



---

U.S. Department of Agriculture  
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service  
Wildlife Services

---

Historic document – Content may not reflect  
current scientific research, policies or practices.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
Fish and Wildlife Service  
Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife

## BIBLIOGRAPHY ON METHODS OF ANALYZING BIRD BANDING DATA

### With Special Reference to the Estimation of Population Size and Survival

David R. Anderson

Migratory Bird Populations Station  
Division of Wildlife Research  
Laurel, Maryland



Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife  
Special Scientific Report—Wildlife No. 156  
Washington, D.C. • 1972

## CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction .....	1
Current Methods .....	2
Deterministic and Stochastic Models .....	2
Theory .....	4
Iterative Solutions .....	5
Assumptions .....	6
Suggested Reading .....	6
Background and Review Reading .....	7
Methods .....	7
Tests of Assumptions .....	7
Summary .....	7
Acknowledgments .....	7
Bibliography .....	8

## Introduction

Many methods for estimating parameters of natural populations have been proposed since the turn of the century. Publications describing these methods are widely scattered and often difficult to comprehend. Furthermore, workers in different fields--e.g., fisheries, entomology, ornithology--have often developed and used their own methods, and there has been little interchange of theory, methodology, or necessary assumptions between these fields of research.

This bibliography is an effort to bring together references from various sources relating to methods that have application or at least historical interest and background in the analysis of bird banding experiments. Several papers reviewing methods or assumptions are included. Attention is focused on the estimation of population size and survival using some type of capture-recapture method. A number of papers dealing with methods of estimating band reporting rates, immigration and mean life span are also included. In the newer, more general models, there is no essential difference between recoveries from dead birds (single-recapture experiments) and live returns or retraps (multiple-recapture experiments) (Jolly, 1965; Connack, 1968). Both types of experiment are merely sampling procedures and they have several basic similarities. The term *recapture* is descriptive of the general process of interest.

Although bird banding is an expensive and time-consuming effort, insufficient attention has often been given to planning the study, assessing the assumptions, and analyzing the data thoroughly. The literature emphasizes the need for detailed planning of banding operations before the field work is started (DeLury, 1947, 1951; Orians, 1958). Data gathering and data analysis should be coordinated through proper planning and realistic evaluation of the necessary assumptions. Planning is crucial if the study is to produce accurate and precise estimates of population parameters. As DeLury (1954) pointed out, "...it is an expensive impropriety to maintain that an untrustworthy estimate is better than none."

Connack (1968) provides an excellent review of the literature on methods relating to capture-recapture studies. Seber's (1972a) book treats the subject at length and is the most comprehensive and unifying publication available. Taylor (1966) discusses some of the methods most relevant to the bird population studies, and Seber (1972b) reviews methods used in bird banding experiments and notes problems with most of the methods currently in use in ornithological work.

Much of the literature on capture-recapture methods is difficult to read because of the extensive use of mathematical statistics in this field. Biologists are referred to Kendall and Buckland: (1970. A dictionary of statistical terms. Hafner, N.Y.) for definitions of statistical terms.

### Current Methods

At present, there are a number of efficient and realistic methods available for the analysis of bird banding experiments. Although not a complete list, the following papers describe methods that warrant serious consideration in the analysis of bird banding experiments: Chapman (1961), Chapman and Robson (1960), Cormack (1964), Darroch (1958, 1959, and 1961), Eberhardt (1969a), Fisher and Ford (1947), Jolly (1963, 1965, 1971), Manly (1969), Manly and Parr (1968), Paulik (1963), Paulik and Robson (1969), Robson (1963 and 1969), Robson and Chapman (1961), and Seber (1962, 1965, 1970a, 1971). Of these, the papers by Cormack (1964), Darroch (1961), Jolly (1965, 1971), Manly and Parr (1968), Robson (1969), and Seber (1965, 1970a, 1971), are a good reflection of the "state of the art" of methods for the general capture-recapture experiment in which a minimum of assumptions are necessary. Several of the methods above are special cases of, or closely related to, the general theory presented independently in 1965 by Jolly and Seber.

### Deterministic and Stochastic Models

A fundamental difference exists between deterministic and stochastic methods for the analysis of capture-recapture experiments. In the deterministic model, the population is regarded as being subject to a survival rate of *exactly*  $\phi_j$ , say, during the interval between the  $i$  and  $i+1^{\text{th}}$  sample. Stochastic models treat  $\phi_j$  as the *probability* of an animal surviving the interval between the  $i$  and  $i+1^{\text{th}}$  sample. As Jolly (1965: 226) explains, this is the essential difference between the deterministic and stochastic models. It is clear that the stochastic model is the more realistic of the two.

Early workers developed methods for estimating population parameters along deterministic lines (Bailey, 1951, 1952; Fisher and Ford, 1947; Jackson, 1933, 1937, 1939, 1940, 1948; and others), while more recent efforts have focused much-needed attention on stochastic models. Early methods considered only closed populations, while more recent methods

allow for immigration and emigration or death. Current methods often allow estimates of both population size and survival (as well as immigration, reporting rate, and possibly mean life span), while earlier methods treated these problems separately. The estimation of the variance of parameter estimates is an extremely important aspect of population studies and has received increased attention in the last two decades.

