ROYAL SOCIETY OPEN SCIENCE

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research



Cite this article: Torres-Gutierrez C, de Oliveira TMP, Emerson KJ, Sterlino Bergo E, Mureb Sallum MA. 2018 Molecular phylogeny of *Culex* subgenus *Melanoconion* (Diptera: Culicidae) based on nuclear and mitochondrial protein-coding genes. *R. Soc. open sci.* **5**: 171900. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.171900

Received: 14 November 2017 Accepted: 19 April 2018

Subject Category:

Cellular and molecular biology

Subject Areas:

taxonomy and systematics/molecular biology

Keywords:

Spissipes Section, Melanoconion Section, systematics, molecular phylogeny, neotropical species

Author for correspondence:

Carolina Torres-Gutierrez e-mail: aniloract@gmail.com

⁺Senior author.

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.c.4090982.



Molecular phylogeny of *Culex* subgenus *Melanoconion* (Diptera: Culicidae) based on nuclear and mitochondrial protein-coding genes

Carolina Torres-Gutierrez^{1,2}, Tatiane M. P. de Oliveira¹, Kevin J. Emerson³, Eduardo Sterlino Bergo⁴ and Maria Anice Mureb Sallum^{1,†}

¹Departamento de Epidemiologia, Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Universidade de São Paulo, Avenida Doutor Arnaldo 715, CEP 01246-904, São Paulo, Brazil ²Research Associate, Programa de Estudio y Control de Enfermedades Tropicales, PECET, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Antioquia. Calle 67 No. 53-108, Medellin, Colombia

³Biology Department, St. Mary's College of Maryland, St. Mary's City, MD, USA⁴Superintendência de Controle de Endemias, Secretaria de Estado da Saúde de SãoPaulo, Araraquara, São Paulo, Brazil

(D) CTG, 0000-0002-3488-1745

The subgenus Melanoconion of the mosquito genus Culex is taxonomically diverse and is widely distributed in the Neotropical Region, with 10 species occurring in the Nearctic Region. Species of this subgenus pose a taxonomical challenge because morphological identification is based largely on anatomical characters of the male genitalia. We addressed the monophyly of the Spissipes and Melanoconion Sections of the subgenus Melanoconion and some of the informal groups in each section. Our sample taxa included 97 specimens representing 43 species, from which we analysed fragments of two single-copy nuclear genes (CAD, HB) and one mitochondrial gene (COI). Phylogenetic relationships within the subgenus are presented based on results of maximum-likelihood and Bayesian analyses using a multi-locus matrix of DNA sequences. We show a molecular phylogeny of Melanoconion in which both sections were recovered as monophyletic groups. The monophyly of the Atratus and Pilosus groups was confirmed. Within each section, other monophyletic groups were recovered highlighting the potential need for future nomenclature rearrangement. The phylogenetic signal contained in nuclear

© 2018 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

genes, when analysed together, was more informative than each gene analysed separately, corroborating monophyly of *Melanoconion* relative to *Culex* (*Culex*) species included in the analyses, the Melanoconion and Spissipes Sections and some species groups. Our results provide new information for the classification of the subgenus and additional data that can be used to improve species identification when a more representative taxon sampling is available.

1. Introduction

The subgenus *Melanoconion* is one of 26 subgenera of the mosquito genus *Culex*. The majority of its species occur in the Neotropical Region [1,2], in different ecological regions, which vary from conserved and pristine forests to highly modified, anthropic environments [2–5]. As blood feeders, *Melanoconion* species display a wide variety of hosts (birds, mammals (including rodents), reptiles), with many species competent to transmit viral pathogens to a wide spectrum of vertebrates that include humans. Several studies have documented species of the subgenus as potential and effective vectors of viruses of the Venezuelan equine encephalitis complex (including Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus, Everglades virus, Mucambo virus), West Nile virus and eastern equine encephalitis virus [5–13].

The subgenus includes 160 species [14], which are separated into two major sections: Melanoconion and Spissipes [15]. Each section is further divided into several groups and subgroups [16]. Sallum & Forattini [2] reviewed the Spissipes Section, and provided morphological identification keys to species, along with information on bionomics, medical importance and geographical distribution of all species included in the section. Unlike the Spissipes Section, there are no recent taxonomic revisions for species included in the Melanoconion Section, and the available literature provides little information on the relationships of the subgenus [16]. Several studies have supported the monophyly of *Melanoconion* [17–20]; however, their conclusions are based on a small number of species (ranging from 2 to 9) distributed within the subgenus. Another contribution to the study of phylogenetic relationships among species of the Spissipes Section tested only the monophyly of species included in the Vomerifer Group and Pedroi Subgroup [21]. Harbach *et al.* [22] did not find support for the monophyly of the subgenus *Melanoconion*, that recovered a monophyletic lineage containing the subgenera *Melanoconion, Aedinus, Anoedioporpa, Tinolestes, Belkinomyia, Nicaromyia* and the genera *Galindomyia* and *Deinocerites*.

The search for appropriate molecular markers to infer natural relationships is an important step in any study [23]. Previous analyses have recommended single-copy nuclear genes [24–26]. Among eight nuclear genes explored for different invertebrate taxa, *CAD* (also called rudimentary) has been referred to as one of the most informative and easy to amplify genes [26–28]. Studies using nuclear genes of mosquito species have been used mainly for *Anopheles* species [29–32]. Studies by Besansky & Fahey [33] and more recently by Reidenbach *et al.* [34] have used protein-coding nuclear genes, including HuncHBack (*HB*) and *CAD*, to solve questions about the relationships of different groups of Culicidae.

