

Washington, Thursday, March 14, 1957

MAIN ROOM

### TITLE 3—THE PRESIDENT EXECUTIVE ORDER 10701

INSPECTION OF INCOME, EXCESS-PROFITS, DECLARED-VALUE EXCESS-PROFITS, CAPITAL-STOCK, ESTATE, AND GIFT TAX RETURNS BY THE COMMITTEE ON UNAMERICAN ACTIVITIES, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

By virtue of the authority vested in me by sections 55 (a), 508, 603, 729 (a), and 1204 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 (53 Stat. 29, 111, 171; 54 Stat. 989, 1008: 55 Stat. 722: 26 U. S. C. 55 (a). 508, 603, 729 (a), and 1204), and by section 6103 (a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 753; 26 U. S. C. 6103 (a)), it is hereby ordered that any income, excess-profits, declared-value excess-profits, capital-stock, estate, or gift tax return for any period to and including 1957, shall, during the Eightyfifth Congress, be open to inspection by the Committee on Un-American Activities, House of Representatives, or any duly authorized sub-committee thereof. for the purpose of carrying on those investigations authorized by clause 17 of Rule XI of the Rules of the House of Representatives, agreed to January 3, 1957, such inspection to be in accordance and upon compliance with the rules and regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury in Treasury Decisions 6132 and 6133, relating to the inspection of returns by committees of the Congress, approved by me on May 3, 1955.

This order shall be effective upon its filing for publication in the Federal Register.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

THE WHITE HOUSE,

March 12, 1957.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1961; Filed, Mar. 12, 1957; 4:14 p. m.]

### **EXECUTIVE ORDER 10702**

REAR ADMIRAL RICHARD E. BYRD

As a mark of respect to the memory of Rear Admiral Richard E. Byrd, United

States Navy, Retired, it is hereby ordered, pursuant to the provisions of Section 4 of Proclamation 3044 of March 1, 1954, that until interment the flag of the United States shall be flown at half-staff on all buildings, grounds, and naval vessels of the Federal Government in the District of Columbia and throughout the United States and its Territories and possessions.

DWIGHT D. EISENHOWER

THE WHITE HOUSE, March 12, 1957.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1994; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 9:51 a. m.]

### TITLE 7-AGRICULTURE

Chapter 1—Agricultural Marketing Service (Standards, Inspections, Marketing Practices), Department of Agriculture

Subchapter D-Warehouse Regulations

AMENDMENT OF REGULATIONS ON RECORDS

Part 101 Cotton Warehouses, Part 102 Grain Warehouses, Part 103 Tobacco Warehouses, Part 104 Wool Warehouses, Part 105 Broomcorn Warehouses, Part 106 Dry Bean Warehouses, Part 107 Nuts Warehouses, Part 108 Sirup Warehouses, Part 109 Dried Fruit Warehouses, Part 110 Canned Food Warehouses, Part 111 Cottonseed Warehouses, Part 112 Cold-Pack Fruit Warehouses, Part 113 Seeds Warehouses, and Part 114 Cherries in Sulphur Dioxide, Brine Warehouses are amended as set forth below.

On January 17, 1957, there was published in the Federal Register (22 F. R. 352) a notice of proposed amendments of the regulations (7 CFR Parts 101 through 114, as amended) for warehouses under the United States Warehouse Act, to change the record keeping requirements thereof. After due consideration of all relevant matters presented, and under the authority of section 28 of the act (7 U. S. C. 268), \$\frac{1}{3}\$ 101.28, 102.34, 103.28, 104.28 (b), 105.29, 106.30, 107.31, 108.29, 109.34, 110.29, 111.33, 112.29, 113.29, and 114.29 of said regulations are hereby amended

(Continued on p. 1631)

### CONTENTS

### THE PRESIDENT

THE PRESIDENT	
Inspection of income, excess- profits, declared-value excess- profits, capital-stock, estate, and gift tax returns by Commit- tee on Un-American Activities, House of Representatives Rear Admiral Richard E. Byrd	Page 1629 1629
EXECUTIVE AGENCIES	
Agricultural Marketing Service	
Proposed rule making: Milk in Topeka, Kansas Rules and regulations: Warehouse regulations; amendment of regulations on records	1640
Agriculture Department See also Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Credit Corporation. Notices:	
Colorado; designation of area for production loans Maine; disaster assistance; des- ignation of area for special emergency loans	1649 1649
Alien Property Office Notices:	1010
Palanti, Mario Transbulkania Zahrada, Johann	1662 1663 1662
Civil Aeronautics Administra- tion Rules and regulations: Standard instrument approach procedures; procedure altera-	1632
Civil Aeronautics Board Rules and regulations: Maintenance, repair, and alteration of airframes, powerplants, propellers, and equip-	
ment; applicability of part	1631

Coast Guard

Rules and regulations:

Operations; records of number

of passengers carried on ferry

1629

1639

Published daily, except Sundays, Mondays, and days following official Federal holidays, by the Federal Register Division, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U. S. C., ch. 8B), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President. Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

ment Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.
The Federal Register will be furnished by
mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50
per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in
advance. The charge for individual copies
(minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to
the size of the issue. Remit check or money
order, made payable to the Superintendent
of Documents, directly to the Government
Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.
The regulatory material appearing herein

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the Federal Register, or the Code of Federal REGULATIONS.

### **CFR SUPPLEMENTS**

(As of January 1, 1957)

· The following Supplement is now available:

Title 32, Parts 700-799 (\$0.50)

Previously announced: Title 3, 1956 Supp. (\$0.40); Title 7, Parts 900-959 (\$0.50), Part 960 to end (\$1.25); Title 9 (\$0.70); Title 17 (\$0.60); Title 18 (\$0.50); Title 20 (\$1.00); Title 21 (\$0.50); Title 26, Parts 1-79 (\$0.35), Parts 80-169 (\$0.50), Parts 170—182 (\$0.35), Parts 183—299 (\$0.30), Part 300 to end, Ch. 1, and Title 27 (\$1.00); Title 39 (\$0.50).

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

CONTENTS—Continued	
Commerce Department See Civil Aeronautics Administration; Foreign Commerce Bureau.	Page
Commodity Credit Corporation Notices: Sales of certain commodities; March 1957 sales list Federal Communications Commission Notices: Hearings, etc.:	1648
Claremore Broadcasting Co_ Ken-Sell, Inc., and Florida	1645
Keys Broadcasting Corp	1646
Pacific Broadcasters	1646

### **CONTENTS—Continued**

	Federal Communications Commission—Continued Rules and regulations: Experimental radio services:	Page	National Park Service Notices: Chief, Division of R Resource Planning;
	CONELRAD Plan	1638	ment to delegation of
	Federal Power Commission Notices:		Securities and Exchang
	Hearings, etc.:	1050	mission Notices:
	Argo Oil Corp. et al Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co	1653	Hearing, etc.:
	Natural Gas Pipeline Com-	1658	Columbia Gas System
	pany of America	1659	Gulf Sulphur Corp_
	South-Tex Corp. et al	1657	New England Electri
	Tennessee Gas Transmission		ét al
	Co	1658	Treasury Department
	Fiscal Service		See Coast Guard; Fiscal
	Notices:		
	Hardware Mutual Casualty		Wage and Hour Divis
	Company, Stevens Point,		Notices: Assistant Administrat
	Wis.; surety company accept-		Office of Enforceme
	able on Federal bonds	1647	appointments to gra
	Rules and regulations:		or cancel special co
	United States Savings Bonds,		for employment of
	Series J and K; termination of sale	1631	tices
		1031	Rules and regulations:
	Fish and Wildlife Service Rules and regulations:		Hours worked; misc amendments
	Migratory birds, depredating, order permitting killing of:	,	CODIFICATION
	American mergansers	1640 1639	A numerical list of the of Federal Regulations affective.
1	Foreign Commerce Bureau Notices:		published in this issue. I opposed to final actions, such.
l	Americauto and A. C. I., S. A.;	•	
	order extending temporary		Title 3
l	order denying export privi-		Chapter II (Executive o
١	leges	1649	10701
ı	Wallersteiner, William Kurt		10702
Į	Samuel; order denying export		Title 7 •
l	privileges	1649	Chapter I:
Ì	Interior Department		Parts 101-114
l	See Fish and Wildlife Service;		Chapter IX: Part 980 (proposed)
l	Land Management Bureau;		
ı	Mines Bureau; National Park		Title 14
1	Service.		Chapter I:
1	Interstate Commerce Commis-		Part 18Chapter II:
	sion		Part 609
1	Notices:		· ·
1	Fourth section applications for		Title 29
	relief (2 documents) 166	1, 1662	Chapter V: Part 785
	Justice Department		
	See Alien Property Office.		Title 30
1			Chapter I:
	Labor Department		Part 45

### See Wage and Hour Division. Land Management Bureau

otices:	
Hearings, etc.:	
Arizona (2 documents) 1642,	1645
California	1641
Idaho	1642
Nevada	1643
Oregon	1641
Washington	1642
ules and regulations:	
Alaska; public land order	1637
Nines Bureau	

pretations; correction\_\_\_\_ 1631

1	Rules and regulations:	
	Federal Coal Mine Safety Act	
	of 1952, Title II; added inter-	

### **CONTENTS—Continued**

Page

38	Chief, Division of Recreation Resource Planning; amend- ment to delegation of author- ity	1645
	Securities and Exchange Com-	,
53	Notices:	
58	Hearing, etc.:	
	Columbia Gas System, Inc	1661
59	Gulf Sulphur Corp	1659
57	New England Electric System	
	ét al	1660
58	Treasury Department See Coast Guard; Fiscal Service.	
	Wage and Hour Division Notices: Assistant Administrator of the	
347	Office of Enforcement et al.; appointments to grant, deny, or cancel special certificates for employment of appren-	
331	tices Rules and regulations: Hours worked; miscellaneous	1647
	amendments	1637
	CODIFICATION GUIDE	
040		

A numerical list of the parts of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in this issue. Proposed rules, as opposed to final actions, are identified as

Chapter II (Executive orders):	
10701	1629
10702	1629
Title 7	
Chapter I:	,
Parts 101-114	1629
Chapter IX:	
Part 980 (proposed)	1640
Title 14	
Chapter I:	4.004
Part 18	1631
Chapter II:	
Part 609	1632
Title 29	
Chapter V:	
Part 785	1637
Title 30	-
Title 30	

Chapter II: Part 333	1631
Title 43	
Chapter I:	
Appendix (Public land order	ers):
1396	1637
Title 46	
Chapter I:	
Part 78	1639
Title 47	
Chapter I:	
Part 5	1638

Part 6 (2 documents) \_\_\_\_ 1639, 1640

1631

Title 50

Chapter I:

by deleting from each of said sections the last sentence therein and inserting in lieu thereof in each instance the following: "Each canceled receipt shall be retained by the warehouseman for a period of six years after December 31 of the year in which the receipt is canceled and for such longer period as may been necessary for the purposes of any litigation which the warehouseman knows to be pending, or as may be required by the Administrator in particular cases to carry out the purposes of the act. Canceled receipts shall be arranged by the warehouseman in numerical order and otherwise in such manner as shall be directed, for purposes of audit, by authorized officers or agents of the Department of Agriculture."

(Sec. 28, 39 Stat. 490; 7 U.S. C. 268)

The foregoing amendments shall become effective on April 15, 1957.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 8th day of March 1957.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. DICE,
Director

Special Services Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1923; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:48 a. m.]

### TITLE 30-MINERAL RESOURCES

### Chapter I—Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior

Subchapter L—Interpretations

PART 45—TITLE II, FEDERAL COAL MINE SAFETY ACT OF 1952

### Correction

In Federal Register Document 57-1798, published at page 1550 of the issue for Saturday, March 9, 1957, the following changes should be made:

changes should be made:
1. In § 45.20-1, "section 203" should read "section 209 (d) (7)".

2. The first sentence of § 45.46-2 (b) should read: "Class B fires are defined as those in flammable liquids, such as fuel or lubricating oils, grease, paint, varnish, and lacquer."

### TITLE 31—MONEY AND FINANCE: TREASURY

### Chapter II—Fiscal Service, Department of the Treasury

Subchapter B—Bureau of the Public Debt [Dept. Circ. 906, Amdt. 2]

PART 333—OFFERING OF UNITED STATES SAVINGS BONDS, SERIES J AND K

TERMINATION OF PART

MARCH 7, 1957.

The sale of United States Savings Bonds, Series J and Series K, offered under Treasury Department Circular No. 906, dated April 29, 1952 (31 CFR, Part 333), is hereby terminated effective at the close of business April 30, 1957.

[SEAL] W. RANDOLPH BURGESS, Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1930; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:50 a. m:]

### TITLE 14—CIVIL AVIATION

### Chapter I—Civil Aeronautics Board

[Civil Air Regs., Amdt. 18-1]

PART 18—MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, AND AL-TERATION OF AIRFRAMES, POWERPLANTS, PROPELLERS, AND EQUIPMENT

### APPLICABILITY OF PART

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D. C., on the 7th day of March 1957.

Presently effective Part 18 of the Civil Air Regulations contains rules for the performance of maintenance, repair, and alteration of aircraft which are made applicable by § 18.0 thereof to "aircraft for which airworthiness certificates have been issued by the Administrator, or any component thereof." This language suggests that only certificated airmen are authorized to perform maintenance; repairs, and alterations on an aircraft if it has ever been issued an airworthiness certificate, regardless of whether or not such aircraft is ever intended again to be used in air commerce in the United States. Such was not the intent when Part 18 was promulgated and is, in fact, more restrictive than the Civil Aeronautics Act of 1938, as amended.

Therefore, § 18.0 is being amended to establish that the rules for the performance of maintenance, repairs, and alterations on civil aircraft apply to work performed on a civil aircraft, or any of its components, only when the airworthiness certificate issued for the aircraft by the Administrator has not been surrendered or revoked. It will be noted that this amendment to § 18.0 uses the term "certificated aircraft," and defines it in § 18.1 as a civil aircraft for which an airworthiness certificate issued by the Administrator has not been surrendered or revoked.

Section 18.10 of Part 18 requires certificated airmen for the performance of maintenance on a civil aircraft when such aircraft is of current United States registry. This section is amended to make it consistent with the scope of the applicability provisions of § 18.0 as amended herein. As a result of this amendment to § 18.10, if the certificate of airworthiness issued for a civil aircraft has not been surrendered or revoked, only those persons authorized by § 18.10 may perform maintenance, repairs, and alterations on such aircraft or any of its components, regardless of whether the aircraft is currently registered.

The amendments to §§ 18.0 and 18.10 were published in the Federal Register as a notice of proposed rule making (21 F. R. 3905) and circulated for comment on June 4, 1956, as Civil Air Regulations Draft Release No. 56–15. Comment received in response to Draft Release No. 56–15 indicated that some confusion exists with respect to whether preventive maintenance performed by a pilot as authorized under present § 18.10 (c) is required by § 18.11 to be approved before being returned to service. It has been the intent of the Board that preventive maintenance performed as authorized under § 18.10 (c) not be required to be

approved before the aircraft or appliance is returned to service. In order to make this intent clear in the rules and, thereby, eliminate any possible confusion which may exist, a clarifying amendment is made herein to § 18.11 (a).

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of these amendments, with the exception of the clarifying amendment to § 18.11 (a), and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented. Since the amendment to § 18.11 (a) is clarifying in nature and imposes no additional burden on any person, the Board finds that notice and public procedure with respect thereto is unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Civil Aeronautics Board hereby amends Part 18 of the Civil Air Regulations (14 CFR Part 18, as amended) effective April 11, 1957.

1. By amending § 18.0 to read as follows:

§18.0 Applicability of this part. This part establishes rules for the performance of maintenance, repairs, and alterations on certificated aircraft or any component thereof.

NOTE: \* \* \*

2. By amending § 18.1 (a) by adding a definition of certificated aircraft to read as follows:

(8a) Certificated aircraft. A certificated aircraft is a civil aircraft for which an airworthiness certificate issued by the Administrator has not been surrendered or revoked.

3. By amending the first sentence of § 18.10 to read as follows:

§ 18.10 Persons authorized to perform maintenance, preventive maintenance, repairs, and alterations. No person shall perform maintenance, preventive maintenance, repairs, or alterations on certificated aircraft coming within the applicability of this part or on any component thereof, except as provided as follows:

4. By amending the first sentence of § 18.11 (a) to read as follows:

§ 18.11 Persons authorized to approve maintenance, repairs, and alterations. \* \*

(a) Maintenance, minor repairs, and minor alterations. Except for preventive maintenance performed as authorized in § 18.10 (c), which shall not require approval, no airframe, powerplant, propeller, or appliance which has undergone maintenance, minor repair, or minor alteration may be approved and returned to service except by one of the following:

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984, 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply secs. 601, 605; 52 Stat. 1007, 1010; 49 U. S. C. 551, 555).

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] M. C. MULLIGAN,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1933; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:50 a. m.]

## Chapter Il-Civil Aeronautics Administration, Department of Commerce

[Amdt. 239]

PART 609-STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

The standard instrument approach procedure alterations appearing hereinafter are adopted to become effective when indicated in order to promote safety. Compliance with the notice, procedures, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and therefore is not required.

Nors: Where the general classification (LFR, VAR, ADF, ILS, RADAR, or VOR), location, and procedure number (if any) of any procedure in the amendments which follow, are identifically where a tical with an existing procedure, that procedure is to be substituted for the existing one, as of the effective date given, to the extent that it differs from the existing procedure; where a tical with an existing procedure, that procedure is to be substituted for the existing one, as of the effective date given, to the extent that it differs from the existing procedure; procedure is cancelled, the existing procedure is revoked; new procedures are to be placed in appropriate alphabetical sequence within the section amended,

1. The low frequency range procedures prescribed in § 609.6 are amended to read in part:

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. In statute miles an approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airbort and in the particular area or as set forth below.

TOTAL THE CHIEF AND THE CHIEF					a minister	. miniminna		
	Transition			Celling	Celling and visibility minimains	community of		
					o angine or loss		Trees thou	Notes
					ל-פווצוווים	1	More than	
. From-	T-0-T-	Course and distance	altitude (feet)	Condition	65 knots or iess	More than	more than 65 knots	
								Tolde SE Anirge 164° outbound, 344° inbound, 2,000"
Concord VOR	CON-LFR 132-5	132-5	ର ଜ		300-1	300-1 600-1 800-2	800-1 800-2	700-1 Froceour authorized beyond 10 miles. 600-154 within 10 miles, not authorized beyond 10 miles, not authorized beyond 10 miles. 78 standard obstruction clearance not provided over 1,410' hill approximately 800-2.
Boscawen FM				A-an				7 miles E of Controld Let A. Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course, 1,400°.  Common and Aletanne facility to alribort, 332–1.8.
	•							If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing mun-
								course within 20 miles.
								AIR CARRIER NOTE: Night operations not authorized on runway 3-21.
								NEOF CO. T. S.
			S Alfloodion	CON. Procedure	No. 1. Amend	lment No. 6,	effective da	Drosdure No. 1, Amendment No. 6, effective date, Apr. 6, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 5, dated Apr. 30, 1955
N. H. N. Proceso	funicinal Airport, elevation 34.	5'; facility BMRLZ,	dentilication	1000				

	2500-2 required for take-off Runway 7.  10 miles.  Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course, 4,900.  Minimum altitude over facility to sirport, 163-2.3.  Ocurse and distance, facility to sirport, 163-2.3.  If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 2.3 miles, climb to 11,000 on W muns or if landing not accomplished within 2.3 miles, climb to 11,000 on W course SLC LER within 20 miles.  SHUTTLE: To 10,000 on N course within 10 miles. Alt turns W of course, Shutturns M of course, and W of S courses, and W of S course, and W of S course.
	200-15 600-15 400-1 800-2
	300-1 600-1 400-1 800-2
	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2
	7, 500 C-dn S-dn-16L A-dn
icinemannia.	4, 900 9, 5, 500
; facility BMRLL, in	Direct
Concord, N. H.; Municipal Airport, elevation 345; facility Birk LL, inclination	SLC-LFR (final)sLC-LFR
Concord, N. H.; M	Layton FM. SLC-LFR (final). Direct. Salt Lake City VOR.

Salt Lake City, Utah; Salt Lake City No. 1 Airport, elevation 4,222; facility SBRAZ, identification SLC; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 5, effective date, Apr. 6, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 4, dated Dec. 25, 1954

	Notes		Note: All fixes within 30 miles of Seattle-Tacoma radar may be determined by surveillance radar.  Procedure turn W side NW course SEA LFR, 297° outbound, 117° inbound, 2000' within 10 miles NW of Harbor Isl. FM. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.  Minimum alitude over SEA VOR, 800'.  Course and distance, from Intersection NW course SEA-LFR and R-340 SEA to SEA VOR, 160-43. Intersection of seabablished upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile of SEA VOR, climb to 2,00' on R-175 SEA within 13 miles.  Alternate missed approach: When requested by ATC, turn right climb to 2,00' on R-225 SEA within 20 miles.  CAUTION: Tank 561' MSL located immediately NE of airport.
	More than	more than 65 knots	200-1-2 500-1-1-2 500-1-1-2 500-1-2 500-2
y minimum:	2-engine or icss	More than 65 knots	300-1 500-1 400-1 800-2
Ceiling and visibility minimums	2-engin	65 knots or less	3/00-1 8/00-1 4/00-1 8/00-2
Ceiling	Condition		T-dn O-dn S-dn-16. A-dn
	Minimum	aititude (feet)	4.4.4.4.4.4.4.1 1 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 90
		distance	Direct
Transition		T0-	SEA-LFR SEA-LFR SEA-LFR SEA-LFR Harbor Isl. FM (final)
	·	From—	Hobart FM SEA-LOM SEA-VOR Vashon Intersection SEA-VOR NEJ-LFR Radar Fix 5 miles NW of Harbor ISI, FM on NW Harbor ISI, FM.

LFR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACE PROCEDURE-Continued

1957
. 2
Ma
ted
2, da
20.
ant I
dme
men
S A
sed
nbei
57; 8
, 19
ar. 3
, M
date
ive
fect
3, 01
Š.
ent
dn
men
3, A
20.
ire l
cedu
Pro
EA:
n S]
atio
ıtığı
lden
AZ,
BR
y SB
cilit
f'; fa
n 42
atio
elev
ort,
\frp
nal /
9
Internat
Int
ото
-Tac
ttle
Sea
ash.;
Wa
ttle,
Sea

	LEDEKA
200-15 500-15 10 Trocedure turn N side NE course, 052° outbound, 232° inbound, 2,000' within 800-2 Minimum attitude over facility on final approach course, 1,400'. Course and distance, facility to sirport, 219-0.9.	If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing mini- nums or if landing not accomplished within 0.9 mile, climb to 2,400° on SW course within 20 miles.  Note: 300-1 required for take-off on Runways 3L, 21R, 17R, and 35L.  Major Changes: Tuks VOR relocated.
200-3 500-1 800-2	
300-1 500-1 800-2	
300-1 400-1 800-2	
T-dn-C-dn-A-dn-	
1, 400 2,000 1, 900	٠
Direct Direct	
TUL-LFR (final) TUL-LFR TUL-LFR	
Verdigris River FMTUL-LFR (final)Tulatiok FMTulsa VORTUL-LFR.	

Tulsa, Okla.; Municipal Airport, elevation 674'; facility SBRAZ, identification TUL; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No 13, effective date, Apr. 19, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 12, dated Oct. 22, 1956

# 2. The automatic direction finding procedures prescribed in § 609.8 are amended to read in part:

## ADF STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

are in statute miles. Courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and attitudes are in feet MSL. Ceilings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which from instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

	Notes		Procedure turn E side of course, 185° outbound, 005° inbound, 1,000° within 10 miles.  Minimum altitude over facility on final approach course, 600°.  Course and distance, facility to altropert, 005°—1.5.  If visual confact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 1.5 miles, climb to 2,000° on course of 005° within 20 miles.
	More than	more than 65 knots	500-175 500-175 800-2
y minimums		More than 65 knots	500-13/2 500-13/2 800-2
Celling and visibility minimums	2-engine or less	65 knots or less	500-135 500-135 800-2
		Condition	A-din
	Minimum	altitude (feet)	
		Course and	
Transition		TO	
		From-	

Canton Island, Phoeniz Islands; Topham Field, elevation 9'; facility HHW, identification CIS; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 6, effective date, Apr. 6, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 5, dated Apr. 21, 1956

# 3. The very high frequency omnirange (VOR) procedures prescribed in § 609.9 are amended to read in part:

VOR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. The above type is conducted at the below named alriport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named alriport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the below named alriport authorized by the Administrator of Civil Aeronautics. Initial approaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for en route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

	Notes		200-15. 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles. Not authorized beyond 10 miles. Minimum altitude over scility on final approach course, 1,300'.  Minimum altitude over scility to airport, 120—3.0.  If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimum or if landing not accomplished within 3.0 miles, after passing Conmus or if landing not accomplished within 15 miles of Concord VOR.  Are Carrier Note: Night operations not authorized on Runway 3-21.
	More than	more than 65 knots	300-1 600-1} £ 600-1 £ 800-2
Celling and visibility minimums	2-engine or less	More than 65 knots	300-1 6600-1 600-1 800-2
and visibili	2-engine	65 knots or less	300-1 660-1 600-1 800-2
Celling		Condition	2, 500 T-dn C-dn S-dn-12 A-dn
	Minimum	altitude (feet)	2, 500
•		Course and distance	Direct
Transition		- TO-	CON-VOR.
		From—	Concord LFR

Concord, N. H.; Municipal Airport, elevation 345'; facility BVOR, identification CON; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 2, effective date, Apr. 6, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 1, dated Apr. 1, 1954

dn 10	ab to
and, 8,000' wit 5,900',	orized landing in left and cli
ind, 332° inbou	scent to author n 0.0 mile, tur
se, 152° outbou d 10 miles. lity on final ap	lished upon den nplished withins.
rn E side cour horized beyon titude over faci	rport. tact not establing not accor within 14 mile
Procedure turn E side course, 152° outbound, 332° inbound, 8,000' within 10 finales. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.  Miqimum altitude over facility on final apphasch course, 5,900',	Feility on airport. If yielity on airport. If years contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 0.0 mile, turn left and climb to 9,000' on R-161 within 14 miles.
1,800	1,800
1, 500-1 1, 800-1 1, 800-2	1,800-2
1, 500-1	1,800-2
T-dn C-d	A-dn
0000	ර ශරි
D) 0	Direct
	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	LMT-VOR
ection E course MFR	JER.
ection E	VOR

Klamath Falls, Oreg.; Klamath Falls Airport, elevation 4,088'; facility BVOR, identification LMT; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 3, effective date, Apr. 6, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 2, dated Feb. 12, 1957

# The very high frequency omnirange distance measuring equipment (VOR/DME) procedures prescribed in \$609.9 are amended to read in part:

VOR-DME STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which are in statute miles. In a statute miles and approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the bolow named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument approach procedure of the above type is conducted at the bolow named airport, it shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitudes shall correspond with those established for an route operation in the particular area or as set forth below.

	Notes	
	More than	more than
Ceiling and visibility minimums	2-engine or less	55 knots More than 65 knots or less 65 knots
g and visibili	2-engine	65 knots or less
Ceilin		Condition
-	Minimum	aititude (feet)
		Course and distance
Transition		T0-
		From-

Davenport, Iowa; Municipal Airport, elevation 733; facility and identification BVOR-DME-MLI; Procedurc No. VOR-DME-APT, Amendment Original, effective date, Sept. 3, 1955 PROCEDURE CANCELED APRIL 6, 1957-NO LONGER RÉQUIRED OPERATIONALLY.

