(236)

,

*

Doc. 2059

Congress of the United States

Report of Proceedings

Hearing held before

Joint Committee

on the
Investigation of the Pearl Harbor Attack
S. Con. Res. 27

February 11, 1946

Washington, D. C.

Pages: 11,108 to 11,340.

WARD & PAUL

NATIONAL 4267 4268 OFFICIAL REPORTERS

1760 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N. W.

WASHINGTON 6, D. C.

PEARL HARBO APPORT
Vol. 59 (4)

TESTIMONY OF:	PAGE
KRAMER, Captain Alwin Dalton (resumed)	11,109
INGERSOLL, Admiral R. E.	11,274

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

S. Con. R es. 27

Monday, February 11, 1946.

Congress of the United States,

Joint Committee on the Investigation

of Pearl Harbor Attack,

Washington, D. C.

The Joint Committee met, pursuant to adjournment, at 10:00 A.M., in the Caucus Room (room 318), Senate Office Building, Senator Alben W. Barkley (chairman), presiding.

Present: Senators Barkley (chairman), George, Lucas, Ferguson and Brewster.

Representatives Cooper (vice chairman), Clark, Murphy, Gearhart and Keefe.

Also present: Seth W. Richardson, General Counsel; Samuel H. Kaufman, Associate General Counsel, and John E. Masten, of counsel, for the Joint Committee.

3

4

. 5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Vice Chairman: The committee will please be in order. Does counsel have anything at this time to present? Mr. Rachardson: No.

The Vice Chairman: Captain, do you have anything you desire to present before the examination is resumed?

Captain Kramer: No, sir, in the absence of Senator Brewster, unless he wants any further statement.

Senator Ferguson: I will ask you some questions about that. Captain Kru mer: All right, sir.

The Vice Chairman: Senator Fergusen of Michigan will inquire at this point, Captain.

Captain Kramer: All right, sir.

TESTIMONY OF CAPTAIN ALWIN D. KRAMER

(resumed)

Senator Ferguson: As I understand 1t, Senator Brewster is not going to be here this morning and that is why I will give you an opportunity to explain what he left rather up in the air on Saturday.

Cantain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: As I recall, you told Senator Brewster that you had not read all or parts of the so-called Halsey --I will refer to it as that -- memorandum or the Safford letter and Halsey memorandum.

Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

в

Senator Ferguson: And he indicated that it was somewhat hard for him to understand that a man would have that in his possession so long and show it to sever al people, three people, and not read any part of it. If you will recall, I asked you some questions about a conversation with Admiral Wilkinson the last time that I examined you and I asked you for the complete conversation that you had with him and as I understand it you never mentioned that you saw him and showed him this memorandum.

Now, how do you account for the fact that you did not give to me at that time an indication that you had discussed or shown him this memorandum?

captain Kramer: Senator, I did not discuss that memorandum with Admiral Wilkinson other than the aspects, -I think
I have clearly indicated heretofore in my testimony, - concerning the p ossible source of unwarranted publicity concerning me; in other words, primarily concerning Safford's two
letters, not my memorandum for Admiral Halsey.

Senator Ferguson: But you showed him the Halsey memorandum?

Captain Kramer: I did, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, why didn't you disclose that when I tried to get the full information on your conversation with Wilkinson, why didn't you tell me that you had

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

Witness Kramer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

shown him a memorandum? Was it that, as you say, you were not going to disclose that unless you were asked a direct question in relation to it?

Captain Kramer: That is precisely correct, sir, and in view of your line of questioning, Senator, I feel that I should read this statement I have prepared over the week end in compliance with the request of Senator Brewster that I ponder that question,

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, do I understand then that what you do in your testimony on any of these hearings is that you give only those things that are directly asked for and if the examiner does not happen to know that there is in existence a particular paper that it is not disclosed? I am trying to find out why I did not get the information when I tried to get from you everything that was said between you and Wilkinson.

Captain Kramer: I think that point is fully covered in my statement, sir, if I may be permitted to read it.

Senator Ferguson: Well, in just a moment you can. Did you discuss anything with Wilkinson in the last six months? On how many occasions did you see Wilkinson to discuss things?

Captain Kramer: On two occasions, sir, other than a simple greeting in this hearing room when he was testifying.

Senator Ferguson: On two occasions?

MOTORINEA

2

3

4

3

ð.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: You gave me the other day the one occasion and then you gave Senator Browster on Saturday the one?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir,

Senator Ferguson: That made the two?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, why did you keep from the committee when I examined you about all your conversations with Wilkinson the fact that you had had one about this Halsey memorandum?

Captain Kramer: The first occasion with Admiral Wilkinson did not bring up this question at all, sir. It was a discussion on our experiences in ONI in general in which Admiral Kirk participated for at least half of the time. The other half we discussed primarily, - in fact, almost solely, the introductory remarks with which I presented those papers to Admiral Wilkinson, namely, what I considered the likely scurce of publicity attending my name in connection with this Pearl Harbor affair.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, Captain, you gave to this committee two of those papers and only two of those, isn't that true?

Captain Kramer: Three, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Three. But you did not give them the

23

24

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

ı

~

в

BRA

memorandum, the Halsey memorandum?

Captain Kramer: That is precisely correct, sir.

Senator Fergusen: Now, how do you account for dividing them up and giving the two of them --

Captain Kramer: Three.

Senator Ferguson: Three of them, - pardon me, three letters, two letters from Safford to you and one reply, - but there was also a reply to one of Safford's which did exactly or almost exactly what Safford wanted you to do, to discuss it with Halsey?

Captain Kramer: Senator, how those --

Mr. Richardson: Just answer his question, Captain.

Captain Kramer: (Continuing) -- letters first came up
was in reply to a direct question to me on the part of Senator Lucas whether I had in my possession letters addressed to
me by Captain Safford. I stood up in this room, in the back
of this room and I said I did. At that time, Senator, I not
only produced the two letters but my reply to Captain Safford's letter, which was not asked for precisely by Senator
Lucas' question.

Senator Ferguson: All right. Now, when you gave these three letters, two of the Safford's and your reply, to Commander Baecher did you at the same time give him the Halsey memorandum?

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

1

2

.

3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Captain Kramer: I did, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And then when he delivered the three letters to the committee did you have to authorize that? Was that an understanding?

Captain Kramer: I did not, sir. He had it in hand in case that question of necessity came into my testimony.

Senator Ferguson: In other words, he was holding in his possession four instruments, is that correct?

Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And if it became necessary for him to deliver he would deliver only those that he thought were necessary at the time and hold back from the committee the others?

Captain Krumer: I should like to point out, sir, that he also mentioned the existence of that fourth letter to counsel for this committee, Mr. Richardson.

Senator Ferguson: When was that?

Captain Kramer: I am not certain, sir. Baecher, I think, can supply that information.

Senator Ferguson: Well, did you have a conversation about that?

Captain Krame#: I did not, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, how do you know that?

Captain Kramer: I think I heard Mr. Richardson say that

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

in this committee while I was testifying last Friday or Sa-

Senator Ferguson: You understood that from --

Captain Kramer: From Mr. Richardson's statement.

Senator Ferguson: From what was said here?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Then as I understand it you personally did not want to disclose to this committee any of these four instruments unless a direct question was asked and the committee somehow out of the magic would uncover the fact, or out of the blue, let us say, would uncover the fact that there was this Halsey memorandum, is that correct?

Captain Kramer: That is precisely correct, sir, and I think I gave at some length on Saturday my reasons for that position.

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Captain Kramer: I also cover that in this statement.

Senator Ferguson: So you were of the opinion at that time that this committee should only get those things that it could find out from some other source and not from you? How could the committee ascertain if --

Captain Kramer: They found out from me --

Senator Fer guson: How could the committee members ever ask you about this Halsey letter? You and Baecher and Wil-

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

TO YOUR DE

18

15

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

kinson and a few others were the only people that ever knew about it.

Captain Kramer: The man who found out for this committee from me was Mr. Keefe, who asked me the direct question whether I had ever discussed these letters with anyone up to this moment. Your questions to me, sir, were not so phrased.

Senator Ferguson: You think that they are not direct enough when I ask you about the Wilkinson question?

Captain Kramer: I do, Senator.

Senator Ferguson: And, therefore, you did not give it? Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, will you explain to me how it came by chance that you would have this Halsey letter in your possession down in the Navy Department the day that you met Wilkinson by chance?

Captain Kramer: I brought it with me from Miami in this case in which it has remained, incidentally, sealed, from the time I left the South Pacific on about 10 September 1944 until my arrival in this city on 6 December 1945 from Miami.

Senator Ferguson: So it was sealed all this time? Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And how was it sealed?

Captain Kramer: It was sealed in a package referred to in the authorization to me from Commander South Pacific area

UA# 8 GEAM

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

в

and South Pacific Force dated 10 --

Mr. Richardson: Tell him how it was sealed. That is all he wants to know.

Senator Ferguson: Yes, thatis all I want to know.

Mr. Richardson: What kind of a lock it had on it.

Captain Kramer: It was sealed in a package No. 47,102 by the flag secretary to Commander South Pacific under date of 10 September and described on this authorization for me to carry classified papers from this (brown wrapping paper) originated by Com. South Pacific addressed to Commander A. D. Kramer by CINC South Pacific, signed by I. M. Mayfield, Chief of Staff.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, did you tell him when you got this memorandum that you had in there a memorandum that you had shown Admiral Halsey?

Captain Kramer: I did not, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, how did he know what he was sealing? Did he seal among the official papers your personal
memorandum to Halsey?

Captain Kramer: Among those, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: So, then, this personal paper was sealed among or along with the official documents?

Captain Kramer: With official documents that were pure-

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

mail. It was for use in connection with my work.

I repeat again, Senator, that I should like at this point to read my memorandum which covers those points you have explicitly and fully.

Shef-- 6 Shack fls 7

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: You go ahead and read it and then I will ask you some questions later on, because I apparently am not going to get direct answers from you, so you go ahead and read it.

The Vice Chairman: Read it, Captain.

Captain Kramer: Mr. Chairman, I prepared a statement, in compliance with Senator Brewster's request on Saturday afternoon, which was put to me in the following terms, according to yesterday's Washington Star:

"That would put a strain on anyone's credulity. I wish you would ponder over this question further over the week-end. I am sure you will appreciate that it is difficult to believe your answer."

I believe I understand correctly that the Senator's credulity applied only to my assertions that I have not read, since mid-May 1944, certain papers, principally and specifically a memorandum answering, in expanded form, the question set forth in Captain Safford's two letters that I prepared for Admiral Halsey's perusal, but it probably also included Captain Safford's two letters and my reply to his first.

I have pondered this request, with the following result, which has become, I am afraid, rather lengthy in text. I have attempted to keep it short, but a question of credulity did not warrant, I felt, leaving out of this summary any

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

matter I have included.

