas	110.0	West Virginia	108.6	Texas	110.3
Nebraska	111.2	Florida	108.7	Kansas	111.4
Utah	111.5	Kansas	109.5	Utah	113.3
West Virginia	111.6	Nebraska	112.5	Minnesota	114.5
Oklahoma	113.7	Minnesota	113.9	New Mexico	116.9
Minnesota	114.6	New Mexico	114.4	Nebraska	117.8
New Mexico	115.3	Oklahoma <sup>1</sup>	115.3	Oklahoma <sup>1</sup>	118.2
Colorado	116.9	South Dakota	116.6	South Dakota	119.7
South Dakota	118.9	Colorado	120.9	North Dakota	123.8
North Dakota	122.4	California	123.5	Arizona	135.3
California	125.5	North Dakota	125.3	Oregon	137.1
Idaho	132.5	Oregon	129.0	California	137.6
Uregon.	133.2	Idaho	136.5	Colorado	146.7
washington	136.3	Arizona	140.4	Idaho	151.5
Arizona	138.2	Washington	142.2	Washington	163.3
Montana	152.1	Nevada	153.0	Nevada	173.3
wyoming	168.8	Montana	160.3	w yoming	180.5
Nevada	179.2	wyoming	169.4	Montana	187.0

<sup>1</sup> Includes population of Indian Territory.

In 1910 there were, in addition to the District of Columbia, five states in which there was an excess of females. All of these states border on the Atlantic coast. In 1900 there were 9 and in 1890 there were 10 states besides the District of Columbia in which females outnumbered males, and all of these states were on the Atlantic seaboard. Florida and West Virginia have decidedly higher ratios of males to females than any other states east of the Mississippi River. The high ratio in West Virginia is doubtless attributable largely to the predominance of the mining industry in that state, while that of Florida may be due to extensive migration into that state from farther north. PROPORTION OF MALES TO FEMALES IN THE TOTAL POPULATION, BY STATES: 1910.



Louisiana was in 1910 the only state west of the Mississippi with less than 105 males to 100 females. The ratio was highest—179.2—in Nevada and next highest in Wyoming and Montana. In Utah the sex ratio, 111.5, was much lower than in most of the far western states.

In all but 14 states the proportion of males was higher in 1910 than in 1900 and in Texas the proportion was the same. During the decade the only states east of the Mississippi to show a decline in the ratio of males to females were Kentucky and Tennessee.

It is interesting to note the difference between states having a high ratio of males to females and states having a low ratio with respect to the changes in the ratio during the last census decade. Such a comparison is presented in Table 11, the states being divided into five groups according to the ratio of males to females in 1900.

Table 11	TOTAL FOR ALL STATES IN WHICH THE NUMBER OF MALES TO 100 FEMALES IN 1900 WAS-							
SEX AND CENSUS YEAR.	Less than 100.	100 to 104.9.	105 to 109.5.	110 to 116.6.	120 and over.			
Males in 1900 Females in 1900. Males in 1910. Females in 1910. Males to 100 females in 1900. Males to 100 females in 1910. Increase of sex ratio in 1910 over 1900 i	9,752,098 9,933,689 11,719,409 11,752,638 98.2 99.7 1.5	$\begin{array}{r} 13,255,031\\ 12,942,153\\ 15,550,726\\ 14,974,594\\ 102.4\\ 103.8\\ 1.4\end{array}$	11,339,224 10,628,979 13,162,544 12,273,864 106.7 107.2 0.5	2,240,785 1,964,180 3,110,228 2,726,038 114.1 114.1	2,229,310 1,709,126 3,789,370 2,912,855 130.4 130.1 0.3			

<sup>1</sup> A minus sign (-) denotes decrease.

This table shows that the increase in the ratio of males to females was most marked in that group of states having in 1900 the smallest proportion of males, and that the increase becomes less conspicuous in each succeeding group. For that group of states which in 1900 had 120 or more males to 100 females there was a slight decline in the sex ratio during the decade.

At the Twelfth Census attention was called in the reports to the fact that there was apparently a tendency toward greater uniformity among the states with respect to sex distribution. Comparisons between the statistics for 1900 and those for 1890 showed this tendency clearly.<sup>1</sup> This tendency toward greater uniformity, however, appeared in only very slight degree, if at all, between 1900 and 1910. One method of measuring the degree of uniformity among the states is by calculating the "standard deviation" of the sex ratio for the several states from the general ratio for the United States as a whole.<sup>2</sup>

In 1890 the standard deviation in the sex ratio of the individual states from that for the United States as a whole was 10.7; in 1900, 8; and in 1910, 8.5. In other words, there was a slightly greater deviation in

among the states and territories.—*Twelfth Census of the United States*, 1900—Supplementary Analysis, p. 90. <sup>2</sup> The standard deviation is computed by ascertaining the difference between the sex ratio for the United States and that for each state, squaring this difference, multiplying the result by the number of inhabitants of the state, adding the products for the several states, dividing the sum thus obtained by the number of inhabitants of the United States, and extracting the square root of the quotient. This somewhat complex procedure is that generally recognized by statisticians as furnishing the most accurate measure of deviation from a general average.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The states in 1900 show a range of 15.5 per cent between the highest and lowest, while in 1890 they had a range of 17.6 per cent; moreover, the mean departure of the per cents from 50 was 3.3 for 1890 and only 2.7 for 1900; both of these facts show that the changes have been in the direction of establishing a closer approach to equality in the proportion of the sexes and reducing the differences among the states and territories.— Twelfth Census of the United States, 1900—Supplementary Analysis, p. 90.

1910 than in 1900. This greater average deviation. however, is attributable to conditions in some of the states standing at the two extremes with respect to the sex ratio-more particularly to the marked growth of population in western states, where the deviation is great. In order to compare the deviation in sex ratios of the different states, and avoid, to a certain extent, the influence of the states at the extremes with respect to sex ratio, the quartile deviation was computed for 1910, 1900, and 1890. In making the computations the states were arranged in the order of magnitude of their sex ratios. In the cumulative addition of the population of the states as thus arranged there will be two states the addition of whose population completes the first and third quarters, respectively, of the total population; and one-half of the total population approximately will be comprised in the states intermediate between these two. One-half of the difference between the sex ratios of these two states is the quartile deviation. The quartile deviation thus calculated was 4.3 in 1890, 3.4 in 1900, and 3.1 in 1910.

Principal classes of the population, by divisions.— Table 12 gives, for 1910 and 1900, by geographic divisions, the number of males and of females and the ratio of males to females for the total population and also for each of the principal classes of the population.

In 1910 the ratio of males to females in each of the principal classes of the population was higher in the Mountain and Pacific divisions than elsewhere.

Among the native whites females were in excess in two divisions-the New England and the Middle Atlantic. The excess in these divisions, which was slightly greater in 1910 than it was in 1900, probably arises partly from the predominance of the urban population and the demand for female labor in the urban communities, and partly from the emigration of males to other sections of the country. It may be seen from the state of birth tables, for example, that the number of native whites born outside the division increased during the decade 1900-1910 in the Middle Atlantic division by 177,174 while the number of native whites born in this division found living in other divisions increased by 67,343. These figures indicate a considerable net immigration of native whites during the decade. The proportion of males would naturally be high among the native emigrants, and in view of the opportunity for employment of females in the urban communities the proportion of females was probably higher among the native immigrants than it was in the total native population of the division. As regards this division, therefore, both the immigration and the emigration of native whites has in recent years probably tended to lower the proportion of males in that class. The conditions are similar in New England. Generally, however, the divisions which have gained by net immigration of natives have attracted males in greater number than females, and this accounts for the high ratios shown by divisions west of the Mississippi River. The high ratio in these divisions and especially in the Mountain and Pacific divisions for both classes of native whites is largely attributable to immigration from other parts of the United States. Among the native whites of foreign or mixed parentage there was in 1910, according to the returns, an excess of females in every geographic division east of the Mississippi River. In the divisions west of the Mississippi the males outnumbered the females in this class of the population, but in each division the excess was less conspicuous than among the native whites of native parentage.

Table 12		1910			1900	
					1	
DIVISION AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
UNITED STATES						
All classes Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-born white Negro	47, 332, 277 34, 654, 457 25, 229, 218 9, 425, 239 7, 523, 788 4, 885, 881	44, 639, 989 33, 731, 955 24, 259, 357 9, 472, 598 5, 821, 757 4, 941, 882	106.0 102.7 104.0 99.5 129.2 98.9	<b>38, 816, 448</b> 28, 686, 450 20, 849, 847 7, 836, 603 5, 515, 285 4, 386, 547	<b>37, 178, 127</b> 27, 908, 929 20, 099, 515 7, 809, 414 4, 698, 532 4, 447, 447	104.4 102.8 103.7 100.3 117.4 98.6
NEW ENGLAND.						
All classes. Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-born white Negro.	3,266,114 2,299,269 1,293,890 1,005,379 928,337 32,783	3,287,567 2,366,859 1,319,529 1,047,330 886,049 33,523	99.3 97.1 98.1 96.0 104.8 97.8	2,763,796 2,020,861 1,243,718 777,143 709,260 28,579	2,828,221 2,069,293 1,267,392 801,901 727,612 30,520	97.7 97.7 98.1 96.9 97.5 93.6
All classes. Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-born white. Negro.	9, <b>813</b> , <b>266</b> 6, 954, 755 4, 208, 191 2, 746, 564 2, 641, 593 203, 466	<b>9,502</b> ,626 7,099,518 4,254,770 2,844,748 2,184,586 214,404	103.3 98.0 98.9 96.5 120.9 94.9	7,761,081 5,858,471 3,687,384 2,171,087 1,728,492 159,711	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{7, 693, 697} \\ \textbf{5, 950, 275} \\ \textbf{3, 719, 195} \\ \textbf{2, 231, 080} \\ \textbf{1, 573, 624} \\ \textbf{166, 210} \end{array}$	100. 9 98. 5 99. 1 97. 3 109. 8 96. 1
EAST NORTH CENTRAL. All classes. Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-born white Negro.	9,392,839 7,482,146 4,945,547 2,536,599 1,741,015 156,431	8, 867, 782 7, 378, 256 4, 806, 421 2, 571, 835 1, 326, 205 144, 405	106.0 101.4 102.9 98.6 131.3 108.3	8, 177, 308 6, 612, 063 4, 311, 913 2, 300, 150 1, 420, 384 134, 445	<b>7,808,273</b> 6,477,693 4,176,103 2,301,590 1,199,913 123,397	104.7 102.1 103.3 99.9 118.4 109.0
WEST NORTH CENTRAL. All classes Native white Foreign or mixed par. Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-born white Negro	8,092,866 4,999,117 3,365,357 1,633,760 944,767 125,864	5,545,068 4,739,273 3,158,330 1,580,943 668,464 116,798	109.9 105.5 106.6 103.3 141.3 107.8	$\begin{array}{c} 5,412,014\\ 4,401,385\\ 2,933,804\\ 1,467,581\\ 866,985\\ 121,272 \end{array}$	4,935,409 4,133,327 2,727,099 1,406,228 664,120 116,637	109.7 106.5 107.6 104.4 130.5 104.0
SOUTH ATLANTIC. All classes. Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-born white Negro.	6, 134, 605 3, 925, 706 3, 708, 417 217, 289 172, 872 2, 029, 808	6,060,290 3,855,342 3,632,788 222,554 117,683 2,082,680	101.2 101.8 102.1 97.6 146.9 97.5	<b>6</b> ,222, <b>5</b> 95 3,266,609 3,073,951 192,658 115,360 1,835,525	<b>5, 220, 885</b> 3, 230, 566 3, 033, 363 197, 203 93, 523 1, 893, 492	100.0 101.1 101.3 97.7 123.3 96.9
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL. All classes Native white Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-born white Negro	4, 245, 169 2, 877, 060 2, 772, 592 104, 468 50, 542 1, 315, 792	4, 184, 732 2, 790, 409 2, 679, 900 110, 509 36, 315 1, 336, 721	101.9 103.1 103.5 94.5 139.2 98.4	<b>3,809,668</b> 2,514,132 2,400,720 113,412 50,706 1,243,082	3, 738, 091 2, 441, 033 2, 325, 054 115, 979 38, 976 1, 256, 804	101.9 103.0 103.3 97.8 130.1 98.9
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL. All classes Native white Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-born white Negro	<b>4, 644, 605</b> 3, 307, 345 2, 997, 129 310, 216 202, 742 994, 025	4, 240, 029 3, 065, 387 2, 770, 320 295, 067 146, 017 990, 401	107.2 107.9 108.2 105.1 138.8 100.4	3, 372, 268 2, 339, 975 2, 095, 999 243, 976 151, 333 846, 797	<b>3, 160, 034</b> 2, 167, 080 1, 932, 945 234, 135 112, <b>6</b> 77 847, 269	108.7 108.0 108.4 104.2 134.3 99.9
MOUNTAIN. All classes. Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed par. Foreign-horn white Negro.	1,478,018 1,126,126 799,330 326,796 286,022 11,766	1, 165, 499 957, 419 667, 294 290, 125 150, 888 9, 701	<b>127.9</b> 117.6 119.8 112.6 189.6 121.3	940, 038 704, 452 471, 222 233, 230 179, 990 9, 104	734, 619 587, 042 383, 879 203, 163 108, 371 6, 486	128.0 120.0 122.8 114.8 166.1 140.4
All classes Native white Native parentage Foreign-born white Negro	2,385,906 1,682,933 1,138,765 544,168 555,898 15,946	1,826,398 1,479,492 970,005 509,487 305,550 13,249	129.6 113.8 117.4 106.8 181.9 120.4	1,367,694 968,502 631,136 337,366 292,775 8,032	1,058,998 852,620 534,485 318,135 179,716 6,632	128. 2 113. 6 118. 1 106. 0 162. 9 121. 1

In the foreign-born white population the males in 1910 outnumbered the females in every geographic division. In New England, however, the ratio was only 104.8 males to 100 females, while in the Middle Atlantic division, where the ratio was the next higher, it was 120.9. In the Mountain division there were no less than 189.6 males to 100 females among the foreignborn whites.

There was an excess of females over males in the negro population of the South Atlantic and East South Central divisions. These are the two divisions in which the negro population is largest, both absolutely and relatively. The ratio of males to females in these divisions, which is slightly below the average for the country as a whole, has doubtless been lowered somewhat by migration of males to other divisions, particularly to the West South Central, where there was in 1910 a slight excess of males over females among negroes. In the New England and Middle Atlantic divisions in 1910 the ratio for negroes was below the average for the country as a whole, probably due to the fact that the opportunities for employment in domestic service have attracted negro women from the Southern states.

Table 12 shows further that notwithstanding the fact that in the United States as a whole the ratio of males to females in the native white population of native parentage was slightly higher in 1910 than in 1900 the opposite was the case in most of the geographic divisions, the only exceptions being the South Atlantic and East South Central divisions, where the ratio increased slightly, and the New England division, where it remained unchanged. This condition is explained by the rapid increase in the total population of the more westerly divisions, whose high ratios had in consequence a greater effect upon the average for the country as a whole in 1910, than they had in 1900.

Among the native whites of foreign or mixed parentage, according to the returns, the ratio of males to females was lower in 1910 than in 1900, both in the United States as a whole and in every geographic division except the West South Central and the Pacific. Among the foreign-born whites, on the other hand, the sex ratio was in every division appreciably higher in 1910 than in 1900, and in some of the divisions the change was very considerable. In most of the divisions there was comparatively little change during the decade in the sex ratio of the negro population.

Principal classes of the population, by states.—The detailed statistics regarding the sex distribution of the population of each state are contained in Tables 14, 15, 21, 22, and 23. Tables 14 and 15 constitute a summary—mainly for 1910 only—for the total population and for the white population separately; Table 21 (pp. 264 to 271) gives details for each of the principal classes of the population from 1880 to 1910; Table 22 (p. 272) presents statistics for the native whites of foreign parentage and the native whites of

mixed parentage separately; and Table 23 (p. 273) gives statistics regarding the Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and "all other" races separately.

A convenient summary of the 1910 data contained in these various state tables is given in Table 13, which shows the ratio of males to females in each class of the population except the numerically small classes of Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and "all other."

Table 13		1	: 1910					
			NATI	VE WHIT	е.			
DIVISION AND STATE.	Totəl white.	Total.	Native parent- age.	Forei p Total.	gn or m arentag For- eign.	ixed co. Mixod.	For- eign- born white.	Ne- gro.
United States	108.8	102.7	104.0	99.6	100.0	98, 5	129.2	98.9
GEOGRAPHIC DI- VISIONS: New England Middle Atlantic East North Central. South Atlantic. East South Central. West South Central. Mountain. Pacific.	99.2 103.4 106.0 109.9 103.2 103.6 109.3 127.4 125.4	97.1 98.0 101.4 105.5 101.8 103.1 107.9 117.6 113.8	98.1 98.9 102.9 106.6 102.1 103.5 108.2 119.8 117.4	96.0 96.5 98.6 103.3 97.6 94.5 105.1 112.6 106.8	96.3 97.1 99.1 104.3 98.1 93.8 105.8 115.2 108.4	95.2 94.9 97.7 101.6 96.9 95.6 104.2 109.0 104.2	104.8 120.9 131.3 141.3 146.9 139.2 138.8 189.6 181.9	97.8 94.9 108.3 107.8 97.5 93.4 100.4 121.3 120.4
NEW ENGLAND: Maine. New Hampshire. Vermont. Massachusetts. Rhode Island. Connecticut	103.2 100.9 104.9 96.6 99.2 102.3	101.8 98.3 101.6 95.3 97.1 96.8	101.9 99.2 102.7 95.2 99.6 97.6	101.7 96.4 98.4 95.3 95.2 95.9	101.8 96.3 100.2 95.9 95.6 96.1	101. 6 96. 6 96. 5 94. 0 94. 1 95. 1	111.1110.4127.399.5103.6116.7	105.6 104.3 261.8 97.1 95.1 91.0
MIDDLE ATLANTIC: New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	$101.3 \\ 103.1 \\ 106.1$	97.5 98.0 98.4	93.9 98.9 98.9	95.9 96.9 97.5	96.4 97.4 98.3	94.5 95.4 95.4	110.5 118.2 145.5	91.3 94.5 97.7
EAST NORTH CENT.: Ohio Indiana Illinois Michigan Wisconsin	104.3 104.9 106.6 107.2 107.4	$100.1 \\ 102.3 \\ 101.5 \\ 102.4 \\ 101.6$	101.5 102.8 103.9 104.2 103.2	96.1 99.3 98.1 100.1 100.4	96.2 100.4 98.5 100.6 101.3	95.8 97.7 97.4 99.1 98.6	137.8 156.4 127.3 127.4 130.8	108.6 106.0 109.1 111.1 103.7
WEST NORTH CENT.: Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota Nebraska Kansas	114.5 106.5 105.1 122.6 119.5 111.0 110.1	105.7 103.0 103.0 114.9 114.5 107.1 107.1	110.2 103.8 104.2 121.6 118.2 108.5 107.4	102.9 101.4 97.7 110.8 110.5 104.7 106.1	103.8 102.7 97.9 111.5 111.6 105.2 107.5	100. 9 99. 2 97. 5 109. 1 108. 5 103. 8 104. 2	$144.0 \\ 135.7 \\ 135.9 \\ 145.8 \\ 145.8 \\ 136.7 \\ 149.9$	144.2 118.5 104.6 161.4 134.1 124.2 107.3
South ATLANIC: Delaware Maryland Dist. of Columbia Virginia. West Virginia North Carolina Georgia Florida.	104.4 99.2 94.9 102.8 110.5 101.2 102.4 102.4 102.4	101.6 98.1 93.1 101.8 106.1 101.0 102.0 101.9 107.0	102.5 99.0 93.4 101.6 106.3 101.0 102.0 101.9 107.6	97.7 94.8 91.9 107.9 101.6 98.5 97.1 101.3 101.4	98.0 94.9 93.4 110.6 102.2 100.4 95.2 103.6 102.7	96.9 94.7 89.7 104.4 100.5 97.0 99.2 98.9 99.7	132.4 108.9 113.0 169.0 261.8 170.5 159.3 171.4 157.6	105.5 97.7 82.2 97.1 132.8 94.8 95.4 97.2 109.5
EAST SOUTH CENT.: Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	103.2 103.3 103.8 104.7	103.0 102.9 103.1 104.1	103.8 103.0 103.2 104.2	91.4 97.9 99.5 100.3	90.3 98.5 100.4 100.8	93. 2 97. 2 98. 5 99. 9	$117.2 \\ 152.4 \\ 165.9 \\ 173.7$	101.0 97.6 97.2 99.2
WEST SOUTH CENT.: Arkansas. Louisiana. Oklahoma. Texas.	107.7 104.3 114.7 109.0	107.0 102.8 113.3 107.3	106.8 104.2 113.1 107.5	112.8 93.5 116.2 105.5	118.8 94.2 118.7 105.8	107.0 92.3 113.4 105.0	168.3 133.9 178.1 132.6	101.7 98.3 109.5 100.0
MOUNTAIN: Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	152.2 131.3 165.6 116.4 115.8 142.9 110.1 181.5	132.1 121.9 147.1 109.7 111.5 129.3 106.2 153.4	139.6 123.0 151.8 112.0 111.1 135.0 108.2 161.3	121.5 118.9 136.0 103.8 115.0 119.0 103.7 141.0	124. 4 123. 4 139. 3 105. 4 116. 1 122. 3 105. 8 147. 2	116.5 114.0 130.9 101.1 113.6 113.7 101.1 132.6	238.4 227.5 287.2 160.1 189.6 188.4 131.0 331.4	$130.3 \\ 157.3 \\ 223.4 \\ 105.0 \\ 120.9 \\ 110.4 \\ 152.5 \\ 105.2 \\$
PACIFIC: Washington Oregon California	134.2 130.1 120.1	120.8 119.5 108.7	$124.2 \\ 121.6 \\ 112.4$	113.9 113.2 102.5	116.4 116.5 103.6	110.0 108.7 100.7	199.7 209.9 169.6	160, 9 155, 0 109, 3

Among the native whites of native parentage, the most important class numerically, the only states (aside from the District of Columbia) to show an excess of females over males in 1910 were Massachusetts, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Hampshire, and Rhode Island; the states are named in the order of increasing ratio of males to females, the ratio, however, being the same (98.9) in the three adjoining states of New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania. The ratio was lowest in Massachusetts, 95.2. These eight states, without exception, are along the Atlantic seaboard.

Among the native whites of foreign and mixed parentage combined, there was an excess of females in a majority of the states east of the Mississippi. In general the ratio of males to females is smaller in this class than it is among the native whites of native parentage; the only states in which this is not the case being Massachusetts, Virginia, Arkansas, Oklahoma, and New Mexico.

The native whites of mixed parentage generally show the lowest ratio of males to females of any of the classes of white population distinguished in the table. This class in 1910 showed an excess of females in nearly every state east of the Mississippi and in three states west of that river. As elsewhere stated, the very low sex ratio for this class of the population may be attributable in part to error in the returns of persons with respect to parentage.

For the foreign-born whites, Massachusetts was in 1910 the only state with an excess of females, and practically in every state the ratio of males to females was higher for this class than for any other class shown in the table—the only exception being that in four states in the North and West the ratio for the

82594°—13——17

negroes was higher. The exceptional opportunities for the employment of women in the factories of Massachusetts doubtless account for the excess of females among the foreign-born whites in that state. In the foreign-born white population of six states the males outnumbered the females more than two to one, in Nevada the figure reaching the high ratio of 331.4 males to 100 females. In the neighboring state of Utah, however, there were only 131 males to 100 females in this class.

If attention be confined to the white population, it will be seen that with very few exceptions the ratio of males to females was in all states higher for the foreign-born whites than for any other class of the population, and was next highest for the native whites of native parentage, followed by the native whites of foreign parentage, and was lowest of all for the native whites of mixed parentage.

In the negro population the ratio of males to females was in 1910 much lower in the District of Columbia (82.2 to 100) than in any of the states, the next lowest ratios being in the Northern states of Connecticut and New York. Among the Southern states with a negro population of more than 100,000, the ratio was lowest in North Carolina (94.8) and highest in Florida and Oklahoma (109.5). In West Virginia—which has, however, comparatively few negroes—the ratio of males to females was high (132.8 to 100), largely because of the employment of negro men in the mines. In some of the Northern and Western states the ratio of males to females among negroes was very high.

#### MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY STATES: 1910.

[For geographic divisions, see Table 12.]

Table 14	TOTAL POPULATION.           1910         1900							WHITE.		NEGRO: 1910			INDIAN, CHINESE, JAPANESE, AND ALL		
STATE		1910		ales Males to 100			1910			1910			OTHER: 1910		
SIALE.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Fe- male.	Males to 100 fe- males.
United States	47, 332, 277	44, 639, 989	106.0	38, 816, 448	37, 178, 127	104.4	42, 178, 245	39, 553, 712	106.6	4, 885, 881	4, 941, 882	98.9	268, 161	144,395	185.7
NEW ENGLAND:															
Maine	377, 052	365,319	103.2	350, 995	343, 471	102.2	375, 766	364, 229	103.2	700	663	105.6	586	427	137.2
New Hampshire	216, 290	214, 282	100.9	205, 379	206, 209	99.6	215, 918	213, 988	100.9	288	276	104.3	84	18	(1)
Vermont	182, 568	173, 388	105.3	175, 138	168, 503	103.9	181,372	172,926	104.9	1,173	448	261.8	23	14	(1)
Massachusetts	1,655,248	1,711,168	96.7	1,367,474	1,437,872	95.1	1,633,487	1,691,439	96.6	18,748	19,307	97.1	3,013	422	714.0
Connecticut	563,642	551, 114	102.3	454 294	454 126	90.0	555, 821	207,230 543.076	99.2 102.3	7, 229	7,945	95.1	592	93	(1)
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:	000,012	001,111	10110	101,201	101,120	100.0	000,021	010,010	10210	.,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		001		
New York	4, 584, 597	4,529,017	101.2	3,614,780	3,654,114	98.9	4, 511, 327	4, 455, 518	101.3	64,034	70, 157	91.3	9, 236	3,342	276.4
New Jersey	1,286,463	1,250,704	102.9	941,760	941,909	100.0	1,241,482	1, 204, 412	103.1	43,602	46, 158	94.5	1,379	134	1,029.1
Pennsylvania	3, 942, 206	3, 722, 905	105.9	3,204,541	3,097,574	103.5	3, 843, 539	3,624,174	106.1	95, 830	98,089	97.7	2,837	642	441.9
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:	0 494 759	0 000 000	104.4	0 100 075	0.054.000	100.0	0.070.000	0.070.01	104.0	57 005	F0 457	100 0	001		
Uulo Indiana	2,434,758	2,332,303	104.4	2, 102, 655	2,054,890	102.3	2,376,082	2,278,815	104.3	31 044	53,457 20,276	108.0	450	138	(1) 337 6
Illinois	2,911.674	2, 726, 917	105.0	2,472,782	2,348,768	104.4	2, 852, 386	2,674,576	104.5	56,909	52,140	109.1	2.379	201	1.183.6
Michigan	1, 454, 534	1,355,639	107.3	1,248,905	1, 172, 077	106.6	1, 441, 281	1,343,966	107.2	9,007	8,108	111.1	4,246	3, 565	119.1
Wisconsin	1,208,578	1, 125, 282	107.4	1,067,562	1,001,480	106.6	1, 201, 620	1, 118, 935	107.4	1,476	1, 424	103.7	5,482	4,923	111.4
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:															
Minnesota	1, 108, 511	967, 197	114.6	932, 490	818,904	113.9	1,099,425	959,802	114.5	4, 183	2,901	144.2	4,903	4,494	109.1
10Wa.	1, 148, 171	1,076,600	106.6	1, 156, 849	1,075,004	107.6	1, 139, 621	1,069,570	106.5	8,120	6,853	118.5	- 430	177	242.0
North Dakata	317 554	250 502	105.1	1, 595, 710	1, 510, 955	105.0	212 851	256 004	105.1	30, 489	70,903	104.0	3 322	3 262	101 8
South Dakota	317, 112	266, 776	118.9	216, 164	185, 406	116.6	306,952	256,819	119.5	468	349	134.1	9,692	9,608	100.9
Nebraska	627,782	564, 432	111.2	564, 592	501,708	112.5	621,042	559,251	111.0	4, 259	3,430	124.2	2,481	1,751	141.7
Kansas	885, 912	805,037	110.0	768, 716	701,779	109.5	856, 437	777, 915	110.1	27,964	26,066	107.3	1, 311	1,058	143.1
SOUTH ATLANTIC:															
Delaware	103, 435	98,887	104.6	94, 158	90, 577	104.0	87,387	83, 715	104.4	16,011	15,170	105.5	37	2	(1)
Maryland	644,225	651, 121	98.9	589,275	598,769	98.4	529,072	533, 567	99.2	114,749	117,501	97.7	404	53	(1)
Virginia	1.035.348	1 026 264	100 0	025 807	140,714	90.0	704 363	685 446	102.8	330. 542	340 554	97.1	443	284	167.8
West Virginia	644,044	577,075	111.6	499,242	459, 558	108.6	607,326	549,491	110.5	36,607	27,566	132.8	111	18	(1)
North Carolina	1,098,476	1, 107, 811	99.2	938,677	955, 133	98.3	754,852	745, 659	101.2	339, 581	358,262	94.8	4,043	3,890	103.9
South Carolina	751,842	763, 558	98.5	664, 895	675, 421	98.4	343, 544	335,617	102.4	408,078	427, 765	95.4	220	176	125.0
Georgia	1,305,019	1, 304, 102	100.1	1, 103, 201	1, 113, 130	99.1	724, 488	707,314	102.4	580, 263	596, 724	97.2	268	64	(1)
Florida	394, 166	358,453	110.0	275, 246	253, 296	108.7	232, 545	211,089	110.2	161,362	147,307	109.5	259	57	(1)
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:	1 161 700	1 199 106	102.0	1 000 997	1 056 047	102.1	1 020 022	007 019	102.2	121 402	130 164	101.0	10.4	114	181.4
Tennessee	1, 101, 709	1, 123, 190	103.0	1,090,227	1,050,947	103.1	869 622	841,810	103.2	233, 710	239, 378	97.6	159	110	144.5
Alabama	1,074,209	1,063,884	101.0	916.764	911, 933	100.5	625,891	602,941	103.8	447,794	460, 488	97.2	524	455	115.2
Mississippi	905, 760	891, 354	101.6	781, 451	769, 819	101.5	402,056	384,055	104.7	502, 796	506,691	99.2	908	608	149.3
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:															
Arkansas	810,026	764, 423	106.0	675,312	636, 252	106.1	586,420	544,606	107.7	223, 323	219, 568	101.7	283	249	113.7
Louisiana	835, 275	821,113	101.7	694,733	686,892	101.1	480,460	460,626	104.3	353, 824	360,050	98.3	991	437	226.8
Таход	881, 578 2 017 626	1 978 016	107 4	423,311	367,080	115.3	771,770	072,701	114.7	244 041	345 108	109.5	37,871	37,141	314 4
MOUNTAIN:	2,011,020	1,878,910	107.4	1, 578, 900	1,409,810	107.4	1,071,407	1,000,111	105.0	011, 011	010,100	100.0	1,210	001	011.1
Montana	226,872	149, 181	152.1	149,842	93,487	160.3	217,620	142,960	152.2	1,058	776	136.3	8,194	5,445	150.5
Idabo	185, 546	140,048	132.5	93, 367	68, 405	136.5	181, 237	137, 984	131.3	398	253	157.3	3,911	1,811	216.0
Wyoming	91,670	54, 295	168.8	58, 184	34, 347	169.4	87,497	52, 821	165.6	1, 544	691	223.4	2, 629	783	335.8
Colorado	430,697	368, 327	116.9	295, 332	244, 368	120.9	421, 471	361,944	116.4	5,887	5,586	105.0	3,359	797	421.5
New Mexico	175,245	152,056	115.3	104, 228	91,082	114.4	163,442	141, 152	115.8	891	737	120.9	10,912	10,167	107.3
Utah	118,574	85,780	138.2	71,795	51,136	140.4	100,871	70,597	142.9	1,054	955	110.4	10,649	14,228	258 0
Nevada	52, 551	29.324	179.2	25 602	16 722	104.9	47 802	· 26 384	181.5	263	±03 250	102.0	4.396	2,690	163.4
PACIFIC:	52,001	20,021	110.2	20,000	10, 732	100.0	11,002	20,001	101.0	200	200			_,000	
Washington	658,663	483, 327	138.3	304, 178	213, 925	142.2	635, 498	473, 615	134.2	3,736	2,322	160.9	19, 431	7,390	262.9
Oregon	384, 265	288, 500	133.2	232, 985	180, 551	129.0	370, 345	284,745	130.1	907	585	155.0	13,013	3, 170	410.5
California	1, 322, 978	1, 054, 571	125.5	820, 531	664, 522	123.5	1, 232, 990	1,026,682	120.1	11, 303	10,342	109.3	78,685	17,547	448.4

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

<sup>2</sup> Includes population of Indian Territory for 1900.

## WHITE MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY STATES: 1910.

[For geographic divisions, see Table 12.]

