
村
高
江
村
市
久見崎
人口 1052人
戸数 268戸
高江
人口 3263人
戸数 665戸
岩田
人口 1924人
戸数 390戸

大字＼戸数(戸)	戸数	人口
網津	588	2.901
草道	515	2.503
小倉	273	1.426

凡例
- 町村界
- 大字界
- 国道
- 県道
- 小道(山道)
- ○ 部落名
- 大字名



水引村要図

1/25.000

部落名＼種別	生産戸数	生産人口	消費戸数	消費人口	總戸数	總人口
小倉上	47	259	2	4	51	263
小倉下	59	347	7	26	66	373
川底上	28	142	2	3	30	145
川底中	47	239	4	13	51	252
川底下	68	365	7	28	75	393
草開拓	56	254	12	49	68	303
草道西	40	204	10	32	50	236
草道上	51	249	9	27	60	276
草道下	45	216	11	41	56	257
椎原	16	93	1	5	17	98
上代	60	317	11	34	71	351
十文字	44	234	7	26	51	260
大迫	28	163	3	17	31	180
湯浦上	28	131	2	6	30	137
湯浦中	31	162	2	3	33	165
湯浦下	41	226	7	14	48	240
小計	691	3.601	97	328	788	3.929

部落名＼種別	生産戸数	生産人口
浜田	51	27
月屋	26	14
湯原	34	18
東手	30	16
網津中	25	12
宇都	19	8
東上手	25	15
西上手	20	10
井ノ上	39	17
原田口	40	20
江ノ口	27	15
岩下	38	20
皇原	42	20
砂岳	17	8
京泊	37	18
船間島	32	17
小計	502	2.62
合計	1.193	6.7

生産人口	消費戸数	消費人口	總戸数	總人口	部落名＼種別	生産戸数	生産人口	消費戸数	消費人口	總戸数	總人口
259	2	4	51	263	浜田	51	272	10	31	61	303
347	7	26	66	373	月屋	26	148	4	17	30	165
142	2	3	30	145	湯原	34	189	2	6	36	195
239	4	13	51	252	東手	30	169	3	8	33	175
365	7	28	75	393	網津中	25	126	2	5	27	131
254	12	49	68	303	宇都	19	89	7	32	26	121
204	10	32	50	236	東上手	25	151	5	7	30	158
249	9	27	60	276	西上手	20	101	1	3	21	104
216	11	41	56	257	井ノ上	39	175	2	8	41	183
93	1	5	17	98	原田口	40	200	0	0	40	200
317	11	34	71	351	江ノ口	27	153	4	18	31	171
234	7	26	51	260	岩下	38	202	6	17	44	219
163	3	17	31	180	星原	42	209	2	5	44	214
131	2	6	30	137	砂岳	17	89	9	29	26	118
162	2	5	33	165	京泊	37	181	13	33	50	214
226	7	14	48	240	舟岡島	32	171	16	59	48	230
3.601	97	328	788	3.929	小計	502	2.623	86	278	588	2.901
					合計	1.193	6.224	183	606	1.376	6.830

北陸地区図

面積、戸数人口は昭和23年8月1日現在





参考

市郡別	面積(町歩)	戸数(戸)	人口(人)
鹿児島市	7.672	40.826	175.837
川内市	7.775	8.706	41.022
鹿屋市	16.337	13.663	62.305
枕崎市	7.534	7.212	33.846
加世田郡	31.036	18.832	90.387
揖宿郡	32.362	22.945	113.150
川辺郡	45.479	25.420	124.784
日置郡	65.603	35.129	169.082
薩摩郡	91.926	31.215	160.450
出水郡	58.349	25.253	132.015
伊佐郡	39.492	12.365	61.447
姶良郡	98.437	43.184	210.952
囎唹郡	83.911	30.300	146.244
肝属郡	113.832	34.360	169.179
熊毛郡	100.479	14.969	76.672
計	790.024	364.379	1.766.514

下東郷村図

広ぼう	東西		2粁18
	南北		13.80
面積			24平方粁24
戸数	1,020	人口	5,144

凡例

◎ 役場　図 学校　書 駐在所　農 農校組

大字　田海区

戸数	544
人口	2779

白濱区

戸数	109
人口	583

中郷区

戸数	362
人口	1,782



広ぼう	東西	12粁.78	
	南北	8.99	
面積	77粁.10.		
戸数	8.869	人口	41.976

24.8.1 現在

FEB 8 1951

245

Kagoshima Prefecture

Report on activities of Governor and
Vice-governor from 21 Dec., 1950 to 20 Jan., 1951

Governor :

A. Official trip

December 21, 1950	Ceremony for the enforcement of municipality of Ei-cho
December 29	Salute to Kanoya Police Reserve
" 30	
January 6, 1951	Firemen's New Year parade at Kanoya City
January 8,	Firemen's New Year parade at Kajiki-cho
January 9,	Firemen's New Year parade at Kushikino-cho
January 12,	Accompanied Agriculture-Forestry Ministry in inspection of "Bora" stratum

B. Important activities :

January 8, 1951	General Meeting of Agricultural Extension Committee
January 17,	Plenary session of Welfare Board of Kagoshima-gun
January 20,	Cerebration of the completion of "Nokyo Kai" Hall
January 18,	Conference of Prefectural Office Chiefs
January 19,	2nd Meeting of Prefectural P.T.A. Liaison Council.

Vice-governor :

A. Official trip

December 22, 1950	Cerebration of starting of construction water supply system
January 9, 1951	Firemen's New Year parade at Hayato-cho

B. Important activities :

January 6, 1950	Firemen's New Year parade at Kagoshima City

Resigned as of January 11.

FEB 27 1951
CAS

February 22, 1951

TO :

FROM : Chief of Foreign Affairs Section,
Kagoshima Ken

SUBJECT : Report on activities of Governor
for the month of February, 1951

1. Governor :

Date	Event	Time	Place
Jan. 23	General meeting of Miso and Snoyu Union	13:20	Industrial Exp. Ston.
	Interview with CO of Kanoya NPR	12:00	Office
Jan. 25	HC's Chiefs Meeting	09:00	Koken School Nokyo Hall
Jan. 26	Inauguration meeting of Federation of Stock-breeding Cooperatives	13:00	
Jan. 26 and 27	Inspection of dairy farming in Kamitogo and Shimotogo in Satsuma-gun		
Jan. 28	Opening ceremony of Youths Research Society	10:00	Ata-mura
	General meeting of Women's Assn. of Ei-mura	13:00	Ei-mura
Jan. 29	Announcement meeting on experiences of industrial development of young men and women	09:00	Central CPH
	Inspection of Industrial Experimental Station	11:00	Industrial Exp. Stn.
	Prize-awarding ceremony of Tea-industry Exhibition	13:00	Agr. Coop. Hall
Jan. 30	Round-table conference of mine-owners	13:30	Industrial Exp. Stn.
Jan. 31	Inspection of Gakabeppu, Taniyama-cho	14:00	Taniyama-cho
Feb. 1	The laying of foundation-stone of Employees Hall of Ken Government	15:00	City
Feb. 1 - 7	Checking-up of budget		-do-
Feb. 9	Layinn of the foundation-of Tsuru Dam undermanagement of Ken Government	11:00	Aira-cho
	Closing ceremony of the 2nd National Audio-visual Education Meeting	15:00	Central CPH
Feb. 10	Lecture by Prof. Martin of Kagoshima University	10:00	Kagoshima Red Cross
Feb. 12	Meeting of Local Administrative Office Chiefs and Agr. Adjustment Committees	10:00	2nd Conf. Room