I have thought that it would not be necessary to bring these papers into the record of this hearing at all. I had no intention of doing so, unless directly asked for them. Such a direct request was addressed to me in this room by Senator Lucas last Monday when Captain Safford was on the witness stand. At that time I considered that furnishing the Captain Safford's two letters and my reply to his first letter was complying fully with Senator Lucas' request.

My memorandum answering, in expanded form, the questions set forth in Captain Safford's two letters would not have been introduced if Mr. Keefe's or other inquiries on behalf of this committee had not been phrased in a form requiring its introduction. Mr. Keefe's question asking, in substance, whether I had ever discussed Captain Safford's letters with anyone required, I felt, the mention at that time of my discussion with Admiral Halsey, the only person who has seen these papers, except Captain Safford and myself, prior to the opening of this hearing.

In the interest of the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, when Mr. Keefe asked his next question as to why such discussion was held, I considered it incumbent on me to produce Admiral Kimmel's letter and my memorandum to explain the discussion.

3

4

5

8

7

8

8

10

12

13

MOTE

> 21 22

20

24

23

Ĭ

в

Witness Kramer

I am of the complete conviction that no question propounded by Senator Ferguson to me required such mention of Admiral Halsey or Admiral Kimmel, or this memorandum.

The reasons for my position on this matter, as outlined above, are that I felt the only end that could possibly be served by their introduction was a picture of the events preceding Pearl Harbor as I saw them at the time the memorandum was drafted. That is a year and a half to two years ago.

Since both persons involved, namely Captain Safford and myself, were to be witnesses before this hearing, I felt that first-hand, direct testimony would be developed by counsel and the committee and be preferred to any letters or memoranda which may have been written at a time when, at least in my case, initial attempts were made to recall those events without benefit of any files or pertinent documents to refresh the memory.

To cover the question of credulity to be given my testimony concerning these papers, I therefore felt it necessary to explain the history of their custody by me in full.

When I received Captain Safford's first letter at the end of 1943 I considered it a straight-forward and proper request for information on matters of which both he and I were cognizant. It will be noted that his letter of 22

(2)

3

3

4

5

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Witness Kramer

December 1943 is on the official letterhead of the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, Navy Department, and uses the official classif cation stamp "Confidential".

The letter states that he is preparing a secret paper covering events on early December 1941 with the aid of officers and records in the Navy Department.

Three questions arose in my mind at that time. One concerning the classification he had used, namely, "Confidential". I thought it should have been "Secret".

Second, concerning his cautions regarding "unauthorized hands seeing it"; and, third, concerning why such a request for information had not come through official channels and the safeguards thus afforded.

Since the letter had arrived safely, the first question was no longer pertinent. Concerning the second and third, I felt he used this means to keep from disclosing to unauthorized officers and enlisted men in the office where I was stationed at Pearl Harbor matters which had always been and still were restricted to only those people working with it and certain senior officers.

Furthermore, the practice of discussing and reporting official matters in personal correspondence, although abolished in the Division of Naval Intelligence in 1940, continued. I knew. in other Divisions and Bureaus of the

7

20

22

21

23

24

hl rew

. 1

2

3

4

5

0

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Witness Kramer

Navy Department. I, therefore, prepared a reply, couching it in such language, by means of reference to the original letter, that no compromise to unauthorized persons could, I believed, possibly result from the letter going astray, or from examination by censors at Pearl Harbor, or elsewhere.

The letter thus censored by myself, was unclassified and was submitted to the officer in my section who was censoring for my section. It was forwarded under his censorship seal after cursory examination, and assurance from me that it contained nothing likely to compromise security. I was fully prepared to answer and assume responsibility for any future questions that might be raised by other censors or the Navy Department regarding this letter.

Captain Safford's first letter and my reply were carried by me to the South Pacific with other classified papers used by myself in my work under an official courier letter of the officer in charge, Joint Intelligence Center, Pacific Ocean areas, dated 10 January, 1944, the original of which I have before me for presentation, if so desired.

Captain Safford's second letter arrived shortly after I reached the South Pacific. I never answered him for reasons I have set forth at some length in several parts of my previous testimony.

I did not read more than the first few paragraphs

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

3

4

5

в

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Witness Kramer

of this second letter until mid-May 1944, on the occasion of the arrival of Admiral Kimmel's letter to Admiral Halsey and my first conference with Admiral Halsey resulted in my preparation of the expanded memorandum reply. also I have covered at some length in previous testimony.

In the second interview with Admiral Halsey, in which he read all these papers, there was not only no question raised by Admiral Halsey as to the propriety of my retaining Captain Safford's letters and as to the propriety of my reply to Captain Safford's first letter, but he expressed satisfaction with my promise to prepare an affidavit or deposition covering essentially the subject matter of the above-mentioned papers, and then informing Admiral Kimmel, either directly or through Admiral Halsey, regarding where such affidavit could be found in the event of my death.

The only instance when a security point arose during our conversation was when I expressed myself on and Admiral Halsey expressed agreement with the view that Captain Safford was rash in having sent a letter of the character of his second.

I, therefore, felt fully justified in the steps I had followed to date in this matter.

As I have earlier stated in testifying before this com-

NOTOMINEAN

22

23

25

h3

t)

Witness Kramer

committee, the safe handling and eventual disposition of these papers gave me some concern during the summer of 1944, after Admiral Halsey left the South Pacific, shout 15 June, 1944.

I never prepared the deposition or the affidavit for Admiral Kimmel that I had promised Admiral Halsey I would. I did, however, continue to hold these papers, more particularly the expanded memorandum reply to all Captain Safford's questions as in the nature of the affidavit requested.

As long as I was in the South Pacific force and area headquarters, which was distant from the combat zone, I felt that the papers were safe in my custody at headquarters.

I left the South Pacific on 10 September, 1944, carrying these papers, and other classified papers used in my work in two packages, sealed by the Flag Secretary to Com So Pac, and carried under the courier designation dated 10 September 1944, signed by the Chief of Staff, Captain (now Admiral) Mayfield. The original is now before me for submission, if so desired.

Since 10 September, 1944, these letters have remained sealed in Com So Pac package No. 47102, mentioned in the above courier designation, until the afternoon of 6 December, 1945.

Subsequent to my testimony given before the Naval Court of Inquiry at Pearl Harbor, I gave consideration, and in fact almost did destroy all of these letters and memoranda. The only reason, as I have already outlined why I retained them to that point was in compliance with Admiral Kimmel's request.

After testifying, however, before the Court of Inquiry held by Admiral Murfin at Pearl Harbor that reason no longer held, in view of the fact that my story was now a matter of record in the transcript of the proceedings of the Court of Inquiry.

However, I continued to hold these papers more in the nature of mementos of that occasion, and partly with a view to having documentary evidence of what my reply to Captain Safford's first letter had been.

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

в

h4 rew

в

Witness Kramer

I have previously gone into the circumstances surrouding my arrival from the South Pacific at Pearl Harbor around midnight 12-13 September, 1944, and the fact that I was not aware I had been called north to testify, before a Naval Inquiry on Pearl Harbor. I have further testified previously that it was not until a few minutes prior to my appearance before this hearing that I was aware that I would be permitted to testify on matters involving radio intelligence.

In support of this testimony I can only call on Captain E. T. Layton, U.S.N., at that time and still the Intelligence Officer of Commander in Chief Pacific Ocean Area, Admiral Nimitz, the said Captain Layton being my informant in each case.

Until the Senator from Maine asked me the direct question "Who has seen these memoranda and letters since this hearing opened in mid-November," or words to that effect, there was not only no necessity, but not even any occasion for dragging into my testimony the names of three close and long-time friends of mine.

In support of my previous testimony regarding my not reading the letters and the memorandum answering Captain Safford's questions in full when I presented them for perusal to three of my friends since this hearing opened,

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Witness Kramer

I can only call on Admiral Wilkinson, Captain Rochefort, and Colonel Bales, who are the aforementioned three friends.

I have not seen any of these three individuals since some days prior to my appearance in this witness chair, and have not communicated with any of these three individuals directly or indirectly for several weeks past.

At this point, in the interest of precision and accuracy of my testimony in the record, I should like to modify somewhat the testimony I gave Saturday, as to the exact dates I saw and showed these letters to the abovementioned three friends.

I find that in some notes I kept during December last, an entry for the day I arrived in Washington from Miami was as follows:

"December 6, Thursday, p.m.: Bull session with Wilkinson and later Kirk and Wilkinson. Kirk is with General Board. Saw Joe Rochefort briefly in office. McCollum and Layton were here for some days but left yesterday.

"December 13, Thursday, 0830: Up and to Department.

"1000 (about), showed Wilkinson Safford's letters and what had been done with replies (shown only to Halsey in case of No. 2). This was my explanation to him of all this unwarranted publicity I have been getting.

MOTOWINEA

21

22

23

"1230: Lunch and bull session with Rochefort.

"1430: Bales' office and discussed Saffo letters a little. Will show him these dates.

"December 14, Friday, 1430: Had brief session with Safford in his office looking over some of his files of papers. Stated I would look at others tomorrow or Monday.

"December 16, Sunday, 0900: Up, bathed, etc. Got to Bales' at 10:15. Cold as hell. Ten degrees last night. Had breakfast and bull session 'til after 1400. Showed him Saffo's two letters and memo.

"December 18, Tuesday, 1200: Recessed. To Navy
Department and had long bull session with Joe Rochefort
and showed him Saffo's letters. This makes three people
who have seen them: Halsey; Wilkinson; and Rochefort.
Bull session continued 'til about 1530."

This, Senator, ends my quotation from the aforementioned notes. In the event Senator Brewster's or others
credulity is strained as to why I have had such notes in
my possession and as to their authenticity, I can only
assert the following, which is the sole explanation:

In November last year --

Mr. Richardson (interposing): Captain, just a minute.

Is there any need of carrying this on? The only question, as I understand it, that was asked you by Senator Ferguson

h6

в

Witness Kramer Questions by: Mr. Richardson was the question of keeping this memorandum in your possession without reading it.

Captain Kramer: I understood the question, Mr. Counsel, to apply to the credulity to be applied to my testimony regarding the showing of these memoranda, and not reading them while they were being shown.

Mr. Richardson: Go ahead. Get through with it.

Mr. Murphy: Mr. Chairman, in fairness to the witness, the Senator from Maine did ask him to ponder.

The Vice Chairman: Go ahead, Captain.

Lacharity (1) am follows Shack 10:45 AL-1

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

Witness Kramer

In November last year my wife came to Washington from Miami and remained with me until our departure on 14 November for Miami. On my return alone to Washington in early December to await the pleasure of this committee I determined to keep notes in some detail of my activities, people I met, old acquaintances seen again, and so forth, so that on my expected return to Miami for the Christmas holidays she could read them over and I would thus be able to acquaint her of the above in some detail without depending on memory along.

