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## THE EUTHYPHRO OF PLATO.

## INTRODUCTION.

"In the Meno, Anytus had parted from Socrates with the threatening words, that 'in any city, and particularly in the city of Athens, it is easier to do men harm than to do them good :' and Socrates was anticipating another opportunity of talking with him. In the Euthyphro, Socrates is already awaiting his trial for impiety in the porch of the king Archon. But before the trial proceeds Plato would like to put the world on their trial, and convince them of ignorance in that very matter touching which Socrates is accused. An incident which may perhaps really have occurred in the family of Euthyphro, a learned Athenian diviner and soothsayer, furnishes the occasion of the discussion."

In these words Professor Jowett opens his introduction to the dialogue of the Euthyphro, one of the smaller but not less interesting dialogues which are concerned with the trial and condemnation of Socrates on a charge of impiety. To grasp the bearing of the dialogue, and to realise fully the circumstances of it, it will be well to glance shortly at the history of Greek religious thought, at the phenomenon of Socrates and his method of inquiry, and at the collision, as Plato
gives it, between the Athenian philosopher and the Athenian Conservatives.

And first, with regard to the origin and progress of religious inquiry in Greece, we must look back to the mythical Greece of Achilles, of Theseus, and of Agamemnon, as a country where the king rules, the priests perform religious offices, and the people obey both, as a matter of course, and as an obedience to tradition. The kings and the priests rule because they have found power placed in their hands, and the people obey because it has never occurred to them to do otherwise, or to question the divine right of kings-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi \rho \text { òs } \Delta \text { tòs cioúa }{ }^{2} \text { at, }{ }^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

nor to dispute the propriety of religious observances. They were taught that the man who did his duty to his country and his country's gods was sure to prosper, that he would be $\theta$ हois $\phi i \lambda o s$, just as one who trespassed was $\theta$ عois $\varepsilon$ ह́ $\begin{aligned} & \theta \rho o ̀ s . ~ S u c h ~ w a s ~ t h e ~ r e l i g i o u s ~ a t t i t u d e, ~ u n-~\end{aligned}$ inquiring and restful.

By far the most important part of religion at this period was the observance of outward forms ${ }^{2}$-forms which marked the worshipper as a true brother and member of the state under whose auspices they were performed ; just as in the subdivisions of the state-the ppatpía or gentes-there were solemn sacrifices offered at stated times when the presence of all heads of houses - $р$ वátep $\varepsilon$ or clansmen-was required. In an early stage of civilisation such a mode of expressing confraternity was felt to be necessary, to prevent schism in the state and guarantee security by vows of mutual defence and good offices. This junction of the religious and clannish

$$
{ }^{1} \text { Il. 1, } 239 .
$$

[^0]sentiment made the former more interesting and the latter more sacred. And such was religion in its civil or political aspect. But if we turn to the side of religion which respected the individual-the subjective sidewhat do we find? We find that the belief of which these outward forms and observances were the symbols, was-at any rate with respect to the gods-the secondary and the minor consideration. Belief, actively exercised, scarcely occurred to the worshipper of this period ; and, if it did occur, had little importance attached to it.

Nor was it required as long as this unquestioning, obedient attitude was preserved towards religion. The prayers and sacrifices were regularly made ; and, although certain gods might be less respected than others for their cowardice or lust, still such anthropomorphism made the religion easier of acceptance as a whole. If it had occurred to a Greek of this age we are considering to say, "These gods are nought," he would have been laughed at, more for his inaptness than his impiety. "At any rate," the reply would have been, "they are the gods to whom our fathers prayed, and they were prosperous upon the earth: why then should not we follow them? Let well alone." Such a question was not suited to that age : the mind was not in a stage to receive such a consideration as the existence or nonexistence of the gods.

But the inherent activity of the Greek intellect soon began to move from this resting-place, stimulated probably by contact with the culture and science of Egypt. The birth of Thales, commonly known as the first Ionic philosopher, or physicist, an Ionian, is placed about 639 в.c. In him we see the beginning of a new stage of thought, viz. that of scientific inquiry. This inquiry took at first the direction of physics. Such a man as

Thales would have looked round upon the universe and said to himself, "What and whence is all this?" And inquiries of this kind continued to be made with more or less assiduity down to the time we are specially considering and beyond. The question, then arises-"How did such inquiries affect popular beliefs and popular theology ?" To answer this question we must ask first"Who was the embodiment of the old religion? and what was the conception of Deity?" And we shall find that the ultimate authority, the supreme being, of religious contemplation was Zeus, in effect a glorified man, not very remote from the popular conception of a Hercules or a Theseus. True, behind Zeus there sometimes peeped out a still more important authority-Fate, Dire Necessity; but practically the religious horizon was bounded by the conception called Zeus. He was $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \varepsilon \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \varepsilon$ : and the Greeks said of themselves, 'Ек $\Delta i o g ~ \check{\varepsilon} \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu$. Now when men began to speculate, the authority of Zeus, like the authority of all other matters of traditional acceptance, came to be questioned. And other sources of all existence began to be looked for instead of the god Zeus, the only quality which was preserved from the conception of a god being that of unity. So for cloud-compelling Zeus one physicist substituted water as the origin of all things, and another matter, a third air; again we have a higher ideal of being, and of mind, of number, and of change. Zev̀s öatıs пот' ह́бтiv, ${ }^{1}$ exclaims the chorus of old Argive senators in the Agamemnon of Aschylus; " Zeus whoever he may be," implying an instability of belief in a personal God that seems marvellously out of place in Athens the home of gods-an instability exemplified in many other passages in the earliest writers.

[^1]If such speculations, on the origin of existence as affecting popular beliefs and traditions, had been confined to the chamber of the speculator, their result might have been considerably retarded but hardly suppressed. As it was, they were not concealed but given to the world. The men who speculated were generally prominent characters, being attached to the court and person of some tyrant or leading politician; and from such a position the propagation of their ideas was easy. But as long as these ideas were entertained and these inquiries were conducted under the protection and with the countenance of powerful patrons, the propagation was but limited. Such inquiries did not touch the bulk of the citizens, who were not amenable to the philosophers' influence, but were confined to the court of the tyrant or the clique of the minister. They were the relaxation of the learned, not the gospel for the ignorant. Such was the position occupied by the earlier philosophers.

Passing on next to that period when despotism was everywhere making room for democracy, we find philosophy in bad case; and, in this regard, democracy shows more tyrannical than tyranny. For what tyranny had countenanced or encouraged-viz. freedom of speculation --democracy, in its puristic care of the children of the state, would not hear of. Let us illustrate this change of bearing by an example. Anaxagoras, born c. в.c. 500 , was an Ionian, settled at Athens, and the friend of Pericles. He had elaborated a system of philosophy in his mind, which left him no interest in politics. This want of political taste was, as we know, a heinous fault in a Greek state. Even Solon, the equitable lawgiver, had forbidden citizens to "be of no side" in a political contest. although Solon knew well that political contests
frequently were settled only by civil war. In conformity with these facts Anaxagoras was marked out as a man worthy of indictment; and notice what the indictment was. No's merely that he was guilty of impiety-that he had enthroned Noís as Lord and Father of all things in the stead of Zeus-but that he was guilty of sedition. He was accused of Medism, i.e. of Persian proclivities, when, as Maurice remarks, "probably the fact that there was such an empire as the Persian existing had escaped him." He was in consequence obliged to fly from Athens, and Pericles' reputation suffered a temporary eclipse from supposed complicity with the dangerous philosopher.

We have, then, in Greek thought at this time the onward moving and the retarding element. Let us examine them. The Greek word expressing sedition, or revolutionary tendencies, is $\nu \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ós; to be a seditious person is кaıviלєıv or $\nu \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho i \zeta \varepsilon \iota \nu$, i.e. a promulgator of new things. This word, bearing as it usually does a bad sense, embodies at once the conservatism (implied in the condemnatory use of the word) and a more important trait of the Greek mind, wnich we may call the Inquisitive, the Radical, or the Destructive, in whichever light we may regard it. The person who uses the word $\nu \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ ós disapproves of the $\nu \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s$, who is none the less a fact in Greek politics and Greek society. This latter trait was really the stronger, and became ultimately the pervading one in Greece ; but the conservative element was strong also and died hard, numbering amongst its defenders such champions as Aristophanes. In a matter of life and death-for such was this struggle regarded at any rate by the Conservatives-it is not to be wondered at if the hlows were not always well directed, or if they did not
always hit the mark intended. Such a misdirected blow -to carry on the metaphor-was the prosecution of Socrates for impiety by Meletus, Anytus, and Lyco. These prosecutors were men striking in the dark: they could feel that Socrates was a prominent and an assailable figure, and so they struck, but scarcely knew why. Like Anaxagoras, Socrates was a philosopher ; like him, also, Socrates was prosecuted for impiety. But before showing how this dialogue with Euthyphro springs out of the story of Socrates' indictment, we must pause to speak a few words about this unique and soul-stirring character, Socrates.

Socrates, son of Sophroniscus, was an Athenian citizen, born c. в.c. 468. He was of a constitution extraordinarily robust, and of an unprepossessing appearance. He had served with credit in military campaigns at Potidæa, Delium, and Amphipolis ; and he had taken part in public trials and in legislation. In the latter department he had gained a character of strict impartiality with some, and of obstinacy with most of the Athenians, owing to his rigorous obedience to his principle. He was, in one word, a man of strong conviction -that is the keynote to his character; and perhaps we shall not err in saying that the strength of his convictions was never surpassed by that of any other man. He attributed this strength of his convictions to a supernatural, accompanying influence, which he called his $\delta a \iota \mu o v^{\prime} o v$, or spirit. What is conveyed exactly by this term is hard to say. Plato's account of it is as follows: that it was a $\phi \omega v \eta^{\prime}$, or monitorial voice, that it had been with him from a child, that it prevented him from taking part in politics, and that it never originated action, but only prevented particular acts.

looked upon it as a direct spiritual deterrent, to guard him from wrong acts which ignorance or rashness might suggest, to the temporary subjection of his better judgment. The Christian will see in it a strong similarity to the voice of conscience. Dr. Riddell's note in his edition of the Apologia gives a full account of the passages bearing on the subject, and will be consulted with advantage. Socrates' psychological history was given as follows by himself : he had a great desire for wisdom and knowledge, in the search for which he never rested. But he found it so difficult of acquirement that he was nearly in despair. For in his search, although he approached, as was natural, all kinds of men with reputations for wisdom and for knowledge, scientific and otherwise, he found that-to use his own expression-they all of them knew nothing and yet thought they did. And this discovery, by the way, confirmed his belief in the Delphic oracle (see Note B, at end), from which he had learnt with surprise that he was himself the wisest man on earth. "For," he concluded "if all these would-be wise men say that they know and know not, then I, who do not know, but confess my ignorance, am in this respect wiser than them all." A negative conclusion, and one eminently characteristic of Socrates. He then made it the business of his life in the first place to convict men of their ignorance, and in the second to supply as much positive knowledge as could be educed from conversational intercourse between himself, his followers and friends, and the Athenian public. He did not arrogate the title and position of teacher in these conversations, or rather conversaziones; he was rather the director, who encouraged the conversation and pointed out who was on the right track, and where error lay. He met every man on equal
ground, presupposed no superior information in himself, but rather seemed to give others credit for it, and endeavoured by a method of conversational argument, as logical as the age permitted, to set in their true light and reduce to their truest form, any statements that might be hazarded by the speaker or might appear in the course of the conversation. A favourite means of bringing out the ignorance of a dogmatic conversationist was this affected ignorance of Socrates-his siphveiu, as it was called. By putting forward his ignorance, he would lead the other speaker on to rash assertions, the falsity of which could be easily demonstrated by a rigorous application of logic, thereby confuting positions which might often have been held by less aggressive advocates of their soundness. Another point of importance in Socrates' method is his recognition of the value of definition. "Define Piety," says Socrates. The answer is, "Piety is doing as I am now doing, viz. bringing a guilty man to justice." "No; that is pious," replies Socrates ; "a particular act of piety, not piety itself." In this word-fencing, which bears so conspicuous a part in the dialogues of Plato, Socrates is not always strictly consistent: he is not above using a little quibbling here and there to convict a man of false statement, so long as he is convicted. ${ }^{1}$ And to finish our sketch of Socrates as the cialectician, we must not omit a pleasant trait ${ }^{2}$-his repugnance to the idea of taking money for his teaching; nor his humour ; ${ }^{3}$ nor the unbounded patience with which he brought out a conclusion or demonstrated an error. It remains to say a word upon the outcome of Socrates' practice of conversation in Athens. The immediate outcome was the death of Socrates. And why? We

[^2]have seen the age of inquiry succeeding the age of belief and repose. We hear Athenian $\nu \varepsilon \omega \tau \varepsilon р i \zeta о \nu \tau \varepsilon$, asking of everything-" Why is this so? What authority have we for this statement, that institution?" Socrates lived in the very mêlée of such an age. Since the philosophers and poets had first started the ball of inquiry, it had been rolling with ever-increasing velocity, shaking and overturning everything that could not offer a firm resistance. Inquiry is a noble right of mankind, but, like all rights, is liable to perversion. Such perversion follows when the inquirers are unscrupulous, depraved, or ignorant. Socrates represents the enlightened inquirer; he was taken for the depraved one. Of this latter type specimens abounded, who were guilty of the moral iconoclasm, the excesses, the perversions of youth, the stupid insubordination to constituted authority, of which Socrates and his friends were accused, and for which Socrates paid the penalty of death. To conclude: we might not inaptly term the period of Socrates' accusation and death the Athenian Revolution; for in the mental history of mankind it was the culmination of the greatest movement the world has ever seen. At that time philoscphy, literature, psychology, and science were receiving a direction and an influence the effect of which has by no means yet ceased to be felt.

Whatever doubts may have been thrown on the authenticity of the Euthyphro as a genuine Platonic dialogue can hardly fail to be dispelled on its perusal. In its masterly delineation of character, its perspicuity of style, its grasp of dialectic, and its elucidation of truth by the confutation of error, it is worthy of a place
by the side of the best of Plato's dialogues, and although one of the shortest, it is one of the most typical. Here are the Socratic logic, the Socratic $\varepsilon i \rho \omega \nu \varepsilon i a$, the protest against the popular theology, the conception of unity in plurality, the antagonism against spurious knowledge, and the "conclusion where nothing is concluded"-all embraced in a short conversation of a few pages. The dialogue arises out of the prosecution of Socrates on a charge of impiety by Meletus, Anytus, and Lyco. In the words of Professor Jowett, quoted above, Plato would like to try the world for impiety before the world proceeds to try Socrates. And Plato takes, as a representative of the world, the Athenian world, that is, a man, Euthyphro. His character is best unfolded by the dialogue itself. He is what we might term a religionist-a man of forms and ceremonies, of an antiquated and outrageous theology, and of incurable prejudice. Plato introduces him to us as a prosecutor in a suit of painful grotesqueness-the prosecution of his own father for murder.

To explain this apparently outrageous conception, we must suggest that Plato has taken Euthyphro as a type of the Athenians themselves, and is attempting to put before the Athenians their own inconsistency, and has donned for the nonce the comic mask of Aristophanes. Just as, in the comedy of The Clouds, Aristophanes had represented a son beating his father as a result of iophistic teaching, so here Plato would remind the Athenians that their own theology and legislation can be, and is, brought to an absurdity and a caricature in the hands of its bigoted and unthinking professors. Socrates in this dialogue says, in effect: "You prosecute me for impiety, so be it; but are you free from
the charge of impiety yourselves, Athenians? The tales, the immoral and blasphemous tales, which make up a large part of your religion, so-called, are impiety, not my teaching, which would drive such abominations out of religion, and which you call radical, unconstitutional, and corrupting."

In Euthyphro then we have a picture of the conservative Athenian who is perfectly satisfied with his own religion, no matter into what glaring absurdities it may lead him. Now to see in what manner Socrates encounters this incarnation of bigotry and into what questions he attempts to lead the mind of the bigot. The main idea running through the Euthyphro would seem to be of this tenor. To define piety is impossible ; we cannot say what is holy, but we can act it ; and therefore let every man try to be pious and serve God, and not lay down the law about piety. Euthyphro, on the contrary, is quite ready to define piety or anything else with which religion is concerned, and Socrates, in his usual way, humours him and requests a definition. But the definition given is soon shown to be inadequate, and another is requested, and a third. In the first, Euthyphro says, "Piety is doing what I am now doing." Next, "Piety is that which is dear to the gods, or to all the gods." Thirdly, "Piety is attention to the gods." And when for the third time he is shown to have given an inadequate rule of piety, he does not take his failure to heart; he does not say, "I confess I know nothing certain about piety; pray teach me." No! he is content to leave certain knowledge alone, and go on in his own pretentious and superficial creed. He goes his way into the law court to contest against his own father the law as he reads it, and Socrates goes his; not however to
contest in a law court, but to seareh the wide world for an answer to his unceasing inquiry, "What is Right? Is there a man on earth who can tell me ?" until the Athenians weary of this questioner who is a reproach to their city and their creed, silencing his eloquent and earnest converse in the tomb.

## ANALYSIS.

Eu. What has brought you to the law court, Socrates ? Soc. An impeachment of corrupting the youth, Euthyphro, preferred by one Meletus, a clever lad; he is reforming the state, and begins by reforming me. He says I make new gods.

Eu. Ah! the Athenians will not listen to what I have to say on that subject; they laugh.

Soc. I wish they would only laugh, if they would hear as well. Well, and what is your suit?

Eu. I am prosecuting my father for murder.
Soc. Good heavens! What a theologian, if you can do that without fear of heaven's vengeance !

Eu. My dear Socrates, in a case of right and wrong, relationship has no place. My father killed a hired servant (a murderer himself) by wilfully neglecting him in chains.

Soc. Then if you are so certain that you are right, be my champion and be my reference; when they prosecute me, I will say, Here is Euthyphro, he knows that I am not wrong; fight out the question with him. Now tell me what is holiness and unholiness.

Eu. That which I am now doing in my prosecution, Socrates, is holy, just as Zeus acted towards Cronas, and Cronus towards Ouranus.

Soc. Why! do you believe all that? Do you think the gods fought and quarrelled as people say?

Eu. Certainly I do.
Soc. Really. But you didn't tell me what holiness is-you said, "This particular thing is holy." Now that doesn't tell me what holiness is. What is the general definition of holiness?

Eu. Oh! holiness is that which is dear to the gods, and vice versâ.

Soc. Stay! You said that the gods disputed, did you not? Then how are we to know, if they dispute, what is holy and what is unholy, for they will have different opinions? And it is not on minor questions, just as if you and I were to differ on a question of dates, but on the most important questions of faith and morals that they will differ.

Eu. They could never differ about justice being done, for instance.

Soc. No more do men; they are all anxious for justice to be done. The difficulty is what is the right? what is justice? When they are agreed on that, men and gods, they will do it, and not before. So you have not given me a rule for finding holiness. Shall we say what all the gods love is holy, and what they all detest, unholy! Eu. Yes.
Soc. Is the holy loved by the gods because holy, or holy because loved by the gods?

Eu. I don't follow.
Soc. Try in this way: everything borne, led, seen, become, loved, implies something that bears, leads, sees, makes, loves. And this something is prior to the other. Therefore, "the gods love," is a prior notion to "loved by the gods." Therefore also the gods do not love because a thing is god-beloved; they love a thing for
some other reason. And this other reason will imply a notion prior to the gods loving, just as the gods loving is prior to the notion god-beloved.

Then if you grant that the gods love holiness because it is holy, we shall have these three notions in order of priority and extension :-
(1) Holiness.
(2) The gods loving.
(3) God-beloved.

From these we will draw our deductions. And I am proving that holiness is not merely the same as godbeloved, as you say. For,
(1) If holiness and god-beloved were the same,

Then holiness would be a posterior notion to the gods loving;

But holiness is a prior notion to the gods loving.
Therefore it is not the same with the god-beloved.
(2) If the god-beloved and holiness were the same,

Then the god-beloved would be a prior notion to gods loving;

But the god-beloved has been proved to be a posterior notion to gods loving,

Therefore it is not the same with holiness.
So that you have not defined me holiness even now.
Eu. You are a Dædalus; you make the argument act like a moving creature.

Soc. No, it is you; but let us go on. Justice and holiness are not the same thing, are they? All holiness is just ; but it does not follow that all justice is holy. Just as it is true that all reverence implies fear ; but not true that all fear implies reverence.