Table 15		NATIVE WHITE: 1910 Total. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed p									FOR	EIGN-BO	RN WHITE	•	
STATE.		Male.         Female.         Males to 100 fe-males.           14,654,457         33,731,955         102.7			7e parentag	e.	Foreign or	mixed par	rentage.		1910			1900	
	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
United States	34, 654, 457	33, 731, 955	102.7	26, 229, 218	24, 259, 357	104.0	9, 425, 239	9, 472, 598	<b>9</b> 9. 6	7, 523, 788	5, 821, 757	129.2	6, 515, 285	4, 698, 532	17.4
NEW ENGLAND:															
Maine	317, 798	3 <b>12</b> , 064	101.8	249, 738	245, 169	101.9	68,060	66,895	101.7	57,968	52, 165	111.1	47,976	44, 959	106.7
New Hampshire	165, 250	168,098	98.3	114,628	115,603	99.2	50,622	52,495	96.4	50,668	45,890	110.4	44,387	43, 574	101.9
Vermont	153,450	150,987	101.6	116, 227	113, 155	102.7	37,223	37,832	98.4	27,922	21,939	127.3	24, 508	20, 186	121.4
Rhode Island	1, 109, 309	179 808	95.5	79 735	80.086	95.2	04 024	00 799	95.3	00 583	520,922	99.5	404,001	430, 113	92.6
Connecticut	378,753	391,385	96.8	195,468	200, 181	97.6	183.285	191,204	95.9	177.068	151.691	116.7	122,817	114, 579	107 2
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:											101,001			11,010	10112
New York	3,078,904	3, 158, 669	97.5	1,606,624	1, 623, 701	98.9	1, 472, 280	1, 534, 968	95.9	1, 432, 423	1, 296, 849	110.5	953, 785	935, 738	101.9
New Jersey	884,946	902, 760	98.0	502, 171	507,738	98.9	382, 775	395, 022	96.9	356, 536	301, 652	118.2	223, 116	206, 934	107.8
Pennsylvania	2, 990, 905	3,038,089	98.4	2,099,396	2, 123, 331	98.9	891, 509	914, 758	97.5	852,634	586,085	145.5	551, 591	430, 952	128.0
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:	0.000.011														
Umo	2,029,941	2,027,711	100.1	1,527,978	1,505,281	101.5	501,963	522, 430	96.1	346, 141	251,104	137.8	246,664	211, 236	116.8
Illinois	1,204,009	1, 220, 030	102.3	1,079,947	1,000,141	102.8	174,002	175,889	99.3	97, 183	62, 139	156.4	78,487	63, 374	123.8
Michigan.	1, 107, 624	1.082.099	102.4	625,032	599, 809	103. 5	482, 592	482, 290	100 1	333 657	261 867	127.3	205 102	245 004	115.8
Wisconsin	911, 181	896,805	101.6	387,668	375, 557	103.2	523, 513	521,248	100.4	290, 439	222, 130	130.8	282, 393	233.312	121.0
WESTNORTHCENTRAL:								í í					,		
Minnesota	778,944	737, 273	105.7	301, 552	273, 529	110.2	477, 392	463, 744	102.9	320, 481	222, 529	144.0	287, 473	217, 462	132.2
Iowa	982, 192	953, 515	103.0	663,909	639, 617	103.8	318, 283	313,898	101.4	157, 429	116,055	135.7	170, 883	134, 899	126.7
Missouri	1, 474, 700	1, 431, 336	103.0	1, 218, 566	1, 169, 269	104.2	256, 134	262,067	97.7	131, 856	97,040	135.9	119,565	96, 210	124.3
North Dakota	221, 221	192, 476	114.9	89, 162	73, 299	121.6	132,059	119, 177	110.8	92,630	63, 528	145.8	66,145	46,445	142.4
Nebracka	510 /61	215,887	114.5	133,071	207 021	118.2	114, 185	103,306	110.5	59,096	40,932	145.8	50,967	37,362	136.4
Kansas.	775, 343	723, 819	107.1	624, 953	582, 104	107.4	150 390	141 715	104.7	81 094	74, 204 54 006	140.0	99,712 79 <b>2</b> 40	77,400 54 337	128.8
SOUTH ATLANTIC:			10111	011,000	00_, 101	10111	100,000	111, 110	100.1	01,001	01,000	110.0	12,210	01,001	102.0
Delaware	77,463	76, 219	101.6	64, 680	63, 129	102.5	12,783	13, 090	97.7	9,924	7,496	132.4	7,530	6, 199	121.6
Maryland	474, 755	483,710	98.1	381, 395	385, 232	99.0	93, 360	98, 478	94.8	54,317	49,857	108.9	47,005	46, 139	101.9
Dist. of Columbia.	102, 084	109,693	93.1	80, 507	86,204	93.4	21, 577	23, 489	91.9	12,917	11, 434	113.0	10, 213	9,307	109.7
Virginia	687,635	675, 546	101.8	667,946	657,292	101.6	19,689	18, 254	107.9	16,728	9,900	169.0	12, 034	7,034	171.1
West Virginia	566,027	533, 718	106.1	536, 985	505, 122	106.3	29,042	28,596	101.6	41,299	15,773	261.8	14, 164	8,216	172.4
South Carolina	220 825	745,402	101.0	140, 110	207 622	101.0	4,392	4,459	98.5	3,745	2, 197	170.5	2,712	1,682	161.2
Georgia	714,970	701, 760	101.9	702.049	689,009	102.0	12,921	12,751	101 3	9 518	2,000	171 4	7 283	4 738	142.8
Florida	211, 840	197,952	107.0	193,802	180, 165	107.6	18,038	17,787	101.4	20,705	13, 137	157.6	11,260	7,997	140.8
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:			•												
Kentucky	1,008,422	979, 476	103.0	948,864	914, 330	103.8	59, 558	65, 146	91.4	21,611	18, 442	117.2	26, 440	23, 693	111.6
Tennessee	858,475	834, 498	102.9	839, 497	815, 109	103.0	18, 978	19,389	97.9	11, 147	7,312	152.4	10, 291	7,295	141.1
Alabama	614,065	595,811	103.1	597,894	579, 565	103.2	16, 171	16, 246	99.5	11,826	7,130	165.9	8,949	5,389	166.1
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL	396,098	380, 624	104.1	386, 337	370,896	104.2	9,761	9,728	100.3	5,958	3,431	173.7	5,026	2, 599	193.4
Arkansas.	575, 813	538 304	107.0	556, 409	521, 100	106.8	19,404	17, 204	112 8	10 607	6 302	168 2	8 011	5 975	168 0
Louisiana	450, 817	438, 487	102.8	396, 356	380, 231	104.2	54,461	58, 256	93.5	29.643	22, 139	133.9	28, 834	23, 019	125.3
Oklahoma <sup>1</sup>	746, 100	658,347	113.3	695, 556	614,847	113.1	50, 544	43, 500	116.2	25,670	14, 414	178.1	12,678	7,712	164.4
Texas	1, 534, 615	1, 430, 249	107.3	1, 348, 808	1,254,142	107.5	185, 807	176, 107	105.5	136,822	103, 162	132.6	100,910	76, 671	131.6
MOUNTAIN:															
Montana	153,060	115,876	132.1	94, 467	67,660	139.6	58, 593	48, 216	121.5	64, 560	27,084	238.4	43,209	19, 164	225.5
Idaho	153, 155	125, 639	121.9	112, 310	91, 289	123.0	40,845	34, 350	118.9	28,082	12, 345	227.6	14, 625	7,365	197.2
Colorado	343 207	45,818	147.1	48,652	32,044 924 147	112.0	18,730	13,774	136.0	20,115	7,003	287.2	11,586	4,996	231.9
New Mexico.	148.610	133, 330	111.5	134, 528	121, 081	112.0	14. 082	12, 240	115 0	14 832	7 822	180.1	8 970	30, 053 4 001	165 7
Arizona	70, 285	54.359	129.3	47.370	35,098	135.0	22,915	19, 261	119.0	30, 586	16, 238	188.4	14. 189	8,206	172.9
Utah	166, 172	147,018	106.2	89,205	82, 458	108.2	66,967	64, 560	103.7	35,946	27, 447	131.0	26,728	26,076	102.5
Nevada	34,065	22, 212	153.4	21, 809	13, 517	161.3	12, 256	8,695	141.0	13, 827	4, 172	331.4	6,061	2, 520	240.5
PACIFIC:															
Washington	474, 775	393, 139	120.8	324, 335	261,051	124.2	150, 440	132, 088	113.9	160, 721	80, 476	199.7	67,078	35, 047	191.4
Oregon	300, 585	251, 504	119,5	228,772	188,079	121.6	71, 813	63, 425	113.2	69, 760	33, 241	209.9	33, 885	19,976	169.6
Camornia	907, 573	834, 849	108.7	585,658	520,875	112.4	321, 915	313,974	102.5	325, 417	191,833	169.6	191, 812	124, 693	153.8

<sup>1</sup> Includes population of Indian Territory for 1900.

#### URBAN AND RURAL POPULATION.

United States as a whole.—Table 16 shows the ratio of males to females, by classes of the population, in urban<sup>1</sup> and rural communities, respectively, for 1910, 1900, and 1890.

Table 16		MAI	les to 10	0 FEMAI	LES.	
CLASS OF POPULATION.		10	19	00	189	01
	Urban.	Rural.	Urban.	Rural.	Urban.	Rural.
Total population White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign parentage. Mixed parentage.	101. 7 102. 2 90. 8 571. 6 97. 3 99. 3 94. 6 95. 1 93. 3	109.9 111.1 102.1 140.2 107.2 106.7 109.5 111.1 106.8	98.7 99.1 87.8 1,031.9 97.0 98.4 95.1 ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>2</sup> )	108.5 109.5 102.1 138.3 106.6 106.1 109.1 ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>2</sup> )	100.0 100.4 ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>2</sup> ) 98.1 99.7 95.9 ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>2</sup> )	107.9 108.6 ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>3</sup> ) 105.5 104.9 108.2 ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>2</sup> ) ( <sup>2</sup> )
Foreign-born white	118.9	161.1	106.3	143.8	106.9	141.1

<sup>1</sup> Includes population of Indian Territory and Indian reservations. <sup>2</sup> Figures not available.

For each of the three census years the ratio of males to females in the total population was decidedly higher in rural than in urban communities, and the same was true of each of the separate classes of population (except the group "Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other") so far as figures are available. In urban communities the females outnumbered the males in 1900, and in 1890 the numbers of the two sexes were substantially the same, but in 1910 there was an appreciable excess of males—101.7 males to 100 females. In the rural communities there were, in 1890, 107.9 males to 100 females; in 1900, 108.5 to 100; and in 1910, 109.9 to 100, the ratio gradually increasing.

This difference between urban and rural communities exists despite the fact that in the urban communities there are relatively much larger numbers of foreign born than in rural communities and that the males greatly outnumber the females among the foreign born. The fact that the ratio of males to females is much higher in rural than in urban communities is doubtless attributable primarily to the differences in the occupations of the population in the two classes of communities. In the urban communities there are many opportunities for the employment of women, in the rural comparatively few.

It will be seen further that in each of the classes of the population except among the negroes the ratio of males to females in rural communities advanced from census to census. In the urban communities from 1890 to 1900 the ratio declined for the total population, and also for each class of the white population, while from 1900 to 1910 it increased for the total population, for the native whites of native parentage, and markedly for the foreign-born whites, although there was a slight decline in the ratio for native whites of foreign or mixed parentage. In the rural districts at all three censuses the ratio of males to females was lower for the native whites of native parentage than for those of foreign or mixed parentage. In urban communities, on the other hand, the opposite was the case. This condition doubtless reflects differences in the tendencies of the two classes with respect to migration from country to city, although it may be attributable in part to errors in the returns of persons with respect to parentage, perhaps more likely to occur in urban than in rural communities.

Table 17 gives the sex ratio in 1910 for the population classified by color or race, nativity, and parentage in rural communities and in different classes of cities. The corresponding absolute numbers appear in Table 24 (pp. 274 and 275), which shows, by geographic divisions, the number of males and of females and the sex ratio in the total population and in each class of the population of urban and rural communities, respectively, for 1910 and 1900, and also shows, for 1910 only, corresponding figures for groups of cities of different size.

Table 17		MALES	то 100 в	EMALES	: 1910	
CLASS OF POPULATION.	7	Plac	es havir	ig a popi	1lation o	f—
	dis- tricts.	2,500 to 10,000.	10,000 to 25,000.	25,000 to 100,000.	100,000 to 500,000.	500,000 and over.
Total population White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	109.9 111.1 102.1 140.2	102.0 102.7 90.9 386.0	102.0 102.7 90.3 373.4	<b>101.4</b> 102.0 90.7 680.5	<b>103.1</b> 103.3 90.4 654.1	100.7 100.8 91.8 1,146.9
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign parentage Mixed parentage	107.2 106.7 109.5 111.1 106.8	97.7 08.5 95.4 96.3 93.6	97.1 98.7 93.9 94.7 92.3	97.5 99.6 94.1 94.7 92.5	98.0 101.6 93.7 93.9 93.1	96.4 98.1 95.3 95.7 94.1
Foreign-born white	161.1	136.3	13 <b>0</b> . 5	120.1	122.2	109.6

For the total population the highest ratio of males to females in 1910 was found in the cities of 100,000 to 500,000 population (103.1 to 100), and the lowest ratio in cities of 500,000 and over (100.7 to 100). The difference in these ratios is in part accounted for by the fact that the class of cities having 100,000 to 500,000 inhabitants includes several Pacific Coast cities with very high ratios, while all of the cities of 500,000 or over are located in the eastern half of the country, in which the proportion of males in the total population is comparatively low. In the country as a whole the ratio does not regularly increase or decrease with the size of the cities, and the same is true, in fact, of most of the individual geographic divisions, as will be seen by examination of Table 24. The variations from one class of cities to another with respect to the sex ratio in the total population are due partly to the geographic distribution of the cities, partly to differences in the color or race, nativity, and parentage composition of their population, and partly to differences in the industries carried on in the individual cities making up the several urban classes.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Urban communities include all incorporated places and New England towns of 2,500 inhabitants or more.

It may be noted that for the foreign-born whites the ratio of males to females was higher in the rural population and in the class of cities of 2,500 to 10,000 inhabitants than in any class of large cities; this is true in the case of each of the geographic divisions of the country, except the East North Central. (See Table 24.) For the United States as a whole and for most of the geographic divisions the lowest ratio, on the other hand, was that for the group of largest cities.

The females outnumbered the males in each of the classes of the native white population, and among the negroes, in each of the classes of cities, except that, in the cities of 100,000 to 500,000 inhabitants, among the native whites of native parentage the males outnumbered the females. The fact that in each of the classes of cities the males outnumbered the females in the total population was, therefore, almost wholly attributable to the large foreign-born white population of the cities and the decided excess of males over females in that class.

Divisions and states.—Table 18 shows by geographic divisions the ratio of males to females in urban and rural communities, respectively, for the total population and for each of the principal classes. The absolute numbers on which these ratios are based appear in Table 24. The diagram opposite also shows graphically the sex ratios, for the total population living in urban and rural communities.

Table 18	MALES TO 100 FEMALES.										
DIVISION AND CLASS OF	Alle	lasses.	Native 19	white: 10	Foreign-						
	1910	1900	Native parent- age.	Foreign or mixed parent- age.	born white: 1910	Negro: 1910					
United States	106.0	104, 4	104.0	99.5	129, 2	98, 9					
Urban	101.7	99, 7	99.3	94.8	118, 9	90, 8					
Rural	109.9	108, 5	106.7	109.5	16 <b>1, 1</b>	102, 1					
NEW ENGLAND	99.3	97.7	98.1	96.0	104. 8	97. 8					
Urban	97.8	95.7	95.5	95.2	103. 1	95. 2					
Rural	107.4	106.1	104.6	104.6	128. 1	131. 8					
MIDDLE ATLANTIC	103.3	100.9	98.9	96.5	120.9	94.9					
Urban	100.6	98.0	96.1	94.9	114.0	90.8					
Rural	110.1	106.6	102.5	104.8	165.9	114.8					
EAST NORTH CENTRAL Urbau Rural	$106.0 \\ 103.2 \\ 109.3$	104.7 99.7 109.1	$102.9 \\ 99.3 \\ 105.5$	98.6 93.4 107.9	131.3 127.7 140.7	108.3 104.6 121.4					
WEST NORTH CENTRAL.	109.9	109.7	106.6	103.3	$141.3 \\ 134.8 \\ 145.7$	107.8					
Urban.	104.5	102.8	102.5	93.2		104.1					
Rural.	112.7	112.5	108.4	109.0		115.8					
SOUTH ATLANTIC	$101.2 \\ 94.1 \\ 103.8$	100.0	102.1	97.6	146.9	97.5					
Urban		91.6	96.0	93.1	121.1	86.0					
Rural		102.5	103.9	109.8	219.3	101.0					
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL Urban Rural	$101.9 \\ 94.5 \\ 103.7$	101. 9 94. 0 103. 4	$103.5 \\ 97.9 \\ 104.5$	94.5 88.5 110.1	139.2 123.2 179.2	98.4 87.9 101.1					
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL Urban Rural	107.2 101.2 109.0	106.7 96.5 108.8	$108.2 \\ 104.2 \\ 109.2$	105. 1 95. 1 112. 1	138, 8 124, 9 143, 8	$100. \ 4 \\ 90. \ 6 \\ 103. \ 3$					
MOUNTAIN.	127.9	128.0	119.8	$112.6 \\ 99.6 \\ 123.2$	189.6	121.3					
Urban.	113.3	111.7	110.3		141.0	105.2					
Rural.	137.0	136.6	124.9		233.7	177.1					
PACIFIC.	$129.5 \\ 120.2 \\ 143.0$	128.2	117.4	106.8	181.9	120. 4					
Urban.		118.0	111.2	99.2	155.8	110. <b>3</b>					
Rural.		137.9	124.9	120.4	236.6	190. 1					

In every geographic division in 1910 the ratio of males to females was decidedly lower in the urban communities than in the rural. This was true not only for the total population, but for each of the four classes of population distinguished.



In urban communities in 1910 the females outnumbered the males in the New England, South Atlantic, and East South Central divisions. The same condition existed in 1900 in these divisions, and also in three others—the Middle Atlantic, East North Central, and West South Central. On the other hand, in the rural communities the males outnumbered the females in every geographic division at both censuses, and in 1910 this was true not only of the total population but also of each of the four classes distinguished in the table.

Table 25 (pp. 276 and 277) gives, by geographic divisions and states, similar figures for the total population.

#### PRINCIPAL CITIES.

Table 19 shows, for each of the 50 cities of 100,000 inhabitants or more, the ratio of males to females in the total population in 1910 and 1900, and also that in each of the principal classes of the population in 1910. The corresponding absolute numbers appear in Table 20 (p. 263).

In 22 of the 50 principal cities the females in 1910 outnumbered the males. In New York City the two classes were almost evenly divided, there being 99.9 males to 100 females. In Chicago the males outnumbered the females considerably—106.3 to 100—but in Philadelphia the reverse condition existed, the ratio being only 96.4 to 100. The most conspicuous excess of males over females is found in some of the western cities. In Seattle there were 136.2 males to 100 females; in Portland, 134.5; in San Francisco, 131.6; and in Spokane, 122.7. Aside from these four cities, there was none in 1910 in which the ratio of males to females was as great as 110 to 100. The excess of females was relatively greatest in Nashville, where there were only 89.6 males to 100 females, followed by Richmond and Washington, each with 91.3, and Cambridge, with 91.7. In all but 11 of the 50 cities the ratio of males to females was higher in 1910 than in 1900.

MALES TO 100 FEMALES IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES OF 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910.

Table 10			NATIVE	WHITE.						NADIVE	WHITE.		
1401013	ALL CI	LASSES.	19	10	Foreign-	Negro: 1910 CITY.	ALL CL	ASSES.	19	10	Forelgn-		
СІТҮ.	1910	1900	Native parent- age.	Foreign or mixed parent- age.	born white: 1910	Negro: 1910	CITY.	1910	1900	Native parent- age.	Forelgn or mixed parent- age.	born white: 1910	Negro: 1910
Albany, N. Y. Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Birmingbam, Ala. Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Bufdgo, N. Y.	92.9 92.7 92.4 102.8 96.7 106.1 100.6	91.7 85.3 91.6 104.5 96.1 99.3 98.6	93.3 97.8 92.6 105.3 \$6.1 102.2 100.2	89.4 91.0 91.6 101.3 97.1 95.2 93.5	98.9 150.4 100.6 145.8 95.8 122.3 112.8	92.0 81.0 85.5 93.3 96.6 97.3 111.1	New York, N. Y. Manhattan Borough Bronx Borough. Brooklyn Borough Queens Borough Richmond Borough	99.9 100.2 101.5 98.2 103.1 108.3	98.5 98.5 103.0 96.8 102.8 105.5	98.0 99.1 100.6 95.4 100.6 105.3	95.8 96.3 95.9 94.6 97.9 101.8	105.1 103.6 109.8 105.0 115.1 122.6	85.0 86.2 86.6 82.2 81.9 83.1
Cambridge, Mass. Chicago, Ill Cincinnati, Ohio. Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio	91.7106.395.4106.6101.5	93.8 103.4 93.1 101.8 101.7	88.8 103.8 97.9 101.6 99.7	94.8 95.8 87.5 95.6 90.5	$\begin{array}{r} 90.2 \\ 121.1 \\ 105.9 \\ 124.6 \\ 135.6 \end{array}$	89.8 105.9 101.8 105.7 113.9	Newark, N. J. Oakland, Cal. Omaba, Nebr. Paterson, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa.	99.6 108.7 109.3 98.9 96.4	96.8 96.7 111.6 97.4 96.2	95.1 99.9 108.8 94.2 95.1	94.1 91.8 98.8 94.7 94.4	110.6 130.6 125.8 107.0 102.9	89.6 112.0 116.2 85.6 87.6
Dayton, Ohio Denver, Colo Detroit, Mich Fall River, Mass. Grand Rapids, Mich. Indianapolis, Ind	$     \begin{array}{r}       101.9 \\       101.3 \\       106.6 \\       93.4 \\       97.4 \\       98.7 \\     \end{array} $	97.6 99.0 95.1 92.0 94.2 97.5	99.9 100.2 105.4 92.9 95.9 97.2	89.1 93.3 95.4 94.6 87.6 90.5	$ \begin{array}{c} 144.0\\ 115.8\\ 122.9\\ 92.1\\ 116.4\\ 134.4 \end{array} $	104.6 95.6 108.3 96.1 109.1 98.1	Pittsburgh, Pa. <sup>2</sup> Portland, Oreg Providence, R. I. Richmond, Va Rochester, N. Y	$105.1 \\ 134.5 \\ 96.7 \\ 91.3 \\ 98.7$	106.0 142.4 94.0 88.5 91.1	99.0 123.7 93.2 93.6 97.4	95.1 105.0 93.2 93.5 91.0	128.7 172.7 103.3 127.2 112.6	108.8 139.1 94.1 85.0 93.2
Jersey City, N. J Kansas City, Mo Los Angeles, Cal Louisville, Ky Lowell, Mass Memphis, Tenn	105.5103.6103.994.194.1102.2	$ \begin{array}{r} 101.6\\ 102.1\\ 97.2\\ 94.6\\ 89.9\\ 104.5 \end{array} $	102.7 102.6 99.8 95.2 89.3 108.5	97.6 94.2 90.2 87.0 93.0 94.7	120.5 132.3 121.8 103.5 97.3 147.4	102.7 101.7 94.0 93.7 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 92.9	St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn San Francisco, Cal. Scranton, Pa Seattle, Wash.	$101.5 \\ 108.6 \\ 131.6 \\ 102.0 \\ 136.2$	100.4 107.3 117.1 100.8 176.7	99.9 111.9 126.9 96.7 126.1	91.996.1101.192.0104.1	126.9 126.2 162.4 127.2 179.6	$101.7 \\ 153.5 \\ 166.1 \\ 116.4 \\ 154.5$
Milwaukee, Wis. Minneapolis, Minn. Nasbville, Tenn New Haven, Conn. New Orleans, La.	102.8 109.2 89.6 99.7 92.8	97.1 103.5 90.2 \$9.4 90.1	98.0 111.4 95.2 94.8 97.6	91.8 92.6 85.1 94.3 86.4	$\begin{array}{c c} 128.0\\ 132.8\\ 111.4\\ 111.4\\ 112.1\end{array}$	95.2 137.1 80.0 92.5 84.7	Spokane, Wash Syracuse, N. Y Toledo, Ohio Washington, D. C. Worcester, Mass	$122.7 \\100.5 \\101.1 \\91.3 \\101.2$	135.0 94.1 99.1 90.0 99.6	115.3 98.3 99.0 93.4 95.2	104.5 90.3 94.3 91.9 94.7	171.5 123.2 120.2 113.0 115.1	117.8 106.2 99.7 82.2 84.9

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

The native whites of native parentage showed an excess of females in 33 of the 50 principal cities. The excess was relatively greatest in Cambridge, where there were 88.8 males to 100 females in this class, and in Lowell, where the ratio was 89.3 to 100. The highest ratios of males to females in this class were found in San Francisco and Seattle. For the native whites of foreign or mixed parentage there were only five cities in which there was an excess of males; four of these were on the Pacific slope.

In strong contrast, the sex distribution of the foreign-born whites is markedly different from that of the native white classes. There were in 1910 only five .cities of 100,000 or more inhabitants in which the females in this group outnumbered the males, and in 20 of the cities the ratio of males to females exceeded 125 to 100. Seattle had the highest ratio—179.6 to

#### <sup>3</sup> Includes Allegheny for 1900.

100—closely followed by Portland, with 172.7, and Spokane, with 171.5. In a number of cities the excess of males among the foreign-born whites was sufficiently great to overcome the excess of females shown in the native groups. Were it not for the presence of a considerable proportion of foreign-born whites, an excess of females would be almost universal in the large cities of the country.

Marked differences appear among the cities with respect to the ratio of males to females in the negro population. In about half of the cities—including every city of 100,000 inhabitants or more in the South—the females in 1910 outnumbered the males, and in a number of these there were less than 90 males to 100 females. On the other hand, in some of the western cities the excess of males over females is conspicuous. Table 20 gives the number of males and females in the principal classes of the population for cities of 100,000 inhabitants or more.

Table 26 (pp. 278 to 283) gives the same statistics of sex, including sex ratios, in comparison with those for 1900 and 1890.

Table 27 (p. 284) gives the sex statistics, for 1910 and 1900, for the native white population of foreign par-

entage and of mixed parentage separately in each city of 100,000 inhabitants or more.

Table 28 (pp. 285 to 287) gives the sex statistics of the total population of each city of 25,000 to 100,000 inhabitants for 1910 and 1900, and distinguishes the native white, foreign-born white, and negro population for 1910. In 140 of these 179 cities the sex ratio in the total population increased during the decade 1900-1910.

#### MALES AND FEMALES IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES OF 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910.

Table 20		ALL C	LASSES.			NATIVE 19	WHITE: 10		FOREIG	N-BORN	NEG	RO:
CITY.	19	910	19	900	Native p	arentage.	Foreign paren	or mixed ntage.	19	10	19	10
	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.	Male.	Female.
Albany, N. Y. Atlanta, Ga Baltimore, Md Birmingham, Ala Boston, Mass.	48, 270 74, 501 268, 195 67, 268 329, 703	51,98380,338290,29065,417340,882	45,031 41,377 243,280 19,626 274,922	49, 120 48, 495 265, 677 18, 789 285, 970	21, 462 45, 482 125, 702 34, 008 77, 368	23,01146,505135,77232,30480,502	$17,242 \\ 3,080 \\ 64,478 \\ 4,206 \\ 126,648$	19, 291 3, 384 70, 392 4, 151 130, 456	9,031 2,649 38,636 3,381 117,786	9, 134 1, 761 38, 407 2, 319 122, 936	497 23, 219 39, 054 25, 662 6, 664	540 28, 683 45, 695 26, 643 6, 900
Bridgeport, Conn. Bnffalo, N. Y Cambridge, Mass. Chicago, Ill. Cincinnati, Ohio.	52,549212,50250,1611,125,764177,511	$\begin{array}{r} 49,505\\211,213\\54,678\\1,059,519\\186,080\end{array}$	35, 381 174, 931 44, 477 863, 408 157, 140	$\begin{array}{r} 35,615\\177,456\\47,409\\835,167\\168,762\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 13,723\\ 59,915\\ 12,047\\ 226,666\\ 76,659\end{array}$	13, 433 59, 777 13, 568 218, 473 78, 278	18,202 88,755 19,370 446,584 61,706	$19,112 \\94,918 \\20,424 \\466,117 \\70,484$	19, 905 62, 796 16, 412 427, 860 29, 216	16, 275 55, 648 18, 196 353, 357 27, 576	657 933 2, 227 22, 685 9, 905	675 840 2, 480 21, 418 9, 734
Cleveland, Ohio. Columbus, Ohio. Dayton, Ohio. Denver, Colo. Detroit, Mich.	289, 262 91, 452 58, 848 107, 395 240, 354	$\begin{array}{r} 271, 401 \\ 90, 059 \\ 57, 729 \\ 105, 986 \\ 225, 412 \end{array}$	$192, 616 \\ 63, 301 \\ 42, 142 \\ 66, 592 \\ 139, 242$	189,15262,25943,19167,267146,462	66, 668 58, 339 36, 129 53, 529 59, 063	65, 646 58, 507 36, 172 53, 416 56, 043	109, 419 16, 899 12, 045 29, 535 91, 905	114, 489 18, 679 13, 514 31, 650 96, 350	108, 573 9, 374 8, 173 20, 895 86, 332	87, 130 6, 911 5, 674 18, 046 70, 233	4,341 6,784 2,475 2,652 2,985	4, 107 5, 955 2, 367 2, 774 2, 756
Fall River, Mass. Grand Rapids, Mich. Indianapolis, Ind. Jersey City, N. J. Kansas City, Mo.	$57,627 \\ 55,539 \\ 116,069 \\ 137,457 \\ 126,414$	$\begin{array}{r} 61,668\\57,032\\117,581\\130,322\\121,967\end{array}$	50, 260 42, 470 83, 523 104, 027 82, 729	54, 503 45, 095 85, 641 102, 406 81, 023	7,637 19,960 74,209 37,937 77,861	8, 221 20, 817 76, 384 36, 924 75, 856	25, 345 19, 967 19, 675 53, 892 22, 132	26,780 22,800 21,745 55,209 23,501	24, 391 15, 240 11, 334 42, 456 14, 426	26, 483 13, 095 8, 433 35, 241 10, 901	174 347 10, 803 3, 020 11, 885	181 318 11,013 2,940 11,681
Los Angeles, Cal Louisville, Ky Lowell, Mass. Memphis, Tenn. Milwaukee, Wis.	$162, 669 \\108, 548 \\51, 525 \\66, 270 \\189, 488$	$156,529 \\115,380 \\54,769 \\64,835 \\184,369$	50, 519 99, 531 44, 949 52, 284 140, 536	$51,960 \\ 105,200 \\ 50,020 \\ 50,036 \\ 144,779$	84, 881 55, 678 9, 767 31, 210 39, 021	85,086 57,865 10,936 28,775 39,802	35, 446 24, 388 20, 208 5, 903 87, 348	39, 310 28, 023 21, 734 6, 235 95, 182	33, 275 8, 868 21, 434 3, 853 62, 579	27, 309 8, 568 22, 023 2, 614 48, 877	3, 682 19, 602 62 25, 259 478	3, 917 20, 920 71 27, 182 502
Minneapolls, Minn Nashville, Tenn New Haven, Conn New Orleans, La	$157,345 \\ 52,155 \\ 66,695 \\ 163,239$	$144,063 \\ 58,209 \\ 66,910 \\ 175,836$	$103,122 \\ 38,356 \\ 53,842 \\ 136,068$	99, 596 42, 509 54, 185 151, 036	50,676 31,054 18,358 72,859	45, 510 32, 633 19, 368 74, 614	56, 026 3, 287 23, 991 34, 423	60, 522 3, 864 25, 443 39, 821	49, 017 1, 577 22, 541 14, 634	36, 921 1, 416 20, 243 13, 052	1,499 16,229 1,711 40,946	1,093 20,294 1,850 48,316
New York, N. Y. Manhattan Borough. Bronz Borough. Brooklyn Borough. Queens Borough. Richmond Borough.	2, 382, 482 1, 166, 659 217, 120 809, 791 144, 205 44, 707	2, 384, 401 1, 164, 885 215, 860 824, 560 139, 836 41, 262	$\begin{array}{c} 1,705,705\\918,259\\101,756\\573,733\\77,547\\84,410\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,731,497\\931,834\\98,751\\592,849\\75,452\\52,611\end{array}$	456, 111 171, 487 46, 481 183, 824 40, 480 14, 489	465, 207 172, 914 46, 138 192, 224 40, 177 18, 754	890,781 401,434 90,631 322,597 59,854 16,265	929,360 416,774 94,515 \$40,986 61,115 15,970	987, 952 561, 681 77, 948 292, 614 42, 336 13, 373	939, 751 542, 338 70, 987 278, 742 36, 779 10, 905	42, 143 28, 024 1, 911 10, 245 1, 440 523	49,566 52,510 2,206 12,463 1,758 629
Newark, N. J Oakland, Cal Omaha, Nebr. Paterson, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa	173,38978,22264,80262,439760,463	$174,080 \\71,952 \\59,294 \\63,161 \\788,545$	$\begin{array}{c} 121,027\\ 32,921\\ 54,093\\ 51,889\\ 634,485\end{array}$	$125,043 \\ 34,039 \\ 48,462 \\ 53,282 \\ 659,212$	$\begin{array}{r} 46,420\\ 27,592\\ 27,578\\ 13,775\\ 284,690 \end{array}$	48, 317 27, 606 25, 339 14, 617 299, 318	$\begin{array}{r} 64,146\\ 23,904\\ 19,683\\ 24,401\\ 241,243\end{array}$	68, 204 26, 032 19, 912 25, 778 255, 542	58,114 20,854 15,081 23,468 193,994	52, 541 15, 968 11, 987 21, 930 188, 584	4, 477 1, 614 2, 379 710 39, 431	4, 998 1, 441 2, 047 829 45, 028
Pittsburgh, Pa. <sup>1</sup> Portland, Oreg. Providence, R. I. Richmond, Va. Rochester, N. Y	$\begin{array}{c} 273,589\\118,868\\110,288\\60,905\\108,352\end{array}$	260, 316 88, 346 114, 038 66, 723 109, 797	232, 313 53, 128 85, 072 39, 936 77, 520	219, 199 37, 298 90, 525 45, 114 85, 088	87, 602 57, 596 28, 933 33, 429 36, 779	88, 487 46, 567 31, 033 35, 701 37, 746	93, 353 26, 132 39, 727 3, 703 39, 864	$98,130 \\ 24,877 \\ 42,627 \\ 3,961 \\ 43,823$	$79,024 \\ 27,724 \\ 38,768 \\ 2,287 \\ 31,241$	61, 412 16, 056 37, 535 1, 798 27, 752	13, 351 608 2, 577 21, 472 424	12, 272 437 2, 739 25, 261 455
St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn San Francisco, Cal. Scranton, Pa. Seattle, Wash	346,068 111,809 236,901 65,591 136,773	$\begin{array}{r} 340, 961 \\ 102, 935 \\ 180, 011 \\ 64, 276 \\ 100, 421 \end{array}$	288, 197 84, 405 184, 866 51, 216 51, 521	287, 041 78, 660 157, 916 50, 810 29, 150	$134,850 \\ 32,522 \\ 64,527 \\ 19,051 \\ 59,007$	$134,986 \\ 29,072 \\ 50,832 \\ 19,694 \\ 46,777$	118,24545,78277,30726,56531,178	$128,701 \\ 47,616 \\ 76,474 \\ 28,866 \\ 29,956$	70, 297 31, 532 80, 995 19, 661 39, 078	55, 409 24, 992 49, 879 15, 451 21, 757	22,168 1,904 1,025 305 1,394	21,792 1,240 617 262 902
Spokane, Wash Syracuse, N. Y. Toledo, Ohio Washington, D. C. Worcester, Mass	57, 513 68, 806 84, 691 158, 050 73, 424	46,889 68,443 83,806 173,019 72,562	$\begin{array}{c} 21,167\\ 52,538\\ 65,604\\ 132,004\\ 59,082 \end{array}$	$15,681 \\ 55,836 \\ 66,218 \\ 146,714 \\ 59,339$	29, 226 28, 958 37, 392 80, 507 20, 205	$\begin{array}{c} 25,348\\ 29,450\\ 37,755\\ 86,204\\ 21,216 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,939\\22,259\\28,822\\21,577\\26,626\end{array}$	$13, 338 \\ 24, 653 \\ 30, 561 \\ 23, 489 \\ 28, 125$	$13,404 \\ 16,993 \\ 17,491 \\ 12,917 \\ 25,948$	7,816 13,788 14,546 11,434 22,544	391 579 937 42,615 570	332 545 940 51, 831 671