Such a set of notes or diary I have never kept since some years prior to Pearl Harbor. The sole reason for keeping it in this instance was because of the deep interest with which my wife was following these hearings and any connection I might have therewith. They cover the period from 5 December 1945 when I left Miami for Washington to 20 December 1945 when I left Washington for Miami. They ware prepared by me on my return to the Bethesda Naval Hospital each evening before I turned in. No such notes were undertaken by me during my present stay in Washington for the past months because my wife was with me here most of this time. The consist of about eight and one-half pages and are rather meticulous and complete and mention the names of nearly every acquaintance I saw or conversed with.

JAAB 11 12 MOTON.

13

14 15 n

18

19

16

17

20

22

21

23

24

I am confident that Admiral Wilkinson, Captain Rochefort and Colonel Bales will substantiate my testimony previously given regarding my presentation of Safford's letters to them, as well as all details of which they are aware with regard to the accuracy of the above-quoted notes and my testimony.

End may now receive with some degree of incredulity the
essertions I have made in the previous testimony regarding
my relations with Captain Safford to the effect that up
until last week at least they have continued on a friendly
basis and so continue unless his attitude toward me has
changed in the past week. I have inserted above the
quotation from my notes in support of this assertion. I am
sure that Captain Safford's secretary, as well as certain
officer subordinates of his can support my assertion that
during my four or five visits to his office last year there
continued to be friendly relations between us despite disagreement on many points. Captain Safford himself can also
support this.

The full set of these notes I would be glad to submit to Senator Brewster, or others, for examination if so desired.

In support of my previous testimony that I have not shown this memorandum to other than Admiral Halsey, Admiral Wilkinson, Captain Rochefort, and Colonel Bales, prior to

в

Witness Kramer

I can only call on all persons with whom I could possibly have had contact since mid-May, 1944. I am confident no such peruser of these papers can be found or could ever have been found.

In support of my previous testimony that I have not read these papers, other than to glance at the headings or first paragraphs of each, from mid-May 1944 to this moment, except for certain days and times last December as given above, and a few days ago to Lieutenant Commander Baecher, I can only give my word that this is a meticulously truthful statement of fact.

I hope these comments comply with the wish of the Senator from Maine that I ponder this question further during the week-end, namely, the question of assertions of mine with respect to these papers, assertions which may possibly have resulted in straining the credulity to be afforded my previous testimony before this committee on this and other matters.

(1-A)

LaC.
(2) fols.
21

Captain?

в

3

4

5

8

8

10

11

12

14

MASHINGTON.

13

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

Questions by: Senator Ferguson Witness Kramer

The Vice Chairman: Does that complete your statement,

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir, that completes my statement. The Vice Chairman: Senator Ferguson.

Senator Ferguson: I think I will leave that subject for awhile now and go to another one.

Will you take Exhibit 2 and turn to page 22.

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: When is the first that you saw that message either in code or the rough translation?

Captain Safford: The first I recollect having seen this message was in the form of a rough translation attached to the decoded Japanese text on Monday morning 8 December 1941. However, I have been informed --

Mr. Richardson: He just asked you when you first saw it. Captain Kramer: All right.

Senator Ferguson: That is the first you saw it? Captain Kramer: That is the first I recollect seeing it, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: You had a Mrs. Edgar in your Department? Captain Kramer: It was she I was about to refer to, sir. Senator Ferguson: Well, did you see her on Saturday, was she working?

Captain Kramer: She was, yes, sir.

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

MOTONIHEAW

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Senator Ferguson: And did you know that she translated that in the rough on Saturday?

Captain Kramer: I did not know she did. She has stated to me she did and --

Mr. Richardson: That answers the question.

Captain Kramer: -- and I believe her in that respect.

Senator Ferguson: These messages in Exhibit 2 were very important, were they not?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir, they were.

Senator Ferguson: Now, turn to page 27. That message came in on the oth and it has this at the bottom:

"I imagine that in all probability there is considerable opportunity left to take advantage for a surprise attack against these places."

It was from Honolulu to Tokyo. That was a very vital message, was it not?

Captain Kramer: It certainly became one when we knew of the attack on Pearl Harbor, yes, sir. It would have been if we had seen it prior to the attack.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know why no particular effort was being made to translate these messages as to where the attack would be -- or the same effort that was being exerted to ascertain whether there would be a breach of relations?

Captain Kramer: Senator, my answer to that will fall in

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON D. C.

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson iwo parts. In the first place, there is no clue to the subject or importance of a message until a translator has completed a translation. In the second place, this message is dated 6 December, a date of Army responsibility, and I know nothing of their handling of this particular message.

Senator Ferguson: Now, turn to page 29. The last sentence of that message, from Honolulu to Tokyo reads:

"It appears that no air reconnaissance is being conducted by the Fleet Air Arm."

Captain Kramer: Precisely the same remarks apply to this message, which was translated, according to the notation at the bottom, and my recollection, too, incidentally, on 8 December 1941.

Senator Ferguson: So as I understand it, your reply is that these were in the Army?

Captain Kramer: These were Army dates of responsibility to translate, yes, sir, process and translate.

Senator Ferguson: And not the Navy?

Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Did you know that part of the Army, at least part of the Army translators, went home at noon on Saturday?

Captain Kramer: Normally ours would have too.

Senator Ferguson: Well, was it true that some of your

2

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

Questions by: Senator Ferguson Witness Kramer translators were on their Christmas holidays as early as this? Captain Kramer: They were not, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Were there any on furloughs or holidays? Captain Kramer: No, sir; all six of my translators were on duty.

Senator Ferguson: Now, notwithstanding the fact that these messages were in and you anticipated a reply to the memorandum of the 26th to the Tokyo Government, certain Army translators went home for the afternoon on Saturday and they had to be called back later in the afternoon to help on the 13th part?

Captain Kramer: I do not know precisely what translators were or were not on duty Saturday afternoon, sir. Furthermore, as regards any possible connection I might have had with these messages on Saturday afternoon, these messages were undoubtedly, unquestionably, in my mind, sent to Army as soon as received.

In fact, I will modify that statement because there is a notation in the lower right hand corner, a parenthetical note to the effect that the station monitoring this message was Station 2, received by teletype. Station 3 was an Army monitoring station. In the light of that, it would appear that this message was seen by Army and detained entirely at all times in the Army Signal Intelligence Section until processed and translated.

25

hl

AL

fls

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Were any men in your department on Christmas leave as early as December 7?

Captain Kramer: I do not recall having given Christmas leave, except possiblt Christmas day to any of my translators, sir.

Senator Ferguson: To anyone in your department, whether translators, or otherwise?

Captain Kramer: No, sir. My department consisted of six translators, one officer besides myself, and two yeomen.

Senator Ferguson: Then you were responsible for the translations?

Captain Kramer: Translation and dissemination, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, the one on page 27, No. 253.

That would indicate that it came from the Army and therefore the Navy was not responsible?

Captain Kramer: At the bottom, the lower right-hand corner of page 28, is the same notation as appears on the other one, namely, that station 2, an Army station, monitored this message.

Senator Ferguson: Is that true of No. 245 on page 22?

Captain Kramer: That is true insofar as the figure 7

in the lower right hand corner indicates that an Army

station monitored this message. Since it is dated the 3rd,

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson an odd date, it would indicate that they sent it over to Navy, to the GY section, to be processed when or shortly after received by Army Signal Intelligence Section.

Senator Ferguson: Do I understand that the Army or Navy at that time could shift responsibility for translation by merely transferring it over to the other departments?

Captain Kramer: They could and did, sir, based on the allocation of responsibility for attack on various Japanese systems and ciphers, which allocation consisted briefly of odd days being taken care of by the Navy, even days by Army.

Senator Ferguson: Have you read Mrs. Edgar's testimony? Captain Kramer: No, sir, I have not.

Senator Ferguson: Did you ever hear that this was translated in the rough on Saturday afternoon?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir, I did.

Senator Ferguson: Well, translation in the rough would indicate the contents of the message, would it not, show its importance?

Captain Kramer: Not necessarily, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Were you responsible to supervise these translators?

Captain Kramer: I was, sir.

h3

.2

3

4

в

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Well, at the time it was my work to roughly translate any message which was put on my desk, and this was among the messages that were put on my desk on December 6, Saturday morning, and at the time I had only been working in this section for about a little over two weeks, so at first glance this seemed to be more interesting than some of the

Senator Ferguson: Reading from page 5412 of the

Hewitt testimony, the testimony of Mrs. Edgars:

n

I am talking about the one about the lights in the windows, on page 22 of Exhibit 2; you understand?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

other messages I had in my basket."

Senator Ferguson (reading): "And so I selected it and asked one of the other men who were also translators working on other messages, whether or not this shouldn't be done immediately, and was told that I should, and I then started to translate it."

Well, now, is that the way that the importance of the messages was determined? If it was interesting to one they would go to somebody else and ask whether they were to translate it? Weren't you in charge that morning and didn't you know that there was a 13-part message coming in in reply to the message that Mr. Hull had given to the Japanese on the 26th that may or may not mean a break in

(4)

Witness Kramer relations?

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Kramer: Your question, I believe, is a double question, Senator.

I was in charge of that section that morning. I was not aware until about 3 p. m. or shortly after about the arrival of the message you referred to, the note.

Senator Ferguson: Do I understand then, that you didn't know until 3 o'clock in the afternoon that there was a reply coming in to the message of the 26th?

Captain Kramer: That is precisely correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Then, it was not an unusual day in the Navy Translation Department until 3 o'clock on the 6th?

Captain Kramer: It was no more unusual at 3 o'clock than it was all that day, and for some days prior to that time.

Senator Ferguson: Wasn't it usual that you would leave at noon on Saturday?

Captain Kramer: About a quarter of one, yes, sir. That was the closing of working hours.

Senator Ferguson: How does it come that you didn't leave on that Saturday then?

Captain Kramer: I would venture the guess that probably three-quarters of the days for several months prior to Pearl

в

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson
Harbor I did not leave at the close of working hours but
remained in the section either alone or with one or more
iranslators for period varying from a few minutes to many

hours after the close of working hours.

Senator Ferguson: Now, you never saw the Winant note which came in at 10:40 to the State Department indicating that there was going to be an attack on the Kra Peninsula on Sunday?

Captain Kramer: I did not, sir. However, I knew about that contemplated attack, at least insofar as it was disclosed in this traffic; and, further, I drafted a message, which I referred to a few days ago here, outlining the high points of that attack, a dispatch sent to the Commander in Chief Pacific Fleet and Asiatic Fleet.

Senator Ferguson: Then up until 3:00 o'clock on Saturday there was no re-alerting of your Department?

Captain Kramer: Re- what?

Senator Ferguson: Re-alerting or change, that you were working harder or any different than you were in the normal day, because you hadn't received the Winant message and you had no other informati n which would indicate that there was any unusual message coming through on that day?

Captain Kramer: On that point, Senator, the alerting you refer to could not possibly have altered the situation in

3

4

5

в

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson my office since not only that day but for many days during that fall we were working at full capacity and over, if I may so state, in my section.