Cannot we then get a definition of holinesa, by seeing what part of justice it is.

Eu. Oh, yes; holiness is that justice which attends to the gods.

Soc. Attends to them? As men attend to dogs and horses, \&c., to make them better and finer. But how do we make the gods better and finer; or help them to do great deeds, as the physicians' art helps them to cure sick people?

E'u. I don't know. If you do your duty in the way of sacrifices and prayers, you will be prosperous and you will be holy.

Soc. Oh! I see ; holiness is the science of giving and taking with the gods, a sort of business?
$E u$. Business, if you like-we give them honour and glory.

Soc. Things that please them, in fact.
Eu. Certainly.
Soc. Ah! but we proved that holiness was not that which pleased the gods, the god-beloved, in fact.

Eu. Daedalus again ; you have brought it round once more. I must be off. Good morning.

Soc. Alack! alack! I thought I was to be told how to live and please heaven.
$\qquad$

## 

## CAP. I.


 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda e ́ \omega s ~ \sigma \tau o a ́ v ; ~ o u ̉ ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \pi o v ~ к a i ̀ ~ \sigma o i ́ ~}$
 $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu$ б́ $^{2}$


$\nu \in \omega ́ \tau \in \rho o v$. Stallbaum finds this use of the comparative, ie., newer than we already have, "novo novius," especially natural to the Athenians, who were always telling or hearing some new thing. But forms like "sæpius" will illustrate it better.
tiv Аvкєí . . . sc. $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma i ́ \omega$. The colonnades of the gymnasia were the resort of philosophers. This gymnasium was so called from the neighbouring temple of Apollo Lyceus.

סıaт $\_$pads. This word seems to combine the meanings of haunts and pursuits. The latter is preferable.
 that of Zeus Eleutherius; the Baбi入eús is that archon whose duties were religious, who are-
sided over prosecutions for imppiety or murder. Compare Theaetetus ad fin., $\nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \hat{\iota} \nu \nu$ oz ar $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\delta} \nu \mu \circ \iota$ є is $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau$ nov $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota-$
 $\gamma \rho a \phi \gamma \nu \nu$ ク̆ $\nu \quad \mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \in \rho \rho a \pi \tau \alpha$. . (The Lyceum and Eleutherium were at opposite points of the city.)
 "You have not, I suppose, as well as I . . ."
 rpaфض is used only of a public prosecution, under which head fell those tried by the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in u ́ s$ : $\delta i \kappa \eta$ is the general term. Its first sense (which we find in the next sentence) is "bill of accustation," lodged with the magistrate. Thus $\gamma \in \gamma$ pain $\pi a$, , "has had a bill entered," middle voice.

- 2

 ётє $\rho \circ \nu$;
B $\Sigma \Omega$. Oủ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ oûv.

$\Sigma \Omega$. Пávv $\gamma є$.
Ere. Tís ov̂tos;
$\Sigma \Omega$. Out ${ }^{\circ}$ aủtòs $\pi a \nu u ~ \tau \iota ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega, ~ \grave{\omega}$ Eủ⿴úфрov,



 yputov ס́é.



B. ova" $\gamma$ áp, \&c. "For I will not suspect you of bringing an action against any one." Lit., "For I will not think that badly of you (as might be vulgarly said) that you are accusing another."
ô̂v. If there is any logical sequence in the use of this partickle, we must understand some such ellipse as, "You know me well, and so cannot suspect me of that," ie. "of course not."
Notice that $\pi \alpha \dot{\prime} \nu v \tau \iota$ is generally found with a negative.
a $\gamma \nu \omega \prime$ s, passive, "obscure."
$\mu_{\epsilon ́ \nu \tau o t,}$ adversative particle.
"But his name . . ."
 Self $(579,4)$ the adverbial accustative, because it limits or defines the verbal notion of being. Cf. Her. 6, $8_{3}$, K入éádopos $\gamma$ 'avos

 member." Thus Socrates in the Republic ( 490 A), when re-


oîo $\tau \in \tau$., ie. $\tau$ oloûरò os दे $\sigma \tau \iota$ $\tau \in \tau \alpha \nu \dot{\theta} \theta \rho \iota \xi$, cf. Thus. $7,2 \mathrm{I}, \pi \rho \grave{s}$ änd pas тод $\mu \eta \rho o u ̀ s$, olovs каl 'A $A$ п $\eta$ aious, and Soph. Trach. 443 , $\pi \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ out $\chi$ ad $\tau$ ¢́pas (sc. ar $\rho \chi \in \iota$ ) oils $\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$. It is a species of the common attraction of the relative to the case of the antecedent.
$\tau \in \tau a \nu o ́ \tau$. with long straight hair. oủ $\pi a ́ v v ~ \epsilon u ̉ \gamma$. implying youth.
ėrírputov. Cf. 474 Rep. C.,
 ETVal.
ท̆ข $\downarrow \iota \nu a$, \&c. Through this statement of Socrates runs a vein of that quiet but suggestive and biting irony in which he is unequalled. This is not



 $\kappa а т \iota \delta \grave{\nu}$ ஸ́s $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i ́ \rho o \nu \tau о s ~ \tau о и ̀ s ~ \grave{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa \iota \omega ́ t a s ~ a u ̉ t o \hat{v}$, є́ $\rho \chi \epsilon \tau a \iota \kappa а т \eta \gamma о \rho \eta ́ \sigma \omega \nu \mu \circ v, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$, т $\rho o ̀ s$





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \tau a ̀ s ~ \beta \lambda a ́ \sigma \tau a s$ ठıaфӨєípovtas, ढ̈s $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu^{\circ}$

ip $\rho \nu \nu$ єía, which was a dialectical process.
C. тд $\therefore$. द̇ $\gamma \nu \omega \kappa$ reval. These words form the subject of the sentence: "A young man determining upon such an importent step." But translate, "It is no mean enterprise for a young man," \&c.
$\delta \iota a \phi \theta$. This corrupting influence must usually be taken of the moral side of a man's nature, though it trenches sometimes on the intellectual.
wis $\delta \iota a \phi \theta$. Understand $\bar{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$ from ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \not{ }^{\prime} \eta \nu$.
$\pi \rho \partial{ }^{2} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$. So Thrasymachus, in the Republic, when he is angered with Socrates for worsting him in argument, says, "Have you a nurse, Socrates?"
 oi er $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$;
то入ıтıкิิv. Jowett, "our political men." Stallb. and Matthiae, "politics." The latter seems preferable, which will then depend on $\ddot{\alpha}_{\rho} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta a$.
D. $\grave{\text { ob }} \boldsymbol{\theta} \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ s $\gamma$ á $\rho$. . . Supply $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \omega \bar{\omega}{ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, "For the right way to begin . . . . is to. . "
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \mu \in \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha l$. The middle here should be brought out; its force, "apply one's self to," ecg.

そैбovтa.. Notice this realistic future (instead of an ordinary conjunctive) pointing to the ertain result of a proper training. єikós, sc. Є̈ $\sigma \tau$ L.
 then, Meletus also," sc. in his moral and political husbandry : the particles mark the transition from the simile to the reality.
ions. Here again the strcasm peeps out $=$ "no doubt."
đòs $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \tau a s$. Keeping up the metaphor, "these young sprigs."

єєкка. This word, from the special sense of cleansing, has come to be applied to any removal of superfluous or objectionable matter, and is used of finishing a statue, ridding a land




## CAP. II.

 $\mu \grave{~ \tau o u ̉ v a \nu t i o \nu ~ \gamma e ́ v \eta \tau a l . ~ a ̀ т \epsilon \chi \nu \omega ิ s ~ \gamma a \rho ~ \mu о \iota ~ \delta о к є i ̂ a ̉ ~} \phi^{\prime}$

 סıaф $\theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ тoùs $\nu$ éous;
 $\phi \eta \sigma i ̀ ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \mu \epsilon ~ \pi o \iota \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ єîvaı $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$, кaì ผ́s кalvov́s



of pests, clearing an account, washing the interior of a corpse for preservation.

т̀̀ єikós. Implying a reference to the particular case in hand: " the natural result," opposed to eicós, "likely."
$\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \omega \hat{s}$, "without art," ie. "simply" or "plainly." With this adverb join à $\phi^{\prime}$ є́ $\sigma \tau i a s$. For the expression, compare Ar. Vesp. 846-

$$
\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} i_{\nu \alpha}
$$

 тıขá.
tit каl $\pi$. " Quid tandem facilentem," Stalls. Here cal adds a notion of disbelief in the idea expressed by the word it precedes. In every explanation of a Greek particle, it must be remembered that the voice and gesture accompanying it pro-
bably told much more than the word itself, which was often a mere peg on which they hung.
B. äтота . . . ảкоvิбаı. The sense is clear: "A monstrous charge, according to the account we have of it." A similar phrase is $\omega$ s 光 $\pi o s ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$, "using this expression;" and in the Philebus, 12 C., we have this phrase with the omission of $\omega s$,
 $\lambda \omega s \stackrel{\stackrel{ }{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \nu \tau$. It is called by Matthine the absolute infinitive.
$\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \epsilon$, my fine fellow : lit., "wonderful," "admirable."
$\pi o \not \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, a side thrust at the poetaster Miletus.
$\tau o v ่ \tau \omega \nu$ av̉兀. $\notin \nu$. "On these two counts."

Ma $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \omega$, "I hear" (colloquially), "I understand." For the $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \iota o \nu, \mathrm{v}$. Introduction, p. 7 .











є́кव́бтотє, " on each occasion." Thus we find it put in the same sentence with $\alpha_{\alpha} \in l$, Ar. Nub., 1279—


``` \(\Delta i ́ a\)
```



``` "every time he does rain.")
```

This rendering agrees with the intermittent and unoriginative character of the $\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ of $\nu \iota o y$.

каเขотоиоиิขтоs. The idea involved in this word is that of cutting into something afresh. Observe the vowel variation of this and other roots in different combinations. Thus-

Verb pres.- $\epsilon \iota, \epsilon$, or $\alpha: \tau \epsilon \mu \nu \omega$, $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega, \sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega$.
 Є$\sigma \pi a \rho o \nu$.

Verb comp. - о: каıขотоцє́ $\omega$, ठıáßoخos, ó $\mu o ́ \sigma \pi т о р о s . ~$

In translating, make two sentences of the passage : thus

Jowett, "He thinks you are a neologian ; and he is going to have you up before the court for this."
 seems to be going to play the part of a traducer."
C. $\check{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \epsilon i \pi o \nu . ~ A ~ c o m m o n ~$ attraction of the relative to the case of the antecedent. Cf.


$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} . . . \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} . \quad$ 'he first is adversative of the clause каíтоь . . ., the second of both clauses preceding taken together, and might be translated, "Well! we mustn't pay heed . . ."
 pugnare, " come to close quartars." Cf. Thuc. 2, $62, \dot{\delta} \mu \delta ́ \sigma \epsilon$ iéval toîs éx $\theta$ poîs, and Ar. Eccl.
 Dem. Dionysod. $12 \delta \delta$, I4, iss
 " When he saw us going straight at him, ready to prosecute," i.e.

## CAP. III.

 $\forall \eta ̂ v a \iota ~ \imath ̋ \omega \omega s ~ o u ̉ \delta e ̀ v ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma \mu a$. 'A $\theta \eta v a i o u s ~ \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \tau o u, ~ \omega ́ s ~$






 $\pi а \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ̀ \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu} ~ \sigma \epsilon a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma о-~$


Іั $\sigma \omega$ s où $\delta \in ́ \nu \pi \rho$. So Gorg. 447,
 үà $\rho$ каl í $\alpha$ бо $\mu \alpha$.
$\delta \in \iota \nu \delta \nu$. This word expresses a quality on which the Athenians prided themselves, viz. that of skill and daring combined. . Cf. the description of the chariotrace in Electra, Soph., 731, $\gamma \nu 0$ òs $\delta^{\prime}$ ov́ ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{A} \theta \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \partial \bar{s}$ ท̀ $\nu \iota o \sigma \tau \rho o ́ \phi o s$, "And the daring charioteer from Athens seeing it . . ." Compare the whole description of Athenian aggression and daring in Thucydides, 1,68 , seqq.
D. $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa$. "' Provided that he be not anxious to impart his knowledge, learning." $\mu$ '́ introduces a supposition, not a fact.

тoเยì, sc. тoเoṽтov. "But if they think any man of this kind is making others like himself."
$\epsilon \not \epsilon^{\prime} \tau$ ' oủ̀ $\phi \theta \delta \delta \nu \varphi$. Cf. Pericles' criticism of Athenian audiences, Thuc. 2, 35, ö $\tau \in \gamma \alpha ́ \rho, \xi v \nu \in i \delta \dot{\omega} s \kappa \alpha \grave{ }$
 $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s \pi \rho \partial s$ \&̈ $\beta o u ́ \lambda \in \tau \alpha i ́ l ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha<$




ö $\nu \delta^{\prime}$ ڤे $\nu \ldots \theta v \mu o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$. Omission of the demonstrative object after $\theta \nu \mu o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$. We should expect $\notin a ̀ \nu$
 The construction is due to the synthetic tendency which avoids a demonstrative where the sense can be preserved in a continuous relative clause.
$\dot{\omega} s$ $\sigma \grave{v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$. Here we notice Socrates guarding against a conclusion that is open to question. He never takes anything for granted that admits of question or of further substantiation. $\sigma \pi \alpha ́ \nu \iota \nu \nu \sigma \epsilon \alpha v \tau$. $\pi$ olєîv. Jowett paraphrases, "You are select in your acquaintance." Lit., " make yourself rare," or "ditficult of access."
vimठ $\phi \iota \lambda$. Explanatory, gives the reason. Lat., quae mea est comitas : it does not strike the Athenians so ; it is put in parenthetically so by Socrates to show why he teaches.











## CAP IV．

 фєúみєıs aủtク̀v グ $\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota$ ；

סокผ̂ av่ $\frac{1}{} i s$, ＂I am known，＇
＂I have the reputation．＂
adv $\nu \in u \mu \tau \sigma o \hat{v}$ ．This was one ot the great differences between Socrates and the ordinary so－ phist．Cf．Rep．337，D．，where Thrasymachus says they cannot expect him to expound his views for nothing．$\grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \partial s \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \alpha \theta \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ каl àmótıбоу à $\rho \gamma$ úpıò．
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каí．Here we pass into the sphere of the potential ：the potential particle $\stackrel{\stackrel{y}{\alpha} \nu}{ } \nu$ is employed， and the verb historic conjunc－ live．Expanded，＂$\epsilon i \tau t s{ }^{\text {Ex } \theta} \theta$ é $\lambda o l$
 Other neuter verbs of the kind are，$\kappa \in \rho \delta \alpha i \nu \in \iota \nu$ ，to gain ；$\xi v \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda$－ $\lambda \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, to contribute，\＆c．，Stallb．
out $\delta \grave{e} \nu \bar{\alpha} \nu \nu \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \eta$ ．Notice Socrates＇ lofty indifference to human weakness，if he can only obtain any sort of hearing for his gospel．

E．on $\pi \eta$ ab $\pi \dot{\beta} \beta \eta \sigma \in \tau \alpha$ ．Quo sci－ lice modo eventurum sit．
ar．$\partial \eta \lambda o \nu$ ，\＆c．Socrates seems here to betray an expectation that the movement bodes no good to him；veiling it in the usual Greek fashion with a euphemistic obscurity of ex－ pression．
$\phi . a v ̉ \tau \eta \nu_{0}$＂Are you being pro－ secured in your suit？＂Acc．of respect or further limitation． Cf．Ar．Eq．，6I7，$\pi \hat{\omega} s \tau \grave{\partial} \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu$ ， ar $\gamma \omega \nu i \sigma \omega$ ；and Dem．653，25， $\gamma \rho a \phi \eta\rangle \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．To be en－ gaged in a matter，a trial．So here，＂Are you defendant or prosecutor in your suit？＂Or， to explain it thus：－In such an expression the acc．will be found to be the case in which the sub－ stantive ordinarily occurs in kindred expressions ：ecg．，סíкך or $\gamma \rho a \phi \grave{\eta} \nu$ ，$\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\phi} \phi \in \sigma \theta a l$ ，\＆c．And it may then be transferred by analogy to intransitive verbs such as $\delta \iota \omega$ к $\kappa \omega, \phi \in \dot{v} \gamma \omega$ ．

Ere．$\Delta \iota \omega ́ к \omega$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tíva；


ENఆ．По入入ô̂ $\gamma \epsilon \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，ős $\gamma \epsilon \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \in \iota$ $\omega ̀ \nu \epsilon \mathcal{u} \mu a ́ \lambda a \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta v ́ \tau \eta s$ ．

## $\Sigma \Omega$ ．Tís oṽtos；

Е〒e．＇O є́رòs тати́р．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇O бо́s，${ }^{\circ} \beta$ е́ $\lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau є ;$
Eऽఆ．Пávv $\mu$ èv oủv．

Eऽఆ．Фóvov，ढ̉ $\Sigma \omega \kappa р а т є \varsigma ;$





ò $\delta \delta \omega \dot{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu$ ．Notice again this synthetic construction．
$\pi \epsilon \tau \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ ．A double sense is here intended．For the word means besides＂flying＂（the or－ dinary sense），to move swiftly．

 and Rep．567，D．，$\pi о \lambda \lambda$ ol $\bar{\eta} \xi \circ \cup \sigma \iota$ $\pi \epsilon \tau \delta \mu \in \nu o l$ ，said of people gather－ ing quiekly towards an object．
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \hat{v}$, gen．privative，acc．to Jelf．Matthiae explains it as a genitive of distance from，appli－ cable strictly to the first mean－ ing of the verb，but transferred to its secondary sense．Jowett， ＂Nay，he is not very volatile at his time of life．＂
$\hat{\eta} \pi o v$ ．＂Asseverationenı $\bar{\eta}$ cum dubitatione $\pi$ ov signifi－ cant，＂Stallb．＂Certainly，＂or ＂surely，I should think．＂
 right．＂Lit．，where the case stands right on any occasion
 $\notin \chi \in l$ ，\＆c．，\＆c．



 question does not treat of a chanace subject．＂So here，＂a chance person，＂＂any one．＂

B．ooфías．For this genitive， cf．Lysides，204，D．，$\pi \delta \rho \rho \rho \omega$ そुठ $\eta$
 Ar．Ran．35－
 $\beta a \delta i\left\{{ }^{\prime} \omega \nu \in i \mu i\right.$.
$\mu \in ́ v \tau o L$ ．If we are to find any adversative force in this particle here，we must suppose such an ellipse as，＂But（of course I see）they must certainly be．＂











$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oik $\epsilon \omega \nu \tau \tau \iota$ ．For Socrates would not suppose Euthyphro capable of pushing sueh an accusation against his father unless the plea of family satis－ faction at least could be urged．
$\tau \in \theta \nu^{\prime} \epsilon$ ．This participle is formed analogically from a syn－ copated form of the perfect．So
 $\nu \alpha \mu \in \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega ́ s, \pi \in \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \tau o s$, \＆̌c．
$\Gamma \in \lambda o i ̂ o \nu$, \＆c．This sentence requires careful analysis．The words à $\partial \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ où roùto，seqq．，give Euthyphro＇s view of what ought to be done in the case of a murder， he having dismissed Socrates＇ suggestion in the words $\gamma \in \lambda$ oîo ．．．$\tau \epsilon \theta \nu \in \omega$ s．But we must notice that ou refers to Socrates＇ mistaken view of the case，and does not belong to $\delta \in i \bar{\nu} \nu \quad \phi \quad$ ád $\tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．Leaving it out，we might paraphrase，＂Whilst the true course is to notice，＂\＆c．For the legal question，v．fin．Note．
 revolting idea of a son proceeding against a father for such a crime will appear less repulsive，though hardly less dreadtul，if we call to mind the intense belief of the

Greeks in an actual Nemesis or ＂providence of retribution．＂ The extreme and typical instance of this is the murder of Clytem－ naestra by her son Orestes，which， it will be remembered，was solemnly justified by the testi－ mony of a goddess．Aesch．Eum． Stallbaum adds another motive for the proceeding，viz．，the fear of being implicated in the crime；a view which he fortifies with an apt quotation from Hor． Od．3，2， 26 ：－
＂Vetabo qui Cereris sacrum Volgarit arcana，sub isdem Sit trabibus fragilemve mecum
Solvat phaselon．Sæpe Diespiter Neglectus incesto addidit inte－ grum．＂
そoov．Sc．to you as to him．
C．à фoбıoîs．Conjunctive prim． notice．
$\pi \in \lambda \dot{d} \tau \eta s$ ．These were attached to the soil，not actual slaves， but received a sixth of the produce they raised for their hirers．Hence called éкт $\eta \mu \circ \rho o$, द́p $\rho 0 \lambda a ́ \beta o 九, ~ \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon s$, villeins or serfs．

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau u v \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ àmooффáттє九 av̉тóv. ó oův











${ }_{\epsilon}^{e} \nu N \alpha \hat{\alpha} \xi \varphi$. Such an occupation (of a conquered territory) was called a $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o u \chi$ ia, and the holder $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{\chi} \chi o s$, or $\gamma \in \omega$ н́mooos. For the account of this colonisation, v. Thuc. I, 98, compared with Plut. Pericl. ii.
оікєт $\omega \nu$. These were the regular bought slaves, domestics.
D. $\dot{k} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau o v$. Used of an expounder of oracles in Herodotus. At Athens their duties were monopolised by the Eumolpidae, the guardians of traditional, unwritten law or usage ; their nearest counterpart were the jurists of Rome, cf.