1 Includes population of Allegheny for 1900.

### MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1880-1910.

Table 21		1910			1900			1890			1880	
DIVISION OR STATE AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
UNITED STATES.												
Total White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	47, 332, 277 42, 178, 245 4, 885, 881 268, 151	<b>44, 639, 989</b> 39, 553, 712 4, 941, 882 144, 395	<b>106.0</b> 106.6 98.9 185.7	38, 816, 448 34, 201, 735 4, 386, 547 228, 166	37, 178, 127 32, 607, 461 4, 447, 447 123, 219	104.4 104.9 98.6 185.2	<b>32</b> , 237, 101 28, 270, 379 3, 735, 603 231, 119	<b>30,710,613</b> 26,830,879 3,753,073 126,661	105.0 105.4 99.5 182.5	<b>25, 518, 820</b> 22, 130, 900 3, 253, 115 134, 805	<b>24, 636, 963</b> 21, 272, 070 3, 327, 678 37, 215	103.8 104.0 97.8 362.2
Native white	34, 654, 457 25, 229, 218 9, 425, 239 7, 523, 788	33, 731, 955 24, 259, 357 9, 472, 598 5, 821, 757	102.7104.099.5129.2	28,686,450 20,849,847 7,836,603 5,515,285	27,908,929 20,099,515 7,809,414 4,698,532	102.8 103.7 100.3 117.4	23, 318, 521 17, 536, 950 5, 781, 571 4, 951, 858	22,660,870 16,938,766 5,722,104 4,170,009	102.9 103.5 101.0 118.7	18,609,265 3,521,635	18, 234, 026 3, 038, 044	102.1 115.9
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS												
NEW ENGLAND.												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	3,265,114 3,227,606 32,783 4,725	3,287,567 3,252,908 33,523 1,136	99.3 99.2 97.8 415.9	2,763,796 2,730,121 28,579 5,096	2, 828, 221 2, 796, 905 30, 520 796	97.7 97.6 93.6 640.2	2,313,759 2,289,843 21,633 2,283	2, 386, 990 2, 363, 348 22, 947 695	96.9 96.9 94.3 328.5	1,958,723 1,938,393 19,223 1,107	2,051,806 2,030,398 20,702 708	95.5 95.5 92.9 156.4
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-horn white.	2, 299, 269 1, 293, 890 1, 005, 379 928, 337	2,366,859 1,319,529 1,047,330 886,049	97.1 98.1 96.0 104.8	2,020,861 1,243,718 777,143 709,260	2,069,293 1,267,392 801,901 727,612	97.7 98.1 96.9 97.5	$1,735,055 \\1,201,554 \\533,501 \\554,788$	$1,780,536 \\1,234,238 \\546,298 \\582,812$	97.4 97.4 97.7 95.2	1,560,883 	1, 616, 598 413, 798	96.6 91.2
MIDDLE ATLANTIC.												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	9, 813, 266 9, 596, 348 203, 466 13, 452	9,502,628 9,284,104 214,404 4,118	103.3 103.4 94.9 326.7	7,761,081 7,586,963 159,711 14,407	7,693,597 7,523,899 166,210 3,488	100.9 100.8 96.1 413.0	6,366,898 6,246,565 111,644 8,689	6,339,322 6,222,229 113,682 3,411	100.4 100.4 98.2 254.7	5,201,899 5,109,204 90,891 1,804	<b>5,294,979</b> <b>5,195,851</b> 98,601 527	<b>98.2</b> 98.3 92.2 342.3
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-horn white	6,954,755 4,208,191 2,746,564 2,641,593	7,099,518 4,254,770 2,844,748 2,184,586	98.0 98.9 96.5 120.9	5,858,471 3,687,384 2,171,087 1,728,492	5,950,275 3,719,195 2,231,080 1,573,624	98.5 99.1 97.3 109.8	4,823,092 3,201,852 1,621,240 1,423,473	4,908,436 3,253,765 1,654,671 1,313,793	98.3 98.4 98.0 108.3	4,093,510 1,015,694	4, 194, 457 1, 001, 394	97.6 101.4
EAST NORTH CENTRAL.												
Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	9, 392, 839 9, 223, 161 156, 431 13, 247	8,857,782 8,704,461 144,405 8,916	106.0 106.0 108.3 148.6	8, 177, 308 8, 032, 447 134, 445 10, 416	7,808,273 7,677,606 123,397 7,270	104.7 104.6 109.0 143.3	8,916,423 6,798,632 108,096 9,695	6,561,882 6,455,093 98,927 7,862	105.4 105.3 109.3 123.3	5,753,244 5,652,212 95,093 5,939	5,453,424 5,359,835 88,205 5,384	105.5 105.5 107.8 110.3
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage	7, 482, 146 4, 945, 547 2, 536, 599 1, 741, 015	7,378,256 4,806,421 2,571,835	101.4 102.9 98.6 131.3	6,612,063 4,311,913 2,300,150 1,420,384	6,477,693 4,176,103 2,301,590 1,199,913	102.1 103.3 99.9 118.4	5,432,152 3,688,044 1,744,108 1,366,480	5,315,452 3,579,861 1,735,591 1,139,641	102.2 103.0 100.5 119.9	4,603,896	4,495,049	102.4
WEST NORTH CENTRAL.	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1,020,200	10,10	_,,	-,,							
Total White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	6,092,855 5,943,884 125,864 23,107	<b>5,545,066</b> 5,407,737 116,798 20,531	109.9 109.9 107.8 112.5	5, 412, 014 5, 268, 370 121, 272 22, 372	4,935,409 4,797,447 116,637 21,325	109.7 109.8 104.0 104.9	4, 702, 167 4, 563, 832 114, 288 24, 047	4,229,945 4,096,256 109,801 23,888	111.2 111.4 104.1 100.7	3,262,676 3,157,723 101,922 3,031	2,894,767 2,791,653 100,401 2,713	112.7 113.1 101.5 111.7
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-horn white	4,999,117 3,365,357 1,633,760 944,767	4,739,273 3,158,330 1,580,943 668,464	105.5 106.6 103.3 141.3	4,401,385 2,933,804 1,467,581 866,985	4,133,327 2,727,099 1,406,228 664,120	106.5 107.6 104.4 130.5	3, 687, 673 2, 600, 916 1, 086, 757 876, 159	3,425,079 2,383,220 1,041,859 671,177	107.7 109.1 104.3 130.5	2, 584, 475 573, 248	2,365,805 425,848	109.2 134.6
SOUTH ATLANTIC.												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	6,134,605 4,098,578 2,029,808 6,219	6,060,290 3,973,025 2,082,680 4,585	101.2 103.2 97.5 135.6	5,222,595 3,381,969 1,835,525 5,101	5,220,885 3,324,089 1,893,492 3,304	100.0 101.7 96.9 154.4	4,418,771 2,803,120 1,613,769 1,882	4, 439, 151 2, 789, 029 1, 648, 921 1, 201	99.5 100.5 97.9 156.7	3,757,698 2,309,866 1,446,862 970	3,839,499 2,344,246 1,494,340 913	97.9 98.5 96.8 106.2
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	3,925,706 3,708,417 217,289 172,872	3,855,342 3,632,788 222,554	101.8 102.1 97.6 146.9	3,266,609 3,073,951 192,658 115,360	3,230,566 3,033,363 197,203 93,523	101.1 101.3 97.7 123.3	2,691,634 2,531,428 160,206 111,486	2,698,199 2,535,951 162,248 90,830	99.8 99.8 98.7 122.7	2, 218, 007 91, 859	2, 265, 137	97.9
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL.	112,012	117,000		110,000	00,020		,					
Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	4,245,169 2,927,602 1,315,792 1,775	4, 164, 732 2, 826, 724 1, 336, 721 1, 287	<b>101.9</b> 103.6 98.4 137.9	3,809,668 2,564,838 1,243,082 1,746	<b>3,738,091</b> 2,480,009 1,256,804 1,278	101.9 103.4 98.9 136.6	3,241,635 2,183,366 1,056,343 1,926	3, 187, 519 2, 122, 302 1, 063, 454 1, 763	101.7 102.9 99.3 109.2	2, <b>791</b> , 673 1, 841, 103 949, 225 1, 345	2,783,478 1,816,490 975,771 1,217	99.9 101.4 97.3 110.5
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	2,877,060 2,772,592 104,468 50,542	2,790,409 2,679,900 110,509 36,315	103.1 103.5 94.5 139.2	2,514,132 2,400,720 113,412 50,706	2,441,033 2,325,054 115,979 38,976	103.0 103.3 97.8 130.1	2, 124, 898 2, 026, 405 98, 493 58, 468	2,079,303 1,980,769 98,534 42,999	102.2 102.3 100.0 136.0	1,787,524	1,775,504	100.7
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL.	50,010	50,015	100.12				20, 230	,				
Total White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	4, 544, 505 3, 510, 087 994, 025 40, 393	4,240,029 3,211,404 990,401 38,224	107.2 109.3 100.4 105.7	3, 372, 256 2, 491, 308 846, 797 34, 151	<b>3, 160, 034</b> 2, 279, 757 847, 269 33, 008	106.7 109.3 99.9 103.5	2,457,789 1,729,176 693,264 35,349	2,283,194 1,566,460 684,826 31,908	107.8 110.4 101.2 110.8	1,722,873 1,178,119 542,956 1,798	1, 811, 347 1, 065, 603 544, 749 995	<b>106.9</b> 110.6 99.7 180.7
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	3,307,345 2,997,129 310,216 202,742	3,065,387 2,770,320 295,067 146,017	107.9 108.2 105.1 138.8	2,339,975 2,095,999 243,976 151.333	2, 167, 080 , 932, 945 234, 135 112, 677	108.0 108.4 104.2 134.3	1, 602, 810 1, 444, 281 158, 529 126, 366	1,475,714 1,323,921 151,793 90,746	108.6 109.1 104.4 139.3	1,074,766	992,423 73,180	108. <b>3</b> 141.2

.

#### MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1880-1910-Continued.

	and the second second											
Table 21-Continued.		1910			1900			1890		1	1880	
DIVISION OF STATE AND CLASS OF FOPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS-Contd. MOUNTAIN.												
Total. White. Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1,478,018 1,412,148 11,766 54,104	1,155,499 1,108,307 9,701 37,491	127.9 127.4 121.3 144.3	940, 038 884, 442 9, 104 46, 492	734, 619 695, 413 6, 486 32, 720	128.0 127.2 140.4 142.1	711, 631 655, 750 8, 372 47, 509	502, 304 461, 613 4, 599 36, 092	141.7 142.1 182.0 131.6	402, 504 375, 776 2, 997 23, 731	250, 615 239, 045 2, 025 9, 545	160.6 157.2 148.0 248.6
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	1,126,126 799,330 326,796 286,022	967,419 667,294 290,125 150,888	117.6 119.8 112.6 189.6	704, 452 471, 222 233, 230 179, 990	587,042 383,879 203,163 108,371	$     120.0 \\     122.8 \\     114.8 \\     166.1     $	496, 804 347, 962 148, 842 158, 946	375, 479 253, 588 121, 891 86, 134	$132.3 \\ 137.2 \\ 122.1 \\ 184.5$	278,653	190,136 48,909	146.5 198.8
PACIFIC. Total White. Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	2, 365, 906 2, 238, 831 15, 946 111, 129	<b>1,826,398</b> <b>1,785,042</b> 13,249 28,107	129.5 125.4 120.4 395.4	1,357,694 1,261,277 8,032 88,385	1,058,998 1,032,336 6,632 20,030	<b>128.2</b> 122.2 121.1 441.3	1,108,028 1,000,095 8,194 99,739	<b>780, 306</b> <b>754, 549</b> 5, 916 <b>19, 841</b>	142.0 132.5 138.5 502.7	667, 530 568, 504 3, 946 95, 080	447, 048 428, 951 2, 884 15, 213	149.3 132.5 136.8 625.0
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	$1,682,933 \\ 1,138,765 \\ 544,168 \\ 555,898$	1,479,492 970,005 509,487 305,550	113.8 117.4 106.8 181.9	968, 502 631, 136 337, 366 292, 775	852, 620 534, 485 318, 135 179, 716	113.6 118.1 106.0 162.9	724, 403 494, 508 229, 895 275, 692	602, 672 393, 453 209, 219 151, 877	120.2 125.7 109.9 181.5	407,651	338, 917 90, 034	120.3 178.7
NEW ENGLAND. Maine	077 050	005 010	102.0					000.400				00.8
White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	377,052 375,766 700 586	365, 319 364, 229 663 427	103.2 103.2 105.6 137.2	349,786 870 539	343,471 342,440 649 382	$   \begin{array}{r}     102.2 \\     102.1 \\     103.2 \\     141.1   \end{array} $	332, 590 331, 604 614 372	328,496 327,659 576 261	101.2 101.2 106.6 142.5	324, 058 322, 973 765 320	324, 878 323, 879 686 313	99.7 99.7 111.5 102.2
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	317,798 249,738 68,060 57,968	312,064 245,169 66,895 52,165	101.8 101.9 101.7 111.1	301,810 248,049 53,761 47,976	297, 481 245, 033 52, 448 44, 959	$101.5 \\ 101.2 \\ 102.5 \\ 106.7$	291,551 253,564 37,987 40,053	289,017 253,139 35,878 38,642	100.9 100.2 105.9 103.7	293, 537 29, 436	294,656 29,223	99.6 100.7
New Hampshire												
Total White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	<b>216, 29</b> 0 215, 918 288 84	214, 282 213, 988 276 18	100.9 100.9 104.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	205, 379 204, 931 327 121	206, 209 205, 860 335 14	99.6 99.5 97.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	186, 568 186, 171 323 72	189,964 189,669 291 4	98.2 98.2 111.0 (1)	170, 526 170, 137 341 48	<b>176, 465</b> 176, 092 344 29	96.6 96.6 99.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	165,250 114,628 50,622 50,668	168, 098 115, 603 52, 495 45, 890	98.3 99.2 96.4 110.4	160, 544 120, 889 39, 655 44, 387	162, 286 121, 725 40, 561 43, 574	98.9 99.3 97.8 101.9	150, 423 125, 631 24, 792 35, 748	163,221 127,998 25,223 36,448	98.2 98.2 98.3 98.1	147,909 22,228	152,086 24,006	97.3 92.6
Vermont Total White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	182, 568 181, 372 1, 173 23	173, 388 172, 926 448 14	105.3 104.9 261.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>175, 138</b> 174, 641 454 43	168, 503 168, 130 372 1	103.9 103.9 122.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )	169, 327 168, 778 493 56	<b>163, 095</b> 162, 640 444 11	103.8 103.8 111.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>166, 887</b> 166, 312 566 9	165, 399 164, 906 491 2	100.8 100.9 115.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	153,450 116,227 37,223 27,922	150, 987 113, 165 37, 832 21, 939	101.6 102.7 98.4 127.3	150, 133 113, 745 36, 388 24, 508	147, 944 111, 636 36, 308 20, 186	101.5 101.9 100.2 121.4	145,062 113,660 31,402 23,716	142, 332 111, 585 30, 747 20, 308	101.9 101.9 102.1 116.8	144, 883 	145, 398 19, 508	99.6 109.8
Massachusetts	1 655 040	1 811 160		1.000 454						000 100		00.0
White. Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1,633,487 1,633,487 18,748 3,013	1,691,439 19,307 422	96.6 97.1 714.0	1, 367, 474 1, 348, 578 15, 591 3, 305	1,437,872 1,421,186 16,383 303	95.1 94.9 95.2 1,090.8	1,087,713 1,075,611 10,879 1,223	1, 161, 234 1, 139, 762 11, 265 207	94. 6 94. 4 96. 6 590. 8	858, 440 848, 977 9, 049 414	924, 845 914, 805 9, 648 192	92.8 93.8 215.6
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	$\substack{1,109,359\\538,094\\571,265\\524,128}$	1, 164, 617 565, 335 599, 182 526, 922	95.3 95.2 95.3 99.5	944,577 504,840 439,737 404,001	985,073 527,424 457,649 436,113	95.9 95.7 96.1 92.6	764,059 466,336 297,723 311,552	797, 811 489, 094 308, 717 341, 951	95.8 95.3 96.4 91.1	643, 050 205, 927	678, 794 236, 011	94.7 
Rhode Island												
Total. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	270, 314 265, 242 4, 645 427	272,296 267,250 4,884 162	99.3 99.2 95.1 263.6	210, 516 205, 832 4, 290 394	218,040 213,218 4,802 20	96.5 96.5 89.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	168,025 164,463 3,394 168	177, 481 173, 396 3, 999 86	94.7 94.8 84.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	133,030 130,014 2,952 64	143, 501 139, 925 3, 536 40	92.7 92.9 83.5 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	174,659 79,735 94,924 90,583	179,808 80,086 99,722 87,442	97.1 99.6 95.2 103.6	140, 261 71, 770 68, 491 65, 571	145,017 73,216 71,801 68,201	96.7 98.0 95.4 96.1	113,575 67,378 46,197 50,888	118,257 70,172 48,085 55,139	96.0 96.0 96.1 92.3	95, 681 34. 333	100, 427 39, 498	95.3 86.9
Connecticut							,	,		,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Total. White. Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	<b>563, 642</b> 555, 821 7, 229 592	551, 114 543, 076 7, 945 93	102.3 102.3 91.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>454, 294</b> <b>446, 353</b> 7, 247 <b>694</b>	<b>454, 126</b> <b>446, 071</b> 7, 979 76	100.0 100.1 90.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	369, 538 363, 216 5, 930 392	37 <b>6, 720</b> 370, 222 6, 372 126	98.1 98.1 93.1 311.1	<b>305, 782</b> 299, 980 5, 550 252	316, 918 310, 789 5, 997 132	96.5 96.5 92.5 190.9
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	378,753 195,468 183,285 177,068	391, 385 200, 181 191, 204 151, 691	96.8 97.6 95.9 116.7	323, 536 184, 425 139, 111 122, 817	331, 492 188, 358 143, 134 114, 579	97.6 97.9 97.2 107.2	270, 385 174, 985 95, 400 92, 831	279, 898 182, 250 97, 648 90, 324	96.6 96.0 97.7 102.8	235, 823 64, 157	<b>245, 237</b> 65, 552	96.2 97.9

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

ŝ,

#### MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1880-1910-Continued.

Table 21Continued.		1910			1900			1890			1880	
DIVISION OR STATE AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
MIDDLE ATLANTIC.										-		
New York Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	4, 584, 597 4, 511, 327 64, 034 9, 236	<b>4, 528, 917</b> <b>4, 455, 518</b> 70, 157 <b>3, 342</b>	101.2 101.3 91.3 276.4	3, 814, 789 3, 558, 116 46, 618 10, 046	3,654,114 3,598,765 52,614 2,735	98.9 98.9 88.6 367.3	2, <b>879, 680</b> 2, 939, 971 33, 503 6, 216	3,023,484 2,983,984 36,589 2,911	98.6 98.5 91.6 213.5	2,505,322 2,473,121 30,852 1,349	2, 677, 549 2, 542, 901 34, 252 396	97.2 97.3 90.1 340.7
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	$\begin{array}{c} 3,078,904\\ 1,606,624\\ 1,472,280\\ 1,432,423 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3,158,669\\ 1,623,701\\ 1,534,968\\ 1,296,849 \end{array}$	97.5 98.9 95.9 110.5	2,604,331 1,417,769 1,186,562 953,785	$2,663,027 \\1,433,744 \\1,229,283 \\935,738$	97.8 98.9 96.5 101.9	2,151,098 1,246,121 904,977 788,873	2, 207, 165 1, 274, 689 932, 476 776, 819	97.5 97.8 97.1 101.6	1, 876, 363 596, 758	1, 930, 954 611, 947	97.2 97.5
New Jersey Total. White. Negro.	1,286,463 1,241,482 43,602	1, 250, 794 1, 204, 412 46, 158	102.9 103.1 94.5	941,769 906,543 33 745	941,908 905,774 36,009	109.0 100.1	720, 819 696, 739 23, 410	724, 114 699, 842 24, 999	99.5 99.6	559,922 540,870	571, 184 551, 147 20, 007	98.0 98.1
Indian, Chinese, and Japanese Native white	1,379 884,946 502,171	902,760 507,738	1,029.1 98.0 98.9	683,427 409,430	698,840	93.5 (1) 97.8	527, 892	540,794	96.0 (1) 97.6	13, 340 206 429, 064	20,007 40 441,633	94.2 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 97.2
Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white Pennsylvania	382, 775 356, 538	395, 022 301, 652	96.9 118.2	273, 997 223, 116	282, 297 206, 934	97.1 107.8	183,560 168,937	188,328 159,048	97.5 106.2	111,806	109, 514	102.1
Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	<b>3, 942, 206</b> <b>3,</b> 843, 539 95, 830 2, 837	3,722,905 3,624,174 98,089 642	105.9 106.1 97.7 441.9	3, 204, 541 3, 122, 304 79, 348 2, 889	3,097,574 3,019,360 77,497 717	<b>103</b> . 5 103. 4 102. 4 402. 9	2,666,389 2,609,855 54,731 1,803	2, 681, 724 2, 538, 403 52, 865 456	102.9 102.8 103.5 395.4	2, 136, 655 2, 095, 213 41, 193 249	2,148,236 2,101,803 44,342 91	89.6 99.7 92.9 (1)
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	2, 990, 905 2, 099, 396 891, 509 852, 634	3,038,089 2,123,331 914,758 586,085	98.4 98.9 97.5 145.5	2,570,713 1,860,185 710,528 551,591	2, 588, 408 1, 868, 908 719, 500 430, 952	99.3 99.5 98.8 123.0	2, 144, 192 1, 611, 479 532, 713 465, 663	2, 160, 477 1, 826, 610 533, 867 377, 926	99.2 99.1 99.8 123.2	1,788,083 307,130	1, 821, 870 279, 933	98.1 109.7
EAST NORTH CENTRAL.												
Ohlo Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	2, <b>434, 758</b> 2, 376, 082 57, 995 681	<b>2, 332, 363</b> 2, 278, 815 53, 457 91	194. 4 104. 3 108. 5 (1)	2,102,655 2,052,252 49,985 418	2,054,890 2,007,952 46,916 22	102.3 102.2 106.5	1,855,748 1,810,342 45,078 330	1, 816, 681 1, 774, 483 42, 037 81	102.2 102.0 107.2	1, 613, 836 1, 572, 789 40, 962 185	1, 584, 126 1, 545, 131 38, 938 57	101.9 101.8 105.2
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	2,029,941 1,527,978 501,963 346,141	2,027,711 1,505,281 522,430 251,104	100.1 101.5 96.1 137.8	1,805,588 1,336,310 469,278 246,664	1,796,716 1,315,130 481,586 211,236	100.5 101.6 97.4 116.8	1,563,539 1,171,574 391,965 246,803	1, 562, 713 1, 162, 943 399, 770 211, 750	100.1 100.7 98.0 116.6	1,361,109	1,362,473	99.9
Indiana												
White. Negro- Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1, 383, 295 1, 351, 792 31, 044 459	1, 317, 581 1, 288, 169 29, 278 136	105.0 104.9 106.0 337.5	1,285,494 1,255,378 29,701 325	${ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,231,058} \\ \textbf{1,203,124} \\ \textbf{27,804} \\ \textbf{130} \end{array} }$	<b>104.4</b> 104.3 106.8 250.0	$1,118,347 \\1,094,565 \\23,523 \\259$	1,074,057 1,052,171 21,692 194	194.1 104.0 108.4 133.5	1,010,381 989,953 20,267 141	967, 840 948, 845 18, 961 134	104.4 104.3 106.9 105.2
Native white	$\substack{1,254,609\\1,079,947\\174,662\\97,183}$	${ \begin{array}{c} 1,226,030\\ 1,050,141\\ 175,889\\ 62,139 \end{array} } }$	$102.3 \\ 102.8 \\ 99.3 \\ 156.4$	1, 176, 891 993, 358 183, 535 78, 487	1, 139, 750 958, 838 180, 912 63, 374	$103.3 \\ 103.6 \\ 101.4 \\ 123.8 \\$	1,013,790 861,273 152,517 80,775	986, 943 836, 725 150, 218 65, 228	$102.7 \\ 102.9 \\ 101.5 \\ 123.8$	909, 977 79, 976	884,787 64,058	102.8 124.8
Illinols Total White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	<b>2,911,374</b> 2,852,386 56,909 2,379	2, 726, 817 2, 874, 576 52, 140 201	106.8 106.6 109.1 1,183.6	2, <b>472, 782</b> 2, 426, 113 45, 121 1, 548	2, 348, 768 2, 308, 760 39, 957 51	105.3 105.1 112.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	1,972,309 1,941,376 30,148 785	1, 854, 043 1, 827, 096 26, 880 67	196.4 106.3 112.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	1, 586, 523 1, 561, 726 24, 507 290	1, <b>491, 348</b> 1, 469, 425 21, 861 62	106.4 106.3 112.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	$2,178,791 \\1,324,922 \\853,869 \\673,595$	2, 145, 611 1, 275, 633 869, 978 528, 965	101.5 103.9 98.1 127.3	1,908,465 1,160,843 747,622 517,648	1, 861, 773 1, 110, 922 750, 851 446, 987	102.5 104.5 99.6 115.8	1, 486, 278 962, 379 523, 899 455, 098	1, 441, 219 920, 314 520, 905 385, 877	103. 1 104. 6 100. 6 117. 9	1,243,441 318,285	1, 204, 731 	103.2 120.2
Michigan Total	1 454 534	1 255 620	107.2	1.040.005	1 100 000	100.0	1 001 701	1 000 100	102.0	000 050		
White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1, 441, 281 9, 007 4, 248	1,343,966 1,343,966 8,108 3,565	107.2 107.2 111.1 119.1	1,248,905 1,237,098 8,220 3,587	1, 172, 077 1, 161, 465 7, 596 3, 018	106.6 106.5 108.2 118.9	1,091,781 1,080,717 7,986 3,078	1,002,198 992,167 7,237 2,705	108.9 108.9 110.3 113.8	862,356 850,795 7,836 3,724	774,582 763,765 7,264 3,553	111.3 111.4 107.9 104.8
Native parentage. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	$1,107,624 \\ 625,032 \\ 482,592 \\ 333,657$	$1,082,099 \\599,809 \\482,290 \\261,867$	102.4 104.2 100.1 127.4	941, 906 522, 852 419, 054 295, 192	916,461 503,862 412,599 245,004	$102.8 \\ 103.8 \\ 101.6 \\ 120.5$	780, 806 469, 975 310, 831 299, 911	750,477447,718 $302,759241,690$	104.0 105.0 102.7 124.1	632, 369 218, 426	595, 758 	106.1 130.0
Wisconsin Total	1 208 679	1 195 999	107 4	1 007 000	1 001 100	100.0	000 000	010 000	107	000.000	000 000	107 0
White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1,201,620 1,476 5,482	1, 123, 232 1, 118, 935 1, 424 4, 923	107.4 107.4 103.7 111.4	1,067,562 1,061,606 1,418 4,538	1,001,480 996,305 1,124 4,051	106.6 106.6 126.2 112.0	878,238 871,632 1,363 5,243	816, 992 809, 196 1, 081 4, 815	107.7 107.7 126.1 108.9	680,969 676,949 1,521 1,599	635,428 632,669 1,181 1,578	107.0 107.0 128.8 191.3
Native white	911, 181 387, 668 523, 513 290, 439	896,805 375,557 521,248 222,130	101.6 103.2 100.4 130.8	779, 213 298, 552 480, 681 282, 393	762,993 287,351 475,642 233,312	102.1 103.9 101.1 121.0	587,739 222,843 364,896 283,893	574, 100 212, 161 361, 939 235, 096	102.4 105.0 100.8 120.8	457,000	447,300	102.2

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

### 266

#### MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1880-1910-Continued.

Table 21-Continued.		1910			1900			1890			1880	
DIVISION OR STATE AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males,
WEST NORTH CENTRAL.												
Minnesota												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1, 108, 511 1, 099, 425 4, 183 4, 903	867, 197 959, 802 2, 901 4, 494	114.6 114.5 144.2 109.1	<b>932, 490</b> 924, 851 2, 836 4, 803	818,904 812,185 2,123 4,596	113.9 113.9 133.6 104.5	699,355 692,300 2,167 4,888	610, 928 604, 108 1, 516 5, 304	114.5 114.6 142.9 92.2	418, 149 417, 075 905 1, 169	<b>381, 824</b> 369, 809 659 1, 166	115.9 116.9 137.3 101.1
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	778,944 301,552 477,392 320,481	737,273 273,629 463,744 222,629	105.7 110.2 102.9 144.0	637,378 225,103 412,276 287,473	594,723 200,677 394,046 217,462	107.2 112.2 104.6 132.2	428,304 165,137 263,167 263,996	401, 047 146, 063 254, 984 203, 061	106.8 113.1 103.2 130.0	265,677 151,398	243,696 116,113	109.0 130.4
Iowa												
Total. White Negro. Indían, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1, 148, 171 1, 139, 621 8, 120 430	1,076,600 1,069,570 6,853 177	<b>106.8</b> 106.5 118.5 242.9	1,158,849 1,149,667 6,875 307	1,075,004 1,069,000 $\delta,818$ 186	107.8 107.5 118.2 165.1	994,667 988,648 5,712 307	917, 630 912, 442 4, 973 215	108.4 108.4 114.9 142.8	843, 138 842, 694 5, 191 251	776, 479 771, 906 4, 325 248	109.2 109.2 120.0 101.2
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	982, 192 663, 909 318, 283 157, 429	953,616 639,617 313,898 116,055	103.0 103.8 101.4 135.7	978,784 647,757 331,027 170,883	934, 101 613, 311 320, 790 134, 899	$104.8 \\ 105.6 \\ 103.2 \\ 126.7$	808,272 647,999 260,273 180,376	768, 88 <b>6</b> 515, 972 252, 914 143, 558	$105.1 \\ 106.2 \\ 102.9 \\ 125.6$	696, 125 146, 569	656,921 114,985	106.0 127.5
Missouri							•					
Total White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1,687,813 1,606,556 80,489 768	1,605,522 1,628,376 76,963 183	105.1 105.1 104.6 419.7	1,695,710 1,613,977 81,206 527	1,510,955 1,430,866 80,028 61	105.6 105.8 101.5 ( <sup>1</sup> )	1,385,239 1,309,425 75,336 478	1,293,946 1,219,033 74,848 65	$ \begin{array}{c} 107.1 \\ 107.4 \\ 100.7 \\ (^1) \end{array} $	1,127,187 1,054,879 72,153 155	<b>1,041,193</b> 967,947 73,197 49	108.3 109.0 98.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white	1,474,700 1,218,666 256,134 131,856	1,431,336 1,169,269 262,067 97,040	103.0 104.2 97.7 135.9	$1,394,412 \\1,131,934 \\262,478 \\119,565$	1,334,656 1,072,940 281,716 96,210	104.6 105.5 100.3 124.3	1, 177, 793 957, 817 219, 976 131, 632	1,116,383 898,660 217,723 102,650	105.5 106.6 101.0 128.2	933, 442	878,025 	106.3
North Dakota				l i i								
Total. White. Negro. Indian Chinese and Jananese	317, 554 313, 851 381 3 322	259, 502 256, 004 236 3, 262	122.4 122.6 161.4	177, 493 173, 676 173 3 644	141,853 138,036 113 3,504	<b>125.3</b> 125.8 153.1	105,839 101,395 219 4,025	85, 344 81, 012 154	$123.8 \\ 125.2 \\ 142.2 \\ 96.3 \\$	2 23, 191	* 13, 718	169.1
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage	221, 221 89, 162 132, 059 92, 630	192, 476 73, 299 119, 177 63 528	114.9 121.6 110.8	107,531 37,306 70,225 66,145	91, 691 28, 505 63, 086 46, 445	117.4 130.9 111.3	54, 477 21, 072 33, 405 46, 018	46,582 16,640 29,942	116.9 126.6 111.6			
South Dakota	02,000	00,020	110.0	00,110	10,110	11	10,010	04, 100	10010			
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	<b>317, 112</b> 306, 952 468 9, 692	<b>268, 778</b> 256, 819 349 <b>9,</b> 608	118.9 119.6 134.1 100.9	216, 164 205, 938 272 9, 954	185, 406 174, 776 193 10, 437	118.6 117.8 140.9 95.4	<b>189, 913</b> 179, 719 363 9, 831	168, 687 148, 291 178 10, 218	119.7 121.2 203.9 96.2	2 59, 105	* 39, 183	150.9
Native white	247, 258 133, 071 114, 185 59, 696	216, 887 112, 581 103, 306 40, 932	114.5 118.2 110.5 145.8	164, 971 73, 424 81, 547 50, 967	137, 414 62, 767 74, 647 37, 362	112.8 117.0 109.2 136.4	127, 071 69, 722 57, 349 52, 648	110, 096 58, 230 51, 866 38, 195	115.4 119.7 110.6 137.8			
Nebraska												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	827, 782 621, 042 4, 259 2, 481	684, 432 559, 251 3, 430 1, 751	<b>111.2</b> <b>111.0</b> 124.2 141.7	<b>564, 592</b> 559, 339 3, 368 1, 885	501,708 497,187 2,901 1,620	112.5 112.5 116.1 116.4	<b>574,707</b> 566,001 5,243 3,463	<b>487, 949</b> 481, 095 3, 670 3, 184	117.8 117.6 142.9 108.8	249,241 247,815 1,296 130	203, 161 201, 949 1, 089 123	122.7 122.7 119.0 105.7
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	619, 461 334, 144 185, 317 101, 581	484,967 307,931 177,036 74,284	$   \begin{array}{r}     107.1 \\     108.6 \\     104.7 \\     136.7   \end{array} $	459, 627 291, 853 167, 774 99, 712	419, 782 261, 671 158, 111 77, 405	109.5 111.5 106.1 128.8	450, 254 320, 008 130, 246 115, 747	394, 598 274, 424 120, 174 86, 497	114.1 116.6 108.4 133.8	191, 081 56, 734	161,332 	118.4
Kansas											•	
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	885,912 856,437 27,964 1,511	805,037 777,915 26,066 1,058	110.0 110.1 107.3 143.1	768,718 740,922 26,542 1,252	701,779 675,397 25,461 921	109.5 109.7 104.2 135.9	752, 647 726, 344 25, 248 1, 055	676, 461 650, 275 24, 462 724	111.4 111.7 103.2 145.7	538,667 514,084 22,152 431	<b>459, 429</b> <b>438, 071</b> <b>20, 955</b> <b>403</b>	<b>116.</b> 8 117. 4 105. 7 106. 9
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	775, 343 624, 953 150, 390 81, 094	723, 819 582, 104 141, 715 54, 098	107.1 107.4 106.1 149.9	$\begin{array}{r} 668, 682 \\ 526, 427 \\ 142, 255 \\ 72, 240 \end{array}$	621,060 487,228 133,832 54,337	107.7 108.0 106.3 132.9	641, 502 519, 161 122, 341 84, 842	687, 487 473, 231 114, 256 62, 788	109.2 109.7 107.1 135.1	449,080 65.004	393, 131 	114.2 144.6
SOUTH ATLANTIC.												
Delaware												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	103, 435 87, 387 16, 011 37	98, 887 83, 715 15, 170 2	104.6 104.4 105.5 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>94, 158</b> 78, 486 15, 616 56	<b>90,577</b> 75,491 15,081 5	104.0104.0103.5(1)	85,573 71,078 14,465 40	82, 920 68, 988 13, 931 1	103.2 103.0 103.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	74,108 60,777 13,327 4	72, 500 59, 383 13, 115 2	102.2 102.3 101.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	77, 463 64, 680 12, 783 9, 924	76, 219 63, 129 13, 090 7, 496	101.6 102.5 97.7 132.4	70, 956 69, 848 11, 108 7, 530	69,292 68,181 11,111 8,199	102.4 102.9 100.0 121.5	64,078 55,305 8,773 7,000	62, 892 54, 050 8, 842 6, 096	101.9 102.3 99.2 114.8	55, 945 4, 832	54, 775 4, 608	102.1

Ratio not shown, the number of females heing less than 100.
 Distribution by sex of the color or race elements in 1880 not available for North and South Dakota separately.

MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1880-1910-Continued.

