

Boston, August 15, 1847.

My dear Sir, and Friend,

Writing is such an admirable means of communication, where conversation is utterly impossible, that I cannot say a word in disrespect to it; but it is so imperfect, when compared with conversation, and thoughts can be only so slowly committed to paper, that when, as at present, I am longing for a day's talk, the writing of a letter makes me well-nigh impatient. So much has transpired, on which I should like to converse with you and my Bristol friends generally - so effectually has our denomination been stirred here by the discussion at Hackney, and by your letter to Mr. Tagart, that I long to see you, & speak of a thousand things, for which a letter cannot be a medium.

Every thing indicates that the discussion at Hackney was well-timed, and that it has told upon many minds here. Your letter, coming directly upon it, & so well following up the subject, produced a deep sensation. The Anti-slavery papers, and the "Christian World", published it. The "Am. Register" declined publishing it, though formally requested to do so by myself, and specially urged by my father, (who has been a devoted Unitarian, all his life I may say, always ready to aid every Unitarian movement, and a subscriber to the "Register" from the period of its first establishment, more than 25 years ago) ~~With~~ Notwithstanding this refusal however, yesterday's "Register" contains a large part of your letter, a portion of it being omitted on account of "offensive personalities" says the Editor! I was struck with this remark, and the fact that it was applied to your letter. Moderate & calm & dignified as it is, you see you it could not escape such epithets. Had any letter been free from such liability, I should have supposed yours was that letter; but you are brought into the same category with all who venture to dispute the rights of Slavery here, and to expose the moral blindness of those who assume to be the religious leaders of the people. - I also had your letter struck off on a separate sheet, and have been widely circulating it among Unitarians, ministers & laymen. By last steamship I sent you copies of Chr. World (containing yours letter), of the Letter on separate sheet, &c. The form of the latter is not what I directed. I told the printer to arrange it in four

columns, which w'd have given it in a better shape (materially) than at present. However, the letter is the same every way - very good, and a very great help to us. The "Register" is not willing its readers should see those "offensive personalities"!

The discussion at London, and other Anti-slavery sayings and doings, abroad and at home, has at length brought out Dr. Beevey, in a letter on Abolitionism. This letter makes the case, for Dr. Beevey, no better than before - if possible, worse, as will be made to appear, before his letter is done with. The letter has been published, in full, in all three of the Unitarian papers (2 in Boston, 1 in N. York - "Christian Inquirer") and doubtless will be in Liberator & Standard. The "Christian World" of yesterday has a very good criticism upon it, considering all circumstances. The "Register" briefly noticed it the week before. - I send you, by this mail, copies of "Reg." for 2 weeks past, also of last "World", that you may see these several documents.

In the last "Inquirer" received here (viz. for July 17.) I notice Mr. Bishop's letter, followed by some editorial remarks, of a singularly foggy nature; ~~it was quite a story to get at the real meaning of the writer - [Who is the Editor?]~~ followed then by my letter from the "Liberator" of June 4. All this, or rather Mr. Bishop's letter & mine, are copied (as you will see) into yesterday's "Register", with a not unintelligible intimation that I have misrepresented American Unitarians to you English folk, and that I, with others, have "intimated" you to all you have said or done on Slavery. If the "Reg." is not hopelessly mean, it will publish a statement from me in reply next Saturday, which I intend to give it the opportunity of doing. - Mr. Bishop, in referring to my letter, gives a somewhat different meaning to one point in my letter from that which I gave it. He says that "according to Mr. May, the Amⁿ. Unitⁿ, as a body, view [Slavery] with blank indifference" - no! I said not that, but this, of the body, "Its leading influences, and its high places, for the most part, are altogether given over to what I must call," &c. The difference is observable & important. The former, had I made it, would have been an unjust charge; the latter I believe to be the sober truth, and am ready with my proof, whenever called for. I made the statement publicly last June; the editor of the Register pretends he has just become aware of it. It may be so.

but this is somewhat strange; for an article ^{of mine} of an entirely similar nature, on the same subject, & stating the same facts, appeared in the "Christian World" at the same time. What troubles them is that the English Unit^o, have noticed it, published it, & are moved by its facts. There is much interest, I doubt not, felt in the Slavery question in the Unitarian body; but its leading & controlling influence, "for the most part," are adverse to any agitation, discussion, notice, action upon the subject, whatever. It has been the hardest matter in the world to induce them to take any action at all; and when it has been brought up, and zealously opposed by these men, they have usually left the meeting before the discussion has closed, with evident contempt for the whole matter, & thus an appearance of great unanimity has been given to our ~~own~~ votes, which would not have been, had these men staid to give the final vote. Still, I am happy to believe, they could not have ~~deserted~~ the final vote; and, knowing this, was reason, in part, doubtless, for their retreat. These points I intend to touch in my letter to the "Register".

