

Thursday August 11, 1988

### Part IV

# Department of Transportation

Research and Special Programs Administration

Department of Energy Application for Inconsistency Ruling Concerning Colorado Nuclear Materials Transportation Act of 1986 and Implementing Regulations; Public Notice and Invitation to Comment

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Research and Special Programs Administration

[Docket No. IRA-44]

#### Department of Energy Application for Inconsistency Ruling Concerning Colorado Nuclear Materials Transportation Act of 1986 and Implementing Regulations

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Public notice and invitation to comment.

SUMMARY: The United States Department of Energy (DOE) has applied for an administrative ruling determining whether the Colorado Nuclear Materials Transportation Act of 1986 and the regulations issued thereunder are inconsistent with the **Hazardous Materials Transportation** Act (HMTA), and the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR) issued thereunder and, therefore, preempted under section 112(a) of the HMTA. DATES: Comments received on or before September 23, 1988, and rebuttal comments received on or before November 10, 1988, will be considered before an administrative ruling is issued by the Director of the Office of Hazardous Materials Transportation. Rebuttal comments may discuss only those issues raised by comments received during the initial comment period and may not discuss new issues. **ADDRESSES:** The application and any comment received may be reviewed in the Dockets Unit, Research and Special Programs Administration, Room 8421, Nassif Building, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Comments and rebuttal comments on the application may be submitted to the Dockets Unit at the above address, and should include the Docket Number, IRA-44. Three copies are requested. A copy of each comment and rebuttal comment must also be sent to Mr. Lawrence H. Harmon, Director, Transportation Management Division, Office of Defense Waste and Transportation Management, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585 and to Public Utilities Commission, State of Colorado, 1580 Logan St., OL2, Denver, CO 80203, and that fact certified to at the time comment is submitted to the Dockets Unit. (The following format is suggested: "I hereby certify that copies of this comment have been sent to DOE and the Colorado PUC at the addresses specified in the Federal Register.")

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward H. Bonekemper, III, Senior Attorney, Office of the Chief Counsel, Research and Special Programs Administration, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590, telephone 202– 366–4362.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### 1. Background

The HMTA (49 App. U.S.C. 1801 et seq.) at section 112(a) (49 App. U.S.C. 1811(a)) expressly preempts "any requirement, of a State or political subdivision thereof, which is inconsistent with any requirement" of the HMTA or the HMR issued thereunder.

Procedural regulations implementing section 112(a) of the HMTA and providing for the issuance of inconsistency rulings are codified at 49 CFR 107.201 through 107.211. An inconsistency ruling is an advisory administrative opinion as to the relationship between a state or political subdivision requirement and a requirement of the HMTA or HMR. Section 107.209(c) sets forth the following factors which are considered in determining whether a state or local requirement is inconsistent:

(1) Whether compliance with both the state or local requirement and the HMTA or HMR is possible (the "dual compliance" test); and

(2) The extent to which the state or local requirement is an obstacle to the accomplishment and execution of the HMTA and the HMR (the "obstacle" test).

Inconsistency rulings do not address issues of preemption under the Commerce Clause of the Constitution or under statutes other than the HMTA.

In issuing its advisory inconsistency rulings concerning preemption under the HMTA, OHMT is guided by the principles enunciated in Executive Order 12612 entitled "Federalism" (52 FR 41685, Oct. 30, 1987). Section 4(a) of that Executive Order authorizes preemption of state laws only when the statute contains an express preemption provision, there is other firm and palpable evidence of Congressional intent to preempt, or the exercise of state authority directly conflicts with the exercise of Federal authority. The HMTA, of course, contains an express preemption provision, which OHMT has implemented through regulations and interpreted in a long series of inconsistency rulings beginning in 1978.

2. The Application for Inconsistency Ruling

On July 25, 1988, Lawrence H. Harmon, Director Transportation Management Division, Office of Defense Waste and Transportation Management, U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), filed an application on behalf of DOE for an inconsistency ruling. That application specifically requested a finding that certain provisions of Colorado's regulations implementing the Colorado Nuclear Materials Transportation Act of 1986 (CNMTA) Article 2.2 of Title 40, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1984 Repl. Vol., as amended, be determined to be inconsistent with the HMTA and the HMR.