Table 21-Continued.		1910			1900			1890			1880	
DIVISION OR STATE AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
SOUTH ATLANTIC-Continued.	·											
Maryland												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	844, 225 529, 072 114, 749 404	851, 121 533, 567 117, 501 53	98.9 99.2 97.7 ( <sup>1</sup> )	689,275 473,119 115,617 539	598,769 479,305 119,447 17	98.4 98.7 96.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	\$16,691 409,805 105,684 202	626,699 416,688 109,973 38	97.8 98.3 96.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	482,187 359,670 102,505 12	472,756 365,023 107,725 8	97.8 98.5 95.2 (1)
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage foreign-born white.	474, 755 381, 395 93, 360 54, 317	483, 710 385, 232 98, 478 49, 857	98.1 99.0 94.8 108.9	426, 114 338, 421 87, 693 47, 005	433, 166 341, 628 91, 538 46, 139	98.4 99.1 95.8 101.9	362, 214 285, 129 77, 085 47, 591	370, 492 291, 156 79, 336 46, 196	97.8 97.9 97.2 103.0	317, 499 42, 171	324, 668 40, 357	97.8 104.5
District of Columbia												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	158, 050 115, 001 42, 615 434	173, 019 121, 127 51, 831 61	<b>91</b> .3 94,9 82.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	132, 004 93, 197 38, 348 459	146, 714 98, 335 48, 354 25	90.0 94.8 79.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	109, 684 75, 753 33, 721 110	<b>120, 808</b> 78, 942 41, 851 15	80.7 96.0 80.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	83,678 57,320 26,238 20	84, 046 60, 686 33, 358 2	88.9 94.5 78.7 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	102,084 80,507 21,577 12,917	109, 693 86, 204 23, 489 11, 434	$93.1 \\93.4 \\91.9 \\113.0$	82, 984 64, 947 18, 037 10, 213	89,028 69,126 19,902 9,307	93.2 94.0 90.6 109.7	66,073 52,354 13,719 9,680	70, 105 54, 955 15, 150 8, 837	94.2 95.3 90.6 109.5	48, 670 8, 650	52, 356 8, 330	93.0 103.8
Virginia		1 000 004	100.0	0.05 907	<b>0</b> 00 907	00.7	904 070	821 709	00.1	745 690	766 078	07.9
Total White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	1,035,348 704,363 330,542 443	1, 026, 204 685, 446 340, 554 264	100.8 102.8 97.1 167.8	601,996 323,459 442	590, 859 337, 263 165	101.9 95.9 267.9	513, 181 310, 828 269	506, 941 324, 610 151	101.2 95.8 178.1	436, 611 308, 935 -43	444, 247 322, 681 48	98.3 95.7 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white	687, 635 667, 946 19, 689 16, 728	675, 546 657, 292 18, 254 9, 900	101.8 101.6 107.9 169.0	589, 962 573, 148 16, 814 12, 034	583,825 568,065 15,760 7,034	101.1 100.9 106.7 171.1	500, 986 487, 750 13, 236 12, 195	500, 947 489, 008 11, 939 5, 994	100.0 99.7 110.9 203.5	427, 847 8, 764	438, 401 5, 846	97.6 149.9
West Virginia				100 010		100.0	000 007	070 000	104.9	014 405	000 000	102 5
Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	644,044 607,326 36,607 111	577, 075 549, 491 27, 566 18	111.8 110.5 132.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	499,242 474,013 25,167 62	409,008 441,220 18,332 6	108.6 107.4 137.3 (1)	390,286 372,270 17,991 24	372, 509 357, 807 14, 699 3	104.8 104.0 122.4 (1)	314, 490 300, 992 13, 482 21	291, 545 12, 404 13	103.2 108.7 (1)
Native white	566, 027 536, 985 29, 042 41, 299	533,718 505,122 28,596 15,773	106.1 106.3 101.6 261.8	459, 849 435, 110 24, 739 14, 164	433,005 408,871 24,134 8,215	$106.2 \\ 106.4 \\ 102.5 \\ 172.4$	361, 480 340, 901 20, 579 10, 790	349,745 329,313 20,432 8,062	103.4 103.5 100.7 133.8	291, 039 9, 953	283,270 8,275	102.7 120.3
North Carolina												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	1,098,476 754,852 339,581 4,043	1,107,811 745,659 358,262 3,890	99.2 101.2 94.8 103.9	938,677 632,155 303,624 2,898	955, 133 631, 448 320, 845 2, 840	98.3 100.1 94.6 102.0	<b>799, 151</b> 523, 155 275, 230 766	818, 798 532, 227 285, 788 783	97.6 98.3 96.3 97.8	887,908 424,944 262,363 601	711, 842 442, 298 268, 914 630	96.8 96.1 97.6 95.4
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-horn white	751, 107 746, 715 4, 392 3, 745	743, 462 739, 003 4, 459 2, 197	101.0 101.0 98.5 170.5	$\begin{array}{r} 629,443\\ 625,249\\ 4,194\\ 2,712 \end{array}$	629,766 625,562 4,204 1,682	99.9 99.9 99.8 161.2	520, 839 517, 126 3, 713 2, 316	530, 881 527, 357 3, 524 1, 346	98.1 98.1 105.4 172.1	422, 572 	440, 978	95.8 179.7
South Carolina	0,120											
Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	751, 842 343, 544 408, 078 220	<b>763, 558</b> 335, 617 427, 765 176	98.5 102.4 95.4 125.0	<b>884, 895</b> 281, 147 383, 626 122	675, 421 276, 660 398, 695 66	98.4 101.6 96.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	572, 337 230, 405 341, 821 111	<b>578, 812</b> 231, 603 347, 113 96	98.9 99.5 98.5 ( <sup>1</sup> )	490, 408 192, 544 297, 787 77	605, 169 198, 561 306, 545 63	97.1 97.0 97.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	339,825 334,338 5,487 3,719	333,282 327,632 5,650 2,335	102.0 102.0 97.1 159.3	277, 988 272, 312 5, 676 3, 159	274, 448 268, 454 5, 994 2, 212	101.3 101.4 94.7 142.8	226, 814 221, 617 5, 297 3, 591	229,051 223,678 5,373 2,552	99.0 99.0 98.6 140.7	188, 285 	195, 366 3, 195	96.4 133.3
Georgia												
Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	1,305,019 724,488 580,263 268	1,304,102 707,314 596,724 64	100.1 102.4 97.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	1,103,201 593,128 509,869 204	1, 113, 130 588, 166 524, 944 20	99.1 100.8 97.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	919, 925 489, 707 430, 072 146	917, 428 488, 660 428, 743 35	100, 3 100, 2 100, 3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	762, 981 403, 744 359, 157 80	779, 199 413, 162 365, 976 61	97.9 97.7 98.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-horn white	714,970 702,049 12,921 9,518	701,760 689,009 12,751 5,554	101 9 101.9 101.3 171.4	585, 845 573, 536 12, 309 7, 283	583, 428 570, 824 12, 604 4, 738	100.4 100.5 97.7 153.7	482,285 472,500 9,785 \$7,422	484, 180 474, 282 9, 898 4, 470	99.6 98.6 98.9 166.0	397, 452 6, 292	409, 121 4, 041	97.1
Florida	0,010	0,001		.,			8					
Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	394, 168 232, 545 161, 362 259	368, 453 211, 089 147, 307 57	110.0 110.2 109.5 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>275, 246</b> 154, 728 120, 199 319	253, 298 142, 605 110, 531 160	<b>108.7</b> 108.5 108.7 199.4	201,947 117,766 83,967 214	189,475 107,183 82,213 79	106.6 109.9 102.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	136,444 73,264 63,068 112	<b>133, 049</b> 69, 341 <b>63, 622</b> 86	102.6 105.7 99.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	211,840 193,802 18,038 20,705	197,952 180,165 17,787 13,137	107.0 107.6 101.4 157.6	$143,468 \\131,380 \\12,088 \\11,260$	134,608 122,652 11,956 7,997	106.6 107.1 101.1 140.8	106,865 98,846 8,019 10,901	99,906 92,152 7,754 7,277	107.0 107.3 103.4 149.8	<b>68,698</b> <b>4,566</b>	66, 204 3, 137	103.8

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

#### **26**8

## MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1880-1910-Continued.

	the second se										-	
Table 21-Continued.		1910			1900			1890			1880	
DIVISION OR STATE AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 70- males.
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL.					-	-	-	•			-	
Kentucky							•					
Total White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	1,161,709 1,030,033 131,492 184	1, 128, 196 997, 918 130, 164 114	103.0 103.2 101.0 161.4	1,090,227 948,048 142,073 106	1,056,947 914,261 142,633 53	103.1 103.7 99.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	942, 758 809, 140 133, 547 71	915,877 781,322 134,524 31	102.9 103.6 99.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	832, 590 698, 757 133, 798 35	816,100 678,422 137,653 25	102.0 103.0 97.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	1,008,422948,86459,55821,611	979, 476 914, 330 65, 146 18, 442	103.0 103.8 91.4 117.2	921,608 854,002 67,606 26,440	890,568 819,411 71,157 23,693	103.5 104.2 95.0 111.6	777,049 715,523 61,526 32,091	754,173 691,395 62,778 27,149	103.0 103.5 98.0 118.2	666, 865 31, 892	650,860 27,562	102.5
Tennessee												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1, 103, 491 869, 622 233, 710 159	1,081,298 841,810 239,378 110	102.1 103.3 97.6 144.5	1,021,224 782,702 238,388 134	999,392 757,484 241,855 53	102.2 103.3 98.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	891,585 677,939 213,521 125	875,933 658,698 217,157 78	101.8 102.9 98.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	769, 277 571, 603 197, 467 207	773,082 567,228 205,684 170	99.5 100.8 96.0 121.8
Native white	858,475 839,497 18,978 11,147	834,498 815,109 19,389 7,312	102.9 103.0 97.9 152.4	772, 411 751, 719 20, 692 10, 291	750, 189 729, 917 20, 272 7 295	103.0 103.0 102.1 141.1	665,815 648,906 16,909 12,124	650,923 634,575 16,348 7,775	102.3 102.3 103.4 155.0	561,754	560, 482	100.2
Alabama		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		10,201	1,200	121.1	12,124	1,115	100.9	9,849	0,740	140.0
Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1,074,209 625,891 447,794 524	<b>1,063,884</b> 602,941 460,488 455	101.0 103.8 97.2 115.2	916, 764 507, 378 409, 237 149	911, 933 493, 774 418, 070 89	100.5 102.8 97.9 (1)	757,605 420,072 336,997 536	755, 796 413, 646 341, 492 658	100.2 101.6 98.7 81.5	622, 629 327, 517 295, 001 111	639, 876 334, 668 305, 102 106	97.3 97.9 96.7 104.7
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	614,065 597,894 16,171 11,826	595,811 579,565 16,246 7,130	$103.1 \\ 103.2 \\ 99.5 \\ 165.9$	498, 429 483, 164 15, 265 8, 949	488, 385 473, 494 14, 891 5, 389	102.1 102.0 102.5 166.1	410,893 399,410 11,483 9,179	408,221 397,011 11,210 5,425	$   \begin{array}{r}     100.7 \\     100.6 \\     102.4 \\     169.2   \end{array} $	321,700	330, 964	97.2
Mississippi								-,			0,101	10,00
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	905,760 402,056 502,796 908	891, 354 384, 055 506, 691 608	$101.6 \\ 104.7 \\ 99.2 \\ 149.3$	<b>781, 451</b> 326, 710 453, 384 1, 357	769, 819 314, 490 454, 246 1, 083	101.5 103.9 99.8 125.3	649,687 276,215 372,278 1,194	639,913 268,636 370,281 996	101.5 102.8 100.5 119.9	567, 177 243, 226 322, 959 992	564, 420 236, 172 327, 332 916	100.5 103.0 98.7 108.3
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	396,098 386,337 9,761 5,958	380, 624 370, 896 9, 728 3, 431	$104.1 \\ 104.2 \\ 100.3 \\ 173.7$	321,684 311,835 9,849 5.026	311, 891 302, 232 9, 659 2, 599	$103.1 \\ 103.2 \\ 102.0 \\ 193.4$	271,141 262,566 8,575 5,074	265,986 257,788 8,198 2,650	$101.9 \\ 101.9 \\ 104.6 \\ 191.5$	237, 205	233,198	101.7
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL.	5,000	0,101	10.1	0,020	2,000	100.4	0,014	2,000	191.0	0,021	2,974	202.5
Arkansas												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	810,026 586,420 223,323 283	7 <b>64, 423</b> 544, 606 2 <b>19,</b> 568 249	106.0 107.7 101.7 113.7	675, 312 489, 870 185, 342 100	636,252 454,710 181,514 28	106.1 107.7 102.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	585,787 426,510 159,014 263	<b>542, 424</b> 392, 242 150, 103 79	108.0 108.7 105.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	416,279 308,706 107,331 242	386, 246 282, 825 103, 335 86	107.8 109.2 103.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	575, 813 556, 409 19, 404 10, 607	$538,304 \\ 521,100 \\ 17,204 \\ 6,302$	107.0 106.8 112.8 168.3	480,959 463,334 17,625 8,911	449, 435 434, 334 15, 101 5, 275	107.0 106.7 116.7 168.9	417, 410 404, 612 12, 798 9, 100	387,248 376,338 10,910 4,994	107.8 107.5 117.3 182.2	301, 525	279,831	107.8
Louisiana												
Total White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	835, 275 480, 460 353, 824 991	821, 113 460, 626 360, 050 437	$     \begin{array}{r}       101.7 \\       104.3 \\       98.3 \\       226.8     \end{array} $	694, 733 371, 142 322, 664 927	686, 892 358, 470 328, 140 282	$     \begin{array}{r}       101.1 \\       103.5 \\       98.3 \\       328.7     \end{array} $	<b>559,351</b> 281,524 277,134 693	559,237 276,871 282,059 307	100.0 101.7 98.3 225.7	488, 754 228, 974 238, 879 901	471, 192 225, 980 244, 776 436	99.5 101.3 97.6 206.7
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	450, 817 396, 356 54, 461 29, 643	438, 487 380, 231 58, 256 22, 139	$102.8 \\ 104.2 \\ 93.5 \\ 133.9$	342, 308 290, 111 52, 197 28, 834	335,451 279,851 55,600 23,019	$102.0 \\ 103.7 \\ 93.9 \\ 125.3$	$254,836 \\ 207,849 \\ 46,987 \\ 26,688$	$\begin{array}{r} 254,719\\ 205,241\\ 49,478\\ 22,152 \end{array}$	$100.0 \\ 101.3 \\ 95.0 \\ 120.5$	200, 775	201, 402	99.7 114.7
Oklahoma <sup>2</sup>											, í	
Total. W bite Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	881, 578 771, 770 71, 937 37, 871	775,577 672,761 65,675 37,141	113.7 114.7 109.5 102.0	423, 311 - 362, 626 28, 656 32, 029	387,080 307,578 27,028 32,474	115.3 117.9 106.0 98.6	140,095 95,106 11,655 33,334	118, 562 77, 448 9, 954 31, 160	118.2 122.8 117.1 107.0			
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	746, 100 695, 556 50, 544 25, 670	658, 347 614, 847 43, 500 14, 414	113.3 113.1 116.2 178.1	349, 948 323, 632 26, 316 12, 678	299,866 277,920 21,946 7,712	116.7 116.4 119.9 164.4	93, 310 90, 741 2, 569 1, 796	76, 535 74, 541 1, 994 913	121.9 121.7 128.8 196.7		•	
Texas	.,			,015			2,100	010	100.1			
Total	2,017,628 1,671,437 344,941 1,248	1,878,916 1,533,411 345,108 397	107.4 109.0 100.0 314.4	1,578,900 1,267,670 310,135 1,095	1,469,810 1,158,999 310,587 224	107.4 109.4 99.9 488.8	1, 172, 556 926, 036 245, 461 1, 059	1,062,971 819,899 242,710 362	110.3 112.9 101.1 292.5	837, 840 640, 439 196, 746 655	<b>753, 909</b> 556, 798 <b>196, 638</b> 473	<b>111.1</b> 115.0 100. <b>1</b> 138.5
Netive white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	$1,534,615 \\1,348,808 \\185,807 \\136,822$	1,430,249 1,254,142 176,107 103,162	107.3 107.5 105.5 132.6	1, 166, 760 1, 018, 922 147, 838 100, 910	$1,082,328 \\940,840 \\141,488 \\76,671$	107.8 108.3 104.5 131.6	837, 254 741, 079 96, 175 88, 782	757, 212 667, 801 89, 411 62, 687	110.6 111.0 107.6 141.6	572, 466 67, 973	511, 190 45, 608	112.0

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

<sup>2</sup> Includes population of Indian Territory for 1900 and 1890.

## MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1880-1910-Continued.

Table 2.1-Continued.		1910			1900			1890			1880	
DIVISION OR STATE AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Famale.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
MOUNTAIN.												
Montana												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	226,872 217,620 1,058 8,194	<b>149, 181</b> 142, 960 776 5, 445	152.1 152.2 136.3 150.5	149, 842 139, 139 912 9, 791	83,487 87,144 611 5,732	180.3 159.7 149.3 170.8	<b>93, 117</b> 84, 145 1, 053 7, 919	<b>49,807</b> 43,545 437 5,825	<b>187.</b> 0 193.2 241.0 135.9	<b>28,177</b> 25,522 191 2,464	10,982 9,863 155 964	256.6 258.8 123.2 255.6
Native white	$153,060 \\ 94,467 \\ 58,593 \\ 64,560$	115,87667,66048,21627,084	$132.1 \\ 139.6 \\ 121.5 \\ 238.4$	95, 930 55, 711 40, 219 43, 209	67, 980 37, 226 30, 754 19, 164	$141.1 \\ 149.7 \\ 130.8 \\ 225.5$	54, 121 35, 773 18, 348 30, 024	33, 239 20, 628 12, 611 10, 306	$162.8 \\173.4 \\145.5 \\291.3$	17,708 7,814	8, 190 	216. <b>2</b> 467.1
Idaho												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	185,548 181,237 398 3,911	140,048 137,984 253 1,811	<b>132.5</b> 131.3 157.3 216.0	93,367 88,463 166 4,738	68,405 66,032 127 2,246	136.5 134.0 130.7 211.0	53,348 49,219 118 4,009	35,202 32,898 83 2,221	151.5149.6(1)180.5	<b>21, 818</b> 18, 440 39 3, 339	10,792 10,573 14 205	202. 2 174. 4 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 1,628.8
Native white	$153, 155 \\112, 310 \\40, 845 \\28, 082$	125, 639 91, 289 34, 350 12, 345	121.9 123.0 118.9 227.5	73, 938 50, 424 23, 514 14, 525	58,667 39,427 19,240 7,365	126.0 127.9 122.2 197.2	38, 410 26, 601 11, 809 10, 809	28, 243 18, 898 9, 345 4, 655	$136.0 \\ 140.8 \\ 126.4 \\ 232.2$	13,748 4,692	8,666 1,907	158.6 246.0
Wyoming						-	-					
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	<b>91, 670</b> 87, 497 1, 544 2, 629	54, 295 52, 821 691 783	<b>168.8</b> 165.6 223.4 335.8	58,184 55,843 631 1,710	34, 347 33, 208 309 830	169.4 168.2 204.2 206.0	40, 253 38, 232 652 1, 369	22, 302 21, 092 270 940	$180.5 \\181.3 \\241.5 \\145.6$	14,152 13,026 160 966	8,637 6,411 138 88	213.2 203.2 115.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white	67,382 48,652 18,730 20,115	45,818 32,044 13,774 7,003	$147.1 \\ 151.8 \\ 136.0 \\ 287.2$	44,257 29,736 14,521 11,586	29, 212 18, 246 9, 966 4, 996	156.9 163.0 145.7 231.9	28, 291 19, 579 8, 712 9, 941	16,603 10,795 5,808 4,489	170.4 181.4 150.0 221.5	9, 499 3, 527	5,010	189.6
Colorado		.,			-,		.,	-,		5,021	-,	
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	430, 697 421, 471 5, 867 3, 359	368,327 361,944 5,586 797	116.9 116.4 105.0 421.5	<b>295, 3</b> 32 289, 490 4, 473 1, 369	244, 368 239, 556 4, 097 715	120.9 120.8 109.2 191.5	245,785 240,278 3,602 1,885	167,484 164,256 2,613 615	146.7 146.3 137.8 306.5	129,131 127,041 1,433 657	65, 196 64, 086 1, 002 109	198.1 198.2 143.0 602.8
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage	343, 397 250, 989 92, 408 78, 074	313, 167 224, 147 89, 020	109.7 112.0 103.8	234,068 168,158 65,910	204, 503 143, 177 61, 326	114.5 117.4 107.5	185, 794 141, 720 44, 074	136,234 100,494 35,740	136.4 141.0 123.3	97,860	54, 118	180.8
New Mexico	10,074	40,777	100.1	55,422	30,005	100.1	04,404	20,022	194.4	29, 181	9,907	292.8
Total	175,245	152.056	115.3	104,228	91,082	114.4	86, 401	73, 881	116.9	64.496	55,069	117.1
White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	163, 442 891 10, 912	141, 152 737 10, 167	115.8 120.9 107.3	96,036 1,023 7,169	84, 171 587 6, 324	$ \begin{array}{c} 114.1 \\ 174.3 \\ 113.4 \end{array} $	77, 034 1, 220 8, 147	65, 884 736 7, 261	$116.9 \\ 165.8 \\ 112.2$	58,655 638 5,203	50,066 377 4,626	117.2 169.2 112.5
Native white Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	$\begin{array}{c} 148, 610 \\ 134, 528 \\ 14, 082 \\ 14, 832 \end{array}$	$133,330 \\ 121,081 \\ 12,249 \\ 7,822$	111.5 111.1 115:0 189.6	87,766 78,245 9,521 8,270	79, 180 70, 784 8, 396 4, 991	110.8 110.5 113.4 165.7	69, 883 62, 839 7, 044 7, 151	62,175 56,680 5,495 3,709	$112.4 \\ 110.9 \\ 128.2 \\ 192.8$	53,406 5,249	47,367 2,699	112. <b>7</b> 194.5
Arizona	110 581	05 800	100.0	<b>P1</b> P05	F1 100	1.0.4		0	105.0		10.000	
Negro	118,574100,8711,05416,649	85,780 70,597 955 14,228	138.2 142.9 110.4 117.0	55,230 1,363 15,202	37, 673 485 12, 978	$ \begin{array}{c} 140.4 \\ 146.6 \\ 281.0 \\ 117.1 \end{array} $	50,743 33,494 1,173 16,076	22,240 184 15,076	$   \begin{array}{r}     135.3 \\     150.6 \\     637.5 \\     106.6   \end{array} $	23,202 24,556 104 3,542	12,238 10,604 51 1,583	230.4 231.6 (1) 223.8
Native white	70, 285 47, 370 22, 915 30, 586	54, 359 35, 098 19, 261 16, 238	$\begin{array}{c} 129.3 \\ 135.0 \\ 119.0 \\ 188.4 \end{array}$	41, 041 26, 631 14, 410 14, 189	29,467 18,199 11,268 8,206	139.3 146.3 127.9 172.9	22, 727 14, 949 7, 778 10, 767	15,544 9,295 6,249 6,696	$146.2 \\ 160.8 \\ 124.5 \\ 160.8$	14, 621 	6, 188 4, 416	236.3 225.0
Utah												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	196, 863 192, 118 691 4, 054	176,488 174,465 453 1,570	$\begin{array}{c} 111.5 \\ 110.1 \\ 152.5 \\ 258.2 \end{array}$	141, 687 138, 923 454 2, 310	135,062 133,542 218 1,302	104.9 104.0 208.3 177.4	111,975 108,943 392 2,640	98, 804 96, 982 196 1, 626	113.3 112.3 200.0 162.4	74,509 73,477 124 908	69,454 68,946 108 400	107.3 106.6 114.8 227.0
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Excerging.born white	156, 172 89, 205 66, 967 35, 946	147,018 82,458 64,560 27,447	$106.2 \\ 108.2 \\ 103.7 \\ 131.0$	$112, 195 \\ 53, 438 \\ 58, 757 \\ 26, 728$	107,466 50,588 56,878 26,076	$104.4 \\ 105.6 \\ 103.3 \\ 102.5$	81,684 37,344 44,340 27,259	72,10831,13440,97424,874	$113.3 \\ 119.9 \\ 108.2 \\ 109.6$	51,651 	47,307	109.2
Nevada	00,010	21, 11	251.0	20,120	20,010	1.12.0	21/200	21,011				
Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	52, 551 47, 892 263 4, 396	<b>29,324</b> 26,384 250 2,690	<b>179.2</b> 181.5 105.2 163.4	<b>25,603</b> 21,318 82 4,203	<b>16,732</b> 14,087 52 2,593	153.0151.3(1)162.1	<b>30, 031</b> 24, 405 162 5, 464	17, 324 14, 716 80 2, 528	173.3 165.8 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 216.1	<b>42,019</b> 35,059 308 6,652	20, 247 18, 497 180 1, 570	<b>207.5</b> 189.5 171.1 423.7
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	34,065 21,809 12,256 13,827	22,212 13,517 8,695 4,172	153.4 161.3 141.0 331.4	15,257 8,879 6,378 6,061	11,567 6,232 5,335 2,520	$131.9 \\ 142.5 \\ 119.6 \\ 240.5$	15,894 9,157 6,737 8,511	11,333 5,664 5,669 3,383	140.2 161.7 118.8. 251.6	20,060	13,290 5, <b>2</b> 07	150.9 288.1

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

### 270

MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1880-1910-Continued.

							,					
Table 21-Continued.		1910			1900			1890			1880	
DIVISION OR STATE AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 fe- males.
PACIFIC.												
Washington												
Total White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	658, 663 635, 496 3, 736 19, 431	483, 327 473, 615 2, 322 7, 390	$136.3 \\ 134.2 \\ 160.9 \\ 262.9$	304, 178 288, 647 1, 589 13, 942	213, 925 207, 657 925 5, 343	$142.2 \\139.0 \\171.8 \\260.9$	221,566 211,308 1,104 9,154	135, 668 129, 521 498 5, 647	163.3 163.1 221.7 162.1	45, 973 40, 513 209 5, 251	29,143 26,686 116 2,341	157.7 151.8 180.2 224.3
Native white Native parentage	474,775 324,335	393, 139 261, 051	$120.8 \\ 124.2$	221,569 151,737	172,610 113,331	$128.4 \\ 133.9$	149,996 110,668	104,639 75,210	$143.3 \\ 147.1$	31, 433	23,463	134.0
Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	150,440 160,721	132, 088 80, 476	113.9 199.7	69,832 67,078	59,279 35,047	117.8 191.4	39,328 61,312	29, 429 24, 882	133.6 246.4	9,080	3,223	281.7
Oregon												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	384,265 370,345 907 13,013	288,500 284,745 585 3,170	133.2 130.1 155.0 410.5	232,985 217,483 677 14,825	<b>180, 551</b> 177, 099 428 3, 024	<b>129.0</b> 122.8 158.2 490.2	183,683 171,307 743 11,633	134, 021 130, 675 443 2, 903	<b>137.1</b> 131.1 167.7 400.7	103,381 92,935 270 10,178	71, 387 70, 140 217 1, 030	144.8 132.5 124.4 988.0
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage	300, 585 228, 772 71, 813	251, 504 188, 079 63, 425	$119.5 \\ 121.6 \\ 113.2$	183, 598 138, 782 44, 816	157, 123 117, 343 39, 780	116.8 118.3 112.7	$139,496 \\ 112,865 \\ 26,631$	$114,664 \\91,328 \\23,336$	$121.7 \\ 123.6 \\ 114.1$	78, 161	63, 982	122.2
Foreign-born white	69, 760	33,241	209.9	33,885	19,976	169.6	31, 811	16,011	198.7	14, 774	6,158	239.9
California												
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	1,322,978 1,232,990 11,303 78,685	1,054,571 1,026,682 10,342 17,547	<b>125.6</b> 120.1 109.3 448.4	820,531 755,147 5,766 59,618	664,522 647,580 5,279 11,663	123.6 116.6 109.2 511.2	702,779 617,480 6,347 78,952	510, 619 494, 353 4, 975 11, 291	137.6 124.9 127.6 699.2	<b>518, 176</b> 435, 056 3, 467 79, 653	346, 518 332, 125 2, 551 11, 842	149.5 131.0 135.9 672.6
Native white Native parentage	907, 573 585, 658	834, 849 520, 875	108.7 112.4	563, 335 340, 617	522, 887 303, 811	107.7 112.1	434, 911 270, 975	383, 369 226, 915	113.4 119.4	298,057	251,472	118.5
Foreign-born white	321,915 325,417	313,974 191,833	102.5 169.6	222, 718 191, 812	219,076 124,693	101.7 153.8	163, 936 182, 569	156, 454 110, 984	104.8 164.5	136,999	80, 653	169.9

.

k.

#### MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE NATIVE WHITE POPULATION OF FOREIGN AND OF MIXED PARENTAGE, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1910 AND 1900.

Table 22	NATIVI	e white—fo	REIGN O	R MIXED PA	RENTAGE: 19	010	NATIVI	C WHITE—FO	REIGN O	R MIXED PAI	RENTAGE: 19	00
DIVISION AND STATE.	Forei	gn parentage	ə.	Mixe	ed parentage	-	Forel	gn parentage	э.	Mixe	ed parentage	
	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
United States	6, 456, 793	6, 459, 518	100.0	2, 968, 446	3, 013, 080	98.5	5,341,350	<b>5, 290, 9</b> 30	101. 0	2, 495, 253	2, 518, 484	99.1
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:												
New England	716, 548	744,017	96.3	288, 831	303,313	95.2	549,780	567,313	96.9	227,363	234,588	96.9
Middle Atlantic	2,026,688	2,086,388	97.1	719,876	758,360	94.9	1,553,964	1,589,057	97.8	617, 123	642,023	96.1
East North Central	1,717,144	1,732,871	99.1	819,455	838,964	97.7	1,564,074	1,546,710	101.1	736,076	754,880	97.5
West North Central	1,073,397	1,029,306	104.3	560,363	551,637	101.6	990,120	942.997	105.0	477.461	463, 231	103.1
South Atlantic	135, 885	138, 566	98.1	81,404	83,988	96.9	115,763	118,108	98.0	76,895	79,095	97.2
East South Central	59 965	63,950	93.8	44,503	46.559	95.6	64,730	66.318	97.6	48,682	49,661	98.0
Wast South Central	187 125	176 907	105.8	123 091	118 160	104.2	146 025	139, 756	104.5	97 951	94 379	103.8
Kountain	108 041	171 968	115 9	128 755	118 157	109.0	144 257	121 008	118 2	88 073	81 165	109 6
DooiSo	242,000	315 545	109.4	202 168	102 042	104.9	212 627	108 673	107.0	124 790	110 462	104 4
Paciac.	342,000	010,040	108.4	202,108	133, 542	104.2	212,037	150,073	107.0	124,123	115,402	10-37.46
NEW ENGLAND:	07.000	90 905	101.0	01.000	00 500	101.0	00 500	00 004	100.4	04.050	00.044	100.4
Maine.	37,060	30,395	101.8	31,000	30,500	101.6	29, 502	28,804	102.4	24,209	23,044	102.0
New Hampshire	33,167	34,434	96.3	17,455	18,061	90.6	26,423	26,859	98.4	13,232	13,702	90.0
Vermont	19,769	19,738	100.2	17,454	18,094	96.5	19,228	19,011	101.1	17,160	17, 297	99.2
Massachusetts	414,487	432,333	95.9	156,778	166,849	94.0	319,059	331,635	96.2	120,678	126,014	95.8
Rhode Island	70, 505	73,765	95.6	24, 419	25,957	94.1	50, 792	53,295	95.3	17,699	18,506	95.6
Connecticut	141,560	147,352	96.1	41,725	43,852	95.1	104,776	107,709	97.3	34, 335	35, 425	96.9
MIDDLE ATLANTIC:												
New York	1,100,490	1,141,347	96.4	371,790	393, 621	94.5	867,081	894, 787	96.9	319,481	334,496	95.5
New Jersey	284,257	291,754	97.4	98, 518	103,268	95.4	199,084	203, 809	97.7	74,913	78,488	95.4
Pennsylvania	641,941	653,287	98.3	249,568	261,471	95.4	487, 799	490, 461	99.5	222,729	229,039	97.2
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:												
Ohio	329,185	342,090	96.2	172,778	180,340	95.8	302, 624	309, 894	97.7	166,654	171,692	97.1
Indiana	105, 719	105,289	100.4	68,943	70,600	97.7	109,473	106,312	103.0	74,062	74,600	99.3
Illinois	611, 275	620,880	98.5	242, 594	249,098	97.4	535,114	535,097	100.0	212,508	215,754	98.5
Michigan	306,580	304,739	100.6	176,012	177.651	99.1	269,952	263,595	102.4	149,102	149,004	100.1
Wisconsin	364, 385	359,873	101.3	159,128	161,375	98.6	346,911	331, 812	104.6	133, 750	143,830	93.0
WEST NORTH CENTRAL	00-9000	,		,	,			,				
Minnesote	339 926	327 534	103.8	137 466	136 210	100.9	306.375	291, 425	105.1	105,900	102, 621	103.2
1000	200 440	195 101	102.0	117 843	118 707	00.0	213 437	205 686	103.8	117 590	115 104	102.2
Missouri	154 249	157 695	07.0	101 702	104 399	07.5	150 048	159 162	100.5	102 530	102 554	100.0
Missouri	104, 342	05 194	111 5	101,792	24 042	97.0	E4 046	109,102	117 7	16 170	14 459	111 0
North Dakota	94,920	80,134	111.5	37,139	34,043	109.1	54,040	48,034	111.1	10,178	14,402	107.2
South Daketa	75,450	07, 595	111.0	38,735	35,711	108.5	38,113	32,802	110.1	20,404	21,040	107.0
Nebraska	120, 281	114,389	105.2	65,036	62, 647	103.8	114,418	107,565	105.4	53,355	50, 546	105.0
Kansas	88,038	81,868	107.5	62,352	59,847	104.2	83,783	77,723	107.8	58,472	56,109	104.2
SOUTH ATLANTIC:												
Delaware	8,694	8,872	98.0	4,089	4,218	96.9	7,382	7,385	100.0	3,726	3,726	100.0
Maryland	63,440	66,881	94.9	29,920	31,597	94.7	58,392	60, 796	96.0	29,301	30,742	95.3
District of Columbia	12, 806	13, 716	93.4	8,771	9,773	89.7	10, 751	11,698	91.9	7,286	8, 204	88.8
Virginia	11,348	10, 265	110.6	8,341	7,989	104.4	8,960	8,139	110.1	7,854	7,621	103.1
West Virginia	17,898	17,509	102.2	11,144	11,087	100.5	13,616	13,222	103.0	11,123	10,912	101.9
North Carolina	1,947	1,939	100.4	'2, 445	2,520	97.0	1,656	1,665	99.6	2, 638	2, 539	100.0
South Carolina	2,809	2,950	95.2	2,678	2,700	99.2	2,850	3,086	92.4	2,826	2,908	97.2
Georgia	6,734	6,498	103.6	6,187	6,253	98.9	5,971	6,035	98.9	6,338	6,569	96.5
Florida	10,209	9,936	102.7	7,829	7,851	99.7	6,185	6,082	101.7	5,903	5,874	100.5
EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:												
Kentucky	36.314	40,209	90.3	23,244	24,937	93.2	41,868	44,368	94.4	25,738	26,789	96.1
Tennessee	10,206	10,366	98.5	8,772	9,023	97.2	10,801	10,480	103.1	9, 891	9,792	101.0
Alabama	8,850	8,817	100.4	7,321	7.429	98.5	7,798	7,388	105.5	7,467	7,603	99.5
Mississippi	4, 595	4,558	100.8	5,166	5,170	99.9	4.263	4,082	104.4	5,586	5,677	100.2
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:	-,											
Arkansas	9,984	8,403	118.8	9,420	8,801	107.0	8,355	6.844	122.1	9,270	8,257	112.3
Louisiana.	33, 181	35, 208	94.2	21,280	23,048	92.3	30, 715	32,602	94.2	21,482	22,998	93.4
Oklahoma I	27 072	22 805	118 7	93 479	20 695	113 4	13 554	11,129	121.8	12,762	10.817	118.0
Texas	116 888	110 491	105 8	68 919	65 616	105.0	93 401	89, 181	104.7	54,437	52, 307	104.1
MOTINTAIN	110,000	110,451	- 100.0	00,010	00,010	100.0	50,401	00,101	102.1	01,201	02,001	
Montana	20 022	20 572	104.4	20 500	17 642	116 5	96 724	10 512	127.0	13 485	11 242	120.0
Idaha	00,000	30, 373	102 4	20,500	16,045	110.0	12 150	10,012	100 7	10,260	0.010	114 9
Wyoming	22,135	17,940	123.4	18,710	10,410	114.0	13,152	10,221	140.7	5 200	2 740	141 1
Colorado	11,499	8,252	139.3	7,231	5,522	130.9	9,232	0,218	140.0	0, 209	0, 148	102.0
Now Morie	08,884	55,863	105.4	33,524	33,157	101.1	41,788	37,904	110.2	24,122	23,422	107.0
New Mexico	7,741	6,669	116.1	6,341	5,580	113.6	5,250	4,427	118.6	4,271	3,909	107.0
Arizona	14,371	11,746	122.3	8,544	7,515	113.7	8,783	6,683	131.4	5,627	4,585	122.7
Utan.	38,042	35,941	105.8	28,925	28, 619	101.1	35,377	33, 827	104.6	23,380	23,051	101.4
Nevada	7,336	4,984	147.2	4,920	3,711	132.6	3,941	3,206	122.9	2,437	2,129	114.5
PACIFIC:												
Washington	94,038	80,807	116.4	56, 402	51,281	110.0	43,476	35,946	120.9	26,356	23,333	113.0
Oregon	42,693	36, 643	116.5	29,120	26,782	108.7	26, 330	22, 728	115.8	18, 486	17,052	108.4
California	205, 269	198,095	103.6	116,646	115,879	100.7	142, 831	139, 999	102.0	79,887	79,077	101.0

<sup>1</sup> Includes population of Indian Territory for 1900.

#### $\mathbf{272}$

#### MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE INDIAN, CHINESE, AND JAPANESE POPU-LATION, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1910.

