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## Summary

The current issue of The Fruit Situation is devoted to apples and grapes.

Apples: Following one of the smallest crops on record, the 1937 apple crop is indicated to be one of the largest in the past decade. The increase in the total crop over the average is due entirely to heavy production in the Central and Atlantic Coast States. Production in the Western States is about the same as the 1931-35 average. Domestic demand, however, is expected to be better than for any year since 1930-31, and some improvement is also expected in foreign demand. Present prices of apples are below those of 1936 and i.t is probable they will continue so during the entire season. It is erpected, however, that prices will average a little higher than in 1935. Fven with lower prices than last year, the larger volume of apple sales this year probably will bring a larger gross cash income to growers than in 1956 and the largest since 1930.

Grapes: The 1937 grape crop in California is indicated to be the largest sirce 1928 and production of grapes in the other producing regions is above average. Partially offsetting the larger crop of grapes this year are smaller stocks of raisins, wine, and brandy. Demand for grapes and grape products is much improved over last year, and will largely offset the effect on prices of the large grape supplies, so that prices may average only slightly below those of last year. If this year's large crop can be disposed of at prices near those of 1936, gross cash income to growers may be from 15 to 20 percent above that of 1936 and the largest since 1929 .

## THE APPIE SITTATION

BACKGROUND.- Apple production fluctuetes widely from year to year and, particularl: zuring .he past 10 or 12 years (see figure l): large ard small rops have occurred aiternately with marled ref̧ulerity. Sjine l9z0 there has been no marked trend in apple production, which averaged about 160 milli on bushels from 1920-21 to 1936-37, although during the same period the number of apple trees was reduced 35 to 40 percent (figure 2). This reduction in tree numbers was not accompanied by a material derease in production because of a marked increase in total rield per bearing tree.

## Supply: Second largest in 10 ycars

Following one of the smallest crops on record, the 1937 apple crop is indicated to be one of the largest in the past docade. Basca on conditions as of Soptember l, the total United States crop is indicated at 204 million bushels, which is more than one-fourth larger than the 1931-35 ave. ge production and almost three-fourths larger than the 2936 crop. The increase in the total 1937 crop over the average is, due entrely to heavy production in the Central and Atlantic Coast States, as production in the Western States is about average 1/.

Tablel.- Apples: Production by regions, average 19: $1-35$, annual 1936 and 1937

| Region | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad \text { Average } \\ & : \quad 1931-35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} : & 193 E \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} : \text { Indicatcd } \\ : \quad 1937 \end{gathered}$ | : 1937 as : percentage :of avorage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & : 1,000 \\ & : \quad \text { bushels } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bushels } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { bushels } \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| North Atlantic l/ | 41,430 | 29,011 | 54,180 | 130.6 |
| South Atlantic 2/ | 26,945 | 19,935 | 39,029 | 144.8 |
| Total Atlantic | 68,425 | 48,946 | 93,209 | 136.2 |
| North Central 3/ | 32,809 | 17,593 | 46,987 | 143.2 |
| South Central 4/ | 7,265 | 3,214 | 11,131 | 153.2 |
| Total Central | 40,074 | 20,807 | 55,118 | 145.0 |
| Rocky Mountain 5/ | :7/ 8,504 | 6,581 | 8,720 | 102.5 |
| Pacific Coast 67 | 7/ 43,905 | 41,172 | 44,272 | 100.8 |
| Total Western | 52,409 | 47,753 | 52,392 | 101.1 |
| Total United | 160,909 | 117,506 | 204,319 | 127.0 |

I/ Naine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Nassachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Ncw York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania. $\quad 2 /$ Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, Vest Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia. 3/ Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Nichigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, South Dakota, N!cbraska, Kansas.
4/ Kentucky, Tennessec, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas
5/ Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada. 6/ Wash ington, Oregon, California. 7/ Includes some quantities not harvested.

If See footnotes to table 1 for States included in these groups.

Apples: Total U.S. Production and Production with Average Growing Conditions, 1909 to Date


## Apples: Number of Trees and Average Yield Per Tree*, 1909 to Date




## Production in Atlantic Coast States

Total production of apples in the Atlantic Coast States is indicated at more than 93 million bushels. This is nearly twice as large as the small crop of 1936 and more than one-third larger.than average. Exceptionally large crops are in prospect in New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia, the principal producing States of this region, and in all but a few of the remaining States the indicated 1937 production is considerably above average.

## Production in Central States

The 1937 apple crop in the Central States is indicated at 58 million bushels, which is almost 3 times as large as last year's small crop and nearly half again as large as the 1931-35 average. As in the Atlantic Coast States, all of the principal producing States and most of the other States in this region have large orops in prospect. The 5 States, Michigan, Ohio, Illinois, Missouri and Kentucky, had an average total production of 27 million bushels during the 5 -year period 1931-35. This year's prospective crop in these States is 42 million bushels.

