

Doc. 3105. (4)

Folder 8

(13)

REPORTED UNOFFICIALLY TO THE EMPEROR ON 14 Nov. 1941.

Outline of the Latest Developments of Japanese-American Negotiations

1. Instruction sent to Ambassador NOMURA.
2. Progress of negotiations in Washington
 - a. Nov. 7 NOMURA-HULL Conversation
 - b. Nov. 10 NOMURA-ROOSEVELT Conversation
3. Developments in Tokyo
 - a. Nov. 10 Talk between Foreign Minister TOGO and the U.S. Ambassador
 - b. Nov. 11 Talk between Foreign Minister TOGO and the British Ambassador

1. Instruction sent to Ambassador NOMURA:

Japan's counter-proposal on Japanese-American negotiations, on which an Imperial decision was received in the presence of the Emperor on November 5th, was dispatched on the same day to Ambassador NOMURA by telegram. In view of the negotiations, which had already lasted half a year since its commencement, Japan has been making concession after concession, bearing the unbearable, in order to reach a compromise quickly. In spite of this, America has not only shown no friendly reaction but held firmly to her original opinion. It is because of our sincerest desire to maintain peace that we have thus made difficult concessions, displaying our sincerity to the utmost. However, there is a limit to our patience. If our existence and prestige are at stake, we must defend them if necessary regardless of the sacrifice. Should the U.S. still take an attitude to disregard our position, we shall have to consider that there is no ground left for further negotiation. With the negotiation approaching its final stage, we have instructed him to notify the U.S. that she should take proper measures by reconsidering the situation from a broader viewpoint upon the present situation that does not permit of even a day's delay.

2. Negotiations in Washington.

- a. Meeting between Ambassador NOMURA and Secretary of State Hull (Nov. 7.)

Ambassador NOMURA, accompanied by Minister WAKASUGI, called on Secretary of State Hull and explained carefully Japan's position

and determination. He was also under instruction to reach a compromise at the earliest date possible on the three chief pending questions. Among the three main pending questions, i.e., non-preferential treatment of commerce, Tripartite Pact and withdrawal of troops, the first two could roughly be brought to a compromise by our present proposal. Regarding the stationing of the troops, we earnestly wish that in view of a maximum concession made by Japan, in spite of great hardships, U.S. would take a far-sighted view of the prevailing situations from a broader standpoint.

To this, Secretary of State Hull, after reading our counter-proposal, is reported to have answered to the following effect:

The question of non-preferential treatment is acceptable. As to the stationing of the troops, he simply asked in what proportions the withdrawal and the stationing will be made. Regarding the question of self-defense in connection with the Tripartite Pact he stated that they would give an answer in due course after studying the question.

Further, on this occasion, the Secretary of State asked, as his personal question, what Japan would think, if the highest authorities of China should pledge her friendship and confidence to the Japanese Government as well as to its nation and wish for the restoration of friendly relationship between Japan and China. He wished it to be conveyed to the Japanese Government to inquire about its intention.

This proposal may be interpreted as hinting at the U.S.'s intention of leaving the question of the withdrawal of troops in China to a direct negotiation between Japan and China, since this has been the most difficult point in the negotiation. If so, we may be able to get a chance, as has been Japan's wish from the beginning, of solving the China Incident through direct negotiations between Japan and China without any intervention by a third power. We, therefore, instructed Ambassador NOMURA on November 9 that he should take necessary steps to enable us to avail ourselves of this proposal, on condition that the U.S. would delay the execution of items of agreement on questions other than the China question until the making of peace between Japan and China, and that she would, during this period, refrain from actions of assisting CHIANG.

b. Meeting between President Roosevelt and Ambassador NOMURA (Nov. 10)

Ambassador NOMURA met President Roosevelt and explained as follows: Six months have already elapsed since the commencement of Japanese-American negotiations, and during this time, Japan has endured the unbearable and made many concessions. In spite of this, the U. S. Government has strongly adhered to its original

proposal without making any concessions. There are people in our country who entertain doubts as regards the real intentions of the U.S. Therefore, from the viewpoint of earnestly desiring peace, the Japanese Government has made the maximum concession in the three questions which were the most difficult points heretofore in the negotiations.