Table 23		INDIAN.			CHINESE.			JAPANESE.			ALL OTHER	
DIVISION AND STATE.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
United States	135, 133	130, 550	103.5	66, 856	4,675	1,430.1	63, 070	9,087	694.1	3, 092	83	(1)
GEOGRAPHIC DIVISONS:												
New England	1,056	1,020	103.5	3, 415	84	(1)	247	25	(1)	7	7	(1)
Middle Atlantic	4,103	3,614	113.5	7,903	286	2,763.3	1,428	215	664.2	18	3	(1)
East North Central	9, 514	8,741	108.8	3,309	106	3,121.7	415	67	(1)	9	2	(1)
West North Central	20,973	20,433	102.6	1,149	46	(1)	948	52	(1)	37		•••••
East South Central	4,082	4,472	102.5	1,507	75	(4)	119	37		11	1	(1)
West South Central	38 670	1,207	107.8	389	25	(1)	24	2		107	3	(4)
Mountain	38,503	36,835	101.5	5.365	249	2,154.6	10.046	401	2 505 2	190	- 6	
Pacific	16,377	16,081	101.8	42,565	3,755	1,133.6	49,481	8.222	601.8	2.706	49	Ö
NEW ENGLAND:												
Maine	465	427	108.9	108	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		13					
New Hampshire	16	18	(1)	67			1				•••••	•••••
Vermont	13	13	(1)	8		••••••	2	1	(1)		•••••	•••••
Massachusetts	352	336	104.8	2,518	64	(1)	136	15	(1)	7	7	(1)
Connecticut	134	150	89.3	262	10	(4) (1)	31	2	(1)	•••••	•••••	•••••
MIDULE ATLANTIC:	10	10	(4)	402	10	(*)	04	1	(4)		•••••	•••••
New York	3,075	2.971	103.5	5,065	201	2,519,9	1.080	167	646.7	16	3	(1)
New Jersey	115	53	(1)	1,089	50	(1)	175	31	(1)			
Pennsylvania	913	590	154.7	1,749	35	(1)	173	17	(1)	2		
EAST NORTH CENTRAL:												
Ohio	64	63	(1)	554	15	(1)	63	13	(1)		•••••	
Indiana	153	126	121.4	268	8	(1)	36	2	(1)	2	·····	
Highigan	98	90	(4)	2,030	73	(1)	247	38	(1)	4	•••••	
Wisconsin	5,908	3,001	106.5	239	2	(1)	38	11	(1)	1	1	(4)
WEST NORTH CENTRAL:	3,231	4,911	100.5	218	ð	(4)	51	3	(4)	2	1	(4)
Minnesota	4,578	4,475	102.3	266	9	(1)	57	10	(1)	2		
Iowa	304	167	182.0	93	4	(1)	30	6	(1)	3		
Missouri	156	157	99.4	515	20	(1)	93	6	(1)	4		
North Dakota	3,224	3,262	98.8	39			59					
South Dakota	9,540	9, 597	99.4	110	11	(1)	42		•••••		•••••	
Nebraska	1,777	1,725	103.0	110	2	(1)	566	24	(1)	. 28		•••••
Kansas	1,394	1,050	132.8	16	•••••	•••••	101	6	(1)	•••••	•••••	•••••
Delaware	4	1		20								
Maryland	19	36	(1)	373	5	(1)	12	12	(1)			
District of Columbia	38	30	(1)	347	22	(1)	39	8	(1)	10	1	(1)
Virginia	285	254	112.2	144	10	(1)	14					
West Virginia	19	17	(1)	90			2	1	(1)			
North Carolina	3, 964	3,887	102.0	77	3	(1)	2		• • • • • • • • • • • •			
South Carolina	165	166	99.4	51	6	(1)	4	4	(1)			
Georgia	47	48	(1)	218	15	(1)	3	1	(1)			
FIORIDA	41	33	(4)	178	13	(4)	39	11	(1)	1	•••••	•••••
Kentucky.	124	110	112.7	40	3	(1)	11	1	(1)			
Tennessee	107	109	98.2	43			8	· · · · · ·		1	1	(1)
Alabama	454	455	99.8	62			4			4		
Mississippi	670	583	114.9	235	22	(1)	1	1	(1)	2	2	(1)
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:												
Arkansas	216	244	88.5	57	5	(1)	9	•••••	•••••	1	•••••	
Louisiana	385	395	97.5	478	29	(1)	29	2	(1)	99	11	(1)
Texes	37,690	37,130	101.5	137	2		44	4	(1)		·····	(1)
MOUNTAIN :	019	040	. 111.5	064	10	(c)	200	00	()	· · ·	•	(.)
Montana	5,384	5,361	100.4	1.227	58	(1)	1,559	26	(1)	24		
Idaho	1,767	1,721	102.7	839	20	(1)	1,293	70	(1)	12		
Wyoming	760	726	104.7	237	9	(1)	1,549	47	(1)	83	1	(1)
Colorado	810	672	120.5	356	17	(1)	2,192	108	2,029.6	1		
New Mexico	10, 420	10,153	102.6	243	5	(1)	249	9	(1)			
Arizona	15,056	14,145	106.4	1,242	63	(1)	351	20	(1)			
Vtan	1,673	1,450	115.4	345	26	(1)	2,021	89	(1)	15	5	(1)
PACIFIC:	2,633	2,007	101.0	876	51	(1)	832	32	(1)	55	••••••	•••••
Washington.	5.487	5.510	99.6	2.519	190	1.325.8	11.241	1,688	665.9	184	2	
Oregon	2,534	2,556	99.1	7,043	320	2,200.9	3,124	294	1,062.6	312		
California	8,356	8,015	104.3	33,003	3,245	1,017.0	35,116	6,240	562.8	2,210	47	(1)
					100 C			and the second				

<sup>1</sup>Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

## MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN URBAN AND RURAL COMMUNITIES,

	Table 24			RUR	АІ.,					URB	AN.		
	DIVISION AND CLASS OF		1910			1900	_		1910			1900	
		Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male,	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
12345678	UNITED STATES. Total. White. Negro. Ind., Chi., Jap., and all other. Native white. Native parentago. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-horn white.	25, 836, 096 22, 048, 566 3, 606, 397 181, 133 19, 759, 420 16, 335, 665 3, 423, 755 2, 289, 146	23, 612, 787 19, 851, 478 3, 532, 137 129, 172 18, 430, 448 15, 303, 266 3, 127, 182 1, 421, 030	109.9 111.1 102.1 140.2 107.2 106.7 109.5 161.1	23, 518, 259 19, 907, 997 3, 448, 850 161, 412 17, 907, 250 14, 708, 443 3, 193, 807 2, 000, 747	21,679,131 18,183,209 3,379,172 116,750 16,792,269 13,860,250 2,932,019 1,390,940	108.5 109.5 102.1 138.3 106.6 106.1 109.1 143.8	$\begin{array}{c} 21, 496, 181\\ 20, 129, 679\\ 1, 279, 484\\ 87, 013\\ 14, 895, 037\\ 8, 893, 553\\ 6, 001, 484\\ 5, 234, 642 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21, 127, 202} \\ \textbf{19, 702, 234} \\ \textbf{1, 409, 745} \\ \textbf{15, 223} \\ \textbf{15, 301, 507} \\ \textbf{8, 956, 091} \\ \textbf{6, 345, 416} \\ \textbf{4, 400, 727} \end{array}$	101. 7 102. 2 90. 8 571. 6 97. 3 99. 3 94. 6 118. 9	<b>15, 298, 189</b> <b>14, 293, 738</b> <b>937, 697</b> <b>66, 754</b> <b>10, 779, 200</b> <b>6, 141, 404</b> <b>4, 637, 796</b> <b>3, 514, 538</b>	$\begin{array}{c} 16, 498, 998\\ 14, 424, 252\\ 1, 068, 275\\ 6, 469\\ 11, 116, 660\\ 6, 239, 265\\ 4, 877, 395\\ 3, 307, 592 \end{array}$	98.7 99.1 87.8 1,031.9 97.0 98.4 95.1 106.3
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6	NEW ENGLAND. Total White Ind., Chi., Jap., and all other Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white. MIDDLE ATLANTIC.	568,315 564,484 3,087 744 487,097 391,595 95,502 77,387	<b>529,021</b> 526,063 2,342 616 465,554 374,340 91,314 60,409	107.4 107.3 131.8 120.8 104.6 104.6 104.6 104.6 128.1	577, 495 573, 786 2, 925 784 501, 978 415, 721 86, 257 71, 808	$544, 343 \\ 541, 125 \\ 2, 644 \\ 574 \\ 483, 979 \\ 403, 053 \\ 80, 926 \\ 57, 146$	<b>106. 1</b> 106. 0 110. 6 136. 6 103. 7 103. 1 106. 6 125. 7	2, 698, 799 2, 663, 122 29, 696 3, 981 1, 812, 172 902, 295 909, 877 850, 950	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2,758,546}\\ \textbf{2,726,845}\\ \textbf{31,181}\\ \textbf{520}\\ \textbf{1,901,205}\\ \textbf{945,189}\\ \textbf{956,016}\\ \textbf{825,640} \end{array}$	97. 8 97. 7 95. 2 765. 6 95. 3 95. 5 95. 2 103. 1	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 186, 301\\ 2, 156, 335\\ 25, 654\\ 4, 312\\ 1, 518, 883\\ 827, 997\\ 690, 886\\ 637, 452 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,283,878\\ 2,255,780\\ 27,876\\ 222\\ 1,585,314\\ 864,339\\ 720,975\\ 670,466\end{array}$	95.7 95.6 92.0 1,942.3 95.8 95.8 95.8 95.8 95.1
78901234	Total. Negro. Ind., Chi., Jap., and all other Native white. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white EAST NORTH CENTRAL	$\begin{array}{c} 2,930,684\\ 2,884,541\\ 42,013\\ 4,130\\ 2,399,911\\ 1,895,747\\ 504,164\\ 484,630\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 661, 835\\ 2, 621, 990\\ 36, 611\\ 3, 234\\ 2, 329, 918\\ 1, 848, 751\\ 481, 167\\ 292, 072 \end{array}$	$110.1 \\ 110.0 \\ 114.8 \\ 127.7 \\ 103.0 \\ 102.5 \\ 104.8 \\ 165.9$	2,774,749 2,728,626 42,128 3,995 2,373,635 1,915,214 458,421 354,991	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 604, 046\\ 2, 564, 936\\ 36, 024\\ 3, 086\\ 2, 316, 544\\ 1, 879, 768\\ 436, 776\\ 248, 392 \end{array}$	106.8 106.4 116.9 129.5 102.5 101.9 105.0 142.9	6, 882, 682 6, 711, 807 161, 453 9, 322 4, 554, 844 2, 312, 444 2, 312, 444 2, 242, 400 2, 156, 963	6,840,791 6,662,114 177,793 884 4,769,600 2,406,019 2,363,581 1,892,514	100.6 100.7 90.8 1,054.5 95.5 96.1 94.9 114.0	4,988,332 4,858,337 117,583 10,412 3,484,836 1,772,170 1,712,666 1,373,501	5,089,6514,958,963130,1864023,633,7311,839,4271,794,3041,325,232	98.0 98.0 90.3 2,590.0 95.9 96.3 95.5 103.6
5 67 8 99 00 11 22	Total. White. Negro. Ind., Chi., Jap., and all other Native white. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white. WEST NORTH CENTRAL	$\begin{array}{r} 4, 607, 800\\ 4, 460, 277\\ 38, 548\\ 8, 975\\ 3, 947, 081\\ 2, 945, 047\\ 1, 002, 034\\ 513, 196\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4, 125, 550\\ 4, 085, 693\\ 31, 746\\ 8, 111\\ 3, 720, 960\\ 2, 792, 252\\ 928, 708\\ 364, 733 \end{array}$	109.3 109.2 121.4 110.7 106.1 105.5 107.9 140.7	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{4, 672, 769} \\ \textbf{4, 522, 733} \\ \textbf{42, 460} \\ \textbf{7, 576} \\ \textbf{3, 968, 843} \\ \textbf{2, 923, 066} \\ \textbf{1, 045, 777} \\ \textbf{553, 890} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4, 192, 837} \\ \textbf{4, 150, 732} \\ \textbf{35, 261} \\ \textbf{6, 844} \\ \textbf{3, 734, 932} \\ \textbf{2, 765, 034} \\ \textbf{969, 898} \\ \textbf{415, 800} \end{array}$	109.1 109.0 120.4 110.7 106.3 105.7 107.8 133.2	4,885,039 4,762,884 117,883 4,272 3,535,065 2,000,500 1,534,565 1,227,819	4, 732, 232 4, 618, 768 112, 659 805 3, 657, 296 2, 014, 169 1, 643, 127 961, 472	103.2 103.1 104.6 530.7 96.7 99.3 93.4 127.7	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3, 604, 639} \\ \textbf{3, 509, 714} \\ \textbf{91, 985} \\ \textbf{2, 840} \\ \textbf{2, 643, 220} \\ \textbf{1, 388, 847} \\ \textbf{1, 254, 373} \\ \textbf{866, 494} \end{array}$	3,615,438 3,526,874 88,136 426 2,742,761 1,411,069 1,331,692 784,113	99.7 99.5 104.4 666.7 96.4 98.4 94.2 110.5
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10	Total. White Ind., Chi., Jap., and all other Native white. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	$\begin{array}{r} 4, 113, 771\\ 4, 051, 171\\ 42, 055\\ 20, 545\\ 3, 469, 071\\ 2, 361, 100\\ 1, 107, 971\\ 582, 100 \end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} \textbf{3,650,434}\\ \textbf{3,594,358}\\ \textbf{36,306}\\ \textbf{19,770}\\ \textbf{3,194,923}\\ \textbf{2,178,260}\\ \textbf{1,016,663}\\ \textbf{399,435} \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 112.\ 7\\ 112.\ 7\\ 115.\ 8\\ 103.\ 9\\ 108.\ 6\\ 108.\ 4\\ 109.\ 0\\ 145.\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 918, 624\\ 3, 845, 352\\ 51, 867\\ 21, 305\\ 3, 249, 491\\ 2, 218, 569\\ 1, 030, 922\\ 595, 861 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3,482,365}\\ \textbf{3,414,541}\\ \textbf{46,679}\\ \textbf{21,135}\\ \textbf{2,980,694}\\ \textbf{2,033,835}\\ \textbf{946,859}\\ \textbf{433,847} \end{array}$	112.5 112.6 111.1 100.8 109.0 109.1 108.9 137.3	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,979,084}\\ \textbf{1,892,713}\\ \textbf{83,809}\\ \textbf{2,562}\\ \textbf{1,530,046}\\ \textbf{1,004,267}\\ \textbf{525,789}\\ \textbf{362,667} \end{array}$	$1,894,632\\1,813,379\\80,492\\761\\1,544,350\\980,070\\564,280\\269,029$	104.5 104.4 104.1 336.7 99.1 102.5 93.2 134.8	1,493,490 1,423,018 69,405 1,067 1,151,894 715,235 436,659 271,124	$1,453,054\\1,382,906\\69,958\\190\\1,152,633\\693,264\\459,369\\230,273$	102.8 102.9 99.2 561.6 99.9 103.2 95.1 117.7
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Total. White Nad., Chi., Jap., and all other Native white. Native white. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign born white.	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{4, 635, 324} \\ \textbf{3, 021, 412} \\ \textbf{1, 609, 189} \\ \textbf{4, 723} \\ \textbf{2, 953, 556} \\ \textbf{2, 887, 392} \\ \textbf{66, 164} \\ \textbf{67, 856} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,467,418\\ 2,869,201\\ 1,593,779\\ 4,438\\ 2,838,258\\ 2,777.994\\ 60,264\\ 30,943 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 103.8\\ 105.3\\ 101.0\\ 106.4\\ 104.1\\ 103.9\\ 109.8\\ 219.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4, 155, 291\\ 2, 629, 429\\ 1, 522, 403\\ 3, 459\\ 2, 590, 402\\ 2, 528, 662\\ 61, 740\\ 39, 027 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,055,557\\ 2,542,080\\ 1,510,242\\ 3,235\\ 2,518,320\\ 2,461,403\\ 56,917\\ 23,760 \end{array}$	$102.5 \\ 103.4 \\ 100.8 \\ 106.9 \\ 102.9 \\ 102.7 \\ 108.5 \\ 164.3$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1, 499, 281} \\ \textbf{1, 077, 166} \\ \textbf{420, 619} \\ \textbf{1, 496} \\ \textbf{972, 150} \\ \textbf{821, 025} \\ \textbf{151, 125} \\ \textbf{105, 016} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,592,872}\\ \textbf{1,103,824}\\ 488,901\\ 147\\ \textbf{1,017,084}\\ 854,794\\ 162,290\\ 86,740\\ \end{array}$	94.1 97.6 86.0 1,017.7 95.6 96.0 93.1 121.1	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,067,304}\\ \textbf{752,540}\\ \textbf{313,122}\\ \textbf{1,642}\\ \textbf{676,207}\\ \textbf{545,289}\\ \textbf{130,918}\\ \textbf{76,333} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,165,328}\\ \textbf{752,009}\\ \textbf{383,250}\\ \textbf{69}\\ \textbf{712,246}\\ \textbf{571,960}\\ \textbf{140,286}\\ \textbf{69,763} \end{array}$	91.6 96.2 81.7 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 94.9 95.3 93.3 109.4
49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	Total. White. Negro. Ind., Chi., Jap., and all other. Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-horn white.	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3,480,485}\\ \textbf{2,401,427}\\ \textbf{1,077,589}\\ \textbf{1,469}\\ \textbf{2,382,863}\\ \textbf{2,348,801}\\ \textbf{34,062}\\ \textbf{18,564} \end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} 3,355,187\\ 2,288,159\\ 1,065,827\\ 1,201\\ 2,277,798\\ 2,246,865\\ 30,933\\ 10,361 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 103.7\\ 105.0\\ 101.1\\ 122.3\\ 104.6\\ 104.5\\ 110.1\\ 179.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} 3,261,618\\2,195,957\\1,064,191\\1,470\\2,175,676\\2,133,352\\42,324\\20,281 \end{matrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 165, 083\\ 2, 104, 385\\ 1, 049, 427\\ 1, 271\\ 2, 092, 312\\ 2, 054, 222\\ 38, 090\\ 12, 073\\ \end{array}$	$103.4 \\ 104.4 \\ 101.4 \\ 115.7 \\ 104.0 \\ 103.9 \\ 111.1 \\ 168.0$	764, 684 526, 175 238, 203 306 494, 197 423, 791 70, 406 31, 978	809,545 538,565 270,894 86 512,611 433,035 79,576 25,954	<b>94.5</b> 97.7 87.9 (1) 96.4 97.9 88.5 123.2	$\begin{array}{c} 648,048\\ 368,881\\ 178,891\\ 276\\ 338,456\\ 267,368\\ 71,088\\ 30,425\\ \end{array}$	683,008 375,624 207,377 7 348,721 270,832 77,839 26,903	94.0 98.2 86.3 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 97.1 98.7 91.3 113.1
57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	Total. White. Negro. Ind., Chi., Jap., and all other Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white. MOUNT AIN	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3, 559, 781} \\ \textbf{2, 735, 979} \\ \textbf{786, 901} \\ \textbf{36, 901} \\ \textbf{2, 609, 201} \\ \textbf{2, 414, 150} \\ \textbf{195, 051} \\ \textbf{126, 778} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,267,297\\ 2,469,779\\ 761,687\\ 35,831\\ 2,384,606\\ 2,210,663\\ 173,943\\ 85,173\end{array}$	<b>109.0</b> 110.8 103.3 103.0 109.4 109.2 112.1 148.8	$\begin{array}{c} 2,853,169\\ 2,104,427\\ 716,301\\ 32,441\\ 2,002,993\\ 1,844,904\\ 158,089\\ 101,434 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2, 621, 924} \\ \textbf{1, 893, 776} \\ \textbf{695, 609} \\ \textbf{32, 539} \\ \textbf{1, 824, 364} \\ \textbf{1, 682, 708} \\ \textbf{141, 656} \\ \textbf{69, 412} \end{array}$	108.8 111.1 103.0 99.7 109.8 109.6 111.6 146.1	$\begin{array}{r} 984,724\\774,108\\207,124\\3,492\\698,144\\582,979\\115,165\\75,964\end{array}$	972, 732 741, 625 223, 714 2, 393 680, 781 559, 657 121, 124 60, 844	$101.2 \\ 104.4 \\ 90.6 \\ 145.9 \\ 102.6 \\ 104.2 \\ 95.1 \\ 124.9$	519,087 386,881 130,496 1,710 336,982 251,095 85,887 49,899	$\begin{array}{c} 638,110\\ 385,981\\ 151,660\\ 469\\ 342,716\\ 250,237\\ 92,479\\ 43,285\end{array}$	96. 6 100.2 86.0 364.6 98.3 100.3 92.9 115.3
65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	Total. White	974, 687 923, 474 3, 848 47, 365 738, 872 541, 381 197, 491 184, 602	711, 319 672, 690 2, 173 36, 456 593, 713 433, 414 160, 299 78, 977	$\begin{array}{c} 137.\ 0\\ 137.\ 3\\ 177.\ 1\\ 129.\ 9\\ 124.\ 4\\ 124.\ 9\\ 123.\ 2\\ 233.\ 7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 654, 370\\ 607, 874\\ 4, 052\\ 42, 444\\ 488, 337\\ 337, 276\\ 151, 061\\ 119, 537\\ \end{array}$	478,924 445,105 1,704 32,115 384,182 262,318 121,864 60,923	<b>136.6</b> 136.6 237.8 132.2 127.1 128.6 124.0 196.2	503, 331 488, 674 7, 918 6, 739 387, 254 257, 949 129, 305 101, 420	444, 180 435, 617 7, 528 1, 035 363, 706 233, 880 129, 826 71, 911	113.3 112.2 105.2 651.1 106.5 110.3 99.0 141.0	$\begin{array}{c} 286,868\\ 276,568\\ 5,052\\ 4,048\\ 216,115\\ 133,946\\ 82,169\\ 60,453\end{array}$	255, 695 250, 308 4, 782 605 202, 860 121, 561 81, 299 47, 448	$\begin{array}{c} 111.7\\110.5\\105.6\\669.1\\106.5\\110.2\\101.1\\127.4\end{array}$
73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80	Total White Negro Native white Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	$\begin{array}{c} 1,065,249\\ 1,005,801\\ 3,167\\ 56,281\\ 771,768\\ 550,452\\ 221,216\\ 234,033\end{array}$	744, 726 723, 545 1, 666 19, 515 624, 618 440, 727 183, 891 98, 927	143.0 139.0 190.1 288.4 123.6 124.9 120.4 236.6	750, 274 699, 813 2, 523 47, 938 555, 895 391, 679 164, 216 143, 918	544, 062 526, 529 1, 582 15, 951 456, 942 317, 909 139, 033 69, 587	<b>137.9</b> 132.9 159.5 300.5 121.7 123.2 118.1 206.8	$\begin{array}{c} 1,300,657\\ 1,233,030\\ 12,779\\ 54,848\\ 911,165\\ 588,313\\ 322,852\\ 321,865\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,081,672}\\ \textbf{1,061,497}\\ \textbf{11,583}\\ \textbf{8,592}\\ \textbf{854,874}\\ \textbf{529,278}\\ \textbf{325,596}\\ \textbf{206,623} \end{array}$	120.2 116.2 110.3 638.4 106.6 111.2 99.2 155.8	<b>607, 420</b> 561, 464 5, 509 40, 447 412, 607 239, 457 173, 150 148, 857	$\begin{array}{c} 514,836\\ 505,807\\ 5,050\\ 4,079\\ 395,678\\ 216,576\\ 179,102\\ 110,129\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 118.0\\ 111.0\\ 109.1\\ 991.6\\ 104.3\\ 110.6\\ 96.7\\ 135.2 \end{array}$

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

## AND IN THE SEVERAL CLASSES OF URBAN PLACES, BY DIVISIONS: 1910 AND 1900.

•

						PLACE	S HAVING IN	7 1910 A POP	ULATION	OF-					
	2,50	0 to 10,000.		10,0	00 to 25,000.		25,00	00 to 100,000.		100,0	00 to 500,000		500,0	)00 and over.	
	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females,	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
12345678	4, 276, 438 3, 951, 056 311, 966 13, 416 3, 271, 751 2, 418, 461 853, 290 679, 305	4, 193, 921 3, 847, 145 343, 300 3, 476 3, 348, 789 2, 454, 123 894, 666 498, 356	102.0 102.7 90.9 386.0 97.7 98.5 95.4 136.3	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2, 832, 221} \\ \textbf{2, 627, 246} \\ \textbf{193, 721} \\ \textbf{11, 254} \\ \textbf{2, 073, 105} \\ \textbf{1, 404, 813} \\ \textbf{668, 292} \\ \textbf{554, 141} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2,776,987\\ 2,559,332\\ 214,641\\ 3,014\\ 2,134,755\\ 1,423,102\\ 711,653\\ 424,577\end{array}$	<b>102.0</b> 102.7 90.3 373.4 97.1 98.7 93.9 130.5	4, 149, 322 3, 851, 950 286, 286 11, 086 2, 944, 221 1, 835, 661 1, 058, 560 907, 729	4,092,356 3,774,973 315,754 1,629 3,018,888 1,893,396 1,125,492 756,085	<b>101. 4</b> 102. 0 90. 7 680. 5 97. 5 99. 6 94. 1 120. 1	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4, 462, 674} \\ \textbf{4, 124, 897} \\ 297, 674 \\ \textbf{40, 103} \\ \textbf{3, 055, 552} \\ \textbf{1, 724, 961} \\ \textbf{1, 330, 591} \\ \textbf{1, 069, 345} \end{array}$	4, 327, 623 3, 992, 220 329, 272 6, 131 3, 117, 497 1, 697, 079 1, 420, 418 874, 723	<b>103. 1</b> 103. 3 90. 4 654. 1 98. 0 101. 6 93. 7 122. 2	6,775,526 5,574,530 189,837 11,159 3,550,408 1,459,657 2,090,751 2,024,122	5,736,315 $5,528,564$ $206,778$ $973$ $3,681,578$ $1,488,391$ $2,193,187$ $1,846,986$	100.7 100.8 91.8 1,146.9 96.4 98.1 95.3 109.6
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	637, 230 631, 865 4, 831 534 475, 338 296, 667 178, 671 156, 527	636, 591 632, 325 4, 091 175 488, 835 304, 742 184, 093 143, 490	100.1 99.9 118.1 305.1 97.2 97.4 97.1 109.1	462, 793 459, 609 2, 789 395 325, 702 174, 519 151, 183 133, 907	$\begin{array}{r} 473,760\\ 470,533\\ 3,180\\ 47\\ 346,058\\ 185,696\\ 160,362\\ 124,475\end{array}$	97.7 97.7 87.7 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 94.1 94.0 94.3 107.6	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{804, 804} \\ \textbf{796, 308} \\ \textbf{7, 434} \\ \textbf{1, 062} \\ \textbf{522, 977} \\ \textbf{243, 071} \\ \textbf{279, 906} \\ \textbf{273, 331} \end{array}$	833, 183 824, 774 8, 343 66 553, 334 256, 474 296, 860 271, 440	<b>96.6</b> 96.5 89.1 (1) 94.5 94.8 94.3 <b>100.7</b>	<b>462, 269</b> 453, 538 7, 978 753 284, 139 110, 670 173, 469 169, 399	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{474, 130} \\ \textbf{465, 319} \\ \textbf{8, 667} \\ \textbf{144} \\ \textbf{302, 020} \\ \textbf{117, 775} \\ \textbf{184, 245} \\ \textbf{163, 299} \end{array}$	<b>97.5</b> 97.5 92.1 522.9 94.1 94.0 94.2 103.7	329,703 321,802 6,664 1,237 204,016 77,368 126,648 117,786	340, 882 333, 894 6, 900 88 210, 953 80, 502 130, 456 122, 936	<b>96.7</b> 96.4 96.6 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 96.7 96.1 97.1 95.8
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	846, 381 832, 038 13, 795 548 642, 138 434, 497 207, 641 189, 900	<b>818, 526</b> 801, 454 14, 988 84 673, 540 456, 495 217, 045 127, 914	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{103.7}\\ \textbf{103.8}\\ \textbf{92.0}\\ \textbf{(1)}\\ \textbf{95.3}\\ \textbf{95.2}\\ \textbf{95.7}\\ \textbf{148.5} \end{array}$	685, 371 668, 834 16, 095 442 497, 125 316, 862 180, 263 171, 709	664, 438 647, 326 17, 067 43 524, 635 332, 856 191, 779 122, 691	103.2 103.3 94.3 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 94.8 95.2 94.0 140.0	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,057,490}\\ \textbf{1,031,004}\\ \textbf{25,693}\\ \textbf{793}\\ \textbf{760,380}\\ \textbf{468,385}\\ \textbf{291,995}\\ \textbf{270,624} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,053,292}\\ \textbf{1,025,780}\\ \textbf{27,463}\\ \textbf{49}\\ \textbf{805,103}\\ \textbf{494,120}\\ \textbf{310,983}\\ \textbf{220,677} \end{array}$	100. 4 100. 5 93. 6 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 94. 4 94. 8 93. 9 122. 6	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{876, 806}\\ 865, 181\\ 10, 945\\ 680\\ 601, 421\\ 264, 297\\ 337, 124\\ 263, 760 \end{array}$	873, 275 861, 763 11, 409 103 630, 278 269, 536 360, 742 231, 485	100. 4 100. 4 95. 9 660. 2 95. 4 98. 1 93. 5 113. 9	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{3, 416, 634} \\ \textbf{3, 314, 750} \\ \textbf{94, 925} \\ \textbf{6, 859} \\ \textbf{2, 053, 780} \\ \textbf{828, 403} \\ \textbf{1, 225, 377} \\ \textbf{1, 260, 970} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 433, 262\\ 3, 325, 791\\ 106, 866\\ 605\\ 2, 136, 044\\ 853, 012\\ 1, 283, 032\\ 1, 189, 747 \end{array}$	99.5 99.7 88.8 1,133.7 96.1 97.1 95.5 106.0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	<b>953, 038</b> 933, 369 19, 063 604 784, 087 560, 932 223, 155 149, 282	<b>952, 317</b> 933, 345 18, 796 176 824, 705 582, 853 241, 852 108, 640	$100.1 \\ 100.0 \\ 101.4 \\ 343.2 \\ 95.1 \\ 96.2 \\ 92.3 \\ 137.4$	<b>707,605</b> 691,618 15,454 533 549,841 355,753 194,088 141,777	688,638 673,308 15,017 213 570,988 360,726 210,262 102,320	102. 8 102. 7 102. 9 250. 2 96. 3 98. 6 92. 3 138. 6	<b>795, 420</b> 773, 249 21, 626 545 612, 661 389, 709 222, 952 160, 588	<b>758, 389</b> 738, 485 19, 736 168 623, 805 382, 713 241, 092 114, 680	104. 9 104. 7 109. 6 324. 4 98. 2 101. 8 92. 5 140. 0	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,013,952}\\978,878\\34,714\\360\\739,139\\400,772\\338,367\\239,739\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1,002,068}\\ \textbf{968,418}\\ \textbf{33,585}\\ \textbf{65}\\ \textbf{73,073}\\ \textbf{403,758}\\ \textbf{369,315}\\ \textbf{195,345} \end{array}$	101. 2 101. 1 103. 4 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 95. 6 99. 3 91. 6 122. 7	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{1, 415, 026} \\ \textbf{1, 385, 770} \\ \textbf{27, 026} \\ \textbf{2, 230} \\ \textbf{849, 337} \\ \textbf{293, 334} \\ \textbf{556, 003} \\ \textbf{536, 433} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1, 330, 920\\ 1, 305, 212\\ 25, 525\\ 183\\ 864, 725\\ 284, 119\\ 580, 606\\ 440, 487\end{array}$	$106.3 \\ 106.2 \\ 105.9 \\ 1,218.6 \\ 98.2 \\ 103.2 \\ 95.8 \\ 121.8 \\ 121.8 \\ 121.8 \\ 106.2 \\ 106.$
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	527,408 509,523 17,265 620 432,989 321,053 111,936 76,534	$513,280 \\ 495,847 \\ 17,260 \\ 173 \\ 442,697 \\ 321,080 \\ 121,617 \\ 53,150 \\ \end{cases}$	102.8 102.8 100.0 358.4 97.8 100.0 92.0 144.0	231,541 219,755 11,225 561 187,940 133,139 54,801 31,815	223, 898 212, 717 10, 788 393 188, 486 128, 794 59, 692 24, 231	<b>103.</b> 4 103. 3 104. 1 142. 7 99. 7 103. 4 91. 8 131. 3	<b>413, 697</b> 397, 727 15, 484 486 323, 762 226, 578 97, 184 73, 965	$\begin{array}{c} 388,234\\373,590\\14,591\\53\\322,152\\219,433\\102,719\\51,438\end{array}$	106.6 106.5 106.1 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 100.5 103.3 94.6 143.8	460, 370 442, 316 17, 667 387 332, 260 188, 637 143, 623 110, 056	428, 259 412, 129 16, 061 69 327, 328 175, 777 151, 551 84, 801	107.5 107.3 110.0 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 101.5 107.3 94.8 129.8	346, 068 323, 392 22, 168 508 253, 095 134, 850 118, 245 70, 297	<b>340, 961</b> 319, 096 21, 792 73 263, 687 134, 986 128, 701 55, 409	101.5 101.3 101.7 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 96.0 99.9 91.9 126.9
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{372, 601}\\ \textbf{252, 653}\\ \textbf{119, 739}\\ \textbf{209}\\ \textbf{239, 377}\\ \textbf{226, 461}\\ \textbf{12, 916}\\ \textbf{13, 276} \end{array}$	<b>390, 430</b> 254, 585 135, 832 13 247, 096 233, 794 13, 302 7, 489	<b>95.4</b> 99.2 88.2 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 96.9 96.9 97.1 177.3	<b>215, 491</b> 152, 409 62, 968 114 143, 912 131, 628 12, 284 8, 497	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{229, 223} \\ \textbf{156, 973} \\ \textbf{72, 238} \\ \textbf{12} \\ \textbf{150, 935} \\ \textbf{137, 874} \\ \textbf{13, 061} \\ \textbf{6, 038} \end{array}$	94.0 97.1 87.2 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 95.3 95.5 94.1 140.7	349,538 237,657 111,552 210,903 177,816 33,087 26,754	362, 849 233, 458 129, 361 30 213, 645 178, 944 34, 701 19, 813	<b>96.3</b> 101.8 86.2 (1) 98.7 99.4 95.3 135.0	293, 458 205, 631 87, 306 519 187, 778 159, 418 28, 360 17, 853	320,080 214,237 105,775 68 199,244 168,410 30,834 14,993	91.7 96.0 82.5 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 94.2 94.7 92.0 119.1	268, 195 228, 816 39, 054 325 190, 180 125, 702 64, 478 38, 636	<b>290, 290</b> 244, 571 45, 695 24 206, 164 135, 772 70, 392 38, 407	92.4 93.6 85.5 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 92.2 92.6 91.6 100.6
49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	224,752 151,099 73,518 135 146,388 136,526 9,862 4,711	241,746 156,948 84,760 38 - 153,832 142,928 10,904 3,116	<b>93.0</b> 96.3 86.7 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 95.2 95.5 90.4 151.2	106,388 66,231 40,113 44 63,750 59,037 4,713 2,481	113,978 67,203 46,771 2 65,476 60,126 5,350 1,727	<b>93.3</b> 98.6 85.8 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 97.4 98.2 88.1 143.7	139,303 101,432 37,820 51 94,325 76,278 18,047 7,107	149,982 105,647 44,324 11 99,453 78,404 21,049 6,194	<b>92. 9</b> 96. 0 85. 3 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 94. 8 97. 3 85. 7 114. 7	<b>294, 241</b> 207, 413 86, 752 76 189, 734 151, 950 37, 784 17, 679	<b>303, 841</b> 208, 767 95, 039 35 193, 850 151, 577 42, 273 14, 917	<b>96.8</b> 99.4 91.3 (1) 97.9 100.2 89.4 118.5			
57 58 69 60 61 62 63 64	$\begin{array}{c} 317,046\\ 254,432\\ 60,765\\ 1,849\\ 240,394\\ 219,243\\ 21,151\\ 14,038\\ \end{array}$	309,939 243,250 64,902 1,737 234,059 213,026 21,033 9,191	$102.3 \\ 104.6 \\ 93.6 \\ 103.5 \\ 102.7 \\ 102.9 \\ 100.6 \\ 152.7$	$178,644 \\135,966 \\42,247 \\431 \\124,270 \\108,586 \\15,684 \\11,696$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{175, 938}\\ \textbf{123, 751}\\ \textbf{46, 868}\\ \textbf{319}\\ \textbf{118, 595}\\ \textbf{102, 801}\\ \textbf{15, 794}\\ \textbf{10, 156} \end{array}$	101. 6 105. 6 90. 1 135. 1 104. 8 105. 6 99. 3 115. 2	325, 795 261, 794 63, 166 835 226, 198 182, 291 43, 907 35, 596	$\begin{array}{c} 311,019\\ 242,137\\ 68,623\\ 254\\ 213,692\\ 169,216\\ 44,476\\ 28,445\end{array}$	104. 8 108. 1 92. 0 328. 7 105. 9 107. 7 98. 7 125. 1	163, 239 121, 916 40, 946 377 107, 282 72, 859 34, 423 14, 634	175, 836 127, 487 48, 316 33 114, 435 74, 614 39, 821 13, 052	92. 8 95. 6 84. 7 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 93. 7 97. 6 86. 4 112. 1			
65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72	194, 650 190, 383 1, 832 2, 330 154, 238 109, 842 44, 396 36, 150	$163,992 \\ 162,176 \\ 1,624 \\ 192 \\ 139,660 \\ 97,233 \\ 42,427 \\ 22,516$	118.6 117.4 112.8 1,213.5 110.4 113.0 104.6 160.6	79, 258 75, 608 1, 451 2, 199 58, 423 39, 161 19, 262 17, 185	65, 335 63, 395 1, 328 612 52, 537 33, 554 18, 983 10, 858	<b>121.3</b> 119.3 109.3 359.3 111.2 116.7 101.5 158.3	$\begin{array}{c} 122, 128\\ 118, 719\\ 1, 983\\ 1, 426\\ 91, 529\\ 55, 417\\ 36, 112\\ 27, 190\end{array}$	$108, 867 \\ 106, 934 \\ 1, 802 \\ 131 \\ 86, 443 \\ 49, 677 \\ 36, 766 \\ 20, 491 \\ 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 1$	112.2 111.0 110.0 1,088.5 105.9 111.6 98.2 132.7	107, 395 103, 959 2, 652 784 83, 064 53, 529 29, 535 20, 895	105, 986 103, 112 2, 774 100 85, 066 53, 416 31, 650 18, 046	<b>101. 3</b> 100. 8 95. 6 784. 0 97. 6 100. 2 93. 3 115. 8			
73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80	203, 434 195, 689 1, 158 6, 587 156, 802 113, 240 43, 562 38, 887	169, 100 167, 215 1, 047 838 144, 365 101, 972 42, 393 22, 850	120.3 117.0 110.6 786.0 108.6 111.1 102.8 170.2	$\begin{array}{c} 165,130\\ 157,216\\ 1,379\\ 6,535\\ 122,142\\ 86,128\\ 36,014\\ 35,074 \end{array}$	141, 883 139, 126 1, 384 1, 373 117, 045 80, 675 36, 370 22, 081	118.4 113.0 99.6 476.0 104.4 106.8 99.0 158.8	$\begin{array}{c} 141, 147\\ 134, 060\\ 1, 528\\ 5, 559\\ 101, 486\\ 66, 116\\ 35, 370\\ 32, 574 \end{array}$	$126, 541 \\ 124, 163 \\ 1, 506 \\ 867 \\ 101, 261 \\ 64, 415 \\ 36, 846 \\ 22, 907 \\ 126, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 10$	111.5 108.0 101.5 641.2 100.2 102.6 96.0 142.2	<b>790, 946</b> 746, 065 8, 714 36, 167 530, 735 322, 829 207, 906 215, 330	644, 148 630, 988 7, 646 5, 514 492, 203 282, 216 209, 987 138, 785	122.8 118.2 114.0 655.9 107.8 114.4 99.0 155.2			