Please notice that the "Register" of week before last, in referring to the editorial remarks of the "London Inquirer", & quoting a portion, says ^{very well they} ~~they are~~ ^{desire} ~~that could have been wished~~ the "Register" never said that of Mr. Hinck's article on Anti-Slavery. — I know not who the present editorial writer for the "R." are. It has no established Editor. Rev. Mr. Morrison left the post, some weeks ago. — I am glad to learn that Dr. Hinck proposes ~~coming to America~~ ^{on} his ~~return~~ ^{engagement} to give a course of lectures, before the Lowell Institute foundation in this City, he would find a very handsome compensation according thereto. I shall hope to see him, and depend on doing so, if he come. I did not see him, when I was in England. — By some mistake, or accident, no numbers of the "Inquirer" for July 3^d, & July 10th, have been received here. The No. for July 17th alone came by last Steamship. Perhaps the next ship, (due here in 2 or 3 days) will bring the tardy papers; otherwise, I must take some pains to get ~~them~~ ^{the comic sent} ~~otherwise~~. One of those papers, I suppose, contains Mr. Tugard's reply to your letter, of which you speak. I have, of course, not yet seen it. — I am very glad to see that Dr. Buxton has published the work "An' Slavery As It Is - Testimony of a Thousand Witnesses" in his Library. — Permitting the expression of the hope that a Boy

will come from Bristol to our next winter's Bazaar, may I ask of you the favour to send me a copy of said little work therein? — As to the Bazaar, we have no right to infer, because you have already done so much and generously for us, that therefore you will do so again, & again. Yet it affords so much ground for the expectation that you will, that it is not strange (you will admit) that we indulge it. Your gifts are very much to us — the unanswerable proof they afford of your sympathy with us, and your resolute purpose to stand by the work, are every thing to us. — Slavery must fall, — before such blows as are evidently falling thicker & heavier on it, and all who stand forth to defend or screen it, it must go down. It totters & trembles. Note Mr. Calhoun's late letter; a more cool & long-headed politician we have not than Mr. C. Calhoun of So. Carolina. He says, "We must not be deceived. The time has come when the question must be met. It can no longer be avoided, nor, if it could, is it desirable. The longer it is postponed, the more ministerial & dangerous will become the hostile feeling between the Slaveholding & non-Slaveholding States, &c. &c." [See "Liberator" of ^{July} ~~August~~ — the precise date I cannot give, but it is the same number, which gives, on 1st page, the Trial of the ^{Christian} ~~Christian~~ Wm.] I believe the next Congress will present the effects of Anti-Slavery labour, in past years, in its action on the "Wilmot Proviso", on the Mexican War, &c.

I am very glad that Mr. Bishop wrote that letter to the "Inquirer".

How noticeable it is that the Abolitionists almost invariably come out with their own names; their opponents, usually, anonymously. What has become of "Amicus"? I should suppose that Hackney discussion would have roused him from the worst sort of lethargy.

Your brief note (on a half-sheet) of July 18th came duly to hand by last steamship. The letter enclosed in it for Dr. Gannett was forthwith left at his house, as requested. I have not since fallen in with him. He is passing the summer at Cape Ann; and is very little in the city. The weather is very comfortably cool now; but it has been most oppressively hot, especially through July — the mercury ranging at 86° to 98° for weeks together.

I feel somewhat curious to know whether the "Inquirer" will admit any part of my letter to Mr. Armstrong. For reasons before expressed, I am quite desirous it should be published. Your suggestion, as to leaving out

the part which attributes motives to those who signed the letter of invitation to the English brethren, I entirely accord with ^{few} "We cannot know," in such case, what these ^{motive} were; and, in the case of some of the signers, I am convinced no motive, ⁱⁿimical to the Anti-slavery Cause, could have influenced them. ^{In the others, I have not} I have neither seen, or heard, aught of Mr. Armstrong's letters to Dr. Parkman; nor have I fallen in with him, either, since ^{at} I received your letter, making mention of it.