The deterministic model developed by Jolly (1963) seems to be the most satisfactory model of its type. However, there appear to be definite and important advantages in using the newer, more general, and realistic stochastic theory rather than the earlier, deterministic theory. Jolly (1965:235) concludes:

As deterministic assumptions were originally introduced into capture-recapture problems in an attempt to simplify the theory, there now remains no good reason for their retention. It is therefore recommended that, for capture-recapture problems in general, purely deterministic models, with their approximations and limitations, be abandoned in favour of stochastic models.

Cormack (1968) agrees, and maintains that deterministic models have been superseded by stochastic models.

Efforts toward stochastic models began in the early 1950's in a series of papers by Leslie and his colleagues. The theory was advanced considerably in papers by Darroch (1958, 1959); he provided the basic theoretical framework for much of the recent research on methods. Important stochastic models were presented in the early 1960's by Cormack (1964), Robson (1963), and Seber (1962). In 1965, Jolly and Seber provided fully stochastic models and gave explicit estimates for several parameters of interest and their respective variances. Although the stochastic methods of Jolly (1965) and Seber (1965) are similar in several respects, Jolly's is the more general in that losses on capture (either intentional or accidental) are allowed. This is important in banding experiments with small song birds. Jolly's theory is also more general, in that he developed a probability model for heterogeneous populations where certain classes of animals behave in different ways. However, he provides estimates only for homogeneous populations, since explicit estimates could not be found for several heterogeneous classes (e.g., age-dependent survival classes).

Although there are a number of powerful methods for the analysis of bird banding experiments, the problem of age-dependent survival rates is still largely extant. The proper estimation of survival rates for birds banded as juveniles continues to present a particularly thorny problem. A number of life table methods for estimating age-dependent survival rates were proposed in the early 1950's (Farner, 1955; Haldane, 1953, 1955; and Hickey, 1952). These methods are still in fairly common use because more adequate methods have not appeared until very recently. The recent methods still leave much to be desired concerning realistic assumptions (e.g., variable reporting rates), and are often inefficient.

Hammersley (1953) investigated estimation methods for age-dependent populations, but, according to Darroch (1959), his paper contains an error in the likelihood function. Manly and Parr (1968) described a method useful for estimating age-dependent survival rates for multiple-recapture experiments; however, it is inefficient and therefore sensitive to small samples. Since then, Manly (1969, 1971a) has extended the theoretical aspects and provided variance estimates for the parameters. Simulation experiments by Manly (1970) suggest that this method is satisfactory for populations with a high degree of age-dependency. Cormack (in Fordham, 1970) and Seber (1971) have recently developed maximum likelihood methods for estimating age-dependent survival rates but these methods necessitate the assumption of a constant reporting rate. Further work on this important problem is clearly needed.

### Theory

Many of the most promising methods are based on a general probability model consisting of products of multinomial distributions or conditional binomial distributions. The Poisson, geometric, hypergeometric, exponential, and other distributions have also been used. Recently, Robson (1969) suggested that a negative binomial distribution may be more appropriate than the others. The powerful stochastic methods produced in the last 14 years, as well as many of the earlier methods, have been developed primarily from the theory of maximum likelihood. In many cases, explicit estimation equations are found, often with some loss of efficiency, and computational effort is fairly easy and straightforward. In other cases, equations must be solved iteratively for the parameter estimates of interest, and computational difficulties arise without a high-speed computer.

Estimators developed from the theory of maximum likelihood have many good statistical properties but assume reasonably large samples are available for analysis. "Large" is not well defined in practice, but certainly the analysis of experimental results involving only a dozen total recaptures is not warranted.

### Iterative Solutions

The ability to obtain explicit estimates of parameters seems increasingly limited as the model becomes more general and realistic. Cormack (in Fordham, 1970) suggested that a model which allowed survival to vary with calendar year as well as with age could be estimated by maximum likelihood using numerical methods and a digital computer. Estimates of survival rates and their variances and other parameters could be estimated in this manner; however, computer time required increases sharply with the number of parameters in the model. Iterative methods are more complex, nearly always require a computer for solution, and can be expensive because of the computer time required. Despite these disadvantages, I believe methods requiring an iterative solution will become increasingly important in the analysis of data from large banding studies of immature or subadult birds. Furthermore, a measure of effort may be possible to incorporate into an iterative method. Models incorporating effort statistics are important and have been virtually ignored since Darroch (1958, 1959). Iterative methods in the analysis of capture-recapture experiments represent an important avenue of research, and at this time almost no literature has been published in this area. Papers describing iterative methods must be accompanied by computer routines if the methods are to see use by most bird banders and field biologists (see Roberts, 1971).

For the bird bander or field biologist, the primary drawback in the use of the newer techniques is likely to be their complexity. The theoretical basis of most of the better methods lies deep in the field of mathematical statistics. In most cases the use of a particular method is fairly straightforward, but the mathematical theory and derivation of the various estimators are quite difficult. Fortunately, many authors have included examples illustrating the use of the methods they describe. However, it is important for the bird bander or field biologist to fully understand the methods, their assumptions and limitations. This suggests that a joint effort between biologists, bird banders, and ornithologists

on the one hand, and statisticians on the other, would be advantageous. White (1971a, 1971b) has developed a general computer program for Jolly's stochastic model. This allows fast, accurate, and inexpensive estimates to be made if the assumptions of Jolly's method have been met and the study has been properly planned and executed. White's work has particular importance to some of the large banding programs currently in progress.