## 5. The instrument landing system procedures prescribed in § 609.11 are amended to read in part:

ILS STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport elevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which is a listential animal ani

	Notes		#Runway 4 only: Runway visual range 2,600 may be utilized in lieu of 200-15 when 200-15 is authorized.  *Runway 4 only: 200-15 or runway visual range of 2,600—provided approaches conducted on the basis of reported runway visual range shall be governed by the following:  (1) All components of approach lights and high intensity runway lights shall obe in normal operation.  (2) Descent below the authorized landing minimum altitude of 218' shall not be made unless—  (3) Visual contact with the approach lights has been established orative. Frocedure turn W side SW course, 217' outbound, 32' inbound, 1,500' within 10 miles (Konstel, due to ATC). Minimum altitude a fide slope intersection in miles (Konstel, due to ATC). Minimum altitude over LOM inbound final—1,000 ADF. Altitude of gilde slope and distance to approach end of runway at OM 1525—4.9; at MM 250—0.6.  If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums or if landing not accomplished within 4,2 miles, climb to 1000' on 63 muns of 18 anding me maste left climbing turn to 3,000' direct to Patterson Radiobeacon or when directed by ATC, make climbing left turn to 2,000' direct to Chatham Radiobeacon.
	More than	more than 65 knots	200-15 600-115 600-15 600-1 800-2
y minimums	or less	More than 65 knots	300-1 600-1 600-1 800-2 800-2
Celling and visibility minimums	2-engine or less	65 knots or less	300-1 600-1 600-1 800-2 800-2
Celling		Condition	T-dnf C-dn S-dn-4: ILS ADF A-dn: ADF
	Minimum	altitude (fcet)	1, 1,1,1,1,1,1,2,2,1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,
	7	Course and distance	Direct Direct Direct Direct Direct Direct Direct Within 15 miles Within 20 miles
Transition		T0-	ILS course (final)
		From-	New Brunswick Intersection via course 076. Woodbridge Intersection ILS. Woodbridge Intersection ADF. Colts Neck VOR via R-322. Fabrush Radiobeacon. Newark LFR. Chatham Radiobeacon. Radar transition alitiudes 8 quadrant Newark LFR. On Onthesst sector from 058° to 069°. All other sectors.

Nowark, N. J.; Nowark Airport, elevation 18'; facility ILS-EWR, identification LOM-EW; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 10, Combination ILS-ADF, effective date, Apr. 6, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 9, dated Dec. 1, 1956

	All fixes within 25 miles may be determined by surveillance radar. Radar vectoring to final approach localizer course authorized in accordance with procedures approach localizer course authorized in accordance with procedure sproved of surveillance approach.  *300-4 required with glide slope interest.  *500-4 required with glide slope interest.  *500-4 required with glide slope interest.  *500-4 reduce turn S side of course, 278° outbound, 988° inbound, 3,000′ within 10 miles of SVY-RBN. Not authorized beyond 10 miles.  Minimum altitude at G. S. intersection inbound, 3,000′ milmum altitude over OM inbound final 1,600 ADF**.  **Altitude of G. S. and distance to approach end of runway at SVY 3000-9.4, Altitude of G. S. and distance to approach end of runway at SVY 3000-9.4, at OM 1370-3.9, at MM 280-0.6.  If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimum or if landing not accomplished within 10 miles of LNM, thence make climbing right turn to a heading of 290 proceeding to 8 course of PDX-LFR at 3,000′ within 12 miles of LFR, or proceed to R-175 PDX at 3,000′ within 12 miles of LFR, or proceed to R-175 PDX at 3,000′ within 12 miles of work when directed by ATC: climb to 1,500′ on SE course ILS within 10 miles of LMM, making climbing left turn, proceed direct to PDX-VOR at 3,000′.	
	*200-15 600-115 700-115 600-1 600-2 800-2	
	300-1 700-1 700-1 600-1 800-2 800-2 800-2	
	300-1 600-1 600-1 600-1 600-2 800-2 800-2 800-2	_
	3,000 C-d 3,000 C-d 3,000 S-dn-10: 1LS# 3,000 A-d: A-DF A-DF A-DF A-DF A-DF A-DF A-DF	
	Direct Direct Direct Direct Direct Direct Direct	
	88VY 88VY 88VY 88VY 88VY 88VY 88VY	
AVOID BY AVO	Woodland FM La Center FM or Intersection PDX VOR PDX LFR Willamette FM UBG VOR Scappose Intersection.	

Portland, Oreg.; International Airport, elevation 23°; facility ILS PDX, identification RBn SVY; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 7, Combination ILS and ADF, effective date, Apr. 7, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 6, dated Oct., 29, 1955

	Notes		*Start descent at glide slope intersection, glide slope must be operative for this transition.  #500-2 required for take-off Runway 7.  #500-2 required for take-off Runway 7.  #500-2 required for the off scourse, 158° outbound, 338° inbound, 6,100° within 5 miles of LOM. Beyond 5 miles not authorized. 80 reversal recommended for procedure turn.  Minimum altitude at glide slope intersection inbound, 6,100°.  Altitude of glide slope and distance to approach end of runway at Riverton for state LOM, 6,028—5.5; at LAM, 4457—0.6.  FM, 9340—15, at LOM, 6,028—5.5; at LAMM, 4457—0.6.  If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minhung turn, climb westbound on R-248 SLC or West course SLC LFR, to 11,000° within 20 miles. Alternate missed approach when directed by ATC, climb northbound on R-329 SLC or North course SLC LFR to 10,000° within 20 miles. Alternate missed approach when directed by ATC, climb northbound on R-329 SLC or North course SLC UFR to 10,000° within past SLC VOR or LFR, Minhuss SLC VOR or L
	More than 2-engine,	more than 65 knots	200-1-2 600-1-2 200-1-2 600-2
y minimums	visibility minimum 2-engine or less		300-1 200-1 600-2 600-2
Celling and visibility minimums	2-engine	65 knots or less	300-1 500-1 200-1 600-2
Celling		Condition	T-dn# C-dn S-dn-34L A-dn
	Minimum	altitude (feet)	6, 100 6, 100 11, 000
	Course and	distance	Direct
. Transition	4	Т0-	ILS S course.
		From-	Salt Lake City LFR

Salt Lake City, Utah; Salt Lake City No. 1 Airport, elevation 4,222; facility ILS, identification SLC; Procedure No. 71, Amendment No. 13, effective date, Apr. 6, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 12, dated July 7, 1956

					-			
Syraphise L.F.R.	TOM TOW	Direct	1,900	T-dn*	300-1	300-1	200-15	*600-1 required for take-off to SE.
Syramise VOR	L'OM		1,900	C-dn	#600-1	700-1	700-132	
Sherrill Intersection via course	herrill Intersection via course E course ILS	Direct	##2, 500	##2, 500   S-dn-28:				-
310				ILS	300-34	300-34	300-34	the outer marker at 1,600 on final approach is authorized.
Intersection E course Syracuse	LOM	D'rect	1,900	ADF	#600-1	700-1	700-1	##Descent to 1,800' authorized after passing Syracuse VOR radial 150°.
LFR and bearing 335° to				A-dn	800-2	800-2	800-2	Procedure turn N side E course, 098° outbound, 278° inbound, 1,600° within
LOM								10 miles
Intersection S course Syracuse	Intersection S course Syracuse LOM	Direct	###3,000					Minimum altitude at G. S. intersection inbound 1,600 ILS, minimum alti-
L.F.R. and bearing 045° to								tude over LOM inbound final 1.100 ADF.
LOM			•		_			Altitude of G. S. and distance to approach end of runway at OM 1600-4.1;
Afunisarille intersection etc F course II.S	F course II.S	Direct	##3.000					at MM 610-0.6.
0011rea 3999		1			_			If visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums
pofion	LOW	Direct	3.000					or if landing not accomplished within 4.1 miles after passing LOM (ADF),
								climb to 2,000' on W course II.S or course of 278 from LOM within 20 miles.
								AIR CARRIER NOTE: No reduction in minimums or sliding scale authorized
								for take-off to the SE.

Syracuse N. Y.; Hancock Airport, elevation 419'; facility ILS-SYR, identification LOM-SY; Procedure No. 1, Amandment No. 14, Combination ILS-ADF, effective date, Mar. 13, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 13, dated Mar. 2, 1957

					-			
				-	_	_		
	1		- F		1 000	1000	1/1 000#	The thir the To the section of the s
Intersection SE course LFR LON			1 - dn		300-I	1-00%	#2N-72	#300-1 required on runway 31, 21K, 1/K, 331,
and Off hearing to			Codn		400-1	500-1	500-1%	*400-34 required when which slope not utilized
and 200 Dearing to.			O differen		1 202	1 000	7/7	ייים ליו וליווים אותר פוסף ווסף מנוויים
T.O.M.	1,01	Direct	2. 100 - S-du-35R:					Procedure turn E side S course, 174° outbound, 354° inbound, 2,400 within
There are an area			O OUN IT CO		DW 1/1	2000	2000	10 miles Borond 10 miles not out having
"TOT VOR	LOM	1/ILCCL	Z, 200 ILLS		2007	2/11/2	7/ 007	to miles. Deyond to miles not authorized.
T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	1016	Diroct	9 Sun A DE	_	400-1	400-1	4(x)-1	400-1 Minimum sititude at (4. S. intersection inbound, 2.400 ILS, minimum alti-
TOT TE	TO MI	e i	2000					the state of the s
Red Fork FV	LON	Direct	Z. 400 A-(II):	_				three over LUM Inbound mai 1,300 ALF.
	1001	Dimont	- D TI 00 6		6000	6000	600-2	Altitude of a and dictante to anymonth and of minwest of OM 9250-5 4.
SKIBTOOK F.M.	LOM	Direct	Z. 400		2	1000	Т	Attitude of G. S. and distance to approach cut of tunnay at Oth 200 0:11
Vondierie Diron Ell	LOW	Diract	2 Sho A DF		800-2	800-2	800-2	
A CIMISITO TOLANDI T. TAY	TO 11	١.	1000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			_	The second secon
Intersection R-201 TIII, and S	Intersection R-201 TIII, and S. LOM (final-II.8)	Direct	2.400	_	_			Il Visual contact not established upon descent to authorized landing milli-
TI COLOR			_		_			mims or if landing not accomplished within 5.4 miles after nessing LOM
course 11.6.				_	_			minima of it learning that accomplished whether of miles also persons
Intersection R-201 TITL and S	Intersection R-201 TIII. and S   LOV (Angl- A DE)	Direct	1.900	_		_		(ADF), climb to 1.900 on N course ILS (354) within 20 miles.
THE PARTY OF THE P				_		_		Carried 1 100 tours 90 miles NW of LOM
control Tra					_			Caction, sixon when an artist of the
				_	_			

Tulsa, Okla.; Municipal Airport, elevation 674'; facility ILS-ITUL, identification LOM-TU; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 5, Combination ILS and ADF, effective date, Apr. 9, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 4, dated July 28, 1956

## 6. The radar procedures prescribed in § 609.13 are amended to read in part:

RADAR STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE

Bearings, headings, courses and radials are magnetic. Elevations and altitudes are in feet MSL. Cellings are in feet above airport clevation. Distances are in nautical miles unless otherwise indicated, except visibilities which is static miles. In the below named airport, it shall be in accordance with the following instrument procedure, unless an approach is conducted at the below named airport, it shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with those established with the radar controller. From initial sopreaches shall be made over specified routes. Minimum altitude(s) shall correspond with the radar controller. From initial contact with radar to find authorized landing minimums, or (B) at pilot's discretion if it appears desirable to discontinue the approach are mandatory except when (A) visual contact is a stablished on final approach shall be executed as provided below when (A) communication on final approach is lost for more than 50 seconds during a surveillance approach; (B) directed by radar controller; (C) visual contact is not established upon descent to authorized landing minimums; or (D) if landing is not accomplished.

•	Notes		Radar terminal area transition altitudes—all bearings are from the radar site with sector azimuths progressing clockwise.  *Runways 4 only—2001,* or runway visual range of 2,600°; provided that approaches conducted on the basis of reported runway visual range shall be proaches conducted on the basis of reported runway visual range shall be governed by the following:  200-15  (1) All components of the approach lights and high intensity runway lights should be in normal operation and second lights and high intensity runway lights (1) All components of the authorized landing minimum altitude of 218′ MSL 600-1  (201) (2) descent below the authorized landing minimum altitude of 218′ MSL (3) visual contact with the approach lights has been established or (2) the aircraft is clear of clouds.  (3) the aircraft is clear of clouds.  (4) the aircraft is clear of clouds.  (5) the aircraft is clear of clouds.  (6) the aircraft is clear of clouds.  (7) the aircraft is clear of clouds.  (8) the aircraft is clear of clouds.  (900-2  #Runway 4 only—runway visual range of 2,600′ may be utilized in lieu of 2001-2,5 when 200-1/5 is authorized.  (900-2  #Runway 4 only—runway visual range of 2,600′ may be utilized in lieu of 2001-2,5 when 200-1/5 is uthorized.  (8) the aircraft is clear of clouds.  (900-2  #Runway 4 only—runway visual range of 2,600′ may be utilized in lieu of 2001-2,5 when 200-1/5 is uthorized.  (900-2  #Runway 4 only—runway visual range of 2,600′ may be utilized in lieu of 2001-2,5 when 200-1/5 is uthorized landing minimum or if landing not accomplished climb to 1,000′ on NE course Newark LFR, then make left climbing turn to 3,000′ direct to Chatham Radiobeacon,
	More than	more than 65 knots	200-72 200-72 200-12 20
y minimums	or less	More than 65 knots	. 200-1/2 600-1 800-1 800-1 800-2 800-2
and visibility	Ceiling and visibility minimums		200-7-2 600-1-2 800-1-1 800-1-2 800-2 800-2
Ceiling		Condition	Precision approach: 8-dn-4* Surveillance approach: 7-dn/6 C-dn/6 C-dn/7 S-dn/6 A-dn/6 A-dn/6
	Minimum	altitude (feet)	6.60 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00
		distance	
Transition		To-	080
		From-	0.000

Newark N. J.; Newark Airport, elevation 18'; facility and identification, Newark Radar; Procedure No. 1, Amendment No. 3, effective date, Apr. 6, 1957; supersedes Amendment No. 7, dated Dec. 1, 1956

These procedures shall become effective on the dates indicated on the procedures.

(Sec. 205, 52 Stat. 984, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 425. Interpret or apply sec. 601, 52 Stat. 1007, as amended; 49 U. S. C. 551)

[SEAL]

[F. R. Doc. 57-1794; Filled, Mar. 13, 1957; 9:32 a.m.]

## TITLE 29—LABOR

Chapter V-Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor

PART 785-HOURS WORKED

PREPARATORY AND CONCLUDING ACTIVITIES

Part 785 of Title 29, Code of Federal Reg-The second and third subparaignated (2) and (3), respectively, are Pursuant to General Order No. 45-A graphs of paragraph (f) of § 785.3, deshereby revoked and a new subparagraph ulations is hereby amended as follows: (15 F. R. 3290), and under its authority (2) is hereby issued to read as follows:

These principles have guided the States Supreme Court further illustrate men in a meat pecking plant sharpened Administrator in the enforcement of the Two cases decided by the United the types of activities which are considered an integral part of the employees' In one, employees changed their clothes and took showers in a battery plant where the manufacturing process involved the extensive use of caustic and toxic materials.2 In another case knifetheir knives before and after their scheduled work day." In both cases the Sujobs.

28 Steiner v. Mitchell, 350 U. S. 247.

260.

No. 50

preme Court held that these activities are an integral and indispensable part of the employees' principal activities. 2. In § 785.3, the fourth subparagraph of paragraph (f), designated as (4), is hereby redesignated as subparagraph (3)

ပ Signed at Washington, D. C., this 11th (52 Stat. 1060, as amended; 29 U. S. 201-219)

Wage and Hour Division. Administrator NEWELL BROWN, day of March 1957.

" Mitchell v. King Packing Co., 350 U. S. [F. R. Doc. 57-1932; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:50 a. m.]

### TITLE 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

· Administrator of Civil Aeronautics.

JAMES T. PYLE

Chapter I-Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

Appendix-Public Land Orders [Public Land Order 1396] [Fairbanks 012027]

OF OF THE AIR FORCE FOR WITHDRAWING PUBLIC LANDS FOR USE MILITARY PURPOSES DEPARTMENT

ALASKA

By virtue of the authority vested in the President, and pursuant to Executive

> THE STATE OF THE S CARLES OF THE PROPERTY AND PARTY OF THE PART THE THE PARTY OF THE

Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the following-described public lands in Alaska are hereby withdrawn from all forms of appropriation under the public land. laws, including the mining and the mineral-leasing laws, and reserved for use of the Department of the Air Force for military purposes:

### PARCEL No. 1

Beginning at a point on the bank of an unnamed slough of the Yukon River, said point being S. 78°30' W., 1540 feet from Fort Yukon West Base Azimuth Mark, situated at Latitude 66°33' 39.313" North and Longitude 145°12'34.927" West; thence North 700 feet; thence East 1640 feet; thence North 800 feet; thence East 2580 feet; thence South 2500 feet; thence West 2540 feet more or less, to right bank of the aforesaid slough; thence northwesterly along the right bank of the slough to the point of beginning.

The area described contains approximately 190 acres.

### PARCEL No. 2

Lots 7 and 8, Block 17, and Lot 1, Block 25, of the Fort Yukon Townsite, U. S. Survey No. 2760.

The area described contains 0.66 acre. It is the intent of this order that the withdrawn minerals in the lands shall remain under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior and no disposition shall be made of such minerals except under the applicable public land mining and mineral-leasing laws, and then only after such modification of the provisions of this order as may be necessary to permit such disposition.

HATFIELD CHILSON. Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

MARCH 8, 1957.

[F . R. Doc. 57-1911; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:46 a. m.]

### TITLE 47—TELECOMMUNI-CATION

### Chapter I-Federal Communications Commission

[Docket No. 11891; FCC 57-222]

[Rules Amdt. 5-4]

PART 5-EXPERIMENTAL RADIO SERVICES

### CONELRAD

In the matter of amendment to Part 5 of the Commission's rules to effectuate the Commission's CONELRAD plan for the Experimental Radio Services.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C., on the 6th day of March 1957:

The Commission has before it for consideration its Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the above captioned matter released December 14, 1956.

No formal comments have been filed in this matter.

These amendments to Part 5 of the Commission's rules are promulgated under authority of sections 303 (r) and 606 (c) of the Communications Act as amended and Executive Order 10312

signed by the President December 10, received by one or more of the methods 1951.

Accordingly, it is ordered, That Part 5 of the Commission's rules be amended to include the rules set forth below, effective April 8, 1957, or on such earlier date as the Commission, by subsequent order, may designate.

Released: March 11, 1957.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS.

Secretary.

### Subpart G-Coneirad

Sec 5.301 Scope and objective.

Alerting. 5.302

Operation during a CONELRAD Radio 5.303 Alert.

5.304 Special conditions. 5.305 Radio All Clear.

5 306 Tests.

5.307 Record entries.

AUTHORITY: §§ 5.301 to 5.307 issued under sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U. S. C. 154. Interpret or apply sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended, sec. 606, 65 Stat. 4087; 47 U. S. C. 303, 606. E. O. 10312, 16 F. R. 12452; 3 CFR, 1951 Supp.

§ 5.301 Scope and objective. (a) This subpart applies to all radio stations licensed by the Federal Communications Commission in the Experimental Radio Services located within the continental United States and is for the purpose of providing for the alerting and operation of radio stations in these services during periods of air attack or imminent threat thereof. (As used in this subpart, the term "licensed by" includes every form of authority issued by the Federal Communications Commission pursuant to which a radio station may be operated, including construction permits, station licenses, temporary authorizations, etc.)

(b) The objective of this subpart is to minimize the navigational aid that an enemy might obtain from the electromagnetic radiations from radio stations in the Experimental Radio Services. while simultaneously providing for a continued radio service under controlled conditions when such operation is essential to the public welfare.

§ 5.302 Alerting. (a) Licensees of radio stations in the Experimental Radio Services are responsible for making provisions to receive the CONELRAD Radio Alert and CONELRAD Radio All Clear.

(b) The CONELRAD Radio Alert will be initiated by the Commanding Officer of the Air Division (Defense) or higher

military authority.

(c) Experimental Radio Service mobile radio systems, including fixed stations associated therewith and fixed service systems where applicable, may, if desired, be alerted at a single point, normally the control point or the control center. The control point thus receiving the CONELRAD Radio Alert will be responsible for the dissemination of the CONELRAD Radio Alert to all stations integrated into the radio system or systems, and for insuring that all associated stations execute CONELRAD requirements immediately.

(d) The CONELRAD Radio Alert for the Experimental Radio Services may be

outlined in this paragraph:

(1) By monitoring any standard, FM or TV broadcast station by aural or automatic means, to receive the CONELRAD Radio Alert.

(2) By monitoring the appropriate distress frequency (500 kc, A2 emissions or 2182 kc, A3 emissions).

(3) By notification of the CONELRAD Radio Alert from an Air Defense Warning Network or extension thereof. If no CONELRAD Radio Alert is transmitted Experimental Radio stations must comply with CONELRAD operating requirements upon receipt of Air Defense Warning Yellow. If neither a CONELRAD Radio Alert nor Air Defense Warning Yellow is received, Experimental radio stations must comply with CONELRAD operating requirements upon receipt of an Air Defense Warning Red.

(4) By notification of the CONELRAD Radio Alert (or Warning Yellow or Warning Red if no CONELRAD Radio Alert is transmitted) by telephone or other means from any point that has received the alert as in subparagraph (1), (2) or (3) of this paragraph.

(5) Radio station licensees desiring to receive the CONELRAD Radio Alert by a means not covered by subparagraph (1), (2), (3) or (4) of this paragraph, may request authority from the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission to receive the Alert in another manner. The request must explain why the methods described in subparagraph (1), (2), (3) or (4) of this paragraph are not suitable and must fully describe the proposed method for receiving the Alert.

Note: Every standard, FM and TV broadcast station will be notified of the CONEL-RAD Radio Alert by telephone calls or by radio broadcasts. Immediately upon receipt of the Radio Alert each standard, FM and TV broadcast station will proceed as follows on its normally assigned frequency:

(i) Discontinue the normal program. (ii) Cut the transmitter carrier for approximately five seconds. (Sound carrier only for TV stations.)
(iii) Return carrier to the air for ap-

proximately five seconds. (iv) Cut the transmitter carrier for ap-

proximately five seconds. (v) Return carrier to the air.

(vi) Broadcast 1,000 cycle (approximately)

steady state tone for fifteen seconds.
(vii) Broadcast the CONELRAD Radio
Alert message as follows: "We interrupt our normal program to cooperate in security and Civil Defense measures as requested by the United States Government. This CONELRAD Radio Alert. Normal broadcasting will now be discontinued for an indefinite period. Civil Defense information will be broadcast in most areas at 640 and 1240 on your regular radio receiver."

(viii) The CONELRAD Radio Alert message will then be repeated.

(i) through (vi) above is for the purpose of attracting the listener's attention, or, if desired, to operate an automatic alert receiver or warning device. Caution: through (vi) above is a warning that a Radio Alert may follow; the actual Radio Alert signal is the spoken word in the form of the CONELRAD Radio Alert message. The CON-ELRAD Radio Alert message as set forth in (vii) above is worded in a manner suitable for reception by the public; however, the message is also the CONELRAD Radio Alert. When this CONELRAD Radio Alert message is received, all licensees must immediately comply with the CONELRAD operating procedure. The precise CONELRAD Radio Alert message above, will be broadcast only in the event of an actual Alert. In the event of a CONELRAD test or drill, broadcast stations will make an announcement that a test or drill is taking place.

(e) Base, fixed and mobile stations in the Experimental Radio Services not directly receiving the CONELRAD Radio Alert must use caution in returning to the air after an "out of service" period, to insure that a CONELRAD Radio Alert is not in progress before making any transmissions.

§ 5.303 Operation during a CONEL-RAD Radio Alert. (a) Radio stations in the Experimental Radio Services, upon receipt of a CONELRAD Radio Alert, will interrupt any communications in progress, leave the air and maintain radio silence for the duration of the CONEL-RAD Radio Alert, except for transmissions handled in accordance with the following restrictions unless otherwise ordered by the Federal Communications Commission:

(1) No transmissions shall be made unless they are of an emergency nature affecting the national safety or the safety of people and property.

NOTE: Transmissions involving the relaying of the CONELRAD Radio Alert may be made under the authority of this section. Transmissions not immediately necessary must be withheld until the CONELRAD Radio All Clear is issued.

(2) All transmissions shall be as short as possible and the stations' carrier shall be removed from the air during periods of no message or intelligence transmission.

(3) No station identification shall be given either by announcement of regularly assigned call signals or by announcement of geographical location. If identification is necessary to carry on the service, the use of special identifiers will be authorized.

§ 5.304 Special conditions. (a) Licensees of radio stations or systems in the Experimental Radio Services, who for technical or operational reasons, believe that compliance with § 5.303 is not feasible or practicable, may request a waiver of § 5.303. Such requests must be made by letter to the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission stating why § 5.303 cannot be complied with. Federal Communications Commission upon investigation may modify the CON-ELRAD operating requirements of the station or system if it is found to be essential to the defense of the nation or the public welfare.

(b) Stations licensed in the Experimental Radio Services which are an integral part of another service may be operated in accordance with the CONEL-RAD rules for the other service.

§ 5.305 Radio All Clear. The CONEL-RAD Radio All Clear will be initiated only by the Air Division (Defense) Commander or higher military authority and will be disseminated over the same channels as the CONELRAD Radio Alert. Radio stations and systems licensed in the Experimental Radio Services may resume normal operation when the CON-

ELRAD Radio All Clear message is received unless otherwise restricted by order of the Federal Communications Commission.

§ 5.306 Tests. (a) Tests of the CON-ELRAD alerting and operating systems may be conducted at appropriate intervals. Stations not normally in operation during the period of a test will not be required to take part.

(b) Tests of the CONELRAD operating system will not require Experimental radio stations to close down and will be conducted in a manner that will not interfere with normal transmissions.

(c) Reports of the results of such tests may be required in a form to be prescribed by the Commission.

§ 5.307 Record entries. Appropriate entries of all CONELRAD tests and operations shall be made in the station records.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1937; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:51 a. m.]

### TITLE 46—SHIPPING

### Chapter I—Coast Guard, Department of the Treasury

ICGFR 57-91

PART 78—OPERATIONS

RECORDS OF NUMBER OF PASSENGERS
CARRIED ON FERRY VESSELS

In Federal Register Document CGFR 56-14 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER dated April 18, 1956 (21 F. R. 2521), the regulations in 46 CFR 78.37-10 were amended to require the master of every vessel to keep a correct count of the passengers received and delivered from day to day and to provide that this information shall be furnished to the Coast Guard when called for. Requests have been received from operators of ferry vessels stating that there was no accurate way of counting the number of passengers actually carried on each trip of a ferry vessel during rush hours. This information had never been reported before to the Coast Guard. Since the number of life preservers carried by ferry vessels is determined by area of passenger deck surface and the number of passengers is not stated on the certificate of inspection, ferry vessels appear to be in a special category with respect to the application of R. S. 4467, as amended (46 U.S.C. 460). The purpose of this amendment is to exempt the master of every certificated ferry vessel from maintaining a record of the correct count of all passengers received and delivered from day to day. In addition a statement is added that this information need not be kept for longer than one year from date of entry.

Because the amendment to 46 CFR 78.37-10 abolishes a requirement for the maintenance of records and describes the retention period required for such information, it is hereby found that compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act respecting notice of proposed rule making, public rule making procedures thereon, and effective date requirements thereof, is unnecessary.

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Commandant, United States Coast Guard, by Treasury Department Order No. 120, dated July 31, 1950 (15 F. R. 6521), Treasury Department Order No. 167-14, dated November 26, 1954 (19 F. R. 8026), and Treasury Department Order CGFR 56-28, dated July 24, 1956 (21 F. R. 5659), to promulgate regulations in accordance with the statutes cited with the regulations below, the following amendment to § 78.37-10 (b) is prescribed and shall become effective upon the date of publication of this document in the Federal Register:

§ 78.37-10 Official log entries. \* \* \* (b) Except as noted in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, on any vessel where an official log book is not required, the master shall-keep a record of the correct count of all the passengers received and delivered from day to day. This record shall be open to inspection by the Coast Guard at all times. The aggregate number of the passengers carried shall be furnished to the Coast Guard whenever requested (R. S. 4467, as amended, 46 U.S. C. 460). The information shall be available for a period of one year after the date to which the records refer.

(1) The provisions of the paragraph shall not apply to ferry vessels.