$\omega_{\omega \lambda} \wedge \gamma \dot{\omega} \rho \in \mathrm{L}$. The latter part of this word is said to be akin to Lat. cura. Gk. oūpos, a guard, cf. "Aрктоироs, vereor, ward, ware, guard. We can certainly trace other words through exactly the same changes, e.g. :-

| Gk. <br> oìvos <br> ітє́a | Lat. | Eng. wine withy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | vinum |  |
|  | vitis |  |
|  | vimen |  |
|  | vieo |  |
| aî | vae | woe |
| $\stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{\sim}$ ¢ $\gamma$ о |  | work |

oư $\delta$ è $\nu$ ò $\nu \pi$. V.S. 3 fin. for the expression: a curious though common absolute accusatival construction, paralleled by Rep.
 $\tau a, s \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa a \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \quad \lambda \in \omega s$
 os à̀ $\nu$ тov̀тo $\delta \rho a ̆$. See also 604
 छ̀ $\mu$ фораís, ís oùȯè $\pi \rho u \beta a i v o \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \chi \alpha \lambda \in \pi \hat{\omega} s \phi_{\epsilon} \rho \rho \nu \tau \iota$.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \in \sigma \mu \omega \nu$, "the manacles he had on him," his chains. So in French, la tête, his head.
 The speaker is here hurried into some confusion of language by his vehement statement. Lit. " who has neither killed him.



 $\pi$ т́рı каì тои̂ àvơíov.
$\Sigma \Omega$. $\Sigma$ v̀ $\delta$ è $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta i o ́ s, ~ ఱ ̉ ~ E v ̉ \theta u ́ \phi \rho o v, ~ o u ́ \tau \omega \sigma i ~$
 $\kappa a i ̀ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\delta} \sigma i \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu o \sigma i \omega \nu, ~ \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \tau о u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ оúт $\omega$

 $\pi$ ) $\dot{\text { á } \tau \omega \nu \text {; }}$



so they say, nor, even if he had, should one give thought to a murderous wretch like the deceased." The second oür $\begin{gathered}\text { begins }\end{gathered}$ an entirely fresh sentence, although corresponding logically to the first où $\tau \epsilon$, which stands before a subordinate clause ; and so we should expect another dependent sentence after the second ovैт $\epsilon$ to this effect: "Nor, even if he had, properly liable to punishment for murdering such a wretch as this." oủ before $i \in i v$ is merely intensitive according to the common practice of using more negatives to emphasize denial.
E. $\pi \rho \dot{\text { d }}$, $\Delta$ tós, "before," i.e. "by Zeus."
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon, \& c$. Notice the se-
 où $\phi \circ \beta \in \hat{\imath} \ldots$. $\begin{gathered}\text { ö } \pi \omega s \\ \mu \eta\end{gathered} \ldots \tau v \gamma$ $\chi$ đánท̂s.
$a \hat{\imath}$, "in your turn," i.e. be committing impiety yourself
whilst accusing your father of impiety.

Oúdèv $\gamma$ áp. Elliptical. "No! For then ..." The phrase means, " 1 should be good for nothing," cf. Laws, 856 C, $\pi \hat{a ̂ s}$

 pretence of astonishment on the part of Socrates in the former sentence is meant to draw out from Euthyphro this unqualified assumption of theological omniscience. It is then assumed as a basis for a string of deductions, inevitably ending in the conclusion, "which is absurd," just as Euclid starts with his impossible assumption in a reductio ad absurdum. For the use of the proper name instead of $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ ', cf. Virg. Aen. i. 48, "et quisquam numen Junonis adoret praterea?" where Juno herself is the speaker.

## CAP. V.


 тро̀s Méגทtov aủtà tav̂ta $\pi \rho \circ \kappa a \lambda \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a u ̉ t o ̀ \nu ~$










${ }^{\text {s }} A \rho$ ' oủv, nonne? But acc. to Hermann it is a milder, less positive, interrogation than ' ${ }^{\prime} \rho$ ' oủ้ oủ.
$\pi \rho о \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. Like many verbs in Greek and Latin with two accusatives. Others are $\epsilon_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \omega \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu, \alpha_{i}^{i} \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu, \delta i \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu,{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \nu v ́ \nu \alpha \iota$, $\dot{\alpha} \phi a \iota \rho \in \hat{\imath} \nu ;$ and to take another example of this verb, ${ }_{\alpha} \pi \in \rho \kappa \alpha<$ $\tau \delta \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ そै $\delta \eta \pi \rho о v \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \mu \in \theta a$, Thuc. ii., 72, and below at the end of this passage, á $\pi \rho o v^{3} \kappa \alpha \lambda o u$ -$\mu^{-} \boldsymbol{\nu} a \dot{v} \tau \delta \nu$.
củтo $\chi \chi \in \delta L^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu . \quad \sigma \chi \in \delta_{i}^{\prime} a$ is a raft, or piece of light woodwork, knocked up for a passing occasion, hence a "makeshift." Hence the word here means to speak offhand, for the occasion, without sufficient grounds. It is especially applicable, Fischer remarks, to those orators or rhetors who would undertake to speak on any given subject without notice, making up for
solid information by means of fine language.
B. $\sigma 0 \phi \partial \nu \nu$ €ival $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o \iota \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$. For this construction compare Xen. Cyr., iii., 3, 9, oi $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha-$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \grave{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ т $\hat{\eta}$ є́aut $\hat{\nu} \nu$ €ॄкабтоs ó $\pi \lambda i ́ \sigma \in \iota$; Esch. Choe. 2I, Xoàs $\pi \rho о \pi о \mu \pi \sigma$ s, and at the end of this

$\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \quad \lambda \alpha ́ \chi \in \delta$. This dative is that of the remoter object, that is the person or thing affected indirectly by the action of the verb. It may be called the Dative of Interest, for under such a notion would fall a vast number of examples like the present in Latin and Greek, e.g. oi П $\lambda a \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon i$ is $\lambda a \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota$
 1378, ii., and av่ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \in \mathrm{K} \alpha \mu \beta \dot{v} \sigma$ ? $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \in \lambda \theta \in \hat{\imath} \nu \nu$ oik $\tau \delta \delta \nu \tau เ \nu a$, Her. 3, 14 . What is called Dative of Gratification we should put under this head.

 $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i ́ c$ à $\pi \rho о$ र̇калоv́ $\mu \eta \nu$ av̇тóv.







 ả $\sigma \epsilon \beta \in i a s$ є́ $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi a \tau o . ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta ı o ̀ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \mu o \iota, ~ o ̈ ~$

$\dot{a} \phi i n$. Notice this is not an intransitive use. $\mu \in$ must be supplied from $\mu$ ot.
à̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. The construction, which had become quite a direct one after its introduction by ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$, ${ }^{8} \tau \iota{ }^{\star} \epsilon \omega \omega \epsilon$, \&c., now changes back to the infinitive, depending really upon крव́ттбтóv $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \tau$, at the beginning of Socrates' remarks, as $\mu a \theta \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \hat{\omega} \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ did before.
a. $\pi \rho o{ }^{2} k$. à̉̀tov. For this construction, V.S. The object and effect of this supposed case which Socrates puts forward is to exhibit Euthyphro in the light of the reference and authority upon such questions of religion and morals as are being discussed between the two. Euthyphro takes the bait eagerly. He is quite ready to help Socrates if he is in a difficulty, and does not profess a doubt as to whether he himself can be mistaken, even though an Athenian audience laughs at him. His entire selfconfidence shows amusingly,
contrasted with the insinuating and humble professions of Socrates, who will learn anything he can from the omniscient Euthyphro. This is the cipoléia of Socrates, where he causes his companion to believe himself well-informed, whilst he really is not.
C. $\sigma u \theta_{0}$ ós, "unsound."
$\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\delta} \epsilon \nu$-more than $\epsilon \bar{i} \bar{\delta} \epsilon \nu$, "noticed." So Teiresias to Oedipus-
$\sigma 0 \iota \delta^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \mu \nu \hat{v}$
yaíouбav où $\kappa a \tau \epsilon i ̂ ̀ \epsilon \epsilon$.

Soph. O. T. 337.
סıï̃ $\chi v p i$ Íov $\sigma a \phi \hat{\omega} s$ cióéval. Cf. Phaed. II4 D., $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu$ èv oū̀ $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$
 oủ $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ עov̂ $\begin{gathered}\text { é } \chi o \nu \tau \iota \text {. For the }\end{gathered}$ fact, V.S. 4, E., init. ad fin.
D. $\pi$ oîóv $\tau \iota$. The force of $\tau t$ here, though untranslatable, is to imply ignorance, or an undefined notion in the inquirer's mind.








## CAP．VI．

 àขó⿱ı兀จ；







気 oo；nine？
$\kappa a \grave{\imath} \tau \delta \quad$ ảvó $\iota \iota \nu \quad \alpha \hat{u}, \quad \&$. ．， ＊whilst the impious，again，is that which is contrary to all that is pious，but is still itself like itself ．．＂
$\tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha}$ ，the indefinite again．Al－ though Socrates postulates one form（ $i \delta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \nu$ ）for the impious，he purposely avoids defining it－ ＂Some form or other which is one．＂

кал⿳亠㐅 to，＂ie．＂in virtue of its mm－ piety．＂$\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ must thus be taken with avi co，although placed at the end of the clause for the sake of rendering clearer the
dependence of the relative clause ö $\frac{1}{\tau}$ ，\＆c．

ડє́ $\gamma \omega$ тoìvv．Euthyphro here makes an error．Socrates asks in effect，＂What is your defi－ nation of piety and impiety？＂ This requires a general descrip－ timon or rule whereby we may know the one by the other； but Euthyphro only gives a special or particular instance or two，quite inadequate for the definition Socrates requires．He says，＂This and that is impiety，＂ whilst his answer should be couched in the form，＂Piety consists in ．．＂＂（See Note C， at end．）









 є́ $\mu \circ \hat{v}$.





 $\phi \dot{\jmath} \sigma \circ \mu \epsilon \nu$, oĭ $\gamma \in \kappa a i ̀ ~ a v ่ \tau o i ̀ ~ o ́ \mu o \lambda o \gamma o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̀ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$



 proof of the law being so."

ภั $\tau \iota \tau a \hat{\tau a, ~ \& c ., ~ " t h a t ~ t h i s ~}$ would be the right course to take."
è $\pi \iota \tau \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, "to give in." Cf. Her. 2, 120, $\tau 0 \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ où $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$

aù $\grave{\partial} \nu \mathrm{y}$ रáp, \&c. Stallb. compares for this story Ar. Nub.

 $\delta \grave{\sigma} \sigma \mathrm{as}$;
aùrol avivoîs $\tau \dot{\alpha} \quad$ èv. $\lambda \in \gamma$. "They contradict themselves," or "stultify themseives."
 init., a speculative, suggestive interrogation. So Jowett, "May not this be?" Stallb. wishes to make it a confident question, giving confirmatory power to $\gamma \in$; but this view hardly suits Socrates' humble approaches to the wisdom of Euthyphro.
B. à $\alpha \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$, " THEN I must give in." Notice the change to the plural in the pronoun. Stallb. finds in it a humble self-relegation of Socrates to the mass, or vulgar.
Фıìíov, i.e. Zè̀s фídtos. Cf. Ar. Ach. 730. Nal $\tau \delta \nu \Phi i \lambda ı o \nu$.
 $\kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, à oi $\pi о \lambda \lambda о \grave{~ o u ̉ \kappa ~} \imath \imath \sigma a \sigma \iota$.










 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda a \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$.

кая $\stackrel{\text { € }}{\tau}$ ८. Again an ellipse of the direct reply. V.S. 4, fin. où $\delta \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \rho$. Translate here, "Certainly, and besides these."
$\kappa a l$ $\pi \delta ́ \lambda \in \mu о \nu, \& c$. The point of this inquiry is to elicit from Euthyphro the admission that the gods dispute among themselves, and if so, what becomes of our ultimate authority for right and wrong, if it is variable, not fixed? In the Republic Socrates comes to a conclusion on this point, viz. that all these stories, representing the gods as either vicious or variable, are entirely wrong, and such stories are accordingly banished from his ideal state. Cf. Rep. 378, a very similar passage to this.

кal vimo, a break in the construction. Transl., "And the
devices with which (oloos if the construction were regular) our other sacred objects are adorned, notably the robe (which) is dovoted to Minerva." We should expect some verblike кататєтоик. after $\pi \epsilon ́ \pi \lambda$ os, but we are again surprised. The notion of adornment is put in apposition, viz., $\mu \in \sigma \tau \partial s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoเoúт. тоוк $\lambda \mu \alpha \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu$, and the place of the verb taken by the notion of offering, à $\nu \alpha$ $\gamma \in \tau \alpha$.
C. $\epsilon \mathcal{\delta}$ oî ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}$ at $\tau$. A colloquialism ; it is equal in value to an asseverative adverb, and like an adverb can be applied to any word in the sentence, e.g. Soph. Ant. 276 , $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \in \iota \mu$ र' $\gamma^{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu$ où $\chi$
 ch. 7, E, fin. infra.

## CAP. VII.






 $\pi a \tau \rho i$.




Ere. Kail 耳à ${ }^{\text {éćatuv. }}$



D. $8 \tau i \pi \pi \tau^{\prime}$ є $\imath^{\eta} \eta$, V. S. note on $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega$ тoívov. Here Socrates makes the objection there mentoned. "What impiety was generally ( $\pi 0 \tau \epsilon$ ), was my quesdion, but you tell me that this or that (particular case) is inpious, which does not help me to a canon of piety and impiety."

каl $\grave{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$. Here Euthyphro misses Socrates' point altogether. Socrates has therefore to bring home the difference between universal and particular by another method.
ell dos. The best explanation of this term is to be found in the words following :-" By virtue of which impiety is impiety." In other words, that quality or mode of action which makes a word or deed impious, without
which it would not be impious, which is common to and will be found in all impiety. The ex-
 corresponds to the expression
 D. fin., "Having one form in virtue of its impiety," ie. prosenting the same marks or characteristics of impiety by which it is known for impiety. This eidos was in Plato's belief a real, existing essence, the universal, whilst particular manifestations of it only existed in an inferior and unreal sense. From which it may be gathered that he looked upon our world and all that it contained as only " the shadow of things perfect." Cf. "Who serve unto the example and shadow of heavenly things." .



Er $\Theta$, "E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.



 $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau 0 \iota v \hat{\tau} \tau \circ \nu, \mu \grave{\eta} \phi \hat{\omega}$.
 on ф $\rho a ́ \sigma \omega$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. 'A $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ßov́ $\lambda o \mu a i ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon$.
 on $\sigma \iota \frac{}{}$, тò $\delta$ è $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \iota \lambda e ̀ s ~ a ́ \nu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu . ~$


モ้ф $\eta \sigma \theta a \gamma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \pi o v$. An example of Socrates' insinuating use of dialectic. Euthyphro had not actually made this statement. It had been made for him, and put in his mouth by Socrates, V. S. 5 fin., Є̌Xov $\mu i a \nu ~ \tau ı \nu a ̀ ~ i o ́ c ́ a \nu ~$

E. Mıą̧ iş́áa. Notice where this is tending. It has been granted that the gods dispute, and that therefore right and wrong are not fixed, unrariable; but now we are showing that they are fixed, one, and unalterable.
тараঠ̈́'i $\mu u a \tau \iota$. So in Republic,
 where $\pi a p a \delta$. is complement to $\tau o v \tau \varphi$, in apposition to it.
${ }^{2}$ Eбт兀 тoivvע. Here Socrates has succeeded in eliciting a general definition of piety and impiety from Euthyphro; whether it is a right one or a wrong one, he says, remains to be seen. It will easily appear
that we are at once involved in a contradiction by this defineion. Thus-

The pious is that which pleases the gods.

But the gods differ.
$\therefore$ That which pleases one god displeases another.

Again, the impious is that which displeases the gods.
$\therefore$ The same thing can be pious and impious at the same time.

This contradiction he now proceeds to draw out.
$\grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots$. . "Why of course I want to hear." $\gamma \in$ implying, "how can you ask?"
$\theta \in o i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \phi \iota \lambda$ ès. It will be noticed that the weak point in this definition, apart from the dilemma about the gods' dispates, is that it presupposes an intimate knowledge of the divine nature unknowable to men. As a definition, therefore, it is of no use.
$\dot{a} \pi о к р i v a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon$, oút $\omega$ vv̂v à à $\epsilon \kappa \rho i v \omega$. ai $\mu$ évтоt



Er $\Theta$. חávv $\mu \in v$ oưv.

## CAP. VIII.

$\Sigma \Omega$. Фє́ $\rho \in \delta \eta$, є̀ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, тí $\lambda \in ́ \gamma о \mu \epsilon \nu$. тò


 oủ ovid $\omega \mathrm{s}$;

ET $\Theta$. Oṽt $\mu$ 文 $\begin{gathered}\text { oưv. }\end{gathered}$
$\Sigma \Omega$. Kaì єv̉ $\gamma \in \phi a \iota \nu \in \tau a \iota ~ \in i \rho \eta ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$.





Er $\Theta$. Ei̋pŋтal خáp.



B. $\Delta о \kappa \hat{\omega}$, censeo. This personal use is not infrequent. Cf. Soph. 22I, A., ठок $\hat{\omega} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$, ठ̊тє

 In 12 init. we have, ${ }^{\prime} E \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \mu 0 \iota$ סoкê $\mu a \nu \theta \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \operatorname{l}$; where the constructions meet halfway.

 èvavtiov. Euthyphro seems to hint, "As if there could be any doubt about what I have given my assent to !"
 " What is the dispute, and about what do they differ?"
atp ar $\nu \in \epsilon$. We get ầ $\nu$ thus early in the sentence to show
that it is to be a potential one, a contingency. So oùk oîठ' ar $\nu \in i^{\prime}$ $\pi \varepsilon$ í $\alpha \iota \mu$, Eur. Med. 94I, where the potential particle $\partial v$, as in the present passage, qualifies the verb. So in Timaeus 26 B., oủk
 $\mu \nu \eta \mu \eta \pi \dot{d} \lambda \iota \nu \lambda a \beta \in i \nu$; where ar $\nu$ must be taken with $\delta v \nu a i \mu \eta \nu$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ảpı $\ell \mu o \hat{v}$, "about number," ie. "in a question of numbers." In this example Socrates is leading up to the principle, that questions on which we should differ would be the abstruse and complex problems of morals, religion, \&e. Hence the differences of the gods must be also concerning the most important and radical principles.
 $\dot{\pi} \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega, \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta L a \phi o \rho a ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \chi \theta \rho o v ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$

 $\gamma \in \hat{\imath} \mu \in \nu$;

Еఇఆ. Пávv $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$.