### Assumptions

A number of papers present methods to test the assumptions being made (Leslie et al., 1953; Leslie, 1958 [in Orians]; Carothers, 1971; Chapman and Robson, 1960; Cormack, 1966; Seber, 1962, 1965, 1970b). This is a very important area and one needing further research. Tests of the basic assumptions are of utmost importance. In particular, the estimates of variance of the parameters being estimated are highly dependent on the assumptions made about the probability model of the experiment. If the assumptions of the method are not met, the variance of the estimates will be incorrect.

Recently, several papers have presented the results of computer simulation experiments of capture-recapture techniques. These allow the assessment of the practicality of the approach, its robustness, and any problems with the techniques. Simulation studies by Eberhardt (1972) focus attention on a number of the simpler methods of estimating survival rates for closed populations. Of those studied, the Chapman-Robson (1960) method was clearly superior, although it necessitates a number of extremely restrictive assumptions. Simulation experiments by Manly (1970, 1971b) provide much-needed insight into the performance of several methods when subjected to hypothetical populations with various known characteristics. Too little has been attempted concerning alternatives if one of the important assumptions is not fully met. Burnham and Overton (1969), Fretwell (in prep.), Janion et al. (1968), Marten (1970), Seber (1970b), and Tanaka and Kanamori (1967) have presented methods to estimate parameters when members of the population are "trap happy" or "trap shy."

### Suggested Reading

Anyone planning a banding study of a bird population may find the list of references in this bibliography somewhat bewildering. Although it is impossible to suggest a brief reading list for every conceivable banding experiment, the references suggested below may provide a reasonable starting point for many situations.

Background and Review Reading.--Cormack (1968), Seber (1972a, 1972b), and Taylor (1966) present comprehensive reviews of capture-recapture methods. The papers by Darroch (1958, 1959), Jolly (1963), Leslie and colleagues (1951, 1952, 1953), and Seber (1962) are relevant background reading.

Methods.--Cormack (1964, 1970 [in Fordham]), Darroch (1961), Jolly (1965, 1971), Manly and Parr (1968), Manly (1969), Robson (1969), and Seber (1965, 1970a, 1971, 1972a).

Tests of Assumptions.--Carothers (1971), Cormack (1966), Leslie (1953, 1958 [in Orians]), Manly (1971a), and Seber (1962, 1965, 1970b).

If the population under study is closed and several restrictive assumptions can reasonably be made, the following references should be consulted: Chapman (1954, 1961), Chapman and Robson (1960), Eberhardt (1969a), Paulik (1963), Paulik and Robson (1969), and Robson and Chapman (1961).

### Summary

In summary, several points could be emphasized. Bird banding experiments must be developed on a scientific basis if accurate and precise results are to be expected. Planning the project and reviewing the literature should certainly precede the field work. Estimates of various population parameters are not made easily and should not be made casually. Assumptions underlying the method of analysis to be used should be fully recognized. The newer stochastic models have a number of important advantages and are therefore recommended. Methods of calculating the variance of population parameters are of great importance in bird banding experiments. Estimates of population parameters--made after years of expensive field work--that have extremely wide confidence intervals usually represent wasted time and money. Several satisfactory techniques are available for the analysis of bird banding experiments for adult birds. Methods for age-dependent survival rates are still in the developmental stages and represent a serious gap as far as ornithological work is concerned. Bird banding experiments are highly variable and depend on a number of factors. No one method will be appropriate for all cases.

### Acknowledgments

Although this bibliography contains nearly 200 references, it is probably not complete; however, I believe that it includes most of the important papers. I wish to thank R. M. Cormack, G. M. Jolly, B. J. F. Manly, G. A. F. Seber, and E. G. White for sending me reprints and literature citations for this paper. J. P. Rogers provided editorial assistance.