1

### MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN URBAN AND RURAL COMMUNITIES,

	Table 25			RUI	RAL.					URB	AN.		
	DIVISION AND STATE.		1910			1900			1910			1900	
		Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
1	United States	25,836,096	23, 512, 787	109.9	23, 518, 259	21,679,131	108.5	21, 496, 181	21, 127, 202	101.7	15, 298, 189	15, 498, 896	98.7
	GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:												
2	New England	568,315	529,021	107.4	577, 495	544, 343	•106.1	2, 696, 799	2,758,546	97.8	2,186,301	2,283,878	95.7
3	Middle Atlantle	2,930,684	2,661,835	110.1	2,774,749	2,604,046	106.6	6,882,582	6,840,791 •	100.6	4,986,332	5,089,551	98.0
4	East North Central	4,507,800	4,125,550	109.3	4, 572, 769	4,192,837	109.1	4,885,039	4,732,232	103.2	3,604,539	3,615,436	99.7
о А	South Atlantia	4,113,771	3,650,434	102.9	3,918,524	3,482,355	112.5	1,979,084	1,894,632	104.5	1,493,490	1,453,054	102.8
7	East South Central	3, 480, 485	3,355,187	103.7	3 261 618	3 155 083	102.5	764 684	1,092,072	04.5	548 048	1,100,028	91.0
8	West South Central	3, 559, 781	3,267,297	109.0	2,853,169	2,621,924	108.8	984.724	972,732	101.2	519.087	538,110	96.5
9	Mountain	974, 687	711,319	137.0	654,370	478,924	136.6	503, 331	444,180	113.3	285,668	255,695	111.7
10	Pacific	1,065,249	744,726	143.0	750,274	544,062	137.9	1,300,657	1,081,672	120.2	607, 420	514,936	118.0
1	NEW ENGLAND:												
11	Maine	187,886	173,042	108.6	184,595	172, 481	107.0	189,166	192,277	98.4	166,400	170, 990	97.3
12	New Hampshire	90, 785	84,688	107.2	95, 843	89,476	107.1	125,505	129, 594	96.8	109, 536	116,733	93.8
13	Vermont.	98,084	88,929	110.3	106,480	97,981	108.7	84,484	84,459	100.0	68,658	70,522	97.4
14 15	Bhode Island	122,007	118, 342	110.5	120,314	0.070	102.0	1,532,741	1, 592, 626	96.2	1,247,160	1,319,938	94.5
16	Connecticut	59,626	55,291	107.8	59.333	56,492	105.0	504.016	495, 823	101.7	394,961	397,634	99.3
	MIDDLE ATLANTIC:							,	,				
17	New York	1,001,469	926, 651	108.1	1,007,613	963,170	104.6	3, 583, 128	3,602,366	99.5	2,607,167	2,690,944	96.9
18	New Jersey	328,271	301,686	108.8	285,126	269, 381	105.8	958,192	949,018	101.0	656, 634	672,528	97.6
19	Fennsylvania	1,600,944	1,433,498	111.7	1,482,010	1,371,495	108.1	2,341,262	2,289,407	102.3	1,722,531	1,726,079	99.8
20	Ohio	1.089.163	1.012.815	107.5	1 110 335	1 048 828	105.9	1 345 595	1 319 548	102.0	992 320	1 006 062	08.6
21	Indiana	804,296	752,745	106.8	856.475	797.298	107.4	578.999	564.836	102.5	428, 929	433, 760	98.9
22	Illinois	1,131,835	1,029,827	109.9	1,153,652	1,051,530	109.7	1,779,839	1,697,090	104.9	1,319,130	1,297,238	101.7
23	Michigan	780, 836	702,793	111.0	776, 761	691,898	112.3	674,198	652,846	103.3	472,144	480,179	98.3
24	Wisconsin	702,170	627, 370	111.9	675, 546	603,283	112.0	506, 408	497,912	101.7	392,016	398,197	98.4
0 F	WEST NORTH CENTRAL:	050 050	F07 001	110.1				150 4 50					
20 26	Iowa	608,303	567,061 737 186	116.1	622,308	530,986	117.2	450,158	400,136	112.5	310,182	287,918	107.7
27	Missouri	983, 895	910, 623	108.0	1 026 626	951 935	107.8	703 918	694 899	101.3	263, 722 569, 084	559 020	101.8
28	North Dakota	283,645	230,175	123.2	165,305	130, 428	126.7	33,909	29,327	115.6	12,188	11,225	108.6
29	South Dakota	276, 356	230,859	119.7	194,860	165,774	117.5	40,756	35,917	113.5	21,304	19,632	108.5
30	Nebraska	467, 588	413,774	113.0	432,179	381,419	113.3	160,194	150,658	106.3	132, 413	120, 289	110.1
31	Kansas	636,403	560,756	113.5	604,119	535, 473	112.8	249, 509	244, 281	102.1	164, 597	166,306	99.0
32	Delaware	54 788	50 440	109.6	51 240	47 679	107 7	49 647	40 420	100.4	49 010	42 800	00.
33	Maryland	327,556	309.598	105.8	305.970	290.868	107.7	316,669	341.523	92.7	283, 305	307.901	92.0
34	District of Columbia							158,050	173,019	91.3	132,004	146,714	90.0
35	Virginia	804,445	780, 638	103.0	761,983	752, 134	101.3	230, 903	245,626	94.0	163,914	176,153	93.1
36	West Virginia	527, 582	465, 295	113.4	437,055	396, 280	110.3	116,462	111,780	104.2	62,187	63,278	98.3
37	North Carolina	946,668	941,145	100.6	850,460	856,560	99.3	151,808	166,666	91.1	88, 217	98, 573	89.5
19	Georgia	1.045.982	040,370	102.0	041 705	584,870 028 154	99.9	106,650	118,182 270 613	90.2	161 406	184 976	89.1
10	Florida	283,111	250, 428	113.1	222, 498	199,013	111.8	111,055	108,025	102.8	52,748	54,283	97.2
	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:							,	,		,	,	
11	Kentucky	892, 391	842,072	106.0	863, 488	816,018	105.8	269,318	286,124	94.1	226, 739	240, 929	94.1
12	Tennessee	888,151	855, 593	103.8	860, 845	833,132	103.3	215,340	225,705	95.4	160, 379	166,260	96.5
14 14	Alabama Mississinni	892,882	874,780	102.1	812,419	799,564	101.6	181,327	189,104	95.9	104,345	112,369	92.9
	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:	007,001	102,142	100.1	124,000	100,309	102.0	30,035	105,012	50.8	00,000	00, 200	03.4
15	Arkansas	708, 497	663,271	106 <mark>.</mark> 8	620,264	579,567	107.0	101,529	101,152	100.4	55,048	56,685	97.1
16	Louisiana	595,966	563,906	105.7	519,872	495, 465	104.9	239,309	257,207	93.0	174,861	191,427	91 <b>. 3</b>
17	Oklahoma <sup>1</sup>	710,606	626, 394	113.4	392, 332	339,642	115.5	170,972	149,183	114.6	30, 979	27,438	112.9
±0	MOUNTAIN:	1, 544, 712	1,413,726	109.3	1,320,701	1,207,250	109.4	472, 914	465,190	101.7	258,199	262, 560	98.3
19	Montana	151.224	91,409	165.4	100.656	58,119	173.2	75.648	57.772	130.9	49,186	35,368	139.1
50	Idabo	146,111	109, 585	133.3	87,973	63, 796	137.9	39, 435	30, 463	129.5	5,394	4,609	117.0
51	Wyoming	65, 641	37,103	176.9	42, 978	22, 896	187.7	26,029	17,192	151.4	15,206	11, 451	132.8
52	Colorado	223, 892	170, 292	131.5	161,065	117,984	136.5	206, 805	198,035	104.4	134,267	126,384	106.2
54	Arizona	151,781	128,949	117.7	89,670	78,259	114.6	23,464	23,107	101.5	14,558	12,823	113.5
55	Utah	107.810	92,607	144.2	89 908	43,023	140.4	35,264	27,996	106.2	51,779	53,648	96.5
56	Nevada	44,918	23, 590	190.4	21,707	13,433	161.6	7,633	5,734	133.1	3,896	3,299	118.1
	PACIFIC:												
07	Washington	313,732	222,728	140.9	177, 797	128,829	138.0	344, 931	260, 599	132.4	126, 381	85,096	148.5
59	California	541,476	155,664	134.9	156,271	124,085	125.9	174,224	132,836	131.2	76,714	56,466	135.9
1		-11,110	000,004	111.0	410,200	291,148	140.0	181, 302	000,207	113.0	104, 320	010,014	100.0

<sup>1</sup>Includes population of Indian Territory for 1900.

## AND IN THE SEVERAL CLASSES OF URBAN PLACES, BY DIVISIONS AND STATES: 1910 AND 1900.

-	Table 25-Continued.				PLA	CES HAVING	IN 1910 .	A POPULATIO	N OF-				
	DIVISION AND STATE.	2,5	00 to 10,000.		10,0	000 to 25,000.		25,0	000 to 100,000		100,	000 and over	
		Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
1	United States	4,276,438	4, 193, 921	102.0	2, 832, 221	2, 776, 987	102.0	4, 149, 322	4,092,356	101.4	10, 238, 200	10,083,938	101.7
	GEOGRAPHIC DIVISIONS:												
2	New England	637,230	636, 591	100.1	462,793	473,760	97.7	804, 804	833,183	96.6	791,972	815,012	97.2
3	Middle Atlantic	846,381	816,526	103.7	685,371	664,436	103.2	1,057,490	1,053,292	100.4	4,293,340	4,306,537	99.7
5	West North Central	527,408	952, 517 513, 280	100.1	231 541	088,538	102.8	795,420	758,389	104.9	2,428,978	2,332,988	104.1
6	South Atlantic.	372,601	390, 430	95.4	215,491	229,223	94.0	349.538	362,849	96.3	561,651	610 370	02.0
7	East South Central	224, 752	241,746	93.0	106,388	113,976	93.3	139,303	149,982	92.9	294,241	303,841	96.8
8	West South Central	317,046	309, 939	102.3	178,644	175,938	101.5	325, 795	311,019	104.8	163,239	175,836	92.8
9	Mountain	194,550	163,992	118.6	79,258	65,335	121.3	122,128	108,867	112.2	107,395	105, 986	101.3
10	Pacine	203,434	169,100	120.3	165,130	14L, 883	116.4	141,147	126, 541	111.5	790, 946	644,148	122.8
11	NEW ENGLAND:	100 120	105 070	102.1	20 540	10.000							
12	New Hampshire.	40,188	40.799	98.5	39, 549	42,066	94.0	40,485	44,333	91.3		•••••	
13	Vermont	62,722	61, 473	102.0	21,762	22,986	94.7	1,101	40,004	50.4			
14	Massachusetts	256, 955	262,032	98.1	222,918	227,980	97.8	490, 428	518,055	94.7	562, 440	584, 559	98.2
15	Rhode Island	43, 403	43, 624	99.5	35,147	34,629	101.5	72,049	71,476	100.8	110,288	114,038	96.7
16	Connecticut	124,830	122,785	101.7	105,264	106,208	99.1	154,678	150, 415	102.8	119,244	118, 415	102.4
17	MIDDLE ATLANTIC: New York	205 520	208 833	09.4	919 500	990 079	08.0	990 507	240 705	07.7	0.000.000	0.005.005	
18	New Jersey	135.118	136.229	99.2	133,673	138,263	98.9	318,116	346,720	97.7	2,820,412	2,825,837	99.8
19	Pennsylvania	505,743	471,465	107.3	333,099	305, 201	109.1	402,777	399,604	100.8	1,099,643	1,113,137	98.8
	EAST NORTH CENTRAL:											-,,	
20	Ohio	258,638	261,311	99.0	179,155	181,829	98.5	206, 038	187,333	110.0	701,764	689,075	101.8
21	Indiana	162,483	162,285	100.1	177,667	162,329	109.4	122,780	122,641	100.1	116,069	117, 581	98.7
23	Michigan.	142.205	141.093	101.6	108,349	101,077	103.3	133 360	213,691	103.3	1,125,764	1,059,519	106.3
24	Wisconsin	124,688	126, 684	98.4	79,694	80,367	99.2	112,538	106, 492	104.0	189,488	184.369	104.8
	WEST NORTH CENTRAL:											101,000	10210
25	Minnesota	104, 551	90, 908	115.0	31, 587	28, 632	110.3	44,866	33,600	133.5	269,154	246, 998	109.0
26 97	Iowa	104,616	108,240	96.7	68,368	68,739	99.5	167,656	162,435	103.2			
28	North Dakota	20,106	126,308	96.3	36,470	34,283	106.4	73,297	71,380	102.7	472,482	462,928	102.1
29	South Dakota	27,791	24,035	115.6	12,965	11,882	139.1				•••••	•••••	
30	Nebraska	53, 582	52,616	101.8	5,379	4, 947	108.7	36, 431	33, 801	107.8	64,802	59,294	109.3
31	Kansas	95,093	94, 854	100.3	62,969	62,409	100.9	91,447	87,018	105.1			
20	SOUTH ATLANTIC:	4 700	4.005					10.000	10 100				
33	Maryland.	25,031	4,900	94.8	23, 443	25.314		43,938	43, 473	101.1	969 105	900, 900	
34	District of Columbia										158.050	173.019	91.3
35	Virginia	42,330	46,029	92.0	45,903	49, 629	92.5	81,765	83,245	98.2	60, 905	66,723	91.3
36	West Virginia	48,786	43,930	111.1	31,073	31,651	98.2	36, 603	36,199	101.1			
37	North Carolina	80,827	88,602	91.2	42,424	46,859	90.5	28, 557	31,205	91.5		•••••	
38	Georgia	50,876 79,306	50, 546 85, 503	05 8 87'9	15,824	17,434	90.8	39,950	45,202	88.4	74 501	00.990	
40	Florida	40,738	39,936	102.0	21,425	21,502	99.6	48,894	46, 587	105.0	74, 301	80,338	92.7
	EAST SOUTH CENTRAL:												
41	Kentucky	73, 693	78, 455	93.9	29,946	30,742	97.4	57,131	61,547	92.8	108, 548	115,380	94.1
42	Tennessee	45,797	49,902	91.8	11,068	11,859	93.3	40,050	40,900	97.9	118, 425	123,044	98.2
44	Mississippi.	48,280	61, 444	92.9	23,057	47,168	97.7 88.4	42,122	47,535	88.6	67,268	65, 417	102.8
	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL:				,	11,100	00.7						
45	Arkansas	42,989	43, 447	98.9	35, 505	34,799	102.0	23,035	22,908	100.8			
46	Louisiana	39,421	42,237	93.3	22,889	24, 879	92.0	13,760	14,255	96.5	163,239	175,836	92.8
47	Oklahoma	79,580	71,709	111.0	42,252	37,131	113.8	49,140	40,343	121.8	•••••	••••••	•••••
10	MOUNTAIN:	100,000	102, 040	101.0	11,998	79,129	98.0	239,860	233, 515	102.7			•••••
49	Montana	19,941	14,817	134.6	33, 393	26,104	127.9	22,314	16,851	132.4			
50	Idaho	29, 553	22,987	128.6	9,882	7,476	132.2						
51	Wyoming	19,586	12,315	159.0	6,443	4,877	132.1		•••••		•••••		
52 53	New Mexico	55,325	52,457	105.5	5,188	5,016	103.4	38, 897	34, 576	112.5	107,395	105,986	101.3
54	Arizona	22, 483	16,450	136.7	12.781	11.546	110 7					••••••	
55	Utah	28,136	26, 441	106.4				60, 917	57,440	106.1			
56	Nevada	1, 519	981	154.8	6,114	4, 753	128.6						
	PACIFIC:												
58	Oregon	48, 443	35,530	136.3	54,714	41,504	131.8	47,488	36,255	131.0	194,286	147,310	131.9
59	California	107,261	95,548	112.3	102,790	93, 911	109.5	93,659	90.286	103 7	477 702	88,346	134.5
						00,011		50,003	50,200	100.1	211,194	100,492	111.0

277

X

## MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING, IN 1910, 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910, 1900, AND 1890.

Table 26		1910			1900			1890	
CITY AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
Alhany, N. Y. Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	48,270 47,735 497 38	51,983 51,436 540 7	92.9 92.8 92.0 (1)	45,031 44,378 642 11	49,120 48,584 536	<b>91.7</b> <b>91.3</b> 119.8	45, 589 44, 931 } 658	<b>49, 334</b> 48, 851 483	<b>92.4</b> 92.0 136.2
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	38,704 21,462 17,242 9,031	42,302 23,011 19,291 9,134	91.5 93.3 89.4 98.9	36,077 18,566 17,511 8,301	39, 196 19, 865 19, 331 9, 388	92.0 93.5 90.6 88.4	34,559 16,291 18,268 10,372	36, 974 17, 539 19, 435 11, 877	93.5 92.9 94.0 87.3
Atlanta, Ga. Total White Negro Indian Chinese, and Jananese	<b>74, 6</b> 01 51, 211 23, 219 71	80,338 51,650 28,683 5	92.7 99.2 81.0 (1)	41, 377 26, 523 14, 806 48	48, <b>495</b> 27, 567 20, 921 7	85.3 96.2 70.8	31, 351 18, 951 } 12, 400	34, 182 18, 465 15, 717	91.7 102.6 78.9
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	48, 562 45, 482 3, 080 2, 649	49,889 46,505 3,384 1,761	97.3 97.8 91.0 150.4	25, 101 22, 919 2, 182 1, 422	26, 531 24, 227 2, 304 1, 036	94.6 94.6 94.7 137.3	17, 836 16, 367 1,469 1,115	$17,733 \\ 16,302 \\ 1,431 \\ 732$	100.6 100.4 102.7 152.3
Baltimore, Md. Total White Negro Lodian. Chinese. and Jananese	<b>268, 195</b> 228, 816 39, 054 325	290, 290 244, 571 45, 695 24	92.4 93.6 85.5 (1)	243,280 207,747 35,063 470	<b>265,677</b> 221,471 44,195 11	91.6 93.8 79.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	206, 114 176, 949 28, 979 186	228, 325 190, 194 38, 125 6	90.3 93.0 76.0
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	$190, 180 \\ 125, 702 \\ 64, 478 \\ 38, 636$	206, 164 135, 772 70, 392 38, 407	92.2 92.6 91.6 100.6	174, 488 114, 223 60, 265 33, 259	$186,790 \\ 121,830 \\ 64,960 \\ 34,681$	93.4 93.8 92.8 95.9	143, 501 89, 191 54, 310 33, 448	155, 066 97, 434 57, 632 35 <b>, 12</b> 8	92. 5 91. 5 94. 2 95. 2
Birmingham, Ala. Total White. Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	67,268 41,595 25,662 11	65, 417 38, 774 26, 643	102.8 107.3 96.3	<b>19,626</b> 11,880 7,738 8	<b>18, 789</b> 9, 952 8, 837	<b>104.5</b> 119.4 87.6	13,815 8,304 } 5,511	<b>12, 363</b> 6, 605 5, 758	111.7 125.7 95.7
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	$38,214 \\ 34,008 \\ 4,206 \\ 3,381$	36,455 32,304 4,151 2,319	104.8 105.3 101.3 145.8	10,771 9,304 1,467 1,109	9,300 7,882 1,418 652	115.8 118.0 103.5 170.1	7,253 6,238 1,015 1,051	6,027 5,107 920 578	120.3 122.1 110.3 181.8
Boston, Mass. Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	329,703 321,802 6,664 1,237	340, 882 333, 894 6, 900 88	96.7 96.4 96.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	274, 922 267, 822 5, 904 1, 196	<b>285, 870</b> 280, 261 5, 687 22	96.1 95.8 103.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	$217,754 \\ 213,128 \\ 4,168 \\ 458$	230, 723 226, 759 3, 957 7	<b>94</b> . 4 94. 0 105. 3 (1)
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	204,016 77,368 126,648 117,786	210, 958 80, 502 130, 456 122, 936	96.7 96.1 97.1 95.8	175, 499 73, 070 102, 429 92, 323	177, 631 73, 123 104, 508 102, 630	98.8 99.9 98.0 90.0	140, 336 67, 447 72, 889 72, 792	$\begin{array}{r} 142,873\\ 68,293\\ 74,580\\ 83,886 \end{array}$	98.2 98.8 97.7 86.8
Brldgeport, Conn. Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	<b>52, 549</b> 51, 830 657 62	<b>49,505</b> 48,820 675 10	106.1 106.2 97.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	35,381 34,793 516 72	36,616 34,982 633	99.3 99.5 81.5	24, 237 23, 828 } 409	24, 629 24, 151 478	96. 4 98. 7 85. 6
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	31, 925 13, 723 18, 202 19, 905	32, 545 13, 433 19, 112 16, 275	98.1 102.2 95.2 122.3	23,382 10,933 12,449 11,411	24, 196 10, 952 13, 244 10, 786	96.6 99.8 94.0 105.8	16, 585 9, 133 7, 452 7, 243	17, 231 9, 476 7, 755 6, 920	96.3 96.4 96.1 104.7
Buffalo, N. Y. Total White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	<b>212, 502</b> 211, 466 933 103	211, 213 210, 343 840 30	100.6 100.5 111.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	174, 931 173, 932 899 100	177,458 176,654 799 3	<b>98.6</b> 98.5 112.5 ( <sup>1</sup> )	128, 884 128, 266 569 49	126,780 126,229 549 2	101.7 101.6 103.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	148,670 59,915 88,755 62,796	154, 695 59, 777 94, 918 55, 648	96.1 100.2 93.5 112.8	121,773 45,841 75,932 52,159	124, 803 45, 019 79, 784 51, 851	97.6 101.8 95.2 100.6	82, 427 29, 209 53, 218 45, 839	82, 868 27, 535 55, 333 43, 361	99.5 108.1 96.2 105.7
Cambridge, Mass. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	<b>50, 161</b> 47, 829 2, 227 105	54,678 52,188 2,480 10	91.7 91.6 89.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	44, 477 42, 513 1, 845 119	47,409 45,362 2,043 4	93.8 93.7 90.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	34,204 33,244 } 960	35,824 34,757 1,067	95. 8 95. 6 90. 0
Native white	31, 417 12, 047 19, 370 16, 412	33, 992 13, 568 20, 424 18, 196	92.4 88.8 94.8 90.2	28,718 12,688 16,030 13,795	29, 233 12, 532 16, 701 16, 129	98.2 101.2 96.0 85.5	22,083 11,097 10,986 11,161	22, 351 11, 028 11, 323 12, 406	98.8 100.6 97.0 90.0
Chicago, Ill. White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	1,125,764 1,101,110 22,685 1,969	<b>1,059,519</b> 1,037,947 21,418 154	106.3 106.1 105.9 1,278.6	863,408 846,093 46,073 1,242	836,167 821,047 14,077 43	103.4 103.1 114.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>568,402</b> 659,900 7,938 564	531,448 525,098 6,333 17	107.0 106.0 125.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	673, 250 226, 668 446, 584 427, 860	684, 590 218, 473 466, 117 353, 357	98.3 103.8 95.8 121.1	539, 693 181, 670 358, 023 306, 400	542,027 172,709 369,318 279,020	99.6 105.2 96.9 109.8	322, 377 118, 230 204, 147 237, 523	312,993 104,976 208,017 212,105	103 0 112.0 98.1 112.0

<sup>1</sup>Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

•

#### 278

# MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING, IN 1910, 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910, 1900, AND 1890—Continued.

Table 26-Continued.		1910			1900		1890			
CITY AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	
Cincinnati, Ohio.										
Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	177,611 167,581 9,905 25	186,080 176,338 9,734 8	95.4 95.0 101.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	157,140 149,968 7,150 16	168,762 161,436 7,326	93.1 92.9 97.7	145,011 139,252 5,733 26	151,897 145,972 5,922 3	95.5 95.4 96.8 (1)	
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	138,365 76,659 61,706 29,216	148,762 78,278 70,484 27,576	93.0 97.9 87.5 105.9	121,648 55,679 65,969 28,320	131,869 58,021 73,848 29,567	92. 2 96. 0 89. 3 95. 8	103,748 39,915 63,833 35,504	110,166 40,426 69,740 35,806	94.2 98.7 91.6 99.2	
Cleveland, Ohio. Total. White. Negro. Indian. Chinese. and Japanese.	289, 262 284, 660 4, 341 261	271,401 267,265 4,107 29	106.6 106.5 105.7	192,618 189,328 3,177 111	189, 152 186, 336 2, 811 5	<b>101.</b> 8 101.6 113.0	132, 517 130, 886 1, 587 44	128, 836 127, 432 1, 402 2	102.9 102.7 113.2	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	176,087 66,668 109,419 108,573	180,135 65,646 114,489 87,130	97.8 101.6 95.6 124.6	124, 269 43, 996 80, 273 65, 059	127,041 43,744 83,297 89,295	97.8 100.6 96.4 109.7	79,846 30,621 49,225 51,040	81, 545 31, 047 50, 498 45, 887	97.9 98.6 97.5 111.2	
Columbus, Ohio. Total. White. Negro.	<b>91, 452</b> 84, 612 6, 784	<b>90, 059</b> 84, 097 5, 955	101.5 100.6 113.9	63,301 58,968 4,311	62,258 58,367 3,890	101.7 101.0 110.8	45,019 42,015 } 3,004	43, 131 40, 588 2, 543	104. 4 103. 5 118. 1	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	75,238 58,339 16,899 9,374	77,186 58,507 18,679 6,911	97.5 99.7 90.5 135.6	52,524 37,911 14,613 6,444	52,519 37,125 15,394 5,848	(1) 100.0 102.1 94.9 110.2	35,392 24,057 11,335 6,623	34,759 23,062 11,697 5,829	101.8 104.3 96.9 113.6	
Dayton, Ohlo. Total. White. Negro. Indian. Chinese. and Japanese.	58, 848 56, 347 2, 475 26	57,729 55,360 2,367 2	<b>101. 9</b> 101. 8 104. 6 (1)	42, 142 40, 401 1, 718 23	<b>43, 191</b> 41, 522 1, 669	<b>87.6</b> 97.3 102.9	30,489 29,388 } 1,101	30,731 29,660 1,065	89.2 99.1 103.4	
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	48, 174 36, 129 12, 045 8, 173	49,686 36,172 13,514 5,674	97.0 99.9 89.1 144.0	35,234 24,017 11,217 5,167	36, 665 24, 315 12, 350 4, 857	96. 1 98. 8 90. 8 106. 4	24, 428 16, 052 8, 376 4, 960	26,056 16,158 8,898 4,610	97.5 99.3 94.1 107.6	
Denver, Colo. Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	107, 395 103, 959 2, 652 784	105,986 103,112 2,774 100	101.3 100.8 95.6 784.0	86,592 64,406 1,881 305	67,267 65,203 2,042 22	99.0 98.8 92.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	60,744 58,084 1,702 958	45,969 44,558 1,343 68	$     \begin{array}{r}       132.1 \\       130.4 \\       126.7 \\       (^1)     \end{array} $	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	83,064 53,529 29,535 20,895	85,066 53,416 31,650 18,046	97.6 100.2 93.3 115.8	51, 629 33, 548 18, 081 12, 777	53,018 33,262 19,756 12,185	97.4 100.9 91.5 104.9	43, 785 31, 394 12, 391 14, 299	34,416 23,113 11,303 10,142	127. 2 135. 8 109. 6 141. 0	
Detroit, Mich. Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japancse	240, 354 237, 300 2, 985 69	225, 412 222, 626 2, 756 30	106.6 106.6 108.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	139,242 137,213 2,014 15	148, 462 144, 362 2, 097 3	95.1 95.0 96.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )	101,298 99,587 1,692 19	104,578 102,835 1,739 4	96.9 96.8 97.3 (1)	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	150, 968 59, 063 91, 905 86, 332	152, 393 56, 043 96, 350 70, 233	99.1 105.4 95.4 122.9	90,353 30,174 60,179 46,860	95,171 31,135 64,036 <b>49,191</b>	94. 9 96. 9 94. 0 95. 3	59, 636 21, 444 38, 192 39, 951	61,837 21,478 40,359 40,998	96. 4 99. 8 94. 6 97. 4	
Fall River, Mass. Total. White Nerro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	57,627 57,373 174 80	61,668 61,484 181 3	93.4 93.3 96.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>60,260</b> 50,061 118 81	<b>54,603</b> 54,397 206	92.0 92.0 57.3	35,742 35,625 } 117	38,656 38,564 92	92.5 92.4 (1)	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	32, 982 7, 637 25, 345 24, 391	35,001 8,221 26,780 26,483	94.2 92.9 94.6 92.1	26, 555 6, 913 19, 642 23, 506	27, 942 7, 387 20, 555 26, 455	95. 0 93. 6 95. 6 88. 9	17,770 5,895 11,875 17,855	18,724 6,413 12,311 19,840	94, 9 91, 9 96, 5 90, 0	
Grand Rapids, Mich. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	55,539 55,167 347 25	57,032 56,712 318 2	<b>87.</b> 4 97. 3 109. 1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>42,470</b> 42,165 298 7	<b>45,095</b> 44,787 306 2	84.2 94.1 97.4 ( <sup>1</sup> )	30,091 29,761 } 330	30, 187 29, 896 291	<b>99.7</b> 99.5 113.4	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	39, 927 19, 960 19, 967 15, 240	43,617 20,817 22,800 13,095	91.5 95.9 87.6 116.4	29, 974 14, 236 15, 738 12, 191	33,120 15,398 17,722 11,667	90, 5 92, 5 88, 8 104, 5	19, 736 10, 698 9, 038 10, 025	20,633 10,661 9,972 9,263	95.7 100.3 90.6 108.2	
Indianapolls, Ind. Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	118,069 105,218 10,803 48	117, 581 106, 562 11, 013 6	98.7 98.7 98.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	83,523 75,589 7,902 32	<b>85,641</b> 77,612 8,029	87.5 97.4 98.4	52,303 47,701 4,585 17	53,133 48,581 4,548 4	<b>98.4</b> 98.2 100.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	
Native white Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	93, 884 74, 209 19, 675 11, 334	98, 129 76, 384 21, 745 8, 433	95.7 97.2 90.5 134.4	66, 649 48, 156 18, 493 8, 940	69, 482 49, 616 19, 866 8, 130	95.9 97.1 93.1 110.0	40,148 28,146 12,002 7,553	41, 685 28, 853 12, 832 6, 896	96.3 97.5 93.5 109.5	

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

280

## MALES AND FEMALES. AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING, IN 1910, 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910, 1900, AND 1890—Continued.