 $\pi a v \sigma a i \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ à̀ $\tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{s}$ סıaфopâs;

Ere. "E $\sigma \tau \iota \tau a v ̂ \tau a$.
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \beta a \rho u \tau є ́ ́ \rho o v ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а і ̀ ~ к о и ф о т є ́ \rho о v ~ \delta \iota а к \rho \iota \theta \epsilon i ̂ \mu є \nu ~}$ ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}} \nu$;

Ere. Пต̂s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ova;
$\Sigma \Omega$. Пєрì тívos $\delta$ è $\delta \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta$ Є́vтєs кaì є̇тì тìva






 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \gamma \grave{\omega} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \sigma u ̀ ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ o i ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda о \iota ~ a ̆ v \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s ; ~$
 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ т о и ́ т \omega \nu . ~$
C. $\pi \in \rho i \hat{i} \in \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \tau$. " In (trivial) matters of this sort."
io $\sigma$ davao, " "weighing,". lit, "standing" (act.) ie. "poising,"," "producing an equilibrium." Hence the name of statics, which is the consideration of bodies in equilibrium.
 tribunal?"
D. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \delta^{\prime}$, "these (subjects of dispute)."
$\gamma เ \gamma \boldsymbol{\otimes} \boldsymbol{\mu} \in \theta a$. A touch of realism in the middle of an imaginary situation. We should have expetted $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o\{\mu \in \theta a$ ar $\nu$. As the sentence goes on, we see how the transition takes place in the writer's mind, with the words, cal




ETఆ．Пол入ウ̀ ảváүкฑ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Kaì т̂̂v $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ äpa，© $\gamma \in \nu \nu a i ̂ \epsilon ~ E u ̉ \theta u ́ \phi \rho o v, \mathbf{E}$
 каì кадà каі̀ аïб $\chi \rho a ̀$ каì ả $\gamma \mathrm{a}$ à кає кака́．ои̉ үà $\rho$
 סєєфєроитo ŋ̄ yáp；


 тои́т $\omega \nu$ цıбоvิб८v；
E〒 $\Theta$ ．Пávv $\gamma$ є．




ET $\Theta$ ．Oṽ́тcos．

 ${ }_{a}^{a} \nu \in \notin \eta$ ．

Ere．＂Еоıкєv．



ETe．Kııסuvev́é．
$\tau i ́ \delta$ é introduces a new step in the argument．＂What then？＂
$\delta_{t}$＇avi $\dot{\alpha} \quad \tau$ ．，＂through，＂i．e． ＂on account of，＂＂in our desire to settle such matters as these．＂

E．où $\gamma \alpha$ á ，\＆c．That is，＂these are the only subjects upon which we can possibly imagine them differing－the highest and most complex questions．＂
$\alpha \lambda \lambda a$, predicate．
$\tau \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha े . ~ N o t i c e ~ a c c e n t . ~$
тov́т $\omega$ т $\hat{\omega}$ 入ó $\gamma \varphi$ ．Dativus Modi，Similar examples are
 Latin by a preposition or abla． tive，e．g．，secundum tuum ser－ monem．Hoc modo．
$\kappa \iota \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota$ ．It will be well hers to review the last section of the Dialogue，which ends at this point．Socrates had asked（cap．

## CAP. IX.








 סıафє́рєта८ тєрì aủtov̂, каї є̇кєívoıs катà тaủtú.




7 init.) for a general definition of the pious and impious. Euthyphro's definition had been, "That which the gods love is pious; that which they hate is impious." "But," replies Socrates, "you have already allowed that they are not at one with each other on many subjects, and, if so, they will certainly dispute on this one we are considering. Therefore if one god loves the same thing which another hates, your definition will not bold."

ठो $\delta$ " $\partial \mathrm{a} \nu \quad \theta \in o \phi$. . . " ${ }^{\text {But what- }}$ ever is beloved of heaven, that is also hated of heaven." Notice conjunctive and indicative senses.
B. $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \grave{v} \nu \Delta u i^{\prime}$. . For Zeus had set the example of ill-using parents, whilst Cronus and Uranus had been both of them sufferers, and Hephaestus had been expelled by his father from heaven.
 will be to them according to the
same," i.e. "there will be the same difference in their case as well." For this dative V.S. note on $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \delta \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \dot{d} \lambda \omega\rangle \hat{\alpha} \chi \in \delta \delta \kappa \eta \nu, 5$ ad. med. B.
'A $A \lambda$ ' oì $\mu$ aı. Euthyphro here starts on a wrong scent. "However they may differ" says he, "in other things, they do not differ on this cardinal point, viz., that justice should be done." "No more do men differ on that point," replies Socrates.
ís où $\delta \in \hat{\imath ̂}$. . . The ov̀ seems redundant to us. But it brings out the differing, the other side of the question to the one usually adopted. It is what the opponent would say. We have $o \dot{v}$ and not $\mu \grave{\eta}$ in the dependent sentence because, in the words of Matthiae, the sentence does not express the thought of the speaker (but that of another person), nor has reference to his thought. Or we may look at it merely as the negation of $\delta \in \hat{i}$,








 каì ó $\mu$ одoyov̂vtes ö $\mu \omega s$ oủ $\delta \in i ̂ v ~ \phi a \sigma i ̀ ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~ \delta ı \delta o ́ v a \iota ~$ бікє ${ }^{\prime}$;
$\mathrm{ET} \Theta$. Oú $\delta a \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ тоv̂тó $\gamma є$.





ET $\Theta$. 'А 'А $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \iota$.


 то́тє.
 "On the contrary, they are always," \&c. Cf. Soph. O. C. 30, 3 I .
 $\mu \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu=\nu$;

Oed. On his way towards us?
An. Nay. Just here.
In this reply Euthyphro fails to see the exact meaning of Socrates' question. He has not been asked, " $D$ o men try to get off punishment ?" but " Do men openly deny that justice must be done after a crime?" This Socrates puts more clearly in his next question.
 they allow, \&c.?" kal prefixed to a word thus often implies a belief in the speaker that the thing is not so ; V.S. ch. 2, init.
 $\rho \in \iota \nu$ тoùs $\nu$ éous ;
oỉk ápa. The difficulty is to get them to acknowledge themselves in the wrong.
D. тो тis, \&c. A good example of a whole sentence, containing two or three clauses, becoming a noun substantive and being manipulated as such. Cf. Plat. Rep. 327. oùкоиิv, ते̀

 Here we have the difficulty stated







 т́́ үє кєфá $\lambda a \iota o v$.






E〒＠．Пávv $\gamma є$.
in definite language．＂Men are ready enough to do justice when they know what it is，especially in its special cases，what and where and when．The difficulty is to know these points．＂

оѝкоиิv，\＆c．＂Is it not then the same case exactly with the gods too？＂Lit．＂Do not the gods experience this？＂Cf．


©́s $\delta$ ó òs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s . ~ A g a i n, ~ E u-~$ thyphro had only had this ad－ mission extorted from him．V． S．8，D．
oй фабıv，＂negant，＂i．e．nec－ aiunt．

E．$\tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \in \mathfrak{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \iota$ ．Dative of
interest ；the person concerned
 on 5 B．ad med．
$\tau \delta ́ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \kappa \in \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \iota \nu$ ．Jelf describes this as an accusative in appo－ sition ；but it is hard to help looking upon it in some passages as a nom．，e．g．Theat．190，B．，
 $\sigma \kappa о \pi \in \hat{\imath}$ є $\grave{\imath} \pi o \tau$＇，\＆c．Here，no doubt，the accusatival sense suits better，like such expressions
 Па́трокло⿱亠䒑 $\quad \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu, \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta^{\prime}$ $\alpha u ̀ \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \kappa^{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\delta} \delta \epsilon^{\prime} \in \kappa \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta$ ．
€ॄгабтov．Not＂every parti－ cular，＂but a＂particular case，＂ or＂particulars，＂explained fur－ ther on by $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \xi \xi \in \omega \in s ~ \tau \iota \nu 0 s$.

## CAP．X．












$\delta i \delta \alpha \xi$ ．Euthyphro being＊pro－ bably discouraged by having his mistake thus plainly set before him，has to be reassured by fresh professions of inferior knowledge on Socrates＇part．
 After these words we have a general description of the occur－ rence，the words $\kappa \alpha l$ vitè $\rho$ $\tau o \hat{v}$ roov́тov depending on $\tau i \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa$－
 know that all the gods think．．． and that it is right？＂
ős dै $\nu \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \nu \ldots \phi \theta \alpha \sigma \eta_{\text {A }}$ So－ srates puts the case indefinitely， so as to qualify the direct inter－ rogative and soften his apparent meredulity：＂Supposing a man to be serving，and were to com－ mit a murder，＂\＆c．Hence the －mıployment of the potential ă $\nu$ ， expressing contingent or possible action，and of the conjunctive． But it is not an entirely ima－ ginary case．Hence the con－ junctive is primary．
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o \phi o ́ \nu o s \gamma \in \nu$ ．This expres－ sion seems to imply possible
innocence of intent to slay． Translate，＂committed man－ slaughter．＂
$\phi \theta \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \tau_{\epsilon \lambda \in v \tau}^{\eta} \sigma \alpha a s$ ．Take with $\pi \rho \frac{i}{2}$ ，＂loses his life before．．．＂ Cf．4，init．，ős $\gamma \in \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ \nu \in i ~ \grave{\omega} \nu$ $\epsilon \hat{v} \quad \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \quad \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \hat{v} \tau \eta s$ ．For this verb，Xen．Cyr．I，3，I2．$\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon$－
 бaעтa，sc．＂To do this before he did．＂
$\delta \in \sigma \mu$ ．Noun heteroclite． є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \mathfrak{\eta} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ．＂Dicitur de iis，quae cum impetu quodam in aliquid irruunt．＂Stallb，ミќn $\pi \tau \omega$ is used of a darting light in Aesch．Ag．302，$\lambda^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \nu \delta^{\prime}$ vi $\pi \in \rho$ $\Gamma о \rho \gamma \bar{\omega} \pi เ \nu$ ér $\kappa \eta \psi \in \nu$ фáos．For the gen．фóvov，cf．damnatur ca－ pitis，and capitis accusare in Nepos．
$\pi a \nu \tau \partial s \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu . \quad$ The sense of comparison is lost here ： ＂without doubt，＂＂absolutely．＂ Cf．Rep．555，D．，кal єiбסaveí－
 $\tau \iota \mu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ र＇ı $\gamma \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ；to which the answer is，$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \sigma$＇s $\gamma \in \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$
 бонаи.




 $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{\sigma} \tau \nu$.



## CAP. XI.




 єìval, тí $\mu a ̀ \lambda \lambda о \nu$ є̀ $\gamma \grave{\omega} \mu \epsilon \mu a ́ \theta \eta \kappa а ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ E i ̀ \theta u ́ \phi \rho o v o s, ~ \tau i ́ ~$


B. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon$. To this truly mobile particle it is hard to assign a meaning that will serve for more than two, consecutive passages. Euthyphro says, "It will likely be a long affair;" and "I could lay the question before you very clearly." What then is the connection between these two remarks? If we look on to Socrates' rejoinder it will seem that he takes Euthyphro's remark to imply, "You will need a lot of explanation," and allows that he is certainly very dense. The full sense would then seem to be, "'Twill be a long business since (you will need it explained very clearly, and this I shall be able, and) feel it my duty to do."
$\mu a \nu \theta \alpha ́ \nu \omega$, "I comprehend." Cf. Ar. Birds, 1003, ME. Mav$\theta \alpha ́ \nu \in \iota s ; ~ П Е . ~ O ̀ ̉ ~ \mu a \nu \theta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \omega$. Others join $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ö $\tau \iota \ldots$
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \nu \sigma \mu$. Refers to the words in 3 B., $\pi o \lambda \grave{v}$ àे $\nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu$


C. $\tau 0 \delta \delta \in$ refers to what follows.

Ev̇ $\theta \dot{v} \phi \rho \omega \nu$. The third person here instead of the second gives an additional weight to the statement of the situation, enabling Euthyphro as it were to put himself outside himself, and view the difficulty as a disinterested spectator.
as éouk , i.e. according to Euthyphro's decision on ground of his own knowledge.





 $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, on $\sigma \iota \circ \nu^{\cdot}$ ò $\delta^{\prime} \hat{a} \nu$ oi $\mu$ èv $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota v$, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$,




 $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \xi \in \iota \varsigma$ on $\dot{\text { it }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \chi$.
 on ar $\nu \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ oi $\theta \epsilon o \grave{~} \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, кaì тò є̇vavtiov, on ả้ E $\pi$ тávтєऽ oi $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ \mu \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, ảvó $\sigma \iota \frac{}{}$



 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ oo $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu$;
 $\kappa a \lambda \omega \hat{\varsigma} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
où $\tau o u ́ \tau \varphi$ er. ar. $\rho \tau \iota \dot{\iota} \rho$., "These distinctions have no bearing on the definition of piety and rimpiety."—Jowett.
$\tau \grave{~ \gamma \alpha ̀ ~} \rho$, subj., $\theta \in o \mu \iota \sigma \in{ }^{\prime}$ s ồ ipositive, cal $\theta \in о \phi \iota \lambda$ 'ss, predicative.
ad $\phi i \eta \mu i \quad \sigma \epsilon$, "I let you off from this;" ie., "You need not concern yourself about proving that to me."
D. Ė $\pi \alpha \nu \circ \rho \theta o v \not \mu \in \theta \alpha$, "set upright afresh;" prove and justify any proposition. Stallb. aptly compares ${ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \bar{\epsilon} \hat{\prime} \gamma \chi \in \nu$, which combines the two notions of dis-
proving an antagonist's assertion and proving your own. Cf. Ar. Eccl. 485, $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu^{\prime}{ }^{\text {en }} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \chi \theta^{\prime} \in \nu$. $\dot{\omega} s \delta^{\delta} \mu \in \dot{\epsilon} \nu$. The emphatic word in this sentence is $\pi \alpha \alpha^{2} \tau \epsilon s$, "What all the gods hate."
oui $\bar{\epsilon} \tau \in \rho \alpha$ औ̀ $\mathfrak{a} \mu \not\langle\dot{\phi} \tau \in \rho \alpha$. Cf. Rep. 555, D, oi $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\partial} \dot{\phi} \phi \in \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s, \chi \rho \in a$,
 ar $\mu \phi \dot{\partial} \tau \in \rho \alpha$.
 " on our own or other's authority." Cf. Phaed. 92, E., $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$
 $\chi \in \sigma \theta a$.

## CAP．XII．





 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \mu \epsilon ́ v ~ \tau \iota ~ \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ к а і ̀ ~ \phi є ́ \rho o v, ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ́ \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v ~ к а i ̀ ~$





 words，＂Are these gods with their quarrels and disputes to be the rule for us，or is there a higher basis or sanction of Right which they recognise in their better moments？＂

ойк оī̊ ．．．Notice Euthy－ pho＇s inacquaintance with the logic of the Sophists．
ка⿱亠乂 there is a difference in all such things ；and where the difference lies．＂
ff，＂in what way，manner，or regard．＂Cf．Lat．qua．

ойкоиิv，\＆c．The drift of this piece of reasoning requires eluci－ dation．In brief it is this ：＂All things that are in a particular condition are so because they have been brought into it by a motive power，e．g．the carried， the led，the become，the loved， have all had some one to carry， lead，make，or love them．Now Euthyphro and I are asking，Is piety to be defined as＇the loved of the gods？＇No．Because we must not say that，because we
find the two（viz．，piety and the loved of the gods）roughly yore－ sponding，we are to rest satisfied． Piety may be something more than the loved of the gods．And we know that the＇loved of the gods＇implies that the gods love． Now the gods loving is prior to loved of the gods．So we can put our definition back a step and say，＇Piety is found in all cases of the gods loving；＇and there may be other cases un－ known to us of piety．Clearly， then，Piety，or the Holy，is the larger and anterior notion．For ＇Heaven loves＇is anterior to ＇loved of Heaven．＇If a reason or cause is to be found for ＇Heaven loves，＇we are irre－ sistibly forced back to the erin－ ciple because it is holy．＂This， then，is the order：（I）This is Holy；（2）Therefore all the gods love it；so we find that（3）Things holy are god－beloved．Thus does Plato deify the Idea，and ration－ alise the deity，being driven to his conclusion by the state of the popular theology．

 $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau a \iota, \phi \in \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ v \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \iota v, \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \iota^{\prime} a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \tau \iota$ ；

Ere．Ov̌к，ar $\lambda \lambda a \grave{a}$ סıà tồto．



ET $\Theta$ ．Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$ ．
 ópâtal，ả入入à tov̉vavtiov סוótı ópâtal，סıà тov̂тo







 $\xi v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \in i ̂ s ~ o u ̋ \tau \omega s ;$

Ere．＂E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon$ ．



E〒＠．Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$ ．




Ere．＇Avárкך．

B．Oik äpa，\＆c．This is to show that the $\theta$ co $\lambda \lambda$＇ss is not the cause of the gods loving it， but，e contrario，the gods loving is the cause of its being $\theta \in o \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon$ s． It is not therefore holy，because $\theta \in o \phi i \lambda \epsilon$＇s．

C．Bout $о \mu a \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，＂mean＂ Cf．French＂vouloir dire．＂

Oủkồv ．．．＂Well，and the loved has become what it is， or is what it is through some agency？＂
 $\pi \rho о \in i ̂ \pi o \nu$, II．

 oo $\lambda$ ónos;

Ere. Nail.

ET $\Theta$. Oik, $a^{\prime} \lambda \lambda a \grave{a}$ סıà тоv̂тo.
 фıлєîtal, סıà tồto öбıov є́бтเv;

Ere. "Еоикеv.



Ere. Пढ̂s $\gamma$ à ${ }^{\circ}$ ova';





 öcoov єivai そ̄ $\gamma$ af;

Ers. Naí.
D. д̈ん $\lambda \lambda о \quad \tau \iota \phi \iota \lambda \in i \tau \alpha \iota$. . . ie. " We have without doubt agreed that all the gods love it." The whole phrase was $\alpha \lambda \lambda o \tau \iota \eta$. So in Her. I, 109, ar $\lambda \lambda$ доть $\hat{\eta} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ í$\pi \in \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\partial}$ è $\nu \theta \in \hat{v} \tau \in \nu$ er $\mu \mathrm{ol} \kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \nu$

$\Delta$ ıótı äpa . . We here assame the major premise, " Because a thing is holy $\therefore$ it is god-beloved."

Oùk ăpa $\tau \delta \quad \theta \in о \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \in s, \& c$. The god-beloved is not therefore the same thing as the holy, or "The god-beloved and the holy are not coextensive and coincident."
E. ถึ $\tau \iota \delta \mu о \lambda о \gamma 0 \hat{v} \mu \in \nu$. . . In this chapter Socrates has been proving two propositions -
(1) That the $\theta \in o \phi \iota \lambda \in s$ is so because the gods love it ; in other words, that the gods loving must be regarded as something coming before the existence of the $\theta \in o \phi ı \lambda \in \epsilon$ 's, or god-beloved.
(2) That the $\theta \in o \phi \iota \lambda$ es cannot with any reason or accuracy be said to be the same thing as the öбıov. Euthyphro allows that the gods love a thing because
 $\phi$ флєital. This, then, is something prior to the gods loving, and if the gods loving is prior to the god-beloved, then, $a$ fortori, the $\delta \sigma \sigma o \nu$ is prior to and greater than the $\theta \in o \phi ı \lambda \epsilon$ 's.

## CAP. XIII.

 aùtê тoút $\omega$ T $\hat{\omega}$ фı $\lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \theta є o \phi \iota \lambda e ̀ s ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a l, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ o u ̉ \chi ~$


 $\theta \in o \phi \iota \lambda e ̀ s ~ \kappa a \grave{~ t o ̀ ̀ ~ o ̋ \sigma \iota o v, ~ \epsilon i ~ u e ̀ v ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ t o ̀ ~ o ̈ \sigma l o \nu ~ e i v a l ~}$








 premising these three steps(I) The holy is loved by the gods because it is holy ; (2) The gods love certain things; (3) The god-beloved is the result of the gods loving -we can argue thus: If the holy and the god-beloved were the same, from (3), then the holy would be the result of the gods loving; but from (I) the holy is the cause of the gods loving, which is absurd. Next : If the holy and the god-beloved were the same, from ( $\mathbf{I}$ ), the godbeloved would be loved by the gods, because it is god-beloved, ie. it would be the cause of the gods loving ; but from (3) it is the result of the gods loving, which is absurd.
oĩo $\phi \iota \lambda \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$, ie. $\begin{gathered}\text { ot oo. "Pro- }\end{gathered}$ peter sam ipsius naturam."Stall.
 ie. we place it under the holy things, because we recognise in
it the characteristics of the \% $\sigma$ nov.