Furthermore, the mitochondrial gene, *COI*, also referred as the DNA barcode for species identification, has been extensively used for taxonomic purposes in many vertebrate and invertebrate orders [35,36]. *COI* sequences have been also used to infer relationships in species of Culicidae [20,37,38]. Recently, results of studies using the barcode region of the *COI* gene showed that it is both a useful and accessible tool in the identification of species of the subgenera *Culex* [39] and *Melanoconion* [40]. In this study, we addressed the current classification scheme of the subgenus that is based on morphological features [2,15]. We addressed the monophyly of the two major sections of the subgenus and addressed relationships within each section using sequence data from two nuclear (*HB* and *CAD*) and one mitochondrial (*COI*) protein-coding gene.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Taxon sampling

In the study, representatives of the two sections of *Melanoconion* were included in the ingroup that consisted of 97 specimens of nine species of the Spissipes Section and 34 species of the Melanoconion Section (table 1; electronic supplementary material, table S1). The outgroup was composed of one specimen of *Culex* (*Culex*) quinquefasciatus and two specimens of *Culex* (*Culex*) mollis. The selection of *Culex* (*Culex*) species as outgroup taxa was based on the availability of specimens.

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org *R. Soc. open sci.* **5**: 171900

section	group	subgroup	species	specimen (n)
Melanoconion				
	Atratus	—	Cx. commevynensis	2
		—	Cx. ensiformis	2
		—	Cx. trigeminatus	1
		—	Cx. zeteki	2
	Bastagarius	Bastagarius	Cx. bastagarius	3
		lolambdis	Cx. corentynensis	1
	Conspirator	—	Cx. aliciae	4
		—	Cx. dyius	3
		—	Cx. sp. nr. <i>aliciae</i>	2
	Distinguendus	Putumayensis	Cx. putumayensis	2
	Educator	—	Cx. eknomios	3
		—	Cx. theobaldi	3
		—	Cx. vaxus	5
		—	Cx. sp. nr. theobaldi	1
	Erraticus	Erraticus	Cx. aureonotatus	2
			Cx. sp. nr. aureonotatus	3
		Clarki	Cx. clarki	2
	Evansae	—	Cx. evansae	1
	Inhibitator	Inhibitator	Cx. oedipus	2
			Cx. rabelloi	1
			Cx. sp. nr. <i>rabelloi</i>	3
			Cx. sp. nr. inhibitator	1
		Egcymon	Cx. serratimarge	1
	Intrincatus	Eastor	Cx. eastor	4
		Intrincatus	Cx. intrincatus	8
			Cx. misionensis	3
		ldottus	Cx. idottus	1
	Pilosus	Caudelli	Cx. alogistus	1
			Cx. lacertosus	1
		Pilosus	Cx. pilosus	2
Spissipes				
	Crybda	Pedroi	Cx. crybda	6
			Cx. sp. nr. pedroi	3
		Pereyrai	Cx. pereyrai	2
	Faurani		Cx. faurani	1
	Spissipes	—	Cx. spissipes	3
		—	Cx. sp. nr. spissipes	1
	Taeniopus		Cx. akritos	1

section	group	subgroup	species	specimen (n)
Vomeri	Vomerifer		Cx. gnomatos	2
			Cx. sacchettae	2
			Cx. vomerifer	3
			Cx. sp. nr. vomerifer	1
			Cx. sp. nr. portesi	1
			Cx. sp. nr. gnomatos	1

The specimens used in this study were either collected from larval habitats as larvae and/or pupae or obtained as adult males/females in the field. Freshly emerged or field-collected adult mosquitoes were anaesthetized with ethyl acetate and either kept individually frozen at -80° C or dried in separate minute plastic vials in silica gel. For most specimens, species identification was based on the anatomical characters of the male genitalia, using the illustrations available in Pecor *et al.* [1], and fourth-instar larvae and pupae [15], when available. For some specimens, identification was based on the external morphology of field-collected females using Sirivanakarn's identification keys [15]. All males had their corresponding genitalia dissected and mounted on microscope slides, which are deposited in the Coleção Entomológica de Referência, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil (FSP-USP) as vouchers.

2.2. Molecular methods and phylogenetic reconstruction

2.2.1. Molecular markers

In the study, DNA sequence data were generated for two single-copy protein-coding nuclear genes (carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 2, aspartate transcarbamylase, and dihydroorotase (*CAD*)) and hunchback (*HB*) and the barcode region of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (*COI*) protein-coding gene. The *CAD* locus encodes enzymes that catalyse pyrimidine biosynthesis [26], the carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase, aspartate transcarbamylase and dihydroorotase [27]. Previous studies addressing different groups of insects have used *CAD* [26–28]. *HB* is a gap gene [41] that is involved in anterior-posterior polarity determination in embryos [42,43]. It encodes a C_2H_2 zinc finger transcription factor whose primary function is to regulate the expression of other genes involved in embryo development. *COI* is a mitochondrial gene that is involved in electron transport. It contains a combination of highly conserved and variable regions that makes it useful for different genetic studies for metazoan species, especially as an identification tool [35,36,44,45].

2.2.2. Experimental procedures

Genomic DNA extractions were performed following a salting out protocol [46] (electronic supplementary information, protocol salting out). DNA was retained at -20° C. Fragments of the *COI*, *CAD* and *HB* genes were amplified using primers listed in the electronic supplementary material, table S2. Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were performed with a Mastercycler Ep Gradient 5341 (Eppendorf); PCR and thermo-cycler profiles are listed in the electronic supplementary material, table S3.

PCR products for *COI* and *CAD* were purified using the polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation method (20% polyethylene glycol 8000/2.5 M NaCl) (supplementary data, protocol for precipitation of protein and cell debris). A commercial kit by Zymo Research (DNA Clean & Concentrator[™]-5; CA, USA) was employed to purify the PCR products generated for the *HB* gene. PCR products of all genes were electrophoresed in 1% Tris–acetate (TAE)–ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) (TAE EDTA) agarose gels stained with GelRedTM[®] Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium Inc., Hayward, USA).