Feb. 12	General Meeting of Ken Women's Assn.	10:00	Central CPH
	Lecture-meeting by Mr. MaCaffrey of GHQ,SCAP	10:00	Meeting Hall
Feb. 13	Meeting on tourist-industry with Kagawa-ken	16:00	Central CPH
Feb. 15	Welfare Committees Meeting of Satsuma-gun	10:00	Miyanojo-cho
	Consolidated Exploitation Meeting of Sendai River	10:00	Hiwaki-cho
Feb. 16	Public Meeting of Nishi-sakurajima-mura	09:00	Sakurasu School
Feb. 17	Tea-industry Development Meeting of Kawanabe-gun	10:00	Kaseda-cho
Feb. 19	Fisnery Adjustment Committees of five sea districts	10:00	Commerce and Industry Hall
	Inspection of Shirasu district		Nishi-shibushi Mura
Feb. 20	Round-table conference on economy	13:30	Chamber of Industry and Commerce

2. Vice-governor : Not applicable

(SUMMARY)

1 March 1951

TO : Chief of Kyushu Liaison Office

FROM : MIYOSHI Yaroku, Mayor of Fukuoka

SUBJECT: Report on the result of mayors' conference held to discuss the prefectural subsidy problem to the cities rehabilitation works

The above conference was held on 13 February in participation of 11 mayors in Fukuoka Prefecture. Mayors requested the Prefectural Assembly to introduce "the bill concerning prefectural subsidy for cities rehabilitation works for the fiscal year of 1950," before the Prefectural Assembly scheduled for February 1951.

However, the Governor did not promise to include the subsidy in the additional budget because of shortage of funds. The expenditures necessary for the rehabilitation works have been defrayed from the national subsidy, the prefectural subsidy and the municipal budget in the following ratio:

National subsidy	-	50%
Prefectural "	-	25%
Municipal budget	-	25%

Estimate of rehabilitation works of 11 cities for the fiscal year 1950 is as follows:

National subsidy	-	96,186,850 yen
Prefectural subsidy	-	48,093,425 yen
Municipal budget	-	48,093,425 yen

Such being the circumstances, the rehabilitation works must be suspended, if the prefectural subsidy is discontinued. Citizen are unable to bear such a heavy burden. If the rehabilitation works should be suspended, it would have bad effects upon various spheres.

Translated by A. Tanaka, KCAR, L & G

(SUMMARY)

1 March 1951

TO : Chief of Kyushu Liaison Office

FROM : MIYOSHI Yaroku, Mayor of Fukuoka

SUBJECT: Report on the result of mayors' conference held to discuss the prefectural subsidy problem to the cities rehabilitation works

The above conference was held on 13 February in participation of 11 mayors in Fukuoka Prefecture. Mayors requested the Prefectural Assembly to introduce "the bill concerning prefectural subsidy for cities rehabilitation works for the fiscal year of 1950," before the Prefectural Assembly scheduled for February 1951.

However, the Governor did not promise to include the subsidy in the additional budget because of shortage of funds. The expenditures necessary for the rehabilitation works have been defrayed from the national subsidy, the prefectural subsidy and the municipal budget in the following ratio:

National subsidy	-	50%
Prefectural "	-	25%
Municipal budget	-	25%

Estimate of rehabilitation works of 11 cities for the fiscal year 1950 is as follows:

National subsidy	-	96,186,850 yen
Prefectural subsidy	-	48,093,425 yen
Municipal budget	-	48,093,425 yen

Such being the circumstances, the rehabilitation works must be suspended, if the prefectural subsidy is discontinued. Citizen are unable to bear such a heavy burden. If the rehabilitation works should be suspended, it would have bad effects upon various spheres.

Translated by A. Tanaka, KCAR, L & G

(SUMMARY)

24 March 1951

SUBJECT: Amalgamation of cities, towns and villages

(from: Itaru Shigenari, Governor, Kagoshima Ken)

1. On 23 Mar., the Kagoshima Ken Assembly adopted a resolution for the merger of Mizuhiki-mura, Satsuma-gun, into Sendai City effective 1 Apr.

Population, area, etc.:

	Population			Household	Area (unit: sq. Km.)
	Male	female	Total		
Sendai City	20,128	21,920	42,048	8,959	77.12
Mizuhiki Village	3,324	3,556	6,880	1,370	28.71
Grand Total	23,452	25,476	48,928	10,329	105.83

Taxes: (unit: yen)

	Direct national tax		Prefectural tax		Municipal tax		Grand total	
	total	per capita	total	per capita	total	per capita	total	per capita
Sendai City	48,516,629	1,153	30,142,425	717	44,487,258	1,058	123,146,312	2,928
Mizuhiki Village	3,023,512	439	73,084	14	4,185,830	608	7,302,426	1,061
Grand Total	51,540,141	1,053	30,235,509	618	48,673,088	995	130,448,738	2,666

Municipal tax (1950-51 fiscal year): (unit: yen)

	Inhabitant's tax		Fixed property tax		Miscellaneous tax		Grand Total	
	Total	per capita	total	per capita	total	per capita	total	per capita
Sendai City	17,249,360	410	14,736,649	350	12,501,249	297	44,487,258	1,058
Mizuhiki Village	1,524,440	221	1,916,610	279	744,780	108	44,185,830	608
Grand Total	18,773,800	383	16,653,259	340	13,246,029	271	48,673,088	995

Budget (general account) :

(unit : yen)

	49-50 fiscal year	50-51 fiscal year	51-52 fiscal year
Sendai City	135,617,111	193,513,443	(after
Mizuhiki Village	11,024,133	12,227,434	amalgamation)
Grand Total	146,641,244	205,740,877	185,192,527

2. The proposed amalgamation of Sendai City and Shimotogo Village is now facing a deadlock as the people of the Taumi area of the village have voiced stern opposition against the plan.

Shimotogo Village has a population of 5,236 and covers an area of 26.24 sq. Km.

The merger scheme seemed approaching a reality when the city and the village assemblies adopted a resolution for the amalgamation on the 25th and the 27th of Jan. 1950, respectively. On 24 Feb. 1950, both mayors applied to the prefectural authorities for the approval of the merger plan. The situation, however, took a sudden turn for the worth as the people of the Taumi area raised objection against the plan. The prefectural authorities then assembled the representatives of the parties concerned on 27 Aug. 1950 and listened to their opinions of pros and cons, but this meeting proved fruitless. On 15 Sept. 1950, the village authorities conducted a public-poll in the Taumi area. The vote stood at 296 ayes and 777 noes. In the meantime, the prefectural government was flooded with petitions of pros and cons. The people of the Taumi area were rather desirous of having the area merged into Kamitogo Village, and petitioned the village authorities for the amalgamation. All efforts ending in a failure, the Shimotogo Village Assembly adopted on 11 Mar. 1951 a resolution asking the prefectural governor to act as an arranger, on the ground that the assembly found it difficult to bring the merger issue to an amicable settlement. On 14 Mar., the village master and assemblymen resigned en bloc.

3. Merger of Takae Village and the Fumoto area of Takagi Village into Sendai City: Problems of merging Takae Village and the Fumoto area of Takagi Village into Sendai City have come to surface recently, but have not yet taken a concrete shape.

4. The assembly of Nishiminamikata Village, Kawanabe-gun, adopted a resolution for the separation of Bo and Tomari areas from the village and the creation of a Botsu Village. The plan, if materialize as determined by the village assembly, will create a new village with an area of 28 sq. Km. and a population of 9,500. The assembly ofBansei Town, Kawanabe-gun, also voted for a petition which called for the separation of the Kaminato area from the town. In the meantime, the assembly of Eia Town, Ibusuki-gun, is now studying the plan of dividing the town into 3 villages. Eia Town has a population of 39,700 and covers an area of 140 sq. Km.

