The Movement Strategy and Governance Team in Wikimedia Foundation

supports the participation of Wikimedia communities and affiliates in the Movement Strategy initiatives through process facilitation, community support and engagement activities. This includes movement governance initiatives such as Movement Charter and Regional and Thematic Hubs.

---

**english**

Do we need their involvement in the Hubs Pilot Process?

If yes, what does it look like and why?

If not, what does it look like and why?

---

**Spanish**

¿Qué necesitamos su participación en el proceso piloto de los hubs?

En caso afirmativo, ¿qué aspecto tiene y por qué?

En caso negativo, ¿qué aspecto tiene y por qué?

---

**Portuguese**

Pretendemos que participem do processo de implementação de Hubs Pilots?

Em caso afirmativo, como é que você participaria?

Em caso negativo, explica.

---

**French**

Avons-nous besoin de leur engagement dans le processus pilote des hubs ?

Si oui, à quelles conditions et pourquoi ?

Si non, quels sont ses enjeux ?

---

YES - in what form & why

NO - in what form & why

WMF can engage in conversations about where do specific communities want to go with the hub creation, and how it has benefited them. Thus, creating the space for hubs to thrive and provide resources as...

...necessary.

- Alhen

---

Yes - they understand/monitor what is happening with MCDC and hubs conversations can evaluate if applications meet the minimum criteria.

---

Direct connection to the WMF is vital for all communities to develop including hub

---

Has historical perspective on the discussion of this topic and has sufficient documentation and technical expertise.

- by Butch

---

YES - the WMF administers movement resources, which are necessary for successful pilots + it is best positioned to provide generic supporting services.

-- Gergő

---

+1
The Community

In the context of the Movement Strategy, it refers not only to its content contributor communities but rather to all members of our Movement. It must be acknowledged that the Movement in its diversity comprises many communities and there is not one community that every single person subscribes to. In the context of Regional and Thematic Hubs, the supported communities need to be defined for the pilot.

**Approval for use of movement funds should generally flow from the community. That doesn't mean direct, full community involvement in approval decisions (for which we lack effective tools) but...**

- Yes - in what form & why
- No - in what form & why

**Community serves as the backbone of the hubs. Their participation makes it an essential element in decision making process.**

**Yes, but I'd give some room for development and to revise the process. It should be defined by the hubs, and not the other way. - Allen**

**Yes good to ensure that whoever the HUB is meant to represent that group of people have conversation about the hub - to understand their needs. and also they should have some feedback in the evaluation of the hub pilot.**

**No because hub serve uniquely different communities and they need to flexibility along with the capacity to reach to all stakeholders with out being forced to exclude anyone**

**Existing hubs are already reaching out in ways that serve them best**

---

**English**

*Do we need their involvement in the Hubs Pilot Process?*

If yes, what does it look like and why?

If not, what does it look like and why?

---

**Spanish**

¿Deben estar involucrados en el proceso piloto de los hubs?

¿En caso afirmativo, por qué y por qué no?

---

**Russian**

Нужно ли их участие в процессе макета кабеля?

Если да, то как это будет происходить?

Если нет, то как это должно происходить?

---

**Portuguese**

Precisamos de participação delas no processo de modelagem da HUB piloto?

Em caso afirmativo, porque e como seria a participação?

Em caso negativo, explique.

---

**French**

Souhaitez-vous leur engagement dans le processus pilote des hubs?

Si oui, pourquoi et comment le formuler?

Sinon, expliquez comment le faire.
The Affiliations Committee advises and makes recommendations regarding the recognition and existence of Wikimedia movement affiliates. As a result, they have insight into affiliate compliance and dynamics between affiliates that might be an important consideration in pilot approval process.

---

**YES - in what form & why**

(Contributor Name)

**NO - in what form & why**

(Contributor Name)

---

It would be interesting to have them share what lessons or things they have learned from supporting affiliates at different levels - I see them as a possible thought partner to what they see as common needs.

AffCom works individually with every chapter. It's my understanding that they don't know or have to know how we communicate or cooperate among chapters and UGs - Aliven

It would fit into their scope, but AffCom doesn't have a great track record of approving large-scale affiliates effectively (e.g. two thorships approved in ten years) -- Gergó

NO - trust & credibility issues. Their history of lack of transparency in affiliations recognition/derecognition process. Also lack of reach to the community.

Affcom is a hub serving affiliates

---

**english**

**De we need their involvement in the Hubs Pilot Process?**

If yes, what does it look like and why?

If not, what does it look like and why?

---

**portuguese**

**Programas de participação dos projetos de implantação de Hubs Nacionais**

É o caso afirmativo, para que e como será essa participação?

É o caso negativo, explique.

---

**français**

**Sommes nous bouchés de leur engagement dans le processus pilote des plateaux ?**

Si oui, il conceivable de les et pourquoi?

S'il non, a quoi cela ressemble-t-il et pourquoi?

---

**russian**

**Нуждаются ли они участия в программе реализации клубов?**

Если да, в каком виде будет взаимодействие?

Если нет, какого рода помощь может им потребоваться?
The Movement Charter Drafting Committee will create a document - Movement Charter - that will support the Wikimedia Movement in working together toward the Strategic Direction. As part of this document the roles and responsibilities of existing and new movement entities will be defined, including regional and thematic hubs. We need to ensure that the pilots align with the work on definition of the hubs.

**YES - In what form & why (Contributor Name)**

**NO - In what form & why (Contributor Name)**

Since they are defining the hubs formally they should receive the learning from the pilots to feed into their definition.

They will be involved in setting generic criteria for hub approval, since the movement charter will presumably regulate what is/isn't a hub. They should not be distracted by being involved in the discussion about... individual hubs - their work is important and scope creep would be harmful to it. (Gergő)

**NO if their role is a decision maker. Their scope should focus on their mandate to draft a charter. - Butch**

+1

hubs need to be open to working on the needs of the community, not denying or excluding others because they don't fit in the right box. ...On
The Regional Grant Committees are grant committees formed by the community representatives working with the Community Resources Team in Wikimedia Foundation to review and approve the Community Fund grants. They have a good understanding of both regional and thematic contexts and can thus be a good validating body for the hub projects.

**Yes** - short-term / for hubs which apply for Wikimedia grants - falls in scope, lots of relevant expertise. Long-term, per MS they should be supplanted by hubs so some other group (CC?) has to do the approvals. (Gergô)

They should focus on their role, whenever a grant proposal is opened for decision. Not beyond this.
none, hubs already exists and are operating serving their community needs

How can the piloting process benefit the existing hubs?

How much "piloting" will be deemed sufficient for the purpose of Hub recognition?