(R. S. 4405, as amended, 4462, as amended; 46 U. S. C. 375, 416. Interpret or apply R. S. 4417, 4418, 4426, 4453, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 49 Stat. 1544, sec. 17, 54 Stat. 166, sec. 3, 54 Stat. 346, as amended, sec. 3, 68 Stat. 675; 46 U. S. C. 391, 392, 404, 435, 367, 1333, 50 U. S. C. 198; E. O. 10402, 17 F. R. 9917, 3 CFR, 1952 Supp.)

Dated: March 8, 1957.

[SEAL] A. C. RICHMOND, Vice Admiral, U. S. Coast Guard, Commandant, [F. R. Doc. 57-1929; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:50 a. m.]

### TITLE 50-WILDLIFE

### Chapter I—Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

Subchapter B—Hunting and Possession of Wildlife
PART 6—MIGRATORY BIRDS

ORDER PERMITTING KILLING OF DEPREDATING
COOTS IN AGRICULTURAL AREAS OF
CALIFORNIA

Basis and purpose. It has been determined from investigations and observations made by the Fish and Wildlife Service and by the California Department of Fish and Game, that serious agricultural crop depredations are likely to occur because of the large number of coots present in portions of the State of California. It has further been determined that these depredations can best be alleviated by permitting depredating coots to be killed and taken by shooting in any affected area under specific conditions and restrictions. Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

1 (a) Such coots as are found damaging crops in agricultural areas of California may be killed by shooting with a shotgun only on or over such crops during the period or periods to be announced

in accordance with this order. The facts as to the existence of an emergency condition in any particular area which requires the killing of coots as contemplated herein, the extent of the area and the period of time during which, and the conditions under which, such killing may be permitted shall be ascertained by the Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, and announced by him through publication of a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER and by suitable publication inthe areas where the emergency exists, which finding shall be final. Any such period of time during which killing is permitted shall be shortened by similar announcement and publication upon a finding that the particular emergency condition no longer exists.

(b) No period of shooting shall extend

beyond May 30, 1957.

(c) Such birds as are killed under the provisions of this order may be used for food but they may not be sold, offered for sale, bartered or shipped for purposes of sale or barter, or be wantonly wasted or destroyed.

(d) This order does not permit the killing of any coots in violation of any State law or regulation. This order and any implementation thereof which may be effected contemplate emergency measures designed to aid in relieving depredations and are not to be construed as a reopening or extension of any open hunting season prescribed by regulations promulgated under section 3 of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, as amended (40 Stat. 755; 16 U.S. C. 704).

(Sec. 3, 40 Stat. 755, as amended, 16 U.S. C. 704)

Since this order is an emergency measure, notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable and it shall become effective immediately.

March 8, 1957.

FRED G. AANDAHL, Acting Secretary of the Interior.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1902; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:45 a. m'.]

### PART 6-MIGRATORY BIRDS

ORDER PERMITTING KILLING OF DEPREDATING AMERICAN MERGANSERS IN WESTERN

Basis and purpose. It has been determined from investigations and observations made by the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Washington State Department of Game, that the number of American mergansers present in western Washington is such as to constitute a serious threat to the trout populations of certain lakes and streams through depredations by these birds. It has further been determined that these depredations can best be alleviated by permitting depredating American mergansers to be killed and taken by shooting in any affected area under conditions and restrictions designed to prevent impairment of breeding populations. Accordingly, it is ordered as follows:

(a) Such American mergansers as are found depredating on trout populations of the lakes and streams in the State of Washington may be killed by shooting with a shotgun only on or over the effected areas during the period or periods to be announced in accordance with this order. The facts as to the existence of an emergency condition on any particular area which requires the killing of the American mergansers as contemplated herein, the extent of the area and the period of time during which, and the conditions under which, such killing may be permitted shall be ascertained by the Acting Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife and an-

Issued at Washington, D. C., and dated nounced by him through publication of a notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER and by suitable publication in the area where the emergency exists, which finding shall be final. Any such period of time during which killing is permitted shall be shortened by similar announcement and publication upon a finding that the particular emergency condition no longer exists.

(b) No period of shooting shall extend

beyond April 10, 1957.

(c) American mergansers killed under the provisions of this order may be used or donated to charitable institutions for food purposes and they may be donated to public museums or public scientific and educational institutions for exhibition, scientific or educational purposes. No such birds may be sold, offered for sale, bartered or shipped for purposes of sale or barter. Any such birds which cannot be used for the purposes stated herein shall be completely destroyed.

(d) This order does not permit the killing of American mergansers in violation of any State law or regulation. This order and any implementation thereof which may be effected contemplate emergency measures designed to aid in relieving depredations and are not to be construed as a reopening or extension of any open hunting season prescribed by regulations promulgated under section 3 of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, as amended (40 Stat. 755; 16 U.S. C. 704).

(Sec. 3, 40 Stat. 755, as amended, 16 U. S. C.

Since this order is an emergency measure, notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable and it shall become effective immediately.

Issued at Washington, D. C., and dated March 8, 1957.

FRED G. AANDAHL, Acting Secretary of the Interior.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1903; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:45 a. m.]

### PROPOSED RULE MAKING

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

**Agricultural Marketing Service** 

[7 CFR Part 980]

[Docket No. AO-182-A7]

MILK IN TOPEKA, KANSAS, MARKETING AREA

NOTICE OF HEARING ON PROPOSED AMEND-MENTS TO TENTATIVE MARKETING AGREE-MENT AND ORDER, AS AMENDED

Pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S. C. 601 et seq.), and in accordance with the applicable rules of practice and procedure, as amended (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held at the Kansan Hotel, Topeka, Kansas, beginning at 10:00 a. m., local time. March 18, 1957.

The public hearing is for the purpose of receiving evidence with respect to emergency and other economic conditions which relate to the proposed amendments hereinafter set forth or appropriate modifications thereof, to the tentative marketing agreement as heretofore approved by the Secretary of Agriculture and to the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Topeka, Kansas, marketing area (7 CFR 980.0 et seq.). The amendments proposed have not received the approval of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Amendments to the order, as amended, for the Topeka, Kansas, marketing area have been proposed as follows:

By Shawnee County Milk Producers Association of Topeka, Kansas:

1. Increase Class II price in Topeka market effective April 1 by providing for Class II price under present provisions of Topeka order or under Class II pricing

provisions of Kansas City Order No. 13 whichever is higher.

By the Dairy Division:

2. Make such other changes as are necessary to make the order conform to any amendments thereto that may result from the hearing.

Copies of this notice of hearing and of the order as now in effect may be obtained from the Market Administrator, Room 297 Plaza Theatre Building, 231 West 47th St., Kansas City 12, Missouri, or from the Hearing Clerk, Room 112 Administration Building, Washington 25, D. C., or may be there inspected.

Issued at Washington, D. C., this 8th day of March 1957.

[SEAL] ROY W. LENNARTSON. Deputy Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1922; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:48 a. m.]

1:

PAN STATE

### **NOTICES**

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

**Bureau of Land Management** 

[1499461]

CALIFORNIA

ORDER OPENING PUBLIC LANDS

MARCH 7, 1957.

Public Land Order No. 1274 of March 14, 1956, revoked Executive Order No. 6361 of October 25, 1933, which withdrew lands in California for classification and determination as to the advisability of including them in a National Monument.

Pursuant to the authority delegated by Departmental Order No. 2583 of August 16, 1950, it is ordered that sec. 20, T. 2 S., R. 4 E., S. B. M., which was not restored by Public Land Order No. 1274, is hereby restored in furtherance of a proposed exchange under sec. 8 of the act of June 26, 1936 (48 Stat. 1272; 49 Stat. 1976; 43 U.S. C. 315g) by which the offered lands will benefit a Federal land program. This restoration is therefore not subject to the provisions of the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 747; 43 U. S. C. 279-284) as amended, granting certain preference rights to veterans of World War II, the Korean Conflict, and others.

EDWARD WOOZLEY,
Director.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1904; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:45 a. m.]

### OREGON

SMALL TRACT CLASSIFICATION AND OPENING NO. 57-2

MARCH 6, 1957.

1. Pursuant to the authority redelegated to me by Bureau Order No. 541, dated April 21, 1954 (19 F. R. 2473), as amended, the following described reconveyed Coos Bay Wagon Road grant lands totaling 40 acres in Coos County, Oregon, are classified for lease for homesite purposes under the Small Tract Act of June 1, 1938 (52 Stat. 609; 43 U. S. C. 682a), as amended, subject to valid existing rights:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

T. 28 S., R. 11 W., Sec. 13: NE¼NW¼.

2. Classification of the above-described lands by this order segregates them from all appropriations under the public land laws including the mining and mineral leasing laws. Only eight tracts are by this order opened to leasing under the Small Tract Act, as amended.

3. The tracts available are located along the north bank of the East Fork of the Coquille River approximately one-half mile, by an all season road, from the settlement of Dora. The tracts are approximately 25 miles northeast from Myrtle Point, Oregon. The topography of the tracts is almost level with a slight slope south toward the river, and the

elevation is about 150 feet above sea level. The soil is a fine silty clay loam. The vegetative cover consists of small scattered fir and hemlock, large myrtle and maple, and low brush with some poison oak.

Domestic water may be obtained from a small stream on the south side of the river by a pipeline, or from wells. The area is served by a county road. An elementary school and general store are located at the settlement of Dora. The nearest all-purpose marketing center is Myrtle Point, Oregon. The weather is typical of the Oregon coastal area. Precipitation averages 65 inches to 75 inches, with a mild temperature throughout the year. There is no evidence of metallic or nonmetallic minerals.

4. The eight individual tracts have been marked on the ground. They vary in size from 0.50 to 0.70 of an acre. A plat showing the location of each of the tracts and the lotting of them can be secured for \$1.00 from the Manager, Land Office, 1001 N. E. Lloyd Boulevard, P. O. Box 3861, Portland 8, Oregon; or from the District Forester, Bureau of Land Management, Post Office Building, Coos Bay, Oregon. The annual rental of each tract is \$25.00. Rights of way for access to the tracts will be reserved on adjoining public land. The United States reserves for itself, its contractors. and permittees, the right to enter on the leased areas to administer the land for sustained yield timber management purposes under the act of August 28, 1937 (50 Stat. 874; 43 U.S. C. 1181a).

5. Leases will be issued for a term of three years. Advance rental payment for the full tenure of the lease will be required before the issuance of a lease. To maintain their rights under their lease, lessees will be required to construct substantial improvements on their tract within the lease period. Failure to do so will result in nonrenewal of the lease. Leases will be subject to renewal for periods of ten to twenty years provided the lessee complies with the conditions and stipulations contained in the original lease within the periods allowed.

6. Persons who have previously acquired a tract under the Small Tract Act are not qualified to lease a tract unless they can make a showing satisfactory to the Bureau of Land Management that the lease of another tract is warranted.

7. Upon allowance of an application and before commencement of construction, it is advisable for the lessee to confer with the District Forester concerning plans and specifications of improvements. The improvements referred to in paragraph 5 above must meet the following requirements:

(a) Buildings must be placed on a permanent foundation consisting of concrete, brick, pumice blocks, or stone masonry. Chimneys must be constructed from ground level and shall be constructed of pumice or concrete blocks, bricks, stone masonry, or comparable fire-resistant materials.

(b) All buildings must be constructed in a workmanlike manner of attractive, properly finished materials in harmony with surroundings.

(c) Every dwelling must have floor space of not less than 500 square feet, and have at least two doors as means of access.

(d) All dwellings must be provided with sanitation facilities in accordance with or better than required by the laws of the State of Oregon and local ordinances. Disposal of household wastes shall be through a septic tank constructed in accordance with the rules and regulations of the State Board of Health. Garbage and other waste must be disposed of in fly-proof pits or removed from the areas to an established public sanitary garbage disposal site.

(e) The raising of domestic livestock will not be permitted on a tract.

(f) Buildings may not be constructed less than 15 feet from the boundaries of a tract without permission from the District Forester.

'(g) The cutting of commercial timber will not be permitted without authority of the District Forester.

(h) The burning of debris is not permitted during fire season without permission of the State Fire Patrol.

8. The eight tracts shown on the plat filed in the Land Office, Portland, Oregon, and the Bureau of Land Management, District Forester's Office, Post Office Building, Coos Bay, Oregon, are now open to filing of drawing-entry cards (Form 4-775) only by persons entitled to veteran's preference. In brief, persons entitled to such preference are: (a) Honorably discharged veterans who served in the armed forces of the United States for a period of at least 90 days after September 15, 1940, (b) surviving spouse or minor orphan children of such veterans, and (c) with the consent of the veteran, the spouse of the living

The 90-day requirement does not apply to veterans who were discharged on account of wounds or disability incurred in the line of duty, or to the surviving spouse or minor children of veterans killed in the line of duty. Drawing-entry cards (Form 4-775) are available upon request from the Manager, Land Office, or District Forester, Coos Bay.

Drawing-entry cards will be accepted if filled out in compliance with the instructions on the form and filed with the Manager, Land Office, prior to 10:00 a. m., June 7, 1957. A drawing will be held on that date, or shortly thereafter. Any person who submits more than one card will be declared ineligible to participate in the drawing. Tracts will be assigned to the entrants in the order in which their names are drawn. All entrants will be notified of the results of the drawing.

Successful drawees will be allowed 15 days from receipt of notice in which to execute the lease forms (Form 4-776) accompanying the notice, in accordance

with instructions and return them with T. 29 N., R. 9 E. W. M., payment of filing fees and rentals.

Any tracts for which lease forms have not been filed and accompanied by the required payments within the 15-day period will immediately thereafter become available to alternate drawees in the order in which their cards were drawn.

9. All valid applications filed prior to February 4, 1957, will be granted preference right provided for by 43 CFR 257.5.

10. Inquiries concerning these lands shall be addressed to Manager, Land Office, 1001 N. E. Lloyd Boulevard, P. O. Box 3861, Portland 8, Oregon.

> RUSSELL E. GETTY. Acting State Supervisor.

MARCH 6, 1957.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1905; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:45 a. m.]

### WASHINGTON

NOTICE OF PROPOSED WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LANDS

MARCH 8, 1957.

The Secretary of Agriculture (U. S. Forest Service) has filed an application, Serial No. Washington 02319, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the mining laws of the United States for the below-described National Forest lands located in the Sultan River Basin, Snohomish County, Washington. The applicant desires the land for the protection of the domestic water supply of the City of Everett and other areas in the aforementioned county.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, at the Land Office, Room 209, Federal Building, Spo-

kane, Washington. If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application, subject to prior valid existing rights and existing withdrawals are:

### WASHINGTON-WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN

Snoqualmie and/or Mt. Baker National Forest.

T. 29 N., R. 8 E. W. M.,

Sec. 22, S1/2 SE1/4, Lot 4;

Sec. 23. Lot 9:

Sec. 25, SW 1/4 NW 1/4, Lot 3, NW 1/4 SE 1/4, N 1/2 SW1/4;

Sec. 26, N½NW¼, SE¼NW¼, Lot 2, SW¼ NE¼, Lot 3, NW¼SE¼; Sec. 27, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6;

Sec. 28, S1/2 NW 1/4, NE 1/4, SW 1/4;

Sec. 32, E½NE¼. T. 28 N., R. 9 E. W. M. Sec. 3, Lots 1 and 2; Sec. 12, S1/2 SE1/4;

Sec. 13, NW 1/4 NE 1/4, S 1/2 NE 1/4, NW 1/4, N 1/2 SW1/4, NW1/4 SE1/4;

Sec. 23, Lots 1, 2, 7 and 8; Sec. 24, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.

Sec. 1, All; Sec. 2, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, S½NE¼, S½NW¼;

Sec. 12, SE1/4 SE1/4;

Sec. 20, 5½5½; Sec. 21, Lots 11 and 12, 5½5W½;

Sec. 22, Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8; Sec. 23, Lots 8, 11, 12, NE¼SE¼, S½SE¼;

Sec. 24, Lots 5, 6, 7, 8 and W1/2;

Sec. 25, NE¼, N½NW¼;

Sec. 26, N1/2 N1/2;

Sec. 27, All; Sec. 28, All;

Sec. 29, N/2, N/2S1/2, SW 1/4 SW 1/4, SE 1/4 SE 1/4;

Sec. 30, All; Sec. 31, SE1/4 NE1/4;

Sec. 32, NW 1/4 NW 1/4;

Sec. 33, Lots 1, 2, SE¼NE¼, NE¼SE¼; Sec. 34, Lot 4, S½NW¼, N½SW¼, SE¼ SW¼, W½SE¼, SE¼SE¼.

T. 30 N., R. 9 E. W. M.,

Sec. 35, SE¼SE¼; Sec. 36, S½SW¼, SW¼SE¼, Lots 3 and 4. T. 28 N., R. 10 E. W. M.,

Sec. 1, Lots 4, 5, 6, S½NW¼, SW¼; Sec. 2, Lots 1, 2, S½NE¼, SE¼; Sec. 4, Lots 1, 2, SW¼NE¼;

Sec. 5, Lot 4;

Sec. 7, E1/2, E1/2 SW1/4, Lots 3 and 4;

Sec. 8. All: Sec. 9. All:

Sec. 10, N½, N½S½, SW¼SW¼; Sec. 11, N½, N½SW¼; Sec. 12, N½NW¼, SW¼NW¼;

Sec. 17, N1/2;

Sec. 18, All.

T. 29 N., R. 10 E. W. M., Sec. 4, Lot 6, SW1/4SW1/4;

Sec. 5, Lots 1, 2, 10, 11, SW1/4, W1/2SE1/4,

SE¼SE¼; Sec. 6, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8; Sec. 7, Lot 4, S½NE¼, NE¼SE¼;

Sec. 17, W1/2NE1/4, NW1/4, E1/2W1/2SW1/4, E1/2

SW¼, NW¼, NW¼, SE¼; Sec. 20, W½, E½, NW¼, E½, SW¼; Sec. 27, All;

Sec. 34, N½N½, SW¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼, NE¼SW¼, N½SE¼;
Sec. 35, NE¼SW¼NW¼.

T. 30 N., R. 10 E. W. M.,

Sec. 28, Lots 5, 6, E½SW¼; Sec. 29, Lots 4, 5, 6, 7; Sec. 30, Lot 7, W½SE¼, SE¼SE¼;

Sec. 31, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, NE1/4, E1/2 W1/2,

NW ¼ SE ¼; Sec. 32, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and

11, SE1/4 NE1/4, NE1/4 SE1/4

Sec. 33, Lot 1, NW1/4, N1/2 SW1/4, SE1/4 SW1/4.

The total area aggregates approximately 17,647.50 acres.

> FRED J. WEILER, State Supervisor.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1906; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:45 a. m.]

### [Document No. 146]

### ARIZONA

NOTICE OF PROPOSED WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LANDS

MARCH 6, 1957.

The U.S. Forest Service has filed an application Serial No. AR-014372, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation including the general mining laws. The applicant desires the land for development as the Lynx Public Recreation Area.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons having cause may present their objections in writing to the undersigned official of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, P. O. Box 148, Phoenix, Arizona.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application

### GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN

T. 13 N.: R. 1 W.

Sec. 8: S½NE¼, SE¼NW¼, SE¼, E½SW¼; Sec. 9: W½SW¼SW¼; Sec. 16: W½NW¼NW¼; Sec. 17: N½NE¼.

The area described totals 480 acres in Prescott National Forest.

E. I. ROWLAND, State Supervisor.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1907; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:45 a. m.]

### [Serial No. Idaho 07511]

### IDAHO

Sec. 9, N½, N½, SE¼, SE¼, SE¼, SE¼, Sec. 10, SE¼SE¼SW¼, NE¼SE¼SW¼, ORDER PROVIDING FOR OPENING OF PUBLIC S½SE¼SW¼, W½SW¼SE¼; LANDS
Sec. 15, N½NW¼, NW¼NE¼;

MARCH 8, 1957.

In an exchange of lands made under the provisions of section 8 of the act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1269), as amended June 26, 1936 (49 Stat. 1976; 43 U.S. C. 315g), the following-described lands have been reconveyed to the United States:

### Boise Meridian, Idaho

T. 9 N., R. 32 E.,

Sec. 11, S1/2;

Sec. 12, N1/2;

Sec. 14, W½NE¼, SW¼, W½SE¼; Sec. 15, NE¼.

These lands total 1,120 acres.

The described lands are located approximately 25 miles west of Dubois, Idaho, at an elevation of approximately 5,200 feet. The topography is flat, rolling, with a southern exposure. The soil on these lands is generally silty loam, intermingled with small gravel, together with an occasional roundhead boulder. Vegetation is sagebrush grass, intermingled with forage plants such as western bunch grass, cheat grass and squirrel tail. These lands are fully and completely lacking in timber and water, and are alleged to be nonmineral in

No application for these lands will be allowed under the Homestead, Desert Land, Small Tract, or any other nomineral public land law, unless the lands have already been classified as valuable, or suitable for such type of application, or shall be so classified on consideration of an application. Any application that

THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS

はいないないない

is filed will be considered on its individual merits. The lands will not be subject to occupancy or disposition until they have been classified.

Subject to any valid existing rights and the requirements of applicable laws, the lands described herein are hereby open to filing of application, selection and location, in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws and applications and offers under the mineral. leasing laws may be presented to the Manager, mentioned below, beginning on the date of this Order. Such applications, selections and offers will be considered as filed under the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation, will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims

mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications under the Homestead, Desert Land and Small Tract laws by qualified veterans of World War II and, or, the Korean conflict, and by others entitled to preference rights under the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 747; 43 U.S.C. 279 through 284, as amended), presented prior to 10:00 a. m. on April 13, 1957, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such preference right application, filed after that hour and before 10:00 a. m. on July 13, 1957, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

b. The lands will be open to location under the United States mining laws. beginning at 10:00 a.m. on July 13, 1957.

Persons claiming veteran's preference rights under paragraph a (2) above, must enclose with their applications proper evidence of military or naval service, preferably a completed photostatic copy of the certificate of honorable discharge. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their applications, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Inquiries and applications concerning the above lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, P. O. Box 2237, Boise, Idaho.

NOLAN F. KEIL. Acting State Supervisor.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1908; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:45 a. m.]

[Nevada 043550]

NEVADA

ORDER PROVIDING FOR OPENING OF PUBLIC LANDS

MARCH 6, 1957.

1. In an exchange of lands made under the provisions of section 8 of the act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1272) as amended by section 3 of the act of June 26, 1936 (49 Stat. 1976) the following described lands have been reconveyed to the United States:

### MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 21 N., R. 24 E., Sec. 3, Lots 1, 2, 6 and 7;

Sec. 11,  $E\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $E\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$ , Lots 1, 2, 3 and 6;

Sec. 13, W1/2;

Sec. 23, Lots 1, 6 and 11;

Sec. 25, Lots 1, 2, W1/2 NE1/4, W1/2; Sec. 35, E1/2, Lots 1, 4, 5 and 6, E1/2 SW 1/4.

T. 22 N., R. 24 E.,

Sec. 5, Lots 1 and 2, S1/2NE1/4, Lots 3, 8, 9, 10 and 11, SE1/4;

Sec. 9, W1/4; Sec. 9, W1/2;

Sec. 17, Lot 1;

Sec. 21, NE1/4, Lots 1, 2, 7 and 8, E1/2 SE1/4;

Sec. 27, N1/2, N1/2SW1/4, Lot 2, SE1/4SW1/4,

SE¼. T. 23 N., R. 24 E.,

Sec. 7, Ali;

Sec. 19, E½, E¼NW¼, Lots 1, 2, 3 and 6; Sec. 29, W½;

Sec. 31, Lot 1, being ail of said Section out-

side Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation.

T. 24 N., R. 24 E.,

Sec. 9, E1/2, E1/2 W1/2;

Sec. 29, NW 1/4;

Sec. 31. Ail.

T. 25 N., R. 24 E.,

Sec. 33, S1/2.

T. 39 N., R. 60 E.,

Sec. 1, S½. T. 38 N., R. 61 E.,

Sec. 13, SW 1/4;

Sec. 15, Aii; Sec. 23, N1/2

T. 40 N., R. 61 E.,

Sec. 19, Aii;

Sec. 29. SW1/4: Sec. 31, NE1/4.

T. 37 N., R. 62 E.,

Sec. 3, N1/2, except the portion embraced within the boundaries of said  $N\frac{1}{2}$  of said Section 3 which is included within the foiiowing described lands conveyed to Oregon Short Line R. R. Co. by deed dated November 7, 1924:

An irregular tract of land being a part of Lots 1 and 2, NW 1/4 NE 1/4, NW 1/4 and NW 1/4 SW 1/4 of said Section described as

foliows:

Beginning at a point in the north line of said Section 3, 1477.8 feet west of the northeast corner thereof, said point being also 100 feet northwesterly, measured at right angles from the center line of main track of the Rogerson-Weils Line of the Oregon Short Line Railroad Company; thence South 56°30' West along a line which is 100 feet northwesteriy from and parallel to said center line of main track for a distance of 4584 feet, more or less, to a point in the west line of said Section 3; thence South along said West line 1385 feet, more or less, to an Intersection with a line which is 80 feet northeasterly from and parallel to the northeasteriy line of Blocks J and K of the Townsite of Weils, Nevada; thence south-easterly along said line, being 80 feet northeasteriv from and parailel to the northeasteriy line of said Blocks J and K for a distance of 767 feet, more or less, to a point in the south line of the said NW1/4SW1/4 of

Section 3; thence East along said South line 626 feet, more or less, to a point which is 530 feet Northeasterly, measured at right angles from the said Northeasterly line of Biocks J and K; thence Northwesterly along a line which is 530 feet northeasterly from and parallel to said Northeasterly line of said Biocks J and K for a distance of 1658 feet, more or iess; thence Northerly and Northeasterly along a curve to the right with a radius of 792.3 feet for a distance of 819 feet, more or less, to a point which is 150 feet Southeasterly, measured at right angles from said center line of main tract; thence North 56°30' East along a line which is 150 feet Southeasterly from and parailel to said center line of main track, 4620 feet, more or less, to a point in the North line of said Section 3; thence West along said North line, 449 feet to the point of beginning. Sec. 3, SE1/4.

T. 38 N., R. 62 E.,

Sec. 19, Lots 3 and 4 E1/2 SW1/4, SE1/4;

Sec. 29, Ail;

Sec. 33, Ali;

Sec. 35, Ali, except a strip of land 100 feet wide containing 14.63 acres conveyed to Oregon. Short Line R. R. Co. by deed dated November 7, 1924.

T. 28 N., R. 29 E.,

Sec. 1, Ali. T. 29 N., R. 29 E.,

Sec. 11, All;

Sec. 23, All;

Sec. 35, Ail.

T. 30 N., R. 29 E., Sec. 11, NE1/4, S1/2;

Sec. 23, All;

Sec. 35, Ali.

T. 27 N., R. 30 E., Sec. 9, All;

Sec. 15, SW1/4;

Sec. 21. NE1/4:

Sec. 23, SW 1/4;

Sec. 25, Aii;

Sec. 27, NE1/4

T. 28 N., R. 30 E.,

Sec. 7, Ail;

Sec. 17, SW1/4;

Sec. 19, NE1/4;

Sec. 29, All;

Sec. 33, All.

T. 34 N., R. 30 E., Sec. 35, Ail.

T. 34 N., R. 31 E.,

Sec. 31, Ail;

Sec. 33. Ail: Sec. 35, Ali.

T. 34 N., R. 52 E.,

Sec. 31, Ail:

Sec. 33, Ali;

Sec. 35. Aii

T. 32 N., R. 33 E.,

Sec. 1. Aii.

T. 33 N., R. 33 E.,

Sec. 3, SW 1/4;

Sec. 5, Lots 1 and 2 of NE 1: Sec. 9, NE1/4;

Sec. 11, SW1/4;

Sec. 13, SW 1/4:

Sec. 15, NE1/4:

Sec. 23, NE1/4; Sec. 25, N1/2, SE1/4.

T. 34 N., R. 33 E., Sec. 31, Aii;

Sec. 33, SW 1/4

T. 32 N., R. 34 E., Sec. 5, Aii.

T. 32 N., R. 40 E., Sec. 9, N1/2, SE1/4.

T. 33 N., R. 40 E.,

Sec. 5. All:

Sec. 9, Aii;

Sec. 21, Ali; Sec. 33. Ali.