- Adams, L. 1951. Confidence limits for the Petersen or Lincoln Index used in animal population studies. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 15(1):13-19.
- Allen, K.R. 1966. Some methods for estimating exploited populations. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 23:1553-1574.
- Allen, K.R. 1968. Simplification of a method of computing recruitment rates. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 25:2701-2702.
- Anderson, D.R., F.R. Fiehrer, and C.F. Kimball. 1972. A FORTRAN program for estimating survival and reporting rates from banding data. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* (in press).
- Andrewartha, H.G. 1961. Introduction to the study of animal populations. Methuen, London. 281p.
- Bailey, N.T.J. 1951. On estimating the size of mobile populations from recapture data. *Biometrika* 38:293-306.
- Bailey, N.T.J. 1952. Improvements in the interpretation of recapture data. *J. Animal Ecol.* 21: 120-127.
- Barclay, G.W. 1958. Techniques of population analysis. John Wiley & Sons. N.Y. 311p.
- Berry, R.J., and M.E. Jakobson. 1971. Life and death in an island population of the house mouse. *Exp. Geront.* 6:187-197.
- Beverton, R.J.H., and S.J. Holt. 1957. On the dynamics of exploited fish populations. Her Majesty's Stationery Office. London. 533p.
- Blower, J.G. 1964. The estimation of animal numbers (The Lincoln Index). In: Symposium-Ecology as a quantitative study. Harris Coll., Preston, Lancs. Cyclostyled.
- Boguslavsky, G.W. 1956. Statistical estimation of the size of a small population. *Science* 124:317-318.
- Borrer, D.J. 1948. Analysis of repeat records of banded White-throat sparrows. *Ecol. Monogr.* 18: 411-430.
- Boyd, H. 1956. Statistics of the British population of the pink-footed goose. *J. Animal Ecol.* 25:253-273.
- Braaten, D.O. 1969. Robustness of the DeLury population estimator. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 26(2): 339-355.
- Brotzman, R.L., and R.H. Giles. 1966. Electronic data processing of capture-recapture and related ecological data. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 30(2):286-292.
- Burnham, K.P., and W.S. Overton. 1969. A simulation study of live-trapping and estimation of population size. *Ore. St. Univ., Dept. of Stat., Tech. Rept. No. 14.* 60p. and Appendix.
- Burnham, K. 1971. Estimation of population size in multiple capture-recapture studies when capture probabilities vary among animals. PhD. Thesis, Oreg. St. Univ., Corvallis.
- Burnham, K., and W.S. Overton. 1970. Toward a class of distribution-free multiple recapture population estimators. Unpublished ms. 16p.
- Burnham, K., and W.S. Overton. (in press). A simulation study of livetrapping and estimation of population size. *Biometrics*.
- Carothers, A.D. 1971. An examination and extension of Leslie's test of equal catchability. *Biometrics* 27:615-630.
- Chadwick, H.K. 1968. Mortality rates in the California striped bass population. *Calif. Fish & Game* 54(4):228-246.
- Chapman, D.G. 1948. A mathematical study of confidence limits of salmon populations calculated from sample tag ratios. *Fish. Comm. Bull.* 2:69-85.
- Chapman, D.G. 1951. Some properties of the hypergeometric distribution with applications to zoological sample censuses. *Univ. Calif. Publ. Stat.* 1:131-160.
- Chapman, D.G. 1952. Inverse, multiple and sequential sample censuses. *Biometrics* 8:286-306.
- Chapman, D.G. 1954. The estimation of biological populations. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 25:1-15.
- Chapman, D.G. 1955. Population estimation based on change of composition caused by a selective removal. *Biometrika* 42(3-4):279-290.
- Chapman, D.G., and C.O. Junge, Jr. 1956. The estimation of the size of a stratified animal population. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 27:375-389.
- Chapman, D.G. 1958. Problems of estimation of wildlife mortality rates. *Biometrics* 13(4):548-549.
- Chapman, D.G., and D.S. Robson. 1960. The analysis of a catch curve. *Biometrics* 16(3):354-368.
- Chapman, D.G. 1961. Statistical problems in dynamics of exploited fisheries populations. In: *Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. on Math. Stat. and Probabil.* J. Neyman (ed.) 153-168.
- Chapman, D.G. 1964. A critical study of Pribilof fur seal population estimates. *Fishery Bull.* 63: 657-669.
- Chapman, D.G. 1965. The estimation of mortality and recruitment from a single-tagging experiment. *Biometrics* 21:529-542.
- Chapman, D.G., and W.S. Overton. 1966. Estimating and testing differences between population levels by the Schnabel estimation method. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 30(1):173-180.
- Chapman, D.G. 1969. Statistical problems in the optimum utilization of fisheries resources. *Bull. I.S.I.* 42, Book 1, 268-293.
- Cormack, R.M. 1964. Estimates of survival from the sighting of marked animals. *Biometrika* 51(3-4):429-438.
- Cormack, R.M. 1966. A test for equal catchability. *Biometrics* 22:330-342.
- Cormack, R.M. 1968. The statistics of capture-recapture methods. *Oceanographic Marine Biol. Ann. Review* 6:455-506.