Production in Western States
In the Western States this year's crop is indicated at 53 million bushels, only 1 percent above the 1931-35 average, but 11 percent larger than the 1936 crop. Prospective production in Washington and Oregon is slightly below average, but the crop in Idaho is slightly above average and that in California about 12 percent higher.

Movement of apples to market
The total of carlot shipments of apples for the 1937 season through September 11 is slightly less than the total for the like period of 1936. Carlot shipments from the Central and Atlantic Coast States have been heavier than a year ago, but the increase has been more than offset by smaller shipments thus far from the Pacific Northwest. Relatively small shipments to date from Washington and Oregon are due largely to a late season.

Carlot shipments cannot be taken as an entirely reliable indication of the total movement of apples to market, particularly from producing regions relatively close to consuming centers, because of the considerable increase in truck shipments during recent years. In 1930, 22 percent of the apples marketed in 4 important terminal markets were shipped by truck. Five years later the percentage of truck shipments to the same 4 markets had increased to 42 percent. Figures are not available on total truck shipments, but it is likely that the volume of apples moved by truck this year is larger than that of last year. Consequently, total movement of apples to market thus far this season, has probably been greater than indicated by carlot shipments.

## Production of other fruits

Large supplies of all deciduous fruits are in prospect this jear. The peach crop is above average; the pecr crop is of record size; and the grape crop is the largest in 9 years. Tie extent $\ddagger 0$ which these other fruits compete with apples is not kom; but uncoubtedly they are a factor of some importance.

## Demands Very Promising

Consumer buying power this year is much improved over that of last season, and the domestic demand for apples is expected to be better than for any year since 1930-31.

Table 2 gives the monthly indexes of nonagricultural income, wich reflect changes in consumer buying power. The April-to-March averase of monthly indexes of income has been taken to represent the level of derand during each apple marketing season, since there is aporently some las in translating changes in income into changes in demand for apples. This procedure also centers the average at the time of heaviest sliment of apples to market. If the index of nonagricultural income remains near the July figure of 97.6 for the remainder of 1937 ;' as now seems likely, the April-to-March average this season will be about 97 percent of the 1924-29 average. This would be about 8 percent greater than the average of last season ind ebout the same as in 1930-31.

## Prices and Incone to Growers

Prices of apples this season to date have averaged considerably below those of lost year, and it is expected that they will continue below the 1936 prices during the romainder of the season. The improvement in demend over last year will not be sufficient to ofiset the effect of the exceptrionally large supplies, and lower averase prices than last yecr will result for growers in all producing areas. It is probable, however, that prices will averase a little higher than in 1935, when the crop was smeller but demand conditions were much less favorable. The volune of apples which will be sold this year, however, will be so large that, despite lower prices, the gross cash income to apple growers will probably be a little larger than in 1936 and the largest since 1930.

The l5th of the month averge prices received by srowers in June and July (the first 2 months of the marketing season) were higher this year than last. The explanation is trofold. In the first place, the season was late and the volume of new apples was small during June and early July. Secondly, prices of old apples at the end of the 1936-3? season were very high, and since old apples are not entirely disposed of until in July their prices would affect the average farm price in the first 2 months of the new marketine season. In August, horever, when supplies were heavier, the averaee price to Erowers this year fell below that of 1936. In teminal markets prices of all varieties averased considerably lower during the first half of September this ycar than a year ago, but in most cases were higher than in September 1935.