T. 34 N., R. 40 E.,

Sec. 3, Ail;

Sec. 9. Ali:

Sec. 33, All.

T. 36 N., R. 41 E., Sec. 5, Lots 9 and 10; Sec. 9, NW1/4;

Sec. 17, All.

T. 37 N., R. 41 E., Sec. 33, All;

Sec. 35, All.

T. 30 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 25, SE 1/4.

T. 37 N., R. 42 E.,

Sec. 5. SE1/4:

Sec. 17, All;

Sec. 9, NW1/4;

Sec. 3, Lots 3 and 4, S1/2NW1/4;

Sec. 29, All; Sec. 31, All. T. 38 N., R. 42 E., Sec. 25, SE1/4; Sec. 35, All. T. 30 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 9, SE1/4; Sec. 11, NW1/4: Sec. 15, NW 1/4; Sec. 17, SE1/4; Sec. 19, SE1/4; Sec. 21, NW1/4; Sec. 29, Lots 3 and 4, S1/2 NW1/4; Sec. 31, Lots 5, 6, 7 and 8. T. 31 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 25, SE1/4. T. 34 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 1, All. T. 35 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 25, SE1/4. T. 38 N., R. 43 E., Sec. 27, SW1/4; Sec. 29, All; Sec. 31, Lots 1 and 2, E1/2 NW1/4; Sec. 33, NE1/4; Sec. 35, All. T. 31 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 1, All; Sec. 3, SE 1/4; Sec. 9. SE1/4 Sec. 11, NW1/4; Sec. 15, NW 1/4; Sec. 17, SE1/4; Sec. 19, E1/2 SE1/4; Sec. 21, NW 1/4; Sec. 29, NW1/4; Sec. 31, Lots 1 and 2, E1/2 NW1/4. T. 32 N., R. 44 E., Sec. 25, Lots 1 and 2, W1/2 NE1/4. T. 31 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 6, All. T. 32 N., R. 45 E., Sec. 30. All: Sec. 31, All. T. 31 N., R. 46 E., Sec. 31, Lots 1, 2, 3 & 4, E1/2NW1/4, NE1/4

SW1/4.

2. The areas described above total 48,220 acres of land, more or less. The lands reconveyed and known as the Fernley Trail lands located in Ts. 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25 N., R. 24 E., M. D. M., Nevada extend northerly from Fernley on Highway U. S. 40 and the Southern Pacific Railroad along the eastern boundary of the Pyramid Lake Indian Reservation and along the east shore of Winnemucca Lake to the Northern limits of the railroad grant. A portion of these Fernley Trail lands lies within the Winnemucca Wildlife Refuge. Along the eastern shore of Winnemucca Lake the lands slope gently toward the lake. The soil is a sandy sils and generally rocky. The vegetation is a semi-desert shrub type of low carrying capacity. South of the lake the lands become more rough and barren although certain limited areas produce perennial grass forage. The carrying capacity of these lands is dependent primarily upon seasonal rainfall since the principal forage species are annuals. They are best adapted to grazing by

livestock and are not known to be susceptible of cultivation by any means.

The lands reconveyed and known as the Lovelock Trail lands are located in Ts. 28, 29 and 30 N., R. 29 E., M. D. M., and Ts. 27 and 28 N., R. 30 E., M. D. M. These lands extend northerly from a point about 4 miles west of Lovelock, Nevada to the northern limits of the railroad grant and are all located in Pershing County. The lands are generally mountainous, support a semi-desert type of vegetation and are low in value. The lands generally parallel State Highway 48 leading from Lovelock to Vernon and Seven Troughs.

The following lands are known as the West Wells Trail lands and are located in T. 39 N., R. 60 E., Ts. 38 and 40 N., R. 61 E., Ts. 37 and 38 N., R. 62 E., and Ts. 37 and 38 N., R. 63 E., M. D. M., Ne-These lands are located in Elko County and extend from the vicinity of Wells, Nevada, north and west to the northern limits of the railroad grant. The topography is rolling with some low hills and frequent shallow washes. The soil is a gravelly clay. The vegetative type is big sage and bunch grass with some open areas of dry meadow. The carrying capacity is relatively high and some of the lands are considered for low value crops such as grass hay. Permanent and intermitten streams provide water on some of these lands or it is available on adjoining areas for grazing livestock by the owners of such adjoining lands. The lands are primarily adaptable to the grazing by livestock and are not known to be susceptible of cultivation.

The lands described in 34 N., R. 30 E., T. 34 N., R. 31 E., T. 34 N., R. 32 E., and Ts. 32, 33 and 34 N., R. 33 E., and T. 32 N., R. 34 E., are known as the Imlay Trail lands. They are situated north and east of Imlay which is a town on the Southern Pacific Railroad. The lands are generally mountainous and support a semi-desert type of vegetation and are low in grazing value. The soil is a sandy silt and generally rocky, unsuitable for the production of agricultural crops. Its best use appears to be for the grazing of livestock. The carrying capacity of these lands for livestock is dependent primarily upon seasonal rainfall since there are no known permanent waters for watering purposes other than those on adjoining privately owned lands.

The lands described in Ts. 32, 33 and 34 N., R. 40 E., Ts. 36, 37 N., R. 41 E., T. 38 N., R. 42 E., and T. 38 N., R. 43 E., are known as the Golconda Trail lands and are also situated both north and south of Golconda, which is a town on the Southern Pacific Railroad. The lands are generally mountainous, support a semidesert type of vegetation and are fairly low in value. The soils range from a clay loam to gravel and the vegetation consists chiefly of sagebrush, shadscale, some perennial grasses and annual The lands are not adapted to the cultivation of crops and are chiefly used for the grazing of livestock.

The lands described in T. 30 N., R. 42 E., T. 30 N., R. 43 E., T. 31 N., R. 43 E., T. 31 N., R. 43 E., T. 31 N., R. 44 E., and Ts. 31 and 32 N., R. 45 E., are known as the Battle Moun-

tain Trail lands and are situated southerly from Battle Mountain, which is situated on the Southern Pacific Railroad and on U.S. Highway 40. The trail covered by these lands runs generally south and west from Battle Mountain to the southern limits of the railroad grant. These lands are all located in Lander County, Nevada. The topography is generally rolling to mountainous, soils range from clay loam to gravelly, the vegetation consists of sagebrush, native bunch grass and annual weeds. The carrying capacity varies from poor to good. No permanent waters are known to exist on the lands but intermittent streams and waters owned on adjoining private lands provide water during the grazing periods. The lands are not known to be susceptible of cultivation by any means.

3. No application for these lands will be allowed under the homestead, desert land, small tract, or any other nonmineral public land law, unless the lands have already been classified as valuable or suitable for such type of application, or shall be so classified upon consideration of an application. Any application that is filed will be considered on its merits. The lands will not be subject to occupancy or disposal until they have been classified.

4. These lands are not open for location and entry under the general mining laws and mineral leasing laws as the mineral rights have been reserved to former owners.

5. Subject to any existing valid rights and the requirements of applicable law, the lands described in paragraph 1 hereof, are opened to filing of applications and selections in accordance with the following:

a. Applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws may be presented to the Manager of the Land Office, beginning on the date of this order. Such applications and selections will be considered as filed on the hour and respective dates shown for the various classes enumerated in the following paragraphs:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications by qualified veterans of World War II or of the Korean Conflict, and by others entitled to preference rights under the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 747; 43 U. S. C. 279–284 as amended), presented prior to 10:00 a. m. on 4-11-57, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such preference right applications filed after that hour and before 10:00 a. m. on 7-11-57, will be governed by the time of filing.

(3) All valid applications and selections under the nonmineral public land laws, other than those coming under paragraphs (1) and (2) above, to 10:00 a. m. on 7-11-57 will be considered as

simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be gov-

efned by the time of filing.

Persons claiming veterans' preference rights under paragraph (2) above, must enclose with their applications proper evidence of military or naval service, preferably a complete photostatic copy of the certificate of honorable discharge. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their applications, setting forth all facts relevant to their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

6. Inquiries concerning these lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Nevada Land Office, P. O. Box 1551, Reno,

Nevada.

JAMES E. KEOGH, Jr. Manager, Land Office.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1909; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:46 a. m.]

### GROUP 295, ARIZONA

NOTICE OF FILING OF PLATS OF SURVEY

MARCH 7, 1957.

Notice is given that the plats of survey accepted November 26, 1956 of T. 33 N., R. 15 W., T. 34 N., R. 11 W., T. 34 N., R. 15 W., T. 35 N., R. 15 W., T. 37 N., R. 13 W., T. 38 N., R. 15 W., T. 40 N., R. 11 W., T. 40 N., R. 12 W., and T. 42 N., R. 11 W., G. & S. R. B. & M., Arizona, including lands hereinafter described, will be officially filed in the Land Office at Phoenix, Arizona, effective at 10:00 a.m. on the 35th day after the date of this notice:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

T. 33 N., R. 15 W.,

Sec. 2, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4,  $S\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , (All).

T. 34 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 32. All.

T.34 N., R. 15 W.,

Sec. 2, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4,  $S\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , (All).

T. 35 N., R. 15 W., Sec. 16. All.

T. 37 N., R. 13 W.,

g

d

d

at

ıd

Sec. 16, All; Sec. 32, All:

Sec. 36, All.

T. 38 N., R. 15 W., Sec. 2, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4,  $S^{1/2}N^{1/2}$ ,  $S^{1/2}$ , (All);

Sec. 16, All.

T. 40 N., R. 11 W.,

Sec. 16, All. T. 40 N., R. 12 W.,

Sec. 16, All.

T. 42 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 31, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , (All); Sec. 32, Lots 1, 2i, 3, 4,  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , (All); Sec. 36, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4,  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , (All).

Within the above-described areas are

3,657.50 acres of public lands.

Available data indicates the land in Sec. 2, T. 33 N., R. 15 W., and All Sec. 32, T. 34 N., R. 11 W., has rolling land, with rocky, clay soil. Sec. 2, T. 34 N., R. 15. W. is composed of rolling land, with sandy, gravelly, rocky soil, Sec. 16, T. 35 N., R. 15 W., has rolling land with gravelly and rocky soil; All Secs. 16, 32 and 36, T. 37 N., R. 13 W. have rolling land, with gravelly and rocky soil; All

Secs. 2 and 16, T. 38 N., R. 15 W. are composed of rolling land with rocky soil; All Sec. 16, T, 40 N., R. 11 W. has land composed of broken slopes, and rocky, gravelly soil; All Sec. 16, T. 40 N., R. 12 W. has rolling land, with gravelly soil; All Sec. 31, T. 42 N., R. 11 W. has rolling land. with sandy soil; All Sec. 32, T. 42 N., R. 11 W. is mountainous land with rocky soil; and All Sec. 36, T. 42 N., R. 11 W. is composed of rolling land with rocky

Subject to valid existing rights, the State's title attached to the following lands upon the acceptance of the plats nands upon the acceptance of the plats of survey: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, S½NW¼, SE¼ NE¼, and S½, Sec. 2, T. 33 N., R. 15 W., NW¼, W½NE¼, Sec. 32, T. 34 N., R. 11 W., Lot 4, S½NW¼, N½SE¼, and the SW¼SE¼, Sec. 2, T. 34 N., R. 15 W., SE¼NE¼, Sec. 16, T. 35 N., R. 15 W., All Secs. 16, 32 and 36, T. 37 N., R. 13 W., Lots 1, 2, 3, 4,  $S\frac{1}{2}N\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , (All), Sec. 2, All Sec. 16, T. 38 N., R. 15 W., NE1/4NE1/4, Sec. 16, T. 40 N., R. 11 W., SE1/4, Sec 16, T. 40 N., R. 12 W., Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, Sec. 32, and Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, Sec. 36, T, 42 N., R.

No applications for the remainder of these lands, namely, the SW1/4NE1/4, Sec. 2, T. 33 N., R. 15 W., S½, E½NE¼, Sec. 32, T. 34 N., R. 11 W., Lots 1, 2, 3, S½NE¼, SW¼, and SE¼SE¼, Sec. 2, 34 N., R. 15 W., W1/2, W1/2NE1/4, NE1/4NE1/4, and the SE1/4, Sec. 16, T. 35 N., R. 15 W., W1/2, W1/2E1/2, SE1/4NE1/4, and the E1/2SE1/4, Sec. 16, T. 40 N., R. 11 W., N1/2, and the SW1/4, Sec. 16, T. 40 N., R. 12 W., Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , (All), Sec. 31,  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , Sec. 32, and  $S\frac{1}{2}$ , Sec. 36, T. 42 N., R. 11 W., may be allowed under the homestead, small tract, desert land, or any other non-mineral public land laws, unless the land has already been classified as valuable or suitable for such type of application or shall be so classified upon consideration of an application. Any application that is filed will be considered on its merits. The lands will not be subject to occupancy or disposition until they have been classified. At the hour and date specified above the said lands shall, subject to valid existing rights and the provisions of existing withdrawals, become subject to application, petition, location, or selection as follows:

(1) Applications by persons having prior existing valid settlement rights, preference rights conferred by existing laws, or equitable claims subject to allowance and confirmation will be adjudicated on the facts presented in support of each claim or right. All applications presented by persons other than those referred to in this paragraph will be subject to the applications and claims mentioned in this paragraph.

(2) All valid applications under the Homestead, Desert Land, and Small . Tract Laws by qualified veterans of World War II or of the Korean Conflict, and by others entitled to preference rights under the act of September 27, 1944 (58 Stat. 747; 43 U. S. C. 279-284 as amended), presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on April 12, 1957 will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such preference right applications filed after that hour and before

10:00 a. m. on July 12, 1957 will be governed by the time of filing.

All valid applications and selections under the non-mineral public-land laws. other than those coming under paragraphs (1) and (2) above, presented prior to 10:00 a.m. on July 12, 1957, will be considered as simultaneously filed at that hour. Rights under such applications and selections filed after that hour will be governed by the time of filing.

Persons claiming veterans' preference rights must enclose with their applications proper evidence of military or naval service, preferably a complete photostatic copy of the certificate of honorable discharge. Persons claiming preference rights based upon valid settlement, statutory preference, or equitable claims must enclose properly corroborated statements in support of their claims. Detailed rules and regulations governing applications which may be filed pursuant to this notice can be found in Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Inquiries concerning the lands shall be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Phoenix, Arizona.

> THOS. F. BRITT, Manager.

いるがではない。

MANAGE STATE

43

TO SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE

[F. R. Doc. 57-1928; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:49 a. m.]

### National Park Service

[Order 16, Amdt. 1]

CHIEF, DIVISION OF RECREATION RESOURCE PLANNING

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE WHETHER SURPLUS LAND IS SUITABLE FOR CERTAIN USES

Order No. 16, dated June 8, 1955 (20 F. R. 4430), is amended to read as

The Chief, Division of Recreation Resource Planning, is authorized to determine, in accordance with subsection (h) of section 13 of the Surplus Property Act of 1944, as amended by the act of June 10, 1948 (62 Stat. 350; 50 U. S. C., App., 1952 ed., SECTION 1622 (h)), whether surplus land is suitable and desirable for use as a public park, public recreational area, or historic monument, for the benefit of the public, and to inform disposal agencies of such determinations.

(Secretary's Order No. 2640, as amended; 16 F. R. 5846, 19 F. R. 1327, 1937)

[SEAL]

CONRAD L. WIRTH, Director.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1912; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:46 a. m.]

### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 11741; FCC 57-2091

CLAREMORE BROADCASTING CO.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER AMENDING ISSUES

In re application of Robert I. Hartley tr/as Claremore Broadcasting Company, Claremore, Oklahoma; Docket No. 11741, File No. BP-10306: for construction per-

1. The Commission has before it for consideration (1) Petition to Intervene and for Enlargement of Issues filed by L. L. Gaffaney tr/as Lakes Area Broadcasting Co. on October 25, 1956, and supplement thereto filed on October 31, 1956; 1 (2) Comment on Petition to Enlarge Issues filed by Broadcast Bureau on November 2, 1956; (3) Opposition to Petition filed by Robert I. Hartley, tr/as Claremore Broadcasting Co. on November 5, 1956; (4) Reply to Opposition filed by Gaffaney on November 13, 1956; and (5) Reply to Opposition filed by the Broadcast Bureau on November 13, 1956.

2. The following chronology is significant in this proceeding: By Order of June 13, 1956 (released June 18, 1956) Mr. Hartley's application was designated for hearing on issues designed to ascertain what, if any, interference his proposed station would cause to existing stations. On June 21, 1956, Mr. Gaffaney filed an application which would have been mutually exclusive with the Hartley application. On July 10, 1956, the Gaffaney application was returned by the Commission for failure to specify antenna and transmitter site. On August 2, 1956, the Chief Hearing Examiner dismissed the Hartley application for failure to prosecute. On August 16, 1956, Gaffaney filed a new application for the facility. On August 20, 1956, Hartley filed a petition for reconsideration of the Order dismissing his application, which petition was granted by the Chief Hearing Examiner on September 5, 1956. On September 26, 1956 the Commission returned Gaffaney's application of August 16, 1956, on the ground that Hartley's previously dismissed application had been reinstated and Gaffaney's application was therefore filed too late. On October 25, 1956, Gaffaney filed the subject petition to intervene and to enlarge issues, alleging that Hartley's application involved concealed ownership.

3. Section 1.389 of the Commission's rules provides that requests to enlarge issues shall be filed not later than 15 days after the issues in a hearing have first been published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Unless good cause is shown, REGISTER. untimely filed motions will not be accepted. As cause for failure to timely file the instant motion, Mr. Gaffaney points out that his application of June 21, 1956 stated his belief that Hartley was not the true owner of his proposed

<sup>1</sup> The supplement was not filed in con-

formance with the requirements of § 1.730 of

the Commission's rules. This pleading essen-

tially addresses itself to the standing of pe-

titioner to seek intervention through enlarge-ment of argument made in the original

to comply with our rules, as the standing of petitioner was adequately set forth in his

original pleading, no useful purpose would

Hearing Examiner granted the petition inso-

far as intervention was sought.

<sup>2</sup> By Order of November 6, 1956 the Chief

The order of June 13, 1956, designating

the issues in this proceeding, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 22, 1956 (21

be served by dismissal of the supplement.

petition.

F. R. 4420).

While not condoning this failure

station. It is stated that subsequent thereto, he believed that his allegations were before the Commission, and no further action on his part was necessary. Under these circumstances, we believe good cause has been shown for the late filing of the petition.

4. Mr. Gaffaney alleges that the true owners of Mr. Hartley's proposed station are John Adams and John Mahoney. He supports his contention with affidavits indicating that Adams and Mahoney announced plans to construct a chain of radio stations, among them one at Claremore, Oklahoma; that they performed some of the preliminary work necessary to filing an application for the Claremore facility, including acquiring an option on land in Claremore, at which time they advised the owner that the property was to be used for a radio station; and that Mr. Hartley's application in this proceeding is very similar in style and composition to an application filed by Adams and Mahoney for a station in Bartles-

ville, Oklahoma. 5. In explanation, Mr. Hartley in his opposition to the petition, states that Adams and Mahoney were interested in a station in Claremore and did the work preliminary to filing an application therefor. When they discovered, how-ever, that Commission rules would probably bar their acquiring a station in Claremore due to its proximity to a station they owned in Vinita, Oklahoma, they abandoned their plans for Claremore and turned over this work to Mr. Hartley. In further explanation of the similarity between Mr. Hartley's application and the Adams and Mahoney application for Bartlesville, it is stated that Hartley's application was prepared by Adams, acting as his attorney, and a certain similarity in style might be

expected. 6. Although the Commission's application form requires such information, Mr. Hartley's application does not disclose the preliminary work of Adams and Hartley nor does it identify Mr. Adams

as his attorney. 7. We here have contested allegations and facts as to a matter which could affect the qualifications of the applicant. While an explanation of certain of the facts is offered, we believe this matter cannot be resolved on the basis of the pleadings before us. Further, no explanation is offered as to the failure to disclose the participation of Mr. Adams and Mr. Mahoney in the preparation and filing of the application. Under such circumstances, full inquiry into this matter under the hearing processes is required.

8. Accordingly, it is ordered, This 6th day of March 1957, that the petition for enlargement of issues filed by L. L. Gaffaney tr/as Lakes Area Broadcasting Company is granted and the present issues in this proceeding are enlarged to include the following issue:

To determine whether the above-entitled application of Robert J. Hartley, tr/as Claremore Broadcasting Company, fully and cor-rectly identifies the real parties in interest; whether John Q. Adams or John M. Mahoney of Vinita, Oklahoma, or both, have an un-disclosed interest in the said application; and whether the said application fully and com-

pletely supplies the information called for by the application form.

Released: March 11, 1957.

[SEAL]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,4 MARY JANE MORRIS.

Secretary.

TH

fro

str

bu

K

(15

mo

tec

ve

111

wi

Wi

se

AC

pl

A

de

u

te

p.

C

[F. R. Doc. 57-1940; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:51 a. m.]

[Docket Nos. 11858; 11859; FCC 57M-1981 KEN-SELL, INC., AND FLORIDA KEYS

BROADCASTING CORP.

ORDER CANCELLING PREHEARING CONFERENCE

In re applications of Ken-Sell, Inc., Key West, Florida; Docket No. 11858, File No. BP-10242; Florida Keys Broadcasting Corporation, Key West, Florida; Docket No. 11859, File No. BP-10603; for construction permits.

It appearing that by letter dated March 6, 1957, counsel for Ken-Sell has informed the Commission that petition for dismissal of the Ken-Sell application is in the process of preparation and will be filed shortly; and

It further appearing that the Commission's order of designation found Florida Keys minimally qualified to receive grant and designated no issue qualifying that finding so that the dismissal referred to above will obviate the necessity of hearing;

It is ordered, This 6th day of March 1957, on the Examiner's own motion that the prehearing conference now scheduled for March 7, 1957 will not be held.

> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1941; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:52 a. m.]

[Docket No. 11944; FCC 57-214]

PACIFIC BROADCASTERS

ORDER DESIGNATING APPLICATION FOR HEARING ON STATED ISSUES

In re application of J. Claude Warren, Paul E. Wilkins and J. Q. Floyd, d/b as Pacific Broadcasters, Oxnard, California; Docket No. 11944, File No. BP-9270; for construction permit.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D. C. on the 6th day of March 1957:

The Commission having under consideration the above-captioned application of J. Claude Warren, Paul E. Wilkins and J. Q. Floyd, d/b as Pacific Broadcasters, for a construction permit for a new standard broadcast station at Oxnard, California, to operate on 1520 kilocycles with a power of 250 watts, directional antenna, unlimited time, File No. BP-9270; and

It appearing, that the applicant is legally, technically, financially and otherwise qualified, except as may appear

<sup>4</sup> Commissioner Bartley voting to deny.

13

from the issues specified below, to construct and operate its proposed station; but that interference from Station KOMA, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma (1520kc, 50kw, DA-N, U) would affect more than ten percent of the population in the proposed station's normally protected primary service area, in contravention of § 3.28 (c) of the Commission's rules, and would not appear to comply with any of the exceptions contained within said Rule; and

It further appearing, that pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the instant applicant was advised by letter dated August 9, 1956, of the aforementioned deficiency and that the Commission was unable to conclude that a grant of its application would be in the public in-

terest; and

It further appearing, that the appli-

cant filed a timely reply; and

It further appearing, that in a pleading filed on February 21, 1956, the applicant contends that its proposal would comply with the provisions of § 3.28 (c) of the rules by providing a first nighttime facility to Oxnard, California, if the dual-city operation of Station KUDU, Ventura-Oxnard, California, is deemed inapplicable to the considerations of \$3.28 (c); and requests that the Commission interpret the provisions of § 3.28 (c) as here being inapplicable, or to waive the provisions of § 3.28 (c) and grant its application, or, in the alternative, issue an order against Station KUDU to show cause why its license should not be modified to specify Ventura as its location in lieu of Ventura-Oxnard, California; and

It further appearing, that in support of its aforementioned requests, the applicant claims that about January 1952, approximately three years after being authorized for dual-city operation, Station KUDU abandoned its studios in Oxnard, California; that Station KUDU has dropped its listing among the commercial establishments in the "yellow pages" of the Oxnard Telephone Directory; and that Station KUDU "does not now conduct its operations in such a way as to be entitled to the dual-city

identification"; and

It further appearing, that we are of the opinion that the dual-city operation of Station KUDU is applicable to the considerations of § 3.28 (c) because § 3.30 of the Commission's rules provides that a station licensed to serve more than one place shall be considered to be located in each such place, and also permit a station's main studio to be located at the

transmitter site; and

It further appearing, that we are of the opinion that the applicant's abovereferenced alternative request for issuance of an order against Station KUDU to show cause why its license should not be modified is without merit because the applicant has not made a sufficient showing to the effect that KUDU is not in compliance with § 3.30 of our rules concerning dual-identification or that KUDU is not serving the radio needs of Oxnard: and

It further appearing, that on the basis of the information before us we are un-

grant of a waiver of § 3.28 (c) would here be warranted; and

It further appearing, that in view of the foregoing we are of the opinion that a hearing on the instant application is necessary:

It is ordered, That, pursuant to section 309 (b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the said application is designated for hearing, at a time and place to be specified in a subsequent order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the areas and populations which would receive primary service from the instant proposal, and the availability of other primary service to

such areas and populations.

2. To determine whether the instant proposal would receive objectionable interference from Station KOMA, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, or any other existing standard broadcast station, and, if so, the nature and extent thereof, the areas and populations affected thereby, and the availability of other primary service to such areas and populations.

3. To determine, in light of Issue 2, whether, because of the interference received from Station KOMA, the instant proposal would comply with the provisions of § 3.28 (c) of the Commission's rules, and, if not, whether circumstances exist which would warrant a waiver of

said section.

4. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, if a grant of the instant application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

It is further ordered, That KUDU, The Voice of Ventura County, licensee of Station KUDU, be made a party to

the proceeding.

It is further ordered, That, to avail itself of the opportunity to be heard, Pacific Broadcasters and The Voice of Ventura County, pursuant to § 1.387 of the Commission's rules, in person or by attorney, shall, within 20 days of the mailing of this order, file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intention to appear on the date fixed for the hearing and present evidence on the issues specified in this order.

Released: March 11, 1957.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] MARY JANE MORRIS,

Secretary. [F. R. Doc. 57-1942; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:52 a. m.]

### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service, Bureau of Accounts

[Dept. Circ. 570, Rev. Apr. 20, 1943, 1957. Supp. 162]

HARDWARE MUTUAL CASUALTY CO.

SURETY COMPANY ACCEPTABLE ON FEDERAL BONDS

MARCH 8, 1957.

able at this time to conclude that the to the following company under the act of Congress approved July 30, 1947, 6 U.S.C. secs. 6-13, as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds. An underwriting limitation of \$1,435,000.00 has been established for the company. Further details as to the extent and localities with respect to which the company is acceptable as surety on Federal bonds will appear in the next issue of Treasury Department Form 356, copies of which, when issued, may be obtained from the Treasury Department, Bureau of Accounts, Surety Bonds Branch, Washington 25, D. C.

> Name of Company, Location of Principal Executive Office and State in Which Incorporated

### WISCONSIN

Hardware Mutual Casualty Company, Stevens Point.

[SEAL] W. RANDOLPH BURGESS. Acting Secretary of the Treasury.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1931; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:50 a. m.]

### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Wage and Hour Division

[Administrative Order 415 (Revised)]

ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR OF THE OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT ET AL.

APPOINTMENTS TO GRANT, DENY, OR CANCEL SPECIAL CERTIFICATES FOR THE EMPLOY-MENT OF APPRENTICES

Pursuant to authority under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (52 Stat. 1060, as amended; 29 U.S.C. 201 et seq.), General Order No. 45-A (15 F. R. 3290), the Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act (49 Stat. 2036; 41 U.S.C. Sec. 35 et seq.), and the minimum wage determinations of the Secretary of Labor (Title 41, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 202), the Administrator of the Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions, United States Department of Labor, hereby designates and appoints the following persons as his representatives with full power and authority to grant or deny applications for special certificates for the employment of apprentices, to sign, issue and cancel special certificates authorizing the employment of apprentices, and to take such other action as may be necessary or appropriate in connection therewith, as provided in Part 521 (Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 521) and in Part 202 (Title 41, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 202): The Assistant Administrator of the Office of Enforcement and the Director of the Division of Case Analysis and Technical Services, Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions, the regional directors and deputy regional directors of the several regional offices of the Wage and Hour and Public Contracts Divisions as his authorized representatives within their respective A Certificate of Authority has been regions, the Territorial Representative issued by the Secretary of the Treasury for the Territory of Hawaii and the Territorial Director and the Deputy Territorial Director for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands as his authorized representatives within their respective jurisdictions, and the Commissioner of Labor of North Carolina as his authorized representative within the State of North Carolina.