Senator Ferguson: Now, I go on. Mr. Sonnett is examining Mrs. Edgars. He says:

"About what time on that day did you complete your translation, Mrs. Edgars?"

Now referring to this light message on page 22 of Exhibit 2. She says:

"Well, it so happened that there was some mistakes that had to be corrected and so that took some time. That was at 12:30 or perhaps it was a little before or after 12:30. Whatever time it was we were to go home, it being Saturday. We worked until noon. I hadn't completed it so I worked ever-time and finished it, and I would say that between 1:30 and 2:00 was when I finished my rough draft translation."

She was asked:

"That is on the afternoon of December 6?"
And Mrs. Edgars says:

"On the 6th, yes, sir."

"Mr. Sonnett: For the sake of the record will you describe briefly what that message is?"

And the reply:

"Mrs. Edgars: Well, without reading it over again now,

Just because of the fact that the message did keep in mind -
I would say that it was a message saying how they were going
to communicate from Honolulu to the parties interested the
information of our fleet movements from Honolulu, and apparently
it was something which they had had previous arrangements
but they had changed some of the minor details of how to
go about it. I think there was something to do with lights,
a window of a certain house, and there was also something
about newspaper advertising."

Now, here is a girl that is remembering this until 1945 without seeing the message, showing that she understood what it was from the rough.

Captain Kramer: I think, Senator, on the point of remembering that, I have not particularly read or studied this since I did on 8 December 1941, but I believe I could quote the whole message almost verbatim from memory.

Senator Ferguson: And you hadn't read it since the 8th of December?

Captain Kramer: Not in full, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: But you are having a great amount of difficulty remembering what was in the winds execute message which was only about two lines in length?

Captain Kramer: And, incidentally, seen by me for a period varying from 10 to 15 seconds and containing information

в

(5)

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson that was not materially different than information we had already.

In the case of this message here, Senator, I spent everal days clearing garbles and working with this message before it was completed in the form you now see it.

Senator Ferguson: When did you spend that time on it? Captain Kramer: 8-9-10 December 1941, sir.

Senator Ferguson: But there was sufficient even in the rough to impress Mrs. Edgars with the facts that she has related in that answer, which would have tipped you off, would it not, that Pearl Harbor was in danger that day if there was going to be an attack?

Captain Kramer: That attention was not invited to me that I have any recollection of, sir. In that connection I would like to remark that Mrs. Edgars was still unfamiliar with the practices and procedures in my office, that it was the usual if not invariable practice of the three highly skilled and experienced translators in my office to always immediately invite my attention to important traffic they were working on or had completed.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, would you consider that or would you not consider that important traffic?

Captain Kramer: This particular message?
Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

1

2

3

4

б

в

7

8

10

8

11

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

Captain Kramer: If I had seen it Saturday afternoon I most certainly think I would have, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: How do you account for the person Mrs. Edgars showed it to not coming to the same conclusion? Captain Kramer: I cannot account for that, sir. He probably did.

Senator Ferguson: Then, the next question: (Reading) "Do you know whether that translation which you completed in the early afternoon of December 6, 1941 was brought to the attention of now Captain Kramer?

"Mrs. Edgars: It was brought to his attention naturally because it was -- well -- well, in any case, he knew that I was working on it and I left it, as a matter of fact, in the hands of the Chief, whose job it was to edit messages and write them up, or one that was more complicated and more important, like this, the officer in charge looked it over and edited it."

Who would he be?

Captain Kramer: That would be myself, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Did you so edit it?

Captain Kramer: Not Saturday, no sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, then, the Chief would be you?

Captain Kramer: The Chief would refer to Chief Yeoman

Bryant, now Chief Ship's Clerk, U. S. Navy.

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: The first part of the answer would apply to Bryant and the last part of the answer to you, that you would edit it? Bryant would not edit it?

Captain Kramer: He would edit it as far as putting it in our usual form. The text and contents would be edited by myself so far as the translation was concerned.

Senator Ferguson: Do I understand now that your Department was alerted to the fact that you were trying to get Intelligence to ascertain if the Japanese attacked where they would attack?

Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Had you ever talked to Mr. Bryant, Ship's Clerk Bryant, covering that, so that if a message came on the 6th indicating a transmission of information from Honolulu or Hawaii about our Fleet by using lights in the window, that he would immediately sense that that was a very vital and important message and would take it up with his superior?

Captain Kramer: Most certainly did not, sir. I knew Lothing about lights until I studied that message.

Senator Ferguson: Did you take up with him the fact that you wanted him to take up with you any messages indicating where an attack might take place?

Ceptain Kramer: I at no time was aware or believed that

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

1 -

14

13

15

D

18

17

18

19

20

2.

22

23

24

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson the Japanese would attack the United States, sir, until they actually did.

Senator Ferguson: Then we come to this point. On Saturday morning your Department was not even alerted to the fact that the Japs might attack the United States?

Captain Kramer: I am afraid, Senator, that your construction of the word "alert" differs from mine.

Senator Ferguson: All right. Let's take out the word "alert" and say "aroused to that fact"?

Captain Kramer: We were aroused to the imminent diplomatic crisis, yes, sir, and were working to full capacity.

Senator Ferguson: Now, were you familiar with the fact that there was a movement south which might involve the United States?

Captain Kramer: I had no knowledge whatsoever that such movement south, of which we had a great deal of information, would involve the United States, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, if you had had information of our policy --

Captain Kramer: About what, sir?

Senator Ferguson: About our policy, of what it meant to the United States, a movement south into Malaya, would that have helped you on these messages to determine their importance?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Kramer: I believe it would, sir, in that I would have paid far more attention to the details or elicited from Captain McCollum details about our Fleet.

Senator Ferguson: Did you know our Fleet was in Hawaii? Captain Kramer: I knew it was in the Hawaiian area, but whether in port or hundreds of miles away I had no knowledge of at any time for months prior to Pearl Harbor or in fact for months after Pearl Harbor.

Senator Ferguson: So you didn't know whether we were out at sea or whether we were in port?

Captain Kramer: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: You didn't know what our policy was, if there was an attack on the British that we might expect an attack on our Fleet which was on the flank, you had no knowledge of that?

Captain Kramer: I had no knowledge of that policy, no, sir. Senator Ferguson: Therefore a message such as this Fleet movement, this message on page 22, of the lights in the window to indicate when our ships were in the harbor, wouldn't have really meant much to you?

Captain Kramer: I think --

Senator Ferguson: Because you didn't know the Fleet was there?

Captain Kramer: I think the message would have meant

MOTONINE

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

work, to make much sense of it.

8 8 10

2

3

4

5

в

7

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

22

31

23

25

54

Questions by: Senator Ferguson Witness Kramer much to me if it were in legible form when I first perused it, yes, sir. However, I have already remarked on the fact

that to get it into its present form required several days

Senator Ferguson: Did you treat this message in the same way as you treated the 14th part message?

Captain Kramer: As soon as I saw it I did, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Did your Department treat or was it instructed to treat all messages alike on this morning, or were they put to work on the 13th part of the 14-part message?

Captain Kramer: I repeat again, Senator, the so-called 14-part message did not start coming in until the afternoon. There were no specific instructions, except general instructions about certain circuits being pushed through first, but it was the practice of my more experienced translators to glance through hastily the general tenor of all messages put on their desks and then give priority to those that appeared most important. Oftentimes consulting with me on that point.

Senator Ferguson: Now, as I understand it, Bryant had instructions when he saw the rough of this message so that he should have called it to your attention?

Captain Kramer: Not specific instructions on this message,

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

no, sir.

Senetor Fermison

Senator Ferguson: Any message.

Captain Kramer: Any message which normally such attention would have been drawn to me in the first instance by the translator so translating it, sir.

Senator Ferguson: But you had never taken up with Bryant or your staff that matter?

Captain Kramer: Normally, Senator, Bryant did not get this material until I edited it.

Senator Ferguson: How do you account --

Captain Kramer: Unless a message was of simple form

or was perfectly plain as to sense and meaning to the

translator, in which case the translator, if it were not

comething that because of its content and importance should

be at once brought to my attention, the translator would

feel it should be typed up before bringing it to my attention.

Senator Ferguson: Did you ever take up with Bryant or your staff the importance of ship movements as well as diplomatic matters?

Captain Kramer: I did, sir.

Senator Ferguson: What was your instruction to them?

I am talking about these messages on ship movements in Exhibit

2, where we find three or four not translated, at least on

the smooth copy, until after the attack, and if translated

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

'n

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ř.

28

3

4

5

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

before would have given you definite information that there was going to be an attack on Pearl Harbor.

Captain Kramer: Every message bearing on ship movements, either of our Navy, our Merchant Marine, or foreign Navies, specifically England, was given high priority in my section and all were translated and disseminated by my section.

Senator Ferguson: You knew that some of these messages gere 22 days being translated. How do you account for that? And I find no diplomatic messages held up that long.

Captain Kramer: I would like to have a specific one pointed out, sir, before commenting on that.

Senator Ferguson: Well, I wish you would look over them.

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield? Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Mr. Murphy: I wonder if the Senator knows that that particular message was also in Honolulu on the 5th. I mean and to this signal. They had it there. The lights in the Window message was handed over to them on the 5th at Honolulu. It was in Pearl Harbor at G-2 and they did translate it off the 7th but they didn't before.

Senator Ferguson: Well, I am trying to find out what this gentleman knew about it.

Did you know what code machines they had at Pearl Harbor?

15 10

> 17 18

> > 19

20

21

23

22

24

. 25

(7)

.19

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Kramer: I knew that they had what we call
a RIP, meaning Radio Intelligence Publication, in fact,
a number of them, which included all systems being currently
read. I was not aware about the status of the unit at
Pearl Harbor with respect to a machine. In other words, the
purple machine.

Senator Ferguson: You didn't know whether they had one or did not have one?

Captain Kramer: I did not know until after Pearl Harbor, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: But you weren't relying upon Pearl Harbor getting these messages. You were relying upon the fact that you had to get them and give them to these high officials from the President down?

Captain Kramer: That was my prime responsibility, yes, sir. The Asiatic Fleet, in other words, our unit at Corregidor, I knew did have the purple machine.

Senator Ferguson: But your job was not to know or to understand what Pearl Harbor had, your job was to get the translations and give them to the President and from him on down in the Navy as you have described?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir. The allocation of effort to be carried on by the Pearl Harbor unit was done by Captain Sefford and his subordinates other than myself.

3

4

б

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

16

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: You were not concerned with what they had at Pearl Harbor?

Captain Kramer: I had nothing to do with what they had or what they worked on, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And the fact that they may have had these messages was no concern of yours because your position was to get them translated, give them to the Secretary of the Navy, Admiral Turner, Admiral Stark and the President?