тд̀ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \gamma$ áp . . . A restatemont of the position. The one, viz., the god-beloved, being loved, or because it is loved, is lovable, or " of a kind to be loved" (Jowett), while the other is loved because it is of a kind to be loved. In the latter case the lovable qualities are evident, in the former they need to be drawn out.
 pears, Euthyphro, that you will not make known to me the true essence of the holy, but only tell me one of its particular cases or manifestations," viz., that all the gods love it. V. S. note on 7, єîdos. Eî̀os and oùvía are different names for the same thing, viewed in different lights : eidos, the true form or model, exemplar ; od $\sigma \dot{\sigma}$, the really existing, opposed to $\pi \dot{d} \theta o s$, what is experienced ( $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \in \tau a \iota$ ) by men.
 $\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$, $\pi \dot{a} \theta$ os $\delta$ é $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ av̉ $\tau o v ̂ ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota v, a ̋ ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́-~$ B $\pi o \nu \theta \epsilon \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \tau o ̀ ~ o ̈ \sigma \iota o v, ~ \phi ı \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu . ~$


 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota}$ тоvंто⿱ $\delta \iota \circ \iota \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \cdot \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єimè $\pi \rho \circ \theta \hat{v} \mu \omega \varsigma$,



 $\mu \in \theta a$ aủvó.
 C єivar $\Delta a t \delta a ́ \lambda o v ~ t a ̀ ~ v i \pi i ̀ ~ \sigma o v ̂ ~ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a . ~ к a i ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~$








$\delta \tau_{\iota} \pi \in \dot{\pi} \pi \nu \nu \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \delta \delta \sigma \ldots$. . "a particular phase which the holy undergoes," "one aspect of the holy." V.S. note on 9 , ойкоиิ $\nu$, $\& c$.
B. $\epsilon \not \approx \pi \omega$ ' $\nu 0 \hat{\omega}$, "express my thoughts."
$\pi \in \rho \iota \in ́ \rho \chi \in \tau a l$. Sc. to the same point.
$\epsilon i ̄ \mu \grave{̀} \nu ., ~ . ~ \nu \hat{v} v \delta \epsilon$, infra.
äpa, "as you say."
$\tau o \hat{\imath} \eta \mu \in \tau \in \in \rho o u \pi \rho o \gamma$. Ct. Alcib, Maj. 12I, A., Socr. каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} o ~ \tau \delta ~$


C. $\mathfrak{a} \pi o \delta \dot{\delta} \boldsymbol{\imath} \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota$. These were certain statues or figures endowed with locomotive power.
$\sigma o l$, with reference to Euthyphro's words, $\pi \in \rho \iota \epsilon$ ' $\rho \chi \in \tau \alpha \iota .$. $\hat{\eta}_{\eta \mu \nu}$. . . For $\sigma o l$ V. S. note on 5, $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \varphi$.
 an inclination to be on the move." Jowett.
$\tau \grave{\partial} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, \&c. "For it is not I who worked in this locomotion, this inability to stay in one place . . ."














D. єॄ $\mu о \hat{v} \gamma \in{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \in \kappa \alpha$, "as far as I am concerned." Cf. Eng. "for me;" e.g., "You may go for me" = "I will not stop you." So Her. I, 42, тô̂ фu入d́ $\sigma$ nov ios $\epsilon i \nu \in \kappa \in \nu$.
$\delta \epsilon \tau \nu \dot{\tau} \tau \in \rho o s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \in ́ \chi \nu$. Cf. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\delta \eta$ hov Hitecús; ch. I and note.
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau^{\prime} \chi \in \nu \eta s$, partitive genifive, "amongst the characteristics of my art this one is the finest."
$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ク", \&c., "rather than to possess the wealth of Tantalus besides the cunning of Daedalus."
E. $\alpha$ ar $\delta \eta \nu$, sc. ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi о \mu \in \nu$. " A truce to this."
$\tau \rho u \phi a ̂ \nu$, " mollem et delicatum te praebere," Stall. This verb expresses the feeling of fastidiousness and effeminacy consequent upon indulgence or delicate living, all which is here transferred to the intellectual sphere.
aủrós $\sigma o_{0}$, \&c. "I will take
pains to help you towards pointing out to me . . ." As it were infuse the healthy desire of rimparting real knowledge into one who already has the power, but lacks the inclination. By such an artful manifestation of words does Socrates try to excite Euthyphro to say all he can for the question, drawing him on by this skilful flattery to help the poor stumbler, as he represents himself, along the road of knowledge.

This little diversion concerning Daedalus, with the remarks preceding and following, is thrown in, in a masterly manner, to rest the mind of the hearer between the arguments. Socrates is going to begin another bout on the original question, but gives his companion a short breathing time and a slight refreshment (this little Daedalus episode) before again entering the dialectical lists.

E 2
 тò öб८८้；

Ere．＂Е $\mu \circ \iota \gamma \epsilon$ ．
$\Sigma \Omega$ ．＇A $\rho$＇oûv каì $\pi a ̂ \nu$ tò Síкаıov ס̈б七ov，$\hat{\eta}$ тò








 є่фúтєvбєv，
 $a i \delta \omega \omega^{\prime}$ ．

Eヘఆ．Пávv $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ．}\end{array}$



оэ̉к à $\nu a \gamma \kappa a i ̂ o \nu . . . ~ S o c r a t e s ~$ is going to extract from Euthy－ phro the admission that although all things holy are just，it is not true that all just things are holy． This may be thus geometrically represented－

A，things just ；$B$ ，things holy．

where we see that though no things holy are not also just， there are yet some just things （A）which are not holy（B）．

Hence holiness is a part or species of what justice is the whole or genus．
$\tau \partial$ 文 $\delta \tau$ ．The indefinite pro－ noun is added because it is not known what part of justice is covered by holiness，and what by other virtues．So Lucian， D．Mort．16，5，$\epsilon i$ خà $\rho$ of $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau i s$

 кóvis そ้ठ $\eta \quad \gamma \in \gamma \in{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta \tau \alpha l$ ，where $\delta$ $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau \iota s$ is the（indefinable）di－ vine part of Heracles that has left the earth．
$\xi \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \in \sigma$ ．＂brace yourself．＂ $\pi o \imath \eta \tau \eta$＇s．Stasinus，who wrote the Cypria．



Er $\Theta$. Пávv $\gamma$.


 торпріая;
$\operatorname{ETE}$. $\Delta$ é $\delta$ оぃкє $\mu$ èv ovid.








Er $\Theta$. Пávv $\gamma$ є.



 will be expressed as above, CC being fear, D being reverence.


All reverence implies fear, but not all fear reverence. And there the poet is wrong, for he makes the two coextensive.
 qualifies the whole sentence, being placed as soon as possible after the beginning of the wrong statement, to which it calls atmention, or which it stigmatises.
$\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \nu$, \&c. "Fear is a term of wider extension than
reverence, which is a part of fear."

E $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ oủ $\chi, \& c$. "In the same way all number is not odd, but all odd implies number." All this is to show that, though all things holy are just, all just things are not holy -that justice is the larger head under which we can range holiness. Definition, logicians tell us, is per genus et differentiam, i.e. by giving the genus or family, and the distinctive marks of the particular member of the family we have in view. Justice is the genus : it remains then to find the differentia, or distinguishing marks of this particular phase of justice called holiness.
$\mu o ́ p l o \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta ı \kappa a i o u ~ \tau o ̀ ~ o ̈ \sigma t o v, ~ o u ̃ \tau \omega ~ \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$ боє ठокє $\mathfrak{i}$;
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## JAP. XIV.






 ठокєі̂ боь;

## Er $\Theta$. "Е $\mu \circ \iota \gamma$.




 $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\eta} \kappa a \grave{~ o ̈ \sigma ı a ~ к а і ̈ ~ \tau a ̀ ~} \mu \eta$.




D. $\tau \grave{l}$ ar $\rho \tau \iota o \nu$, even, conn. w. ar $\rho \omega$, ฉ $\quad \rho \tau \iota$, "fitting exactly;" explained by $i \sigma o \sigma \kappa \in \lambda$ hs, equallimped, opposed to $\kappa \kappa a \lambda \eta \nu o ́ s$, halting, or with unequal limbs. These terms are transferred here from geometry to arithmetic.
E. Tov̂тo тoívuy. Soerates at last succeeds in getting a further
definition out of Euthyphro, which he at once proceeds to test. Euthyphro divides justice into two parts, perhaps with the odd and even division of numbers running in his head, into justice with respect to heaven, and with respect to men. The former, he says, is holiness.

## CAP. XV.









Er $\Theta$. Пávv $\gamma$ є.

Ere. Nail.
 $a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$ ó кvขך $\eta \in \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$.

Er $\Theta$. Ova $\omega$.
 Ere. N al.
$\Sigma \Omega$. 'H סè $\beta$ on $\lambda a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \beta$ Коิข.
Er $\Theta$. Пávv $\gamma є$.



Ere. "E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma \epsilon$.
$\Sigma \Omega$. Ои̉ко̂̂v $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i ́ a ~ \gamma є ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ \tau a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \delta \iota a \pi \rho a ́ т-~$

a $\lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \sigma \mu \kappa \rho \circ \hat{v}$. Socrates will not start on the discussion of this definition without having it clearly understood what the words mean.
$\lambda \in ́ \gamma o \mu \in \nu$ रá $\rho \pi o v . .$. Socrates was going to say, "We understand, do we not, that every $\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \dot{a}^{a}$ has its particular art, and cannot be undertaken ex-
cent by him who is acquainted with that art." But he breaks off with an example, oi $\nu \phi a \mu \in \nu$, and proceeds to establish the principle in his usual way by aggregating instances.-Stallb.
B. oiov $\tau 0 \iota \delta \nu \delta \epsilon$, sc. $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$, as is shown by Rep. 331 C, onion
 єไสоᄂ . . .

 रíqvovтaı ท̂ oủ סoкоvิбi $\sigma \circ \iota$ ；

EY $\Theta$ ．＂E $\mu \circ<\gamma \epsilon$.


 Oєpaтєíà єìvaı；

Eरe．Mà $\Delta i$＇oủ火 é ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \gamma$ ．

Eケ $\Theta$ ．П $\hat{\omega}$ s $\delta$＇ov̉；





Er $\Theta$ ．Мà $\Delta i$＇oủк ধै $\gamma \omega \gamma є$ ．

 à àpó $\mu \eta \nu$ ，тiva тотè $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o s s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \omega ̂ \nu, ~$

 aút $\eta \nu \lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega$ ．
 і́бוótクs；
oi im inoı．These examples from common life are very fre－ quently used by Socrates to establish analogies．Cf．Rep．


 $\tau \eta \nu$ i $\pi \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ；к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．

C．$\sigma \dot{v}$ ．Perhaps this pronoun has a distinctive force：＂You the theologian（who can hardly think so）．＂
$\pi о \lambda \lambda o v ̂ \kappa a l ~ \delta \in \omega . ~ V . ~ S . ~ n o t e ~$ on $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o \hat{v}$ ，ch．iv．
 ．．oủ $\chi$ ทं $\gamma o u ́ \mu \in \nu 0 s$. ＂I asked you for this reason，viz．，that I wanted your repudiation of such an idea．＂ où $\chi$ belongs to $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \in \iota \nu$ ，and $\dot{\eta} \gamma o v$－ $\mu \in \nu o s$ means more than＂think－ ing，＂－＂Because I expected．＂

D．$\tau$ ís $\delta \eta$ خ $\theta$ ．，＂quod tandem deorum officium？＂acc．of cog． nate notion．
 бтотаs $\theta$ ератєvovalv．
 $\theta$ eois．

E〒e．Пavv $\mu$ èv oน̂v．

## CAP．XVI．

$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Exous àv oủv єimeiv，$\dot{\eta}$ iatpoîs ím $\quad$ р $\epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \eta$
 oùk єis íyıeias oľєь；
$\eta \eta_{\nu \pi \in \rho} \ldots \theta \in \rho$ ．Cf．Rep．5，
 え̇ $\mu ф \iota \in ́ \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha$, ．Matthiae explains such verbs as governing an accu－ sative on account of the active sense implied in them．
$\hat{\eta}$ iarpoîs j̀ $\boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \in \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\prime}$ ．The art， subservient or auxiliary to heal－ ing others，which physicians use．Distinguish this carefully from $\dot{\eta} \quad \theta$ oois $\dot{\text { ím }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \in \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ lower down，which means＂the art which others use serviceable to the gods for their own benefit．＂

There is a transition from one sense of $\theta \in \rho a \pi \tau \in \dot{\prime} \alpha$ and $\dot{\text { un }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \rho \in \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ to another in this passage．The $\theta \in \rho a \pi$ eía of dogs，horses，\＆c．，is directed towards their physical improvement．$\theta \in \rho a \pi \in i a$ there－ fore means＂care for，＂without any notion of subservience，but simply the care that every good master or workman gives to the instruments he uses for making a livelihood．But when we come to the answer，$\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \pi \in \rho$ oi סov̂̀o：тò̀s $\delta \in \sigma \pi o ́ \tau a s$ $\theta \in \rho a \pi s \dot{u}-$ ovoıv，the metaphor changes．It is no longer the mechanic or the herdsman working indepen－ dently，and giving proper atten－
tion to his tools and his beasts， but a servant attending to the different wants and arbitrary demands of his master．Notice therefore the distinction drawn above．The $\theta \in \rho a \pi$ eía ia $a \tau \rho o i ̂ s$ ข่тทрєєик久 is the course of study and knowledge of detail neces－ sary or ancillary to a physician＇s right employment of his art ； the result of it is $\dot{y} \gamma^{\prime}$ icia，health， to the objects of its attention： whilst the $\theta \in \rho a \pi \in i ́ a, \theta \in o i ̂ s ~ v i \pi \eta \rho \in \tau$ ． is the unreasoning，implicit at－ tention that must be given from an inferior towards a superior in whose service he finds himself． The first $\theta \in$ oaréia is objective， directed towards the accomplish－ ment of an external effect；the second is the discharge of a duty．Plato，however，by pre－ serving the same phraseology with really different meanings， drives Euthyphro to seek for some external good effected by our attention to divine worship and other religious duties，for the benefit of heaven ；instead of perceiving that the conscious－ ness of rectitude must be the chief resuit of attention to pious duties．

E^@. "E $\gamma \omega \gamma$.




Er $\Theta$. Nail.













Er $\Theta$. П $\omega$ s $\delta^{\prime}$ ova ;
$\Sigma \Omega$. Поддà סé $\gamma$ ' oi $\mu a \iota ~ \kappa а і ̀ ~ \kappa а \lambda a ̀ ~ к а \grave{~ o i ~} \gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma o l$.
 $\dot{\eta} \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta}_{S} \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\prime}$.

Eヶ@. Пávv $\gamma є$.
 $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ a ̉ \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma a ́ \zeta o \nu \tau a \iota, \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa \epsilon ф a ́ \lambda a \iota o ́ v ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho-$ ratios;


 ch. $7, \mathrm{E}, \chi \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu 0 s ~ \alpha \cup ̉ \tau \hat{̣} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\delta \in ' \quad \gamma \mu a \tau \iota$, and note.
$\tau \delta \boldsymbol{\kappa} \in \dot{\phi} \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \iota o \nu$. Understand $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma i a s$ before $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ from the next remark of Socrates.
$\pi \lambda$ élovos ${ }^{\circ} \rho \gamma o v$. This gen. is explained as follows by Matthiae: "The genitive denotes the person or thing in which anything is found, whether as a property or a quality," \&c., \&c.





 $\dot{a} \pi о ́ \lambda \lambda \nu \sigma \iota \nu$.

## CAP. XVII,











Er $\Theta$. "E ${ }^{\prime} \omega \gamma$.
 тò $\delta^{\prime}$ єű $\chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota ~ a i \tau \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o u ́ s ; ~ ;$






Here we may say not a property or a quality, but a species or part of a genus or whole, and refer it to the general head of the partitive genitive, "is a matter of further exertion."
B. $\sigma \omega \mathfrak{\omega} \xi \in$, "saves," i.e. from
$\sigma \tau$ á $\sigma$ ss or dispute, as we see from the corresponding words, àva-

$\pi о \lambda v$, join to $\beta \rho a \chi u \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$.
$\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o s, \epsilon \bar{l}$, plane videris.
C. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi$ ' $a \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a$. Cf. Rep.


кaì $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \in \chi \omega$ тòv voûv aùtर̂. ต̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon ~ o ̛ ̉ ~ \chi a \mu a i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota ~$

 є̇єєívoıs;


## CAP. XVIII.




Er $\Theta$ 。 ' ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau i ́$;






 $\lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega$ 。








 $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ oủ $\delta \in \in ้$;

E. ở Yáp $\pi$ ou . . . Cf. Rep.
 à $\gamma \omega \nu i ́ a$ où $\tau \in \chi \nu \iota \kappa \grave{y}$ ठокєî єīvat; "Is it not of the nature of an
art?" So nere, "It does not seem to be of the nature of an art that one should give," \&c.
à $\delta$ é . . . Omission of antecedent.

Eऽఆ．＇А

 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi a \rho ’ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \rho a$ тoîs $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ;$





 тоîs $\theta$ eoîs фídov．

E〒e．Мá入ıテтá $\gamma є$ ．

## CAP．XIX．


 aitıá $\epsilon \epsilon$ тòv $\Delta a i ́ \delta a \lambda o \nu ~ \beta a \delta i ́ \zeta o \nu \tau a s ~ a v ่ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon i ̂ v, ~$




 ทै ov̉סè $\mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma a \iota$ ；

$\Sigma \Omega$ ．Nûv oủv oủk є́vvocis，őt tò toîs $\theta \in o i ̂ s$



[^3]question is put in the negative form，that this answer of Euthy－ phro＇s may be more emphatic and uncompromising．

C．$\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ 光 $\mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu$ ．Ch． 12 ad fin．

E๙ఆ．Пavv $\gamma \epsilon$ ．



ЕГఆ．＂Ео८кєข．

## CAP．XX．


 ov่к $\dot{a} \pi \pi o \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota a \dot{\sigma} \omega$ ．$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \quad \mu \dot{\prime} \quad \mu \epsilon \quad \dot{a} \tau \iota \mu a ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma, a \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ $\pi a \nu \tau i \quad \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega$ т $\pi \rho о \sigma \in ́ \chi \chi \omega \nu$ тòv vov̂ע ó т८ $\mu \dot{\partial} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$


 $\kappa a \grave{~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu, ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon ้ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ o ̋ ~} \pi \omega \varsigma$ à $\nu \pi о \tau \epsilon \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \chi є i ́ \rho \eta \sigma a \varsigma$
 $\theta \in \iota \nu$ фóvov，ả入入à кaì тov̀s $\theta \in o v ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ै \delta e i \sigma a s ~ \pi a \rho a-~$





Eऽఆ．Eí $\sigma \hat{v} \theta \iota \varsigma ~ \tau o i ́ \nu v \nu, ~ \omega ̉ ~ \Sigma \omega ́ к \rho a \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$




＂Be sure I will not ．．．＂
érèv $\in$ Eivaı．Jelf＇s explanation of this phrase seems scarcely likely：he compares $\theta$ éícid ăpıo－ tos，and makes $\epsilon i \bar{\nu} a \iota=o u ̀ \sigma i a \nu$, ＂Willing in real earnest．＂To
this example Matthiae adds $\theta$＇́eus
 $\epsilon \nsucc \pi \in \rho \tau \iota s$ ă $\lambda \lambda$ dos．Socrates＇last attempt on the self－complacency of Euthyphro．
 taken both with $\theta$ eoús and тapaк．




 $\beta \iota \omega \sigma o i ́ \mu \eta \nu$.
E. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi o \mu \alpha \iota ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \beta \iota \omega \sigma o i ́-~$ $\mu \eta \nu$. Schleiermacher and Englehardt make these two verbs depend on $\epsilon i \chi \chi o \nu$, as the nearer and remoter result, respectively, of the indicative proposition " I hoped." For this compare the well-known passage in Thucy-dides-таралî̄ $\chi$ on . . . фриктойs on $\pi \omega s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i \alpha \alpha \hat{\eta}, \kappa \alpha i \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\beta o \eta \theta o i ̂ \epsilon \nu$. Stall. condemns this on the ground that we shall have a " construction difficilis et contorta; " and that Socrates will be undertaking to live a better life than Euthyphro (ar $\mu \in \iota \nu o \nu$ $\beta \iota \omega \sigma o i ́ \mu \eta \nu)$, whom he has already confessed to be "innocens et
integer" (3A). But $\not \approx \mu \in \iota \nu o \nu$ may very well refer simply to an improvement in Socrates' own moral condition. And as for the construction, it must be noticed that Stall baum's is open to precisely the same objection which he brings against Schleier matcher's and Engelhardt's, for we have the unusual sequence

 less "difficilis et contorta." And this construction will have to be explained in just the same way as that of Schleiermasher, and with greater diffcully.