However, the President did not immediately express agreement or denial. He stated the following only in an abstract manner: At the present time the whole world is in a critical condition because of disturbances caused by acts of aggressions. Our good sense hopes fervently that the world would soon return to the proper course of peace. The object of the U.S. Government lies in exerting its best efforts to bring about peace in the Pacific Ocean areas through the spirit of fair play. I hope that these preliminary conversations will favorably result in becoming the basis of the negotiations. The U.S. expects to check the expansion of war, and establish a permanent peace.

He added in connection with the principle of non-discrimination that the world generally hopes that it would be carried out.

When Ambassador NOMURA stated that the U.S. economic pressure against Japan is exciting the Japanese people, the President said that the people sometimes require a so-called "modus vivendi" in order to live, and that this should be translated "mode of living."

In reference to the above, it is to our surprise and regret that the U.S. Government in this negotiation still continues adhering to the attitude of not emerging from the bounds of preliminary conversations. We keenly felt that this is most unbecoming at the present pressing situation which requires the speedy conclusion of the negotiation. Therefore, on the 11th, instructions were again sent to Ambassador NOMURA ordering him to take steps to try to urge the U.S. Government to deeply reconsider this point. Also, on the 12th, close attention of the U.S. Ambassador in Tokyo was also called to this point.

3. Negotiations in Tokyo.

a. Conversation with the U.S. Ambassador in Tokyo (Nov. 10)

A general exchange of opinions was already carried out with the U.S. Ambassador on the 30th of October, but I met him again on the 10th and stated as follows:

After studying the past progress, what I feel keenly is the insufficient understanding of facts on the part of the U.S. The Japanese-American negotiations have been postponed time and again. In the meantime, we have made as much concessions as possible to the U.S. contentions, regardless of which the U.S. has firmly adhered to her first contentions without yielding a step. In some points she has even reversed her attitude. Consequently,

there are people on our side who even doubt the sincerity of the U. S. Government, and public feeling will not tolerate any further delay.

I referred to the approaching Diet session and explained the urgency of the situation. I strongly requested that the U.S. Government solve the problem at a single stroke from a wide point of view, and explained point by point our last (T.N. Saigo-an) proposal. I also emphasized the following effect: In some cases, economic pressure can result in offering a deeper threat than that by force of arms. Also, in regard to the China problem, for Japan, it is tantamount to suicide to tamely accept terms which ignore the results of four and a half years' of sacrifice. Since public opinion would never allow this, I fervently hope that the U.S. would consider this point fully.

I requested him to transmit the above to his Government. The U.S. Ambassador replied that he would cable the above to his Government immediately as he, too, has the desire to exert all his efforts for the adjustment of Japanese-American relations.

b. Conversation with the British Ambassador in Tokyo (Nov 11)

On both the 29th and 30th of October, I also explained in detail to the British Ambassador, Craigie, the necessity of an immediate satisfactory conclusion of the Japanese-American negotiation. I heard that the Ambassador reported the above to his Government. When I met the Ambassador on November 11, he referred to it, so I stated the following effect:

Negotiations have already entered the main phase, and now by submitting (T. N. Asigo-Taki) Japan's counter-proposal of a final nature, it has now entered the final phase. It would be proper for Britain to cooperate so as to guide the negotiations to success. If the U.S. accepts our proposal, the signing is possible within a week to ten days. And, if unfortunately, the U.S. rejects this, there is no possibility of continuing the negotiation. Besides, our domestic situation is such that it will not allow further delay in this negotiation. Since there should be methods for a speedy and satisfactory conclusion, depending upon the attitudes of the U.S. and Britain, I hope that the British Government would also give the above matter her fullest consideration and exert efforts for the speedy conclusion of this negotiation.

The ambassador seemed to have had the impression that this negotiation was still in the preliminary stage, and through my explanation, he seemed to have become aware for the first time of the urgency of the situation. He left after replying that he wishes to exert his best efforts in cooperating for a break in the deadlock.

C E R T I F I C A T E

Statement of Source and Authenticity

I, HAYASHI, Kaoru, hereby certify that I am officially connected with the Japanese Government in the following capacity: Chief,
Archives Section, THE FOREIGN OFFICE

and that as such official I have custody of the document hereto attached consisting of (11) pages, dated 14 November, 1941, and described as follows: OUTLINE OF THE RECENT PROGRESS ON U.S.-JAPANESE NEGOTIATION.