Captain Kramer: That was my prime responsibility, yes,

Senator Ferguson: That was your prime responsibility and that is what you were trying to do?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, the State Department didn't call you or get in touch with you and tell you that they had message at 10:40 indicating that there was going to be an attack on the Kra Peninsula and therefore you were to be alerted to get any message that might come in and get immediate translation so that they could ascertain what might happen other than in the Kra Peninsula where they saw the Japanese were going?

Captain Kramer: I knew nothing about that State Department message, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And you had no word from the State

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Department?

Captain Kramer: I did not, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: How long has Commander Baecher been with the Department? Do you know him?

captain Kramer: I first had contact that I recollect only after I returned to Washington from Miami on 6 December last year. I understand, however, that he was one of the assistants to Admiral Hewitt, though I do not recollect his being in those hearings.

Senator Ferguson: He was an assistant in the hearings to Admiral Hewitt and helped to conduct those hearings?

Captain Kramer: That is what I now understand.

Senator Ferguson: Now, he hadn't been in your department? Captain Kramer: No, sir, at no time.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know whether he is a Reserve Officer or a regular?

Captain Kramer: My understanding is that he is a Reserve Officer with legal background, sir.

Senator Ferguson: This message, Extension No. 2027,
the one released by Admiral Noyes in relation to the Japanese
movement in Thailand, are you familiar with that message?

Captain Kramer: The one dated 1 December 1941?

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

JUAG

MASHINGTON

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Did you draft that message?

I believe I did, sir; yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, why did you drait that message? Captain Kramer: Wouldn't that be out of your line entirely?

Captain Kramer: Not necessarily, sir. I drafted that message, as well as the one on Japanese diplomatic post destruction of codes, which was similarly forwarded to Captain Safford and Admiral Noyes' office.

Senator Ferguson: Well, if you thought that Cincar and Cincpac were getting the messages, why did you drai'd this one for Admiral Noyes to send out on December 1st?

Captain Kramer: I felt that we should insure that they got that picture, sir, even though they may have received it and read it on the Asiatic station, the British also at Singapore, and the unit at Honolulu.

Senator Ferguson: If you knew nothing about our policy in case of an attack by the Japanese on the British, I am trying to find out then, from this message, why you would take special interest to send this message, not only to Cincaf but for Cincpac, when it has nothing to do with an attack upon America. Thousands of miles away from any possession of America, was it not?

Yes, sir. Captain Kramer:

Will the Senator yleid? Senator Lucas:

24

23

(8)

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Senator Lucas: Will the Senator tell me what that policy is?

Senator Kerguson: I am trying to find out.

Senator Lucas: The Senator has been assuming with this witness that we had a definite policy, that he didn't know anything about, in the event the Japanese attacked the British and the Dutch.

Senator Ferguson: Well, I am trying to find out from this witness. If he knew nothing about any policy why, I would like to know, would he be sending a special message such as this.

Will you read the message now. Let's see what is in it.
Then I can cover it and find out whether or not you knew
anything about policy.

Captain Kramer: (Reading)

"AMBASSADOR TSUBOKAMI IN BANGKOK ON 29TH SENT TO
TOKYO AS NUMBER 872 THE FOLLOWING: "CONFERENCES NOW IN
PROGRESS IN BANGKOK CONSIDERING PLANS AIMED AT FORCING
BRITISH TO ATTACK THAI AT PADANG BESSA NEAR SINGORA AS
COUNTERMOVE TO JAPANESE LANDING AT KOTA BHARU. SINCE THAT
INTENDS TO CONSIDER FIRST INVADER AS HER ENEMY, ORANGE --"
which means Japan --"BELIEVES THIS LANDING IN MALAY WOOLD
FORCE BRITISH TO INVADE THAI AT PADANG BESSA. THAI WOOLD

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Witness Kramer

в

Ľ

THEN DECLARE WAR AND REQUEST ORANGE HELP. THIS PLAN APPEARS
TO HAVE APPROVAL OF THAI CHIEF OF STAFF BIJITTO. THAI
GOVERNMENT CIRCLES HAVE BEEN SHARPLY DIVIDED BETWEEN PRO-BRITISH
AND PRO-ORANGE UNTIL 25 NOVEMBER BUT NOW WANITTO AND SHIP
WHO FAVOR JOINT MILITARY ACTION WITH ORANGE, HAVE SILENCED
ANTI-ORANGE GROUP AND INTEND TO FORCE PREMIER PIBOL TO MAKE

Senator Ferguson: Now, if you knew of no policy that we had -- how far was this from American possessions?

A DECISION. FARLY AND FAVORABLE DEVELOPMENTS ARE POSSIBLE."

Captain Kramer: Senator, the prime reason for ever having set up a crypt analytical unit at Corregidor and at other times in certain places in China, was to keep the Commander in Chief Asiatic Fleet, at that time Admiral Hart, as fully apprised as possible of political, military and other developments of like nature in his sphere.

Senator Ferguson: How far was this from the Philippines? You didn't answer my question.

Captain Kramer: My guess is probably 800 to a thousand miles, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, look at the message in Exhibit 2, the message of September 24, page 12.

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Where it sets up Pearl Harbor and tells exactly what areas are to be covered in the future.

в

follows:

Questions by: Senator Ferguson
It asks honoïulu to tell what ships are tied up at wharves,
buoys and in docks. Are you familiar with that message?
Captain Kramer: 1 am, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Can you tell me why you would send a message that involved the British and the Japanese a thousand miles from any of our possessions directly to the information of Cincpac, which was Admiral Kimmel, and yet this message, which set up a plan of Fearl Harbor, indicating what they wanted it for was an attack later, you didn't send that out to either the Pacific or to the Asiatic Fleet, and here you were sending one that involved a country a thousand miles from our possessions.

Captain Kramer: I would like to invite the Senator's attention to a piece of paper which I believe is an exhibit before this hearing, namely, a gist of the traffic disseminated in early October 1941 to all recipients in the Navy Department. That gist was prepared by my section and was asterished as being an item, a gist of this message, an item of special interest, sir.

3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would like --Senator Ferguson:

Captain Kramer: I was not directed, and I do not know whether any briefs of this message were ever to be sent to Pearl Harbor. Your interpretation, Senator, that this was a bombing map, I do not believe, from conversations I had at the time in showing and going over days traffic with various recipients; I do not believe it was interpreted by any of those persons as being materially different than other messages concerning ship movements . being reported by the Japanese diplomatic service.

I recollect that this was interpreted. I am uncertain of the precise wording of the interpretation. This was considered, and I believe it was, approximately, my consideration at the time as being an attempt on the part of the Japanese diplomatic service to simplify communications.

That view is substantiated by many factors.

One is that the Japanese were repeatedly and continually directing their diplomatic service to cut down traffic. They were repeatedly preparing and sending out abbreviations to be used with codes already in existence. Diplomatic codes were frequently asking for additional funds for quarterly allotments, and so forth, to cover telegraphic expenses. Those expenses were usually paid,

Ö

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson and furnished in part when so requested by Tokyo. Those and other considerations I think explain probably the handling of this particular message, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Did you know that later they used this map, this bombing map, in code messages? Say the one on page 14 of November 18?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir. They used it for all ship movements subsequently to setting up of this abbreviated system of reporting ships in Pearl Harbor.

Senator Ferguson: You brought up a question about an exhibit in this case that indicated that they had given information on this message on page 12, the bombing map message. Will you get it for me? I think that is very important.

Commander Baecher: I might say, Senator, that that was exhibited to a witness in the early stages of these hearings but was not introduced as an exhibit. I have a copy here on which I would like to write a short note to counsel, and hand it to him.

Senator Ferguson: Then it is not in evidence here, that this was ever briefed and sent out?

Commander Baecher: That is correct.

Senator Ferguson: And the witness is wrong, and the record in that respect should be corrected.

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

commander Baecher: At one time this document was shown to another witness. I think Admiral Wilkinson. This witness saw it also and he assumed it had gone into evidence, and I think not improperly because it was passed around.

Senator Ferguson: But it is not in evidence now, so there is no evidence before us that the contents of this bombing plot map had ever been sent out; is that right?

Commander Baecher: That is right. Here is a copy of it.

Senator Ferguson: Now, Captain, will you turn to page 15, the message which was translated on the 5th of December:

"Please report on the following areas as to vessels anchored therein: --"

You notice they want to know what ships are in the harbor. Not what ones are going out and coming it.

"Please report on the following areas as to vessels anchored therein: Area 'N' Pearl Harbor; Manila Bay, and the areas adjacent thereto. Make your investigation with great secrecy."

Then the next message:

"Please investigate comprehensively the fleet - - - -

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

h4 1 2

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Reservation."

Witness Kramer

Next, translated on the 5th:

"We have been receiving reports from you on ship movements, but in future will you also report even when there are no movements."

bases in the neighborhood of the Hawaiian Military

Wasn't that a very significant message, that the Japanese were trying to ascertain what was in the harbor and where they were located; whether there were any movements or not?

Didn't your Department or section evaluate those to mean that they were trying to ascertain what was in the harbor and therefore when you received this one o'clock delivery message and as you say you charted the globe time on it, wasn't it significant that it was 7:30 in the morning at Pearl Harbor?

G .HOTDWINEAW .JUAR & GRAW

Shef fls 11:35

> 19 20

> > 22

21

23

24

3

4

. 5

6

7

8

8

LO

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen. Fergusen

Captain Kramer: I have two comments to make on your statement, Senator.

Senator Ferguson: That is not a statement. It is a question.

Captain Kramer: The little message which you read, I believe that the original Japanese version in ungarbled form if it were available would read: "Please investigate comprehensively" is probably "the fleet air bases."

In other words, that blank refers to or represents a garbled code group and was left blank by the Army translator. It undoubtedly refers to air or other types of bases than fleet bases in the neighborhood of the Hawaiian military reservation. On your other point, Senator --

Senator Ferguson: Just a mcment.

Captain Kramer: On your point, Senator, regarding evaluation, that was never at any time a function of my section, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, now, if they were to investigate comprehensively the fleet air bases didn't it even make the message more important that they were concerned not with alone the Fleet, where it was moving, but they were concerned with the protection of the Fleet in the habor?

Captain Kramer: Not in the slightest, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Not in the slightest?

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

Captain Kramer: No, sir, I would like to tell you why I make that reply.

Senator Ferguson: I wish you would.

Captain Kramer: Back in 1940, during the course of negotiations with the Dutch in Java the Japanese shoehorn, if I may use that term, was the delegation conducting those negotiations and Ambassador or special envoy, as I recall it, was named Yoshizawa.

Negotiations were conducted for a six or eight month period. During the period of those negotiations the Japanese conducted rather rigorous reconnaissance of all military establishments not only in Java but in other islands of the Dutch East Indies.

I mentioned that in some detail, but the same thing applies to military establishments, air bases, fleet facilities, in Panama and in part of the Western hemisphere under United States jurisdiction. The Japanese diplomatic service, as well as their military and naval attaches abroad, were very conscientious people and reported in meticulous detail all facts that they could learn of.