DOE alleges that the CNMTA and its implementing regulations apply to carriers transporting through Colorado highway route controlled quantities of radioactive material (HRCQ) as defined in 49 CFR 173.403(1). DOE states that it is the Federal agency responsible for radioactive materials transportation to which the Colorado Regulations pertain under the following applicability language:

\* \* \* radioactive materials being transported to the waste isolation pilot plant in New Mexico and radioactive materials being transported to any facility provided pursuant to section 135 of the federal 'Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1962', 42 U.S.C. 10101, et seq., or any repository licensed by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission that is used for the permanent, deep, geologic disposal of high-level radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel.

DOE contends that the Colorado regulations under the CNMTA are inconsistent with the HMR insofar as they impose information and documentation requirements, classify hazardous materials, impose training requirements, specify permit requirements and impose penalties and fees.

With respect to information and documentation requirements, DOE alleges that the following Colorado regulations exceed HMR requirements and, therefore, are inconsistent with the HMR:

(1) NT-3(a), which requires that the telephone number of the Colorado State Patrol (CSP) be carried with the other shipping papers within the cab of every motor vehicle with instructions to the driver or person in charge of the vehicle to call that number in the event of any incident, accident, or breakdown of equipment;

(2) NT-5(c)(5), which requires that the original vehicle inspection report and any subsequent inspection report shall be retained in the vehicle while transporting nuclear materials within the State;

(3) NT-8(f), which requires that each person transporting nuclear material within the State shall carry in the motor vehicle a copy of a nuclear materials transportation permit issued by the Colorado Public Utilities Commission. (4) Appendix 8-A to the Colorado rules, which requires that the permit applicant supply additional information in order to receive a permit, including:

a. A copy of the company's driver training program, which must describe preparation for mountain driving if the route to be traveled is mountainous;

b. Proof that the applicant has obtained liability insurance required by federal rules;

c. A nuclear incident plan that demonstrates applicant's ability to respond to a nuclear incident, which must include provisions for removal of the vehicle and its cargo, prevention or minimization of releases of radioactivity, and decontamination of the environment; and

d. The carrier's plan for replacement or repair of equipment that has been placed out of service by a Port of Entry or State Patrol officer after inspection or has been inoperative due to mechanical failure or other circumstance.

(5) *NT-9*, which contains prenotification requirements in excess of those required by the HMR.

In addition, DOE asserts that Colorado Rule NT-9 applies the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulation for prenotification of spent fuel shipments, 10 CFR 173.73(f), to all HRCQ shipments—instead of the less restrictive NRC regulation applicable to non-spent fuel HRCQ shipments. The HMR (49 CFR 173.22) incorporates the NRC requirements. DOE contends that Rule NT–9 thereby establishes a classification of hazardous materials that impermissibly differs from that of the HMR.

In the area of training, DOE alleges that Appendix 8–A to the Colorado regulations constitutes an inconsistent training requirement. DOE argues that Appendix 8–A's requirement that each permit applicant supply a copy of the company's driver training program, which must describe preparation for mountain driving if the route to be traveled is mountainous, is inconsistent with 49 CFR 177.825.

**Concerning Colorado's permit** requirements, DOE argues that they are inconsistent because of their extensive information requirements and their vague and discretionary standards for determining when a permit may be issued. DOE cites the required submission of a nuclear incident plan that demonstrates the applicant's ability to respond to a nuclear incident as an example of a vague standard for permit issuance. DOE further contends that the permit requirements ban transportation of HRCQ in compliance with the HMTA and the HMR unless a permit is obtained and create the likelihood of diversion of transportation to other jurisdictions.

Finally, DOE contends that the Colorado regulations providing for civil penalties for violations of the regulations (Rule NT-4) and providing annual and single-trip permit fees (Rules NT-8 (c) and (e)) are inconsistent insofar as they relate to inconsistent regulations or support an inconsistent permit system.

#### 3. Public Comment

Comments should be restricted to the issue of whether the requirements of the CNMTA or its implementing regulations are inconsistent with the HMTA or the HMR. They should specifically address the "dual compliance" and "obstacle" tests described above under "Background."

Persons intending to comment on the application should examine the complete application in the RSPA Dockets Branch, including the text of the CNMTA, the regulations issued thereunder, and the procedures governing the Department's consideration of applications for inconsistency rulings (49 CFR 107.201– 107.211).

#### Alan I. Roberts,

Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Transportation.

Issued in Washington, DC on August 5, 1988.