Table 26-Continued.		1910			1900		1890		
CITY AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
Jersey Clty, N. J. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	137, 457 134, 285 3, 020 152	130, 322 127, 374 2, 940 8	105.5 105.4 102.7 ( <sup>1</sup> )	104, 027 101, 962 1, 846 219	102, 406 100, 548 1, 858	101.6 101.4 99.4	82,046 80,892 1,017 137	80,957 79,874 1,082 1	101.3 101.3 94.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	91, 829 37, 937 53, 892 42, 456	92, 133 36, 924 55, 209 35, 241	99.7 102.7 97.6 120.5	72,079 29,006 43,073 29,883	72, 270 28, 191 44, 079 28, 278	99.7 102.9 97.7 105.7	53,602 20,967 32,635 27,290	53, 984 20, 592 33, 392 25, 890	99.3 101.8 97.7 105.4
Kansas Clty, Mo. Total White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	<b>126, 414</b> <b>114, 419</b> <b>11, 885</b> <b>110</b>	<b>121, 967</b> 110, 258 11, 681 28	103.8 103.8 101.7 ( <sup>1</sup> )	82, 729 74, 078 8, 560 91	81,023 72,012 9,007 4	102.1 102.9 95.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>71, 051</b> 63, 998 6, 864 189	61,665 54,823 6,836 6	116.2 116.7 100.4 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	99, 993 77, 861 22, 132 14, 426	99, 357 75, 856 23, 501 10, 901	100.6 102.6 94.2 132.3	64, 103 47, 860 16, 243 9, 975	63,700 46,517 17,183 8,312	100. 6 102. 9 94. 5 120. 0	52, 064 39, 248 12, 816 11, 934	46, 121 33, 693 12, 428 8, 702	112.9 116.5 103.1 137.1
Los Angeles, Cal. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	<b>162, 669</b> 153, 602 3, 682 5, 385	166, 529 151, 705 3, 917 907	<b>103. 9</b> 101. 3 94. 0 593. 7	<b>50, 519</b> 47, 377 1, 015 2, 127	51,960 50,705 1,118 139	97. 2 93. 4 90. 9 1, 530. 2	28,224 23,757 } 2,467	24, 171 23, 448 723	108.5 101.3 341.2
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	120, 327 84, 881 35, 446 33, 275	124, 396 85, 088 39, 310 27, 309	96.7 99.8 90.2 121.8	37,999 25,929 12,070 9,378	42, 166 28, 131 14, 035 8, 539	90. 1 92. 2 86. 0 109. 8	17,857 12,386 5,471 5,900	18, 491 12, 389 6, 102 4, 957	96.6 100.0 89.7 119.0
Louisville, Ky. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	108,548 88,934 19,602 12	115,380 94,456 20,920 4	94.1 94.2 93.7 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>99, 531</b> 80, 687 18, 842 2	<b>105,200</b> 84,903 20,297	<b>94. 6</b> 95. 0 92. 8	78, <b>612</b> 65, 264 13, 330 18	82, <b>517</b> 67, 193 15, 321 3	95.3 97.1 87.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	80, 066 55, 678 24, 388 8, 868	85,888 57,865 28,023 8,568	93.2 96.2 87.0 103.5	70, 038 43, 594 26, 444 10, 649	74, 155 44, 855 29, 300 10, 748	94.4 97.2 90.3 99.1	53,274 31,066 22,208 11,990	55,721 31,876 23,845 11,472	95.6 97.5 93.1 104.5
Lowell, Mass. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	51, 525 51, 409 62 54	54,769 54,693 71 5	94.1 94.0 ( <sup>1</sup> ) ( <sup>1</sup> )	44, 949 44, 823 67 59	<b>50, 020</b> 49, 951 69	89.9 89.7 ( <sup>1</sup> )	35, 453 35, 280 } 173	42, 243 42, 110 133	83. 9 83. 8 130. 1
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	29, 975 9, 767 20, 208 21, 434	32,670 10,936 21,734 22,023	91.8 89.3 93.0 97.3	25,646 9,721 15,925 19,177	28, 213 11, 107 17, 106 21, 738	90.9 87.5 93.1 88.2	20, 182 9, 713 10, 469 15, 098	22, 794 11, 371 11, 423 19, 316	88.5 85.4 91.6 78.2
Memphis, Tenn. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	66, 270 40, 966 25, 259 45	64,835 37,624 27,182 29	102.2 108.9 92.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	62, 284 27, 703 24, 551 30	<b>50, 036</b> 24, 677 25, 359	104.5 112.3 96.8	32,488 19,155 } 13,333	32, 007 16, 611 15, 396	101.5 115.3 86.6
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	37,113 31,210 5,903 3,853	35,010 28,775 6,235 2,614	106.0 108.5 94.7 147.4	24,765 19,363 5,402 2,938	22, 646 17, 193 5, 353 2, 131	109.8 112.6 100.9 137.9	15,842 12,004 3,838 3,313	14, 553 10, 568 3, 985 2, 058	108.9 113.6 96.3 161.0
Milwaukee, Wis. Total. White. Negro. Indian and Chinese.	189,488 188,948 478 62	184,369 183,861 502 6	102.8 102.8 95.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	140, 538 140, 043 471 22	144, <b>779</b> 144,388 391	<b>97. 1</b> 97. 0 120. 5	<b>100,773</b> 100,494 261 18	<b>103,695</b> 103,507 183	97.2 97.1 138.8
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	126, 369 39, 021 87, 348 62, 579	134, 984 39, 802 95, 182 48, 877	93.6 98.0 91.8 123.0	94, 252 23, 943 70, 309 45, 791	101, 231 24, 655 76, 576 43, 157	93.1 97.1 91.8 106.1	59, 588 13, 325 46, 263 40, 906	64, 873 13, 912 50, 961 38, 634	91, 9 95, 8 90, 8 105, 9
Minneapolis, Minn. Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	<b>157,345</b> 155,719 1,499 127	144, 063 142, 953 1, 093 17	109.2 108.9 137.1	103, 122 102, 259 830 33	<b>99,596</b> 98,854 718 24	103.5 103.4 115.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	87,643 86,820 797 26	77,096 76,564 523 8	113.7 113.4 152.4 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	106,702 50,676 56,026 49,017	106,032 45,510 60,522 36,921	100. 6 111. 4 92. 6 132. 8	69, 198 31, 418 37, 780 33, 061	70, 932 29, 851 41, 081 27, 922	97.6 105.2 92.0 118.4	52, 598 28, 613 23, 985 34, 222	50, 271 25, 316 24, 955 26, 293	104.6 113.0 96.1 130.2
Nashville, Tenn. Total White. Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	<b>52,155</b> 35,918 16,229 8	58,209 37,913 20,294 2	89.6 94.7 80.0	38,356 25,063 13,269 24	42,609 25,733 16,775 1	90. 2 97. 4 79. 1	36,832 23,498 } 13,334	<b>39,336</b> 23,275 16,061	93. 6 101. 0 83. 0
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	34,341 31,054 3,287 1,577	36, 497 32, 633 3, 864 1, 416	94.1 95.2 85.1 111.4	23, 490 20, 109 3, 381 1, 573	24,304 20,511 3,793 1,429	96.7 98.0 89.1 110.1	21, 470 18, 440 3, 030 2, 028	21, 537 18, 362 3, 176 1, 738	99.7 100.4 95.4 116.7

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

## MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING, IN 1910, 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910, 1900, AND 1890-Continued.

							11				
Table 26-Continued.	-	1910			1960		1890				
CITY AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.		
New Haven, Conn. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	66,695 64,890 1,711 94	88,910 65,054 1,850 6	<b>89.7</b> 99.7 92.5 (1)	63,842 52,386 1,355 101	54, 185 52, 652 1, 532 1	<b>89.</b> 4 99. 5 88. 4 ( <sup>1</sup> )	40, 437 39, 221 } 1, 216	<b>40, 881</b> 39, 574 1, 287	<b>99.</b> 0 99. 1 94. 5		
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	42, 349 18, 358 23, 991 22, 541	44, 811 19, 368 25, 443 20, 243	94.5 94.8 94.3 111.4	37, 202 18, 523 18, 679 15, 184	37, 182 17, 862 19, 320 15, 470	100. 1 103. 7 96. 7 98. 2	27, 744 15, 452 12, 292 11, 477	28, 147 15, 426 12, 721 11, 427	98.6 100.2 96.6 100.4		
New Orleans, La. Total White	163,239 121,916 40,946 377	<b>175, 836</b> 127, 487 48, 316 33	92.8 95.6 84.7 (1)	136,068 100,504 35,129 435	161, 036 108, 442 42, 585 9	<b>90.</b> 1 92. 7 82. 5 ( <sup>1</sup> )	113, 467 84, 531 28, 780 156	128,572 92,845 35,711 16	88.3 91.0 80.6 (1)		
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	$107,282 \\72,859 \\34,423 \\14,634$	114, 435 74, 614 39, 821 13, 052	93.7 97.6 86.4 112.1	85,786 50,053 35,733 14,718	93, 591 53, 133 40, 458 14, 851	91.7 94.2 88.3 99.1	68, 057 33, 207 34, 850 16, 474	75, 416 37, 191 38, 225 17, 429	90.2 89.3 91.2 94.5		
New York, N. Y. Total. Whito. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	2,382,482 2,334,844 42,143 5,495	2,384,401 2,334,318 49,566 517	<b>99.8</b> 100.0 85.0 1,062.9	1,705,705 1,672,118 27,132 6,455	1, <b>731, 497</b> 1, 697, 780 33, 534 183	98.5 98.5 80.9 3,527.3	<sup>2</sup> 1, 141, 702 1, 123, 894 15, 168 2, 640	<sup>2</sup> 1, 179, 942 1, 161, 130 18, 720 92	96.8 96.8 81.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )		
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	1, 346, 892 456, 111 890, 781 987, 952	1, 394, 567 465, 207 929, 360 939, 751	96.6 98.0 95.8 105.1	1,041,945 368,008 673,937 630,173	$\begin{array}{r} 1,067,035\\ 369,469\\ 697,566\\ 630,745 \end{array}$	97.6 99.6 96.6 99.9	680, 741 242, 558 438, 183 443, 153	706, 572 250, 502 456, 070 454, 558	96.3 96.8 96.1 97.5		
Manhattan Borough. To tal. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	1,166,669 1,134,552 28,024 4,083	1, <b>164, 883</b> 1, 132, 026 32, 510 347	100.2 100.2 86.2 1,176.7	918, 259 897, 291 16, 239 4, 729	<b>931, 834</b> 911, 677 20, 007 150	98.5 98.4 81.2 3,152.7					
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	572, 871 171, 437 401, 434 561, 681	589,688 172,914 416,774 542,338	97.1 99.1 96.3 103.6	508, 993 157, 308 351, 685 388, 298	517,261 154,999 362,262 394,416	98.4 101.5 97.1 98.4					
Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	217, 120 215, 010 1, 911 199	213,860 211,640 2,206 14	101.5 101.6 86.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	101,758 100,387 1,161 208	98,751 97,536 1,209 6	103.0 102.9 96.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )					
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white. Brooklan Borowak.	137,062 46,431 90,631 77,948	140, 653 46, 138 94, 515 70, 987	97.4 100.6 95.9 109.8	67, 645 25, 097 42, 548 32, 742	69,020 25,136 43,884 28,516	98.0 99.8 97.0 114.8					
Total. White. Nerro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other.	809,791 798,535 10,245 1,011	824,560 811,952 12,463 145	98.2 98.3 82.2 697.2	673,733 564,321 8,127 1,285	592,849 582,588 10,240 21	96.8 96.9 79.4 ( <sup>1</sup> )					
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white. Oueens Borough.	505,921 183,324 322,597 292,614	533,210 192,224 340,986 278,742	94.9 95.4 94.6 105.0	388,434 153,080 235,354 175,887	404,725 157,421 247,304 177,863	96.0 97.2 95.2 98.9					
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	144,205 142,620 1,440 145	139,836 138,071 1,758 7	103.1 103.3 81.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	77,547 76,278 1,119 150	75,452 73,957 1,492 3	102.8 103.1 75.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )			•		
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white. Richmond Borough.	100,284 40,430 59,854 42,336	101,292 40,177 61,115 36,779	99.0 100.6 97.9 115.1	52,747 20,875 31,872 23,631	52, 873 20, 783 32, 090 21, 084	99.8 100.4 99.3 111.6					
Total. White Negro Chinese and Japanese.	- 44,707 44,127 523 57	41, 262 40, 629 629 4	108.3 108.6 83.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	34,410 33,841 486 83	32,611 32,022 586 3	105.5 105.7 82.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )					
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white	30,754 14,489 16,265 13,373	29,724 13,754 15,970 10,905	103.5 105.3 101.8 122.6	24,126 11,648 12,478 9,716	23,156 11,130 12,026 8,866	104.2 104.7 103.8 109.6					
Newark, N. J. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	173, 389 168, 680 4, 477 232	174, 080 169, 062 4, 998 20	<b>99.6</b> 99.8 89.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	121, 027 117, 727 3, 034 266	125,043 121,381 3,660 2	96.8 97.0 82.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>88,980</b> 86,973 1,879 128	<b>92, 850</b> 90, 586 2, 262 2	95.8 96.0 83.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )		
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	110,56646,42064,14658,114	116,621 48,317 68,204 52,641	94.9 96.1 94.1 110.6	81,865 35,129 46,736 <b>3</b> 5,862	86,193 36,423 49,770 35,188	95.0 96.4 93.9 101.9	59,306 27,054 32,252 27,667	62, 858 28, 727 34, 131 27, 728	94.3 94.2 94.5 99.8		

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100. <sup>2</sup> Combined population of New York and Brooklyn as constituted in 1990; distribution by sex and color or race for the entire population of the present area of New York City (2,507,414, in 1890) not available.

282

# MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING, IN 1910, 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910, 1900, AND 1890—Continued.

Table 26-Continued.		1910			1900		1890			
CITY AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	
Oakland, Cal. Total White. Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	78,222 72,350 1,614 4,258	71,952 69,606 1,441 905	108.7 103.9 112.0 470.5	32, 921 31, 299 524 1, 098	34, 039 33, 489 502 48	96.7 93.5 104.4 ( <sup>1</sup> )	24,755 23,239 } 1,516	23, 927 23, 584 343	103.5 98.5 442.0	
Native winte: Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	27, 592 23, 904 20, 854	27,606 26,032 15,968	96.0 99.9 91.8 130.6	22,995 11,881 11,114 8,304	25,570 12,909 12,661 7,919	89.9 92.0 87.8 104.9	9,029 7,285 6,925	9, 193 7, 978 6, 413	95.0 98.2 91.3 108.0	
Total. White	84,802 62,342 2,379 81	59,294 57,238 2,047 9	109.3 108.9 116.2	54,093 52,238 1,755 100	48,462 46,771 1,688 3	111.6 111.7 104.0	80, 108 77, 324 2, 693 91	60, 344 58, 470 1, 873	$ \begin{array}{c} 132.8 \\ 132.2 \\ 143.8 \\ (1) \end{array} $	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	47, 261 27, 578 19, 683 15, 081	45,251 25,339 19,912 11,987	104.4 108.8 98.8 125.8	39, 734 23, 247 16, 487 12, 504	35, 846 19, 505 16, 341 10, 925	110.8 119.2 100.9 114.5	57, 282 40, 360 16, 922 20, 042	43, 589 28, 328 15, 261 14, 881	131.4 142.5 110.9 134.7	
Paterson, N. J. Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	62,439 61,644 710 85	<b>63, 161</b> 62, 325 829 7	98.9 98.9 85.6 (1)	51,889 51,217 542 130	53,282 52,642 640	<b>97.4</b> 97.3 84.7	38, 276 37, 901 } 374	<b>40,072</b> 39,743 329	95.5 95.4 113.7	
Native white Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	38, 176 13, 775 24, 401 23, 468	40, 395 14, 617 25, 778 21, 930	94.5 94.2 94.7 107.0	31, 723 11, 519 20, 204 19, 494	33, 470 12, 378 21, 092 19, 172	94.8 93.1 95.8 101.7	22, 590 9, 562 13, 028 15, 311	23, 964 10, 168 13, 796 15, 779	94.3 94.0 94.4 97.0	
Philadelphia, Pa. Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	760, 463 719, 927 39, 431 1, 105	<b>788, 545</b> 743, 444 45, 028 73	96.4 96.8 87.6 (1)	634, 485 604, 268 28, 940 1, 277	659, 212 625, 405 33, 673 134	96. 2 96. 6 85. 9 953. 0	511, 122 492, 162 18, 099 861	535,842 . 514,428 21,272 142	<b>95.4</b> 95.7 85.1 606.3	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	525, 933 284, 690 241, 243 193, 994	554, 860 299, 318 255, 542 188, 584	94.8 95.1 94.4 102.9	459, 750 257, 231 202, 519 144, 518	$\begin{array}{r} 476,254\\264,680\\211,574\\149,151 \end{array}$	96.5 97.2 95.7 96.9	360, 401 202, 046 158, 355 131, 761	377, 940 212, 289 165, 651 136, 488	95.4 95.2 95.6 96.5	
Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	<b>273, 589</b> 259, 979 13, 351 259	<b>260, 316</b> 248, 029 12, 272 15	105.1 104.8 108.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	232, 313 220, 911 11, 222 180	219, 199 210, 062 9, 133 4	106.0 105.2 122.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	177,041 171,044 5,879 118	166, 863 162, 375 4, 478 10	106.1 105.3 131.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	180, 955 87, 602 93, 353 79, 024	186,617 88,487 98,130 61,412	97.0 99.0 95.1 128.7	157, 543 74, 458 83, 085 63, 368	158, 585 72, 838 85, 747 51, 477	99.3 102.2 96.9 123.1	$\begin{array}{r} 117,603\\ 54,425\\ 63,178\\ 53,441 \end{array}$	116, 565 52, 250 64, 315 45, 810	$100.9 \\ 104.2 \\ 98.2 \\ 116.7$	
Total White	118,868 111,452 608 6,808	88,348 87,500 437 409	134.6 127.4 139.1 1,664.5	53,128 43,990 458 8,680	37,298 36,624 317 357	142.4120.1144.52,431.4	29, 061 24, 373 } 4, 678	17,334 16,967 367	167.6 143.6 1,274.7	
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	83,728 57,596 26,132 27,724	71, 444 46, 567 24, 877 16, 056	117.2 123.7 105.0 172.7	33, 559 20, 734 12, 825 10, 431	29, 321 17, 436 11, 885 7, 303	114.5 118.9 107.9 142.8	16,003 10,872 5,131 8,370	12, 487 7, 768 4, 719 4, 480	128.2 140.0 108.7 186.8	
Providence, R. I. Total. White. Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	<b>110, 288</b> 107, 428 2, 577 283	114,038 111,195 2,739 104	96.7 96.6 94.1 272.1	<b>85,072</b> 82,531 2,283 258	90, 525 87, 977 2, 534 14	94.0 93.8 90.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )	63, 669 61, 651 1, 849 69	88, 577 66, 444 2, 114 19	92.7 92.8 87.5 ( <sup>1</sup> )	
Native white	68, 660 28, 933 39, 727 38, 768	73,660 31,033 42,627 37,535	93.2 93.2 93.2 103.3	55, 765 26, 441 29, 324 26, 766	59,433 27,982 31,451 28,544	93.8 94.5 93.2 93.8	42, 554 23, 328 19, 226 19, 097	45,324 25,033 20,291 21,120	93.9 93.2 94.8 90.4	
Richmond, Va. Total. White Negro. Indian and Chinese.	60,905 39,419 21,472 14	<b>86, 723</b> 41, 460 25, 261 2	<b>91.3</b> 95.1 85.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )	39,936 25,562 14,354 20	<b>46, 114</b> 27, 236 17, 876 2	88.5 93.9 80.3 (1)	38, 261 24, 045 } 14, 216	<b>43, 127</b> 24, 989 18, 138	88.7 96.2 78.4	
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	37,132 33,429 3,703 2,287	39,662 35,701 3,961 1,798	93.6 93.6 93.5 127.2	24,039 21,093 2,946 1,523	25, 925 22, 767 3, 158 1, 311	92.7 92.6 93.3 116.2	$\begin{array}{r} 22,162\\ 19,294\\ 2,868\\ 1,883\end{array}$	23,442 20,424 3,018 1,547	94.5 94.5 95.0 121.7	
Rochester, N. Y. Total. White	108,352 107,884 424 44	109, 797 109, 321 455 21	<b>98.7</b> 98.7 93.2 (1)	77,520 77,217 291 12	85,088 84,777 310 1	91.1 91.1 93.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>64, 463</b> 64, 185 253 15	<b>69,443</b> 69,133 306 4	92.8 92.8 82.7 (1)	
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	76, 643 36, 779 39, 864 31, 241	81, 569 37, 746 43, 823 27, 752	94.0 97.4 91.0 112.6	57, 716 25, 378 32, 338 19, 501	63,560 27,100 36,460 21,217	90.8 93.6 88.7 91.9	44, 873 19, 454 25, 419 19, 312	48, 712 20, 476 28, 236 20, 421	92.1 95.0 90.0 94.6	
St. Louis, Mo. Wbite. Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	346,068 323,392 22,168 508	<b>340, 961</b> 319, 096 21, 792 73	101.5 101.3 101.7	288, 197 270, 378 17, 496 323	<b>287,041</b> 269,007 18,020 14	100.4 100.5 97.1	228, 114 214, 867 13, 064 183	223, 656 209, 837 13, 801 18	102.0 102.4 94.7 (1)	
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign.horn white	253,095 134,850 118,245	263, 687 134, 986 128, 701	96.0 99.9 91.9	211,344 95,492 115,852 59,024	217,075 93,757 123,318	97.4 101.9 93.9 113.7	153,281 60,096 93,185 61 586	156, 813 58, 644 98, 169 53, 024	97.7 102.5 94.9	

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

<sup>2</sup> Includes population of Allegheny for 1900 and 1890.

•

MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING, IN 1910, 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910, 1900, AND 1890—Continued.

Table 26-Continued.		1910			1900		1890			
CITY AND CLASS OF POPULATION.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	
St. Paul, Minn. Total. White Nerro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	<b>111, 80</b> 9 109, 836 1, 904 69	102,935 101,680 1,240 15	108.6 108.0 153.5 (1)	84,405 83,043 1,327 35	78,660 77,721 938 3	107.3 106.8 141.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	68,561 68,669 850 42	<b>83, 595</b> <b>82, 963</b> 626 6	109.4 109.1 135.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-horn white.	78,304 32,522 45,782 31,532	76,688 29,072 47,616 24,992	$102.1 \\ 111.9 \\ 96.1 \\ 126.2$	58, 169 22, 966 35, 203 24, 874	55, 847 19, 488 38, 359 21, 874	$104.2 \\ 117.8 \\ 96.8 \\ 113.7$	39, 584 16, 412 23, 172 29, 085	38,968 14,599 24,369 23,995	101.6 112.4 95.1 121.2	
San Francisco, Cal. Total. White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	236,901 222,829 1,025 13,047	180,011 177,185 617 2,209	131.6 125.8 166.1 590.6	184, 866 170, 649 872 13, 345	167,916 154,729 782 2,405	<b>117.1</b> 110.3 111.5 554.9	169,800 144,289 1,022 24,489	129,197 126,407 825 1,965	131.4 114.1 123.9 1,246.3	
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white.	141, 834 64, 527 77, 307 80, 995	127, 306 50, 832 76, 474 49, 879	111.4 126.9 101.1 162.4	111, 480 44, 397 67, 083 59, 169	109, 634 39, 161 70, 473 45, 095	101.7 113.4 95.2 131.2	86,602 33,413 53,189 57,687	82, 641 28, 728 53, 913 43, 766	104.8 116.3 98.7 131.8	
Scranton, Pa. Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	<b>86, 691</b> 65, 277 305 9	64,276 64,011 262 3	102.0 102.0 116.4 ( <sup>1</sup> )	61,216 50,907 294 15	50, 810 50, 580 227 3	100.8 100.6 129.5 ( <sup>1</sup> )	38,416 38,259 } 157	38,799 36,675 124	<b>104. 4</b> 104. 3 126. 8	
Native white Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-horn white.	45,616 19,051 26,565 19,661	48, 560 19, 694 28, 866 15, 451	93.9 96.7 92.0 127.2	35, 432 13, 434 21, 998 15, 475	37,096 13,865 23,231 13,484	95.5 96.9 94.7 114.8	24, 596 9, 199 15, 397 13, 663	24,786 9,092 15,694 11,889	99.2 101.2 98.1 114.9	
Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	<b>138, 77</b> 3 129, 263 1, 394 6, 116	<b>100, 421</b> 98, 490 902 1, 029	<b>136</b> . 2 131. 2 154. 5 594. 4	<b>51</b> , 521 47, 953 212 3, 356	29, 150 28, 862 194 94	176.7 166.1 109.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	26,782 26,158 } 624	<b>16,05</b> 6 15,898 157	166.8 164.5 397.5	
Native white. Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-horn white.	90, 185 59, 007 31, 178 39, 078	76,733 46,777 29,956 21,757	117.5 126.1 104.1 179.6	35, 649 25, 223 10, 426 12, 304	22, 510 13, 587 8, 923 6, 352	158.4 185.6 116.8 193.7	17,447 12,240 5,207 8,711	11,459 7,328 4,131 4,439	152.3 167.0 126.0 196.2	
Spokane, Waah. Total. White. Negro Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	<b>57, 513</b> 56, 569 391 553	<b>48,88</b> 9 46,502 332 55	122.7 121.6 117.8 ( <sup>1</sup> )	21, 167 20, 601 222 344	15,681 15,500 154 27	135.0 132.9 144.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	13,271 12,792 } . 479	6 <b>,651</b> 6,575 76	199.5 194.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	
Native white Native parentage. Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-born white.	43, 165 29, 226 13, 939 13, 404	38,686 25,348 13,338 7,816	111.6 115.3 104.5 171.5	15, 924 10, 638 5, 286 4, 677	12, 715 8, 118 4, 597 2, 785	125.2 131.0 115.0 167.9	8,962 6,919 2,043 3,830	5,141 3,586 1,555 1,434	174.3 192.9 131.4 267.1	
Syracusa, N. Y. Total. White Negro Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	68,806 68,210 579 17	68, 443 67, 891 545 7	100.5 100.5 106.2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	52, 538 52, 011 497 30	55,836 55,298 537 1	94.1 94.1 92.6 ( <sup>1</sup> )	42,922 42,486 } 436	<b>45, 221</b> 44, 790 431	94.9 94.9 101.2	
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage Foreign-born white	51, 217 28, 958 22, 259 16, 993	54, 103 29, 450 24, 653 13, 788	94.7 98.3 90.3 123.2	40, 254 21, 329 18, 925 11, 757	43, 350 22, 488 20, 862 11, 948	92. 9 94. 8 90. 7 98. 4	31,459 16,528 14,931 11,027	33,558 17,519 16,039 11,232	93.7 94.3 93.1 98.2	
Toledo, Ohio. Total. White Negro. Indian, Chinese, and Japanese.	84, 691 83, 705 937 49	<b>83,806</b> 82,862 940 4	101.1 101.0 99.7 ( <sup>1</sup> )	65,604 64,720 852 32	68,218 65,359 858 1	<b>99.1</b> 99.0 99.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	40, 887 40, 331 } 556	<b>40, 647</b> <b>40, 018</b> 529	<b>100.8</b> 100.8 105.1	
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-horn white.	66, 214 37, 392 28, 822 17, 491	68, 316 37, 755 30, 561 14, 546	96.9 99.0 94.3 120.2	50, 301 25, 927 24, 374 14, 419	52,049 26,295 25,754 13,310	96.6 98.6 94.6 108.3	28, 873 14, 047 14, 826 11, 458	29, 381 13, 579 15, 802 10, 637	98.3 103.4 93.8 107.7	
Washington, D. C. Total White. Negro. Indian, Chinese, Japanese, and all other	<b>158,050</b> 115,001 42,615 434	173,019 121,127 51,831 61	91. 3 94. 9 82. 2 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>132, 004</b> 93, 197 38, 348 459	<b>146,714</b> 98,335 48,354 25	90.0 94.8 79.3 ( <sup>1</sup> )	109, 584 75, 753 33, 721 110	<b>120, 808</b> 78, 942 41, 851 15	80.7 96.0 80.6 (1)	
Native white. Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Forelgn-born white.	102,084 80,507 21,577 12,917	109, 693 86, 204 23, 489 11, 434	93.1 93.4 91.9 113.0	82, 984 64, 947 18, 037 10, 213	89,028 69,126 19,902 9,307	93.2 94.0 90.6 109.7	66, 073 52, 354 13, 719 9, 680	70, 105 54, 955 15, 150 8, 837	94.2 95.3 90.6 109.5	
Worcester, Mass. Total. White Negro- Indian, Chinese, and Japanese	73,424 72,779 570 75	72, 562 71, 885 671 6	101.2 101.2 84.9 ( <sup>1</sup> )	<b>59,082</b> 58,464 507 111	<b>69, 339</b> 58, 742 597	<b>\$9.6</b> 99.5 84.9	42,267 41,781 } 486	<b>42,388</b> 41,898 490	89.7 99.7 99.2	
Native white Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage. Foreign-horn white	46, 831 20, 205 26, 626 25, 948	49, 341 21, 216 28, 125 22, 544	94.9 95.2 94.7 115.1	39, 433 18, 367 21, 066 19, 031	40, 245 18, 894 21, 351 18, 497	98.0 97.2 98.7 102.9	28, 301 15, 121 13, 180 13, 480	28,715 15,473 13,242 13,183	98.6 97.7 99.5 102.3	

<sup>1</sup> Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE NATIVE WHITE POPULATION OF FOREIGN AND OF MIXED PARENTAGE IN CITIES HAVING, IN 1910, 100,000 INHABITANTS OR MORE: 1910 AND 1900.

Table 27	NATIVE	WHITE-F	OREIGN O	R MIXED I	ARENTAGE	5: 1910	NATIVE WHITE-FOREIGN OR MIXED PARENTAGE: 1900							
CITY.	Fo	reign paren	atage.	Mix	ed parents	ige.	Fore	ign parent	age.	Mixe	ed parentaj	ge.		
	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.		
Albany, N. Y	$12,109\\1,816\\46,232\\2,601\\96,433$	13, 510	89.6	5,133	5,781	88.8	12, 444	13,854	89.8	5,067	5,477	92.5		
Atlanta, Ga		1, 922	94.5	1,264	1,462	86.5	1, 201	1,208	99.4	981	1,096	89.5		
Baltimore, Md		50, 458	91.6	18,246	19,934	91.5	42, 390	45,510	93.1	17,875	19,450	91.9		
Birmingham, Ala.		2, 531	102.8	1,605	1,620	99.1	831	785	105.9	636	633	100.5		
Boston, Mass.		98, 989	97.4	30,215	31,467	96.0	78, 117	79,426	98.4	24,312	25,082	96.9		
Bridgeport, Conn. Buffalo, N. Y Cambridge, Mass. Chicago, Ill. Cincinnati, Ohlo	$14,133\\64,460\\14,407\\345,070\\41,008$	14, 985 68, 479 15, 071 359, 949 47, 886	94.3 94.1 95.6 95.9 85.6	$\begin{array}{r} 4,069\\24,295\\4,963\\101,514\\20,698\end{array}$	4, 127 26, 439 5, 353 106, 168 22, 598	98.6 91.9 92.7 95.6 91.6	9,329 55,275 11,740 281,325 45,559	$10,063 \\ 58,050 \\ 12,151 \\ 289,391 \\ 51,778$	92.7 95.2 96.6 97.2 88.0	3, 120 20, 657 4, 290 76, 698 20, 410	3, 181 21, 734 4, 550 79, 927 22, 070	98.1 95.0 94.3 96.0 92.5		
Cleveland, Ohio	83, 870	87,697	95.6	25, 549	26,792	95.4	61,773	64,359	96.0	$18,500 \\ 5,356 \\ 3,753 \\ 6,275 \\ 16,610$	18,938	97.7		
Columbus, Ohio	10, 441	11,327	92.2	6, 458	7,352	87.8	9,257	9,583	96.6		5,811	92.2		
Dayton, Ohio	7, 886	8,876	88.8	4, 159	4,638	89.7	7,464	8,242	90.6		4,108	91.4		
Denver, Colo	19, 376	20,411	94.9	10, 159	11,239	90.4	11,806	12,723	92.8		7,033	89.2		
Detroit, Mich	64, 156	67,606	94.9	27, 749	28,744	96.5	43,569	46,328	94.0		17,708	93.8		
Fall River, Mass. Grand Rapids, Mich. Indianapolis, Ind. Jersey City, N. J. Kansas City, Mo.	19,670 13,930 12,547 41,235 13,648	20,703 15,686 13,628 42,092 14,282	95.0 88.8 92.1 98.0 95.6	6,675 6,037 7,128 12,657 8,484	6,077 7,114 8,117 13,117 9,219	93.4 84.9 87.8 96.5 92.0	15,557 11,267 12,002 	16,253 12,628 12,833 33,199 10,641	95.7 89.2 93.5 98.1 96.1	4,086 4,471 6,491 10,499 6,018	4,302 5,094 7,033 10,880 6,542	96.0 87.8 92.3 96.5 91.9		
Los Angeles, Cal	21, 991	23,724	92.7	13, 455	16, 586	86.3	7, 107	8,094	87.8	4,963	5,941	83.5		
Lonisville, Ky	15, 421	17,993	85.7	8, 967	10, 030	89.4	17, 589	19,431	90.5	8,855	9,869	89.7		
Lowell, Mass.	15, 310	16,392	93.4	4, 898	5, 342	91.7	12, 223	13,077	93.6	3,702	4,029	91.9		
Memphis, Tenn	3, 547	3,698	95.9	2, 356	2, 537	92.9	3, 404	3,306	103.0	1,998	2,047	97.6		
Milwaukee, Wis	64, 407	70,694	91.1	22, 941	24, 488	93.7	53, 812	59,010	91.2	16,497	17,566	93.9		
Minneapolis, Minn. Nashville, Tenn New Haven, Conn. New Orleans, La.	40, 948 1, 880 19, 245 21, 421	44, 364 2, 320 20, 335 24, 477	92.3 81.0 94.6 87.5	$15,078 \\ 1,407 \\ 4,746 \\ 13,002$	16, 158 1, 544 5, 108 15, 344	93.3 91.1 92.9 84.7	$27,811 \\ 2,031 \\ 14,474 \\ 22,605$	30,451 2,360 14,963 25,364	91.3 86.1 96.7 89.1	9, 969 1, 350 4, 205 13, 128	10,630 1,433 4,357 15,094	93.8 94.2 96.5 87.0		
New York, N. Y.	709,289	736, 176	96.3	181, 492	193, 184	93, 9	527,858	544,204	97.0	146,079	153,362	96.3		
Manhattan Borough.	334,076	<i>344</i> , 770	96.9	67, 358	72, 004	93, 5	288,699	295,827	97.6	62,986	66,435	94.8		
Bronx Borough.	68,801	<i>71, 860</i>	95.7	21, 830	22, 655	96, 4	30,793	32,052	96.1	11,755	11,832	99.5		
Brooklyn Borough.	251,422	<i>263, 792</i>	95.3	71, 175	77, 194	92, 2	176,054	183,984	95.7	59,300	63,320	93.7		
Queens Borough.	43,587	<i>44, 565</i>	97.8	16, 267	16, 550	98, 3	23,581	23,874	98.8	8,291	8,216	100.9		
Kichmond Borough.	11,403	<i>11, 189</i>	101.9	4, 862	4, 781	101, 7	8,731	8,467	103.1	3,747	3,559	105.5		
Newark, N. J. Oakland, Cal. Omaha, Nebr. Paterson, N. J. Philadelphia, Pa.	48, 676 15, 803 13, 695 18, 108 176, 528	$51,702 \\ 17,146 \\ 14,062 \\ 19,049 \\ 184,964$	94.1 92.2 97.4 95.1 95.4	15,470 8,101 5,988 6,293 64,715	16, 502 8, 886 5, 850 6, 729 70, 578	93.7 91.2 102.4 93.5 91.7	$34,822 \\ 7,284 \\ 11,963 \\ 15,093 \\ 146,802$	37,299 8,413 11,759 15,560 152,043	93.4 86.6 101.7 97.0 96.6	11, 914 3, 830 4, 524 5, 111 55, 717	12,4714,2484,5825,53259,531	95.6 90.2 98.7 92.4 93.6		
Plttsburgh, Pa. <sup>1</sup> .	67,569	71,000	95.2	25,784	$27,130 \\ 9,054 \\ 10,398 \\ 1,574 \\ 14,082$	95.0	59, 326	61,005	97.2	23,759	24,742	96.0		
Portland, Oreg	17,078	15,823	107.9	9,054		100.0	8, 627	7,788	110.8	4,198	4,097	102.5		
Providence, R. I.	30,076	32,229	93.3	9,651		92.8	22, 174	23,702	93.6	7,150	7,749	92.3		
Richmond, Va.	2,250	2,387	94.3	1,453		92.3	1, 734	1,923	90.2	1,212	1,235	98.1		
Rochester, N. Y.	26,991	29,741	90.8	12,873		91.4	22, 313	25,387	87.9	10,025	11,073	90.5		
St. Louis, Mo.	77,756	85, 247	91.2	40, 489	43, 454	$\begin{array}{r} 93.2\\ 93.2\\ 101.7\\ 92.6\\ 101.2 \end{array}$	78,368	84,115	93.2	37, 484	39,203	95.6		
St. Paul, Minn.	34,123	35, 108	97.2	11, 659	12, 508		26,584	27,447	96.9	8, 619	8,912	96.7		
San Francisco, Cal.	53,869	53, 424	100.8	23, 438	23, 050		48,077	50,360	95.5	19, 006	20,113	94.5		
Scranton, Pa.	19,455	21, 186	91.8	7, 110	7, 680		16,167	17,181	94.1	5, 831	6,050	96.4		
Seattle, Wash.	20,082	18, 992	105.7	11, 096	10, 964		6,629	5,556	119.3	3, 797	3,367	112.8		
Spokane, Wash	8,378	7, 926	105.7	5, 561	5, 412	102.8	3,341	2,830	118.1	1,945	1,767	110.1		
Syracuse, N. Y.	15,487	17, 147	90.3	6, 772	7, 506	90.2	13,495	14,828	91.0	5,430	6,034	90.0		
Toledo, Ohio	19,906	20, 939	95.1	8, 916	9, 622	92.7	17,070	17,978	94.9	7,304	7,776	93.9		
Washington, D. C.	12,806	13, 716	93.4	8, 771	9, 773	89.7	10,751	11,698	91.9	7,286	8,204	88.8		
Worcester, Mass	20,247	21, 452	94.4	6, 379	6, 673	95.6	16,237	16,452	98.7	4,829	4,899	98.6		

Includes population of Allegheny for 1900.