This order revises Administrative Order No. 415 issued October 1, 1951 (16 F. R. 10003), and supersedes all previous orders issued by the Administrator or his predecessors in office insofar as such orders authorize certain designated officers to grant or deny applications for special certificates for the employment of apprentices and to sign, issue and cancel such certificates authorizing the employment of apprentices under the regulations Part 521 (29 CFR Part 521) and section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, and the regulations Part 202 (41 CFR Part 202) and sections 4 and 6 of the Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act.

This order shall become effective March 14, 1957.

Signed at Washington, D. C., this 8th day of March 1957.

> NEWELL BROWN, Administrator.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1913; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:46 a. m.]

### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### **Commodity Credit Corporation**

SALES OF CERTAIN COMMODITIES

MARCH 1957 MONTHLY SALES LIST

Pursuant to the policy of Commodity Credit Corporation issued October 12, 1954 (19 F. R. 6669) and subject to the conditions stated therein, the commodities listed below are available for sale in the quantities stated and on the price basis set forth. The Commodity Credit Corporation will entertain offers from prospective buyers for the purchase of any such commodity.

Applicable interest rates on sales made in March under the Export Credit Sales Announcement GSM 1 are as follows:

For periods up to and including 6 months, 3¾ percent per annum.

For periods over 6 months up to and including 18 months, 41/4 percent per annum. For periods over 18 months up to and including 36 months, 434 percent per annum.

The Commodity Credit Corporation reserves the right, before making any sale, to define or limit export areas. Announcements containing the contractual terms and conditions of sale for the respective commodities will be furnished upon request. For ready reference a number of these announcements are identified by code number in the following list. Commodity Credit Corporation also reserves the right to amend, from time to time, any of its announcements, which amendments shall be applicable to and be made a part of the sales contracts thereafter entered into.

### MARCH 1957 MONTHLY SALES LIST

NOTICE TO BUYERS

On sales for which the buyer is required to submit proof to CCC of exportation, the buyer (1) shall be regularly engaged in the business of buying or selling commodities and, for this purpose, shall maintain a bona fide business office in the United States, its territories, or possessions and therein have a person, principal or resident agent, upon whom service of judicial process may be had, and (2) shall submit a financial statement, bank advice, surety bond or other evidence of financial responsibility as may be required by CCC.

Commodity and approximate quantity available (subject to prior sale)	Sales price or method of sale
Dairy products	Domestic prices (except for restricted use) apply "in store" at storage locations of products,
	Domestic price for restricted use is basis delivered to delivery point named in
	offer. CCC will convert to "in store" price by deducting lowest domestic rail freight rate, except that no deduction for freight will be made if the "in
	store" location and the delivery point named in offer are in the same city.
	Export prices are basis f. a. s. port or f. o. b. point of export. CCC will convert to "in store" price by deducting lowest export rail freight rate.
-	Available through Cincinnati and Portland CSS Commodity Offices for do- mestic sale for unrestricted use and domestic sale for animal and poultry feed,
`	and through the Livestock and Dairy Division, CSS, USDA, Washington 25, D. C., for other sales.
(In carloads only, as available)_	Domestic, unrestricted use: 63.25 cents per pound, New York, New Jersey,
	Pennsylvania, New England and other States bordering the Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico. All other States 62.5 cents per pound.
	Domestic, restricted use: Under Da-111 and supplements. For use as an extender for cocoa butter in the manufacture of chocolate, 39 cents per pound
Nonfat due mille (h. an-	Export, unrestricted use: Under LD-7. 39 cents per pound.  Domestic, unrestricted use: Spray process, U. S. Extra Grade—In barrels and
Nonfat dry milk (in car- loads only) spray, roller, as	drums, 17.0 cents per pound; in bags, 16.15 cents per pound. Roller process,
available.	U. S. Extra Grade—In barrels and drums, 15.25 cents per pound; in bags, 14.46 cents per pound.
	Domestic, restricted use (animal and poultry feed): Delivered under the terms
	and conditions of Announcement LD-14 and supplements—In barrels and drums, 11.5 cents per pound; in bags, 10.65 cents per pound.
	Export, unrestricted use: Under LD-5 and amendments: Spray process, U. S. Extra Grade—In barrels and drums, 9.9 cents per pound; in bags, 9.05 cents
	per pound. Roller process, U. S. Extra Grade—In barrels and drums, 8.15 cents per pound; in bags, 7.55 cents per pound.
	cents per pound; in bags, 7.55 cents per pound.  Export, restricted use (animal and poultry feed): under LD-23 and amendment:
	Roller process—Competitive bids received each week (by close of business on Friday) will be considered for acceptance on the first business day of the
G1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	following week.
Cheddar cheese: Cheddars, flats, twins, and rindless	Domestic. 38 cents per pound, for New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, New England and other States bordering the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans and
blocks (standard moisture basis in carloads only) 161	Gulf of Mexico. All other States 37 cents per pound.  Export: Under LD-5 and amendments, 22 cents per pound. Cheese prices
million pounds.	are subject to usual adjustments for moisture content.
Cotton linters	Domestic or export: Competitive bid and under the terms and conditions of Announcement NO-CL-7, as amended, in carlot quantities on an "as is,
·	where is" basis. Catalogs showing quantities, qualities, and locations may be obtained for a nominal fee from the New Orleans CSS Commodity Office.
Cotton, Upland	Domestic: Competitive bid and under the terms and conditions of Announce
,	ment NO-C-5, as amended, but not less than the higher of (1) 105 percent of the current support price plus reasonable carrying charges, or (2) the domestic
· ·	market price as determined by CCC.
	Export: Competitive bid and under the terms and conditions of Announcement CN-EX-2 (Revision I) and NO-C-8, as amended.
Cotton, Extra Long Staple	Domestic: Competitive bid and under the terms and conditions of Announcement NO-C-6, as amended, but not less than the higher of (1) 105 percent of
	the current support price plus reasonable carrying charges, or (2) the domestic
	market price as determined by CCC.  Export: Competitive bid and under the terms and conditions of Announce
	ment NO-C-6, as amended. Catalogs for Upland and Extra Long Staple cotton showing quantities, qualities and locations may be obtained for
Peanuts	nominal fee from the New Orleans CSS Commodity Office.  Domestic (for crushing) or export: Competitive bid basis for limited quantities
	announced by Peanut Cooperative Associations under CCC Peanut An
Wool, sborn, 56 million pounds	nonncement I, as amended. Available Dallas CSS Commodity Office.  Domestic or export: Limited quantities (not more than 6¼ million pounds in
	March) on competitive bid each Tuesday under terms and conditions a announced. Additional quantities at prices basis exwarehouse where stored
	as determined by the Boston CSS Commodity Office, reflecting not less than
	103 percent of the 1954 schedule of loan rates per pound plus an allowance for sales commission, Boston basis, adjusted for not freight on wool stored out
Wheat, bulk	side the Boston storage area.  Domestic: Commercial wheat-producing area: Market price, basis in store,
\	but not less than the 1956 applicable loan rate, plus (1) 36 cents per bushe
	if received by truck, or (2) 31 cents per bushel if received by rail or barge.  Examples of the foregoing minimum price per bushel (exail or barge)—Chicago
	No. 1 RW \$2.62; Minneapolis, No. 1 DNS \$2.65; Kansas City, No. 1 HW \$2.62.
	Noncommercial wheat-producing area: Market price, basis in store, but no less than 133 percent of applicable 1956 county loan rate plus (1) 36 cents pe
•	bushel if received by truck, or (2) 31 cents per bushel if received by rail of
	barge.  The minimum price for all spring wheat, including durum, will be basis low
	rate point of production; if point of production not determinable, basis Min neapolis.
	Export (as wheat): Under Announcement GR-261 revised, as amended, for
-	application to barter contracts only, at prices determined daily, and under Announcement GR-212 revised, as amended, for specific offerings as an
	nounced. Disposals under special export program under Announcement GR-345.3
	Available Dallas, Chicago, Minneapolis, Kansas City, and Portland CS
Come hashe	Commodity Offices for domestic or export sale, except under GR-345 at Da las, Chicago, and Portland only.
Corn, bulk	Domestic, commercial corn-producing area: Market price, basis in store, but not less than the 1956 applicable loan rate basis point of production plus 2
	_ cents per bushel.
	Examples of the foregoing minimum price per bushel, including average paid- freight: Chicago, No. 3 yellow, \$1.92; Minneapolis, No. 3 yellow \$1.83; Kanss City, No. 3 yellow, \$1.91; Portland, No. 3 yellow, \$2.08.
•	
,	Noncommercial corn-producing area; Market price, basis in store 3 but not less
	than 121 percent of the applicable 1956 loan rate, plus 23 cents per busbel.
	than 121 percent of the applicable 1956 loan rate, plus 23 cents per busbel.  Available Chicago, Dallas, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland CS  Commodity Offices. Nonstorable corn is also available at the above office
	than 121 percent of the applicable 1956 loan rate, plus 23 cents per busbel.  Available Chicago, Dallas, Kansas City, Minneapolis, and Portland CS

<sup>1</sup> See footnotes at end of table.

MARCH 1957 MONTHLY SALES LIST-Continued

commodity and approximate quantity available (subject to prior sale)	. Sales price or method of sale				
oats, bulk	Domestic: Market price, basis in store, but not less than the 1956 applicable loan rate basis point of production plus 17 cents per bushel.  Examples of the foregoing minimum price per bushel including average paid-in freight: Chicago, No. 3 oats or better, \$0.95; Minneapolis, No. 3 oats or better,				
	\$0.90. Available Minncapolis, Chicago, Kansas City, Portland, and Dallas CSS Commodity Offices. Export: Competitive bid as announced by the Chicago, Portland, and Dallas				
Barley, bulk	CSS Commodity Offices. <sup>3</sup> Domestic: Market price basis in store, <sup>2</sup> but not less than the 1956 applicable loan rate plus (1) 26 cents per bushel if received by truck, or (2) 23 cents per bushel if received by rail or barge.				
	Example of the foregoing minimum price per bushel (exrail or barge): Minneapolis No. 2 barley, \$1.47.  Available Minneapolis, Chicago, Kansas City, Portland, and Dallas CSS				
a . Audle	Commodity Offices.  Export: Competitive bid as announced by the Chicago, Dallas, and Portland CSS Commodity Offices.  Depreciate Market price heads in store 2 but not less than the 1956 applies blo				
Rye, bulk	Domestie: Market price, basis in store, but not less than the 1956 applicable loan rate, plus (1) 30 cents per bushel if received by truek, or (2) 25 cents per bushel if received by rail or barge.  Example of the foregoing minimum price per bushel (ex rail or barge): Minne-				
	apolis No. 2 or better, \$1.75.  Available Minneapolis, Chicago, Kansas City, Portland, and Dallas CSS Commodity Offices.				
Grain sorghums, bulk	Export: Competitive bid as announced by the Chicago, Dallas, and Portland CSS Commodity Offices. <sup>3</sup> Domestic: Market price, basis in store, but not less than the 1956 applicable loan rate plus (1) 51 cents per hundredweight if received by truck; or (2) 42				
	cents per hundredweight if received by rall or barge. Example of the foregoing minimum price per hundredweight (exrail or barge): Kansas City No. 2 or better, \$2.84.				
Riçe, milled (as available)	Available Dallas, Portland, and Kansas City CSS Commodity Offices. Export: Competitive bid as announced by Dallas CSS Commodity Office. Domestic: For feed, other brokens: Competitive bid under DL—BR-1/56 as announced by Dallas CSS Commodity Office. Unrestricted use and for export, other brokens: Competitive bid under DL—BR-1/56 (minimum price, \$6.01 per hundredweight in sacks, \$6.86 bulk) as announced by Dallas CSS				
	Commodity Office.  Special export: Competitive bid on U. S. No. 5 or better under DL-MR-400 as announced by Dallas CSS Commodity Office.  Special export on "as is" basis: Competitive bid under terms and conditions				
Dry edible beans (bagged)	of DL-MR-53 as announced by Dallas CSS Commodity Office.				
Pea beans (as available)	Domestie: Market price but not less than \$7.94 per 100 pounds Michigan points of production. Available Chicago CSS Commodity Office. Export: Competitive bids as announced by Chicago CSS Commodity Office.				
Red kidney beans (as available)	Domestic: Market price but not less than \$9.02 per 100 pounds Michigan and New York points of production. Available Chicago CSS Commodity Office.				
Gnm rosin (in galvanized metal drums averaging 517 pounds net).	Domestic or export: Offer and acceptance, "as is" in the stated quantities or the designated storage yards, subject to the prices, terms and conditions o Announcement TB-21 (revised) and supplements issued not more often that weekly by the American Turpentine Farmers' Association Cooperative Valdosta, Ga.				
	Special export: Competitive bid for not to exceed 47,000 drums of rosin "as is," in storage, subject to Announcement TB-21 (revised) and supplements thereto.				
Gum turpentine (bulk in tanks)	Domestie or export: Offer and acceptance, "as is" in the stated quantities in the designated storage tanks, subject to the prices, terms and conditions o Announcement TB-21 (revised) and supplements issued not more often that weekly by the American Turpentine Farmers' Association Cooperative Valdosta, Ga,				

At the processor's plant or warehouse but with any prepaid storage and outhandling charges for the benefit of

the buyer, the buyer is the buyer is stored in CCC bin sites, delivery will be made f. o. b. buyer's conveyance at in those counties in which grain is stored in CCC bin sites, delivery will be made f. o. b. buyer's conveyance at in those counties in which grain is stored in CCC bin sites, delivery will be made f. o. b. buyer's conveyance at bin site without additional cost; sales will also be made in store approved warehouses in such county and adjacent counties at the same price, provided the buyer makes arrangements with the warehousemen for storage documents.

\* Sales of grains other than wheat made under Title I, P. L. 480, may be made on terms and conditions of GR-301 revised. Other commodities under the announcement indicated.

(Sec. 4, 62 Stat. 1070, as amended; 15 U. S. C. 714b. Interpret or apply sec. 407, 63 Stat. 1055; 7 U. S. C. 1427, sec. 208, 63 Stat. 901)

Issued: March 8, 1957.

CLARENCE L. MILLER, Acting Executive Vice-President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1934; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:51 a. m.l

### Office of the Secretary

COLORADO

DESIGNATION OF AREA FOR PRODUCTION EMERGENCY LOANS

For the purpose of making production emergency loans pursuant to section 2 policies and procedures.

(a) of Public Law 38, 81st Congress (12 U. S. C. 1148a-2 (a)), as amended, it has been determined that the following counties in the State of Colorado a production disaster has caused a need for agricultural credit not readily available from commercial banks, cooperative lending agencies, or other responsible sources.

Alamosa. Rio Grande. Conejos. Saguache. Costilla.

Pursuant to the authority set forth above, production emergency loans will not be made in the above-named counties after June 30, 1957, except to applicants who previously received such assistance and who can qualify under established

Done at Washington, D. C., this 11th day of March 1957.

[SEAL]

TRUE D. MORSE. Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1935; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:51 a. m.]

### MAINE

DISASTER ASSISTANCE; DESIGNATION OF AREA FOR SPECIAL EMERGENCY LOANS

For the purpose of making emergency loans pursuant to Public Law 727, 83d Congress, as amended, it is determined that in the following counties in the State of Maine there is a need for agricultural credit which cannot be met for a temporary period from commercial banks, cooperative lending agencies, the Farmers Home Administration under its regular programs, or under Public Law 38, 81st Congress (12 U. S. C. 1148a-2), as amended, or other responsible sources.

### MAINE

Aroostook. Penobscot. Piscataquis Waldo. Washington.

Pursuant to the authority set forth above, such loans may be made to new applicants in said counties through June 30, 1957. Thereafter, such loans may be made in said counties only to applicants who previously received such assistance and who can qualify under established policies and procedures.

Done at Washington, D. C., this 11th day of March 1957.

[SEAL]

TRUE D. MORSE. Acting Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1936; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:51 a. m.l

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### **Bureau of Foreign Commerce**

AMERICAUTO AND A. C. I., S. A.

ORDER EXTENDING TEMPORARY ORDER DENY-ING EXPORT PRIVILEGES

In the matter of: Jacques Bensa, doing business as Americauto, 44 rue Brunel, Paris, France; A. C. I., S. A., also known as Automobile Commerciale Internationale, 1 rue de Rive, Geneva, Switzerland; respondents.

An order having heretofore been made temporarily denying export privileges to the respondents above named, who are related firms (21 F. R. 7703), which order has been extended from time to time. the last extension having been by order dated February 6, 1957 (22 F. R. 868). which extension is about to expire, the Investigation Staff now applies for a further order of extension. This application was considered by the Compliance Commissioner, who has reported that, during the time that the said respondents were subject to the temporary order denying their export privileges, the respondent Bensa, in apparent violation of the provisions of said order, seems to have attempted to have 100 absorbers exported from the United States to another firm owned or controlled by him, and because of such conduct indicated an attitude on his part to repeat or continue a disregard for United States export control regulations, and he has recommended that the application be granted as hereinafter provided.

Now, after reading the recommendation of the Compliance Commissioner and considering the entire record herein, and believing that it is reasonably necessary to protect the public interest: It

is hereby ordered:

That the order of October 4, 1956 (21 F. R. 7703), denying export privileges to the respondents herein named be, and the same hereby is further extended pending the completion of a compliance proceeding about to be commenced against them.

Dated: March 7, 1957.

John C. Borton,
Director,
Office of Export Supply.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1924; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:48 a.m.]

[Case 223]

WILLIAM KURT SAMUEL WALLERSTEINER ET AL.

ORDER DENYING EXPORT PRIVILEGES

In the matter of: William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., 22/32, Copperfield Road, Canal Road, London, E. 3, England; Watford Chemical Corporation, New York, New York; Watford Chemical Co. (Canada) Ltd., Copperfield Road, Westhill, Ontario, Canada; Chris A. Watters, 3 Parkway Drive, R. R. 1, Milton, Ontario, Canada; Louis Vaughan, 44 Woodland Gardens, Muswell Hill, N. 10, England; George McKee Todd, 17 Half Moon Street, London, W. 1, England; Herbert Shilton, R. R. 1, Highland Creek, Ontario, Canada; John Block, John Block & Company, Inc., 6 State Street, New York 4, New York; respondents.

The respondents, William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., London, England, E. Tingley & Son Ltd., London, England, Watford Chemical Corporation, New York City, Watford Chemical Co. (Canada) Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as the Wallersteiner group), George McKee Todd, Herbert Shilton, Chris A. Watters, John Block & Company, Inc., and Louis Vaughan, having been charged by the Director of the Investigation Staff, Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce, with violations of the Export Control Act of 1949, as amended, and regulations promulgated thereunder;

and

The said respondents all having been duly served with the charging letter; and

The said respondents having appeared herein by participation, service of answer, demand for oral hearing or proposal for consent disposition, this case was referred to the Compliance Commissioner, who held a hearing at which were present in person or represented by counsel the

Wallersteiner group, John Block and John Block & Company, Inc.

The Compliance Commissioner, having heard and considered all the evidence submitted in support of the charges and all the evidence and arguments submitted by respondents in opposition thereto or in connection therewith, and having considered also the consent order proposal submitted on behalf of the Wallersteiner group, has transmitted to the undersigned Director, Office of Export Supply, Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Department of Commerce, his written report, including findings of fact and findings that violations have occurred, and his recommendation that (a) the consent proposal submitted on behalf of the Wallersteiner group be accepted and (b) that respondents be denied export privileges to the extent hereinafter provided, together with which report there have been transmitted also the transcript of testimony at the hearing, all exhibits submitted thereat, the charging letter, answers, consent proposal, correspondence and briefs.

In connection with the consent proposal made by the Wallersteiner group, there were considered, among other factors, the May 6, 1955 order denying to them all export privileges pending this proceeding and a \$6,000 fine paid by Watford Chemical Corporation following its plea of guilty to charges of criminal violation of the Export Control Act prosecuted against it in the U. S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, which charges involved

matters herein involved.

After reviewing and considering the entire record of this case, the Compliance Commissioner's Report and Recommendation, and the briefs, I hereby make the following findings of fact:

A. As to the Wallersteiner respondents.

1. Wherever reference is hereinafter made to the Wallersteiner respondents such reference shall include and be deemed to refer to William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., Watford Chemical Corporation, New York City, and Watford Chemical Co. (Can-

ada) Ltd., Toronto, Ontario.

2. During the first half of 1951 respondent William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, through the medium of a Switzerland corporation owned or controlled by him and not a respondent herein, entered into contracts with a company in Eastern Germany whereunder the seller agreed to sell and the purchaser agreed to buy some \$30,000,000 worth of chemicals, drugs, and dyestuffs. For the purpose of supplying that contract, the respondent William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner arranged for the acquisition in various parts of the world, by the corporations heretofore named, of these commodities which were all to be transferred by intercompany transfers to another company owned or controlled by respondent William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner in Vaduz, Liechtenstein, which last mentioned company would then sell them to the company in Switzerland to enable it to complete its obligations under the contracts.

3. The business operation conducted by the company in Eastern Germany was of such a nature and the names displayed on its premises were such as to put respondent William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner on notice, if not at the time of contracting, then soon thereafter, that the East German company was purchasing the commodities for the Communist Chinese.

4. Following the execution of said contracts, the Wallersteiner respondents did supply their ultimate purchaser with something less than one-third of the requirements thereunder and, in connection with their supplying of those requirements they did purchase and export or cause to be exported from the United States chemicals, drugs, and dyestuffs having a value in excess of \$1,250,000.

5. The commodities so exported by the Wallersteiner respondents from the United States were exported by resorting

to devices as follows:

a. They caused to made applications for and obtained export licenses for the exportation of goods from the United States by representing to the Department of Commerce that the goods for which such applications were filed were being purchased by one or another of the Wallersteiner companies in England for consumption there.

b. They exported or caused to be exported to England goods from the United States under purported authorization of general license and in connection with such exportations caused representations and statements to be made on shipper's export declarations certifying that the goods being exported thereunder were being exported to one or another of the Wallersteiner companies in England as the country of ultimate destination.

c. They exported or caused to be exported from the United States to Canada, under the general provisions permitting exportations to Canada without license, goods which they certified or caused to be certified in shipper's export declarations as being goods purchased by their Canadian corporation for ultimate destination Canada, and after the arrival of such goods in Canada they caused such goods to be transhipped forthwith to England.

d. Upon arrival of such goods so exported from the United States either directly to England under validated license or under general license or of goods exported from the United States to Canada and thence reexported to England, such goods were thereupon transshipped or caused to be transshipped by the Wallersteiner respondents to Eastern

Germany or Gdynia, Poland.

6. All representations and statements made or caused to be made by the Wallersteiner respondents in applications for export licenses and in shipper's export declarations to the effect that the goods to which reference was made therein were being purchased by a consignee in England and were to be consumed there or were ultimately destined for that country, or were being purchased by a consignee in Canada with Canada as the ultimate destination, were false and known by the said respondents to be false and were made for the sole purpose of procuring the delivery of such goods

42

to England to be transshipped from England to Eastern Germany or Gdynia,

7. In every instance of transshipment whereby any goods were transshipped from England to East Germany or Gdynia, Poland, such transshipment was made without permission or authorization of the Department of Commerce although the Wallersteiner respondents well knew that such permission and authorization were required and that such transshipments, if made without permission and authorization of the Department of Commerce, were in violation of the export control laws and regulations of the United States.

8. Also, in connection with the efforts of the Wallersteiner respondents to obtain goods for the purpose of supplying the said contracts, they made numerous applications for export licenses in which they certified falsely that the consignees therein mentioned were the actual purchasers and that the intended place of consumption of the goods involved was England, which applications were either rejected or returned by the Department of Commerce without action.

B. As to the respondents John Block and John Block & Company, Inc. 9. During the latter part of 1951 and in 1952 the respondents John Block and John Block & Company, Inc., hereinafter described as Block, were the forwarding agents for the shipments involved in this proceeding and were the custodians of funds received from the Wallersteiner group from which funds they made payments for goods purchased by them or on their behalf.

10. The respondents Block had reason to know and therefore knew that the goods so being acquired by the Wallersteiner respondents were not being acquired for use and consumption in England but were being acquired with the purpose and intention of transshipping such goods, after their arrival in England, from England to another country.

8

ds

to

5-

ЭY

in

in

re

at

11. While acting as such forwarding agent, Block prepared or caused to be prepared bills of lading upon which he failed to endorse or have endorsed the required notice to the effect that the goods being exported thereunder were licensed for exportation to England and that diversion thereof from England to another country without authorization was a violation of United States laws and regulations.

12. With such knowledge, Block caused exportations of goods to be made from the United States to Canada by certifying or causing to be certified on shipper's export declarations that such goods being exported to Canada were being purchased by one of the Wallersteiner respondents in Canada and that Canada was the country of ultimate destination, not the place of transshipment, although he well knew also that it was the then intention to forthwith reexport such goods to England immediately upon their

arrival in Canada.

13. With such knowledge, Block prepared and had authenticated shipper's export declarations and caused exportations of goods to be made from the United States in matters involving the

use of export licenses and, in so doing, he certified falsely that the goods so being exported and the subject of such export declarations were destined ultimately for England and were not to be transshipped from England.

C. As to the respondents George McKee Todd and Herbert Shilton. 14. The respondents Todd and Shilton, during the time that the respondent Watford Chemical Corporation of New York City was engaged in the acquisition of goods for the supply of the said major Wallersteiner contracts and was performing the acts heretofore mentioned in Findings 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, were officers and directors thereof.

15. The respondents Todd and Shilton well knew that goods being purchased in the United States were being purchased for the purpose of transshipment out of England to Eastern Germany or Gdynia, Poland, and they knew, at least as to some of the later transactions, that the false certifications heretofore mentioned were being made and that transshipments without permission or authority from the Department of Commerce were being made from England.

16. Although vested with the responsibility of making certain, as officers and directors of a New York corporation, that that corporation was abiding by and obeying the laws and regulations of the United States, they did nothing to assure such compliance with such laws and regulations and they did further acquiesce in and permit the false representations and certifications to be made and the exportations to be accomplished for ultimate transshipment to East Germany.

17. By such inaction and failure to perform their duties as officers and directors of Watford Chemical Corporation, they thereby did permit and cause to be made false representations and certifications in export control documents and they did further permit and cause to be committed transshipments, without permission of the Department of Commerce, of goods from England to Eastern Germany and Gdynia, Poland.

D. As to respondent Chris A. Watters. 18. Respondent Waters, during late 1951 and in 1952, was the general manager of and purchasing agent for Watford Chemical Corporation of New York City and he well knew that the commodities being purchased by or under his direction for Watford Chemical Corporation of New York or any of the other Wallersteiner respondents were being purchased for the purpose of supplying contracts which the Wallersteiner interests had with some firm or firms in Eastern Germany and he further knew that it was the intention that such purchases, upon arrival in England, were to be transshipped from there to Eastern Germany.

19. With such knowledge, the respondent Watters made arrangements for the shipment of goods, purchased by him in the United States, from the United States to Canada and for the reexportation thereof to England after their arrival in Canada.

20. Having made such arrangements, he permitted and caused to be made statements and certifications in shipper's

export declarations certifying that the goods being exported thereunder were being purchased by one of the Wallersteiner companies in Canada and that Canada was the country of ultimate destination.

21. With such knowledge, he prepared and caused to be submitted to the Department of Commerce export license applications and ultimate consignee statements in support of applications for licenses to export goods from the United States, in which applications and ultimate consignee statements he represented and stated falsely that the goods described therein were being purchased by a firm or firms in England for use and consumption there.

22. Also, with such knowledge, he made purchases of goods from suppliers in the United States for exportation to England and concealed from such suppliers the fact that the goods so being purchased were being purchased by the Wallersteiner respondents with the intention to transship them out of England, and he caused and permitted to be executed export declarations necessary to support such exportations to England.