- Cormack, R.M. 1971. The logic of capture recapture estimates. (in press).
- Coulson, J.C. 1960. A study of the mortality of the starling based on ringing recoveries. *J. Animal Ecol.* 29(2):251-271.
- Craig, C.C. 1953. On the utilization of marked specimens in estimating populations of flying insects. *Biometrika* 40:170-176.
- Cucin, D., and H.A. Regier. 1966. Dynamics and exploitation of lake whitefish in Southern Georgia Bay. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 23:221-274.
- Darling, D.A., and H. Robbins. 1967. Finding the size of a finite population. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 38:1392-1398.
- Darroch, J.N. 1958. The multiple-recapture census. I. Estimation of a closed population. *Biometrika* 45:343-359.
- Darroch, J.N. 1959. The multiple-recapture census. II. Estimation when there is immigration or death. *Biometrika* 46:336-351.
- Darroch, J.N. 1961. The two-sample capture-recapture census when tagging and sampling are stratified. *Biometrika* 48(3-4):241-260.
- Davis, D.E. 1951. The analysis of population by banding. *Bird-Banding* 22(3):103-107.
- Davis, D.E. 1963. Estimating the numbers of game populations. In: *Wildlife Investigational Techniques*. H.S. Mosby (ed.) 2nd ed. The Wildlife Society, Wash., D.C. 89-118.
- Davis, W.S. 1964. Graphic representation of confidence intervals for Petersen population estimates. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* 93:227-232.
- Deevey, E.S. 1947. Life tables for natural populations of animals. *Quart. Rev. Biol.* 22:283-314.
- DeLury, D.B. 1947. On the estimation of biological populations. *Biometrics* 3:145-167.
- DeLury, D.B. 1951. On the planning of experiments for the estimation of fish populations. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 8(4):281-307.
- DeLury, D.B. 1954. On the assumptions underlying estimates of mobile populations. Chapt. 21. In: *Statistics and Mathematics in Biology*. Iowa State Coll. Press. 287-293.
- DeLury, D.B. 1958. The estimation of population size by a marking and recapture procedure. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 15(1):19-25.
- Dowdeswell, W.H., R.A. Fisher, and E.B. Ford. 1940. The quantitative study of populations in the Lepidoptera. I. *Polyommatus icarus*. *Rott. Ann. Eugen.* 10:123-136.
- Dowdeswell, W.H., R.A. Fisher, and E.B. Ford. 1949. The quantitative study of populations in the Lepidoptera. II. *Mantola jurtina* L. *Heredity* 3:67-84.
- Dowdeswell, W.H. 1959. *Practical animal ecology*. London, Methuen. 316p.
- Dunnet, G.M. 1957. A test of the recapture method of estimating the number of rabbits, *Oryctolagus* (L.). *J. I.R.C. Wildl. Res.* 2:90-100.
- Dunnet, G.M., A. Anderson, and R.M. Cormack. 1963. A study of survival of adult Fulmars with observations on the pre-laying exodus. *Brit. Birds* 56(1):2-18.
- Eberhardt, L. 1963. Problems in ecological sampling. *Northwest Sci.* 37(4):144-154.
- Eberhardt, L., T.J. Peterle, and R. Schofield. 1963. Problems in a rabbit population study. *Wildl. Monogr.* 10. 51p.
- Eberhardt, L.L. 1969a. Population estimates from recapture frequencies. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 33:28-39.
- Eberhardt, L.L. 1969b. Population analysis. In: *Wildlife Management Techniques*. R.H. Giles (ed.) 3rd ed. The Wildlife Society, Wash., D.C. 457-495.
- Eberhardt, L.L. 1972. Some problems in estimating survival from banding data. *Res. Rept. Series, Bur. Spt. Fish. & Wildl.* (in press).
- Edwards, W.R., and L. Eberhardt. 1967. Estimating cottontail abundance from livetrapping data. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 31(1):87-96.
- Farner, D.S. 1949. Age groups and longevity in the American robin: comments, further discussion, and certain revisions. *Wilson Bull.* 61:68-81.
- Farner, D.S. 1949. The use of banding data in the study of certain aspects of the dynamics and structures of avian populations. *Northwest Science* 26:41-50; 79-94; 119-144.
- Farner, D.S. 1955. Bird banding in the study of population dynamics. In: *Recent studies in avian biology*. A. Wolfson (ed.). Univ. of Ill. Press, Urbana, 397-449.
- Farris, J.S. 1968. Significance testing and confidence intervals for fixed mortality rates. *Ecol.* 49:994-996.
- Fischler, K.J. 1965. The use of catch-effort, catch-sampling and tagging data to estimate a population of blue crab. *Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc.* 94:287-310.
- Fisher, R.A., and E.B. Ford. 1947. The spread of a gene in natural conditions in a colony of the moth *Panaxia dominula* L. *Heredity* 1:143-174.
- Fordham, R.A. 1970. Mortality and population change of dominican gulls in Wellington, New Zealand, with a statistical appendix by R.M. Cormack. *J. Animal Ecol.* 39(1):13-27.
- Fretwell, S. (in prep.). On capture recapture models where probability of capture vary. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.*
- Geis, A.D. 1955. Trap response of the cottontail rabbit and its effect on censusing. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 19(4):466-472.
- Geis, A.D., and R.D. Taber. 1963. Measuring hunting and other mortality. In: *Wildlife Investigational Techniques*. H.S. Mosby (ed.) 2nd ed. The Wildlife Society, Wash., D.C. 284-298.