I further certify that the attached record and document is an official document of the Japanese Government, and that it is part of the official archives and files of the following named ministry or department (specifying also the file number or citation, if any, or any other official designation of the regular location of the document in the archives or files): Archives Section, THE FOREIGN OFFICE

Signed at Tokyo on this

26th day of January, 1948

/s/ K. Hayashi
Signature of Official

SEAL

Witness: /s/ K. Urabe

Chief, Archives Section
Official Capacity

Statement of Official Procurement

I, Henry Shimojima, hereby certify that I am associated with the General Headquarters of the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers, and that the above described document was obtained by me from the above signed official of the Japanese Government in the conduct of my official business.

Signed at Tokyo on this

26th day of January, 1948

/s/ Henry Shimojima
NAME

Witness: /s/ J. G. Lambert

Investigator, IPS
Official Capacity

外機密

内奏文、課長、手許ニアリ (鉛筆書き)
十一月二十日 (木) 内奏 (ペン書き)

昭和十六年十一月十四日内奏

日米交渉最近、経過概要

一 野村大使宛訓令発出

二 華府ニ於テ交渉経過

甲 十一月七日 野村、ハルシ会谈

乙 同十日 野村、ゴーズベルト会谈

三 東京ニ於テ経過

甲 十一月十日 东郷大臣、米國大使会谈

乙 同十一日 东郷大臣、英國大使会谈

一 野村大使宛訓令発出

十一月五日御前ニ於テ御決定ヲ仰キタル日米交渉帝國政府對案、即日野村大使ニ電報シテ交渉開始以來既ニ三歳ニ及ルニ處、帝國政府ハ之カ急遽妥結ヲ計ル爲難キヲ忍ビテ譲歩ヲ重テ求ムルニ拘ラス米側ハ之ニ對スル所チ終始当初ノ主張ヲ固執シ帝心ニ我方カ飽迫誠意ヲ取擲シテ更ニ困難トシ讓歩ヲ敢テセル所以ハ一ニ平和維持ヲ顧念スルニ由リナシモ帝國ノ隱忍ニモ自ラ限スアリ其ノ存ニト權威トハ必要ニ依リテハ犧牲ノ如何ヲ向ハス擁護セザルヘカラス米國カ此ノ上帝國ノ立場ヲ無視スルノ態ヲ示シ依テハ交渉ノ餘地絶無ト云フ、他ナリ交渉最後ノ段階ニ臨ムニ當リ米側ニ於テ大局的見地ニリ辭然盤省ノ事ハ態カ一日モ曠ラスルヲ許サザル狀況ニテハ鑑ミ善処コンコトヲ要スル旨申入方訓令

ニテ御座イラス

ニ華府ニ於テ交渉

(甲) 野村大使ハル長官會見(十月七日)

野村大使ハ若シ公使ヲ帶同ハル長官ヲ往訪日大
 使ヨリ帝國ノ決意ト立場トヲ寫ト説明シ主ニ交渉
 ニ結テ計ルキ日ノ命ヲ受ケ居リト訓令ニ基テ通
 商無差別待遇ニ國條約及駐兵撤兵ノ主要點
 案中ト最初ノ二吳ハ今次提案依リ天作ニ結テ度
 得ハ駐兵ニ關シテ日本ハ多大ノ困難ヲ排シ最大
 限ノ讓歩ヲ為セルモ依然米國ハ大局的見地ヨリ
 現實ノ情勢ヲ慮觀シ陸ニ結テ割ニシトテ切望ス
 ト趣旨ヲ申入レマシムニ對シハル長官ハ
 我方對案ヲ讀ミ上無差別待遇問題ニ付テハ
 首肯シ駐兵ニ付ハ單ニ撤兵ト駐兵カ如何ニ割
 合ニ當ルキヤ一傾向シ又三國條約ニ關聯シ自衛
 權問題ニ付ハ何レ研究上回答スヘキ旨ヲ答ハ
 タ由テアリニス。

尙其際同長官ハ自分一國ノ思付トシテ若シ又
 那ノ最高權威者ヲ日本政府及國民ニ對シ又那
 ノ道ヲ執テ友誼ト信任ヲ確言シ日支間ノ友好
 關係回復ヲ希望スルニ於テハ日本ハ如何ニ考テヤ