Senator Ferguson: Now, how do you account for the fact that these ship movements, these locations were being called for in the latter part of November as things were getting more critical between the two countries? You told us about a

24

23

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

long range program.

Captain Kramer: Senator, it would have been a most weird phenomena for the Japanese military to not have paid close attention not only during this week but during previous periods of crisis during 1941 and 1940 and earlier to every detail they could learn concerning the United States Fleet. They reported in similar detail every ship movement into and out of all ports on the West Coast of the United States and Panama, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Why were they wanting, though, to get the fleet and air bases that you now tell us this message means?

Captain Kramer: They likewise reported in great detail the air bases in the vicinity of Seattle and Bremerton Navy yard, sir, similarly on the San Francisco area.

Senator Ferguson: Show me any such messages in the latter part of November or December in relation to San Fr ancisco and Seattle.

Captain Kramer: I offhand do not know of any such message. Such may possibly be elicited by a study of the files. It may well be, however, that no further reports in such detail were called for from the West Coast of the United States because they already knew everything they wanted to.

Senator Ferguson: Wall you refer now to page 248 of

WASHINGTON

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

exhibit 1? The message is No. 25,850. It is at the top of the page. It is the one o'clock message. I want to read you from page 167 of Top Secret of the Pearl Harbor Board in the Navy. Captain Safford is testifying. He says:

"Kramer took the message around, possibly the other message which said, 'Submit our reply to the U.S. Government at one P.M. on the 7th your time' and reached the Chief of Naval Operations around 10:30 and then next the White House, where he again gave a copy to Admiral Beardall for the President and finally reached the State Department about 11 o'clock with it. There is another matter" -- and this is what I want to call your attention to, but I wanted to read it in detail so that you would know what he was talking about.

"There is another matter which I would like to add, that at the time Kramer submitted SIS 25,850" -which is the one o'clock message -- "to Secretary Knox he sent a note in with it saying, in effect, that this means a sunrise attack on Pearl Harbor today and possibly a midnight attack on Manila."

Now, what do you have to say to that?

Senator Lucas: Who said it?

Senator Ferguson: Safford. Do you want to see the original of this record?

MASHINGTON

16 17

18

19

21

20

22

23

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Wit	ness	Kram	er
-----	------	------	----

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

Captain Kramer: No, sir, I do not. What I am looking for is an item in my reply to Captain Safford's first letter, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Do I understand that you have a memorandum there that you made of your conversations with Safford, or is that the reply?

Captain Kramer: No, sir. I am merely looking at a copy which I retained of my reply to Captain Safford's first letter.

Senator Ferguson: All right.

Captain Kramer: I refer, Senator, in answer to your last question to my reply, item 10-c to Captain Safford, to the expanded version of that reply which appears in the memorandum I prepared for Admiral Halsey, which I will now read.

Senator Ferguson: Now, is this to be an answer to my question?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: All right.

Captain Kramer: A specific answer to your question. Reading)

"10-0: On returning about 10:20 from Mr. Hull's office the remainder of No. 02-10 were arriving, including the one setting the 1300 meeting time and the 'Weather Report'. These were delivered to all hands,

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

θ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

fice, with my comments to Mr. Knox on how the hour tied with the sun and moves in progress elsewhere."

All I can say, Senator, concerning Captain Safford's

including Mr. Knox and Mr. Stimson, at Mr. Hull's of-

testimony is that he apparently got all his information for that reply from his interpretation of the wording of this reply I just read; or the abbreviated version of it, rather.

Senator Ferguson: Now, will you just read that again?

Captain Kramer: The last part is the pertinent part.

I will read that again, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Just read that again.

Captain Kramer: (Reading)

"These" -- referring to the messages -- "were delivered to all hands, including Mr. Knox and Mr. Stimson, at Mr. Hull's office with my comments to Mr. Knox
on how the hour tied with the sun and movements in
progress elsewhere."

Senator Ferguson: All right. Now, with your comments to Mr. Knox, that is what you are reading there?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, how did you make those comments to Mr. Knox?

Captain Kramer: Via his personal aide, a foreign service officer. I would like to point out, Senator, that --

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CI.

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

Sanator Ferguson: Nothing in writing?

Captain Kramer: Nothing whatsoever in writing, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: But you told him this?

Captain Kramer: The word got to him via his foreign . service officer, who when I was speaking to him was about ten or fifteen feet away, just outside the closed door of the room, Mr. Hull's office, where the three Secretaries were conferring.

Senator Ferguson: Who was Mr. Knox' foreign service officer?

Captain Kramer: There were four, Senator, who were indootrinated and rotated ---

Mr. Richardson: Who was the one that you gave the message to?

Senator Ferguson: Who was the one that you gave the message to?

Captain Kramer: I do not know which one of the four it was. I believe two of those were present that morning. As to their names, I recollect three names of the four, - Gray, Stone and Brown, because they associated with each other.

Senator Ferguson: You expected that service officer to tell Mr. Knox everything? You would expect that service officer to tell Mr. Knox everything that you said to him?

Captain Kramer: That is what my intention was, yes sir

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

W itness Kramer

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: And everything that you said to the foreign service officer for Mr. Knox, that there was something about the men being at breakfast or at mess who were at Pearl Harbor at that time, he would convey that to Mr. Knox then?

Saptain Kramer: Not all those details, no, sir. Senator Ferguson: Now, wait a minute.

Captain Kramer: I have previously specifically covered that point, Senator, by stating that the reference to Pearl Harbor was purely a passing reference for the benefit of non-naval personnel, namely, these foreign service officers and the Army officer present.

Senator Ferguson: But you just told me in your last answer that you expected this foreign service officer to convey to Mr. Knox every word that you said.

Captain Kramer: I did not expect him to convey every word but the essential points of my explanation to him, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Did you tell him not to convey to Mr. Knox the idea that it was sunrise and these men would be off duty at 7:30 in the morning?

Captain Kramer: Of course not, sir. My only reason for the explanation was to have the gist or summary of my explanation to convey to Mr. Knox.

Senator Ferguson: Did you tell him to only convey the

n

15 16

17

19

18

20

21

23

22

24

в

summary of your explanation?

Captain Kramer: I did not tell him which words to specifically convey, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, didn't you anticipate that he would convey the entire message to Mr. Knox?

Captain Kramer: The essential points of it, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Why not all of it and let Mr. Knox be the judge as to what was essential or not essential?

Captain Kramer: In the first place, Senator, I indicated that I was not an evaluator of this material. I felt, however, that the essential points which I covered in that conversation should be pointed out to the foreign service officer, who in the same manner that I did for the Navy Department high officials handled those documents in the State Department, passing it to the people who were permitted to see it.

Senator Ferguson: Captain Kramer, on many occasions

Secretary Knox had asked for your opinion because you were

f amiliar with the messages, isn't that true?

Captain Kramer: Rarely my opinion, sir. My comments in the presence of Secretary Knox almost solely and entirely concerned an explanation on my part, often volunteered, occasionally asked for, regarding particular names appearing in the text, regarding references appearing therein and background concerning obscure points in the traffic.

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, I am going to ask you this question, I am going to read this again:

"That at the time Kramer submitted SIS 25,850 to Secretary Knox he sent a note in with it saying, in effect" -- now, that note, as you say, would be a message through the foreign service officer?

Captain Kramer: That word "note" is apparently Captain Safford's own construction of my sentence.

Senator Ferguson: So instead of it being in writing you sent it in verbally?

Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: (Reading)

"In effect that" -- this is "in effect" -- "that this means a sunrise attack on Pearl Harbor today and possibly a midnight attack on Manila."

Now, do I understand that you swear now that that is not a fact, that bst one?

Captain Kramer: I swear to it, Senator, and have always sworn that I never intended in the least to imply that those remarks I made indicated an attack on Pearl Harbor or, in

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

fact, any overt intention on the part of the Japanese directed toward the United States.

Senator Ferguson: I am not asking you what you intended.

I am asking you whether that is a fair substance of what you said to the foreign service officer?

Captain Kramer: It is not, Senator.

Senator Ferguson: All right. Then General Russell asked this question of Safford:

"How do you know that?" Reading from this tran-

"Captain Safford: Kramer told me.

"General Russell: When did he tell you?

"Captain Sa fford: Kramer told me that just before he left Washington to go to Honolulu for duty. He had not dared" --

"General Frank: Which was when?

"Captain Safford: Which was the spring of 1943.

at that time.

"General Russell: Are there any further matters about these messages now before we go to December the 7th and the other messages?"

Indicating that they had covered that subject. So you see that Safford aga in has testified that in 1943 that is

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

в

12.2

what you told him.

Captain Kramer: That is not what I told him at any time, Senator.

Senator Ferguson: But you told here when Mr. Richardson examined you what you told this foreign service officer. Your answer to Mr. Richardson was the substance of what you told the foreign service officer to convey to Mr. Knox, is that correct?

Captain Kramer: I was referring specifically in my last reply to the word "dared". I don't know where Captain Safford got that part of it.

Mr. Richardson: He is talking about what you told me.

Captain Kramer: I did convey to Captain Safford, I believe -- I do not recall specifically, but to many of my contacts, probably eight or ten or a dozen in the Navy Department, chiefly subordinates, the substance of what I told
Secretary Hull's foreign service officer, yes, sir. I also
mentioned it to McCollum that morning.

Senator Ferguson: Did you tell them when you testified here in replay to Mr.Richardson's question, - did you tell him what you said?

Mr. Richardson: Did you testify here about it to me in answer to my questions?

Captain Kramer: About what question, sir?

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen.Fergusonn

Senator Ferguson: About what you had told the foreign service officer to tell Mr. Knox.

Captain Kramer: I did, sir.

Senator Ferguson: All right.

Mr. Richardson: That answers his question.

Senator Ferguson: How many deliveries did you make of messages on the sixth? You made one at nine at night?

Captain Kramer: Two that I recall, sir; it may have been three. I could determine that, I believe, by a study of the files similar to the one I undertook previously for you, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Yes. I am going to take that up some with you later. So you made two or three deliveries on Saturday?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: What was the name of the secretary to Mr. Knox that was at the apartment at the Wardman? What was the name of that secretary? You said there was a secretary.

Captain Kramer: He had been a secretary.

Mr. Richardson: What was his name?

Captain Kramer: I believe it was Mr. Keefe, sir. I am not certain of that.

Mr. Richardson: All right.

Captain Kramer: In any case he can be identified as at that time being, I believe, the manager of the Chicago

Daily News .

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

The Chairman: John O'Keefe.

Senator Ferguson: John O'Keefe, the Senator tells me.

Now, have you named all of the officers of the Army, the Navy or the Marines that you have talked your testimony over with in relation to Pearl Harbor since the time that you testified before the Navy Board?

Captain Kramer: As to details, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, are there any other officers that you have discussed it with not as to detail?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir, there are.