| Year | : |  | May | June | July : | fug. | $\begin{array}{r} : \\ \text { Sept. } \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | oct. |  | Dec. |  | Feb. : | Mar. | Average AprilMarch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1919-20 | : | 65.9 | 66.3 | - 68.3 | 72.0 | 74.0 | 76.0 | 74.0 | 76.8 | 79.6 | 84.0 | 81.1 | 83.8 | 75.2 |
| 1920-21 | : | 82.9 | 83.3 | 84.6 | 85.2 | 84.6 | 83.7 | 81.1 | 79.8 | 75.4 | 75.9 | 73.5 | 72.6 | 80.3 |
| 1921-22 | : | 71.9 | 72.9 | 73.4 | 72.4 | 73.2 | 72.6 | 71.5 | 72.3 | 72.6 | 70.8 | 70.2 | 71.0 | 72.1 |
| 1922-23 | : | 70.6 | 73.7 | 76.7 | 75.6 | 78.0 | 80.6 | 80.2 | 83.0 | 83.1 | 83.8 | 82.5 | 84.0 | 79.3 |
| 1923-24 | : | 84.9 | 86.9 | 87.6 | 88.3 | 88.6 | 88.6 | 89.3 | 90.9 | 9). 4 | 91.7 | S2.6 | 92.1 | 89.3 |
| 1924-25 | : | 92.7 | 90.8 | 88.9 | 87.6 | 88.1 | 89.3 | 89.2 | 90.0 | 92.7 | 93.6 | 93.6 | 93.4 | 90.8 |
| 1925-26 | : | 93.8 | 94.3 | 95.1 | 96.9 | 96.9 | 97.1 | 99.7 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 100.3 | 100.6 | 101.0 | 98.0 |
| 1926-27 | : | 100.3 | 98.4 | 99.7 | 99.1 | 99.8 | 100.9 | 101.9 | 101.6 | 101. 3 | 101.6 | 102.2 | 101.8 | 100.7 |
| 192,7-28 | : | 102.3 | 102.3 | 102.4 | 102.0 | 102.4 | 102.0 | 100.7 | 100.7 | 100.7 | 101.8 | 102.4 | 103.1 | 101.9 |
| 1928-29 | : | 102.6 | 102.6 | 104.4 | 105.2 | 105.7 | 105.4 | 105.5 | 105.3 | 105.0 | 105.1 | 105.7 | 105.9 | 104.9 |
| 1929-30 | : | 106.2 | 106.5 | 106.9 | 108.0 | 109.3 | 108.7 | 108.6 | .107.1 | 106.3 | 105.5 | 104.6 | 103.7 | 106.8 |
| 1930-31 | : | 103.4 | 103.2 | 172.2 | 101.2 | 99.3 | 98.2 | 96.2 | 94.6 | 92.8 | 91.5 | 91.2 | 90.5 | 97.3 |
| 1931-32 | : | 89.7 | 88.3 | 87.0 | 85.7 | 83.6 | 81.8 | 79.9 | 79.0 | 77.7 | 76.8 | 75.2 | 73.2 | 81.5 |
| 1932-33 | : | 71.0 | 68.9 | 66.2 | 64.0 | 62.9 | 63.6 | 63.5 | 63.4 | 62.3 | 62.6 | 61.5 | 59.4 | 64.1 |
| 1933-34 | : | 58.9 | 60.3 | 61.9 | 62.0 | 63.9 | 65.3 | 65.8 | 66.6 | 68.4 | 71.5 | 71.1 | 71.9 | 65.6 |
| 1934-35 | : | 71.2 | 72.2 | 71.9 | 71.8 | 72.1 | 71.0 | 71.8 | 72.5 | 73.5 | 75.4 | 75.9 | 75.8 | 72.9 |
| 1935-36 | : | 76.1 | 75.8 | 75.7 | 75.5 | 76.7 | 77.3 | 78.4 | 79.3 | 81.5 | 81.5 | 81.9 | 82.5 | 78.5 |
| 1936-37 | : | 83.1 | 84.1 | 85.1 | 86.8 | 87.4 | 87.9 | 89.8 | 92.6 | 100.9 | 92.8 | 93.8 | 95.3 | 90.0 |
| 1937-38 | : | 96.2 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 97.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.-Apples, eastern: L.C.L. price per bushel, New York, by montins, 1932-33 to 1937-38.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Variety } \\ \text { end } \\ \text { season } \end{gathered}$ |  | Oct. : | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Eeb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Av. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wealthy: | : DoI. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | DO1. | Dol. | D01. | Dol. | DO1. | Dol. |
| 1932-33 | . 81 | . 92 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | . 86 |
| 1933-34 | . 93 | 1.00 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | . 96 |
| 1934-35 | : 1.21 | 1.37 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1.29 |
| 1935-36 | : . 68 | . 79 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | .74 |
| 1936-37 | : 1.16 | 1.28 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1.22 |
| 1937-38 | :1/ . 86 |  | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { McIntosh: } \\ & (\mathbb{N} . Y . \text { State }): \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932-33 | $: 1.06$ | 1.13. | 1.18 | 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.13 | 1.25 | 1.53 | --- | 1.19 |
| 1933-34 | : 1.10 | 1.15 | 1.37 | 1.46 | 1.51 | 1.60 | 1.70 | 1.97 | --- | 1.48 |
| 1934-35 | : 1.61 | 1.95 | 2.05 | 1.98 | 1.88 | 1.98 | 1.65 | 1.61 | 1.95 | 1.85 |
| 1935-36 | : . 98 | 1.08 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.27 | 1.31 | 1.27 | 1.45 | 1.48 | 1.28 |
| 1936-37 | : 1.50 | 1.85 | 1.99 | 1.92 | 1.88 | 2.03 | 2.33 | 2.50 | 2.83 | 2.09 |
| 1937-38 | :1/1.35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Greening: $2 /$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932-33 | : --- | . 72 | . 76 | . 78 | . 71 | .75 | . 93 | $3 / 1.27$ | --- | . 85 |
| 1933-34 | . 98 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 1.34 | 1.55 | --- | --- | --- | 1.22 |
| 1934-35 | 1.13 | 1.11 | 1.30 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.21. | 1.28 | 1.24 | --- | 1.22 |
| 1935-36 | . 79 | . 73 | 1.01 | 1.07 | 1.01 | 1.12 | 1.02 | --- | --- | . 96 |
| 1936-37 | : 1.09 | 1.19 | 1.36 | 1.33 | 1.31 | 1.39 | 1.68 | --- | --- | 1.34 |
| 1937-38 | :1/.78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baldwin: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932-33 | : --- | --- | 3/.85 | 3/.72 | 1.08 | 1.11 | -- | 1.09 | 1.02 | . 98 |
| 1933-34 | --- | . 83 | . 85 | . 84 | . 89 | 1.04 | 1.30 | 1.33 | 1.44 | 1.06 |
| 1934-35 | : | 1.13 | --- | $1.33{ }^{\circ}$ | 1:44 | 1.42 | 1.41 |  | 1.53 | 1.38 |
| 1935-36 | : --- | . 61 | . 72 | . 93 | . 95 | . 97 | . 89 | . 99 | 1.02 | . 88 |
| 1936-37 | : | 1.06 | 1.18 | 1.34 | 1.39 | 1.49 | 1.70 | 2.04 | 2.12 | 1.54 |
| 1937-38 | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Averase through September 11.
Includes Rinode Islond Greening and Northwestern Greening. Less than 10 quotations.