Translation by K. Kishihara, KCAR, L & G

(SUMMARY)

24 March 1951

SUBJECT: Amalgamation of cities, towns and villages

(from: Itaru Shigenari, Governor, Kagoshima Ken)

1. On 23 Mar., the Kagoshima Ken Assembly adopted a resolution for the merger of Mizuhiki-mura, Satsuma-gun, into Sendai City effective 1 Apr.

Population, area, etc.:

	Population			Household	Area (unit: sq. Km.)
	Male	female	Total		
Sendai City	20,128	21,920	42,048	8,959	77.12
Mizuhiki Village	3,324	3,556	6,880	1,370	28.71
Grand Total	23,452	25,476	48,928	10,329	105.83

Taxes:

(unit: yen)

	Direct national tax		Prefectural tax		Municipal tax		Grand total	
	total	per capita	total	per capita	total	per capita	total	per capita
Sendai City	48,516,629	1,153	30,142,425	717	44,487,258	1,058	123,146,312	2,928
Mizuhiki Village	3,023,512	439	73,084	14	4,185,830	608	7,302,426	1,061
Grand Total	51,540,141	1,053	30,235,509	618	48,673,088	995	130,448,738	2,666

Municipal tax (1950-51 fiscal year): (unit: yen)

	Inhabitant's tax		Fixed property tax		Miscellaneous tax		Grand Total	
	Total	per capita	total	per capita	total	per capita	total	per capita
Sendai City	17,249,360	410	14,736,649	350	12,501,249	297	44,487,258	1,058
Mizuhiki Village	1,524,440	221	1,916,610	279	744,780	108	4,185,830	608
Grand Total	18,773,800	383	16,653,259	340	13,246,029	271	48,673,088	995

Budget (general account) :

(unit : yen)

	49-50 fiscal year	50-51 fiscal year	51-52 fiscal year
Sendai City	135,617,111	193,513,443	(after
Mizuhiki Village	11,024,133	12,227,434	amalgamation)
Grand Total	146,641,244	205,740,877	185,192,527

2. The proposed amalgamation of Sendai City and Shimotogo Village is now facing a deadlock as the people of the Taumi area of the village have voiced stern opposition against the plan.

Shimotogo Village has a population of 5,236 and covers an area of 26.24 sq. Km.

The merger scheme seemed approaching a reality when the city and the village assemblies adopted a resolution for the amalgamation on the 25th and the 27th of Jan. 1950, respectively. On 24 Feb. 1950, both mayors applied to the prefectural authorities for the approval of the merger plan. The situation, however, took a sudden turn for the worth as the people of the Taumi area raised objection against the plan. The prefectural authorities then assembled the representatives of the parties concerned on 27 Aug. 1950 and listened to their opinions of pros and cons, but this meeting proved fruitless. On 15 Sept. 1950, the village authorities conducted a public-poll in the Taumi area. The vote stood at 296 ayes and 777 noes. In the meantime, the prefectural government was flooded with petitions of pros and cons. The people of the Taumi area were rather desirous of having the area merged into Kamitogo Village, and petitioned the village authorities for the amalgamation. All efforts ending in a failure, the Shimotogo Village Assembly adopted on 11 Mar. 1951 a resolution asking the prefectural governor to act as an arranger, on the ground that the assembly found it difficult to bring the merger issue to an amicable settlement. On 14 Mar., the village master and assemblymen resigned en bloc.

3. Merger of Takae Village and the Fumoto area of Takagi Village into Sendai City; Problems of merging Takae Village and the Fumoto area of Takagi Village into Sendai City have come to surface recently, but have not yet taken a concrete shape.

4. The assembly of Nishinamikata Village, Kawanabe-gun, adopted a resolution for the separation of Bo and Tomari areas from the village and the creation of a Botsu Village. The plan, if materialize as determined by the village assembly, will create a new village with an area of 28 sq. Km. and a population of 9,500. The assembly ofBansei Town, Kawanabe-gun, also voted for a petition which called for the separation of the Kaminato area from the town. In the meantime, the assembly of Eia Town, Ibusuki-gun, is now studying the plan of dividing the town into 3 villages. Eia Town has a population of 39,700 and covers an area of 140 sq. Km.

Translation by K. Kishihara, KCAR, L & G

監才三一七号
昭和三十六年三月一日

福岡市長　三好弥六

九州連絡調整事務局長殿

県費補助に関する物議会
経過報告について

昨二月三十八日電話にて御照会ありました
別紙の通り報告致します

都市復興事業費縣費補助継続交付方
陳情懇談会経過報告

一、日時　昭和弐拾六年弐月拾参日午後十時～午後弐時

一、場所　福岡市警固　福岡縣庁警固分館

一、出席者　縣下門司小倉八幡戸畑若松直方田川福岡久留米
大牟田各市代表者及び各市選出縣議会議員
当日出席者別紙代名の通り

一、議題
昭和弐拾五年度都市復興事業費縣費補助金を弐月
縣議会に提出さるよう側面的運動を市長より縣当

会議員に要請した
参考書 別紙
(イ)全国各府縣の補助(同事業費)交付率調書
(ロ)縣下各市の都市復興事業関係縣費補助金額
(ハ)縣議会議長宛縣費補助継続交付に関する陳情書写
一、決議事項
(イ)十一市縣議会議員は弐月縣議会に於て都市復興関係事業費補助金予算を提出するよう縣知事に交渉する
(ロ)昭和弐拾六年度本事業費補助予算を計上するよう知事の言質をとる
一、縣よりの回答
知事縣財政が窮迫した事情を述べられ補正予算として計上する之日の確答は与えられなかった

目的税　都市計画事業の
~~[illegible]~~経費に当つる者に
戦税、市税の$\frac{3}{10}$を償わるに居った

一、縣費補助ふき場合現行の工事を停止せふければならふ理由
本事業費は從來より戰災復興事業として国庫五割縣
費弐割五分市費弐割五分の負担区分をもつて戰災区域
内の国道縣道をも含む戰災復旧工事を実施して来た
のでありますが昭和弐拾五年度縣下十二市の事業費総
額一九二三七三、七百円でありますが其の五分九千六百拾八
萬六千八百五拾円が国庫補助金事業費の二五%四千八百
九萬三千四百弐拾五円が縣費補助金同じく二五%四千八
百九萬三千四百弐拾五円が市費負担とふつてゐます
言葉を替えて言えば総事業費の五分%が国庫五分%が縣
市で切半して負担する事にふつていますが
本事業が五分%を国庫が補助する條件にて施工されてゐ
る関係上縣が若し負担しない場合は五分%を市で負担せ

福岡市役所

ふければ現在予定の事業は完成されず、つまり縣下市町村で現在市費負担の四分の一を負担せねばならぬ事業費で負担せねばならぬ分が、六千八百九拾八万九千四百三十五円を計上市町村の予算の四分の一即ち四千八百九万三千四百二十五円を縣負担金として陣情してゐるのである訳であるが、縣も縣費補助の分は負担に耐えない状況にあるので是非縣費補助金を出してもらい度いと

種別	事業費	財源内容			備考
		国庫補助50%	縣費補助25%	市負担	
国で定められた事業費	九二、三七三、七〇〇円	九六、一八六、八五〇円	四八、〇九三、四二五円	四八、〇九三、四二五円	国50%縣25%市25%
縣補助金すくその分を市で負担する場合	九六、一八六、八五〇〇	四八、〇九三、四二五〇	〇	四八、〇九三、四二五〇	国50%市50%
差引減	△九六、一八六、八五〇〇	△四八、〇九三、四二五〇	△四八、〇九三、四二五〇		国庫補助金は返納

依って事業費に於て九千六百拾八萬六千八百五拾円の事業停止の止むなきに至るのであります。今十一市の事業を停止するか否か停止すれば何効か何事業をやめるかと云う事はこれが表示は政治的にも重大政治問題であります