- Geis, A.D. 1972a. Role of banding data in migratory bird populations studies. Res. Rept. Series, Bur. Spt. Fish. & Wildl. (in press).
- Geis, A.D. 1972b. Use of banding data in migratory game bird research and management. U.S. Fish & Wildl. Serv., Spec. Sci. Rept. (Wildl.). (in press).
- Gilbert, N.E.G. 1956. Likelihood function for capture-recapture samples. *Biometrika* 43(3-4): 488-489.
- Gilbert, R.O. (in press). Approximations of the bias in Jolly's stochastic capture-recapture model.
- Goodman, L.A. 1953. Sequential sampling tagging for population size problems. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 24:56-69.
- Gross, A.O. 1947. Recoveries of banded Leach's Petrels. *Bird-Banding* 18:117-126.
- Gulland, J.A. 1955. On the estimation of population parameters from marked members. *Biometrika* 42:269-270.
- Gulland, J.A. 1963. On the analysis of double-tagging experiments. In: North Atlantic Fish Marking Sympos. I.C.N.A.F. Spec. Publ. No. 4: 228-229.
- Halldane, J.B.S. 1953. Some animal life tables. *J. Inst. Actuaries* 79(1):83-89.
- Halldane, J.B.S. 1955. The calculation of mortality rates from ringing data. *Acta XI Congr. Int. Ornith.* 454-458.
- Hammersley, J.M. 1953. Capture-recapture analysis. *Biometrika* 40:265-278.
- Hanson, W.R. 1963. Calculation of productivity, survival, and abundance of selected vertebrates from sex and age ratios. *Wildl. Monographs* 9. 60p.
- Hayne, D.W. 1949. Two methods for estimating population from trapping records. *J. Mammal.* 30: 399-411.
- Heincke, F. 1913. Investigations on the plaice. General Rept. 1. The plaice fishery and protective measures. *Rapp. Conseil. Expl. Mer.* 16. 67p.
- Hickey, J.J. 1952. Survival studies of banded birds. U.S. Fish & Wildl. Serv., Spec. Sci. Rept. No. 15. 177p.
- Holgate, P. 1964. A modified geometric distribution arising in trapping studies. *Acta Theriol.* 9:353-356.
- Holgate, P. 1966. Contributions to the mathematics of animal trapping. *Biometrics* 22(4):925-936.
- Hunter, W.R., and D.C. Grant. 1966. Estimates of population density and dispersal in the naticid gastropod, with a discussion of computational methods. *Biol. Bull.* 131:292-307.
- Imber, M.J., and G.R. Williams. 1968. Mortality rates of a Canada goose population in New Zealand. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 32(2):256-267.
- Ito, Y., A. Takai, K. Miyashita, and K. Nakamura. 1963. Estimation of density, survival rate and dilution rate of *Meocastethus majister* populations by the mark and recapture method. *Res. Pop. Ecol.* 5:51-64.
- Jackson, C.H.N. 1933. On the true density of tsetse flies. *J. Animal Ecol.* 2:203-209.
- Jackson, C.H.N. 1937. Some new methods in the study of *Glossina morsitans*. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 4:811-896.
- Jackson, C.H.N. 1939. The analysis of an animal population. *J. Animal Ecol.* 8:238-246.
- Jackson, C.H.N. 1940. The analysis of a tsetse-fly population. *Ann. Eugen.* 10:332-369.
- Jackson, C.H.N. 1948. The analysis of a tsetse-fly population. III. *Ann. Eugen.*, London 14: 91-108.
- Janion, M., L. Ryszkowski, and T. Wierzbowska. 1968. Estimates of numbers of rodents with variable probability of capture. *Acta Theriol.* 13: 285-294.
- Jolly, G.M. 1963. Estimates of population parameters from multiple recapture data with both death and dilution-deterministic model. *Biometrika* 50:113-128.
- Jolly, G.M. 1965. Explicit estimates from capture-recapture data with both death and immigration-stochastic model. *Biometrika* 52:225-247.
- Jolly, G.M. 1971. The estimation of mean values from capture-recapture chains of samples. 7th Intern. Biometric Conf., Hannover. 8p.
- Jones, R. 1964. A review of methods of estimating population size from marking experiments. *Inter. Council for the Study of the Sea* 155:202-209.
- Lack, D. 1943. The age of the blackbird. *Brit. Birds* 36:166-175.
- Lack, D. 1948. Notes on the ecology of the robin. *Ibis* 90:252-279.
- Lack, D. 1951. Population ecology in birds: a review. *Proc. X Intern. Orn. Congr. (Uppsala)*: 409-448.
- Lambou, V.W., and R.J. Muncy. 1961. Estimating the number of marked animals which have retained their identity from multiple marked animals and its application to the Petersen method. 15th Annual Conf. of the SE Assoc. of Game & Fish Comm. 27p.
- LeCren, E.D. 1965. A note on the history of mark-recapture population estimates. *J. Animal Ecol.* 34:453-454.
- Leslie, P.H., and D. Chitty. 1951. The estimation of population parameters from data obtained by means of the capture-recapture method. I. The maximum likelihood equations for estimating the death-rate. *Biometrika* 38:269-292.
- Leslie, P.H. 1952. The estimation of population parameters from data obtained by means of the capture-recapture method. II. The estimation of total numbers. *Biometrika* 39:363-388.