| Variety and seasmn | -July | Aug | Sept | Jct | NつV. | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar. | Apr. | May | une | uly | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | DOl. | DOl. | Dol. | Dol. | Dol. | DO1. | Dol. | Dol. |
| Gravenstein: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932-33 | 1.60 | 1.21 | 1.57 | --- | --- | - | --- | - | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1.37 |
| 1933-34 | 1.92 | 1.58 | 1.31 | -- | - | - | - | - | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1.52 |
| 1934-35 | 2.11 | 2.03 | - | - | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 2.06 |
| 1935-35 |  | 1.54 | 1.85 | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1.57 |
| 1936-37 | 2.20 | 1.99 | 1.6) | 1.00 | --- | --- | --- | - | --- | - | --- | --- | -- | 1.96 |
| 1937-38 | 1.83 | 1.29 | 1/. 95 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | $1 / 1.31$ |
| Delicious: $1 /$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932-3.3 | --- | - | 2.12 | 1.71 | 1. 64 | 1.61 | 1.44 | 1.44 | 1.58 | 1.94 | 1.92 | 1.79 | . 80 | 1. 63 |
| 1933-34 | - | - | 2.43 | 1.85 | 1.94 | 2.13 | 2.43 | 2.48 | 2.38 | 2.35 | 2.21 | 1.8) | 1.51 | 2.13 |
| 1934-35 | - | - | 2.03 | 1.90 | 1.93 | 1.9) | 1.75 | 1.72 | 1.70 | 1.99 | 2.22 | 2.08 | -- | 1.86 |
| 1935-36 | - | -- | 2.1J | 1.76 | 2.30 | 1.92 | 1.75 | 1.88 | 1.79 | 1.92 | 1.86 | 1.63 | 1.53 | 1.85 |
| 1936-37 | - | - | 2. 35 | 2.16 | 2.24 | 2.38 | 2.48 | 2.68 | 2.72 | 2.84 | 3.02 | 2.97 | 1.65 | 2.5) |
| 1937-38 |  | --- |  |  | --- | --- | --- | ---- | --- | ---- |  | --- | --- | --- |
| Winesap: I/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932-33 | --- | --- | --- | - | 1.35 | 1.49 | 1.38 | 1.36 | 1.31 | 1.52 | 1.45 | 1. 60 | 1.73 | 1.50 |
| 1933-34 | --- | --- | --- | --- | 1.74 | 1.72 | 1.94 | 1.98 | 1.92 | 1.90 | 1.75 | 1.70 | 1.50 | 1.75 |
| 1934-35 | --- | --- | - | 1.35 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.47? | 1.49 | 1.45 | -1.79 | 2.28 | 2.59 | 2.59 | 1.31 |
| 1935-36 | --- | --- | - | 1.25 | 1.32 | 1.84 | 1.68 | 1.66 | 1.71 | 1.66 | 1.75 | 1.87 | 1.90 | 1.77 |
| 1936-37 | --- | --- | --- | 1. 63 | --- | 2.05 | 2.16 | $2.37{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.23 | 2.34 | 2.42 | 2.25 | 2.09 | 2.28 |
| 1937-38 | --- | --- |  |  |  |  | --- |  | --- | --- |  | --- | -- | --- |
| Average of $\dot{\text { varieties: }}$ shown abo ve: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| shown abo ve: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932-33 .... | 1.60 | 1.21 | 1. 69 | 1.55 | 1.49 | 1.51 | 1.38 | $1.37^{\circ}$ | 1.41 | 1.57 | 1.59 | 1.80 | 1.76 | / 1.51 |
| 153-34 | 1.92 | 1.58 | 1.45 | 1.59 | 1.71 | 1.92 | 2.06 | $2.19{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.07 | 2.15 | 2.06 | 1.80 | 1.50 | 2/ 1.90 |
| 1934-35 | 2.ll | 1.89 | 1.71 | 1.74 | 1.80 | 1.76 | 1.61 | 1.59 | 1.59 | 1.83 | 2.17 | 2. 1.1 | 2.11 | 1.78 |
| 1935-36 | --- | 1.54 | 1.79 | 1.70 | 1.93 | 1.84 | 1.7) | 1.81 | . 1.72 | 1.79 | 1.77 | 1.78 | 1.84 | 1.77 |
| 1936-37 | 2.20 | 1.98 | 1.79 | 2.J6 | 2.09 | 2.21 | 2.28 | 2.41 | 2.34 | 2.43 | 2.42 | 2.29 | $2.08{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.26 |
| 1937-38 | 1.83 | 1.29 | $\underline{2 / .96}$ |  |  | . | . | . |  |  |  | . |  | ) 1.31 |