ので今直ちに調査することは差控えます

14.15/2

土木会議

[illegible]

福岡市役所

福岡縣議会議員（十一市選出及び各市長）

都市復興及び都市計画事業費縣費補助継續陳情につき縣議会議員と関係市長との懇談会

一、日時　昭和二十六年二月十三日午前十一時二十五分開催

二、場所　福岡縣警固分館

三、出席者　弐拾八名

福岡市長代理	三原助役	縣議（大牟田）	境　慧
大牟田市長代理	助役	〃　〃	細谷治嘉
飯塚市長		〃　〃	小宮市太郎
若松市長代理		〃　〃（飯塚）	松隈又五郎
直方市長		〃　〃（若松）	古長一郎
縣議（福岡）	三苫欽英	〃　〃（門司）	栗林力太郎
〃	田中勇雄	〃　〃	宮本巖

福岡市役所

紹介議員（広畑）	白木正元	
〃（福岡）	野田英造	
久留米市	土木課長	
飯塚市	秘書 山見治	
田川市	淵上虎亀	
門司市	安達	
戸畑市	提一	
直方市	土木課長	
紹介議員（久留米）	本江靖作	
〃	隈部	
〃	藤	
福岡市庁	宮崎幾馬	
〃	三沢鷹次郎	
木村	満	

都市復興事業費等の県費補助金継続交付に関する陳情書

戦災による消耗と空襲による災禍の為徹底的に粉砕せられた北九州の重要都市も燃えあがる市民の復興意慾と政府及び県御当局の適切な指導と援助により復興事業が終戦後五ヶ年にして其の半に達したことは誠に御同慶に堪えない処であります又政府は昭和二十五年度より五ヶ年計画を以て戦災以外の都市に於ても市民防空の観点より疎開を強制せられた土地を公共空地の整備事業或は重要幹線街路事業として都市復興事業と同様の戦禍の痕跡を拂拭し産業経済の興隆を図らんとしています

戦後思想の混乱と道義の頽廃に経済的窮乏とを加え本事業遂行が如何に至難であるかは過日の新聞が報ずる和く某市に於ける市長殴打事件を惹起した一例にても明らかであります之が財源については本事業が一種の災害復旧事業である関係上昭和弐拾壱年度より昭和弐拾参年度迄は庫八割県・割市一割昭和弐拾四年度より庫五割県弐割五分市弐割五分の割合を以て交付せられていたのであります

（やっている）

戦後思想の混乱と道義の頽廃に経済的窮乏とを加え本事業遂行が如何に至難であるかは過日の新聞に報ずる如く某市に於ける市長毆打事件を惹起した一例にても明らかであります之が敗源については本事業が一種の災害復旧事業である関係上昭和弐拾壱年度より昭和弐拾参年度迄は五庫八割縣・割市一割昭和弐拾四年度より五庫五割縣弐割五分市弐割五分の割合を以て交付せられていたのであります　二十五年度はなし

実は縣費の補助率が1/4と言え共市の事業としては政府及び縣の補助対照基本額のみでは決して復興事業の遂行は困難で補助対照外に単独市費を相当額加算の上遂行しているので縣費補助が1/4とは言え実は総事業に対しては五庫負担が5/10縣費負担が2/10市費負担が6/10と言ふ率になるのであります　然るに昭和弐拾五年度に於ては縣当初予算に本事業費補助金が計上せられなかった事は真に遺憾に堪えない処であります若し此の儘補助を削除せられんか縣下十一市の都市復興事業に重大なる支障を来すを以て二十四年十二月以来数回に亘り縣幹部に陳情し善処方を要望した次第であります何等懇切なる回答なく而も本年度も余す処弐

[illegible]、縣道も市でやっている

ヶ月に過ぎず此の儘推移せんか補助金は遂に葬り去られ都市復興事業は中断の已むなきに至り茲いては、市民の経済活動は著しく阻害せられる状態に立至るものと信ずるものであります

現下の都市財政は御承知の如く税制の改革により税収は著しく減少を来した反面歳出は六三制建設費自治体警察費消防費等の経費は益々増加せうれ為めに財政的窮乏は言語に絶し一朝に破滅の廿前に在ると云っても過言ではありません

県財政の窮迫もさることながら本事業は戦争中の古い禍根にして一日も早く復旧は二六万市民生活の安定を期し福利増進と経済復興に駐進して県総人口三五三万の約三五%を占める都市の担税力の増進を図ることが県政の重大政策かと考えられ税額に於ては約十五%を占めるものと思ひます

尚他の大小県に於ても概ね二分の県費補助を実施せられている実情であ

り政府に於ても本事業の重要性に鑑みニ補助事業として認承せられ
今日に至るも此処に縣費補助の中絶されるに於ては市は最早や能力をも
たないので一部事業の未執行を来たし補助金の返還をせざるを得ない政治
問題化する恐れがありますので何卒事業の重大性とよく御認識下され
弐月縣議会に於て前年と同率を以て縣費補助金を計上せらるゝよう
絶大なる御盡力賜り度く陳情申上ぐる次第であります

昭和弐拾六年弐月九日

福岡縣門司市外十一市長
代表者 福岡市長

福岡縣議會
議長 稲員稔殿

三好弥六

都市復興事業縣費補助率各縣に対する回答調

縣名	縣費補助率	摘要
東京都	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
愛媛縣	保留	
山形縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
岡山縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
青森縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
滋賀縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
宮城縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
大分縣	保留	
靜岡縣	保留	
兵庫縣	保留	
宮知縣	保留	
岐阜縣	研究中	
茨城縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
熊本縣	保留	戦源の都合
岩手縣	1	復興事業は縣施行

縣名	縣費補助率	摘要
香川縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
榜木縣		該当なし
鹿児島縣	17.5%	暫定的(現在委員会を以て采費補助増加方審議検討中) 國50% 市32.5%
石川縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4
山口縣	3/20	國10/20 市7/20
鳥取縣	1	國1/2 市1/2
北海道	1	公共事業関係は道知事施行
秋田縣	2.5/10	國1/2 市2.5/10
愛知縣	1/10	國1/2 市4/10
富山縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4

滋賀縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4	
宮城縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4	
大分縣	保留		
靜岡縣	保留		
兵庫縣	保留		
宮知縣	保留		
鳥取縣	1	國1/2 市1/2	
北海道	1	公共事業関係は道知事施行	
秋田縣	25/10	國1/2 市25/10	
愛知縣	1/10	國1/2 市4/10	
富山縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4	
岐阜縣	研究中		
茨城縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4	
島根縣	1	復興事業なし	
大阪府	1	國1/2 市1/4 但し補助対照外の街路事業に対し1/2 公共各地に対し3/10補助す	
福井縣	1/4	國1/2 市1/4 縣共補助金四二、五五五、〇〇〇円	
熊本縣	保留	戦源の都合	
岩手縣	1	復興事業は縣施行	
埼玉縣	1	復興事業は縣施行	
長野縣	1	戦災復興事業なし但し飯田上松の火災復興、重幹、都市災害に対して國1/2 縣1/4 市1/4	
福岡縣	1/4	要求中	

