Def. Doc. 2636 Count 54 and 55 allege violations of the laws of war, The evidence which the accused ITAGAKI will present, taken in connection with that already adduced, will show: That he did not consciously join or participate with anyone in any plan or preparation for any war of aggression, or in the execution thereof or any war in violation of international law. 2. That he had no culpable or wrongful part in any of the incidents mentioned in the Indictment and in the evidence. 3. That he, likewise, had no culpable or wrongful part in the causing of war against the United States, The Philippines, Great Britain, The Natherlands or Thailand. 4. That he neither caused, counseled, ordered, permitted, committed or condoned any mistreatment of any prisoners of war at any place. That, on the contrary, at all times and places with which he had anything to do he endeavoured to see to it that such prisoners were well and fairly treated and, within the limits of supplies available, that they were well fed, housed and clothed. 5. That he did not commit, or cause, advise, commar or condone any violations of the laws of war. We will show that the Mukden incident was not pl but was a chance occurrance which happened following a long series of violent acts committed by the Chinese troops. That the Kwantung Army, and Japanese nationals, being in a place where they had a right to be, in order to defend agai. the violent and murderous acts of the Chinese troops, took actions and measures solely for self-defense. The evidence will show that there was no plan to se' up military government of Manchuria and that none was set up

Def. Doc. 2636

That there was no plan, so far as the Japanese were concerned, to have Manchuria secede from China and set up an independent State, and that the movement which resulted in such seccesion and the establishment of Manchukuo originated with and was accomplished by the Manchurians themselves

He will show that Pu Yi's coming to Manchuria was the result of the wishes of the Manchurians and the burning desire on Pu Yi's part to be restored as Emperor of the Manchus.

It will be shown that the Concordia Society of Manchukuo, was not to function in furtherance of wars of aggression, but its purpose was to establish a peaceful land of kingly rule on the basis of racial concordia; the accused ITAGAKI was not one of the founders of the society and had no control over it.

The evidence will show that at the time of the Marco Polo Bridge incident, ITAGAKI was the Commander of the Fifth Division, was not connected with the General Staff and had no part in or responsibility for the China incident mentioned in count 19.

His evidence will disclose that at the time of the fall of Nanking, ITAGAKI, as Commander of the Fifth Division was stationed at Paoting, Hopei; that he had no part in, control over, knowledge of or responsibility for that operation.

ITAGAKI became War Minister in the KONOYE Cabinet at the urgent request of the Premier because KONOYE knew that ITAGAKI agreed with him, on

- (a) withdrawal of the Japanese army from China;
- (b) peaceful settlement of the differences existing between Japan and China.

That as War Minister in the first KONOYE Cabinet ITAGAKI made effort to bring about such withdrawal and

Def. Doc. 2636

settlement; but that circumstances such as Chinese military action and CHIANG Kai-Shek's insistence on a policy of down treading Japan, made it impossible and drove Japan into a protracted war.

After the fall of the KONOYE Cabinet HIRANUMA requested ITAGAKI to remain as War Minister. As establishment of peace with China was by this time impossible, no other course was open to Japan save to endeavor to break down China's anti-Japanese attitude.

This necessitated Japan's gearing her domestic economy to enable her to carry on such activities while, at the same time, keeping herself prepared to seize all opportunities for settlement with China.

We will show that the negotiation with Germany and Italy for strengthening the anti-Comintern Pact was not for purposes of aggression, but to improve Japan's international position and to induce China to abandon her pro-Comintern and anti-Japanese policies, to strengthen Japan against the Soviet menace in the North and to save continental China from chaos.

That Germany's conclusion of a non-aggression pact with the Soviet Union ended the matter.

We will show that with respect to the advance of some Japanese troops from China to Indo-China in September 1940, ITAGAKI had nothing whatever to do with it and was and is not responsible in any way. That transfer of troops was made by Imperial Headquarters in Tokyo which detached the force from the China army and then ordered them to Indo-China. Counts 15, 23, and 33 relate to this matter.

From July 1941 to April 1945 ITAGAKI was in command of the Korean Army and had no part in the plan for or opening of the Pacific War.

Def. Dec. 2636

The Korean Army was on a peace time footing up to February 1st, 1945, and had no operational duties in connection with the Pacific War.

From April 1945 to the termination of the war ITAGAK was in Singapore as commander of the 7th Area Army.

camps they were under the control of the Southern Area Army and administered under the regulations promulgated by the Central Bureau in Tokyo. The only function falling within t duty and responsibility of the 7th Area Army was to hold the custody of such prisoners and to supply them. ITAGAKI at all times endeavoured to see to it that all prisoners were dealt with fairly, and provided with food, shelter, elothing and medical care within the limits of the facilities evailable. Allied sinkings of supply ships and severing of transport and communication lines in the area caused a critical shortage of supplies, but he made the best possible use of them. Prisoners received substantially the same rations as Japanese soldiers.