[FR Doc. 88-18220 Filed 8-10-88; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-60-M



## **Reader Aids**

#### INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

#### **Federal Register**

redetat negister	
Index, finding aids & general information Public inspection desk Corrections to published documents Document drafting information Machine readable documents	523-5227 523-5215 523-5237 523-5237 523-5237
Code of Federal Regulations	
Index, finding aids & general information Printing schedules	523 <b>-5227</b> 523-3419
Laws	
Public Laws Update Service (numbers, dates, etc.) Additional information	523 <b>-6641</b> 523 <b>-5230</b>
Presidential Documents	
Executive orders and proclamations Public Papers of the Presidents Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents	523-5230 523-5230 523-5230
The United States Government Manual	
General information	523-5230
Other Services	
Data base and machine readable specifications Guide to Record Retention Requirements Legal staff Library Privacy Act Compilation Public Laws Update Service (PLUS) TDD for the deaf	523-3408 523-3187 523-4534 523-5240 523-3187 523-6641 523-5229

#### FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES, AUGUST

28855-28996	1
28997-29218	2
29219-29322	3
29323-29440	4
29441-29632	5
29633-29874	8
29875-30010	9
30011-30242	10
30243-30420	11

**Federal Register** 

Vol. 53, No. 155

Thursday, August 11, 1988

#### CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING AUGUST

At the end of each month, the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

1 CFR
Proposed Rules:
Proposed Rules: 29990   2
19
22
3 CFR
Proclamations:
5843
EO 12647)
12647
5 CFR
890
213
7 CFR
27. 29325   210. 29144   301. 29653   704. 29552   725. 29221   795. 29552   910. 29441   917. 29875   927. 29441   929. 29443   948. 29639   967. 29443   981. 29222   993. 29444
1230

VC	accuments published sinc	6
- 7	accentioned passion of one	
	1942	30245
	1945	30382
	1446	28997
	1497	29552
	1498	
	Proposed Rules:	00050
	277	29858
	401	29341
	920	30288
	931	29688
	932	29688
	1065	30289
	1079	30291
	1126	29689
	1405	
	1408	29307
	1421	30068
	1910	20241
	3400	30414
	8 CFR	
		00011
	1	30011
	204	
	205	
	211	30011
	212	
	214	30011
	216	30011
	223	
	223a	30011
	235	30011
	242	
	245	
		30011
	Proposed Rules:	00040
	100	29818
	10329804,	29818
	245a	.29804
	264	29818
	299	29818
	10.050	
	10 CFR	
	Proposed Rules:	
	Ch. I	29912
	12 CFR	
	227	29225
	510a	
	524	
	611	
	701	29641
	70129040,	20446
	747	
	761	.29045
	790	.29646
	791	. 29646
	795	. 29651
	Proposed Rules:	
	615	.30071
	13 CFR	
	121	29876
	Proposed Rules:	
	123	29691
	123	

#### Federal Register / Vol. 53, No. 155 / Thursday, August 11, 1988 / Reader Aids

ii

21 CFR
12
74
81
82
175
177
178
1308
22 CFR
207
23 CFR
658
24 CFR
24
50
58
201
203
234
511
575
576
905
941
965
965
968
Proposed Rules:
4100
26 CFR
1
602
Proposed Rules:
1
30147
54
301
27 CFR
9
28 CFR
2 29233
29 CFR
Proposed Rules:
1910
1915
1917
1918
1926
2510
20.058
30 CFR
Proposed Rules:
256
Proposed Rules:
20
75
77
701
773
785
843
935
32 CFR
199
385
386

387	101
389	101
030	201
33 CFR	201
1	201
100	Pro
110 29032	10
11029032 11728883, 29032, 29034, 29680, 30260	100
29680, 30260	42
165	Pro
Proposed Rules: 117	74.
165	40
166	41
34 CFR	43
327	44
675	48 48
	40
36 CFR	48
7	49
Proposed Rules: 7	10
13	43
	Pu
37 CFR	66
202	
Proposed Rules: 202	44
	64
38 CFR	Pr 67
4	07
21	45
Proposed Rules: 21	80
	46
39 CFR	30
232	98
Proposed Rules: 111	15
232	15
40 CFR	47
	0.
23	1.
30224	32
60	64
62	69 73
168	~
180	8
261	9.
27129460, 29461 27230054	P
300	2
370	2
761	3
Proposed Rules: 29194	/.
50	7
51	8
52	9
58	4
180	2
248	2
261	5
30029484, 30005 30429428	5
	1
41 CFR	P
101-7	2
101-2629234	9