ト質問シ右ヲ日本政府ニ伝達シ其ノ意嚮ヲ問合
サレタリト述ヘマシキ。

右提言ハ米國側ニ於テ從來支那ニ於テ撤兵問題
カ交渉ノ最困難尙タリニ鑑ミ之ヲ日支間直接
商議ニ委ネントスニ意嚮ヲ及カセルモノカモ解セラ
レ若シ然ラハ帝國當初ヨリノ主張ノ通り支那事
者ヲオ三國ノ妨害ヲ日支間直接交渉ニヨリ解
決スル機会ヲ得ルモノト認ムレバ十一月九日野
村大使ニ對シ米側カ日支和平成立ヲ見込支那
問題以外ニ各諸事項ノ實施ヲ遂ゲサルニ及此
間接商議ナラシムルニテ條件トシ右提言
ヲ利用スル存急速措置直方訓令致シマシキ。

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

(2) ロースベリ上大統領野村大使會談(十一月十日)

野村大使ハ「ロ」大統領ト會見シ日米交渉ハ開始以來既
 二六ヶ月ヲ經過シ此^間帝國ハ難キヲ忍ビテ幾多ノ讓歩ヲ
 行ハタルニ拘ラス米國政府ハ原案ヲ固執シテ讓ラズ我國
 ニ於テハ米國ノ真意即此ニアリヤク疑フ者モアル處知立帝國
 政府ハ平和ヲ願念スルノ見地ヨリ從來交渉ニ於ケル主
 要難點ヲ三問題ニ角シ最良ノ讓歩ヲ行ハルモノ
 ナル次第ヲ説明シタケ御座ユスカ大統領ハ直ニハ之ニ
 賛意ヲ示サス唯抽象的ニ現在全吾界ハ侵略力ニ依リ
 生カレ^ル獨^立ノ爲危殆ノ状態ニ陥リ吾人ノ常識ハ
 吾界カ平和ノ常道ニ速ニ復歸セシムラ欲ス米國
 政府ノ目的ハ「フエア^ンプレー」ノ精神ニ依リ太平洋地域
 三年和ヲ密圖ス最善ヲ盡スニ在リ本件豫備的會
 談カ交渉ノ基礎トナルハ甚良好ノ結果ヲ與フケニトシテ希
 望ス米國ノ所期スル所ハ戰爭ノ擴大ヲ防止シ恒久的平
 和ノ確立ニアリト述ヘ無差別原則ニ關シテハ吾界ノ一般ニ
 右カ行ハルニトシテ希望スルニ目附言シ又野村大使カ米
 國ノ対日經濟圧迫カ我國民ヲ激白印セシメワア^ンプレー
 申述ヘタルニ南聯シ同大統領ハ國民ノ生キル爲ニ所謂
 「モーターズ」カ^クエ^ンチ^カヲ要スルニトアリ右ハ^ハ生カレ^ル方法ト
 譯スヘキナリト^云ニシテ趣アリニス

右ニ對シテ米國政府カ今次交渉ニ臨ムニ當リ今猶
 豫備的會談ノ域ヲ出ササルヤノ態度ヲ持シ居ルニトハ當
 方ノ頗ル意外且遺憾トスル所ニシテ交渉多ク速ニ終

必要に現下ノ緊迫セル事態ニ即セサルニ甚シキ
 モノアルヲ痛感致シタリテ十一日更ニ野村大使ニ訓令
 シテ此ノ點ニ付米國政府ノ態度ヲ復探措置置テ
 命スルト共二十二日在京米國大使ニ對シテ此點ニ付切
 實ナル注意ヲ喚起シテ旨直キニシタ
 三、東京ニ於ケル交渉

(甲)在京米國大使トノ會談(十一月十日)