昭和二十五年度縣

事業別 / 費目別 / 都市別	戦災復興事業(戦災区画内)			重要幹線街	
	事業費	國庫補助	縣費補助	事業費	國庫補
門司	24.670.200 円	12.335.100 円	6.167.550 円	1.990.000 円	995.00
八幡	42.001.300	21.000.650	10.500.325	1.293.500	646.750
小倉	—	—	—	2.955.000	1.477.50
戸畑	—	—	—	2.364.000	1.182.000
若松	(追)195.000 1.786.500	97.500 893.250	(48.750) 446.625	1.592.000	796.00
直方	—	—	—	985.000	492.50
田川	—	—	—	2.955.000	1.477.50
飯塚	—	—	—	—	—
久留米	22.136.500	11.068.250	5.534.125	—	—
大牟田	(追)1.000.000 31.044.000	500.000 15.522.000	(250.000) 7.761.000	2.179.000	1.089.50
福岡	34.367.300	17.183.650	8.591.825	995.000	497.50
計	(追)1.195.000 156.005.800	547.500 78.002.900	(248.750) 39.001.450	17.308.500	8.654.25
總計	157.200.800	78.600.400	39.300.200	17.308.500	8.654.25
備考	○各事業とも事業費は縣監督事務費を差引いた市施行 ○(　　)は縣に於て予算未計上額				

昭和二十五年度縣下十一市都市復興事業

業(戦災已重内)		重要幹線街路事業			公共施設整備事業			都
助	縣費補助	事業費	國庫補助	縣費補助	事業費	國庫補助	縣費補助	事
円	円	円	円	円	円	円	円	
0	6.167.550	1.990.000	995.000	497.500	—	—	—	
0	10.500.325	1.293.500	646.750	323.375	—	—	—	295
	—	2.955.000	1.477.500	738.750	504.900	252.450	采覓担金 126.225	157
	—	2.364.000	1.182.000	591.000	396.000	198.000	99.000	
0	(48.750)							
0	446.625	1.592.000	796.000	398.000	—	—	—	
	—	985.000	492.500	246.250	—	—	—	
	—	2.955.000	1.477.500	738.750	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	—	396.000	198.000	99.000	
0	5.534.125	—	—	—	—	—	—	
0	(250.000)							
00	7.761.000	2.179.000	1.089.500	544.750	—	—	—	
0	8.591.825	995.000	497.500	248.750	594.000	297.000	148.500	
0	(248.750)							
0	39.001.450	17.308.500	8.654.250	4.327.125	1.890.900	945.450	472.725	4.53
0	39.300.200	17.308.500	8.654.250	4.327.125	1.890.900	945.450	472.725	4.53

は縣監督事務費を差引いた市施行分で計上した

て予算未計上額

十一市都市復興事業及公都市計画事業財源内訳

業	公共施設整備事業			都市水利施設整備事業			都市災害	
補助	事業費	國庫補助	縣費補助	事業費	國庫補助	縣費補助	事業費	國庫
円	円	円	円	円	円	円	円	
500	—	—	—				995.000	497
375	—	—	—	2.955.000	1.477.500	738.750	3.482.500	1.74
750	504.900	252.450	采覓担金 126.225	1.576.000	788.000	394.000	1.492.500	746
.000	396.000	198.000	99.000	—	—	—	—	—
8.000	—	—	—	—	—	—	995.000	497
6.250	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
8.750	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.492.500	746
—	396.000	198.000	99.000	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.492.500	746
750	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
8.750	594.000	297.000	148.500	—	—	—	1.492.500	746
7.125	1.890.900	945.450	472.725	4.531.000	2.265.500	1.132.750	11.442.500	572
7.125	1.890.900	945.450	472.725	4.531.000	2.265.500	1.132.750	11.442.500	572

[illegible]

計画事業財源内訳表（國庫縣費）　（但し認証事業のみ）

整備事業		都市災害復旧事業			計		
補助	縣費補助	事業費	國庫補助	縣費補助	事業費	國庫補助	縣費補助
円	円	円	円	円	円	円	円
		995.000	497.500	248.750	27.655.200	13.827.600	6.913.800
00	738.750	3.482.500	1.741.250	870.625	49.732.300	24.866.150	12.433.075
00	394.000	1.492.500	746.250	373.125	6.528.400	3.264.200	1.632.100
	—	—	—	—	2.760.000	1.350.000	650.000
					(追) 195.000	97.500	(48.750)
	—	995.000	497.500	248.750	4.373.500	2.186.750	1.093.375
	—	—	—	—	985.000	492.500	246.250
	—	1.492.500	746.250	(373.125)	4.447.500	2.223.750	(373.125) 738.750
	—	—	—	—	396.000	198.000	99.000
	—	1.492.500	746.250	373.125	23.629.000	11.814.500	5.907.250
	—	—	—	—	(追) 1.000.000 33.223.000	500.000 16.611.500	(250.000) 8.305.750
	—	1.492.500	746.250	(373.125)	37.448.800	18.724.400	(373.125) 8.989.075
00	1.132.750	11.442.500	5.721.250	(746.250) 2.114.375	(追) 1.195.000 191.178.700	597.500 95.589.350	298.750 (746.250) 47.048.425
00	1.132.750	11.442.500	5.721.250	2.860.625	192.373.700	96.186.850	48.093.425

(SUMMARY)

SUBJECT: Plan to amalgamate the adjacent towns and villages into Fukuoka City

The RINSETSU-CHOSON GAPPEI CHOSA TOKUBETSU IINKAI (Special Investigation Committee for the amalgamation of Adjacent Towns and Villages), Fukuoka City Assembly, held a conference at its office within the city hall on November 27, 1950. According to the decision reached at this conference, the committee, divided into four groups, is to conduct a public poll on the proposed amalgamation plan. The results of the polling will be published in the December session of the Fukuoka City Assembly.

The towns and villages, which may be amalgamated into Fukuoka City, are:

Tatara Town,
Wajiro Village,
Shikanoshima Village,
Kashii Town,
Okawa Village,
Nakahara Village,
Shime Town,
Hisa Village,
Naka Town,
Kitazaki Village,
Motooka Village,
Susenji Village,
Takuma Village

Translated by K. Kishihara, KCAR, L & G

Noted. JWK.

① 大福岡市問題

~~DEC 4 1950~~
DEC 5 1950

一、會議名　隣接町村合併調査特別委員會各班正副委員長會議

二、開催日時　昭和二十五年十一月二十七日　午后二時四十五分開催會　午后四時閉會

三、開催場所　福岡市役所内　委員會室

四、委員の出席

福岡市議會副議長　永江隆三

第二班副委員長　小野栄

第三班委員長　野村喜久太郎

第三班副委員長　禅院美幸

第四班委員長　柴田源藏

五、議事

本委員會の仕務は飽くまで調査の範圍内に止るべきものではあるが一應調査の結果に基く線を出すことにソ町村側の意向を参酌勘案の上試案として次の十三町村を選び出し、各班（別紙）においては、近々各班毎の委員會を

福岡市議會

開き最集した調査票に基き、各班調査項目毎の評価詳細検討を行い十二月定例會に報告することになった。

多々良町　和白村　志賀島村　香椎町

大川村　仲原村　志免町

日佐村　那珂町

北崎村　元岡村　周船寺村　田隈村

隣接町村合併調査特別委員並びに分担表

◎印委員長
○印副委員長

班別	項目	委員名	分担区域
第一班	新宮大三郎 白木保次郎 宮田隆好	別府規 ◎新庄智郎 ○木林文男	大岡監藏 御田工 播磨外記
第二班	◎益田明 白垣一雄 川島亥勇太	阿部武夫 ○小野崇 木下衛	山口勝次 岩田重藏 上野長吉
第三班	徳永賢三郎 友杉次三郎 渡辺進	徳永徳恵 田上文次郎 下郡藤雄	松井倫助 常岡卯兵衛 ◎野村善久太郎
第四班	野村政藏 三笘欽明 中村七平	○田中尚吉 古川初雄	安増武雄 西原文治
			山本與三郎 田中宮次郎
		梁沢充	糟屋郡の一部
			糟屋郡の一部
		○前田幸作 禅院美幸 西川伊助	玉野郡の一部
		◎柴田源藏 木原新	早良郡 糸島郡の一部

JAN 24 1951
L 48

(Oita-ken)

Official Trip to the place out of Oita Ken

Governor	Place	Business
From Jan.16 To Jan.25	Tokyo	All-Japan Governor's Conference. Petition concerning Show Iro Channel.
Vice-governor From Jan.16 To Jan.18	Miyazaki City	To negotiate with Miyazaki Ken authorities concerning fishing ground in Minamiamabe-gun, Oita Ken.