## EXCURSUS.

## ON THE CHARACTER OF EUTHYPHRO.

In Greek nistory there is a certain character of whom the hero of this Dialogue strongly reminds us, and this character is Nicias. Nicias was a man of exemplary piety, and so is Euthyphro. Nicias' actions were almost invariably governed by principle, and the same can be said of Euthyphro. Both were highly superstitious$\delta \varepsilon_{\iota} \sigma \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu 0 \nu \varepsilon \varsigma-i n ~ a n ~ a g e ~ w h e n ~ s u p e r s t i t i o n ~ m e a n t ~ r a t h e r ~$ extreme reverence for everything divine, and was viewed more as a commendable than as a vulgar weakness. And botb are presented to our view in situations where their bigotry shows as melancholy as it is preposterous; thus the one refuses to take advantage of the only hope of escape left to a large army reduced to the last extremity of famine, disease, and desperation, because the moon is eclipsed and must be propitiated ; whilst the other is calmly proceeding to the arraignment of his own father on a charge of murdering a wretched serf. The serf, it should be remarked, is a murderer himself, and died of exposure (or wilful neglect, as his son Euthyphro no doul't intended to depose before the dicasts).

With this singular plea does Euthyphro first come
before our notice. Let it not be supposed that the idea of such an action at law is absurd, and that we have here only a caricature. Turning to the picture of Athenian neglect or positive ill-treatment of the old, we read in the Acharnians of Aristophanes as follows :-
(the exact case in point).
And again, in Wasps, 605, \&c, if the father comes nome with his fee, well and good, all the family are glad to see him ; and if he comes without it-

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa \in \lambda \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \delta \in \dot{\eta} \sigma \in t
\end{aligned}
$$

थ.e. "lest he mix me ( $\ddot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \mu \hat{\alpha} \xi \alpha \nu)$ a deadly cake."Mahaffy. And yet once more, a sad but decisive instance, from the Clouds, 844 seqq. :-
$\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \pi \alpha \rho a \nu o i ́ a s ~ a u ̀ \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \in i \sigma a \gamma a \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\text { é }}{ } \lambda \omega$;
"My father is mad-let me see. Shall I bring him into court, or get a coffin ready for him?"

With these instances before us, we can see that this situation, as described by Euthyphro, need not be much exaggerated. Plato has probably given us here a typical and extreme case of unfilial bearing at Athens.

But whilst admitting the case to be an extreme one, we must give Euthyphro his due. Now his selfsufficiency appears by turns absurd, irritating, and impressive. He could no more be persuaded that his course of action-admitted of error than he could explain
the nature of Right and Wrong to Socrates. Thus, in Ch. IV. E, when relating how this prosecution of his father did not seem quite justifiable to his relatives, he
 тє $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \iota$ кaì rồ d̀vooiov - " taking a wrong view of divine matters with respect to what is holy and unholy." This is the self-complacency of a man who thoroughly believes in his creed. And so again, in the same chapter, when examined by Socrates as to his confidence in his pro-



In fact, his knowledge of things divine seems to reach to an extent undreamed of by the ordinary citizen ; thus



To take another instance at once of his self-sufficiency and his immovable religious convictions, in Ch. IV. B,
 vinkîos $\dot{o}$ reीvsés. And again of the former quality

 $\sigma \tau \eta \rho i \varphi, \hat{\eta} \pi \varepsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \dot{\varepsilon} \mu o v$. From this we see that his self-reliance extended beyond the sphere of religious dogma to that of forensic contention; for we cannot understand him here as relying merely on his superior knowledge of the subject: the Athenians laugh at that. He himself says (Ch. II. C): "No, he is going to assume the offensive and pick holes in his opponent's case."

But with all this confidence in his argumentative powers we do not find him an apt dialectician. He is unable to see the force of the logical text that, if all $A$ is $B$ it does not follow that all $B$ is $A$ : Ch. III. A.,


He is also sorely bewildered by Socrates' question, "Is the holy loved by heaven because holy, or is it holy because loved by heaven?" And when that question has been proved the last step has to be explained over again before he can see the bearing of it, Ch. XII. E. In Ch. XIII. B. he is fairly reduced to confusion, and plaintively urges that the argument will come round in a circle, and will not stay where it is put. That he has a touch of the rhetor in his character we notice from his paraphrase of Socrates' version of piety in Ch. XVI. A, where he escapes from the logical difficulty (of telling what are the ${ }^{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha$ of God towards which he is assisted by human attention) under a rather eloquent statement of what he considers piety to be. He is finally wearied of the argument, and escapes by means of the plea of another engagement.

There is a question suggested by the character of Euthyphro with regard to his own profession. Why was he not $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau i \underline{j}$, or expounder of religious legislation? Who so fitted for the task as one óc | árvza $+\dot{a}$ |
| :---: |

 of heaven with such incontrovertible emphasis, such quieting conviction, as Euthyphro? To be sure he was not quite proof against a dialectical attack; but then dialecticians generally managed their own religious affairs, and would not be likely to trouble the state servant. He seems, too, to have been a free Athenian, and presumably of good family. The office of Exegetes seems, however, to have been confined to the noble family of the Eumolpidae. Apart from this restriction, we can imagine no one better fitted for the office than Euthyphro, especially in his own eyes. The most pleasing trait in his character is his unaffected expression of feeling towards Socrates anent his
prosecution by Meletus, of whom he says, кaкovpүहiv т $\eta \nu$ $\pi o \lambda \iota \iota^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \chi \varepsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ dं $\dot{\delta} \iota \kappa \varepsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \sigma \varepsilon$. That he was not a man of unkindly feeling is clear from this passage and the general tone of the Dialogue. This being granted, serves to bring out with greater clearness the extraordinary strength of his creed, requiring as it did the prosecution of his own father for a capital crime, and scattering all such feelings as filial affection to the winds.

Only in Roman history can we find bigotry to parallel Euthyphro's, viz., in the person of Cato the Younger. The two men exhibit the same uncompromising and predetermined attitude towards any attempt to divert them from their convictions. And herein lies the difference between Euthyphro and Nicias, viz., that whilst opposition to the latter sometimes produced irresolution, it only serves to confirm the former in his purpose. And thus on Socrates proving for the third time that Euthyphro is ignorant of the true nature of Right and Wrong, and calling his attention to the wickedness of prosecuting a father without being prepared to show just ground for such a step, Euthyphro calmly takes down Socrates' appeal for instruction as though it were no hint to himself of his ignorance, and replies, Eioav̂tcs ruivuv,
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## NOTES.

Note A.

In the volume of Essays called Hellenica, page 94, in Mr. Nettleship's paper upon Plato's Theory of Education, we read as follows: "The element of dogmatic fixity in their (the Greeks') religion attached much more to its ritual than to its ideas; these latter were a plastic material, growing in accordance with the secret laws of psychology and language, or the conscious design of poets." And Professor Sayce extends the same principle to the pagan world at large. Thus in the Contemporary Review, September 1883, "The Gods of Canaan :" "We must not forget that pagan religion did not imply morality. It was a strict attention to matters of ritual, with which human conduct had nothing to do . . . . The Phoenician did not go to his religion to learn the rule of right and wrong; his religious duty consisted in winning the favour of the gods or deprecating their resentment ; and this could only be effected by sacrifice and offering, and the strict performance of the ritual. Whatever, therefore, was done in the service of religion lay outside the sphere of morality; the ethical principles which controlled daily life ceased to exist within the precincts of the temple."

## Note B.

The firmness of Socrates' belief in the divine character of the Delphic oracle may be understood from the following passage in Xenophon's Anabasis, 3, 1, 5, seqq.
"There was a man named Xenophon in the army, an Athenian, who had joined the expedition neither as commander, captain, or private soldier, but had come from home at the invitation of Proxenus, who was an old friend of his. Proxenus promised to bring Xenophon into favour with Cyrus, 'whom,' said he, 'I love better than my own country.' Xenophon read the letter and told Socrates about his intended journey. And Socrates suspected that Athens would complain if Xenophon threw in his lot with Cyrus, because Cyrus was reputed to have taken an active part with the Lacedemonians in their war against Athens. So he advised Xenophon to go to Delphi and consult the god about his projected journey. Xenophon, therefore, went and asked Apollo to which of the gods he ought to sacrifice and pray, if he wished to be successful in his undertaking and return in safety. And the oracle answered, 'To the proper gods.' Then he returned home and told Socrates. But he found fault with him for making up his mind that he was right to go on the journey, and for framing his question as he had done; 'for,' said he, 'your first question should have been, "Shall I go or not?" However,' he added, 'as you put your question so, you must do what Apollo told you.' " This is valuable testimony to Socrates' orthodoxy. The events recorded here by Xenophon cannot have taken place earlier than в.с. 402, and probably happened in b.c. 401, when Socrates was in his sixty-eighth year ; and provided that Xenophon is narrating events as they actually took place, we have here an answer to that clause of the indictment which accused Socrates of not believing in his country's gods.
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Ciceronis Orationes. In Verrem. By G. Long, M.A. 2s.6d. Euripides. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 3 vols. 2s. each.
Herodotus. By J. G. Blakesley, B.D. 2 vols. $5 s$.
Homeri Ilias. I.-XII. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 1s. 6d.
Horatius. By A. J. Macleane, M.A. 1s. $6 d$.
Juvenal et Persius. By A. J. Macleane, M.A. 1s. 6d.
Lucretius. By H. A. J. Munro, M.A. $2 s$.
Sallusti Crispi Catilina et Jugurtha. By G. Long, M.A. 1s. 6d.
Sophocles. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 2s. $6 d$.
Terenti Comœdiæ. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 2s.
Thucydides. By J. G. Donaldson, D.D. 2 vols. 4 s.
Virgilius. By J. Conington, M.A. $2 s$.
Xenophontis Expeditio Cyri. Bv J. F. Macmichael, B.A. 1s. 6d.
Novum Testamentum Græce. By F. H. Scrivener, M.A., D.C.L. 4s. 6 d . An edition with wide margin for notes, half bound, 12s. Editio Major, with additional Readings and References. 7s. 6d. See page 14.

Catullus. A Revised Text, with Introduction by Dr. J. P. Postgate. 16 mo .
[In the press.

## CAMBRIDGE TEXTS WITH NOTES.

A Selection of the most usually read of the Greek and Latin Authors, Annotated for Schools. Edited by well-known Classical Scholars. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6d. each, with exceptions.
' Dr. Paley's vast learning and keen appreciation of the difficulties of beginners make his school editions as valuable as they are popular. In many respects he sets a brilliant example to younger scholars.'-Athenceum.

- We hold in high value these handy Cambridge texts with Notes.' Saturday Review.
夿schylus. Prometheus Vinctus.-Septem contra Thebas.-Aga-memnon.-Persæ.-Eumenides.-Choephoroe. By F.A. Paley, M.A.,LL.D.
Euripiaes. Alcestis.-Medea.-Hippolytus.-Hecuba.-Bacchæ. -Ion. 2s.-Orestes. - Phoenissæ.-Troades.-Hercules Furens.-Andro-mache.-Iphigenia in Tauris.-Supplices. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D.
Homer. Iliad. Book I. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. $1 s$.
Sophocles. Edipus Tyrannus. - Edipus Coloneus. - Antigone. -Electra-Ajax. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D.
Xenophon. Anabasis. In 6 vols. By J. E. Melhuish, M.A., Assistant Classical Master at St. Paul's School.

Hellenics, Book II. By L. D. Dowdall, M.A., B.D. $2 s$.
Hellenics. Book I. By L. D. Dowdall, M.A., B.D.
Cicero. De Senectute, De Amicitia, and Epistolæ Selectæ. By G. Long, M.A.

Ovid. Fasti. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. In 3 vols., 2 books in each. 2s. each vol.

Ovid. Selections. Amores, Tristia, Heroides, Metamorphoses. By A. J. Macleane, M.A.
Terence. Andria.-Hauton Timorumenos.-Phormio.-Adelphoe. By Professor Wagner, Ph.D.
Virgil. Professor Conington's edition, abridged in 12 vols. Others in preparation.

## PUBLIC SCHOOL SERIES.

A Series of Classical Texts, annotated by well-known Scholars. Cr. $8 v 0$.
Aristophanes. The Peace. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 4s. 6d

- The Acharnians. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 4s. 6 d. The Frogs. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 4s. $6 d$.
Cicero. The Letters to Atticus. Bk. I. By A. Pretor, M.A. 4s.6d.
Demosthenes de Falsa Legatione. By R. Shilleto, M.A. $6 s$.
-_The Law of Leptines. By B. W. Beatson, M.A. 3s. $6 d$.
Livy. Book XXI. Edited, with Introduction, Notes, and Maps, by the Rev. L. D. Dowdall, M.A., B.D. 3s. $6 d$.
——Book XXII. Edited, \&c., by Rev. L. D. Dowdall, M.A., B.D. 3s. 6 d.

Plato. The Apology of Socrates and Crito. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 10th Edition. 3s. 6d. Cheap Edition, limp cloth, 2s. 6 d.
—— The Phædo. 9th Edition. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 5s. 6d.
—— The Protagoras. 4th Edition. By W. Wayte, M.A. 4s. 6d.
—— The Euthyphro. 3rd Edition. By G. H. Wells, M.A. 3s.

- The Euthydemus. By G. H. Wells, M.A. $4 s$.
——The Republic. Books I. \& II. By G. H. Wells, M.A. 3rd Edition. 5s. 6d.
Plautus. The Aulularia. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 3rd Edition. 4s.6d. The Trinummus. By W. Wagner,Ph.D. 3rd Edition. 4s. $6 d$.
—— The Menaechmei. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 2nd Edit. 4s. $6 d$.
-_ The Mostellaria. By Prof. E. A. Sonnenschein. 5s.
- The Rudens. Edited by Prof. E. A. Sonnenschein.
[In the press.
Sophocles. The Trachiniæ. By A. Pretor, M.A. 4s. $6 d$.
Sophocles. The Oedipus Tyrannus. By B. H. Kennedy, D.D. 5 s.
Terence. By W. Wagner, Ph.D. 2nd Edition. 7s. 6d.
Theocritus. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 2nd Edition. 4s. 6d.
Thucydides. Book VI. By T. W. Dongan, M.A., Fellow of St. John's College, Cambridge. 3s. 6 d .

Others in preparation.

## CRITICAL AND ANNOTATED EDITIONS.

Aristophanis Comœdiæ. By H. A. Holden, LL.D. 8vo. 2 vols. Notes, Illustrations, and Maps. 23s. 6 d. Plays sold separately.
Cæsar's Seventh Campaign in Gaul, B.C.52. By Rev. W. C. Compton, M.A., Assistant Master, Uppingham School. Crown 8vo. 46.

Calpurnius Siculus. By C. H. Keene, M.A. Crown 8vo. 6s.
Corpus Poetarum Latinorum. Edited by Walker. 1 vol. 8vo. $18 s$.
Horace. Quinti Horatii Flacci Opera. By H. A. J. Munro, M.A. Large 8vo. 10s. 6 d .
Livy. The first five Books. By J. Prendeville. 12mo. roan, 5s. Or Books I.-III. 3s. 6d. IV. and V. 3s. 6 d . Or the five Books in separate vols. 1s. 6d. each.
Lucan. The Pharsalia. By C. E. Haskins, M.A., and W. E. Heitland, M.A. Demy 8vo. 14s.
Lucretius. With Commentary by H. A. J. Munro. 4th Edition. Vols. I. and II. Introduction, Text, and Notes. 18s. Vol. III. Translation. 6 s.
Ovid. P. Ovidii Nasonis Heroides XIV. By A. Palmer, M.A. 8vo. 6s.

- P. Ovidii Nasonis Ars Amatoria et Amores. By the Rev. H. Wiliiams, M.A. 3s. $6 d$.
__ Metamorphoses. Book XIII. By Chas. Haines Keene, M.A. 2s. 6 d.
Epistolarum ex Ponto Liber Primus. ByC.H.Keene,M.A. 3s.
Propertius. Sex Aurelii Propertii Carmina. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 8vo. Cloth, 5s.
- Sex Propertii Elegiarum. Libri IV. Recensuit A. Palmer, Collegii Sacrosanctæ et Individuæ Trinitatis juxta Dublinum Socius. Fcap. 8 vo . 3s. $6 d$.
Sophocles. The Oedipus Tyrannus. By B. H. Kennedy, D.D. Crown 8vo. 8s.
Thucydides. The History of the Peloponnesian War. By Richard Shilleto, M.A. Book I. 8vo. 6s. 6d. Book II. 8vo. 5s. 6 d .


## LOWER FORM SERIES.

## With Notes and Vocabularies.

Eclogæ Latinæ; or, First Latin Reading-Book, with English Notes and a Dictionary. By the late Rev. P. Frost, M.A. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 18. 6 d.
Latin Vocabularies for Repetition. By A. M. M. Stedman, M.A. 2nd Edition, revised. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6 d.
Easy Latin Passages for Unseen Translation. By A. M. M. Stedman, M.A. Feap. 8vo. 1s. 6 d.
Virgil's Æneid. Book I. Abridged from Conington's Edition by Rev. J. G. Sheppard, D.C.L. With Vocabulary by W. F. R. Shilleto. 1s. 6d.
[Now ready.
Cæsar de Bello Gallico. Books I. and II. With Notes by George Long, M.A., and Vocabulary by W. F. R. Shilleto. 1s. 6 d . each.
[Book III. in the press.
Tales for Latin Prose Composition. With Notes and Vocabulary. By G. H. Wells, M.A. 2 s.
Materials for Latin Prose Composition. By the late Rev. P. Frost, M.A. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. Key (for Tutors only), 4 s .
A Latin Verse-Book. An Introductory Work on Hexameters and Pentameters. By the late Rev. P. Frost, M.A. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. Key (for Tutors only), 5 s.
Analecta Greca Minora, with Introductory Sentences, English Notes, and a Dictionary. By the late Rev. P. Frost, M.A. • New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s.
Greek Testament Selections. 2nd Edition, enlarged, with Notes and Vocabulary. By A. M. M. Stedman, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .

## LATIN AND GREEK CLASS-BOOKS.

(See also Lower Form Series.)
Faciliora. An Elementary Latin Book on a new principle. By the Rev. J. L. Seager, M.A. 2s. 6d.
First Latin Lessons. By A. M. M. Stedman. 18 .
Easy Latin Exercises, for Use with the Revised Latin Primer and Shorter Latin Primer. By A. M. M. Stedman, M.A. (Issued with the consent of the late Dr. Kennedy.) Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Miscellaneous Latin Exercises. By A. M. M. Stedman, M.A. Feap. 8vo. 1s. 6d.
A Latin Primer. By Rev. A. C. Clapin, M.A. $1 s$.
Auxilia Latina. A Series of Progressive Latin Exercises. By M. J.B. Baddeley, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. Part I. Accidence. 3rd Edition, revised. 2s. Part II. 4th Edition, revised. 2s. Key to Part II. 2s. 6d.
Scala Latina. Elementary Latin Exercises. By Rev. J. W. Davis, M.A. New Edition, with Vocabulary. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Passages for Translation into Latin Prose. By Prof. H. Nettleship, M.A. 3s. Key (for Tators only), 4s. 6 d .
Latin Prose Lessons. By Prof. Church, M.A. 9th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Analytical Latin Exercises. By C. P. Mason, B.A. 4th Edit. Part I., 1s. 6d. Part II., 2s. 6d.
By T. Collins, M.A., Head Master of the Latin School, Newport, Salop.
Latin Exercises and Grammar Papers. 6th Edit. Fcap. 8vo. 2s.6d.
Unseen Papers in Latin Prose and Verse. With Examination Questions. 4th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.

- in Greek Prose and Verse. With Examination Questions. 3rd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 3s.
Easy Translations from Nepos, Cæsar, Cicero, Livy, \&c., for Retranslation into Latin. With Notes. 2 s .