[^0]Apples:


## Larger Exports Anticioated

A much larger volume of apples will be exported from the United States to Ruropean countries, chiefly Ingland and France, this year than in the $1936-37$ season. The principal reason for this is the prospective large domestic crop and low prices compared with last year. Ordinarily the volume of apple exports, particularly of fresh apples, is largely dependent on domestic supply and demand conditions.

Table 6.-Apples: Domestic exports from the United States, 1927-28 to 1936-37

| Year | : | Fresh | : Canned $:$ in terms $:$ of fresh | Dried <br> in terms <br> of fresh | Total | ```Percentage of prodaction``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |  |
|  | : | bushels | bushels | bushels | bushels | Percent |
| 1926-27 |  | 21,292 | 675 | 4,764 | 26,731 | 11.6 |
| 1927-28 |  | 9,430 | 573 | 3,165 | 13,168 | 11.4 |
| 1928-29 |  | 21,042 | 1,151 | 7,295 | 29,488 | 16.6 |
| 1929-30 |  | 10,279 | 836 | 3,466 | 14,581 | 10.8 |
| 1930-31 |  | 20,340 | 640 | 5,559 | 26,539 | 16.9 |
| 1931-32 |  | 18,030 | 695 | 4,602 | 23,327 | 11.4 |
| 1932-33 |  | 13,754 | 748 | 5,690 | 20,192 | 13.8 |
| 1933-34 |  | 12,261 | 439 | 6,297 | 18,997 | 12.8 |
| 1934-35 |  | 8,062 | 561 | 3,571 | 12,194 | 9.7 |
| 1935-36 |  | 12,239 | 900 | 5,032 | 18,171 | 1.0 .2 |
| 1936-37 |  | 6,284 | 503 | 3,103 | 9,890 | 8.4 |

Prospects for apple production in most European countries point to smaller crops than last year. This fact, coupled with improved demand conditions in many countries, should mean a. somewhat. better foreign demand for American apples this season than in 1936-37. In England the apple crop is much smaller than a year ago, but the effect on United States exports of this situation may be partially offset by a relatively large Concdian crop. The apple crop in France is also smaller than a year ago. In addition, the French import license tax on apples has been reduced 50 percent, which may encourage imports of American apples. Prospects for apple exports to Germany are not good. Germany's foreign trade policy in recent years has resulted in a tremendous reduction in the volume of that country's international trade, and has been particularly unfavorable to agriculturol products of the United States.

Table 7.- Apples: Production, value and weighted average farm price by regions, 1919-35