Sequencing reactions were carried out in both directions using the ABI BigDyeTM Terminator v.3.1 cycle sequencing kit (PE Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). For sequencing the *COI*, we employed the same set of primers used for PCR, whereas for the *CAD* gene we used the M13 primers that were added to the PCR primers only. For *HB*, we performed several strategies that involved three different sets of primers; thus, when the M13 tailed primers were used for PCR reactions, the sequencing for *HB* used only the M13 (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Sequencing reactions were carried out in

4

a total volume of 10 µl, containing approximately 20 ng of the PEG-purified PCR product; 0.5 µl BigDye Terminator ready reaction mix; $1 \times$ sequencing buffer (Applied Biosystems); 3.6 pmol of each primer (for different genes); and the remaining volume of sterile purified water. All PCR products were purified with Sephadex[®] G-50 columns (GE Healthcare, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Capillary electrophoresis was performed in an Applied Biosystems 3130 DNA Analyser (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Newly generated sequences for *CAD* and *HB* are deposited in GenBank under accession codes MG703269–MG703382 (*CAD*) and MG703383–MG703481 (*HB*). The *COI* barcode sequences are available in GenBank under accession codes KX779776–KX826818 and were first published in [40].

2.2.3. Sequence analyses

Sequences were manually edited using Geneious version R 9.0 (Biomatters Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand) and Mesquite v3.2 [47]. Primer sequence regions were removed from all sequences. The chromatograms of each DNA sequence were visualized to verify which sequences were optimal and which needed to be re-processed, and BLASTed (using *megablast*, *blastn* and *blastx*) to sequences in NCBI's NR database and to the *Cx. quinquefasciatus* reference genome to confirm homology [48]. Polymorphic sites in the single-copy nuclear genes were coded as ambiguous sites. Sequence alignments were done for both nucleotides and amino acids for each of the three datasets. All alignments were made using Clustal W, implemented in Geneious version R 9.0 (default parameters), and adjusted manually to remove alignment artefacts.

The dataset was further explored following four steps: (i) the best-fitting model of nucleotide substitution and frequencies was determined using jModeltest version 2 (considering the Akaike information criterion) [49]; (ii) distance matrices, number of variable sites, conserved sites and parsimony informative sites were determined using MEGA v. 6.0.6 [50]; (iii) likelihood mapping analysis was implemented with TREE PUZZLE to address the information content of each dataset as described by Strimmer & von Haeseler [51]; and (iv) DNA sequences were translated to amino acid sequences to verify their identity (expected protein according to the target gene) and to verify coding sequences and absence of stop codons.

2.2.4. Phylogenetic analysis

DNA sequences were aligned and then concatenated to make a super-matrix. Only individuals with a complete set of three genes were employed in the analyses. The *COI* dataset was also analysed separately with all individuals that had a *COI* sequence available, and the results can be found in [40]. Given that *CAD* was obtained for a greater number of specimens than the *HB* gene, the phylogenetic trees based solely on *CAD* sequences from all individuals are shown in figure 4 (BA topology) and figure S1 (ML topology in electronic supplementary data).

Maximum-likelihood (ML) inference was performed with PhyML (v3.0 [51]) with the approximate likelihood ratio (aLRT-SH) as support values [52], employing the concatenated data matrix of *COI*, *CAD* and *HB* genes. The nucleotide substitution model used for the nuclear genes was selected using jModeltest. Considering the limited number of models available in PhyML, the TIM2+I+G best-fitting model chosen for *CAD* by jModeltest was replaced by the GTR+I+G in the PhyML analysis (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Optimization of the search for the ML tree used the Best of the nearest neighbour interchange and the Subtree Pruning Regraftin SPR in PhyML.

Bayesian analysis (BA) was performed with MrBayes v.3.2 [53] using the concatenated dataset of *CAD* + *HB* + *COI* and *CAD* genes. Datasets were partitioned by gene following distinct nucleotide substitution models chosen using jModeltest; all codons were included in the analysis. Parameters chosen for the estimation of the posterior probability were (i) GTR as the substitution model for nucleotides with a γ -distributed rate variation across sites and (ii) a proportion of invariable sites. Parameters were unlinked between all partitions and different transition matrices; proportion of invariant sites and different state frequencies were used. The BA was run for 2 000 000 generations, using four chains. Chains were sampled every 2500 generations, discarding the first 25% of the topologies sampled. The consensus tree was generated using the 'allcompat' option.

3. Results

The length of the DNA sequence alignment was 658 base pairs (bp) for *COI* and 610 bp for the *CAD* gene. The *HB* gene sequence alignment consisted of the forward strands only because all different approaches adopted to obtain the reverse strand failed. The *HB* sequences ranged from 470 to 540 bp in length; unreliable regions were masked, leaving 483 bp in the final alignment.



Figure 1. Likelihood mapping quarter distribution (Seven Basins of Attraction) for the three datasets (*COI, CAD, HB*). Estimated quarter distribution for (*a*). *COI* dataset (under HKY model); (*b*) *CAD* dataset (under GTR model); (*c*) *HB* dataset (under GTR model). The analysis corresponding to *COI* gene has been previously published in [40]. Authors have the right to reproduce this image.

The results of a likelihood mapping analysis are shown in figure 1. The triangles include different quarters showing the partly resolved, unresolved and fully resolved quarters for each separate dataset. Fully resolved quarters, indicated by regions 1, 2 and 3, correspond to moderately high percentages for all three single-gene alignments (*COI*: 95.9%, *CAD*: 69.7% and *HB*: 71.7%), showing that there is good signal in the data. The partly resolved quarters and unresolved quarters were low for all datasets.

The ML tree (figure 2), based on the available DNA sequence data, supported the monophyly of the Spissipes (aLRT = 8.88) and Melanoconion (aLRT = 28.19) sections within the subgenus. Specimens identified as Cx. spissipes and Cx. sp. nr. spissipes clustered together in a clade sister to remaining species of the Spissipes Section. Furthermore, unexpected groupings of species were found within the two sections, demonstrating that the current subdivision into groups and subgroups, based primarily on male genitalia traits, does not correspond to the relationships recovered from the ML analysis of this molecular data. The monophyly of the Vomerifer and Crybda Groups was not confirmed because Cx. sp. nr. portesi was found to be a sister to Cx. pereyrai of the Crybda Group, and the single specimens of Cx. sp. nr. gnomatos included in the analyses was recovered in a clade that includes Cx. sp. nr. pedroi and Cx. crybda of the Crybda Group. In the ML tree, most of the species of the Melanoconion Section displayed a different arrangement from the current classification, with the exception of the Pilosus Group (Cx. pilosus, Cx. alogistus and Cx. lacertosus) and Atratus Group (Cx. trigeminatus, Cx. ensiformis, Cx. zeteki, Cx. commevynensis; Cx. dunni), which were recovered as monophyletic groups.