E. As to respondent Louis Vaughan.

23. During the latter part of 1951 and in 1952, Vaughan was the active manager of a forwarding firm in England and, during all that time, he well knew that the goods being purchased and acquired in the United States by or on behalf of the Wallersteiner interests were being purchased for the purpose of supplying a contract requiring shipment to an East German or Gdynia destination.

24. Respondent Vaughan knew that export control regulations restricted and controlled the movement and exportation of goods from the United States and that it was the policy of the United States during the times mentioned to deny and prohibit unauthorized exportations of goods from the United States, or unauthorized transshipments of such goods after exportation from the United States, to Communist destinations.

25. Respondent Vaughan had such knowledge, not only by reason of his general business, freight forwarding, but also by receiving and handling bills of lading on which were endorsed the required destination control notices which warned him that the goods shipped under such bills of lading to England were licensed only for exportation to England and that transshipment from there to other destinations, without permission from the United States, was a violation of United States law.

26. With such knowledge, he handled or directed all the transshipments of all the goods exported from the United States to the Wallersteiner respondents in England and transshipped or caused such goods to be transshipped to Eastern Germany or Gdynia, all without permission or authorization from the Department of Commerce.

And, the following are my conclusions:
A. That the respondents, William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., Watford Chemical Corporation of New York, John Block and John Block & Company, Inc., Chris A. Watters,

George McKee Todd, and Herbert Shilton knowingly made or caused to be made false representations, statements, and certifications, and falsified and concealed or caused to be falsified and concealed material facts, for the purpose of effecting and inducing the issuance and maintenance in effect of export control documents, and effecting or causing to be effected exportations from the United States, in violation of § 381.1 (b) (1), (2), and (3) of the Export Regulations, as then in effect:

B. That the respondents, William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., Watford Chemical Corporation of New York, Watford Chemical Co. (Canada) Ltd., John Block and John Block & Company, Inc., Chris A. Watters, George McKee Todd, Herbert Shilton, and Louis Vaughan, diverted or caused to be diverted commodities, in violation of the terms, provisions, and conditions of export control documents, to countries other than those named in said documents, or attempted to do the same, in violation of § 381.1 (b) (3) (i) of the Export Regulations, as then in effect;

C. That the respondents, William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., Watford Chemical Corporation of New York, Watford Chemical Co. (Canada) Ltd., John Block and John Block & Company, Inc., George McKee Todd, Herbert Shilton, and Chris A. Watters exported or caused to be exported commodities from the United States to foreign destinations for which licenses authorizing such exportations had not been established or granted by the Department of Commerce, in violation of §§ 370.2 and 379.1 of the Export Regulations, as then in effect:

D. That the respondents, William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Corporation of New York, Watford Chemical Co. (Canada) Ltd., John Block & Company, Inc., and Chris A. Watters exported or caused to be exported from the United States commodities to Canada with the knowledge and intention that they were to be reexported therefrom to another foreign destination, without there having been established or granted upon application a license authorizing the exportation thereof to the country of ultimate destination, in violation of § 370.3 of the Export Regulations, as then in effect;

E. That the respondents, William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., Watford Chemical Corporation of New York, John Block and John Block & Company, Inc., George McKee Todd, Herbert Shilton, and Chris A. Watters exported or caused to be exported commodities from the United States under general license GRO or under validated licenses with the knowledge and intention that the commodities so exported were to be reexported from the named country of destination to other destinations, when such reexportations had not been authorized by the Department of Commerce, in violation of §§ 371.4 and 372.14 of the Export Regulations, as then in effect;

F. That the respondents, John Block and John Block & Company, Inc., prepared and procured bills of lading covering exportations of commodities with respect to which shipper's export declarations had been authenticated by a Collector of Customs containing the statement required by § 381.4 (c) of the Export Regulations, which bills of lading did not contain such statement, in violation of § 381.4 (c) of the Export Regulations, as then in effect;

G. That the respondents, William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., and Louis Vaughan, without prior written authorization from the Department of Commerce, and after notification by bills of lading of the prohibition against diversion prescribed in § 381.4 (c) of the Export Regulations, diverted or caused to be diverted commodities described in such bills of lading to countries not named in such notification, in violation of § 381.4 of the Export Regulations, as then in effect.

In making his recommendations, the Compliance Commissioner noted, among other things, that the Wallersteiner respondents had been denied export privileges to date for over twenty-one months in connection with these proceedings. He indicated also that his recommended dispositions with respect to respondents Todd and Shilton were exceptionally lenient because they were found responsible for the violations under a strict rule of corporation law.

Now, after careful consideration of the entire record and being of the opinion that the recommendations of the Compliance Commissioner are fair and just and that this order is necessary to achieve effective enforcement of the law: It is hereby ordered:

I. For the periods of time and in the manner hereinafter in this part provided, except as qualified in Part III hereof, the respondents hereby are denied all privileges of participating, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, in an exportation of any commodity or technical data from the United States to any foreign destination, including Canada, whether such exportation has here-

William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., Watford Chemical Corporation, Watford Chemical Co. (Canada) Ltd.—henceforth and for the duration of export controls;

tofore or hereafter been completed:

Chris A. Watters—for three years from the date hereof:

Louis Vaughan and George McKee Toddfor one year from the date hereof;

Herbert Shilton—for nine months from the date hereof;

John Block and John Block & Company, Inc.—for six months commencing April 10, 1957.

Without limitation of the generality of the foregoing denials of export privileges, participation in an exportation is deemed to include and prohibit participation by any of the respondents, directly or indirectly, in any manner or capacity, (a) as a party or as a representative of a party to any validated export license application, (b) in the preparation or filing of any export li-

cense application or document to be submitted therewith, (c) in the obtaining or using of any validated or general export license or other export control documents, (d) in the receiving, ordering, buying, selling, delivering, using, or disposing in any foreign country of any commodities in whole or in part exported or to be exported from the United States, and (e) in financing, forwarding, transporting, or other servicing of such exports from the United States.

II. Such denials of export privileges, to the extent that any respondents may be affected thereby, shall extend not only to each of them, but also to any person, firm, corporation, or business organization with which any of them may be now or hereafter related by ownership, control, position of responsibility, or other connection in the conduct of trade in which may be involved exports from the United States or services connected therewith.

III. William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., Watford Chemical Corporation, and Chemical Co. (Canada) Ltd., Watford without further order of the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, shall have their privileges restored to them conditionally, three years following the date hereof, the condition for such restoration being that during the three years following the date hereof the said respondents shall comply in all respects with this order and thereafter, so long as export controls shall be in effect, with all other requirements of the Export Control Act of 1949, as amended, and all regulations, licenses, and orders issued thereunder.

IV. The privileges so conditionally restored to William Kurt Samuel Wallersteiner, Watford Chemical Company Ltd., E. Tingley & Son Ltd., Watford Chemical Corporation, and Watford Chemical Co. (Canada) Ltd., under Part III hereof, may be revoked summarily and without notice upon a finding by the Director of the Office of Export Supply, or such other official as may at that time be exercising the duties now exercised by him, that any such respondent at any time hereafter has knowingly failed to comply with the conditions set forth in Part III hereof, in which event Part I hereof, insofar as it shall apply to such respondent, shall then be and become effective so long as export controls shall be in effect, without thereby preventing the Bureau of Foreign Commerce from taking such other and further action based on such violation as it shall deem warranted. In the event that such supplemental order is issued, respondents and related parties involved therein shall have the right to appeal therefrom, as provided in the export regulations.

V. No person, firm, corporation, or other business organization, whether in the United States or elsewhere, during any time when any respondent or related party is prohibited under the terms hereof from engaging in any activity within the scope of Part I hereof, shall, without prior disclosure to, and specific authorization from, the Bureau of Foreign Commerce, directly or indirectly, in any

のだがい

1000

42

manner or capacity, (a) apply for, obtain, or use any export license, shipper's export declaration, bill of lading, or other export control document relating to any such prohibited activity, (b) order, receive, buy, sell, deliver, use, dispose of, finance, transport, forward, or otherwise service or participate in, any exportation from the United States, on behalf of or in any association with such respondent or related party, or (c) do any of the foregoing acts with respect to any exportation in which such respondent or related party may have any interest or obtain any benefit of any kind or nature, direct or indirect.

Dated: March 11, 1957.

d

ıt

rt

ly

Ŋ

ne.

11

12

m

m

11

in

nt

FRANK W. SHEAFFER, Acting Director, Office of Export Supply.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1925; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:49 a. m.]

### FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. G-10551, etc.]

ARGO OIL CORP. ET AL.

NOTICE OF APPLICATIONS AND DATE OF HEARING

MARCH 7, 1957.

In the matters of Argo Oil Corporation, Docket No. G-10551; Scott Williams Gas Company #2, G-10556; Springston Gas Company, G-10557; Michaelis Drilling Company, G-10566; R. R. Sirkle, Trustee, G-10569; Skelly Oil Company, G-10570, G-10589, G-10995; The Sparta Oil Company, Operator, et al., G-10571; Western Natural Gas Company, G-10573; R. J. Braden et al., G-10574; Shell Oil Company, G-10595, G-10710, G-10817; M. Ascher, Operator, et al., G-10598; Kingwood Oil Company, Operator, et al., G-10599; Nell E. Sanders et al., G-10600; Earl Marcus, Operator, G-10601; Pubco Petroleum Corporation, G-10602, G-11084; Coats Drilling Company, Inc., G-10603; Great Sweet Grass Oils Company, G-10604; Gulf Refining Company, G-10605, G-10827; Ted Weiner, Operator, G-10607; Skinner Corporation, Operator, et al., G-10608; Cities Service Oil Company, G-10611, G-11147, G-11148, G-11151, G-11152; C. F. & H. Oil Company, Inc., G-10613; J. P. Pruett, G-10614; Michaelis Drilling Company et al., G-10617; Anderson-Prichard Oil Corporation, G-10619, G-10755, G-10838, G-11139; M. H. Marr, G-10622; Gulf Oil Corporation, G-10629, G-10683, G-10788, G-10818, G-10848, G-10918, G-11174; Elliott, Inc., G-10635; Humble Oil and Refining Company, G-10636, G-10829, G-11110; M. B. Chastain, Operator, et al., G-10637; Standard Oil Company of Texas, G-10639; Cogar Oil and Gas Company, Virgil E. Daughtery, Agent, G-10640; Michaelis Drilling Company, Operator, 11 G-10641; The Pure Company, Operator, G-10041; The Fure Oil Company, G-10642; Rupp-Ferguson Oil Company, Operator, et al., G-10643; Tres Oil Company, G-10645; Rock Hill Oil Company et al., G-10652; Edwin W. Pauley, Operator, et al., G-10656; Falcon Seaboard Drilling Co. et al., G-

10657; The Atlantic Refining Company, G-10660, G-10705, G-10809, G-11028; Quintana Petroleum Corporation, Operator, et al., G-10661; Phillips Petroleum Company, G-10663, G-10979, G-11017; Carter-Jones Drilling Company, Operator, et al.,17 G-10664; J. M. Huber Corporation, G-10671, G 11025, G-11142; Sun Oil Company (Mid-Continent Div.), G-10672; Barbara Oil Company, G-10676, G-10677; Skelly Oil Company, Operator, et al., G-10678; Monsanto Chemical Company, G-10679, G-10774, G-11230; Frank W. Michaux and Subsurface Reserve Corporation, G-10680; James Q. Newton, Jr., G-10681; Warren Petroleum Corporation, G-10684, G-11090; Northwest Production Corporation, G-10686; W. M. Comegys, Jr., et al., Operators, G-10689; Skinner Corporation, G-10691; J. Felix Hickman, G-10693; Gaswell Supply Company, G-10696; Ben C. W. Hyde, Jr., Operator, G-10697; Jack G. Howe et al., G-10711; Northern Natural Gas Producing Co., G-10712, G-10900, G-10940, G-11088; Carter-Jones Drilling Company, Operator,22 G-10717; The Sharpless Oil Corator, G-10717; The Sharpless Oil Corporation, G-10718; Shell Oil Company, Operator, et al., G-10719; The Texas Company, G-10724; Southwestern Exploration Company, Operator, G-10726; W. B. Fontaine, G-10728; The Slug Oil Company, G-10731; The Packer Oil Company, G-10732; G. B. S. Oil Company, G-10733; Coopey Oil Company pany, G-10733; Cooney Oil Company, G-10734; Morgan Minerals Corporation, Operator, et al., 4 G-10735; Southwest-ern Exploration Company et al., 4 G-10745; N. C. Ginther, H. C. Warren, and W. L. Ginther, Operators, et al.,28 G-10750; Skelly Oil Company, G-10758; O. Neathery, Jr., G-10759; Caddo Oil Company, Inc., Operator, et al.,29 10763; Tedik Gas Company, G-10766; Sohio Petroleum Company, G-10773, G-11086; Sunray Mid-Continent Oil Company, G-10776; Pratts Run Gas Company, G-10779; The Texas Company, G-10782, G-10828, G-10945, G-10992; Maxwell Herring Drilling Corporation, Operator, et al., G-10786; H. L. Hunt, G-10794; Estate of Lyda Bunker Hunt, deceased, G-10795; Monsanto Chemical Company, Operator, et al.,<sup>31</sup> G-10797; F. O. Penn et al.,<sup>32</sup> G-10805; Powell Briscoe, Inc., Operator, et al.,33 G-10822; Slick Oil Corporation, G-10824; Fred Whitaker, Operator, G-10830; H. T. Shalett & David Crow, G-10833; Joyce Oil & Gas Company, G-10835; Pioneer Production Corporation, G-10839; Robert H. Holcomb, G-10847; Texas Gulf-Producing Company, G-10849, G-11019; Schafer Drilling Company, Operator, et al.,35 G-10851; Fred Whitaker, Operator, \* G-10852; Succession of Ed E. Hurley, G-10854; Lyons and Logan, Operator, et al., G-10857; David Crow, Trustee, et al., G-10858, G-10859; Tidewater Oil Company, Operator, G-10860; Wheless Drilling Company, Operator, et al.,40 G-10861; Earl F. Wakefield et al.,41 G-10863; Sam Sklar, G-10877, G-10888; Hanley Company, Operator,4 G-10880; Hanley Company, Operator, G-10881; Ralph R. Gilster and James E. Kemp, G-10890; Justin R. Querbes, Jr., G-10891; C. W. Robinson, G-10892; The British-American Oil Producing Company, Op-

erator, et al., G-10894; James A. Hughes, et al., G-10902; Plymouth Oil Company, G-10904; Stanolind Oil and Gas Company, G-10906; Don P. Miller, G-10913; The Carter Oil Company, Operator, et al., G-10920; The Carter Oil Company, Operator, et al.,46 G-10924; Southwestern Exploration Consultants, Inc., Operator, et al., G-10925; John C. Whitaker, Operator, et al., G-10926; J. S. Abercrombie, G-10927; H. G. Nelms, G-10929; Wheeler Nazro, G-10930; Worth Drilling Company, Operator, G-10935; Coastal States Gas Producing Company, G-10937; W. M. Laughlin et al., G-10938; Sterling Drilling Company, Operator, et al., G-10939; Lee Kinnebrew et al., G-10944; Wm. C. and Theodosia M. Nolan, d/b/a as Munoco Company, G-10947; The El Dorado Refining Company and Trice Production Company, G-10950; Petroleum, Inc., G-10951; Stanolind Oil and Gas Company, Operator, et al., 43 G-10955; Jim McMurray and B. F. Phillips, G-10958; Leon J. Caine, G-10960, G-10961; Hiawatha Oil and Gas Company, G-10963; Honaker-Davis Drilling Company, Operator, G-10965; The Shamrock Oil and Gas Corporation, G-10967, G-11006; Champlin Oil & Refining Company et al.,55 G-10972; Cabot Carbon Company, G-10976; Hassie Hunt Trust, G-10986; Tidewater Oil Company, G-10994; F. A. Callery, Inc., Agent, et al., G-10996; William H. Wert, G-11001; W. C. Feazel, Operator, " G-11005; J. C. Trahan, Drilling Contractor, Inc., Operator, et al.,58 G-11009; Emby Kaye, G-11015, G-11016; H. T. Manning, Trustee, et al., G-11018; Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc., G-11020; Bruce Anderson, G-11022; M. A. Machris, G-11023; Rupp-Ferguson Oil Company et al., G-11029; Midwest Oil Corporation, G-11042, G-11143; Robert F. Mixer, G-11047; Edwin L. Cox, G-11051; H. H. Blair, Operator, et al., G-11052; Rock Island Oil & Refining Company, Inc., et al., 62 G-11055; James A. Hughes and Hazel Lee Hughes, G-11059; M. Lowe Gas Company, G-11063; O. W. Gerwig, G-11064; Temple Hargrove et al.,63 G-11068; Falcon Seaboard Drilling Company et al.," G-11087; True Oil Company, Oil and Gas Property Management, Inc., and Beacon Building Corporation, G-11097; Richard King, Jr., G-11099; Grose Oil and Gas Company, G-11104; Frankfort Oil Company, a division of The Calvert Drilling Company, G-11113; W. M. Agey, d/b/a Agey Drilling Company, G-11128; Lyons and Logan, Operators, et al., G-11137; W. E. Bakke, Operator, et al., G-11144; V. W. Frost, P. M. Frost, and C. M. Frost, G-11145; W. H. Mossor et al., G-11210; Midstates Oil Corporation, G-11274; Midstates Oil Corporation, Operator, et al., G-11275; Graham-Michaelis Drilling Company, Operator, 6 G-11295; Har-old E. Mott, Agent, G-11398.

Each of the above applicants has filed an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing applicants to render services as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in their respective applications, which are on file with the Commission and open for public

inspection.

Applicants produce and propose to sell natural gas for transportation in interstate commerce for resale as indicated

Docket No. G-, Location of Field, and Buyer

10551; Clayton Field, Live Oak County, Texas; Texas Illinois Natural Gas Pipeline Company.

10556 and 10557; Leases in De Kalb District, Gilmer County, West Virginia; South

Penn Natural Gas Company. 10566; Hugoton Gas Field, Kearny County, Kansas; Colorado Interstate Gas Company.

10569; Norman Allen, et al., lease, Floyd County, Kentucky; Kentucky West Virginia Gas Company.

10570; Morales Field, Jackson and Wharton Counties, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission

Texas; Texas Gas Corporation.

10573; West George West Field, Live Oak County, Texas; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10574; Buckhannon Field, Union District, Upshur County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10589; Hiawatha Field, Moffat County, Colorado; Mountain Fuel Supply Company.

10595; Blinebry Field, Lea County, New Mexico; El Paso Natural Gas Company. 10598; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line

Company.
10599; West Lawrie Pool, Logan County, Oklahoma; Cities Service Gas Company.

10600; Muldon Field, Monroe County, Mississippi; Texas Eastern Transmission Corpor-

10601: Acreage in undesignated field, Kay County, Oklahoma; Consolidated Gas Utilities Corporation.

10602: Certain leases in Rio Arriba County. New Mexico: El Paso Natural Gas Company.

10603; Bernstein-Hitchland Field, Hansford County, Texas; Panhandle Eastern Pipe

Line Company. 10604; East Brady Field, Garvin County, Oklahoma; Lone Star Gas Company.

10605; Dixon Bay Field, Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

10607; Bully Camp Field, La Fourche Parish, Louisiana; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

10608; East Mathis Field, San Patricio County, Texas; Gas Gathering Company.

10611; North Hansford Field, Hansford County, Texas; Northern Natural Gas Com-

10613; Pistol Ridge Field, Pearl River County, Mississippi; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

10614; North Mathis Field, San Patricio County, Texas; Gas Gathering Company.

10617; Hugoton Gas Field, Haskell County, Colorado Interstate Gas Company. 10619; West Laurie Field, Logan County,

Oklahoma; Cities Service Gas Company. 10622; Lisbon Field, Claiborne Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10629; North Hansford Field, Hansford County, Texas; Northern Natural Gas Com-

10635; Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico; Phillips Petroleum Company.

10636; Jo Mill Spraberry Field, Borden County, Texas; Reef Fields Gasoline Corpora-

10637: Carthage Field, Panola County, Texas; United Gas Pipe Line Company. 10639; Robinson Lake Field, Chambers

County, Texas; Texas Gas Corporation.

10640; Certain acreage in Lee District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10641; Hugoton Gas Field, Seward County, Kansas; Northern Natural Gas Company. 10642; Amacker Tippett-Jack Herbert Area,

Upton County, Texas; El Paso Natural Gas

Company. 10643; Mule Creek Field, Clark County, Kansas; Northern Natural Gas Company. 10645; Eumont Gas Field, Lea County, New

Mexico; El Paso Natural Gas Company. 10652; Bloomington Field, Victoria County, Texas; Texas Illinois Natural Gas Pipeline

Company. 10656; Spraberry Trend Field, Reagan County, Texas; El Pas Natural Gas Company. 10657; North Johnson Hill Field, Logan County, Colorado; Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Inc.

10660; West Andector Field, Ector County, Texas; Phillips Petroleum Company.

10661; Columbus Field, Colorado County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Com-

10663: Hogsback Area, Sublette and Lincoln Counties, Wyoming; Pacific Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

10664; West Mission Field, Hidalgo County, Texas: Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

10671; West Panhandle Field, Hutchinson

County, Texas; Skelly Oil Company. 10672; Hugoton Field, Kearny County, Kansas; Colorado Interstate Gas Company.

10676 and 10677; Rhodes Pool, Barber County, Kansas; Cities Service Gas Company. 10678; Carthage Field, Panola County, Texas; Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

10679; Wyatt-Ellenburger Field, Crockett County, Texas; El Paso Natural Gas Company. 10680; Kitty West Field, Live Oak County, Texas: Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10681; Piceance Creek Field, Rio Blanco County, Colorado; Pacific Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

10683: Creek Field, Oklahoma Coffee County, Oklahoma; Cities Service Gas Company

10684; North Hansford Field, Hansford County, Texas; Northern Natural Gas Company.

10686; Acreage in San Juan Basin, Rio Arriba and San Juan Counties, New Mexico; La Plata and Archuleta Counties, Colorado; Pacific Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

10689: Darley Field, Claiborne and Bienville Parishes, Louisiana; Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company.

10691; North Keeran Field, Victoria County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Com-

10693; Lindrith Pool, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico; Pacific Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

10696; Acreage in Apolacon, Choconut, Middletown, Silver Lake and Forrest Lake Townships, Susquehanna County, Pennsyl-Manufacturers Light and Heat

Company. 10697; Victor Gas Field, Lincoln County, Oklahoma; Jernigan and Morgan Transmission Company.

10705; Spraberry Field, Glasscock, Midland, Reagan and Upton Counties, Texas; El Paso Natural Gas Company.

Spraberry-Trend Field, 10710; County, Texas; El Paso Natural Gas Company. 10711; J. P. and Ella Gray Lease, Lincoln District, Tyler County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10712; Hugoton Gas Field, Seward, Stevens and Haskell Counties, Kansas: Northern Nat-

ural Gas Company. 10717; Woodlawn Field, Marion and Harrison Counties, Texas; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10718; Faskin Block CB-T 30660, G & MMBA Survey, Andrews County, Texas; Phillips Petroleum Company.

10719; Mercy Field, San Jacinto County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

10724; Field in Beaver County, Oklahoma;

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, 10726; Hugoton Gas Field, Haskell and Stevens Counties, Oklahoma; Northern Natural Gas Company.

10728; Maxie-Pistol Ridge Field, Forrest, Lamar and Pearl River Counties, Mississippi; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

10731 through 10734, inclusive; Acreage in Murphy District, Ritchie County, West Virginia; Godfrey L. Cabot, Inc.

10735; Coastal Field, Starr County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company. 10745; Hugoton Field, Haskell, Hamilton

and Finney Counties, Kansas; Colorado Interstate Gas Company.

10750; Luft Field, Logan County, Colorado: Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Inc. 10755; Dilworth Field, Kay County, Oklahoma: Cities Service Gas Company.

10758; Carthage Gas Field, Panola County, Texas; Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company. 10759: Southwest Terrell Point Field, Go-

liad County, Texas; Trunkline Gas Company. 10763; Premont Area, Jim Wells County, Texas; Coastal States Gas Producing Company.

10766; Acreage in Lee District, Mingo County, West Virginia; United Fuel Gas Company.

10773; Camrick Southeast Gas Pool, Texas County, Oklahoma; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America.

10774; Bear Creek Field, Bienville Parish, Louisiana; Southern Natural Gas Company.

10776; Keyes Field, Cimarron County, Oklahoma; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company.

10779; Pratts Run, McElroy District, Tyler County, West Virginia; Equitable Gas Com-

10782; Camrick Southeast Field, Texas and Beaver Counties, Oklahoma; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America.

10786; Blocker Field, Harrison County, Texas; Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company.

10788; Forgan Pool, Beaver County, Oklahoma; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company.

10794 and 10795; Longstreet Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company. 10797; Singley Field, Meade County, Kan-

sas; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company. 10805: North Ross Field Area, Starr County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company. 10809; E-K Queen Pool, Lea County, New

Mexico; Phillips Petroleum Company. 10817; Fox Graham Field, Carter County, Oklahoma; Magnolia Petroleum Company.

10818; Singley Pool, Meade County, Kansas; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company. 10822; Acreage in Logan County, Oklahoma; Cities Service Gas Company.

10824; Witte Field, Victoria County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company. 10827; Pistol Ridge Field, Forrest, Lamar

and Pearl River Counties, Mississippi; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

Hugoton Field, Morton County, -10828; Kansas; Northern Natural Gas Company.

10829; Goldsmith Field, Andrews and Ector Counties, Texas; Phillips Petroleum Company.

10830; Bethany Field, Harrison County, Texas; United Gas Pipe Line Company. 10833; Bear Creek Gas Field, Bienville Parish, Louisiana; Southern Natural Gas

Company. 10835; Pullman Field, Union District, Ritchie County, West Virginia; Carnegie Natural Gas Company.

10838; Langlie-Mattix Field, Lea County, New Mexico; El Paso Natural Gas Company. 10839; Quinduno Field, Roberts County, Texas; Natural Gas. Pipeline Company of America.

10847: East Kentucky Field. Pike County, Kentucky; Kentucky and West Virginia Gas Company, Inc.

10848; Rhodes Pool, Barber County, Kansas; Cities Service Gas Company.

10849; Piedre Lumbre Field, Duval County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Com-

10851; Northeast Elmore Field, Garvin County, Oklahoma; Lone Star Gas Company. 10852; Bethany Field, Harrison County, Texas United Gas Pipe Line Company. 10854; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo

Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmis-

sion Corporation.
10857; South Hallsville Field Harrison
County, Texas; Texas Eastern Transmission
Corporation.

10858 and 10859; Maxie-Pistol Ridge Area, Pearl River County, Mississippi United Gas Pipe Line Company.

10860; Willow Springs Field, Gregg County, Texas; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10861; Bethany-Longstreet Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; Arkansas Louisiana Gas

Company. 10863; Acreage in Meade County, Kansas; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company.

10877; Rodessa Field, Cass County, Texas; United Gas Pipe Line Company. 10880 and 10881; Spraberry Trend Area,

Glasscock County, Texas; El Paso Natural Gas Company. 10888; Rodessa Field, Cass County, Texas;

Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company.

10890; Bethany Field, Harrison and Panola Counties, Texas: United Gas Pipe Line Com-

10891 and 10892; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

10894; East Mt. Hope Field, Logan County, Colorado; Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Inc.

10900; Hugoton Gas Field, Finney County,

Kansas; Northern Natural Gas Company. 10902; Queens Field, Upshur County, West Virginia; Cumberland and Allegheny Gas Company.

10904; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana: Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10906; Hugoton Field, Grant County, Kansas; Magnolia Petroleum Company.

10913; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10918; Haviland Pool, Kiowa County, Kansas; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company. 10920 and 10924; Certain units in Beaver County, Oklahoma; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company.

10925; Asphaltum Field, Jefferson County,

Oklahoma; Lone Star Gas Company. 10926; Bethany Field, Harrison and Panola Counties, Texas; United Gas Pipe Line Company.

10927, 10929, and 10930; Milton Field, Harris County, Texas; Texas Illinois Natural Gas Pipeline Company.