Personnel change

Jan. 6	Shikaro Mochizuki, Chief of Agricultural & Forestry Dep't. Retired	Appointed as staff of National Police Reserve Hqs.
Jan. 10	Daikichi Asakura. Chief of Health Dep't. Transferred	To the post of chief of Hiroshima Ken Quarantine Station
"	Masanori Ide, Chief of Health Dep't. Transferred	From the post of chief of Environment Sanitation Section of Osaka Municipal Office

Change of organization None

TRANSLATION

25 January 1951

TO : Legal & Government Section, KCAR

FROM : Kyushu Liaison & Coordination Office

SUBJECT: Pertaining to the plan for establishment of monument in memory of Major General Dean

A. Report received from GAYU, Civil Engineering Sect. Kokura-shi. Mr. Ito, representing himself as a member of the Topic News Company, took initiative to establish the monument in memory of Major General Dean, and proposed Kokura Municipal Office to have municipal land loaned as a building site of the monument. The municipal authority, however, declined his proposal because of having no land which is proper to be used as ground of monument. Later, the municipal office received a notice from him that he had found a proper place, private land, at Mihagino, Kokura-shi (vicinity of the Kokura Bicycle Race Field). It is said that the ceremony of purifying a building site was held at the end of 1950. The establishment fund is to be collected from among citizen. However, no details were given to us on the plan for establishment of the monument. Although we inquired of many newspapermen about Mr. Ito as well as the Topic News Company, no body has knowledge about them. It is doubtful whether or not he is a reliable man.

We have once established contact with Kokura Occupation Forces Headquarters on this matter. On that occasion, the Headquarters were of the opinion that they would support this plan as much as they could, and requested us to submit documents concerned after having translated into English. The office of construction is placed at Shinkyo-machi, Kokura-shi.

B. Information received from Local Affairs Section, Fukuoka Pref. Government.

ITO Osamu, chairman of the Major General Dean's monument Construction Committee.

Date of birth: 19 July 1924

Address: 6-chome, Kaharuguchi, Kokura-shi

At the end of 1950, the foregoing person submitted an application to this office asking for permission for collecting donations. However, the application was sent back to him through Kokura Municipal Office since the documents was inadequate. On 17 January 1951, he submitted an application again to this office. (Amount of donations was raised to 5 million yen from 3 million yen as was estimated at according to the original plan).

Although the purpose of this plan is very good, we must be careful against the motive because there are many examples to enrich ones own pocket under the beautiful name. We, therefore, are inquiring of the Kokura Municipal Office about the career of Mr. Ito and prospects of this plan. After receiving report from the mayor of Kokura, it will be decided whether the permission will be given or not.

Outline of the application:

a. Method of collecting fund:

Donations from supporters and canvass for subscription on streets.

b. Sponsors:

Chairman: ITO Osamu, President of Topic News Company,
born on 19 July 1924

Vice-chairman: EGUCHI Shigemi, Editor of the above company,
born on 10 October 1926.

Committee: YOSHIMI Fudekichi, Kokura Municipal Assembly member,
born 15 March 1911.

" YAMANOUCHI Masaru, Contractor,
born on 4 May 1905.

" KOBAYASHI Yosaburo, Member of Kokura Municipal Assembly
born on 20 June 1916.

" NAGAOKA Shiro, chairman of Agricultural Association,
born on 10 March 1899.

c. Plan:

Personnel expenditure:		364,400 yen
Cost of supplies	:	135,600 yen
Cost of construction	:	4,500,000 yen
Total	:	5,000,000 yen

d. Period of canvass for subscriptions: From 20 January to 20 February 1951.

e. Office of construction: Shinkyo-machi, Kokura-shi.

Remarks:

Both chairman and vice-chairman are young. Address of chairman was changed from 4-chome, Hiaki, Kokura-shi, stated in the first application, to-6-chome, Kaharu-guchi, Kokura-shi. The plan for canvassing subscriptions was also changed from 3 million yen to 5 million yen. Viewed in this light, the prefectural authorities ~~are~~ seem~~ed~~ to have a doubt about this plan.

Translated by A. Tanaka, KCAR, L & G

JAN 25 1951

デイーン大将の記念碑建設問題

トピック・ニュース社の伊菱と称する人が発起してゐる問題で最初市有地を敷地として貸して貰ひたいとの申出があったが市としては適当な市有地もなく故人に対し不敬になる様な所でも困るといふので一應断ったが其後仮人の私有地をお倉市三萩野（福岡競輪場前）に見つけた旨の通知があり昨年暮地鎮祭を挙げした由。建設資金は一般よりの寄附に仰ぐこととなってゐる由で あるが寄附金の集り工合其他の詳細に付ては詳かならず、又伊菱といふ人物もトピック・ニュース社なるものに付ても各新聞記者に聞いて見たが知らぬといふので果して信用の出来る人物なりや否や不明

本件詳細に付ては小倉の米軍司令部にも一応連絡したこと

（半紙半罫紙）

連絡調整事務局

加あるが其の際司令部側では出来る丈けの援助は惜まぬ
が書類を提出する際にとのことであるので持参してゐた
日本文書類を提示したが英文を欲しいとの訴ひあるた
建設事務所は小倉市新京町にある

（以上小倉市土木課公園係　秋有氏報告）

秋地方課よりの情報

ディーン少將記念碑建設要項令要項長　伊藤理
（大正十三年七月十九日生）住所小倉市香春口六丁目）
より最前昨年暮寄附金募集の許可申請書の提出が
あるものが書類が不備だったので小倉市役所至由返送した
所本年一月十七日附で更に申請して来た（寄附金額は
最前の時は三〇〇万円で次のときは五〇〇万円となるゐる）、
然としては趣旨は結構であるが美名に隠れて私腹を肥す

如きものであるは困るので委員長のトピック、ニュース社長伊藤氏の人物並に本募金計画の成否等に見透付市長の意見書を徴する様十念市役所へ手配中、市長よりの返回答を俟て許可、不許可決定の予定。尚申請書によると

a. 募金の方法　　賛助及街頭募金

b. 発起人　委員長　伊藤理　大一三、七、一九　トピック、ニュース社社長

副委員長　江口繁水　大一五、一〇、一〇　合　編輯長

委員　吉水筆吉　明四四、三、一五　市会議員

〃　山内勝　明三八、五、四　土木建築請員

〃　小林典三郎　大五、六、二〇　市会議員

〃　永岡四郎　明三二、三、一〇　農業会長

c. 計画

人件費　三六四、四〇〇

物件費　一三五、六〇〇

建設費　四五〇〇、〇〇〇

五、〇〇〇、〇〇〇

連絡調整事務局

（半紙半罫紙）

d. 募金の期間 一月二十日より二月二十日迄

e. 建設事務所 小倉市新東町

但し

委員長が二六〜七才の若年で副委員長も亦二四〜五才の若輩なること 及昨年暮の申請書には伊爰の住所は小倉市日明（ヒアキ）町四丁目で一月十七日附では香春口六丁目となる所最初の計画では三〇〇万円の募金の計画が次回に五〇〇万となるある点等一寸如何かと思はれる点もあり我似としては喰物に なるんでは有いかとの懸念も抱いてゐるものの様である。

（半紙半罫紙）

連絡調整事務局

1A

SAGA PREFECTURAL GOVERNMENT

30 October, 1950

SUBJECT: Equalization grant

TO : Chief of Kyushu Civil Affairs Region.