米國大使トノ十一月三十日既ニ一通リノ意見交換
 フ遂ケテ旨直キニシタカ十日同大使ト再度會見致シ
 マシテ從來ノ^{交渉}研究シ痛感スルニトハ米側ノ事
 實ニ對スル認識不充ナル點ナル曰米交渉ハ遷延
 フ重不居リ其間我方ハ去來得ル限り米側主張
 ニ歩ニ寄レルニ拘ラス米側ハ当初ノ主張ヲ固執シ
 一歩モ譲ラス或米側ニ却テ年轉シ居リ從テ我方ニ於
 テハ米國政府ノ誠意ノ程度ヲ疑フモモル有様ニ此上
 ハ遷延ハ國民感情ニ之ヲ許サストテ議會ハ召集ノ
 近キニトモ言及シ事態ノ切迫セルニトテ說明シテ米國政府
 カ大局的見地ヨリ問題ヲ一舉ニ解決セシムルニ強ク要
 望シ我々最後案ヲ遂ニ說明スルト共ニ經濟壓迫ハ或ル場合
 ハ武力ヲ以テスルヨリも猶も深刻ナル初威ヲ興フル結果ニ
 ナリ得ヘラス又即問題ニ付テハ四年事ニ回リ敢テセル犧牲
 成果ヲ無視セサルカ如キ條件ノ甘受ハ日本トテハ自殺ニ等
 シキヲ以テ輿論ハ到底之ヲ許容セカヘキヲ以テ米國側ニ於テ
 モ充分此ノ點ヲ考慮セラレニストテ懸望スルニ日強調シ
 右ノ米國政府ニ尊重ヲ要請致シタカ米國大使ハ
 自分トシテモ日米間國交調整ニ全力ヲ傾注シテ熱意ヲ有

スルモノナルコトヲ右ノ次第ヲ早速本國政府ニ電報スヘシ
ト答ヘフンカ
在英英國大使ト會談(十月十日)

兩度本大臣ヨリ日本交渉ノ急進ヲ要スル次
オチ第ト説明致シ同大使ハ右ヲ本國政府ニ報告
シテ趣テアリクニテ十一月十一日會見際同大使ヨリ之
ニ言及致シマシクテ本大臣ヨリ交渉ハ既ニ本格的
交渉トシ居リ今マ帝國政府ノ最良的對策ヲ提
示シ依リ最終段階ニ入リタル次オニテ英國トシテハ
右交渉ヲ成功ニ道スヲ却協力セラルコトハ當ナル
ヘシ米側カ我提議ヲ受諾スレバ一週間乃至十日
以内ニ調印可能ナルヘシ又若シ不幸米側力之ヲ
拒否ハ交渉繼續ノ可能性ナキ次オテ他方我國
内情孰カ本交渉比ニ一遷延ヲ絶對ニ許ササル
情勢カアリ英米ノ態度如何ヨリテハ迅速妥結
ノ方法アリ得キ付英國政府ニ於テモ右事時カラ
充分考慮シ本交渉ヲ急進成立ノ爲盡力カ
可然旨ヲ述ヘマシタル付同大使ハ本交渉カ今
猶豫的段階ニ在ルモノナリトノ印象ヲ有シ居
タル様ヲ示シテ右ノ説明依リ事能ク緊迫セルコ
トヲ合意ノ如ク痛感セル報子ニテ最善ヲ要スルテ
局面打開ニ協力致シタルト答へ辭去致シマシク。

日本外交交渉ノ急進ヲ要スルコトハ當ナル
ヘシ米側カ我提議ヲ受諾スレバ一週間乃至十日
以内ニ調印可能ナルヘシ又若シ不幸米側力之ヲ
拒否ハ交渉繼續ノ可能性ナキ次オテ他方我國
内情孰カ本交渉比ニ一遷延ヲ絶對ニ許ササル
情勢カアリ英米ノ態度如何ヨリテハ迅速妥結
ノ方法アリ得キ付英國政府ニ於テモ右事時カラ
充分考慮シ本交渉ヲ急進成立ノ爲盡力カ
可然旨ヲ述ヘマシタル付同大使ハ本交渉カ今
猶豫的段階ニ在ルモノナリトノ印象ヲ有シ居
タル様ヲ示シテ右ノ説明依リ事能ク緊迫セルコ
トヲ合意ノ如ク痛感セル報子ニテ最善ヲ要スルテ
局面打開ニ協力致シタルト答へ辭去致シマシク。

證明書

ワシントン文書局 第三一〇五号(四)
國際檢察部

典據及び公正ニ関スル證明

余、林 馨ハ余が下記ノ資格ニ於テ、即中外務省文書
課長トシテ、日本政府ト公的関係ニ在ルモノナリト、茲ニ該官
吏トシテ余が茲ニ添附セシタル、十一頁ヨリ成ル、千九百四十一年
昭和十六年十一月十四日附、下記題名即チ日米交渉最
近ノ經過概要ノ文書ノ保管ニ任ジ居ルコトヲ茲ニ證明ス。
余ハ更ニ添附ノ記録及び文書ハ日本政府ノ公文書ナルコ
ト、茲ニ右ノ下記名稱ノ省又ハ外部局ノ公文書類及び綴ノ
一部ナルコトヲ證明ス。(若シアラハ綴番号又ハ引用、其ノ他公
式書類又ハ綴ニ於ケル該文書ノ成規所在ノ公文名稱ヲモ
特記スベシ) 外務省文書課
千九百四十八年/昭和二十三年一月二十六日
東京ニ於テ署名