Scala Græca: a Series of Elementary Greek Exercises. By Rev. J. W. Davis, M.A., and R. W. Baddeley, M.A. 3rd Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
Greek Verse Composition. By G. Preston, M.A. 5th Edition. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6 d .
Greek Particles and their Combinations according to Attic Usage. A Short Treatise. By F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 2s. $6 d$.
Rudiments of Attic Construction and Idiom. By the Rev. W. C. Compton, M.A., Assistant Master at Uppingham School. 3s.

By A. M. M. Stedman, M.A., Wadham College, Oxford.
Latin Examination Papers in Grammar and Idiom. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d. Key (for Tutors and Private Students only), 6 s .
Greek Examination Papers in Grammar and Idiom. 2s. 6d.
By the Rev. P. Frost, M.A., St. John's College, Cambridge.
Materials for Greek Prose Composition. New Edit. Fcap. 8vo. $2 s .6 d$. Key (for Tutors only), $5 s$.
Florilegium Poeticum. Elegiac Extracts from Ovid and Tibullus. New Edition. With Notes. Fcap. 8vo. 2s.

Anthologia Græca. A Selection of Choice Greek Poetry, with Notes. By F. St. John Thackeray. 4th and Cheaper Edition. 16mo. 4s. $6 d$.
Anthologia Latina. A Selection of Choice Latin Poetry, from Nævius to Boêthius, with Notes. By Rev. F. St. John Thackeray. Revised and Cheaper Edition. 16mo. 4s. 6 d .

## By H. A. Holden, LL.D.

Foliorum Silvula. Part I. Passages for Translation into Latin Elegiac and Heroic Verse. 10th Edition. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

- Part II. Select Passages for Translation into Latin Lyric and Comic Iambic Verse. 3rd Edition. Post 8vo. 5s.
Folia Silvulæ, sive Eclogæ Poetarum Anglicorum in Latinum et Græcum conversæ. 8vo. Vol. II. 4s. 6d.
Foliorum Centurix. Sélect Passages for Translation into Latin and Greek Prose. 10th Edition. Post 8vo. 8s.


## TRANSLATIONS, SELECTIONS, \&c.

** Many of the following books are well adapted for School Prizes.
出schylus. Translated into English Prose by F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 7s. 6d.
_— Translated into English Verse by Anna Swanwick. 4th Edition. Post 8vo. 5s.
Horace. The Odes and Carmen Sæculare. In English Verse by J. Conington, M.A. 10th edition. Fcap. 8vo. 5s. 6 d.

The Satires and Epistles. In English Verse by J. Conington, M.A. 7th edition. 6s. 6 d .

- Odes. Englished and Imitated by various hands. 1 s .6 d.

Plato. Gorgias. Translated by E. M. Cope, M.A. 8vo. 2nd Ed. 7s.
—— Philebus. Trans. by F. A. Paley, M.A., LL.D. Sm. 8vo. $4 s$.

- Theætetus. Trans. byF.A. Paley, M. A., LL.D. Sm. 8vo. $4 s$. Analysis andIndex of the Dialogues. By Dr. Day. Post8vo. 5s.
Sophocles. Edipus Tyrannus. By Dr. Kennedy. 1s.
- The Dramas of. Rendered into English Verse by Sir George Young, Bart., M.A. 8vo. 12s. 6d.
Theocritus. In English Verse, by C. S. Calverley, M.A. New Edition, revised. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
Translations into English and Latin. By C. S. Calverley, M.A. Post 8vo. 7s. 6 d .
Translations into English, Latin, and Greek. By R. C. Jebb, M.A., H. Jackson, Litt.D., and W. E. Currey, M.A. Second Edition. 8s.

Extracts for Translation. By R. C. Jebb, M.A., H. Jackson, Litt.D., and W. E. Currey, M.A. 4s. 6d.
Between Whiles. Translations by Rev. B. H. Kennedy, D.D. 2nd Edition, revised. Crown 8vo. 5s.
Sabrinae Corolla in Hortulis Regiae Scholae Salopiensis Contexuerunt Tres Viri Floribus Legendis. Fourth Edition, thoroughly Revised and Rearranged. With many new Pieces and an Introduction.
[Ready immediately.

## REFERENCE VOLUMES.

A Latin Grammar. By Albert Harkness. Post 8vo. 6s.

- By T. H. Key, M.A. 6th Thousand. Post 8vo. 88.

A Short Latin Grammar for Schools. By T. H. Key, M.A. F.R.S. 16th Edition. Post 8vo. 3s. 6 d.

A Guide to the Choice of Classical Books. By J. B. Mayor, M.A. 3rd Edition, with a Supplementary List. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d. Supplementary List separately, 1s. 6 d .
The Theatre of the Greeks. By J. W. Donaldson, D.D. 8th Edition. Post 8vo. 5s.
Keightley's Mythology of Greece and Italy. 4th Edition. 5s,

## CLASSICAL TABLES.

Latin Accidence. By the Rev. P. Frost, M.A. 1s.
Latin Versification. $1 s$.
Notabilia Quædam; or the Principal Tenses of most of the Irregular Greek Verbs and Elementary Greek, Latin, and French Construction. New Edition. 1s,
Richmond Rules for the Ovidian Distich, \&c. By J. Tate, M.A. 18 .
The Principles of Latin Syntax. 1 s.
Greek Verbs. A Catalogue of Verbs, Irregular and Defective. By J. S. Baird, T.C.D. 8th Edition. 2s. 6 d.

Greek Accents (Notes on). By A. Barry, D.D. New Edition. 1s.
Homeric Dialect. Its Leading Forms and Peculiarities. By J. S. Baird, T.C.D. New Edition, by W. G. Rutherford, LL.D. 1 s.
Greek Accidence. By the Rev. P. Frost, M.A. New Edition. 1s.

## CAMBRIDGE MATHEMATICAL SERIES.

Arithmetic for Schools. By C. Pendlebury, M.A. 3rd Edition, revised and stereotyped, with or without answers, 4 s . 6 d . Or in two parts, 2s. 6d. each.

Examples (nearly 8000 ), without answers, in a separate vol. 3 s .
In use at St. Paul's, Winchester, Charterhouse, Merchant Taylors', Christ's Hospital, and Manchester Grammar, and at many other Schools and Colleges.
Algebra. Choice and Chance. By W. A. Whitworth, M.A. 4th Edition. 6s.
Euclid. Books I.-VI. and part of Books XI. and XII. By H. Deighton. 4s. 6d. Key (for Tutors only), 5s. Books I. and II., 2 s .
Euslid. Exercises on Euclid and in Modern Geometry. By J. McDowell, M.A. 3rd Edition. 6 s.

Trigonometry. Plane. By Rev. T. Vyvyan, M.A. 3rd Edit. 3s. $6 d$.
Geometrical Conic Sections. By H. G. Willis, M.A. Manchester Grammar School. 5 s .
Conics. The Elementary Geometry of, 5th Edition, revised and enlarged. By C. Taylor, D.D. 4s. 6d.
Solid Geometry. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. 4th Edit. revised. 6s. Geometrical Optics. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. 3rd Edition. 4s. Rigid Dynamics. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. $4 s$.
Elementary Dynamics. By W. Garnett, M.A., D.C.L. 5th Ed. 68. Dynamics. A Treatise on. By W. H. Besant, D.Sc., F.R.S. 7s. 6 d .
Heat. An Elementary Treatise. By W. Garnett, M.A., D.C.L. 4th Edition. 4s.
Elementary Physics. Examples in. By W. Gallatly, M.A. 4s.
Hydromechanics. By W. H. Besant, D.Sc., F.R.S. 4th Edition. Part I. Hydrostatics. 5s.
Mathematical Examples. By J. M. Dyer, M.A., Eton College, and R. Prowde Smith, M.A., Cheltenham College. 6s.
Mechanics. Problems in Elementary. By W. Walton, M.A. $6 s$.

## CAMBRIDGE SCHOOL AND COLLEGE TEXT-BOOKS.

A Series of Elementary Treatises for the use of Students.
Arithmetic. By Rev.C.Elsee, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 13th Edit. 3s.6d.
——By A. Wrigley, M.A. 3s. 6d.

- A Progressive Course of Examples. With Answers. By J. Watson, M.A. 7th Edition, revised. By W. P. Goudie, B.A. 2s. 6 d.

Algebra. By the Rev. C. Elsee, M.A. 7th Edit. 48.
-_ Progressive Course of Examples. By Rev. W. F. $M^{\prime}$ Michael, M.A., and R. Prowde Smith, M.A. 4th Edition. 3s. 6d. With Answers. 4s. 6 d .
Plane Astronomy, An Introduction to. By P. T. Main, M.A. 5th Edition. 4s.
Conic Sections treated Geometrically. By W. H. Besant, D.Se. 6th Edition. 4s. 6d. Solution to the Examples. 4s.
-_Enunciations and Figures Separately. 1s. 6d.
Statics, Elementary. By Rev. H. Goodwin, D.D. 2nd Edit. 3s,
Hydrostatics, Elementary. By W. H. Besant, D.Sc. 13th Edit. 48 .
Mensuration, An Elementary Treatise on. By B.T.Moore, M.A. 3..6d.
Newton's Principia, The First Three Sections of, with an Appendix; and the Ninth and Eleventh Sections. By J. H. Evans, M.A. 5th Edition, by P. T. Main, M.A. 4s.
Analytical Geometry for Schools. By T. G. Vvvyan. 5th Edit. 4s. 6il.
Greek Testament, Companion to the. By A. C. Barrett, M.A. 5th Edition, revised. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.
Book of Common Prayer, An Historical and Explanatory Treatise on the. By W. G. Humphry, B.D. 6th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
Music. Text-book of. By Professor H. C. Banister. 14th Edition, revised. 5 s.
Mus, Concise History of. By Rev. H. G. Bonavia Hunt, Mus. Doe. Dublin. 9th Edition revised. 3s. 6 d.

## ARITHMETIC AND ALGEBRA.

See also the two foregoing Series.
Arithmetic, Examination Papers in. Consisting of 140 papers, each containing 7 questions. 357 more difficult problems follow. A collection of recent Public Examination Payers are appended. By C. Pendlebury, M.A. 2s. 6d. Key, 5s.
Graduated Exercises in Addition (Simple and Compound). By W. S. Beard, C. S. Dept. Rochester Mathematical School. 1s. For Candidates for Commercial Certificates and Civil Seroice Exams.

## BOOK-KEEPING.

Book-keeping Papers, set at various Public Examinations. Collected and Written by J. T. Medhurst, Lecturer on Book-keeping in the City of London College. 3 e.
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## GEOMETRY AND EUCLID.

Euclid. Books I.-VI. and part of XI. and XII. A New Translation. By H. Deighton. Books I. and II. separately, 2s. (Eee p. 8.)

The Definitions of, with Explanations and Exercises, and an Appendix of Exercises on the First Book. By R. Webb, M.A. Crown 8vo. 1s. $6 d$.

- Book I. With Notes and Exercises for the use of Preparatory Schools, \&c. By Braithwaite Arnett, M.A. 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- The First Two Books explained to Beginners. By C. P. Mason, B.A. 2nd Edition. Feap. 8vo. 2s. 6d.
The Enunciations and Figures to Euclid's Elements. By Rev. J. Brasse, D.D. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. Without the Figures, $6 d$.

Exercises on Euclid and in Modern Geometry. By J. McDowell, B.A. Crown 8vo. 3rd Edition revised. 6s.

Geometrical Conic Sections. By H. G. Willis, M.A. (See p. 8.)
Geometrical Conic Sections. By W. H. Besant, D.Sc. (See p. 9.)
Elementary Geometry of Conics. By C. Taylor, D.D. (See p. 8.)
An Introduction to Ancient and Modern Geometry of Conics. By C. Taylor, D.D., Master of St. John's Coll., Camb. 8vo. 15s.
Solutions of Geometrical Problems, proposed at St. John's Colleg from 1830 to 1846. By T. Gaskin, M.A. 8vo. 12s.

## TRIGONOMETRY.

Trigonometry, Introduction to Plane. By Rev. T. G. Vyvyan, Charterhouse. 3rd Edition. Cr. 8vo. 3s. 6d.
An Elementary Treatise on Mensuration. By B. T. Moore, M.A. $3 s .6 d$.

Trigonometry, Examination Papers in. By G. H. Ward, M.A., Assistant Master at St. Paul's School. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

## ANALYTICAL GEOMETRY AND DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS.

An Introduction to Analytical Plane Geometry. By W. P. Turnbull, M.A. 8vo. 12s.
Problems on the Principles of Plane Co-ordinate Geometry. By W. Walton, M.A. 8vo. 16 s .
Trilinear Co-ordinates, and Modern Analytical Geometry of Two Dimensions. By W. A. Whitworth, M.A. 8vo. 16s.
An Elementary Treatise on Solid Geometry. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. 4 th Edition revised. Cr. 8 vo . 6s.

Elliptic Functions. Elementary Treatise on. By A. Cayley, D Sc. Professor of Pure Mathematics at Cambridge University. Demy 8vo. 15s.

## MECHANICS \& NATURAL PHILOSOPHY.

Statics, Elementary. By H. Goodwin, D.D. Fcap. 8vo. 2nd Edition. 3s.
Dynamics, A Treatise on Elementary. By W. Garnett, M.A , D.C.L. 5th Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.

Dynamics. Rigid. By W. S. Aldis, M.A: $4 s$.
Dynamics. A Treatise on. By W. H. Besant, D.Sc.,F.R.S. 7s. 6d.
Elementary Mechanics, Problems in. By W. Walton, M.A. New Edition. Crown 8vo. 6s.
Theoretical Mechanics, Problems in. By W. Walton, M.A. 3rd Edition. Demy 8vo. 16s.
Hydrostatics. By W.H. Besant, D.Sc. Fcap. 8vo. 13th Edition. 4s.
Hydromechanics, A Treatise on. By W. H. Besant, D.Sc., F.R.S. 8vo. 4th Edition, revised. Part I. Hydrostatics. 5s.
Hydrodynamics, A Treatise on. Vol. I. 10s. 6d.; Vol. II. 12s. 6d. A. B. Basset, M.A.

Optics, Geometrical. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. Crown-8vo. 3rd Edition. 4s.
Double Refraction, A Chapter on Fresnel's Theory of. By W. S. Aldis, M.A. 8vo. 2s.
Heat, An Elementary Treatise on. By W. Garnett, M.A., D.C.L. Crown 8vo. 4th Edition. 4s.
Elementary Physics. By W. Gallatly, M.A., Asst. Examr. at London University. 4 s .
Newton's Principia, The First Three Sections of, with an Appendix; and the Ninth and Eleventh Sections. By J. H. Evans, M.A. 5th Edition. Edited by P. T. Main, M.A. 4s.
Astronomy, An Introduction to Plane. By P. T. Main, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. cloth. 5th Edition. 4s.

- Practical and Spherical. By R. Main, M.A. 8vo. 14s.

Mathematical Examples. Pure and Mixed. By J. M. Dyer, M.A., and R. Prowde Smith, M.A. 6s.
Pure Mathematics and Natural Philosophy, A Compendium of Facts and Formulæ in. By G. R. Smalley. 2nd Edition, revised by J. McDowell, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Flementary Mathematical Formulæ. By the Rev. T. W. Openshaw, M.A. 1s. 6 d.
Elementary Course of Mathematics. By H. Goodwin, D.D. 6 th Edition. 8vo. 16 s .
Problems and Examples, adapted to the 'Elementary Course of Mathematics.' 3rd Edition. 8vo. 5s.
Solutions of Goodwin's Collection of Problems and Examples. By W. W. Hutt, M.A. 3rd Edition, revised and enlarged. 8vo. 9s.
A Collection of Examples and Problems in Arithmetic, Algebra, Geometry, Logarithms, Trigonometry, Conic Sections, Mechanics, \&c., with Answers. By Rev. A. Wrigley. 20th Thousand. 8s. $6 d$. Kev. 10 s 6 d
Science Examination Papers. Part I. Inorganic Chemistry. By R. E. Steel, M.A., F.C.S., Bradford Grammar School. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

## TECHNOLJGICAL HANDBOOKS.

Edited by H. Trueman Wood, Secretary of the Society of Arts. Dyeing and Tissue Printing. By W. Crookes, F.R.S. 58.
Glass Manufacture. By Henry Chance, M.A.; H. J. Powell, B.A.; and H. G. Harris. 3s. $6 d$.
Cotton Spinning. Bv Richard Marsden, of Manchester. 3rd Edition, revised. 6s. 6 d.
Chemistry of Coal-Tar Colours. By Prof. Benedikt, and Dr. Knecht of Bradford Technical College. 2nd Edition, enlarged. 6s. 6d.
Woollen and Worsted Cloth Manufacture. By Roberts Beaumont, Assistant Lecturer at Yorkshire College, Leeds. 7s. 6 d .
Cotton Weaving. By R. Marsden. [In the press. Colour in Woven Design. By Ruberts Beaumont. [In the press. Bookbinding. By Zaehnsdorf.
[Preparing. Others in preparation.

## HISTORY, TOPOGRAPHY, \&c.

Rome and the Campagna. By R. Burn, M.A. With 85 Engravings and 26 Maps and Plans. With Appendix. 4to. 21s.
Old Rome. A Handbook for Travellers. By R. Burn, M.A. With Maps and Plans. Demy 8vo. 5s.
Modern Europe. By Dr. T. H. Dyer. 2nd Edition, revised and continued. 5 vols. Demy 8vo. 2l. 12s. $6 d$.
The History of the Kings of Rome. By Dr. T. H. Dyer. 8 vo .16 s.
The History of Pompeii : its Buildings and Antiquities. By T. H. Dyer. 3rd Edition, brought down to 1874. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

The City of Rome: its History and Monuments. 2nd Edition, revised by T. H. Dyer. 5 s.
Ancient Athens: its History, Topography, and Remains. By T. H. Dyer. Super-royal 8vo. Cloth. 7s. 6 d.

The Decline of the Roman Republic. By G. Long. 5 vols. 8 vo . 5 s . each.
Historical Maps of England. By C. H. Pearson. Folio. 3rd Edition revised. 31s. 6d.
History of England, 1800-46. By Harriet Martinean, with new and copious Index. 5 vols. 3s. 6d. each.
A Practical Synopsis of English History. By A. Bowes. 9th Edition, revised. 8vo. 1s.
Lives of the Queens of England. By A. Strickland. Library Edition, 8 vols. 7s. 6d. each. Cheaper Edition, 6 vols. 5 s . each. Abridged Edition, 1 vol. 6s. 6d. Mary Queen of scots, 2 vols, 5s. each. Tudor and Stuart Princesses, 5 s .

Eginhard's Life of Karl the Great (Charlemagne). Translated, with Notes, by W. Glaister, M.A., B.C.L. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.
The Flements of General History. By Prof. Tytler. New Edition, brought down to 1874. Small Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.
History and Geography Examination Papers. Compiled by C. H. Spence, M.A., Clifton College. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6 d .

## PHILOLOGY.

WEBSTER'S DICTIONARY OF THE FNGLISH LANGUAGE. With Dr. Mahn's Etymology. 1 vol. 1628 pages, 3000 Illustrations. 21s.; half calf, 30s.; calf or half russia, 31s. 6 d. ; russia, 22. With Appendices and 70 additional pages of Illustrations, 1919 pages, 31 s .6 d . ; half calf, $2 l$. ; calf or half russia, $2 l .2 \mathrm{~s}$. ; russia, $2 l .10 \mathrm{~s}$.
'The best practical English Dictionary extant.'-Quarterly Review, 1873. Prospectuses, with specifen pages, post free on application.
Richardson's Philological Dictionary of the English Language. Combining Explanation with Etymology, and copiously illustrated by Quotations from the best Authorities. With a Supplement. 2 vols. 4to. 4l. 14s. 6d. Supplement separately. 4to. 12s.
Brief History of the English Language. By Prof. James Hadley, LL.D., Yale College. Fcap. 8vo. 1s.
The Elements of the Fnglish Language. By E. Adams, Ph.D. 21st Edition. Post 8vo. 4s. 6d.
Philological Fssays. By T. H. Key, M.A., F.R.S. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
Synonyms and Antonyms of the English Language. By Archdeacon Smith. 2nd Edition. Post 8vo. 5s.
Synonyms Discriminated. By Archdeacon Smith. Demy 8 vo. 2nd Edition revised. 14s.
Bible English. Chapters on Words and Phrases in the Bible and Prayer Book. By Rev. T. L. O. Davies. 5s.
The Queen's English. A Manual of Idiom and Usage. By the late Dean Alford. 6th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. sewed. 1s. 6d. cloth.
A History of English Rhythms. By Edwin Guest, M.A., D.C.L. LL.D. New Edition, by Professor W. W. Skeat. Demy 8vo. 18s.
Elements of Comparative Grammar and Philology. For Use in Schools. By A. C. Price, M.A., Assistant Master at Leeds Grammar School. Crown 8vo. 2s. $6 d$.
Questions for Examination in English Literature. By Prof. W. W. Skeat. 2nd Edition, revised. 2s. 6d.