| Year | Unit |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { States } \\ & \text { : Total. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : North } \\ & \text { : Central } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & \text { Centra } \end{aligned}$ | :Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Testern: Total } \\ & \text { States } \begin{array}{l} \text { United } \\ \\ \end{array} \text { States } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1919 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bu: 33,598 | 17,826 | 51,426 | 25,519 | 12,627 | 39,146 | 50, c60 140,632 |
| Value | : " dolls.: 61,470 | 28,780 | 90,250 | 51, 340 | 21,932 | 73,272 | 82, 447245,969 |
| Price | Dolls. : 1. ©3 | 1.51 | 1.75 | 1.94 | 1.74 | 1.87 | 1.651 .75 |
| 1920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bu.: 73,126 | 32,777 | 105,903 | 49,952 | 14,402 | 64,354 | 36,431 206,688 |
| Value | : " dolls.: 70,586 | 35,491 | 106,077 | 67,456 | 25,845 | 93,301 | 53,592 252,970 |
| Price | Dolls. : . 97 | 1.08 | 1.00 | 1.35 | 1.79 | 1.45 | 1.471 .22 |
| 1921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bu.: 20,908 | 3.357 | 24,265 | 13,285 | 3,216 | 16,501 | 54,872 95,638 |
| Value | : " dolls: 38,340 | 5, 057 | 45,007 | 26,587 | 5,953 | 32,540 | 79,066 156,613 |
| Price | : Dolls. : 1.83 | 1.99 | 1.85 | 2.00 | 1.85 | 1.97 | 1.44 |
| 1922 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bu.: 50,218 | 24, 805 | 75,105 | 47,143 | 14,850 | 61,993 | 52,327 189,425 |
| Value | : " dolls: 48,084 | 24,320 | 72,404 | 50,164 | 16,925 | 67, ¢89 | 54, 543194,136 |
| Price | Dolls. : . 96 | . 98 | .96 | 1.06 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.041 .02 |
| 1923 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bu: 41,386 | 24,191 | 65,577 | 44, 640 | 8,676 | 53,316. | 62,c22 180,915 |
| Value | : " dolls.: 50,908 | 26, 340 | 77,248 | 48,661 | 12,575 | 61, 236. | 65,916 204, 400 |
| Price | Dolls. : 1.23 | 1.09 | 1.18 | 1.69 | 1.45 | 1.15. | 1.061 .13 |
| 1924 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bu.: 38,913 | 31,944 | 70,857 | 30,129 | 15,961 | 46,110. | 43,490 160,457 |
| Value | : " dolls.: 47,006 | 30,780 | 77:786 | 37,034 | 19,737 | 56,771. | 58,748 193,305 |
| Price | Dolls. : 1.21 | .96 | 1.10 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.23. | $1.35 \quad 1.21$ |
| 1925 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bas: 41,837 | 18,422 | 60,259 | 32,280 | 8,694 | 40,974 | 51,191 152,424 |
| Value | : " dolls.: 52,583 | 20,561 | 73,144 | 39,661 | 12,084 | 51,745 | 65,789 190,678 |
| Price | : Dolls. : 1.26 | 1.12 | 1.21 | 1.23 | 1.39 | 1.26 | 1.291 .25 |
| 1926 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bv.: 61,181 | 45,723 | 106,904 | 44,968 | 14,724 | 59,692 | 63,060 229,656 |
| Value | : " dolls.: 53,889 | 32,094 | 85,983 | 44, 845 | 13,627 | 58, 47? | 59, 293 203,748 |
| Price | : Dolls. : . 88 | . 70 | 80 | 1.00 | . 93 | . 98 | . 95 . 90 |
| 1927 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prod. | :1,000 bu: 27,694 | 15,873 | 43,567. | 21,702 | 3,285 | 24,988 | 47,153 115,708 |
| Value | : " dolls.: 41,944 | 21,903 | 62,947 | 32,762 | 5,028 | 37,790 | $61,045161,782$ |
| Price | : Dolls. : 1.48 | 1.38 | 1.44 | 1.51 | 1.53 | 1.51 | $1.29 \quad 1.40$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7.~ Apples: Production, value and Teighted average farm price by regions, 1919-35 Cont'd.


BACKGROUID.- California produces between 85 and 90 percent of the total Eraves rised $n$ in the United States. Boaring acrease of rapes in California increased raoidly during the eanl. $1920^{\prime}$ s, reachine a peak in 1926. Since then, bearins acreace has doclined each year, but the rate of decline since 1933, when the prohibition amendment was repealed, has been rather slow. Production followed a downward trond from 1927 to 1933, but since 1933 the trend seems to be sligintly upward. (figure 4).

Of the remaining 10 to 15 percent of the total United States grape crop about four-fifths are produced in the followire six States (arranced in order of importance): Now York, Michiean, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Arkansas, and Missouri. Productioin of the balance of the crop is dividod amone the remaining 41 States. There has been no pronouncod trend in production of grapes in the principal producing resions outside of Cslifornia, althoush, as in Colifornia, croos fluctuate rather widely in size from jear to year.

## Sumply: Products in Califormia Near Record

Based on September 1 conditions, the 1937 grapo crop in California is indicated to be the larzest in the past 9 years, and except for the crops in 1927 anc 1928, the larcest in the history of the Stete. With present bearing acreade about one-fifth less than in 1927 and 1928, the averace vield this jear is the highest on record. The total crop is indicated at 2,262,000 tons, compercd with $1,714,000$ tons in 1935 and the 1931-35 average of 1,760,000.

> Table 8.- Grapes: Production by regions, average 1931-35, aniual 1936 and 1937

apes: U.S. Production and Farm Price,
and California Production by Types

(fresh basis)

> QUANTITY GANNED IS USUALLY LESS THAN I/IO OF I PERGENT OF TOTAL AND IS NOT SHOWN ASA SEPARATE SECTION OFTHE BAR * PRODUGTION INDICATED AS OF SEPTEMBER

The ercatest incroase over the crop of last yoar and the averacic occurred in the production of raisin varieties, which usuall. constitute from 55 to 60 percent of the tutal. Indicatcd production of thesc vinrictics is almost onc-third sreater than the 1931-35 avrafg. The production of wine varieties, usually about one-fourth of the total crop, is indicated at 27 percent morc than averae, while the crov of table varieties, which constitute the remaincer of California production, is incicatec at 19 percent above averaçe.