他

ノ全被告

(=)

裁判長並 被告校垣が本件起訴狀ニ於 他 全被告 二裁判官各位

板

垣

征

郎

頭

陳

並

テ訴追せ ラレアル的因ハ

至第五 鼓峯、 11 ン事件、 共二掲ゲ ラレタ 太平洋戰争全般习含公 11 共同謀議 一満洲事变、中國事变、 ニ関スル訴因第 張

蘭王國 華民國、 乃至第十七 佛國 米國 泰國 英國、 共二振ケラレタ 豫洲麻纸、 新西蘭、加奈陀、印度、比律賓國、和 所謂侵略戰争、 國際法違脊戰争 計畫準備=関スル部因第六

 (\equiv) 他 ノ被告ト共ニ掲ゲラ

(a) 満洲事变 開始、 遂行 = 関スル前因第十八、 第二

- 中國事变ノ開始、遂行二関 スル訴因第十九、第二十
- 佛國ニ對スル戰爭ノ開始、遂行ニ関スル訪因第二十三、第三十三
- 張鼓峯事件、開始、遂行=関スル許因第二十五、第三十五、
- (色) (7) 米国、比律賓国、英国、和蘭王国、泰國ニ對スル戦争遂行ニ関スル ノモンハン事件/開始、遂行ニ関スル訴因第二十六、第三十六
- は 俘虜虐待三関スル前因第四十四及南京 第三十 新因第四十五、廣東 第三十四

張鼓峯——前因第五十二 前因第四十六、漢口——前因第四十七、 前因第五十

(代)通常/戦争犯罪三関スル訪因第五十四、 第五十五

ノ四十一箇三及ンデヰルノデアリマス。

此處二於テ校垣被告八既三辯護側一 般段階ニ於イテ示サ

点ヲ立證致シマス

謀議ヲ作ツタ事ナク又斯ル計画ヲ遂行シテ國際法ヲ犯セルコトナシ 被告校垣ハ如何ナル侵略戦争ノ計恵又ハ準備ヲ意識シテ他ノ人ト共同

被告板垣八訴因竝二證據ニア ル如き事件ニツキ犯意ヲ持ケ不正ニ参加

タコトナシ

被告板垣八米國、 比律賓國

4 不正二遂行シタコトナシ

Ш 命令、 事実ハ正三友對ニシテ彼が俘虜 被告板垣へ如何ナル地區ニ於 許可等ヲ爲シ又ハ実行シ 於イテモ俘虜虐待ノ原因ヲ作ツタリ勸告、英國、和蘭王國ニ對スル戰爭ヲ犯意ヲ持 タリ宥恕セルガ如キ事ハ絶對ニ無シ

全テノ俘虜が公正ニシテ良好ナ り給養ヲ與へ良き住居ト被服ヲ與ヘタノデアル ル待遇す受クル様に努力シ物資ノ許ス限三對シ責仕す有セル期間又ハ場所ニ於テ

五 被告ハ又戦争法規ヲ犯シ違反ノ 又ハ動告

デ起ツタ偶発事件デアツテ関東軍及ビ日本人ハ合法的三駐屯及ビ居住シテ 奉天事件ハ計匣サレタルモノデナク支那軍ノ長期ニロル多数ノ暴行ニ次ィ 居タノデ支那軍ノ暴虐限リナキ行為三對スル防衛ノ爲自衛行為ヲ取ッタノ ルコトナシ

デアルコトラ立證致シマス。 又満州ニ於テ軍政ヲ施行スルが如き計画ハ全クナク又事実実施サレマセン ク満洲が支那カラ独立シ満洲国が発生シタノハ満洲國人自身ニョリ創意サ デシタ、日本三関スル限り満洲ヲ支那ヨリ分離シ独立國ヲ作ル如き計画ナ し達成サレタノデアル事ヲ立證致シマス

専儀氏推載ハ在住民ノ希望ト仮ノ満洲國皇帝=成ラントスル熱意ト

モノデァルコトハ板垣自身ニョリ判ツキリ證言スルデアリマセウ

板垣ハ之カ創立者デモ何ンデモナ 清河回協和自八侵略戦等ラ遠行や レトハ反對三民族協和ノ王道樂土 カツタコトハ證人ニョリ判ツキリ立證シ 一建設り鳥土民意暢達ノ會デアッタコトト ンが鳥ニ作ラレダ畑も含ず八全クナク夫