We hereby submit the above subject report as per annexed:

G. Okimori

Gen-ichi Okimori
Governor of Saga
Prefecture.

COMPARATIVE LIST OF REVENUE CONCERNED IN REVISION OF LOCAL TAX FINANCIAL SYSTEM

Classification	1949 (¥)	1950 (¥)	Increase or decrease
Tax revenue	Adjustment 754,192,000	Budget 455,754,310	Dec. 298,437,690
Local apportionment tax	502,186,000		Dec. 502,186,000
Equalization grant		Temporary decision(A)783,170,520	783,170,520
The National treasury disbursement in the above item	320,633,000		Dec. 320,633,000
Total	1,577,011,000	1,238,924,830	Dec. 338,086,170 (B)

Remarks: (A) and (B) equals ¥1,121,256,690.

present financial situations (1950)
Equalization Grant Relations.

Budget:	¥ 1,019,419,000
Deficit in the revenue caused by unapproval of bond issue	120,000,000
Addition of expenditure (for public works and election etc.)	70,000,000
Addition of other urgent expenses	5,000,000
Total	1,214,419,000
Pay increase	150,000,000
Grand Total	1,364,419,000

Re:- Equalization grant Saga Prefecture

According to the revised local Tax Law now in force, the decrease in the tax revenues of this prefecture, an agricultural prefecture, is estimated at 298,000,000 Yen, and we expect that this amount will be adjusted with the equalization grant to be given to the local finance. However, the amount we have computed now by "the regulation concerning computation of the equalization grant temporarily decided to be given to a local body for 1950" is some 783,000,000 Yen, which leaves the shortage of some 431,000,000 Yen against 1,214,000,000(excluding the pay increase for the personnel) which is the least amount we expect as the equalization grant. Therefore, we have to fill this short amount with the special equalization grant to be given, and we have so far been in touch with the quarters concerned in the central Government as to this requisite amount of ours. We wish that the central Government will take into special consideration the straightened financial circumstances of this Prefecture which is the small agricultural prefecture and cooperate to give us our required amount of 1,214,000,000 Yen.

We wish to add that the central Government will make a study and rectify the following points in the method of computation of equalization grant which we consider unfit.

1. Standard amount of financial revenues

(1) Enterprise tax and special income tax

The estimate of the revenues from enterprise and special income taxes is based on the incomes on which the income taxes were imposed for 1949. However, there is a gulf difference in the exemption point of the income tax and that of the present enterprise tax, and some of the small incomes below the exemption point of income tax are levied income tax due to the income-summing up system. This Prefecture is composed of small enterprisers and if we adopt without modification the incomes on which income taxes were imposed, the result will be an overestimate of the revenues of our prefecture. We wish that special arrangement will be made in this connection.

(2) Amusement, Eating and Drinking Tax

This tax is computed based on the individual incomes for 1949, but the hotels, inns, restaurants, and clubs which are the taxable juridical persons for this tax are not included.

Therefore, those prefectures which have these juridical persons in greater number are financially well off. Moreover, taxes are more easily collected from juridical persons than individuals. We wish that special consideration will be taken in this regard and compute the eugalization grant properly.

(3) Admission Tax

This tax is computed based on the adjusted amounts for 1949, but the adjustment of the tax is swayed largely by the enthusiasm for collection of tax. The prefectures which showed up well for collection of taxes, have larger adjusted amounts. Therefore, we wish that computation will be made based on the objective materials such as the number of movie halls and thertres and the populations of cities.

2. Standard requisite financial amount

(1) Expenses for roads and bridges

The expenses are mainly for maintenance. Roads and bridges are damaged in proportion to the traffic volume, which is most properly gauged by the density of the population. Please take into consideration the density of the population.

(2) Re:- Adjustment of the unit for estimation

The standards of the units for estimating the required financial amount are placed on the standard bodies, and the bodies ranking at the lowest in the units can hardly secure even the least requisite amount . Therefore, we wish that the standards of the units be placed on the bodies ranking at the lowest or as in the apportion tax system, the increased-population system be enforced to secure the lowest required amount.

(3) Others

By the method adopted this year for computing the equlization grants, it follows that a small prefecture with fewer population and narrower area, can hardly be self-sufficient fisnancially. However small, a Prefectural Government should secure the least finance necessary to conduct administration. We wish that proper adjustment be made for the small Prefectures to secure the self-sufficiency.

January 22,1951

To : Chief of the Kyushu Civil Affairs Region
APO 24 Unit 5.

From : Governor of Miyazaki Prefecture.

SUBJECT : MONTHLY REPORT ON MAIN CHANGES IN PERSONNEL AND MECHANISM OF MIYAZAKI PREFECTURAL GOVERNMENT OFFICE.

Thru : Kyushu Liaison and Coordination Office.

In accordance with the Kyushu Liaison and Coordination Office Directive No. 249 date 24 November 1949, the monthly report respecting the changes in key personnel and mechanism for January (from Dec. 21, 1950 to Jan. 20, 1951) shall be submitted as follows:

1. Personnel change in the prefectural office :

None.

2. Change in mechanism :

None.

3. Purpose of the governor's official trip outside the prefecture and his activities:

To Fukuoka city from Jan. 8 to 10 for transaction of the business of the prefectural administration.

To Tokyo Metropolis from Jan. 17 - 31 for transaction of the business of the prefectural administration.

JAN 26 1951

LVG

人秘第八號

昭和二十六年一月二十二日

宮崎縣知事

九州地區民事部長官　殿

人事及び機構の月報提出について

昭和二十四年十一月二十四日附九連合第二四九號による標記月報一月分別紙のとおり提出します。

人事及び機構に關する月報（一月分）

自二五、一二、二一、
至二六、一、二〇、

宮崎縣

一、縣廳重要人事の異動

該當なし

二、機構改革

該當なし

三、知事の縣外出張の目的及び活動狀況

自一月八日　福岡市　縣政用務
至一月十日

自一月十七日　東京都　縣政用務
至一月三十一日

SUBJECT: Resignation of Yasuoka as vice-governor of Kagoshima Ken

1. According to Mr. Kawabata, chief of the Personnel Section, Kagoshima Ken Government, Vice- Governor Yasuoka was not compelled to quit his post because of any fault or negligence. It is said that in his letter of resignation, Mr. Yasuoka merely stated "I wish to resign for personal reasons."

2. In this connection, the January 4 issue of the Nishi Nippon stated:

"It is almost certain that Itaru Shigenari, present governor, Takehisa Yasuoka, present vice-governor who is being backed by the OSHIMA KEN-JINKAI (Association of People from Oshima), and Isakichi Nakajima who has recently been depurged, will stand as candidates for the forthcoming election of the prefectural governor. Vice Governor Yasuoka is to tender his resignation early this month."

N. Hayashida

Translated by K. Kishihara, KCAR, L & G

SUBJECT: Resignation of Yasuoka as vice-governor of Kagoshima Ken

1. According to Mr. Kawabata, chief of the Personnel Section, Kagoshima Ken Government, Vice- Governor Yasuoka was not compelled to quit his post because of any fault or negligence. It is said that in his letter of resignation, Mr. Yasuoka merely stated "I wish to resign for personal reasons."

2. In this connection, the January 4 issue of the Nishi Nippon stated:

"It is almost certain that Itaru Shigenari, present governor, Takehisa Yasuoka, present vice-governor who is being backed by the OSHIMA KEN-JINKAI (Association of People from Oshima), and Isakichi Nakajima who has recently been depurged, will stand as candidates for the forthcoming election of the prefectural governor. Vice Governor Yasuoka is to tender his resignation early this month."