Partially offsetting the lare crov of grapes this year are shaller stocks of certrin erape products. Estimates b; the trade indicate that stocks of olc raisins in Colifornia on Scptember 1 were no more thar 40,000 tons, comparca with approximately 60,000 tons at the bceinnine of the 1936-37 season. Total stocks of wine on hand Junc 30 this year werc about 13 percent sinaller than those of a year ago. If the present uward trond in wine consumotion, as indicatcc. by tax-paid withurawals (table lo), cortinues durine the next year, as now secms ?robable thou,h the rate of incronse may be less, this decrcase in stocles becomes even morc sicnificant. Stocks of brandy on Junc 30, 1927, werc about 10 percent smaller than thosc of a jear aco.

Tablc 9.- Still Winc: Stocks on hand Junc 30, by crades, 13.34-37 I/

| Year | Not over 14 percent | Over 14 and not over 21 percent | Over 21 and not over 24 percent | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,000 531s. | 1,000 cals. | 1,000 cals. | 1,000 \%als. |
| 1934 | 30,504 | 18,774 | 890 | 50,168 |
| 1935 | 32, 868 | 23,160 | 436 | 56,464 |
| 1936 | 31,449 | 46,070 | 1,027 | 73,546 |
| $1937 \mathrm{I}$ | 25,000 | 41,500 | 800 | 53, 300 |

1) Preliminary, subject to revision.

Table lo.- Still Wine: Tax paid withdrawals by alcoholic classification, 1933-34 to 1936-37

| Fiscal <br> rear | : | 14 percent alcohol:14 percent alcohol: |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : | Gallons | Gollons | Gallons |
|  | : |  |  |  |
| 1932-34 | : | 5,053,269 | $9,472,419$ | 14,525,688 |
| 1934-35 | : |  |  |  |
| July-Dec. | : | 6,408,107 | 11,715,125 | 18,123,232 |
| Jon.-June | : | 5,732,485 | 11,544,683 | 17,277,168 |
| 1935-36 | : |  |  |  |
| July-Dcc. | : | 8,726,868 | 13,655,876 | 25, 32, 2,744 |
| Jan.-June | : | 7,057,700 | 15,023,960 | $22,001,660$ |
|  | - |  |  |  |
| 1936-37 | : |  |  |  |
| July-Dcc. | : | 11,436,442 | 22,641,332 | 34,077,774 |

## Production Varies in Other States

The total production of grapes for 1937. Outside of California, was indicatcd on September 1 at 312,170 tons, comparcd with 202,460 tons in 1936, and the 1921-35 averase of 292,394 tons. Grave prospects are much better than last year but below averace in Now York, Pennsylvania, and Michirgan. Indications point to a very laree crop in Ohio and to above average crops in Missouri and Arkansas.

## Demand and Utilization of 193? Crop

Consumer buyine power is much improved over that of a year ago, and it is likely to remain near present levels, at least durine the remainder of 1937 and the early part of 1938. 2/ This means a vetter demand durine the current marketing season for fresh grapes and erapc.products than in the 1936-37 season.

The demand for srapes on the part of raisin packers and vintners is expected to be better this season than last. "Rising fo.b. prices for raisins and wine have resulted in a fairly profitable season for raisin packers ard サintncrs. In. addition, consumption of commercial. wine in the• United States durine the 1936-37 season appears to have increased about 25 percent over the preceding year, even while vine priccs vere risine." 3/

Fieure 5 shows raphically the estimated utilization of California Erapes from 1927 to 1936 . In view of cxistine conditions of demand, supply, and prices it seems likely that in the neikhborinood of 1 million tons of California grapes will be dried for raisins this season; about 325,000 tons will be used fresh as table stock; and aporoximately $200,000 \mathrm{will}$ be usec as juice stock in private homes. This mould leave approximately 735,000 tons to bc cruslicd by comercial wineries, and indications are that sufficicnt empty cooperatc Fi ill be available to accommodate more than 900,000 tons of rapes.

Only about 10 percent of the eastern rrapo crop is ordinorily used by comncrial concerns for makine wine and about the same percent for vinfermented Srepe juice. Allowinc for small quantities used by comercial preserving companies, we may conclude that at least threo-fourths of the total frape crop in the other States, excluding California, is ordinarily uscd fresh in private homes. Consumer Juyin power is of orimary importance, therefore, as directly affecting the cemand for rapes in these States.