- Leslie, P.H., D. Chitty, and Helen Chitty. 1953. The estimation of population parameters from data obtained by means of the capture-recapture method. III. An example of the practical applications of the method. *Biometrika* 40:137-169.
- Lewontin, R.C., and T. Prout. 1956. Estimation of the number of different classes in a population. *Biometrics* 12:211-223.
- Lincoln, F.C. 1930. Calculating waterfowl abundance on the basis of banding returns. *Circ. U.S. Dept. Agri. No. 118.* 1-4.
- Ludwig, J.P. 1967. Band loss - its effect on banding data and apparent survivorship in the ringed-billed gull populations of the Great Lakes. *Bird-Banding* 38(4):309-323.
- Macleod, J. 1958. The estimation of numbers of mobile insects from low-incident recapture data. *Trans. R. Ent. Soc. London* 110(13):363-392.
- Manly, B.F.J., and M.J. Parr. 1968. A new method of estimating population size, survivorship, and birth rate from capture-recapture data. *Trans. Soc. Br. Ent.* 18:81-89.
- Manly, B.F.J. 1969. Some properties of a method of estimating the size of mobile animal populations. *Biometrika* 56(2):407-410.
- Manly, B.F.J. 1970. A simulation study of animal population estimation using the capture-recapture method. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 7(1):13-39.
- Manly, B.F.J. 1971a. Estimates of a marking effect with capture-recapture sampling. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 8:181-189.
- Manly, B.F.J. 1971b. A simulation study of Jolly's method for analysing capture-recapture data. *Biometrics* 27:415-424.
- Manly, B.F.J. (in press). Life tables from capture-recapture data. *Ecol.*
- Marten, G.G. 1970. A regression method for mark-recapture estimation of population size with unequal catchability. *Ecol.* 51(2):291-295.
- Moran, P.A.P. 1951. A mathematical theory of animal trapping. *Biometrika* 38:307-311.
- Moran, P.A.P. 1952. The estimation of death-rates from capture-mark-recapture sampling. *Biometrika* 39:181-188.
- Muir, B.S., and H. White. 1963. Application of the Paloheimo linear equation for estimating mortalities to a seasonal fishery. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 20:839-840.
- Murton, R.K. 1966. A statistical evaluation of the effect of wood-pigeon shooting as evidenced by the recoveries of ringed birds. *The Statistician* 16:183-202.
- Nixon, C.M., W.R. Edwards, and L. Eberhardt. 1967. Estimating squirrel abundance from livetrapping data. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 31(1):96-101.
- Nunneley, S.A. 1964. Analysis of banding records of local populations of Blue Jays and Redpolls at Granby, Mass. *Bird-Banding* 35:8-22.
- Orians, G.H. 1958. A capture-recapture analysis of a shearwater population. *J. Animal Ecol.* 27: 71-86.
- Overton, W.S. 1965. A modification of the Schnabel estimator to account for the removal of animals from the population. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 29(2):392-395.
- Overton, W.S., and D.E. Davis. 1969. Estimating the number of animals in wildlife populations. In: *Wildlife Management Techniques.* R.H. Giles (ed.) 3rd ed. The Wildlife Society, Wash., D.C. 403-455.
- Paloheimo, J.E. 1959. A method of estimating natural and fishing mortalities. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 15:749-758.
- Paloheimo, J.E. 1961. Studies on estimation of mortalities. I. Comparison of a method described by Beverton and Holt and a new linear formula. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 18:645-662.
- Parker, R.A. 1955. A method for removing the effect of recruitment on Petersen-type population estimates. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 12:447-450.
- Parker, R.A. 1963. On the estimation of population size, mortality and recruitment. *Biometrics* 19 (2):318-323.
- Parr, M.J. 1965. A population study of a colony of imaginal *Ischnura elegans* (Van Der Linden) (Odonata: Coenagrionidae) at Dale, Pembrokeshire. *Field Studies* 2(2):237-282.
- Parr, M.J., T.J. Gaskell, and B.J. George. 1968. Capture-recapture methods of estimating animal numbers. *J. Biol. Educat.* 2:95-117.
- Paulik, G.J. 1961. Detection of incomplete reporting of tags. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 18(5): 817-832.
- Paulik, G.J. 1962. Use of the Chapman Robson survival estimate for single- and multiple-release tagging experiments. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 91 (1):95-98.
- Paulik, G.J. 1963. Estimates of mortality rates from tag recoveries. *Biometrics* 19(1):28-57.
- Paulik, G.J., and D.S. Robson. 1969. Statistical calculations for change-in-ratio estimators of population parameters. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 33(1):1-27.
- Paynter, R.A. 1966. A new attempt to construct life tables for Kent Island Herring gulls. *Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Harv.* 133(11):489-528.
- Petersen, C.G.J. 1896. The yearly immigration of young plaice into the Limfjord from the German Sea. *Rept. Danish Biol. Sta.* 6:1-48.
- Phillips, B.F., and N.A. Campbell. 1970. Comparison of methods of estimating population size using data on the webk *Deaathata aegrota* (Reeve). *J. Animal Ecol.* 39(3):753-759.
- Pope, J.A. 1963. The design and analysis of capture-recapture experiments. In: *N. Atlantic Fish Marking Symp. Intern. Comm. for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries.* Spec. Publ. 4:342-347.