當該官吏署名欄 林馨ノハ HAYASHI 署名捺印ノ

右ノ者ノ公的資格 文書課長

證人 浦部勝馬ノハ URABE 署名捺印ノ

公式入手ニ関スル證明

余、ヘンリー・シモジマハ、余が聯合國軍最高指揮官總司令部ニ関
HENRY SHIMOTIMA
係アルモノナリト、茲ニ上記題名ノ文書ハ、余が公務上、日本政府ノ上記
署名官吏ヨリ入手シタルモノナリト、茲ニ證明ス。
千九百四十八年/昭和二十三年一月二十六日
東京ニ於テ署名

氏名欄 ヘンリー・シモジマノハ Henry SHIMOTIMA 署名

右ノ者ノ公的資格 國際檢察部調査官

證人 445. シーランバーノハ J.G. LAMBERT 署名

C E R T I F I C A T E

W.D.C. No. _____

I.F.S. No. 3105 (4)

Statement of Source and Authenticity

I, HAYASHI, Kaoru, _____ hereby certify that I am officially connected with the Japanese Government in the following capacity: Chief, Archives Section, _____

THE FOREIGN OFFICE _____

and that as such official I have custody of the document hereto attached consisting of (11) pages, dated 14, November, 1941, and described as follows: OUTLINE OF THE RECENT PROGRESS ON _____

U.S. - JAPANESE NEGOTIATION. _____

I further certify that the attached record and document is an official document of the Japanese Government, and that it is part of the official archives and files of the following named ministry or department (specifying also the file number or citation, if any, or any other official designation of the regular location of the document in the archives or files): Archives Section, THE FOREIGN OFFICE _____

Signed at Tokyo on this 26th day of January, 1948.

K. Hayashi
Signature of Official

Witness: K. Urabe

Chief, Archives Section
Official Capacity

SEAL

Statement of Official Procurement

I, HENRY SHIMOJIMA, _____ hereby certify that I am associated with the General Headquarters of the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers, and that the above described document was obtained by me from the above signed official of the Japanese Government in the conduct of my official business.

Signed at Tokyo on this 26th day of January, 1948

Henry Shimojima
NAME
Investigator, IPS
Official Capacity

Witness: J. H. Lambert

Doc 3105 (5)

Folder 9

(5)

INFORMAL REPORT TO EMPEROR OF Nov. 20, 1941

The other day I reported to you the summary of the details of the negotiations conducted at Washington and Tokyo subsequent to the despatch of the Imperial (T.N. Japanese) Government's instructions (5th). Today, however, I shall report to you the subsequent developments.

Talks were held four times, viz. on the 12th, 15th, 17th and 18th, but as it just so happened that Ambassador KURUSU arrived at Washington on the 15th, the said Ambassador participated also in the negotiations on both the 17th and 18th, together with Ambassador NOMURA.

(1) Parley between Ambassador NOMURA and Secretary of State Hull of the 12th.

Notwithstanding the fact that the 11th fell on Armistice Day, the U.S. State Department deliberated the whole day on the proposal submitted by our side (T.N. Japan), and on the 12th Secretary of State Hull handed the following two notes to Ambassador NOMURA (accompanied by Minister WAKASUGI) who visited him on the 12th, viz. (A) A note expressing the desire of having our (T.N. Japanese) new Cabinet also confirm the opinion manifested to the U.S. Government by the KONOE Cabinet on August 28 in regard to the Pacific policy entertained by it, as well as (B) A note confirming Secretary of State Hull's personal suggestion made to Ambassador NOMURA on the 7th in regard to the China Problem, viz. the proposal of having both Japan and China mutually exchange oaths for the sake of establishing truly amicable and cooperative relations. On that occasion he (Hull) appears to have orally hinted his intention of acting as a mediator between Japan and China. Furthermore, in regard to the stationing of troops in China, the Secretary of State expressed his opposition to the permanent or indefinite stationing of troops, and he is said to have repeatedly criticized Hitlerism by stating that, as for the Japan-Germany-Italy Tripartite Pact, it was inconsistent for Japan to stand in alliance with Germany on one hand while advocating a Pacific policy on the other, and that there would no longer be any need for Japan to remain in the Tripartite Pact in the event of a Pacific Ocean Peace Agreement being conducted.