10935; East Victor Field, Lincoln County, Oklahoma; Jernigan and Morgan Transmission Company.

10937; Premont Area, Jim Wells County,

Texas; Trunkline Gas Company. 10938; Premont Area, Jim Wells County, Texas; Coastal States Gas Producing Com-

pany. 10939; Acreage in Rice County, Kansas; Consolidated Gas Utilities Corporation.

10940; Hugoton Field, Haskell, Seward, Kearny, Stevens and Grant Counties, Kansas; Northern Natural Gas Company.

10944; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish. Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Coroporation.

10945; West Panhandle Gas Field, Carson County, Texas; Phillips Petroleum Company. 10947; Ruston Gas Field, Lincoln Parish, Louisiana: Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company.

10950; Acreage in Kiowa County, Kansas; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company.

10951; Boggs Field, Barber County, Kansas;

Cities Service Gas Company. 10955; Middle Mountain Field, Sweetwater County, Wyoming; Mountain Fuel Supply

Company. 10958; Bethany Field, Panola County, Texas; Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company.

10960 and 10961; Acreage in Beaver County, Oklahoma; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company.

10963; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.
10965; Wildcat Field, Barber County, Kan-

sas; Cities Service Gas Company.

10967; Camrick Southeast Field, Hansford County, Texas; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America.

10972; Greenwood Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line Company. 10976; Hugoton Field, Seward County, Kansas; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company. 10979; East Panhandle Field, Wheeler

County, Texas; Warren Petroleum Corpora-

10986; Maxie Field, Acadia Parish, Louisiana; Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corpo-

10992: La Copita Field, Starr County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

10994; Midway Field, San Patricic County, Texas: Texas Eastern Transmission Corpora-

10995; San Juan Basin, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico; Pacific Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

10996; Luling Field, St. Charles Parish, Louisiana; United Fuel Gas Company.

11001; Benezette Field, Elk County, Penn-New York State Natural Gas Corporation.

11005; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation. 11006; Camrick Southeast Field, Hansford

County, Texas; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America. 11009; Bethany Field, Harrison and Panola

Counties, Texas; United Gas Pipe Line Company. 11015 and 11016; Hugoton Field Area, Bea-

ver County, Oklahoma; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company. 11017; Wade Houston Lease, Marion County, Texas; Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company. 11018; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo

Parish, Louisiana; United Gas Pipe Line Company. 11019; Ragley Field, Beauregard Parish, Louisiana; Trunkline Gas Company.

11020; Camrick Southeast Gas Pool, Texas County, Oklahoma; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America.

11022 and 11023; Greenwood Gas Field, Morton County, Kansas; Colorado Interstate Gas Company.

11025; Milton Field, Harris County, Texas; Texas Illinois Natural Gas Pipeline Company. 11028; Northeast Norman Field, Cleveland County, Oklahoma; Cities Service Gas Company.

11029; Driftwood Pool, Barber County, Kansas; Cities Service Gas Company.

11042; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

11047: Acreage in Clay and Grant Districts. Wirt and Ritchie Counties, West Virginia; Godfrey L. Cabot, Inc.

11051; Acreage in Texas County, Oklahoma; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America. 11052; Estes Field, Cowley County, Kansas;

Cities Service Gas Company. 11055; Hugoton Field, Kearny County, Kansas: Colorado Interstate Gas Company.

11059; Acreage in the Battelle District, Monongalia County, West Virginia; South Penn Natural Gas Company.

11063; Lee District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

11064; Acreage in Center District, Gilmer County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

11068; Ragley Field, Beauregard Parish, Louisiana; Trunkline Gas Company.

11084; Permian Basin, San Juan County. New Mexico; El Paso Natural Gas Company. 11086; Camrick Southeast Gas Pool, Texas County, Oklahoma; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America.

11087; Haviland Pool, Kiowa County, Kansas; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company. 11088; Hugoton Field, Haskell, Seward, Kearny, Stevens and Grant Counties, Kansas;

Northern Natural Gas Company. 11090; West Panhandle Field, Hutchinson County, Texas; Frank C. Henderson Trust No. 2 and Elizabeth P. Henderson Trust No. 2. 11097; Wagner Lease, Logan County, Colo-

rado; Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Inc.

11099; Estes Field, Cowley County, Kansas; Cities Service Gas Company.

11104; Acreage in Ritchie County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

11110; Piedra Lumbre and La Copita Fields, Duval and Starr Counties, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

11113; Heuser Lease, Stephens County, Oklahoma; Lone Star Gas Company.

11128; Barnard Lease, Carson C Texas; Kerr-McGee Oil Industries, Inc. County,

11137; South Hallsville Gas Field, Harrison and Rusk Counties, Texas; Arkansas Louisi-

ana Gas Company. 11139; Jack Herbert Field, Upton County, Texas; El Paso Natural Gas Company.

11142; East Panhandle Field, Carson County, Texas; Skelly Oil Company. 11143; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo

Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

11144; Fuhrman-Mascho Field, Andrews County, Texas; Phillips Petroleum Company. 11145; Chess Field, Willacy County, Texas;

Tennessee Gas Transmission Company. 11147; Hugoton Field, Kearny County, Kansas; Colorado Interstate Gas Company.

12

というだけに

11148; Greenwood Field, Morton County, Kansas; Colorado Interstate Gas Company. 11151; Hugoton Field, Finney County, Kan-

sas; Cities Service Gas Company. 11152; Jalmat Field, Lea County, New Mexico; El Paso Natural Gas Company. 11174; Laverne Pool, Harper County, Oklahoma: Colorado Interstate Gas Company.

11210; Harrfsville Field, Ritchie County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company. 11230; East McFaddin Field, Victoria County, Texas; United Gas Pipe Line Com-

pany. 11274; North Mission Valley Field, De Witt County, Texas; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

11275; North Meyersville Field, De Witt County, Texas; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

11295; Hugoton Field, Kearny County, Kansas; Cities Service Gas Company. 11398; Haney Field, De Kalb District, Gilmer County, West Virginia; Equitable Gas

Company.

These matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on April 9, 1957, at 9:30 a.m., e. s. t., in a Hearing Room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a non-contested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised it will not be necessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 GFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 29, 1957. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE, [SEAL] -Secretary.

<sup>1</sup> Sparta Oil Company is filing as Operator of the Mrs. E. Thomas et al., Lease. The owners of working interests are: The Sparta Oil Company and Temple Oil Company. parties are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

R. J. Braden, Agent, is filing for himself

and other parties.

<sup>8</sup> M. Ascher, Operator, is filing for himself and other working interest owners as follows: Sam Sklar, Sam Y. Dorfman, J. R. Butler and Douglas Whitaker. All are signatory parties to the sales contract involved herein.

Kingwood Oil Co., Operator, is filing for itself and in behalf of other co-owners of working interests as follows: Vanson Production Company, Aaron M. Weitzenhoffer, Davis and Law, and L. D. Wyant. All are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved herein.

Nell E. Sanders, Mrs. Harry N. Murphy, Mrs. James T. Somers, Mrs. Audrey P. Jefferies and Robert C. Ray are filing individually to cover their combined interests in production from the Muldon Field. All are signatory parties to the sales contract involved herein.

 Earl Marcus, Operator, is a signatory party to the sales contract involved herein.

<sup>7</sup>Ted Weiner, Operator, is filing for himself and on behalf of 17 additional parties listed in the application. Ted Weiner is the only signatory seller party to the gas sales contract involved herein.

<sup>8</sup> Skinner Corporation, Operator, is filing for itself and lists the following owners of working interests: .Skinner Corporation, L. G. Shelly and Thomas Brothers. All are signatory parties to the seller contract dated May 17, 1956.

Michaelis Drilling Company, non-opera-or, is filing jointly with Udo Reinach and William Graham Oil Company all also nonoperators. All are signatory parties to the amendatory agreement dated December 16, 1955.

10 M. B. Chastain, Operator, is filing for himself and on behalf of the co-owners of working interests as follows: Vincent A. Hughes, J. P. Costello and Bennett Woolley. All are signatory parties to the sales contract involved herein.

11 Michaelis Drilling Company, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of the following non-operators: William Graham Oil Company, Oil Trading Associates, Inc., Magnolia Petroleum Company, White Eagle Oil Company and Udo Reinach. Only Michaelis Drilling Company is named as seller in the gas sales contract.

<sup>12</sup> Rupp-Ferguson Oil Company, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of Bill Ferguson, Hattle M. Ferguson, G. Preston Kendall,

Z. Garland Ferguson, J. N. Davis and Company, J. N. Davis and Clarence Gregg. All are signatory parties to the sales contract involved herein.

<sup>13</sup> Rock Hill Oil Company, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of 48 other working interest owners of 6 leases as listed in the application. All co-owners are signatory parties to the sales contract involved herein.

14 Edwin W. Pauley, Operator, is filing for himself, and on behalf of working interest owners as follows: Evro Becket, Welton Becket, B. C. Gamble, Norton Clapp, Prentis Hale and Edward Carter. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

15 Falcon Seaboard Drilling Company is filing for itself and on behalf of Allied Materials Corporation. Both are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved

16 Quintana Petroleum Corporation, Operator, is filing on behalf of the following workinterest owners: H. R. Cullen, Arnold, Agnes C. Arnold, Douglas B. Marshall, Margaret -C. Marshall, Corbin J. Robertson, Wilhemina C. Robertson, Katherine C. Lesser, Roy H. Cullen, Cornelia C. O'Leary and H. R. Cullen, Trustee. Quintana Petroleum Corporation is not a signatory party to the sales contract whereas all the above working interest owners are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved herein.

17 Carter Jones Drilling Company, Operator, is filing for owners of the working interests as follows: J. K. Maxwell, H. C. Jones, C. C. Woodruff, W. T. Maxwell, Dixie Oil & Gas Company, John J. George, Julian Hurst, J. Robert McCollun, J. Robert McCollun, Trustee. Smith P. Reynolds, Henry R. Rose, Blu-Stinchcomb, Merritt Tool Company, J. K. Maxwell, Trustee and John Young. All of the above mentioned parties except William G. Childs d/b/a Dixie Oil & Gas Company and Henry R. Rose are signatory parties to the sales contract involved herein

<sup>18</sup> Skelly Oil Company, Operator, is filing for itself and the nonoperators owning working interests in the Werner-Burden unit as follows: A. M. Roseman and W. M. Plaster. All are signatory seller parties to the agree-

ment dated April 20, 1956.

<sup>19</sup> Applicants, W. M. Comegys, Jr., R. Otis Smith and Dr. C. S. Sentell, Operators, are filing for their interests in two separate units and list various owners of working interests in their application. Applicants are signatory seller parties to the ratification agreement dated, May 31, 1956.

20 Ben C. W. Hyde, Jr., Operator, is filing on behalf of T. H. Mastin. Hyde is the only signatory seller party to the sales contract

involved herein.

21 Jack G. Howe and Keith Robinson are filing for themselves. Both are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract in-

volved herein.

<sup>22</sup> Carter Jones Drilling Company, Operator, is filing for its interest in the L. R. Keeth et al., Well No. 1 and on behalf of the nonoperating owners whose working interests are listed in the application. The operator is the only signatory seller party to the sales contract involved herein.

<sup>22</sup> Shell Oil Company, Operator, is filing for itself and Clarence A. Russell. Shell is a signatory party to the basic sales contract and Russell is a signatory party to the ratification agreement to the sales contract in-

volved therein.

24 The Texas Company is filing for its working interests in the Speyers-State Unit, Adams Unit and, in addition, as Operator of the State of Oklahoma Gas Unit "B" and lists the owners of working interests. The Texas Company is the only signatory seller party to the sales contract involved herein.

25 Southwestern Exploration Company, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of Lester M. Wilkensen. Southwestern is the

only signatory seller party to the basic sales contract involved herein.

Morgan Minerals Corporation, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of Pratt-Hewit Oil Corporation. Both parties are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

27 Southwestern Exploration Company, Operator, filed for itself and the non-operators in the units involved in said application. Southwestern is the only signatory party to each of the two basic contracts involved. Lester Wilkensen, a non-operator, has ratified the two contracts.

28 N. C. Ginther, H. C. Warren and W. L. Ginther, Operators, are filing for themselves and on behalf of the owners of working interests as follows: Wunderlich Contracting Company, Point Corporation, Dr. H. C. Robinson, Patrick H. Welder and Karl S. Warren. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales

contract involved herein.

20 Caddo Oil Company, Operator, is filing for itself and lists in its application the following owners of working interests in the two leases involved: Ernest Kratzinger, W. H. Hadlich, George L. Shipstad, Francis Claudet, Bernard C. Heim, B. J. Lundblad, Oscar F. Johnson, Roy Shipstad, E. A. Shipstad, D. A. Raymond, Jr., Federal-Huber Company, Moss Neck Manor, Inc., and D. A. Raymond. All are signatory parties to the contract dated May 14, 1956.

30 Maxwell Herring Drilling Corporation, Operator, is filing for itself and co-owners of working interests as follows: Sells Petroleum, Inc., H. H. Harris, Lundy O. Allen and Donald F. Kerr. All are signatory seller parties to the

sales contract involved herein.

31 Monsanto Chemical Company, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of The Aladdin Petroleum Corporation. Both are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved herein.

22 F. O. Penn is filing for himself and on behalf of A. Bart Brown, G. W. Pirtle, John Wrather and D. G. Wood, Jr., Trustee. All are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved herein.

<sup>33</sup> Powell Briscoe, Inc., Operator, is filing for itself and in behalf of William J. Sinek. William J. Froelich, Donald S. Kennedy and William R. Wolfe. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved

herein.

34 Fred Whitaker, Operator, is filing for himself and lists the following non-operators holding working interests: Daniel E. Boone, Nancy Bradly, Leo Butler, Carter-Jones Drilling Company, Midstates Oil Corporation, Samuel Perlman, Norman C. Rogers, J. C. Trahan Drilling Contractor, Inc. and Glen R. Johnson. All of the above except J. C. Trahan Drilling Contractor, Inc., are signatory parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

<sup>85</sup> Shafer Drilling Company, Operator, filed for its interest and on behalf of additional interest owners as follows: Ernest Kanzler, N. J. Thomas, P. A. Thomas, Henry Scar-borough, E. Herrick Low, Ernest Woods, Arthur Dietz, Harold C. Johns, Elmer Rolley, R. J. Byrnes, F. R. Diamond, A. L. May, M. F. McCaffrey, Mary H. Zimmerman and Lewis All are signatory seller parties to F. Brown.

the gas sales contract involved herein.

So Fred Whitaker, Operator, is filing for himself and lists the following non-operators holding working interests. Daniel Boone, Grundy Cooper, Glen R. Johnson, Foster Murphy, Ralph Myers Contracting Corp., Samuel Perlman, K. C. Prince, Carson Reed, Norman Rogers, Ruff Wall, Bernard Weinstein, John Frederick Whitaker, Alfred White, Roy Wooley and Phil Bloomer. All of the above except Grundy Cooper, Foster Murphy, K. C. Prince, Carson Reed, Ruff Wall, Bernard Weinstein, Alfred White and Roy Wooley are signatory parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

A 200 M

<sup>37</sup> Lyons & Logan, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of the following non-operators: Harvey McLean, E. J. Hudson, John B. Baird and V. V. Jacomini. All are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved.

<sup>38</sup> David Crow, Trustee, a partnership: S. L. Ware, Jr., C. Curtis Reese, Carl L. Bryan, Muslow Oil Company and Irwin I. Muslow, all are signatory parties to the sales contracts

involved herein.

39 Tidewater Oil Company, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of 45 non-operators owning working interests in the Mrs. Jack J. Castleberry Gas Unit. A list of the owners' working interests of each has been submitted with the application. Tidewater is the only signatory seller party to the gas sales contract involved herein.

Wheless Drilling Company, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of co-owners of working interests as follows: Wheless, Trustee, S. B. Hicks, J. R. Querbes, Jr., I. Lieber, George D. Nelson, J. Pat Beaird, Charles T. Beaird, Lloyd and McGowen, H. L. Hunt and Jones-O'Brien, Inc. All are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved herein.

41 Earl F. Wakefield, Operator, is filing for himself and on behalf of Cooperative Refinery Association. Both are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved

herein.

42 Hanley Company, Operator, is filing for its interest and for W. L. Hanley's interest in

the J. W. Gray lease.

<sup>43</sup> The British-American Oil Producing Company is the operator of the acreage covered by the subject application and lists the interest of Vaughey & Vaughey, a copartnership. Vaughey & Vaughey is also a signatory seller party to the same gas sales contract.

<sup>44</sup> James A. Hughes, Hazel Lee Hughes, Victor E. Tannant and George I. Tannant are filing together covering interests in two leases. All are signatory seller parties to the

gas sales contract involved herein.

<sup>45</sup> Et al. includes in addition to The Carter Oil Company the following parties who have working interests in the particular lease involved: Leon J. Caine, Interstate Royalties Company of Oklahoma, and Emby Kaye. All of these parties are signatories to the sales contract herein involved.

<sup>46</sup> Et al. includes in addition to The Carter Oil Company the following parties who have working interests in the particular lease involved: Leon J. Caine, Emby Kaye and Oklahoma Natural Gas Company. All of these parties are signatories to the sales con-

tract herein involved.

<sup>47</sup> Southwestern Exploration Consultants, Inc., Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of the following non-operators: F. M. Mayer, Justin Winter, W. J. Weinmann, Fred Lenway and Edgar Sullivan. All are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved herein.

48 John C. Whitaker, Operator, is filing for his interest and the working interests of the following non-operators: Tom Cook, Jr., Bluford Stinchcomb, Julian Hurst, Chas. W. Lutes, John George, W. A. Hewell, W. D. McMahan and C. E. Chaffin, who are all signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved herein. In addition, the application lists four other parties' working interests but who are not signatory parties to the sales contract.

<sup>49</sup> Worth Drilling Company, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of 23 additional owners of working interests, whose names are listed in the application. Worth is the sole signatory seller party to the gas sales contract

involved herein.

<sup>50</sup> W. M. Laughlin is filing for himself and on behalf of Lucian Flourney, Columbia Investment Corporation, R. J. McIntyre, Dr. Richard Penly, Paul C. Barker, Roger S. Seaman, A. M. Pate, Jr., Sebert L. Pate, Tom Peacock, Jr. and W. W. Price. All are signatory

seller parties to the gas sales contract dated January 16, 1956, involved herein.

<sup>51</sup> Sterling Drilling Company, Operator, is filing for itself and two other parties owning working interests as follows: Plains Exploration Company, and Frederic and Katherine Walton; all of whom are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved.

<sup>83</sup> Lee Kinnebrew, G. E. Joyce and A. R. Cassard are filing for their interests in the Harkrider Unit #1 and the Deas Unit and are all signatory seller parties to the ratification agreement dated June 1, 1956.

<sup>82</sup> Stanolind Oil and Gas Company, Operator, is filing for its interest and on behalf of Utah Oil Refining Company, non-operator. Both are signatory seller parties to the sales contract involved herein.

44 Honaker-Davis Drilling Company, Operator, is filing for its interest and on behalf of 23 other parties. Honaker-Davis is the only signatory seller party to the sales contract

involved herein.

W. C. Feazel, Lallage Feazel, G. M. Anderson and Gertrude Anderson are filing for their interest in the Union Producing Company, et at.—Chiles Unit. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved herein. Also, The Chicago Corporation's corporate name was changed to Champlin Oil & Refining Company on December 31, 1956.

<sup>56</sup> F. A. Callery, Inc., as Agent, is filing for 21 co-owners including Francis A. Callery, who is the only signatory seller party to the gas sales contract involved herein.

<sup>57</sup> W. C. Fenzel, The Chicago Corporation (now d/b/a Champlin Oil & Refining Company), Lallage Feazel, G. M. Anderson, Gertrude Feazel Anderson, N. V. Kinsey, C. H. Lyons, Sr., and Midwest Oil Corporation are filing for their interests in four gas units as listed in the application. The first seven parties listed above are signatory seller parties to the ratification agreement dated July 16, 1956, and Midwest Oil Corporation is a signatory party to the ratification agreement dated August 24, 1956.

<sup>28</sup> J. C. Trahan, Drilling Contractor, Inc.,

operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of V. S. Makaroff, Cerro de Pasco Corp., R. A. Baur and Pan American Production Company. All are stenatory seller parties to the gas sales

contract involved herein.

<sup>59</sup> H. T. Manning, Trustee, is filing for himself and on behalf of Marcia M. McBride, Jeanne Fields Shelby, Eugene McElvaney and Alyne Fields. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

<sup>∞</sup> Rupp-Ferguson Oil Company, Jim G. Ferguson, Z. Garland Ferguson, J. N. Davis & Company, S. T. MacDonald, L. W. Knox and Fred S. Lillibridge are filing for their interests individually and are all signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

<sup>61</sup> H. H. Blair, Operator, is filing for his interest and on behalf of Paul R. Deputy's interest. Both parties are signatories to the same gas sales contract involved herein.

<sup>62</sup>Rock Island Oil & Refining Company, non-operator, is filing for its interest and on behalf of Rock Hill Oil Company for its interest in the Gropp No. 1 Unit. Both parties are signatory sellers to the amendatory and ratification agreement dated March 2, 1956.

es Temple Hargrove, Byrd Oil Corporation, E. De Golyer, Lewis W. MacNaughton, John H. Murrell, Dan L. Marshall, and E. R. Scott. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

"Falcon Seaboard Drilling Company is filing for itself and on behalf of Grace R. McMath, Norborne Berkeley, Eugene G. Grace, and Robert E. McMath. All are signatory seller parties under the ratification agreement dated August 14, 1956.

<sup>66</sup> The original application was filed in the name of True Oil Company and R. H. Fulton, however by amendment filed February 14, 1957, in Docket No. G-11097, Oil and Gas

Property Management, Inc., and Beacon Building Corp. were substituted as Applicants in place of R. H. Fulton. R. H. Fulton conveyed his interest in the properties involved to the aforesaid parties on December 27. 1956.

66 Lyons and Logan, a partnership, Operator, is filing for itself and on behalf of non-operators: C. T. McCord, Jr., and A. R. Graves. All are signatory seller parties to the ratification agreement dated September

10, 1956.

<sup>67</sup> W. E. Bakke, Operator, is filing for himself and on behalf of the following non-operators: .Midwest Oil Corporation, The Ibex Company, J. F. Postelle, H. E. Granville, E. A. Hanson and Lucille S. West. All are signatory seller parties to the gas sales contract involved herein.

es John A. Davisson Gas Company, Miller et al., Mossor et al. are filing together for their interest in the acreage involved. W. H. Mossor and George W. Miller are the only signatory seller parties to the contract.

Midstates Oil Corporation, Operator, is filing for its interests and lists the non-operating owners of working interests as follows: Blanton Drilling Company, Hunt and Welchel (a partnership). All are signatory seller parties to the sales contract dated August 1, 1056

<sup>∞</sup> Graham-Michaelis Drilling Company, Operator, is filing for its interest and on behalf of co-owners as follows: E. S. Villines, Clyde Beymer, Jr., William Graham Oil Company, Oil Trading Associates, Inc., W. W. Hoffman and H. B. Van Cleve. Graham-Michaelis Drilling Company is the only signatory seller party to the gas sales contract involved herein.

<sup>71</sup> Harold E. Mott, Agent, is filing for various parties. However, John W. Roten is the only signatory seller party to the sales contract covered by the application.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1893; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:45 a. m.]

SOUTH-TEX CORP. ET AL.

NOTICE OF APPLICATIONS AND DATE OF HEARING

MARCH 8, 1957.

In the matters of South-Tex Corporation,1 Docket Nos. G-4763 and G-10465; Michaelis Drilling Company, Operator, et al.,2 Docket No. G-8704; R. E. Hibbert, Operator,3 Docket No. G-9879; Stanolind Oil and Gas Company, Docket No. G-10115; Texas Pacific Coal and Oil Company, Docket No. G-10155; Southern Coast Corporation and Jay Simmons, Operator, et al., Docket No. G-10402; Hays and Company, Agent for R. T. Keith No. 1, Docket No. G-10741; T. C. Cain Gas Company, Docket No. G-10742; Delaware Gas Company, Docket No. G-10743; Hunter M. Bennett, Joker Lease, D. L. Gainer, Agent, Docket No. G-10744; Willard E. Ferrell, Manager-Agent for Pine Run Development Company, Docket No. G-10746; H. H. Allen Gas Company, Docket No. G-10747; Highland Oil Company, Docket No. G-10769; B. E. Talkington, et al., Docket No. G-10770; C. H. Lyons, Jr., et al., Docket No. G-10787; David Crow, Docket No. G-10813; L. L. Robinson, Docket Nos. G-10814 and G-10832; Ed Gibbons, Docket No. G-10831; Williams Pressure Service, Docket No. G-10834; Reed Starcher, Docket No. G-10864; F. G. Bish,

See footnotes at end of document.

Docket No. G-10865; F. S. Deem, Docket No. G-10866; H. L. Smith, Agent for Smith and Barker Oil and Gas Company, Docket No. G-10867; Wyiant Gas Company, Docket No. G-10899; Pipe Line Construction and Drilling Company; Docket No. G-10909; McHenry Oil and Gas Company, Docket No. G-10910; Helen Irene Smith, Docket No. G-10941; Harris and See Gas Company, Docket No. G-10962; Crescent Production Company, Inc., Docket No. G-10966; Sun Oil Company (Gulf Coast Division), Docket No. G-11115.

Each of the above Applicants has filed an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, authorizing Applicants to render services as hereinafter described, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, all as more fully represented in their respective applications which are on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

Applicants, produce, sell or propose to sell natural gas for transportation in interstate commerce for resale as indicated below:

Docket No. G .: Location of Field: and Buyer

4763; Aqua Dulce, North Minnie Bock, Mantor-Briggs Fields, Nucces County, Texas, and South Bentonville Field, Jim Wells County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

8704; Hugoton Field, Finney County, Kansas; Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company,

9879; Lessly Field, Cleveland County, Oklahoma; Cities Service Gas Company.

10115; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10155; Hansford North Field, Hansford County, Texas; Northern Natural Gas Company.

10492; Harry Field, Webb and LaSalle Counties, Texas; West Charamousca Field, Duval County, Texas; an unnamed field and the West Adami Field, Webb County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

10465; Acreage in North Banquette, Aqua Dulce and North Minnie Bock Fields, Nueces County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

10741; Arnoldsburg Field, Lee District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10742; Yellow Creek Field, Sheridan District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

Natural Gas Company. 10743; Field in Barbour County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

ginia; Hope Natural Gas Company. 10744; Field in Lee District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10746; Field in Grant District, Wetzel County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10747; Smithville Field, Murphy District, Ritchie County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10769; Government Wells Field, Duval County, Texas; Tennessee Gas Transmission Company.

10770; Field in Union District, Ritchie County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10787; South Hallsville Field, Harrison County, Texas; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10813, 10814, 10831, 10832, 10834; Greenwood-Waskom Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation.

10864; Field in Washington District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10865; Field in Mannington District, Marion County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10866; Field in Sheridan District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10867; Field in Sherman District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

Company.
10699; Field in Lee District, Calhoun
County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas
Company.

10900; Field in Sherman District, Calhoun County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10910; Smithville Field, Murphy District, Ritchie County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10941; Field in Murphy District, Ritchie County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10962; Field in Salt Lick District, Braxton County, West Virginia; Hope Natural Gas Company.

10966; North Ruston Field, Lincoln Parish, Louisiana; Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company. 11115; Northeast Rayne Field, Acadia Parish, Louisiana; United Fuel Gas Company.

These matters should be heard on a consolidated record and disposed of as promptly as possible under the applicable rules and regulations and to that end:

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held on April 10, 1957, at 9:30 a. m., e. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the matters involved in and the issues presented by such applications: Provided, however, That the Commission may, after a noncontested hearing, dispose of the proceedings pursuant to the provisions of § 1.30 (c) (1) or (2) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure. Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Protests or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D. C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) on or before March 29, 1957. Failure of any party to appear at and participate in the hearing shall be construed as waiver of and concurrence in omission herein of the intermediate decision procedure in cases where a request therefor is made.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE, Secretary.