蘆溝橋事件常時校垣ハ第五师団長デアツテ其ノ頃参謀本部ニ在ツタコト 断シテナイコト從ツテ訴因第十九ノ被疑事実ハ全ク其ノ根據ナギコトヲ校 垣本人及證人ニョリ立證スルデアリマセウ

全ク関係ナカッタコトニッ 自分ト意見が一致シテ居ルコト 相が當時板旭ハ日本軍ノ中國ヨリノ全面撤兵、日華和平解決ノ考へニ於テ 校垣が第次近衞内閣 = 於ケル陸相トシテ近衞首相ニ迎ヘラレタノハ近衞首 又所謂南京事件當時反拒ハ第五师団長トシテ北支河北省保定三駐伯シア イテモ右同一詮人が證言スルデアリマセウ ヲ知ツタカラデアリマス。

校垣ハ斯クテ速三全面撤兵シ日華和平解決ヲ圖ルベク第一次近衞内閣ノ陸 中國ノ徹底的全面抗戰ノ決意及蔣介石氏ノ日本控制政策が果ジナキ長期抗 相トシテ全努力ヲ之ニ傾注シタノデ アツタ、然シ下ラ各般ノ事情、就中、

戰へ,泥沼へ日本ヲ追ヒシンダハデ

ルコトラ立證スルデアリマセウ

留仕シマシタ、前述!如ク、日華和平!迅速ナル招耒ハ殆シド不可能!問 第一次近衞内閣崩壞後、校垣ハ平沿氏ノ懇請ニヨリ平沿内閣ノ陸相トシテ 拾ノ方途ヲ見出スヨリ外ナク、仮? 題トナッテ来タトスレバ中國ノ抗日態勢ヲ切リクズス方向ニ向ッテ時局収 テロ本ハ國内態勢ノ整備ヲ圖リ長期戦

ノデアリマス

二備ヘルト同時二凡百ノ機舎ラ捉へ

テ中国事変解決ニ資スル必要ガアツタ

伊関係ヲ深メ之ヲ抽軸トシテ国際関係上日本ノ地位ノ向上ヲ圖リ中國政府 防共協定ヲ强化スル為独逸ト次涉シタ ハ侵略ヲ意圖シタノデハナク日独

縁トナシ北方ソ連! 武刀及共産主義攻勢=對スル防衞強化ヲ圖リ中國大陸ヲンテ容共抗日ヲ断念セシメ援蔣諸國ヲ防共、共存共榮=轉回セシムル機 独ソ不可侵條約締結=ヨリ萬事終止トナツタコトハ證人及校垣自身ノ證言 ノ混乱ヲ収拾スル方途トセン爲デアッタ. 而モ其ノ交渉中途ニ於テ独逸ノ ョリ更ニー層明瞭ニスルデアラウ

時板垣カ属シテヰタ支那派遣軍トハ何等関係ナカシタ 九四ヶ年九月下旬北部佛印〈日本軍ノ一部ガ平和進駐シタ件=付テハ當

リ立隆シマス。 右進駐軍・派遣ハ東京大本營=依ツテナサレタモリデアルコトヲ證人=ヨ

| 関係前因ハ第十五、第二十三、第三十三デアリマス。

リ從ツァ太平洋戦争ノ計更準備開始三付何等干與スル所ハアリマセンデン 九四一年七月ョリー九四五年四 月迄板垣ハ朝鮮軍司令官トシテ京城ニ在

ルニ至ラズシテ終戦トナッタノデア ニ在ツタが連合軍ノ攻撃ニヨリ各地トノ連絡へ完全ニ悪断サレ之ト交戦スーカ四五年四月ヨリ終戦起第七方面軍司令官トシテ校垣ハコシンガポトルールのディア・イン・アンティア・リーラ明カニダンマス

今ノ規定ニ基キ行ハレテ居リマシタ 俘虜收容が、南方派遺軍司令官人 隷下ニアリ其ノ管理ハ東京ノ中央當局発

三取扱に、可能+に限り施設給養等す良好ニシ其ノ管理ニ遺憾ナキヲセシ以上ノ 両司令官時代ヲ通シ校垣ハ俘虜ノ取扱ニ付テハ最モ留意シ之ヲ公正 メタノデアリマス。 ・

Def. DDC. #2636

肝心回側が南方地區を於テ糧秣 rハ糧秣危機ヲ生ジマシタガ彼ハ出耒ル犬ノ事ヲシマ 光ド大差ナイ給養ヲ受ケテ居タコ

ERRATA SHEET

Opening Statement

Individual Defense of: ITAGAKI, Seishiro P.2, line 1,

after "Count" add "53".