With regard to the foregoing, the Government made Ambassador NOMURA reply that, as for (A), the items regarding which the U.S. desires confirmation are all embodied in our Proposal and that the present Government also has no objection in confirming same in the spirit thereof; however, the satisfactory conclusion of the present negotiations should be made the preamble, so that it would be obvious that not our side only (T.N. Japan) should suffer any restraint in the event of the negotiations ending, by any chance, in a rupture. As for (B) the said Ambassador was instructed to be on his strict guard not to bring about a delay in the negotiations by complicating the situation thereby as same was accepted (T.N. for consideration) by our side from the standpoint of bringing about a break in the deadlock.

(2) Parley between Ambassador NOMURA and Secretary of State Hull of the 15th.

Secretary of State Hull presented two notes relative to the question of Commerce. Of these, (A) expatiates on the point that, whereas our Final Proposal (T.N. Saigo-an) (Proposal A) stated "In the event of the Principle of Non-Discrimination being applied throughout the entire world, the enforcement of this principle in the entire Pacific area, also including China, is approved," it is desired that, by taking into consideration the U.S. policy of endeavoring to remove trade barriers, Japan also withdraws the stipulation regarding "Application throughout the Entire World, etc." as the United States cannot hold itself responsible for countries outside of its own jurisdiction.

Proposal "B" is styled the "Proposal re Japan-U.S. Joint Declaration regarding Economic Policy" and is made up of the three parts of (1) General Policy, (2) Japan-U.S. Relations, and (3) Policy for the Pacific Area. (1) states that both Japan and the U.S. should cooperate in restoring the freedom of commerce throughout the world, (2) stipulates the restoration of normal relations between the two nations in regard to commerce, finance and economy, and the concluding of a commercial treaty, whereas (3) specifies that full control rights should be returned to China in regard to economy, finance and currency; preferential or monopolistic rights shall not be acquired in China; and that the joint economic exploitation of China shall be engaged in through the cooperation of the Powers. After receiving the foregoing, Ambassador NOMURA promised to transmit same to his Government, at the same time drawing the attention of the U.S. side to the fact that it was improper to consider the present negotiations as being still in the stage of Preliminary Talks inasmuch as same had already entered into the main stage. Against this the Secretary of State is said to have strongly persisted in his stand that they were still Preliminary Talks and that it would be more proper to enter upon Japan-U.S. negotiations after first of all discovering the basis for negotiations through the preliminary talks between Japan and America and consulting with interested nations like Britain, Netherlands and China, etc.

On that occasion, Ambassador NOMURA is said to have done his utmost in explaining that a Japan-U.S. Agreement and the Tripartite Pact would not be conflicting as the Secretary of State repeated his suspicions regarding Japan's peaceful intentions and went on to dwell on the Tripartite Pact by repeatedly expressing his strong desire to have the said Pact made extinct or a dead letter as there should be no need for Japan to preserve it in the event of a Japan-U.S. Agreement becoming concluded. In brief, the United States assumed the attitude that the views of the U.S. regarding the other pending questions, viz. the Tripartite Pact and the problems of stationing troops would be presented after receiving our reply to the aforementioned two notes.

With regard to the aforesaid two notes, Ambassador NOMURA was instructed to reply to the U.S. that, as regards "Application throughout the Entire

World, etc.," inasmuch as it is our desire to have the said principle applicable uniformly throughout the entire world, we have made it our condition to agree to the enforcement of the said principle also in China in sympathy with the realization of the said desire, so that, by taking into account the fact that this principle is being practically ignored at the present day, we cannot agree to same being made applicable at first only to China. As for Proposal (B), by utilizing the fact that this is styled a tentative plan also by the U.S., we have instructed Ambassador NOMURA to have the U.S. withdraw same completely and to arrange with the U.S. authorities to expedite the negotiations on the basis of our Final Proposal (T.N. Saigo-an), especially on the ground that the various clauses dealing with the Pacific Area ignore the actual conditions in China, and especially as Clause 3 (the proposal regarding Joint Exploitation of China) is liable to become the first step toward International Control of China.