[^1]
## Prices and Income to Growers

## California grapos

It is likely that the improvemont in domand will largely offset the effect of larger supplies, and the average price to growers of all, California grapes coribincd this year noy be only slightly lower than that $n f$ last year. Fron the standpoint of incoi.e to growers the outlonk this yoar is extronely faverable. If this ycar's large crop of Cथlifernia grapes can be dispnsed rf at prices not greatly lower than those $\cap f$ last year, gross cash incone to growers may be fro: 15 to 20 percent above that of 1936 and the largest since 1929.

Prices of early shipuents of California grapes in general have been sowewhet higher than those of a yoar agn. (sec table ll.) This has beon at least partly due to the late serson and ennsequent lighter shipments thus far. It is not expected that either market prices or f.o.b. prices will continue throughout the season at higher levels than obtained in 1936.

Table ll.- Grapes: Auction prices per lug, New York, and f.o.b. cash track prices, specified perieds, 1936 and 1937


Grapes in other States
$\therefore$ in the case of Chlifernia grapes, prices of grapes frem other preducing regiens are expected to be enly slightly below the relatively good pricos of last year. Tho improvement in dewand seo:is sufficiont to offset largely the offect of lerger supplies. If prices do average near these of la~t year, this ycar's large crop will bring a griss cash incowe to growers larger than that of last year and considerably above that of any of the dopression years.

Table 14.-Grapes, Cnnenrd: Averese l.c.l. price per 12-quart basket, specified narkets, by State of crisin, october 1927-36
: Price of New York Concrrds atScason : Now: Philadol-: Pitts- : :


Table 12.- Californic farm price of grapes by classes, J.919-36


1/ Colum 6 divided by 3.75. 2/ Includes returns from Control Bnard for unharvested grapes as well as returns from fresh raisin grapes actually marketef 3/ Data for 1936 are preliminary.
Sources of data: Data compiled by S.W. Shear, Giannini Foundation of Agricultural Eennomics, College of Agriculture,University of Cnlifnrnic, Junc 22.1937, froi. officiel reperts of United States and Califnrnia corperative Crop Reporting Sorvice, except col. 5, which is calculated by dividing itens in col. 6 by 3.75.

Table l3.-Grapos: Nuabur of packeges of Colifornia varioties sold, and weighted season averree prico, I/ auction seles in ll :inrkets, 2/ 1931-36

Variety :3/Number of packaecs(crntes or lugs) : Avernge price per package.
 - :Thru- Thou- Thnu- Thnu- Thou- Thcu:sinds sands sands sands sands sands Dcl. Dcl. Dcl. Din. Drl. Dil.

Flane Tokay: 1,591 1,480 1,469 1,332 1,206 1,1371.591.10 1.18 1.341.141.37 Empercr : $991 \quad 703 \quad 649 \quad 788 \quad 830 \quad 1,2081.611 .111 .341 .671 .341 .54$ Rod Molnga : 157 274 $195 \quad 275 \quad 386 \quad 2641.931 .171 .651 .791 .371 .71$ Ribier : $184 \quad 251 \quad 224 \quad 346 \quad 374 \quad 365$ 1.71 1.43 1.51 1.741.391.70 Sultana :
(Thnops $\cap$ n :
scodloss) : 1,555 2,237 1,779 2,329 2,249 2,391 1.53 1.27 1.43 1.52 1.37 1.53
Malaé : 2,976 1,351 1,162 1,558 970 1,075 1.22 .90 1.11 1.15 1.13 1. 26


Alicarte :
Bouschet : 3,430 3,845 1,957 2,339 1,989 1,239 1.16 . 911.071 .081 .051 .31
Carignano : 1,654 1,476 $737 \quad 858 \quad 922 \quad 3541.11 \quad .73 .981 .02 \quad .851 .18$
Cornichon : 2641321471631631681.26 . 1441.101 .291 .051 .36

Mission : $308 \quad 179 \quad 127 \quad 50 \quad 72 \quad 871.15 \quad .68$. 921.101 .001 .34

Potit Sirah: $11315216 \quad 26 \quad 27 \quad 13$. 132 . 88 1.22 1.02 1.05 1.20
Zinfondel :_624 1.309_627 598 . $745 \ldots .4991 .05 \ldots .951 .131 .161 .101 .23 \ldots$
Total or
average $: 15,000 \quad 16,363 \quad 10,596 \quad 11,500,11,164 \quad 9,3581.29 \quad .961 .171 .291 .151 .41$

1/ Season begins abrut August 1 and ends in Noverber.
2/ Boltimore, Boston, Chicage, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Detroit, Minneapolis, St. Paul, New York, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, and St. Lcuis.
3/ Pookages containing about 26-28 pounds.


[^0]:    

[^1]:    2 Sec discussion of demand under section on apples, pace 6.
    3/ Quoted from California Grape Market Situation as of July 21, 1937, by
    S. ‥ Shear, Giannini Foundation of Acricultural Economics.