A Syriac Grammar. By G. Phillips, D.D. 3rd Edition, enlarged. 8vo. 7s. 6d.

## DIVINITY, MORAL PHILOSOPHY, \&c.

By the Rev. F. H. Scrivener, A.M., LL.D., D.C.L.
Novum Testamentum Græce. Editio major. Being an enlarged Edition, containing the Readings of Westcott and Hort, and those adopted by the Revisers, \&c. 7s. 6d. For other Editions see page 3.
A Plain Introduction to the Criticism of the New Testament. With Forty Facsimiles from Ancient Manuscripts. 3rd Edition. 8vo. 18s.
Six Lectures on the Text of the New Testament. For English Readers. Crown 8vo. 6s.
Codex Bezæ Cantabrigiensis. 4to. 26s.

The New Testament for English Readers. By the late H. Alford, D.D. Vol. I. Part I. 3rd Edit. 12s. Vol. I. Part II. 2nd Edit. 10s.6d. Vol. II. Part I. 2nd Edit. 16s. Vol. II. Part II. 2nd Edit. 16s.
The Greek Testament. By the late H. Alford, D.D. Vol. I. 7th Edit. 1l. 8s. Vol. II. 8th Edit. 1l. 4s. Vol. III. 10th Edit. 18s. Vol. IV. Part I. 5th Edit. 18s. Vol. IV. Part II. 10th Edit. 14s. Vol. IV. 1l. 12s.
Companion to the Greek Testament. By A. C. Barrett, M.A. 5th Edition, revised. Fcap. 8vo. 5s.
The Book of Psalms. A New Translation, with Introductions, \&c. By the Very Rev. J. J. Stewart Perowne, D.D. 8vo. Vol. I. 6th Edition, 18s. Vol. II. 6th Edit. 16 s .

Abridged for Schools. 6th Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.
History of the Articles of Religion. By C. H. Hardwick. 3rd Edition. Post 8vo. 5s.
History of the Creeds. By J. R. Lumby, DD. 3rd Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
Pearson on the Creed. Carefully printed from an early edition. With Analysis and Index by E. Walford, M.A. Post 8vo. 5s.
Liturgies and Offices of the Church, for the Use of English Readers, in Illustration of the Book of Common Prayer. By the Rev. Edward Burbidge, M.A. Crown 8vo. 9s.
An Historical and Explanatory Treatise on the Book of Common Prayer By Rev. W. G. Humphry, B.D. 6th Edition, enlarged. Small Post 8vo. 2s. 6d. ; Cheap Edition, 1s.
A Commentary on the Gospels, Epistles, and Acts of the Apostles. By Rev. W. Denton, A.M. New Edition. 7 vols. 8vo. 9s. each.
Notes on the Catechism. By Rt. Rev. Bishop Barry. 8th Edit. Fcap. 2s.
The Winton Church Catechist. Questions and Answers on the Teaching of the Church Catechism. By the late Rev. J. S. B. Monsell, LL.D. 4th Edition. Cloth, 3s. ; or in Four Parts, sewed.
The Church Teacher's Manual of Christian Instruction. By Rev. M. F. Sadler. 38th Thousand. 2s. 6d.

## FOREIGN CLASSICS.

A Series for use in Schools, with English Notes, grammatical and explanatory, and renderings of difficult idiomatic expressions. Fcap. 8vo.
Schiller's Wallenstein. By Dr. A. Buchheim. 5th Edit. 5 s. Or the Lager and Piccolomini, 2s. 6d. Wallenstein's Tod, 2s. 6d. Maid of Orleans. By Dr. W. Wagner. 2nd Edit. 1s. 6 d .
-_Maria Stuart. By V. Kastner. 2nd Edition. 1s. 6d.
Goethe's Hermann and Dorothea. By E. Bell, M.A., and E. Wölfel. 1s. 6d.

German Ballads, from Uhland, Goethe, and Schiller. By C. L. Bielefeld. 3rd Edition. 1s. 6 d.
Charles XII., par Voltaire. By L. Direy. 7th Edition. 1s. $6 d$.
Aventures de Télémaque, par Fénélon. By C. J. Delille. 4th Edition. 2s. 6 d.
Select Fables of La Fontaine. By F.E. A. Gasc. 18th Edit. 1s. 6d.
Picciola, by X. B. Saintine. By Dr. Dubuc. 15th Thousand. 1s. 6d.
Lamartine's Le Tailleur de Pierres de Saint-Point. By J. Boielle, 4th Thousand. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. $6 d$.

Italian Primer. By Rev. A. C. Clapin, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 1s.

## FRENCH CLASS-BOOKS.

French Grammar for Public Schools. By Rev. A. C. Clapin, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 12th Edition, revised. 2s. 6 d.
French Primer. By Rev. A. C. Clapin, M.A. Fcap. 8vo. 8th Ed. 1s.
Primer of French Philology. By Rev. A. C. Clapin. Fcap. 8vo. 4th Edit. 1s.
Le Nouveau Trésor; or, French Student's Companion. By M. E. S. 18th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. $6 d$.

French Examination Papers in Miscellaneous Grammar and Idioms. Compiled by A. M. M. Stedman, M.A. 4th Edition. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6 d.
Key to the above. By G. A. Schrumpf, Univ. of France. Crown 8vo. 5s. (For Teachers or Private Students only.)
Manual of French Prosody. By Arthur Gosset, M.A. Crown 8vo. 3s.
Lexicon of Conversational French. By A. Holloway. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 4s.

## PROF. A. BARRERE'S FRENCH COURSE.

Elements of French Grammar and First Steps in Idiom. Crown 8vo. 2s.
Precis of Comparative French Grammar. 2nd Edition. Crown. 8vo. 3s. 6 d.
Junior Graduated French Course. Crown 8vo. 1s. $6 d$.

## F. E. A. GASC'S FRENCH COURSE.

First French Book. Fcap. 8vo. 98th Thousand. 1s.
Second French Book. 47th Thousand. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6d. Key to First and Second French Books. 5th Edit. Fcp. 8vo. 3s.6d. French Fables for Beginners, in Prose, with Index. 16th Thousand. 12 mo . 1s. 6 d.
Select Fables of La Fontaine. 18th Thousand. Fcap.8vo. 1s. 6 d. Histoires Amusantes et Instructives. With Notes. 16th Thousand. Fcap. 8vo. 2s.
Practical Guide to Modern French Conversation. 17th Thousand. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6 d.
French Poetry for the Young. With Notes. 5th Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 2s.
Materials for French Prose Composition; or, Selections from the best English Prose Writers. 19th Thous. Fcap. 8vo. 3s. Key, 6 s.
Prosateurs Contemporains. With Notes. 10th Edition, revised. 12mo. 3s. 6 d.
Le Petit Compagnon; a French Talk-Book for Little Children. 12th Thousand. 16 mo . 1s $6 d$.
An Improved Modern Pocket Dictionary of the French and English Languages. 45th Thousand. 16mo. 2s. 6 d .
Modern French-English and Fnglish-French Dictionary. 4th Edition, revised, with new supplements. 10s. 6 d.
The A B C Tourist's French Interpreter of all Immediate Wants. By F. E. A. Gasc. 1s.

> MODERN FRENCH AUTHORS.

Edited, with Introductions and Notes, by James Boïelle, Senior French Master at Dulwich College.
Daudet's La Belle Nivernaise. 2s. 6d. For Beginners. Hugo's Bug Jargal. 3s. For Advanced Students.

## GOMBERT'S FRENCH DRAMA.

Being a Selection of the best Tragedies and Comedies of Molière, Racine, Corneille, and Voltaire. With Arguments and Notes by A. Gombert. New Edition, revised by F. E. A. Gasc. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. each; sewed, 6 d.
Moliere:-Le Misanthrope. L'Avare. Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme. Le Tartuffe. Le Malade Imaginaire. Les Femmes Savantes. Les Fourberies de Scapin. Les Précieuses Ridicules. L'Ecole des Femmes. L'Ecole des Maris. Le Médecin malgré Lui.

Racine :-Phédre. Esther. Athalie. Iphigénie. Les Plaideurs. La Thébaỉde; ou, Les Frères Ennemis. Andromaque. Britannicus.
P. Corneille:-Le Cid. Horace. Cinna. Polyeucte.

Voltaire:-Zaïre.

## GERMAN CLASS-BOOKS.

A Concise German Grammar. By Frz. Lange, Ph.D., Professor R.M.A. Woolwich. In three Parts. Part I. Elementary. 2s. Part II. Intermediate. 1s. 6 d . Now ready. Part III. Advanced. In the press.
Materials for German Prose Composition. By Dr. Buchheim. 12 th Edition, thoroughly revised. Fcap. 4s.6d. Key, Parts I. and II., 3s. Parts III. and IV., 4s.

German Conversation Grammar. By I. Sydow. 2nd Edition. Book I. Etymology. 2s. 6d. Book II. Syntax. 1s. $6 d$.
Wortfolge, or Rules and Exercises on the Order of Words in German Sentences. By Dr. F. Stock. 1s. 6d.
A German Grammar for Public Schools. By the Rev. A. C. Clapin and F. Holl Müller. 5th Edition. Fcap. 2s. $6 d$.
A German Primer, with Exercises. By Rev. A. C. Clapin. $1 s$. Kotzebue's Der Gefangene. With Notes by Dr. W. Stromberg. $1 s$.
German Examination Papers in Grammar and Idiom. By R. J. Morich. 2s. 6d. Key for Tutors only, 5s.

German Examination Course. By Prof. F. Lange, Ph.D. Elementary, 2s. Intermediate, 2s. Advanced, 1s. 6 d .
German Progressive Course. By Prof. F. Lange. Elementary Reader, 1s. 6d. Intermediate and Advanced (in the press).

## MODERN GERMAN SCHOOL CLASSICS.

Small Crown 8vo.
Hey's Fabeln Für Kinder. Edited by Prof. F. Lange, Ph.D. 1s. $6 d$.
Benedix's Dr. Wespe. Edited by F. Lange, Ph.D. 2s. 6d.
Hoffman's Meister Martin, der Küfner. By Prof. F. Lange, Ph.D. 1s. 6 d.
Heyse's Hans Lange. By A. A. Macdonell, M.A., Ph.D. $2 s$. Auerbach's Auf Wache, and Roquette's Der Gefrorene Kuss. By A. A. Macdonell, M.A. 2s.
Moser's Der Bibliothekar. By Prof. F. Lange, Ph.D. $2 s$.
Ebers' Eine Frage. By F. Storr, B.A. $2 s$.
Freytag's Die Journalisten. By Prof. F. Lange, Ph.D. 2s. 6d.
Gutzkow's Zopf und Schwert. By Prof. F. Lange, Ph.D. $2 s$. German Epic Tales. Edited by Karl Neuhaus, Ph.D. 2s. $6 d$.
Humoresken. Novelletten der besten deutschen Humoristen der Gegenwart. Edited by A. A. Macdonell, M.A. Oxon. Authorised Edition. [In preparation.

## ENGLISH CLASS-BOOKS.

Comparative Grammar and Philology. By A. C. Price, M.A., Assistant Master at Leeds Grammar School. 2s. 6d.
The Elements of the English Language. By E. Adams, Ph.D. 21st Edition. Post 8vo. 4s. 6d.
The Rudiments of English Grammar and Analysis. By E. Adams, Ph.D. 16th Thousand. Fcap. 8vo. 1s.

A Concise System of Parsing. By L. E. Adams, B.A. 1s. $6 d$.
General Knowledge Examination Papers. Compiled by A. M. M. Stedman, M.A. 2s. 6d.

Examples for Grammatical Analysis (Verse and Prose). Selected, \&c., by F. Edwards. New edition. Cloth, 1s.
Notes on Shakespeare's Plays. By T. Duff Barnett, B.A. Midsummer Night's Dream, 1s.; Julius Cæsar, 1s.; Henry V., 1s.; Tempest, 1s. ; Macketh, 1s. ; Merchant of Venice, 1s.; Hamlst, 1s.

By C. P. Mason, Fellow of Univ. Coll. London.

First Notions of Grammar for Young Learners. Fcap. 8vo. 41st to 46th Thousand. Cloth. 9d.
First Steps in English Grammar for Junior Classes. Demy 18mo. 44th Thousand. 1 s .
Outlines of English Grammar for the Use of Junior Classes. 71st to 76th Thousand. Crown 8vo. 2s.
English Grammar, including the Principles of Grammatical Analysis. 30th Edition. 125th to 130th Thousand. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
Practice and Help in the Analysis of Sentences. $2 s$.
A Shorter English Grammar, with copious Exercises. 34th to 38th Thousand. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6 d .
English Grammar Practice, being the Exercises separately. 1s. Code Standard Grammars. Parts I. and II., 2d. each. Parts III., IV., and V., 3d. each.

Notes of Lessons, their Preparation, \&c. By José Rickard, Park Lane Board School, Leeds, and A. H. Taylor, Rodley Board School, Leeds. 2nd Edition. Crown 8vo. 2s. 6 d .
A Syllabic System of Teaching to Read, combining the advantages of the 'Phonic' and the 'Look-and-Say' Systems. Crown 8vo. 1s.
Practical Hints on Teaching. By Rev. J. Menet, M.A. 6th Edit. revised. Crown 8vo. paper, 2s.
How to Earn the Merit Grant. A Manual of School Management. By H. Major, B.A., B.Sc. Part I. (3rd Edit.) Infant School, 3s. Part II. (2nd Edit. revised), 4s. Complete, 6 s.
Test Lessons in Dictation. 4th Edition. Paper cover, 1s. $6 d$.
Drawing Copies. By P. H. Delamotte. Oblong 8vo. 12s. Sold also in parts at 1s. each.
Poetry for the Schoolroom. New Edition. Fcap. 8vo. 1s. 6d.
The Botanist's Pocket-Book. With a copious Index. By W. R. Hayward. 6th Edition, revised. Crown 8vo. cloth limp. 4s. 6 d .
Experimental Chemistry, founded on the Work of Dr. Stöckhardt. By C. W. Heaton. Post 8vo. 5s.
Lectures on Musical Analysis. Sonata-form, Fugue, \&c. By Prof. H. C. Banister. 2nd Edition, revised. 7s. $6 d$.
gEOGRAPHICAL SERIES. By M. J. Barrington Ward, M.A. With Illustrations.
The Map and the Compass. A Reading-Book of Geography. For Standard I. New Edition, revised. 8d. cloth.
The Round World. A Reading-Book of Geography. For Standard II. 10d.
About England. A Reading Book of Geography for Standard III.
[In the press.
The Child's Geography. For the Use of Schools and for Home Tuition. $6 d$.
The Child's Geography of England. With Introductory Exercises on the British Isles and Empire, with Questions. 2s. $6 d$. Without Questions, 2 s .
Geography Examination Papers. (See Eistory and Geography Papers, p. 12.)

Helps' Course of Poetry, for Schools. A New Selection from the English Poets, carefully compiled and adapted to the several standards by E. A. Helps, one of H.M. Inspectors of Schools.

Book I. Infants and Standards I. and II. 134 pp . small 8vo. 9 d .
Book II. Standards III. and IV. 224 pp . crown 8vo. 1s. 6 d .
Book III. Standards V., VI., and VII. 352 pp. post 8vo. 2s.
Or in PaRTS. Infants, 2d.; Standard I., 2d.; Standard II., $2 d$. Standard III., 4d.

Picture School-Books. In Simple Language, with numerous Illustrations. Royal 16 mo .
The Infant's Primer. 3d.-School Primer. 6d.-School Reader. By J. Tilleard. 1s.-Poetry Book for Schools. 1s. -The Life of Joseph. 1s.-The Scripture Parables. By the Rev. J. E. Clarke. 1s.-The Scripture Miracles. By the Rev. J. E. Clarke. 1s.-The New Testament History. By the Rev. J. G. Wood, M.A. 1s.-The Old Testament History. By the Rev. J. G. Wood, M.A. 1s.-The Story of Bunyan's Pilgrim's Progress. 1s.-The Life of Martin Luther. By Sarah Crompton. 1s.

## BOOKS FOR YOUNG READERS.

A Series of Reading Books designed to facilitate the acquisition of the power of Reading by very young Children. In 11 vols. limp cloth, $6 d$. each. Those with an asterisk have a Frontispiece or other Illustrations.
*The Old Boathouse. Bell and Fan; or, A Cold Dip.
*Tot and the Cat. A Bit of Cake. The Jay. The Black Hen's Nest. Tom and Ned. Mrs. Bee.

* The Cat and the Hen. Sam and his Dog Redleg. Bob and Tom Lee. A Wreck.

Suitable for Infants.
*The New-born Lamb. The Rosewood Box. Poor Fan. Sheep Dog.
*The Two Parrots. A Tale of the Jubilee. By M. E. Wintle. 9 Illustrations.
*The Story of Three Monkeys.

* Story of a Cat. Told by Herself.

The Blind Boy. The Mute Girl. A New Tale of Babes in a Wood.
The Dey and the Knight. The New Bank Note. The Royal Visit. A King's Walk on a Winter's Day.

Suitable for Standards I. \& II.
*Queen Bee and Busy Bee.

* Gull's Crag.
*A First Book of Geography. By the Rev. C. A. Johns. Illustrated. Double size, 1 s .

Syllabic Spelling. By C. Barton. In Two Parts. Infants, 3d. Standard I., 3d.

## BELL'S READING-BOOKS.

FOR SCHOOLS AND PAROCHIAL LIBRARIES.
Now Ready. Post 8 vo. Strongly bound in cloth, 1s. each.
*Life of Columbus.

* Grimm's German Tales. (Selected.)
*Andersen's Danish Tales. Illustrated. (Selected.) Great Tinglishmen. Short Lives for Young Children. Great Englishwomen. Short Lives of.
Great Scotsmen. Short Lives of.
* Masterman Ready. By Capt. Marryat. Illus. (Abgd.)
*Poor Jack. By Capt. Marryat, R.N. (Abridged.)
*Scott's Talisman. (Abridged.)
* Friends in Fur and Feathers. By Gwynfryn.
* Dickens's Little Nell. Abridged from the 'The Old Curiosity Shop.'
*Poor Jack. By Captain Marryat, R.N. Abgd. Parables from Nature. (Selected.) By Mrs. Gatty. Lamb's Tales from Shakespeare. (Selected.) Edgeworth's Tales. (A Selection.)
*Gulliver's Travels. (Abridged.)
${ }^{*}$ Robinson Crusoe. Illustrated.
*Arabian Nights. (A Selection Rewritten.)
*The Vicar of Wakefield.
*Settlers in Canada. By Capt. Marryat. (Abridged.) Marie: Glimpses of Life in France. By A. R. Ellis. Poetry for Boys. Selected by D. Munro.
*Southey's Life of Nelson. (Abridged.)
*Life of the Duke of Wellington, with Maps and Plans.

Suitable for Standards III. \& IV.

Standards. IV. \& V.
*Sir Roger de Coverley and other Essays from the Spectator.
Tales of the Coast. By J. Runciman.
*These Volumes are Illustrated.
Uniform with the Series, in limp cloth, 6d. each.
Shakespeare's Plays. Kemble's Reading Edition. With Explanatory Notes for School Use.
JULIUS CESAR. THE MERCHANT OF VENICE. KING JOHN. HENRY THE FIFTH. MACBETH. AS YOU LIKE IT.

Londoa: GEORGE BELL \& SONS, York Street, Covent Garden.
$\frac{E v g}{\frac{g}{e}}$
Plp:m $2 @$ cmin / $1 . \sqrt{9}$.



[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Note A, at end.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Wsch. Ag. 160.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ v. not. ad c. xv.
    ${ }^{2}$ c. iii.
    $3 \mathrm{ck}$. £.

[^3]:    $\tau i \delta \dot{\eta} \pi o \tau^{\prime}$ ．＂What name shall we give？＂＂What are we to call？＂

    B．$\mu \dot{d} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ фí $\lambda o \nu$ ．Thereby bringing the question round to its original starting－point．The