(3) Parley between Ambassadors NOMURA and KURUSU, President Roosevelt and Secretary of State Hull of the 17th.

Accompanied by Ambassador KURUSU, Ambassador NOMURA first of all visited Secretary of State Hull, and after a meeting of about 30 minutes, all of them had an interview with President Roosevelt.

It is reported that on Ambassador KURUSU explaining that a clash between Japan and the U.S. would not be beneficial to anyone, the President concurred with this view and stated that the situation could be saved by formulating a general understanding. On the said Ambassador furthermore emphasizing Japan's peaceful intentions and explaining her (T.N. Japan's) position as regards the Tripartite Pact, as well as the difficulties centering around the question of stationing of troops, to this, the President is said to have replied that he had heard about the difficulties regarding the China problem and that the U.S. has no intention of interfering or assisting in the matter but is merely desirous of becoming only an introducer. During the meeting, which lasted as long as an hour and a quarter, the President is said to have displayed a friendly attitude, but materially no special compromise was seen.

(4) Parley between Ambassadors NOMURA and KURUSU and Secretary of State Hull of the 18th.

Secretary of State Hull emphasized the menace of Hitlerism, adding that the peace policy of the U.S. was incompatible with same and that, inasmuch as it would be most difficult to adjust the U.S.-Japan relations as long as Japan joins hands with Germany, it was impossible to make any progress in the parleys between Japan and the U.S. without first of all eliminating this basic obstacle. Both Ambassadors gave their replies as deemed fit to the occasion and as there would be no limit to discussions on such a basic problem at a time when conditions had become so tense, both Ambassadors proposed on their own, with a view to planning to alleviate the situation in the Southwest Pacific, to have conditions restored as they were prior to the enforcement of the Freezing Act, by Japan withdrawing her troops from French Indo-China and by the U.S. rescinding the Freezing Act.

After being prevailed on in turn by both Ambassadors, the Secretary of State is said to have replied that, in the event of it being made clear that the heads of the Japanese Government are truly earnest in pursuing a pacific policy, he did not mind seizing this opportunity to persuade Britain and the Netherlands, etc. to restore conditions to the days prior to the enforcement of the Freezing Act. He (T.N. Secretary of State Hull) remarked that it was necessary, however, for the political conditions in Japan to proceed toward an increasingly peaceful trend.

Against the foregoing, both Ambassadors NOMURA and KURUSU expressed the opinion that, at this time, when the United States was about to venture on the Atlantic War by revising the Neutrality Act, it would be most advantageous for Japan to evade a rupture in the Japan-U.S. relations and prepare herself for future activities by pulling herself out of the present crisis by standing aloof from the theater of war. However, by concluding that the various circumstances made the Tentative Plan of both Ambassadors insufficient to ensure the safety of Japan's position, the Government instructed both Ambassadors at midnight of the 19th to immediately present to the U.S. authorities the Proposal "B" that was decided on at the meeting held in the Imperial presence the other day.

C E R T I F I C A T E

Statement of Source and Authenticity

I, HAYASHI, Kaoru hereby certify that I am officially connected with the Japanese Government in the following capacity: Chief, Archives Section, THE FOREIGN OFFICE

and that as such official I have custody of the document hereto attached consisting of (11) pages, dated 20 November, 19 41, and described as follows: OUTLINE OF THE RECENT PROGRESS ON U.S.-JAPANESE NEGOTIATION.

I further certify that the attached record and document is an official document of the Japanese Government, and that it is part of the official archives and files of the following named ministry or department (specifying also the file number or citation, if any, or any other official designation of the regular location of the document in the archives or files): Archives Section, THE FOREIGN OFFICE

Signed at Tokyo on this

26th day of January, 1948

/s/ K. Hayashi

Signature of Official

SEAL

Witness: /s/ K. Urabe

Chief, Archives Section

Official Capacity

Statement of Official Procurement

I, Henry Shimojima, hereby certify that I am associated with the General Headquarters of the Supreme Commander for the Allied Powers, and that the above described document was obtained by me from the above signed official of the Japanese Government in the conduct of my official business.

Signed at Tokyo on this

26th day of January, 1948

/s/ Henry Shimojima

NAME

Witness: /s/ J. G. Lambert

Investigator, IPS

Official Capacity