101-40	
101-47	
201-11	
201-30	
201-31	
201-32	
Proposed Rules:	
101-1	28895
105-1	
100-1	
42 CFR	
42 UFR	
Proposed Rules:	
74	
405	29486, 29590
410	
410	
416	
416	
416	

#### 47 CFR

0		29053
	*****	
32		30058
64		29053
	29056, 294	
		895-29897
87		28940
Propose	ed Rules:	
Propose 2	ed Rules:	30075
Propose 2 22	ed Rules:	30075 30075
Propose 2 22 36	ed Rules:	30075 30075 29493
Propose 2 22 36	ed Rules:	30075 30075 29493 '51, 29925-
Propose 2 22 36 73	ed Rules: 29493, 297 29	
Propose 2 22 36 73 74	ed Rules: 29493, 297 29	
Propose 2 22 36 73 74 80	ed Rules: 29493, 297 29	
Propose 2 22 36 73 74 80	ed Rules: 29493, 297 29	

#### **48 CFR**

208	29332
252	29332
505	28885
514	28885
525	28885
1246	30176
Proposed Rules:	
215	29347
927	29494

#### 49 CFR

30265
29337, 29467,
30285, 30286, 29480, 29907

#### **Proposed Rules:**

1	4	30077
8	0	29500
6	.00	30082
6	01	30082
6	04	30082
6	05	30082
6	11	30322
6	25	29549
6	72	30322
6	575	30322

#### LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS

Last List August 9, 1988 This is a continuing list of public bills from the current session of Congress which have become Federal laws. It may be used in conjunction with "P L U S" (Public Laws Update Service) on 523-6641. The text of laws is not published in the Federal Register but may be ordered in individual pamphlet form (referred to as "slip laws") from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 (phone 202-275-3030).

H.R. 2615/Pub. L. 100-381 To provide that certain lands shall be in trust for the Pechanga Band of Luiseno Mission Indians of the Pechanga Reservation, California. (Aug. 8, 1988; 102 Stat. 897; 1 page) Price: \$1.00

H.J. Res. 90/Pub. L. 100-382 To authorize and request the President to call and conduct a White House Conference on Library and Information Services to be held not earlier than September 1, 1989, and not later than September 30, 1991, and for other purposes. (Aug. 8, 1988; 102 Stat. 898; 5 pages) Price: \$1.00



Just Released

# Code of Federal Regulations

Revised as of April 1, 1988

Quantity	Volume	Price	Amount
	Title 18—Conservation of Power and Water Resources Parts 280-399 (Stock No. 869-004-00059-6)	\$13.00	\$
	Title 24—Housing and Urban Development Parts 700-1699 (Stock No. 869-004-00081-2)	19.00	
	Title 27—Alcohol, Tobacco Products and Firearms Parts 1-199 (Stock No. 869-004-00101-1)	23.00	
		Total Order	\$
A sumilative sheekli	ist of CED insurance appears even Mendau in the Enderst Depictor in the Decider Aids		

section. In addition, a checklist of current CFR volumes, comprising a complete CFR set, appears each month in the LSA (List of CFR Sections Affected).

Please do not detach

**Order Form** 

Order No.

Charge to my Deposit Account No.

3

Mail to: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Enclosed find \$\_\_\_\_\_\_. Make check or money order payable to Superintendent of Documents. (Please do not send cash or stamps). Include an additional 25% for foreign mailing.



Total charg	ges \$_			_ Fil	l in	th	e b	oxe	s	be	lo	w.	
Credit Card No.			Π	Ι	Γ						Γ	Γ	
Credit Card No.													

For Office Use Only.

Please	send	me	the	Code	of	Federal	Regulations	publications	L	have	
selecte	d abo	ve.									

Name-First, Last						
	1111				111	111111
Street address				1 . I . I	1.1.1	
			11			11111
Company name or additi	cnat addres	Sline				
					111	
City				1 1 1 1 m	State	ZIP Code
				1	111	11111
(or Country)				dami		
PLEASE PRINT OR TY	PE					

Quantity Charges Enclosed To be mailed Subscriptions Postage Foreign handling MMOB OPNR UPNS Discount Refund