- Kafail, S.Z. 1971a. Estimation of abundance of fish populations by capture-recapture experiments. *Marine Biol.* 10(1):1-7.
- Rafail, S.Z. 1971b. Estimation of some parameters of large fish populations by capture-recapture experiments. *Marine Biol.* 10(1):8-12.
- Regier, H.A., and D.S. Robson. 1967. Estimating population number and mortality rates. In: The biological basis of freshwater fish production. S.D. Gerking (ed.). Blackwell Scientific Publ., Oxford. 31-66.
- Ricker, W.E. 1944. Further notes on fishing mortality and effort. *Copeia* 1:23-44.
- Ricker, W.E. 1948. Methods of estimating vital statistics of fish populations. Indiana Univ. Publ., Sci. Ser., No. 15. 101p.
- Ricker, W.E. 1958. Handbook of computations for biological statistics of fish populations. Bull. No. 119. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada. 300p.
- Roberts, H.V. 1967. Informative stopping rules and inferences about population size. *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.* 62:763-775.
- Roberts, J.O.L. 1971. Survival among some North American wood warblers. *Bird-Banding* 42:165-184.
- Robson, D.S., and D.G. Chapman. 1961. Catch curves and mortality rates. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 90(2): 181-189.
- Robson, D.S. 1963. Maximum likelihood estimation of a sequence of annual survival rates from a capture-recapture series. In: N. Atlantic Fish Marking Symp. Intern. Comm. for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries. Spec. Publ. 4:330-335.
- Robson, D.S., and H.A. Regier. 1964. Sample size in Petersen mark-recapture experiments. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 93(3):215-226.
- Robson, D.S., and W.A. Flick. 1965. A nonparametric statistical method for culling recruits from a mark-recapture experiment. *Biometrics* 21(4):936-947.
- Robson, D.S., and H.A. Regier. 1968. Estimation of population number and mortality rates. In: Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters. W.E. Ricker (ed.). IBP Handbook No. 3, Blackwell Scientific Publ., Oxford. 124-158.
- Robson, D.S. 1969. Mark-recapture methods of population estimation. In: New developments in survey sampling. N.L. Johnson and H. Smith, Jr. (eds.). Wiley-Interscience, N.Y. 120-140.
- Rupp, R.S. 1966. Generalized equation for the ratio method of estimating population abundance. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 30:523-526.
- Samuel, E. 1968. Sequential maximum likelihood estimates of the size of a population. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 39:1057-1068.
- Samuel, E. 1969. Comparison of sequential rules for estimation of the size of a population. *Biometrics* 25(3):517-527.
- Scattergood, L.W. 1954. Estimating fish and wildlife populations: A survey of methods. In: Statistics and Mathematics in Biology. Iowa St. Coll. Press. 273-285.
- Schaefer, M.B. 1951. Estimation of size of animal populations by marking experiments. *U.S. Fish & Wildl. Serv., Fishery Bull.* 52(69):191-203.
- Schnabel, Zoe E. 1938. The estimation of the total fish population of a lake. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 45:348-352.
- Schumacher, F.X., and R.W. Eschmeyer. 1943. The estimate of fish population in lakes or ponds. *J. Tenn. Acad. Sci.* 18:228-249.
- Seber, G.A.F. 1962. The multi-sample single recapture census. *Biometrika* 49(3-4):339-350.
- Seber, G.A.F. 1965. A note on the multiple-recapture census. *Biometrika* 52(1-2):249-259.
- Seber, G.A.F., and E.D. LeCren. 1967. Estimating population parameters from catches large relative to the population. *J. Animal Ecol.* 36:631-643.
- Seber, G.A.F. 1970a. Estimating time-specific survival and reporting rates for adult birds from band returns. *Biometrika* 57(2):313-318.
- Seber, G.A.F. 1970b. The effects of trap-response on tag-recapture estimates. *Biometrics* 26:13-22.
- Seber, G.A.F. 1971. Estimating age-specific survival rates from bird-band returns when the reporting rate is constant. *Biometrika* (in press).
- Seber, G.A.F. 1972a. Estimation of animal abundance and related parameters. Griffin, London. (in press).
- Seber, G.A.F. 1972b. Estimating survival rates from bird-band returns. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* (in press).
- Silliman, R.P. 1943. Studies on the Pacific pilchard or sardine (*Sardinops saemula*), V: A method of computing mortalities and replacements. *U.S. Fish & Wildl. Serv., Spec. Sci. Rept.* (Fish.) No. 24.
- Sluiter, J.W., P.F. Heerdt, and J.J. Bezem. 1956. Population statistics of the bat *Myotis mystacinus*, based on the marking recapture method. *Archives Neerlandaises de Zoologie* 12(1):63-88.
- Sonleitner, F.J., and M.A. Bateman. 1963. Mark-recapture analysis of a population of Queensland fruit-fly, *Dacus tryoni* (Frogg.) in an orchard. *J. Animal Ecol.* 32:259-269.
- Sonleitner, F.J. 1965. Application and comparison of mark-recapture models. *Proc. XII Internatl. Congr. of Entomol.* London. 399p.
- Southwood, T.R.E. 1966. *Ecological methods.* Methuen & Co., London. 391p.
- Stangaard, H. 1967. Reliability of the Petersen method tested on a roe-deer population. *J. Wildl. Mgmt.* 31:643-651.
- Symons, P.M., and G.M. Jolly. 1969. Estimation of movement from marking-and-recapture data. *Antilocust Bull.* No. 44. 93-95.

- Takahasi, K. 1961. Model for the estimation of the size of a population by using capture-recapture method. *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* 12:237-248.
- Tanaka, R. 1956. On differential response to live traps of marked and unmarked small mammals. *Ann. Zool. Jap.* 29.
- Tanaka, R. 1963. On the problem of trap-response types of small mammal populations. *Res. Pop. Ecol.* 2:139-146.
- Tanaka, R., and M. Kanamori. 1967. New regression formula to estimate the whole population for recapture-addicted mammals. *Res. Pop. Ecol.* 9: 83-94.
- Tanton, M.T. 1965. Problems of live-trapping and population estimation for the wood mouse, *Apodemus sylvaticus* (L.). *J. Animal Ecol.* 34:1-22.
- Taylor, S.M. 1966. Recent quantitative work on British bird populations. *The Statistician* 16: 119-170.
- White, E.G. 1971a. A versatile Fortran computer program for the capture-recapture stochastic model of G.M. Jolly. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada* 28:443-445.
- White, E.G. 1971b. A computer program for capture-recapture studies of animal populations: A Fortran listing for the stochastic model of G.M. Jolly. Tussock Grasslands and Mountain Lands Institute. Spec. Publ. No. 8. 33p. (also a subsequent Addendum, xiiip.).
- Widrig, T.M. 1954. Method of estimating fish populations with application to Pacific sardine. U.S. Fish & Wildl. Serv., Fish. Bull. 56:141-166.

As the Nation's principal conservation agency, the Department of the Interior has basic responsibilities for water, fish, wildlife, mineral, land, park, and recreational resources. Indian and Territorial affairs are other major concerns of this department of natural resources.

The Department works to assure the wisest choice in managing all our resources so that each shall make its full contribution to a better United States now and in the future.



---

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE  
BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20240

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