I hope that yourself, or Mr. Armstrong, will take some public notice of Dr. Dewey's Letter. His admission that he said ^{at Washington} that the Gospel had no Abolitionism in it, ought to be made public, and especial notice called to it. Uttered as it was to a Slaveholding audience it was, in the understanding of 99 out of every 100 of his hearers, the same as if he had said the Gospel does not Condemn Slavery; just the same, the effect the same,—the consideration & approval of the most inveterate slaveholder would be bestowed, all the same. Coupled too, as it was, with sneers against the "radicalism" of those who demand "no union with slaveholding"; it was a sweet morsel to his hearers, rendered thereby doubly base and mean in his to give. He even descends to take up, & use in the pulpit, the vulgar cry of the lowest part of the press, about "^{anti-}government"ism. Dr. Dewey very well knows that the men, whom he would stigmatize as "^{anti-}government radicals", are those who honestly desire (he admits their conscientiousness) that God's eternal law of right, of justice, of goodwill, may be supreme in all our national & social relations — and not ^{set} aside, as it now openly is, by the migratory and despotic provisions of human enactments.

I have had copies of the "Liberator" of June 4.th & 11.th mailed to you. Mr. Wallcutt says your numbers were certainly sent, with the other. He says he is particularly cautious about the foreign papers; and he is one of the most scrupulously exact men, in all his work, I ever knew. Probably they ^{too} got mislaid in some of the Post offices, between our office and your house. — Mr. Garrison left Boston, ^{about} a fortnight since, on a tour to Pennsylvania, Ohio, & Western N.York. I believe he has never before visited Ohio. He will be an object of great interest, & curiosity, and will undoubtedly do a great work for Anti-slavery. He designs to be gone, about 3 months. Meantime, Mr. Quincy edits the "Liberator"; and I am rendering

to him, in so doing, whatever such assistance as the duties of my Office will allow; indeed, during Mr. G.'s absence, I shall probably set aside some work which I should otherwise do, if I find my assistance in the paper is really needed. Mr. Quincy has, at Belham, 10 miles from Boston; and much of the arrangement of the paper must fall upon others. In a late letter you say you hardly understand what my "Agency Office means?" The General Agent of the Mass. Socy. is the principal executive officer in its affairs. It belongs to him to arrange ~~the~~ ^{the} meetings, in different parts of the State, to see that they are properly attended by speakers, to co-operate (on these points) with the various County Societies, &c.; also, to make appointments for the several Lecturing Agents, and correspond with individuals in different ~~and~~ ^{and} towns respecting the visits of such agents, and on all the matters pertaining to the cause; also, to oversee the publication of tracts, & their distribution; to see that petitions to the State's National Authorities are duly circulated ^{for} signatures. In addition to this, he is expected to attend all State & County meetings himself, to speak tact for the cause; also, to give lectures, as he may find or make opportunity. A prominent part of my work, as Agent, will probably be the preaching, on Sundays, in such pulpits as I may find open to me, as Local Agent of Mass. A.-S. Socy. I know I shall find accept to some; it remains to be seen to how many. It will be a good test of the Antislavery of our Unitarian pulpits & congregations. As to gaining admittance to the principal ^{old} pulpits of the denomination I might as well ask permission to preach in Westminster Abbey. Several pulpits have been offered to me, since my appointment. As yet I have occupied but two, one in Worcester Co., the other in Middlesex Co. The arrangements for large Anti-Slavery (Antislavery) Gathering, on the 4th July, and 1st August, (2 or 3 meetings falling on this latter day) have occupied much of my time since I commenced my labours. Yet I feel that I have hardly got to work yet. The preparation of lecture, engrosses the most of my time & thoughts at present. - My family still remain in Leicestershire (50 mts. from Boston, - 44 by R. Road to Worcester, thence 6 by carriage), and I get home to see them when I can. I have just been passing a week there, enjoying the pure country air, and the delightful country stillness, very much. While in the city, I am a dweller in my Father's house, which is a very pleasant arrangement to me.

Have I ever replied to your inquiry why Mr. Garrison made you address him as "My Dear Brother"? I called his attention to it, and he was quite surprised that I had done so. He could hardly believe it, until he had looked up the paper. He said he c. not explain how it came to be so, & appeared to regret it much. It was quite inadvertent, he said, and in no wise intentional.

The Mr. Thompson, who figured at the Hackney meeting, we suppose is one Pishay Thompson, a bookseller long resident in Washington, D. C. - a constant associate for Slaveholders, & very probably one himself, or, at least, an employer of Slave-labour; I know not how this is. His judgment & what Antislavery is must be rated at a low figure.

But I must close, as in half an hour the Steamer's Mails will close. My love respects to your daughter - also to Mr. & Mrs. Armstrong, Miss Carpenter, Mr. James, and all my British friends. Believe me, with grateful & affectionate respects, Yours Samuel May Jr.

The prospectus of a new Review is issued - to be conducted by Rev. Theo. Parker, R. W. Emerson, Dr. F. W. Bassett, and the like spirits; to be styled the "Massachusetts Review" first no. on the 1st December.