The results of BAs (figure 3) were highly consistent with those found in the ML analysis, showing two major sister groups that correspond to the Spissipes and Melanoconion Sections. The Bayesian



Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood-based phylogenetic tree obtained from a concatenated matrix (COI + CAD + HB matrix) of *Culex* (*Melanoconion*) species, with aLRT statistic values shown as node support.

posterior probabilities for the groups were strong (BPP = 1). Each major branch included multiple groups and subgroups, which were previously recognized in the classification of the subgenus. However, the Bayesian tree did not support the Vomerifer and the Crybda Groups because the single specimens of Cx. sp. nr. *gnomatos* and Cx. sp. nr. *portesi* were into the clade composed of species of the Crybda Group. The BA topology recovered clades (BPP = 1) from which only two were consistent with groups that were



Figure 3. Inferred topology from the Bayesian analysis of a concatenated matrix (COI + CAD + HB) of Culex (Melanoconion) species, with posterior probability values shown as node support. The Spissipes Section (red) and the monophyletic groups of the Melanoconion Section, Pilosus Group (blue) and Atratus Group (green) are highlighted.

defined based on morphological similarities, specifically the Atratus and Pilosus Groups, which were recovered in both the BA (figure 3) and the ML (figure 2) trees. Results of the Bayesian (figure 4) and ML analyses (electronic supplementary material, figure S1) based only on the DNA sequences of the CAD nuclear gene were consistent in showing the Crybda Group of the Spissipes Section as a polyphyletic lineage ((Cx. crybda + Cx. ribeirensis) + Cx. sp. nr. gnomatos + Cx. sp. nr. pedroi + (<math>Cx. sp. nr. portesi + Cx.pereyrai)).

8



Figure 4. Inferred topology from the Bayesian analysis of the CAD dataset of Culex (Melanoconion) species, with posterior probability values shown as node support.

Other monophyletic clades were recovered within the Melanoconion Section in the ML tree (figure 2), and their placements were consistent with the groupings recovered in the Bayesian tree (figure 3). For instance: (1) Cx. aliciae is placed as a sister to (Cx. bastagarius + Cx. evansae) + (Cx. evansae) + (Cx. bastagarius + Cx. evansae) + (Cx. evansae)eknomios + Cx. vaxus) + Cx. corentynensis. (2) A cluster comprised (Cx. eastor, Cx. idottus and Cx. intrincatus), as a sister to (Cx. sp. nr. inhibitator, Cx. sp. nr. rabelloi, Cx. oedipus, Cx. serratimarge and Cx. rabelloi) (BPP = 0.86). Culex rabelloi of the Inhibitator Group was recovered outside the clade composed of (Cx. sp. nr. inhibitator, Cx. sp. nr. rabelloi, Cx. oedipus, Cx. serratimarge) in the ML tree (figure 2), whereas in the Bayesian tree (figure 3) Cx. rabelloi was recovered together with the remaining species of the Inhibitator Group. (3) In both Bayesian and ML topologies, Cx. aurenotatus and Cx. sp. nr. aureonotatus within the Melanoconion Section do not form a group. Specimens identified as Cx. sp. nr. aureonotatus were recovered within the clade composed of Cx. eknomios and Cx. vaxus, whereas Cx. aureonotatus GO1_108 and Cx. aureonotatus SP35_1 were in a separate clade, depending on the analytical approach adopted. In the Bayesian topology (figure 3), Cx. aurenotatus was recovered as a sister of a major clade that includes species of the Conspirator, Bastagarius, Evansae, Educator, Intrincatus and Inhibitator groups. In the ML topology (figure 2), Cx. aureonotatus GO1_108 and Cx. aureonotatus SP35_1 and Cx. rabelloi $PA1_2$ were recovered as sisters (aLRT = 6.34), 4) within a clade that includes species of the Intrincatus and Inhibitator groups. A few clades were consistent in both the Bayesian and ML topologies, with Cx. misionensis sister to the Pilosus Group and the placement of Cx. theobaldi and Cx. sp. nr. theobaldi as sister to the Atratus Group, with a high Bayesian posterior probability.

The relationships of the sampled species in most of the informal groups currently recognized in the Melanoconion Section had a clustering pattern different from the known classification based on morphology. In all the recovered topologies, *Cx. aliciae* and *Cx. dyius* (representatives of the Conspirator Group) were recovered as branches of different clades. Similarly, *Cx. clarki* and *Cx. aurenotatus* (representatives of the Erraticus Group) were recovered as members of different clades.

4. Discussion

DNA sequence data available for the subgenus Melanoconion are limited; however, a few recent studies using ribosomal and mitochondrial DNA can be highlighted. For instance, genetic variation among *Cx. erraticus* from Colombia, Guatemala and nine locations in the USA have been addressed using the ND4 mitochondrial gene and the ITS2 region of rDNA [54]. The results of analyses revealed two major lineages within the species. One lineage included central and eastern US populations, whereas the other corresponded to Central America, South America and the western USA, with little gene flow among populations from distinct geographical regions. Focusing on species identification, William & Savage [55] were able to identify eight species of the subgenus Melanoconion that occur in the southern USA using multiplex PCR of the small subunit of the ribosomal RNA 18S gene. Phylogenetic relationships within the Vomerifer and Pedroi Groups of the Spissipes Section have been demonstrated to be monophyletic sister groups using the internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) of ribosomal RNA. Culex adamesi, Cx. ribeirensis and Cx. pedroi clustered together, indicating the monophyly of the Crybda Group. In addition, the monophyly of the Vomerifer Group has been corroborated, and the presence of two divergent branches suggests that the name Cx. pedroi can include two species. Vesqueiro et al. [19] using ITS-2 sequence data of several species of the subgenera Culex, Melanoconion and Phenacomyia showed the difficulties one may face in obtaining and aligning the ITS-2 sequences for studies aimed at assessing relationships among *Culex* species because of high intraspecific variation. In addition, the results of analyses suggested that the name Cx. aliciae includes at least two morphologically similar species, and that the Conspirator Group that includes Cx. dyius and Cx. aliciae among other species is not monophyletic, with Cx. ybarmis of the Intrincatus Subgroup of the Intrincatus Group within the branch composed of *Cx. aliciae*.