N. Hayashida

Translated by K. Kishihara, KCAR, L & G

12 Jan 1951

CHIEF

DEPUTY

ICAR - ARTEX

MIL. PERSONNEL

CIV. PERSONNEL

ECONOMICS

WELFARE

INFORMATION

EDUCATION

HEALTH

LEGAL & GOVT ✓

TAX

REPORTS

FUK FR JIC PROC

S/M

COMP. COMDR

FILE

→(OVER)←

re item "f"

are the reasons known to your office?

Do they reflect an unhealthy political atmosphere?

KYUSHU CIVIL AFFAIRS REGION
GHQ SCAP
APO 1105

Date 12 January 1951

SUBJECT: Daily Report

TO : All Sections

1. The following daily report from each prefecture was received this date from the Central Liaison Coordination Office in Fukuoka, Japan, and translated for the information of all concerned.

(a) Fukuoka Prefecture: Negative

(b) Saga Prefecture: Negative

(c) Nagasaki Prefecture:
Actual collection of Community Chest Fund reached ¥12,879,462.91 or 80.4% of the goal as of 10 January.

(d) Oita Prefecture:
1) As of 10 January, actual collection of Community Chest Fund amounted to ¥12,098,184 and exceeded the collection goal by 0.8%.

2) Rice collection reached 70.60% as of 10 January.

(e) Kumamoto Prefecture: Negative

(f) Kagoshima Prefecture:
Vice-governor YASUOKA resigned from his post for his personal reasons on 11 January.

(g) Miyazaki Prefecture: Negative

FOR THE CHIEF:

W. H. GARNER
Major ARMOR
Admin Off

Daily Report　　Jan. 12th '51 (中紙中罫紙)

Fukuoka　neg.

Saga　neg.

Nagasaki.　neg.　　共同募金 as of 10/1 実績 128739462 円 91 米 80.4%

Ceita　as of 10/1 共同募金 一千貳百萬弗.　　供米成績 as of 10/1

実績額 一千貳百九萬八千百八十四弗.　70.6%

Kumamoto　100.8%

Kagoshima ~~Miyazaki~~　副知事 安岡氏は一身上の都合により11日辞職仕.

Miyazaki.　neg.

連絡調整事務局

11A or
11B

Received
Nov 13
2 1/6

SAGA PREFECTURAL GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION & LIAISON SECTION

October 31, 1950

SUBJECT: Data on Municipal Finance

TO : Chief of Legal and Government Section,
Kyushu Civil Affairs Region

We beg to submit the report on the subject as per annexed.

for Y. Noda
YOMEO MOTOOKA
Chief of Information
and Liaison Section,
Saga Prefecture

Comparision between Estimated Settlement for 1949-50 Fiscal Year and Estimated Final Budget for 1950-51 Fiscal Year

Cities, Towns & Villages in Saga Prefecture
(October 25, 1950)
(IN UNITS OF ¥1,000)

Classification (City)	1949-50 Fiscal Year	1950-51 Fiscal Year	Increase or *Decrease	Remarks
1. Revenue	478,173	457,092	*21,081	
Tax Revenue	206,616	191,717	*14,899	
Apportionment Tax ..... (Equalization Grant)	25,036	23,887	* 1,149	
State & Prefecture ... Defrayment	53,269	49,511	* 3,758	A class aid 3,758
Rent & Charge	62,592	62,592	-	
Local Loan	19,600	41,690	22,090	
Balance C/F	40,589	26,600	*13,989	
Income Enterprise Re- .. venue	1,526	3,967	2,441	
Miscellaneous Revenue ...	68,945	57,128	*11,817	
2. Expenditure.....	451,573	457,092	5,519	
3. Balance	26,600	-	-	

Classification (Town & Village)	1949-50 Fiscal Year	1950-51 Fiscal Year	Increase or *Decrease	Remarks
1. Revenue	1,706,875	2,061,388	354,513	
Tax Revenue	563,464	824,577	261,113	
Apportionment Tax ..... (Equalization Grant)	304,324	228,432	* 75,892	
State & Prefecture ... Defrayment	288,200	266,350	* 21,850	A class aid 21,850
Rent & Charge	28,651	28,651	-	
Local Loan	53,110	57,750	4,640	
Balance C/F	111,892	403,000	291,108	
Income Enterprise Re- .. venue	8,062	9,465	1,403	
Miscellaneous Revenue ..	349,172	243,163	*106,009	
2. Expenditure 1,303,819		2,061,388	757,569	
3. Balance 403,056		-	-	

Remarks:

1. This list showing mainly revenue indicates net budget including special accounts.

2. The figures in 1949-50 fiscal year show the total of estimated settled amount in 1949-50 fiscal year as of May 31, 1950.

3. The figures in 1950-51 fiscal year are to show the final budget. However, due to the fact that almost all supplementary budgets resulting from the revision of taxation system have not been completed, the final amounts have been estimated by the following methods:

(1) Tax revenue is fixed at 7/10 of fundamental finance revenue.

(2) Equalization grant is the provisionally settled amount as of October 20, 1950.

(3) State and prefectural defrayment is the balance between the settled amount of 1949-50 fiscal year and the state subsidy (A class aid) included in equalization grant.

(4) Rent and charge have been estimated at the same amount as that for 1949-50 fiscal year.

(5) Local loan is the approved amount as of October 1.

(6) Balance carried forward shows the balance between revenue and expenditure resulting from settlement estimated for 1949-50 fiscal year.

(7) Income enterprise revenue for 1950-51 fiscal year shows the amount resulting from the settled amount for 1949-50 fiscal year multiplied by the increasing rate in 1950-51 fiscal year.

(8) Miscellaneous revenue for 1950-51 fiscal year shows the balance between that for 1949-50 fiscal year and estimated amount of contribution.

Conferences, training school and others
which contributed for the Local Autonomy

Classification	Date	What persons attended	Subject
Conference	16 May 1950	General affairs sect. Chiefs of District offices.	Revision of election
In service training	18 - 21 May	City, town and village office men	"
Explanation meeting	22 June	Financial chief clerks of district offices	Equalization grant
"	27 June	Chief and chief clerk of General affairs Sect, district offices	Revision of local autonomy law
"	29 June	Financial chief clerk, district offices	Equalization grant
Consultation	24 July	Village office men	Local autonomy law
Training meeting	29 July	Leading members among man and woman	Local autonomy
Explanation	5 Aug	Financial chief clerks in 2 cities 15 towns and villages.	Equalization grant trial
In service training	16 - 19 Aug.	City, town and village(in units of taxation office men (county)	Local tax law
"	21 - 23 Aug.	"	"
Consultation	31 Aug.	Town and villages office men (Miyaki-gun)	"
"	5 Sept.	Treasurer of town and villages (Kishima-gun)	"
"	6 Sept.	Citizen hall office men (Nabeshima mura)	"

Classification	Date	What persons attended	Subject
Explanation meeting	11 Sept.	Financial chief clerk, district offices	Equalization grant
Consultation	18 Sept.	Treasurer and taxation chief clerk (Fujitsu-gun)	Local tax law
"	27 Sept.	Town and villages General affairs chief clerk (Higashi matsuura-gun)	"
Lecture meeting	17 Oct.	Members of leading class of Women's association	Local autonomy
Explanation meeting	24 Oct.	Men and women (Naka-kawasoe-mura)	Election law

KYUSHU CIVIL AFFAIRS REGION
GHQ SCAP
Fukuoka, Kyushu, Japan

LG/JWR/am

APO 1105
8 December 1950

014.

SUBJECT: RELEASE OF CENSUS INFORMATION

TO : Honorable Masateru Takita
Master of Miyagi Village
Naoiri-gun, Oita-ken

Your letter of 5 December 1950 referring to the recent National Census is acknowledged. The release of subject information is a matter for decision by Japanese authorities.

J. H. BURGHEIM
Colonel GSC
Chief