Senator Ferguson: And will you name them?

Captain Kramer: Colonel Laswell, Commander Rennick, Commander Benedict, Commander Hudson. In any case officers attached to the Fleet radio unit at Pearl Harbor who were long-standing friends of mine and working in this kind of work.

Senator Ferguson: Did you ever discuss it with Bratton? Captain Kramer: Not that I have any recollection of, sir.

Senator Ferguson: You have had no conversations whatever with Bratton about the testimony or about the Pearl Harbor matter?

No. sir. Captain Kramer:

Since the Navy Board? Senator Ferguson:

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

Captain Kramer: Either before or after the Navy Board, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Did you discuss it with Baecher?

Captain Kramer: There was some discussion concerning

the availability of records to which I for the first time had

access in December last year and chiefly my discussion with

Baecher was concerning appointments with counsel for this

committee and Mr. Baecher. Details concerning the content of

my prior testimony or of testimony I would give I did not discuss with Mr. Baecher.

Senator Ferguson: And he asked you nothing about any of the points that you have covered?

Captain Kramer: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Can you tell me just what the occasion was that you took these letters to him and the Halsey memorandum? Had he asked you or did you just volunteer that?

Captain Kramer: I volunteered that, sir.

Senator Ferguson: There wasn't any request?

Captain Kramer: No, sir, it was not.

Senator Ferguson: Well, you were greatly worried, were you not, some time in September and October, you were worried?

Captain Kramer: Of what year, sir?

Senator Ferguson: This last year, 1945.

Captain Kramer: About what, sir?

	-
	-
	•
	•
	•
	•

3

4

5

в

8

8

10

11

Senator Ferguson: Well, I am just asking you whether you were werried?

Captain Kramer: Not that I have any recollection of, no, sir.

Senator Lucas: Unless it is about Pearl Harbor I think it is an improper question to ask him.

Senator Ferguson: This is in relation to Pearl Harbor or I would not have asked him.

Senator Lucas: You said "about anything".

Captain Kramer: I had some concern about my health. I don't think I recall any worry, sir.

Senator Ferguson: There was nothing worrging you at that time that you went to the hospital?

Captain Kramer: No, sir, there was not.

Senator Ferguson: Did you know that a letter had been written to your wife requesting her to crme up on account of you worrying about something?

Captain Kramer: With respect to my health, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, no worrying about Pearl Harbor,or not Pearl Harbor but having something on your mind that you were greatly concerned with?

Senator Lucas: Mr. Chairman, I think this is a highly improper question to ask this witness.

Senator Ferguson: It only relates to Pearl Harbor

12 13 14

15

17

16

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen.Ferguson

9 Captain Kramer: I am willing to answer that question.

Senator Lucas: Well, I know, but there is a limit to everything. The Senator from Michigan now is asking this question about whether the witness was worrying about anything.

Senator Ferguson: No, about Pearl Harbor.

Senator Lucas: -- But you don't go back to Pearl Harbor.

If you confine it to Pearl Harbor, all right.

Captain Kramer: I would like, Senator Lucas, to answer that question.

The Chairman: The chair thinks the witness is willing to answer that question and probably can do so satisfactorily.

Shef 13
Shef 14
Shekk
flas 15

2

3

4

5

θ

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

Captain Kramer: At no time while I was in the Naval Hospital at Bethesda, either for the check-up during August or for my subsequent stay in the hospital in September or October, did I have any worries or concern about what I knew about Pearl Harbor, or any discussions with anyone about either my prior testimony, or what I knew about Pearl Harbor.

I did has a brief discussion, which I have previously indicated in my testimony, with a classmate of mine, namely, Commander Powell, to the effect -- and this bears particularly on your question, sir -- that I might be called as a witness before the contemplated Congressional hearing.

Senator Ferguson: That is what I am talking about, Pearl Harbor.

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: I am not talking about anything else.

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: All right.

Now you had some concern as to whether or not you would be called as a witness?

Captain Kramer: No concern whatsoever, sir. It was simply a conversation in which Halsey mentioned, I believe, as I now recollect it, something to the effect that I was working on things connected with Pearl Harbor in Wasington

18 17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

Witness Kramer

1.5

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Did you appreciate at that time that your testimony, that is, your so-called affidavit which you say now you did not swear to, but you considered it as such, that if you died, it was to be taken as your evidence in any case in which it might arise, and what you said at the Pearl Harbor Board, that there may be a conflict in those two statements?

Captain Kramer: I was unaware of any such conflict, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And therefore, there was no concern

over the fact that you had a memorandum, and you had also

testified in relation to the matter before the Pearl Harbor

Board?

Captain Kramer: That is precisely correct, sir,

Senator Ferguson: You had also testified before the Hewitt committee. Now, was there any concern over the fact that there may be a conflict between your testimony, that is, your memorandum and your testimony before the Pearl Harbor Board, and your testimony before the Hewitt committee?

Captain Kramer: None whatsoever, sir. I at no time had concern about the few facts with which I was familiar concerning Pearl Harbor.

Senator Ferguson: And, as I understand it, as far as you were concerned, there were no conflicts between those

h3

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

three?

Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Were you concerned over your testimony before this Board, that it might conflict with one or more of the testimonies that you had given?

Captain Kramer: None whatsoever, sir. In fact, it was not until I began studying these documents in December last, that I was aware of such conflicts.

Senator Ferguson: When did you discover that there were some conflicts?

Captain Kramer: I learned of certain minor discrepancies. such as the fact that I had previously testified that Commander Wellborn was in Admiral Stark's office on Sunday morning, but it appeared to be not true, inasmuch as Commander Wellborn was not in the Navy Department on Sunday, or at least Sunday morning at all, during the luncheon engagement which I previously testified to at Admiral Stark's home in talking with Captain McCollum.

Senator Ferguson: I do not want any more detail on that, because you have already covered that; isn't that true?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, will you present here to the committee the papers and the documents that you say you

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson
have examined, and which have caused you to make some
alteration or some change from your previous testimony,
or statements?

Captain Kramer: I can refer in that respect, sir, only to the Navy Narrative, all of which I have not read, and part of my testimony as set forth in the transcript of the Naval Court of Inquiry. Nothing else whatsoever, aside from the JD files, which I studied a few days ago, which I saw a week ago Saturday.

Senator Ferguson: That brings us to the JD files, and I would like to get them now.

Mr. Richardson: Where are they?

Senator Ferguson: Is he in the phone booth again?

Commander Baecher: Which ones, Captain Kramer?

Senator Ferguson: 7001, and the ones he refreshed his memory from. I want to see what he used to refresh his memory.

Commander Baecher: The JD files go back a long way, Senator. They are a vast volume.

Senator Ferguson: I want to see the files that this witness examined, from which he has made certain alterations.

Captain Kramer: The 7000 file.

Senator Ferguson: Are they here?

Commander Baecher: I will go on the phone booth sir.

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Kramer: Probably from 6500 on.

Maybe the files are in the booth. The Chairman:

Senator Ferguson: I notice in this testimony I read here this morning that Safford says you told him that on Sunday morning you delivered these copies to Admiral Beardall for the President.

Does that refresh your memory that he was in charge of the White House map room?

Captain Kramer: It does not, sir.

Any implications of that kind may have been gained from the fact that I indicated it had gone to Admiral Beardall's situation room for the President in the White House.

Senator Ferguson: Have you got Exhibit 142 before you? Captain Kramer: The material relating to the winds code, sir?

Senator Ferguson: Yes, it is relating to the winds code. Just a few questions on the winds code.

What did you hand counsel?

Captain Kramer: A brief on that study I showed you a couple of days ago, sir. It is rather not a brief, but a smooth form of it.

Senator Ferguson: Look at this page, at the 7,023. Will you refer to the 7,024, 7,025, the date of message

Ċ

15

17

16

19

18

20

21

22

24

23

24

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson what system they were in, sir. That could be determined by further study of this JD file, however.

Senator Ferguson: Well, would you not say that the delay in those was due to the fact that you did not have the keys?

Captain Kramer: I cannot tell without knowing the system, sir.

Senator Ferguson: But you can specifically state that on 7,023, 7,024, 7,025, and so forth, that is true?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir, because I have on my copy of Exhibit 142, a brief notation of the system. I do not know from Exhibit 2 which JD file number these Army translations were, except the last one.

Senator Ferguson: Does anyone from the Army here have the data that they were to get me on 900 and 901, the time of the translation and the other things from the work sheet?

Colonel Duncombe: Mr. Richardson gave you a memorandum on that.

Senator Ferguson: This only covers 900. I will read this into the record:

"11 February 1946.

"Memorandum for Mr. Richardson:

"Examination of the Signal Intelligence files discloses that Tokyo to Washington message #900, dated 6 December, 1941,

Questions by: Senator Ferguson h8

"The following summary of the message was written on the decode sheet, 'Domei chief praises Kato for good reportage!. The message was not further disseminated."

as I am informed with 901, at least it has a number before 901, so it would be very significant as to whether or not that was translated in the morning or in the evening, and if it was translated at the same time that 901 was translated. 901 bears the date of translation on December 6.

Now, I come back to the point: How does it come about that this very, very important pilot message, which Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

is translated on the 6th, was not delivered on the 6th, or early on the morning of the 7th, with the first delivery?

Captain Kramer: I cannot account, Senator, for the handling of the message by the Army. From the study I made for you a few days ago, my best knowledge and present conviction is that my section in the Navy Department did not receive it until approximately 10:25 or 10:30 Sunday morning, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And when I get the file we are going to go over it so you can tell me what is in the file to refresh your memory. So that you have changed your testimony, as I understand it, that you delivered it on the night of the 6th, your first testimony?

Captain Kramer: I don't believe I have changed that testimony materially, sir, inasmuch as any testimony on this point previously, including in this hearing, in any such testimony I have indicated my doubt of when that thing was delivered.

Senator Ferguson: Do you have doubt now?

Captain Kramer: Not after a study of this file, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: All right. That is why I want the file here.

Bratton's testimony:

hlo

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

"When we received the Japanese message concerning the code to be used in weather broadcasts, I discussed the matter with my opposite number in the Navy, Commander McCollum, and his assistant, Lieutenant Commander Kramer. They informed me that the matter was with their man in Hawaii, that he had all the information that we had and the same intercepts. They stated that he could explain in detail to the Commanding General, or his G-2 the significance of the code, and suggested that our G-2 in Hawaii get in touch with Commander Rochefort immediately as a means of saving time. In other words, we could get the desired information to the Commanding General in Hawaii or his G-2, much faster and in much greater detail and with far greater security than by means of a long involved explanatory message that we would have been forced to send through the Army communications system."

I am reading from page 17 of the Clark report,

Do you remember that conversation with Bratton?

Captein Kramer: I have no recollection of that conversation, nor do I have any recollection of such a message being sent.

Senator Ferguson: Did you see General Marshall on the 6th or the 7th?