-3

.

## MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING FROM 25,000 TO 100,000 INHABITANTS: 1910.

Table 28			ALL C	LASSES.			NATIV	E WHITE:	1910	FOREIG	N-BORN V 1910	THITE:	NEGRO: 1910		
CITY.		1910		1	1900			·	1					]	
	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
Alabama Mobile. Montgomery.	24, 317 17, 805	27,204 20,331	89. <b>4</b> 87.6	17,973 13,958	20,496 16,388	87.7 85.2	12,622 9,067	13,907 9,031	90.8 100.4	1,334 435	874 269	152. 6 161. 7	10, 344 8, 293	12, 419 11, 029	83.3 75.2
Little Rock	23,035	22,906	100.6	19, 271	19,036	101.2	14,839	14,573	101.8	1,125	848	132.7	7,060	7,479	94.4
California Berkeley Pasadena Sacramento San Diego San Jose	19, 518 13, 684 25, 332 20, 726 14, 399	20,916 16,607 19,364 18,852 14,547	93.3 82.4 130.8 109.9 99.0	6,419 4,073 15,747 8,779 10,215	6,795 5,044 13,535 8,921 11,285	94.5 80.7 116.3 98.4 90.5	14,514 11,074 17,183 15,668 10,495	16, 828 13, 819 15, 637 15, 431 11, 740	86.2 80.1 109.9 101.5 89.4	3,936 1,939 5,713 4,280 3,232	3,717 2,358 3,172 3,086 2,585	105.9 82.2 180.1 138.7 125.0	102 342 270 300 83	145 402 216 297 99	70.3 85.1 125.0 101.0 ( <sup>1</sup> )
Colorado Springs	14,042 24,855	15,036 19,540	93.4 127.2	10,388 15,350	10,697 12,807	97.1 119 9	11,988 18 459	12,967	92.5	1,518	1,463	103.8	<b>50</b> 6	602	83.9
Connecticut Hartford Moriden town Meriden city. New Britain Norwich town Stamford town. Stamford town. Stamford city. Waterbury. Delaware	49,211 16,143 13,717 23,212 13,567 14,527 12,638 38,018	49,704 15,923 13,548 20,704 14,652 14,309 12,500 35,123	99.0 101.4 101.2 112.1 92.6 101.5 101.1 108.2	40,695 14,377 12,176 13,333 11,599 9,157 7,715 23,081	39, 155 14, 318 12, 120 12, 665 13, 038 9, 682 8, 282 22, 778	103.9 100.4 100.5 105.3 89.0 94.6 <i>93.2</i> 101.3	32, 222 11, 200 9, 474 12, 865 9, 650 9, 670 8, 223 23, 184	10,033 33,613 11,331 9,611 12,927 10,067 9,924 8,483 23,644	95.9 98.8 98.6 99.5 90.3 97.4 97.0 98.1	16, 103 4, 862 4, 162 10, 293 4, 175 4, 689 4, 246 14, 411	2, 913 15, 140 4, 528 3, 873 7, 722 4, 230 4, 183 3, 825 11, 087	106.4 107.4 107.5 133.3 98.7 112.1 111.1 130.0	777 69 69 39 277 143 143 143 384	948 64 64 55 350 200 192 391	84.1 (1) (1) (1) (1) 79.1 71.6 72.9 98.2
Wilmington	43, 938	43, 473	101.1	38,383	38, 125	100.7	31,863	32,768	97.2	7,665	6,013	127.5	4,390	4,691	93.6
Florida Jacksonville Tampa	$29,340 \\ 19,554$	$28,359 \\ 18,228$	103.5 107.3	13,717 8,176	14,712 7,663	- 93.2 - 106.7	13,255 9,325	12, 586 9, 569	105.3 97.5	1,460 5,762	1,028 4,134	142.0 139.4	14,556 4,431	14,737 4,520	98.8 98.0
Georgia Augusta Macon Savannah	19, 237 19, 513 31, 081	21, 803 21, 152 33, 983	88.2 92.3 91.5	18, 225 10, 657 25, 925	21, 216 12, 615 28, 319	85.9 84.5 91.5	10, 485 10, 781 13, 903	11, 275 11, 041 14, 549	93.0 97.6 95.6	548 422 1,930	340 266 1,402	161.2 158.6 137.7	8, 160 8, 305 15, 218	10, 184 9, 845 18, 028	80. 1 84. 4 84. 4
Illinois Aurora	$\begin{array}{c} 15,118\\ 12,321\\ 13,721\\ 15,433\\ 32,463\\ 12,290\\ 18,417\\ 34,362\\ 17,879\\ 23,302\\ 25,488 \end{array}$	$14,689\\13,447\\14,150\\15,697\\26,184\\13,686\\16,253\\32,588\\18,708\\22,099\\26,190$	102.9 91.6 97.0 98.4 123.6 89.8 113.3 105.4 95.6 105.4 97.3	$\begin{array}{c} 11,683\\ 11,256\\ 8,026\\ 10,030\\ 16,045\\ 10,589\\ 15,300\\ 28,724\\ 17,505\\ 15,169\\ 16,582\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12, 464\\ 12, 030\\ 8, 328\\ 10, 724\\ 13, 610\\ 11, 844\\ 14, 053\\ 27, 376\\ 18, 747\\ 15, 882\\ 17, 577\end{array}$	93.7 93.6 96.4 93.5 117.9 89.4 108.9 104.9 93.4 95.5 94.3	$\begin{array}{c} 11,050\\ 10,198\\ 11,913\\ 13,790\\ 22,632\\ 9,369\\ 11,585\\ 28,549\\ 15,213\\ 15,294\\ 20,274 \end{array}$	11, 759 11, 348 12, 482 14, 142 20, 614 10, 764 12, 135 28, 002 16, 124 16, 074 21, 525	94.0 89.9 95.4 97.5 109.8 87.0 95.5 102.0 94.4 95.1 94.2	3, 925 1, 708 1, 042 1, 251 6, 479 2, 823 6, 562 4, 926 1, 849 7, 907 3, 699	2,777 1,699 956 1,171 2,921 2,838 3,879 3,884 1,792 5,921 3,201	$141.3 \\ 100.5 \\ 109.0 \\ 106.8 \\ 221.8 \\ 99.5 \\ 169.2 \\ 126.8 \\ 103.2 \\ 133.5 \\ 115.6 \\ 115.6 \\ 100.000 \\$	140 409 753 392 3,233 87 261 867 804 93 1,500	153 400 712 384 2,649 84 236 702 792 104 1,461	91.5 102.3 105.8 102.1 122.0 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 110.6 123.5 101.5 89.4 102.7
Evansville. Fort Wayne. South Bend. Terre Haute.	34, 429 31, 433 27, 631 29, 287	<b>35,</b> 218 32, 500 26, 053 28, 870	97.8 96.7 106.1 101.4	28, 787 21, 904 18, 467 17, 802	30, 220 23, 211 17, 532 18, 871	95.3 94.4 105.3 94.3	28, 848 27, 071 19, 422 25, 728	30,067 29,065 20,183 26,022	95.9 93.1 96.2 98.9	2, 357 4, 051 7, 855 2, 205	2, 105 3, 153 5, 565 1, 591	112.0 128.5 141.2 138.6	3, 220 297 313 1, 336	3, 046 275 291 1, 257	105.7 108.0 107.6 106.3
Cedar Rapids Cinton Council Bluffs Davenport Des Moines. Dubuque Sioux City. Waterloo	16, 300 13, 067 15, 154 21, 530 43, 135 18, 977 25, 718 13, 775	16, 511 12, 510 14, 138 21, 498 43, 233 19, 517 22, 110 12, 918	$\begin{array}{c} 98.7\\ 104.5\\ 107.2\\ 100.1\\ 99.8\\ 97.2\\ 116.3\\ 106.6 \end{array}$	$12,579 \\11,266 \\13,180 \\17,489 \\30,953 \\18,171 \\16,951 \\6,252$	$13,077 \\ 11,432 \\ 12,622 \\ 17,765 \\ 31,186 \\ 18,126 \\ 16,160 \\ 6,328 \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} 96.2\\ 98.5\\ 104.4\\ 98.4\\ 99.3\\ 100.2\\ 104.9\\ 98.8 \end{array}$	13,28910,08912,35116,88435,87315,56919,08712,122	13,98610,17512,23517,46737,14616,73317,97711,840	95.0 99.2 100.9 96.7 96.6 93.0 106.2 102.4	2,889 2,725 2,485 4,327 5,750 3,341 6,461 1,637	2,432 2,155 1,783 3,774 4,645 2,748 3,991 1,069	118.8 126.5 139.4 114.7 123.8 121.6 161.9 153.1	$120 \\ 253 \\ 201 \\ 315 \\ 1,490 \\ 60 \\ 166 \\ 15$	$93 \\ 179 \\ 119 \\ 254 \\ 1,440 \\ 36 \\ 139 \\ 9$	(1) 141.3 163.9 124.0 103.5 (1) 149.4 (4)
' Kansas Kansas City Topeka Wichita Kentucky	42, 773 21, 710 26, 964	39, 558 21, 974 25, 486	108.1 98.8 105.8	26,690 16,134 12,204	24, 728 17, 474 12, 467	107.9 92.3 97.9	31, 708 17, 180 23, 880	30, 944 17, 803 23, 241	102.5 96.5 102.7	6, 409 2, 337 1, 734	3,935 1,816 1,121	162.9 128.7 154.7	4, 622 2, 185 1, 334	4,664 2,353 1,123	99.1 92.9 118.8
Covington Lexington Newport	25,628 16,829 14,674	27,642 18,270 15,635	92.7 92.1 93.9	20, 513 12, 328 13, 585	22, 425 14, 041 14, 716	91.5 87.8 92.3	22, 301 11, 191 12, 738	24, 124 11, 949 13, 597	92.4 93.7 93.7	1,916 555 1,674	2,017 381 1,731	95.0 145.7 96.7	$1,398 \\ 5,075 \\ 262$	1,501 5,936 307	93.1 85.5 85.3
Louistana Shreveport	13,760	14, 255	96.5	7,834	8,179	95.8	6, 927	6, 170	112.3	589	415	141.9	6, 226	7,670	81.2
Maine Lewiston Portland	12, 529 27, 956	13, 718 30, 615	91.3 91.3	11, 201 23, 714	12,560 26,431	89.2 - 89.7	7,999 22,103	8,773 24,072	91.2 91.8	4, 495 5, 693	4, 923 6, 385	91.3 89.2	25 116	22 157	( <sup>1</sup> ) 73 <b>. 9</b>

.

285

286

## MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING FROM 25,000 TO 100,000 INHABITANTS: 1910—Continued.

										_					
Table 28-Continued.			ALL CL	ASSES.			NATIVE	WHITE:	1910	FOREIG	N-BORN W 1910	HITE:	NEGRO: 1910		
CITY.		1910			1900										
	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.
Massachusetts           Brockton           Brookline town           Chelsea           Chicopee           Everett           Fltchburg           Haverhill           Holyoke           Lawrence           Lynn           Malden           New Bedford           News           Somerville           Bpringfield           Taumfon           Waltham	28, 293 11, 038 16, 709 12, 736 12, 736 13, 764 21, 607 27, 671 42, 583 44, 585 20, 927 47, 731 17, 801 16, 130 16, 454 21, 291 36, 628 43, 221 12, 949	28, 585 16, 754 15, 743 12, 665 17, 171 19, 062 22, 508 30, 059 43, 034 44, 751 22, 005 15, 991 16, 188 22, 406 40, 608 45, 705 17, 537 14, 885	99.0 65.9 106.1 100.6 95.0 98.4 96.0 99.6 89.1 99.6 89.9 101.6 95.0 91.0 9.0 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.0 91.	19,933 8,304 16,758 9,408 11,980 15,557 17,693 21,744 30,263 33,300 15,699 29,706 15,034 10,257 11,943 17,044 29,434 29,434 29,416 15,317 10,782	20, 130 11, 631 17, 314 9, 759 12, 356 15, 974 19, 482 23, 668 32, 296 35, 213 17, 965 32, 736 18, 553 11, 509 11, 956 11, 956 13, 9512 32, 209 32, 443 15, 719 12, 699	99.0 71.4 96.4 97.0 97.4 90.8 90.7 93.7 94.6 87.4 90.7 81.0 81.0 81.0 1 99.9 90.1 1 99.9 90.1 91.4 91.3 97.4 84.9	20, 044 8, 495 9, 326 7, 579 11, 787 15, 601 16, 502 21, 585 30, 155 14, 481 12, 385 12, 957 12, 3957 14, 492 26, 737 30, 950 11, 771 14, 732	20, 846 10, 707 9, 103 7, 773 11, 738 12, 373 16, 932 17, 925 72, 667 31, 019 15, 986 26, 185 15, 155 15, 645 29, 468 33, 438 12, 405 10, 627	96. 2 79. 3 102. 4 97. 5 96. 5 95. 3 92. 1 95. 2 97. 3 97. 3 97. 3 97. 3 97. 3 97. 3 97. 3 97. 4 98. 8	$\begin{array}{c} 7,962\\ 2,459\\ 7,247\\ 5,145\\ 4,696\\ 6,942\\ 5,789\\ 11,131\\ 12,055\\ 13,961\\ 13,961\\ 13,961\\ 13,961\\ 13,961\\ 13,961\\ 13,961\\ 13,961\\ 14,785\\ 13,591\\ 11,538\\ 4,785\\ 4,785\\ 3,462 \end{array}$	7,463 5,886 6,501 7,011 6,669 5,364 12,107 20,264 13,383 7,223 21,319 6,563 3,153 5,137 6,850 11,016 11,461 14,994 4,221	106. 7 41. 8 111. 5 105. 2 91. 7 104. 1 107. 9 91. 9 103. 9 104. 3 85. 9 99. 9 70. 5 113. 9 111. 7 97. 6 88. 4 100. 7 95. 8 82. 0	257 61 105 6 373 22 185 19 163 352 218 1,485 1,485 1,485 16 6 70 7 7 7 7 93 670 159 25	274 160 137 1 422 20 212 26 102 348 268 1,400 285 154 23 86 86 805 138 83 7	93.8 93.8 38.1 76.6 (1) 87.3 (1) 87.3 (1) 87.3 (1) 81.3 81.4
Michigan Battle Creek. Bay City. Flint. Jackson. Kalamazoo. Lansing. Saginaw.	12, 374 22, 505 21, 779 15, 854 19, 197 16, 801 24, 850	12, 893 22, 661 16, 771 15, 579 20, 240 14, 428 25, 660	96.0 99.3 129.9 101.8 94.8 116.4 96.8	8,945 13,546 6,334 12,402 11,671 8,150 20,488	9,618 14,082 6,769 12,778 12,733 8,335 21,857	93.0 96.2 93.6 97.1 91.7 97.8 93.7	10, 666 16, 803 17, 453 13, 279 15, 216 14, 317 18, 651	11, 402 17, 170 14, 029 13, 480 16, 666 12, 578 19, 831	93.5 97.9 124.4 98.5 91.3 113.8 94.0	1,417 5,618 4,100 2,374 3,610 2,304 6,028	1,199 5,409 2,562 1,933 3,247 1,669 5,673	118.2 103.9 160.0 122.8 111.2 138.0 106.3	283 80 217 188 360 174 164	292 80 180 166 325 180 149	96.9 (1) 120.6 113.3 110.8 96.7 110.1
Minnesota Duluth	44,866	33, 600	133.5	29, 884	23, 085	129.5	25, 295	22,054	114.7	19, 287	11,365	169.7	236	174	135.6
Missouri Joplin. St. Joseph. Springfield	16, 231 39, 665 17, 401	15,842 37,738 17,800	102.5 105.1 97.8	13,773 56,681 11,343	12,250 46,298 11,924	112.4 122.4 95.1	15,283 32,716 15,738	15,069 32,299 16,332	101.4 101.3 96.4	546 4,689 659	368 3,424 467	148.4 136.9 141.1	397 2,241 1,003	2,008 992	98.3 111.6 101.1
Montana Butte	<b>22,</b> 314	16, 851	132.4	18, 171	12, 299	147.7	13,727	12, 022	114.2	8,173	4,707	173.6	142	98	(1)
Nebraska Lincoln South Omaha	21,870 14,561	22, 103 11, 698	98.9 124.5	20, 726 15, 871	19, 443 10, 130	106.6 156.7	17,687 9,011	18,335 8,516	96.5 105.8	3, 751 4, 938	3, 449 2, 896	108.8 170.5	414 432	319 285	129.8 151.6
New Hampshire Manchester Nashua	34, 148 13, 016	35,915 12,989	95.1 100.2	26, 603 11, 529	30, 384 12, 369	87.6 93.2	19, 181 8, 212	21, 135 8, 816	90. 8 93. 1	14,928 4,792	14, 764 4, 165	101.1 115.1	20 7	16 8	
New Jersey Atlantic City	22,997 29,527 47,396 15,287 37,971 36,675 14,466 26,549 17,359 50,231 17,658	23, 153 26, 018 47, 142 19, 084 35, 438 33, 649 15, 164 28, 224 14, 762 46, 584 17, 745	99.3 113.5 100.5 80.1 107.1 109.0 95.4 94.1 117.6 107.8 99.5	$13,844 \\ 16,930 \\ 37,154 \\ 9,445 \\ 26,459 \\ 30,009 \\ 11,454 \\ 13,320 \\ 9,825 \\ 37,043 \\ 11,544 \\ 11,544 \\ 11,$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,994\\ 15,792\\ 38,781\\ 12,061\\ 25,671\\ 29,355\\ 12,687\\ 14,457\\ 7,874\\ 36,264\\ 11,551\end{array}$	98.9 107.2 95.8 78.3 103.1 102.2 90.3 92.1 124.8 102.1 99.9	14, 649 17, 462 35, 955 12, 284 23, 955 21, 158 9, 125 12, 695 8, 883 33, 793 10, 520	15, 182 16, 962 36, 754 14, 475 24, 151 21, 335 9, 935 13, 050 8, 774 34, 095 11, 081	96.5 102.9 97.8 84.9 99.2 99.2 91.8 97.3 101.2 99.1 94.9	3, 425 11, 763 8, 426 2, 262 13, 336 15, 414 4, 176 13, 589 8, 391 14, 978 7, 084	2,975 8,759 7,256 3,415 10,558 12,254 3,893 14,878 5,897 11,332 6,629	$115.1 \\ 134.3 \\ 116.1 \\ 66.2 \\ 126.3 \\ 125.8 \\ 107.3 \\ 91.3 \\ 142.3 \\ 132.2 \\ 106.9 \\$	$\begin{array}{r} 4,851\\ 266\\ 2,949\\ 715\\ 654\\ 60\\ 1,143\\ 239\\ 74\\ 1,424\\ 24\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4,983\\ 295\\ 3,127\\ 1,192\\ 727\\ 60\\ 1,336\\ 296\\ 91\\ 1,167\\ 32\\ \end{array}$	97.4 90.2 94.3 60.0 90.0 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 85.6 80.7 ( <sup>1</sup> ) 123.1 ( <sup>1</sup> )
New York Auburn. Auburn. Binghamton. Elmira. Jamestown. Kingston. Mount Vernon. New Rochelle. Newburgh. Niagara Falls. Poughkeepsie. Scheneetady. Troy. Utica. Watertown. Yonkers.	$\begin{array}{c} 15,279\\ 17,587\\ 23,105\\ 18,553\\ 15,650\\ 12,250\\ 14,844\\ 14,686\\ 13,435\\ 16,086\\ 13,378\\ 38,821\\ 35,387\\ 36,387\\ 13,066\\ 40,103\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15,988\\ 17,081\\ 25,338\\ 18,623\\ 15,647\\ 13,658\\ 16,075\\ 14,181\\ 14,370\\ 14,359\\ 14,558\\ 34,005\\ 41,426\\ 38,052\\ 13,664\\ 39,700 \end{array}$	95.6 103.0 99.6 100.0 89.7 92.3 103.6 93.5 112.0 91.9 114.2 85.4 95.6 95.6 101.0	10,023 15,115 18,566 17,891 10,939 11,725 10,040 7,330 11,654 10,125 11,174 10,125 26,875 10,571 23,188	$\begin{array}{c} 10,906\\ 15,230\\ 21,081\\ 17,781\\ 11,953\\ 12,810\\ 11,188\\ 7,390\\ 13,289\\ 9,332\\ 12,855\\ 14,629\\ 32,636\\ 29,508\\ 11,125\\ 24,743\\ \end{array}$	91.9 99.2 88.1 100.6 91.5 91.5 89.7 99.2 87.7 108.5 86.9 116.6 85.8 91.1 95.0 93.7	9, 663 12, 999 19, 026 15, 313 9, 843 10, 161 10, 350 9, 326 10, 687 9, 134 10, 683 27, 734 10, 750 10, 750 10	$\begin{array}{c} 10,855\\ 13,509\\ 21,380\\ 16,080\\ 10,731\\ 11,724\\ 11,621\\ 9,083\\ 11,681\\ 8,972\\ 12,014\\ 26,128\\ 32,848\\ 27,730\\ 10,653\\ 26,431\\ \end{array}$	89.0 96.2 89.0 95.2 91.7 86.7 89.1 102.5 101.8 88.9 106.1 84.8 90.2 91.3 95.2	5,555 4,299 3,758 2,950 5,749 1,771 4,109 4,617 2,456 6,796 2,382 10,905 7,217 11,163 3,293 14,140	$\begin{array}{c} 5,069\\ 3,321\\ 3,631\\ 2,309\\ 4,863\\ 1,620\\ 3,920\\ 4,060\\ 2,367\\ 5,268\\ 2,152\\ 7,726\\ 8,215\\ 10,145\\ 2,975\\ 12,450\\ \end{array}$	109.6 129.4 103.5 127.8 118.2 109.3 104.8 113.7 103.8 129.0 110.7 141.1 87.9 110.0 110.7	54 276 312 279 57 316 363 718 282 151 307 123 289 182 40 732	64 251 323 234 51 314 533 1,036 322 155 392 155 362 175 362 175 368 817	(1) 110.0 96.6 119.2 (1) 100.6 68.1 69.3 87.6 131.3 78.3 81.5 79.8 104.0 (1) 89.6
North Carolina												107.0	E 001	6 551	70.4
Wllmington	16,275 12,282	17,739 13,466	91.7 91.2	8,483 9,643	9,608 11,333	88.3 85.1	10,798 6,513	10,989 6,670	98.3 97.6	273 275	199 169	137.2 162.7	5,201 5,482	6,551 6,625	82.7

<sup>1</sup> Ratlo not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

## MALES AND FEMALES, AND RATIO OF MALES TO FEMALES, IN THE POPULATION OF CITIES HAVING FROM 25,000 TO 100,000 INHABITANTS: 1910—Continued.

Table 28-Continued.			ALL CL	ASSES.			NATIV	e white:	1910	FOREIG	N-BORN V 1910	VHITE:	NEGRO: 1910			
		1910			1900			<u>.</u>			1					
CITY.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	Male.	Female.	Males to 100 females.	
Ohio Akron Canton Hamilton Lima Lorain Newark Springfield. Youngstown Zanesville	36,604 26,110 17,825 15,213 16,261 12,988 23,838 43,649 13,550	32,463 24,107 17,454 15,295 12,622 12,416 23,083 35,417 14,476	112.8 108.3 102.1 99.5 128.8 104.6 103.3 123.2 93.6	21,383 15,094 12,031 10,873 9,119 9,048 19,306 23,582 11,310	$\begin{array}{c} 21,345\\ 15,573\\ 11,883\\ 10,850\\ 6,909\\ 9,109\\ 18,947\\ 21,303\\ 12,228 \end{array}$	100.2 96.9 101.2 100.2 132.0 99.3 101.9 110.7 92.5	28, 298 20, 200 15, 603 13, 750 8, 898 11, 428 19, 477 26, 557 11, 941	26,865 21,068 15,634 14,130 8,679 11,576 19,343 25,692 13,089	105.3 95.9 99.8 97.5 102.5 98.7 100.7 103.4 91.2	7,943 5,726 1,822 899 7,168 1,379 1,755 16,001 934	$5,298 \\ 2,922 \\ 1,487 \\ 715 \\ 3,761 \\ 668 \\ 1,401 \\ 8,859 \\ 668 \\ 668 \\ 1$	149.9 196.0 122.5 125.7 190.6 206.4 125.3 180.6 139.8	357 174 396 528 193 174 2,594 1,072 669	300 117 329 450 182 172 2,339 864 715	119.0 148.7 120.4 117.3 106.0 101.2 110.9 124.1 93.6	
Oklahoma Muskogee Oklahoma City Pennsylvania	13,398 35,742	11,880 28,463	112.8 125.6	2,182 5,579	2,072 4,458	$105.3 \\ 125.1$	8, 893 29, 803	7,706 24,476	115.4 121.8	362 2,275	175 939	206.9 242.3	3,996 3,534	3,835 3,012	104.2 117.3	
Allentown Altoona. Chester. Easton. Erie. Harrisburg. Hazleton. Johnstown Lancaster. McKeesport. New Castle. Norristown borough. Reading. Shenandoah borough. Wilkes-Barte. Williamsport. York.	$\begin{array}{c} 25,098\\ 26,134\\ 19,768\\ 33,515\\ 31,183\\ 12,695\\ 30,940\\ 22,098\\ 22,510\\ 19,134\\ 13,258\\ 47,576\\ 14,385\\ 33,859\\ 14,982\\ 21,869\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26,815\\ 25,993\\ 18,769\\ 33,010\\ 33,010\\ 33,003\\ 12,757\\ 24,542\\ 25,129\\ 20,184\\ 17,146\\ 17,146\\ 11,389\\ 33,246\\ 16,928\\ 22,881 \end{array}$	93.6 100.5 105.3 94.0 101.5 94.5 99.5 126.1 87.9 111.5 111.6 90.7 98.1 126.3 101.8 88.2 88.2 95.6	$\begin{array}{c} 17,226\\ 19,245\\ 16,959\\ 12,386\\ 26,534\\ 24,325\\ 6,965\\ 19,221\\ 19,440\\ 17,963\\ 14,778\\ 10,413\\ 39,128\\ 11,489\\ 25,200\\ 13,386\\ 16,380\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,190\\ 19,728\\ 17,029\\ 12,852\\ 26,199\\ 25,842\\ 7,265\\ 16,715\\ 22,019\\ 16,715\\ 22,019\\ 16,715\\ 22,019\\ 16,715\\ 39,833\\ 8,832\\ 26,521\\ 15,371\\ 17,328\\ \end{array}$	94.7 97.6 99.6 96.4 101.3 94.1 95.9 115.0 88.3 110.4 109.0 87.2 130.1 95.0 87.1 94.5	$\begin{array}{c} 21,909\\ 22,809\\ 13,436\\ 11,923\\ 24,868\\ 26,616\\ 9,330\\ 19,840\\ 20,075\\ 14,553\\ 13,333\\ 10,782\\ 41,934\\ 7,593\\ 24,538\\ 13,252\\ 20,367 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23, 631\\ 23, 644\\ 13, 615\\ 13, 188\\ 26, 366\\ 28, 886\\ 10, 101\\ 19, 864\\ 23, 137\\ 14, 661\\ 13, 783\\ 12, 056\\ 44, 530\\ 7, 716\\ 25, 811\\ 15, 381\\ 21, 561\\ \end{array}$	92. 7 96. 5 98. 7 90. 4 92. 1 92. 4 99. 9 86. 8 99. 5 96. 7 89. 4 98. 4 95. 1 86. 5 94. 5	$\begin{array}{c} 3,122\\ 3,084\\ 3,952\\ 1,739\\ 8,463\\ 2,320\\ 3,344\\ 10,843\\ 1,622\\ 7,5510\\ 1,979\\ 5,510\\ 1,979\\ 5,227\\ 6,783\\ 8,959\\ 1,241\\ 931\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,112\\ 2,128\\ 2,721\\ 1,383\\ 6,480\\ 1,814\\ 2,650\\ 4,473\\ 1,581\\ 5,130\\ 3,110\\ 2,036\\ 3,585\\ 3,669\\ 7,119\\ 1,091\\ 1,091\\ 658\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100.3\\ 144.9\\ 145.2\\ 125.7\\ 130.6\\ 127.9\\ 126.2\\ 242.4\\ 102.6\\ 146.2\\ 177.2\\ 97.2\\ 97.2\\ 97.2\\ 97.2\\ 145.8\\ 184.9\\ 125.8\\ 184.9\\ 125.8\\ 113.7\\ 141.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 62\\ 232\\ 2,363\\ 155\\ 177\\ 2,232\\ 13\\ 238\\ 392\\ 409\\ 409\\ 409\\ 409\\ 4\\ 357\\ 438\\ 569\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 72\\ 221\\ 2, 432\\ 129\\ 163\\ 2, 303\\ 6\\ 204\\ 411\\ 393\\ 253\\ 525\\ 378\\ 4\\ 316\\ 519\\ 662\end{array}$	(1) 105.0 97.2 108.6 96.9 (1) 116.7 95.4 103.3 109.1 93.3 108.2 (1) 113.0 8.6 0 103.4 100.4 100.4 100.4 100.4 100.4 100.4 100.4 1000	
Rhode Island Newport Pawtucket. Warwick towa Woonsocket	14,784 25,351 13,182 18,732	12, 365 26, 271 13, 447 19, 393	119.6 96.5 98.0 96.6	11,184 18,938 10,549 13,628	$11,257 \\ 20,293 \\ 10,767 \\ 14,576$	99.4 93.3 98.0 93.5	10,848 16,412 8,520 10,353	8,408 16,982 8,917 11,203	129.0 96.6 95.5 92.4	3,190 8,802 4,573 8,359	3,066 9,154 4,437 8,180	104.0 96.2 103.1 102.2	718 107 82 10	882 127 91 10	81.4 84.3 ( <sup>1</sup> ) ( <sup>1</sup> )	
South Carolina Charleston	27,334 12,616	31,499 13,703	86.8 92.1	25,592 10,198	30, 215 10, 910	84.7 93.5	12,223 7,119	13,137 7,207	93. 0 98. 8	1,384 270	1,020 176	135.7 153.4	13,714 5,226	17,342 6,320	79.1 82.7	
Chattanooga Knoxville Texas	22, 429 17, 621	22, 175 18, 725	101.1 94.1	15,142 15,771	15,012 16,866	100.9 93.5	12,806 13,600	12,522 14,323	102.3 95.0	773 420	559 363	138.3 115.7	8,848 3,600	9,094 4,038	97.3 89.2	
Austin. Dallas. El Paso. Fort Worth. Galveston. Houston. San Autonio. Waco.	$\begin{array}{r} 14,390\\ 46,499\\ 19,726\\ 39,007\\ 19,386\\ 40,126\\ 47,865\\ 12,861 \end{array}$	15,470 45,605 19,553 34,305 17,595 38,674 48,749 13,564	93.0 102.0 100.9 113.7 110.2 103.8 98.2 94.8	$10,815 \\ 21,215 \\ 8,350 \\ 13,626 \\ 18,681 \\ 22,686 \\ 26,129 \\ 10,154$	$\begin{array}{c} 11,443\\21,423\\7,556\\13,062\\19,108\\21,947\\27,192\\10,532\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 94.5\\99.0\\110.5\\104.3\\97.8\\103.4\\96.1\\96.4\end{array}$	9,677 34,726 12,070 29,331 11,676 25,084 33,848 9,324	$10,248 \\ 34,098 \\ 11,268 \\ 26,420 \\ 11,055 \\ 23,430 \\ 34,546 \\ 9,702$	94.4 101.8 107.1 111.0 105.6 107.1 98.0 96.1	1,314 3,064 6,712 2,831 3,779 3,789 9,025 731	$1,127 \\ 2,155 \\ 7,536 \\ 1,378 \\ 2,385 \\ 2,529 \\ 8,382 \\ 576$	$116.6 \\ 142.2 \\ 89.1 \\ 205.4 \\ 158.4 \\ 149.8 \\ 107.7 \\ 126.9$	3,388 8,680 710 6,781 3,881 11,218 4,909 2,783	4,090 9,344 742 6,499 4,155 12,711 5,807 3,284	82.8 92.9 95.7 104.3 93.4 88.3 84.5 84.7	
Utah Ogden Sait Lake City Virginia	<b>13,</b> 334 47, 583	12,246 45,194	108.9 105.3	8, 190 25, 849	8,123 27,682	100.8 93.4	10, 427 36, 928	10,048 35,508	103.8 104.0	2,376 9,705	2,078 9,330	114.3 104.0	125 434	78 303	(1) 143.2	
Lynchburg Norfolk Portsmouth Roanoke	13,775 32,867 17,609 17,514	15,719 34,585 15,581 17,360	87.6 95.0 113.0 100.9	8, 421 22, 704 8, 881 10, 514	10,470 23,920 8,546 10,981	80. 4 94. 9 103. 9 95. 7	9,469 18,837 11,374 13,388	10, 104 19, 952 9, 071 12, 787	93.7 94.4 125.4 104.7	272 2,088 680 471	178 1,476 435 299	152.8 141.5 156.3 157.5	4,029 11,887 5,542 3,650	5,437 13,152 6,075 4,274	74.1 90.4 91.2 85.4	
Washington Tacoma Wost Virginia	47,488	36, 255	131.0	21,232	16,482	128.8	32, 552	27,806	117.1	13,474	7,989	168.7	431	347	124.2	
Huntington. Wheeling. Wisconsin	16,020 20,583	15,141 21,058	105.8 97.7	5,947 19,011	5,976 19,867	99.5 95.7	14,531 17,039	13,964 17,976	104.1 94.8	326 2, 928	188 2,490	173.4 117.6	1,152 609	988 592	116.6 102.9	
Green Bay La Crosse Madison. Oshkosh Racine Sheboygan. Superior	12, 253 14, 649 12, 283 16, 196 20, 031 13, 711 23, 415	$12,983 \\ 15,768 \\ 13,248 \\ 16,866 \\ 17,971 \\ 12,687 \\ 16,969 \\$	94.4 92.9 92.7 96.0 111.5 108.1 138.0	9,086 13,959 9,453 13,543 14,780 11,607 17,628	$\begin{array}{c} 9,598\\ 14,936\\ 9,711\\ 14,741\\ 14,322\\ 11,355\\ 13,463\end{array}$	94.7 93.5 97.3 91.9 103.2 102.2 130.9	$\begin{array}{c} 10,024\\ 11,538\\ 9,862\\ 12,214\\ 12,584\\ 8,652\\ 14,284 \end{array}$	$11,043 \\ 12,777 \\ 11,264 \\ 13,328 \\ 12,791 \\ 9,069 \\ 11,995$	90.8 90.3 87.6 91.6 98.4 95.4 119.1	2,176 3,085 2,272 3,926 7,389 5,055 8,968	1,880 2,958 1,902 3,480 5,120 3,612 4,804	$115.7 \\ 104.3 \\ 119.5 \\ 112.8 \\ 144.3 \\ 140.0 \\ 186.7$	22 26 69 45 54 3 79	$23 \\ 33 \\ 74 \\ 53 \\ 58 \\ 6 \\ 103$	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) 76.7	

 $^{1}$  Ratio not shown, the number of females being less than 100.

.

.

. . \*



·



•

. .