<sup>1</sup> Docket No. G-4763, filed November 9, 1954, as amended February 14, 1955, November 21, 1955, December 5, 1955, and March 26, 1956, covers service rendered on and since June 7, 1954, and new service. Docket No. G-10465 covers new service.

<sup>2</sup> Application filed on March 30, 1955, and amended on July 11, 1955. Applicant is Michaelis Drilling Company, a partnership, filing for itself and on behalf of the following

working interest owners: Dr. R. J. Maxfield and William Graham Oil Company

and William Graham Oil Company.

\*Amendment filed December 13, 1956, to include additional acreage.

\*Southern Coast Corporation is filing for itself and on behalf of Jay Simmons, co-owner and co-operator, who together own 75 percent working interest and lists Harry E. Murray, non-operator, owner of 25 percent working interest in the gas properties proposed to be produced. In addition, the application lists various producers from whom gas is to be purchased and whose leases are included in the acreage dedicated to the sales contract involved herein, which is between Southern Coast Corporation and Jay Simmons (sellers) and Tennessee Gas Transmission Company (buyer).

\* Et al. not listed in the application:

<sup>5</sup> Et al. not listed in the application: <sup>6</sup> C. H. Lyons, Jr., is filing for himself and as agent for C. H. Lyons, Sr., Hall M. Lyons, G. L. Logan, E. L. Hillard, G. F. Abendroth, J. T. Palmer, C. T. McCord, Jr., Calstar Petroleum Company, and P. H. Greer Co., Inc.; all are signatory seller parties to the ratification agreement dated June 11, 1956.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1914; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:46 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-11520]

Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co.

NOTICE OF CONTINUANCE OF HEARING

MARCH 8, 1957.

Upon consideration of the request filed March 5, 1957, by Counsel for Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company for continuance of the hearing now scheduled for March 12, 1957, in the above-designated matter:

Notice is hereby given that the hearing in this matter is postponed to commence at 10 a.m., e. s. t., March 29, 1957, in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1915; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:47 a. m.]

[Docket No. G-11980]

TENNESSEE GAS TRANSMISSION CO.
ORDER FIXING DATE OF HEARING

MARCH 8, 1957.

By order issued February 13, 1957, the Commission suspended the operation of FPC Gas Tariff, Seventh Revised Volume No. 1, and Third Revised Sheet No. 1 and First Revised Sheets Nos. 10, 11, 25, 36, and 37 to FPC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 2, filed by Tennessee Gas Transmission Company (Tennessee) on January 14, 1957. The Commission also directed that a public hearing be held at a place and date to be fixed thereafter concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications and services, or any of them, set forth in Tennessee's tariffs, as amended.

The Commission orders: A public hearing be held commencing on April 16, 1957, at 10 a. m., e. s. t., in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D. C., concerning the lawfulness of Tennessee's FPC Gas Tariff, Seventh Revised Volume

No. 1, and FPC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 2, as proposed to be changed by Third Revised Sheet No. 1 and First Revised Sheets Nos. 10, 11, 25, 36, and 37.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE. Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1916; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:47 a. m.1

[Docket No. G-12157]

NATURAL GAS PIPELINE COMPANY OF AMERICA

ORDER SUSPENDING PROPOSED REVISED TARIFF SHEETS AND PROVIDING FOR HEARING

MARCH 8, 1957.

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural), on Feburary 8, 1957, tendered for filing Fourth Revised Sheets Nos. 5 and 6 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, proposing that such revised tariff sheets become effective on March 11, 1957. Such revised tariff sheets would effect an increase in rates and charges under the First Block of Natural's Rate Schedule CD-1 of \$5,366,000 annually, or 10.5 percent, based on adjusted sales for the year ended September 30, 1956. The proposed annual increase is in addition to the amounts of approximately \$10,060,-000 per year collected since March 2. 1955, subject to an undertaking to assure refund of excess charges in the proceeding in Docket No. G-3123. Hearings in Docket No. G-3123 have been concluded, the matter is now in the briefing stage of the administrative process, and, thus, is pending before the presiding examiner for initial decision.

The proposed increase is largely based on (1) so-called average weighted field price of gas at the wellhead in lieu of actual cost for Natural's own gas production, (2) a rate of return of 61/2 percent, and (3) claimed increases in other

operating expenses.

g

e

a

5,

9.

n

ne

٧-

ic

lg

n,

More specifically, the major adjust-

ments, among others, include:

(a) An allowance of \$6,035,531 for Natural's own production, based on a so-called average weighted field price of 9.67¢ per M. c. f. in the Panhandle Field of Texas. No showing is presented of the unit cost of Natural's own production as reflected by the books and records of the company as a basis of comparison.

(b) Return of \$4,103,915 based upon a claimed rate of return of 61/2 percent upon year-end rate base of \$63,137,157. after exclusion of production and gasoline extraction properties, and which rate of return may not be fair and

reasonable.

(c) Claimed income tax allowance of \$3,620,852, of which Federal income taxes are estimated to be \$3,595,682 and state income taxes, \$25,170, associated with the claimed rate of return of 61/2 percent.

(d) Treatment of Natural's gasoline extraction operations as non-jurisdictional and crediting claimed cost of service with an amount of asserted Btu loss in such operation of \$607,641.

by a net of \$562,417, including \$152,000 in increased cost of gas purchased from Colorado Interstate Gas Company pursuant to rates and charges under suspension in Docket No. G-11717 as well as to reflect asserted and estimated changes in supply sources, prices and volumes, which may or may not occur.

(f) Use of a year-end rate base instead of an average net rate base, an adjust-

ment which may not be proper.

The net effect of the adjustments results in a claimed cost of service for the test year of \$56,707,171, of which \$46,467,556 is allocated to the First Block of Natural's CD-1 sales. Natural proposes to recoup this amount by increasing the demand charge of the First Block of Rate Schedule CD-1 from \$1.30 per Mcf per month to \$1.44 and the commodity charge from 17.55 cents per Mcf to 19.94 cents.

The increased rates and charges proposed by Natural in Fourth Revised Sheets Nos. 5 and 6 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, have not been shown to be justified, and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential or otherwise

unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing, pursuant to the authority contained in section 4 of the Natural Gas Act, concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services of Natural's FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, as proposed to be amended by Fourth Revised Sheets Nos. 5 and 6, and that said proposed revised tariff sheets be suspended as hereinafter ordered and the use thereof be deferred pending hearing and decision thereon, except as they may become effective as provided by the Natural Gas Act.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 4, 15 and 16 of the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's general rules and regulations, including rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR Ch. I), a public hearing be held at a time and place to be fixed by further order of the Commission, concerning the lawfulness of the rates, charges, classifications, and services, or any of them, subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, of Natural's FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, as proposed to be amended by Fourth Revised Sheets Nos. 5 and 6.

(B) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, Fourth Revised Sheets Nos. 5 and 6 to Natural's FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, be and the same are hereby suspended and their use deferred until August 11, 1957, and until such further time as they may be made effective in the manner prescribed by the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Interested State commissions may participate as provided by §§ 1.8 and 1.37

(e) Adjustment of purchased gas costs Commission's rules of practice and procedure.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE. Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1917; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:47 a.m.]

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 2-11751]

GULF SULPHUR CORP.

ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION

MARCH 7. 1957.

77

Gulf Sulphur Corporation (Company) has filed an application under section 310 (b) (1) (ii) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 for a finding by the Commission that trusteeship of the Chase National Bank under an Indenture dated as of July 1, 1955 (1955 Indenture), which was heretofore qualified under the act, and trusteeship by The Chase Manhattan Bank under a proposed indenture to be dated as of December 15, 1956 (1956 Indenture) not to be qualified under the Act, is not so likely to involve a material conflict of interest as to make it necessary in the public interest or for the protection of investors to disqualify said Trustee from acting as such under the 1955 Indenture and the 1956 Indenture.

It appears to the Commission that: 1. The company proposes to issue \$750,000 principal amount of the company's 6 percent Series C Convertible Debentures under the 1956 Indenture. The Chase Manhattan Bank would be the Trustee. The fifteen purchasers of these Debentures would take for investment rather than distribution. The 1956 Indenture will not be qualified under the act.

2. The 1955 Indenture provided for the issuance of \$3,000,000 principal amount of the company's 5 percent Series A Convertible Debentures and \$1,500,000 principal amount of its Series B Convertible Debentures. This Indenture was qualified under the act.

3. Both Indentures are wholly unsecured.

4. Aside . from differences as to amounts, dates, interest rates, redemption prices and other procedural differences, most of the provisions of the two Indentures are alike.

5. The differences between the 1955 Indenture and the 1956 Indenture are not likely to involve a conflict of interest

in the trusteeship.

Notice of the filing of the application having been duly given, the Company having waived hearing thereon, the Commission not having received a request for a hearing within the period specified in the notice of application and opportunity for hearing, and a hearing not appearing necessary or appropriate in the public interest or for the protection of investors:

It is ordered, That the application of Gulf Sulphur Corporation, pursuant to (f) (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37 (f)) of the section 310 (b) (1) (ii) of the Trust In-

By the Commission.

ORVAL L. DUBOIS, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1919; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:47 a. m.l

### [File No. 70-3557]

NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM ET AL.

NOTICE OF FILING REGARDING ISSUE AND SALE BY SUBSIDIARIES OF PROMISSORY NOTES TO BANKS AND PARENT COMPANY

MARCH 8, 1957.

Notice is hereby given that a joint application-declaration has been filed with this Commission, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("the act"), by New England Electric System ("NEES"), a registered holding company, and twenty-two of its subsidiary public-utility companies. namely, Amesbury Electric Light Company ("Amesbury"), Attleboro Electric Company ("Attleboro"), Central Massachusetts Gas Company ("Central Mass."), Essex County Electric Company ("Essex"), Granite State Electric Company ("Granite"), Haverhill Electric Company ("Haverhill"), Lawrence Electric Company ("Lawrence"), Lawrence Gas Company ("Lawrence Gas"), The Lowell Electric Light Corporation ("Lowell"), The Mystic Power Company ("Mystic"), Mystic Valley Gas Company ("Mystic Valley"), Northampton Electric Lighting Company ("Northampton"), Northampton Gas Light Com-pany ("Northampton Gas"), North ("Northampton Gas"), Shore Gas Company ("North Shore"), Northern Berkshire Electric Company ("Northern"), Norwood Gas Company ("Norwood"), The Pequot Gas Company ("Pequot"), Quincy Electric Company (Quincy"), Southern Berkshire Power & Electric Company ("South-Suburban Electric Company ("Surburban"), Wachusett Gas Company ("Wachusett"), and Weymouth Light and Power Company ("Weymouth") (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the borrowing companies"). NEES and the borrowing companies have designated sections 7, 10 and 12 of the act and Rules U-42 (b) (2), U-43, and U-50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions, which are summarized as follows:

The borrowing companies propose to issue, from time to time but not later than June 30, 1957, unsecured promissory notes (a) to banks in the aggregate principal amount of \$28,522,000 and (b) to NEES in the aggregate principal amount of \$13,290,000 or a total of \$41,812,000. Most of the proceeds of the notes will be employed to pay notes previously issued and which mature March 29, 1957, with new money requirements of the borrowing companies to June 30, 1957 estimated at \$4,755,000. The maximum amount of the proposed notes to be outstanding at any one time (a) with banks will not exceed \$28,522,000 and (b) with NEES will not exceed \$13,365,000 and (c) with the total at all times limited

denture Act of 1939 be, and it hereby is, to \$34,527,000. Each of such notes will mature in not more than six months from the issue date thereof and will bear interest at the prime rate of interest charged by banks for similar notes at the time of issuance thereof. It is stated that the present prime rate of interest is 4 percent. With respect to any notes proposed to be issued by the borrowing companies to banks to prepay then outstanding notes payable to NEES, if the interest rate for the notes proposed to be issued exceeds the interest rate on the notes proposed to be prepaid, NEES will file an amendment to this statement setting forth the proposed amount of the note or notes and the proposed interest rate thereon, which amendment shall become effective in accordance with the procedure prescribed by Rule U-23 or Rule U-24 or such order as the

Commission may issue pursuant to Rule U-20 (a) or Rule U-100. With respect to each note proposed to be issued by the borrowing companies to NEES to prepay then outstanding notes payable to banks, the interest rate will be the lower of (1) the interest rate on the note or notes being prepaid or (2) the prime interest rate at the time the new note is issued, but with respect to (1) only to the date of maturity of the prepaid note or notes and thereafter at the prime rate of interest at the issue date of the new note.

The following table shows for each borrowing company (1) the aggregate amount of notes proposed to be issued to banks and to NEES, and (2) the maximum amount of notes to be outstanding with banks and with NEES at any one

f000's omitted]

	Aggregate notes pro issued		Maximum amount of notes to be outstanding				
	Banks	NEES	Banks	NEES	Banks or NEES		
Amesbury	570	\$500 570	675	\$350	\$150 570		
Essex			3, 250 900 3, 000				
Lawrence Lawrence Gas. Lowell	950 1,500	5, 725	1,500 1,600	4, 775	950		
Mystic	2, 650 570		125 2, 650 570				
Northampton Gas North Shore Northern	615	915	615	400	990		
Norwood Pequot Quincy	52	1.850	52	480	1, 850		
SouthernSuburban	1, 275	1,000	1, 275 3, 950		_,		
Wachusett Weymouth		2, 850	400		2,850		
Total	28, 522	13, 290	21, 162	6,005	7, 360		

While no definite arrangements have yet been made by any of the borrowing companies, it is expected that borrowings from banks will be made from any one or more of the following:

The First National Bank of Boston, Boston, Massachusetts.

Second Bank-State Street Trust Company, Boston, Massachusetts.

The Chase Manhattan Bank, New York. The Hanover Bank, New York,

Irving Trust Company, New York.
The New York Trust Company, New York.

The First National City Bank of New York. Hartford National Bank & Trust Company, Hartford, Connecticut (Mystic River Branch). First National Bank, Northampton, Mas-

sachusetts. Northampton National Bank, Northampton. Massachusetts.

Granite National Bank, Quincy, Massachusetts.

Norfolk County Trust Company, Quincy, Massachusetts.

Quincy Trust Company, Quincy, Massachusetts.

Haverhill National Bank, Haverhill, Massachusetts.

The Andover and Merrimac National Bank, Haverhill, Massachuestts.

First National Bank, Adams, Massachu-

Greylock National Bank, Adams, Massachusetts.

North Adams National Bank, North Adams, Massachusetts.

North Adams Trust Company, North Adams, Massachusetts.

First National Bank, Malden, Massachu-

Malden Trust Company, Malden, Massachusetts.

Middlesex County National Bank, Everett, Massachusetts. Union National Bank, Lowell, Massachu-

setts. Arlington Trust Company, Lawrence, Mas-

sachusetts. Bay State Merchants National Bank,

Lawrence, Massachusetts. Attleboro Trust Company, Attleboro, Massachusetts.

First National Bank, Attleboro, Massachusetts.

Merchants National Bank, Salem, Massachusetts.

Naumkeag Trust Company, Salem, Massachusetts.

The proceeds to be derived from the issuance of the proposed notes will be used by the borrowing companies to pay then outstanding notes or to pay for construction expenditures. During the period to June 30, 1957 the issuance of an aggregate amount of \$20,500,000 of permanent securities is contemplated by the borrowing companies.

Incidental services in connection with the proposed note issues will be performed at cost by New England Power Service Company, an affiliated service

company, such cost being estimated not to exceed \$200 for each applicant-declarant, or an aggregate of \$4,600.

The Public Utilities Comission of New Hampshire has authorized the borrowing proposed by Granite and the joint application-declaration states that no other State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than March 25, 1957, at 5:30 p.m., request the Commission in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request and the issues of fact or law, if any, raised by the said joint applicationdeclaration which he desires to controvert, or may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C. At any time after said date, said joint application-declaration, as filed or as amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule U-23 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules as provided in Rule U-20 (a) and Rule U-100 or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DUBOIS, Secretary,

[F. R. Doc. 57-1921; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:48 a. m.]

[File No. 70-3568]

COLUMBIA GAS SYSTEM. INC.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED ISSUE AND SALE OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK PURSUANT TO UNDERWRITTEN RIGHTS OFFERING

MARCH 8, 1957.

Notice is hereby given that The Columbia Gas System, Inc. ("Columbia"), a registered holding company, has filed a declaration pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("act"), designating sections 6 and 7 thereof and Rule U-50 thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction, which is summarized as follows:

Columbia proposes to offer 1,675,415 shares of its authorized and unissued Common Stock without par value ("New Common Stock") to the holders of its outstanding shares of Common Stock. Such offer will give to such stockholders (a) the Primary Subscription Right to subscribe for shares of the New Common Stock on the basis of one share of the New Common Stock for each thirteen shares of Common Stock held of record as of the close of business on April 3, 1957; and (b) the Additional Subscription Privilege to subscribe for such number of shares of the New Common Stock as are not subscribed for through the exercise of Primary Subscription Rights,

subject (in the event of oversubscrip- take such other action as it may deem tion) to allocation based on the number appropriate. of shares subscribed for pursuant to the Primary Subscription Right. 'Transferable warrants evidencing the Primary Subscription Right and the Additional Subscription Privilege will be issued to the stockholders on or about April 3, 1957. The subscription period will expire at 3:30 p. m. on April 22, 1957.

The price at which the New Common Stock will be offered to stockholders will be determined by Columbia on April 2, 1957, and will be not less than 85 percent of the closing price of the Common Stock on the New York Stock Exchange on

April 1, 1957.

Fractional shares of the New Common Stock will not be issued. Columbia's agent will provide, without cost, facilities for the purchase of Rights (not exceeding 12) necessary to subscribe for one or more additional full shares of the New Common Stock or for the sale of Rights (not exceeding 12) in excess of those necessary to so subscribe.

The offer to stockholders will be underwritten, and Columbia proposes to publicly invite sealed bids on March 28, 1957, for the purchase at the subscription price of such shares of the New Common Stock as are not subscribed through the exercise of the Primary Subscription Right and the Additional Subscription Privilege ("Unsubscribed Shares"). The bids to be received will cover only the total compensation to be paid to the prospective bidders for their services in purchasing the Unsubscribed Shares.

This is described as the first step in Columbia's 1957 financing program. The net proceeds from the sale of the New Common Stock will be added to the company's general funds and, supplemented by cash to be generated from operations and additional public financing, will be used to make additional investments in subsidiary companies to aid in financing their 1957 construction program, estimated at approximately \$84,000,000, and for other investments.

It is stated that no other Commission has jurisdiction over the proposed trans-

A statement of the fees and expenses to be incurred by Columbia in connection with said transaction will be supplied by amendment.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than March 25, 1957, at 5:30 p. m., request the Commission in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law, if any, raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D. C. At any time after said date the declaration, as filed or as amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule U-23 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules as provided in Rules U-20 (a) and U-100, or

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DUBOIS. Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1920; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:47 a. m.1

### INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

MARCH 8, 1957.

THE STATE OF THE S

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

### LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 33359: Paint material-Port Wentworth and Savannah, Ga., to official and Illinois territories. Filed by St. Louis-San Francisco Railway Company, Agent, for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on paint material, namely, titanium dióxide, carloads from Port Wentworth and Savannah, Ga., to points in Illinois and official territories.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes. in part west of the Mississippi River.

FSA No. 33360: T. O. F. C. service-between N. Y. N. H. & H. stations and points in official territory. Filed by The New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad Company, for itself and other interested rail carriers. Rates on various commodities, moving on class and commodity rates, loaded in or on trailers and transported on railroad flat cars between stations on the New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island, on the one hand, and points on its railroad connections in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, New York, Ohio and Pennsylvania named in aforesaid schedule on the other.

Grounds for relief: Motor truck competition and circuitous routes.

Tariff: New York, New Haven and Railroad Company Hartford I. C. C. F 4431.

FSA No. 33361: Iron and steel articles—Kansas City, Mo., to Michigan and Wisconsin. Filed by W. J. Prueter, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on iron and steel articles, carloads from Kansas City, Kans.-Mo., to destinations in extended zone C territory in Michigan and Wisconsin.

Grounds for relief: Short-line distance formula and circuity.

Tariff: Supplement 52 to Agent Prueter's tariff I. C. C. A-3821.

FSA No. 33362: Class rates—Seatrain between eastern and southern points. Filed by Seatrain Lines, Inc., for itself. Rates on various commodities, moving on class rates between specified points in New Jersey and New York in the Port of New York area, on the one hand, and points in the port areas of New Orleans,

Savannah, and Texas City, Tex., on the

Grounds for relief: Water-rail, railwater, and rail-water-rail competition, and circuity.

Tariff: Seatrain Lines, Inc., tariff I. C. C. 149.

FSA No. 33363: Aluminum articles-Badin, N. C., to official territory. Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on aluminum billets. ingots, pigs, or slabs, carload from Badin, N. C., to Ohio River crossings and northern and eastern points in official territory.

Grounds for relief: Truck competition

and circuitous routes.

Tariffs: Supplement 38 to Agent Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. 1509. Supplement 17 to Agent Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. 1557.

FSA No. 33364: Liquefied petroleum gas from and to central territory. Filed by H. R. Hinsch, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on liquefied petroleum gas, tank-car loads from specified producing points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia to specified destinations in Indiana, Michigan and Ohio.

Grounds for relief: Market competition from southwestern producing points

and circuitous routes.

Tariffs: Supplement 116 to Agent Hinsch's tariff I. C. C. 4446 and two other tariffs.

FSA No. 23365: Petroleum and products-Norfolk, Va., group to North Carolina. Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on gasoline, including blended gasoline, kerosene, naphtha or naphtha distillate, tank-car loads from Newport News, Norfolk and Portsmouth, Va., to specified points in eastern North Carolina.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes. Tariff: Supplement 26 to Agent Span-

inger's tariff I. C. C. 1561.

FSA No. 33366: Petroleum and products—Hopewell, Va., to North Carolina points. Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on gasoline, including blended gasoline, kerosene, naphtha or naphtha distillate, tank-car loads from Hopewell, Va., to specified points in North Carolina.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes. Tariff: Supplement 26 to Agent Span-

inger's tariff I. C. C. 1561.

FSA No. 33367: Barium carbonate-Cartersville, Ga., to St. Louis, Mo., and East St. Louis, Ill. Filed by F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on barium carbonate, in packages or in bulk, carloads from Cartersville. Ga., to St. Louis, Mo., and East St. Louis,

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes in part west of the Mississippi River.

FSA No. 33368: Molding sand—Saulsbury, Tenn., to New York and Pennsylvania. Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on sand, molding, bonded (naturally or otherwise), in all kinds of equipment, carloads from Saulsbury, Tenn., to destinations in New York and Pennsylvania named in exhibit C of the application.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes. Tariff: Supplement 96 to Agent Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. 1469.

By the Commission.

HAROLD D. McCoy, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1872; Filed, Mar. 12, 1957; 8:46 a. m.l

### FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

MARCH 11, 1957.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

### LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 33369: Liquefied petroleum gas from Buffalo and Harriet, N. Y., and Toledo, Ohio. Filed by H. R. Hinsch, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on liquefied petroleum gas, tankcar loads from Buffalo and Harriet, N. Y., to Canton, Ohio, and from Toledo, Ohio, to Portage, Mich.

Grounds for relief: Motor truck competition and circuitous routes.

Tariff: Supplement 116 to

Hinsch's tariff I. C. C. 4446. FSA No. 33370: Liquefied petroleum gas to Englewood, N. Y. Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on liquefied petroleum gas, tank-car loads from New Orleans, La., and group, Holt and Tuscaloosa, Ala., and Rogerslacy, Miss., to Englewood,

Grounds for relief: Grouping and circuitous routes.

Tariff: Supplement 26 to Agent Spaninger's tariff I. C. C. 1561.

FSA No. 33371: Coarse grains from Texas and New Mexico points. Filed by F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on corn. barley, oats and sorghum grains, and products thereof, carloads from specified points in Texas and in New Mexico on the Texas-New Mexico Railway to destinations in Arkansas, southeastern Colorado, Kansas, Louisiana (west of the Mississippi River), southern Missouri, and Oklahoma, also Memphis, Tenn., Natchez and Vicksburg, Miss.

Grounds for relief: Motor-truck competition and circuitous routes.

Tariff: Supplement 82 to Agent Kratz-

meir's tariff I. C. C. 3831.

FSA No. 33372: Cottonseed products from and to points in the Southwest. Filed by F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on cottonseed oil cake or meal, peanut oil cake or meal and peanut stem meal, carloads, also cottonseed hulls, ground, in bags or in bulk, carloads from, to and between points in the Southwest.

Grounds for relief: Short-line distance formula, motor truck competition, and circuitous routes.

Tariff: Supplement 62 to Agent Kratzmeir's tariff I. C. C. 3972.

Chicago, Ill., to stations in Oklahoma.

Filed by F. C. Kratzmeir, Agent, for interested rail carriers. Rates on grain and grain products, and related articles. also seeds, carloads from Chicago, Iil., to specified points in Oklahoma.

Grounds for relief: Circuitous routes. Tariff: Supplement 55 to Agent Kratzmeir's tariff I. C. C. 3942.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

HAROLD D. MCCOY, Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1918; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:47 a. m.]

### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Office of Alien Property

MARIO PALANTI

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RETURN VESTED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property located in Washington, D. C., including all royalties accrued thereunder and all damages and profits recoverable for past infringement thereof, after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., and Property

Mario Palanti, Milan, Italy, Claim No. 57858, Vesting Order No. 201, property described in Vesting Order No. 201 (8 F. R. 625, January 16, 1943) relating to United States Letters Patent No. 2,271,030.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on March 6, 1957.

For the Attorney General.

DALLAS S. TOWNSEND. Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1926; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:49 a. m.]

### JOHANN ZAHRADA

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RETURN VESTED PROPERTY

Pursuant to section 32 (f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following property, subject to any increase or decrease resulting from the administration thereof prior to return and after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant, Claim No., Property, and Location

Johann Zahrada, Vienna, Austria, Claim No. 39937, Vesting Order No. 5067, \$2,309.64 in the Treasury of the United States.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on March 6, 1957.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL]

PAUL V. MYRON. Deputy Director, Office of Alien Property.

FSA No. 33373: Grain and products— [F. R. Doc. 57-1927; Filed, Mar. 13, 1957; 8:49 a. m.]

[Vesting Order SA-164] TRANSBULKANIA .

In re: Debt owing to Transbulkania; F-11-223, F-11-228.

Under the authority of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended (69 Stat. 562), Executive Order 10644, November 7, 1955 (20 F. R. 8363), Department of Justice Order No. 106-55, November 23, 1955 (20 F. R. 8993), and pursuant to law, after investigation, it is hereby found and

determined:

1. That the property described as follows: That certain debt or other obligation of The Chase Manhattan Bank, 18 Pine Street, New York 15, New York, in the amount of \$121.50, being a portion of an account entitled "Rohner Gehrig & Co. Inc., Special Account," maintained at the aforesaid bank, together with any and all rights to demand, enforce and collect the same.

is property within the United States which was blocked in accordance with Executive Order 8389, as amended, and remained blocked on August 9, 1955, and

which is, and as of September 15, 1947, was, owned directly or indirectly by Transbulkania, Sofia, Bulgaria, a national of Bulgaria as defined in said Executive

Order 8389, as amended.
2. That the property described herein is not owned directly by a natural person.

There is hereby vested in the Attorney General of the United States the property described above, to be administered, sold, or otherwise liquidated, in accordance with the provisions of Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of

1949, as amended.

It is hereby required that the property described above be paid, conveyed, transferred, assigned and delivered to or for the account of the Attorney General of the United States in accordance with directions and instructions issued by or for the Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property, Department of Justice.

The foregoing requirement and any supplement thereto shall be deemed instructions or directions issued under Title II of the International Claims Settlement Act of 1949, as amended. Attention is directed to section 205 of said Title II (69 Stat. 562) which provides

Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property made to the President or his designee pursuant to this title, or any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued under this title, shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect of any such payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery made in good faith in pursuance of and in reliance on the provisions of this title, or of any rule, regulation, instruction, or direction issued thereunder.

Executed at Washington, D. C., on March 6, 1957.

For the Attorney General.

DALLAS S. TOWNSEND, [SEAL] Assistant Attorney General, Director, Office of Alien Property.

[F. R. Doc. 57-1848; Filed, Mar. 11, 1957; 8:50 a. m.]