The Spissipes and Melanoconion Sections can be recognized by the morphological features of the male genitalia using the available illustrations in [1], female fourth-instar larvae and pupae based on the identification keys of Sirivanakarn [15]. Morphological traits used in Sirivanakarn's identification keys may represent synapomorphies for each section; however, they need to be tested in further analyses of the whole group, including other *Culex* subgenera. According to Sallum & Forattini [2] and Sirivanakarn [15], species of the Spissipes Section can be distinguished from those of the Melanoconion Section based on the shape and distribution of decumbent, narrow and broad scales on the vertex and occiput of both males and females, and the shape of the aedeagus and its connections to the lateral plates of the male genitalia (narrow and curved in the Melanoconion Section and broad and curved in the Spissipes Section, in lateral view). Based on fourth-instar larvae, both sections can be distinguished by the shape

of the siphon, siphonal index and distribution of the siphonal setae, whereas seta 8-VIII arises from the angle of segment VIII in the Spissipes Section, but it is displaced anteroventrally in species of the Melanoconion Section. The results of the current analyses provide support for the monophyly of both the Spissipes and Melanoconion Sections. Moreover, our results are consistent with most of the morphological classification of the Spissipes Section; while the relationships within the Melanoconion Section are somewhat incongruent with morphology-based groups. The incongruence might be caused by the small percentage of taxa of the Melanoconion Section included in the study.

Currently the Spissipes Section includes 23 species separated into eight groups and three subgroups [2]. Results of the analyses of the concatenated three-gene data matrix did not support the monophyly of the Vomerifer and Crybda Groups. However, it is plausible to hypothesize that the specimens identified as Cx. sp. nr. gnomatos and Cx. sp. nr. portesi using morphological similarity of the females might belong to as-yet undescribed species that belong to those two groups. There are undescribed Melanoconion species that have been mentioned by Linton et al. [56] and Hutchings et al. [57] in areas of the Amazon river basin. Some of them are morphologically similar to species of the Spissipes Section. Considering that the classification into groups and subgroups depends primarily on features of the male genitalia, the identification of Cx. sp. nr. portesi and Cx. sp. nr. gnomatos was preliminary as it was based on the external morphology of field-collected females. The informal internal classification of the Melanoconion Section is challenging. This section includes 137 species, and the last revision based on morphology is that by Sirivanakarn in 1983 [15]. Even though the relationships within the Melanoconion Section need further investigation, our results clearly support the monophyly of the section. For the Melanoconion Section, we included representatives of 10 out of 13 groups: Atratus Group, Bastagarius Group, Distinguendus Group, Conspirator Group, Educator Group, Erraticus Group, Evansae Group, Inhibitator Group, Intrincatus Group and Pilosus Group (table 1). The Peccator, Saramaccensis and Trifidus Groups were not represented in the study. The results of the analyses found support for monophyly of the Atratus and Pilosus groups. All other groups included in the study were found to be polyphyletic or paraphyletic. The ML and Bayesian statistical support for the monophyly of the Pilosus and Atratus groups is strong and consistent independent of the method of analysis that was used and the dataset employed for the analyses. The results of this study open a discussion regarding the internal classification of the Melanoconion Section, because they do not give conclusive answers as the taxon representation of section was limited.

Moreover, the results of the analyses demonstrate that the current hypothesis of classification of the Spissipes and Melanoconion Sections may require rearrangement to reflect the monophyletic groups within each section. The ML and Bayesian topologies based on the three concatenated genes recovered morphology-based groups and thus any future change should consider these findings. According to the current classification, the Intrincatus Group consists of 25 species arranged into six subgroups. In the current study, four species were included (five species in the *CAD* only topology), which formed two separate groups. The support for (*Cx. eastor, Cx. idottus* and *Cx. intrincatus*) is high (aLRT = 69.28 and BPP = 1), indicating a smaller group of closely related species. Other species of the Intrincatus Group (*Cx. misionensis* and *Cx. equinoxialis*—the latter in the *CAD* only topology) did not nest together to support the monophyly of the group. It is important to conduct further studies with better taxon sampling and other high-resolution molecular sequence data to resolve the relationships of species of the Spissipes and Melanoconion Sections.

The monophyly of several morphology-based groups was not supported by our results, such as the Vomerifer, Crybda, Conspirator, Erraticus, Educator, Inhibitator and Bastagarius groups. All these groups need further investigation with a greater representation. As has been documented for other insect groups [34,58], combining morphological and molecular data may solve problematic relationships similar to those we found for the Melanoconion Section. Despite the existence of an illustrated catalogue of the species of the subgenus Melanoconion [1], and keys to the informal categories included in this Section [15], reaching an accurate identification of the species remains a challenge for entomologists who are not familiar with the variety of form and structures that are used to identify species of the subgenus. In 1950, Rozeboom & Komp [59] published the first revision on the subgenus and provided an identification key that greatly improved the knowledge about the subgenus and helped entomologists to recognize new species. More recently, Pecor et al. [1] published a catalogue with hundreds of illustrations of male genitalia and taxonomic information for each Melanoconion species known at the time. It is noteworthy that, in the catalogue, the authors provided illustrations of the senior and junior synonyms for each species; however, these were from distinct geographical localities. Unfortunately, the lack of a taxonomical revision of the Melanoconion Section that includes detailed descriptions and identification keys for all life stages leaves a huge gap that should be overcome in future investigations.

