









THIRTIETH CONGRESS

THIRD SESSION

Tuesday, December 18, 1856.

Resolved, That copies of correspondence between Col. Sumner and the War Department, not hitherto communicated, be sent to the House.

Notice by Mr. Brown of a bill to construct a railroad and telegraph to the Pacific ocean.

Discussion on a joint resolution granting fifteen days to Texas creditors to present their claims.

Bill by Mr. Fessenden for the more effectual punishment of crimes committed on the high seas.

HOUSE. Mr. Wilson's bill assessing damages sustained by citizens of Kansas during late disturbances.

Indian, civil, and army appropriation bills, reported by Mr. Campbell, referred to the Committee of the Whole on the state of the Union.

Report by Mr. Campbell, and passed, prohibiting the importation of obscene and indecent prints, transparencies, and statuettes.

Consideration of a report of the Committee on the subject of the Missouri Compromise, deferred till the first Tuesday in January.

The debate on the President's conduct.

Mr. Nichols continued, notwithstanding the questions of the President, that the agitation of the Missouri Compromise is a violation of the provisions of the Missouri Compromise.

It will become the Executive, in the face of the Missouri Compromise, to determine whether such language as he had done in condemnation of those who differed from him.

Mr. Nichols continued, notwithstanding the questions of the President, that the agitation of the Missouri Compromise is a violation of the provisions of the Missouri Compromise.

With the object of supplying some pages in political history which had been omitted by the Democrats in this discussion, he would read a number of resolutions passed in 1848, in Congress, and proceedings of Democratic Conventions and Legislatures, and of the Democratic party in the States.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Jones, of Tennessee, argued that not a large extent of soil, the Constitution gives the right to the States to settle the public lands sold.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

Mr. Campbell, of Indiana, said that he had been struck by the fact that the House had not yet taken up the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

The slavery question. He denied emphatically that there was a difference of opinion among any portion of the Democrats as to the constitutionality of the Missouri Compromise.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

separately or even jointly, would be a sufficient justification for a dissolution of the Union.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.

ing on patrols in the several beats of the count of the city.



WASHINGTON, D. C.

An English tourist in America gives in Col. ... He observed and some hits at facts, which ...

If a debate springs up, it seems to be a ... of cross questions and crooked answers ...

The American seem to have an uncom ... not behind, but before. I have gone into ...

EXTRAORDINARY NEWS FROM UTAH— ... CHURCH AGAINST POLYGAMY—At the ...

It is wholly useless and noisily mad ... for the Legislature to pass laws, and for ...

SPAIN RUINED BY THE DESTRUCTION OF ... THE Paris Union, the ultra ...

A PAIR OF THESE—Last night, a man ... woman, evidently husband and wife, were ...

PRECES OF ENGLISH PAPERS—The London ... newspaper the Times or Post on the ...

A QUAKER BARRIST—Some five years ... a merchant, who lived upon the Eastern ...

Nicaragua—Walker, Buchanan ... 170
Nominations ... 170
Military, the—Against the People ... 170

INDEX TO VOL. X.
EDITORIAL ... 130
Abolition Assurance ... 130

Practical Objections, A Few ... 42
Presidential Contest—Issue to be Decided ... 202

Comparative Tables ... 202
Principles of the Right Assembly ... 202

Republican Party in Iowa ... 97
Republican Party in Connecticut ... 42

Republican Party in New York ... 112
Republican Party in Pennsylvania ... 112

Republican Party in Ohio ... 112
Republican Party in Indiana ... 112

Republican Party in Michigan ... 112
Republican Party in Wisconsin ... 112

Republican Party in Illinois ... 112
Republican Party in Missouri ... 112

Walker's Army Diminishing ... 145
Walton and the Corporal ... 145

Widower and Widow with Children ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181

Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181
Widow, Governor, and the Poor ... 181