	- 11	
		Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson
hll 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Captain Kramer: I did not, sir.	
	Senator Ferguson: Not to deliver to him anything,	
	but just see him?	
	4	Captain Kramer: No, sir.
	5	Senator Ferguson: You know you did not see him?
	6	Captain Kramer: I know that, sir.
	7	Senator Ferguson: Now, I will come to one message,
	and I will try to be short on it.	
	If you just tried to keep to answers as short as you	
	can, we will get through sooner.	
	Captain Kramer: I will try, Senator.	
	Senator Ferguson: Did you ever know that the Army	
	had set up a similar system to yours, not using cards,	
	but using sheets of paper?	
	Captain Kramer: No, sir, this is my first knowledge	
	of that, sir.	
	17	Senator Ferguson: You did not know that that was set
	18	up the same way in the Army?
	19	Captain Kramer: No, sir, not in the least.
	20	Senator Ferguson: But you did know that the system
	21	being used in the Navy was by means of these cards, and
	22	telephone conversations?
	23	Crptain Kramer: Yes, sir.
	24	Senator Ferguson: Had you ever on any other occasion
	-	

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson set up a system whereby you were to deliver messages by means of a card system like this, and telephone conversation?

Captain Kramer: That was the first and only instance of which I am aware, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, was Admiral Noyes able to translate Japanese?

Captain Kramer: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: When did you first hear that the winds message that you saw on the 5th -- you place it as the 5th?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: The winds execute message, when did you first hear that that was phoney?

Captain Kramer: I never heard that, sir. I reached that conclusion myself, commencing sometime in early December of last year, after I commenced a study of these documents, including those interrogations conducted in Japan last fall.

Senator Ferguson: Now wait. Do I understand that you have drawn this conclusion that it was a phoney from the Japanese messages, that they never sent it out?

Captain Kramer: I have, sir. I thought I had clearly indicated that in previous testimony.

h13

4

3

5

в

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

1.7

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

Senator Ferguson: In the same message that the Japanese claim they never saw the winds execute message, they deny ever having set up the means of sending it out, and do you now claim --

Captain Kramer (interposing): I am unfamiliar with that, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Will you show me what you draw that conclusion from?

Captain Kramer: I believe it is in interrogation No. 11, or it may be No. 10, conducted by part of General MacArthur's staff.

Senator Ferguson: I wish you would get me that file, because they deny having sent either 2353 or 2354.

Mr. Richardson: What difference doss it make? He said he read it and based his conclusion on it. You have a right to bring thatin, of course, but it does not do any good to call his attention to that question, except to have it go into the record.

I agree they say they never did send it.

Senator Ferguson: You now say you did not see the Japanese deny sending out the original two code messages, that they would set it up in that manner?

Captain Kramer: That did not impress me at the time, if it is included in that interrogation, sir, because I

10

12

14

15

16

17

19

18

51

20

22 23

24

25

Witness Kramer Questions by: Senator Ferguson know that they did.

Senator Ferguson: And therefore when the Japanese say they did not send out the execute message, you came to the conclusion that it was phoney? The one that you saw?

Captain Kramer: It was not solely on that, sir. Senator Ferguson: Well, what else was it based on? Captain Kramer: On many other aspects of this so-called winds system, sir.

Senator Ferguson: What aspects, solely on this question that this was a phoney that you saw on the teletype?

Captain Kramer: I have clearly indicated, I think, Senator, that I was thoroughly convinced at the time I saw that teletype that it was an authentic winds message.

Senator Ferguson: I do not think there is any doubt but what that is your testimony.

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: You thought it was authentic? Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And up until you saw the Japanese messages from Japan to MacArthur, you felt all the time that it was authentic, and then you came to the conclusion that it was a phoney?

Captain Kramer: That was very likely it, sir. I do not know still whether it was or not.

*25

h15

3

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: All right.

Now, we have diluted it so it very likely was, and you do not know whether it was or was not.

Captain Kramer: That is correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now have you any other evidence that weakened it, and brought you down to the conclusion that it may have been a phoney? I would like to see it, if you have any other evidence.

Captain Kramer: No other specific evidence that I can recall now, sir.

Senator Ferguson: All right.

Captain Kramer: It was just a general conclusion I came to.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know whether or not there were any other winds execute messages, other than the one you saw, that ever came in on the teletype?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir, there were a number, which proved to be false alarms in each case.

Senator Ferguson: And on those occasions, you demonstrated they were false alarms?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: But no undertaking was ever made to determine that the one you saw was not a legitimate execute message?

hl6 Witness Kramer
Captain Kr

в

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Kramer: My understanding was that such a study was undertaken.

I should like to be more specific on that Senator, in case it does not appear clear to you.

Senator Ferguson: I do not want hearsq at this particular time.

Captain Kramer: No, sir, I am talking from first hand knowledge now, sir.

There were as many as three or four hundred weather reports received during that 10-day period.

AL fls

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Senator Ferguson: I am only talking about the teletyped weather reports.

Captain Kramer: That is what I am talking about, sir. Senator Ferguson: Let us keep to that.

Captain kramer: Over and above that there were the FCC voice broadcasts.

Senator Ferguson: I am not talking about that, only about the teletype.

Captain Kramer: I will leave that out. Those which I examined, which consisted of two or three during night hours and probably a haif-dozen during daylight hours, on each occasion I saw the full sheet of teletype, including the whole of the Japanese news broadcasts, and examined the weather report contained therein as to the characturistics called for by the Japanese weather code system. The piece of teletupe I saw on 5 December was a short piece of teletype. All these other weather reports I have referred to, several hundred in number, were examined by the (1) watch officer and determination made as to authenticity.

In this particular case I was shown a piece of teletype paper torn off of the long strip, and my presumption was that the GY watch officer had made the determination I have just outlined. I remember his showing it to me and accompanying him, and my only check was as to the wording.

MOTERINE.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

13

30

21

22

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: You have gone over that before.

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now what I want to know is whether or not in the Department, prior to the attack, there had been any survey, which you have personal knowledge of, that the winds execute message that you saw was a false one?

Captain Kramer: No, sir, not that I am aware of, sir.

Senator Ferguson: That is all I want to get at.

You have handed me this sheet. As soon as I can get the original file 7001 and the others I want you to point out on the files themselves what there is that caused you to want to make certain statements in relation to a change in your testimony.

Now can I ask Commander Baecher whether we will have this?

Commander Baecher: That will be here at 1:30.

Captain Kramer: I have a photostat of that sheet, 12 you would like to see it, sir.

Senator Ferguson:1s this an original?

Captain Kramer: I prepared that.

Senator Ferguson: I want the whole file, I want to go through it with you. I have no other questions at the present time, except this last final one --

Mir. Murphy: Mir. Chairman, may I make a correction?

23

24

2

4

3

5

6

7

9

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

(17)

20

22

21

23

24

25

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

The Chairman: Just a minute.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know of any other statements now, or any other information that you feel in any way will help or aid this committee in ascertaining the facts in relation to the Pearl Harbor catastrophe?

Captain Kramer: I have not, Senator. Those papers which have been introduced in the last few days are the only thing, in my best knowledge and belief, that might, by any construction, be considered as bearing on this issue.

Senator Ferguson: And there are no other papers or memoranda written by you, up until the time you took the witness stand, that are outstanding at the present time?

Captain Kramer: That is precisely correct, sir.

Senator Ferguson: So we are not quibbling on that word "memorandum", or anything else?

Captain Kramer: No, sir, there are not.

Senator Ferguson: And as soon as I can get that file I will close.

Mr. Murphy: May I make one correction in the record? The Chairman: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: On page 10,927 there is reference on two occasions to a letter. The letter I was referring to was the letter written by Admiral Kimmel. The record shows Admiral Wilkinson. I ask that "Wilkinson" be changed to

Witness Kramer

"Kimmel" on page 10,927.

The Chairman: Very well. It is now 12:30, and we will recess to 1:30.

(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee recessed until 1:30 o'clock p.m. of the same day.)

i 7

3

4

5

ß

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

AFTERNOON SESSION

1:30 P.M.

The Vice Chairman: The committee will please be in order.

TESTIMONY OF CAPTAIN ALWIN D. KRAMER

(resumed)

Senator Ferguson: Captain Kramer, I spoke to you this morning about the message of the 24th of September 1941 and we left it hang in the balance because you said it had been pointed out in some way where the gist of it was determined and then I left it because I did not know what you were referring to, I had not seen what you were referring to.

Now, at the noon hour I have received three pages and one is a copy of page 12 in exhibit 2, if I might come near there and refer to this exhibit and we will straighten this matter ou.

Now, page 12 is the so-called bomb plot of Pearl Harbor. The Vice Chairman: Is that page 12 of exhibit 2? Senator Ferguson: Of exhibit 2.

Now I show you what is a photostatic copy of that message with the exception of "Original copy" in longhand writing at the top. Is that correct?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And the word "Secret" was in black and then there is "Top Secret-Ultra" in red. Is that cor-

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

reot?

2

3

4

6

õ

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

64

Captain Kramer: Sir, at the time that message was disseminated the only thing that appeared was the "Secret" at the top and I believe at the bottom. The "Top Secret-Ultra"

was not on it.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know when the words "Top Secret-Ultra" was put on top?

Captain Kramer: That has presumably been done at some time subsequent to Pearl Harbor, sir, by a new custodian of the files.

Senator Ferguson: "Top Secret-Ultra." What is the "Ultra"? Is that the purple code?

Captain Kramer: No, sir, that was a code developed in 1942, I believe, applying to this crypt-analytical work.

Senator Ferguson: Now, at the bottom is a stamp and it has 1012-I, 2-OP-G, MIG, BE, B" what is that?

Captain Kramer: Pound sign, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Pound sign. "AF, XY, MONO." Is that correct?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: What is that stamp on the bottom of that message?

Captain Kramer: That was a stamp we commenced using in the fall of 1941 to indicate interesting and important

2

.3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Witness Kramer

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

messages. It was stamped on by the yeoman at the same time when he was applying the JD file number to it, but was not always done; there were some days we skipped it for lack of time. When it was on there, and again if I had time to do so, I would circle those symbols to insure that items of interest to the people or organs represented by those symbols were apprised.

Senator Ferguson: All right. Now on the original page 12 of exhibit 2 that stamp does not appear?

Captain Kramer: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: This photostatic copy is a photostatic copy of what now appears in the Navy Department as far as page 12 of exhibit 2 is concerned?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir. That was only used by Navy. Senator Ferguson: Yes. Now, did you circle anything on any of those numbers indicating that they should be of special interest to them?

Captain Kramer: There is nothing circled on this piece of paper, no, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, the next page that was in this exhibit is "Top Secret-Ultra" both in black print and red stamp. Is that correct?

Captain Kramer: Yes, sir.

"GZ routing system." That would in-Senator Ferguson:

20

22

21

23

24