The ML and Bayesian analyses of the multi-loci dataset were highly informative and consistent in showing the monophyly for both sections of the subgenus and recovering most of the groupings currently known for the Spissipes Section. Moreover, our findings coupled with additional studies should lead to an improved classification of the Melanoconion Section, as we recovered some morphology-based groups and determined which groups need further study. We presented here the most inclusive molecular assessment of relationships within the subgenus *Melanoconion*, with evidence to support both sections as natural groups

5. Conclusion

Our study found support for the two major sections of the subgenus *Melanoconion*, the Spissipes and the Melanoconion sections. The Vomerifer and the Crybda groups of the Spissipes Section may not be monophyletic groups. Consequently, further investigation is required because the specimens of *Cx*. sp. nr. *gnomatos* and *Cx*. sp. nr. *portesi* were field-collected females that may have been misidentified due to constraints in identifying adult females in this group. It will be of fundamental importance to have male and female specimens linked to larvae and pupae for an accurate identification and to expand the currently available keys for this group. Based on the current classification of the subgenus, a few groups and subgroups were recovered as natural groups. This finding highlights the need for further investigations using other genes, in addition to morphological data and more representative taxon sampling of all groups and subgroups currently included in the subgenus. Our data showed that the *CAD* gene may be used as an additional marker for assessing relationships within *Culex (Melanoconion)*, and possibly other *Culex* subgenera.

Ethics. Mosquitoes used for the study were collected by Eduardo Sterlino Bergo and Maria Anice Mureb Sallum, with permission from the Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis, Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brazil (IBAMA number 12583-1). No ethical assessment was required for the study. None of the specimens included in the study belongs to endangered species.

Data accessibility. This manuscript comprises three supplementary data files containing the complete information of all specimens included in the study: geographical origin, corresponding codes in the entomological collection of the Faculdade de Saúde Pública de São Paulo, Brazil and all GenBank accessions (*COI*, KX779776–KX779895 and KX826817–KX826818; *CAD*, MG703269–MG703382; *HB*, MG703383–MG703481 and MG787083–MG787084). Sequences for *Culex quinquefasciatus* were downloaded from GenBank, *COI*, HQ398883, *CAD*, XM_001848657; and the sequence for *HB* was obtained in this study and is available in GenBank with accession number MH023218. Additional figures obtained during the phylogenetic analyses (electronic supplementary material, figure S1; Figure S2) have been included as supplementary data to present the phyML topologies for *CAD* and *CAD*+*HB*, as additional support for our hypotheses.

Authors' contribution. C.T.G. and M.A.M.S. conceived the study; M.A.M.S. and E.S.B. conducted field collections; C.T.G. and T.M.P.O. carried out the experiments; C.T.G. conducted the phylogenetic analyses; C.T.G., M.A.M.S. and K.J.E. wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed and accepted the final manuscript.

Competing interests. We declare we do not have any competing interests.

Funding. Financial support from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP), grant no. 2014/26229-7, was provided to M.A.M.S. and E.S.B. and FAPESP grant no. 2012/18089-5 was provided to C.T.G. and M.A.M.S. CNPq grant no. 301877/2016-5 was provided to M.A.M.S. Additional financial support from St. Mary's College of Maryland was provided to K.J.E. and C.T.G.

Acknowledgements. The authors are indebted to Dr Peter Gordon Foster of the National History Museum of London, UK, for providing advice for the Bayesian analysis. They are also indebted to two anonymous reviewers who kindly provided comments and suggestions that improved the manuscript.

References

- Pecor JE, Mallampali VL, Harbach RE, Peyton EL. 1992 Catalog and illustrated review of the subgenus Melanoconion of Culex (Diptera: Culicidae). Contrib. Am. Entomol. Inst. 27, 1–228.
- Sallum MAM, Forattini OP. 1996 Revision of the Spissipes section of Culex (Melanoconion) (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 12, 517–600.
- Forattini OP, Gomes AC, Santos JLF, Kakitani I, Marucci D. 1990 Freqüência ao ambiente humano e dispersão de mosquitos Culicidae em áreas adjacente a mata atlântica primitiva da planície.

Rev. Saúde Pública **24**, 101–107. (doi:10.1590/S0034-89101990000200004)

- Natal D, Urbinatti PR, Marucci D. 1998 Arbovirus vector ecology in the Brazilian coastal range system. In *An overview of arbovirology in Brazil and neighbouring countries* (eds APA Travassos da Rosa, PFC Vasconcelos, JFS Travassos da Rosa).
 pp. 186–192. Belém, Brazil: Instituto Evandro Chagas.
- 5. Ferro MC, Boshell J, Moncayo AB, González M, Ahumada ML, Kang W, Weaver SC. 2003 Natural

enzootic vectors of Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus, Magdalena Valley, Colombia. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **9**, 49–54. (doi:10.3201/eid0901.020136)

- Ferro MC, Olano VA, Ahumada M, Weaver S. 2008 Mosquitos (*Diptera: Culicidae*) en el caserio de Chingalé, Santander, donde se registró un caso humano de Encefalitis Equina Venezolana. *Biomedica* 28, 234–244.
- Cupp EW, Klingler K, Hassan HK, Vigeurs LM, Unnasch TR. 2003 Transmission of Eastern Equine

Encephalomyelitis in central Alabama. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **68**, 495–500.

- Cupp EW, Zhang D, Yue X, Cupp MS, Guyer C, Sprenger TR, Unnasch TR. 2004 Identification of reptilian and amphibian blood meals from mosquitoes in an Eastern Equine Encephalomyelitis virus focus in Central Alabama. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **71**, 272–276.
- Cupp EW, Hssan HK, Yue X, Oldland WK, Lilley BM, Unnasch TR. 2007 West Nile Virus infection in mosquitoes in the mid-South USA, 2002–2005. *J. Med. Entomol.* 44, 117–125. (doi:10.1093/ jmedent/41.5.117)
- Turell MJ, Dohm DJ, Sardelis MR, ÒGuinn ML, Andreadis TG, Blow JA. 2005 An update on the potential of North American Mosquitoes (*Diptera: Culicidae*) to transmit West Nile Virus. J. Med. Entomol. 42, 57–62. (doi:10.1093/jmedent/ 42.1.57)
- Turell MJ, Dohm DJ, Fernandez R, Calampa C, O'Guinn ML. 2006 Vector competence of Peruvian mosquitoes (*Diptera: Culicidae*) for a subtype IIIC virus in the Venezuelan Equine Encephalomyelitis complex isolated from mosquitoes captured in Peru. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 22, 70–75. (doi:10.2987/ 8756-971X(2006)22[70:VCOPMD]2.0.C0;2)
- Turrell MJ, ÓGuinn ML, Dohm D, Zyzak M, Watts D, Fernandez R, Calampa C, Klein TA, Jones JW. 2008 Susceptibility of Peruvian mosquitoes to Eastern Equine Encephalitis Virus. J. Med. Entomol. 45, 720–725. (doi:10.1093/jmedent/45.4.720)
- Yanoviak SP, Aguilar PV, Lounibos LP, Weaver SC. 2005 Transmission of a Venezuelan equine encephalitis complex alphavirus by *Culex* (*Melanoconion*) gnomatos (*Diptera: Culicidae*) in Northeastern Peru. J. Med. Entomol. 42, 404–408.
- Harbach RE. 2011 Classification within the cosmopolitan genus *Culex* (*Diptera: Culicidae*): the foundation for molecular systematics and phylogenetic research. *Acta Trop.* **120**, 1–14. (doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2011.06.005)
- Sirivanakarn S. 1983 A review of the systematics and a proposed scheme of internal classification of the new world subgenus *Melanoconion* of *Culex* (*Diptera, Culicidae*). *Mosq. Syst.* 14, 265–333.
- Torres-Gutierrez C, Sallum MAM. 2015 Catalog of the subgenus *Melanoconion* of *Culex* (*Diptera: Culicidae*) for South America. *Zootaxa* **4028**, 1–50. (doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4028.1.1)
- Miller BR, Crabtree MB, Savage HM. 1996 Phylogeny of fourteen *Culex* mosquito species, including the *Culex pipiens* complex, inferred from the internal transcribed spacers of ribosomal DNA. *Insect. Mol. Biol.* 5, 93–107. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2583.1996. tb00044.x)
- Navarro JC, Liria J. 2000 Phylogenetic relationships among eighteen Neotropical Culicini Species. J. Am. Mosg. Control Assoc. 16, 75–85.
- Vesgueiro FT, Demari-Silva B, Malafronte RdS, Sallum MAM, Marrelli MT. 2011 Intragenomic variation in the second internal transcribed spacer of the ribosomal DNA of species of the genera *Culex* and *Lutzia (Diptera: Culicidae). Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz*, Rio de Janeiro. **108**, 1–8. (doi:10.1590/ S0074-02762011000100001)
- Demari-Silva B, Vesgueiro FT, Sallum MA, Marrelli MT. 2011 Taxonomic and phylogenetic relationships between species of the genus *Culex (Diptera: Culicidae)* from Brazil inferred from the cytochrome

C oxidase I mitochondrial gene. *J. Med. Entomol.* **48**, 272–279. (doi:10.1603/ME09293)

- Navarro JC, Weaver SC. 2004 Molecular phylogeny of the Vomerifer and Pedroi groups in the Spissipes Section of the Subgenus *Culex (Melanoconion). J. Med. Entomol.* 41, 575–581. (doi:10.1603/0022-2585-41.4.575)
- Harbach RE, Kitching IJ, Culverwell CL, Dubois J, Linton Y-M. 2012 Phylogeny of mosquitoes of tribe Culicini (*Diptera: Culicidae*) based on morphological diversity. *Zool. Scr.* 41, 499–514. (doi:10.1111/j.1463-6409.2012.00546.x)
- Brower AVZ, DeSalle R. 1994 Practical and theoretical considerations for choice of a DNA sequence region in insect molecular systematics, with a short review of published studies using nuclear gene regions. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 87, 702–716. (doi:10.1093/aesa/87.6.702)
- Friedlander TP, Regier JC, Mitter C. 1992 Nuclear gene sequences for higher level phylogenetic analysis: 14 promising candidates. *Syst. Biol.* 41, 483–490. (doi:10.1093/sysbio/41.4.483)
- Regier JC *et al.* 2008 Resolving arthropod phylogeny: exploring phylogenetic signal within 41 kb of protein-coding nuclear gene sequence. *Syst. Biol.* 57, 1–19. (doi:10.1080/106351507018 56671)
- Wild AL, Maddison DR. 2008 Evaluating nuclear protein-coding genes for phylogenetic utility in beetles. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 48, 877–891. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2008.05.023)
- Moulton JK, Wiegmann BM. 2004 Evolution and phylogenetic utility of CAD (rudimentary) among Mesozoic-aged Eremoneuran Diptera (*Insecta*). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **31**, 363–378. (doi:10.1016/S1055-7903(03)00284-7)
- Danforth BN, Fang J, Sipes S. 2006 Analysis of family-level relationships in bees (*Hymenoptera*: Apiformes) using 28S and two previously unexplored nuclear genes: CAD and RNA polymerase II. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **39**, 358–372. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2005.09.022)
- Bourke BP, Foster PG, Bergo ES, Calado DC, Sallum MAM. 2010 Phylogenetic relationships among species of Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus) (Diptera, Culicidae) based on nuclear and mitochondrial gene sequences. Acta Trop. 114, 88–96. (doi:10.1016/ j.actatropica.2010.01.009)
- Bourke BP, Oliveira TP, Suesdek L, Bergo ES, Sallum MAM. 2013 A multi-locus approach to barcoding in the Anopheles strodei subgroup (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasit. Vectors 6, 111. (doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-111)
- Krzywinski J, Wilkerson RC, Besansky NJ. 2001 Toward understanding Anophelinae (*Diptera*, *Culicidae*) phylogeny: insights from nuclear single-copy genes and the weight of evidence. *Syst. Biol.* 50, 540–556. (doi:10.1080/10635150119931)
- Foster PG, Bergo ES, Bourke BP, Oliveira TMP, Nagaki SS, Sant'Ana DC, Sallum MAM. 2013 Phylogenetic analysis and DNA-based species confirmation in *Anopheles (Nyssorhynchus). PLoS ONE* 8, e54063. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054063)
- Besansky NJ, Fahey T. 1997 Utility of the *white* gene in estimating phylogenetic relationships among mosquitoes (*Diptera*, *Culicidae*). *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 14, 442–454. (doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev. a025780)
- Reidenbach KR, Cook S, Bertone MA, Harbach RE, Wiegmann BM, Besansky NJ. 2009 Phylogenetic

analysis and temporal diversification of mosquitoes (*Diptera: Culicidae*) based on nuclear genes and morphology. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **9**, 928. (doi:10.1186/ 1471-2148-9-298)

- Hebert PDN, Cywinska A, Ball SL, deWaard JR. 2003 Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 270, 313–321. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2002.2218)
- Herbert PDN, Ratnasingham S, deWaard JR. 2003 Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit I divergences among closely related species. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **270** (Suppl 1), S96–S99. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2003.0025)
- Mitchell A, Sperling FAH, Hickey DA. 2002 Higher-level phylogeny of mosquitoes (*Diptera: Culicidae*): mtDNA data support a derived placement for *Toxorhynchites*. *Insect Syst. Evol.* 33, 163–174. (doi:10.1163/187631202X00118)
- Lehr MA, Kilpatrick CW, Wilkerson RC, Conn JE. 2005 Amplified polymorphic DNA-polymerase chain reaction identification and analysis of mitochondrial DNA *COI* gene sequences. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 98, 908–917. (doi:10.1603/0013-8746(2005)098[0908:CSITAN]2.0.C0;2)
- Laurito M, Oliveira TMP, Almirón WR, Sallum MAM. 2013 *COI* barcode versus morphological identification of *Culex* (*Culex*) (*Diptera: Culicidae*) species: a case study using sample from Argentina and Brazil. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* **108**(Suppl.I), 110–122. (doi:10.1590/0074-0276130457)
- Torres-Gutierrez C, Bergo ES, Emerson KJ, de Oliveira TMP, Greni S, Sallum MAM. 2016 Mitochondria COI gene as a tool in the taxonomy of mosquitoes *Culex* subgenus *Melanoconion. Acta Trop.* **164**, 137–149. (doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.09.007)
- Pinnell J, Lindeman PS, Colavito S, Lowe C, Savage RM. 2006 The divergent roles of the segmentation gene hunchback. Integr. Comp. Biol. 46, 519–532. (doi:10.1093/icb/icj054)
- Hancock JM, Shaw PJ, Bonneton F, Dover GA. 1999 High sequence turnover in the regulatory regions of the developmental gene *hunchback* in insects. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **16**, 253–265. (doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals. molbev.a026107)
- Marques-Souza H, Aranda M, Tautz D. 2008 Delimiting the conserved features of *hunchback* function for the trunk organization of insects. *Development* 135, 881–888. (doi:10.1242/dev. 018317)
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994 DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit l from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol.* 3, 294–299.
- Cameron SL, Lambkin CL, Barker SC, Whiting MF. 2007 A mitochondrial genome phylogeny of Diptera: whole genome sequence data accurately resolve relationships over broad timescales with high precision. *Syst. Entomol.* **32**, 40–59. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-3113.2006.00355.x)
- Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. 1988 A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acid Res.* 16, 1215. (doi:10.1093/nar/16.3.1215)
- Maddison DR, Maddison WP. 2017 Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. Version 3.2. See http://mesquiteproject.org.
- 48. Arensburger P *et al.* 2010 Sequencing of *Culex quinquefasciatus* establishes a platform for

mosquito comparative genomics. *Science* **330**, 86–88. (doi:10.1126/science.1191864)

- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012 JmodelTest 2.1.4: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nat. Methods.* 9, 772. (doi:10.1038/nmeth.2109)
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. 2013 MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 2725–2729. (doi:10.1093/molbev/mst197)
- Strimmer K, von Haeseler AV. 1997 Likelihood-mapping: a simple method to visualize phylogenetic content of a sequence alignment. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 6815–6819. (doi:10.1073/pnas.94.13.6815)
- Guindon S, Gascuel O. 2003 A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Syst. Biol.* 52, 696–704. (doi:10.1080/10635150390235520)

- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003 MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19, 1572–1574. (doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180)
- Mendenhall IH, Bahl J, Blum MJ, Wesson DM. 2012 Genetic structure of *Culex erraticus* populations across the Americas. *J. Med. Entomol.* 49, 522–534. (doi:10.1603/ME11197)
- William MR, Savage HM. 2011 Development of multiplexed species specific polymerase chain reaction assays for identification of the *Culex* (*Melanoconion*) species (*Diptera: Culicidae*) of the Southeastern United States based on rDNA. J. Med. Entomol. 48, 961–966. (doi:10.1603/ ME11081)
- Linton YM, Pecor JE, Porter CH, Mitchell LB, Garzón A, Foley DH, Wilkerson RC. 2013 Mosquitoes of eastern Amazonian Ecuador: biodiversity, bionomics and barcodes. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz*

108(Suppl.1), 100–109. (doi:10.1590/0074-0276130440)

- Hutchings RS, Hutchings RW, Menezes IS, Motta MA, Sallum MA. 2016 Mosquitoes (*Diptera: Culicidae*) from the Northwestern Brazilian Amazon: Padauari River. J. Med. Entomol. 53, 1330–1347. (doi:10.1093/jme/tjw101)
- Ceccarelli FS, Sharkey MJ, Zaldívar-Riverón A. 2012 Species identification in the taxonomically neglected, highly diverse, neotropical parasitoid wasp genus Notiospathius (Braconidae: Doryctinae) based on an integrative molecular and morphological approach. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 62, 485–495. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2011.10.018)
- Rozeboom LE, Komp WHW. 1950 A review of the species of *Culex* of the subgenus *Melanoconion* (*Diptera, Culicidae*). Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 43, 75–114. (doi:10.1093/aesa/43.1.75)